Activity of Oxantel Pamoate Monotherapy and Combination Chemotherapy against *Trichuris muris* and Hookworms: Revival of an Old Drug
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Abstract

**Background:** It is widely recognized that only a handful of drugs are available against soil-transmitted helminthiasis, all of which are characterized by a low efficacy against *Trichuris trichiura*, when administered as single doses. The re-evaluation of old, forgotten drugs is a promising strategy to identify alternative anthelminthic drug candidates or drug combinations.

**Methodology:** We studied the activity of the veterinary drug oxantel pamoate against *Trichuris muris*, *Ancylostoma ceylanicum* and *Necator americanus in vitro* and *in vivo*. In addition, the dose-effect of oxantel pamoate combined with albendazole, mebendazole, levamisole, pyrantel pamoate and ivermectin was studied against *T. muris in vitro* and additive or synergistic combinations were followed up *in vivo*.

**Principal Findings:** We calculated an ED₅₀ of 4.7 mg/kg for oxantel pamoate against *T. muris* in mice. Combinations of oxantel pamoate with pyrantel pamoate behaved antagonistically *in vitro* (combination index (CI) = 2.53). Oxantel pamoate combined with levamisole, albendazole or ivermectin using ratios based on their ED₅₀ revealed antagonistic effects *in vivo* (CI = 1.27, 1.90 and 1.27, respectively). A highly synergistic effect (CI = 0.15) was observed when oxantel pamoate-mebendazole was administered to *T. muris*-infected mice. Oxantel pamoate (10 mg/kg) lacked activity against *Ancylostoma ceylanicum* and *Necator americanus in vivo*.

**Conclusion/Significance:** Our study confirms the excellent trichuricidal properties of oxantel pamoate. Since the drug lacks activity against hookworms it is necessary to combine oxantel pamoate with a partner drug with anti-hookworm properties. Synergistic effects were observed for oxantel pamoate-mebendazole, hence this combination should be studied in more detail. Since, of the standard drugs, albendazole has the highest efficacy against hookworms, additional investigations on the combination effect of oxantel pamoate-albendazole should be launched.

Introduction

Infections with the three major soil-transmitted helminth (STH) species, *Ascaris lumbricoides*, *Trichuris trichiura* and the hookworms *Necator americanus* and *Ancylostoma duodenale* are among the most common parasitic diseases in areas of rural poverty in developing countries [1]. In regions where soil-transmitted helminthiasis is endemic, preventive chemotherapy, i.e. regular anthelminthic drug administration to all people at risk of morbidity, is one of the key strategies [2]. In 2009 it was estimated that 204 million school-aged children were treated for soil-transmitted helminthiasis [3]. The benzimidazoles, albendazole and mebendazole are the most widely used drugs in preventive chemotherapy programs. At present, two alternative drugs, pyrantel pamoate and levamisole are available but currently have a less prominent role since they require weight-based dosing [4]. Despite their excellent safety profile, these drugs have serious limitations with regard to their efficacy. When delivered as a single dose, as in preventive chemotherapy programs, all four compounds have a limited effect against infections with *T. trichiura* as shown in a recent meta-analysis [5]. In addition, drug resistance is a concern [4,6]. Efforts are therefore ongoing to discover and develop the next generation of anthelmintic drugs [7]. Promising strategies to identify potential anthelmintic drug candidates are to assess compounds derived from animal health, to re-evaluate forgotten compounds and to thoroughly study drug combinations [7,8].

Oxantel is the meta-oxyphenol analog of pyrantel. It was discovered in the early 1970s by Pfizer and showed high activity in *T. muris*-infected mice and *T. vulpis*-infected dogs [9,10]. Subsequent exploratory clinical trials demonstrated that the drug was safe and effective in the treatment of trichuriasis [11–14]. For example, complete cure was observed in 10 *T. trichiura*-infected patients treated with 20 mg/kg oxantel pamoate [11]. In veterinary medicine oxantel pamoate was later combined with
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Author Summary

