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Synthesis and electrochemical performance of silicon-nanowire alloy anodes
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High-capacity materials are required in order to address the environmental concerns of our modern society, ultimately leading to safe and eco-friendly high-energy batteries. Silicon-nanowire anodes (SiNWs) have the potential to significantly increase the energy density of lithium-ion batteries (LIBs). In order to improve the mechanical durability and the electrochemical performance of SiNW-anodes, we fabricated a silicon–nickel (SiNi) composite anode by electroless deposition of nickel, followed by annealing at high temperature to obtain nickel silicides of different content and composition. The morphology of SiNi-alloy anodes was examined by SEM, in situ TEM and EDS methods in order to understand how different deposition protocols affect the coating of the silicon nanowires. The formation of Ni-silicides was found to occur during thermal treatment at 900 °C. Despite the incomplete shell coverage of SiNWs composed of multiple phases and grains, the electrochemical performance of binder-free and conducting-additive-free SiNi-alloy anodes showed stable electrochemical behavior and higher capacity retention compared to the pristine SiNW anode. Li/SiNW–SiNi cells ran at C/2 rate for 200 reversible cycles, exhibiting 0.1%/cycle capacity loss after completion of the SEI formation.

Introduction

The lithium-ion battery is the current leader in energy-storage devices because it has the highest volumetric- and gravimetric-energy density among known applied technologies. For the past decades, graphite has been used as a major anode material in Li-ion batteries because of its desirable properties, like long cycle life, low irreversible capacity, low volume expansion during lithiation and friendliness to the environment. However, the increase in energy-density and power-density requirements for Li-ion batteries for commercial applications, like portable electronic and vehicle industries, has led to a search for higher-capacity electrode materials. Silicon is an attractive candidate because it offers low discharge potential and high gravimetric capacity, nearly 11 times that of graphite. Its theoretical capacity is 4200 mA h g\(^{-1}\) (based on the stoichiometry of the Li\(_{22}\)Si\(_5\) alloy) unlike graphite, that has a specific capacity of only 372 mA h g\(^{-1}\) (LiC\(_6\))\(^{2}\).

Unfortunately, in order to integrate silicon-based anodes in commercial batteries, there are a number of challenges to overcome. Silicon-based anodes experience structural volume changes of up to 280%.\(^3\) This induces powerful stress in the silicon anode and often leads to pulverization of the active material and the loss of electrical contact during lithiation–delithiation. The large volume change can also crack the initially formed solid–electrolyte-interphase (SEI) layer. This is accompanied by a continuous reduction reaction of liquid electrolyte followed by the formation of a fresh SEI, and thus keeping the SEI films growing during cycling. Eventually it leads to the degradation of the cell performance by high, irreversible capacity (Q\(_{irr}\)) loss and poor faradaic efficiency.\(^3\)

To solve this problem and improve the electrochemical performance of silicon-based anodes, some solutions were suggested. The most common solution to deal with Si pulverization is to reduce the particle dimensions of the electrode material down to the nanoscale. Recently, various LIBs based on Si powders,\(^5\)–\(^7\) Si composites,\(^8\)–\(^9\) Si nanotubes\(^8,9\) and Si nanowires (SiNW)\(^10\)–\(^13\) have been reported. Si nanostructures have the advantage of a higher surface-to-volume ratio, as well as shorter diffusion distance for lithium ions, which can help in reducing the volume expansion during lithiation/delithiation and improve the power capability and cycling performance\(^14\) of the battery. There is a critical nanostructure size of \(~150\) nm for particles\(^15\) and \(~300\) nm\(^16\) for SiNW, below which the nanostructures remain uncracked and intact upon cycling.

In addition, synthesizing silicon composites with various structures and coatings is another common approach to controlling volume expansion during lithiation/delithiation, protecting the electrode surface from being reactive towards the electrolyte and improving the cyclability of silicon-based
anodes. For example, Sethuraman et al. investigated the effect of copper coating on thin silicon film and found that the presence of copper coating contributes to the higher cycling efficiency and rate capability of silicon electrodes, in addition to minimizing the overall capacity loss resulting from pulverization.\textsuperscript{17} Lotfabad et al. achieved performance improvement by coating silicon nanowire anodes with TiO\textsubscript{2} by atomic-layer deposition (ALD).\textsuperscript{18} Huang et al. coated silicon particles by nickel in a sputter-coater followed by heat treatment for the formation of silicide coating, and showed improvement in capacity retention.\textsuperscript{19} Our group recently found that coating silicon nanoparticles by a nickel electroless-plating procedure, followed by thermal treatment for Ni silicide formation, results in a high capacity-retention rate and high C-rate capability. The Si–Ni anodes exhibited a reversible capacity of about 620 mA h g\textsuperscript{-1} Si at 120 mA cm\textsuperscript{-2} at cycle 210, and 800 mA h g\textsuperscript{-1} Si at 50 mA cm\textsuperscript{-2} at cycle 240.\textsuperscript{2} Electroless plating is characterized by the selective reduction of metal ions at the surface of a catalytic substrate immersed in an aqueous solution containing the cations of the metal to be deposited in the presence of a reducing agent. The benefit of this process over the electroplating process is the uniform coating observed on complex shapes and the fact that no external electrical source is required.\textsuperscript{20}

