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We performed a systematic review of the behavioral lifestyle intervention trials conducted in the United States published between 1990 and 2011 that included a maintenance phase of at least six months, to identify intervention features that promote weight loss maintenance in African American women. Seventeen studies met the inclusion criteria. Generally, African American women lost less weight during the intensive weight loss phase and maintained a lower % of their weight loss compared to Caucasian women. The majority of studies failed to describe the specific strategies used in the delivery of the maintenance intervention, adherence to those strategies, and did not incorporate a maintenance phase process evaluation making it difficult to identify intervention characteristics associated with better weight loss maintenance. However, the inclusion of cultural adaptations, particularly in studies with a mixed ethnicity/race sample, resulted in less % weight regain for African American women. Studies with a formal maintenance intervention and weight management as the primary intervention focus reported more positive weight maintenance outcomes for African American women. Nonetheless, our results present both the difficulty in weight loss and maintenance experienced by African American women in behavioral lifestyle interventions.

1. Introduction

Overweight (body mass index (BMI) 25.0–29.9 kg/m²) and obesity (BMI ≥ 30 kg/m²) are global public health problems [1, 2]. All demographic sectors of the United States (US) population are affected, but African American (AA) women are disproportionately burdened [1, 3]. As reported in 2012 (National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES), 2009-2010), approximately 82% of AA adult women in the US were classified as overweight or obese [1]. This disparity is of particular concern given that overweight and obesity are associated with a number of serious chronic diseases [4, 5].

The most common approach to obesity treatment includes lifestyle interventions that target both diet and physical activity (PA) and some form of behavioral self-management [6–9]. Traditionally, AA women enrolled in behavioral lifestyle interventions lose less weight when compared to other subgroups [3, 10–16] although even modest weight reduction improves the cardiovascular risk profile [17, 18].
and decreases diabetes incidence [19]. If weight loss is not sustained, the health benefits of weight reduction are attenuated [20, 21]. This fact highlights the importance of understanding factors that support long-term weight control across populations.

The challenge of maintaining weight loss is well documented [9, 22–24]. Typically, individuals regain about 30–35% of their initial weight loss within the first year following treatment, and more than half return to their baseline weight within five years [7, 25]. Data from NHANES (1999–2006) found that only 1 of 6 of the overweight/obese participants surveyed reported that they had ever maintained a weight loss of at least 10% for one year [26]. Contributors to weight regain include physiologic adaptations such as reduced resting energy expenditure [27] and leptin concentrations [28, 29], increased ghrelin (a gut peptide associated with hunger) [30, 31], and exposure to an obesogenic environment [32, 33]. Weight regain may also be related to the distinct differences between weight loss and weight loss maintenance behaviors [7, 22]. For example, during weight loss, foods that lead to weight gain are avoided, whereas with weight maintenance, food needs to be better managed, overall [7]. Despite these challenges, some individuals are successful at long-term weight maintenance. Behaviors associated with successful weight loss maintenance identified through the use of the National Weight Control Registry, which consists of more than 6000 adults who have lost at least 13.6 kg and maintained this loss for a minimum of one year, report that successful weight loss maintainers consume a low-fat diet, eat breakfast, weigh themselves regularly, and report high levels of both dietary restraint and PA [34, 35]. Although these data are encouraging, the Registry is comprised predominately of Caucasian women, making it a less representative sample.

Minorities, including AA women, are largely under-represented in the behavioral lifestyle intervention literature, however, two systematic reviews addressing obesity treatment in minority populations were recently published [36, 37]. Reviews concluded that cultural adaptations [38], church-based studies [39], a low carbohydrate diet plan [40], individual sessions [38], family-centered programs [41, 42], and problem-solving skills [43, 44] promoted both weight loss and maintenance in minority adults. A third review, focusing specifically on AA women [45], concluded that attention to cultural preferences, behavioral management strategies, and session attendance were important factors to successful weight loss. However, to the best of our knowledge, no studies have examined the existing behavioral lifestyle intervention literature to identify potential strategies that are effective in promoting long-term weight control specific to AA women. Therefore, our objective was to systematically review, synthesize, and summarize the behavioral lifestyle intervention literature to evaluate the effectiveness of these interventions on weight loss maintenance in AA women. These results can then better inform the design of future weight management interventions for this population.

Note. We recognize that the racial/ethnic category “African American” describes a diverse group of people descended from many different cultures of Africa and the Caribbean including those whose families have lived in the US for centuries and those who more recently emigrated. We will use this term to broadly characterize the individuals discussed in this manuscript.

2. Methods

The systematic review focused on the behavioral lifestyle intervention literature published between 1990 and 2011. The year 1990 was chosen as a starting point because “Healthy People 2000,” which was the first comprehensive preventive health agenda for the US population, established specific goals for reducing the prevalence of overweight and obesity [46]. The authors referred to the guidelines recommended by the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) checklist for collection, synthesis, and reporting of the data for the systematic review [47]. References were identified through a search of MEDLINE via PubMed, CINAHL Plus, and Academic Search Premier databases. The authors defined weight loss maintenance as period of at least six months, with or without inclusion of a formal maintenance program, following participation in an intensive behavioral lifestyle intervention in which weight was an outcome. Search terms included a combination of the following: weight loss maintenance, long-term weight loss, weight regain, weight loss, dietary intervention, obesity, AA, and black. We also used the “ancestry approach” [48] by reviewing the reference sections of pertinent papers as well as past review articles focused on weight loss maintenance.

Randomized and nonrandomized studies were included in the review if they met the following criteria: (1) English language papers published in peer-reviewed journals, (2) behavioral lifestyle interventions with a maintenance phase of at least six months (both formal maintenance programs and non-contact periods) in which weight was reported as an outcome, (3) studies conducted in the US (due to potential country-specific differences in weight management practices) [49], (4) adult participants at least 18 years of age, (5) inclusion of AA women, and (6) weight outcomes reported separately by ethnicity/race and sex. Studies were excluded if they: (1) were published in a language other than English, (2) excluded AA women, (3) had a maintenance period less than six months (both formal maintenance programs and non-contact periods), (4) included pregnant or postpartum women, (5) primarily focused on a surgical or pharmacological weight loss intervention, (6) provided prepared meals, (7) omitted weight outcomes for the maintenance phase, (8) were not an intervention study, or (9) included a pediatric sample. Studies that used liquid meal replacements as the primary intervention were also excluded, although studies in which meal replacements were used as one component of an intervention were included. Finally, due to the paucity of studies reporting on this topic, authors of eligible studies that did not report results by race/ethnicity and/or sex were contacted by email to inquire if such information could be provided. Weight-related data by race/ethnicity and sex were obtained through this method for four studies [3, 16, 44, 50] but could not be gleaned from the primary authors for four other interventions and were thus excluded [51–54].
Figure 1 presents the study attrition diagram and the number of publications included at each step during the search process. The initial search, utilizing the three databases, yielded 675 publications. After eliminating duplicates, the total was reduced to 476 papers. The authors L. M. Tussing-Humphreys, A. Kong, and M. L. Fitzgibbon completed an initial screening using article abstracts or full articles, where necessary, to determine eligibility. To avoid bias, the studies in which M. L. Fitzgibbon was primary or coauthor, L. M. Tussing-Humphreys and A. Kong reviewed the abstracts and articles for study inclusion. After reviewing the abstracts and/or full text from the primary search, 465 articles were excluded, leaving 11 papers. The secondary search, using the “ancestry approach” [48], resulted in the identification of 28 additional articles. The abstracts for these articles were reviewed as described previously and resulted in the inclusion of 5 additional articles. In total, 16 papers met our inclusion criteria. However, one article [13] reported weight loss results for two separate multicenter hypertension trials (Hypertension Prevention Trial and the Trials of Hypertension Prevention) and thus was coded as two separate studies, resulting in a total of 17 trials.

* White, 1994 [48]. ** Kumanyika et al. 1991 manuscript reported on two separate studies [13].
# Table 1: Behavioral lifestyle interventions reporting weight maintenance outcomes for African American women (1990–2011) (n = 17).

