Reviewing the Potential of Sentinel-2 in Assessing the Drought
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Abstract: This paper systematically reviews the potential of the Sentinel-2 (A and B) in assessing drought. Research findings, including the IPCC reports, highlighted the increasing trend in drought over the decades and the need for a better understanding and assessment of this phenomenon. Continuous monitoring of the Earth’s surface is an efficient method for predicting and identifying the early warnings of drought, which enables us to prepare and plan the mitigation procedures. Considering the spatial, temporal, and spectral characteristics, the freely available Sentinel-2 data products are a promising option in this area of research, compared to Landsat and MODIS. This paper evaluates the recent developments in this field induced by the launch of Sentinel-2, as well as the comparison with other existing data products. The objective of this paper is to evaluate the potential of Sentinel-2 in assessing drought through vegetation characteristics, soil moisture, evapotranspiration, surface water including wetland, and land use and land cover analysis. Furthermore, this review also addresses and compares various data fusion methods and downscaling methods applied to Sentinel-2 for retrieving the major bio-geophysical variables used in the analysis of drought. Additionally, the limitations of Sentinel-2 in its direct applicability to drought studies are also evaluated.
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1. Introduction

Although there is no unique definition of drought [1], the term is generally used to refer to a situation or period related to a deficiency of water for a prolonged time where the amount of rainfall is less than the potential evapotranspiration [2]. Drought occurs in both high and low rainfall regions [1]. Its severity and duration are mainly determined by the amount of recorded precipitation, the level of potential evapotranspiration, and the spatial distribution of soil types and vegetation characteristics [3]. Drought in its nature can be meteorological [4], agricultural [5], hydrological [6], or socio-economic [7,8].

Climate change and global warming have intensified the severity and frequency of drought, especially the meteorological drought, by increasing the land surface temperature and evapotranspiration and negatively influencing the precipitation [9]. Irrespective of its classification droughts are interconnected, and one triggers another. For example, the occurrence of meteorological drought often leads to agricultural and hydrological drought [10]. It is highly essential to develop a framework for the prediction and identification of its early warnings [11–13]. The volume of research in this field shows the capability of satellite-derived information in monitoring, quantifying, modeling, and predicting the drought [14,15]. In general, drought-related studies are mainly focused on two aspects. The first aspect is treating it as a natural disaster [16], identifying the major hotspots [17], and evaluating their negative impacts on the natural and human ecosystem [18,19]. The second aspect gives more importance to resilience and mitigation methods to eradicate or minimize its impact [20,21].
Drought is slow to emerge and recede, hence monitoring and quantifying this event is more complex and challenging [22–24]. Initially, the severity and the magnitude are measured based on six physical indicators such as the Palmer Drought Severity Index (PDSI), the CPC Soil Moisture Model, the Daily Streamflow Percentiles, the Percent of Normal Precipitation, the Standardized Precipitation Index [25], and the Satellite-based Vegetation Health Index [26]. Droughts can be very destructive and they are considered the costliest of all-natural events [27] and ranked top among all other natural hazards based on the gravity of their impacts on socio-economic conditions, human life, and spatial coverage [28]. Hence, to monitor and quantify the drought, an advanced mechanism is required to measure the intensity, duration [29], and spatial coverage [30].

According to the IPCC’s Fifth Assessment Report, southern Europe would experience severe and longer meteorological droughts, a similar observation as that made by Chen et al. 2019 [31] for the 21st century where the hydrological droughts may become more severe as a result of increasing evapotranspiration. Agricultural drought puts stress on food production which can cause the onset of other negative impacts on economic, environmental, and social aspects. A large number of methods have been developed over the past few decades for studying agricultural drought based on precipitation, soil moisture, temperature, vegetation index, and other indicators [32–34].

Advancements in remote sensing techniques have paved the way for new developments in drought research [35,36]. For the last few decades, there was a substantial improvement in spatial, temporal, and spectral resolutions in satellite data [37]. The Sentinel-2 can efficiently monitor and estimate the drought-driven land surface changes [38]. The Satellite-derived Perpendicular Drought Index (PDI) can be widely applied in drought analysis [39,40]. Similarly, Sentinel-2 derived PDI was validated with the precipitation anomaly index to assess the drought conditions in the western part of Texas in the year 2017 [31]. A statistically significant negative correlation was observed between PDI and the precipitation anomaly index.

Data fusion methods have overcome the Sentinel-2 thermal band limitation, especially the absence of thermal band limits and its direct applicability on drought to an extent. It was observed that Sentinel-2 data fusion occurred mainly in estimating the drought indicators using the surface energy balance model. In drought-related research, Sentinel-2 has been mainly fused with Landsat-8 [41–44] and Sentinel series (1&3) [45–48]. In a comparative approach with Landsat-8, Sentinel-2 was applied to evaluate the vegetation’s response to varying soil moistures in extreme drought conditions. The Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) derived from Sentinel-2 (10 m) and Landsat-8 (30 m) correlated with soil moisture from five different locations at varying depths. Sentinel-2 outperformed Landsat-8 and showed a significant correlation with soil moisture (<30 cm depth). However, a poor correlation was observed over less vegetated areas. The researchers suggest that a minimum of 30–40% of vegetation cover is required for a better correlation [49].

Remotely sensed bio-geophysical variables provide an ample amount of information in assessing the drought. Absorbed Photosynthetically Active Radiation (FAPAR) is one of such variables and a key quantity in carbon cycle estimation [50,51]. Precipitation, evapotranspiration, and land surface temperature are the key components of drought [52]. To an extent, their variation and influence on physical components of the environment can be measured through various indices such as the normalized difference drought index, the normalized multi-band drought index [53], and the normalized difference water index (NDWI) [54]. Sentinel-2 data was used to delineate the impacts of hydrological drought that happened over Germany in 2018 at a national level. A convolutional neural network-based automated processing chain was applied for extracting the water bodies. Despite varying land and atmospheric conditions, the adopted method produced an overall high accuracy (≥0.95) and Kappa Coefficient (≥0.89). The variations in water extent mapped and a time series map is produced from the changes delineated between 2017 and 2018 data [55].

