Cardiovascular health and risk of hospitalization with COVID-19: A Mendelian Randomization study
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Abstract
Background: Susceptibility to and severity of COVID-19 is associated with risk factors for and presence of cardiovascular disease.

Methods: We performed a 2-sample Mendelian randomization to determine whether blood pressure (BP), body mass index (BMI), presence of type 2 diabetes (T2DM) and coronary artery disease (CAD) are causally related to presentation with severe COVID-19. Variant-exposure instrumental variable associations were determined from most recently published genome-wide association and meta-analysis studies (GWAS) with publicly available summary-level GWAS data. Variant-outcome associations were obtained from a recent GWAS meta-analysis of laboratory confirmed diagnosis of COVID-19 with severity determined according to need for hospitalization/death. We also examined reverse causality using exposure as diagnosis of severe COVID-19 causing cardiovascular disease.

Results: We found no evidence for a causal association of cardiovascular risk factors/disease with severe COVID-19 (compared to population controls), nor evidence of reverse causality. Causal odds ratios (OR, by inverse variance weighted regression) for BP (OR for COVID-19 diagnosis 1.00 [95% confidence interval (CI): 0.99–1.01, P = 0.604] per genetically predicted increase in BP) and T2DM (OR for COVID-19 diagnosis to that of genetically predicted T2DM 1.02 [95% CI: 0.9–1.05, P = 0.927], in particular, were close to unity with relatively narrow confidence intervals.

Conclusion: The association between cardiovascular risk factors/disease with that of hospitalization with COVID-19 reported in observational studies could be due to residual confounding by socioeconomic factors and/or those that influence the indication for hospital admission.

Keywords
COVID-19, cardiovascular risk factors, mendelian randomization

Received 30 July 2021; Revised 12 October 2021; accepted 25 October 2021

Introduction
Clinical manifestations of COVID-19 vary from asymptomatic infection, mild upper respiratory tract illness to viral pneumonia which may progress to respiratory failure and death in severe cases.1 Risk factors that predispose to increased risk of hospitalization with COVID-19 include a predominance of risk factors for and presence of cardiovascular disease: hypertension, type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), obesity and presence of coronary artery disease (CAD).2–4 Furthermore, once hospitalized, presence of cardiovascular risk factors and disease has been shown to increase the risk of death.5–7 However, whether there is a causal association between these factors and severity of...
COVID-19 is unknown since observational studies do not determine direction of causality and are limited by residual and unknown confounding. Mendelian randomization (MR) is a useful tool which uses genetic variants to investigate causal associations between risk factors and disease outcome in observational data. Since genetic variants are inherited randomly, MR is akin to performing a randomized controlled trial. The aim of the present study was to investigate the association between cardiovascular risk factors and presence of cardiovascular disease and the susceptibility to severe COVID-19 by performing a two-sample MR analysis using the most recently published genome-wide association and meta-analysis studies with publicly available summary-level genome wide association studies (GWAS). In addition, we investigated reverse causality between genes associated with COVID-19 and cardiovascular risk factors and CAD to investigate whether presence of severe COVID-19 may lead to development of cardiovascular disease.

Methods

Two-sample MR was used to investigate the hypothesized causal effect of cardiovascular risk factors and CAD on susceptibility to and severity of COVID-19. Summary-level data were collected from genome-wide association studies (GWAS) where the variant-exposure associations were estimated in one dataset and variant-outcome associations were estimated from another.

Variant-Exposure Associations

Exposures considered in this analysis included blood pressure (BP), T2DM, obesity (measured as body mass index, BMI) and CAD. Variant-exposure associations were determined from most recently published genome-wide association and meta-analysis studies with publicly available summary-level GWAS data extracted manually. The following data was extracted for each genetic instrumental variable (IV): the effect allele, beta value (or odds ratio (OR)), standard error (or 95% confidence interval (CI)) of the OR converted into a standard using the formula: standard error = (ln(upper 95% CI) - ln(lower 95% CI))/1.95996*2.

