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Abstract: Cascaded multilevel inverter has the major problem as voltage imbalance across the capacitors connected in circuits which are acting like dc sources. The number of level generation depends on the number of DC sources and switches placed in cascaded multilevel inverter topology. In this proposed topology the positive levels and zero levels of the inverter have been explained. This topology also work in symmetrical condition. The topology is simulated in MATLAB and its THDs are calculated at different modulation index. The voltage stress and loss calculations are carried out at different carrier frequencies.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In cascaded multilevel inverter as the number of levels increases it increases the net effective switches and DC sources present in the circuit. This may cause the overall system to be more expensive and complex. When all the DC source voltage values are same it is said to be symmetrical and when not same known as asymmetrical configuration[1-3]. The topological improvement of MLIs is an interesting and popular research field to achieve efficient, cost-effective, and compact energy conversion systems[4]. Several innovative MLI topologies, known as “reduced device count (RDC),” have been reported in recent years [5-6]. In this paper a new proposed topology is tested for both symmetrical and asymmetrical configurations. Finally its merits and demerits are also discussed.

II. OPERATING PRINCIPLE

Compared to other existing topologies this topology is simple in design. It consists of 3 DC sources and 6 switches. $S_1$ and $S_2$ are bidirectional considering an AC current in the load to impose zero voltage over the load, $S_1$ and $S_2$ needs to be bidirectional. The generalized expressions are $S = (N+5)/2$ and $V = (N-1)/2$ where $S$=number of switches, $V$=number of voltage sources and $N$=number of levels.

A. Modes of operation

In this section the positive levels and zero levels of the inverter have been explained. For generating positive $V_{dc}$ across the load terminal bidirectional switch $S_2$ has been switched on. $D_5$ and $D_8$ are the corresponding diodes of the switch $S_2$ which have been turned on also.
Fig. 2. Different modes of operation

B. Switching states

Bidirectional switch $S_1$ turned on to produce $2V_{dc}$ across the load terminal and current path has been represented in mode-2 operation of the inverter. In mode-3 all the DC sources acting together to produce $3V_{dc}$ across the load. $S_4$ and $S_6$ are turned off and switches $S_3$ and $S_6$ are turned on in the H-bridge configuration. $S_3$ and $S_4$ are turned on and it is represented in mode 4 operation to produce zero level.

Table I. Switching states

Table I describes the switching states of inverter. Switching states describe about all the conducting switches along with the conducting diodes in each level generation switches $S_1$ and $S_2$ of circuit diagram are unidirectional in nature.
III. SIMULATION RESULT ANALYSIS

All the DC sources are taken as 10 V DC and resistance as 80 Ω. PWM generation is by IPD switching scheme.
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Fig. 3. Output voltage and current

Average output voltage = 29.06 V and load current = 3.6 A for R = 80 Ω at carrier frequency = 5 kHz have been obtained.
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Fig. 4. THD analysis of output voltage

At R = 80 Ω, THD = 19.97%, fundamental output voltage = 29.06 V.

| Table II. Modulation index vs THD |
|----------------------------------|
| PWM    | MI | THD (%) | Fundamental output voltage (simulated) | Fundamental output voltage (calculated) |
|--------|----|---------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| APOD   | 0.8| 24.87   | 22.69                                | 24.2                                  |
|        | 0.9| 24.72   | 25.66                                | 26.3                                  |
|        | 1   | 20.29   | 28.9                                 | 30                                    |
| IPD    | 0.8| 24.82   | 22.82                                | 24.2                                  |
|        | 0.9| 24.6    | 25.73                                | 26.4                                  |
|        | 1   | 19.8    | 29.01                                | 29.9                                  |

Table II. presents APOD and IPD switching schemes comparison for voltage THD. At Different modulation index, fundamental output voltages have been simulated and compared with calculated values.

| Table III. Voltage stresses across the switches |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| Conventional topology                        | Proposed Topology |
| Voltage (V)                                   | Voltage (V)       |
| 10 V (across all switches)                    | 10 V (across all switches) |
| S1 (V)                                        | 18.2              |
| S2 (V)                                        | 18.2              |
| S3 (V)                                        | 30                |
| S4 (V)                                        | 30                |
| S5 (V)                                        | 30                |
| S6 (V)                                        | 30                |

IV. LOSS CALCULATION

Two types of power losses: Conduction losses and Switching losses, which are generally occurred in all types of multilevel inverter, are described in detail as below.

