The barley mutant *multiflorus2.b* reveals quantitative genetic variation for new spikelet architecture
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Abstract

**Key message** Spikelet indeterminacy and supernumerary spikelet phenotypes in barley *multiflorus2.b* mutant show polygenic inheritance. Genetic analysis of *multiflorus2.b* revealed major QTLs for spikelet determinacy and supernumerary spikelet phenotypes on 2H and 6H chromosomes.

**Abstract** Understanding the genetic basis of yield forming factors in small grain cereals is of extreme importance, especially in the wake of stagnation of further yield gains in these crops. One such yield forming factor in these cereals is the number of grain-bearing florets produced per spikelet. Wild-type barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) spikelets are determinate structures, and the spikelet axis (rachilla) degenerates after producing single floret. In contrast, the rachilla of wheat (*Triticum ssp.*) spikelets, which are indeterminate, elongates to produce up to 12 florets. In our study, we characterized the barley spikelet determinacy mutant *multiflorus2.b* (*mul2.b*) that produced up to three fertile florets on elongated rachillae of lateral spikelets. Apart from the lateral spikelet indeterminacy (LS-IN), we also characterized the supernumerary spikelet phenotype in the central spikelets (CS-SS) of *mul2.b*. Through our phenotypic and genetic analyses, we identified two major QTLs on chromosomes 2H and 6H, and two minor QTLs on 3H for the LS-IN phenotype. For, the CS-SS phenotype, we identified one major QTL on 6H, and a minor QTL on 5H chromosomes. Notably, the 6H QTLs for CS-SS and LS-IN phenotypes co-located with each other, potentially indicating that a single genetic factor might regulate both phenotypes. Thus, our in-depth phenotyping combined with genetic analyses revealed the quantitative nature of the LS-IN and CS-SS phenotypes in *mul2.b*, paving the way for cloning the genes underlying these QTLs in the future.

Introduction

Grass inflorescences are constituted by the ordered arrangement of spikelets (specialized flower-bearing structures) either directly on the inflorescence axis (rachis) or on the branches differentiated from the inflorescence axis. The Triticeae grasses display characteristic spike-shaped inflorescences with sessile spikelets attached to
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the inflorescence axis in a distichous phyllotaxis (Bonnett 1935, 1936; Kellogg et al. 2013). Among Triticeae, wheat (Triticum spp.) and barley (Hordeum spp.) represent the most economically important temperate cereal species (Schnurbusch 2019). Based on the architectural differences at the spikelet and spike levels, wheat and barley inflorescences can be classified into (i) determinate or (ii) indeterminate spikes (Bonnett 1966). In the determinate spikes of wheat, the inflorescence apex culminates with a terminal spikelet, producing a fixed number of spikelets per inflorescence. However, the spikelets in the wheat spike are indeterminate with multiple flowers (florets; up to 12), each produced at the articulation junction of the spikelet axis called rachilla (Fig. 1e). In contrast, the inflorescence apex of barley remains indeterminate (no terminal spikelet), and the rachilla ceases to elongate upon initiation of the first floret and remains as a suppressed structure rendering determinacy to the spikelet (Fig. 1b). Thus, the spikelet determinacy or indeterminacy is dependent upon the extent of rachilla suppression or elongation.

The genetic control of determinate spikelet fate was studied in rice (Oryza sativa L.), wheat, and maize (Zea mays L.). In these three species, orthologous APETALA 2 (AP2) transcription factors, such as maize INDETERMINATE SPIKELET1 (IDS1)/TASSELSEED 6 (Chuck et al. 1998, 2007, 2008), rice IDS1 (Lee and An 2012), and wheat Q (AP2L5) (Debernardi et al. 2017; Greenwood et al. 2017; Simons et al. 2006), were shown to negatively control floret number per spikelet by inhibiting rachilla elongation. The paralog of maize IDS1, sister of IDS1 (SIDS1), and rice SUPERNUMERARY BRACT also regulate floret number per spikelet by inhibiting rachilla elongation (Chuck et al. 2008; Lee and An 2012; Lee et al. 2007). Interestingly, the corresponding barley ortholog of IDS1, i.e., HvAP2L-H5, was shown to promote the indeterminate nature of the inflorescence apex and also spikelet determinacy probably by regulating rachilla elongation (Zhong et al. 2021). Apart from the Q gene in wheat, the GRAIN NUMBER INCREASE 1 (GNI1) is shown to inhibit rachilla development and growth and thereby regulates floret number per spikelet (Sakuma
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**Fig. 1** Spike and spikelet phenotypes of cv. Montcalm and derived mutant mul2.b. a cv. Montcalm and mul2.b spikes. The dorsal triple-spikelet nodes of Montcalm and mul2.b are stripped-off in order to visualize the rachilla of the lateral spikelets from ventral nodes. The rachilla of lateral spikelets in Montcalm and the second floret on the elongated rachilla of mul2.b are colored in yellow and blue, respectively. b Triple-spikelet node of Montcalm with rachilla of central and lateral spikelets colored in yellow. c Triple-spikelet node of mul2.b mutant showing the formation of the second floret in lateral spikelet nodes (LS–F2). The rachilla on LS–F2 is colored in yellow. d The lateral spikelet node of mul2.b showing the formation of the second (LS–F2) and third florets (LS–F3). e A representative wheat spikelet with six visible florets (F1–F6). f mul2.b spike showing additional florets in lateral spikelets (blue colored) and supernumerary spikelets (green colored) on the abaxial side of primary central spikelets (indicated by yellow stars). Awns in all images (except 1E) are clipped-off for better visualization of spikelet features.
et al. 2019; Sakuma and Schnurbusch 2020). The sham rami-fication 1 (shrl) and shrl2 mutants in tetraploid wheat show an elongated rachilla. The genes underlying these loci are not known yet (Amagai et al. 2014).

In rice, genes that potentially regulate spikelet determinacy include LEAFY HULL STERILE 1 (OsMADS1 (Jeon et al. 2000)), MOSAIC FLORAL ORGANS 1 (AGAMOUS LIKE 6 (Ohmori et al. 2009)), and MULTIFLORET SPIKE-LET1 (AP2/ERF; (Ren et al. 2013)). Mutations in these genes promote rachilla elongation and extra floret formation rendering spikelets indeterminate. Apart from these, rice genes such as DOUBLE FLORET1/EXTRA GLUME 1, FLORAL ORGAN NUMBER 4, TONGARI-BOUSHI 1, and LATERAL FLORET 1 are also shown to regulate the floret number/spikelet probably through suppression of rachilla elongation (Ren et al. 2018, 2019; Tanaka et al. 2012; Zhang et al. 2017). The results from these studies provided us with a lead that the spikelet determinacy is a plastic trait modulated by the genetic makeup of respective grass species and that the extent of rachilla elongation or suppression potentially defines the floret number per spikelet.

Within Triticeae, barley shows a distinctive spike architecture, imparted by the distichously arranged triple-spikelet units along the rachis. Each spikelet tripllet is constituted by one central (CS) and two lateral spikelets (LS), and the two- and six-rowed barley types are defined based on the fertility status at the LSs. In two-rowed barley, the CSs are fertile and produce grains, while LSs remain sterile, whereas in six-rowed, both CSs and LSs are fertile and bear grains. The fertility status of lateral spikelets is regulated by SIX-ROWED SPIKE 1 [VRS1 (Komatsuda et al. 2007)], VRS2 (Youssef et al. 2017), VRS3 (van Esse et al. 2017; Bull et al. 2017), VRS4 (Koppolu et al. 2013), and VRS5 (Ramsey et al. 2011), while VRS1 is thus far the most downstream component known in the row-type gene network (Koppolu et al. 2013; van Esse et al. 2017; Bull et al. 2017; Sakuma et al. 2013). Thus, the archetypical barley spike possesses one CS positioned on the spike axis with an acute angle, flanked by two LSs positioned slightly away from the spike axis. In wheat, a single spikelet per rachis node is generated with an acute angle to the rachis similar to barley CS. In both wheat and barley, spike mutants that deviate from such a canonical arrangement of spikelets exist that have the potential to generate more spikelets and grains. In barley, a class of mutants called extrafloret (flo, flo-a, -b, and -c) develop either a complete extra or supernumerary spikelet (the locus name extrafloret is a misnomer) or rudimentary floral bracts at the base of typical CS on the abaxial side of the same rachis node (Druka et al. 2011; Lundqvist and Franckowiak 2015). The causal genes underlying these loci are not known. A similar supernumerary spikelet (SS) phenotype is also evident in mutants of barley COMPOSITUM1, i.e., COM1 (Poursarebani et al. 2020), COM2 (Poursarebani et al. 2015), and VRS4 (Koppolu et al. 2013). The wheat ortholog of barley COM2 was also shown to regulate SS formation in hexaploid- (Dobrovolskaya et al. 2015) and tetraploid-branched miracle wheats (Tibb; Sakuma and Schnurbusch 2020; Wolde et al. 2021; Wolde et al. 2019). Apart from these genes, the SS phenotype in wheat is also conditioned by the photoperiod-dependent floral induction gene, PHOTOPERIOD 1 (PPD1), and the axillary meristem growth regulator TEOSINTE BRANCHED 1 (TB1). Both PPD1 and TB1 regulate SS formation by regulating FLOWERING LOCUS T1 (FT1) that induces a cascade of floral meristem identity genes important for floral induction (Boden et al. 2015; Dixon et al. 2018).

