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Introduction
Recently, research methods for rare experimental events have been intensively developed [1-9]. For a quantitative assessment of rare events, the time intervals between occurrences of successive events above (or below) some threshold Q are usually considered. In this case, both the probability density function of these repeated intervals and their long-term dependencies are investigated (autocorrelation function, conditional repetition periods, etc.). In the numerical analysis necessarily consider not very large thresholds Q which provide good statistical estimates of repeated intervals, and then these results are extrapolated to very large thresholds, for which the statistics are very poor [1-5].

In [6], based on 24-hour Holter-monitoring data, it was shown that linear and non-linear long-term memory, inherent in repeated heartbeat intervals, leads to a power law of PDF change. As a consequence, the power law will be satisfied by the probability WQ(t; ∆t) of the fact that for ∆t time units that have passed since the last repeated interval with an extreme event (record) greater than the threshold Q (briefly, Q-interval) , at least one Q-interval will appear, if for t time units before the last Q - a Q-interval of heartbeat has appeared. Using the probabilities WQ(t; ∆t) using the transformation [16,17] of the original signals (in this case, Q-intervals with persistent (steadily growing) records based on the transformation [16,17] of the original signals (in this case, repeated Q-intervals) in a series of times to achieve a given threshold of change. However, the implementation of the approach to predicting complex signals proposed in [17] based on the selection of the dynamic system implementation boundary provides for a preliminary selection of a “stable” segment at the end of the time series where the predictor is trained taking into account the latest dynamics of the process under study. In this regard, in [18,19], a computational procedure was proposed for segmentation of non-stationary time signals with fractal properties.

However, the implementation of the approach to predicting complex signals proposed in [17] based on the selection of the dynamic system implementation boundary provides for a preliminary selection of a “stable” segment at the end of the time series where the predictor is trained considering the latest dynamics of the process under study.

Minimal cCoverage and Fractality Index
This dimension is introduced by Hausdorff by the formula

\[ D = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{\ln N(\delta)}{\ln(1/\delta)} \quad (1.1), \]

where \( N(\delta) \) is the minimum number of balls of radius \( \delta \) covering the set A. The basis for the definition (1.1) is the asymptotics for \( \delta \), which is defined by the expression for fractal sets.

\[ N(\delta) \sim (1/\delta)^D \quad \text{as} \quad \delta \to 0 \quad (1.2) \]

The fractal dimension of the time series can be calculated directly through the cell dimension \( D_c \), which is also called the Minkowski dimension or the box dimension [20].

To determine the value of \( D_c \), the plane on which the time series graph is defined is divided into cells of size \( \delta_c \) and the number of cells \( N(\delta) \) is determined, where at least one point of this graph is located. It is then constructed by the points \( (y_i, x_i) \), \( y_i = \ln N(\delta_c) x_i = \delta_c \), regression \( y = ax + b \), whose coefficients are estimated using...
the least squares method (MHK). Then \( D_\mu = \hat{\mu} \) is the MHK-estimate of the coefficient \( a \). However, to reliably calculate \( D_\mu \) in this way, a too large representative scale containing several thousand data is required [21]. Within this scale, a time series can change many times the nature of its behaviour.

In order to associate the dynamics of the process corresponding to the series \( \{ X(t_i) \} \), with the fractal dimension of this time series, it is necessary to determine the dimension \( D_\mu \) locally. For this, it is necessary to find an approximation sequence that is optimal in a certain sense for each fixed \( \delta \). For this purpose, the following procedure for minimal coverages is proposed in [3]. To calculate from the fractal dimension of a time series, or the graph of a real function of one scalar variable \( y = f(t) \), defined on a certain interval \([a, b] \), you can directly use the procedure for determining cell dimensions, considering cell coverage as a special case of covering with rectangles.

We introduce a uniform partition of the segment \([a, b] \) by the points \( t_1, ..., t_{m-1} \)

\[
\omega_m = \left[ a = t_0 < t_1 < ... < t_{m-1} < t_m = b \right] \delta = \frac{b - a}{m} \tag{1.3}
\]

and construct the graph coverage of the function \( y = f(t) \) with rectangles of base \( \delta \) and height \( A_i(\delta) \), Figure 1 [19].

