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The scale of a coupling constant for rapidity-only evolution of transverse-momentum dependent (TMD) operators in the Sudakov kinematic region is calculated using the Brodsky-Lepage-Mackenzie optimal scale setting [S. J. Brodsky et al., Phys. Rev. D 28, 228 (1983)]. The effective argument of a coupling constant is halfway in the logarithmical scale between the transverse momentum and energy of TMD distribution. The resulting rapidity-only evolution equation is solved for quark and gluon TMDs.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The transverse-momentum dependent parton distributions (TMDs) [1–4] have been widely used in the analysis of processes such as semi-inclusive deep inelastic scattering or particle production in hadron-hadron collisions (for a review, see Ref. [5]). The typical kinematics of TMD applications corresponds to the case of Bjorken $x \sim 1$. However, in recent years there is a surge of interest in a possible extension of TMD formalism to small-$x$ processes. Moreover, the future EIC accelerator will study particle production in the whole region of kinematics between moderate $x$ and small $x$. To this end, it is desirable to have an adequate TMD formalism that smoothly interpolates between those regions. Unfortunately, the classical Collins-Soper-Sterman (CSS) approach cannot be extended to low $x$ since it was designed to describe the fixed-angle rather than the Regge limit of large momenta.

In a series of recent papers [6–8] the evolution of TMDs was studied by small-$x$ methods. It was demonstrated that using a small-$x$-inspired rapidity-only cutoff for TMD operators one can obtain an evolution equation that smoothly interpolates between the linear case at moderate $x$ and nonlinear evolution at small $x$. The obtained rapidity evolution equation correctly reproduces three different limits: Dokshitzer-Gribov-Lipatov-Altarelli-Parisi (DGLAP), Balitsky-Fadin-Kuraev-Lipatov (BFKL), and Sudakov evolutions, but unfortunately in the intermediate region this equation is very complicated and not very practical. Another disadvantage of rapidity-only evolution is that the argument of the coupling constant is not fixed by the leading-order equation and can be obtained only after next-to-leading order (NLO) calculation. This is quite in contrast with the usual DGLAP evolution (or CSS one) where the argument of the coupling constant is assigned by renormalization group even in the leading order. The rapidity-only evolution of TMDs in the Sudakov region was obtained in Refs. [6,7] and studied in Ref. [8] where it was demonstrated that the leading-order TMD evolution was conformally invariant given the proper choice of rapidity cutoff. To use this equation in real QCD one needs to fix somehow the argument of the coupling constant. In this paper, the argument of the coupling constant is determined by the Brodsky-Lepage-Mackenzie (BLM) approach [9] (see also [10] for higher-order analysis and [11] for small-$x$ application similar to what is considered here).

The essence of the BLM approach is to calculate the small part of the NLO result, namely the quark loop contribution to a gluon propagator, and promote $-\frac{1}{6\pi} n_f$ to the full $b_0 = \frac{11}{12\pi} N_c - \frac{1}{6\pi} n_f$. This procedure was successfully used for studies of small-$x$ evolution of color dipoles where the argument of the coupling constant was fixed using NLO calculation and renormalon/BLM considerations (see Refs. [12,13]).

The paper is organized as follows. Section II is devoted to the leading-order calculation of rapidity evolution of quark TMDs and discusses the choice of rapidity-only cutoff. In Sec. III we obtain the quark loop correction to this evolution. Section IV is about the TMDs with gauge links out to $+\infty$. We derive the one-loop correction for gluon TMDs in Sec. V and discuss conclusions in Sec. VI. The necessary technical details and sidelined explanations are presented in the appendixes.
II. RAPIDITY EVOLUTION OF QUARK TMDs

We will start with the discussion of the evolution of quark TMD operators. For definiteness, we consider quark TMDs with gauge links going to $-\infty$ in the $+\perp$ direction which appear in the description of particle production in hadron-hadron collisions. The typical example is the Drell-Yan (DY) process of production of the $\mu^+\mu^-$ pair in the so-called Sudakov region where the invariant mass of $\mu^+\mu^-$ pair $Q$ is much greater than the sum of their transverse momenta $q_\perp$. In that region the DY hadronic tensor $W_{\mu\nu}(q)$ can be represented in a standard TMD-factorized way [5,14],

$$W_{\mu\nu}(q) = \sum_{\text{flavors}} e_f^2 \int d^2 k_\perp D_{f/A}^{(i)}(x_A, k_\perp) \times D_{f/B}^{(i)}(x_B, q_\perp - k_\perp) C_{\mu\nu}(q, k_\perp) + \text{power corrections} + Y\text{-terms},$$ (1)

where $D_{f/A}(x_A, k_\perp)$ is the TMD density of a quark $f$ in hadron $A$ with fraction of momentum $x_A$ and transverse momentum $k_\perp$, $D_{f/B}(x_B, q_\perp - k_\perp)$ is a similar quantity for hadron $B$, and coefficient functions $C_{\mu\nu}(q, k_\perp)$ are determined by the cross section $\sigma(f f \to \mu^+\mu^-)$ of production of a DY pair of invariant mass $q^2$ in the scattering of two quarks.

The TMD densities $D_{f/A}(x_A, k_\perp)$ and $D_{f/B}(x_B, q_\perp - k_\perp)$ are defined by quark-antiquark operators with gauge links going to $-\infty$. For example, the TMD $f_1$ responsible for the total DY cross section for unpolarized hadrons is defined by

$$f_1(x_B, k_\perp) = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \int d z_\perp d^2 z_\perp e^{-i z_\perp c^+} \sqrt{2+\imath(k_\perp)} \times \langle p_N|\bar{\psi}_f(z_\perp, z_\perp)|z, z - \infty n|\psi_f(0)|p_N\rangle,$$ (2)

where $|p_N\rangle$ is an unpolarized nucleon with momentum $p_N \approx p_N^0$ and $n = (\sqrt{2}/2, 0, \sqrt{2}/2)$ is a lightlike vector in the $+$ direction (almost) collinear to vector $p_A$. Hereafter we use the notation

$$[x, y] \equiv \mathcal{P} e^{i\theta} \int d u (x-u)^p A_\mu(\alpha+1-u)y$$ (3)

for a straight-line gauge link connecting points $x$ and $y$. The infinite lightlike gauge links are sometimes called Wilson lines, and we will use this terminology. Note also that the operator in the right-hand side (RHS) of Eq. (2) is not time ordered.

In this paper we will study the rapidity-only evolution of the operators

$$\bar{\psi}(x^+, x_\perp)|x, x \pm \infty n|\pm \infty n + x_\perp, \pm \infty n + y_\perp \times \Gamma|\pm \infty n + y, y|\psi(y^+, y_\perp)$$ (4)

for quark TMDs, and

$$F^{-f}(x^+, x_\perp)|x, x \pm \infty n|\pm \infty n + x_\perp, \pm \infty n + y_\perp \times [\pm \infty n + y, y]F^{-f}(y^+, y_\perp)$$ (5)

for the gluons. Here $\Gamma$ is one of the matrices $\gamma_\perp, \gamma_\perp \gamma_\perp, \gamma_\perp \gamma_\perp$, so we single out “good” projections in the light-cone language. Note that we do not multiply operators (4) by the square root of the soft factor so, strictly speaking, our operators (4) enter the “old version” of TMD factorization [2,5] such as

$$W_{\mu\nu}(q) = \sum_{\text{flavors}} e_f^2 \int d^2 k_\perp S(q_\perp, k_\perp) D_{f/A}^{(i)}(x_A, k_\perp) \times D_{f/B}^{(i)}(x_B, q_\perp - k_\perp) C_{\mu\nu}(q, k_\perp) + \cdots,$$ (6)

where $S(q_\perp, k_\perp)$ is a soft factor. After assigning the square root of a soft factor to each TMD one gets the “new” version [15] of TMD factorization (1). Since the soft factor is a correlation function of semi-infinite Wilson lines, it will be affected by using rapidity-only cutoffs. We postpone the calculation of soft (and hard) factors in Eq. (1) until future publication and right now concentrate on the rapidity-only evolution of the operator (4) per se.

A. Leading-order evolution of quark TMDs

We will start with TMD operators (4) with gauge links going to $-\infty$. It is well known that TMDs (4) exhibit rapidity divergences due to infinitely long gauge links. The rapidity-only cutoff corresponds to restricting the + component of gluons emitted by Wilson lines,

$$A_\mu^*(x) = \int\frac{d^4 k}{16\pi^4} \theta(\sigma Q - |k^+|) e^{-i k^+ x} A_\mu(k),$$ (7)

where we use the notation $\sigma \equiv \sqrt{2}$. (Actually, as we will see below, it is more convenient to use a smooth cutoff in $|k^+|$ instead of a rigid one imposed by the $\theta$ function). As mentioned in the Introduction, the goal of this paper is to find the evolution of the TMD operator (4) with respect to the rapidity cutoff $\sigma$ in the “Sudakov region” $\sigma x_B s \gg k_\perp^2 \sim q_\perp^2$.

As usual, to find the evolution kernel we need to integrate over gluons with $\sigma > k^+/Q > \sigma'$. We will temporarily freeze the fields with $k^+/Q < \sigma'$. The result will be some kernel multiplied by TMD operators with rapidity cutoff $\sigma'$. To get the evolution kernel in the leading order, we need to calculate one-loop diagrams for the “matrix element” of the operator (4) in the background fields

$$\langle \bar{\psi}(x^+, x_\perp)|x^+, -\infty n\rangle |x, x - \infty n, y_\perp - \infty n\rangle \times [-\infty n^+, y^+]\Gamma \psi(y^+, y_\perp)\psi_A,$$ (8)

where $\Psi$ and $A$ are quarks and gluons with small $k^+ < \sigma Q$. Hereafter we denote lightlike gauge links by
\[ [x^+, y^+]_\perp \equiv [x^+ + z_\perp, y^+ + z_\perp] \quad (9) \]

for brevity. As discussed in Refs. \[6,7,16,17\], in the leading order one can take \( \Psi \) and \( A \) fields with \( k^+ = 0 \) which means background fields \( \Psi(x^+, x_\perp) \) and \( A(x^+, x_\perp) \). Also, it is convenient to use the \( A^- = 0 \) gauge for background fields.

Since the operator in Eq. (8) is not time ordered we need to insert a full set of states at \( t = \infty \) so the matrix element (8) will be represented as a double functional integral for “cut diagrams” in these background fields. The self-consistency condition is that the background field should be the same to the left of the cut and to the right of the cut. Indeed, summation over the full set of intermediate states corresponds to the boundary conditions that the fields to the left and to the right of the cut coincide at \( t = \infty \). Since the background fields do not depend on \( x^- \), if they coincide at \( x^- = \infty \), they have to be equal everywhere (see the discussion in Refs. \[16,17\]). We choose the \( A^- = 0 \) gauge for background glueline so an extra background glueline would mean an extra \( F_{\mu\nu} \). This gives a higher-twist contribution which we neglect in this paper (see the discussion in Refs. \[16,18\]). For quantum gluons, we use the background-Feynman gauge which reduces to the usual Feynman gauge in diagrams without background gluons. It is well-known that in such a gauge the contribution of the gauge link at infinity \([x_\perp - \infty, y_\perp - \infty]\) can be neglected, and we get diagrams shown in Fig. 1.

We will use Sudakov variables \( \alpha \equiv p^+/q \) and \( \beta \equiv p^-/q \) so that \( p = \alpha p_1 + \beta p_2 + p_\perp \) where \( p_1 = nq \) and \( p_2 \) is a lightlike vector close to \( p_B \) so that \( p_B = x_B p_\perp + p_B \). In these variables \( p \cdot q = (\alpha p_1^\perp + \beta p_2^\perp)^2 = (p, q)_\perp \) and \( (p, q)_\perp = -p_\perp q \). Throughout the paper, the sum over the Latin indices \( i, j, \ldots \) runs over the two transverse components while the sum over Greek indices runs over the four components as usual.

It is convenient to define Fourier transforms of the background fields \( \Psi \),

\[
\begin{align*}
\Psi(\beta_B, p_B) &= e^{i\beta_B p_\perp} \int dz^+ dz_\perp \Psi(z^+, z_\perp) e^{i(p_B z_\perp - i(p_B z_\perp)),} \\
\Psi(\beta_B', p_B') &= e^{i\beta_B' p_\perp} \int dz^+ dz_\perp \Psi(z^+, z_\perp) e^{i(p_B' z_\perp - i(p_B' z_\perp))}. \quad (10)
\end{align*}
\]

Hereafter we will use the notation \( \beta_B \equiv x_B \) since we will calculate integrals using Sudakov variables.

Note that as discussed in Refs. \[6,7,16,17\], in a general gauge one should replace

\[
\begin{align*}
\Psi_\perp(z^+, z_\perp) &\rightarrow [\infty^+, z_\perp]_\perp \Psi(z^+, z_\perp), \\
\bar{\Psi}_\perp(z^+, z_\perp) &\rightarrow \bar{\Psi}(z^+, z_\perp)[\infty^+, -\infty^+]_\perp.
\end{align*}
\]

in the case of evolution equations for the operator (8), and

\[
\begin{align*}
\Psi(z^+, z_\perp) &\rightarrow [\infty^+, z_\perp]_\perp \Psi(z^+, z_\perp), \\
\bar{\Psi}(z^+, z_\perp) &\rightarrow \bar{\Psi}(z^+, z_\perp)[\infty^+, \infty^+]_\perp.
\end{align*}
\]

for evolution equations of operators (4) with gauge links out to \( +\infty \).

B. Diagrams in Figs. 1(a)–1(c)

1. A choice of rapidity cutoff

Let us start with the diagram in Fig. 1(c) where all propagators are of Feynman type. Note that a possible diagram with Fig. 1(c) topology and with a three-gluon
vertex in the left sector vanishes since the background field \( \Psi(\beta_B, p_{B_\perp}) \) cannot produce any real particles. Also, we do not draw the diagrams with self-energy insertions in quark tails since they are not relevant for rapidity evolution. Simple calculation yields\(^1\)

\[
\langle \{[-\infty, y^+]_\perp, \Gamma \psi(y^+, y^\perp) \} \rangle_{\psi}^{\text{Fig. 1c}} = -ig^2 c_F \int \frac{d\beta_B d p_{B_\perp}}{p_{\perp}^2 + \alpha \beta_B s - (p - p_B)^2_\perp + i\epsilon} \Gamma \Psi(\beta_B, p_{B_\perp})
\]

\[
	imes e^{-ip_{\perp} y} \int d\sigma d\beta d\beta_{\perp} \frac{1}{\beta + i e \alpha \beta_B s - p_{\perp}^2 + i\epsilon} \theta(\beta - \beta_B) s (p - p_B)^2_\perp + i\epsilon \Gamma \Psi(\beta_B, p_{B_\perp})
\]

\[
=-g^2 c_F \int d\beta_B d p_{B_\perp} e^{-ip_{\perp} y} \int d\sigma d\beta d\beta_{\perp} \left[ \theta(\alpha - |\alpha|) \frac{1}{\alpha \beta_B s - (p - p_B)^2_\perp + i\epsilon} \right] \Gamma \Psi(\beta_B, p_{B_\perp})
\]

\[
+ \int_0^\sigma d\alpha \int d\beta_{\perp} \frac{1}{\beta + i e \alpha \beta_B s - (p - p_B)^2_\perp + i\epsilon} \Gamma \Psi(\beta_B, p_{B_\perp})
\]

Hereafter we use space-saving \( h \)-inspired notations \( d^a p \equiv \frac{d^a p}{(2\pi)^d} \). Note that the integral in the RHS of Eq. (13) diverges as \( p_{\perp} \to 0 \), but one should expect that this divergence cancels with the contribution of diagrams in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b).

Next we calculate the diagrams in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b) with a combination of Feynman, complex conjugate, and cut propagators. One obtains

\[
\langle [x^+, -\infty]_\perp, \Gamma \psi(y^+, y) \rangle_{\psi} = \langle [x^+, -\infty]_\perp, \Gamma \psi(y^+, y_\perp) \rangle_{\psi}^{(1)} + \langle [x^+, -\infty]_\perp, \Gamma \psi(y^+, y_\perp) \rangle_{\psi}^{(2)}.
\]

where

\[
\langle [x^+, -\infty]_\perp, \Gamma \psi(y^+, y_\perp) \rangle_{\psi}^{(1)} = g^2 c_F \int d\beta_B d p_{B_\perp} \Gamma \Psi(\beta_B, p_{B_\perp}) e^{-ip_{\perp} y} \int_0^\sigma d\alpha \int d\beta_{\perp} \frac{p_{\perp}^2}{p_{\perp}^2 + \alpha \beta_B s - (p - p_B)^2_\perp + i\epsilon} \left[ e^{-i\frac{p_{\perp}^2}{2m} \Delta^\perp} e^{ip_{\perp} y} \right] \Gamma \Psi(\beta_B, p_{B_\perp})
\]

\[
\langle [x^+, -\infty]_\perp, \Gamma \psi(y^+, y_\perp) \rangle_{\psi}^{(2)} = g^2 c_F \int d\beta_B d p_{B_\perp} \Gamma \Psi(\beta_B, p_{B_\perp}) e^{-ip_{\perp} y} \int_0^\sigma d\alpha \int d\beta_{\perp} \frac{p_{\perp}^2}{p_{\perp}^2 + \alpha \beta_B s + (p - p_B)^2_\perp + i\epsilon} \left[ e^{-i\frac{p_{\perp}^2}{2m} \Delta^\perp} e^{ip_{\perp} y} \right] \Gamma \Psi(\beta_B, p_{B_\perp})
\]

The integral in the RHS of Eq. (17) is convergent while the one in the RHS of Eq. (16) diverges as \( p_{\perp} \to 0 \). As we mentioned above, one should expect that this divergence cancels with the contribution (13) of diagram in Fig. 1(c). Indeed, this divergence comes from the infinite length of gauge links in Eqs. (10). As \( p_{\perp} \to 0 \) the integral (14) behaves in the same way as such an integral at \( x_\perp = y_\perp \) so the contributions of infinite gauge links should cancel,

\[
\langle [x^+, -\infty]_\perp, \Gamma \psi(y^+, y_\perp) \rangle_{\psi} = \langle [x^+, y^+]_\perp, \Gamma \psi(y^+, y_\perp) \rangle_{\psi}.
\]

\(^1\)Throughout the paper we distinguish between \( \phi(x) \phi(y) \), \( \langle \phi(x) \phi(y) \rangle \), and \( \langle \phi(x) \phi(y) \rangle \equiv \theta(y_0 - x_0) \phi(x) \phi(y) + \theta(x_0 - y_0) \phi(y) \phi(x) \) so the notation \( \langle \phi(x) \phi(y) \rangle \) is used only for Wightman-type Green functions.
Unfortunately, “rigid” cutoff $\sigma > |\alpha|$ does not provide this property—the sum of Eqs. (13) and (16) is still divergent as $p_\perp \to 0$. To ensure IR cancellations, we use a “smooth” cutoff in $\alpha$ imposed by point-splitting regularization $\psi(y^+, y_\perp) \to \psi(y^+, y_\perp, -\delta^-)$. We get then

\[ \langle \{ \psi \{ [\psi(-\infty, y^+_\perp) \psi(y^+, y_\perp, -\delta^-) \} \} \rangle_{\psi}^{\Gamma} \]

\[ = -ig^2 C_F \int \frac{d\beta_B d\beta_{B_\perp} e^{-ip_{\perp} s} \frac{1}{1 + i\epsilon} \frac{e^{-i\alpha s}}{s(\beta - \beta_B)} \Gamma(\beta_B, p_{B_\perp})}{\beta + i\epsilon} \int \frac{d\alpha}{\beta_{B_\perp}} \int \frac{d\beta_{B_\perp}}{p_{\perp}^2 [s(\beta - \beta_B) - (p - p_B)^2_{\perp} + i\epsilon]} e^{-i\epsilon}, \] (19)

where

\[ \sigma \equiv \frac{1}{q^2} > 0. \] (20)

Note that to get the last line in Eq. (19), we turned the contour of integration over $\alpha$ on angle $\pi$ in the lower half-plane of complex $\alpha$. At $\beta_B > 0$ the singularity at $\alpha = \frac{p_{\perp}^2 s}{\beta_{B_\perp}} + i\epsilon$ does not affect the rotation, while at $\beta_B < 0$ the rotation pushes the singularity over $\alpha$ up to $+i\epsilon$.

For the diagrams in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b) with point splitting one obtains

\[ \langle [x^+, \infty], \psi(y^+, y_\perp, -\delta^-) \rangle_{\psi}^{(1)} = g^2 C_F \int \frac{d\beta_B d\beta_{B_\perp} e^{-ip_{\perp} s} \Gamma(\beta_B, p_{B_\perp})}{\beta_{B_\perp}} \int \frac{d\alpha}{\beta_{B_\perp}} \int \frac{d\beta_{B_\perp}}{p_{\perp}^2 [s(\beta - \beta_B) - (p - p_B)^2_{\perp} + i\epsilon]} e^{-i\epsilon}, \] (21)

\[ \langle [x^+, \infty], \psi(y^+, y_\perp, -\delta^-) \rangle_{\psi}^{(2)} = g^2 C_F \int \frac{d\beta_B d\beta_{B_\perp} \Gamma(\beta_B, p_{B_\perp}) e^{-ip_{\perp} s}}{\beta_{B_\perp}} \int \frac{d\alpha}{\beta_{B_\perp}} \int \frac{d\beta_{B_\perp}}{p_{\perp}^2 [s(\beta - \beta_B) - (p - p_B)^2_{\perp} + i\epsilon]} e^{-i\epsilon}, \] (22)

Now we see that the sum of Eqs. (19), (21), and (22),

\[ \langle [x^+, \infty], [\infty, y^+_\perp], \psi(y^+, y_\perp, -\delta^-) \rangle_{\psi}^{\Gamma} \]

\[ = g^2 C_F \int \frac{d\beta_B d\beta_{B_\perp} e^{-ip_{\perp} s} \Gamma(\beta_B, p_{B_\perp})}{\beta_{B_\perp}} \int \frac{d\alpha}{\beta_{B_\perp}} \int \frac{d\beta_{B_\perp}}{p_{\perp}^2 [s(\beta - \beta_B) - (p - p_B)^2_{\perp} + i\epsilon]} \frac{1}{e^{-i\epsilon} - 1} \frac{e^{-i\epsilon} - e^{-i\epsilon} - e^{-i\epsilon} - e^{-i\epsilon}}{e^{-i\epsilon} - e^{-i\epsilon}}, \] (23)

is given by a convergent integral. It should be emphasized that $\delta^- < 0$; otherwise, we would not be able to make the rotation of the contour in the last line in Eq. (19) and the cancellation of IR divergences would not happen. The reason for that is that all quantum operators in $[\infty, y^+_\perp], \psi(y^+, y_\perp)$ commute since they are on the light ray, and to preserve this commutation property [which is necessary for using Feynman propagators in Eq. (19)] we should shift $\psi(y^+, y_\perp)$ to a point separated by a spacelike distance from operators in the gauge line $[\infty, y^+_\perp]$ (see the discussion in Appendix A).

It should be emphasized that we do not suggest the nonperturbative studies of TMDs with our “point splitting” and the reason is that objects such as

\[ \langle p_N | \psi(x^+, x_\perp, -\frac{1}{Q^2}) [\infty, \infty], \psi(y^+, y_\perp, -\frac{1}{Q^2}) | p_N \rangle \] (24)

are meaningless since the operator is not gauge invariant. Our message is that the longitudinal integrals in the perturbative diagrams for TMDs
\( (p_N | \hat{\psi}(x^+, x_\perp) | x^+, -\infty) \rangle [-\infty, y^+], \Gamma \psi(y^+, y_\perp) | p_N \rangle \)

(25)

should be cut from above in a smooth way respecting unitarity and causality, and a mnemonic rule how to choose the proper sign of the cutoff \( e^{\pm i\pi/\rho} \) is to consider the point splitting (24). Also, the point-splitting representation of rapidity cutoff in \( \sigma \) helps to visualize the coordinate space approximations that we make (see Fig. 2). Thus, we will be using expressions such as (24) and defining rapidity-regularized operators by

\[
\hat{\psi}^\sigma(x^+, x_\perp) \equiv \hat{\psi} \left( x^+, x_\perp, -\frac{1}{\rho \sigma} \right) \left[ x^+, -\infty \right],
\]

\[
\psi^\sigma(y^+, y_\perp) \equiv [-\infty, y^+] \psi \left( y^+, y_\perp, -\frac{1}{\rho \sigma} \right),
\]

(26)

but only for the perturbative calculations. In this paper we perform calculations in the (background) Feynman gauge, but in Appendix B we demonstrate that for other gauges such as the Landau gauge the extra terms in gluon propagator lead to power corrections \( \sim \frac{m_2^2}{\rho_B^2} \ll 1 \).

It should be mentioned that the standard regularization of TMD operator (25) at moderate \( x \) is a combination of UV and rapidity cutoffs (see Ref. [5]). We discuss the relation of that regularization to our rapidity-only cutoff in Appendix F.

