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Abstract: The chemical design of new functional materials for solid oxide fuel cells (SOFCs) is of great interest as a means for overcoming the disadvantages of traditional materials. Redox stability, carbon deposition and sulfur poisoning of the anodes are positioned as the main processes that result in the degradation of SOFC performance. In this regard, double perovskite molybdates are possible alternatives to conventional Ni-based cermets. The present review provides the fundamental properties of four members: Sr$_2$NiMoO$_{6-δ}$, Sr$_2$MgMoO$_{6-δ}$, Sr$_2$FeMoO$_{6-δ}$ and Sr$_2$Fe$_{1.5}$Mo$_{0.5}$O$_{6-δ}$. These properties vary greatly depending on the type and concentration of the 3d-element occupying the B-position of AB'O$_6$. The main emphasis is devoted to: (i) the synthesis features of undoped double molybdates, (ii) their electrical conductivity and thermal behaviors in both oxidizing and reducing atmospheres, as well as (iii) their chemical compatibility with respect to other functional SOFC materials and components of gas atmospheres. The information provided can serve as the basis for the design of efficient fuel electrodes prepared from complex oxides with layered structures.
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1. Introduction

The development of solid oxide fuel cells (SOFCs) capable of generating electricity by means of a single-step electrochemical approach has been an urgent task since the mid-nineteenth century. Currently, considerable efforts have been devoted to the study of both individual materials (electrolytes, anodes, cathodes, collectors, sealants) and the design and testing of SOFCs [1–3]. It is now extremely important to scale-up from laboratory activity to commercial device. Although many promising fundamental, theoretical and applied problems have been solved, others constantly arise, initiating the development of new technological approaches. For example, with the development of conventional SOFCs, in which YSZ (yttria-stabilized zirconia) acts as an electrolyte and Ni-based cermets serve as anodes, a cheaper and more accessible hydrocarbon fuel (natural gas, biogas, etc.) cannot be used. This is due to the degradation of nickel-based anodes caused by carbonization and sulfur poisoning, which leads to a decrease in SOFC lifetime [4–9]. The solution to this problem involves either modifying the Ni–YSZ composites by introducing various additives (thus preventing carbon deposition and improving chemical stability [10,11]) or searching for new anode materials that do not exhibit these disadvantages [12–17].

In terms of chemical design, there are many alternative materials for Ni-based composites. They include Mo-containing double perovskites with the general formula Sr$_2$MMoO$_{6-δ}$ [18–30].
The use of these compounds as anodes, in combination with La$_{1-x}$Sr$_x$Ga$_{1-y}$Mg$_y$O$_{3-δ}$ (LSGM) electrolytes, allows for the operating temperatures of SOFCs to be lowered down to 800 °C (or even lower). This is due to the high electrical conductivity of LSGM electrolytes [31–33]. This aspect, along with the possibility of using Sr$_2$MMoO$_{6-δ}$ in hydrocarbon fuels, [34–47] opens up new directions for designing carbon- and sulfur-tolerant SOFCs.

The present review seeks to highlight and systemize information on the functional properties of Sr$_2$MMoO$_{6-δ}$ double perovskite molybdates (where M = Ni, Mg, Fe) in terms of their applicability to SOFCs. Special attention is devoted to analyzing their functional properties in both oxidizing and reducing conditions, both of which are used in SOFC fabrication and testing. On the basis of this analysis, the main advantages and problems are identified and future solutions proposed.

2. Brief Descriptions of SOFCs

The extensive body of research on SOFCs is mostly motivated by the fact that they can efficiently generate electricity over a wide temperature range, do not contain liquid phases, do not require the presence of noble metals and allow for the use of various types of fuels [48–56]. An SOFC unit is a multilayered structure consisting of an ionic conductor (electrolyte) between two electrodes, an anode and a cathode (Figure 1).

![Figure 1. Simplified scheme of solid oxide fuel cells (SOFCs) working principle.](image)

During the operation of an SOFC, the cathode produces an oxygen reduction reaction (Reaction (1)) and delivers O$^{2-}$ ions to the contact point with the electrolyte.

$$\text{O}_2 + 4e^- = 2\text{O}^{2-}$$

(1)

The function of the gas-tight electrolyte is to electrochemically transport the formed oxygen ions from the cathode to the anode, where they oxidize the fuel (Reactions (2)–(4)) and form generate electrons; the latter go through an external circuit to the cathode, thus forming potentially a power source. It should be noted that the electrolyte must block the flow of electrons from the anode to the cathode inside the cell, thus exhibiting unipolar (ionic) conductivity.

$$\text{H}_2 + \text{O}^{2-} = \text{H}_2\text{O} + 2e^-$$

(2)

$$\text{CO} + \text{O}^{2-} = \text{CO}_2 + 2e^-$$

(3)

$$\text{CH}_4 + 4\text{O}^{2-} = \text{CO}_2 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} + 8e^-$$

(4)

The overall reactions occurring in SOFCs when hydrogen, a synthesis gas or methane are used can be expressed by Equations (5)–(7), respectively.

$$2\text{H}_2 + \text{O}_2 = 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$$

(5)
\[
H_2 + CO + O_2 = H_2O + CO_2 \quad (6)
\]
\[
CH_4 + 2O_2 = CO_2 + 2H_2O \quad (7)
\]

As SOFCs are not a heat engine, their efficiency is not limited by the Carnot cycle. However, such devices suffer from internal losses, especially at lower operating temperatures (\(T \leq 800 \, ^\circ C\)). These losses are due to insufficient ionic conductivity and/or the slow kinetics of electrode processes. Therefore, traditional SOFCs containing YSZ as a supporting electrolyte and Ni-YSZ as an anode generally operate at temperatures around 900 \(^\circ C\), where the electrolyte’s electrical conductivity reaches a sufficient level (~0.1–0.2 S cm\(^{-1}\) [31,51]). On the other hand, lowering the temperature to 800 \(^\circ C\) would simplify SOFC fabrication, reduce operating costs and extend their long-term lifespan. However, it is necessary to use other oxygen-conducting materials, since the ionic conductivity of YSZ strongly declines with cooling, reaching ~0.05 S cm\(^{-1}\) at 800 \(^\circ C\) [16]. As electrolyte alternatives, compounds based on ceria (Ce\(_{1-x}\)Gd\(_x\)O\(_{2-\delta}\), GDC, or Ce\(_{1-x}\)Sm\(_x\)O\(_{2-\delta}\), SDC) and LSGM [38,39] can be used, since their conductivity at 800 \(^\circ C\) is several times higher than that of YSZ [38]. From these compositions, it is possible to obtain sufficiently dense ceramics that are mechanically and thermally stable [31–33,57,58].

3. Anode Materials for SOFCs

Pure hydrogen, synthesis gas (\(H_2 + CO\)), simple hydrocarbons and ammonia can serve as fuel for SOFCs. The commercialization of SOFCs operating on hydrocarbon fuels has recently become promising due to the abundance of cheaper and easily stored natural gas. In this regard, the development of new anode materials is a key challenge for achieving efficient operations in the presence of methane, which can be used either directly (Reaction (4)) or after preliminary reforming to obtain syngas according to Equations (8)–(10):

\[
CH_4 + 1/2O_2 = CO + 2H_2 \quad (8)
\]
\[
CH_4 + H_2O = CO + 3H_2 \quad (9)
\]
\[
CH_4 + CO_2 = 2CO + 2H_2 \quad (10)
\]

When using hydrocarbons, however, the problem of the carbonization of the anode material arises. During the direct supply of the methane-containing fuel (Reaction (4)), carbon deposition can occur due to cracking from a lack of oxygen ions (Equation (11)).

\[
CH_4 \rightarrow C + 2H_2 \quad (11)
\]

In a synthesis gas environment, the accumulation of carbon at the anode occurs due to the Boudouard reaction, which is a result of the high concentration of CO:

\[
2CO \rightarrow C + CO_2 \quad (12)
\]

and also due to the reduction of carbon monoxide with hydrogen:

\[
CO + H_2 \rightarrow C + H_2O \quad (13)
\]

Carbon can envelop electro-active anode particles and form carbon sediments both on the surface and in the bulk of the electrode material, causing its expansion and deactivation. To prevent the formation of a coke deposit, it is necessary to facilitate the electrochemical oxidation of CO on the surface of the anode (Equation (3)). Additionally, it is possible to supply some O\(_2\) to the feed gas, which will lead to CO oxidation or forced carbon burnout:

\[
2CO + O_2 = 2CO_2 \quad (14)
\]
\[
C + O_2 = CO_2 \quad (15)
\]
Along with carbon accumulation, there is the problem of poisoning the anode materials with sulfur compounds, which are often present in small amounts in natural gas and can interact with electrode components. Moreover, sulfur prevents the reagents from accessing the electrode, blocking targeted electrochemical reactions.