In this study, we investigated the inheritance of spikelet determinacy (floret number per spikelet) and supernumerary spikelet phenotypes in a barley X-ray induced mutant “multiflorus2.b” (mul2.b; progenitor—Montcalm). The barley mul2.b mutants produce non-canonical multi-floreted, inde-terminate spikelets with elongated rachillae, a feature reminiscent of wheat spikelets. Moreover, our in-depth mutant phenotyping and allelism analyses show that mul2.b also induces the supernumerary spikelet phenotype. Based on our analyses using exome capture-based bulked segregant analysis, genetic and QTL mapping studies, we reveal that the multi-floreted and supernumerary spikelet phenotypes show quantitative inheritance patterns.

### Materials and methods

#### Plant material and growth conditions

All experiments reported in this study were conducted at the Leibniz Institute of Plant Genetics and Crop Plant Research, Gatersleben, Germany. Barley genotypes cv. Montcalm, cv. Morex, multiflorus2.b (mul2.b; GSHO 1394)—a betatron-induced X-ray mutant of Montcalm—and Bowman near-isogenic line mutant extrafloret.a—BM-NIL(flo.a) were used for various analyses conducted in this study. The majority of experiments were conducted under greenhouse conditions at the IPK in the period between 2014 and 2020. For greenhouse experiments, initially, barley grains were germinated in 96 well planting trays and grown at 16 h/8 h (light/dark; photoperiod), and 20 °C/16 °C (light/dark; temperature) for two weeks. After collecting leaf material for DNA extraction, the plants were vernalized at 4 °C for four weeks and then acclimatized at 15 °C in the greenhouse for a week. Later the plants were potted into 14 cm (Ø) pots and grown at 16 h/8 h (light/dark; photoperiod), and 20 °C/16 °C (light/dark; temperature) until maturity. Standard practices for irrigation, fertilization, and control of pests and diseases were followed. The genotypes Montcalm and mul2.b were evaluated under field conditions in 2015 and 2016 at IPK for the
grain morphometry parameters. Each genotype was grown in three independent plots measuring 1 × 1.5 m², with a sowing density of 300 grains/m². Each plot consisted of six rows with 20 cm spacing between rows.

**Mapping populations and phenotyping**

For genetic mapping of the traits lateral spikelet indeterminacy (LS-IN) and central spikelet supernumerary spikelet (CS-SS) phenotypes, the mutant parent *mul2.b* was crossed with Morex and Montcalm to generate two independent mapping populations, Morex×*mul2.b* and *mul2.b*×Montcalm. The F₁ hybrids were selfed, and the resulting F₂ individuals and F₂:₃ families were used for phenotypic and genotypic analyses. To test the allelism between *mul2.b* and BM-NIL(*flo.a*), reciprocal crosses were made between the two mutants, and the CS-SS phenotype was analyzed at F₁ and F₂ generations.

The Morex×*mul2.b* (POP 2014–1; 163 F₂s) and *mul2.b*×Montcalm (POP 2014–2; 130 F₂s) populations were initially phenotyped in 2014 under greenhouse conditions. The LS-IN phenotype was scored as strong or moderate to weak and wild-type based on visual observation of rachilla elongation or extra floret phenotype in all spikes of each F₂ plant after grain filling. F₂ plants with strong phenotype showed approximately 75% of spikes with a second floret that either produced a grain or remained empty, whereas the F₂ lines that showed elongated rachilla or improperly formed second floret were scored into weak-to-moderate phenotype class. The CS-SS phenotype in central spikelets was quantified by counting the number of occurrences of extra spikelets or rudimentary bract-like structures on all spikes of each F₂ plant.

In 2015, we phenotyped Morex×*mul2.b* population again under greenhouse conditions (136 F₂; POP 2015) by quantifying both LS-IN and CS-SS phenotypes. For quantification of LS-IN phenotype, we classified the phenotype into *mul2.b* class-I, -II, -III, and -IV based on the additional floret or rachilla elongation phenotype (please see results section for the description of each phenotypic class). The number of instances of *mul2.b*-I, -II, -III, and -IV phenotypes was recorded for all lateral spikelet positions on spike-bearing tillers of each F₂ plant. Similarly, the number of instances of supernumerary spikelets born from the central spikelet region was counted.

In 2016, a relatively larger F₂ population of Morex×*mul2.b* was further phenotyped under greenhouse conditions (177 F₂; POP 2016) for the LS-IN and CS-SS phenotypes. The phenotype quantification data from POP 2016 was used for whole-genome QTL mapping.

For scoring the CS-SS trait in F₂s of BM-NIL(*flo.a*)×*mul2.b*, the phenotype was classified into six classes. These include WT (no phenotype), very weak (one spike with CS-SS phenotype out of all spikes/plant), weak (~10–15% of spikes with CS-SS phenotype), moderate (~25% of spikes with CS-SS phenotype), strong (~50% of spikes with CS-SS phenotype), and very strong (~75–100% of spikes with CS-SS phenotype.)

**Grain morphometry analysis of Montcalm and mul2.b**

To evaluate grain morphometry parameters of Montcalm and *mul2.b*, spikes from the field (2015 and 2016) and greenhouse (2020) grown plants were hand-harvested and threshed. Representative grain samples from each genotype (3–4 grain samples/plot/genotype) were analyzed for the thousand-grain weight (TGW), grain area (mm²), grain width (mm), and grain length (mm) using a digital seed analyzer/counter Marvin (GTA Sensorik GmbH, Neubrandenburg, Germany). From the greenhouse experiment (2020), the grain morphometry parameters were collected for central and lateral spikelet grains independently. For this analysis, central and lateral spikelet grains of twelve independent plants from each genotype were used. Apart from these, traits such as plant height, total productive and unproductive tillers were scored from the greenhouse experiment (2020).

**Phenotyping of montcalm and mul2.b immature spikes**

Immature spikes of Montcalm and *mul2.b* genotypes were phenotyped from Waddington stage W2.0 (double ridge) to W6.0 (Waddington et al. 1983) to follow rachilla development in lateral spikelets. The spike meristems from respective genotypes were hand-dissected and staged under a stereomicroscope (Zeiss Stemi 2000-C; Carl Zeiss™). The maximum yield potential in Montcalm and *mul2.b* (number of rachis nodes per spike, three spikelets per node) was counted on the main culm spike approximately at W8.0.

**Scanning electron microscopy**

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was performed on immature spikes that are approximately at W4.0, W4.5, W5.0, W5.5, and W6.0 (central spikelet staging) from greenhouse-grown plants. SEM was conducted following an established protocol at IPK (Lolas et al. 2010; Poursarebani et al. 2020).

