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Phosphorylation regulates the assembly of chloroplast import machinery
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Abstract

Chloroplast function depends on the translocation of cytosolically synthesized precursor proteins into the organelle. The recognition and transfer of most precursor proteins across the outer membrane depend on a membrane inserted complex. Two receptor components of this complex, Toc34 and Toc159, are GTPases, which can be phosphorylated by kinases present in the hosting membrane. However, the physiological function of phosphorylation is not yet understood in detail. It is demonstrated that both receptors are phosphorylated within their G-domains. In vitro, the phosphorylation of Toc34 disrupts both homo- and heterodimerization of the G-domains as determined using a phospho-mimicking mutant. In endogenous membranes this mutation or phosphorylation of the wild-type receptor disturbs the association of Toc34, but not of Toc159 with the translocation pore. Therefore, phosphorylation serves as an inhibitor for the association of Toc34 with other components of the complex and phosphorylation can now be discussed as a mechanism to exchange different isoforms of Toc34 within this ensemble.
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Introduction

Plastids are complex organelles undergoing transitions depending on the developmental or metabolic context (Lopez-Juez, 2007), which requires massive changes of the organelle proteome. This process is regulated at multiple levels (Kessler and Schnell, 2006; Oreb et al., 2008) including (i) transcription of nuclear genes encoding plastid destined proteins, (ii) targeting of precursor proteins to the chloroplast, (iii) their recognition and translocation across the chloroplast double membrane, and (iv) protein turnover within the organelle. The translocation process requires functional hetero-oligomeric protein complexes Toc (Translocon at the outer chloroplast envelope membrane) and Tic (Translocon at the inner chloroplast envelope membrane). The Toc core complex is formed by multiple copies of the translocation pore Toc75, the GTP-dependent receptor Toc34 and one tripartite receptor Toc159 (Schleiff et al., 2003a; Kikuchi et al., 2006). The latter protein contains a large membrane-anchored (M) domain, a cytosolic GTPase (G) domain highly homologous to that of Toc34, and an N-terminal acidic (A) domain of unknown function (Chen et al., 2000). Toc34 is anchored in the membrane by a short tail at its carboxy-terminus (Chen and Schnell, 1997). In Arabidopsis, two paralogues of Toc34 exist, namely atToc33 and atToc34, as well as four members of the Toc159 family, atToc159, atToc132, atToc120, and atToc90 (Jackson-Constan and Keegstra, 2001; Oreb et al., 2006). It is proposed that members of both families differentially associate to form translocons specialized for different classes of precursor proteins (Gutensohn et al., 2006; Kessler and Schnell, 2006). According to the current model, atToc33 preferably interacts with atToc159 forming the translocon for photosynthetic proteins whereas atToc34 forms a complex recognizing housekeeping proteins together with atToc132 and/or atToc120. However, according to coimmunoprecipitation experiments
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(Ivanova et al., 2004), other interaction patterns are also possible. The ability of atToc33 and atToc34 to overtake the function of each other (Jarvis et al., 1998; Constan et al., 2004) in knock-out mutants supports this possibility. It is not yet understood in detail what mechanisms drive the assembly of the Toc complex, but there is evidence that interactions of Toc159 and Toc34 G-domains are important for this process (Kessler and Schnell, 2006; Oreb et al., 2008).

Phosphorylation of the G-domains is discussed as a second circuit for the regulation of complex assembly in this study. For both GTPases Toc159 and Toc34 phosphorylation was demonstrated in vitro (Sveshnikova et al., 2000; Fulgosi and Soll, 2002). In the past, the properties of the phosphorylated Toc34 were explored in some detail (Jelic et al., 2002). Phosphorylation leads to a negative regulation of precursor protein recognition (Sveshnikova et al., 2000) and the GTPase cycle (Jelic et al., 2002, 2003), albeit the phosphorylation sites are located in different areas of the protein from different sources (e.g. arS181, Jelic et al., 2003; psS113, Jelic et al., 2002). Although the phosphorylation sites of atToc33 and psToc34 have been mapped, the in vivo significance and the mechanistic effect are uncertain (Aronsson et al., 2006; Oreb et al., 2007). Here, the aim was to investigate the influence of the post translational modification of the GTPases on the complex formation in endogenous membranes. Evidence is provided here for a regulatory mechanism involving post-translational phosphorylation, which is suggested to change the state of association of the GTPases within the Toc complex.

