Regional Inhaled Deposited Dose of Indoor Combustion-Generated Aerosols in Jordanian Urban Homes
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Abstract: Indoor combustion processes associated with cooking, heating, and smoking are a major source of aerosols in Jordanian dwellings. To evaluate human exposure to combustion-generated aerosols in Jordanian indoor environments, regional inhaled deposited dose rates of indoor aerosols (10 nm to 25 µm) were determined for different scenarios for adult occupants. The inhaled deposited dose rate provides an estimate of the number or mass of inhaled aerosol that deposits in each region of the respiratory system per unit time. In general, sub-micron particle number (PN1) dose rates ranged from 10⁹ to 10¹² particles/h, fine particle mass (PM2.5) dose rates ranged from 3 to 216 µg/h, and coarse particle mass (PM10) dose rates ranged from 30 to 1600 µg/h. Dose rates were found to be dependent on the type and intensity of indoor combustion processes documented in the home. Dose rates were highest during cooking activities using a natural gas stove, heating via natural gas and kerosene, and smoking (shisha/tobacco). The relative fraction of the total dose rate received in the head airways, tracheobronchial, and alveolar regions varied among the documented indoor combustion (and non-combustion) activities. The significant fraction of sub-100 nm particles produced during the indoor combustion processes resulted in high particle number dose rates for the alveolar region. Suggested approaches for reducing indoor aerosol dose rates in Jordanian dwellings include a reduction in the prevalence of indoor combustion sources, use of extraction hoods to remove combustion products, and improved ventilation/filtration in residential buildings.
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1. Introduction

Inhalation exposure to indoor air pollution is a significant factor affecting human respiratory and cardiovascular health. As people spend the majority of their time in indoor environments, it is important to conduct comprehensive assessments of indoor air quality and exposure [1–3]. This is especially needed in many urban areas of the world that lack reliable indoor air pollution data for evaluation of human health outcomes. In general, particulate and gaseous indoor air pollutant concentrations depend on the dynamic relationship between pollutant source and loss processes...
within buildings. Source processes include: (1) the transport of outdoor air pollution, which can be high in urban areas [4], into the indoor environment via ventilation and infiltration; and (2) indoor emission sources, which include solid and liquid fuel combustion, electronic appliances, cleaning, consumer products, occupants, pets, and volatilization of chemicals from building materials and furnishings [5–11]. Loss processes include: (1) ventilation and exfiltration; (2) deposition to indoor surfaces; (3) filtration and air cleaning; and (4) pollutant transformations in the air (i.e., coagulation, gas-phase reactions). Indoor emission sources often generate substantial increases in indoor air pollutant concentrations, exceeding contributions from the transport of outdoor air pollutants to the indoor environment. Concentrations of indoor-generated pollutants can be further augmented due to poor building ventilation.

Understanding the health effects of inhaled indoor aerosols can be evaluated through the following approach: (1) evaluation of indoor exposure levels and particle characteristics; (2) calculation of the inhaled deposited dose in the human respiratory tract; and (3) toxicity analysis and biological response [7,12]. Quantitative analysis of the inhaled deposited dose of indoor aerosols in the respiratory tract provides an extra layer of information beyond reporting of exposure levels. The International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP) model has been the most widely used to calculate regional respiratory deposition rates of aerosols [13], and more recently, the Multiple Path Particle Dosimetry (MPPD) model has also been used [14]. However, inhaled deposited dose analysis of indoor aerosols has been given limited attention in many parts of the world, especially in Middle Eastern dwellings [15–18].

The objective of this study is to evaluate the regional deposited dose (number, mass) of inhaled combustion-generated aerosols in Jordanian indoor environments during the winter season. The dose analysis is based on measured particle number size distributions (0.01–25 µm) associated with fossil fuel combustion processes (kerosene and natural gas) that are commonly used for heating and cooking, as well as indoor smoking. Both number and mass dose rates were estimated; the latter is derived from particle mass size distributions estimated using aerosol effective densities. This is the first study, to the authors’ knowledge, to report inhaled deposited dose rates for indoor aerosols in Middle Eastern indoor environments.

2. Methodology

2.1. Inhaled Deposited Dose Rate of Indoor Combustion-Generated Aerosols

The ICRP and MPPD models divide the respiratory tract into three regions: head/throat, tracheobronchial (TB), and pulmonary/alveolar (P/Alv). Following our previous methodology as described by Hussein et al. [7,19,20], we can calculate the regional inhaled deposited dose for a specific particle diameter range \( D_{p1} - D_{p2} \) during a one-hour exposure period as a dose rate:

\[
\text{Dose Rate} = \int_{D_{p1}}^{D_{p2}} V_E \cdot DF(D_p) \cdot n_0(N_p) \cdot f \cdot d\log(D_p)
\]  

(1)

where \( V_E \) is the minute ventilation (volume of air breathed as reported by Holmes [21], Table S1), \( DF(D_p) \) is the aerosol deposition fraction in a particular region of the respiratory tract (Figure S1 as reported by Lönndahl et al. [22]), \( n_0\)(\( D_p \)) (particles/cm\(^3\)) is the particle number size distribution (i.e., dN/dlog\( D_p \)), and \( f \) is a metric conversion for the aerosol concentration (i.e., it is 1 for particle number and for particle mass \( = \rho_p D_p^3 \pi / 6 \), where \( \rho_p \) is the particle effective density). The deposition fraction (DF) and the particle number size distribution (\( n \)) are functions of particle diameter (\( D_p \)). Dose rates were calculated for adult male and female occupants reflecting different activity levels (resting, exercising, housework) and exposures to different indoor combustion sources (heating, cooking, smoking). The combination of subjects, activities, and combustion processes reflect common exposure scenarios in Jordanian dwellings during the winter. The indoor aerosol exposure assessment was adopted from our prior observations reported for eight homes in Amman, Jordan.
2.2. Indoor Aerosol Concentrations and Size Distributions in Jordanian Urban Homes

