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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Patients with plaque psoriasis experience a variety of signs and symptoms that can impact daily life, which may not be evaluated by clinician-reported outcomes. This study aimed to develop and assess the content validity of a new patient-reported outcome (PRO) measure to capture patient experiences of the signs, symptoms and impacts of psoriasis and aid integration of the patient perspective in treatment benefit-risk decision-making.

Methods: The psoriasis symptoms and impacts measure (P-SIM) was developed based on a literature search and interviews with five clinical experts in psoriasis to identify frequent signs, symptoms and impacts of psoriasis. Hybrid concept elicitation, cognitive debriefing and usability testing interviews were conducted with moderate to severe psoriasis patients to evaluate the content validity and patient understanding of the preliminary P-SIM. The preliminary P-SIM was refined using initial quantitative analyses of phase 2b data from psoriasis patients to inform the removal of any items.

Results: A preliminary 19-item P-SIM was developed for administration on a hand-held electronic tablet device using a 0–10 numerical response scale over a 24-h recall period. Patient interviews and testing demonstrated most patients interpreted the items and responses as intended, would not re-word any items, felt the responses matched the items and rated the device as easy to use. After quantitative testing, five items were removed from the preliminary 19-item measure because of conceptual overlap, floor effects and/or skewed distributions to generate the final 14-item P-SIM.

Conclusions: The P-SIM questionnaire has good content validity; patients reported it was easy to understand and reflective of their experiences. Following psychometric validation, the P-SIM may be a useful PRO measure for capturing the signs, symptoms and impacts of...
psoriasis and may support clinician-reported outcomes when assessing treatment benefits in clinical trials.
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Key Summary Points

Why carry out this study?

Patients with plaque psoriasis can be affected by a range of signs and symptoms that impact their daily life; however, these experiences are not captured by clinician-reported outcomes.

The objective of this study was to develop and validate the content of a new patient reported outcome (PRO) measure, the psoriasis symptoms and impacts measure (P-SIM), which aims to capture patient experience of the signs, symptoms and impacts of psoriasis.

What was learned from the study?

The P-SIM questionnaire has good content validity; patients found that the P-SIM was easy to understand and captured the key signs, symptoms and impacts they experience living with psoriasis.

Following psychometric validation, the P-SIM may be a useful PRO measure for understanding patients’ experiences of psoriasis and could aid integration of the patient perspective in treatment benefit-risk decision-making.

INTRODUCTION

Plaque psoriasis is a chronic inflammatory skin disease [1, 2]. Characterised by the presence of red and scaly plaques that may cause bleeding, itching and pain, the signs and symptoms of plaque psoriasis can negatively impact patient quality of life [2–4]. The impact of psoriasis on patient physical and psychological function has been reported to be comparable to that of other major medical conditions including cancer, arthritis, hypertension, heart disease, diabetes and depression [5]. Psoriasis disease burden is associated with the presence of comorbidities including psoriatic arthritis (PsA), which occurs in up to 30% of psoriasis patients [6].

In clinical trials for psoriasis treatments, efficacy is typically assessed using clinical outcome measures such as the Psoriasis Area and Severity Index (PASI) and the Investigator's Global Assessment (IGA). However, measuring the symptoms and effects of psoriasis on patients’ daily lives is also key to understanding how to support their treatment needs and achieve effective disease management. Patient-reported outcome (PRO) measures have been developed for the assessment of symptoms and functional impacts of psoriasis to support clinical measures. These include, but are not limited to, the psoriasis quality of life questionnaire (PQOL and PQOL-12) [7, 8], psoriasis symptom inventory electronic daily diary [9], psoriasis symptom diary [10, 11], psoriasis symptoms and signs diary [12] and the psoriasis symptom scale [13]. However, despite the number of currently available PRO instruments, none are freely available for use to capture psoriasis-specific signs, symptoms and impacts in the clinical evaluation of new treatments to support labeling claims. Therefore, a new PRO measure was developed specifically for use in the bimekizumab clinical trial programme with the aim of collecting data on patient experiences of living with psoriasis.

The psoriasis symptoms and impacts measure (P-SIM) has been developed to capture patients’ experiences of key signs, symptoms and impacts of plaque psoriasis, with the intention to integrate the patient perspective into treatment benefit-risk decision-making. This article describes the iterative development process and evaluates the content validity of the P-SIM in patients with moderate to severe plaque psoriasis.
METHODS

A review of the literature and interviews with clinical dermatologists were conducted to define the key signs, symptoms and impacts of plaque psoriasis and to generate proposed items for inclusion in the P-SIM. A preliminary questionnaire was developed, and patient interviews were used for concept elicitation, cognitive debriefing and usability testing of this initial version. Quantitative analysis and item finalisation of the P-SIM were conducted using phase 2b clinical trial data.

Literature Review

A targeted literature review was performed between 11–16 February 2016 to identify the key signs, symptoms and impacts related to plaque psoriasis. Three academic literature databases were used as sources: MEDLINE, ProQuest Psychology and Academic Search Complete. Search terms applied to the title and abstract were “plaque psoriasis” and “sign OR symptom OR impact OR quality of life OR QoL OR activities of daily living (ADL) OR ADL OR focus group OR qualitative OR interview OR daily living OR ethnograph* OR patient perspective”. No date filters were applied, although filters were applied for “human, adults and English” where possible. Title, abstract and full-text reviews of the initial search results were performed to identify relevant articles for inclusion in the review. Studies that included a target population other than adults, or did not address signs, symptoms or impacts of plaque psoriasis, were excluded.

