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Abstract: Nowadays, the use of sulfur-based ligands to modify gold-based materials has become a common trend. Here, we present a theoretical exploration of the modulation of the chalcogenides-gold interaction strength, using sulfur, selenium, and tellurium as anchor atoms. To characterize the chalcogenide-gold interaction, we designed a nanocluster of 42 gold atoms (Au42) to model a gold surface (111) and a series of 60 functionalized phenyl-chalcogenolate ligands to determine the ability of electron-donor and -withdrawing groups to modulate the interaction. The analysis of the interaction was performed by using energy decomposition analysis (EDA), non-covalent interactions index (NCI), and natural population analysis (NPA) to describe the charge transfer processes and to determine data correlation analyses. The results revealed that the magnitudes of the interaction energies increase following the order S < Se < Te, where this interaction strength can be augmented by electron-donor groups, under the donor-acceptor character the chalcogen–gold interaction. We also found that the functionalization in meta position leads to better control of the interaction strength than the ortho substitution due to the steric and inductive effects involved when functionalized in this position.
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1. Introduction

During the last decade, it has been evidenced a significant increase in the interest of exploring the possibility of adapting and modifying surface properties of metal substrates with applications in several areas of modern technology. Among these, some of the most common are the modifications in the ability of adhesion and friction [1–3] for protection [4], corrosion inhibition [5], catalysis [6], wettability [7], and nanotechnology [8]. An appropriate strategy to modify the surface properties is to prepare self-assembled monolayers (SAM) [9–12]. The SAMs are defined as 2D surfaces semirigid polycrystalline molecules covalently attached to a suitable substrate, giving the surface a new chemical identity [13–16]. Molecules capable of forming SAMs are constituted by three essential parts: a head group that strongly bonds to the substrate; one tail (or terminal) group representing the outer surface; and a spacer separating the head group of the terminal group, which can be either an aliphatic chain or an aromatic moiety or even mixed [17–19].

Heretofore, it is common to have SAMs anchored to coinage metals through a thiol (–SH) as a head group [17,19], probably due to their ease of preparation and stability [5,20–22]. However, very recently, some alternatives have been sought for the anchor atom aiming to replace sulfur (S), where the first candidates are those elements that belong to the same group of chalcogens; specifically, selenium (Se)
and tellurium (Te) [19–32]. This choice seemed to be appropriate if we consider that the affinity of S with Au depends on the electronic structure of the valence shell of the anchor atom [24]. Presently, there is experimental evidence exposing that the stability of SAMs prepared with Se is higher than their analog with S [24–28]. Even though some authors point out that the Se-Au interaction is stronger than S-Au, others indicate that the bond strength of S-Au is higher than their Se analogs generating controversy regarding this subject [33–36]. On the other hand, Te-based SAMs oxidize rapidly after their formation. They have limited stability, despite the strong interaction that this anchor atom can form with the substrate [33]. However, the addition of different functional groups in the meta position of the aromatic ring, for example, could partially protect the Te atom towards oxidation but without significantly affecting its interaction with the gold surface.

The disagreements, as mentioned above, can be attributed to the use of different aliphatic or aromatic systems, where the structural variability among the studies is high in both experimental and theoretical studies [17–19]. However, most of these discrepancies could be solved by a systematic analysis of the effect of the chemical structure of the SAM subunit on the anchor atom. To partially explore the source of these discrepancies, we have recently reported two theoretical studies of systems that describe the nature of the chalcogen interaction with gold substrates, where the chalcogen was in three possible states: protonated, anionic, and radical. In both studies, we used para-phenyl substituted chalcogenide ligands R₃-Ph-E and a nanocluster of 42 gold atoms as a model for the gold surface (111) [35,36]. Our results pointed out that the strongest E--Au interaction is achieved by Te--Au complexes, followed by Se--Au, and finally, S--Au. In all cases, the decomposition of the total interaction energy (ΔE_int) of the E--Au interaction indicated a dominant contribution coming from electrostatic and covalent components, and one-third from dispersive contribution. On the other hand, we found that the anionic state of the ligands is the one able to form the most stable complexes. It was very promising as we also found that the E--Au interaction strength of the anionic state of the ligands can be modulated by the modification of the substituent in para-position of the phenyl system. In that study, we obtained differences in the interaction energy of up to 20 kcal/mol between systems with the same chalcogenide but with a different electron-donor (–NH₂, –OCH₃, etc.) and electron-withdrawing substituents (–NO₂, –CN, etc.). We also performed charge transfer analyses, from which we found that the magnitudes of charge transferred decreases in the order Te > Se > S, which agrees with the data observed experimentally [36]. One point that has not been explored to this date in the area of gold SAMs using chalcogen as head and aromatic group as a spacer is the effect that a functional group in the spacer can have depending on its position and how this could be exploited for different applications.

Herein, we aim to determine the role of inductive and steric effects that the R group in ortho and meta positions has in the E--Au interaction strength through an exhaustive computational chemistry study. For this, we used substituents with electron-donor and electron-withdrawing character in either position and focused on the anionic state of the chalcogen. Also, we studied an additional set of multi-substituted ligands for the sake of completeness in the series here studied. Finally, we present a short comparison with our previous results on the para-substituted complexes to provide a complete picture of the E--Au interaction aiming to remark the significant advantages of using an aromatic anchor system for SAM preparation with selenium, which at this date have not been properly exploited.

