Antibacterial Activity and Kinetics of *Litsea cubeba* Oil on *Escherichia coli*
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**Abstract**

*Litsea cubeba* oil is extracted from the fresh fruits of *Litsea cubeba* by distillation. In this study, its chemical constituents, antibacterial activity, kinetics and effects against *Escherichia coli* were studied. Its minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) were both 0.125% (v/v) by toxic food method. Moreover, the antibacterial kinetic curves indicated 0.0625% (v/v) of *litsea cubeba* oil was able to prolong the growth lag phase of *E. coli* cells to approximately 12 hours while 0.125% (v/v) of *litsea cubeba* oil was able to kill the cells completely. Furthermore, transmission electron microscope (TEM) observation showed most *E. coli* cells treated with 0.125% (v/v) of *litsea cubeba* oil were killed or destroyed severely within 2 hours. The *litsea cubeba* oil might penetrate and destroy the outer and inner membrane of *E. coli* cells. Thus many holes and gaps were observed on the damaged cells, which led to their death eventually. The antibacterial effects of *litsea cubeba* oil mainly attributed to the presence of aldehydes, which accounted for approximately 70% in its whole components analyzed by GC/MS. Based on the antimicrobial properties, *litsea cubeba* oil would have a broad application in the antimicrobial industry.
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**Introduction**

Essential oils are aromatic oily liquids obtained from some aromatic plant materials by distillation, squeeze, and solvent extraction [1–3]. Due to their efficient antifungal and antibacterial activity [4–7], essential oils have been widely used in cosmetic, sanitary, and agricultural and food industries [8]. For example, *Litsea cubeba*, an evergreen tree grown fast and widely in Southern China, Japan and South Eastern Asia, is a traditional Chinese medicine for curing inflammation, headache and intoxication [9], [10]. *Litsea cubeba* oil extracted from the fresh fruits of *Litsea cubeba* by distillation is a kind of clear oily liquid with pale yellow to yellow color and sour lemon-like flavor. The compositions and the yields of oils from different parts of *Litsea cubeba* were different [11]. Besides, the composition of essential oils from the plant can differ in harvesting seasons and geographical sources [12], [13]. *Litsea cubeba* oil is often used as a flavor enhancer in foods, cosmetics, cigarettes and as an antimicrobial or insecticides [14–16]. Wang & Liu [11] studied the minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of the oils from different parts of *Litsea cubeba* on *Bacillus subtilis, Enterococcus faecalis, Escherichia coli, Monilia albicans, Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Staphylococcus aureus* and found the oils had moderate antimicrobial activity. Yang et al. [17] found the oil from *Litsea cubeba* had good fungicidal activity. However, knowledge on the antimicrobial kinetics and mechanism of essential oils was limited in nowadays. Therefore, it is necessary to further study their antimicrobial efficacy and mechanism for the widespread application of essential oils.

In this paper, the compositions of commercially available *litsea cubeba* oil were analyzed by GC-MS. *Escherichia coli* was chosen as the tested strain. The antibacterial kinetics and effects of *litsea cubeba* oil against *E. coli* were studied. Furthermore, the correlations between the antibacterial efficacy and its constituents were explored. Results showed the *litsea cubeba* oil had moderate antibacterial activity on *E. coli* and had dose antibacterial and rapid bactericidal effects. Its antibacterial effects mainly attributed to the presence of aldehydes which accounted for approximately 70% in the whole components.

**Materials and Methods**

Chemical reagents, microorganism, mediums, and cultivation

*Litsea cubeba* oil was purchased from Guangzhou Baihua Flavours and Fragrances Company Ltd (Guangzhou, China), which is pure with a density of 0.869 g/ml. The strain of *Escherichia coli* ATCC 8739 was purchased from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) and conserved in our laboratory. The Mueller-Hinton (MH) medium was purchased from Guangdong Huankai Microbial Sci. and Tech. Co., Ltd (Guangzhou, China) which contained the following ingredients: 2.0 g beef extract, 1.5 g soluble starch, and 17.5 g acid hydrolysate of casein. The Mueller-Hinton Agar (MHA) medium, used for aerobic culture of *E. coli* in
37°C, was prepared by adding 1.5% agar in the MH. All solvents and reagents were of analytical grade.

