Cysteinyl Leukotriene Receptor Antagonists Decrease Cancer Risk in Asthma Patients
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Previous in vitro and in vivo studies have demonstrated the potential of using cysteinyl leukotriene receptor antagonists (LTRAs) for chemoprevention, but this has not been investigated in any clinical setting. We therefore investigated the chemopreventive effect of LTRAs in a nationwide population-based study. From the Taiwan National Health Insurance Research Database, we enrolled adults with newly-diagnosed asthma between 2001 and 2011. Among these patients, each LTRA user was matched with five randomly-selected LTRA non-users by sex, age, asthma diagnostic year and modified Charlson Comorbidity Index score. We considered the development of cancer as the outcome. Totally, 4185 LTRA users and 20925 LTRA non-users were identified. LTRA users had a significantly lower cancer incidence rate than LTRA non-users did. Multivariable Cox regression analyses adjusting for baseline characteristics and comorbidities showed LTRA use was an independent protecting factor (hazard ratio = 0.31 [95% CI: 0.24–0.39]), and cancer risk decreased progressively with higher cumulative dose of LTRAs. In conclusion, this study revealed that the LTRA use decreased cancer risk in a dose-dependent manner in asthma patients. The chemopreventive effect of LTRAs deserves further study.

Cancer is a leading cause of death worldwide and has become the most common cause of death in Taiwan for more than 25 years1. Although much improvement has been made in anti-cancer treatment, the therapeutic outcome remained unsatisfying. Developing preventive strategies to reduce cancer incidence is therefore as important as improving anti-cancer strategies2,3. Chemoprevention is the use of a specific agent to reverse, suppress, or prevent the process of carcinogenesis2–4. Because limited effective and potent chemopreventive strategies are available to date, the cancer incidence remained high. Taking lung cancer, the most common cause of cancer death, for example, no specific agents have been recommended for primary, secondary, or tertiary chemoprevention although much effort has been made in the field of chemoprevention research4.

Cysteinyl leukotriene receptor antagonists (LTRAs), such as montelukast and zafirlukast, are widely used drugs for treating allergic asthma5,6. In addition to its well-known role in asthma, the leukotriene pathway is also responsible for carcinogenesis and tumour-mediated immunosuppression7. Overexpression of a cysteinyl leukotriene receptor, CysLT1R, has been shown in colorectal cancer, prostate cancer, renal cell carcinoma, transitional cell carcinoma and testicular cancer, and montelukast induces apoptosis of these cancer cells8–14. Only few in vivo studies to date have reported the chemopreventive effect of leukotriene pathway inhibitors14–16, while the chemopreventive effect of LTRAs has not been investigated in clinical setting.

Because some in vitro and in vivo studies had demonstrated the potential of using LTRAs for chemoprevention, we therefore conducted a nationwide population-based study to investigate the chemopreventive effect of
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LTRAs. Using a retrospective cohort study design, we found that LTRA use was associated with a decreased cancer risk in a dose-dependent manner.

Methods

Data Source. The Taiwan National Health Insurance (NHI) has covered ambulatory care, inpatient care and prescription drugs in Taiwan since 1996. The NHI coverage rate was 96.2% of whole population in 2000 and increased to > 99% by 2005. The NHI Research Database therefore comprises comprehensive health care information from nearly the entire population of 23.72 million in Taiwan, becoming one of the largest insurance databases in the world. The database used for this study is a cohort of two million subjects randomly sampled from NHI beneficiaries in 2000, and has been verified to be representative of the overall population of beneficiaries in terms of age, sex, geographic distribution and healthcare costs. The database includes information on medical reimbursement claims (such as ambulatory care claims, inpatient care claims, prescriptions, and registration entries) as well as information from Catastrophic Illness Registry, National Cancer Registry and National Register of Deaths. The database is managed by the Collaboration Center of Health Information Application (CCHIA), Ministry of Health and Welfare. For protection of confidentiality, patient identification has been already encrypted, and the authorized researchers are only permitted to perform data linkage, processing and statistical analyses with a specified computer in a closely monitored room. Using the scrambled personal identifier for each subject, the researchers are able to link the files to obtain socio-demographic information, longitudinal medical history and other information. Only statistical results were allowed to be brought out.