2. Models, Computation, and Methodology

The system used to model the surface of Au(111) was a closed shell nanocluster of 42 Au atoms (Au₄2) constituted by three layers of 14 atoms each. This nanocluster has proved to be a suitable system to model complex interactions of compounds with gold nanomaterials providing reasonable accuracy to reproduce experimental results [17–19]. As in our previous studies, we incorporate sulfur-, selenium-, and tellurium-based ligands, focused in their anionic state [35,36]. A set of 10 substituents (R = –NH₂, –OCH₃, –CH₃, –H, –F, –Cl, –OCOCH₃, –CF₃, –CN, –NO₂) were chosen to provide a wide range of electron-withdrawing and electron-donor capacities to determine their effects on the E--Au (ΔE_int) interaction strength.
Thereby, each phenyl-chalcogenolate included a series of ligands with the substituent in *ortho* or *meta* positions in the phenyl ring (20 per chalcogen atom), thus providing a whole set of 60 ligands. Afterward, these were compared with their corresponding analogs substituted in *para* positions studied in our previous works [35,36]. To define an upper limit in the increase of the interaction strength driven by electron-donor substituents, we incorporated ligands with two and three –NH₂ in several combinations for the substitutions in the phenyl ring, thus adding nine more ligands to the set. The starting structure of each complex was generated by placing the ligands on the upper face of the Au₄₂ nanocluster (see Figure 1). All geometry optimizations were carried out using the software Turbomole version v.7.0 [37]. From a previous study, we demonstrated that the relaxation of the surface of the cluster due to the interaction with the ligand increases the interaction energy by only 1.6 kcal/mol, but exceedingly increasing the computational time [36]. Besides, the increase was similar for all the tested ligands, thus not affecting the conclusions drawn from the results. Therefore, the gold nanocluster (Au₄₂) was fixed during the optimizations, allowing only the ligand to relax freely on the surface of the cluster, because of the extensive set of ligands here studied (60 mono-substituted and 9 multi-substituted). The calculations were carried out using density functional theory (DFT) in conjunction with the meta-GGA TPSS density functional [38], which has proven suitable to model these types of interactions by previous studies [39,40]. The ligands and the first layer of the nanocluster of Au₄₂ were modeled using the def2-TZVPD [41] basis set while the second and third layers of the cluster were modeled using the def2-SVP basis set [42]. Additionally, Effective core pseudopotentials (ECPs) with 19 valence electrons (VEs) were used for all Au atoms [43,44]. ECPs were also employed in the case of Se and Te [45]. The atoms H, C, N, O, F, S, and Cl were treated with all their electrons. The Grimme dispersion correction [46–48] was used to improve the description of the E···Au interaction and its use is indicated by “DFT-D3”. The resolution of the identity (ri) [49,50] was also used to increase the computational efficiency of the calculations. The evaluation of the E···Au interaction energy was calculated by subtracting the sum of the Au₄₂ nanoclusters and the corresponding ligand from the energy of the complex. To eliminate the basis set superposition error (BSSEs), the calculations of the interaction energies were corrected by the counterpoise correction. We carried out the natural population analysis (NPA) [51] to analyze the charge transfer process taking place in the formation of the study complexes. The energy decomposition analysis (EDA) was performed based on the Morokuma-Ziegler [52] partitioning scheme to obtain a clear picture of the contributions to the E-Au interaction, implemented in the ADF code [53]. This scheme takes into account that the interaction energy could decompose into an orbital and steric contribution (ΔEₜₑₐ = ΔEₗₑₛₜₐₜ + ΔEₚ₞ₜₐₗ) with an orbital contribution as a dominant stabilizing factor due to its covalent character, while the steric factor appears to destabilize. The latter is calculated as the sum of the electrostatic interaction (ΔEₑₛₜₐₜ), which stabilizes, and the Pauli repulsion (ΔEₚₚₜₗ) destabilizer and principle contribution to the steric interaction. The TZP/TPSS was used, accompanied by the two component zero-order regular approximation (ZORA) [54] Hamiltonian to take the relativistic effects into account. Finally, for some selected cases in which it was necessary a clear picture of the contribution and topology of the non-covalent interactions, we calculated the non-covalent interaction index (NCI) [55,56]. From this analysis, it is possible to obtain a representation in real space of the non-covalent interactions taking place between the ligands and Au₄₂; also, distinguishing between attractive and repulsive interactions. For interpretative purposes, the regions of the surface colored in blue denote strong stabilizing interactions, green indicates weak interactions usually associated with van der Waals interactions, and the colored in red are indicative of repulsive interactions. This type of analysis is also complementary to the SAPT approach for gold molecules [57].
3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Binding Mode Conformation

The absorption of the anion ligands substituted in the meta phenyl position on the surface of the Au₄₂ clusters Rₘ–Ph–E⁻…Au₄₂ (E: S, Se, and Te) is mainly driven by the interaction between the chalcogen atom and two gold atoms from the surface. The anchor atom E is positioned above the Au–Au bond at the center of the cluster adopting a bridge conformation. The distances between the chalcogen and each of the gold atoms are indicated by D₁ and D₂, where the former corresponds to the shorter E-Au distances and the latter to the largest. The Au–E–Au angle is defined as α, and the inclination of the plane containing the α angle concerning the Au(111) plane is the β angle. These parameters are described and summarized in Figure 2. The complete list of geometric data of the systems formed between the Au₄₂ clusters and the anion ligands R–Ph–E⁻…Au₄₂ are listed in Tables S1–S6 of the supporting information.
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For analysis purposes, we have calculated the average values of $D_1$ and $D_2$ distances and the standard deviation to determine the degree of variation among the series. The shallow values obtained for the standard deviation between these systems indicate that the structural configuration of the complexes is not affected by the electron donor or withdrawing character of the substituent. The interaction distances were very similar to the previously obtained for the systems para-substituted [35,36], showing that the change in the position of the substituent does not affect the interaction region. The values of $D_1$ and $D_2$ distances for the three chalcogens were as follows: 2.63 and 2.54 Å with S; 2.65 and 2.60 Å with Se; and 2.70 and 2.72 Å with Te, exposing that Se and Te arrived at more symmetrical interaction configurations, while S seems to interact strongly with one of the Au atoms. The resulting E-C distances for the meta substituted ligands were 1.79, 1.96, and 2.17 Å, for S, Se, and Te, respectively, following the increase of the chalcogen size. The angle $\alpha$ decreases as it passes from S to Se and then Te, which is also a consequence of the rise in the size of the chalcogen. The evolution of the $\beta$ angle between the series indicates that the ligand $R_{\text{m}} \cdot \text{Ph} \cdot E^-$ arranges more parallel to the surface of the $\text{Au}_{42}$ clusters as we change the chalcogen in the order S$\rightarrow$Se$\rightarrow$Te.

The systems $R_{\text{o}} \cdot \text{Ph} \cdot E^-$...$\text{Au}_{42}$ with the substituent R located in the ortho phenyl position showed the same conformation of interaction with distances $D_1$ and $D_2$ of 2.67 and 2.55 Å for S, 2.62 and 2.61 Å for Se, and 2.70 and 2.72 Å for Te. As with the previous cases with R in meta position, the values of the standard deviation shown in Figure 2 indicate that the structural conformation of the complexes is not affected by the character of the substituent. The E-C distances, together with $\alpha$ and $\beta$, angles showed the same trends as the systems with R in meta position. At this point, it is possible to generalize that independent of the substituent position or the chalcogen, the anionic form of this SAM subunit adopts a bridge conformation when interacting with gold substrates.

3.2. Interaction Strength Analysis

The interaction energies for the complexes $[R_{\text{m}} \cdot \text{Ph} \cdot E^-...\text{Au}_{42}]^-$ (E = S, Se y Te) with R in the meta position are listed in Table 1. The three series of complexes presented the highest interaction energy when the phenyl group was functionalized with $-\text{NH}_2$, with $-90.1$, $-95.8$, and $-105.0$ kcal/mol, for S, Se, and Te, respectively. Meanwhile, the lowest interaction energy for the three chalcogens was obtained with $-\text{CN}$. According to this, the ranges of variation between the highest and the lowest interaction energy were 16.5 for S, 16.0 for Se, and 14.6 kcal/mol for Te. The contribution of the dispersion forces to this interaction within the series was $\sim30$–$40\%$ of the total interaction energy. Despite the significant contribution of the dispersion forces, there is a dominant covalent contribution to the Au-E interaction, which comprises 60–70% of the total interaction strength. To obtain insights about the relevance of the electron-donor or acceptor character on the interaction of the ligand with the gold substrate, the $\Delta E_{\text{int}}$ was plotted as a function of the sigma Hammett for meta substituted phenyl rings ($\sigma_m$). In this particular context, we use the sigma Hammett constants to determine if there is a correlation between the binding process and the nature of the substituents. The results show a close-to-linear behavior, whose linear fit provided a Pearson correlation coefficient (R) of 0.94 for the three chalcogens. From the set of ligands, $-\text{OCH}_3$ and $-\text{NO}_2$ seem to fall out of the trend, and their removal from the linear fit increased the correlation coefficient R to 0.97 for S and Se; while for Te increased to 0.96 as shown in Figure 3. The plots with the complete set of data are presented in Figure S1. The removal of the $-\text{NO}_2$ ligand is justified by the fact that this ligand incorporates an additional contribution to the interaction strength, and its interaction strength is not purely due to the chalcogen-gold interaction as observed for the rest of the ligands included in this study. For the $-\text{OCH}_3$ ligand, we will see in the following section how the particular ability of the substituent to adapt its conformation favors the interaction energy and the covalent contribution. For the ligand with $-\text{NO}_2$, it was expected to have the lowest interaction energy as it has the most electron-withdrawing group; however, its interaction strength was higher than with $-\text{CN}$ as a functional group. This increase to $-\text{CN}$ was due to a higher contribution.
of the dispersion term as exposed from the data in Table 1. In fact, the linear fit of the interaction energies without the dispersion contribution with the complete series has an $R$ value of 0.97, indicative of the disrupting effect of dispersion on the correlation coefficient. Thereby, according to our results, the interaction strength can be modulated to some extent through the use of donor-acceptor effects at the meta position.