GC-MS analyses of the litsea cubeba oil
The constituents of litsea cubeba oil were analyzed similarly to our previous study [18] using a Thermo Finnigan Trace GC ultra-gas chromatograph equipped with Finnigan Trace DSQ mass spectrometer. In short, the operating conditions were programmed from 60 to 220°C at 10°C/min and injection port temperature was from 70°C to 250°C. Compounds were tentatively identified by comparing the mass spectra with those of authentic samples in the NIST MS library.

Antibacterial activity measurements
The antibacterial activity was measured by poisoned food technique as described in our previous study [18] with slight modification [18]. The experiment concentrations (v/v) of litsea cubeba oil were 0 (as control), 0.0156%, 0.0313%, 0.0625%, 0.125%, and 0.25% respectively. The bacterial concentration of E. coli was determined by measuring optical density (OD) at 600 nm (0.1 of OD 600 corresponding to 10^8 CFU/ml). The quantity of E. coli cells was about 10^6 CFU in every plate. The plates sealed by parafilm were incubated at 37°C in an incubator for 7 days. The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and the minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) of litsea cubeba oil against E. coli were determined as showing no visible growth until incubated for 1 and 7 days separately. Two separate experiments were carried out in triplicate.

Antibacterial kinetics of the litsea cubeba oil against E. coli strain
The antibacterial kinetics of litsea cubeba oil against E. coli was determined, as described in our previous study [18], [19] with slight modification. The experimental concentrations (v/v) of litsea cubeba oil were 0 (as control), 0.0156%, 0.0313%, 0.0625%, and 0.125% respectively. The cell concentrations of E. coli were all 10^9 CFU/mL. All the experimental groups were incubated at 37°C and shaken with 150 rpm in a water bath shaker. The antibacterial kinetic curves were made based on the absorbance of optical density at 600 nm (OD_{600}) determined by a spectrophotometer (UV-5200 UV/VIS spectrophotometer, Shanghai metash instruments co., Ltd., Shanghai, China). Two separate experiments were carried out in triplicate.

TEM observation on the morphological structure of E. coli treated with litsea cubeba oil
The experimental method for observing the morphological structure E. coli treated with litsea cubeba oil was the same as described in our previous study [18], [19]. The experimental concentrations (v/v) of litsea cubeba oil were 0 (as control), 0.125%, and 0.25% respectively. The cell concentrations were all 10^6 CFU/mL. The cultures were incubated at 37°C and shaken with 150 rpm in a water bath shaker for 2 h. Then the cell cultures were sampled and prepared for transmission electron microscope observation (TEM, Hitachi H-7650). Two separate experiments were carried out in triplicate.

Results and Discussion
The main possible constituents of litsea cubeba oil identified by GC/MS are presented in Table 1 and the chemical formula is shown in Fig. 1. Results showed aldehydes (citral, citral isomer, citronellal, and citronellal isomer) were the main components of litsea cubeba oil, which accounted for approximately 70% in whole components. Alcohols (linalool, terpineol, 2,7-dimethyl-2,7-octanediol, and farnesol) accounted for approximately 4%. Alkenes (limonene, sabinene, 1R-a-pinene, 4-methyl-1,4-heptadiene, 1,5,9,11-tridecatetraene, 12-methyl-,(E,E)-, cis,cis,cis-7,10,13-hexadecatrienal, b-pinene, and farnesene) accounted for approximately 23%. Antimicrobial activity of essential oils is

