Directional detection of dark matter using solid-state quantum sensing
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Next-generation dark matter (DM) detectors searching for weakly interacting massive particles (WIMPs) will be sensitive to coherent scattering from solar neutrinos, demanding an efficient background-signal discrimination tool. Directional detectors improve sensitivity to WIMP DM despite the irreducible neutrino background. Wide-bandgap semiconductors offer a path to directional detection in a high-density target material. A detector of this type operates in a hybrid mode. The WIMP or neutrino-induced nuclear recoil is detected using real-time charge, phonon, or photon collection. The directional signal, however, is imprinted as a durable sub-micron damage track in the lattice structure. This directional signal can be read out by a variety of atomic physics techniques, from point defect quantum sensing to x-ray microscopy. In this Review, we present the detector principle as well as the status of the experimental techniques required for directional readout of nuclear recoil tracks. Specifically, we focus on diamond as a target material; it is both a leading platform for emerging quantum technologies and a promising component of next-generation semiconductor electronics. Based on the development and demonstration of directional readout in diamond over the next decade, a future WIMP detector will leverage or motivate advances in multiple disciplines towards precision dark matter and neutrino physics.

a) ebadi@umd.edu
b) mason.marshall@nist.gov
c) walsworth@umd.edu
I. INTRODUCTION

The nature of dark matter (DM) is one of the most pressing puzzles in modern physics. Possible candidates for DM include weakly interacting massive particles (WIMPs) in the mass range of a few GeV to 100 TeV. Thermally-produced WIMP DM acquires its observed relic abundance through the freeze-out mechanism in the early universe. WIMPs are also strongly motivated from the perspective of model building; they naturally arise in numerous theories beyond the Standard Model. For decades, direct detection experiments have sought to observe WIMP-induced nuclear recoils in a background-controlled environment; their null results to date have excluded a large portion of possible WIMP cross section-mass parameter space.

Next-generation multiton experiments will be sensitive to even lower cross sections. However, as their sensitivity increases, they will face an irreducible background: coherent neutrino scattering. This background, traditionally called the “neutrino floor”, produces nuclear recoil spectra similar to those of WIMP-induced recoils. Thus, additional information is required to distinguish between the neutrino background signal and the putative WIMP signal. The disparate angular distributions of WIMP and neutrino fluxes can provide such a discrimination tool. Due to the motion of the solar system within the Galactic halo, a WIMP DM flux would exhibit a dipolar structure. Solar neutrinos, the most significant neutrino background, also feature a relatively small angular spread. Directional detectors, which would determine the incoming direction of incident particles, can therefore distinguish between WIMP- and neutrino-induced nuclear recoils if they have sufficient sensitivity and angular resolution. As a result, multiple efforts to develop directional detectors are underway worldwide, each with its own advantages and challenges.

We envision a solid-state detector for directional WIMP detection that operates in a hybrid mode: nuclear recoil event registration is performed in real time using charge, phonon, or photon collection; and directional information is read out using high-resolution mapping of the stable damage left by the incident WIMPs or neutrinos in the detector’s crystal lattice. The proposed detector scheme integrates real-time event registration, three-dimensional directional information, and high-density target material. Gas-based time-projection chambers (TPCs) have mature directional detection technology and offer real-time event detection and full 3D directional readout as well; however, they have low target density and thus require very large volumes. The scalability of these detectors is therefore limited. Probing DM mass below the atmospheric neutrino floor, despite being theoretically well-motivated, would be especially challenging for gas TPCs. In contrast, solid-state detectors could allow to access this parameter space.

In the proposed detector, directional readout and event registration require different sets of technologies. DM detection using nuclear or electron recoils in semiconductors is a mature technology; semiconductor-based DM detection experiments are already operational. Our proposed experiment will use similar methods to register real-time recoil events. Mapping of directional lattice damage, however, requires a different set of capabilities, currently under development. Our research is focused on developing directional detectors enabled by point defect quantum sensing in wide-bandgap semiconductors, possibly augmented by x-ray microscopy. We discuss in the following sections how recent developments in defect-based quantum sens-
ing pave the way for directional detection. We specifically focus on diamond as a viable target material. Diamond is a leading platform for emerging quantum technologies, and over the past decade many technical developments have been made in diamond-based quantum sensing that are applicable to directional readout. In addition, the demand for quantum-grade synthetic diamonds has resulted in efficient protocols for the production of high-quality, uniform-crystalline diamonds.

Implementing conventional DM detection methods in diamond is also a topic of ongoing research with promising prospects. By combining directional readout methods utilizing quantum sensing and nuclear recoil registration methods (similar to those used in silicon and germanium-based detectors), it would be possible to build a detector that retains sensitivity to WIMPs despite the presence of an irreducible neutrino background.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we discuss the neutrino background for direct DM detectors; briefly discuss directional detection, current and proposed technologies; and give an overview of the working principle of the envisioned solid-state detector. In Sec. III, we present an overview of nitrogen-vacancy centers in diamond and relevant quantum sensing techniques. In Sec. IV, we summarize the state-of-the-art techniques for directional readout in diamond, summarize our recent advances, and discuss anticipated improvements. A method of producing injected signals for characterization of detector efficiency is discussed in Sec. V. We conclude with a summary and outlook in Sec. VI. Readers interested only in a high-level overview of the project can refer to Sec. VI.

II. WIMP DETECTION BELOW THE NEUTRINO FLOOR

A. Neutrino floor

Neutrinos couple to quarks via the neutral Z boson exchange; at neutrino energies below a few tens of MeV, this allows coherent scattering from atomic nuclei. With technological advances in detecting low-energy (down to a few keV) nuclear recoils, coherent elastic neutrino-nucleus scattering (CEνNS) has been observed recently in CsI[Na] scintillator and liquid argon detectors by the COHERENT collaboration. Next-generation multi-ton-scale DM direct detection experiments will also be sensitive to CEνNS from solar and atmospheric neutrinos, which cannot be shielded against, forming an irreducible background known as the neutrino floor. In fact, xenon-based DM detectors (e.g., XENON1T) are expected to detect CEνNS from solar neutrinos in the near future, which would be analogous to the detection of 6 GeV c^{-2} DM. DARWIN is a next-generation liquid xenon time projection chamber (TPC) detector proposed by the XENON collaboration, which employs a 50-ton (30-ton) active (fiducial) mass and can operate for five years; it aims for sensitivity at the atmospheric neutrino floor. In addition, the DarkSide collaboration has proposed ARGO, a 300-ton (200-ton) active (fiducial) liquid argon TPC detector with a five-year operation time, with a goal also to achieve sensitivity set by the neutrino floor.

There can be a substantial overlap between the recoil spectra induced by neutrinos and WIMPs, which makes distinguishing them difficult. A small difference in the tails of the recoil spectra could in principle be used as a discrimination tool, but it would require thousands of neutrino events, making it impractical with any proposed future experiment. Other methods for circumventing the neutrino floor have been proposed, such as utilizing timing information or complementarity between detectors. Nevertheless, in the low-statistics limit, directional detection appears to be the only feasible method.

Despite the similarities between the signals, a conventional DM search would have been possible with a precise understanding of neutrino background levels. Recently some have advocated using the term “neutrino fog” rather than “neutrino floor”, implying a challenging, but not impossible signal-background discrimination. Even though neutrino-nucleus cross sections are relatively accurately determined, neutrino fluxes are typically subject to large systematic uncertainties, causing large uncertainties in the number of expected neutrino events. Thus, the neutrino flux uncertainty saturates the DM discovery limit for higher exposures.

Ref. defines the neutrino floor in terms of the derivative of the discovery limit as a function of exposure

\[ n = \left( \frac{d \ln \sigma}{d \ln N_e} \right)^{-1}, \]

where \( N_e \) is the number of neutrino events and it is proportional to the exposure; the neutrino floor is the boundary leaving the standard Poissonian-statistics regime \( n = 2 \) and beginning systematic uncertainty saturation \( n > 2 \). (Note that while this definition of neutrino floor is useful for illustrating the neutrino background and comparing different target materials, it does not represent a fundamentally preferred definition.) Figure 1 shows the neutrino floor cal-
culated using the method described above for several different target materials. Solar neutrinos dominate the neutrino floor for DM masses \( \gtrsim 5 \text{ GeV} \); for slightly larger masses, the diffuse supernova neutrino background dominates; and eventually, for DM masses over a few tens of GeV, atmospheric neutrinos are the dominant contributor\(^5\). For light target nuclear masses like helium or carbon, solar neutrinos are also a significant contributor in this higher DM mass regime since the scattering kinematics allow for large recoil energies\(^5\). As a result, a higher neutrino floor is expected at heavier DM mass ranges for light target nuclei (such as carbon in diamond). However, we do not expect this to significantly reduce the sensitivity of a diamond-based directional DM detector due to an efficient rejection of the solar neutrino background through leveraging localized angular distributions of the solar neutrino flux; see Sec. II B.

![Spin-independent DM-nucleon cross section versus DM mass parameter space, illustrating the neutrino floor for a variety of target materials, including diamond (using the typically dominant \(^{12}\)C isotope and produced using the method introduced in ref. \(^5\)). Shaded gray area shows current excluded region and gray lines within this region represent limits from different experiments. Refer to ref. \(^5\) for further discussion.](image)

**FIG. 1.** Spin-independent DM-nucleon cross section versus DM mass parameter space, illustrating the neutrino floor for a variety of target materials, including diamond (using the typically dominant \(^{12}\)C isotope and produced using the method introduced in ref. \(^5\)). Shaded gray area shows current excluded region and gray lines within this region represent limits from different experiments. Refer to ref. \(^5\) for further discussion.

B. Directional nuclear recoil detection

Since the solar system moves towards the constellation Cygnus in the Galactic rest frame, the angular distribution of the DM scattering rate is expected to exhibit a dipolar feature in the lab frame\(^16\). The angular distance between Cygnus and the sun is always at least 60° (varying between 60° and 90° over the course of a year). This angular separation allows the separation of solar neutrinos and dark matter in a directional nuclear recoil detector\(^19–22,25\). The dipolar DM angular structure can improve DM reach beyond atmospheric neutrino floor as well; however, to achieve sensitivity to atmospheric neutrinos, higher exposure is required\(^21\). Although the input atmospheric neutrino flux is not localized as solar neutrino flux is, it exhibits angular structure caused by cosmic rays passing through different thicknesses of atmosphere and their interaction with geomagnetic fields\(^3\). Such a feature could further enhance the efficiency of directional detection.

In addition, local dark matter phase-space structures could be directly observed using directional detectors\(^57,58\). There is overwhelming evidence that Milky Way kinematic structures go beyond the equilibrium Standard Halo Model\(^59–74\). Characterizing local DM structure is an active field of research, with implications on interpretation of direct detection experiments\(^75–79\). Directional detectors that provide more kinematic information about incident particles can improve our understanding of local DM properties\(^57,58\).

Several directional detection technologies are in various stages of development\(^22\). Identifying three-dimensional direction and head/tail information on an event-by-event basis is the ideal scenario for directional detection. Gas-phase time projection chamber (TPC) detectors\(^80–84\) provide well-developed 3D vectorial directional readout capabilities\(^21\). However, gas-phase detectors that operate at and below the neutrino floor would require extremely large volumes, since the total target mass determines the detector’s discovery limit. The use of nuclear emulsion-based detectors provides high-resolution recoil track imaging at higher target densities; however, they are time-consuming to read out and provide only time-integrated signals (hence, a detector installed on a Cygnus-tracking equatorial telescope would be optimal)\(^85–90\). Although emulsion-based detectors can image 2D recoil tracks, it is unclear whether they can reconstruct 3D tracks and head/tail signatures.

There are also indirect signatures of DM directionality that can be detected. Solid-state anisotropic scintillators (e.g., \(\text{ZnWO}_4\))\(^91,92\) can exploit modulation of incoming DM direction relative to crystal axes in order to statistically provide a directional signal\(^93–96\) (although strong anisotropy at low recoil energies still has to be confirmed experimentally). A statistical measurement of this kind would require
much higher exposures than event-resolved directional methods. As a directional signal, the relative direction of the primary ionization cloud and the applied electric field affects the scintillation yield in liquid noble gas detectors, a method known as columnar recombination\textsuperscript{97,98}. The first measurements of direction dependence of scintillation yield, however, suggests a small effect. Also, this method is sensitive to only one track dimension and lacks a head/tail signature, so it is probably ineffective for DM detection below the neutrino floor\textsuperscript{33}.

We envision using quantum point defects and possibly x-ray diffraction microscopy in solid-state detectors to provide directional readout. Due to intensive work on instrumentation of sensitive charge and phonon detectors during the last decade, semiconductor DM detectors based on silicon or germanium have become possible\textsuperscript{14–37,99–101}. According to the same principles and using developed instrumentation technologies, wide-bandgap semiconductors such as diamond\textsuperscript{23,42} and silicon carbide\textsuperscript{23,102} have been proposed for DM detection. These detectors’ semiconductor properties and low nuclear mass provide a complementary sensitivity profile to existing detectors\textsuperscript{42}. Furthermore, a WIMP or neutrino event in such a detector would leave a stable track of crystal lattice damage of length \( \sim 100 \text{ nm} \) with the crystal thereby acting as a “frozen bubble chamber” to record the direction of the particle’s impact\textsuperscript{23,24}. The WIMP or neutrino-induced damage track would result from a cascade of secondary nuclear recoils triggered by the initial scattering. The orientation and head/tail direction of this damage track could be mapped in three dimensions via spectroscopy of quantum point defects such as nitrogen-vacancy (NV)\textsuperscript{38,103–105} and silicon-vacancy (SiV)\textsuperscript{106} defects in diamond, and divacancies in silicon carbide\textsuperscript{107,108}. X-ray diffraction microscopy could also be used as a complementary or alternative tool to map the WIMP or neutrino-induced damage track. (In Section IV, we cover readout methods in greater detail.) Simulations for a diamond target indicate measurable orientation and head/tail asymmetry down to 1-3 keV of recoil energy\textsuperscript{23}. Therefore, quantum defect-based solid-state detectors offer full directional information (akin to gas TPCs), as well as high density.

This recoiling nucleus would initiate a chain of secondary recoils (via conventional standard-model interactions), leaving behind a characteristic damage track of interstitial nuclei, lattice vacancies, and distorted bonds. SRIM simulations\textsuperscript{109} for a diamond detector predict damage tracks will be tens of nanometers long for recoils with energies in the range of \( 10 – 100 \text{ keV} \), equivalent to WIMP masses in the range of \( 1 – 100 \text{ GeV} \); the orientation of these damage tracks will be well correlated with the incoming WIMP direction and initial recoil orientation (see Figure 2a); and the tracks will exhibit an observable head/tail asymmetry (see Figure 2b).

We envision integrating directional detection within a conventional WIMP detector with well-developed instrumentation and background discrimination methods\textsuperscript{23,24}. Hybrid detectors like this would be able to detect candidate WIMP events using established methods for semiconductor solid-state detectors, such as charge, phonon, and scintillation detection\textsuperscript{34–37}. To operate at the neutrino floor or below, meter-scale solid-state detectors are needed (see Sec. II A). Such a detector could time-stamp and coarsely localize events at the mm scale using fabricated charge-collection electrodes or phonon sensors\textsuperscript{110,111}. When an event is detected in a specific mm-scale chip within a meter-scale, modular detector, that chip can be extracted and interrogated to determine the direction of damage, while the remainder of the detector continues to operate. However, using existing methods, it would be time-prohibitive to scan an entire mm-scale chip with nanoscale resolution to locate and map the orientation of the damage track. We therefore propose a two-step damage track reconstruction strategy within the mm-scale chip: (i) a micron-scale localization of the damage track using optical-diffraction-limited imaging techniques, followed by (ii) high-resolution 3D reconstruction of the damage track. Therefore, the proposed meter-scale detector’s readout can be summarized in three steps as follows (see Figure 3):

- **STEP I:** Event detection and localization at the mm scale using charge, phonon, or photon collection. The event time is recorded to determine the absolute orientation of the specific mm-scale chip in which the event occurred.