Variant-Outcome Associations

GWAS meta-analysis of patients with a laboratory confirmed diagnosis of COVID-19 and with severity requiring hospitalization were obtained from recently released data from www.covid19hg.org/ (released 18 January 2021 round 5). This analysis is based on a mostly European (55%) and US (28%) population. However, participants from Asia, Australia, Middle-East and Africa were also included (ref: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41431-020-0636-6). Only variants with imputation quality of over 0.6 were retained. Analysis was performed with cases (n=5582) classified as those diagnosed with COVID-19 and need for hospitalization versus the remainder of the population not defined as a case (controls, n = 709,010). Studies included in this analysis were BioMe, FinnGen, Genes & Health, Lifelines Global Screening Array, Lifelines CytoSNP, Netherlands Twin Registry, Partners Healthcare Biobank and UK Biobank. Data from all studies was included.

Statistical Analysis

To investigate the causal association between cardiovascular risk factors to hospitalization with COVID-19 we performed two-sample MR with STATA software and the command `mrrobust` using multiple instruments. The association between IV and outcome was assessed using inverse-variance weighted (IVW) regression models as the primary analysis. This is equivalent to a weighted regression of the SNP-exposure estimate on SNP-exposure estimate with the intercept constrained to zero. This method assumes no horizontal pleiotropy. The causal estimate of the IVW analysis is the increase in severity of COVID-19 per unit change in the genetically predicted exposure. Following MR analysis, beta coefficients were converted back into OR using the `lincom` command in STATA. We performed a sensitivity analysis using a weighted median method which is less sensitive to outliers and, in order to account for any potential violation of the assumption of no horizontal pleiotropy, we also used MR-Egger regression. We tested for the presence of directional pleiotropy by testing significance of the intercept in the MR-Egger analysis.
**Reverse Causality.** We additionally assessed reverse causality to determine whether severity of COVID-19 associated with cardiovascular risk factors using two-sample MR performed using summary-level GWAS data available from the platform MR-Base (http://www.mrbase.org). As above, severity was defined as laboratory confirmed COVID-19 infection and hospitalized for COVID-19. Studies included in this analysis were BioMe, FinnGen, Genes & Health, Lifelines Global Screening Array, Lifelines CytoSNP, Netherlands Twin Registry, Partners Healthcare Biobank and UK Biobank. Data from all studies was included. For this analysis the IV were built considering GWAS suggestive SNPs for Twin Registry, Partners Healthcare Biobank and UK Global Screening Array, Lifelines CytoSNP, Netherlands Twin Registry, Partners Healthcare Biobank and UK Biobank. Data from all studies was included. For this analysis the IV were built considering GWAS suggestive SNPs for COVID-19 severity (P<5×10^-8, n=493). Clumping was used to prune SNPs for linkage disequilibrium and thus not all SNPs were included in the final analysis. If a SNP was absent in the summary GWAS statistics, a proxy SNP in high LD with r^2 ≥ 0.80 was used where available. However, if this was not successful, the SNP was excluded. The association between IV and outcome was assessed using IVW regression models, weighted median method and MR-Egger. Leave-one-out sensitivity was performed to exclude the possibility of one SNP having a large effect on the overall results. To determine whether risk of COVID-19 associated SNPs are associated with BP (n=317,754), BMI (n=336,107) and T2DM (n=336,473) outcome data from UK Biobank were selected from MR-Base. To determine whether risk of COVID-19 associated SNPs are association with CAD (n=336,107) and T2DM (n=336,473) outcome data from UK Biobank were selected from MR-Base. 184,305) outcome data from CARDoGRAMplusC4D cohort were selected from MR-Base.

### Results

**Risk factors for severity to covid-19**

When examining the association of cardiovascular risk factors/disease to risk of hospitalization with COVID-19, 639, 413, 270 and 45 SNPs were available for BP, BMI, T2DM and CAD, respectively, in summary-level COVID-19 severity outcome data. The main MR results are shown in Table 1. For BP we found no evidence of a causal association with COVID-19 hospitalization: OR of 1.00 (95% CI: 0.99-1.01, P=0.604, Figure 1A) per unit mmHg increase in genetically predicted BP. IVW regression suggested a negative causal effect of BMI on COVID-19 hospitalization with an estimated OR of 0.66 (95% CI: 0.55-0.80, P<0.001, Figure 1B) for COVID-19 per unit increase in genetically predicted BMI. However, this analysis was not supported by Egger regression estimates (MR-Egger beta coefficient = -0.25, P=0.268). For the other factors we found no evidence of a causal association with COVID-19 hospitalization: OR for COVID-19 hospitalization to that of genetically predicted T2DM 0.99 (95% CI: 0.93-1.05, P<0.927, Figure 1C) and OR for COVID-19 hospitalization to that of genetically predicted CAD 1.02 OR (95% CI: 0.85-1.23, P<0.795, Figure 1D). The weighted median regression and MR-Egger regression estimates were consistent with these findings.

