Abstract: We show spurious effects in perturbative calculations due to different orderings of inhomogenous terms while computing corrections to Green functions for two different metrics. These effects are not carried over to physically measurable quantities like the renormalized value of the vacuum expectation value of the stress-energy tensor.
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Introduction

Finding logarithmic behaviour of correlation functions instead of pure power law has always been interesting in quantum field theory. QCD differs from a free theory at the asymptotic region only by its logarithmic corrections. The presence of these corrections differentiate a physically interesting theory from a trivial model. Another effect is the possibility that logarithmic corrections in perturbation theory may sum up to an anomalous power law, as seen in the Thirring model [1]. Keeping these examples in mind, it is always get exciting to encounter logarithmic behaviour while calculating Green functions. That is why one may be curious whether encountering such terms always points to important physical phenomena.

Here we want to study the interaction of a gravitational field described by a certain metric with a scalar field. We do not know how to quantize the gravitational field, though. This fact necessitates the use of semi-classical methods. These methods treat the gravitational field classically and couples it to the scalar field only by writing the d’Alembertian in the background of that metric [2]. This operation reduces the full field theoretical problem to an external field calculation. We study the n-point functions of the problem and try to deduce information about the full theory from these quantities. Out of these n-point functions, the two point function, which is the Green function of the d’Alembertian, is the most important one, since by differentiating it we can get the vacuum expectation value, VEV, of the stress-energy tensor, $T_{\mu\nu}$.

To be able to calculate renormalized value of the VEV of the stress-energy tensor, $< T_{\mu\nu} >_{\text{ren}}$, we have to find an algorithm to regulate the divergences of the two-point function, $G_F$, at the coincidence limit. The initial step in this programme is to study the singularity structure of $G_F$ for the particular model studied. We know that the singularity at the coincidence limit of the Green function for a free scalar field in flat space is quadratic. At this point one is confronted with a very important theorem [3] concerning the singularity behaviour of Green functions in different backgrounds. This theorem states that if a metric is flat at any region in space-time, the singularities of the Green
function in the background of this metric should exhibit Hadamard behaviour [4], which is at worst quadratic. Although we may by-pass the results of this theorem by studying metrics that are not $C^\infty$, still it is very improbable for Green functions of scalar particles in the background metrics that are flat at any region in space-time to have singularities that are worse than quadratic.

The theorem quoted above makes us suspicious of any extra logarithmic terms we encounter while calculating the Green functions in the background of different metrics, especially if the calculation is performed perturbatively. We want to see if such terms are genuine or the result of the different regularization procedures used, arising when we make our expressions unnecessarily singular. Whether such effects are genuine or not can be checked by comparing perturbative results with the exact ones, when available, and studying different ways of grouping terms with more or less singular behaviour.

Here we are going to continue our investigation of spurious effects in Green function calculations using different metrics [5]. We will see that while we take alternative routes in solving inhomogenous partial differential equations results in solutions with different singularity structure for the Green’s function, this difference cancels out in the calculation of physical quantities like the VEV of the stress-energy tensor, after it is renormalized.

Our model is an impulsive gravitational plane wave solution of Hogan [6].

$$ds^2 = -2 \cos^2 aU |dz + \Theta(U) \frac{\tan aU}{a} H(z) d\bar{z}|^2 + 2dUdV,$$

with the only one non-vanishing Ricci tensor component,

$$R_{44} = -2a^2 \Theta(U),$$

and only one non-vanishing Weyl tensor component,

$$\Psi_4 = H(z) \delta(U).$$

Here $\Theta$ is the Heavyside unit step function, $\delta$ is the Dirac delta function, $a$ is a constant and $H$ is the $z$ derivative of a smooth arbitrary function of $z$, $H(z) = \frac{dG}{dz}$. U and V are
null coordinates, \( z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x + iy) \). This metric is flat for \( U < 0 \) and conformally flat for \( U > 0 \). This metric is similar to the metric found by Nutku earlier [7].

We have two reasons for studying this model. First, we have the exact result to compare with the perturbative one, in one of the two cases studied. Second, we know that the \( < T_{\mu\nu} >_{\text{ren}} \) should be null \(^{8,9} \), a result we have to obtain at the end.

