The effect of canopy exchange on input of base cations in a subalpine spruce plantation during the growth season
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Canopy exchange is one of the most important processes involved in the internal transfer of elements in forest ecosystems. However, little information is available on how canopy exchange influences the input of base cations in subalpine forests. Therefore, the concentrations and fluxes of base cations in throughfall and stemflow were investigated from August 2015 to July 2016 (except for the frozen season) in a representative subalpine spruce plantation in the eastern Tibet Plateau. Our results showed that the mean concentrations of K, Ca, Na and Mg were higher in the stemflow than in the throughfall and precipitation. The total input fluxes of K, Ca, Na and Mg in the internal forest were lower than those in the non-forest. Moreover, the results from the canopy budget model indicated that the canopy exchange fluxes of K, Ca and Mg were higher than the dry deposition fluxes, and Ca and Mg were uptaken, whereas K was leached when precipitation passed through the canopy. Therefore, the results suggested that the input of base cations is mainly controlled by canopy exchange during precipitation in subalpine forest ecosystems, and the canopy could alter the sinks and sources of base cations from precipitation.
The total concentrations of all base cations exhibited the order of stemflow > throughfall > precipitation (Fig. 1). The concentrations of K, Ca, Na and Mg were from 0.51 to 5.72 mg L\(^{-1}\). The concentration of Ca dominated among the base cations in the three types of water, followed by the concentrations of K, Na and Mg. K exhibited the largest variation among the base cations in the stemflow, with a variation that was 1.93-fold and 2.05-fold higher than the variations in the throughfall and precipitation, respectively. In addition, the concentrations were positively correlated with throughfall, and the correlations showed an increase in the concentrations of base cations with the increase in throughfall (except Mg), as shown in Table 1. Moreover, the relationship between the concentrations of K and the volume of throughfall was strong, with an \(R^2\) value of 0.717. However, there was no relationship between the concentrations of base cations and the volumes of stemflow.

Results

The variations in base cation concentrations. The total concentrations of all base cations exhibited the order of stemflow > throughfall > precipitation (Fig. 1). The concentrations of K, Ca, Na and Mg were from 0.51 to 5.72 mg L\(^{-1}\). The concentration of Ca dominated among the base cations in the three types of water, followed by the concentrations of K, Na and Mg. K exhibited the largest variation among the base cations in the stemflow, with a variation that was 1.93-fold and 2.05-fold higher than the variations in the throughfall and precipitation, respectively. In addition, the concentrations were positively correlated with throughfall, and the correlations showed an increase in the concentrations of base cations with the increase in throughfall (except Mg), as shown in Table 1. Moreover, the relationship between the concentrations of K and the volume of throughfall was strong, with an \(R^2\) value of 0.717. However, there was no relationship between the concentrations of base cations and the volumes of stemflow.
The variations in base cation input fluxes. The total inputs of base cations in precipitation deposition, throughfall deposition and stemflow deposition at the study site were 66.20, 52.50 and 7.00 kg·hm\(^{-2}\), respectively (Fig. 2). The total deposition of base cations was 1.11 times higher in the non-forest than in the closed canopy. During the study, the throughfall deposition and stemflow deposition of base cations accounted for 88.24% and 11.76% of the total input, respectively. The total input fluxes of K, Ca, Na and Mg were 13.03 kg·hm\(^{-2}\), 31.30 kg·hm\(^{-2}\), 12.40 kg·hm\(^{-2}\), and 2.77 kg·hm\(^{-2}\), and those in the non-forest were 10.50 kg·hm\(^{-2}\), 39.10 kg·hm\(^{-2}\), 13.91 kg·hm\(^{-2}\), and 2.75 kg·hm\(^{-2}\), respectively.

The patterns in throughfall and stemflow are reflected in the enrichment factors of the base cations throughout the growth season (Fig. 3). The throughfall enrichment factor was 1 for K, and the smallest enrichment factor was reported for Ca. The enrichment factors for Na and Mg were intermediate. The base cation enrichment factors in stemflow were less than 1, and K had the largest enrichment factor among the base cations, followed by Mg, Na and Ca.

