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ABSTRACT

India generates 0.15 million metric tons (MT) of solid waste per day out of which more than 80% is organic fraction. Apart from this, 38% of the households use septic tanks where proper disposal of faecal sludge is also need of the hour. Anaerobic co-digestion (ACD) of two different substrates has positive potential towards solving this problem. In the present study, ACD of organic fraction of municipal solid waste (OFMSW) and septage solids (SS) was studied at three different levels, i.e., lab-scale, pilot-scale (1 m³), and full-scale- capacity (325 m³). A loading rate of 1.5 kg VS/m² was selected. The bio-methanation potential (BMP) assay showed a maximum biogas generation, i.e., 120±20.6 mL/gmVS with 68% maximum methane concentration at a 5:1 OFMSW and SS ratio. Cumulative biogas production after 30 days was 1.6 L/gmVS. The ultimate biogas production in the pilot-scale plant was 1000±100.5 L/day with 71% methane. The plant was also efficient in removing 87% of COD and 61% of VS. The full-scale anaerobic digester was set up at Mormugao Municipal Council, Goa India wherein the objective was to co-digest OFMSW and SS. This digester showed a similar removal pattern like earlier studies i.e., 94% and 45% COD and VS removal, respectively. The average methane content of the biogas was 68%. Full-scale operation of the anaerobic digester did not show any operational problems at the chosen co-digestion conditions.
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I. INTRODUCTION

India is the second most populous country in the world and fourth biggest consumers of energy worldwide. The per capita energy consumption of the growing population in India is increasing day by day [1]. By 2022, India plans to install 175GW of renewable energy capacity, of that, 10 GW will be bio power. The flexibility and storage capacity of biogas make it an ideal contender for achieving these goals [2]. Also improper solid waste management and deficiencies in sanitation form a major environmental and health threat in Indian cities [3]. Urban household (around 38 %) are using septic tanks and community toilet complexes (CTC’s) for their daily needs. The often used septic tanks are devoid of any kind of treatment for septage/fecal sludge or environmentally sound disposal systems. Total quantity of solid waste generated in urban areas of the country is about 0.15 million MT per day out of which approximately 85-90 % of solid waste is untreated and disposed-off on land [4]. Unscientific treatment and disposal of such large quantities of septage imposes major threats in Indian cities leading to environment and surface water pollution [5]. In last couple of decades, Anaerobic Co-digestion (ACD) of different substrates has been regarded as most significant technology having potential for renewable energy and nutrient rich organic fertilizer production in a sustainable manner [4].

Septage is rich in nitrogen and trace elements but sometimes low in biodegradable organic matter [3], [6]. Hence biomethane potential (BMP) is very low. Along with the septage, readily available organic waste substrate in the urban areas, in sufficient quantity, is the Organic fraction of the Municipal solid waste (OFMSW). Treating both septage and OFMSW is a major problem in India today.

OFMSW is a rich source of volatile solids (90%), which help in easy conversion to methane using an anaerobic process [3]. High lipid and oil content present in OFMSW contributes to higher methane and CO₂ generation [7], while the carbohydrates yield direct methane [8]. Increased biogas and methane production and improved digester performance, including higher substrate degradation efficiencies, were observed when trace elements were present [9]. Which can be achieved by digesting two different substrates such as OFMSW and SS. ACD is the process of digesting two separate waste streams in a single bioreactor. In co-digestion, the favorable wastes improve stabilization of the biological system and during the process complex wastes are ‘co-digested’. ACD has proven to improve digester operating
characteristics and end performance both by increasing gas production and solid destruction [6].

The present study was carried out at Mormugao (Vasco-da-Gama), Goa, India, a city located at 15.3982° N, 73.8113° E, with a population of 97154 (as per 2001 census). According to the Goa State Pollution Control Board (GSPCB), Mormugao Municipal Council generates 45Tons of municipal solid waste (MSW) per day, and about 19MT of the total waste is biodigradable and organic. Another sort of garbage that the city must cope with is septage. Which on a daily basis, about 80 m³ are generated [10]. Hence the need arises for a sustainable and feasible treatment system for the waste generated within the city. The combined treatment of OFMSW and SS has the advantage of treating two waste streams together scientifically. Apart from treating the wastes, there is potential for nutrient recovery and energy generation [11]. The spent digestate after the AD process has potential use in agriculture as an alternative for existing chemical fertilizers.

