An in vitro potency assay using nicotinic acetylcholine receptor binding works well with antivenoms against Bungarus candidus and Naja naja
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In order to facilitate/expedite the production of effective and affordable snake antivenoms, a novel in vitro potency assay was previously developed. The assay is based on an antiserum’s ability to bind to postsynaptic neurotoxin (PSNT) and thereby inhibit the PSNT binding to the nicotinic acetylcholine receptor (nAChR). The assay was shown to work well with antiserum against Thai Naja kaouthia which produces predominantly the lethal PSNTs. In this work, the assay is demonstrated to work well with antiserum/antivenom against Bungarus candidus (BC), which also produces lethal presynaptic neurotoxins, as well as antivenom against Sri Lankan Naja naja (NN), which produces an abundance of cytotoxins. The in vitro and in vivo median effective ratios (ER50s) for various batches of antisera against BC showed a correlation ($R^2$) of 0.8922 ($p < 0.001$) while the corresponding value for the anti-NN antivenom was $R^2 = 0.7898$ ($p < 0.01$). These results, together with the known toxin profiles of various genera of elapids, suggest that this in vitro assay could be used with antisera against other species of Bungarus and Naja and possibly other neurotoxic snake venoms worldwide. The assay should significantly save numerous lives of mice and accelerate production of life-saving antivenoms.

Snake envenomation is an important yet neglected medical problem in various developing countries with an estimated annual envenomation world wide of about 5.5 million cases1,2. Effective and affordable antivenoms (AV) which are the mainstay for treatment remain unavailable in several parts of the world while research efforts are undertaken to solve this problem3. In the development and production of an AV, an important step involves the in vivo assay to evaluate the potency of the produced antiserum/antivenom. The standard murine lethality neutralizing assay is considered by WHO as an essential AV potency assay. This assay is used to find first, the median lethal dose (LD50) that determines the lethality of the venom, and the median effective dose (ED50) of the AV4,5. The assay is expensive, laborious and, due to biological variation, often give highly variable results. In addition, some murine lethality results might not be consistent with the relevant efficacy outcomes in humans6,7.

Because of these reasons, various in vitro assays have been developed to reduce or replace the murine lethality assay. The most widely used assay is based mainly on ELISA8–10 but some of these assays have often been shown to give poor correlation with the in vivo assay11,12. Moreover, the antigen-antibody ‘binding’ reaction of ELISA may not result in the ‘neutralization’ of the antigen, and therefore alternative in vitro assay should be developed.
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Recently, a novel in vitro assay using solubilized, purified nicotinic acetylcholine receptor (nAChR) binding has been developed for AV potency assay against the Thai cobra Naja kaouthia13. This elapid snake produces mainly postsynaptic neurotoxins (PSNT) which binds specifically and with high affinity to the nAChR at the muscle endplate and thereby inhibits the neuro–muscular transmission causing death in animals. The in vitro assay therefore closely mimics the toxicological reactions in vivo; and the in vivo and in vitro potency assays showed a correlation $R^2 = 0.9807$, $p < 0.0001$. The assay should also work well with AVs against elapids in the genera other than Naja which produce mainly or exclusively post-synaptic toxins (PSNTs) e.g., King cobra, Ophiophagus hannah14.

However, snakes in the genus Bungarus (kraits) also produce, in addition to PSNTs, the lethal presynaptic neurotoxins (β-neurotoxins) which in elapids, belong to the group 1 phospholipase A<sub>2</sub> enzymes. These toxins do not bind to nAChR but react with receptors on the membrane of the motor nerve terminals which contain the acetylcholine vesicles. The toxins hydrolyze the phospholipids of the plasma membrane, resulting in the loss of synaptic vesicles in the nerve terminal. Eventually the nerve terminals degenerate with the failure of the neuro–muscular transmission15. The LD<sub>50</sub> of the β-neurotoxins is about 10 ng/g<sup>16</sup> which is considerable lower than that of the PSNT (0.18 μg/g mouse)<sup>17</sup>. It is therefore conceivable that in the case of some Bungarus venoms, death could be caused, at least in part, by the β-neurotoxins; but this effect would not be measured by the nAChR binding of the in vitro potency assay. Consequently the developed in vitro assay might not be useful for assay of AV against Bungarus spp.