Materials and methods

Protein production

Mutants of atToc33, atToc33<sup>CA</sup>, atToc33<sup>SR</sup> (aa 1–251), and psToc159 (aa 658–1048) were generated by conventional PCR (Jelic et al., 2003) and cloned into pET21d (Novagen, Madison, WI, USA) or pGEX6P-1 (GE Healthcare, Freiburg, Germany) to generate His-tagged or GST-fusion proteins. Recombinant proteins were purified by Ni-NTA (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) or GSH affinity chromatography (GE Healthcare, Freiburg, Germany) according to protocols suggested by the manufacturer.

Determination of the binding constant by size exclusion chromatography

For molecular weight determination, 200 µl of purified protein, typically at concentrations between 0.3 mM and 0.4 mM, was loaded onto a Superdex75 HR 10/300 gel filtration column (GE-Healthcare, Freiburg, Germany), equilibrated with 50 mM TRIS buffered at pH 8, containing 100 mM NaCl, 10 mM imidazole, 10 mM arginine, 5% glycerol, and 1 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>. Using different starting concentrations of protein, monomeric and dimeric species were analysed by fitting two independent Gaussian distributions to the elution profile. The dissociation constant was determined according to:

\[
[D] = \left( K_D + 4 \times T \right) - \sqrt{\left( K_D \times (K_D + 8 \times T) \right)} / 4 
\]

where \(D\) is the dimeric fraction and \(T\) is the total amount of protein used.

Solid phase binding assay

For all assays only freshly purified protein was used. For determination of nucleotide dependent association, the G-domain of atToc33-His and mutants was preloaded with nucleotides (König et al., 2008) and spotted onto nitrocellulose membranes using a 96 well vacuum manifold (Bethesda Research Laboratories, Bethesda, MD, USA). Nucleotide loading was determined as described in König et al. (2008). Nitrocellulose membranes were saturated with 0.3% low fat milk powder with 0.03% BSA and subsequently incubated with purified GST-atToc33 or GST-psToc159 (35 µg ml<sup>−1</sup>) preloaded with indicated nucleotides in 20 mM Tricine-KOH at pH 7.6, containing 100 mM NaCl and 1 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>. Background binding was controlled by incubation of GST-atToc33 or GST-psToc159 with saturated nitrocellulose membranes without spotted protein and spotted BSA. After two washes (10 min), the bound protein was determined by immunodetection with GST antibodies. Background staining caused by unspecific binding of GST antibodies was determined by immunodetection of membranes with spotted proteins but without an added interaction partner. Intensities were quantified with the AIDA Software (Raytest, Straubenhardt, Germany). The amount of bound protein was corrected for background binding and expressed as a comparison with the maximal binding of wild-type protein. The binding was analysed by:

\[
[\text{Bound}]_{\text{nom}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \left( S + E + K \right)^2 - S \times E + \frac{1}{2} \left( S + E + K \right)}} - S \times E 
\]

where \(S\) is the concentration of the spotted protein, \(E\) the concentration of the added protein (normalized), and \(K\) reflects the dissociation constant in a non-normalized situation and an apparent dissociation constant after normalization, as described by König et al. (2008).

