Introduction

Liver abscess is a space-occupying lesion in liver parenchyma constituting the most common intraabdominal visceral abscess.[1] Hippocrates first described this disease around 400 BC, but Ochsner and colleagues first published a 47-cases review in 1938.[2] There are three etiologic classifications of liver abscess: amoebic liver abscess (ALA), pyogenic or bacterial liver abscess (PLA), and fungal liver abscess (FLA). Amoebic liver abscess (ALA) constitutes two-thirds of cases in developing countries while pyogenic liver abscess (PLA) constitutes three-fourths of cases in developed countries.[3] Previously, PLA was the result of intraabdominal complication such as acute appendicitis. But, nowadays biliary tract disease is the most common risk factor including 40% of cases. However, it is seen that up to 55% cases do not have any identifiable risk factor known as cryptogenic. PLA is a life-threatening infection with an incidence ranging from 8 to 22 per 1,000,000 people depending upon different geographical areas.[4] There are various poor prognostic liver abscesses (ALA), pyogenic or bacterial liver abscess (PLA), and fungal liver abscess (FLA). Amoebic liver abscess (ALA) constitutes two-thirds of cases in developing countries while pyogenic liver abscess (PLA) constitutes three-fourths of cases in developed countries.[3] Previously, PLA was the result of intraabdominal complication such as acute appendicitis. But, nowadays biliary tract disease is the most common risk factor including 40% of cases. However, it is seen that up to 55% cases do not have any identifiable risk factor known as cryptogenic. PLA is a life-threatening infection with an incidence ranging from 8 to 22 per 1,000,000 people depending upon different geographical areas.[4] There are various poor prognostic
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factors like progression of sepsis, multiple abscesses, polymicrobial infection, antibiotic-resistant pathogens, age more than 70 years, abscess associated with neoplasia, and immunosuppression.\[6\] PLA presents through nonspecific symptoms like fever, abdominal pain, vomiting making diagnostic difficulty for clinicians. Hence, diagnosis of PLA often requires a combination of clinical features, imaging techniques like ultrasonography or CT scan, and microbiological findings.\[6\] Imaging techniques are helpful for diagnosing the liver abscess, but it is always essential to know about microbiological finding to plan therapeutic strategy.\[7\] There is considerable variation of causative agents depending upon etiology and geography. Most cases are usually polymicrobial in nature.\[8\] Escherichia coli, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Bacteroides, Enterococci, Streptococci, and Staphylococci are common bacteria which are isolated in PLA.\[9\] Escherichia coli is the common isolate in the western world while Klebsiella pneumoniae is emerging as a common isolate in Asia.\[10\] In fact, for the last 2 decades, Klebsiella pneumoniae has been reported as a predominant isolate in Asian countries and even in South Africa, Europe, and USA.\[11\]

Culture of aspirated pus is the gold standard test for the diagnosis of PLA. Blood culture can also be used as an additive test but its yield is less than pus culture. Other laboratory tests like leucocytes, C reactive protein, or liver profile get altered nonspecifically.\[12\] Increased alkaline phosphatase is the single most common finding observed in 90% cases.\[13\]

This study was aimed at understanding the various aspects of PLA like demographic profile, clinical presentations, radiological and laboratory findings, treatment modalities, and complications.

**Materials and Methods**

This cross-sectional study was done in the Department of Microbiology and Department of Surgery, Maulana Azad Medical College and associated Lok Nayak hospital, New Delhi. The duration of the study was 2 years. This study was ethically approved 22 October 2017. Patients of age more than 18 years and radiologically confirmed cases of liver abscesses were included in this study. Patients with immunosuppression, bleeding diatheses, and hydatid cyst were excluded from this study. Details of patients regarding physical examination, routine laboratory investigations, and radiological examinations were noted. Pus and blood samples were collected and processed as per standard procedures.

**Result**

A total of sixty-five clinically and ultrasonographically confirmed cases of liver abscess were included in the study, out of which thirty nine were identified as ALA, twenty-five cases as PLA, and one as FLA. PLA was diagnosed on the basis of (a) positive pus or blood culture for bacteria and (b) negative pus and blood culture with negative serum IgG ELISA for Entamoeba histolytica.

**Demographic profile, symptoms, and signs**

The age of the patients ranged from 18 to 60 years. Maximum number of patients were in the 31–40 years of age group and the mean age was 37.9 year. All patients were male. Eighty-four percent of cases were addicted to regular intake of alcohol. Twenty patients (80%) had an acute presentation (within 14 days), three patients (12%) with subacute (14–30 days), and two patients (8%) with chronic presentation (>30 days). Fever and upper abdominal pain were the most common symptoms while fever ≥38°C, abdominal tenderness, and hepatomegaly were the most common signs noted [Table 1].

