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Abstract

The nonlinear dynamics associated with sliding friction forms a broad interdisciplinary research field that involves complex dynamical processes and patterns covering a broad range of time and length scales. Progress in experimental techniques and computational resources has stimulated the development of more refined and accurate mathematical and numerical models, capable of capturing many of the essentially nonlinear phenomena involved in friction.
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1. Introduction

Friction phenomena take place across a broad range of time and length scales, from microscopic atomistic processes, as in the gliding motion of a nanocluster or a nanomotor [1], up to extremely macroscopic instances, as in fault dynamics and earthquake events [2]. Due to the ubiquitous nature of mechanical dissipative processes and its enormous practical relevance, friction has been extensively investigated over the centuries. While the empirical laws of macroscopic friction are well known [3], the fundamental understanding of the tribological phenomena at the microscopic scale is still lacking from many points of view. The basic difficulty of friction is intrinsic, involving the dissipative dynamics of large systems, often across ill-characterized interfaces, which are generally violent and nonlinear. The severity of the task is also related to the experimental difficulty in being able to probe systems with many degrees of freedom under a forced spatial confinement, which leaves very limited access to probing of the buried sliding interface. Thanks to remarkable developments in nanotechnology, new avenues of research are being pursued and new discoveries are being made. At the nanometer scale, state-of-the-art ultra-high-vacuum systems and local probe studies show a dynamical behavior which is often significantly different, not just quantitatively but qualitatively, from the ones observed in macroscopic tribology. Bridging the gaps among the different length scales in tribological systems, however, remains an open challenge. The phenomenological descriptions that apply to macroscopic friction cannot yet be derived from the fundamental atomic principles and the interplay of processes occurring at the molecular level. Nanofriction is in somewhat better shape. Together with the current experimental possibility of performing well-defined measurements on well-characterized materials at the fundamental microscopic level of investigation for the sliding contacts, advances in the computer modeling of interatomic interactions in materials science and complex systems encompass molecular-dynamics (MD) simulations of medium-to-large scale for the exploration of tribo-dynamics with atomic resolution [4, 5]. Despite the benefits brought about by numerical simulations of realistic 3D sliding systems, the resulting proliferation of detailed complex data, and the requirement of ever-increasing
computational efforts have stimulated the concurrent search for simpler modeling schemes suitable for describing the essence of the physics involved in highly nonlinear and non-equilibrium tribological phenomena in a more immediate fashion. These include generalized Prandtl–Tomlinson (PT) and Frenkel–Kontorova (FK), for nanofriction, and Burridge–Knopoff and earthquake-like models, for mesoscale friction.

Here, we discuss current progress and open problems in the simulation and modeling of tribology at the microscopic scale, and its connection to the macroscopic scale. Neither the PT model, described in detail in several surveys [5, 6] with several applications to concrete tip-based physical systems, nor the phenomenological approach based on the rate-and-state models [7] will be considered here. With a view to emphasizing the role of nonlinearity, the present topical review will restrict its focus to the following theoretical approaches to sliding friction. Section 2 revises the simple case of near-equilibrium linear friction in classical mechanics. Section 3 focuses on nonlinearity in crystal sliding in the framework of the FK model and its generalizations. Atomic models and MD nanofriction simulations are presented in section 4. Finally, mesoscopic multi-contact earthquake-like models are examined in section 5.

2. Linear friction and dissipation

Statistical mechanics accounts for the intimate mechanism of friction: a system at equilibrium has its kinetic energy uniformly distributed among all its degrees of freedom. A sliding macroscopic object clearly is not at equilibrium: one of its degrees of freedom (the center-of-mass motion) has far more kinetic energy than any other. The tendency of the system toward equilibrium will lead to the transfer of energy from that degree of freedom to all other ones. As a result, the macroscopic object will slow down and its energy will be transferred to the disordered motion of the other degrees of freedom, resulting in warming up. This is all sliding friction really is: the tendency of systems toward equilibrium energy equipartitioning among many interacting degrees of freedom.

Thus, in the course of friction under an applied external force, energy is reversed into the system in the form of frictional heat. The frictional heat is generally dissipated by some form of heat bath, such as that provided by a thermostat at temperature $T$. In a frictional steady state, caused for example by subjecting a slider to an external force $F$, the slider dissipated energy to the bath, and therefore does not accelerate indefinitely—indeed it reaches a steady state characterized by an average drift velocity $\langle v \rangle$. When both $\langle v \rangle$ and $F$ are infinitesimal, the relationship between the two quantities is linear,

$$ F = m\gamma \langle v \rangle. $$

In this so-called ‘viscous friction’ the proportionality constant $\gamma$ is the linear friction coefficient. It is known from classical statistical mechanics, for example Brownian motion as described by the Langevin equation, that for linear friction systems which obey equation (1), the Einstein relationship

$$ D\gamma = k_B T \mu $$

is generally valid, connecting the friction coefficient $\gamma$, which measures dissipation, to the diffusion coefficient $D$, which measures fluctuations. This expresses the fluctuation-dissipation theorem of linear viscous friction.

2.1. The fluctuation-dissipation theorem

To simulate the classical motion of a macroscopic object moving in contact with an equilibrium bath such as the molecules of a gas or a liquid, or the phonons of a solid, the standard implementation [8, 9] requires adapting Newton’s equations of motion with the addition of a damping force $\tilde{f}_{\text{damp}}$ plus a random force $\tilde{f}_{\text{rand}}(t)$. The damping force represents the transfer of energy from the macroscopic object of mass $m$ to the heat bath, i.e. dissipation:

$$ \tilde{f}_{\text{damp}} = -m\gamma \ddot{r}. $$

This formula, equivalent to equation (1), assumes that the deviation from equilibrium is small, so that linear response holds: the restoring Stokes’ force is linear in the perturbing velocity, and acts opposite to it to restore the $\langle \dot{r} \rangle = 0$ equilibrium regime. This linear dependence is purely the lowest order term in a Taylor expansion: there is no reason to expect the linear relation (3) to extend to large velocity, and indeed the drag friction of speeding objects in gases is well known to follow Rayleigh’s quadratic dependence on speed $|\dot{r}|$, for example. The random-force term represents statistically the ‘kicks’ that the objects experiences due to their interaction with the thermal bath. In the frame of reference of the thermal bath $\langle \tilde{f}_{\text{rand}}(t) \rangle = 0$, of course. The random term is the result of many very frequent collision events, resulting in random forces uncorrelated with themselves except over very short time spans. More precisely, we assume there is some maximum time $\tau$ beyond which any correlation vanishes:

$$ \langle f_{\text{rand}}(t)f_{\text{rand}}(t+\delta t) \rangle = 0 \quad \text{if } |\delta t| > \tau. $$

In addition, the assumption of thermal equilibrium ensures that the bath is in a steady state, so that $\langle f_{\text{rand}}(t)f_{\text{rand}}(t+\delta t) \rangle$ is independent of $t$, and depends on $\delta t$ only: the statistical properties of the random force are constant in time. In most practical situations, one can safely ignore the dynamics over a time scale of the order of $\tau$ or shorter. We are interested instead in the integral effect of $\tilde{f}_{\text{rand}}(t)$ over some time period $t$ that is long compared to $\tau$. We can break up this integral into many pieces, each covering a duration $\tau$:

$$ \int_{0}^{t} f_{\text{rand}}(t')dt' = \int_{0}^{\tau} f_{\text{rand}}(t')dt' + \int_{\tau}^{2\tau} f_{\text{rand}}(t')dt' + \int_{2\tau}^{3\tau} f_{\text{rand}}(t')dt' + \ldots $$

This integral is then the sum of many independent random terms, each drawn from the same distribution the only relevant property of which is that it has zero mean value. As a result of
the central-limit theorem, the total integral obeys a Gaussian distribution with null mean, and whose standard deviation scales with the number of terms in the sum, i.e. \( t^{1/2} \).

By taking the equation of motion in the absence of any external driving force,

\[
m\ddot{\gamma} = f_{\text{damp}} + f_{\text{rand}}(t),
\]

and integrating it in time we obtain

\[
\dot{\gamma}(t) = \dot{\gamma}(0) e^{-\gamma t} + \frac{1}{m} \int_0^t e^{-\gamma(t-t')} f_{\text{rand}}(t') \, dt'.
\]

The first term on the right-hand side of equation (7) becomes negligible for a time \( t' \gg 1/\gamma \), long enough for the object to equilibrate with the thermostat and lose memory of its initial condition. In this large-\( t \) limit, by taking the square module of equation (7) and executing the ensemble average, we have

\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \left( \dot{\gamma}^2(t) \right) = \frac{1}{m} \lim_{t \to \infty} \int_0^t e^{-\gamma(t-t')} \left( f_{\text{rand}}(t') \right)^2 \, dt' dt''.
\]

The term on the left-hand side multiplied by \( \frac{1}{2} m \) yields the average kinetic energy, which by standard equipartition needs

\[
\int_0^\infty e^{-\gamma(t-t')} f_{\text{rand}}(t') \, dt' dt''.
\]

\[
\frac{3}{2} k_B T = \frac{1}{m} \lim_{t \to \infty} \int_0^t e^{-\gamma(2t-t')} \left( f_{\text{rand}}(t') \cdot f_{\text{rand}}(t'') \right) \, dt' dt''.
\]

Here, we have used the steadiness of the stochastic process discussed after equation (4). Assuming, as is commonly the case, that the autocorrelation time \( \tau \) of the random term is short compared to \( \tau^{-1} \), the integrand of equation (9) has \( e^{-\gamma t} \sim 1 \) in all region \( |t| \ll \tau \) where the factor \( \left( f_{\text{rand}}(t) \cdot f_{\text{rand}}(t') \right) \) is significantly different from 0. This observation further simplifies equation (9) to the fluctuation-dissipation relation

\[
6 m \gamma k_B T = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt' \left( f_{\text{rand}}(0) \cdot f_{\text{rand}}(t') \right).
\]

This expression draws an explicit link between the autocorrelation amplitude of the fluctuations and the product of the dissipation coefficient and thermostat temperature. Note that the integral in equation (10) depends on both the amplitude of fluctuations \( f_{\text{rand}} \) and the time over which they remain self-correlated. The effect on the mesoscopic scale increases if the random force is larger and/or if the time interval, over which \( f_{\text{rand}} \) pushes in the same direction before changing, is longer.