We have recently described the SiNW anodes grown directly on a flexible, open-structure stainless-steel current collectors by a novel one-step catalyst-free chemical-vapor-deposition process. The anode appears as a binder-free silicon sponge-like network\textsuperscript{21} and can be cycled for more than 500 cycles with <50% capacity loss at 0.1 mA (C/6 rate). We present here an attempt to create nickel silicides shell structures on top of silicon-nanowire-based anodes for further improvement of their electrochemical performance in lithium-ion batteries (e.g. high capacity retention). Nickel silicides shells on SiNW core structures were prepared via electroless nickel deposition, followed by thermal treatment. This is to the best of our knowledge the first demonstration on the application of free-of-binder Si-core/nickel silicide shell nanowire electrodes as anodes for lithium-ion battery applications.

**Results and discussion**

**Electroless deposition of nickel on SiNW anode**

To determine, which electroless deposition bath and plating conditions are the most suitable for coating of SiNW anodes, four different bath compositions, which contained NiSO\textsubscript{4}·6H\textsubscript{2}O, Na\textsubscript{3}C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{5}O\textsubscript{7}·2H\textsubscript{2}O and NaPO\textsubscript{4}·2H\textsubscript{2}O·H\textsubscript{2}O at different concentrations, were tested under different operating conditions as listed in Table 1. According to literature data, NiSi and NiSi\textsubscript{2} compounds deliver, relatively to pure Si, lower theoretical capacities of 1360 and 562 mA h g\textsuperscript{-1}, respectively.\textsuperscript{22} Despite the decreased capacity, as compared to pristine silicon, we believe that a thin layer of nickel silicides has great potential as a protector of the SiNW anode surface. Nickel silicides have higher electrical conductivity and in addition, are expected to limit the large volume change during lithiation–delithiation, the destruction of the initially formed SEI layer, the pulverization of the anode and the loss of SiNW contact with the current collector. Therefore, the guideline for choosing the optimal bath and plating conditions was the capability to provide a thin nickel coverage layer and to eliminate complete transformation of the silicon nanowires to nickel-silicide nanowires during the silicidation step. The latter would result in a high capacity drop. To quantify and estimate the average diameter size of the deposited nickel spheroids based on their cross-sectional area, ImageJ open-source image-analysis software toolboxes\textsuperscript{23} was used. First, the nickel plating was performed in bath 1 under two different operating conditions, at pH 8 and a temperature of 55 °C, and at pH 10 and 70 °C for deposition times of 30 and 60 seconds. At pH 8 the nickel deposit is thin, and the coating is non-uniform. The ESEM images of a pristine silicon nanowire anode at different magnifications are shown in Fig. 1a–d. The nickel coated nanowires can be seen in Fig. 1e–h. The average diameter of nickel spheroids deposited from bath 1 at pH 8 is about 57 nm after 60 seconds of immersion (Fig. 1f). 30 seconds of electrode immersion does not provide coating at all (Fig. 1e). At pH 10, the globule size of nickel deposits ranges from ~127.5 to ~299.3 nm after 30 and 60 seconds, respectively (Fig. 1g and h).