- **STEP II:** Damage track localization at the micron scale using optical-diffraction-limited techniques utilizing quantum defects in the solid. (See sections IV A and IV B for methods.)

C. Working principle of a solid-state quantum defect directional detector

In a solid-state crystalline detector, a WIMP recoil would impart substantial kinetic energy onto a target nucleus, knocking it off from its lattice site. This recoiling nucleus would initiate a chain of secondary recoils (via conventional standard-model interactions), leaving behind a characteristic damage track of interstitial nuclei, lattice vacancies, and distorted bonds. SRIM simulations\textsuperscript{109} for a diamond detector predict damage tracks will be tens of nanometers long for recoils with energies in the range of \( 10 – 100 \text{ keV} \), equivalent to WIMP masses in the range of \( 1 – 100 \text{ GeV} \); the orientation of these damage tracks will be well correlated with the incoming WIMP direction and initial recoil orientation (see Figure 2a); and the tracks will exhibit an observable head/tail asymmetry (see Figure 2b).

We envision integrating directional detection within a conventional WIMP detector with well-developed instrumentation and background discrimination methods\textsuperscript{23,24}. Hybrid detectors like this would be able to detect candidate WIMP events using established methods for semiconductor solid-state detectors, such as charge, phonon, and scintillation detection\textsuperscript{34–37}. To operate at the neutrino floor or below, meter-scale solid-state detectors are needed (see Sec. II A). Such a detector could time-stamp and coarsely localize events at the mm scale using fabricated charge-collection electrodes or phonon sensors\textsuperscript{110,111}. When an event is detected in a specific mm-scale chip within a meter-scale, modular detector, that chip can be extracted and interrogated to determine the direction of damage, while the remainder of the detector continues to operate. However, using existing methods, it would be time-prohibitive to scan an entire mm-scale chip with nanoscale resolution to locate and map the orientation of the damage track. We therefore propose a two-step damage track reconstruction strategy within the mm-scale chip: (i) a micron-scale localization of the damage track using optical-diffraction-limited imaging techniques, followed by (ii) high-resolution 3D reconstruction of the damage track. Therefore, the proposed meter-scale detector’s readout can be summarized in three steps as follows (see Figure 3):

- **STEP I:** Event detection and localization at the mm scale using charge, phonon, or photon collection. The event time is recorded to determine the absolute orientation of the specific mm-scale chip in which the event occurred.

- **STEP II:** Damage track localization at the micron scale using optical-diffraction-limited techniques utilizing quantum defects in the solid. (See sections IV A and IV B for methods.)
• **STEP III:** Mapping damage tracks at the nanoscale using either superresolution optical methods or x-ray microscopy. (See sections IV C and IV D for methods.) The meter-scale detector continues operation during steps II and III.

For this hybrid detection method, we propose to develop a detector with diamond as its target material. Diamond is currently being studied for next-generation semiconductor-based detectors because of its excellent semiconductor properties, as well as its high sensitivity to low-mass DM candidates (thanks to the light mass of the carbon nucleus). The implementation of traditional event detection techniques in diamond is an active topic of research\(^{42}\); this includes the first demonstration of a diamond calorimeter coupled to a transition edge sensor (TES) at cryogenic temperatures\(^{43}\). Diamond is a promising material for semiconductor electronics applications\(^{112,113}\) as well as for quantum sensing\(^{38,103–105}\) and quantum information processing\(^{114,115}\) applications that make use of diamond’s lattice point defects, which exhibit optical prepartion and read-out of long-lived electronic spin states. Such technological demand is supported by modern diamond growth techniques using chemical vapor deposition (CVD)\(^{105,112}\), which enable repeatable, fast, and low-cost growth of uniform crystals. As a result, diamond has also been widely used in particle physics research\(^{116,117}\), including in the ATLAS and CMS detectors at the LHC\(^{118}\).

The proposed DM directional detector would require \(O(1 \text{ m}^3)\) of diamond material, comprised of many smaller, modular segments, to achieve sensitivity below the neutrino floor. This level of production is a realistic extension of developments in diamond growth for quantum sensing over the last decade. In particular, optimized crystal growth protocols\(^{105}\) reliably achieve high-quality semiconductor properties (allowing sensitive charge and phonon extraction) and uniform, low crystal strain (enabling damage track localization in the proposed detector).

In the remaining sections, we provide an overview of methods available for damage track localization and nanoscale mapping (Sec. IV) as well as a method for generating injected signals (Sec. V). Here, we present an estimation of the signal strength and background rate in order to evaluate the feasibility of methods based on their sensitivity and speed. SRIM simulations indicate that nuclear recoil-induced damage tracks relevant to WIMP events are \(O(10 – 100)\) nm in length and create \(O(50 – 300)\) lattice vacancies\(^23\). It is possible to detect the strain caused by such lattice damage via the effect on the optically-active quantum defects in the crystal lattice. Following ref.\(^{23}\), we assume a fractional measured strain of \(\Delta x/x \sim 10^{-6}\) at a dis-

---

**FIG. 2.** Result of a SRIM simulation assuming a carbon lattice (appropriate for diamond) and implantation of a carbon ion with energy in the range \(\sim 1 – 100\) keV (representing the initial recoiling nucleus induced by an incoming WIMP or neutrino). (a) Distribution of the angular difference between the initial recoil direction and the damage track direction. Higher recoil energies are predicted to have a higher correlation. (b) Distribution of damage head/tail asymmetry. The asymmetry is defined as the ratio of the number of lattice vacancies and interstitial nuclei in the first and last third of the damage. As an example, for 10 keV initial ion energy, we predict about 70% efficiency and 5% false positive rate. Reprinted with permission from S. Rajendran, N. Zobrist, A. O. Sushkov, R. Walsworth, and M. Lukin, Phys. Rev. D 96, 035009 (2017). Copyright (2017) by the American Physical Society.
FIG. 3. Schematic overview of the proposed solid-state directional dark matter detection procedure. (a) Solar neutrinos (νS) stream towards the earth. Because of the motion of the solar system in the Galactic rest frame, there is a preferred direction of incoming WIMP particles (χ) on the earth (so-called WIMP “wind”), distinct from solar neutrinos, allowing directional discrimination (see text for details). (b) WIMP or neutrino particles interact occasionally with the shielded solid-state detector at an underground facility, depositing energy as well as causing cascades of nuclear recoils, leaving long-lasting crystal damage tracks. Charge, phonon, or photon collection is used to detect and localize the event to a mm-scale segment of the detector. The time of the event determines the orientation of the detector with respect to both the Sun and the galactic WIMP wind. (c) The triggered segment is removed from the bulk of the detector. Using optical-diffraction-limited methods applied to quantum defects in the solid, damage is localized in a micron-scale volume. (d) The nanoscale structure of crystal damage is mapped using superresolution optical methods or x-ray microscopy, allowing WIMP and neutrino events to be distinguished. From M. C. Marshall, M. J. Turner, M. J. H. Ku, D. F. Phillips, and R. L. Walsworth, Quantum Sci. Technol. 6, 024011 (2021). Reproduced by permission of IOP Publishing Ltd.

III. NITROGEN-VACANCY CENTERS IN DIAMOND

Nitrogen-vacancy centers (NVs) in diamond are composed of a nitrogen atom and an adjacent vacancy in a diamond crystal (see Figure 4a) and can be classified according to their orientation in four crystallographic directions. NV centers exhibit quantized energy levels with optical and spin properties that are similar to atomic systems. The NV energy levels couple to magnetic fields, electric fields, temperature, and strain within the diamond lattice; the resulting effects can be sensitively measured optically, allowing NV centers to function as quantum sensors with good sensitivity and nanoscale spatial resolution under ambient conditions. In particular, the NV center is a two-electron system with a triplet spin-1 ground state that typically has a longitudinal relaxation time $T_1 \approx 6\text{ ms}$ and decoherence times $T_2$ of up to a few ms at room temperature.

**Ground state spin Hamiltonian.** With a bias magnetic field aligned along the NV symmetry axis and a bias magnetic field $B$ along the NV symmetry axis, the ground state spin Hamiltonian is given by:

$$
H = \frac{1}{2} g_B \mu_B B \cdot S_z
$$

where $g_B$ is the Landé g-factor, $\mu_B$ is the Bohr magneton, and $S_z$ is the $z$ component of the angular momentum. The relaxation time $T_1$ and decoherence time $T_2$ are determined by the spin-lattice relaxation and spin-spin relaxation rates, respectively. The relaxation and decoherence times are typically measured by spin-echo experiments.

**Localization of WIMP/neutrino signal.** With a reasonable allocation of synchrotron beam time, scanning the micron-scale volumes of interest should also be feasible.
FIG. 4. Overview of nitrogen-vacancy (NV) centers in diamond. (a) Schematic of diamond lattice hosting an NV center. (b) NV electronic energy level diagram, illustrating triplet ground and excited state as well as singlet states. Intersystem crossing (ISC) through singlet states yields a nonradiative decay pathway. Inset: Ground state spin energy levels. On excitation by green light, the ISC nonradiative decay path results in dimming of $m_s = \pm 1$ states’ red fluorescence relative to that of $m_s = 0$. MW frequencies of $\omega_{\pm}$ can be used to drive spin transitions. Further hyperfine splitting results from electronic spin-nuclear spin interactions (not shown). (c) Simplified schematic of a quantum diamond microscope (QDM). Permanent magnets and/or Helmholtz coils (not shown) provide a bias magnetic field to allow spectral resolution of NV spin states via optically detected magnetic resonance (ODMR). Green illumination is used to initialize and read out the spin state. MW delivery via the waveguide can drive spin transitions. A microscope objective collects red fluorescence and focuses it on a camera (not shown). (d) Schematic of ODMR data: NV fluorescence as a function of MW frequency. Temperature-dependent zero-field-splitting parameter and local strain determine the center frequency, while the axial bias magnetic field determines the splitting between them. The spin-1/2 nuclear spin of $^{15}$N contributes to the double resonance structure (splitting of about 3.05 MHz); the $^{14}$N spin-1 nucleus would produce triplet hyperfine energies instead (splitting of about 2.16 MHz).

$z$, the simplified NV electronic ground state Hamiltonian can be written as

$$\frac{H_{gs}}{\hbar} \simeq (D + M_z)S_z^2 + \gamma B_z S_z. \quad (1)$$

$D \simeq 2.87 \text{ GHz}$ is the zero-field-splitting (ZFS) parameter at room temperature, resulting from the spin-spin interactions between the two unpaired NV electrons. ZFS varies with temperature as $dD/dT \simeq -74.2 \text{ kHz/K}^{131}$, which is the origin of the NV’s use as a nanoscale thermometer. $M_z$ is the spin-strain coupling parameter, which can be converted to a measure of lattice strain using proper coupling constants.$^{132}$ We ignore other strain-coupling parameters since their contribution is subdominant.$^{130}$ The last term is the Zeeman Hamiltonian, which splits the $m_s = \pm 1$ eigenstates. The NV electronic gyromagnetic ratio $\gamma = g_e \mu_B / \hbar \simeq 28.03 \text{ GHz/T}$, where $g_e \simeq 2.003$ is the NV electronic $g$-factor, $\mu_B$ is the Bohr magneton and $\hbar$ is the Planck’s constant. Hamiltonian (1) leads to transition frequencies

$$\omega_{\pm} \simeq (D + M_z) \pm \gamma B_z. \quad (2)$$

An energy level diagram of the NV ground state is shown in Figure 4b (inset). The transitions are in the microwave (MW) frequency range, and can be resonantly driven using MW fields of corresponding frequency.

**Spin-dependent fluorescence.** NVs can be driven to an excited electronic state by green laser illumination, followed by decay back to the ground state via fluorescence in the red spectrum. In addition, there is a nonradiative decay path for the $m_s = \pm 1$ excited state to decay to the $m_s = 0$ ground state, which enables spin-state-dependent optical readout and preparation (see Figure 4b). Resonant MW driving of spin transitions in the NV ground state, in conjunction with the optically-driven dynamics, allows optical determination of the NV spin state through optically detected magnetic resonance.
Ramsey measurements. Measurement protocols based on quantum interferometry have been successfully and robustly implemented in NV systems. Here we outline a basic Ramsey protocol to introduce the measurement principle (see Figure 5); in Sec. IV A, we present our recent advances in engineered strain-sensitive sensing protocols\(^{133}\). Ramsey measurements with NVs use a green laser pulse to initialize the spin state into \(m_s = 0\). The spin-polarized state is then driven into a coherent superposition state by means of a resonant MW pulse (the so-called \(\pi/2\) pulse), which is then allowed to evolve uninterrupted for a period of time. The spin states that comprise the superposition state accumulate a differential phase during the free evolution. Importantly, this differential phase accumulation depends on the transition frequencies encoding the NV coupling to the environment. During the final MW pulse, the evolved NV phase is projected onto a difference in population between \(m_s = 0\) and \(m_s = \pm 1\), manifested as interferometry fringes in the measured NV fluorescence due to the different average fluorescence rates of these spin states (see Figure 5c).

Ensemble NV-diamond. Diamonds with ensembles of NV centers provide higher SNR than single NV systems, leveraging statistical averaging over multiple spin sensors (scaling as square root of the number of NVs). Additionally, ensemble of NVs enable widefield imaging via parallel measurement of the spatially distributed NVs using a camera-based detector. High-sensitivity applications using diamond samples with NV concentrations \(\gtrsim\) ppm (10\(^{17}\) per cm\(^3\)) have been demonstrated\(^{134-138}\). However, one of the main challenges in using NV ensembles is inhomogeneous dephasing (due to spatial variations in magnetic fields, diamond strain, etc.), leading to a reduced spin dephasing time \(T_2^*\) (illustrated as the exponentially decaying envelope of the Ramsey curve in Figure 5c) and broadened ODMR spectral lines. To extend the NV spin dephasing and coherence times, material engineering techniques and dynamical decoupling sequences have been employed, with further improvements envisioned as well\(^{138}\).

IV. METHODS FOR PARTICLE DIRECTIONAL DETECTION IN DIAMOND

A. Optical diffraction-limited strain spectroscopy

In this section, we discuss using nitrogen-vacancy (NV) centers for micron-scale localization of WIMP or neutrino-induced lattice damage. Strain features can occur in CVD diamond as localized deformations within the crystal lattice because of imperfections propagated during growth and imperfections in surface processing\(^{39,139}\). A variety of methods have been developed for measuring and mitigating such strain features in diamond devices\(^{39,40,140}\). However, these methods rarely meet all the requirements of the directional dark matter detector proposal (see Sec. II C). The spatial resolution of birefringence imaging is limited since the signal is integrated over the entire diamond thickness\(^{130,141}\). Raman spectroscopy suffers from a relatively high detection noise floor\(^{142,143}\). X-ray tomography and microscopy involve lengthy data acquisition times as well as access to synchrotron beamlines\(^{144,145}\).