| Author and year of publication | Quality ranking score | Study design, setting, and length of trial | Participant characteristics | Maintenance phase characteristics | Frequency, delivery, and dose (time), of maintenance components | Mean baseline weight (kg) (±SD/SE) | Weight change following intensive intervention phase mean (±SD/SE) weight (kg) | Weight change (kg) from baseline during maintenance phase follow-up (SD/SE) | Adherence to maintenance sessions/components retention (%) | Adherence to maintenance sessions/components retention (%) | Adherence to maintenance sessions/components retention (%) |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Kumanyika et al. (2002) ‡ ‡️ | 11                    | Design: RCT                              | Setting: Academic Medical Centers | Weight loss/Sodium               | Frequency, Delivery, and Dose: Months 7–8 Biweekly group or individual session | Weight loss/Sodium               | AAW: −3.9 (±3.6) kg CW: −3.9 (±3.9) kg | Weight loss AAW: −3.3 (±2.8) kg CW: −5.8 (±3.5) kg | Weight loss AAW: −3.6 kg CW: −3.3 kg | Weight loss AAW: −3.8 kg CW: −5.0 kg | Proxy for end of trial (24 month Fu) Weight loss/Sodium AAW: −2.6 kg CW: −2.7 kg |
| Trials of Non-pharmacologic Intervention in the Elderly (TONE) | n = 585 (all overweight participants randomized to a weight loss treatment arm) | Weight loss AAW: 25 CW: 43 | Mean Age (y): | Components Targeted at WL Maintenance: Didactic nutrition PA (self) Behavioral Modification Strategies (i) Self-monitoring of food intake, food-related behaviors, and PA (ii) Goal-setting Problem solving | Monthly phone or mail-based contact (up to 17 contacts) [55] | Monthly group or individual session | Dose: 60 min (up to 17 sessions) | Dose: 60 min (4 sessions total) | Weight loss AAW: 8.2 (±9.3) kg CW: 8.2 (±9.0) kg | Weight loss AAW: 8.2 (±9.3) kg CW: 8.2 (±9.0) kg | Weight loss AAW: 8.2 (±9.3) kg CW: 8.2 (±9.0) kg |
|                                | Length of Trial: Up to 34 month | Weight loss AAW: 65.5 (±4.8) CW: 65.8 (±4.5) | Income: NDR | Education: College graduate: AAW: 25.6% CW: 41.8% | Health status: Hypertensive BMI ≥ 27.8 kg/m² | Adherenceto maintenancesessions/components: NDR | Retention: Weight loss/Sodium AAW: 62% CW: 88% | Weight loss AAW: −4.0 kg CW: −3.7 kg | Weight loss AAW: −4.0 kg CW: −3.7 kg | Weight loss AAW: −4.0 kg CW: −3.7 kg | Weight loss AAW: −4.0 kg CW: −3.7 kg | Weight loss AAW: −4.0 kg CW: −3.7 kg |
|                                | Participant characteristics ‡ † † | Formal Theoretical Framework: SCT | Cultural Adaptations: Yes, attention to cultural diversity, staff training, and printed materials | Duration of maintenance phase: 7–28 months (varied by participant) | Months 7–8 | Weight loss/Sodium AAW: 84.0 (±6.9) kg CW: 82.7 (±9.7) kg | Weight loss AAW: 82.9 (±9.3) kg CW: 82.3 (±9.0) kg | Weight loss AAW: 82.9 (±9.3) kg CW: 82.3 (±9.0) kg | Weight loss AAW: 82.9 (±9.3) kg CW: 82.3 (±9.0) kg | Weight loss AAW: 82.9 (±9.3) kg CW: 82.3 (±9.0) kg | Weight loss AAW: 82.9 (±9.3) kg CW: 82.3 (±9.0) kg |
|                                | Maintenance characteristics | Study design, setting, and length of trial | Participant characteristics ‡ † † | Maintenance phase characteristics | Frequency, delivery, and dose (time), of maintenance components | Mean baseline weight (kg) (±SD/SE) | Weight change following intensive intervention phase mean (±SD/SE) weight (kg) | Weight change (kg) from baseline during maintenance phase follow-up (SD/SE) | Adherence to maintenance sessions/components retention (%) | Adherence to maintenance sessions/components retention (%) | Adherence to maintenance sessions/components retention (%) |
|                                | Design: RCT | Setting: Academic Medical Centers | Weight loss AAW: 25 CW: 43 | Weight loss AAW: 65.5 (±4.8) CW: 65.8 (±4.5) | Income: NDR | Education: College graduate: AAW: 25.6% CW: 41.8% | Health status: Hypertensive BMI ≥ 27.8 kg/m² | | | | | |
| Author and year of publication | Quality ranking score† | Study design, setting, and length of trial | Participant characteristics** | Maintenance phase characteristics | Frequency, delivery, and dose (time) of maintenance components | Mean baseline weight (kg) (±SD/SE) | Weight change following intensive intervention phase mean (±SD/SE) weight (kg) | Weight change (kg) from baseline during maintenance phase follow-up (SD/SE) | Adherence to maintenance sessions/components retention (%) |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| Kumanyika et al. (2005)³ | 11 | Design: RCT | AAW: n = 116 (n = 87 AAW Phase 2 completers) | Formal Theoretical Framework: SCT | Frequency, Delivery, and Dose: Group HELP maintenance Months 7–9 biweekly group class Dose: 60 min (6 total classes) | 100.8 (±15.9) kg | Group HELP maintenance −1.6 kg (±3.3) kg | 12 month Fu NDR | Group HELP Maintenance 66% (all treatments) |
| Healthy Eating and Lifestyle Program (HELP) | Setting: Academic Medical Center | Group HELP maintenance AAW: n = 24 Mean Age (y): 47.4 (±11.1) Education: > HS = 80% | Cultural Adaptations: Yes, study logo; adapted materials specific to AA; inclusion of AA interventionist | Duration of maintenance phase: 18 months (cohorts 1 and 2) 12 months (cohorts 3 and 4) | Self-HELP maintenance | −2.0 (±4.1) kg | End of Trial (12 or 18 month Fu depending on cohort) | Self-HELP maintenance −0.8 (±4.4) kg | Self-HELP 35–55% of participants were successfully reached for the monthly phone-based contact |
| | Length of Trial: 15 or 21 months (cohort dependent) | Self-HELP maintenance AAW: n = 24 Mean Age (y): 46.2 (±8.6) Education: > HS = 61% | Criteria for entry into WL Maintenance Phase: Attended postphase 1 data collection | Components Targeted at WL Maintenance: Didactic Nutrition PA (self and occasional weekend group walks) Behavioral Modification Strategies: (i) Self-monitoring of food intake and PA (ii) Goal-setting (iii) Problem solving (iv) Relapse prevention | Clinic only | −1.6 (±3.7) kg | Clinic only | −1.4 (±5.7) kg | Retention: 66% (all treatments) |
| | | Clinic only AAW: n = 28 Mean Age (y): 46.1 (±10.1) Education: > HS = 67% | | | | | | | | |
| | | Income: NDR | | | | | | | | |
| | | Health status: BMI 30–50 kg/m² Medically cleared | | | | | | | | |
| Author and year of publication | Quality ranking score† | Study design, setting, and length of trial | Participant characteristics†† | Maintenance phase characteristics | Frequency, delivery, and dose (time), of maintenance components | Mean baseline weight (kg) (±SD/SE) | Weight change following intensive intervention phase mean (±SD/SE) weight (kg) | Weight change (kg) from baseline during maintenance phase follow-up (SD/SE) | Adherence to maintenance sessions/components retention (%) |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Self-HELP Maintenance**    |                        |                                          |                               |                                |                                                               |                               |                                                                                   |                                                                                   |                                                             |
| Self-help kit                |                        |                                          |                               |                                |                                                               |                               | Self-help kit (local restaurant and fitness guide, diaries, pedometer)           |                                                                                   |                                                             |
|                               |                        |                                          |                               |                                |                                                               |                               | 1 in-person group meeting                                                    |                                                                                   |                                                             |
|                               |                        |                                          |                               |                                |                                                               |                               | Teams formed to promote peer support                                          |                                                                                   |                                                             |
|                               |                        |                                          |                               |                                |                                                               |                               | Once monthly call                                                            |                                                                                   |                                                             |
|                               |                        |                                          |                               |                                |                                                               |                               | Group walking held occasionally                                               |                                                                                   |                                                             |
|                               |                        |                                          |                               |                                |                                                               |                               | 3 clinic visits (cohorts 1 and 2)                                               |                                                                                   |                                                             |
|                               |                        |                                          |                               |                                |                                                               |                               | 2 clinic visits (cohorts 3 and 4)                                               |                                                                                   |                                                             |
| **Clinic only**              |                        |                                          |                               |                                |                                                               |                               | 3 clinic visits (cohorts 1 and 2)                                               |                                                                                   |                                                             |
|                               |                        |                                          |                               |                                |                                                               |                               | 2 clinic visits (cohorts 3 and 4)                                               |                                                                                   |                                                             |
| Author and year of publication | Quality ranking score\(\text{a}\) | Study design, setting, and length of trial | Participant characteristics\(\dagger\) | Maintenance phase characteristics | Frequency, delivery, and dose (time), of maintenance components | Mean baseline weight (kg) (±SD/SE) | Weight change following intensive intervention phase mean (±SD/SE) weight (kg) | Weight change (kg) from baseline during maintenance phase follow-up (SD/SE) | Adherence to maintenance sessions/components retention (%) |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Svetkey et al. (2008)\(\dagger\) \[56\] | 11 | Design: RCT (Randomized to phase II) | Setting: Academic Medical Centers | | | | | | |
| Weight Loss Maintenance Trial (WLM) Post-intervention weight change crudely calculated from data presented in the manuscript | | Setting: Academic Medical Centers | Length of Trial: 36 months | | | | | | |
| n = 1052 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
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| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
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| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
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| | | | | | | | | | |
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| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Author and year of publication | Quality ranking score | Study design, setting, and length of trial | Participant characteristics | Maintenance phase characteristics | Frequency, delivery, and dose (time), of maintenance components | Mean baseline weight (kg) (±SD/SE) | Weight change following intensive intervention phase mean (±SD/SE) weight (kg) | Weight change (kg) from baseline during maintenance phase follow-up (SD/SE) | Adherence to maintenance sessions/components retention (%) |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| West et al. (2008) [11] Diabetes Prevention Program (DPP) | 11 | Design: RCT | Setting: Academic Medical Centers | n = 2921 | Formal Theoretical Framework: SCT | AAW: 82.0 (±14.8) kg CW: 95.1 (±21.2) kg | AAW: −4.7 (±5.1) kg CW: −7.5 (±5.6) kg | 12 month Fu AAW: −4.4 ± 6.0 kg CW: −78 ± 74 kg | Adherence to maintenance sessions/components: Mean 50.3 (±21.8) sessions (all IL participants) [58] |
|                               |                      | IL intervention arm | AAW: 120 CW: 381 | IL arm intervention | Cultural Adaptations: Yes, case managers of same ethnic group, print materials tailored for ethnic group, literacy adaptations | Contacted at least once by phone in between sessions (However, coaches could meet with individuals as often as needed) | Maintenance campaigns to promote adherence (3-4/year) [59] | 18 month Fu AAW: −3.9 ± 6.1 kg CW: −6.6 ± 8.2 kg | Retention: AAW: 64% CW: 69% |
|                               |                      | Age (y): AAW 77.4% > 40 y CW 75.6% > 40 y | Income: NDR | Duration of Maintenance phase: 24 months | Dose: At least one bimonthly individual, in-person session | Dose: 15–45 minutes | | | |
|                               |                      | Length of Trial: 36 months | Education: NDR | Criteria for entry into WL Maintenance Phase: None | | | | | |
|                               |                      | Health status: Impaired glucose tolerance | | Components Targeted at WL Maintenance: Continued adherence to Fat and Calorie Control Didactic Nutrition PA (S and self: goal, 150 min/wk) Behavioral Modification Strategies | | | | | |
|                               |                      | | | (i) Self-monitoring food intake and PA (ii) Goal-setting Individual “Tool-box” | | | | | |
| Author and year of publication | Quality ranking score† | Study design, setting, and length of trial | Participant characteristics‡ | Maintenance phase characteristics | Frequency, delivery, and dose (time), of maintenance components | Mean baseline weight (kg) (±SD/SE) | Weight change following intensive intervention phase mean (±SD/SE) weight (kg) | Weight change (kg) from baseline during maintenance phase follow-up (SD/SE) | Adherence to maintenance sessions/components retention (%) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Kumanyika et al. (2009)‡ [50] Supporting Healthy Activity and Eating Right Everyday study (SHARE) | 11 | Design: RCT | Setting: Academic Medical Center | Length of Trial: 24 Months | **Family High Support** AAW: n = 62 Mean Age (y): 47.3 (±7.3) Income: NDR Education: >HS = 86% | Formal Theoretical Framework: NDR | Frequency, Delivery, and Dose: Months 7–12 Biweekly group sessions Dose: 90 minutes 2-3 in-person individual sessions Dose: 45–60 minutes (14-15 session total) | **Family High Support** 103.1 (±11.3) kg **Family Low Support** 106.5 (±16.3) kg | **Family High Support** −5.1 (±4.4) kg **Family Low Support** −5.0 (±4.8) kg | 12 month Fu Family High Support −5.9 (±5.2) kg Family Low Support −6.4 (±6.5) kg | Adherence to maintenance sessions/components: Months 7–12 Group Sessions: Median 0–4 sessions attended across treatments Individual sessions: Individual High Support −4.4 (±5.6) kg Individual Low Support −2.1 (±3.7) kg 18 month Fu Family High Support −4.8 (±6.7) kg Family Low Support −5.1 (±6.3) kg Individual High Support −3.6 (±7.0) kg Individual Low Support −3.0 (±3.6) kg 12 month Fu Individual High Support −3.6 (±7.0) kg Individual Low Support −3.0 (±3.6) kg End of Trial (24 month Fu) Individual High Support 69% Individual Low Support 55% |
| | | | | | Cultural Adaptations: Yes, AA program counselors, culturally-based content, community-based field trips | | | | |
| | | | | | Duration of maintenance phase: 18 months | | | | |
| | | | | | Criteria for entry into WL Maintenance Phase: None | | | | |
| | | | | | Components Targeted at WL Maintenance: Calorie control Didactic Nutrition PA (S and self, goal 180 min/wk) Behavioral Modification Strategies | | | | |
| | | | | | (i) Self-monitoring PA (ii) Problem solving | | | | |
| | | | | | Quarterly newsletter (6 newsletters) | | | | |
| | | | | | **Family Low Support** AAW: n = 57 Mean Age (y): 50.2 (±8.2) Income: NDR Education: >HS = 77% | | | | |
| | | | | | **Individual High Support** AAW: n = 29 Mean Age (y): 48.2 (±7.7) Income: NDR Education: >HS = 83% | | | | |
| | | | | | **Individual Low Support** AAW: n = 29 Mean Age (y): 46.8 (±6.6) Income: NDR Education: >HS = 71% | | | | |
| | | | | | Health status: Healthy or medically cleared | | | | |
| Author and year of publication | Quality ranking score | Study design, setting, and length of trial | Participant characteristics | Maintenance phase characteristics | Frequency, delivery, and dose (time), of maintenance components | Mean baseline weight (kg) (±SD/SE) | Weight change following intensive intervention phase mean (±SD/SE) weight (kg) | Weight change (kg) from baseline during maintenance phase follow-up (SD/SE) | Adherence to maintenance sessions/components retention (%) |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| **Fitzgibbon et al. (2010)** [60] | 11                   | RCT                                      | n = 213                     | Design: RCT                     | n = 213                                 | I: 107 AAW                        | I: 104.3 (±15.6) kg               | I: −3.0 (±4.9) kg                  | 12 month Fu NDR                           |
|                               |                      | Setting: University                       | I: 46.4 (±8.4)              | Formal Theoretical Framework: SCT | Frequency, Delivery, and Dose: Months 7–12 Twice weekly group S PA Dose: 60 minutes | I: 105.8 (±17.8) kg              | C: +0.2 (±3.7) kg                  | End of maintenance (18 month Fu)           |
|                               |                      | Length of Trial: University               | C: 45.5 (±8.4)              | Cultural Adaptations: Yes, attention to food and activity cultural preferences, AA peer mentors, religion and spirituality intertwined into messaging. | Duration of maintenance phase: 12 months | C: 105.8 (±17.8) kg              | C: +0.5 (±4.7) kg                  |                                      |
|                               |                      | Median Income: $42,500/y                  | Education (y): I: 14.6 (±2.0) C: 15.1 (±1.9) | Criteria for entry into WL Maintenance Phase: None | Once weekly didactic session (took place prior to one of the S PA sessions) Dose: 30 minutes (48 sessions total) |                                             |                                   | Retention: I: 87% C: 92%               |
|                               |                      | Education (y): I: 14.6 (±2.0) C: 15.1 (±1.9) |                                      | Components Targeted at WL Maintenance: General calorie fat control, increased fiber, FV | Once monthly MI session Dose: 20–30 minutes (6 sessions total) |                                             |                                   |                                   |
|                               |                      | Health status: BMI 30–50 kg/m²             | Healthy or medically cleared (Disease prevalence NDR) |                                      |                                             |                                   |                                   |                                   |