Another interesting case is the Naja naja (Indian cobra) which is a WHO category 1 medically most important elapid in India, Pakistan and Sri Lanka. Envenomation by this snake resulted in muscle weakness and death by respiratory failure which is likely the effect of the venom PSNTs. Interestingly, the venom of the Sri Lankan snake was shown by proteomics study to contain 71.55% of cytotoxins (cardiotoxins)<sup>18</sup>. These three finger toxins (3FTs) cause severe local tissue necrosis in most (88%) of the victims<sup>18</sup> and could possibly contribute to the venom lethality. It is therefore interesting to investigate whether the developed in vitro nAChR binding assay could be used for potency assay of AV against this cobra.

We report here that the in vitro nAChR binding assay, when used in the potency determinations of AVs against Bungarus candidus (Thailand) and Naja naja (Sri Lanka), gave high correlation with the corresponding in vivo murine lethality neutralization assays.

**Results**

**Studies on the optimal conditions of the in vitro AV potency assay.** The optimal concentrations of NK3, nAChR, rat anti-nAChR antibody and goat-anti-rat HRP conjugate used in the assay. The optimal concentrations of these four reagents used in the in vitro potency assay were described in a previous study<sup>13</sup>. The optimal concentration of NK3 for coating the plates was 15 μg/ml, and 0.707 μg/ml of nAChR for binding to the NK3 coated plate. Rat anti-nAChR serum and goat anti-rat-IgG conjugated HRP were used at 1:1600 dilution and 1:4500 dilution, respectively.

Inhibition of nAChR binding to NK3-coated plate by B. candidus or N. naja venom. Crude B. candidus and N. naja venoms were separately used to determine the 50% inhibition of the nAChR binding (in vitro IC<sub>50</sub>). In the first step, various concentrations of crude B. candidus (or N. naja) venom and the purified nAChR (0.707 μg/ml) were incubated for 1 h at 25°C. After the incubation, the solution was added to the NK3 coated plates. The concentration of the venom that blocked the binding of nAChR by 50% was the IC<sub>50</sub>. The results (Fig. 1) showed that the IC<sub>50</sub> of B. candidus and N. naja venoms were 0.1625 ± 0.0172 μg/ml and 0.4067 ± 0.0292 μg/ml, respectively.

Neutralization of B. candidus or N. naja venom by horse monospecific antisera against B. candidus or by Vins antivenom against N. naja as determined by nAChR binding to the NK3-coated plate. Nine horse monovalent anti-B. candidus sera were 2-fold diluted from 1:500 to 1:5,12,000. These diluted sera of different horses were 2-fold diluted from 1:500 to 1:512,000. These diluted sera of different horses were then added to the NK3-coated plates. The nAChR binding to the plate was read at OD<sub>450nm</sub> and the results which
were expressed as % nAChR binding are shown in Fig. 2A. The corresponding results for the N. naja venom by Vins anti-N. naja antivenom are shown in Fig. 2B.

The in vitro and in vivo ER50s of the horse anti-N. naja antivenom are shown in Table 2. The correlation between the in vitro ER50s and the in vivo ER50s of the anti-N. naja antivenom as shown in Fig. 3B was R² = 0.7898 (p < 0.01).

Discussion
The in vivo potency assay is an important step in antivenom development and production. Because of the complexity of the snake venoms, which contain various diverse pharmacologically active proteins, different pre-clinical assays are needed to test antivenom efficacy against the various components. The gold standard of antivenom efficacy tests is the murine lethality neutralization assay, which is the only 'Essential test' included in the WHO Guidelines on Antivenoms for Production, Control and Regulation of Snake Antivenom.