**Laboratory and radiological investigations**

Leucocytosis and anemia were the common laboratory findings followed by increased C- reactive protein and hypoalbuninemia. Alkaline phosphatase was increased in 68% cases. Eighty percent abscess was seen in right lobe ultrasonographically. Single abscess was seen in 76% cases while multiple abscesses were seen in 24% cases. Pleural effusion (24%) and intraperitoneal rupture (4%) were two complications noted in our study [Table 2].
Out of twenty-five cases, twenty four (96%) were positive for bacteria either by pus or blood culture. Pus sample was positive in twenty cases (80%), being monomicrobial in thirteen cases (52%) and polymicrobial in seven cases (28%). Blood sample was positive in six cases (24%), being monomicrobial in five cases (20%) and polymicrobial in one case (4%). Aerobic isolates were found in twenty cases (80%) and anaerobic isolates were found in seven cases (28%). There were a total of 33 aerobic isolates in our study (Figure 1).

Klebsiella pneumoniae (6/33) was the most common aerobic isolate. Next common isolates were Enterobacter cloacae (4/33), Aeromonas salmonica (4/33), and Staphylococcus aureus (4/33). Other aerobic isolates were Escherichia coli (2/33), Pantoea agglomerans (1/33), Elizabethkingia meningoseptica (3/33), Cupriavidus pauculus (1/33), and Proteus mirabilis (1/33). Clastoridium bifermenatis (6/33) and Clastoridium sordellii (1/33) were the anaerobic isolates found in our study.

All gram-negative bacteria excluding E. meningosepctica and C. pauculus were sensitive to 3rd and 4th generation cephalosporins, fluoroquinolones, amikacin, gentamicin, piperacillin-tazobactam, imipenem and meropenem, but all were resistant to amoxicillin-clavulanic acid. Oxidase positive bacteria (three E. meningoseptica and one C. pauculus) were showing nil sensitivity to amoxicillin-clavulanic acid, 50% sensitivity to ceftazidime, amikacin, gentamicin, tobramycin, and 100% sensitivity to fluoroquinolones and piperacillin-tazobactam. C. pauculus was sensitive to imipenem and meropenem (E. meningoseptica shows intrinsic resistance to carbapenems). All Staphylococcus aureus were sensitive to vancomycin, teicoplanin, and linezolid; only two (50%) were sensitive to other antimicrobial agents like ceftoxitin, amikacin, gentamicin, etrythromycin, and clindamycin and all (100%) were resistant to penicillin.

### Microbiology and antibiotic susceptibility profile

Out of twenty-five cases, twenty four (96%) were positive for bacteria either by pus or blood culture. Pus sample was positive in twenty cases (80%), being monomicrobial in thirteen cases (52%) and polymicrobial in seven cases (28%). Blood sample was positive in six cases (24%), being monomicrobial in five cases (20%) and polymicrobial in one case (4%). Aerobic isolates were found in twenty cases (80%) and anaerobic isolates were found in seven cases (28%). There were a total of 33 aerobic isolates in our study (Figure 1). Klebsiella pneumoniae (6/33) was the most common aerobic isolate. Next common isolates were Enterobacter cloacae (4/33), Aeromonas salmonica (4/33), and Staphylococcus aureus (4/33). Other aerobic isolates were Escherichia coli (2/33), Pantoea agglomerans (1/33), Elizabethkingia meningoseptica (3/33), Cupriavidus pauculus (1/33), and Proteus mirabilis (1/33). Clastoridium bifermenatis (6/33) and Clastoridium sordellii (1/33) were the anaerobic isolates found in our study.

All gram-negative bacteria excluding E. meningosepctica and C. pauculus were sensitive to 3rd and 4th generation cephalosporins, fluoroquinolones, amikacin, gentamicin, piperacillin-tazobactam, imipenem and meropenem, but all were resistant to amoxicillin-clavulanic acid. Oxidase positive bacteria (three E. meningoseptaica and one C. pauculus) were showing nil sensitivity to amoxicillin-clavulanic acid, 50% sensitivity to

---

### Table 1: Demographic profile, symptoms, and signs of patients

| Variables          | Number (n=25) | Percentage |
|--------------------|---------------|------------|
| Age (in years)     |               |            |
| 18-20              | 2             | 8          |
| 21-30              | 5             | 20         |
| 31-40              | 11            | 44         |
| 41-50              | 2             | 8          |
| 51-60              | 5             | 20         |
| >60                | 0             | 0          |
| Mean age           |               | 37.9 years |
| Sex                |               |            |
| Male               | 25            | 100        |
| Female             | 0             | 0          |
| Alcohol intake     |               |            |
| Regular (≥3 times/week) | 21        | 84         |
| Occasional (≤3 times/week) | 0         | 0          |
| Never              | 4             | 16         |
| Symptoms           |               |            |
| Fever              | 23            | 92         |
| Upper abdominal pain | 23        | 92         |
| Nausea/Vomiting    | 10            | 40         |
| Anorexia           | 10            | 40         |
| Diarrhea           | 4             | 16         |
| Signs              |               |            |
| Fever (>38°C)      | 23            | 92         |
| Abdominal tenderness | 23        | 92         |
| Hepatomegaly (>14 cm) | 22        | 88         |
| Jaundice           | 3             | 12         |
| Ascites            | 4             | 16         |

