Comparative study of the stabilities of synthetic in vitro and natural ex vivo transthyretin amyloid fibrils
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Systemic amyloidosis caused by extracellular deposition of insoluble fibrils derived from the pathological aggregation of circulating proteins, such as transthyretin, is a severe and usually fatal condition. Elucidation of the molecular pathogenic mechanism of the disease and discovery of effective therapies still represents a challenging medical issue. The in vitro preparation of amyloid fibrils that exhibit structural and biochemical properties closely similar to those of natural fibrils is central to improving our understanding of the biophysical basis of amyloid formation in vivo and may offer an important tool for drug discovery. Here, we compared the morphology and thermodynamic stability of natural transthyretin fibrils with those of fibrils generated in vitro either using the common acidification procedure or primed by limited selective cleavage by plasmin. The free energies for fibril formation were −12.36, −8.10, and −10.61 kcal mol⁻¹, respectively. The fibrils generated via plasmin cleavage were more stable than those prepared at low pH and were thermodynamically and morphologically similar to natural fibrils extracted from human amyloidotic tissue. Determination of thermodynamic stability is an important tool that is complementary to other methods of structural comparison between ex vivo fibrils and fibrils generated in vitro. Our finding that fibrils created via an in vitro amyloidogenic pathway are structurally similar to ex vivo human amyloid fibrils does not necessarily establish that the fibrillogenic pathway is the same for both, but it narrows the current knowledge gap between in vitro models and in vivo pathophysiology.

Understanding the mechanisms of diseases is vital to efficiently tackling unmet medical needs, and this is especially true for diseases of high complexity, such as systemic amyloidosis. The development of in vitro and in vivo models mirroring crucial steps of any pathological process is essential to interpret the natural history of the diseases and offer reliable tools for drug discovery (1).

In the field of amyloid diseases, we have learned how to reshape the conformation of a protein, in a test tube, by simply modifying the buffer’s chemical-physical properties, thus driving the transformation of proteins from a globular state to a fibrillar structure (2). A wide range of methods to transform soluble proteins and peptides into amyloid-like fibrils have been established in vitro even for proteins that have no role in amyloid diseases (2).

Indeed, in many cases, fibrillogenesis is carried out in vitro under completely nonphysiological conditions, thus limiting our capacity to speculate on the mechanism of amyloid development in vivo. Development of in vitro fibrillogenesis under biocompatible conditions is particularly important when animal models are unavailable and represents a unique tool for drug discovery. This has been so far the case with transthyretin (TTR) amyloidosis, where there is currently no reliable animal model presenting clinical and pathological features consistent with the human disease and where the identification of potential drug candidates was only based on the inhibition of fibrillogenesis in vitro.

Most of our knowledge of the pathogenesis of TTR amyloidosis derives from the experimental model of in vitro fibrillogenesis at low pH in which protein aggregation is primed by tetramer disassembly (3); this method has been the key tool for identifying drugs, such as tafamidis, currently used to treat the disease (4–7). More recently, based on the observation that truncated forms of TTR are present in natural fibrils (8), we have established a new, more physiological, system of fibrillogenesis in which biomechanical forces combined with specific proteolytic enzymes play a central role (9–11).

Fibrils are essential for work on in vivo imaging tracers and new fibril-clearing drugs, such as β-breakers or specific anti-fibril antibodies (12), but the availability of ex vivo fibrils is very limited. Development of more appropriate methods to generate amyloid-like fibrils, with properties that are closely similar to those of the natural counterpart, is thus of paramount importance. Comparative analysis of structure and function of fibrils derived from different procedures either in vitro or ex vivo has been conducted by methods ranging from light microscopy to tinctorial
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properties, through EM for low-resolution morphology (13), to cryo-EM for fibrillar ultrastructure analysis (14, 15).

Here we have prepared TTR fibrils generated by the commonly used low-pH procedure and separately by our more physiological mecano-enzymatic approach using plasmin as the protease (11) and compared their structure and thermodynamic stability with natural ex vivo TTR amyloid fibrils. The mecano-enzymatic product was notably more similar to the genuine ex vivo amyloid fibrils and is thus most appropriately suitable for physiologically and therapeutically relevant studies of TTR amyloidosis.