#### Table 1. Mendelian randomization with cardiovascular risk factors as the exposure and Covid-19 hospitalization as the outcome.

| Exposure     | Method  | beta (SE)   | OR (95% CI) | P-value |
|--------------|---------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| Hospitalized for COVID-19 |         |             |             |         |
| BP           | IVW     | -0.01 (0.004) | 1.00 (0.99–1.01) | 0.604   |
|              | MR Egger | 0.01 (0.005) | 0.692       |         |
| BMI          | IVW     | -0.41 (0.10)  | 0.66 (0.55–0.80) | <0.001  |
|              | MR Egger | 0.25 (0.23)  | 0.268       |         |
| T2DM*        | IVW     | -0.01 (0.03)  | 0.99 (0.93–1.05) | 0.927   |
|              | MR Egger | -0.03 (0.07) | 0.717       |         |
| CAD*         | IVW     | 0.02 (0.09)   | 1.02 (0.85–1.23) | 0.795   |
|              | MR Egger | 0.16 (0.19)  | 0.406       |         |

SE = standard error; OR = odds ratio; CI = confidence interval; BP = blood pressure; BMI = body mass index; T2DM = type 2 diabetes mellitus; CAD = coronary artery disease; IVW = inverse variance weighted. *Note that T2DM and CAD were binary variables and effect sizes are thus log-odds ratios.

#### Discussion

Most cardiovascular disease is thought to arise on a background of endothelial cell dysfunction mediated directly or indirectly by risk factors for cardiovascular disease. SARS-CoV-2 enters endothelial cells as well as epithelial cells and many of the complications of COVID-19 are thought to be due to an endotheliitis. A causal link between cardiovascular risk factors/disease and COVID-19 is therefore entirely plausible with CVD risk or disease increasing the susceptibility to invasion of endothelial cells by SARS-CoV-2 and/or exacerbating the resultant endothelial cell injury. In the present study, using MR,
we found no consistent evidence of a causal (or reverse causal) association of the risk of hospitalization with COVID-19 with major CVD risk factors (BP, BMI and T2DM) or with the presence of CAD as predicted by genetic variants. Whilst the confidence limits for the causal effect of BMI and CAD on COVID-19 severity were wide and we cannot exclude clinically significant causal effects, those for BP and T2DM were relatively narrow. This raises the question of whether the associations of COVID-19 outcomes with these risk factors that have been observed in observational studies may have arisen through an unmeasured confounder. Risk of hospitalization with COVID-19 is a product of risk of infection with SARS-Cov2 and that of infection resulting in disease sufficiently severe to require hospital admission. Risk of infection may be associated with socio-economic factors that cluster with cardiovascular disease whilst hospitalization will be to some extent dependent on an individual patient’s perception of severity and physician decision to admit may be dependent on risk factors and co-morbidities (Figure 2).

Thus, socioeconomic and admission decisions may be important confounders. Genetically (or otherwise)

---

**Table 2.** Mendelian randomization (MR) with hospitalization for COVID-19 as the exposure and cardiovascular risk factors as the outcome.

| Outcome                      | Method    | beta  | SE   | P-value |
|------------------------------|-----------|-------|------|---------|
| Systolic BP                  | IVW       | –0.001| 0.02 | 0.6681  |
|                              | Weighted median | 0.000 | 0.01 | 0.9298  |
| Diastolic BP                 | IVW       | –0.001| 0.008| 0.1297  |
|                              | Weighted median | –0.01  | 0.008| 0.1805  |
| Body mass index              | IVW       | –0.02  | 0.009| <0.05   |
|                              | Weighted median | –0.01  | 0.009| 0.1008  |
| Diabetes mellitus            | IVW       | –0.002| 0.002| 0.1825  |
|                              | Weighted median | –0.002 | 0.002| 0.1116  |
| Coronary artery disease      | IVW       | –0.006| 0.05 | 0.2448  |
|                              | Weighted median | –0.03  | 0.03 | 0.3434  |

SE = standard error; BP = blood pressure; IVW = inverse variance weighted.