Table 1. Interaction energies between $\text{Au}_{42}$ and ortho substituted chalcogen ($E = \text{S, Se, Te}$). The energies listed correspond to the total interaction energies ($\Delta E_{\text{int}}(\text{TPSS-D3})$) and without the dispersion contribution ($\Delta E_{\text{int}}(\text{TPSS})$). The percentage of dispersion term is included. All energies are in kcal/mol.

| $[\text{Au}_{42} \cdot \text{EPH}_{\text{R}}]$ | $\Delta E_{\text{int}}(\text{TPSS-D3})$ | $\Delta E_{\text{int}}(\text{TPSS})$ | % Dispersion |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|
|                                    | S         | Se        | Te        | S         | Se        | Te        | S         | Se        | Te        | S         | Se        | Te        |
| $\text{Au}_{42} \cdot \text{EC}_6\text{H}_4(\text{NH}_2)_o$ | $-87.7$   | $-92.6$  | $-102.2$  | $-55.4$   | $-59.2$  | $-66.6$  | $36.8$    | $36.0$    | $34.8$    | $36.8$    | $36.0$    | $34.8$    |
| $\text{Au}_{42} \cdot \text{EC}_6\text{H}_4(\text{OC})_o$ | $-89.3$   | $-95.1$  | $-105.3$  | $-57.1$   | $-61.2$  | $-69.3$  | $36.1$    | $35.7$    | $34.1$    | $36.1$    | $35.7$    | $34.1$    |
| $\text{Au}_{42} \cdot \text{EC}_6\text{H}_4(\text{CH})_o$ | $-85.1$   | $-90.5$  | $-100.2$  | $-54.4$   | $-58.0$  | $-65.3$  | $36.1$    | $35.9$    | $34.8$    | $36.1$    | $35.9$    | $34.8$    |
| $\text{Au}_{42} \cdot \text{EC}_6\text{H}_5$  | $-83.2$   | $-88.8$  | $-98.5$   | $-55.4$   | $-59.1$  | $-66.7$  | $33.4$    | $33.5$    | $32.3$    | $33.4$    | $33.5$    | $32.3$    |
| $\text{Au}_{42} \cdot \text{EC}_6\text{H}_4(\text{F})_o$ | $-79.5$   | $-85.4$  | $-95.9$   | $-51.4$   | $-55.5$  | $-64.1$  | $35.4$    | $35.0$    | $33.2$    | $35.4$    | $35.0$    | $33.2$    |
| $\text{Au}_{42} \cdot \text{EC}_6\text{H}_4(\text{Cl})_o$ | $-81.3$   | $-87.3$  | $-98.2$   | $-50.0$   | $-54.0$  | $-62.7$  | $38.5$    | $38.1$    | $36.1$    | $38.5$    | $38.1$    | $36.1$    |
| $\text{Au}_{42} \cdot \text{EC}_6\text{H}_4(\text{OCOCH}_3)_o$ | $-77.9$   | $-83.8$  | $-94.3$   | $-43.8$   | $-48.1$  | $-57.2$  | $43.7$    | $42.6$    | $39.4$    | $43.7$    | $42.6$    | $39.4$    |
| $\text{Au}_{42} \cdot \text{EC}_6\text{H}_4(\text{CF}_3)_o$ | $-75.5$   | $-83.3$  | $-94.9$   | $-45.3$   | $-51.7$  | $-61.3$  | $40.0$    | $37.9$    | $35.4$    | $40.0$    | $37.9$    | $35.4$    |
| $\text{Au}_{42} \cdot \text{EC}_6\text{H}_4(\text{CN})_o$ | $-75.0$   | $-81.3$  | $-93.1$   | $-43.6$   | $-48.1$  | $-57.3$  | $41.8$    | $40.9$    | $38.5$    | $41.8$    | $40.9$    | $38.5$    |
| $\text{Au}_{42} \cdot \text{EC}_6\text{H}_4(\text{NO}_2)_o$ | $-76.7$   | $-84.3$  | $-97.8$   | $-42.9$   | $-48.5$  | $-59.5$  | $44.0$    | $42.5$    | $39.1$    | $44.0$    | $42.5$    | $39.1$    |

Figure 3. Plot of the interaction energies of the phenyl-chalcogenolates functionalized in meta (left) and ortho (right) positions versus the Hammett sigma constants $\sigma_{\text{meta}}$ and $\sigma_{\text{ortho}}$.

The interaction energies for the complexes functionalized in the ortho position [$R_0-\text{Ph-}E^-\cdots\text{Au}_{42}$] are listed in Table 2. The systems with S presented interaction energies ranging from $-75.0$ to $-89.3$ kcal/mol, respectively, with a range of variation of 14.3 kcal/mol. Meanwhile, Se showed interaction energies that range between $-81.3$ kcal/mol and $-95.1$ kcal/mol, resulting in a range of variation of 13.8 kcal/mol. The same trend was reproduced with Te, with the lowest and the highest interaction energies of $-93.1$ and $-105.3$ kcal/mol, respectively, where its range of variation was 12.2 kcal/mol. In all three cases, the extreme interaction energies within the range of variation were obtained with $-\text{OCH}_3$ instead of $-\text{NH}_2$, and $-\text{CN}$ in the lowest limit as substituents. Meanwhile, the dispersion contribution was $~32$–$44\%$ across the series, which as in the case of the systems with the substitution in meta, points out the involvement of covalent contribution to the E–Au interaction.
We compared the ligand functionalized with –NO\textsubscript{2} which both complexes showed a strong non-covalent interaction region at the gold–ligand interface with the gold substrate for Se and Te, as the interaction distances increased with these two latter atoms. As observed for the position was analyzed through the correlation between the \( \Delta \text{E}_{\text{int}} \) (TPSS-D3) and without the dispersion contribution (\( \Delta \text{E}_{\text{int}} \) (TPSS)). Percentage of dispersion term is included. All energies are in kcal/mol.