An alternative method is, however, quantum-point-defect strain spectroscopy, which offers both the speed and sensitivity required for Step II of the directional dark matter detection procedure, as outlined above. NV ground state spin transitions are sensitive to local strain (see Sec. III); therefore, spectroscopic measurements can be used to detect local strain features using NV centers as integrated quantum sensors\(^{130,146}\). Recent developments in NV strain spectroscopy suggest promising prospects for diamond-based directional dark matter detectors\(^{133}\).

As discussed previously\(^{24}\), we model the strain induced by each WIMP or neutrino-induced vacancy in the lattice as a cylinder of uniform strain \(10^{-6}\) with height and radius 30 nm, while the strain outside the cylinder falls off as \(1/r^3\) (see Sec. II C). Based on this model, Figure 6 shows the fraction of NV centers experiencing a given strain for a 10 keV recoil, which results in about 50 induced vacancies (Figure 6c). We consider two scenarios for damage track localization: a resolved volume of about 0.1 \(\mu\)m\(^3\) with diffraction-limited 350 nm lateral resolution and 1 \(\mu\)m axial resolution (Figure 6a); and a resolved volume of 1 \(\mu\)m\(^3\) with three-dimensional \(\mu\)m resolution (Figure 6b). NV centers are most sensitive to strain projections onto their symmetry axes. Depending on the relative orientation and location of crystal damage and point defects within the resolved voxel, the strains projected onto different NV centers could add constructively or average to zero.
In the former case, we compute the mean averaged strain (leading to frequency shifts), and in the latter case, we calculate the standard deviation of the strain distribution (leading to line broadening and a reduction in NV spin dephasing time). A small number of NVs exhibit considerably higher strain levels $>10^{-5}$, whose effect on the signal depends on experimental details. Combining different cases, the voxel-averaged strain signal ranges between $1 \times 10^{-7}$ and $3 \times 10^{-6}\text{ppm}$.

NV strain imaging is typically performed using a quantum diamond microscope (QDM)\textsuperscript{104} to detect strain-induced modulations in optically detected magnetic resonance (ODMR) spectra\textsuperscript{104,130,146} (see Figure 4c and 4d). However, the limited sensitivity of this approach would require lengthy averaging times (many days) to detect WIMP-induced strain and hence render it unsuitable for dark matter detection. The implementation of Ramsey-like measurements in a QDM, however, provides fast and sensitive measurements (~few hours). The strain Carr-Purcell-Meiboom-Gill (strain-CPMG) sequence, illustrated in Figure 7, is a Ramsey-like sequence that is suitable for strain sensing\textsuperscript{133} (and thermometry in certain applications\textsuperscript{147-151}). The protocol is insensitive to magnetic inhomogeneities arising from the electronic and nuclear spin bath within the diamond lattice, allowing longer NV ensemble spin dephasing times and hence enhanced strain sensitivity. In particular, by exchanging population between $|m_s = -1\rangle$ and $|m_s = +1\rangle$ levels (which have opposite dependence on magnetic field) during the free evolution time of a Ramsey sequence, the magnetic-field-induced precession cancels (Figure 7) and the strain sensitivity is greatly enhanced\textsuperscript{133}.

We describe details of the spin evolution during the strain-CPMG protocol here. We first spin polarize the NV ground state to $|m_s = 0\rangle$. After that, we prepare an equal superposition of $|0\rangle$ and $|−1\rangle$ by applying a microwave (MW) $\pi/2$ pulse resonant with the $|0\rangle \leftrightarrow |−1\rangle$ transition. The NV spins accumulate a relative phase with the rate of $D + M_z - \gamma B_z$ (see eq. (1)) during the free evolution of this superposition state. Following that, we apply triplets of MW $\pi$-pulses, switching between the $|0\rangle \leftrightarrow |−1\rangle$ and $|0\rangle \leftrightarrow |+1\rangle$ transitions in order to collectively swap the NVs from $|−1\rangle$ to $|+1\rangle$. As a result, during the middle free evolution time $\tau/2$ the phase accumulation rate is $D + M_z + \gamma B_z$. We next apply a reverse swap pulse to transfer the spin population from $|+1\rangle$ back to $|−1\rangle$ and phase accumulation continues for another $\tau/4$ duration. The final accumulated NV phase is independent of $B_z$ and only sensitive to $D + M_z$. The last MW $\pi/2$ pulse projects the accumulated phase onto the NV population difference in the $|0\rangle$ and $|−1\rangle$ states, which we read out using the spin-dependent fluorescence measurement discussed in Sec. III.

The strain-CPMG protocol employed on a QDM enabled us to perform high-precision strain mapping at micron-scale resolution and with mm-scale field-of-view\textsuperscript{133}. The technical details of this work are

FIG. 5. Principle of Ramsey measurement protocol in NV systems. (a) Ramsey pulse sequence. See text for details. (b) Illustration of population in each NV spin state at each point in the Ramsey pulse sequence. Following the green initialization pulse, the spin is polarized at $m_s = 0$. The first microwave $\pi/2$ pulse induces an equal superposition of $m_s = 0$ and $m_s = -1$ states. During free evolution, the two spin state components in the superposition acquire a differential phase due to the effect of terms in the Hamiltonian (1). The second $\pi/2$ pulse projects the phase onto population difference. Note that in this protocol the $m_s = +1$ state does not play a significant role due to the choice to use microwave pulses resonant with $\omega_x$. (c) Schematic NV fluorescence contrast curve as a function of free evolution time. Interferometry fringes reflect the phase accumulated during the free evolution period, which can be $>2\pi$. Inhomogeneous phase evolution causes an overall decay in an ensemble of NV centers on a timescale $T_2^*$. 

In the former case, we compute the mean averaged strain (leading to frequency shifts), and in the latter case, we calculate the standard deviation of the strain distribution (leading to line broadening and a reduction in NV spin dephasing time). A small number of NVs exhibit considerably higher strain levels $>10^{-5}$, whose effect on the signal depends on experimental details. Combining different cases, the voxel-averaged strain signal ranges between $1 \times 10^{-7}$ and $3 \times 10^{-6}\text{ppm}$.
presented in ref. 133, but here we summarize the most important implications for directional dark matter detection. We use a low-strain CVD bulk diamond grown by Element Six Ltd. with NV concentrations of about 0.4 ppm. The results obtained with this diamond sample are a promising example of state-of-the-art diamond growth technology relevant to the dark matter detection proposal. This sample is isotopically purified with 99.995% 12C; however, we expect that the strain-CPMG sequence will not be significantly affected by higher 13C spin concentration with nuclear spin $I = 1/2$ (this reduced requirement might simplify the crystal growth process for scaled-up dark matter detectors). In particular, our strain-CPMG measurements exhibit about three times longer dephasing times than the basic Ramsey protocol, showing strain-CPMG’s ability to reduce the effects of inhomogeneous magnetic noise.

In the first step, we employ a confocal microscope to limit the interrogation volume to micron scale, and characterize the volume-normalized strain sensitivity of the method; we obtain an unprecedented value of $5(2) \times 10^{-8}/\sqrt{\text{Hz} \cdot \mu\text{m}^{-3}}$, surpassing previous work 130 by two orders of magnitude. To map mm-scale areas, we use a widefield-imaging QDM employing the strain-CPMG protocol, because confocal scanning is excessively time-consuming. Figure 8 shows the widefield imaging results. A mm-scale strain map is obtained by registering multiple fields-of-view of $150 \times 150 \mu\text{m}^2$ each with one second of data acquisition (Figure 8a). We detect strain features of strength $\sim 10^{-6}$ at micron-scale. Large areas of diamond sample with sub-$10^{-7}$ strain variations are observed, indicating promising prospects for diamonds used to detect dark matter. An example of such a low-strain region is shown in Figure 8b, and a distribution of one-second pixel Allan deviations is shown in Figure 8e. Furthermore, post
processing might be performed in order to improve spatially resolving WIMP/neutrino-induced strains; intrinsic strain features can be spatially filtered, for instance, using high-pass filters or with modern machine learning-based methods proposed in similar contexts\textsuperscript{152}. Because of the fast decay of the signal, interferometric measurements are less sensitive in pixels with large strain variations. These pixels, for example, can be found near the center or edge of strain features. We can measure the amplitude of the interferometry curve using the two quadratures of the interferometry signal (see ref.\textsuperscript{133} for details). Figure 8d shows an example of how we use this information to identify pixels with high strain gradients.

Since the QDM used for measurements reported in Figure 8 does not impose any further depth restrictions beyond the focal plane of the objective, sufficient z-resolution for 3D micron-scale localization remains to be demonstrated. Even high numerical aperture optics, which restrict depth of field in principle, collect out-of-focus light, especially given the high refractive index of diamond. However, high axial resolution can be achieved using optical sectioning methods which remove out-of-focus light contributions\textsuperscript{154}. Structured illumination microscopy (SIM)\textsuperscript{155,156} and light-sheet microscopy (LSM)\textsuperscript{157,158} are two well-established optical sectioning methods that have numerous applications, particularly in the life sciences. These techniques can be adapted to NV-diamond microscopy.

In the SIM method, a known spatially varying illumination pattern is created via introducing an optical grating. After acquiring a set of images with different phases of the illumination pattern, post-processing removes the superimposed pattern, as well as out-of-focus contributions encoded in modulations of the introduced pattern. SIM is a wide-field technique, which is crucial for the fast micron-scale localization in the proposed directional WIMP detection scheme. It also has the advantage of simple technical implementation that is compatible with other microscopy techniques\textsuperscript{155}. However, SIM typically involves illumination patterns that are not uniform in all directions, which leads to direction-dependent artifacts in the reconstructed images. Such artifacts can be reduced (or vetoed) by applying the pattern at different angles or using different patterns\textsuperscript{159}. SIM has been used to achieve super-resolution imaging of near-surface NV patterns in a bulk diamond\textsuperscript{159}, however, implementation of SIM to achieve \( \mu m \) z-resolution remains to be demonstrated.

In the LSM method, a sheet of light is passed through the sample and the induced fluorescence is collected through a separate objective. In most cases, Gaussian beams and cylindrical lenses are used to generate the light sheet. The light sheet and collection axis are typically perpendicular, with the collection objective aligned so that its focal volume matches the light sheet. Fast and high-resolution 3D microscopy can be achieved using LSM. Although LSM has its advantages, there are also some challenges: e.g., broadening or attenuation of light sheets, scattering and absorption of fluorescence light generated deep within a sample, sample-induced aberrations, and well-known stripe artifacts resulting from absorption and scattering from the illumination side of the sample\textsuperscript{157}. It is possible to mitigate some of the mentioned effects in post-processing or even during image acquisition through modified LSM implementations\textsuperscript{157}. A potential approach to counteract sample-induced aberration is to use adaptive optics tools, which have shown utility in a variety of applications, such as astronomical telescopes\textsuperscript{160} and biomedical imaging\textsuperscript{161}. LSM has been implemented in an NV-diamond experiment to characterize microwave devices\textsuperscript{162}, although the light sheet thickness of 14 \( \mu m \) does not yet meet requirements for dark matter detection. LSM is a rapidly advancing technique, particularly in the life sciences. Achieving diamond strain spectroscopy with three-dimensional um-scale resolution will require adaptation of state-of-the-art LSM methods to NV-diamond microscopy.

While 3D resolution \( \sim \mu m^3 \) has not been demonstrated, the volume-normalized sensitivity measured by a confocal scanning QDM shows that detection of WIMP-induced strain features should be possible. Assuming the reported time and volume-normalized sensitivities, the minimum estimated voxel-averaged strain signal (\( \sim 10^{-7} \)) could be detected in a mm\textsuperscript{3} diamond within 9 hours using a widefield QDM setup. Additionally, the technical simplicity and relatively low cost of QDMs makes it possible to use multiple setups simultaneously, e.g., to probe different sections of a mm-scale diamond chip; or several mm-scale chips in parallel, if needed, depending on the rate of neutrino and WIMP interaction. Thus, the localization time is well within the benchmark of three days.

B. Fluorescence detection of defect creation

Under high-temperature annealing, diamond lattice vacancies become mobile and can be captured by fixed nitrogen impurities, forming nitrogen-vacancy (NV) centers\textsuperscript{163–166}. This NV center creation mech-
FIG. 8. 2D strain map of a diamond chip generated with a quantum diamond microscope (QDM) using the strain-CPMG measurement protocol. (a) Manually-registered array of multiple 150 × 150 µm² strain images, covering a mm-scale area of the NV-diamond sample, with a 2D pixel size of about 0.5 µm². Each field-of-view is acquired in one second. Since diamond is an excellent thermal conductor, the temperature is constant over the entire sample area for a single measurement, however, temperature drift between multiple measurements causes minor artifacts in the concatenated image. (b) An example of a low-strain region. (c) Typical intrinsic strain features are detected. (d) Interferometry curve amplitude (refer to ref. 133 for definition of the visibility parameter $\nu_{XY}$) in a high-strain-gradient region with degraded dephasing time. (e) Distribution of the pixel Allan deviation after one second of averaging data in the low-strain region (b). Reprinted with permission from M. C. Marshall, R. Ebadi, C. Hart, M. J. Turner, M. J. H. Ku, D. F. Phillips, and R. L. Walsworth, Phys. Rev. Applied 17, 024041 (2022). Copyright (2022) by the American Physical Society.

Diamonds of low pre-existing NV concentration and high nitrogen impurity content offer favorable prospects of localizing WIMP damage tracks using an NV creation scheme. A high concentration of pre-existing NV centers would present a large background for WIMP-induced NVs. HPHT-grown type Ib diamonds typically contain few vacancies and pre-existing NV centers, while nitrogen concentration can be as high as a few hundred ppm. The initial scans reported in ref. 24 find no more than two NV centers in the diffraction-limited volume of such a diamond sample (see Figure 9). Assum-
ing similar NV background in a scaled up diamond detector material, three or more NVs created for each WIMP event would be sufficient for diffraction-limited damage track localization. Simulations suggest that each WIMP scattering event results in $O(10 - 100)$ lattice vacancies (see Figure 6c). However, not all the vacancies form NV centers during high-temperature annealing – competing processes like recombination of a vacancy with an interstitial carbon atom or formation of vacancy clusters reduce the NV yield$^{163-165}$. In order to determine the minimum detectable recoil energy, NV yield must be characterized for different incident particle energies and annealing parameters. An understanding of NV creation efficiency can be gained from molecular dynamics simulations of induced damage and annealing processes$^{164}$. Additionally, the described characterization can be performed experimentally employing focused ion beam (FIB) systems (see Sec. V).

If damage localization through NV creation proves to be effective, a crucial aspect of this method that needs to be demonstrated is maintaining the directionality and head/tail asymmetry of the damage tracks (see Figure 2) during high-temperature annealing. For the 3D directional information to be retained, vacancy travel range must be less than 10 nanometers since damage track length scale is expected to be several tens of nanometers. Twenty nitrogen atoms are located within a 3 nm travel range in a type Ib diamond with 200 ppm nitrogen concentration; the expected vacancy capture probability of $> 1\%^{164}$ suggests minor damage geometry deformation. The amount of directional signal wash-out caused by annealing can be assessed by nanoscale analysis of the damage track induced by single ion implantation before and after annealing. Note that the high nitrogen content in type Ib diamonds may help preserve the head/tail asymmetry, but it reduces the NV coherence time, which negatively affects nanoscale mapping using conventional superresolution Ramsey-type measurements (see Sec. IV D). The strain-CMPG protocol can be employed to extend the coherence time by mitigating magnetic noise of the nitrogen content. Alternatively, the directionality readout could be achieved by resolving the positions of individual NVs or using x-ray diffraction microscopy$^{145}$.