2. Rapidity evolution of diagrams in Figs. I(a)–I(c)

In this section we will calculate the \( \sigma \) dependence of the integral (23),

\[
\sigma \frac{d}{d\sigma} \int_0^\infty \! d\alpha e^{-\sigma^2} \int p_\perp \left( \frac{\beta_B s (e^{-i\rho/\alpha^2} - 1)}{p_\perp^2 [\alpha \beta_B s + (p - p_B)^2 + i\epsilon]} + \frac{(p - p_B)^2 e^{i(p, \Delta)_\perp} [e^{-i(p_B)^2/\alpha^2} + e^{-i\rho/\alpha^2}]}{\alpha [\alpha \beta_B s + (p - p_B)^2 + i\epsilon]} \right),
\]

(27)

First, note that the second term in the RHS. does not contribute to the evolution. Indeed, characteristic \( \alpha \)’s in that term are \( \sim \frac{m_2^2}{\rho_B^2} \), where \( m_2^2 \sim \Delta^2 \sim p_B^2 \), so we can expand \( e^{-\sigma^2} \) and get approximately

\[
\int_0^\infty \! d\alpha \int p_\perp \left[ 1 - i \frac{\alpha}{\sigma} \theta(\sigma - \alpha) \right]
\]

\[
\times \frac{(p - p_B)^2 e^{i(p, \Delta)_\perp} [e^{-i(p_B)^2/\alpha^2} + e^{-i\rho/\alpha^2}]}{\alpha [\alpha \beta_B s + (p - p_B)^2 + i\epsilon]} (p - p_B)^2_\perp - p_B^2_\perp.
\]

(28)

The first term is a convergent integral independent of \( \sigma \) while the second is of order \( \frac{m_2^2}{\rho_B^2} \ln \frac{\alpha \rho_B s}{m_2^2} \), so it is a power correction that we neglect.

Next, we study the dependence of the first term in the RHS of Eq. (27) on \( \Delta^+ \). From TMD factorization (1) and definition (2) we see that we need operator (4) in the region \( \Delta^+ > \rho_B \sim 1 \). Let us demonstrate that in this region one can neglect \( \Delta^+ \). Indeed,

\[
\int dp_\perp e^{i(p, \Delta)_\perp} \left[ \int_0^{\Delta^+} \! d\alpha \frac{\beta_B s (e^{-i\rho/\alpha^2} - 1)}{p_\perp^2 [\alpha \beta_B s + (p - p_B)^2 + i\epsilon]} \right]
\]

\[
\approx \int dp_\perp e^{i(p, \Delta)_\perp} \left[ \int_0^{\Delta^+} \! d\alpha \frac{\beta_B s (e^{-i\rho/\alpha^2} - 1)}{p_\perp^2 [\alpha \beta_B s + (p - p_B)^2 + i\epsilon]} - \int_0^\infty \! d\alpha \frac{\alpha \beta_B s + (p - p_B)^2 + i\epsilon}{\alpha [\alpha \beta_B s + (p - p_B)^2 + i\epsilon]} \right] + O\left( \frac{m_2^2}{\rho_B^2} \right),
\]

(29)

which is a sum of terms independent of \( \sigma \) and power corrections.

\[\text{FIG. 2. Point-splitting regularization of rapidity divergence.}\]
Thus, we need to consider only

\[
\int_0^\infty d\alpha \int \frac{dp_\perp}{p_\perp^2} \frac{\beta_B s e^{-\frac{\pi}{2e}(\alpha(p,\Delta) - 1)} - 1}{\alpha \beta_B s + (p - p_B)\perp^2 + i e} = \int_0^\infty d\alpha e^{-\frac{\pi}{2e}} \int \frac{dp_\perp}{p_\perp^2} \frac{\beta_B s e^{\frac{\pi}{2e}(\alpha(p,\Delta) - 1)} - 1}{\alpha \beta_B s + p_\perp^2 + i e} \left[ 1 - \frac{p_\perp^2 - (p - p_B)\perp^2}{\alpha \beta_B s + (p - p_B)\perp^2 + i e} \right]
\]

\[
eq \int_0^\infty d\alpha e^{-\frac{\pi}{2e}} \int \frac{dp_\perp}{p_\perp^2} \frac{\beta_B s e^{\frac{\pi}{2e}(\alpha(p,\Delta) - 1)} - 1}{\alpha \beta_B s + p_\perp^2 + i e} + \int \frac{dp_\perp}{p_\perp^2} (e^{\frac{\pi}{2e}(\alpha(p,\Delta) - 1)} - 1) \ln \frac{p_\perp^2}{(p - p_B)\perp^2} + O\left(\frac{m^2}{\sigma \beta_B s}\right), \tag{30}
\]

where we neglected the \(e^{-\frac{\pi}{2e}}\) cutoff in the second integral since it converges at \(\alpha \sim \frac{m^2}{\sigma \beta_B s}\).

The \(\sigma\) dependence comes only from the first term in the RHS of Eq. (30) calculated in Appendix C [see Eq. (C4)] so from Eq. (C6) we get

\[
\sigma \frac{d}{d\sigma} \left(\left[x^+, -\infty\right], \left[-\infty, y^+\right]\right) \Gamma_{\Psi} = \frac{g^2}{8\pi^2} e_F \int d\beta_B d\beta_B \Gamma_{\Psi}(\beta_B, y^+) e^{-i p_B \cdot y^+} \ln\left(-\frac{1}{4}(\beta_B + e)\sigma s \Delta^2 \epsilon^2\right) + O\left(\frac{m^2}{\beta_B \sigma s}\right)
\]

\[
= -\frac{g^2}{8\pi^2} e_F \int d\beta_B \Gamma_{\Psi}(\beta_B, y^+) e^{-i p_B \cdot y^+} \ln\left(-\frac{1}{4}(\beta_B + e)\sigma s \Delta^2 \epsilon^2\right) + O\left(\frac{m^2}{\beta_B \sigma s}\right), \tag{31}
\]

where \(\gamma \approx 0.577\) is the Euler constant and \(\Psi(\beta_B, y^+) = \psi_y^+ \Psi(y^+, y^+)\) [see Eq. (10)].

C. Diagrams in Figs. 1(d)–1(i)

The calculation of diagrams in Figs. 1(d)–1(i) repeats that of Figs. 1(a)–1(c) with minimal changes. Let us start with the diagram shown in Fig. 1(f)

\[
\langle \tilde{\Psi}(x^+, x_\perp, \sigma^\perp) | x^+, -\infty\rangle \Gamma_{\Psi}^{1\text{f}} = g^2 e_F \int d\beta_B d\beta_B \tilde{\Psi}(\beta_B, p_B) \Gamma
\]

\[
\times e^{-i p_B \cdot x^+} \int d\alpha d\beta \frac{1}{\beta - i e \alpha \beta_B + (p + p_B)\perp^2} e^{-i a \beta s - (p + p_B)\perp^2 - i e} \frac{s(\beta + \beta_B)}{\alpha \beta_B + (p + p_B)\perp^2 - (p + p_B)\perp^2 - i e}
\]

\[
= g^2 e_F \int d\beta_B d\beta_B e^{-i p_B \cdot y^+} \Psi(\beta_B, p_B) \Gamma \int_0^\infty d\alpha \int d\alpha d\beta \frac{1}{\beta - i e \alpha \beta_B + (p + p_B)\perp^2} e^{-i a \beta s - (p + p_B)\perp^2 - i e} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2e}}
\]

\[
= g^2 e_F \int d\beta_B d\beta_B e^{-i p_B \cdot y^+} \Psi(\beta_B, p_B) \Gamma \int_0^\infty d\alpha \alpha \int d\beta \frac{1}{\alpha \beta_B + (p + p_B)\perp^2 - (p + p_B)\perp^2 - i e} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2e}}.
\tag{32}
\]

Similar to Eq. (19), to get the last line we rotated the contour of integration over \(\alpha\) in the upper half-plane of complex \(\alpha\). Next, the contribution of diagrams in Figs. 1(d) and 1(e) is

\[
\langle \tilde{\Psi}(x^+, x_\perp, \sigma^\perp) | -\infty, y^+\rangle \Gamma_{\Psi}^{1\text{d,e}}
\]

\[
= g^2 e_F \int d\beta_B d\beta_B e^{-i p_B \cdot x^+} \tilde{\Psi}(\beta_B, p_B) \Gamma \int d\alpha d\beta \frac{1}{\alpha \beta_B + (p + p_B)\perp^2 + i e} \left[ 1 + \frac{(\beta + \beta_B)s}{\alpha \beta_B + (p + p_B)\perp^2 - i e} \right]
\]

\[
+ \frac{(\beta + \beta_B)s}{\alpha \beta_B + (p + p_B)\perp^2 - i e} \frac{2\pi \delta(\alpha \beta_B s - (p + p_B)\perp^2 - i e)}{\beta - i e} e^{i a \beta s - (p + p_B)\perp^2 - i e}
\]

\[
= g^2 e_F \int d\beta_B d\beta_B e^{-i p_B \cdot x^+} \Psi(\beta_B, p_B) \Gamma \int_0^\infty d\alpha \alpha \int d\beta \frac{1}{\alpha \beta_B + (p + p_B)\perp^2 + i e} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2e}}
\]

\[
+ \frac{(p + p_B)^2 \epsilon e^{-i p_B \cdot \chi(\beta, p_B \perp^2 + i e)}}{\alpha \beta_B + (p + p_B)\perp^2 + i e} \left[ 1 + \frac{(\alpha \beta_B s + p_\perp^2)}{p_\perp^2 (\alpha \beta_B s - (p + p_B)\perp^2 - i e)} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2e}}\right].
\tag{33}
\]
The sum of Eqs. (32) and (33) can be rewritten as

$$\langle \tilde{\psi}(x^+, x_L, -\delta^-)|-\infty, y^+\rangle_{\tilde{\psi}}^{\text{Fig. 1d-f}} = g^2 c_F \int d\beta d p_{BL} e^{-ip_{\alpha} x} \Gamma(\beta, p_{BL}) \int_0^\infty d\alpha e^{i\alpha} \int d p_L e^{i(p, \Delta)} \left[ \beta_B s \left( e^{\frac{\alpha^2}{\beta_B s}} - 1 \right) \right] \left[ \frac{p_L^2}{\alpha^2 p_B s - (p + p_B)^2 + i\epsilon} \right]$$

$$+ \left( p + p_B \right)^2 \left[ e^{i(\beta_B - \partial p)^2 \eta \sqrt{\Delta} - e^{-i\frac{\epsilon}{\beta_B s}}} \right]$$

Now, the integral

$$\int_0^\infty d\alpha e^{i\alpha} \int d p_L e^{i(p, \Delta)} \left[ \beta_B s \left( e^{\frac{\alpha^2}{\beta_B s}} - 1 \right) \right] \left[ \frac{p_L^2}{\alpha^2 p_B s - (p + p_B)^2 + i\epsilon} \right]$$

differs from the corresponding integral in Eq. (23) by complex conjugation and replacements $x \leftrightarrow y$, $p_B \leftrightarrow -p_B$ so we get the result obtained from Eq. (31) by the same manipulations

$$\sigma' \frac{d}{d\sigma} \langle \tilde{\psi}(x^+, x_L, -\delta^-) | y^+, y_L, -\delta^- \rangle_{\tilde{\psi}}^{\text{Fig. 1d-f}} = -\frac{g^2}{8\pi^2} c_F \int d\beta_B \Psi(\beta_B, x_L) \Gamma e^{-ip_{\alpha} x} \ln \left( -i \left( \beta_B + i\epsilon \right) \sigma' s \Delta^2 e^{i\epsilon} \right) + O \left( \frac{m_\perp^2}{\beta_B s} \sigma' s \right).$$

Finally, let us discuss diagrams in Figs. 1(g)–1(i). Since the separation between operators $\psi(x)$ and $\psi(y)$ is spacelike, we can replace the product of operators by the T-product and get

$$\langle T \{ \tilde{\psi}(x^+, x_L, -\delta^-) | \Gamma \psi(y^+, y_L, -\delta^-) \} \rangle_{\tilde{\psi}}^{\text{Fig. 1g-i}} = g^2 c_F \int d\beta_B d p_B d p_B' e^{-ip_{\alpha} x - ip_{\alpha} y} \int d p_{\epsilon} e^{i(\epsilon - \delta^-)_{\perp} + i\epsilon B_{\perp}} \Psi(\beta_B, p_B) \Gamma(\beta_B, p_B') \Psi(p_B') \frac{\Gamma(\rho + \rho', \rho - \rho', \rho, p_{\rho}, p_{\rho}', \rho')}{(p^2 + i\epsilon)(p - p_B)^2 + i\epsilon((p + p_B)^2 + i\epsilon).}$$

Here we introduced two different point splittings $\delta^-$ and $\delta^-$. This is a temporary auxiliary construction that simplifies the solution of the differential evolution equations obtained below. In the final results we take $\delta^- = \delta^- = \frac{1}{\rho_0}$ where $\sigma$ is our rapidity cutoff in $\alpha$.

Let us demonstrate that the integral (37) does not depend on $\delta^-, \delta^-$ in the region

$$\Delta^2 \gg \Delta^+ \delta^-, \Delta^+ \delta^- \gg \sigma' B s, \sigma' B s \gg m_\perp^2.$$

Consider

$$\int d p e^{i\rho} \frac{(\rho - \rho_B') \Gamma(\rho + \rho', \rho - \rho', \rho, p_{\rho}, p_{\rho}', \rho')}{(p^2 + i\epsilon)(p - p_B)^2 + i\epsilon((p + p_B)^2 + i\epsilon)}$$

$$= \frac{s}{2} \int d\beta d p_{\perp} e^{i\alpha(\delta^- - \delta^-) + i\beta \Delta^+} \frac{e^{i(\rho_{\Delta})_{\perp} \left[ (\beta - \beta_B) p_{\perp}^2 + (p - p_B)^2 \right] \Gamma(\beta + \rho_B, p_{\rho}, p_{\rho}', \rho')}{(p^2 + i\epsilon)(p - p_B)^2 + i\epsilon((p + p_B)^2 + i\epsilon)} \left[ \alpha(\beta + \rho_B) s - (p - p_B)^2 + i\epsilon \right] \left[ \alpha(\beta + \rho_B) s - (p + p_B)^2 + i\epsilon \right] \right.$$

where $\tilde{\alpha} = (\delta^- - \delta^-)$. Since due to Eq. (38) $\tilde{\Delta}^2 = -\Delta^2_\perp + 2\Delta(\delta - \delta^-) \neq 0$, there is no overall divergence and the integral in the left-hand side (LHS) is UV convergent. Also, since $p_{BL}, p_{BL} \neq 0$ the integral in the LHS of Eq. (39) is IR convergent. Now, let us expand the RHS of this equation in powers of $\Delta (\delta - \delta^-)$. The first term of the expansion is the integral (39) with $\tilde{\Delta}$ replaced by $\Delta$ which is also convergent since $\Delta^2 = -\Delta^2_\perp < 0$. Moreover, if one takes three residues over $\beta$ in the RHS [corresponding to three diagrams in Figs. 1(g)–1(i)], one gets integrals over $\alpha$ which converge at $\alpha \sim \frac{m_\perp^2}{\beta_B s}$ due
to Eq. (38). Thus, the expansion of $e^{i a q (\beta - \delta)}$ gives the $\sigma, \sigma'$-independent term plus power corrections that we neglect. In other words, the diagrams in Figs. 1(g)–1(i) do not contribute to the rapidity evolution in the Sudakov region.

Thus, the result of the calculation of diagrams in Fig. 1 reads

$$
\left( \sigma \frac{d}{d\sigma} + \sigma' \frac{d}{d\sigma'} \right) \bar{\psi}(x^+, x_\perp) \Gamma \psi_0(y^+, y_\perp) = -\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} c_F \int d\beta_B d\beta_B' \bar{\Psi}(\beta_B, x_\perp) \Psi(\beta_B', y_\perp) e^{-i b_{\omega, x} - i b_{\omega, y'}} \ln \left( -\frac{i}{4} (\beta_B + i\epsilon) \sigma s b_{\perp e}^2 e' \right) + \ln \left( -\frac{i}{4} (\beta_B' + i\epsilon) \sigma' s b_{\perp e'}^2 e' \right) + O \left( \frac{m_1^2}{\beta_B \sigma s}, \frac{m_1^2}{\beta_B' \sigma' s} \right),
$$

where $b_{\perp} \equiv \Delta_{\perp}$ is a standard notation for the transverse separation of the TMD operator.

### D. Evolution equations for quark TMDs

Promoting background fields in the RHS of Eq. (40) to operators, one obtains the leading-order evolution equation of quark TMD operators in the form

$$
\left( \sigma \frac{d}{d\sigma} + \sigma' \frac{d}{d\sigma'} \right) \bar{\psi}(\beta_B, x_\perp) \Gamma \psi(\beta_B, y_\perp) = -\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} c_F \bar{\psi}(\beta_B, x_\perp) \Gamma \psi(\beta_B, y_\perp) \ln \left( -\frac{i}{4} (\beta_B + i\epsilon) \sigma s \Delta_{\perp}^2 e' \right) + \ln \left( -\frac{i}{4} (\beta_B' + i\epsilon) \sigma' s \Delta_{\perp}^2 e' \right),
$$

where standard TMD gauge links are assumed. The solution of the evolution equation (41) reads

$$
\bar{\psi}(\beta_B', x_\perp) \Gamma \psi(\beta_B, y_\perp) = e^{-\frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} \int 0_0 \ln \sigma' \ln \sigma + 2 \ln \left( -\frac{i}{4} (\beta_B' + i\epsilon) \sigma' s \Delta_{\perp}^2 e' \right)} \bar{\psi}(\beta_B, x_\perp) \Gamma \psi(\beta_B, y_\perp) e^{-\frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} \int 0_0 \ln \sigma \ln \sigma + 2 \ln \left( -\frac{i}{4} (\beta_B + i\epsilon) \sigma s \Delta_{\perp}^2 e' \right)}.
$$

It appears that two exponential factors in the RHS describe two independent evolutions of operators (26). Of course, this is not quite right since the left and right exponents come not only from “virtual” corrections of Fig. 1(c) type but also from “emission” diagrams of Figs. 1(a) and 1(b) type which is reflected in the $\Delta_{\perp}$ dependence of these factors. Still, as we will see below, this “factorized” structure persists to quark-loop corrections.

### I. Leading-order evolution in the coordinate space and conformal invariance

The evolution equation in the coordinate space is easily obtained by the Fourier transformation of Eq. (41),

$$
\left( \sigma \frac{d}{d\sigma} + \sigma' \frac{d}{d\sigma'} \right) \bar{\psi}(x^+, x_\perp) \Gamma \psi(y^+, y_\perp) = \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} c_F \int dz^+ \left\{ \ln q(-x^+ + z^+ + i\epsilon) - \ln \frac{m_{1/2}^2}{4} e^{2i} \right\} + \text{c.c.} \bar{\psi}(z^+, x_\perp) \Gamma \psi(y^+, y_\perp) + \left\{ \ln q(-y^+ + w^+ + i\epsilon) - \ln \frac{m_{1/2}^2}{4} e^{2i} \right\} + \text{c.c.} \bar{\psi}(x^+, x_\perp) \Gamma \psi(y^+, y_\perp).
$$

Note the “causality”: $z^+ \leq x^+$ and $w^+ \leq y^+$: the evolved $\bar{\psi}, \psi$ operators lag behind the original ones, similar to the case of power corrections to TMD factorization where the emission of additional projectile/target gluons also lags behind the original quark operators (see Refs. [17,18]).
The solution of this equation has the form

\[
\tilde{\psi}^{\sigma'}(x^+, x_\perp) \Gamma \psi^{\sigma'}(y^+, y_\perp) = e^{-\frac{\alpha_{\text{eff}}}{2} \left( \ln \frac{\mu^2}{\mu_0^2} + \ln \mu_0^2 \right)} \times \int dz^+ \left[ \frac{i \Gamma \left( 1 - \frac{\alpha_{\text{eff}}}{2x} \ln \frac{\mu^2}{\mu_0^2} \right)}{\left( z^+ - x^+ + i\epsilon \right) \left( z^+ - x^+ \right)} + \text{c.c.} \right] \int dw^+ \left[ \frac{i \Gamma \left( 1 - \frac{\alpha_{\text{eff}}}{2x} \ln \frac{\mu^2}{\mu_0^2} \right)}{\left( w^+ - y^+ + i\epsilon \right) \left( w^+ - y^+ \right)} + \text{c.c.} \right] \times \frac{1}{4\pi^2} (b_1^2 + e^2 \sqrt{s/8})^{-\frac{\alpha_{\text{eff}}}{2x} \left( \ln \frac{\mu^2}{\mu_0^2} + \ln \mu_0^2 \right)} \tilde{\psi}^{\sigma'}(z^+, x_\perp) \Gamma \psi^{\sigma'}(w^+, y_\perp).
\]

(44)

In the leading order one does not take into account QCD running coupling so one should expect some symmetries related to conformal invariance. Indeed, if we take \( \sigma' = \sigma = \sqrt{\beta_0^2} \) where \( \beta \) is an evolution parameter, the evolution (44) is invariant under a certain subgroup of conformal group SO(2,4) (see the discussion in Ref. [8]).

III. QUARK LOOP CORRECTION

It is well-known that the argument of the coupling constant in the LO rapidity evolution equations [(41) or (42)] cannot be determined. As we mentioned above, we will use the BLM method to fix the argument of the running coupling constant. According to the BLM procedure, we need to calculate the contribution of the first quark loop to our TMD evolution (40) and promote \(-\frac{1}{6\alpha} n_f\) to full \( b_0 \approx \frac{11}{12\pi} N_c - \frac{1}{6\alpha} n_f \). Each gluon propagator in diagrams in Fig. 1 should be replaced by a one-loop correction, i.e.,

\[
\frac{1}{p^2 + i\epsilon} \rightarrow \frac{1}{p^2 + i\epsilon} \left( 1 + b_0 \alpha_s(\mu) \ln \frac{\bar{\mu}^2}{-p^2 - i\epsilon} \right),
\]

\[
\frac{1}{p^2 - i\epsilon} \rightarrow \frac{1}{p^2 - i\epsilon} \left( 1 + b_0 \alpha_s(\mu) \ln \frac{\bar{\mu}^2}{-p^2 + i\epsilon} \right),
\]

\[
2\pi\delta(p^2) \delta(p_0) \rightarrow \frac{i\theta(p_0)}{p^2 + i\epsilon} \left( 1 + b_0 \alpha_s(\mu) \ln \frac{\bar{\mu}^2}{-p^2 - i\epsilon} \right) - \frac{i\theta(p_0)}{p^2 - i\epsilon} \left( 1 + b_0 \alpha_s(\mu) \ln \frac{\bar{\mu}^2}{-p^2 + i\epsilon} \right).
\]

(45)

where \( \bar{\mu}^2 \equiv \bar{\mu}_{\gamma_S}^2 \beta_{5/3} \). The first two lines are trivial while the third line corresponds to the sum of the diagrams shown in Fig. 3. First, note that the convergence of integral (37) representing diagrams in Figs. 1(h) and 1(i) is not affected by extra \( \ln \frac{\bar{\mu}^2}{-p^2 - i\epsilon} \). Repeating the arguments after Eq. (37) we see that the contribution of diagrams in Figs. 1(h) and

1(i) with extra quark loops is still a power correction \( \frac{m_t^2}{\gamma_{\mu_5}} \) (multiplied by an extra log). Thus, we need to consider diagrams in Figs. 1(a)–1(c) and 1(d)–1(f).