Clinical Expert Interviews

Five clinical dermatologists in the US, with expertise in managing adults with psoriasis, participated in semi-structured, one-to-one telephone interviews. Interviews were conducted from 7–10 March 2016 and lasted 60–75 min. All interviews were recorded, transcribed and thematically analysed. The main topics of the interviews included: a description of plaque psoriasis, diagnosis, key signs and symptoms, the burden of the disease and impacts on daily living and quality of life.

Item Generation

Nineteen items describing the signs, symptoms and impacts of plaque psoriasis were included in the preliminary version of the P-SIM. These were generated by a working group comprised of experts in PRO item generation, electronic clinical outcome assessment experts and team members from the UCB Pharma bimekizumab development team. The focus was on concepts mentioned most frequently in the clinical expert interviews. It was ensured that the items were: (1) adequately capturing the concepts identified from the literature review and clinical expert interviews; (2) clearly defined; (3) clinically relevant; (4) appropriate for use in the context of pivotal clinical trials for treatment of moderate to severe plaque psoriasis in adults.

Patient Interviews

Patients

Adults with moderate to severe plaque psoriasis (PASI ≥ 12, ≥ 10% body surface area [BSA] affected by psoriasis and IGA score ≥ 3 on a 5-point scale) diagnosed for at least 6 months were recruited to participate in face-to-face hybrid concept elicitation, cognitive debriefing and usability testing interviews. Physicians and recruitment site staff were trained to screen and enroll patients from the 48-week extension phase (BE ABLE 2: NCT03010527) of a phase 2b study in adults with moderate to severe plaque psoriasis (12-week phase 2b study: BE ABLE 1 [NCT02905006]). Its study design has been published previously [14, 15]. To be included, patients: voluntarily provided written, informed consent; were able to speak, read, write and understand US English; were judged by the recruiting clinician to have adequate communication skills to share their experience with the disease. Patients were excluded from the study if they had: erythrodermic, guttate or generalised pustular forms of psoriasis or drug-induced psoriasis; a history of chronic or recurrent infections, recent serious or life-
threatening infection, or hospitalisation for any infection within the last 6 months; any current sign or symptom that may indicate an infection and may interfere with their ability to report on their experience with psoriasis; a diagnosis of inflammatory conditions other than psoriasis or psoriatic arthritis; a history of chronic alcohol or drug abuse within the last 6 months. To recruit patients representative of the targeted population in the bimekizumab in plaque psoriasis clinical development programme, specific recruitment targets were employed: all patients should have diagnosis of moderate to severe plaque psoriasis; 3–5 could have psoriatic arthritis; all patients should have investigator global assessment (IGA) score of 3 (moderate) or 4 (severe).

**Interviews**

Mixed concept elicitation, cognitive debriefing and usability testing interviews were conducted based on a semi-structured interview guide. During concept elicitation, open-ended questions followed by probed questions were used to gain an understanding of plaque psoriasis signs, symptoms and impacts from the patient’s perspective. Questions were non-leading, and targeted probes were only used after the initial open-ended questions to clarify concepts of interest. For concepts identified during the literature review and clinician interviews, patients were asked to rate how bothersome they found each concept on a numerical rating scale (NRS) from 0 (not bothersome)–10 (extremely bothersome). The severity of each sign and symptom reported by patients was also assessed on an NRS from 0 (no symptom)–10 (symptom as bad as you can imagine). During cognitive debriefing, patients’ perceptions and interpretations of the preliminary 19-item P-SIM instructions, items and response options were captured. Finally, patients were asked to respond to structured rating questions related to the usability of the handheld electronic device used to complete the P-SIM and provide rationale for their ratings.

Each patient interview lasted ~120 min and was audio-recorded. Recordings were transcribed verbatim and each transcript was analysed using a coding system applied to concepts reported spontaneously by patients, or as a result of further questioning from the interviewer, using ATLAS.ti version 7.5.10. The coding and analysis process was guided by established qualitative research methods, including grounded theory and constant comparative methods [16–18].

Interview data were assessed for conceptual saturation, which was considered to be achieved at the point when additional interviews would not yield new information. To evaluate conceptual saturation, concepts spontaneously emerging from the interviews were analysed in sets in the order the data were collected. Concepts reported in the first 25% of interviews were compared with the second 25% of interviews. Concepts reported in the first 50% of interviews were compared with the next 25% and, finally, concepts reported in the first 75% of interviews were compared with the last 25%.

**Quantitative Analyses and Item Finalisation**

Quantitative analyses of the preliminary 19-item P-SIM were conducted using data from BE ABLE 1 [15].

A subset of randomised patients at study sites that elected to participate in the collection of PRO data completed the preliminary 19-item P-SIM daily over the 12-week study period from the baseline (week 0) visit. P-SIM data were collected at study visit weeks 0, 1, 2, 4, 6, 8 and 12. For each time point, except week 0 (these data were collected from a single assessment at the baseline study visit), a weekly score was calculated for each individual item as the mean of data from the seven days preceding the corresponding visit. Weekly scores were considered valid if at least four entries were completed during the preceding 7-day period. If > 3 days were missing, then the weekly score was considered as missing. Only patients with at least one evaluable P-SIM weekly score were included in the analysis and there was no imputation of missing data.

Descriptive statistics (mean, median, standard deviation [SD], maximum value, minimum value and skewness) were calculated for
the scores for each individual item of the preliminary 19-item P-SIM. Inter-item correlations, using Pearson’s and Spearman’s correlations, were calculated at weeks 0 and 1.