C. X-ray diffraction microscopy

Scanning x-ray diffraction microscopy (SXDM) offers a method to map crystal strain features in 3D at the nanoscale$^{24}$. In a recent study$^{145}$, using the Hard x-ray Nanoprobe (HXN) at Argonne National Laboratory’s Advanced Photon Source$^{181}$, we demonstrated SXDM’s capabilities for reconstructing in 3D the expected strain induced in diamond by a WIMP or neutrino creating a damage track. HXN uses a monochromatic beam of hard x-rays focused to a spot size of $10 - 25$ nm$^{182,183}$. A pixelated photon counter records the spatial pattern of diffracted photons as the spot moves across the sample. These diffraction patterns encode information about local crystallographic deformations$^{184}$. The spot size resolution of about 10 nm is expected to be sufficient for directional signal determination, but even higher resolutions can be achieved, for example, using Bragg projection ptychography$^{185-187}$.

Figure 10 shows a high resolution ($\sim 20$ nm) scan of strain features in an HPHT diamond sample, demonstrating the ability to resolve features with sufficient spatial and strain resolution to detect WIMP or neutrino-induced damage tracks. Using the strain model associated with WIMP-induced vacancies (see Sec. II C), the expected damage strain from a 10 keV nuclear recoil is $1.8 \times 10^{-4}$ at a 30 nm spot. Ref.$^{145}$ reports a strain sensitivity of about $1.6 \times 10^{-4}$. Therefore, the WIMP signal should be detectable. The CVD diamond sample used for this

![Image](https://via.placeholder.com/150)

**FIG. 9.** Nitrogen-vacancy center background in type Ib HPHT diamonds. The measurements are carried out using a scanning confocal microscope. The number of NVs is determined based on the normalized intensity of fluorescence at each site. (a) Typical field of view illustrating intrinsic NV centers. (b) Distribution of pre-existing NV centers in diffraction-limited spots. Approximately $10^5 \mu m^2$ volume of diamond from three different samples is scanned. A maximum of two NVs per spot is found. Note that the low background facilitates fast confocal scanning; with about 100 $\mu$s of dwell time, a mm-scale diamond can be scanned within one day. From M. C. Marshall, M. J. Turner, M. J. H. Ku, D. F. Phillips, and R. L. Walsworth, Quantum Sci. Technol. 6, 024011 (2021). Reproduced by permission of IOP Publishing Ltd.
FIG. 10. SXDM map of strain features in HPHT diamond at a length scale similar to that expected for WIMP signals are detected using SXDM. The results demonstrate SXDM’s ability to resolve damage caused by WIMP or neutrino in diamond crystals. Scanning step size is 22 nm. Reprinted with permission from M. C. Marshall, D. F. Phillips, M. J. Turner, M. J. H. Ku, T. Zhou, N. Delegan, F. J. Heremans, M. V. Holt, and R. L. Walsworth, Phys. Rev. Applied 16, 054032 (2021). Copyright (2021) by the American Physical Society.

Sensitivity analysis includes multi-layer strain structures, which complicate the analysis and possibly reduce the sensitivity. Uniform diamond samples with low strain, ideal for detecting dark matter, can result in up to about an order of magnitude lower strain detection floor. In addition, scanning the crystal from different Bragg angles allows for 3D reconstruction of strain features. In Figure 11, intrinsic growth strain features are scanned at two different Bragg angles and 3D reconstructed using a model described in ref. 145. In summary, SXDM proves the ability to detect directional damage in diamond by combining high spatial resolution, high strain resolution, and 3D reconstruction capabilities.

Preexisting localized sub-micron strain features can be identified as “false positive” dark matter signals. A nanoscale study of such intrinsic small-scale strain features in CVD diamond samples is crucial for the realization of diamond-based detectors. Figure 12 illustrates an initial background search in a limited scanning region. In this survey, we do not find any false positives. We do not see any localized sub-micron features in Figure 12a. We detect features in Figure 12b that are 100 nm wide, but they extend over a few microns in one dimension, which is inconsistent with WIMP or neutrino-induced damage tracks. Even though further background characterization is still needed, the results suggest that defect patterns in CVD diamond are either pointlike or extended, thus, distinguishable from WIMP or neutrino signals. The observed defect spatial structure is also consistent with those expected of CVD samples treated by high-temperature annealing 189,188.

At present, only a few synchrotron facilities worldwide offer hard x-ray nanobeams with suitable resolution and sensitivity 181,189,190 and high-resolution scans are rather time-consuming. However, with accurate optical localization for candidate WIMP events, the damage track direction for all WIMP events could be determined with a reasonable amount of x-ray beam time. Furthermore, the detector segments would need to be adequately shielded against cosmic rays in transit from the underground detector site to the synchrotron facility. (That being said, we note that the shielding in transit can be less extensive than that in the underground facility with the $O(m^3)$ full detector, because the expected event rate in a small $\mu m^3$ volume of interest is much lower.)
D. Superresolution NV microscopy

In addition to hard x-ray diffraction microscopy, superresolution techniques can also be used to resolve directional WIMP tracks below the optical diffraction limit\cite{23,24}. We summarize the current state-of-the-art in the field and outline a path toward three-dimensional reconstruction of WIMP tracks.

Superresolution techniques are mainly being applied to NV-diamond systems in the context of nanoscale magnetic resonance imaging\cite{103,191,192}. By using relevant measurement protocols, such as strain-CPMG, these techniques can be adapted for strain sensing. One class of techniques uses a secondary doughnut-shaped optical beam (created via a helical waveplate), superimposed with the Gaussian-shaped excitation beam, to suppress NV fluorescence signals from everywhere except the low-intensity central region of the doughnut beam. The nonlinear nature of such suppression allows location of the NV emitter to much below the diffraction limit, down to about 10 nm. For example, STED\cite{193-195} causes stimulated emission depletion under the doughnut; CSD\cite{196,197} produces NV\textsuperscript{−} to NV\textsuperscript{0} photoionization under the doughnut, which makes them less relevant to sensing; and spin-RESOLFT\cite{198,199} eliminates the sensing information stored in all NV spins except those in the doughnut center after the sensing protocol has been applied (and before spin-state readout). A second class of methods uses stochastic superresolution microscopy techniques such as STORM\cite{200,201} and PALM. However, stochastic methods appear challenging to use in high-NV density regimes. Finally, gradients of external magnetic fields can be used to achieve sub-diffraction-limit resolution\cite{202-205}. A large magnetic gradient over a small region in diamond induces a strong spatial variation of Zeeman splittings in NVs distributed within that region; this allows one to spatially resolve NVs using a tunable MW frequency source. Magnetic gradients are also used in Fourier magnetic imaging, which uses pulsed magnetic field gradients to encode the position information of an ensemble of NVs in a spatial modulation of their spin coherence phase (i.e., in spatial Fourier or k-space); this spatial information is not limited by optical diffraction and a real-space image can be extracted via Fourier transform after acquiring the data. Similarly, spatial MW gradients have also been shown to enable sub-diffraction-limit resolution of NVs\cite{206}.

In Table I, we summarize the results reported on superresolution techniques in NV-diamond systems.

All of the methods discussed achieve or approach the benchmark resolution of 20 nm needed to detect directionally oriented WIMP signals. The demonstrations, however, have been limited to thin NV layers or samples with sparse NV centers, passively imposing 3D resolution. In order to adapt these techniques for WIMP track reconstruction, it is important to integrate depth-resolution into any of the methods. The 3D analogue of doughnut-shape illumination has been attempted, reaching about 100 nm z-resolution\cite{208,209}. However, the high index of refraction of diamond might impede further progress in this route for achieving 3D resolution of 20 nm.

For the reconstruction of neutrino or WIMP-induced strain (damage track) in three dimensions, we propose a combined technique: STED, CSD, and spin-RESOLFT methods can be used for achieving lateral resolution, while simultaneous magnetic field gradients can be used for achieving depth resolution\cite{24}. Figure 13 illustrates this scheme. Using this combined method, it is estimated that it will take about 11 hours to scan a 1 \(\mu\text{m}^3\) volume with 10 nm resolution in all three dimensions\cite{24}. In addition, to avoid complications of mapping out the damage track deep in the diamond, fast and low-strain etching methods\cite{39,210,211} can be employed to have the damage track closer to the surface instead of deep in the bulk. Spectroscopic methods such as spin-RESOLFT and Fourier imaging require good quan-
| Method                | Resolution | Modality            | Ref. |
|----------------------|------------|---------------------|------|
| STED                 | $\sim 10$ nm | Real space, Scanning | 193  |
| SIL-STED             | $\sim 2.5$ nm | Real space, Scanning | 194  |
| CSD                  | $\sim 4$ nm | Real space, Scanning | 196  |
| spin-RESOLFT         | $\sim 20$ nm | Real space, Scanning | 199  |
| SAM                  | $\sim 17$ nm | Real space, Scanning | 207  |
| STORM                | $\sim 25$ nm | Real space, Widefield | 201  |
| Magnetic gradient    | $\sim 30$ nm | Fourier space, Widefield | 202  |
| MW gradient          | $\sim 100$ nm | Real space, Widefield | 206  |

TABLE I. Superresolution techniques demonstrated in NV-diamond sensing. STED: stimulated emission depletion. SIL: solid immersion lenses fabricated directly in the diamond. CSD: charge state depletion. spin-RESOLFT: spin measurement using reversible saturable optical linear fluorescence transitions. STORM: stochastic optical reconstruction microscopy. SAM: Super-resolution Airy disk Microscopy.

V. SENSITIVITY CHARACTERIZATION VIA SINGLE ION IMPLANTATION

Thus far, we have discussed methods that enable the localization of WIMP and neutrino damage tracks and retrieval of the directional information encoded in the track geometry and orientation. Here, we present a method to generate injected signals that can be used to determine the directional sensitivity of the detector.

State-of-the-art focused ion beams (FIB) offer single ion number resolution, low-energy ions, high spatial resolution, as well as high mass resolution. In the ion implanter at Ion Beam Laboratory (IBL) at Sandia National Laboratories (SNL), the ions are extracted from liquid metal alloy ions sources (LMAIS), which allows working with a third of the atoms in the periodic table. Electrostatic ExB filters incorporated in the setup provide mass resolution of $M/\Delta M > 61$. A fast beam-blanker is implemented with a minimum pulse time of about 16 ns. Lastly, the electrostatic objective lens provides submicron ion beam spots. The combination of these features makes this setup a suitable platform for generating single-particle-induced damage tracks in diamond, simulating WIMP or neutrino-induced recoil tracks.

With fast beam blanking, pulsed ion implantation can achieve low ion numbers. In spite of this, as the number of ions in a fixed pulsed time is determined by Poisson statistics, there is large relative uncertainty regarding the number of ions in a pulse at low ion counts. In situ ion counting is a method developed to overcome the Poissonian uncertainty. In this method, illustrated in Figure 14, metallic pads with a separation of about 10 microns are fabricated on the sample surface. Ion beams are focused...
on the gap between the pads. During the implantation, the pads are biased with a DC voltage and are connected to a collection circuit for monitoring the charge generation due to ion implantation, i.e., ion-induced electron-hole production. The following method uses a charge collection system to achieve precise single ion implantation. Based on the beam-off charge readout, a lower threshold for the collection circuit voltage is defined. The mean ion number per pulse during the implantation is set to a small number (e.g., \( \langle \text{ion number/pulse} \rangle \lesssim 0.1 \)). Poisson statistics suggests that there are mostly zero ions per pulse, but occasionally there are one or more (but mostly one ion). As the implantation continues pulse by pulse at the same site, the readout voltage is monitored and compared to the pre-determined threshold. As soon as the voltage passes the threshold, implantation is stopped at that site. Besides threshold-based implantation, the full distribution of ion-induced voltage can also be used in post-processing to reduce the ion-number uncertainty. A recent example is the use of this method for silicon implantation on diamond with a 5% ion number error.

We propose using single carbon ion implantation experiments to generate WIMP-like signals in diamond. The implanted ion will interact with native diamond lattice carbon atoms initiating nuclear recoils, much like the initial recoil caused by WIMP or neutrino interactions. The single-ion implantation can be used to test both methods of localization described in Sections IV A and IV B. For NV-based strain spectroscopy, the implantation will take place in a high-quality CVD diamond with an ensemble of NV centers. The ion-induced strain signal can then be examined and detector efficiency can be determined as a function of implantation energy (corresponding to recoil energy, thus the WIMP mass). For NV creation, an HPHT diamond sample with low NV density and high nitrogen density will be used. During high-temperature annealing, some ion-induced vacancies will combine with the nitrogen atoms, forming NV centers that can be detected with NV fluorescence microscopy. The use of such an experimental method will provide insight into NV creation efficiency and the effects of annealing on initial damage track distortion.

VI. SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK

Results from upcoming generations of WIMP detectors are likely to approach the “neutrino floor”, where coherent scattering of low-energy neutrinos will be detected. Like WIMPs, neutrinos induce nuclear recoils in a target. They scatter at energies relevant to WIMP searches, meaning standard background discrimination techniques cannot reject them. Without discrimination between WIMP and the neutrino events, identifying WIMPs below the neutrino floor will require detecting annual modulation atop the neutrino background, demanding several dozen events to achieve a five-sigma discovery of a new particle. A directional particle WIMP detector could reject the solar neutrino background in the early stages of operation, and then other astrophysical and terrestrial neutrinos in the later stages as they become relevant at higher exposures. Further, a directional detector could reveal a WIMP signal’s cosmological origin, and potentially improve our understanding of local dynamical DM structures. Solid-state directional detectors are especially attractive for probing WIMP cross-sections below the neutrino floor. Their high target density contrasts well to existing directional detection methods with gaseous and emulsion targets. In addition, the proposed solid-state detector using quantum defects in diamond would provide timing information as well as complete three-dimensional information via head/tail asymmetric signals (similar to the well-developed gas TPC detectors.)

Detector principle. Wide-bandgap semiconductors such as diamond and silicon carbide have been proposed as a target for solid-state WIMP detection. Their good semiconductor properties and lower-mass nuclei provide an advantageous sensitivity profile compared to existing detectors. These materials can be lab-grown with high purity and homogeneous crystal structure; a WIMP event in
such a crystal would leave a characteristic track of damage, with the crystal acting as a “frozen bubble chamber” recording the direction of the incident particle. The crystal damage track results from the cascade of secondary nuclear recoils initiated when a WIMP (or neutrino) impacts a target nucleus; simulations for a diamond target indicate measurable orientation and head/tail asymmetry down to 1–3 keV of recoil energy. The shape and orientation of this damage track can be read out via spectroscopy of quantum point defects in the crystal such as nitrogen-vacancy (NV)\(^{38,103–105}\) and silicon-vacancy (SiV)\(^{106}\) color centers in diamond, and divacancies\(^{107,108}\) in silicon carbide.

A directional detector based on solid-state point defects in a semiconductor could take advantage not only of a large target mass, but also of intensive development of instrumentation for WIMP detectors based on silicon or germanium\(^{34–37}\). Detector segments could be instrumented with charge or phonon collectors such as transition edge sensors, or scintillation photons could be collected from the detector bulk. When a crystal segment triggers one of these detection methods, the event time would be recorded and the segment would be removed from the detector for directional analysis, while the remainder of the detector continues to accumulate exposure (Sec. II C).