The roundworm Ascaris lumbricoides, the whipworm Trichuris trichiura and the two hookworm species Ankylostoma duodenale and Necator americanus are responsible for the most common infections worldwide and place more than 5 billion people at risk. To control these infections, at risk populations are treated regularly with anthelmintic drugs, mostly albendazole and mebendazole. Since both drugs have a low therapeutic effect against T. trichiura, alternative drugs should be discovered and developed. Possible strategies are to re-evaluate forgotten compounds and to thoroughly study drug combinations. We evaluated the activity of the “old”, veterinary drug oxantel pamoate against T. muris, Ankylostoma ceylanicum and Necator americanus in vitro and in vivo. In addition, we studied the activity of oxantel pamoate combinations with the four standard treatments for soil-transmitted helminthiasis. Our results confirm that oxantel pamoate has excellent trichuricidal properties. We show that the drug lacks activity against hookworms. It is therefore necessary to combine oxantel pamoate with an anti-hookworm drug. Synergistic effects were observed with oxantel pamoate-mebendazole in our study. Additional preclinical studies should be launched with oxantel pamoate-mebendazole as well as oxantel pamoate-albendazole, since albendazole is the most widely used and efficacious anti-hookworm drug.

Drugs

Albendazole and levamisole were purchased from Fluka (Buchs, Switzerland), oxantel pamoate, mebendazole, ivermectin and pyrantel pamoate were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Buchs, Switzerland). Note that, the pamoate salts of oxantel and pyrantel contain only 33.3% and 34.7% of the active ingredients, oxantel and pyrantel base, respectively.

For in vitro studies, drug stocks (5–10 mg/ml) were prepared in 100% DMSO (Sigma-Aldrich, Buchs, Switzerland) and stored at 4 °C pending usage. For in vivo studies, the drugs were suspended in 10% Tween 80 [90% EtOH (70:30 v/v)] (Buchs, Switzerland) and 90% dH2O shortly before treatment.

Parasites and infections

Trichuris muris. The life cycle of T. muris has been maintained at the Swiss TPH since January 2010 [22–25]. Mice were treated with dexamethasone (1 mg/l, dexamethasone-water soluble, Sigma-Aldrich) supplied with the drinking water 2 days before infection onwards and were infected orally with 200 embryonated T. muris eggs.

Ankylostoma ceylanicum and Necator americanus. The A. ceylanicum and N. americanus life cycles have been maintained at the Swiss TPH since June 2009 and April 2011, respectively, as described previously [25–28]. Hamsters were treated with 0.5 mg/1 dexamethasone in the drinking water, 2 days before infection onwards. They were infected orally with 150 L3 (A. ceylanicum) or subcutaneously with 250 L3 (N. americanus). Hamsters assigned to in vivo studies were not immunosuppressed and were infected with 300 L3.

In vitro studies with Trichuris muris

Oxantel monotherapy. Fourth-stage larvae (L4) (days 26–28) were collected from the mice intestines (binocular, magnification 16×) and transferred to groups of 3–4 into each well of a 96-well plate containing 100 µl pre-warmed RPMI medium [10.44 g RPMI 1640 (Gibco, Basel, Switzerland), 5 g albumax H (Gibco), 5.94 g HEPES (Sigma-Aldrich) and 2.1 g sodium bicarbonate (Sigma-Aldrich) in 1 l dH2O] supplemented with 5% v/v amphotericin B (250 µg/ml, Sigma-Aldrich) and 1% v/v penicillin-streptomycin (10'000 U/ml penicillin+10 mg/ml streptomycin, Sigma-Aldrich). Next, 100 µl of an oxantel pamoate solution were added to obtain 0.15–600 µg/ml (final concentrations) and the plate was incubated at 37 °C and 5% CO2 for 72 hours. Control worms were incubated in medium with the highest DMSO concentration used in the test (1% v/v). After 24, 48 and 72 hours of incubation the viability of the worms was evaluated according to a motility scale from 0 to 5 (0 = normal, 100% motility, 0 = dead). Assays were conducted in duplicate.