We see that this metric has a dimensional parameter \( a \). We have seen that the presence of a dimensional parameter in the metric may result in non-standard (non-Hadamard) behaviour in the Green function, when this quantity is calculated perturbatively \(^{10} \). Here we find that this change in the singularity structure occurs if we group our terms in a certain manner in the perturbation expansion even in the first order calculation. It is absent for the exact calculation. It is amusing, however, to see that these terms are absent if we group the inhomogenous terms in a different manner. This fact shows that the change in the singularity in the former calculation is due to the wrong choice of the parameters, which results in severer singularities, and, when regulated in the Schwinger formalism, ends up with terms which are worse than the other case by logarithms. We find that this is another method for generating logarithmic singularities in the coincidence limit for the Green functions at will. A similar phenomenon was shown to exist in [10], by taking the homogenous solutions into account. These singularities do not survive when the VEV of the renormalized stress-energy tensor, a measurable physical quantity, is calculated.

We first solve the Green function, \( G_F \) for a special \( H(z) \) used in the metric given by eq. [1] exactly and point to the Hamadard behaviour of this expression. Then we get the perturbative solution in two ways, and show two different results obtained for \( G_F \), only one of them in the Hadamard form. In the third section we use a more complicated form of \( H(z) \), where we could not obtain the exact result and show the same conflicting behaviour when \( G_F \) is calculated perturbatively. At the end we note that when the VEV of the stress-energy tensor is computed the different expressions for \( G_F \) give the same result for \( < T_{\mu\nu} >_{\text{ren}} \). All through our work we use conformal coupling.
2.1 Exact Calculation for the First Metric

We choose $G = az$ for the arbitrary function in the metric given above, which gives the following expression for the d’Alembertian operator written in the background of this metric:

$$\Box = 2\frac{\partial^2}{\partial U \partial V} - 2a \tan(2aU) \frac{\partial}{\partial V} + \frac{4}{\cos^2(2aU)} (\sin(2aU) - 1) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}$$

$$- \frac{4}{\cos^2(2aU)} (\sin(2aU) + 1) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}. \quad (4)$$

If we write

$$\Box f(x, y, U, V) = 0, \quad (5)$$

we find

$$f = e^{iRV} e^{ik_1 x} e^{ik_2 y} \frac{k_1^2 - k_2^2}{\cos^{1/2}(2aU)} e^{i\frac{k_1^2 + k_2^2}{\tan(2aU)}} \tan(2aU). \quad (6)$$

Here $k_1, k_2, R$ are the Fourier modes that will be integrated over in the Green’s function calculation. These integrations are performed easily and we get

$$G_F = -\frac{a \left( \Theta(U - U') - \Theta(U' - U) \right)}{8\sqrt{2}i\pi^2 \sin 2a(U - U') \left( (V - V') - a \frac{(x-x')^2}{4\Delta^2} - a \frac{(y-y')^2}{4\Delta_1^2} \right)}, \quad (7)$$

where

$$\Delta^2 = \left( -\frac{1}{\cos(2aU)} + \tan(2aU) + \frac{1}{\cos(2aU')} - \tan(2aU') \right), \quad (8)$$

$$\Delta_1^2 = \left( \frac{1}{\cos(2aU)} + \tan(2aU) - \frac{1}{\cos(2aU')} - \tan(2aU') \right). \quad (9)$$

We see easily that in the coincidence limit this function has a quadratic divergence, same as in the flat metric.