This paper focuses on reviewing the potential of Sentinel-2 in assessing drought through vegetation characteristics, soil moisture, evapotranspiration, surface water in-
cluding wetland, and land use and land cover changes. Therefore, the present paper is categorized into four sections: introduction; properties of Sentinel-2; progress in remote sensing of drought from a climatological and ecological perspective (remote sensing of vegetation in drought, remote sensing of land use and land cover changes, remote sensing of evapotranspiration, remote sensing of soil moisture, remote sensing of surface water, and wetland analysis); summary and conclusion. To the best of our knowledge, this review paper will be the first of its kind in assessing the potential of Sentinel-2 in drought studies. The following Figure 1. Illustrates the methodological concept used in this review paper.

Figure 1. Methodological concept.

2. Properties of Sentinel-2

Compared to the available remote sensing data including Landsat and MODIS information, the introduction of Sentinel-2, the twin satellite with a higher spatial and temporal resolution, brought revolutionary changes in the field of remote sensing, especially in the observation of land-surface processes [56]. The sentinel-2 mission includes two identical satellites Sentinel-2A and Sentinel-2B. Sentinel-2A was released in November 2015 and Sentinel-2B in March 2017, respectively. Although the MSI (Multi-Spectral Instrument) on both Sentinel-2A and 2B are designed identically, the measured spectral response function recorded a variation especially in B1, B2, B11, and more than 1% for NDVI [57]. Sentinel-2 has a wide range of applications and is generally used for land monitoring, maritime monitoring, emergency management, and security. The spatial resolution of Sentinel-2 varies from 10 m to 60 m and the radiometric resolution of MSI is 12 bit with a combined revisiting time of 5 days. Detailed band information with the associated signal-to-noise ratio is shown in Table 1.

![Table 1. Sentinel-2 band characteristics.](image-url)

| Band No. | Spatial Resolution (m) | Sentinel-2 A | Sentinel-2 B | SNR @ Lref |
|----------|------------------------|--------------|--------------|------------|
|          |                        | Central Wavelength (nm) | Band WIDTH (nm) | Central Wavelength (nm) | Band WIDTH (nm) |          |
| B1       | 60                     | 442.7        | 21           | 442.2      | 21          | 129       |
| B2       | 10                     | 492.4        | 66           | 492.1      | 66          | 158       |
| B3       | 10                     | 559.8        | 36           | 559.0      | 36          | 168       |
| B4       | 10                     | 664.6        | 31           | 664.9      | 31          | 148       |
| B5       | 20                     | 704.1        | 15           | 103.8      | 16          | 117       |
| B6       | 20                     | 740.5        | 15           | 739.1      | 15          | 89        |
| B7       | 20                     | 782.8        | 20           | 779.7      | 20          | 105       |
Table 1. Cont.

| Band No. | Spatial Resolution (m) | Sentinel-2 A | Sentinel-2 B | SNR @ Lref |
|----------|------------------------|--------------|--------------|------------|
|          |                        | Central Wavelength (nm) | Band Width (nm) | Central Wavelength (nm) | Band Width (nm) |          |
| B8       | 10                     | 832.8        | 106          | 832.9      | 106          | 174      |
| B8a      | 20                     | 864.7        | 21           | 864.0      | 22           | 72       |
| B9       | 60                     | 945.1        | 20           | 442.2      | 21           | 114      |
| B10      | 60                     | 1373.5       | 31           | 943.2      | 21           | 50       |
| B11      | 20                     | 1613.7       | 91           | 1610.4     | 94           | 100      |
| B12      | 20                     | 2202.4       | 175          | 2185.7     | 185          | 100      |

Source (https://sentinel.esa.int/web/sentinel/user-guides/Sentinel-2msi/resolutions/radiometric, accessed date: 10 November 2020).

3. Progress in Remote Sensing of Drought from a Climatological and Ecological Perspective

In this section, identification and assessment of drought will be described through analyzing changes in different climatological and ecological variables.

3.1. Remote Sensing of Vegetation in Drought

Vegetation, Earth’s thermometer: the imprints of drought can be read from the vegetation condition [58,59]. As the vegetation is directly connected with drought [60], its health, and changes in distribution pattern are primarily analyzed [61,62]. The vegetation indices are generated from the different band combinations, especially the red and near-infrared, and the NDVI is a fabled index applied extensively in analyzing the response of vegetation to drought.

The Harmonized Landsat-8 and Sentinel-2 (HLS) is a NASA project which aimed to provide daily surface reflectance data generated from the Landsat-8 and Sentinel-2. HLS is widely used for vegetation monitoring, phenology, crop monitoring, and drought-related analysis [63,64]. A combined radar-optical approach was applied to monitor the drought condition in the savanna region. In this approach, Sentinel-2 and Landsat-8-derived phenological information and integrated it with the Sentinel-1-derived soil moisture data. The derived normalized difference vegetation condition and canopy height model was used to measure the vegetation type structure and dynamics concerning the surface soil moisture index [65]. It is essential to monitor the phenological characteristics of vegetation to address the changes in the ecosystem due to climate change. Using a multi-sensor land surface phenology (MS-LSP) algorithm, a thirty-meter continental-scale land surface phenology data was produced from the HLS data [66].

Along with data continuity, the fusion of Sentinel-2 and Landsat-8 provides a greater possibility for monitoring the land surface and cover changes, particularly in drought analysis. The extended super-resolution convolutional neural network (ESRCNN), a deep learning data fusion algorithm used for fusing Sentinel-2 and Landsat-8, can generate 10 m data [67]. In terms of quantitative assessment, data derived from the ESRCNN method outperform the existing area-to-point regression kriging (ATPK) method. Apart from the normal two data fusion method, Nguyen et al. [68] fused Sentinel-2 along with Landsat-7 and 8 in the Google Earth engine cloud platform and produced a surface reflectance output of 30 m image pre-processing techniques including bidirectional reflectance distribution function correction, topographic correction, and band adjustments. The resultant information efficiently measured the seasonal vegetation characteristics in the drought-prone areas of Vietnam and Lebanon. However, the level of accuracy may be subject to varying topographical conditions.

As the susceptibility ratio of vegetation to drought varies, hence it is essential to classify the vegetation to evaluate the impacts of drought. The random forest method was applied to resampled Sentinel-2 data to estimate the Mediterranean forest types and their major phytocoenosis and seasonal phenological characteristics [69]. Similarly, the potential of the Sentinel-2 derived vegetation indices namely NDVI, Carotenoid Reflectance
Index, Anthocyanin Reflectance Index, Red-Green Ratio, Normalized Difference Infrared Index, Short Wave Infrared Ratio, Plant Senescence Reflectance Index, and Soil Adjusted Total Vegetation Index was assessed in extracting the grassland and savanna vegetation states of the North American transect. Hyperion hyperspectral data were used to simulate Sentinel-2, and MODIS data and the Visible Infrared Imaging Radiometer Suite were used for the field validation [70].

