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Abstract. We investigate the relationship between the poles of Igusa zeta integrals and the unextendability of semi-invariant distributions. Under some algebraic conditions, we obtain an upper bound for the order of the poles of Igusa zeta integral, and by using the order of the poles we give a criterion on the unextendability of semi-invariant distributions. A key ingredient of our method is the idea of generalized semi-invariant distributions.

1. Introduction

Following Bernstein-Zelevinsky [BZ], we define an ℓ-space to be a topological space which is Hausdorff, locally compact, totally disconnected and secondly countable. An ℓ-group is a topological group whose underlying topological space is an ℓ-space. Let G be an ℓ-group acting on an ℓ-space X. Let \( D(X)^\chi \) be the space of \( \chi \)-invariant distributions on \( X \)

\[
D(X)^\chi := \text{Hom}_G(\mathcal{S}(X), \chi),
\]

where \( \mathcal{S}(X) \) is the space of Schwartz functions on \( X \) and \( G \) acts on \( \mathcal{S}(X) \) naturally. Here a character \( \chi \) of \( G \) is a continuous homomorphism \( \chi : G \to \mathbb{C}^\times \), where we take discrete topology on \( \mathbb{C}^\times \).

If \( X \) is a \( G \)-homogeneous space, then by Frobenius reciprocity it is easy to determine the space \( D(X)^\chi \). It is either 1-dimensional or 0. When it is nonzero, we say \( \chi \) is admissible on \( X \). If \( X \) is not a homogeneous space, it is in general a difficult question to determine the space \( D(X)^\chi \). Let \( D(X)^\chi,\infty \) be the space of generalized \( \chi \)-invariant distributions on \( X \) (see definition in Section 3.1). Let \( \Lambda_G \) be the quotient \( G \) by the normal subgroups generated by all compact open subgroups. The action of \( \Lambda_G \) on \( D(X)^\chi,\infty \) determines the space \( D(X)^\chi \) of \( \chi \)-invariant distributions on \( X \). This point of view originated in [HS] and it continues to play important role in this paper.

Let \( F \) be a non-archimedean local field of characteristic zero with the normalized norm \( |\cdot| \). It was proved in Tate thesis that for any character \( \chi \) of \( F^\times \), the space \( D(F)^\chi \) is exactly of dimension 1. When \( \chi \) is nontrivial, the proof is easy. When \( \chi \)
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is trivial, the proof can be sketched as follows. We attached a zeta integral
\[ \int_F \phi(x)|x|^s \frac{dx}{|x|}, \]
for any Schwartz function \( \phi \) on \( F \). It has a simple pole at \( s = 0 \). Taking all coefficients of the Laurent expansion of the zeta integral at \( s = 0 \) and considering the natural action of \( F^\times \) on the space of generalized invariant distributions, we can conclude that the only invariant distribution on \( F \) is the delta distribution which is supported at the origin.

For the applications of invariant distributions in representation theory of \( p \)-adic group and number theory, it is important to know whether a semi-invariant distribution can be extended to a semi-invariant distribution on the whole space. The work in [HS] focuses on the question when generalized semi-invariant distributions can be extended. In this paper we pursue the investigation of the extension problem of semi-invariant distributions following the ideas and techniques of generalized semi-invariant distributions developed in [HS]. Distinctively in this paper we focus on the unextendability of semi-invariant distributions, i.e. when a semi-invariant distribution cannot be extended.

Let \( X \) be an algebraic variety over \( F \) and let \( f \) be a regular function on \( X \). Let \( \mu \) be an algebraic measure on \( X_f(F) \) where \( X_f \) is the open subvariety of \( X \) defined by \( f \). Then we can attach a zeta integral \( Z_{f, \mu}(\phi) \) for any Schwartz function on \( X(F) \),
\[ Z_{f, \mu}(\phi) = \int_{X_f(F)} \phi(x)|f|^s d\mu. \]

In general \( Z_{f, \mu} \) absolutely converges when \( \Re(s) \gg 0 \) and has meromorphic continuation. We impose an action of a linear algebraic group \( G \) over \( F \) on \( X \) and assume \( f \) and \( \mu \) are semi-invariant. We investigate the relationship between the poles of \( Z_{f, \mu} \) and the unextendability of \( \mu \) to \( X(F) \) as a semi-invariant distribution. The principle is that the order of the pole of zeta integral controls the unextendability of semi-invariant distribution. We state the main result in Theorem 2.6.

In the main theorem, we impose some algebraic conditions on a stratification of \( V(f) = X(F) \setminus X_f(F) \). We obtain a bound of the pole relying on the stratification, and we also obtain an unextendability criterion based on the order of the pole. The above example on \( p \)-adic line in Tate thesis serves as the most basic example.

When the Schwartz function \( \phi \) is a characteristic function on \( X(R) \) where \( R \) is the ring of integers in \( F \), the monodromy conjecture by Igusa (cf. [Ig1, De2]) predicts that the poles of Igusa zeta function \( Z_{f, \mu}(\phi) \) are closely related to the \( b \)-function \( b_f \) associated to \( f \) (introduced by Bernstein [Be1]). More precisely if \( s_0 \) is a pole of \( Z_{f, \mu}(\phi) \), then the real part \( \Re(s_0) \) is a root of \( b_f \). In fact when \( X \) is a prehomogeneous space, it has been well understood (cf. [KSZ]), and the \( b \)-functions for prehomogeneous spaces are also very computable (cf. [K1, SKKO]). Therefore in many cases it is predictable and verifiable on the unextendability of semi-invariant distributions by using the pole of Igusa zeta integral.

In Section 2, we first define several notions including standard Igusa zeta integrals and fiberizable spaces, and then we state the main theorem (Theorem 2.6).
and give some corollaries (Corollary 2.8, 2.10). Section 3 is denoted to the proof of Theorem 2.6. In Section 3.1 we first review the basics of generalized semi-invariant distributions and then we determine the space of generalized semi-invariant distributions on algebraic homogeneous space in Proposition 3.4. In Section 3.2 we use equivariant \( \ell \)-sheaves to prove a version of localization principle for generalized semi-invariant distributions (Proposition 3.9, 3.10). In Section 3.3 we prove the existence of a non-degenerate pairing between the lattice of algebraic characters of a connected linear algebraic group \( G \) over \( F \) and the lattice \( \Lambda_{G(F)} \) in Proposition 3.16. In Section 3.4 we use the invariance of Igusa zeta integral to prove Proposition 3.18. Combine all these preparations, the proof of the main theorem is concluded in Section 3.5.

As an illustration of using Theorem 2.6 and its corollaries, we compute all semi-invariant distributions on the space \( F^n \times F^n \) with an action of the group \( G = F^\times \times GL_n \times F^\times \). As a consequence we show that on this space for any character \( \chi \) of \( G \), the space of \( \chi \)-invariant distributions is at most 1-dimensional (Theorem 4.1). There are different phenomena when \( n = 1, n = 2 \) and \( n \geq 3 \). When \( n = 1 \), our main theorem is not applicable when \( \chi \) is trivial and we need new arguments in Lemma 4.7. When \( n = 2 \), the main result of this paper is very crucially used.

In Appendix A we relate the residues of meromorphic intertwining operators to connecting maps in the Ext-groups long exact sequences. We give explicit expression for the first connecting map by using residues (Theorem A.7). Using the idea of generalized homomorphism we give a criterion for the triviality of the 1-cocycles constructed from residues (Theorem A.10). This is a homological algebra perspective on the unextendability of invariant distributions.
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2. Main theorem

Let \( F \) be a non-archimedean local field of characteristic zero and let \( R \) be the ring of \( p \)-adic integers in \( F \) with a uniformizer \( \pi \). Let \( q \) be the cardinality of the residue field of \( F \) and let \( |\cdot| \) the normalized norm on \( F \), i.e. \( |\pi| = q^{-1} \).

As usual, by an algebraic variety over \( F \), we mean a scheme over \( F \) which is separated, reduced, and of finite type. A linear algebraic group over \( F \) is a group scheme over \( F \) which is an affine variety as a scheme.

Let \( X \) be an algebraic variety over \( F \). Let \( Z(f) \) be the subvariety of \( X \) defined by \( f = 0 \). Let \( U \) be the complement of \( Z(f) \) in \( X \). The set \( X(F) \) of \( F \)-rational points in \( X \) is naturally an \( \ell \)-space. Given a distribution \( \mu \) on \( U(F) \), for any \( \phi \in \mathcal{S}(X(F)) \) we associate to it a zeta integral

\[
Z_{f,\mu}(\phi) := \int_{U(F)} \phi(x)|f(x)|^s d\mu.
\]
Definition 2.1. We say that the zeta integral $Z_{f,\mu}$ is standard at $s = s_0$ if

1. $\mu$ is a complex measure on $U(F)$ (cf. [HS, Definition 5.8]).
2. for any $\phi \in S(X(F))$, $Z_{f,\mu}(\phi)$ absolutely converges when $s \gg 0$, and $Z_{f,\mu}(\phi)$ admits a meromorphic continuation to the whole complex plane.
3. there exists an integer $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $\phi \in S(X(F))$, $(s - s_0)^{n_0}Z_{f,\mu}(\phi)$ is analytic.

It is clear that $Z_{f,\mu}$ is standard at $s = s_0$ if and only if $Z_{f,\mu(\nu^{n_0})}$ is standard at $s = 0$. If $Z_{f,\mu}$ is standard at $s = s_0$, we define the order of the pole of $Z_{f,\mu}$ at $s = s_0$ to be $n_0$, if $(s - s_0)^{n_0}Z_{f,\mu}(\phi)$ is analytic for any $\phi \in S(X(F))$ and $(s - s_0)^{n_0 - 1}Z_{f,\mu}(\phi_0)$ has pole at $s = s_0$ for some $\phi_0 \in S(X(F))$. In particular the order of the pole of $Z_{f,\mu}$ at $s = s_0$ is always greater or equal than the order of the pole of $Z_{f,\mu}(\phi)$ at $s = s_0$ for any $\phi \in S(X(F))$.

Igusa zeta integrals have been studied intensely by Igusa [Ig2], Denef [De] and many others. In general the zeta integral $Z_{f,\mu}(\phi)$ is a rational function in $q^{-s}$. In [HS, Theorem 5.13] a general version of absolute convergence and meromorphic continuation in the setting of semi-algebraic $\ell$-space is proved. They provide the following examples in [HS, Section 6.7].