---

**Figure 1.** Scatter plot of genetic associations with the outcome (y axis) against genetic associations with the exposure (x axis). A) Effect of genetically predicted BP on risk of susceptibility with COVID-19. B) Effect of genetically predicted BMI on risk of susceptibility with COVID-19. C) Effect of T2DM on risk of susceptibility with COVID-19. D) Effect of CAD on risk of susceptibility with COVID-19. The slope of the regression line represents the causal association.
determined immune response could be the main causal factor determining severity of COVID-19. A non-causal association of COVID-19 outcomes with CVD risk factors could then occur due to this feature of immune response amplifying effects of environmental/genetic factors that increase the prevalence of risk factors, particularly BP and T2DM, but these are not in themselves causal factors for COVID-19 outcomes. In this respect it is notable that altered immune function has been implicated in both hypertension\textsuperscript{16} and T2DM.\textsuperscript{17} However, in this case we would expect a reverse causal effect of risk factors for COVID-19 hospitalization influencing genetically predicted cardiovascular disease which we did not observe.

With the exception of the lack of association with genetically predicted BMI, our findings are consistent with a recent MR study investigating the association between lipids, systolic blood pressure and type 2 diabetes with severity of COVID-19 that used summary genetic association estimates for risk of severe COVID-19 with respiratory failure from a separate European cohort to ours.\textsuperscript{18} This study did find evidence of a causal association between fat distribution and COVID-19 outcomes. This difference could be because the SNPs used as instrumental variables were those identified to associate with waist-to-hip ratio adjusted for BMI (rather than BMI itself as in our study). Our findings, apart from those relating to BMI, are also consistent with a MR study investigating the association of various cardiometabolic traits including diabetes, fasting glucose, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-cholesterol), triglycerides, BP and presence of CAD and stroke.\textsuperscript{19} The authors did find a causal association between BMI and COVID-19 outcomes but not with BMI adjusted waist-hip ratio. However, the authors used summary statistics from only 75 SNPs whereas we used >400 SNPS identified to associate with BMI and data from the most recent meta-analysis GWAS for COVID-19.\textsuperscript{19} Similarly, other studies have found inconsistent findings between BMI and LDL-cholesterol with COVID-19 outcomes.\textsuperscript{20–22}

It is important to note that our study did not address a causal association of genetically predicted cardiovascular risk factor/disease with survival from COVID-19 once...
hospitalized (because of the lack of GWAS data on this outcome). Several large studies have shown that, once hospitalized, presence of cardiovascular risk factors and disease is associated with increased mortality. Further studies will be required to determine whether this association is causal.

Strengths and Limitations. The present study has a number of strengths. The IV chosen for BP, T2DM and CAD were from the most recent summary level statistics with p-values < 10\(^{-8}\), protecting against weak instrument bias. However, we do recognize that a larger number of SNPs have been identified to associate with different indices of obesity and fat distribution, and that the instrumental variables chosen for the causal association between BMI and COVID-19 may be subject to weak instrument bias which may bias our results towards the null. By using two-sample MR we were also able to investigate reverse causality of COVID-19 outcomes on CVD risk factors and CAD. There may have been differences in populations demographics from which the summary level statistics in the exposure and outcome samples were obtained. However, the effect of this is likely to be limited as variant-exposure and variant-outcome association were derived from mostly European populations. Since COVID-19 clusters are mainly in cities we cannot exclude the possibility that the control group is not a representative source population and overlap of populations from which the summary level statistics are obtained may bias the association estimates. However, overlap of populations was only observed for the reverse causality analysis and the bias introduced would be more likely to produce a false-positive rather than the null result we observed. There are limitations in the interpretation of the genetically predicted outcome measures we used since most asymptomatic subjects with COVID-19 will not receive a diagnosis and hospitalization as measure of severity limited because patients with co-morbidities may be more likely to be hospitalized because risk of adverse outcomes is thought to be higher.

Conclusion

The present MR study found no consistent evidence of a causal or reverse-causal association between major genetically predicted CVD risk factors (BP, BMI and T2DM) and presence of CAD with risk of hospitalization with COVID-19. The association between CVD risk factors and risk of hospitalization with COVID-19 observed in observational studies could be due to residual confounding by socioeconomic factors or factors related to admission decisions. Our study does not address a causal relation between cardiovascular risk factors and severity of disease once hospitalized with COVID-19.
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