Obesity and Male Reproduction; Placing the Western Diet in Context
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There is mounting evidence that obesity has negative repercussions for reproductive physiology in males. Much of this evidence has accumulated from rodent studies employing diets high in fat and sugar (“high fat” or “western” diets). While excessive fats and carbohydrates have long been considered major determinants of diet induced obesity, a growing body of research suggests that the relationships between diet composition and obesity are more complex than originally thought, involving interactions between dietary macronutrients. However, rodent dietary models have yet to evolve to capture this, instead relying heavily on elevated levels of a single macronutrient. While this approach has highlighted important effects of obesity on male reproduction, it does not allow for interpretation of the complex, interacting effects of dietary protein, carbohydrate and fat. Further, the single nutrient approach limits the ability to draw conclusions about which diets best support reproductive function. Nutritional Geometry offers an alternative approach, assessing outcomes of interest over an extended range of dietary macronutrient compositions. This review explores the practical application of Nutritional Geometry to study the effects of dietary macronutrient balance on male reproduction, including experimental considerations specific to studies of diet and reproductive physiology. Finally, this review discusses the promising use of Nutritional Geometry in the development of evidence-based pre-conception nutritional guidance for men.
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INTRODUCTION

Obesity affects millions of people globally. Men of reproductive age (18–64 years) are no exception, with averages of 37.8% (1), 31.4% (2), and 29.9% (3) classified as obese (body mass index ≥ 30 kg/m²) in the United States, Australia, and the United Kingdom, respectively. Because of its epidemiological prevalence, the impacts of obesity have been studied in the context of many biological processes, including reproduction. While much of the literature has focused on female reproduction, a growing body of evidence suggests that obesity and associated metabolic dysfunction can alter spermatozoa on a molecular level (4, 5), negatively affect sperm function (6–8), alter circulating levels of reproductive hormones (9), cause male sub-fertility (10, 11) and impart epigenetic changes to spermatozoa which ultimately decrease offspring metabolic health (12) and reproductive potential (13). In addition, a wide range of intrinsic [e.g., DAZ deletion (14), age (15)] and extrinsic [e.g., radiation exposure (16), tobacco use (17)] factors can contribute to male...
infertility, and may interact with or compound the effects of obesity on male reproduction \((\text{Figure 1})\). While male obesity is generally recognized as an important concern in the context of reproductive medicine \((18–20)\), some studies question the effects of obesity on semen parameters and male fertility \((21–25)\). These inconsistencies and the seriousness of the potential consequences of obesity on male fertility necessitate continued research efforts in this field.

The root cause of obesity is a topic which has been debated for decades. While there is a growing number of risk factors associated with obesity, including sleep, genetic background, and physical activity, diet is the most significant contributor \((26)\). Many have identified lipid as the major dietary determinant \((27, 28)\), but this has been refuted by others who consider carbohydrate to be the major culprit \((29–31)\). In contrast to these single-nutrient explanations, there is mounting evidence that obesity may instead be driven by an altered macronutrient balance in the diet, rather than by high dietary fat or carbohydrate alone \((32–34)\). Despite this, animal studies employing purified diets with elevated concentrations of fat (typically referred to as “high fat” or “western” diets) remain a staple of research investigating diet induced obesity \((35)\). As the understanding of what constitutes an obesogenic diet changes, there is a need to rethink the dietary models which are used to study obesity and its downstream consequences for such factors as reproduction.

In this review, we summarise the limitations of the traditional western diet approach and introduce Nutritional Geometry as a powerful framework for studying the relationships between diet, obesity, and male reproduction. We also highlight important experimental design considerations unique to studying male reproductive physiology. Finally, we pose potential applications for Nutritional Geometry in the context of male reproduction, including a path toward the development of pre-conception nutritional guidelines.

