Biochemometry-Based Discovery of Phenylpropanoids from \textit{Azadirachta indica} Fruits as Inhibitors of In Vitro Osteoclast Formation
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Abstract: (1) Background: Inhibition of osteoclast differentiation is the key approach in treating osteoporosis. However, using state-of-the-art treatments such as bisphosphonates and estrogen-based therapy is usually accompanied by many side effects. As opposed to this, the use of natural products as an osteoporotic remedy delivers promising outcomes with minimal side effects. (2) Methods: In the present study, we implemented a biochemometric workflow comprising (i) chemometric approaches using NMR and mass spectrometry and (ii) cell biological approaches using an osteoclast cytochemical marker (TRAP). The workflow serves as a screening tool to pursue potential in vitro osteoclast inhibitors. (3) Results: The workflow allowed for the selective isolation of two phenylpropanoids (coniferyl alcohol and sinapyl alcohol) from the fruits of neem tree (\textit{Azadirachta indica}). These two isolated phenylpropanoids showed a very promising dose-dependent inhibition of osteoclast differentiation with negligible effects in terms of cell viability. (4) Conclusion: The presented workflow is an effective tool in the discovery of potential candidates for osteoclast inhibition from complex extracts. The used biochemometric approach saves time, effort and costs while delivering precise hints to selectively isolate bioactive constituents.
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1. Introduction

Bone diseases concern a wide population of individuals, both men and women, and affect their life quality. Furthermore, they project a huge burden on society and the health system. These diseases are profoundly reliant on bone metabolism, which is mainly regulated through the communication between bone-forming osteoblasts (OB) and bone-resorbing osteoclasts (OC) [1]. Thus, osteoporosis usually occurs when this dynamic homeostasis is disturbed by an increased OC activity [1–3].

OC originate from hematopoietic stem cells and differentiate into specialized multinucleated giant cells by cell fusion of mononuclear precursor cells capable of resorbing the bone. Upon differentiation, OC display certain markers that can be used to evaluate differentiation and resorbing activity. Assessing the differentiation and activity of OC is hence considered vital in studies evaluating existing and potential new therapies. In addition to descriptive morphology (cellular size, shape and number of nuclei), OC can be identified via more precise and selective hallmarks, i.e., the presence and secretion of tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase (TRAP) and cathepsin K, actin ring formation, vitronectin receptor or resorption ability [2,4,5].
TRAP is a glycosylated monomeric enzyme localized in lysosomes, vesicles, Golgi cisternae and in the ruffled border of OC precursors and mature OC. Its detection via histochemical staining or activity assays is an established and commonly used marker for identifying OC and studying their effects on osteoclastogenesis [4].

By inhibiting the differentiation of OC precursors and the bone-resorbing activity of mature OC, many bone diseases can be remedied. Bisphosphonates, for instance, are the most commonly used drugs to inhibit OC activity. They attach to hydroxyapatite binding sites, particularly on surfaces enduring active resorption [5] and inhibit the mevalonate pathway. However, they are associated with short- and long-term adverse effects, among them the osteonecrosis of the jaw [6]. Additionally, estrogen-based therapies were used to counteract osteoporosis [7] but were also endowed with side effects [8]. Later developed treatment modalities target specific cytokines or glycoproteins. One of these drugs is the RANKL inhibitor denosumab, which prevents RANKL-induced differentiation and activation of OC, thus inhibiting bone resorption in vivo.

On the other hand, the use of natural products has been reported to be effective in the treatment of osteoporosis due to their long-term safe use and cost factors [9,10]. Many formulations in traditional Chinese medicine have been applied successfully to increase bone mineral density and improve the life quality of patients [11]. Several compounds derived from natural sources such as curcumin [12], berberine [13] and tanshinone [14] have been reported to be effective in the inhibition of OC activity in vitro.

_Azadirachta indica_ A. Juss. is a medicinal plant belonging to the Meliaceae family and is native to the Indian subcontinent. It is commonly known as neem tree, miracle tree or the “pharmacy of the village” tree [15]. For centuries, different parts of this tree have been used intensively in traditional medicine [16,17]. Extracts of the different neem tree organs comprise a diversity of chemistries such as flavonoids, saponins, tannins, limonoids, gallic acid, di- and triterpenoids, and coumarins [18,19]. These constituents contribute to a broad spectrum of activity, including anti-inflammatory, antiarthritic, antipyretic, antimicrobial, antitumor and immunomodulatory, as previously summarized by Biswas et al. [20]. Neem tree metabolites have been reported to modulate numerous signaling pathways at the molecular level, as reviewed by Gupta et al. [21].

To search for new strategies for identifying anti-osteoporotic drug candidates from natural sources, an extract screening was performed. Within this screening, a methanolic extract of the fruits of _A. indica_ was identified as the most promising plant source containing constituents that were able to reduce OC differentiation in vitro. Our aim was to unravel which constituents of the extract are responsible for the observed activity. For this purpose, the recently established biochemometric workflow ELINA (Eliciting Nature’s Activities) was applied [22,23]. With this approach, the two phenylpropanoids coniferyl alcohol (CA) and sinapyl alcohol (SA) were identified to contribute significantly to the OC inhibitory activity of the extract tested.