Results and discussion

Amyloid fibril preparation

The aggregation of recombinant V122I variant TTR was carried out in vitro using the mecano-enzymatic mechanism we have described recently (11) and also using the widely used low-pH procedure established by Colon and Kelly (3). Both types of synthetic V122I TTR aggregates were characterized in comparison with natural fibrils extracted from the heart of a patient carrying the V122I TTR mutation using the classical water extraction procedure established by Pras et al. (16). The typical pathognomonic amyloid apple-green birefringence under polarized light observed with the Congo red–stained fibrils formed by proteolysis closely resembled that of the ex vivo fibrils, whereas only occasional spots of birefringent material were observed in the low-pH fibrils (Fig. LA). Transmission EM confirmed the similarity between the mecano-enzymatic and natural fibrils in which an average diameter of ~7 nm was measured for both types (Fig. 1B and Fig. S1) in contrast to the sparse fibrillar structures buried in a dense background of amorphous material in the aggregates formed at low pH (Fig. 1B and Fig. S1).

Identification of TTR species in the mecano-enzymatic and ex vivo fibrils

MS analysis was carried out to identify the main species in the mecano-enzymatic and ex vivo fibrils, in contrast to the material treated at low pH, which is homogenously composed of full-length recombinant V122I TTR only. The pellet of plasmin-digested TTR fibrils was resuspended in acetonitrile and TFA as described under “Experimental procedures” and directly analyzed by MALDI-TOF MS in linear mode (Fig. S2). The three main species identified were the 49–127 and 81–127 peptides together with the full-length V122I TTR proteomer (Table 1 and Fig. S2).

Natural fibrils comprise a complex mixture of ubiquitous nonfibrillar components, including proteoglycans, glycosaminoglycans, and lipids, which affect desorption and/or ionization of the sample (17) so that, not unexpectedly, direct linear mode MALDI-TOF MS analysis of the solubilized material was not possible. The solubilized pellet was therefore first separated by SDS-PAGE (Fig. S3A), and the main protein bands at the apparent size of the full-length TTR monomer and its fragments were excised, eluted, and analyzed by MALDI-TOF MS in linear mode (Table 1 and Fig. S3B).

Our results confirm the presence of both full-length and 49–127 TTR in both the mecano-enzymatic and ex vivo fibrils, but a detailed quantification of full-length TTR and its fragments will require an alternative MS approach, such as parallel reaction monitoring. The 81–127 peptide fragment was detected in the plasmin-digested material, and it was not observed in the eluted electrophoretic band with its apparent size from the ex vivo fibrils (Fig. S3). Another fragment corresponding to the residue 46–127 TTR fragment was identified in the natural fibrils as described previously (18).

Stability of V122I TTR precursor and fibrillar structures

We used the strong chaotropic agent guanidine thiocyanate (Gdn-SCN) to determine the stability of amyloid fibrils obtained by the different procedures.

Exposure of native TTR to increasing concentrations of Gdn-SCN was monitored by change in the tryptophan fluorescence emission. The ratio between the intrinsic fluorescence intensities of the unfolded monomer at 355 nm and the folded tetramer at 335 nm (9, 19) as a function of the denaturant concentration was analyzed by the method of Santoro and Bolen (9, 19, 20), to determine the main thermodynamic parameters. Experimental data are shown as the fraction of unfolded protein (Fig. 2A) in which the sharp transition between 0.6 and 1.0 M denaturant concentration can be observed. Values of 2.95 ± 0.38 kcal mol⁻¹ and 0.84 ± 0.06 M were obtained for the free energy of unfolding in the absence of denaturant (ΔG²⁻⁻) and the midpoint denaturant concentration (C_M), respectively (Table 2).

