A numerical and analytical study of two holes doped into the 2D t–J model.
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Exact diagonalization numerical results are presented for a 32-site square cluster, with two holes propagating in an antiferromagnetic background described by the t–J model. We characterize the wave function of the lowest energy bound state found in this calculation, which has $d_{x^2-y^2}$ symmetry. Analytical work is presented, based on a Lang-Firsov-type canonical transformation derived quasiparticle Hamiltonian, that accurately agrees with numerically determined values for the electron momentum distribution function and the pair correlation function. We interpret this agreement as strong support for the validity of this description of the hole quasiparticles.

The behaviour of mobile holes in an antiferromagnetic (AF) spin background has been a subject of intensive study, in part because of its possible connection to high temperature superconductivity [1]. The simplest microscopic representation of this problem is the so-called t–J model [2,3], which captures the important AF correlations of an “AF metal” [4]. At present only the one hole problem is well understood. That is, results obtained from a variety of analytical techniques agree with one another and lead to the spin-polaron concept for the t–J model charge carriers. In particular, analytical work based on the self-consistent Born approximation (SCBA) [5] is found to be in excellent agreement with unbiased, exact diagonalization (ED) numerics [6] on a large, cluster with full square symmetry.

In this report we focus on two holes in the same t–J model. This problem is important because (i) it allows for the possibility of two-particle bound states, and (ii) because it is the simplest problem that allows one to study the interactions between charge carriers in an AF background. At present minimal analytical work has been done on this problem, and only small clusters have been treated numerically. So, a complete understanding of this problem is far from at hand.

The aim of our report is twofold. Firstly, we combine analytical and numerical results of the two-hole problem to provide a comprehensive study of this important system. We show, for the first time, the excellent agreement between the results of these approaches. Secondly, we argue that the spin-polaron language provides a natural description of the physics of the system and a consistent way of interpreting of the numerical results.

Computationally we have managed, for the first time, to determine the two-hole ground state for two holes doped into the 32-site square cluster used in our previous numerical work [7]. In the zero crystal momentum subspace we obtain only one bound state, and it has $d_{x^2-y^2}$ symmetry. We have characterized the ground state by evaluating a number of important expectation values, notably the electron momentum distribution function, and the (spatial) pair correlation function.

We have found that the two-hole ground state derived from an effective quasiparticle Hamiltonian originally proposed in Ref. [8] may be used to calculate the same expectation values that were obtained numerically via ED. Further, these quantities are remarkably similar to those obtained via ED, giving strong support to the appropriateness of this quasiparticle Hamiltonian. From the analytical point of view the low-energy physics of the two-hole system is generally described as a system of moderately interacting spin polarons. This analytical work shows that the dominant effective interactions between spin polarons come from the short-range nearest-neighbour static attraction and spin-wave exchange. The purpose of this paper is to present our new ED results, and to subsequently provide support for this description of the internal structure of the quasiparticles and their interactions.

We consider the t–J model with Hamiltonian

\[ H = -t \sum_{\langle ij \rangle, \sigma} \langle \hat{c}_{i\sigma}^\dagger \hat{c}_{j\sigma} \rangle + H.c. + J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \langle S_i \cdot S_j - \frac{n_i n_j}{4} \rangle, \]

(1)

where $\langle ij \rangle$ denotes nearest neighbour sites, $\hat{c}_{i\sigma}^\dagger$, $\hat{c}_{i\sigma}$ are the constrained operators. We dope a 32-site square cluster with periodic boundary conditions with two holes away from half filling. We focus on a realistic value of the AF exchange $J/t = 0.3$, although many other ratios have been analyzed. The eigenstates with zero total crystal momentum are classified according to their symmetry under the square point group $C_{4v}$. We find that the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ state has the lowest energy, which is consistent with calculations using smaller lattices [9]. However, the binding is rather weak: for the ratio of $J/t = 0.3$ we find that $E_b/t = -0.05$. For our cluster, the binding energy becomes positive in the range $0.2 < J/t < 0.3$.