Study population. From the dataset, patients with newly diagnosed asthma were identified by the algorithm showed in Fig. 1. Patients with asthma diagnosis (International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification code [ICD-9-CM] of 493) in the ambulatory or inpatient claim database were identified, and only those with asthma diagnosis in at least three ambulatory claims or one inpatient claim were enrolled. To ensure newly diagnosed adult asthma, those having asthma diagnosis before 2001 or those younger than 18 years old on their first asthma diagnosis were excluded.

The subjects who had ever used either montelukast or zafirlukast, the LTRAs available in Taiwan, after their asthma diagnoses were identified. After excluding those with neoplasm diagnosis (ICD-9-CM of 140-239 in any claims) before the end of the first year of LTRA use and those with the interval between first LTRA prescription and end of follow-up ≤ 1 year, subjects using LTRA for ≥ 30 days before the end of follow-up were identified as candidates for LTRA user cohort. The subjects who had never used LTRA were identified as candidates for LTRA non-user cohort.

Definitions of variables. The endpoint of this study was the development of cancer, defined by the appearance of cancer diagnosis in Catastrophic Illness Registry or National Cancer Registry. Pathological confirmation is generally required for reporting a cancer diagnosis to these registries. The date of death was obtained from the National Register of Deaths.

The presence of comorbidity was identified by the presence of any corresponding diagnostic codes before the index date in the claim databases and confirmed by the presence of the codes at least three times in the ambulatory claim database or at least once in the inpatient claim database. Based on the comorbidities, modified Charlson Comorbidity Index (mCCI) score was calculated by subtracting chronic pulmonary disease from the original Charlson Comorbidity Index score.

Study cohorts. Each LTRA user was matched with five randomly-selected LTRA non-users by sex, age (±2), asthma diagnostic year (±2) and mCCI score. The index date was defined as the date of first LTRA prescription for LTRA users; the LTRA non-users were given the index date with the same interval from their first asthma diagnosis as their corresponding LTRA users. During the matching process, the same exclusion criteria for the LTRA users were also applied while selecting LTRA non-users to ensure enough follow-up time and absence of any cancer diagnosis before the end of the first year after index date.

To minimize immortal time bias, the follow-up period was calculated from a year after the index date. The subjects were followed from a year after the index date to either development of cancer, death or the end of 2011, whichever came first. The defined daily doses (DDD) were 10 mg and 40 mg for montelukast and zafirlukast, respectively. To quantify individual’s exposure to LTRA, the cumulative defined daily doses of LTRA from the index date to the end of follow-up (cDDD) and to a year after the index date (cDDD(1y)) were calculated.

Statistical analysis. The demographic data and comorbidities were compared between LTRA users and non-users using Pearson’s $\chi^2$ test for categorical variables or Student’s $t$ test for continuous variables, as appropriate. The cancer incidence rate (IR) was calculated as the number of cancer developed during the follow-up period divided by the total person-year. The cancer IRs in LTRA users and non-users were compared by estimating the incidence rate ratio (IRR) using Poisson regression and adjusted IRR (aIRR) using multivariable Poisson regression after adjusting for age, residency, income level, marriage status, education level and the presence of various comorbidities. Cumulative incidence of cancer was calculated and compared with Kaplan-Meier method and log-rank test. To further assess the effect of LTRA, multivariable Cox proportional hazards regression analyses were performed with adjustment of the same covariates as in Poisson regression. In addition, stratified analyses were also performed for Poisson and Cox regression in subgroups of covariates. To determine the effect of LTRA on the risk of different cancers, we also calculated the hazard ratios of LTRA use for several major cancers in Taiwan.

Extraction and computation of data, data linkage, processing and sampling and statistical analyses were performed using SAS system (version 9.3 for Windows, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC). The statistical significance level was set at a two-sided $p$ value of < 0.05.
Figure 1. (a) Algorithm for identifying the study cohorts. (b) Study design. From the dataset, adult patients with newly diagnosed asthma were identified. Through the algorithm, subjects using LTRA for more than a month (30 days) before the end of follow-up were identified as candidates for LTRA user cohort. The subjects who had never used LTRA were identified as candidates for LTRA non-user cohort. Each LTRA user was matched with five randomly-selected LTRA non-users by sex, age (±2), asthma diagnostic year (±2) and mCCI score. The index date was defined as the date of first LTRA prescription for LTRA users; the LTRA non-users were given the index date with the same interval from their first asthma diagnosis as their corresponding LTRA users. During the matching process, the same exclusion criteria for the LTRA users were also applied while selecting LTRA non-users to ensure enough follow-up time and absence of any cancer diagnosis before the end of the first year after index date. The subjects were followed from a year after the index date to either development of cancer, death or the end of 2011, whichever came first. The cumulative defined daily doses of LTRA were calculated from the index date to the end of follow-up (cDDD) and to a year after the index date [cDDD(1y)]. Abbreviations: CCHIA = Collaboration Center of Health Information Application; LHID = Longitudinal Health Insurance Database; ICD-9-CM = International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification code; mCCI = modified Charlson Comorbidity Index.
Results

