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Abstract The interplay of nonlinearity and topology results in many novel and emergent properties across a number of physical systems such as chiral magnets, nematic liquid crystals, Bose-Einstein condensates, photonics, high energy physics, etc. It also results in a wide variety of topological defects such as solitons, vortices, skyrmions, merons, hopfions, monopoles to name just a few. Interaction among and collision of these nontrivial defects itself is a topic of great interest. Curvature and underlying geometry also affect the shape, interaction and behavior of these defects. Such properties can be studied using techniques such as, e.g. the Bogomolnyi decomposition. Some applications of this interplay, e.g. in nonreciprocal photonics as well as topological materials such as Dirac and Weyl semimetals, are also elucidated.
1 Introduction

The main context of this chapter is how topological effects in nonlinear systems give rise to a rich playground of excitations and properties. Topology, whether in real space or momentum space or more generally in a parameter space, is associated with certain system properties remaining unaltered under continuous deformation. It follows that during deformation neighboring points remain close to each other. Topology could be local, e.g. change in the lattice or network due to a defect, or global. The latter means attributes such as the genus ($g$) or Euler characteristic ($\chi$) are overall or global features of a system.

Boundary conditions play an important role (through $\chi$), for example in finite carbon nanotubes or edge states in topological materials: quantum Hall systems, topological insulators [1], topological superconductors [2], Dirac and Weyl semimetals [3], etc. The latter are three dimensional analogs of graphene featuring gapless electronic excitations that are protected by topology and (time reversal, space inversion or other crystalline) symmetry [3]. Very recent experiments indicate that these materials, specifically Weyl semimetals, may also provide a realization of axions, very weakly interacting neutral particles in quantum field theory and potential candidates for dark matter, in condensed matter [4, 5].

In many physical systems and materials [6] there are point defects as well as extended or topological defects. The topological defects can significantly alter the physical properties and dynamics of the system. Apart from the celebrated soliton-like defects there is a whole slew of more elaborate ones that include skyrmions, merons, hopfions, monopoles, dislocations, disclinations among others. In this chapter we discuss how such defects arise in chiral magnets, nematic liquid crystals, Bose-Einstein condensates (BECs), etc. We also discuss the role of topology in the momentum space, particularly in the context of topological materials.

The combination of nonlinearity and topology also provides a highly desirable functionality in photonics, namely nonreciprocity, which is quite important for a variety of photonic devices including optical isolators [7]. We thus provide examples of the interplay between nonlinearity and topology in photonics as well as condensed matter analogs. In addition, we illustrate the role of geometry and topology in determining spin textures via the so-called Bogomolnyi decomposition [8]. Finally, we discuss several open problems and future directions with regard to the role of topology in the presence of nonlinearity.

2 Topological defects in Nonlinear field theories

We consider a variety of topological defects that arise in a number of field theories including the nonlinear $\sigma$-model [9, 10]. The Hamiltonian for the latter is given by

$$H = \int (\nabla n)^2 \, d^2 x , \quad n^2 = 1 ,$$

(1)
where the unit vector \( \mathbf{n} \) lives on a unit sphere. This model can support scalar soliton configurations under special conditions. However, under a scaling transformation \( x \rightarrow \lambda x \) and \( y \rightarrow \lambda y \) the Hamiltonian \( H \) remains invariant and thus a soliton solution can be trivially scaled to a point because there is no length scale in the plane. We will return to this point later when we consider the nonlinear \( \sigma \)-model on curved manifolds.

We note here that to describe disordered Weyl semimetals an anisotropic topological term can be analytically derived from the action of the nonlinear \( \sigma \) model [11].

In the next section we consider a variety of topological defects such as skyrmions, merons and hopfions. Given their extensive interest and applicability, subsequently in Sec. 4, we consider vortices and vortex loops/rings. We then turn to different prototypical applications such as liquid crystals (and the emergence of skyrmions in them) in Sec. 5, as well as Bose-Einstein condensates in Sec. 6. After providing an example of a theoretical tool for the study of topology in curved manifolds via the Bogomolnyi decomposition (section 7), we present a broader perspective of the impact of topological ideas in Materials (Sec. 8), Optics (Sec. 9) and Acoustics and beyond (Sec. 10). Then in Sec. 11, we summarize our findings and present our Conclusions, as well as some directions for future work.

### 3 Skyrmions, Merons and Hopfions

Beyond the well known solitons, there are more exotic topological defects such as vector field or spin textures called skyrmions [10], which can have topological charge of one (or two or even more). As shown in Fig. 1, in a skyrmion at the outer boundary all spins point up (red arrows) whereas at the center there is a spin pointing down (blue arrow). Therefore somewhere in the middle the spins have to lie in the plane (green arrows). Half skyrmions are also referred to as merons and have a topological charge of one half: the outer spins point up whereas the spin in the center lies in the plane. There are many other related topological defects such as sphalerons and bags (or lumps) known in high energy physics [9]. Similarly, three dimensional defects, e.g. vortex lines, vortex loops (rings) and knots appear in many physical systems, including, e.g. polymeric knots [12].

#### 3.1 Skyrmions in Chiral Magnets

Before discussing magnets we note that beyond magnetic materials (e.g. ferroelectrics) skyrmions have been observed at interfaces [13]. In this case, the texture is given in terms of polar vectors or electric dipoles. Nanodots and nanocomposites can also stabilize skyrmions (due to boundary conditions) in polar materials [14].