To our knowledge, this is the first report describing the potential inhibitory activity of CA and SA isolated from the fruits of _A. indica_ on OC. This was achieved by applying a biochemometry-based discovery approach in combination with cell biological methods to estimate the inhibitory effects of the two compounds on OC differentiation.

### 2. Results

#### 2.1. Extract Screening

For a natural product extract screening on potential OC inhibitory effects, OB and bone marrow OC precursors were co-cultured and incubated with _A. indica_ fruits (AIFE) extract at different concentrations (0.05–0.25 mg/mL) and then assessed for their biocompatibility (at day 3) and effect on OC development (at day 5). In this course, the methanolic extracts of AIFE showed the most promising results in terms of cell viability (no cytotoxicity) and inhibition of OC formation. We found that osteoclastogenesis was inhibited without affecting cell viability. The OC morphology differed between the vehicle control (ctrl) and the AIFE-treated cultures. The presence of AIFE resulted in a significant reduction
(p < 0.0001) of TRAP+ multinucleated OC (TRAP+ MNCs), less nucleation and smaller cell size. These observations were directly linked to the administered AIFE dosage (Figure 1).

Figure 1. Natural product screening of *A. indica* fruit extract (AIFE). Primary mouse OB and bone marrow-derived OC precursors were cultured in OC differentiation medium and incubated with AIFE extract (0.05–0.25 mg/mL). Cell viability (A) day 3 and OC differentiation (B) day 5 was assessed, respectively. Relative cell viability was normalized to the vehicle control (ctrl, OC differentiation medium containing 0.1% DMSO) and is presented as percentage (mean ± SEM; n = 6). To evaluate osteoclastogenesis, cells were stained for TRAP. Graph demonstrates the quantification of TRAP+ stained multinucleated cells (TRAP+ MNCs) normalized to the vehicle control (ctrl) (mean ± SEM; n = 6). (C) Representative images illustrate TRAP+ OC morphology (pink cells) from small, less nucleated TRAP+ cells (in AIFE 0.05 and 0.1 mg/mL) to giant multinucleated OC (in the control group). p = ns; **** p < 0.0001.

2.2. Biochemometry to Track Bioactive Constituents

To unravel the compounds responsible for the pronounced OC inhibition of the extract, the biochemometric approach ELINA (Grienke, 2019; Zwirchmayr, 2020) was applied. The acronym ELINA stands for “Eliciting Nature’s Activities” and is based on the correlation of bioactivity data and chemical data (e.g., ¹H NMR) via multivariate statistics (e.g., heterocovariance analysis (HetCA), statistical total correlation spectroscopy (STOCSY)). A major advantage of this approach compared to, e.g., classic bioactivity-guided fractionation is the identification of bioactive compounds prior to isolation (Figure 2).

As a first step, an up-scaled methanolic fruit extract was fractionated using RP flash chromatography into 42 microfractions. The goal was to simplify and thus expand the structural complexity of the bioactive crude extract by the generation of microfractions with quantitative variances of constituents over several consecutive fractions. Aliquots of these 42 microfractions were equally prepared for (i) ¹H NMR analyses to obtain quantitative and qualitative information on structural features, (ii) LC-MS-CAD (Liquid Chromatography coupled to Mass Spectrometry and Charged Aerosol Detector) investigations for semi-quantitative information and dereplication of constituents present in each microfraction in both, positive and negative mode, and (iii) bioactivity testing (c = 0.1 mg/mL).
1. The HetCA plot of package 1 shows signals with a strong correlation of activity. Aliquots of these fractions were forward to $^1$H NMR and bioactivity testing. The obtained $^1$H NMR data are statistically correlated with activity. Based on spectroscopic regions highlighted in red and blue, relevant structural features for activity (red) can be distinguished from inactive ones (blue). Additional implementation of LC-MS data and LC-CAD data further enables a straightforward identification and isolation of bioactive compounds.

To upscale the bioactivity testing of these 42 AIFE microfractions, we firstly used a fluorescence-based approach to determine TRAP activity in cell lysates. The incubation of OB-OC co-cultures with the microfractions 20–42 led to cytotoxic effects with the detachment of cells and cell reduction and lack of TRAP, thus leading to the exclusion of these fractions in all further experiments (Figure 3A). To correlate TRAP activity measured in cell lysates to the number of multinucleated TRAP+ OC, non-toxic microfractions 1–19 were screened using the TRAP staining/counting assay (Figure 3B). Microfractions 4, 6, 12 and 15 were revealed to be the most promising samples, with 89.7%, 86.8%, 88.4% and 92.0% inhibition of OC formation, respectively.

The TRAP staining and counting assay revealed a variety of different potencies over the course of all 19 tested microfractions. Both decreasingly as well as increasingly active groups or so-called packages of fractions were observed. To perform the biochemometric ELINA approach, recorded $^1$H NMR data of the microfractions of a selected package were correlated with the bioactivity data by using the multivariate statistical tool heterocovariance analysis (HetCA) as described before (Grienke, 2019). HetCA plots were generated for all packages, as shown in Figure 4.