Table 1. In vitro and in vivo ER50s of horse anti-B. candidus sera. Bc-A to Bc-I were different horse anti-B. candidus sera.
Immunoglobulins. Depending on the pharmacological profile of a given venom, several other supplementary 'Recommended tests' have been proposed e.g., neutralization assays of hemorrhagic, nephrotoxic, myotoxic, neurotoxic, edema-forming, dermonecrotic and measurement of in vitro coagulant and defibrinogenating activities. However, these assays, especially the 'Essential' murine lethality neutralization assay, which involves the killing a large number of animals, are faced with various experimental, ethical, religious and regulatory difficulties. Consequently, many in vitro assays have been developed, mostly based on ELISA formats, to be used in place of, or to reduce the use of, in vivo murine assays. However, because ELISA involves the binding of antivenom antibodies to venom proteins whose toxicity might not be neutralized, results of these in vitro assays usually show poor correlation with results from the murine lethality neutralization assay. We have recently developed a novel in vitro assay based on nAChR binding to determine the potency of antivenom against Naja kaouthia, an elapid that produces, almost exclusively, postsynaptic neurotoxins that are responsible for death in victims. This assay estimates the binding of venom postsynaptic neurotoxins to nAChR, which is the toxicological reaction involved with these toxins. 
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Figure 3. The correlation between the in vitro and in vivo ER50s of the anti-B. candidus sera (A) and of the anti-N. naja antivenom (B).

| Number | AV against N. naja venom sample | Vins AV concentration factor | In vitro ER50 ± SD (µg venom/µl AV) | In vivo ER50 (µg venom/µl AV) |
|--------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1      | Nn-A                           | 3x                          | 17.9322 ± 4.3182                     | 3.06 (2.01–4.66)              |
| 2      | Nn-B                           | 2.5x                        | 10.5775 ± 2.5381                     | 2.78 (1.83–4.23)              |
| 3      | Nn-C                           | 1.75x                       | 10.2668 ± 3.3805                     | 1.75 (1.15–2.66)              |
| 4      | Nn-D                           | 1.25x                       | 7.6916 ± 1.3811                      | 1.39 (0.91–2.11)              |
| 5      | Nn-E                           | 1x                          | 9.4299 ± 1.2930                      | 0.99 (0.65–1.51)              |
| 6      | Nn-F                           | 0.75x                       | 7.2445 ± 1.8840                      | 0.75 (0.49–1.14)              |
| 7      | Nn-G                           | 0.5x                        | 2.7484 ± 0.5063                      | 0.53 (0.35–0.80)              |
| 8      | Nn-H                           | 0.25x                       | 1.9976 ± 0.5124                      | 0.26 (0.17–0.40)              |
| 9      | Nn-I                           | 0.125x                      | 1.2335 ± 0.4311                      | ND                            |

Table 2. In vitro and in vivo ER50s of horse anti-N. naja antivenom. *Nn-A to Nn-I were Vins horse antivenom against N naja at different dilutions. *1 × represents the AV concentration when one ampoule of AV was reconstituted in 10 ml of distilled water giving a protein concentration of 69.87 mg/ml. ND: Not determined (antivenom volume used is higher than the maximum indicated volume for mice injection which is 250 µl). 
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in the lethal effects of cobra envenomation. In this study, it is shown that the *in vitro* assay should be suitable for the evaluation of horse antiserum against *Bungarus candidus*, which produces clinically relevant lethal presynaptic neurotoxins (α-neurotoxins) in addition to PSNT. Moreover, the assay could also be used to evaluate commercial P(ab)₂ antisera against *Naja naja* (Sri Lanka), which produces very high levels of cytotoxins in its venom⁶⁻⁷.

*Bungarus candidus*, also known as the Malayan krait, is a WHO Category 1 medically important snake in Southeast Asian countries. The venom of this snake contains α-neurotoxins that are highly lethal, in addition to PSNTs⁹⁻¹⁰. These toxins are also produced by several other krait species. They have molecular weights (MW) ranging from 18–22 kDa and are heterodimers with one subunit exhibiting phospholipase A₂ activity²¹. They do not bind to nAChR; however, they cause neuromuscular blockade leading to death by respiratory failure⁶. The venom of *B. candidus*, (Thailand), contains about 26.9% long and short 3FT of subtype PSNT and about 13.5% of β-neurotoxins (CH Tan, unpublished data).