From the database, 317406 asthma patients were identified. Through the algorithm (Fig. 1), 4185 LTRA users and 20925 matched LTRA non-users were identified. The mean (±SD) age was 47.3 (±16.5) years, and 59% of the subjects were female (Table 1). LTRA users had significantly higher income and higher education level as compared with LTRA non-users, and more LTRA users lived in northern Taiwan. In the LTRA users, 3975 (95%) and 366 (9%) subjects had ever used montelukast and zafirlukast, respectively; the median (IQR) of cDDD and cDDD(1y) were 101 (56–235) and 77 (42–145), respectively.

LTRA users had a significantly lower cancer IR than LTRA non-users did (5.8 vs. 13.1 per 1000 patient-years; aIRR = 0.41 [95% CI: 0.36–0.47], p < 0.0001) (Table 2), and all stratified analyses showed consistent findings. The cumulative cancer incidence was significantly lower in LTRA users than in LTRA non-users (p < 0.0001) (Fig. 2a). On stratified analyses, the LTRA users had a significantly lower cumulative cancer incidence as compared with LTRA non-users in strata of female, male, younger and elder subjects (all p < 0.0001) (Fig. 2b–e).

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the study population. Categorical variables and continuous variables were compared using χ² test and Student's t-test, respectively. Abbreviation: LTRA = cysteinyl leukotriene receptor antagonist; SD = standard deviation; IQR = interquartile range; NT = New Taiwan Dollar; mCCI = modified Charlson Comorbidity Index. *matched factors.