Damage tracks from WIMP and neutrino events will be tens or hundreds of nanometers long, requiring a two-step process to extract the directional information. Fortunately, both steps can be built upon techniques established in the fields of solid-state quantum sensing and quantum information processing. First, diffraction-limited optics can be used to resolve the position of the damage track to a sub-micron voxel within the millimeter-scale detector segment (sections IV A and IV B). Second, optical superresolution techniques (Sec. IV D) and/or high-resolution x-ray nanoscopy (Sec. IV C) can be used to measure the damage track structure at the nanometer scale.

**Directional readout technologies.** Initial simulations and experimental demonstrations of these techniques have focused on NV centers in diamond, as the NV is the most widely used and best-characterized quantum defect.\(^{38}\) Consisting of a lattice site vacancy adjacent to a substitutional nitrogen defect, the NV is an electronic spin-1 system featuring optical initialization and readout of the spin state, and microwave transition frequencies sensitive to local crystal strain (as well as magnetic and electric fields, and temperature); see Sec. III. The damage track from a neutrino or WIMP-induced recoil cascade would induce significant strain on nearby NV centers, which can be measured via shifts in their spin transition frequencies. Diffraction-limited strain imaging (Sec. IV A) could be used to localize damage tracks at the micron scale; sensitive, widefield strain imaging has been the subject of much recent work.\(^{130,133,146}\) Superresolution microscopy and spectroscopy using NV centers (Sec. IV D) has been extensively developed as well. Spatially-resolved, subdiffraction strain sensing is a plausible avenue for nanoscale readout of damage track direction. These same methods are applicable to other color centers in diamond such as the silicon-vacancy center, or to divacancies in silicon carbide.

Alternatively, quantum defects can be created from recoil-induced lattice site vacancies generated during a WIMP or neutrino interaction with the diamond (Sec. IV B). For example, a nitrogen-rich diamond could be annealed to induce these vacancies to form new NV centers or other color centers, highlighting the damage track. In crystals with few pre-existing emitters, this represents a near-background-free method of damage track localization. The direction can then be extracted either via strain spectroscopy of the created color centers, or by superresolution spatial mapping of the defect positions. Scanning X-ray nanobeam diffraction measurements (Sec. IV C) present a complementary, non-defect-based method for damage track direction measurement – instruments at synchrotron facilities can detect strains at the level predicted for a WIMP damage track, and can be performed with nanometer spatial resolution.

All of the techniques outlined above require that optical measurements be performed on-site to avoid cosmic ray exposure during transit. The low cost and simplicity of the setups for these measurements enable multiple instruments to be used in parallel. Additionally, detector segments may require etching to bring the damage track close to the surface for nanometer-scale measurements, but appropriate techniques should enable this process without introducing additional strain or distorting the WIMP signal.\(^{39,211}\)

**Outlook.** In the near term, the work towards such a solid-state WIMP detector with directional sensitivity will be centered around demonstrating the capability to locate and determine the direction of nuclear recoil damage tracks in diamond. This effort requires adaptation and development of existing techniques, but the current state of the art is not far from the requisite sensitivity and resolution. In particular, our recent work on widefield strain imaging in diamond via NV spectroscopy\(^1\), as well as
scanning X-ray diffraction microscopy of nanoscale strain mapping\textsuperscript{145}, demonstrate the sensitivity required for directional detection. For concrete experimental characterization of the efficiency of these methods, injected nuclear recoil signals can be generated using single ion implantation (Sec. V).

In the medium term, instrumentation of a prototype detector will be required. Such a prototype would feature low-threshold charge, phonon, or photon collection capabilities with spatial resolution at the millimeter scale, as well as the micron and nanoscale strain mapping capabilities discussed above. Development of crystal growth techniques to create large volumes of structurally homogeneous crystals is also required. Modern diamond growth techniques using chemical vapor deposition (CVD) enable repeatable, fast, and low-cost growth of uniform crystals; reasonable progress in this field, expected in the next decade, should allow for the production of the volume of diamond material required for WIMP detection. With appropriate development and synergy between multiple disciplines, the proposed approach offers a viable path towards directional WIMP detection with sensitivity below the neutrino floor.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We wish to acknowledge substantial contributions and collaborations of Matthew J Turner and Connor Hart in various aspects of the project. We would like to acknowledge technical discussions and assistance from Mark J H Ku and Raisa Trubko. This work was supported by the Argonne National Laboratory under Award No. 2F60042:the DOE QuAN-TISED program under Award No. DE-SC0019396; the army Research Laboratory MAQP program under Contract No. W911NF-19-2-0181; the DARPA DRINQS program under Grant No. D18AC00033; the DOE fusion program under Award No. DE-SC0021654; and the University of Maryland Quantum Technology Center. Work performed at the Center for Nanoscale Materials and Advanced Photon Source, both U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science User Facilities, was supported by the U.S. DOE, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, under Contract No. DE-AC02-06CH11357. This work was performed, in part, at the Center for Integrated Nanotechnologies, an Office of Science User Facility operated for the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Science. Sandia National Laboratories is a multimission laboratory managed and operated by National Technology & Engineering Solutions of Sandia, LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of Honeywell International, Inc., for the U.S. DOE’s National Nuclear Security Administration under contract DE-NA-0003525. The views expressed in the article do not necessarily represent the views of the U.S. DOE or the United States Government.

**Data Availability.** The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

**Conflict of interest.** The authors have no conflicts to disclose.

\textsuperscript{1}P. Zyla \textit{et al.} (Particle Data Group), “Review of Particle Physics,” \textit{PTEP} \textbf{2020}, 083C01 (2020).

\textsuperscript{2}H. Baer, K.-Y. Choi, J. E. Kim, and L. Roszkowski, “Dark matter production in the early universe: Beyond the thermal wimp paradigm,” \textit{Physics Reports} \textbf{555}, 1–60 (2015), dark matter production in the early Universe: Beyond the thermal WIMP paradigm.

\textsuperscript{3}A. B. Lahanas, “LSP as a Candidate for Dark Matter,” \textit{Lect. Notes Phys.} \textbf{720}, 35–68 (2007), arXiv:hep-ph/0607301.

\textsuperscript{4}D. Hooper and S. Profumo, “Dark Matter and Collider Phenomenology of Universal Extra Dimensions,” \textit{Phys. Rept.} \textbf{453}, 29–115 (2007), arXiv:hep-ph/0701197.

\textsuperscript{5}C.-R. Chen, M.-C. Lee, and H.-C. Tsai, “Implications of the little higgs dark matter and t-odd fermions,” \textit{Journal of High Energy Physics} \textbf{2014}, 1–16 (2014).

\textsuperscript{6}M. E. Peskin, “Supersymmetric dark matter in the harsh light of the large hadron collider,” \textit{Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences} \textbf{112}, 12256–12263 (2015).

\textsuperscript{7}S. Bottaro, D. Buttazzo, M. Costa, R. Franceschini, P. Panci, D. Redigolo, and L. Vittorio, “Closing the window on WIMP Dark Matter,” \textit{Eur. Phys. J. C} \textbf{82}, 31 (2022), arXiv:2107.09688 [hep-ph].

\textsuperscript{8}M. Schumann, “Direct Detection of WIMP Dark Matter: Concepts and Status,” \textit{J. Phys. G} \textbf{46}, 103003 (2019), arXiv:1903.03026 [astro-ph.CO].

\textsuperscript{9}J. Aalbers, F. Agostini, M. Alfonsi, F. Amaro, A. Amsler, E. Aprile, L. Arai, F. Arneodo, P. Barrow, L. Baudis, and et al., “Darwin: towards the ultimate dark matter detector,” \textit{Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics} \textbf{2016}, 017–017 (2016).

\textsuperscript{10}C. E. Aalseth, F. Acerbi, P. Agnes, I. F. M. Albuquerque, T. Alexander, A. Alici, A. K. Alton, P. Antonioli, S. Arcelli, R. Ardito, and et al., “Darkside-20k: A 20 tonne two-phase lar tpc for direct dark matter detection at lings,” \textit{The European Physical Journal Plus} \textbf{133} (2018), 10.1140/epjp/i2018-11973-4.

\textsuperscript{11}M. Abdullah et al., “Coherent elastic neutrino-nucleus scattering: Terrestrial and astrophysical applications,” \textit{in 2022 Snowmass Summer Study} (2022) arXiv:2203.07361 [hep-ph].

\textsuperscript{12}J. Monroe and P. Fisher, “Neutrino backgrounds to dark matter searches,” \textit{Physical Review D} \textbf{76} (2007), 10.1103/physrevd.76.033007.

\textsuperscript{13}L. E. Strigari, “Neutrino coherent scattering rates at direct dark matter detectors,” \textit{New Journal of Physics} \textbf{11}, 105011 (2009).

\textsuperscript{14}A. Gütlein, C. Cienniak, F. von Feilitzsch, N. Haag, M. Hofmann, C. Isaila, T. Luchenmaier, J.-C. Lanfranchi, L. Oberauer, and S. Pfister, “Solar and atmospheric neutrinos: Background sources for the direct dark matter searches,” \textit{Astroparticle Physics} \textbf{34}, 90–96 (2010).
J. Billard, E. Figueroa-Feliciano, and L. Strigari, “Implication of neutrino-backgrounds on the reach of next generation dark matter direct detection experiments,” Physical Review D 89 (2014), 10.1103/physrevd.89.023524.

D. N. Spergel, “Motion of the earth and the detection of weakly interacting massive particles,” Phys. Rev. D 37, 1354–1355 (1988).

J. Hisano, K. Ishiwata, N. Nagata, and T. Takesako, “Direct Detection of Electroweak-Interacting Dark Matter,” JHEP 07, 005 (2011), arXiv:1104.0228 [hep-ph].

L. Roszkowski, E. M. Sessolo, and A. J. Williams, “Prospects for dark matter searches in the pMSSM,” JHEP 01, 014 (2015), arXiv:1411.5214 [hep-ph].

M. Abdollah, J. L. Feng, S. Iwamoto, and B. Lillard, “Heavy bino dark matter and collider signals in the MSSM with vectorlike fourth-generation particles,” Phys. Rev. D 94, 095018 (2016), arXiv:1608.00283 [hep-ph].

P. Athron et al. (GAMBIT), “Global fits of GUT-scale SUSY models with GAMBIT,” Eur. Phys. J. C 77, 824 (2017), arXiv:1705.07935 [hep-ph].

A. Kobakhidze and M. Talla, “Supersymmetric Naturalness Beyond MSSM,” JHEP 08, 105 (2019), arXiv:1806.08502 [hep-ph].

P. Asadi, T. R. Slatyer, and J. Smirnov, “WIMPs Without Weakness: Generalized Mass Window with Entropy Injection,” (2021), arXiv:2111.14444 [hep-ph].

P. Asadi, E. D. Kramer, E. Kuflik, T. R. Slatyer, and J. Smirnov, “Glueballs in a thermal squeezeout model,” JHEP 07, 006 (2022), arXiv:2203.15813 [hep-ph].

C. A. J. O’Hare, “Can we overcome the neutrino floor at high masses?” Physical Review D 102 (2020), 10.1103/physrevd.102.063024.

E. Lopez Asamar (SuperCDMS), “SuperCDMS SNOLAB: status and prospects for measuring the coherent neutrino scattering,” J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 1216, 012020 (2019).

W. Rau (SuperCDMS), “SuperCDMS SNOLAB - Status and Plans,” J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 1342, 012077 (2020).

A. Aguilar-Arevalo et al. (DAMIC), “Characterization of the background spectrum in DAMIC at SNOLAB,” (2021), arXiv:2110.13133 [hep-ex].

M. Traina et al. (DAMIC), “Results on low-mass weakly interacting massive particles from a 11 kg d target exposure of DAMIC at SNOLAB.” PoS ICRC2021, 539 (2021), arXiv:2108.05983 [astro-ph.CO].

J. F. Barry, J. M. Schloss, E. Bauch, M. J. Turner, C. A. Hart, L. M. Pham, and R. L. Walsworth, “Sensitivity optimization for NV-diamond magnetometry,” Reviews of Modern Physics 92, 015004 (2020).

P. M. Martineau, M. P. Gaukroger, K. B. Guy, S. C. Lawson, D. J. Twitchen, I. Friel, J. O. Hansen, G. C. Summerton, T. F. G. Addison, and R. Burns, “High crystalline quality single crystal diamond grown by chemical vapour deposition,” Diamond and Related Materials 18, 808–815 (2009), proceedings of Diamond 2008, the 19th European Conference on Diamond, Diamond-Like Materials, Carbon Nanotubes, Nitrides and Silicon Carbide, arXiv:0909.1200 [cond-mat.mtrl-sci].

Recent studies suggest that geologically old rock samples can also be used as particle detectors to detect damage tracks induced by ultraheavy dark matter (see, e.g.,218–221) using electron microscopy.222 In addition, it is envisioned to detect WIMP- or neutrino-induced damage tracks in natural minerals223–224. These methods provide large exposures with relatively small target masses since the signal accumulation time could be more than a billion years (see also235,236).

M. Mancuso, F. Petricca, F. Pröbst, and J. Rothe, “Optimization for NV-diamond magnetometry,” Reviews of Modern Physics 80, 539–568 (2008), proceedings of Diamond 2008, the 19th European Conference on Diamond, Diamond-Like Materials, Carbon Nanotubes, Nitrides and Silicon Carbide, arXiv:0909.1200 [cond-mat.mtrl-sci].

D. Z. Freedman, “Coherent effects of a weak neutral current,” Phys. Rev. D 9, 1389–1392 (1974).
57. D. Akimov, J. B. Albert, P. An, C. Awe, P. S. Barbeau, B. Becker, V. Belov, A. Brown, A. Bolozdynya, B. Cabrera-Palmer, and et al., “Observation of coherent elastic neutrino-nucleus scattering,” Science 357, 1123–1126 (2017).

58. D. Akimov, J. B. Albert, P. An, C. Awe, P. S. Barbeau, B. Becker, V. Belov, I. Bernardi, M. A. Blackston, L. Blokland, and et al., “First measurement of coherent elastic neutrino-nucleus scattering on argon,” Physical Review Letters 126 (2021), 10.1103/physrevlett.126.012002.

59. J. H. Davis, “Dark matter vs. neutrinos: the effect of astrophysical uncertainties and timing information on the neutrino floor,” Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics 2015, 012–012 (2015).

60. S. Sassi, A. Dimmockiadjidi, M. Heikinheimo, N. Mirabolnic, K. Nordlund, H. Safari, and K. Tuominen, “Solar neutrinos and dark matter detection with diurnal modulation,” Physical Review D 104 (2021), 10.1103/physrevd.104.063007.

61. R. Ruppin, J. Billard, E. Figuera-Feliciano, and L. Strigari, “Complementarity of dark matter detectors in light of the neutrino background,” Physical Review D 90 (2014), 10.1103/physrevd.90.083510.

62. G. B. Gelmini, V. Takhistov, and S. J. Witte, “ Casting a Wide Signal Net with Future Direct Dark Matter Detection Experiments,” JCAP 07, 009 (2018), [Erratum: JCAP 02, E02 (2019)], arXiv:1804.01638 [hep-ph].

63. A. Gasper, P. Giampa, and D. E. Morrissey, “Neutrino backgrounds in future liquid noble element dark matter direct detection experiments,” Phys. Rev. D 105, 035020 (2022), arXiv:2108.03248 [hep-ph].