It is apparent that at pH 10, the deposition rate increases sharply and leads to greater thickness and larger Ni spheroids

---

**Table 1** Compositions and operating conditions of the electroless plating baths for nickel coating on silicon nanowires

| Constituents of the bath (M) | Concentrations |          |          |          |          |
|-----------------------------|----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| NiSO\textsubscript{4}·6H\textsubscript{2}O | 0.12           | 0.12     | 0.06     | 0.12     |          |
| Na citrate                  | 0.14           | 0.24     | 0.24     | 0.24     |          |
| NaH\textsubscript{2}PO\textsubscript{4} | 0.36           | 0.36     | 0.36     | 0.72     |          |
| NH\textsubscript{4}OH        | A few drops to obtain the desirable pH value | | | | |

| Operating conditions        |          |          |          |          |
|-----------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Temperature [°C]             | 55, 70   | 70       | 70       | 70       |
| PH                          | 8, 10    | 10       | 10       | 10       |
| Plating time [s]            | 30/60    | 60/120   | 60/120   | 30/60/120|

---

**Fig. 1** SEM images of neat SiNWs at different magnifications and SiNWs coated by Ni under different operating conditions in bath 1. (e and f) pH-8, temp-55 °C; (g and h) pH-10, temp-70 °C. (e and g) 30 seconds of plating; (f and h) 60 seconds of plating.
as opposed to the deposits obtained at pH 8. In addition, a 60 second immersion at pH 10 ensures full coverage of the nanowire surface. High temperature and pH level appear to accelerate the process and affect the thickness of the resulting coating layer. On the basis of these results, pH 10 and 70 °C have been chosen for testing the effect of the ratio between the concentrations of Ni^{2+}, complexing and reducing agents on the Ni-on-SiNW morphology (baths 2, 3 and 4, Table 1).

Fig. 2 shows the effect of concentration of different agents in the electroless-nickel bath on the morphology of nickel and the deposition rate. Following our previous studies focused on the electroless deposition of nickel on high-surface-area perforated silicon wafers and glass capillary arrays, we tested here the effect of the concentration of nickel salt, complexing and reducing agents on the deposition rate and morphology of coatings formed on silicon nanowires. The relative content of bath components varied from 1.17 : 1 to 4 : 1 (C_{6}H_{5}O_{7}^{-} : Ni^{2+}) and from 3 : 1 to 6 : 1 (PO_{2}H_{2}^{-} : Ni^{2+}). We found that the spheroids size of the nickel coating on silicon decreases from ~299.3 nm to ~71.8 nm with doubling of the concentration of citrate (bath 1 vs. bath 2). However, full coverage of SiNWs was achieved only after the process lasted 120 seconds.

Lowering of the concentration of nickel sulfate to 0.06 M (bath 3) while leading to the formation of extremely small nickel spheroids (Fig. 2c and d) causes uneven nickel coverage of the SiNWs. High-content sodium hyphophosphate (bath 4) followed by an increase in the reaction rate, and in the spheroid dimensions from ~71.8 nm to ~91.8 nm. The coating becomes much thicker, denser and completely covers the SiNWs. In an attempt to obtain a thinner layer that still fully covers the silicon nanowires, we repeated the test with a shorter immersion time of 30 seconds (bath 4) and were able to obtain SiNWs completely coated by nickel particles of about 71.5 nm size (Fig. 2e).

Nickel-silicide formation by thermal treatment
In the present work, Ni diffusion into SiNWs followed by the formation of nickel silicides was studied under thermal treatment by in situ transmission electron microscopy (TEM) equipped with TEM heating sample holder.

Fig. 3 shows the TEM images of the selected nickel-coated nanowires at 25, 650, 700, 750, 800, 850 and 900 °C. The main challenge in obtaining a silicide coating on the SiNWs is the native silicon dioxide layer that covers silicon. TEM analysis performed on the nickel-coated SiNW anode prior to thermal treatment, shows that nickel particles deposit on about 2 nm thick native silicon dioxide layer as can be seen in Fig. 4. This observation was also confirmed by EELS data (Fig. 5). EELS test conducted after the thermal treatment of nickel-coated SiNWs at 650 °C shows the absence of silicides. The oxygen content is significantly higher at the interface between the nickel coating and silicon nanowire, strongly suggesting that both the SiNW
and nickel surfaces are oxidized. These findings confirm our TEM observations (Fig. 3) that the oxide layer functions as a barrier between the SiNW and the nickel coating. At temperatures lower than 900 °C, nickel showed no signs of reacting with silicon dioxide. At about 650 °C, nickel particles begin to agglomerate and form nickel islands on top of the SiNW surface. Above 750 °C, the agglomeration continues, and non-homogeneous dispersion of nickel clusters over the surface is clearly visible. Only at 900 °C, after 20 minutes of treatment, does the penetration of nickel into the silicon become visible. Since the atomic mass of nickel is higher than that of silicon, the deposited nickel layer appears darker than the silicon nanowires (Fig. 6). When the temperature reaches 900 °C, SiNW turns dark as a result of nickel penetration. In addition, the grain boundaries of nickel crystallites blur with increasing temperature.