### Table 2: Laboratory investigations and radiological findings

| Parameters                                      | Number (n=25) | Percentage (%) |
|-------------------------------------------------|---------------|----------------|
| Blood Pictures                                  |               |                |
| Leukocytosis                                    | 21            | 84             |
| Anemia                                          | 19            | 76             |
| Thrombocytopenia                                | 5             | 20             |
| ↑ C reactive protein                            | 18            | 72             |
| Alkaline phosphatase                            | 17            | 68             |
| Aspartate transaminase                          | 15            | 60             |
| Alanine transaminase                            | 15            | 60             |
| Hypoalbunemia                                   | 18            | 72             |
| ↑ Total bilirubin                               | 4             | 16             |
| Ultrasonographic findings                       |               |                |
| Right lobe                                      | 20            | 80             |
| Left lobe                                       | 1             | 4              |
| Both lobe                                       | 4             | 16             |
| Single                                          | 19            | 76             |
| Right                                           | 18            | 72             |
| Left                                            | 1             | 4              |
| Multiple                                        | 6             | 24             |
| Right                                           | 2             | 8              |
| Both                                            | 4             | 16             |
| Size                                            |               |                |
| ≤5 cm                                           | 12            | 48             |
| 6-10 cm                                         | 3             | 12             |
| >10 cm                                          | 10            | 40             |
| Complications                                   |               |                |
| Pleural effusion                                | 6             | 24             |
| Intraperitoneal rupture                         | 1             | 4              |

---

**Figure 1: Bacteriological isolates**

- Clostridium bifermenatis: 18.2%
- Clostridium sordellii: 12.1%
- Proteus mirabilis: 12.1%
- Cupriavidus pauculus: 12.1%
- Elizabethkingia meningoseptica: 12.1%
- Aeromonas salmonica: 12.1%
- Staphylococcus aureus: 12.1%
- Pantoea agglomerans: 12.1%
- Enterobacter cloacae: 12.1%
- Escherichia coli: 12.1%
- Klebsiella pneumoniae: 12.1%

---

### Treatment

Fifty percent of patients were treated with pigtail drainage and drug, 12% patients with needle aspiration, and 48% patients with drug therapy alone [Table 3].
Table 3: Treatment of patients

| Treatment given                                           | Number (n=25) | Percentage (%) |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|---------------|----------------|
| Only drug therapy                                         | 12            | 48             |
| Needle aspiration and drug therapy                        | 3             | 12             |
| Pigtail drainage and drug therapy                         | 10            | 40             |

Discussion

PLA accounts for 48% of visceral abscess.[9] It has still a great impact on health care facility and delay or improper management may lead to significant loss of life. In our study, the mean age of patients was 37.9 years with maximum cases (44%) between 31 and 40 years age group. Our study is in coherence with some studies.[10] But, this is in contrast to several studies which found a mean age in sixth and seventh decades.[4,10-13] Most of the studies found both male and female as patients and male outnumbered over female.[10,13-16] But, we found only male patients in our study. Probably, female sex hormones play a protective role in inflammatory responses.[14] It may be due to alcohol addiction more common in males.[1] All patients usually presented with nonspecific symptoms; thus, a high suspicion of clinical pictures leads to diagnosis easily. Fever and abdominal pain were the most common symptoms noted in our study. This is in coherence with many studies.[1,7,10,12,17] Hepatomegaly was found in 88% cases in our study. Jaundice was also seen in 12% of cases in our study which is similar to other study.[4]

Leukocytosis and anemia were the two common blood pictures found in our study. C reactive protein (CRP) was increased in 72% cases. There are some studies which found increased CRP in more than 95% cases.[13] We found hypoalbuminemia (72%) as the most common altered liver profile. Alkaline phosphatase was increased in 68% of cases having similarity with other study.[1] But, this is in contrast to several studies which found increased alkaline phosphatase in more than 90% cases.[1,3,19]

Ultrasonography and CT scan are the imaging techniques which are used for diagnosis of liver abscess. The sensitivity of ultrasonography and CT scan is reported 80%–95% and 95%–98%, respectively.[9] But, ultrasonography is cheaper; hence, it is more commonly used for diagnosis.[10] On imaging techniques, PLA is found as a solitary abscess in 65%–85% of cases having more affinity for right lobe of liver.[4] In the majority of our cases, abscess was solitary and confined to the right lobe of liver as seen in other works of literature too.[4,10,13,18,19] The possible explanation is that right liver lobe receives most of the portal blood flow making more prone for infection.[19]

