Deletion of Atbf1/Zfhx3 In Mouse Prostate Causes Neoplastic Lesions, Likely by Attenuation of Membrane and Secretory Proteins and Multiple Signaling Pathways
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Abstract
The ATBF1/ZFH3 gene at 16q22 is the second most frequently mutated gene in human prostate cancer and has reduced expression or mislocalization in several types of human tumors. Nonetheless, the hypothesis that ATBF1 has a tumor suppressor function in prostate cancer has not been tested. In this study, we examined the role of ATBF1 in prostatic carcinogenesis by specifically deleting Atbf1 in mouse prostatic epithelial cells. We also examined the effect of Atbf1 deletion on gene expression and signaling pathways in mouse prostates. Histopathologic analyses showed that Atbf1 deficiency caused hyperplasia and mouse prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia (mPIN) primarily in the dorsal prostate but also in other lobes. Hemizygous deletion of Atbf1 also increased the development of hyperplasia and mPIN, indicating a haploinsufficiency of Atbf1. The mPIN lesions expressed luminal cell markers and harbored molecular changes similar to those in human PIN and prostate cancer, including weaker expression of basal cell marker cytokeratin 5 (Ck5), cell adhesion protein E-cadherin, and the smooth muscle layer marker Sma; elevated expression of the oncoproteins phospho-Erk1/2, phospho-Akt and Muc1; and aberrant protein glycosylation. Gene expression profiling revealed a large number of genes that were dysregulated by Atbf1 deletion, particularly those that encode for secretory and cell membrane proteins. The four signaling networks that were most affected by Atbf1 deletion included those centered on Erk1/2 and IGF1, Akt and FSH, NF-κB and progesterone and β-estradiol. These findings provide in vivo evidence that ATBF1 is a tumor suppressor in the prostate, suggest that loss of Atbf1 contributes to tumorigenesis by dysregulating membrane and secretory proteins and multiple signaling pathways, and provide a new animal model for prostate cancer.
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Introduction
The AT-motif binding factor 1/zinc finger homeobox 3 (ATBF1/ZFH3) gene encodes a large protein structurally characterized by multiple zinc-finger motifs and four homeodomains [1]. ATBF1 appears to play a role in neuronal differentiation and cell death [2–4], atrial fibrillation [5,6], and embryonic development [7]. ATBF1 could be a tumor suppressor in several organs including the prostate,
breast, stomach, liver, and head and neck. Chromosomal deletion frequently occurs in cancer cells, which can inactivate tumor suppressor genes (TSGs) and thus contribute to tumorigenesis [8]. Our previous characterization of 16q22 deletion and mutational screening identified ATBF1 as a candidate TSG as it has frequent deletion and somatic mutations in prostate cancer [9]. Recent genome-wide sequencing of castration-resistant prostate cancer indicated that ATBF1 is the second most frequently mutated gene in human prostate cancer [10]. ATBF1 mutation is also frequent in endometrial cancers [11] and the ATBF1 locus is frequently deleted in breast cancers [12]. A germline variant of ATBF1 is linked to increased risk of sporadic prostate cancer [13] and ATBF1 expression is significantly reduced in breast cancer [12,14], hepatocellular carcinoma [15], gastric cancer [16,17], and two transgenic mouse models of prostate cancer, TRAMP-A^Cre(loxP)^TR7740Y and ARR2PB-c-myc [18,19]. Reduced expression and/or mislocalization of ATBF1 protein has been detected in several types of cancer [14,20,21]. These alterations are associated with worse patient survival in breast cancer and histopathologic progression in head and neck cancer [14,21].

While these studies suggest a tumor suppressor function for ATBF1 in human cancer, this hypothesis has not been tested in animal models. How ATBF1 might suppress carcinogenesis has not been determined, although as a transcription factor ATBF1 has been shown to regulate the expression of several differentiation or tumor-related genes including AFP, aminopeptidase N, neurod1, MUC5AC and p21^WAF1 [4,22–25]. Few mouse models are truly relevant to human prostate cancer by neoplastic phenotypes and/or genetic causes [26] but models still provide a powerful platform for understanding prostate cancer biology and developing novel therapies against prostate cancer.

In this study, we examined ATBF1 function in a knockout mouse model. Using the Cre-losP system, we deleted Atbf1 specifically in mouse prostatic epithelium (PE) and found that inactivation of Atbf1 caused hyperplasia and mouse prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia (mPIN), primarily in the dorsal prostate (DP). A number of molecular alterations resembling those in human prostate cancer were detected in Atbf1 deletion-induced prostatic lesions. Furthermore, Atbf1 deletion dysregulated the expression of a large number of genes involved in multiple signaling pathways, particularly those that encode for secretory proteins and membrane proteins.