Bio-methanation potential (BMP) assay provides a measure of the anaerobic digestibility of a given substrate. The use of BMP assay offers a relatively inexpensive and repeatable method to make relative comparisons of the anaerobic digestibility and potential biogas production between various substrates [12]. BMP assay was used to determine the amount of organic carbon that could be converted in to methane anaerobically in a given sample [13].

In the present study, a bio-methanation potential assay of different ratios of OFMSW and SS admixtures was carried out. The results obtained in anaerobic co-digestion studies were validated at pilot-scale (1 m³) before implementing at full scale (350 m³) in Mormugao Municipal council.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. Substrates Characteristics

The OFMSW was collected from the hostel cafeteria of BITS Pilani Goa Campus daily for the lab scale and pilot scale studies. The OFMSW mainly consisted of leftover cooked food (rice, pulses, etc.), and raw leafy vegetables. The solids from septic tankers were collected by using unplanted drying beds (approximately 8% w/w of total solids (TS). For the full-scale plant, OFMSW was from the Sada waste dumping site of Mormugao Municipal Council (MMC). The full-scale plant was also installed at the same dumping site. The segregated organic fraction from the municipal solid waste (approximately 11% w/w TS) was used as the substrate, mainly obtained from the Mormugao city hotels. The collected waste was segregated manually to remove the inorganics such as plastics, metals, etc., and 3HP macerator was used to get a particle size between 3 to 5 mm before feeding. The mesophilic inoculum was obtained from the existing biogas plant on the campus and used for BMP assay and pilot-scale study. The inoculum was incubated for 15 days to remove the effect of residual organic matter present. The digestate from the existing biogas plant and locally procured cow dung was used as inoculum for the full-scale biogas plant.

B. Laboratory Scale Bio-methanation Potential Assay

Bio-methanation potential (BMP) assays were conducted in 130mL serum bottles capped with butyl rubber septa. BMP assays were set up to determine the feeding rate of the digester and subsequent gas output. BMP assay with different OFMSW and SS admixtures in the ratios 1:1, 2:1, 3:1, 4:1, and 5:1 were employed. Digestate from an existing biogas plant was used as the inoculum, and the experiments were conducted at 1.5 gmVS/kg organic loading rate for 33 days. Bottles with 5% ethanol and only digestate served as positive and negative controls, respectively. The setup was maintained at room temperature under anaerobic conditions. The quantity of biogas produced was measured daily by water displacement method. The composition of the biogas was analysed by gas chromatography (GC), Trace 1110 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Mumbai, India), equipped with a thermal conductivity detector (TCD), and hydrogen as the carrier gas. A packed stainless steel column was used, having solid support of a spherocarb, length 2 m; diameter 1/8th. The GC oven temperature was programmed to increase from 60 °C to 120 °C at the rate of 5 °C per min. The temperatures of the injector and detector were at 150 °C and 183 °C, respectively [14].

C. Pilot-scale Anaerobic Co-digestion of OFMSW and SS

The digester was a cylindrical tank made of HDPE plastic with a height of 2 m and a diameter of 1 m with a total fill-up volume of 1500 L. Inlet was provided at the top and outlet at 1.6 m from the bottom with reactor volume to gas holding volume of 75:25 ratios. The reactor contents were mixed for 20 min a day by recirculating the slurry from the outlet to the inlet of the reactor using 1 HP pump. The reactor was fed with the substrate (OFMSW and SS) manually from the top inlet. Two similar reactors were set up: one with OFMSW and another with a mixture of OFMSW and SS as feed. Initially, the reactors were fed with inoculum from an existing anaerobic digester. No feeding was carried out for the first week. The feeding was started in both the reactors with OFMSW at 25% capacity for four days. The feeding was increased gradually and reached the full loading capacity after seven days. After one week, the substrate quantity was increased to 12 kg/day (1.5 kg VS/day). This substrate load was the total OFMSW available per day for the biogas reactor operation. After one month of the reactor running only on OFMSW, 2 kg/day of the SS was introduced in to one of reactor to get a feed ratio of 5:1 (with 10 kg of OFMSW and 2 kg of SS). The feed was homogenised manually before feeding. The other reactor was operated only with OFMSW. In both cases, the loading rate maintained was between 1.5 to 2 Kg VS/m³. SS was obtained after separating the solids from the liquid by using an unplanted drying bed. The hydraulic retention time (HRT) was maintained at 43 days. Mixing was carried out by circulating the contents of the reactors using a slurry pump. The generated biogas was stored in 1.5 m³ balloon, and the biogas was flared before leaving to the atmosphere. The schematic drawings of the reactors are presented in Fig 1. The composition of the biogas was analysed by Geotech BIOGAS 5000 portable biogas analyser.
D. Full-scale Anaerobic Co-digestion of OFMSW and Septage

