THE PRESSURE METRIC ON THE MARGULIS MULTIVERSE

SOURAV GHOSH

Abstract. This paper defines the pressure metric on the Moduli space of Margulis spacetimes without cusps and shows that it is positive definite on the constant entropy sections. It also demonstrates an identity regarding the variation of the cross-ratios.
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1. Introduction

In [35] and [36] Margulis had shown that a non-abelian free group $\Gamma$ with finitely many generators $n$ can act freely and properly as affine transformations on the affine three space $A$ such that the linear part of the affine action is discrete. In such a case we call the resulting quotient manifold a Margulis spacetime.

Margulis spacetimes have been studied extensively by Abels–Margulis–Soifer [2][3], Charette–Drumm [12], Charette–Goldman–Jones [13], Choi–Goldman [14], Danciger–Guéritaud–Kassel [15][16], Drumm [17][18], Drumm–Goldman [19][20], Fried–Goldman [21], Goldman [23], Goldman–Labourie [24], Goldman–Labourie–Margulis [25], Goldman–Margulis [26], Kim [31] and Smilga [46][47][48].

In this paper we will only consider Margulis spacetimes which have no cusps, that is, the linear part of the affine action contains no parabolic elements. Here we mention that Margulis spacetimes with cusps were shown to exist by Drumm[18].

Moreover, in [21] Fried–Goldman showed that if $\Gamma$ acts on $A$ as affine transformations giving rise to a Margulis spacetime then a conjugate of the linear part of the action of $\Gamma$ is a subgroup of $SO^0(2, 1) \subset GL(R^3)$. Therefore, we can think of Margulis spacetimes as conjugacy classes $[\rho]$ of injective homomorphisms $\rho: \Gamma \rightarrow SO^0(2, 1) \rtimes R^3$.

We denote the moduli space of Margulis spacetimes with no cusps by $M$. In [25] Goldman–Labourie–Margulis showed that $M$ is an open subset of the representation variety $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, SO^0(2, 1) \rtimes R^3)/\sim$ where the conjugacy is in $SO^0(2, 1) \rtimes R^3$. Therefore $M$ is an analytic manifold. Also we know from [22] that the homomorphisms giving rise to Margulis spacetimes are Anosov.

In this paper, we will use the metric Anosov property from section 3 of [22] and the theory of thermodynamical formalism (as appeared in section 3 of [9]) developed by Bowen, Bowen–Ruelle, Parry–Pollicott, Pollicott and Ruelle and others in [6], [7], [41], [42], [43] to define the entropy and intersection. Now using the fact that a representation giving rise to a Margulis spacetime is Anosov, we go on to show that the entropy and intersection vary analytically over $M$. Moreover, we define the pressure metric on $M$ and study its properties. In particular, we prove the following theorems:

Theorem 1.0.1. Let $M_k$ be a constant entropy section of the analytic manifold $M$ with entropy $k$ and let $P$ be the pressure metric on $M$. Then $(M_k, P|_{M_k})$ is an analytic Riemannian manifold.

Theorem 1.0.2. The pressure metric $P$ has signature $(\dim(M) - 1, 0)$ over the moduli space $M$.

We call the constant entropy sections of the analytic manifold $M$ as the Margulis multiverses.

The study of pressure metric in the context of representation varieties was started by McMullen and Bridgeman–Taylor respectively in [37], [10].
McMullen formulated the Weil–Petersson metric on the Teichmüller Space as a Pressure metric. The result was generalised to the quasi-Fuchsian case by Bridgeman–Taylor in [10]. In [8] the pressure metric was further studied by Bridgeman in the context of the semisimple Lie group $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$. Recent results by Bridgeman–Canary–Labourie–Sambarino in [9] extend it in the context of any semisimple Lie group. In this paper, we will study the case where the Lie group in question is $SO^0(2,1) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^3$, a non-semisimple Lie group.

Moreover, in the process of proving theorem 1.0.1 and 1.0.2 we will also come up with a formula for the variation of the cross-ratios in section 4.3.
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2. Background

2.1. Hyperbolic geometry. Let $(\mathbb{R}^{2,1}, \langle \rangle)$ be a Minkowski spacetime. The quadratic form which corresponds to the metric $\langle \rangle$ is given by

$$Q := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.1.1)$$

We denote the group of linear transformations preserving the metric $\langle \rangle$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2,1}$ by $SO(2,1)$. Moreover, let $SO^0(2,1)$ be the connected component of $SO(2,1)$ which contains the identity element.

Now let us consider the following spaces

$$S^k := \{ v \in \mathbb{R} \mid \langle v, v \rangle = k \}$$

where $k \in \mathbb{R}$. We notice that $S^{-1}$ has two components. Let us denote the component of $S^{-1}$ which contains $(0,0,1)^t$ as $\mathbb{H}$. The space $\mathbb{H}$ as a submanifold of $(\mathbb{R}^{2,1}, \langle \rangle)$ has a constant negative curvature of $-1$ for the restriction of the metric $\langle \rangle$. It is called the hyperboloid model of hyperbolic geometry. Let us denote the unit tangent bundle of $\mathbb{H}$ by $U\mathbb{H}$. Now we consider the map

$$\Theta : SO^0(2,1) \rightarrow U\mathbb{H}$$

$$g \mapsto (g(0,0,1)^t, g(0,1,0)^t). \quad (2.1.2)$$

The group $SO^0(2,1)$ can be analytically identified with $U\mathbb{H}$ via the map $\Theta$. Let $\tilde{\phi}_t$ be the geodesic flow on $U\mathbb{H} \cong SO^0(2,1)$. We note that

$$\tilde{\phi}_t : SO^0(2,1) \rightarrow SO^0(2,1)$$

$$g \mapsto g \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cosh(t) & \sinh(t) \\ 0 & \sinh(t) & \cosh(t) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.1.3)$$
Now we define the neutral section $\nu$ as follows:

\[(2.1.4) \quad \nu : \text{SO}^0(2,1) \rightarrow S^1, \quad g \mapsto g(t,0,0), \]

The neutral section is invariant under the geodesic flow $\tilde{\phi}_t$ and moreover for all $g,h \in \text{SO}^0(2,1)$ we have

\[\nu(h.g) = h.\nu(g).\]

Let $\partial_\infty \mathbb{H}$ be the boundary at infinity of $\mathbb{H}$. As the neutral section is invariant under the geodesic flow it gives rise to an analytic map,

\[(2.1.5) \quad \nu : \partial_\infty \mathbb{H} \times \partial_\infty \mathbb{H} \setminus \Delta \rightarrow S^1.\]

For any hyperbolic element $\gamma \in \text{SO}^0(2,1)$ acting on $\mathbb{H}$ and for any $x \in \mathbb{H}$ we define

\[\gamma^\pm := \lim_{n \to \pm \infty} \gamma^n x.\]

We note that $\gamma^\pm \in \partial_\infty \mathbb{H}$ is well defined as the limit is independent of the point $x \in \mathbb{H}$. We observe that $\nu(\gamma^{-}, \gamma^{+})$ is an eigenvector of $\gamma$ with eigenvalue 1. Moreover for $a,b,c,d$ in $\partial_\infty \mathbb{H}$ with $a \neq d$ and $b \neq c$ let

\[(2.1.7) \quad b(a,b,c,d) := \frac{1}{2} (1 + \langle \nu(a,d) | \nu(b,c) \rangle).\]

Now we list a few identities satisfied by $\nu$ and $b$:

\[(2.1.8) \quad \nu(a,b) + \nu(b,a) = 0,\]

\[(2.1.9) \quad \langle \nu(a,b) | \nu(a,c) \rangle = 1,\]

\[(2.1.10) \quad b(d,b,c,a)\nu(a,b) + b(a,b,c,d)\nu(a,c) = \nu(a,d),\]

\[(2.1.11) \quad b(a,b,c,d) = b(b,a,d,c) = b(d,c,b,a),\]

\[(2.1.12) \quad b(a,b,c,d) + b(d,b,c,a) = 1,\]

\[(2.1.13) \quad b(a,w,c,d)b(w,b,c,d) = b(a,b,c,d),\]

where $a,b,c,d,w$ are pairwise distinct points in $\partial_\infty \mathbb{H}$. We notice that $b$ is the classical cross ratio.

Let $\Gamma \subset \text{SO}^0(2,1)$ be a non-abelian free group with finitely many generators acting as a Schottky group on $\mathbb{H}$. We denote the surface $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$ by $\Sigma$ and the unit tangent bundle of $\Sigma$ by $U\Sigma$. We observe that

\[\Gamma \backslash U\mathbb{H} \cong U\Sigma.\]

We note that the flow $\tilde{\phi}$ on $U\mathbb{H}$ induces a flow $\phi$ on $U\Sigma$. Let $\Lambda_\infty \Gamma$ be the limit set of the group $\Gamma$. We recall that

\[\Lambda_\infty \Gamma = \overline{\Gamma.x \backslash \Gamma.x} \subset \partial_\infty \mathbb{H},\]

where $x$ is any point in $\mathbb{H}$ and $\overline{\Gamma.x} \subset \mathbb{H} \cup \partial_\infty \mathbb{H}$ denote the closure of the space

\[\Gamma.x := \{ \gamma.x \mid \gamma \in \Gamma \} \subset \mathbb{H}.\]

We also recall that as $\Gamma$ is Schottky, the limit set $\Lambda_\infty \Gamma$ is a cantor set.
Let us denote the space of all non-wandering points of the geodesic flow \( \phi \) on \( U \Sigma \) by \( U_{\text{rec}} \Sigma \) and the lift of the space \( U_{\text{rec}} \Sigma \) in \( U \mathbb{H} \) by \( U_{\text{rec}} \mathbb{H} \). The space \( U_{\text{rec}} \Sigma \) is compact. Furthermore, we note that

\[
U_{\text{rec}} \mathbb{H} = \left\{ (x, v) \in U \mathbb{H} \mid \lim_{t \to \pm \infty} \tilde{\phi}_t^1(x, v) \in \Lambda_\infty \right\}
\]

\[
\cong (\Lambda_\infty \Gamma \times \Lambda_\infty \Gamma \setminus \Delta) \times \mathbb{R}
\]

where \( \tilde{\phi}_t(x, v) = (\tilde{\phi}_1^1(x, v), \tilde{\phi}_2^1(x, v)) \) and \( \Delta := \{(x, x) \mid x \in \Lambda_\infty \Gamma \} \).

2.2. Margulis spacetimes. Let \( \Gamma \) be a non-abelian free group with finitely many generators \( n \) and let \( A \) be the affine three space whose underlying vector space is given by \( \mathbb{R}^3 \). Now we consider an injective homomorphism \( \rho \) of \( \Gamma \) into the affine linear group \( \text{Aff}(A) \cong \text{GL}(\mathbb{R}^3) \rtimes \mathbb{R}^3 \), that is,

\[
\rho : \Gamma \longrightarrow \text{GL}(\mathbb{R}^3) \rtimes \mathbb{R}^3
\]

\[
\gamma \longmapsto \left( L_\rho(\gamma), u_\rho(\gamma) \right).
\]

We respectively call \( L_\rho \) the linear part of \( \rho \) and \( u_\rho \) the translation part of \( \rho \).

In [35] and [36], Margulis had shown that there exists \( \rho \) such that \( \rho(\Gamma) \) acts freely and properly on the affine space \( A \) with \( L_\rho(\Gamma) \) being discrete. In such a case we call the quotient manifold \( M_\rho := \rho(\Gamma) \setminus A \) a Margulis spacetime.

If \( \rho \) is an injective homomorphism of \( \Gamma \) into \( \text{GL}(\mathbb{R}^3) \rtimes \mathbb{R}^3 \) giving rise to a Margulis spacetime then using a result proved by Fried–Goldman in [21] it follows that a conjugate of \( L_\rho(\Gamma) \) is a subgroup of \( \text{SO}^0(2, 1) \). Therefore without loss of generality we can denote a Margulis spacetime by a conjugacy class of homomorphisms

\[
\rho : \Gamma \longrightarrow G := \text{SO}^0(2, 1) \rtimes \mathbb{R}^3.
\]

In this paper we will only consider Margulis spacetimes \([\rho]\) such that \( L_\rho(\Gamma) \) contains no parabolic elements.

Let \( M_\rho := \rho(\Gamma) \setminus A \) be a Margulis spacetime such that \( L_\rho(\Gamma) \) contains no parabolic elements. Then the action of \( L_\rho(\Gamma) \) on \( \mathbb{H} \) is Schottky [18]. Hence \( \Sigma_{L_\rho} := L_\rho(\Gamma) \setminus \mathbb{H} \) is a non-compact surface with no cusps.

Now let us denote the tangent bundle of \( M_\rho \) by \( T M_\rho \). We note that \( T M_\rho \) carries a Lorentzian metric \( \langle | \rangle \) as \( L_\rho(\Gamma) \subset \text{SO}^0(2, 1) \). Moreover, we consider the following subspace

\[
U M_\rho := \{(X, v) \in TM_\rho \mid \langle v \mid v \rangle_{\mathcal{X}} = 1\}.
\]

We note that

\[
U M_\rho \cong \rho(\Gamma) \setminus UA
\]

where \( UA := A \times S^1 \). Let us denote the induced flow on \( U M_\rho \) coming from the geodesic flow \( \Phi \) on \( TA \) by \( \Phi \). Note that for any real number \( t \),

\[
\Phi_t : TA \longrightarrow TA
\]

\[
(X, v) \longmapsto (X + tv, v).
\]

Now let \( U_{\text{rec}} M_\rho \) be the space of all non-wandering points of the flow \( \Phi \) on \( U M_\rho \) and also let \( U_{\text{rec}} A \) be the lift of \( U_{\text{rec}} M_\rho \) into the space \( UA \). Moreover, we denote the lift of \( U_{\text{rec}} \Sigma_{L_\rho} \) in \( U \mathbb{H} \) by \( U_{\text{rec}} \mathbb{H} \).
2.2.1 Theorem Let $\rho$ be an injective homomorphism of $\Gamma$ into $G$ which gives rise to a Margulis spacetime and let $L_\rho(\Gamma)$ contain no parabolic elements. Then there exists a positive Hölder continuous function

$$f_\rho : U^\rho_{\text{rec}} \mathbb{H} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

and a map

$$N_\rho : U^\rho_{\text{rec}} \mathbb{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$$

such that for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $g \in U^\rho_{\text{rec}} \mathbb{H}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

1. $f_\rho \circ L_\rho(\gamma) = f_\rho$,
2. $N_\rho \circ L_\rho(\gamma) = \rho(\gamma) N_\rho$,
3. $N_\rho(\tilde{\phi}_t g) = N_\rho(g) + \left( \int_0^t f_\rho(\tilde{\phi}_s(g)) ds \right) \nu(g)$.

