Desulfurization of Dibenzothiophene from Model Oil by Aluminum Ammonium Sulfate/Urea (Alum) Ionic Liquid Analogue
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Abstract

Petroleum is the most important source of energy which is required to be free of sulfur. Ionic liquid analogue was used to desulfurize Model oil at several conditions including different concentration of dibenzothiophene (DBT), time of extraction, temperature and ionic liquid analogue to Model volume ratios. The cheap and easy made ionic liquid analogue is a mixture of 1:5 mole ratio of Aluminum ammonium sulfate.12H2O: Urea (Alum). The desulfurization was followed by ATR-IR, GC-MS. Aluminum salt ionic liquid analogue was found to have more than 90% removal of DBT from its mixture with decane (Model). The process in the Alum solvent was attributed to combination of an absorption and possibly oxidation of DBT through interaction of sulfur with the oxygen of sulfate group of the ionic liquid analogue when the IR bands of sulfate and hydrogen bond of ionic liquid analogue were influenced strongly by this interaction. The removal of dibenothiophene was more effective at 30 °C and 10 min than higher temperature and longer time.
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1. Introduction

Petroleum is the most important source of energy for the world, it is a mixture of large number of hydrocarbon compounds, besides carbon and hydrogen it contains many elements in different proportions such as sulfur(0.02-8%), nitrogen(0.1-2%), oxygen(0.05-1.5%) with small quantities of metallic constituents such as vanadium, nickel [1-4]. Sulfur containing some compounds in fuel oil, such as thiophenes (T), benzothiophenes (BT), and dibenzothiophenes (DBT), produce sulfur oxides (SOx) upon combustion, which are responsible for acid rain pollution which also inhibit the catalyst during the desulfurization “DES” process of fuel oil in refining industries [5,6]. The removal of sulfur from transportation fuel is gaining attention due to the increased awareness of the adverse effects of burning sulfur containing oils on human health and the environment. Hence, desulfurization of fuel oils has become a critical component of the oil refining industries [7]. Therefore, removal of such sulfur-containing compounds is important for the production of green fuel oils to meet the new standards of sulfur content(10–15 ppm) as per the recommendations of the United State Protection Agency (USEPA), given the environmental concerns surrounding sulfur[8-11].

Many methods have been developed or innovated for used to remove sulfur compounds from crude oil and many studies have been developed for the purpose of development of these techniques [12-16]. Sulfur removal techniques depending on how the compound is removed, such as catalytic hydro-desulphurization (HDS). Simplicity of the DES process, extraction-desulphurization, has been widely researched mainly due to the low energy consumption, lower temperature and pressure operating conditions as compared to HDS[17]. Ionic liquids (ILs) have been for the two decades researched as a solvent for extraction-desulphurization. ILs are majorly formed from one type of discrete anion or cation held together via ionic bonding which contributes to very low vapor pressure with melting points less than 100°C. The possibility of tailoring the properties of ILs by altering the combination of the cations and anions as well as the non-volatility portrayed made ILs a good candidate to replace the conventional volatile organic solvents [18-20]. However, recent studies have raised concerns about the toxicity and poor biodegradability of ILs towards environmental damage [21-24]. Deep eutectic solvents are analogues to ILs that have attracted great attention because of their excellent physical properties which can be easily tuned for specific
uses. DESs consist of a mixture of two or more compounds having hydrogen-bond donors (HBD) and hydrogen-bond acceptors (HBA) that form a eutectic mixture [25]. Selection of suitable DESs is important as it affects the extraction efficiency. Triethylamine (TEtA) and organic acids have extraction efficiency around 50%. Some DESs are made from choline chloride, tetramethyl ammonium chloride, and TBAC as HBA and malonic acid, glycerol, tetra ethylene glycerol, ethylene glycol, polyethylene glycol, and propionate (Pr) as HBD [26-27]. The novel hydrated ammonium alum/urea DES or Ionic liquid analogue with reasonable thermal stability [28,29] was chosen for the first time for desulfurization as it also possess several distinct properties, including its immiscibility with fuel (non-polar decane) due to consisting of water molecules in its structure and having sulfate oxy-anion which expected to facilitates the separation process, as well as low cost and ease of preparation. Several important desulphurization conditions such as DES to Model ratio, temperatures and time of extraction and DBT concentration will be studied and to be followed by ATR-IR and GC-MS.

