Transient to Zero-Lag Synchronization in Excitable Networks
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The transient to ZLS is defined as the minimal time steps until all neurons evoked spikes simultaneously, \( T \), and it may vary among different initial stimuli, Fig. 1. Nevertheless, we denote by \( T \) the maximal transient time over all possible initial stimuli (given simultaneously to a selected subset of neurons). Quantitatively, \( T \) is defined such that all elements of \( M^T \) are positive, \( sgn(M^T) = 1 \). Such matrices are called primitive matrices and \( T \) is known to be bounded by

\[
T \leq (N - 1)^2 + 1 = O(N^2).
\]
This upper bound transient time, $T$, is taken over all possible networks of size $N$ and can be far above the actual transient, e.g. Fig. 1(b).

We propose that the upper bound scaling of $T$ given by

$$T = O \left( \frac{C}{V_{\text{max}}} + D \right)$$

where $C$ and $D$ stand for the circumference (the largest loop) and the diameter (the longest path among all the shortest paths between pairs of neurons), respectively,

$$V_L = \frac{1}{\|L\|} \sum_{i \in L} \left( \text{out degree}(X_i) - 1 \right)$$

indicates the average number of generated new spikes per time-delay $\tau$ while a spike is traveling along the loop $L$ (of length $\|L\|$) and

$$V_{\text{max}} = \max_{L \in \text{loops}} V_L$$

is the maximal generating rate of new spikes along a single loop in the network. The scaling, Eq. 3, is based on the necessary condition $T \geq D$ since the initial stimulation must span over the entire network in order to achieve ZLS. On the other hand, by definition of the diameter, during number of $D$ steps the initial stimulation goes through every possible neuron of the network. As a consequence, after $D$ steps the initial evoked spike occupies simultaneously each loop and the filling progress toward ZLS is performed in parallel in the entire network loops. For $T < D$, the rate of generating new spikes is at least $V_{\text{max}}$, Eq. (3), hence the time to fill the largest loop, $C$, is at most $O(C/V_{\text{max}})$. Now within $D$ additional steps each spike occupies simultaneously each network loop and ZLS is achieved, which establishes the scaling - Eq. (3).

To exemplify the scaling, Eq. 2, we first restrict the discussion to networks where $C$ and $D$ are of $O(1)$ or $O(N)$ and $V_{\text{max}}$ can take one of the following orders $O(N)$, $O(1)$ or $O(N^{-1})$ as illustrated by Table I. The scaling of the transient time for each network was calculated using Eq. (3) and has been confirmed in simulations.

Case (1) of Table I consists of two loops of length $O(N)$ generating only one new spike per cycle, $V_{\text{max}} = \frac{O(1)}{O(N)} = O(N^{-1})$. Hence, the transient time is dominated by the first term of Eq. (3) and scales as $T = O(N^2)$, saturating the upper bound scaling for $T$, Eq. 2. Reversing the direction of the red arrow in Table I(1) results in a small loop of length $O(1)$, Table I(2), characterized by $V_{\text{max}} = O(1)$. Following Eq. (3), $T$ scales now linearly with the system size. Adding $O(N)$ outgoing connections to neuron 2 of Table I(1), as illustrated in Table I(2b), results in a $D = O(N)$, although all loops of the network are still $O(N)$. Since $O(N)$ new spikes are generated at neuron 2, $V_{\text{max}} = \frac{O(N)}{O(N)} = O(1)$ and the transient is $T = O(N)$. Adding only a single self-connection to neuron 2 in the previous
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FIG. 1: The transient time to ZLS is exemplified by the mixing argument [3] for a network consists of 5 neurons. (a) Schematic of a homogeneous network where all delays are equal to $\tau$. (b) An example where the initial stimulation ($t=0$) is given to the neuron labeled by 1. Each one of the neurons mixes the colors of its driving neurons from the previous time step, e.g. neuron 4 mixes the colors of neurons 2 and 5 from the previous time step. Red (dark) color indicates an evoked spike - an excited neuron. The transient time is equal to 11 in units of $\tau$. (c) An example where initial stimuli are simultaneously given to neurons 1, 2, and 3. The transient time to ZLS is equal to 5.
Network | \(O(D)\) | \(O(C)\) | \(O(V_{\text{max}})\) | \(O(T)\)
--- | --- | --- | --- | ---
1 | \(N\) | \(N\) | \(N^{-1}\) | \(N^2\)
2 | \(N\) | \(N\) | 1 | \(N\)
3 | \(N\) | \(N\) | \(N\) | \(N\)
4 | \(N\) | 1 | 1 | \(N\)
5 | 1 | 1 | \(N\) | 1
6 | 1 | \(N\) | \(N\) | 1