Example 2.2. Let $X$ be a variety over $F$. Let $f$ be a regular function on $X$ and let $X_f$ be the open subvariety of $X$ defined by $f$. Let $U$ be the smooth part of $X_f$.

1. Assume that $X_f$ has rational singularities. Let $\omega$ be an algebraic volume form on $U$. Then $|\omega|$ extends to a measure on $X_f(F)$, and the zeta integral $Z_{f,\omega|\psi}$ is standard at $s = s_0$ for any $s_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ where $\psi$ is any locally constant function on $X(F)$.
2. Assume that $X_f$ has Gorenstein singularities. Let $\omega$ be an algebraic $n$-volume form on $U$. Then $|\omega|^n$ extends to a measure on $X_f(F)$, and the zeta integral $Z_{f,\omega|\psi}$ is standard at $s = s_0$ for any $s_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ where $\psi$ is any locally constant function on $X(F)$.

Let $G$ be a connected linear algebraic group $G$ over $F$ acting on the variety $X$ over $F$. Then we get an action of the $\ell$-group $G(F)$ on the $\ell$-space $X(F)$.

An algebraic character $\nu$ of $G$ over $F$ is a homomorphism $\nu : G \to \mathbb{G}_m$ of linear algebraic groups over $F$ where $\mathbb{G}_m$ is the split multiplicative group over $F$. Let $\Psi_G$ be the lattice of all algebraic characters of $G$ over $F$. A regular function $f$ on $X$ is $\nu$-invariant where $\nu$ is an algebraic character of $G$ over $F$, if

$$f(g \cdot x) = \nu(g)f(x) \quad \text{for any } g \in G(F), x \in X(F),$$

where $\bar{F}$ is an algebraically closure of $F$.

Definition 2.3. We say an algebraic character $\nu$ of $G$ is admissible on a homogeneous space $G(F)/H(F)$ for some algebraic subgroup $H$ of $G$ if the restriction of $\nu$ on $H$ is trivial.

For any $G(F)$-orbit $O$ in $X(F)$, we define $\Psi_O$ to be a subgroup of $\Psi_G$ consisting of all admissible algebraic characters of $G$ on $G(F) \cdot x$, where $x \in O$. $\Psi_O$ does not depend on the choice of $x \in O$. 
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Given any algebraic character \( \nu \) of \( G \) over \( F \), we denote by \( |\nu| \) the associated character of \( G(F) \), i.e. \( |\nu|(g) := |\nu(g)| \) for any \( g \in G(F) \).

**Definition 2.4.** Let \( X \) be an \( \ell \)-space with an action of an \( \ell \)-group \( G \). We say \( X \) is fiberizable if there exists an \( \ell \)-space \( Y \) and a morphism \( h : X \to Y \) of \( \ell \)-spaces such that \( h(g \cdot x) = h(x) \) for any \( g \in G \), and for any \( y \in Y \) the fiber \( h^{-1}(y) \) is a disjoint union of finitely many closed \( G \)-orbits.

**Remark 2.5.** Let \( X \) be a variety over \( F \) with an action of a linear algebraic group \( G \) over \( F \). If \( X \) has a geometric quotient \( \pi : X \to Y \) (see the definition in [MFK]), then \( X(F) \) is fiberizable. Because for any \( y \in Y(F) \), the fiber \( \pi^{-1}(y) \) consists of finitely many \( G(F) \)-orbits by finiteness of Galois cohomology (see [PR] Section 6.4, Corollary 2 and Section 3.1, Corollary 2).

The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.6.** Let \( X \) be an algebraic variety over \( F \) with an action of a connected linear algebraic group \( G \) over \( F \). Let \( f \) be a \( \nu \)-invariant regular function on \( X \) where \( \nu \) is an algebraic character of \( G \) over \( F \). Let \( V(f) \) be the zero set of \( f \) on \( X(F) \) and put \( U = X(F) \setminus V(f) \). Let \( \mu \) be a \( \chi \)-invariant distribution on \( U \) where \( \chi \) is a character of \( G(F) \). Assume that \( Z_{f,\mu} \) is standard at \( s = 0 \), moreover assume that there exists a filtration \( \mathcal{O} = V_{-1} \subset V_0 \subset V_1 \subset \cdots V_{k-1} \subset V_k = V(f) \) of closed \( G(F) \)-stable subsets of \( V(f) \) such that

1. for each \( i \), all \( \chi \)-admissible orbits in \( V_i \setminus V_{i-1} \) are contained in a fiberizable \( G \)-stable locally closed subset of \( V_i \setminus V_{i-1} \);
2. for each \( i \), \( \nu \notin \Psi_i \otimes \mathbb{Q} \) where \( \Psi_i \) is the sublattice of \( \Psi_G \) spanned by \( \Psi_0 \) for all \( \chi \)-admissible orbits \( \mathcal{O} \) in \( V_i \setminus V_{i-1} \).

Then \( Z_{f,\mu} \) has pole at \( s = 0 \) of order \( \leq \ell_\chi \), where

\[
\ell_\chi := \sharp \{i \mid V_i \setminus V_{i-1} \text{ contains at least one } \chi \text{-admissible orbit} \}.
\]

Moreover, if \( \ell_\chi \geq 1 \) and the order of the pole of \( Z_{f,\mu} \) at \( s = 0 \) is exactly equal to \( \ell_\chi \), then \( \mu \) can not be extended to a \( \chi \)-invariant distribution on \( X(F) \).

In the above theorem, if \( Z_{f,\mu} \) is standard at \( s = s_0 \) and we replace \( \chi \) by \( \chi |\nu|^{s_0} \) in the conditions, then \( Z_{f,\mu} \) has a pole at \( s = s_0 \) of order \( \leq \ell_\chi \) and if the order is exactly \( \ell_\chi \), then \( |f|^{s_0} \mu \) can not be extended to a \( |\nu|^{s_0} \chi \)-invariant distribution on \( X(F) \).

By Theorem 2.6, the order of the pole of \( Z_{f,\mu} \) at \( s = 0 \) is bounded by \( \ell_\chi \). If we can find a Schwartz function \( \phi \) on \( X(F) \) such that the order of the pole of \( Z_{f,\mu}(\phi) \) at \( s = 0 \) is greater or equal than \( \ell_\chi \), then the order of the pole of \( Z_{f,\mu} \) at \( s = 0 \) is equal to \( \ell_\chi \).

Theorem 2.6 will be proved in Section 3. We first give some remarks on the conditions in Theorem 2.6.

**Remark 2.7.**

1. Given any variety \( X \) defined over \( F \) with an action of a linear algebraic group \( G \) defined over \( F \), by a theorem of Rosenlicht (see [Ro], [PV] Theorem 4.4, p.187), there always exists a filtration of \( G \)-stable closed...
subvarieties $X_0 \subset X_1 \subset \cdots \subset X_k = X$ such that for each $i$, $X_i \backslash X_{i-1}$ has geometric quotient. Hence the for each $i$, $X_i(F) \backslash X_{i-1}(F)$ is fiberizable.

(2) For each $i$, if $V_i \backslash V_{i-1}$ contains finitely many $\chi$-admissible orbits and there is no closure relation among these orbits, then Condition (1) of the theorem is satisfied.

(3) Let $O$ be a $\chi$-admissible $G(F)$-orbit in $X(F)$. Let $\Xi_O$ be the set of all admissible characters of $G(F)$ on $O$. Then $\Psi_O$ acts on $\Xi_O$, i.e. for any $\chi \in \Xi_O$, $|\beta|^s \chi \in \Xi_O$ for any $\beta \in \Psi_O$ and $s \in \mathbb{C}$. In particular if the set $\Xi_O$ consists of only countably many admissible characters of $G(F)$, then $\Psi_O$ is trivial.

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.6.

**Corollary 2.8.** With the same setup as in Theorem 2.6, assume that $Z_{f,\mu}$ is standard at $s = 0$, moreover we assume that

1. all $\chi$-admissible orbits in $V(f)$ are contained in $Y$ where $Y$ is a fiberizable $G(F)$-stable locally closed subset of $V(f)$.
2. $\nu \notin \Psi_{V(f)} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ where $\Psi_{V(f)}$ is the sublattice of $\Psi_G$ spanned by $\Psi_O$ for all $\chi$-admissible orbits $O$ in $V(f)$.

Then $Z_{f,\mu}$ has at most a simple pole at $s = 0$, and $Z_{f,\mu}$ has a pole at $s = 0$ if and only if $\mu$ can not be extended to a $\chi$-invariant distribution on $X(F)$.

**Example 2.9.** We consider the following action of $G := \text{GL}_n(F) \times \text{GL}_n(F)$ ($n \geq 1$) on the space $M_n := M_{n,n}(F)$ of $n \times n$-matrices with coefficients in $F$:

$$(g_1, g_2) \cdot x := g_1 x g_2^{-1}, \quad g_1 \in \text{GL}_n(F), \; g_2 \in \text{GL}_n(F), \; x \in M_n.$$ 

Let $O_r$ denote the set of rank $r$ matrices in $M_{n,n}$, which is a $G$-orbit. The matrix space $M_n$ is union of $O_r$, $r = 0, 1, \cdots, n$. Let $dx$ denote the Haar measure on $M_n$ and for any character $\chi$ of $F^\times$, we associate the following zeta integral

$$Z_{\text{det}, \chi(\text{det})} dx(\phi) = \int_{M_n} \phi(x) |\text{det}|^s \chi(\text{det}) dx, \quad \text{for any } \phi \in S(M_n).$$

It is well-known that $Z_{\text{det}, \chi(\text{det})} dx$ is standard everywhere. The Haar measure $dx$ is $(|\cdot|^n, |\cdot|^{-n})$-invariant, and $\chi(\text{det}) dx$ is $(\chi(|\cdot|^n, \chi^{-1}|\cdot|^{-n})$-invariant, where we denote any character of $G$ by $(\chi_1, \chi_2)$ for some characters $\chi_1, \chi_2$ of $F^\times$ via

$$(g_1, g_2) \mapsto \chi_1(\text{det}(g_1)) \chi_2(\text{det}(g_2)), \quad \text{for any } g_1, g_2 \in \text{GL}_n.$$ 

The computation (cf. [L, Chapter 10.1])

$$\int_{M_n(R)} |\text{det}(x)|^s dx = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1 - q^{-i}}{1 - q^{-i-s}}$$

shows that when $\chi = |\cdot|^{-i}, i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$, $Z_{\text{det}, \chi(\text{det})} dx$ has pole at $s = 0$. Note that when $r < n$, $O_r$ is the only $(|\cdot|^r, |\cdot|^{-r})$-admissible orbit and the lattice $\Psi_{O_r}$ of admissible algebraic characters on $O_r$ is trivial. Corollary 2.8 immediately implies that for any $r = 0, 1, \cdots, n - 1$, $Z_{\text{det}, |\cdot|^r-n} dx$ has simple pole at $s = 0$ and the $(|\cdot|^r, |\cdot|^{-r})$-invariant distribution $|\text{det}|^{r-n} dx$ on $O_n$ can not be extended to a $(|\cdot|^r, |\cdot|^{-r})$-invariant distribution on $M_n$. Now it is not hard to show that
\[ \dim D(M_n)^{\chi^{-1}} = 1 \] for any character \( \chi \) of \( F^\times \). In particular Tate thesis on the \( p \)-adic line is a special case. This example has been computed in [HS, Section 7] also using generalized semi-invariant distributions. With the help of Theorem 2.6 and Corollary 2.8 the arguments can be greatly simplified.