Particle number size distributions were adopted from a previous field measurement campaign reported by Hussein et al. [23] for eight homes from 23 December 2018 to 12 January 2019 (i.e., winter season) in Amman, Jordan (Figure S2, Table S2). Indoor aerosol measurements were made using two portable condensation particle counters (CPC 3007 and P-Trak 8525, TSI Inc., Minnesota, USA) and a handheld optical particle counter (AeroTrak 9306-V2, TSI Inc., Minnesota, USA); see Table S3. The use of this combination of portable aerosol instrumentation provides a basis to derive the particle number size distribution (0.01–25 µm) with 8 bins or size fractions [20,23–31]. The particle effective density is needed when converting a particle number size distribution to a particle mass size distribution. Here, we assumed the effective density to be similar to what was reported for urban air in Asian cities [32] as we are investigating exposure to aerosols originating from fossil fuel combustion, i.e., natural gas heaters and stoves. The calculation of size-fractionated particle number and mass concentrations (i.e., PN1, PM2.5, and PM10) are described in the Supplementary Material.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Overview of Indoor Exposure to Combustion-Generated Aerosols in Jordanian Urban Homes

3.1.1. Indoor Aerosol Concentrations during Background Periods

Investigating aerosol concentrations during periods without indoor activities (i.e., no active indoor emission sources) is necessary to benchmark the background conditions in each dwelling. We identified such periods and calculated the mean, median, and quartiles for total particle number (PN) and mass (PM2.5 and PM10) concentrations (Tables 1 and 2 and Figure 1). Each of these periods was characterized during the nighttime when occupants were asleep. For background PN concentrations (Table 1), the lowest was found in home apartment A1 (mean 4000 ± 300 cm−3) and the highest in ground floor apartment GFA2 (mean 15,800 ± 5800 cm−3). The corresponding PM2.5 and PM10 concentrations were the highest in home H2 (approximately 21.7 ± 21.2 µg/m3 and 82.4 ± 90.8 µg/m3, respectively) and the lowest in A1 (approximately 4.1 ± 0.1 µg/m3 and 5.3 ± 0.5 µg/m3, respectively) (Table 2). In general, indoor aerosol concentrations during these periods reflect outdoor concentrations as the primary indoor aerosol source is infiltration via indoor-outdoor air exchange.

Table 1. Mean indoor particle number concentrations in Jordanian homes during background periods. Additional details on each home are found in the Supplementary Material: Figure S2 and Table S2. Home IDs: apartments (A1/A2), duplex (D1), houses (H1/H2), ground floor apartments (GFA1/GFA2/GFA3).

| Home ID | PN (cm−3) | 25% | Median | 75% |
|---------|-----------|-----|--------|-----|
| A1      | 4000 ± 300| 3800| 4000   | 4200|
| A2      | 6500 ± 900| 5700| 6400   | 7100|
| D1      | 12,800 ± 1300| 12,000| 12,400| 13,400|
| H1      | 10,100 ± 1400| 9100| 9600   | 11,300|
| H2      | 9600 ± 2300| 7600| 9000   | 11,800|
| GFA1    | 7900 ± 2900| 4800| 8200   | 10,800|
| GFA2    | 15,800 ± 5800| 10,000| 19,400| 20,800|
| GFA3    | 10,000 ± 3800| 6800| 7500   | 14,100|
Table 2. Mean indoor particle mass concentrations in Jordanian homes during background periods.

| Home ID | PM$_{2.5}$ (µg/m$^3$) Mean ± SD | 25% | Median | 75% | PM$_{10}$ (µg/m$^3$) Mean ± SD | 25% | Median | 75% |
|---------|-------------------------------|-----|--------|-----|-------------------------------|-----|--------|-----|
| A1      | 4.1 ± 0.1                     | 4.0 | 4.1    | 4.2 | 5.3 ± 0.5                     | 5.0 | 5.2    | 5.6 |
| A2      | 7.1 ± 0.4                     | 6.8 | 7.1    | 7.4 | 16.7 ± 1.5                    | 15.5| 16.6   | 17.9|
| D1      | 12.8 ± 0.7                    | 12.3| 12.8   | 13.4| 14.8 ± 1.2                    | 13.9| 14.8   | 15.6|
| H1      | 15.0 ± 2.6                    | 12.7| 14.5   | 17.8| 41.5 ± 6.7                    | 36.1| 39.7   | 47.1|
| H2      | 21.7 ± 21.2                   | 4.4 | 4.9    | 46.7| 82.4 ± 90.8                   | 7.6 | 9.7    | 190.5|
| GFA1    | 9.1 ± 1.1                     | 8.1 | 8.9    | 10.2| 12.0 ± 1.6                    | 10.8| 11.9   | 13.6|
| GFA2    | 19.6 ± 4.4                    | 15.5| 21.3   | 22.9| 28.2 ± 8.0                    | 20.5| 31.4   | 34.9|
| GFA3    | 8.5 ± 3.5                     | 5.3 | 7.1    | 12.2| 17.1 ± 7.8                    | 8.9 | 18.3   | 24.4|

Figure 1. Indoor particle number and mass concentrations in Jordanian homes during background periods: (a) mean and (b) median.
Variations in the magnitude of the mean indoor particle number size distributions during background conditions were observed among the eight homes (Figure 2). This is due in part to variations in outdoor particle size distributions at each site, infiltration and ventilation rates, and prevalence of indoor emission sources prior to the background periods during the nighttime. The mean particle number size distributions generally exhibit similarity in shape, with pronounced nucleation and Aitken modes. Ultrafine particles \( (D_p \leq 0.1 \, \mu m) \) dominate the number size distributions for each home. Homes duplex D1, house H1, and GFA2 were associated with the highest sub-micron particle concentrations, likely due to indoor smoking activities that occurred prior to the background periods. Accumulation mode aerosols emitted during smoking typically have a low deposition rate to indoor surfaces, and thus, have a long residence time in indoor air.