![Figure 1: Fragment of cellular (gray rectangle) and minimal (black rectangle) coverings of the graph of the fractal function on the segment \([t_{i-1}, t_i) \). Obviously, the minimum coverage is more accurate cellular](image)

Here \( A_i(\delta) = \frac{f(t)}{\operatorname{min} f(t)_{i\in[t_{i-1}, t_i)}} \) and we call \( V_f(\delta) \) the amplitude variation of the function \( f(t) \) corresponding to the partition scale \( \delta \). The total coverage area \( S(\mu) \) is written as

\[
S(\mu(\delta)) = V_f(\delta) \delta \tag{1.5}
\]

It is obvious that \( S(\mu(\delta)) \) is the minimum area of the graphics coverage of the class of coatings with rectangles. Therefore, such a coating is called minimal [19].

After multiplying both parts in (1.2) by \( \delta^2 \), for the cell division area \( S_\epsilon(\delta) \) we get

\[
S_\epsilon(\delta) \sim \delta^{2-D} \quad \delta \to 0 \tag{1.6}
\]

From (1.5) and (1.7) we find

\[
V_f(\delta) \sim \delta^{2-D} \quad \delta \to 0 \tag{1.8}
\]

\[
S(\mu) = D_\mu - 1 \tag{1.9}
\]

The index \( \mu \) is called [19] the fractality index, and the dimension \( D_\mu \) is the dimension of the minimum coverage. The value of \( \mu \) is related to the stability of the time series: the more stable the behavior of the original series (i.e., oscillations occur near one level), the greater the value of \( \mu \), while the opposite is true.

If the function \( f(t) \) is called stable (quasi-stationary) on the interval \([a, b] \), where its volatility is invariant on this interval, which has long been evaluated [22] by the standard deviation of changes in the selected time window \([a, b] \), then the degradation point time series, i.e. The point at which stability is violated (quasi-stationarity) can be determined by the jump in volatility. For the evaluation, change in volatility points that divide the original time series into quasi-stationary portions (segments) corresponding jumps volatility functions developed [18] computing segmentation procedure generalizes iterative method centered cumulative sum of squares (ICSS) [23]. In general, the problem of segmentation, non-stationary time series, i.e. splitting it into non-intersecting adjacent fragments that will be statistically homogeneous (or at least possess this property in a general degree than the initial data) is known as the task of finding the chance-point problem [24,25].
for the average difference between the initial and final value f(t) on the same interval. So, in general, $H \neq H_\mu$.

The aforementioned property of the rapid output of $V_f(\delta)$ to the power asymptotic mode makes it possible to use $\mu$ as a local characteristic that determines the dynamics of the original process, because the representative scale for its reliable determination can be considered to have the same order with the characteristic scale of the main process states. It is natural to introduce the function $\mu(t)$ with the values of $\mu$ defined on the minimum interval $\tau_\mu$ preceding t. At this interval, the value of $\mu$ can still be calculated with acceptable accuracy. Since in practice a time series always has a finite length, the interval $\tau_\mu$ has a finite length. When calculating the rhythmogram of the heartbeat, we have taken $\tau_\mu = 24$ hours, $\delta = t_f - t_i = 1$ hour, b = $30 \times 24 = 720$ hours, $m = 30$.

We divide the interval $[a, b]$ of the time series f(t) into intersecting intervals of length $\tau_\mu$, shifted relative to each other by 1 point (i.e., by the value of $\delta$). In each interval of length $\tau_\mu$, we construct minimal coverings $\mathcal{H}$ from rectangles with bases $\delta_j = i \Delta(i = 1, \ldots, m)$ and $n = \tau_\mu$ with amplitude variation $V_j(\delta_i)$ of function f(t). Denote $y_i = \ln V_j(\delta_i)$, $x_i = \ln(\delta_i)$ and by points $(y_i, x_i)$ ($i = 1, \ldots, n$) construct the regression $y = a_0x + a_1$. Denote by points and construct a regression. Then MHK-estimates $a_1$ and $a_0$ of the coefficients a and b will be obtained by

$$V_f(\delta) = b * \delta^{\mu}$$

(1.14)

where $b = e^{a_0}$. $H_\mu = -a_1$.