There are many predisposing factors for PLA such as biliary tract disease, portal pyemia, malignancy, or contiguous spread of infection from intraabdominal organs.[13] Biliary tract diseases account for 50%–60% of all cases.[9] But, newer studies suggest that there is an increasing trend of cryptogenic PLA.[3,20-22] All twenty-five cases (100%) in our study were cryptogenic in nature which was in confirmation with these studies. In our study, we found 24/25 (96%) culture-positive cases which proves culture to be a sensitive method for diagnosis of PLA. This is in harmony with some studies which also found culture as a sensitive method for diagnosis of PLA.[7] But, some studies report a low yield of culture result probably due to the early use of antibiotics.[4] Bacteria are isolated more commonly with culture of liver aspirate (70%–80%) rather than the blood culture (30%–60%).[23] In our study, pus culture (80%) was high yielding as compared to blood culture (24%) which is in confirmation with other studies.[6,13,19] Monomicrobial culture was more common as seen in other study too.[7] Asian works of literature reveal Klebsiella as maximum isolates with cryptogenic origin.[12,13,23] Our study is also in agreement with this fact as we found Klebsiella pneumoniae (18.2%) as maximum aerobic isolate. Several studies are also in coherence with this fact.[5,10] We also found oxidase-positive bacteria like Elizabethkingea meningosepticum (9%) and Cupriavidus pauculus (3%), which we did not find in any literature. Elizabethkingea meningosepticum is an opportunistic pathogen which is predisposed by diabetes mellitus, steroid use, organ transplantation, neutropenia, prolonged hospitalization, prior administration of multiple antibiotics, and chronic hemodialysis.[24] Cupriavidus pauculus is an environmental bacterium which usually causes superficial site infection and bacteremia.[25] Patients infected with E. meningosepticum were diabetic for 3 years and they were taking insulin regularly. Diabetic or immunosuppressed patients have risk factors for other bacterial infections like Acinetobacter spp.; thus, these types of patients should be handled with a new insight.[26] Anaerobic bacteria have been reported in recent studies in the range of 9%–46%.[27,28] We identified anaerobes in 28% cases (21.2% of total isolates) which is in coherence with this fact. Bacteroides, Fusobacterium, Peptostreptococcus, Prevotella, and Clostridium are reported in various studies.[14,16,18,20] We found Clostridium spp. (Clostridium bifermentans and Clostridium sordelli) in our study [Figure 1].

All gram-negative bacteria were showing good sensitivity to antibiotics which are commonly used like in hospitals. This finding shows that empirical therapy is useful for therapeutic purposes. This is in coherence with some studies which show very much sensitivity to antibiotics.[7,14]

Interventional radiology has changed the management of PLA over the past three decades. Now, antibiotic with percutaneous drainage (needle aspiration and pigtail drainage) is used as a preferred method of management. There are certain criteria for percutaneous drainage like abscess size >5 cm, ongoing pyrexia even after 48 to 72 h of targeted antibiotic administration, and clinical or imaging features suggesting impending perforation.[3] Many newer studies have used percutaneous drainage in case abscess size >5 cm.[15,18,22] In our study, ten cases (40%) were with very large abscess (>10 cm) and treated with pigtail drainage, while three cases (12%) were with large (5–10 cm) abscess and treated with needle aspiration. Twelve cases (48%) were treated with only drug therapy alone. Some authors suggest that only antibiotic therapy can be started if abscess size less than 3 cm.[29,30]
Some additional points should be considered while giving only antibiotic therapy like prolonged pyrexia after 48–72 h of proper antibiotic treatment and clinical or ultrasonographic findings suggesting a tendency of perforation.[31] There was no mortality in our study.

**Conclusion**

Pyogenic liver abscess presents through nonspecific symptoms and signs; hence, high clinical suspicion plays a pivotal role in early diagnosis. **Risk factors like sex, alcoholism, or other factors with clinical symptoms and signs may be a clinching point for making an early diagnosis.** Once the diagnosis confirmed, bacterial culture should always be performed to know the isolate and antibiotic sensitivity pattern. Diabetic or immunosuppressed patients may have a possibility of infection with some rare isolate like *E. meningosepticum*; hence, these facts should be kept in mind. Anaerobic bacteria constitute a major part; thus, empirical therapy should cover these bacteria too. **Empirical therapy is very effective in combination with radiological interventions. Only antibiotic therapy can be given with evaluation of some factors like size of abscess, duration of fever after giving antibiotics, and any suspected complications.**

**Limitations**

We did not perform a molecular analysis of the pathogens. Therefore, we were unable to find out any relation between the virulence of the pathogens and clinical manifestations. As we have less sample size, we cannot comment on complications.
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