**Methods and Materials**

**Ethics Statement**

All animal work was performed in compliance with relevant regulatory standards and was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Emory University.

**Mouse Experiments**

The PB-Cre+E transgenic mouse line was obtained from the NCI Mouse Models of Human Cancers Consortium (MMHCC, Frederick, MD, Cat#: 01XF5). Floxed Atbf1 mice (Atbf1^floxsix^/) in which the Atbf1 genomic DNA from exon 7 to exon 8 was flanked with loxP sequences, were generated in our previous study [7] and maintained on a mixed background of C57BL/6 J and 129SvJ. Atbf1^floxsix^;PB-Cre^+ (Atbf1^PE^E^+) mice were made by first crossing PB-Cre^+ male mice with Atbf1^floxsix^ female mice, then crossing Atbf1^floxsix^;PB-Cre^+ male mice with Atbf1^floxsix^ female mice to obtain mice with all the desired genotypes. Atbf1^floxsix^;PB-Cre^+ (Atbf1^PE^E^+) male mice were examined as controls for different groups at different ages. Six Atbf1^floxsix^;PB-Cre^+ male mice were also used as controls. All mice were genotyped by PCR using genomic DNA isolated from tail biopsies [7], Alleles of Atbf1^floxsix^ (1248 bp), Atbf1^wt^ (1071 bp) and Atbf1^floxsix^ (289 bp) were distinguished based on the size of their PCR products using primers 5'-GGCCCTTGACTGCATTCTTCTTCTG-3' and 5'-ATTCGTATGGAAGGTGTCGAA-3'. Primers used for genotyping the Cre gene were 5'-CTGAAGAATGCGACCTGTTGAGACGG-3' and 5'-CAGCAGCTCAGCATCGACGACC-3' (393 bp).

**Histological Analysis, Immunohistochemical (IHC) Staining, Immunofluorescence (IF) Staining, and Special Staining**

Prostatic tissues were surgically harvested and fixed in 4% formaldehyde overnight. Prostatic lobes were then dissected, embedded in paraffin, and sectioned at 5-μm thickness. Standard hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining was performed for histological analyses. Pathological diagnosis was performed by Dr. Henry F. Frierson and confirmed by Dr. Robert D. Cardiff via a paid service at the Center for Comparative Medicine, Department of Pathology, University of California at Davis. Previously established criteria for mouse prostatic lesions were followed [27,28].

For IHC staining, tissue sections were deparaffinized, rehydrated, and washed in PBS. Antigen retrieval was done by heating slides in a microwave oven for 15 min or in a pressure cooker for 3 min in citrated buffer (pH 6.0, 10 mM trisodium citrate). After blocking with 5% normal goat serum in Tris-buffered saline with 0.1% Tween-20 (TBST), tissue sections were incubated with primary antibodies at 4°C overnight, followed by incubation with EnVision Polymer-HPR secondary antibodies (Dako, Glostrup, Denmark) at room temperature for 40 min. After the application of DAB-chromogen, tissue sections were mounted and visualized under microscopes. Representative slides were scanned with Nanozoomer 2.0HT (Hamamatsu, Bridgewater, NJ), and pictures were captured using N-dimensional view software (Hamamatsu). Primary antibodies used in this study included anti-Ki67 (Lab Vision, Fremont, CA), anti-cytokeratin 5 (Covance, Cambridge, MA), anti-er-c-myc (Abcam, Cambridge, MA), anti-Ki67 (Lab Vision, Fremont, CA), anti-synaptophysin (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), anti-Cdh1, anti-phospho-Erk1/2, anti-phospho-Akt, and anti-Spink3 (Cell Signaling Technology, Billerica, MA), anti-Muc1 (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA), and anti-clusterin (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX).

For immunofluorescent staining, tissue slides were prepared and incubated with primary antibodies as described above. Appropriate Alexa Fluor fluorochrome-conjugated secondary antibodies (Invitrogen) were used, and nuclei were counterstained with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) for 5 min. Slides were mounted and visualized under fluorescent microscopes (Zeiss, Thornwood, NY). Primary antibodies included anti-Atbf1, anti-Tn, and biotinylated-HPA [21,29]. Special staining, including Periodic acid-Schiff (PAS) and Alcian blue, was performed by the Emory University Department of Pathology.