A. Conduction losses ($P_C$) are occurred in ON state of power electronic devices. For this calculation the instantaneous conduction losses of a transistor ($P_{CT}(t)$) and diode ($P_{CD}(t)$) can be represented as follows:

$$P_{CT}(t) = [V_T + R_T i_T(t)]I_T(t)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

$$P_{CD}(t) = [V_D + R_D i_D(t)]I_D(t)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

Where, $V_T$ and $V_D$ are the voltages of the transistor and diode respectively in the ON-state. $R_T$ and $R_D$ are the equivalent resistance of the transistor and diode, and $\beta$ is a constant specification of the relative transistor. While calculating the conduction losses the internal resistance of each components (like power switches, capacitors etc) must be same and all the power switches have the same ON-state resistance.

B. Switching losses ($P_{SW}$)

It occurs when the switch is in a transition state. In transition state, switches position changed from one state to another that is from ON to OFF and from OFF to ON state. The Turn-on and turn-off energy loss ($E_{on}, E_{off}$) can be represented for switching frequency, $f_s$ as follows:

$$E_{on} = \int_0^{f_s} V_{sw} I_{t_{on}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\tau_{on}}\right) \frac{1}{\tau_{on}} dt = \frac{1}{2} V_{sw} I_{t_{on}}^2 f_s$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

$$E_{off} = \int_0^{f_s} V_{sw} I_{t_{off}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\tau_{off}}\right) \frac{1}{\tau_{off}} dt = \frac{1}{2} V_{sw} I_{t_{off}}^2 f_s$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)
where $E_{i, \text{on}}$ = turn-on loss of the switch
$I_{\text{on}}$ = turn-on time of the switch
$I_i (I_i')$ = current through the switch after (before) turning on (off)
$V_{\text{sw}, i}$ = ON-state voltage on the switch.
$E_{i, \text{off}}$ = turn-off loss of the switch
$I_{\text{off}}$ = turn-off time of the switch.

To find the energy loss per fundamental cycle, firstly calculate the number of ON transition ($N_{\text{on}, i}$) and the number of OFF transition ($N_{\text{off}, i}$) per cycle. Then the energy loss per cycle for the $i$th switches are given below.

The switching power loss of the $i$th switch per cycle can be expressed as

$$P_{\text{sw}, i} = \frac{(N_{\text{on}, i} \times E_{\text{on}}) + (N_{\text{off}, i} \times E_{\text{off}})}{T}$$

The total losses of the MLI will be

$$P_{\text{total}} = P_C + P_{\text{sw}}$$

As losses in inverters are having major role, in order to calculate inverter loss, a voltage measuring device and a current measuring device have been connected with each switch to get inverter loss in MATLAB simulation.

Table 4 represents switching losses, conduction losses and total losses of each switch presented in proposed topology. Finally the total loss of the inverter has been calculated.

| Table V. Loss of inverter switches at different carrier frequency |
|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Carrier frequency (kHz) | Input voltage (V) | Input current (A) | Input power (W) | Output voltage (V) | Output current (A) | Output power (W) | Circuit total loss (W) |
|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 1                | 40               | 0.354            | 14.16            | 28.35            | 0.351            | 9.95             | 4.85             |
| 2                | 40               | 0.35             | 14               | 28.35            | 0.35             | 9.92             | 4.88             |
| 5                | 40               | 0.351            | 14.04            | 28.35            | 0.1482          | 9.87             | 4.13             |
| 10               | 40               | 0.345            | 13.83            | 28.35            | 0.354            | 10.05            | 3.97             |
| 20               | 40               | 0.35             | 14               | 28.35            | 0.3475          | 9.85             | 4.15             |

Table 5 represents loss of inverter switches at different carrier frequencies. The circuit total loss increases with increase in carrier frequency. Thus reduces the output power and efficiency of inverter.

V. CONCLUSION

All the simulations are carried out in MATLAB/SIMULINK environment. The circuited is suitable for symmetrical configuration. However it is not suitable for asymmetrical configuration of cascaded multilevel inverter topology. It has been observed that the proposed topology reduces THD to large extent compared to conventional cascaded MLI topology. At same carrier frequency, if modulation index (MI) increases voltage THD reduces resulting improved sinusoidal load waveform. The voltage stress across each switch has been calculated also. With increase in carrier frequency the inverter losses also increased. Hence the carrier frequency has been kept below the audio frequency range.
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