The relation (10) can be equally well satisfied by weaker random forces acting for longer correlation times or stronger forces with shorter \( \tau \), which thus lead to the same statistical effects. As \( \tau \) is the shortest time scale, for all practical purposes one can satisfy equation (10) assuming a sort of \( \tau \to 0 \) limit:

\[
\left( f_{\text{rand}}(t) f_{\text{rand}}(t') \right) = 2 m k_B T \delta_{t+0} \delta(t-t'),
\]

This provides a simple recipe for computer simulations. For simulations of models such as the PT or FK ones, the phenomenological degrees of freedom are often coupled to a Langevin thermostat of this kind, implying that each degree of freedom is actually coupled to a vast number of other bath degrees of freedom. Even in MD simulations of atomic-scale friction, Langevin thermostats are applied to all or part of the atoms involved [9–11]. Of course, this approach is not rigorous since the relevant particles colliding with each given simulated atom are already all included in the conservative and deterministic forces explicitly accounted for by the ‘force field’. The Langevin approach is quite accurate to describe small perturbations away from equilibrium, but it may fail quite badly for the strongly out-of-equilibrium nonlinear phenomena which are the target of the present paper.

2.2. Linear versus nonlinear friction

In the rest of this review we will deal with nonlinear frictional phenomena, which deviate violently from linearity and near-equilibrium, and, therefore, where equations (1) and (2) do not generally apply. As has, surprisingly, only been realized in the last few decades, even arbitrarily violently non-equilibrium and nonlinear-driven phenomena adhere to an extension of the fluctuation-dissipation theorem. That is the Jarzynski (or Jarzynski–Crooks) relation [12, 13], whose simplest form is briefly summarized below.

Suppose, starting from a system in state A at temperature \( T \), we apply an external force of arbitrary form and strength causing it to evolve, for example, to slide to another state B; assume for simplicity B is also a state of equilibrium. Call \( W_{AB} \) the work done by the external force, and call \( \Delta F_{AB} = F_B - F_A \) the difference in equilibrium-free energy between the states B and A. Clearly, \( W_{AB} > \Delta F_{AB} \) must be valid, because some work will be wasted in going from A to B, unless this were done infinitely slowly (adiabatically).

Suppose we now repeat the forced motion \( A \to B \) many times. Each time, \( W_{AB} \) will be different. The Jarzynski equality states that

\[
\langle \exp(-W_{AB}/k_B T) \rangle = \exp(-\Delta F_{AB}/k_B T).
\]

It can be shown that in near-equilibrium conditions, equation (12) is completely equivalent to the fluctuation-dissipation theorem. The beauty of it, however, is that equation (12) is totally general.

One particular case is useful in order to underline its far-reaching power. Suppose we take \( B = A \), that is, a final state identical to the starting one. In that case
At first sight this equation appears impossible to satisfy, because surely all $<W_{AA}>0$: all forced motion must cost work. The answer is that the probability $P(W_{AA})$ is, indeed, a distribution centered around a positive $W_{AA}>0$, but with a non-zero tail extending to $W_{AA}<0$. This tail represents rare events where work is gained rather than spent—we can think of each of them as a sort of ‘free lunch’. Jarzynski’s theorem requires that ‘free lunches’ must occur precisely in such a measure to satisfy equation (13). However, it is easy to convince ourselves that they will be frequent only in microscopic systems, where $P(W_{AA})$ is broad. The larger the system involved, the narrower $P(W_{AA})$ will be, and the occurrence of ‘free lunches’ will become increasingly rare. In a macroscopic friction experiment, the occurrence of a ‘free lunch’ will be so rare as to be virtually impossible.

3. The Frenkel–Kontorova model

In nanoscale tribology, extensive attention has been given to the time-honored PT model, which describes a point-like tip sliding over a space-periodic crystalline surface in a minimal fashion. We shall omit this model from the present review, since it is covered in great detail elsewhere [5, 6, 14]. We concentrate instead on its natural extension, the one-dimensional (1D) FK model [15], which provides a prototypical description of the mutual sliding of two perfect, extended crystalline surfaces. First studied analytically in [16] and later introduced independently to address the dynamics of dislocations in crystals [17–19], subsequently this model became the paradigm describing the structure and dynamics of adsorbed monolayers in the context of surface physics.

The standard FK model consists of a 1D chain of $N$ classical particles (‘atoms’), interacting via harmonic forces and moving in a sinusoidal potential, as sketched in figure 1. The Hamiltonian is

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[ \frac{p_i^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2} K(x_{i+1} - x_i - a_c)^2 + \frac{1}{2} U_0 \cos \frac{2\pi x_i}{a_b} \right].$$

In equation (14), the $p_i^2/(2m)$ term represents the kinetic energy of the particles, and the next term describes the harmonic interaction, with elastic constant $K$, of nearest neighboring atoms at equilibrium distance $a_c$. The final cosine term describes the ‘substrate corrugation’, i.e. the periodic potential of amplitude $U_0$ and period $a_b$, as experienced by all particles alike. To probe static friction, all atoms are driven by an external force $F$, which is increased adiabatically until sliding starts.

The continuum limit of the FK model, appropriate for large $K$, is the exactly integrable sine-Gordon (SG) equation, and this mapping has contributed to the great success of the FK model. The solutions to the SG model include nonlinear topological solitons (known as ‘kinks’ and ‘antikinks’), plus dynamical solitons (‘breathers’), alongside linear vibration waves (phonons). In the FK model, the sliding processes are entirely governed by its topological excitations, i.e. the kinks. Let us consider the simplest ‘commensurate’ case, where before sliding the chain is in a trivial ground state (GS), when each $N$ atom fits in each of the $M$ minima of the substrate potential, so that the coverage (i.e. the relative atomic concentration) $\theta = N/M = a_c/a_b$ equals 1. In this case, the addition (or subtraction) of a single atom results in configurations of the chain characterized by one kink (or antikink) excitation. Still at zero applied force, in order to reach a local minimum of the total potential energy in equation (14), the kink expands in space over a finite length, so that the resulting relaxed chain configuration consists of a local compression (or expansion, for an antikink). Upon application of a force, it is far easier to move along the chain for kinks than for atoms, since the activation energy $\phi_{PN}$ for a kink displacement (known as the Peierls–Nabarro (PN) barrier) is systematically smaller, and often much smaller [20], than the amplitude $U_0$ of the energy barrier that single atoms experience in the substrate corrugation.

The motion of kinks (antikinks), i.e. the displacement of the extra atoms (vacancies) represents the mechanism for mass transport along the chain. These displacements are responsible for the mobility, diffusivity, and conductivity within this model. Generally, this means a larger concentration of kinks is associated to a larger the overall mobility [22]. For the simple commensurate GS (e.g. $\theta = 1$), which contains neither kinks nor antikinks, the onset of sliding motion under a driving force occurs via the creation of a kink–antikink pair, e.g. induced by a thermal fluctuation, see figure 2.

If the FK chain is of finite length, kinks/antikinks are usually created at one chain-free end, then they advance along the chain, eventually disappearing at the opposite end [23]. Every kink running from one end of the chain to the other produces the advancement of the entire chain by one lattice spacing $a_b$. For a finite film confined between two surfaces, or for an island deposited on a surface, the general expectation is that sliding initiates, and likewise with the formation and entrance of a kink, or antikink at the boundary [24]. In this two-dimensional (2D) case, and more generally in $D$-dimensional systems, the zero-dimensional kinks of the FK model are replaced by $(D-1)$ dimensional misfit dislocations or domain walls, whose qualitative physics and role is essentially the same.

Incommensurability between the periods $a_b$ and $a_c$ plays an important role in the FK model. Assume, in the limit of an infinite chain length, the ratio $\theta = a_c/a_b$ of the substrate period $a_b$ to the average spacing $a_c$ of the chain to be irrational. The GS of the resulting incommensurate FK model
is characterized by a sort of ‘staircase’ deformation, with a regular sequence of regions where the chain is compressed (or expanded) to match the periodic potential, separated by kinks (or antikinks), where, at regular intervals, the misfit stress is released through a localized expansion (compression). The incommensurate FK model exhibits, under fairly general conditions on $\theta$ [25], a critical elastic constant $K = K_\gamma$, such that if $K > K_\gamma$ the chain can slide freely on the substrate at no energy cost, i.e. the static friction $F_s$ drops to zero (and the chain can slide freely on the substrate at no energy cost, i.e. the static friction $F_s$ drops to zero) when $K > K_\gamma$, where $K_\gamma$ is the critical value.