Recognizing the prospects of using hydrocarbons in SOFCs, it is necessary to solve a number of problems in order to develop anode materials with a high level of stability and other important functional properties, including:

1. High catalytic activity in relation to fuel oxidation. In the case of hydrocarbon fuels, the catalytic properties of the material are preliminarily studied before using it as an anode. This is done to determine the mechanism and degree of fuel oxidation, synthesis gas yield and the possibility of carbon deposition after tests [36,41,42,45,47].

2. Sufficient electron conductivity. Electrons formed as a result of electrochemical reactions at anode/electrolyte interface must be transported to the external circuit not only through current collectors, but also through the supported anode with high conductivity to suppress any unwanted ohmic losses in the electrodes.

3. Thermal compatibility. The thermal expansion of the anode must be consistent with similar behavior in both the electrolyte and the current collector. This is required to prevent cracking between SOFC components during operation, heating, cooling or thermal cycling.

4. Chemical stability. The anode must be chemically stable at operating temperatures not only in oxidizing and reducing atmospheres, but also in relation to the electrolyte and the current collector. Otherwise, the resulting impurities can block the transfer of electrons or oxygen ions along the corresponding paths in the SOFCs. It should be noted that chemical stability must also be verified by sintering the SOFCs, where temperatures are higher compared to working temperatures.

5. Porosity. Since the fuel is a gas that must reach a triple-phase boundary (TPB) or the surface of a mixed-ionic conductor, the anode must exhibit a porous structure that retains its natural microstructural characteristics over a prolonged operating period.

Non-compliance to the listed requirements would kill the interest for application as anode materials.

The most common anode materials for SOFCs are porous Ni-YSZ cermets, in which nickel provides electrical conductivity and YSZ oxygen-ion conductivity. The main advantages of this composite include good chemical and thermal compatibility with YSZ electrolytes, high electrical conductivity and excellent electro-catalytic properties with respect to the oxidation of the hydrogen used as a fuel. However, there are some disadvantages: (i) instability of the microstructure during redox cycling, which causes the porous YSZ framework to lose mechanical strength due to the destruction of nickel–nickel contacts during reoxidation and reduction; (ii) the agglomeration of nickel particles using elevated temperatures and high current densities; such an agglomeration leads to the formation of isolated Ni-particles, with the subsequent loss of the electrical connection with the current collector [1]. In addition, the morphology and electrochemical activities of nickel-cermet composite electrodes strongly depend on the ratio and sizes of Ni and YSZ particles, as well as on the methods used to obtain the components [59,60].

Since the metallic nickel catalyzes a cracking reaction (Equation (11)), using Ni-YSZ ceramics in hydrocarbon fuels is difficult. This produces carbon fibers that can block pores and cause mechanical stress, with the subsequent destruction of the electrode and loss of SOFC performance [6–8]. In addition, nickel interacts with fuel impurities, especially sulfur. Even a very small amount of sulfur (as a part of H₂S or S-containing organic compounds) poisons the catalyst, blocking electrochemically active zones and reducing SOFC performance. In this regard, many have sought either to improve cermets by introducing various additives (CaO, SrO [10]; Co, Fe, Cu [11]) and replacing YSZ with doped ceria [61] or to find new anode materials that can overcome the disadvantages of traditional cermets.
Perovskites with mixed ion-electronic conductivity (general formula ABO$_3$) could be an alternative, since they can have an extended TPB compared to purely electronic or purely ionic conductors. In addition, oxide materials are good catalysts in hydrocarbon oxidation and can prevent carbon deposition due to the ability to exchange lattice oxygen with the gaseous phase [6,62].

To date, the existing data offer a wide range of perovskite anode materials with good performances in hydrocarbon fuels. The titanates (ATiO$_3$) [63–65], chromites (ACrO$_3$) [63], manganites (AMnO$_3$) [63,66,67], ferrites (AFeO$_3$) [63,68,69] and vanadates (AVO$_3$) [70] of alkaline earth metals (A = Ca, Sr, Ba) are the best studied perovskites. Among double perovskites, strontium molybdates with general formula Sr$_2$MMoO$_6$ (M = Ni, Mg, Fe) have attracted particular interest over the last decade due to their ability to achieve the required combination of functional properties.

4. General Features of Sr$_2$MMoO$_6$ (M = Ni, Mg, Fe)

Double perovskites (Sr$_2$MMoO$_6$) have a modified perovskite structure (ABO$_3$), where the BO$_6$ and MoO$_6$ octahedra are located in two alternating face-centered cubic sublattices described by the space group Fm3m (Figure 2). Factors involved in the MMo ordering are the differences in cation oxidation states and/or ionic radii. The octahedral voids are occupied by A-cations (Sr$^{2+}$ in the present case).

![Cubic structure of double Sr$_2$MMoO$_6$ perovskites](image)

*Figure 2. Cubic structure of double Sr$_2$MMoO$_6$ perovskites. Reproduced with permission [71]. Copyright 2006, Elsevier.*

The cubic structure is usually distorted due to a mismatch between the sizes of Sr-, M- and Mo-cations, attaining the most energetically favorable form. When the BO$_6$ and MoO$_6$ octahedra rotate relative to each other by an angle, the value of which is determined by the displacement of oxygen atoms within the ab plane, the tetragonal lattice becomes more stable (Figure 3).
The cubic structure is usually distorted due to a mismatch between the sizes of Sr-, M- and Mo-cations, attaining the most energetically favorable form. When the BO$_6$ octahedra rotate relative to each other by an angle, the value of which is determined by the displacement of oxygen atoms within the ab plane, the tetragonal lattice becomes more stable (Figure 3). In this case, monoclinic (α ≠ β ≠ γ ≠ 90°) or orthorhombic (α ≠ β ≠ γ ≠ 90°) polymorphs are formed.

The double perovskite structure can also exhibit a lower degree of symmetry associated with octahedra tilting (Figure 4). In this case, monoclinic (α ≠ b ≠ c, α = β = 90°, γ ≠ 90°), triclinic (α ≠ b ≠ c, α ≠ β ≠ γ ≠ 90°) or orthorhombic (α ≠ b ≠ c, α = β = γ = 90°) polymorphs are formed.

The crystallographic structure of ABO$_3$ (and A$_2$BB’O$_6$) perovskites can be predicted if we consider the discrepancy between the size of the A-site cations and the remaining space inside the oxygen octahedra. For this purpose, the value of the tolerance factor, $t$, is calculated, taking two A-O and B-O distances into account:

$$t = \frac{r_A + r_O}{\sqrt{2}(r_B + r_O)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

where $r_A$, $r_B$ and $r_O$ are the effective ionic radii of A-, B- and O-ions, according to Shannon [73].

Excluding rare examples that arise due to the difficulty of determining variable oxidation states of the cations, the A$_2$MMo’O$_6$ compounds are found to be crystalized in various crystal structures [74]: hexagonal (space groups P6$_3$/mmc, P6$_2$c) at $t > 1.05$, cubic (Fm$ar{3}$m) at $1.00 < t < 1.05$, tetragonal at $0.97 < t < 1.00$ and, finally, triclinic (P$ar{1}$), monoclinic

![Figure 3](image-url) **Figure 3.** Structural phase transition of Sr$_2$MMoO$_6$ from cubic to tetragonal lattice associated with the rotation angle of the MO$_6$ and MoO$_6$ octahedra. Reproduced with permission [32]. Copyright 2003, Elsevier.