### THE CURRENT APPROACH TO STUDYING OBESITY AND MALE REPRODUCTION

Evidence from animal studies indicates that obesity and associated metabolic disease are deleterious for male reproduction \((\text{Table 1})\). A variety of processes are reportedly impacted by obesity, including testosterone production \((7)\), testicular gene expression \((36–38)\), production of reactive oxygen species \((6, 8)\), and maintenance of the blood-testis barrier \((7)\). These studies compare the effects of diets which contain normal \((10%–18% \text{ of total kcal})\) or high \((40%–60% \text{ of total kcal})\) amounts of fat, with some also incorporating elevated levels of sucrose. Rodent diets high in the proportion of fat are excellent tools to create an obese phenotype, resulting in significantly larger adipose tissue depots \((6)\) and a higher overall percentage body fat compared to lean mass \((37, 42)\). These high-fat diets also often capture metabolic sequelae, including elevated serum cholesterol, triglycerides, glucose, insulin, and leptin \((6, 37, 42)\), though this is not always the case.
| Reference | Diet | Diet type | Species | Age at start | Treatment length | kcal/g | % protein | % carb | % fat | P:C | P:F | Unique ingredients | Outcomes |
|-----------|------|-----------|---------|--------------|------------------|-------|-----------|--------|-------|-----|-----|---------------------|----------|
| MD12037 | 10% fat, Mediscience Ltd | Grain-based | Mouse (C57BL/6) | 5 wk | 10 wk | 20 | 70 | 10 | 3.5 | 0.5 | Disrupted blood-testis barrier, decreased testosterone | Decreased sperm mobility, normal morphology |
| MD12031 | 45% fat, Mediscience Ltd | Grain-based | Purified Mouse (C57BL/6) | 3 wk | 8 wk | 4.5 | 20 | 70 | 10 | 3.5 | 0.5 | Increased Cyp2e1, Cyp19a1, Pparg and Tnf mRNA in testis | Increased sperm DNA fragmentation |
| 824053 | Special Diets Services UK | Purified | Grain-based + purified Mouse (C57BL/6) | 3 wk | 8 wk | 3.7 | 20 | 35 | 45 | 1.8 | 2.3 | Lard | Decreased sperm DNA fragmentation |
| 824052 | Special Diets Services UK | Purified | Grain-based + purified Mouse (C57BL/6) | 3 wk | 8 wk | 4.6 | 17 | 43 | 40 | 2.5 | 2.4 | Ghee | Altered sperm mRNAs |
| 2018S Global, Teklad | Grain-based | Purified | Rat (Sprague-Dawley) | 4 wk | 8 wk | 3.1 | 24 | 58 | 18 | 2.4 | 0.8 | Lard | Decreased sperm activities of lactate and pyruvate dehydrogenases, citrate synthase, respiratory chain complexes, decreased ATP, increased ROS |

(Continued)
While it would be easy to conclude from these studies that avoiding a high-fat diet will safeguard reproductive potential, the reality is more complicated. Nutritional studies have shown that it is not just the amount of energy consumed that matters, but from where this energy is sourced. Most dietary energy comes from the three principal classes of macronutrients—protein, carbohydrate, and fat. Carbohydrates are the main source of metabolic fuel, protein provides amino acids for growth, repair and a minimal contribution of metabolic energy, and fats provide a concentrated source of energy. This is reflected in the energy density of each macronutrient—whereas protein and carbohydrates have around 4 kcal/g, fats contain around 9 kcal/g. This at least partially explains why a high fat diet often leads to increased adiposity, because high fat diets generally contain more calories per gram of food (Table 1). Consequently, it is not clear whether the effects of western diets on male reproduction result from differences in fat or differences in calories. It is thus unclear whether men trying to conceive should be advised to simply eat less or to specifically avoid fats. This distinction is important, as dietary fats are also used in androgen production (46), and therefore the message to avoid fats may actually have negative consequences for male fertility.