64. C. A. J. O’Hare, “New definition of the neutrino floor for direct dark matter searches,” Physical Review Letters 127 (2021), 10.1103/physrevlett.127.251802.

65. D. Aristizabal Sierra, V. De Romeri, L. J. Flores, and D. K. Papoulias, “Impact of COHERENT measurements, cross section uncertainties and new interactions on the neutrino floor,” JCAP 01, 055 (2022), arXiv:2109.03247 [hep-ph].

66. M. Kuhlen, M. Lisanti, and D. N. Spergel, “Direct detection of dark matter debris flows,” Physical Review D 86 (2012), 10.1103/physrevd.86.063505.

67. C. A. J. O’Hare, C. McCabe, N. W. Evans, G. Myeong, and V. Belokurov, “Dark matter hurricane: Measuring the S1 stream with dark matter detectors,” Phys. Rev. D 98, 103006 (2018), arXiv:1807.09904 [astro-ph.CO].

68. H. J. Newberg et al. (SDSS), “The Ghost of Sagittarius and Lumps in the Halo of the Milky Way,” Astrophys. J. 569, 245–274 (2002), arXiv:astro-ph/0111095.

69. J. D. Crane, S. R. Majewski, H. J. Rocha-Pinto, P. M. Frinchaboy, M. F. Skrutskie, and D. R. Law, “Exploring halo substructure with giant stars: Spectroscopy of stars in the Galactic anti-center stellar structure,” Astrophys. J. Lett. 594, L119–L122 (2003), arXiv:astro-ph/0307555.

70. F. A. Gómez, I. Minchev, B. W. O’Shea, Y. S. Lee, T. C. Beers, D. An, J. S. Bullock, C. W. Purcell, and A. Villalobos, “Signatures of minor mergers in the milky way disc i. the segue stellar sample,” Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society 423, 3727–3739 (2012).

71. L. M. Widrow, S. Gardner, B. Yanny, S. Dodelson, and H.-Y. Chen, “Galactic seismology: Discovery of vertical waves in the galactic disk,” Astrophys. J. Lett. 750, L41 (2012).

72. F. A. Gómez, I. Minchev, B. W. O’Shea, T. C. Beers, J. S. Bullock, and C. W. Purcell, “Vertical density waves in the milky way disc induced by the sagittarius dwarf galaxy,” Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society 429, 159–164 (2013).

73. J. L. Carlin, J. DeLavall, H. J. Newberg, L. Deng, D. Gole, K. Grabowski, G. Jin, C. Liu, X. Liu, A.-L. Luo, et al., “Substructure in bulk velocities of milky way disk stars,” The Astrophysical Journal Letters 777, L5 (2013).

74. M. E. Williams, M. Steinmetz, J. Binney, S. Siebert, H. Enke, B. Famaey, I. Minchev, R. S. De Jong, C. Boeche, K. C. Freeman, et al., “The wobbling galaxy: kinematics north and south with rare red-clump giants,” Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society 436, 101–121 (2013).

75. Y. Xu, H. J. Newberg, J. L. Carlin, C. Liu, L. Deng, J. Li, R. Schönrich, and B. Yanny, “Rings and radial waves in the disk of the milky way,” The Astrophysical Journal 801, 105 (2015).

76. I. Carrillo, I. Minchev, G. Kordopatis, M. Steinmetz, J. Binney, F. Anders, O. Bienayme, J. Bland-Hawthorn, B. Famaey, K. C. Freeman, et al., “Is the milky way still breathing? rave–gaia streaming motions,” Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society 475, 2679–2696 (2018).

77. R. Schönrich and W. Dehnen, “Warp, waves, and wrinkles in the milky way,” Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society 478, 3809–3824 (2018).

78. T. Autoja, A. Helmi, M. Romero-Gómez, D. Katz, C. Babusiaux, R. Drimmel, D. Evans, F. Figueras, E. Foggio, C. Reylé, et al., “A dynamically young and perturbed milky way disk,” Nature 561, 360–362 (2018).

79. C. F. Laporte, I. Minchev, K. V. Johnston, and F. A. Gómez, “Footprints of the sagittarius dwarf galaxy in the gaia data set,” Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society 485, 3134–3152 (2019).

80. M. Bennett and J. Bovy, “Vertical waves in the solar neighbourhood in Gaia DR2,” Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 482, 1417–1425 (2019).

81. L. Necib, M. Lisanti, and V. Belokurov, “Inferred evidence for dark matter kinematic substructure with SDSS–gaia,” Astrophys. J. 874, 3 (2019).

82. L. Necib, B. Ostdiek, M. Lisanti, T. Cohen, M. Freytsis, and S. Garrison-Kimmel, “Chasing accreted structures within gaia dr2 using deep learning,” The Astrophysical Journal 903, 25 (2020).

83. S. Gardner, S. D. McDermott, and B. Yanny, “The milky way, coming into focus: Precision astrometry probes its evolution and its dark matter,” Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics 121, 103904 (2021).

84. M. Vogelsberger, A. Helmi, V. Springel, S. M. White, J. Wang, C. S. Frenk, A. Jenkins, A. D. Ludlow, and J. F. Navarro, “Phase-space structure in the local dark matter distribution and its signature in direct detection experiments,” Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. 395, 797–811 (2009), arXiv:0812.0362 [astro-ph].

85. J. Buch, J. Fan, and J. S. C. Leung, “Implications of the Gaia Sausage for Dark Matter Nuclear Interactions,” Phys. Rev. D 101, 063026 (2020), arXiv:1910.06356 [hep-ph].

86. N. W. Evans, C. A. J. O’Hare, and C. McCabe, “Refinement of the standard halo model for dark matter searches in light of the Gaia Sausage,” Phys. Rev. D 99, 023012 (2019), arXiv:1810.11468 [astro-ph.GA].

87. A. Ibarra, B. J. Kavanagh, and A. Rappelt, “Bracketting the impact of astrophysical uncertainties on local dark
matters searches,” JCAP 12, 018 (2018), arXiv:1806.08714 [hep-ph].

D. F. Phillips, A. Ravi, R. Ebadi, and R. L. Walsworth, “Milky Way Accelerometry via Millisecond Pulsar Timing,” Phys. Rev. Lett. 126, 141103 (2021), arXiv:2008.13052 [astro-ph.GA].

K. Miuchi, H. Nishimura, K. Hattori, N. Higashi, C. Ida, S. Iwaki, S. Kabuki, H. Kubo, S. Kurosawa, K. Nakamura, and et al., “First underground results with newage-0.3a direction-sensitive dark matter detector,” Physics Letters B 686, 11–17 (2010).

D. Santos, J. Billard, G. Bosson, J. L. Bouly, O. Bourrion, C. Fourel, O. Guillaudin, F. Mayet, J. P. Richer, A. Delbart, E. Ferrez, I. Giomataris, F. J. Izaguirre, J. P. Mols, C. Golabek, and L. Lefebreton, “Mimac: A micro-tpc matrix project for directional detection of dark matter,” (2011), arXiv:1111.1566 [astro-ph.IM].

S. Valsen, H. Feng, M. Garcia-Sciveres, I. Jaegle, J. Kadyk, Y. Nguyen, M. Rosen, S. Ross, T. Thorpe, and J. Yamaoka, “The directional dark matter detector (d3),” EAS Publications Series 53, 43–50 (2012).

J. B. R. Battat et al., “Radon in the DRIFT-II directional dark matter TPC: emanation, detection and mitigation,” JINST 9, P11004 (2014), arXiv:1407.3938 [physics.ins-det].

E. Baracchini et al., “CYGNO: a gaseous TPC with optical readout for dark matter directional search,” JINST 15, C07036 (2020), arXiv:2007.12627 [physics.ins-det].

A. Aleksandrov et al. (NEWS), “NEWS: Nuclear Emulsions for WIMP Search,” (2016), arXiv:1604.04199 [astro-ph.CO].

S. Agafonova et al. (NEWSdm), “Discovery potential for directional Dark Matter detection with nuclear emulsions,” Eur. Phys. J. C 78, 578 (2018), arXiv:1705.00613 [astro-ph.CO].

A. Umemoto, T. Naka, A. Alexandrov, and M. Yoshimoto, “Super-resolution plasmonic imaging microscopy for a sub-micron tracking emulsion detector,” PTEP 2019, 063H02 (2019), arXiv:1812.09528 [physics.ins-det].

S. Gorbanov and N. Konovalova, “New experiment newsdm for direct searches for heavy dark matter particles,” Physics of Atomic Nuclei 83, 83–91 (2020).

A. Alexandrov, T. Asada, G. De Lellis, A. Di Crescenzo, V. Gentile, T. Naka, V. Tioukov, and A. Umemoto, “Super-resolution high-speed optical microscopy for fully automated readout of metallic nanoparticles and nanostructures,” Sci. Rep. 10, 18773 (2020).

A. Alexandrov, G. De Lellis, A. Di Crescenzo, A. Goloviatink, and V. Tioukov, “Directionality preservation of nuclear recoils in an emulsion detector for directional dark matter search,” Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics 2021, 047 (2021).

Y. Shimizu, M. Minowa, H. Sekiya, and Y. Inoue, “Directional scintillation detector for the detection of the wind of WIMPs,” Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 496, 347–352 (2003), arXiv:astro-ph/0207529.

H. Bekki, M. Minowa, Y. Shimizu, Y. Inoue, and W. Suganuma, “Measurements of anisotropic scintillation efficiency for carbon recoils in a stilbene crystal for dark matter detection,” Phys. Lett. B 571, 132–138 (2003), arXiv:astro-ph/0307384.

F. Danevich, V. Kobychev, S. Nagorny, D. Poda, V. Tretjak, S. Yurchenko, and Y. Zdesenko, “ZnWO4 crystals as detectors for 2β decay and dark matter experiments,” Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 544, 553–564 (2005).

F. Cappella et al., “On the potentiality of the ZnWO4 anisotropic detectors to measure the directionality of Dark Matter,” Eur. Phys. J. C 73, 2276 (2013).

V. Caracciolo et al., “The ADAMO Project and developments,” J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 718, 042011 (2016).

P. Belli et al., “Measurements of ZnWO4 anisotropic response to nuclear recoils for the ADAMO project,” Eur. Phys. J. A 56, 83 (2020), arXiv:2002.09482 [physics.ins-det].

D. R. Nygren, “Columnar recombination: a tool for nuclear recoil directional sensitivity in a xenon-based direct detection WIMP search,” Journal of Physics: Conference Series 460, 012006 (2013).

H. Cao et al. (SCENE), “Measurement of Scintillation and Ionization Yield and Scintillation Pulse Shape from Nuclear Recoils in Liquid Argon,” Phys. Rev. D 91, 092007 (2015), arXiv:1406.4825 [physics.ins-det].

R. W. Schnee et al. (SuperCDMS), “The SuperCDMS Experiment,” in 5th International Heidelberg Conference on Dark Matter in Astro and Particle Physics (2005) arXiv:astro-ph/0502435.

R. Speller (SuperCDMS), “Dark matter direct detection with SuperCDMS Soudan,” J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 606, 012003 (2015).

R. Agnese et al. (SuperCDMS), “Projected Sensitivity of the SuperCDMS SNO-LAB experiment,” Phys. Rev. D 95, 082002 (2017), arXiv:1610.00006 [physics.ins-det].

S. M. Griffin, Y. Hochberg, K. Inzani, N. Kurinsky, T. Lin, and T. Chin, “Silicon carbide detectors for sub-GeV dark matter,” Phys. Rev. D 103, 075002 (2021), arXiv:2008.05660 [hep-ph].

R. Schirhagl, K. Chang, M. Loretz, and C. L. Degen, “Nitrogen-vacancy centers in diamond: Nanoscale sensors for physics and biology,” Annual Review of Physical Chemistry 65, 83–105 (2014).

E. V. Levine, M. J. Turner, P. Keihayas, C. A. Hart, N. Langellier, R. Trubko, D. R. Glenn, R. R. Fu, and R. L. Walsworth, “Principles and techniques of the quantum diamond microscope,” Nanophotonics 8, 1945–1973 (2019), arXiv:1910.00061 [physics.ins-det].

A. M. Edmonds, C. A. Hart, M. J. Turner, P.-O. Colard, J. M. Schloss, K. Ollson, R. Trubko, M. L. Markham, A. Rathmill, B. Horne-Smith, L. Lew, A. Manickam, S. Bruce, P. G. Kaup, J. C. Russo, M. J. DiMario, J. T. South, J. T. Hansen, D. J. Twitchen, and R. L. Walsworth, “Generation of nitrogen-vacancy ensembles in diamond for quantum sensors: Optimization and scalability of cvd processes,” (2020), arXiv:2004.01746 [cond-mat.mtrl-sci].

S. Meesala, Y.-I. Sohn, B. Pingault, L. Shao, H. A. Atikian, J. Holzgrafe, M. Gündoğan, C. Stavarakas, A. Sipahigil, C. Chia, and et al., “Strain engineering of the silicon-vacancy center in diamond,” Physical Review B 97 (2018), 10.1103/physrevb.97.205444.

G. Włodzicki, S. J. Whiteley, and D. A. Awschalom, “Electrometry by optical charge conversion of deep defects in 4h-sic,” Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 115, 7879–7883 (2018).

P. Udvarhelyi and A. Gali, “Ab initio spin-strain coupling parameters of divacancy qubits in silicon carbide,” Physical Review Applied 10 (2018), 10.1103/physrevapplied.10.054010.

J. F. Ziegler, M. Ziegler, and J. Biersack, “Srim – the stopping and range of ions in matter (2010),” Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B:
Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms 268, 1818–1823 (2010), 19th International Conference on Ion Beam Analysis.

113A. Broniatowski, X. Defay, E. Armengaud, L. Bergé, A. Benoit, O. Besida, J. Blümer, A. Chantelauze, M. Chapellier, G. Chardin, et al., “A new high-background-rejection dark matter ge cryogenic detector,” Physics Letters B 681, 305–309 (2009).

114R. Aagene et al. (SuperCDMSsoudan), “Demonstration of Surface Electron Rejection with Interleaved Germanium Detectors for Dark Matter Searches,” Appl. Phys. Lett. 103, 164105 (2013), arXiv:1305.2405 [physics.ins-det].

115J. Isberg, J. Hammersherr, E. Johansson, T. Wikstrom, D. J. Twitchen, A. J. Whitehead, S. E. Coe, and G. A. Scarsbrook, “High Carrier Mobility in Single-Crystal Plasma-Deposited Diamond,” Science 297, 1670–1673 (2002).

116J. W. Wort and R. S. Balmer, “Diamond as an electronic material,” Materials Today 11, 22–28 (2008).

117L. Childress, J. M. Taylor, A. S. Sørensen, and M. D. Lukin, “Fault-tolerant quantum communication based on solid-state photon emitters,” Physical Review Letters 96 (2006), 10.1103/physrevlett.96.070504.

118L. Childress and R. Hanson, “Diamond nv centers for quantum computing and quantum networks,” MRS Bulletin 38, 134–138 (2013).

119A. Tarun, S. Lee, C. Yap, K. Finkelstein, and D. Misra, “Impact of impurities and crystal defects on the performance of cvd diamond detectors,” Diamond and Related Materials 63, 169–174 (2016), 9th International Conference on New Diamond and Nano Carbons – NDNC 2015.

120M. R. Hoefkamp et al., “Novel Sensors for Particle Tracking: A Contribution to the Snowmass Community Planning Exercise of 2021,” (2022), arXiv:2202.11828 [physics.ins-det].