The map $N_\rho$ is called a neutralised section. Moreover, the following result was proved in [24] by Goldman–Labourie:

2.2.2 Theorem [Goldman–Labourie] Let $\rho$ be an injective homomorphism of $\Gamma$ into $G$ which gives rise to a Margulis spacetime and let $L_\rho(\Gamma)$ contains no parabolic elements. Also let $\mathcal{N}_\rho := (N_\rho, \nu)$ where $N_\rho$ is a neutralised section. Then there exists an injective map $\hat{\mathcal{N}}_\rho$ such that the following diagram commutes,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
U^\rho_{\text{rec}} \mathbb{H} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{N}_\rho} & U \mathcal{A} \\
\pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\
U^\rho_{\text{rec}} \Sigma_{L_\rho} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{N}_\rho} & UM_\rho.
\end{array}$$

Moreover, $\hat{\mathcal{N}}_\rho$ gives an orbit equivalent Hölder homeomorphism between $U^\rho_{\text{rec}} \Sigma_{L_\rho}$ and $U^\rho_{\text{rec}} M_\rho$.

We note that, if $\rho$ is an injective homomorphism of $\Gamma$ into $G$ then $L_\rho$ is an injective homomorphism of $\Gamma$ into $\text{SO}^0(2, 1)$ and $u_\rho$ satisfies the cocycle identity, that is, for all $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$

$$u_\rho(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = L_\rho(\gamma_1)u_\rho(\gamma_2) + u_\rho(\gamma_1).$$

Let us denote the space of all injective homomorphisms from the group $\Gamma$ into a Lie group $G$ by $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)$ and the space of cocycles by $Z^1(L_\rho(\Gamma), \mathbb{R}^3)$. We denote the space of all homomorphisms $\rho$ in $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)$ such that $\rho(\Gamma)$ acts freely and properly on $\mathcal{A}$ and $L_\rho(\Gamma)$ is discrete containing no parabolic elements by $\text{Hom}_M(\Gamma, G)$. We note that any homomorphism $\rho$ in $\text{Hom}_M(\Gamma, G)$ gives rise to a Margulis spacetime

$$M_\rho := \rho(\Gamma) \setminus \mathcal{A}.$$

Let us denote the space of all $\varrho$ in $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, \text{SO}^0(2, 1))$ such that $\varrho(\Gamma)$ is Schottky by $\text{Hom}_S(\Gamma, \text{SO}^0(2, 1))$. We note that $\text{Hom}_S(\Gamma, \text{SO}^0(2, 1))$ is an analytic manifold and for any $\varrho$ in $\text{Hom}_S(\Gamma, \text{SO}^0(2, 1))$ the tangent space $T_{\varrho}\text{Hom}_S(\Gamma, \text{SO}^0(2, 1))$ of $\text{Hom}_S(\Gamma, \text{SO}^0(2, 1))$ at the point $\varrho$ can be identified with $Z^1(\varrho(\Gamma), \mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\text{Hom}_M(\Gamma, G)$ can be identified with a subset of the tangent bundle $T\text{Hom}_S(\Gamma, \text{SO}^0(2, 1))$. Moreover, the following map

$$(2.2.2) \quad L : \text{Hom}_M(\Gamma, G) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_S(\Gamma, \text{SO}^0(2, 1))$$
\[ \rho \mapsto L_\rho \]
is surjective\[18\].

**Lemma 2.2.3.** The space \( \text{Hom}_M(\Gamma, G) \) is an analytic manifold.

**Proof.** We know that the space \( \text{Hom}_S(\Gamma, SO^0(2,1)) \) is an analytic manifold. Hence the tangent bundle \( T\text{Hom}_S(\Gamma, SO^0(2,1)) \) is also an analytic manifold. Now from page number 1053 of \[25\] we get that the set of all \( \rho \) in \( \text{Hom}_M(\Gamma, G) \) with fixed linear part \( \varrho \) is an open convex cone in \( T_\varrho \text{Hom}_S(\Gamma, SO^0(2,1)) \). Therefore, we conclude that \( \text{Hom}_M(\Gamma, G) \) is an analytic manifold. \( \Box \)

Let \( \rho : \Gamma \rightarrow G \) be a homomorphism such that the action of \( L_\rho(\Gamma) \) on \( \mathbb{H} \) is Schottky. We define the *Margulis invariant* of an element \( \gamma \) in \( \Gamma \) for a given homomorphism \( \rho \) as follows: if \( \gamma = e \) then
\[ \alpha_\rho(e) := 0, \]
otherwise
\[ \alpha_\rho(\gamma) := \langle u_\rho(\gamma) \mid \nu_\rho(\gamma^{-1}, \gamma) \rangle \]
where \( u_\rho(\gamma) := u(\rho(\gamma)) \) and \( \nu_\rho(\gamma^{-1}, \gamma) := \nu((L_\rho(\gamma))^{-}, (L_\rho(\gamma))^{+}) \). We note that for any \( \gamma \) in \( \Gamma \), upto scaling \( \nu_\rho(\gamma^{-1}, \gamma) \) is the unique eigen vector of \( L_\rho(\gamma) \) with eigenvalue 1. Moreover, for any \( \gamma \) in \( \Gamma \) the element \( \rho(\gamma) \) fixes a unique affine line \( l_{\rho(\gamma)} \) in \( \mathbb{A} \) and \( l_{\rho(\gamma)} \) is parallel to the line generated by \( \nu_\rho(\gamma^{-1}, \gamma) \). Now if we consider the image of \( l_{\rho(\gamma)} \) in \( M_\rho \) then it is a closed loop and its length is \( \alpha_\rho(\gamma) \). (For more details see \[1\], \[18\], \[36\]).

In \[35\] and \[36\] Margulis had shown the following result,

**Lemma 2.2.4.** [Opposite sign lemma] If \( \rho : \Gamma \rightarrow G \) is a homomorphism giving rise to a Margulis spacetime, then
1. either \( \alpha_\rho(\gamma) > 0 \) for all \( \gamma \in \Gamma \),
2. or \( \alpha_\rho(\gamma) < 0 \) for all \( \gamma \in \Gamma \).

In \[25\], Goldman–Labourie–Margulis had generalised the previous result and proved the following:

**Theorem 2.2.5.** [Goldman–Labourie–Margulis] Let \( \rho : \Gamma \rightarrow G \) be a homomorphism with linear part \( \varrho_0 \) and translation part \( u \). Let \( \rho \) be such that the action of \( \varrho_0(\Gamma) \) on \( \mathbb{H} \) is Schottky. Also let \( C_B(\Sigma_{\varrho_0}) \) be the space of \( \varrho \)-invariant Borel probability measures on \( U\Sigma_{\varrho_0} \) and \( C_{\text{per}}(\Sigma_{\varrho_0}) \subset C_B(\Sigma_{\varrho_0}) \) be the subspace consisting of measures supported on periodic orbits. Then the following holds:
1. The map
\[ C_{\text{per}}(\Sigma_{\varrho_0}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \]
\[ \mu \mapsto \frac{\alpha_\rho(\gamma)}{\ell_{\varrho_0}(\gamma)}, \]
where \( \ell_{\varrho_0}(\gamma) \) is the length of the corresponding closed geodesic of \( \Sigma_{\varrho_0} \),
extends to a continuous map
\[ C_B(\Sigma_{\varrho_0}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \]
\[ \mu \mapsto \Upsilon_\rho(\mu). \]
2. Moreover, the representation $\rho$ acts properly on $\Lambda$ if and only if $\Upsilon_\rho(\mu) \neq 0$ for all $\mu \in C_B(\Sigma_{\varrho_0})$.

We note that the generalization of the normalized Margulis invariant as stated above was given by Labourie in [32].

Moreover, in [26] (see also [23]) Goldman–Margulis showed:

**Theorem 2.2.6.** [Goldman–Margulis] Let $\{\varrho_t\} \subset \text{Hom}_S(\Gamma, SO^0(2,1))$ be a smooth path. Also, let $\rho \in \text{Hom}_M(\Gamma, G)$ be such that whose linear part is $\varrho_0$ and translation part is $\dot{\varrho}_0$. Then for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \bigg|_{t=0} \ell_{\varrho_t}(\gamma) = \alpha_\rho(\gamma)$$

where $\ell_{\varrho_t}(\gamma)$ is the length of the closed geodesic of $\Sigma_{\varrho_t}$ corresponding to $\varrho_t(\gamma) \in \varrho_t(\Gamma)$ and $\dot{\varrho}_0 := \frac{d}{dt} \bigg|_{t=0} \varrho_t$.

---

2.3. *Gromov geodesic flow.* Let $\Gamma$ be a non-abelian free group with finitely many generators. Let us denote the *Gromov boundary* of $\Gamma$ by $\partial_\infty \Gamma$ and let

$$\partial_\infty \Gamma^{(2)} := \partial_\infty \Gamma \times \partial_\infty \Gamma \setminus \{(x,x) \mid x \in \partial_\infty \Gamma\}.$$

We note that there exists a natural action of $\Gamma$ on $\partial_\infty \Gamma$. The natural action of $\Gamma$ on $\partial_\infty \Gamma$ extends to a diagonal action of $\Gamma$ on $\partial_\infty \Gamma^{(2)}$. Now let

$$\tilde{U}_0 \Gamma := \partial_\infty \Gamma^{(2)} \times \mathbb{R}.$$ 

We note that $\mathbb{R}$ acts on $\tilde{U}_0 \Gamma$ as translation on the last component. We denote this $\mathbb{R}$ action by $\tilde{\psi}_t$, that is,

$$\tilde{\psi}_t(x,y,s) := (x,y,s+t)$$

where $x,y \in \partial_\infty \Gamma$ and $s,t \in \mathbb{R}$. Now using Gromov’s results from [27] we get that there exists a proper cocompact action of $\Gamma$ on $\tilde{U}_0 \Gamma$ which commutes with the the flow $\{\psi_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ and the restriction of this action on $\partial_\infty \Gamma^{(2)}$ is the diagonal action. Moreover, from [27] we also get that there exists a metric on $\tilde{U}_0 \Gamma$ well defined up to H"older equivalence such that the following holds:

1. the $\Gamma$ action is isometric,
2. every orbit of the flow $\{\psi_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ gives a quasi-isometric embedding,
3. the flow $\tilde{\psi}_t$ acts by Lipschitz homeomorphisms.

The flow $\tilde{\psi}_t$ on $\tilde{U}_0 \Gamma$ gives rise to a flow $\psi_t$ on the quotient

$$U_0 \Gamma := \Gamma \setminus \left(\partial_\infty \Gamma^{(2)} \times \mathbb{R}\right).$$

We call it the *Gromov geodesic flow.* We denote the projection onto the first coordinate of $U_0 \Gamma$ by $\pi_1$ and the projection onto the second coordinate of $U_0 \Gamma$ by $\pi_2$. More details about this construction can be found in Champetier [11] and Mineyev [40].
3. Anosov representations

In this section we will define the notion of an Anosov representation in the context of the non-semisimple Lie group $G := \text{SO}^0(2, 1) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^3$. The notion of an Anosov representation of a discrete group in a transformation group $G$ was first introduced by Labourie in [33]. Later, Guichard–Wienhard studied Anosov representations into semisimple Lie groups in more details in [28]. Recently in [9] Bridgeman–Canary–Labourie–Sambarino introduced the geodesic flow of an Anosov representation and the thermodynamical formalism in this picture, again in the context of $G$ being a semisimple group. In [22], I had studied special cases and new examples of Anosov representations when $G$ is non-semisimple. The definition given here is equivalent to the definition appearing in [22].

Let us denote the space of all affine null planes by $X$ where an affine null plane is an affine plane parallel to any tangent plane of the light cone. We observe that the action of $G$ on the space $X$ is transitive. Hence for all $P \in X$ we have

$$X \cong G/\text{Stab}_G(P).$$

Definition 3.0.1. [Pseudo-parabolic group] For any $P \in X$ we call $\text{Stab}_G(P)$ a pseudo-parabolic subgroup of $G$.

Let us denote the vector space underlying a null plane $P$ by $V(P)$. We recall the following proposition from subsection 4.1 of [22].