![Figure 4](image-url) **Figure 4.** Monoclinic structure of Sr$_2$MMoO$_6$ formed during the octahedra tilting. Reproduced with permission [72]. Copyright 2013, AIP Publishing.
(P21/n) or orthorhombic (Pmm2) at t < 0.97. For the double perovskites of Sr₂NiMoO₆₋δ, Sr₂MgMoO₆₋δ, and Sr₂FeMoO₆₋δ, the theoretically calculated t values are 0.984, 0.977 and 0.963, respectively [20]. However, these often do not coincide with the experimentally calculated t values (Equation (17)). For example, in the following sections, it will be shown that the Sr₂MgMoO₆₋δ and Sr₂FeMoO₆₋δ compounds can be also formed in triclinic and tetragonal systems.

\[
t = \frac{d_{A-O}}{\sqrt{2}d_{B-O}}\tag{17}
\]

where \(d_{A-O}\) and \(d_{B-O}\) are the average distances between corresponding atoms.

From the viewpoint of defect chemistry, the Sr₂MMoO₆₋δ perovskites exhibit commonalities: the presence of a Mo⁶⁺/Mo⁵⁺ redox pair and a certain amount of oxygen vacancies formed according to Equation (18). In addition, the MO₆ octahedra in the Sr₂MMoO₆₋δ oxides determine their electronic properties, as, for instance, the ordering between Mg²⁺ and Mo⁶⁺ cations is hindering the charge delocalization on the M-O-Mo network, whereas charge carrier exchange between Fe²⁺/Fe³⁺ and Mo⁶⁺/Mo⁵⁺ is a charge carrier delocalization promotor. Therefore, replacing the B-position elements also allows for the modification of their structural, thermal, electric transport, catalytic and other functional properties.

\[
2\text{Mo}^{O_{6}} + O_{2} \iff 2\text{Mo}'_{6} + V_{6}^{\bullet\bullet} + 1/2O_{2}.	ag{18}
\]

where \(V_{6}^{\bullet\bullet}\) is the oxygen vacancy, \(\text{Mo}^{6} \) is the Mo⁶⁺-ion (oxidized state) and \(\text{Mo}'_{6} \) is the Mo⁵⁺-ion (reduced state).

For non-composite (i.e., single-phase) anode materials, oxygen vacancies are critical for realizing oxygen-ion transport. The Mo⁶⁺/Mo⁵⁺ redox pairs also provide for electron transport in case of the absence of other transition elements. The ability of these materials to prevent carbon deposition is due to carbon interaction with the lattice oxygen [6,62].

Analyzing the data from the literature, it can be noted that the most promising and studied molybdates are strontium molybdates, where the M-position is occupied by nickel, magnesium and iron. The SOFCs based on these molybdates and LSGM electrolytes yield very high power densities (Table 1).

**Table 1.** Performance of SOFCs based on double Sr₂MMoO₆₋δ molybdates and La₃₋ₓSrₓGa₁₋yMg₄O₁₅₋δ (LSGM) electrolytes.

| Electrolyte Thickness, µm | Cathode Composition | Conditions | \(P_{\text{max}}, \text{mW cm}^{-2}\) | Ref. |
|---------------------------|---------------------|------------|---------------------------------|-----|
|                          | Sr₂NiMoO₆₋δ         |            |                                 |     |
| 300                      | SrCo₀.₈Fe₀.₂O₃₋δ     | H₂, 800 °C | 480                             | [24]|
|                           |                     | 3% H₂O/CH₄, 800 °C | 110 |                               |
|                           |                     | CH₄, 800 °C | 270                             |     |
|                           | Bₐ₀.₅Sr₀.₅Co₀.₈Fe₀.₂O₃₋δ | H₂, 850 °C | 820                             |     |
|                           |                     | H₂, 800 °C | 595                             | [75]|
|                           |                     | H₂, 750 °C | 400                             |     |
|                          | Sr₂MgMoO₆₋δ         |            |                                 |     |
| 280                      | Bₐ₀.₅Sr₀.₅Co₀.₈Fe₀.₂O₃₋δ | H₂, 800 °C | 660                             | [76]|
| 300                      | SrCo₀.₈Fe₀.₂O₃₋δ     | H₂, 800 °C | 840                             | [77]|
|                           |                     | CH₄, 800 °C | 440                             |     |
| 300                      | Bₐ₀.₅Sr₀.₅Co₀.₈Fe₀.₂O₃₋δ | H₂, 850 °C | 860                             | [40]|
|                           |                     | H₂, 800 °C | 600                             |     |
|                           |                     | CH₄, 850 °C | 605                             |     |
|                           |                     | CH₄, 800 °C | 430                             |     |
|                           |                     | H₂, 850 °C | 830                             |     |
|                           |                     | H₂, 800 °C | 830                             |     |
|                           |                     | H₂, 750 °C | 585                             | [78]|
|                           | SmBaCo₂O₅₋δ         | H₂, 800 °C | 585                             |     |
|                           |                     | H₂, 750 °C | 410                             |     |
| 300                      | Bₐ₀.₅Sr₀.₅Co₀.₈Fe₀.₂O₃₋δ | H₂, 800 °C | 520                             | [79]|
| 1200                     | Sr₂Fe₁.₅Mo₀.₅O₀.₅₋δ | 3% H₂O/H₂, 800 °C | 375 | [80] |
Table 1. Cont.

| Electrolyte Thickness, µm | Cathode Composition | Conditions          | \(P_{\text{max}}\), mW cm\(^{-2}\) | Ref. |
|---------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------|------|
| 30                        | \(\text{La}_{0.8}\text{Sr}_{0.2}\text{MnO}_3\)-YSZ          | \(\text{H}_2\), 850 °C | 667                                | [81] |
| 30                        | \(\text{La}_{0.8}\text{Sr}_{0.2}\text{MnO}_3\)-YSZ          | biogas, 850 °C         | 520                                | [81] |
| 400                       | \(\text{Sr}_2\text{MnMoO}_6\)\(_{\delta}\)/\(\text{NiO-Ce}_{0.8}\text{Sm}_{0.2}\text{O}_2\)\(_{\delta}\) | \(\text{CH}_4\), 800 °C | 245                                | [28] |

In Table 1 the power density values for the traditional Ni-YSZ cermet anodes are also presented. From this comparison one can see that molybdates \(\text{Sr}_2\text{MMoO}_6\)\(_{\delta}\) (M = Ni, Mg, Fe) are not inferior to the traditional anode in terms of power densities. Moreover, in case of the Ni-cermet anodes, the carbon particles formed during hydrocarbon fuel pyrolysis are deposited on the electrode surface that leads to the cell degradation [82]. The problem of coke formation may be solved by incorporating a catalyst (Au, Pd, Ru) into the Ni-based cermet anodes to avoid the conditions of coke formation. Obviously, it will increase the cost of the Ni-cermet anodes, which does not seem to be economically viable. The investigations of carbon deposition behaviors of the Ni-YSZ-based anodes after treatment in biogas [83] and the \(\text{Sr}_2\text{MnMoO}_6\)\(_{\delta}\)/\(\text{NiO-Ce}_{0.8}\text{Sm}_{0.2}\text{O}_2\)\(_{1.9}\) composite in methane [28] illustrate the undoubted advantages of the molybdate-based anodes.

The electrochemical characteristics of anode materials largely depend on their functional properties: stability in a fuel gas environment, electrical conductivity and chemical and thermal compatibility with electrolyte materials. Therefore, in the following sections, the main emphasis will be devoted to summarizing the results achieved for \(\text{Sr}_2\text{NiMoO}_6\)\(_{\delta}\), \(\text{Sr}_2\text{MgMoO}_6\)\(_{\delta}\), \(\text{Sr}_2\text{FeMoO}_6\)\(_{\delta}\) and \(\text{Sr}_2\text{Fe}_{1.5}\text{Mo}_{0.5}\text{O}_6\)\(_{\delta}\).

5. Functional Properties of \(\text{Sr}_2\text{MMoO}_6\) (M = Ni, Mg, Fe)

The present section, aiming at properties of the \(\text{Sr}_2\text{MMoO}_6\)\(_{\delta}\) phases, has the following common structure of description: preparation, crystal features, thermodynamic stability, thermal and electrical properties, and chemical compatibility with the state-of-the-art electrolyte materials.

