Student-Led Clinics in Aotearoa New Zealand: A Scoping Review with Stakeholder Consultation
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Background: Student-led clinics have gained increasing attention as a mechanism for students across various health professions to gain authentic interprofessional clinical placement experience during their educational programme.

Purpose: This scoping review is designed to identify and describe experiences relating to student-led clinics in Aotearoa New Zealand.

Methods: The review involved five key steps: 1) identifying the research question; 2) identifying relevant studies; 3) study selection; 4) charting the data; and 5) collating, summarising and reporting the results.

Discussion: Student-led health clinics present invaluable educational opportunities for authentic collaborative practice and capacity to improve population health and well-being, especially in marginalised and disadvantaged communities. Clinic establishment and operation require consideration of a complex set of factors.

Conclusion: Community consultation (including with Indigenous populations) should precede establishment of clinics. There is scope for more reporting and objective evaluation to ensure best practice is being determined, developed, and achieved.
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Introduction

Student-led clinics (SLCs) have gained increasing attention as a mechanism for students across various health professions to gain authentic interprofessional clinical placement experience during their educational programme. Capacity for SLCs to improve the health and well-being, especially in marginalised and disadvantaged communities, is well documented. While an increasing global body of knowledge considers the nature, characteristics and outcomes achieved by SLCs, literature relating to such clinics in the Aotearoa New Zealand context has not previously been synthesised. Aotearoa New Zealand is a particularly useful context to explore these questions. It is one of the so-called CANZUS states (Canada, Australia, New Zealand and the United States), all Anglo-settler states home to politically active Indigenous minorities. Indigenous populations in all of these countries experience significant health disparity, for which colonisation has been described as the “cause of causes”. Aotearoa New Zealand is distinctive amongst CANZUS states in having an Indigenous “majority minority”, with Māori making up 16.5% of the population. It has a particularly high percentage of foreign-born people – 27%, compared for example to 14% in the United States. It is thus an obvious example of the “superdiversity” countries increasingly experience across the world, and the...
formal government commitment to biculturalism and the visibility of Māori offer a unique and interesting dimension to questions of health and policy.

The purpose of this scoping review is therefore to identify and describe experiences and outcomes relating to SLCs in Aotearoa New Zealand. Scoping reviews, as defined by Daudt et al.,

map the literature on a particular topic or research area and provide an opportunity to identify key concepts; gaps in the research; and types and sources of evidence to inform practice, policymaking, and research. (p. 48)

They are particularly suited to areas of focus that have not previously been comprehensively reviewed, and have the benefit of allowing the incorporation of very broad sources of information, methodologies and study design in gaining a comprehensive overview of a topic. Unlike a systematic review, a scoping review does not include detailed quality analysis of identified items. As some SLCs exist in Aotearoa New Zealand that have not been the focus of published study, the review was augmented by provider consultation to corroborate and validate findings.

An understanding of prior SLC experiences is an important precursor when considering incorporating of this type of clinical experience within educational programmes. The specific area of focus for this review is therefore on the practical operation of SLCs in Aotearoa New Zealand, as an example of CANZUS state. We concentrate specifically on the ways in which these clinics have been designed, implemented, and evaluated, and on gaps in the literature that warrant further research.

Methods

The methodological framework for this scoping review was informed by the work of Arksey and O’Malley with later refinements by Colquhoun et al. and Tricco et al. The review involved five key steps: 1) Identifying the research question; 2) identifying relevant studies; 3) study selection; 4) charting the data; and 5) collating, summarising and reporting the results. An optional “consultation” step of the framework was also included. To balance rigor with the efficient use of available resources, one author completed the initial literature search, while subsequent screening and review was distributed amongst authors. Each source identified in the literature was allocated to at least two authors for separate data screening and charting.

Authors subsequently used existing connections to arrange consultation interviews with key individuals in five student-led clinics across Aotearoa New Zealand. Analysis of data collected via consultation interviews was completed by one author before being circulated to other authors and to interviewees for further feedback and refinement.

