SN 2014J at M82: I. A middle-class type Ia supernova by all spectroscopic metrics
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ABSTRACT

We present the intensive spectroscopic follow up of the type Ia supernova (SN Ia) 2014J in the starburst galaxy M82. Twenty-seven optical spectra have been acquired from January 22nd to September 1st 2014 with the Isaac Newton (INT) and William Herschel (WHT) Telescopes. After correcting the observations for the recession velocity of M82 and for Milky Way and host galaxy extinction, we measured expansion velocities from spectral line blueshifts and pseudo-equivalent width of the strongest features in the spectra, which gives an idea on how elements are distributed within the ejecta. We position SN 2014J in the Benetti (2005), Branch et al. (2006) and Wang et al. (2009) diagrams. These diagrams are based on properties of the Si II features and provide dynamical and chemical information about the SN ejecta. The nearby SN 2011fe, which showed little evidence for reddening in its host galaxy, is shown as a reference for comparisons. SN 2014J is a border-line object between the Core-normal (CN) and Broad-line (BL) groups, which corresponds to an intermediate position between Low Velocity Gradient (LVG) and High Velocity Gradient (HVG) objects. SN 2014J follows the $R$(Si II)–$\Delta m_{15}$ correlation, which confirms its classification as a relatively normal SN Ia. Our description of the SN Ia in terms of the evolution of the pseudo-equivalent width of various ions as well as the position in the various diagrams put this specific SN Ia into the overall sample of SN Ia.
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INTRODUCTION

Type Ia supernovae (SN Ia) are close binary systems where one of the stars, a carbon-oxygen white dwarf (C+O WD),
undergoes a thermonuclear runaway following the start of explosive burning at its center (Hoyle & Fowler 1960). The physics of the explosion is determined by both components of the system. While the ejecta from the explosion (the supernova remnants, SNRs) have been studied in great detail, little is known yet about the companion star. It could be another WD (the DD channel, Iben & Tutukov 1984) or a star still fueled by thermonuclear burning (the SD channel, Whelan & Iben 1973); it includes the case where the explosion is due to the merging of a WD with the core of an AGB star, proposed by Soker et al. (2013; Livio & Riess 2003). See for recent reviews Hillebrandt et al. (2013), Maoz et al. (2014), and Ruiz-Lapuente (2014).

A few SN Ia per century occur in a typical galaxy, hence the probability of finding a nearby object is very low (Li et al. 2011). Therefore, the discovery of the nearby SN 2014J has become an excellent opportunity to improve the understanding on the supernova physics, by studying with in detail and with relatively high spatial resolution the exploding star and its environment. In addition, precise characterization of their observed heterogeneity would be beneficial for reducing the systematic uncertainties of SN Ia distance method for cosmology.

SN 2014J exploded in the edge-on galaxy M82 (at α = 09h55m42.11s, δ = +69°40'25.87", see Figure 1) which is located only ∼3.5 Mpc away (Dalcanton et al. 2009). It is definitely the nearest SN Ia ever observed using modern instrumentation such as CCDs (since SN 1987A was a peculiar type II SN), surpassing SN 2011fe another SN Ia found in M101 (d = 6.4 ± 0.7 Mpc). It rivals SN 1972E for being the closest SN Ia discovered in the last 4 decades, considering the large uncertainty in NGC 5253 distance estimation (SN 1972’s host galaxy). SN 2014J was discovered serendipitously by Fossey et al. (2014) during a lesson on January 21.81 UT, while using the 0.35m telescope at University of London Observatory. After Fossey reported the discovery some pre-discovery observations were advertised (Ma et al. 2014; Denisenko et al. 2014).

SN 2014J was first classified as a SN Ia by Cao et al. (2014) from a spectrum obtained with the Dual Imaging Spectrograph on the ARC 3.5m telescope. As the nearest modern SN Ia, SN 2014J has been extremely well followed-up by many groups, with different instruments, and in several wavelength ranges: γ-rays (Churazov et al. 2014; Diehl et al. 2014; Diehl 2015), X-rays (Margutti et al. 2014), UV (Brown et al. 2015), optical (Kotak 2014; Moreno-Raya et al. 2014; Bonev et al. 2014; González-Hernández et al. 2014; Ritchey et al. 2015; Siverd et al. 2015; Poppe et al. 2015), near-IR (Richardson et al. 2014; Goobar et al. 2014; Srivastava et al. 2014; Marion et al. 2015; Friesen et al. 2014; Vacca et al. 2015) mid-IR (Telesco et al. 2015), radio (Chomiuk et al. 2015; Chandra et al. 2014; Chandler & Marvil 2014; Pérez-Torres et al. 2014; Sokolovsky et al. 2014), and polarimetry (Kawabata et al. 2014; Patat et al. 2015). A few weeks after the discovery the first analyses of this object were presented. The epoch of explosion was constrained to be around January 14.75 ± 0.30 UT (56671.75 MJD, Zheng et al. 2014; Goobar et al. 2015), and a maximum brightness in the B-band of MB = −19.19 ± 0.10 mag, was reached on February 1.74 ± 0.13 UT (56689.74 MJD, Marion et al. 2015). Using optical photometry Tsvetkov et al. (2014) estimated a decline rate parameter of $\Delta m_{15}$ = 1.01 ± 0.05 mag, while Ashall et al. (2014) reported 1.08 ± 0.03 mag, Marion et al. (2015) 1.12 ± 0.02 mag, and Kawabata et al. (2014) 1.02 ± 0.05 mag, pointing out that SN 2014J light curve has a typical stretch factor of a normal SN Ia. Due to the inclination with respect the line-of-sight of M82, SN 2014J has shown to be highly affected by dust extinction. Amanullah et al. (2014) and Foley et al. (2014) presented extensive independent studies on extinction modeling, both arriving at the conclusion that an RV (1.3 - 2.0) lower than the standard Galactic value (∼3.1) is needed to explain the observations, which is in agreement with the results from other work (Goobar et al. 2014; Brown et al. 2015; Gao et al. 2015), and confirmed with polarimetry by Kawabata et al. (2014) favoring dust grains with smaller radii and different nature than the typical Galactic dust. Radio and X-ray observations reported no detection of the SN in these wavelengths which was interpreted as a support for DD scenario for SN 2014J, and was also used to estimate the progenitor mass-loss rate (Pérez-Torres et al. 2014; Margutti et al. 2014; Nielsen et al. 2014). This estimate is in agreement with the upper limits estimated by Kelly et al. (2014) using HST data from near-UV to near-NIR and by Lundqvist et al. (2015) using late optical spectra (but see Graham et al. 2015 for evidence of multiple components of CSM which would favor the SD scenario, and Kutsuna & Shigeyama (2015) who do not rule it out). Interestingly, Crotts (2015) reported the discovery of light-echoes at ~80 pc from the SN location revealing the CSM structure. Although all previous works have reported measurements of several properties that are in agreement with those of typical SN Ia, a proper spectral characterization and comparison to the whole SN Ia population has not been yet performed.