5.1. \(\text{Sr}_2\text{NiMoO}_6\)\(_{\delta}\)

The double perovskite \(\text{Sr}_2\text{NiMoO}_6\)\(_{\delta}\) has been considered as the basis for promising anode materials, since the corresponding SOFCs show quite high levels of performance (Table 1). This compound can be easily obtained in a single-phase form in air using various techniques, including the easy and simple method of solid state synthesis [71,84–87]. The starting materials of \(\text{SrCO}_3\), \(\text{NiO}\), and \(\text{MoO}_3\) are mixed and ground together over a long period with subsequent annealing. The final annealing temperatures are rather high in the case of solid state synthesis: 1300 °C for 6 h [84], 1250–1350 °C for 12 h [86] or 48 h [71,87].

The most widely used method for synthesizing \(\text{Sr}_2\text{NiMoO}_6\)\(_{\delta}\) is sol-gel technology [24,34,39,75,88,89]. A crystalline hydrate of ammonium heptamolybdate (\(\text{NH}_4\)\(_7\)\(\text{Mo}_7\text{O}_{24}\)·\(4\text{H}_2\text{O}\) is used as an Mo-containing component; together with \(\text{Sr(NO}_3\)\(_2\) and \(\text{Ni(NO}_3\)\(_2\)·\(6\text{H}_2\text{O}\), this is dissolved in water. In some works, strontium carbonate (\(\text{SrCO}_3\)) and nickel oxide (\(\text{NiO}\)) are used instead of nitrates for the dissolution of which nitric acid is required [88]. Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) is added to the prepared solution as a chelating agent and then a pH (~7) is tailored with an aqueous ammonia solution. The resulting mixture is converted into a sol during the heat treatment, and then into a gel, which is subsequently dried and calcined. The calcination is usually carried out with two steps: first at 400 °C to remove organic residues, and then at higher temperatures, which can vary greatly: at 1250 °C for 24 h [24], at 800 °C for 10 h [34], at 1300 °C for 24 h [39] or at 1000 °C for 12 h [88] in air.

The citrate-nitrate method was used in [90] to synthesize the complex oxide \(\text{Sr}_2\text{NiMoO}_6\)\(_{\delta}\); this method consists of the thermolysis of a mixture of nitrates and citric acid, which acts as both a chelating agent and fuel. Thermal treatment was conducted in the same way as in
EDTA. The resulting powder was calcined at 850 °C for 12 h. After the synthesis, the sample contained a small amount of a SrMoO₄ impurity phase (which remained even after sintering in air at 1350 °C for 12 h).

In work [91], the authors used the lyophilization of an aqueous solution of cations to obtain Sr₂NiMoO₆δ. Sr(NO₃)₂ and Ni(NO₃)₂·6H₂O were dissolved in water, while MoO₃ was dissolved in dilute nitric acid, with EDTA and ammonia added subsequently. The resulting solution was frozen dropwise to liquid nitrogen. The frozen drops were dehydrated by vacuum sublimation in a freeze dryer for 2 days until an amorphous state was formed. It was then heated three times: at 300 °C to burn organic residue, at 800 °C to remove carbon-containing particles and at 1200 °C for 1 h until crystallization was achieved.

The crystal lattice of Sr₂NiMoO₆δ is described within the framework of a tetragonal system with the space group of I4/m [71,87,88,90–92] and lattice parameters of a = 5.540 Å, c = 7.890 Å [71,87,88]. This compound is characterized by the presence of a second-order transition from the tetragonal I4/m to the cubic Fm3m structure when temperature increases. This transition is associated with the rotation of the NiO₆ and MoO₆ octahedra (Figure 3) and takes place at a temperature of 235 °C [92], 250 °C [91] or 277 °C [87].

The stability of Sr₂NiMoO₆δ under reducing conditions was studied in [24,34,91]. According to X-ray diffraction (XRD) data [34], this material is single phase after sintering at 1200 °C in a forming 5%H₂/N₂ gas (here and below, the volume units are used). However, energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy analysis showed the presence of metallic nickel nanoparticles. The authors of [24] have shown that Sr₂NiMoO₆δ decomposes in an atmosphere of 5%H₂/Ar above 800 °C. This is in agreement with other data [91], which show the complete decomposition of Sr₂NiMoO₆δ into Sr₃MoO₆, SrMoO₃, SrMoO₄ and Ni after prolonged treatment of the double perovskite in an atmosphere of 5%H₂/Ar (Figure 5). In pure CO₂, double perovskite is destroyed at 600 °C; in this case, the formation of SrCO₃ and SrMoO₄ impurity phases occurs [91].

![Figure 5. XRD patterns recorded for Sr₂NiMoO₆δ calcined in 5%H₂/Ar at different temperatures for 24 h. Reproduced with permission [91]. Copyright 2013, Elsevier.](image-url)
structure until the formation of metallic Ni-particles and unstable “Sr$_2$MoO$_6$” residue that decomposes into a number of more simple molybdates.

The thermal expansion coefficients of Sr$_2$NiMoO$_{6-\delta}$ in air (Table 2) are in agreement with similar parameters for LSGM materials (11.4 $\times$ 10$^{-6}$ K$^{-1}$ for LSGM [93–95]).

Table 2. Thermal expansion coefficients of Sr$_2$NiMoO$_{6-\delta}$ in air. These data are also presented in Figure A1.

| Temperature Range, °C | $\alpha$ $\times$ 10$^6$, K$^{-1}$ | Ref. |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|------|
| 27–950                | 12.1                             | [75] |
| 30–575                | 12.4                             | [92] |
| 575–1100              | 14.0                             | [92] |
| 20–1300               | 12.9                             | [93] |

The values of the electrical conductivity of Sr$_2$NiMoO$_{6-\delta}$ (Table 3) are low enough for practical use in SOFCs. The high conductivity achieved in [75] is probably associated with the formation of highly conductive impurity phases (Ni and SrMoO$_3$ in pure hydrogen at 850 °C).

Table 3. Conductivity of Sr$_2$NiMoO$_{6-\delta}$. These data are also presented in Figure A2.

| Measuring Conditions | $\sigma$, S cm$^{-1}$ | Ref. |
|---------------------|-----------------------|------|
| 5%H$_2$/Ar, 800 °C  | 0.1                   | [24] |
| H$_2$, 800 °C       | 1.1                   | [24] |
| CH$_4$, 800 °C      | 1.1                   | [24] |
| H$_2$, 800 °C       | 1.6                   | [39] |
| H$_2$, 850 °C       | 49                    | [75] |
| pO$_2$ = 1 $\times$ 10$^{-6}$ Pa, 600 °C | 7 $\times$ 10$^{-4}$ | [88] |

The revealed varieties in structure, stability and functional properties of the same material (Sr$_2$NiMoO$_{6-\delta}$) can be explained by its pre-history. As shown in works [25,84], the synthesis methods of the Sr$_2$MMoO$_{6-\delta}$ compounds determine their phase compositions, crystal structures, microstructural morphologies, and physico-chemical properties; in particular, electrical transport properties and thermodynamic stability can be considerably varied. This comes from the fact that the preparation pathways and the synthesis/sintering conditions of Sr$_2$MMoO$_{6-\delta}$ determine the content of Mo$^{5+}$ ions (Equation (18)) in the obtained phases [75]. As a result, a high content of Mo$^{5+}$ ions in Sr$_2$MMoO$_{6-\delta}$ governs stability of the final oxides in reducing atmospheres [25] and high values of electrical conductivity [75]. Therefore, when characterizing the structure and properties of Sr$_2$MMoO$_{6-\delta}$, their preparation details should be thoroughly analysed.

