COL11A1 as a potential prognostic target for oral squamous cell carcinoma
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Abstract:
Oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) is a malignant tumor occurring in the oral cavity. However, the molecular mechanism of OSCC is not clear. Bioinformatics was used to screen and identify role of collagen type X1 alpha 1 (COL11A1) on OSCC. 200 patients with OSCC were recruited. Clinical and follow-up data were recorded and COL11A1 expression levels were tested. Pearson chi-square test and Spearman correlation coefficient were used to analyze relationship between prognosis and related parameters in patients with OSCC. Univariate and multivariate Logistic regression, univariate and multivariate Cox proportional risk regression were used for further analysis, survival curve was drawn. Through bioinformatics analysis, OSCC patients with higher expression of COL11A1 have poor overall survival compare with OSCC patients with lower expression of COL11A1 (hazard ratios [HR] = 1.32, \( P = .047 \)). Pearson chi-square test showed that age (\( P = .011 \)), tumor grade (\( P = .023 \)), COL11A1 (\( P < .001 \)) was significantly correlated with prognosis of OSCC. Univariate Logistic regression analysis showed age (odds ratio [OR] = 2.102, 95% confidence intervals [95%CI]: 1.180-3.746, \( P = .012 \)), tumor grade (OR = 1.919, 95%CI: 1.093-3.372, \( P = .023 \)) and COL11A1 (OR = 12.775, 95%CI: 6.509-25.071, \( P < .001 \)). Multivariate Logistic regression analysis showed that COL11A1 (OR = 12.066, 95%CI: 6.042-24.096, \( P < .001 \)) was significantly associated with prognosis of patients with OSCC. Univariate Cox regression analysis showed that age (HR = 1.592, 95%CI: 1.150-2.205, \( P = .005 \)), tumor grade (HR = 1.460, 95%CI: 1.067-1.999, \( P = .018 \)) and COL11A1 (HR = 1.848, 95%CI: 1.340-2.548, \( P < .001 \)) were significantly correlated with survival time of OSCC patients. Multivariate Cox regression analysis showed that tumor grade (HR = 1.666, 95%CI: 1.064-2.020, \( P = .019 \)) and COL11A1 (HR = 1.645, 95%CI: 1.164-2.325, \( P = .005 \)) were significantly correlated with survival time of OSCC patients. COL11A1 is significantly correlated with occurrence of OSCC. When COL11A1 is highly expressed, prognosis of patients with OSCC is worse and the survival time is shorter.

Abbreviations: 95%CI = 95% confidence intervals, COL11A1 = collagen type X1 alpha 1, DEGs = differently expressed genes, GO = gene body, GSEA = gene set enrichment analysis, HRs = hazard ratios, OR = odds ratio, OSCC = oral squamous cell carcinoma, SVM = support vector machine.
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1. Introduction
Oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) is a malignant tumor occurring in the oral cavity, mainly squamous cells, which is the most malignant tumor of the head and neck.[1] OSCC has good activity, rich blood circulation, high rate of lymphatic metastasis and poor prognosis. In addition to local proliferation and erosion of surrounding tissue function, lymphatic metastasis in the neck drainage area often occurs in OSCC.[2] Therefore, the treatment of OSCC usually requires the treatment of neck lymphatic tissue in addition to the expanded resection of the local primary lesion. Generally speaking, the malignant degree of OSCC is relatively low, the growth rate is relatively slow, and the treatment is mainly surgical treatment, postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy can be used.[3] In the early stage, OSCC is mostly manifested as ulceration, erosion and hyperplasia. In the later stage, it gradually increases into vegetable pattern masses or crater like masses, forming infiltrating masses. Metastasis may occur later, often in lymph nodes and lungs.[4] If OSCC can be found at an early stage, it can be completely cured in the clinical sense by surgical expansion of the tumor, cervical lymph node dissection, combined with systemic chemotherapy and local radiotherapy after surgery. At present, clinical treatment methods have become more and more advanced and mature,
and more and more treatment methods have been applied in clinical practice, so the survival rate and cure rate of OSCC are also increasing.[1]