It is convenient to start again with the diagram in Fig. 1(c). Replacing Feynman gluon propagator \( \frac{1}{p^2 + i\epsilon} \) in Eq. (19) by the \( \alpha_s \) correction from the first line in Eq. (45)

![FIG. 3. Quark loop correction to cut gluon propagator.](https://example.com/fig3.png)
we get

\[
\langle T\{(-\infty, y^+\}, \Gamma\Psi(y^+, y_{\perp}, -\delta^-)\}\rangle_{\Psi}^{\text{loop 1c}}
\]

\[
= -i4\pi b_0\alpha_s^2(\mu) c_F \int d\beta_B d\mu_B e^{-i\mu_B y^+} \int d\alpha d\beta d\nu \frac{1}{\beta + i\epsilon} e^{-i\mu_B \nu} \frac{\ln \frac{\rho^2}{\alpha_B - p_{\perp} + i\epsilon}}{\alpha_B s - (p - p_B)^2 + i\epsilon} \frac{s(\beta - \beta_B)}{\alpha_B s - (p - p_B)^2 + i\epsilon} \Gamma(\beta_B, \mu_B)
\]

\[
= 4\pi b_0\alpha_s^2(\mu) c_F \int d\beta_B d\mu_B e^{-i\mu_B y^+} \int d\alpha d\beta d\nu \frac{\beta_B s \ln \frac{\rho^2}{\rho}}{\rho + i\epsilon} \Gamma(\beta_B, \mu_B) e^{-i\nu^2} \Gamma(\beta_B, \mu_B) e^{-i\nu^2}.
\]

(46)

where we made the same rotation of contour over \( \alpha \) on angle \( \pi \) in the lower complex half-plane as in Eq. (19). We get

\[
\sigma \frac{d}{d\sigma} \langle T\{(-\infty, y^+\}, \Gamma\Psi(y^+, y_{\perp}, -\delta^-)\}\rangle_{\Psi}^{\text{loop 1c}}
\]

\[
= -i4\pi b_0\alpha_s^2(\mu) c_F \int d\beta_B d\mu_B e^{-i\mu_B y^+} \int d\alpha d\beta d\nu \frac{\alpha_B s \ln \frac{\rho^2}{\rho}}{\rho + i\epsilon} \Gamma(\beta_B, \mu_B) e^{-i\nu^2} \Gamma(\beta_B, \mu_B) e^{-i\nu^2}.
\]

(47)

Next, consider diagrams in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b). Using Eqs. (45) for various gluon propagators, we obtain the correction in the form

\[
\langle [x^+, -\infty]\Gamma\Psi(y^+, y_{\perp}, -\delta^-)\rangle_{\Psi}^{\text{loop 1a,b}}
\]

\[
= 4\pi b_0\alpha_s^2(\mu) c_F \int d\beta_B d\mu_B e^{-i(\mu_B y^+)} \Gamma(\beta_B, \mu_B)
\]

\[
\times \int d\alpha d\beta d\nu \left[ \frac{i\theta(\alpha)}{\alpha(\beta - \beta_B)s - (p - p_B)^2 + i\epsilon} \right. \frac{\Gamma(\beta_B, \mu_B)}{} \left. \frac{1}{\beta + i\epsilon} e^{-i\nu^2} \right.
\]

\[
\times \left[ \frac{i(\beta - \beta_B)s \theta(\alpha) \ln \frac{\rho^2}{\rho}}{\rho + i\epsilon} \Gamma(\beta_B, \mu_B) \right. \left. \frac{1}{\beta + i\epsilon} \right]
\]

(48)

where the second line comes from the Fig. 1(b) diagram while the third line is from the Fig. 1(a) diagram. The \( \sigma \) evolution reads

\[
\sigma \frac{d}{d\sigma} \langle [x^+, -\infty]\Gamma\Psi(y^+, y_{\perp}, -\delta^-)\rangle_{\Psi}^{\text{loop 1a,b}}
\]

\[
= i4\pi b_0\alpha_s^2(\mu) c_F \int d\beta_B d\mu_B e^{-i(\mu_B y^+)} \Gamma(\beta_B, \mu_B)
\]

\[
\times \int d\alpha d\beta d\nu \left[ \frac{i\alpha(\beta - \beta_B)s \theta(\alpha) \ln \frac{\rho^2}{\rho}}{\rho + i\epsilon} \Gamma(\beta_B, \mu_B) \right. \left. \frac{1}{\beta + i\epsilon} \right]
\]

(49)

Now we shall prove that the dependence of RHS on \( \Delta^+ \) is a power correction. It is convenient to consider the derivative with respect to \( x^+ \)
\[
\Delta^+ \frac{d}{dx^+} \sigma \frac{d}{d\sigma} \left( [\infty, x^+] \Gamma_{\Psi}(y^+, y_-, -\delta) \right)_{\Psi^{ab}}^{\text{loop}} = 4\pi b_0 a_0^2(\mu_c) c_F \int d\rho^2 dP_{B_+} e^{-il(p_B, \rho)} \Gamma \Psi(\beta_{B}, P_{B}) \frac{q\Delta^+}{\sigma} \int d\rho d\rho_+ e^{-\rho^2_{\rho} - i\rho_{\rho} \Delta^+ + il(p_{\rho})} \\
\times \left[ \frac{i\alpha(\beta - \beta_{B})s \theta(\alpha)}{\alpha(\beta - \beta_{B})s - (p - p_B)_1^2 + i\epsilon |(\alpha \beta s - p^2_\perp + i\epsilon)^2 - \alpha(\beta - \beta_{B})s - (p - p_B)_1^2 - i\epsilon |(\alpha \beta s - p^2_\perp + i\epsilon)^2} \right]. \quad (50)
\]

Let us consider case $\Delta^+ > 0$. The second term in the square brackets in the RHS of Eq. (50) vanishes while the first one can be rewritten as

\[
\frac{q\Delta^+}{\sigma} \int d\rho d\rho_+ e^{-\rho^2_{\rho} - i\rho_{\rho} \Delta^+ + il(p_{\rho})} \frac{i\alpha(\beta - \beta_{B})s \theta(\alpha)}{\alpha(\beta - \beta_{B})s - (p - p_B)_1^2 + i\epsilon |(\alpha \beta s - p^2_\perp + i\epsilon)^2 - \alpha(\beta - \beta_{B})s - (p - p_B)_1^2 - i\epsilon |(\alpha \beta s - p^2_\perp + i\epsilon)^2} \\
= \frac{q\Delta^+}{\sigma} \int d\rho d\rho_+ e^{-\rho^2_{\rho} - i\rho_{\rho} \Delta^+ + il(p_{\rho})} \frac{\ln \frac{\rho^2_{\rho}}{m^2_{\rho} + \epsilon^2} e^{-i\rho_{\rho} \Delta^+}}{\alpha(\beta - \beta_{B})s - (p - p_B)_1^2 + i\epsilon |(\alpha \beta s - p^2_\perp + i\epsilon)^2 - \alpha(\beta - \beta_{B})s - (p - p_B)_1^2 - i\epsilon |(\alpha \beta s - p^2_\perp + i\epsilon)^2}. \quad (51)
\]

The first term in the RHS can easily be calculated,

\[
\frac{2q\Delta^+}{\sigma s} \int d^4 p \ln \frac{\rho^2_{\rho}}{m^2_{\rho} + \epsilon^2} e^{-i\rho_{\rho} \Delta^+} = \frac{2\beta_{B} q \Delta^+ \ln(\Delta^2 \mu^2 + i\epsilon)}{\sigma \beta_{B} s} \frac{\mu^2}{4\pi^2 (\Delta^2 + i\epsilon)} \approx \frac{2\beta_{B} q \Delta^+ \ln(\Delta^2 \mu^2 + i\epsilon)}{\sigma \beta_{B} s} \frac{\mu^2}{4\pi^2 \Delta^2} \sim \beta_{B} q \Delta^+ \times O\left( \frac{m^2_{\rho}}{\sigma \beta_{B} s} \right). \quad (52)
\]

where $\Delta^2 = -2\Delta^+ \delta^+ - \Delta^2_1 \approx -\Delta^2_1$. Since, as discussed in the Introduction, we consider $\beta_{B} q \Delta^+ \sim 1$, this term is a power correction $\sim m^2_{\rho}/\sigma \beta_{B} s$. As to the second term in the RHS of Eq. (51), it can be estimated as follows: since the integral over $p_\perp$ is convergent at $p_\perp \sim \Delta^{-1}_1 \sim m_1$, one can replace $(p - p_B)_1^2$ in the numerator approximately by $m_1^2$ and get

\[
q\Delta^+ \frac{2m^2_1}{\sigma s} \int d^4 p \ln \frac{\rho^2_{\rho}}{m^2_{\rho} + \epsilon^2} e^{-i\rho_{\rho} \Delta^+} \sim \beta_{B} q \Delta^+ O\left( \frac{m^2_1}{\sigma \beta_{B} s} \right). \quad (53)
\]

Indeed, the integral over momenta in the RHS can be represented as ($\bar{u} \equiv 1 - u$)

\[
\int \frac{d^4 p}{i} \frac{\ln \frac{\rho^2_{\rho}}{m^2_{\rho} + \epsilon^2}}{(p^2 + i\epsilon)(p - p_B)_1^2 + i\epsilon} e^{-i\rho_{\rho} \Delta^+} = \int_0^1 du e^{-i\rho_{\rho} \Delta^+} \int \frac{d^4 p}{i} e^{-i\rho_{\rho} \Delta^+} \frac{1 + \ln \bar{u} - \ln(p_B^2 \bar{u}u - p^2 - i\epsilon)/\rho^2_{\rho}}{(p_B^2 \bar{u}u - p^2 - i\epsilon)^2} \\
\approx \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \int_0^1 du e^{-i\rho_{\rho} \Delta^+} \left( \frac{\Delta^2 \rho^2_{\rho}}{4} - \ln \frac{p_B^2 \bar{u}u}{\rho^2_{\rho}} + 2\gamma + \ln \frac{\bar{u}}{u} \right) K_0(\sqrt{p_B^2 \Delta^2 \bar{u}u}). \quad (54)
\]

where we used $\Delta^2 \approx -\Delta^2_1$. This integral is obviously $O(1)$ at $p_B^2 \bar{u}u \sim \Delta^2_1 \sim m_1^2$.

Summarizing, we proved that at $\Delta^+ > 0$ the RHS of integral (50) is a power correction. Also, at $\Delta^+ < 0$ only the second term in square brackets in the RHS of integral (50) contributes, and a similar calculation shows that Eq. (50) is a power correction. Thus, with power accuracy $O(m^2_1/\sigma \beta_{B} s)$ we can set $\Delta^+ = 0$. 
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Returning to Eq. (49) and taking $\Delta^+ = 0$ we get

\[
\frac{\sigma}{d\sigma} \left( \left[ -\infty, x^+ \right], \Gamma_\Psi(y^+, y_\perp, -\delta^-) \right)_{\Psi}^{\text{loop 1a,b}} = i4\pi b_0^2(\mu) c_F \sigma \frac{1}{p_1^-} \int d\beta_0 d\rho_{\beta_B} e^{-i(p_{\beta_B} \cdot 3)} \Gamma_\Psi(\beta_B, p_{\beta_B}) \int d\alpha d\beta_0 d\rho_{\beta_B} e^{-\frac{\beta^2}{2} + i(p_{\beta_B} \cdot \Delta)} \frac{1}{\beta + i\epsilon}
\times \left[ i\alpha(\beta - \beta_B)s(\alpha) \ln \frac{p_1^2}{p_1^- - \alpha + i\epsilon} - i\alpha(\beta - \beta_B)s(\alpha) \ln \frac{p_1^2}{p_1^- - \alpha + i\epsilon} \right]
\]

\[
= i4\pi b_0^2(\mu) c_F \frac{1}{p_1^2} \int d\beta_0 d\rho_{\beta_B} e^{-i(p_{\beta_B} \cdot 3)} \Gamma_\Psi(\beta_B, p_{\beta_B}) \int d\alpha d\beta_0 d\rho_{\beta_B} \frac{1}{p_1^2} \frac{1}{\alpha(\beta_B s + (p - p_B)_1^2 + i\epsilon)} e^{-\frac{\beta^2}{2}}.
\] (55)

The total contribution of diagrams in Figs. 1(a)–1(c) is a sum of Eqs. (47) and (55),

\[
\frac{\sigma}{d\sigma} \left( \left[ -\infty, x^+ \right], \Gamma_\Psi(y^+, y_\perp, -\delta^-) \right)_{\Psi}^{\text{Fig 1a-c loop}} = i4\pi b_0^2(\mu) c_F \int d\beta_0 d\rho_{\beta_B} e^{-i(p_{\beta_B} \cdot 3)} \Gamma_\Psi(\beta_B, p_{\beta_B}) \int d\alpha d\beta_0 d\rho_{\beta_B} \frac{1}{p_1^2} \frac{1}{\alpha(\beta_B s + (p - p_B)_1^2 + i\epsilon)} e^{-\frac{\beta^2}{2}}.
\] (56)

Next, similar to Eq. (30) one can demonstrate that $p_{\beta_B}$ dependence in the integral in the RHS can be omitted with power accuracy. Indeed,

\[
\int_0^\infty d\alpha d\beta_0 d\rho_{\beta_B} \frac{1}{p_1^2} \frac{1}{\alpha(\beta_B s + (p - p_B)_1^2 + i\epsilon)} e^{-\frac{\beta^2}{2}} \sim O\left( \frac{m_1^2}{\beta_B s} \ln \frac{\beta_B s}{m_1^2} \right).
\] (57)

Thus, we get

\[
\frac{\sigma}{d\sigma} \left( \left[ x^+ , -\infty \right], \Gamma_\Psi(y^+, y_\perp, -\delta^-) \right)_{\Psi}^{\text{Fig 1a-c loop}} = i4\pi b_0^2(\mu) c_F \int d\beta_0 d\rho_{\beta_B} e^{-i(p_{\beta_B} \cdot 3)} \Gamma_\Psi(\beta_B, p_{\beta_B}) \int d\alpha d\beta_0 d\rho_{\beta_B} \frac{1}{p_1^2} \frac{1}{\alpha(\beta_B s + (p - p_B)_1^2 + i\epsilon)} e^{-\frac{\beta^2}{2}}.
\] (58)

The integral in the RHS of this equation is calculated in Appendix C [see Eq. (C9)], so we get the result with one-loop accuracy in the form

\[
\frac{\sigma}{d\sigma} \left( \left[ x^+ , -\infty \right], \Gamma_\Psi(y^+, y_\perp, -\delta^-) \right)_{\Psi}^{\text{Fig 1a-c loop}} = -\frac{\alpha(\mu)}{2\pi} c_F \int d\beta_0 d\rho_{\beta_B} \Gamma_\Psi(\beta_B, p_{\beta_B}) e^{-i(p_{\beta_B} \cdot 3)} \left( \ln \left[ \frac{1}{4} (\beta_B + i\epsilon) \sigma_s \Delta_1^2 e' \right] \right)
+ \frac{b_0}{2} \frac{\alpha(\mu)}{\beta_B s} \left( \ln \left[ \frac{\Delta^2}{\alpha(\mu) \beta_B s} \right] + 3\gamma \right) \left( \ln \left[ \frac{1}{4} (\beta_B + i\epsilon) \sigma_s \Delta_1^2 e' \right] \right) \frac{\pi^2}{2} + O\left( \frac{m_1^2}{\beta_B s} \right),
\] (59)
where $\mu_s^2 \equiv \sqrt{\mu_T^2 / \Delta}$. Thus, the BLM scale for Sudakov evolution is halfway between the transverse momentum and the energy scale of TMD.

Performing a similar calculation of the loop contribution to diagrams in Figs. 1(d)–1(f) we obtain

$$
\sigma' \frac{d}{d\sigma'} \langle \Psi(x^+, x_\perp, -\delta') \Gamma[x^+, -\infty], x_\perp[-\infty, +\infty] \rangle_{\Psi}^{\text{Fig1d-f}} = -\frac{\alpha_s(\mu_{\sigma'})}{2\pi} \int d\rho_B \bar{\Psi}(\beta_B, x_\perp) e^{-i\rho_B \sigma'} e^{\frac{i}{4} (\beta_B + i\epsilon) \sigma' \Delta_\perp^2 e'} \ln \left[ -\frac{i}{4} (\beta_B + i\epsilon) \sigma' \Delta_\perp^2 e' \right] + O((\alpha_s(\mu_{\sigma'}))) + O\left( \frac{m_\gamma^2}{\beta_B \sigma' \sigma_s} \right), \quad (60)
$$

where $\mu_{\sigma'}^2 \equiv \sqrt{\sigma' \rho_{\sigma'}^2 / 2\alpha_s}$.

Combining Eqs. (59) and (60) and promoting background fields to operators we obtain the evolution equation for the TMD operator in the form

$$
\left( \frac{\alpha_s(\mu_{\sigma})}{\partial \mu_{\sigma}} + \alpha_s(\mu_{\sigma}) \frac{d}{d\alpha' \sigma'} \right) \bar{\Psi}(\beta_B, x_\perp) \Gamma \Psi(\beta_B, y_\perp) = -\frac{c_F}{2\pi} \bar{\Psi}(\beta_B, x_\perp) \Gamma \Psi(\beta_B, y_\perp) \left[ \alpha_s(\mu_{\sigma}) \ln \left( -\frac{i}{4} (\beta_B + i\epsilon) \sigma' s b_\perp^2 e' \right) + \alpha_s(\mu_{\sigma}) \ln \left( -\frac{i}{4} (\beta_B + i\epsilon) \sigma s b_\perp^2 e' \right) \right], \quad (61)
$$

where $b_\perp \equiv \Delta_\perp = (x - y)$. We see that in the Sudakov region we can define TMD operator (4) with two independent “left” and “right” cutoffs $\sigma$ and $\sigma'$ defined in Eqs. (26) and the evolutions with respect to those cutoffs are independent [except for $b_\perp = (x - y)_\perp$].

We can solve evolution equation (61) by replacing $\sigma \frac{d}{d\sigma} = -\frac{b_\perp}{2} \alpha_s^2(\mu_{\sigma}) \frac{d}{d\alpha' \sigma'}$ (and similarly for $\sigma' \frac{d}{d\sigma'}$). We get then

$$
\left( \frac{\alpha_s(\mu_{\sigma})}{\partial \mu_{\sigma}} + \alpha_s(\mu_{\sigma}) \frac{d}{d\alpha' \sigma'} \right) \bar{\Psi}(\beta_B, x_\perp) \Gamma \Psi(\beta_B, y_\perp) = \frac{c_F}{\pi b_0^2} \left[ \alpha_s(\mu_{\sigma}) \ln \left( -\frac{i}{4} (\beta_B + i\epsilon) \sigma' s b_\perp^2 e' \right) + \alpha_s(\mu_{\sigma}) \ln \left( -\frac{i}{4} (\beta_B + i\epsilon) \sigma s b_\perp^2 e' \right) \right] \bar{\Psi}(\beta_B, x_\perp) \Gamma \Psi(\beta_B, y_\perp) = -\frac{2c_F}{\pi b_0^2} \left[ \frac{\alpha_s(\mu_{\sigma})}{\alpha_s(b_\perp^2)} + \frac{\alpha_s(\mu_{\sigma})}{\alpha_s(b_\perp^2)} - 2 - \frac{b_0 \alpha_s(\mu_{\sigma})}{\alpha_s(b_\perp^2)} \ln [-i(\tau_B + i\epsilon)] - \frac{b_0 \alpha_s(\mu_{\sigma})}{\alpha_s(b_\perp^2)} \ln [-i(\tau_B + i\epsilon)] \right] \bar{\Psi}(\beta_B, x_\perp) \Gamma \Psi(\beta_B, y_\perp), \quad (62)
$$

where $b_0^2 \equiv \frac{b_\perp^2}{2} e'^2 / 2$ and $\tau_B = \frac{\beta_B}{\rho_B}, \tau_B' = \frac{\beta_B}{\rho_B}$. Note that formally $\alpha_s \ln [-i(\tau_B + i\epsilon)]$ exceeds our accuracy but we keep it to ensure the correct causal structure in the coordinate space, similar to the leading order evolution (43).

The solution of Eq. (61) has the form

$$
\bar{\Psi}(\beta_B, x_\perp) \Gamma \Psi(\beta_B, y_\perp) = \frac{2c_F}{\pi b_0^2} \left[ \frac{\alpha_s(\mu_{\sigma})}{\alpha_s(b_\perp^2)} + \frac{\alpha_s(\mu_{\sigma})}{\alpha_s(b_\perp^2)} - 2 - \frac{b_0 \alpha_s(\mu_{\sigma})}{\alpha_s(b_\perp^2)} \ln [-i(\tau_B + i\epsilon)] - \frac{b_0 \alpha_s(\mu_{\sigma})}{\alpha_s(b_\perp^2)} \ln [-i(\tau_B + i\epsilon)] \right] \bar{\Psi}(\beta_B, x_\perp) \Gamma \Psi(\beta_B, y_\perp) = e^{-\frac{2c_F}{\pi b_0^2} \left[ \frac{\alpha_s(\mu_{\sigma})}{\alpha_s(b_\perp^2)} + \frac{\alpha_s(\mu_{\sigma})}{\alpha_s(b_\perp^2)} - 2 - \frac{b_0 \alpha_s(\mu_{\sigma})}{\alpha_s(b_\perp^2)} \ln [-i(\tau_B + i\epsilon)] - \frac{b_0 \alpha_s(\mu_{\sigma})}{\alpha_s(b_\perp^2)} \ln [-i(\tau_B + i\epsilon)] \right] \bar{\Psi}(\beta_B, x_\perp) \Gamma \Psi(\beta_B, y_\perp). \quad (63)
$$

Using the expansion

$$
\left( \frac{1}{\alpha_s(b_\perp^2)} - \frac{b_0}{2} \ln [-i(\tau_B + i\epsilon)] \right) \ln \frac{\alpha_s(\mu_{\sigma})}{\alpha_s(\mu_{\sigma'})} + \frac{1}{\alpha_s(\mu_{\sigma'})} - \frac{1}{\alpha_s(\mu_{\sigma})} \frac{b_0^2}{4} \alpha_s(\sigma) \ln \left( -\frac{i}{4} (\beta_B + i\epsilon) \sigma s b_\perp^2 e' \right) + \frac{1}{2} \ln \sigma \sigma_0 = O(\alpha_s^2).
$$

it is easy to check that at the leading order we obtain the LO equation (42).

Note that, as in the leading order, the structure of Sudakov evolution (63) looks like two independent exponential factors which describe two independent evolutions of operators (26) [see the discussion below Eq. (42)]. Of course, one should not expect this property beyond the Sudakov region.
Let us present the final result for the rapidity evolution of quark TMDs with running coupling

$$\bar{\Psi}^\sigma (p_B', x_\perp) \Gamma \psi^\sigma (p_B, y_\perp) = e^{\frac{2 i x_\perp}{m_B} \frac{1}{n_i (k_\perp)} \frac{1}{2} \ln [-i (r_B + i \epsilon)]} \ln \left( \frac{\alpha (p_B')}{\alpha (p_B)} \right) \frac{1}{n_i (k_\perp)} \psi^\sigma (p_B', x_\perp) \Gamma \psi^\sigma (p_B, y_\perp),$$

(65)

where $$\mu_2^2 \equiv b_\perp \frac{1}{\alpha (p_B)}$$, $$\mu_2' \equiv b_\perp \frac{1}{\alpha (p_B')}$$. Equation (65) is one of the main results of this paper, another being the similar Eq. (130) for gluon TMDs.

### A. Quark loop contribution from light-cone expansion

There is a simple way to check Eq. (59). First, note that knowing the result (59), we can take $$p_{B, \perp} = 0$$ from the beginning. This means that our external field is on the mass shell so we can use the light-cone expansion (see, e.g., Ref. [21]). Second, as we demonstrated above, the $$x^+$$ dependence of the LHS of Eq. (59) is power suppressed so we can take $$x^+ = y^+$$ from the beginning:

$$\sigma \frac{d}{d \sigma} \langle \{y^+, -\infty \}_{\perp} \{ -\infty, y^+ \}_{\perp} \Gamma \psi (y^+, y_\perp, -\delta) \rangle = \delta^+ - \frac{d}{d \delta^+} \langle \{ y^+, -\infty \}_{\perp} \{ -\infty, y^+ \}_{\perp} \Gamma \psi (y^+, y_\perp, -\delta) \rangle \bigg|_{\substack{\text{Fig 1a-c loop}}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (66)

In this case, all relevant distances are spacelike so we can replace the product of operators in the matrix element in the LHS by the T-product. Also, it is convenient to take $$y_\perp = 0$$ and $$y^+ = 0$$. Thus, we need to calculate

$$\frac{1}{b x_\perp \tilde{c}_F} \delta^+ \frac{d}{d \delta^+} \langle \{ 0^+, -\infty \}_{\perp} \{ -\infty, 0^+ \}_{\perp} \Gamma \psi (0^+, 0_\perp, -\delta) \rangle \bigg|_{\substack{\text{Fig 1a-c loop}}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (67)

in the background field

$$\Psi (z^+) = \int d \beta_B e^{-i \beta_B z^+} \Psi (\beta_B),$$

where we used the BLM prescription (45) for the Feynman gluon propagator. Hereafter we use Schwinger’s notations defined as

$$(x|f (p)|y) = \int d p e^{-i p (x - y)} f (p), \quad (x|\Psi|y) = \Psi (x) \delta (x - y),$$  \hspace{1cm} (68)

and similarly $$(x|A|y) = A (x) \delta (x - y)$$ for future calculations in the gluon background. The relevant diagrams are shown in Fig. 4.

It is convenient to rewrite Eq. (67) as follows:

$$\text{RHS of Eq. (67)} = -2 i \delta^+ \frac{d}{d \delta^+} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{0} d z^+ \left( z^+, x_\perp \left| \frac{\ln \frac{\beta^+}{p^2}}{p^2} \Psi \frac{1}{p^2} 0^+, -\delta^-, 0_\perp \right) - (x_\perp \rightarrow 0) \right] \right]$$

$$+ 2 i \delta^+ \frac{d}{d \delta^+} \left[ \left( 0^+, x_\perp \left| \frac{\ln \frac{\beta^+}{p^2}}{p^2} \Psi \frac{1}{p^2} 0^+, -\delta^-, 0_\perp \right) - (x_\perp \rightarrow 0) \right] \right].$$

\(^3\)The reader may wonder why here we use the expansion at small $$\lambda_1^2$$, while in other sections we say that $$\lambda_1^2$$ is not small. The reason is that the parameter of the near-light-cone expansion of Ref. [21] is $$x^2 \tilde{D}^2$$ and $$D^2 = 0$$ in our approximation so the first term of this light-cone expansion is sufficient for our purposes at any $$x$$ [see Eq. (D3) from Appendix D].
\[(\partial - \frac{\partial z}{\partial x})_{x}(\frac{\partial x}{\partial z})_{x} = \left[ \left( \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} \right)_{x} \right]_{x} \partial_{x} e^{\int 0} - \left[ \left( \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} \right)_{x} \right]_{x} \partial_{x} e^{\int 0} \]

To compare to Eq. (69) we should take into account some additional relations. First, note that the second term in the RSH of Eq. (61) is

\[
\left[ (\partial - \frac{\partial z}{\partial x})_{x} \right]_{x} \partial_{x} e^{\int 0} = (\partial - \frac{\partial z}{\partial x})_{x} \partial_{x} e^{\int 0}
\]

Next, using formulas (82) and one obtains

\[
\left[ (\partial - \frac{\partial z}{\partial x})_{x} \right]_{x} \partial_{x} e^{\int 0} = (\partial - \frac{\partial z}{\partial x})_{x} \partial_{x} e^{\int 0}
\]

For the first line in Eq. (69) we get

\[
(\partial - \frac{\partial z}{\partial x})_{x} \partial_{x} e^{\int 0} = (\partial - \frac{\partial z}{\partial x})_{x} \partial_{x} e^{\int 0}
\]

Since \( z = 0 \) we can use higher-order expansion (62) from Sec. D. First, note that the second term in the RSH of Eq. (61) is
Also,
\[
-i g_\beta \int_{-\infty}^{0} dz^+ e^{-i \theta_\beta z^+} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( x_\perp^2 - 2 z^+ \delta^+ \right) + \ln \left( x_\perp^2 - 2 z^+ \delta^- \right) \left( \ln \frac{\mu^2}{4} + 2 \gamma \right) - \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{x_\perp^2}{x_\perp^2 - 2 z^+ \delta^-} \right) \right]
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2} \ln^2 x_\perp^2 + \ln x_\perp^2 \left( \ln \frac{\mu^2}{4} + 2 \gamma \right) - \frac{\pi^2}{6} + O \left( \frac{\delta^- - \delta^+}{\theta^2} \right),
\]
where we used the fact that \( \frac{2 \delta^-}{x_\perp^2} \sim \frac{1}{\sigma_\beta} \sim \frac{m^2}{\sigma_\beta} \ll 1 \).

