Interaction between cognitive status, fear of falling, and balance in elderly persons
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OBJECTIVE: Evaluate the cognitive function and its relationship with balance, history of falls, and fear of falling in the elderly.

METHODS: We evaluated 250 elderly persons aged at least 60 years, who answered a sociodemographic questionnaire about the occurrence of falls in the last year. The cognitive function, balance, and fear of falling were assessed using the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE), Berg Balance Scale (BBS), and Falls Efficacy Scale (FES-I) scores, respectively. Participants were allocated into two groups based on the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) score, the Group with Possible Cognitive Decline (GPCD) and the group with no cognitive decline (GNCD). We performed Student's t-test and Pearson's correlation for independent samples.

RESULTS: The Group with Possible Cognitive Decline (GPCD) showed lower balance (p=0.003) and greater fear of falling (p=0.008) (BBS=50.98 ± 4.68; FES-I=26.06 ± 8.78) compared to the GNCD (BBS=52.53 ± 3.047; FES-I=23.21 ± 7.74).

CONCLUSION: Elderly persons with cognitive decline have lower balance, greater fear of falling, and greater recurrence of falls.

KEYWORDS: Postural Balance; Accidental Falls; Elderly; Cognition.

INTRODUCTION

Globally, there has been an increase in life expectancy with each population census, and this trend exists in Brazil as well. According to data recently published by the Brazilian Institute of Geography and Statistics-IBGE, 11.7% of the Brazilian population is aged at least 60 years, and this proportion is expected to double to 23.5% by 2039 (1).

Aging is a dynamic process that varies from one individual to another, and is characterized by a decline in physiological functions with decrease in the adaptation capacity in situation of functional overload (2).

The alterations that occur in the physical adaptation of the elderly include the loss of muscle strength and resistance, reduction in the range of motion, and gait modifications (3). Furthermore, nervous system changes may occur during the process of physiological aging. This predisposes the elderly to cognitive impairment, which is defined as the loss of the accuracy of skills such as language, memory, planning and execution of tasks, attention, and perception (2,4).

With the changes caused by aging, it becomes more difficult to main balance because the motor function involves an interaction of information from the visual, vestibular, and proprioceptive systems that are integrated in the central nervous system (CNS) (5). Thus, there is a decline in the postural control function, leading to greater motor variability, typically reflected in increased pressure center oscillation (6). Thus, these functional changes could predispose the elderly to balance disorders (7) and increase the tendency to fall.

Several studies (8-10) have been conducted in an attempt to understand the factors that are related to the increased propensity of falls and reduced balance, as well as their relationship with cognitive decline in the elderly. However, most of these studies were conducted on elderly residents in long-term care institutions, and studies conducted on community-based elderly residents remain scarce (11).

Given the above reasons, the present study aimed to evaluate the level of cognition and its relationship with balance, history of falls, and fear of falling in elderly individuals living in the city of Uruguaiana - RS, Brazil.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This was a cross-sectional, comparative, quantitative, and descriptive study. It was approved by the Human Ethics Committee of Pontificia Universidade Católica do Rio Grande
Variables and outcomes

In the present study, we investigated the following sociodemographic variables level of education, gender, age, income level, number of children, and marital status. These data were collected through a semi-structured questionnaire.

The following anthropometric parameters were investigated: weight, height, body mass index, waist circumference, hip circumference, and waist/hip ratio.

Participants were also asked about the occurrence and number of falls in the last 12 months.

For balance evaluation, we used the BBS, which measures the balance of individuals while practicing functional tasks. The scale has 14 tasks with the scores ranging from 0 to 4 points for each task, and giving a total score value from 0 to 56 points. Individuals who attain a score of 56 have a lower risk of falls compared to those who score below 56. The decrease in BBS score is associated with an increased risk of falls. In the ranges of 56 to 54 and 54 to 46, a one-point change in the BBS score is associated with a 3-4% and 6-8% increase in risk of falls, respectively (12,13).

The FES-I was to assess the fear of falling in the elderly during the performance of various daily activities. The scale is composed of 16 questions, each having a score from 1 to 4. Thus, the total score ranges from 16 to 64 points, where 16 and 64 points correspond, respectively, to the absence of concern and extreme concern for falls while performing activities (14,15).

The MMSE, also known as the Mini-Mental, was used to screen for cognitive function decline, being one of the most used because it is quick to apply (about 10 min) and does not require specific materials. This instrument evaluates various domains such as: temporal and spatial orientation, immediate and recall memory, calculus, language-naming, repetition, comprehension, writing and drawing (16).

