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Abstract: We show that the relatively strong magnetic fields ($\geq 1 \mu G$) in high redshift objects can be explained by the combined action of an evolving protogalactic fluctuation and electromagnetically processes providing the magnetic seed fields. Three different seed field mechanisms are reviewed and incorporated into a spherical "top-hat" model and tidal turbulence theory for the fate of a forming galaxy in an expanding universe. Very weak fields $10^{-19} \sim 10^{-22} \text{G}$ created in an expanding overdense region are strongly enhanced due to the dissipative disk formation by a factor $\sim 10^4$, and subsequently amplified by strong non-axisymmetric flow by a factor $\sim 10^{-10}$, depending on the cosmological parameters and the epoch of galaxy formation. The resulting field strength at $z \sim 0.395$ can be of the order of a few $\mu G$ and be close to this value at $z \sim 2$.
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1. Introduction

The origin of galactic magnetic fields remains enigmatic (Rees 1987; Kronberg 1994). Several models have been proposed, such as dynamo amplification of a seed magnetic field (e.g. Zeldovich, Ruzmaikin & Sokoloff 1983). Dynamo theories, however have difficulties to explain the presence of strong magnetic fields in high redshift objects, since at least a couple of billion years are required for the very weak seed fields ($\sim 10^{-22}$G) to be sufficiently amplified. For example, the damped Ly$\alpha$ systems at a redshift $z \sim 2$ have undergone about 1 Gyr evolution, yet possess magnetic fields of order of a few $\mu G$ (Wolfe et al. 1992; see other examples by Kronberg & Perry 1982 and Kronberg et al. 1992 at $z \sim 0.305$). Although these results have been criticized from the viewpoint of interpreting observational data (Perry, Watson & Kronberg 1993), the very possibility of the presence of $\mu G$ magnetic fields at these very early stages of galactic evolution deserves special attention, since such field strengths cannot be explained by standard dynamo models. In addition to observational difficulties Kulskov & Anderson (1992) argue on theoretical grounds that strengths and coherence lengths of large-scale magnetic fields cannot be produced via dynamo amplification.

Chiba & Lesch (1994) developed a model for magnetic field amplification in disk galaxies by taking into account the action of non-axisymmetric disturbances on the velocity field of the gas. They found that exponential growth of magnetic fields is easily achieved on very short time scales of the order of the rotation time if spiral arms or bars are excited in the disk. It is the aim of this contribution to show that an extended version of this model explains the presence of strong magnetic fields at high redshift.

Since any magnetic field amplification needs a seed field whose strength is enhanced by magnetohydrodynamical processes, we start in Sect.2 with the description of three mechanisms which can provide the seed fields either by taking into account the different reaction of electrons and protons to an intense background radiation field (Compton induced fields), by considering the difference in mobility of electrons and protons in a gravitational field of a rotating gas (Biermann's battery) or by investigating the friction between neutral and ionized gas in a galactic shear flow. In Sect.3, we present a general model for the evolution of a protogalactic fluctuation on its way towards a disk galaxy in terms of a spherical "top-hat" model and tidal turbulence theory (Peebles 1980). The final amplification of the seed fields by a dissipative galaxy collapse and by evolving nonaxisymmetric structures (spirals and bars) in a forming galactic disk is described in Sect.4. Our conclusions are given in Sect.5.

2. Origin of magnetic fields in protogalaxies

The amplification of magnetic fields in conducting media is described by the hydromagnetic equation,

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = \nabla \times (\mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{B}) - \nabla \times \eta (\nabla \times \mathbf{B}).$$