In the present study, it was shown that the *in vitro* NAcHR binding potency assay of antiserum against *B. candidus* venom was highly correlated with the murine *in vivo* lethality neutralization assay results (R² = 0.8922, p < 0.001). Since the *in vitro* assay measures postsynaptic neurotoxin binding, and therefore does not detect the clinically important binding of β-neurotoxin to nAChR, it is of interest to know the reason behind the observed high correlation between the results of this assay and those of the *in vivo* lethality neutralization assay when evaluating *B. candidus* antiserum. This observation may be due to the experimental conditions used for the *in vivo* lethality neutralization assay described in WHO Guidelines⁴. When the mice were challenged with 5xLD₅₀ of the venom, the smaller (7–8 kD) faster acting postsynaptic neurotoxin rapidly inhibits the NAcHR at the muscle endplate resulting in neuromuscular blockade and death in mice. The β-neurotoxin, which is larger (18–22 kD) and slower acting, has to undergo a number of steps before finally depleting the acetylcholine store of the synaptic vesicles to completely inhibit the neuromuscular transmission. Thus, in the lethality assay (LD₅₀ determination), death was likely due to the action of postsynaptic neurotoxins before the action of β-neurotoxins took effect. In the *in vivo* ED₅₀ determination, when the antiserum antibodies were added to react with the venom, the antibodies most likely neutralized both the postsynaptic and β-neurotoxins. This is because the β-neurotoxins are larger and have a more complex chemical structure than the postsynaptic neurotoxins. Consequently, the β-neurotoxin should be more immunogenic and have more antibodies against it in the antiserum. Thus, under the *in vivo* lethality neutralization assay, postsynaptic neurotoxin plays the major role in determining the lethality neutralization activity. Therefore, the high correlation between the two assays could be due to the fact that both the *in vivo* and *in vitro* assays primarily measured the activity of the postsynaptic neurotoxins. If this is in fact the case, then the murine lethality neutralization assay, when applied to *B. candidus* antivenom, is another example of an antivenom potency test where the clinically relevant toxin in human envenomisation is not measured⁶⁻⁷.

Thus, in the development and production of antivenom against *B. candidus*, it may be necessary to perform an additional ‘neurotoxicity neutralization assay’ to determine the neutralization activity for the β-neurotoxin in the venom. This can be done according to the WHO ‘Recommended antivenom efficacy test’ guidelines, which involve the use of isolated chick biventer cervicis nerve-muscle preparations and/or mouse hemidiaphragm phrenic nerve preparations⁵. This *ex vivo* assay should also shed light on the inhibition of β-neurotoxin in the present *in vivo* assay. However, the tests are difficult to perform, require costly equipment and expert technological help and are unlikely to be practicable for most laboratories. Because of the technical difficulty involved in the neurotoxicity assay, WHO Guidelines⁴ concluded that the murine lethality neutralization assay is a reliable assay in predicting the neutralization of neurotoxicity of venoms.

*Naja naja*, i.e. the Indian cobra, is also a WHO Category 1 medically important snake in South Asia. The percent abundances of α-neurotoxins were only 4.8% and 8.91% in *N. naja* (India) and *N. naja* (Sri Lanka) venoms, respectively, while the cytotoxins were the most abundant venom 3FT subtype in both *N. naja* (India) (69.34%) and *N. naja* (Sri Lanka) (71.55%)⁸. The cytotoxins, also known as cardiotoxins, are polypeptides with a MW of about 6–7 kDa, and are found only in cobra venom²². At lower concentrations, cardiotoxins increase the heart rate and, at higher concentrations, kill the animal by inducing cardiac arrest²³. Thus the abundant cytotoxins in *N. naja* venom might contribute to lethality in the *in vivo* assay. Since the *in vivo* NAcHR assay estimates only the NAcHR binding of the α-neurotoxins, it is possible that this difference could affect the correlation between the *in vivo* and *in vitro* potency assays and limit the usefulness of the assay for *N. naja* antivenom. However, it was shown in the present study that for the antivenom against *N. naja* (Sri Lanka), a good correlation between the *in vitro* NAcHR-PSNT binding activity and the *in vivo* murine lethality neutralization activity was obtained. The reason behind this observation could be that the presence of a large amount of cytotoxins in the venom did not affect the results of the *in vitro* NAcHR-PSNT binding assay since the assay has been shown to be specific to PSNT and not to cytotoxins⁴. Furthermore, the results suggested that death is mainly the result of the α-neurotoxins since α-neurotoxins are about 10 times more toxic, with an LD₅₀ of ca. 0.1 µg/g in mice while that of the cytotoxins is about 1.0–1.5 µg/g⁴.⁷.⁸