The spatial distribution of holes may be characterized
by the pair correlation function, defined as

\[ C(r) = \frac{1}{N_h N_E(r)} \sum_{i,j} ((1 - n_i)(1 - n_j) \delta_{i-j,r}), \quad (2) \]

where \( N_h \) is the number of holes, and \( N_E(r) \) is the number of equivalent sites at a distance \( r \) from any given site. Results are shown in Fig. 1.

The spatial correlation function, \( C(r) \), for two holes doped into a square lattice described by the \( t-J \) model, for \( J/t = 0.3 \). Our ED results and the analytical results (mapped back onto a 32-site square lattice) are shown. The solid lines are simply a guide to the eye.

The electron momentum distribution has also been calculated: \( \langle n_q \rangle = \langle n_{q-\alpha} \rangle = \langle c_{q\alpha}^\dagger c_{q\alpha} \rangle \), where \( c_{q\alpha}^\dagger \), \( c_{q\alpha} \) are the Fourier transform of the constrained operators, and our results are shown in Table I. This quantity has been studied in detail in smaller systems \[8\]; however, our 32-site lattice results are important for such a study because the important wave vectors along the AF Brillouin zone (ABZ) edge [from \((0, \pi)\) to \((\pi, 0)\)] are present in our cluster. Notably, in this ground state we do not find any evidence of hole pockets at the single-hole ground state momentum \((\pi/2, \pi/2)\). In fact, along the ABZ edge, \( \langle n_q \rangle \) has a maximum at \((\pi/2, \pi/2)\).

The same problem can also be approached analytically. Theoretical studies of the \( t-J \) model have resulted in a clear understanding of the nature of the low-energy excitations for the system near half filling \[3\]. The charge carrier created by a hole introduced in an AF background is described as a spin polaron, \textit{viz}. as a quasiparticle consisting of the hole and a cloud of spin excitations. The wave function of the spin polaron in an AF background can be written as

\[ |k⟩ = \hat{b}_{k}^\dagger |0⟩ = \left[a_k h_k^\dagger + \sum_q b_{q,k}^\dagger h_{q-k}^\dagger a_q^\dagger + \ldots \right] |0⟩, \quad (3) \]

in terms of the AF magnon and spinless hole creation operators, \( a_q^\dagger \) and \( h_k^\dagger \), respectively \[3\].

Quite recently a new approach to the two-hole problem in the \( t-J \) model has been developed \[9\]. It used a generalization of the canonical transformation approach of the Lang-Firsov type. An effective Hamiltonian for the spin polaron, which includes both types of hole-hole interactions, has been obtained and its general form is given by

\[ H_{eff} = \sum_k E_k \hat{h}_k^\dagger \hat{h}_k + \sum_q \omega_q \alpha_q^\dagger \alpha_q^\dagger \]

\[ + \sum_{k,k',q} V_{k,k',q} \hat{h}_{k-q}^\dagger \hat{h}_{k'-q}^\dagger \hat{h}_{k'} \hat{h}_k \]

\[ + \sum_{k,q} F_{k,q} M_{k,q} \left( \hat{h}_{k-q}^\dagger \hat{h}_k \alpha_q^\dagger + \text{H.c.} \right), \]

where \( E_k \) and \( \omega_q \) are the polaron and magnon energies, respectively; \( V_{k,k',q} \) is the “direct” polaron-polaron interaction; \( M_{k,q} \) is the “bare” hole-magnon vertex; \( F_{k,q} \) is the renormalization form factor.

The two-hole problem for this effective Hamiltonian has been considered in Ref. \[6\], and the ground state was found to be a bound state of \( d_{x^2-y^2} \) symmetry for all \( J/t > 0.2 \). In terms of the spin polaron operators, the wave function of the \( d \)-wave bound state with the total momentum \( \mathbf{P} = 0 \) can be written as

\[ |\Psi_{P=0}^d⟩ = \sum_k \Delta_k^d h_k^\dagger h_{-k}^\dagger |0⟩. \quad (5) \]

The allowed functional form of \( \Delta_k^d \) ensures the \( d \)-wave symmetry of the ground state. Furthermore, due to the fact that the ground state has broken AF symmetry, \( \Delta_k^{d+}(\pi, \pi) = -\Delta_k^d \). Consequently, this imposes that the centres of the polarons are always on different sublattices, which in turn guarantees that the total \( S^z = 0 \). In what follows we show that our comparison leads us to the conclusion that the large higher harmonics of \( \Delta_k^d \) play an important role in determining the behaviour of \( C(r) \) and \( \langle n_q \rangle \).

