Abstract—Currently, more than 290 million students in the world are not attending classes due to the pandemic caused by COVID-19. In this sense, many educational centers have adapted classroom teaching to a non-classroom setting in response to the crisis. However, the change of modality from face-to-face to virtual education cannot suppose only a decision in which it is intended to replace the first one using some computer tools as video conferences. With this preamble, the need to systematically map digital competencies in Latin American higher education teachers raises since there are no records of the status of teacher's digital competencies in this part of the world before the pandemic. Therefore, this work examines the scientific literature published in the Web of Science, Scopus, ACM, IEEE, and Science Direct databases from 2004 until 2019. The Kitchenham method applied for the systematic mapping allowed determining quantitative and qualitative results such as year of publication and documentary sources with the most significant number of papers. The main contribution of this research is the areas found of teachers' digital skills that are considered relevant in the Latin American context and its differences with other models. Finally, a discussion of the results and conclusions serves as a starting point for future research in other geographic areas and preliminary information to determine teachers' training needs to face the crisis.
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I. INTRODUCTION

According to UNESCO, more than one and a half billion students, representing more than 90% of the world's students, will not be attending face-to-face classes until the end of April 2020 due to the pandemic caused by COVID-19 [1]. In this sense, many educational centers, including Higher Education institutions (HEI), have promoted an adaptation of face-to-face teaching to a virtual context in response to the crisis. However, the change from face-to-face to virtual modality cannot only be a decision in which it is intended to supply the teacher's presence with the creative use of some computer tools [2]. This process requires substantial reforms in the teaching-learning process that include changes in educational models, development of digital competencies (DC) in all stakeholders, changes in institutions' infrastructure, and perhaps most importantly, students' ability to access education without turning this into a discriminatory gap.

With this preamble, and given the existence of relevant research at the global level, specifically in Europe[3]–[6], the need for systematic mapping of the digital competencies of HEI teachers in the Latin American context arises [2], [7], [8]. Thus, teacher training must be assumed integrally. It is crucial to guarantee a change in the teaching-learning processes to fulfill the multiple requirements resulting from this global crisis generated by the pandemic [3]. Therefore, this study will focus on using the concept of "digital competence" as a keyword, discriminating its possible synonyms to avoid confusion. For this reason, it is necessary to start with a definition that encompasses and clarifies the concept of digital competencies and their relationship with the university teaching staff:

A. Digital Competencies: Meaning and its Importance in Current Education.

The phrase "digital skills" has several related terms such as digital literacy, computer literacy, digital skills, literacy skills, computer literacy, and despite their meanings are different, they can be used interchangeably [8], [9]. For example, UNESCO, in its report "Digital skills for life and work" [10],
defines digital skills as "a range of different abilities, many of which are not only 'skills' per se, but a combination of behaviors, know-how, work habits, character traits, dispositions, and critical understandings." Meanwhile, the European Union Council describes them as: "the safe and critical use of technologies in the information society, for work, leisure and communication”[3].

Cabero-Almenara [6] suggests that current teachers should have adequate training to guide their students and future generations in the process of technology-assisted learning and integrate these tools into the curricular content. Furthermore, these skills must be developed beyond digital literacy because it is necessary to master other additional components such as informational, communicative, audiovisual, and technological skills [7].

Miscellaneous literature reviews characterize digital skills such as techniques, information, communication, collaboration, creativity, critical thinking, and problem-solving [11]. A characteristic of overtime digital competence has been transformed from an exclusively operational and technical approach in the use of technology towards cognitive, critical, and responsible perspectives oriented to knowledge [8]. When defining digital competence concerning teachers' professional development, the concept is considered an individual teacher's capacity to implement ICT in learning activities. All this is done to improve knowledge and students' understanding through a holistic model that combines analytical levels and prerequisites for individual ability [12].

B. Teacher’s Digital Competencies in Higher Education.

The determination of those competencies that teachers must demonstrate in their role as students’ trainers, the communication skills in their workplace, and the development of materials for the teaching-learning process are concerns that have led to many kinds of research [13]–[15]. As a result of these works’ compendium, the European Digital Competence Framework for Teachers «DigCompEdu» was proposed. This document defines teaching competencies in the following terms: professional commitment, digital resources, digital pedagogy, evaluation, and feedback, empowering students, and facilitate digital skills [5].