For the characterization of the Aubry transition, a ‘disorder’ parameter $\psi$ was conveniently defined [35] as the smallest distance of atoms from the nearest maximum of the corrugation potential. This quantity vanishes in the free-sliding state, and is non-zero in the pinned state. At the critical pinned-to-sliding point, the disorder parameter exhibits a power-law behavior

$$\psi \propto (K_\gamma - K)^{\psi_0}, \quad F_s \propto \chi_{PN} \propto (K_\gamma - K)^{\chi_{PN}}. \quad (15)$$

Here, the values of the critical exponents are functions of the irrational length ratio $\theta$. Specifically, for the golden-mean ratio $\chi_\psi \approx 0.7120835$ and $\chi_{PN} \approx 3.0117222$ [31, 35–41]. Equation (15) characterizes the continuous Aubry transition with a scaling behavior typical of critical phenomena, here at $T = 0$, but as a function of the stiffness parameter $K$. It is common to refer to the exponents in equation (15) as ‘super-critical’, since they are specific to the pinned side of the transition, $K \leq K_\gamma$.

Sub-critical exponents were introduced for the free-sliding state $K > K_\gamma$, as well. To describe the response of the model to an infinitesimally small dc force $F$ applied to all atoms, an extra damping term $-m\gamma\dot{X}_i$ has to be included in the equation of motion to prevent unlimited acceleration, and to achieve a steady-state instead. The resulting effective viscosity in the
The subcritical region is defined as $\Gamma = \lim_{F \to 0} F/(mv)$, in terms of steady-state average velocity $v$ resulting in a response to $F$. At the Aubry critical point $K_c$, the effective viscosity $\Gamma$ diverges. For $\theta = (1 + 5^{1/2})/2$, the golden-mean ratio, the scaling behavior of $\Gamma$ is

$$\Gamma(K) \propto (K - K_c)^{-\chi},$$

with $\chi \approx 0.029500$. As is the case for all scaling relations, equation (16) provides the leading divergence close to the Aubry point; at a larger distance from $K_c$, $\Gamma$ deviates from equation (16). Eventually, in the $K \to \infty$ SG limit, $\Gamma$ decreases toward $\gamma$. In general, in the unpinned phase at $K > K_c$, the incommensurate FK model exhibits an effective viscosity systematically larger and thus a mobility $B = v/F = (m\gamma)^{-1}$ which is consistently smaller than its maximum value $(m\gamma)^{-1}$. This observation is illustrated by the $K > K_c$ curves of figure 3. Exclusively in the $K \to \infty$ limit, the incommensurate system moves under an infinitesimal force without any extra dissipation added to the base value $\gamma$, therefore, in a frictionless sliding motion, despite the finite corrugation magnitude $U_0$. The first prediction of vanishing static friction was formulated for the incommensurate infinite-size sufficiently hard FK chain by Peyrard and Aubry [31]. This phenomenon was subsequently found to occur for incommensurate tribo-contacts, and named ‘superlubricity’ [42, 43]. This name has drawn criticism, however, because it could misleadingly suggest the vanishing of kinetic friction too, in analogy to superfluidity or superconductivity. Actually, the depinning of sliding interfaces closes just one of the channels for energy dissipation, namely the one associated to the stick-slip elastic instability at low speed. Additional dissipation channels, including the emission of vibrations such as sound waves, remain active, with the result that the actual kinetic friction force remains non-zero and grows with increasing sliding speed. All the same, the superlubric state does attain a significant reduction of the kinetic friction force (and thus an increased mobility $B$), compared to the pinned state $K < K_c$, see figure 3.

The driven FK model was usefully employed to describe the onset of sliding of a crystalline contact [44], even though this model cannot rigorously describe the experimentally significant real-life plastic deformations of the contact.

Experimentally, superlubricity has been studied for a graphite flake sticking to the tip of an atomic force microscope (AFM) sliding over an atomically flat graphite surface [45–47]. Extremely weak friction forces of less than 50 pN were detected in the vast majority of the relative flake–substrate orientations, namely those orientations generating incommensurate contacting surfaces, see figure 4. Stick-slip motion, associated with a much higher friction force (typically 250 pN), was instead found in the narrow ranges of orientation angles where the flake–substrate contact was commensurate.

The above discussion ignores temperature, assuming so far $T = 0$. At non-zero temperature, the sliding-friction response of the FK model [48, 49] requires the addition of a thermostat.
The common choice of a Langevin thermostat, for example, simulates all dissipation mechanisms through a viscous force $\gamma - m \ddot{x}_i$, and includes fluctuations by the addition of Gaussian random forces where variance is proportional to temperature $T$, as sketched in section 2.1. At $T > 0$, thermal fluctuations can always overcome all sorts of pinning and will thus initiate sliding by nucleation of mobile defects, even in the fully commensurate (pinned) condition, see figure 2.

More generally, in the FK model the dimensionless coverage $\theta = a_0/a_c$ plays a central role, because it defines the concentration of ‘geometrical kinks’ close to $\theta = 1$ and of ‘superkinks’ which arise when $\theta$ deviates slightly from a background commensurate pattern that is not $\theta = 1$, but rather a rational $\theta_0 = p/q$, with $p$ and $q$ mutually prime integers. If $\theta$ is only slightly different from $\theta_0$, the GS of the FK model consists of extended domains with the commensurate pattern associated to $\theta_0$, separated by superkinks (super-antikinks), in the form of locally mismatched regions of compression (expansion) relative to $\theta_0$.

The above concepts of pinning or superlubricity apply for an infinitesimal applied force. Additional interesting physics arises at finite force. By increasing the driving force, an FK model with a pinned GS (either commensurate, or incommensurate, but past the Aubry transition) is known to show a hierarchy of first-order dynamical phase transitions, starting from the completely immobile state, passing through several intermediate stages characterized by different running states of the kinks, to eventually reach a totally running state. Consider, for example, the ratio $\theta = 21/41$: initially the mass transport along the chain is supported by superkinks constructed on top of the background $\theta = 1/2$ structure. Since the average superkink–superkink distance $41 a_b$ is large, they interact weakly, and the atomic flow is restricted by the need for these rarefied superkinks to negotiate their PN barriers (see figure 5(a)). For larger driving $F$, the effective PN barriers are tilted and lowered (in analogy to barriers of the corrugation potential), producing an increased single-kink mobility $B$. As a result, the zero-temperature transition from the locked state ($B = 0$) to
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**Figure 5.** The mobility $B = v/F$ as a function of the driving force $F$ for the underdamped ($\gamma = 0.12$) FK model with exponential interaction ($K_{eff} = 0.58$) (a) for $\theta = 21/41$ (superkinks in the background of a $\theta_0 = 1/2$ structure), and (b) for $\theta = 21/31$ (superkinks in the background of the complex $\theta_0 = 2/3$ structure). From [50], Copyright (1997) by The American Physical Society.
the running state takes place at the force \( F \approx F_{\text{ss}} = C \pi \sigma \rho / a_0 \), where the factor \( C \sim 1 \) depends on the shape of the PN potential. In terms of the dimensionless superkink concentration \( \theta_0 = 1/41 \), the mobility becomes \( B \approx \theta_0 B_1 \).

Beyond \( F_{\text{ss}} \), further possibilities depend on the damping coefficient \( \gamma \). At very small damping, \( \gamma < 0.05 \), the driven model transition leads directly into the fully running state, because running superkinks self-destory soon after they start to move, causing an avalanche, thus driving the whole chain to a total running state similar to that shown on the right-hand side of figure 2. When the dissipation rate is larger, \( \gamma > 0.05 \), one instead finds intermediate stages with stable running superkinks, see figure 5. The mechanism for a second rapid increase of the mobility after depinning depends again on the value of \( \gamma \) (for details see [15, 50, 51]). In between the initial superkink-sliding stage and the fully running state, a sort of ‘traffic-jam’ intermediate regime may emerge [15].

A qualitatively similar picture was also confirmed for different and more complex kink patterns, such as that shown for the \( \theta = 21/31 \) example in figure 5(b) [50]. In this case, the GS consists of domains of the \( \theta_0 = 2/3 \) commensurate structure, separated by superkinks at an average spacing \( 30 a_0 \). Even the \( \theta = 2/3 \) pattern could itself be viewed as a dense array of trivial kinks constructed on top of the simple \( \theta_0 = 1/2 \) background structure. The force dependence of the mobility \( B(F) \) bears a trace of this double nature, with a state of running superkinks preceding a state of running kinks. Therefore, where \( \gamma \) is not too small the mobility \( B \) increases in two distinct steps as the driving force is increased. A first step, at \( F = F_{\text{ss}} \approx 0.08 F_0 \) (here \( F_0 = \pi U_0 / a_0 \) defines the depinning force for the fully commensurate model \( \theta = 1 \)) occurs when the superkinks begin to slide; then a second step, at \( F = F_{\text{ss}} \approx 0.18 F_0 \), occurs in correspondence to the unpinning of the trivial kinks.

### 3.1. Extensions of the FK model

Several extensions of the FK model have been proposed to describe a broad range of frictionally relevant phenomena. Most of these generalizations involve modifications of either the interactions or the system dimensionality. To address more realistic systems, anharmonicity of the chain interatomic potential has been studied in detail. The resulting features mainly include new types of dynamical solitons (supersonic waves), a modification of the kink–kink interaction, the breaking of the kink–antikink symmetry, and even the possibility of a chain rupture associated to the excessive stretching of an antikink [15]. The large kink–antikink asymmetry, consistent with friction experiments in layers of repulsive colloids [52], was attributed to the strong anharmonicity in the colloid–colloid interaction [53, 54]. The essence of this asymmetry is the same as that between the physical parameters of a vacancy and those of an interstitial.