We emphasize that the lattice \( \Psi_i \) in Theorem 2.6 or \( \Psi_{V(f)} \) in Corollary 2.8 might not be trivial, see the example in Proposition 4.6 in Section 4.

From Theorem 2.6 we can get many more corollaries by adjusting the conditions. The following is another example.

**Corollary 2.10.** With the same setup as in Theorem 2.6, assume that \( Z_{f,\mu} \) is standard at \( s = 0 \). Moreover, we assume that

1. there are finitely many \( \chi \)-admissible orbits in \( V(f) \),
2. \( \nu \notin \Psi_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q} \) for any \( \chi \)-admissible orbit \( O \) in \( V(f) \).

Then the order of the pole of \( Z_{f,\mu} \) at \( s = 0 \) is bounded by the number of \( \chi \)-admissible orbits in \( V(f) \).

**Proof.** There exists a filtration of \( G \)-stable closed subsets \( V_0 \subset V_1 \subset \cdots \subset V_k = V(f) \) such that for each \( i \), \( V_i \setminus V_{i-1} \) contains one \( \chi \)-admissible orbit. By Theorem 2.6 it is immediate that the pole of \( Z_{f,\mu} \) at \( s = 0 \) is bounded by the number of \( \chi \)-admissible orbits in \( V(f) \). \( \square \)

3. **Proof of main Theorem**

In this section, we are devoted to prove Theorem 2.6.

3.1. **Generalized semi-invariant distributions on homogeneous spaces.** Given an \( \ell \)-space \( X \) with the action of an \( \ell \)-group \( G \), let \( S(X) \) be the space of Schwartz functions on \( X \), i.e. locally constant \( \mathbb{C} \)-valued function with compact support on \( X \). We define the action of \( G \) on \( S(X) \) as follows,

\[ (g \cdot \phi)(x) = \phi(g^{-1} \cdot x), \quad \text{for any } g \in G, \phi \in S(X), \text{ and } x \in X. \]

It gives a left action of \( G \) on \( S(X) \). Let \( D(X) \) denote the space of distributions on \( X \), i.e. all linear functionals on \( S(X) \). We define the right action of \( G \) on \( D(X) \) via

\[ (\xi \cdot g)(\phi) = \xi(g \cdot \phi), \quad \text{for any } g \in G, \xi \in D(X) \text{ and } \phi \in S(X). \]

Given a character \( \chi \) of \( G \), we denote by \( D(X)^{\chi,k} \) the space consisting of distributions \( \xi \) on \( X \) such that

\[ \xi \cdot (g_1 - \chi(g_0))(g_1 - \chi(g_1)) \cdots (g_k - \chi(g_k)) = 0, \quad \text{for any } g_0, g_1, \ldots, g_k \in G. \]

Put

\[ D(X)^{\chi,\infty} := \bigcup_k D(X)^{\chi,k} \]

Any distribution \( \xi \) in \( D(X)^{\chi,\infty} \) is called a generalized \( \chi \)-invariant distribution on \( X \), and any distribution \( \xi \in D(X)^{\chi,k} \) is a generalized \( \chi \)-invariant distribution of order \( \leq k \). For any \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), the space \( D(X)^{\chi,k} \) still carries the action of \( G \). Any
generalized $\chi$-invariant distribution of order $\leq 0$ is equivalent to be $\chi$-invariant. For any $g \in G$, the operator $g - \chi(g)$ acts on $D(X)^{x,\infty}$ nilpotently.

Let $G^o$ be the subgroup of $G$ generated by all open compact subgroups of $G$. $G^o$ is normal in $G$. We put

$$\Lambda_G := G/G^o.$$  

Let $J_{G,k} = \mathbb{C}[\Lambda_G]/(I_G)^{k+1}$, where $I_G$ is the augmentation ideal of $\mathbb{C}[\Lambda_G]$, i.e.

$$I_G := \{ \sum_{g \in \Lambda_G} a_g g \in \mathbb{C}[\Lambda_G] | \sum_{g \in \Lambda_G} a_g = 0 \}.$$  

Note that $J_{G,k}$ carries a natural action of $G \times G$. The following lemma follows from \[HS, \text{Lemma 2.6}].

**Lemma 3.1.** $D(X)^{x,k} = \text{Hom}_G(S(X) \otimes J_{G,k}, \chi)$, where $G$ acts on $J_{G,k}$ from the left.

**Lemma 3.2.** Given a representation $V$ of a compact group $K$, any generalized $\chi$-invariant vector is $\chi$-invariant.

**Proof.** It follows from the complete reducibility of representation of compact group. \qed

In the rest of this subsection, we determine all generalized semi-invariant distributions on algebraic homogeneous spaces.

Let $G$ be a connected linear algebraic group over $F$. Let $H$ be an algebraic subgroup of $G$. In the rest of this subsection, we always use $G$ to denote $G(F)$ and use $H$ to denote $H(F)$.

The following lemma is well-known (cf. \[Ig, \text{Prop.7.2.1}]).

**Lemma 3.3.** For any character $\chi$ of $G$, $G/H$ is $\chi$-admissible if and only if

$$\chi|_H = |\Delta_G| \cdot |\Delta_H|^{-1},$$

where the algebraic modular character $\Delta_G$ is given by the 1-dimensional representation of $G$ on $\wedge^{top} g$, where $g$ is the Lie algebra of $G$ with the adjoint action of $G$, and the algebraic character $\Delta_H$ is defined similarly.

It is clear that $D(G/H)^{x,\infty} \neq 0$ if and only if $\chi$ is admissible on $G/H$. When the character $\chi$ of $G$ is trivial on the unipotent radical of $G$, the following proposition is an immediate consequence of \[HS, \text{Theorem 6.15}]. In fact the condition on the character $\chi$ can be removed. We give the proof for general case here.

**Proposition 3.4.** Assume that $G$ is connected and that $X = G/H$ is $\chi$-admissible. Then any $\xi \in D(X)^{x,\infty}$ can be written as

$$\xi = P(\text{val} \circ \alpha_1, \text{val} \circ \alpha_2, \cdots, \text{val} \circ \alpha_r)\mu,$$

where $P$ is a polynomial in $r$ variables, $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_r \in \Psi_{G/H}$ (recall that $\Psi_{G/H}$ is the group of admissible algebraic characters of $G$ on $G/H$), and $\mu$ is the $\chi$-invariant distribution on $X$. 


Proof. Let \( \xi \) be any generalized \( \chi \)-invariant distribution on \( X \) of order \( \leq k \). Choose an open compact subgroup \( K \) of \( G \). We decompose \( X \) as the union of \( K \)-orbits,
\[
X = \sqcup_{i} X_{i}.
\]
Then the distribution \( \xi|_{X_{i}} \) on \( X_{i} \) is a generalized \( \chi|_{K} \)-invariant. In view of Lemma 3.2, it is automatically \( \chi|_{K} \)-invariant. Hence there exists a constant number \( \alpha_{i} \) such that \( \xi|_{X_{i}} = \alpha_{i} \mu|_{X_{i}} \).

By pulling back to \( G \), \( f \) can be viewed as a generalized invariant locally constant function on \( G \) which is trivial on \( H \). In view of Lemma 3.2, \( f \) can be further descended to a generalized invariant function on \( \Lambda \) which is trivial with respect to the action of \( H \). By [HS, Prop. 6.8, Lemma 6.12], \( f \) can be written as a polynomial in \( \text{val} \circ \alpha_{1}, \text{val} \circ \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \text{val} \circ \alpha_{r} \) of degree \( \leq k \), for some algebraic characters \( \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{r} \) of \( G \) which are trivial on \( H \). It finishes the proof of the proposition.

\[\square\]

**Corollary 3.5.** Assume that \( X = G/H \) is \( \chi \)-admissible. Let \( g \) be an element in \( G \). If for any algebraic character \( \nu \in \Psi_{X}, |\nu(g)| = 1 \), then \( g \) acts on \( D(X)^{\nu, \infty} \) by \( \chi(g) \).

Proof. If \( \chi \) is not admissible on \( X \), then \( D(X)^{\nu, \infty} = 0 \). The corollary trivially holds. Otherwise, it is an immediate consequence of Proposition 3.4.

\[\square\]

### 3.2. A localization principle for generalized semi-invariant distributions.

Let \( X \) be an \( \ell \)-space. We define an \( \ell \)-sheaf on \( X \) to be a sheaf of complex vector spaces on \( X \). For any \( \ell \)-sheaf \( \mathcal{F} \) on \( X \), let \( \Gamma_{c}(X, \mathcal{F}) \) denote the space of all global sections of \( \mathcal{F} \) with compact support. In particular, \( S(X) = \Gamma_{c}(X, \mathcal{C}_{X}) \), where \( \mathcal{C}_{X} \) denotes the sheaf of locally constant \( \mathbb{C} \)-valued functions on \( X \). For each \( x \in X \), denote by \( \mathcal{F}_{x} \) the stalk of \( \mathcal{F} \) at \( x \); and for each \( s \in \Gamma_{c}(X, \mathcal{F}) \), denote by \( s_{x} \in \mathcal{F}_{x} \) the germ of \( s \) at \( x \). The set \( \bigsqcup_{x \in X} \mathcal{F}_{x} \) carries a unique topology such that for all \( s \in \Gamma_{c}(X, \mathcal{F}) \), the map
\[
X \to \bigsqcup_{x \in X} \mathcal{F}_{x}, \quad x \mapsto s_{x}
\]
is an open embedding. Then \( \Gamma_{c}(X, \mathcal{F}) \) is naturally identified with the space of all compactly supported continuous sections of the map \( \bigsqcup_{x \in X} \mathcal{F}_{x} \to X \).

