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Abstract

Background: Polycomb-group (PcG) and trithorax-group (trxG) proteins regulate histone methylation to establish repressive and active chromatin configurations at target loci, respectively. These chromatin configurations are passed on from mother to daughter cells, thereby causing heritable changes in gene expression. The activities of PcG and trxG proteins are regulated by a special class of proteins known as Enhancers of trithorax and Polycomb (ETP). The Drosophila gene Additional sex combs (Asx) encodes an ETP protein and mutations in Asx enhance both PcG and trxG mutant phenotypes. The mouse and human genomes each contain three Asx homologues, Asx-like 1, 2, and 3. In order to understand the functions of mammalian Asxl-like (Asxl) proteins, we generated an Asxl2 mutant mouse from a gene-trap ES cell line.

Methodology/Principal Findings: We show that the Asxl2 gene trap is expressed at high levels in specific tissues including the heart, the axial skeleton, the neocortex, the retina, spermatogonia and developing oocytes. The gene trap mutation is partially embryonic lethal and approximately half of homozygous animals die before birth. Homozygotes that survive embryogenesis are significantly smaller than controls and have a shortened life span. Asxl2⁺/− mice display both posterior transformations and anterior transformation in the axial skeleton, suggesting that the loss of Asxl2 disrupts the activities of both PcG and trxG proteins. The PcG-associated histone modification, trimethylation of histone H3 lysine 27, is reduced in Asxl2⁺/− heart. Necropsy and histological analysis show that mutant mice have enlarged hearts and may have impaired heart function.

Conclusions/Significance: Our results suggest that murine Asxl2 has conserved ETP function and plays dual roles in the promotion of PcG and trxG activity. We have also revealed an unexpected role for Asxl2 in the heart, suggesting that the PcG/trxG system may be involved in the regulation of cardiac function.

Introduction

Polycomb Group (PcG) proteins and their antagonists, trithorax Group (trxG) proteins, were identified in Drosophila as transcriptional repressors and activators of homeotic genes (Hox genes), respectively [1–3]. Mutations in PcG and trxG genes disrupt the specification of anterior-posterior (A/P) positional information and lead to homeotic transformations. In addition to their roles in A/P patterning, PcG and trxG proteins are involved in many developmental processes and diseases [4–7]. They have been found to regulate the expression of hundreds of genes in mammals, insects, and plants.

PcG and trxG proteins function at the level of chromatin, and their functional mechanisms are highly conserved. PcG proteins function by forming three multi-protein complexes, PRC1, PRC2, and PhoRC. Genetic and biochemical studies have led to the current model in which the complexes work together to establish and maintain methylation marks, primarily on the tail of histone H3 [4–8]. The PhoRC complex contains sequence-specific DNA binding activity and also interacts with mono- and di-methylated lysine 27 of histone H3 (H3K27 [9–11]). It has been proposed that PhoRC plays the critical role of recognizing hypomethylated nucleosomes around upstream regulatory elements of PcG target genes. The PRC2 complex contains histone methyl-transferase (HMTase) activity that trimethylates H3K27 [12–14]. H3K27me3 is a well-known mark for silenced chromatin and is associated with promoters and regulatory elements of PcG target genes. The PRC1 complex binds H3K27me3 and prevents chromatin remodeling, thereby maintaining target chromatin regions in the silenced state [15,16].

trxG proteins also function in multi-subunit complexes. Three trxG complexes, the SET1-like complex, the BRM complex and the MLL supercomplex, have been purified in mammalian cells. The SET1-like complex contains HMTase activities and trimethylates lysine 4 of histone H3 [17]. H3K4me3 is tightly associated with the promoter regions of transcriptionally active loci [18–19].
The BRM complex contains the SWI/SNF chromatin-remodeling ATPase BRM and mediates ATP-dependent nucleosome sliding [20]. The MLL supercomplex contains both HMTase activities and chromatin remodeling activities [21].