In total, four packages of three to five consecutive microfractions with a variance in activity were selected and are depicted as HetCA plots (Figure 3). The workflow (see Figure S1) to assess the priority of the packages comprised (1) an evaluation of HetCA plots to check for the strength of the correlation of $^1$H NMR data with TRAP data, followed by (2) a dereplication of the most bioactive fraction to screen for known compounds. The workflow resulted in the following findings:

1. The HetCA plot of package 1 shows signals with a strong correlation of $^1$H NMR data with TRAP data (red signals); dereplication showed that the main compound in Fr 4 with MW = 452 Da matches the mass of many neem specific tetranortriterpenoids, limonoids (such as nimonol, 1,2-dehydromeldenin, 23-deoxyazarinolide and 1,2-dehydromeldenin). Limonoids are already well known to have anti-osteoporotic activity [24–26]. Consequently, microfractions of this package were excluded from further isolation steps.

2. The HetCA plot of package 2 displays signals with a weak correlation of $^1$H NMR data with TRAP data; thus, this package was excluded from further steps.

3. The HetCA plot of package 3 demonstrates signals with a strong correlation of $^1$H NMR data with TRAP data. Since no MS/MS-based annotation to main features...
of known *A. indica* constituents was achieved for the signals obtained in Fr12, thus assuming novel metabolites, we focused on this package for further isolation steps.

4. The HetCA plot of package 4 shows a strong correlation of $^1$H NMR data with TRAP data. The dereplication showed that the main compound in Fr 15 with an MW of 720 Da matches the mass of a neem-specific triterpenoid, namely the limonoid azadirachtin, which has already been reported with anti-osteoporotic activity [27,28]. Consequently, this package was excluded from further steps.

Figure 3. Effect microfractions of *A. indica* fruit extract (AIFE) on osteoclastogenesis. (A) OC related TRAP activity was quantified in cell lysates of co-cultures treated with AIFE microfractions 1 to 42 after 5 days of incubation. Intracellular TRAP enzyme activity was normalized to the vehicle control (ctrl) and is presented as percentage (TRAP activity%, mean ± SEM; n = 6). Microfractions 20–41 revealed cytotoxic effects and were excluded from further testing. (B) To correlate TRAP activity to numbers of multinucleated OC, AIFE microfractions 1–19 were further evaluated. Graph demonstrates the endpoint analysis of TRAP+ multinucleated cells (TRAP+ MNCs%, mean ± SEM; n = 6) enumerated under a light microscope. Statistical analysis showed a significant reduction of OC numbers for all microfractions (****p < 0.0001). Packages were selected according to ascending or descending OC formation and were traced back quantitatively via the $^1$H NMR signals using HetCA data.

2.3. Phytochemical Workup of Package 3

Using package 3 including microfractions 10–12, a semi-preparative SFC (Supercritical Fluid Chromatography) chromatography method was developed. Firstly, the fractions of this package were analyzed with an analytical UHPSFC instrument using ELSD (Evaporative Light Scattering Detector) and UV detection. In addition to the HetCA plot, the chromatographic analysis revealed which peaks correlate with the bioactivity and are worth isolating (Figure 5).
Two peaks (SA and CA) only correlate with TRAP assay data; these two peaks were later isolated using semi-prep-SFC. Peaks Rt 1.5 “CA” and Rt 1.7 “SA” are considered correlating because the concentrations match the bioactivity in Figure 3B. Peaks at Rt 0.5 min and Rt 4.4 min do not show this matching and thus were excluded from further isolation procedures.

The relevant fractions were subjected to semi-preparative SFC separation (Figure S2), which resulted in the isolation of two compounds. The structures of the two compounds, i.e., SA (0.74 mg) and CA (0.6 mg), were elucidated using 1D and 2D NMR spectroscopy and comparison with literature data [29,30]. We verified the soundness of our approach by comparing the NMR signals of the isolated constituents with the HetCA as well as STOCSY correlation signals of package 3 (Figure 6). One can undoubtedly see that the signals of the isolated constituents do match the red “high correlation” signals in the pseudospectrum. CA shows a slightly stronger positive correlation with activity than SA.

2.4. Bioactivity of CA and SA

The effect of CA and SA on OC viability and differentiation was tested at 100 µM and compared to the vehicle control. After staining for TRAP+ MNCs, we observed a significant inhibition of OC, while the two compounds did not change cell viability (Figure 7).
For further dose–response experiments, commercially acquired CA and SA were tested for their effects on OC differentiation after 5 days of culture. CA (Figure 8A) and SA (Figure 8B) were able to inhibit the number (TRAP+ MNCs) of OC dose-dependently between 0.1 and 100 µM. These results are in agreement with the findings obtained with SA and CA isolated from the A. indica. In addition, treated co-cultures were analyzed for OC size changes. We observed that the treatment groups showed a significantly smaller area of TRAP+ cells than untreated controls.
We utilized an established cytochemical marker (TRAP) for the identification of OCs, which were affected by CA (A) and SA (B) (0.1–100 µM) was investigated after treating the mouse OB-OC cocultures for 5 days. OC numbers were evaluated in TRAP-stained cultures (TRAP+ MNCs, mean ± SEM; n = 9). Representative images of the TRAP staining are illustrated above the graphs. To quantify the observed changes in OC morphology (size), the area covered by TRAP+ cells was calculated (area TRAP+ cells, mean ± SEM; n = 9). Both parameters were normalized to vehicle control (ctrl, OC differentiation medium containing 0.1% DMSO) and are presented as percentages. * p < 0.05; ** p < 0.01; **** p < 0.0001.