|                | All patients (n = 25110) | LTRA non-users (n = 20925) | LTRA users (n = 4185) | P value |
|----------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|---------|
| Sex, n (%)     |                          |                           |                      |         |
| Female         | 14934 (59%)              | 12445 (59%)               | 2489 (59%)           |         |
| Male           | 10176 (41%)              | 8480 (41%)                | 1696 (41%)           |         |
| Age (year), mean ± SD* | 47.3 ± 16.5              | 47.3 ± 16.5               | 47.2 ± 16.7          | 0.6696  |
| Sex, n (%)     |                          |                           |                      |         |
| Age ≤ 40       | 9559 (38%)               | 7936 (38%)                | 1623 (39%)           |         |
| 40 < Age ≤ 65  | 11061 (44%)              | 9247 (44%)                | 1814 (43%)           |         |
| Age > 65       | 4490 (18%)               | 3742 (18%)                | 748 (18%)            |         |
| Interval between asthma diagnosis to index date (year), median (IQR)* | 0.8 (0–3.4)              | 0.8 (0–3.4)             | 0.8 (0–3.4)         |         |
| Residency, n (%) |                          |                           |                      |         |
| Northern Taiwan | 13836 (55%)              | 11191 (53%)               | 2645 (63%)           | <0.0001 |
| Other areas    | 11274 (45%)              | 9734 (47%)                | 1540 (37%)           |         |
| Monthly income (NT$), median (IQR) | 25200 (21900–42000)       | 25200 (21900–42000)      | 27600 (21900–43900)  | <0.0001 |
| Monthly income (NT$), n (%) |                          |                           |                      | <0.0001 |
| ≤ 24000        | 12290 (49%)              | 10412 (50%)               | 1878 (45%)           |         |
| > 24000        | 12820 (51%)              | 10513 (50%)               | 2307 (55%)           |         |
| Marriage status, n (%) |                          |                           |                      | 0.2194  |
| Married        | 16049 (64%)              | 13409 (64%)               | 2640 (63%)           |         |
| Not married    | 9061 (36%)               | 7516 (36%)                | 1545 (37%)           |         |
| Education level, n (%) |                          |                           |                      | <0.0001 |
| Elementary school or lower | 9616 (38%)              | 8131 (39%)                | 1485 (35%)           |         |
| High school    | 11136 (44%)              | 9310 (44%)                | 1826 (44%)           |         |
| College or higher | 4358 (17%)              | 3484 (17%)                | 874 (21%)            |         |
| With comorbidity, n (%)* |                          |                           |                      |         |
| No (mCCI score = 0) | 21630 (86%)              | 18025 (86%)               | 3605 (86%)           |         |
| Yes (mCCI score ≥ 1) | 3480 (14%)              | 2900 (14%)                | 580 (14%)            |         |
| Comorbidity, n (%) |                          |                           |                      |         |
| Heart disease  | 979 (4%)                 | 814 (4%)                  | 165 (4%)             | 0.8726  |
| Myocardial infarction | 145 (1%)                 | 123 (1%)                  | 22 (1%)              | 0.6282  |
| Congestive heart failure | 878 (3%)                 | 726 (3%)                  | 152 (4%)             | 0.6014  |
| Peripheral vascular disease | 185 (1%)                 | 144 (1%)                  | 41 (1%)              | 0.0441  |
| Major neurological disorder | 1582 (6%)              | 1342 (6%)                  | 240 (6%)             | 0.0991  |
| Cerebral vascular disease | 1520 (6%)              | 1295 (6%)                  | 225 (5%)             | 0.0442  |
| Dementia       | 160 (1%)                 | 130 (1%)                  | 30 (1%)              | 0.4781  |
| Hemiplegia     | 118 (0%)                 | 100 (0%)                  | 18 (0%)              | 0.6799  |
| Connective tissue disease | 393 (2%)              | 319 (2%)                   | 74 (2%)              | 0.2462  |
| Peptic ulcer disease | 4845 (19%)              | 4015 (19%)                  | 830 (20%)            | 0.3343  |
| Liver disease  | 2449 (10%)               | 2006 (10%)                | 443 (11%)            | 0.0468  |
| Diabetes mellitus | 2018 (8%)                | 1698 (8%)                  | 320 (8%)             | 0.3909  |
| Renal disease  | 479 (2%)                 | 401 (2%)                  | 78 (2%)              | 0.8205  |
On multivariable Cox proportional hazards regression analyses adjusting for age, residency, income level, marriage status, education level and comorbidities, LTRA use was associated with a decreased cancer risk (hazard ratio = 0.31 [95% CI: 0.24–0.39], p < 0.0001) (Table 3, model 1). The cancer risk decreased progressively with higher cumulative dose of LTRA use as compared with LTRA non-users. LTRA users with lower and higher cDDD of LTRA had 60% and 78% cancer risk reduction, respectively (Table 3, model 2). Similarly, LTRA users with lower and higher cDDD(1y) of LTRA had a 66% and 72% cancer risk reduction, respectively (Table 3, model 3). On stratified analyses, LTRA use was associated with a significantly lower cancer risk in all strata (Fig. 3a). LTRA users with higher cDDD or cDDD(1y) use had lower cancer risk than those with lower cDDD or cDDD(1y) did in nearly all strata (Fig. 3b,c). The significant effect of LTRA on cancer risk reduction was observed mainly in lung, colorectal, liver and breast cancer (Table 4).

Discussion
This large population-based study revealed that LTRA use was associated with a decreased cancer risk in asthma patients. Particularly, the chemopreventive effect appeared larger with a higher cumulative dose, indicating a dose-dependent manner of LTRA in this issue. The strengths of this study are its population-based sampling, avoidance of selection bias, adjustment for confounders, and, most importantly, the demonstration of dose-dependent protection effect. To the best of our knowledge, we are not only the first to report the chemopreventive effect of LTRAs in the clinical setting but also the first to demonstrate a dose-response relationship between the use of LTRAs and reduced risk of cancer. Further clinical studies are required to confirm our findings, and further in vivo and in vitro studies should be taken to investigate the chemopreventive mechanisms of LTRAs.