2. Experimental

Chemical Reagents: All the chemicals which used in this work were of the highest purity available and supplied without further purification. Decane (99%) of BDH, Dibenzothiophene (97%) of Riedel-De haen, Ammonium aluminum Sulfate.12-Hydrat (99%) of Merck, Urea (99.5 %) of FLUKA. Synthesis of Alum Ionic Liquid analogue: Ionic liquid analogue was prepared by mixing 1:5 mol ratio of Ammonium alum : urea respectively and mixed and heated at 75-85 °C for 3hrs with continues stirring, giving a colorless liquid when left to cool at room temperature [28,29]. Preparation of models: The models were prepared by dissolving certain weight of powder dibenzothiophene in a proper volume of decane, forming colorless liquid Models of required concentrations, for example a Model of 500 ppm prepared by dissolving 12.5 mg dibenzothiophene in 25ml of decade.

Desulurization process:
The desulurization process was carried out by mixing and mechanical stirring a proper volume of ionic liquid analogue with the model, then the mixture was heated to a temperature not exceeding 70°C or 3 hours. The heated mixtures were finally left to cool at room temperature.

3. Result and Discussion

Desulfurization of Model containing 500 ppm DBT at different Model:DES volume ratios: After the desulfurization, the final mixture was separated into two layers, the top hydrocarbon (decane) layer was clear transparent while the bottom layer changed from transparent before desulfurization to opaque layer. The volume ratios of Models containing 500 ppm DBT to ionic liquid analogue were 1:5, 2:5 and 3:5, heated to 30°C for 10 min.. Samples from the both layers were analyzed by ATR-IR and GC-MS as follows:

ATR-IR for the top hydrocarbon layer (decane layer) 30°C and 10min:
The main bands for all samples of ATR-IR are represented in Table (1), while the IR spectrum of decane alone in Figure 1-A , DBT alone in Figure 1-B , decane containing 500 ppm DBT (Model) in Figure1-C, Model after desulfurization with 1:5 volume ratio in Figure 4, Model after desulfurization with 1:5, 2:5 and 3:5 volume ratio in Figure 2 (B,C,D) respectively. The main bands for DBT and decane in pure material and in model before and after desulfurization indicated the vibrational bands of C-H(aliphatic) of decane at 2956 cm⁻¹ and DBT(aromatic) at 3050 cm⁻¹, while C=C of DBT at 1062 cm⁻¹. The most important band is the C=S band which is at 735cm⁻¹ in DBT which is taken as a representative band for the presence of DBT in the model. Hence it is found that this band has disappeared from the Model after desulfurization at volume ratios of eutectic solvent to model of (1:5, 2:5 and 3:5 respectively) see Fig.2. This was taken as an evidence for removal of DBT from the Model for shorter time of 10 minutes at 30°C, compare Figure 2-A with Figure 2-C,D,E. where the model retain the vibrational bands of pure decane after desulfurization.
**Table 1.** ATR-IR analysis for model desulfurized by IL analogue Alum, at 30°C, for 10min *

| groups | bands     | DBT      | Model 500 ppm. Before desulfurization (cm⁻¹) | Model 500 ppm. after desulfurization by Alum (1:5 IL:M) (cm⁻¹) | Model 500 ppm. after desulfurization by Alum (2:5 IL:M) (cm⁻¹) | Model 500 ppm. after desulfurization by Alum (3:5 IL:M) (cm⁻¹) |
|--------|-----------|----------|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| C-H    | 2956 (vs) | 3050 (m) | 2956 (vs)                                   | 2956 (vs)                                                 | 2956 (vs)                                                 | 2956 (vs)                                                 |
| C-H    | 2921 (vs) | -        | 2921 (vs)                                   | 2921 (vs)                                                 | 2921 (vs)                                                 | 2921 (vs)                                                 |
| C-H    | 2854 (vs) | -        | 2854 (vs)                                   | 2854 (vs)                                                 | 2854 (vs)                                                 | 2854 (vs)                                                 |
| C-H    | 1461 (s)  | 1459 (s) | 1461 (s)                                    | 1461 (s)                                                   | 1461 (s)                                                   | 1461 (ss)                                                  |
| C=C    | -         | 1062 (m) | -                                           | 1070 (m)                                                   | -                                                         | -                                                         |
| C-H    | 721 (m)   | -        | 721 (m)                                     | 721 (m)                                                    | 721 (m)                                                    | 721 (m)                                                    |
| C-S    | -         | 735 (vs) | 735 (w)                                     | -                                                         | -                                                         | -                                                         |