Combination chemotherapy studies. Drug combination assays were carried out as described for single drug assays, with slight alterations. Three to 4 adult worms were transferred into...
Briefly, the fecal egg burden was established on days 21 and 22 p.i. (A. ceylanicum) and 46 and 47 (N. americanus) and treatment and control groups formed on the basis of arithmetic mean fecal egg burden. Hamsters were treated with a single oral dose of 10 mg/kg oxantel pamoate on the following day. Animals left untreated served as controls. The complete stools were collected from each hamster for up to 48 hours posttreatment and searched for expelled worms (binocular, magnification 16×). WERs were calculated.

**Statistical analyses**

All the data obtained were analyzed by Excel (Microsoft Office, 2007). In vitro data obtained from the individual motility assays were averaged and normalized to the controls. IC50s (median-effect dose), defined as the concentration of a drug required to decrease the mean worm’s motility to 50% at the 72 hour time point, were calculated with the CompuSyn software (CompuSyn, version 3.0.1). The combination index (CI) was calculated for the combination chemotherapy data with CompuSyn. To test the significance of the WBRs in vivo, the Kruskal-Wallis (several treatment doses vs. controls) or the Mann-Whitney U test (one treatment dose vs. control) was applied, using StatsDirect (version 2.4.5; StatsDirect Ltd; Cheshire, UK).

**Results**

**In vitro studies with T. muris**

**Oxantel monotherapy.** Temporal drug effects of different oxantel pamoate concentrations over the incubation period of 72 hours are depicted in Figure 1. Exposure of T. muris L4 to 0.15 and 0.3 µg/ml oxantel pamoate achieved only a negligible effect (mean motilities of 76.7% (SD ±51.3%) and 33.3% (SD ±23.3%), respectively) on the worms 24–72 hours posttreatment. Incubation of T. muris L4 for 24–72 hours with 0.6–600 µg/ml oxantel pamoate resulted in strongly reduced viabilities within 24 hours but did not kill the worms. Control worms showed normal movements over the entire incubation period. We calculated an IC50 of 2.35 µg/ml for oxantel pamoate (corresponding to 0.78 µg/ml for the free base oxantel) on T. muris L4 (Table 1).

**Trichuris muris combination chemotherapy.** Oxantel pamoate was combined with albendazole, mebendazole, pyrantel pamoate, ivermectin or levamisole using ratios based on their IC50 and T. muris adults were exposed simultaneously to one of these combinations. The results are presented in Table 1 and dose response relationships of the combinations depicted in Figure 2. Synergistic effects were observed for four of the combinations, namely oxantel pamoate-mebendazole (CI = 0.06), oxantel pamoate-ivermectin (CI = 0.27), oxantel pamoate-albendazole (CI = 0.37) and oxantel-pamoate levamisole (CI = 0.46). An antagonistic interaction was found when oxantel pamoate was combined with pyrantel pamoate (CI = 2.53). Worms exposed to this combination were only affected at the two highest concentration ratios (2IC50:2IC50 and IC50:IC50) and showed normal viability at the two lowest concentration ratios examined (0.5IC50:0.5IC50 and 0.25IC50:0.25IC50).

**In vitro studies with Ancylostoma ceylanicum.** A. ceylanicum L3 incubated with oxantel pamoate revealed high survival rates (92.9%, SD ±0.01% at 1 µg/ml, 100%, SD ±0.0% at 10 µg/ml and 95.3%, SD ±0.07% at 100 µg/ml), compared to controls. Similarly, adult worms were only weakly affected by the drug, showing an average motility of 100% (SD ±0.0%) at 0.1 and 1 µg/ml and 83.5% (SD ±29.0%) at 10 and 100 µg/ml compared to controls (motility of 100% (SD ±0.0%)).

**Oxantel against Trichuris muris and Hookworms**
**In vitro studies with *Necator americanus***. *N. americanus* L3 incubated with oxantel pamoate revealed high survival rates (100%, SD ± 0.05% at 0.1 mg/ml, 97.7%, SD ± 0.04% at 1 mg/ml, 97.1%, SD ± 0.003% at 10 mg/ml and 96.6%, SD ± 0.0% at 100 μg/ml), compared to controls. In contrast, adult worms were markedly affected by the drug, resulting in an average motility of 100% (SD ± 0.0%) at 0.1 mg/ml, 50% (SD ± 25.0%) at 1 mg/ml, 62.5% (SD ± 40.5%) at 10 μg/ml and only 12.5% (SD ± 25.0%) at 100 μg/ml compared to controls (motility of 100% (SD ± 0.0%)). An IC₅₀ of 11.80 μg/ml (r = 0.89) was calculated for oxantel pamoate on *N. americanus* adult worms (Table 1).

