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Dye-Tracing Plan for Verifying the Kansas River Time-of-Travel Model

By Chantelle A. Davis, Bradley S. Lukasz, and Madison R. May

Abstract

The Kansas River provides drinking water for multiple cities in northeastern Kansas and is used for recreational purposes. Thus, improving the scientific knowledge of streamflow velocities and traveltimes will greatly aid in water-treatment plans and response to critical events and threats to water supplies. Dye-tracer studies are usually done to enhance knowledge of transport characteristics, which include streamflow velocities, traveltimes, and dispersion rates, within a river system. To achieve this in the Kansas River, rhodamine water-tracing dye is planned to be injected into the Kansas River during three different flow ranges at three locations: Manhattan, Topeka, and Eudora. The primary purpose of doing a dye-tracer study in the Kansas River is to calibrate a time-of-travel model used for estimating streamflow velocities and traveltimes, which can be used by the public as well as drinking water suppliers to protect water resources and public-water supplies.

Introduction

The potential of a contaminant in the Kansas River upstream from water users is a perpetual risk. The ability to predict the length of time it will take for a contaminant to reach a specific location is needed for resource managers and municipal water suppliers to mitigate risk. The Kansas River provides drinking water for multiple cities in northeastern Kansas and is used for recreational activities. Knowledge of streamflow velocities and traveltimes will greatly aid in proactive adjustment of water-treatment plans and public notice of unsafe water-quality conditions. Although hydraulic models are available to estimate traveltimes and concentrations, these models require detailed information about channel and flow characteristics, lack verification data, and do not use real-time streamflow data from the U.S. Geological Survey for improving time-of-travel estimates.

Regression equations were developed to estimate streamflow velocities, instream traveltimes, and contaminant concentrations as a solute disperses through a river reach (Jobson, 1999). These equations are based on time-of-travel data from several dye-tracer studies performed throughout the United States, described in Jobson’s 1999 report, that do not require site- or channel-specific data about the channel shape or roughness parameters. The regression equations (Jobson, 1999) provide additional velocity estimates (maximum probable velocity) that can provide estimates for “worst-case scenarios” where traveltimes are faster and peak concentrations are higher than expected. Models that implement regression equations from Jobson (1999) should be validated with measured velocities. Dye-tracer studies are a preferred and common method for validating such models. Multiple dye-tracer studies covering a range of discharge values can be used to validate and (or) calibrate any model developed for the Kansas River that uses regression equations to estimate traveltimes.

Although other studies (McCarthy, 2006, 2009; Whiteman, 2012) determined that equations from Jobson (1999) provide reasonable estimates of streamflow velocities used to calculate traveltimes, preliminary analyses of the Kansas River indicate traveltime discrepancies, on the basis of channel slope, between Jobson’s equations and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Hydrologic Engineering Center’s River Analysis System transport models, necessitating further validation. Dye-tracer studies are usually used to develop or calibrate transport models for predicting instream traveltimes (Kilpatrick and Wilson, 1989). The U.S. Geological Survey in cooperation with Kansas Water Office, Kansas Department of Health and Environment, The Nature Conservancy, City of Topeka, Johnson County WaterOne, City of Manhattan, and City of Olathe developed a dye-tracing plan for verifying the Kansas River time-of-travel model.

Purpose and Scope

The purpose of this report is to detail a strategic plan for a dye-tracer study in the Kansas River using rhodamine water-tracing (RWT) dye. This report can also be used to supplement any press releases or media advisories made before beginning a planned dye-tracing study in the Kansas River.
Description of Study Area

The study area encompasses the lower Kansas River, beginning near Manhattan, Kansas, and terminating near Lake Quivira, Kans. (fig. 1). The Kansas River and its associated alluvial aquifer provide drinking water for about 800,000 people in northeastern Kansas (Graham and others, 2018). Other uses of the Kansas River include recreation, aquatic-life support, food procurement, groundwater recharge, and agricultural uses (Graham and others, 2018). Low-head dams are at Topeka, Tecumseh, and Lawrence; and near Lake Quivira. This planned study is focused on the Kansas River and does not consider the time-of-travel near the dams but rather their overall effect on the Kansas River.

Methods

The Kansas River dye-tracer study is planned to be performed at three different streamflow regimes to determine travel times, streamflow velocities, and longitudinal dispersion rates to calibrate and validate the time-of-travel model. Methods planned for flows, dye injections, and dye measurements are described in this section.