Using these two integrals for Eq. (71) we get
\[
\frac{d}{d \delta^-} \langle \Gamma \{ [0^+, -\infty]_x | -\infty, 0^+ \} \rangle \Psi^{-1} (\beta^-) \rangle^{\Gamma \text{1a-c loop}}_{\Psi}
\]
\[
= \frac{b \alpha_s^2 \alpha \Psi}{16 \pi^2} \int d\beta_B^\perp \Psi (\beta_B^\perp) \left( \ln \frac{x_\perp^2}{2 \delta^-} \right) [ -i \delta_B + e ] + \gamma \left( \ln \frac{x_\perp^2 \mu^2}{8(-i \delta_B + e) q} + 3 \gamma \right) - \frac{\pi^2}{2} + O \left( \frac{\delta^- - \delta^+}{\theta^2} \right),
\]
which agrees with Eq. (59). We will use this method for calculation of quark loops in the gluon case below.

### IV. EVOLUTION OF QUARK TMDS WITH GAUGE LINKS OUT TO \( +\infty \)

The calculation of diagrams with Wilson lines going to \( +\infty \) repeats that of the \( -\infty \) case. For the diagrams of Figs. 1(a)–1(c) with gauge links out to \( +\infty \), instead of Eq. (19), we get
\[
\langle [\infty, y^+], \Gamma \Psi (y^+, y^\perp, \delta^-) \rangle_{\Psi}^{\Gamma \text{1c loop}}
\]
\[
= -i g^2 c_F \int d\beta_B d p_B \Psi e^{-i(p_B \theta)} \int d\alpha \beta_B d p_\perp \frac{1}{\beta - i \epsilon \alpha \delta_B - p_\perp^2 + i e \alpha (\beta - \beta_B) s - (p - p_B)^2 \beta_B + i e} \Gamma \Psi (\beta_B, p_B)\]
\[
= -g^2 c_F \int d\beta_B d p_B \Psi e^{-i(p_B \theta)} \int d\alpha \beta_B d p_\perp \frac{1}{p_\perp^2 [a \beta_B s + (p - p_B)^2 \beta_B - i e]} \Gamma \Psi (\beta_B, p_B),
\]
and in place of Eq. (14) or Eqs. (21) and (22)
\[
\langle [x, \infty], \Gamma \Psi (y^+, y^\perp, \delta^-) \rangle_{\Psi}^{\Gamma \text{1a,b loop}}
\]
\[
= g^2 c_F \int d\beta_B d p_B \Psi (\beta_B, p_B) e^{-i(p_B \theta)} \int d\alpha \beta_B d p_\perp \left[ 2 \pi \delta (\alpha (\beta - \beta_B) s - (p - p_B)^2 \beta_B) s \theta (\alpha) - \frac{1}{\alpha \beta_B s - (p - p_B)^2 \beta_B + i e} \right.
\]
\[
\left. + \frac{(\beta - \beta_B) s}{\alpha (\beta - \beta_B) s - (p - p_B)^2 \beta_B + i e} 2 \pi \delta (s - p_\perp^2 \beta_B) \theta (\alpha) \right] e^{-i \theta \Delta + i (p, \Delta) \beta_B} \Gamma \Psi (\beta_B, p_B)\]
\[
= g^2 c_F \int d\beta_B d p_B \Psi (\beta_B, p_B) e^{-i(p_B \theta)} \int d\alpha \beta_B d p_\perp \left[ \beta_B s \left( e^{-i \theta \Delta + i (p, \Delta) \beta_B} - 1 \right) \right.
\]
\[
\left. + \frac{(p - p_B)^2 e^{-i (p, \Delta) \beta_B}}{\alpha [a \beta_B s + (p - p_B)^2 \beta_B + i e]} \right] \Gamma \Psi (\beta_B, p_B),
\]
(76)

Note that for gauge links out to \( +\infty \), the sign cutoff \( \delta^- \) does not matter: the IR cancellation occurs at whatever \( \delta \). As discussed above, we choose the sign of splitting in such a way that all relevant distances in the operators are spacelike. With this sign of point splitting in the “−−” direction we can use the complex conjugate versions of integrals (C4)–(C9) in the Appendix C.

Similarly, one can easily demonstrate that the contribution of diagrams in Figs. 1(d)–1(f) with gauge links out to \( +\infty \) differs from Eq. (36) by replacements \( \beta_B + i e \rightarrow \beta_B - i e \) and \( \sigma \rightarrow -\sigma \). Repeating the analysis of Sec. II and using (complex conjugate) integral (C6) from Appendix C we get the evolution equation for quark TMDs with gauge links out to \( +\infty \) in the form
Now we have \( \psi_{\alpha}(\beta_B, x_\perp) \Gamma \psi_{\beta}(\beta_B, y_\perp) \)
\[ (\frac{d}{ds} + \sigma' \frac{d}{ds}) \psi'_{\alpha}(\beta_B', x_\perp) \Gamma \psi_{\beta}(\beta_B, y_\perp) \]
\[ - \frac{g^2}{8\pi^2} c_F \int d\beta_B d\beta_B' d\rho_B, d\rho_B' \psi'_{\alpha}(\beta_B', x_\perp) \Gamma \psi_{\beta}(\beta_B, y_\perp) e^{-i\rho_B \alpha' \rho_B} \]
\[ \times \left[ \ln \left( \frac{i}{4} (\beta_B - i\epsilon) \sigma s b^2 \eta^2 \right) + \ln \left( \frac{i}{4} (\beta_B' - i\epsilon) \sigma' s' b^2 \eta' \right) \right] + O \left( \frac{m_1^2}{B_B \sigma s} \frac{m_2^2}{B_B' \sigma s'} \right), \]
and the solution is
\[ \psi'_{\alpha}(\beta_B', x_\perp) \Gamma \psi_{\beta}(\beta_B, y_\perp) = e^{-\frac{g^2}{4\pi^2} \ln^2 \ln \sigma_0' + 2 \ln \ln (\beta_B' - i\epsilon) s b^2 \eta')} \psi_{\beta}(\beta_B, y_\perp) e^{-\frac{g^2}{4\pi^2} \ln^2 \ln \sigma_0 + 2 \ln \ln (\beta_B - i\epsilon) s b^2 \eta')}. \]
(77)
where \( \psi'_{\alpha}(\beta_B', x_\perp) \) and \( \psi_{\beta}(\beta_B, y_\perp) \) are given by formulas (26) with gauge links out to \( +\infty \):
\[ \psi'_{\alpha}(x^+, \perp) \equiv \psi \left( x^+, \perp, -\frac{1}{\rho \sigma} \right), \quad \psi_{\beta}(y^+, \perp) \equiv \psi \left( y^+, \perp, -\frac{1}{\rho \sigma} \right). \]
(79)
In the coordinate space Eq. (78) corresponds to
\[ \psi'_{\alpha}(x^+, \perp) \Gamma \psi_{\beta}(y^+, \perp) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} e^{-\frac{g^2}{8\pi^2} \ln^2 \ln \sigma_0' + \ln^2 \ln \sigma_0} \]
\[ \times \int d z^+ \left[ \frac{i \Gamma \left( 1 - \frac{g^2}{2\pi} \ln \frac{s_0}{s} \right)}{(x^+ - z^+ + i\epsilon) \ln \frac{s_0}{s}} \right] \int d w^+ \left[ \frac{i \Gamma \left( 1 - \frac{g^2}{2\pi} \ln \frac{s_0}{s} \right)}{(y^+ - w^++ i\epsilon) \ln \frac{s_0}{s}} \right] \]
\[ \times \psi_{\beta}(x^+, \perp) \Gamma \psi_{\beta}(y^+, \perp). \]
(80)
Now we have \( z^+ \geq x^+ \) and \( w^+ \geq y^+ \) so the evolution goes out to \( +\infty \).
For completeness, let us present the final result for the evolution with running coupling which is obtained from Eq. (65) by replacement \( -i \tau_B + \epsilon \) to \( i \tau_B + \epsilon \),
\[ \psi'_{\alpha}(\beta_B', x_\perp) \Gamma \psi_{\beta}(\beta_B, y_\perp) = e^{-\frac{g^2}{8\pi^2} \ln^2 \ln \sigma_0' + \ln^2 \ln \sigma_0} \]
\[ \times e^{-\frac{g^2}{8\pi^2} \ln^2 \ln \sigma_0' + \ln^2 \ln \sigma_0} \psi_{\beta}(\beta_B, y_\perp). \]
(81)

V. RAPIDITY EVOLUTION OF GLUON TMDS

A. Leading-order contribution

The gluon TMD is defined by the operator (5)
\[ F^{-i}(x^+, \perp) [x, x \pm \infty n] [x_\perp, y_\perp] \pm \infty n [\pm \infty n + y, y] F^{-i}(y^+, \perp). \]
(82)
The typical process determined by gluon TMD (with gauge links out to \( -\infty \)) is Higgs production by gluon-gluon fusion in the Sudakov region. If one approximates the \( t \)-quark loop by a point vertex, the differential cross section is determined by the "hadronic tensor" given by the formula similar to Eq. (1) with gluon TMDs [22],
\[ G(x_B, z_\perp, \eta) = \frac{-x_B^{-1}}{2\pi p} \int dz^+ e^{-is_B \tau^+} \langle P | F^{-i,a}(z^+, \perp) (z, \bar{z} \pm \infty n) [z_\perp, 0, 0] \pm \infty n [\pm \infty n, 0] ^{ab} F^{c}_{1,b}(0) | P \rangle, \]
(83)
in place of quark ones (see the discussion in Ref. [16]).

\footnote{It should also be noted that at small \( x \) the Sudakov double logs for Higgs production in \( pA \) collisions were studied in Refs. [23,24] using the \( k_T \) factorization approach.}
The leading-order rapidity evolution was found in Ref. [8]. Here we first repeat the LO derivation and then obtain the running-coupling correction by the BLM prescription. Similar to the quark case, we define rapidity-regularized operators by

\[ \hat{F}_i^{\sigma,a}(x_\perp, x^+) = (F_i^-)^b(x^+, x_\perp, -\delta^-)[x^+, -\infty]_{x}^{ba}, \]
\[ \hat{F}_i^{\sigma,a}(y_\perp, y^+) = [-\infty, y^+] \big( F_i^-)^b(x^+, x_\perp, -\delta^-), \] (84)

where \( \delta^- = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \). Let us emphasize again that we use the point-splitting operators in the RHSs only for the perturbative calculations.

Similar to the quark case considered above, to find the LO evolution equation we calculate diagrams in the background field \( A^\text{ext}_\mu(x_\perp, x_\perp) \) and use point splitting for regularization of rapidity divergencies,

\[
\langle \mathcal{A}_\mu^a(x)A^b_\nu(y) \rangle = \left( x \frac{-i}{P^2g_{\mu\nu} + 2i\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} + i\epsilon} \right)_{y}^{ab} = \left( x \frac{-ig_{\mu\nu}g^{ab}}{p^2 + i\epsilon} \right)_{y} - 2 \left( x \frac{1}{p^2 + i\epsilon} \mathcal{F}^{ab}_\mu \frac{1}{p^2 + i\epsilon} \right)_{y} + O(F^2),
\]
\[
\langle \bar{\mathcal{A}}_\mu^a(x)A^b_\nu(y) \rangle = \left( x \frac{i}{P^2g_{\mu\nu} + 2i\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} - i\epsilon} \right)_{y}^{ab} = \left( x \frac{ig_{\mu\nu}g^{ab}}{p^2 - i\epsilon} \right)_{y} + 2 \left( x \frac{1}{p^2 - i\epsilon} \mathcal{F}^{ab}_\mu \frac{1}{p^2 - i\epsilon} \right)_{y} + O(F^2),
\]
\[
\langle A^a_\mu(x)A^b_\nu(y) \rangle = - \left( x \frac{1}{P^2g_{\mu\nu} + 2i\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} - i\epsilon} p^2 \delta(p^2)\theta(p_0)p_0^2 \frac{1}{P^2g_{\mu\nu} + 2i\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} + i\epsilon} \right)_{y}^{ab}
= -g_{\mu\nu}g^{ab}(x)2\pi\delta(p^2)\theta(p_0)y + 4\pi i \left( x \frac{1}{p^2 + i\epsilon} \mathcal{F}^{ab}_\mu \delta(p^2)\theta(p_0) + \delta(p^2)\theta(p_0)\mathcal{F}^{ab}_\mu \frac{1}{p^2 + i\epsilon} \right)_{y} + O(F^2).
\] (86)

Here \( a \) and \( b \) are adjoint indices and

\[
(x|f(p)|y) = \int dp e^{-p(x-y)} f(p), \quad (x|f(A)|y) = f(A(x))\delta(x-y)
\]

are Schwinger’s notations for propagators in background fields.

Let us start with diagrams in Figs. 5(a)–5(c). The contribution of the virtual diagram i Fig. 5(c) is

\[
\langle \mathcal{F}^{\sigma,a}(y^+, y_\perp) \rangle^{\text{Fig. 5c}} = -ig^2 \int_{-\infty}^{y^+} dy^+ \left( A^{ab}(y^+, y_\perp) (D^- A^{\dagger b} - D^i A^{-b})(y^+, y_\perp, -\delta^-) \right)_{A}
= -2g^2 N_c \int_{-\infty}^{y^+} dy^+ \theta \left( y^+, y_\perp \right) \frac{1}{p^2 + i\epsilon} \mathcal{F}^{\dagger j,a} \left( y^+, y_\perp, -\delta^- \right)
= -2ig^2 N_c \left( \frac{1}{\beta + i\epsilon} \mathcal{F}^{\dagger j,a} \left( \beta, p_{B_\perp} \right) \right) \frac{\beta}{p^2 + i\epsilon} \delta(y - \delta^-)
= -isg^2 N_c \int d\beta d\beta d\mathcal{F}^{\dagger j,a}(\beta, \beta_B, p_{B_\perp}) e^{-ip_{\perp}y} \int d\alpha \frac{d\beta}{\beta + i\epsilon}
\times \int dp_{\perp} \frac{(\alpha + ps - p_{\perp}^2 + i\epsilon)(\alpha - (\beta_B)s - (p - p_{B_\perp}^2)^2 + i\epsilon)}{(\beta - \beta_B)e^{-i\omega_0}},
\] (87)
where $\mathcal{F}^{-i}(\beta_B, p_{B_\perp})$ is the Fourier transform of the background field:

$$
\mathcal{F}^{-i}(\beta_B, p_{B_\perp}) = \int dz^+ dz_\perp \mathcal{F}^{-i}(z^+, z_\perp) e^{i\beta_B z^+ - i(p_{\perp} z_\perp)}.
$$

(88)

It is worth noting that similar to the quark case, in a general gauge one should replace background fields by

$$
\mathcal{F}^a_{-\perp}(z^+, z_\perp) \to [z^+, \pm \infty]^a F_{-\perp}(z^+, z_\perp),
$$

(89)

where the direction of Wilson lines corresponds to the choice of $\pm \infty$ in Eq. (82).

The integral over momenta in Eq. (87) is the same as in Eq. (19), so we get

$$
\langle \mathcal{F}^a_{j}(y_{\perp}, y_\perp) \rangle^\text{Fig. 5c}_{A} = -ig^2 \int_{-\infty}^{y_\perp} \frac{d\nu^+}{2\pi} \mathcal{F}^{-j-a}(\beta_B, p_{B_\perp}) A^{a-b}(\nu^+, y_{\perp}, -\delta^-) (D^- A^{i,b} - D^i A^{-b}) (\nu^+, y_{\perp}, -\delta^-)
$$

(90)

$$
= -g^2 N_c \int d\beta_B d\nu^+ \mathcal{F}^{-j-a}(\beta_B, p_{B_\perp}) \int_0^\infty d\alpha \int d\nu^- \frac{\beta_B s}{p^+} \left( \alpha \right) e^{-i\nu^+}.
$$

(91)

Next, consider diagrams in Figs. 5(a) and 5(b)

$$
\langle x^+, -\infty \rangle^a_{A} \mathcal{F}^{-j,a}(y_{\perp}, y_\perp, -\delta^-) = ig^2 \int_{-\infty}^{y_\perp} dx^+ A^{-,ba}(x^+, x_\perp) (D^- A^{i,a} - D^i A^{-a}) (y_{\perp}, y_\perp, -\delta^-)
$$

$$
= -2ig^2 N_c \int_{-\infty}^{y_\perp} dx^+ \left( \frac{1}{p^2 - i\epsilon} \mathcal{F}^{-j,b} 2\pi \delta(p^2) \theta(p_0) + 2\pi \delta(p^2) \theta(p_0) \mathcal{F}^{-j,b} \frac{1}{p^2 + i\epsilon} \right) \left( \frac{1}{p^2 - i\epsilon} \mathcal{F}^{-j,a} \alpha \right)
$$

$$
= 2g^2 N_c \int \frac{d\beta_B d\nu}{\beta + i\epsilon} \mathcal{F}^{-j,b} (\beta_B, p_{B_\perp}) e^{-i\nu^+} \int d\alpha \frac{\beta_B s}{\beta + i\epsilon} e^{-i\nu^+}.
$$

(92)

$$
\times \int d\nu_\perp e^{i\nu_\perp} \left[ \frac{(\beta - \beta_B) \theta(\alpha)}{s - \beta_B - p^2_{\perp} - i\epsilon} 2\pi \delta(\beta - \beta_B) \theta(\alpha) + \frac{(\beta - \beta_B) \theta(\alpha)}{s - \beta_B - s - (p - p_B)^2_{\perp} + i\epsilon} + \frac{(\beta - \beta_B) \theta(\alpha) 2\pi \delta(\alpha \beta_{s} - s^2 - (p - p_B)^2_{\perp} + i\epsilon)}{\alpha(\beta - \beta_B)s - (p - p_B)^2_{\perp} + i\epsilon}.\right.
$$

(92)
The integral over momenta is the same as in the quark case [see Eq. (14)] so similar to Eqs. (21) and (22) we get

\[
\langle [x^+, -\infty]_x^{ab} F^{-j,a}(y^+, y_\perp, -\delta^-) \rangle_A
\]

\[
= g^2 N_c \int d\beta_B dp_B \mathcal{F}^{-j,b}(\beta_B, p_B) e^{-ip_B y} \int_0^\infty d\alpha \int dp_\perp \left\{ \frac{\beta_B se^{-i(\delta^+ + ip\Delta^+)} + i\epsilon}{p_\perp^2 \left[ \alpha \beta_{BS} + (p - p_B)^2_\perp + i\epsilon \right]} \right\}
\]

\[
\quad + \frac{(p - p_B)^2 e^{i(p\Delta^+)} - e^{-i(\delta^+ + ip\Delta^+)} - e^{-i(\delta^+ + ip\Delta^+)} - e^{-i\delta_\perp^2}}{\alpha \beta_{BS} + (p - p_B)^2_\perp + i\epsilon} e^{-i\frac{\Delta_\perp^2}{4}}
\]

and therefore

\[
\langle [x^+, -\infty]_x^{ab} [\infty, y^+]_y^{bc} F^{-j,c}(y^+, y_\perp, -\delta^-) \rangle_A \text{Fig. 5a-c}
\]  

\[
= g^2 N_c \int d\beta_B dp_B \mathcal{F}^{-j,b}(\beta_B, p_B) e^{-ip_B y} \int_0^\infty d\alpha \int dp_\perp \left\{ \frac{\beta_B se^{-i(\delta^+ + ip\Delta^+)} + i\epsilon}{p_\perp^2 \left[ \alpha \beta_{BS} + (p - p_B)^2_\perp + i\epsilon \right]} \right\}
\]

\[
\quad + \frac{(p - p_B)^2 e^{i(p\Delta^+)} - e^{-i(\delta^+ + ip\Delta^+)} - e^{-i(\delta^+ + ip\Delta^+)} - e^{-i\delta_\perp^2}}{\alpha \beta_{BS} + (p - p_B)^2_\perp + i\epsilon} e^{-i\frac{\Delta_\perp^2}{4}}
\]

The integral is the same as in Eq. (23) for the quark case, so similar to Eq. (31) we get the contribution of diagrams in Figs. 5(a)–5(c) in the form

\[
\alpha \frac{d}{d\sigma} \left( [x^+, -\infty]_x^{ab} [\infty, y^+]_y^{bc} gF^{-j,c}(y^+, y_\perp, -\frac{1}{\epsilon}\sigma) \right)_A \text{Fig. 5a-c}
\]

\[
= -\frac{g^2}{8\pi^2} N_c \int d\beta_B \mathcal{F}^{-j,b}(\beta_B, y_\perp) e^{-ip_B y^+} \ln \left( -\frac{i}{4} (\beta_B + i\epsilon) \sigma \Delta_\perp^2 \frac{\Delta_\perp}{\epsilon} \right) + O \left( \frac{m_1^2}{\beta_B \sigma} \right),
\]

where

\[
\mathcal{F}^{-i}(\beta_B, z_\perp) = \int dz^+ \mathcal{F}^{-i}(z^+, z_\perp) e^{i\beta\partial^{-}}
\]

in accordance with Eq. (88).

A similar calculation of diagrams in Figs. 5(d)–5(f) yields

\[
\langle F^{-i,a}(x^+, x_\perp, -\delta^-)[x^+, -\infty]_x^{ab} [\infty, y^+]_y^{bc} \rangle_A
\]

\[
= \left( \left( D^\alpha A^{\alpha a} - D^\alpha A^{\alpha -a} \right) [x^+, x_\perp, -\delta^-] i g^2 \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{x^+} dx^+ A^{-\alpha c}(x^+, x_\perp) - \int_{-\infty}^{y^+} dy^+ A^{-\alpha c}(y^+, y_\perp) \right] \right)_A
\]

\[
= g^2 N_c \int d\beta_B dp_B e^{-ip_B y} \mathcal{F}^{-i,c}(\beta_B, p_B) \int dp_\perp \int_0^\infty d\alpha e^\frac{\Delta_\perp^2}{2} \left( \frac{\beta_B se^{-i(\delta^+ + ip\Delta^+)} + i\epsilon}{p_\perp^2 \left[ \alpha \beta_{BS} + (p - p_B)^2_\perp + i\epsilon \right]} \right)
\]

\[
\quad + \frac{(p + p_B)^2 e^{i(p\Delta^+)} - e^{-i(\delta^+ + ip\Delta^+)} - e^{-i(\delta^+ + ip\Delta^+)} - e^{-i\Delta_\perp^2}}{\alpha \beta_{BS} + (p + p_B)^2_\perp + i\epsilon} e^{-i\frac{\Delta_\perp^2}{4}}
\]

and therefore [see Eq. (36)] we get
\[
\sigma \frac{d}{d\sigma'} \left( gF^{-i,\alpha}(x^+, x^\perp, -\frac{1}{\sigma^c}) \left[ x^+, -\infty \right] \left[ -\infty, y^+ \right] \right)_{\psi} = -\frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi^2} N_c \int d\beta_B F^{-i,c}(\beta_B, x^\perp) e^{-i\theta_B e^c} \ln \left( -\frac{i}{4} (\beta_B + i\epsilon) \sigma' s \Delta^2 e^c \right) + O \left( \frac{m_1^2}{\gamma_B} \right). \tag{100}
\]

Finally, let us discuss “handbag” diagrams in Figs. 5(g)−5(i). Similar to the quark case, since the separation between x and y is spacelike, we can replace the product of operators in Eq. (85) by the T-product and get

\[
\langle T \{ F^{-i,a}(x^+, x^\perp, -\delta^-) F_1^{-i,c}(y^+, y^\perp, -\delta^-) \} \rangle_A = -i \langle x | (P^- \delta^z_i - P^j \delta^x_i) \frac{1}{P^2 g_{jq} + 2igF_{jq} + i\epsilon} (P^- g_{ij} - P^j \delta^x_i) | y \rangle^{\beta i}_{a a} = -4\gamma^2 N_c \int d\beta_B dp_{B_1} dp_{B_2} e^{-i\theta_B x^\perp p_{B_1} x^\perp} F^{-i,a}(\beta_B, p_{B_1}) F_{1,-i,a}(\beta_B, p_{B_2})
\]

\[
\times \int \frac{1}{(p - p_B)^2 + i\epsilon} \frac{1}{(p - p_B)^2 + i\epsilon} \left( p - p_B \right) \right) e^{-i(p, \Delta_s) \frac{e^{-i(p, \Delta_s) \beta_B p_{B_1}}}{(p + p_{B_1})/C_{12}}} (p - p_B) \right).
\]

The integral in the RHS is the same as for one of the terms in Eq. (39), namely the \( \sim \gamma \Gamma \) term. As discussed below Eq. (39), it is a sum of contributions independent of \( \delta, \delta' \) and power corrections so it can be neglected for the evolution with respect to \( \sigma \) and \( \sigma' \).