**In vivo studies with *Trichuris muris***. Oxantel pamoate displayed a high activity against *T. muris* in vivo, with an ED₅₀ of 4.71 mg/kg. In more detail, a WBR of 92.5% and WER of 88.4% were achieved after administration of 10 mg/kg (Table 2). Administration of 5 mg/kg resulted in a WBR of 81.1% and a WER of 78.2%. A low activity was observed with oxantel pamoate at 2.5 mg/kg (WER = 24.3%, WBR = 13.5%) and no effect was observed when mice were treated with 1 mg/kg (WER = 1.5%, WBR = 0%). The worm burden in orally oxantel pamoate treated mice was significantly different from untreated mice (P = 0.041).

**Table 1. In vitro activity of oxantel pamoate against *T. muris*, *A. ceylanicum* and *N. americanus***.

| Drugs                        | *T. muris* L4 IC₅₀ (r) | Combination index (CI) at IC₅₀ L3 Adults | *A. ceylanicum* L3 Adults | *N. americanus* L3 Adults |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Oxantel pamoate             | 2.35 (0.68)            | –                                      | >100 (n.d.)              | >100 (n.d.)              |
| Oxantel pamoate-albendazole | 159.61 (0.87)          | 0.37                                   | –                        | –                        |
| Oxantel pamoate-mebendazole | 27.95 (0.87)           | 0.06                                   | –                        | –                        |
| Oxantel pamoate-levamisole  | 2.93 (0.99)            | 0.46                                   | –                        | –                        |
| Oxantel pamoate-pyramine    | 67.13 (0.90)           | 2.53                                   | –                        | –                        |
| Oxantel pamoate-ivermectin  | 116.86 (0.94)          | 0.27                                   | –                        | –                        |

IC₅₀ median effect dose. r = linear correlation coefficient of the median-effect plot, indicating the goodness of fit. r ≥ 0.85 indicates a satisfactory fit. IC₅₀ of albendazole, mebendazole, levamisole, pyranline pamoate, and ivermectin have been published elsewhere [25]. n.d. = not determined.

doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0002119.t001
intraperitoneal treatment of 10 mg/kg lacked activity against *T. muris* (both WER and WBR = 0%). For comparison, 10 mg/kg ivermectin given intraperitoneally resulted in a worm burden reduction of 93.5%.

**Combination chemotherapy.** The four drug combinations that displayed synergistic effects in *vitro* were followed up in *vivo* (Table 2). Simultaneous treatment of *T. muris*-infected mice with a combination of oxantel pamoate and albendazole using the approximate ED$_{50}$ doses resulted in a WBR of 76.6%, while combining 0.5ED$_{90}$ was inefficacious (WBR = 0%). The combination was modeled as antagonistic (CI = 1.90). A synergistic interaction was found for the combination oxantel pamoate-albendazole, as illustrated by a combination index of 0.15. A WBR of 88.8% was achieved combining both drugs using the ED$_{50}$ doses and a still moderate WBR of 58.2% was observed when doses of 0.63 mg/kg oxantel pamoate and 10 mg/kg albendazole (1/8 ED$_{90}$) were administered. Oxantel pamoate combined with ivermectin achieved a WBR of 84.8% at the highest dose tested (ED$_{50}$:ED$_{50}$ (5 and 4 mg/kg) of oxantel pamoate and ivermectin, respectively), but the combination at 0.5ED$_{50}$:0.5ED$_{50}$ only produced a worm burden reduction of 37.5%. The combination dose-effect analysis yielded antagonistic properties for the oxantel pamoate-ivermectin combination (CI = 1.27). Finally, while the combination of oxantel pamoate and levamisole at the ED$_{50}$:ED$_{50}$ removed most of the worms (WER = 82.0%, WER = 71.7%), using half of the dosage reduced the worm burden by less than 50% (WER = 34.3%, WER = 31.1%). The overall behavior of the combination of oxantel pamoate and levamisole was found to be antagonistic (CI = 1.27).