Identifying the Research Question

Scoping reviews are typically less precise and broader in nature than systematic reviews. As such this review was guided by a range of questions as outlined in Table 1. The foci guiding this review were 1) general characteristics of SLCs in Aotearoa New Zealand; 2) underpinning models used in SLCs in Aotearoa New Zealand; 3) consultation with communities and with Māori; 4) lessons learned from implementing SLCs in the Aotearoa New Zealand context; and 5) evaluation of SLCs in Aotearoa New Zealand.

| Aspects                        | List of Questions                                                                 |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| General                        | What types of SLCs currently exist in Aotearoa New Zealand?                        |
|                                | What patient populations are served?                                              |
| Underpinning model             | What models of practice are utilised?                                             |
|                                | What is the scope of student contributions?                                       |
| Cultural/community considerations | How were communities consulted in clinic development, implementation, and evaluation? |
|                                | What cultural supports are available to students (and staff) during placement at a SLC? |
|                                | What cultural/Te Ao Māori practices are incorporated into SLCs?                   |
| Implementation                 | With regards to developing and implementing SLCs, what were the reported:         |
|                                | ● Benefits?                                                                      |
|                                | ● Challenges?                                                                    |
|                                | ● Lessons?                                                                       |
| Evaluation                     | How were SLCs evaluated? What outcomes were measured (eg student, academic, community)? |
|                                | What methods were used?                                                          |

Table 1 Research Questions
Locating Relevant Studies

As the start point, a search strategy was developed that followed Arksey and O’Malley’s recommendations to search several multiple sources, including electronic databases, reference lists, and hand searching of key journals or authors. The intention was to create a broad, sensitive search rather than a narrow specific search. Search terms were selected that focused on SLCs in the Aotearoa New Zealand context (see Box 1). Search terms were entered into several electronic databases including PubMed and CINAHL Complete, Health Source, SOCindex, SPORTDiscus, and Vocational Studies Complete (via EBSCOHost). Where the name of an author appeared more than once in literature about SLCs, an internet search was conducted to identify any other relevant publications. Reference lists of identified articles were also screened for additional relevant publications. A Google search was also conducted, with the first 100 hits screened. All citations were imported into the web-based reference management software Mendeley, and duplicate citations removed.

Study Selection

Study selection followed a two-stage screening process to determine the relevance of studies identified from the searches. Initially, studies were considered eligible if the title or abstract referred to SLC’s and appeared to include students from Aotearoa New Zealand, if it had an Aotearoa New Zealand-based author, or if the location was not clear. Study selection was limited to publications in English, however, no restrictions were set on date or type of publications selected.

The second stage of screening involved obtaining a full-text copy of each of the 17 publications selected in the initial screening and reviewing these to confirm: 1) the SLC involved direct contact with an end-user (eg client or patient); 2) at least one of the SLCs reported on was based in Aotearoa New Zealand and 3) the SLC had a health focus. Publications were excluded if the clinical experience involved simulations or teaching only without direct provision of care. Articles were selected independently by more than one author to monitor consistency, with no disagreements about article selection. To increase clarity of our review process, the preferred reporting for systematic reviews and meta-analysis (PRISMA) was used to provide a visual illustration of the screening and selection process (see Figure 1).

Data Extraction and Synthesis

To extract pertinent data from each included study Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) guidelines for data extraction were followed. Extracted data included: author/s, year of publication, location of the SLC, how the SLC was defined and the population served. Data abstraction tables were developed that related to each of the remaining aspects of the study research questions: 1) underpinning model; 2) community consultation; 3) implementation: opportunities, barriers, and lessons; and 4) evaluation. At least two research team members independently extracted data from each study and the tables were reviewed by all study team members. Data were analyzed for themes and frequencies by at least two members with preliminary results reviewed and negotiated by the team until consensus was achieved.

Consultation

In a scoping review of scoping reviews less than 40% of studies were found to include a consultation exercise as part of the scoping review method. Stakeholders were most often consulted in the search phase (to identify key search terms) and, more rarely, during the interpretation of findings or for providing feedback during the report writing stage. This scoping review utilised consultation with stakeholders (current education providers) for the purposes of a) identifying additional relevant literature to include in the review, b) elaborating further on details reported on each SLC, and c) gaining knowledge of additional SLCs not having previously been published on. Ethical approval for this consultation was sought and granted by the Wintec Human Research Ethics Group (WTFE14130820).