| \(L_1\) | \(L_2\) | \(\ldots\) | \(L_{p-1}\) | \(L_p\) | \(L_k = O(1)\) | \(p = O(N)\) | \(N\) | 1 | 1 | \(N\) | 1
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| \(L_1\) | \(L_2\) | \(\ldots\) | \(L_{p-1}\) | \(L_p\) | \(L_k = O(1)\) | \(p = O(N)\) | 1 | 1 | \(N\) | 1

**TABLE I:** Illustration of the transient time, \(T\), for networks leading to ZLS (second column) where \(D\) and \(C\) scale either as \(O(1)\) or as \(O(N)\). Rows (1-3) exemplify networks with \(D\) and \(C\) of \(O(N)\) but different scaling for \(V_{\text{max}}\). Rows (4-6) exemplify networks where the transient is dominated by \(D\).

In case, Table I(3), the self-loop generates \(O(N)\) new spikes at each time step and \(V_{\text{max}} = \frac{O(N)}{O(1)} = O(N)\). However, an initial stimulus to neuron \(N/2\), for example, travels \(D = O(N)\) time steps before arriving at the self-loop thus the transient is \(T = O\left(\frac{1}{N} + N\right) = O(N)\).

Table I(4) represents the case where \(D = O(N)\) but \(C = O(1)\) and each loop creates \(O(1)\) new spikes, thus \(V_{\text{max}} = O(1)\). Nevertheless \(T = O(N)\) as spikes must travel from one end of the network to the other end in order to achieve ZLS. Connecting all the loops to a single neuron, Table I(5), reduces the diameter, \(D = O(1)\), while each loop generates \(O(N)\) new spikes. As a consequence, \(V_{\text{max}} = O(N)\) but as before the diameter determines the transient \(T = O\left(\frac{1}{N} + 1\right) = O(1)\). A fully connected graph, Table I(6), is very similar to the previous case but the circumference is \(O(N)\), nevertheless the transient remains \(T = O\left(\frac{N}{N} + 1\right) = O(1)\).

Finding \(V_{\text{max}}\) might be an exhaustive computational task since it requires the inspection of all the network loops. Moreover, the transient time and its scaling can be altered by the specific type of the stimuli. Hence, we examine the question whether the scaling of the transient time can be predicted from finite steps of the transient. Specifically, we define the quantity

\[
\rho(t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_i(t)
\]  

(6)
of $\rho$ is sensitive to the location of the initial stimulation. The positive slope of $\rho(t)$ is moved to the right, case (2) and (3), this time is shortened. Nevertheless, the scaling of $\rho$ is given to the leftmost loop, the rightmost loop is first visited after for the rightmost one which is of length 5, which is essential for $\text{GCD} = 1$. For case (1), where the initial stimulation these examined cases from any finite segment with a positive slope of $\rho$ out degree $D$ time, bounded by the diameter, where $\rho(t) = 1/N$. (b) 100 loops of length 4 and the rightmost loop is first visited after for a finite fraction of the transient with a nonzero slope of $\rho$. Fig. 2(a) exemplifies can be predicted also for such cases from a partial segment of the transient with a nonzero slope of $\rho$ for a finite fraction of the transient $T$. The (red) lightning however the generality of this consequence is in question. Fig. 2(a) presents simulation results with $N$ spikes are created at once. A more structured profile for $\rho(t)$ is depicted in Fig. 2(b) where $C_\rho$ and $D$ are either $O(1)$ or $O(N)$. However, the scaling of the transient time, Eq. (3), is valid also for $V_{\text{max}}^{-1}$, and $C$ or $D$ of order $N^\alpha$, $0 < \alpha < 1$. Such a scenario is exemplified for case (4) of Table II where loops are of the same order $L_k = O(N^\alpha)$, $0 < \alpha < 1$. In such a case $C = O(N^\alpha)$ and $V_{\text{max}} = O(N^{-\alpha})$. Following Eq. (3), the transient should scale as $T = O\left(N^{2\alpha} + N\right)$ since $D = O(N)$. For $\alpha < 0.5$ the transient is dominated by the diameter, $T = O(N)$, while for $\alpha > 0.5$ the transient is governed by $C$ and $V_{\text{max}}$, $T = O\left(N^{2\alpha}\right)$. Figure 3(a) presents simulation results with $N \leq 2000$ for the two cases ($\alpha = 1/3$ and $2/3$). The estimated scaling of the transient time is $T \propto N^{0.98} \sim O(N)$ and $T \propto N^{1.31} \sim O(N^{4/3})$ respectively, which is in a good agreement with the theoretical prediction, Eq. (3).