Equal amounts of amyloid fibrils, either prepared in vitro or extracted from the heart of a patient with V122I TTR amyloidosis, were incubated in solutions containing increasing concentrations of Gdn-SCN at neutral pH and room temperature for 96 h until samples reached an apparent equilibrium. The unfolding of fibrils was monitored by thioflavin T (ThT) emission fluorescence of pellets separated from their supernatants in which there was no turbidity detectable by spectrophotometric absorbance in the 350–400-nm range. As the disassembly of fibrils parallels the loss of the specific ThT/fibril complex (21), fractional loss of ThT signal at increasing concentrations was converted into the fraction of TTR species dissociated from amyloid fibrils. Data were fitted with the linear polymerization model using Equation 4 as described under “Experimental procedures” (21, 22) (Fig. 2B) to yield the main thermodynamic parameters, including the midpoint denaturant concentration and the change in the Gibbs free energy of elongation in the absence of denaturant, ΔG⁺⁺. Fibrils prepared at low pH were less stable than both the mecano-enzymatic and natural fibrils, with a midpoint denaturant concentration of 0.91 ± 0.16 M, which is close to the C_M measured for the unfolding of the precursor V122I TTR and significantly lower than the midpoint Gdn-SCN concentration measured for the mecano-enzymatic (1.60 ± 0.05 M) and natural fibrils (1.77 ± 0.20 M) (Fig. 2C and Table 2). A value of ΔG⁺⁺ = −8.10 ± 0.56 kcal mol⁻¹ was obtained for the less stable acid-mediated fibrils compared with both the mecano-enzymatic in vitro (−10.61 ± 0.53 kcal...
mol\(^{-1}\)) fibrils and the natural \textit{ex vivo} \((-12.36 \pm 1.13 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}\)) fibrils, both of which contain cleaved TTR fragments (Table 2).

We are aware that the linear polymerization model (21–23) represents a simplification based on the assumption that all equilibria in a solution of polymers have the same equilibrium constant. However, having kept the total protein concentration constant across the different types of sample, the equilibrium unfolding curves can be fitted with this model to compare the free energy of elongation in the absence of denaturant, \(\Delta G^0_{el}\), and the midpoint denaturant concentration, \(C_M\), obtained for each type of fibrillar aggregate. Based on the same model, we have previously studied equilibrium denaturation curves to compare the stability of fibrils formed by WT and H50Q \(\alpha\)-synuclein (with \(\Delta G^0_{el}\) values of \(-7.36 \pm 0.02\) and \(-8.46 \pm 0.2\) kcal mol\(^{-1}\), respectively) (24) as well as characterized the thermodynamics of WT and D76N \(\beta_2\)-microglobulin fibrils (25) grown in different experimental conditions (yielding \(\Delta G^0_{el}\) values of \(-9.3 \pm 0.36\) and \(-12.8 \pm 0.35\) kcal mol\(^{-1}\), respectively). As shown previously for synuclein and \(\beta_2\)-microglobulin, all of the TTR fibrillar species are more stable than the corresponding globular precursor, confirming that the aggregation pathway moves toward the most stable structures, consistent with the

**Table 1**

| Composition of mechano-enzymatic and \textit{ex vivo} fibrils |
|---|
| Shown are the identified TTR protein/peptides with residue numbers. All the species identified in the natural fibrils contained both WT and V122I variant TTR, as demonstrated after further digestion of each band with trypsin or AspN proteases (data not shown). |

| Mechano-enzymatic fibrils\(^a\) | \textit{Ex vivo} fibrils\(^b\) |
|---|---|
| 1-127 | 1-127 |
| 49-127 | 49-127 |
| 81-127 | 46-127 |

\(^a\)Main components of the fibrils generated \textit{in vitro} using the mechano-enzymatic mechanism of recombinant V122I TTR fibrillogenesis with plasmin were identified by linear mode MALDI MS as described under “Experimental procedures” (Fig. S2).

\(^b\)Main components of the \textit{ex vivo} fibrils extracted from a V122I ATTR patient were identified after separation in SDS-PAGE (Fig. S3A) and MALDI-TOF spectra of the fragments eluted from the electrophoretic bands (Fig. S3B). In this sample, the residue 81–127 peptide could not be detected in the material derived from the electrophoretic band, consistent with the apparent size of the fragment.

Figure 1. Microscopic analysis of \textit{in vitro} and \textit{ex vivo} TTR fibrils. A, \textit{amyloid} was identified by light microscopy of Congo red–stained specimens viewed under crossed polarized light (scale bar, 100 \(\mu\)m). B, negatively stained transmission EM (scale bar, 200 nm) of TTR fibrils prepared \textit{in vitro} with the mechano-enzymatic mechanism, at low pH or extracted from human amyloidotic tissue. Additional images are shown in Fig. S1. Only the mechano-enzymatically generated fibrils showed similar morphology to natural fibrils with an estimated diameter of 6.9 \(\pm\) 0.80 and 7.0 \(\pm\) 0.64 nm, respectively, as described under “Experimental procedures.”
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Figure 2. Thermodynamic stability of V122I TTR precursor and fibrils. A, denaturation profile for V122I TTR is derived from the change in fluorescence (F335/F330) following 295 nm excitation calculated according to Equation 2 (20) under “Experimental procedures.” B, denaturation of in vitro V122I TTR fibrils formed at low pH (red), mechano-enzymatic mechanism (blue), or ex vivo ATTR V122I TTR fibrils (green) is based on a ThT fluorescence assay of the residual pellet after denaturation and centrifugation and analyzed with Equation 4 described under “Experimental procedures.” Curves are shown as mean ± S.D. (error bars) of three independent experiments. C, values of midpoint Gdn-SCN concentration are shown as mean ± S.D. of three experiments. Two-way analysis of variance for multiple comparison gave p < 0.01 (**) for V122I TTR precursor versus mechano-enzymatic as well as ex vivo fibrils and p < 0.05 (*) for low pH fibrils versus both mechano-enzymatic and ex vivo fibrils.