Considering that governments have established guidelines so that students will not be left out of their educational processes through virtual platforms, teachers will be expected to show their proficiency levels in these digital competencies or seek self-education. This research aims to establish a baseline around the teachers' digital competencies in Latin American HEI to identify the priority areas or dimensions of this region in which digital competencies were framed before the pandemic. Once the areas are identified, a training process will allow teachers to adapt to new academic needs and develop an active, critical, and realistic attitude towards technologies and their use in the classroom.

To comply with the proposed research, here are the stated objectives, resulting from a systematic reflexive process:

- To carry out an exhaustive review in the primary databases of articles related to digital competencies for teachers in Latin America, the result was the abstraction of 15 documents from a total of 601 articles from seven databases.
- To determine the most relevant areas of analysis of digital competencies in the Latin American context, where the use of technology, knowledge construction, information and communication, professional development, axiological and social competencies were identified as the main ones.
- To determine the relationship of the areas found with teachers' digital competencies models in other geographic regions such as the United States and the European Union, which already have significant progress in these issues.

II. MATERIAL AND METHOD

Systematic mapping or scoping studies are designed to overview a research area through the classification categories [16]. The objective is to search for topics studied, where they have been published, by whom, and how the research was carried out. The result of a mapping study is an inventory of documents on the area assigned to a classification. Therefore, a systematic mapping overviews the topic’s scope and explores gaps and research trends [17].

A. Process Development.

According to Kitchenham [18], a systematic mapping consists of three generic phases: planning, conducting, and reporting the review. In Fig. 1, each stage is detailed.

![Fig. 1 Systematic Mapping Process](image)

B. Application.

Carrying out this Systematic Mapping will determine the status of the investigations carried out around Digital Competencies in Higher Education, pointing to Latin America as a priority context and considering as baseline in temporary space all those publications made since 2004 and before the appearance of COVID-19. The objective is to determine the number of studies that have been carried out on the subject, the sources, methods applied, and obtain all the information that may serve as a guide for subsequent replications.

Next, the process carried out to apply the Systematic Mapping using the State of the Art through Systematic Review (StArt) tool is described. This application itself defines the phases and protocols based on the publications of Kitchenham [17].
1) Phase 1 Planning the Review: As per Fig. 1, this phase has two main activities: Identifying the need for reviewing and developing the protocol for the review.

- Identification of the need for reviewing. Initially, the period 2004-2019 was pertinent, considering that the objective is to search for all articles about "digital competencies" and "higher education" before COVID-19. The first search test carried out 1575 articles approximately; thus, if the number of papers had been minimal, it was to be considered that the topic is not mature, which is not the case. Therefore, there is sufficient research in the area, and it is advisable for the systematic mapping process. Once the number of records found was established as valid, the systematic mapping process started.

- Mapping protocol. The revision protocol was registered in the StArt tool, establishing the objective, main question, keywords and synonyms, source selection criteria, research languages, and source search methods.

  a) Objective and main questions: The review's objective was defined as identify the state of the art of teachers' digital competencies in the Latin American context. The main question is How were the digital competencies developed in Latin America between 2004 and 2019?

  b) Studies languages: Studies carried out in English and Spanish were considered.

  c) Keywords and synonyms: The various terms widely used to identify digital competencies [8] were included: "competencias digitales," "digital skills," "digital competences," y "digital competencies." Additionally, the following terms were included: "docente," "teacher," "professor," "profesor," "educación superior," "higher education," "university".

  d) Selection criteria for sources and their search methods: The most relevant and widely used databases such as IEEE, Web of Science (WOS), Scopus, Science Direct, PROQUEST, and ACM are considered. The search was carried out through commands and with the use of Boolean signs.

2) Phase 2 Carrying out the Mapping: Four activities were carried out in the second phase.

- Assessment Identification. Among the studies of states of the art and systematic mapping initially identified, [2] presents a literature review in digital skills in Higher Education in Brazil. This article concludes that Higher education institutions only serve in information and data literacy, collaboration and communication, and problem-solving, identifying a gap between integrating security areas and creating digital content into the academic context. Several studies could be mentioned to highlight the need to carry out this research. Among them, Cabero's [5] research stands out, where it considers DigCompEdu TDCs as the reference framework for the classification and characterization of digital teaching competencies in the European Union.