**Exome sequencing of Morex×mul2.b–POP 2014–1**

For exome sequencing, the DNAs from 25 wild-type and 27 mutant F₂ lines were bulked from Morex×*mul2.b* population grown in 2014. The two DNA bulks were subjected to exome capture and sequencing according to Mascher.
Marker development, linkage, and QTL analysis on 2H and 6H chromosomes

The LS-IN and CS-SS phenotype data from Morex × mul2.b –2015 (POP 2015) was used for marker and phenotype linkage analysis on 2H and 6H barley chromosomes. For mapping, the polymorphic SNPs were selected based on exome capture SNPs from chromosomes 2H and 6H where allele frequency differences between mutant and wild-type bulks reached their maximum. On chromosome 2H, 11 SNPs were selected in the interval 33.70–87.70 cM (Morex POPSEQ positions). On 6H, seven SNPs were selected in the interval 31.90–92.20 cM. Selected SNPs were converted to restriction enzyme-based cleaved amplified polymorphic sequence (CAPS) markers (Supplementary Table 1) using Neb cutter v2.0 (New England Biolabs Inc). Restriction digestion was performed according to the manufacturer’s protocols. Resulting DNA fragments were separated on 2% agarose gels for genotyping. Genotypic and phenotypic data were subjected to linkage and QTL analyses in Joinmap 4.1 and Genstat 19, respectively.

GBS library construction, sequencing, read alignment, and variant calling

Total genomic DNA from the F2 lines of Morex × mul2.b (POP 2016) was extracted as described in Poursarebani et al. (2020). Genomic DNA was digested with PstI and MspI restriction enzymes and processed for GBS library construction as described in Wendler et al. (2014). Individually, barcoded samples were pooled in equimolar concentrations and sequenced on the Illumina HiSeq 2500 (single-read, 107 cycles), using custom sequencing primer (Wendler et al. 2014) as given in manufacturer’s protocol (Illumina Inc). GBS reads were trimmed using cutadapt (Martin 2011) and aligned to the reference genome sequence of Morex (Mascher et al. 2017) with BWA-MEM v0.7.12a (Li 2013). Alignment files were converted to binary alignment map (BAM) format with SAMtools (Li et al. 2009), sorted by reference position, and indexed with NovoSort (Novocraft Technologies Sdn Bhd, Malaysia, http://www.novocraft.com/). Variants were called using SAMtools/BCFtools v1.3 specifying the option “–DV” while running SAMtools mpileup (Li 2011), to obtain the per-sample number of non-reference reads. An AWK script (available at https://bitbucket.org/ipk_dg_public/vcf_filtering) was used to filter the Variant Call Format (VCF) output file and call genotypes based on the ratio between the depth of the alternative allele and the total read depth (DV/DP). A minimum mapping quality score of 40 was applied. The SNP matrix was created applying the following threshold: a minimum read depth of 2X per genotype and an overall maximum fraction of missing calls of 80%. Only bi-allelic SNPs were considered. Data for SNPs was filtered with ≤ 10% missing data points, two or more linked markers showing identical marker scores across all F2 individuals (no recombination), and variants showing distorted marker scores. The final variant set was composed of 605 markers and was used for genetic linkage mapping.

Whole-genome linkage mapping with GBS markers

Genetic linkage maps were constructed with data from 605 GBS markers. Markers were initially grouped according to the SNP physical position assignment based on Morex reference. Markers from each group were then ordered using the maximum likelihood mapping algorithm and default linkage parameters in Joinmap 4.1. Recombination frequencies between markers were converted to map distances by the Kosambi mapping function.

Phenotypic data analyses of Morex × mul2.b – POP 2016

Phenotypic data for LS-IN and CS-SS traits were collected from up to 12 tillers. To calculate the individual variance components of the genotype, tillers, and residuals, the following linear mixed-effect model was used by assuming all effects except the intercept as random:

\[ y_{ij} = \mu + g_i + t_j + \epsilon_{ij} \]  

(1)

where \( y_{ij} \) is the phenotypic value of the \( i^{th} \) genotype in the \( j^{th} \) tiller, \( \mu \) is the common intercept term, \( g_i \) is the effect of the \( i^{th} \) genotype, \( t_j \) is the effect of the \( j^{th} \) tiller, and \( \epsilon_{ij} \) is the corresponding residual term as \( \epsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2_\epsilon) \) with \( I \) and \( \sigma^2_\epsilon \) being the identity matrix and residual variance, respectively. The repeatability \((H^2)\) among the tillers was accordingly calculated as:

\[ H^2 = \frac{\sigma^2_g}{\sigma^2_g + \left( \frac{\sigma^2_\epsilon}{nT} \right)} \]  

(2)

where \( \sigma^2_g \) and \( \sigma^2_\epsilon \) denote the variance components of the genotype and residuals, respectively; \( nT \) represents
the number of tillers. The best linear unbiased estimations (BLUEs) across tillers for a given genotype were calculated by assuming the intercept and genotype effects as fixed in Eq. 1. It should be noted that we evaluated the traits in an F₂ population; therefore, by necessity, we collected the phenotypic data from single plants. The calculations mentioned above were performed to (i) observe if there exists a large and significant \( P < 0.001 \) variance for the spike-bearing tillers, and (ii) normalize the phenotypic value of the investigated traits per spike since it gives a more meaningful view of the studied traits. The BLUEs were plotted in the form of histograms. To observe the genetic nature of the investigated traits, the Shapiro–Wilk test was performed to monitor if the data were normally distributed at \( P < 0.05 \). Moreover, to observe the genetic relationship among the investigated traits, Pearson’s product-moment correlation was calculated based on the BLUEs as:

\[
\rho = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \bar{y})^2}},
\]

where \( x \) and \( y \) denote the BLUEs of the studied traits. Unless stated otherwise, all calculations were performed in software R (R core team) using package lme4 (Bates et al. 2015).

**Whole-genome QTL mapping in Morex × mul2.b–POP 2016**

The BLUEs from LS-IN (mul2.b-I, -II, III, and -IV) and CS-SS phenotypes and 605 GBS marker data were used for QTL mapping in Genstat 19. Initially, simple interval mapping (SIM) was conducted with single-environment, single-trait linkage analysis. A step size of 5 cm, genome-wide significance level (alpha) of 0.05, and minimum co-factor proximity of 50 cm were used for QTL detection. The minimum separation distance for two consecutive QTLs was set at 30 cm. The co-factors identified from SIM were used for QTL analysis by composite interval mapping (CIM). This process was repeated until no new QTLs were detected. The final QTL model was selected based on background selection (significance 0.05) on the selected co-factors. QTL boundaries (lower and upper), additive, dominance effects, and phenotypic variation explained by the QTL were defined by using the final QTL model. The procedure was repeated for QTL detection in all traits scored. Genetic linkage maps and QTL data were graphically represented using MapChart 2.2 (Voorrips 2002).

**Phenotypic analysis of LS-IN and CS-SS in F₂:3**

To validate the genetic and phenotypic effects among QTL loci identified in F₂, we performed the phenotypic analysis in F₂:3 for LS-IN and CS-SS phenotypes. For this purpose, we have generated an F₂:3 population comprising 1180 individuals segregating for Morex and mul2.b alleles at 2H and 6H QTLs. The F₂:3 lines were genotyped with at least four CAPS markers linked to the 2H (M269389, M5729, 2,560,790, and M49460) and two markers linked to 6H (FL277086, and FL42060) QTLs. All F₂:3 lines were phenotyped for floret number in LSs and SS phenotype in CSs. The combinations of 2H and 6H allele frequencies and the corresponding phenotypic values for the respective genotypes are plotted as box plots. All box plots in this study were generated using BoxPlotR (Spitzer et al. 2014).

**Results**

**Lateral spikelet determinacy is relaxed in the multiflorus2.b**

Spikelets of barley are commonly determinate structures with a single floret being produced per spikelet on its axis, i.e., rachilla. After the first floret formation, the elongation of the rachilla is stopped, and the rest of the rachilla remains as a thin, pale yellow-colored suppressed structure adaxial to the floret (positioned between rachis and palea of the floret; Fig. 1a, b). This scenario applies to both CS and LSs of all spikelet triplets along the inflorescence axis. However, in the LSs of mul2.b mutants, upon initiation of the first floret (LS-F1), the rachilla further elongates which leads to the development of a second floret (LS-F2) at the articulation junction of the elongated rachilla (Fig. 1a, c). In mul2.b mutants, the elongated rachilla becomes visible on the adaxial side of the second floret (LS-F2; Fig. 1c). Often the elongated rachilla on the second floret of mul2.b LSs is enlarged, pale green-colored, indicating that the rachilla elongation is not completely abolished after the second floret formation. In line with this, the rachilla in mul2.b LS occasionally elongates beyond the second floret and bears a third floret (LS-F3; Fig. 1d). Thus, the rachilla elongation suppression in LSs appears to be lost in mul2.b rendering the lateral spikelets indeterminate, a feature reminiscent of indeterminate wheat spikelets (Fig. 1e). The rachilla elongation and formation of second and third florets were predominantly restricted to the basal half of the spike (Fig. 1a).