Let \( G \) be an \( \ell \)-group which acts continuously on an \( \ell \)-space \( X \).

**Definition 3.6.** ([BZ, Section 1.17]) A \( G \)-equivariant \( \ell \)-sheaf on \( X \) is an \( \ell \)-sheaf \( \mathcal{F} \) on \( X \), together with a continuous group action
\[
G \times \bigsqcup_{x \in X} \mathcal{F}_{x} \to \bigsqcup_{x \in X} \mathcal{F}_{x}
\]
such that for all \( x \in X \), the action of each \( g \in G \) restricts to a linear map \( \mathcal{F}_{x} \to \mathcal{F}_{g \cdot x} \).
Given a $G$-equivariant $\ell$-sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $X$, the space $\Gamma_c(X, \mathcal{F})$ is a smooth representation of $G$ so that

$$(g.s)_x = g.s_x \quad \text{for all } g \in G, \; x \in X, \; s \in \Gamma_c(X, \mathcal{F}).$$

For each $G$-stable locally closed subset $Z$ of $X$, the restriction $\mathcal{F}|_Z$ is clearly a $G$-equivariant $\ell$-sheaf on $Z$.

We define the space of distributions on $\mathcal{F}$ as the dual of $\Gamma_c(X, \mathcal{F})$, i.e.

$$D(X, \mathcal{F}) := \Gamma_c(X, \mathcal{F})^*.\]$$

Similar to the right action of $G$ on $D(X)$, we have a right action of $G$ on $D(X, \mathcal{F})$.

For any character $\chi$ of $G$, let $D(X, \mathcal{F})^{\chi,k}$ be the space of generalized $\chi$-invariant distributions of order $\leq k$, for $k = 1, 2, \ldots$, and put

$$D(X, \mathcal{F})^{\chi,\infty} = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} D(X, \mathcal{F})^{\chi,k}.$$

For each $k$, $D(X, \mathcal{F})^{\chi,k}$ carries a right action of $G$ which is locally finite.

Recall the $G \times G$-module $J_{G,k}$ in Section 3.1. Similar to Lemma 3.1, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.7.** For any $k$, $D(X, \mathcal{F})^{\chi,k} = \text{Hom}_G(\Gamma_c(X, \mathcal{F}) \otimes J_{G,k}, \chi)$, where $G$ acts on $J_{G,k}$ from the left.

**Lemma 3.8.** Let $X$ be an $\ell$-space and let $G$ be an $\ell$-group acting trivially on $X$. Fix elements $g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n$ in $G$. For any $G$-equivariant $\ell$-sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $X$, if $(g_1-1)(g_2-1)\cdots(g_n-1)$ acts on $\mathcal{F}_x$ by zero for any $x \in X$, then $(g_1-1)(g_2-1)\cdots(g_n-1)$ also acts by zero on $\Gamma_c(X, \mathcal{F})$.

**Proof.** The lemma is immediate, since the space $\Gamma_c(\mathcal{F})$ can be identified with all compactly supported continuous sections of the map $\bigcup_{x \in X} \mathcal{F}_x \to X$. \qed

**Proposition 3.9.** Let $p : X \to Y$ be a $G$-equivariant morphism of $\ell$-spaces such that $G$ acts on $Y$ trivially. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a $G$-equivariant $\ell$-sheaf on $X$. For any character $\chi$ of $G$ and elements $g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n$ in $G$, if $(g_1-\chi(g_1))(g_2-\chi(g_2))\cdots(g_n-\chi(g_n))$ acts on $D(p^{-1}(y), \mathcal{F})^{\chi,\infty}$ by zero for any $y \in Y$, then $(g_1-\chi(g))(g_2-\chi(g_2))\cdots(g_n-\chi(g_n))$ acts on $D(X, \mathcal{F})^{\chi,\infty}$ also by zero.

**Proof.** It suffices to show that for any $k$, the action of $(g_1-\chi(g))(g_2-\chi(g_2))\cdots(g_n-\chi(g_n))$ on $D(X, \mathcal{F})^{\chi,k}$ is zero.

First of all in view of Lemma 3.7, we have the following natural isomorphisms of $G$-modules

$$D(X, \mathcal{F})^{\chi,k} = (\Gamma_c(X, \mathcal{F}_{\chi,k})_G)^*,$$

and

$$D(p^{-1}(y), \mathcal{F}|_{p^{-1}(y)})^{\chi,k} = (\Gamma_c(p^{-1}(y), \mathcal{F}_{\chi,k}|_{p^{-1}(y)})_G)^*$$

for any $y \in Y$ and $k = 1, 2, \ldots$. Here $\mathcal{F}_{\chi,k} := \mathcal{F} \otimes \chi^{-1} \otimes J_{G,k}$ is naturally a $G \times G$-equivariant sheaf, where the first copy of $G$ acts diagonally on $\mathcal{F}$, $\chi^{-1}$ and $J_{G,k}$ from the left, and the second copy of $G$ acts individually on the right of $J_{G,k}$.\]
By a theorem of Bernstein-Zelevinsky (cf. [BZ, Proposition 2.36]), there exists an \( \ell \)-sheaf \((\mathcal{F}_{\chi,k})_G\) on \( Y \) such that
\[
\Gamma_c(Y,(\mathcal{F}_{\chi,k})_G) = \Gamma_c(X,\mathcal{F}_{\chi,k}G),
\]
and for any \( y \in Y \),
\[
((\mathcal{F}_{\chi,k})_G)_y = \Gamma_c(p^{-1}(y),\mathcal{F}_{\chi,k}|_{p^{-1}(y)})_G,
\]
where the coinvariants are taken with respect to the diagonal action of \( G \).

The \( \ell \)-sheaf \((\mathcal{F}_{\chi,k})_G\) still carries the action of the second copy of \( G \), which acts on \( Y \) trivially. Moreover the right \( G \)-actions on \( D(X,\mathcal{F})^{\chi,k} \) and \( D(p^{-1}(y),\mathcal{F}|_{p^{-1}(y)})^{\chi,k} \) exactly come from the the action of the second copy of \( G \) on \((\mathcal{F}_{\chi,k})_G\). By Lemma 3.8 the proposition follows. \( \square \)

**Proposition 3.10.** Let \( X \) be an \( \ell \)-space with action of \( G \). Let \( \pi : X \to Y \) be a \( G \)-equivariant continuous map of \( \ell \)-spaces, where \( G \) acts on \( Y \) trivially. Assume that for any \( y \in Y \), \( \pi^{-1}(y) \) is a disjoint union of finitely many closed \( G \)-orbits. Given a character \( \chi \) of \( G \) and elements \( g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n \in G \), if \((g_1 - \chi(g_1))(g_2 - \chi(g_2)) \cdots (g_n - \chi(g_n))\) acts on \( D(O)^{\chi,\infty} \) by zero for any orbit \( O \) in \( X \), then \((g_1 - \chi(g))(g_2 - \chi(g_2)) \cdots (g_n - \chi(g_n))\) acts on \( D(X)^{\chi,\infty} \) also by zero.

**Proof.** For any \( y \in Y \), since \( \pi^{-1}(y) \) is a disjoint union of finitely many closed \( G \)-orbits, we have
\[
D(\pi^{-1}(y))^{\chi,\infty} = \bigoplus O D(O)^{\chi,\infty},
\]
where the summation is taken over \( G \)-orbits in \( \pi^{-1}(y) \). By assumption \((g_1 - \chi(g))(g_2 - \chi(g_2)) \cdots (g_n - \chi(g_n))\) acts on \( D(\pi^{-1}(y))^{\chi,\infty} \) by zero. Then the proposition follows from Proposition 3.9. \( \square \)

### 3.3. A non-degenerate pairing of lattices

Let \( G \) be a connected linear algebraic group defined over \( F \). Let
\[
\Psi_G := \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{G}_m),
\]
be the group of all algebraic characters on \( G \). Here \( \mathbb{G}_m \) denotes the multiplicative group of \( F \). Then \( \Psi_G \) is a lattice, i.e. a finitely generated free abelian group. Write \( e_G \) for its rank. When \( G \) is reductive, \( e_G \) is equal to the rank of the split central torus.

Define a map
\[
(1) \quad G(F) \times \Psi_G \to \mathbb{Z}, \quad (g, \nu) \mapsto \text{val}(\nu(g)),
\]
where \( \text{val} \) is the valuation map on the non-archimedian field \( F \). Recall that \( \Lambda_{G(F)} \) is the quotient of \( G(F) \) by \( G(F)^\circ \) the subgroup of \( G(F) \) generated by all compact open subgroups.

**Lemma 3.11.** The map (1) descends to a bilinear map
\[
\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_G : \Lambda_{G(F)} \times \Psi_G \to \mathbb{Z}.
\]
Proof. It suffices to prove that, for any algebraic character \( \nu \in \Psi_G \) and for any \( g \in G(F)^\circ \) we always have \( \text{val}(\nu(g)) = 0 \).

The map \( \text{val} \circ \nu : G(F) \to \mathbb{Z} \) is continuous, where we take discrete topology on \( \mathbb{Z} \). For any open compact subgroup \( K \) of \( G(F) \), the image is a compact subgroup of \( \mathbb{Z} \), which is forced to be trivial. Hence the lemma follows. \( \square \)

The paring \( \langle , \rangle_G \) is compatible with homomorphisms of algebraic groups in the sense of the following obvious lemma:

**Lemma 3.12.** Let \( \phi : G \to H \) be a homomorphism of connected linear algebraic groups defined over \( F \). Then

\[
\langle g, \nu \circ \phi \rangle_G = \langle \Lambda_\phi(g), \nu \rangle_H
\]

for all \( g \in \Lambda_G(F) \) and \( \nu \in \Psi_H \). Here \( \Lambda_\phi : \Lambda_G(F) \to \Lambda_H(F) \) denotes the homomorphism induced by \( \phi : G \to H \).