![Image](image_url)

**Figure 2.** Mean indoor particle number size distributions during background periods for each home. The legend refers to the home ID.

### 3.1.2. Indoor Activity Categories for Inhaled Deposited Dose Analysis

We selected the following activities for the inhaled deposited dose analysis: heating (kerosene, natural gas, central heating system, air conditioning split unit (AC)), cooking (microwave, water heater jug, combustion using natural gas stove), and smoking (shisha, tobacco). The activity-specific aerosol concentrations are listed in Table S5 and the mean particle number size distributions categorized per event and home are presented in Figure S3. The events are categorized as follows:

- **TYPE I:** Non-combustion cooking activities (i.e., microwave, water heater jug).
- **TYPE II:** Intensive cooking activities by combustion (i.e., natural gas stove) combined with non-combustion heating (central or AC).
- **TYPE III:** Cooking activities by combustion (i.e., natural gas stove) combined with combustion heating (kerosene or natural gas).
- **TYPE IV:** Cooking activities by combustion (i.e., natural gas stove) combined with combustion heating (kerosene or natural gas) and smoking (shisha and/or tobacco).

The overall mean size-fractionated particle number concentrations for each category and background conditions are listed in Tables S6–S10 and the particle concentrations are presented in Figure 3 and Table 3. The corresponding particle number size distributions are presented in Figure 4. Particle concentrations were the lowest for TYPE I indoor activities (Table S7 and Table 3), which did not include any combustion processes. The overall mean PN concentrations were approximately
results demonstrate the impact of using natural gas combustion for cooking and heating in an enclosed indoor environment with poor ventilation during the winter.

Table 3. Particle number and mass concentrations for the categorized indoor activities across all homes.

|                     | Mean   | SD   | 25%  | Median | 75%  |
|---------------------|--------|------|------|--------|------|
| **PN$_1$ (cm$^{-3}$)** |        |      |      |        |      |
| Background          | 9900   | 4900 | 6700 | 8800   | 12,900 |
| TYPE I              | 16,400 | 17,100 | 7900 | 12,000 | 16,600 |
| TYPE II             | 144,500 | 97,500 | 71,700 | 112,200 | 192,700 |
| TYPE III            | 289,200 | 189,800 | 151,700 | 281,700 | 390,600 |
| TYPE IV             | 371,300 | 232,300 | 184,600 | 318,700 | 532,700 |
| **PM$_{2.5}$ (µg/m$^3$)** |        |      |      |        |      |
| Background          | 13.3   | 14.8 | 5.4  | 7.9    | 14.1 |
| TYPE I              | 16.0   | 10.7 | 10.3 | 12.9   | 20.0 |
| TYPE II             | 120.5  | 66.6 | 72.5 | 100.0  | 148.5 |
| TYPE III            | 169.9  | 134.5 | 80.5  | 139.0  | 220.8 |
| TYPE IV             | 267.2  | 237.6 | 94.4  | 174.5  | 409.8 |
| **PM$_{10}$ (µg/m$^3$)** |        |      |      |        |      |
| Background          | 32.8   | 53.3 | 8.0  | 12.9   | 26.8 |
| TYPE I              | 81.7   | 52.8 | 43.8 | 68.4   | 116.4 |
| TYPE II             | 259.5  | 123.6 | 171.2 | 246.7  | 320.3 |
| TYPE III            | 650.6  | 714.5 | 203.2 | 400.8  | 758.5 |
| TYPE IV             | 697.7  | 596.5 | 207.7 | 575.0  | 1011.7 |
Figure 4. Mean particle number size distributions during selected indoor activities categorized by activity type: (a) Type I (non-combustion), (b) TYPE II (intensive cooking with different heating types), (c) TYPE III (combustion: heating and cooking), and (d) TYPE IV (combustion: heating, cooking, and smoking). Heating type: natural gas heater (NG), kerosene heater (K), central heating system (C), and air conditioning split unit (AC). Smoking type: shisha (SH) and tobacco smoking (TS). Cooking was reported on either a stove (natural gas) or using non-combustion appliances (i.e., water jug heater, microwave, etc.); the cooking intensity was indicated. The legend refers to the home ID and indoor activities.

TYPE II activities included intensive cooking (natural gas stove) and different heating processes. It was found to have a measurable impact on indoor air quality with overall mean concentrations of $1.5 \times 10^5 \pm 1.0 \times 10^5 \, \text{cm}^{-3}$, $121 \pm 67 \, \mu g/m^3$, and $260 \pm 124 \, \mu g/m^3$; respectively for PN, PM$_{2.5}$, and PM$_{10}$ (Table S8 and Table 3). TYPE II activities included three indoor activities with respect to heating type: AC in home A1 ($7.4 \times 10^4 \pm 3.2 \times 10^4 \, \text{cm}^{-3}$, $99 \pm 36 \, \mu g/m^3$, $176 \pm 75 \, \mu g/m^3$; respectively), central heating system in GFA3 ($1.8 \times 10^5 \pm 9.8 \times 10^4 \, \text{cm}^{-3}$, $130 \pm 65 \, \mu g/m^3$, $296 \pm 104 \, \mu g/m^3$; respectively), and a natural gas heater in A1 ($4.7 \times 10^5 \pm 8.9 \times 10^4 \, \text{cm}^{-3}$, $1400 \pm 320 \, \mu g/m^3$, $10,400 \pm 3900 \, \mu g/m^3$; respectively). These results demonstrate the impact of using natural gas combustion for cooking and heating in an enclosed indoor environment with poor ventilation during the winter.