The observations that the *in vitro* potency assay based on NAcHR-PSNT binding could be used to evaluate antivenoms against *B. candidus* and *N. naja* may have important implications for the use of this assay to assess antivenoms against other elapids. For example, *Micrurus nigrocinctus*, the Central American coral snake, is a medically important snake which has been shown in proteomics studies to contain a variety of toxins. These included several isoforms of short-chain α-neurotoxins as well as muscarinic-like toxins and proteins with similarity to long-chain α-2 bungarotoxine while the α-neurotoxins predominated²⁶⁻²⁷. Alape-Giron et al.²⁸ have shown that various venom proteins of *M. nigrocinctus* specifically bind to the *Torpedo* spp. acetylcholine receptor while the majority of PLA₂ fractions exhibit low lethality. The predominant effects of the *M. nigrocinctus* venom are neurotoxicity and myotoxicity with death from the venom to be due to respiratory failure caused by the α-neurotoxins. The α-neurotoxins of this venom play a leading role in the lethality of this venom²⁷,²⁸. Thus, it is highly likely that the present *in vitro* assay could give high correlation with the *in vivo* murine lethality neutralization assay, and could be used for antivenom potency assays for this snake.
Another interesting group of elapids are the Dendroaspis spp. For example, Dendroaspis polylepis, an African elapid known as the black mamba, is an extremely deadly snake. The venom of this snake contains postsynaptic neurotoxins and also dendrotoxins, which are unique to the genus Dendroaspis. Dendrotoxins are Kunitz-type protease inhibitors. They block the voltage-dependent potassium channels resulting in the facilitation of the release of neurotransmitters at the presynaptic nerve terminal. The α-neurotoxins in Dendroaspis polylepis venom are the most toxic proteins in the venom, followed by dendrotoxins. Death due to black mamba envenomation is caused mainly by the postsynaptic neurotoxins, while the less toxic dendrotoxins probably play a secondary role in the lethal action of the venom. Based on this information, it is quite likely that the present in vitro potency assay will be applicable to the antiserum against D. polylepis as well. A similar conclusion could probably be made with Hydrophis schistosus (sea snake) and Laticauda colubrina (sea krait) that produce mainly short-chain and long-chain α-neurotoxins, respectively, which have been shown to cause paralysis and death in animals. If these predictions are shown to be the case experimentally, the assay is likely to be useful for potency assays of antivenoms against most elapids of the world.

The Vins antivenom against N. naja used in this study was a F(ab′)2 antivenom. ‘Pre-incubation 1’ of the N. naja venom with the F(ab′)2 antibody was followed by ultrafiltration using a membrane with a MWCO of 50kD, instead of the customary 100 kD membrane. This was done to remove the bound and free F(ab′)2 antibody, which has a molecular weight of about 100 kDa. The ability to use the F(ab′)2, as well as IgG antibody in the in vitro assay is more advantageous compared to ELISA. In ELISA where a secondary antibody is used, different signal intensity will be obtained when the first antibody is a F(ab′)2, or an IgG. Of the two, use of an IgG will result in more secondary antibody binding (to the Fc portion) resulting in the generation of a relatively stronger color signal. In ELISA potency assays, where some samples consisted of IgG and some with F(ab′)2, antivenoms, comparison between the results may not be possible due to the above consideration. However, this difference in the antibody chemical structures should not be a problem in the present nAChR-PSNT binding assay.

An interesting aspect of this study was that the Vins antivenom is polyclonal against 2 vipers and 2 elapids. Therefore, the antivenom also contained F(ab′)2 antibody against the viper proteins but the ‘heterologous’ antibody did not seem to interfere with the nAChR assay as shown by the good correlation result observed. This in vitro assay, unlike most ELISA, which employ crude venoms as the antigens and thus often show cross reactivity of the heterologous antibodies with other venom proteins, gave more precise results as would be expected from the highly specific binding of α-neurotoxin to nAChR.