Collagen Type XI Alpha 1 (COL11A1) is a protein-coding gene and a secondary Collagen, belonging to the fibrocollagen gene family, whose main physiological function is to regulate the diameter of main Collagen fibers.[10] Collagen is the framework of the extracellular matrix, which is found in all organs and tissues in the body.[11] COL11A1 gene is located in chromosome 1p21 region and contains 68 exons. At present, at least 4 isoforms have been found.[12] At present, it is generally believed that the generation process of COL11A1 is: The intracellular COLIIA1 gene encodes the synthesis of pre-COLIIA1 mRNA, which is translated into pre-COL11A1 polypeptide, pre-COL11A1 polypeptide chain and pre-COL11A1 in sequence on the endoplasmic reticulum, and then secreted into mature COL11A1 by enzymolysis. COL11A1 encodes one of the 2 alpha chains of type XI collagen, a mild form of fibrous collagen. Collagen type is a heterotrimer, but the third alpha chain is posttranslationally modified α1 type II chain. Single nucleotide polymorphisms in this gene have also been associated with susceptibility to lumbar disc herniation and have been identified as multiple transcriptional variants.[13] The gene body (GO) annotations associated with the COL11A1 gene include extracellular matrix structural composition and extracellular matrix binding. COL11A1 may play an important role in fiber formation by controlling the lateral growth of collagen fibrils, and may also affect the occurrence and development of cancer by regulating several downstream genes involved in biological processes such as cell proliferation, apoptosis, metastasis and signal transduction.[14] However, the relationship between COL11A1 and OSCC remains unclear.

This study hypothesized that during the occurrence and development of OSCC, the higher the content of COL11A1, the worse the prognosis and shorter the survival time of patients with OSCC. Based on the above hypothesis, we recruited 200 patients with OSCC. These results may reveal COL11A1 as a potential molecular target of OSCC and provide new ideas for its molecular mechanism.

2. Methods:

2.1. Dataset of the OSCC and difference analysis

GSE41613 was downloaded from the GENE EXPRESSION OMNIBUS database. And the GSE41613 consisted of 97 OSCC individuals, including 56 OSCC samples with high grade and 41 OSCC samples with low grade. Intra-group correlation analysis was used for the repeatability test of the samples. GENE EXPRESSION OMNIBUS2R was implied to screen the differently expressed genes (DEGs) between the OSCC with high grade and OSCC with low grade. The DEGs between the 2 groups were screened by criteria of Log2 (fold change) ≥ 1 or ≤ -1 and P value ≤ .05. Finally, COL11A1 was identified with the highest fold change (P < .05).

2.2. Analysis of COL11A1 on the OSCC

The Cancer Genome Atlas dataset was used to verify the expression of COL11A1 in the OSCC. Comparative toxicogenomics database was implied to explore the relationship among the expression of COL11A1, mouth neoplasms, head and neck neoplasms. The effect of COL11A1 on the pathological stage OSCC was analyzed by using the Cancer Genome Atlas database. The heatmap was drew for presenting the expression of DEGs between the OSCC with low grade and high grade. And the overall survival analysis of COL11A1 on the OSCC was made by the Kaplan–Meier plotter.

2.3. Enrichment analysis for the DEGs related with the OSCC

Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) is used to evaluate the distribution trend of genes in a pre-defined gene set in the gene table ranked by phenotype correlation, thereby judging their contribution to phenotype. The input data consists of 2 parts, one is the gene set with known functions (can be GO annotation, MSigDB annotation or other gene set definitions that conform to the format), the other is the expression matrix (it can also be a sorted list). The software will sort the genes according to their correlation with the phenotype (which can be understood as the change in expression value) from large to small, and then judge whether the genes under each annotation in the gene set are enriched in the upper part of the gene table after the phenotype correlation is sorted or the lower part, so as to judge the effect of cooperative changes of genes in this gene set on phenotypic changes. The GSEA was performed to make enrichment analysis for the DEGs related with the OSCC.

2.4. Patients and ethics

A total of 200 patients diagnosed with OSCC in Fourth Hospital of Hebei Medical University Hospital from March 2015 to June 2020 were selected.

Inclusion criteria: 18 to 80 years old, diagnosed with OSCC; Cardiopulmonary function was normal; Normal coagulation.

Exclusion criteria: patients requiring emergency surgery; the patients and their families did not agree to participate in the study.

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Fourth Hospital of Hebei Medical University Hospital, and all patients signed informed consent.

2.5. The parameter

According to clinical data, patients were classified by Gender (Male/Female), Age (≤65/> 65), Tumor size (≤1 cm/>1 cm), Family history (No/Yes), Tumor grade (Low/High), COL11A1 (Low/High), Tumor stage (Low/High), and Prognosis (Good/Poor).

2.6. Detection of blood related parameters

Venous blood samples from patients were immediately sent for examination to detect the expression level of COL11A1.