Research has also addressed substrates with a complex corrugation pattern [22, 55], including quasi-periodic [56, 57] and random/disordered corrugation profiles [58–60]. Modifications from the plain FK model may generate qualitatively different types of excitations, e.g. phonon branches and kinks of different kinds, as well as modifications in the kink–antikink collisions. At a small driving force, where the dynamics and tribology are dominated by moving kink-like structures, different sliding modes appear.

The Frenkel–Kontorova–Tomlinson (FKT) model [61, 62] introduces a harmonic coupling of the sliding atomic chain to a driving support, thus making it possible to investigate stick-slip features in a 1D extended simplified contact. The FKT framework provides the ideal platform to investigate the tribological consequences of combined interface incommensurability, finite-size effects, mechanical stiffness of the contacting materials, and normal-load variations [63, 64].

Important generalizations involving increased dimensionality compared to the regular FK model have significant implications for tribological properties such as critical exponents, size-scaling of the friction force, depinning mechanisms, and others. In particular, 2D extensions of the FK model [4, 15] have been applied to the modeling of the (unlubricated) contact of two crystals. Such is the case, for example, in quartz-crystal microbalance (QCM) experiments, where single-layer adsorbate islands are made to slide over a crystalline substrate [65]. Another example is that of recent experiments carried out with 2D monolayers of colloids driven over a laser-generated optical lattice [52–54, 66–70].

Interestingly, the 2D Aubry transition of incommensurate colloids was shown by Mandelli et al [70] to be of first order, rather than of second order as in 1D. As a consequence, in 2D the free-sliding and pinned phases retain local stability for a range of parameters that extend beyond the transition point, a point where the total energy has a crossing singularity instead of a smooth stiffness dependence, as in 1D. It is likely, although not proven to our knowledge, that the 2D FK should possess a first-order Aubry transition too.

Among generalized 2D FK models, we recall the two coupled FK chains [71], the ‘balls and springs’ layer of particles linked in 2D by harmonic springs and moving in a 2D periodic corrugation potential, the scalar anisotropic 2D FK model consisting of a coupled array of 1D FK chains, the 2D vector anisotropic model (namely the zigzag FK model where the transverse motion of atoms is included [72–77]), the 2D vector isotropic FK model [78–81], and finally the 2D tribology model [44, 82] (see also [15] and references therein).

These approaches, which generalize the FK model, have been of use for the study of the transient dynamics at the onset of sliding. Capturing these transient phenomena is often highly non-trivial in fully realistic MD simulations (see e.g. [83]). An interesting example of such a transient is the depinning of an atomic monolayer driven across a 2D periodic substrate profile of hexagonal symmetry [83]. The formation, by nucleation, of an island of moving atoms in a ‘sea’ of quasi-stationary particles mediates the transition from the locked to the running state. The moving island expands rapidly along the direction of the driving force, and grows at a slower rate in the orthogonal direction. Within the island, the 2D crystal retains its approximate ordered hexagonal structure, thanks to its stiffness supported by the intranatomic forces. As a result, at the onset of depinning, the model exhibits regions of almost perfect hexagonal-lattice order delimited by a closed boundary of dislocations.
Kinks in 1D and dislocation lines in 2D exhibit peculiar tribological properties. In non-contact experiments, an oscillating AFM tip was seen to dissipate significantly more when hovering above a dislocation line of incommensurate adsorbates than above in-registry regions [84]. The larger softness and mobility of the dislocation regions [85–88] accounts for this effect. An explicit demonstration of this mechanism was carried out by the study of a mismatched FK chain, the dynamics of which were forced and simultaneously probed by an oscillating localized model tip [89]. This approach illustrates the ability of the FK model to capture the local modifications of the dissipation properties. In contrast, if retardation effects related to the finite speed of sound across a material need to be taken into account, more sophisticated models are called for.

3.2. Quantized sliding velocity

The investigation of systems confined between two shearing sliders, such as single particles [90–92] or harmonic chains [93–95] embedded between competing periodic potentials, has led to the discovery of several nonlinear tribological phenomena involving either stick-slip dynamics or the formation of peculiar ‘synchronized’ sliding regimes [96, 97].

The FK model can be generalized with the addition of a second, different sinusoidal corrugation potential, as sketched in figure 6(c). When the second potential is spatially advanced relatively to the first as a function of time, the model realizes the simplest idealization of a slider-solid lubricant-slider-confined geometry. In this extended model the lattice mismatch was shown to generate peculiar and robust ‘quantized’ sliding regimes [95, 98–105], where the chain deformations are synchronized to the relative motion of the two corrugations, in such a way that the chain’s (i.e. the solid lubricant’s) average drift velocity acquires non-trivial fixed ratios to the externally imposed sliding velocity. Specifically, the ratio of the lubricant speed to that of the slider \( w = \frac{v_{cm}}{v_{ext}} \) remains locked to specific ‘plateau’ values across broad ranges of most model parameters, including the potential magnitude of the two sliders, the chain stiffness (see figure 6(a)), the dissipation rate \( \gamma \), and even the external velocity \( v_{ext} \) itself. The speed ratio is ultimately determined by geometry alone: \( w = 1 - r_c^{-1} \), where \( r_c = a_0/a_{l0} \) is the incommensurability ratio between the chain spacing \( a_0 \) and \( a_{l0} \) that of the closest slider [98]. The plateau mechanism operates by the fact that the kinks formed by the mismatch of the chain with one slider (the slider whose spatial periodicity is closest to that of the chain), are rigidly dragged at velocity \( v_{cm} \) by the other slider. The kink density being geometrically determined and lower than the chain density implies that the overall velocity ratio shares exactly the same properties. The exactness of the velocity plateaus implies a sort of ‘dynamical incompressibility’; identically zero compliance to perturbations trying to modify \( v_{cm} \) from its quantized-plateau value. This robustness of the plateaus can be demonstrated, for example, by adding a constant force \( F_{ext} \) pushing all particles in the chain: as long as \( F_{ext} \) is small enough, it does perturb the dynamics of the velocity-plateau attractor, but not the value of \( v_{cm} \). Eventually, above a critical force \( F_c \), the driven model abandons the plateau dynamics.
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**Figure 6.** (a) The ratio \( w = \frac{v_{cm}}{v_{ext}} \) of the mean drift velocity of the chain to the top advancing speed, as a function of the chain stiffness \( K \) for several lattice spacing ratios \( \{ r_c, r_\phi \} \), with \( r_c = a_0/a_{l0} \) commensurate \((3/2, 9/4)\), golden mean (GM) \((\phi, \phi^2)\) \((\phi \approx 1.6180 \ldots)\), spiral mean (SM) \((\sigma, \sigma^2)\) \((\sigma \approx 1.3247 \ldots)\), and \((\phi^{-1}, \phi)\). (b) As a function of the length ratio \( r_\phi \), the main plateau speed \( w \) of many calculations is seen to coincide with \( 1 - r_\phi^{-1} \).

(c) A sketch of the model. Adapted from [98]. Copyright (2006) by The American Physical Society.

This transition, explored by increasing the external driving force \( F_{ext} \), exhibits a broad hysteresis, and shares many features of the static-friction depinning transition, except that here it takes place ‘on the fly’ [101, 103]. Disregarding details, this transition is then formally equivalent to the standard Aubry depinning transition [30, 31], with the moving kinks of the lubricant–substrate interface here taking the role of particles. The robustness of the quantized plateau stands even after replacement of the sinusoidal corrugation potential of equation (14) with a deformed profile: the Remoissenet–Peyrard non-sinusoidal potential even extends the velocity plateau in the space of model parameters [106].

The quantized sliding regime of the crystalline solid lubricant was also investigated in a significantly less idealized 2D model, including the perpendicular degree of freedom [107]. MD simulations carried out for a monolayer or multilayer lubricant film where atoms interacting via Lennard-Jones potentials can also move perpendicularly to the sliding direction, as sketched in figure 7 shows quantized plateaus in this case too. These plateaus were shown to be resilient against variations in the loading forces across a broad range, against thermal fluctuations, and also against the presence of quenched disorder in the substrates. This quantized sliding state was also characterized by significantly lower values of
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kinetic friction $F_k$ (the average force needed to maintain the advancement of the top slider) \cite{108}, than the regular non-quantized regime, see figure 8.

Quantized sliding has again been demonstrated more recently in a 3D model where the lubricant is represented by a layer of Lennard-Jones atoms \cite{109}. The quantized-sliding state and its boundaries were fully characterized in the special case of perfectly aligned crystalline layers. We note, however, that there are reasons to expect that incommensurately mismatched epitaxial layers could relax to a mutually rotated alignment \cite{110, 111}. The quantized-sliding state in such rotated arrangements is the subject of active investigation. More generally, no experimental observations of the quantized sliding predicted for solid lubricants have appeared so far. Layered systems such as graphene and BN appear to offer a good opportunity for the future study of these curious phenomena.

4. Molecular dynamics simulations

The simple models considered above have yielded precious qualitative and often semi-quantitative understanding of several features of friction. To address subtler physical behavior in specific systems, it is nevertheless necessary and desirable to include atomistic structural and mechanical details of the interface. MD simulations can help progress here, also offering a level of detail that can, in some instances, replace experiment.