| [Au\textsubscript{42}–EPhR\textsubscript{0}]\textsuperscript{−} | \( \Delta \text{E}_{\text{int}} \) (TPSS-D3) | \( \Delta \text{E}_{\text{int}} \) (TPSS) | % Dispersion |
|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------|
| Au\textsubscript{42}–EPh\textsubscript{4}H\textsubscript{4}(NH\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{m} | –90.1 | –95.8 | –105.0 | –58.7 | –62.5 | –69.4 | 34.9 | 34.7 | 33.9 |
| Au\textsubscript{42}–EPh\textsubscript{4}H\textsubscript{4}(OCH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{m} | –86.5 | –92.1 | –101.7 | –55.7 | –59.5 | –67.0 | 35.6 | 35.4 | 34.1 |
| Au\textsubscript{42}–EPh\textsubscript{4}H\textsubscript{4}(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{m} | –85.9 | –91.5 | –101.1 | –55.6 | –59.6 | –67.3 | 35.3 | 34.9 | 33.5 |
| Au\textsubscript{42}–EPh\textsubscript{5}H\textsubscript{3} | –83.2 | –88.8 | –98.5 | –55.4 | –59.0 | –66.7 | 33.4 | 33.6 | 32.3 |
| Au\textsubscript{42}–EPh\textsubscript{4}H\textsubscript{4}(F)\textsubscript{m} | –79.4 | –85.2 | –95.2 | –51.4 | –55.4 | –63.1 | 35.3 | 35.0 | 33.7 |
| Au\textsubscript{42}–EPh\textsubscript{5}H(CI)\textsubscript{m} | –79.2 | –85.1 | –95.4 | –48.9 | –53.2 | –61.5 | 38.3 | 37.5 | 35.5 |
| Au\textsubscript{42}–EPh\textsubscript{4}H(OCOCH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{m} | –76.1 | –81.9 | –92.1 | –47.0 | –51.3 | –59.2 | 38.2 | 37.4 | 35.7 |
| Au\textsubscript{42}–EPh\textsubscript{4}H(CF\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{m} | –76.6 | –82.7 | –93.0 | –46.9 | –51.2 | –59.3 | 38.8 | 38.1 | 36.2 |
| Au\textsubscript{42}–EPh\textsubscript{4}H(CN)\textsubscript{m} | –73.6 | –79.8 | –90.4 | –44.4 | –49.0 | –57.4 | 39.7 | 38.6 | 36.5 |
| Au\textsubscript{42}–EPh\textsubscript{4}H(NO\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{m} | –74.7 | –80.6 | –91.0 | –43.3 | –47.7 | –56.0 | 42.1 | 40.8 | 38.4 |

As with \textit{meta} substituted ligands, the donor-acceptor character of the functionalization at \textit{ortho} position was analyzed through the correlation between the \( \Delta \text{E}_{\text{int}} \) and the sigma-Hammett for \textit{para} (\( \sigma \text{\textsubscript{p}} \)) substituted phenyl rings, results shown in Figure 3. The use \( \sigma \text{\textsubscript{p}} \) is based on the fact that both \textit{ortho} and \textit{para} positions provide similar resonance effects; however, care should be taken as there are other effects due to the proximity of the \textit{ortho} position with the interaction interface. The calculated correlation coefficients \( R \) were of 0.92, 0.88, and 0.75 for S, Se, and Te; respectively. These results show a decrease in the relationship between donor-acceptor effects and the interaction strength, which is even lower for Se and Te ligands. These results point out that with the increase in the interaction strength by replacing S with Se or Te, a more significant local effect of the functional group is observed functioning as an indirect indicator of the steric and inductive effects on the interaction energy when functionalized in \textit{ortho} position. These inductive effects can be understood in terms of dipole–dipole and charge–dipole interactions. A qualitative picture of the role of inductive effects is obtained if we assume that electron-withdrawing groups stabilize the negative charge of the chalcogenide anion. The removal of –OCH\textsubscript{3} and –NO\textsubscript{2} from the linear fit increased \( R \) to 0.96, 0.94, and 0.92 for S, Se, and Te; respectively. As observed for the \textit{meta} substituted ligands, the ligand with –NO\textsubscript{2} also has a larger contribution from dispersion than –CN and the rest of the ligands. Among the series, the S-based ligands showed a more considerable dispersion contribution, probably because of the closer proximity with the gold substrate for Se and Te, as the interaction distances increased with these two latter atoms. Therefore, to understand the source of the higher contribution of dispersion with NO\textsubscript{2}, we analyzed its interaction with the gold surface by using a non-covalent index (NCI) considering the S-based ligands functionalized in \textit{ortho} position (highest dispersion contribution), results shown in Figure 4. We compared the ligand functionalized with –NO\textsubscript{2} with the one substituted by –NH\textsubscript{2}, as the latter showed a lower dispersion contribution. The blue region indicates a strong interaction, according to which both complexes showed a strong non-covalent interaction region at the gold–ligand interface between S and two gold atoms, reinforcing the bridge nature of the interaction. However, between the NO\textsubscript{2} group and the gold surface, there exists an additional strong non-covalent interaction that is missing in the NH\textsubscript{2} complex. This effect was not observed for any of the other ligands (data not shown), denoting the exciting ability of the –NO\textsubscript{2} group to interact with the gold substrate. Point aside, the behavior observed for the ligand with –OCH\textsubscript{3} in \textit{ortho} position was not related to the dispersion contribution, being the only possibility a source of the covalent character of the interaction as it will be detailed in the next section.
Figure 4. Graphical representation of the non-covalent interactions between the gold substrate and the phenyl-sulphonate ligands functionalized in ortho with –NO$_2$ and NH$_2$ substituents. (hydrogen—white; carbon—grey; sulfur—yellow; nitrogen—blue and the isovalue used as cutoff was defined at 0.5).

Upon comparing the ranges of variation of the systems functionalized in ortho and meta with those obtained for the systems with the substitutions in para position [35,36] (R$_p$–Ph–E$^-$···Au$_{42}$: 23.8, 21.6, and 19.4 kcal/mol, for S, Se, and Te, respectively), we observed an apparent decrease in what we define as the modulation range. This reveals that the position of the substituent may be chosen according to the application in which this molecular scaffold will be utilized. Finally, the order of the effect of donor-acceptor character on the interaction strength modulation follows the trend para > meta > ortho.

3.3. Energy Decomposition Analysis

To improve the understanding of the role of the substituent in the nature of the interaction between the chalcogen ligands and the gold substrate, we performed an energy decomposition analysis of the interaction energies. It was performed only for the extreme cases represented by the ligands functionalized with –NH$_2$, –OCH$_3$, –CN, and –NO$_2$; data listed in Table 3 for the meta and ortho substituted ligands. Expressing the stabilizing contributions in terms of percentage the identification of the component responsible for the increase in the interaction strength when replacing S by Se or Te. The results reveal that in both meta and ortho substituted ligands the growth of the interaction strength is dominated by an increase in the electrostatic contribution as we replace S with Se or Te, which according to our results, is responsible for the favorable nature of the interaction.
Table 3. Stabilizing contributions to the interaction energy calculated from EDA analysis between the ligands functionalized in meta and ortho position with the gold substrate

| Model System       | % Orb (meta) | % Orb (ortho) | % Elect (meta) | % Elect (ortho) |
|--------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Au42-SC6H4(NH2)    | 37.4         | 37.6          | 62.6           | 62.4            |
| Au42-SeC6H4(NH2)   | 34.8         | 34.7          | 65.2           | 65.3            |
| Au42-TeC6H4(NH2)   | 32.6         | 32.4          | 67.4           | 67.6            |
| Au42-SC6H4(OCH3)   | 37.0         | 37.2          | 63.0           | 62.8            |
| Au42-SeC6H4(OCH3)  | 34.3         | 34.5          | 65.7           | 65.5            |
| Au42-TeC6H4(OCH3)  | 32.3         | 32.7          | 67.7           | 67.3            |
| Au42-SC6H4(CN)     | 37.6         | 38.7          | 62.4           | 61.3            |
| Au42-SeC6H4(CN)    | 33.8         | 34.7          | 66.2           | 65.3            |
| Au42-TeC6H4(CN)    | 31.6         | 32.5          | 68.4           | 67.5            |
| Au42-SC6H4(NO2)    | 36.0         | 37.4          | 64.0           | 62.6            |
| Au42-SeC6H4(NO2)   | 33.9         | 35.1          | 66.1           | 64.9            |
| Au42-TeC6H4(NO2)   | 31.9         | 32.7          | 68.1           | 67.3            |

Through EDA analysis, we also found that for the ortho series, the ligand with –OCH3 presented higher interaction energy concerning –NH2, because the former ligands have a higher total orbital contribution (ΔEorb) (Figure 5). In principle, because of the resonance effect, a higher orbital contribution may be expected for the ortho substituted ligands than for the meta substituted. However, it becomes clear that the presence of the functional group does not allow adopting a closer interaction with the gold substrate and thus interfering with the interaction. Consequently, along with this series, we obtained slightly higher interaction energies for the meta ligands than with ortho ligands. However, the only exception was with –OCH3, something that we attribute to the unique ability of this substituent to rotate and decrease the steric clash with the gold surface, allowing the resonance effect to take part and increasing the orbital contribution as observed from our results.