Compliance with Ethics Guidelines

All patients gave informed consent. This study and the phase 2b clinical trial (BE ABLE 1: NCT02905006), used for quantitative analysis, were conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and the International Conference on Harmonization Guidance for Good Clinical Practice. Independent institutional review board and international ethics committee approvals were obtained from those listed in Supplementary Table S1, including the following central ethics committees and institutional review boards (IRB): Research Review Board Inc., Canada; Western IRB, USA; Copernicus Group IRB, USA; Komisja Bioetyczna przy Dolnoslaskiej Izbie Lekarskiej, Poland; Egyesegyusi Tudomanyos Tanacs Klinikai Farmakologiai Eti, Hungary; FN Kralovske Vinohrady, Czech Republic.

RESULTS

Literature Review

The targeted literature review identified 286 publications, from which 24 articles were considered relevant for inclusion in the final review. The signs and symptoms covered by these articles were subdivided into two categories: (1) dermatologically observed skin changes and their sequelae and (2) physical discomfort. The most commonly reported observable dermatological signs and symptoms cited in the articles were erythema (redness, skin irritation) and flaking (shedding, scaling of silvery white plaques and lesions). Other important but less commonly reported signs and symptoms were thickening of the skin, bleeding, cracking (tearing), soreness (tenderness) and dry skin. Physical discomfort signs and symptoms included burning sensation (stinging, tickling, crawling), pain (hurt, ache), soredness (tenderness), heat sensation, skin sensitivity, skin inflammation and swollen or stiff joints. In addition, pruritus (itch) was frequently reported as a physical discomfort sign and symptom (although this was sometimes referred to as an impact) detrimental to patients’ health-related quality of life and functional ability. The impacts of plaque psoriasis most commonly identified from the literature search were the effects of the disease on social function (interference with interpersonal relationships, sexual difficulties/avoidance) and psychological function (including depression, anxiety, stress, distress, embarrassment). Other impacts included fatigue, sleep disturbance, limitations in exercise, limitations in daily activities, interference with work or studying, and influence on clothing choice.

Clinical Expert Interviews

The signs and symptoms of plaque psoriasis and impacts on patients described by clinical expert dermatologists were similar to those identified in the literature review. Clinicians described plaque psoriasis as a visible chronic skin condition caused by a dysfunction in the immune system, commonly characterised by skin redness, scaling, flaking, bleeding, itching and pain. All five clinicians reported redness, itching and scaling, whilst 4/5 reported flaking, bleeding and pain. Other signs and symptoms described by at least 2/5 clinicians were skin irritation, fatigue, skin thickness, stinging, cracking, soreness, lesions and plaques. Depression, interference with relationships and limitation of exercise or activities were the impacts most commonly mentioned by clinicians (5/5). Other impacts reported included shame/embarrassment, fatigue, interference with work or study and sleep disturbance. In addition, clinicians noted that the appearance of plaque psoriasis was often a burden on patients, especially regarding how they felt about themselves and their appearance. Itch was reported to have a high burden on patients.
Item Generation for the Preliminary 19-Item P-SIM

Using concepts arising from the targeted literature review and the clinical expert interviews, a preliminary version of the P-SIM was generated, with 19 items covering frequently reported signs, symptoms, and impacts of plaque psoriasis. The P-SIM was designed to be completed daily using a handheld electronic tablet device to assess the patient experience of the concept in each item over the past 24 h using an 11-point NRS. A score of 0 indicates an experience of no sign, symptom, or impact, whereas a score of 10 indicates an experience of a very severe sign, symptom, or impact. The instructions for the P-SIM, a list of the 19 questions and their responses can be found in Table 1.

Patient interviews

Patients
A total of 15 patients, based in the US, with moderate to severe plaque psoriasis participated in the interviews; 2/15 (13.3%) also had PsA. The majority of patients were male (n = 9, 60.0%), were white (n = 11, 73.3%) and reported ‘very good’ or ‘good’ health status (Table 2). Patients had a mean age of 51.1 years (SD = 13.8; Table 2).

Concept Elicitation

Signs, Symptoms and Impacts
A total of 59 signs, symptoms, and impacts of plaque psoriasis were reported by patients during the interviews. Table 3 provides a summary of the frequency of reporting, bothersome ratings and severity ratings for signs, symptoms, and impacts included in the preliminary 19-item P-SIM; example quotes from patients discussing these items are in Table 4. Complete data for all signs, symptoms, and impacts reported during concept elicitation can be found in Supplementary Tables S2–4.

Amongst the most commonly reported signs, symptoms, and impacts, skin itching and redness (Table 3), along with skin damage caused by scratching or picking at the skin (Supplementary Table S2), were spontaneously reported by all patients. Scaling, flaking, dryness and impact on clothing choice were also reported by all patients, either spontaneously or upon probing by the interviewer (Table 3). Itch was the most frequently rated on both the bothersome (n = 15/15, 100.0%) and severity scales (n = 12/15, 80.0%), receiving mean scores of 7.7/10 and 8.3/10, respectively (Table 3). Other signs, symptoms, and impacts rated most frequently on the bothersome scale were impact on clothing choice (n = 14/15, 93.3%; mean 6.3/10), redness (n = 13/15, 86.7%; mean 8.2/10), dryness (n = 12/15, 80.0%; mean 7.7/10) and flaking (n = 12/15, 80.0%; mean 8.2/10). Redness and flaking were also frequently rated for severity (both n = 9/15, 60.0%; mean 5.9/10 and 7.8/10, respectively). Scaling was rated by 66.7% of patients on the bothersome scale and pain rated by 53.3% of patients (Table 3). Both were considered bothersome by patients and received mean scores of 8.1/10 and 7.5/10, respectively (Table 3).