Family High Support
−3.0 (±6.1) kg

Family Low Support
−3.1 (±6.6) kg

Individual High Support
−1.1 (±7.2) kg

Individual Low Support
−3.2 (±6.4) kg
| Author and year of publication | Quality ranking score | Study design, setting, and length of trial | Participant characteristics† | Maintenance phase characteristics | Frequency, delivery, and dose (time), of maintenance components | Mean baseline weight (kg) (±SD/SE) | Weight change following intensive intervention phase mean (±SD/SE) weight (kg) | Weight change (kg) from baseline during maintenance phase follow-up (SD/SE) | Adherence to maintenance sessions/components retention (%) |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Martin et al. (2008)[61]       | 10                   | Design: RCT                                | I: 68 AAW                   | C: 69 AAW                         | 12 month Fu: I: −1.4 (±3.7) kg C: −0.3 (±3.6) kg | I: 101.2 (±20.6) kg C: 103.4 (±18.0) kg | I: −1.4 kg C: +0.3 kg                                                                                                          | Adherence to maintenance sessions/components retention (%) | 63% (I and C)                                                                                     |
|                                |                      | Setting: Community Clinic                  | I: 40.8 (±12.7)             | C: 42.6 (±11.4)                   | End of Trial (8 month Fu): I: −0.5 (±3.3) kg C: +0.1 (±3.8) kg |                                                                                       |                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                   |                                                                                                  |
|                                |                      | Mean Age (y):                              | I: 40.8 (±12.7)             | C: 42.6 (±11.4)                   |                                                                                       |                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                   |
|                                |                      | Cultural Adaptations:                      | Yes, menus and recipes books|                                                                                       |                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                   |
|                                |                      | Formal Theoretical Framework:             | NDR                         |                                                                                       |                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                   |
|                                |                      | Study design, setting, and length of trial | Didactic Nutrition PA (S and self, walk 10,000 steps/day) Behavioral Modification Strategies | (i) Self-monitoring of diet and PA MI sessions | Months 13–15: once weekly group S PA Dose: 60 min (12 sessions total) |                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                   |
|                                |                      | Participant characteristics††             | I: 101.2 (±20.6) kg C: 103.4 (±18.0) kg |                                                                                       |                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                   |
|                                |                      | Maintenance phase characteristics         | I: −1.4 kg C: +0.3 kg       |                                                                                       |                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                   |
|                                |                      | Frequency, delivery, and dose (time), of   |                                                                                       |                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                   |
|                                |                      | maintenance components                     |                                                                                       |                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                   |
|                                |                      | Weight change following intensive         |                                                                                       |                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                   |
|                                |                      | intervention phase mean (±SD/SE) weight    |                                                                                       |                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                   |
|                                |                      | (kg)                                      |                                                                                       |                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                   |
|                                |                      | Weight change (kg) from baseline during    |                                                                                       |                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                   |
|                                |                      | maintenance phase follow-up (SD/SE)        |                                                                                       |                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                   |
|                                |                      | Adherence to maintenance sessions/components retention (%) |                                                                                       |                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                   |
| Author and year of publication | Quality ranking score | Study design, setting, and length of trial | Participant characteristics | Maintenance phase characteristics | Frequency, delivery, and dose (time), of maintenance components | Mean baseline weight (kg) (±SD/SE) | Weight change following intensive intervention phase mean (±SD/SE) weight (kg) | Weight change (kg) from baseline during maintenance phase follow-up (SD/SE) | Adherence to maintenance sessions/components retention (%) |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Djuric et al. (2009) [62]** | 10                    | Length of Trial: 18 months               | Education: Graduated HS     | Duration of maintenance phase: 12 months | I (spirituality and dietary counseling maintenance): 12 AAW C (dietary counseling only maintenance): 12 AAW | I: 93.8 (±11.3) kg C: 94.9 (±14.8) kg | I: −1.0 (±6.5) kg C: −2.6 (±5.1) kg | 12 month Fu NDR | Adherence to maintenance sessions/components: I (spirituality and dietary counseling maintenance) Spirituality counseling calls ranged from 2–26 completed per participant Retention: 92% (all participants) |
|                               |                       |                                          | I: 83% C: 74%               | Criteria for entry into WL Maintenance Phase: None | Components Targeted at WL Maintenance: Self-directed | Frequency, Delivery, and Dose: I (spirituality and dietary counseling maintenance) Months 7–18 Dietary counseling, 1 individual in-person session at month 12, otherwise once monthly phone based sessions Dose: NDR (12 sessions total) |                    |                                      |                                      |
|                               |                       |                                          | Health status: Healthy and medically cleared (Disease prevalence: NDR) | Duration of maintenance phase: 12 months |                               |                               |                    |                                      |                                      |
|                               |                       |                                          | Duration of maintenance phase: 12 months | Criteria for entry into WL Maintenance Phase: None |                               |                               |                    |                                      |                                      |
|                               |                       |                                          | Mean Age (y): I: 55.0 C: 56.0 | Components Targeted at WL Maintenance: Calorie/Portion and Fat Control Didactic Nutrition PA (sdf, goal 150 min/wk) Behavioral Modification Strategies (i) Self-monitoring of food intake and activity Spirituality counseling (I only) |                               |                               |                    |                                      |                                      |
|                               |                       |                                          | Income: I: <$30,000/year = 25% C: <$30,000/year = 25% | Components Targeted at WL Maintenance: |                               |                               |                    |                                      |                                      |
|                               |                       |                                          | Education: I: College graduate: 67% C: College graduate: 50% |                               |                               |                               |                    |                                      |                                      |
|                               |                       |                                          | Health status: Breast cancer survivors |                               |                               |                               |                    |                                      |                                      |
|                               |                       |                                          | BMI 30–45 kg/m² |                               |                               |                               |                    |                                      |                                      |
| Author and year of publication | Quality ranking score† | Study design, setting, and length of trial | Participant characteristics†† | Maintenance phase characteristics | Frequency, delivery, and dose (time), of maintenance components | Mean baseline weight (kg) (±SD/SE) | Weight change following intensive intervention phase mean (±SD/SE) weight (kg) | Weight change (kg) from baseline during maintenance phase follow-up (SD/SE) | Adherence to maintenance sessions/components retention (%) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Months 10–12 | biweekly individual phone-based sessions (up to 6 sessions) | | | | | | | | |
| Months 13–18 | Once monthly individual phone-based sessions (up to 6 sessions) Dose: 17–45 minutes/call | | | | | | | | |
| C (dietary counseling only maintenance) Months 7–18 | Dietary Counseling, one individual in-person session at month 12, otherwise once monthly phone based sessions Dose: NDR (up to 12 sessions) | | | | | | | | |
| I and C: Months 7–18 | once monthly mailed newsletter (12 newsletters) | | | | | | | | |
| Author and year of publication | Quality ranking score | Study design, setting, and length of trial | Participant characteristics†† | Maintenance phase characteristics | Frequency, delivery, and dose (time), of maintenance components | Mean baseline weight (kg) (±SD/SE) | Weight change following intensive intervention phase mean (±SD/SE) weight (kg) | Weight change (kg) from baseline during maintenance phase follow-up (SD/SE) | Adherence to maintenance sessions/components retention (%) |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Kumanyika et al. (1991) [13]  | 9                     | Design: RCT                                | Setting: Academic Medical Centers | Length of Trial: 36 months        | n = 236 (weight loss tx arms only) AAW: 28  CW: 43  Age (y): 25–49 (all participants) Income: NDR Education: College graduate: 48% (all participants) Health status: Healthy, normotensive | Formal Theoretical Framework: NDR | Frequency Delivery, and Dose: Months 7–36 Bimonthly individual/group sessions Dose: NDR (15 sessions offered) | AAW: 77.2 (±9.9) kg  CW: 78.0 (±10.9) kg | 12 month Fu AAW: −2.6 (±3.9) kg CW: −4.7 (±4.3) kg | 12 month Fu Retention: AAW: 93% CW: 93% |
| Hypertension Prevention Trial (HPT) | | | | | | | | | |
| Kumanyika et al. (1991) [13]  | 9                     | Design: RCT                                | Setting: Academic Medical Centers | Length of Trial: 18 months        | n = 303 (weight loss arms only) AAW: 33  CW: 48  Age (y): 30–54 (all participants) Income: NDR Education: College graduate: 50% (all participants) | Formal Theoretical Framework: NDR | Frequency Delivery, and Dose: Varied by participant but could include one or a combination of: (a) monthly informal group sessions (b) group weigh-in (c) individual weigh-in (d) individual counseling Dose: NDR [64] | AAW: 79.9 (±10.0) kg  CW: 79.7 (±10.8) kg | 12 month Fu AAW: −1.9 (±5.5) kg CW: −4.9 (±4.8) kg | 12 month Fu Retention: AAW: 90% participation (including make-up, all participants) |
| Trials of Hypertension (TOHP) | | | | | | | | | |