**In vivo** studies with *A. ceylanicum* and *N. americanus*. Oxantel pamoate exerted no effect on *A. ceylanicum* in *vivo* following a single dose treatment of 10 mg/kg, illustrated by a WER of 0% (data not shown). The same oral treatment (10 mg/kg) in the *N. americanus* model resulted in a very low WER of 10.3% (data not shown).

**Discussion**

Since the introduction of albendazole, mebendazole, levamisole, and pyrantel pamoate in the human armamentarium to treat STH infections 3–4 decades ago, successes in the discovery and development of a novel nematocidal drug have been limited. The danger of resistance development therefore raises concern for the availability of effective therapies in the future. Furthermore, all four above-mentioned drugs have a limited activity against *Trichuris spp* when administered as single oral doses. To accelerate the discovery of novel anthelmintic treatments potential drug candidates have recently been examined in *vitro*, in *vivo* and in clinical trials. Disappointingly, nitazoxanide, a potential drug candidate identified through systematic literature searches [7] as well as a combination of albendazole and nitazoxanide revealed low trichuricidal activity in a randomized placebo controlled trial on Pemba [31]. Furthermore, monepantel, a safe nematocidal drug recently marketed for veterinary use showed a very poor activity against *Ascaris suum* and *T. muris* in *vivo* and in *vitro* [25]. Hence, neither nitazoxanide nor monepantel can be recommended for the treatment of infections with STH.

In the present work, another potential candidate, oxantel pamoate, widely used in veterinary medicine was evaluated against *T. muris* and hookworms in *vitro* and in *vivo*. Note that one limitation of our study (and helmint drug discovery in general), is that in *vitro* testing relied on motility scoring using microscopy, which is a subjective examination procedure [32].

Oxantel pamoate revealed an excellent trichuricidal activity in mice. We calculated an ED$_{50}$ of 4.7 mg/kg in *T. muris*-infected mice. A similarly low ED$_{50}$ of 1.7 mg/kg was reported previously in this model [33]. For comparison, the WHO recommended drugs for the treatment of STH infections are characterized by much higher ED$_{50}$ values against *T. muris in vivo*, namely 345 mg/kg for albendazole, 79 mg/kg for mebendazole, 46 mg/kg for levamisole and >300 mg/kg for pyrantel pamoate [25,30]. Ivermectin, used in the treatment of strongyloidiasis and filarial infections, displayed a comparable ED$_{50}$ value of 4 mg/kg in our *T. muris* model [29]. A dose of 10 mg/kg oxantel pamoate administered intraperitoneally lacked activity in *T. muris*-infected mice. For comparison, the same i.p. dose of ivermectin resulted in a high reduction of the worm load (>93%). This demonstrates that in contrast to ivermectin oxantel pamoate does not kill the worm via the blood stream.

Oxantel pamoate lacked in *vivo* activity against both hookworm species *A. ceylanicum* and *N. americanus*. This finding is in line with a previous study in *A. caninum*-infected mice [34]. Interestingly, *N. americanus* adults were affected by the drug in *vitro* while no activity was observed on *A. ceylanicum*. To our knowledge, the activity of oxantel pamoate against hookworms has not been studied in humans.

Oxantel pamoate showed also no effect against the third major soil-transmitted helmint species, *A. lumbricoides* in humans (all 53 patients treated with oxantel revealed *Ascaris* eggs in the stools collected posttreatment regardless of the dose administered) [11]. It is therefore necessary to combine oxantel pamoate with a partner drug with a therapeutic profile that covers roundworms and hookworms. In the present work we have, for the first time, thoroughly evaluated the potential of oxantel pamoate in drug combinations. This work builds on a series of laboratory investigations on the potential of combination chemotherapy for the treatment of STH infections. We have for example recently examined combinations of marketed drugs in *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies against *T. muris* [8].