**Proliferation Assay**

Tissue sections of mouse prostates were immunostained with the anti-Ki67 antibody to detect cells that expressed the Ki67 proliferation marker. Slides were then scanned with the Nanozoomer 2.0HT scanner for cell counting. For each individual mouse, the number of Ki67-positive epithelial cells and the number of total epithelial cells in the dorsal prostate (DP) were determined using the ImageJ program [30]. The percentage of Ki67-positive epithelial cells in a DP was then
calculated and plotted against the genotype. Student’s t-test was performed to evaluate the statistical significance for a difference between two genotypes.

**Gene Expression Profiling and Signaling Pathway Analysis**

Dorsal prostate (DP) tissue from 4 Atbf1flox/flox;PB-Cre+ (Atbf1PE-/-) and 4 Atbf1+/+;PB-Cre+ (Atbf1PE+/+) mice aged 13-15 months was used in the microarray analysis. After the whole prostate was surgically isolated, one piece of DP from each mouse was freshly isolated, weighed and snap frozen in liquid nitrogen, and the remaining prostatic lobes were collected for histological analyses.

The microarray experiment was performed by Beckman Counter Inc (Indianapolis, IN). Briefly, total RNA was extracted from DP tissues, and the quantity and quality were determined by spectrophotometry and an Agilent Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA). Two hundred nanograms of total RNA was converted into labeled cRNA with nucleotides coupled to fluorescent dye Cy3 using the Low Input Quick Amp Kit (Agilent Technologies) following the manufacturer’s protocol. Cy3-labeled cRNA (600 ng) from each sample was hybridized to an Agilent Mouse Whole Genome 8x60k Microarray. The hybridized array was then washed and scanned, and data was extracted from the scanned image using Feature Extraction version 10.7 (Agilent Technologies).

To remove array specific effects and ensure that signals from different arrays began at the same baseline, quantile normalization was conducted on each microarray. Two R packages, siggenes (based on Statistical Analysis of Microarrays t-test) and MAANOVA (MicroArray ANalysis Of VAriance) [31], were used to detect differentially expressed genes. Both software packages use a variance shrinkage approach to improve detection accuracy by combining information from all genes when estimating gene level variance. The final reported p-values were from R/MAANOVA. Genes with an expression fold change of 2 or greater and false discovery rate (FDR) less than 0.02 were considered differentially expressed. We then performed pathway analysis using the Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) program (http://www.ingenuity.com) (Ingenuity Systems, Redwood City, CA) to identify signaling pathways and networks that were attenuated by Atbf1 deletion. Subcellular localization of the corresponding proteins was determined based on...
the Genecards (http://www.genecards.org) and the Plasma Proteome (http://www.plasmaproteomedatabase.org) databases.

Validation of Differentially Expressed Genes by Quantitative RT-PCR

To validate differentially expressed genes, quantitative RT-PCR was performed in samples from both the 8 DPs used in the microarray analysis and additional DPs, including 7 of Atbf1PE+/+, 10 of Atbf1PE−/−, and 7 of Atbf1PE−/+ . Briefly, DPs from mice aged at 12 to 15 months were harvested, and total RNAs were isolated using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen) following the manufacturer’s instructions. One μg of total RNA was used for cDNA synthesis using the iScript reverse transcription kit (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). Quantitative RT-PCR was performed with the SYBR Premix Ex Taq™ Kit (Clontech Laboratories, Mountain View, CA) and the ABI Prism 7500 Real-time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, California). Relative fold changes were calculated using the 2−ΔΔCt method, with mouse β-actin mRNA as the internal control. For each gene, PCR primers were designed to generate PCR products spanning different exons to avoid possible interference from genomic DNA contamination. Primer sequences and PCR product sizes are shown in Table S1.

Results

Atbf1 Deletion Leads to Mouse Prostatic Intraepithelial Neoplasia (mPIN) with Increased Cell Proliferation

Mice with a tissue specific deletion of Atbf1 in prostatic epithelial cells (Atbf1PE−/−) were generated by breeding floxed Atbf1 mice (Atbf1flox/flox) with PB-Cre transgenic mice (Figure 1A). Prostate-specific deletion of Atbf1 was confirmed by PCR-based genotyping. In 9-week-old Atbf1flox/flox;PB-Cre+ (Atbf1PE−/+ ) mice, a smaller PCR product indicating the allele with deletion (Atbf1PE−/−) was observed in all prostatic lobes (anterior, dorsal, lateral and ventral prostates) but not in other organs examined (Figure 1B). Immunofluorescent staining demonstrated that Atbf1 was predominantly expressed in the nuclei of a majority of Atbf1PE−/+ prostatic epithelial cells, and Cre-mediated Atbf1 deletion dramatically decreased Atbf1 protein expression in the Atbf1PE−/− prostate (Figure 1C). Quantitative RT-PCR analysis with cDNA from the dorsal prostate (DP) at 12-15 weeks showed that hemizygous deletion of Atbf1 significantly reduced Atbf1 mRNA expression (P < 0.0001) (Figure 1D), indicating a haploinsufficiency of Atbf1.