Let \( L \) be a connected reductive group defined over \( F \). We write

\[ L = SA[L, L], \]

where \( S \) denotes the maximal split central torus in \( L \), \( A \) denotes the maximal anisotropic central torus in \( L \), and \( [L, L] \) denotes the derived subgroup of \( L \). Write

\[ L^\dagger := A[L, L]. \]

**Lemma 3.13.** We have \( L^\dagger(F)^\circ = L^\dagger(F) \).

**Proof.** Since \( [L, L] \) is a semisimple connected linear algebraic group over \( F \), every open normal subgroup of \( [L, L](F) \) has finite index in it (cf \[PR, Proposition 3.18\]). Since \( [L, L](F)^\circ \) is open and normal in \( [L, L](F) \), it implies that

\[
([L, L](F))^\circ = [L, L](F).
\]

Since \( A \) is an anisotropic torus, \( A(F) \) is compact. Therefore \( (A(F))^\circ = A(F) \). Now we have that

\[
(L^\dagger(F))^\circ \supset ([L, L](F))^\circ(A(F))^\circ = ([L, L](F))(A(F)).
\]

Note that \( ([L, L](F))(A(F)) \) has finite index in \( L^\dagger(F) \) (cf. \[PR, Corollary 2 of Theorem 6.16\]). It follows that \( L^\dagger(F)^\circ = L^\dagger(F) \). \( \square \)

The following lemma was stated in \[Be, Chapter II, Prop.22\].

**Lemma 3.14.** The group \( \Lambda_{L(F)} \) is a lattice of rank \( e_L \).

**Proof.** Note that \( L(F)/L^\dagger(F) \) is topologically isomorphic to a finite index subgroup of \( (L/L^\dagger)(F) \) (cf \[PR, Corollary 2 of Theorem 6.16\]). It implies that \( \Lambda_{L(F)/L^\dagger(F)} \) is a sublattice of \( \Lambda_{(L/L^\dagger)(F)} \) with torsion quotient. Hence the rank of \( \Lambda_{L(F)/L^\dagger(F)} \) is \( e_{L/L^\dagger} = e_L \).

Now Lemma 3.13 implies that \( \Lambda_{L(F)} = \Lambda_{L(F)/L^\dagger(F)} \). This proves the lemma. \( \square \)

The following lemma is obvious.
Lemma 3.15.  (1) Let \( T \) is a split torus. Then \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_T \) is a perfect paring, namely it induces an isomorphism from \( \Lambda_T(F) \) to \( \text{Hom}(\Psi_T, \mathbb{Z}) \).

(2) Let \( \phi : T_1 \to T_2 \) be a surjective homomorphism of split tori over \( F \) with finite kernel. Then its induced homomorphism \( \Lambda_{T_1}(F) \to \Lambda_{T_2}(F) \) is injective.

We are now ready to prove the following proposition.

Proposition 3.16. The paring \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_G : \Lambda_G(F) \times \Psi_G \to \mathbb{Z} \) is non-degenerate.

Proof. Let \( L \) be a Levi component of \( G \), namely it is an algebraic subgroup of \( G \) such that \( G = L \rtimes U_G \), where \( U_G \) denotes the unipotent radical of \( G \). Then the projection homomorphism \( G \to L \) induces an identification

\[ \Psi_G = \Psi_L. \]

Recall that \( U_G(F) \) is the union of all its compact subgroups. Therefore the projection homomorphism \( G \to L \) also induces an identification

\[ \Lambda_G(F) = \Lambda_L(F). \]

In view of Lemma 3.12, it suffices to prove the proposition for the connected reductive group \( L \).

As above we write

\[ L = SA[L, L], \]

where \( S \) denotes the maximal split central torus in \( L \), \( A \) denotes the maximal anisotropic central torus in \( L \), and \( [L, L] \) denotes the derived subgroup of \( L \). Write

\[ L^\dagger := A[L, L]. \]

The natural algebraic group homomorphisms

\[ (2) \quad S \to L \to L/L^\dagger \]

induce group homomorphisms

\[ (3) \quad \Lambda_S(F) \to \Lambda_L(F) \to \Lambda_{L/L^\dagger}(F). \]

The homomorphisms \( (2) \) also induce group homomorphisms

\[ (4) \quad \Psi_S \leftarrow \Psi_L \leftarrow \Psi_{L/L^\dagger}. \]

It is clear that the two homomorphisms in \( (4) \) are injective, and three lattices have the same rank.

Part (2) of Lemma 3.15 implies that \( \Lambda_S(F) \to \Lambda_{L/L^\dagger}(F) \) is injective. Hence the map \( \Lambda_S(F) \to \Lambda_L(F) \) is also injective. In view of Lemma 3.14 and part (1) of Lemma 3.15, the lattice \( \Lambda_L(F) \) has the same rank as \( \Lambda_S(F) \). It implies that \( \Lambda_L(F) \to \Lambda_{L/L^\dagger}(F) \) is also injective.

In view of Lemma 3.12, the non-degeneracy of \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_S \) and \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{L/L^\dagger} \) imply the non-degeneracy of the paring \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_L \). Consequently, the paring \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_G \) is also non-degenerate.

\[ \square \]
3.4. Invariance of Igusa zeta integral. In this subsection we keep the same setup as in Theorem 2.6. Recall that \( f \) is \( \nu \)-invariant, i.e. \( f(g \cdot x) = \nu(g)f(x) \), and \( \mu \) is \( \chi \)-invariant. The zeta integral \( Z_{f,\mu} \) satisfies the following invariance:

\[
Z_{f,\mu} \cdot g = |\nu(g)|^s \chi(g) Z_{f,\mu}.
\]

For any \( \phi \in \mathcal{S}(X(F)) \), \( Z_{f,\mu}(\phi) \) is a meromorphic function in \( s \). Consider the Laurent expansion of \( Z_{f,\mu} \)

\[
Z_{f,\mu} = \sum Z_{f,\mu,i} s^i,
\]

where \( Z_{f,\mu,i} \in D(X(F))^{\chi,\infty} \) for each \( i \in \mathbb{Z} \). Let \( i_0 \) be the largest integer such that \( Z_{f,\mu,-i_0} \neq 0 \) and \( Z_{f,\mu,-i_0-1} = 0 \).

Lemma 3.17. (1) For any \( i \), we have the following relation

\[
Z_{f,\mu,i} \cdot (g - \chi(g)) = \chi(g) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\log |\nu(g)|)^k}{k!} Z_{f,\mu,i-k},
\]

(2) For any \( i \geq -i_0 \), \( Z_{f,\mu,i} \neq 0 \), and for any \( i < -i_0 \), \( Z_{f,\mu} = 0 \). Moreover \( Z_{f,\mu,i} \in D(X(F))^{\chi,i+i_0} \).

(3) The coefficients \( Z_{f,\mu,-i_0}, Z_{f,\mu,0}, \cdots \) are linearly independent in \( D(X(F))^{\chi,\infty} \).

Proof. The Taylor expansion of \( |\nu(g)|^s \) at \( s = 0 \) is

\[
|\nu(g)|^s = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\log |\nu(g)|)^j}{j!} s^j.
\]

By comparing the Laurent expansion of the both sides of (5), we have

\[
Z_{f,\mu,i} \cdot g = \chi(g) (Z_{f,\mu,i} + \log |\nu(g)| Z_{f,\mu,i-1} + \frac{(\log |\nu(g)|)^2}{2} + \cdots).
\]

It proves the part (1) of the lemma.

Note that \( Z_{f,\mu,-i_0} \neq 0 \) is \( \chi \)-invariant. Use the formula (6) and by induction we can easily see that for any \( i \geq -i_0 \), \( Z_{f,\mu,i} \neq 0 \) and \( Z_{f,\mu,i} \in D(X(F))^{\chi,i+i_0} \).

Part (3) of the lemma also easily follows from the formula (6). \( \square \)

Proposition 3.18. If the zeta integral \( Z_{f,\mu} \) has pole at \( s = 0 \), then there is at least one \( \chi \)-admissible orbit in \( V(f) \).

Proof. If there is no \( \chi \)-invariant distributions on every \( G \)-orbit in \( V(f) \), by the localization principle of Bernstein-Zelevinsky there is no \( \chi \)-invariant distribution on \( V(f) \).

If \( Z_{f,\mu} \) has pole at \( s = 0 \) of order \( r \), in view of Lemma 3.17 \( Z_{f,\mu,-r} \) is a \( \chi \)-invariant distribution and supported in \( V(f) \). It is a contradiction. Hence there exists at least one \( \chi \)-admissible orbit in \( V(f) \). \( \square \)

Remark 3.19. This proposition is a generalization of a result of Igusa, Gyöja in the case of group action on vector spaces (cf. [Ig, Theorem 8.5.1] and the remark therein).
It implies that if there is no $\chi$-admissible orbit in $V(f)$, then $Z_{f,\mu}$ is analytic at $s = 0$, hence $\mu$ can be extended to a $\chi$-invariant distribution on $X(F)$. Comparing with [HS Theorem 1.4], in special cases we get stronger result, i.e. we don’t need to assume non-weakly admissibility.

3.5. Final proof. Before we conclude Theorem 2.6, we need to make more preparations.

**Lemma 3.20.** Let $X$ be an $\ell$-space with the action of an $\ell$-group $G$. Let $Y$ be a $G$-stable locally closed subset of $X$. let $\chi$ be a character of $G$. If all $\chi$-admissible orbits in $X$ are contained in $Y$, then we have a natural embedding of $G$-modules

$$D(X)^{\chi,\infty} \hookrightarrow D(Y)^{\chi,\infty}.$$ 

**Proof.** Let $\overline{Y}$ be closure of $Y$ in $X$. Note that we have the following exact sequence of $G$-modules

$$0 \to D(\overline{Y})^{\chi,\infty} \to D(X)^{\chi,\infty} \to D(X\setminus \overline{Y})^{\chi,\infty}.$$ 

Since there is no $\chi$-admissible orbit in $X\setminus \overline{Y}$, by Bernstein-Zelevinsky localization principle $D(X\setminus \overline{Y})^{\chi} = 0$. It follows that $D(X\setminus \overline{Y})^{\chi,\infty} = 0$. Hence we have the isomorphism

$$D(\overline{Y})^{\chi,\infty} \cong D(X)^{\chi,\infty}.$$ 

Since $Y$ is open in $\overline{Y}$, we have the following exact sequence

$$0 \to D(\overline{Y}\setminus Y)^{\chi,\infty} \to D(\overline{Y})^{\chi,\infty} \to D(Y)^{\chi,\infty}.$$ 

Using Bernstein-Zelevinsky localization principle again, $D(\overline{Y}\setminus Y)^{\chi,\infty} = 0$. Hence we get the embedding

$$D(X)^{\chi,\infty} \cong D(\overline{Y})^{\chi,\infty} \hookrightarrow D(Y)^{\chi,\infty}.$$ 

□

Recall that $\Psi_i$ is the sublattice of $\Psi_G$ spanned by $\Psi_O$ for all $\chi$-admissible orbits in $V_i\setminus V_{i-1}$.