2.2 Perturbative Calculation for the first metric

We expand the operator $\Box$ in powers of $a$. If we write

$$\Box = L_0 + aL_1 + \ldots, \quad (10)$$
we find

\[ L_0 = 2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial U \partial V} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}, \]

\[ L_1 = 2U \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \right). \]

We also expand both the eigenfunction and the eigenvalue of the equation

\[ \Box \phi = \lambda \phi \]

in powers of \( a \) as \( \phi = \phi^0 + a\phi^1 + \ldots \) and \( \lambda = \lambda^0 + \lambda^1 + \ldots \). We find

\[ \phi^0 = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2(2|R|)^2} e^{i \left( \frac{K}{2\pi} U + RV + k_1 x + k_2 y \right)}, \]

\[ \lambda^0 = K - k_1^2 - k_2^2, \]

\[ \lambda^1 = (\phi^0, L_1 \phi^0) = 0. \]

Here \( k_1, k_2, K, R \) are the different modes we have to integrate over to find the Green function \( G_F \). \( \phi^1 \) satisfies the equation

\[ (L_0 - \lambda_0)\phi^1 + L_1 \phi^0 = 0. \]

The form of this equation suggests the ansatz

\[ \phi^1 = \phi^0 (g(U, z) + h(U, \overline{z})) \]

where \( z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (x + iy) \). This ansatz yields the following equation for the unknown functions \( g \) and \( h \):

\[ iR \left( \frac{\partial g}{\partial U} + \frac{\partial h}{\partial U} \right) - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} (k_1 + ik_2) \frac{\partial g}{\partial z} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (k_1 - ik_2) \frac{\partial h}{\partial \overline{z}} + (k_1^2 + k_2^2)U = 0. \]

We separate this equation into two parts, one for a function of \( z \) and \( U \), and the other a function of \( \overline{z} \) and \( U \). One choice for this decomposition is taking

\[ iR \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial U} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (k_1 + ik_2) \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{2} (k_1^2 + k_2^2)U = 0, \]
and
\[ iR \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial U} - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(k_1 - ik_2) \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{2} (k_1^2 + k_2^2) U = 0. \]

At this point clarification is in order. Since the inhomogeneous term is a constant, as far as \( z \) and \( \bar{z} \) are concerned, there is ambiguity how it is shared among the two equations. Here we designate by \( g_1, h_1 \), the particular choice for the decomposition given above.

Once this separation is made, the integration is immediate. We find
\[ g_1 = -\frac{(k_1^2 + k_2^2)}{i\sqrt{2}(k_1 + ik_2)} U z - iR \frac{(k_1^2 + k_2^2)}{2(k_1 + ik_2)^2} z^2, \]
\[ h_1 = \frac{i(k_1^2 + k_2^2)}{\sqrt{2}(k_1 - ik_2)} U \bar{z} - iR \frac{(k_1^2 + k_2^2)}{2(k_1 - ik_2)^2} \bar{z}^2. \]

To calculate the Green function \( G_F \) we have to sum over all the modes,
\[ G_F = -\sum_\lambda \frac{\phi \phi^*}{\lambda}, \]

which reduces in our first order calculation to
\[ G^{(1)}_F = -\sum \frac{\phi_0 \phi^*_0 [g_1 + g_1^* + h_1 + h_1^*]}{\lambda_0}. \]

When written explicitly, we get
\[ G^{(1)}_F = -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dR \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dK \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk_2 \times \]
\[ \times \frac{e^{i[R(V-V')+k_1(x-x')+k_2(y-y')]}(2\pi)^4(2|R|)|K-k_1^2-k_2^2|}{(F(\chi) + F^*(\chi'))}, \]

where
\[ F = -i \frac{(k_1^2 - k_2^2)}{k_1^2 + k_2^2}\left((k_1 x + k_2 y) U + \frac{R}{2(k_1^2 + k_2^2)} [(k_1^2 - k_2^2)(x^2 - y^2) + 4k_1 k_2 x y]\right), \]
and \( \chi \) is the generic name for the four variables \( U, V, x, y \). We use the Schwinger representation to write
\[ \frac{1}{K-k_1^2-k_2^2} = i \int_0^{\infty} d\alpha \exp(-i(K-k_1^2-k_2^2)\alpha - \alpha \delta), \]
\[
\frac{1}{(k_1^2 + k_2^2)^2} = -\int_0^\infty d\beta \beta \exp \left( -i(k_1^2 + k_2^2)\beta - \gamma \beta \right)
\]

where \(\delta\) and \(\gamma\) are infinitesimal positive and real quantities. We perform the integrals in the usual manner. The end result is written using an infrared parameter \(m\) and the zeroth order Hankel function \(H_0^{(2)}\) as