PcG and trxG mutations have opposite effects on axial patterning. PcG mutations cause posterior transformations and trxG mutations cause anterior transformations [22]. In addition, genetic experiments in Drosophila show that most PcG and trxG mutations are reciprocally suppressive. These observations are consistent with the opposing functions of PcG and trxG proteins to establish silenced and active chromatin structures, respectively. Surprisingly, mutations in a set of genes originally identified as PcG genes (\textit{Axx}, \textit{Et}[^2], \textit{E(Po)}, \textit{Pic}, \textit{Smn} and \textit{Su}[^2]) were later found to enhance trxG phenotypes [23]. Further studies suggest that these genes play dual roles in PcG-mediated transcriptional repression and trxG-mediated transcriptional activation of \textit{Hox} genes. The genes were proposed to form the “Enhancers of trithorax and Polycomb” (ETP) group, which is distinct from both PcG and trxG [23]. More ETP genes have since been identified and added to the list [24–25]. Despite the importance of ETP genes in promoting PcG and trxG activity, the mechanism by which ETP proteins function is largely unknown. One hypothesis suggests that ETP proteins may help recruit PcG and trxG complexes to target chromatin. Consistent with this hypothesis, a few ETP proteins have been shown to associate with PcG complexes at least transiently [26–27]. Moreover, several ETP proteins have been localized to the nucleus and on polytene chromosomes, including two that bind to upstream maintenance elements of \textit{Hox} genes [28–30].

\textit{Drosophila Additional sex combs (Asxl2)} gene was one of the 6 original ETP genes identified [23]. Mutations in \textit{Axx} cause both posterior transformation (PcG phenotype) and anterior transformation (trxG phenotype) [31]. In addition, \textit{Axx} genetically interacts with both PcG and trxG genes [32–33]. Consistent with the hypothesized role of ETP proteins in PcG and trxG recruitment to target chromatin, \textit{Axx} is a nuclear protein that binds distinct loci on polytene chromosomes [30]. However, it is not known with which PcG/trxG protein(s) \textit{Axx} interacts, what biochemical activity it has, or which development process(es) it regulates. Most published studies on \textit{Drosophila Axx} were performed with gain-of-function or hypomorphic alleles, complicating the interpretation of data.

The mouse and human genomes each contain three \textit{Axx} homologues, \textit{Axx-like} 1, 2, and 3 [34–36]. In order to understand the role of ETP in mammals, we have generated gene trap mutant mice for \textit{Axx-like} 2 (\textit{Asxl2}). Analyses of \textit{Asxl2} mutant mice suggest that murine \textit{Asxl2} has conserved functions in the regulation of PcG and trxG. Furthermore, we found an unexpected role for \textit{Asxl2} in the regulation of heart function.

**Results**

**Generation of Asxl2 mutant mice**

To generate mice mutant for \textit{Asxl2}, we took advantage of the gene-trap ES cell line AQ0536 made by the Gene-Trap Consortium [37]. In the AQ0536 line, the gene trap cassette integrated within the first intron of \textit{Asxl2}. We mapped the exact site of integration to 5016 bp downstream of exon 1 (Fig. 1A). Comparison of the sequence of the 15-kb \textit{Asxl2} intron 1 to homologous sequences in human and rat showed that the gene trap insertion does not disrupt any conserved sequence module (Fig. 1B). Using RT-PCR, we confirmed that transcription of the trapped allele (\textit{Asxl2}++) produces an mRNA in which the first exon of \textit{Asxl2} is joined to the gene trap cassette (Fig. 1C). The cassette contains a polyA signal at the 3’ end, interrupting endogenous splicing and causing translation to stop. Thus, the \textit{Asxl2}++ allele encodes a fusion protein containing the first 19 amino acids of \textit{Asxl2} joined to the β-gal reporter (Fig. 1D). This fusion protein is likely functionally null because it is missing all the conserved domains of wild-type \textit{Asxl2}. We injected AQ0536 cells into mouse blastocysts to generate gene-trapped mice. The wild-type and the \textit{Asxl2}++ alleles are readily distinguishable by genotyping PCR (Fig. 1E).

To determine whether the insertion of the gene trap cassette prevents the production of wild-type \textit{Asxl2} transcript, we have used real-time PCR to measure the level of \textit{Asxl2} transcripts in the heart, where \textit{Asxl2} is normally expressed abundantly. The level of \textit{Asxl2} transcripts in the mutant heart is ~5% of the wild-type level (Fig. 1F), suggesting that the gene trap insertion effectively though not completely prevented production of wild-type transcripts. The residual level of \textit{Asxl2} transcripts could be the result of residual endogenous splicing, or it could represent a low level of transcription isoform produced from an alternative promoter downstream of the gene trap integration site. We conclude that \textit{Asxl2}++ is a severe loss-of-function allele.

**The expression pattern of the Asxl2 gene trap in developing embryos and postnatal tissues**

Because gene trapping puts the β-gal reporter under the transcriptional control of the endogenous gene’s promoter, \textit{lacZ} expression often reflects the expression pattern of the endogenous gene. X-gal stainings showed that the \textit{Asxl2} gene trap is expressed at low levels broadly and at high levels in a tissue-specific manner in developing embryos (Fig. 2A-D). In particular, the \textit{Asxl2} gene trap is highly expressed in the heart at all developmental stages examined, starting in E9.5 embryos (Fig. 2A) and continuing throughout embryogenesis (Fig. 2B-D). Strong expression was also detected in the axial skeleton, where PcG/trxG proteins have a well-known role in the regulation of anterior-posterior patterning. Other embryonic organs that express the \textit{Asxl2} gene trap at high levels include the neocortex, the spinal cord and the eye.