In the framework of a dedicated program guaranteed by the Isaac Newton Group (ING) we obtained a long time baseline set of optical spectroscopy, with wavelengths from roughly 3500 Å to 9500 Å, with the 2.5m Isaac Newton (INT) and 4.2m William Herschel (WHT) telescopes, both located at the El Roque de los Muchachos Observatory, La Palma. The spectral epochs range from almost two weeks pre-maximum (January 22nd at 00:00 UT) to nearly seven months post-maximum (September 1st 2014). A total of 27 different epochs were acquired with different instruments, technical configurations, and spectral resolutions. In addition, several broad-band images were also taken on different epochs. In Figure 1 we show a false color image of the SN 2014J and its host galaxy M82, composed from our observations at the WHT using ugriz and Hα filter images.

In this work we present these observations (in section §2), and describe the characterization of SN 2014J in the spectral SN Ia diagrams of Benetti et al. (2005) [hereafter BE05], Branch et al. (2006) [hereafter BR06], and Wang et al. (2009) [hereafter WA09], together with the evolution of the velocity and pseudo-equivalent width of several spectral features (See Parrent et al. 2014 for an extended review on SN Ia spectroscopy). We also use SN 2011fe as a reference for comparisons. Those diagrams provide information on the dynamics and chemical distribution of SN Ia events, and have been of great utility to characterize large samples of SN Ia such as the CfA sample (Blondin et al. 2012) or the Carnegie Supernovae Project (CSP, Folatelli et al. 2013). We add this very well studied SN Ia into the overall samples for a better understanding of this event (sections §3 and §4).
Finally, in section §5, we give a summary and conclusions. A comparison with synthetic spectra using SYNOW (Branch et al. 2007) is presented in Paper II (Vallely et al. 2015).

2 OBSERVATIONS AND REDUCTION
We obtained long-slit spectroscopy of SN 2014J using the 2.5m INT and 4.2m WHT telescopes, both located at the El Roque de los Muchachos Observatory on La Palma. Spectra were obtained on 27 nights from January 22nd to September 1st 2014 in different ways: observation time was allocated to the ING service proposal SW2014a08: Spectroscopic follow-up of SN 2014J, (PI: P. Ruiz-Lapuente), ING discretionary time on the INT, and time offered by several programs both at WHT and INT. Since both the observers and the configuration of the instruments changed every night, we describe separately the different setups for each spectrograph. Details for individual spectra are given in Table 1.

2.1 Observations using 2.5m INT
Four spectra (on nights Jan 22nd, Feb 03rd, 11th and 13th) were obtained using the Intermediate Dispersion Spectrograph (IDS) mounted at the Cassegrain focus of the INT. Two detectors were used depending on the set up in different nights. On Jan 22nd the EEV10 detector was used, which has a pixel size of 13.5 µm and a spatial dispersion of 0.40" pix⁻¹. The observation was performed with the R1200R grating, with a spectral dispersion of 0.47 Å pix⁻¹, and a 1"-width slit. On the other three nights the REDPLUS2 detector, with a pixel size of 15 µm and a spatial dispersion of 0.44" pix⁻¹, was used. Observations were performed with three different gratings: R1200Y (spectral dispersion of 0.53 Å pix⁻¹) and the 1.3" slit; R300V (spectral dispersion of 2.06 Å pix⁻¹) with the 1.2" slit; and R400V (spectral dispersion of 1.55 Å pix⁻¹) with the 8" slit.

2.2 Observations using 4.2m WHT
The remaining spectra were taken at the 4.2m WHT using either the Intermediate dispersion Spectrograph and Imaging System (ISIS) or the Auxiliary-port CAMera (ACAM).
ISIS is mounted at the Cassegrain focus and consists of a dual-beam spectrograph with two independent arms which provide simultaneous observations in blue and red bands of the spectrum. The blue arm incorporates an EEV12 detector, with a pixel size of 13.5 µm, and spatial dispersion of 0.20" pix⁻¹. The gratings used were: R600B (spectral dispersion of 0.45 Å pix⁻¹), R300B (spectral dispersion of 0.86 Å pix⁻¹) and R158B (spectral dispersion of 1.62 Å pix⁻¹). Slit width was 1" in all cases. The red arm has a REDPLUS detector, with a pixel size of 15.0 µm and a spatial dispersion of 0.22" pix⁻¹. In this arm the configurations used have
All spectra have been reduced using standard 2.3 Reduction when the 2.0
AURA Inc., under cooperative agreement with NSF Optical Astronomy Observatories (NOAO), which is operated by Image Reduction Analysis Facility, distributed by the National

Table 1. Instrument specifications for the observations presented in this work.