Results

Table 2 shows the article selection. A total of 10 articles met the eligibility criteria, with the earliest being a thesis published in 2012. The small and recent nature of the literature reflects the relatively novel nature of SLCs in Aotearoa New Zealand.
General Characteristics of Student-Led Clinics

An overview of each clinic is described in Table 3. Six documents related to Auckland University of Technology’s (AUT) Integrated Health Interprofessional programmes; additional information about this centre, as a centre for developing clinical skills and knowledge, was gained through the consultation process. The remaining documents referred, respectively, to a clinic collaboration between AUT and Auckland District Health Board, an IP learning experience at University of Otago, data from several osteopathy SLCs including one based at Unitec, Auckland and data from unspecified student clinics including three from Aotearoa New Zealand. Consultation with education providers resulted in information being collected from a further four clinics involving a range of disciplines and institutions in Aotearoa New Zealand (see Table 4).

Underpinning Models of Practice

The scope of student contributions varied across the clinics, with most providing opportunities for students to independently plan and deliver a service directly to clients. Four of the clinics reported using a business or private practice model, charging for services, and operating much as they would in the commercial marketplace. One student-led clinic was project-based and involved a collaboration between two organizations: this placement was not necessarily aligned with a physical clinic space and service provision was not expected to be sustained beyond the placement experience. With one exception, all the clinics identified in the literature involved students from more than one profession, while the additional clinics identified through consultation involved only one profession. Educational models described were the scientist-practitioner model, interprofessional care-based model, Wenger model of Communities of Practice (which was reported to have since gone out of favour with this clinic), and a client-centred, collaborative, holistic, and interprofessional care model (see Table 5 for details).

Community Consultation

None of the included documents described community consultation, including with Māori (Indigenous population), as
part of the process for developing or implementing the student-led clinical experiences. We consider three studies \cite{35,37,38} might have been expected to include such information as relevant to discussion about the feasibility, development or conceptualisation of the student-led service. Similarly, descriptions of practices inclusive of Te Ao Māori (Indigenous worldview), cultural support for students, educators or community members, and marketing of the student-led health service to Māori were not discussed in any of the included documents.

In terms of incorporating Indigenous models of care, when asked how clinic practices reflect Te Ao Māori, one provider reported including an introduction to some “dos and don’ts” of working with Māori clients in their student

| Ref | First Author (Alphabetical) | Location | Document Type | Focus |
|-----|-----------------------------|----------|---------------|-------|
| [37] | Allan et al 2011 | Unspecified: three clinics in Aotearoa New Zealand plus seventeen clinics across Australia | Research article | Consultative enquiry of the goals, possibilities, and challenges of clinics and conceptualising and describing the role of context, particularly rural, for these clinics. |
| [29] | Friary et al 2018 | Auckland University of Technology, Auckland | Research article | Phenomenological exploration of the experiences of clients, students and educators associated with a university clinic-based interprofessional programme for clients with Parkinson’s disease. |
| [34] | Godbold et al 2019 | Auckland University of Technology and Auckland District Health Board, Auckland | Opinion article | Reflection on the ethical issues raised for students in an interprofessional clinic through the application of a human-centred approach to design in a collaborative health context. |
| [30] | Morgan et al 2019 | Auckland University of Technology, Auckland | Research article | Mixed methods study exploring the learning experiences of health students, clinical educators, interprofessional facilitators and health administrators associated with a student clinic pilot programme for patients living with type 2 diabetes. |
| [31] | O’Brien et al 2013 | Auckland University of Technology, Auckland | Research article | Mixed methods study exploring student beliefs and attitudes regarding clinical placements at a university clinic that aim to develop interprofessional collaborative practice. |
| [32] | O’Brien et al 2016 | Auckland University of Technology, Auckland | Research article | Mixed methods study exploring and evaluating the outcomes and experiences of clients, staff and students piloting an integrated interprofessional programme for community members with type 2 diabetes. |
| [33] | O’Brien et al 2015 | Auckland University of Technology, Auckland | Research article | Understanding the organization of interprofessional student learning opportunities through mapping key participants, practices, and structures in an interprofessional student-led health service. |
| [35] | Pullon et al 2013 | University of Otago, Wellington | Research article | Mixed methods study testing the feasibility of delivering an interprofessional-based course component to health students, including home visits. |
| [28] | Tucker 2012 | Auckland University of Technology, Auckland | MPhil Thesis | Qualitative descriptive exploration of service user’s experiences, expectations and understanding of care received at an interprofessional student-lead health service. |
| [36] | Vaughan 2018 | Unitec Institute of Technology, Auckland, plus two in Australia and one in the United Kingdom | Research article | Implementing Rasch analysis to investigate the construct validity of the Osteopathy Clinical Teaching Questionnaire as a tool for evaluating clinical education in an on-campus, student-led osteopathy clinic environment. |
orientation and having reminders of greetings in a range of languages, including Te Reo Māori, visible on the walls. Another referred to providing students with instructions about how to demonstrate respect for tapu (sacred) areas of the body. An ongoing relationship with a local Māori health provider was reported in relation to one of the clinics, specifically as a referrer of clients, although no targeted engagement was described.