Saturation Qualitative data from the concept elicitation interviews were also assessed for conceptual saturation. There were 28 spontaneously reported signs and symptoms of plaque psoriasis over the course of the 15 patient interviews. Over 75% of these signs and symptoms were reported during the first three interviews, and nearly 90% were reported by the fourth interview. All signs and symptoms were reported by the sixth interview and no new spontaneously reported signs or symptoms emerged after this point. Nearly 70% of the 30 spontaneously reported impacts were reported by the third interview and nearly 90% were reported by the sixth interview. All impacts were reported by the eleventh interview, with no new spontaneously reported impacts reported during the remaining interviews. This suggests that sign, symptom, and impact conceptual saturation was achieved, negating the need for any further interviews.

Cognitive Debriefing

Item Feedback All patients (n = 15/15, 100.0%) interpreted the instructions as intended and reported that they would not re-word the instructions (Table 5). More than half of
Table 1: Preliminary 19-item P-SIM

| Item                                                                 | Response scale                                      |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| Instructions: The following questions refer to things that you may experience as a result of your psoriasis. Please read through and complete the questions on the following screens. There are no right or wrong answers. Please select the answer that best applies to you as a result of your psoriasis. |
| 1. During the past 24 h, how severe was your worst skin itching?     | 0 (No itching)–10 (very severe itching)             |
| 2. During the past 24 h, how severe was your worst skin redness?     | 0 (No skin redness)–10 (very severe skin redness)   |
| 3. During the past 24 h, how severe was your worst skin pain?        | 0 (No skin pain)–10 (very severe skin pain)         |
| 4. During the past 24 h, how severe was your worst skin burning?     | 0 (No skin burning)–10 (very severe skin burning)   |
| 5. During the past 24 h, how severe was your worst skin scaling?     | 0 (No skin scaling)–10 (very severe skin scaling)   |
| 6. During the past 24 h, how severe was your worst skin stinging?    | 0 (No skin stinging)–10 (very severe skin stinging) |
| 7. During the past 24 h, how severe was your worst skin cracking?    | 0 (No skin cracking)–10 (very severe skin cracking) |
| 8. During the past 24 h, how severe was your worst skin flaking?     | 0 (No skin flaking)–10 (very severe skin flaking)   |
| 9. During the past 24 h, how severe was your worst skin dryness?     | 0 (No skin dryness)–10 (very severe skin dryness)   |
| 10. During the past 24 h, how severe was your worst skin irritation?  | 0 (No skin irritation)–10 (very severe skin irritation) |
| 11. During the past 24 h, how severe was your worst skin sensitivity?| 0 (No skin sensitivity)–10 (very severe skin sensitivity) |
| 12. During the past 24 h, how severe was your worst skin lesions (red marks, spots, or inflammation)? | 0 (No skin lesions)–10 (very severe skin lesions) |
| 13. During the past 24 h, how severe was your worst skin thickening (hardening or roughening)? | 0 (No skin thickening)–10 (very severe skin thickening) |
| 14. During the past 24 h, how severe was your itch-related sleep loss? | 0 (No itch-related sleep loss)–10 (Worst possible itch-related sleep loss) |
| 15. During the past 24 h, at its worst, how severe was your psoriasis-related fatigue (weariness, tiredness)? | 0 (No fatigue)–10 (worst possible fatigue) |
| 16. During the past 24 h, at its worst, how much embarrassment did you feel as a result of your psoriasis? | 0 (No feelings of embarrassment)–10 (worst possible feelings of embarrassment) |
| 17. During the past 24 h, at its worst, how much did you avoid doing your usual activities as a result of your psoriasis? | 0 (No avoidance of my usual activities)–10 (completely avoided doing my usual activities) |
| 18. During the past 24 h, at its worst, how much did your psoriasis impact your personal relationships (e.g., with family, friends, or work colleagues)? | 0 (No impact on personal relationships)–10 (completely impacted personal relationships) |
| 19. During the past 24 h, at its worst, how much did your psoriasis impact your choice of clothing? | 0 (No impact on my choice of clothing)–10 (completely impacted my choice of clothing) |

Items in italics were removed from the final P-SIM questionnaire because of quantitative analysis results.

P-SIM: psoriasis symptoms and impacts measure.
patients with evaluable data (>57%) interpreted all items as intended and most patients with evaluable data (>58%) reported that they would not re-word any of the items (Table 5). Skin itching, pain and scaling items from the P-SIM were used as secondary end points in phase 3 clinical trials. Out of these items most patients interpreted itching and pain as intended (n = 15/15, 100.0% and n = 13/15, 86.7%, respectively; Table 5); 60.0% (n = 9/15) of patients interpreted the scaling item as intended (Table 5); most patients who misinterpreted the item did so because they interpreted the item to be the same as skin flaking. In addition, >75% of patients with evaluable data reported that they would not re-word the itching, pain or scaling items.

Response options for all the P-SIM items were interpreted as intended by >80% of patients with evaluable data (Table 6). Most patients with evaluable data (>80%) also felt that the response scale matched the question for each item (Table 6). The response options for itch, pain and scaling were interpreted by 100.0%, 85.7% and 100.0% of patients with evaluable data, respectively (Table 6), and most (>89%) said that the response scale matched the questions for these items.

**General Feedback** When asked about their general impressions of the preliminary 19-item P-SIM, most patients reported that there were no words that were difficult to understand (n = 9/15, 60.0%), no concepts missing (n = 11/15, 73.3%) and no items were redundant (n = 8/15, 53.3%).

**Recall Period** The P-SIM was designed to be completed daily and requires patients to rate the severity of each item during the past 24 h. When providing feedback during the patient interviews, most patients interpreted the 24-h recall period as intended (n = 11/15, 73.3%). Four patients had an issue adhering to the recall period and instead used a different recall period (e.g., “in general, every day” [1–12]).