| Date (UT) | Epoch (days) | Telescope | Instrument | Grism/ grating | Camera detector | Exp. time (s) | Spatial disp. (“ pix⁻¹) | Spectral disp. (Å pix⁻¹) | λ range (Å) | Silt width (”) |
|-----------|--------------|-----------|------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------|---------------|
| JAN 23.18 | -9.6         | INT       | IDS        | R1200R       | EEV10          | 4x300         | 0.40                    | 0.47                   | 5800-7400   | 1.0           |
| JAN 24.23 | -8.5         | WHT       | ACAM       | V400          | AUXCAM         | 3x600         | 0.25                    | 3.30                   | 3500-9400   | 1.0           |
| JAN 25.30 | -7.4         | WHT       | ACAM       | V400          | AUXCAM         | 2x2000        | 0.25                    | 3.30                   | 3500-9400   | 1.0           |
| JAN 26.25 | -6.5         | WHT       | ISIS       | R600B        | EEE12          | 2x6000        | 0.20                    | 0.45                   | 3526-5350   | 1.0           |
| JAN 27.30 | -5.4         | WHT       | ISIS       | R600R        | REDPLUS        | 2x9000        | 0.22                    | 0.49                   | 4872-6926   | 1.0           |
| JAN 28.11 | -4.6         | WHT       | ACAM       | V400          | AUXCAM         | 3x2000        | 0.22                    | 0.49                   | 5575-7811   | 1.0           |
| FEB 04.05 | +2.3         | INT       | IDS        | R1200Y       | REDPLUS2       | 3x1200        | 0.20                    | 0.45                   | 3480-4420   | 1.0           |
| FEB 05.06 | +3.3         | WHT       | ISIS       | R600B        | EEE12          | 2x600         | 0.22                    | 0.49                   | 5361-6416   | 1.0           |
| FEB 05.93 | +4.2         | WHT       | ISIS       | R600B        | EEE12          | 2x1200        | 0.20                    | 0.45                   | 5600-7150   | 1.0           |
| FEB 12.27 | +10.5        | INT       | IDS        | R300V        | REDPLUS2       | 7x600         | 0.44                    | 2.06                   | 4700-9900   | 1.2           |
| FEB 14.10 | +12.4        | INT       | IDS        | R400V        | REDPLUS2       | 6x1200        | 0.44                    | 1.55                   | 4400-7400   | 0.8           |
| FEB 19.18 | +17.4        | WHT       | ACAM       | V400         | AUXCAM         | 3x300         | 0.25                    | 3.30                   | 4900-9300   | 1.0           |
| FEB 20.07 | +18.3        | WHT       | ACAM       | V400         | AUXCAM         | 3x300         | 0.25                    | 3.30                   | 4900-9300   | 1.0           |
| FEB 21.20 | +19.5        | WHT       | ACAM       | V400         | AUXCAM         | 3x300         | 0.25                    | 3.30                   | 4900-9300   | 1.0           |
| FEB 24.19 | +22.5        | WHT       | ACAM       | V400         | AUXCAM         | 3x300         | 0.25                    | 3.30                   | 4900-9300   | 1.0           |
| FEB 26.27 | +24.5        | WHT       | ACAM       | V400         | AUXCAM         | 3x300         | 0.25                    | 3.30                   | 4900-9300   | 1.0           |
| MAR 07.18 | +33.4        | WHT       | ACAM       | V400         | AUXCAM         | 2x300         | 0.25                    | 3.30                   | 4900-9300   | 1.0           |
| MAR 08.16 | +34.4        | WHT       | ACAM       | V400         | AUXCAM         | 1x300         | 0.25                    | 3.30                   | 4900-9300   | 1.0           |
| MAR 12.86 | +39.1        | WHT       | ACAM       | V400         | AUXCAM         | 1x300         | 0.25                    | 3.30                   | 4900-9300   | 1.0           |
| MAR 18.14 | +44.4        | WHT       | ACAM       | V400         | AUXCAM         | 1x300         | 0.25                    | 3.30                   | 4900-9300   | 1.0           |
| APR 08.91 | +46.6        | WHT       | ACAM       | V400         | AUXCAM         | 1x600         | 0.25                    | 3.30                   | 4900-9300   | 1.0           |
| MAY 08.91 | +49.6        | WHT       | ACAM       | V400         | AUXCAM         | 1x300         | 0.25                    | 3.30                   | 4900-9300   | 1.0           |
| MAY 09.90 | +49.7        | WHT       | ISIS       | R300E        | EEE12          | 3x600         | 0.20                    | 0.86                   | 3700-5100   | 1.0           |
| MAY 11.89 | +99.1        | WHT       | ISIS       | R600B        | EEE12          | 3x300         | 0.20                    | 0.45                   | 3700-5350   | 1.0           |
| JUN 18.93 | +137.2       | WHT       | ISIS       | R158B        | EEE12          | 1x300         | 0.20                    | 1.62                   | 3650-5050   | 1.0           |
| JUL 08.89 | +157.2       | WHT       | ISIS       | R158R        | REDPLUS        | 1x300         | 0.22                    | 1.81                   | 5500-9050   | 1.0           |
| SEP 02.23 | +212.5       | WHT       | ACAM       | V400         | AUXCAM         | 1x300         | 0.25                    | 3.30                   | 4900-9300   | 1.0           |

1 Image Reduction Analysis Facility, distributed by the National Optical Astronomy Observatories (NOAO), which is operated by AURA Inc., under cooperative agreement with NSF.

2 https://github.com/lgalbany/SN2014J

3 SPECTRAL CHARACTERIZATION

The evolution of several spectral features is clearly seen in Figure 3, including the typical features found in SN Ia spectra: Ca II H&K, Si II λ4130, Mg II, S II W, Si II λ6355, and Ca II triplet. There is also evidence of high-velocity features (e.g. HV Ca II at ∼7900 Å in the pre-maximum spectra). Telluric lines, marked with Earth and Stone (1977) catalogues; and HD109995, all included in the standard database of IRAF. The routines used for these purposes were: IDENTIFY, REIDENTIFY, FITCOORDS and TRANSFORM (for calibration in wavelength); and STANDARD, SENSFUNC and CALIBRATE (for flux calibration). In Figure 2 we show examples of three 2-dimensional spectra from different instruments at both telescopes, where the wavelength coverage, strong emission lines, and sky contamination can be seen. Figure 3 shows a composite of the fully-reduced observed spectra, where all have been shifted to the rest frame by the recession velocity of M82 (v = 203 km s⁻¹). The complete set of spectroscopy is available electronically¹ or can be downloaded from the Weizmann interactive supernova data repository (WISeREP²; Yaron & Gal-Yam 2012).
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3 SPECTRAL CHARACTERIZATION

The evolution of several spectral features is clearly seen in Figure 3, including the typical features found in SN Ia spectra: Ca II H&K, Si II λ4130, Mg II, S II W, Si II λ6355, and Ca II triplet. There is also evidence of high-velocity features (e.g. HV Ca II at ∼7900 Å in the pre-maximum spectra). Telluric lines, marked with Earth
symbols, and ISM absorptions from the host galaxy, such as NaD λ5900, have not been removed in the Figure but in the measurements when necessary. From bluer wavelengths we see, as pointed out by previous works, that the SN is heavily reddened by dust in the host galaxy. Although the spectra shown in the Figure has not been corrected for Milky Way (MW) and host galaxy extinction, the spectral parameters described below have been measured after applying these corrections using a Fitzpatrick 1999 law, for both the MW using the dust maps of Schlafly & Finkbeiner (2011) assuming an $R_V = 3.1$, and for the host galaxy with the reported values of $E(B - V) = 1.2$ and $R_V = 1.4$ from Goobar et al. (2014).