Proposition 3.0.2. The unique open $G$ orbit for the diagonal action of $G$ on the space $X \times X$ is,

$$\mathcal{N} := \{(P_1, P_2) \mid P_1, P_2 \in X, V(P_1) \neq V(P_2)\}.$$ 

Let $\mathcal{N}$ be the space of oriented space like affine lines. We think of $\mathcal{N}$ as the space $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{A}}/\sim$ where $(X, v) \sim (X_1, v_1)$ if and only if $(X_1, v_1) = \Phi_t(X, v)$ for some $t \in \mathbb{R}$. We denote the equivalence class of $(X, v)$ by $[(X, v)]$. We recall from subsection 4.1 of [22] that

$$\mathcal{N} \cong \mathcal{N}.$$ 

Let $P_{X, w_1, w_2}$ be the plane passing through a point $X$ with the underlying vector space generated by the vectors $w_1$ and $w_2$. Now let us denote the vectors $(1, 0, 0)^t, (0, -1, 1)^t$ and $(0, 1, 1)^t$ respectively by $v_0$, $v_0^-$ and $v_0^+$. We consider the following two subgroups of $G$

$$(3.0.1) \quad P^\pm := \text{Stab}_G\left(P_{O, v_0, v_0^\pm}\right)$$

and let $L = P^+ \cap P^-$. We note that $L = \text{Stab}_G([P^+], [P^-])$ for the diagonal action of $G$ on $G/P^+ \times G/P^-$. Moreover, using proposition 3.0.2 we get that the $G$ orbit of the point $([P^+], [P^-]) \in G/P^+ \times G/P^-$ is the unique open $G$ orbit in $G/P^+ \times G/P^-$. We denote the $G$ orbit of the point $([P^+], [P^-]) \in G/P^+ \times G/P^-$ by $G([P^+], [P^-])$. If we consider the diagonal action of the group $G$ on the space $G([P^+], [P^-])$ then the action is transitive and as $L$ is the stabilizer of the point $([P^+], [P^-])$ we can identify

$$G/L \cong G([P^+], [P^-]).$$
Moreover, the pair $G/P^\pm$ gives a continuous set of foliations on the space $G/L$ whose tangential distributions $E^\pm$ satisfy

$$T(G/L) = E^+ \oplus E^-.$$  

We denote the Lie algebras associated to the Lie groups $G, P^\pm$ and $L$ respectively by $g, p^\pm$ and $l$. We notice that as

$$\dim(p^+) = \dim(p^-) = 4$$  

and

$$\dim(l) = 2$$

we have

$$(3.0.2) \quad g = p^+ + p^- \quad \text{and} \quad l = p^+ \cap p^-.$$

If we complexify, we obtain the Lie algebras $p^\pm_C$ and $l_C$, so that the same equation $3.0.2$ is satisfied, that is,

$$(3.0.3) \quad g_C = p^+_C + p^-_C \quad \text{and} \quad l_C = p^+_C \cap p^-_C.$$

Now as $SO^0(2,1)$ is a subgroup of $GL(\mathbb{R}^3)$ we get

$$G_C = SO(3, \mathbb{C}) \ltimes \mathbb{C}^3.$$

We call a complex plane $P$ *degenerate* if and only if there exists a non-zero vector $(v_1, v_2, v_3) \in P$ such that for all $(v'_1, v'_2, v'_3) \in P$ we have

$$v_1v'_1 + v_2v'_2 + v_3v'_3 = 0.$$ 

Let us denote the space of all complex degenerate planes by $Y_C$. The group $SO(3, \mathbb{C})$ acts transitively on the space $Y_C$. Moreover, the action of the group $SO(3, \mathbb{C})$ is transitive on the following space:

$$Y_C^{(2)} := \{(P_1, P_2) \in Y_C \times Y_C \mid P_1 \neq P_2\}.$$ 

Now let $X_C$ be the space of all affine degenerate planes in $\mathbb{C}^3$. We consider the following open subspace:

$$N_C := \{(P_1, P_2) \in X_C \times X_C \mid V(P_1) \neq V(P_2)\}$$

and using the fact that $SO(3, \mathbb{C})$ acts transitively on the space $Y_C^{(2)}$, we deduce that the action of the group $G_C = SO(3, \mathbb{C}) \ltimes \mathbb{C}^3$ on the space $N_C$ is transitive. Moreover, we fix $(P_1, P_2) \in N_C$ and observe that

$$L_C \cong \text{Stab}_{G_C}(P_1, P_2)$$

where $L_C$ denote the complexification of the group $L$. Hence

$$G_C/L_C \cong N_C.$$ 

Now using equation $3.0.3$ we get that $G_C/L_C$ is foliated by two foliations. These foliations are respectively stabilized by $P^\pm_C$. Let us denote the tangential distributions corresponding to the foliations $G_C/P^\pm_C$ respectively by $E^\pm_C$. We observe that

$$T(G_C/L_C) = E^+_C \oplus E^-_C.$$ 

Now let $E$ be a vector bundle over a compact topological space. Also equip the total space of the bundle $E$ with a flow $\{\varphi_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ which are bundle automorphisms.
**Definition 3.0.3.** We say that the bundle \( E \) is **contracted** by the flow as \( t \to \infty \) if for any metric \( \| \cdot \| \) on \( E \), there exists \( t_0 > 0 \), \( A > 0 \) and \( c > 0 \) such that for all \( v \in E \) and for all \( t > t_0 \) we have
\[
\| \varphi_t(v) \| \leq Ae^{-ct}\|v\|.
\]

**Definition 3.0.4.** We say that \( \rho \) in \( \text{Hom}(\Gamma, G) \) (respectively \( \text{Hom}(\Gamma, G_C) \)) is **partial \( (G, P^\pm) \)-Anosov** (respectively partial \( (G_C, P^\pm_C) \)-Anosov) if there exist two continuous maps
\[
\xi^\pm_\rho : \partial_\infty \Gamma \to G/P^\pm \quad (\text{respectively } G_C/P^\pm_C)
\]
such that the following conditions hold:

1. for all \( \gamma \in \Gamma \) we have \( \xi^\pm_\rho \circ \gamma = \rho(\gamma) \xi^\pm_\rho \),
2. for all \( x, y \in \partial_\infty \Gamma \) if \( x \neq y \) then \( (\xi^+_\rho(x), \xi^-_\rho(y)) \in G/L \) (respectively \( G_C/L_C \)),
3. The induced bundle \( \Xi^\pm_\rho := (\xi^+_\rho \circ \pi_1)^* E^\pm \) (respectively \( (\xi^+_\rho \circ \pi_1)^* E^\pm_C \))
gets contracted by the lift of the flow \( \tilde{\psi}_t \) as \( t \to \infty \), and the induced bundle \( \Xi^-_\rho := (\xi^-_\rho \circ \pi_2)^* E^- \) (respectively \( (\xi^-_\rho \circ \pi_2)^* E^-_C \))
gets contracted by the lift of the flow \( \tilde{\psi}_t \) as \( t \to -\infty \).

Moreover, if the following condition holds then we say \( \rho \) in \( \text{Hom}(\Gamma, G) \) is \( (G, P^\pm) \)-Anosov:

4. Let \( B \) over \( U_0 \Gamma \) be the quotient bundle of the bundle
\[
\{(x, y, t, z) \mid (x, y, t) \in \partial_\infty \Gamma^{(2)} \times \mathbb{R}, z \in \mathbb{R}^3 \}
\]
over \( \partial_\infty \Gamma^{(2)} \times \mathbb{R} \) under the diagonal action of \( \Gamma \). There exists a Hölder section \( \sigma \) of the bundle \( B \) over \( U_0 \Gamma \) such that
\[
\langle \nabla_\phi \sigma \mid \nu \circ (\xi^+_\rho, \xi^-_\rho) \rangle > 0
\]
where \( \nabla_\psi \sigma(x, y, t_0) := \frac{d}{dt} \big|_{t=0} \sigma(x, y, t_0 + t) \).

We call \( \xi^\pm_\rho \) the **limit maps** associated with the partial \( (G, P^\pm) \)-Anosov (respectively partial \( (G_C, P^\pm_C) \)-Anosov) representation \( \rho \).

**Proposition 3.0.5.** If \( \rho \) is in \( \text{Hom}_M(\Gamma, G) \) then \( \rho \) is \( (G, P^\pm) \)-Anosov.

**Proof.** Let \( (X, v) \in U_A \). Let \( v^\pm \) be the plane which is perpendicular to the vector \( v \) in the Lorentzian metric. We note that \( v^\pm \cap C \) is a disjoint union of two half lines where \( C \) is the upper half of \( S^0 \setminus \{0\} \). We choose \( v^\pm \in v^\perp \cap C \) such that \( (v^+, v, v^-) \) gives the same orientation as \( (v^+_0, v_0, v^-_0) \).

Let \( P_{X,v,v^\pm} \) respectively be the affine null plane passing through \( X \) such that its underlying vector space is generated by \( v \) and \( v^\pm \). We notice that \( P_{X,v,v^+} \neq P_{X,v,v^-} \). Now using proposition [3.0.2] we get that there exists \( g(X, v) \in G \) such that
\[
g(X, v)P_{O, v_0, v^+_0} = P_{X, v, v^+}
\]

and
\[
g(X, v)P_{O, v_0, v^-_0} = P_{X, v, v^-}.
\]

Moreover, if \( g_1 \in G \) such that
\[
g_1P_{O, v_0, v^+_0} = P_{X, v, v^+}
\]

and
\[
g_1P_{O, v_0, v^-_0} = P_{X, v, v^-}.
\]
then \( g_1^{-1}g(X,v) \) stabilizes the plane \( P_{O,v_0,v_0^+} \). Hence \( g_1^{-1}g(X,v) \in P^+ \). Therefore the following is a well defined map:

\[
\eta^+ : \mathcal{U} \Lambda \longrightarrow G/P^+
\]

\[
(X,v) \mapsto [g(X,v).P^+]
\]

We notice that \( \eta^+ \) is \( G \)-equivariant. Similarly, we define another \( G \)-equivariant map

\[
\eta^- : \mathcal{U} \Lambda \longrightarrow G/P^-
\]

\[
(X,v) \mapsto [g(X,v).P^-]
\]

Also for all \((X,v) \in \mathcal{U} \Lambda\) we see that \((\eta^+, \eta^-)(X,v) = ([g(X,v).P^+], [g(X,v).P^-]) = g(X,v).([P^+], [P^-])\). Hence \((\eta^+, \eta^-)(\mathcal{U} \Lambda) \subset G/L\).

Let \( \rho \in \text{Hom}_M(\Gamma, G) \). Hence \( L_{\rho} \in \text{Hom}_S(\Gamma, SO(2,1)) \). Now \( \Gamma \) being a free group we get that there exists a \( \Gamma \)-equivariant homeomorphism \( \iota_{\rho} : \partial_{\infty} \Gamma \longrightarrow \Lambda_{\infty}L_{\rho}(\Gamma) \).

We define

\[
\eta^\pm_{\rho} := \eta^\pm|_{U_{rec}^\rho \Lambda}
\]

and observe that for any \([g.P^+] \in G/P^+\) we have

\[
(\eta^+_{\rho})^{-1}([g.P^+]) = \{g.O + tL(g)v_0 + s_1L(g)v_0^+, L(g)v_0 + s_2L(g)v_0^+ \mid t, s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R} \} \cap U_{rec}^\rho \Lambda.
\]

Now using proposition 3.2.6 of [22] we notice that the maps \( \eta^\pm_{\rho} \circ \iota_{\rho} \) gives rise to a pair of \( \Gamma \)-equivariant continuous maps

\[
\zeta^\pm_{\rho} : \Lambda_{\infty}L_{\rho}(\Gamma) \longrightarrow G/P^\pm.
\]

Therefore the following map,

\[
\xi_{\rho} := \zeta^+_{\rho} \circ \iota_{\rho} : \partial_{\infty} \Gamma \longrightarrow G/P^\pm
\]

is also continuous and \( \Gamma \)-equivariant. Moreover, as \((\eta^+_{\rho}, \eta^-_{\rho})(U_{rec}^\rho \Lambda) \subset G/L\) we get that if \( x, y \in \partial_{\infty} \Gamma \) with \( x \neq y \) then \((\xi^+_{\rho}(x), \xi^-_{\rho}(y)) \in G/L\). We also observe that

\[
T_{[g,P^\pm]}G/P^\pm \cong \mathbb{R}(0, L(g)v_0^+) \oplus \mathbb{R}(L(g)v_0^+, 0).
\]

Now using proposition 3.3.1 of [22] and and Theorem 2.2.1 we conclude that \( \rho \) is \((G, P^\pm)\)-Anosov .

4. Deformation theory

4.1. Analyticity of limit maps. In this section we will show that the limit maps vary analytically over the analytic manifold \( \text{Hom}_M(\Gamma, G) \). The proofs given in this section are similar to some of the proofs given in the section 6 of [9] the only difference being that in our case the group \( G \) is not semi-simple.
Theorem 4.1.1. Let \( \{ \rho_u \}_{u \in D} \subset \text{Hom}(\Gamma, G) \) be a real analytic family of injective homomorphisms parameterized by an open disk \( D \) around 0. Also let \( \rho_0 \) be a partial \((G, P^\pm)\)-Anosov representation (where \( G \) is the non-semisimple Lie group \( SO^0(2,1) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^3 \) and \( P^\pm \) are pseudo-parabolic subgroups of \( G \) as mentioned in equation \([3.0.1]\)) with limit maps

\[
\xi^\pm_0 : \partial_\infty \Gamma \rightarrow G/P^\pm.
\]

Then there exists an open disk \( D_0 \) containing 0, \( D_0 \subset D \), a positive real number \( \mu \) and a pair of continuous maps

\[
\xi^\pm : D_0 \times \partial_\infty \Gamma \rightarrow G/P^\pm
\]
such that the following conditions hold:

1. for all \( x \in \partial_\infty \Gamma \) we have \( \xi^\pm(0,x) = \xi^\pm_0(x) \),
2. the representation \( \rho_u \) is partial \((G, P^\pm)\)-Anosov for all \( u \in D_0 \) and the corresponding limit maps denoted by

\[
\xi^\pm_u : \partial_\infty \Gamma \rightarrow G/P^\pm
\]

are \( \mu \)-Hölder continuous,
3. for all \( x \in \partial_\infty \Gamma \) the following maps are real analytic

\[
\xi^\pm_x : D_0 \rightarrow G/P^\pm
\]

\( u \mapsto \xi^\pm(u,x) \),
4. the following maps are \( \mu \)-Hölder continuous

\[
\xi^\pm_t : \partial_\infty \Gamma \rightarrow C^\mu(D_0, G/P^\pm)
\]

\( x \mapsto \xi^\pm_x \),
5. the following maps are real analytic

\[
\xi^\pm_t : D_0 \rightarrow C^\mu(\partial_\infty \Gamma, G/P^\pm)
\]

\( u \mapsto \xi^\pm_u \)

where \( C^\mu(D_0, G/P^\pm) \) is the space of all real analytic functions from \( D_0 \) to \( G/P^\pm \).