In situ analysis of Toc complex assembly

The complementation of the ppil mutant with the phosphomimicking arR33 construct has been described previously (Aronsson et al., 2006). Wild-type or mutant A. thaliana was grown for 2 weeks on soil in an E-36L growth chamber (Percival Sci., Perry, IA) at 16/8 h light/dark, 21/16 °C, 70% relative humidity. Approximately 5 g leaf material was ground for 2–3 s using a Polytron (Kinematica PT20) with a 13 mm rotor at 40% maximal speed in 25 ml of ice-cold homogenization buffer (0.3 M sorbitol, 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 5 mM EDTA, 20 mM HEPE/S/KOH, pH 8.0, 10 mM NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, 50 mM ascorbic acid). The homogenate was filtered through Miracloth and the debris was subjected to homogenization. The procedure was iterated four times before the filtrates were combined and centrifuged at 10000 g for 5 min. Chloroplasts were resuspended in 500 µl homogenization buffer. For communoprecipitation experiments, chloroplasts corresponding to 300 µg protein were used. Outer envelope vesicles (OEVs) (50 µg total protein), isolated as described by Schleiff et al. (2003b), were incubated with 50 µCi γ<sup>32</sup>P-ATP/10 µM ATP-mixture in 300 µl 20 mM Tricine-KOH, pH 7.6, 1 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.5 mM MnCl<sub>2</sub> for 15 min at RT, and subsequently centrifuged at 100 000 g for 10 min at 4 °C. The pellet was solubilized with 1.5% n-decylmaltoside in 150 µl 20 mM Tricine-KOH, pH 7.6, 150 mM NaCl (buffer A) for 5 min at RT. The sample was centrifuged again, and the supernatant diluted 10
times in buffer A, 750 μl samples were incubated for 1 h at RT under constant agitation with 15 μl of indicated antiserum, before addition of 50 μl Protein A-Sepharose (GE-Healthcare) saturated with 0.1% (w/v) BSA. After incubation for 1 h at RT the matrix was washed three times with 500 μl buffer A+0.2% n-decylmaltoside. Bound protein was eluted by boiling in SDS sample buffer for 2 min and subjected to SDS/PAGE followed by western blotting or autoradiography. OEV from pea (Schleiff et al., 2003a) or envelopes from A. thaliana (Gerdes et al., 2006) were solubilized by addition of 2% decylmaltoside. Phosphorylation of outer envelopes and complex isolation by sucrose density centrifugation was performed as described previously (Sveshnikova et al., 2000; Schleiff et al., 2003a).

**Homology modelling**

Superimposing the crystal structure of αToc33 onto the monomers of the homeodimer of psToc34 (Sun et al., 2002) with Yasara’s SHEBA plugin (Jung and Lee, 2000) yielded the template for further modelling. The heterodimer of the G-domains of αToc159 and αToc33 was built with Modeller (Sali and Blundell, 1993) v8.1. The homology model of the heterodimer was further refined by loop modelling with Modeller (Fiser et al., 2000). An energy minimization of the resulting heterodimer model was performed in Yasara (www.yasara.org) with the Yamber3 force field (Krieger et al., 2004). Afterwards, a short MD simulation was performed in Yasara to further refine the interface of the complex.

**Results**

**The Toc receptors are phosphorylated within their G-domains**

It was observed that both Toc receptors, Toc34 and Toc159, can be phosphorylated (Fulgosi and Soll, 2002) and the phosphorylation site of Toc34 was mapped within its G-domain (Jelic et al., 2002, 2003). However, it had not been clarified whether the tripartite protein Toc159 is phosphorylated in its G-domain as well. Therefore, the heterologously expressed G-domain of psToc159 (Fig. 1A, lanes 1–3) was incubated with isolated OEVs in the presence of γ-32P-ATP as the donor for phosphorylation, because kinase activity has been reported within this membrane (Fulgosi and Soll, 2002). As a positive control, the G-domain of recombinant αToc33 was used. Both proteins are efficiently phosphorylated (Fig. 1B, lanes 2, 3) suggesting that Toc159 might be phosphorylated within its G-domain. To confirm this observation with native proteins, the phosphoprotein pattern of the outer envelope membrane was analysed before and after thermolysis treatment, which is known to degrade the cytosolic A- and G-domains of psToc159 but leaving the membrane-anchored M-domain intact (Becker et al., 2004). Indeed, after proteolysis, as confirmed by the degradation of Toc34 (Fig. 1C, lanes 4–6, western blot) the M-domain of Toc159 remains detectable (Fig. 1C, lanes 5, 6), but is not phosphorylated (Fig. 1C, lanes 2, 3, autoradiogram). Therefore it can be concluded that psToc159, like psToc34, is phosphorylated within the G-domain at the cytosol-facing side of the chloroplast outer membrane.