* vs: very strong, s: strong, m: medium, w: weak

**Figure 1.** ATR-IR of (A) Decane only (B) DBT alone and (C) Model containing 500 ppm DBT

**Figure 2.** ATR-IR of (A) Model containing 500 ppm DBT before DES (B) model after DES 1:5 volume ratio (B) Model after DES 2:5 volume ratio (C) Model after DES 3:5 volume ratio, at 30°C for 10 min.
IL analogue alone (Figure 3- A) showed the main bands of the hydrogen bond appears as a broad band from around 2500 cm$^{-1}$ to 3600 cm$^{-1}$ which is related to both intra hydrogen bond resulted from the hydrogen bonds of the water molecules in Aluminum ammonium sulfate.12 hydrate and the hydrogen bond resulted from the interaction of the hydrogen of water crystal structure of Alum with oxygen of sulfate. Hydrogen bond of the DES showed broad band too with a top band at 3200 cm$^{-1}$, 3342 cm$^{-1}$ and 3457 cm$^{-1}$ [30,31]. Other bands at lower frequencies are of bending N-H, C=O and C-N vibration at 1631cm$^{-1}$, 1579cm$^{-1}$ and 1458 cm$^{-1}$ respectively. At lower frequency the sulfate vibration band appeared as broad band centered at 1054 cm$^{-1}$. IL analogue after desulfurization (Figure 3: B-C-D) showed major changes in its vibrational frequencies as showed in Fig. 3 that are summarized in Table 2. The major changes appeared at the sulfate band which although it centered at the close vibrational frequency of 1058 cm$^{-1}$ to that before desulfurization (1062 cm$^{-1}$) but it showed many shoulder peaks on its low and higher side frequency with broader shape than it was in the IL analogue before desulfurization. In addition, the broad hydrogen bond band at 2500 cm$^{-1}$ to 3600 cm$^{-1}$ in IL analogue alone showed a separated bands at 3344 cm$^{-1}$ and 3457 cm$^{-1}$ which may be attributed to NH2 vibration bands near a broad band at 2500 cm$^{-1}$ to 3100 cm$^{-1}$ of the hydrogen bonds and an O-H at 3736 cm$^{-1}$ of water. [31,32]. It may be worth indicating that the N-H, C=O, C-N and the back bone of the Alum alone remain nearly unchanged after extraction, band at 2837 cm$^{-1}$ related to C-H vibration indicating the presence of DBT. These vibration changes indicated that the DBT was successfully removed from the model due to interacted with the Alum probably at the oxygen of the sulfate (i.e. DBT-S$^-$-OS) thereby broaden the sulfate vibrational band with many shoulders. This interaction would weaken the sulfate interaction which originally hydrogen bond was broken and the amine and water groups were released from the interaction with the sulfate to show a separate bands at higher frequencies of 3344 cm$^{-1}$ and 3457 cm$^{-1}$ for amines group and 3736 cm$^{-1}$ for water molecule. This is in agreement to a suggested mechanism of extracting sulfur by DES in which hydrogen bond is highlighted as a major factor which influences the DES[26, 33-35]. This was said to arise from the aromatic structure of DBT is weakened by the strong interaction between deep eutectic solvents and DBT thus susceptible to oxidation. Secondly, there is π-complexation between sulphur atoms and metal ions thereby DBT is oxidized to DBTO2 (sulfones).