We note that Theorem \([4.1.1]\) will be proved using the following more general result.

Theorem 4.1.2. Let \( \{ \rho_u \}_{u \in D_C} \subset \text{Hom}(\Gamma, G_C) \) be a complex analytic family of injective homomorphisms parameterized by an open disk \( D_C \) around 0. Also let \( \rho_0 \) be a partial \((G_C, P^\pm_C)\)-Anosov representation (where \( G_C \cong SO(3, \mathbb{C}) \ltimes \mathbb{C}^3 \)) with limit maps

\[
\xi^\pm_0 : \partial_\infty \Gamma \rightarrow G_C/P^\pm_C.
\]

Then there exists an open disk \( D_0^C \) containing 0, \( D_0^C \subset D_C \), a positive real number \( \mu \) and a pair of continuous maps

\[
\xi^\pm : D_0^C \times \partial_\infty \Gamma \rightarrow G_C/P^\pm_C
\]
such that the following conditions hold:
1. for all \(x \in \partial_{\infty}\Gamma\) we have \(\xi^\pm(0, x) = \xi^\pm_0(x)\),
2. the representation \(\rho_u\) is partial \((G_C, P^+_C)\)-Anosov for all \(u \in D^+_0\) and the corresponding limit maps denoted by
\[
\xi^\pm_u : \partial_{\infty}\Gamma \rightarrow G_C/P^+_C
\]
\[x \mapsto \xi^\pm(u, x),\]
are \(\mu\)-Hölder continuous,
3. for all \(x \in \partial_{\infty}\Gamma\) the following maps are real analytic
\[
\xi^\pm_x : D^+_0 \rightarrow G_C/P^+_C
\]
\[u \mapsto \xi^\pm(u, x),\]
4. the following maps are \(\mu\)-Hölder continuous
\[
\xi^\pm_t : \partial_{\infty}\Gamma \rightarrow C^\omega(D^+_0, G_C/P^+_C)
\]
\[x \mapsto \xi^\pm_t(x)\]
where \(C^\omega(D^+_0, G_C/P^+_C)\) is the space of all complex analytic functions from \(D^+_0\) to \(G_C/P^+_C\).
5. the following maps are complex analytic
\[
\xi^\pm : D^+_0 \rightarrow C^\mu(\partial_{\infty}\Gamma, G_C/P^+_C)
\]
\[u \mapsto \xi^\pm(u, x)\]
where \(C^\mu(\partial_{\infty}\Gamma, G_C/P^+_C)\) is the space of all \(\mu\)-Hölder continuous maps from \(\partial_{\infty}\Gamma\) to \(G_C/P^+_C\).

**Proof.** Let \(\{\rho_u\}_{u \in D^+_0} \subset \text{Hom}(\Gamma, G_C)\) be a complex analytic family of injective homomorphisms such that \(\rho_0\) is partial \((G_C, P^+_C)\)-Anosov. Now we consider the trivial \(G_C/P^+_C\)-bundle over \(D^+_0 \times \Gamma\) as follows:
\[
\pi : \tilde{A} := D^+_0 \times \tilde{\Gamma} \times G_C/P^+_C \rightarrow D^+_0 \times \tilde{\Gamma}.\]
Furthermore, we consider the following action of \(\Gamma\) on \(\tilde{A}\)
\[
\gamma(u, x, [g]) = (u, \gamma(x), [\rho_u(\gamma)g])\]
where \(\gamma \in \Gamma\). We notice that the quotient bundle \(A := \tilde{A}/\Gamma\) is a Lipschitz transversely complex analytic \(G_C/P^+_C\)-bundle over \(D^+_0 \times \Gamma\) (see definition 6.3 and definition 6.4 of \([9]\) for a definition of transverse analyticity).

Let us denote the geodesic flow on \(\tilde{A}\) which is a lift of the geodesic flow \(\{\tilde{\psi}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}\) on \(\tilde{\Gamma}\) by \(\{\Psi_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}\). Moreover, we choose a geodesic flow \(\{\Psi_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}\) on \(\tilde{A}\) which is a lift of the geodesic flow \(\{\tilde{\psi}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}\) on \(\tilde{\Gamma}\). We note that the flow \(\{\Psi_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}\) acts trivially on the \(G_C/P^+_C\) and \(D^+_0\) components.

Now as \(\rho_0\) is partial \((G_C, P^+_C)\)-Anosov with limit maps
\[
\xi^\pm_0 : \partial_{\infty}\Gamma \rightarrow G_C/P^+_C,
\]
the following map \(\tilde{\sigma}_0\) defines a \(\Gamma\)-equivariant section of the restriction of the bundle \(\tilde{A}\) over \(\{0\} \times \tilde{\Gamma}\),
\[
\tilde{\sigma}_0 : \{0\} \times \tilde{\Gamma} \rightarrow \tilde{A}
\]
\[(0, (x, y, t)) \mapsto (0, (x, y, t), \xi^+_0(x)).\]
Therefore the section $\tilde{\sigma}_0$ gives rise to a section $\sigma_0$ of $A$ over $\{0\} \times U_0\Gamma$.

Since $\rho_0$ is partial $(G_C, P_C^+)$-Anosov, the bundle $\Xi^+_0$ over $\{0\} \times U_0\Gamma$ with fiber $T_{\sigma_0(0,x)} \pi^{-1}(0, x)$ gets contracted by the lift of the geodesic flow $\psi_t$ as $t$ goes to $\infty$. Hence there exists a real number $t_0$ such that for all $x$ in $U_0\Gamma$ we have

$$\|\left(D^{\psi_0} \psi_{t_0}\right)_{\sigma_0(0,x)}\| < 1$$

where

$$\left(D^{\psi_0} \psi_{t_0}\right)_{\sigma_0(0,x)} : T_{\sigma_0(0,x)} \pi^{-1}(0, x) \rightarrow T_{\sigma_0(0,\psi_{t_0}x)} \pi^{-1}(0, \psi_{t_0}x)$$

is the fiberwise map of the bundle automorphism induced by $\psi_{t_0}$ or in short “lift of $\psi_{t_0}$”. Now using theorem 6.5 of [9] we get that there exists an open disk $D^+_1$ containing $0$, $D^+_1 \subset D^C$, a positive real number $\mu$, and a $\mu$-Hölder transversely complex analytic section (see definition 6.3 and definition 6.4 of [9] for a definition of transverse analyticity)

$$\sigma : D^+_1 \times U_0\Gamma \rightarrow A$$

such that the following holds:

1. $\left|\sigma\right|_{\{0\} \times U_0\Gamma} = \sigma_0$,
2. $\Psi_{t_0}(\sigma(u, \cdot)) = \sigma(u, \psi_{t_0}(\cdot))$,
3. for all $x$ in $U_0\Gamma$ and $u \in D^+_1$ we have

$$\|\left(D^{\psi_0} \psi_{t_0}\right)_{\sigma(u,x)}\| < 1.$$

Now using theorem 6.5 (4) of [9] we deduce that for all real number $t$

$$\Psi_t(\sigma(u, \cdot)) = \sigma(u, \psi_t(\cdot)).$$

Therefore we get that there exists an open disk $D^C_1$ containing $0$, $D^C_1 \subset D^C$, a positive real number $\mu$, and a $\mu$-Hölder transversely complex analytic section $\sigma$ of the bundle $A$ such that

1. $\left|\sigma\right|_{\{0\} \times U_0\Gamma} = \sigma_0$,
2. for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $\Psi_t(\sigma(u, \cdot)) = \sigma(u, \psi_t(\cdot))$,
3. $\Psi_t$ is contracting along $\sigma$ as $t$ goes to $\infty$.

Now we can lift the section $\sigma$ to get a section $\tilde{\sigma}$ as follows:

$$\tilde{\sigma} : D^C_1 \times \tilde{U}_0\Gamma \rightarrow \tilde{A} = D^C_1 \times \tilde{U}_0\Gamma \times G_C/P^+_C.$$

Let $\pi_3$ be the projection of $D^C_1 \times \tilde{U}_0\Gamma \times G_C/P^+_C$ onto $G_C/P^+_C$. Therefore we get a map

$$\eta := \pi_3 \circ \tilde{\sigma} : D^C_1 \times \tilde{U}_0\Gamma \rightarrow G_C/P^+_C.$$

Since $\Psi_t(\sigma(u, \cdot)) = \sigma(u, \psi_t(\cdot))$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we get that the map $\eta$ is invariant under the flow $\{\psi_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$. Hence the expression $\eta(u, (x, y, t))$ is independent of the variable $t$.

Now let $\gamma \in \Gamma$ be an element of infinite order with period $t_\gamma$ i.e.

$$\gamma(\gamma^-, \gamma^+, 0) = (\gamma^-, \gamma^+, t_\gamma).$$

We notice that as $\eta_u(\gamma^-, \gamma^+, t)$ is independent of the variable $t$, we have

$$\gamma^{-n} \eta_u(\gamma^-, \gamma^+, 0) = \eta_u(\gamma^-, \gamma^+, -nt_\gamma) = \eta_u(\gamma^-, \gamma^+, 0)$$
and hence \( \eta_u(\gamma^{-}, \gamma^{+}, 0) \) is a fixed point of \( \gamma^{-1} \). We claim that it is an attracting fixed point. Indeed, as \( \Psi_t \) is contracting as \( t \) goes to \( \infty \) and as \( \| \cdot \| \) is \( \Gamma \)-equivariant we have for all \( X \in T_{\eta_u(\gamma^{-}, \gamma^{+}, 0)}G_{\mathbb{C}}/P_{\mathbb{C}}^{+} \) that

\[
\| \gamma^{-n}X \|_{\eta_u(\gamma^{-}, \gamma^{+}, 0)} = \| X \|_{\eta_u(\gamma^{-}, \gamma^{+}, 0)} \\
= \| X \|_{\eta_u(\gamma^{-}, \gamma^{+}, nt_{\gamma})} \\
= \| X \|_{\tilde{\Psi}_{nt_{\gamma}} \eta_u(\gamma^{-}, \gamma^{+}, 0)} \leq A e^{-cnt_{\gamma}n} \| X \|_{\eta_u(\gamma^{-}, \gamma^{+}, 0)}.
\]

Hence for \( m \) large enough the operator norm \( \| \gamma^{-m} \| < 1 \) and we have that there exists a ball \( B_{d}(\eta_u(\gamma^{-}, \gamma^{+}, 0), k_{0}) \) of radius \( k_{0} \) around \( \eta_u(\gamma^{-}, \gamma^{+}, 0) \) for some metric \( d \) on \( G_{\mathbb{C}}/P_{\mathbb{C}}^{+} \) such that \( \gamma^{-m} \) is contracting on the ball. Hence \( \gamma^{-1} \) is also contracting on the ball. We call the ball \( B_{d}(\eta_u(\gamma^{-}, \gamma^{+}, 0), k_{0}) \) a \emph{basin of convergence} for the action of \( \gamma^{-1} \) around \( \eta_u(\gamma^{-}, \gamma^{+}, 0) \). Therefore in particular for any sequence \( \{p_{n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) in \( B_{d}(\eta_u(\gamma^{-}, \gamma^{+}, 0), k_{0}) \) we have that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} d(\eta_u(\gamma^{-}, \gamma^{+}, 0), \gamma^{-n}p_{n}) = 0.
\]

Moreover, for any \( \Gamma \)-invariant metric \( d \) on \( \overline{U_{0}} \Gamma \) and given any \( z \in \partial_{\infty} \Gamma \) there exists \( t_{z} \) such that

\[
\lim_{t \to -\infty} d(\tilde{\psi}_{t}(\gamma^{-}, \gamma^{+}, 0), \tilde{\psi}_{t}(\gamma^{-}, z, t_{z})) = 0.
\]

Hence

\[
0 = \lim_{n \to \infty} d(\gamma^{-}, \gamma^{+}, -nt_{\gamma}, \gamma^{-}, z, t_{z} - nt_{\gamma}) \\
= \lim_{n \to \infty} d(\gamma^{-n}(\gamma^{-}, \gamma^{+}, 0), \gamma^{-}, z, t_{z} - nt_{\gamma}) \\
= \lim_{n \to \infty} d(\gamma^{-}, \gamma^{+}, 0, \gamma^{n}(\gamma^{-}, z, t_{z} - nt_{\gamma})).
\]

Therefore if we take \( p_{n} = \eta_u(\gamma^{n}(\gamma^{-}, z, t_{z} - nt_{\gamma})) \) then the sequence is eventually in \( B_{d}(\eta_u(\gamma^{-}, \gamma^{+}, 0), k_{0}) \) and we get that

\[
0 = \lim_{n \to \infty} d(\eta_u(\gamma^{-}, \gamma^{+}, 0), \gamma^{-n} \eta_u(\gamma^{n}(\gamma^{-}, z, t_{z} - nt_{\gamma}))) \\
= \lim_{n \to \infty} d(\eta_u(\gamma^{-}, \gamma^{+}, 0), \eta_u(\gamma^{-}, z, t_{z} - nt_{\gamma})).
\]

Now as \( \eta(u, (x, y, t)) \) is independent of \( t \) we get that

\[
0 = \lim_{n \to \infty} d(\eta_u(\gamma^{-}, \gamma^{+}, 0), \eta_u(\gamma^{-}, z, 0))
\]

and hence \( \eta_u(\gamma^{-}, \gamma^{+}, 0) = \eta_u(\gamma^{-}, z, 0) \). Moreover, as the fixed points of infinite order elements are dense in \( \partial_{\infty} \Gamma \) we conclude that \( \eta(u, (x, y, t)) \) is independent of the variable \( y \). Therefore there exists a \( \Gamma \)-equivariant Hölder transversely complex analytic map

\[
\xi^{+} : D_{1}^{\mathbb{C}} \times \partial_{\infty} \Gamma \longrightarrow G_{\mathbb{C}}/P_{\mathbb{C}}^{+}
\]

such that for all \( x \in \partial_{\infty} \Gamma \) we have \( \xi^{+}(0, x) = \xi_{0}^{+}(x) \).