**Usability Testing**

Overall, patients found the electronic tablet device used to complete the preliminary 19-item P-SIM easy to use. On a scale of 1 (poor)–5 (excellent), most patients rated the device as “excellent” for finger sensitivity, stylus sensitivity and overall appearance (n = 10/15, 66.7%; n = 13/15, 86.7%; n = 11/15, 73.3%, respectively; Supplementary Table S5). On a similar scale of 1 (difficult)–5 (easy), most patients rated selecting an answer, advancing to the next screen, readability of font size and overall ease of use of the device as “easy” (n = 13/15, 86.7%; n = 14/15, 93.3%; n = 10/15, 66.7%; n = 13/15, 86.7%, respectively; Supplementary Table S5).

---

### Table 2 Patient interview demographics and characteristics

| Characteristic                  | Total patients (N = 15) |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|
| Age, years                      |                         |
| Range                           | 24–77                  |
| Average (SD)                    | 51.1 (13.8)            |
| Gender, n (%)                   |                        |
| Male                            | 9 (60.0)               |
| Female                          | 6 (40.0)               |
| Race, n (%)                     |                        |
| White                           | 11 (73.3)              |
| Asian                           | 4 (26.7)               |
| Work status, n (%)              |                        |
| Working full time               | 10 (66.7)              |
| Working part time               | 1 (6.7)                |
| Retired                         | 2 (13.3)               |
| Homemaker                       | 1 (6.7)                |
| Unemployed                      | 1 (6.7)                |
| General health status, n (%)    |                        |
| Excellent                       | 2 (13.3)               |
| Very good                       | 6 (40.0)               |
| Good                            | 6 (40.0)               |
| Fair                            | 1 (6.7)                |

SD standard deviation

---

△ Adis
A total of 180 patients from the phase 2b BEABLE 1 study had evaluable P-SIM data at any time point. These patients were recruited from study sites in Canada (n = 39, 21.7%), Poland (n = 114, 63.3%) and the USA (n = 27, 15.0%). The mean age was 43.4 (SD = 13.6), 67.2% (n = 121) were male and 93.3% (n = 168) were white (Supplementary Table S6). At week 0 the mean PASI score was 18.7 (SD = 5.9). To inform

Table 3 Summary of patient feedback on items from the preliminary P-SIM (N = 15)

| Concept (worst severity in P-SIM) | Frequency of report during concept elicitation, n (%) | Bothersome rating<sup>a</sup> | Severity rating<sup>b</sup> |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|
|                                  | Frequency<sup>c</sup>, n (%)  | Average, mean (SD)      | Frequency<sup>c</sup>, n (%) | Average, mean (SD) |
| 1. Skin itching                  | 15 (100.0)                             | 7.7 (2.8)            | 12 (80.0)                   | 8.3 (3.8)         |
| 2. Skin redness                  | 15 (100.0)                             | 8.2 (3.4)             | 9 (60.0)                      | 5.9 (3.4)         |
| 3. Skin pain                     | 8 (53.3)                               | 7.5 (4.3)             | 7 (46.7)                      | 7.3 (4.1)         |
| 4. Skin burning                  | 6 (40.0)                               | 8.5 (3.9)             | 2 (13.3)                      | 9.0 (3.2)         |
| 5. Skin scaling                  | 15 (100.0)                             | 8.1 (4.3)             | 5 (33.3)                      | 6.6 (3.5)         |
| 6. Skin stinging                 | 7 (46.7)                               | 8.0 (2.1)             | 1 (6.7)                       | 8.0 (2.1)         |
| 7. Skin cracking                 | 12 (80.0)                              | 7.5 (4.4)             | 6 (40.0)                      | 6.5 (3.7)         |
| 8. Skin flaking                  | 15 (100.0)                             | 8.2 (3.9)             | 9 (60.0)                      | 7.8 (4.2)         |
| 9. Skin dryness                  | 15 (100.0)                             | 7.7 (3.7)             | 7 (46.7)                      | 7.4 (4.1)         |
| 10. Skin irritation               | 11 (73.3)                              | 7.0 (3.9)             | 6 (40.0)                      | 6.8 (3.9)         |
| 11. Skin sensitivity              | 5 (33.3)                               | 8.0 (4.0)             | 2 (13.3)                      | 7.0 (2.5)         |
| 12. Skin lesions                 | 8 (53.3)                               | 9.0 (2.3)             | 1 (6.7)                       | 2.0 (0.5)         |
| 13. Skin thickening               | 12 (80.0)                              | 6.7 (3.8)             | 4 (26.7)                      | 6.8 (3.1)         |
| 14. Sleep loss                   | 12 (80.0)                              | 7.1 (4.2)             | –                             | –                 |
| 15. Fatigue                      | 2 (13.3)                               | 8.0 (2.0)             | –                             | –                 |
| 16. Feelings of embarrassment    | 7 (46.7)                               | 7.0 (2.9)             | –                             | –                 |
| 17. Avoid doing usual activities  | 9 (60.0)                               | 5.0 (2.9)             | –                             | –                 |
| 18. Personal relationships        | 11 (73.3)                              | 6.4 (3.9)             | –                             | –                 |
| 19. Choice of clothing            | 15 (100.0)                             | 6.3 (3.0)             | –                             | –                 |

<sup>a</sup> On a scale of 0 to 10, where 0 = not bothersome at all and 10 = extremely bothersome

<sup>b</sup> On a scale of 0 to 10, where 0 = no [sign/symptom] and 10 = [sign/symptom] as bad as you can imagine

<sup>c</sup> In some cases, the N providing a bothersome/severity rating does not equal the total number of patients reporting this concept. These instances occurred because (1) the patient was not asked to provide a rating because of time constraints and/or interviewer’s discretion or (2) the patient’s response was found to be inconclusive during analysis

