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ABSTRACT: Acid corrosion may cause the deterioration of the fire resistance of the intumescent fire retardant coatings. This paper reported the results of an experimental study of degradation in fire protection performance of intumescent coating for steel elements after different times of immersion in the hydrochloric acid solution. The morphology, the equivalent thermal resistance, and the changes of the elements and groups contained on the surface of the coatings were investigated. The corrosion mechanism was as follows: when the coating was immersed in the acid solution, the ammonium polyphosphate (APP), pentaerythritol (PER), and melamine (MEL) underwent the process of acid catalyzed hydrolysis, dissolution, and chemical reaction, which led to the change of the content ratio of the compositions and caused the deterioration of the coating fire resistance. A prediction method of the remaining fire resistance property for the acid corrosion coatings was proposed, by comprehensively considering the physical and chemical characteristic changing trends of the corroded coating.

1. INTRODUCTION

Steel elements are widely used in the industrial and civil buildings due to their unique advantages, such as high strength, good plasticity and toughness characteristics, lightweight, simple manufacturing process, short construction time, and good economic benefit. However, the high temperature performance of the steel elements is not ably poor. The strength and the elastic modulus decrease rapidly at 400 °C, and the yield strength is less than 30% of the strength at room temperature. In addition, because of the low thermal conductivity, the heating speed of the steel elements is notably fast under the conditions of fire. All of the above causes lead to only approximately 15 min of fire resistance of the steel elements if they are not protected by protection measures.

To enhance the fire resistance of the steel element buildings and guarantee the safety of personnel, property, and buildings, fire protection measures are required. Using a certain thickness of fire retardant coating is one of the commonly used methods, and intumescent coating is preferred due to the use of only a thin layer and its good decoration characteristics. Whereas the occurrence of fire is random, it may occur after one year of the application of the coating, or even ten years and longer, and whether the performance of the fire retardant coating remains as the original coating must be considered.

There are many reported research studies in the open literature concerning the durability of coatings. However, the studies on the long-term fireproofing of fire retardant coatings are relatively few. Sakamoto et al. conducted some accelerated tests and small-scale heat tests, and on the basis of their results, they proposed a new testing method for evaluating the durability of intumescent coatings by considering the high-temperature and high-humidity weather conditions in Japan. In the work of Roberts et al., Shell UK performed a long-term passive fire protection weathering program at a maritime test site using furnace tests to assess the fire performance of the materials. Ling-ling Wang reported the results of an experimental study of degradation in the fire protection performance of two types of intumescent coatings after different cycles of accelerated hydrothermal aging tests and proposed an aging mechanism according to the experiment results. Jimenez et al. studied the fire performance deterioration of an intumescent coating (which contains epoxy resin, ammonium polyphosphate, melamine, and titanium dioxide) in three different accelerated aging conditions (80% moisture atmosphere at 70 °C for 2 months and a static immersion bath with and without NaCl (5 g/L) at 20 °C for 1 month).

With the development of industrialization of human society, an acidic atmospheric environment has become one of the problems of greatest concern. Acid atmospheric precipitation is formed when specific pollutants combine with water or dust particles in the atmosphere. By far, the largest contribution to this problem arises from sulfur dioxide (SO2), a byproduct of fossil fuel combustion. Sulfur dioxide becomes oxidized to H2SO4, which accounts for 62–79% of the acidity of rain. Most of the remaining acid is from the nitrogen oxides (NOx), produced mainly in automobile exhaust gas, that are oxidized to HNO3 (15–32%). In addition to these two main species, HCl (2–16%), produced by burning coal, is also found in the atmosphere. In the actual projects, the main acid media that corrode the fire retardant coatings for outdoor use are acid rain, dew, fog, and dust. In particular, for petrochemical enterprises, the acid corrosive effects are much more significant due to the emission of a large amount of acidic gases. For example, in the summer of Jacksonville, a port city in the U.S., the pH of the rain was in the range of 3.5 to 5.5. Therefore, in this paper,
a study of the acid durability of the intumescent fire retardant coating is presented, and a method for predicting the residual fire resistance is proposed. The results of this paper serve as a reference for the correct use of the fire coating in a heavily corrosive environment.

2. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

2.1. Specimen Preparation. The fire retardant coating used in this study was a solvent intumescent coating for outdoor steel structure. The base material of the coating was acrylic resin. The PVC (pigment volume concentration) of the coating is an important parameter for the dry layer since it is directly proportional to film permeability and has an influence on decorative appearance and on the degree of intumescence. The PVC of the coating was about 65%. The specimen substrate was a Q235 steel plate of 80 mm × 40 mm × 1.2 mm. Before brushing the coating, the steel substrates were cleaned thoroughly. In the real building engineering, the protective system for steel substrate is a multilayer, which includes the top coating, the fire retardant coating, and the primer. The primer not only can play the role of antitrust and corrosion but also can increase the cohesion between the substrate and the coating layer. The zinc rich primer based on epoxy resin was brushed prior to the fire retardant coating, and the thickness of the primer was 63.8 μm. The intervals between the coating layer and the whole thickness were set according to the instructions of the product. The fire retardant coatings were brushed five times, and the thickness of the dry layer was 0.7 mm. Generally, the top coatings applied on fire-retardant paints prevent or limit the intumescence since they are formulated with low PVC values to reach reduced film permeability. To investigate the acid corrosion effects directly on the fire retardant coating layer, the top coating was not used in this study.

The specimens were held for 30 days in the condition of 20 ± 5 °C and RH 60% ± 10% after the coating was applied. Next, the edge and back sides of the specimens were sealed by a mixture of resin and paraffin (1:1 in weight). Under the same conditions mentioned above, the sealed specimens were held for 24 h. Subsequently, two-thirds of the specimens were immersed vertically in a hydrochloric acid solution (3% in weight)13 for 8, 16, 24, and 72 h. All the specimens were dried at the laboratory conditions before testing.

2.2. Testing Equipment and Conditions. To investigate the acid corrosion mechanism of the intumescent fire retardant coating, the fire resistance, the morphologies of the coating and char layer, the composition of the elements, and the thermal stability of the different corrosion time specimens were tested. The fire resistance of the intumescent coatings of the acid treated samples was tested by a small-scale fireproof testing furnace.14 Figure 1 shows the sections of the furnace used. During testing, the uncoated sides of two specimens were attached at the lower end of the respective sides of a cooling chip, which was made of steel or heat-resistant alloy. Wire was used to combine the cooling chip and the two samples covering the sides of the cooling chip together. The cooling chip and the two samples were placed onto the bracket in the furnace vertically. One thermocouple was placed in the groove of the cooling chip to measure the back-side temperature of the specimens, and another thermocouple was placed in the furnace to monitor the temperature of the furnace. The time–temperature curve in the furnace is in accordance with the ISO 834 standard curve. The time when the back side temperature of the samples reaches 538 °C is defined as the fire-resistance time. Figure 2 shows the time–temperature curve comparison between the furnace results and the ISO 834 standard.

![Figure 1. Sections of the fire retardant coating testing furnace.](image)

![Figure 2. Time–temperature comparison diagram between the furnace and the ISO 834 standard.](image)
100X. The elements and groups contained on the surface of the samples were measured using X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) and Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy to understand the changes of the molecular structure in the process of corrosion.

3. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

3.1. The Surface Morphology of the Coating after Corrosion. Compared with the original coating sample, the surface of the specimens following acid corrosion appeared rougher and thinner. Measuring the thicknesses of the two points that were near the dent, the difference was the thinning of the coating. Five pairs of points were measured, and the average of the differences was used as the final thickness measured. Table 1 shows the thickness of the coatings after different periods of acid corrosion. The loss rate of the coating is the amount of thinning of the coating per hour.