The present in vitro potency assay should be very useful in the development and production of effective antivenoms against elapid snakes which, in various countries, are the most medically important cause of snakebite morbidity and mortality. In the development of new antivenoms, whether traditional serum based or synthetic, potency assays of the products have to be carried out. The lethality neutralization assay is an essential pre-clinical test for an antivenom. The present in vitro assay could be used to reduce, or in some cases replace, the in vivo assay during AV development. Even with the final antivenom product, which must be assayed using the murine lethality assay, the in vitro assay could be employed in dose range determination and consequently could reduce the use of mice in this trial and error process.

The nAChR-PSNT binding assay is simple, less variable, less time-consuming and less expensive and could facilitate the development of effective and wide-paraspecific ‘universal’ antivenoms. This would ultimately reduce the mortality and morbidity of snake envenomation, which occurs mostly in poor countries/regions of the world.

Materials and Methods

The venom of Bungarus candidus which was from a pool of several adult snakes of Thai origin, and the horse monovalent antisera against B. candidus were purchased from Queen Soavabha Memorial Institute (QSMI). Lymphophilized Naja naja (Sri Lanka) milked from a large pool of adult snakes was obtained from Dr C. Ariaranee. The polyclonal equine lymphophilized F(ab′)2 antivenom was from Vins Bioproduct Limited, Hyderabad, India; it was prepared against saw-scaled viper (Echis carinatus), Indian cobra (N. naja), Russell’s viper (Daboia russellii) and common krait (Bungarus caeruleus), Batch no. 01AS12041, Exp date 03/2018. Naja kaouthia postsynaptic toxin 3 (NK3) was purified according to Karlsson et al., All other reagents were from Sigma Chemical, St Louis, Missouri, unless stated otherwise. nAChR from Torpedo californica electroplaque was purified and the anti-nAChR antisera was generated in rats as described by Ratanabanakoon et al.

**In vivo** neutralizing activities of horse monospecific antisera against B. candidus venom and F(ab′)2 antivenom against N. naja venom. The median lethal doses (LD50) of B. candidus and N. naja venoms, were determined by intravenous route as described previously.

Neutralization of lethality in mice was carried out according to Tan et al., with slight modification from Ramos-Cerrillo et al. Briefly, a challenge dose (higher than LD100) of the venom constituting 5×LD50 for B. candidus (or 2×LD50 for N. naja) in 50μl saline was pre-incubated at 37°C for 30 min with varying dilutions of the horse antisera/antivenom in normal saline, to give a total volume of 250μl.

The venom-antisera or venom-antivenom mixture was then injected into the caudal vein of mice (n=4–5, 20–25 g). Food and water were provided ad libitum. The results (dead/alive) after 12, 24, 36 and 48 h were recorded. The median effective dose (ED50) was determined as the volume of antisera (μl) that protected 50% of the challenged mice tested. The **in vivo** median effective ratios (ER50) of the antisera and antivenom were also calculated as described by Morais et al.

**The in vitro neutralization potency assays of antisera against B. candidus and Vins antivenom against N. naja using nAChR-PSNT binding assay.** Optimal concentrations/conditions of nAChR, rat...
anti-nAChR antibody and goat-anti-rat HRP conjugate used in binding to NK3 coated plate. The format of the basic assay for binding of purified nAChR to the NK3 immobilized microtiter plate was performed as described previously by Ratanabanangkoon et al. Optimal concentrations of NK3, nAChR, rat anti-nAChR antibody and goat-anti-rat-HRP conjugate were established and used in the experiments that followed. The IC50 values were reported as mean ± standard deviation based on findings from three independent experiments.