<sup>d</sup> This item was ultimately removed from the final 14-item P-SIM

Quantitative Analyses and Item Finalisation

A total of 180 patients from the phase 2b BEABLE 1 study had evaluable P-SIM data at any time point. These patients were recruited from
| Item                | Example quotes                                                                                   |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1. Skin itching     | ...like you’ve been, you know, rolling around in the grass, and you got a million little grass cuts and you’re all itchy. It’s like that...In fact you feel itchy over your entire body even where there’s not psoriasis for some reason. ...it’s gotta be part of the psoriasis you know |
| 2. Skin redness     | ...it’s kind of like poison ivy, sort of, because um, the area that’s already like slightly pink will get more red and then you just got, you just want to scratch it. ...pink when it’s sometimes controlled. Red when it, it’s like really irritating |
| 3. Skin pain        | [01–04]: I mean, well I mean it can feel pain like a real a soreness or something. You can feel the, the scales like pushing together or something like that, you know |
| 4. Skin burning     | [01–05]: At its absolute worst as far as feeling goes, it gets to the point where it feels hot or itchy and I have a nature of, even if I know I’m not supposed to scratch it really bad...And then it, it gets painful, um, and not always, but most of the time gets painful and like um, almost like a heat pain...The heat pain for me is basically that it, it’s, I feel pain but I also feel hot in the area... |
| 5. Skin scaling     | [01–15]: Scales are messy. They show up everywhere. You can just be walking and you know, you just scratch it like a little bit and then, you know, and it falls out...my legs have always been the worst, so it just does doesn’t go away so it’s always scaling |
| 6. Skin stinginga   | [01–02]: It will sting at first but then you’ll see the red. It will bleed a tiny bit and then you have to, the um, white coating from the body coming out to try to heal the wound, which is still the actual psoriasis itself also works out that way because it pushes double the amount...It stings once it hits the air the first time, like a regular wound |
| 7. Skin cracking    | [01–09]: I feel like it looks like cracked um, I don’t know if scaly is the right word. It’s more like, like, it’s almost like cracked skin like if, if, if you ever had your knuckles get really really dried out in the winter so bad. Or from like washing a lot of dishes, I can only compare it to that to where you get like these little cracks that sort of go through all that and it’s like into the red part. More like a cracking. Thin, thin small cracks |
| 8. Skin flakinga    | [01–04]: I'll sweep the floor in my room and there'll be a big pile of skin flakes...Um, like I say, when I, when it’s really bad, it’s you know you’ll get like the, the plates, can be like two inches in diameter, something like that and, and thick. I mean like cause there’s so many layers of skin there, it’s kinda of all dried and hardened |
| 9. Skin dryness     | [01–02]: When you get out it’s hardening it back up but then on the slight corners it will raise up a tiny bit because of the it’s drying back up so like a curling thing. When it gets fully dried up then that’s when you start you start feeling the sensation of it drying up. ...That’s when you’re putting on your clothes or when you just move around a certain area. It ends up um, peeling off, flaking off, and something like that when it dries up fully |
| 10. Skin irritation | [01–15]: It’s, it, it’s itchy and it’s irritating...it just keeps, you just keep itching, just keep scratching or you keep wanting to just pull the, pull the scales off and constantly keep pulling the scales off, just to like, after you pull the scales off put lotion and just try to get that rough part away, the roughness away...do I get the irritating without the itchiness? No, those come hand in hand |

*Adis*
Item Score Distribution

At week 0, mean response scores on the 11-point NRS ranged from 2.2/10 (personal

Table 4 continued

| Item                        | Example quotes                                                                 |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 11. Skin sensitivity        | [01–01]: …when you have the pain it’s very sensitive. Even if somebody touches you, even if you get touched by the clothes, it’s very sensitive in that area…I think I become sensitive, very sensitive is when, sometimes I say to my wife, to put the cream on my back, which on my back I could feel my back in pain right away, because the skin is so sensitive |
| 12. Skin lesions            | [01–14]: Um, what happened is, it starts with a small lesion, you know, like a rough scratch on your skin and it kind of becomes bigger. Again, there’s no open wound whatsoever, it just starts from a small dark spot and then if you don’t treat it well it becomes a bigger dark spot, you know, the skin |
| 13. Skin thickening         | [01–04]: Yeah, I mean the scales can be like giant thick pieces of skin. You know like um, like fish scales…thick because the skin is growing so fast I guess, that the fuses the skin, are you know, no kidding like two or three millimeters thick, see |
| 14. Sleep loss              | [01–07]: …your feet are really rough or something like that and they’re like pulling on the sheets. (laughing) That ends up happening. And um, the um, you know I’ll get up in the middle of the night. I might have to moisturize it, it, it might be too dry. So you know, it does wake you up when it starts to bother you |
| 15. Fatigue                 | [01–15]: It drains you…you don’t want to do nothing all day. If somebody asks you to do something, I don’t feel like going out…I’m not as active, because with the medications and everything, it’s just, it feels like it’s draining |
| 16. Feelings of embarrassment | [01–08]: …if that starts to flare up, that’s embarrassing because I have these big rosy patches, I haven’t let them get to the point of flaking but they’re big red rosy patches on each side of my nose |
| 17. Avoid doing usual activities | [01–11]: Do I, do I have to go out because I don’t really feel like going out because like that, you’re having a little bit of bad mental day, just want to sit inside for a little longer away from all that stuff |
| 18. Personal relationships | [01–01]: On the worst, worst day is when my wife, when she asks me a question and I don’t want to answer it, I don’t feel like answering it, or my son…Q: You don’t feel like answering their questions? A: No…Because I’m in pain, upset |
| 19. Choice of clothing      | [01–08]: You don’t want to, you don’t, you try to cover up the spots as much that are on your body. And being that it’s covering the majority of every spot of my body there is really nothing that I even want to show…It is on my hands, but like I said, I try to control that the most since, I work and type and everything in front of customers, with my job. Um, I can’t wear shorts or a skirt if I wanted to, um, at this point I can’t even wear, um, sandals…Because the spots are on my feet |