Denote $\tau_j^{(j)}(j = 1, \ldots, m, m = 30)$ $j$-th rolling interval length $\tau_\mu$; $\tau_j^{(j)} = [t_i, t_i + \delta_j]$, $\tau_j^{(m)} = [t_{m-i}, t_m]$, and each starting point of the interval $\tau_\mu$ let's compare a pair of numbers $(b_j, \mu_j)$. Thus, at all points $t = t_0, t_1, \ldots, t_{m-i}$, we obtain the corresponding values b(t) and $\mu(t)$, defining relation (1.14). Using the resulting arrays of these values, we calculate the following characteristics: average values $\langle\mu(t)\rangle$ and $\langle b(t)\rangle$ of $\mu$ and b values, maximum and minimum values of $\mu_{max}, \mu_{min}, b_{max}, b_{min}$ and $\delta_j = 0.5(\mu_{max} - \mu_{min}) < \mu(t) >$ and $\delta_b = 0.5(b_{max} - b_{min}) < b(t) >$, which characterize the variation of values (variability) $\mu(t)$ and b(t). Using the resulting arrays of these values, we calculate the following characteristics: average values of $\mu$ and b values, maximum and minimum values as well as quantities and characterizing the spread of values (variability) $\mu(t)$ and b(t). Repeating the above calculations several times, we calculate the averages over all the obtained realizations of the values $< \mu(t) >$, $< b(t) >$, $< \delta_\mu >$ and $< \delta_b >$, which will give an idea of the behavior of the local characteristics $\mu$ and b for the time series under study. Experimental studies conducted in [19] for various types of fractal time series show a low variability of the values of $\mu$ and b with a lower variability of the value of b.

Since the value of b is closely related to the distribution of the increments of the time series and, therefore, to the volatility of the time series, for to apply the computational segmentation procedure [18] based on the detection of the jump of the volatility function, it is advisable to use to divide the non-stationary series into "quasistationary" parts with the initial series f(t), the series of values of b(t) and as an estimate of the points of change of the studied series to take the average value of the points of change obtained for the original series f(t) and the number of the values of b(t), respectively.

**Algorithm for Detecting Changes in Volatility**

We give a brief description of the theoretical part of the method for estimating the point of change in the volatility of the time series used in [18].

Consider a time series model.

$$Y_i = \mu(t_i) + \varepsilon_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, T$$

(2.1)

where $\{Y_i\}$ is the sequence of random variables $\{\varepsilon_i\}$ is the sequence of stationary errors of observation of the quantity Y at the instants of time $t_i$ $E(\varepsilon_i) = 0$ and $Var(\varepsilon_i) = 1$; $\mu(t)$ and $G(t)$ are the regression function (conditional average) and the volatility function (conditional variation), respectively. Model (2.1) is a special case of the non-parametric model

$$Y_i = \mu(X_i) + \sigma(X_i)\varepsilon_i$$

(2.2)

where $X_i = t_i$, and, therefore, $X_i = t_i$.

Following the procedure for finding a single point change (single change-point) volatility [23], we set

$$\sigma(t) = \begin{cases} \tau_1 * \sigma_0(t), & \text{если } t \leq k_0 \\ \tau_2 * \sigma_0(t), & \text{если } t > k_0 \end{cases}$$

(2.3)

where $\tau_1, \tau_2$ and $\sigma_0(t)$ are unknown parameters. For simplicity, we put $k_0 = [T * \theta_0], 0 < \theta_0 < 1$, where [a] means the largest integer not exceeding a.

Let the hypothesis $H_0$ mean that volatility has no points of change. When this hypothesis is fulfilled, it follows from (2.1) that $E(Y_i - \mu(t_i))^2 = \tau_2^2(t_i)$. We introduce the notation $Z_i = (Y_i - \mu(t_i)) / \sigma_0(t_i)$

$$S_T^2 = \sum_{t=1}^{T} Z_i^2 = \sum_{t=0}^{k} Z_{t-\delta}^2, \quad S_{T-k}^2 = \sum_{t=k+1}^{T} Z_i^2$$

(2.4)

determine the statistics

$$V_i = k(T - k)^{-0.5} \frac{1}{T-k} S_{t-\delta} - \frac{1}{k} S_{t}^2$$

(2.5)

If $\mu(t)$ and $G_0(t)$ are known, the least-squares method (MHK) for the volatility jump point $k_0$ can be used to obtain the following estimate [27]

$$\hat{k} = \arg \max V_k$$

(2.6)

The value of $V_k$ will be close to 0 when the hypothesis $H_0$ is fulfilled and is non-zero if the volatility changes. Simple calculations lead to equality

$$V_i = (k(T - k))^{-0.5} \frac{1}{T-k} S_{t-\delta} - \frac{1}{k} S_{t}^2$$

(2.7)

where

$$D_k = k \frac{T}{S_k}$$

(2.8)