To investigate the changes of the surface morphology, the coating surfaces after different acid treatment times were observed using the SEM. Figure 3 shows the surface morphology at a magnification of 500X. The data shown in Figure 3 indicate that the surface of the untreated coating is relatively smoother compared to the acid treated surfaces. The base resin covered and bonded the surface of the pigments and fillers continuously in the untreated coating. However, the corroded specimen surface was no longer flat, and some particles appeared. After 24 h of corrosion, the particles became smaller and were fewer in number. In addition, some cracks and broken holes could be observed in the corroded samples surface.

Analysis of the data suggested that the particles in the coating surface were the functional pigments of the retardant system, mainly, ammonium polyphosphate (APP), melamine (MEL), and pentaerythritol (PER). The APP is hydrolytic and produces ammonium ions \((\text{NH}_4^+\)) and pyrophosphate in a corrosive environment.\(^ {15}\) The process of the hydrolysis would be catalyzed by the action of the \(\text{NH}_4^+\) and \(\text{Cl}^-\) in the hydrochloric acid solution, leading to the production of ammonium chloride.\(^ {25}\) The process of hydrochloric acid penetrating into the coating and the process of ammonium polyphosphate diffusion to the surface occurred simultaneously. With increasing corrosion time, the consumption of the ammonium polyphosphate increased gradually, while the diffusion speed decreased. As a result, in the final period of long time corrosion, the action was mainly between the hydrochloric acid and the APP in the coating surface, which caused the particles of APP to become smaller.

In the meantime, ammelid, ammeline, and cyanuric acids are produced due to the action of MEL and hydrochloric acid. All the new products are water-soluble, which reduced the content of the MEL in the coating. PER also exhibits a degree of water-solubility, so as with the other two pigments, the diffusion to

| Table 1. Thickness of the Coating after Different Periods of Acid Corrosion |
|-----------------|---|---|---|---|
| corrosion time (h) | 8 | 16 | 24 | 72 |
| thinning thickness of the coating (μm) | 63.3 | 79.6 | 103.8 | 195.1 |
| loss rate of the coating (μm/h) | 7.9 | 5.0 | 4.3 | 2.7 |

Figure 3. Surface morphology after different times of corrosion.
the surface and the consumption occur during the immersion process. In the immersion process, the hydrochloric acid and water would penetrate into the coating through the gap between the coating compositions; in addition, the coating pigments diffused to the surface, so that the coating swelled and became soft and the volume became larger. After the specimens were removed from the solution, during the period of the drying of the specimens, the absorbed water evaporates and the coating layer shrinks and becomes hard, eventually forming cracks. Broken holes would form after the drying process if spherical bubbles were produced during the coating swelling and softening. The coating surface is no longer continuous and integrated due to the presence of the cracks and holes, which affect the mechanical properties of the coating.

3.2. The Fire Resistance of the Coating after Corrosion. 3.2.1. The Fire Resistance Time and the Thickness of the Char Layer. When the specimens met the prescribed corrosion time, they were moved from the hydrochloric acid solution and then dried under laboratory conditions. Before conducting the fire resistance test, the mixture layer of resin and paraffin was removed. Figure 4 shows the back side temperature of the samples with testing time. For different corrosion time samples, the trends of the temperature rising was found to be similar in the early 400 s, but the behaviors became quite different in the later stage.

The fire resistance performance of the intumescent coating is affected by the thickness and the morphology of the char layer.

\[
\text{aging rate} = \frac{\text{fire resistance time of the untreated sample} - \text{fire resistance time of the corroded sample}}{\text{fire resistance time of the untreated sample}}
\]

This parameter reflects the fire resistance time variation amplitude of the samples after acid corrosion. From Table 2, along with the immersion time in hydrochloric acid solution prolonged, the fire resistance times of the coatings are found to gradually shorten and the aging rates are found to increase. The fire resistance time of 39 ft 25 in. of the original sample was shortened to 24 ft 06 in. for the sample after corrosion for 72 h, with an aging rate of 38.4%. Because, in this study, the samples were not immersed in the acid solution completely, only two-thirds of the length of each sample was corroded; therefore, the fire resistance times listed in the table are longer than the times for samples whose lengths are entirely corroded and the aging times are smaller.