Drylands are one of the most climatologically vulnerable areas, which are characterized by varying rainfall conditions with a high level of evapotranspiration. Hence it is essential to monitor and estimate the spatial-temporal vegetation characteristics in the dryland ecosystem. The potentiality of HLS has been assessed in delineating the dryland phenology characteristics. In a novel approach, spectral information was derived from the Sentinel-2 MSI (Multispectral Instrument) and Landsat OLI (Operational Land Imager) using the regression tree model. In several cases, the information derived from the data fusion methods outperformed the single sensor information derived from MODIS and Landsat [71]. Vegetation phenology has a vital role in analyzing the impact of climate change and drought analysis. Since the inception of Sentinel-2, there has been a substantial increase in research towards this direction [72].

For a regional and field level, a high-spatial time series of information is required for continuously monitoring the seasonal variations in drought analysis. The vegetation temperature condition index (VTCI) is a proven index used for estimating drought [73]. VTCI derived from Sentinel-2 and MODIS fusion using Enhanced Spatial and Temporal Adaptive Reflectance Fusion Model (ESTARFM) correlated with cumulative precipitation to assess the drought conditions in Guanzhong Plain of China [74]. Sentinel-2 derived NDVI, fraction canopy cover, leaf area index, canopy water content used to disaggregate MODIS land surface temperature [75]. Vegetation response to drought estimated through Spatiotemporal analysis of NDVI, changes in NDVI values before and during drought period used to quantify the intensity of drought. Following Figure 2 represents the variations of Sentinel-2 derived NDVI before and during the drought in Novi Sad and the neighboring region. The image on the left represents March 2017 and the on the right represents July 2017.

Figure 2. Sentinel-2 derived NDVI before and during the drought.
3.1.1. Leaf Area Index

The leaf area index (LAI) is a major biophysical variable used to assess the vegetation response to drought [76]. The higher spatial and revisiting characteristics of Sentinel-2 ensure data continuity in analyzing the LAI. Korhonen et al. [77] compared the efficiency of the Sentinel-2A red-edge band with Landsat-8 OLI data in estimating the forest canopy cover, effective canopy cover, and LAI. Lidar and field data were also assessed to derive the forest canopy cover, effective canopy cover, and LAI, and generalized additive models were applied to relate with spectral indices. The research concluded that compared to Landsat-8, Sentinel-2 red-edge band-derived results had marginally better accuracy. However, a negligible difference was registered while computing conventional vegetation indices. Similarly, Sentinel-2 and Landsat-8 were compared for estimating the LAI in the temperate, deciduous broadleaf forests. Field data were collected and linear and multilinear regression models were applied to establish the statistical relation of the derived information. The comparative analysis concluded that except for a marginal difference, the Sentinel-2 (RMSE 0.879) is not superlative to the Landsat-8 OLI (RMSE 0.877) in estimating the LAI of the European broadleaf forest. However, the Sentinel-2 provides better data continuity compared to the Landsat system [78]. Sentinel-2 has been assessed in relation to the estimation of canopy biophysical properties by correlating the PARS model-derived bidirectional reflectance factors along with Sentinel-2 over 962 reference plots. Research highlights a higher correlation in simulated Sentinel-2 bidirectional reflectance factors and the fraction of absorbed photosynthetically active radiation, moreover, band 8 and 4 combinations are highly effective in leaf area estimation [79]. The accuracy of the HLS data was evaluated in crop biomass estimation. LAI derived from MSI and OLI sensors were coupled with a simple soil–water balance model (SAFYE) for estimating the biomass estimation. The derived LAI was calibrated with the measured field LAI. Sentinel-2 derived red-edge band-based LAI exhibits a higher level of accuracy than the near-infrared band-based Landsat data in leaf dry biomass estimation [80]. The potential of Sentinel-2 was assessed in estimating the heatwaves in vinicultural regions of Australia. The nearest neighbor algorithm was applied to downscale the world view to the Sentinel scale and calculated the NDVI. The Pearson’s correlation and Bland–Altman concordance tests were conducted. The red-edge and enhanced vegetation index registered a positive correlation and found reliable vegetation indices to evaluate the heatwave condition on crops [81].

3.1.2. Canopy Water Content

The canopy water content is defined as the volume of water per unit of ground area [82], a major biophysical parameter in drought monitoring [83]. The cropland canopy water content is one of the key factors in estimating agricultural drought. Satellite-based remote sensing techniques can precisely measure the variations in canopy water level at regional and field levels [84]. During the Californian drought, more than 30% of canopy water loss was estimated over 1 million ha forest [85]. Boren et al. [86] proposed a novel radiative transfer inversion model for the cropland canopy water content estimation from the HLS data, minimally constrained inversion and partially constrained inversion techniques were also applied. The derived cropland canopy water content is validated with the field data and the researchers highlighted the potentiality of the HLS data and Sentinel-2 in particular for estimating the cropland canopy water content. Water stress is considered as an early warning of drought which can be identified by closely observing the vegetation characteristics. In the 2019 summer, Marusig et al. [87] studied the drought-induced forest fall-off in the karst plateau. To evaluate the possible drought-induced dehydration and hydraulic failure, Marusig et al. [87] statistically correlated the Sentinel-2 derived (NDVI and NDVI 8A, NDWI), and soil-adjusted vegetation index (SAVI) with filed measured physiological parameters such as absolute water content (AbWC), relative water content (RWC), and minimum water potential (Ψmin). Among other vegetation indices, only NDWI has a significant correlation with physiological parameters and the research concludes the capabilities of NDWI as a proxy for water stress analysis. The water stress
condition over the deciduous species during the summer dry spell was assessed using Sentinel-2 images [88]. The study underlined the importance of high spatial information obtained from the Sentinel-2 such as the moisture stress index, chlorophyll index, and simple ratio vegetation index which improved the quality of the drought assessment studies in fragile ecological regions. Sentinel-2 data was used to analyze the 2017 post-summer Mediterranean forest health conditions in contextual drought and heatwaves. Sentinel-2 derived NDVI is compared with the in situ and meteorological data for the forest damage assessment. The Mannerucci and Raunkiaer methods were applied to assess the vegetation response to climatic events. It was observed that the NDVI declined, compared to the pre-event NDVI values [89]. Lin et al. [90] assess the potential of the Sentinel-2 red-edge band in a gross primary productivity (GPP) estimation. Eight vegetation indices were derived from the Sentinel-2, out of which three indices are non-red edge-based. Sentinel-2 derived GPP compared with MODIS derived light-use efficiency (LUE)-based GPP data, and tower-based incident photosynthetic active radiation, carbon flux data. The research concluded that the GPP produced from the red-edge chlorophyll index coupled with incident photosynthetic active radiation (PAR_{\text{in}}) outperformed other vegetation indices and had a higher correlation with the tower-based GPP (GPP_{\text{EC}}). To quantify the severity of drought impacts on the forest by assessing the variations in photosynthetic activities, in 2017 Puletti et al. [91] compared 118 observational summer sample data with Sentinel-2 derived vegetation indices such as the NDVI, the Red edge Normalized Difference vegetation Index, and the Simple Ration Index. The observational areas are from typical Mediterranean forest environments in Italy, two broadleaf, and one evergreen forest. The research result claims Sentinel-2 derived vegetation index results are statistically best fitted with observational results.

