Complex interactomes and post-translational modifications of the regulatory proteins HABP4 and SERBP1 suggest pleiotropic cellular functions
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Abstract

The 57 kDa antigen recognized by the Ki-1 antibody, is also known as intracellular hyaluronic acid binding protein 4 and shares 40.7% identity and 67.4% similarity with serpin mRNA binding protein 1, which is also named CGI-55, or plasminogen activator inhibitor type-1-RNA binding protein-1, indicating that they might be paralog proteins, possibly with similar or redundant functions in human cells. Through the identification of their protein interactomes, both regulatory proteins have been functionally implicated in transcriptional regulation, mRNA metabolism, specifically RNA splicing, the regulation of mRNA stability, especially, in the context of the progesterone hormone response, and the DNA damage response. Both proteins also show a complex pattern of post-translational modifications, involving Ser/Thr phosphorylation, mainly through protein kinase C, arginine methylation and SUMOylation, suggesting that their functions and locations are highly regulated. Furthermore, they show a highly dynamic cellular localization pattern with localizations in both the cytoplasm and nucleus as well as punctuated localizations in both granular cytoplasmic protein bodies, upon stress, and nuclear splicing speckles. Several reports in the literature show altered expressions of both regulatory proteins in a series of cancers as well as mutations in their genes that may contribute to tumorigenesis. This review highlights important aspects of the structure, interactome, post-translational modifications, sub-cellular localization and function of both regulatory proteins and further discusses their possible functions and their potential as tumor markers in different cancer settings.
INTRODUCTION

Ki-1 was the first monoclonal antibody specific for Hodgkin and Sternberg-Reed cells in Hodgkin’s lymphoma[1]. Ki-1 recognizes CD30, a glycoprotein of 120 kDa found on the surface of Hodgkin’s cells, and cross-reacts with an intracellular antigen of 57 kDa, named Ki-1/57, which was functionally and structurally uncharacterized at that time[2,3]. Ki-1/57 was also named intracellular hyaluronic acid binding protein 4 (IHABP4; GeneBank: AF241831) as it bound to hyaluronic acid in vitro[4]. Huang and co-workers also observed that IHABP4 binds to others negatively charged molecules such as glycosaminoglycans, e.g. chondroitin sulfate and heparin sulfate, and to RNA. However, the functional role of these interactions is not completely understood. The recommended name is hyaluronic acid binding protein 4 (HABP4).

HABP4 shares 40.7% identity and 67.4% similarity with serpin mRNA binding protein 1 (SERBP1), indicating that they might be paralogs, possibly with similar or redundant functions in human cells[5]. The name of its putative paralog was originally CGI-55, derived from: “Comparative Gene Identification”, a method used to search for related genes. In the year 2000, Lin’s group obtained 150 potential full-length novel human genes through CGI, identified from the Caenorhabditis elegans proteome[6], with the number 55 being one of the SERBP1 transcript variants (GenBank: AF151813). Independently, CGI-55 was identified as an interactor of plasminogen activator inhibitor type-1 (PAI-1) RNA; therefore, it was also called PAI-1 RNA-binding protein or PAI-RBP1[7]. Moreover, other names such as HABP4L and SERPINE 1 were also used. As SERBP1 is most widely used it will be adopted in this review.

Since the identification of these two proteins, several studies have addressed their structure and function. Here, we present a detailed report on the current knowledge on the HABP4 and SERBP1 proteins.

HABP4 AND SERBP1 STRUCTURE

Structurally, HABP4’s amino acid sequence, has a high level of disorder-promoting amino acids (Alanine, Arginine, Glycine, Glutamine, Serine, Proline, Glutamic acid, Lysine), a high net charge and a low mean hydropathy value in its amino acid composition[8]. These features are observed for most intrinsically unstructured proteins (IUP) and inhibit the formation of a hydrophobic core or a regular secondary structure[9,10]. Bressan et al[10] demonstrated using size exclusion chromatography (SEC), analytical ultracentrifugation and small angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) studies on the HABP4 C-terminal region (HABP4122-413), that it is an elongated monomer in solution,
without a well-defined core. Thus, the HABP4 C-terminal has been shown to be a pre-molten globule of 37 kDa.

A proteinase K sensitivity assay showed that HABP4\textsubscript{122-413} was readily degraded, confirming its flexibility and absence of a stable hydrophobic core. Additionally, the spectrum obtained by circular dichroism (CD) experiments was typical of a random coil or denatured proteins, indicating the absence of a regular secondary structure\textsuperscript{[8]}. However, after the addition of 2,2,2-trifluoroethanol (TFE), the CD pattern for HABP4 shifted, showing an increase in secondary structure. TFE is an alcohol used to promote increased hydrogen bonding, and thus increases propagation of the secondary structures in polypeptides\textsuperscript{[11,12]}. The appearance of secondary structure is commonly seen for IUPs when they associate with their interactors\textsuperscript{[13]}. The gain of the structure may be explained by the existence of secondary structural elements in the protein sequence\textsuperscript{[14]}.

Despite the lack of structural studies for SERBP1, its high level of similarity and identity to HABP4 on the amino acid sequence level, allowed comparative bioinformatics analyses, which suggested that SERBP1 may also be an IUP. The protein secondary structure prediction (PSIPRED) analysis of both proteins illustrated that both HABP4 and SERBP1, have similar contents of predicted secondary structure and random coil. This may lead to the conclusion that both HABP4 and SERBP1, are unstructured proteins (Figure 1).