To understand the developmental events during the rachilla primordium initiation and elongation, we undertook scanning electronic microscopy (SEM) analysis in wild-type progenitor Montcalm. The general barley spike meristem developmental progression starts with the transition of vegetative shoot apical meristem into reproductive inflorescence meristem (IM). The IM initiates spikelet ridge meristem that further differentiates into one central (CSM) and two lateral spikelet meristems (LSM),
followed by the formation of two glume primordia in the flanks of each spikelet meristem (Koppolu and Schnurbusch 2019). At this point, in Montcalm, we observed that the floret meristem (FM) first differentiates lemma primordium on the abaxial side. After the initiation of lemma primordium, the adaxial side of the floral meristem buds-off to initiate the rachilla primordium (Fig. 2a). Later, the residual floral meristem is consumed into the initiation of lodicule, stamen, and carpel primordia (Fig. 2b–e). The turn of meristem differentiation events is identical in both CSM and LSM. However, visualizing rachilla primordium in CSM is technically challenging especially at the very early stages of spike meristem development due to the acute angle between CSM and the axis of the spike meristem. Hence, we followed the rachilla primordium development in LSs that are positioned away from the spike meristem axis at floral primordia initiation time points such as Waddington scale 4.0 (W4.0), W4.5, W5.0, W5.5, and W6.0 (Waddington et al. 1983). In general, the organ primordia differentiated from the floret meristem
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of Montcalm tended to grow normally with an apparent increase in size over the immature spike developmental phases analyzed (Fig. 2a–e). However, the rate of rachilla primordium growth appeared to be slowed down compared to other organ primordia differentiated from the FM (Fig. 2a–e). At W5.0 (staged based on central spikelet development), the rachilla is barely visible in the lateral spikelets located at the base and middle of the spike (developmentally advanced regions of the spike; Fig. 2c). Later on, during the spike growth period, the rachilla ceases to grow and remains as a suppressed structure in Montcalm (as seen in Fig. 1a, b). In the mul2.b mutant, the rachilla primordium was initiated after the differentiation of lemma primordium similar to Montcalm (Fig. 2f). Nevertheless, the rachilla primordium of mul2.b LSs was not retarded in development and continued to increase in size along with other floral organs differentiated from floret meristem (Fig. 2f–k). Over different phases of immature spike development, the rachilla primordium elongated further to form a second FM (Fig. 2i). Importantly, the rachilla elongation and formation of additional FM in mul2.b was restricted to the LSs, whereas the rachilla of CS remained as a suppressed structure (Fig. 2k).

Since the LSs of mul2.b mutants produced additional florets that are frequently fertile and grain-bearing, we evaluated various yield and biomass-related traits in mul2.b and Montcalm. Interestingly, the immature spikes of mul2.b showed a significantly increased maximum yield potential compared to Montcalm (Median spikelet number at W8.0–Montcalm 88.5 spikelet primordia; mul2.b 102 spikelet primordia; p-value: 5.07E-13). However, the number of fertile spikelets was significantly lowered in mul2.b (Median fertile spikelets–39 in mul2.b, 45 in Montcalm; p-value: 0.001) probably owing to the enhanced sink strength in the basal half of the spike and consequent post-anthesis growth abortion of spikelets in the apical part of the spike (Fig. S1a–c). The grain parameters such as thousand-grain weight (TGW), grain area (GrA), width (GW) and length (GL) did not show significant differences between Montcalm and mul2.b when grains from CS and LS were analyzed together (Fig. S2a–d). However, the differences for these traits became apparent between Montcalm and mul2.b when the CS and LS grains were measured separately. Interestingly, the TGW of CS grains was significantly increased in mul2.b (p-value: 0.020) due to the slight increase in grain area, mainly contributed by the increase in GW (Fig. S2e–h). The TGW of LSs did not show a significant difference between Montcalm and mul2.b (Fig. S2i). The parameters GW and GL followed opposite tendencies in mul2.b LSs where GW was slightly higher and GL was significantly lowered (p-value: 3.61E-05) compared to Montcalm, probably leading to similar GrA and TGW (Fig. S2i–l). Apart from the grain parameters, the plant height was significantly reduced in mul2.b (p-value: 0.020), whereas the number of tillers including productive spike-bearing tillers and unproductive tillers remained the same (Fig. S1d–g).

Lateral spikelets of mul2.b display a gradual rachilla elongation phenotype

The extent of rachilla elongation and the type of additional structures being formed in the LSs of mul2.b varied among spikelets of each spike. To quantify these additional structures, we devised a score to classify them into four phenotypic component classes such as mul2–class-I (mul2-I), mul2-II, mul2-III, and mul2-IV. The mul2-I had the strongest LS rachilla elongation phenotype with the formation of a second floret that is often fertile and an occasional third floret (Fig. 3b, c, and Fig. S3b). Also, the second floret with an elongated awn is part of the mul2-I class. The reproductive organ development is mostly complete in mul2-I except for the occasional lack of carpel growth. The second floret of mul2-II is comparatively smaller without awn elongation (Fig. 3d, e and Fig. S3c). Occasionally, the second floret in the mul2-II class had stamens but carpel growth is completely abolished. In the mul2-III class, the unsuppressed rachilla showed bi- or trifurcation at the base that further elongated into filament-like structure (Fig. 3f, g and Fig. S3d). The mul2-IV phenotypic class is similar to mul2-III except that the unsuppressed rachilla did not elongate into a filament-like structure (Fig. 3h, i and Fig. S3e). In both mul2-II, and -IV, the elongated rachilla did not attain a second floret identity as seen in mul2-I and mul2-II. The spatial occurrence of mul2-I, -II, -III, -IV individual component classes did not show any preferential pattern in the spike indicating the random nature of their occurrence along the spike axis (Fig. S4). Throughout this report, we referred to the rachilla elongation phenotype of LSs as lateral spikelet indeterminacy (LS-IN).

Supernumerary spikelet formation in central spikelets of mul2.b

In contrast to the loss of spikelet determinacy in the LSs, the mul2.b mutant showed another interesting feature: the formation of supernumerary spikelets (SS) exclusively in the CS. The SSs were formed abaxial to the typical CSs (Fig. 1f). The observed supernumerary spikelet phenotype in mul2.b ranged from the formation of rudimentary floral bract-like structures to complete spikelets with occasional grain formation (Fig. 1f). Interestingly, the SSs that originated at the CSs were occasionally indeterminate with rachilla elongation and formation of second floret similar to the indeterminate LS phenotype in mul2.b (Fig. 1f). In this report, we termed the SS phenotype on the CS as “CS-SS.”
Genetic mapping identifies genomic regions responsible for the LS-IN and CS-SS phenotypes

Previous studies reported that the LS-IN trait in *mul2.b* showed a monogenic recessive inheritance pattern (Walker et al. 1963). Hence, we developed two F2 mapping populations by crossing *mul2.b* with Morex (*Morex × mul2.b*; POP 2014–1) and Montcalm (*mul2.b × Montcalm; POP 2014–2) for genetic mapping. Of the 163 F2 lines phenotyped from POP 2014–1, 35 and 83 F2s showed strong and weak-to-moderate LS-IN phenotypes, respectively, while 45 F2s were WT, suggesting that the observed phenotype segregation was in contradiction with the previously proposed monogenic recessive inheritance pattern for the LS-IN trait (Walker et al. 1963). From our phenotypic analysis, the LS-IN phenotype appeared to follow an incomplete dominance with homozygous mutant F2s showing strong and the heterozygous F2s showing weak to moderate LS-IN phenotypes ($\chi^2 = 0.591$, p-value = 0.442).

A similar incomplete dominant inheritance was also observed for the POP 2014–2 (Supplementary Table 2). With respect to the CS-SS phenotype, 99 F2s of POP 2014–1 showed the phenotype, whereas 64 F2s did not show a phenotype, and hence, were wild-type for CS-SS. The majority of the F2s, with CS-SS phenotype also had the LS-IN phenotype (70 out of 99 F2s), while 29 F2s that were wild-type for LS-IN also showed a weak CS-SS phenotype. Thus, the phenotypic inheritance analysis in F2s indicated that the *mul2.b* phenotype is in fact a combination of LS-IN and CS-SS phenotypes.