The Hamiltonian for a chiral magnet (i.e. lacking spatial inversion symmetry in its crystal structure, e.g. MnSi) consists of the nonlinear \( \sigma \)-model plus the Zeeman
term in addition to the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction \[15\]
\[
H = \int \left[ J(\nabla \mathbf{n})^2 + D \mathbf{n} \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{n}) - \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{B} \right] d^2 x , \tag{2}
\]
where \( J \) denotes the (magnetic) exchange constant, \( \mathbf{B} \) is the external magnetic field in the last term in the Hamiltonian representing the Zeeman interaction and \( D \) represents the strength of the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction. The latter arises from the spin-orbit interaction at the microscopic level. Here \( \mathbf{n} \) is a unit vector describing the direction of the magnetic moment.

The topological charge associated with a skyrmion spin configuration is given by \[15\]
\[
Q = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^2 r \left[ \mathbf{n} \cdot (\partial_x \mathbf{n} \times \partial_y \mathbf{n}) \right] = \pm 1 . \tag{3}
\]
For a metallic material when a conduction electron traverses across a skyrmion it gets spin polarized as a result of its interaction with the spin configuration of the skyrmion. In addition, the conduction electron is subjected to an effective electric and magnetic field \[15\], respectively given by
\[
E = \frac{\hbar}{2e} \left[ \mathbf{n} \cdot (\nabla \mathbf{n} \times \partial_t \mathbf{n}) \right], \tag{4}
\]
\[
B = \frac{\hbar c}{2e} \left[ \mathbf{n} \cdot (\partial_x \mathbf{n} \times \partial_y \mathbf{n}) \right]. \tag{5}
\]
These emergent fields give rise to the topological and skyrmion Hall effects.
Depending on the material or system, skyrmions can have chirality (i.e. Bloch skyrmion as in MnSi) or no chirality (i.e. Néel skyrmion as in GaV₄S₈) as depicted in Fig. 1. They are so called because the radial cut of a Bloch skyrmion provides a magnetic Bloch domain wall (which is a strictly 3D structure) whereas a radial cut of the Néel skyrmion leads to a magnetic Néel domain wall (which is a 2D structure). Note that the spin configurations of the two types of skyrmions are topologically equivalent. Anti-skyrmions, which have structural characteristics of both the Bloch and Néel skyrmions, have also been observed using Lorentz Transmission Electron Microscopy (LTEM) [17] in tetragonal Heusler materials even above the room temperature, as shown in Fig. 2. We note here that spin-1 photonic skyrmions have also been described in the literature [18].

### 3.2 Merons

Half-skyrmions whose field covers only a hemisphere (i.e. topological charge 1/2) are known as merons. They have been observed (and modeled) in liquid crystals [19] and magnetic multi-layers [20]. If we add a magnetic anisotropy energy term
Fig. 3 Comparison of skyrmion and meron lattices in chiral magnets. A triangular skyrmion lattice arises from a spiral phase (top row) but a triangular meron lattice is at best metastable (bottom left). In contrast, a square meron lattice is stable (bottom right) but a square skyrmion lattice is at best metastable. On the other hand, in nematic liquid crystals a triangular meron lattice is stable but a triangular skyrmion lattice is unstable (not shown). Reproduced from [19]

$A n^2$ to the skyrmion Hamiltonian in Eq. (2), in the large anisotropy limit a skyrmion breaks into merons [21]. When $A > 0$ it is called the easy-plane anisotropy which is what we will consider here. The case of $A < 0$ is called the easy-axis anisotropy. As $A$ is increased the skyrmion size increases, particularly due to the expansion of the equatorial region of the skyrmion. At a certain large value of $A$, skyrmions become unstable and merons emerge.

In chiral magnets a stable triangular lattice of skyrmions emerges from the spiral (or helical) phase as depicted in Fig. 3. In the spiral phase all spins are parallel and point in the same direction at one end. After a helical twist of $2\pi$ they come back to the original parallel state, see Fig. 3(a). The square skyrmion lattice is at best metastable; however, a square meron lattice is allowed. On the contrary, in nematic liquid crystals the triangular skyrmion lattice is at best metastable but a triangular meron lattice is stable [19].

Meron have been experimentally observed at room temperature in a chiral-lattice magnet Co$_8$Zn$_9$Mn$_3$, a material exhibiting in-plane magnetic anisotropy [22]. In this material a meron-antimeron square lattice emerges from the helical state of spins and then transforms into a triangular lattice of skyrmions when a magnetic field is applied, see Fig. 4. Interestingly, in analogy with the baryon model in high energy
physics, skyrmion bags have been observed both in chiral magnets and nematic liquid crystals [23]. These bags are multi-skyrmion configurations where a large skyrmion contains a variable number of antiskyrmions inside it, as depicted in Fig. 5. Merons can be compared and contrasted with magnetic vortices; there is a difference in their spin configurations.

### 3.3 Hopfions and Torons

Three dimensional topological solitons (appearing in 3+1 dimensional scalar field theories) that can be characterized by the integer-valued Hopf invariant are known as hopfions [10]. Ludwig Faddeev proposed their existence in the 1970s. They represent one of the best known examples of knot solitons in field theory. In 1931 Heinz Hopf considered a link of two loops, thus paving the way for the linking number of circles as a topological invariant, i.e. the Hopf number.

The topological charge or linking number of a hopfion is the homotopy group of the Hopf map $\pi_3(S^2) = \mathbb{Z}$, where $\mathbb{Z}$ is the group of relative integers. The Hopf fibration is a topologically stable texture of a smooth, global configuration of a field. In effect, it is an interwoven structure of preimages. A preimage is defined as the
set of all points where a field orientation takes a specific value. The Hopf fibration has been observed in liquid crystals [24] and so are hopfions [25], see Fig. 6 and Fig. 7. Similarly, there are light controlled torons in liquid crystals [26, 27]. The toron is essentially a tube of double twist which is wrapped upon itself such that its boundary forms a torus. It contains two point defects, which can be manipulated to create a defect free structure topologically equivalent to a Hopf fibration. Finally, hopfions have also been considered in chiral magnets [28] and torus knots have been described as hopfions [29].