2.7. Immunofluorescence assay

Paraffin sections were dewaxed. The tissue sections were placed in a retrieval box filled with EDTA antigen retrieval buffer (pH 8.0) for antigen retrieval in a microwave oven. After natural cooling, the slides were washed in PBS (pH 7.4) with shaking on a destaining shaker. After the sections were slightly dried, use a histochemical pen to draw circles around the tissue (to prevent the antibody from flowing away), spin dry PBS, add BSA dropwise, and seal for 30 minutes. Gently shake off the blocking solution, drop the primary antibody prepared in a certain proportion of PBS on the slices, and incubate the slices in a wet box at 4°C overnight. After the slices were slightly dried, the secondary antibody of the corresponding species of the primary antibody was added dropwise in the circle to cover the tissue, and incubated at room temperature for 50 minutes in the dark. Diamidinyl phenylindole counterstained nuclei: the slides were placed in PBS (pH 7.4) and washed 3 times with shaking on a destaining shaker, 5 minutes each time. After the sections were slightly dried, diamidinyl phenylindole staining solution was added dropwise to the circle, and incubated at
room temperature for 10 minutes in the dark. Add autofluorescence quencher to the circle for 5 minutes, and rinse with running water for 10 minutes. After drying, the sections were mounted with anti-fluorescence quenching mounting medium. Sections were observed under a fluorescence microscope and images were collected.

2.8. RT-qPCR assay
Take the homogenization tube, and add 1mL of RNA extraction solution, and place it on ice to pre-cool. Take approximately 20mg of tissue and add to a homogenization tube. The homogenizer was thoroughly ground until no visible tissue clumps were observed. Place the centrifuge tube on the ultra-clean table and blow for 3 minutes, add 15μL RNA lysis solution to dissolve the RNA, and incubate at 55°C for 5 minutes. RNA concentration and purity were detected by Nanodrop 2000. The main steps included the followed procedures. DNA denaturation (90°C-96°C): The double-stranded DNA template breaks hydrogen bonds under the action of heat to form single-stranded DNA. Annealing (renaturation) (40°C-65°C): the system temperature decreases, and the primer binds to the DNA template, forming a partial double strand. Extension (68°C-75°C): under the action of Taq enzyme (the best activity at around 72°C), using dNTP as raw material, extend from the 5’ end → 3’ end of the primer to synthesize DNA strand complementary to the template. The DNA content doubles with each cycle of denaturation, annealing, and extension. The ΔΔCT method was used to calculate the relative expression of COL11A1.

2.9. Statistical methods
Receiver operating characteristic analysis was made to explore the sensitivity and specificity of the expression level of COL11A1 on the overall survival time of OSCC. And the Kaplan–Meier analysis was performed to explored the effect of COL11A1 on the overall survival. BP neural network is not only a universal model, but also an error correction function. According to the results obtained by training and the expected results, error analysis is carried out each time, then the weight and threshold value is modified, and the model whose output is consistent with the expected results is obtained step by step. The model finally trained by the support vector machine (SVM) algorithm is determined by some support vectors, which can determine the vector of the final model. Therefore, the BP-neural network and the SVM were constructed by the MATLAB (version: 2017a), and in the model, the expression of COL11A1 was set as the input value, and the survival time of OSCC was set as the output value.

The data is expressed as a percentage of the total. Pearson chi-square test and Spearman correlation coefficient were used to analyze the clinical parameters and prognosis of OSCC. Univariate and multivariate Logistic regression analysis were used to calculate the OR values of prognostic variables in OSCC patients. Univariate and multivariate Cox proportional risk regression analysis were used to explore the potential factors of survival time.

All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software, version 21.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY). P < .05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results:
3.1. Repeatability test of the samples and analysis of the COL11A1
In the GSE41613, there were strong relationships among all the samples, which manifested that the repeatability of the data is good (Fig. 1A). Compared with the control group, the expression of COL11A1 in the tumor group was higher (P < .05, Fig. 1B). There existed high inference score of COL11A1 in the mouth neoplasms, head and neck neoplasms, squamous cell carcinoma of head and neck through the comparative toxicogenomics database (Fig. 1C). The higher expression of COL11A1 was, the more severe pathological stage of OSCC was (Fig. 1D). Based on the different expression of DEGs, the tumor grade of OSCC could be classified (Fig. 1E). The OSCC patients with higher expression of COL11A1 have the poor overall survival compare with the OSCC patients with lower expression of COL11A1 (HR = 1.32, P = .047) (Fig. 1F).