121A. Oh, “Diamond particle detectors systems in high energy physics,” Journal of Instrumentation 10, C04038–C04038 (2015).

122J. Eshelby, “Distortion of a crystal by point imperfections,” Journal of Applied Physics 25, 255–261 (1954).

123I. Bergstrom, M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia, M. Maltoni, C. Pena-Graray, A. M. Serenelli, and N. Song, “Updated determination of the solar neutrino fluxes from solar neutrino data,” JHEP 03, 132 (2016), arXiv:1601.00972 [hep-ph].

124E. Aprile, F. Agostini, M. Alfonsi, L. Arazi, K. Arisaka, F. Arneodo, M. Auger, C. Balan, P. Barrow, and et al., “Lowering the radioactivity of the photomultiplier tubes for the xenon1t dark matter experiment,” The European Physical Journal C 75 (2015), 10.1140/epjc/s10052-015-3657-5.

125C. L. Degen, “Scanning magnetic field microscope with a diamond single-spin sensor,” Applied Physics Letters 92, 243111 (2008).

126J. M. Taylor, P. Cappellaro, L. Childress, L. Jiang, D. Budker, P. R. Hemmer, A. Yacoby, R. Walsworth, and M. D. Lukin, “High-sensitivity diamond magnetometer with nanoscale resolution,” Nature Physics 4, 810–816 (2008).

127G. Balasubramanian, I. Chan, R. Kolesov, M. Al-Hmoud, J. Tisler, C. Shin, C. Kim, A. Wojcik, P. R. Hemmer, A. Krueger, et al., “Nanoscale imaging magnetometry with diamond spins under ambient conditions,” Nature 455, 648–651 (2008).

128J. R. Maze, P. L. Stanwix, J. S. Hodges, S. Hong, J. M. Taylor, P. Cappellaro, L. Jiang, M. G. Dutt, E. Togan, A. Zibrov, et al., “Nanoscale magnetic sensing with an individual electronic spin in diamond,” Nature 455, 644–647 (2008).

129Y. M. Acosta, E. Bauch, M. P. Ledbetter, C. Santori, K.-M. C. Fu, P. E. Barclay, R. G. Beausoleil, H. Linget, J. F. Roch, F. Treussart, S. Chemerisov, G. Gawlik, and J. Budker, “Diamonds with a high density of nitrogen-vacancy centers for magnetometry applications,” Phys. Rev. B 80, 115202 (2009).

130A. Jarmola, V. M. Acosta, K. Jensen, S. Chemerisov, and D. Budker, “Temperature- and magnetic-field-dependent longitudinal spin relaxation in nitrogen-vacancy ensembles in diamond,” Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 197601 (2012).

131T. Rosskopf, A. Dussaux, K. Ohashi, M. Loretz, R. Schirhagl, H. Watanabe, S. Shikata, K. M. Itoh, and C. L. Degen, “Investigation of surface magnetic noise by shallow spins in diamond,” Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 147602 (2014).

132G. Balasubramanian, P. Neumann, D. Twitchen, M. Marshall, R. Kolesov, N. Mizuochi, J. Isoya, J. Achard, J. Beck, J. Täschler, et al., “Ultralong spin coherence time in isotopically engineered diamond,” Nature Materials 8, 383–387 (2009).

133P. Kehayias, M. J. Turner, R. Trubko, J. M. Schloss, C. A. Hart, M. Wesson, D. R. Glenn, and R. L. Walsworth, “Imaging crystal stress in diamond using ensembles of nitrogen-vacancy centers,” Physical Review B 100 (2019), 10.1103/physrevb.100.174103.

134V. M. Acosta, E. Bauch, M. P. Ledbetter, A. Waxman, L.-S. Bouchard, and D. Budker, “Temperature dependence of the nitrogen-vacancy magnetic resonance in diamond,” Physical Review Letters 104 (2010), 10.1103/physrevlett.104.070801.

135A. Barfuss, M. Kasperczak, J. Kölbl, and P. Maletinsky, “Spin-stress and spin-strain coupling in diamond-based hybrid spin oscillator systems,” Physical Review B 99 (2019), 10.1103/physrevb.99.174102.

136M. C. Marshall, R. Ehadi, C. Hart, M. J. Turner, M. J. H. Ku, D. F. Phillips, and R. L. Walsworth, “High-Precision Mapping of Diamond Crystal Strain Using Quantum Interferometry,” Phys. Rev. Applied 17, 024041 (2022), arXiv:2108.00304 [quant-ph].

137C. Grezes, B. Julsgaard, Y. Kubo, W. L. Ma, M. Stern, A. Bienfait, K. Nakamura, J. Isoya, S. Onoda, T. Ohshima, V. Jacques, D. Vion, D. Esteve, R. B. Liu, K. Mølmer, and P. Bertet, “Storage and retrieval of microwave fields at the single-photon level in a spin ensemble,” Physical Review A 92 (2015), 10.1103/physrea.92.020301.

138T. Wolf, P. Neumann, K. Nakamura, H. Sumiya, T. Ohshima, J. Isoya, and J. Wrachtrup, “Subpicotesla diamond magnetometry,” Physical Review X 5 (2015), 10.1103/physrevx.5.041001.
151
arXiv:1410.6893 [physics.quant-ph].
91
sensing using an implanted single nitrogen-vacancy center
W. Zhu, and G. Wang, “High-sensitivity temperature
W. Zhang, X. Song, G. Guo, L. Fan, C. Zou, L. Lou,
J. Wang, F. Feng, J. Zhang, and M. Crowder, “Identification of syn-
thetic diamond grown using chemical vapor deposition (cvd),” Gems & Gemology 40, 2–25 (2004).
M. Gaukroger, P. Martineau, C. Crowder, I. Friel, S.
Williams, and D. Twitchen, “X-ray topography studies of di-
locations in single crystal cvd diamond,” Diamond and Related Materials 17, 262–269 (2008).
L. T. M. Hoa, T. Ouise, D. Chaussende, M. Naamoun,
A. Tallaire, and J. Achard, “Birefringence microscopy of unit
liquidations in diamond,” Crystal growth & design 14, 5761–5766 (2014).
W. B. Alexander, P. H. Holloway, J. Simmons, and
R. Ochoa, “Increased precision in strain measurement of diamond by microraman spectroscopy,” Journal of Vac-
um Science & Technology A: Vacuum, Surfaces, and Films 12, 2968–2975 (1994).
A. Crisci, B. Bailet, M. Mermoux, G. Bogdan,
M. Nešládek, and K. Haenen, “Residual strain around
grown-in defects in cvd diamond single crystals: A 2d and
3d raman imaging study,” physica status solidi (a) 208, 2038–2044 (2011).
M. Moore, “Imaging diamond with x-rays.” Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter 21, 364217 (2009).
M. C. Marshall, D. F. Phillips, M. J. Turner, M. J. H. Ku,
T. Zhou, N. Delegan, F. J. Heremans, M. V. Holt, and
R. L. Walsworth, “Scanning x-ray diffraction microscopy for diamond quantum sensing,” Phys. Rev. Applied 16, 054032 (2021), arXiv:2103.08388 [physics.app-ph].
D. A. Broadway, B. C. Johnson, M. S. J. Barson, E. S.
Lillie, N. Doutshuck, D. J. McCloskey, A. Tsai, T. Teraji,
D. A. Simpson, A. Stacey, J. C. McCallum, J. E. Bradby,
M. W. Doherty, L. C. L. Hollenberg, and J.-P. Tetienne,
“Microscopic imaging of the stress tensor in diamond using
in situ quantum sensors,” Nano Letters 19, 4543–4550 (2019), 1812.01152.
G. Kuksko, P. C. Maurer, N. Y. Yao, M. Kubo, H. J. Noh,
P. K. Lo, H. Park, and M. D. Lukin, “Nanometre-scale thermometry in a living cell,” Nature 500, 54–58 (2013),
arXiv:1304.1068 [physics.quant-ph].
P. M. Toyli, C. F. d. I. Casas, D. J. Christie, V. V.
Dobrovitski, and D. D. Awschalom, “Fluorescence thermometry enhanced by the quantum coherence of single
spins in diamond,” Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 110, 8417–8421 (2013), arXiv:1303.6730
[physics.cond-mat.mes-hall].
P. Neumann, I. Jakobi, F. Dolce, C. Burk, R. Reuter,
G. Waldherr, J. Honert, T. Wolf, A. Brunner, J. Shim,
D. Suter, H. Sumiya, J. Isoya, and J. Wrachtrup, “High-
precision nanoscale temperature sensing using single de-
fects in diamond,” Nano Letters 13, 2738–2742 (2013),
arXiv:1306.0885 [physics.quant-ph].
T. Wang, F. Feng, J. Zhang, J. Chen, Z. Zheng, L. Guo,
W. Zhang, X. Song, G. Guo, L. Fan, C. Zou, L. Lou,
W. Zhu, and G. Wang, “High-sensitivity temperature sensing using an implanted single nitrogen-vacancy center
array in diamond,” Physical Review B 91, 155404 (2015),
arXiv:1410.6893 [physics.quant-ph].
P. Konzelmann, T. Rendler, V. Bergholm, A. Zappe,
V. Pfannenstil, M. Garsi, F. Ziem, M. Niethammer,
M. Widmann, S.-Y. Lee, P. Neumann, and J. Wrachtrup,
“Robust and efficient quantum optimal control of spin
probes in a complex (biological) environment, towards
sensing of fast temperature fluctuations,” New Jour-
nal of Physics 20, 123013 (2018), arXiv:1808.04087
[physics.cond-mat.mes-hall].
A. Golovatiuk, A. Ustuyuzhanin, A. Alexandrov, and
G. De Lellis, “Deep Learning for direct Dark Matter search
with nuclear emulsions,” Comput. Phys. Commun. 275, 108312 (2022), arXiv:2106.11995 [hep-ex].
D. Twitchen, C. Pickles, S. Coe, R. Sussmann, and
C. Hall, “Thermal conductivity measurements on cvd diamond,” Diamond and Related Materials 10, 731–735
(2001), 11th European Conference on Diamond, Diamond-like Materials, Carbon Nanotubes, Nitrides and Silicon Carbide.
An alternative approach towards higher axial resolution is
z-dependent NV control with engineered spatial gradients
of the MW drive.
M. Saxena, G. Eluru, and S. S. Gorthi, “Structured illumina-
tion microscopy,” Adv. Opt. Photon. 7, 241–275 (2015).
F. Chazelas, B. Dubertret, and A. C. Boccara, “Full-field
optical sectioning and three-dimensional localization of
fluorescent particles using focal plane modulation,” Opt. Lett. 31, 1274–1276 (2006).
O. E. Olarte, J. Andilla, E. J. Gualda, and P. Lozas-
Alvarez, “Light-sheet microscopy: a tutorial,” Adv. Opt. Photon. 10, 111–179 (2018).
P. J. Keller and M. B. Ahrens, “Visualizing whole-brain
activity and development at the single-cell level using light-
sheet microscopy,” Neuron 85, 462–483 (2015).
X. Yang, Y.-K. Tzeng, Z. Zhu, Z. Huang, X. Chen, Y. Liu,
H.-C. Chang, L. Huang, W.-D. Li, and P. Xi, “Sub-
diffraction imaging of nitrogen-vacancy centers in diamond
by stimulated emission depletion and structured illumina-
tion,” Rsc Advances 4, 11305–11310 (2014).
J. M. Beckers, “Adaptive optics for astronomy: Principles,
performance, and applications,” Annual Review of Astron-
omy and Astrophysics 31, 13–62 (1993).
P. Godara, A. M. Dubis, A. Roorda, J. L. Duncan, and
J. Carroll, “Adaptive optics retinal imaging: emerging
clinical applications,” Optometry and vision science: of-
ficial publication of the American Academy of Optometry
87, 930 (2010).
A. Horsley, P. Appel, J. Wolters, J. Achard, A. Tallaire,
P. Maletinsky, and P. Treutlein, “Microwave device charac-
erization using a widefield diamond microscope,” Phys. Rev. Applied 10, 044039 (2018), arXiv:1802.07402 [quant-
ph].
P. Deik, B. Aradi, M. Kaviani, T. Frauenheim, and
A. Gali, “Formation of nv centers in diamond: A theoreti-
cal study based on calculated transitions and migration of
nitrogen and vacancy related defects,” Physical Review B
89, 075203 (2014), arXiv:1311.6598 [cond-mat.mtrl-sci].
D. Antonov, T. Hautzermann, A. Aird, J. Roth, H.-R.
Trebin, C. Müller, L. McGuinness, F. Jelezko, T. Yamamoto,
J. Isoya, S. Pezzagna, J. Meijer, and J. Wrachtrup,
“Statistical investigations on nitrogen-vacancy center cre-
ation,” Applied Physics Letters 104, 012105 (2014),
arXiv:1303.3730 [cond-mat.mtrl-sci].
A. Haque and S. Sumaiya, “An overview on the formation
and processing of nitrogen-vacancy photonic centers in dia-
mond by ion implantation,” Journal of Manufacturing and Materials Processing 1, 6 (2017).
169. S. Chakravarti, C. Moore, A. Opsvig, C. Pederson, E. Hunt, A. Ivanov, I. Christen, S. Dunham, and K.-M. C. Fu, “Window into nv center kinetics via repeated annealing and spatial tracking of thousands of individual nv centers,” Phys. Rev. Materials 4, 023402 (2020), arXiv:1907.07793 [cond-mat.mtrl-sci].

170. M. S. Akselrod, R. C. Yoder, and G. M. Akselrod, “Confocal fluorescent imaging of tracks from heavy charged particles utilizing new al2o3cr,mg crystals,” Radiation Protection Dosimetry 119, 357–362 (2006).

171. M. Niklas, S. Greilich, C. Melzig, M. S. Akselrod, J. Debus, O. Jäkel, and A. Abdollahi, “Engineering cell-fluorescent ion track hybrid detectors,” Radiation Oncology 8, 141 (2013).

172. T. J. M. Kouwenberg, H. T. Wolterbeek, A. G. Denkova, and A. J. J. Bos, “Fluorescent nuclear track detectors for alpha radiation microdosimetry,” Radiation Oncology (London, England) 13 (2018), 10.1186/s13014-018-1034-x.

173. J. A. Bartz, S. Kodaira, M. Kurano, N. Yasuda, and M. S. Akselrod, “High-resolution charge spectroscopy of heavy ions with ftd technology,” Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms 335, 24–30 (2014).

174. V. Fomenko, B. Moreno, M. Million, J. Harrison, and M. Akselrod, “Energy response of fluorescent nuclear track detectors of various colorations to monoenergetic neutrons,” Radiation Protection Dosimetry 180, 215–219 (2018).

175. T. S. A. Underwood, W. Sung, C. H. McFadden, S. J. McMahon, D. C. Hall, A. L. McNamara, H. Paganetti, G. O. Sawakuchi, and J. Schuemann, “Comparing stochastic proton interactions simulated using topos-nbde to experimental data from fluorescent nuclear track detectors,” Physics in Medicine and Biology 62, 3237–3249 (2017).

176. M. Niklas, J. A. Bartz, M. S. Akselrod, A. Abdollahi, O. Jäkel, and S. Greilich, “Ion track reconstruction in 3d using alumina-based fluorescent nuclear track detectors,” Physics in Medicine and Biology 58, N251–N266 (2013).

177. P. Budnik, O. Chesnovsky, O. Slone, and T. Volansky, “Direct Detection of Light Dark Matter and Solar Neutrinos via Color Center Production in Crystals,” Phys. Lett. B 782, 242–250 (2018), arXiv:1705.03016 [hep-ph].