Although this review mainly focuses on the impact of over-nutrition on male fertility, studies of undernutrition provide a different lens to examine the overall impact of nutrition on reproduction. Two approaches are commonly used; caloric restriction and low protein diets, respectively reflecting decreased food availability and a common dietary deficiency observed in undernourished children (47). With the focus shifted from fat to protein in these models, a host of interesting findings have come to light, leading to a growing recognition of the importance of dietary protein in health and disease. In the context of male reproduction, dietary protein has been demonstrated to impact weights of reproductive organs, reproductive hormone concentrations (48), testicular architecture and occurrence of apoptosis during spermatogenesis (49), testicular expression of DNA methyltransferases, and sperm DNA methylation (50). Further, the level of protein in a father’s diet has also been shown to alter subsequent pre-implantation embryo gene expression, placental gene expression and imprinting, fetal bone growth (51), fetal and placental weights, placental structure (52), and adult offspring vascular function (53) and metabolism (50). These results highlight why it is important to consider the effects of dietary protein in addition to fats and carbohydrates when investigating the impact of dietary-induced obesity on reproductive outcomes. This is particularly important because in order to increase the percentage contribution of dietary energy of fat in the standard control versus western diet experimental design, the percentage of protein and/or carbohydrates must be decreased.

This problem of failing to consider macronutrient effects in concert is apparent from a comparison of the typical diets used in animal studies of obesity and reproduction. In addition to differences in the proportion of fat that is used to represent a “high fat” diet, the relative proportional reduction in proteins

| Reference | Diet Type | Species | Age at Start | Treatment Length | Macronutrient Composition | Outcomes |
|-----------|----------|---------|--------------|-------------------|--------------------------|----------|
| (41)      | RM3, Special Diet | Grain-based | 11 wk | 21 wk | Macronutrient Composition | Altered testis proteome, decreased Sertoli cell numbers, meiotic index, numbers of post-meiotic round spermatids |
| (42) D12451, Research Diets | Purified | Mouse (C57BL/6) | 11 wk | 21 wk | Macronutrient Composition | Lard, sucrose |
| (43) Pini et al. | Diet Studies and Male Reproduction | | | | | |
and carbohydrates also varies widely across studies (Table 1). Hence, while results are interpreted in the context of the change in fat content, studies are actually comparing diets which differ across their percentages of protein, carbohydrate, and fat (e.g., see Table 1, diet SF04-057 compared to diet SF00-219, Figure 1).

In some cases, studies fix protein and vary only in carbohydrate and fat (7, 36, 37). In this case, control diets (fat 10% of total kcal) are high in carbohydrate (70% of total kcal) and high-fat diets (fat 45%–60% of total kcal) are low in carbohydrate (20%–35% of total kcal). This type of experimental design gives very little opportunity to disentangle the effects of different macronutrients, as it is not possible to conclude whether results are due to high fat alone, or the combination of higher fat and lower carbohydrate and/or protein. In order to better understand the impacts of different diet compositions, a new approach is required, which allows macronutrient impacts to be considered in the context of the whole diet.

Another consideration is that in an important respect, the composition of an experimental dietary treatment is not necessarily the same thing as the consumed diet, even in a no-choice paradigm. This is because an animal restricted to a nutritionally imbalanced food theoretically has the option to eat any one nutrient at the required level, albeit at the cost of over- and/or undereating other nutrients. Thus, a “low protein” experimental treatment might in reality not represent protein deficiency at all, but rather carbohydrate and/or fat surplus.

Many experiments either do not measure intake, or else do not analyze the data to distinguish these possibilities.

### MOVING BEYOND THE WESTERN DIET; INTRODUCING NUTRITIONAL GEOMETRY

#### Background to Nutritional Geometry and Macronutrient Balance

Nutritional Geometry (NG) is a multi-dimensional nutritional framework which assesses how macronutrient balance, rather than an individual macronutrient effect (e.g., high fat alone), impacts a given variable. Animal NG studies related to reproduction have employed a large number of diets which systematically vary across protein, carbohydrate and fat [e.g., (54, 55), Figure 1].

