ASSESSMENT OF HEALTH STATUS IN FIBER GLASS WORKERS: ROLE OF CHROMIUM WITH BIOLOGICAL ANTIOXIDANTS.
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ABSTRACT… Objectives: The aim of study is to find out the role of chromium with biological antioxidant and how these can relate with the health of fiber glass workers. Study Design: Cross Sectional Study. Setting: Fiber glass industry Lahore. Period: July 2018 to Feb 2019. Material & Methods: Study was included the fifty workers of fiber glass industry with 4-5 years experience or time of exposure. A questionnaire was filled by each participant. Twenty individuals were taken as controls. Their blood sample was drawn to estimate the level of chromium and of biological antioxidants that include serum Catalase, Superoxide Dismutase, Glutathione and Malondialdehyde. Results: Mean age of workers was 35 year with BMI 23.80 kg/m². Their work duration in Fiber glass factory was 6-7 years. Levels of serum chromium and the biological antioxident was significantly high (P<0.001) in fiber glass workers as compared to the levels of these parameters of control. A positive weak correlation was observed between serum chromium and antioxidants MDA, SOD and catalase. On the other hand a moderate inverse correlation was observed between serum chromium and antioxidant GSH. Conclusion: It is concluded that high level of chromium in workers of fiber glass factory is responsible for increased levels of antioxidants in their body, which in long run may develop the diseases especially of respiratory tract. Key words: Biological Antioxidants, Chromium, Fiber Glass Workers.

INTRODUCTION
The health hazards of manmade fibers are known, as the structure of fiber glass is alike asbestos fibers and may be the reason of respiratory problems like asthma, pneumonia, and lung cancer etc.1,2 Chromium compounds are used in many industries including preservatives of wood, alloys, in alkylation reactions, halogenation, for hydrocarbon’s cracking etc.3 Additionally in some industries there is an emission of chromium due to certain processes like fiber glass where the chromium production is nearly 100%. Stable forms of chromium are Cr VI and Cr III which are proved to be carcinogens by inhalation as minimum as 01 µg/m3 in a day.4,5

Factors related with toxicity of chromium compounds are oxidative ability of chromium, and its solubility.6 It is proposed that carcinogenicity persuaded by Chromium VI may be due to reduction of bio reactive products results in the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS). These ROS may cause DNA damage, forming the breaks of DNA strand and oxidative DNA lesions. Cell membrane is the most susceptible to free radicals and may experience lipid peroxidation, and generate mutagenic Malondialdehyde and other DNA adducts.7

In mitochondria and microsomes the chromium VI reduced to Cr III by ascorbic acid, catalase (CAT) and glutathione peroxidase (GPx) etc and produce free forms of oxygen and molecular forms of oxygen radicals. This molecular oxygen reduces to produces superoxide radicals, which are further reduced to hydrogen peroxide by superoxide dismutase (SOD).8,9 Antioxidants enzymes like catalase, glutathione are commonly used as oxidative stress...
markers. These biomarkers, are important in the maintenance of homeostasis for functions of cell as well as activate the body immune system. These antioxidants also stabilizes the oxidative stress and abolish the toxic effects of heavy metals via the action of synergism.

Oxidative stress occurs when excessive formation of ROS overwhelms the antioxidant defence system, as is maintained by antioxidants such as ascorbic acid, alpha-tocopherol, glutathione, carotenoids, flavonoids and antioxidant enzymes which include SOD, catalase and glutathione peroxidase. SOD scavenges the toxic superoxide ions and converts into hydrogen peroxide, which is maintained by the glutathione system while GPx prevent the peroxidation of cell membrane.

This Study was designed to find out the role of chromium with biological antioxidant and how these can relate with the health status of fiber glass workers.

MATERIAL & METHODS

Study was included the fifty workers of fiber glass industry with 4-5 years’ experience or time of exposure. A questionnaire based on age, BMI, duration of their work in fiber glass along with their dietary habits etc was filled. Letter of consent was taken from each participant. The study was carried out according to the rule of Helsinki Declaration on human and was endorsed by the ethical committee of the University of Lahore Pakistan.

Workers who are smokers or have a treatment with corticosteroid or non steroidal drugs were excluded from the study. Twenty controls with no history of working in any industry and have no disease was taken as controls. Their blood samples were drawn to estimate the level of chromium and of biological antioxidant serum catalase, superoxide dismutase, glutathione (GSH) and Malondialdehyde (MDA). Estimation of catalase was carried by use of Aebi preparations, superoxide dismutase by Kakkar et al, glutathione by Tietze and malendialdehyde by ohkawa et al. Levels of chromium are measured by atomic absorption spectophotometery.