The effect of changing reaction conditions:
Lower concentration of 100 ppm of the Model was taken to evaluate the efficiency of the DES to desulfurize the model at 70 °C as many workers carried out their process at around 70 °C which might enhance a reaction between DBT with the oxygen of the sulfate producing sulfoxide [26,36]. Model volume ratio at 70°C for 1 hour is presented in Figure 4 (B), while the main vibrational group are presented in Table (3), it is shown that the vibrational frequency of the hydrogen bond at 2500 cm$^{-1}$ to 2600 cm$^{-1}$ was not effected while bands around 2800 cm$^{-1}$ referred to C-H frequency and small broadening of the sulfate band centered at 1058 cm$^{-1}$ was also recorded. This was attributed to little interaction between DBT and the IL solvent. To evaluate the time effect, this experiment was carried out for 2hrs and 3hrs respectively. The ATR-IR of both experiments are presented in Figure 4 (C) and (D) respectively, while their main vibrational bands are shown in Table (3). After 2hrs the results were similar to those obtained previously at 10 min. and 30 C as the sulfate band was broadened with several shoulders and the appearance of separated bands of N-H and OH. This changes indicated a much better extraction of DBT at 70°C for 2hrs than the 1hr. These are in contrast to other reports that indicate lower extraction of sulfur at high temperature and or time [26, 27,37-39]. This might be related to inhibition of the exothermic reaction related to acid-base complexation suggested at high temperature [40]. This contrast between reported and present work could be related to the DES used in this work that have sulfate ions which could provide enhanced interaction with the sulfur benzene rings. However, the ATR-IR after the desulfurization for 3hrs at 70°C Figure 4 (D) indicate the Alum DES to have no changes in its frequencies as they retained that of IL analogue before desulfurization. This was attributed to the time effect as it exceeded the threshold of optimum desulfurization and attributed to evaporation of the desulfurized DBT from IL solvent.
### Table 2. ATR-IR vibration bands of Alum IL before and after desulfurization of model containing 500ppm DBT*. *vs: very strong, s:strong, m:medium, w:weak

| Group of ionic liquid alone before desulfurization (cm⁻¹) | Ionic liquid after desulfurization, at 30°C, 10min. |
|----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| **Group** | **Band** | **Ratio 1:5 (IL:M) cm⁻¹** | **Ratio 2:5 (IL:M) cm⁻¹** | **Ratio 4:5 (IL:M) cm⁻¹** |
| O-H (H₂O) | _ | 3736 (m) | 3736 (m) | 3736 (m) |
| N-H | _ | 3674 (m) | 3674 (m) | 3674 (m) |
| N-H | _ | 3459 (m) | 3457 (m) | 3444 (m) |
| N-H | 3342 (vs) | 3347 (m) | 3344 (m) | 3345 (m) |
| N-H | 3200 (vs) | 3200 (s) | 3200 (s) | 3200 (s) |
| N-H | 3100 (s) | 3100 (s) | 3100 (s) | 3100 (s) |
| C-H of decane | _ | 2850 (s) | 2837 (s) | 2829 (s) |
| N-H | 1623 (vs) | 1631 (vs) | 1629 (vs) | 1630 (vs) |
| C=O | 1579 (vs) | 1581 (vs) | 1580 (vs) | 1580 (vs) |
| C=N | 1458 (vs) | 1461 (vs) | 1463 (vs) | 1461 (vs) |
| SO₄ | 1054 (vs) | 1056 (vs) | 1058 (vs) | 1058 (vs) |
| C-S from DBT | _ | 738 (w) | 738 (w) | 738 (w) |
| Urea backbone | 603 (m) | 608 (m) | 610 (m) | 610 (m) |
| Urea backbone | 523 (m) | 532 (m) | 530 (m) | 537 (m) |

**Figure 3.** ATR-IR for (A) IL before DES (B) IL after DES Volume ratio 1:5 (C) IL after DES Volume ratio 2:5 (D) IL after DES Volume ratio 3:5.
Scheme 1. Mechanism of DBT oxidation