3.4 Monopoles

As such, free magnetic monopoles do not exist in nature but recent advances in condensed matter and atomic physics have demonstrated the existence of effective magnetic monopoles in artificial spin ice [30, 31], chiral magnets [32] and BECs [33, 34]. The latter are created in $^{87}$Rb atom condensates in a synthetic magnetic field. Note that a monopole-antimonopole pair is necessarily connected by a Dirac string. When two skyrmion tubes touch at a point it creates an effective magnetic monopole because the emergent magnetic field [see Eq. (5)] at that point is radially outward [32], see Fig. 8. Similarly, a moving heldgehog at the end of a skyrmion
Fig. 6 Experimentally deduced hopfion texture in a liquid crystal (left panel) and a schematic of the hopfion as a knotted soliton (right panel). The hopfion is obtained by linking the circle-like preimages residing on nested tori in the material’s 3D space. The preimages correspond to color-coded points on $S^2$. Reproduced from [25]. ©2017 by the Nature Publishing Group.

Fig. 7 Left panel: The texture of a toron (reproduced from [26]). ©2010 by the Nature Publishing Group. Second panel: Flow lines of the Hopf fibration. Third panel: The preimage surface of the Hopf fibration. Right panel: The experimental preimage of a Hopf fibration. Reproduced from [24]. ©2010 by the American Physical Society.

line (in a ferromagnetic nanowire) constitutes an emergent magnetic monopole [35], see Fig. 9. An experimentally observed and simulated monopole in a Bose-Einstein condensate is depicted in Fig. 10.

4 Vortices and Vortex Loops

In many physical systems such as fluids, superconductors and magnets (and those modeled by the 3D Heisenberg model) vortices, vortex lines and vortex loops (rings) [37] are observed. Creation and dynamics of trefoil-like (and other) knotted vortices have been studied in water using specially shaped hydrofoils [38]. Since magnetic, superconducting and other vortices as well as their dynamics have been studied...
extensively, this is a fully developed area of research and thus we will not dwell on this general theme, but rather limit ourselves to a number of recent developments.

Vortices are persistent circulating flow patterns that occur in diverse scientific contexts [39], ranging from hydrodynamics, superfluids, and nonlinear optics [40, 41] to specific instantiations in sunspots [42], dust devils [43], and plant propulsion [44]. The study of the associated 2D effective particle dynamics that results from the logarithmic interaction potential is a theme of broad interest in physics. Not only it is relevant for the prototypical fluid/superfluid applications (see e.g. the review of Aref et al. [45] and the book of Newton [46]), but also for a variety of other settings. As such, we mention electron columns in Malmberg-Penning traps [47] and magnetized, millimeter sized disks rotating at a liquid-air interface [48, 49], among others.

The realm of atomic BECs [50, 51, 52] has produced a novel and pristine setting where numerous features of the exciting nonlinear dynamics of single- and multi-charge vortices, as well as of vortex lattices, can be not only theoretically studied, but also experimentally observed. Although BEC is known to be a fundamental phenomenon connected, e.g. to superfluidity and superconductivity [53], BECs were only experimentally realized 70 years later: this major achievement took place in 1995 [54, 55, 56] and has already been recognized through the 2001 Nobel prize in Physics [57, 58]. The role of vortices and the remarkable manifestation of highly ordered, triangular vortex lattices were, in turn, cited in the 2003 Nobel Prize in Physics [59]. Importantly, vortex dipoles (pairs of oppositely charged vortices) that
will be relevant in what follows have played a quintessential role in the Kosterlitz-Thouless (KT) transition [60] from a gas of dipoles to configurations of unbound vortices, earning its discoverers the 2016 Nobel Prize in Physics! This transition has, moreover, found one of its most canonical realizations in the context of atomic BECs [61].
In addition to being at the epicenter of some of the most important physical	notions of the past few decades, the coherent structures considered herein have
been recognized as having both practical, as well as more exotic applications. For
instance, solitary waves have been argued to provide the potential for 100-fold
improved sensitivity for interferometers to phase shifts [62], while their lifetime of
a few seconds enables precise force sensing applications [63]. Moreover, vortices
present their own potential for applications. An intriguing example is the so-called
“analogue gravity”, whereby they may play a role similar to spinning black holes. This
allows to observe in terrestrial, experimentally controllable environments associated
phenomena such as the celebrated Hawking radiation or simpler ones such as super-
radiant amplification of sonic waves scattered from black holes [64]. It has also been
recently argued that vortices of a rotating BEC can collapse towards the generation of
supermassive black holes [65] and that supersonically expanding BECs can emulate
properties of an expanding universe in the lab [66].

The first experimental observation of BEC vortices [67] paved the way for a sys-
tematic investigation of the dynamical properties of such entities. Stirring the BECs
[68, 69] above a certain critical angular speed [70, 71, 72] led to the production of few
vortices [72] and vortex lattices [73, 74]. Other vortex-generation techniques were
also used in experiments, including the breakup of the BEC superfluidity by dragging
obstacles through the condensate [75], as well as nonlinear interference between con-
densate fragments [76]. In addition, apart from unit-charged vortices, higher-charged
vortex structures were produced [77, 78] and their dynamical (in)stability was ex-
amined.