3.2. GO enrichment analysis for the OSCC
Butterfly plot could present that the high grade OSCC and low grade OSCC could be separated based on the score (signal 2 noise) (Fig. 2A). The DEGs related with the OSCC were mainly enriched in the phosphatidylinositol binding, which was higher in the high grade OSCC (Fig. 2B). Furthermore, the DEGs were also enriched in the epidermal cell differentiation (Fig. 2C), establishment or maintenance of epithelial cell apical basal polarity (Fig. 2D), which were down-regulated in the high grade OSCC. The normal enrichment score versus significance could be presented in the Figure 2E, which showed the relationship between FDR q-value (or P value) and the normal enrichment score (Fig. 2E). Ranked gene list correlation profile showed that area bias to high = 49.3% and zero crossing at rank 11548 (55.9%) (Fig. 2F).

Random enrichment score distributions of the phosphatidylinositol binding, epidermal cell differentiation, establishment or maintenance of epithelial cell apical basal polarity could classify the 2 groups of low grade and high grade OSCC (Fig. 3A–C). In addition, there were plenty of DEGs based on the expression, and the expression heat map could classify the OSCC (Fig. 3D–E).

3.3. Kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes enrichment analysis for the OSCC
Through the GSEA, the DEGs were mainly enriched in the P53 signaling pathway, alpha linolenic acid metabolism, amino sugar and nucleotide sugar metabolism, arachidonic acid metabolism, beta alanine metabolism, cysteine and methionine metabolism (Fig. 4A–F). Random enrichment score distributions of these kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes pathways could classify the 2 groups of low grade and high grade OSCC (Fig. 5A–F).

3.4. Verification of the expression of COL11A1 with the OSCC clinical samples
Through the immunofluorescence assay, the expression of COL11A1 was higher in the high grade OSCC than the low grade OSCC (P < .05) (Fig. 6A). Furthermore, the result also be verified by the PCR assay. Compared with the low grade OSCC, the mRNA expression of COL11A1 was higher in the high grade OSCC (Fig. 6B).

3.5. Strong predictive value of COL11A1 for the OSCC survival time
Through the BP-neural network, best training performance is 0.0027083 at epoch 3000, and the training relevance (R) is 0.99409 between COL11A1 and the OSCC survival time. Furthermore, the percentage errors of the BP-neural network were small (Fig. 6C–E). In addition, the strong predictive value of COL11A1 for the OSCC survival time was also verified by the SVM model (γ = 0.1834 x + 42.4856, R = 0.6809) (Fig. 7).
3.6. High sensitivity and specificity of COL11A1 for diagnosing the OSCC

The expression of COL11A1 might be molecular target for the diagnosis of the OSCC, and the sensitivity and specificity were high (AUC = 0.781, \( P < .05 \)). However, the sensitivity and specificity of tumor grade (AUC = 0.581) and tumor size (AUC = 0.540) for diagnosing the OSCC were low. The joint effect of all factors on the diagnosing the OSCC was strong (AUC = 0.815, \( P < .05 \)) (Fig. 8).

3.7. Overall survival analysis for the OSCC

Based on the clinical samples, the OSCC patients with higher expression of COL11A1 have the poor overall survival compare with the OSCC patients with lower expression of
COL11A1 (HR = 1.645, \( P = .005 \)). However, the other factors were not related with the survival time of OSCC (\( P > .05 \), Fig. 9)

3.8 Pearson’s Chi-square test was used to analyze the correlation between prognosis and related factors of OSCC

Pearson’s Chi-square test was used to summarize the relationship between the prognosis of OSCC and related clinical factors. Age (\( P = .011 \)), tumor grade (\( P = .023 \)), COL11A1 (\( P < .001 \)) was significantly correlated with the prognosis of OSCC. However, gender (\( P = .887 \)), tumor size (\( P = .261 \)), family history (\( P = .418 \)), and tumor stage (\( P = .429 \)) were not significantly correlated with the prognosis of OSCC (Table 1).

3.9 Spearman correlation coefficient was used to analyze the correlation between prognosis and related factors of OSCC

Further analysis of Spearman correlation coefficient showed that prognosis of OSCC was correlated with age (\( \rho = 0.179, \))
Figure 3. Random ES distributions and the heatmap for the expression of DEGs. (A) Random ES distribution of phosphatidylinositol binding. (B) Random ES distribution of epidermal cell differentiation. (C) Random ES distribution of establishment or maintenance of epithelial cell apical basal polarity. (D, E) There were plenty of DEGs based on the expression, and the expression heat map could classify the OSCC. DEGs = differently expressed genes, ES = enrichment score, OSCC = oral squamous cell carcinoma.
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P = .011), tumor grade (ρ = 0.161, P = .023), COL11A1 (ρ = 0.561, P < .001) significantly correlated. However, gender (ρ = 0.010, P = .888) and tumor size (ρ = 0.079, P = .263), and family history (ρ = 0.057, P = .420), tumor staging (ρ = 0.056, P = .432) had no significant correlation with the prognosis of OSCC (Table 2).