Thanks to advances in computing algorithms and hardware, recent years have witnessed a remarkable increase in our ability to simulate tribologic processes in realistic nanofrictional systems, and obtain detailed microscopic information. An MD simulation is de facto a controlled computational experiment, where the overall atomic dynamics are provided...
by the numerical solutions of suitably generalized Newtonian equations of motion which rely on interatomic forces derived by specific realistic interparticle-interaction potentials. Tribological simulations require careful selection of the geometric arrangement of the sliding interface, e.g. as in figure 9, and of the applied boundary conditions.

Influential review articles [10, 11] cover the atomistic MD simulation of friction, with a focus on technical aspects such as the construction of a realistic interface, suitable techniques for the application of load, shear, and temperature control. The simplest approach to temperature control, namely adding a Langevin thermostat to Newton’s equations [9], has been adopted broadly, but more refined approaches have been proposed and adopted for friction simulations, as discussed in section 4.1 below. Physically relevant quantities, including the average friction force, the slider and the mean lubricant velocities, several correlation functions, and the heat flow can be evaluated numerically by carrying out suitable averages over the model dynamics of a sliding interface, as long as it is followed for a sufficiently long amount of time. The modeling of friction must first of all correctly address ordinary equilibrium and near-equilibrium phenomena, where the fluctuation-dissipation theorem (section 2) governs the smooth conversion of mechanical energy into heat, but most importantly it must also deal with inherently nonlinear dissipative phenomena such as instabilities, stick-slip, and all kinds of hysteretic responses to external driving forces, characteristic of non-equilibrium dynamics.

The choice of realistic interatomic forces is often a major problem. Indicating with \(U(R_1, R_2, ..., R_N)\) the total interaction energy as a function of all atomic coordinates \(\{R_i\}\), the force on atom \(i\) is \(F_i = -\nabla_{R_i} U\), fully determined in terms of \(U\). Unfortunately, the adiabatic energy \(U\) results from the solution of the quantum ground state of the electrons—a practically complicated problem whose quantitative outcome may, moreover, be of uncertain quality. The reason why \(ab\ initio\) MD, e.g. of the Car-Parrinello type [113], is not generally used in sliding friction is that it can neither handle large systems, exceeding few hundreds atoms, nor run for a tribologically significant duration, usually in excess of ~ 1 ns. On the other hand, the physical situations where a first-principles description of interatomic forces is mandatory are not too common in the frictional phenomena studied so far. As a consequence, most MD models for friction rely on roughly refined interatomic ‘force fields’ ranging from sophisticated energy surfaces modeled on calculations at \(ab\ initio\) density-functional or tight-binding level [114, 115], to empirical distance- and angle-dependent many-body classical potentials, as well as basic pairwise potentials (e.g. Morse or Lennard-Jones), and the simplest elastic-springs models, which represent generalizations of the FK model. Concretely, the scientific literature documents many realistic force fields, ready to address several classes of materials and their combinations [116, 117]. While these force fields allow qualitative atomistic simulations of tribological systems, their limitations often prevent quantitative accuracy. In particular, in the course of such a violent frictional process such as wear, atoms are likely to modify their chemical coordination and even their charge state, phenomena and radical chemical changes usually impossible to describe with empirical force fields. Mechanochemistry and tribochemistry are well-established areas [118, 119] offering obvious examples where empirical force fields would fail, and simulations must, by necessity, be conducted by electronic-structure based first-principles methods. Additionally, even if for a specific system, element or compound, a satisfactory force field has been arrived at, the mere replacement of one atomic species with another one generally requires a complete, and usually difficult, re-parameterization of the whole force field. As a result, quantitatively accurate nanofrictional investigations remain a substantial challenge because of opposing limitations in the use of first principles versus empirical force fields. A promising compromise could possibly be provided by the so-called reactive potentials [120–122], capable of describing some chemical reactions, including interface wear, with satisfactory computational efficiency in large-scale atomic simulations, compared to semi-empirical and first-principles approaches.

Retardation effects due to the finiteness of the speed of sound are usually irrelevant in slow-speed experiments (\(v < 1\ \text{mm s}^{-1}\)). For greater speed, retardation effects related to the finite speed of sound can be taken into account explicitly in MD modeling, provided that rigid layers are either
omitted or introduced with special care. Other effects of non-locality in time, such as retardation due to the finite speed of light [123, 124] are usually omitted in the MD force fields altogether, as they lead to negligible corrections in all conditions where sliding involves a proper material contact. Such retardation effects do play a role in non-contact geometries, such as in experiments probing lateral Casimir forces [125], the strength of which can become relevant at large sliding speeds [126].

4.1. Thermostats: the dissipation of Joule heat

As we already mentioned above in section 2, any kind of sliding friction involves mechanical work, some of which is then transformed into heat (the rest going into structural transformations, wear, etc). The heat is then transported away by phonons (and electrons in the case of metallic sliders) and eventually dissipated to the environment. Likewise, all excitations generated at the sliding interface in simulations should be allowed to propagate away from it, and to disperse in the bulk of both sliders. Instead, due to the small simulation size, this energy may unphysically pile up in the rather small portion of solid representative of the ‘bulk’ of the substrates, where these excitations are scattered and back-reflected by the simulation-cell boundary instead of being properly dissipated away. In order to prevent continuous heating and attain a steady state of the tribological system, the Joule heat must be allowed to propagate away from it, and to disperse in the surrounding bath through a so-called memory kernel. An approximate, but very practical scheme replaces this memory kernel by a simple viscous damping \( \gamma \), here applied exclusively to atoms in the boundary layer. The magnitude of the parameter \( \gamma \) is optimized variationally by minimizing, with surprising accuracy, the energy reflected across the boundary [138, 139]. This dissipation scheme has been implemented recently in nanofriction simulations where it showed great improvement over other conceptually and practically inadequate thermostats.

Aside from the limitations of system size and simulation times, which are obvious and will be discussed later, there is another limitation concerning temperature that is rarely mentioned. All classical frictional simulations, atomic or otherwise, are only valid at sufficiently high temperature. They become, in principle, invalid at low temperatures where the mechanical degrees of freedom of solids progressively undergo ‘quantum freezing’, and both mechanics and thermodynamics deviate from the classical. Fortunately, there is currently no available route by which to appropriately include these quantum effects in dynamical and frictional simulations.

4.2. Size and timescale issues

Each core of a present-day CPU executes \( \sim 10^9 \) floating-point operations per second (FLOPS). MD simulations usually benefit from medium-scale parallelization. Approximately linear scaling can be achieved up to \( \sim 100 \) cores, thus a MD simulation can execute \( \sim 10^{11} \) FLOPS routinely. The evaluation of the forces is usually the most CPU-intensive part of an MD simulation. For each atom, depending on the force-field complexity and range, this evaluation can require \( \sim 10^{-2} \) operations, or even more. As a result, the number of time-integration steps \( N_{\text{step}} \) multiplied by the number of simulated particles \( N \), is \( \sim N N_{\text{step}} \approx 10^{10} \) per computer runtime second. Given that simulations of atomic-scale friction require time-steps in the femtosecond region, a medium-size simulation involving \( N = 10^5 \) simulated particles, can advance at an estimated speed of \( \sim 10^5 \) fs each real-life second, namely \( \sim 10^9 \) fs = 1 \( \mu \)s each simulation day. Clearly, speed scales down for more refined force fields, and for larger system size, although this increase may be mitigated by a larger-scale parallelization.

We can compare these estimates with typical size, duration, and speed in frictional experiments. In macroscopic tribology experiments, sliding speeds often range in the 0.1–10 m s\(^{-1}\) region: each microsecond the slider would progress by 0.1 to 10 \( \mu \)m, namely \( \sim 10^{-1}–10^0 \) lattice spacings of standard crystal lattice surfaces. In such conditions \( 10^{-3}–10^{-2} \) \( \mu \)s may suffice to generate good statistics of atomic-scale events, although it
when the speed exceeds stick-slip and its associated characteristically high friction and may still be insufficient to address, for example, the diffusion of wear particles or additives in the interface, or phenomena associated to surface steps and/or point defects. By contrast, in nanoscale AFM experiments the tip usually advances at much lower speeds $\approx 1 \mu m \cdot s^{-1}$: over a typical run it is possible to simulate a tiny $\approx 1 \mu m$ displacement, far too small to explore even a single atomic-scale event, let alone averaging over a steady state. For this reason, in all conditions where long equilibration times and/or slow diffusive phenomena and/or long-distance correlations can be expected, models should be preferred to realistic, but expensive MD. However, MD simulations can provide so much physical insight that they make sense even if carried out at much higher speeds than in real-life AFM or surface force apparatus (SFA) experiments: in practice, currently the sliding speeds of most atomistic tribology simulations are in the $\sim 1 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ region.

Here, however, we should distinguish between static and kinetic friction, and for the latter between smooth-sliding and stick-slip regimes. Smooth kinetic friction generally increases with speed (velocity-strengthening), but sometimes decreases with increasing speed in certain intervals. In the former case, simulating smooth high-speed frictional sliding is not fundamentally different from real sliding at low speed, with appropriate changes in frictional forces with $v$. Velocity-weakening conditions, alternatively, tend to lead to an intrinsic instability of smooth sliding, which is therefore not often pertinent to real situations. As a result, for nanoscale systems, MD simulations are of value in the description of smooth dry kinetic friction despite the huge velocity gap. On the other hand, static friction—the smallest force needed to set a slider in motion—is also dependent on the simulation time (a longer wait may lead to depinning when a short wait might not), and generally dependent on system size, often increasing with sub-linear scaling with the slider’s contact area. To address this kind of behavior in MD simulations, it is often necessary to resort to scaling arguments in order to extrapolate the large-area static friction from small-size MD simulations [131, 140].