| Precursor | ΔG°(M) | CMb |
|-----------|--------|-----|
| V122I TTR | 2.95 ± 0.38 | 0.84 ± 0.06 |
| V122I TTR fibrils | ΔG°(M) | CMb |
| Low pH | −8.10 ± 0.56 | 0.91 ± 0.16 |
| Mechano-enzymatic | −10.61 ± 0.53 | 1.60 ± 0.05 |
| Ex vivo | −12.36 ± 1.13 | 1.77 ± 0.20 |

Values of CM (m), midpoint concentration of Gdn-SCN and ΔG°(M) (kcal mol⁻¹), free energy of unfolding in the absence of denaturant for equilibrium denaturation of globular V122I TTR variant were determined using a two-state model described previously (20).

Values of CM (m) and ΔG°(M) (kcal mol⁻¹), free energy of elongation in the absence of denaturant, were calculated following the linear polymerization mode as described under “Experimental procedures.”

In conclusion, comparative thermodynamic analysis of fibrils made in vitro through different methods with natural fibrils extracted from different organs, and formed by

landscape of the energetic diagram of different conformations of a polypeptide proposed previously by Hartl and Hayer-Hartl (26). We have adapted this scheme of the funnel-shaped free energy landscape explored by proteins in their native and fibril-
different TTR variants, is a useful and important probe that will complement forthcoming structural information from cryo-EM and solid-state NMR. In addition, the thermodynamic similarity of mechano-enzymatic TTR amyloid fibrils to natural ex vivo TTR amyloid fibrils provides further strong support for use of the mechano-enzymatic method in the design and development of novel drugs targeting TTR amyloidosis.

Structural similarity between different fibrils obviously does not necessarily imply that the respective in vitro and in vivo processes of fibrillogenesis are identical. Nevertheless, our present findings are fully consistent with all of the other available evidence that plasmin likely plays a crucial role in vivo. Unequivocal in vivo confirmation will eventually have to come from a robust new animal model.

Let us say that when we generate models of diseases, mimicking the in vivo pathological process, we should never forget the timeless recommendation by René Descartes: “Whenever people notice some similarity between two things they are in the habit of ascribing to the one what they find true of the other, even when the two are not in that respect similar.”

Experimental procedures

Amyloid fibril preparation in vitro

Two sets of TTR fibrils were prepared in vitro by the low-pH procedure (3) or the mechano-enzymatic method (11).

Acidic-mediated protein aggregation was initiated by the addition of stock solution of recombinant tetrameric V122I TTR (0.4 mg/ml in PBS, pH 7) to an equivalent volume of 200 mM sodium acetate, 0.1% (w/v) NaN₃, pH 4.4, and incubation at 37 °C was carried out in glass vials for 7 days.

Proteolysis-mediated fibrillogenesis of V122I TTR was carried out in glass vials (air/interface of 1.5 cm²) stirred at 1,500 rpm (IKA magnetic stirrer) and 37 °C for 96 h using 1 mg/ml TTR in PBS, pH 7.4, in the presence of 20 ng/µl plasmin (Sigma–Aldrich, P1867).

Fibrillar aggregates separated after a 20 min centrifugation at 20,800 × g were quantified at the end of each procedure by the bicinchoninic acid (BCA) assay.

Extraction of natural fibrils ex vivo

Amyloid fibrils were isolated from the heart of a patient with cardiac amyloidosis associated with the V122I TTR variant. The study was carried out in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and the written consent of the patient. Fibrils were isolated from the cardiac tissue (100 mg) by water extraction in the presence of 1.5 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride after repeated homogenization in the presence of 10 mM Tris-HCl containing 140 mM NaCl, 10 mM EDTA, 0.1% (w/v) NaN₃, 1.5 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, pH 8.0, and a 30 min centrifugation at 60,000 × g. The yield in fibrils was monitored by microscopic analysis of the extracted material stained with Congo red. Quantification of total protein was performed by a BCA assay (Pierce).