| Table 1. Chemical constituents of litsea cubeba oil analyzed by GC/MS. |
| No. | RT/min | Area% | Name |
|-----|--------|-------|------|
| 1   | 5.7    | 1.35  | 1R-a-pinene |
| 2   | 5.99   | 0.35  | 1-pinene |
| 3   | 6.46   | 2.22  | sabinene |
| 4   | 6.51   | 1.83  | methyl heptenone |
| 5   | 7.4    | 15.94 | limonene |
| 6   | 8.43   | 2.45  | linalool |
| 7   | 9.24   | 1.34  | citronellal |
| 8   | 9.65   | 1.12  | 4-methyl-1,4-heptadiene |
| 9   | 9.98   | 0.82  | terpineol |
| 10  | 10.85  | 32.08 | citral isomer |
| 11  | 11.36  | 36.17 | citral |
| 12  | 12.02  | 0.49  | 2,7-dimethyl-2,7-octanediol |
| 13  | 12.48  | 1.05  | citronellal isomer |
| 14  | 12.76  | 0.14  | farnesol |
| 15  | 13.23  | 0.97  | 1,5,9,11-tridecatetraene, 12-methyl-,(E,E)- |
| 16  | 15.28  | 0.59  | cis,cis,cis-7,10,13-hexadecatrienal |
| 17  | 21.84  | 0.32  | farnesene |
| 18  | 0.77   | 0.77  | others |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0110983.t001
closely related to their compositions, depending mainly on the functional groups. Previous study had been shown that the antimicrobial activity can be ranked from high to low as: phenols > cinnamic aldehyde > alcohols > aldehydes = ketones > ethers > hydrocarbons [20]. The antimicrobial activity of essential oil is mainly attributed to its major components though the minor constituents had a synergistic effect [12], [21]. Therefore, the antibacterial effects of litsea cubeba oil might mainly attribute to the presence of aldehydes, while other minor constituents such as alcohols, methyl heptenone, and alkenes played a synergistic antibacterial effect.

The experimental results for antibacterial activity of litsea cubeba oil determined by poisoned food technique were shown in Fig. 2. After incubation for 1 day, there were full of *E. coli* colonies in the Petri dishes of 0, 0.0156% and 0.0313% (v/v) experimental oil groups. But the quantity of *E. coli* colonies decreased with the increase of litsea cubeba oil concentration. When its concentration reached to 0.0625% (v/v), there were only dozens of colonies. Moreover, no any colony was observed in the Petri dishes of 0.125% and 0.25% (v/v) experimental oil groups. After incubation for 7 days, none bacteria colony was observed in 0.125% and 0.25% experimental oil groups yet. Therefore, its MIC and MBC against *E. coli* were both 0.125% (v/v) by the poisoned food technique. Compared with cassia oil whose MIC against *E. coli* was 0.025% (v/v) and main constituent was cinnamaldehyde [22], the litsea cubeba oil had moderate antibacterial activity. This is

![Chemical formula for constituents of litsea cubeba oil.](doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0110983.g001)
consistent with the antimicrobial activity of functional groups analyzed above. The experimental results also indicated litsea cubeba oil had a dose antibacterial effect.

The antibacterial kinetic curves of litsea cubeba oil against *E. coli* were shown in Fig. 3. The control group showed a typical growth curve including lag phase, exponential phase, and stabilization phase. The decline phase is not obvious because the OD_{600} value represented the total number of live and dead bacteria. The 0.0625% (v/v) of litsea cubeba oil could prolong the lag phase to approximately 12 hours, while the 0.0156% (v/v) and 0.0313% (v/v) experimental oil group didn’t show any antibacterial effect. Compare to Fig. 2 in agar medium, in which the 0.0156% and 0.0313% (v/v) experimental oil group showed weak antibacterial effect, the same experimental oil group in Fig. 3 in liquid medium didn’t show the same antibacterial effect. The possible reasons were as follows: The growth curves based on the

![Figure 2. Experimental photos for determining antibacterial activity of litsea cubeba oil against *E. coli* treated for 1 day by poisoned food technique.](image)

![Figure 3. Antibacterial kinetic curves of litsea cubeba oil against 10^6 CFU/mL *E. coli* cells.](image)
OD600 represented the quantity of both the live and dead cells. So the curves couldn’t show the decrease of live cells in earlier treatment stage, but the tolerant cells gradually adapted to the environmental pressure and grew into exponential growth phase because of the weak antibacterial effect of 0.0156% (v/v) and 0.0313% (v/v) experimental oil groups. So it looked like no antibacterial effect. Moreover, the absorbance values of 0.0156% and 0.0313% (v/v) experimental oil groups were raised a little due to the absorbance of essential oils. The prolonged lag phase in 0.0625% (v/v) experimental oil group might imply a significant decline in the numbers of live cells in the initial treatment stage. So the small number of resistant cells spent more time to adapt to the environmental pressure and grow into exponential growth phase. When the oil concentration was up to 0.125% (v/v) no signs of growth were found within 72 hours in the growth curve. No live cells were determined in the samples from the 0.125% (v/v) experimental oil group were killed completely after 72 hours. The antibacterial activity of litsea cubeba oil showed in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 was similar and the MBC were both 0.125% (v/v). Therefore, the results indicated the oil had moderate antibacterial activity and a dose-antibacterial effect. Fungi are more sensitive than bacteria to essential oils [23], [24]. Some essential oils have strong antifungal activity but weak antibacterial activity such as citronella oil [25] and garlic oil [26]. However, litsea cubeba oil has both moderate antifungal [11], [17] and antibacterial activity.