Proteins belonging to the IUP family are associated with a plethora of cellular processes, such as translation, RNA recognition, transcriptional regulation, cell cycle control, membrane fusion and transport, protein phosphorylation, storage of small molecules and the regulation and assembly of protein complexes\textsuperscript{[13,15]}. All these biological processes are in accordance with the present knowledge on the interaction network of HABP4 and SERBP1 (see the Functional aspects of HABP4 and SERBP1 in the following sections for more details).

**POST-TRANSLATIONAL MODIFICATIONS**

Post-translational modifications (PTMs) control protein functions by covalently attaching molecules to specific amino acid residues. The types of modifications exceed 200, such as phosphorylation, glycosylation, methylation, acetylation, ubiquitinylation, and SUMOylation among others, with phosphorylation being the most widely studied\textsuperscript{[16-19]}.

The PTMs of HABP4 and SERBP1 have been discovered over the years mainly due to the identification of their interaction with modifying proteins. Until now, HABP4 and SERBP1 were predicted to have phosphorylation, methylation and SUMOylation sites, as shown in Figure 2\textsuperscript{[20-22]}. These PTMs and their impact on the functions of HABP4 and SERBP1 are described below.

**Phosphorylation**

Initially, immunoprecipitates of HABP4 from three different tumor cell lines (L540, U266/B1 and Raji Burkitt), using the Ki-1 antibody, revealed an associated serine/threonine protein kinase activity\textsuperscript{[23]}. Based on this it was also hypothesized that HABP4 enzymatic activity could be regulated by self-phosphorylation. This hypothesis was ruled out by Nery and co-workers\textsuperscript{[20]}, who demonstrated that full-length recombinant HABP4 did neither exert kinase activity itself nor towards other proteins. These results are in agreement with the sequence analyses that also do not show any kinase domain features in the HABP4 amino acid sequence (Figure 2).

Experiments with L540 cells showed that HABP4 is indeed phosphorylated by PKC and that its phosphorylation level is increased when cells are activated by the addition of phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA)\textsuperscript{[20]}. Furthermore, HABP4 can be phosphorylated by different PKC isoforms, such as PKC\textsubscript{αβ}, PKC\textsubscript{δ}, PKC\textsubscript{λ/ζ} and more strongly by PKC\textsubscript{θ}. PKC\textsubscript{θ} was the only member of the PKC family that did not phosphorylate HABP4 in vitro\textsuperscript{[20]}. The phosphorylation of HABP4 does not seem to be affected by the presence or absence of RACK1, which binds to HABP4 C-terminal domain. On the other hand, the interactions between HABP4 and nuclear proteins, including RACK1 and CHD3\textsuperscript{[23,24]}, were down-regulated in response to phosphorylation. This modulation of interaction in response to phosphorylation shows that HABP4, at some level, may regulate the functions of the adaptor protein RACK1 or other protein interactors.
PKC phosphorylates HABP4 only in its C-terminal domain, which contains 15 potential Ser/Thr residues that could be targets of phosphorylation. Interestingly, only two of the threonine residues (T354 and T375) were indeed phosphorylated in vitro by commercial PKC-Pan, showing that PKC activity on HABP4 is highly specific (Figures 2A and 3A).

More recently, SERBP1 was also established as a RACK1 interactor through Y2H screens. Since RACK1 can recruit PKC, we can predict that SERBP1 is also likely to be modified by phosphorylation, since several of the Ser/Thr residues are conserved in both amino acid sequences (Figure 2).

**Methylation**

Many of the cellular processes that are mediated by specific interactions between proteins and other proteins or nucleic acids, are regulated by arginine methylation. The RGG/RXR box, where X is any amino acid, is the main target of arginine methyltransferases, such as PRMT1. In general, these motifs are found in proteins related to transcriptional regulation and RNA processing. HABP4 and SERBP1 present conserved RGG/RXR boxes in their sequences, localized mostly in their C-terminal regions (Figure 2). Both, HABP4 and SERBP1, were methylated by PRMT1 in vitro. In vivo assays with L540 cells showed that the levels of HABP4 decrease in the cytoplasm in response to the methylation inhibitor Adox (adenosine-2',3'-dialdehyde) treatment, indicating that the methylation status of HABP4 can affect its cellular distribution. Additionally, nuclear HABP4 was stronger methylated than that localized in the cytoplasm. Interestingly, the paralog SERBP1 behaved otherwise. In
untreated cells, SERBP1 in mostly found in the nucleus and upon Adox treatment more in the cytoplasm (Figure 3C).

The interaction between HABP4 and RACK1 did not influence the methylation pattern. Although RACK1 interacts with the C-terminal domain of HABP4, methylation of the RGG/RXR box cluster 369-383 continued to occur. However, it was reported that significant inhibition of methylation in the C-terminal domains of HABP4 and SERBP1 occurred, when they were previously phosphorylated [26-28].

SUMOylation
SUMOylation is the attachment of Small Ubiquitin-like Modifier (SUMO) proteins to a lysine residue in the specific target protein. This PTM regulates proliferation [29], transcription [30], mRNA processing and metabolism [31], among many other processes. The insight that HABP4 and its paralog SERBP1 could be SUMOylated was derived by the finding that both interact with proteins related to the SUMOylation machinery, including: UBC9, PIAS3 and TOPORS interacting with HABP4 and UBA2, PIAS-1/-3/-y and TOPORS all interacting with SERBP1 [32,33].

HABP4 has seven predicted sites for SUMOylation, of which three are highly likely: Lysine 213, 276 and 336 (Figure 2A). Likewise, SERBP1 exhibited fifteen potential SUMOylation sites, of which six had a higher probability of being conjugated with SUMO (Figure 2B). In vitro assays showed that HABP4 is indeed SUMOylated by SUMO-2/3 at the three main targets [22]. In vivo experiments revealed that wild-type HABP4, but not the SUMOylation-defective mutant HABP4 K213R/K276R/K336R, co-immunoprecipitated with anti-SUMO-1 and anti-SUMO-2 antibodies.