The overall mean concentrations for TYPE III indoor activities (Table S9 and Table 3) were $2.9 \times 10^5 \pm 1.9 \times 10^5 \, \text{cm}^{-3}$, $170 \pm 135 \, \mu g/m^3$, $661 \pm 715 \, \mu g/m^3$; respectively for PN, PM$_{2.5}$, and PM$_{10}$. Home GFA3 (central heating system) had the lowest mean particle concentrations ($1.0 \times 10^5 \pm 7.1 \times 10^4 \, \text{cm}^{-3}$, $49 \pm 16 \, \mu g/m^3$, $171 \pm 44 \, \mu g/m^3$; respectively for PN, PM$_{2.5}$, and PM$_{10}$). As for activities in homes A1 and GFA1 (natural gas heaters), the mean particle concentrations were in the range of $1.6 \times 10^5$–$2.0 \times 10^5 \, \text{cm}^{-3}$, $36$–$79 \, \mu g/m^3$, $65$–$111 \, \mu g/m^3$; respectively. The highest mean particle concentrations were found in homes A1 and H2 (kerosene heater) with values in the range $3.1 \times 10^5$–$3.7 \times 10^5 \, \text{cm}^{-3}$, $152$–$193 \, \mu g/m^3$, $254$–$772 \, \mu g/m^3$; respectively. This suggests that kerosene heaters may have a more pronounced impact on elevating indoor particle concentrations as compared to natural gas heaters.

The highest particle concentrations were found during cooking (natural gas stove) combined with combustion heating (natural gas or kerosene heaters) and smoking (shisha and/or tobacco)
The mean particle concentrations were in the range of $1.8 \times 10^5 - 5.5 \times 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, $215-493 \mu g/m^3$, $317-1200 \mu g/m^3$; respectively for PN, PM$_{2.5}$, and PM$_{10}$.

3.2. Inhaled Deposited Dose Scenarios

The primary goal of this study was to quantify the regional deposited dose rate of combustion-generated aerosols in the respiratory tract for exposure during four indoor activity types (TYPEs I, II, III, IV) and background conditions. Such analyses have yet to be made for indoor environments in the Middle East, which include a mixture of western and eastern living styles with respect to heating, cooking, and other indoor activities. The dose rate calculations were made for the following scenarios:

- Housework activities: effort equivalent to yardwork.
- Moving activities: effort corresponding to running at 8 km/h and walking at 4 km/h.
- Resting activities: standing and sitting.

3.2.1. Regional Inhaled Deposited Dose Rates for Background Condition Scenarios

The regional inhaled deposited dose rate calculated based on exposure to mean sub-micron particle number concentrations (i.e., PN$_1$) was the highest in the alveolar region and the lowest in the head airways during indoor background conditions (Table 4 and Figure 5). The total PN$_1$ dose rate was in the range of $2.9 \times 10^9 - 1.9 \times 10^{10} \text{ particles/h}$ for an adult male and $2.3 \times 10^9 - 1.7 \times 10^{10} \text{ particles/h}$ for an adult female. The highest dose rate was received during running (i.e., indoor exercising) and the lowest during sitting due to a higher minute ventilation at increased effort for the former. Approximately 75% of the total PN$_1$ dose rate was received in the alveolar region and approximately 7.5% was received in the head region for adult males performing running, walking, and working activities. As for standing and sitting, adult males received approximately 62% of the total PN$_1$ dose rate in the alveolar region and approximately 14% in the head region. When compared to an adult male, an adult female received a slightly lower PN$_1$ dose rate fraction in the alveolar region (about 73%) and a slightly higher dose rate fraction in the head region (about 8%) during running, walking, and working activities. Adult females received 53% of the total PN$_1$ dose rate in the alveolar region and 16% in the head region for standing and sitting.

Table 4. Mean regional dose rates for aerosol exposure during indoor background conditions. Note that yardwork is assumed to be equivalent to housework and running is equivalent to indoor exercising.

| Scenario          | Adult Male | Adult Female |
|-------------------|------------|--------------|
|                   | Head       | Alv          | Total    | Head       | Alv          | Total    |
| **PN$_1$ (x10^6 #/h)** |            |              |          |            |              |          |
| Yardwork          | 700        | 1700         | 7300     | 9700       | 500          | 1200     | 6000     |
| Running 8.0 km/h  | 1500       | 3400         | 14,500   | 19,400     | 1300         | 3200     | 16,800   |
| Walking 4.0 km/h  | 600        | 1400         | 5800     | 7700       | 500          | 1300     | 4900     |
| Standing          | 500        | 800          | 2200     | 3500       | 400          | 700      | 2600     |
| Sitting           | 400        | 700          | 1800     | 2900       | 400          | 700      | 2300     |
| **PM$_{2.5}$ (µg/h)** |            |              |          |            |              |          |
| Yardwork          | 0.5        | 1.0          | 4.5      | 5.9        | 0.3          | 0.6      | 2.7      |
| Running 8.0 km/h  | 0.9        | 2.0          | 9.0      | 11.9       | 0.8          | 1.8      | 7.7      |
| Walking 4.0 km/h  | 0.4        | 0.8          | 3.6      | 4.7        | 0.3          | 0.7      | 4.1      |
| Standing          | 1.2        | 0.4          | 1.6      | 3.2        | 0.8          | 0.3      | 2.2      |
| Sitting           | 1.0        | 0.3          | 1.3      | 2.6        | 0.7          | 0.3      | 0.9      |
| **PM$_{10}$ (µg/h)** |            |              |          |            |              |          |
| Yardwork          | 11.8       | 11.0         | 11.2     | 34.0       | 7.6          | 6.6      | 7.0      |
| Running 8.0 km/h  | 23.5       | 22.0         | 22.4     | 68.0       | 21.2         | 18.5     | 19.5     |
| Walking 4.0 km/h  | 9.3        | 8.7          | 8.9      | 27.0       | 8.4          | 7.3      | 7.7      |
| Standing          | 10.0       | 1.3          | 3.3      | 14.6       | 7.1          | 1.0      | 2.2      |
| Sitting           | 8.2        | 1.0          | 2.7      | 12.0       | 6.2          | 0.9      | 1.9      |

