Tricuspid insufficiency (TI) is a common valvular abnormality following cardiac transplantation. Both occurrence and severity of TI have been found to increase with time, and TI is associated with an increase in morbidity and mortality following cardiac transplantation. Clini-
cally significant TI results in symptoms such as edema, fatigue, and reduced tolerance of exertion. Diuretics are the common treatment method. In some instances, surgical tricuspid valve repair or replacement may be required.

Based on mechanism of development, TI following heart transplantation (orthotopic) (HTx) can be divided into anatomic and functional. Functional TI is caused by geometric distortion or dilatation of the atrioventricular annular ring and valve leaflet malcoaptation. Anatomic TI is caused by torn leaflet, ruptured chordae, excessive leaflet motion, or degeneration of the valve apparatus.
right ventricular and tricuspid dilatation, which can lead to TI. Another risk factor that has been found to influence TI following HTx is high-grade allograft rejection. The surgical technique may also play a role. A mismatch in the heart size of the donor and the pericardial cavity of the recipient can be another risk factor for the development of tricuspid insufficiency by distortion of the geometry of tricuspid annulus. In some studies, it was found important to preserve the atrial geometry. Endomyocardial biopsy (EMB) was identified as a major risk factor of TI following HTx in the early 1990s. It was shown that injury of tricuspid valve apparatus at the time of EMB was a main cause of TI development. Several studies found a correlation between the number of biopsies and TI occurrence. A more recent study found associations between donor age and TI following HTx. In this study, we analyze the possible variables influencing TI following HTx and aim to identify the risk factors and mechanisms responsible for functional TI development and progression.

METHODS

In this retrospective, single-institution cohort study, we identified an institutional incidence of TI in 857 of 1515 patients who survived for at least 12 months, had available echocardiographic assessments, and had undergone HTx for the first time. We then performed an analysis of variables that potentially influence TI in a subgroup of patients, in which the detailed mechanisms of TI could be analyzed on echocardiography and allowed for long-term follow-up. This group was divided into 2 subgroups based on the severity of their postoperative TI: no TI to moderate (TI ≤2) and severe (TI >2). These 2 groups were compared regarding underlying disease, sex, age, height, weight, body mass index (BMI), body surface area (BSA) of donor and recipients, organ ischemic time, preoperative pulmonary pressure, donor to recipient right atrium front wall ratio, tricuspid anterior to septal annulus systolic excursion ratio, ratio of thorax length to heart length on radiographs preoperatively, number of biopsies, the greatest rejection grade in biopsy, the number of biopsies with more than moderate reaction, and evidence of transplant microvasculopathy on biopsy or need for chronic dialysis.

Patients with structural TI (ie, torn or perforated leaflet and ruptured chordae as a complication of biopsy detected on echocardiogram) were excluded from this study. The chronic need for dialysis was also noted during the last 2 years of the study period. Orthotopic implantation of the donor heart was carried out using the Lower and Shumway technique as modified by Cooley and Barnard. To allow anastomosis without tensioning the last 2 years of the study period. Orthotopic implantation of the excluded from this study. The chronic need for dialysis was also noted through chordae as a complication of biopsy detected on echocardiogram. Preoperative thoracic and heart width ratio were determined on radiographs. Cardiac length was measured between the maximum transverse cardiac diameter and the maximal thoracic diameter as measured between the inner margins of the ribs.

Rejection monitoring was performed based on intramyocardial electrogram recordings and echocardiography. EMB was performed indicated by noninvasive tools. Biopsies were evaluated for rejection using the revised International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation criteria. Evaluation of transplant vasculopathy was done by annual coronary arteriogram. Microvasculopathy was graded according to the classification we published previously.

All procedures were carried out in accordance with the ethical standards of the Institutional Human Ethics Committee and with the Helsinki Declaration. This study was approved by our institutional review board, and the need for patient consent was waived.

Statistical Analysis

The metric variables were represented as mean and median values, whereas the scattering measurements were expressed as standard deviations and quartiles. The scale variables were checked by the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test (P ≤0.05) in relation to their normal distribution. The t test was used to compare 2 independent, normally distributed random samples. Previously, the Levene test was applied to verify the homogeneity of the variances. For non-normally distributed random samples, the Mann–Whitney U test was used as a nonparametric method.

Categorical data were evaluated using the χ² test or the Fisher exact test. In all the tests performed there was a 2-sided significance testing, wherein a P value < .05 was taken as statistically significant for all statistical tests. Survival curves were calculated by the Kaplan–Meier method and differences analyzed by the log-rank test. For multivariable analysis, binary logistic regression with Wald Statistics and inclusion-forward using the likelihood ratio criterion (including P value ≤.05; P value exclusion >1) was performed. Data were analyzed using SPSS for Windows, version 22.0 (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY).