A full-scale plant of 5 ton/day capacity plant was constructed at the 1500 m² land given by Mormugao Municipal Corporation, Mormugao, Vasco-da-Gama Goa, having geographical coordinates 15°24’09″ N 73°47’23″ E. A full-scale plant was setup with two digesters of size 320 m³ each, with a total working volume of 280 m³ capable of handling 6 tons of waste per day with HRT of 43 days. The reactor is cylindrical made of zinc-aluminium alloy with an inner HDPE liner. The reactor has a height of 5 m and a diameter of 9 m with a total fill volume of 330 m³. Inlet was provided at 4.6 m height and outlet at 4.4 m from the bottom with reactor volume to gas holding volume of 85:15 ratios. The generated gas was collected in 100 m³ balloons. The mixing was carried out with the help of radial impellers provided inside the reactors for 4 hr every day [4]. The reactor was fed with the substrate (OFMSW and SS) using a pump. The local municipal authorities provided the segregated OFMSW and the substrate was macerated using a 3HP mechanical macerator to obtain the particle size between 3 to 5 mm. Initially, the reactors were fed fresh cow dung slurry and inoculum from an existing anaerobic digester. No feed conditions were maintained for first 15 days after which the reactors were fed with OFMSW at 25% of total capacity for next 15 days. After three week of operation the substrate quantity was increased to an average of 1500 kg/day (1.4 kgVS/day). This substrate quantity is the total OFMSW available per day on which the biogas reactor was working. After 45 days of the reactor running on OFMSW, 400 kg/day of the SS was added to the reactor. Septage was brought by the septic tankers, which collect household septage. SS were separated using unplanted drying beds. The OFMSW and SS in the ratio of 5:1 was fed into macerator, which was later pumped into the reactors. The spent digestate was passed through unplanted drying beds to separate solids. The collected biogas was passed through CO2 and H2S scrubbers to enrich the purity of methane. The enriched biogas was stored and used for electricity generation using 42 kW methane-based generators procured from Kirloskar, India. The process flowchart is shown in Fig. 2.

E. Sampling and Analysis

Various physicochemical parameters were analyzed for the substrates and digestate samples namely, chemical oxygen demand (COD), pH, total solids (TS), volatile solids (VS), volatile fatty acids (VFA), total alkalinity (TA), total ammonia nitrogen (TAN), and phosphorous (P). The COD was analyzed using the closed reflux colorimetric method (APHA method 5220 D). Whereas TA was measured using the titration method (APHA method, 2320 B). P was estimated by the vanadomolybdosphoric acid colorimetric method (APHA method 4500-P-C). TAN was assessed using the distillation method with Kel-plus distillation unit (APHA method 4500 NH3) [15-17]. Both pH and conductivity were measured using Oaklon PCTSTest™ 50. The biogas generated was analyzed using Gas Chromatography (Thermo scientific tracer 1110) equipped with thermal conductivity detector (TCD). The analysis was performed every 8th day for each substrate separately, i.e., 5:1 admixture and the digestate from both pilot and full-scale plants. All the analysis was done in triplicates.

F. Statistical Analysis

All the values represent mean value and mean standard error at n=2. Data was checked for normality and homogeneity of variance. Percentage data were arcsine transformed. One-way ANOVA was carried with treatments as a fixed factor on the removal of COD, TS, VS and TKN. All statistical analysis (comparison between treatments and means) was performed using IBM SPSS v23 (IBM, USA) data analysis software package. For the statistical efficiency analysis of the different parameters at the inlet and outlet, AP was built for each parameter with significance level of p < 0.05.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

A. Characterization of OFMSW and SS

All physicochemical parameters (COD, pH, TS, VS, VFA, TA, TAN, conductivity, and P) quantified for OFMSW (for pilot and full scale) and SS, are shown in Table 1. The OFMSW used in the full-scale study was considerably different from the one used in pilot scale in terms of composition which consisted of leafy and raw vegetables along with cooked food. The difference is due to the presence of straw, grass cuttings and banana leaves in the OFMSW of the market used in the full-scale study.
BMP analysis was set up to understand the dynamics and gas production rate for different OFMSW and SS admixtures, an essential criterion to fix the reactor feeding rates and subsequent gas output. The BMP assay provides a measure of the anaerobic digestibility of a given substrate.