3.1 Measurement of the spectral parameters

For the most prominent features listed above we measured their expansion velocity ($v$) and pseudo-equivalent width ($pW$) when the data allowed. The depth of the feature ($d$) has been also measured for the Si II λ5972 and Si II λ6355 absorptions (See Figure 4 for a representation of these three parameters). Their measurement has been performed as follows. First, two adjacent continuum regions 15 Å wide around the feature were selected. These were used to perform a bootstrapping method using the 225 different combinations of 2 points, one from the blue and one from the red regions. For each repetition, a segment representing the pseudo-continuum was drawn and used to normalize the observed spectrum. In the normalized spectrum, a Gaussian fit was performed to determine the wavelength ($\lambda_c$) at which the minimum of the feature fell. For each of the 225 repetitions, we store $\lambda_c$, the depth $d$ from the pseudo-continuum to the normalized spectrum at that wavelength, and the integral of the feature on the normalized spectrum ($pW$) from the pseudo-continuum. Moreover, we kept all individual uncertainties coming from the instrumental flux errors. Finally, we averaged the 225 measurements and uncertainties of $\lambda_c$, $d$, and $pW$, and used the standard deviation of their distributions as a systematic uncertainty, which has been added in quadrature to the instrumental error. Velocities are derived from the shift of the average minimum of the feature with respect the expected rest-frame wavelength, via the relativistic Doppler formula,

$$v = c \frac{[(\Delta \lambda/\lambda_0) + 1]^2 - 1}{[(\Delta \lambda/\lambda_0) + 1]^2 + 1},$$

where $\lambda_0$ is the rest-frame wavelength of the corresponding feature, and $\Delta \lambda$ is the difference between the measured wavelength $\lambda_c$ and $\lambda_0$. In Tables 2 and 3 we present the resulting measurements for $v$ and $pW$, respectively.

The reddening modifies the shape of the spectrum reducing the flux more strongly in bluer wavelengths and producing an effect on spectral features. Although the minima of the spectral features is not going to be strongly affected (thus the $v$), both the slope of the pseudo-continuum and its deepness would be affected. Nordin et al. (2011) and Garavini et al. (2007) studied the effect of reddening in the uncertainties of $pW$ measurement and concluded that for values of $E(B - V)$ lower than 0.3 the difference is lower than 5%, but for more extinguished SN it could be important, which is the case of SN 2014J. To avoid a systematic

![Figure 2. 2D spectra of SN 2014J taken with different instruments at both telescopes. Top row: spectrum taken at INT using IDS covering the spectral range 4700-9900 Å with R300V. Mid row: blue and red arm spectra taken with ISIS at WHT, taken with the R600B and R600R gratings, respectively. The wavelength coverage range from 3650-5050 Å in the blue arm and from 5500-9050 Å in the red arm. Bottom row: ACAM spectrum covering the optical range 4900-9300 Å. Several emission lines from the host galaxy M82 can be seen in the mid and bottom spectra.](image)

![Figure 4. Description of the parameters measured in the spectra presented in this work. After determining the pseudo-continuum of the feature (as described in the text) the minimum of the feature ($\lambda_c$), its depth ($d$), and the pseudo-equivalent width ($pW$) are measured. From $\lambda_c$ and using Equation 1 the expansion velocity is calculated.](image)
Figure 3. Spectral evolution of SN 2014J. Observed unredshifted pre-maximum, maximum-light, and post-maximum spectra taken at ORM (La Palma). The maximum light in the B-band has been determined to be at February 1.74 ± 0.13 UT (56689.74 MJD). For epochs -7.4d and -5.4d from maximum, 3 and 2 respectively high-resolution spectra have also been observed, and here we show a composite of these and the low-res spectra.
error from reddening, we de-redden all spectra as described above before measuring line parameters.

### 3.2 Spectral diagnostic diagrams

Several spectral indicators have been used in the literature to study the properties of SN Ia and interpret their heterogeneities.

Nugent et al. (1995) defined the fractional depth of the Si II λ6355 trough the Si II λ6355 absorptions in the near-maximum light spectrum, \( R(\text{Si II}) = \frac{d_{\text{Si II}}}{\lambda_{6355}} \), and showed that it correlates well with the absolute magnitude at peak, which in turn correlates with the brightness decline rate through the Phillips (1993) relation: the more luminous the SN Ia, the slower the brightness decline. Both photometric and spectroscopic heterogeneities are attributed to differences in the effective temperature, which depend on total amount of \(^{56}\text{Ni}\) produced in the explosion and the kinetic energy. The former can be estimated from the brightness decay in the bolometric light-curve, and the latter from the expansion velocity of the ejecta. However, the lack of correlation between the \( R(\text{Si II}) \) and the photospheric velocity (deduced from Si II \( \lambda6355 \) blueshift) pointed out that only one-parameter cannot account for the SN Ia spectroscopic diversity (Hatano et al. 2000). In fact, the currently widespread SN Ia photometric standardization needs two parameters (stretch and color, Guy et al. 2007; Conley et al. 2008; Jha et al. 2007) to reduce the scatter on the peak magnitudes, and even a third parameter accounting for the environment has been proposed (Lampeitl et al. 2010; Sullivan et al. 2010; Betoule et al. 2014; Moreno-Raya et al. 2015).

BE05 studied the evolution of the \( R(\text{Si II}) \) ratio and proposed that SN Ia could be well separated in two groups depending on their value at maximum: (i) High velocity gradient (HVG) SN Ia, with high \( R(\text{Si II}) \) values right after explosion and which decreases monotonically to \( R(\text{Si II}) \lesssim 0.2 \) around the epoch of maximum brightness; and (ii) Low Velocity Gradient (LVG) SN Ia show either no evolution or increasing \( R(\text{Si II}) \) values from explosion up to the peak brightness, when they tend to have higher \( R(\text{Si II}) \) values than HVG SN Ia. Additionally, by using a cluster analysis with other photometric and spectral properties, they defined a third group of underluminous SN Ia (FAINT) which actually have \( R(\text{Si II}) > 0.4 \) at the epoch of maximum brightness. This separation was even clearer when comparing the Si II λ6355 velocity gradient (\( \langle v_\Delta \rangle \)) from explosion up to the peak brightness up to 10 days post-maximum) with the \( \Delta m_{15} \) decline rate parameter. While FAINT SN Ia show higher \( \Delta m_{15} \) values than the other two groups, LVG and HVG are disentangled by their velocity gradient (\( \langle v_\Delta \rangle \)) values right after explosion up to 10 days post-maximum, and \( \langle v_\Delta \rangle \) values from explosion up to the peak brightness.