Returning to the simulation time problem, let us consider stick-slip in MD simulation, and the desirability of describing the stick-slip to smooth-sliding transition as a function of parameters such as speed. In AFM and SFA experiments, stick-slip and its associated characteristically high friction and mechanical hysteresis tend to transition into smooth sliding when the speed exceeds $\sim 1 \mu m \cdot s^{-1}$; in contrast, in MD modeling the same transition is observed in the $\sim 1 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ region. This six-orders-of-magnitude discrepancy in speed between experiments and simulations is well known and has been widely discussed [141–144] in connection with the effective mass distributions and spring-force constants, which are vastly different and highly simplified in simulations. Attempts to fill the time and speed gaps can rely on methods such as hyperdynamics, parallel-replica dynamics, on-the-fly kinetic Monte Carlo, and temperature-accelerated dynamics which have been developed in the last few decades [145–147]. However, caution should generally be exercised in that some of these schemes and methods are meant to accelerate the establishment of equilibrium, but not always to treat the actual frictional-loss mechanisms properly. Concerning stick-slip friction, another problem is that, unlike simulations, real experiments contain mesoscale or macroscale components intrinsically involved in the mechanical instabilities of which stick-slip consists. Here, the comforting observation is that stick-slip is nearly independent of speed, so that as long as a simulation is long enough to realize a sufficient number of slip events, the results may already be good enough [148]. One can even describe stick-slip friction adiabatically, e.g. from a sequence of totally static calculations, where a periodic back-and-forth sliding path is trodden, the area of hysteresis cycle generated by two different to-and-from instabilities representing the friction [149].

A serious aspect of stick-slip friction which MD simulation is unable to tackle is ageing. The slip is a fast event, well described by MD, but sticking has a long waiting time, during which the frictional contact settles very slowly. The longer the sticking time, the larger the static friction force necessary to cause the slip. Typically, experiments show a logarithmic increase of static friction with time [150]. Rate-and-state friction approaches, widely used in geophysics [151], describe phenomenologically frictional ageing, but a quantitative microscopic description is still lacking. Mechanisms invoked to account for contact ageing include chemical strengthening at the interface in nanoscale systems [152], and plastic creep phenomena in macroscopic systems [153]. Contact ageing is also observed in other disordered systems out of equilibrium, including glasses and granular matter. Finally, in seismology (as will be discussed later) it is generally accepted that ageing is responsible for aftershocks, as also shown by some models [154].

4.3. Multiscale models

If MD simulation can be satisfactory in nanoscale friction, it is clearly not capable of describing mesoscale and macroscale tribology. The insurmountable difficulties of the fully atomistic treatment of all typical and large length scales that are responsible for the dynamical processes in large-scale systems has prompted increasing efforts towards multiscale approaches in recent years. The main idea is that, at a sufficient distance from the sliding interface, continuum mechanics should describe all processes to a fair level of approximation. Finite-element simulations of the continuum mechanics may provide a practical model for the elastic and plastic deformations. Using finite-element methods, one can increase the coarse-graining level while moving away from the sliding interface, thus keeping the computational effort under control. Several groups [155, 156] combined the MD description of the sliding interface, where local deformations at the atomic length scale and highly nonlinear phenomena occur, with a continuum-mechanics description in the ‘bulk’ regions where strains are continuous and small. The main difficulty faced by this class of approach is the correct choice of the matching between the atomistic region and the continuum part [157]. Because at the continuum level the detail of lattice vibrations cannot be represented in full, the matching conditions should at least minimize the reflection of the acoustic phonons at the atomistic-continuum interface. In other words, the matching
should allow the transmission of sound deformations in both directions with sufficient accuracy; this is necessary for a proper disposal of the Joule heat into the bulk.

4.4. Selected results of MD simulations

Simulations can provide direct insight into the dynamical processes at the atomistic level, which are at the origin of friction, allowing a connection of these microscopic facts with their macroscopic counterparts. Case studies, in which the system is capable of being well described by both experimental and theoretical sides, are of extreme importance: first, to permit a cross-check between the two, and second, to make use of simulations in order to highlight particular aspects that cannot be accessed by experiments. Here, we summarize the main results of a few selected simulations sampled from the expanding literature of friction simulation, and this is certainly not claiming to be an exhaustive review of the field.

4.4.1. Rare-gas islands and metal clusters.

The sliding of rare-gas overlayers deposited on metallic substrates at low temperature has contributed much to the understanding of how friction scales with the contact-area size, the substrate corrugation, and the sliding velocity. Rare-gas atoms condense into 2D solid islands showing a faceted-circular shape, arranged on multiple layers at low temperatures or on a single layer at diffusion-enabled temperatures [158]. The friction characteristics of these solid islands on the substrate, resulting from inertial sliding, has been probed experimentally by QCM apparatuses, revealing a complex interplay among friction, coverage, and temperature [131, 159]. The rare-gas lattice spacing inside the island, generally incommensurate but sometimes commensurate with that of the substrate, plays a very important role in determining the pinning or free sliding that controls the frictional behavior. A generally overlooked aspect which has been highlighted only recently [131] is the larger thermal expansion coefficient of rare-gas layers than that of a metal substrate, causing a temperature-dependent lattice mismatch at the interface with possible incommensurate–commensurate transitions. Due to this mechanism, MD simulations have predicted the possible appearance of static-friction peaks corresponding to a long-range commensurate transition [24]. Simulations of rare-gas incommensurate adsorbates, whose linear substrate-induced misfit dislocations (‘solitons’) must flow during sliding, have revealed the role of their entrance in the depinning of the island [24], and of their dissipation through anharmonic coupling to phonons, in kinetic friction [160]. Finite-size effect is of absolute relevance in this case, effectively generating (or enhancing) static friction through a pinning barrier arising at the interface edge, which solitons must overcome to establish motion [24]. The edge-related origin of the pinning mechanisms implies that static friction $F_s$ can grow with the island size $A$ at most as $F_s \propto A^{1/2}$, i.e. as its perimeter $P \propto A^{1/2}$, if the pinning points were uniformly distributed along the island or cluster edge. As shown in figure 10, a different shape of the deposited nano-object can generally lead to a different scaling exponent. Similar sublinear scaling exponents were identified in dynamic friction experiments in which gold nanoclusters of variable size/shape were dragged at low speed over a graphite substrate by an AFM tip [161]. Scaling exponents of both the rare-gas island/metal surfaces (theoretical) and dragged gold clusters/graphite (experimental) are in the order of 1/4. This indicates that not all points at the boundary provide pinning with equal efficiency. A scaling close to $A^{1/4}$ might rather indicate a random efficiency of boundary points, whereby only $\sim P^{1/2}$ provide effective pinning.

4.4.2. AFM, nanotubes, and other systems.

Nowadays, computational capabilities even permit the atomistic simulation of an entire AFM tip, enabling the understanding of several mechanisms which are not describable by simplified PT-like models (see figure 11). For example, it is possible to highlight the formation/rupture dynamics of contacts in multi-asperity interfaces, and consequently estimate the true contact area as a function of the apparent one. Additionally, it is possible to investigate the effect of the tip plasticity and elasticity, which are of fundamental importance to define the load-dependent contact area [162], and as channels for dissipation and wear [163–167]. This approach enables the bottom-up derivation of the linear scaling laws of macroscopic friction with size, and their transition to the sublinear ones for incommensurate nanosized contacts. We can now understand that such transition takes place when the contact roughness becomes large compared to the range of interfacial interactions [162].

Figure 10. Static friction scaling with contact area for simulated incommensurate Kr islands of either circular or hexagonal shape, deposited on Pb(111). The static friction for the $\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$ commensurate arrangement and the QCM inertial force on the island are reported for comparison. Adapted from [24]. Copyright (2015) by Royal Society of Chemistry.
from the first groove), induced by lateral forces exerting on the tip due to the substrate plasticity [168].

It is also important to mention the simulations of nanotubes (NT), either made of carbon or hexagonal BN, which, due to their extraordinary mechanical and electronic properties, have been investigated with enormous interest in the last few decades. Nowadays, almost defectless NT can be formed with lengths of the order of 1 cm [169], and precise measurements of their mechanical and frictional properties have started to appear in literature [170, 171]. Simulations of concentric nanotubes in relative motion (telescopic sliding), have revealed the occurrence of well-defined velocities at which friction is enhanced, corresponding to a washboard frequency resonating with longitudinal [172] or circular [173] phonon modes, leading to enhanced energy dissipation. The frictional response becomes highly nonlinear while approaching the critical velocity and, contrary to macroscopic systems, washboard resonances can arise at multiple velocities, especially for incommensurate interfaces where more than one length scale may be in common to the contacting surfaces [172].