\(\eta\) is the diffusivity of the plasma and \(\mathbf{V}\) the plasma velocity. It is the central problem of the magnetohydrodynamic theory to find the appropriate galactic velocity fields which can amplify magnetic fields in the presence of diffusion. There is no source term in eq.(1). That is to say, there is no outright creation of field in the hydromagnetic description of galactic magnetic fields. Hence, if at any point in time the universe was devoid of magnetic fields, then as far as hydromagnetic effects are concerned, there would be no magnetic field at any other time. Biermann (1950) made the point, that there are thermal and inertial "battery" effects in a moving ionized gas to create magnetic fields. The essence of any battery process is that currents are produced whenever the mean velocities of
negative charge carriers and positive charge carriers differ. In
general, the negative charge carriers are electrons and as such
they are orders of magnitudes less massive than the positive
charge carriers. This makes electrons much more responsive to
inertial drag forces than the ions are. The combination of a
gravitational field with differential rotation leads to a noncon-
servative force acting essentially upon the electrons. These two
ingredients occur naturally in disk systems with a central ra-
diation source, as well as in stars, as was first pointed out by
Biermann (1950). The ions are concentrated to the equatorial
plane by the generated electric field. However, this field cannot
cancel the centrifugal acceleration completely, i.e. the charges
must move and meridional currents appear. The resulting mag-
netic field of a spherical over-dense region in an expanding uni-
verse, with an expansion rate $a$ of universe is governed by the
following equation (e.g. Biermann 1950; Zeldovich et al. 1983)

$$\frac{1}{a^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{a^2 B}{2e} = \frac{m_i c^2 \omega}{2e} \cdot \frac{m_i c^2}{2e} \cdot \frac{\omega}{2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

$g$ denotes the centrifugal acceleration and $\omega$ is the rotation
velocity. $m_i$ is the ion mass, $c$ the velocity of light and $e$ is the
charge (all in cgs units).

The expansion rate is given by $a = 1/(1 + z)$. The tidal torque
torque theory for the origin of galaxy angular momentum (Pee-
bles 1969) shows that in the linear regime the density pertur-
bations grow $\propto z^{5/3}$ and the angular velocity changes as
$\propto t^{1/3} \cdot (1 + z)^{-1/2}$. Furthermore, after the perturba-
tion is well separated from the background universe and collapses,
a galaxy gains torque proportional to $Q t^2$, where $Q$ is the
quadrapole moment $\sim M R^2$. Most of angular momentum is
transferred when a galaxy turns up at the epoch $z_{\text{turn}}$ (when
the density reaches about 5.6 times the value of the back-
ground universe) with a radius $R_m$. Since after $z_{\text{turn}}$ a
sphere collapses and virializes into a radius $R_v$, which is about
a half of the maximum radius $R_m$ (see next section for details),
and since a sphere is spun-up by a factor $(R_m/R_v)^2 \sim 4$, more
than that due to the torque gained after the system is bound,
we postulate that the angular velocity $\omega$ before $z_{\text{turn}}$ follows
the equation, $\omega = \frac{2}{3} \left( \frac{1+z}{2} \right)^{1/2} z_{\text{turn}}$, where $z_{\text{turn}}$ is the angular
velocity at the epoch of virialization, say $z_{\text{vir}}$.

Thus we can obtain the dependence of the magnetic field
strength on the redshift (before $z_{\text{turn}}$)

$$B(z) = \frac{m_i c^2}{32 \pi \kappa H_0} (1+z)^2 (1+z_{\text{turn}}) \int_{z}^{z_{\text{vir}}} \frac{dz'}{(1+z')^2 (1+\Omega z')^{1/2}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $\Omega$ is the cosmological density parameter, $z_{\text{vir}}$ denotes
the redshift of recombination (about 100). With the Hubble
constant in units of $H_0$ and $z \sim z_{\text{turn}}$, we arrive at a magnetic
field at the turnover time

$$B(z_{\text{turn}}) \sim 2.5 \times 10^{-22} h_{50}^{-1} \left( \frac{\omega}{2 \times 10^{-17} \text{ s}^{-1}} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{\Omega}{0.1} \right)^{-1/2} \times \left( \frac{1+z_{\text{turn}}}{8} \right)^{-3/2} G.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

This form suggests that the later turn-over (smaller $z_{\text{turn}}$) sphere
gives stronger magnetic fields as noted by Pudritz & Silk (1989).