178. B. K. Cogswell, A. Goel, and P. Huber, “Passive Low-Energy Nuclear-Recoil Detection with Color Centers,” Phys. Rev. Applied 16, 064060 (2021), arXiv:2104.13926 [physics.ins-det].

179. K. Alfonso et al., “Passive low energy nuclear recoil detection with color centers – PALEOCCENE,” in 2022 Snowmass Summer Study (2022) arXiv:2203.05525 [hep-ex].

180. Onoda, M. Haruyama, T. Teraji, J. Isoya, W. Kada, O. Hanaizumi, and T. Oshima, “New application of nv centers in cvd diamonds as a fluorescent nuclear track detector,” physica status solidi (a) 212, 2641–2644 (2015).

181. Onoda, K. Tatsumi, M. Haruyama, T. Teraji, J. Isoya, W. Kada, T. Oshima, and O. Hanaizumi, “Diffusion of vacancies created by high-energy heavy ion strike into diamond,” physica status solidi (a) 214, 1700160 (2017).

182. Chen, X. Miao, H. Ma, L. Guo, Z. Wang, Z. Yang, C. Fang, and X. Jia, “Synthesis and characterization of diamonds with different nitrogen concentrations under high pressure and high temperature conditions,” CrystEngComm 20, 7164–7169 (2018).

183. D. Farfurnik, N. Allasi, S. Masis, Y. Kauffmann, E. Farchi, Y. Romach, Y. Hovav, E. Bulks, and N. Bar-Gill, “Enhanced concentrations of nitrogen-vacancy centers in diamond through tet radiation,” Applied Physics Letters 111, 123101 (2017), arXiv:1702.05382 [quant-ph].

184. M. Holt, R. Harder, R. Winarski, and V. Rose, “Nanoscale hard x-ray microscopy methods for materials studies,” Annual Review of Materials Research 43, 183–211 (2013).

185. A. Akhmed, I. Vartiaimen, B. Röösner, M. Guizzar-Silarios, V. A. Guzenko, I. McNulty, R. Winarski, M. V. Holt, and C. David, “Interlaced zone plate optics for hard x-ray imaging in the 10 nm range,” Scientific Reports 7, 43624 (2017).

186. B. E. Warren, X-ray Diffraction (Courier Corporation, 1990) google-Books-ID: wflBhAbEYASc.

187. F. Pfeiffer, “X-ray ptychography,” Nature Photonics 12, 9–17 (2018).

188. S. O. Hruszkewycz, M. Allain, M. V. Holt, C. E. Miller, R. Holt, P. H. Fuoss, and Y. Chamard, “High-resolution three-dimensional structural microscopy by single-angle bragg ptychography,” Nature Materials 16, 244–251 (2017).

189. M. Hill, I. Calvo-Almazan, M. Allain, M. Holt, A. Ulvestad, J. Treu, G. Koblmüller, C. Huang, X. Huang, H. Yan, E. Nazaretski, Y. Chu, G. Stephenson, V. Chamard, L. Lauhon, and S. Hruszkewycz, “Measuring three-dimensional strain and structural defects in a single ingaas nanowire using coherent x-ray multilayer bragg projection ptychography,” Nano Letters 18, 811–819 (2018).

190. H. Pinto, R. Jones, J. P. Goss, and P. R. Briddon, “Point and extended defects in chemical vapour deposited diamond,” Journal of Physics: Conference Series 281, 012023 (2011).

191. E. Nazaretski, H. Yan, K. Lauer, N. Bouet, X. Huang, W. Xu, J. Zhou, D. Shi, Y. Hwu, and Y. Chu, “Design and performance of an x-ray scanning microscope at the hard x-ray nanoprobe beamline of nals-ii,” Journal of Synchrotron Radiation 24, 1113–1119 (2017).

192. U. Johansson, D. Carbone, S. Kalfdiesich, A. Björling, A. Rodriguez-Frenandez, T. Stankevic, M. Liebi, B. Bring, A. Mikkelsen, U. Vogt, et al., “Initial operation of the nanomax beamline at max iv,” Microscopy and Microanalysis 24, 250–251 (2018).

193. A. Boretti and S. Castelletto, “Nanometric resolution magnetic resonance imaging methods for mapping functional activity in neuronal networks,” MethodsX 3, 297–306 (2016).

194. A. Boretti, L. Rosa, J. Blackledge, and S. Castelletto, “Nitrogen-vacancy centers in diamond for nanoscale magnetic resonance imaging applications,” Beilstein Journal of Nanotechnology 10, 2128–2151 (2019).

195. E. Rittweger, K. Y. Han, S. E. Irvine, C. Eggeling, and S. W. Hell, “Sted microscopy reveals crystal colour centres with nanometric resolution,” Nature Photonics 3, 144–147 (2009).

196. D. Wildanger, B. Patton, H. Schill, L. Marseglia, J. Hadden, S. Knauer, A. Schönle, J. Rarity, J. O’Brien, S. Hell, and J. Smith, Advanced Materials  OP309–OP313 (2012).

197. A. Arroyo-Camejo, M.-P. Adam, M. Beshes, J.-P. Hudon, V. Jacques, J.-J. Greffet, J.-F. Roch, S. W. Hell, and F. Treussart, “Stimulated emission depletion microscopy resolves individual nitrogen vacancy centers in diamond
nanocrystals," ACS Nano 7, 10912–10919 (2013).

196 X. Chen, C. Zou, Z. Gong, C. Dong, G. Guo, and F. Sun, “Subdiffraction optical manipulation of the charge state of nitrogen vacancy center in diamond,” Light: Science & Applications 4, e230–e230 (2015).

197 X.-D. Chen, D.-F. Li, Y. Zheng, S. Li, B. Du, Y. Dong, C.-H. Dong, G.-C. Guo, and F.-W. Sun, “Superresolution multifunctional sensing with the nitrogen-vacancy center in diamond,” Physical Review Applied 12, 044039 (2019).

198 P. Maurer, J. Maze, P. Stanwix, L. Jiang, A. Gorshkov, A. Zibrov, B. Harke, J. Hodges, A. Zibrov, A. Yacoby, D. Twitchen, S. Hell, R. Walsworth, and M. Lukin, “Far-field optical imaging and manipulation of individual spins with nanoscale resolution,” Nature Physics 6, 912–918 (2010).

199 I.-C. Jaskula, E. Bauch, S. Arroyo-Camejo, M. D. Lukin, S. W. Hell, A. S. Trifonov, and R. L. Walsworth, “Super-resolution optical magnetic imaging and spectroscopy using individual electronic spins in diamond,” Optics Express 25, 11048–11064 (2017).

200 E. H. Chen, O. Gaathon, M. E. Trusheim, and D. Englund, “Wide-field multispectral super-resolution imaging using spin-dependent fluorescence in nanodiamonds,” Nano Letters 13, 2073–2077 (2013).

201 M. Pfender, N. Aslam, G. Waldherr, P. Neumann, and J. Wrachtrup, “Single-spin stochastic optical reconstruction microscopy,” Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 111, 14669–14674 (2014).

202 K. Arai, C. Belthangady, H. Zhang, N. Bar-Gill, S. J. Devience, P. Cappellaro, A. Yacoby, and R. L. Walsworth, “Fourier magnetic imaging with nanoscale resolution and compressed sensing speed-up using electronic spins in diamond,” Nature Nanotechnology 10, 859–864 (2015).

203 O. Zgadzai, L. Shitbart, Y. Artzi, and A. Blank, “Selective addressing and readout of optically detected electron spins,” EPL (Europhysics Letters) 117, 10001 (2017).

204 H. Zhang, K. Arai, C. Belthangady, J.-C. Jaskula, and R. L. Walsworth, “Selective addressing of solid-state spins at the nanoscale via magnetic resonance frequency encoding,” npj Quantum Information 3, 1–8 (2017).

205 Q. Bodenstedt, J. Jakobi, J. Michl, I. Gerhardt, P. Neumann, and J. Wrachtrup, “Nanoscale spin manipulation with pulsed magnetic gradient fields from a hard disc drive writer,” Nano Letters 18, 5389–5395 (2018).

206 P. Ziem, M. Garsi, H. Fedder, and J. Wrachtrup, “Quantitative nanoscale mri with a wide field of view,” Scientific reports 9, 1–9 (2019), arXiv:1807.08343 [cond-mat.mes-hall].

207 A. Gardill et al., “Super-resolution Airy disk microscopy of individual color centers in diamond,” (2022), arXiv:2203.11859 [physics.optics].

208 K. Y. Han, K. I. Willig, E. Rittweger, F. Jelezko, C. Eggeling, and S. W. Hell, “Three-dimensional stimulated emission depletion microscopy of nitrogen-vacancy centers in diamond using continuous-wave light,” Nano Letters 9, 3323–3329 (2009).

209 Y. Yang, H. Xie, E. Alonza, Y. Liu, X. Chen, P. J. Santangelo, Q. Ren, P. Xi, and D. Jin, “Mirror-enhanced super-resolution microscopy,” Light: Science & Applications 5, e16134–e16134 (2016).

210 M. Nagai, K. Nakanishi, H. Takahashi, H. Kato, T. Makino, S. Yamasaki, T. Matsumoto, T. Inoukuma, and N. Tokuda, “Anisotropic diamond etching through thermochemical reaction between ni and diamond in high-temperature water vapour,” Scientific Reports 8, 6687 (2018).

211 M.-L. Hicks, A. C. Pankour-Tabrizi, and R. B. Jackman, “Diamond etching beyond 10 μm with near-zero microsmearing,” Scientific Reports 9, 15619 (2019).

212 J. Pacheo, M. Singh, D. Perry, J. Wendt, G. Ten Eyck, R. Manginell, T. Pluym, D. Luhman, M. Lilly, M. Carroll, et al., “Ion implantation for deterministic single atom devices,” Review of Scientific Instruments 88, 123301 (2017).

213 D. N. Jamieson, C. Yang, T. Hopf, S. Hearne, C. I. Pakes, S. Prawer, M. Mitic, E. Gauja, S. Andresen, F. Hudson, et al., “Controlled shallow single-ion implantation in silicon using an active substrate for sub-20-keV ions,” Applied Physics Letters 86, 202101 (2005).

214 J. Seamon, E. Bielejec, M. S. Carroll, and K. D. Childs, “Room temperature single ion detection with geiger mode avalanche diode detectors,” Applied Physics Letters 93, 043124 (2008).

215 E. Bielejec, J. A. Seamon, and M. S. Carroll, “Single ion implantation for single donor devices using geiger mode detectors,” Nanotechnology 21, 085201 (2010).

216 J. B. S. Abraham, B. A. Aguirre, J. L. Pacheo, G. Videletal, and B. Leijts, “Fabrication and characterization of a co-planar detector in diamond for low energy single ion implantation,” Applied Physics Letters 109, 063502 (2016).

217 M. Titze, H. Byeon, A. R. Flores, J. Henshaw, C. T. Harris, A. M. Mounce, and E. S. Bielejec, “Towards Deterministic Creation of Single Photon Sources in Diamond using In-Situ Ion Counting,” (2021), arXiv:2112.02049 [quant-ph].

218 E. Hardy, R. Lasensky, J. March-Russell, and S. M. West, “Big Bang Synthesis of Nuclear Dark Matter,” JHEP 06, 011 (2015), arXiv:1411.3799 [hep-ph].

219 M. I. Gresham, H. K. Lou, and K. M. Zurek, “Early Universe synthesis of asymmetric dark matter nuggets,” Phys. Rev. D 97, 036003 (2018), arXiv:1707.02316 [hep-ph].

220 D. M. Grabowska, T. Melia, and S. Rajendran, “Detecting Dark Blobs,” Phys. Rev. D 98, 115020 (2018), arXiv:1807.03788 [hep-ph].

221 D. Carney et al., “Snowmass2021 Cosmic Frontier White Paper: Ultrahigh energy dark matter,” (2022), arXiv:2203.06508 [hep-ph].

222 R. Ebadi et al., “Ultrahigh dark matter search with electron microscopy of geological quartz,” Phys. Rev. D 104, 015041 (2021), arXiv:2105.03998 [hep-ph].

223 D. P. Snowden-Ifft, E. S. Freeman, and P. B. Price, “Limits on dark matter using ancient mica,” Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 4133–4136 (1995).

224 J. Collar and I. Avignone, F.T., “Nuclear tracks from cold dark matter interactions in mineral crystals: A Computational study,” Nucl. Instrum. Meth. B 95, 349 (1995), arXiv:astro-ph/9505055.

225 J. Engel, M. T. Ressell, I. S. Towner, and W. E. Ormand, “Response of mica to weakly interacting massive particles,” Phys. Rev. C 52, 2216–2221 (1995).

226 D. P. Snowden-Ifft and A. J. Westphal, “Unique signature of dark matter in ancient mica,” Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 1628–1631 (1997), arXiv:astro-ph/9701015.

227 S. Baum, A. K. Drukker, K. Freese, M. Gorski, and P. Stengel, “Searching for Dark Matter with Paleo-Detectors,” Phys. Lett. B 803, 135252 (2020), arXiv:1806.05991 [astro-ph.CO].

228 A. K. Drukker et al., “Paleo-detectors: Searching for dark matter with ancient minerals,” Phys. Rev. D 99, 043014 (2019), arXiv:1811.06844 [astro-ph.CO].
229 T. D. Edwards et al., “Digging for dark matter: Spectral analysis and discovery potential of paleo-detectors,” Phys. Rev. D 99, 043541 (2019), arXiv:1811.10549 [hep-ph].

230 S. Baum, T. D. P. Edwards, B. J. Kavanagh, P. Stengel, A. K. Drakier, K. Freese, M. Górski, and C. Weniger, “Paleodetectors for Galactic supernova neutrinos,” Phys. Rev. D 101, 103017 (2020), arXiv:1906.05800 [astro-ph.GA].

231 S. Baum, W. DeRocco, T. D. P. Edwards, and S. Kalia, “Galactic geology: Probing time-varying dark matter signals with paleodetectors,” Phys. Rev. D 104, 123015 (2021), arXiv:2107.02812 [astro-ph.GA].

232 S. Baum, T. D. P. Edwards, K. Freese, and P. Stengel, “New Projections for Dark Matter Searches with Paleo-Detectors,” Instruments 5, 21 (2021), arXiv:2106.06559 [astro-ph.CO].

233 S. Baum, F. Capozzi, and S. Horiuchi, “Rocks, Water and Noble Liquids: Unfolding the Flavor Contents of Supernova Neutrinos,” (2022), arXiv:2203.12696 [hep-ph].

234 J. R. Jordan, S. Baum, P. Stengel, A. Ferrari, M. C. Morone, P. Sala, and J. Spitz, “Measuring Changes in the Atmospheric Neutrino Rate Over Gigayear Timescales,” Phys. Rev. Lett. 125, 231802 (2020), arXiv:2004.08394 [hep-ph].

235 J. F. Acevedo, J. Bramante, and A. Goodman, “Old Rocks, New Limits: Excavated Ancient Mica Searches For Dark Matter,” (2021), arXiv:2105.06473 [hep-ph].

236 J. Bramante, B. J. Kavanagh, and N. Raj, “Scattering Searches for Dark Matter in Subhalos: Neutron Stars, Cosmic Rays, and Old Rocks,” Phys. Rev. Lett. 128, 231801 (2022), arXiv:2109.04582 [hep-ph].