Figure 5. Comparison of the different components to the covalent contribution to the interaction energies obtained from the energy decomposition analysis of ortho and meta substituted ligands with –NH2, –OCH3, –CN, and –NO2 as functional group.

3.4. Charge Transfer Analysis

When the ligand is in its anionic state, these act as charge donors, while the gold cluster acts as a charge acceptor, property that defines the nature of the interaction. The series of substituents used in this study were selected to determine the extent to which the interaction strength can be modulated by controlling the amount of charge donated by the ligand to the substrate to obtain insights about its relationship with the interaction energy. To explore this specific feature of the interaction process, we have carried out the NPA analysis to quantify the charge transfer process for the \([R_m\text{--Ph}^-\cdots\text{Au}_{42}]^-\) and \([R_o\text{--Ph}^-\cdots\text{Au}_{42}]^-\) complexes. The results obtained are listed in Tables 4 and 5 for meta and ortho.
substituted ligands, respectively. The data is provided as the amount of charge donated to the gold cluster, and the difference of charge in the chalcogen atom before and after the binding to the substrate; the latter aiming to determine the ability of each chalcogen to donate charge and to which extent is affected by the functional group. In general, the amount of charge donated to the substrate correlated to the electron-donor and withdrawing properties of each substituent, where the ligands functionalized with –NH2 was able to transfer the largest amount of charge, whereas those with –NO2 the lowest. However, the increase of charge transfer from the non-substituted ligand (R=H) to the one with –NH2 was of only 0.03e and 0.04e for the meta and ortho S-substituted ligands, respectively; increase that was even lower for Se and Te ligands. This indicates that controlling the increase in charge transfer is not easily modulated. Meanwhile, the decrease of charge transfer from the non-substituted to the ligand with -NO2 involves a reduction in 0.12e and 0.13e for meta and ortho S-substituted ligands, respectively; revealing a higher degree of flexibility concerning the control of decreasing the charge transfer. Regarding the intrinsic properties of the chalcogens, the exchange between chalcogens from S to Se and then Te is followed by a continuous increase in the charge donated to the substrate. These results expose the ability of Se and Te to donate more significant amounts of charge when compared to the commonly used S-based ligands.

Table 4. Quantification of the charge transfer process between the ortho-substituted ligands and the gold-based substrate. These were obtained from the difference between the NPA charges of the complexes and the free fragments.

| Substituent | S  | Se  | Te  |
|-------------|----|-----|-----|
| -NH2        | -0.52 | 0.28 | -0.61 | 0.41 | -0.80 | 0.65 |
| -OCH3       | -0.49 | 0.26 | -0.61 | 0.41 | -0.81 | 0.66 |
| -CH3        | -0.45 | 0.25 | -0.56 | 0.39 | -0.76 | 0.63 |
| -H          | -0.48 | 0.27 | -0.60 | 0.42 | -0.79 | 0.66 |
| -F          | -0.45 | 0.24 | -0.57 | 0.39 | -0.77 | 0.63 |
| -Cl         | -0.49 | 0.23 | -0.56 | 0.36 | -0.75 | 0.61 |
| -OCOCH3     | -0.39 | 0.18 | -0.50 | 0.32 | -0.68 | 0.54 |
| -CF3        | -0.40 | 0.20 | -0.53 | 0.34 | -0.73 | 0.58 |
| -CN         | -0.37 | 0.16 | -0.50 | 0.30 | -0.70 | 0.55 |
| -NO2        | -0.35 | 0.14 | -0.46 | 0.27 | -0.66 | 0.50 |

[a] Values represent the amount of charge donated directly by the chalcogen atom and it was obtained from the difference between the chalcogen charge in the complex and the free ligand.

Table 5. Quantification of the charge transfer process between the meta-substituted ligands and the gold-based substrate. These were obtained from the difference between the NPA charges of the complexes and the free fragments.

| Substituent | S  | Se  | Te  |
|-------------|----|-----|-----|
| -NH2        | -0.51 | 0.27 | -0.62 | 0.42 | -0.82 | 0.67 |
| -OCH3       | -0.48 | 0.27 | -0.60 | 0.42 | -0.80 | 0.66 |
| -CH3        | -0.47 | 0.27 | -0.59 | 0.42 | -0.79 | 0.66 |
| -H          | -0.48 | 0.27 | -0.59 | 0.42 | -0.79 | 0.66 |
| -F          | -0.45 | 0.25 | -0.57 | 0.40 | -0.77 | 0.64 |
| -Cl         | -0.44 | 0.23 | -0.56 | 0.38 | -0.76 | 0.63 |
| -OCOCH3     | -0.42 | 0.22 | -0.54 | 0.36 | -0.74 | 0.62 |
| -CF3        | -0.42 | 0.22 | -0.55 | 0.38 | -0.75 | 0.63 |
| -CN         | -0.39 | 0.21 | -0.53 | 0.36 | -0.74 | 0.61 |
| -NO2        | -0.36 | 0.20 | -0.49 | 0.34 | -0.71 | 0.58 |

[a] Values represent the amount of charge donated directly by the chalcogen atom and it was obtained from the difference between the chalcogen charge in the complex and the free ligand.
An interesting correlation was obtained when analyzing the relationship between the interaction energies and the amount of charge transferred for meta substituted ligands. Because the ligand with –NO$_2$ as a substituent seems to behave differently to the rest of the ligands due to its higher dispersion contribution, this was removed from this analysis. However, the plots with the complete set of data are presented in Figure S2. After linear fitting, we obtained a correlation coefficient $R$ of 0.98 for the three chalcogens as shown in Figure 6, revealing a high degree of correlation between the interaction strength and the amount of charge transferred to the substrate. This result perfectly complements our analysis provided by the energy decomposition analysis. As explained above, the interaction strength is dominated by a rise in the electrostatic contribution to the interaction energy, which is expected to increase with the increase of the difference of charge between the ligand and the gold substrate. Therefore, this difference of charge and thus the electrostatic contribution depends on the amount of charge transferred from the ligand to the substrate. Results provide a detailed picture of the interaction mechanism. Meanwhile, the ortho substituted ligands do not show the same correlation, because there is a steric component involved that prevents a proper approaching of the chalcogen atom to the surface. In addition to the lack of relationship, the meta substituted ligands were able to transfer more charge than the functionalized in ortho, although the differences were low. If we consider the effects of functionalizing in para position from results previously reported [35,36], the position that better allows modulating the charge transfer is the para position, followed by meta, with ortho being the less favorable position to functionalize the ligand.