The majority of these early experiments focused on creating individual vortices
and large vortex arrays. However, in 2008, the work of [79] enabled the use of the
so-called Kibble-Zurek (KZ) mechanism to quench a gas of atoms rapidly across
the BEC transition. The result of this is that phase gradients do not have sufficient
time to “heal” but rather freeze, resulting in the formation of vortices. Then, in
2010 another technique was devised that enabled for the first time the dynamical
visualization of vortices [80] during an experiment. This, in turn, spearheaded the
work of [81, 82] where particle models were developed that predicted the dipole
dynamics (equilibria, near-equilibrium epicyclic precessions and far from equilib-
rium quasi-periodic motions) observed in these experiments. A nearly concurrent
development concerned the production in the lab of such vortex dipoles (one or mul-
tiple such), by the superfluid analogue of dragging a cylinder through a fluid [83].
More recently, the KZ mechanism together with rotation (i.e. injection of angular
momentum) have been used to “dial in” and observe the dynamics of vortex clusters
of, controllably, any number of vortices between 1 and 11. This is because rotation
favors the formation of vortices of the same charge and in this way, depending on
the angular momentum provided, different charge configurations (vortex clusters)
arise. The resulting configurations may suffer symmetry breaking events [84]. As
a result, instead of the commonly expected anti-diametric pair, equilateral triangle,
or square configurations that one may expect, it is possible to observe symmetry
broken configurations featuring asymmetric pairs, isosceles triangles, and rhombi
or general/asymmetric quadrilaterals [85]. To further add to these developments,
3-vortex configurations of (same but also of) alternating charge in the form of a tripole (i.e. a positive-negative-positive or its opposite) have been experimentally produced [86, 87]. This turns out to be one of the simplest setups where chaotic dynamics can ensue [88, 89]. A sampler of experimental images from these different experimental efforts is depicted in Fig. 11.

It is evident from the above recent developments that there is a tremendous momentum toward the study of vortex dynamics in atomic physics. Moreover, this theme presents nontrivial twists in comparison to the classical fluid or superfluid playground [45, 46]. To mention a canonical difference between the two, atomic BECs are typically confined by parabolic traps [50, 51, 52, 90] constraining the density and hence the region within which the vortices evolve. This trapping induces vortices to rotate around the center of the trap [90, 91, 92]. The frequency of this precessional motion can be well approximated by a constant close to the center of the trap, yet as the edges of the BEC are approached and the density decreases, the relevant frequency increases drastically [84, 85, 93]. These modifications of the “standard” picture of the vortices interacting through a logarithmic potential are critically responsible for some of the phenomena observed recently. For instance, the competition between the rotation and the interaction in the case of the vortex dipole [81, 82] is responsible for the existence of stationary states or epicyclic/quasi-periodic trajectories; the deviation from a constant precession frequency is, in turn, responsible [85, 93] for the symmetry-breaking bifurcations enabling asymmetric vortex configurations [84].

5 Skyrmions in Liquid Crystals

One can describe a liquid crystal by a tensor order parameter $Q(r)$, which is related to the director field $\mathbf{n}(r)$ and the scalar order parameter $S(r)$ by $Q_{\alpha\beta} = S(\frac{1}{2}n_\alpha n_\beta - \frac{1}{2}\delta_{\alpha\beta})$. According to the Landau-de Gennes theory, one can express the free energy density in terms of $Q$ as [19]
\[ F = \frac{1}{2} a T r Q^2 + \frac{1}{3} b T r Q^3 + \frac{1}{4} c \left( T r Q^2 \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} L \left( \partial_\gamma Q_{\alpha\beta} \right) \left( \partial_\gamma Q_{\alpha\beta} \right) - 2 L q_0 \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} Q_{\alpha\delta} \partial_\gamma Q_{\beta\delta}. \] (6)

The first line represents the free energy of a uniform system, when we expand it in powers of the tensor order parameter. This part favors those eigenvalues of \( Q \), which are associated with a specific magnitude of uniaxial nematic order. One assumes the coefficient \( a \) varies linearly with temperature, whereas \( b \) and \( c \) are constant with regard to temperature. The last two terms are the elastic free energy corresponding to variations in \( Q \) as a function of position. The first of these terms is the (equal) energy cost of splay, twist, and bend deformations, where \( L \) is an elastic coefficient. The last term allows a chiral twist of the nematic order, where \( q_0 \) is a characteristic inverse length that arises from the molecular chirality. Other possible elastic terms giving different energy costs for splay, twist, and bend, e.g. \( L^2 \left( \partial_\alpha Q_{\alpha\gamma} \right) \left( \partial_\beta Q_{\beta\gamma} \right) \), are neglected here for simplicity.

The chiral twist term \( q_0 \) is analogous to the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction in the magnetic case [see Eq. (2)]. Comparative analysis and simulations based on (2) for the magnetic case and (6) for the liquid crystals [19] provide the results depicted in Fig. 3. For chiral magnets the triangular (or hexagonal) skyrmion lattice is stable and it arises from the spiral (or helical) phase. However, a triangular meron lattice is not stable but a square meron lattice is allowed. In contrast, based on energetic grounds, in liquid crystals the skyrmion lattice is disfavored but a triangular lattice of merons is allowed. This difference arises from the nature of the order parameter: vector for chiral magnets versus tensor for nematic liquid crystals.

In addition to skyrmions and merons, stable skyrmion bags have been observed in liquid crystals [23]. An observed and simulated example is illustrated in Fig. 5. Corresponding skyrmion bags in chiral magnets are also possible as shown in Fig. 5 as a result of micromagnetic simulations. Interestingly, these bags are similar to the models of atomic nuclei containing different number of baryons, as originally surmised by T.H.R. Skyrme.