RESULTS

In the whole study group of 857 patients who were operated on between 1986 and 2010 and survived for at least 12 months, 38% (n = 327) had no TI, 43% (n = 369) TI I, 13% n = (109) TI 2, 5% (n = 42) TI 3, and 1% (n = 10) TI 4 (Figure 1). In the representative study group of 152 patients who came to annual control between 2000 and 2001, underwent HTx between 1986 and 2000, and survived for at least 12 months, the echocardiographic assessment showed 37% (n = 56) TI 0, 43% (n = 65) TI I, 12% (n = 19) TI 2, 6% (n = 9) TI 3, and 2% (n = 3) TI 4. The distribution of TI in this group was very similar to that of the whole study group of 857 patients (Figure 1).

The subgroup was divided into 2 groups based on the severity of postoperative TI (TI ≤2 92.1% n = 140 and TI >2 7.9% n = 12), and all variables were statistically compared in both groups. The majority of the recipients were male: 85.5% (n = 130) and 14.5% (n = 22) female. Most of the patients had dilative cardiomyopathy, 66.9% (n = 101), and 27.2% (n = 41) had coronary artery disease.
The median age for the group with TI ≤2 was 52.06 years. For the group with TI >2, the median age was 43.05 years. Recipients who developed TI >2 were younger than those with TI ≤2, and the age of recipient showed significant relevance (P = .027). There was no significance of height, weight, BMI, organ ischemia time, or BSA of recipient. Organic ischemic time also showed no significance.

The majority of donors were male, with 70.2% (n = 106) and 29.8% (45) were female. The median age of donors was 35 years. Height, weight, BMI, and BSA of donors showed no significance for developing severe TI following HTx.

Analysis of parameters that can cause functional TI showed the following results. Donor to recipient right atrial anterior wall ratio showed significance (P < .001). Tricuspid annulus anterior to septal excursion ratio was also significant (P < .05) for TI >2 following HTx. Dialysis is a pathologic factor that can play a role in atrial size enlargement. Even though only 10 patients of 152 required dialysis, in 7 (5%) responders from the group with TI ≤2 and 3 (27.3%) from TI >2, dialysis showed importance (P = .026). BMI donors and BMI recipients showed a small trend for relevance. Thorax dimensions, heart on radiograph, and ratio was not significant, but the size of heart and chest were bigger in the TI >2 group (Table 1).

In our analysis of the variables concerning biopsy, the total number of biopsies was significant (P = .003), whereas all other variables, such as greatest diagnosed grade of biopsy, number of biopsies with more than a moderate grade of rejection, and vascular reaction in biopsy, showed no significance (Table 2).

For multivariable analysis, binary logistic regression with Wald statistics and forward inclusion using the likelihood ratio criterion (including P ≤ .05; P value exclusion >0.1) was performed. Parameters included for multivariable analysis are as follows: age of recipient, donor/recipient anterior wall ratio basic disease, height of recipient, total biopsy number, degree of allograft microvasculopathy, and dialysis and BMI donor/BMI recipient. Because of the valid number of only 98, anterior or septal leaflet excursion ratio were not taken in regression. With the P < .05 in binary logistic regression, it is evident that the donor/recipient anterior wall ratio, biopsy number, and dialysis have

---

**FIGURE 1.** Left, Incidence of TI after HTx in Deutsches Herzzentrum Berlin between 1986 and 2010: TI 0 38% (n = 327), TI 1 43% (n = 369), TI 2 13% (n = 109), TI 3 5% (n = 42), TI 4 1% (n = 10) (TI Tricuspid insufficiency). Right, Incidence of TI after HTx in study group of 152 patients: TI 0 37% (n = 56), TI 1 43% (n = 65), TI 2 12% (n = 19), TI 3 6% (n = 9), and TI 4 2% (n = 3). TI, Tricuspid insufficiency.

---

**TABLE 1.** Parameters that can cause functional TI after cardiac transplantation

| Parameter                                | TI ≤2          | TI >2          | P value |
|------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Donor recipient anterior wall ratio      | 0.85 ± 0.14, n = 140 | 1.17 ± 0.19, n = 12 | <.001   |
| Anterior septal leaflet excursion ratio  | 1.37 ± 0.48, n = 89  | 0.84 ± 0.38, n = 9  | .001    |
| Chest radiograph width thorax recipient, cm | 28.09 ± 3.58, n = 122 | 30 ± 3.85, n = 8   | .217    |
| Chest radiograph width heart recipient, cm | 16.43 ± 2.72, n = 122 | 17.88 ± 2.75, n = 8 | .131    |
| Radiograph thorax heart ratio recipient  | 1.73 ± 0.23, n = 122 | 1.69 ± 0.16, n = 8  | .724    |
| BMI donor to BMI recipient ratio         | 1.02 ± 0.20, n = 133 | 1.12 ± 0.16, n = 10 | .080    |
| Dialysis, yes (n)/no (n)                | 7/133          | 3/8            | .026    |