While this can make practical application logistically challenging, it offers a robust experimental design for studying the effects of macronutrient balance, which is more relevant to human obesity. Originally developed in studies of insects (56, 57), NG has since been used to study impacts of diet across a range of invertebrate taxa, particularly locusts, flies, crickets, and cockroaches. Given the adaptability of the framework, NG studies have extended to include many vertebrate species [e.g., fish (58), mice (55), companion animals (59), and non-human primates (60–62)]. Using principles which have been well established in these animal studies, Nutritional Geometry has also been directed increasingly toward human health (63, 64).

One insight to emerge from NG is the “protein leverage hypothesis”, a theory to explain why modern diets are driving the obesity epidemic (34). The PLH posits that food intake in humans is driven most strongly to fulfill a target intake for protein, which passively influences (“leverages”) the intake of non-protein energy (34, 65). A nutrient-specific appetite for protein is widespread among animal species, and evidence that this powerful protein appetite has interacted with a decline in the density of protein in the industrialized food supply to drive human obesity has accumulated rapidly in recent years [e.g., see (33)]. This is seen particularly in the modern diet of Western countries, where commonly consumed ultra-processed foods are low in protein relative to fats and carbohydrates, driving increased overall energy intake (66, 67). The impact of dietary manipulations on food intake is therefore an important consideration and should be measured in studies of nutritional effects on reproduction.

#### Data Visualization Using Nutritional Geometry

One of the major advantages of the NG approach is that it provides a graphical visualization of the effects of macronutrients. Data for each response variable are mapped on to a multidimensional nutrient space, allowing for a generalized overview of how an outcome is impacted by different diet compositions (Box 1). This method allows the individual and interactive effects of nutrients to be explored and disentangled. Results can be interpreted on the basis of the dietary macronutrient proportions (% of total kcal from each nutrient) (68), or absolute macronutrient intakes (g or kcal eaten of each nutrient). Absolute macronutrient intakes are a function of diet composition and the amounts of food an individual consumes. The NG approach provides a platform both for examining the effects of dietary nutrient mixtures on outcomes of interest, and for developing a guide for how experimental diets can be adjusted to achieve a desired outcome. For example, using this framework, it can be seen why diets high in protein are effective for weight loss—less calories are consumed (69). However, this excess protein consumption comes at a cost—animals consuming these high protein/low carbohydrate diets show signs of metabolic disease and have shorter lifespans (70–72).

#### Nutritional Geometry in Action

NG has been used to understand how diet affects different aspects of health, including trade-offs between lifespan and reproduction (55, 70, 73). In general, these studies in mice and insects show that reproductive function is optimized by diets higher in protein content than diets that maximize lifespan. However, the strength of this response differs with sex (55, 70). This may be a true effect of differing nutrient requirements for reproduction in females versus males, or it may be an artefact of difficulties in assessing reproductive function in males (or likely a combination of both). Male reproduction in insects and fish is often assessed by an indirect measure of pre-mating investment in traits such as calling effort (70, 74), pheromone expression (75, 76), size of sexually-selected traits (77), and courting behavior (58). Other studies in both insects and mice have used measures of post-
mating investment including testes and accessory glands size (55), sperm number and quality (78), and mating success (75). Nutrient effects on pre- and post-mating sexual traits can differ (79), and may be age- and context-dependent (80). Therefore, to accurately measure male reproductive function, multiple measures may need to be assessed (81).

A more complete assessment of male reproductive function may also be obtained by examining offspring produced from mating trials. Insect studies examining the proportion of eggs that hatched after standardized females were mated to experimental males have found that protein has negative (82, 83) or non-linear (78) effects on male fertility. Similarly, studies in Drosophila assessing mating in a competitive context have found that male reproductive success is maximized on diets with intermediate levels of protein (84, 85), and a low protein to carbohydrate ratio (86). These studies suggest that while female reproduction may be enhanced by increased dietary protein, male reproduction may be enhanced on lower protein to carbohydrate ratios—a diet similar to that which maximizes lifespan and metabolic health. However, as dietary nutrients have different effects on different aspects of male reproductive function (79), dietary recommendations may need to be specific to the desired outcome. As many of these studies have shown, rarely is one macronutrient wholly responsible for an effect; instead, the balance of macronutrients has often proven to be the most significant factor determining an outcome. Therein lies the advantage of the NG approach in shifting from studying a single nutrient to the interactions of multiple nutrients.