The expansion rate can be interpreted as the following formula.

\[
\text{expansion rate} = \frac{\text{thickness of the char layer} - \text{initial thickness of the coating}}{\text{initial thickness of the coating}}
\]

In the same initial thickness of the coating, the higher the expansion rate, the thicker is the char layer and the slower is the heating rate of the protected substrate; correspondingly, the fire resistance performance is improved. The initial thickness of the untreated coating is the coating thickness before corrosion, and the initial thickness of the corroded coating is the reduced thickness of the sample after corrosion. The expansion rate of the original specimen is about 40; however, it may be much higher for different brands of coating with different formulas.

3.2.2. The Morphology of the Char Layer. The char layer of each of the corroded specimens for different times of corrosion was observed after the fire resistance test; besides the thickness, the morphology was found to be dramatically varied. Figure 5a shows the char layer section of the original coating. The char layer was observed to be spongy and expanded evenly and compactly, without large pores or cracks, and the structure of the char layer surface was the same as that of the internal structure. Figure 5b shows the char layer of the specimen corrosion for 8 h. The char layer of the untreated part was similar to that of the original one, and the surface was spongy and soft. However, on the surface of the char layer of the corroded part, there was a shell that was harder than the untreated char. In addition, the shell surface appeared to be relatively rough and uneven, and there were many small expansion char bubbles.

Figure 6a shows the surface of the char layer of the sample exposed to 16 h of acid corrosion. The surface appears similar to the char layer of the sample exposed to 8 h of acid corrosion. A hard shell also appeared, but the roughness was less than that in the 8 h acid corrosion case, and there were cracks in the surface shell. After removing the hard shell, large cracks and holes could be observed in the internal char layer, as shown in Figure 6b. Similar to the char layers of the samples under acid corrosion for 8 and 16 h, there was hard shell on the char layer surface of the sample under acid corrosion for 24 h. The shell
surface was smooth overall, and a few of the expansion char bubbles could be observed closely next to the untreated part char layer (Figure 7a). After removing the hard shell, the steel substrate could be observed, and most of the corroded part was without char. Figure 8 shows the char layer of the specimen under acid corrosion for 72 h. Figure 8a shows that the corrosion part did not expand at all, the surface was smooth, the color of the char layer was dark gray, and no obvious expansion char bubble was found. From the side view, the shell was curling and peeled from the substrate, as shown in Figure 8b.

To understand the details of the char layer, the morphology of the longitudinal section of the char was observed using stereo microscope at 100× magnification. Figure 9a−c is the char section of the original sample, the corroded sample after 8 h of corrosion, and the corroded sample after 16 h of corrosion, respectively. As shown in the figure, the char of the untreated coating appeared spongy and fluffy, the reinforced fibers of the coating were uniformly distributed in the char layer, and the pores were small and relatively consistent in size. Some “cement” parts (the regions in the red circles of Figure 9b) were formed in the char layer of the sample after 8 h of acid corrosion. The char was not as fluffy as the original one, and the reinforced fiber and the char were bonded together in the “cement” part and could not be distinguished clearly. The pores in the char were large and of nonuniform size. Much more “cement” parts were formed in the char of the 16 h corrosion sample, and only in the vicinity of the pores can the reinforced fiber be identified. The pores in the char became obviously large and small.

The loss of some active components, such as APP, PER, and MEL, may affect the expansion rate of the coating and lead to the change of the char morphology. In the process of heating, the components of the coating were melting, decomposing, and shrinking, which generated the “cement” parts. Due to the lack of an explosive system in the region of the “cement”, there were few pores in it. In addition, besides the contraction of the unexpanded “cements”, the changing proportions of the active materials resulted in the phenomenon of the large and uneven process. All of the aspects mentioned above affect the heat insulation effect of the char and cause the fire resistance property to become worse.