Proposing Sr$_2$NiMoO$_{6-\delta}$ as an alternative anode material, most authors recommend using it in combination with LSGM [24,75], CGO [34] or CSO [39] electrolytes. However, the temperature of sintering the anode suspension to the LSGM electrolyte should not exceed 1000 °C. On the contrary, they interact chemically with each other [91], leading to the formation of poorly conducting impurity phases, LaSrGaO$_4$ and SrLaGa$_3$O$_7$ (Figure 6). Sr$_2$NiMoO$_{6-\delta}$ does not react with oxide-based materials (CGO and CSO) even at 1200 °C; therefore, CGO and CSO can also be used as protective layers between the LSGM electrolyte and the anode, formed at temperatures above 1000 °C. According to [82,91,96], the double perovskite molybdates interact with YSZ electrolytes with the formation of SrMoO$_4$ (at temperatures above 800 °C) and SrZrO$_3$ (at temperatures above 1000 °C) phases. For this reason, Sr$_2$MMoO$_6$ cannot be considered as anode material for high-temperature SOFCs.
The chemical stability of Sr$_2$NiMoO$_6$-$\delta$ in hydrocarbon fuels has been insufficiently discussed in the literature. Some works indicate that this material is unstable in a methane atmosphere and in conditions containing sulfur. In [39], it was reported that this perovskite is unstable in a 0.1\%H$_2$S/H$_2$ environment, even at 650 °C (Figure 7). In addition, with an increase in temperature in this medium, the grain boundaries of the sintered Sr$_2$NiMoO$_6$-$\delta$ ceramic were covered with needles and filamentous inclusions, which may be related to metal sulfide(s). Upon testing the SOFC, the Sr$_2$NiMoO$_6$-$\delta$ anode was identified to be chemically unstable when methane CH$_4$ was supplied as a fuel [34]. In addition, an undesirable carbonization reaction (Equation (11)) was also detected.

5.2. Sr$_2$MgMoO$_6$-$\delta$

There is not a single comprehensive study on the properties of the Sr$_2$MgMoO$_6$-$\delta$ oxide. Separate works have been devoted to either electric transport or thermal properties or the design and testing of a fuel cell. The results obtained are quite distinct, which can be explained by the conditions of synthesis and the subsequent sintering of the material, which affects the phase composition, density, microstructure, and consequently other properties.
As Sr$_2$NiMoO$_{6-δ}$, Sr$_2$MgMoO$_{6-δ}$ can be obtained through different synthesis methods: solid state synthesis [19,97–103], solution methods (including sol-gel technology using citric acid [24,37,45,72,104–107] and EDTA [20,76,77,108,109]), the combustion method using glycine as a fuel and complexing agent [110,111] and the freeze-drying method [88,108]. The main disadvantage of this compound is its non-single phase after synthesis in air (Figure 8). Therefore, in almost all works, Sr$_2$MgMoO$_{6-δ}$ was additionally treated in 5%H$_2$/inert gas (Ar or N$_2$) at elevated temperatures. The temperature and exposure time varied from 1000 °C to 1300 °C and from 10 to 40 h, respectively. Sr$_2$MgMoO$_{6-δ}$ was obtained in a single-phase form in air only in [104] after annealing at 1450 °C for 10 h and in [111] at a certain fuel and oxidizer ratio with final annealing at 1000 °C for 6 h.

![Figure 8. XRD patterns recorded for Sr$_2$MgMoO$_{6-δ}$ calcined in air at different exposure times. Reproduced with permission [98]. Copyright 2017, John Wiley & Sons.](image)

It should also be noted that Sr$_2$MgMoO$_{6-δ}$ does not decompose (in contrast to Sr$_2$NiMoO$_{6-δ}$) in H$_2$-containing atmospheres at high temperatures. However, it is also unstable in a CO$_2$ environment at 600 °C [91].

Discussing the crystal structure of Sr$_2$MgMoO$_{6-δ}$, the compound can exhibit cubic (Fm3m [89]), tetragonal (I4/m [19,72,97,98,106,112,113]), monoclinic (P2 [37], P21/n [77,109]) or triclinic I-1 [101,103,104,108,111] crystal structures, depending on the synthesis methods. The triclinic structure was proved by neutron diffraction analysis [101]. According to [108], the cell parameters for the triclinic Sr$_2$MgMoO$_{6-δ}$ at room temperature were: $a = 5.5702$ Å, $b = 5.5709$ Å, $c = 7.9228$ Å, $α = 89.96^\circ$, $β = 90.01^\circ$, $γ = 90.00^\circ$. The phase transitions of Sr$_2$MgMoO$_{6-δ}$ were studied in [72,108]. It was reported that this material undergoes a structural phase transition from the tetragonal (I4/m) to the cubic (Fm3m) structure at 300 °C [72], or from the triclinic (I-1) to the cubic (Fm3m) structure with cubic cell parameter $a = 7.9308$ Å at 250 °C [108].

Tables 4 and 5 list electrical and thermomechanical properties of Sr$_2$MgMoO$_{6-δ}$. The functional properties of this compound are closely dependent on the preparation method and the reduction degree. An acceptable value of electrical conductivity was obtained in [100] when synthesizing the sample via solid state synthesis, followed by firing in 5%H$_2$/N$_2$ in two stages, both at 1300 °C for 4 h. The lower conductivity values obtained in [77,97,104,113] might be associated with the fact that Sr$_2$MgMoO$_{6-δ}$ was subject to reduction at temperatures from 800 °C to 1200 °C. These conditions probably lead to the incomplete reduction of the molybdate; as a consequence, an insignificant concentration of Mo$^{5+}$-ions was achieved (Equation (18)).
Table 4. Thermal expansion coefficients of Sr$_2$MgMoO$_6$-δ in air. These data are also presented in Figure A1.

| Temperature Range, °C | $\alpha \cdot 10^6$, K$^{-1}$ | Ref. |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------|------|
| 109–360               | 11.7                          | [77] |
| 360–800               | 12.7                          | [77] |
| 25–800                | 15.1                          | [100]|
| 50–1300               | 12.9                          | [104]|
| n/a                   | 13.6                          | [113]|

Table 5. Conductivity of Sr$_2$MgMoO$_6$-δ. These data are also presented in Figure A2.

| Measuring Conditions | $\sigma$, S cm$^{-1}$ | Ref. |
|----------------------|------------------------|------|
| pO$_2$ = 10$^{-24}$, 800 °C | 3.5                    | [18] |
| 5%H$_2$/Ar, 800 °C    | 9.5                    | [45] |
| 5%H$_2$/Ar, 800 °C    | 4                      | [77] |
| H$_2$, 800 °C         | 10                     | [77] |
| 5%H$_2$/Ar, 800 °C    | 0.07                   | [97] |
| 5%H$_2$/N$_2$, 800 °C | 50                     | [100]|
| 5%H$_2$/Ar, 900 °C    | 0.5                    | [104]|
| 5%H$_2$/Ar, 800 °C    | 1.5                    | [113]|

The thermal behavior for Sr$_2$MgMoO$_6$-δ was studied only in air, despite the fact that this material was synthesized in a hydrogen atmosphere and that it becomes non-single phase under oxidizing conditions. More precisely, the impurity phases of SrMoO$_4$ and Sr$_3$MoO$_6$ are formed along with the target Sr$_2$MgMoO$_6$-δ compound after its synthesis in an air atmosphere [98]. Although Mg-ions exhibit very high chemical stability due to the constant oxidation state (+2), they cannot compensate for an excess of the lattice oxygen upon the oxidation of Sr$_2$MgMoO$_6$-δ, leading to phase decomposition.

The thermal compatibility of Sr$_2$MgMoO$_6$-δ with an LSGM electrolyte was studied in [99]. It was found that an insignificant interaction between these components begins after annealing at 700 °C (Figure 9). In this regard, a protective layer of doped ceria must be applied between the anode and the electrolyte when designing SOFCs. However, in most of the works devoted to the testing of SOFCs, no protective layers were used [76,77].

Figure 9. XRD patterns recorded for mixtures of Sr$_2$MgMoO$_6$-δ and LSGM calcined in air at different temperatures. Reproduced with permission [99]. Copyright 2018, The Ceramic Society of Japan.

Particular attention has been paid to Sr$_2$MgMoO$_6$-δ due to its good catalytic properties in the oxidation of hydrocarbons and acceptable tolerance with regards to carbonization.
and sulfur poisoning. For example, Sr$_2$MgMo$_{1-x}$V$_x$O$_{6-\delta}$ ($x = 0–0.2$) materials were verified in catalytic tests in biogas [45]. Two types of mixtures were fed into a reactor at temperatures of 300–600 °C: 6% CH$_4$, 6% O$_2$, 4% CO$_2$, balanced with N$_2$ and 6% CH$_4$, 6% O$_2$, 4% CO$_2$, 1% H$_2$S balanced with N$_2$. After isothermal holding, CO$_2$, H$_2$O and a small amount of SO$_2$ were detected as reaction products for the reaction mixture with 1% H$_2$S; the methane conversion rate reached 50% (Figure 10). According to the calculation of the sulfur balance, apart from sulfur oxide SO$_2$ and hydrogen sulfide H$_2$S, no compounds were formed, which proves the stability of Sr$_2$MgMoO$_{6-\delta}$ with regards to sulfur poisoning. The phase stability of Sr$_2$MgMoO$_{6-\delta}$ in a 10%C$_4$H$_4$/Ar medium was proved using the XRD analysis [109]: no traces of carbon were found during testing this sample in comparison to an Ni-GDC ceramic taken as a blank sample.