The same approach was used for SERBP1, and mutations in the three lysine residues with the highest score (K102R/K228R/K281R), resulted in SERBP1 being unavailable for modification by SUMO conjugation that was observed in the wild-type protein [22].

SUMOylation by SUMO-1 or SUMO-2 does not affect the profile of SERBP1’s interaction partners. The analysis of the partners identified by immunoprecipitation followed by tandem mass spectrometry (IP-MS/MS) with or without SUMO-1 or SUMO-2 transfection, resulted in the identification of proteins related to gene expression regulation. Specifically proteins involved in transcriptional control, RNA splicing and translation, ribosome biogenesis, apoptosis or mitosis, but no significant differences were observed between SUMO-1 and SUMO-2 co-transfection [22].

On the other hand, HABP4 displayed functional differences when co-expressed with SUMO-1 or SUMO-2. HABP4 co-immunoprecipitated 68 proteins when co-expressed with SUMO-1, whereas only 29 proteins were detected when HABP4 was

Figure 2 Schematic view of hyaluronic acid binding protein 4 and serpin mRNA binding protein 1 primary structure identifying residues that exhibit post-translational modification. The pink region corresponds to the N-terminal domain; gray corresponds to the C-terminal; light blue corresponds to the HABP4 domain. “Box” indicates RGG/RXR boxes.
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Figure 3  Cellular localization of hyaluronic acid binding protein 4 and serpin mRNA binding protein 1 in response to post-translational modification. A: Localization of hyaluronic acid binding protein 4 (HABP4), in light blue, after phosphorylation; and B: after methylation; C and D: Localization of serpin mRNA binding protein 1 (SERBP1), in purple, after methylation. SERBP1 methylation is still controversial and both possibilities are presented as related in the literature: C[26] and D[28]. Please see text for details. HABP4: Hyaluronic acid binding protein 4; SERBP1: Serpin mRNA binding protein 1.

Cellular localization of HABP4 and SERBP1
More than two decades ago, Rhode and co-workers showed that HABP4 has both cytoplasmic and nuclear localizations. In the nucleus it is often associated with heterochromatin, euchromatin, and the nucleolus[3]. The paralog SERBP1 also exhibited shuttling between cytoplasm and nucleus[5]. Since then, numerous data on these protein localizations have been reported. For example, Nery and co-workers[20] showed that the phosphorylation of HABP4 by PKC affects its cellular localization. Upon PMA-stimulus, HABP4 was no longer found in the nucleus, whereas its cytoplasmic level increased (Figure 3A).

Methylation also influences HABP4 and SERBP1 localization patterns. The methylation inhibition by Adox treatment leads to a decrease in HABP4 cytoplasmic localization (Figure 3C).
staining, but shutting from the nucleus to the cytoplasm of SERBP1[24]. The localization of SERBP1 is still controversial. Lee and co-workers[27,28], showed that SERBP1 nuclear staining is stronger than cytoplasmic staining after methylation inhibition (Figure 3D). Altogether, these data suggest that HABP4 and SERBP1 are involved in nuclear functions, this possibly depends on the phase of the cell cycle, or on specific cell growth conditions.

Once in the nucleus, HABP4 was detected at sub-structures such as nucleoli, where ribosome biogenesis and maturation occur[33]. Both, SERBP1 and HABP4, were found to co-localize with p80-coillin, a marker for Cajal bodies, and HABP4 has also been observed to co-localize with Cajal bodies and GEMS in a study treated with Adox[35,36]. Cajal bodies and GEMS are both considered nuclear compartments involved in small nuclear ribonucleoproteins snRNP storage or the assembly of pre-mRNA splicing complexes[34,35]. Upon Adox treatment, HABP4 has also been shown to co-localize with SC-35, a marker protein for splicing speckles[34]. These sub-compartments are known to store pre-mRNA splicing complexes, and HABP4 interacts with SFRRS1/9 and hnRNPQ, both known as splicing regulatory proteins[34]. In summary, these data suggest that methylation not only promotes nuclear import but also directs HABP4 more specifically to selected nuclear bodies.

The fact that HABP4 and SERBP1 interacted with several proteins related to promyelocytic leukemia nuclear bodies (PML-NBs), raised interest to determine if both proteins would play a role in the formation and distribution of these bodies. PML-NBs are related to protein modification, transcriptional regulation, DNA damage response, DNA repair, cell proliferation and apoptosis[37]. The relationship of HABP4 and SERBP1 with PML-NBs was explored by Saito and co-workers, who showed that the number of PML-NBs decreases in response to HABP4 or SERBP1 over-expression. After treatment with arsenic trioxide (As$_2$O$_3$), which under normal conditions increased PML-NBs formation, their abundance was significantly lower in cells over-expressing HABP4 and SERBP1. Interestingly, SERBP1 and HABP4 SUMOylation-defective mutants revealed distinct behaviors in relation to PML-NBs. While the SERBP1 SUMOylation-defective mutant displayed an effect similar to the wild-type protein, the HABP4 SUMOylation-defective mutant did not have any impact on the number of PML-NBs. In addition, the presence of HABP4 or SERBP1 seems to affect the diffuse distribution of the PML protein[38].

The regulation of HABP4’s localization to PML-NBs by SUMOylation is exactly the opposite of what was observed for PML protein. PML only co-localizes with nuclear bodies when it is SUMOylated[39]. Although HABP4 and SERBP1 influenced the formation and distribution of PML-NBs, the PML protein, the main component of the PML-NBs, did not interact with HABP4 and SERBP1 in IP-MS/MS experiments. It is known that changes in the formation and distribution of PML-NBs can also occur in response to UV-C light exposure in a p53-dependent manner[39]. It has also been well established that HABP4 interacts with p53, reducing its transcriptional activity[30]. It could be hypothesized that the role of HABP4 in PML-NBs formation is mediated by regulation through p53, which on the other hand is part of PML-NBs.