In a similar way we get that there exists an open disk \( D_{2}^{\mathbb{C}} \) containing \( 0 \), \( D_{2}^{\mathbb{C}} \subset D_{1}^{\mathbb{C}} \) such that there exists a \( \Gamma \)-equivariant Hölder transversely complex analytic map

\[
\xi^{-} : D_{2}^{\mathbb{C}} \times \partial_{\infty} \Gamma \longrightarrow G_{\mathbb{C}}/P_{\mathbb{C}}^{-}
\]
such that for all $x \in \partial_{\infty} \Gamma$ we have $\xi^-(0, x) = \xi_0^-(x)$.
Moreover, we recall that $\mathcal{N}_{C}$ is open in $X_{C} \times X_{C}$ and we know that
\[ G_{C}/L_{C} \cong \mathcal{N}_{C}. \]
Hence $G_{C}/L_{C}$ is an open subset of $G_{C}/P^{+}_{C} \times G_{C}/P^{-}_{C}$. Now as
\[ (\xi^{+}, \xi^{-})(\partial_{\infty} \Gamma^{(2)}) \subset G_{C}/L_{C}, \]
we get that there exists an open disk $D^{C}_{\infty}$ containing $0$, $D^{C}_{\infty} \subset D^{C}_{1} \cap D^{C}_{2}$ such that
\[ (\xi^{+}, \xi^{-})(D^{C}_{\infty} \times \partial_{\infty} \Gamma^{(2)}) \subset G_{C}/L_{C}. \]
Therefore we have proved properties (1), (2), (3) and (4). Now using lemma 6.8 of [9] we get property (5).

**Proof of Theorem 4.1.1.** Let $\{\rho_{u}\}_{u \in D} \subset \text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)$ be a real analytic family of injective homomorphisms parametrized by a real open disk $D$ such that $\rho_{0}$ is partial $(G, P^{\pm})$-Anosov. We note that if a representation $\rho$ is partial $(G, P^{\pm})$-Anosov then it is also partial $(G_{C}, P^{\pm}_{C})$-Anosov. Hence $\rho_{0}$ is partial $(G_{C}, P^{\pm}_{C})$-Anosov. Furthermore, we can choose a real open disk $D_{3}$ containing $0$, $D_{3} \subset D$ such that its complexification $D^{C}_{3}$ parametrizes a family of representations $\{\rho_{u}\}_{u \in D^{C}_{3}} \subset \text{Hom}(\Gamma, G_{C})$.

Now using theorem 4.1.2 we get that there exists an open disc $D^{C}_{00}$ containing $0$, $D^{C}_{00} \subset D^{C}_{3}$ and a $\Gamma$-equivariant Hölder transversely complex analytic map
\[ \xi^{+} : D^{C}_{00} \times \partial_{\infty} \Gamma \longrightarrow G_{C}/P^{+}_{C} \]
such that for all $x \in \partial_{\infty} \Gamma$ we have
\[ \xi^{+}(0, x) = \xi_{0}^{+}(x). \]
We claim that there exists an open disk $D_{01} \subset D_{00}$, containing $0$, such that
\[ \xi^{+}(D_{01} \times \partial_{\infty} \Gamma) \subset G/P^{+}. \]
Indeed, to begin with we notice that $\xi^{+}(\{0\} \times \partial_{\infty} \Gamma) \subset G/P^{+}$. Now using theorem 6.5 (4) of [9] we get that there exists an open disk $D^{C}_{4} \subset D^{C}_{00}$, containing $0$, and a neighborhood $B$ of $\xi^{+}(D^{C}_{4} \times \partial_{\infty} \Gamma)$ such that the limit map is unique in $B$. Let $i$ be the anti-holomorphic involution on $G_{C}/P^{+}_{C}$. As $i$ is continuous and $i \circ \xi_{0}^{+} = \xi_{0}^{+}$ we obtain that there exists an open disk $D^{C}_{5} \subset D^{C}_{00}$, containing $0$, such that
\[ i \circ \xi^{+}(D^{C}_{5} \times \partial_{\infty} \Gamma) \subset B. \]
We define
\[ D^{C}_{01} := D^{C}_{4} \cap D^{C}_{5} \]
and by local uniqueness of the limit map we notice that for all $u \in D^{C}_{01}$ the following holds:
\[ i \circ \xi_{u}^{+} = \xi_{iu}^{+}. \]
Now for all $u \in D^{C}_{01}$ satisfying $i \circ \rho_{u} = \rho_{u}$ we get that $u = iu$ and hence we conclude that
\[ i \circ \xi_{u}^{+} = \xi_{u}^{+}. \]
Similarly we can choose an open disk $D_{02} \subset D_{00}$, containing $0$, such that
\[ \xi^{+}(D_{02} \times \partial_{\infty} \Gamma) \subset G/P^{-}. \]
Now using the fact that $G/L$ is an open subset of $G/P^- \times G/P^+$ and the fact that the restrictions of complex analytic functions to real analytic submanifolds are real analytic we get that we can choose an open disk $D_0 \subset D_{01} \cap D_{02}$ containing $0$ such that the maps $\xi^+|_{D_0}$ satisfies all the properties required by Theorem 4.1.1. □

4.2. Analyticity of reparametrizations. Let $U_0\Gamma$ be the Gromov geodesic flow of the free group $\Gamma$ and let $\rho$ be an element of $\text{Hom}_M(\Gamma, G)$. Moreover, let $\Sigma_{L(\rho)} := L_\rho(\Gamma) \setminus \mathbb{H}$ and $M_\rho := \rho(\Gamma) \setminus \mathbb{A}$. Now as $\Gamma$ is a free group we have an orbit equivalent homeomorphism between $U_0\Gamma$ and $U_{\text{rec}} \Sigma_{L(\rho)}$. Also from [25] and [24] we know that there exists an orbit equivalent homeomorphism between $U_{\text{rec}} \Sigma_{L(\rho)}$ and $U_{\text{rec}} M_\rho$ such that the affine linear flow on $U_{\text{rec}} M_\rho$ coming from the geodesic flow on $U_{\text{rec}} \Sigma_{L(\rho)}$ is a Hölder reparametrization of the Gromov flow. Hence for any $\rho \in \text{Hom}_M(\Gamma, G)$ we get a positive Hölder continuous map

$$f_\rho : U_0\Gamma \to \mathbb{R}$$

which gives the reparametrization. We recall that positivity follows from lemma 3 of [24]. We further note that for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ we have

$$\int_\gamma f_\rho = \alpha(\rho)(\gamma).$$

Proposition 4.2.1. Let $\{\rho_u\}_{u \in \mathcal{D}} \subset \text{Hom}_M(\Gamma, G)$ be a real analytic family of injective homomorphisms parametrized by an open disk $\mathcal{D}$ around $0$. Then there exists an open disk $D_1$ around $0$, $D_1 \subset \mathcal{D}$ and a real analytic family

$$\{f_u : U_0\Gamma \to \mathbb{R}\}_{u \in \mathcal{D}_1}$$

of positive Hölder continuous functions such that the function $f_u$ is Lišivs cohomologous to the function $f_{\rho_u}$ for all $u \in \mathcal{D}_1$.

Proof. We start by constructing the following line bundle:

$$(4.2.1) \quad \mathcal{B} := \{(X,v), P_{X,v,v^+}, P_{X,v,v^-} \mid (X,v) \in U_\mathbb{A}\}$$

over $G/L$. Now using proposition 3.0.3 and theorem 4.1.1 we get that there exists a sub-disk $D_0 \subset \mathcal{D}$, containing $0$, and $\mu$-Hölder transversely real analytic maps,

$$(4.2.2) \quad (\xi^+, \xi^-) : D_0 \times \partial_\infty \Gamma^{(2)} \to G/L.$$

Let us consider the the projection map,

$$(4.2.3) \quad \pi : D_0 \times U_0\Gamma \to D_0 \times \partial_\infty \Gamma^{(2)}$$

$$\quad (u, (x,y,t)) \mapsto (u,(x,y))$$

and note that the map $(\xi^+, \xi^-) \circ \pi$ is $\mu$-Hölder transversely real analytic. We take the pullback of this map to define a $\mu$-Hölder transversely real analytic...
bundle $\tilde{\mathcal{B}} := ((\xi^+, \xi^-) \circ \pi)^* \mathcal{B}$ over $\mathcal{D}_0 \times \tilde{U}_0 \Gamma$. The free group $\Gamma$ acts on this bundle as follows:

$$
\gamma \cdot \left( (u, (x, y, t), ((X, v), \xi^+_u(x, y, t), \xi^-_u(x, y, t)) \right) := \left( (u, \gamma \cdot (x, y, t), ((\rho_u(\gamma)X, L_{\rho_u(\gamma)}v), \xi^+_u(\gamma(x, y, t)), \xi^-_u(\gamma(x, y, t))) \right)
$$

We observe that the action of $\Gamma$ gives rise to a quotient bundle $\Gamma \backslash \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ over $\mathcal{D}_0 \times \tilde{U}_0 \Gamma$. Let $\sigma$ be a $\mu$-Hölder transversely real analytic section of this bundle and let $\tilde{\sigma}$ be its lift onto $\mathcal{D}_0 \times \tilde{U}_0 \Gamma$. Let $\{\tilde{\psi}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ be the flow on $\mathcal{D}_0 \times \tilde{U}_0 \Gamma$ such that $\tilde{\psi}_t((u, (x, y, t_0)) := ((u, (x, y, t + t_0))$. Also let $\pi_1$, $\pi_2$ denote the map which sends $((X, v), P_{X,v,v^+}, P_{X,v,v^-})$ to $X$ and $v$ respectively. We observe that for all real number $t$

$$(4.2.4) \quad \pi_1 \tilde{\psi}_t^* \tilde{\sigma}(u, (x, y, t_0)) = \pi_1 \tilde{\sigma}(u, (x, y, t_0))$$

$$+ k_t((u, (x, y, t_0)) = \pi_2 \tilde{\sigma}(u, (x, y, t_0))$$

where $k_t : \mathcal{D}_0 \times \tilde{U}_0 \Gamma \to \mathbb{R}$ is a $\mu$-Hölder transversely real analytic function and for all real number $t$

$$(4.2.5) \quad \pi_2 \tilde{\psi}_t^* \tilde{\sigma}(u, (x, y, t_0)) = \pi_2 \tilde{\sigma}(u, (x, y, t_0))$$

Let $t_\gamma$ be the period of the geodesic $\{\gamma^-, \gamma^+, t \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ fixed by $\gamma$ in $\Gamma$. We further notice that

$$L_{\rho_u(\gamma)} \pi_2 \tilde{\sigma}(u, (\gamma^-, \gamma^+, t_0)) = \pi_2 \tilde{\sigma}(u, (\gamma^-, \gamma^+, t_0)) + \pi_2 \tilde{\sigma}(u, (\gamma^-, \gamma^+, t_0 + t_\gamma))$$

$$= \pi_2 \tilde{\sigma}(u, (\gamma^-, \gamma^+, t_0)) + \pi_2 \tilde{\sigma}(u, (\gamma^-, \gamma^+, t_0)).$$

We also recall that $\pi_2 \tilde{\sigma}(0, (\gamma^-, \gamma^+, t_0)) = \nu_{\rho_u} (\gamma^-, \gamma^+)$. Therefore we deduce that

$$(4.2.6) \quad \pi_2 \tilde{\sigma}(u, (\gamma^-, \gamma^+, t_0)) = \nu_{\rho_u} (\gamma^-, \gamma^+).$$

Furthermore, for all real number $t_0$ and $t$ we have,

$$k_{t+t_\gamma}(u, (\gamma^-, \gamma^+, t_0)) = (k_t((u, (x, y, t_0)) + \alpha_{\rho_u(\gamma)}) \pi_2 \tilde{\sigma}(u, (\gamma^-, \gamma^+, t_0)).$$

Therefore we get that for all real number $t_0$

$$(4.2.7) \quad k_{t+t_\gamma}(u, (\gamma^-, \gamma^+, t_0)) = k_t((u, (x, y, t_0)) + \alpha_{\rho_u(\gamma)}.$$

We also note that for all real number $t$ and $t'$ we have

$$k_{t+t'}(u, (x, y, t_0)) = k_t(u, (x, y, t)) + k_{t'}(u, (x, y, t_0 + t'))$$

and using equation $[4.2.5]$ we get that

$$(4.2.8) \quad k_{t+t'}(u, (x, y, t_0)) = k_t(u, (x, y, t_0 + t')) + k_{t'}(u, (x, y, t_0)).$$