### Development and Implementation of Student-Led Clinics

Findings about the opportunities, challenges and learnings associated with developing and implementing student-led clinics were drawn from both the literature and the consultation with current education providers and are summarised in Box 2, Table 6 and Box 3.

### Table 3 Characteristics of Student-Led Clinics Identified in the Literature

| Student-Led Clinics (Alphabetical) | Description of Student-Led Health Service | Student Disciplines (Alphabetical) | Population Served |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|
| Auckland University of Technology Integrated Health – Interprofessional programmes* | One-day/week, seven to twelve-week programmes: includes IP in-service, IP appointments, IP education and interactive discussion-based sessions, IP group tutorial sessions and IP client focused care conferences led by health students. | ● Case management  
● Counselling psychology  
● Exercise and nutrition  
● Health administration  
● Health promotion  
● Nursing  
● Occupational therapy  
● Oral health  
● Physiotherapy  
● Podiatry  
● Psychotherapy | Staff, students, and local community; three documents focused specifically on patients with Parkinson’s disease and type 2 diabetes. |
| Design for Health and Well-being (DHW) Lab | Students at both undergraduate and postgraduate levels engage with a hospital through a design lab and have access to the real-world context of acute health care. | ● Design | District Health Board acute health services. |
| Three unspecified clinics from New Zealand and seventeen from Australia | The most common clinic type described was an on-campus university clinic provided by a single professional group, often co-located with other clinics. | ● Audiology  
● Dental  
● Human movement and exercise physiology  
● Multidisciplinary  
● Podiatry  
● Physiotherapy  
● Psychology  
● Psychotherapy  
● Occupational therapy  
● Optometry  
● Speech  
● Veterinary | Varied but not reported in detail: urban and rural; typically run in partnership with healthcare providers or near existing populations of high need eg aged care facility; target high waiting lists. |
| Unitec Osteopathy clinic (and others from Australia and the United Kingdom) | Osteopathy students are responsible for the management of patients; approximately five to seven students are simultaneously supervised by a qualified osteopath. | ● Osteopathy | Not described. |
| University of Otago | Groups of three health students undertook a home visit with a patient; students worked together to share decision-making, construct a joint management plan, and make recommendations. | ● Dietetics  
● Medicine  
● Physiotherapy | Patients attending a local primary care provider and receiving health care for a number of comorbidities. |

**Note:** *Also included in the consultation process.*

### Evaluation of Student-Led Clinics

Of the student-led clinics reported in the literature and included in the consultation process, Auckland University of Technology’s Integrated Health Centre was the clinic evaluated formally the most extensively (see Table 7). Several of the consulted education providers had conducted informal evaluations reported within their institution but not published or disseminated more widely. Reported evaluations were primarily qualitative interviews or focus groups, with some inclusion of clinical outcomes as an objective measure of impact. One study evaluated the operational elements of student-led clinics.37
In seeking to develop interprofessional, student-led clinics, there is risk of “reinventing the wheel” and not learning from the work of others. This review collates available Aotearoa New Zealand-based evidence, as an example of a CANZUS state, to inform the planning, development, and implementation of a student-led health service. It is worth acknowledging characteristics in the Aotearoa New Zealand health system that differentiate it from those overseas, including: a publicly funded, regionally administered delivery system; a state-funded accident compensation scheme; and a diverse private/non-government sector. Approximately one-third of the population have private health insurance to help pay for noncovered services and copayments (relevant to some services and products).