6 Bose-Einstein condensates: From Vortex Lines to Rings, From Hopfions to Skyrmions and Knots

We already discussed in Sec. 4 the relevance of Bose-Einstein condensates as a prototypical playground where two-dimensional topological excitations in the form of vortices naturally arise. We now turn to a 3D extension of such structures, starting with the natural generalization of the vortex, namely the vortex line (VL). A VL, also referred to as a solitonic vortex, is the 3D extension of a 2D vortex by (infinitely and homogeneously) extending the solution into the axis perpendicular to the vortex plane. VLs might be rendered finite in length if their background is made bounded by an external potential. In that case, VLs are called vorticity “tubes” that are straight
or bent in U and S shapes depending on the aspect ratio of the background [94, 95]. If a VL is bent enough to close on to itself or if two VLs are close enough to each other then they can produce a vortex ring [96]. Vortex rings (VRs) are 3D structures whose core is a closed loop with vorticity around it [97] (i.e. a vortex that is looped back into itself). VRs can also be produced by an impurity traveling faster than the speed of sound of the background [98], by nonlinear interference between colliding blobs of atomic matter [99, 100], by phase and density engineering techniques [101, 102, 103], or even by introducing “bubbles” of one component in the other component in two-component nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) systems [104].

It should be noted that VRs inherently possess a velocity perpendicular to the ring plane due to Helmholtz’s law [105] (unless they are stopped by the presence of an external trap [106, 107]). Also, another special feature of VLs and VRs is that they support intrinsic dynamics along the vortex line/ring. For example, it is possible to transversally excite the vorticity line to produce oscillations called Kelvin modes (or Kelvons) [108, 109, 110, 111]. Kelvin modes not only have their own dynamics and interactions across vortex lines [112], but they can also self interact within a single VR and slowdown or even reverse the velocity of the VR [113, 114]. We note here that Kelvin modes have also been studied in the context of skyrmion tubes [115]. Another possibility for exciting the vorticity line of the VR is by creating varicose or capillary waves (periodic compressions of the vortex tube along its length) [109, 116]. Lastly, VRs interact in intriguing ways involving, e.g. leapfrogging motions when they are co-axial (see Fig. 12), but also more complex interactions when they are not [117]. Recently, an effective particle description has been utilized not only in order to understand the stability and dynamics of a single VR [118, 119], but also that of multiple or interacting VRs [120].

It is important to highlight here that the relevance of VLs and VRs goes beyond atomic BECs. They emerge ubiquitously in fluid mechanics [117] and in Helium and other related superfluid systems [97]. Rather, what is the case here is that atomic condensates present a pristine, well-controlled setting for the creation and exploration of these structures.

In addition to VRs and VLs, it was also realized that BECs offer also the potential for the formation of more complex topological structures. This is to a considerable extent due to the potential of creating atomic condensates either of different species (e.g. $^{87}$Rb and $^{23}$Na, i.e. hetero-nuclear mixtures) or of the same species (e.g. confining and condensing two different hyperfine states of the same gas, such as spin-1 and spin-2 states of $^{87}$Rb) [90]. Among the early suggestions along this vein, is the multi-component Skyrmion state creation in BECs. The topological properties of such a state enable its structural realization in a multi-component BEC. Here, as originally proposed in [122, 123], the Skyrmion consists of a VR in one of the components, “trapping” a VL in a second component. Interestingly, more complex Skyrmion states involving three-component (so-called spinor) BECs have been recently realized experimentally in both 2D [124] and 3D [125], involving, respectively, coupled states of topological charge $S = -1, 0, 1$ and $S = 0, 1, 2$; see also the recent theoretical work of [126].
Fig. 12 The top panel shows the snapshots of the evolution of two vortex rings leapfrogging through each other. The bottom panel illustrates this type of motion in the radial-polar $(r, z)$ plane on a co-moving reference frame. The solid lines correspond to the orbits predicted by ordinary differential equations for the radius and vertical position of the rings as a function of time. The dashed lines are the corresponding numerics of the full 3-dimensional NLS equation. Bottom panel is adapted from [121]. ©2016 by the American Institute of Physics.

Of increased interest recently has been not only this structure, but also its one-component counterpart, which in the relevant recent BEC literature is referred to as a hopfion state [127, 128, 129]. The latter consists of a VR and a VL in the same component with the axis of the VR constituting the line of vorticity of the VL. A stable hopfion state was found to exist both in the setup of [127] which, however, involved the rather elaborate realization of radially increasing nonlinear interactions and the purely dynamical exploration of [128] for condensates that are being rotated (and was suggested to be stable only for some intermediate rotation rate).

Lastly, the study of quantum (vortex) knots is one that has only a relatively short history in the context of atomic BECs. To the best of our knowledge, the possibility of such complex topological structures was introduced in the work of [130] (see also [131]), illustrating how different torus knots $T_{K,q}$, with co-prime $K$ and $q$, can be generated in the wavefunction of an atomic species. Subsequently the work of [132] seemed to put a full stop on the subject through the extensive simulation of 1458 vortex knots from the so-called “knot atlas” [133], and finding that the trapless Gross-Pitaevskii equation (GPE) could not support stable knots: all of the simulated knots would eventually untie into simpler patterns. Nevertheless, the recent work of [134, 135], both at the level of the Biot-Savart dynamical law (for the vortex knot motion), as well as at that of the full 3D GPE has, perhaps counter-intuitively, indicated that the trefoil knot can be a very long lived structure in the context of a trapped atomic BEC [136].
7 Topology and curved manifolds: Bogomolnyi decomposition

We now provide an example of how the types of configurations considered herein may be used to minimize the energy of a system of classical spins. The continuum limit of (classical) Heisenberg spins on a two-dimensional (planar or) curved manifold corresponds to the nonlinear $\sigma$ model. That is, the corresponding Hamiltonian $H$ is given by (1). If we impose homogeneous boundary conditions on the vector field $n$ in the plane $\mathbb{R}^2$, i.e. $\lim_{r \to \infty} n \to n_0$, then we can compactify the plane into the surface of a sphere $S^2$. This allows us to classify different configurations according to the homotopy class $\pi_2(S^2) = \mathbb{Z}$, where $\mathbb{Z}$ is the group of relative integers [137, 138].