### Table 2. Fire Resistance Time and Char Thickness of the Coating after Different Times of Acid Corrosion

| Corrosion time (h) | Fire resistance time (ft 25 in.) | Aging rate (%) | Untreated layer thickness of the char (mm) | Expansion rate | Corrosion layer thickness of the char (mm) | Expansion rate |
|-------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|------------------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------------------|--------------|
| 0                 | 39                              | 29.2           | 39.6                                     |              | 23.6                                      | 31.8         |
| 8                 | 35                              | 27.5           | 37.2                                     | 27.2         | 16.6                                      | 24.8         |
| 16                | 33                              | 26.8           | 36.2                                     | 26.2         | 13.6                                      | 20.9         |
| 24                | 29                              | 22.4           | 30.1                                     | 22.4         |                                           |              |
| 72                | 24                              |                |                                          |              |                                           |              |

a. The char layer of the original sample  
b. The char layer of the 8-h corrosion sample  

c. The char layer of the 16-h corrosion sample  
d. The char layer of the 24-h corrosion sample

Figure 5. Char layer of the original and 8 h corrosion samples.

Figure 6. Char layer of the 16 h corrosion sample.

Figure 7. Char layer of the 24 h corrosion sample.

Figure 8. Char layer of the 72 h corrosion sample.

Figure 9. Longitudinal section morphology of the char.
Using the VHX-100 communication software, the magnitude of the pores in the char were measured and calculated. During the measurement, the pores with clear boundaries were selected. In the visual range, the measured pores were numerous and distributed uniformly. For the irregularly shaped pores, the longest distance between two points at the edge of the pore was the pore size. Figure 10 shows the pore measurement results of the 8 h corrosion sample. Table 3 presents the measured and calculated data regarding the pore size of the samples of different corrosion periods. Because the char layer of the 24 h corrosion sample was too loose and thin for the observation and the 72 h corrosion sample was not expanded, the two samples were not considered in this test. As seen in Table 3, with the increasing time of corrosion, the size of the char became larger. In addition, the magnitudes of the pore sizes were more dispersed and uneven, resulting in an increase in the pore range, variance, and standard deviation.

3.2.3. The Thermal Resistance of the Char Layer. In the fire events, the temperature increasing process of the fire coating protected steel structure is directly related to the fire resistance performance of the coating char layer. The smaller the thermal conductivity of the char, the better is the heat insulation, if the other conditions are the same. As a result, the thermal conductivity is commonly used to characterize the heat insulation property of the fire coating in many related studies. By considering the methods and models used to determine the equivalent thermal conductivity of the coating, it is found that there are two main methods: one is using an empirical formula and the other is conducting a numerical calculation based on the principle of the heat conduction.

The heat insulation effect of the fire retardant coating also depends on the thickness of the coating char, especially for the intumescent fire retardant coating. The coating expansion ratio and the char layer thickness directly affect the temperature increase of the protected steel elements. The thermal conductivity itself can not reflect the influence of the char layer thickness. Therefore, the heat insulation property of the coating was characterized by the thermal resistance.

When the heat absorbed by the coating and the temperature difference in the steel element were ignored, the iterative equation for calculating the temperature increase of the element was established on the basis of the lumped heat capacity principle; it is given by

\[ \Delta T_i = \frac{\lambda_i / d_i A_i}{\rho c_i V} (T_i - T) \Delta t \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where \( d_i \) is the thickness of the insulation, \( \lambda_i \) the thermal conductivity of the insulation, W/(m·°C); \( A_i \) the appropriate area of the fire insulation material per unit length, m²/m; \( V \) the volume of the steel per unit length, m³/m; \( \rho c_i \) the density of the steel element, kg/m³; \( T \) the temperature of the samples was below 200 °C. The residual moisture in the coating may be the cause of the higher thermal conductivity itself can not reflect the influence of the char layer thickness. Therefore, the heat insulation property of the coating was characterized by the thermal resistance.

