Use of electronic medical records to describe the prevalence of allergic diseases in Canada
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Abstract

Background: Leveraging the data management resources of the Canadian Primary Care Sentinel Surveillance Network (CPCSSN) is a viable approach for describing the prevalence of allergic disease documented in primary care settings.

Methods: The dataset used for this study was inclusive of data from EMR initiation up to Dec 31st 2018. The sample included 1235 primary care providers representing 1,556,472 patients across Canada.

Results: In total, there were 536,005 patients with a documented allergy that fit into one of the 10 suggested categories. The allergy table includes 718,032 distinct entries representing 564,242 unique patients, which is 36.3% of the patients within the CPCSSN repository. The most common allergies recorded were drug allergy (39.0%), beta-lactam allergy (14.4%), environmental allergy (11.0%), and food allergy (8.0%). Anticipated upcoming studies include physician-documented drug allergy with a focus on beta-lactam allergy, as well as stinging insect allergy, among others. To our knowledge, these will also be the first such prevalence studies of primary care physician-documented allergic disease done in Canada.

Interpretation: The CPCSSN dataset represents electronic medical records from 1.5 million patients across Canada including documentation of allergic diseases. This dataset provides a national representative population to describe and characterize Canadian patients with common allergic conditions. This robust dataset provides the opportunity for health surveillance, and in particular data to explore the impact of allergic disease on primary care practice.

Trial registration: Not applicable.
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Introduction

Disease prevalence rates can estimate the burden of disease, highlight research priorities, direct guidelines and medical policy, inform healthcare economic models, and provide a baseline for interventional research (by providing baseline risk in a population) [1]. Prevalence rates for allergic conditions can be determined by various means including self-report, or medical record data. Prevalence of common allergic conditions can vary significantly between studies, with self-reported allergy often higher than diagnosed allergy [2].

Administrative data in Canada are typically captured for the primary purpose of remuneration. Administrative claims data used for remuneration includes diagnostic codes held in provincial data repositories that record the primary condition managed at each patient appointment. Conversely, clinical datasets such as those from Electronic Medical Records (EMRs) provide a more comprehensive health record inclusive of a patient's...
history including details such as diagnoses, prescriptions, visit details and biometric measures. It has been noted that EMR data, in contrast to administrative claims data, provides more expeditious information and allows a better glimpse of the clinically pertinent results of the medical encounter [3, 4]. With this understanding, primary care physician documentation present an opportunity to estimate the prevalence of allergic conditions.

The majority of medical care within Canada is provided in primary care settings. Leveraging the data management resources of the Canadian Primary Care Sentinel Surveillance Network (CPCSSN) is a viable approach for describing the prevalence of allergic disease documented in primary care settings. CPCSSN extracts EMR data from participating primary care providers across Canada, such as de-identified medication tables, billing tables, health conditions tables, etc. The repository has been shown to be representative of the Canadian population with age and sex adjustment [5].

CPCSSN has developed and refined processes for cleaning and preparing data for secondary quality improvement, research and surveillance activities. Our goal is to describe the CPCSSN data extraction process, and how it will be used to capture primary care clinician-documented allergic disease within Canada. Our group has already reported this for food allergy, and to our knowledge this was the first primary care clinician-documented allergic prevalence study in Canada [6].

**Methods: data set extraction**

The dataset used for this study was inclusive of data from EMR initiation of each provider to Dec 31st 2018. The sample included 1235 primary care providers representing 1,556,472 patients across Canada. Seven provinces (i.e. Ontario, Alberta, Nova Scotia, British Columbia, Manitoba, Newfoundland and Quebec) and 11 EMR vendors were represented in this data extract. The largest represented EMR vendors were Accuro, Practice Solutions, Nightingale, Wolf, Med Access and OSCAR.

The allergy table within CPCSSN included 718,032 distinct entries representing 564,242 unique patients, which is 36.3% of the patients within the CPCSSN repository (Fig. 1). The allergy table included a semi-structured text field for the allergen, and a field for possible drug code (for a medication allergy). Original text input by the clinician was cleaned and processed to create a calculated field. This included preprocessing stages to prepare the data for categorization (e.g. removing stop words and punctuation), and assigning an ATC code to medication names using the ATC/DDD system index. A chart review was conducted to assign a category to free-text allergy entries. Categories of common allergies were: drug allergy (overall), beta-lactam allergy (specifically), environmental allergy, food allergy, stinging insect allergy, and vaccine allergy.

Using available free text from the CPCSSN allergy table unique terms (key words, known abbreviations, common incorrectly spelled terms, etc.) were labeled as being associated with one of the pre-defined allergy categories (Fig. 2). The ‘other’ category was created to capture
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**Fig. 1** Documentation of allergy categories in the CPCSSN allergy table
entries documenting allergens not captured within the predefined categories (such as 'red dye allergy').

Algorithms were developed within SQL to match all occurrences of the labelled terms to a specific category. Using pattern matching SQL assigned a numeric value to each of the allergy categories, summing the numeric values if more than one allergy category was presented in a single field. Pattern matching resulted in 69 unique numeric values each representing one or more documented allergies. The processing algorithm did not categorize 61,198 entries because they represented non-allergy values including reactions or investigations without an allergen mentioned (Fig. 1).