The dose rate calculations were made for the following scenarios:

- Housework activities: effort equivalent to yardwork.
- Moving activities: effort corresponding to running at 8 km/h and walking at 4 km/h.
- Resting activities: standing and sitting.
Figure 5. Regional inhaled deposited dose rates calculated for different activities during indoor background conditions for: (a) sub-micron particle number concentrations (PN\textsubscript{1}) and (b,c) particle mass concentrations (PM\textsubscript{2.5} and PM\textsubscript{10}). The color legend is: blue—head airways (head), red—tracheobronchial (TB), and gray—alveolar (Alv). Exposure is based on mean concentrations. Note that yardwork is assumed to be equivalent to housework and running is equivalent to indoor exercising.

The regional dose rate for mean fine particle mass (i.e., PM\textsubscript{2.5}) followed a similar pattern as that for sub-micron particle number (i.e., PN\textsubscript{1}). For example, the PM\textsubscript{2.5} dose rate was the highest in the alveolar region (range: 1.3–9 \(\mu\)g/h for adult males and 0.9–7.7 \(\mu\)g/h for adult females) and the lowest in the head region (range: 0.4–1 \(\mu\)g/h for adult males and 0.3–0.8 \(\mu\)g/h for adult females). The highest PM\textsubscript{2.5} dose rate was received during running and the lowest during sitting (Table 4 and Figure 5).
An adult male performing running, walking, and working activities would receive approximately 75% of the total PM$_{2.5}$ dose rate in the alveolar region and about 8% in the head region. As for standing and sitting, adult males received approximately 50% of the total PM$_{2.5}$ dose rate in the alveolar region and about 38% in the head region. When compared to an adult male, an adult female received rather similar fractions in the head region. However, the fractions were slightly lower for the alveolar region (about 46% during standing and sitting and about 74% during running, walking, and working).

The PM$_{10}$ regional dose rate pattern was different than those for PN$_1$ and PM$_{2.5}$. However, the pattern was similar for adult males and females. For example, the PM$_{10}$ dose rate fraction was approximately 35% in the head region during yardwork, running, and walking and it was about 68% during standing and sitting. The corresponding fraction in the alveolar region was about 32% and 22%, respectively. The total PM$_{10}$ dose rate in the head region was in the range of 8–24 µg/h for adult males and 6–21 µg/h for adult females. As for the alveolar region, the dose rate was in the range of 3–22 µg/h for adult males and 2–20 µg/h for adult females (Table 4 and Figure 5). The higher dose rate in the head airways for particles larger than 2.5 µm is explained by a higher deposition efficiency via impaction and gravitational settling for large particles.

3.2.2. Regional Inhaled Deposited Dose Rates for TYPE I Scenarios

This category of indoor activities includes indoor aerosol emissions during non-combustion processes. In general, the dose rates received during TYPE I indoor activities were 1.6-, 1.4-, and 2.9-fold higher than what was received during indoor background conditions; respectively for PN$_1$, PM$_{2.5}$, and PM$_{10}$. Adult females received lower aerosol dose rates than adult males, primarily due to a lower minute ventilation (Table 5 and Figure 6).

| Scenarios     | PN$_1$ ($\times 10^6$ #/h) | PM$_{2.5}$ (µg/h) | PM$_{10}$ (µg/h) |
|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| **Scenario**  | **Adult Male**              | **Adult Female** |                |
|               | Head | TB   | Alv  | Total | Head | TB   | Alv  | Total |
| Yardwork      |      |      |      |        |      |      |      |        |
| Running 8.0 km/h | 2400 | 5400 | 23,300 | 31,100 | 2000 | 2000 | 12,300 | 31,100 |
| Walking 4.0 km/h | 900  | 2200 | 9200  | 12,300 | 800  | 2000 | 7800  | 12,300 |
| Standing      | 800  | 1300 | 3500  | 5600  | 700  | 1200 | 2300  | 4100  |
| Sitting       | 700  | 1100 | 2900  | 4600  | 600  | 1000 | 2000  | 3600  |

Table 5. Mean regional dose rates (head, tracheobronchial (TB), alveolar (Alv), and total) for aerosol exposure during TYPE I indoor activities. Note that yardwork is assumed to be equivalent to housework and running is equivalent to indoor exercising.
Figure 6. Regional inhaled deposited dose rates calculated for each activity type (TYPEs I, II, III, IV) and background conditions for: (a) sub-micron particle number concentrations (PN$_1$) and (b,c) particle mass concentrations (PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$). The color legend is: blue—yardwork equivalent activities, yellow—walking activities, and red—sitting and resting.