A second battery process was invoked by Mishustin & Ruz-
naikin (1973). They considered the interaction of a rotating
electron-proton plasma with the intense background radiation.

Thermal electrons scatter the photons of the background radi-
ation via Compton scattering and gain energy and momentum,
thereby drifting relative to the protons, i.e. producing a
current. This current induces a magnetic field, whose time
evolution is described by

$$\frac{1}{a^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{a^2 B}{2e} = \frac{m_e c^2}{2e} \cdot \frac{m_e c^2}{2e} \cdot \frac{\omega}{2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $m_e$ is the electron mass and $\tau_r$, denotes the optical
depth for Compton scattering, which is a sensitive function of
the redshift, $\tau_r = 3 m_e c^2 / 4 \sigma T_0 (0) (1 + z)^2 \equiv \tau_r (0) (1 + z)^{-1}\sigma_T$ is the
Thomson cross section and $\sigma_T (0) \approx 4 \times 10^{-13}$ ergs \text{cm}^{-2}$ is the
present energy density of the background radiation. The mag-
netic field at $z \sim z_{\text{turn}}$ is then

$$B(z_{\text{turn}}) \sim 2.2 \times 10^{-23} h_{50}^{-1} \left( \frac{\omega}{2 \times 10^{-17} \text{ s}^{-1}} \right) \left( \frac{\Omega}{0.1} \right)^{-1/2} \times \left( \frac{1+z_{\text{turn}}}{8} \right)^{1/2} G.$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

Last, but not least, we describe the self-generation of mag-
netic fields by sheared flows in weakly ionized plasmas pro-
posed by Huba & Felder (1993). Again, the different mobil-
ity of electrons and ions is used. The electrons collide with neutral
atoms, thereby drifting relative to the ions. If the global system
rotates differentially, the drift corresponds to a current, which
induces a magnetic field. The field generation term is

$$\frac{1}{a^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{a^2 \nu_e (V_i - V_n)}{c} \left( \frac{\nu_e}{\nu_{\text{turn}}} \right) \left( \frac{V_i}{V_n} \right) = \frac{m_e c^2}{e} \cdot \frac{m_e c^2}{e} \cdot \frac{\omega}{2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

$\nu_{\text{turn}}$ denotes the electron-neutral collision frequency, $\nu_e$ is the
relative ion-neutral drift speed, and $\nu_{\text{turn}}$ is the shear
length. $V_i$ is the ion fluid velocity and $V_n$ is the neutral fluid
velocity. Supposing that $\nu_{\text{turn}}$ and $\nu_e$ are constant for simplicity,
the magnetic field at $z \sim z_{\text{turn}}$ is then

$$B(z_{\text{turn}}) \sim 4.6 \times 10^{-23} h_{50}^{-1} \left( \frac{\nu_{\text{turn}}}{10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}} \right) \left( \frac{\Omega}{0.1} \right)^{-1/2} \times \left( \frac{1+z_{\text{turn}}}{8} \right)^{-3/2} \left( \frac{V_i}{0.01 V_n} \right) \left( \frac{\nu_{\text{turn}}}{10^4 \text{ km s}^{-1}} \right)^{-1} G.$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

The electron-neutral collision frequency was estimated for a
gas temperature of $10^6$ K and a density of about $1 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ (Spitzer
1968).

It turns out that the magnetic fields created during the
expansion of an over-dense sphere are very weak, $10^{-19} \sim
10^{-20}$ G, compared to the values at the present and $z \sim 2$ (Rees
1987). We need further mechanisms to increase such weak fields
dramatically as will be described below.

3. Disk formation and magnetic fields

After the turn-around epoch $z_{\text{turn}}$, the sphere breaks away
from the general expansion, and starts to collapse. The crossing
among each spherical shell and the development of large fluc-
tuations inside the sphere will result in the violent relaxation,
leading to the virial equilibrium at the epoch $z_{\text{vir}}$. According
to the virial theorem, if the dissipative force is small (see below
for baryons), a half of the potential energy at $z_{\text{vir}}$ must be
converted to the kinetic energy at $z_{\text{vir}}$, so that the radius in
the equilibrium, $R_v$, is about a half of the maximum radius $R_m$.
at $z_{\text{rev}}$ (Peebles 1980). Thus, the seed magnetic fields created at earlier epochs are strengthened by a factor $(R_m/R_0)^2 \sim 4$, because the magnetic fluxes are well conserved due to the high conductivity.