- Identification of primary studies. The databases considered for this study were extracted in RIS and Bibtex format on various dates, and the last group was on November 30th, 2019. The initial results obtained and exported to the StArt application, using "higher education" and "digital competencies" as criteria were:
  a) Science Direct (303 articles),
  b) IEEE (eight articles),
  c) Web of Science (163 articles),
  d) ACM (12 articles),
  e) SCOPUS (158 articles) and,
  f) PROQUEST: If a similar search string, as databases described above, were used, 931 articles would have been yielded. Therefore, the search was refined, and additionally, the teacher criterion was considered giving 21 articles as a result.

- Selection of primary studies. With 665 articles, 64 duplicates are eliminated, thus obtaining 601 papers for the selection process. Subsequently, the abstracts of each document are analyzed. By applying the following inclusion and exclusion criteria, 62 articles were obtained for the Extraction and Follow-up process. The inclusion criteria consider the studies carried out on digital skills in Higher Education and teachers. While the exclusion considers some aspects, as follows:
  a) the articles, which are not related to the educational context (e.g., Industry, medicine, etc.);
  b) articles that discuss digital skills for primary and secondary education and others other than higher education (e.g., disabled groups);
  c) studies carried out on students; specific studies on ICT.
  d) other particular studies (e.g., web 2.0 tools, Internet, etc.) and.
  e) studies not related to teachers (parents, senior citizens, etc.).

- Data extraction and monitoring. In this final process of the Conducting Phase, the country in which each research was carried out was analyzed, accepting only articles made in Latin American countries. Finally, 15 documents were obtained to monitor the data. The results present the type of study, the data collection technique, the framework considered, and the TDC used in each publication within the data monitoring.

3) Phase 3 Mapping Report: Finally, data synthesis was developed.

![Fig. 2 Summary of the process carried out until obtaining the results of the Systematic Mapping](image-url)

The synthesis process applied the inclusion/extraction criteria based on the abstract analysis, obtaining 62 documents from the 601 articles identified. In addition, we performed a one-by-one reading of the full text to get 15
papers on TDC in Latin America. The synthesis of the methodology used is presented in Table 1.

### Table I
**SYNTHESIS OF THE METHODOLOGY USED**

| Code | Reference | Country | Type of research/ Sample | Data collection tool |
|------|-----------|---------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| A1   | [19]      | Dominican Republic | Quantitative / 121 teachers | Survey |
| A2   | [20]      | Mexico | Mixed / 47 teachers | Survey, Interview, Focus Group |
| A3   | [21]      | Peru | Qualitative/Not available | Descriptive Analysis |
| A4   | [22]      | Ecuador | Quantitative /178 teachers | Survey, Interview, Focus Group, and Debate |
| A5   | [23]      | Mexico | Mixed/Not available | Observation, Discussion, Focus Group, and Interview and Survey |
| A6   | [24]      | Venezuela | Qualitative/Seven teachers | Observation and Review |
| A7   | [25]      | Ecuador and Spain | Qualitative/Not available | Description Analysis |
| A8   | [26]      | Brasil | Quantitative/67 teachers | Interview and Observation and Focus Group |
| A9   | [27]      | Colombia | Quantitative/236 teachers | Survey |
| A10  | [28]      | Mexico | Mixed/863 teachers | Survey |
| A11  | [29]      | Ecuador | Qualitative/Not available | Analysis |
| A12  | [30]      | Ecuador | Quantitative/87 teachers | Survey |
| A13  | [31]      | Ecuador, Chile and Mexico, and others | Qualitative/Not available | Descriptive Analysis |
| A14  | [32]      | Mexico, Chile, and Spain | Qualitative/Six teachers | Interview and Debate |
| A15  | [33]      | Dominican Republic | Quantitative/121 teachers | Survey |

### III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### A. Results

The results obtained after carrying out the systematic mapping process are presented in this section based on the proposed research questions. It is observed that in 299 sources, the 601 articles related to TDC and HE, identified in the six databases, were published whether if they are journals or conference proceedings. The sources with the most significant number of publications on the subject are Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences (69), Computers & Education (40), Computers in Human Behavior (22), Procedia Computer Science (11), ACM International Conference Proceeding Series (10), Teaching and Teacher Education (8), Telecommunications Policy (8), Telematics and Informatics (8), International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education (7). Concerning the number of articles published, there was a significant increase in scientific production starting in 2010, when 19 articles were published. From then, it continued to increase until reaching 113 articles in 2019.