The postnatal expression of the \textit{Asxl2} gene trap is highly tissue-specific. Expression was detected in the heart, the retina, the ovary and the testis (Fig. 2E, F, H, J), but not in the liver, the brain, the spleen, the pancreas, or the lung (data not shown). While the \textit{Asxl2} gene trap is ubiquitously expressed in the cardiac muscle, it is not expressed in the skeletal muscle (compare Fig. 2E and 2G). In the retina, the \textit{Asxl2} gene trap is expressed in the outer nuclear layer and in a subset of cells in the ganglion cell layer (Fig. 2H). The \textit{Asxl2} gene trap is also expressed during gametogenesis of both sexes. Its expression during spermatogenesis is restricted to the primary spermatocytes and is not detectable in secondary spermatocytes and other post-meiotic (haploid) cells (Fig. 2I). In the ovary, the \textit{Asxl2} gene trap is expressed in the oocyte in follicles of all stages (Fig. 2J and data not shown).

**Asxl2 is required for embryonic and postnatal development**

When \textit{Asxl2}++ animals were backcrossed to wild-type mice, the genotypes of pups segregated at the expected 1:1 ratio. However, among 220 pups born to matings between heterozygous parents, only 28 were homozygous mutants (\textit{Asxl2}--), significantly less than the Mendelian ratio (Table 1). Among the 28, 23 survived to weaning and 5 died within 2–3 days of birth. The number of homozygous pups was less than half of what was expected, suggesting that more than half of \textit{Asxl2}++ mice died before birth. The exact time and cause of embryonic loss has not been determined.
Figure 1. Generation of Asxl2 mutant mice. (A) Schematic representation of the gene trap allele of murine Asxl2 (not drawn to scale). Exon 1 contains the 5'UTR (the narrow portion of the red box) and coding sequence for the first 19 amino acids of Asxl2. The gene trap cassette (blue rectangle) is inserted 5,016 bp downstream of exon 1. P1, P2 and P3: primers used in genotyping PCRs. R1, R2 and R3: primers used in RT-PCR analysis of the wild-type and gene trapped transcripts. (B) Conservation between mouse Asxl2 intron 1 and corresponding sequences in human ASXL2 and rat Asxl2 loci. The mouse, human and rat sequences were compared by SLAGAN. The 15,261-bp intron 1 of mouse Asxl2 was used as the base sequence. The top two panels show distribution of conserved sequence modules within the entire length of mouse Asxl2 intron 1. The bottom two panels show close-up views of 500 bp upstream and 500 bp downstream of the gene trap insertion site, which is indicated by red arrows. (C) RT-PCR analysis of the AQ0356 gene trap ES cell line. Transcripts from the wild-type (+) and mutant (–) alleles are detected with primer sets R1/R2 and R1/R3, respectively. The RT-PCR product in the mutant lane reflects splicing event that joins exon 1 with β-geo. (D) Schematic representation of the domain
Asxl2 mice that survived past weaning fall into two categories. A small number (5 out of 23, or 21.7%) of the surviving mutants were runts. The runt mutants weighed an average of 4.7 grams at 3 weeks, less than 40% of the normal weaning weight (Fig. 3A). Although we kept the runt mutants with their mothers beyond the normal weaning age, the animals failed to thrive and remained extremely small. All runts died prematurely within two months of birth. The rest (18 out of 23, or 78.3%) of the mutants were also smaller than control littermates (Fig 3A), but they were successfully weaned and steadily gained weight as they grew older (Fig. 3B). We refer to these animals as non-runt mutants. The average weaning weights for non-runt mutant males and females were 7.5 grams and 9.5 grams, respectively (Fig 3A). Despite their small size, we did not find gross morphological abnormality in either runt or non-runt mutant structures of Drosophila Asx, vertebrate Asxl1, Asxl2, Asxl3 and the predicted protein product from the Asxl2 allele. The ASXH (green box) and PHD (red box) domains are conserved from flies to mammals. The ASXL1 boxes 1 and 2 (blue and orange boxes) are conserved in the three mammalian Asx-like proteins but are not present in Asx. The mutant protein contains the first 19 amino acids of Asxl2 joined to β-geo. None of the conserved domains is present in the mutant protein. (E) PCR genotyping of genomic DNA from Asxl2 wild-type (+/+), heterozygous (+/−) and mutant (−/−) mice. P1 and P2 generate a 250-bp product from the wild-type allele. P1 and P3 generate a 480-bp product from the mutant allele. (F) Real-time RT-PCR quantification of wild-type Asxl2 transcripts in Asxl2 wild-type (+/+), heterozygous (+/−) and mutant (−/−) hearts. The transcript levels in heterozygous and mutant hearts were 52.1% and 3.0% of that in wild-type hearts, respectively.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0004750.g001