P-SIM item finalisation, data from week 0 ($n = 163$) and week 1 ($n = 159$) were used for initial quantitative analysis.
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a This item was ultimately removed from the final 14-item P-SIM
relationships and avoid doing usual activities) to 5.8/10 (skin dryness; Supplementary Table S7). Low mean response scores (< 3.0/10) were reported for skin stinging, sleep loss, fatigue, avoid doing usual activities and personal relationships items (Supplementary Table S7). At Week 1, the avoid doing usual activities item continued to have the lowest mean response score (1.8/10) and had positively skewed distribution (Supplementary Table S8).

**Table 5** Cognitive interview results: instructions and items

| Instructions/item | Debriefing characteristic | Interpreted as intended, n (%) | Participant would not re-word, n (%) |
|-------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Instructions      | 15/15 (100.0)             | 15/15 (100.0)                  |
| 1. Skin itching   | 15/15 (100.0)             | 12/14 (85.7)                   |
| 2. Skin redness   | 14/14 (100.0)             | 12/14 (85.7)                   |
| 3. Skin pain      | 13/15 (86.7)              | 9/12 (75.0)                    |
| 4. Skin burning   | 9/14 (64.3)               | 7/9 (77.8)                     |
| 5. Skin scaling   | 9/15 (60.0)               | 8/9 (88.9)                     |
| 6. Skin stinging<sup>a</sup> | 10/15 (66.7) | 8/9 (88.9) |
| 7. Skin cracking  | 14/15 (93.3)              | 13/13 (100.0)                  |
| 8. Skin flaking<sup>a</sup> | 11/14 (78.6) | 11/11 (100.0) |
| 9. Skin dryness   | 14/14 (100.0)             | 14/14 (100.0)                  |
| 10. Skin irritation | 9/15 (60.0)              | 7/9 (77.8)                     |
| 11. Skin sensitivity | 8/14 (57.1)          | 6/8 (75.0)                     |
| 12. Skin lesions  | 12/15 (80.0)              | 7/12 (58.3)                    |
| 13. Skin thickening | 14/14 (100.0)           | 11/14 (78.6)                   |
| 14. Sleep loss<sup>a</sup> | 14/15 (93.3) | 10/12 (83.3) |
| 15. Fatigue       | 12/14 (85.7)              | 8/10 (80.0)                    |
| 16. Feelings of embarrassment | 15/15 (100.0) | 13/14 (92.9) |
| 17. Avoid doing usual activities<sup>a</sup> | 14/15 (93.3) | 12/14 (85.7) |
| 18. Personal relationships<sup>a</sup> | 15/15 (100.0) | 11/15 (73.3) |
| 19. Impact on my choice of clothing | 13/13 (100.0) | 10/12 (83.3) |

*P-SIM* psoriasis symptoms and impacts measure

<sup>a</sup> This item was ultimately removed from the final 14-item P-SIM

**Inter-Item Correlation**

Inter-item correlations were assessed at week 0 and week 1, to identify high correlations between items that may be indicative of overlapping concepts in the preliminary 19-item P-SIM. High Pearson’s correlations were observed between skin pain and skin burning (week 0 = 0.84, week 1 = 0.90), skin pain and skin stinging (week 0 = 0.82, week 1 = 0.92), skin scaling and skin flaking (week 0 = 0.85, week 1 = 0.94), skin redness and skin lesions (week 0 = 0.78, week 1 = 0.93) and sleep loss...
and fatigue (week 0 = 0.85, week 1 = 0.92; Supplementary Tables S9 and S10). In addition, similar results were also seen with Spearman’s correlations at Week 0 and Week 1 (Supplementary Tables S11 and S12).

Based on results from the item score distribution and inter-item correlation analyses, five items were removed from the preliminary 19-item P-SIM. Due to low mean response score and a positively skewed distribution, the avoid doing usual activities item was removed from the questionnaire. It was deemed that the items with the highest floor effects were not as relevant to patients and were unlikely to capture