As inflammation is a major contributor for carcinogenesis and cancer progression, immune responses are the most important mechanisms running in tumour microenvironment. Indeed, the interaction between cancer cells and the surrounding immune cells have been noted to form a milieu which is suitable for carcinogenesis,
as well as proliferation and migration of cancer cells. Eicosanoids involve in a variety of inflammatory and immune responses throughout the body, and are also important regulators in the immune responses in tumour
of LTRA (vs. LTRA non-users)

| Variable                          | HR (95% CI) | P value |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|---------|
| Age: (vs. age ≤ 40)               |             |         |
| 40 ≤ Age ≤ 65                     | 0.74 (0.30, 1.80) | 0.5049 |
| Age > 65                          | 1.24 (0.44, 3.50) | 0.6847 |
| Residency (northern Taiwan vs. other areas) | 1.02 (0.88, 1.17) | 0.3885 |
| Monthly income (<NT$24000 vs. ≤ NT$24000) | 0.91 (0.78, 1.07) | 0.2731 |
| Marriage status (married vs. not married) | 1.06 (0.90, 1.25) | 0.4926 |
| Presence of comorbidity:          |             |         |
| Heart disease                     | 1.05 (0.68, 1.63) | 0.8126 |
| Peripheral vascular disease       | 0.90 (0.41, 1.99) | 0.7972 |
| Major neurological disorder       | 0.94 (0.62, 1.43) | 0.7683 |
| Connective tissue disease         | 0.86 (0.47, 1.57) | 0.6234 |
| Peptic ulcer disease              | 1.12 (0.78, 1.59) | 0.5491 |
| Liver disease                     | 1.56 (1.08, 2.25) | 0.0153 |
| Diabetes mellitus                 | 1.03 (0.67, 1.57) | 0.9106 |
| Renal disease                     | 1.09 (0.56, 2.13) | 0.8017 |

| LTRA users (vs. LTRA non-users)   | 0.31         | <0.0001 |
| Model 2                          |             |         |
| cDDD ≤ 112                       | 0.40         | 0.54 |
| cDDD > 112                       | 0.22         | 0.32 |
| cDDD(1y) ≤ 84                    | 0.34         | 0.45 |
| cDDD(1y) > 84                    | 0.28         | 0.39 |

Table 3. Multivariable Cox regression analyses of the related factors for developing cancer in asthma patients. The follow-up time was calculated from a year after the index date to either development of cancer, death or the end of 2011, whichever came first. The cumulative defined daily doses of LTRA were calculated from the index date to the end of follow-up (cDDD) and to a year after the index date [cDDD(1y)]. Using LTRA non-users as reference, the adjusted HRs of LTRA use (model 1), lower and higher cDDD (model 2) and lower and higher cDDD(1y) were calculated by the multivariable Cox proportional hazards regression analyses adjusted for age, residency, income level, marriage status, education level and the presence of various comorbidities. Abbreviations: HR = hazard ratio; CI = confidence interval.

In recent years, the role of leukotriene pathway in carcinogenesis and tumour-mediated immunosuppression has been increasingly recognized. While much effort has been made in identifying the role of LTBr pathway in cancer, the tumour-promoting role of cysteiny1 leukotrienes, including LTC4, LTD4 and LTE4, is less studied. Cysteiny1 leukotrienes are originally recognized for their effect to promote bronchoconstriction, inflammation, microvascular permeability and mucus secretion. Since more than a decade ago, LTD4 has been shown to reduce apoptosis, enhance proliferation, induce transcriptional activity of potentially oncogenic genes and induce migration of intestinal epithelial cells. Clinically, increased expression of CysLT1R was noted in specimens from colorectal, gastric and breast cancers, and the elevated CysLT1R expression correlated to poorer survival. The circulating LTD4 level was significantly higher in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma than in healthy subjects. Over-expression of CysLT1R was also shown in prostate cancer, renal cell carcinoma, transitional cell carcinoma and testicular cancer, and montelukast induces early apoptosis of these cancer cells.