Flows

Three different streamflow rates are planned to be tested, which will decrease the standard error for the estimated travel times, to identify changes in traveltimes associated with streamflow rate. Because of the uncertainties of flow dynamics around these dams, the elevations of the dam crests were used to determine the streamflow ranges in which to perform the dye-tracer study.

Dye tracers are usually used for time-of-travel studies because the dyes are water soluble and behave in the same manner as the water in the stream (McCarthy, 2009). A measure of the movement of the tracer will, in effect, be a measure of the movement of the water particles in the stream and of its dispersion characteristics (Kilpatrick and Wilson, 1989). By continuously measuring dye-tracer concentrations downstream from the injection point, a time-concentration curve can be developed for each sampling point to define the movement of a soluble contaminant (Kilpatrick and Wilson, 1989). Theoretical characteristics of a time-concentration curve are shown in figure 2. RWT is the dye commonly used in dye-tracer studies because it is water soluble, highly detectable, strongly fluorescent, nontoxic in low concentrations, and relatively inexpensive compared to other tracers (Wilson and others, 1986). Having overlapping injection and sampling points is recommended when dealing with long travel times, limitations on dye concentrations at withdrawal points, tributary inflows, and (or) changes in streamflow. Having overlapping injection and sampling points allows for multiple time-concentration curves at some sites, which can enhance the knowledge of dispersion characteristics. All sub-reaches do not have to be injected and sampled on the same day; however, it is ideal to begin at the downstream subreach and move upstream when the downstream plume is no longer present. However, the number of injections per experimental run that will be able to take place will depend on flow conditions at the time of the injection. Typically, dye is injected at multiple streamflows to characterize velocities and dispersion rates over a range of flows to increase accuracy of model estimations. A press release or media advisory is planned to be distributed before each dye injection to notify the public.

Dye Injections

For this strategic plan, RWT dye will be injected into the Kansas River during three different streamflow ranges at three locations: Manhattan, Topeka, and Eudora, Kans. (fig. 1). Planned dye-injection amounts are presented in tables 1, 2, and 3; injection amount is based on streamflow per site using the equations presented in Jobson (1999). The Manhattan to Lecompton section is the longest reach, resulting in the higher RWT injection required to be detected at the downstream monitoring points. Conversely, Topeka and Eudora injections are for much smaller reaches and require much less RWT to be detected at the monitor locations. Each injection is planned to consist of the total amount of dye to be injected divided evenly among three equidistant points representing the cross section of the injection point. Injection at these points in the cross section is planned to happen nearly simultaneously, thereby minimizing the amount of time and distance required for the dye to become assimilated. Although RWT is a highly fluorescent dye that may temporarily make the Kansas River appear red, purple, or brown, it is harmless to people, plants, and animals at the concentrations being used in this study (Stern and others, 2001). Targeted concentrations for this study are 50 percent of the recommended concentration, which is 10 micrograms per liter (Wilson and others, 1986), or less at the municipal intakes, which are located at Topeka, Lawrence, and Lake Quivira.

Dye Measurements

The concentration of the dye will be measured downstream from the injection sites with submersible optical fluorometers. A fluorometer is an instrument that measures the luminescence of a fluorescent substance (Kilpatrick and Wilson, 1989). The fluorometers planned to be used in this study are the Turner Design’s (San Jose, California) Cyclops 7F Rhodamine Dye Logger sampling instruments. The sensors can be used in an unattended Rhodamine Dye Logger (Cyclops–7), as well as in a Spot Sampling Rhodamine Dye Tracer System (handheld). These sensor types require calibration before deployment using standards of zero microgram per liter RWT and 200 micrograms per liter. The Cyclops–7 can
Figure 1. Study area, lower Kansas River, northeastern Kansas.
collect readings at 1-minute intervals (Turner Designs, 2015), and the handhelds can be used to collect readings when the discrete samples are collected.