**IMPORTANT NUTRITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS TO IMPROVE AND EXPAND DIET STUDY DESIGNS**

**Caloric Density**

As discussed above, the varying caloric densities of control and western diets present an issue for the interpretation of results. When diets differ in their energy density, whether effects are derived from calories or macronutrients cannot be ascertained—a common point of contention in nutrition research (72). However, energy density can be standardized (made isocaloric) using indigestible fibre (e.g., cellulose), so that while protein, carbohydrate, and fat are at different levels, diets provide the same amount of energy per gram. Thus, isocaloric diets are a useful tool to improve the clarity of results and are commonly used in NG studies [e.g., (87)]. To study the effects of calories using the NG approach, researchers have the option of analyzing data on the basis of calories consumed (which differs only with the amount of food eaten, not the macronutrient balance).
Alternatively, the study design can include a range of different macronutrient compositions at multiple calorie densities (e.g., low 3 kcal/g versus high 5 kcal/g for each combination of protein, carbohydrate, and fat).

**Macronutrient Quality**
Different foods differ in their biochemical profile of amino acids, fatty acids, and carbohydrate types (88, 89). As a result, the primary dietary sources of each macronutrient are likely to be just as important as overall macronutrient balance (90, 91), and a small number of studies support that this extends to reproduction. For example, when protein is supplied at a consistent level, vervet monkeys given animal protein (milk solids) had significantly poorer semen parameters than those fed plant protein (maize and legumes) (92). Similarly, dietary fat differentially impacts testicular enzyme activity depending on whether it is derived from virgin olive oil or butter (93). While virgin olive oil (monounsaturated fat) increased dipeptidyl peptidase IV activity, helping to maintain normal spermatogenesis, butter (saturated fat) increased the activity of gamma glutamyl transeptidase, contributing to maintenance of the intracellular glutathione pool. While research into the effects of macronutrient source on reproduction is currently limited, it is likely to play an important role in response to diet and should also be a consideration in future studies.

**Micronutrients**
Beyond the macronutrients which provide dietary energy, Nutritional Geometry has also proven useful for studying the effects of dietary vitamins and minerals (79, 94). Many micronutrients, including calcium, sodium, zinc, potassium, and magnesium, have important roles in male reproduction, impacting testicular development, semen quality, and sperm biochemical processes (95). Micronutrient imbalances have also been suggested as a causal factor in unexplained female infertility (96). Reduced intake of dietary antioxidants including lycopene, vitamin C, folate, and carotenoids, has been associated with poorer semen parameters (97, 98). In addition, iodine intake outside the recommended range in men has been associated with increased time to conception (99), supporting a critical role for micronutrient balance in fertility. Supplementation of micronutrients, particularly those with antioxidant activity (e.g., vitamins C and E, selenium), has been widely studied as a tool for improving reproductive outcomes of infertile men (100, 101). However, results have varied widely depending on the andrological diagnosis and the type, quantity and duration of micronutrient supplementation. While micronutrients clearly play important roles in male reproductive physiology, there have been no studies which systematically evaluate the impacts of dietary micronutrient intake on reproductive success. Micronutrients are particularly important to consider in the context of obesity, as micronutrient deficiency appears to be common in obese individuals (102, 103). Further, micronutrient supplementation may be able to limit negative effects of obesity on sperm function (104). Overall, there is an ongoing need for systematic research into how dietary micronutrients impact reproduction in both lean and obese males.

**Dietary Restriction**
In studies using both western diets and a NG approach, food is generally provided *ad libitum*. However, another approach used in dietary studies is to restrict either the amount or timing of access to food. There is good evidence that the temporal pattern of intake, including caloric restriction, periodic and intermittent fasting can have important effects in addition to those of diet composition (105). In relation to male reproduction, caloric restriction has been demonstrated to impact testicular gene expression (106, 107), including expression of leptin and ghrelin receptors (108). Intermittent fasting has also been shown to affect testicular gene expression (106), as well as testosterone production (106, 109, 110). As caloric restriction and intermittent fasting remain popular (111) and recommended (112) weight loss strategies, future studies should also seek to investigate the effects of different intake patterns on reproductive health.