The consultation process highlighted the gap between what, and how much, is occurring within tertiary education in Aotearoa New Zealand and what is being formally written about and disseminated. In comparing the clinics identified through the literature search and those from the consultation process, it became apparent that clinics were more likely to be written about if they involved a collaboration with industry partners or were designed to take an interprofessional approach within a single institution, which is consistent with the international literature also.

The limited amount and recency of published literature suggests student-led clinics are an emerging focus in the literature, relative to how long some clinics have been established (ie decades in several cases). There is scope for more reporting and objective evaluation of such clinics to ensure best practice is being determined, achieved, and developed.

### Table 4 Characteristics of Additional Student-Led Clinics Consulted

| Student-Led Clinics (Alphabetical) | Description of Student-Led Health Service | Student Disciplines (Alphabetical) | Population Served |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|
| Otago Polytechnic Massage Clinic  | Undergraduate massage programme students deliver massage therapy and write client notes under supervision (approx. student:staff ratio 3:1). Students complete 50–70 hours at the clinic/year depending on level of study. | Massage | Self-referrals of staff, students, and general public. |
| University of Otago Physiotherapy Clinic | Undergraduates in 2nd-4th year of study and postgraduates deliver treatment under the supervision of a registered physiotherapist. Placement lengths vary between 1 morning/week for 3 weeks to 6 weeks full time (approx. student:staff ratio 4:1). | Physiotherapy | Self-referrals of students, staff, and general public with musculoskeletal injuries and balance challenges. |
| Victoria University Psychology Centre | Students in 4–6th year of study (postgraduate clinical psychology programme). Students lead care under supervision of clinical psychologist. | Psychology | Self-referrals or via GPs for clients (children, youth, and adults) with mild-moderate mental health needs. |
| Wintec Biokinetic Clinic | Students design and run exercise programmes with referred clients under supervision of accredited clinical exercise physiologist. Programmes last 8 weeks. | Clinical exercise physiology | Clients accessing the Green Prescription programme through Sport Waikato; referrals from local primary healthcare providers. |

**Discussion**

In seeking to develop interprofessional, student-led clinics, there is risk of “reinventing the wheel” and not learning from the work of others. This review collates available Aotearoa New Zealand-based evidence, as an example of a CANZUS state, to inform the planning, development, and implementation of a student-led health service. It is worth acknowledging characteristics in the Aotearoa New Zealand health system that differentiate it from those overseas, including: a publicly funded, regionally administered delivery system; a state-funded accident compensation scheme; and a diverse private/non-government sector. Approximately one-third of the population have private health insurance to help pay for noncovered services and copayments (relevant to some services and products). These unique characteristics will have implications for the funding and systems planned for the development of a student-led clinic.

The limited amount and recency of published literature suggests student-led clinics are an emerging focus in the literature, relative to how long some clinics have been established (ie decades in several cases). There is scope for more reporting and objective evaluation of such clinics to ensure best practice is being determined, achieved, and developed.
Table 5 Models of Practice and Scope of Student Contributions Within Student-Led Clinics