### Table 1. The relationships between the concentration of base cations and volume of throughfall. Bold values indicate the significant portion of the variation among effect concentration that can be explained by the volume of throughfall variable when \( p < 0.05 \).

| Base Cations | Fitting equation | Parameters | \( a \) | \( b \) | \( n \) | \( R^2 \) | \( P \) |
|--------------|------------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|---------|--------|
| K            | \( Y = aX + b \) | 0.033      | -0.259 | 28     | 0.717  | 0.000  |
| Ca           | \( Y = aX + b \) | 0.057      | -0.074 | 28     | 0.703  | 0.000  |
| Na           | \( Y = aX + b \) | 0.030      | -0.177 | 28     | 0.668  | 0.000  |
| Mg           | \( Y = aX + b \) | 0.020      | 0.043  | 28     | 0.071  | 0.092  |

**Figure 2.** The variations in deposition fluxes of base cations shown as precipitation deposition (PD), throughfall deposition (TD), and stemflow deposition (SD). The bar graphs with error bars are the means with 95% confidence intervals.

**Figure 3.** The enrichment factors of base cations for throughfall and stemflow. The dots with error bars are the means with 95% confidence intervals.
Canopy exchange and dry deposition fluxes of base cations. Figure 4 indicates that dry deposition alternates with canopy exchange of K, Ca and Mg during the growth season. Ca and K exhibited the highest and lowest dry deposition fluxes among the base cations. The dry deposition fluxes of K, Ca and Mg were 0.32 kg·hm⁻², 6.49 kg·hm⁻² and 0.44 kg·hm⁻², respectively. The canopy exchange fluxes of Ca and Mg were most often negative, whereas they were positive for K, indicating that Ca and Mg were taken up by the canopy at rates of 14.35 kg·hm⁻² and 0.43 kg·hm⁻², respectively, and K was leached from the canopy at a rate of 2.20 kg·hm⁻².

Discussion
The interaction between rainfall and the canopy can change the contents of elements23. It is a common occurrence for the concentrations of elements to increase after precipitation passes through the canopy. The concentrations of base cations were 2.33 times higher in the closed forest canopy than the non-forest. The concentrations of elements followed the order of stemflow > throughfall. This order is due to the sufficiently long residence times of the intercepted precipitation on the branch surfaces, which increases the concentrations of elements, and the higher leachability of bark tissue for stemflow than throughfall24; this phenomenon also occurs in other forest ecosystems25,26. In addition, the concentrations of base cations were positively related to the volume of throughfall, except for Mg because the main source of Mg is dissolved in marine air. Therefore, Mg is less affected by the volume of water, and this result was also observed by Scheer25. The stemflow volume was not related to the concentrations of base cations. The bark surface and angle of the branches may have stronger effects on the concentrations of base cations than the volume of the stemflow.

In addition, the total inputs of base cations in the closed forest and non-forest were 59.50 kg·hm⁻² and 66.20 kg·hm⁻², respectively. The inputs of base cations from throughfall and stemflow accounted for 88.24% and 11.76% of the total input, respectively. The base cations fluxes were associated with the concentrations and volumes of water, and the stemflow volumes were lower than the throughfall volumes. Although the proportion of stemflow was lower than the proportion of throughfall, stemflow still plays an important role in the direct delivery of base cations to plant roots and the transport of nutrients over long distances, especially in ecosystems with sandy soils that are extremely nutrient-poor27,28.

A canopy budget model can be used to estimate the changes to base cations that occur within the forest canopy and distinguish between the internal (canopy exchange) and external input (dry deposition) sources to the ecosystems29. In this study, canopy exchange is much more common than dry deposition in sampling events for base cations, and canopy exchange is 2.33-fold higher than dry deposition. Therefore, the contribution of canopy exchange was higher than the contribution of dry deposition, especially during the growth season, and this result has also been found in other research4,30. There are two reasons for this result: first, the amount of precipitation is an important factor in canopy exchange31,32. The abundant rainfall during the growing season results in the saturation of the canopy and the leaching of more mobile elements along with the base cations. Second, the climate
interacts with vegetation and can influence the deposition of elements. The amount of dry deposition received by the canopy is lower in the winter than during the growth season, and canopy uptake and leaching are highest during the growth season in the closed canopy.