![Figure 6](image)

**Figure 6.** Plot of the amount of charge transferred to the gold substrate from the phenyl-chalcogenolates functionalized in meta (left) and ortho (right) positions.

### 3.5. Multi-Substituted Selenophenolate Ligands

For the sake of completeness, the final assessment would be to test the effect of multi-substitution. According to our results, the increase of the interaction energy is dominated by the charge transfer process, responsible for the increase in the electrostatic contribution. However, it turned out that there are limitations regarding the rise of the charge transfer using electron-donating groups. Therefore, we focus on the incorporation of one and two additional –NH$_2$ as an electron-donor group in different combinations to determine the ability to modify the interaction strength and somehow to establish an upper limit for this property. For this purpose, we selected selenium-based ligands as these represent the best alternative to sulfur based-ligands, because of their stability and lower toxicity than tellurium for biological applications. The list of ligands and their interaction energies are presented in Table 6. The ligands used for this part of the study are shown in Figure 7.
Table 6. Interaction energies between Au$_{42}$ and multi-substituted selenophenolates. The energies listed correspond to the total interaction energies ($\Delta E_{\text{int}}$(TPSS-D3)) and without the dispersion contribution ($\Delta E_{\text{int}}$(TPSS)). The percentage of dispersion term is included. All energies are in kcal/mol.

| Ligand | $\Delta E_{\text{int}}$(TPSS-D3) | $\Delta E_{\text{int}}$(TPSS) | % Dispersion |
|--------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|
| (NH$_2$)$_{m-m-p}$ | −97.3 | −71.0 | 37.2 |
| (NH$_2$)$_{o-o-p}$ | −96.3 | −68.7 | 40.2 |
| (NH$_2$)$_{m-o-o}$ | −95.3 | −67.0 | 42.4 |
| (NH$_2$)$_{m-m-o}$ | −94.5 | −67.3 | 40.5 |
| (NH$_2$)$_{m-m}$ | −93.0 | −68.7 | 35.3 |
| (NH$_2$)$_{o-p}$ | −92.9 | −68.6 | 35.4 |
| (NH$_2$)$_{m-p}$ | −91.8 | −68.1 | 34.8 |
| (NH$_2$)$_{o-o}$ | −90.7 | −64.7 | 40.2 |
| (NH$_2$)$_{m-o}$ | −87.9 | −62.2 | 41.3 |

Figure 7. Representation of the systems used to assess the effect in the interaction energy of multi-substitution of phenyl-selenophenolate ligands with two and three NH$_2$ functional group.

The complexes formed with the multi-substituted ligands adopted the bridge conformation as expected from our results for anionic chalcogenide ligands. The geometric parameters listed in Table S7 show a generalized increase in the interaction distance compared to the mono-substituted ligand with −NH$_2$. This seems to be a consequence of the increase of the steric effects coming from the larger size of these ligands. In terms of interaction energies (see Table 6), the ligands with three substituents achieved higher interaction energies than the ones with two. However, all of the ligands with two substituents presented lower interaction energies compared with the selenophenolate ligand substituted in meta position with the analogous −NH$_2$, which has interaction energy of $-95.8$ kcal/mol. The highest interaction energy was obtained with the combination meta-meta from the bi-substituted ligands, while the lowest with the meta-ortho. From the tri-substituted, only the combinations ortho-ortho-para and meta-meta-para achieved higher interaction energies than the mono-substituted ligand above mentioned (meta−NH$_2$). However, the increases were only of 0.5 kcal/mol and 1.5 kcal/mol respectively, denoting the difficulties in increasing the interaction strength, it would be necessary for any specific application. The EDA analysis for the multi-substituted ligands, as shown in Table S8 denotes the same behavior with the electrostatic contribution being the dominant stabilizing interaction. The low increase in the interaction energy after the incorporation of new −NH$_2$ groups, was also reflected in the moderate increase in the charge transfer as denoted from the results presented in Table S9. From these data, a maximum increase of 0.14e is found when comparing the ortho-ortho-para and the meta−NH$_2$ ligand.

4. Conclusions

We described of the physicochemical components that contribute to the interaction between phenyl chalcogenolate ligands with gold-based nanomaterials. The nature of this interaction comprises 30–40% of dispersion forces, while the rest corresponds to a covalent contribution dominated by electrostatic interaction. Interestingly, this electrostatic interaction is triggered by the charge transfer
process that takes place during the complex formation. Thereby, the modulation of the amount of charge transfer by using electron-donor or withdrawing groups leads to control of this electrostatic component, and thus of the interaction strength. In this context, functionalization in ortho position involves inductive and steric effects that prevent a systematic control of this interaction. However, the use of systems functionalized in ortho position may act as a protective system against the oxidation of Te-based ligands, which can be advantageous when very high interaction energies are needed for example in the design of electronic devices. The use of multisubstituted ligands proved that there is a limit in which this interaction can be strengthened. On the other hand, there is no substantial advantage in using multi substituted versus monosubstituted ligands in this regard. As a concluding remark, the magnitudes of the interaction strength in terms of the position of functionalization and independent of the chalcogenide followed the trend para→ortho→meta, which could be used for the design of new devices, where Se and Te represent an interesting and promising new alternative to S-based systems.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/2079-4991/10/6/1237/s1, Table S1: Selected geometric parameters for the anionic meta substituted thiophenolate-gold complexes; Table S2: Selected geometric parameters for the anionic meta substituted selenophenolate-gold complexes; Table S3: Selected geometric parameters for the anionic meta substituted telurophenolates-gold complexes; Table S4: Selected geometric parameters for the anionic ortho substituted thiophenolate-gold complexes; Table S5: Selected geometric parameters for the anionic ortho substituted selenophenolate-gold complexes; Table S6: Selected geometric parameters for the anionic multi-substituted selenophenolate-gold complexes; Table S7: Selected geometric parameters for the anionic multi-substituted telurophenolates-gold complexes; Table S8: Energy decomposition analysis of the interaction energies calculated for the multisubstituted ligands. The data is presented as percentages of contribution to the stabilizing covalent component, Table S9: Quantification of the charge transfer process between the multi-substituted ligands and the gold-based substrate. These were obtained from the difference between the NPA charges of the complexes and the free fragments. Figure S1. Plot including the complete series of the interaction energies of the phenyl-chalcogenolates functionalized in meta (left) and ortho (right) positions versus the Hammett sigma constants σ_{meta} and σ_{ortho}. Figure S2. Plot including the complete series of the amount of charge transferred to the gold substrate from the phenyl-chalcogenolates functionalized in meta (left) and ortho (right) positions. All coordinates from the chalcogen-based ligands systems.

**Author Contributions:** S.M.-R. and F.M. conceived, designed of models, performed the calculations, analyzed the results, and wrote the manuscript. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was funded by the Fondo Nacional de Desarrollo Científico y Tecnológico de Chile (grant no. 1181082 and 1180158).