3. Discussion

In this work, we selectively isolated two anti-osteoporotic phenylpropanoids from a defatted methanolic extract of neem tree fruits. The structure class of phenylpropanoids belongs to the family of phenylalanine-derived natural products. They are hydroxycinnamyl alcohol monomers that form building blocks of many important bioactive phytochemicals. Although there are many reports on the anti-osteoporotic activity of phenylpropanoids [31], investigations on the molecular level are limited [32–34]. Some phenylpropanoids possess the capacity to positively modulate the metabolism of OB. For example, dehydrodiconiferyl alcohol was previously reported to promote bone morphogenetic protein 2 (BMP-2)-induced osteoblastogenesis with no cytotoxic effects [35]. Even derivatives of phenylpropanoids, such as the lignin pinoresinol glucoside, have been shown to possess these modulatory effects and to exert an anabolic effect on the skeleton through the modulation of OB differentiation [36].

In the presented study, we observed a dose-dependent inhibitory effect of the crude AIFE extract and the two phenylpropanoids on OC differentiation without affecting cell viability. Thereby, our results confirm previous studies reporting similar phenylpropanoids to possess modulatory effects on bone metabolism; for instance, CA and hydroxycinnamic acid isolated from Sambucus sieboldiana were reported to have an inhibitory effect on the parathyroid hormone (PTH)-stimulated bone resorption at 20 and 200 µM [37]. Syringin (sinapyl alcohol 4-O-glucoside) and coniferin (coniferyl alcohol β-D-glucoside) were reported to inhibit osteoclastogenesis in ovariectomized mice and to show anti-osteoporotic activities [38,39].

In this study, it is the first time that a biochemometric approach was applied for the identification and isolation of OC inhibiting phenylpropanoids from a complex mixture. We utilized an established cytochemical marker (TRAP) for the identification of OCs, which offers a better way of characterizing them in terms of count and size in comparison to traditional methods [40]. On the other hand, and in contrast to a bioactivity-guided isolation procedure, the applied biochemometric approach ELINA showed to have many advantages:

The implementation of bioactivity-oriented packaging enabled us to decide upon packages worth going for further phytochemical workup on fractions containing com-
pounds with not yet described bioactivities. While others, after LC-MS/MS dereplication, have been excluded from further isolation steps due to known bioactive constituents. This targeted approach saves time, costs and effort in comparison to a bioassay-guided isolation procedure.

Even in the case of a successful dereplication as in packages 1 and 4, ELINA provided a proof of concept since it was able to uncover previously reported bioactivities of these identified chemistries from complex mixtures.

The robustness of ELINA allowed identifying different bioactive compound classes, which in total may contribute to the overall bioactivity of the extract.

However, the approach also has its limitations. As seen in Package 3, a dereplication of SA and CA was not possible due to the dynamic range limitations of LC-MS. Phenylpropanoids are a very unstable species in ESI-MS and usually suffer insource fragmentation, thus failing to show the nominal mass in MS1 experiments. Accordingly, despite their abundance, they were not successfully dereplicated. For future biochemometric applications, this drawback could eventually be diminished by utilizing GC-MS for a dereplication in parallel to LC-MS.

In conclusion, the targeted biochemometric approach for the identification and isolation of the compounds inhibiting OC differentiation provides an efficient approach for the successful discovery of pharmacologically active compounds to modulate OC function. Based on the evaluated in vitro profile of SA and CA, they might be useful candidates to inhibit excessive bone resorption. However, further research is needed to gain a profound knowledge of their effects on OC, e.g., determining their influence on resorption, and to unravel molecular targets and mechanisms triggering impaired cell differentiation.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Plant Material, Chemicals and Reagents

Ground fruits of *A. indica* were provided by PADMA AG, Produktion/CH-8620 Wetzwikon; Lot-Number 21357301. All solvents for extraction, flash chromatography, LC-MS and SFC were purchased from VWR Chemicals. Compressed 4.5 grade CO$_2$ (purity $\geq$ 99.995%) was purchased from Messer. Coniferyl alcohol ($\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_{12}\text{O}_3$, MW = 180.203 g mol$^{-1}$) and sinapyl alcohol ($\text{C}_{11}\text{H}_{14}\text{O}_4$, MW = 210.226 g mol$^{-1}$) were bought by Sigma Aldrich (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany).