Interestingly, antagonistic effects were observed in the present work with oxantel pamoate-pyrantel pamoate against *T. muris in vitro*, hence this combination was not pursued further. However, we cannot exclude a better trichuricidal effect in *vivo* for this combination, in particular as a pharmacodynamic interference at
### Table 2. Activity of oxantel pamoate monotherapy or combination chemotherapy against *T. muris* in vivo.

| Group | Dose (mg/kg) | Mean number of worms (SD) | Mean number of expelled worms (SD) | Worm expulsion rate (%) | Worm burden reduction (%) | P-value | Combination index (CI) |
|-------|--------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------|-------------------------|
| Control 1 | – | 157.5 (62.6) | 0.2 (0.5) | 0.1 | – | – | – |
| Control 2 | – | 93.3 (9.5) | 0.8 (1.0) | 0.8 | – | – | – |
| Control 3 | – | 109.5 (32.9) | 0.8 (0.5) | 0.7 | – | – | – |
| Control 4 | – | 123.3 (35.1) | 0.3 (0.5) | 0.2 | – | – | – |
| Control 5 | – | 91.3 (23.7) | 0 (0) | 0 | – | – | – |
| Control 6 | – | 78.3 (20.8) | 0 (0) | 0 | – | – | – |
| Control 7 | – | 94.4 (39.2) | 0 (0) | 0 | – | – | – |
| Control 8 | – | 56.5 (22.1) | 0 (0) | 0 | – | – | – |
| Oxantel pamoate 10 | – | 91.7 (46.1) | 81.0 (44.0) | 88.4 | 92.5 | 0.041<sup>a</sup> | – |
| 5<sup>2</sup> | 80.3 (40.0) | 62.8 (37.5) | 78.2 | 81.1 | 0.293<sup>a</sup> | – |
| 2.5<sup>5</sup> | 105.7 (58.5) | 25.7 (16.0) | 24.3 | 13.5 | 0.006<sup>a</sup> | – |
| 1<sup>2</sup> | 148.3 (94.1) | 2.3 (2.1) | 1.5 | 0 | – | – | – |
| Albendazole 300<sup>7</sup> | 69.0 (63.7) | 5.8 (4.5) | 8.3 | 41.8 | 0.293<sup>a</sup> | – |
| 75<sup>1</sup> | 139.0 (54.9) | 0.5 (1.0) | 0.4 | 0.26 | – | – | – |
| Mebendazole 150<sup>2</sup> | 99.8 (47.8) | 70.3 (36.1) | 70.4 | 68.1 | 0.006<sup>a</sup> | – |
| 75<sup>1</sup> | 115.8 (36.5) | 42.7 (18.6) | 36.5 | 48.3 | – | – | – |
| Oxantel pamoate 10<sup>i.p.</sup> | 44.0 (18.7) | 0 (0) | 0 | 0 | 0.857<sup>b</sup> | – |
| Ivermectin 10<sup>i.p.</sup> | 80.7 (59.5) | 77 (62.0) | 95.5 | 93.5 | 0.057<sup>b</sup> | – |
| Control 9 | – | 123.3 (35.1) | 0.3 (0.5) | 0.2 | – | – | – |
| Control 10 | – | 91.3 (23.7) | 0 (0) | 0 | – | – | – |
| Control 11 | – | 78.3 (20.8) | 0 (0) | 0 | – | – | – |
| Control 12 | – | 94.4 (39.2) | 0 (0) | 0 | – | – | – |
| Control 13 | – | 56.5 (22.1) | 0 (0) | 0 | – | – | – |
| Oxantel pamoate–albendazole 5+<br>345<sup>a</sup> | 105.0 (80.1) | 76.3 (80.5) | 72.6 | 76.6 | 0.529<sup>a</sup> | 1.90 |
| 2.5+<br>1725<sup>a</sup> | 191.0 (123.4) | 44.5 (45.4) | 23.3 | 0 | 0.001<sup>a</sup> | 1.01 |
| Oxantel pamoate–mebendazole 5+<br>79<sup>a</sup> | 101.3 (39.8) | 87.5 (27.6) | 86.4 | 88.8 | <0.001<sup>a</sup> | 0.15 |
| 2.5+<br>39.5<sup>a</sup> | 53.0 (27.8) | 41.3 (28.6) | 77.8 | 90.5 | – | – | – |
| 1.25+<br>19.75<sup>a</sup> | 128.3 (68.8) | 107.5 (69.3) | 83.5 | 76.7 | – | – | – |
| 0.63+<br>10<sup>a</sup> | 106.8 (49.9) | 74.0 (42.7) | 69.3 | 58.2 | – | – | – |
| Oxantel pamoate–ivermectin 5+<br>4<sup>a</sup> | 79.0 (20.8) | 60.3 (14.5) | 76.3 | 84.7 | 0.008<sup>a</sup> | 1.27 |
| 2.5+<br>2<sup>a</sup> | 116.7 (28.3) | 59.7 (15.9) | 51.1 | 37.5 | – | – | – |
| Oxantel pamoate–levamisole 5+<br>46<sup>a</sup> | 60.0 (40.2) | 43.0 (30.5) | 71.7 | 82.0 | 0.028<sup>a</sup> | 1.27 |
| 2.5+<br>23<sup>a</sup> | 90.0 (45.6) | 28.0 (19.4) | 31.1 | 34.3 | – | – | – |