**Described and anticipated outcomes**

Figure 1 lists the number of entries within each allergy category. In total, there were 536,005 patients with a documented allergy that fit into one of the 10 suggested categories. The most common allergies recorded were drug allergy (39.0%), beta-lactam allergy (14.4%), environmental allergy (11.0%), and food allergy (8.0%) (Table 1). Thus far, our group has described the physician-documented prevalence of pediatric food allergy based on this dataset [6]. Anticipated upcoming studies include physician documented drug allergy with a focus on beta-lactam allergy, and stinging insect allergy, among others. To our knowledge, these will
Table 1  Documentation of allergy in the CPCSSN allergy table

| Allergy category      | Number of observations | Number of patients |
|-----------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| No known allergy      | 227,070 (34.6%)        | 227,050 (42.4%)    |
| Drug                  | 237,173 (36.1%)        | 209,028 (39.0%)    |
| Beta-lactam           | 77,410 (11.8%)         | 77,353 (14.4%)     |
| Environment           | 72,414 (11.0%)         | 62,164 (11.6%)     |
| No known drug allergy | 59,645 (9.1%)          | 53,196 (9.9%)      |
| Food                  | 52,777 (8.0%)          | 48,822 (9.1%)      |
| Stinging insect       | 27,797 (4.2%)          | 26,397 (4.9%)      |
| Other                 | 14,445 (2.2%)          | 14,025 (2.6%)      |
| Vaccine               | 495 (0.1%)             | 495 (0.1%)         |
| No known food allergy | 5 (0.0%)               | 5 (0.0%)           |
| Total                 | 656,834                | 536,005            |

also be the first such prevalence studies done in North America.

Discussion/conclusion

The CPCSSN dataset provides a prevalence estimate for common physician documented allergic diseases, among a representative sample of 1.5 million patients across Canada [5]. In addition CPCSSN provides an avenue to describe and characterize Canadian patients with common allergic conditions including associated comorbidities (e.g. atopic conditions) [6]. Studies of allergic disease prevalence within North America have largely focused on self-report [2]. This comprehensive dataset can inform health surveillance exercises aimed at understanding allergic disease prevalence rates in primary care and their relationship to health service utilization and outcomes.

The dataset relies on primary care provider documentation within the EMR, which does have the potential to either overestimate or underestimate the ‘true’ prevalence. The prevalence may be overestimated as this algorithm was not designed to detect the results of confirmatory testing or consultation reports. Physician reports of some allergies, such as drug allergy, have been shown to overestimate true prevalence [7]. In Canada, confirmatory testing and consultation reports are held provincially, future work should explore the agreement between documentation and true allergy prevalence. In addition, while associations with other comorbidities can be determined, a causal association cannot be elucidated.

In conclusion, we describe a novel approach to the description of allergy prevalence within Canada. While there are strengths and limitations to each approach used to describing allergy prevalence, our approach provides a unique lens through which to describe the burden of allergic disease within Canada, and its associated comorbidities.

Abbreviations

CPCSSN: Canadian Primary Care Sentinel Surveillance Network; EMR: Electronic Medical Record.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge W. Peeler for assistance in acquiring, de-identifying, and processing the data used in this study.

Authors’ contributions

AS, LR analyzed and interpreted the patient data; EMA was a major contributor in writing the manuscript; all authors were major contributors in manuscript revision. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

None.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets generated and/or analysed during the current study are not publicly available due to the confidential nature of data governed by the PHIA legislation.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Ethics approval was obtained from the Health Research Ethics Board at the University of Manitoba.

Competing interests

E Abrams has received speaker/moderator fees from GSK and AstraZeneca, sits on the steering committees of Canada’s National Food Allergy Action Plan, and Food Allergy Canada’s Healthcare Advisory Board; is the Section Head of Food Allergy and Anaphylaxis for the Canadian Society of Allergy and Clinical Immunology; and, conducts research with DBV Technologies. JP sits on the steering committee of Canada’s National Food Allergy Action Plan; is the Section Head of Allied Health for the Canadian Society of Allergy and Clinical Immunology; and, conducts research with DBV Technologies. AS received grants/research support from Canadian Institute for Military and Veterans Health Research, IBM, Càlían, Research Manitoba, Manitoba Medical Services Foundation, CIHR. The other authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Author details

1Department of Family Medicine, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Canada. 2University College Dublin School of Medicine, Belfield, Dublin, Ireland. 3Department of Pediatrics and Child Health, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Canada. 4Department of Pediatrics, Section of Allergy and Clinical Immunology, University of Manitoba, FE125-685 William Avenue, Winnipeg, MB R3E 0Z2, Canada.

Received: 7 December 2020 Accepted: 15 July 2021 Published online: 18 August 2021

References

1. Harder T. Some notes on critical appraisal of prevalence studies. Int J Heal Policy Manag. 2014;3:289–90.
2. Ben-Shoshan M, Harrington DW, Soller L, Fragapane J, Joseph L, St Pierre Y, et al. A population-based study on peanut, tree nut, fish, shellfish, and sesame allergy prevalence in Canada. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2010;125:1327–35.
3. Wasserman RC. Electronic medical records (EMRs): epidemiology, and epistemology: reflections on EMRs and future pediatric clinical research. Acad Pediatr. 2011;11:280–7.
4. Katz A. Opportunity beckons for electronic medical record data. Can Fam Physician [Internet]. 2020;66:559 LP-560. Available from: http://www.cfp.ca/content/66/8/559.abstract.

5. Queenan JA, Williamson T, Khan S, Drummond N, Garies S, Morkem R, et al. Representativeness of patients and providers in the Canadian Primary Care Sentinel Surveillance Network: a cross-sectional study. C open. 2016;4:E28-32.

6. Singer AG, Kosowan L, Soller L, Chan ES, Nankissoor NN, Phung RR, et al. Prevalence of physician-reported food allergy in Canadian children. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pr. 2020 (in press).

7. Abrams EM, Atkinson AR, Wong T, Ben-Shoshan M. The importance of delabeling β-lactam allergy in children. J Pediatr. 2019;204:291.

Publisher's Note
Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.