Thus, similar to the quark case (41), we get the leading-order evolution equation for gluon TMD in the form

\[
\left( \frac{d}{d\sigma} + \sigma' \frac{d}{d\sigma} \right) F^{i,\alpha,\sigma}(\beta_B, x^\perp) F_{\alpha}^{i,\sigma}(\beta_B, y^\perp) = -\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} N_c F^{i,\alpha,\sigma}(\beta_B, x^\perp) F_{\alpha}^{i,\sigma}(\beta_B, y^\perp) \left[ \ln \left( -\frac{i}{4} (\beta_B + i\epsilon) \sigma' s b^2 e^c \right) + \ln \left( -\frac{i}{4} (\beta_B + i\epsilon) x s b^2 e^c \right) \right]. \tag{101}
\]

and the solution is

\[
F^{i,\alpha,\sigma}(\beta_B, x^\perp) F_{\alpha}^{i,\sigma}(\beta_B, y^\perp) = e^{-\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \ln \sigma_0^2 |2\ln(-\frac{i}{4} (\beta_B + i\epsilon) \sigma' s b^2 e^c)|} F^{i,\alpha,\sigma_0}(\beta_B, x^\perp) F_{\alpha}^{i,\sigma_0}(\beta_B, y^\perp) e^{-\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \ln \sigma_0^2 |2\ln(-\frac{i}{4} (\beta_B + i\epsilon) x s b^2 e^c)|}. \tag{102}
\]

where again \( b^2 \equiv \Delta^2 \). The only difference between the evolution of quark and gluon TMDs at the leading order is the replacement \( c_f \leftrightarrow N_c \). Also, the leading-order evolution of gluon TMDs in the coordinate space has the same conformal form as Eq. (44) with the \( c_f \rightarrow N_c \) replacement (see the discussion in Ref. [8]).

**B. Quark loop contribution from light-cone expansion**

As we saw in Sec. III, while the diagrams for quark TMDs in the external field depend on virtualities of background-field gluons, the rapidity evolution of these diagrams does not. It is natural to assume that the same

\[
(T \{ A^2_F(x) A^b_F(y) \})_{\text{quark loop}} \int dz_1 dz_2 | \frac{1}{p^2 g_{\mu\alpha}} + 2iF_{\mu\alpha} | z_1 \rangle^{am} \text{Tr} r_F^{\gamma_{\alpha}} \left( \frac{1}{p} \right) z_2 \langle \frac{1}{p} | r_F^{\gamma_{\beta}} \left( \frac{1}{p} \right) z_1 \rangle \langle z_2 | \frac{1}{p^2 g_{\mu\alpha}} + 2iF_{\mu\alpha} | z_1 \rangle \langle z_1 | p \left( \frac{1}{p^2 g_{\mu\alpha}} + 2iF_{\mu\alpha} \right) | z_1 \rangle^{nb}. \tag{103}
\]
which we need to calculate near the light cone \((x - y)^2 = 0\) in the background field with the only component

\[ \mathcal{F}^{-i}(x^+) \] (104)

with one-\(\mathcal{F}\) accuracy. The relevant diagrams for the gluon propagator are shown in Fig. 7.

We start from the calculation of the light-cone expansion of the quark loop. Using light-cone expansion of a quark propagator [21]

\[
\begin{align*}
\left( z_1 \frac{1}{E} z_2 \right) &= \frac{16 \Gamma \left( \frac{d}{2} \right) \left[ z_1, z_2 \right]}{\Delta^2} + \frac{g \Gamma \left( \frac{d}{2} - 1 \right)}{16 \pi^2 (-\Delta^2)^{d/2-1}} \int_0^1 du \frac{\hat{x}_{12} \hat{x}_{u} \sigma \mathcal{F}(z_u) + u \sigma \mathcal{F}(z_u) \hat{x}_{12}}{[z_u, z_2]} + O(\mathcal{D}^\mu \mathcal{F}_{\mu} \mathcal{F}^2),
\end{align*}
\] (105)

where \(\bar{u} \equiv 1 - u\) and \(z_u \equiv uz_1 + \bar{u}z_2\), we get

FIG. 7. Quark loop correction to the gluon propagator in the background field.
\[ \text{Tr} \gamma_\alpha \left( z_1 \left| \frac{1}{p} \right| z_2 \right) = i B(d, \frac{d}{2}) \left| \gamma_\alpha \left( \frac{p^2 g_M}{-p^2} - \frac{p_\mu p_\nu}{p^4} P_\mu P_\nu \right) \right| \left( \frac{\Gamma(-\epsilon)}{(-p^2)^{-\epsilon}} \right) \left| z_2 \right| \] 

\[ + \frac{B(d, \frac{d}{2} - 1)}{8\pi^d} g \Gamma(d - 1) \left( \frac{-1}{-z_2^2} \right)^{d-1} \int_0^1 du \left[ \left. \left( \frac{2i u g_M}{2i} F_{\mu \nu}(z_u) - 2i u g_M^2 F_{\nu \mu}(z_u) + i z_2^2 F_{\mu \nu}(z_u) \right) \right| z_2 \right] \] 

(106)

where \( B(a, b) = \Gamma(a)\Gamma(b) / \Gamma(a + b) \) and \( \epsilon \equiv \frac{d}{2} - 2 \). To perform integration over \( z_1 \) and \( z_2 \) in Eq. (103), it is convenient to use Eq. (D14) from the Appendix D (at \( a = -\epsilon \)) and represent Eq. (106) as follows:

\[ \frac{1}{2} \delta Z_1^B A^{\mu \nu} (D_\mu g_{\mu \nu} - 2i F_{\mu \nu} - D_\mu D_\nu)^{ab} A^b, \]

where \( \delta Z_1^B = \frac{g^2}{24\pi^2 \epsilon} \), we get

\[ g^2 \text{Tr} \gamma_\alpha \left( z_1 \left| \frac{1}{p} \right| z_2 \right) \] 

\[ = i g^2 \left( \frac{g_M}{p^2} \right) \left| \gamma_\alpha \left( \frac{p^2 g_M}{-p^2} - \frac{p_\mu p_\nu}{p^4} P_\mu P_\nu \right) \right| \left( \frac{\Gamma(-\epsilon)}{(-p^2)^{-\epsilon}} \right) \left| z_2 \right| \] 

(108)

(recall that \( \tilde{\mu}^2 = \mu^2_{MS} \epsilon^{5/3} \)). Substituting this expression to Eq. (103), we obtain

\[ \langle T \{ A^a_\mu(x) A^b_\nu(y) \} \rangle_{\text{quark loop}} \] 

\[ = \frac{g^2}{24\pi^2} \left\{ i g \mu \left( x \left| \frac{\ln -\tilde{\mu}^2 / p^2}{p^2} \right| y \right) - i \left( x \right| P_\mu \ln -\tilde{\mu}^2 / p^2 P_\mu \left| y \right) + 2g \left( x \right| P_\mu \ln \frac{-\tilde{\mu}^2 / p^2}{p^2} P_\mu \left| y \right) \right\} \] 

(109)

for one flavor of massless quarks. We will need also
\begin{align}
\langle T\{A_\mu^a(x)F_{\mu\nu}^b(y)\}\rangle_{\text{quark loop}}
= & \frac{g^2}{24\pi^2} \left\{ -g_\text{av} \left( x \left[ \ln \frac{\bar{p}^2}{\bar{p}^2 + m^2} \right] y \right) + 2ig \left( x \left[ \frac{1}{p^2} \left\{ \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}, \ln \frac{\bar{p}^2}{\bar{p}^2 + m^2} \right\} p_\mu \right] y \right) \\
+ & \frac{ig}{2} \left( x \left[ p_a \ln \frac{\bar{p}^2}{\bar{p}^2 + m^2} \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} \right] y \right) + \int dz_1 dz_2 \int_0^1 du \left[ 2i u \left( \ln \frac{\bar{p}^2}{\bar{p}^2 + m^2} \right) \mathcal{F}_{\nu\xi}(z_u) \left( \frac{z_1}{p_\mu} \frac{z_2}{p_\nu} \right) \right] \mathcal{F}_{\nu\xi}(z_u) \left( \frac{z_2}{p_\mu} \frac{z_1}{p_\nu} \right) y \right) \\
- & ig \left( x \left[ \frac{1}{p^2} \right] \right) \left( 2i u \left( \ln \frac{\bar{p}^2}{\bar{p}^2 + m^2} \right) \mathcal{F}_{\nu\xi}(z_u) \left( \frac{z_1}{p_\mu} \frac{z_2}{p_\nu} \right) \right) \mathcal{F}_{\nu\xi}(z_u) \left( \frac{z_2}{p_\mu} \frac{z_1}{p_\nu} \right) \right) - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu. \quad (110)
\end{align}

Let us calculate now the quark-loop contribution to Eq. (85). As we discussed above, we can put \( x^+ = y^+ = 0 \) and \( y_\perp = 0 \).

\begin{align}
\delta^- \frac{d}{d\delta^-} \langle T\{\mathcal{F}^{-,a}(0^+, x_\perp, -\delta^-)[x^+, -\delta^+]^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{F}_i^{-,d}(0^+, 0_\perp, -\delta^-)\}\rangle_A.
\end{align}

We start with the term coming from the diagram in Figs. 6(j)–6(l):

\begin{align}
\delta^- \frac{d}{d\delta^-} \langle T\{[0^+, -\delta^+]^{\mu\nu}[\mathcal{F}_i^{-,d}(0^+, 0_\perp, -\delta^-)]\}\rangle_A
= -g f^{abc} \delta^- \frac{d}{d\delta^-} \int_0^1 dz^+ (A^{-b}(z^+, 0^+, x_\perp)F_i^{-,c}(0^+, 0_\perp, -\delta^-) - (x_\perp \rightarrow 0))_A.
\end{align}

First, let us demonstrate that the terms in the second line in Eq. (110) do not contribute to Eq. (112). Indeed, consider, for example, the first term

\begin{align}
\delta^- \frac{d}{d\delta^-} \int_0^1 dz^+ (0^+, z^+, x_\perp) \ln \frac{\bar{p}^2}{\bar{p}^2 + m^2} \mathcal{F}_i^{-,d}(0^+, 0_\perp, -\delta^-) - (x_\perp \rightarrow 0)
= \delta^- \frac{d}{d\delta^-} \left( 0^+, 0^+, x_\perp \right) \left[ \ln \frac{\bar{p}^2}{\bar{p}^2 + m^2} \right] \mathcal{F}_i^{-,d}(0^+, 0_\perp, -\delta^-) - (x_\perp \rightarrow 0) = 0
\end{align}

because \( (0^+, 0^+, x_\perp) \ln \frac{\bar{p}^2}{\bar{p}^2 + m^2} \) does not depend on \( \delta^- \). Similarly, for the second term in the second line of Eq. (110) one gets

\begin{align}
\delta^- \frac{d}{d\delta^-} \int_0^1 dz^+ \int_0^1 du \left[ \left( 0^+, z^+, x_\perp \right) \ln \frac{\bar{p}^2}{\bar{p}^2 + m^2} \mathcal{F}_i^{-,d}(0^+, 0_\perp, -\delta^-) - (x_\perp \rightarrow 0) \right]
= \delta^- \frac{d}{d\delta^-} \left[ \left( 0^+, 0^+, x_\perp \right) \right] \left( \ln \frac{\bar{p}^2}{\bar{p}^2 + m^2} \right) \mathcal{F}_i^{-,d}(0^+, 0_\perp, -\delta^-) - (x_\perp \rightarrow 0)
= \delta^- \frac{d}{d\delta^-} \left[ \left( i(x_\perp + \delta^-)_\mu (x_\perp + \delta^-)_\nu \right) \frac{1}{16\pi^2 x_\perp^3} \right] \int_0^1 du \ln u \mathcal{F}_i^{-,d}(0^+) - (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu) + \frac{i}{32\pi^2 (x_\perp^3)} \int_0^1 du \ln u + \bar{u} u \mathcal{F}_i^{-,d}(0^+)
= \frac{1}{16\pi^2 x_\perp} \mathcal{F}_i^{-,d}(0^+) \delta^- \frac{d}{d\delta^-} \left[ \left( i(x_\perp + \delta^-)_\mu (x_\perp + \delta^-)_\nu \right) \frac{1}{x_\perp^3} \right] - (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu),
\end{align}

where we used Eq. (E1) from the Appendix E and the fact that \( D^- \mathcal{F}_i^{-,s} = 0 \) for our background field. Now, we have either \( (\mu = 1, \nu = -1) \) or vice versa. In the first case nothing in square brackets depends on \( \delta^- \) while in the second case \( \mathcal{F}_i^{-,d} = 0 \) for our background field (104).\(^5\)

\(^5\)The \((x_\perp \rightarrow 0)\) term is singular as \( x_\perp \rightarrow 0 \) so one should regularize this divergency, for example, taking small gluon mass \( m \), and then \( x_\perp \rightarrow 0 \) should be replaced by \( (x_\perp)^{(1+\epsilon)} \). K_{1+\epsilon}(m x_\perp) \rightarrow \delta^0 m^{1+\epsilon} \Gamma(-1-\epsilon) \mathcal{F}_i^{-,d}.\) The second term here vanishes for our background field (104), whereas the first term gives the expression in square brackets in the RHS of Eq. (114).
Thus, the second line in Eq. (110) can be ignored, and we get

$$\delta^- \frac{d}{d\delta^-} g(T_{[x^+, -\infty]}^a_{[0^+, 0]}) = \frac{g^2}{24\pi^2} 2i \int_0^1 \frac{dz_1}{d\delta^-} \left\{ 2i \left( z_1^+, x_\perp, 0^- \right) \left| \frac{1}{p^2} \left( 1 \left| \mathcal{F}^{i,a}(\mathbf{P}^-, 0^+, 0^-) \right| \right) \right| z_1 \right\} \right.$$  

Thus, the second line in Eq.(110) can be ignored, and we get

$$\delta^- \frac{d}{d\delta^-} \int_0^1 dz_1 \left[ z_1^+, x_\perp, 0^- \right] \left| \frac{1}{p^2} \left( 1 \left| \mathcal{F}^{i,a}(\mathbf{P}^-, 0^+, 0^-) \right| \right) \right| z_1 \right\} \right.$$  

The first contribution to RHS of Eq. (115) is proportional to

$$\delta^- \frac{d}{d\delta^-} \left[ -2 \int_0^1 du \left( z_1^+, x_\perp, 0^- \right) \left| \frac{1}{p^2} \left( 1 \left| \mathcal{F}^{i,a}(\mathbf{P}^-, 0^+, 0^-) \right| \right) \right| z_1 \right\} \right.$$  

where \( |y_\delta| \equiv |0^+, 0^-| \). The first contribution to RHS of Eq. (115) is proportional to

$$\delta^- \frac{d}{d\delta^-} \left[ -2 \int_0^1 du \left( z_1^+, x_\perp, 0^- \right) \left| \frac{1}{p^2} \left( 1 \left| \mathcal{F}^{i,a}(\mathbf{P}^-, 0^+, 0^-) \right| \right) \right| z_1 \right\} \right.$$  

where we used Eq. (E6). Similar to Eq. (113), the first term in the RHS vanishes since the expression in the square brackets does not actually depend on \( \delta^- \). Using Eqs. (D16)–(D8), the second term can be rewritten as

$$\text{RHS of Eq. (116)} = \delta^- \frac{d}{d\delta^-} \int_0^1 dz_1 \int_0^1 du \left[ \left( z_1^+, x_\perp, 0^- \right) \left| \frac{2\ln \mu^2 - \frac{1}{p^2}}{p^4} \right| 0^+, 0^+, -\delta^- \right] \mathcal{F}^{i,a}(\mu z^+) -(x_\perp \to 0) \right.$$  

$$- \left. \int_0^1 dz_1 \int_0^1 du \left( z_1^+, x_\perp, 0^- \right) \left| \frac{2\ln \mu^2 - \frac{1}{p^2}}{p^4} \right| 0^+, 0^+, -\delta^- \right] \mathcal{F}^{i,a}(\mu z^+) -(x_\perp \to 0) \right.$$  

We get

$$\text{RHS of Eq. (116)} = \delta^- \frac{d}{d\delta^-} \int_0^1 dz_1 \int_0^1 du \left[ \left( z_1^+, x_\perp, 0^- \right) \left| \frac{2\ln \mu^2 - \frac{1}{p^2}}{p^4} \right| 0^+, 0^+, -\delta^- \right] \mathcal{F}^{i,a}(\mu z^+) -(x_\perp \to 0) \right.$$  

$$= - \int_0^1 \left. \int_0^1 dz_1 \left[ \left( z_1^+, x_\perp, 0^- \right) \left| \frac{2\ln \mu^2 - \frac{1}{p^2}}{p^4} \right| 0^+, 0^+, -\delta^- \right] \mathcal{F}^{i,a}(\mu z^+) -(x_\perp \to 0) \right.$$  

$$= \frac{i}{8\pi^2} \int_0^1 \left. \int_0^1 dz_1 \left[ \left( z_1^+, x_\perp, 0^- \right) \left| \frac{2\ln \mu^2 - \frac{1}{p^2}}{p^4} \right| 0^+, 0^+, -\delta^- \right] \mathcal{F}^{i,a}(\mu z^+) -(x_\perp \to 0) \right.$$  

$$= \frac{i}{16\pi^2} \int_0^1 \left. \int_0^1 dz_1 \left[ \left( z_1^+, x_\perp, 0^- \right) \left| \frac{2\ln \mu^2 - \frac{1}{p^2}}{p^4} \right| 0^+, 0^+, -\delta^- \right] \mathcal{F}^{i,a}(\mu z^+) -(x_\perp \to 0) \right.$$  

$$= \frac{i}{8\pi^2} \int_0^1 \left. \int_0^1 dz_1 \left[ \left( z_1^+, x_\perp, 0^- \right) \left| \frac{2\ln \mu^2 - \frac{1}{p^2}}{p^4} \right| 0^+, 0^+, -\delta^- \right] \mathcal{F}^{i,a}(\mu z^+) -(x_\perp \to 0) \right.$$  

We get
\[
\left. \frac{g^2}{24\pi^2} i N_c \delta^\rho \frac{d}{d\delta^\rho} \int_{-\infty}^0 dz^+ \left[ 2i \left( z^+, x, 0^- \right) \left( \frac{1}{p^+} \right) \left( \frac{F^{-i} - \ln \frac{\overline{\mu}^2}{p^2} \frac{p^+}{p^2} \delta^\rho}{} \right) \right] \right|_{\delta^\rho = 0} 
\]

\[
- i \int dz_1 dz_2 \int_0^1 du \left( \frac{g_i^2}{\left( x^2_1 - 2z^+ \delta^- \right)^2} \right) \left( z_2 \left( \frac{p_\perp}{p^+} \right) \left( \frac{\overline{\mu}^2}{p^2} \frac{p^+}{p^2} \delta^\rho \right) \right) \left( z_2 \left( \frac{p_\perp}{p^+} \right) \delta^\rho \right) \left( z_2 \left( \frac{p^+}{p^2} \right) y_\delta \right) - (x_\perp \to 0) 
\]

\[
\left. = - \frac{g^2 N_c}{384\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^0 dz^+ D^{-F^{-i}}(z^+) \ln \frac{x_+^2 - 2z^+ \delta^-}{-2z^+ \delta^-} \ln \frac{\overline{\mu}^2}{p^2} \frac{x_+^2 - 2z^+ \delta^-}{-2z^+ \delta^-} + \ln \frac{\overline{\mu}^2}{p^2} \frac{2z^+ \delta^-}{4} + 4\gamma + \frac{5}{2} \right) . \tag{118}
\]

Let us now consider the two remaining terms in the RHS of Eq. (115). With our accuracy the last term in the RHS of Eq. (115) reduces to

\[
\left. \partial^\rho - \frac{d}{d\rho} \int_{-\infty}^0 dz^+ \int dz_1 dz_2 \int_0^1 du \left( \frac{g_i^2 \delta^\rho}{(x^2_1 - 2z^+ \delta^-)^2} \right) D^{-F^{-i}}(uz^+) - (x_\perp \to 0) \right|_{y_\perp = 0} 
\]

\[
\left. = - i \frac{\partial}{\partial y^+} \delta^\rho \frac{d}{d\rho} \int_{-\infty}^0 dz^+ \int dz_1 dz_2 \int_0^1 du \left( \frac{g_i^2 \bar{u} \delta^\rho}{x^2_1 - 2z^+ \delta^-} + 2u(1 - 2u) \frac{x_+ x_i}{x^2_1 - 2z^+ \delta^-} \right) D^{-F^{-i}}(z^+) \right|_{y_\perp = 0} . \tag{119}
\]

where we used Eq. (D20) to get the fourth line and Eq. (E2) to get the last line. As we discussed above, the characteristic \( z^+ \) are \( z^+_\text{char} \sim \frac{1}{\mu_y} \) so \( x^2_1 \gg 2z^+_\text{char} \delta^- \), and we get

\[
\left. \frac{g^2}{24\pi^2} i N_c \delta^\rho \frac{d}{d\rho} \int_{-\infty}^0 dz^+ \int dz_1 dz_2 \int_0^1 du \left( \frac{4\bar{u}}{x_1^2} \right) \left( \frac{p_\perp}{p^+} \right) \left( D^{-F^{-i}}(z_a) \left( \frac{p^+}{p^2} \right) y_\delta \right) - (x_\perp \to 0) \right|_{y_\perp = 0} 
\]

\[
\left. = - \frac{g^2 N_c}{768\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^0 dz^+ D^{-F^{-i}}(z^+) + O \left( \frac{z^+_\text{char} \delta^-}{x^2_1} \right) = - \frac{g^2 N_c}{768\pi^2} \frac{m_z^2}{\sigma_B^2} . \tag{120}
\]

Finally, from Eq. (E5) we get

\[
\left. \partial^\rho - \frac{d}{d\rho} \int_{-\infty}^0 dz^+ \int dz_1 dz_2 \int_0^1 du \left( \frac{z^+, x, 0^-}{p^+} \right) \left( \frac{p_\perp}{p^+} \right) \left( D^{-F^{-i}}(z_a) \left( \frac{p^+}{p^2} \right) y^+ \right) - (x_\perp \to 0) \right|_{y^+ = 0} 
\]

\[
\left. = - i \frac{\partial}{\partial y^+} \delta^\rho \frac{d}{d\rho} \int_{-\infty}^0 dz^+ \int dz_1 dz_2 \int_0^1 du \left( \frac{z^+, x, 0^-}{p^+} \right) \left( \frac{p_\perp}{p^+} \right) \left( D^{-F^{-i}}(uz^+ + \bar{u} y^+) \right) - (x_\perp \to 0) \right|_{y^+ = 0} 
\]

\[
= \frac{i \delta^\rho}{d\rho} \int_{-\infty}^0 dz^+ \int_0^1 du \left( \frac{z^+, x, 0^-}{p^+} \right) \left( \frac{p_\perp}{p^+} \right) \left( D^{-F^{-i}}(uz^+) \right) - (x_\perp \to 0) \right|_{y^+ = 0} 
\]

\[
= - i \frac{\delta^\rho}{d\rho} \int_{-\infty}^0 dz^+ \int_0^1 du \left( \frac{z^+, x, 0^-}{p^+} \right) \left( \frac{p_\perp}{p^+} \right) \left( D^{-F^{-i}}(uz^+) \right) - (x_\perp \to 0) \right|_{y^+ = 0} 
\]

\[
= - i \delta^\rho \frac{d}{d\rho} \int_{-\infty}^0 dz^+ \int_0^1 du \left( \frac{z^+, x, 0^-}{p^+} \right) \left( \frac{p_\perp}{p^+} \right) \left( D^{-2F^{-i}}(uz^+) \right) - (x_\perp \to 0) \right|_{y^+ = 0} 
\]

\[
= - i \delta^\rho \frac{d}{d\rho} \int_{-\infty}^0 dz^+ \int_0^1 du \left( \frac{z^+, x, 0^-}{p^+} \right) \left( \frac{p_\perp}{p^+} \right) \left( D^{-2F^{-i}}(uz^+) \right) - (x_\perp \to 0) \right|_{y^+ = 0} 
\]

because the term in the fifth line vanishes similar to Eq. (113). Using the first of Eqs. (E2) with \( O(uz^+) = uz^+(D^-)^2F^{-i}(uz^+) \), we obtain
RHS of Eq. (121) = \(-i \frac{1}{64\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{0} dz^+ (D^-)^2 F^{i\tau}(z^+) \int_{0}^{1} du z_+ \ln \frac{ux^2_+ - 2z^+\delta^-}{2z^+\delta^-} \)

\[= i \frac{1}{64\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{0} dz^+ D^- F^{-j\tau}(z^+) \int_{0}^{1} du \left[ \ln \frac{ux^2_+ - 2z^+\delta^-}{2z^+\delta^-} - \frac{ux^2_+}{ux^2_+ - 2z^+\delta^-} \right] \]

\[= i \frac{1}{64\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{0} dz^+ D^- F^{-j\tau}(z^+) \left[ \ln \frac{x^2_+}{-2z^+\delta^-} - 2 \right] + O \left( \frac{m^2_+}{\sigma\beta_B s} \right) \tag{122} \]

because the characteristic \( z^+ \) are \( \sim \frac{1}{\beta_B s} \). Thus, the first term in the last line in Eq. (115) is

\[\frac{g^2}{24\pi^2} i N_c \delta^- \frac{d}{d\delta^-} \int_{-\infty}^{0} dz^+ \int dz_1 dz_2 \int_{0}^{1} du \bar{u} u \left( \frac{p^+_1}{p^+_2} \right) \left( D^- F^{-j\tau}(z_0) \left( \frac{p^-_1}{p^-_2} \right) 0^+, 0_-, -\delta^- \right) - (x_\perp \rightarrow 0) \]

\[= -\frac{g^2 N_c}{768\pi^4} \int_{-\infty}^{0} d\delta^- F^{-j\tau}(z^+) \left[ \ln \frac{x^2_+ - 2z^+\delta^-}{-2z^+\delta^-} - 2 \right] + O \left( \frac{m^2_+}{\sigma\beta_B s} \right). \tag{123} \]

Let us now assemble the result for the contribution (112) given by a sum of Eqs. (118), (123), and (120):

\[\delta^- \frac{d}{d\delta^-} \langle T \left[ \int [0^+, -\infty]^{ac} \int [0^+, 0^+]^{cd} F^{-d}_{0^+, 0_-, -\delta^-} \right] \rangle_A \]

\[= \frac{g^2 N_c}{384\pi^4} \int_{-\infty}^{0} dz^+ D^- F^{-j\tau}(z^+) \left[ \ln \frac{x^2_+ - 2z^+\delta^-}{-2z^+\delta^-} - 2 \right] + O \left( \frac{m^2_+}{\sigma\beta_B s} \right). \tag{124} \]

Note that double-log terms are the same as in the quark case [see Eq. (71)].