Figure 2. The expression pattern of the Asxl2 gene trap in developing embryos and adult organs. Developing embryos and postnatal organs were stained with X-gal. (A) A whole-mount E9.5 embryo. (B–D) Cryo-sections of whole-mount stained E10.5 (B), E12.5 (C) and E14.5 (D) embryos. (E) Top view of a whole-mount stained postnatal heart showing strong X-gal staining in the heart but not in the aorta. (F) Cryo-section of a whole-mount stained postnatal heart. The skeletal muscle in (G) and the heart in (F) were taken from the same animal and stained together. There was no detectable X-gal activity in the skeletal muscle. (H) Cryo-section of the retina in a whole-mount stained eye. Arrows point to stained cells in the ganglion cell layer. (I) Cross-section of a seminiferous tubule in a whole-mount stained testis showing expression in the primary spermatocytes. (J) Cross-section of an early tertiary follicle in a whole-mount stained ovary, showing expression in the oocyte (arrow). The blue color around the periphery of the follicle (arrowhead) was non-specific and also detected in wild-type samples. Phr: 1st pharyngeal arch; A: common atrial chamber; AS: aorta sac; SV: sinus venosus; Som: somites; V: common ventricular chamber; TA: truncus arteriosus; H: heart; AS: axial skeleton; NC: neocortex; RV: right ventricle; LV: left ventricle; ONL: outer nuclear layer.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0004750.g002

Table 1. Recovered progeny of intercrosses between Asxl2+/− mice.

| Total number of pups | Genotype |
|----------------------|----------|
|                      | +/+      | +/−     | −/−     |
| Actual number        | 220      | 58      | 137     | 28      |
| Expected* number     | n/a      | 58      | 116     | 58      |
| Chi square           | n/a      | 0.935   | 10.465  |
| p value              | n/a      | 0.33    | 0.0012  |

*based on the number of wild-type pups.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0004750.t001

Asxl2−/− mice that survived past weaning fall into two categories. A small number (5 out of 23, or 21.7%) of the surviving mutants were runts. The runt mutants weighed an average of ~4.7 grams at 3 weeks, less than 40% of the normal weaning weight (Fig. 3A). Although we kept the runt mutants with their mothers beyond the normal weaning age, the animals failed to thrive and remained extremely small. All runts died prematurely within two months of birth. The rest (18 out of 23, or 78.3%) of the mutants were also smaller than control littermates (Fig 3A), but they were successfully weaned and steadily gained weight as they grew older (Fig. 3B). We refer to these animals as non-runt mutants. The average weaning weights for non-runt mutant males and females were 7.5 grams and 9.5 grams, respectively (Fig 3A). Despite their small size, we did not find gross morphological abnormality in either runt or non-runt mutant
mice. Because very few runt mutants were born and most of them died soon after birth, all the subsequent analyses were done with non-runt mutants.

**Asxl2 mutant skeletons display both posterior and anterior transformations**

PcG and trxG proteins play central roles in the repression and activation of *Hox* genes, respectively. In mouse PcG and trxG mutants, the expression of *Hox* genes is misregulated, leading to abnormal patterning of the axial skeleton. Consistent with the expression of the *Asxl2* gene trap in the axial skeleton (Fig. 2) and with the hypothesis that the mouse *Asxl2* is part of the PcG/trxG/ETP system, analyses of whole-mount skeletons of *Asxl2*−/− mice revealed a number of axial skeletal abnormalities that are reminiscent of skeletal phenotypes observed in PcG, trxG, ETP and *Hox* mutant mice (Fig. 4, summarized in Table 2). The most penetrant phenotype involves the sacrum of all mutant mice. Mice normally have four sacral vertebrae (S1–4) with wing-shaped lateral processes. In all (7 out of 7) wild-type skeletons examined, S1–3 fuse at the tip of their lateral processes to form the articular surface that contacts the ilium, while S4 does not fuse with S3 (Fig. 4A). In contrast, the lateral processes of S1–3 fail to fuse in all (13 out of 13) *Asxl2*−/− skeletons examined (Fig. 4B). Absence of fusion between S1/S2 or S2/S3 suggests that S2 and S3 may have...
Table 2. Summary of skeletal defects observed in Asxl2 deficient mice.