The MMSE score ranges from a minimum of 0 point, which indicates the highest degree of cognitive impairment, to a maximum of 30 points, which correspond to the best cognitive ability (12). The present study adopted the cutoff point (17) according to the level of education. If the score was below the expected level of education, the individual was classified as having a probable cognitive deficit. Probably cognitive impairment was defined as scores < 19, < 23, < 24, and < 28 points for individuals who were illiterate, with 1 to 3 years of schooling, with 4 to 7 years of schooling, and with more than 7 years of schooling, respectively (13).

Data collection

Data were collected in Unipampa, Serviço Social do Comércio-SESC Uruguaiana, and in three basic health units in the municipality of Uruguaiana, from July 2013 to March 2018. Prior to the collections, the researchers were trained in order to standardize the application of the data collecting instruments.

First, personal and sociodemographic data were collected, followed by anthropometric data, then the history of falls and fractures. Thereafter, the MMSE, BBS, and the FES-I were applied.

Statistical analysis

The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences software version 20.0 was used for statistical analysis. The volunteers were assigned into two groups according to the MMSE score. The elderly who scored below the expected level of education were allocated to a GPCD, whereas those who obtained the same or higher than the expected score were allocated to the GNCD. For independent samples, Student’s t-test was used to determine a significant difference between the BBS score, FES-I score and MMSE score in participants with and without cognitive decline. The chi-square test was used to compare categorical variables. Additionally, Pearson’s correlation coefficient (r) was used to quantify the relationship between the three scores. For this, values of r=0.10 to 0.30, r=0.40 to 0.60, and r=0.70 to 1 were considered weak, moderate, and strong, respectively. In all statistical tests, a significance level of p < 0.05 was adopted.

■ RESULTS

The final study sample was composed of 250 participants, with an age range of 60-86 years and a mean age of 69.37 ± 6.42 years. The GPCD and GNCD were composed of 134 participants (53.6%) with a mean age of 69.62 ± 6.77 years, and 116 participants (46.4%) with a mean age of 69.60 ± 6.01 years, respectively. Table 1 shows the demographic and socioeconomic data, number of falls in the last year, and the frequency of falls in the GPCD and GNCD.

There was no statistically significant difference between the groups, regarding anthropometric variables including body mass index (p=0.423), waist circumference (p=0.494), hip circumference (p=0.350), and relationship between waist/hip ratio (p=0.591).

We found a weak correlation between the BBS and MMSE, BBS and FES-I, and MMSE and FES-I scores, with Pearson’s correlation coefficients of -0.232, -0.219 and -0.137, respectively. These were different from zero at p < 0.001. The coefficients of determination between balance and cognitive performance, and between balance and fear of falling were 0.138 and 0.086, respectively, indicating that 13.8% of the variability in the BBS score could be attributed to the MMSE score, whereas 8.6% of the BBS score variability could be attributed to the FES-I score (Table 2).

Table 3 shows the MMSE, BBS, and FES-I scores of the GPCD and GNCD. The difference in mean BBS and MMSE scores in the GNCD was greater than that in the GPCD. On the other hand, the FES-I score was lower in the GNCD compared to that in the GPCD. These differences were statistically significant.

Table 4 shows the domains specified in the MMSE in the overall sample, and in the GPCD and GNCD.

■ DISCUSSION

In this exploratory research, we analyzed the associations of cognitive decline with balance changes and falls in
community-dwelling elderly persons. This study is, therefore, relevant as the number of elderly persons is increasing worldwide, and cognitive and balance changes are highly prevalent, negatively affecting the quality of life of this segment of the population.

Our study showed that elderly persons in the GPCD had a greater risk of falls and fear of falling compared with those in the GNCD. The literature suggests that elderly persons with cognitive decline are five times more likely to experience falls than those without cognitive decline (18), since the main cognitive functions that contribute to postural control and balance maintenance are memory, attention, and orientation (19).

When comparing MMSE domains to cognitive decline, we noticed that the calculation of items presented the most alterations. The cognitive deficits usually observed during the aging process are the forgetfulness of recent events, difficulty in performing calculations, alterations in the ability to adopt non-risky attitudes in attentiveness, decreased concentration and reasoning, and slowness when performing fine motor activities (20).

In the present study, the average study time was 5.5 ± 3.5 years, suggesting an association with the MMSE score, as the elderly persons may show more interest and motivation for some of the activities analyzed. In a systematic review (21) on the use of MMSE in the Brazilian population, the average education level was 5.37 ± 2.17 years, which corroborates the findings of the present study. In Brazil, several studies (22,23) have suggested adjustments in the cutoff points that could consider levels of education that are more appropriate to the reality of our country. The Brazilian culture has a high socio-demographic diversity, a fact that cannot be overlooked, due to the high incidence of people with low education and illiteracy.