Performing Fourier transformation using Eqs. (72) and (73) we get

\[\delta^- \frac{d}{d\delta^-} \langle T \left[ \int [x^+, -\infty]^{ab} [0^+, 0^+]^{bc} F^{-j\tau}(0^+, 0_-, -\frac{1}{\sigma}\delta^-) \right] \rangle_A \]

\[= \frac{g^2 N_c}{384\pi^4} \int d\beta_B e^{-i\beta_B y^+} \left\{ \left( \ln \frac{x^2_\perp}{2\delta^-} - i\beta_B + e \right) + \left( \ln \frac{x^2_\perp}{8\delta^-} - i\beta_B + e \right) + 3 \right\} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\pi^2}{6} + O \left( \frac{m^2_+}{\beta_B \sigma s} \right) F^{-j\tau}(\beta_B, 0_\perp). \tag{125} \]

Recall that we calculated the contribution due to one quark flavor, so for \( n_f \) flavors we should multiply Eq. (125) by \( n_f \), and to use the BLM prescription we must replace \( -\frac{1}{6\alpha} n_f \) by \( b_0 = \frac{1}{12\alpha} N_c - \frac{1}{6\alpha} n_f \). We obtain then

\[\sigma^- \frac{d}{d\sigma^-} \langle T \left[ \int [x^+, -\infty]^{ab} [0^+, 0^+]^{bc} F^{-j\tau}(0^+, 0_-, -\frac{1}{\sigma}\delta^-) \right] \rangle_A \]

\[= \frac{g^2 N_c b_0}{64\pi^4} \int d\beta_B e^{-i\beta_B y^+} \left\{ \left( \ln \frac{x^2_\perp}{4} - i\beta_B + e \right) + \left( \ln \frac{x^2_\perp}{4\sigma} - i\beta_B + e \right) + 3 \right\} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\pi^2}{6} + O \left( \frac{m^2_+}{\beta_B \sigma s} \right) F^{-j\tau}(\beta_B, 0_\perp). \tag{126} \]

Adding the leading-order term and restoring \( y^+, y_\perp \) we get
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\[
\sigma \frac{d}{d \sigma} \left\{ T \left\{ [x^+, -\infty]_{ab} [\tau, y^+]_{bc} F_{-j,c} \left( y^+, y_\perp, -\frac{1}{\sigma q_0} \right) \right\} \right\}_{A}^{\text{Fig. 5a-c+loop}}
\]

\[
= -\frac{\alpha_s(\bar{\mu})}{2\pi} N_c \int d\beta B F^{-j,b}(\beta, y_\perp) e^{-i\theta_{0y}} \left\{ \ln \left( \frac{\Delta_\perp^2}{4} (-i\beta_B + e)\sigma s e^\tau \right) + O \left( \frac{m_1^2}{\beta_B s} \right) \right\} + \frac{b\alpha_s(\bar{\mu})}{8\pi} \left\{ \ln \frac{\Delta_\perp^2 \sigma s}{4} \right\} + \gamma \left( \ln \frac{\Delta_\perp^2 \bar{\mu}_0^4}{4\sigma s} - i\beta_B + e \right) + 3\gamma + 3 \right\} \left( \frac{m_1^2}{\beta_B s} \right)
\]

where \( \mu_s^2 \equiv \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_s(\bar{\mu})}{\Delta_\perp}} \).

We see that the result is the same as Eq. (59) for quark TMD up to the replacement \( c_F \to N_c \) and \( O(\alpha_s(\mu_s)) \) corrections. Because of that, we can just recycle all formulas for the evolution from the quark TMD case replacing \( c_F \to N_c \) when appropriate. The evolution equation for gluon TMDS will be

\[
\left( \alpha \frac{d}{d \sigma} + \sigma' \frac{d}{d \sigma} \right) \mathcal{F}^{i,a,\sigma}(\beta, y_\perp) = -\frac{N_c}{2\pi} \mathcal{F}^{i,a,\sigma}(\beta, y_\perp) \left[ \alpha_s(\mu_s) \ln \left( -\frac{i}{4} (\beta_B + ie)\sigma s b_\perp^2 e^\tau \right) \right] + \alpha_s(\mu_s) \ln \left( -\frac{i}{4} (\beta_B + ie)\sigma s b_\perp^2 e^\tau \right)
\]

where \( b_\perp \equiv \Delta_\perp \) as usual. The solution of this equation is the same as (63) with \( c_F \to N_c \) replacement

\[
\left( \frac{d}{d \sigma} + \sigma' \frac{d}{d \sigma} \right) \mathcal{F}^{i,a,\sigma}(\beta, y_\perp) = e^{-\frac{N_c}{a_0} \ln \left( \frac{a_0}{a_0} \right) \ln \left( -\frac{i}{4} (\beta_B + ie)\sigma s b_\perp^2 e^\tau \right)} \frac{1}{a_0} \frac{1}{a_0} \frac{1}{a_0} \frac{1}{a_0} \frac{1}{a_0} \mathcal{F}^{i,a,\sigma}(\beta, y_\perp)
\]

Let us now set \( \sigma' = \sigma \) and present the final form of the evolution with the rapidity cutoff [cf. Eq. (65)]

\[
\left( \frac{d}{d \sigma} + \sigma' \frac{d}{d \sigma} \right) \mathcal{F}^{i,a,\sigma}(\beta, y_\perp) = e^{-\frac{N_c}{a_0} \ln \left( \frac{a_0}{a_0} \right) \ln \left( -\frac{i}{4} (\beta_B + ie)\sigma s b_\perp^2 e^\tau \right)} \frac{1}{a_0} \frac{1}{a_0} \frac{1}{a_0} \frac{1}{a_0} \frac{1}{a_0} \mathcal{F}^{i,a,\sigma}(\beta, y_\perp)
\]

It should also be mentioned that the result for the evolution of gluon TMDs with gauge links out to \(+\infty\) is Eq. (130) with the replacement \( -i(\beta_B + ie) \to i(\beta_B - ie) \), the same as in Eq. (81) for quark TMDs.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

This paper was devoted to the study of the rapidity evolution of quark and gluon TMDS using the small-x methods. As customary for studies of small-x amplitudes, we used a rapidity-only cutoff for longitudinal divergences due to infinite gauge links. With such cutoff for TMDS, there is only one evolution parameter—this rapidity cutoff. However, as we mentioned in the Introduction, the argument of the coupling constant in such an evolution is undetermined at the leading order. To fix it, one needs to go beyond the leading order and employ some additional BLM/renormalon considerations, as was done for NLO BK (Balitsky-Kovchegov) evolution in Refs. [12,13]. In this paper, we have done such BLM analysis for both quark and gluon TMDS, and the result is very simple: the effective BLM scale for Sudakov evolution is halfway (in the logarithmical scale) between transverse momentum and longitudinal “energy” of TMID.

Let us present the final form of the running-coupling evolution for the cutoff \( \zeta \) such that \( \sigma = \sigma' = \frac{\zeta}{2\Delta_\perp} \). As we mentioned above, in the leading order the evolution with such a cutoff is conformally invariant [see Eq. (44)]. With the running coupling, the evolution equation for quark TMDS reads \( b_\perp \equiv x_\perp - y_\perp \).
\[ \zeta \frac{d}{d \zeta} \bar{\psi}(\beta_B, x_\perp) \psi(\beta_B, y_\perp) = - \frac{c_F}{2\pi} \bar{\psi}(\beta_B, x_\perp) \psi(\beta_B, y_\perp) \left[ \alpha_s(\mu_\zeta) \ln \left( \frac{-i}{\sqrt{2}} (\beta_B + i e) \xi_0 b_\perp e^\tau \right) + \alpha_s(\mu_\zeta) \ln \left( \frac{-i}{\sqrt{2}} (\beta_B + i e) \xi_0 b_\perp e^\tau \right) \right], \] (131)

where \( \mu_\zeta = b_\perp^{-1} (|\beta_B|\xi_0 \sqrt{2})^{1/4} \), \( \mu_\tau = b_\perp^{-1} (|\beta_B|\xi_0 \sqrt{2})^{1/4} \), and the solution has the form

\[ \bar{\psi}(\beta_B, x_\perp) \psi(\beta_B, y_\perp) = e^{-\frac{2x_\perp}{\mu_\zeta}} \frac{n_c(\mu_\zeta)}{n_c(\mu_\zeta)} \left[ \ln |ix_B + e| \right] + e^{\frac{2x_\perp}{\mu_\zeta}} \frac{n_c(\mu_\zeta)}{n_c(\mu_\zeta)} \left[ \ln |ix_B + e| \right] \bar{\psi}(\beta_B, x_\perp) \psi(\beta_B, y_\perp), \] (132)

where \( b_\perp^2 = \frac{4}{3} e^\tau / 2 \) and \( \tau_B = \frac{\rho_B}{\rho_B^0}, \tau_B' = \frac{\rho_B'_{\perp}}{\rho_B^0'_{\perp}} \). As we mentioned above, although formally \( \alpha_s \ln |ix_B + e| \) exceeds our accuracy, it determines the direction of evolution of operators in the coordinate space: + positions of operators move to the left as a result of evolution [see the discussion after Eq. (43)]. Consequently, the evolution of quark TMDs with gauge links out to +∞ has the same form (132) but with \( \ln |ix_B + e| \) [see Eq. (80)], and + positions of operators move to the right.

Another result of our paper is that with BLM scale setting and the rapidity evolution of gluon TMDs has the same form as the one for quark TMDs with trivial replacement \( c_F \to N_c \) [see, e.g., Eq. (130)].

It should be noted that, although we used the small-\( x \) methods (rapidity-only factorization, etc.), our results (131) and (132) are correct at any \( x_B \equiv \beta_B \) as long as \( \sigma x_B s \gg b_\perp^{-2} \). The difference between moderate and small \( x \) comes at the end point of evolution. As discussed in Ref. [8], the double-logarithmic evolution [63] or (130)] can be used until \( \sigma x_B s \sim b_\perp^{-2} \). At this point, if \( x_B \sim 1 \), the situation is similar to Deep Inelastic Scattering (DIS) at moderate \( x \) so one should use single-log DGLAP evolution plus some phenomenological models for TMDs based on relations to ordinary PDFs [2,25]. If, however, \( x_B \ll 1 \), the situation is more like DIS at small \( x \) so the BFKL/BK evolution should be applicable. A plausible scenario of matching these evolutions is discussed in Appendix G.

Also, we saw that one should be very careful with rapidity cutoff in order not to spoil analytic properties of Feynman diagrams which may bring out the noncancellation of IR divergences. While the “rigid cutoff” \( \sigma \gg \alpha \) did not cause any IR problems in the analysis of dipole evolution, we saw that in such an analysis of TMD evolution it is not applicable and one should use “smooth cutoff” \( e^{\pm i n / \sigma} \) to avoid IR divergence.\(^7\)

\(^7\)The usual requirement of pQCD applicability means that \( \alpha_s(\beta_\perp) \) should be a valid small parameter.

Finally, an obvious outlook is to study the TMD factorization with rapidity-only cutoffs and find the cross section of the Higgs production or the Drell-Yan process at \( q_\perp \sim \) few GeV in the one-loop approximation using Eq. (130) and the would-be result for the one-loop “coefficient factor.” In addition, at that point it would be possible to compare our result with the two-loop results obtained by CSS method [26–29]. The study is in progress.
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APPENDIX A: RAPIDITY CUTOFF AND CAUSALITY

In this appendix we discuss the effects of rapidity cutoff on general properties of Feynman diagrams. As we saw in Sec. II B, the rigid cutoff does not ensure cancellation between real and virtual gluon emissions while point-splitting cutoff preserves this cancellation. Thus, one should be very careful imposing cutoffs on Feynman diagrams since one may violate properties of causality and unitarity build-in into Feynman diagrams.

It is a textbook subject that perturbative series in a quantum field theory preserve causality so if one calculates diagrams for some commutator at spacelike distances one should get zero as a result. (Some caution must be applied in a gauge theory where this property is correct for gauge-invariant operators.) Similarly, one should expect the same property in a quantum theory in the background field, namely diagrams in the background field for the commutator at spacelike distances should sum up to zero. Let us check this causality property for our typical commutators and discuss whether this property survives our rapidity cutoff for Feynman diagrams.

\[ \begin{align*} 
\zeta \frac{d}{d \zeta} \bar{\psi}(\beta_B, x_\perp) \psi(\beta_B, y_\perp) & = - \frac{c_F}{2\pi} \bar{\psi}(\beta_B, x_\perp) \psi(\beta_B, y_\perp) \left[ \alpha_s(\mu_\zeta) \ln \left( \frac{-i}{\sqrt{2}} (\beta_B + i e) \xi_0 b_\perp e^\tau \right) + \alpha_s(\mu_\zeta) \ln \left( \frac{-i}{\sqrt{2}} (\beta_B + i e) \xi_0 b_\perp e^\tau \right) \right], \\
& \text{where} \quad \mu_\zeta = b_\perp^{-1} (|\beta_B|\xi_0 \sqrt{2})^{1/4}, \mu_\tau = b_\perp^{-1} (|\beta_B|\xi_0 \sqrt{2})^{1/4}.
\end{align*} \]
To avoid the above-mentioned specific complications in gauge theories, we consider a massless scalar theory in the background field described by the Lagrangian

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \partial^\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi + \frac{\lambda}{2} \phi^2 \tilde{\phi},$$

where $\tilde{\phi}(x) = \tilde{\phi}(x^+, x^\perp)$ is a background scalar field which does not depend on $x^-$. Let us calculate the expectation value of the commutator $\{\phi(x^+, x^\perp), \phi(y^+, y^\perp)\}$ in this theory. A simple calculation yields

$$\langle \theta(x^+ - y^+)\{\phi(x^+, x^\perp), \phi(y^+, y^\perp)\} \rangle_\phi = \langle \theta(x^+ - y^+)\{\phi(x^+, x^\perp)\phi(y^+, y^\perp)\} \rangle_\phi - \langle \tilde{T}\{\phi(x^+, x^\perp)\phi(y^+, y^\perp)\} \rangle_\phi$$

$$= \int dz\{ -i\langle \tilde{T}\{\phi(x)\phi(z)\}\rangle_\phi \langle \phi(z)\phi(y)\rangle + i\langle \phi(x)\phi(z)\rangle_\phi \langle \tilde{T}\{\phi(z)\phi(y)\}\rangle \}$$

$$- i\langle \phi(x)\phi(z)\rangle_\phi \langle \phi(y)\phi(z)\rangle - i\langle \tilde{T}\{\phi(x)\phi(z)\}\rangle_\phi \langle \phi(z)\phi(y)\rangle$$

$$= \frac{\lambda\delta^2}{4} \int dz \int d\alpha \partial^\alpha \partial^\alpha e^{-i\delta (x^+ - y^+)} e^{-i\delta (x^+ - y^+)} \phi(z) \phi(y)$$

$$= \frac{\lambda\delta^2}{4} \int dz \int d\alpha \partial^\alpha \partial^\alpha e^{-i\delta (x^+ - y^+)} e^{-i\delta (x^+ - y^+)} \phi(z) \phi(y)$$

because the expression is square brackets in the exponent is strictly positive.

Similarly,

$$\langle \theta(y^+ - x^+)\{\phi(x^+, x^\perp), \phi(y^+, y^\perp)\} \rangle_\phi = \langle \theta(y^+ - x^+)\{\phi(x^+, x^\perp)\phi(y^+, y^\perp)\} \rangle_\phi - \langle \tilde{T}\{\phi(x^+, x^\perp)\phi(y^+, y^\perp)\} \rangle_\phi$$

$$= \int dz\{ -i\langle \tilde{T}\{\phi(x)\phi(z)\}\rangle_\phi \langle \phi(z)\phi(y)\rangle + i\langle \phi(x)\phi(z)\rangle_\phi \langle \tilde{T}\{\phi(z)\phi(y)\}\rangle \}$$

$$- i\langle \phi(x)\phi(z)\rangle_\phi \langle \phi(y)\phi(z)\rangle - i\langle \tilde{T}\{\phi(x)\phi(z)\}\rangle_\phi \langle \phi(z)\phi(y)\rangle$$

$$= \frac{\lambda\delta^2}{4} \int dz \int d\alpha \partial^\alpha \partial^\alpha e^{-i\delta (x^+ - y^+)} e^{-i\delta (x^+ - y^+)} \phi(z) \phi(y)$$

$$= \frac{\lambda\delta^2}{4} \int dz \int d\alpha \partial^\alpha \partial^\alpha e^{-i\delta (x^+ - y^+)} e^{-i\delta (x^+ - y^+)} \phi(z) \phi(y)$$

We see that without rapidity cutoff we have causality. However, if we adopt a rigid cutoff $\sigma > |\alpha|$, we get an integral

$$\int_\sigma dz \int d\alpha \partial^\alpha \partial^\alpha e^{-i\delta (x^+ - y^+)} e^{-i\delta (x^+ - y^+)} \phi(z) \phi(y)$$

$$= \int_\sigma dz \int d\alpha \partial^\alpha \partial^\alpha e^{-i\delta (x^+ - y^+)} e^{-i\delta (x^+ - y^+)} \phi(z) \phi(y)$$

which does not vanish. Thus, rigid cutoff violates analytical properties of Feynman diagrams, and hence there is no surprise that there is no cancellation between “real and virtual emissions” represented by the fifth and the sixth lines in Eq. (A1), respectively.

Let us now introduce a “point-splitting cutoff” $\delta^-$ such that the separation between $x$ and $y^\phi = y - \delta^-$ is spacelike. We get

$$\langle \theta(x^+ - y^+)\{\phi(x^+, x^\perp), \phi(y^+, y^\perp, \delta^-)\} \rangle_\phi$$

$$= \frac{i\lambda}{32\pi^2s^4} \theta(x^+ - y^+) \int_{z^+}^{x^+} dz^+ \int d^2z^\perp \frac{\phi(z^+, z^\perp)}{(x^+ - z^+) (z^+ - y^+)} \int d\alpha e^{-i\delta^- (z^+ - y^+)}$$

$$= \frac{i\lambda}{32\pi^2s^4} \theta(x^+ - y^+) \int_{z^+}^{x^+} dz^+ \int d^2z^\perp \frac{\phi(z^+, z^\perp)}{(x^+ - z^+) (z^+ - y^+)} \int d\alpha e^{-i\delta^- (z^+ - y^+)}$$

(A4)
and
\[
\theta(y^+ - x^+) \left( \frac{\phi(x^+, x_L)}{\phi(x^+, y^+, -\delta^-)} \right) \phi
= -\frac{i\lambda}{32\pi^2\epsilon} \theta(y^+ - x^+) \int_{x^-}^{y^+} dz^+ \int d^2 z_\perp \frac{\phi(z^+, z_\perp)}{(y - z)^+(z - x)^+} \int d\alpha e^{-i\alpha \left[ \frac{(x-n)^2}{x-z} + \frac{(z-n)^2}{z-x} \right] + \delta^-} = 0. \tag{A5}
\]

We see that the sign of \( \delta^- \) matters and should be chosen in such a way that \((x-y)^2 = (x^+ - y^+) (\pm \delta^-) - (x-y)^2 < 0\). In this paper we use such a point-splitting cutoff for perturbative calculations [see the discussion after Eq. (24)].

**APPENDIX B: GAUGE INVARIANCE OF RAPIDITY-ONLY EVOLUTION EQUATIONS**

The proof of gauge invariance of evolution equations follows from Ward identities for propagators in the background field and for Wilson lines. In this appendix we will demonstrate that use of the background-Lorenz gauge for gluon propagators leads to the same evolution equation.

Let us start with the diagrams for leading-order evolution of quark TMDs. As we discussed above, with our point-splitting cutoff (26), all relevant distances are spacelike so we can replace the product of operators in the matrix element in the LHS by the T-product. The gluon propagator in the Lorenz gauge has the form

\[
i(T A_\mu(x) A^\nu(y)) = \left( x \left| g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{p^2} P_{\mu \nu} \right| y \right)^{ab}.
\]

(B1)

where all singularities are of the form \( \frac{1}{p^2 + ic} \). For calculation of the logarithmic part of evolution of quark TMD we can neglect extra \( F_{\alpha \beta} \) and use

\[
i(T^A(x) A^\nu(y)) = \left( x \left| P_\mu - \frac{1}{p^2} P_{\mu \nu} \right| y \right)^{ab}.
\]

(B2)

We will demonstrate that the contribution of the second term

\[
i(T A_\mu(x) A^\nu(y))_{\text{gauge}} = \left( x \left| P_\mu - \frac{1}{p^2} P_{\mu \nu} \right| y \right)^{ab}
\]

(B3)

to

\[
\langle T \bar{\psi}(x^+, x_L, -\delta^-)[x^+, -\infty] [\infty, y^+] \Gamma \psi(y^+, y_L, -\delta^-) \rangle
\]

(B4)

leads to power corrections \( \sim \frac{q^2}{x \alpha_s} \) to the evolution equation.