Figure 4. KEGG enrichment analysis for the OSCC. (A) P53 signaling pathway. (B) Alpha linolenic acid metabolism. (C) amino sugar and nucleotide sugar metabolism. (D) arachidonic acid metabolism. (E) beta alanine metabolism. (F) cysteine and methionine metabolism. KEGG = Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes, OSCC = oral squamous cell carcinoma.

3.10. Univariate logistic regression analysis of prognosis and related factors of OSCC

Logistic regression was used to determine the relationship between relevant parameters and prognosis, odds ratio (OR), and 95% confidence interval (95% CI) for OSCC. Table 3 describes the OR and 95% CI of the subjects at the univariate Logistic regression level, and the results show that age (OR = 2.102, 95% CI: 1.180-3.746, P = .012), tumor grade (OR = 1.919, 95% CI: 1.093-3.372, P = .023) and COL11A1 (OR = 12.775, 95% CI: 6.509-25.071, P < .001) was significantly correlated with the prognosis of OSCC. However, gender (OR = 1.041, 95% CI: 0.597-1.815, P = .887), tumor size (OR = 1.376, 95% CI: 0.788-2.404, P = .261), and family history (OR = 1.264, 95% CI: 0.717-2.229, P = .418), tumor stage (OR = 1.253, 95% CI: 0.716-2.190, P = .429) had no significant correlation with the prognosis of OSCC (Table 3).
3.11. Multivariate logistic regression analysis of prognostic factors of OSCC

Multivariate Logistic regression was used to describe the OR and 95%CI of the subjects at the multivariate level. COL11A1 (OR = 12.066, 95%CI: 6.042-24.096, \( P < .001 \)) was significantly correlated with the prognosis of OSCC. However, gender (OR = 0.847, 95%CI: 0.422-1.699, \( P = .640 \)), age (OR = 1.774, 95%CI: 0.874-3.603, \( P = .113 \)), tumor size (OR = 1.465, 95%CI: 0.738-2.906, \( P = .275 \)), family history (OR = 1.112, 95%CI: 0.552-2.240, \( P = .766 \)), tumor grade (OR = 1.561, 95%CI: 0.786-3.098, \( P = .203 \)) and tumor stage (OR = 1.009, 95%CI: 0.503-2.022, \( P = .981 \)) were not significantly correlated with the prognosis of OSCC (Table 4).

3.12. Univariate cox regression analysis

Table 5 shows hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (95%CI) for prognosis of OSCC. Age (HR = 1.592, 95%CI: 1.150-2.205, \( P = .005 \)), tumor grade (HR = 1.460, 95%CI: 1.067-1.999, \( P = .018 \)) and COL11A1 (HR = 1.848, 95%CI: 1.340-2.548, \( P < .001 \)) were significantly associated with patient survival time. However, gender (HR = 1.275,
95%CI: 0.932-1.743, \( P = .129 \)) tumor size (HR = 1.066, 95%CI: 0.781-1.454, \( P = .687 \)), family history (HR = 0.929, 95%CI: 0.675-1.278, \( P = .650 \)), and tumor stage (HR = 1.252, 95%CI: 0.915-1.714, \( P = .160 \)) had no significant correlation with patient survival time (Table 5).

### 3.13. Multivariate cox regression analysis

In order to effectively control the influence of confounding factors, all factors were included into the multivariate Cox regression model. Multivariate Cox proportional regression analysis showed that tumor grade (HR = 1.466, 95%CI: 1.064-2.020, \( P = .019 \)) and COL11A1 (HR = 1.645, 95%CI: 1.164-2.325, \( P = .005 \)) were significantly correlated with patient survival time. However, gender (HR = 1.097, 95%CI: 0.785-1.535, \( P = .588 \)), age (HR = 1.379, 95%CI: 0.976-1.949, \( P = .068 \)), tumor size (HR = 1.068, 95%CI: 0.779-1.464, \( P = .682 \)), family history (HR = 0.959, 95%CI: 0.694-1.326, \( P = .801 \)), and tumor stage (HR = 1.242, 95%CI: 0.902-1.711, \( P = .184 \)) had no significant correlation with patient survival time (Table 6).
4. Discussion:

Pearson’s Chi-square test showed that age ($P = .011$), tumor grade ($P = .023$), COL11A1 ($P < .001$) was significantly correlated with the prognosis of OSCC. Spearman correlation coefficient analysis showed age ($\rho = 0.179$, $P = .011$), tumor grade ($\rho = 0.161$, $P = .023$), COL11A1 ($\rho = 0.561$, $P < .001$) was significantly correlated with the prognosis of OSCC. Univariate logistic regression analysis showed that age (OR = 2.102, 95%CI: 1.180-3.746, $P = .012$), tumor grade (OR = 1.919, 95%CI: 1.093-3.372, $P = .023$) and COL11A1 (OR = 12.775, 95%CI: 6.509-25.071, $P < .001$) was significantly correlated with the prognosis of OSCC. In addition, multivariate logistic regression analysis showed that COL11A1 (OR = 12.066, 95%CI: 6.042-24.096, $P < .001$) was significantly correlated with the prognosis of OSCC. Univariate Cox regression analysis showed that age (HR = 2.005, 95%CI: 1.159-3.482, $P = .011$), tumor grade (HR = 1.805, 95%CI: 1.073-3.032, $P = .023$) and COL11A1 (HR = 12.075, 95%CI: 6.039-24.081, $P < .001$) was significantly correlated with the prognosis of OSCC.
showed that age (HR = 1.592, 95%CI: 1.150-2.205, \( P = .005 \)),
tumor grade (HR = 1.460, 95%CI: 1.067-1.999, \( P = .018 \)) and
COL11A1 (HR = 1.848, 95%CI: 1.340-2.548, \( P < .001 \)) were
significantly associated with survival time of OSCC patients.
Multivariate Cox regression analysis showed that tumor grade
(HR = 1.466, 95%CI: 1.064-2.020, \( P = .019 \)) and COL11A1
(HR = 1.645, 95%CI: 1.164-2.325, \( P = .005 \)) were signifi-
cantly correlated with survival time of OSCC patients.

OSCC is the most common malignant tumor of the head and
neck. It refers to malignant transformation of the oral squamous
epithelium, resulting in malignant tumor. \[11\] Early OSCC after
treatment, the cure rate is high. The prognosis and quality of
life of advanced patients need to be improved. OSCC is prone
to distant metastasis, such as lung metastasis, bone metastasis,
lymphatic metastasis, blood transport metastasis and so on.

COL11A1 gene, encoding gene of \( \alpha_1 \) subunit of XI collagen,
was mainly expressed in cartilage and was a small amount of
fibrous collagen expressed by chondrocytes and osteoblasts.\[12\]
COL11A1 forms heterotrimers with COL11A2 and COL2A1
to assemble type XI collagen.

COL11A1, an important member of the collagen family, is
mainly expressed and secreted by cancer-associated fibroblast
subpopulations and regulates tumor-matrix interactions and the
mechanical properties of the extracellular matrix. COL11A1
also promotes cancer cell migration, metastasis and treatment
resistance by activating pro-survival pathways and regulating
tumor metabolic phenotypes.\[13\] Related research results suggest
that COL11A1 is secreted by Extracellular matrix and ECM
plays an important role in tumor development. Collagen is the
most abundant component of ECM and is involved in the bio-
logical formation of cancer.\[14\] COL11A1 plays a role in ep-
ithelial mesenchymal transformation, metastasis and invasion, a
process of tumor transformation. As one of the important com-
ponents of tumor microenvironment, COL11A1 has attracted

---

Figure 8. High sensitivity and specificity of COL11A1 for diagnosing the OSCC based on the ROC analysis. OSCC = oral squamous cell carcinoma, ROC = receiver operating characteristic.
more and more attention in recent years. It is highly expressed in most human tumor cell lines and tissues, and may play a role in promoting cancer and affecting tumor cell proliferation by regulating cell cycle. It affects cancer progression by regulating several downstream genes involved in biological processes such as cell proliferation, apoptosis, metastasis, and signal transduction.\[15\] COL11A1 overexpression has been observed in many cancer types.\[16\] Studies have shown that COL11A1 is highly expressed in patients with gastric cancer, which may be a potential therapeutic target.\[17\] COL11A1 has also been shown to promote tumor progression and predict adverse clinical outcomes in ovarian cancer.\[18\] COL11A1 is overexpressed in recurrent NSCLC and promotes cell proliferation, migration, invasion and drug resistance.\[19\]

COL11A1 is associated with cancer progression and low survival rate, and its expression is positively correlated with progression and lymph node metastasis. COL11A1 expression is not present in benign pathological conditions, including adhesion hyperplasia, fibrosis, cirrhosis, pancreatitis, and inflammatory bowel disease or precancerous lesions.\[20\] Under normal circumstances, COL11A1 expression is low or almost non-existent in most tissues.\[21\] Normal fibroblasts secrete some collagen, including COL11A1, when trauma occurs in human tissue. In clinical samples, high COL11A1 expression