Microscopic analysis

The pathognomonic amyloid apple-green birefringence in both in vitro and ex vivo fibrils was evaluated under high-intensity cross-polarized light after alkaline alcoholic Congo red staining (31).

Samples were also examined by negative staining transmission EM. Briefly, a drop of each sample was allowed to dry onto a Formvar/carbon-coated copper grid 3 min before blotting with filter paper to remove excess solvent and staining with 2%
(w/v) uranyl acetate for 3 min. After further washing, blotting, and drying in air, transmission electron microscope (Joel JEM-1400 Flash) images were obtained at 120 kV. Estimation of diameter of both mechano-enzymatic and ex vivo fibrils was performed with ImageJ software. Only fibrils that appeared to be single filaments were selected for measurements, which were taken directly in pixels and then converted into nanometers using the 200 nm bar.

Identification of TTR species in the mechano-enzymatic and ex vivo fibrils

MALDI-TOF MS analysis was carried out to identify TTR species in both the mechano-enzymatic and ex vivo fibril samples. A 10 μl mixture containing mechano-enzymatic fibrils was centrifuged at 20,800 × g for 20 min, and the resulting pellet was resuspended in 10 μl of α-cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid (5 mg/ml in acetonitrile, 0.2% TFA (70:30, v/v)), and finally 1 μl was left to dry onto the target plate.

Ex vivo fibrils were subjected to SDS 15% PAGE under reducing conditions. After staining with colloidal Coomassie Blue, the bands were excised and passively eluted from the gel. Each band was crushed in 30 μl of methanol/isopropyl alcohol/water (30:30:40, v/v/v) (32) and then vortexed and stirred overnight at room temperature. Finally, samples were sonicated and centrifuged at 20,800 × g for 10 min.

The resulting supernatants were mixed with α-cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid (5 mg/ml in acetonitrile, 0.2% TFA (70:30, v/v)), and 1 μl was left to dry onto the target plate.

All spectra were recorded in linear mode by MALDI-TOF/TOF 5800 system (AB SCIEX, Framingham, MA, USA) and analyzed using TOF/TOF Series Explorer acquisition software. Calibration standards were recombinant V122I TTR or WT β2-microglobulin.

Preparation of recombinant V122I TTR

Site-directed mutagenesis of pETM11 plasmid encoding hexahistidine-tagged WT TTR was carried out using the Quick-Change kit (Stratagene) and the primer CC ACC ACG GCT GTC ATC ACC AAT CCC AAG G containing the underlined codon for isoleucine at position 122. Transformed BL21 star (DE3) cells (Thermo Fisher Scientific) were then plated onto Luria broth (LB) medium containing agar, 30 μg/ml kanamycin for overnight incubation at 37 °C. A single colony was isolated and cultured overnight at 37 °C in 5 ml of LB medium containing 30 μg/ml kanamycin under shaking conditions (LB/kan). This preparation was inoculated into 1 liter of LB/kan for an initial growth at 37 °C. When the culture reached an optical density at 600 nm (OD600) of 0.5, the temperature was reduced to 30 °C. Protein expression was induced at OD600 = 0.6 by adding isopropylthiogalactoside (1 mM final concentration) for overnight incubation. The cells were harvested by centrifugation; suspended in lysis buffer containing 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8, 250 mM NaCl, 3 mM imidazole; and finally sonicated at 4 °C. The supernatant was clarified after 30 min of centrifugation at 18,000 × g and loaded onto a HisTrap FF crude nickel affinity chromatography column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated in lysis buffer. After extensive washing with 20 mM Tris-HCl, 10 mM imidazole, containing stepwise increasing concentrations of NaCl (250 mM, 500 mM, and 1 M, respectively), the column was eluted with 20 mM Tris-HCl, 250 mM NaCl, 250 mM imidazole, pH 8.0. His-tagged tobacco etch virus protease (Sigma–Aldrich) was added at 1% (w/w) during dialysis to selectively cleave the hexahistidine tag, which was then removed by affinity chromatography, together with the enzyme. Fractions containing TTR were pooled and subjected to size-exclusion chromatography using a Superdex 75 Hi Load 26/60 column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated and eluted with 25 mM Tris-HCl, 100 mM NaCl, pH 8.0. Fractions containing TTR were dialyzed against water at 4 °C for at least 3 days and then lyophilized. Purity and molecular weight were determined by SDS-PAGE analysis and MS, respectively.