4.2. Mouse co-Culture Model

To study the effect of the methanolic extract, microfractions and compounds on osteoclastogenesis, we used a primary mouse co-culture model of calvarial-derived neonatal OB, isolated by enzymatic digestion, and bone-marrow OC precursors as previously described [41,42]. OB (4.2–6.5 $\times$ 10$^4$ cells per cm$^2$) were seeded on tissue culture plastic in a culture medium containing $\alpha$ MEM (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Vienna, Austria), 10$\%$ heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS, Gibco) and 1$\%$ penicillin / streptomycin (Gibco). After 24 h, OC precursors harvested from femurs and tibiae of 8–12-week-old BALB/c mice were added to the OB, and cultures were cultivated in OC differentiation medium, basal culture medium supplemented with 1 nM 1,25-(OH)$_2$-vitamin D$_3$ (Sigma Aldrich, Vienna, Austria) and 1 $\mu$M prostaglandin E2 (Cayman Chemicals, Hamburg, Germany), containing the substance of interest (extract, microfractions or compound) for either 3 or 5 days at 37 °C and 5$\%$ CO$_2$. Extracts and compounds were evaluated for biocompatibility and OC differentiation by different assays.

The naïve neonatal and adult BALB/c mice used for cell isolation were either bred in our in-house animal facility (Division of Pharmacology and Toxicology, University of Vienna, Austria) or purchased at Charles River Laboratories (Sulzfeld, Germany). Animals in our facility were housed in stable conditions with a 12 h dark/light cycle and ad libitum access to food (complete feed for mice, VR1126-000) and water.
4.3. Cell Viability and Osteoclast Formation

For cytotoxic profiling, we assessed cell viability using an MTS assay (CellTiter96®AQueous One Solution Cell Proliferation Assay, Promega, Vienna, Austria) according to the manufacturer’s instruction after 3 days. Mouse OB-OC cultures were incubated directly with the MTS reagent for 1 h at 37 °C and 5% CO₂. Metabolically active cells produce a colored, medium-soluble product. Its absorbance was recorded at 490 nm using a standard microplate reader (Infinite® M200 Pro, Tecan, Männedorf, Switzerland).

OC differentiation was studied by evaluating the presence of tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase (TRAP) either by histochemical staining or a spectrophotometric approach. Both assays make use of the enzymatic activity of TRAP by adding substrate solutions that are hydrolyzed enzymatically, resulting in an insoluble or fluorescent dye.

For TRAP staining, we followed a protocol that was previously reported in Kampleitner et al. [41]. Briefly, cells were fixed in 10% buffered formalin at room temperature on day 5 of co-culture and incubated with the TRAP staining solution at 37 °C for 10 min. TRAP+ multinucleated cells (TRAP+ MNCs) with 3 or more nuclei were considered as mature OC and enumerated under a light microscope (Nikon Diaphot 300, Tokyo, Japan).

TRAP activity was measured in cell lysates (at day 5 of co-cultures) with the EnzChek® Phosphatase Assay Kit (Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific) for pre-screening of AIFE microfractions. Therefore, the conditioned medium was removed, cells were washed once with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific) and plates were subsequently frozen at −80 °C. After 24 h, cultures were thawed, and cells were lysed using a cell lysis buffer (CyQuant™ cell lysis buffer, Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific) at room temperature for 5 min on an orbital shaker. For TRAP activity measurements, cell lysates and substrate solution (200 µM 6,8-difluoro-4-methylumbelliferyl phosphate (DiFMUP) in reaction buffer pH 5.0) were mixed with a dilution ratio of 1:2 and incubated at 37 °C for 15 min. Fluorescence readings of the dephosphorylated substrate were taken on a standard microplate reader (excitation 358 nm; emission 455 nm) and compared to a standard curve of the unphosphorylated product (6,8-difluoro-7-hydroxy-4-methylcoumarin, DiFMU).

In addition, changes in OC size were evaluated for co-cultures treated with commercially purchased compounds after TRAP staining. Images of TRAP+ stained cultures were loaded into Adobe Photoshop CC 2019 and thresholded to determine the relative area covered by TRAP+ stained cells. A schematic representation of the experimental design to study A. indica fruit extract, microfractions and compounds is shown in Supplementary Material (Figure S3).

4.4. Nominal Mass Dereplication Using LC-MS

LC-MS (liquid chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry) dereplication of bioactive fractions was performed using a Dionex UltiMate 3000 RLC instrument system (Thermo Fisher Scientific—San Jose, CA, USA) coupled to Thermo LTQ XL ion trap mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific—San Jose, CA, USA) and an electrospray ionization source (ESI) probe. Dereplication was performed using a gradient run on a Phenomenex Kinetex-C18 column (2.1 × 100 mm, 1.7 µm). Solvent A: Water–acetic acid–formic acid (99.9:0.05:0.05); Solvent B: Acetonitril–acetic acid–formic acid (99.9:0.05:0.05). The following gradient was applied: 0–5 min: 0% B, 5–26 min: 95% B, 26–28 min 95% B, 28–30 min 0% B. Then, 5 µL of the dissolved sample (methanol–water 25:75) was injected. The nominal mass of the most intense features was compared with peer-reviewed literature on known A. indica compounds using the Chemical Abstracts Service (CAS) resource SciFinder, as a curated database of chemical and bibliographic information.