Finally, this separation was also interpreted as differences in the mechanism responsible for the explosion (while HVG SN Ia could be produced by delayed-detonations, LVG SN would be the result of deflagrations), different heavy element mixing in the structure of the WD (more efficient for HVG SN Ia, and less in LVG SN Ia), or differences in the viewing angle assuming asymmetric explosions (two SN Ia that are physically identical in three dimensions could be classified differently just because they are seen from different directions; Maeda et al. 2010). There is a remarkable continuity in the \( R(\text{Si II}) \) parameter at maximum light, enabling the presence of Extreme, peculiar, and intermediate class objects.

BR06 proposed a different classification by constructing a diagram from the pseudo-equivalent widths of the same Si II features used by Nugent et al. (1995) measured at maximum light. Although the spectral features used in BE05 and BR06 diagrams are the same, the depth of the feature used in the BE05 and the shape, width, and strength with respect

### Table 2. Measured velocities (in units of \( 10^{3} \text{ km s}^{-1} \)). Epoch referenced to the \( B \) band maximum brightness.

| Epoch | Ca II H&K | Si II λ4130Å | Mg II | Fe II | S II W | Si II λ5972Å | Si II λ6355Å | Ca II trip |
|-------|-----------|--------------|-------|-------|--------|--------------|-------------|-----------|
| -9.6  | -         | 11.8(1.2)    | 14.3(0.2) | 18.9(0.2) | 11.0(0.2) | 11.3(0.1)   | 11.5(0.1)   | 13.6(0.1) |
| -8.5  | -         | 11.8(1.2)    | 14.3(0.2) | 18.9(0.2) | 11.0(0.2) | 11.3(0.1)   | 11.5(0.1)   | 13.6(0.1) |
| -7.4  | 21.9(0.8) | 11.0(0.2)    | 14.0(0.1) | 18.7(0.1) | 10.8(0.1) | 11.1(0.1)   | 11.0(0.1)   | 12.7(0.1) |
| -6.5  | 20.4(0.9) | 10.7(0.3)    | 14.2(0.2) | 18.8(0.1) | 10.7(0.1) | 10.7(0.1)   | 10.8(0.1)   | 12.5(0.1) |
| -5.4  | 18.7(0.4) | 10.5(0.7)    | 14.0(0.1) | 18.4(0.1) | 10.4(0.1) | 10.6(0.1)   | 10.6(0.1)   | 12.2(0.1) |
| -4.6  | 18.2(0.1) | 10.1(0.4)    | 14.0(0.3) | 18.1(0.1) | 10.2(0.1) | 10.2(0.1)   | 10.6(0.1)   | 12.1(0.1) |
| +2.3  | -         | -            | 9.9(0.1)  | 9.5(0.1)  | 10.6(0.2) | 11.5(0.1)   | -           |           |
| +3.3  | 16.3(0.6) | 9.6(0.1)     | 11.8(0.1) | -        | -        | 10.6(0.1)   | 11.4(0.1)   | -           |
| +4.2  | 15.3(0.2) | 9.4(0.1)     | 10.6(0.1)| -        | -        | 10.7(0.2)   | 11.2(0.1)   | -           |
| +10.5 | -         | -            | 9.0(0.1)  | 9.1(0.1)  | 12.1(0.1) | 11.0(0.1)   | 11.9(0.1)   | -           |
| +12.4 | -         | -            | 10.0(0.1)| 8.3(0.2)  | 8.7(0.2)  | 12.2(0.1)   | 10.8(0.1)   | -           |
| +17.4 | -         | -            | -        | -        | -        | 10.5(0.1)   | 12.0(0.1)   | -           |
| +18.3 | -         | -            | -        | -        | -        | 10.3(0.1)   | 12.0(0.1)   | -           |
| +19.5 | -         | -            | -        | -        | -        | 10.3(0.1)   | 12.0(0.1)   | -           |
| +22.5 | -         | -            | -        | -        | -        | 10.2(0.2)   | 12.0(0.1)   | -           |
| +24.5 | -         | -            | -        | -        | -        | 10.1(0.2)   | 12.1(0.1)   | -           |
| +33.4 | -         | -            | -        | -        | -        | 10.2(0.2)   | 12.1(0.1)   | -           |
| +34.4 | -         | -            | -        | -        | -        | 10.2(0.2)   | 12.1(0.1)   | -           |
| +39.1 | -         | -            | 3.6(0.1) | -        | -        | 10.4(0.3)   | 11.8(0.1)   | -           |
| +44.4 | -         | -            | 4.5(1.2) | -        | -        | 10.9(0.3)   | 12.0(0.1)   | -           |
| +66.2 | -         | -            | -        | -        | -        | 9.9(0.6)    | 12.2(0.1)   | -           |
| +96.2 | -         | -            | -        | -        | -        | 7.8(0.5)    | 12.0(0.1)   | -           |
| +97.2 | 10.0(0.1)| -            | 2.7(0.2) | 6.1(0.7)| -        | 8.1(0.3)    | -           | -           |
| +99.1 | 10.1(0.3)| -            | 2.7(0.6) | 5.8(0.2)| -        | 7.6(1.1)    | 11.9(0.1)   | -           |
| +157.2| 9.9(0.5) | 0.9(0.8)     | -        | -        | -        | 8.2(1.6)    | 12.1(0.2)   | -           |
| +212.5| -         | -            | -        | -        | -        | 7.3(1.9)    | 11.5(0.6)   | -           |
the adjacent continuum used in the BR06 are not showing exactly the same information. Based on the position of the SN Ia in that diagram and on the actual appearance of the Si II $\lambda 6355$ feature, BR06 distinguished four different groups: (i) Shallow-silicon SN Ia (SS) show small $pW$ values in the two features ($\lesssim 70$ Å for Si II $\lambda 6355$ and $\lesssim 25$ Å for Si II $\lambda 5972$); (ii) Core-normal SN Ia (CN) have similar $pW$ and shape of the $\lambda 6355$ absorption, and higher $pW$ Si II $\lambda 5972$ values than shallow silicon SN Ia (up to 105 Å). Differences were due to lower temperatures than the former; (iii) Broadline SN Ia (BL) have even higher Si II $\lambda 6355$ $pW$ values ($\gtrsim 105$ Å), and show broader and deeper absorptions than those of the core-normal SN Ia; and (iv) Cool SN Ia (CL), which have higher $pW$ for both features, specially higher Si II $\lambda 5972$ $pW$ compared to the other groups ($\gtrsim 30$ Å).