Fluorometers also are planned to be deployed at predetermined locations downstream from the injection sites to collect readings of fluorescence at 1-minute intervals; these collected fluorometer data will be used to determine RWT dye concentration. In addition to monitoring fluorescence using the autonomous and handheld fluorometers, discrete grab samples need to be collected and analyzed to confirm proper operation of the fluorometers. These grab samples will be collected at a targeted frequency to best capture the changing concentrations. The discrete samples will be collected using a weighted bottle sampler following methods in Rasmussen and others (2014). The grab samples will be collected from the centroid of flow and stored in coolers to avoid degradation from sunlight (McCarthy, 2009). The samples will be analyzed in near-real time with a handheld fluorometer, as well as analyzed in the laboratory using a Turner Design-700 benchtop fluorometer, using the standard method for RWT analysis (Turner Designs, 1998). Readings taken in the field using the handheld fluorometers will be compared with predicted concentrations, thereby indicating if concentrations are to exceed the values described in tables 1–3. If the real-time concentration readings are higher than predicted, public-water suppliers who may be affected will be contacted based on the communication plan (appendix 1). Laboratory analysis is necessary to determine RWT concentrations to a higher degree of precision than is measurable using the handheld fluorometers.

**Trial Run**

Before the planned full-scale injections described in tables 1–3 are done, a trial run is planned in the reach of the Kansas River from Eudora to Lake Quivira. The Eudora to Lake Quivira reach was selected for the trial run because of the flexibility of the municipal water plant, WaterOne, to adjust their intake source. The purpose of the trial run is to follow methods described in this report and determine the minimum number of sensor locations necessary in a cross section for obtaining a representative reading of RWT dye. Additional data collected during the trial run can be used to determine the lower limit of detection of RWT dye in the stream, which may allow for a decrease in the planned RWT dye injection amounts. During the trial run, more sensors are planned to be
Table 1. Planned injection amounts of rhodamine water-tracing dye (at 20-percent stock solution) at each injection site and the predicted rhodamine water-tracing dye concentrations per downstream monitoring location for a streamflow near 2,000 cubic feet per second, Kansas River, northeastern Kansas.

[RWT, rhodamine water-tracing]

| RWT dye injection point and amount | Downstream monitoring location     | Predicted peak RWT dye concentration, in micrograms per liter | Time to leading edge of RWT plume, in hours | Time to peak of RWT plume, in hours | Time to RWT trailing edge, in hours |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Manhattan, Kansas, 6.5 liters     | Wamego, Kansas                    | 14.32                                                       | 13.2                                        | 14.9                              | 19.3                              |
|                                   | Belvue, Kansas                    | 8.80                                                        | 20.9                                        | 23.5                              | 29.8                              |
|                                   | Rossville, Kansas                 | 6.21                                                        | 30.4                                        | 34.1                              | 42.2                              |
|                                   | Topeka, Kansas—Highway 75         | 4.91                                                        | 38.8                                        | 43.6                              | 53.3                              |
|                                   | Topeka, Kansas—Water plant        | 4.88                                                        | 39.1                                        | 44.0                              | 53.6                              |
|                                   | Topeka, Kansas—K–4 Highway        | 4.27                                                        | 43.5                                        | 48.9                              | 59.3                              |
|                                   | Lecompton, Kansas                 | 3.32                                                        | 53.9                                        | 60.6                              | 73.5                              |
| Topeka, Kansas, 3.5 liters         | Lecompton, Kansas                 | 7.00                                                        | 10.4                                        | 11.7                              | 15.4                              |
|                                   | Lawrence, Kansas—Bowersock Dam    | 4.27                                                        | 18.3                                        | 20.6                              | 26.3                              |
|                                   | De Soto, Kansas                   | 2.62                                                        | 31.7                                        | 35.6                              | 44.2                              |
| Eudora, Kansas, 4 liters           | De Soto, Kansas                   | 9.73                                                        | 7.7                                         | 8.6                               | 11.5                              |
|                                   | Lake Quivira—Water plant          | 4.77                                                        | 17.9                                        | 20.1                              | 25.6                              |

Table 2. Planned injection amounts of rhodamine water-tracing dye (at 20-percent stock solution) at each injection site and the predicted rhodamine water-tracing dye concentrations per downstream monitoring location for a streamflow near 5,000 cubic feet per second, Kansas River, northeastern Kansas.