The relevant diagrams are shown in Fig. 8 where the wavy line denotes the gauge contribution to the gluon propagator (B3). Let us start with the “handbag” diagram in Fig. 8(a). Using standard Ward identities and the equation of motion for background fields \( \bar{\psi} \hat{p} - p \psi = 0 \), we get

\[
\left( x \left| \frac{1}{p} \right| z \right) \gamma^\mu t^b \psi(z) (\gamma^\nu) t^a \psi(y) \left( i D^\mu_a \right)^{ab} \bar{\psi}(z) \gamma^\nu t^b \left( z \left| \frac{1}{p} \right| x \right) = \delta^{(4)}(z - x) \bar{\psi}(x) t^a,
\]

(B5)

and therefore the contribution of gauge part of gluon propagator (B3) takes the form

\[
\langle \bar{\psi}(x - \delta^-) \Gamma \psi(y - \delta^-) \rangle_{\text{gauge}} = -ig^2 \int dz_1 dz_2 \Gamma \left( y - \delta^- \left| \frac{1}{p} \right| z_1 \right) \gamma^\mu t^b \psi(z_1) \left( z_1 \left| P_\mu - \frac{1}{p^2} P_{\mu \nu} \right| z_2 \right)^{ab} \bar{\psi}(z_2) \gamma^\nu t^b \left( z_2 \left| \frac{1}{p} \right| x - \delta^- \right) \\
= ig^2 \bar{\psi}(x - \delta^-) \gamma^\mu \left( x \left| \frac{1}{p} \right| y - \delta^- \right) t^b \Gamma \psi(y - \delta^-) \simeq ig^2 c_F \bar{\psi}(x - \delta^-) \\
\times \left( x - \delta^- \left| \frac{1}{p} \right| y - \delta^- \right) \Gamma \psi(y - \delta^-)
\]

(B6)
Next, the contribution of the diagram in Fig. 8(d) can be obtained using Eqs. (B7) and (B10):

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{y^+} dy^+ \left( \left| P_\mu \frac{1}{P^2} P^\mu \right| y' \right)^{ab} t = i \int_{-\infty}^{y^+} dy^+ \frac{d}{dy^+} \left( \left| P_\mu \frac{1}{P^2} P^\mu \right| y^+ + y'_\perp \right)^{ab} = -i \left( \left| P_\mu \frac{1}{P^2} \right| y^+ + y'_\perp \right)^{ab}, \tag{B7}
\]

we obtain

\[
\bar{\Psi}(x - \delta^-) \chi_{-\infty^+, y^+}] \Gamma \Psi(y - \delta^-) \equiv -g^2 \int \frac{dz}{z} \bar{\Psi}(z) \gamma^\mu P^\mu \left( \left| \frac{1}{P^2} \right| x - \delta^- \right) \left( \left| P_\mu \frac{1}{P^2} P^\mu \right| y^+ + y'_\perp \right)^{ab} t^b \Gamma \Psi(y - \delta^-)
\]

\[
= -i g^2 \bar{\Psi}(x - \delta^-) \Gamma^a \Gamma^b \Psi(y - \delta^-) \left( x^+ + x'_\perp \left| \frac{1}{p^2} \right| y - \delta \right)^{ab}
\]

\[
= -i g^2 c_F \bar{\Psi}(x - \delta^-) \Gamma \Psi(y - \delta^-) \left( x^+ + x'_\perp \left| \frac{1}{p^2} \right| y - \delta \right) \tag{B6}
\]

(\text{recall that we use } A^+ = 0 \text{ gauge for background fields}). Similarly, for the diagram in Fig. 8(c) we get

\[
\bar{\Psi}(x - \delta^-) \Gamma^a \chi_{[x^+ - \infty^+, y^+]} \Psi(y - \delta^-) \equiv g^2 \int_{-\infty}^{x^+} dx^+ \bar{\Psi}(x - \delta^-) \Gamma^a \left( y - \delta^- \left| \frac{1}{p^2} \right| z \right) \left( x^+ + x'_\perp \left| P^2 \right| y^+ + y'_\perp \right)^{ab} \tag{B7}
\]

\[
= -i g^2 \bar{\Psi}(x - \delta^-) \Gamma^a \Gamma^b \Psi(y - \delta^-) \left( x^+ + x'_\perp \left| \frac{1}{p^2} \right| y - \delta \right)^{ab}
\]

\[
= -i g^2 c_F \bar{\Psi}(x - \delta^-) \Gamma \Psi(y - \delta^-) \left( x^+ + x'_\perp \left| \frac{1}{p^2} \right| y - \delta \right) \tag{B8}
\]

where we used Eq. (B5) and the formula

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{x^+} dx^+ \left( x^+ + x'_\perp \left| P^2 \right| \right)^{ab} = i \int_{-\infty}^{x^+} dx^+ \frac{d}{dx^+} \left( x^+ + x'_\perp \left| \frac{1}{P^2} \right| z \right)^{ab} = i \left( x^+ + x'_\perp \left| \frac{1}{P^2} \right| z \right)^{ab} \tag{B10}
\]

Next, the contribution of the diagram in Fig. 8(d) can be obtained using Eqs. (B7) and (B10):

\[
\bar{\Psi}(x - \delta^-) \Gamma^a \chi_{-\infty^+, y^+]} \Psi(y - \delta^-) \equiv i g^2 \bar{\Psi}(x - \delta^-) \Gamma^a \Gamma^b \Psi(y - \delta^-) \int_{-\infty}^{x^+} dx^+ \int_{-\infty}^{y^+} dy^+ \left( x^+ + x'_\perp \left| P^2 \right| \right)^{ab}
\]

\[
= i g^2 c_F \bar{\Psi}(x - \delta^-) \Gamma \Psi(y - \delta^-) \left( x^+ + x'_\perp \left| \frac{1}{p^2} \right| y^+ + y'_\perp \right) \tag{B11}
\]
Finally, let us consider diagrams in Figs. 8(e) and 8(f). The result for the diagram in Fig. 8(e) can be obtained by taking $x = y$ in Eq. (B8):

$$\Psi(x - \delta^-)[x^+, -\infty^+]_\perp \Gamma\Psi(y - \delta^-) = \mathcal{g}_\perp^2 c_F (\Psi(x - \delta^-) - \Delta^2 \delta^2 - \Delta^\perp \delta^\perp - \Delta^\perp \delta^\perp) \left( x^+ + x_\perp - \delta^- \frac{1}{p^4} x^+ + x_\perp \right). \quad (B13)$$

The integral in the RHS is a pure divergence which does not depend on $\delta^-$ and should be set to 0 in the dimensional regularization framework. Similarly, the contribution of the diagram in Fig. 8(f) vanishes.

Thus, the sum of diagrams in Fig. 8 takes the form

$$\Psi(x - \delta^-) \Gamma[x^+, -\infty^+]_\perp \Psi(y - \delta^-)$$

$$= \mathcal{g}_\perp^2 c_F (\Psi(x - \delta^-) \Gamma\Psi(y - \delta^-) \left( x^+ + x_\perp - \delta^- \frac{1}{p^4} y^+ + y_\perp \right) - \left( x^+ + x_\perp - \delta^- \frac{1}{p^4} y^+ + y_\perp \right)$$

$$- \left( x^+ + x_\perp - \delta^- \frac{1}{p^4} y^+ + y_\perp \right) + \left( x^+ + x_\perp - \delta^- \frac{1}{p^4} y^+ + y_\perp \right)$$

$$= \frac{\mathcal{g}_\perp^2 c_F}{16\pi^4} (\Psi(x - \delta^-) \Gamma\Psi(y - \delta^-) [\ln \Delta^2 \delta^2 - \ln (\Delta^2 \delta^2 - \Delta^\perp \delta^\perp) - \ln (\Delta^2 + \Delta^\perp \delta^\perp) + \ln (\Delta^2 + \Delta^\perp \delta^\perp)]$$

$$= \frac{\mathcal{g}_\perp^2 c_F}{16\pi^4} (\Psi(x - \delta^-) \Gamma\Psi(y - \delta^-) \left[ -\ln \left( 1 - \frac{\Delta^\perp \delta^\perp}{\Delta^\perp} \right) - \ln \left( 1 + \frac{\Delta^\perp \delta^\perp}{\Delta^\perp} \right) + \ln \left( 1 + \frac{\Delta^\perp \delta^\perp}{\Delta^\perp} \right) \right]. \quad (B14)$$

Since $\frac{\Delta^\perp \delta^\perp}{\Delta^\perp} \ll 1$, the sum (B14) is a power correction so the leading-order evolution equation (41) is gauge invariant.

Let us discuss now the invariance of the one-loop quark correction. Since the effect of the one-loop correction reduces to replacement $\frac{1}{p^4} \rightarrow -\frac{\beta_0}{4\pi} \ln (\nu/p^2)$, the corresponding contribution of “gauge correction diagrams” in Fig. 8 with the extra quark loop is

$$- \mathcal{g}_\perp^2 c_F \frac{\beta_0}{4\pi} (\Psi(x - \delta^-) \Gamma\Psi(y - \delta^-) \left( x^+ + x_\perp - \Delta^\perp \delta^\perp \frac{1}{p^4} y^+ + y_\perp \right) - \left( x^+ + x_\perp - \Delta^\perp \delta^\perp \frac{1}{p^4} y^+ + y_\perp \right)$$

$$- \left( x^+ + x_\perp - \Delta^\perp \delta^\perp \frac{1}{p^4} y^+ + y_\perp \right) + \left( x^+ + x_\perp - \Delta^\perp \delta^\perp \frac{1}{p^4} y^+ + y_\perp \right)$$

$$= \frac{\mathcal{g}_\perp^2 c_F}{16\pi^4} (\Psi(x - \delta^-) \Gamma\Psi(y - \delta^-) \left[ -\ln \left( \Delta^\perp \delta^\perp \right) - \ln \left( \Delta^\perp + \Delta^\perp \delta^\perp \right) + \ln \left( \Delta^2 + \Delta^\perp \delta^\perp \right) \right]. \quad (B15)$$

which is again a power correction due to $\frac{\Delta^\perp \delta^\perp}{\Delta^\perp} \ll 1$. Consequently, the running-coupling evolution equation (61) is gauge invariant. In a similar way one can prove gauge invariance of the evolution equation of gluon TMD operators.

**APPENDIX C: NECESSARY INTEGRALS**

In this appendix we calculate some integrals used in the main text. Let us start with the integral

$$16\pi^2 \int \frac{dp_\perp}{p_\perp^4} \int_0^\infty da \frac{e^{-a^2}}{a^2} \frac{(1 - e^{i(p_\perp \Delta^\perp)})\beta_B s}{a\beta_B s + (p - p_B)_\perp^2 + i\epsilon}. \quad (C1)$$
At $\beta_B > 0$ we get

$$16\pi^2 \int \frac{dp_{\perp}}{p_{\perp}^2} \int_0^\infty d\alpha e^{-i\frac{p_{\perp}}{\alpha \beta_B s + p_{\perp}^2}} \frac{1 - e^{i(p_{\perp}^2)\Delta s}}{\alpha \beta_B s + p_{\perp}^2 + p_{\perp}^2} \approx 8\pi \int \frac{dp_{\perp}}{p_{\perp}^2} \int_0^\infty dt e^{-it\frac{1 - e^{i(p_{\perp}^2)\Delta s}}{t + p_{\perp}^2}}$$

$$= 2 \int_0^\infty dt e^{-it} \left[ \ln \frac{t\Delta^2}{4} + 2\gamma + 2K_0(\sqrt{\Delta^2}) \right]$$

$$= \ln^2 \left( -\frac{i}{4} \sigma \beta_B s \Delta^2 e^\nu \right) + \frac{\pi^2}{2} + O \left( \frac{\Delta^2}{\sigma |\beta_B|^s} \right), \quad (C2)$$

while at $\beta_B < 0$ we can rotate the contour of integration over $\alpha$ in the lower half-plane of complex $\alpha$ and get

$$16\pi^2 \int \frac{dp_{\perp}}{p_{\perp}^2} \int_0^\infty d\alpha e^{-i\frac{p_{\perp}}{\alpha \beta_B s + p_{\perp}^2 + ie}} \frac{1 - e^{i(p_{\perp}^2)\Delta s}}{\alpha \beta_B s + p_{\perp}^2 + p_{\perp}^2 + ie}$$

$$= \ln^2 \left( -\frac{i}{4} \sigma |\beta_B|^s \Delta^2 e^\nu \right) + \frac{\pi^2}{2} + O \left( \frac{m^2}{|\beta_B|^s} \right), \quad (C3)$$

The combination of Eqs. (C2) and (C3) can be written as

$$16\pi^2 \int \frac{dp_{\perp}}{p_{\perp}^2} \int_0^\infty d\alpha e^{-i\frac{p_{\perp}}{\alpha \beta_B s + p_{\perp}^2 + ie}} \frac{1 - e^{i(p_{\perp}^2)\Delta s}}{\alpha \beta_B s + p_{\perp}^2 + p_{\perp}^2 + ie} = \ln^2 \left( -\frac{i}{4} (\beta_B + ie) \sigma \Delta^2 e^\nu \right) + \frac{\pi^2}{2} + O \left( \frac{m^2}{|\beta_B|^s} \right), \quad (C4)$$

which reflects the “causal” structure discussed after Eq. (43). From Eq. (30) we get

$$16\pi^2 \int \frac{dp_{\perp}}{p_{\perp}^2} \int_0^\infty d\alpha e^{-i\frac{p_{\perp}}{\alpha \beta_B s + (p - p_B)_{\perp}^2 + ie}} \frac{1 - e^{i(p_{\perp}^2)\Delta s}}{\alpha \beta_B s + p_{\perp}^2 + p_{\perp}^2 + ie}$$

$$= \ln^2 \left( -\frac{i}{4} (\beta_B + ie) \sigma \Delta^2 e^\nu \right) + \frac{\pi^2}{2} - 8\pi \int \frac{dp_{\perp}}{p_{\perp}^2} \frac{1 - e^{i(p_{\perp}^2)\Delta s}}{p_{\perp}^2} \ln \frac{(p - p_B)_{\perp}^2}{p_{\perp}^2} + O \left( \frac{m^2}{|\beta_B|^s} \right), \quad (C5)$$

so

$$\sigma \frac{d}{d\sigma} \int_0^\infty d\alpha e^{-i\frac{p_{\perp}}{\alpha \beta_B s + p_{\perp}^2 + ie}} \frac{1 - e^{i(p_{\perp}^2)\Delta s}}{\alpha \beta_B s + p_{\perp}^2 + p_{\perp}^2 + ie} = \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \ln \left( -\frac{i}{4} (\beta_B + ie) \sigma \Delta^2 e^\nu \right). \quad (C6)$$

Now let us consider the integral with an extra $\ln \frac{\mu^2}{\bar{\mu}^2}$ in Eq. (56),

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{d\alpha}{\sigma} e^{-i\frac{p_{\perp}}{\alpha \beta_B s + p_{\perp}^2 + ie}} \ln \frac{\mu^2}{\bar{\mu}^2}$$

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{d\alpha}{\sigma} e^{-i\frac{p_{\perp}}{\alpha \beta_B s + p_{\perp}^2 + ie}} \frac{1}{\alpha \beta_B s + p_{\perp}^2 + p_{\perp}^2 + ie} = \int_0^\infty \frac{d\alpha}{\sigma} e^{-i\frac{p_{\perp}}{\alpha \beta_B s + p_{\perp}^2 + ie}} \left[ \frac{1}{p_{\perp}^2} \frac{1}{\alpha \beta_B s + p_{\perp}^2 + ie} \right] \ln \frac{\mu^2}{p_{\perp}^2}$$

$$= \int_0^\infty \frac{d\alpha}{\sigma} e^{-i\frac{p_{\perp}}{\alpha \beta_B s + p_{\perp}^2 + ie}} \left[ \frac{1}{p_{\perp}^2} \frac{1}{\alpha \beta_B s + p_{\perp}^2 + ie} \right] \ln \frac{\mu^2}{p_{\perp}^2}$$

$$- \int_0^\infty \frac{d\alpha}{\sigma} e^{-i\frac{p_{\perp}}{\alpha \beta_B s + p_{\perp}^2 + ie}} \ln \frac{\mu^2}{p_{\perp}^2}. \quad (C7)$$

It is easy to see that the last term in the RHS is actually a power correction:

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{d\alpha}{\sigma} e^{-i\frac{p_{\perp}}{\alpha \beta_B s + p_{\perp}^2 + ie}} \ln \frac{\mu^2}{p_{\perp}^2} = \int \frac{dp_{\perp}}{\alpha \beta_B s + p_{\perp}^2 + ie} \ln \frac{\mu^2}{p_{\perp}^2} \frac{1}{\alpha \beta_B s + p_{\perp}^2 + ie}$$

$$\approx \frac{1}{\alpha \beta_B s} \int \frac{dp_{\perp}}{\mu^2} e^{-i\frac{p_{\perp}}{\alpha \beta_B s + p_{\perp}^2 + ie}} \ln \frac{\mu^2}{p_{\perp}^2} + i \int \frac{d\alpha}{\sigma} \ln \frac{\alpha \beta_B s + p_{\perp}^2 + ie}{\mu^2}$$

$$\approx O \left( \frac{m^2}{|\beta_B|^s} \right), \quad (C8)$$
As to the first term in the RHS of Eq. (C7), it is easily calculated using the standard trick \( (\frac{p^2}{\mu^2})^\delta = 1 + \delta \ln \frac{p^2}{\mu^2} + O(\delta^2) \),

\[
\int_0^\infty \frac{d\alpha}{\sigma} e^{-\frac{\alpha \beta}{p^2} \frac{1}{p^2}} \left[ \frac{e^{i(p,\Delta L)} - 1}{p^2} \alpha \beta \Phi + \frac{1}{p^2} \alpha \beta \Phi \right] \ln \frac{\mu^2}{p^2} = i \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \left[ \left( \frac{\ln \Delta^2 \mu^2}{4} + 2\gamma \right)^2 - \left( \ln \frac{-i\sigma(\beta_B + i\epsilon)\Phi}{\mu^2} - \gamma \right)^2 - \frac{\pi^2}{2} \right] = i \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \left[ \left( \frac{\ln \Delta^2 \mu^2}{4} - i\sigma(\beta_B + i\epsilon)\Phi + \gamma \right) \left( \ln \frac{-i\sigma(\beta_B + i\epsilon)\Phi}{\mu^2} + 3\gamma \right) - \frac{\pi^2}{2} \right].
\]

(C9)

This gives us Eq. (59).

**APPENDIX D: THE LIGHT-CONE EXPANSION OF PROPAGATORS**

In this appendix we derive the light-cone expansion of various propagators in the first order in the background field with one (quark) loop accuracy. First, we present necessary formulas for quark propagators. The typical integral appears as

\[
\int_0^\infty \frac{d\alpha}{\sigma} e^{-\frac{\alpha \beta}{p^2} \frac{1}{p^2}} \left[ \frac{e^{i(p,\Delta L)} - 1}{p^2} \alpha \beta \Phi + \frac{1}{p^2} \alpha \beta \Phi \right] \ln \frac{\mu^2}{p^2} = i \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \left[ \left( \frac{\ln \Delta^2 \mu^2}{4} + 2\gamma \right)^2 - \left( \ln \frac{-i\sigma(\beta_B + i\epsilon)\Phi}{\mu^2} - \gamma \right)^2 - \frac{\pi^2}{2} \right]
\]

For our background fields that depend only on \( x^+ \) we need only the first term of this expansion since \( \partial^2 \Phi(x^+) = 0 \) so

\[
\left( x \left| \frac{\Gamma(a)}{(-p^2)^a} \Phi \right| \frac{\Gamma(b)}{(-p^2)^b} \right) = \left( x \left| \frac{\Gamma(a + b)}{(-p^2)^{a+b}} \right| \right) \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \Phi(ux) du_d^a \Phi(ux). + \ldots
\]

(D3)

For our background fields that depend only on \( x^+ \) we need only the first term of this expansion since \( \partial^2 \Phi(x^+) = 0 \) so

\[
\left( x \left| \frac{\Gamma(a)}{(-p^2)^a} \Phi \right| \frac{\Gamma(b)}{(-p^2)^b} \right) = \left( x \left| \frac{\Gamma(a + b)}{(-p^2)^{a+b}} \right| \right) \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \Phi(ux) du_d^a \Phi(ux).
\]

This is our master formula for light-cone expansions. In this appendix we discuss only Feynman propagators so \( p^2 \) always means \( p^2 + i\epsilon \).

Let us start from the light-cone expansion of Eq. (67). Using standard trick

\[
\left( x \left| \frac{\ln \frac{\mu^2}{p^2}}{p^2} \Phi \right| \frac{1}{p^2} \right) = \left( x \left| \frac{\mu^2}{p^2} \Phi \right| \frac{1}{p^2} \right) + \int_0^1 \Phi(ux) + \int_0^1 \Phi(ux)
\]

where \( \left[ \cdots \right] \) denotes the first nontrivial term in the expansion in powers of \( \lambda \), we obtain

\[
\left( x \left| \frac{\ln \frac{\mu^2}{p^2}}{p^2} \Phi \right| \frac{1}{p^2} \right) = \left( x \left| \frac{\mu^2}{p^2} \Phi \right| \frac{1}{p^2} \right) \int_0^1 \Phi(ux) + \int_0^1 \Phi(ux)
\]

(D6)

where we used Eq. (D4).
where $x_u = ux + ar{u}y$ as usual.

We will also need formulas for differentiation with respect to the point-splitting cutoff. The master formula is

$$\delta \frac{d}{dt} \int_{-\infty}^{0} dz^+ f(x_1^2 - 2z^+ \delta^-) \int_{0}^{1} du \Phi(u z^+) = \int_{0}^{1} du \frac{d}{dt} f \left( x_1^2 - \frac{2}{t} z^+ \delta^- \right)$$

(D7)

Next, let us present formulas relevant for the gluon propagator (109) (see Fig. 7):

$$\langle A^\mu_\nu(x) A^\nu_\mu(y) \rangle_{\text{quark loop}} = \frac{g^2}{24 \pi^2} \left\{ i g_{\mu\nu} \left| \frac{\ln \frac{\gamma^2}{p^2} / P^2}{p^2} y \right| - i \left( \left| \frac{P_\mu \ln \frac{\gamma^2}{p^2} / P^2}{p^2} p_\nu \right| y \right) + 2 \left( \left| \frac{1}{p^2} \left( \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} \ln \frac{\gamma^2}{p^2} / p^2 \right) \right| y \right) \right\}$$

(D10)

To get the light-cone expansion of the gluon propagator we need a formula

$$\langle x | e^{i\phi} | y \rangle = \langle x | e^{i\phi^P} | y \rangle \left[ x, y \right] + s \int_{0}^{1} du u [x, x_u] D^\mu_\nu \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}(x_u)[x_u, y]$$

$$+ 2i \int_{0}^{1} du \int_{0}^{u} dv v [x, x_v] D^\mu_\nu \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}(x_v)[x_v, x_v] \mathcal{F}_{\nu\nu}(x_v)[x_v, y] + O(s^2) \right) = \langle x | e^{i\phi^P} | y \rangle [x, y] + O(DF, D^2)$$

(D11)

where $\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} \equiv \xi^2 \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}$, and therefore

$$\langle x | f(P^2) | y \rangle = \langle y, x | f(P^2) | y \rangle$$

(D12)

in our approximation. Hereafter $+ O(DF, D^2)$ is assumed in all equations. By differentiation of gauge link $[x, y]$ using formulas

$$\left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu} [ux + \bar{u}y, vx + \tilde{v}y] \right] = -u A_\mu(x_u)[x_u, x_v] + [x_u, x_v] v A_\mu(x_v) + \int_{\nu}^{u} dt [x, x_\nu] D^\mu_\nu(x_\nu)[x, x_\nu],$$

(D13)

(30)

where $x_i = tx + \bar{t}y$ as usual) one obtains

$$\left[ x, y \right] = \int_{\nu}^{u} dt [x, x_\nu] D^\mu_\nu(x_\nu)[x, x_\nu]$$
\[
\left(x \left| g_{\mu\nu} \frac{\Gamma(a)}{(-p^2)^{a-1}} + P_\mu \frac{\Gamma(a)}{(-p^2)^{a}} P_\nu \right| y \right) \\
= [x, y] \left(x \left| g_{\mu\nu} \frac{\Gamma(a)}{(-p^2)^{a-1}} + P_\mu \frac{\Gamma(a)}{(-p^2)^{a}} P_\nu \right| y \right) \\
+ \int_0^1 du [x, x_u] \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}(x_u)[x_u, y] \left(x \left| P_\mu \Gamma(a) \left(-\frac{p^2}{p^4}\right) \right| y \right) - \bar{u}[x, x_u] \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}(x_u)[x_u, y] \left(x \left| P_\mu \Gamma(a) \left(-\frac{p^2}{p^4}\right) \right| y \right) \\
- \frac{i}{2} \left(x \left| \frac{\Gamma(a)}{(-p^2)^{\frac{a}{2}}} \right| y \right) \int_0^1 du [x, x_u] (\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}(x_u) - \bar{u}u(D_\mu \mathcal{F}_{\nu\nu}(x_u) + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu))[x_u, y] + O(D\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}^2) \\
= [x, y] \left(x \left| (p_\mu p_\nu - p^2 g_{\mu\nu}) \frac{\Gamma(a)}{(-p^2)^{\frac{a}{2}}} \right| y \right) - \frac{2i \Gamma\left(\frac{a}{2} - a + 1\right)}{4\pi^2 a (-\Delta)^{\frac{a}{2} + 1}} \int_0^1 du [x, x_u] (u \Delta, \mathcal{F}_{\Delta\nu}(x_u) - \bar{u} \Delta, \mathcal{F}_{\Delta\nu}(x_u))[x_u, y] \\
+ \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{a}{2} - a\right)}{4\pi^2 a (-\Delta)^{\frac{a}{2} + 1}} \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 du [x, x_u] (\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}(x_u) - \bar{u}u(D_\mu \mathcal{F}_{\nu\nu}(x_u) + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu))[x_u, y] + O(D\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}^2),
\]

(D14)

where \( \Delta \equiv x - y \). Using this formula it is easy to get the first term in Eq. (D10),

\[
ig_{\mu\nu} \left(x \left| \ln \frac{-\bar{\mu}^2 / p^2}{p^2} \right| y \right) - i \left(x \left| P_\mu \ln \frac{-\bar{\mu}^2 / p^2}{p^4} P_\nu \right| 0 \right) \\
= i \left(x \left| g_{\mu\nu} \ln \frac{-\bar{\mu}^2 / p^2}{p^2} - P_\mu P_\nu \ln \frac{-\bar{\mu}^2 / p^2}{p^4} \right| y \right) + \frac{i \Gamma(1 + \epsilon)}{8\pi^2 (\Delta^2)^{1+\epsilon}} \left[ \ln \frac{-\bar{\mu}^2 \Delta^2}{4} - \psi(1 + \epsilon) - \psi(2) \right] \\
\times \int_0^1 du [u \Delta, \mathcal{F}_{\Delta\nu}(x_u) - \bar{u} \Delta, \mathcal{F}_{\Delta\nu}(x_u)] \\
- \frac{i \Gamma(\epsilon)}{32\pi^2 (\Delta^2)^{\epsilon}} \left[ \frac{1}{\epsilon} + \ln \frac{-\bar{\mu}^2 \Delta^2}{4} - \psi(1 + \epsilon) - \psi(2) \right] \int_0^1 du [\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}(x_u) - \bar{u}u(D_\mu \mathcal{F}_{\nu\nu}(x_u) + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu)].
\]

(D15)

Hereafter we drop gauge links for brevity.