Table 3. ATR-IR vibrational bands of DESs at different desulfurization conditions.*. *vs: very strong, s: strong, m: medium, w: weak

| Group of alone ionic liquid before desulfurization (cm⁻¹) | Ionic liquid after desulfurization at 70°C, 3:5(IL:Model) volume mole ratio (cm⁻¹) | Time: 1 hour | Time: 2 hours | Time: 3 hours |
|----------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| O-H (water)                                              |                                                                                 | -           | 3736 (m)    | -           |
| N-H                                                      |                                                                                 | -           | 3675 (m)    | -           |
| N-H                                                      |                                                                                 | -           | 3464 (m)    | -           |
| N-H                                                      | 3342 (vs)                                                                        | 3342 (vs)   | 3346 (vs)   | 3343 (vs)   |
| N-H                                                      | 3200 (vs)                                                                        | 3200 (s)    | 3100 (s)    | 3100 (s)    |
| N-H                                                      | 3100 (s)                                                                         | 3100 (s)    | 3100 (s)    | 3100 (s)    |
| N-H₂                                                     | 1626 (s)                                                                         | 1626 (s)    | 1637 (s)    | 1635 (s)    |
| C=O                                                      | 1579 (s)                                                                         | 1579 (s)    | 1572 (s)    | 1584 (s)    |
| C-N                                                      | 1458 (s)                                                                         | 1458 (s)    | 1475 (s)    | 1449 (s)    |
| SO₄                                                      | 1054 (s)                                                                         | 1058 (s)    | 1062 (s)    | 1057 (s)    |
| C-S                                                      |                                                                                 | 734 (w)     | 737 (m)     | -           |
| Urea backbone                                            | 603 (m)                                                                          | 601 (m)     | 608 (m)     | 601 (m)     |
|                                                           | Urea backbone                                                                     | 523 (m)     | 530 (m)     | 541 (m)     | 529 (m)     |
Figure 4. ATR-IR of (A) deep eutectic solvent after DES of model containing 100 ppm at 70°C, volume ratio 3:5, for (B) 1 hr (C) 2 hrs (D) 3 hrs.

Figure 5. GC-MS for model 100 ppm before desulfurization.

Figure 6. GC-MS for DBT.
GC-MS analysis:
The GC-MS analysis was carried out for the model containing 100 ppm DBT in decane before and after desulfurization at 70°C for 1hr and for the model as illustrated below. The model containing 100ppm befor desulfurization. Figure 5 showed a retention time of RT 19.175 min with 100% area which is related to DBT. This gave the MS analysis with a molecule weight of 184 and a formula of DBT as shown in Figure 6. The model containing 100 ppm after desulfurization. The GC-MS analysis for the model containing 100 ppm DBT after desulfurization with Alum deep eutectic solvent at 3:5 volume ratio of IL solvent: model containing 100ppm DBT at 70°C for 1hr is shown in Figure 7. This Figure contain several retention times which are related to n-decane (RT 6.288 with 94.90% area) and tri methyl decane (RT 5.216 with 4.97% area) both resulted in 99.87% area. The remaining 0.13% area could resulted from cracking of some DBT to several cyclic or aliphatic compounds with RT from 6.438 to 6.613. However, RT 11.562 could be related to pentaedione while RT of 19.166 with molecule weight of 184 is similar to DBT. It may be deduced from the GC analysis that DBT was either completely removed from the model after desulfurization by Alum deep eutectic solvent or at least was removed by 92.94% if the RT 19.66 of 0.0075% area to be DBT of 184 molecular weight. It was suggest that the 92.94% removal is more realistic conclusion. This is in agreement with the ATR-IR result of Figure 4 which showed an interaction of DBT with the sulfate group.
4. Conclusions

The desulfurization extraction of dibenzothiophene by ionic liquid analogue (DES) of Aluminum Ammonium sulfate.12H2O-urea (1:5) mole was successful. The extraction performed at low temperature of 30°C and short time (10min) is more effective than increasing temperature to 70°C and time to 3 hrs which showed reversible process as the extracted DBT was cracked forming probability sulfoxide compounds. The extraction of DBT was more than 90% supporting the compatible efficiency with other ionic liquids. In addition it is the first time that extraction by ionic liquid analogue (DES) of Aluminum was more than 90% supporting the compatible efficiency with other ionic liquids. In addition it is the first time that extraction by ionic liquid analogue (DES) of Aluminum desulfurization by mild oxidative extraction of DBT.
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