Fig. 3 Phenotype classification for lateral spikelet indeterminacy. a Representative spikelet triplet showing wild-type rachilla of lateral and central spikelets. b-e elongated lateral spikelet rachilla developing class-I (b, c) and class-II additional florets (d, e). F-g Class-III rachilla elongating into a thin and long filament-like structure. (H-I) Class-IV phenotype showing a bifurcated (b) or wedge-shaped rachilla in lateral spikelets (i). Rachilla/additional florets/rachilla malformations in lateral spikelets are indicated with white arrowheads. Yellow arrowheads in Fig. 3d and e indicate a pedicel below the extra floret in lateral spikelets.

For identifying the genomic region(s) harboring the *mul2.b* mutation, we adopted sequencing-based bulked-segregant analysis (BSA) by exome capture (Mascher et al. 2013b, 2014). To this end, DNAs from 27 mutant F2s with a strong LS-IN phenotype, of which 20 individuals also had the CS-SS phenotype (Fig. 4a), and 25 wild-type F2s were pooled to form the LS-IN/CS-SS mutant and wild-type bulks. The two bulks were subjected to exome capture and subsequently sequenced on Illumina HiSeq2000. The single nucleotide polymorphisms were identified by mapping sequence reads onto the Morex POPSEQ reference (Mascher et al. 2013a) and MorexV3 reference (Mascher et al. 2021). The SNP allele frequencies from the two pools were visualized along the barley physical and genetic maps. Surprisingly, the allele frequency distribution based on POPSEQ reference showed two distinct peaks on chromosomes 2H and 6H where in both cases, the mutant allele frequencies for the combined LS-IN/CS-SS phenotype increased over 75% and wt allele frequencies dropped to about 25% (Fig. 4b). However, the allele frequency plots based on MorexV3 mapping appeared to show two peaks each in the telomeric regions on 2H and 6H, instead of single peaks identified based on POPSEQ mapping (Fig S5). The reason for such an appearance is that both allele frequency peaks (on 2H and 6H) span the genetic centromeres, where we have few exome capture (i.e., gene-based) SNP markers in this region that made the data basis for visualization inadequate.
A novel locus regulates the CS-SS phenotype in mul2.b

In our BSA, allele frequencies peaked on 2H and 6H, indicating that the combined phenotypes of LS-IN and CS-SS are regulated by two independent loci in mul2.b. Interestingly, the CSs of the barley BM-NIL (flo.a) mutant showed the identical CS-SS spikelet phenotype (Lundqvist and Franckowiak 2015) as in mul2.b (Fig. 5a–b). Previous genetic analyses linked the BM-NIL (flo.a) phenotype on the short arm of 6H (Druka et al. 2011). This indicated the possibility that both mul2.b and flo.a loci might be identical. To test this idea (Fig. 5a–b), we made reciprocal crosses between these two mutant loci to evaluate allelism between them. The CS-SS phenotype in flo.a was previously shown to follow a monogenic recessive inheritance (Gustafsson 1969). The F1s generated from the mul2.b and BM-NIL (flo.a) crosses showed a strong CS-SS phenotype (Fig. 5a–c), potentially suggesting that the CS-SS phenotype in both BM-NIL (flo.a) and mul2.b is regulated by a single locus. To further verify the potential allelic relation of flo.a and mul2.b, we evaluated the F2 generation of the BM-NIL (flo.a) × mul2.b cross for the CS-SS phenotype. Surprisingly, we found segregation for the CS-SS phenotype among the F2 progenies, i.e., of the 395 F2s analyzed, 106 did not show the CS-SS phenotype, whereas 289 F2s showed a very weak to very strong CS-SS phenotype (Fig. 5d). The observed phenotype segregation in F2 thus indicated that the CS-SS phenotype in mul2.b and flo.a is most likely regulated by two independent loci (Fig. 5e). The allele and phenotype proportion analysis for the BM-NIL (flo.a) × mul2.b dihybrid cross showed that the 6H locus regulating the CS-SS phenotype in mul2.b followed a recessive inheritance ($\chi^2 = 3.859$, p-value = 0.0495). From our exome capture data, the highest allele frequency differences for the 6H peak were observed for SNPs of Morex whole-genome shotgun (WGS) contigs anchored between 59.92–61.05 cM (POPSEQ genetic position), whereas mapping of flo.a in Bowman × BM-NIL (flo.a) localized the candidate genetic interval between 43.77 (Morex WGS contig 163,902) and 55.00 cM (Morex WGS contig 1,649,529), indicating that the CS-SS phenotype in mul2.b and flo.a is potentially regulated by two independent loci on 6H.

Genetic linkage of the LS-IN and CS-SS phenotypes to 2H and 6H

To conduct a rough genetic linkage analysis of the LS-IN and CS-SS phenotypes, we selected 11 SNPs on 2H (33.70–87.70 cM POPSEQ) and seven SNPs on 6H (31.90–92.20 cM POPSEQ) based on the allele frequency shifts identified from BSA. The SNPs were converted to restriction enzyme-based CAPS markers for genotyping. For SNP marker mapping, we phenotyped 136 new F2s of the Morex × mul2.b population in the year 2015 (POP 2015). We knew that the barley spike-branching gene COM2 is also located on 2H (45.0 cM), mutants of which often displayed the LS-IN phenotype (McKim et al. 2018; Poursarebani et al. 2015). To check if the LS-IN phenotype is regulated by COM2, we developed a CAPS marker out of COM2 for mapping in our population. Different from the phenotyping method followed for POP 2014–1 and POP 2014–2, we quantified the LS-IN phenotype in POP 2015, based on mul2.b-I, -II, -III, and -IV classes (described earlier in results). In the same F2s, we quantified the CS-SS phenotype.
Out of 136 F2s phenotyped, 67 showed various quantities of mul2.b-I, -II, -III, -IV LS-IN phenotypes, while 69 were wild-type for LS-IN. This segregation did not fit the incomplete dominant inheritance ($\chi^2 = 48.039, p-value = <0.0001$) observed in POP 2014–1 and POP 2014–2, suggesting some sort of plasticity for the LS-IN phenotype. With respect to the CS-SS phenotype, 62 F2s of POP 2014–1 showed the CS-SS, the majority of which also had LS-IN phenotype, while 74 were wt for CS-SS.

For linkage analysis of the LS-IN phenotype, we converted the phenotype quantities (cumulative frequencies of the mul2.b-I, -II, -III, and -IV classes) into binary scores along with SNP marker genotypes. However, after comparing the SNP and phenotype marker scores, six out of 136 F2s had a mismatch between phenotype and marker genotypes. These included, two F2s that showed the LS-IN phenotype but had homozygous WT genotype calls and four F2s that were phenotypically wt for LS-IN but had mutant genotype calls at the majority of markers mapped on 2H (Fig. 6a). The two F2s that had wt genotype calls on 2H showed a weak LS-IN phenotype (mul2.b-III and -IV; Fig. 6a). However, performing linkage analysis of the LS-IN phenotype and genotype scores by excluding the six conflicting F2s, linked LS-IN in between markers M1865727 and M5729 away from the COM2 gene (Fig. 6b). Since the LS-IN phenotype was measured in a quantitative manner, we were also able to perform QTL analysis for the LS-IN phenotype (cumulative mul2.b-I, -II, -III, and -IV) together with CAPS marker data from 2 and 6H. Expectedly based on our previous exome capture data, LS-IN showed a major QTL on 2H with marker M5729 explaining the highest phenotypic value (Fig. 6c, e). However, we also noticed another major QTL for the LS-IN phenotype on 6H with FL209450 explaining the highest phenotypic value (Fig. 6c, e). Furthermore, our QTL analysis of the CS-SS phenotype with marker data from 2 and 6H showed a single major QTL on 6H (Fig. 6d–e). Most interestingly, the CS-SS QTL co-located with the QTL
The theoretical and applied genetics page provides insights into the genetic analysis of certain phenotypes in barley. The focus is on the linkage and QTL mapping of LS-IN and CS-SS phenotypes, with particular attention to the role of the 6H chromosome. The study uses a Morex × mul2.b F2 population (POP 2015) to identify genetic factors conditioning these phenotypes.