The exceptional electro-mechanical properties of NTs have also been investigated by various tip-based techniques, revealing a strong friction anisotropy dictated by NT orientation. In this respect, simulation-assisted experiments of a sliding nanosized tip over carbon nanotubes (CNTs) reveal that transversal friction is enhanced by a hindered rolling motion of the NT, with a consequent frictional dissipation that is absent in the longitudinal sliding [174]. The same elastic deformation has reportedly been responsible for a reverse stick-slip effect in the case of an AFM probe sliding over a super-lattice CNT forest [175]. Here, simulations reveal that the fast sticking is induced by the penetration of the tip into the valley between the NTs and its interaction with the tubes on both sides, causing an elastic shell buckling of the CNTs. In contrast, the gradual slipping occurs over a much longer distance because it includes both the sliding on the top of the NT and the energy release on both sides of the graphitic wall.

4.4.3. Boundary-lubricated sliding. When two sliding surfaces are separated by thick lubricant film, as ordinarily happens under weak-load conditions, the tribological response of the confined system is typically determined by the fluid viscosity. In these cases of hydrodynamic lubrication, friction can be computed based on the Navier–Stokes equations, which prescribe a monotonically increasing kinetic friction as a function of the relative sliding speed [176]. In contrast, at high load and low driving velocity, the lubricant may not maintain a broad gap between the sliding surfaces, with the result that solid–solid contact eventually occurs. Prior to full squeezeout under pressure, as confirmed by experiments and simulations, the intervening boundary film usually changes from liquid to solid or nearly solid, exhibiting a layered structure prone to develop finite static friction and high-dissipative stick-slip dynamics in such a ‘boundary-lubrication’ regime. Both SFA measurements [177] and MD investigations [178, 179] have demonstrated sharp upward jumps of friction at squeezeout transitions where the number of lubricant layers decreases from \(N\) to \(N-1\). Boundary-lubricated systems often display stick-slip dynamics during tribological measurements associated with a significant value of the friction dissipation. As the load increases, however, it becomes harder and harder to squeeze out an extra lubricant layer. This hardening and increased difficulty of squeezeout reflects the tendency toward crystallization of the initially liquid lubricant and the increased cost of the ‘crater’, the formation of which constitutes the nucleation barrier of the transition [180–182]. Once it has happened, generally this \(N \to N-1\) re-laying transition gives rise to an upward friction jump. In principle, however, upon re-layering of the solidified (structured) confined film, the 2D parallel crystalline-like order could occasionally change under pressure toward a more favorable mismatched (incommensurate) substrate–lubricant geometry. In that case, sliding friction might actually switch downward from highly frictional stick-slip to smooth dynamical regimes characteristic of incommensurate superlubric interfaces, with a highly mobile 2D soliton pattern, of the type sketched in figure 12. So far this type of event has only been observed in simulations [112].

In SFA experiments, boundary-lubricated systems often display stick-slip dynamics during tribological measurements, associated with a significant value of friction dissipation. One cannot directly access the detailed film and interface rearrangements giving rise to the stick-slip mesoscopic intermittent dynamics. The mechanisms at play for the stick-slip dynamics
in the boundary-lubrication regime have been studied by MD investigations [183–186]. Several realistic models for lubrication layers were simulated [144, 187–190]. The issue of whether frictional shearing occurs through the middle of the solid lubricant film, possibly accompanied by melting/freezing, whether it forms a smooth shear band, or whether it occurs at the substrate–lubricant boundary, is one which can be addressed by computer modeling. Depending on the relative strength of the potentials governing the lubricant–lubricant and lubricant–substrate interactions, a thin confined film may exhibit a solid-like or liquid-like behavior under shear. If the interaction with the substrates is weaker than the lubricant–lubricant one, then sliding takes place mainly at the surface–lubricant interfaces. The lubricant film is then allowed to maintain or acquire a solid order. If both the solid lubricant and the substrate are characterized by nearly perfect crystalline structures and these structures are mismatched and/or misaligned [111, 191–194], then smooth superlubric sliding with reduced kinetic friction ensues: in such conditions, solid lubrication can provide quite low friction. In practice, however, neither the substrates nor the lubricant are likely to maintain non-defected crystalline order. Defects and/or impurities between the sliding surfaces, even if diluted to a weak concentration, may suffice to induce pinning and finite static friction [195], thereby eliminating superlubricity. In the opposite conditions of prevailing lubricant–substrate interactions, the surfaces are covered and protected from wear by lubricant monolayers; sliding occurs inside the lubricant bulk. In such conditions, the lubricant film can be induced to melt during sliding; alternatively, the layering imposed by the surfaces can remain solid, with slips occurring in a layer-overlayer sliding [144, 148].

**4.4.4. Simulation of extreme frictional regimes.** Simulations are of particular value in the exploration of extreme frictional regimes, which are difficult to access experimentally. Among such extreme regimes, researchers have investigated (or are investigating) high temperature, high speed, high pressure, and high plate charging in ionic liquid lubrication. Although, for most of these conditions, there is still no experimental evidence to discuss, simulation has rendered some interesting predictions that should become of use for future reference.

**High temperature.** Close to the substrate melting point $T_m$, the crystal surface may or may not undergo surface melting—the formation, in full thermal equilibrium, of a thin liquid or quasi-liquid film at the substrate–vacuum interface [196]. Either event significantly influences the contact of an AFM tip with the surface. Surface melting gives rise to a local jump-to-contact of the film with the AFM tip, as was found both in experiments [197] and in MD simulations [198]. In this case, friction is expected to become hydrodynamic and uninteresting. More interesting is the case where the substrate surface does not undergo surface melting, such as for particularly well packed, stable surfaces like Pb(111) or NaCl(100) [196]. For an AFM tip sliding on NaCl(100), frictional MD simulations suggested two quite different outcomes depending on the frictional mode. A sharp penetrating tip plowing the solid surface experiences a large friction, which drops sharply when the substrate temperature is only slightly below $T_m$, so that the Joule heat suffices to raise temperature locally and form a liquid drop accompanying and lubricating the moving tip. A blunt tip sliding wearlessly instead experiences a very small friction at low temperature, counterintuitively surging and becoming large close to $T_m$ where the non-melting surface lattice softens—a phenomenon analogous to that exhibited by flux lattices in type II superconductors [199].

**High speed.** Friction at high speed, of the order of $1 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ or higher, is common in several technologically relevant situations, but is rarely addressed in nanoscale, atomistically characterized situations, where velocity is more typically $1 \mu\text{m s}^{-1}$, many orders of magnitude smaller. As anticipated in section 4.2, MD simulation is an ideal tool for the study of friction in fast-sliding of nanosized systems. Using gold clusters on graphite as a test system, simulation has explored high-speed friction, and especially differences and similarities due to low speed, examining the slowing down of a ballistically kicked cluster. Both kinetic frictions are similarly viscous—proportional to velocity. However, they show just the opposite thermal dependence. Whereas low-speed (diffusive) friction decreases upon heating, when diffusion increases, the high-speed (ballistic) friction rises with temperature, when thermal fluctuations of the contact increase [200].

**High pressure.** The local uniaxial pressure transmitted to a local contact by the overall load on a slider may reach a hundred kbar, but is generally not very well characterized, and the effects of pressure insufficiently explored. MD simulation makes suggestions of different kinds. First, pressure may provoke structural transformation of a crystalline substrate.
(or slider) from its initial crystal structure to another. As a recent simulation has shown [112] this will reflect in a frictional jump, either up or down. Second, pressure may bring a solid compound close enough to the chemical stability limit for the frictional perturbation to cause bond-breaking and the beginning of chemical decomposition [201]. Third, pressure may lead to electronic or magnetic transformations, such as insulator–metal transitions, and this may also, in principle, influence friction.

High plate charging in ionic-liquid lubrication. Ionic liquids are salts where ions have such a large size that $T_m$ falls below room temperature. Experimental data have shown that friction across contacts lubricated by ionic liquids depends on the state of electrical charging of the sliders [202, 203]. MD simulations applied to heavily simplified ionic liquid models indicate how this dependence can be ascribed to electrically induced structural modifications at the slider–lubricant interface [204–206]. For extreme plate charging, these modifications may even modify the lubricant thickness, and also affect its whole molecular structure with strong predicted consequences on friction [207].

5. Earthquake-like models

On meso- and macro-scales the interface between two bodies is quite generally far from uniform. When rough surfaces come into dry contact, the actual contacts occur at asperities of different sizes, typically characterized by a fractal distribution. Even for a contact of ideally flat surfaces of polycrystal bodies, different regions of the interface will be characterized by different local values of the static friction due to structural or orientational domains [111, 191]. For a lubricated contact, different values of the local static friction may appear due to patches of solidified lubricant or due to capillary bridges. All these cases can be rationalized with the help of an earthquake-like (EQ) model first introduced by Burridge and Knopoff [209] to describe real earthquakes. The nature of the two problems—earthquakes and friction—is very similar: differences are restricted to their spatial–temporal scales: kilometers and years to millenia in geology compared to nanometers and seconds to hours in tribology. The EQ model, also known as the spring-and-block model or the multi-contact model, has been successfully used in many studies of friction [141, 210–217]; similar schemes have been used also to model the failure of fiber bundles and faults [218–220].

In EQ models, corrugated surfaces make contact only at a discrete set of points, as shown schematically in figure 13. When the slider moves, a single point contact elongates elastically as a spring, as long as the local shear force $f_s = k x_i$ ($x_i$ is the contact stretching and $k$ is its elastic constant) remains below a threshold value $f_s^0 = k x_i^0$; then the contact breaks and slips for some distance, as indeed was observed in tip-based microscopy experiments as well as in MD simulations. Then, either immediately or after some delay time, the contact is reformed again with zero or lower stretching and a new threshold value.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + P(x) Q(x;X) = \delta(x) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\xi \ P(\xi) Q(\xi;X),$$

$$P(x) = P(x) J(x), \quad J(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\xi \ P(\xi),$$

indicating that the fraction of contacts which snap when $X$ increases by $\Delta X$ equals those that have thresholds between $x$ and $x + \Delta X$, divided by the total fraction $J(x)$ of contacts still unbroken at stretching $x$.