Outside the nucleus, HABP4 interacts with the Fragile X-Related Protein 1 (FXR1P) and the Fragile X Mental Retardation Protein (FMRP), both of which are related to translational regulation[40,41]. Furthermore, it co-localizes with TIA1 upon arsenite challenge, which is a marker protein for stress granules[40,41].

In ribosomal fractions, HABP4 was detected in fractions containing the 40 and 60S ribosomal subunits and in small amounts in the polysome fraction, thereby pointing to possible additional roles of HABP4 in the context of translation[33]. Furthermore, SERBP1 also co-localizes with TIA1, after arsenite treatment. This migration of SERBP1 to the stress granules is affected by its methylation. Cells pre-treated with Adox, before the arsenite challenge, showed a decrease in SERBP1 recruitment to stress granules, but later also showed high retention of it in the granules[34].

**FUNCTIONAL ASPECTS OF HABP4 AND SERBP1**

**HABP4 and SERBP1: Interaction partners and functions**

Frequently, HABP4 and SERBP1 are described as hubs in signaling networks[27,28], as they can bind to their targets through multiple sites, facilitating the dynamic assembly of complexes. These multiple sites also allow for allosteric responses in biological signaling[27,28]. Besides the ability to have multiple interaction partners, IUPs are targets of PTMs, often having clusters of modifications[33].

The Y2H system is a powerful tool used to access the pairwise interaction of proteins[27,28,33,35]. Such assays with SERBP1 as bait identified several nuclear proteins as interactors and many of them are related to the transcriptional control of gene
expression, such as CHD3, DAXX, TOPORS, PIAS1, and PIAS3 (Figure 4)[53].

By using the N- and C-terminal domains of HABP4 as bait, a protein-protein interaction network revealed the pleiotropic functions of this protein. Many of its partners act at different levels of signaling processes (RACK1, PRMT1, EB-1, RIL, ALEX 2, CTGF and ENSP8, APLP1, Myosin IXA). Other interactors include DNA binding factors and transcription regulators (such as CHD3, TOPORS, ZFP106, ZFP189, TIP60, BTBD2, YB-1/NSEP1, GADD34, DAXX, PIAS, p100 and HMG). A third category includes RNA metabolism-associated proteins (CIRBP, YB-1/NSEP1, SFRS9 and SFP2 / p32, FXRP). All this evidence seems to suggest that HABP4 has functions in all of the above related biological processes (Figure 4).

Interestingly, at the time of the initial discovery of the Ki-1/57 antigen in 1992[4] a series of cellular localization studies, using electron microscopy, with the original Ki-1 antibody, were performed using mostly the L540 Hodgkin analogous cells. Part of the data were published, but some figures were criticized as potentially nuclear “artifacts” (Figure 5). With today’s knowledge of Ki-1/57/ HABP4 interaction with a number of transcriptional regulators (p53, p100, HMG, Topors, Daxx) and chromatin remodeling machines (CHD3), the images obtained may be interpreted, although in a speculative way, in a different light. Is it possible that the Ki-1 gold-labeling observed represents a chromosome, or chromatin? Is it transcriptionally active or in a repressed state? Future studies should address which parts of the genome are regulated by HABP4.

Based on the protein interaction profile and other assays, SERBP1 and HABP4 were functionally related to the regulation of gene expression[3,26,34,36,46]. To identify the candidate genes regulated by SERBP1 and HABP4 over-expression, a global gene expression (DNA microarray, Affymetrix) analysis was performed and revealed that most of the affected genes are related to apoptosis, proliferation, the cell cycle and mRNA metabolism[49]. After over-expression of both SERBP1 or HABP4 around 90% of the genes were down-regulated and these target genes were related to mRNA metabolism and transcription, suggesting that SERBP1/HABP4 may act mainly as a gene expression repressor[49].

**The role of SERBP1 in the progesterone response**

The plasminogen activator inhibitor type-1 (PAI-1) is the major physiological inhibitor of fibrinolysis and plays important roles in cell adhesion, migration, and invasion[50]. PAI-1 has been related to tumor vascularization and metastasis and some inhibitors are currently being evaluated in cancer therapy[50].

As mentioned before, SERBP1 was previously called PAI-1 mRNA binding protein 1, because it binds to PAI-1 mRNA, and regulates its stability, thereby causing a decrease in overall PAI-1 protein levels in the cell[51]. SERBP1 binds to an AU-rich element located in the 3’-untranslated region of the PAI-1 mRNA. AU-rich elements have been determined as RNA instability promoting sequence motifs. The SERBP1/AU-rich element interaction may be regulated by Sphingosine 1-phosphate (SIP), a sphingolipid metabolite[52].

PAI-1 and SERBP1 mRNA are both over-expressed in ovarian tumors and the expression is higher in more advanced diseases[53]. In fact, the ovarian hormones progesterone (P4) and 17β-estradiol (E2), both regulate SERBP1 mRNA levels, especially in brain regions. These regions are important for the neuroendocrine control of female reproduction[54]. Additionally, the expression of SERBP1 mRNA was also increased in the hypothalamus, which is also important for female reproduction. The expression of SERBP1 mRNA in the brain correlates with the expression of its target mRNA: Progesterone receptor membrane component-1 (PGRMC1) mRNA[55-56].