Table 1: Continued.
| Author and year of publication | Study design, setting, and length of trial | Participant characteristics** | Maintenance phase characteristics | Frequency, delivery, and dose (time), of maintenance components | Mean baseline weight (kg) (±SD/SE) | Weight change following intensive intervention phase mean (±SD/SE) weight (kg) | Weight change (kg) from baseline during maintenance phase follow-up (SD/SE) | Adherence to maintenance sessions/components retention (%) |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Stevens et al. (2001) [65]** | **Trial of Hypertension Prevention II (TOHP II)** | **Design: RCT** | **Setting: Academic Medical Centers** | **Length of Trial: 36 months** | **Health status: Healthy, diastolic BP 80–89 mmHg** | **Maintenance: Didactic Nutrition PA (didactic discussion, self and occasional group walking opportunities) Behavioral Modification Strategies** | **(i) Didactic behavior change topics** | **(ii) Exercise logs** | **(iii) Weight tracking** | | | | | |
| **I:** 64 AAW | **C:** 49 AAW | **Mean Age (y):** | *I:* 43.4 (±6.1) (all participants) | **C:** 43.3 (±6.1) (all participants) | **Cultural Adaptations:** | **NDR** | **Duration of maintenance phase:** | **32 months** | **Criteria for entry into WL Maintenance Phase:** | **None** | | | | |
| **Income: NDR and Education: NDR** | **Health Status:** | **Systolic BP <140 mmHg** | **Diastolic BP 83–89** | **BMI:** 24.4 to 37.4 kg/m² (all women) | **Components Targeted at WL Maintenance: Didactic Nutrition PA (SD) (30–45, four to five days per week) Behavioral Modification Strategies** | **(i) Goal-setting** | **(ii) Problem-solving** | **(iii) Self-monitoring of food intake and PA** | | | | | |
| | | | | | **Formal Theoretical Framework:** | **NDR** | **Frequency, Delivery, and Dose:** | **[66]** | | | | | | |
| I: 84.1 (±11.9) kg (all women) | C: 82.9 (±10.9) kg (all women) | Months 5–7; biweekly group sessions 6 biweekly group sessions | Months 8–17; once monthly group sessions | Months 18+: biweekly individual contact (phone, face to face, and mail) | Attendance at 3 of 6 minimodules yearly (each module was 3–6 group session) | | | | | | | | | |
| I: AAW: −2.1 (CI: −3.0 to −1.3) kg | C: 82.9 (±10.9) kg (all women) | Months 5–7; biweekly group sessions 6 biweekly group sessions | Months 8–17; once monthly group sessions | Months 18+: biweekly individual contact (phone, face to face, and mail) | Attendance at 3 of 6 minimodules yearly (each module was 3–6 group session) | | | | | | | | | |
| C: AAW: +0.3 (CI: −0.6 to +1.2) kg | CW: +0.2 (CI: −0.4 to +0.7) kg | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| I: 12 month Fu | NDR | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| I: AAW: −0.4 (CI: −1.6 to 0.9) kg | CW: −1.7 (CI: −2.6 to −0.7) kg | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| C: AAW: +0.4 (CI: −0.8 to 1.6) kg | CW: 0.4 (CI: −0.3 to 1.2) kg | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| I: 18 month Fu | NDR | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| I: AAW: −0.4 (CI: −1.6 to 0.9) kg | CW: −1.7 (CI: −2.6 to −0.7) kg | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| C: AAW: +0.4 (CI: −0.8 to 1.6) kg | CW: 0.4 (CI: −0.3 to 1.2) kg | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| I: 36 month Fu | NDR | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| I: AAW: +0.5 (CI: −1.1 to 2.0) kg | CW: 0.8 (CI: 0.3 to 1.9) kg | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| C: AAW: +1.7 (CI: 0.2 to 3.1) kg | CW: 1.4 (CI: 0.3 to 2.5) kg | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Author and year of publication | Quality ranking score | Study design, setting, and length of trial | Participant characteristics†† | Maintenance phase characteristics | Frequency, delivery, and dose (time), of maintenance components | Mean baseline weight (kg) (±SD/SE) | Weight change following intensive intervention phase mean (±SD/SE) weight (kg) | Weight change (kg) from baseline during maintenance phase follow-up (SD/SE) | Adherence to maintenance sessions/components retention (%) |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Yancey et al. (2006) [67]     | 9                    | Design: RCT                              | AAW                           | n = 366                         | I: 58.0 (±0.9) C: 60.1 (±0.5)                                   | I: 81.5 kg (n = 92) C: 82.7 kg (n = 79) | I: +0.05 kg C: +0.3 kg | End of maintenance (12 month Fu) I: +1.4 kg C: +1.02 kg | Adherence to maintenance sessions/components: NDR | Retention: I: 72% C: 72% |
|                               |                      | Setting: Community, Urban                | I: 188 C: 178                 | Mean Age (y):                   | I: 15.06 (±2.16) C: 14.98 (±2.24)                               |                                |                                |                                |                                |
|                               |                      | Length of Trial: 12 months               | Income: I: $40,000–59,000 C: $40,000–59,000 | Mean Age (y):                   | I: 15.06 (±2.16) C: 14.98 (±2.24)                               |                                |                                |                                |                                |
|                               |                      |                                          | Education (y):                | I: 15.06 (±2.16) C: 14.98 (±2.24) | Duration of maintenance period: 10 months                     |                                |                                |                                |                                |
|                               |                      |                                          | Health status:               | NDR                             | Components Targeted at WL Maintenance: Self-directed Free fitness club membership (I and C) |                                |                                |                                |                                |
| Author and year of publication | Quality ranking score | Study design, setting, and length of trial | Participant characteristics** | Maintenance phase characteristics | Frequency, delivery, and dose (time), of maintenance components | Mean baseline weight (kg) (±SD/SE) | Weight change following intensive intervention phase mean (±SD/SE) weight (kg) | Weight change (kg) from baseline during maintenance phase follow-up (SD/SE) | Adherence to maintenance sessions/components retention (%) |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| West et al. (2007) [14]       | 9                    | Design: RCT                                 | Setting: University         | Length of Trial: 18 months      | MI group AAW: 43 CW: 66           | MI group 97 (±17) kg (all participants) | MI group AAW: −3.4 kg CW: −5.3 kg | MI group AAW: −2.9 kg CW: −3.4 kg | 12 month Fu MI group AAW: −2.9 kg CW: −3.4 kg | Adherence to maintenance sessions/components: |
|                              |                      | Frequency, delivery, and dose (time), of    | Cultural Adaptations: NDR   | Duration of maintenance phase:  | Months 7–12 Two individual MI or Attention Control sessions Dose: 45 minutes per session (5 sessions total) | Months 7–12 Two individual MI or Attention Control sessions Dose: 45 minutes per session (5 sessions total) | Months 7–12 Two individual MI or Attention Control sessions Dose: 45 minutes per session (5 sessions total) | Months 7–12 Two individual MI or Attention Control sessions Dose: 45 minutes per session (5 sessions total) | Adherence to maintenance sessions/components: |
|                              |                      | Maintenance components                      |                             | 12 months                       |                                  |                                  |                                  |                                  | Adherence to maintenance sessions/components: |
|                              |                      | Mean Age (y): 53 ± 10 (all participants)    |                             |                                 |                                  |                                  |                                  |                                  | Adherence to maintenance sessions/components: |
|                              |                      | Education: College education or higher: 35% (all participants) |                             |                                 |                                  |                                  |                                  |                                  | Adherence to maintenance sessions/components: |
|                              |                      | Income: NDR                                |                             |                                 |                                  |                                  |                                  |                                  | Adherence to maintenance sessions/components: |
|                              |                      | Health Status: Type 2 Diabetes (no insulin use) |                             |                                 |                                  |                                  |                                  |                                  | Adherence to maintenance sessions/components: |
|                              |                      | BMI 27–50 kg/m²                            |                             |                                 |                                  |                                  |                                  |                                  | Adherence to maintenance sessions/components: |