Equilibrium unfolding of native TTR

Samples containing tetrameric V122I TTR (0.1 mg/ml) were incubated at increasing concentrations of Gdn-SCN in 50 mM sodium phosphate, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, pH 7.0, at 25 °C for 24 h. Tryptophan fluorescence spectra were recorded between 310 and 410 nm with excitation at 295 nm using a 1 cm light path cell in a PerkinElmer LS55 spectrofluorimeter. All spectra were blank-subtracted. Because maximum emission of native TTR is between 337 and 338 nm, whereas the unfolded protein shows maximum emission at 355–358 nm, we used the fluorescence ratio between 355 (unfolded) and 335 nm (folded) to generate denaturation curves as a function of Gdn-SCN (19) for further analysis.

Depolymerization of TTR fibrils

V122I TTR fibrils (1.5 mg/ml) were resuspended in sodium phosphate 50 mM, EDTA 1 mM, DTT 1 mM buffer, pH 7, at increasing concentrations of Gdn-SCN. Samples were mixed by vortexing before incubation at room temperature for 96 h to allow the samples to reach equilibrium. To separate nonaggregated fraction from aggregated protein, samples were centrifuged for 20 min at 20,800 × g.

A ThT assay was used to determine the persistent fibrillar material in the insoluble fraction after the incubation with Gdn-SCN (Mfib). Briefly, the pellets were resuspended in 100 μl of PBS containing 10 μM ThT, and fluorescence emission was monitored at 485 nm, following excitation at 445 nm in a CLARIOstar microplate reader (BMG Labtech). Values of ThT fluorescence at each denaturant concentration were then normalized to the value of the corresponding fibrillar sample in the absence of denaturant to determine the fibrillar content (Mfib/M). The fraction of depolymerization was then derived considering the following:

\[
\text{Fraction depolymerized} = \frac{M - M_T}{M_T} = 1 - \frac{M_{\text{fib}}}{M_T}
\]

(Eq. 1)

where \( M_T \) is the total amount of protein and \( M \) is the protein content in the soluble fraction at each denaturant concentration.

Thermodynamic stability parameters of tetrameric TTR

Gdn-SCN denaturation curves were analyzed according to a two-state unfolding model as described previously for the
transition from native folded tetramer to unfolded monomer for the V122I TTR precursor. Experimental data were fit according to the Santoro–Bolen equation (20).

\[
y_{\text{obs}} = \left( y_{N}^{0} + m_{N}[D] \right) / \left( 1 + \exp \left( \frac{\Delta G_{I2O}^{0}}{RT} - \frac{m[D]}{RT} \right) \right)
\]

(Eq. 2)

where the \( y_{\text{obs}} \) is the fluorescence ratio at each denaturant concentration; \( y_{N}^{0} \) and \( y_{U}^{0} \) are the signals of the native and denatured states, respectively, in the absence of denaturant; and \([D]\) is the Gdn-SCN concentration. The linear dependence of pre- and post-transition with denaturant concentration is defined by \( m_{N} \) and \( m_{U} \), respectively. The model provides quantitative measurements of the difference in free energy between the folded and unfolded state in the absence of denaturant (\( \Delta G^{I2O} \)), the midpoint denaturant concentration \( (C_{M}) \), and the dependence of \( \Delta G \) on Gdn-SCN \( (m) \).

Experimental data were reported as apparent unfolded fraction using the formula,

\[
\text{Fraction unfolded} = (y - y_{N}) / (y_U - y_N)
\]

(Eq. 3)

where \( y \) is the experimental value observed at a given denaturant concentration, and \( y_{N} \) and \( y_{U} \) are the values of the native and unfolded protein, respectively, extrapolated from the pre- and post-transition baselines defined by Equation 2 (20). All data were fitted using Kaleidagraph 4.0 (Synergy Software). Values of midpoint denaturant concentration, \( C_{M} \) were also calculated. All measurements are reported as mean \pm S.D. of three independent experiments, and two-way analysis of variance was performed using GraphPad Prism 5 for pairwise multiple comparison.
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