Now we fix some real number $r > 0$ and define

$$R_t(u, (x, y, t_0)) := \log \left( \frac{\int_t^{r+t} \exp(k_s(u, (x, y, t_0)))ds}{\int_0^t \exp(k_s(u, (x, y, t_0)))ds} \right).$$
Using equation 4.2.7 we get that
\begin{equation}
\mathcal{R}_{t+t'}(u, (\gamma^-, \gamma^+, t_0)) = \mathcal{R}_t(u, (\gamma^-, \gamma^+, t_0)) + \alpha_{p_u}(\gamma).
\end{equation}
Moreover, using equation 4.2.8 we get that
\begin{equation}
\mathcal{R}_{t+t'}(u, (x, y, t_0)) = \mathcal{R}_t(u, (x, y, t_0 + t')) + \mathcal{R}_{t'}(u, (x, y, t_0)).
\end{equation}
Finally we define
\begin{equation}
f_u(x, y, t_0) := \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \bigg|_{t=0} \mathcal{R}_t(u, (x, y, t_0)).
\end{equation}
We notice that
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \bigg|_{t=0} \mathcal{R}_t(u, (x, y, t_0)) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \bigg|_{t=0} \log \left( \int_0^{r+t} \exp(k_s(u, (x, y, t_0))) ds \right) \\
&= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \bigg|_{t=0} \log \left( \int_0^{r+t} \exp(k_s(u, (x, y, t_0))) ds \right) \\
&= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \bigg|_{t=0} \int_0^t \frac{\exp(k_s(u, (x, y, t_0))) - \exp(k_s(u, (x, y, t_0))) ds}{\int_k^0 \exp(k_s(u, (x, y, t_0))) ds} \\
&= \frac{\exp(k_t(u, (x, y, t_0))) - \exp(k_0(u, (x, y, t_0)))}{\int_0^t \exp(k_s(u, (x, y, t_0))) ds}.
\end{align*}
Therefore $f_u(x, y, t_0)$ is also $\mu$-Hölder transeversely real analytic. Moreover, using equation 4.2.10 one gets
\begin{equation}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \bigg|_{t=0} \mathcal{R}_t(u, (x, y, t_0)) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \bigg|_{t=0} \mathcal{R}_t(u, (x, y, 0)).
\end{equation}
Hence we have
\begin{equation}
\int_0^{t} f_u(\gamma^-, \gamma^+, s) ds = \int_0^{t} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \bigg|_{t=s} \mathcal{R}_t(u, (\gamma^-, \gamma^+, 0)) ds \\
= \mathcal{R}_{t-u}(u, (\gamma^-, \gamma^+, 0)) - \mathcal{R}_0(u, (\gamma^-, \gamma^+, 0)) \\
= \alpha_{p_u}(\gamma).
\end{equation}
Therefore if $u \in \mathcal{D}_0$ then
\begin{equation}
\int_0^{\gamma} f_u = \int_0^{\gamma} \mathcal{R}_{t-u}(u, (\gamma^-, \gamma^+, 0)) ds \
\end{equation}
for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Now using theorem 3.3 of [9] (originally proved by Li"{v}sic in [24]) we deduce that $f_u$ is Li"{v}sic cohomologous to the positive Hölder function $\mathcal{R}_{t-u}$ for all $u \in \mathcal{D}_0$. Therefore for any flow invariant measure $m$ on $U_0\Gamma$ we have
\begin{equation}
\int f_u dm = \int \mathcal{R}_{t-u} dm > 0.
\end{equation}
Now using lemma A.1 and lemma A.2 of [24] and transverse analyticity of $f_u$ we derive that there exists a neighborhood $\mathcal{D}_1 \subset \mathcal{D}_0$ and there exists a real number $T > 0$ such that for all $u \in \mathcal{D}_1$
\begin{equation}
f_u^T(x, y, t_0) := \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f_u(x, y, t_0 + s) ds > 0.
\end{equation}
Now we finish our proof by considering the collection
\begin{equation}
\{f_u := f_u^T | u \in \mathcal{D}_1\}.
\end{equation}
4.3. Deformation of the cross ratio. In this section we obtain a formula for the variation of the cross ratio which is similar in taste to the theorem 2.2.6. We start by stating an alternative version of the proposition 10.4 from [9].

**Proposition 4.3.1.** [Bridgeman, Canary, Labourie, Sambarino] Let $\varrho$ be an element of $\text{Hom}_S(\Gamma, \text{SO}^0(2,1))$. Then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} (\ell_{\varrho}(\gamma^m \eta^n) - \ell_{\varrho}(\gamma^n) - \ell_{\varrho}(\eta^n)) = \log b_{\varrho}(\eta^- , \gamma^- , \gamma^+, \eta^+),$$

where $\ell_{\varrho}(\gamma)$ is the length of the closed geodesic corresponding to $\varrho(\gamma)$.

**Lemma 4.3.2.** Let $\{\rho_t\}$ be a smooth path in $\text{Hom}_M(\Gamma, G)$. Then the following holds

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{d}{dt} \bigg|_{t=0} \nu_{\rho_t} \left( (\gamma^m \eta^n)^-, (\gamma^m \eta^n)^+ \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \bigg|_{t=0} \nu_{\rho_t} \left( \eta^- , \gamma^+ \right).$$

Moreover, the rate of convergence is exponential.

**Proof.** As $\{\rho_t\}$ is a path in $\text{Hom}_M(\Gamma, G)$ we can consider it as a path in $\{\rho_u\}_{u \in \mathcal{D}}$, a complex analytic family in $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, G_{\mathbb{C}})$ parametrized by a complex disk $\mathcal{D}$ around 0. Using theorem 4.1.2 we get that the limit maps $\xi^+$ and $\xi^-$ are $\mu$-Hölder transversely complex analytic. Hence

$$\{\xi^+ ((\gamma^m \eta^n)^-) \}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$$

is a sequence of complex analytic maps converging to $\xi^+(\eta^-)$ on $\mathcal{D}$. Moreover, as $(\gamma^m \eta^n)^-$ converges to $\eta^-$ at an exponential rate and the limit map $\xi^+$ is $\mu$-Hölder we get that the rate of convergence is exponential. Now as

$$\{\xi^+ ((\gamma^m \eta^n)^-) \}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$$

is a sequence of complex analytic functions on $\mathcal{D}$ converging exponentially to $\xi^+(\eta^-)$, using Cauchy’s integral formula we get that the derivative of the sequence is also converging exponentially to the derivative of $\xi^+(\eta^-)$. Now restricting the limit maps on the real part we get that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{d}{dt} \bigg|_{t=0} \xi^+_{\rho_t} ((\gamma^m \eta^n)^-) = \frac{d}{dt} \bigg|_{t=0} \xi^+_{\rho_t} (\eta^-)$$

with the convergence rate being exponential. Similarly we get that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{d}{dt} \bigg|_{t=0} \xi^-_{\rho_t} ((\gamma^m \eta^n)^+) = \frac{d}{dt} \bigg|_{t=0} \xi^-_{\rho_t} (\gamma^+)$$

where the convergence rate is exponential.

Let $\tilde{\pi}_2$ be the projection from $\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{A}}$ onto $S^1$. We note that $\tilde{\pi}_2$ gives rise to a projection map

$$\pi_2 : G/L \to S^1.$$

We conclude our proof by recalling from equation 4.2.6 that

$$\nu_{\rho} (\eta^- , \gamma^+) = \pi_2 \circ (\xi^+_{\rho}, \xi^-_{\rho}) (\eta^- , \gamma^+).$$

□
Proposition 4.3.3. Let \( \{ \rho_t \} \) be a smooth path in \( \text{Hom}_M(\Gamma, G) \). Also let \( X_{\rho_t(\gamma)} \) be any point on the unique affine line fixed by \( \rho_t(\gamma) \) where \( \gamma \) is in \( \Gamma \). Then for all coprime \( \gamma, \eta \) in \( \Gamma \) we have

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} (\alpha_{\rho_t}(\gamma^n \eta^n) - \alpha_{\rho_t}(\gamma^n) - \alpha_{\rho_t}(\eta^n)) = \langle X_{\rho_t(\gamma)} - X_{\rho_t(\eta)} \mid \nu_{\rho_t}(\eta^- +, \gamma^+) + \nu_{\rho_t}(\eta^+, \gamma^-) \rangle,
\]

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \bigg|_{t=0} \langle X_{\rho_t(\gamma)} - X_{\rho_t(\eta)} \mid \nu_{\rho_t}(\eta^-, \gamma^+) + \nu_{\rho_t}(\eta^+, \gamma^-) \rangle = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{d}{dt} \bigg|_{t=0} (\alpha_{\rho_t}(\gamma^n \eta^n) - \alpha_{\rho_t}(\gamma^n) - \alpha_{\rho_t}(\eta^n)).
\]

Proof. We begin the proof by mentioning that the first identity is a variation of an identity worked out by Charette–Drumm in page 12 of [12]. In fact I use the same method used by them to compute both the identities.

Let \( l_{\rho(\eta)} \) be the unique affine line fixed by \( \rho(\eta) \) and let \( \nu_{\rho_t}(\gamma^-, \gamma^+) \) be the plane which is perpendicular to the unit vector \( \nu_{\rho_t}(\gamma^-, \gamma^+) \) in the Lorentzian metric. We note that \( v^\perp \cap C \) is a disjoint union of two half lines where \( C \) is the upper half of \( S^0 \setminus \{0\} \). We choose \( v^\pm \in v^\perp \cap C \) such that \( (v^+, v, v^-) \) gives the same orientation as \( (v_0^+, v_0, v_0^-) \). We denote the affine plane which is parallel to the plane generated by \( v^- \) and \( \nu_{\rho_t}(\gamma^-, \gamma^+) \) and which contains \( l_{\rho(\gamma)} \) by \( l_{\rho(\gamma)} \). As \( \gamma \) and \( \eta \) are coprime we have that \( l_{\rho(\eta)} \) intersects \( l_{\rho(\gamma)} \) in a unique point \( Q_{\rho} \). Also let \( R \) be the point on \( l_{\rho(\gamma)} \) such that

\[
\langle R - Q_{\rho}, \nu_{\rho}(\gamma) \rangle = 0
\]

where \( \nu_{\rho}(\gamma) := \nu_{\rho}(\gamma^-, \gamma^+) \). We note that as \( Q_{\rho} \in l_{\rho(\eta)} \) we have

\[
Q_{\rho} - \rho(\eta)^{-n} Q_{\rho} = \alpha_{\rho}(\eta^n) \nu_{\rho}(\eta)
\]

and as \( R \in l_{\rho(\gamma)} \) we have

\[
\rho(\gamma)^n R - R = \alpha_{\rho}(\gamma^n) \nu_{\rho}(\gamma).
\]

Now we observe that

\[
\alpha_{\rho}(\gamma^n \eta^n) = \langle \rho(\gamma)^n Q_{\rho} - \rho(\eta)^{-n} Q_{\rho} \mid \nu_{\rho}(\gamma^n \eta^n) \rangle = \langle \rho(\gamma)^n Q_{\rho} - \rho(\gamma)^n R - (Q_{\rho} - R) \mid \nu_{\rho}(\gamma^n \eta^n) \rangle
\]
where Theorem 4.3.4 any point on the unique affine line fixed by \( \rho \). Therefore we get that
\[
\alpha_\rho(\gamma^n \eta^n) = (\lambda_\rho(\gamma)^n - 1) \langle Q_\rho - R | \nu_\rho(\gamma^n \eta^n) \rangle \\
+ \alpha_\rho(\gamma^n) \nu_\rho(\gamma) + \alpha_\rho(\eta^n) \nu_\rho(\eta) | \nu_\rho(\gamma^n \eta^n) \rangle.
\]
We recall that
\[
\langle \nu_\rho(\gamma) | \nu_\rho(\gamma^+ , \gamma^+) \rangle = 1 = \langle \nu_\rho(\eta) | \nu_\rho(\eta^+ , \gamma^+) \rangle.
\]
Hence we get
\[
\alpha_\rho(\gamma^n \eta^n) - \alpha_\rho(\gamma^n) - \alpha_\rho(\eta^n) \\
= (\lambda_\rho(\gamma)^n - 1) \langle Q_\rho - R | \nu_\rho(\gamma^n \eta^n) \rangle \\
+ \alpha_\rho(\gamma^n) \nu_\rho(\gamma) | \nu_\rho(\gamma^n \eta^n) - \nu_\rho(\eta^n , \gamma^n) \rangle \\
+ \alpha_\rho(\eta^n) \nu_\rho(\eta) | \nu_\rho(\gamma^n \eta^n) - \nu_\rho(\eta^n , \gamma^n) \rangle.
\]
Now using the fact that \( \nu_\rho(\gamma^n \eta^n) \) converges exponentially to \( \nu_\rho(\gamma^- , \gamma^+) \), while \( \alpha_\rho(\gamma^n) \) has polynomial growth and the fact that \( |\lambda_\rho(\gamma)| < 1 \) we obtain
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} (\alpha_\rho(\gamma^n \eta^n) - \alpha_\rho(\gamma^n) - \alpha_\rho(\eta^n)) = -\langle Q_\rho - R | \nu_\rho(\eta^- , \gamma^+) \rangle.
\]
Moreover, using lemma 4.3.2 and the fact that \( |\lambda_\rho(\gamma)| < 1 \) we deduce that
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{d}{dt} \bigg|_{t=0} (\alpha_\rho_t(\gamma^n \eta^n) - \alpha_\rho_t(\gamma^n) - \alpha_\rho_t(\eta^n)) \\
= -\frac{d}{dt} \bigg|_{t=0} \langle Q_{\rho_t} - R | \nu_{\rho_t}(\eta^- , \gamma^+) \rangle.
\]
Finally, we conclude by observing that
\[
\langle R - Q_\rho | \nu_\rho(\eta^- , \gamma^+) \rangle = \langle X_{\rho(\gamma)} - X_{\rho(\eta)} | \nu_\rho(\eta^- , \gamma^+) + \nu_\rho(\eta^+ , \gamma^-) \rangle
\]
where \( X_{\rho(\gamma)} \in l_{\rho(\gamma)} \) and \( X_{\rho(\eta)} \in l_{\rho(\eta)} \) are any two points for \( \gamma, \eta \in \Gamma \). \( \square \)

Theorem 4.3.4. Let \( \{ \theta_t \} \) be a smooth path in \( \text{Hom}_0(\Gamma, \text{SO}^0(2, 1)) \) such that \( \rho := (\theta_0, \theta) \in \text{Hom}_\text{M}(\Gamma, \text{G}) \) where \( \theta_0 := \frac{d}{dt} \bigg|_{t=0} \theta_t \). Then we have
\[
\langle X_{\rho(\gamma)} - X_{\rho(\eta)} | \nu_\rho(\eta^- , \gamma^+) + \nu_\rho(\eta^+ , \gamma^-) \rangle \\
= \frac{d}{dt} \bigg|_{t=0} \log b_{\theta_t}(\eta^- , \gamma^-, \gamma^+, \eta^+)
\]
where \( X_{\rho(\gamma)} \) is any point on the unique affine line fixed by \( \rho(\gamma) \) and \( X_{\rho(\eta)} \) is any point on the unique affine line fixed by \( \rho(\eta) \).