** Design: RCT Setting: University Length of Trial: 18 months

** MI or Attention Control sessions

Goal-setting (i) Problem-solving (ii) Self-monitoring of food intake and PA (iii) Stimulus control (iv) Relapse prevention MI or Attention Control sessions

Behavioral Modification Strategies

Criteria for entry into WL Maintenance Phase: None

Components Targeted at WL Maintenance: Calorie and fat control Didactic Nutrition PA (self, goal 150 min/wk)

End of maintenance phase (18 month Fu) MI group AAW: −1.9 kg CW: −4.4 kg

Attention control group AAW: −1.0 kg CW: −2.0 kg

Adherence to maintenance sessions/ components: Group sessions 7–12 months 57% attendance 13–18 months 48% attendance

Food diaries submitted 7–12 months 7 ± 9 diaries 13–18 months 5 ± 9 diaries

Retention: 93% (all participants)
| Author and year of publication | Quality ranking score | Study design, setting, and length of trial | Participant characteristics† | Maintenance phase characteristics | Frequency, delivery, and dose (time), of maintenance components | Mean baseline weight (kg) (±SD/SE) | Weight change following intensive intervention phase mean (±SD/SE) weight (kg) | Weight change (kg) from baseline during maintenance phase follow-up (SD/SE) | Adherence to maintenance sessions/components retention (%) |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Rickeletal (2011) ‡‡ [15] | 9                    | Treatment of Obesity in Underserved Rural Settings (TOURS) | Weight change crudely calculated from data presented in the manuscript | n = 234 AAW: 43 CW: 181 | Frequency, Delivery, and Dose: All treatment groups received handouts describing how to use problem solving to deal with obstacles related to WL maintenance | AAW: 99.9 (±2.6) kg CW: 95.8 (±1.1) kg | AAW: −6.8 (±0.80) kg CW: −10.7 (±0.38) kg | 12 month Fu NDR | End of Trial (18 month Fu) Extended care maintenance AAW: −4.9 kg CW: −9.2 kg Control AAW: −5.5 kg CW: −6.5 kg | Adherence to maintenance sessions/components: Record keeping (hours) Phone-based 16.0 (±18.1) hours Face to face 15.7 (±18.9) hours Control 10.4 (±15.7) hours Counseling time Phone-based 10.2 (±12.4) hours Face to face 21.3 (±16.0) hours Control NA | Retention: 96% (all participants) |
Table 1: Continued.

| Author and year of publication | Study design, setting, and length of trial | Participant characteristics | Maintenance phase characteristics | Frequency, delivery, and dose (time), of maintenance components | Mean baseline weight (kg) (±SD/SE) | Weight change following intensive intervention phase mean (±SD/SE) weight (kg) | Weight change (kg) from baseline during maintenance phase follow-up (SD/SE) | Adherence to maintenance sessions/components retention (%) |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| McNabb et al. (1993) [69]     | Design: NRCT, pilot                       | n = 23                      | I: 13 AAW                       | 3: 10 AAW                                      | Frequency, Delivery, and Dose: No contact | I: 93.5 (±17.8) kg  
C: NDR                                      | I: −4.1 kg  
C: NDR                                      | End of trial (12 month Fu)  
I: −4.4 kg  
C: +1.4 kg                                      | Adherence to maintenance sessions/components retention: NDR  
Retention:  
I: 77%  
C: 100%                                      |
|                              | Setting: Community Clinic, Urban          | Mean Age (y): I: 57         | C: 62                           | Mean Age (y): I: 48.3 (±12.8)                   | Cultural Adaptations: Yes, trial specific to AA women | Duration of maintenance period: 75 months  
Components Targeted at WL Maintenance: Self-directed | Criteria for entry into WL Maintenance Phase: None |                                                                 |
|                              | Length of Trial: 12 months               | Income: NDR                 | Education: I: Completed HS: 89% | Duration of maintenance phase: 6 months         |                                                                 |                                                                 |                                                                 |                                                                 |
| Tsai et al. (2010) [16]       | Design: RCT, pilot                        | n = 50                      | I: AAW: 18                      |                                  | Frequency, Delivery, and Dose: 2 in-person PCP visits  
Dose: 2-3 minutes devoted to discussing weight control (I and C) | I: AAW: 98.7 ± 16.4 kg  
CW: 76.2 ± 10.3 kg                               | I: AAW: −4.5 kg  
CW: −6.6 kg                                      | End of trial (12 month Fu):  
I: AAW: −1.6 kg  
CW: −3.2 kg                                      | Adherence to maintenance sessions/components retention: NDR  
Retention: 94% (all participants)                                     |
|                              | Setting: University Clinic, Urban         | Mean Age (y): AAW: 48.3 (±12.8) |                                 |                                  |                                                                 |                                                                 |                                                                 |                                                                 |
|                              | Length of Trial: 12 months               | Income: NDR                 |                                 |                                  |                                                                 |                                                                 |                                                                 |                                                                 |
Table 1: Continued.

| Author and year of publication | Quality ranking score† | Study design, setting, and length of trial | Participant characteristics†† | Maintenance phase characteristics | Frequency, delivery and dose (time), of maintenance components | Mean baseline weight (kg) (±SD/SE) | Weight change following intensive intervention phase mean (±SD/SE) weight (kg) | Weight change (kg) from baseline during maintenance phase follow-up (SD/SE) | Adherence to maintenance sessions/components retention (%) |
|--------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| Banks-Wallace (2007) [70]     |                        | Design UCT, pilot                           | n = 21 AAW                   | Education (y): AAW: 13.8 ± 2.3    | Criteria for entry into WL Maintenance Phase: None         | 93.7 (±13.1) kg                  | -8.5 kg                                                        | End of trial (18 month Fu) +11.7 kg                       | Adherence to maintenance sessions/components: NDR                |
|                              |                        | Setting NDR                                 | Mean Age (y): 50.3           | Health status: BMI 27–50 kg/m²    | Criteria for entry into WL Maintenance Phase: None         |                                 |                                                                 |                                                              | Retention: 71%                                                |
|                              |                        | Duration of Trial: 18 months                | Income: 62% < $24,000        | Components Targeted at WL Maintenance: PCP visit            | Cultural Adaptations: Yes, trial specific to AA women       |                                 |                                                                 |                                                              |                                                              |
|                              |                        | Education: Completed HS: 100%               | Duration of Maintenance Phase: 6 months |                                   | Cultural Adaptations: Yes, trial specific to AA women       |                                 |                                                                 |                                                              |                                                              |
|                              |                        | Health status: Hypertensive                |                                   |                                   | Components Targeted at WL Maintenance: None                 |                                 |                                                                 |                                                              |                                                              |
|                              |                        |                                             |                                   |                                   | Components Targeted at WL Maintenance: Self-directed        |                                 |                                                                 |                                                              |                                                              |

†Total quality ranking score = [(Design: RCT = 4; pilot RCT = 3; nonrandomized controlled trial = 2; single group design = 1) + (Primary intervention focus on weight control: 1 = No; 2 = Yes) + (Inclusion of a formal maintenance program: 1 = No; 2 = Yes) + (Cultural Adaptations: 1 = no adaptations; 2 = limited to recruitment of AA participants; 3 = studies reporting adapting intervention-related content and other adaptations such as cultural sensitivity staff training)].

†† Data reported for AAW or CW only unless indicated otherwise.

‡Weight change by sex/ethnicity obtained from main study author for AAW completers only.

‡‡Intention to treat or multiple imputations analysis.