The binding energies of the \( d \)-wave bound state obtained numerically by ED and analytically from the canonical transformation work, for the experimentally realistic \( J/t = 0.3 \), are \( E_b^{ED}/t \simeq -0.05 \) and \( E_b^{CT}/t \simeq -0.02 \), respectively. The reasonable agreement of these energies supports the idea that the systems being studied represent the same physics.

Figure 1 shows our results for the hole-hole correlation function \( C(r) \) in the \( d \)-wave bound state. Very similar trends are found in both results: they both show that about 45% of the time the holes prefer to stay at the nearest and next-nearest neighbour distances. That our analytical work produces such behaviour is not inconsistent with our statements regarding the form of \( \Delta_k^d \).
the centres of the polarons are indeed restricted to be on opposite sublattices, but the holes are almost equally distributed on both sublattices, with the maximum probability of separation being at $\sqrt{2}$. In fact, our analysis of the harmonics in $\Delta_k^d$ shows that about 50% of the polarons in the bound state are separated by one lattice constant. Then, the peak of $C(r)$ at $r = \sqrt{2}$ arises from "strings" of length one. The reason for such behaviour is in the mentioned hole dressing due to hoppings. Put another way, the quasiparticles are something akin to a "spin bag" with the moving hole inside the bag. Thus, the spin-polaron picture provides a natural explanation for the $\sqrt{2}$-paradox found here and in earlier numerical studies [7].

Table I shows a comparison of the ED and analytical electron distribution functions $\langle n_q \rangle$. As we found with $C(r)$, both the numerical and analytical quantities show similar trends. A full explanation of the ED $\langle n_q \rangle$ behaviour based on the superposition of the effects of (i) the $d$-wave symmetry bound state and (ii) of the internal structure of the polarons will be presented elsewhere. Here we focus on the features along ABZ boundary which are not disguised by kinematic effects and can be directly connected with the form of $\Delta_k^d$. Our analytical and numerical results have a maximum at $k = (\pi/2, \pi/2)$, and have minima at $(3\pi/4, \pi/4)$ and $(\pi/4, 3\pi/4)$. The first feature may be understood as an effect arising from nothing more than the $d$-wave symmetry of the bound state. The electron momentum distribution function is reduced from its half-filled value of $1/2$ when holes occupy that momentum state. However, the bare hole number is proportional to $\langle \Delta_k^d \rangle^2$, which is identically zero at $(\pi/2, \pi/2)$. Thus, $\langle n_q \rangle$ must show a maximum at this wave vector, so one cannot find hole pockets for a $d$-wave symmetry bound state.

In future work we will report on the spin correlations that are present in this two-hole ground state. Further, generalizations of the $t-J$ to forms that are believed to better represent the doped CuO$_2$ planes have been investigated, and this work will also be discussed elsewhere. This work was supported by the RGC of Hong Kong, and the NSERC of Canada.
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| $q$       | $\langle n_q \rangle$ (ED) | $\langle n_q \rangle$ (CT) |
|-----------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| (0,0)     | 0.528                     | 0.500                     |
| ($\pi/4, \pi/4$) | 0.527                     | 0.514                     |
| ($\pi/2, \pi/2$) | 0.494                     | 0.500                     |
| (3$\pi/4, 3\pi/4$) | 0.408                     | 0.429                     |
| ($\pi, \pi$) | 0.406                     | 0.393                     |
| ($\pi/2, 0$) | 0.521                     | 0.516                     |
| ($\pi, 0$)  | 0.482                     | 0.495                     |
| ($\pi/2, \pi/2$) | 0.395                     | 0.451                     |
| (3$\pi/4, \pi/4$) | 0.466                     | 0.477                     |