3.1.3. Leaf Chlorophyll Content

The leaf chlorophyll content is inversely proportionate to the intensity of the drought, the variation in leaf chlorophyll content is assessed to analyze the intensity and impact of drought-induced vegetation stress [92]. Satellite information coupled with radiative models, such as the PROSPECT model [93], was mainly used for assessing the chlorophyll content [75]. The spectral properties of Sentinel-2 can efficiently estimate the seasonal variation in leaf chlorophyll content [94,95]. The leaf chlorophyll content was estimated from the temperate forest using the invertible forest reflectance model, a radiative transfer-based model. The derived leaf chlorophyll content was validated and statistically correlated with the field measured leaf chlorophyll content using CCM-300 and wet laboratory analysis. It was observed that the spectral properties of Sentinel-2 outperformed the spatial capabilities of the RapidEye in the leaf chlorophyll content estimation [96]. Similarly, an inversive invertible forest reflectance model based on the forest light interaction model was applied to estimate the needle chlorophyll (C_{a+b}) of the coniferous forest. C_{a+b} derived from airborne hyperspectral images and field data were used for validating Sentinel-2, the red-edge-based chlorophyll index outperformed other indices. The object-based Niblack’s thresholding and Sauvola’s binarization techniques were applied to vegetation segmentation in hyperspectral data. The derived C_{a+b} registered a linear relationship with the inversion model and a nonlinear relationship with the indices [97]. Compared to other onboard bands of Sentinel-2, several studies highlighted the efficiency of the red-edge band in estimating the biophysical parameters, especially in leaf chlorophyll content [98]. Similarly, Gholizadeh et al. [99] modeled the Red-Edge Position (REP) for the forest canopy reflectance and transmittance using the simulated Sentinel-2, HyMap, and resampled radiative transfer modeling. The REP was calculated from Polynomial Fitting (PF), Linear Extrapolation (LE), and 4-Point Linear Interpolation (4PLI) methods and its optimized version of Sentinel-2 and HyMap. The researchers conclude that the REP derived from 4PLI methods outperforms other methods and can efficiently estimate the canopy chlorophyll (Chl × LAI).
3.2. Remote Sensing of Land Use and Land Cover Change in Drought

Drought is one of the major land surface altering agents, its intensity and rate of land surface conversion varies and depends on several factors such as anthropological activities, climatological conditions, and geographical characteristics. Spatio-temporal analysis of land use and land cover will depict the drought-driven land surface changes. With the higher spatial resolution revisiting capabilities, Sentinel-2 can efficiently monitor the changes in land use and land cover [100]. Compared to single sensor information, the land use and land cover estimated from the multi-sensor (Sentinel-1 and 2) using the random forest wrapper approach increased the overall accuracy in the cloud-prone region [101]. Foremost classifiers such as the Random Forest (RF), k-Nearest Neighbor (kNN), and Support Vector Machine (SVM) were compared in classifying land use and land cover from Sentinel-2. It was observed that when the training class is greater than 0.25% of the total study area, the three classifiers recorded a similar and overall higher accuracy (>93.85%). Among other bands, the peculiarities of the Sentinel-2 red-edge band were evaluated in land use and land cover analysis [102]. The random forest, stochastic gradient boosting, and support vector machine methods were applied to HLS data for delineating the land use and land cover changes. The research concluded that the Sentinel-2 red-edge band-derived classification outperformed the results produced from Landsat-8 as well as other bands of Sentinel-2 (5% and 4% respectively) [103]. For a better understanding of drought-driven land cover changes, Eskandari et al. [104] produced 10 m land cover and tree cover data from combining Sentinel-2 with Google Earth information and field data. The reliability of the Google Earth information was assessed with field data and Sentinel-2 treated with a supervised classification algorithm including the minimum distance, Mahalanobis distance, neural network, and support vector machine algorithm. The SVM outperformed other algorithms with an overall accuracy of 81.33% and a kappa index of 0.76. Sentinel-2 data was computed in the Google Earth engine platform for classifying the fallow land from one of the world’s largest dryland regions, the Sahel [105]. Initial reference data produced from the Knowledge Analysis based unsupervised classification techniques used as an input in the random forest classifier for producing the second level enhanced reference data. Finally, the enhanced reference data used in the random classifier was applied to map the 10 m fallow land and cropland of the Sahel region. Spatiotemporal analysis of biocrust and vascular plant cover over the rainfall gradient region of the Negev Desert was assessed using the Sentinel-2. The continuum removal method was applied to the hyperspectral data acquired from the headwall spectrometer to estimate the chlorophyll content information derived from Sentinel-2 [106]. Two indices were proposed, namely the biocrust greenness index and maximum vegetation development index. The proposed indices had satisfactory performance over the northern part of the Negev and poor performance in the south due to a shortage of precipitation and heavy dryness. Pixel R-CNN, a novel method based on recurrent and convolutional neural networks designed to estimate the land cover and crop classification from the Sentinel-2 data. The Pixel R-CNN results show considerable improvement with an overall accuracy of 96.5%, compared with the support vector machine, random forest, Kernel SVM, and gradient boosting machine models [107].