As in the BE05 diagram, the intermediate regions between groups are populated with intermediate objects, showing a sequential continuity in the spectral properties for SN Ia.

There is a clear correspondence between both diagrams detailed above (see e.g. Parrent et al. 2014). BR06 CL SN Ia correspond to the BE05 FAINT SN Ia, which is to be expected, since both temperature and luminosity are controlled mainly by the $^{56}$Ni mass. BR06 BL SN Ia correspond to the BE05 HVG SN Ia. This also makes sense, because broad Si II $\lambda 6355$ absorption requires high Si II optical depth over a substantial velocity range, which makes it possible for the absorption minimum to shift appreciably with time. BL SN Ia may have thicker silicon layers than the core-normal SN Ia. Both BR06 CN and SS SN Ia correspond to the BE05 LVG SN Ia. The lower velocity range over which Si II has a high optical depth permits only a smaller shift in the absorption minimum with time.

Lately, WA09 proposed a different diagram between the pseudo-equivalent width of the Si II $\lambda 6355$ absorption and the velocity of the same feature near $B$ band maximum light, instead of the $pW$ Si II $\lambda 5972$ absorption used in BR06 diagram. They distinguished between normal SN Ia and high-velocity SN (HV), which showed a linear trend in the direction of higher velocity for higher $pW$, and also defined two other groups: underluminous SN Ia (which corresponds to 91bg-like SN Ia) with lower $pW$ and lower velocities at maximum, and overluminous SN Ia (corresponding to 91T-like SN Ia) with lower velocities but similar $pW$ than normal SN Ia. This description has one-to-one correspondence with the BR06 groups.

This scheme summarizes the spectroscopic diversity discovered so far: a decreasing temperature sequence from 1991T-like (SS) to normal (CN) and 1991bg-like SN Ia (CL), plus the high-velocity SN (BL) as a branch from the normal SN Ia group.

## 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 4.1 SN 2014J in BE05, BR06 and WA09 diagrams

In Figure 5 we show the two diagnostic diagrams by BE05 and BR06. In this and in the following Figures, SN 2011fe has also been shown as a reference for comparisons. It is also a nearby object which shows few signs of extinction ($E(B-V) \sim 0.03$, accounting for both MW and host galaxy reddening, Mazzali et al. 2014). Spectra has been downloaded from WISEREP and the same spectral parameters have been measured in an homogeneous way for this work.\(^3\)

\(^3\) Although, as stated in the text, SN 2011fe spectra have been download from WISEREP, the actual sources that published the data used in this paper are Parrent et al. (2012), Pereira et al. (2013), Mazzali et al. (2014), and Maguire et al. (2014).
Figure 6. Relation between the $B$-band peak absolute magnitude and brightness decline in the $B$-band fifteen days after maximum ($\Delta m_{15}(B)$, Phillips 1993; Phillips et al. 1999). The solid line represents the Phillips relation, that is followed by all normal SN Ia. Both SN 2011fe and SN 2014J are located on the bulk of normal objects. Although low-luminosity events (91bg-like) are well below the relation, the overluminous SN Ia (super-Chandra) may tentatively follow the solid line.

The BE05 diagram have been filled with the original objects from BE05 and Altavilla et al. (2009). HVG SN Ia (in purple) show higher values of $R$(Si II) in the pre-maximum spectra which decrease near maximum light, while for LVG SN Ia the $R$(Si II) parameter evolves increasing monotonically or show no evolution at all. Compared to Si II $\lambda$6355, the Si II $\lambda$5972 absorption is produced by a transition with a higher excitation energy, so $R$(Si II) should increase in strength for higher temperatures (Nugent et al. 1995). This has been interpreted by BE05 as HVG SN having cooler temperatures at the line-forming regions that increase approaching maximum, while LVG SN, on the other hand, have high temperatures already well before maximum. Nugent et al. (1995) suggested the reason: at lower temperatures the blanketing from Fe II and Co II increase the apparent strength of Si II $\lambda$5972, at higher temperatures Fe III and Co III wash out the feature. Moreover, Nugent et al. (1995) showed a correlation between $R$(Si II) at maximum and the peak absolute magnitude, in the direction of brighter SN having lower $R$(Si II) values.

$R$(Si II) measurements for both SN 2014J and SN 2011fe are listed in Table 4. SN 2011fe has $R$(Si II) values higher than SN 2014J during the whole period shown in the left panel of Figure 5. In the pre-maximum phase this can be interpreted as SN 2011fe having lower photospheric temperature due to more line blanketing by Fe and Co, and in the near maximum phase peaking at fainter absolute magnitude. Although the reported values in the literature point to similar or even slightly brighter $M_B$ for SN 2011fe than for SN 2014J (14J: -19.26 ± 0.26 mag Kawabata et al. 2014, -19.19 ± 0.10 Marion et al. 2015; 11fe: -19.45 ± 0.08 Tammann & Reindl 2011, -19.21 ± 0.15 Richmond & Smith 2012) the $\Delta m_{15}$ values for SN 2011fe reported are slightly higher than for 2014J.

According to the relation between the $B$-band peak absolute magnitude and the $\Delta m_{15}(B)$ parameter, which accounts for the brightness decline in the $B$-band fifteen days after maximum (Phillips 1993; Phillips et al. 1999), these two SN lay perfectly on top of the bulk relation of normal SN Ia, well far from the subluminous SN Ia-91bg, and the overluminous super-Chandra SN Ia, as shown in Figure 6. This supports the classification of SN 2014J as a normal SN Ia from a photometric point of view, allowing its use for cosmological analyses.