Regarding the rejected documents in the selection process, most retracted articles focus on students (36%) or specific studies (32%). The particular studies included tools, learning methodologies, and others (e.g., b-learning, web 2.0, Internet, MOOCs, game-based learning, social media, IoT, others) related to digital competencies that do not deal with them completely. The ICT studies are also specific; however, they are the most representative, so they were independently measured (7%). Therefore, it has to be considered that an article could have one or more exclusion criteria simultaneously.

In the extraction process, we were able to visualize that finally, only 15 articles were considered related to the analysis of 18 countries for the Latin American context. Two papers refer to the United States, and 50 articles are studies from European countries. Several pieces of research included two or more countries. Regarding the distribution by country, Spain (19) stands out, followed by Mexico (6) and Ecuador (5). Countries as England, Italy, Norway, Sweden, and Ukraine have three articles; Chile, Croatia, Finland, and USA (2) and Germany, Belgium, Brazil, Colombia, Denmark, Greece, Holland, New Zealand, Peru, Poland, Portugal, Dominican Republic and, Venezuela, only one.

On the other hand, of the analysis obtained from the 15 Latin American articles, a distinction was made between the applied methodology and the results obtained from each one. Table 1 shows that 46% of the studies carried out regarding the methodology are quantitative, while 33% correspond to qualitative and 21% to mixed methods. The predominant data collection techniques are surveys; however, 26% of the research are non-experimental descriptive analyses.

Finally, Table 2 summarises the authors of the theoretical framework of digital competencies (DC). Each article was based and the dimensions or areas of digital teaching competencies used in each investigation.