![Figure 10. Temperature dependence of the conversion degree of biogas in the case of using Sr$_2$MgMoO$_{6-\delta}$ (SMM) or Sr$_2$MgMo$_{0.95}$V$_{0.05}$O$_{6-\delta}$ (SMMV0.05): 1–6% CH$_4$, 6% O$_2$, 4% CO$_2$, 84 % N$_2$; 2–6% CH$_4$, 6% O$_2$, 4% CO$_2$, 83 % N$_2$, 1% H$_2$S. Reproduced with permission [45]. Copyright 2015, Elsevier.](image)

The effect of sulfur poisoning on the SOFC performance of a fuel cell with a Sr$_2$MgMoO$_{6-\delta}$ anode was studied in [37]. After feeding a mixture containing 100 ppm H$_2$S in H$_2$ at 800 °C for 90 h, the anode material remained in its single phase, but the performance of the SOFC decreased, which was attributed to the accumulation of sulfur on the buffer layer. The high sulfur tolerance of Sr$_2$MgMoO$_{6-\delta}$ was also reported in other works [77,113,114].

5.3. Sr$_2$FeMoO$_{6-\delta}$

Iron-containing molybdates have attracted increased attention due to the presence of Fe-Mo pairs, in which a mixed valence is characteristic of both the molybdenum (Mo$^{5+}$/Mo$^{6+}$) and iron (Fe$^{2+}$/Fe$^{3+}$) ions. This peculiarity causes high electron transport. For example, the complex Sr$_2$FeMoO$_{6-\delta}$ oxide, having a metallic type of conductivity (Figure 11), is very different from the other double perovskites. The conductivity values for a given compound in a reducing atmosphere vary in a wide range, from 100 to 300 S cm$^{-1}$ at 800 °C [18,115].
The Sr$_2$FeMoO$_{6-\delta}$ complex oxide can be prepared in the same manner as the previous Ni- and Mg-containing systems. Sr$_2$FeMoO$_{6-\delta}$ was synthesized by solid state synthesis in [18,19,78]. A mixture of the starting reagents (SrCO$_3$, Fe$_2$O$_3$, and MoO$_3$) was first calcined in air and then in a 5%H$_2$/Ar gas at 1100 °C for 10 h [78], 30 h [18] or at 1250 °C for 12 h [18]. Solution methods were used in [40,47,114–117]. (NH$_4$)$_6$Mo$_7$O$_{24}$·4H$_2$O, Sr(NO$_3$)$_2$ and Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$·9H$_2$O were used as starting salts, which were dissolved in water. EDTA, citric acid and ammonia were added in sequence. After the evaporation of the resulting solution and spontaneous combustion, the obtained powder was annealed first at low temperatures (300–400 °C) to remove organic components and then at higher temperatures. The final heat treatment was carried out in 5%H$_2$/Ar at 1100 °C for 20 h [40], 24 h [116] or 2 h [47]. In [117] the finishing powder was heat-treated in air and atmosphere of 5%H$_2$/N$_2$ for 3 h at 800 °C. Similar to the double perovskite Sr$_2$MgMoO$_{6-\delta}$, the complex Sr$_2$FeMoO$_{6-\delta}$ oxide is highly stable in a hydrogen atmosphere, while in air it tends to decompose. According to [116,118] the Sr$_2$FeMoO$_{6-\delta}$ oxide remains a single phase in the pO$_2$ range 10$^{-12}$–10$^{-14}$ atm. Chemical stability of Sr$_2$FeMoO$_{6-\delta}$ as a representative of a Sr$_2$Fe$_{1-x}$Mo$_x$O$_{6-\delta}$ family [116,118,119] is caused by the existence of two redox active elements showing a variety in their oxidation states (+2, +3 and +4 for iron and +5 and +6 for molybdenum). In reducing atmospheres, these cations exist in reduced states (Fe$^{+2}$, Fe$^{+3}$, Mo$^{+5}$, Mo$^{+6}$), adjusting the oxygen content below 6.0 (i.e., $\delta$ > 0). In atmospheres with high oxygen partial pressures, the content of oxidized cations (Fe$^{+5}$, Fe$^{+4}$, Mo$^{+6}$) increase, leading to unstable over-stoichiometry products decomposed until the formation of a SrMoO$_4$ impurity.

The crystal structure of Sr$_2$FeMoO$_{6-\delta}$ is described by tetragonal symmetry with the space group I4/m [19,78,113], P4/mmm [116], or I4/mmm [47]. Manasa et al. [115] reported that this material has a cubic unit cell (sp. gr. Fm3m) after reduction and a tetragonal unit cell (sp. gr. I4/m) after annealing in air. The parameters for the tetragonal structure were refined in [116]: $a = b = 5.575$ Å, $c = 7.907$ Å; and in [47]: $a = b = 5.564$ Å, $c = 7.888$ Å. Possessing high electrical conductivity (nearly 100 S cm$^{-1}$ in the temperature range 25–827 °C), the double Sr$_2$FeMoO$_{6-\delta}$ perovskite also demonstrates quite acceptable TEC values (13.7(4)·10$^{-6}$ K$^{-1}$) in N$_2$ and 5%H$_2$/Ar atmospheres [40,78,116]. However, its thermal behavior in air remains unstudied.

Research on the stability of the Sr$_2$FeMoO$_{6-\delta}$ anode material in hydrocarbon fuel was carried out in [40,78,114]. It was shown that an SOFC consisting of Sr$_2$FeMoO$_{6-\delta}$ | La$_{0.8}$Sr$_{0.2}$Ga$_{0.83}$Mg$_{0.17}$O$_{3-\delta}$ | Ba$_{0.5}$Sr$_{0.5}$Co$_{0.8}$Fe$_{0.2}$O$_{3-\delta}$ operated stably when methane was supplied at 850 °C, and the maximal specific power densities decreased only by 5% after the 20th cycle [40]. The catalytic activity of Sr$_2$FeMoO$_{6-\delta}$ during methane oxidation with oxygen was investigated in [35,47]. It was found that methane is completely oxidized according to Reaction (7) at a high conversion rate, reaching 50% at 750 °C [35]. In cases of partial oxidation of the anode material in hydrocarbon fuel was carried out in [40,78]. The catalytic activity of Sr$_2$FeMoO$_{6-\delta}$ during methane oxidation with oxygen was investigated in [35,47]. It was found that methane is completely oxidized according to Reaction (7) at a high conversion rate, reaching 50% at 750 °C [35].
to Reaction (7) at a high conversion rate, reaching 50% at 750 °C [35]. In cases of partial oxidation (reaction when a CH₄/O₂ mixture is fed in a 1:1 ratio), the methane is completely oxidized according to Equation (10), while the conversion rate reaches 50% at 750 °C [35]. In cases of partial oxidation (Equation (8)) when using a mixture of CH₄/O₂ at a ratio of 2:1, a maximal conversion degree of 36.6% was achieved at 900 °C with a corresponding CO selectivity of 97.2% (Figure 12) [47].

![Figure 12. Catalytic activity of Sr₂FeMoO₆–δ. Reproduced with permission [47]. Copyright 2018, John Wiley & Sons.](image)

5.4. Sr₂Fe₁.5Mo₀.5O₆–δ

The most studied iron-containing molybdate is Sr₂Fe₁.5Mo₀.5O₆–δ with an oxygen nonstoichiometry level (δ) of 0.10 [116]. Oxygen vacancies in this material are mainly transported through the Fe-O-Fe bonds instead of the Mo-O-Fe and Mo-O-Mo bonds; at the same time, the Fe-O bonds are relatively weak, which contributes to a high level of oxygen conductivity. This material has attracted significant attention due to its stability in both oxidizing and reducing atmospheres, as well as the possibility of using it not only as an anode, but also as a cathode [120–122].