\[ R_i = \frac{d_i}{\lambda_i} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

Rearranging eqs 1 and 2, we obtain the equation for calculating the equivalent thermal resistance of the coating:

\[ R_i = \frac{T_i - T}{\Delta T_i / \Delta t} \frac{1}{\rho c_i V} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Thus, with the steel sample and the environmental temperature changes with time obtained by the heating test and the physical and geometric parameters of the steel sample, the thermal resistance can be calculated by the iterative eq 3. Figure 11 shows the equivalent thermal resistance of the samples for different corrosion times with the heating time. As shown in Figure 11, with the acid corrosion time extended, the equivalent thermal resistance of the coating exhibits an overall trend of decreasing. In the initial 400 s, the equivalent thermal resistance of the 8 and 16 h corrosion samples is slightly higher than that of the others. During this test time period, the back temperature of the samples was below 200 °C. The residual moisture in the coating may be the cause of the higher thermal resistance of the 8 and 16 h corrosion specimens. However, because the thermal resistance during this period is relatively small, and the duration is short, the effect of the thermal resistance difference for the back side temperature of the sample is not significant (see Figure 4). For the heating from 700 s to the end of the test, the equivalent thermal resistances of the samples of different corrosion times exhibit a remarkable
difference. For example, the equivalent thermal resistances of the untreated sample and the 72 h corrosion sample are 0.364 and 0.189 K·m²/W, respectively; i.e., the former is 1.93 times the latter. At 960 s, the equivalent thermal resistances of the untreated sample and the 72 h corrosion sample are 0.419 and 0.146 K·m²/W, respectively; the former is 2.87 times of the latter. Regarding the significant differences of the samples over a long time, the insulation effects of the different samples are remarkably diverse, and the resistance times of the samples are distinct from each other.

3.3. FTIR Test Results. FTIR test was used to investigate the variation of the groups contained in the coating surface components, analyze the possible chemical reactions during the acid corrosion, infer the mechanism of the acid corrosion aging of the coating, and determine the reason for the changes of the fire resistance performance. The experimental results are presented in Figure 12.

![FTIR spectrum of the samples for different corrosion times.](image)

As shown in Figure 12, the groups of the components contained in the different corrosion time samples are similar, but there are slight differences in the contents. The trough at 3550 cm⁻¹ indicates the stretching vibration of the O–H contained in PER; the troughs at 3470 and 3414 cm⁻¹ indicate the stretching vibration of the NH₂ contained in MEL; the trough at 3232 cm⁻¹ also indicates the stretching vibration of the O–H; the trough at 1640 cm⁻¹ indicates the stretching vibration of the C=O contained in the base resin of the coating; the trough at 1620 cm⁻¹ indicates the deformation vibration of the NH₂; the trough at 1400 cm⁻¹ indicates the twisting vibration of the C–H bonds in CH₂ contained in the base resin of the coating and PER; the trough at 1128 cm⁻¹, compared with the untreated coating, the troughs of the MEL content significantly decreases. In addition, the ammelid, ammeline, and cyanuric acids produced in the action of MEL and hydrochloric acid exhibit absorption peaks at the wavenumbers of 3470, 3414, and 1620 cm⁻¹, which also exhibit only slight changes of the troughs for the short time corrosion samples. Considering the troughs in the vicinity of 1000 cm⁻¹, compared with the untreated coating, the troughs of the corrosion samples become weaker and shifted to the higher wavenumbers. The reason for this phenomenon is that the polarity of the C–H contained in the PER, which is adjacent to the OH, is stronger than the C–H contained in the base resin. Thus, when the content of the PER decreased, the troughs not only become weak but also shift to the higher wavenumber for the reduced polarity of the C–H. The APP hydrolyzes in water, and the presence of the acid can accelerate this process. The troughs at 885 cm⁻¹ appear in the corroded samples, indicating that the pyrophosphate was generated during the hydrolysis of APP. In addition, the content of the pyrophosphate increases with the prolonging of the corrosion time. At the same time, the weakening of the absorption peak at 1128 cm⁻¹ also indicates the hydrolysis of the APP.