**Acknowledgments:** S.M.-R. and F.M. thank the financial support provided by FONDECYT through projects no. 1181082 and no. 1180158. S.M.-R. also thanks the financial support from NUCLEO UNAB DI-01-19/N.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

**References**

1. Lee, S.; Puck, A.; Graupe, M.; Colorado, R.; Shon, Y.-S.; Lee, T.R.; Perry, S.S. Structure, Wettability, and Frictional Properties of Phenyl-Terminated Self-Assembled Monolayers on Gold. *Langmuir* **2001**, *17*, 7364–7370. [CrossRef]  
2. Houston, J.E.; Kim, H.I. Adhesion, Friction, and Mechanical Properties of Functionalized Alkanethiol Self-Assembled Monolayers. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2002**, *35*, 547–553. [CrossRef] [PubMed]  
3. Jia, J.; Kara, A.; Pasquali, L.; Bendouanan, A.; Sirotti, F.; Esaulov, V.A. On sulfur core level binding energies in thiol self-assembly and alternative adsorption sites: An experimental and theoretical study. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2015**, *143*, 104702. [CrossRef] [PubMed]  
4. Haruta, M. When Gold Is Not Noble: Catalysis by Nanoparticles. *Chem. Rec.* **2003**, *3*, 75–87. [CrossRef]  
5. Daniel, M.-C.; Astruc, D. Gold Nanoparticles: Assembly, Supramolecular Chemistry, Quantum-Size-Related Properties, and Applications toward Biology, Catalysis, and Nanotechnology. *Chem. Rev.* **2004**, *104*, 293–346. [CrossRef]  
6. Hashmi, A.S.K.; Hutchings, G.J. Gold Catalysis. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2006**, *45*, 7986–7996. [CrossRef]  
7. Thompson, D.T. Using gold nanoparticles for catalysis. *Nano Today* **2007**, *2*, 40–43. [CrossRef]  
8. Kang, B.; Mackey, M.A.; El-Sayed, M.A. Nuclear Targeting of Gold Nanoparticles in Cancer Cells Induces DNA Damage, Causing Cytokinesis Arrest and Apoptosis. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 1517–1519. [CrossRef]
9. Pasquato, L.; Pengo, P.; Scrimin, P. Functional gold nanoparticles for recognition and catalysis. *J. Mater. Chem.* 2004, 14, 3481–3487. [CrossRef]

10. Love, J.C.; Estroff, L.A.; Kriebel, J.K.; Nuzzo, R.G.; Whitesides, G.M. Self-Assembled Monolayers of Thiolates on Metals as a Form of Nanotechnology. *Chem. Rev.* 2005, 105, 1103–1170. [CrossRef]

11. Newton, L.; Slater, T.; Clark, N.; Vijayaraghavan, A. Self assembled monolayers (SAMs) on metallic surfaces (gold and graphene) for electronic applications. *J. Mater. Chem.* C 2013, 1, 376–393. [CrossRef]

12. Inkpen, M.S.; Liu, Z.; Li, H.; Campos, L.M.; Neaton, J.B.; Venkataraman, L. Non-chemisorbed gold–sulfur binding prevails in self-assembled monolayers. *Nat. Chem.* 2019, 11, 351–358. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

13. McGuiness, C.L.; Diehl, G.A.; Blasini, D.; Smilgies, D.-M.; Zhu, M.; Samarth, N.; Weidner, T.; Ballav, N.; Zharnikov, M.; Allara, D.L. Molecular Self-Assembly at Bare Semiconductor Surfaces: Cooperative Substrate–Molecule Effects in Octadecanethiolate Monolayer Assemblies on GaAs(111), (110), and (100). *ACS Nano* 2010, 4, 3447–3465. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

14. Lee, H.J.; Jamison, A.C.; Lee, T.R. Surface Dipoles: A Growing Body of Evidence Supports Their Impact and Importance. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2015, 48, 3007–3015. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

15. Sardar, R.; Funston, A.M.; Mulvaney, P.; Murray, R.W. Gold Nanoparticles: Past, Present, and Future. *Langmuir* 2009, 25, 13840–13851. [CrossRef]

16. Mameka, N.; Lührs, L.; Heissler, S.; Gliemann, H.; Wöll, C. Tailoring the Strength of Nanoporous Gold by Functional Gold Nanoparticles for Recognition and Catalysis. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2009, 48, 3447–3465. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

17. Vericat, C.; Vela, M.E.; Benítez, G.; Carro, P.; Salvarezza, R.C. Self-assembled monolayers of thiols and dithiols on gold: New challenges for a well-known system. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2010, 39, 1805–1834. [CrossRef]

18. Pensá, E.; Cortés, E.; Corthey, G.; Carro, P.; Vericat, C.; Fonticelli, M.H.; Benítez, G.; Rubert, A.A.; Salvarezza, R.C. The Chemistry of the Sulfur–Gold Interface: In Search of a Unified Model. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2012, 45, 1183–1192. [CrossRef]

19. Häkkinen, H. The gold–sulfur interface at the nanoscale. *Nat. Chem.* 2012, 4, 443–455. [CrossRef]

20. Zenasni, O.; Marquez, M.D.; Jamison, A.C.; Lee, H.J.; Czader, A.; Lee, T.R. Inverted Surface Dipoles in Fluorinated Self-Assembled Monolayers. *Chem. Mater.* 2015, 27, 7433–7446. [CrossRef]

21. Chen, H.; Heng, C.K.; Puiu, P.D.; Zhou, X.D.; Lee, A.C.; Lim, T.M.; Tan, S.N. Detection of Saccharomyces cerevisiae immobilized on self-assembled monolayer (SAM) of alkanethiolate using electrochemical impedance spectroscopy. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 2005, 554, 52–59. [CrossRef]

22. Li, S.; Luo, Q.; Zhang, Z.; Shen, G.; Wu, H.; Chen, A.; Liu, X.; Li, M.; Zhang, A. Electrochemistry Study of Permselectivity and Interfacial Electron Transfers of a Branch-Tailed Florosurfactant Self-Assembled Monolayer on Gold. *Molecules* 2018, 23. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

23. Weidner, T.; Shaporenko, A.; Müller, J.; Schmid, M.; Cyganik, P.; Terfort, A.; Zharnikov, M. Effect of the Bending Potential on Molecular Arrangement in Alkaneselenolate Self-Assembled Monolayers. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2008, 112, 12495–12506. [CrossRef]

24. Wang, M.; Ren, K.; Wang, L. Iron-Catalyzed Ligand-Free Carbon-Selenium (or Tellurium) Coupling of Arylboronic Acids with Diselenides and Ditellurides. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2009, 351, 1586–1594. [CrossRef]

25. Liotta, D.; Sunay, U.; Santiesteban, H.; Markiewicz, W. Phenyl selenide anion, a superior reagent for the SN2 cleavage of esters and lactones. *J. Org. Chem.* 1981, 46, 2605–2610. [CrossRef]

26. Brenner, A. Direct Oxidative Allylic and Vinylic Amination of Alkenes through Selenium Catalysis. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2013, 52, 8952–8956. [CrossRef]

27. Singh, F.V.; Wirth, T. Selenium-Catalyzed Regioselective Cyclization of Unsaturated Carboxylic Acids Using Hypervalent Iodine Oxidants. *Org. Lett.* 2011, 13, 6504–6507. [CrossRef]

28. Yu, L.; Li, H.; Zhang, X.; Ye, J.; Liu, J.; Xu, Q.; Lautens, M. Organoselenium-Catalyzed Mild Dehydration of Aldoximes: An Unexpected Practical Method for Organonitrile Synthesis. *Org. Lett.* 2014, 16, 1346–1349. [CrossRef]