\[
G_F = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}(2\pi)^2} \left( \frac{-A}{B\Delta} + \frac{i\pi A}{B^2} H_0^{(2)}(2m\sqrt{\Delta}) + \ldots \right),
\]

where \(\ldots\) contains terms with the same singularity behaviour as the first two, i.e. terms with quadratic divergence and quadratic divergence times a logarithmic divergence in the coincidence limit. The logarithmic divergence is given by the \(H_0^{(2)}\) term which goes to a logarithm as its argument goes to zero when the infrared cut-off is removed,

\[
H_0^{(2)} \propto \log \Delta + \log 2m,
\]

and we discard the \(\log 2m\) term. In the above expression

\[
A = (x - x')(Ux - U'x') - (y - y')(Uy - U'y'),
\]

\[
B = (x - x')^2 + (y - y')^2,
\]

\[
\Delta = 2(U - U')(V - V') - (x - x')^2 - (y - y')^2.
\]

In this expression we see that the expected Hadamard behaviour, i.e. the worse divergence being only quadratic, is modified by a logarithm.

It is amusing to note that this behaviour, which is not reflected to the exact solution, is an artefact of the choice we used in separating our eq. [19] into the holomorphic and antiholomorphic parts. Another choice is separating eq. [19] as

\[
iR \frac{\partial g_2}{\partial U} - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(k_1 + ik_2) \frac{\partial g_2}{\partial z} + \frac{(k_1 + ik_2)^2}{4} U = 0,
\]

\[
iR \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial U} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(k_1 - ik_2) \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial z} + \frac{(k_1 - ik_2)^2}{4} U = 0.
\]

The integrations of these equations give immediately

\[
g_2 = -\frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}^2} (k_1 + ik_2) U z - iR \frac{z^2}{4},
\]
\[ h_2 = \frac{-i}{2\sqrt{2}}(k_1 - ik_2)U\overline{z} - iR\overline{z}/4. \]

Note that with the latter choice for decomposition of the equation, we have reduced the powers of \((k_1 + ik_2), (k_1 - ik_2)\) in the denominator. Since we integrate these expressions from minus infinity to plus infinity, terms in the denominator vanish in this range and we use the Schwinger prescription given above to regulate them. The calculation of \(G_F\) with less severe divergences is much simpler now. The Green function integration is straightforward.

For \(U > U'\), it reads

\[ G_F = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}(2\pi)^2} \times \]

\[ \times \left( \frac{C_1[(x - x')(Ux - U'x') - (y - y')(Uy - U'y')]}{\Delta^2} + C_2[(u - u')(x^2 - y^2 - x'^2 + y'^2)] \right) \]

where \(C_1, C_2\) are two constants. This singularity has the same singularity behaviour as the exact solution at the coincidence limit.

### 3. Second metric

Here we show the same thing with a different function \(G(z)\) used to specify the metric in eq. [1] explicitly, to illustrate that the phenomena we find is not special to only one choice of the trial function \(H\). We take the next simplest form, \(G = \frac{az^2}{2}\). Then the metric reads, in the region where it is not flat,

\[ ds^2 = 2dUdV - 2 \left[ dzd\overline{z} \left( \cos^2(aU) + a^2z\overline{z}\sin^2(aU) \right) + \frac{a}{2} \sin(2aU) (z(d\overline{z})^2 + \overline{z}(dz)^2) \right]. \]

We find

\[ \square = -\frac{2a \cos(aU) \sin(aU)}{B} (1 + z\overline{z}) \frac{\partial}{\partial V} + 2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial U \partial V} + \frac{2a \cos^3(aU) \sin(aU)}{B^3} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}} \right) \]

\[ + \frac{2a \cos(aU) \sin(aU)}{B^2} \left( z \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} + \overline{z} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \overline{z}^2} \right) - \frac{2a^2 \cos^2(aU) \sin^2(aU)}{B^2} \left( z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \overline{z} \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}} \right) \]

\[ - 2 \frac{\cos^2(aU) + a^2z\overline{z}\sin^2(aU)}{B^3} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z \partial \overline{z}}. \]
Here $B = \cos^2(aU) - a^2 z \overline{z} \sin^2(aU)$. We could not solve the Green function of this operator exactly; so, we do not know the exact singularity structure of it. We compare, however, the singularity structure of the two expressions we obtain by grouping the inhomogeneous terms differently. Just as in the first example, one way results in a function with Hadamard behaviour, the other gives rise to a term which is modified by logarithmic corrections.