The wash-off and leaching dynamics of throughfall ionic fluxes represent a significant process that affects the biogeochemical cycles of forest ecosystems. The dry deposition and canopy leaching fluxes of Ca and Mg are maximum in April. The canopy freeze-thaw effect could influence leaf physiology and further influence the canopy exchange fluxes of Ca and Mg in the early spring season. The dry deposition fluxes are mainly due to the greater interception of aerosols by the coniferous canopy, and the dry deposition that accumulates in the canopy during the dry (frozen) season is normally effectively washed at the beginning of rainfall episodes and is quickly exhausted. The canopy exchange fluxes of K showed that the canopy leaches K in the spruce forest. In addition, the base cation enrichment factors of throughfall and stemflow were generally high for K, suggesting that K is the most leached of the base cations from the canopy and tree stem, leading to significant canopy exchange across various forest types. In contrast, the enrichment factors of Ca and Mg were lower than 1, and the canopy exchange values of both elements were negative, indicating that Ca and Mg were uptaken by the canopy (Fig. 5). Identical results have also been found in other research.

The canopy exchange is influenced by forest type and the concentration of solutes in precipitation, whereas dry deposition is influenced by drought time and exposure of the canopy layer to atmospheric deposition, which results in different fluxes of both processes in different ecosystems. Our results represent only a spruce plantation ecosystem in a subalpine forest. However, we consider the differences between the season variation and distinguished the growing season and the non-growth season and selected an appropriate sampling time (except the frozen season), but interannual variability is still possible. Therefore, it is suggested that in the future long-term, a study should be set up, and repeating such measurements could strengthen the conclusions.

In summary, the interaction of precipitation with the canopy and tree stems causes a vertical spatial variation of the precipitation-throughfall-stemflow of base cations in forest ecosystems, which provides a pathway for base cations to move from the vegetation to the soil via throughfall and stemflow. In addition, the canopy budget model showed that the canopy exchange fluxes of K, Ca and Mg were higher than the dry deposition fluxes. The canopy exchange fluxes indicated that Ca and Mg were uptaken by the canopy, but K was leached. Therefore, canopy exchange is the main driver of the input of base cations in a spruce plantation during the growth season. The interannual variability of precipitation is possible, therefore, our study represents the variability of the chemistry of base cations during only our observation period. However, the knowledge of the distribution of elements in the canopy from precipitation in spruce plantation ecosystems is essential for understanding the circulation of forest elements and provide basic data for subsequent research in alpine forest ecosystems in future.

Materials and Methods

Study area. This study was conducted at the Long-term Research Station of Alpine Forest Ecosystems, Miyaluo Nature Reserve, Li County, Sichuan, southwest China, which is located along the eastern edge of the Tibetan Plateau, the western edge of the Sichuan Basin, and the upper Yangtze River. The annual mean air temperature is 2–4 °C, and the maximum and minimum temperatures are 23.7 °C (July) and – 18.1 °C (January), respectively. The mean annual precipitation is approximately 801–850 mm. Precipitation is mainly concentrated in the wet season (May–August) and the winter season from November to April. The canopy forest vegetation is dominated by Picea asperata with some understory shrubs (e.g., Salix paraplesia and Rhododendron spp.) and grasses (e.g., Berberis diaphana, Sorbus rufopila, and Deyeuxia scabrescens), and the mean tree age is approximately 60 a.
Study design. Three 400 m² (20 m × 20 m) plots with similar locations, elevations, slopes, and other conditions were selected in a typical spruce forest (102°53′-102°57′ E, 31°14″-31°19′ N, 3000 m a.s.l.). It is difficult to find three non-forest near the spruce plantation forest, and we just only find one open area (20 m × 20 m) that was approximately 50 m from the edge of the spruce plantation forest which was selected as the non-forest site. The characteristics of the plots are shown in Table 2.