The virialized sphere contains both collisionless dark-matter particles and roughly 10\% baryonic matter. The dissipation is inevitable in baryons, and thus the baryonic matter sinks dissipatively by about a factor $F^{-2} \sim 10$, where $F$ is the baryonic fraction in the sphere (Blumenthal et al. 1986; Barnes 1987). The radius of the baryonic sphere $R_B$ is therefore $\sim FR_0$, so that the strength of magnetic fields is further enhanced by about a factor $F^{-2} \sim 100$.

The tidal torque theory in galaxies suggests that the sphere gains angular momentum by tidal torque, represented by a spin parameter $\lambda \equiv J/E^{1/2}G^{-1}M^{-3/2} \sim 0.07$, where $J$ is the angular momentum, $E$ the total energy, and $M$ the total mass (Peebles 1969). As the collapse proceeds, the sphere is spun-up because the angular momentum is roughly conserved (depending on the degree of substructure, Katz & Gunn 1991). Thus, the collapse becomes anisotropic, leaving a rotationally supported and approximately exponential disk in surface density (Fall & Efstathiou 1980). The vertical scale height of a protogalactic disk, $H$, is roughly given as $H \sim c_s/\omega$, where $c_s$ is the sound velocity. The rotational frequency $\omega$ is essentially determined by dark matter, which does not drastically change in the disk-formation process except for the inner region where the self-gravity of a disk is important (cf. Saio & Yoshii 1990). However, the sound velocity, determined by the interplay between radiative cooling and supernova heating, would change with time in systematic ways, which are beyond the scope of this article. In order to get the approximate value for $H$, we utilize the scale height of old disk stars in the Galaxy, because these stars would have formed in the early stage. We adopt $H \sim 500$pc, which is intermediate between scale heights of a thin disk ($\sim 350$pc) and of a thick disk ($\sim 1000$pc) (Ferrieman 1987). Turning to the magnetic fields, radio observations suggest that strong fields are mainly parallel to a disk plane except for a galactic center (Sofue et al. 1986). Thus, the magnetic fields are compressed and strengthened along a disk by at least a factor $(R_B/H) \sim (200\text{pc}/500\text{pc}) \sim 40$.

Therefore, after the sphere turns around at $z_{\text{rev}}$ with radius $R_m$, it collapses into a disk with a half thickness $H$ and radius $R_B$, at the epoch of disk formation, say $z_f$, so that the magnetic-field strength is strongly increased by roughly a factor $f_z$, where

$$f_z \sim 1.6 \times 10^4 \left( \frac{R_m}{R_0} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\rho_0}{F} \right) \left( \frac{R_B/20\text{pc}}{H/500\text{pc}} \right).$$

(9)

The magnetic-field strength at $z_f$ is thus given as $B(z_f) \sim f_zB(z_{\text{rev}})$, so that

$$B(z_f) = \begin{cases} 3.6 \times 10^{-13} \left( \frac{\rho_0}{10^{-19}\text{g/cc}} \right) \left( \frac{V}{10^8\text{km/s}} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{M}{10^{10}\text{M}_\odot} \right)^{1/2} G^{1/2} \left( \frac{g_{\text{rot}}}{10^{-13}\text{G}} \right) & \text{for Compton,} \\
4.0 \times 10^{-13} \left( \frac{\rho_0}{10^{-19}\text{g/cc}} \right) \left( \frac{M}{10^{10}\text{M}_\odot} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{V}{10^8\text{km/s}} \right)^{1/2} G^{1/2} \left( \frac{g_{\text{rot}}}{10^{-13}\text{G}} \right) & \text{for Battery,} \\
7.3 \times 10^{-13} \left( \frac{\rho_0}{10^{-19}\text{g/cc}} \right) \left( \frac{M}{10^{10}\text{M}_\odot} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{V}{10^8\text{km/s}} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{g_{\text{rot}}}{10^{-13}\text{G}} \right)^{1/2} G^{1/2} \left( \frac{g_{\text{shear}}}{10^{-13}\text{G}} \right) & \text{for Shear.} \end{cases}$$