| Genotype | +/- | +/- | +/- |
|---------|----|----|----|
| # skeletons examined | 7  | 10 | 13 |
| Split C1 | 0  | 0  | 3  |
| Split C2 | 0  | 0  | 2  |
| Split C5 | 0  | 0  | 1  |
| Split C6 | 0  | 0  | 1  |
| Split T1 | 0  | 0  | 7  |
| T4 to T3 | 0  | 0  | 2  |
| T7 to T6 | 0  | 0  | 3  |
| Split T11 | 0  | 0  | 1  |
| T13 rib on one side | 0  | 1  | 0  |
| L6 to S1 on one side | 0  | 0  | 2  |
| S1/2 do not fuse | 0  | 0  | 13 |
| S2 to S3 | 0  | 0  | 6  |
| S2/3 do not fuse | 0  | 5  | 13 |
| S3 to S4 | 0  | 0  | 13 |

*The lack of S1/S2 fusion is not always accompanied by an obvious transformation of S2 to S3. 

**The lack of S2/S3 fusion is not always accompanied by an obvious transformation of S3 to S4. 

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0004750.t002

Asxl2 mutant animals die prematurely with enlarged hearts

Although the non-runt mutants lived past weaning and grew in size, they remained significantly smaller than control littermates. Furthermore, they became lethargic and moribund as they aged, dying prematurely between 2 and 7 months. Necropsy of three moribund non-runt mutant mice showed that they have disproportionately large hearts when compared to their small body size. Histological examination found signs of myocyte disarray and interstitial fibrosis in the hearts of older, moribund mutant animals (Fig. 5B, D), but not in age-matched control animals (Fig. 5A, C) or in younger mutants (data not shown). This suggests that the old mutants had decreased heart function and may have experienced heart failure. We compared the heart/body weight ratio of mutant animals at various ages with that of age- and sex-matched littermates. The average heart/body weight ratios were higher in mutant animals than in control animals at all three time points (Fig. 5E). The difference between mutants and controls were statistically significant in 2-month-old and 3-month-old animals (p = 0.03 and p = 0.02, respectively). This suggests that an enlarged heart could be a primary condition that existed before the animals became visibly sick. The increased heart/body weight ratio is unlikely an artifact of the mutant animals’ growth defect, because the liver/body weight ratio is comparable between the mutant and control animals (data not shown). An enlarged heart in humans is a symptom associated with a number of cardiovascular diseases and is often found during heart failure. Although the exact cause of death is not known for Asxl2/−/− animals, heart enlargement in these animals may have compromised heart function and contributed to the animals’ deteriorating health.

**Discussion**

Expression pattern of Asxl2

The Asxl2 gene trap is expressed in a tissue-specific manner in both embryos and adult animals (Fig. 2). Drosophila Asx has been previously shown to be ubiquitously expressed from the blastoderm stage and on in the embryos [30]. There was no distinct...
Northern blot probe used for *Asxl2* may have cross-hybridized with *Asxl1* and/or *Asxl3* transcripts. The 1.1-kb probe was made from the EST clone AW496276, for which a partial sequence (588 bp) is available from the IMAGE consortium. We blasted the mouse genome with this partial sequence and found a 344-bp region and a 379-bp region that exhibit sequence homology (69% and above) with *Asxl1* and *Asxl2* transcripts, respectively. The entire 1.1-kb probe may contain additional homologous sequences. Finally, *Asxl2* gene has been suggested to have multiple transcript isoforms. If any of the isoforms is transcribed from an alternative promoter downstream of the gene trap cassette insertion site, it would not be detected in the X-gal stainings. Further analyses, including Northern blots and *in situ* hybridizations using highly *Asxl2*-specific probes and immunohistochemistry studies of the *Asxl2* protein, are needed to determine the expression pattern of endogenous *Asxl2* and to fully understand the discrepancy between our result and previous reports.

Interesting heart phenotypes and axial skeleton phenotypes were observed in *Asxl2* mutant animals, suggesting that the expression of the gene trap in the heart and in the axial skeleton is probably reflective of endogenous expression and function in these tissues. Because of the strong expression of the *Asxl2* gene trap in the heart and in the axial skeleton, the current report characterized the heart and skeleton phenotypes in further details and focused on the heart when examining the effects of the gene trap on endogenous *Asxl2* transcription (Fig. 1F) and on histone modifications (Fig. 5F, G).