Proof. The result follows from using theorem 2.2.6 proposition 4.3.1 lemma 4.3.2 and proposition 4.3.3 \( \square \)
5. Properties of the pressure metric

In this section we will introduce the pressure metric and prove its properties in the context of Margulis Space Times. We note that subsection 5.1 and 5.2 closely follows [9] and have been included here to make the exposition complete. Moreover, we also mention that the study of pressure metric and thermodynamical formalism was originally developed by Bowen, Bowen–Ruelle, Parry–Pollicott, Pollicott and Ruelle in [3, 6, 7, 11, 42, 43].

5.1. The thermodynamic mapping. Let \( \rho \in \text{Hom}_M(\Gamma, G) \) and let \( h_{f_\rho} \) be the topological entropy of the reparametrized flow on \( U_0 \Gamma \) corresponding to the reparametrization \( f_\rho \). By theorem 1.0.1 of [22] we know that the geodesic flow on \( U_{rec} M_\rho \) is metric Anosov. Hence by using proposition 3.5 of [9] (originally proved by Bowen[5] and Pollicott[12]) we deduce that \( h_{f_\rho} \) is finite and positive and moreover,

\[
\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \log \left( \# \{ [\gamma] \in \mathcal{O}(\Gamma) \mid \int_{\gamma} f_\rho \leq T \} \right)
\]

where \( \mathcal{O}(\Gamma) \) is the set of closed orbits of \( U_0 \Gamma \). We also recall that for all \( \gamma \in \Gamma \)

\[
\int_{\gamma} f_\rho = \alpha_\rho(\gamma).
\]

Therefore we see that \( h_{f_\rho} \) only depends on the Li\v{s}ic cohomology class of \( f_\rho \). Hence we denote \( h_{f_\rho} \) by \( h_\rho \) and we get that

\[
(5.1.1) \quad h_\rho = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \log \left( \# \{ [\gamma] \in \mathcal{O}(\Gamma) \mid \alpha_\rho(\gamma) \leq T \} \right).
\]

Lemma 5.1.1. The following map is analytic:

\[
h : \text{Hom}_M(\Gamma, G) \to \mathbb{R}
\]

\[
\rho \mapsto h_\rho
\]

Proof. The result follows from proposition 3.12 of [9], proposition 4.2.1 and theorem 1.0.1 of [22].

We recall that the Gromov flow \( \psi \) on the compact metric space \( U_0 \Gamma \) is Hölder. Now using lemma 3.1 of [9] and proposition 4.2.1 we deduce that the pressure of the map \( -h_\rho f_\rho \) is zero with respect to the Gromov flow \( \psi \). Let us denote the space of all Li\v{s}ic cohomology classes of pressure zero functions on \( U_0 \Gamma \) by \( \mathcal{H}(U_0 \Gamma) \).

Definition 5.1.2. We define the Thermodynamic mapping as follows,

\[
\Xi : \text{Hom}(\Gamma, G) \to \mathcal{H}(U_0 \Gamma)
\]

\[
\rho \mapsto [-h_\rho f_\rho].
\]

Lemma 5.1.3. The map \( \Xi \) is analytic.

Proof. The result follows from proposition 4.2.1 and the fact that the entropy function is also analytic. \( \square \)
5.2. The pressure metric. Let \( I(f, g) \) be the intersection number of the two reparametrizations \( f \) and \( g \). As our flow is metric Anosov, using theorem 3.7 of [9] (originally proved by Bowen [5] and Pollicott [42]) and equation (7) of [9] we get that

\[
I(f_\rho_1, f_\rho_2) = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{\# R_T(\rho_1)} \sum_{[\gamma] \in R_T(\rho_1)} \frac{\alpha_{\rho_2}(\gamma)}{\alpha_{\rho_1}(\gamma)}
\]

where \( R_T(\rho_1) := \{ [\gamma] \in O(\Gamma) \mid \alpha_{\rho_1}(\gamma) \leq T \} \). And using proposition 3.12 of [9] and proposition 4.2.1 we notice that the map \( I \) is analytic. Let us define

\[
J_{\rho_1}(\rho_2) := I(\rho_1, \rho_2) h_{\rho_2} h_{\rho_1}.
\]

**Proposition 5.2.1.** The following statements are true:

1. for all \( \rho_1, \rho_2 \in \text{Hom}_M(\Gamma, G) \) we have \( J_{\rho_1}(\rho_2) \geq 1 \),
2. if \( \rho_1, \rho_2 \in \text{Hom}_M(\Gamma, G) \) and \( J_{\rho_1}(\rho_2) = 1 \) then there exists a positive real number \( c \) such that for all \( \gamma \in \Gamma \)

\[
\cos_{\rho_1}(\gamma) = \alpha_{\rho_2}(\gamma),
\]

3. if \( \{ \rho_t \}_{t \in I} \subset \text{Hom}_M(\Gamma, G) \) is a smooth path parametrized by an open interval \( I \subset \mathbb{R} \) containing 0 then

\[
\left. \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \right|_{t=0} J_{\rho_0}(\rho_t) = 0
\]

if and only if

\[
\left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} h_{\rho_t} f_{\rho_t} \simeq 0
\]

in Li̇̈vsic cohomology.

**Proof.** The result follows from propositions 3.8, 3.9 and 3.11 of [9]. We note that proposition 3.9 of [9] was originally proved by Parry–Pollicott [41] and Ruelle [43] and proposition 3.11 of [9] is a generalization of an earlier result due to Bonahon [4].

**Definition 5.2.2.** Let \( \rho \in \text{Hom}_M(\Gamma, G) \) and let \( v, w \in T_\rho \text{Hom}_M(\Gamma, G) \). We define

\[
P_\rho(v, w) := D^2 \rho J_\rho(v, w).
\]

The map \( P \) is called the pressure form on \( \text{Hom}_M(\Gamma, G) \).

**Remark 5.2.3.** We notice that by proposition 5.2.1 the pressure form \( P \) on \( \text{Hom}_M(\Gamma, G) \) is non-negative definite.

5.3. Vectors with pressure norm zero. In this subsection we will describe the zero vectors of the pressure norm.

**Proposition 5.3.1.** Let \( \{ \rho_t \} \) be a smooth path in \( \text{Hom}_M(\Gamma, G) \) with \( \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \rho_t = v \). If \( P_\rho(v, v) = 0 \) and \( \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} h_{\rho_t} = 0 \) then for all \( \gamma \) in \( \Gamma \)

\[
\left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \alpha_{\rho_t}(\gamma) = 0.
\]
Proof. We start by using proposition \[5.2.1\] and notice that \[\frac{d}{dt}\bigg|_{t=0} h_{\rho_t} f_{\rho_t}\] is Li\u0103v\u0131c cohomologous to zero. Hence for all closed orbits \[\gamma \in O(\Gamma)\] we have that
\[
\int_\gamma \frac{d}{dt}\bigg|_{t=0} h_{\rho_t} f_{\rho_t} = 0.
\]

Now we observe that
\[
0 = \int_\gamma \frac{d}{dt}\bigg|_{t=0} h_{\rho_t} f_{\rho_t} = \int_\gamma \left( \frac{d}{dt}\bigg|_{t=0} h_{\rho_t} \right) f_{\rho_t} + \int_\gamma h_{\rho_0} \left( \frac{d}{dt}\bigg|_{t=0} f_{\rho_t} \right)
\]
\[= h_{\rho_0} \int_\gamma \frac{d}{dt}\bigg|_{t=0} f_{\rho_t} = h_{\rho_0} \frac{d}{dt}\bigg|_{t=0} \int_\gamma f_{\rho_t} = h_{\rho_0} \frac{d}{dt}\bigg|_{t=0} \alpha_{\rho_t}(\gamma).
\]

We conclude by recalling that the entropy \(h_{\rho_0}\) is positive and hence our result follows. \[\square\]

Lemma 5.3.2. If for all \(\gamma \in \Gamma\) we have \(\frac{d}{dt}\bigg|_{t=0} \alpha_{\rho_t}(\gamma) = 0\) then for all \(\gamma, \eta \in \Gamma\) we have
\[
\frac{d}{dt}\bigg|_{t=0} b_{\rho_t}(\eta^+, \gamma^-, \gamma^+, \eta^-) = 0.
\]

Proof. Using proposition \[4.3.3\] we get that
\[
\frac{d}{dt}\bigg|_{t=0} \langle X_{\rho_t(\gamma)} - X_{\rho_t(\eta)} | \nu_{\rho_t}(\eta^-, \gamma^+) + \nu_{\rho_t}(\eta^+, \gamma^-) \rangle = 0
\]
and also
\[
\frac{d}{dt}\bigg|_{t=0} \langle X_{\rho_t(\gamma)} - X_{\rho_t(\eta)} | \nu_{\rho_t}(\eta^+, \gamma^+) + \nu_{\rho_t}(\eta^-, \gamma^-) \rangle = 0.
\]

Now using identities \[2.1.8\], \[2.1.10\] and \[2.1.12\] we get that
\[
b_{\rho_t}(\eta^+, \gamma^-, \gamma^+, \eta^-) (\nu_{\rho_t}(\eta^+, \gamma^+) + \nu_{\rho_t}(\eta^-, \gamma^-))
\]
\[= b_{\rho_t}(\eta^-, \gamma^-, \gamma^+, \eta^+) (\nu_{\rho_t}(\eta^-, \gamma^+) + \nu_{\rho_t}(\eta^+, \gamma^-))
\]
\[= (1 - b_{\rho_t}(\eta^+, \gamma^-, \gamma^+, \eta^-)) (\nu_{\rho_t}(\eta^-, \gamma^+) + \nu_{\rho_t}(\eta^+, \gamma^-)).
\]

Therefore we deduce that
\[
\frac{d}{dt}\bigg|_{t=0} b_{\rho_t}(\eta^+, \gamma^-, \gamma^+, \eta^-) = 0
\]
for all \(\gamma, \eta \in \Gamma\). \[\square\]

Proposition 5.3.3. Let \(\{\rho_t\}\) be a smooth path in \(\text{Hom}_G(\Gamma, G)\) with \(\frac{d}{dt}\bigg|_{t=0} \rho_t = \dot{\rho}_0\). If \(P_{\rho_t}(\dot{\rho}_0, \dot{\rho}_0) = 0\) and \(\frac{d}{dt}\bigg|_{t=0} h_{\rho_t} = 0\) then
\[
[\dot{\rho}_0] = 0
\]
in \(H^1_{\rho_0}(\Gamma, g)\) where \(g\) is the Lie algebra of the Lie group \(G\) and \(H^1_{\rho_0}(\Gamma, g)\) is the group cohomology.

Proof. Using proposition \[5.3.1\] and lemma \[5.3.2\] we get that
\[
\frac{d}{dt}\bigg|_{t=0} b_{\rho_t}(\eta^+, \gamma^-, \gamma^+, \eta^-) = 0
\]
for all \(\gamma, \eta \in \Gamma\). Now using the proof of lemma 10.8 of \[9\] we deduce that
\[
\left[\frac{d}{dt}\bigg|_{t=0} L_{\rho_t}\right] = 0
\]
in $H^1_{\rho_0}(\Gamma, \mathfrak{so}(2,1))$. Therefore without loss of generality we can take
\[ L_{\rho_t} = g_t L_{\rho_0} g_t^{-1} \]
for some smooth path $\{g_t\} \subset SO^0(2,1)$. Now again using proposition 5.3.1 we get that for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$
\[ \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \langle u_{\rho_t}(\gamma) | \nu_{\rho_t}(\gamma^- , \gamma^+) \rangle = 0. \]
We notice that $\nu_{\rho}$ only depends on $L_{\rho}$. Hence
\[ \nu_{\rho_t} = g_t \nu_{\rho_0} \]
for all $t$ and we obtain
\[ \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \langle g_t^{-1} u_{\rho_t}(\gamma) | \nu_{\rho_0}(\gamma^- , \gamma^+) \rangle = 0 \]
for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Now using theorem 1.2 of [12] we deduce that
\[ \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} (L_{\rho_t}, u_{\rho_t}) = [\dot{\rho}_0] = 0 \]
in $H^1_{\rho_0}(\Gamma, \mathfrak{so}(2,1))$. Hence it follows that
\[ \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} (L_{\rho_t}, u_{\rho_t}) = [\dot{\rho}_0] = 0 \]
in $H^1_{\rho_0}(\Gamma, \mathfrak{g})$. \qed

5.4. Margulis multiverse. Let $h_\rho$ be the topological entropy related to a representation $\rho \in \text{Hom}_M(\Gamma, G)$. We recall from equation 5.1.1 that
\begin{equation}
(5.4.1) \quad h_\rho = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \log \left( \# \{ [\gamma] \in \mathcal{O}(\Gamma) \mid \alpha_\rho(\gamma) \leq T \} \right).
\end{equation}
Moreover, we also recall that the map
\begin{equation}
(5.4.2) \quad h : \text{Hom}_M(\Gamma, G) \to \mathbb{R} \\
\rho \mapsto h_\rho
\end{equation}
is analytic. Now we define the constant entropy sections of $\text{Hom}_M(\Gamma, G)$ for any positive real number $k$ as follows:
\begin{equation}
(5.4.3) \quad \text{Hom}_M(\Gamma, G)_k := \{ \rho \in \text{Hom}_M(\Gamma, G) \mid h_\rho = k \}.
\end{equation}
We note that if $(\rho, u)$ is in $\text{Hom}_M(\Gamma, SO^0(2,1) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^3) = \text{Hom}_M(\Gamma, G)$ then so is $(\rho, cu)$ where $c$ is some positive real number.