PN$_1$ and PM$_{2.5}$ regional deposition patterns (fractions in each respiratory region) were similar as that received during indoor background conditions. The total PN$_1$ dose rate received in the head region was in the range of 4.6 × 10$^9$–3.1 × 10$^{10}$ particles/h for an adult male and 3.6 × 10$^9$–2.7 × 10$^{10}$ particles/h for an adult female. The corresponding total PM$_{2.5}$ mass dose rate was in the range of 4–17 µg/h and 3–15 µg/h; respectively for adult males and females. In contrast to PN$_1$ and PM$_{2.5}$, the PM$_{10}$ deposition pattern was different from that received during background conditions. Specifically, the PM$_{10}$ dose rate fraction was approximately 42% in the head region during yardwork, running, and walking and it
was about 75% during standing and sitting. The corresponding fraction in the alveolar region was approximately 24% and 16%, respectively. The change in the PM$_{10}$ dose pattern was expected because during TYPE I scenarios, concentrations of coarse mode particles increased in part due to human movement-driven settled dust resuspension. The total PM$_{10}$ dose rate was in the range of 35–210 µg/h and 27–183 µg/h, respectively for adult males and females. For adult males, the dose rate received was 26–87 µg/h in the head region and 6–50 µg/h in the alveolar region; with lower values during sitting and higher values during indoor exercising. For adult females, the corresponding values in the head and alveolar regions were 20–78 µg/h and 4–44 µg/h, respectively.

### 3.2.3. Regional Inhaled Deposited Dose Rates for Combustion Scenarios (TYPEs II, II, IV)

For these categories of indoor activities, fine particle number concentrations were much higher than what was observed during the background conditions and TYPE I activities (Table 3 and Figures 3 and 4). This was reflected in the dose rate calculations. For instance, the dose rates received during TYPE II indoor activities were 7.9-, 10.2-, and 12.9-fold higher than what was received during indoor background conditions (Table 6 and Figure 6) respectively for PN$_1$, PM$_{2.5}$, and PM$_{10}$. As for TYPE III indoor activities, the corresponding ratios were 22.6, 14.6, and 32.9 (Table 7 and Figure 6), respectively. Smoking activities (shisha, tobacco) were associated with ratios of 21.3, 17.5, and 46.6 (Table 8 and Figure 6), respectively. Adult females received lower dose rates in all regions and scenarios as compared to adult males. Interestingly, the impact of combustion processes was more pronounced for the PM$_{10}$ dose rates than for the PN$_1$ and PM$_{2.5}$ dose rates, as determined from the ratios relative to background scenarios.

**Table 6.** Mean regional dose rates (head, tracheobronchial (TB), alveolar (Alv), and total) for aerosol exposure during TYPE II indoor activities. Note that yardwork is assumed to be equivalent to housework and running is equivalent to indoor exercising.

| Scenario            | Adult Male | Adult Female | Total |
|---------------------|------------|--------------|-------|
|                     | Head TB    | Alv TB       |       |
| PN$_1$ ($\times10^6$ #/h) |            |              |       |
| Yardwork            | 9600       | 21,900       | 127,500 |
| Running 8.0 km/h    | 19,300     | 43,900       | 255,000 |
| Walking 4.0 km/h    | 7600       | 17,400       | 101,100 |
| Standing            | 6500       | 10,500       | 46,400  |
| Sitting             | 5300       | 8600         | 38,000  |
| PM$_{2.5}$ (µg/h)   |            |              |       |
| Yardwork            | 4.8        | 10.6         | 63.5   |
| Running 8.0 km/h    | 9.5        | 21.1         | 126.9  |
| Walking 4.0 km/h    | 3.8        | 8.4          | 50.3   |
| Standing            | 9.3        | 4.1          | 30.0   |
| Sitting             | 7.6        | 3.4          | 24.5   |
| PM$_{10}$ (µg/h)    |            |              |       |
| Yardwork            | 89.3       | 83.0         | 264.8  |
| Running 8.0 km/h    | 178.7      | 166.0        | 529.7  |
| Walking 4.0 km/h    | 70.9       | 65.8         | 210.0  |
| Standing            | 72.6       | 10.7         | 111.4  |
| Sitting             | 59.4       | 8.7          | 91.2   |
Table 7. Mean regional dose rates (head, tracheobronchial (TB), alveolar (Alv), and total) for aerosol exposure during TYPE III indoor activities. Note that yardwork is assumed to be equivalent to housework and running is equivalent to indoor exercising.

| Scenario      | Adult Male | Adult Female | Total  | Adult Male | Adult Female | Total  |
|---------------|------------|--------------|--------|------------|--------------|--------|
| **PN₁ (×10⁶ #/h)** |            |              |        |            |              |        |
| Yardwork      | 24,300     | 57,200       | 237,200| 318,700    | 15,100       | 38,900 | 144,500 | 198,600 |
| Running 8.0 km/h | 48,700     | 114,300      | 474,500| 637,500    | 42,500       | 109,200| 405,400 | 557,100 |
| Walking 4.0 km/h | 19,300     | 45,300       | 188,200| 252,800    | 16,800       | 43,200 | 160,600 | 220,600 |
| Standing      | 17,100     | 28,000       | 70,000 | 115,000    | 13,800       | 25,000 | 45,500  | 84,300  |
| Sitting       | 14,000     | 22,900       | 57,200 | 94,100     | 12,100       | 21,800 | 39,800  | 73,700  |
| **PM₂₅ (µg/h)** |            |              |        |            |              |        |
| Yardwork      | 6.9        | 15.2         | 68.5   | 90.6       | 4.2          | 9.7    | 41.4    | 55.4    |
| Running 8.0 km/h | 13.8       | 30.5         | 137.0  | 181.3      | 11.9         | 27.3   | 116.2   | 155.4   |
| Walking 4.0 km/h | 5.5        | 12.1         | 54.3   | 71.9       | 4.7          | 10.8   | 46.0    | 61.5    |
| Standing      | 13.8       | 5.9          | 23.5   | 43.2       | 9.8          | 5.2    | 14.9    | 29.9    |
| Sitting       | 11.3       | 4.8          | 19.2   | 35.3       | 8.6          | 4.5    | 13.0    | 26.1    |
| **PM₁₀ (µg/h)** |            |              |        |            |              |        |
| Yardwork      | 304.8      | 267.3        | 217.7  | 789.8      | 195.4        | 159.3  | 135.0   | 489.6   |
| Running 8.0 km/h | 609.6      | 534.6        | 435.3  | 1579.5     | 548.2        | 446.8  | 378.7   | 1373.7  |
| Walking 4.0 km/h | 241.7      | 212.0        | 172.6  | 626.4      | 217.1        | 177.0  | 150.0   | 544.0   |
| Standing      | 233.9      | 28.5         | 62.6   | 325.0      | 166.7        | 22.1   | 41.5    | 230.2   |
| Sitting       | 191.4      | 23.3         | 51.2   | 265.9      | 145.8        | 19.3   | 36.3    | 201.5   |