Fig. 1. The phosphorylation of Toc159. (A) The G-domain of psToc159 with a C-terminal His-tag was produced in E. coli, purified, subjected to SDS-PAGE, and stained with Coomassie Blue (lane 1) or immunodetected with antibodies against the C-terminal His-tag (lane 2) or against the G-domain of αToc33 (lane 3) because the antibodies against Toc159 present in our laboratory are raised against the M-domain. The migration of the molecular weight marker is indicated on the left. (B) Pea OEVs (lane 1) were incubated with γ-32P-ATP in the presence of αToc33 (lane 2) or psToc159 (lane 3). Proteins were subjected to SDS-PAGE and an autoradiogram is shown. The migration of the endogenous or the added receptor proteins is indicated. (C) Pea OEVs (lanes 1, 4) were phosphorylated as described (lanes 1–6) prior to proteolysis by thermolysine (lanes 2, 5) or thereafter (lanes 3, 6). Proteins were subjected to SDS-PAGE and visualized by immunodetection with the indicated antibodies (lanes 4–6) or autoradiography (lanes 1–3).

**Modification of the phosphorylation site influences the dimerization of Toc GTPases**

In order to investigate the effects of phosphorylation, constructs were generated with a mutated phosphorylation site, whereby the serine 181 was substituted either with alanine or glutamate (Aronsson et al., 2006; Oreb et al., 2007). In a previous study, it was demonstrated that the S/E mutant exhibits a reduced capacity to bind and hydrolyse GTP (Oreb et al., 2007), thus resembling the properties of the phosphorylated protein.

To investigate the effect of the S/E mutation on the dimerization, the concentration dependence of homodimerization was determined by size exclusion chromatography. It was possible to separate the monomeric and dimeric species and determined the dimeric fraction as described in the Materials and methods (Fig. 2). The apparent dissociation constants were calculated according to
The affinity of the S/A mutant for dimerization with wild type aToc33 is even slightly enhanced in comparison to the homodimerization of the wild-type receptor when both molecules are charged with GMP-PNP (Fig. 3F, green bar, left), but only moderately, if at all, decreased when at least one dimerization partner is in the GDP loaded state (Fig. 3F, green bar, middle). This is also the case for the formation of the heterodimeric complex of the G-domains of Toc159 and aToc33S/A (Fig. 3F, black bar). A far more pronounced effect is observed with the S/E mutant, especially for heterodimerization when aToc33S/E is charged with GMP-PNP (Fig. 3F, red bar, left and right). This finding documents that the phospho-mimic has a more drastic effect on heterodimerization than on homodimerization. Furthermore, heterodimerization is mostly affected when aToc33 is in the GMP-PNP state and homodimerization when one of the complex components is in the GDP state.

**Phosphorylation modulates the assembly of aToc33 with the Toc core complex**

To transfer the *in vitro* findings into a physiological context, wild-type *Arabidopsis* plants and *ppi1* plants complemented with aToc33S/E (line 3; Aronsson et al., 2006, Oreb et al., 2007) were analysed with respect to the association of aToc33 with the Toc complex. The chloroplasts envelope membranes were isolated, solubilized, subjected to sucrose density centrifugation, and the protein distribution determined by western blotting using αToc75 and αToc33 antibodies (Fig. 4A). The Toc complex containing both Toc75 and Toc33 is present in high density fractions (Fig. 4A, lanes 8, 9). Fractionating envelopes from *ppi1*-S181E-3 plants reveals that a significant portion of aToc33S/E is not assembled with the complex and migrates at a lower density (Fig. 4F, lanes 1–3). This sedimentation profile is similar to the phosphorylated form in the wild-type background (compare wt+32P-ATP with western blots) and represents a receptor population not associated with the Toc complex. The data suggest that phosphorylated aToc33 is no longer assembled in the Toc complex in endogenous membranes.