4.5. Crude Extract Generation and Flash Chromatography

In total, 990 g of crude plant material was defatted with 1.5 L n-hexane; the extract was collected in a round bottom flask and was evaporated under a vacuum. The rest of the material was then macerated with MeOH (500 mL at 22 °C for 24 h). For an exhaustive extraction, the procedure was repeated four times. The extract was collected in a round
bottom flask and was evaporated under vacuum (methanol extract = 48.5 g). An aliquot (3.5 g) of the dried methanol extract was subjected to flash column chromatography (CC). Flash CC was performed on an Interchim puriFlash 4250 system (Montluçon, France), equipped with ELSD, PDA and a fraction collector, controlled by Interchim Software. A PuriFlash C_{18} HQ column (15 µm, 120 g) served as the stationary phase. The mobile phase consisted of water (A) and 20% MeOH in ACN (B) (flow rate, 34 mL/min). By applying a gradient (0’ 95% A/5% B, 10’ 95% A/5% B, 75’ 20% A/80% B, 80’ 5% A/95% B, 120’ 5% A/95% B) the extract was separated into 42 fractions (Fr1–Fr42).

4.6. Semi-Preparative SFC

Package 3 was enriched 3 times using the Flash CC procedure explained in Section 4.5 above and then pooled together. The pooled fractions (70 mg) were subjected to semi-preparative supercritical fluid chromatography (SFC) and further resulted in the two isolated compounds. Semi-preparative SFC was performed on a Waters Prep-15 System (Waters, Milford, MA, USA) equipped with an evaporative light scattering detector (ELSD), a photodiode array (PDA) and a fraction collector. A Waters Viridis Prep BEH 2-EP column (5 µm; 10 × 250 mm) served as the stationary phase, and data were analyzed using MassLynx. The mobile phase consisted of supercritical CO_{2} (A) and ACN:MeOH as an organic modifier (B) (temperature, 40 °C; flow rate, 15 mL/min). The following gradient was used: 0’ 85% A/15% B, 3’ 85% A/15% B, 5’ 50% A/50% B, 7’ 50% A/50% B, 9’ 85% A/15% B, 10’ 85% A/15% B.

4.7. NMR Measurements

NMR experiments were performed by using a Bruker Avance 500 NMR spectrometer (UltraShield) (Bruker, MA, USA) with a 5 mm switchable probe (TCI Prodigy CryoProbe, 5 mm, triple resonance inverse detection probe head) with z-axis gradients and automatic tuning and matching accessory (Bruker BioSpin, Billerica, MA, USA). The samples (1 mg/mL) were measured at 298 K in fully deuterated methanol referenced to the residual non-deuterated solvent signals. The resonance frequency for ¹H NMR was 500.13 MHz and for ¹³C NMR 125.75 MHz. Standard 1D and gradient-enhanced 2D experiments, such as double quantum filtered (DQF) COSY, NOESY, HSQC and HMBC, were used as supplied by the manufacturer.

4.8. Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Tukey’s post hoc test for multiple comparison (GraphPad Prism 9, USA), and p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/molecules27113611/s1, Figure S1: Biochemometric workflow used in the study combining: (1) chemometric approaches using NMR and mass spectrometry and (2) cell biological approaches using an osteoclast cytochemical marker (TRAP); Figure S2: Semi-prep-SFC chromatograms showing the monitoring (ELSD in red, UV 275 nm in green and UV220 in violet) and isolation windows of two potential bioactive substances (purple and yellow); Figure S3: Schematic representation of the experimental design to study *A. indica* fruit extract, microfractions and compounds.

Author Contributions: J.M.R. and O.H. designed and supervised the study; A.T. and C.K. wrote the manuscript; A.T. performed crude extraction, mass spectrometry dereplication of the microfraction packages, phytochemical workup (using SFC and Puriflash) and isolation of the compounds; U.G. revised the manuscript and performed the HetCA analysis of the selected microfraction packages and performed the structure elucidation of sinapyl alcohol and coniferyl alcohol by the interpretation of 1D and 2D NMR spectra; C.K. and T.W. performed the OC cultures, cell viability and TRAP assays. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: Open Access Funding by the University of Vienna.
Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: The authors thank V.-K. Wronski (Division of Pharmacognosy, Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Vienna, Austria) for technical support and E. Urban (Division of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Vienna, Austria) for the recording of NMR spectra. Open Access Funding from the University of Vienna is acknowledged.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Sample Availability: Not available.