To determine the consequence of Atbf1 deletion in the prostate, we examined a cohort of mice with wildtype Atbf1 (Atbf1PE−/+ ) or hemizygous deletion of Atbf1 (Atbf1PE−/−) (0.48% and 0.35% of cells, respectively), they were significantly more frequent (1.73%) in Atbf1-null (Atbf1PE−/−) DPs (Figure 3A). The increase in Ki67-positive cells in Atbf1PE−/− mice indicates accelerated cell proliferation in hyperplasia and mPIN lesions caused by the Atbf1 deletion (P < 0.0001) (Figure 3A).

Atbf1 Deletion Attenuates Basal Cells and the Smooth Muscle Layer while Maintaining the Luminal Characteristics

Normal prostate contains luminal and basal epithelial cells that are supported by the fibromuscular layer. To determine whether Atbf1 deletion-induced neoplastic lesions interrupt the structure of the prostate, we determined the expression of several molecular markers for different types of cells in the prostate by IHC staining (Figure 3B). Compared to normal prostates, Atbf1 deletion-induced mPIN lesions had reduced or absent expression of the basal cell marker cytokeratin 5 (Ck5), suggesting the attenuation of basal cells by Atbf1 deletion. The epithelial adhesion protein E-cadherin (Cdh1), which was uniformly detected in prostatic epithelia of wildtype mice, was also decreased or absent once Atbf1 was deleted (Figure 3B). The expression pattern of smooth muscle marker Smα (smooth muscle actin) was also decreased or absent in the smooth muscle layer, indicating its attenuation by Atbf1 deletion (Figure 3B).

In the tufted cells of mPIN induced by Atbf1 deletion, luminal cell markers cytokeratin 18 (Ck18) and androgen receptor (Ar) were expressed as in normal prostatic epithelial cells (Figure 3B), indicating a luminal characteristic of mPIN lesions induced by Atbf1 deletion. Neuroendocrine cells, which were detected by synaptophysin (Syn) staining, were rarely seen in both genotypes.

Atbf1 Deletion in Mouse Prostates Dysregulates a Number of Genes, Particularly those that Encode for Secretory and Cell Membrane Proteins

As a transcription factor, Atbf1 regulates the expression of many genes. To understand what genes are dysregulated by Atbf1 deletion, we conducted a microarray analysis using DPs from 8 mice at 13-15 months of age, 4 with wildtype Atbf1 (Atbf1PE−/+ ) and 4 with homozygous deletion of Atbf1 (Atbf1PE−/−). Histological analysis confirmed normal prostatic phenotypes in the Atbf1PE−/+ mice and hyperplasia and mPIN lesions caused by the Atbf1 deletion in the Atbf1PE−/− mice.
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Atbf1 Deletion Attenuates Multiple Signaling Pathways Including the Activation of Erk1/2 AKT Oncogenic Signaling

We then input genes differentially expressed between prostates with and without Atbf1 deletion, along with their expression levels, into the Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) program to identify functional networks and signaling pathways that are affected by Atbf1 deletion. Several were identified to have statistically significant P values, and multiple biological processes were affected, including cell differentiation, tissue development, cell death, cell movement, secretion of bodily fluid, cation transport, atrial fibrillation and blood pressure regulation (Table S4). All these functions are associated with signals mediated by extracellular and cell membrane molecules. The four networks with the smallest P values are shown in Figure 5, which included those centered on ERK and AKT.

ERK and AKT are frequently activated during carcinogenesis, so we examined whether they are activated by Atbf1 deletion. Using IHC staining, we found that phosphorylated Erk1/2 and Akt, which represent the activated Erk1/2 and Akt, were clearly detected in Atbf1-null DPs but were undetectable in DPs with wildtype Atbf1.
Atbf1 Deletion Induces mPIN in Mouse Prostates