Figure 9. Overall survival analysis for the OSCC. Based on the clinical samples, the OSCC patients with higher expression of COL11A1 have the poor overall survival compare with the OSCC patients with lower expression of COL11A1 (HR = 1.645, *P* = .005). HR = hazard ratios, OSCC = oral squamous cell carcinoma.
tends to predict distant metastasis, poor prognosis, and short overall survival. COL11A1 is believed to be involved in many intracellular signaling pathways that tend to accumulate in tumor tissues and contribute to the malignant progression of human cancers. COL11A1 overexpression is associated with poor prognosis and mainly occurs in solid tumors. Role of COL11A1 as a cancer-specific but not inflammation-specific biomarker, but COL11A1 overexpression has been demonstrated in chronic inflammatory diseases such as osteoarthritis. COL11A1 is expressed not only in tumor cells, but also in other tumor-associated stromal cells. COL11A1 promotes cancer progression, metastasis, and drug resistance by binding to specific receptors and activating several key cell survival signaling pathways. COL11A1 is the only up-regulated collagen gene in the stroma of invasive cancer. Therefore, it is speculated that the expression level of COL11A1 may be related to OSCC.

### Table 1

Relevant characteristics of patients with oral squamous cell carcinoma.

| Characteristics     | Prognosis of OSCC |
|---------------------|-------------------|
|                     | Good | Poor | P     |
| Gender Male         | 100  | 47 (23.5%) | 53 (26.5%) | .887 |
| Gender Female       | 100  | 46 (23.0%) | 54 (27.0%) |   |
| Age ≤65             | 78   | 45 (22.5%) | 33 (16.5%) | .011* |
| Age >65             | 122  | 48 (24.0%) | 74 (37.0%) |   |
| Tumor size ≤1 cm    | 99   | 50 (25.0%) | 49 (24.5%) | .261 |
| Tumor size >1 cm    | 101  | 43 (21.5%) | 58 (29.0%) |   |
| Family history No   | 80   | 40 (20.0%) | 40 (20.0%) | .418 |
| Family history Yes  | 120  | 53 (26.5%) | 67 (33.5%) |   |
| Tumor grade Low     | 101  | 55 (27.5%) | 46 (23.0%) | .011* |
| Tumor grade High    | 99   | 38 (19.0%) | 61 (30.5%) |   |
| COL11A1 Low         | 99   | 74 (37.0%) | 25 (12.5%) | <.001* |
| COL11A1 High        | 101  | 19 (9.5%) | 82 (41.0%) |   |
| Tumor stage Low     | 108  | 53 (26.5%) | 55 (27.5%) | .429 |
| Tumor stage High    | 92   | 40 (20.0%) | 52 (26.0%) |   |

Pearson's Chi-square test. OSCC: oral squamous cell carcinoma. *P < .05.

### Table 2

Relationship between patient characteristics and prognosis of oral squamous cell carcinoma.

| Characteristics     | Prognosis of OSCC |
|---------------------|-------------------|
|                     | P     | P     |
| Gender Male         | 0.010 | .888  |
| Gender Female       | 0.179 | .011* |
| Age ≤65             | 0.079 | .263  |
| Age >65             | 0.057 | .420  |
| Tumor size ≤1 cm    | 0.161 | .023* |
| Tumor size >1 cm    | 0.561 | <.001* |
| Family history No   | 0.056 | .432  |
| Family history Yes  | 0.010 | .888  |

Spearman correlation analysis. OSCC: oral squamous cell carcinoma. *P < .05.

### Table 3

Effects of related parameters on the prognosis of oral squamous cell carcinoma based on univariate Logistic regression analysis.

| Characteristics     | Prognosis of OSCC |
|---------------------|-------------------|
|                     | OR   | 95% CI | P     |
| Gender Male         | 100  | 1      | .887  |
| Gender Female       | 100  | 1.041  | 0.597-1.815 |
| Age ≤65             | 78   | 1      | .012* |
| Age >65             | 122  | 2.102  | 1.180-3.746 |
| Tumor size ≤1 cm    | 99   | 1      | .261  |
| Tumor size >1 cm    | 101  | 1.376  | 0.788-2.404 |
| Family history No   | 80   | 1      | .418  |
| Family history Yes  | 120  | 1.264  | 0.717-2.229 |
| Tumor grade Low     | 101  | 1      | .023* |
| Tumor grade High    | 99   | 1.919  | 1.093-3.372 |
| COL11A1 Low         | 99   | 1      | <.001* |
| COL11A1 High        | 101  | 12.775 | 6.509-25.071 |
| Tumor stage Low     | 108  | 1      | .429  |
| Tumor stage High    | 92   | 1.253  | 0.716-2.190 |

95% CI = 95% confidence interval, OR = odds ratio. *P < .05.