In most works, Sr₂Fe₁.5Mo₀.5O₆–δ was synthesized by dissolving the initial salts ((NH₄)₆Mo₇O₄·4H₂O, Sr(NO₃)₂ and Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O) in water, adding glycine and citric acid and annealing the precursors obtained after spontaneous combustion at 950–1100 °C in air [21,80,122–132]. This material was also obtained via solid state synthesis in [102,133,134].

The double perovskite Sr₂Fe₁.5Mo₀.5O₆–δ has a cubic face-centered (Fm3m) [80,127,133,135,136] or primitive (Pm3m) [21,91,122] crystal structure after sintering in air. The lattice parameters (a = b = c) calculated within the Fm3m space group are 7.852 Å [127], 7.860 Å [80], 7.845 Å [135], 7.349 Å [136], 7.843 Å [133]; for the Pm3m space group, they are equal to 3.928 Å [21]. A tetragonal structure with an I4/mcm space group was proved by neutron diffraction analysis in [137]; when Sr₂Fe₁.5Mo₀.5O₆–δ was heated in 5%H₂/Ar, it underwent phase transformations into a cubic system (sp. gr. Pm3m). However, it reverted to a tetragonal system after cooling. It is interesting that in the structure of the Sr₂Fe₁.5Mo₀.5O₆–δ perovskite, the iron and molybdenum atoms in a ratio of 3:1 are distributed randomly: iron-molybdenum ordering stops. Perhaps this is the reason why Sr₂Fe₁.5Mo₀.5O₆–δ differs strongly from other double perovskites in terms of its properties, as it is no longer a double perovskite but a simple one and should be rather formulated SrFe₀.75Mo₀.25O₃–δ/2.

When analyzing the electrical transport properties of Sr₂Fe₁.5Mo₀.5O₆–δ, different authors have produced quite distinct results. The electrical conductivity values vary from 9 to 310 S cm⁻¹ in hydrogen and from 10 to 550 S cm⁻¹ in air (Figure 13, Table 6). One of the requirements for anode materials [50] is their high electronic conductivity for effective electrical connectivity with interconnectors. From Tables 3 and 5 and Figure 13a, it can be concluded that the electrical conductivity of the Sr₂M₂O₆ (M = Ni, Mg, Fe) molybdates...
are below the required values (10 S cm$^{-1}$) in reducing atmospheres at low temperatures; therefore, they can be used for intermediate-temperature SOFCs.

![Figure 13](image-url) Conductivity values of the Sr$_2$Fe$_{1.5}$Mo$_{0.5}$O$_6$–δ ceramic in reducing (a) and oxidizing (b) atmospheres.

**Table 6.** Conductivity of Sr$_2$Fe$_{1.5}$Mo$_{0.5}$O$_6$–δ. These data are also presented in Figure A2.

| Measuring Conditions | $\sigma$, S cm$^{-1}$ | Ref. |
|----------------------|-----------------------|------|
| H$_2$, 800 °C        | 9                     | [78] |
| Air, 800 °C          | 13                    | [80] |
| Air, 800 °C          | 10                    | [98] |
| H$_2$, 800 °C        | 16                    | [125]|
| Air, 800 °C          | 17                    | [126]|
| H$_2$ (3 % H$_2$O), 800 °C | 13              | [127]|
| H$_2$, 780 °C        | 310                   | [135]|
| Air, 780 °C          | 550                   | [135]|
| H$_2$, 800 °C        | 41                    | [136]|

A similar disagreement also occurs when considering the thermal properties (Table 7): some authors gave TEC values calculated over the entire temperature range, while others identified several sections in the dilatometric curve with different slopes. It should be noted that the TEC values are rather high compared to electrolyte materials, which could be a significant drawback from application viewpoints.

**Table 7.** Thermal expansion coefficients of Sr$_2$Fe$_{1.5}$Mo$_{0.5}$O$_6$–δ in air. These data are also presented in Figure A1.

| Temperature Range, °C | $\alpha 10^6$, K$^{-1}$ | Ref. |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|------|
| 200–760               | 14.5                     | [122]|
| 760–1200              | 21.4                     | [122]|
| 200–1200              | 18.1                     | [122]|
| 40–350                | 11.6                     | [123]|
| 500–800               | 18.6                     | [123]|
| 40–950                | 16.3                     | [124]|
| 50–450                | 12.8                     | [126]|
| 650–900               | 20.2                     | [126]|

The chemical stability of Sr$_2$Fe$_{1.5}$Mo$_{0.5}$O$_6$–δ in various atmospheres has been widely studied. According to the data from the literature, this is stable in a hydrogen atmosphere even after annealing at 1000 °C for 24 h [91,135] and in pure CO$_2$ at 800 °C [91]. However, there is a significant drawback: the sample is unstable in humid atmospheres at low temperatures. The authors of [128] noted that this double perovskite easily reacts with water to form strontium hydroxide Sr(OH)$_2$, decomposing into Fe$_3$O$_4$, SrMoO$_4$ and SrO$_2$ (Figure 14). It was noted that upon repeated heating to 800 °C, the formed impurities...
could again react with each other, forming Sr$_2$Fe$_{1.5}$Mo$_{0.5}$O$_{6-\delta}$. This behavior is extremely undesirable for the real application of this molybdate in SOFCs, since the corresponding anode can decompose in a humid environment when heated or cooled, causing possible mechanical stress between the anode and electrolyte.

![XRD patterns of Sr$_2$Fe$_{1.5}$Mo$_{0.5}$O$_{6-\delta}$ before and after its treatment in a boiling water.](image)

**Figure 14.** XRD patterns of Sr$_2$Fe$_{1.5}$Mo$_{0.5}$O$_{6-\delta}$ before and after its treatment in a boiling water. Reproduced with permission [128]. Copyright 2013, Elsevier.

The chemical compatibility of Sr$_2$Fe$_{1.5}$Mo$_{0.5}$O$_{6-\delta}$ with state-of-the-art electrolyte materials was studied in detail in [91]. It was found that Sr$_2$Fe$_{1.5}$Mo$_{0.5}$O$_{6-\delta}$ does not react with Ce$_{0.8}$Gd$_{0.2}$O$_{2-\delta}$ even at 1200 °C; interaction with the YSZ electrolyte begins at 1000 °C. The study of chemical compatibility with LSGM is difficult because the diffraction peaks of the two phases overlap. However, a noticeable shift in their position was not observed at different sintering temperatures, and no impurity phases were found (Figure 15).

![XRD patterns of different mixtures at room temperature and after high-temperature treatment: Sr$_2$Fe$_{1.5}$Mo$_{0.5}$O$_{6-\delta}$ and YSZ (a), Sr$_2$Fe$_{1.5}$Mo$_{0.5}$O$_{6-\delta}$ and LSGM (b) Sr$_2$Fe$_{1.5}$Mo$_{0.5}$O$_{6-\delta}$ and GDC (c).](image)

**Figure 15.** XRD patterns of different mixtures at room temperature and after high-temperature treatment: Sr$_2$Fe$_{1.5}$Mo$_{0.5}$O$_{6-\delta}$ and YSZ (a), Sr$_2$Fe$_{1.5}$Mo$_{0.5}$O$_{6-\delta}$ and LSGM (b) Sr$_2$Fe$_{1.5}$Mo$_{0.5}$O$_{6-\delta}$ and GDC (c). Reproduced with permission [91]. Copyright 2013, Elsevier.
The tolerance of the Sr$_2$Fe$_{1.5}$Mo$_{0.5}$O$_{6-δ}$ phase to a fuel containing sulfur was investigated in [21,46,137,138]. In work [46], during testing of an SOFC based on the Sr$_2$Fe$_{1.5}$Mo$_{0.5}$O$_{6-δ}$-Ce$_{0.9}$Gd$_{0.1}$O$_{2-δ}$ composite anode (Sr$_2$Fe$_{1.5}$Mo$_{0.5}$O$_{6-δ}$-Ce$_{0.9}$Gd$_{0.1}$O$_{2-δ}$ | Ce$_{0.9}$ Gd$_{0.1}$O$_{2-δ}$ | La$_{0.6}$Sr$_{0.4}$Co$_{0.2}$Fe$_{0.8}$O$_{3-δ}$), it was found that after adding 50 ppm H$_2$S to hydrogen, the power densities decreased over the first 46 h due to sulfur poisoning and the formation of a needle-like iron sulfide structure. However, over the next 300 h of operations, power density stabilized, which may be associated with the established equilibrium between the formation and removal of sulfides in the form of sulfur oxide SO$_2$. Similar results were obtained in [21]: when testing a symmetrical SOFC (Sr$_2$Fe$_{1.5}$Mo$_{0.5}$O$_{6-δ}$ | LSGM | Sr$_2$Fe$_{1.5}$ Mo$_{0.5}$O$_{6-δ}$, SFM | LSGM | SFM), its power characteristics decreased by 10% after replacing pure H$_2$ with 100 ppm H$_2$S; however, after electrode regeneration in air at 800 °C, the power densities reached their initial values (Figure 16).