| Student-Led Clinics | Model of Practice | Scope of Student Contributions |
|---------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|
| Auckland University of Technology Integrated Health – Interprofessional programmes | Business/private practice model. | Students plan and deliver interventions in an interprofessional environment, under the supervision of an appropriately registered health professional. As part of the IP programme, students facilitate a one-hour interactive self-management education group each week. Each student is assigned one patient, and all patient appointments are attended by student pairs from different disciplines. Client-focused conferences, led by health students, are held each week. Students reassess patients at the end of the program and compile a comprehensive report sent to their GP. |
| Design for Health and Well-being (DHW) Lab | Project-based defined as research Industry partnership. | Students engage in a collaborative design process with staff and patients, working through ethics, data collection, and design process. |
| Otago Polytechnic massage clinic | Business/private practice model. | Students plan and implement massages and write up client notes independently. Second- and third-year students also set up appointments directly, manage payments and pay expenses for use of the space/materials. |
| Three unspecified clinics from New Zealand and seventeen from Australia | On-campus clinics provided by a single professional group. | - |
| Unitec Osteopathy clinic (and others from Australia and the United Kingdom) | Interprofessional, care-based course component. | In interprofessional groups of three, students arrange and undertake a home visit to consult with patient with comorbidities. Students then collaborate on group presentations for peers/teaching staff outlining the person’s conditions and develop a multidisciplinary care/management plan. |
| University of Otago physiotherapy clinic | Business/private practice model. | Students plan and implement treatments and record client notes. |
| Victoria University psychology centre | Business/private practice model (subsidised by the university). Scientist/practitioner model. | Students provide psychological services, according to level of study, from observation to leading. |
| Wintec Biokinetic clinic | Not-for-profit, structured programme delivery. | Students complete an assessment with clients and send a feedback report to the referrer; plan and implement an 8-week intervention; and complete a final feedback report. |

教育提供者没有注册的健康专业人员（即学生）提供医疗服务。医疗和法律术语的清晰度对于组织的责任和风险的考虑至关重要。此外，由于术语不一致，学科和机构在使用标签时也存在不一致性，例如：学生协助、-领导、-经营或-辅助。另类术语在系统性审查中被确定为国际学生主导的诊所（提交）包括服务学习（例子42–45）和学生免费诊所（例子46–52），这些差异的明显区别在于运营模式不向服务用户收费，但并非所有学生诊所都收取名义费用来覆盖成本。在所有变化中，所描述的项目似乎具有相同目的——解决社区健康需求并为学生发展广泛的教育经验。为了将来更有效地研究和综合证据，这表明需要在健康学科和本地更加标准化的术语。
Box 2 Benefits and Opportunities of Student-Led Clinics

| For students | For the community | For the educators |
|--------------|------------------|------------------|
| ● Generate real-world opportunities to implement practice (clinical and operational) and contextualise teaching and learning. | ● Fill a healthcare gap and a meet community need: there is a demand for services. | ● Collaborations with health provider partners: potential satellite services. |
| ● Exposure to a diversity of clinical presentations. | ● Provision of affordable, good quality healthcare. | ● Provides some of the necessary placements and practicum experiences students require. |
| ● Students gained a holistic appreciation of the person. | ● Interprofessional teamwork between students was enjoyed by clients. | ● Consistent with government strategy and policies on health and well-being. |
| ● Create understanding of authentic and effective interprofessional teamwork | ● Interprofessional practice provided clients with a one-stop shop for accessing services. | ● Health practitioners all held accountable to the same overarching legislation (Health Practitioners Competence Assurance Act, 2003). |
| ● Learning can be scaffolded in a safe/controlled learning environment. | | |
| ● Chance to give back to the community. | | |

With regards to daily operations, some clinics had students working for only a few hours a fortnight to working full-time and over varying periods of time. Some clinics required students to engage in periods of directed educational components whilst others were entirely hands-on client-based work (with some planning and note-taking). In all the clinics, students conducted service delivery under some form of expert supervision. The heterogeneity of contexts is a potential barrier to evaluating and synthesising practice in student-led clinics. This also creates opportunities for each clinic to be tailored to the specific community and educational needs of the region and affiliated education and health providers.

In Aotearoa New Zealand, it is important to determine how best to uphold responsibilities under Te Tiriti O Waitangi/ The Treaty of Waitangi when developing a health-related educational experience. Other CANZUS states will face similar obligations to their respective Indigenous populations. Positioning Māori as priority learners and priority health-service users is critical to reducing education and health inequalities, which will ultimately lift the socio-economic and health outcomes for the nation. 53,54 Thus, questions were asked of the literature and education providers about consultation processes undertaken with Māori during clinic development and implementation. Consultation with Māori was not widely reported in the literature and while it cannot be concluded that consultation did not occur, the consultation process from this review (with education providers) indicated that it was not a common component in the development of clinics. This appears to have had an impact on the client populations accessing services, resulting in a low proportion of Māori clients.