The expression of PGRMC1 mediates the anti-apoptotic action of P4. The over-expression of SERBP1 increased the anti-apoptotic effects of P4 by 10-fold in spontaneously immortalized granulosa cells[57]. However, its effects seem not to be mediated by direct interaction of SERBP1 with the P4 hormone, as this protein possesses only the hyaluronan-binding region (Figure 2). SERBP1 seems to have allosteric effects on PGRMC1 by binding to its C-terminus, which is distant to the putative P4 binding site but could mediate the actions of P4 by serving as a scaffolding protein or co-activator/regulator of PGRMC1[58]. Besides the well-characterized interaction between SERBP1 and PGRMC1, other partners of SERBP1 and HABP4 are also related to P4- and estrogen-related processes, some of which are listed in Table 1.

**HABP4 and SERBP1 in the context of the DNA damage response**

DNA damage is a constant event in cells due to exposure to exogenous (ultraviolet light, ionizing radiation, chemotherapy, radiotherapy) or endogenous agents (reactive oxygen species, oxidation of bases, formation of adducts)[56-58]. Each type of damage is able to activate different cellular responses, including DNA damage repair, changes in the transcriptional response, triggering of apoptosis, senescence, or activation
Both HABP4 and SERBP1 interacted with proteins related to the DNA damage response. In response to DNA double-strand breaks, SERBP1-depleted cells showed defects in the activation by phosphorylation of CHK1 and RPA2\(^{\text{[62]}}\). The effect of SERBP1 in the Homologous Repair pathway is partially explained by the regulation of CtIP (C-terminal binding protein interacting protein) translation in the S phase, once SERBP1 targets CtIP mRNA, thereby controlling its expression levels\(^{\text{[62]}}\). CtIP is important for end resection-mediated double-strand break repair via both the Homology Repair (HR) and Non Homology End Joining (NHEJ) pathways\(^{\text{[63]}}\).

SERBP1 and HABP4 interact further with UBC9, DAXX and PIAS, all of which are related to DNA damage/repair pathways\(^{\text{[33,34,46]}}\). HABP4 also interacted in the Y2H system with GADD34, p53, and YB-1\(^{\text{[34,46]}}\). The YB-1 protein under normal conditions is mainly located in the cytoplasm, but after genotoxic stress, either by UV-radiation or by treatment with cisplatin or mitomycin C, the protein is translocated to the nucleus, where it has a high affinity for damaged DNA sites\(^{\text{[64,65]}}\). In addition, YB-1...
interacts with key proteins of the base excision repair (BER) and nucleotide excision repair (NER) pathways, such as APE1, DNA polymerase β and DNA glycosylases. The Δ113 isoform of p53, another HABP4 partner, antagonizes the effects of p53 towards apoptotic activity and its expression is increased after irradiation, promoting the repair of the DNA double-strand breaks through the HR and NHEJ pathways. Δ113p53/Δ133p53 promotes repair by regulating the expression of RAD51, LIG4 and RAD52. p53 levels are also regulated by the ubiquitin ligase MDM2, which in turn is regulated by the DAXX protein, that also interacts with HABP4. After treatment with etoposide, ATM phosphorylates DAXX and the complex DAXX-MDM2 is broken down, thereby promoting the activation of p53.

Other HABP4 interactors also have functions related to DNA damage. PRMT1, for example, has a function related to the DNA damage response, once it accumulates in cytoplasmic bodies responsive to DNA damage. The protein inhibitor of activated STAT (PIAS) also interacts with HABP4 and when over-expressed in HeLa cells promoted higher resistance to ionizing radiation through its involvement in the repair pathways HR and NHEJ. Additionally, PIAS3 acts as E3 ligase and mediates the required BRCA1 SUMOylation, which in turn is essential for the ubiquitin ligase activity of BRCA1 itself.

The emerging regulation of SERBP1 through miRNAs

The microRNAs (miRNAs) are small non-coding RNAs that modulate gene expression by binding to target mRNA. By binding in the 3’-untranslated region of target mRNAs they inhibit translation or promote degradation of the mRNA. As a consequence the protein expression or non-coding mRNAs may be regulated. miRNAs have been explored as biomarkers and therapeutic targets for many pathological conditions including cancer, diabetes, viral infections, cardiovascular disease, and neurodegenerative diseases, among others.

The study of the regulation of SERBP1 by miRNA has emerged in the past few years. The analysis of miRNA expression in peripheral blood of patients with osteonecrosis of the femoral head revealed that many miRNAs target SERBP1 and p53. In hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) SERBP1 is regulated by miR-218. miR-218 plays a role as a tumor suppressor in certain types of human cancers and is involved in biological processes such as tumor initiation, progression and metastasis. Despite the negative correlation between the expression of miR-218 and SERBP1 in HCC tissues, when both are co-transfected, miR-218’s ability to inhibit metastasis and reverse Epithelial Mesenchymal Transition, was abolished, suggesting that the...
Table 1  Table summarizing the interactions of hyaluronic acid binding protein 4 and serpin mRNA binding protein 1 with proteins related to the hormones progesterone and estradiol

| Gene        | Protein                                                      | Biological process reference                                                                 | Interaction reference |
|-------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| UBR5        | E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase UBR5                             | Progesterone receptor signaling pathway                                                        | SERBP1                |
| EIF2B2      | Translation initiation factor eIF-2B subunit beta            | Ovarian follicle development                                                                   | SERBP1                |
| FOS         | Proto-oncogene c-Fos                                         | Response to progesterone stimulus                                                              | SERBP1                |
| PGRMC1      | Membrane-associated progesterone receptor component 1        | Progesterone receptor signaling pathway                                                         | SERBP1                |
| ESR2        | Estrogen receptor beta                                        | Cellular response to estradiol stimulus                                                        | SERBP1, HABP1         |
| MDM2        | E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase Mdm2                             | Cellular response to progesterone stimulus                                                      | SERBP1, HABP1         |
| NEDD4       | E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase NEDD4                            | Progesterone receptor signaling pathway                                                         | SERBP1, HABP1         |
| ESR1        | Estrogen receptor                                            | Cellular response to estradiol stimulus                                                        | SERBP1, HABP1         |
| PKC         | Protein Kinase C                                             | Cellular response to estradiol stimulus                                                        | HABP1                 |
| p53         | Cellular tumor antigen p53                                   | Cellular response to estradiol stimulus                                                        | HABP1                 |