Table 6 Cognitive interview results: responses

| Response scale | Debriefing characteristic | Interpreted as intended, n (%) | Response scale matches question, n (%) |
|----------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 1. 0 (No skin itching)–10 (very severe skin itching) | 15/15 (100.0) | 11/11 (100.0) |
| 2. 0 (No skin redness)–10 (very severe skin redness) | 15/15 (100.0) | 10/10 (100.0) |
| 3. 0 (No skin pain)–10 (very severe skin pain) | 12/14 (85.7) | 11/12 (91.7) |
| 4. 0 (No skin burning)–10 (very severe skin burning) | 13/15 (86.7) | 4/5 (80.0) |
| 5. 0 (No skin scaling)–10 (very severe skin scaling) | 15/15 (100.0) | 8/9 (88.9) |
| 6. 0 (No skin stinging)–10 (very severe skin stinging)a | 14/14 (100.0) | 8/8 (100.0) |
| 7. 0 (No skin cracking)–10 (very severe skin cracking) | 14/15 (93.3) | 11/11 (100.0) |
| 8. 0 (No skin flaking)–10 (very severe skin flaking)a | 15/15 (100.0) | 11/11 (100.0) |
| 9. 0 (No skin dryness)–10 (very severe skin dryness) | 14/15 (93.3) | 11/11 (100.0) |
| 10. 0 (No skin irritation)–10 (very severe skin irritation) | 12/15 (80.0) | 7/8 (87.5) |
| 11. 0 (No skin sensitivity)–10 (very severe skin sensitivity) | 14/14 (100.0) | 8/8 (100.0) |
| 12. 0 (No skin lesions)–10 (very severe skin lesions) | 15/15 (100.0) | 10/11 (90.9) |
| 13. 0 (No skin thickening)–10 (very severe thickening) | 15/15 (100.0) | 9/10 (90.0) |
| 14. 0 (No itch-related sleep loss)–10 (worst possible itch-related sleep loss)a | 14/15 (93.3) | 11/11 (100.0) |
| 15. 0 (No fatigue)–10 (worst possible fatigue) | 11/13 (84.6) | 7/8 (87.5) |
| 16. 0 (No feelings of embarrassment)–10 (worst possible feelings of embarrassment) | 15/15 (100.0) | 13/13 (100.0) |
| 17. 0 (No avoidance of my usual activities)–10 (completely avoided doing my usual activities)a | 15/15 (100.0) | 12/12 (100.0) |
| 18. 0 (No impact on personal relationships)–10 (completely impacted personal relationships)a | 15/15 (100.0) | 13/13 (100.0) |
| 19. 0 (No impact on my choice of clothing)–10 (completely impacted my choice of clothing) | 15/15 (100.0) | 10/10 (100.0) |

P-SIM psoriasis symptoms and impacts measure

a This item was ultimately removed from the final 14-item P-SIM
any improvement. Therefore, the personal relationship item was also removed because of floor effects. As a result of suggested conceptual overlap, items on skin stinging and skin flaking were also removed. The sleep loss item showed floor effects and conceptual overlap, so this item was removed because of poor results in both analyses. After the removal of these items, the final version of the P-SIM contained 14 items.

DISCUSSION

The aim of this study was to develop a PRO measure to capture patient experiences of signs, symptoms and impacts of plaque psoriasis. As such, the preliminary 19-item P-SIM was developed using concepts identified from a targeted literature review and clinical expert interviews. The preliminary 19-item P-SIM version was then tested through qualitative patient interviews to evaluate the content validity and usability of the PRO measure. The results from the patient interviews were used in conjunction with results of quantitative analyses to inform the removal of several items and produce the final 14-item P-SIM. The methods used in this study were in accordance with the Food and Drug Administration’s (FDA) guidance on the development and use of new PRO instruments to support label claims [19, 20].

Three items from the P-SIM (skin itch, skin pain and skin scaling) were used as secondary end points in phase 3 bimekizumab clinical trials to support efficacy and label claims (NCT03370133; NCT03410992; NCT03536884). Itch and scaling were mentioned by all patients during concept elicitation. Although pain was only mentioned by 53% of patients, it was rated as bothersome along with itch and scaling. The aim is to ensure patients’ perspectives complement the “gold standard” clinician-reported outcome measures (PASI and IGA) in the evaluation of treatment benefits. Skin pain and itching are subjective concepts most accurately assessed directly by the patient. Thus, inclusion of subjective patient-reported concepts alongside the clinician-reported concepts is critical in ensuring a comprehensive assessment of psoriasis signs and symptoms. In addition, both the PASI and IGA assess skin scaling, and by including a patient-reported assessment of skin scaling, this will further ensure that the concept is holistically assessed.

Five items were removed from the preliminary 19-item P-SIM to produce the final 14-item version: avoidance of usual activities, personal relationships, sleep loss, skin stinging and skin flaking. The item assessing the impact of plaque psoriasis on personal relationships was removed because of a high floor effect and may not distinguish differences between patients because of the low scores reported by patients. However, it may be that these low baseline scores were due to patients being reluctant to report impacts on their personal relationships because of feelings of embarrassment.

Compared with the sample sizes generally used in randomised clinical trials, a small sample size was used for the patient interviews. Although this is not unusual for PRO qualitative research, it presents a limitation in the analysis and interpretation of these data. However, conceptual saturation was achieved by the 11th interview, suggesting the sample size used was appropriate to identify all signs, symptoms and impacts of plaque psoriasis experienced by patients. A further limitation of this study was that the patients recruited for interview were predominantly white and all five clinicians interviewed were white. Additionally, although
most papers included in the literature review did not report patient ethnicity, in those that did, the majority of patients were white. Although this is typical of the general psoriasis patient population, this study and those included in the literature review do not fully capture the experience of patients with skin of colour, who are at greater risk of developing skin hyper- or hypo-pigmentation [21]. Therefore, the results presented here may underemphasise the impact of hyper- or hypo-pigmentation, and the questionnaire may perform differently in a patient sample with varied skin types and tones.

Since the P-SIM aims to capture patients’ experiences of key plaque psoriasis signs, symptoms and impacts, the effect of PsA on the results of the P-SIM has not been evaluated. However, PsA was reported by some psoriasis patients included in the patient interviews and phase 2b study used for quantitative analyses of the P-SIM [15]. PsA is a common comorbidity of psoriasis and may impact on patients’ responses to the questionnaire [2, 6]. The P-SIM may therefore not fully capture the experiences of psoriasis patients with PsA.

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, the P-SIM was developed to measure the signs, symptoms and impacts of plaque psoriasis from a patient perspective. This study demonstrates that the measure has good content validity. Furthermore, feedback from patient interviews shows that the instructions, items and responses included in the P-SIM are easily understandable and the device easy to use. Once further validated by full psychometric testing, the P-SIM may be a useful PRO measure alongside clinical measures in future studies assessing treatment efficacy in patients with moderate to severe plaque psoriasis.
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