The *Asxl2* gene trap is expressed in the retina (Fig 2H); Northern blot and EST profile also suggested that *Asxl2* is...
expressed in the eye [34]. Consistent with this expression, some Asxl2 mutant mice have smaller, degenerating eyes compared to wild-type animals (data not shown). A role for Asxl2 in the eye has been previously speculated because a balanced reciprocal translocation between human ASXL2 and the Gr-4-2 locus was discovered in a patient with bilateral colobomas in the retina and iris [41]. Eye phenotypes in mice are severely influenced by genetic background. Due to the mixed genetic background of animals examined in the current report, the eye phenotypes of Asxl2 mutant mice were not characterized.

**Functional conservation of Asxl2**

Analyses of Asxl2<sup>−/−</sup> mice show that Asxl2 has an important role in animal survival and growth. The loss of Asxl2 activity results in partial embryonic lethality, shortened life span of adult animals, and a reduction in size and body weight. Similar phenotypes have been previously described for mice mutant for the PcG gene M33 or the ETP gene Bmi-1 [42–43]. Both M33 and Bmi-1 are associated with the PcG complex PRC1 [44]. Detailed analyses of the genetic and biochemical interactions between Asxl2, M33 and Bmi-1 are needed to shed light on whether and where Asxl2 regulates the function of the PRC1 complex.

Asxl2<sup>−/−</sup> mice exhibit a number of axial skeletal abnormalities (Fig. 4H), indicating that Asxl2 has a role in the patterning of the axial skeleton, where PcG, trxG and other known ETP genes function. The trademark characteristic for ETP genes is their dual roles in promoting both PcG and trxG activities. Such dual roles have been shown for Drosophila Asx. We observed both posttranscriptional (S3→S4 and S2→S3) and anterior transformations (T7→T6 and T4→T3) in Asxl2<sup>−/−</sup> skeletons, suggesting that the loss of Asxl2 disrupts both PcG and trxG activities in the axial skeleton (Fig. 4). A compromise in PcG-associated HMTase activity was also observed in Asxl2<sup>−/−</sup> hearts, which exhibit reduced global level of H3K27me3 (Fig. 5F). It has been previously shown that knockdown of E(z), the HMTase subunit of PRC2, abolishes H3K27me3 in Drosophila [45]. Similarly, knocking down of Ezh2, a human homolog of E(z), led to a global decrease in H3K27me3 in cancer cells [46]. We notice that while knockdown of EZH2 2 leads to a global decrease in H3K27me3, the level of H3K4me3 did not exhibit obvious change in Asxl2<sup>−/−</sup> hearts (Fig. 5G). This observation could suggest a tissue difference in Asxl2's function: it may regulate trxG activity in the axial skeleton but not in the heart. However, there are several alternative explanations. It is possible that Asxl2 regulates trxG activity at only a subset of trxG target loci. In this case, the loss of Asxl2 may reduce H3K4me3 at the promoters of these loci with significant impact on the level of bulk H3K4me3. Testing this hypothesis will require the identification of Asxl2 target loci and examination of local H3K4me3 levels by chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP). Alternatively, Asxl2 may specifically regulate the ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling activity but not the HMTase activity of trxG complexes. It will be interesting to compare the chromatin remodeling activity of trxG complexes purified from wild-type and Asxl2<sup>−/−</sup> hearts in the future. A third possibility is that there may be a division of labor among mammalian Asxl-like proteins, so that Asxl2 primarily regulates PcG activity while trxG activity is primarily regulated by Asxl1 or Asxl3. This last scenario would predict that Asxl2 is more essential for PcG activity than for trxG activity. Consistent with this scenario, even though both posterior transformations and anterior transformations were observed in Asxl2<sup>−/−</sup> skeletons, posterior transformations have a higher penetrance (100% for S3→S4 transformation vs. 23% for T7→T6 transformation). The generation of Asxl1 and Asxl3 mutant animals will allow us to address the potential division of labor among Asxl-like proteins.

**Role of Asxl2 in the heart**

A PcG gene, Rae28, has previously been shown to be required for sustaining the expression of the cardiac selector gene Nkx2.5 in developing mouse embryos [47]. The loss of Rae28 disrupts an early and important step of cardiac morphogenesis, cardiac looping, that takes place between E8.5 and E9.5. Asxl2<sup>−/−</sup> animals that survived embryogenesis do not display any obvious abnormalities in the gross morphology of the heart (data not shown). However, some Asxl2<sup>−/−</sup> embryos died in utero and could have more severe phenotypes than the ones that were born. A detailed phenotypic characterization of Asxl2<sup>−/−</sup> embryos is needed to answer whether Asxl2 plays a role in heart morphogenesis. The variability in survival and/or in the severity of phenotypes between individual embryos could be due to variation in residual Asxl2 activity or functional redundancy among Asxl-like proteins.