Table 8. Mean regional dose rates (head, tracheobronchial (TB), alveolar (Alv), and total) for aerosol exposure during TYPE IV indoor activities. Note that yardwork is assumed to be equivalent to housework and running is equivalent to indoor exercising.

| Scenario      | Adult Male | Adult Female | Total  | Adult Male | Adult Female | Total  |
|---------------|------------|--------------|--------|------------|--------------|--------|
| **PN₁ (×10⁶ #/h)** |            |              |        |            |              |        |
| Yardwork      | 34,300     | 81,600       | 324,300| 448,300    | 21,500       | 55,900 | 203,600 | 281,100 |
| Running 8.0 km/h | 68,700     | 163,100      | 664,900| 896,700    | 60,400       | 156,900| 571,200 | 788,600 |
| Walking 4.0 km/h | 27,200     | 64,700       | 263,700| 355,600    | 23,900       | 62,100 | 226,200 | 312,300 |
| Standing      | 24,500     | 40,200       | 96,600 | 161,300    | 19,800       | 35,700 | 62,800  | 118,400 |
| Sitting       | 20,100     | 32,900       | 79,100 | 132,000    | 17,400       | 31,300 | 55,000  | 103,600 |
| **PM₂₅ (µg/h)** |            |              |        |            |              |        |
| Yardwork      | 8.0        | 17.6         | 82.4   | 108.0      | 4.9          | 11.3   | 50.1    | 66.3    |
| Running 8.0 km/h | 15.9       | 35.2         | 164.9  | 216.0      | 13.8         | 31.7   | 140.5   | 185.9   |
| Walking 4.0 km/h | 6.3        | 13.9         | 65.4   | 85.6       | 5.4          | 12.6   | 55.6    | 73.6    |
| Standing      | 16.9       | 7.2          | 29.4   | 53.5       | 11.8         | 6.3    | 18.5    | 36.6    |
| Sitting       | 13.8       | 5.9          | 24.1   | 43.8       | 10.4         | 5.5    | 16.2    | 32.0    |
| **PM₁₀ (µg/h)** |            |              |        |            |              |        |
| Yardwork      | 282.0      | 245.5        | 210.3  | 737.8      | 180.7        | 146.5  | 130.3   | 457.4   |
| Running 8.0 km/h | 564.0      | 491.1        | 420.6  | 1475.7     | 507.0        | 410.9  | 365.5   | 1283.4  |
| Walking 4.0 km/h | 223.7      | 194.7        | 166.8  | 585.2      | 200.8        | 162.7  | 144.8   | 508.3   |
| Standing      | 215.6      | 27.4         | 63.1   | 306.1      | 153.6        | 21.4   | 41.5    | 216.4   |
| Sitting       | 176.4      | 22.4         | 51.6   | 250.5      | 134.4        | 18.7   | 36.3    | 189.4   |

TYPE II scenarios represented intensive cooking activities (Table 6). The total PN₁ (and PM₂₅) dose rates were 3.4 × 10¹⁰–2.6 × 10¹¹ particles/h (24.5–126.9 µg/h) and 3.0 × 10¹⁰–2.2 × 10¹¹ particles/h (18.2–108.7 µg/h), respectively for adult males and females. The corresponding total PM₁₀ dose rates were in the range of 91.2–530 µg/h and 68.8–460 µg/h, respectively. TYPE IV scenarios were an extension of TYPE III scenarios that include smoking (Table 8). The total PN₁ (and PM₂₅) dose rates were 1.3 × 10¹³–9.0 × 10¹¹ particles/h (43.8–216 µg/h) and 1.0 × 10¹¹–7.9 × 10¹¹ particles/h (32–185.9 µg/h) respectively for adult males and females. The corresponding total PM₁₀ dose rates were in the range of 0.3–1.6 mg/h and 0.2–1.3 mg/h, respectively. In practice, the PM₁₀ dose rates observed during TYPE III scenarios were comparable to those during TYPE IV scenarios. Two combustion processes (i.e., cooking and heating) were combined in TYPE III scenarios (Table 7). The total PN₁ (and PM₂₅) dose rates were 9.4 × 10¹⁰–6.4 × 10¹¹ particles/h (35.3–181 µg/h) and 7.4 × 10¹⁰–5.6 × 10¹¹ particles/h (26.1–155 µg/h).
respectively for adult males and females. The corresponding total PM$_{10}$ dose rates were in the range of 0.3–1.6 mg/h and 0.2–1.4 mg/h, respectively.

