Static strength analysis and experimental research of clinched joints by two-stroke flattening clinching method

Hao Peng1 · Chao Chen1,2 · Xiaoqiang Ren1,2 · Xiangkun Ran1,2 · Denglin Qin1,2

Received: 13 January 2021 / Accepted: 24 November 2021 / Published online: 15 January 2022
© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag London Ltd., part of Springer Nature 2021

Abstract
Clinching technologies are increasingly used to join sheet materials in manufacturing, especially in automotive lightweight applications. However, clinched joints have a weak static strength and high protuberance, which influence the application of the clinching technology. In order to improve the static strength and decrease the protuberance high of clinched joint, a new method to join aluminum alloy sheet materials with two-stroke flattening clinching (TFC) was investigated in this paper. The tension-shear strength, cross-tension strength, energy absorption, and failure modes of clinched joint and TFC joint were investigated. The stiffness (K) of the joints under different experimental tests was also studied. The results indicated that the mechanical behaviors of the joints were optimal when the forming force was 35 kN. The maximum cross-tension and tension-shear strength of TFC joint were increased by 514 N and 1145 N on average compared with the initial clinched joint. The main failure modes of the joints were the neck fracture mode under the tension-shearing and cross-tension test.
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1 Introduction
In recent years, aluminum alloys have been widely used in manufacturing due to their light weight and high hardness, especially in the automotive industry [1, 2]. The joining method of these aluminum alloys has become a hot research topic. The methods of joining aluminum alloys in automobile manufacturing mainly include welding, resistance spot welding, riveting, adhesive bonding, and clinching. Welding and resistance spot welding are both chemical joining methods. The joining process consumes a lot of energy and generates harmful gases. Furthermore, welding and resistance spot welding are not suitable for joining the different alloy sheet materials because of the different melting points. Different from welding, adhesive bonding and clinching join the similar and dissimilar aluminum alloys using mechanical methods [3]. However, the quality of the adhesive bonded joints is severely affected by the temperature, and the process of the adhesive bonding is time consuming. The clinching process has the characteristics of short time-consuming and high economic efficiency. The clinched joints are more stable than adhesive bonding joints and are less affected by the temperature [4, 5]. Clinching is the most economical way to join sheet materials. However, the strength of clinched joints is lower than that of spot welded joints. Many researchers have studied the clinching process to optimize the quality of clinched joints.

Clinching of similar and dissimilar sheet materials of titanium and aluminum alloys was investigated by He et al. [6]. Different combinations of titanium alloys and aluminum alloys as well as placement positions affected the strength of joint. The results showed that the mechanical properties of joints were better when the titanium alloy was taken as the lower sheet. Lambiase et al. [7] researched the clinched joints with different combinations of aluminum alloys and glass fiber–reinforced polymer (GFRP) using different punches. The study results showed that the mechanical behaviors of joints are largely affected by the thickness of the metal/GFRP sheets. The clinching processes of dissimilar materials of aluminum alloy and carbon fiber–reinforced plastic (CFRP) sheets were investigated by Lee et al. [8, 9]. The authors optimized the parameters of the hole clinching
tools to improve the performance of hole-clinched joints. They found that an important alignment factor affecting the static strength of clinched joints was the center difference between dies and the hole in lower sheet. Abe et al. [10, 11] investigated the mechanical behavior of clinched joints using ultra-high-strength steel sheets. The results of their study found that the clinched joints had better fatigue strength than the resistance spot welded joints. Furthermore, the parameters of conventional clinching tools were optimized by He et al. [12]. Eshtayeh et al. [13] optimized the clinched joint using the grey-based Taguchi method. They found that an increase in the thickness of the bottom or the neck thickness leads to a decrease in the interlock. Han et al. [14] investigated the effect of the bottom die parameters on the static strength of the joints. They found that the groove and depth of the die have a significant impact on the quality of joints. Lambiase et al. [15] researched the effect of different dies on the static strength of clinched joints. The main results of the research found that static strength of the clinched joints created by the extensible dies is stiffer than that of the joints created by fixed dies. Mucha et al. [16] studied the influence of forming force and bottom thickness on static strength of the clinched joints. The authors found that the bottom thickness and static strength of clinched joints are negatively correlated within a certain range. It means that increasing the forming force within a certain range can improve the performance of the joint.