To confirm that aToc33S/E indeed has a lower affinity for the core complex, the Toc complex was co-immunoprecipitated by αToc75 antibodies after solubilization of isolated chloroplasts. aToc33, but not aToc33S/E, can efficiently be co-immunoprecipitated with αToc75 as determined by immunodetection with αToc33 antibodies (Fig. 4B, lanes 2, 4). The reduction becomes most obvious when the precipitation efficiency between Toc159 and Toc33 is compared (Fig. 4B, upper panel versus lower panel). This confirms a specific effect of the S/E exchange on the assembly of the native Toc core translocon is also impaired.

**The effect of phosphorylation on complex assembly is independent of the positioning of the phosphorylation site of Toc34 in different species**

Phosphorylation not only regulates aToc33 (Jelic et al., 2003), but pS-Toc34 is similarly regulated by this
post-translational modification (Sveshnikova et al., 2000) even though the position of the phosphorylation sites differs (Jelic et al., 2002, 2003). Thus, it was important to generalize our observations regarding Toc complex assembly using Pisum as an additional system. Hence, complex assembly in purified OEVs from pea chloroplasts, preincubated with radioactive ATP, was analysed by sucrose density centrifugation (Fig. 5A). Again, the phosphorylated, radioactively labelled form of psToc159 and psToc34 is not detectable in high density fractions, containing the assembled Toc complex (Fig. 5A, bottom, silver-stained gel, fractions 9–11). In more detail, the phosphorylated form of psToc34 remains at the top of the gradient (Fig. 5A, fractions 1–4; inset grey squares), whereas phospho-psToc159 migrates further into the gradient (Fig. 5A, fractions 1–6; inset black circles). This could be explained by a remaining association with psToc75, and thus association of Toc complex components was examined by coimmunoprecipitation. Using psToc75-antibodies, both psToc159 and psToc34 can be efficiently coimmunoprecipitated (Fig. 5B, wb, lane 4). While psToc159, when phosphorylated, remains present in the complex, psToc34 is not associated with psToc75 (Fig. 5B, P32, lane 4). The specificity of this assay was controlled by immunoprecipitation using antibodies against the OE protein of 37 kDa, Oep37 (Fig. 5B, lanes 5–8; Schleiff et al., 2003b). This result shows that phosphorylation of the Toc components results in a soluble pool of the small GTPase Toc34.

Discussion

The significance of the phosphorylation of Toc receptors, even though it has been documented in vitro and in vivo (Sveshnikova et al., 2000; Jelic et al., 2002), is controversial (Aronsson et al., 2006; Oreb et al., 2007). This controversy has three reasons: physiological effects of a phospho-mimicking mutant can only be observed under specific conditions (Aronsson et al., 2006; Oreb et al., 2007).

homodimerization (in italics) and additional amino acids of arToc33 putatively involved in interactions within the heterodimeric conformation are shown. Yellow lines indicate putative hydrogen bonds and dashed lines putative hydrophobic interactions. Molecular graphics were created with Yasara (www.yasara.org) and Povray (www.povray.org). (F) arToc33-His (for comparison), arToc33S/A-His (black and green bars) or arToc33S/E-His (red and yellow bars) loaded with GMPPNP or GDP were immobilized on nitrocellulose. The membrane was subsequently incubated with GST-arToc33 (green and yellow bars) or GST-psToc159 (black and red bars) loaded with GDP or GMPPNP. The combinations of nucleotides are indicated at the bottom, whereby S denotes the loading state of the spotted protein and A the loading state of the added soluble protein). The amount of bound protein was quantified as described in the Materials and methods and normalized to the ratio of wild-type receptor binding (arToc33/arToc33 or arToc33/psToc159). The average of at least six independent experiments including the three different concentrations is shown.