Topology does not directly help us to state anything about the energy of the field configuration but indirectly, by invoking the so-called Bogomolnyi inequalities [8], it enables us to establish energy bounds for configurations belonging to equivalent homotopy classes labeled by $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. The inequality in the present case can be expressed as

$$ (\partial_i n - \epsilon_{ij} \partial_j n)^2 \geq 0, $$

whereby it follows that

$$ H \geq \int n \cdot (\partial_i n \times \partial_j n) dx dy. $$

Thus, the minimum energy in each homotopy class is attained when

$$ \partial_i n = \pm \epsilon_{ij} \partial_j n, $$

i.e. when these self-dual equations are satisfied by the field configurations.

If we consider this model on a plane ($\mathbb{R}^2$), there is no characteristic length. As a result the nonlinear $\sigma$ model Hamiltonian can be scaled and thus all the nontrivial field configurations (satisfying homogeneous boundary conditions) can be scaled as well. This situation is drastically changed if there is a characteristic length scale, e.g. if the underlying manifold is curved. We will introduce a length scale in two ways: (i) first we will consider the nonlinear $\sigma$ model on a rigid cylinder and then (ii) we will also apply an axial magnetic field through the cylinder. In the first case the radius $\rho_0$ of the cylinder is the characteristic length whereas in the second case there is an additional length scale introduced by the magnetic field $B$. There are other ways of introducing a length scale, e.g. through magnetic anisotropy, ellipticity of the cylinder cross section, etc. but we will not consider these different cases here.

We set the unit vector $n = (\sin \theta \cos \Phi, \sin \theta \sin \Phi, \cos \theta)$ in terms of the colatitude $\theta$ and the azimuthal angle $\Phi$. Next, we write the Hamiltonian [137] in terms of cylindrical coordinates $(\rho, z, \phi)$

$$ H = J \int_{\text{cyl}} \left[ (\partial_\rho \theta)^2 + \sin^2 \theta (\partial_z \Phi)^2 + (\partial_\phi \theta)^2 / \rho_0^2 + \sin^2 \theta (\partial_\phi \Phi)^2 / \rho_0^2 \right] \rho_0 dz d\phi, $$

(10)
where $J$ denotes the spin exchange interaction energy. In order to invoke topological considerations let us impose homogeneous boundary conditions, i.e.

$$\lim_{z \to \pm \infty} \equiv 0$$

and $\lim_{z \to \pm \infty} d\theta/dz = 0$. If we seek cylindrically symmetric solutions then $\Phi = \phi$ and $\partial\theta/\partial\phi = 0$. Thus the Hamiltonian simplifies to

$$H = 2\pi \rho_0 J \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ (\partial_z \theta)^2 + \sin^2 \theta / \rho_0^2 \right] dz. \quad (11)$$

The variation of this Hamiltonian ($\delta H = 0$), i.e. the Euler-Lagrange equation turns out to be the celebrated sine-Gordon equation

$$d^2 \theta(z)/dz^2 = (1/2\rho_0^2) \sin 2\theta, \quad (12)$$

with the well known kink solution $\theta(z) = \arctan[\exp(z/\rho_0)]$. It is depicted in Fig. 13(a). The energy for this configuration is $H = 8\pi J$, which is the minimum energy belonging to the first homotopy class.

By invoking the technique used by Belavin and Polyakov [138], or equivalently the Bogomolnyi decomposition [8], we note that the solutions that correspond to the minimum energy in each homotopy class satisfy the first order self-dual equations

$$\rho_0 \partial_z \theta = \pm \sin \theta \partial_\phi \Phi, \quad \partial_\phi \theta = \mp \rho_0 \sin \theta \partial_z \Phi. \quad (13)$$

If we apply an external magnetic field ($B$) along the axis of the cylinder then the Hamiltonian is modified as [140]

$$H_{mag} = J \int \int_{cyl} (\nabla n)^2 dS - g\mu \int \int_{cyl} n \cdot B \ dS, \quad (14)$$

where $g$ is the gyromagnetic ratio and $\mu$ denotes the magnetic moment. With homogeneous boundary conditions ($\theta = 0$ as $z \to \pm \infty$) the Hamiltonian simplifies as

$$H_{mag} = 2J(2\pi \rho_0) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \theta_z^2 + \sin^2 \theta / 2\rho_0^2 + (1/\rho_B^2)(1 - \cos \theta) \right] dz, \quad (15)$$

where $\rho_B^2 = 2J/g\mu B$ is the magnetic length scale. Variation of this Hamiltonian leads to

$$\theta_{zz} = (1/2\rho_0^2) \sin 2\theta + (1/\rho_B^2) \sin \theta, \quad (16)$$

which is the double sine-Gordon equation. The corresponding $2\pi$-kink solution that is consistent with the boundary conditions is given by

$$\theta(z) = 2 \arcsin \frac{1}{\sqrt{\cosh^2(z/\xi) - (\xi^2/\rho_0^2) \sinh^2(z/\xi)}}, \quad (17)$$

where the kink width $\xi = \rho_0\rho_B/(\rho^2 + \rho_B^2)^{1/2}$ is another characteristic length in the problem. This solution is depicted in Fig. 13(b).
Because of the homogeneous boundary conditions at the cylinder boundaries all the spins point in the same direction, see Fig. 13. Thus they can be compactified to a single spin and the spin configuration in Fig. 13(a) covers the unit sphere once, i.e. it is a skyrmion of topological charge 1. Similarly, the spin configuration in Fig. 13(b) covers the unit sphere twice, thus it is a skyrmion of topological charge 2. If the cylinder were semi-infinite, it will be topologically equivalent to a plane with a hole of radius $\rho_0$. The spin configuration in this case will be a half-skyrmion (or a meron) [141].