For the case where one of the loops scales differently, $O(N^\beta)$ ($0 < \alpha < \beta < 1$), the transient time should scale as $T = O\left(N^{\alpha + \beta} + N\right)$ since the generating rate of new spikes remains $V_{\text{max}} = O(N^{-\alpha})$ but the circumference is now $C = O\left(N^\beta\right)$. A new scaling for the transient time is expected for $\alpha + \beta > 1$ where the length of the loops determine the scaling of the transient. For the case $\alpha + \beta = 1/4 + 2/3 < 1$ the transient time is dominated by the diameter is estimated in simulations to scale as $T \propto N^{1.01}$, Fig. 3(b), while for $\alpha + \beta = 1/2 + 2/3 > 1$ the transient time is estimated to scale as $T \propto N^{1.18}$ which is close to the theoretical prediction of Eq. (3), $T = O(N^{7/6})$. 

FIG. 2: Fraction of neurons which are in ZLS as a function of time for various cases and initial conditions. The (red) lightning denotes the position of the initial stimulation to the network and numbers inside the loops denote their lengths. (a) Linear growth of $\rho(t)$ obtained in simulations with $N = 101$ for the two networks shown on the right. For $T = O(N)$ there is an initial time, bounded by the diameter, where $\rho(t) = 1/N$. (b) 100 loops of length 4 and the rightmost loop is of length 5. The shape of $\rho(t)$ and the transient time depend on the location of the stimulations. For case (1) it takes $O(D)$ time steps for a spike to arrive at the loop of length 5 which is essential to achieve ZLS. For initial conditions (2) and (3) this time is shorter and the time to ZLS is reduced.
FIG. 3: Extension of the scaling of the transient time, Eq. (3), to the case where $C$ and $V_{\text{max}}^{-1}$ are both $O(N^\alpha), 0 < \alpha < 1$. (a) The lengths of all loops scales as $O(N^\alpha), \alpha = 1/3$ (lower blue) and $\alpha = 2/3$ (upper red). Simulation results indicates that for $\alpha = 1/3$ $T \propto N^{0.98} \sim O(N)$, while for $\alpha = 2/3$ $T \propto N^{1.31} \sim O(N^{4/3})$ which are both in a good agreement with the theoretical prediction, Eq. (3). (b) A network where one of the loops scales differently, $C = O(N^\beta), 0 < \alpha < \beta < 1$. The transient time scales as $T = O(N^{\beta + \alpha} + N)$. For $\alpha = 1/4$ (lower blue) the estimated scaling is $T \propto N^{1.01}$ while for $\alpha = 1/2$ the estimation is $T \propto N^{1.18}$, in a fairly good agreement with the scaling predicted by Eq. (3).

In conclusion, the scaling law of the transient time to achieve ZLS is established as a function of only three properties of the network: the circumference, the diameter and the loop with maximal average out degree, where all these parameters can scale as $O(N^\alpha), 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. The scaling law was found to be a tight upper bound for many classes of networks but cannot be proven in general. Moreover, we have shown that typically the transient can be predicted from a finite steps of the transient. Finally we note that for a matrix $M$ where the sum of each row is normalized to a constant, e.g. $\sum_j M_{i,j} = 1$, it has been shown that the stability of synchronization is determined by the eigenvalue gap around the largest eigenvalue \[12\]. Similarly, the scaling of the transient time is inversely proportional to the eigenvalue gap. However, in our dynamics ZLS is not an eigenvector of $M$, hence the transient time is not determined by the eigenvalue gap but rather by the graphical properties of the network, Eq. (3).
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