Fig. 3. Phosphorylation influences heterodimerization of the G-domains of the Toc receptors in vitro. (A) The homology model of the heterodimer generated as described in the Materials and methods section is shown. The model of the G-domain of Toc159 is shown in colour, where structural elements are coloured differently. (B–E) The positions of amino acids previously identified to be involved in
2007), the envelope localized kinases (Fulgosi and Soll, 2002) still await their identification, and the molecular effect of phosphorylation in endogenous membranes remains unclear. In the present study, the last question was targeted and evidence provided that phosphorylation of the G-domains of the Toc receptors (Fig. 1) regulates the association of Toc34 with the Toc core complex. Two underlying mechanisms can be proposed. The first considers the fact that the Toc complex integrity in general (Becker et al., 2004), and the association between Toc34 and Toc159 in particular (Wallas et al., 2003), are dependent on the ability of Toc GTPases to bind GTP. It can be expected to influence all nucleotide-dependent properties, including the integrity of the Toc complex, since phosphorylation inhibits binding of GTP. Contradicting such a conclusion is that atToc33S/E is still able to bind GTP (Oreb et al., 2007), but shows a reduced affinity for complex assembly as well. Furthermore, the strongest effect of the phospho-mimicking mutation on heterodimerization was observed when atToc33 is loaded with GMP-PNP, the non-hydrolysable analogue of GTP (Fig. 3).

The second possibility would be a direct modulation of protein–protein interactions by the bulky and negatively charged phosphate at the interface of interacting proteins. The observation that the atToc33 phospho-mimicry mutant has a lower affinity for heterodimerization with atToc159, even in the GDP-loaded state (Fig. 3), supports this notion. Furthermore, on the basis of atToc34 and atToc33 crystal structures (Sun et al., 2002; König et al., 2008) the phosphorylation site of atToc33 can be mapped to the homodimerization interface. In vitro, the ability of the phospho-mimicking atToc33S/E mutant to form homodimers is reduced (Figs 2, 3). Given the high similarity of G-domains of atToc33 and atToc159, the interface for
homodimerization can also serve as an interaction site for heterodimerization (Fig. 3). This hypothesis is supported by the observation that in vitro-translated αToc33, which can be assumed to be exclusively monomeric due to its low concentration in the translation mixture, was shown to bind to Toc159 (Smith et al., 2002). Analysing the amino acids assigned as interacting in the homodimer (Sun et al., 2002) in the model of the heterodimer showed that many of these interactions are conserved. For instance, the network of electrostatic interactions by Arg33125 and Asp33127 can be identified (Fig. 3B), as well as the van der Waals interaction of Phe31174 (Fig. 3C), Phe3167 (not shown, with putative interactions with Phe159989, Gln1591001, and Ile1591001) or of Gly3198 and Leu31134 (Fig. 3D). In addition, some new possible contacts could be allocated (Fig. 3C–E), possibly compensating the loss of the interaction of the network involving Arg33130 (Sun et al., 2002), which is not conserved in Toc159. Hence, it can be speculated that (at least in the Arabidopsis system) the phosphate group sterically inhibits the contacts between the G-domains even in the heterodimeric context. This interpretation, however, can not be directly applied to the Pisum orthologues, because the phosphorylation site of psToc34 is distant from the dimerization interface. Hence, in pea, another interaction, for example with the cytosolic domain of the translocation channel Toc75 (Ertel et al., 2005), might be affected. In turn, it is possible that the phosphorylation of the Toc159 G-domain (Fig. 1) disturbs the contacts with Toc34 in pea. The precise determination of the phosphorylation site in Toc159 and the physical mapping of the interaction network within the Toc complex will therefore be necessary to understand the regulation of the system in pea.