### Table II
**SYNTHESIS OF TEACHERS' DIGITAL COMPETENCES**

| Code | Digital Competences Framework | Teachers' Digital Competences Dimension/ Areas used |
|------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| A1   | • Prendes [34]                | 1. Training in ICT skills                      |
|      |                               | 2. Use of technical ICT skills                |
|      |                               | 3. Use of methodological ICT skills           |
|      |                               | 4. Use of ICT skills for professional development |
|      | • Ala-Mutka [35]              | 1. Planning and design of learning experiences |
|      | • Mas & Tejada [36]           | 2. Development and conduction of collaborative classrooms |
|      | • Prendes, [34]               | 3. Orientation, guidance, and evaluation of the knowledge construction processes. |
|      | • UNESCO [4]                 | 4. Management of professional growth          |
|      | • Rangel y Peñalosa [24]      | and development with the support of ICT.      |
|      | • Unesco [4]                  | 5. Research, development, and pedagogical innovation with/for the use of ICT. |
|      | • UNESCO [4]                 | 6. Diversity, ethics, and responsible use of ICT. |
|      | • Gisbert, M. y Esteve, F. [38]| 7. Environment, health, and safety at work with the help of ICT. |
|      | • Gutiérrez, L. [39]          | 1. Technological resources                    |
| A2   | • Navio, [37]                 | 2. Pedagogical                                |
|      | • Prendes, [34]               | 3. Informational                             |
|      | • UNESCO [4]                  | 4. Communicative                             |
|      | • UNESCO [4]                  | 5. Axiological                               |
|      | • Gisbert, M. y              | 1. Technological                             |
| A3   | • Gisbert, M. y              | 2. Pedagogical                               |
|      | • UNESCO [4]                 | 3. Techno-pedagogical                        |
| A4   | • Gutiérrez, L. [39]          | 4. Axiological                               |
| Code | Digital Competences Framework | Teachers' Digital Competences Dimension/Areas used |
|------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| A5   | Not Available                 | 5. Academic management                           |
|      |                               | 6. Ethical and social aspects                    |
|      |                               | 1. Intellectual                                   |
|      |                               | 2. Social                                        |
|      |                               | 3. Organisational and cultural fields             |
|      |                               | 4. Guided by critical reflection and oriented to innovation |
|      |                               | 5. Knowledge construction                         |
|      |                               | 6. Collaboration                                  |
|      | Hermández [40]                | 1. Technological dimensions                       |
|      | Marquez [41]                  | 2. Informational                                  |
| A6   | ISTE [38]                     | 3. Axiological                                    |
|      | Ministerio de educación de Chile [42] | 4. Pedagogical                                     |
|      |                               | 5. Communicative                                  |
|      | López [43]                    | 1. ICT appropriation                              |
|      | European Parliament and Council [3] | 2. Effective and efficient information analysis  |
| A7   | Not Applicable                | 3. Evaluate the information and its sources in a critical way |
| A8   | Joly e Rueda [44]             | 1. Basic tools and communications                 |
|      |                               | 2. Productivity concepts and tools                |
|      |                               | 3. Troubleshooting tools                          |
|      | Unesco [10]                   | 1. Technological                                  |
|      | Ministerio de educación de Chile [42] | 2. Communicative                                 |
| A9   | Not Applicable                | 3. Pedagogical                                    |
|      |                               | 4. Investigative                                  |
|      |                               | 5. Management                                     |
|      | Joly e Rueda [44]             | 1. Basic tools and communications                 |
|      |                               | 2. Productivity concepts and tools                |
|      |                               | 3. Troubleshooting tools                          |
|      | Aesaert, et al., [48]         | 1. Knowledge of digital tools                     |
|      |                               | 4. ICT skills                                    |
|      |                               | 3. Materials for teaching                         |
|      |                               | 6. Software text processing skills               |
|      | Cabero y Llorente [6]         | 1. Knowledge in ICTs                             |
| A12  | UNESCO [4]                    | 2. ICT skills                                    |
|      | Ferrari [47]                  | 3. Attitudes required when using ICT              |
|      | ISTE [38]                     |                                                |
|      | Leicester City Council [3]    |                                                |
|      | Pozos Pérez [31]             |                                                |
| A13  | Ministerio de Chile [42]      |                                                |
|      | Ministerio de Educación de Colombia [31] |                                                |
|      | Lorenzo, Pomares y Roig [46] |                                                |
|      |                               | 1. Information,                                  |
|      |                               | 2. Communication                                 |
|      |                               | 3. Content creation                              |
|      |                               | 4. Security                                      |
|      |                               | 5. Troubleshooting                               |
|      | Ferrari [47]                  |                                                |
|      | ISTE [38]                     |                                                |
| A14  | European Parliament and Council [3] |                                                |
|      | M. Rieckmann [50]            |                                                |
|      | Carrera & Coiduras [31]       |                                                |
| A15  | Rangel [24]                   |                                                |
|      | Prendes et al. [34]           |                                                |
|      | Tejada & Pozos [20]           |                                                |
|      |                               | 1. Language and cultural competence              |
|      |                               | 2. Communication skills, social intelligence, soft skills |
|      |                               | 3. Pedagogy and pedagogical tools                |
|      |                               | 4. Ability to manage the line between work and free time |
|      |                               | 5. Problem-solving, anticipatory and critical thinking, meaning-making |
|      |                               | 1. Teacher professional development              |
|      |                               | 2. Evolution of TDC                              |
|      |                               | 3. TDC areas                                     |
|      |                               | 4. Critical appraisal of the use of ICT in Higher Education |

**B. Discussions**

As a summary of the research carried out, the authors mentioned above agree that digital competencies, as their name indicates, do not refer only to the use of ICT in a technical way, but to the set of knowledge, abilities, skills, attitudes, and values generated from and based on ICT. Additionally, they show that in Latin America, the most relevant teachers’ digital competencies in this region are technological, communicational-social, uses of information, multimedia literacy, pedagogy, ethical-cultural-environmental, and management academic skills.