Water sampling. There is not a strict distinction between the growing season and non-growing season. Therefore, we divided the growth season and non-growth season using the first snowfall and rainfall. Since the snowfall was heavy, and the field conditions were difficult, we set up the plots after the thawing period (May, 2015). To allow the device to adapt to the climate and environment in the area, the device underwent debugging for two months to prevent different efficiencies of the device. Thus, the water samples were collected after each rainfall event during the growth season from 13 August 2015 to 29 July 2016, and samples were not collected during the frozen season (November 2015 to March 2016). Torstenw and Li’s methods were used to collect the precipitation, throughfall and stemflow data, and the details are as follows32,44:

(i). Precipitation: the rainfall was sampled in the non-forest site with 5 homemade continuous rain gauges (surface collection area of 0.64 m²).

(ii). Throughfall: the throughfall was recorded using 5 PVC rectangle grooves (surface collection area of 400 cm × 16 cm) in the 3 plots, and 5 gutters were set up 1 m above the floor to avoid ground splash effects. Furthermore, the gutters were also set up at a 5° angle to horizontal to promote drainage, and the lower end of each gutter was equipped with a plastic bucket.

(iii). Stemflow: Before the sampling, we investigated the DBH, height and other characters, and found only several trees had DBH less than 15 cm and larger than 25 cm. We selected 9 trees with 10–15 cm, 15–20 cm, 20–25 cm classes in each plot. The trees were equipped with flexible tubing (plastic hose) that was cut in half lengthwise and fixed tightly around the stem. The tubing was approximately 1.3 m and was affixed in a steep upward spiral slope to avoid overflow. The tubing was attached to the tree trunk with nails, and silicone sealant was applied to seal the length of the tubing to the stem and avoid overflow, and the end of each section was connected to a polyethylene (PE) bottle. Stemflow did not occur following rain events less than 6.51 mm in this study.

Data availability. All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this published article (and its Supplementary Information files).

Chemical analyses. Water samples of up to 500 ml were collected in Nalgene polyethylene bottles that were prewashed with dilute (5%) HCl and then thoroughly rinsed with nanopure deionized water. The volumes of each reservoir were recorded. The samples were transported to the laboratory, where they were filtered using quantitative filter paper with a pore size of 0.45 μm and a diameter of 12.5 cm. The filtered samples were adjusted to a pH of 1~2 with high purity grade (GR) nitric acid and stored at 4 °C. The concentrations of K, Ca, Na, and Mg were measured using an atomic adsorption spectrophotometer (AA-7000, SHIMADZU, Japan).

Calculations. Canopy budget model:

\[
\begin{align*}
TD + SD - PD &= DD + CE \\
NTD &= TD + SD - PD \\
DD &= PD_X \times \frac{NTD_{Na}}{PD_{Na}}
\end{align*}
\]

Where TD—throughfall deposition; SD—stemflow deposition; PD—open-field precipitation deposition; DD—dry deposition; CE—canopy exchange; NTD—net throughfall deposition; X—(Ca, Mg, K). Canopy budget model11,18,30. The CE (Eq. 1) of K, Ca and Mg was then estimated by subtracting the DD (Eq. 3) from the NTD (Eq. 2), and when the NTD of the tracer ion (Na in this case) is negative, the DD is zero.

The enrichment factors of the base cations were calculated as the amounts of the base cations that were delivered as SD or TD divided by the PD7. Enrichment factors can reflect the extent of changes in the fluxes of ions from non-forest precipitation to water collected below the tree canopy in a forest.

|   | Canopy density | Mean DBH/cm | Mean height/m | basal areas/m² |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Plot 1 | 0.6 | 21.7 | 8.1 | 0.0037 |
| Plot 2 | 0.75 | 19.1 | 7.6 | 0.0029 |
| Plot 3 | 0.8 | 17.8 | 7.2 | 0.0025 |

Table 2. Characteristics of vegetation in the plot.
Statistical analysis. All statistical analyses were carried out using IBM SPSS statistics 20.0. The relationships between the concentrations of base cation in throughfall and stemflow were determined by using the regression analysis method. The statistical tests were considered significant at the P < 0.05 level.
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