3.3. Remote Sensing of Evapotranspiration in Drought

Evapotranspiration (ET) is defined as the sum of the evaporation and transpiration from the vegetation. Advances in remote sensing technologies enable precise estimation of ET from global to field level under varying topographical conditions. This section focuses only on the ET from the land surface, observational methods, major techniques, and algorithms applied for estimating the ET using Sentinel-2 data and fusion methods. ET is one of the major components in the hydrologic budget and is considered equally with the precipitation in drought studies. In other words, drought can be defined as the imbalance between evaporation and precipitation, the rate of ET decides the intensity and the duration of drought. As the rate of ET has exceeded the precipitation level due to
climate change-driven land surface temperature [108], the upcoming decades may record frequent and extreme droughts. Hence, regional and seasonal variations in ET are closely monitored to estimate the trend and amplitude of drought [109,110]. Advancements in satellite remote sensing techniques have provided new dimensions to the spatial and temporal estimation of ET [111,112].

The two prevalent approaches in which Sentinel-2 is used for estimating ET are the indirect and data fusion method. In the indirect method, the required biophysical parameters for computing the FAO-56 Penman-Montecito (FAO-56 PM) are derived from the Sentinel-2 using vegetation indices (VI). In the data fusion method, Sentinel-2 synergy with other satellite data (particularly thermal band) contemplates the latent heat flux using surface energy balance models [113,114]. Thermal disaggregated data fusion methods overcome the thermal band limitation of the Sentinel-2 [115].

The Penman-Monteith (FAO-56 PM) method is considered a standard protocol for calculating ET [116,117]. However, data availability [118] and the quality of input parameters [119] control its applicability to an extent and result in overestimation. The peculiarities of a Sentinel-2 driven VI in ET estimation is its ability to provide accurate vegetation phenology characteristics and a large number of available red-infrared region-based indices, including its red-edge band [120]. Sentinel-2 derived vegetation indices applied in the FAO-56(PM) improved the ET estimation. Sentinel-2 derived LAI and surface albedo coupled with the daily weather information was applied in the Penman-Monteith equation for calculating the field level potential crop evapotranspiration [121] in the Mediterranean region. The estimated result shows a better correlation with the actual soil water balance model [122]. Hence, the satellite-derived biophysical variables became major constituents in the FAO-56(PM)-based computation of ET. Biophysical retrieval methods influence the level of accuracy. In a comparative analysis, LAI and the canopy chlorophyll content were retrieved from the Sentinel-2 using major vegetation indices, the CLAIR model, and the artificial neural network (ANN), and their statistical significance was recorded with the in situ data. The crop evapotranspiration generated from the ANN-based LAI and canopy chlorophyll content outperformed other methods with zero saturation [123]. The soil resistivity tomography records the soil wetness and distribution pattern within the irrigation interval. Sentinel-2 derived VI coupled with electrical resistivity tomography derived from surface geophysics information was applied in the dual crop coefficient ($K_c$) FAO-56 approach for the estimation of crop evapotranspiration from an underwater stress condition [124].

The crop coefficient ($K_c$), the major component of ET has been widely assessed from the satellite-based vegetation indices [125,126]. While assessing 22 Sentinel-2 derived vegetation indices, it was observed that compared to other regions of the electromagnetic spectrum, the indices calculated from the red-edge regions are more suitable for predicting the $K_c$ [127]. ArcDual$K_c$ is an FAO-56$K_c$ based approach implemented in the Geographical Information System (GIS) which facilitates Sentinel-2 in the spatial mapping of ET [128]. Considering the precision and needlessness of ground measurands, the forecasted data began to replace measured meteorological data [129] and was incorporated with Sentinel-2 VI for computing the ET [130]. Irrespective of its quality and predictability, the forecasted data are subjected to model errors. However, to minimize the uncertainty in the prediction of ET more research has to be conducted on treating forecasted meteorological data with VI information.

Data synergy from a similar satellite series may ensure data continuity, apart from that, thermal sharpening of Sentinel-3 using Sentinel-2 optical information [131] for a field-level estimation of ET is a complex procedure. However, several methods are available to serve the purpose, such as PBIM [132], SADFAT [133], TsHARP, and Data Mining Sharpening (DMS) [134,135]. Using Sentinel-2 information, the DSM method sharpened the Sentinel-3 thermal band to 20 m [136]. The proficiencies of sharpened thermal information in the computing field level ET were assessed with Priestley-Taylor’s two-source energy balance model (TSEB-PT), mapping ET with internalized calibration (METRIC), and end-member-
based two-source approach (ESVEP). The TSEB-PT outperformed other methods with all-over accuracy and is suitable for varying land cover and climates [137]. The Priestly-Taylor equation is a modified form of the Penman equation, widely applied for estimating ET under water limiting conditions [138].

The Multi-Sensor Data Fusion approach (MSDF-ET) is a well-framed multi-data fusion approach, combined with the Priestly-Taylor equation to generate a robust time series of ET with a short interval from Sentinel-2, MODIS, and Landsat-8 [139]. Landsat-8 derived land surface temperature integrated with the TsHARP algorithm for downscaling the MODIS thermal band. The estimated ET from sharpened thermal information outperformed Landsat-8 output and shows a better correlation with ground-based measurements.

R package ‘agriwater’ was powered by the Simple Algorithm For ET Retrieving (SAFER) algorithm. The SAFER algorithm, earlier known as PM2 (based on Penman-Monteith equation) [140], was applied in a large-scale estimation of ET from diverse land surface wetness conditions. SAFER works on agrometeorological data that neither requires anchor pixels nor the thermal bands nor can integrate with weather data [141]. Hence, integrating Sentinel-2 with agriwater enables a precise estimation of ET which is beneficial in drought studies. Further, the model is designed for a daily scale rather than hourly and is subjected to uncertainties related to the quality, validity, and calibration of data. It was observed that NDVI is more reliable in estimating the irrigation demand rather than the FAO-56 dual crop coefficient estimations [142] and is closely related to the ET fraction [143]. Hence, the ET fraction is calculated from the Landsat-8 and Sentinel-2 applied in the Simplified Surface Energy Balance model for estimating ET. Though this approach could generate 10 m ET data, the level of bias is directly proportional to the level of vegetation.

Considering its wide applicability, the accuracy of the satellite-based ET estimation is directly associated with the accuracy of ground-based measuring methods [144]. Each method was developed to test the same phenomenon under different conditions and hypotheses using a variety of inputs derived from different mechanisms, hence it is difficult to point out the best single method [145]. Satellite-based ET retrieving methods are subjected to regional constraints such as varying climate and associated landscape changes.