The biogas generation from the setup was monitored for 30 days. The bell-shaped curve in Fig. 3 (a) indicates an initial gradual increase in biogas generation. The highest peak was attained between the 4th and 7th day for all the admixtures. The initial increase seen in the graph in biogas production was due to the high organic load. In contrast, the peak was reached when the optimum substrate to organism ratio was attained. Eventually, the substrate concentration reduced, and hence a drop in the quantity of biogas generation was observed. The highest biogas generation seen for 4:1 and 5:1 admixture was 120±20.6 and 100±15.8 mL/gmVS. The admixture with 5:1 ratio was chosen for further studies as it showed highest biogas production for the extended period of time with a cumulative biogas of 1.63 L. Fig. 3 (b) which indicates that the 5:1 ratio is better compared to other admixtures [18]. Based on post-hoc studies there was no variation in the gas production was seen for 1:1 and 2:1 however 5:1 showed highest biogas generation with significant variation (p<0.05). The methane concentration in the biogas was measured every 8th day (Fig. 3 (c)) with highest percentage of methane in the 5:1 (68% purity) admixture with 133 L/kg VS biogas, which was also a requisite for choosing 5:1 admixtures ratio for pilot and full scale studies. With the BMP studies of co-digestion, we could establish the ratio of mixture of OFMSW and SS.

C. Pilot-scale Anaerobic Co-digestion of OFMSW and SS

We carried out fed batch studies in 1 m³ reactors before full scale implementation. The 1 m³ reactor was operated for 64 days. The biogas generation and methane concentration-time plot shown in Fig 4. The results achieved were similar to that achieved in the BMP assay, i.e., a gradual increase in biogas production. The system attained stable biogas production after 22 days with an optimum biogas production of 1000±100.5 L/kgVS/day. The methane concentration hovered around 30% at the initial 10 days, due to dormant microbial population and residual oxygen. However, it soared to an average of 70% showing optimum bio-methanation as the digestion progressed which corresponds to the results from literature [4, 19, 20]. The average biogas yield was found to be 910 L/kgVSadded/day. The study conducted by Stan et al., showed that a 2 m³ capacity reactor run in a batch process has yielded highest of 0.8 m³ biogas/day with 150 kg of feed consisting of cooked food leftovers, raw fruits and vegetables, which correlates with the results obtained from the present study [20].

The pilot scale system was efficient in consuming 87% of input COD from the system. Also, 61% of VS reduction was
observed in this process (P<0.05) (Table 1). The research conducted by Sheng et al. determined TAN >5 g/L affects the purity of the biogas. However, in the present study, a spike was seen in TAN. It did not result in the inhibition of biogas generation [21], [22], but a drop in methane concentration was seen after 50 days of operation which is believed to be caused by the accumulation on TAN in the system [23]. No TA was seen in OFMSW and 5:1 admixture, but the concentration fluctuated between 3000±760 to 5000±995 ppm in the digestate. The decrease in VFA was seen for digestate as compared with OFMSW. The pH of the digestate was around 7 as per the discharge norms. The study conducted by Marin-Peña et al. showed the effect of conductivity on biogas generation concluded that conductivity above 14 mS/cm is ideal for the reactors. In the present study, conductivity gradually increased to an average of 15 to 30 µS/cm after 16th day and was remained constant for the rest of the process [24].

D. Full-scale Anaerobic Co-digestion of OFMSW and Septage

Based on the results obtained at pilot scale, two modules of full-scale anaerobic digester of CSTR type (320cu.m each) was constructed at SADA waste dumping site of Mormugao municipal council and operated for 30 weeks.