On the other hand, the importance of digital skills in all areas is evidenced in these works. When speaking of citizen training, it is mentioned that digital competencies (DCs) will allow empowerment perspectives about intrinsic social aspects such as politics, economy, and employability [51–56]. In the social sphere, DCs provide the ability to take advantage of the wealth of new possibilities associated with digital technologies in the modern knowledge society [8]. The educational field is not the exception; the new digital education will bring consequences for that society that underestimates it [17]. "It is evident that a teacher cannot make a student develop competence that he does not possess in-depth" [57]. Therefore, the repeated urgent need for training in digital competencies and the need for continuous support and accompaniment for adequate ICT integration in university teaching activity is visible [7].

Fig. 3 summarizes the areas or dimensions of application and use of teachers’ digital skills that are the most relevant. Again, it is possible to observe a clear emphasis on acquiring digital skills focused on constructing knowledge, using technology, and managing information and communication.

**Fig. 3 TDC Latin American Areas (Own Elaboration)**

Currently, there are already established models as a guide for the development of digital competencies, among the best known are the model of the International Society for Technology in Education (ISTE) of the United States, the model of the National Institute of Educational Technologies, and Teacher Training (INTEF) of Spain and the European Framework of Digital Competence for Educators (DigCompEdu).
Table III summarizes the main areas considered in the models mentioned above. Additionally, a code was assigned to relate them [58]–[60]. Using network theory, Fig. 4 shows the closest relationships between the various areas of each model, thus identifying five communities through an undirected graph.

Each model tends to be grouped. Still, having carried out a systematic review of the areas in Latin America, given the diversity of the reference models used for each study, it is demonstrated that all areas were considered, hence their representativeness.

In these areas, the specific Latin American TDC presents information that deserves to be highlighted. Teachers perceive themselves as more confident with skills [23], [28], [29], [61], [62]. Teachers use technological artifacts (technical management of the computer, projector, video, and image player). Teachers search the Web (selecting relevant information and processing information). Teachers use problem-resolution of general, cultural, ethical, and social responsibility issues. In this sense, these competencies are also mentioned in the European DigCom-Edu model [63].

On the other hand, teachers showed low-intermediate confidence in skills [15], [25], [49]. Teachers use academic management (critical management of available data, comprehension, and creativity, and responsibility). Teachers employ the design of digital teaching resources. Teachers use or reuse of digital media (spreadsheet management, database management, multimedia presentation design). Teachers consider the security of the information. Teachers use social media (exchange activities, works, and experiences in electronic magazines, sharing experiences, ideas/opinions in virtual spaces, and others). These results concur with studies related to the university context [64]–[66].

IV. Conclusion

In this research, digital technologies' current and future advancement influences and transforms different teaching-learning processes. This has become even more evident with the health pandemic of Covid-19. From the research question, it has been determined that teachers' digital competencies are aligned in areas that need to be strengthened so that their appropriation is evident both for their teaching work and for their professional and personal development. Also, it was established that these competencies should go beyond the instrumental management of technology, focusing on methodological competencies that allow them to demonstrate their digital knowledge, skills, and attitudes. In this sense, the researchers concluded that the areas considered most relevant for the formation of digital teaching competencies are: Knowledge Construction with 28%, and Use of Technology with 26%, which is logical since the primary work of the teacher lies in this function, using technology as an instrument to fulfill his essential task. Next in importance are the Axiological area with 13%, Professional Development with 13%, Information and Communication with 15%, and Social with 5%, evidencing their importance and the need to strengthen them, since theoretical knowledge of a given technology does not presuppose its use. On the other hand, teachers should be aware of the possibilities offered by technologies in training processes to share good practices.

Also, this research showed that there is not a high percentage of information on digital competencies in the Latin American context (a total of 16 articles in Latin America). Therefore, this research is an important contribution in this regard. It allowed us to use the reference framework for the development of digital competence at the European level and adapt it to the Latin American context to generate information that can be used for improvement purposes.

Finally, the research conducted leads us to conclude that there is still a long way to go, especially in developing teachers' digital competencies. The main focus of the existing articles is to analyze the participants' self-perception, leaving aside the importance of research and development through teacher training.

Future research is recommended to conduct a new systematic review of the literature in the Latin American context from 2020 to 2023 to contrast the progress made since the pandemic caused by COVID-19 appeared, which led to
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