References
1. Rodan, G.A.; Martin, T.J. Therapeutic approaches to bone diseases. Science 2000, 289, 1508–1514. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
2. Boyle, W.J.; Simonet, W.S.; Lacey, D.L. Osteoclast differentiation and activation. Nature 2003, 423, 337–342. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
3. Capparrello, A.; Maurizi, A.; Veeriah, V.; Teti, A. The Great Beauty of the osteoclast. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 2014, 558, 70–78. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
4. Baron, R.; Neff, L.; Van, P.T.; Nefussi, J.R.; Vignery, A. Kinetic and cytochemical identification of osteoclast precursors and their differentiation into multinucleated osteoclasts. Am. J. Pathol. 1986, 122, 363–378.
5. Fleisch, H. Bisphosphonates: Mechanisms of action. Endocr. Rev. 1998, 19, 80–100. [CrossRef]
6. Rossouw, J.E.; Anderson, G.L.; Prentice, R.L.; LaCroix, A.Z.; Stefanick, M.L.; Jackson, R.D.; Beresford, S.A.; Howard, B.V.; Johnson, K.C.; et al. Risks and Benefits of Estrogen Plus Progesterin in Healthy Postmenopausal WomenPrincipal Results From the Women’s Health Initiative Randomized Controlled Trial. JAMA 2002, 288, 321–333.
7. Levin, V.A.; Jiang, X.; Kagan, R. Estrogen therapy for osteoporosis in the modern era. Osteoporos. Int. 2018, 29, 1049–1055. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
8. Rossovou, J.E.; Anderson, G.L.; Prentice, R.L.; LaCroix, A.Z.; Kooperberg, C.; Stefanick, M.L.; Jackson, R.D.; Beresford, S.A.; Howard, B.V.; Johnson, K.C.; et al. Risks and Benefits of Estrogen Plus Progesterin in Healthy Postmenopausal WomenPrincipal Results From the Women’s Health Initiative Randomized Controlled Trial. JAMA 2002, 288, 321–333.
9. An, J.; Yang, H.; Zhang, Q.; Liu, C.; Zhao, J.; Zhang, L.; Chen, B. Natural products for treatment of osteoporosis: The effects and mechanisms on promoting osteoblast-mediated bone formation. Life Sci. 2016, 147, 46–58. [CrossRef]
10. Sethi, G.; Aggarwal, B.B. Mending the Bones with Natural Products. Chem. Biol. 2007, 14, 738–740. [CrossRef]
11. Liu, Y.; Liu, J.P.; Xia, Y. Chinese herbal medicines for treating osteoporosis. Cochrane Database Syst. Rev. 2014, CD005467. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
12. Bharati, A.C.; Takada, Y.; Aggarwal, B.B. Curcumin (Diferuloylmethane) Inhibits Receptor Activator of NF-B Ligand-Induced NF-kB Activation in Osteoclast Precursors and Suppresses Osteoclastogenesis. J. Immunol. 2004, 172, 5940–5947. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
13. Hu, J.P.; Nishishita, K.; Sakai, E.; Yoshida, H.; Kato, Y.; Tsukuba, T.; Okamoto, K. Berberine inhibits RANKL-induced osteoclast formation and survival through suppressing the NF-kB and Akt pathways. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 2008, 580, 70–79. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
14. Ballanti, P.; Minisola, S.; Pacitti, M.T.; Scarnecchia, L.; Rosso, R.; Mazzuoli, G.F.; Bonucci, E. Tartrate-resistant acid phosphate activity as osteoclastic marker: Sensitivity of cytochemical assessment and serum assay in comparison with standardized osteoclast histomorphometry. Osteoporos. Int. 1997, 7, 39–43. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
15. Puri, H.S. Neem: The Divine Tree Azadirachta Indica; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 1999.
16. Drabu, S.; Khatri, S.; Babu, S. Neem: Healer of all ailments. Res. J. Pharm. Biol. Chem. Sci. 2012, 3, 120–126.
17. Kirtikar, K.R.; Basu, B.D. Indian medicinal plants. In Sudhindra Nath Basu; Herz, W., Falk, H., Kirby, G.W., Moore, R.E., Tamm, C., Eds.; Springer: Vienna, Austria, 1999; pp. 47–149.
18. Biswas, K.; Chattopadhyay, I.; Banerjee, R.K.; Bandypadhyay, U. Biological activities and medicinal properties of neem (Azadirachta indica). Curr. Sci. 2002, 82, 1336–1345.
19. Gupta, S.C.; Prasad, S.; Tyagi, A.K.; Kunnumakkara, A.B.; Aggarwal, B.B. Neem (Azadirachta indica): An indian traditional panacea with modern molecular basis. Phytotherapy 2017, 34, 14–20. [CrossRef]
20. Grienke, U.; Foster, P.A.; Zwichrmayr, J.; Tahir, A.; Rollinger, J.M.; Mikros, E. 1H NMR-MS-based heterocovariance as a drug discovery tool for finding bioactive compounds out of a complex mixture of structural analogues. Sci. Rep. 2019, 9, 11113. [CrossRef]
23. Zwirchmayr, J.; Grienke, U.; Hummelbrunner, S.; Seigner, J.; De Martin, R.; Dirsch, V.M.; Rollinger, J.M. A Biochemometric Approach for the Identification of In Vitro Anti-Inflammatory Constituents in Masterwort. *Biomolecules* 2020, 10, 679. [CrossRef]

24. Nagini, S. Chapter Seven—Neem Limonoids as Anticancer Agents: Modulation of Cancer Hallmarks and Oncogenic Signaling. In *The Enzymes*; Bathaeia, S.Z., Tamanoi, F., Eds.; Academic Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 2014; pp. 131–147.