While a tumor suppressor function of ATBF1 has been suggested by its frequent chromosomal deletion at 16q22 and somatic mutations in human cancers [8–10], the effect of Atbf1 inactivation on tumorigenesis has not been tested in a mouse model because conventional deletion of Atbf1 in mice is embryonic lethal and loss of even one allele of Atbf1 results in preweaning mortality and partial embryonic lethality [7]. In this study, we used the Cre-loxP system to specifically delete Atbf1 in mouse prostates (Atbf1PE-/-) at 3, 6, 12 and 24 months. Images in (A) are magnified areas of the marked rectangles in images (a–d) respectively. Note that DPs with Atbf1 deletion (Atbf1PE-/-) show normal prostate (e & i), hyperplasia (f & j) and mPIN (g, h, k & l) at 3, 6, 12 and 24 months respectively. A mitotic figure is indicated by an arrow in k. The inset at the upper right corner of h is the magnified area of the nearby square, showing intraepithelial red blood cells. Arrowheads in j-l indicate cytoplasmic hyaline associated with atypia. (B) Representative HE-stained tissue sections from mouse lateral prostates (LPs), anterior prostates (APs) and ventral prostates (VPs). Atbf1PE+/- mice had normal histological phenotypes in these lobes (a–c). Hyperplasia was sometimes detected in Atbf1PE+/- mice (d and e), whereas mPIN was observed in all three lobes with homozygous deletion of Atbf1 (Atbf1PE-/-) (g-i). All scale bars are 100 μm.

**Discussion**

**Atbf1 Deletion Induces mPIN in Mouse Prostates**

Atbf1 deletion induces prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia (mPIN) in Atbf1PE-/- prostates. (A) Representative hematoxylin and eosin (HE)-stained mouse tissue sections from dorsal prostates (DPS) with wildtype (Atbf1PE+/-) (a–d) and null Atbf1 (Atbf1PE-/-) (e–h) at 3, 6, 12 and 24 months. Images in (i–l) are magnified areas of the marked rectangles in images (e–h) respectively. Note that DPs with Atbf1 deletion (Atbf1PE-/-) show normal prostate (e & i), hyperplasia (f & j) and mPIN (g, h, k & l) at 3, 6, 12 and 24 months respectively. A mitotic figure is indicated by an arrow in k. The inset at the upper right corner of h is the magnified area of the nearby square, showing intraepithelial red blood cells. Arrowheads in j-l indicate cytoplasmic hyaline associated with atypia. (B) Representative HE-stained tissue sections from mouse lateral prostates (LPs), anterior prostates (APs) and ventral prostates (VPs). Atbf1PE+/- mice had normal histological phenotypes in these lobes (a–c). Hyperplasia was sometimes detected in Atbf1PE+/- mice (d and e), whereas mPIN was observed in all three lobes with homozygous deletion of Atbf1 (Atbf1PE-/-) (g–i). All scale bars are 100 μm.
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Figure 3. Cell proliferation analysis and molecular characterization of mPIN lesions induced by homozygous deletion of Atbf1. (A) Evaluation of cell proliferation by detecting Ki67-positive cells with immunohistochemical staining. The percentage of Ki67-positive cells in the epithelium of a DP was plotted against Atbf1 genotype (circular-, squared- and triangle-shaped boxes for Atbf1PE+/+, Atbf1PE+/- and Atbf1PE-/− respectively), and mean values are indicated by horizontal lines. An increase in Ki67-positive cells is seen in hyperplasia and mPIN lesions. *, P = 0.0001. **, P < 0.0001. (B) Homozygous deletion of Atbf1 (Atbf1PE−−) changed the expression of molecules involved in different characteristics of prostate and prostate cancer, including luminal-basal-stroma architectural markers cytokeratin 5 (Ck5), Ck18, E-cadherin (Cdh1) and smooth muscle actin (Sma); epithelial differentiation markers androgen receptor (Ar); oncogenic signaling molecules phospho-Erk1/2 (p-Erk1/2) and phospho-Akt (p-Akt); and glycoprotein mucin 1 (Muc1) and glycosylation marker Tn antigen (the upper and lower Tn panels were detected by the antibody and HPA methods respectively). DPs with mPIN from 24-month-old mice were used for Ck5, Ck18, E-cadherin, Sma and Ar, while those of 12-month-old mice were used for p-Erk1/2, p-Akt, Muc1 and Tn antigen. Immunofluorescent staining with nuclei counterstained with DAPI (blue) was used for Tn detection, while immunohistochemical staining was performed for the rest of the molecules. Note the attenuation or loss of Ck5, Cdh1 and Sma, as indicated by arrows and magnified regions at the upper right corner of respective images. Also note the appearance of both p-Erk1/2 and p-Akt (pointed by arrows) and significant increase in Muc1 and Tn antigen expression in mPIN lesions with Atbf1 deletion. All scale bars are 100 μm.
other tumor suppressor genes [27]. In human prostates, PIN has been widely considered as a precursor of prostate adenocarcinoma [39], and many known oncogenic genetic events induce mPIN rather than invasive carcinoma in mouse prostates [40,41]. Therefore, induction of mPIN by Atbf1 deletion provides functional evidence for Atbf1 tumor suppressor activity.