The statistics $D_k$, defined by the formula (2.8), differs only
in sign from the statistics \( D_k = \frac{S_k}{S} - \frac{K}{T} \) used in [23]. From (2.7) it follows that \( D_k \) can also be used as an estimate of the point of change \( k_0 \).

\[
\hat{k} = \arg \max_k \left| D_k \right| = \arg \max \left( k(T-k) \right)^{1/2} * V_k \quad (2.9)
\]

In [27] more general statistics for detecting changes in volatility are introduced.

\[
V'_i = \left( \frac{MT - k}{T^2} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{S_{i-k} - S_k}{S^2} \right) \left( \frac{1}{T} - \frac{1}{k} \right)^{1/2} * V_i, 0 \leq i \leq \frac{T}{2} \quad (2.10)
\]

From (2.10) we can obtain an estimate for the point of change \( k_0 \).

\[
\hat{k}(v) = \arg \max_k \left| V'_k \right| \quad (2.11)
\]

By specifying \( \hat{k}(v) \) one can obtain the following estimates of the quantities \( \tau_1^2, \tau_2^2 \).

\[
\tau_1^2(v) = \frac{1}{\hat{k}(v)} * S_{\hat{k}(v)}, \quad \tau_2^2(v) = \frac{T}{T-\hat{k}(v)} * S_{T-\hat{k}(v)}
\]

To establish the asymptotic distribution of the statistics \( V_k^w \) with the known functions of regression \( \mu(t) \) and conditional variation \( \sigma(t) \), the notation is introduced

\[
V(t) = \frac{\sigma(t)^2}{\sigma^2} \sup_{\delta \in \delta(t)} V_k^w \left( t \right) \sup_{\delta \in \delta(t)} \left( 1 - t \right)^{-1/2} B(t) \quad (2.12)
\]

If \( \tau_1 = \tau_2 = 1 \), i.e. hypothesis \( H_0 \) is true, then under a certain condition [27] the limiting relation

\[
\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{T} * \frac{\sigma(t)}{\sigma} = \sup_{\delta \in \delta(t)} V_k^w \left( t \right) \sup_{\delta \in \delta(t)} \left( 1 - t \right)^{-1/2} B(t) \quad (2.13)
\]

where \( \delta(t) \) means convergence in distribution - any number from \( (0,1) \) \( 0 \leq t \leq 1 \), \( B(t) \) - standard Brownian motion by [0,1];

\[
\sigma_0^2 = E \left( Z_t^2 - EZ_t^2 \right)^2 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{T} E \left( Z_t^2 - EZ_t^2 \right) \left( Z_{i-t}^2 - EZ_{i-t}^2 \right)
\]

The approximation of the random variable from the right-hand side of (2.13) with \( v = 1/2 \) is obtained using the formula [28]:

\[
\hat{\kappa} = \arg \max_k \left| \hat{V}_k^w \right| \quad (2.15)
\]

where

\[
\hat{V}_k^w = \left( k(T-k) \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{1}{T-K} \right) * V_k \quad (2.16)
\]

\[
\hat{\mu}(t) \cdot \text{evaluation of the regression function } \mu(t) \text{. The limiting relation (2.13) under certain conditions from [27] remains valid when } \hat{k}(v) \text{ and } V_k \text{ are replaced by } \hat{k}(v) \text{ and } V_k^w.
\]

To estimate \( \sigma_0(t) \) (2.3), it is advisable (especially when there is a certain amount of outliers or when the observation distribution function has "heavy tails") to use the absolute deviation estimate [29]

\[
\hat{\sigma}_k^2(t) = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{T} K_{a,b} \left( t_i \right) \right) / \sum_{i=1}^{T} K_{a,b} \left( t_i \right) \quad (2.18),
\]

where

\[
K_{a,b} \left( t_i \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \left( \frac{t_i}{b} \right)
\]

\( K_(n=1) \) - function, and \( b = b_n \) - sequence of strip widths. As a nuclear function \( K(t) \), one can adopt the standardized Epechnikov core

\[
K(t) = 3 \left( 1 - \frac{t^2}{5} \right) I(t^2 \leq 5) / (4\sqrt{5}) \quad (2.19)
\]

or Gauss core

\[
K(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp \left( -t^2/2 \right) \quad (2.20)
\]

Here \( I(A) \) is an indicator function of set \( A \):

\[
I(A) = \begin{cases} 1, & a \in A \land I(A)=0 \text{ at } x \notin A \end{cases}
\]