In summary, some coating components may have undergone acid catalyzed hydrolysis. The troughs indicate that the vibrations of O–H, NH₂, and PO₄ contained in PER, MEL, and APP are weakened. However, the trough corresponding to the pyrophosphate generated in the hydrolysis of the APP becomes stronger. Thus, according to the variation of the characterized troughs, the corrosion degree of the coating used in the real engineering can be roughly predicted.

3.4. XPS Test Results. XPS (X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy) provides information on the amount of chemical elements being examined. According to the main compositions in the sample coating, the element contents of C, N, O, P, and Cl were measured. The variation of the amounts of the elements can reflect the changes of the components in the

### Table 3. Pore Sizes of the Samples for the Different Corrosion Times

| Corrosion time (h) | Largest pore size (μm) | Smallest pore size (μm) | Average pore size (μm) | Range of the pore size (μm) | Variance of the pore size (μ²) | Standard deviation of the pore size (μm) |
|--------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| 0                  | 277.87                 | 61.50                   | 125.18                 | 216.37                      | 2655.07                       | 51.53                                  |
| 8                  | 569.87                 | 131.74                  | 202.09                 | 469.86                      | 2154.05                       | 124.37                                 |
| 16                 | 645.94                 | 130.31                  | 344.79                 | 513.95                      | 32577.16                      | 180.49                                 |

*dx.doi.org/10.1021/ie501859s* Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. XXX, XXX, XXX–XXX
coating surface after different periods of acid corrosion. Figure 13 shows the XPS test results of the samples.

As listed in Table 4, with the corrosion time prolonged, the contents of the elements are increasing, except for the C element. Of all the components of the coating, those containing the C element are acrylic resin, MEL, PER, and chlorinated paraffin; those that contain the O element are acrylic resin, APP, PER, and titanium dioxide; the component containing the Cl element is chlorinated paraffin; those components that contain the N element are APP and MEL; the component that contains the P element is APP.

The C element is mainly contained in acrylic resin. During the corrosion in the hydrochloric acid solution, some water-soluble and hydrolyzable components diffused to the surface of the fire retardant coating and then were concentrated in the outermost layer above the base resin. Because only the surface elements were measured, the content of the C element decreased obviously. Although the reduction content of resin in the coating surface caused the decrease of the O element, the diffusion of the APP and PER to the surface caused an overall increase of the content of the O element. In the untreated coating, the Cl element was contained in the chlorinated paraffin. After the emission in the hydrochloric acid solution, there were small amount of hydrochloric acid and chlorine salt attached on the surface of the coating, which led to an increase in the content of Cl element. The increasing content of the N element in the short time corrosion process is caused by the diffusion of the APP and MEL to the coating surface, and in the long time corrosion, it is caused by the nitrogen contained in the small molecular products generated in the hydrolysis of APP and MEL. In the virgin coating sample, the P element was contained only in APP. The content variation of the P element

| element | original sample | corrosion for 8 h | corrosion for 24 h | corrosion for 72 h |
|---------|----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| C       | 79.45          | 64.24            | 59.4             | 48.07            |
| O       | 10.53          | 16.75            | 19.45            | 18.58            |
| Cl      | 5.82           | 10.63            | 9.45             | 14.21            |
| N       | 2.6            | 4.22             | 5.95             | 10.88            |
| P       | 1.6            | 3.19             | 4.28             | 4.29             |

Figure 13. XPS test results of the samples for different corrosion times.