Lemma 5.4.1. Let $(\rho, u)$ be in $\text{Hom}_M(\Gamma, SO^0(2,1) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^3)$ then for any positive real number $c$ we have
\[ h_{(\rho, cu)} = \frac{1}{c} h_{(\rho, u)}. \]
Proof. Using the definition of the Margulis invariant we have that
\[ \alpha_{(\rho, cu)}(\gamma) = (cu(\gamma) | \nu_{\rho}(\gamma)) \]
\[ = c (u(\gamma) | \nu_{\rho}(\gamma)) = c \alpha_{(\rho, u)}(\gamma). \]
where $\nu_\varrho(\gamma) := \nu_\varrho(\gamma^{-}, \gamma^{+})$. Now using equation 5.4.1 we get that

$$h_{(\varrho, cu)} = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \log \left( \# \{ \gamma \in \Gamma \mid \alpha(\varrho, cu)(\gamma) \leq T \} \right) = \frac{1}{c} h_{(\varrho, u)}.$$

\[ \square \]

**Lemma 5.4.2.** Let $\text{Hom}_M(\Gamma, G)_k$ be a constant entropy section for some real number $k$ then $\text{Hom}_M(\Gamma, G)_k$ is a codimension one analytic submanifold of $\text{Hom}_M(\Gamma, G)$.

**Proof.** We consider the analytic map $h$ and using lemma 5.4.1 notice that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \bigg|_{t=0} h \left( \varrho, \frac{1}{1 + t} u \right) = h(\varrho, u) \frac{d}{dt} \bigg|_{t=0} (1 + t) \neq 0.$$

Hence $\text{Rk}(\text{D}h_{(\varrho, u)}) = 1$. Now using the Implicit function theorem we conclude that $\text{Hom}_M(\Gamma, G)_k = h^{-1}(k)$ is an analytic submanifold of $\text{Hom}_M(\Gamma, G)$ with codimension 1.

\[ \square \]

**Remark 5.4.3.** The following map

$I_k : \text{Hom}_M(\Gamma, G)_1 \to \text{Hom}_M(\Gamma, G)_k$

$$(\varrho, u) \mapsto \left( \varrho, \frac{1}{k} u \right)$$

gives an analytic isomorphism between $\text{Hom}_M(\Gamma, G)_1$ and $\text{Hom}_M(\Gamma, G)_k$.

**Lemma 5.4.4.** The space $\text{Hom}_M(\Gamma, G)$ is analytically isomorphic to the space $\text{Hom}_M(\Gamma, G)_1 \times \mathbb{R}$.

**Proof.** We define two analytic maps as follows

$$h : \text{Hom}_M(\Gamma, G) \to \text{Hom}_M(\Gamma, G)_1 \times \mathbb{R}$$

$$\rho = (\varrho, u) \mapsto ((\varrho, h_\rho u), h_\rho)$$

and

$$h' : \text{Hom}_M(\Gamma, G)_1 \times \mathbb{R} \to \text{Hom}_M(\Gamma, G)$$

$$((\varrho, u), r) \mapsto \left( \varrho, \frac{1}{r} u \right).$$

We conclude our result by observing that $h' \circ h = \text{Id}$ and $h \circ h' = \text{Id}$.

\[ \square \]

**Definition 5.4.5.** We define the *Margulis multiverse* with entropy $k$ to be

$$\mathcal{M}_k := \text{Hom}_M(\Gamma, G)_k / _\sim$$

where $k$ is a positive real number and $\rho_1 \sim \rho_2$ if $\rho_1$ is a conjugate of $\rho_2$ by some element of the group $G = \text{SO}(2, 1) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^3$. 
5.5. **Riemannian metric on Margulis multiverse.** In this section we finally prove that the pressure metric $P$ restricted to the constant entropy sections of $\text{Hom}_M(\Gamma, G)$ is Riemannian.

**Proof of Theorem 1.0.1.** We consider the definition 5.4.5 and observe that the result follows from proposition 5.3.3 and lemma 5.4.2. □

**Proof of Theorem 1.0.2.** Let $\rho = (L_\rho, u_\rho)$ be a point in $\text{Hom}_M(\Gamma, G)$ and for $\epsilon > 0$ let

$$\{\rho_t := (L_\rho, (1 + t)u_\rho)\}_{t \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)}$$

be a smooth path in $\text{Hom}_M(\Gamma, G)$. We notice that if $f_0$ is a reparametrization coming from $\rho$ then

$$f_t := (1 + t)f_0$$

is a reparametrization which comes from $\rho_t$. We also notice that the entropy

$$h_{\rho_t} = h_{\rho} + t.$$

Therefore we get

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} h_{\rho_t} f_{\rho_t} = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} h_{\rho} f_{\rho} = 0.$$

Hence by proposition 5.2.1 we get that $P(\dot{\rho}_0, \dot{\rho}_0) = 0$ where $\dot{\rho}_0 := \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \rho_t$ and $[\rho_0] \neq 0$ in $H^1_{\rho_0}(\Gamma, g)$. Now using remark 5.2.3 we conclude that $P$ has signature $(\dim(M) - 1, 0)$ over the moduli space $M$. □

**References**

[1] ABELS, H.: "Properly discontinuous groups of affine transformations, A survey" Geometriae Dedicata 87, 309-333, (2001).

[2] ABELS, H., MARGULIS, G. A. and SOIFER, G.: “Properly discontinuous groups of affine transformations with orthogonal linear part." C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sr. I Math. 324 (3), 253-258, (1997).

[3] ABELS, H., MARGULIS, G. A. and SOIFER, G.: “On the Zariski closure of the linear part of a properly discontinuous group of affine transformations." J. Diff. Geo. 60 (2), 315-344, (2002).

[4] BONAHON, F.: “The geometry of Teichmüller space via geodesic currents," Invent. Math. 92, 139-162, (1988).

[5] BOWEN.R.: “Periodic orbits of hyperbolic flows,” Amer. J. Math. 94, 1–30 (1972).

[6] BOWEN,R.: “Symbolic dynamics for hyperbolic flows” Amer. J. Math. 95 (1973), 429-460.

[7] BOWEN, R. and RUELLE, D. : “The ergodic theory of axiom A flows" Invent. Math. 29 (1975), 181-202.

[8] BRIDGEMAN.M.: “Hausdorff dimension and the Weil-Petersson extension to quasi-fuchsian space” Geom. and Top. 14 (2010), 799-831.

[9] BRIDGEMAN, M., CANARY, R., LABOURIE, F. and SAMBARINO, A. : “The pressure metric for Anosov representations." arXiv:1301.7450v4 (2013).

[10] BRIDGEMAN, M. and TAYLOR, E. : “An extension of the Weil-Petersson metric to quasi-Fuchsian space" Math. Ann. 341 (2008), 927-943.

[11] CHAMPETIER, C. : “Petite simplification dans les groupes hyperboliques," Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. 3, 161221, (1994).

[12] CHARETTE, V. and DRUMM, T. : “Strong marked isospectrality of affine Lorentzian groups." J. Differential Geom. 66 , no. 3, 437-452, (2004).

[13] CHARETTE, V., GOLDMAN, W. M. and JONES, C. A. : “Recurrence of Geodesics in Flat Lorentz 3-Manifolds." (2001).
[14] CHOI, S. and GOLDMAN, W. M., “Topological tameness of Margulis spacetimes”, arxiv:1204.5308.
[15] DANCIGER, J, GUERITAUD, F. and KASSEL, F.: “Geometry and Topology of Complete Lorentz SpaceTimes of Constant Curvature.” (2013).
[16] DANCIGER, J, GUERITAUD, F. and KASSEL, F.: “Margulis spacetimes via the arc complex” Invent. Math. 204 (2016), p. 133-193.
[17] DRUMM, T.: “Fundamental polyhedra for Margulis space-times”, Doctoral Dissertation, University of Maryland (1990).
[18] DRUMM, T.: “Linear holonomy of Margulis space-times,” J. Differential Geom. 38, no.3, 679–690 (1993).
[19] DRUMM, T. A. and GOLDMAN, W. M.: “Crooked planes” Electronic Research Announcements of the American Mathematical Society Volume 1, Issue 1, (1995).
[20] DRUMM, T. and GOLDMAN, W. M. : “Isospectrality of flat Lorentz 3-Manifolds” J. Differential Geometry 58 457-465 (2001).
[21] FRIED, D. and GOLDMAN, W. M.: “Three-dimensional affine crystallographic groups.” Adv. in Math. 47, 1–49, (1983).
[22] GHOSH, S.: “Anosov Structure on Margulis Space Time” [arXiv:1412.8211v2 (2014)]
[23] GOLDMAN, W. M.: “The Margulis Invariant of Isometric Actions on Minkowski (2+1)-Space”. Rigidity in Dynamics and Geometry, pp 187-201, (2002).
[24] GOLDMAN, W. M. and LABOURIE, F.: “Geodesics in Margulis spacetimes.” Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems, 32, 643-651, (2012).
[25] GOLDMAN, W. M., LABOURIE, F. and MARGULIS, G.: “Proper affine actions and geodesic flows of hyperbolic surfaces.” Annals of mathematics 170.3, 1051-1083, (2009).
[26] GOLDMAN, W. M. and MARGULIS, G.: “Flat Lorentz 3-manifolds and cocompact Fuchsian groups,” Contemporary Mathematics, 262, pp 135-146, (2000).
[27] GROMOV, M.: “Hyperbolic groups,” in Essays in Group Theory, MSRI Publ. 8, 75-263, (1987).
[28] GUICHARD, O. and WIENHARD, A.: “Anosov representations: Domains of discontinuity and applications.” Inventiones Mathematicae, Volume 190, Issue 2, 357-438, (2012).
[29] HIRSCH, M. W., PUGH, C. C. and SHUB, M.: “Invariant manifolds, Lecture Notes in Mathematics” Vol. 583, (1977).
[30] HUBBARD, J.: “Teichmüller theory and applications to geometry, topology and dynamics.” Vol 1., Matrix Editions, Ithaca.
[31] KIM, I.: “Affine action and Margulis invariant”, Funct. Anal. 219 (2005), 205-225, MR 2005k: 22017 Zbl 1085.57024.
[32] LABOURIE, F.: “Fuchsian affine actions of surface groups.” J. Diff. Geo. 59 (1), 15 - 31, (2001).
[33] LABOURIE, F.: “Anosov Flows, Surface Groups and Curves in Projective Space.” Inventiones Mathematicae, Volume 165, Issue 1, 51-114, (2006).
[34] LIVSIC, A.N.: “Cohomology of dynamical systems” Math. USSR Izvestija 6 (1972).
[35] MARGULIS, G. A.: “Free completely discontinuous groups of affine transformations,” Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 272, 785-788, (1983).
[36] MARGULIS, G. A.: “Complete affine locally flat manifolds with a free fundamental group.” J. Soviet Math. 134, 129-134, (1987).
[37] McMULLEN, C.: “Thermodynamics, dimension and the Weil-Petersson metric” Invent. Math. 173 (2008), 365-425.
[38] MESS, G.: “Lorentz spacetimes of constant curvature.” Geom. Dedicata 126, 3-45, (2007).
[39] MILNOR J.: “On fundamental groups of complete affinely flat manifolds.” Adv. in Math.25, 178-187, (1977).
[40] Mineyev, I.: “Flows and joins of metric spaces,” Geom. Top. 9, 403-482, (2005).
[41] PARRY, W. and POLLICOTT, M.: “Zeta functions and the periodic orbit structure of hyperbolic dynamics” Astérisque 187-188 (1990).
[42] POLLICOTT, M.: “Symbolic dynamics for Smale flows” Am. J. of Math. 109 (1987), 183-200.

[43] RUELLE, D.: “Thermodynamic Formalism” Addison-Wesley, London (1989).

[44] SAMBARINO, A.: “Quantitative properties of convex representations,” Comm. Math. Helv., 89, 443-488 (2014).

[45] SHUB, M.: “Global Stability of Dynamical Systems” Springer-Verlag, (1987).

[46] SMILGA, I.: “Fundamental domains for properly discontinuous affine groups”, Geometriae Dedicata 171 (2014) 203-229.

[47] SMILGA, I.: “Proper affine actions on semisimple Lie algebras” arXiv:1406.5906

[48] SMILGA, I.: “Proper affine actions in non-swinging representations” arXiv:1605.03833.

[49] WOLPERT, S.: “Thurston’s Riemannian metric for Teichmüller space” J. Diff. Geom. 23 (1986), 143-174.

Department of Mathematics, Université Paris Sud, Orsay 91400, France
E-mail address: sourav.ghosh@math.u-psud.fr