Moreover, the reshaping methods are also employed to enhance the quality of the clinched joints. Wen et al. [17] created a reshaping method using a matched pair of counter tools to increase the mechanical behaviors of the clinched joints. They found that the reshaping method can reduce the protuberance and enhance the strength of the joint. The average tension-shear strength of reshaped joints is enhanced by 17% versus to that of clinched joint. Chen et al. [18, 19] studied height reducing methods to improve the quality of clinched joints. The protuberance of clinched joints can be reduced through the high reducing method with a pair of flat dies. The authors found that the reshaping force and the different combinations of material sheets affect the quality of the reshaped joints. However, as shown in Fig. 1, these methods cannot flatten the protuberances of the clinched joints.

In the current study, a new clinching process is studied, which is able to improve the quality of joints and make the joints almost free of protuberance. The AA5052 sheet materials with the thickness of 2.0 mm were adopted in clinching process. The tension-shearing tests and cross-tension tests were conducted to evaluate the static strength of clinched joints. The effects of forming force and stroke on the joint strength, material flow, failure mode, the stiffness, and energy absorption were evaluated experimentally. Furthermore, in order to study the sheet material flow at different strokes, profiles of joints with different process parameters were studied comparatively.

The results showed that the TFC process can greatly improve the mechanical properties of initial joints. The quasi-static test showed that the tension-shear strength and cross-tension strength are increased by 78.2% and 45.2%,
respectively. Material flow analysis showed a 69.6% and 211.6% increase in neck thickness and interlock. Furthermore, the TFC joints exhibit a superior strength and absorb more energy during the cross-tension test.

2 Two-stroke flattening clinching process

Two-stroke flattening clinching (TFC) process was proposed to produce clinched joints with better mechanical behaviors, which is an optimization of conventional clinching process. As demonstrated in Fig. 2, the TFC process includes two strokes. The purpose of the first stroke is to form a mechanical clinched joint. The second stroke flattens the protuberance of the mechanical clinched joints. Clinching tools were used in TFC process, including blank holder, punch, double flap gaskets, bottom ring, flat die, and anvil. The bottom ring was installed on the anvil with small fitting clearance. The double flap gaskets were obtained by cutting a complete ring, and the double flap gaskets were positioned under the bottom ring to ensure a certain cavity volume in the lower bottom dies.

As indicated in Fig. 2(a), the flap gaskets are installed on the anvil in the first stroke. The bottom ring is placed on the flap gaskets to ensure a certain depth of the bottom dies. The punch compresses the sheets. Initially, the sheets are subjected to forces within the elastic range, and sheets undergo elastic deformation. As the punch is gradually embedded in the upper sheet, the sheet materials are plastically deformed and the material of the sheets gradually fills the cavity of the bottom dies to form the initial clinched joint. The important parameters of the initial clinched joint are mainly the interlock ($t_i$), the neck thickness ($t_n$), and the thickness of the bottom ($X$). The bottom thickness ($X$) of the initial clinched joint is controlled by the force at first stroke.

In the second stroke, the double flap gaskets are removed, leaving the room for the ring to move downward. The flat die is employed to press the upper sheet of the initial clinched joint, forcing the bottom ring to move downward. The anvil acts upward on the protuberances of the initial clinched joint, making the materials of the protuberances to flow inward and gradually flatten the protuberances. The TFC joints are formed and demould automatically. As shown in Fig. 2(b), the protuberance material of the joint flows to the hollow of the joint, so that the cross-section of the TFC joint forms a funnel shape. The main parameters of the TFC joint also include the interlock ($t_i$), the neck thickness ($t_n$), and the bottom thickness ($X$).

3 Materials and methods

3.1 Materials

AA5052 sheet materials are used in automobile bodies, and it has good ductility and strength. The TFC process was conducted on the AA5052 sheets materials with a thickness of 2.0 mm. All sheets were obtained by cutting from the rolling direction. The size configuration of these sheets is thickness 2 mm × length 80 mm × width 25 mm. The mechanical properties of AA5052 sheet materials were determined by uniaxial tensile tests with Instron 5982 universal tester. The experimental results are summarized in Table 1. Table 2 lists the chemical composition of AA5052 sheet materials.

3.2 Forming procedure

The CMT-5105GJ machine was employed to exert the experiment. The clinching tools were connected to the GMT-5105GJ machine by a connecting rod. The blank holder, punch, double flap gaskets, bottom ring, flat die,
and anvil are the main components of TFC tools. The blank holder, double flap gaskets, bottom ring, and anvil form the bottom dies. The bottom ring is sleeved on the anvil, and the double flap gaskets underneath determine its height. The geometric dimension of the bottom dies is described in Fig. 3. The diameter of the punch used in this experiment is 5.4 mm. The descending rate of the punch and the maximum force were determined by the CMT-5105GJ machine. The different punch forces were configured to obtain different clinched joints. Different punch forces were set to 30kN, 35kN, and 40 kN in the first stroke, and the punch speed was set to a fixed value of 2 mm/min. The control pattern of the punch was configured to “controllable force.” In addition, the preload of the punch is set to 10 N. In the second stroke, the double flap gaskets were removed. The upper sheet was stamped by a flat die. Similarly, the control pattern of CMT-5105GJ machine was configured to “controllable force.” The force of the flat die was set to 35 kN, and the loading velocity was configured to a constant 4 mm/min.