As mentioned by Dallaporta,26 nickel has no thermodynamically favorable reaction products with SiO2 up to 900 °C. In order to react with silicon, nickel must reach a neat silicon surface.26 Liehr et al.27 reported that nickel coating reacts with silicon underneath the SiO2, via defects and/or voids in the oxide, through which the metal can diffuse to the SiO2/Si interface and form silicide layers.

Another study reports that no diffusion of nickel through the oxide occurs below 800 °C.28 Nielsen et al.29 detected 10–20 Å-sized voids in silicon oxide, with the use of positron beams. We have found by in situ TEM that formation of voids begins at 850 °C, thus enabling partial penetration of nickel through a ~2 nm-thick oxide layer. At 900 °C, silicon oxide decomposes to form volatile SiO, which desorbs from the surface and leaves an array of expanding voids in the SiO2 layer. Nucleation of nickel atoms occurs in these oxide nano- and microvoids, diffuses into the bulk of silicon and, finally creates nickel silicides.

Fig. 7 shows selected-area electron-diffraction (SAED) patterns of nickel-coated SiNWs after thermal treatment at 900 °C, carried out during UHR TEM measurements. The presence of nickel silicides was observed in all the selected areas. Both the electron-diffraction patterns and EDS data indicate high content of NiSi2 phase (Pm3m, a = 5.406 Å) with atomic ratio of 1 : 2 Ni : Si. Nano-size particles of NiSi phase(Pnma, a = 5.18 Å, b = 3.34 Å, c = 5.62 Å) were found in these regions as well.

Electrochemical performance
The electrochemical performance of the NiSiₓ-SiNW composite electrodes was tested in half-cells with the use of coin-cell batteries with 12 mm-diameter lithium anodes. In addition, a control test was performed by assembling half-cells with pristine SiNW electrodes. The cells were cycled at a relatively low current density of 0.1 mA cm⁻² (C/20) for three cycles, in order to extract their maximum capacity. The following 97 cycles were carried out at 1 mA cm⁻² (C/2), which remained constant for both charge and discharge until the 100th cycle, after which the
current density was lowered to 0.1 mA cm$^{-2}$ for three cycles. In all half-cells, the voltage range was 0.05–1 V.

Fig. 8 shows the capacity vs. cycle number curves of the half-cells comprising NiSi$_x$ composite electrode after thermal treatment at 900 °C as compared to the cell with pristine SiNW anode. The cell with NiSi$_x$–SiNW electrode demonstrates lower reversible capacity values than the cell with the reference electrode. The NiSi$_x$–SiNW electrode shows initial delithiated capacity of 1191.9 mA h g$^{-1}$, while the pristine SiNW electrode has an initial capacity of 2426.9 mA h g$^{-1}$. The reduction in the reversible capacity values obtained for the NiSi$_x$–SiNW samples can be attributed to the reduced amount of neat silicon as a result of the formation of NiSi and NiSi$_2$ phases (as described in the previous section). According to literature data, NiSi$_2$ and NiSi silicides produce, on lithium alloying/de-alloying, lower theoretical capacities of 562 and 1360 mA h g$^{-1}$, respectively. Despite the decreased initial capacity, the formation of nickel silicides was found to enhance the cycling performance of the samples; the NiSi$_x$–SiNW anode shows much more stable behavior during cycling compared to the pristine SiNW anode. As can be seen in Table 2, the NiSi$_x$–SiNW anode showed much lower capacity loss at higher cycle numbers (at a high current density of 1 mA cm$^{-2}$) compared to the pristine anode. It showed 16.1% capacity loss at cycle 75 and 25.4% capacity loss at cycle 100, whereas the pristine anode shows capacity losses of 34.8% and 48.5%, respectively. Another interesting phenomenon is the different electrochemical reaction and the area under the peak of the pristine and NiSi$_x$–SiNW anodes, respectively. This high capacity drop that occurs due to the growth and requirements more than a single cycle to reach a steady state, which results in high capacity fade during the first ~25 cycles, as is readily observed in the cycle-life plot of the anodes (Fig. 8). This high capacity drop that occurs due to the growth and stabilization of the SEI layer is reflected in a sharp decrease (or even disappearance) of the Li-rich phase peak starting from cycle 20 in the lithiation/delithiation dQ/dV plot (Fig. 9c and d) of the pristine and NiSi$_x$–SiNW anodes, respectively. This process was observed in the dQ/dV plots of all the following cycles. In the first cycles (at high current density) it is reasonable.