In postnatal animals, cardiac function is known to be epigenetically regulated. For example, class I and class II histone deacetylases (HDACs) promote and inhibit cardiac hypertrophy, respectively [48–49]. However, whether the PcG/trxG system plays a role in postnatal heart is unclear, partly because the embryonic lethality of many PcG/trxG mutations precluded functional study of postnatal development. The Asxl2<sup>−/−</sup> animals provide a good opportunity to address this question. A molecular signature of cardiac hypertrophy is the reactivation of multiple genes that are active during fetal development but repressed in the adult heart [50]. Given the role of PcG proteins in the maintenance of silenced loci, PcG proteins could be involved in the repression of these genes in normal hearts. Two important research directions in the future will be the full characterization of the heart phenotypes of Asxl2<sup>−/−</sup> animals and the study of the underlying mechanisms, especially the role of the PcG/trxG system.

**Materials and Methods**

**Generation of Asxl2 mutant mice**

The AQ0356 gene trap ES cell line was purchased from the Sanger Institute. The gene trap event in AQ0356 ES cell line was confirmed with RT-PCR and with sequencing. For RT-PCR, total RNA was extracted with Trizol (Invitrogen). 1 µg of RNA was reverse transcribed with the R3 primer and SuperScript II reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen). Reverse transcription using the R2 primer was performed in a separate tube as a control. PCR reactions were performed using 1/7 of the cDNA product and AmpliTaq Gold (ABI). The anneal temperature was 58°C and 30 cycles of reactions were performed. Sequences for RT-PCR primers are:

- **R1**: 5′-GCCTCCCGACGTAGCAGAAC-3′;
- **R2**: 5′-GTCCTTCTCTTGGATAACC-3′;
- **R3**: 5′-GTAATGGGATAGGTCAAGT-3′.

Mouse Asxl2 Regulates PcG/TrxG
The RT-PCR product of the mutant allele was sequenced to confirm that the beta-geo reporter is joined to exon 1 after splicing. AQ9356 ES cells were then expanded and injected into blastocysts. Microinjection was performed by the Stanford Transgenic Facility. Chimera mice were mated and the progeny were screened by genotyping PCR for germ-line transmission. Three independent lines, derived from three chimeras, were bred. The three lines were indistinguishable in gene trap expression pattern and in phenotypes. All the animals analyzed were in C57BL/6J-129S2 mixed genetic background. The animal protocols were approved by the Animal Care Committee (ACC) at the University of Illinois at Chicago.

Mapping gene trap integration site
A set of 30 forward primers that scan the 15-kb intron 1 of Asxl2 at 0.5-kb interval were designed. PCR reactions were performed using individual forward primer and the P3 reverse primer. An extension time of 1 min. was used in the PCR reactions. Primers #10 and #11 generated PCR products. The two products differ in length by ~0.5 kb, correlating with the ~0.5 kb distance between the two primers. The PCR products were then sequenced to determine where genomic sequence is joined to gene trap cassette sequence.

Genotyping PCR
Genomic DNA was prepared from clipped mouse tails following standard protocols. PCR reactions were performed with the PCR Master Mix (Qiagen). Sequences for genotyping PCR primers are:

P1: 5'-CGAACCCCCACGTCAGTATG-3',
P2: 5'-CAAATCTGCCTCATCCTCTC-3',
P3: 5'-CTCTCCCATCGACTCTCAG-3'

Real-time RT-PCR
Total heart RNA was extracted with Trizol (Invitrogen) from two sets of wild-type, heterozygous and mutant mice. The RNA was treated with DNase I (Fermentas) and purified with RNeasy columns (Qiagen). Real-time RT-PCRs were performed on a DNA Engine (Qiagen). Real-time RT-PCRs were performed with the PCR Master Mix (Qiagen). Sequences for genotyping PCR primers are:

Forward: 5'-TCCTCTGGAATTGGCAGTGAGA-3' (within exon 9)
Reverse: 5'-TTGGTCTTTGGGATCACTTGAG-3' (within exon 10)

Sequences of beta-actin primers are:

Forward: 5'-TCACCCCAACTGGGCCCATCTAGCA-3'
Reverse: 5'-TGTTGAAGCTGTAGCCACGCT-3'