3.3 Static strength test

Static strength testing is an effective way for evaluating the quality of clinched joints. In general, the static strength is evaluated by two methods: one is the cross-tension test, and the other is the tension-shearing test. The cross-tension strength and the tension-shear strength of the clinched joints are obtained from cross-tension and tension-shearing test, respectively. Kaščák et al. [20] and Mucha et al. [21] used the tension-shearing strength to evaluate the mechanical performances of clinched joints. Lüder et al. [22] evaluate the static strength of the clinched joint with cross-tension strength. In order to obtain the comprehensive mechanical performances of clinched joints, the tension-shearing and cross-tension tests are all performed on the clinched joints in this research. The joint specimen employed for cross-tension test is displayed in Fig. 4(a). Another joint specimen employed for tension-shearing test is depicted in Fig. 4(b). As shown in Fig. 5, different types of joint specimens are held by different clamping tools. Figure 5(a) illustrates the clamping tools for tension-shearing test specimens, and Fig. 5(b) illustrates the clamping tools for cross-tension test specimens. The tension-shearing and cross-tension tests were performed by CMT-5105GJ universal testing machine. In the experimental test, the ascent speed of the CMT-5105GJ machine was constant at 4 mm/min. When the force and displacement curve on CMT-5105GJ tester suddenly drops, the joint fails completely, ending the test and recording the data. The static strength of each joint was obtained from the test results of three test specimens.

As shown in Fig. 6, button separation and neck fracture are the main failure modes during the static experimental test of the joints. The failure modes reflect the mechanism of action between the interlock and the neck thickness of the joint. Energy absorption is area enclosed by the force and displacement of joints before failure, which is an overall assessment of the mechanical properties of the clinched joints (see Fig. 7). Furthermore, the stiffness ($K$) of joint is
analyzed, which is the ability of joints to resist deformation during the elastic phase (see Fig. 7).

4 Static strength analysis and discussion

4.1 Cross-tension test

The cross-tension strengths of initial clinched joints and TFC joint with various forming forces were obtained from three cross-tension tests. The neck thickness and the interlock determine the static strength of the joint [23]. As presented in Fig. 8, the cross-tension strengths of TFC joints are all greater than that of the initial clinched joint under various forming forces. The cross-tension strength of TFC joint is 39.3% higher than that of conventional clinched joint at the forming force of 40 kN. The cross-tension strengths of initial clinched joints and TFC joints are highest when the force of the punch is 35 kN. Furthermore, the trend of strengths of both the initial clinched joints and TFC joints increased firstly and then decreased. The average strength of the initial clinched joint increases from 1103 to 1681 N when the forming force changes from 30 to 35 kN. Similarly, the average strength of the TFC joint increases from 1598 to 1898 N when the forming force changes from 30 to 35 kN. The protrusion materials of TFC joint flow into the neck of the joint, which increases the neck thicknesses of the joint as well as the size of the

Fig. 7 The stiffness of joint

Fig. 8 The cross-tension strength of different joints

Fig. 9 The force–displacement curves of different joints under cross-tension test

Fig. 10 The stiffness of different joints under cross-tension test
interlock. The joint is subjected to vertical upward tensile force in the cross-tension test. The cross-tension strength of the joint is mainly determined by the neck thickness of the joint. Furthermore, as can be seen from Figs. 8 and 22, the two trends are basically the same, which further verifies the conclusion that the neck thickness is a determining factor for the static strength of the joint.

The force–displacement graphs of different joints under diverse punch forces are illustrated in Fig. 9. The straight lines of different colors represent the cross-tension load-curves of the initial clinched joints and TFC joints with various forming forces. A sudden drop in the force–displacement curves indicates failure of the joints. The TFC joints with different forming forces have larger deformation before the failure in the experimental tests. The stiffness ($K$) of the joint with various forces of the punch is shown in Fig. 10. The stiffness of the TFC joints is lower than that of initial clinched joints at different forming forces, which indicated that the deformation-resistant capacity of the TFC joint is lower than that of the initial clinched joint. The stiffness of the initial clinched joint is largest when the punch force is 35 kN.