Limited access of Māori clients in these contexts is significant. In order to lift the health outcomes for Māori, health providers must find ways of breaking down access barriers and ensuring Māori “consistently experience positive, high-quality healthcare interactions that support Māori ways of being”, with current service provision being described as “hostile and alienating”55(p193). The literature suggests that locating clinics in an area of high need is insufficient for ensuring an ongoing and consistent supply of patients. Education providers should ensure students have access to learning opportunities which reflect...
Box 3 Lessons Learned About Developing and Implementing Student-Led Clinics

Lessons about teaching and learning

- Planning is required to provide consistent education and supply of expert supervision.
- Student experiences were positive, practice was transformative.
- Aligning participation with course requirements impacts attendance and commitment.
- Interprofessional components can be successfully introduced across existing pre-registration health professional degree courses in an Aotearoa New Zealand context.
- Clinics can provide an authentic interprofessional environment.
- A sharing of the vocabularies, cultures and worldviews of each disciplines requires making knowledge explicit to enable situated learning to occur.
- Aligning of curriculum is needed to facilitate consistent and sustainable interprofessional learning opportunities within a student-led clinic.
- Creation of practice stories and heuristics may be important in the NZ context.
- Educators gained valuable insight into their own collaborative processes and learning, and into difficult aspects of interprofessional teamwork for students.

Lessons learned about operational factors

- Start small and build up: do not over-reach or over-commit.
- Multi-perspective planning and staff facilitation is required for success.
- A clear vision and clinic objectives are essential.
- Policies and procedures need to support and reflect interprofessional practice and prevent siloed practices and communication.
- A focus on interprofessional practice should come from the leadership team.
- Plan to ensure ongoing supply and diversity of patients: locating in an area of high need is insufficient evidence this will occur.
- Successful examples were outreach clinics and partnerships with established healthcare providers.
- The physical environment can facilitate or inhibit effective interprofessional practice.
- Educational institutions operate within different financial arrangements than healthcare providers.
- Recommendation to operate as a quality healthcare provider and have strong processes in place for managing equipment and materials etc.
- Having a single point of entry for referrals is useful for external providers.
- Having a front-facing administrator can support health students to stay focused on clinical learning experiences with clients.

This review identified several opportunities and benefits in developing and implementing student-led health services, for learners, communities, and the educational institutions. For learners it was evident that “real-world” learning through student-led clinics is generally perceived positively and can be transformational in nature. For students who may need extra scaffolding of their learning, student-led health services were described as a safe or controlled learning environment that could provide additional supports as required. Given the limitations in culturally informed practice reported, it would be useful to know if any of the additional supports indicated for culturally informed practice were not necessarily specific to the student-led health service context and could be considered applicable to student experiences of being on placements in general. For example, challenges were reported such as getting up in the morning and experiencing “information overload”.

For educators, student-led health services present invaluable opportunities to collaborate with other health providers and to provide flexible placements which align with the curriculum and programme requirements. In some instances, student-led health services delivered through an education provider were the only opportunity available for students to gain clinical learning experiences during their academic career. In other programmes, the student-led health service was in competition with other healthcare providers, putting strain on relationships. It was frequently reported that there was some level of inherent tension between the requirements of the curriculum, the students’ learning needs and the needs of the clients. Consistent staffing of the clinic with students and appropriately
qualified health professionals was frequently raised as a challenge to the successful delivery of clinics. Aligning these diverse needs and providing consistent service delivery proved challenging, particularly within the financial, fiscal, and timetabling constraints of educational institutions, which operate very differently to healthcare providers.

Many of the student-led health services had been evaluated from the students’ perspective, fewer from the educators’ perspective and only one from the service-user perspective. While student-led health services are often promoted as a vehicle for providing affordable, quality healthcare to communities with high needs, without formal evaluation this is purely an assumption. Similar clinics internationally have evaluated the impact of healthcare delivered through a student-led health service on client outcomes, such as patient satisfaction, falls prevention, quality of mental health care, smoking cessation and screening rates. The gap in empirical evaluation of student-led health services in Aotearoa New Zealand suggests that embedding data collection and analysis would be a useful approach when planning and developing any new student-led health service.

**Conclusion**

Undertaking what was a seemingly simple scoping review, about the development of student-led clinics, has alerted
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