Numbers in superscript refer to the corresponding control group.
<sup>a</sup>P-values were obtained from the Kruskal-Wallis test (several treatment doses vs. controls).
<sup>b</sup>P-values were obtained from the Mann-Whitney U test (one treatment dose vs. controls). The CI at IC<sub>50</sub> are based on WBR.

DOI: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0002119.t002
oxantel pamoate is marketed as a single- and multiple-component drug with an activity against hookworms that is superior to the activity of all other marketed anthelmintics against hookworms [19]. In two Korean trials both oxantel monotherapy as well as an oxantel-pyrantel combination were used, however since different formulations were used (syrup versus tablets), different dosages applied and sample sizes were small no conclusion can be drawn whether the combination was superior to oxantel monotherapy [13,14].

Antagonistic effects were observed in vivo using combinations of oxantel pamoate-albendazole, oxantel pamoate-levamisole and oxantel pamoate-ivermectin. Since the molecular basis for the actions of these drugs is not yet fully elucidated it is impossible to explain the antagonistic interaction profile observed for these combinations. On the other hand, the oxantel pamoate-mebendazole combination revealed highly synergistic effects against T. muris in vivo. It is striking that the two benzimidazole derivatives behave so differently when administered as partner drugs in oxantel pamoate combinations to T. muris infected mice given that both drugs, despite their differences in pharmacokinetics [36], have identical targets. However, our results should be interpreted with caution. First of all, drug scheduling and drug vehicle, solubility, host behavior, environmental factors and genetic variations might influence the level of activity [37]. In addition, though the median effect method used in the present work is the most commonly used, our data are based on a single method only and one could have considered applying another method, such as the isobologram method to re-analyze the data [38]. Finally, note that these findings are based on a single ratio of the combined agents (ED50 values) and it might be worthwhile to assess other ratios of the drug dosages.

In conclusion, our study confirms that oxantel pamoate has excellent trichuridical properties. In the T. muris mouse model oxantel pamoate showed a higher activity than the standard drugs albendazole, mebendazole, levamisole and pyrantel pamoate. Since the drug has no activity against hookworms it is necessary to combine oxantel pamoate with a partner drug revealing anti-hookworm properties. Synergistic effects were observed for oxantel pamoate-mebendazole. Despite of our results pointing to an antagonistic behavior of oxantel pamoate-albendazole additional investigations on the effect of this combination might be considered (e.g. evaluation of a different dosing ratio or schedule) since of the standard drugs albendazole has the highest efficacy against hookworms [5]. Systemic drug interactions between oxantel pamoate and partner drugs are unlikely given that the absorption of oxantel pamoate is very poor [11]. Nonetheless, preclinical studies should carefully elucidate metabolic and pharmacokinetic interactions of oxantel pamoate and the benzimidazoles.
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