We expand the operator $\Box$ in powers of $a$. At the first nontrivial order we get

$$\Box \approx 2 \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial U \partial V} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z \partial \overline{z}} \right) + 2a^2 U \left( -\frac{\partial}{\partial V} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}} + z \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z \partial \overline{z}} + \overline{z} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \overline{z}^2} \right).$$

We separate $\Box$ into two parts $\Box \approx L_0 + a^2 L_1$ and expand both the eigenvalue $\lambda$ and the eigenfunction $\phi$ of the equation

$$\Box \phi = \lambda \phi$$

in the same manner. The zeroth-order and first-order eigenvalues and zeroth-order eigenfunction are as given in eq.s (14)- (16). We get the equivalent of eq. [17], with new $L_1$ for $\phi_1$. The structure of this equation again suggests the ansatz of eq. [18], $\phi_1 = \phi_0 [g(U,z) + h(U,\overline{z})]$ which gives rise to the equation

$$iR \frac{\partial}{\partial U} (g + h) - \left( \frac{ik_1 - k_2}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \frac{\partial g}{\partial z} - \left( \frac{ik_1 + k_2}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \frac{\partial h}{\partial \overline{z}} + U \left[ z \left( \frac{ik_1 - k_2}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^2 + \overline{z} \left( \frac{ik_1 + k_2}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^2 - \sqrt{2} ik_1 - iR \right] = 0.$$

Here we get two different results depending on how we separate this equation into two equations for the two unknown functions. One choice is to write

$$iR \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial U} - \left( \frac{ik_1 - k_2}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial z} + \frac{U z}{2} (ik_1 - k_2)^2 - \frac{iR U}{2} + \frac{iU k_1}{\sqrt{2}} = 0,$$

$$iR \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial U} - \left( \frac{ik_1 + k_2}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial \overline{z}} + \frac{U \overline{z}}{2} (ik_1 + k_2)^2 - \frac{iR U}{2} + \frac{iU k_1}{\sqrt{2}} = 0.$$

These equations are integrated to get

$$g_1 = \frac{iR z^3}{6} + \left[ \frac{(ik_1 + k_2)^2 R^2}{2(k_1^2 + k_2^2)^2} + \frac{\sqrt{2}(ik_1 + k_2)^2 k_1 R}{2(k_1^2 + k_2^2)^2} - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} U (ik_1 - k_2) \right] z^2$$
\[ U(iR - i\sqrt{2}k_1)(ik_1 + k_2)z \]

and the corresponding expression for \( h_1 \) where the \( z \) is replaced by \( \bar{z} \) and \( ik_1 + k_2 \) goes into \( ik_1 - k_2 \) and vice versa. If we use this solution to obtain the Green function, we obtain functions with quadratic singularity at the coincidence point as well as functions whose singularities are modified by a logarithmic term. It is also amusing that the logarithmic behaviour comes out only when we have an ambiguity in separating the equation. The first, second, fourth and the fifth terms have only the quadratic Hadamard singularity, whereas the third and the sixth terms, in this form, give rise to logarithms. The details of this calculation can be found in reference [11]. We want to state only that when we calculate the Green function for the term that reads

\[ 2k_1R \left( \frac{(ik_1 + k_2)}{(k_1^2 + k_2^2)^2} \right) z^2 \]

plus the \( \bar{z} \) part, we get

\[ \frac{(U - U')(x - x')}{((x - x')^2 + (y - y')^2)^2} (x^2 + x'^2 - y^2 + y'^2 + 2xy + 2x'y')H_0^{(2)}(2m\Delta) \]