(10)

We emphasize that the usually adopted strength of seed magnetic fields is greatly strengthened by a factor $\sim 10^4$ due to the galaxy collapse. This point has been always missed in the published papers concerning the estimation of magnetic fields in protogalaxies (e.g. Zeldovich et al. 1983). Note here that although we have considered the galaxy collapse step by step, the actual processes of virialization, dissipation, and disk formation may occur simultaneously (Katz & Gunn 1991). However, the total collapse factor $\sqrt{f_z}$ of a disk is not greatly affected by such considerations. We note that the collapse into a disk is not uniform, so that there are strong density perturbations in a collapsing disk (Katz & Gunn 1992); the magnetic field would be locally enhanced much more by orders of magnitude. Also, plasma processes described before to create fresh magnetic fields may still work in the collapse stage. However, these contributions do not increase the field strength by more than a factor three; the collapse is the overwhelming factor.

4. Field amplification and dynamics

A protogalactic disk settles into a centrifugal equilibrium and star formation proceeds. According to the numerical simulation by Katz & Gunn (1991), strong non-axisymmetric structures such as bars and spirals have emerged in a collapsing disk. The gravitational torques among substructures lead to angular-momentum exchange and thus trigger the mass redistribution via radial gas flows.

Evolution of magnetic fields in strongly non-axisymmetric galaxies has been investigated by Chiba & Lesch (1994). The induced radial flow due to angular-momentum transport and velocity shear of galactic rotation result in the rapid shearing of the radial component $B_r$ into the azimuthal component $B_\phi$, producing magnetic fields with time scale $(\partial \phi/\partial r)^{-1} \sim 2\omega/\gamma$ in a gas-rich disk, where $\omega$ is the radial velocity. The mechanism involved is invoking the coupling between radial flow and differential rotation, not the single gas (or magnetic-field) compression. Furthermore, it is found that highly non-axisymmetric component of gas flow leads to the exponential and oscillatory growth of magnetic fields by driving a resonant magnetic wave with a background spiral or bar density wave, and the time scale is of the order of the inverse of the spiral- or bar-forcing frequency $1/2(\omega - \omega_p)$ ($\omega_p$ is the angular pattern speed of a bar or spiral disturbance). We refer Fig.5 in Chiba & Lesch (1994) to illustrate how this resonance is working in a bar system. Thus the characteristic time of the field growth $\tau$ is well given as the dynamical time scale, i.e.

$$\tau = \min(t_{\text{rev}}, 1/2(\omega - \omega_p)),$$

(11)

where $t_{\text{rev}}$ is the orbital time of circular velocity. If we consider the vertical gas flow by young stars or supernovae, or spatially varied dissipation of magnetic fields, the field components along a disk can be coupled to the vertical component, so that three dimensional fields will not result in ultimate decay (see Chiba & Lesch 1994 for details). Magnetic seed fields which are created and enhanced in a protogalactic disk are amplified exponentially with time scale $\tau$. The field strength at redshift $z$ is then given by

$$B(z) \sim B(z_f) \exp \left[ \frac{19.6\Omega_0^2(\gamma G)(\gamma G)}{\tau (\gamma G)^{1/2}} \int_0^\tau \frac{dz}{(1+z)^{1/2}(1+\Omega z)^{3/2}} \right] = B(z_f) f_z (z; z_f, \Omega),$$