The EQ model can be extended to account for thermal effects as well as the ageing of contacts [221]; the latter requires an additional equation to describe the increase of threshold values with the time of stationary contact. Analytic solutions of the ME are available and, in the smooth-sliding regime, they provide us with the velocity and temperature dependence of the kinetic friction force $F_k$ [222]. Contrary to the Amontons–Coulomb laws, which state that (macroscopic) friction is independent of velocity, the friction force in the EQ model depends on the sliding speed. At small driving velocity $F_k$ increases linearly with speed, $F_k(v) \approx \gamma v$. Indeed, if the slider moves slowly enough, thermal fluctuations will, sooner or later, break all the contacts. The slower the slider,
the longer the time any contact will be given to undergo a fluctuation exceeding its respective threshold; therefore, the smaller the resulting kinetic friction force is. This linear \( F_k(v) \) dependence could be represented as a (characteristically large) effective viscosity \( \gamma \) of an ultrathin lubricant film [214, 216]. At high driving velocities the friction force exhibits the opposite behavior; it decreases when \( v \) grows, \( F_k(v) \sim f_s v^r/v \), due to relaxation, which one can also call an ‘ageing effect’.

After snapping, a contact slips for a short time, then it stops and is reformed again, growing in size and strength. The faster the slider moves, the shorter the time the contacts are left to be reformed and to grow. Overall, therefore, the kinetic friction force \( F_k(v) \) increases with \( v \) at low \( v \), up to a maximum at \( v_0 \sim (f_s v^r/v)^{1/2} \) and then decreases. At very high velocities \( F_k(v) \) should eventually grow again due to an increased damping in the slider bulk. At intermediate speeds typical of experiments, the interplay of thermal and ageing effects generates a weak (approximately logarithmic) \( F_k(v) \) dependence, approximately consistent with the Amontons-Coulomb laws, although the proper \( F_k(v) \) dependence is rather difficult to detect in experiments [210, 211].

On the decreasing (‘velocity-weakening’) branch of the \( F_k(v) \) dependence at \( v > v_0 \) the slider motion may become unstable and change from smooth sliding to stick-slip motion. If the slider velocity increases due to a fluctuation, the friction force decreases, and the slider accelerates. This effect is usually studied with the help of a phenomenological approach (e.g. see [144] and references therein). A detailed study of the EQ model [214, 216, 221, 223] shows that stick-slip for the multi-contact interface may appear if and only if two necessary conditions are satisfied. First, the interface must exhibit an elastic instability. When the slider moves, the contacts break, but are then formed again. However, if the reformed contacts build up a force sufficient to balance the driving force, the motion will be stable. Otherwise, the slider will develop an elastic instability, and will keep accelerating until the overall pulling spring force (of elasticity \( K \), see figure 13) decreases enough to regain stability. Second, the contacts must undergo ageing. Once these conditions are satisfied, stick-slip will exist for an interval of driving velocities only, \( v_1 < v < v_2 \), while for lower \( v \) and higher \( v \) speeds the motion is smooth [223].

The ME approach (discussed above) however, assumed a rigid slider which is not a proper model of a realistic extended system. For a non-rigid slider, its elasticity produces contact–contact interaction: as soon as a contact fails, the forces on nearby contacts must increase by an amount \( \delta f \). This \( \delta f(r) \) was shown [224] to depend on the distance \( r \) from the failed contact as \( \delta f(r) \propto r^{-1} \) at short distance \( r \ll \lambda \), and as \( \delta f(r) \propto r^{-3} \) at long distance. The crossover length \( \lambda \sim a^2 E/k \), known as the elastic correlation length [224, 225], depends on properties of both the slider (its Young’s modulus \( E \)) and the interface (the mean separation \( a \) between nearby contacts and their average rigidity \( k \)). Accordingly, a simpler model can be formulated that considers the slider as rigid across regions of lateral size \( \sim \lambda \), with the micro-contacts inside each \( \lambda \)-sized area treated as a single effective macro-contact. For this ‘\( \lambda \)-contact’ the parameters can be evaluated by solving a specific ME.

In addition, numerics also showed that a large fraction of the extra inter-contact force concentrates behind and in front of the snapped contact, implying that the interface can effectively be treated as a 1D chain of \( \lambda \)-contacts, at least approximately. Now, if a \( \lambda \)-contact undergoes elastic instability, namely if at a certain threshold stress the \( \lambda \)-contact snaps and then advances, then the surrounding \( \lambda \)-contacts acquire an extra chance to also fail: this mechanism results in a sequence of snaps propagating forward and backward along the interface as in a domino effect. The resulting dynamics of the chain of \( \lambda \)-contacts may then be described by the Frenkel–Kontorova model of section 3 above, but replacing the sinusoidal substrate potential with a sawtooth profile, i.e. a periodically repeated array of inclined lines [226]. This approach allows one to calculate the maximum and minimum shear stress for the propagation of this self-healing crack (the minimum shear stress coincides with the Griffith threshold [227]), and also the dependence of the crack velocity on the applied stress. When a \( \lambda \)-sized contact fails at some point along the chain subject to a uniform shear stress, two self-healing cracks leave the initial snapping contact, propagating in opposite directions as divergent solitons (similar to the kink–antikink pair of figure 2) until these cracks either reach the boundary or meet another crack generated elsewhere.

On the other hand, non-uniform shear stress is relevant for experiments such as those carried out by Fineberg’s group [228–230] with the slider pushed at its trailing edge: at this location the shear stress is maximum and across the block it decreases with the distance from the trailing edge. In this system, the leftmost \( \lambda \)-contact is the most likely to fail first, as the pushing force is increased. This failure will result in an increased stress concentrating on the successive \( \lambda \)-contact, which will fail as well. This process will repeat itself until a self-consistent stress remains below the breaking threshold everywhere in the slider. As a result, the self-healing crack initiated at the trailing edge will propagate along the interface for a certain distance \( \Lambda \) (which can be calculated [231]), releasing the stress at its tail side, while accumulating extra stress at its forward side. When the pushing force further increases, a second crack starts at the trailing edge, and can trigger a failure sequence in the pre-formed stressed state, thus propagating to some extra distance. These multiple cracks repeat themselves until they reach the slider leading edge, resulting in a major collective slip. Thus, at the sliding onset, several cracks advance along the interface, with the whole slider undergoing multiple small forward slips (the so-called precursors). In experiments, these precursors could be detected and could help the prediction of the eventual large ‘earthquake’ [215, 230].

As mentioned at the beginning of this section, the EQ model was formulated initially to explain earthquakes. Actual earthquakes follow two approximate empirical laws: the Gutenberg–Richter law [232, 233] states that the number of earthquakes with the magnitude \( \geq M \) scales with the corresponding magnitudes according to a power law; and the Omori
6. Conclusions

The fascinating and multidisciplinary topic of microscopic friction, where physics, engineering, chemistry and materials science meet to study the process of irreversibly converting mechanical energy into heat, still lacks fundamental understanding and increasingly calls for well-designed experiments and simulations carried out at well-characterized interfaces. Although AFM, SFA, and QCM setups are providing insight into the high nonlinear out-of-equilibrium interface processes at the small length scales, these advanced experimental techniques still provide averaged tribological data. Overall, physical quantities such as the average static and kinetic friction, the mean velocity and slip times, do not easily allow one to tackle the problem of relating the mesoscopic frictional response of a driven system to the detailed microscopic dynamics and structural rearrangements occurring at the confined interface under shear.

In this respect, by explicitly following and analyzing the dynamics of all degrees of freedom at play in controlled numerical ‘experiments’ with interface geometry, sliding conditions, and interparticle interactions which can be tuned, mathematical modeling and computer simulations have proven remarkably useful in the investigation of tribologic processes at the atomic scale and are likely to extend their role in future frictional studies.

Even though a large number of open questions remain, these modeling frameworks have provided effective insight into the nonlinear microscopic mechanisms underlying the complex nature of static and kinetic friction, the role of metastability, of crystalline incommensurability, and of the interface geometry. Each theoretical approach, from simplified descriptions to extended realistic MD and hybrid multiscale simulations, has limitations and strengths, with specific abilities to address specific aspects of the physical problem under consideration. Thus, a robust prior understanding of the theoretical background is a basic first step in deciding which modeling features deserve specific attention and which ones are rather irrelevant, and then in selecting the best methodological approach for a given problem.

In conclusion, it is worth recalling that novel experimental approaches address the intrinsic tribological difficulties of dealing with a buried interface with very limited control of the physical parameters of the frictional system: artificial systems consisting of optically trapped charged particles, either cold ions in empty space or colloidal particles in a fluid solvent, forced to slide over a laser-generated periodic potential profile. Indeed, especially in 2D-colloid sliding it is possible to follow each particle in real time, like in MD simulations. By knowing and, on top of that, tuning the properties of a sliding interface, our physical understanding can expand significantly and open up possibilities to control friction in nano- and micro-sized systems and devices, with the serious possibility of bridging the gap between nanoscale and mesoscale sizes and phenomena.
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