3.4. Remote Sensing of Soil Moisture in Drought

As a key variable in regulating the Earth water cycle, surface soil moisture (SSM) has a significant role in detecting, monitoring, and predicting drought [146–151]. Soil moisture characteristics are directly associated with the weather conditions and geographical characteristics of an area [152,153]. Since it is crucial for plant growth, it is often used as an important parameter for detecting drought-induced vegetation stress [49,149,154–156]. The traditional method of soil moisture retrieval, such as the gravimetric method [157], was applied to a specific location, mostly on the small spatial resolution [158]. The importance of analyzing this parameter on a regional scale for more complex research ushered in a new era in soil moisture estimations using remote sensing techniques.

During the last decade, drought monitoring by using satellite images drastically increased [34,159,160]. The higher spectral and temporal resolution of satellite images is required for more precise and accurate results. Nowadays, with the availability of Sentinel-2 images, these requirements are achieved. As a drought indicator, soil moisture can be obtained from land surface model simulations or satellite estimates.

The most commonly used model is the OPtical TRApezoid Model (OPTRAM) which has been used for estimating soil moisture requiring only the optical remote sensing data. The estimation of the soil moisture is based on shortwave infrared transformed reflectance (STR)-NDVI trapezoidal space [146,161–163]. Sadeghi et al. [161] showed that in comparing estimated SSM from OPTRAM Sentinel-2 and TOTRAM Landsat-8, scientifically acceptable and comparable predictive accuracy was found. However, as the OPTRAM model does not require thermal data, it is advanced and applicable to use with optical data such as Sentinel-2 images. To improve derived results from this model, considering different sites of application, this study proposed to use the SWIR band in
combination with the NIR band, as the SWIR reflectance is sensitive not just to the leaf water content but to the leaf’s internal structure [164]. Ambrosone, et al. [146] applied two different model variations based on linear and nonlinear parameters validated with in situ soil water content measurements. This study showed that the nonlinear model gives a better correlation between in situ and estimated soil water content values and allows the OPTRAM model to be used for effective SSM mapping. Hassanpour et al. [163] implemented a modified OPTRAM model by introducing nonlinear edges to the VI-STR space. The MOPTRAM was used for estimating SSM and root zone soil moisture. With the validation of the OPTRAM and MOPTRAM models with ground truth volumetric soil moisture data of a maize field, this study concludes that the MOPTRAM gave accurate results when compared with those achieved from the OPTRAM model and the accuracy of surface soil moisture estimates in bare soil was better than the root zone soil moisture in relatively full vegetation cover.

For different model-based approaches, retrieving SSM data requires a fusion of the data. The fusion of Sentinel-1 and Sentinel-2 satellite data showed successful applications and accurate results whether in global or field-based SMM estimations [165–169]. There are several different machine learning or empirical-based approaches for this purpose: the Water cloud model [149,168,170,171], change detection method [65,169,172], ANN [149], cost function [173], and support vector regression [174].

A combination of the Water cloud model (WCM) and the integral equation model (IEM) or advanced IEM (AIEM) are often used to estimate SSM with high accuracy in different vegetated areas [170,175]. Comparing with OPTRAM, the Water cloud model requires both SAR and optical data to provide the possibility to derive SSM data. Using time-series Sentinel-1 C-band images with NDVI data derived from the optical Sentinel 2-data satellite, it is achievable to derive information about soil moisture in different areas using WCM [168,171,176].

Comparing with the models which require in situ data for calibration, method change detection can be used for SSM estimation without this requirement. To detect changes in backscattered signal observed on two consecutive dates of Sentinel-1, method change detection is often applied in research studies. It is based on the assumptions that the change in vegetation is small between two days and that the difference in backscattered signals depends on the change in SSM [177]. This method requires data such as NDVI from optical satellites, and Sentinel-2 provides that opportunity with high spatial and temporal resolution. According to Gao et al. [172], the change detection method enables the global application for SSM detection and can be applied to any vegetation area where the images from Sentinel-1 and Sentinel-2 are available [172]. Gao et al. [172] also showed that with this approach, SSM can be retrieved for 100 m (or even better) resolution. Urban et al. [65] analyzed the potential of SSM estimation for drought monitoring in the savanna ecosystem by applying a change detection method to VV-polarized Sentinel-1 C-band. He found good agreement between SurfMI derived from Sentinel-1 in a combination with Sentinel-2 and Landsat-8 data and in situ soil moisture data. They concluded that deriving data about SSM in different land cover classes can be suitable for drought monitoring.

3.5. Remote Sensing of Surface Water and Wetland Analysis in Drought

The impacts of drought on surface water, especially its seasonal changes in quantity and quality, are well documented. Spatio-temporal analysis of surface water is the main objective in most drought studies. Drought is a phenomenon that occurs in an area, due to extreme climate or lack of water. They are among the most harmful and expensive natural disasters in the world [178–181]. Droughts are becoming more common around the world in varying frequencies and intensities and are affecting developed and under-developed countries [181,182]. They have a large impact on agriculture, economy, water resources, environment, and society [183–185]. The impacts of drought on wetland and surface water can be monitored effectively by analyzing meteorological, hydrological, and hydrogeological information.
Drought brings new challenges to the wetland ecosystem as its distribution and functions are subjected to the impact of drought. It is estimated that wetland stores 39% of the terrestrial carbon, though it only covers 9% of the total Earth’s surface. Wetlands are a fragile ecosystem, unique and natural landscape features with valuable functions such as protecting the environment, wildlife habitat, water quality, storing floodwater, and maintaining the water levels in the dry season [186]. Inversion of the PROSAIL radiative transfer model was applied to retrieve the LAI of the wetlands ecosystem using Sentinel-2 and RapidEye data. The derived LAI was validated with the field measurement and its statistical relations were assessed. The existing vegetation map was used to mask out regions apart from the region of interest and was used for the inversion process. The research concluded that the Sentinel-2 derived LAI has a higher accuracy rate compared to the RapidEye, irrespective of its fine spatial resolution.

Considering climatic factors such as temperature, rain, and evaporation can directly or indirectly affect wetland ecosystems, it can be concluded that there is a certain connection between the change of the wetland ecosystem and the occurrence of drought. The onset of drought is mainly due to lack of rain, the presence of high temperatures, and evaporation. These phenomena can lead to changes in wetland ecosystems, such as wetland drainage and wetland reduction, as well as water supply, which directly leads to long periods of drought, and thus disturbs the balance of ecosystems [187]. Numerous studies have predicted that droughts will be more frequent and much more severe primarily due to climate change and human activities [181,188–190].