Values are mean ± standard deviation. TI, Tricuspid insufficiency; BMI, body mass index.
TABLE 2. Parameters that can cause anatomic TI after HTxs

| Parameter                                      | TI ≤2          | TI >2          | P value  |
|------------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------|
| Total biopsy number                            | 9.11 ± 10.86, n = 140 | 26.92 ± 22.94, n = 12 | .003     |
| Highest biopsy grade                           | 1.36 ± 1.19, n = 140 | 1.92 ± 1.16, n = 12 | .123     |
| Number of biopsies with more than moderate grade | 0.56 ± 1.14, n = 140 | 2.08 ± 3.23, n = 12 | .067     |
| Transplant microvasculopathy                   | 5.66 ± 2.72, n = 137 | 6.58 ± 2.35, n = 12 | .144     |

Values are mean ± standard deviation. TI, Tricuspid insufficiency.

independent associations to TI (Table 3). The Kaplan–Meier analysis with log rank test value <0.05 showed no significant difference in long-term mortality of patients with TI ≤2 and TI >2 (Figure 2).

**DISCUSSION**

TI is a frequent complication following cardiac transplantation. It develops with time, has clinical significance, and can lead to decreased quality of life and mortality.3,17 The factors responsible for the development of TI following HTx widely reported in the literature are age, ischemic time, time after operation, steroid use, number of rejections, pulmonary hypertension, operative technique, donor/recipient size mismatch, coronary artery vasculopathy, and the use of dialysis.7,12,18-23

Incidence of TI was retrospectively examined in 857 of 1515 patients. Thirty-eight percent, or n = 327, of patients had no TI, 43% (n = 369) TI I, 13% (n = 109) TI 2, 5% (n = 42) TI 3, and 1% (n = 10) TI 4. Earlier study reports show that up to 98% of patients are affected by TI of any grade. It is complicated to compare the prevalence of TI following HTx between various studies due to differences in follow-up periods, definitions of significant TI, and procedures used to identify TI.23,24 Significant cases of TI following HTx are defined as TI greater than moderate (greater than grade 2). The average follow-up time for our study was 9 years.

In our study, the majority of patients who underwent heart transplantation were male (n = 130, 85.5%), although results indicate that there is no association between TI and sex (P = .686). This finding is in line with the results of retrospective case–control studies by Najib and colleagues.25

In our study, univariable analysis revealed that there was a significant difference in TI between different recipient age groups (P = .027). Patients with TI >2 (mean = 42.40) were younger than those with TI ≤2 (mean = 49.55). This contradicts the results of Lewen and colleagues.26 Sun and colleagues27 found that the age of the recipient is a significant predictor of acute cardiac allograft rejection. It can indirectly affect TI by the necessity of EMB, the number of which is another significant variable. In contrast, younger age as a risk factor can be explained by the progressive character of TI following HTx with time. The study shows no significance of donor age group. The mean age of donors was 35.28 (standard deviation ± 13.78) years and the maximum age was 65 years.

Tricuspid annulus geometry after cardiac transplantation is an important factor in developing TI after heart transplantation.6 De Simone and colleagues7 found an importance of TI and the recipient atrial dimensions and recipient to donor right atrium ratio. Our echocardiographic assessments showed that donor to recipient anterior wall ratio >1 correlates with the position of the tricuspid leaflets, which causes TI with an eccentric jet. This is atypical for annular dilatation, which is the main finding in patients with TI who did not undergo cardiac transplantation. We found that preservation of the long recipient right atrial anterior wall segments (donor/recipient <1) and abundant lateral donor atrial length in comparison to the septal length is of paramount importance (Figure 3).

Haverich and colleagues8 demonstrate that TI following HTx can occur because of a mismatch between the donor heart and recipient pericardial cavity. Hausen and colleagues28 show that the weight of the donor heart can play an important role in the incidence of TI following HTx. We measured the width of the heart and the chest on preoperative radiographs and calculated their ratios. It showed no significance, but the width of the chest and heart was bigger in patients with TI >2 (mean 30 ± 3.85, 17.88 ± 2.75, respectively) than those with TI ≤2 (mean 28.9 ± 3.58, 16.43 ± 2.72 respectively). The other parameters (weight, BMI, and BSA of donors and recipients) showed no significance. Trend to significance was shown by the donor-to-recipient BMI ratio (P = .080) and height of recipient (P = .073) patients.

**TABLE 3. Variables with independent association to TI after HTx in logistic regression**

| Variable                          | Wald      | P value  |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|----------|
| Donor/recipient anterior wall ratio | 12.081    | .001     |
| Total biopsy number               | 6.263     | .012     |
| Dialysis                          | 7.391     | .007     |

Number included N = 140, missing N = 12.
FIGURE 2. Kaplan–Meier survival of patients with TI ≤ 2 or TI > 2 conditional on surviving to at least 1 year after HTx.