[RWT, rhodamine water-tracing]

| RWT dye injection point and amount | Downstream monitoring location     | Predicted peak RWT dye concentration, in micrograms per liter | Time to leading edge of RWT plume, in hours | Time to peak of RWT plume, in hours | Time to RWT trailing edge, in hours |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Manhattan, Kansas, 9 liters        | Wamego, Kansas                    | 11.93                                                       | 7.7                                         | 8.7                               | 11.1                              |
|                                   | Belvue, Kansas                    | 7.90                                                        | 12.4                                        | 14.0                              | 17.3                              |
|                                   | Rossville, Kansas                 | 5.93                                                        | 18.3                                        | 20.6                              | 24.9                              |
|                                   | Topeka, Kansas—Highway 75         | 4.96                                                        | 23.8                                        | 26.7                              | 31.8                              |
|                                   | Topeka, Kansas—Water plant        | 4.93                                                        | 24.0                                        | 27.0                              | 32.1                              |
|                                   | Topeka, Kansas—K–4 Highway        | 4.55                                                        | 26.9                                        | 30.2                              | 35.8                              |
|                                   | Lecompton, Kansas                 | 3.65                                                        | 34.0                                        | 38.2                              | 45.1                              |
| Topeka, Kansas, 5.5 liters         | Lecompton, Kansas                 | 7.59                                                        | 7.1                                         | 7.9                               | 10.3                              |
|                                   | Lawrence, Kansas—Bowersock Dam    | 4.56                                                        | 12.5                                        | 14.1                              | 17.5                              |
|                                   | De Soto, Kansas                   | 3.01                                                        | 21.6                                        | 24.3                              | 29.4                              |
| Eudora, Kansas, 5.5 liters         | De Soto, Kansas                   | 9.10                                                        | 5.2                                         | 5.9                               | 7.8                               |
|                                   | Lake Quivira—Water plant          | 4.75                                                        | 12.4                                        | 13.9                              | 17.4                              |
### Table 3. Planned injection amounts of rhodamine water-tracing dye (at 20-percent stock solution) at each injection site and the predicted rhodamine water-tracing dye concentrations per downstream monitoring location for a streamflow near 10,000 cubic feet per second, Kansas River, northeastern Kansas.

| RWT dye injection point and amount | Downstream monitoring location     | Predicted peak RWT dye concentration, in micrograms per liter | Time to leading edge of RWT plume, in hours | Time to peak of RWT plume, in hours | Time to RWT trailing edge, in hours |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Manhattan, Kansas, 12 liters      | Wamego, Kansas                    | 11.76                                                       | 5.7                                        | 6.5                               | 8.2                               |
|                                   | Belvue, Kansas                    | 7.96                                                        | 9.2                                        | 10.4                              | 12.8                              |
|                                   | Rossville, Kansas                 | 5.95                                                        | 13.7                                       | 15.4                              | 18.4                              |
|                                   | Topeka, Kansas—Highway 75         | 4.93                                                        | 17.7                                       | 19.9                              | 23.5                              |
|                                   | Topeka, Kansas—Water plant        | 4.90                                                        | 17.9                                       | 20.1                              | 23.6                              |
|                                   | Topeka, Kansas—K–4 Highway        | 4.57                                                        | 20.0                                       | 22.5                              | 26.3                              |
|                                   | Lecompton, Kansas                 | 3.66                                                        | 25.2                                       | 28.3                              | 32.9                              |
| Topeka, Kansas, 7 liters          | Lecompton, Kansas                 | 6.92                                                        | 5.1                                        | 5.8                               | 7.5                               |
|                                   | Lawrence, Kansas—Bowersock Dam    | 4.54                                                        | 9.2                                        | 10.3                              | 12.8                              |
|                                   | De Soto, Kansas                   | 3.02                                                        | 16.1                                       | 18.1                              | 21.7                              |
| Eudora, Kansas, 7.5 liters        | De Soto, Kansas                   | 9.16                                                        | 4.0                                        | 4.5                               | 6.0                               |
|                                   | Lake Quivira—Water plant          | 4.81                                                        | 9.4                                        | 10.6                              | 13.2                              |

deployed than during the full-scale injections to verify the centroid of flow is representative of the cross section of the river, and more discrete sampling intervals also are planned to be tested. The trial run will be useful to inform possible changes in the remainder of the study on sensor placement, sampling intervals, and other factors. Plans for the trail run consist of injection of RWT dye at Eudora, monitoring at the DeSoto and Lake Quivira locations, and discrete sampling for laboratory verification of RWT dye concentrations.

### Communication Plan

More than 800,000 people rely on the Kansas River for drinking water, and many industrial and agricultural companies rely on the Kansas River as well. Hence, the following communication plan has been developed. In the unlikely event that an issue arises during the study, the U.S. Geological Survey will notify the Kansas Water Office, Kansas Department of Health and Environment, The Nature Conservancy, City of Topeka, Johnson County WaterOne, City of Manhattan, and City of Olathe. The public will be notified of any issues that may be of concern. Questions or concerns can be addressed by contacting agencies in appendix 1. In addition to close communication with cooperators, multiple press releases or media advisories are planned before each experimental run, as well as postings of information at boat ramps and other river-access points.