function of miR-218 is dependent on SERBP1[47]. In pancreatic cancer cells, miRNAs also act on SERBP1, mainly through miR-448, in a pathway dependent on the long non-coding RNA-PVT1, which regulates proliferation and migration[46].

HABP4 AND SERBP1 INVOLVEMENT IN CANCER

HABP4 and SERBP1 in cancer

Based on the broad clinical spectrum and the underlying, associated molecular behavior, cancer is an extremely complex disease. Tumor initiation and progression result from inherited or acquired genomic alterations within the cells[83], resulting in the acquisition of advantageous features that lead to uncontrolled growth and proliferation[84]. For each type of cancer, specific proteins are frequently altered during cancer initiation and progression, disrupting or promoting protein-protein interactions[85], or causing loss- or gain of function protein variants. The interaction profiles of HABP4 and SERBP1 suggest that they are involved in important cellular events which are related to tumorigenesis[22]. This includes the regulation of gene transcription, translation, RNA metabolism, mitotic cell cycle, and apoptosis, as described before[22,64,46].

The over-expression of HABP4 in HEK293 cells followed by microarray analysis, showed alterations in gene expression related to proliferation, including those coding for the proteins PLAU, PDXK, NRG1 and α-taxilin (TXLNA). Repression of the TXLNA protein correlates with the proliferative activity of HCC and the metastatic and invasive potential of renal cell carcinoma[86,22]. The over-expression of SERBP1 also resulted in reduced expression of genes related to proliferation, such as GNB1 and CCL14. Based on these findings, both HABP4 and SERBP1 are involved in repressive control mechanisms of proliferation[47]. The proliferation status of cells with HABP4 and SERBP1 over-expression was also evaluated by MTS and EdU incorporation and a lower rate of proliferation was observed, relative to non-transfected cells.

In the same study, HABP4 over-expression led to down-regulation of negative apoptosis regulators, including MAP2K5, ADNP, ANXA4, as well as the positive regulator BCLAF1[22]. In addition, under treatment with the ER-stress inducer thapsigargin, it was found that HABP4 over-expression increased the mRNA level of HSP90B1, an endoplasmic reticulum chaperone that protects against apoptosis[167]. In cells with SERBP1 over-expression, down-regulation of negative apoptotic regulators MAP2K5, PAK7 and FOXO1[86], was observed. The expression of some genes related to the cell cycle or cell division control, such as cyclin-dependent kinase 15 (CDK15), MAPK12[86,22], and the nuclear distribution genes NDEL1 and GORASP1[86,22], was also down-regulated by HABP4 and SERBP1 over-expression, respectively[47].

Taken together, these data suggest that HABP4 and SERBP1 have similar functions, mainly as repressors of genes involved in proliferation, cell cycle and apoptosis regulation, cellular processes that are frequently de-regulated in cancer[47]. However, the target genes can be different for both proteins and consequently the outcome. Several studies suggest important functions of the HABP4 and SERBP1 proteins in human cells that can contribute to a better understanding of the tumorigenic process[86].

HABP4 and SERBP1 are related to epigenetic modifications

Colleti C et al. HABP4 and SERBP1 function
Epigenetic modifications, such as alterations in chromatin structure, represent critical regulatory events for cellular proliferation and tumor formation. Many tissue types have altered epigenetic profiles, which contribute to cancer development\(^5\),\(^9\). Chromatin remodeling is one of the epigenetic alterations that is important for the maintenance of chromatin structure and genomic stability\(^8\). It can change the gene expression patterns and plays important roles in tumor growth, coordinating the transcription factors and protein complexes during the regulation of gene expression\(^8\). HABP4 and SERBP1 interact with the chromatin remodeling protein “Chromo-Helicase DNA-binding domain-3” (CHD-3), which regulates gene transcription\(^5\),\(^3\). Although the exact mechanisms by which the complexes HABP4- and SERBP1-CHD-3 act, are still unknown, they might influence gene expression regulation of genes related to tumorigenesis. These mechanisms require further analysis. However, the altered transcriptional regulation through pathways targeting chromatin-remodeling has already been reported in tumors\(^5\),\(^9\). The histone modification patterns are crucial for the organization and maintenance of chromatin and as a result, transcriptional regulation. These processes are fundamental in understanding epigenetic mechanisms and their involvement in cancer development, as transcriptional control is essential for appropriate cell proliferation and differentiation\(^9\),\(^9\).

HABP4 may regulate gene expression in response to stress\(^4\). Some of the histones triggered by HABP4 over-expression are related to the stress response. For example, H2AX, which is responsible for recruiting multiple proteins to chromatin, during the DNA damage/repair response\(^10\). Additionally, HABP4 and SERBP1 over-expression also influence some histone gene clusters that are preferentially associated with PML-NBs, which respond to basic physiological processes and several forms of stress\(^10\). Interestingly, PML-NBs also play a role in chromatin regulation and contain histone-modifying enzymes and transcription factors within them\(^10\).