| Cycle number | C rate | NiSi$_x$ | Pristine SiNW’s anode − Ref. |
|--------------|--------|----------|------------------------------|
| 50           | C/2    | 13.6     | 28.1                         |
| 75           | C/2    | 16.1     | 34.8                         |
| 100          | C/2    | 25.4     | 48.5                         |
| 150          | C/2    | 44.4     | 75.9                         |
| 102          | C/20   | 3.2      | 10.1                         |

Fig. 9 Normalized differential capacity plots of Li/Si cells comprising pristine and nickel–coated SiNW anodes. C/20 rate (a and b) and C/2 rate (c and d) for cycles 2, 5, 90 and 102.
to assume that it is easy for the lithium ions to diffuse through the SiNW bulk and form the Li-rich phase (cycle 5), because the SEI layer is very thin. Later, with secondary SEI growth and stabilization\(^{22}\) (up to cycle \(\sim 25\)), which is followed by a sharp increase in the lithiation overpotential, the SEI acts as a diffusion barrier for lithium-ion penetration and results in deceleration of the lithium diffusion.

In addition, because of the short time of the lithiation step, at high current density with the combination of a thicker SEI layer only a small amount of lithium ions manages to diffuse into the electrode during the deposition step. This leads to the only dominant transition from silicon to lithium-poor silicides that occurs between cycles 5 and \(\sim 25\), whereas the Li-rich phase transformation peak disappears. After 200 cycles at C/2-rate the average lithiation/de-lithiation overpotential increases from 90 to 182 mV and from 89 to 135, in the cell comprising pristine SiNW and electrode with NiSi\(_2\)–SiNW electrode, respectively. A continuous capacity degradation (\(<-0.5%/cycle\) from cycle 5 to cycle 200) observed for the pristine anode and much better capacity retention (\(<-0.2%/cycle\) capacity loss), exhibited by NiSi\(_2\)–SiNW anode can be attributed to higher mechanical strength of nickel silicide structure, which prevents cracking of the anode upon expansion/contraction during cycling. Electroless coating of silicon nanowires anode, followed by thermal treatment triggers Ni to react with the surface Si, thus forming a silicide coating layer chemically bonded to SiNWs. Unlike physically coated layers that typically can crack and detach from Si surfaces upon repeated cycling, NiSi\(_2\) remains connected with the bulk SiNWs as a skin-like protective surface. This, in turn, eliminates growing of the SEI from upon cycling, in agreement with\(^{11,31-35}\) and together with higher electrical conductivity of nickel silicide as compared to neat silicon, may explain the small changes observed in the lithiation/delithiation overpotential.

Conclusions

Silicon nanowire electrodes underwent electroless deposition of nickel, followed by annealing at high temperature to obtain a core–shell structure composed of SiNW core and a metal silicide crystalline-shell layer of incomplete shell coverage. The effect of the relative content of nickel salt, complexing and reducing agents on the deposition rate and morphology of the coating was tested. The composition of the bath containing 0.12 M NiSO\(_4\)\(_2\), 0.24 M Na\(_2\)C\(_6\)H\(_5\)O\(_7\), 0.72 M NaH\(_2\)PO\(_4\), NH\(_4\)OH, and operating conditions of pH 10, 70 °C and 30 seconds plating time have been chosen as the optimal conditions for nickel coating of the SiNW anodes. The choice was made to enable conformal and thinnest Ni coating and to ensure that not all the silicon nanowires will be transformed in the following thermal treatment step into fully Ni-silicide NWs.

The morphology of SiNi alloy films was examined by SEM, TEM and EDS methods. The results show the formation of Ni-silicides during the thermal treatment at 900 °C. The shell coverage is composed of multiple phases and grains. Examination of the electrochemical performance of the SiNi alloy anodes showed stable electrochemical behavior and high capacity retention compared to the pristine SiNW anode.

We suggest that NiSi\(_2\) acts as a protective coating layer for the SiNWs. Since the nickel silicide is an electrochemically inactive phase, the overall capacity of the silicon-nanowire anode decreases. The lower amount of silicon involved in reversible charge/discharge, is reflected in the smaller volumetric change of the SiNWs during cycling. This can explain high capacity retention and low overpotential of the NiSi\(_2\)–SiNW anode.