(12)
The subsequent evolution of magnetic fields in galaxies is nonlinear, i.e. the magnetic fields are dynamically dominant in the gaseous turbulent motions or star-formation processes for angular-momentum braking. There is a limit for the field strength to satisfy the equilibrium condition \( B^2/8\pi \sim \rho v^2 \) where \( \rho \) is the interstellar density (\( \sim 1 \text{cm}^{-3} \)) and \( v \) the random velocity (\( \sim 8 \text{km s}^{-1} \)), giving \( B \sim \rho^2 \). Stronger fields in a disk should be inhibited by dynamical limit of local gas motion and flux expulsion due to Parker Instability. Although differential galactic rotation will continuously wrap up the field lines, the small but finite plasma drift with respect to neutral gas (i.e. ambipolar diffusion) will avoid the winding dilemma (Kulsrud 1986).

5. Concluding remarks

We have presented the evolution of magnetic fields in the context of galaxy formation. Three plasma processes have been considered, Compton drag, Battery effect, and sheared flow, as a creation of magnetic fields in a protogalactic over-dense region. Although each process gives only a very small field ranging \( 10^{-19} \sim 10^{-22} \text{G} \), the value adopted in the literature as a seed field, the following dissipative collapse into a disk strongly enhances the field strength by a factor 10^6 or more, and leads to \( 10^{-12} \sim 10^{-10} \text{G} \) depending on the redshift of disk formation. The strong non-axisymmetric disturbance due to bars or spirals in a protodisk will stretch and compress the magnetic-field lines with a dynamical time scale \( \lesssim 10^9 \text{yr} \). When we suppose \( \Omega = 0.1 \) and \( z_f = 4 \), we are in an epoch of galaxy formation. The amplification factor is \( 10^{10} \), leaving the magnetic-field strength \( 10^{-5} \sim 10^{-3} \text{G} \) at \( z \sim 2 \), and more than \( \mu \text{G} \) at \( z \sim 0.395 \), possibility to explain the reported value of \( \mu \text{G} \) in damped Ly\( \alpha \) clouds at high redshifts (Kronberg & Perry 1982; Kronberg et al. 1992; Wolfe et al. 1992). Therefore, the value of magnetic-field strength in galaxies can be explained by consistent consideration of plasma process in the early Universe, galaxy formation, and galactic dynamics.

The chain of distinctive processes for magnetic fields in galaxies as we have described is the simplification and idealization in order to elucidate the actual magnetic-field evolution step by step. This fact has been mentioned at the end of Sec. 3, that each process can happen simultaneously. It is however our aim to clarify what is the most essential physics for magnetic fields in each stage of galaxy formation and evolution.

The battery can happen in “partially formed” galaxies which are in collapse phase. We have estimated the amplification factor by this process and found only three. It suggests that this effect can be negligible compared to the effect of collapse itself giving the much larger amplification factor of about \( 10^6 \). The battery can also happen after a galaxy settled into centrifugal equilibrium. Though it is not clear to what extent and where (in a gaseous halo?) this process can work, it is already enough to invoke other field generation mechanisms such as dynamos. This is why the battery may be neglected after the collapse stage.

The battery as well as the shear type mechanism implies the existence of velocity shear in a protogalactic sphere. The inner region of a sphere, where the density is large and thus soon collapses, has obtained less torque from the surroundings than the outer region where the collapse time is large thus more time to get torque. It is thus natural to expect the appearance of

Fig. 1. The amplification factor, \( f_a \), given in Eq.(12), as a function of the redshift, \( z \), when \( \tau = 0.1 \) Gyr. \( z_f \) denotes the epoch of disk formation. Solid lines are for \( \Omega = 0.1 \), while dotted ones for \( \Omega = 1.0 \).
velocity shear which would be of the order of angular velocity divided by the size. The assumption of an always rigidly rotating sphere is a very strong and artificial constraint on tidal torque theory.

We note that in the chain of every process before disk formation, the existence of ionized plasma is the assumption behind our analysis. In the stage of galaxy collapse, the baryonic component is re-ionized due to virialization and thus collisional ionization. Also, before collapse, a pregalactic gas is photoionized by UV flux from QSOs and other active galaxies which have formed before the epoch of normal galaxy formation (Efstathiou 1992; Chiba & Nath 1994). Thus it is not far from reality that the gas has existed as plasma.