As shown in Fig. 11, button separation mode occurred in the cross-tension test of the initial clinched joint and TFC joint at the punch force of 30 kN. The main reason is that the forming force is small and the material flow of the
upper sheet is insufficient, resulting in a small interlock. Another failure mode, neck fracture, is the primary failure mode of joints in the cross-tension test, which indicates that the thickness of the joint neck is the main factor that determines the strength of the joint.

4.2 Tension shearing test

The average of the three tension-shearing test results is used as the tension-shear strength of joint. The tension-shearing strength of the initial clinched joint is also the clinching joint under the forming force of the clinched joint. The tension-shearing strength is also determined by the neck thickness and interlock of the joint [24]. The tension-shear strengths of the initial clinched joints and the TFC joints are shown in Fig. 12. The tension-shear strength of the TFC joint under different forming forces is greater than that of initial clinched joint. When the punch force is 35 kN, the tension-shear strengths of both TFC joints and initial clinched joints are maximum, with maximum values of 1801 N and 2946 N, respectively. The maximum increase of the TFC joints compared to the initial joint is 1145 N at the punch force of 35 kN. Overall, the trend of tension-shear strength of both initial clinched joint and TFC joint increases at first and then decreases. The maximum increase of the tension-shear strength of the initial joints is 211 N when the forming force changes from 30 to 35 kN. Similarly, the maximum increase of tension-shear strength of TFC joint is 554 N when the forming force changes from 30 to 35 kN. The joints are

![Fig. 15 Failure modes of joints in tension-shearing test: (a) initial clinched joint and (b) TFC joint](image)

![Fig. 16 Failure modes of joints](image)

![Fig. 17 The energy absorption of different joints under cross-tension test](image)

![Fig. 18 The energy absorption of different joints under tension-shearing test](image)
mainly subjected to lateral shear forces. The static strength of the joint is mainly influenced by the thickness of the neck. The tension-shear strength of initial clinched joint is basically same since the neck thickness of the joint is basically constant under different forming forces.

In order to study the changes in the mechanical performance of the joint under the tension-shearing test, the load–displacement curves of various joints are displayed in Fig. 13. The displacements of the TFC joints under different forming forces before failure are less than that of the initial clinched joints in the tension-shearing test. The stiffness (K) of different joints under different punch forces is depicted in Fig. 14. As the punch force increases, the stiffness of the joint increases at first and then decreases. The stiffness of both joints reaches maximum value, which means that the joint displacements are short before failure in the tension-shearing test.

From Figs. 8 and 12, it can be observed that the tension-shear strength of the joints is higher than its cross-tension strength in the experimental test. The average tension-shear strength of TFC joints is 1.54 times greater than its cross-tension strength. The average tension-shear strength of initial joints is 1.23 times greater than its cross-tension strength. Furthermore, the tension-shear strength of TFC joints is 1.55 times of its cross-tension strength when the forming force is 35 kN. This is mainly due to the combined effect of the cross-tension strength of the material being greater than its tension-shear strength and the transverse interference around the joint during the tension-shearing test.

The neck fracture mode is the primary fracture mode of joints in the tension-shearing test. As illustrated in Fig. 15, the tension-shear strength of joints is mainly determined by the thickness of the joint neck. The neck thickness of the TFC joint is greater than that of the initial clinched joint, which results in the higher tension-shear strength than that of initial clinched joint. Furthermore, the greatest force that the joints neck can be withstood, which can be equivalent to a ring subjected to shearing force [25, 26]. As shown in Fig. 16, the maximum force \( F_t \) can be calculated as follows:

\[
F_t = \sigma_f \cdot A_N = \pi \cdot (2R_p t_N + t_N \cdot t_N) \cdot \sigma_f
\]

where \( \sigma_f \) is the fracture stress of joint neck. \( A_N \) is the area of the ring. \( R_p \) and \( t_N \) are the radius of the punch and the thickness of the neck, respectively.

### 4.3 Energy absorption

Impact resistance is one of the important factors for assessing structural stability, which is especially important in automobiles that are subjected to frequent shocks. Energy absorption is a significant indicator to evaluate the impact resistance [27]. The more energy absorbed before joint failure, the better its impact resistance.