To estimate the widths of the bands in (2.18), we use the method using the \( \chi^2 \) statistics of \( R^2 (y, \mu, \hat{\sigma}_0) \), which is defined as [30]

\[
R^2 (y, \mu, \hat{\sigma}_0) = \sum_{i=1}^{T} \left( Y_i - \hat{\mu}(t_i) \right)^2 / \hat{\sigma}_0^2 \quad (2.21),
\]

where \( y = (Y_1, ..., Y_n) \); \( \hat{\sigma}_0^2 \) - is the estimate of \( \sigma_0(t) \), defined by formula (2.17) with the strip width \( b=bn \). The expected value of \( \chi^2 \) - Pearson statistic (2.21), \( ER^2 \), is approximately equal to the number of degrees of freedom \( n \).

Since \( R^2 \) depends on the estimated (conditional) variation, equality (2.21) can be used for the optimal choice of the bandwidth \( b_n \).

\[
b_n = \arg \min \left \{ R^2 (y, \mu, \hat{\sigma}_0) \right \} \quad (2.22)
\]

To detect the volatility points of the time series \( Y(t) \), we preliminarily construct the estimate \( \hat{\mu}(t) \), the trend component \( \mu(t) \) and allocate the irregular stochastic component \( \mu(t) = Y(t) - \hat{\mu}(t) \) with the property of stationarity (in broad sense) i.e. with constant mean \( m \) and covariance function \( K(t, t_1) \), depending only on the difference of the arguments. The verification of the a(t) process for stationarity is carried out using a sample autocorrelation corregrogram function [31], which for a stationary time series must rapidly decrease with increasing delay time.

Consider a stationary time series \( \{a_k\} \) with zero value and variances \( \sigma^2 \), \( t=1, ..., T \). Let \( C_k = \sum_{t=1}^{T} a_k \) be the cumulative (accumulated) sum of the series \( \{a_k\} \) and \( D_k = \sum_{k=1}^{T} a_k \), \( k=1, ..., T; D_0 = D_T = 0 \) (2.23) centered and normalized cumulative sum of squares.

For a constant variance \( \sigma = \sigma \), the sequence \( \{D_k\} \) oscillates with respect to zero. If sudden changes in the variance \( \sigma \) are possible, then the graph of the dependence of \( D_k \) on \( k \) will be concluded with a high probability within certain limits, which are
calculated from an analysis of the asymptotic distribution of \( D_k \) relative to some constant dispersion. Given this property, in [23] is

\[
k^* = \text{arg max}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} D_k \quad (2.24)
\]

If the maximum in (2.24) exceeds the above-mentioned boundary levels \( [D_{\alpha}] \) of level of significance \( \alpha \), then according to the iterative method of centered cumulative sums of squares (ICSS), the \( k^* \) value from (2.24) is taken as the estimate of the point of variation of the variance.

In [27], a modified ICSS algorithm was proposed (let’s call it MICSS), in which the statistics \( D_k \) is replaced by \( V_k \) defined by expression (2.10). The critical values of \( C_\alpha^v (T) \) of the statistics \( V_k \) for \( v=0 \) are given in [23], and for \( v=1/2 \) in [28]. For the level of significance, \( \alpha=0.05 \) is usually applied.

In the MICSS method in step 1, the statistics

\[
M(t; t_2) = \max_{t \leq t_2} \left( t_2 - t + 1 \right) / D_k(a[t; t_2]) \quad (2.25)
\]

replaced by statistics

\[
M(t; t_2) = \max_{t \leq t_2} \left( t_2 - t + 1 \right) \sqrt{V_k} \left( q[t; t_2] \right) \quad (2.26),
\]

where \( q[a; t_2] \) means that the sample of values of \( at \) is taken at \( t_1 \leq t_2 \), where \( t_1 < t_2, V_k(a[t; t_2]) \)-statistics (2.26) defined on the interval \([t_1; t_2]\).

In equality (2.26), the estimate \( \sigma \) is determined by the formula \( \hat{\sigma} = \frac{1}{T} R_x \), where \( R_x \) is obtained from the curve of part (2.14) with \( h = l = \hat{\sigma} \). Under the initial condition \( x=x_0 \) (for example, \( x_0=100 \) we find the solution \( x^* \) of equation (2.31) for fixed \( \alpha \) in the MATLAB system. Then the value \( \hat{\sigma} \) is taken as the critical value of the statistic (2.26) with \( v = 1/2 \).