If the cylinder is elastic (i.e. deformable) then the geometric frustration caused by the mismatch of the cylinder radius and kink width can be relieved by a pulse-like deformation in the region of the magnetic kink [137, 140]. The Bogomolnyi technique is quite general and can be used in a broader context. Another application of the Bogomolnyi decomposition is in the calculation of elastic deformation energy of vesicles as a function of genus [142]. The latter has significance in the context of the Willmore conjecture [143].
8 Topological Materials

In conventional materials such as metals, insulators and semiconductors the nonrelativistic Schrödinger equation describes the energy dispersion of low-lying electronic excitations, \( E_S = \frac{p^2}{2m^*} \), which is quadratic in the electron momentum \( p \) with effective mass \( m^* \). However, over the past decade there is a growing class of materials, which are known as Dirac materials [144] or more generally topological materials, exhibiting linear electronic dispersion in their band structure. Examples include topological insulators [1, 145], topological superconductors [2], topological crystalline insulators [146] as well as Dirac semimetals and Weyl semimetals [3, 147].

One of the distinguishing features of these materials are Dirac points, where the (conduction and valence) bands touch each other at an isolated set of points. The corresponding band features are called Dirac cones. These points are topologically protected due to specific (time reversal, spatial inversion or crystalline) symmetries in that they are robust under perturbations. An example is graphene where the protection comes from the sublattice symmetry (of the underlying honeycomb lattice) and the energy dispersion of its electrons is linear in the momentum. Specifically, it is given by the relativistic Dirac equation: \( E_D = c \sigma \cdot p + mc^2 \sigma \). Here \( \sigma = (\sigma_x, \sigma_y) \) denotes Pauli matrices and the speed of light \( c \) is replaced by the Fermi velocity \( v_F \). In \( d \) spatial dimensions (with \( c = 1 \)) the Dirac equation is written as \((i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m)\psi = 0 \) [3], where \( \mu = 0, 1, \ldots, d \) with \( \mu = 0 \) denoting time and the Dirac gamma matrices \( \gamma^\mu \) anticommute. In odd dimensions (\( d = 1, 3, \ldots \)) it can be simplified. In particular, for \( d = 1 \) one gets \( i\partial_1 \psi = (\gamma^0 \gamma^1 p + m\gamma^0)\psi \) with momentum \( p = -i\partial_x \). If we further consider massless (\( m = 0 \)) particles, we get the one-dimensional Weyl equation \( i\partial_1 \psi_\pm = \pm p \psi_\pm \). Thus we get simple linear dispersion \( E_\pm = \pm p \) representing the right and left moving chiral particles or Weyl fermions.

Topology seems to enhance the nonlinear response of topological materials. A recent important experimental technique is TFISH (Terahertz Field-Induced Second Harmonic Generation) which allows to understand the nonlinear response of topological Dirac and Weyl semimetals, e.g. TaAs [148]. In particular, second harmonic generation (SHG) is found to be enhanced in Weyl semimetals. The latter contain Weyl points (or nodes) in their electronic structure at which linearly dispersing, non-degenerate bands cross. They also exhibit Fermi arc surface states that are attached to the Weyl nodes in the bulk material (Fig. 14). In these materials there is a transition between the topological and non-topological (or trivial) phases which proceeds via a gapless state.

When an appropriate nonlinearity is added to the usual (linear) Dirac equation and Weyl equation, a nonlinear Dirac (NLD) equation [149] and a nonlinear Weyl (NLW) equation [150] results, respectively. Their properties and attendant nonlinear (topological) excitations such as solitons and vortices [151] are quite different as compared to the nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) equation. Currently, a significant amount of analytical and numerical effort is being devoted to understanding the stability and collision dynamics of solitons in NLD and NLW equations [152].
In closing this section we note that in addition to chiral magnets, liquid crystals and BECs, there are many other materials including topological materials in which a variety of topological defects can form under right conditions. In particular, ferroelectrics [13], multiferroic materials, e.g. Cu$_2$OSeO$_3$ [153] and magnetic shape memory alloys such as Ni$_3$MnGa [154] can also support skyrmion-like topological excitations. There has been a recent observation of skyrmions in the heterostructures of a ferromagnet (Cr$_2$Te$_3$) and a topological insulator (Bi$_2$Te$_3$) [155]. It would be highly desirable to observe hopfions in such materials as well.

Although we have not discussed dislocations separately here, they play an important role in determining the properties of topological materials [157].

## 9 Nonreciprocal Topological Photonics

Many of the modern photonic devices such as optical isolators and optical circulators are based on the principle of Lorentz reciprocity. It entails that in a (i) linear, (ii) time-independent material or medium with (iii) symmetric property (or constitutive optical) tensors, the received and transmitted fields are identical for both forward and time-reversed propagation directions [158]. However, in some cases reciprocity is deleterious, as, e.g. in self-echo in antennas. In addition, for many desired and emerging optical functionalities (e.g. optical circulators) it is important to break Lorentz reciprocity by relaxing any of the three conditions. Clearly, one way to obtain nonreciprocity is by way of introducing optical nonlinearity. The latter in conjunction with non-Hermitian photonics and topological photonics can significantly enhance nonreciprocity [7]. Examples of optical nonlinearities include the Kerr effect, two-photon absorption and the thermo-optic effect. An example of nonreciprocal topological photonic setup employing a nonlinear coupled resonator array is depicted in Fig. 15.
One can create topologically nontrivial photonic band structures in analogy with the electronic band structure of topological materials discussed above. In particular, one can create topological edge states that are robust against perturbations or defects. One way to create such states is by forming an interface between a topologically nontrivial and a trivial optical material. Just like in electronic topological materials, photonic nontrivial topological bands cannot be deformed to trivial bands in an adiabatic way. A photonic realization of a two-dimensional electronic Chern insulator uses a lattice of magnetized ferrite rods at microwave scale [160] in which the lattice edge state acts as an isolating waveguide. This structure leads to almost perfect forward transmission and exponentially suppressed backward transmission for frequencies in the photonic bandgap.