AAW: african american women; BMI: body mass index; BP: blood pressure; CI: confidence interval; CW: caucasian women; DASH: dietary approaches to stop hypertension; FI: follow-up; HS: high school; I: intervention; IBW: ideal body weight; IL: intensive lifestyle; MI: motivational interviewing; NA: not applicable; NDR: no data reported; NRCT: non-randomized controlled trial; PA: physical activity; PCP: primary care physician; S: supervised; SD: standard deviation; SCT: social cognitive theory; SE: standard error; UCT: uncontrolled trial; WL: weight loss; Y: years.
| Author                  | Year | Maintenance group | Study design | Primary focus on weight control | Formal maintenance program | Cultural adaptations | Total quality score | Maintenance format | Frequency of maintenance sessions | % Weight regain 12M* | % Weight regain 18M* | % Weight regain > 18M** |
|------------------------|------|-------------------|--------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Kumanyika et al. [44]  | 2002 | Weight Loss/Sodium Reduction | 4            | 2                               | 2                          | 3                    | II                  | Group and individual sessions | Biweekly then monthly | AAW: 0% CW: 6%       | AAW: 7% CW: 17%       |
| Kumanyika et al. [44]  | 2002 | Weight loss       | 4            | 2                               | 2                          | 3                    | II                  | Group and individual sessions | Biweekly then monthly | AAW: 0% CW: 0%       | AAW: 0% CW: 33%      |
| Kumanyika et al. [3]   | 2005 | Group HELP        | 4            | 2                               | 2                          | 3                    | II                  | Group                           | Biweekly then monthly | AAW: 0%            | 49%                  |
| Kumanyika et al. [3]   | 2005 | Self HELP         | 4            | 2                               | 2                          | 3                    | II                  | Self-directed, 1 group session, some staff phone support | Infrequent         | AAW: 0% CW: 0%       | 35%                  |
| Kumanyika et al. [3]   | 2005 | Clinic only       | 4            | 2                               | 2                          | 3                    | II                  | Group                           | Semi-annually       | AAW: 6% CW: 49%     | AAW: 80% CW: 64%     |
| Svetkey et al. [56]    | 2008 | Personal Contact  | 4            | 2                               | 2                          | 3                    | II                  | Individual                      | Monthly             | AAW: 64% CW: 77%    | AAW: 55% CW: 71%     |
| Svetkey et al. [56]    | 2008 | Internet          | 4            | 2                               | 2                          | 3                    | II                  | Web-based                       | Weekly login        | AAW: 64% CW: 77%    | AAW: 55% CW: 71%     |
| Svetkey et al. [56]    | 2008 | Self              | 4            | 2                               | 2                          | 3                    | II                  | No contact                      | NA                  | AAW: 6% CW: 17%      | AAW: 17% CW: 12%     |
| West et al. [11]       | 2008 | IL                | 4            | 2                               | 2                          | 3                    | II                  | Individual                      | At least monthly    | AAW: 6% CW: 0%       | AAW: 17% CW: 12%     |
| Kumanyika et al. [50]  | 2009 | Family High Support | 4           | 2                               | 2                          | 3                    | II                  | Group and individual sessions | Biweekly then monthly | 0%                  | 6%                   | 41%                  |
| Kumanyika et al. [50]  | 2009 | Family Low Support | 4            | 2                               | 2                          | 3                    | II                  | Group and individual sessions | Biweekly then monthly | 0%                  | 0%                   | 40%                  |
| Kumanyika et al. [50]  | 2009 | Individual High Support | 4           | 2                               | 2                          | 3                    | II                  | Group and individual sessions | Biweekly then monthly | 0%                  | 5%                    | 71%                  |
| Kumanyika et al. [50]  | 2009 | Individual Low Support | 4           | 2                               | 2                          | 3                    | II                  | Group and individual sessions | Biweekly then monthly | 35%                 | 12%                   | 6%                   |
| Fitzgibbon et al. [60] | 2010 | Intervention      | 4            | 2                               | 2                          | 3                    | II                  | Group and individual sessions | Twice weekly, weekly, then monthly | 35%                 | 12%                   | 6%                   |
| Martin et al. [61]     | 2008 | Intervention      | 4            | 2                               | 1                          | 3                    | 10                  | No contact                      | NA                  | 0%                   | 64%                  |
| Djuric et al. [62]     | 2009 | Diet and Spirituality | 3           | 2                               | 2                          | 3                    | 10                  | Individual                      | Weekly then biweekly | 30%                 | 30%                  |
| Author          | Year | Maintenance group | Study design | Primary focus on weight control | Formal maintenance program | Cultural adaptations | Total quality score | Maintenance format | Frequency of maintenance sessions | % Weight regain 12M† | % Weight regain 18M† | % Weight regain > 18M†† |
|-----------------|------|-------------------|--------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|
| Djuric et al.   | 2009 | Diet only         | 3            | 2                               | 2                          | 3                    | 10                 | Individual sessions | Monthly          | 15%            |                  |
| Kumanyika et al. | 1991 | Weight loss treatment arms | 4            | 2                               | 2                          | 1                    | 9                  | Group and individual sessions | Bimonthly       | AAW: 46% CW: 30% | AAW: 88% CW: 64% |
| Kumanyika et al. | 1991 | Weight loss treatments arms | 4            | 2                               | 2                          | 1                    | 9                  | Group and individual sessions | Monthly          | AAW: 42% CW: 27% | AAW: 89% CW: 49% |
| Stevens et al.  | 2001 | Intervention      | 4            | 2                               | 2                          | 1                    | 9                  | Biweekly then monthly | NA             | AAW: 81% CW: 53% | AAW: 123% CW: 122% |
| Yancey et al.   | 2006 | Intervention      | 4            | 1                               | 1                          | 3                    | 9                  | NA                | NA             |                  |                  |
| West et al.     | 2007 | MI                | 4            | 2                               | 2                          | 1                    | 9                  | Biweekly then monthly | AAW: 15% CW: 0% | AAW: 44% CW: 17% |                  |
| West et al.     | 2007 | Attention control | 4            | 2                               | 2                          | 1                    | 9                  | Biweekly then monthly | AAW: 34% CW: 4% | AAW: 66% CW: 38% |                  |
| Rickel et al.   | 2011 | Extended Care     | 4            | 2                               | 2                          | 1                    | 9                  | Biweekly            |                | AAW: 28% CW: 14% | AAW: 19% CW: 39% |
| Rickel et al.   | 2011 | Self              | 4            | 2                               | 2                          | 1                    | 9                  | Biweekly            |                |                  |                  |
| McNabb et al.   | 1993 | Intervention      | 2            | 2                               | 1                          | 2                    | 7                  | No contact         | NA             | 0%             |                  |
| Tsai et al.     | 2010 | Intervention      | 3            | 2                               | 1                          | 1                    | 7                  | Two visits with PCP | Quarterly       | AAW: 64% CW: 52% |                  |
| Banks-Wallace   | 2007 | Intervention      | 1            | 1                               | 1                          | 2                    | 5                  | No contact         | NA             | 138%           |                  |

*Total quality ranking score criteria: Study Design: RCT = 4; pilot RCT = 3; nonrandomized controlled trial = 2; single group design = 1; Primary intervention focus on weight control: 1 = No; 2 = Yes; Inclusion of a formal maintenance program: 1 = No; 2 = Yes; Cultural Adaptations: 1 = no adaptations; 2 = limited to recruitment of AA participants; 3 = studies reporting adapting intervention-related content and other adaptations such as cultural sensitivity staff training.

†% Weight change at follow-up time-points crudely calculated from data provided in the manuscripts.

‡Svetkey et al., 2008 [56], % weight regain reported for 36-month follow-up; Kumanyika et al., 1991 [13], % weight regain reported for 36-month follow-up; Kumanyika et al., 2002 [44], % weight regain reported for 24-month follow-up; Kumanyika et al., 2009 [50], % weight regain reported for 24-month follow-up; Stevens et al., 2001 [65], % weight regain reported for 36-month follow-up.

§No weight loss achieved during intensive intervention phase, therefore, no weight regain to report.

AAW: African American Women; CW: Caucasian Women; IL: Intensive Lifestyle; M: Month; NA: Not Applicable; PCP: Primary Care Provider.
For each of the 17 studies, the primary author (L. M. Tussing-Humphreys) extracted the following data, using a standardized form, which are presented in Tables 1 and 2: (1) author and year of publication; (2) study design, setting, and duration of the trial; (3) participant characteristics including sample size, age, income, education, and health status; (4) overarching intervention characteristics including use of a formal theoretical framework inclusion of cultural adaptations, duration of the maintenance phase, a priori criteria for entry into the maintenance period, and components targeted at weight loss maintenance; (5) frequency, format, and dose of maintenance intervention sessions or contacts; (6) mean baseline weight in kg; (7) change in weight (kg) immediately following the active intervention phase; (8) weight change in kg from baseline; (9) % weight regain at several reported follow-up intervals (12 months, 18 months, and end of trial when available) (several time points were selected in an attempt to compare weight changes during the maintenance phase across studies); and (10) adherence to maintenance sessions or components and study retention (defined as % of participants available at designated postintervention follow-up time-point). Where possible, missing variables were calculated or estimated from data reported or from figures presented in the paper or obtained directly from the study authors. Percent weight regain was crudely calculated for all studies using available weight change data. For trials in which multiple articles were published (e.g., Diabetes Prevention Program (DPP), Weight Loss Maintenance Trial (WLM), the Hypertension Prevention Trial (HPT), the Trial of Hypertension Prevention (TOHP), the Trial of Hypertension Prevention II (TOHP II), the Trial of Nonpharmacologic Interventions in the Elderly (TONE), and The Treatment of Obesity in Underserved Settings (TOURS)), we incorporated all relevant data regardless if the source was other than the figures presented in the paper or obtained directly from the study authors.

To address study quality, we adapted the ranking system developed by Whitt-Glover and Kumanyika [71] which was designed to evaluate both randomized and nonrandomized studies. Nonrandomized studies were included to allow for insight regarding potentially effective strategies utilized in studies with a less rigorous design and due to the paucity of related content and other adaptations including staff trainings and oversight committees [71]. The working assumption was that cultural adaptations could affect acceptability, effectiveness, and retention.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Overall.