**Modified Method for Predicting the Status of the Cardiovascular Systems on the Basis of Identifying the Boundaries of Quasistationary Sections of The Time Series**

To solve the problem of predicting complex digital signals, it was proposed in [17] to use the last “stable” fragment of a time series for training a predictor, obtained by dividing into quasi-stationary regions. Such a task is known [33,34] as a problem of detecting a “debugging”, i.e. changes in the probability properties of the signal. Such a definition can give for complex signals an inaccurate border of a true change in the dynamics of a signal. To detect the change in the dynamics of a complex signal, it was proposed to use an estimate of the local fractal dimension based on the fractality index \( \mu \) of the time series [19].

As shown in section 1, it is most appropriate to characterize the non-stationary time series with two indicators \( b(t) \) and \( \mu(t) \) included in the power representation (1.14) of the amplitude variation \( V(f) \) of the function \( f(t) \) graph corresponding to the original time series. In this case, the value of \( b(t) \) is closely related to the volatility (conditional variation) of the time series, according to a jump in which in [18] an algorithm for segmentation of a non-stationary time series was developed.

Given this property of the function \( b(t) \), we can propose the following method for detecting the boundaries of quasistationary portions of the time series. Using the sliding window’s original signal \( f(t) \), the derived estimation signal \( b(t) \), which characterizes the local fractal dimension of the time series, is plotted. In the
derivative and in the original signal according to the algorithm [18] are the points of disorder. The positions of these points are compared and the average is taken, which will be the boundary of the adjacent quasi-stationary areas. The fragment of the last generated quasi-stable signal dynamics is used as a learning set for the predictor.

To increase the efficiency of the Q-event forecast, i.e. a record of the rhythmogram of a heartbeat, a larger threshold Q, in the selected last quasi-stationary segment of the time series of the rhythmogram, a combination of the algorithm of repeated intervals using long-term memory [6] with the method of reaching the threshold of change [16] is used.

The RIA approach is based on the analysis of the nonlinear component of the long-term dependence of repeated intervals rj between events xi exceeding a certain defined threshold Q (Q-events).

Sometimes, instead of the threshold K, the average repeat interval or repetition period is set to \( R_q = 1 / \int P(x)dx \), where P(x) is the distribution of events \( \{ x_i \} \).

In this approach, to predict random signals with fractal properties, the mathematical apparatus of interval statistics is used when the probability \( W_q(\tau; \Delta \tau) \) that during the time \( \Delta \tau \) appears at least one Q-interval if during the time for the Q-event Q event has occurred.

\[
W_q(\tau; \Delta \tau) = \frac{\int_{\Delta \tau} (\delta(Q) - 1) \Delta \tau / R_q}{R_q} \quad (3.1)
\]

where \( \delta(Q) \) characterizes the density distribution of the lengths of repeated intervals \( r \) of repeated intervals

\[
P_q(r) \sim (r / R_q)^{-\delta(Q)} \quad (3.2)
\]

The method of reaching the threshold of change is based on the transformation of the original signal into a series of times to reach the threshold P:

\[
x(t) = \{ x_1, x_2, ... , x_N \} \rightarrow x' = \{ x'_1, x'_2, ... , x'_N \}, x'_i = \frac{x_i}{t_i},
\]

\( i = 1, ... , N; x'_i = x(t'_i), j = 1, ... , N(x'_i - x'_{i-1})/x'_i > p \quad (3.3)
\]

\( p > 0, i = 2, 3, ... , N; \tau = \{ t_1, t_2, ... , t_{N-1} \}, t_i = t'_i - t'_{i-1} = 1, ... , N' - 1
\]

where N is the number of samples in the original signal, \( x' \) is the converted signal, where only those values are left, the relative difference between which exceeds a certain predetermined threshold p. \( N' \) is the number of samples in the converted signal, \( \tau \)-time series to reach the threshold of change p, where each value of \( \tau \)-means the time it took the signal to exceed the threshold of change p. The coincidence of the signs of differences \( x'_i - x'_{i-1} \) and \( (t_i - t'_{i-1}) \) indicates the persistence (steady growth) of both the values \( x'_i \) and the time \( x'_i \) between records \( x'_i \) and \( x'_{i-1} \). In application to records (extreme events) heartbeat means records and steady increase of intervals between consecutive records. Under the records of the heartbeat can be understood Q-events, and the intervals-Q intervals. Transformation (3.3) can be used to pre-select heartbeat records in the Q-interval prediction procedure, based on the RIA technique, which allows speeding up the last procedure and increasing the reliability of the prediction obtained.
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