**Lemma 3.21.** For any algebraic character $\nu \in \Psi_G$, if $\nu \notin \Psi_i \otimes \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{Q}$, then there exists $g \in G(F)$ such that $|\nu(g)| \neq 1$ and for any $\nu' \in \Psi_i$, $|\nu'(g)| = 1$.

**Proof.** Let $\hat{\Psi}_i$ be the lattice $\Psi_i + \mathbb{Z}\nu \subset \Psi_G$. The assumption $\nu \notin \Psi_i \otimes \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{Q}$ implies that

$$\text{rank}(\hat{\Psi}_i) = \text{rank}(\Psi_i) + 1.$$ 

Let $\Psi_i^\perp$ be the following sublattice of $\Lambda_{G(F)}$

$$\Psi_i^\perp := \{ g \in \Lambda_{G(F)} | \langle g, \Psi_i \rangle_G = 0 \}$$

and similarly let $\hat{\Psi}_i^\perp$ be the following lattice

$$\hat{\Psi}_i^\perp := \{ \hat{g} \in \Lambda_{G(F)} | \langle \hat{g}, \hat{\Psi}_i \rangle_G = 0 \}.$$ 

By the non-degeneracy of the pairing $\langle \rangle_G : \Lambda_{G(F)} \times \Psi_G \to \mathbb{Z}$ (Proposition 3.16), we have

$$\text{rank}(\Psi_i^\perp) = \text{rank}(\hat{\Psi}_i^\perp) + 1.$$
In particular there exists $g \in G(F)$ such that $\langle g, \nu \rangle_G \neq 0$ and $\langle g, \Psi_i \rangle_G = 0$. □

By Lemma 3.21 for each $i$ there exists an element $g_i \in G(F)$ such that $|\nu(g_i)| \neq 1$ and for any $\nu' \in \Psi_i$, $|\nu'(g_i)| = 1$. In view of Proposition 3.4 and Corollary 3.5, $g_i$ acts on $D(O)^{x,\infty}$ by $\chi(g_i)$ for any orbit $O$ in $V_i \setminus V_{i-1}$.

**Lemma 3.22.** With the choice of $g_i$ as above, the operator $g_i$ acts on $D(V_i \setminus V_{i-1})^{x,\infty}$ by the scalar $\chi(g_i)$.

**Proof.** By assumption of Theorem 2.6 there exists a fiberizable $G(F)$-stable locally closed subset $Y_i$ of $V_i \setminus V_{i-1}$ such that all $\chi$-admissible orbits in $V_i \setminus V_{i-1}$ are contained in $Y_i$. By Proposition 3.10, $g_i$ acts on $D(Y_i)^{x,\infty}$ by $\chi(g_i)$. In view of Lemma 3.20 $D(V_i \setminus V_{i-1})^{x,\infty} \subset D(Y_i)^{x,\infty}$. Hence, $g_i$ acts on $D(V_i \setminus V_{i-1})^{x,\infty}$ by $\chi(g_i)$.

Let $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_{\ell_X}$ be all integers such that $V_i \setminus V_{i-1}$ contain at least one $\chi$-admissible orbit. Let $g_{i_t} \in G(F)$ be the element such that $|\nu(g_{i_t})| \neq 1$ and for any $\nu' \in \Psi_i$, $|\nu'(g_{i_t})| = 1$.

**Lemma 3.23.** With the choice of elements $g_{i_1}, g_{i_2}, \ldots, g_{i_{\ell_X}}$ as above, the operator $(g_{i_1} - \chi(g_{i_1}))(g_{i_2} - \chi(g_{i_2})) \cdots (g_{i_{\ell_X}} - \chi(g_{i_{\ell_X}}))$ acts on $D(V(f))^{x,\infty}$ by zero.

**Proof.** First of all we look at the following exact sequence of $G(F)$-modules

$$0 \to D(V_{i_{\ell_X}})^{x,\infty} \to D(V(f))^{x,\infty} \to D(V(f) \setminus V_{i_{\ell_X}})^{x,\infty}.$$

Since there is no $\chi$-admissible orbit in $V(f) \setminus V_{i_{\ell_X}}$, by Bernstein-Zelevinsky localization principle

$$D(V(f) \setminus V_{i_{\ell_X}})^{x,\infty} = 0.$$ It follows that

$$D(V(f))^{x,\infty} \simeq D(V_{i_{\ell_X}})^{x,\infty}.$$

We use induction to show that for any $t$, $(g_{i_1} - \chi(g_{i_1}))(g_{i_2} - \chi(g_{i_2})) \cdots (g_{i_t} - \chi(g_{i_t}))$ acts on $D(V_{i_t})^{x,\infty}$ by zero. When $t = 1$, by Lemma 3.22, $g_{i_1} - \chi(g_{i_1})$ acts on $V_{i_1}$ by zero. Look at the following exact sequence

$$0 \to D(V_{i_{t-1}})^{x,\infty} \to D(V_{i_t})^{x,\infty} \to D(V_{i_t} \setminus V_{i_{t-1}})^{x,\infty}.$$ It suffices to show that $(g_{i_1} - \chi(g_{i_1}))(g_{i_2} - \chi(g_{i_2})) \cdots (g_{i_{t-1}} - \chi(g_{i_{t-1}}))$ acts on $D(V_{i_{t-1}})^{x,\infty}$ and $D(V_{i_t} \setminus V_{i_{t-1}})^{x,\infty}$ by zero simultaneously.

By induction $(g_{i_t} - \chi(g_{i_t}))(g_{i_t} - \chi(g_{i_t})) \cdots (g_{i_{i_{t-1}} - \chi(g_{i_{i_{t-1}}}))$ acts on $D(V_{i_{t-1}})^{x,\infty}$ by zero, and by Lemma 3.22, $g_{i_t} - \chi(g_{i_t})$ acts on $D(V_{i_t} \setminus V_{i_{t-1}})^{x,\infty}$ by zero. It follows that $(g_{i_t} - \chi(g_{i_t}))(g_{i_t} - \chi(g_{i_t})) \cdots (g_{i_t} - \chi(g_{i_t}))$ acts on $D(V_{i_t})^{x,\infty}$ by zero. This finish the proof of the lemma. □

Finally we come back to the proof of Theorem 2.6. If there is no $\chi$-admissible orbits in $V(f)$, in view of Proposition 3.13, the theorem holds.

From now on we assume there is at least one $\chi$-admissible orbit in $V(f)$. In this case $\ell_X \geq 1$. 16
We first show that the pole of $Z_{f,\mu}$ at $s = 0$ is bounded by $\ell_\chi$. If $Z_{f,\mu}$ is analytic at $s = 0$, then it is clearly true. If $Z_{f,\mu}$ has pole at $s = 0$, then $Z_{f,\mu,-1} \neq 0$ and it is supported on $V(f)$. In view of Lemma 3.17 and Lemma 3.23, we have
\begin{align}
0 &= Z_{f,\mu,-1} \cdot (g_{i_1} - \chi(g_{i_1}))(g_{i_2} - \chi(g_{i_2})) \cdots (g_{i_\ell_\chi} - \chi(g_{i_\ell_\chi})) \\
\chi(g_{i_1}g_{i_2} \cdots g_{i_\ell_\chi}) \log|\nu(g_{i_1})| \log|\nu(g_{i_2})| \cdots \log|\nu(g_{i_\ell_\chi})| \cdot Z_{f,\mu,-\ell_\chi-1} + \cdots,
\end{align}
where $\cdots$ denotes more terms consisting of $Z_{f,\mu,i}$ which are nonzero when $i < -\ell_\chi - 1$. For each $t$, $|\nu(g_{i_t})| \neq 1 \iff \log(|\nu(g_{i_t})|) \neq 0$. By the linear independence of $Z_{f,\mu,i}$ (Lemma 3.17), $Z_{f,\mu,-\ell_\chi-1} = 0$. In particular it implies that the pole of $Z_{f,\mu}$ at $s = 0$ is bounded by $\ell_\chi$.

We now prove the second part of the theorem. By assumption $Z_{f,\mu}$ exactly has pole of order $\ell_\chi$ at $s = 0$ where $\ell_\chi \geq 1$. Assume $\mu$ can be extended to a $\chi$-invariant distribution $\tilde{\mu}$. Then $Z_{f,\mu,0} - \tilde{\mu}$ is supported in $V(f)$. By Lemma 3.23,
\begin{align}
(Z_{f,\mu,0} - \tilde{\mu}) \cdot (g_{i_1} - \chi(g_{i_1}))(g_{i_2} - \chi(g_{i_2})) \cdots (g_{i_\ell_\chi} - \chi(g_{i_\ell_\chi})) = 0.
\end{align}
On the other hand, in view of Lemma 3.17 we have
\begin{align}
(Z_{f,\mu,0} - \tilde{\mu}) \cdot (g_{i_1} - \chi(g_{i_1}))(g_{i_2} - \chi(g_{i_2})) \cdots (g_{i_\ell_\chi} - \chi(g_{i_\ell_\chi})) &= Z_{f,\mu,0} \cdot (g_{i_1} - \chi(g_{i_1}))(g_{i_2} - \chi(g_{i_2})) \cdots (g_{i_\ell_\chi} - \chi(g_{i_\ell_\chi})) \\
&= \chi(g_{i_1}g_{i_2} \cdots g_{i_\ell_\chi}) \log|\nu(g_{i_1})| \log|\nu(g_{i_2})| \cdots \log|\nu(g_{i_\ell_\chi})| \cdot Z_{f,\mu,-\ell_\chi}
\end{align}
is not zero, since for each $t$, $\log(|\nu(g_{i_t})|) \neq 0$. It contradicts with (9). Hence $\mu$ can not be extended to a $\chi$-invariant distribution on $X(F)$. This finishes the proof of Theorem 2.6.