In general, regulation of protein complexes by phosphorylation is a widespread regulatory principle, even in protein translocation (Wang and Johnsson, 2005; Glavy et al., 2007). An extensively studied example is the regulation of the light-harvesting complex protein II (LHCP II) by phosphorylation when the activity of photosystem I becomes limiting (Allen, 2005). The phosphorylated LHCP II dissociates from photosystem II and assembles with the photosystem I. The subsequent oxidation of the plastoquinone pool inactivates the kinase and activates the phosphatase, restoring the interaction of LHCP II with photosystem II. Thereby, this cycle fine-tunes the activity of a membrane complex. A similar mechanism can be envisioned for the Toc translocon to switch between different functionalities as proposed and to enable the exchange of receptors. However, our results and the structural context with the phosphorylation site being localized on the dimerization interface suggests that phosphorylation does not serve as a signal for dissociation of the receptor, but rather as an inhibitor of association. Finally, the question about the physiological function of the regulatory circuit of Toc34 will have to be answered, a question which will require the identification and analysis of the Toc-phosphorylating kinase(s).

Acknowledgements

We thank Ivo Tews and Patrick König (Heidelberg, Germany) for critical discussions and Maike Ruprecht (Frankfurt, Germany) for her technical assistance. We thank Paul Jarvis, John Combe (Leicester, UK) and Henrik Aronsson (Gotemborg, Sweden) for providing the transgenic lines. This work was supported by grants to ES from the Volkswagenstiftung and from the DFG (SFB594-B11).

References

Allen JF. 2005. Photosynthesis: the processing of redox signals in chloroplasts. Current Biology 15, R929–R932.

Aronsson H, Combe J, Patel R, Jarvis P. 2006. In vivo assessment of the significance of phosphorylation of the Arabidopsis chloroplast protein import receptor, αToc33. FEBS Letters 580, 649–655.

Becker T, Jelic M, Vojta A, Radunz A, Soll J, Schleiff E. 2004. Preprotein recognition by the Toc complex. EMBO Journal 23, 520–530.

Chen D, Schnell DJ. 1997. Insertion of the 34 kDa chloroplast protein import component, IAP34, into the chloroplast outer membrane is dependent on its intrinsic GTP-binding capacity. Journal of Biological Chemistry 272, 6614–6620.

Chen K, Chen X, Schnell DJ. 2000. Initial binding of preproteins involving the Toc159 receptor can be bypassed during protein import into chloroplasts. Plant Physiology 122, 813–822.

Constan D, Patel R, Keegstra K, Jarvis P. 2004. An outer envelope membrane component of the plastid protein import apparatus plays an essential role in Arabidopsis. The Plant Journal 38, 93–106.

Ertel F, Mirus O, Bredemeier R, Moslavac S, Becker T, Schleiff E. 2005. The evolutionarily related beta-barrel polypeptide transporters from Pisum sativum and Nostoc PCC7120 contain two distinct functional domains. Journal of Biological Chemistry 280, 28281–28289.

Fiser A, Do RK, Sali A. 2000. Modeling of loops in protein structures. Protein Science 9, 1753–1773.

Fulgozì H, Soll J. 2002. The chloroplast protein import receptors Toc34 and Toc159 are phosphorylated by distinct protein kinases. Journal of Biological Chemistry 277, 8934–8940.

Gerdes L, Bals T, Klostermann E, Karl M, Philippar K, Hünken M, Soll J, Schünemann D. 2006. A second thylakoid membrane-localized Alb3/Oxl/YidC homologue is involved in proper chloroplast biogenesis in Arabidopsis thaliana. Journal of Biological Chemistry 281, 16632–16642.

Glavy JS, Krutchinsky AN, Cryste IM, Berke IC, Boehmer T, Blobel G, Chait BT. 2007. Cell-cycle-dependent phosphorylation of the nuclear pore Nup107–160 subcomplex. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 104, 3811–3816.

Günsohn M, Fan E, Frießlindorf S, Hanner P, Hou B, Hust B, Klösgen RB. 2006. Toc, Tic, Tat et al.: structure and function of protein transport machineries in chloroplasts. Journal of Plant Physiology 163, 333–347.

Ivanova Y, Smith MD, Chen K, Schnell DJ. 2004. Members of the Toc159 import receptor family represent distinct pathways for protein targeting to plastids. Molecular Biology of the Cell 15, 3379–3379.