Fig. 5 shows the total daily feed and the corresponding methane concentration in the generated biogas. Ten days no feed data (for maintenance purposes) showed a decline in methane concentration from 65 to 45% on day 141. However, the purity increased as the feeding was restarted with no additional time for recovery. The average gas produced was found to be 500 L/kg VSadded/day. The difference in the average biogas produced in both pilot and full scale was relatively high mainly due to the difference in the composition of the OFMSW at the Municipal council level which had grass, large banana leaves and some inorganics due to improper and poor segregation. Theoretically, a full-scale plant should produce 100 m³ of biogas for the similar 1 ton/day feed. Whereas the present study yielded an average of 50 m³ of biogas for every ton of feed which may be because of inefficient segregation, under design of the impellers. When compared to the research carried out by Angeli et al which showed 25 m³ biogas production/day with 3 tons/day of feed (SS and OFMSW) at mesophilic conditions, the present full scale reactor has yielded a 50% higher biogas [19].

![Fig. 4. Pilot scale biogas generation.](image)

![Fig. 5(a). Showing daily feed to the full-scale plant and (b) biogas generation and purity in full scale plant.](image)
The average reduction in COD and VS due to OFMSW digestion was 94% and 45%, respectively (p<0.05). As observed in pilot-scale (Fig. 6 (b)), the average TAN concentration was increasing and the concentration remained constant in case of full-scale study, however in both cases the concentration remained within the threshold value and no inhibitory actions on biogas generation were observed [22]. A continuous 55% decrease in P was seen after the 24th day of digestion of the 5:1 admixture. TA was found to be ranging from 2000±1897 to 7000±1346 ppm, as shown in Fig 6 (d), started decreasing after the 30th day – a possible rationale for reduced methane concentration [25].

VFA was seen to be varying from 1000±922.45 to 5000±956.98 ppm, and the VFA/TA ratio was between 0.5 to 0.6, which indicates slight system overloading as stated in work carried out by Lin et al., and Gao et al. The pH was between 7 to 8, indicating healthy conditions for allowing optimum microbial growth. The maximum conductivity was

Fig. 6. Showing weekly variations in parameters (a) COD, (b) TAN, (c) P, (d) Alkalinity, (e) VFA, (f) pH, (g) Conductivity, and (h) VS for pilot and full-scale plant.
found to be 18±4.5 mS/cm, which is less than the threshold for any inhibitory action to take place by the changing electron transport pathways [21], [24].

IV. CONCLUSIONS

For an urban city in India, municipal corporations have to find a sustainable solution to handle municipal solid waste that is generated as well as the septic tanks which bring in septage from the household septic tanks from the cities. Usually the septage will be jetisoned into the Centralized sewage treatment plants. These centralized sewage treatment plants generally rely on conventional treatment processes such as activated sludge (AS) or sequencing batch reactors. Though these systems achieve sufficiently low carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus effluent levels, they are not cost-effective, hardly performs recovery, requires electricity equivalent to a fossil fuel consumption of 85 kWh per inhabitant equivalent (IE) per year, and has an operational CO2 footprint of 80 kg CO2 IE−1 /year [26], [27]. Projected water and phosphorus shortages and the need to lower greenhouse emissions forces us to rethink solid waste treatment and energy production for a sustainable future [28].

The co-digestion of OFMSW and SS has shown positive effects on biogas production. The study focused on the treatment of OFMSW and SS largely for waste minimization and at the same time scientific treatment of two different wastes. The results thus obtained reflect the proposed aim of the study. Studies carried out by Klein et al, and Marín-Peña et al showed that the conductivity of more than 30 mS/cm has shown an adverse effect on the biogas system [24], [29]. However, in this study, it was seen that the conductivity never exceeded the threshold value making the system efficient and also proving the fact that the OFMSW and SS can be mixed at 5:1 ratio.

The deviations in the results of full scale plant from the BMP assay and pilot scale reactor were due to manual operational errors such as improper segregation and inadequate availability of the OFMSW and difference in the composition of the OFMSW at the full scale level. The major challenge at full scale operations of the anaerobic digesters is proper segregation of organic waste.

Approximately 400 to 500 kWh of energy per day can be generated, which is ample for the plant operation. The electricity produced can be utilized for the plant operation such as maceration, pumping, mixing, etc. Hence, no external source of power was required to run a full-scale plant. The spent digestate obtained from the system can be converted into Phosphate rich organic manure (PROM) which is recognized by the Fertilizer control order of Government of India which remains the focus of our future work.
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