Concerning the relative strength of field components in our model we note, that it is possible to know the pitch angle of field lines by the order of magnitude argument: In the case of the conventional galactic dynamos, the quantity \( B_N / B_c \) can be written as

\[
\frac{B_N}{B_c} \sim \left( \frac{R_c}{R_c} \right)^{1/2},
\]

where \( R_c = \alpha \beta \eta / \eta \) and \( R_c = \omega \beta / \eta \). \( \eta \) denotes turbulent diffusion, and \( \omega \) usual meaning. In our model, this ratio is roughly written as

\[
\frac{B_N}{B_c} \sim -\frac{R(\phi)}{R(\phi)} + \frac{R(\phi)}{R(\phi)} - \frac{R(\phi)}{R(\phi)},
\]

where \( R(\phi) = \frac{2 \phi}{2 \phi} \) and \( R(\phi) = \frac{2 \phi}{2 \phi} \), both normalized by \( \eta / \beta \). Though it is a rather complicated expression, we obtain the following two extreme cases: when \( R(\phi) \) is dominant, \( B_N / B_c \sim \left( R(\phi) / R(\phi) \right)^{1/2} \); when \( R(\phi) \) is dominant, \( B_N / B_c \sim \left( R(\phi) / R(\phi) \right) \). We note that this ratio directly depends on the relative strengths of each velocity shear driven by bars or spiral arms: for example, in case of M83, \( R(\phi) \) is very large in bar regions so that the ratio is large, implying \( B_N \) is dominant in such regions. This field configuration is such that the field lines are well aligned with a bar. In other words, our prediction concerning field pitch angles suggests the alignment of field lines with optical galactic structures.

Concerning the geometry of magnetic fields, we understand that it is also controversial even in galactic dynamo theory as to which field structure, ASS or BSS, a galaxy decides to hold as a dominant field geometry. Also, we understand that radio observers are also puzzled to interpret their observational data as to ASS or BSS. Thus we think that it is still premature to say about the field geometry. What we can learn from our model is that when non-axisymmetric disturbance in galaxy structure is dominant, it is the non-axisymmetric magnetic field that has the largest growth rate.

Like conventional galactic dynamos, our mechanism for magnetic field generation considers the destructive process such as turbulent diffusion. When the combination of velocity shears attained in highly nonaxisymmetric galaxies overcomes the destructive effects of turbulent diffusion, the strength of magnetic field increases exponentially with time. This defines the threshold value for the combination of velocity shears, in our notation \( \beta \), to give rise to the positive growth rate of magnetic fields. Thus in the sense that the field generation requires its overwhelming effect against turbulent diffusion, the mechanism we have quoted is similar to the conventional approach. However, as Hanasz and Lesch (1993) have shown, the Parker-instability may play an essential role for the magnetic diffusivity. With the assumption, that the magnetic field drives its own saturation via buoyant flux tubes ascending from the disk if the magnetic pressure is comparable to turbulent pressure in the disk, they obtained an \( \alpha \geq 1 \) kus \(^{-1}\), sufficient for dynamo action and a diffusivity \( \eta \geq 2 \cdot 10^{27} \) cm\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\), which is three orders of magnitude smaller than the generally adopted value. Thus, the diffusion time is also much longer than in conventional dynamos. The picture of a magnetic diffusivity produced by magnetic instabilities instead of external driven diffusivity (via SN-explosion, stellar winds, etc.), has profound implications for the field amplification in protogalaxies. Since the field strengths in these objects is initially far away from the critical value for the Parker-instability, the fields are amplified until the magnetic pressure is comparable to the turbulent pressure in the protodisks. Then buoyant flux tubes start to transport magnetic flux into the halo and saturate the field amplification. The flux tubes can provide the \( \sigma \)-effect and an axisymmetric dynamo may start to act.
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