The value of energy absorption is obtained by gauging the area between the load–displacement curve and the abscissa in the coordinate system. As displayed in Figs. 17 and 18, the energy absorption of different types of joints has a large variation under different experiments. Overall, the energy absorption of TFC joints is greater than that of initial clinched joints. The value of energy absorption of the joints is not positively correlated with the forming force. In
cross-tension experimental test, energy absorption of the initial clinched joints and TFC joints reaches maximum value at the punch force of 35 kN. The energy absorption of TFC joint increases 63% at most at the forming force from 30 to 35 kN, and the maximum increase in the energy absorption of initial joints is 87.4% at the forming force from 30 to 35 kN. Furthermore, the energy absorption of TFC joint is 142% at most than that of initial clinched joint at the forming force of 40 kN. In the tension-shearing experimental test, the strength of TFC joints is the greatest at the forming force of 35 kN, but its energy absorption is the smallest. The reason for this is that the stiffness of the TFC joint is greatest at the forming force of 35 kN, which means it can bear less deformation. The energy absorption of the TFC joint is largest when the punch force is 40 kN. The maximum increases in energy absorption of initial clinched joints and TFC joints are 24% and 38%, respectively.

4.4 Material flow

The material flow of the sheet is affected by a variety of process parameters, among which the main influencing factors are the geometric parameters of the clinching tools and the punch force [28, 29]. The important parameters of the joints (e.g., $t_n$, $t_s$, $X$) are determined by the material flow of sheets.

The cross-sections of the different joints at various forming forces are displayed in Fig. 19. For the purpose of comparing the material flow between initial clinched joint and TFC joint, two cross-sections with different process parameters are placed in the same figure. The cross-section of the initial clinched joint (IJ) is on left section of the subfigure, and the cross-section of the TFC joint (TFC) is shown on right section of the subfigure. The material flow of the TFC joint is better than that of initial clinched joint. The material of the protuberance of the joint flows
upward, increasing the size of the interlock and the neck thickness, and reducing the size of the blind hole and the bottom thickness. The size of the interlock is negatively associated with the thickness of the joint neck.

The bottom appearances of initial clinched joints and TFC joints at the forming force of 35 kN are displayed in Fig. 20. The protuberance material of initial clinched joint flows to the neck of the joint through the TFC process and becomes almost flat. As can be seen from Fig. 21, the bottom appearances of TFC joint become almost flat. The protrusion height is only 0.22 mm when the forming force is 35 kN.

### 4.5 Interlock and neck thickness

The values of interlock and the neck thickness of joint are negatively correlated. The mechanical performance of joints is mainly reliant on the thickness of neck and the size of interlock. The neck thicknesses and the interlocks of initial clinched joints and TFC joint with various forming forces are displayed in Fig. 22. The thicknesses and the interlocks of the joints are indicated by different colors (blank and red). The neck thickness and interlock of the TFC joint are significantly increased compared to initial clinched joint. When the force is 40 kN, the neck thickness and interlock of joint increase are the maximum, which are 69.6% and 211.6% respectively. Furthermore, the interlock value and the neck thickness of initial clinched joint and TFC joint all reach the maximum at the punch force of 35 kN. The interlock of initial clinched joint is very small when the forming force is 30 kN, which is why the button separation occurs during the tension-shearing test. Overall, the protuberance of the joint is almost flattened by the anvil during the TFC process, which greatly improves the interlock and the neck thickness of joints.

### 5 Conclusion

Clinching aluminum alloy sheet materials with two-stroke flattening clinching method was investigated in present work, which can significantly improve the mechanical behavior of the joints. The AA5052 sheet materials were adopted in the experimental test. The materials of protuberance flow to the joint neck, which improves the neck thickness and interlock as well as flattens the initial clinched joint. The TFC process is effective for increasing the neck thickness and the interlock of the joint. The main findings of this work are as follows:

1. The cross-tension strength and tension-shear strength of the joint can be enhanced by the TFC process. The cross-tension strength is significantly improved at the forming force of 40 kN, which increasing extent reaches 39.3%. The maximum increase in tension-shear strength of the TFC joint compared to the initial clinched joint is 38.8%.
2. The stiffness of joint ($K$) is the ability to resist deformation during the elastic deformation stage. The greater the stiffness value, the smaller the deformation of the joint under the same load.
3. All the failure mode of joints was neck fracture in the tension-shearing tests. The failure mode of button separation occurred in the cross-tension test of initial clinched joints when the punch force is 30 kN.
4. All the TFC joints have better energy absorption comparing to initial clinched joints. The energy absorption of TFC joints is 142% at most than that of initial clinched joint joint at the forming force of 40 kN. Furthermore, the maximum increases in energy absorption of initial clinched joints and TFC joints are 24% and 38% at different forming forces, respectively.
5. The TFC joints have better material flow than initial clinched joints. The protuberance material of initial clinched joint flows to the neck area through the TFC process and becomes almost flat.
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