The last term in the first line of Eq. (D10) follows easily from Eq. (D7):

\[
\left(x \left| \frac{1}{p^2} \left\{ \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}, \ln \frac{-\bar{\mu}^2}{p^2} \right\} \frac{1}{p^2} \right| y \right) = 2 \left(x \left| \ln \frac{-\bar{\mu}^2}{p^2} \right| p^2 \right) \int_0^1 du \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}(x_u) + \left(x \left| \frac{1}{p^2} \right| p^2 \right) \int_0^1 du (2 + \ln \bar{u}u) \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}(x_u) \\
= \frac{i \Gamma(\epsilon)}{8\pi^2 (\Delta^2)^{\epsilon}} \left( \ln \frac{-\bar{\mu}^2 \Delta^2}{4} + \frac{1}{\epsilon} - \psi(1 + \epsilon) + \gamma \right) \int_0^1 du \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}(x_u) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 du \ln \bar{u}u \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}(x_u).
\]

(D16)

To calculate terms in the second and third lines of Eq. (D10) we use formulas

\[
\left(x \left| \frac{1}{p^2} z_1 \right| \frac{\Gamma(a)}{(-p^2)^{a+2}} \left| \frac{1}{p^2} z_2 \right\| \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}(uz_1 + \bar{u}z_2) \left( \frac{1}{p^2} \right) \right) \\
= -i a \int_0^\infty ds_1 ds_2 \int_0^{s_1} \int_0^{s_2} dt_1 dt_2 \frac{dt_1 dt_2}{(t_1 + t_2)^{2-a}} (x | e^{i\epsilon_1 p^2} \mathcal{F}_{\mu\alpha} e^{i\epsilon_2 p^2} P_\rho | y) \\
= -\frac{i^a}{a(a - 1)} \int_0^\infty ds_1 ds_2 [(s_1 + s_2)^a - s_1^a - s_2^a] (x | e^{i\epsilon_1 p^2} \mathcal{F}_{\mu\alpha} e^{i\epsilon_2 p^2} P_\rho | y) \\
= \frac{1}{a(a - 1)} \left(x \left| \frac{\Gamma(a + 2)}{(-p^2)^{a+2}} \right| y \right) \int_0^1 du \left[ 1 - \bar{u}^a - u^a \right] \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}(ux + \bar{u}y)
\]

(D17)

and
\[
\left( x \left| \frac{p_\mu}{p^2} \xi \right. \right) \left( z_1 \left| \frac{\Gamma(a)}{(-p^2)^a} \xi \right. \right) \int_0^1 duuF_{\alpha\xi}(uz_1 + \bar{u}z_2) \left( z_2 \left| \frac{p_\mu}{p^2} \xi \right. \right),
\]

\[
= -i^n \int_0^\infty ds_1ds_2 \int_{s_1}^{s_2} t_2dt_1dt_2 \frac{\Gamma(a)}{(1 + t_2)^{3-a}} (x|p^2 e^{i\xi p} F_{\alpha\xi} e^{i\xi p} p_{\mu}|y),
\]

\[
= \frac{i^n}{a(1-a)(a-2)} \int_0^\infty ds_1ds_2 [(s_1 + s_2)^a - a s_2(s_1 + s_2)^a - s_2^a + a s_2^a] (x|p^2 e^{i\xi p} F_{\alpha\xi} e^{i\xi p} p_{\mu}|y),
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{a(2-a)} \int_0^1 du \left[ - u + u^a + \frac{u - \bar{u}^a}{a - 1} \right] \left( x \left| \frac{\Gamma(a + 2)}{(-p^2)^{a+2}} \right. \right),
\]

\[
= -i\bar{u}D_{\alpha\xi}(ux + \bar{uy}) \left( x \left| \frac{\Gamma(a + 2)}{(-p^2)^{a+2}} \right. \right),
\]

(D18)

where we neglected $D_2\mathcal{F}_{\alpha\xi}$ as usual. Similarly

\[
\left( x \left| \frac{\mu}{p^2} \xi \right. \right) \left( z_1 \left| \frac{\Gamma(a)}{(-p^2)^a} \xi \right. \right) \int_0^1 duuD_{\alpha\xi}(uz_1 + \bar{u}z_2) \left( z_2 \left| \frac{p_\mu}{p^2} \xi \right. \right),
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{a(2-a)} \int_0^1 du \left[ - u + u^a + u \frac{1 - a^{-1}}{a - 1} \right] \left( x \left| \frac{p\mu\Gamma(a + 2)}{(-p^2)^{a+2}} \right. \right) + iuD_{\alpha\xi}(ux + \bar{uy}) \left( x \left| \frac{p^2\Gamma(a + 2)}{(-p^2)^{a+2}} \right. \right),
\]

(D19)

and

\[
\left( x \left| \frac{1}{p^2} \xi \right. \right) \left( z_1 \left| \frac{\mu}{p^2} \xi \right. \right) \int_0^1 duuD_{\alpha\xi}(uz_1 + \bar{u}z_2) \left( z_2 \left| \frac{1}{p^2} \xi \right. \right),
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{a(2-a)(3-a)} \left( x \left| \frac{p\mu\Gamma(a + 2)}{(-p^2)^{a+2}} \right. \right),
\]

(D20)

Using the above formulas, we obtain

\[
- \int dz_1dz_2 \int_0^1 du \left[ u \left( x \left| \frac{1}{p^2} \xi \right. \right) F_{\nu\xi}(z_u) \left( z_1 \left| \frac{p_\mu}{p^2} \xi \right. \right) \left( z_2 \left| \frac{p_\mu}{p^2} \xi \right. \right) - \bar{u} \left( x \left| \frac{p_\mu}{p^2} \xi \right. \right) F_{\nu\xi}(z_u) \left( z_1 \left| \frac{p_\mu}{p^2} \xi \right. \right) \left( z_2 \left| \frac{p_\mu}{p^2} \xi \right. \right) \right]
\]

\[
+ 2i\bar{u}u \left( z_1 \left| \frac{p_\mu}{p^2} \xi \right. \right) \left( D_{\mu\nu} F_{\nu\xi}(z_u) + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \right) \left( z_2 \left| \frac{1}{p^2} \xi \right. \right) \right]
\]

\[
= - \frac{i}{16\pi^2 \Delta^2} \int_0^1 du \left[ i\bar{u} \ln \bar{u} D_{\nu\xi} F_{\nu\Delta}(x_u) - u \ln u D_{\nu\xi} F_{\nu\Delta}(x_u) \right] + \frac{i}{32\pi^2 \Delta^2} \int_0^1 du \left( u \ln u + \bar{u} \ln \bar{u} \right) F_{\nu\mu}(x_u)
\]

\[
- \frac{i}{32\pi^2 \Delta^2} \int_0^1 du \left[ u^2 \ln u D_{\nu\xi} F_{\nu\Delta}(x_u) + \bar{u}^2 \ln \bar{u} D_{\nu\xi} F_{\nu\Delta}(x_u) + \bar{u} u (D_{\nu\xi} F_{\nu\Delta}(x_u) + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu) \right]
\]

(D21)

and

\[
\int dz_1dz_2 \int_0^1 du \left( x \left| \frac{1}{p^2} \xi \right. \right) \left( z_1 \left| 2 \ln \frac{\bar{u}^2}{p^2} = \frac{5}{2} \right. \right) F_{\nu\mu}(z_u) \left( z_2 \left| \frac{1}{p^2} \xi \right. \right) \right]
\]

\[
= \frac{i\bar{u}^{(e)}}{8\pi^2 \Delta^2} \left( \ln \frac{-\bar{u}^2 \Delta^2}{4} + \frac{1}{e} - \psi(1 + e) + \gamma - \frac{5}{4} \right) \int_0^1 du D_{\nu\mu}(x_u) \right] + \int_0^1 du \ln \bar{u} \mathcal{F}_{\nu\mu}(x_u) \right]
\]

(D22)
Let us present the final formula for the one-loop correction to the gluon propagator in the background field ($\varepsilon = \frac{d}{2} - 2$):

$$
\langle A_\mu^a(x)A_\nu^b(y)\rangle_{\text{quark loop}}^{ab} = \frac{g^2}{24\pi^2} \left\{ i \left( x | g_{\mu\nu} \ln \frac{-\mu^2/p^2}{p^2} - p_\mu p_\nu \ln \frac{-\mu^2/p^2}{p^2} | y \right) + \frac{i}{8\pi^2 \Delta^2} \left[ \ln \frac{-\mu^2/2^2}{4} - 1 + 2\gamma \right] \int_0^1 du (u\Delta_\mu f_{\mu\Delta}(x_u) - \bar{u}\Delta_\mu f_{\mu\Delta}(x_u)) \right. \\
\left. - \frac{i}{16\pi^2 \Delta^2} \int_0^1 du [\bar{u} \ln \bar{u} \Delta_\mu f_{\mu\Delta}(x_u) - u \ln u \Delta_\mu f_{\mu\Delta}(x_u)] + \frac{i\Gamma (\varepsilon) / 32\pi^2 (-\Delta^2)^\varepsilon}{2} \int_0^1 du \bar{u} \ln \bar{u} D_{\mu\Delta} f_{\mu\Delta}(x_u) + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \\
\right. \\
\left. + \frac{i\Gamma (\varepsilon) / 32\pi^2 (-\Delta^2)^\varepsilon}{2} \int_0^1 du \mu \ln \mu D_{\mu\Delta} f_{\mu\Delta}(x_u) + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \right\} + O(D^{\mu} f_{\mu\Delta}, f_{\mu\Delta} G_{\mu\nu}). \quad (D23)
$$

As usual, the lightlike gauge links are implied.

**APPENDIX E: FORMULAS FOR THE LIGHT-CONE EXPANSIONS IN SEC. V B**

In principle, we can use Eq. (D23) to find, e.g., Eq. (112), but calculations are greatly simplified by using some intermediate results such as Eq. (110) since many of the terms in Eq. (D23) cancel after differentiation. To use Eq. (110), we need some additional formulas listed here.

First, similar to Eq. (D19) one obtains

$$
\int dz_1 dz_2 \int_0^1 du \bar{u} \left( x | \frac{1}{p^2} | z_1 \right) f_{x,z_1}(z_u) \left( z_1 | \frac{p\bar{z}}{p^2} | z_2 \right) \left( z_2 | \frac{p\bar{z}}{p^2} | y \right) - (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu) \\
= \frac{i\Delta_\mu}{16\pi^2 \Delta^2} \int_0^1 du \mu \bar{u} f_{\mu\Delta}(x_u) + \frac{i\Gamma (\varepsilon) / 32\pi^2 (-\Delta^2)^\varepsilon}{2} \int_0^1 du \mu \bar{u} f_{\mu\Delta}(x_u) + \frac{i\Gamma (\varepsilon) / 32\pi^2 (-\Delta^2)^\varepsilon}{2} \int_0^1 du \mu \bar{u} f_{\mu\Delta}(x_u) - (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu) \\
= \frac{i\Delta_\mu}{16\pi^2 \Delta^2} \int_0^1 du \mu \bar{u} f_{\mu\Delta}(x_u) - (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu) + \frac{i\Gamma (\varepsilon) / 32\pi^2 (-\Delta^2)^\varepsilon}{2} \int_0^1 du (\mu \bar{u}) f_{\mu\Delta}(x_u). \quad (E1)
$$

Second, we need formulas

$$
\delta^\nu_\mu \frac{d}{d\delta^\nu} \int_0^1 dz^+ dz^- \frac{\Gamma (a)}{(x_1^+ - 2z^+ \delta^-)^a} \int_0^1 du \bar{u} O(u z^+) = - \int_0^1 du \int_0^1 dz^+ O(z^+) \frac{\Gamma (a)}{(x_1^+ - \frac{2}{3} z^+ \delta^-)^a}, \\
\delta^\nu_\mu \frac{d}{d\delta^\nu} \int_0^1 dz^+ dz^- \frac{\Gamma (a)}{(x_1^+ - 2z^+ \delta^-)^a} \int_0^1 du \bar{u} O(u z^+) = \int_0^1 dt (1 + 2t) \int_0^1 dz^+ O(z^+) \frac{\Gamma (a)}{(x_1^+ - \frac{2}{3} z^+ \delta^-)^a} \\
= \int_0^1 dz^+ O(z^+) \int_0^1 du \bar{u} \frac{2z^+ \delta^\nu \Gamma (a + 1)}{u (x_1^+ - \frac{2}{3} z^+ \delta^-)^{a+1}}, \quad (E2)
$$

which follow from Eq. (D8), and

$$
\int dz_1 dz_2 \int_0^1 du \left( x | \frac{1}{p^2} | z_1 \right) \left( z_1 | \frac{p\bar{z}}{p^2} | z_2 \right) \int_0^1 du \bar{u} u D^{-j}(u z_1 + \bar{u} z_2) \left( z_2 | \frac{p\bar{z}}{p^2} | y \right) \\
= \left( x | \frac{p \bar{z}}{p^2} | y \right) \int_0^1 du \bar{u} u D^{-j}(u x + \bar{u} y) = i \int_0^1 du \bar{u} u D^{-j}(u x + \bar{u} y) \left( \frac{g_{ij} \Gamma (\varepsilon)}{64\pi^2 (-\Delta^2)^\varepsilon} - \frac{\Delta_\mu \Delta_j}{32\pi^2 x^2} \right). \quad (E3)
$$

which is obtained by differentiation of Eq. (D20). Also, from the general formula
\[ \int dz_1dz_2 \int_0^1 du \left( \frac{1}{p^2} \right) \left( z_1 \frac{p_\mu \Gamma(a)}{(-p^2)^a} z_2 \right) \int_0^1 d\bar{u}u \mathcal{O}(u_z + \bar{u}_z) \left( z_2 \left| \frac{1}{p^2} \right| y \right) \]

\[- \frac{1}{a(2-a)(3-a)} \int du \left( 1 - \bar{u}^a - u^a - a\bar{u}u \right) \mathcal{O}(ux + \bar{u}y) \]

\[- i \left( \frac{\Gamma(a+2)}{(-p^2)^{a+2}} \right) \int_0^1 du (a-4) \left( \bar{u}^{a+1} - u^{a+1} \right) - (a-2) \left( \bar{u}^a - u^a \right) + 2(\bar{u} - u) \partial_\mu \mathcal{O}(ux + \bar{u}y), \quad (E4) \]

we get

\[ \int dz_1dz_2 \int_0^1 du \left( \frac{1}{p^2} \right) \left( z_1 \frac{p_\mu \Gamma(a)}{(-p^2)^a} z_2 \right) \int_0^1 d\bar{u}u \mathcal{O}(u_z + \bar{u}_z) \left( z_2 \left| \frac{1}{p^2} \right| y \right) = \left( \frac{p_\mu \Gamma(a)}{p^2} \right) \int_0^1 d\bar{u}u \mathcal{O}(ux + \bar{u}y) \quad (E5) \]

in agreement with Eq. (D20). Finally, we used

\[ \int_0^1 du \left( \frac{1}{p^2} \right) \left( z_1 f(p^2) z_2 \right) \mathcal{O}(z_u) \left( z_2 \left| \frac{1}{p^2} \right| y \right) = \int_0^1 du \left( \frac{p^2}{p^2} \right) \left( z_1 f(p^2) z_2 \right) i\partial^\perp \mathcal{O}(z_u) \left( z_2 \left| \frac{1}{p^2} \right| y \right). \quad (E6) \]

**APPENDIX F: RAPIDITY-ONLY CUTOFF VS UV + RAPIDITY REGULARIZATION**

In this appendix we discuss the comparison between the small-x inspired rapidity-only cutoff used in this paper and the combination of UV and rapidity cutoffs characteristic for the CSS approach. Consider the typical contribution to the quark TMD operator shown in Fig. 9 at \( x^+ = 0 \) and \( p_{B\perp} = 0 \). As discussed above, at such a separation we can use Feynman diagrams instead of cut diagrams,

\[ \langle T[0^+, -\infty]_x [-\infty, 0^+]_y \rangle_{\psi(0)}^{\text{Fig.9}} = g^2 e_F \int d\beta_B d\rho_B \Gamma\Psi(\beta_B) I(\beta_B, x) \]

\[ I(\beta_B, x) = -i \int d\alpha d\beta d\rho \frac{1}{\beta + i\alpha s - \rho^2} \frac{1}{\rho - \beta} \left( 1 - e^{i(p \cdot x)} \right) \Gamma\Psi(\beta_B). \quad (F1) \]

Without the cutoff in \( \alpha \), the integral

\[ I(\beta_B, x) = -i \mu^{-2\varepsilon} \int d\alpha d\beta d\rho \frac{1}{\beta + i\alpha s - \rho^2} \frac{1}{\rho - \beta} \left( 1 - e^{i(p \cdot x)} \right) \]

\[ = -\mu^{-2\varepsilon} \int d\rho \left( 1 - e^{i(p \cdot x)} \right) \int_0^1 \frac{d\beta}{\beta} \frac{\delta(\beta - \beta_B)}{\beta \beta + i\epsilon} \frac{1}{8\pi^2 (x^2 \mu^2)^\varepsilon} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\rho}{\rho} \frac{\beta B - \beta_B}{\beta} \quad (F2) \]

diverges as \( \beta \to 0 \) even at \( \epsilon \neq 0 \). The so-called \( \delta \) regularization with \( A^-(z^+) \to A^-(z^+) e^{\pm \delta c} \) gives

![Fig. 9. Typical diagrams for one-loop evolution of the quark TMD operator.](image-url)
so that

\[ P^\mu(\beta_B, x_\perp) = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \frac{\Gamma(\epsilon)}{(x_\perp \mu)^\epsilon} \int_0^{\ln(\beta_B/\mu)} \frac{d\beta}{\beta_B \beta - \beta} \left( 1 - \ln \frac{\mu^2 \beta^2}{4} + \gamma \right) \left( \ln \beta_B/\mu - 1 \right). \]  

Equation (F3)

which gives

\[ P^\mu(\beta_B, x_\perp) = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \frac{\Gamma(\epsilon)}{(x_\perp \mu)^\epsilon} \int_0^{\ln(\beta_B/\mu)} \frac{d\beta}{\beta_B \beta - \beta} \left( 1 - \ln \frac{\mu^2 \beta^2}{4} + \gamma \right) \left( \ln \beta_B/\mu - 1 \right). \]  

Equation (F4)

after subtraction of the counterterm.

On the other hand, the rapidity-only cutoff \( \delta^- = 1/\epsilon \) gives [see Eq. (C4)]

\[ P^\mu(\beta_B, x_\perp) = -i \int d\alpha d\bar{\alpha} p_\perp \frac{1}{\beta + i \epsilon \alpha \beta s - p_\perp^2 + i \epsilon \alpha (\beta - \beta_B) s - p_\perp^2 + i \epsilon} \left( 1 - e^{i(p,s)_\perp} \right) \]

\[ = \int \frac{dp_\perp}{p_\perp} (1 - e^{i(p,s)_\perp}) \int_0^{\ln(\beta_B/\mu)} \frac{d\beta}{\alpha \beta s + p_\perp^2} e^{-i}\beta_B s - p_\perp^2} - \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \ln^2 \left( -i\beta_B s - p_\perp^2 \right). \]  

Equation (F5)

The integrals (F5) and (F6) coincide when \( \mu^2 \) is two times BLM scale \( \mu^2 = 2\mu_0^2 = 2x^{-1}\sqrt{\beta_B}\beta s \) and \( \delta = 4/\alpha_s \). Hopefully, the double evolution [30] along the line \( \mu^2 x^2/\sqrt{\delta} = 4\sqrt{\beta_B} \) will produce results compatible with Eq. (132).

**APPENDIX G: RAPIDITY-ONLY EVOLUTION BEYOND SUDAKOV REGION AT SMALL AND MODERATE \( x \)**

As we demonstrated in this paper, the Sudakov double logs are universal and the evolution of quark and gluon TMDs is the same for low and moderate \( x \) until \( \alpha \beta_B s \sim b_\perp^2 \sim q_\perp^2 \). From that point, the evolution (or the lack of it) depends on \( \beta_B = x_B \) and \( q_\perp^2 \). There are three different scenarios. We will consider them for the case of gluon TMDs since we can use the explicit formulas for the leading-order rapidity evolution at arbitrary \( \beta_B = x_B \) from Ref. [6].

First, if \( x_B \sim 1 \) and \( q_\perp^2 \gg m_N^2 \), there is no room for any evolution and one should turn to phenomenological models of TMDs such as the replacement of \( b \) by \( b_\perp \) in Refs. [2,25].

If \( x_B \sim 1 \) and \( q_\perp^2 \gg m_N^2 \), there is room for DGLAP-type evolution summing logs \( (\alpha_s \ln q_\perp^2/m_N^2)^n \). The rapidity evolution in this case has the form [6]

\[ d\sigma / ds \left( p_N | \mathcal{F}^{\iota,\alpha,\sigma}(\beta_B, x_\perp) \mathcal{F}^{\iota,\alpha,\sigma}(\beta_B, 0_\perp) | p_N \right) \]

\[ = 4\alpha_s N_c \int dk_\perp \left\{ e^{i(k,x)_\perp} \left( p_N | \mathcal{F}^{\iota,\alpha,\sigma}(\beta_B, k_\perp^2 + k_\perp^2, x_\perp) \mathcal{F}^{\iota,\alpha,\sigma}(\beta_B, k_\perp^2 + k_\perp^2, 0_\perp) | p_N \right) \theta \left( 1 - \beta_B - k_\perp^2 \right) \]

\[ \times \left[ \frac{1}{k_\perp^2} - \frac{2}{\alpha \beta_B s + k_\perp^2} + \frac{(\alpha \beta_B s)^2}{(\alpha \beta_B s + k_\perp^2)^2} \right] \left( p_N | \mathcal{F}^{\iota,\alpha,\sigma}(\beta_B, x_\perp) \mathcal{F}^{\iota,\alpha,\sigma}(\beta_B, 0_\perp) | p_N \right) \right\}. \]

Equation (G1)

Note that if \( \alpha \beta_B s \gg k_\perp^2 \), we get leading-order equation (101) at \( \beta = \beta' \). On the other hand, as demonstrated in Ref. [6], if \( \sigma \ll \frac{q_\perp^2}{\alpha \beta_B s} \), the factor \( e^{i(k,x)_\perp} \) in the RHS of Eq. (G1) can be neglected and we have the leading-order DGLAP equation with identification \( \mu^2_{DGLAP} = \alpha \beta_B s \). The result of this DGLAP evolution should be convoluted with Eq. (130) using full Eq. (G1) for proper matching.

Similarly, if \( x_B = \beta_B \ll 1 \), even at \( \beta_B s \approx q_\perp^2 \) there is room for BFKL-type evolution from \( \sigma = \frac{q_\perp^2}{\alpha \beta_B s} \) to \( \sigma = \frac{q_\perp^2}{s} \) which corresponds to summing logs \( (\alpha_s \ln x_B)^n \). The
leading-order rapidity equation at arbitrary $\beta_B$ has the form [6]

$$\frac{d}{d\sigma} \langle p_N | \mathcal{F}^{i,\alpha,\sigma}(\beta_B, x_\perp) \mathcal{F}^{i,\alpha,\sigma}(\beta_B, 0_\perp) | p_N \rangle = 4\alpha_s \int d\kappa \left[ \theta \left( 1 - \beta_B - \frac{k_\perp^2}{\sigma s} \right) \langle p_N | N_i \mathcal{F}^{i,\alpha,\sigma}(\beta_B + \frac{k_\perp^2}{\sigma s} \kappa, x_\perp) \mathcal{F}^{i,\alpha,\sigma}(\beta_B + \frac{k_\perp^2}{\sigma s} \kappa, 0_\perp) | p_N \rangle \frac{e^{i(k\kappa)}}{k_\perp} \right]$$

$$- 4N_i \sigma_{B^s} \left( \alpha_{B^s} + k_\perp^2 \right) \langle p_N | \mathcal{F}^{i,\alpha,\sigma}(\beta_B, x_\perp) \mathcal{F}^{i,\alpha,\sigma}(\beta_B, y_\perp) | p_N \rangle - \theta \left( 1 - \beta_B - \frac{k_\perp^2}{\sigma s} \right) \times \langle p_N | \text{Tr} \left( x_\perp U \frac{p_j}{\alpha_{B^s} + k_\perp^2} U^\dagger \mathcal{F}^{i,\alpha,\sigma}(\beta_B + \frac{k_\perp^2}{\sigma s} \kappa, 0_\perp) \right) \right] \langle p_N \rangle + \cdots, \quad \text{(G2)}$$

where $U(x_\perp) \equiv [x_\perp - \infty^+, x_\perp + \infty^+]$ is a Wilson line (infinite gauge link) and dots stand for a number of nonlinear terms similar to the last one [see Eq. (5.5) from Ref. [6]]. The small-$x$ evolution is relevant from $\sigma = \frac{q_s^2}{p_{\perp}^2}$ to $\sigma = \frac{q_s^2}{s}$. As demonstrated in Ref. [6], at $\sigma \ll \frac{q_s^2}{p_{\perp}^2}$ the evolution equation (G2) reduces to the BK equation which can be studied using standard small-$x$ methods. After that, the matching of the double-log Sudakov evolution (102) to a single-log BK evolution should be done using full nonlinear equation (G2).
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