FIGURE 3. Schematic mechanism of tricuspid valve insufficiency in a heart transplant recipient as seen in echocardiography four chamber view. Left, Transplanted heart with donor/recipient anterior atrial wall length ratio >1 with typical eccentric jet, malposition and malcoaptation of valve leaflets due to traction in the right atrium. Right, transplanted heart with right donor/recipient anterior atrial wall length ratio <1 where no traction present.
Many studies reveal a correlation between TI and pulmonary pressure, but others indicate that there is no association between preoperative pulmonary artery pressure and postoperative TI. In line with the latter studies, our study shows no significance between preoperative pulmonary pressure and the development of TI during long-term follow-up.

Dialysis is another pathologic factor shown as a risk factor for developing TI following HTx in 2 studies. Kim and colleagues report a case of spontaneous chordae rupture and shortened papillary muscle of the tricuspid valve of a patient on dialysis. Even though only small number (n = 10) of patients were dialyzed, it showed significance (P = .026). The effect of dialysis on TI can be explained by changes in tricuspid valve anatomy and volume overload.

Many studies compare operative techniques and their effect on TI after cardiac transplantation. Even though the bicaval technique seems superior to the standard technique, TI remains significant regardless of what technique is used. Different modification advice is given to reduce TI following HTx for the standard and bicaval techniques. All modifications concentrate on leaving enough material in the right atrium to reduce tension and preserve the geometry of the tricuspid annulus in order to reduce TI. In our institution, a modified standard technique with a generous atrial cuff where the cut line of the recipient’s atrium starts posterior to the vena cava inferior with a curved incision to the right atrial appendage (Figure 4) was used. This may explain the relatively low incidence of TI following HTx compared to that in other centers.

The importance of EMB on the development of TI has been emphasized in many studies. Our study found no correlation between greatest biopsy grade, number of biopsies with more than moderate grade, cardiac allograft microvasculopathy, and occurrence of TI. But the number of biopsies (P = .003) in univariable analysis was significant. This result is consistent with that identified by Hausen colleagues, Nguyen and colleagues, and Lo and colleagues. Fiorelli and colleagues found tricuspid valve tissue in 12 of 417 patients after an EMB and TI was increased in 2 of these cases afterward (2/12; 16.7%). The mechanism of developing TI following HTx due to the number of biopsies can be explained by microscopic damage and changes in the structure of the valve apparatus which are not detectable at the time of echocardiographic evaluation and have a cumulative character. The small changes on tricuspid valve apparatus of transplanted hearts after EMB should be further investigated. The multivariable analysis shows that donor to recipient anterior wall ratio, number of biopsies, and dialysis have independent significance for TI following HTx.

There was no significance in survival between the 2 groups. It is possible that even patients with TI >2 benefit from an implantation technique using a large atrial cuff, as it may serve as a compliance chamber.

It is to be acknowledged that the current study has certain limitations. By its nature, it is subject to the restrictions of a retrospective study. Due to the long period over which the study patients were treated, the population is certainly heterogeneous. The severity of TI was graded by measuring the regurgitant jet area size on color Doppler. The major limitation of this grading is that it does not yield quantitative data.

CONCLUSIONS

Our study shows no significance concerning recipient sex, basic disease, recipient weight, recipient BMI, recipient BSA, preoperative pulmonary arterial pressure, donor organ ischemia time, donor sex, donor age, donor height, donor weight, donor BMI, donor BSA, chest radiograph thorax width, chest radiograph heart width, chest radiograph thorax/heart ratio, highest rejection grade on biopsy, or vascular reaction.

The significance of parameters that impact functional TI, such as right atrium to front wall ratio and dialysis in multivariable analysis, significance of tricuspid annulus anterior to septal leaflet excursion in univariable analysis, and trend to significance of factors such as BMI donor to recipient ratio, gives us reason to conclude that the tricuspid annulus geometry is an important risk factor for developing TI following HTx. It could be preserved using operative techniques that do not create tension on the atrial wall, for
example, by using modified inferior vena cava anastomosis with the bicaval technique or a generous atrial cuff with the standard biatrial technique.24,30

Patients with functional TI and end-stage renal disease requiring dialysis could be treated using intensified ultrafiltration. Cirit and colleagues34 showed disappearance or reduction of TI in patients with end-stage renal disease using intensified, aggressive ultrafiltration and discontinuing antihypertensive drugs.

The number of biopsies is also a significant risk factor for TI. It is advisable to use noninvasive diagnostic modalities for detection of rejection, such as echocardiography, intramyocardial electromyography, and gene expression profiling to reduce the number of EMB cases and to prevent TI following HTx.20,27,35,36
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