### Summary

Dye-tracer studies are usually used to develop or calibrate transport models for predicting instream travel times. The U.S. Geological Survey in cooperation with Kansas Water Office, Kansas Department of Health and Environment, The Nature Conservancy, City of Topeka, Johnson County WaterOne, City of Manhattan, and City of Olathe developed a dye-tracing plan for verifying the Kansas River time-of-travel model. This study is planned to improve the scientific knowledge of streamflow velocities and travel times in the Kansas River and may greatly aid in water-treatment plans and response to critical events and threats to water supplies. The results of the planned dye-tracer study can be used to determine travel times, streamflow velocities, and longitudinal dispersion rates in the lower Kansas River. The strategic plan for this study describes how rhodamine water-tracing (RWT) dye is planned to be injected into the Kansas River during three different streamflow rates at three locations: Manhattan, Topeka, and Eudora, Kansas. The concentration of the dye will be measured at downstream locations using fluorometers and discrete sampling. RWT dye is planned for use in this study because it is water soluble, is nontoxic, and can be detected at low concentrations. U.S. Geological Survey procedures for dye tracing call for the concentration of RWT dye to be no more than 10 micrograms per liter at municipal water intakes; this study plan will adhere to those procedures. A press release or media advisory are planned to be distributed before each dye injection.
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Appendix 1. Communication Plan

The presented communication plan (see table 1.1 and chart) offers contact information useful during the time of the dye-tracing experiment. Communication throughout the event is known to be critical for preparing the stakeholders and general public along the affected reach of the river, addressing public concerns regarding visually changing the color of the river, and presenting the benefit of such a study to the public.

Table 1.1. Communication plan.

| Person              | Role                                               | Purpose of communication                                                                 |
|---------------------|----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Chantelle Davis     | Principal Investigator, U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) | Communicates the progress of the study to the project manager including readings of rhodamine water-tracing (RWT) dye. |
| Craig Painter       | Project Manager, USGS                             | Central point of contact, liaison between the field crew and the stakeholders or other public interests. Addresses media upon request. Contacts public water supply operators in the affected reach of the stream to provide updates to scheduling of the experiment, readings of RWT from the field as it travels downstream, and answers questions from the public water suppliers while the experiment is underway. |
| Andy Ziegler        | USGS Kansas Water Science Center Director          | Higher level questions about the overall organization that may come up during the experiment. |
| Local public        | Provide safe drinking water with their jurisdiction | Answer questions from the public related to their specific water treatment facilities. |
| water suppliers     |                                                    |                                                                                         |
Dye-Tracing Plan for Verifying the Kansas River Time-of-Travel Model

**1 week prior to dye injection**
- U.S. Geological Survey notifies stakeholders of upcoming experiment. Press release notification is sent to media. Signs are posted at boat ramps and parks adjacent to the Kansas River in the reach.
- Project manager will update stakeholders in the affected reach via telephone call, providing contact information and planned date for the experiment.

**Day of dye injection**
- Field personnel will report initial detections of rhodamine water tracing (RWT) dye to project manager.
- Field personnel will report any issues or failures to properly inject dye via telephone call immediately following the injection.
- Upon successful injection of dye, no further communication is necessary at this time.

**Data becomes available in field**
- Project manager will update stakeholders throughout the reach with information pertaining to the initial detection of RWT dye, providing information on project progress.
- Project manager or designee will compare results to the predicted results.
- If peak concentration is less than 200 percent of the predicted concentration, project manager will notify stakeholders via telephone call with a message that in-stream concentrations are within a reasonable bound.
- If peak concentration is greater than 200 percent of the predicted concentration, project manager will notify stakeholders via telephone call with information comparing the predicted concentrations and timing to the actual concentrations being reported from the field.

**Trailing edge passes last monitoring point**
- Field personnel will report initial detection of rhodamine water tracing (RWT) dye to project manager.
- If a failure to properly inject dye occurs, project manager will immediately notify stakeholders via telephone call.
- Upon successful injection of dye, no further communication is necessary at this time.
- Project manager will update stakeholders in the affected reach of the river to provide confirmation that the dye injection will proceed as planned.

**Communication plan chart.**