No invasive prostate cancer was detected in any of the Atbf1PE−/− mice even at 25 months old, which has been common for many known tumor suppressor genes including p53, Rb, Ncx3.1, Maspin, Brca2 and p27Kip, whose deletion alone only causes mPIN lesions [42–47]. It is well recognized that multiple genetic and epigenetic alterations are usually required for an invasive cancer to develop. While Atbf1 deletion

Figure 4. Validation of dysregulated genes by homozygous deletion of Atbf1 in mouse dorsal prostates (DPs). Expression of upregulated (A) and downregulated genes (B) was analyzed by real-time RT-PCR (A, B), with 2 genes’ protein expression also evaluated by immunohistochemical staining (C). In the real-time RT-PCR assay, the relative gene expression levels were plotted as circular (Atbf1PE+/+), squared (Atbf1PE+/-) and triangle (Atbf1PE−/−) shaped boxes respectively, and mean values are indicated by lines. For each gene, the average expression level in Atbf1PE+/+ was set to 1, and levels in each individual were adjusted accordingly. Asterisks indicate two genes (Gabra4 and Mcm10) expressed at intermediate levels in Atbf1PE+/− mice, which indicates haploinsufficiency for these genes. Scale bars in panel C are 100 μm.
alone appears to be insufficient to induce invasive prostate cancer, it could cooperate with other oncogenic events to induce invasive carcinoma. We are in the process of testing whether Atbf1 deletion cooperates with Pten deletion, a well characterized oncogenic event in prostate cancer [48], in the development and progression of prostate cancer.

**Atbf1 Deletion-Induced mPIN Lesions Share Multiple Morphological and Molecular Characteristics with Human Prostate Cancer**

Mouse DP is anatomically and biochemically closest to the peripheral zone of human prostate [49], and most human prostate cancers arise from the peripheral zone of prostate [50]. Thus finding of the most severe histopathological lesions in the DP of Atbf1 PE−/− mice (Figures 1, 2) indicates a reasonable relevance of Atbf1 deletion-induced mPIN to human prostate cancer. Another histological change that also occurs in human prostate cancer is the interruption of the fibromuscular layer in Atbf1 deletion-induced mPIN lesions, as indicated by attenuated expression of the Sma smooth muscle marker (Figure 3B).

Shared cellular and molecular characteristics further indicate the relevance of Atbf1 deletion-induced mPIN lesion to human prostate cancer. One shared characteristic is increased cell proliferation, as indicated by the increase in Ki67-positive cells in Atbf1−null prostates (Figure 3A). In human prostate cancer, an increased Ki67 proliferation index correlates with higher tumor grade and worse patient survival [51]. Atbf1 deletion-induced mPIN lesions also maintained luminal characteristics as seen in most human prostate cancers, indicated by the positive staining of luminal markers Ck18 and Ar and decreased staining of the Ck5 basal cell marker (Figure 3B).

Multiple molecular alterations reported in human prostate cancer also occurred in Atbf1 deletion-induced mPIN lesions. For example, the cell-cell adhesion molecule E-cadherin, which is often downregulated in human prostate cancer [52], was also downregulated in mPIN lesions induced by Atbf1 deletion (Figure 3B). Increased

**Figure 5. Identification of molecular pathways that are altered by Atbf1 inactivation in mouse prostates.** Genes differentially expressed between Atbf1-positive and Atbf1-negative prostates, along with their expression levels, were imported to the Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) program to construct interacting pathways. The four networks with the smallest P values are shown. The intensity of the node color indicates the degree of upregulation (red) or downregulation (green) in Atbf1-null prostates. Solid and dashed lines indicate direct and indirect interactions respectively. Different shapes of the nodes, including square, circle, diamond, rectangles etc., represent functional classification of the genes.
expression of activated Erk1/2 and Akt (i.e., phosphorylated Erk1/2 and Akt), which mediate two common oncogenic signaling pathways and often occur in human prostate cancer and other mouse models of prostate cancer [53–56], was also detected in Atbf1-null mPIN (Figure 3B). Another example is the upregulation of Muc1 by Atbf1 deletion (Figure 3B), which also occurs in human primary and metastatic prostate cancers and suggests Muc1 as a potential therapeutic target for cancer treatment [33,35,36]. MUC1 is a major cell surface protein that functions as a physical and biological barrier to protect mucous epithelia, and interacts with a number of proteins to trigger diverse signaling pathways including the MAPK/ERK pathway [33,37]. Overexpression of Muc1 alone in mouse mammary glands can also lead to increased ERK1/2 activity [58]. Thirdly, Atbf1 deletion led to the expression of the Tn antigen (Figure 3B), an O-glycan that is usually found on cancer cells but not on normal cells [29,59]. Altered glycosylation in cancer cells could affect MUC1 distribution and signaling [35]. These molecular similarities between Atbf1 deletion-induced mPIN lesions and human prostate cancer indicate the model's intrinsic relevance.