The 17 studies are ranked alphabetically according to date published and study quality which ranged between 5 and a maximum of 11 points (Tables 1 and 2). Weight was the primary outcome for the majority of studies (15 of 17) [3, 11, 13–16, 44, 50, 56, 60–62, 65, 69]. However, one trial focused on increasing PA and improving dietary quality [67] and another focused on increasing daily steps [70]. Both trials reported weight outcomes, as a secondary endpoint, and were thus included in the review.

#### 3.2. Design, Setting, and Length of Intervention.

Thirteen of the 17 studies were RCTs. The interventions were implemented in various settings including academic medical centers [3, 11, 13, 44, 50, 56, 65], five of which were multi-institution collaboratives [11, 13, 44, 56, 65], universities [14, 60, 62], medical clinics [16, 61, 69], and community-based locales [15, 67]. One study did not report the intervention setting [70]. The duration of the trials ranged from 12 to 36 months.

#### 3.3. Sample Size and Participant Characteristics.

The sample sizes varied significantly across the studies ranging from 21 to 2921 participants. The multi-institution RCTs [11, 13, 44, 56, 65] and the pilot RCT by Tsai et al. [16] recruited participants of mixed race/ethnicity and sex. Notably, the weight loss treatment arms of the HPT [13], TOHP [13], and TONE [44] trials included relatively small numbers of AA women ranging from just 28 to 46 women. Six studies [60–62, 67, 69, 70] targeted recruitment specifically at AA women with sample sizes ranging from 21 to 366 women. Two studies...
recruited both AA and Caucasian women [14, 15], and two recruited AA men and women only [3, 50].

The majority of the studies (16 of 17) enrolled AA women with mean ages between 40 to 60 years old. All of the studies recruited overweight and obese individuals although their health status varied. Participants in the TONE trial [44] and study by Banks-Wallace [70] were hypertensive, participants in the WLM trial [56] were hypertensive and/or dyslipidemic, the DPP trial [11] participants presented with impaired glucose tolerance, West et al. [14] and McNabb et al. [69] recruited type 2 diabetics, and Djuric et al. [62] recruited breast cancer survivors.

3.4. Overarching Intervention Characteristics: Use of Theoretical Framework and Cultural Adaptations. Eight studies utilized a formal theoretical framework in the design of the intervention. [3, 11, 44, 56, 60, 67, 74, 75]. Twelve studies [3, 11, 15, 44, 50, 56, 60–62, 67, 69] reported incorporating some form of cultural adaptation salient to AAs including recruitment of only AA participants [60–62, 67], culturally specific diet and PA modifications [3, 11, 50, 56, 60, 61, 67], cultural sensitivity training for research staff [44, 56], employing AA case managers and interventionists [3, 11, 50, 60, 67], special attention to religion and spirituality [60, 62], AA community-focused field-trips to grocery stores, parks, and so forth [50], selection of study site in an AA community [67], and the formation of a minority implementation committee [56].

3.5. Weight Loss Outcomes following the Intensive Intervention Phase. Across the 17 studies, weight changes for AA women following the intensive intervention phase ranged from +0.5 to −8.5 kg. In the studies enrolling both AA and Caucasian women [11, 13, 14, 16, 44, 56], initial weight loss for AA women ranged from −1.9 to −7.1 kg versus −3.4 to −10.7 kg for Caucasian women. The weight loss plus sodium reduction arm of the TONE trial [44] was the only treatment arm across the 17 studies in which initial weight losses were similar between AA (3.9 ± 3.6 kg) and Caucasian (3.9 ± 3.9 kg) women.

3.6. Maintenance Phase Characteristics. The duration of the maintenance phase ranged from 6 to 30 months. Only, two studies [3, 56] reported inclusion criteria for entry into the maintenance phase. For the WLM trial [56], participants were required to have lost a minimum of 4 kg during the six-month active weight loss phase to be randomized to a maintenance treatment group. For the HELP study [3] participants were required to attend the postphase 1 data collection to be randomized to the maintenance phase.

Common features of the maintenance interventions included some combination of didactic nutrition and PA sessions [3, 11, 13–15, 44, 50, 56, 60, 62, 65], promotion of adherence to the prescribed eating pattern or dietary modifications (e.g., calorie control, fat control, increased consumption of fruits, vegetables, and fiber) [3, 11, 13–15, 44, 50, 56, 60, 62, 65], achieving a set amount of PA (minutes or steps per day or week) [3, 11, 13–15, 44, 50, 56, 60, 62, 65], and ongoing emphasis on behavioral modification strategies learned during the active intervention phase including self-monitoring of weight, dietary intake, and PA, goal-setting, problem solving, relapse prevention, and stimulus control [3, 11, 13–15, 44, 50, 56, 60, 62, 65]. Importantly, the extent to which these topics were reviewed was difficult to discern from the manuscripts, as the needs of the participants often dictate what content is featured during the maintenance sessions. Additionally, supervised PA sessions were offered in three of the trials [11, 50, 60], and a number of more unique maintenance components were also tested including use of an individualized tool box [11, 76], internet-based delivery [60], motivational interviewing [14, 61], spirituality counseling [69], and family and friend support [15].

The frequency of contact and delivery of the maintenance interventions was diverse. Participants in seven studies [3, 16, 56, 61, 67, 69, 70] received no or minimal contact during the maintenance period. Six studies delivered the maintenance intervention through a combination of group and individual in-person or phone-based sessions [13, 14, 44, 50, 60, 65] with frequency ranging from twice weekly [60] to bimonthly [13]. Three studies conducted individual in-person or phone-based maintenance sessions [11, 15, 62]. Contact was made monthly in the personal contact arm of the WLM trial [56], at least monthly or as often as requested by participants in the DPP [11], and tapered from weekly, to biweekly, to monthly in the Djuric et al. trial [62]. The group maintenance arm of the HELP study [3] met solely in group sessions biweekly, during months 7–9; and lessened to monthly thereafter. Additionally, several studies mailed newsletters to participants at various times throughout the maintenance phase [13, 15, 50, 60, 62]. For the studies reporting dose of the maintenance sessions, encounters lasted anywhere from 2 to 90 minutes.

3.7. Adherence to Maintenance Sessions and Components. Participants, enrolled in four of the multi-institution RCTs, reported modest to excellent adherence to maintenance sessions [11, 13, 56, 65]. Unfortunately, adherence was not reported separately for AA women, and three of the multi-institution RCTs failed to report adherence to maintenance sessions altogether [13, 44]. In four studies, which included a formal maintenance intervention in which only AA adults were enrolled, adherence to maintenance sessions was paltry [3, 50, 60, 62]. In one of the single-site RCTs, with a mixed race/ethnicity sample, women attended less than 60% of the offered sessions [14], and in another [15], total counseling contact time, for the extended care maintenance treatment groups, exceeded the a priori estimate of 8.7 hours; results were not reported separately for AA women.

The majority of studies, with a formal maintenance intervention, did not report adherence to specific maintenance activities such as self-monitoring of weight, dietary intake, or PA [3, 11, 13, 44, 50, 60, 62, 65]. One study [14] reported the mean number of food and activity diaries submitted throughout the intervention with submissions dropping from a mean of 15 (SD ± 8) diaries during the intensive phase of the program to only 5 (SD ± 9) diaries at 18-month follow-up. Rickel et al. [15] reported approximately 16 hours of...
journaling time for the extended care maintenance groups compared to just 10 hours in the self-directed group. The WLM trial reported that self-weighing was more frequent for AA women compared to Caucasian women [56]. However, like with session attendance, most studies with mixed race/ethnicity and/or sex sample [3, 11, 13–15, 50, 56] failed to report adherence to maintenance components altogether or separately for AA women making it difficult to discern any disparities.

3.8. Study Retention. The percentage of participants available for final assessment varied. Five of the eight studies [11, 13, 44, 65] with a mixed race/ethnicity and/or sex sample reported retention rates separately for AA women. Retention rates for women randomized to an active intervention arm ranged from 48 to 97% for AA versus 66 to 100% for Caucasian. Notably, retention rates were similar for the HPT [13], TOHP [13], and TOHP2I [65] studies while retention was lower for AA women in the TONE [44] and DPP [11] trials. In the six trials enrolling only AA women [60, 61, 67, 69, 70] retention across treatment groups ranged from 63 to 92%. For the studies enrolling AA men and women [3, 50], retention for AA women ranged from 55% to 66% across treatments.

3.9. Weight Maintenance Outcomes and Maintenance Phase Characteristics. Table 2 reports the ratings for each quality category, a summary quality score, maintenance intervention characteristics, and % weight regain at 12, 18, and 24 months (calculated using available data). By summarizing the findings in this manner, we could more easily compare across interventions and determine if a particular set of maintenance intervention characteristics were more effective at promoting weight control for AA women. However, it is important to highlight the difficulty in comparing across studies given the heterogeneity in sample sizes, differences in duration of the maintenance phase, attrition rates, time interval in which weight outcomes were reported, and analysis approach (intention to treat versus completers); therefore, findings should be interpreted with some caution. With this acknowledgement, the 18 month weight outcomes were reported by a majority of the studies [14 of 17] [3, 11, 13–15, 44, 50, 60–62, 65, 67, 70] and will be used to make comparisons.

At 18-month follow-up, % weight regain for AA women in studies with the highest quality ranking (11 points), enrolling only AA women [60] or AA adults [3, 50], ranged from 0 to 49%. In studies with a lower quality ranking (10 or less), % weight regain at 18-months ranged from 15 to 138%. Generally, the studies not focused on weight as an outcome [67, 70] or lacking a formalized maintenance program [61, 67, 70] had the poorest outcomes.