**Genetics and the Human Context**
One important consideration which spans both nutrition and reproductive biology, is the contribution of genetics. There are genetic factors, including copy number variants, gene mutations, single nucleotide polymorphisms and chromosomal abnormalities, implicated in obesity (113), and male infertility (114, 115). In the context of obesity, there is also the important consideration of nutrigenomics; the influence of nutrients themselves on gene expression (116). Given the important contribution of a unique genetic background to both response to diet and male fertility, this is a factor which poses a significant limitation in current studies. While C57BL/6 mice are used extensively as a model species in obesity research, this is an inbred sub-strain with limited genetic variability. Further, the consistent diet offered in animal studies does not reflect the depth of dietary variation in humans. While both constraints are inherent limitations of animal studies, they highlight the importance of moving from a single model to a variety of models (e.g., different mouse strains, non-human primates), and eventually to human studies. Making such a transition can allow for analyses based on populations with higher genetic variability. Further, Nutritional Geometry can be used to extend findings of animal studies into more complex human dietary patterns, analyzing either free-choice feeding from a selected range of foods (65) or dietary survey data (117).

**FEATURES OF STUDY DESIGN AND MEASUREMENT SPECIFIC TO MALE REPRODUCTION**
Investigations into how diet and obesity impact male reproductive function require the collaboration of two distinct research fields; nutrition and reproductive biology. In addition to considering the dietary aspect of animal studies, issues specific to studying male reproduction need to be considered. The first of these is the timing and length of diet treatments, which vary considerably in previous western diet-based studies (Table 1). In
agricultural species, a significant body of research shows that many effects of diet (including over and under feeding) observed in pre-pubertal males are different in sexually mature males (118, 119). In terms of treatment length, many studies apply dietary interventions for a minimum of one complete spermatogenic cycle [34.5 days in mice (120), 56 days in rats (121)], to ensure that mature spermatozoa in the ejaculate are “exposed” to treatment throughout the entirety of spermatogenesis. Conversely, some recent studies have indicated impacts of diet on sperm function in the short term (<2 weeks) (104, 122). There is no wrong answer here in terms of when to start and stop treatment, but the interpretation of results should consider whether treatments were applied pre or post-puberty, and how treatment duration relates to sperm development.

Another important consideration is the measurement of reproductive function. Fertility in humans is simply defined as natural conception within 12 months of unprotected intercourse (123), with time to conception commonly used to describe an individual’s likelihood of fertility (11). Previous diet studies have employed a range of assessments, including basic observational measurements [e.g., testis size (55), sperm motility, histology (7)], molecular biology assays [e.g., miRNAseq (12), proteomics (41), enzyme activity (8), oxidative stress markers (6)], and direct measures of conception success [i.e., fertilization rate, blastulation rate, pregnancy rate (37, 39)]. While no one assay provides an infallible measurement of fertility, the combination of several variables will help to build a clearer picture of how diet and obesity impact male reproduction overall.

Finally, there should be a concerted effort to both capture and understand the unique impacts of diet on male compared to female reproductive physiology. It may be tempting to conclude that overall effects of diet on reproduction (i.e., increases or decreases in fertility) observed in one sex are equally applicable to the other sex. However, as discussed above, female and male reproductive performance appear to be optimized on different diets. Further, studies have indicated that female and male reproductive traits are differentially impacted by the same macronutrient ratios (55, 82, 83, 124). For example, male mice consuming a diet with an equal ratio of protein to carbohydrate had the largest testes and seminal vesicles, whereas female mice consuming the same diet had the largest uteri, but frequency of estrus, total follicle count and number of corpora lutea were reduced (55). Ultimately, future studies should endeavour to compare and contrast female and male reproductive responses to diet in order to determine whether the ideal macronutrient ratio to support reproduction is sex-specific.