Surface waters and wetlands are very important ecosystems on planet Earth because they provide a habitat for many plant and animal species. Wetland habitats are defined as ecotones, i.e., transitional habitats between the dry land and the water body [191]. That is why it is very important to monitor these ecosystems economically and efficiently because in that way we protect wetlands. By monitoring and mapping changes in wetlands, we monitor drought and reduce the risk of drought events. Satellite data offers great potential for wetland monitoring and has been the subject of many studies [192]. The use of multi-temporal images increases the accuracy of classification results [193] and allows the monitoring of changes in wetlands over one or more seasons. In observing water and wet surfaces on satellite images, strong absorption was observed in the near-infrared (NIR) and shortwave infrared (SWIR) spectrum, while in contrast, dry soil and vegetation have weaker absorption in these spectral ranges [192]. Therefore, these spectral bands and the vegetation indices derived from them, represent great potential for measuring certain parameters necessary for wetland detection and as such are good indicators for mapping wetlands and the demarcation of water bodies [194–197].

Inland water bodies were detected from the downscaled Sentinel-2 SWIR band using Intensity, Hue, Saturation (IHS) transformation, Principal Component Analysis, the Brovey, and the Gram-Schmidt pan sharpening methods. The modified NDWI produced from the IHS and Brovey methods outperformed other approaches and accurately detected the water and non-water areas, respectively [198]. Similarly [199], short-wavelength infrared bands of Sentinel-2 and Landsat were pan-sharpened using PCA, IHS, GS, and NDWII methods. The HIS method outperformed other methods and accurate modification of NDWI was produced from this approach. In recent years, drought monitoring has become very important for people and the environment. Now, thanks to satellite missions with a higher spectral and temporal resolution, such as Sentinel-2, the obtained results are much more accurate. With a temporal resolution of 5 days and a spatial resolution of 10 m, Sentinel-2 enables the efficient detection of surface waters and wetlands [47,200–207].

The most common methods for mapping wetlands and surface waters that use Sentinel-2 mission data, or use Sentinel-1 and Sentinel-2 mission data fusion, are unsupervised classification [47,208–210], supervised classification [47,209,211], change detection using vegetation indices [212,213], object-based classification [47,209,214], index-based classification [209], tile-based image thresholding [215], OTSU algorithm [200,203,216], and the rule-based super pixel (RBSP) approach [217]. In addition to these approaches, machine
learning algorithms are being used more and more often. The most commonly used ML algorithm is the Random Forest classification [218–220], SVM [220,221].

On the Sentinel-2 image, Araya-López et al. 2018 used the one-class classifier Bias support vector machines (BSVM) to identify and map wetlands as an unsupervised classification algorithm. Such a model, which is primarily based on images from the Sentinel-2 mission, provides high performance for wetland mapping, in cases when single-date images and a small amount of field data are used [210]. A multi-time analysis of images was obtained from Sentinel-2 satellites, using the unsupervised K-means classification method and the MNDVI water index with the same image size in each process and year. In this way, a percentage of the class belonging to the flooded area was obtained. Comparing the results, he concluded that there are large oscillations in the water level of the wetlands, which can be attributed to drought or excessive rains. Kaplan et al. [47,209] uses three different approaches to determine the boundaries of wetlands and they are pixel-based, object-based, and index-based classifications. When a pixel-based classification is used as an approach in an unsupervised classification, the pixels are grouped based on the pixel reflection properties, while for supervised classification, selected samples are used for each defined class. The results show that better results are obtained with supervised classification, but are not good enough. Another approach that Kaplan et al. 2017, 2018, 2018a [47,209,214] use is object-based which certainly gives better results, especially when using the fusion of Sentinel-1 and Sentinel-2. The results obtained by a fusion of radar and optical data showed an overall classification accuracy of about 90% for wetland mapping. The third approach based on the classification of the index provides the possibility of separating the amount of vegetation and water, but not the detection of wetland boundaries. Therefore Kaplan et al. 2017 [209] propose a new approach that uses both object-based and index-based classifications, which as a result, gives a kappa value of 0.95 and as such is very suitable for mapping and change detection in wetlands. Bhatnagar et al. [211] explore the applicability of pixels based on supervised classification, using Bagged Tree, Subspace KNN and SVM. The Bagged Tree classifier proved to be the best classifier for wetland detection with an accuracy of about 84%.

One of the simplest approaches in mapping surface waters and wetlands is change detection using vegetation indices. Bhaga et al. [212] and Pena-Regueiro et al. [213] in their works calculate indices such as NDWI, Modified Normalized Difference Water Index Land Surface Water Index (LSWI + 5), and Modified Normalized Difference Index (MNDVI), on which they apply an appropriate threshold based on which they detect areas of interest. Such an approach has proven to be very useful for monitoring surface water and wetlands. Based on the threshold, the most used algorithm is the OTSU algorithm, which is used to determine the optimal value of the threshold for mapping the phenomenon from certain vegetation indices. Du et al. [200] calculated NDWI and MNDVI, and the results show that the use of MNDVI is more useful than the NDWI index. These same indices were also used in a study by Sekertekin et al. [216] and the results showed that NDVI gave better results and represented water bodies better. In addition to the aforementioned indices, Yang et al. [217] introduced the automated water extraction index (AWEI). In this case, NDVI generated poor results, and there were no significant changes in accuracy between the other two indices. Another way of threshold-based detection is the tile-based image thresholding that Ludwig et al. [215] applied in their work. The algorithm is based on a multi-time classification approach and showed an accuracy of over 92% for the proposed location. On that occasion, he calculated the following indices: NDWI, Modified Normalized Difference Water Index (MNDWI), NDVI, Normalized Difference Moisture Index (NDMI), Normalized Multi-band Drought Index (NMDI), Angle Based Drought Index 1 (ABDI1), Angle Based Drought 2 (ABDI2), Normalized Difference NIR-SWIR2 (ND0812), Normalized Difference GREEN-SWIR2 (ND0312), and Normalized Difference SWIR1-SWIR2 (ND1112). Yang et al. 2020 [217] proposed a new approach to rules-based automatic superpixel (RBSP). The RBSP method uses combined spectral indices and superpixel techniques to determine surface
water volume. In this study, the AWEI index was adopted and the results showed that this approach has the potential to track surface water changes.