The electron micrographs of E. coli cells were displayed in Fig. 4. The bacterial cells in control (Fig. 4a, b) showed typical characters of rod-shaped bacteria. The cell surface was smooth and intact, and the peritrichous flagella were obvious and clear. The cells treated with 0.125% (Fig. 4c) and 0.25% (v/v) (Fig. 4d) of oil for 2 h were destroyed severely. The flagella were damaged and even disappeared. This undoubtedly impeded the cells movement and accelerated their death. Moreover, bright and dark area appeared on the cell images, indicating the structure density of the cells varied. The bright area showed the cellular membrane was damaged. The cells in 0.25% (v/v) experimental oil group were destroyed more severely than that in 0.125% (v/v) experimental oil group. Essential oils can penetrate the plasma membrane because of their lipophilic characters [27], [28]. So the litsea cubeba oil might penetrate and destroy both outer and inner membrane of E. coli cells. Thus many bright holes and gaps were observed on the damaged cells and this led to their death. Then cell lysis happened and many cells were fragmentary. Moreover, the results also indicated litsea cubeba oil had a rapid bactericidal effect. Only treated with the oil for 2 h, the cells were both damaged severely and most cells were killed obviously. According to our experiments (data was not shown), the antibacterial effect of litsea cubeba oil was good but not long-lasting and its lemon aroma is strong but not long-lasting too. Its antibacterial property may be due to its rapid volatilization characters.

**Conclusion**

In summary, the antibacterial activity of litsea cubeba oil might mainly attribute to the presence of aldehydes, which accounted for approximately 70% in the whole constituents. And other minor components play a synergistic antibacterial effect. Moreover, litsea cubeba oil had a moderate antibacterial activity. Its MIC and MBC were both 0.125% (v/v). Besides, it had dose-antibacterial and rapid bactericidal effects. Based on the antimicrobial properties, litsea cubeba oil would have a broad application.
prospect not only in the flavor spice industry, but also in the antimicrobial area.

**References**

1. Arora DS, Kaur J (1999) Antimicrobial activity of spices. International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents, 12: 257–262.

2. Inouye S, Takazawa T, Yamaguchi H (2001) Antibacterial activity of essential oils and their major constituents against respiratory tract pathogens by gaseous contact. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy 47: 565–573.

3. Tian J, Ban X, Zeng H, He J, Huang B, et al. (2011) Chemical composition and antifungal activity of essential oil from *Cicuta verosa* L. var. *latirostris* Celak. International Journal of Food Microbiology 145: 464–470.

4. Chuang PH, Lee CW, Chou JY, Murugan M, Shieh BJ, et al. (2007) Anti-fungal activity of crude extracts and essential oil of *Murraya obovata* Lam. Biosource Technology 98: 232–236.

5. Bajpai VK, Al-Reza SM, Choi UK, Lee JH, Kang SC (2009) Chemical composition, antibacterial and antioxidant activities of leaf essential oil and extracts of *Metasequoia glyptostroboides* Miki ex Hu. Food and Chemical Toxicology 47: 1876–1883.

6. Bajpai VK, Yoon JI, Kang SC (2009) Antifungal potential of essential oil and various organic extracts of *Nandina domestica* Thunb. against skin infectious fungal pathogens. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology 83: 1127–1133.

7. Al-Ja’fari AH, Vila R, Freixa B, Tomi F, Casanova J, et al. (2011) Composition and antifungal activity of the essential oil from the rhizome and roots of *Ferula assafoetida* L. var. *latisecta* Celak. Phytochemistry 72: 1406–1413.