### 3.3. Regional Inhaled Deposited Dose Rates Based on Median Particle Number Size Distributions

Measured indoor particle concentrations were not normally distributed and had periods with high peak values (see Tables S7–S10 in the Supplementary Material). Thus, the mean concentration values were typically higher than median values for the exposure scenarios. Since air quality guidelines are based on mean values, most of our dose rate analysis is based on mean particle number and mass concentrations. Mean values are also the relevant measure for calculation of the inhaled aerosol dose over time. Nevertheless, it is important to compare the mean and the median particle concentrations in order to assess the influence of concentration peaks on the overall dose rate analysis. As presented in Tables 1 and 2 (also Figure 1) for background concentrations inside each dwelling individually, the percentage difference between the mean and the median values for the number concentrations was between 1.5% and 3.8% for the first six dwellings; only dwellings GFA2 and GFA3 had large difference between the mean and the median (23% and 25%, respectively). As for PM$_{2.5}$, the difference between the mean and the median values was less than 9% for all dwellings, aside from the fifth and eighth dwellings (H2 and GFA3). For PM$_{10}$, the difference between the mean and the median values was less than 7% for all dwellings, aside from the fifth and seventh dwellings (H2 and GFA2).

The particle size distributions used in the dose rate calculations (i.e., for all scenarios including background conditions) were combined from the data obtained across all eight dwellings. Therefore, differences between the mean and the median concentrations were more significant (Table 3 and Figure 3). For instance, the difference in the number concentrations was between 3% and 27%. The differences in PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ ranged from 17%–41% and 5%–61%, respectively. Thus, the inhaled dose rates were recalculated based on the median particle size distributions and reported in the Supplementary Material (Section S5). The calculated dose rates were generally lower when using the median values. Considering the total inhaled deposited dose rate for PN$_1$ for adult males and females, it was lower by approximately 9%, 28%, 21%, 8%, and 14%, respectively during background conditions and TYPEs I–IV scenarios. The corresponding difference in PM$_{2.5}$ dose rates was lower by 44%, 21%, 18%, 14%, and 23%, respectively. The largest variation in the difference was found in the PM$_{10}$ dose rates as 69%, 16%, 0%, 41%, and 10%, respectively. Periods with very high particle concentrations can therefore have a significant impact on the estimated dose rates.

### 4. Conclusions

In this study, regional inhaled deposited dose rates of indoor combustion-generated aerosols were evaluated based on mean indoor particle number size distributions (0.01–25 µm) measured in Jordanian dwellings. Dose rates were also calculated in terms of PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ based on mean particle mass size distributions, which were estimated from the particle number size distributions. An important outcome of this investigation is extending dose rate calculations to common exposure scenarios inside Jordanian dwellings. Exposure was classified according to four activity types: TYPE I for non-combustion cooking activities (i.e., microwave), TYPE II for intensive cooking activities by combustion (i.e., natural gas stove) combined with non-combustion heating (central or AC), TYPE III for cooking activities by combustion (i.e., natural gas stove) combined with combustion heating (kerosene or natural gas), and TYPE IV for cooking activities by combustion (i.e., natural gas stove) combined with combustion heating (kerosene or natural gas) and smoking (shisha, tobacco). The activities were classified into three main categories: yardwork equivalent activities, moving activities (running at 8 km/h and walking at 4 km/h), and resting activities (standing and sitting).

The indoor aerosol dose rate calculations were based on: (1) characteristics of particle number size distributions, (2) activity type (exercise versus rest), (3) gender, and (4) particle concentration metric (number versus mass) and the particle diameter range (PN$_1$, PM$_{2.5}$, PM$_{10}$). Regardless of gender, the PM$_{10}$ dose rate fraction during rest was mostly in the head airways (~70%) and the least in the
tracheobronchial region (~9%). When exercising, the fraction in the head airways was ~38%, whereas that in the tracheobronchial region was ~33%. The PM$_{2.5}$ fractions were the least in the head airways (~8% when exercising) and the most in the alveolar region (~75% when exercising).

Based on the mean values, the inhaled dose rates during TYPE I exposure scenarios revealed that the PN$_1$ and PM$_{2.5}$ regional deposition patterns were as follows: PN$_1$ dose rate was 10$^{10}$–10$^{11}$ particles/h, PM$_{2.5}$ dose rate was 3–17 µg/h, and PM$_{10}$ dose rate was 27–210 µg/h. During TYPE II exposure scenarios, the PN$_1$ dose rate was 10$^{10}$–10$^{11}$ particles/h, PM$_{2.5}$ dose rate was 18–127 µg/h, and the PM$_{10}$ dose rate was 69–530 µg/h. High dose rate values were obtained during TYPE III and TYPE IV exposure scenarios: the PN$_1$ dose rate was 10$^{11}$–10$^{12}$ particles/h, PM$_{2.5}$ dose rate was 26–216 µg/h, and PM$_{10}$ dose rate was in the range 200–1600 µg/h.

Based on the median concentrations, the regional inhaled dose rate was generally lower than that obtained based on the mean concentrations. For fine particles, it was lower by 8–29% (PN$_1$) and 12–46% (PM$_{2.5}$). For PM$_{10}$, it was lower by up to 69%. While this study provided estimates of regional inhaled deposited dose rates, which were made for the first time for Jordanian dwellings, the methodology has a few limitations. First, we used aerosol data measured during an extensive campaign with portable instruments that have limitations in particle size classification. Second, the particle number size distributions were derived from aerosol concentrations in different particle size fractions measured with instruments that operate with different principles. Third, we assumed effective particle density for ambient urban aerosols, which might not be representative for indoor aerosols. Finally, we considered certain scenarios of human residential activities that we believe can be representative for many cases in urban Jordanian dwellings in Amman.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/2073-4433/11/11/1150/s1: tables and figures illustrating the materials required for the calculations of the regional inhaled deposited dose rates.
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