When we analyzed individuals who experienced falls in the last 12 months, there was no significant difference compared to the GPCD, suggesting that the motor and functional capacity of the individuals was not yet compromised, since most of them could perform their activities independently. However, there was a significant difference in the number of falls and their recurrences between the groups.

There are approximately 400 different types of falls and risk factors (24). Implicit to a fall, we can find the combination between dysfunctions of systems and organs and the performance of external tasks (25).

It was found that elderly persons experience significant balance changes in both the GPCD and GNCD. Balance changes may be associated with dysfunctions of neural and osteoarticular systems that help maintain postural control (25). Thus, the sensory system plays a fundamental role in balance. In addition, the association of visual, proprioceptive, and vestibular information is fundamental in generating

### Table 1 - Demographic and socioeconomic characteristics of the GPCD and GNCD.

| Variable          | GPCD       | GNCD       | p  |
|-------------------|------------|------------|----|
| Gender Male/Female| 32/102     | 35/81      | 0.316|
| Age (years)       | 69.65 ± 6.77 | 69.05 ± 6.01 | 0.465|
| Marital status    |            |            |    |
| Married           | 57         | 46         |    |
| Divorced          | 7          | 8          |    |
| Single            | 15         | 19         |    |
| Widow(er)         | 55         | 43         |    |
| Education (years) | 5.5 ± 3.5  | 5.15 ± 3.06 | 0.133|
| Income month      |            |            |    |
| 1 minimum wage    | 84         | 64         |    |
| ≥ 3 minimum wage  | 23         | 26         |    |
| Without income    | 9          | 10         |    |
| Did not answer    | 18         | 13         |    |
| Falls             |            |            |    |
| No                | 81         | 74         | 0.601|
| Yes               | 52         | 41         |    |
| Frequency of falls in the last 12 months. | | | |
| 1 to 2 falls      | 38         | 37         | 0.037*|
| ≥ 3 falls         | 14         | 4          |    |

Abbreviations: GPCD, Group with possible cognitive decline; GNCD, Group with no cognitive decline. In the gender and falls variables, we applied the chi-square test for two nominal samples and in the age variable we used the Student’s t-test for independent sample.

*Significantly different frequency of falls between GPCD and GNCD.

### Table 2 - Pearson’s correlation between BBS, FES-I, and MMSE scores.

|          | BBS          | FES-I        | MMSE         |
|----------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| r        | p            | r            | p            |
| BBS      | -0.219       | 0.001        | 0.323        | 0.001        |
| FES-I    | -0.137       | 0.005        | -0.137       | 0.005        |
| MMSE     | 0.323        | 0.001        | -0.137       | 0.005        |

Abbreviations: BBS, Berg Balance Scale; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; FES-I, Falls Efficacy Scale. Pearson’s correlation coefficient (r).

### Table 3 - Difference between GPCD and GNCD in the mean and SD of MMSE, BBS, and FES-I.

| Variable/Groups (n) | GPCD (134) | GNCD (116) | p  |
|---------------------|------------|------------|----|
| MMSE                | 22.32 ± 4.41 | 27.49 ± 2.11 | 0.001|
| BBS                 | 50.98 ± 4.68 | 52.53 ± 3.047 | 0.003|
| FES-I               | 26.06 ± 8.78 | 23.21 ± 7.74 | 0.008|

Abbreviations: GPCD, Group with possible cognitive decline; GNCD, Group with no cognitive decline; BBS, Berg Balance Scale; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; FES-I, Falls Efficacy Scale; SD, standard deviation. Student’s t-test for independent sample.
appropriate responses to maintain postural control; moreover, if there are alterations, there are balance deficits.

Regarding the fear of falling, the elderly in the GPCD showed greater fear compared to the elderly in the GNCD, and this fear was directly related to a decrease in the BBS score, leading to a decrease or loss of independence. Oliveira and Yoshitome (26) reported that the loss of independence is directly associated with injuries caused by the impact of a fall, or is an indirect consequence of the fear of suffering a new fall (post fall syndrome). This is a limitation linked to the loss of confidence in safely walking independently. In addition to the decline in their activities of daily living, the elderly may develop other changes such as depression, feelings of helplessness, and social isolation (27,28).

It should be noted that this study did not evaluate the functional capacity and quality of life of elderly individuals, factors that may be related to the effect of cognitive impairment on balance changes and their activities of daily living.

In addition, our study presented some limitations, such as the absence of sample size calculation. Moreover, some variables that were not investigated could possibly interfere with the interpretation of results, such as drug use and the fact that cognitive impairment compromises the responses to the scales used.

### CONCLUSIONS

The findings of the present study suggest that older people with possible cognitive decline have lower balance, greater fear of falling, and greater recurrence of falls. Thus, it is indispensable for health professionals to investigate cognitive deficits and to think about strategies aimed at preventing falls, as well as improving balance.
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