For example, DAXX, a histone component of PML-NB that interacts with HABP4 and SERBP1, possibly coordinates chromatin dynamics by binding to histone deacetylases and chromatin remodeling proteins\(^10\). Also, HABP4 over-expression reduces histone-lysine N-methyltransferase (SETMAR) expression, which is responsible for the methylation of lysine residues in histone and heterochromatin formation\(^10\).

The histone methyltransferases (HMTs) transfer methyl groups from S-adenosyl methionine (AdoMet) to the lysine and arginine residues of target substrates, which may affect gene transcription, chromatin compaction and effector protein binding\(^10\). HMTs have been found to play fundamental roles in cell differentiation, gene regulation, DNA recombination and DNA damage repair\(^10\). The over-expression of different HMTs and their interaction with oncogenes is associated with the cancer phenotype\(^11\). Interestingly, HABP4 and SERBP1 not only interact with HMTs but are also methylated by the protein arginine methyltransferase PRMT1\(^10\). A misregulation of methyltransferases modifies the balance of transcription and leads to changes in cell destination, which in turn may result in tumor development\(^10\). Further studies are required to better understand the roles of HABP4 and SERBP1 proteins in tumorigenesis events mediated through methyltransferases such as PRMT1 and SETMAR.

**HABP4 and cancer**

Kobarg and co-workers\(^12\) showed, for the first time, the expression of HABP4 in some tumor cell lines and in activated leukocytes. The HABP4 gene was mapped in the human chromosome 9, bands 9q22.3-31, an area associated with secondary chromosomal aberrations in acute myeloid leukemia and in colon neoplasia\(^13\). Although previous experiments with HABP4 protein were performed in the Hodgkin’s lymphoma analogous cell line L540\(^13\), the relationship between HABP4 and Hodgkin’s disease is still not clear\(^7\).

However, in the colon, the 9q22.2-31.2 region, which contains the HABP4 gene, was found to be in linkage disequilibrium with SNP haplotypes found in families with a certain colon neoplasia risk\(^13\). Preliminary studies have revealed that HABP4 has characteristics also very common in several onco-proteins, such as PTMs, shuttling between the cytoplasm and nucleus, and transcriptional regulation activity\(^8\),\(^4\),\(^11\),\(^14\). Additionally, HABP4 interacted with PKC\(^7\),\(^21\),\(^11\), which is considered a tumor suppressor, and loss of function mutations were linked to breast, bladder, skin, and...
other forms of cancer[19]. However, the exact role of HABP4 in human cells and cancer remains unknown[6].

Another correlation of HABP4 protein with cancer is based on the fact that it belongs to the class of IUPs[46]. Members of the IUPs have received considerable attention lately, due to some of them being involved in the development of several pathologies[115,116]. Indeed, many proteins or domains that are functionally associated with cancer and other human diseases have long disordered regions[116-118]. Additionally, HABP4 plays a role in important regulatory mechanisms such as transcription, translation, cell-cycle checkpoints, and signal transduction, through its interacting proteins and via DNA/RNA either directly or mediated by other proteins[9]. This suggests its functional plasticity and ability to bind to various partners involved in the tumorigenic process[6,116].

The p53 is a nuclear transcription factor that regulates numerous target genes involved in important cellular processes, such as cell cycle arrest and monitoring of the G1 checkpoint, apoptosis, senescence, repair of damaged DNA, as well as metabolic regulation, playing a central role in human cancer as a tumor suppressor[119-121]. When DNA is damaged, free p53 is induced to accumulate in the cell nucleus, mediated through PTMs such as phosphorylation and acetylation[122]. Some studies have shown that the p53 gene is mutated in over 50% of human cancers. The tumor suppressor p53 has also been associated with PML-NBs[123,124], which play significant roles in genome maintenance[125]. Y2H system assays have shown that HABP4 interacts with p53 and with other p53 interacting proteins, as well as with several nuclear proteins involved in the regulation of transcription in the human Hodgkin’s disease analogous cell line L540[125]. HABP4 can negatively influence p53-dependent transcription by blocking its DNA binding. The p53/HABP4 interaction was reported to be inhibited by in vitro phosphorylation of p53[126].

**HABP4 and mRNA splicing in cancer**

Pre-mRNA splicing is a post-transcriptional process of the eukaryotic gene expression machinery and consists of the removal of introns and the junction of exons in gene transcripts, leading to mature RNAs[127,128]. Deregulation of the splicing process has been discovered to be a critical contributor to the genesis and development of different types of cancers[129,130]. Mutations were found in diverse types of cancers and are linked to alterations in splicing, regulation of specific transcripts and control of splicesomal activity[128,129].

Several HABP4 interaction partners are involved in gene expression regulation, at the transcriptional level or pre-mRNA splicing[129,130,132,133]. In this way, HABP4 is a potential candidate that could affect cellular fate and function in cancer[127]. HABP4 can also play an important role in tumorigenic events through pre-mRNA splicing alterations[128]. Following this argument, further studies are required to identify the expression of some specific subsets of mRNA that may be regulated by HABP4 in cancer, considering that altered proteins originating from alternative RNA splicing are promising candidates for the diagnosis and even targets for novel therapeutic strategies[129].

It is worth noting that two protein partners were identified for HABP4 that are involved in the regulation of pre-mRNA splicing and cancer. They are serine/arginine-rich splicing factor 9 (SRSF9), a member of the serine/arginine-rich (SR) protein family and heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoproteins (such as hnRNPQ)[128-129]. In immunoprecipitation and pull-down assays of HeLa cell extracts, both proteins were pulled down by HABP4, suggesting that these splicing proteins interact specifically with HABP4, probably forming functional complexes in vivo[128].