Table 4. Element Contents in the Samples for Different Times of Corrosion
can appropriately reflect the diffusion situation of APP. The surface characterization results, the fire resistance test results, and the FTIR test results in the samples for less than 24 h of corrosion indicate that there was still a certain amount of APP in the coating surface and the internal part. When the corrosion time exceeded 24 h, a significant amount of APP was lost due to the acid catalyzed hydrolysis. The small molecular products, such as pyrophosphate, remained on the surface of the coating. Although the elements content was similar, the molecular structure of the pyrophosphate was completely different from that of APP, and this would result in an obvious difference in the fire resistance performance.

4. THE PREDICTION OF THE REMAINING FIRE RESISTANCE PROPERTY OF THE COATINGS

If the coating type and initial layer thickness were similar to the studied one in this paper, according to the thinning of the coating, the remaining fire resistance property of the coating for a certain time acid corrosion can be predicted roughly and directly by the data in Table 2. However, in practical engineering, the fire retardant coating type and thickness are usually determined by the demand of the fire protecting design and are often different. In addition, in this research, the specimens were not completely soaked in the acid solution, which deviates from the actual situation. Thus, to obtain the fire resistance performance of the fire coating used in the acid corrosion environment over some years, the coating samples extracted from the substrate should be tested by examining the surface morphology, FTIR, and XPS, and the test results should be compared comprehensively with the case studied in this paper. The accelerated acid corrosion time can be determined under the laboratory conditions. The samples, with the same coating thickness as the pro-corrosion thickness of the engineering coating, should be completely immersed in the acid solution. The bench scale fire resistance test can be used to determine the remaining fire resistance time of the fire coating in the actual engineering practice.

Note that, in the testing process, the temperature–time curve in the test furnace should be calibrated according to the possible fire scenario in which the coating may encounter. For example, the fires that occur in the petrochemical enterprises correspond to hydrocarbon fires (UL 1709), and the fire in common buildings correspond to fiber fire (ISO 834).

5. CONCLUSIONS

During the process of acid corrosion, certain components of the intumescent fire retardant coating are lost due to dissolution, acid catalyzed hydrolysis, and chemical reaction with the acid, resulting in the deterioration of the fire resistance property. When the coating surface and char layer morphology were examined after the acid corrosion, the thickness of the coating was found to be thinned and the surface was found to become rough and exhibit cracks and broken holes; the size of the pores in the char layer were larger and uneven, and some “cement” parts were formed.

Regarding the equivalent thermal resistance of the tested samples, during the initial 400 s, the equivalent thermal resistance of the samples for 8 and 16 h of corrosion was slightly higher than that of the others due to the residual moisture in the coating. While in the later part of the heating, the equivalent thermal resistances of the samples with different corrosion times exhibited a remarkable difference, which led to the remarkably diverse insulation effects and the distinct resistance time.

When immersed in the acid solution, the APP, PER, and MEL would undergo the process of acid catalyzed hydrolysis, dissolution, and chemical reaction. Thus, as the FTIR tests reflect, the troughs indicating the vibrations of O−H, NH2, and PO4 contained in PER, MEL, and APP were weakened gradually, while the trough that corresponded to the pyrophosphate produced in the hydrolysis of APP was strengthened.

The contents of the elements C, N, O, P, and Cl were measured using the method of XPS. According to the XPS results, with the corrosion time prolonged, the contents of the elements were increasing, except for the C element, which indicated the diffusion and loss of PER, MEL, and APP.

A prediction method of the remained fire resistance property for the acid corrosion coatings was proposed that comprehensively considers the changing trends of the physical and chemical characteristics of the corroded coating. This method can be a reference for the fire safety evaluation of built protected steel structures.

The aging mechanism and the variation of the fire resistance performance were studied only in the acid corrosion condition. In practical engineering, the fire retardant coatings for outdoor use are affected by a variety of climatic factors, such as temperature, radiation, oxidation, etc. All of these factors will cause the physical, chemical, and fire resistance properties to become worse.

It should be pointed out that the samples investigated in this study were without top coating, but in practice, intumescent coatings may be top coated to protect them from environmental damage. Their durability will be much better.
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