The highest ranking studies (11 points), enrolling both AA and Caucasian women [11, 44], reported 18-month % weight regain ranging from 0 to 17% for AA women and from 12 to 17% for Caucasian women. Notably, in the TONE study [44], % weight regain was lower for AA women in both weight treatment arms throughout the maintenance period and % weight regain was only slightly higher for AA compared to Caucasian women in the DPP trial [11]. In the lower ranking studies (10 points or less) [13–15, 65], 18-month % weight regain for AA women ranged from 19 to 89% and from 14 to 64% for Caucasian women. The only instance, for which AA women had similar or lower 18-month % weight regain, was for those randomized to the self-directed maintenance arm of the TOURS study [15]. However, the sample size of women randomized to this treatment was relatively small, and results should be interpreted with caution. Cultural adaptations appeared to be an important component in multisite trials with a mixed race/ethnicity and gender sample as evidenced by less % weight regain for AA women in the TONE [44], DPP [11], and WLM [56] trials. Inclusion of a formal maintenance program was associated with lower % weight regain for AA and Caucasian women [3, 11, 13–15, 44, 50, 56, 60, 62, 65] compared to programs without a formal maintenance intervention [16, 61, 67, 70]. Lastly, weight maintenance for the WLM trial [56] was reported at 36-month follow-up only. Both AA and Caucasian women responded favorably to individualized sessions whereas AA women responded less favorably to the internet-based maintenance format.

4. Discussion

This paper reports on a systematic review of the behavioral lifestyle intervention literature published between 1990 and 2011 that reported weight outcomes, included a maintenance phase of at least six months, and enrolled or specifically targeted AA women. Only 17 studies met the inclusion criteria, underscoring the limited research in this area. The studies reviewed differed in design, duration, and intensity of the maintenance interventions, sample size, and attrition rates, which led to the inevitable challenge of cross-study comparisons.

Generally, AA women lost less weight during the intensive weight loss phase and maintained a lower % of their weight loss compared to Caucasian women in the behavioral lifestyle interventions reviewed [11, 13–15, 44, 65]. However, for studies reporting 18-month weight maintenance outcomes, in all but two [67, 70], AA women maintained some percentage of the weight loss achieved during the intensive weight loss phase. This is important given that small, sustained weight losses are associated with clinically meaningful health benefits [17, 18]. The TONE trial [44] was the only study in which AA women had similar weight loss and maintenance as Caucasian women. Importantly, the sample of AA women in the TONE trial was relatively small (n = 46), retention poorer than that for Caucasian women, and women were older, overweight/obese, and hypertensive. This may reflect a nonrepresentative and more motivated sample.

The most remarkable finding was that the majority of studies failed to describe the specific strategies used in the delivery of the maintenance intervention, adherence to those strategies, and did not incorporate a maintenance phase process evaluation making it difficult to identify intervention characteristics associated with better weight control. Also,
many of the studies did not report a distinction between what similar or different behaviors were performed during the active and maintenance phase of the intervention. This may be due to the fact that often, the active intervention phase does not lead to sufficient weight losses to warrant an active maintenance phase. Other than the WLM trial [56], a set amount of weight loss was not used as a criterion for participating in the maintenance phase of the other trials [3, 11, 13–16, 44, 50, 60–62, 65, 67, 69, 70]. Many individuals remain obese, even after one year of treatment, and continue to desire to lose [7]. Therefore, the maintenance phase, which is often arbitrarily set by the study investigators, may not truly reflect participants engaging in weight maintenance-type behaviors. Furthermore, behaviors associated with successful weight management such as monitoring of food intake [77], limited intake of fast food [76], and sugar sweetened beverages [58], limited TV viewing [78], regular self-weighing [79], eating breakfast [80], and meal planning [81] were not closely tracked or routinely reported or, when reported, distinctions were not made based on race/ethnicity and or sex [9]. In a recent article, by Barnes and Kimbro [82], limiting fat intake, consuming less fast food, and monthly weighing were associated with better long-term weight control in AAs who successfully reduced their weight by ≥10% and maintained the loss for at least one year. This further emphasizes that consistent documentation of these types of behaviors in the literature, and by race/ethnicity and sex when appropriate, can help to identify behaviors that lead to successful long-term weight control [83, 84].

Despite this significant caveat, we attempted to identify design components that influence the effectiveness of behavioral lifestyle interventions designed to promote weight maintenance specific to AA women. Findings suggest that inclusion of cultural adaptations may result in more favorable weight maintenance outcomes for AA women and is consistent with the existing literature [3, 45]. For example, in the multisite TONE [44], WLM [56], and DPP [11] trials, enrolling a mixed race/ethnicity and gender sample, inclusion of cultural adaptations resulted in superior weight outcomes compared to HPT [13], TOHP [13], and TOHP II [65] trials. However, it is hard to discern what specific cultural adaptations or combination of adaptations are most useful [71]. What researchers consider to be “salient” cultural adaptations is often derived from qualitative studies [85–87], based on community input [88], based on researcher perception of sociocultural perspectives of AAs, or, informal participant and community leader conversations [89]. For example, AA women have cited inclusion of spirituality as a culturally salient adaptation to promote weight control [90]. However, when tested empirically, in the trial by Djuric et al. [62], inclusion of spirituality counseling did not result in better weight outcomes. It may be that several rather than a single adaptation is necessary for a particular population or setting [91]. However, assessment and comparison of a package of cultural adaptations presents an empirical challenge [91, 92]. Nonetheless, a clearer definition of what constitutes a cultural adaptation and a better understanding of the mechanistic relationship between cultural adaptations and the weight control process are needed.

Not surprising, inclusion of a formal maintenance program was largely associated with lower % weight regain for both AA and Caucasian women [3, 13–15, 44, 50, 56, 60, 62, 65] compared to studies lacking a formalized program [16, 61, 67, 70]. This finding is consistent with two other reviews investigating weight management in minority and nonminority populations [37, 93]. However, in two of these trials [3, 15], AA women randomized to the self-directed or no contact maintenance arm had lower % weight regain at 18-month follow-up [3, 15]. It is unclear why less contact resulted in better weight maintenance although the study authors speculated that the design, setting, or staffing [3] or a failure to culturally adapt the maintenance intervention [15] may have resulted in the outcomes observed. As for the more unique design features, AA and Caucasian women randomized to motivational interviewing had lower % weight regain compared to women allocated to the attention control counseling [14], whereas Internet delivery was less effective than personalized treatment, particularly for AA compared to Caucasian women, in the WLM trial [56]. A previous study found that randomization to internet maintenance resulted in greater weight regain as compared to in-person treatment [94]. Furthermore, at 12-month follow-up, 70% of internet participants reported that they would have preferred in-person contact [94], suggesting that a priori knowledge concerning an individual’s acceptability of treatment delivery mode may increase an intervention’s effectiveness.

African American and Caucasian women were more successful with weight maintenance when study participants were recruited for this purpose. It may be that AA women recruited for interventions where weight loss was secondary (e.g., walking intervention, sodium reduction) [67, 70] were less interested or motivated to lose weight. In a review of pretreatment predictors, self-motivation, general efficacy, and autonomy were all consistent pretreatment predictors of long-term weight success (1 year or more) [95]. Therefore, designing an intervention that places the priority on weight loss throughout the trial (i.e., from recruitment to implementation and maintenance phases) might improve weight outcomes.

4.1. Limitations. Some limitations in our study deserve mention. We included RCTs, pilot RCTs, and nonrandomized controlled and single group design trials. The small sample sizes of the nonrandomized trials and higher attrition rates in several of the studies may have introduced selection bias [3, 11, 13, 14, 50, 61, 67, 69, 70]. Data obtained from study authors were for completers only which may have led to reporting bias [3, 16, 44, 50]. Similarly, many of the studies reported data from completers or persons with available follow-up data which could also lead to reporting bias. With a limited number of studies reporting racial/ethnic and sex differences, this paper did not fully capture differences in terms of the efficacy of behavioral lifestyle interventions on weight loss maintenance, among AA women [36]. The varying lengths of the maintenance periods may have also confounded the findings. Additional limitations include the exclusion of studies not published in English and of studies predating 1990. We also intentionally did not explore the differential effects of
food provision, surgery, or pharmaceutical interventions on weight loss maintenance in AA women.

5. Conclusion and Future Directions

Overall, our synthesis of the literature shows that AA women struggle unduly with both weight loss and maintenance. All of the studies reviewed focused specifically on individual behavior change strategies. It may be that the inherent biology and social and environmental constraints of AA women, unfavorably impacts their adoption of these behaviors [45, 96]. In terms of biology, studies suggest that AA women have several metabolic and physiologic factors that may account for their difficulty with weight management. These factors include less energy expenditure when sleeping, exercising, and in the resting state [97, 98]; alterations in fat oxidation consistent with increased fat storage [99]; higher steady-state ghrelin levels which leads to increased hunger [100]; lower PYY production after meal which could lessen satiety [101]; and decreased energy cost of activity following diet-induced weight loss [98]. The biological aspects of weight regain are increasingly being studied and understood [102, 103]. However, future studies should examine these biological factors within the context of weight loss/maintenance trials and test for racial/ethnic differences.

In terms of AA women's socioenvironment, several factors may hinder their adoption of behaviors shown to positively impact weight control. These factors include socioeconomic status [104, 105], availability and access to high quality foods [106, 107], availability and access to PA resources [108, 109], heightened exposure to unhealthy foods [110], neighborhood safety [111], stress [111], discrimination [112], and dysfunctional social networks [113]. Behavioral economic research suggests that these intertwining biological and contextual factors place eating and PA behaviors beyond an individual's rational control [114]. Therefore, future research should evaluate how biologic and socioeconomic factors mediate diet and PA behavior change within a weight management trial. Additionally, researchers might attempt to understand these pathways prior to developing interventions and utilize findings to inform future intervention design.

The emergence of system-oriented and multilevel research will provide greater insight into the relational complexity of individual- and population-level factors affecting weight management [96]. Quantification of these factors' influence on weight control and identification of the optimum level for intervention within subgroups of the population pose a complex set of research questions for investigators [45]. Cross-disciplinary, translational research addressing the intersection between individual behaviors, biology, social, and environmental contextual factors will allow researchers to more effectively design and evaluate interventions that simultaneously address multiple mechanisms of weight management [96]. The ultimate goal of this research is to make the adoption of healthy eating and regular PA within everyday life the easier option [96]. Continued research that affords a more complete understanding of the complex connectedness of the behavioral, sociocultural, environmental, and biologic factors that lead to successful weight control in this population is warranted.
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