With the direct supply of methane to the same symmetric cell, power density deterioration was also registered. However, the power density stabilized after annealing in air at 800 °C (Figure 16). This effect was attributed to the formation of carbon by Reaction (11), which subsequently burns in oxygen at high temperatures. In conclusion, the authors noted that Sr$_2$Fe$_{1.5}$Mo$_{0.5}$O$_{6-δ}$ is a highly promising candidate.

6. Conclusions

According to the literature overview, double perovskites (Sr$_2$MMoO$_{6-δ}$, where M = Ni, Mg, Fe) are promising materials for use as fuel electrodes in SOFCs. More precisely, some of them demonstrate a high tolerance for sulfur poisoning and carbonization. However, the optimal compositions have not yet been identified for undoped double molybdates, which is due to the difficulty of achieving the required set of target properties. For example, some complex oxides are stable in oxidizing conditions (which are realized during the joint sintering of SOFCs), but decompose in reducing atmospheres (in which anodes must operate effectively). Others, in contrast, exhibit a single phase form in a reduced state, but become a multiphase system when in oxidizing conditions, which, as a rule, leads to the degradation of many functional properties. It is evident that optimization strategies rest on the further modification of Sr$_2$MMoO$_{6-δ}$ using single or multi-doping (see examples in Table 8). Although the effects of such doping were not considered within the present review, this work gives basic data on the natural properties of Sr$_2$MMoO$_{6-δ}$, acting a starting point for designing modernized double perovskite molybdate derivatives for energy conversion and electrochemical purposes.
Table 8. Doping strategies performed for tailoring the functional properties of Sr$_2$MMoO$_{6-δ}$.

| System                  | Concentration, x | Ref.          |
|-------------------------|------------------|---------------|
| Sr$_2$NiMoO$_{6-δ}$     |                  |               |
| Sr$_2$Ce$_{x}$NiMoO$_{6-δ}$ | x ≤ 0.02       | [139]         |
| Sr$_2$Fe$_{x}$NiMoO$_{6-δ}$ | 0 ≤ x ≤ 0.05   | [140]         |
| Sr$_2$Mn$_{x}$NiMoO$_{6-δ}$ | 0 ≤ x ≤ 0.05   | [90]          |
| Sr$_2$La$_{x}$NiMoO$_{6-δ}$ | 0 ≤ x ≤ 0.1    | [141]         |
| Sr$_2$Ba$_{x}$NiMoO$_{6-δ}$ | 0 ≤ x ≤ 1      | [142]         |
| Sr$_2$Ni$_{1-x}$Mg$_x$MoO$_{6-δ}$ | 0 ≤ x ≤ 0.25 | [143-147]     |
| Sr$_2$Ni$_1-x$Mg$_x$MoO$_{6-δ}$ | x = 0.3        | [148]         |
| Sr$_2$Ni$_1-x$Mg$_x$MoO$_{6-δ}$ | 0 ≤ x ≤ 0.75   | [149-151]     |
| Sr$_2$Ni$_1-x$Mg$_x$MoO$_{6-δ}$ | 0 ≤ x ≤ 1      | [25]          |
| Sr$_2$Ni$_1-x$Mg$_x$MoO$_{6-δ}$ | 0 ≤ x ≤ 1      | [142]         |
| Sr$_2$MgMoO$_{6-δ}$     | x = 0.1         | [110,152,153] |
| Sr$_2$Mg$_{1-x}$Co$_x$O$_{6-δ}$ | x = 0.1        | [110,152,153] |
| Sr$_2$Mg$_{1-x}$Ni$_{1-x}$O$_{6-δ}$ | x = 0.1      | [110,152-154] |
| Sr$_2$Ca$_{1-x}$MgMoO$_{6-δ}$ | 0 ≤ x ≤ 0.5   | [113]         |
| Sr$_2$Fe$_{1-x}$Mo$_x$O$_{6-δ}$ | x = 1/3        | [155]         |
| Sr$_2$Fe$_{1-x}$Mg$_x$O$_{6-δ}$ | 0 ≤ x ≤ 1      | [156]         |
| Sr$_2$Fe$_{1-x}$Mg$_x$O$_{6-δ}$ | 0 ≤ x ≤ 0.05   | [157]         |
| Sr$_2$Fe$_{1-x}$Mg$_x$O$_{6-δ}$ | 0 ≤ x ≤ 1      | [120,158]     |
| Sr$_2$Fe$_{1-x}$Mg$_x$O$_{6-δ}$ | 0 ≤ x ≤ 2      | [120,159]     |
| Sr$_2$Fe$_{1.5-x}$Cu$_x$Mo$_{1.5}$O$_{6-δ}$ | 0 ≤ x ≤ 0.3   | [160]         |
| Sr$_2$Fe$_{1.5-x}$Ni$_x$Mo$_{1.5}$O$_{6-δ}$ | 0 ≤ x ≤ 0.4   | [123,161,162] |
| Sr$_2$Fe$_{1.5-x}$Ga$_x$Mo$_{1.5}$O$_{6-δ}$ | x = 0.2       | [163]         |
| Sr$_2$Fe$_{1.5-x}$Co$_x$Mo$_{1.5}$O$_{6-δ}$ | x = 0.2       | [164]         |
| Sr$_2$Fe$_{1.5-x}$Co$_x$Mo$_{1.5}$O$_{6-δ}$ | 0 ≤ x ≤ 1.0   | [126]         |
| Sr$_2$Fe$_{1.5-x}$Ni$_x$Mo$_{1.5}$O$_{6-δ}$ | x = 0.1       | [80]          |
| Sr$_2$Fe$_{1.5-x}$Mn$_x$Mo$_{1.5}$O$_{6-δ}$ | x = 0.1       | [165]         |
| Sr$_2$Fe$_{1.5-x}$Mn$_x$Mo$_{1.5}$O$_{6-δ}$ | 0 ≤ x ≤ 0.1   | [166]         |
| Sr$_2$Fe$_{1.5-x}$Mn$_x$Mo$_{1.5}$O$_{6-δ}$ | x = 0.1       | [167]         |
| Sr$_2$Fe$_{1.5-x}$Mn$_x$Mo$_{1.5}$O$_{6-δ}$ | x = 0.05      | [168]         |
| Sr$_2$La$_{1-x}$Fe$_{1.5}$Mo$_{1.5}$O$_{6-δ}$ | x = 0.5       | [169,170]     |
| Sr$_2$Ca$_{1-x}$Fe$_{1.5}$Mo$_{1.5}$O$_{6-δ}$ | 0 ≤ x ≤ 0.6   | [171]         |
| Sr$_2$Fe$_{1.5-x}$Mn$_{1-x}$Cl$_x$ | 0 ≤ x ≤ 0.4   | [172]         |
| Sr$_2$Fe$_{1.5-x}$Mn$_{1-x}$Cl$_x$ | 0 ≤ x ≤ 0.3   | [173]         |
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Appendix A

Figure A1. Thermal expansion coefficients ($\alpha$) of Sr$_2$MMoO$_{6-\delta}$ materials in air. Visualization of Tables 2, 4 and 7. The $\alpha$ values were calculated within entire- (●), low- (■) and high- (▼) temperature ranges. The ► marker indicates that the data are not applicable.

Figure A2. Total conductivity of Sr$_2$MMoO$_{6-\delta}$ materials in reducing (●), pO$_2$-intermediate (■) and oxidizing (▼) atmospheres. Visualization of Tables 3, 5 and 6.
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