**Whole-genome QTL analysis reveals more and novel genetic factors conditioning the LS-IN and CS-SS phenotypes.**

Since our partial-genome QTL analysis of LS-IN and CS-SS in the Morex × mul2.b population (POP 2015) indicated that these phenotypes are probably inherited in a quantitative fashion, we intended to perform whole-genome QTL analysis in the Morex × mul2.b F2 population. To this end, we screened another ~1000 F2s with markers M9646 and M41923 on 2H that are spaced apart by 37.8 cM (Fig. 6b). Between these two markers, we selected 177 recombinant individuals as an independent F2 population (POP 2016). We quantified their LS-IN and CS-SS phenotypes by counting the instances of LS-IN (cumulatively, number of mul2.b-I, -II, -III, and -IV classes) and CS-SS (extra central spikelets) per plant.

The LS-IN phenotype scored in POP 2016 fitted an incomplete dominant inheritance mode as observed in POP 2014–1 ($\chi^2 = 0.092$; p-value = 0.761). With respect to the CS-SS phenotype in POP 2016, 38 F2s did not show a phenotype, whereas 138 F2s carried the CS-SS phenotype. The majority of the F2s harboring the CS-SS phenotype also had the LS-IN phenotype (104 F2s). The frequencies of phenotype distributions were also skewed for the LS-IN and CS-SS phenotypes, indicating that these traits were not strictly polygenic (Fig. S6). In spite of that, we proceeded analyzing LS-IN and CS-SS as quantitative traits, since we had observed two QTLs (on 2H and 6H chromosomes) for the LS-IN trait in the POP 2015. For the QTL analysis of F2s in POP 2016, we normalized the phenotypic value of both traits (LS-IN and CS-SS) by estimating BLUEs to have a more meaningful view of the phenotypes on a per spike basis.

The 177 F2s from POP 2016 were subjected to genotyping by sequencing (GBS) to generate SNP marker data. From our GBS analysis, we got data for 1573 high-quality SNP markers. Upon filtering for markers with > 10% missing data or two or more linked markers without recombination across F2s, we mapped 605 markers onto 11 linkage groups. The barley chromosome 3H was split into three linkage groups, whereas 5H, 7H were split into two linkage groups due to recombination.

**Fig. 6** Linkage and QTL mapping of LS-IN and CS-SS phenotypes in Morex × mul2.b (POP 2015). a The upper panel shows the graphical genotypes of CAPS markers (derived from 2H LS-IN introgression identified from exome capture) that are not fitting with the LS-IN phenotype shown in the lower panel. b The linkage map for region identified for LS-IN on 6H (Fig. 6c–e), indicating that the 6H locus may regulate both phenotypes—CS-SS and LS-IN.
lack of marker coverage mainly in the centromeric regions. Chromosomes 1H, 2H, 4H, and 6H formed unique linkage groups (Supplementary Table 3). The genotypic and phenotypic data were used for QTL detection using Genstat 19.

Similar to the QTLs identified from POP 2015, we identified two major QTLs for LS-IN (cumulative mul2.b-I, -II, -III, and -IV) on 2H (termed QMUL2.I-IV.ipk-2H; −log10(P)=24.70; %PVE=32.19) and 6H (QMUL2.I-IV.ipk-6H; −log10(P)=10.93; %PVE=13.50) (Fig. 7; Table 1) in POP 2016. We also performed QTL analysis for the LS-IN component classes mul2.b-I, -II, -III, and –IV separately to further dissect the genetic basis of the individual phenotype classes. For the mul2.b-I, -II, and -III classes major QTLs were detected on 2H and 6H which co-located

---

**Fig. 7** Identified QTLs for LS-IN and CS-SS phenotypes in the Morex × mul2.b (POP 2016)
Table 1 List of identified QTLs for LS-IN and CS-SS traits in the Morex × mul2.b cross

| Chr. | Marker | QTL Sig. | marker | Map Pos (cM) | Physical Genetic interval | Physical interval | −log10(P) | Add. eff. | High allele | Dom. allele | Dom. allele R² (%) |
|------|--------|----------|-------|-------------|-------------------------|------------------|-----------|----------|------------|------------|-------------------|
| 2H   | QMUL2.I-IV.ipk-2H MK.2H.584.12.1 | 83.20 | 584.12 | 75.24–90.75 | 97.04–626.12 | 24.70 | 3.91 | mul2.b | Morex | 2.38 | 32.19 |
| 2H   | QMUL2.I-IV.ipk-6H MK.6H.409.61.1 | 50.10 | 409.61 | 10.93 | 19.25–542.48 | 10.93 | 2.53 | mul2.b | Morex | 1.49 | 13.50 |
| 2H   | QMUL2.I.ipk-2H MK.2H.584.12.1 | 83.20 | 584.12 | 58.69–100.30 | 57.27–639.06 | 10.89 | 1.61 | mul2.b | Morex | 1.27 | 15.20 |
| 2H   | QMUL2.I.ipk-6H MK.6H.174.77 | 48.20 | 174.77 | 35.36–60.63 | 27.77–512.16 | 13.93 | 1.93 | mul2.b | Morex | 1.56 | 21.64 |
| 2H   | QMUL2.IV.ipk-2H MK.2H.584.12.1 | 83.20 | 584.12 | 71.20–94.79 | 77.32–632.19 | 15.69 | 0.32 | mul2.b | Morex | 0.26 | 22.83 |
| 2H   | QMUL2.III.ipk-2H MK.2H.584.12.1 | 83.20 | 584.12 | 74.40–91.59 | 92.31–626.12 | 18.75 | 1.61 | mul2.b | Morex | 0.75 | 29.53 |
| 2H   | QMUL2.III.ipk-6H MK.6H.522.77.1 | 62.40 | 522.77 | 0–114 | 92.31–626.12 | 18.75 | 1.61 | mul2.b | Morex | 0.75 | 29.53 |
| 3H   | QMUL2.III.ipk-3H(1) MK.3H.10.71 | 8.80 | 10.71 | 0–18 | 1.11–15.28 | 6.41 | 0.86 | mul2.b | Morex | 0.75 | 29.53 |
| 3H   | QMUL2.III.ipk-3H(2) MK.3H.581.28 | 27.70 | 581.28 | 0–27 | 43.12–581.28 | 4.44 | 0.70 | Morex | – | – | – |
| 5H   | QMUL2.IV.ipk-2H MK.2H.584.12.1 | 83.20 | 584.12 | 73.22–92.77 | 88.81–630.92 | 11.48 | 0.60 | mul2.b | Morex | 0.26 | 22.83 |
| 5H   | QMUL2.AS.ipk-6H MK.6H.174.77 | 48.20 | 174.77 | 42.97–53.03 | 36.34–499.28 | 26.38 | 1.24 | mul2.b | Morex | 0.73 | 47.11 |
| 6H   | QMUL2.AS.ipk-5H(2) MK.5H.602.48 | 13.80 | 602.48 | 0–66 | 585.28–661.44 | 3.76 | 0.38 | Morex | – | – | – |
| 6H   | QMUL2.AS.ipk-6H MK.6H.174.77 | 48.20 | 174.77 | 42.97–53.03 | 36.34–499.28 | 26.38 | 1.24 | mul2.b | Morex | 0.73 | 47.11 |

For the weakest LS-IN component class—mul2.b-III LS-IN, variation (Fig. 7; Table 1). Notably, in four out of five QTLs identified for this class, how- ever, was not influenced by the alleles at QMUL2.I-IV.(2H) or QMUL2.I-IV.3H(2) on 3H(1) and 3H(2) linkage groups, we identified two minor QTLs, QMUL2.I-IV.(2H) and also with the 6H QTLs for LS-IN component classes, mul2.b-I, -II, and -III (Fig. 7), indicating that a single genetic factor may underlie the LS-IN and CS-SS QTLs on 6H. We also found a minor QTL for the CS-SS trait on 5H(2)–QMUL2.AS.ipk-5H(2) explaining 4.32% of phenotypic variation (Fig. 7; Table 1). Interestingly, the high phenotype value allele for QMUL2.AS.ipk-5H(2) originated from the Morex parent (Fig. S8b). Thus, the Morex-derived high phenotype value alleles of minor QTLs, QMUL2.III.ipk-3H(2) for LS-IN and QMUL2.AS.ipk-5H(2) for CS-SS showed that independent genetic factors responsible for controlling these traits are present in both parents of the Morex × mul2.b cross.