Statistical Analysis

Data was entered in SPSS 21. Variables are expressed as mean ± SD. Comparison of variables of workers and control subjects was carried out by student’t test. Level of serum chromium was correlated with the antioxidants MDA, CAT, SOD and GSH-Px. The value of r is between +1 and –1. P<0.05 was considered as significant.

RESULTS

Mean age of workers was 35 years with BMI 23.80 kg/m². Their work duration in Fiber glass factory was 6-7 years. Levels of serum chromium and the biological antioxidant was significantly high (P<0.001) in fiber glass workers as compared to the levels of these parameters of control (Table-I & II).

A positive weak correlation was observed between serum chromium and antioxidants MDA, SOD and catalase. Whereas, a moderate negative correlation was observed between serum chromium and antioxidant GSH (Figure-4 & Table-III).

| Subjects    | Age (Years) | BMI (Kg/m²) | Duration of Work (Years) | Serum MDA (nmol/l) | Serum SOD (ng/ml) | Serum Chromium (mg/L) |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| Workers (50) | 35.03±8.83 | 23.85±3.90 | 6.54±3.42                | 3.62±1.05          | 0.17±0.13         | 5.36±1.99             |
| Controls (20)| 33.58±5.40 | 24.8±2.80  | -                        | 0.93±0.09**        | 0.06±0.01**       | 0.15±0.01**           |

Table-I. Mean age, BMI, duration of work and levels of serum chromium and antioxidants in a group of glass fiber workers.

P <0.001 = Significant difference.
DISCUSSION

Exposure of chromium may cause harmful effects on the gastrointestinal, kidney, liver, and immune systems etc. Like other transition metals, including nickel, iron, chromium, zinc and copper, chromium is are toxic because these have the ability to electron exchange and generate ROS in tissues. We found that most of the workers are lean adults with work duration 6-7 years in Fiber glass factory. We agreed with the study who reported that Long-term exposure of chromium (VI) effects on the system of respiratory tract including ulcerations and perforations of the bronchitis, septum and reduced the function of lungs, results in pneumonia.

We observed that the levels of serum chromium and the biological antioxidant were significantly high (P<0.001) in fiber glass workers as compared to the levels of these parameters of control. It is stated that body have mechanisms by which it prevents the damaging effects of oxyradicals via antioxidants like catalase, superoxide dismutase, glutathione peroxidase, and reductase etc.
However, long term exposure of transition metals may cause mutation of DNA present in mitochondria. This results in a reduction in energy production.\textsuperscript{20,21}

It is proposed that imbalance between generation of free radicals and activity of antioxidants cause oxidative stress. Mild oxidative stress can be tolerated but a higher form of imbalance results in number of diseases.\textsuperscript{22} Metals like chromium, cadmium and arsenic, take part in the development of carcinogens by the generation of DNA lesion due to oxidative stress is and aging by generating free radicals or binding with thiol groups.\textsuperscript{13}

A study was carried out on workers of chrome plating factory. An increased concentration of chromium was observed in RBC, lymphocytes and urine of workers with the DNA strand breakage.\textsuperscript{23} It is reported that Chromium and ROS interact with DNA and protein causing the breakage of DNA strand, adducts of chromium-DNA, DNA-protein cross link, and alteration of cell nucleotides.\textsuperscript{24}

Although chromium (III) is an essential nutrient, its high exposure via ingestion, inhalation or contact with skin may give harmful effects. The reduction of chromium (VI) to chromium (III) inside of cells may be an important mechanism for the toxicity of chromium compounds.\textsuperscript{25}

The mechanism by which free radicals produced from metals is tightly controlled by antioxidant of cells. Many antioxidants including vitamin C, Vitamin E, glutathione, catalase, superoxide dismutase, flavonoids etc have the ability to chelate the metal ions and decreased their ability to form reactive oxygen species. These antioxidants also have the ability to remove these metal ions by binding with these metal ions.\textsuperscript{9} Foragers of reactive oxygen such as catalase, reduced the process of apoptosis stimulated by chromium.\textsuperscript{26} GSH protects cells against apoptosis by interrelating with antiapoptotic and proapoptotic signaling trails.\textsuperscript{27} Increased generation of ROS may alter the structure of DNA, results in alteration of lipids and proteins, and synthesis of anti-inflammatory and proinflammatorycytokines.\textsuperscript{28}

**CONCLUSION**

It is concluded that high level of chromium in workers of fiber glass factory is responsible for increased level of antioxidant in their body, which in long run may develop the diseases especially
of respiratory tract.

There is a need of awareness of workers to know the hazards related with the chemicals present in their environment as well as they also know the long run effects of exposure of these chemicals. Factors like hand washing, wearing gloves and masks, use of hand sanitizers may protect them by these chemicals.

The limitations of this study include a small number of subjects and the lack to assess the problems associated. Further studies are needed in a larger number of workers to see the effect of metal ions on respiratory distress.

**Copyright© 12 Dec, 2019.**
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