Experimental

Fabrication of SiNW anodes

The SiNW anodes were synthesized by a catalyst-free CVD process as described in our previous study.\(^{22}\) 316L-grade stainless-steel mesh of 25 µm-thick fibers was immersed in 40% HF solution (Merck, Sigma-Aldrich). The mesh was then rinsed with DI water, dried and put inside the CVD chamber. Once inside the quartz tube, the chamber was evacuated to base pressure (5 mTorr) followed by the introduction of silane (SiH\(_4\)) at a flowrate of 5 sccm and diluted with argon gas carrier (flowrate of 20 sccm), at 470 °C, for a growth time of two hours.

Electroless Ni plating

Since silicon is a semiconductor, prior to nickel coating the SiNW anodes were subjected to sensitization and activation steps. Sensitization of the samples was carried out in a 20g L\(^{-1}\) SnCl\(_2\) \(\cdot\) 2H\(_2\)O and 40 mL HCl (32%) solution with the use of a magnetic stirrer for one minute, followed by rinsing of the samples in distilled water. Following this, the anodes were activated in a solution containing 1.5g L\(^{-1}\) PdCl\(_2\) and 1.5 mL L\(^{-1}\) HCL (32%), with the use of a magnetic stirrer for one minute, and then washed with distilled water.\(^{22}\)

An alkaline plating bath, which contains different concentrations of the following constituents, was used: NiSO\(_4\) \(\cdot\) 6H\(_2\)O (nickel sulfate), Na\(_2\)C\(_6\)H\(_5\)O\(_7\) (sodium citrate), NaPO\(_3\)H\(_2\)H\(_2\)O (sodium hypophosphate). First, the nickel sulfate and sodium citrate were added to 50 mL of distilled water. After these salts dissolved, a few drops of ammonium hydroxide were added to obtain the desired pH value, which was continuously controlled around 10, and then the sodium hypophosphate was added. The plating was conducted at 55 or 70 °C for 30 seconds. After the plating process was completed, the anodes were rinsed with distilled water and dried in air.

Formation of Ni silicides by thermal treatment

To form nickel silicides, the anodes were heated at a rate of 10°C min\(^{-1}\) in a CARBOLITE horizontal-tube furnace under nitrogen gas (99.999% N\(_2\)) for two hours, at different temperatures, from 650 to 900 °C.

Preparation of electrodes and assembly of coin cells

The anodes were cut into 12 mm – diameter discs and were dried under vacuum for 12 hours at 50 °C and 2 hours at 100 °C. The mass loading of the anodes was 2 mgSi cm\(^{-2}\). CR2032 coin cells were assembled inside a glovebox filled with ultra-high-pure argon (content of O\(_2\) and H\(_2\)O < 0.1 ppm). The coin cell contained a silicon anode, a 2400 Celsgard separator (19 mm diameter) and a lithium-foil counter electrode (15 mm in diameter). About 100 µL of electrolyte was added the coin cell.
The electrolyte contained 1M LiPF6 in EC : DEC (1 : 1) with 2% (v/v) VC and 15% (v/v) fluoroethylene carbonate (FEC). After sealing, the coin cells were taken out of the glove-box and were tested in a Biologic BCS-805 cycler. The cells were cycled over a voltage range of 1–0.05 V. Each charge and discharge is followed by the 5 min rest step under zero-current conditions. The results were analyzed with the EC-lab (Biologic software).

**Microscopy characterization of the samples**

A JSM-6300 scanning microscope (Jeol Co.) equipped with a Link elemental analyzer and a silicon detector, was used for the study of the surface morphology of the electrodes. TEM images of the samples were taken with a FEI™ F20 Philips-Technai TEM and JEOL™ JEM-2010F FEG-STEM. STEM-EELS (electron-energy-loss spectroscopy) was conducted with a JEOL Tecnai TEM and JEOL™ JEM-2010F UHR operated at 200 kV, equipped with EELS (Tri- 

In order to analyze the Ni-coated SiNWs for TEM characterization, the anode was only partly covered with nickel in order to be able to distinguish the penetration stage of the nickel. Following this, the coated SiNW anode was placed in an Eppendorf tube filled with ethanol and by sonication, the nickel-covered nanowires were detached from the stainless-steel-mesh substrate. With the use of a pipette, the resulting solution was dripped onto the TEM grid. The nanowires were analyzed after evaporation of the ethanol. The temperature of the TEM holder was increased at a rate of 20 °C min⁻¹, from room temperature up to 900 °C. From 650 °C, after every elevation of 50 °C, the heating was paused, and the thermal drift was stabilized for a 20 minute period.
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