Today, machine learning tools are increasingly used to process multi-temporal images. The most commonly used algorithms are the Random Forest (RF) and Support vector machine (SVM) [218–220]. Data fusion of Sentinel-1 and Sentinel-2 and the results show an accuracy of over 80%. White et al. 2018, compare these two algorithms and the results show that RF is better than SVM, but not to a large extent. Chatziantoniou et al. [221] also used the SVM algorithm in their study as a way to detect water surfaces, and the results show an accuracy of about 95%. From these examples, the fusion of Sentinel-1 and Sentinel-2 satellite data has a successful application, especially when ML algorithms are implemented over this data. Sentinel-2 satellite missions have been successfully used to detect and map the impacts of drought on water surfaces and wetlands.

The following Table 2 summarized all mentioned methods and corresponding references in this article for extracting the drought information from the climatological ecological variables using Sentinel-2 satellite.

| Aspect                                      | Method                                                                 | References                  |
|---------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Remote Sensing of Vegetation in Drought    | Extended super-resolution convolutional neural network (ESRCNN)         | [67]                       |
|                                             | Enhanced Spatial and Temporal Adaptive Reflectance Fusion Model (ESTARFM) | [74]                       |
|                                             | SAFYE                                                                  | [80]                       |
|                                             | PROSPECT model                                                         | [93]                       |
|                                             | Red-Edge Position (REP)                                                | [99]                       |
| Remote Sensing of Land Use and Land Cover Change in Drought | The random forest wrapper approach                                    | [101]                      |
|                                             | Support vector machine                                                 | [102]                      |
|                                             | Random forest                                                          | [102]                      |
|                                             | k-Nearest Neighbor                                                     | [102]                      |
|                                             | Pixel R-CNN                                                            | [107]                      |
| Remote Sensing of Evapotranspiration in Drought | Surface energy balance models                                          | [113,114]                  |
|                                             | FAO-56 Penman-Montecito (FAO-56 PM)                                    | [116,117,121]              |
|                                             | Soil water balance model                                               | [122]                      |
|                                             | PBIM                                                                    | [132]                      |
|                                             | SADFAT                                                                  | [133]                      |
|                                             | Data Mining Sharpening                                                  | [134–136]                  |
|                                             | Multi-Sensor Data Fusion approach (MSDF-ET)                             | [139]                      |
|                                             | Simple Algorithm For ET Retrieving (SAFER)                             | [140,141]                  |
| Remote Sensing of Soil Moisture in Drought | Change Detection Method                                                | [65,169,172,177]           |
|                                             | OPTical TRApezoid Model (OPTRAM)                                       | [146,161–164]              |
|                                             | ANN                                                                     | [149]                      |
|                                             | Water Cloud Model (WCM)                                                | [149,168,170,171,175,176] |
|                                             | Integral Equation Model (IEM)                                           | [170,175]                  |
|                                             | Cost Function                                                           | [173]                      |
|                                             | Support Vector Regression                                              | [174]                      |
Table 2. Cont.

| Aspect                                      | Method                                      | References                  |
|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Remote Sensing of Surface Water and Wetland Analysis in Drought | ML algorithm-Random Forest da               | [28,219,220]                |
|                                             | Unsupervised Classification                 | [47,208–210]                |
|                                             | Supervised Classification                   | [47,209,211]                |
|                                             | Object-based Classification                 | [47,209,214]                |
|                                             | Gram-Schmidt pan sharpening methods         | [198]                       |
|                                             | OTSU algorithm                              | [200,203,216]              |
|                                             | Index-based Classification                  | [209]                       |
|                                             | Change Detection using Vegetation Indices   | [212,213]                   |
|                                             | Tile-based Image Thresholding               | [215]                       |
|                                             | Rule-based Super Pixel (RBSP) approach      | [217]                       |
|                                             | ML algorithm-Support Vector Machine (SVM)   | [220,221]                   |

4. Summary and Conclusions

Drought is the most destructive and expensive natural disaster with a complex nature and pervasive impacts. For many reasons including high spatial, spectral, and temporal resolution, Sentinel-2 has a greater potential in assessing drought, compared to other contemporary mission products (e.g., Landsat-8). However, researchers have to depend on other satellite data for analyzing drought conditions before the commencement of Sentinel-2. That could also be a reason behind there being less research on the direct applicability of Sentinel-2 on drought. Another limitation observed in the Sentinel-2 application was the rate of uncertainties in data fusion and downscaling methods, though it was less in comparison to other sensors. Like other optical sensors in certain conditions, Sentinel-2 accuracy is also subjected to the accuracy of the on-ground measurement instruments. With the unique characteristics of the red-edge band and short revisiting nature, Sentinel-2 can efficiently record the abnormal variation in plant’s phenological and physiological conditions. The red-edge band is the most important band of the Sentinel-2 with high precision in observing the vegetation response to drought, compared to conventional methods such as NDVI.

Higher spatial resolution is a prerequisite in drought studies, Sentinel-2 offers peerless 10 m resolution in land surface monitoring in comparison to other freely available satellite images such as Landsat and MODIS. The data fusion method has overcome the thermal band limitation of the Sentinel-2 in estimating the drought factors such as soil moisture and ET using the surface energy balance model. In several cases, ET estimated from Sentinel-2 fused information such as HLS outperformed Landsat and MODIS outputs. At the same time, Sentinel-2 derived biophysical parameters coupled with climatological data used in ET, soil moisture estimation using FAO-56 PM, OPTRAM, and SAFER-based agriwater methods. It was observed that estimating soil moisture from Sentinel-1 and 2 data fusion maintains a higher level of accuracy compared to the fusing of Sentinel-2 with Landsat and MODIS. Without adequate research in this direction, it is difficult to compare the precision and efficiency of Sentinel-2 derived drought factors using direct and fusion methods because in most cases these methods are developed for either testing a particular hypothesis or an area of interest.

The integration of machine learning and deep learning algorithms increased the overall accuracy of Sentinel-2 derived information on surface water and wetland, vegetation, land use, and land cover classification. Data continuity of the Sentinel-2 is another important aspect in drought studies. The upcoming improved Sentinel-2C and Sentinel-2D to cover the overall 20-year mission will be another advantage. Finally, Sentinel-2 can efficiently
monitor and delineate the slow pace of drought, which could improve drought monitoring, forecasting, and developing efficient mitigation procedures.
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