**DISCUSSION**

As the prevalence of obesity continues to rise, and more negative implications for male reproductive physiology are discovered, its continued study remains a high priority. So far, research has provided strong evidence that a high fat diet negatively impacts male reproduction. However, as posited by the protein leverage hypothesis (34), dietary macronutrient balance rather than fat alone is likely to account for rising levels of obesity in the human population. In this context, the approach that is used to study obesity and the extent to which it captures the reality of the human experience must be considered. Using a tool such as Nutritional Geometry to study many different macronutrient combinations will not only provide information on which diets are detrimental but could also help guide research toward diets which may support reproductive function. This concept is particularly relevant when considering our approach to providing nutritional advice to men who are interested in conceiving.

Despite the observed impacts of obesity on male reproduction and the fact that men report >80% of pregnancies are planned (125), widespread, professional pre-conception nutritional guidance for men remains almost non-existent (126, 127). The advice most commonly given and acted upon by men is to lose weight and eat a healthy diet (126). This is undoubtedly good advice, given the clear negative impacts of obesity on male reproduction (18, 20) and the strong relationship between diet and obesity risk (34, 128). However, there is no clear definition of what a "healthy diet" for reproduction is.

Switching to a "healthy diet" for most men means reducing intakes of foods containing saturated fat and added salt and sugars, and eating a wider variety of unprocessed foods (as recommended by nutritional dietary guidelines). While nutritional guidelines from different countries also give recommendations for macronutrient proportions (e.g., USA: 10%–35% protein, 45%–65% carbohydrate, 20%–35% fat as % of total kcal) (129), it remains unclear whether this diet structure is optimal for male fertility. While observational studies in humans have identified associations between dietary patterns and semen quality (130), the ideal macronutrient balance to support male reproduction is far from being well defined. Importantly, this is not necessarily the same as a diet which supports overall health and longevity, nor the same diet which supports female reproduction (55). In addition, it is not clear whether different advice is required in different contexts—taking other extrinsic, intrinsic, and genetic factors into account. There is a clear need to further explore how diet impacts male reproductive function in order to develop evidence-based pre-conception nutritional guidance for men.

There are many exciting potential applications of Nutritional Geometry in the landscape of male reproduction, covering both fundamental and applied aspects of reproductive research. Beginning with fundamental research conducted in rodent models, results would inform more targeted pre-clinical animal research, as well as nutritional intervention based clinical trials in humans. The information gathered by this approach would provide strong evidence on which to build pre-conception guidelines. On a fundamental level, NG can be used to explore which macronutrient ratio best supports male reproduction, and whether this differs from a) what supports female reproduction and b) what supports overall health. As the impacts of a paternal high fat diet on offspring health and reproduction are rapidly being uncovered (131), NG will likely be useful in exploring new avenues of paternal effects. NG may
also be useful in exploring the effects of macronutrient source and weight loss strategies (e.g., caloric restriction, intermittent fasting) on reproductive function, and whether these factors alter the ideal macronutrient ratio. In the human context, it will be important to determine the impact of differing treatment durations to establish whether diet changes within the relatively short pre-conception planning window (<12 months) are a feasible strategy. With the rising use of assisted reproductive technologies (e.g., IVF) for conception (132), more clinically focused research could use NG to examine whether the ideal dietary macronutrient ratio to support reproduction is applicable outside of natural conception.

Animal studies have used high fat and western diets for decades in the pursuit of understanding the many consequences of obesity. While this approach has produced a wealth of information on the physiological impacts of obesity, it doesn’t tell the whole story and limits what interpretations can be made about the role of diet. Nutritional Geometry shifts the focus from the effect of fat alone to complex and interacting effects of dietary macronutrient balance. Adopting the NG approach in future studies will provide more information on how the overall diet composition impacts male reproduction. In turn, this will allow for the development of evidence-based pre-conception nutritional guidelines for men, to support natural conception and potentially limit negative effects on offspring.
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