There are two ways of realizing nonlinear topological photonic structures. One can consider nonlinear propagation dynamics in an otherwise linear topological photonic system. Here the nonlinearity locally alters the system properties. An example is that of waveguide arrays with evanescent coupling between neighboring waveguides. Another way is to use a probe beam to induce a phase transition in the dynamics of a linearized probe beam. Coupled optical resonator lattices provide a realization of this type where one gets quite strong nonlinear effects due to the resonant light confinement as compared to waveguide lattices. Finally, we note that a one dimensional nontrivial topological lattice can be modeled by the photonic Su-Schrieffer-Heeger (SSH) model [161, 162].
10 Topological modes in Acoustics and Beyond

Admittedly, the study of topological insulators has drawn considerable interest in a variety of fields, among other reasons because of the ability of such media to feature transport that is immune to the presence of defects [1, 145]. One of the most recent venues for such studies has been in the area of mechanical and acoustic systems, where the topological properties can inspire the design of unconventional mechanical structures with unique elastic and vibrational properties [163, 164, 165, 166, 167]. This, in turn, can lead to significant new paradigms in the realm of energy harvesting, as well as in that of vibration isolation [168].

One of the canonical examples that is possible to realize in this mechanical setting is a direct analogue of a dimer in the form of an SSH model as has been suggested e.g. in [169]. This enables through its corresponding phononic band-gap structure the emergence of a zero-frequency topological mode. Finite (non-zero) frequency topological modes can also be achieved [170]. There have been numerous recent efforts in this direction of harnessing topological properties of suitable mechanical media to improve the propagation or storage of energy. These include, among others, the examination of edge solitons [171] and their ability for nonlinear conduction in topological mechanical insulators [172, 173], the study of nonlinear edge states that arise in phononic lattices [174], as well as the examination of topological band transitions in tunable phononic systems, under the variation of suitable (e.g. stiffness) parameters [175, 176].

Lastly, we touch upon the theme of topology optimization which is an important method used for many industrial and technological applications. For structural robustness and additive manufacturing, nonlinear topology optimization [177] and topology optimization for geometrically nonlinear structures [178] have been recently studied. In the former case a nonlinear elastic model of the materials is considered along with plasticity aspects in conjunction with invoking the von Mises (yield) criterion. In the latter case it is assumed that the structures under consideration experience large displacement but small strain. In particular, high-resolution topology optimized solutions are obtained for structures that are geometrically nonlinear. Invariably, the results are quite important for many engineering applications.

11 Conclusions and Future Work

In this chapter, we have delineated the importance of topology in a variety of physical systems and discussed the ubiquity of topological defects such as skyrmions, merons, vortices, hopfions and monopoles in a number of distinct nonlinear systems, e.g. chiral magnets, liquid crystals, BEC, etc. We have also elucidated the pervasive role of topology in nonlinear condensed matter and photonic, as well as phononic systems. Although we did not discuss it here, topology in soft matter is also quite important e.g. in topological colloids [179]. In addition, we illustrated the interplay of nonlinearity, topology and geometry by considering the nonlinear $\sigma$ model on simple curved
manifolds. The resulting spin configurations are sine-Gordon or double sine-Gordon solitons.

Despite the above significant progress over the last few years, there are several important open problems related to the interplay of nonlinearity and topology. Nonlinearity with “fragile topology” in a quantum system is a topic for future research. Fragile topology (as opposed to strong topology) refers to a set of quantum phenomena that endow materials or systems with unusual properties [180, 181, 182]. Examples include the misaligned layers of graphene [183] and “knotty” electronic quantum states in some topological materials. In the latter case electrons are restricted to move along certain directions. Understanding these states properly may require considerations other than K-theory [184], as discussed in e.g. [180].

Akin to the study of soliton collisions and vortex interactions, it would be desirable to study interaction between and collision of different hopfions. This certainly is a challenging numerical problem.

A study of one-dimensional NLD and NLW equations on (planar and space) curves and higher (two and three) dimensional such equations on curved manifolds will provide important insights into the interplay of topology, geometry and nonlinearity. In particular, the shape and dynamics of soliton and vortex solutions will be modified by the curved geometry.

There are three fundamental (relativistic) fermions in nature: Dirac, Weyl (with zero mass) and Majorana (which are their own antiparticles and thus neutral). Similar to NLD and NLW equations there could exist a nonlinear Majorana equation; it would be intriguing to explore its soliton solutions and their dynamics. Majorana fermions also have potential applications in the growing field of (braiding-based) topological quantum computing [185], especially with fault tolerance. We note here that the nonlinear dynamics of Majorana modes has been studied using topological Josephson junctions [186]. Similarly, it was proposed that Majorana-like modes of light can also be realized in a one-dimensional array of nonlinear cavities [187].

During the last few years there have been studies of a class of nonlinear models that harbor kink solitons with non-exponential tails. Collision of such kinks with non-exponential tails, e.g. power-law [188] or super-exponential, in 1+1 dimensional field theories is an important open question.

Recently the field of quantum time crystals [189] has emerged with many insights including topological considerations [190]. The role of nonlinearity in quantum time crystals with topological aspects remains an open field.
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