**Atbf1 Deficiency Attenuates the Secretory Profile of Mouse Prostates**

ATBF1 is a transcription factor, and we would thus expect that Atbf1 deletion in mouse prostates dysregulates a number of genes. This is indeed the case, as hundreds of genes, 391 of which are protein-coding, were differentially expressed between normal prostates and Atbf1-null prostates (Table S2). Some differentially expressed genes, including Clu, Qox1, Hk2 and Tactsc62, were also identified in mouse prostates with the knockout of Nkx3.1 or Pten, both of which have a tumor suppressor function in prostate cancer [48,60,61]. Interestingly, 64 of the 391 (16%) differentially expressed proteins were annotated as secretory proteins and 117 of them (29.9%) have been detected in the plasma or serum (Table S2). We verified the elevation of two secretory proteins, Clu and Spink3, by IHC staining in mouse DPs. Clu was also up-regulated in Nkx3.1 and Pten-deficient mouse prostates and has been implicated in increased prostate cancer resistance to chemotherapy [48,61,62]. SPINK1, the human homolog of Spink3, was overexpressed in a subset of ETS rearrangement-negative prostate cancers, and its reduced expression in 22Rv1 prostate cancer cells attenuates cell invasion [63,64]. In a preliminary experiment, we used liquid chromatography coupled with tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) to identify secretory proteins in mouse prostates, and found several secretory proteins that were differentially expressed between the wildtype and Atbf1PE/PE mice (data not shown), supporting the conclusion from the microarray study. Dysregulation of a large number of secretory proteins by Atbf1 deletion thus suggests an important role of Atbf1 in the structure and function of the adult prostate. For example, Atbf1 could be necessary for the induction and/or maintenance of differentiated secretory luminal cells in the prostate. Supporting this idea, a role for ATBF1 has been detected in neuronal differentiation [65,66].

**Atbf1 Deletion Leads to Abnormalities in Multiple Signaling Pathways Involved in Carcinogenesis**

Some of the secretory proteins dysregulated by Atbf1 deletion, including Igf1 (Tables S2), are known signaling molecules. In addition, 101 of the 391 (25.8%) differentially expressed proteins between normal and Atbf1-null prostates have been reported as membrane proteins (Table S2), including receptors (e.g., Ptrlr and Ptger3), cell surface antigens (e.g., Ly6e), and ion channels and transporters (e.g., Sck22a4, Trpv6, and Atp12a) (Table S2). Ion channel and transporter proteins affect the concentration of extracellular and intracellular cations to regulate a broad range of biological events related to cancer, including cell proliferation, apoptosis and migration [67]. Whereas some of the ion channel proteins are dysregulated in human prostate cancer, e.g., TRPV6 is upregulated in advanced prostate tumors to increase Ca^{2+} entry [68], many of the differentially expressed proteins such as PhnR and RGS3 are known to influence cellular signaling. It is thus possible that Atbf1 deletion attenuates multiple signaling pathways and networks, and the pathway analyses support this prediction (Figure 5, Table S4).

When differentially expressed genes between normal and Atbf1-null prostates and their expression levels were examined with the Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) program, a number of signaling pathways/networks were identified (Table S4), and these pathways regulate multiple biological processes including cell differentiation, tissue development, cell death, cell movement, secretion of bodily fluid, cation transport, atrial fibrillation and blood pressure regulation (Table S4). The four with the highest statistical significance centered on ERK1/2-IGF1, AKT-FSH, NF-κB and progesterone-β-estradiol (Figure 5), and each of these four networks has been implicated in cancer development. For example, activated ERK1/2 and AKT, two well-established oncogenic molecules that can be activated by a number of genetic events during carcinogenesis, were also detectable in Atbf1 deletion-induced mPIN lesions (Figure 3B).

For the network centered on ERK1/2 and IGF1, there were multiple molecules linking them (Figure 5A), but whether and how these two nodes truly interact are unknown, although higher serum concentrations of IGFI are associated with an increased risk of several types of cancers including prostate cancer [69], and IGF1 signaling involves the activation of ERK1/2 [70]. For the network centered on follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) and AKT (Figure 5B), the FSH hormone is known to act on granulosa cells within the immature follicle to promote proliferation, inhibit apoptosis, and stimulate hormone production; and multiple signaling pathways involving the PI3K/AKT pathway are involved in FSH function [71,72]. FSH also stimulates the PI3K-dependent pathway in the proliferation and differentiation of Sertoli cells [73,74] and meiotic maturation of oocytes [75]. Interestingly, in addition to androgens, FSH is another hormone that influences the pathogenesis and progression of prostate cancer, as FSH and its receptors are upregulated in prostate cancer and the serum level of FSH is associated with extraprostatic extension of prostate cancer [76,77]. Therefore, increased FSH hormonal signaling activity and subsequent PI3K/AKT activation could be one of the major pathways that mediate the effect of Atbf1 deletion on prostatic tumorigenesis.