In addition to the pro-apoptotic effect of montelukast on few cancer cell lines, however, only few in vivo studies have reported chemoprevention effect of leukotriene pathway inhibitors in the literature while no clinical study is available currently. An early study demonstrated chemopreventive effect of leukotriene pathway inhibitors, acolate, zileuton and MK-866, in vinyl carbamate-induced lung tumours in mice. In an in vivo LLC cells metastasis model, pranlukast and montelukast prevented tumour metastasis through peripheral capillaries. A recent study using nude mice demonstrated that an LTRA, ZM198,615 or montelukast, inhibited the growth of LLC cells. In contrast to our previous study showing about 50% cancer risk reduction in users of selective COX-2 inhibitor, the present study showed an impressive 60–78% cancer risk reduction with usingLTRAs.
Figure 3. Stratified analyses of the multivariable Cox proportional hazards regression analyses showing adjusted hazard ratios (HRs) of (a) LTRA use and (b,c) lower and higher doses of LTRA use. The results are presented with adjusted HRs (95% confidence interval) of either (a) LTRA use or (b,c) lower and higher doses of LTRA use, which are adjusted for age, residency, income level, marriage status, education level and the presence of various comorbidities (except for the variable used for stratification). The follow-up time was calculated from a year after the index date to either development of cancer, death or the end of 2011, whichever came first. The cumulative defined daily doses of LTRA were calculated from the index date to the end of follow-up (cDDD) and to a year after the index date [cDDD(1y)].
| Cancer Type                      | Model 1 - LTRA users | cDDD ≤ 112 | Model 2 - cDDD > 112 | Model 3 - cDDD(1y) ≤ 84 | Model 3 - cDDD(1y) > 84 |
|---------------------------------|----------------------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
|                                 | HR [95% CI]          | P-value    | HR [95% CI]          | P-value                 | HR [95% CI]          | P-value                 |
| Lung cancer                     | 0.34 [0.20–0.60]     | 0.0002     | 0.43 [0.21–0.90]     | 0.0256                  | 0.32 [0.14–0.72]     | 0.0057                  |
| Colorectal cancer               | 0.35 [0.20–0.62]     | 0.0004     | 0.43 [0.20–0.93]     | 0.0324                  | 0.42 [0.19–0.91]     | 0.0275                  |
| Gastric cancer                  | 0.30 [0.09–0.99]     | 0.0486     | 0.37 [0.08–1.71]     | 0.2040                  | 0.38 [0.08–1.72]     | 0.2087                  |
| Liver cancer                    | 0.34 [0.17–0.69]     | 0.0027     | 0.44 [0.18–1.08]     | 0.0738                  | 0.47 [0.20–1.10]     | 0.0806                  |
| Pancreatic cancer               | 0.26 [0.05–1.44]     | 0.1220     | 0.24 [0.02–3.13]     | 0.2742                  | 0.20 [0.02–2.42]     | 0.2068                  |
| Gastrointestinal cancer         | 0.30 [0.01–1.76]     | 0.1400     | 0.21 [0.03–1.66]     | 0.1400                  | 0.21 [0.03–1.62]     | 0.1328                  |
| Brain cancer                    | 0.26 [0.03–2.51]     | 0.2470     | 0.32 [0.07–1.43]     | 0.1343                  | 0.38 [0.08–1.72]     | 0.2093                  |
| Thyroid cancer                  | 0.26 [0.06–1.55]     | 0.1504     | 0.30 [0.06–1.55]     | 0.1504                  | 0.30 [0.06–1.55]     | 0.1504                  |
| Skin cancer                     | 0.61 [0.15–2.53]     | 0.4964     | 0.67 [0.10–4.53]     | 0.6855                  | 0.54 [0.06–4.79]     | 0.5797                  |
| Urinary cancer                  | 0.78 [0.33–1.88]     | 0.5839     | 0.94 [0.32–2.77]     | 0.9112                  | 0.55 [0.11–2.82]     | 0.4752                  |
| Breast cancer                   | 0.09 [0.03–0.26]     | < 0.0001   | 0.15 [0.04–0.49]     | 0.0019                  | 0.05 [0.01–0.34]     | 0.0025                  |
| Cervical cancer                 | 0.48 [0.18–1.26]     | 0.1341     | 0.44 [0.12–1.60]     | 0.2129                  | 0.52 [0.13–2.09]     | 0.3608                  |
| Prostate cancer                 | 0.16 [0.03–0.94]     | 0.0419     | 0.19 [0.02–1.71]     | 0.1372                  | 0.14 [0.01–1.74]     | 0.1265                  |

Table 4. Multivariable Cox regression analyses of the related factors for developing various cancers in asthma patients. The results are presented with adjusted hazard ratios (HRs) (95% confidence interval) of LTRA users (model 1) or lower (cDDD ≤ 112 in model 2 and cDDD(1y) ≤ 84 in model 3) and higher (cDDD > 112 in model 2 and cDDD(1y) > 84 in model 3) doses of LTRA use, using LTRA non-users as reference, which are adjusted for age, residency, income level, marriage status, education level and the presence of various comorbidities. The follow-up time was calculated from a year after the index date to either development of the specific cancer, death or the end of 2011, whichever came first. The cumulative defined daily doses of LTRA were calculated from the index date to the end of follow-up (cDDD) and to a year after the index date (cDDD(1y)). The HR of some cancer types could not be estimated due to small sample size.
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