25. Wisutsitthiwong, C.; Buranaruk, C.; Pudhom, K.; Palaga, T. The plant limonoid 7-oxo-deacetoxygedunin inhibits RANKL-induced osteoclastogenesis by suppressing activation of the NF-κB and MAPK pathways. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 2011, 415, 361–366. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

26. Kimira, Y.; Taniiuchi, Y.; Nakatani, S.; Sekiguchi, Y.; Kim, H.J.; Shimizu, J.; Ebata, M.; Wada, M.; Matsumoto, A.; Mano, H. Citrus limonoid nomilin inhibits osteoclastogenesis in vitro by suppression of NFATc1 and MAPK signaling pathways. *Phytomedicine* 2015, 22, 1120–1124. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

27. Kushwaha, P.; Khedgikar, V.; Haldar, S.; Gautam, J.; Mulani, F.A.; Thulasiram, H.V.; Trivedi, R. Azadirachta indica triterpenoids promote osteoblast differentiation and mineralization in vitro and in vivo. *Bioorganic Med. Chem. Lett.* 2016, 26, 3719–3724. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

28. Kushwaha, P.; Ahmad, N.; Dhar, Y.V.; Verma, A.; Haldar, S.; Mulani, F.A.; Trivedi, P.K.; Mishra, P.R.; Thulasiram, H.V.; Trivedi, R. Estrogen receptor activation in response to Azadirachtin A stimulates osteoblast differentiation and bone formation in mice. *J. Cell. Physiol.* 2019, 234, 23719–23735. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

29. Lancefield, C.S.; Westwood, N.J. The synthesis and analysis of advanced lignin model polymers. *Green Chem.* 2015, 17, 4980–4990. [CrossRef]

30. Sakakibara, N.; Nakatsubo, T.; Suzuki, S.; Shibata, D.; Shimada, M.; Umezawa, T. Metabolic analysis of the cinnamate/monolignol pathway in Carthamus tinctorius seeds by a stable-isotope-dilution method. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 2007, 5, 802–815. [CrossRef]

31. Li, H.; Miyahara, T.; Tezuka, Y.; Namba, T.; Nemoto, N.; Tonami, S.; Seto, H.; Tada, T.; Kadota, S. The Effect of Kampo Formulae on Bone Resorption in Vitro and in Vivo. I. Active Constituents of Tsu-Kan-gan. *Biol. Pharm. Bull.* 1998, 21, 1322–1326. [CrossRef]

32. Kartika, I.G.A.A.; Bang, I.J.; Riani, C.; Insanu, M.; Kwak, J.H.; Chung, K.H.; Adnyana, I.K. Isolation and Characterization of Phenylpropanoid and Lignan Compounds from *Peperomia pellucida* [L.] Kunth with Estrogenic Activities. *Molecules* 2020, 25, 4914. [CrossRef]

33. Wang, X.-L.; Wang, N.-L.; Gao, H.; Zhang, G.; Qin, L.; Wang, M.-S.; Yao, X.-S. Phenylpropanoid and flavonoids from osteoprotective fraction of Drynaria fortunei. *Nat. Prod. Res.* 2010, 24, 1206–1213. [CrossRef]

34. Aehle, E.; Müller, U.; Eklund, P.C.; Willför, S.; Sippl, W.; Dräger, B. Lignans as food constituents with estrogen and antiestrogen activity. *Phytochemistry* 2011, 72, 2396–2405. [CrossRef]

35. Lee, W.; Ko, K.R.; Kim, H.-K.; Lim, S.; Kim, S. Dehydrodiconiferyl alcohol promotes BMP-2-induced osteoblastogenesis through its agonistic effects on estrogen receptor. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 2018, 495, 2242–2248. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

36. Park, K.-R.; Kim, S.; Cho, M.; Kang, S.W.; Yun, H.-M. Effects of PIN on Osteoblast Differentiation and Matrix Mineralization through Runt-Related Transcription Factor. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2020, 21, 9579. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

37. Li, H.; Li, J.; Prasain, J.K.; Tezuka, Y.; Namba, T.; Miyahara, T.; Tonami, S.; Seto, H.; Tada, T.; Kadota, S. Antiosteoporotic activity of the stems of *Sambucus sieboldiana*. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 2011, 409, 594–598. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

38. Liu, J.; Zhang, Z.; Guo, Q.; Dong, Y.; Zhao, Q.; Ma, X. Syringin prevents bone loss in ovariectomized mice via TRAF6 mediated inhibition of NF-κB and stimulation of PI3K/AKT. *Phytomedicine* 2018, 42, 43–50. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

39. Thummuri, D.; Guntuku, L.; Challa, V.S.; Ramavat, R.N.; Naidu, V.G.M. Abietic acid attenuates RANKL induced osteoclastogenesis and inflammation associated osteolysis by inhibiting the NF-KB and MAPK signaling. *J. Cell. Physiol.* 2015, 234, 443–453. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

40. Lv, Y.; Wang, G.; Xu, W.; Tao, P.; Lv, X.; Wang, Y. Tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase 5b is a marker of osteoclast number and volume in RAW 264.7 cells treated with receptor-activated nuclear κB ligand. *Exp. Ther. Med.* 2015, 9, 143–146. [CrossRef]

41. Kampfeiter, C.; Obi, J.; Vassilev, N.; Epstein, M.M.; Hoffmann, O. Biological Compatibility Profile on Biomaterials for Bone Regeneration. *J. Vis. Exp.* 2018, e58077. [CrossRef]

42. Takahashi, N.; Akatsu, T.; Udagawa, N.; Sasaki, T.; Yamaguchi, A.; Moseley, J.M.; Martin, T.J.; Suda, T. Osteoblastic cells are involved in osteoclast formation. *Endocrinology* 1988, 123, 2600–2602. [CrossRef]