### Table 4

Multivariate logistic regression analysis of the prognostic characteristics and influence of oral squamous cell carcinoma.

| Characteristics     | Prognosis of OSCC |
|---------------------|-------------------|
|                     | OR   | 95% CI | P     |
| Gender Male         | 0.847 | 0.422-1.699 | .640 |
| Gender Female       | 1.774 | 0.874-3.603 | .115 |
| Age ≤65             | 1.465 | 0.738-2.906 | .275 |
| Age >65             | 1.112 | 0.552-2.240 | .766 |
| Tumor size ≤1 cm    | 1.561 | 0.786-3.098 | .203 |
| Tumor size >1 cm    | 12.066 | 6.042-24.096 | <.001* |
| Tumor stage Low     | 1.009 | 0.503-2.022 | .981 |
| Tumor stage High    | 1.242 | 0.902-1.711 | .184 |

95% CI = 95% confidence interval, OR = odds ratio. OSCC = oral squamous cell carcinoma. *P < .05.

### Table 5

Influence of correlation characteristics on patient survival time based on multivariate Cox regression analysis.

| Characteristics     | Survival time |
|---------------------|---------------|
|                     | HR | 95% CI | P     |
| Gender Male         | 100 | 1      | .129  |
| Gender Female       | 100 | 1.275  | 0.932-1.743 |
| Age ≤65             | 78  | 1.592  | .005* |
| Age >65             | 122 | 1.150-2.205 |
| Tumor size ≤1 cm    | 101 | 1.066  | 0.781-1.454 |
| Tumor size >1 cm    | 99  | 1      | .687  |
| Family history No   | 80  | 0.929  | 0.675-1.278 |
| Family history Yes  | 120 | 1.460  | 1.067-1.999 |
| Tumor grade Low     | 99  | 1      | <.001* |
| Tumor grade High    | 101 | 1.848  | 1.340-2.548 |
| COL11A1 Low         | 99  | 1      | .160  |
| COL11A1 High        | 101 | 1.252  | 0.915-1.714 |

95% CI = 95% confidence intervals, HR = hazard ratios. *P < .05.

### Table 6

Influence of correlation characteristics on patient survival time based on multivariate Cox regression analysis.

| Characteristics     | Survival time |
|---------------------|---------------|
|                     | HR | 95% CI | P     |
| Gender              | 1.097 | 0.785-1.535 | .588 |
| Age                 | 1.379 | 0.976-1.949 | .068 |
| Tumor size ≤1 cm    | 1.086 | 0.779-1.464 | .682 |
| Tumor size >1 cm    | 0.959 | 0.694-1.326 | .801 |
| Tumor grade Low     | 1.466 | 1.064-2.020 | .019* |
| Tumor grade High    | 1.645 | 1.164-2.325 | .005* |
| Tumor stage Low     | 1.242 | 0.902-1.711 | .184 |
| Tumor stage High    | 1.242 | 0.902-1.711 | .184 |

95% CI = 95% confidence intervals, HR = hazard ratios. *P < .05.

with poor prognosis and mainly occurs in solid tumors. Role of COL11A1 as a cancer-specific but not inflammation-specific biomarker, but COL11A1 overexpression has been demonstrated in chronic inflammatory diseases such as osteoarthritis. COL11A1 is expressed not only in tumor cells, but also in other tumor-associated stromal cells. COL11A1 promotes cancer progression, metastasis, and drug resistance by binding to specific receptors and activating several key cell survival signaling pathways. COL11A1 is the only up-regulated collagen gene in the stroma of invasive cancer. Therefore, it is speculated that the expression level of COL11A1 may be related to OSCC.
However, there are some shortcomings in this study. Although clinical data have been examined and analyzed, the molecular mechanisms by which COL11A1 expression levels affect outcomes in patients with OSCC have not been validated in animal models. Therefore, future studies should focus on animal experiments to explore the molecular pathway and mechanism of COL11A1 in OSCC.

5. Conclusion:
COL11A1 expression level was significantly correlated with the occurrence of OSCC and the survival time of patients. High COL11A1 expression is associated with poor prognosis and short survival time in patients with OSCC. COL11A1, as a potential prognostic target of OSCC, provides a new direction for the molecular mechanism of its occurrence and development.
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