Inhibition of nAChR binding to the NK3 coated plate by B. candidus or N. naja venom. The ability of B. candidus and N. naja venoms to individually inhibit the binding of nAChR to NK3 coated plate were expressed as IC50 (venom concentration inhibiting 50% of the nAChR binding or the median inhibitory concentration). In this experiment, B. candidus or N. naja venom at various concentrations were pre-incubated (25 °C, 1 hr) with a fixed and optimal concentration of nAChR. The mixture was then added to the NK3-coated plate and incubated at 25 °C for 1 h. This was followed by additions of rat anti-nAChR serum at 1:1,600 dilution and incubated at 25 °C for 1 h. Thereafter, 1:4,500 diluted goat-anti-rat-HRP conjugate (Abcam®) was added and the mixture was incubated for 60 min at 25 °C. A parallel experiment, in which purified NK3 was used as the reference standard in place of the venom, was carried out. The concentration of the venom used in the pre-incubation experiment that blocked 50% of the nAChR binding to the immobilized NK3 was the IC50 of that venom.

Inhibition of the B. candidus or N. naja venom binding to nAChR by horse antisera against B. candidus or Vins F(ab')2, antivenom against N. naja. The horse antiserum/antivenom potency (in vitro ED50) was determined using the above described format. Varying amounts of horse sera (0.94 nl – 0.96 µl) were pre-incubated with a fixed amount (5xIC50) of B. candidus venom for 1.5 hr at 37 °C in a total volume of 480 µl. This was called ‘Pre-incubation 1’. The antibody-toxin complexes together with the free antibodies were removed from the mixture by filtration through a 100 kDa MWCO ultrafiltration membrane (Amicon®). The free venom PSNTs and β-neurotoxins in the filtrates (126 µl) were then allowed to react with an optimal amount of nAChR (14 µl) at 25 °C for 1 hr; this was called ‘Pre-incubation 2’. The mixtures were transferred to the NK3 coated microtiter wells, followed by the rat anti-nAChR antibody, goat-anti-rat HRP conjugate, etc. The reaction products were then measured. Background control consisted of wells containing non-immune horse serum instead of antiserum.

For the N. naja venom and Vins F(ab')2 antivenom pair, similar and parallel experiment was carried out. In this case, 3xIC50 of crude N. naja venom was incubated with various dilutions of the Vins antivenom and the reaction mixture from the ‘Pre-incubation 1’ was ultrafiltered using a 50 kDa MWCO membrane (Amicon®). The filtrate was then incubated with nAChR in the ‘Pre-incubation 2’ and processed as described above.

The percentage of nAChR binding was calculated from the following equation:

\[
\frac{\% \text{nAChR binding}}{= \frac{(\text{OD sample} - \text{OD Ag control}) \times 100}{(\text{ODmax} - \text{OD Ag control})}}
\]

‘OD max’ were the binding of nAChR (optimal amount) without pre-incubation with the venom or antiserum.

‘OD Ag control’ were the binding of nAChR after pre-incubation with 5xIC50 of B. candidus venom (or 3xIC50 of N. naja venom) and without antiserum/antivenom in ‘Pre-incubation 1’.

‘OD sample’ were the binding of nAChR to the NK3 immobilized plate after the nAChR (optimal amount) was pre-incubated with filtrate from ‘Pre-incubation 1’.

The dose–response curves of horse sera volumes versus percent of nAChR binding were then constructed. The in vitro neutralizing activities (ED50s) were the volumes of horse antiserum at which the nAChR binding was blocked by 50 percent compared to wells incubated with non-immune horse sera in place of antiserum. The in vitro median effective ratio, ER50, represented µg venom/µl antiserum that inhibited 50% of nAChR binding was calculated.

Similar manipulation and calculations were carried out with the results obtained from the Vins F(ab')2 antivenom and N. naja venom pair.

Ethics approval. The protocol of animal study on mice followed the Council for International Organizations of Medical Sciences (CIOMS) guidelines and was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of the University of Malaya (Ethical clearance No. 2014–09–11/PHAR/R/TCH).

Miscellaneous procedures. The concentration of protein was determined as described by Lowry et al.42 and by BCA Protein assay Kit (PierceTM) using bovine serum albumin as the standard. GraphPad Prism 5.0 program was used to determine the IC50 and ED50 values. Linear regression with GraphPad Prism 5.0 software was employed in the correlation analysis. Briefly, the correlation coefficient R was determined from the linear regression model, and R2 is the square of the correlation coefficient. An R2 of 0.8–1.0 indicates that the regression line fits well the data in correlation. The statistical significance of the correlation test was set at p < 0.05.
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