In addition, these proteins have some similar characteristics with HABP4. SRSF9 protein, for example, is a target of arginine methylation, which is required for its localization and trafficking to mammalian cell nuclei[128]. hnRNPQ proteins act on pre-mRNA splicing through site-specific binding (Arg-Gly-rich clusters) within the target RNA[130], and HABP4 has several RGG-box (Figure 2A) that are important for the interaction with many RNA-binding proteins that mediate splicing decisions[131-132].

Interestingly, SRSF9 has been considered a proto-oncogene by promoting cell proliferation via β-catenin (key effector of the Wnt signaling pathway). β-catenin accumulation in the cytosol and nucleus was found in colon cancer cell lines, and led to increasing colony formation in SRSF9 over-expressing NIH3T3 cells. Furthermore, when these cells were implanted in nude mice they generated tumors of increased sizes compared to control cells, that do not over-express SRSF9[129].

Moreover, elevated levels of SRSF9 expression were found in glioblastoma, colon adenocarcinoma, squamous cell lung carcinoma and malignant melanoma[129], in cancer tissue arrays, when compared to normal tissues. In addition, SRSF9 is implicated in the proliferation of a bladder cancer cell line via an unknown mechanism and SRSF9 over-expression was found in a clinical bladder cancer
The hnRNPQ splicing protein has also been reported to be a proto-oncogene. It can promote cell proliferation and translationally regulates cell cycle-related genes in SW480 colon cancer cells, by translation control. In this way, it may increase cell growth ability during tumor formation. Moreover, both its mRNA and protein levels were found to be elevated in colon tumor tissue, possibly, involving transcriptional or post-transcriptional regulation mechanisms.

The contribution of hnRNPs to the control of splicing site selection has been found for apoptotic genes. It was also reported that there was tight control of the balance between the activities of pro- and anti-apoptotic variants produced by apoptotic peptidase activating factor (APAF-1), Bcl-x, Fas and caspases. hnRNPs also have a suppressive effect on DNA damage repair. In general, studies have documented that several hnRNPs are involved in human malignancies and metastasis, being promising biomarkers of lung, head and neck, colon, breast, and pancreatic cancers and acute myeloid leukemia.

**SERBP1 and cancer**

The over-expression of SERBP1 was reported in epithelial ovarian cancer, in breast-, colon-, prostate- and lung cancer as well as in glioblastoma. In ovarian carcinoma, over-expression of SERBP1 was associated with higher tumor grades (Grade III vs Grades II and I tumors). Indeed, SERBP1 has prognostic value in ovarian cancer and other solid tumors. Although its exact mechanism of action is not well known, SERBP1 was implicated in tumorigenicity and resistance to anti-cancer drugs.

In human breast cancer, SERBP1 over-expression was classified as a new prognostic marker. A correlation between the expression of SERBP1 and nuclear P4 receptors was observed in malignant breast epithelial cells. This relation is very important, as P4 receptors are ligand-activated transcription factors, playing a crucial role in the regulation of growth, survival, and differentiation of normal and malignant breast epithelial cells. Furthermore, as pointed out above, SERBP1 interacts with PGRMC1, which is involved in mediating anti-apoptotic actions through the P4 receptor.

Interestingly, abundant SERBP1 expression in human breast cancer was associated with low PAI-1 protein levels in Western blot analysis, showing that SERBP1 can not only stabilize but can also destabilize PAI-1 mRNA, depending on the cellular context. Heaton and co-workers documented an inverse relationship between SERBP1 and PAI in rat hepatoma, reporting that high SERBP1 protein levels lead to increased degradation of PAI-1 mRNA and consecutively to low PAI-1 protein levels in rat hepatoma.

Other studies showed over-expression and high protein levels of PAI-1 in breast cancer, which was associated with poor prognosis. PAI-1 is considered a valuable factor in clinical practice. In ovarian cancer, the over-expression of PAI-1 was also detected and related to advanced tumor stages, and poor prognosis in ovarian cancer patients. Moreover, studies have reported an association between the high expression of PAI-1 in ovarian cancer and its histological grade, tumor stage, tumor recurrence and residual tumor (Table 2).

**CONCLUSION**

HABP4 and SERBP1 share high levels of amino acid sequence identity and similarity and seem to have overlapping functions in the cell, related to transcription regulation, mRNA metabolism and DNA damage and stress responses. However, they have also exclusive interacting partners and might be differentially regulated. Thus, HABP4 and SERBP1 may be required in different situations to exert unique functions specific to each paralog protein. In this review, we presented the emerging role of HABP4 and SERBP1 in the cancer field, and the need for further studies to understand more deeply the cellular functions of both proteins.
Table 2  Hyaluronic acid binding protein 4 and serpin mRNA binding protein 1 expression in different types of cancer

| Protein        | Expression/overexpression | Cancer types                        | Ref.                  |
|----------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| HABP4          | Expression                | Hodgkin’s lymphoma                  | Kobarg et al.[12]     |
| HABP4          | Expression                | b-cell lymphatic leukemia            | Kobarg et al.[12]     |
| HABP4          | Expression                | non-Hodgkin-T-cell lymphoma          | Kobarg et al.[12]     |
| HABP4          | Expression                | Bladder                              | Kobarg et al.[12]     |
| SERBP1         | Overexpression            | Ovarian                              | Koerrogen et al.[11]  |
| SERBP1         | Overexpression            | Breast                               | Serce et al.[14]      |
| SERBP1         | Overexpression            | Colon                                | Wang et al.[10]       |
| SERBP1         | Overexpression            | Prostate                             | Guo et al.[14]        |
| SERBP1         | Overexpression            | Lung                                 | Sun et al.[14]        |
| SERBP1         | Overexpression            | Glioblastoma                         | Hlavaty et al.[14]    |
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