8. Bakalli R, Averbeck S, Averbeck D, Idaomar M (2008) Biological effects of essential oils—a review. Food and Chemical Toxicology 46: 446–475.

9. Wang Y, Jiang ZT, Li R (2009) Complexation and molecular microcapsules of *Litsea cubeba* essential oil with β-cyclodextrin and its derivatives. European Food Research and Technology 228: 865–873.

10. Chen CJ, Tseng YH, Chu FH, Wen TY, Cheng WW, et al. (2012) Neuropharmacological activities of fruit essential oil from *Litsea cubeba* Persoon. Journal of Wood Science 58: 538–543.

11. Wang HW, Liu TQ (2010) Chemical composition and antibacterial activity of essential oils from different parts of *Litsea cubeba* Chamiey & Persoon. Food Chemistry 110: 229–235.

12. Burt S (2004) Essential oils: their antibacterial properties and potential applications in foods – a review. International Journal of Food Microbiology 94: 223–253.

13. Ebrahimi SN, Hadjan J, Mirjalili MH, Souboli A, Yousefzadi M (2008) Essential oil composition and antibacterial activity of *Thymus caryophyllus* at different phenological stages. Food Chemistry 110: 927–931.

14. Lao M, Jiang LK, Huang YX, Xiao M, Li B, et al. (2004) Effects of citrus on *Aspergillus flavus* spores by quasi-elastic light scattering and multiplex microanalysis techniques. Acta Biochimica et Biophysica Sinica 36: 257–261.

15. Lao M, Jiang LK, Zou GL (2005) Acute and genetic toxicity of essential oil extracted from *Litsea cubeba* (Lour.) Pers. Journal of Food Protection 68(3): 501–505.

16. Amer A, Melhönn H (2006) Repellency effect of forty-one essential oils against *Aedes*, *Anopheles*, and *Culex* mosquitoes. Parasitology Research 99: 478–490.

17. Yang Y, Jiang JZ, Qimei LB, Yan XJ, Zhao JX, et al. (2010) The fungicidal terpenoids and essential oil from *Litsea cubeba* in Tibet. Molecules 15: 7075–7082.

18. Li WR, Shi QS, Ouyang YS, Chen YB, Duan SS (2013) Antifungal effects of citronella oil against *Aspergillus niger* ATCC 16404. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology 97: 7483–7492.

19. Li WR, Xie XB, Shi QS, Duan SS, Ouyang YS, et al. (2011) Antifungal effect of silver nanoparticles on *Staphylococcus aureus*. Biometals 24(1): 133–141.

20. Kalemba D, Matla M, Smetek A (2012) Antimicrobial activity of essential oils. Dietary Phytochemicals and Microbes 40: 10.1007/978-94-007-3926-0_5, 157–183.

21. Yahyazadeh M, Omidbaigi R, Zare T, Taheri H (2008) Effect of some essential oils on mycelial growth of *Penicillium digitatum* Sacc. World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology 24: 1445–1450.

22. Sheng L, Zhu MJ (2014) Inhibitory effect of *Cinnamomum cassia* oil on non-O157 *Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli*. Food Control 46: 374–381.

23. Shelef LA (1983) Antimicrobial effects of spices. Journal of Food Safety 6: 29–44.

24. Ceylan E, Fung DYC (2004) Antimicrobial activity of spices. Journal of Rapid Methods and Automation in Microbiology 12: 1–55.

25. Deolxpaq Q, Bilirbeck VG, Roques CG, Michel G (2000) The antifungal activity of essential oils as determined by different screening methods. Journal of Essential Oil Research 12: 256–266.

26. Avato P, Tursi F, Vitali C, Miccolis V, Candido V (2000) Allylsulphide constituents of garlic volatile oil as antimicrobial agents. Phytotherapy 7(3): 239–243.

27. Nogueira JHC, Gonçalez E, Galleli SR, Facanali R, Marques MOM, et al. (2010) *Ageratum conyzoides* essential oil as aflatoxin suppressor of *Aspergillus flavus*. International Journal of Food Microbiology 137: 55–60.

28. Rasoli I, Owlia P (2005) Chemoprevention by thyme oils of *Aspergillus parasiticus* growth and aflatoxin production. Phytochemistry 66: 2051–2056.