Due to the lack of very early $R$(Si II) measurements for SN 2014J no definitive classification can be done using BE05 diagram, although it seems to tentatively follow other LVG SN Ia behavior, and definitely have lower $R$(Si II) value at maximum than SN 2011fe. However, the near maximum light $pW$ measurements allowed to position 2014J in the BR06 diagram (See right panel in Figure 5). The BR06 diagram has been populated with data from the Center for Astrophysics Supernova Program (CFA, Blondin et al. 2012) and from the Carnegie Supernova Project (CSP, Folatelli et al. 2013) to define the regions covered by each of the four groups. Although SN 2011fe falls within the CN sector (corresponding to LVG in BE05 diagram) and SN 2014J are within the BL region (which corresponds to HVG in BE05), both are located close to the border defined between the two groups. The resulting BR06 classification is in agreement with what we found in BE05: while both objects tend to follow the behaviors of their corresponding groups, they both seem to be extreme objects of their classes and similar to each other.
As a cross-check for the classification provided by these diagrams, in Figure 7 we show the corresponding diagram presented by WA09. Since SN 2014J also shows higher velocities than SN 2011fe, it is also positioned within the BL group, but in the border with the CN region.

Figure 8. Velocity evolution of the main features in SN Ia spectra. Si II, S II, and Ca pairs are compared on top, and below the Si II λ6355 absorption velocity evolution are presented. SN 2011fe (in blue) and SN 2014J (in red) are shown on top of the CSP sample. In the bottom panel two linear fits have performed to measure $v_{10}$ for both SN 2011fe and 2014J.

4.2 Velocity and pseudo-EW evolution

Figure 8 shows the evolution of the expansion velocities of Si II, S II and Ca II features. In the background, we plotted in each panel the evolution of the velocities of the CSP SN Ia presented in Folatelli et al. (2013) colored by the spectroscopic group defined in BR06 diagram. The overall expansion velocities of all features are higher for SN 2014J with respect SN 2011fe. We note that in all panels, while SN 2011fe is on top of other CN SN Ia velocity evolution, SN 2014J is on the bottom end of the BL group, which stresses it being a BL SN, but very close to the CN group, and is in agreement to what we found in the spectroscopic diagrams. For the Si II λ6355 absorption we calculated the $v_{10}$ for both SN. SN 2014J shows a velocity gradient of $-58.4 \pm 7.3 \, \text{km \ s}^{-1} \, \text{d}^{-1}$, and for SN 2011fe we found $-56.0 \pm 4.8 \, \text{km \ s}^{-1} \, \text{d}^{-1}$, in agreement with Pereira et al. (2013) who found $-59.6 \pm 3.2 \, \text{km \ s}^{-1} \, \text{d}^{-1}$. Although SN 2014J's $v_{10}$ is faster than the value found for SN 2011fe, both values are within the quoted errors.

Figure 9 shows the evolution of the pseudo-equivalent widths of the eight strongest features measured in this work. The average evolution (and the 1σ deviation) of the $pW$ in each feature for the four groups defined by BR06 obtained by measuring the $pW$ from the CSP SN Ia sample are also shown as a reference. The shaded bands show the average and 1σ dispersion of CN and BL SN Ia.
Ia show higher $pW$ Mg II, and $pW$ Si II λ5972, and lower $pW$ S II W values, while SS have lower $pW$ in all features except in the S II W absorption.

SN 2014J seems to be more associated to the BL class if one accounts for the behavior of the Ca II H&K $pW$. The early higher values are characteristic of this group compared to the lower values that both the CN group and SN 2011fe show. This is confirmed by the lower $pW$ values found post-maximum for SN 2014J and the BL group in the S II W feature, and the slightly higher $pW$ values for the Si II λ4130, Si II λ5972, Fe II, and Ca II IR features. For Mg II we only were able to measure the $pW$ up to $\sim$10 days past-maximum light, and no conclusions can be made from this early phase. Finally, the Si II λ6355 $pW$ evolution of both SN 2011fe and SN 2014J is very similar, although the value at maximum light is higher for 14J than for 11fe. In general, BL covers the SN 2014J evolution, and SN 2011fe $pW$s evolution follow the CN strip. However, they both are very similar, which underlines the proximity of the two objects within the two groups.

Figure 10. $R$(Si II) and $v$(Si II 6355) vs $\Delta m_{15}(B)$ diagram. Data from Altavilla et al. (2009) and Benetti et al. (2005) is shown with unfilled symbols, and data from the CSP with filled symbols. SN 2014J (in red) and SN 2011fe (in blue) are situated showing that both are intermediate objects between LVG and HVG groups.

| Epoch | 2011fe | 2014J |
|-------|-------|-------|
| -16   | 0.47  | –     |
| -15   | 0.45  | –     |
| -14   | 0.42  | –     |
| -13   | 0.37  | –     |
| -12   | 0.38  | –     |
| -11   | 0.39  | –     |
| -10   | 0.37  | –     |
| -9    | 0.36  | 0.33  |
| -8    | 0.34  | 0.30  |
| -7    | 0.30  | 0.28  |
| -6    | 0.30  | 0.28  |
| -5    | 0.29  | 0.22  |
| -4    | 0.27  | 0.22  |
| -3    | 0.27  | –     |
| -2    | 0.27  | –     |
| -1    | 0.26  | –     |
| 0     | 0.25  | –     |
| 1     | 0.26  | –     |
| 2     | 0.27  | 0.22  |
| 3     | 0.29  | –     |
| 4     | 0.33  | 0.28  |
| 7     | 0.37  | –     |
| 9     | 0.43  | –     |
| 10    | –     | 0.44  |
| 12    | –     | 0.72  |

### Table 4. $R$(Si II) evolution for both SNe 2011fe and 2014J.

4.3 Spectral properties vs. $\Delta m_{15}(B)$

Figure 10 in its top panel shows the existing correlation between $R$(Si II) and $\Delta m_{15}(B)$. Since $R$(Si II) traces the temperature, and $\Delta m_{15}$ the brightness, the scatter the LVG objects introduces in the tight correlation between HVG and FAINT groups, can be interpreted as a need for a different physical parameter besides temperature to explain the heterogeneity of SN Ia, as discussed in BE05. Both SN 2011fe and 2014J follow the linear trend and, in this diagram SN 2014J is closer to the LVG SN Ia behavior.

We showed in Figure 8 that both SN Ia have similar velocity gradients. Their $\Delta m_{15}$ (1.06 $\pm$ 0.06 for 2014J, averaging the values reported by Tsvetkov et al. 2014; Ashall et al. 2014; Marion et al. 2015; Kawabata et al. 2014, and 1.11 $\pm$ 0.07 for SN 2011fe, from Tammann & Reindl 2011; Richmond & Smith 2012; McClelland et al. 2013; Pereira et al. 2013) are similar as well, so in the bottom panel of Figure 10, they are positioned in the overlapping region between the LVG and the HVG groups. Here we include both the BE05 objects and CSP objects. They seem to agree well with the regions defined by their classifications. FAINT SN Ia have large $\Delta m_{15}$ and $\dot{v}$ values, while the other two groups have lower $\Delta m_{15}$ and are separated by their $\dot{v}$. Here, the expansion velocity gradient, $\dot{v}$, seems to be weakly correlated with $\Delta m_{15}(B)$ for LVG and FAINT groups, while LVG SN Ia are separate from LVG by their larger $\dot{v}$ values.