4. Examples

We consider the action of $G = F^\times \times GL_n(F) \times F^\times$ on $V := F^n \times F^n$ given by
\begin{align}
(a, g, b) \cdot (x, y) = (axg^{-1}, b^{-1}yg^t),
\end{align}
where $x = (x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n)$, $y = (y_1, y_2, \cdots, y_n) \in F^n$, $a, b \in F^\times$, $g \in GL_n(F)$ and $g^t$ is the transpose of $g$.

For convenience we denote by $\chi = (\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3)$ the following character of $G$,
\begin{align}
(a, g, b) \mapsto \chi_1(a)\chi_2(\det g)\chi_3(b).
\end{align}
Any character of $G$ is given by $(\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3)$ for some characters $\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3$ of $F^\times$.

In this section, we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.1.** For any character $\chi$ of $G$, $\dim D(V)\chi \leq 1$.

When $n = 1$, $n = 2$ and $n \geq 3$, the arguments will be different. We will prove the more precise statements in Proposition 4.4, Proposition 4.6 and Proposition 4.8 for each case.

The G-space $V$ is a prehomogeneous space with the semi-invariant polynomial
\begin{align}
f(x, y) = x \cdot y^t = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i.
\end{align}
The polynomial $f$ is $\nu$-invariant, where $\nu$ is an algebraic character of $G$ given by $\nu(a,g,b) = ab^{-1}$, for any $(a,g,b) \in G$.

Let $V_f$ be the subset of $V$ such that $f \neq 0$. Let $Q$ be the zero set of $f$. We denote by $Q^\times$ the set $\{(x,y) \in Q | x \neq 0, y \neq 0\}$. Denote by $O_{1,0}$ the subset $(F^n \setminus \{0\}) \times \{0\} \subset V$ and $O_{0,1}$, the subset $\{0\} \times (F^n \setminus \{0\}) \subset V$. When $n = 1$, $Q^\times$ is empty. The following lemma is clear.

**Lemma 4.2.**
(1) If $n = 1$, the action of $G$ on $V$ consists of orbits $V_f, O_{1,0}, O_{0,1}, \{0\}$.
(2) If $n \geq 2$, the action of $G$ on $V$ consists of orbits $V_f, Q^\times, O_{1,0}, O_{0,1}, \{0\}$.

The closure relation of these orbits is clear.

The following lemma follows from Lemma 3.3 by analysis on each orbit.

**Lemma 4.3.** Let $\chi = (\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3)$ be a character of $G$. Then

(1) $\chi$ is admissible on $V_f$ if and only if
\[
\begin{cases}
\chi_1 \chi_2 \chi_3 = 1 & \text{if } n = 1 \\
\chi_1 \chi_3 = 1, \chi_2 = 1 & \text{if } n \geq 2.
\end{cases}
\]

(2) $\chi$ is admissible on $Q^\times$ if and only if
\[
\begin{cases}
\chi_1 \chi_2 = | \cdot |, \chi_2 \chi_3 = | \cdot |^{-1} & \text{if } n = 2 \\
\chi_1 = | \cdot |^{n-1}, \chi_2 = 1, \chi_3 = | \cdot |^{-n+1} & \text{if } n \geq 3.
\end{cases}
\]

(3) $\chi$ is admissible on $O_{1,0}$ if and only if
\[
\begin{cases}
\chi_1 = 1, \chi_2 = 1 & \text{if } n = 1 \\
\chi_1 = | \cdot |^{n}, \chi_2 = | \cdot |^{-1}, \chi_3 = 1 & \text{if } n \geq 2.
\end{cases}
\]

(4) $\chi$ is admissible on $O_{0,1}$ if and only if
\[
\begin{cases}
\chi_1 = 1, \chi_2 \chi_3 = 1 & \text{if } n = 1 \\
\chi_1 = 1, \chi_2 = | \cdot |, \chi_3 = | \cdot |^{-n} & \text{if } n \geq 2.
\end{cases}
\]

(5) $\chi$ is admissible on $\{0\}$ if and only if $\chi_1 = \chi_2 = \chi_3 = 1$.

We consider the following zeta integral
\[
Z_{f,\chi(f)\mu}(\phi) = \int_V \phi(v) |f(v)|^s \chi(f(v)) d\mu,
\]
where $\chi$ is a character of $F^\times$, $\mu$ is the Haar measure on $V$ and $\phi \in S(V)$. The zeta integral $Z_{f,\chi(f)\mu}$ absolutely converges when $\Re(s) \gg 0$, moreover it admits a meromorphic continuation.

Note that $\mu$ is $(|\cdot|^n, 1, |\cdot|^{-n})$-invariant, and $Z_{f,\chi(f)\mu}$ is $(\chi | \cdot |^{s+n}, 1, \chi^{-1} | \cdot |^{-s-n})$-invariant.

By the following computation (cf. [13, Chapter 10.1]),
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} |f(v)|^s d\mu = \frac{(1 - q^{-1})(1 - q^{-n})}{(1 - q^{-1-s})(1 - q^{-n-s})},
\]
$Z_{f,|f|^{-1}\mu}$ and $Z_{f,|f|^{-n}\mu}$ has pole at $s = 0$. 
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Proposition 4.4. Assume that $n \geq 3$. Then $\dim D(V)\underline{\chi} = 1$ if and only if

$$
\chi_1\chi_3 = 1, \chi_2 = 1 \quad \text{or} \\
(\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3) = (|\cdot|^n, |\cdot|^{-1}, 1) \quad \text{or} \quad (1, |\cdot|, |\cdot|^{-n}).
$$

Proof. When the conditions (14) and (15) do not hold, Bernstein-Zelevinsky principle implies that $D(V)\underline{\chi} = 0$.

Any semi-invariant distribution on $V_f$ is given by $\chi(f)\mu$, which is $\underline{\chi} = (\chi \cdot |\cdot|^n, 1, \chi^{-1} \cdot |\cdot|^{-n})$-invariant. When $\chi \notin |\cdot|^{-1}, |\cdot|^{-n}$, the character $\underline{\chi}$ is not admissible on any orbit in $Q$. By Proposition 3.18, $Z_{f,\chi(f)\mu}$ is analytic at $s = 0$. Hence $\chi(f)\mu$ can be extended to a $(\chi \cdot |\cdot|^n, 1, \chi \cdot |\cdot|^{-n})$-invariant distribution on $V$. The extension is unique since other orbits are not $(\chi \cdot |\cdot|^n, 1, \chi \cdot |\cdot|^{-n})$-admissible.

When $\chi = |\cdot|^{-1}$ or $\chi = |\cdot|^{-n}$, the only possible $\chi$-admissible orbits in $Q$ are $Q^\times$ and $\{0\}$. The lattice $\Psi_Q$ is trivial on $Q^\times$ and $\{0\}$. In view of (13), $Z_{f,\chi(f)\mu}$ has pole at $s = 0$. By Theorem 2.6 or Corollary 2.8, $Z_{f,\chi(f)\mu}$ has simple pole at $s = 0$ and $\chi(f)\mu$ can not be extended to a $(\chi \cdot |\cdot|^n, 1, \chi \cdot |\cdot|^{-n})$-invariant distribution on $V$. In this case, $(1, 1, 1)$-invariant distribution on $V$ is only supported on $\{0\}$, and the $(|\cdot|^{-n}, 1, |\cdot|^{-n})$-invariant distribution is contributed by the $(|\cdot|^{-n}, 1, |\cdot|^{-n})$-invariant distribution on the closure of $Q^\times$.

When $\chi = (|\cdot|^n, |\cdot|^{-1}, 1)$ or $(1, |\cdot|, |\cdot|^{-n})$, by [HS, Theorem 1.4] $D(V)\underline{\chi} = 1$. It finishes the proof. \hfill $\Box$

Now we consider the case $n = 2$. We denote by $Q_{1,0}$ the set $\{(x,y) \mid f(x,y) = 0, x \neq 0\}$ and $Q_{0,1}$ the set $\{(x,y) \mid f(x,y) = 0, y \neq 0\}$. Note that $\{(Q_{1,0}, Q_{0,1})\}$ gives an open covering of $Q \setminus \{0\}$, $Q^\times = Q_{1,0} \cup Q_{0,1}$, $Q_{1,0} = Q^\times \cup O_{1,0}$ and $Q_{0,1} = Q^\times \cup O_{0,1}$.

Lemma 4.5.  
(1) If $\underline{\chi} = (|\cdot|^2, |\cdot|^{-1}, 1)$, then $\dim D(Q_{1,0})\underline{\chi} = 1$.

(2) If $\underline{\chi} = (1, |\cdot|, |\cdot|^{-2})$, then $\dim D(Q_{0,1})\underline{\chi} = 1$.

Proof. We will only prove the part (1), as the proof for part (2) is similar.

Consider the projection map $p : Q_{1,0} \to F^2 \setminus \{0\}$, given by $p(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) = (x_1, x_2)$. The pre-image $p^{-1}(1,0)$ is $\{(1,0,0, y_2) \mid y_2 \in F\} \simeq F$. The stabilizer $H$ of $G$ at $(1,0)$ is

$$
H = \{ (a, \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ g_{21} & g_{22} \end{bmatrix}, b) \mid a, g_{21}, g_{22}, b \in F \}.
$$

The action of $H$ on the fiber $p^{-1}(1,0) \simeq F$ is given by

$$
(a, \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ g_{21} & g_{22} \end{bmatrix}, b) \cdot y_2 = b^{-1}g_{22}y_2.
$$

By Frobenius reciprocity (cf. [Be2, Section 1.5]), there exists a natural isomorphism

$$
D(F)^H \simeq D(Q_{1,0})^{(|\cdot|^2, |\cdot|^{-1}, 1)}.
$$

By Tate thesis, $\dim D(F)^H = 1$. It follows that $\dim D(Q_{1,0})^{(|\cdot|^2, |\cdot|^{-1}, 1)} = 1$. \hfill $\Box$
Proposition 4.6. Assume that $n = 2$. Then $\dim D(V)\chi = 1$ if and only if

\begin{align*}
\chi_1\chi_3 = 1, & \chi_2 = 1 \quad \text{or} \\
\chi_1\chi_2 = |\cdot|, & \chi_2\chi_3 = |\cdot|^{-1}.
\end{align*}

Proof. When the conditions (16) and (17) do not hold, Bernstein-Zelevinsky localization principle implies that $D(V)\chi = 0$.