QTL allele effects for the LS-IN and CS-SS phenotypes

To estimate the phenotypic effect contributed by QTL alleles for the LS-IN trait, we evaluated combinations of Morex (“AA”), mul2.b (allele “aa”), and Morex/mul2.b (alleles “A/a”) alleles at QMUL2.I-IV.ipk-2H and QMUL2.I-IV.ipk-6H QTLs in F2. Interestingly, the strongest mutant LS-IN phenotype (cumulative mul2.b-I, -II, -III, and -IV) was manifested only in F2 with mutant “aa” alleles present in both QTL loci (Fig. S9a). The LS-IN phenotypic effect got drastically reduced in F2 with alleles “aa” and “AA” at 2H and 6H QTLs, respectively (Fig. S9a). Also heterozygosity at both QTL loci in combination with the respective mutant “aa” allele [e.g., aa(2H)/AA(6H); or AA(2H)/aa(6H)] enhanced LS-IN phenotype in comparison with the wild-type allele combination, [(e.g., aa(2H)/AA(6H)) or AA(2H)/aa(6H)] indicating that QMUL2.I-IV.ipk-6H enhanced QMUL2.I-IV.ipk-2H effect in an additive manner (Fig. S9a). The single major QTL for the CS-SS trait (QMUL2.SS.ipk-6H), however, was not influenced by the alleles at QMUL2.I-IV.ipk-2H, indicating that the CS-SS trait is majorely controlled by with QMUL2.I-IV.ipk-2H and QMUL2.I-IV.ipk-6H (Fig. 7; Table 1). For the weakest LS-IN component class—mul2.b-IV, only the 2H QTL co-located with both LS-IN cumulative and component QTLs on 2H, while the 6H QTL was not detected for this class (Fig. 7; Table 1). Apart from the 2H and 6H QTLs for the mul2.b-III LS-IN component class, we identified two minor QTLs, QMUL2.III.ipk-3H(1) and QMUL2.III.ipk-3H(2) on 3H(1) and 3H(2) linkage groups explaining 8.43% and 5.65% of the observed phenotypic variation (Fig. 7; Table 1). Notably, in four out of five QTLs detected for the LS-IN trait, the high phenotype value allele was derived from the mul2.b mutant parent; except for QMUL2.III.ipk-3H(2), here the high-value allele was derived from Morex (Fig. S7d).

For the CS-SS trait, a single major QTL on 6H was identified (QMUL2.SS.ipk-6H; −log10(P)–26.38; %PVE – 47.11; Fig. 7; Table 1). Interestingly, QMUL2.SS.ipk-6H co-located with QMUL2.I-IV.ipk-6H and also with the 6H QTLs for LS-IN component classes, mul2.b-I, -II, and -III (Fig. 7), indicating that a single genetic factor may underlie the LS-IN and CS-SS QTLs on 6H. We also found a minor QTL for the CS-SS trait on 5H(2)–QMUL2.AS.ipk-5H(2) explaining 4.32% of phenotypic variation (Fig. 7; Table 1). Interestingly, the high phenotype value allele for QMUL2.AS.ipk-5H(2) originated from the Morex parent (Fig. S8b). Thus, the Morex-derived high phenotype value alleles of minor QTLs, QMUL2.III.ipk-3H(2) for LS-IN and QMUL2.AS.ipk-5H(2) for CS-SS showed that independent genetic factors responsible for controlling these traits are present in both parents of the Morex × mul2.b cross.
The spikes of the Triticeae tribe are proposed to be derivatives of ancestral compound spike/panicle inflorescences obtained through an evolutionary series of inflorescence branch complexity reduction (Koppolu and Schnurbusch 2019; Perreta et al. 2009; Vegetti 1995). Within Triticeae species, spike inflorescences show structural differences in terms of determinacy at the levels of the spike (presence or absence of terminal spikelet) and spikelet (uni- or multi-floreted spikelet) (Sakuma et al. 2011). The uni-floreted condition is manifested due to suppression of rachilla elongation after the initiation of the first floret, whereas in multi-floreted spikelets, rachilla elongation continues to generate more florets. Two peculiar Triticeae species with contrasting determinacy features at both levels include barley (determinate spikelet, indeterminate spike) and wheat (determinate spike, indeterminate spikelet). It was proposed that terminal spikelet identity (determinate spike) and multi-floreted conditions (indeterminate spikelet) of wheat are ancestral, whereas indeterminate spike and uni-floreted (determinate spikelet) conditions are derived features in barley (Clayton 1990; Zhong et al. 2021). The mutants of barley mul2.b lost the spikelet determinacy producing ancestral multi-floreted condition similar to wheat spikelets, but the derived spike indeterminate character is retained. Interestingly the multi-floreted condition was restricted to LS of mul2.b (LS-IN) with CSs retaining the determinate character. Such determinacy differences between CS and adjacent LSs could be probably due to separate genetic factors controlling this condition in CS and LS differently.

Intriguingly, mul2.b also produced supernumerary spikelets (SS) in the CS (CS-SS), a phenotype majorly controlled by 6H QTL region, while 2H and 6H QTLs controlled the LS-IN phenotype. The mul2.b mutant used in our study is an induced mutant of cv. Montcalm, which was not crossed to an isogenic background. Hence, the presence of background mutations in mul2.b could be a potential reason for the 2H as well as 6H double mutations. In addition, the genotype Montcalm has been extensively used for induced mutagenesis in the past, which can potentially have led to double mutations in mul2.b. Based on our phenotypic analysis, the 6H mutation highly enhanced the LS-IN phenotype of 2H QTL, whereas the 2H mutation alone resulted only in a mild LS-IN phenotype (Fig. S9a). Based on such a milder phenotype, it is plausible to speculate that 6H mutation could be the progenitor mutation in Montcalm, in the background of which the 2H mutation was induced. The genetic analysis of CS-SS phenotype in mul2.b indicated an incomplete dominant inheritance (data from POP 2014–1 and POP 2015). However, the F1 phenotype of mul2.b × flo.a crossed displayed a moderate CS-SS phenotype with awn elongation (Fig. 5c). Such a phenotype suggested that expression dosage of both mul2.b and flo.a alleles in heterozygous state may potentially promote milder CS-SS phenotype, also indicating that both genes likely operate in similar pathway to suppress CS-SS phenotype. The CS-SS feature appears to be analogous with the paired spikelet phenotype of wheat and the spikelet pair phenotype characteristic to maize, sorghum, and other Andropogoneae species (Boden et al. 2015). Also, species belonging to the Triticeae genera Crithops and Tae- niatherum bear two spikelets at each rachis node on the spike (Frederiksen and Seberg 1992), probably indicating that the mechanism regulating SS phenotype is an ancestral feature retained in some of the Triticeae species. However, the CS-SS phenotype in mul2.b and flo.a could be potentially a revertant ancestral phenotype due to mutations in respective loci.

**Discussion**

**Mutations in multiflorus.2b induce two ancestral phenotypes—LS-IN and CS-SS**

The spikes of the Triticeae tribe are proposed to be derivatives of ancestral compound spike/panicle inflorescences obtained through an evolutionary series of inflorescence branch complexity reduction (Koppolu and Schnurbusch 2019; Perreta et al. 2009; Vegetti 1995). Within Triticeae species, spike inflorescences show structural differences in terms of determinacy at the levels of the spike (presence or absence of terminal spikelet) and spikelet (uni- or multi-floreted spikelet) (Sakuma et al. 2011). The uni-floreted condition is manifested due to suppression of rachilla elongation after the initiation of the first floret, whereas in multi-floreted spikelets, rachilla elongation continues to generate more florets. Two peculiar Triticeae species with contrasting determinacy features at both levels include barley (determinate spikelet, indeterminate spike) and wheat (determinate spike, indeterminate spikelet). It was proposed that terminal spikelet identity (determinate spike) and multi-floreted conditions (indeterminate spikelet) of wheat are ancestral, whereas indeterminate spike and uni-floreted (determinate spikelet) conditions are derived features in barley (Clayton 1990; Zhong et al. 2021). The mutants of barley mul2.b lost the spikelet determinacy producing ancestral multi-floreted condition similar to wheat spikelets, but the derived spike indeterminate character is retained. Interestingly the multi-floreted condition was restricted to LS of mul2.b (LS-IN) with CSs retaining the determinate character. Such determinacy differences between CS and adjacent LSs could be probably due to separate genetic factors controlling this condition in CS and LS differently.