In all diagnostics, SN 2014J follows the characteristics of BL/LVG SN Ia, being near the boundaries between CN/LVG subclasses. SN 2011fe is in the opposite situation falling in the CN/LVG regions in all parameter spaces, but close to the BL/LVG sector. Looking at most of the parameters studied in this work, the differences between SN 2011fe and 2014J are within the reported uncertainties. The
proposed subclasses are better described by a continuous explosion mechanisms progenitor scenarios. In that sense, the information about the state of the ejecta than the possible ex-

locities around maximum light, as seen in the Wang et al. difference of 1,500 km s$^{-1}$ most noticeable difference between the two objects is the high-velocity groups (according to Wang et al. 2009). The ing to Benetti et al. 2005), and in between the normal and velocity gradient and low velocity gradient groups (accord-

ing to Branch et al. 2006), and Wang et al. (2009) diagrams showing that SN 2014J is an intermediate object between the core-normal and broad-line SN Ia (according to Branch et al. 2006), also intermediate between the high velocity gradient and low velocity gradient groups (according to Benetti et al. 2005), and in between the normal and high-velocity groups (according to Wang et al. 2009). The most noticeable difference between the two objects is the difference of 1,500 km s$^{-1}$ in their Si II 6355 expansion velocities around maximum light, as seen in the Wang et al. (2009) diagram. These diagnostic diagrams give more information about the state of the ejecta than the possible explosion mechanisms progenitor scenarios. In that sense, the proposed subclasses are better described by a continuous sequence than distinct groups, and this is supported by the existence of transitional SN Ia as SN 2011fe and SN 2014J. These findings support the classification of this middle-class SN 2014J as a standard event (Churazov et al. 2015) very similar to the bulk of SN Ia useful for measuring cosmological distances.

5 CONCLUSIONS

We present the compilation of 27 spectra observed between January 22nd and September 1st 2014 with the 2.5m Isaac Newton and 4.2m William Herschel Telescopes, located at the El Roque de Los Muchachos Observatory, La Palma. Observations were taken with different instruments and technical configurations. These and the reduction from the raw data are also described.

We measured several spectral parameters, including velocities, pseudo-equivalent widths, and absorption depths, for the most prominent features, and studied their evolution with time. With this information, and using SN 2011fe for comparison, we discussed the position of SN 2014J in Benetti (2005), Branch et al. (2006), and Wang et al. (2009) diagrams. These and the reduction from the raw observations were taken with different instruments and technical directions for additional work. Based on service observations (program SW2014a08) made with the William Herschel Telescope (WHT), and on discretionary and Spanish CAT service observations made with the Isaac Newton Telescope (INT), both operated on the island of La Palma by the Isaac Newton Group (ING) in the Spanish Observatorio del Roque de los Muchachos of the Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias. We thank the ING Director for having made public the INT data as soon as they were obtained. We also acknowledge the observers who kindly donated their time to monitor SN2014J on both the WHT and the INT. We acknowledge Giuseppe Altavilla, Stefano Benetti for providing the data used in the left panel of Figure 5 and Gastón Folatelli for the CSP data for Figure 5, 7, 8, 9 and 10. Support for LG and MH is provided by the Ministry of Economy, Development, and Tourism’s Millennium Science Initiative through grant IC120009, awarded to The Millennium Institute of Astrophysics, MAS. LG acknowledges support by CONICYT through FONDECYT grant 3140566. Support for MEMR and MM is provided by DGCICYT grant AYA2010-21887-C04-02. This work is partially funded by DGCICYT grant AYA2013-47742-C4-4. P. JIG-H, HL and JAR-M acknowledge financial support by DGICYT grant AYA2013-47742-C4-4.

Table 3. Measured pseudo-equivalent widths (in Å). Epoch referenced to the B band maximum brightness.

| Epoch | Ca II H&K | Si II 4130Å | Mg II | Fe II | S II | W | Si II 5972Å | Si II 6355Å | Ca II trip |
|-------|-----------|-------------|-------|-------|------|---|-------------|-------------|------------|
| -9.6  |           | 15.6(3.1)  | 111.7(6.2) | 139.8(6.7) | 59.4(4.5) | 19.3(1.5) | 115.2(2.9) | 242.2(2.7) |
| -8.5  |           | 13.9(1.5)  | 102.3(1.2) | 136.0(1.6) | 61.7(2.8) | 16.8(1.2) | 111.6(2.4) | 221.7(4.2) |
| -7.4  | 242.8(5.8) | 13.3(2.6)  | 107.3(3.6) | 147.3(3.5) | 66.1(3.8) | 17.2(1.3) | 112.6(3.1) | 198.9(2.8) |
| -6.5  | 15.2(6.7)  | 113.5(4.5) | 153.2(3.4) | 71.0(3.2)  | 17.5(1.1) | 113.0(4.6) | 175.0(6.3) |
| -5.4  | 195.9(8.0) | 15.3(3.4)  | 105.3(4.9) | 149.5(5.5) | 72.1(3.8) | 15.9(1.9) | 112.6(2.3) | 169.9(3.4) |
| -4.6  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| +2.3  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| +3.3  | 122.3(6.0) | 19.4(1.0)  | 103.4(1.9) |           |           |           |           |           |
| +4.2  | 123.0(3.2) | 21.5(1.4)  | 104.3(2.9) |           |           |           |           |           |
| +10.5 |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| +12.4 |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| +17.4 |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| +19.5 |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| +22.5 |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| +24.5 |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| +33.4 |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| +39.1 |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| +44.4 |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| +66.2 |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| +96.2 |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| +97.2 | 137.6(9.4) | 289.2(10.3) | 252.0(8.4) |           |           |           |           |           |
| +99.1 | 165.1(16.0) | 317.3(12.2) | 282.7(7.2) |           |           |           |           |           |
| +137.2 | 152.3(7.0) | 279.3(5.8) |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| +157.2 |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| +212.5 |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
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