Another signaling network attenuated by Atbf1 deletion focused on NF-κB (Figure 5C), which often has increased activity in human cancers and thus has been considered a therapeutic target in cancer treatment [78]. In human prostate cancer, NF-κB is constitutively active in a subset of castration-resistant prostate cancers, and NF-κB overexpression significantly associates with shorter patient survival [79,80]. Human prostate cancer cell lines also have constitutively active NF-κB [81], and inhibition of NF-κB activity inhibited their growth in xenograft models, decreased bone resorption of prostate cancer cells co-cultured with bone marrow, and reduced their invasive capability [82,83]. Interestingly, ATBF1 is the second most frequently mutated gene in castration-resistant human prostate cancer [9,10]. Taken together, it is possible that inactivation of ATBF1 in human prostate cancer activates NF-κB signaling to induce and/or promote the progression of prostate cancer to an androgen-independent and/or metastatic state.
Surprisingly, the fourth most affected signaling network by *Atbf1* deletion was the estrogen-progesterone signaling network (Figure 5D). The presence of estrogen and progesterone receptors in prostate cancer has been documented [84], and so has the role of estrogen in the development and progression of prostate cancer [85,86]. For example, androgen-responsive LNCaP prostate cancer cells are stimulated by estradiol for growth via estrogen receptors while the androgen-insensitive PC-3 prostate cancer cell proliferation is inhibited by estrogen [85]. Human prostate expresses both ERα and ERβ, which are dysregulated during the development and progression of prostate cancer. ERα is often upregulated to mediate the oncogenic effects of estradiol, which also involves the estrogen-regulated progesterone receptor (PR), during prostate cancer progression [87]. On the other hand, ERβ is downregulated in castration-resistant prostate cancer and thus serves as a tumor suppressor [87]. ERβ mediates the inhibitory effect of antiestrogens on the development of castration-resistant prostate cancer by interacting with other transcription factors to upregulate FOXO1, inducing anoikis and thus suppressing the growth of prostate cancer [88].

The role of estrogen and progesterone in prostate cancer is better documented in mouse models [86]. Further supporting the effect of *Atbf1* deletion on estrogen signaling, our previous studies demonstrated that in breast cancer cells estrogen signaling upregulates *ATBF1* transcription but causes ATBF1 protein degradation [89,90], while ATBF1 inhibits ERα function by selectively competing with one of its coactivators for the binding to ERα [91]. *Atbf1* deletion increased cell proliferation only in ERα-positive but not in ERα-negative cells [92] and the Pg-progesterone signaling upregulates ATBF1 in mammary epithelial cells [93]. All these findings suggest the possibility that Atbf1 suppresses ERα signaling in normal prostates and *Atbf1* deletion leads to more active estrogen signaling that could promote the development and progression of prostate cancer.

The fifth most significant network based on the *P* value was the network of 9 genes involved in atrial fibrillation (Table S4), a common heart rhythm disorder. The role of ATBF1 in atrial fibrillation has been suggested by a genetic association of *ATBF1* sequence variants with this disorder [5,6], and the 9 genes regulated by Atbf1 provide a clue for how *ATBF1* mutation may modulate atrial fibrillation.

In summary, we found that deletion of *Atbf1* in mouse prostates caused mPIN lesions, and the mPIN lesions shared a number of histopathologic and molecular features with human PIN and prostate cancer, providing functional evidence for a tumor suppressor activity of ATBF1 in human prostate cancer and establishing a mouse model of prostatic carcinogenesis that is relevant to human prostate cancer. In addition, a number of genes, particularly those encoding for cell membrane and secretory proteins, were dysregulated by *Atbf1* deletion, and the most affected signaling networks centered on Erk1/2 and IGF1, Akt and FSH, NF-κB and progesterone and β-estradiol, all of which have been implicated in human cancer development. These findings provide in vivo evidence that ATBF1 is a tumor suppressor in the prostate, suggest that loss of *Atbf1* contributes to tumorigenesis by dysregulating membrane and secretory proteins and multiple signaling pathways, and provide a new and clinically relevant animal model for prostate cancer.
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