plus terms with the same singularity structure in addition to terms with only quadratic singularity structure. \( \Delta \) in this expression was defined in eq. [34]. We can modify the third and the sixth term in eq. [47] to reduce the power of the terms in the denominator, though, and write the differential equations as

\[ R \frac{\partial g_2}{\partial U} - \frac{(k_1 + ik_2)}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\partial g_2}{\partial z} - \frac{Uz}{2i} (k_1 + ik_2)^2 - \frac{RU}{\sqrt{2}} (k_1 + ik_2) = 0, \]

\[ R \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial U} - \frac{(k_1 - ik_2)}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial \bar{z}} - \frac{U\bar{z}}{2i} (k_1 - ik_2)^2 - \frac{RU}{\sqrt{2}} (k_1 - ik_2) = 0. \]

In this expression we keep most of the terms same as those given in eq.[45] and change only one term, the only term which gave the logarithmic correction.

The solution of the above equations reads

\[ g_2 = \frac{iRz^3}{6} + iz^2 \left( \frac{U(k_1 + ik_2)}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{R^2}{2(k_1 + ik_2)^2} + \frac{\sqrt{2}iR}{2(k_1 + ik_2)} \right) - zU \left( 1 + \frac{iR}{\sqrt{2}(k_1 + ik_2)} \right) \]
and the similar expression for \( h_2(U, \overline{z}) \). We check the behaviour in the coincidence for parts of \( G_F \) that are replaced in the new expression. The terms that are changed are the fourth and the fifth terms in eq. [52]. We can show that these new terms give rise to only to quadratic divergence in \( G_F \). The calculation of the fifth term gives rise to

\[
\frac{2(u - u')}{((x - x')^2 + (y - y')^2) \Delta} \left( (x - x')(x^2 + x'^2 - y^2 - y'^2) + 2(y - y')(xy + x'y') \right)
\]

with no logarithm. The calculation of the fourth term gives only the \( \Delta \) term in the denominator and exhibits Hadamard behaviour.

4. Conclusion

We have already noted [10] that while solving eq. [17] after making the ansatz \( \phi_1 = \phi_0(g(z, U) + h(\overline{z}, U)) \), we are solving an equation of the type

\[
[R \frac{\partial}{\partial U} - \frac{(k_1 + ik_2)}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}] g = I
\]

where \( I \) denotes the inhomogeneous part of the equation. This equation also has a solution for the case when \( I = 0 \), the homogeneous case. Indeed, any arbitrary function with the argument \( \frac{U}{\pi}(k_1 + ik_2) + \sqrt{2}z \) is a solution. If the function \( f \) has a different dimension as compared to \( \phi_0 \), then we have to multiply the solution to the homogenous equation by a dimensional constant. In our problem the only such quantities are the modes, \( R \) and \( k_1 + ik_2 \) that exist in eq. [14]. This seems to be completely innocent, as far as \( g \) is concerned. The fact that we have to sum over all the modes, however, changes the result obtained for \( G_F \). One shows that we can generate \( H_0^{(2)} \), the function that modifies the Hadamard behaviour in this way. Which one of the two factors, \( R \) or \( k_1 + ik_2 \), is used does not change the character of the new singularity structure. It was shown in [10] that only \( H_0^{(2)} \) survives the two derivatives if we want to extract the vacuum expectation value of the stress-energy tensor, \( < T_{\mu\nu} > \) from \( G_F \) using the established methods \(^2\). It is also shown that this new singularity structure which already exists in the homogeneous solution, and which is here unsurfaced by taking different combinations for the inhomogenous term, does not change the result that plane waves do not polarize the vacuum \(^8,9\).
Here we show another way to generate such spurious effects. We refer to our earlier work /10 to establish that the renormalized value of the VEV of the stress-energy tensor is independent of all these spurious effects. The essence of the argument is that there is no finite part of the resulting expression when the coincidence limit is taken, since we have only an isolated pole divergence, and no remaining finite part.

We see that there is more than one way to generate spurious singularities for perturbatively computed Green functions. Here we study examples which are obtained by grouping terms in different manners in inhomogenous differential equations. It is a relief that these spurious effects do not change the value calculated for \( < T_{\mu\nu} >_{\text{ren}} \).
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