29. Prasad, C.D.; Balkrishna, S.J.; Kumar, A.; Bhakuni, B.S.; Shrimali, K.; Biswas, S.; Kumar, S. Transition-Metal-Free Synthesis of Unsymmetrical Diaryl Chalcogenides from Arenes and Diaryl Dichalcogenides. *J. Org. Chem.* 2013, 78, 1434–1443. [CrossRef]

30. Shaporenko, A.; Cyganik, P.; Buck, M.; Terfort, A.; Zharnikov, M. Self-Assembled Monolayers of Aromatic Selenolates on Noble Metal Substrates. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2005, 109, 13630–13638. [CrossRef]
31. Nakayama, Y.; Watanabe, K.; Ueyama, N.; Nakamura, A.; Harada, A.; Okuda, J. Titanium Complexes Having Chelating Diaryloxo Ligands Bridged by Tellurium and Their Catalytic Behavior in the Polymerization of Ethylene. *Organometallics* **2000**, *19*, 2498–2503. [CrossRef]

32. Takashima, Y.; Nakayama, Y.; Watanabe, K.; Itono, T.; Ueyama, N.; Nakamura, A.; Yasuda, H.; Harada, A.; Okuda, J. Polymerizations of Cyclic Esters Catalyzed by Titanium Complexes Having Chalcogen-Bridged Chelating Diaryloxo Ligands. *Macromolecules* **2002**, *35*, 7538–7544. [CrossRef]

33. Weidner, T.; Shaporenko, A.; Müller, J.; Höltig, M.; Terfort, A.; Zharnikov, M. Self-Assembled Monolayers of Aromatic Tellurides on (111)-Oriented Gold and Silver Substrates. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2007**, *111*, 11627–11635. [CrossRef]

34. Ossowski, J.; Wächter, T.; Silies, L.; Kind, M.; Noworolska, A.; Blobner, F.; Gnatek, D.; Rysz, J.; Bolte, M.; Feulner, P.; et al. Thiolate versus Selenolate: Structure, Stability, and Charge Transfer Properties. *ACS Nano* **2015**, *9*, 4508–4526. [CrossRef]

35. Miranda-Rojas, S.; Muñoz-Castro, A.; Arratia-Pérez, R.; Mendizábal, F. Theoretical insights into the adsorption of neutral, radical and anionic thiophenols on gold(111). *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2013**, *15*, 20363–20370. [CrossRef]

36. Miranda-Rojas, S.; Salazar-Molina, R.; Kästner, J.; Arratia-Pérez, R.; Mendizábal, F. Theoretical exploration of seleno and tellurophosphenes as promising alternatives to sulfur ligands for anchoring to gold (111) materials. *RSC Adv.* **2016**, *6*, 4458–4468. [CrossRef]

37. Ahlrichs, R.; Bär, M.; Häser, M.; Horn, H.; Kölmel, C. Electronic structure calculations on workstation computers. The program system turbomole. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1989**, *162*, 165–169. [CrossRef]

38. Tao, J.; Perdew, J.P.; Staroverov, V.N.; Scuseria, G.E. Climbing the density functional ladder: Nonempirical meta–generalized gradient approximation designed for molecules and solids. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2003**, *91*, 146401. [CrossRef]

39. Johansson, M.P.; Lechtken, A.; Schooss, D.; Kappes, M.M.; Furche, F. 2D-3D transition of gold cluster anions resolved. *Phys. Rev. A* **2008**, *77*, 53202. [CrossRef]  

40. Goel, S.; Velizhanin, K.A.; Piryatinski, A.; Tretiak, S.; Ivanov, S.A. DFT Study of Ligand Binding to Small Gold Clusters. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2010**, *1*, 927–931. [CrossRef]

41. Rappoport, D.; Furche, F. Property-optimized Gaussian basis sets for molecular response calculations. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2010**, *133*, 134105. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

42. Schäfer, A.; Horn, H.; Ahlrichs, R. Fully optimized contracted Gaussian basis sets for atoms Li to Kr. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1992**, *97*, 2571–2577. [CrossRef]

43. Andrae, D.; Häußermann, U.; Dolg, M.; Stoll, H.; Preuß, H. Energy-adjustedab initio pseudopotentials for the second and third row transition elements. *Theor. Chim. Acta* **1990**, *77*, 123–141. [CrossRef]

44. Igel-Mann, G.; Stoll, H.; Preuss, H. Pseudopotentials for main group elements (IIa through VIIa). *Mol. Phys.* **1988**, *65*, 1321–1328. [CrossRef]

45. Bergner, A.; Dolg, M.; Küchle, W.; Stoll, H.; Preuß, H. Ab initio energy-adjusted pseudopotentials for elements of groups 13–17. *Mol. Phys.* **1993**, *80*, 1431–1441. [CrossRef]

46. Grimme, S.; Antony, J.; Ehrlich, S.; Krieg, H. A consistent and accurate ab initio parametrization of density functional dispersion correction (DFT-D) for the 94 elements H–Rn. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2010**, *132*, 154104. [CrossRef]

47. Hujo, W.; Grimme, S. Performance of the van der Waals Density Functional VV10 and (hybrid)GGA Variants for Thermochemistry and Noncovalent Interactions. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2011**, *7*, 3866–3871. [CrossRef]

48. Grimme, S. Comment on: “On the Accuracy of DFT Methods in Reproducing Ligand Substitution Energies for Transition Metal Complexes in Solution: The Role of Dispersive Interactions” by H. Jacobsen and L. Cavallo. *ChemPhysChem* **2012**, *13*, 1407–1409. [CrossRef]

49. Eichkorn, K.; Treutler, O.; Ohm, H.; Häser, M.; Ahlrichs, R. Auxiliary basis sets to approximate Coulomb potentials. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1995**, *240*, 283–290. [CrossRef]

50. Weigend, F. Accurate Coulomb-fitting basis sets for H to Rn. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2006**, *8*, 1057–1065. [CrossRef]

51. Reed, A.E.; Weinstock, R.B.; Weinhold, F. Natural population analysis. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1985**, *83*, 735–746. [CrossRef]

52. Ziegler, T.; Rauk, A. On the calculation of bonding energies by the Hartree Fock Slater method. *Theor. Chim. Acta* **1977**, *46*, 1–10. [CrossRef]
53. Te Velde, G.; Bickelhaupt, F.M.; Baerends, E.J.; Fonseca Guerra, C.; van Gisbergen, S.J.A.; Snijders, J.G.; Ziegler, T. Chemistry with ADF. *J. Comput. Chem.* 2001, 22, 931–967. [CrossRef]

54. Van Lenthe, E.; Baerends, E.J.; Snijders, J.G. Relativistic total energy using regular approximations. *J. Chem. Phys.* 1994, 101, 9783–9792. [CrossRef]

55. Johnson, E.R.; Keinan, S.; Mori-Sánchez, P.; Contreras-García, J.; Cohen, A.J.; Yang, W. Revealing Noncovalent Interactions. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2010, 132, 6498–6506. [CrossRef]

56. Contreras-García, J.; Johnson, E.R.; Keinan, S.; Chaudret, R.; Piquemal, J.-P.; Beratan, D.N.; Yang, W. NCIPL oT: A Program for Plotting Noncovalent Interaction Regions. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* 2011, 7, 625–632. [CrossRef]

57. Sánchez-Sanz, G.; Trujillo, C.; Alkorta, I.; Elguero, J. Understanding Regium Bonds and their Competition with Hydrogen Bonds in Au2:HX Complexes. *ChemPhysChem.* 2019, 20, 1572–1580. [CrossRef]

© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).