X-gal staining of embryos and postnatal tissues
Postnatal tissues and embryos were fixed at 4°C in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 1–2 hours. After fixation, postnatal tissues were cross-sectioned or cut longitudinally or into halves and washed with Tissue Rinse A (2 mM MgCl2, 5 mM EGTA in PBS) followed by Tissue Rinse B (2 mM MgCl2, 0.01% w/v sodium deoxycholate, 0.02% w/v NP-40 in PBS) for 30 minutes each at room temperature. Embryos were rinsed three times in Tissue Rinse B for 10 minutes each. All specimens were transferred to Staining Solution (5 mM potassium ferrocyanide, 5 mM potassium ferrocyanide, 1 mg/ml X-gal in Tissue Rinse B) and stained at 37°C for 5 hours per day and stored at 4°C until color developed. Tissues and embryos were then post-fixed in 4% PFA, equilibrated in 30% sucrose and embedded in OCT.

Staining of axial skeletons
Adult mice carcasses were skinned and eviscerated. The skeletons were fixed in 95% ethanol for 4 days and defatted in acetone for 2 days. The skeletons were then incubated at 37°C in a staining solution of 0.005% alizarin red (Sigma A5533), 0.015% alcan blue (Sigma A3157), 90% ethanol, and 5% glacial acetic acid for 3 days. The skeletons were rinsed in distilled water and cleared in 1% KOH for 2 days. Then they were washed into 0.8% KOH and 20% glycerol for 2 weeks, 0.5% KOH and 50% glycerol for 1 week, 0.2% KOH and 80% glycerol for 1 week, and finally stored in 100% glycerol.

Histology
Mice were euthanized by CO2 inhalation followed by cervical dislocation. Hearts were immediately harvested, perfused with 10% formalin, and fixed in 10% formalin. Paraffin-embedding and sectioning were performed at the Veterinary Diagnostic Laboratory, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign. H&E stainings were performed following standard protocols. For Mason’s trichrome staining, 10 μm paraffin-embedded sections were rehydrated and then incubated in pre-warmed Bouin’s Solution in a 60°C water bath for 1 hour. After rinsing in running tap water, the slides were incubated in Working Weigert’s Hematoxylin solution (Sigma HT1079) for 5–15 minutes, depending on the age of the solution. The slides were rinsed in running tap water and then stained in Biebrich Scarlet-Acid Fuschin solution (Sigma HT151) for 5 minutes. The slides were rinsed in running tap water and placed in 25% Phosphomolybdic acid solution/25% Phosphotungstic acid solution (Sigma HT153, HT152) for 10 minutes. The slides were placed in Aniline Blue solution (Sigma HT154) for 5 minutes, rinsed in distilled H2O and placed in 1% acetic acid for 1 minute. Finally, the slides were dehydrated through a series of alcohols to xylene and mounted using Cytoseal XYL Mounting Medium (Thermo Scientific DXD-10140747).

Western blotting
Mice were euthanized by CO2 inhalation followed by cervical dislocation. Hearts were immediately harvested and flash frozen in a dry ice-ethanol bath. Frozen hearts were cut to small pieces on dry ice and homogenized in hypotonic buffer (PBS containing 0.5% Triton X 100) supplemented with protease inhibitors cocktail in a dounce homogenizer. Nuclei were collected by centrifugation at 6,000 g for 15 minutes at 4°C and washed once with hypotonic buffer. Histones were extracted over night at 4°C from the nuclei pellet by 0.2N HCl. Insoluble fraction was cleared by centrifugation at 6,000 g for 15 minutes at 4°C. The supernatant (histone extract) was flash frozen in a dry ice-ethanol bath after the protein concentration was measured by BCA assay. Total histones was separated on 15% SDS-PAGE gels. After transfer, membranes were blotted with mouse anti-H3K27me3 or rabbit anti-H3K4me3. As a loading control, blotted membranes
were stripped, the completeness of stripping was confirmed by ECL reaction, and stripped membranes were blotted with rabbit anti-pan histone H3. All antibodies were purchased from Active Motifs.

Statistical analyses

Paired t-tests and Chi-square tests were performed using GraphPad Software on-line statistical calculators (http://www.graphpad.com/quickcalcs/ttest1.cfm and http://www.graphpad.com/quickcalcs/chisquare1.cfm). Paired t-tests were used to determine whether the weight difference between mutants and controls was significant (Figure 3A) and whether the difference in the heart/body weight ratios of mutant and control animals was statistically significant (Figure 5E). Two-tailed p values were calculated and shown in the figures. Chi-square tests were used to determine whether the actual numbers of heterozygous and mutant animals born were statistically different from those expected based on the number of wild-type animals. Two-tailed p value was calculated for each genotype.
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