Intriguingly, mul2.b also produced supernumerary spikelets (SS) in the CS (CS-SS), a phenotype majorly controlled by 6H QTL (Fig. S9b). Similar phenotypic effects for LS-IN and CS-SS traits were also observed in F2:3 generation (Fig. S10), indicating the stable inheritance of these phenotypes.

The LS-IN component trait mul2.b-III had two major QTLs, one each on 2H (QMUL2.III.ipk-2H) and 6H (QMUL2.III.ipk-6H) but also two minor QTLs on 3H (QMUL2.III.ipk-3H(1), QMUL2.III.ipk-3H(2)). Interestingly, the phenotypic effect was highly enhanced in F2, with favorable QTL alleles at these four loci, i.e., mul2.b allele at 2H, 6H, 3H(1) QTLs, and Morex allele at 3H(2) QTL, indicating the additivity of these loci (Fig. S7). Also for the CS-SS trait, a similar additive phenotype was observed in F2 individuals carrying high phenotype value alleles at QMUL2.SS.ipk-6H (mul2.b alleles) and QMUL2.SS.ipk-5H(2) (Morex alleles) loci (Fig. S8).

**LS-IN and CS-SS are quantitatively inherited in the Morex × mul2.b cross**

Based on our in-depth phenotypic analysis, we showed that the mul2.b phenotype is a combination of rachilla elongation in LSs (LS-IN) and supernumerary spikelet formation in CSs (CS-SS). The previous genetic and phenotypic analyses involving mul2.b apparently did not consider the CS-SS phenotype in central spikelets. Also, the LS-IN phenotype was not evaluated in sufficient detail probably owing to difficulties in visualizing the rachilla elongation in lateral spikelets. This might have potentially lead to the classification of mul2.b as a monogenic recessive locus (Walker et al. 1963). Our QTL analysis based on comprehensive LS-IN and CS-SS phenotypes revealed two major QTLs for LS-IN (QMUL2.I-IV.ipk-2H, QMUL2.I-IV.ipk-6H) and one major QTL for the CS-SS phenotype (QMUL2.SS.ipk-6H) (Fig. 7;
Table 1). Also, two minor QTLs for LS-IN [QMUL2.III.ipk-3H(1), QMUL2.III.ipk-3H(2)] and one for CS-SS phenotype [QMUL2.SS.ipk-5H(2)] were identified indicating the quantitative nature of the mul2.b phenotypes (Fig. 7; Table 1). It should be noted that the cv. Morex (wt parent) occasionally showed mild and infrequent rachilla elongation in LSs as well as supernumerary spikelet formation in CS (data not shown). Consistent with this, we observed for each trait one minor QTL, QMUL2.III.ipk-3H(2) and QMUL2.SS.ipk-5H(2), where the phenotypic high-value allele was derived from Morex (Fig. 7; Table 1). Previous genetic analyses in wheat involving the paired spikelet phenotype (analogous to mul2.b CS-SS) also reported quantitative variation for this trait (Boden et al. 2015; Dobrovolskaya et al. 2015; Echeverry-Solarte et al. 2014).

Our genetic analysis of LS-IN and CS-SS phenotypes revealed co-locating QTLs on 6H (QMUL2.I-IV.ipk-6H, QMUL2.SS.ipk-6H; Fig. 7), indicating that these phenotypes are potentially regulated by a single locus on 6H. On top of that the phenotypic effect of LS-IN QTL on 2H is significantly enhanced by the 6H QTL alleles in an additive manner, without which, the LS-IN phenotype was drastically reduced (Fig. S7). Interestingly, the Bowman near-isogenic line of mul2.b (BM-NIL(mul2.b); GSHO 2089, BW607) showed a mild LS-IN phenotype, whereas the CS-SS phenotype is completely missing in BM-NIL(mul2.b) (Fig. S11). Such a mild LS-IN phenotype and lack of CS-SS phenotype in BM-NIL(mul2.b) could be probably due to the lack of mul2.b 6H introgression from the original mutant that enhances the phenotypic effect of 2H QTL. For the LS-IN phenotype component phenotype class mul2.b-III we also identified two minor QTLs on 3H. Thus, our precise phenotype quantification data guided us to genetically dissect genomic regions responsible for various LS-IN phenotypes.

### Strategies for cloning of mul2.b 2H and 6H QTLs

The genetic and physical mapping intervals of the major QTLs for LS-IN and CS-SS traits identified in our study included large genomic regions spanning centromeres of 2H and 6H chromosomes (up to 500 Mb). The 2H major QTL for LS-IN trait has a physical mapping interval ranging from 97.04–626.12 Mb on 2H, while that of 6H QTL spans 19.25–542.48 Mb (Table 1). Similarly, the physical map interval of CS-SS major QTL on 6H spans from 36.34–499.28 Mb (Table 1). An evaluation of the gene content within the genetic interval of these three major QTLs revealed > 5000 genes (High Confidence + Low Confidence) for each QTL region. Such a huge number of genes in the genetic intervals of these QTLs made candidate gene analysis impossible. Hence, it is essential to narrow down the genetic interval of these QTLs by screening large F2 populations of Morex × mul2.b segregating for single QTL for a given trait (either LS-IN or CS-SS) in order to eliminate the confounding phenotypic effects from other QTLs. For example, the LS-IN trait in Morex × mul2.b cross is conditioned by both 2H and 6H QTLs. On top of the major QTLs, we also identified a minor QTL for LS-IN trait on 3H [QMUL2.III.ipk-3H(2)] for which the LS-IN phenotype is derived from Morex alleles (Fig. S7).

In order to clone the major 2H LS-IN QTL (QMUL2.I-IV.ipk-2H) alone, one can choose F3 individuals of Morex × mul2.b population that are heterozygous for this QTL region (selection based on the marker genotype information in F2 generation), while the 3H [QMUL2.III.ipk-3H(2)] and 6H (QMUL2.I-IV.ipk-6H) QTL regions shall remain homozygous for mul2.b and Morex alleles (Approach b; Fig. 8). Alternatively, it is possible to cross F2 individual that harbor homozygous mul2.b alleles (LS-IN mutant) on 2H and 3H + Morex alleles (LS-IN wild-type) on 6H with another F2 individual harboring Morex alleles in both 2H and 6H QTL regions and mul2.b alleles in 3H QTL region (Approach a; Fig. 8). In both approaches, the segregation of the LS-IN genotype and phenotype is potentially restricted to the 2H QTL region in the progenies, which makes it easier to narrow down the mapping interval by reliable phenotyping of the 2H QTL recombinants. Similar strategies can be applied to the 6H LS-IN/CS-SS QTLs. Thus the identification of minor QTLs regulating the LS-IN and CS-SS phenotypes along with the major QTLs in our study forms an important basis for the fixation of minor QTL loci regulating the phenotype (for example QMUL2.III.ipk-3H(2) on 3H), while following the segregation patterns of major loci during QTL cloning.

### Conclusion

Yield in small grain cereals such as barley and wheat is mainly contributed by the number of grains produced per unit area (Ferrante et al. 2017; García et al. 2015; Sakuma and Schnurbusch 2020; Serrago et al. 2013). The grain number can be improved by increasing spikelet number per spike, floret number per spikelet and also by enhancing floret fertility within spikelets. Here, we characterized the barley mutant, mul2.b, that showed multi-floreted spikelets as well as more spikelets per spike (supernumerary spikelet formation). Through our genetic analysis, we identified component QTLs conditioning these two trait phenotypes. Further, we laid the foundation for identifying and characterizing the genetic factors underlying these phenotypes by QTL cloning in the future.
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Fig. 8 Strategies for cloning of individual major QTLs controlling LS-IN. Approach A—crossing of selected F2 lines with fixed QTL genomic segments on 2H, 3H, and 6H to generate F2 lines that segregate only for one QTL followed by fine mapping. Approach B—screening of heterozygous F2 derived F3 lines for QTL region under consideration (fixed for no phenotype/wild-type alleles at other QTL loci.
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