By automatic extension theorem (cf. [HS, Theorem 1.4]), it is easy to check that if

$$\chi \neq (1, 1, 1), (|\cdot|, 1, |\cdot|^{-1}), (|\cdot|^2, |\cdot|^{-1}), (1, |\cdot|, |\cdot|^{-2})$$

we have $\dim D(V)\chi = 1$.

When $\chi = (1, 1, 1)$, as the same reasoning as in Proposition 4.4, $\dim D(V)\chi = 1$.

When $\chi = (|\cdot|, 1, |\cdot|^{-1})$, the character $\chi$ is admissible on $V_f$ and also on $Q^\times$.

By easy computation any admissible algebraic character $G$ on $Q^\times$ can be written as

$$(a, g, b) \mapsto a^m \det(g)^n b^k,$$

where $m, n, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m + n = 0, n + k = 0$. Hence $\nu$ is not admissible on $Q^\times$. In view of (13), $Z_{f, |f|^{-1}\mu}$ has pole at $s = 0$. By Theorem 2.6 or Corollary 2.8, $Z_{f, |f|^{-1}\mu}$ has simple pole at $s = 0$ and $|f|^{-1}\mu$ can not be extended to $V$ as a $(|\cdot|, 1, |\cdot|^{-1})$-invariant distribution. On the other hand, by automatic extension theorem (cf. [HS, Theorem 1.4]) the $(|\cdot|, 1, |\cdot|^{-1})$-invariant distribution supported on $Q^\times$ can be uniquely extended to $V$. Hence $D(V)\chi = 1$.

When $\chi = (|\cdot|^2, |\cdot|^{-1})$ or $(1, |\cdot|, |\cdot|^{-2})$, by Lemma 4.5 and automatic extension theorem (cf. [HS, Theorem 1.4]) we have $D(V)\chi = 1$.

In the end, we assume $n = 1$.

Lemma 4.7. The only $G$-invariant distribution on $V$ is the delta distribution supported on $\{0\}$.

Proof. The trivial character $\chi = (1, 1, 1)$ is admissible on $F^\times \times F^\times$, $F^\times \times \{0\}$, $\{0\} \times F^\times$ and $\{(0,0)\}$. By Tate thesis, $\chi$-invariant distribution on $F^\times \times \{0\}$ and $F^\times \times \{0\}$ are not extendable.

We only need to check the measure $\mu = \frac{dxdy}{|xy|}$ is not extendable. Assume it can be extended to an invariant distribution $\tilde{\mu}$ on $F \times F$.

By simple computation, the zeta integral $Z_{xy, \frac{dxdy}{|xy|}}$ has pole at $s = 0$ of order 2. Consider the Laurent expansion,

$$Z_{xy, \frac{dxdy}{|xy|}} = Z_{-2}s^{-2} + Z_{-1}s^{-1} + Z_0 + \cdots.$$

$\tilde{\mu} - Z_0$ is supported on $F \times \{0\} \cup \{0\} \times F$. It is clear there exists $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\tilde{\mu} - Z_0 - \alpha Z_0 - \beta Z_0^\vee$ is supported at $\{(0,0)\}$, where $Z_0^\vee$ (resp. $Z_0^\vee$) is the 0-th coefficient of the zeta integral $Z_{x, \frac{dx}{|x|}}$ (resp. $Z_{y, \frac{dy}{|y|}}$) on $F \times \{0\}$ (resp. on $\{0\} \times F$).
Hence \( \tilde{\mu} - Z_0 - \alpha Z_0^x - \beta Z_0^y \) is an invariant distribution. For any \( a, b \in F \) such that \( |a|, |b| \neq 1 \), we have

\[
(a - 1)(b - 1)(\mu - Z_0 - \alpha Z_0^x - \beta Z_0^y) = 0.
\]

On the other hand

\[
(a - 1)(b - 1) \cdot (\tilde{\mu} - Z_0 - (a - 1)(b - 1)Z_0 - (a - 1)(b - 1)(\alpha Z_0^x + \beta Z_0^y)) = 0.
\]

(18)

\[
= - \log |a| \log |b| Z_2 \neq 0.
\]

It is a contradiction. It follows that \( \frac{dx dy}{|xy|} \) is not extendable to \( V \) as an invariant distribution, and the only invariant distribution on \( V \) is the delta distribution supported on \( \{0\} \).

**Proposition 4.8.** Assume that \( n = 1 \). Then \( \dim D(V)_{\chi} = 1 \) if and only if

\[
\chi_1 \chi_2 \chi_3 = 1.
\]

**Proof.** When \( \chi_1 \chi_2 \chi_3 \neq 1 \), Bernstein-Zelevinsky localization principle implies that \( \dim D(V)_{\chi} = 0 \).

We now assume that \( \chi_1 \chi_2 \chi_3 = 1 \). If \( \chi_1 \chi_2 \neq 1 \) or \( \chi_2 \chi_3 \neq 1 \), then by automatic extension theorem (cf. [HS, Theorem 1.4]), we have \( \dim D(V)_{\chi} = 1 \).

When \( \chi = (1, \chi, \chi^{-1}) \) where \( \chi \) is not trivial. Consider the \( \chi \)-invariant distribution \( \chi(y) \frac{dx dy}{|xy|} \). If \( \chi \neq 1 \), then the zeta integral \( Z_{x, \chi(y)} \frac{dx dy}{|xy|} \) has pole at \( s = 0 \). The character \( \chi \) is admissible on \( V_f \) and \( \{0\} \times F^\times \). Note that the algebraic character \( \nu(a, g, b) = ag^{-1} \) is not admissible on \( \{0\} \times F^\times \). By Corollary 2.8, \( \chi(y) \frac{dx dy}{|xy|} \) is not extendable. Therefore the only \( \chi \)-invariant distribution on \( V \) is the distribution \( \chi(y) \frac{dy}{|y|} \) supported on \( \{0\} \times F^\times \).

By the same argument, when \( \chi = (\chi, \chi^{-1}, 1) \) where \( \chi \neq 1 \), the distribution \( \chi(x) \frac{dx dy}{|xy|} \) is not extendable as an \( \chi \)-invariant distribution on \( V \). Therefore the only \( \chi \)-invariant distribution on \( V \) is the distribution \( \chi(x) \frac{dx}{|x|} \) supported on \( F^\times \times \{0\} \).

When \( \chi = (1, 1, 1) \), the Proposition follows from Lemma 4.7.

\[\square\]

**Appendix A. Extension of intertwining operators and residues (by Shachar Carmeli and Jiuzu Hong)**

In this appendix, we give a homological algebra interpretation of the main result of this paper by relating residues and 1-cocycles. We work with smooth representations of \( \ell \)-groups in this appendix. It would be interesting to see which of the results presented here hold in the archimedean case as well.

**A.1. Smooth Cohomology of \( \ell \)-groups.** In this subsection we recall some basics of smooth cohomology of an \( \ell \)-group with coefficients in a smooth representation. We also discuss Ext-groups between smooth representations. For more general theory of smooth cohomology of \( \ell \)-groups, one can refer to [BW].
For a smooth representation \((V, \rho)\) of an \(\ell\)-group \(G\), we recall now the construction of the standard injective resolution of \(V\) as a smooth \(G\)-module.

Let \(C^i(G, V)\) denote the linear space of functions \(\phi : G^{i+1} \to V\) which are locally constant on \(G^{i+1}\). The group \(G\) acts on \(C^i(G, V)\) by
\[
(g \cdot \phi)(g_0, ..., g_i) := \rho(g) \cdot \phi(g^{-1}g_0, ..., g^{-1}g_i).
\]
There is a natural differential \(d : C^i(G, V) \to C^{i+1}(G, V)\) defined by
\[
(d\phi)(g_0, ..., g_{i+1}) = \sum_{j=0}^{i+1} (-1)^j \phi(g_0, ..., \hat{g}_j, ..., g_{i+1}).
\]
This way \(C^\bullet(G, V)\) becomes a complex. Let \(C^i(G, V)\) be the space of smooth vectors of \(C^i(G, V)\) with respect to the action of \(G\), i.e. the set of elements \(\phi \in C^i(G, V)\) for which there exists an open subgroup \(K\) of \(G\) such that
\[
\phi(kg_0, kg_1, ..., kg_i) = \rho(k) \cdot \phi(g_0, g_1, ..., g_i),
\]
for any \(k \in K\) and \(g_0, g_1, ..., g_i \in G\).

From now on we will identify a representation \((V, \rho)\) with its representation space, and denote \(\rho(g)v\) simply by \(gv\). If \(V, U\) are two representations, we will always assume that the action of \(G\) on \(\text{Hom}(V, U)\) is given by \((g \cdot f)(v) = gf(g^{-1}v)\).

**Lemma A.1.** For any \(i \geq 0\), \(C^i(G, V)\) is an injective smooth representation of \(G\).

**Proof.** It is easy to check that the following linear map
\[
C^0(G, W) \to \text{Ind}_{\{e\}}^G(W|_{\{e\}}),
\phi \mapsto (g \mapsto g^{-1} \cdot \phi(g))
\]
is a well-defined isomorphism of smooth representations of \(G\) for any smooth representation \(W\) of \(G\), where \(\text{Ind}\) is the smooth induction functor.

Observe that \(C^i(G, V)\) is naturally isomorphic to \(C^0(G, C^{i-1}(G, V))\), where by convention \(C^{-1}(G, V) := V\). Hence \(C^i(G, V)\) is isomorphic to \(\text{Ind}_{\{e\}}^G(C^{i-1}(G, V))\) as smooth representations of \(G\). Frobenius reciprocity for the smooth induction \(\text{Ind}\) then implies that \(C^i(G, V)\) is an injective representation of \(G\). \(\square\)

Let \(C^\bullet(G, V)\) denote the sub-complex \(0 \to C^0(G, V) \to \cdots \to C^i(G, V) \to \cdots\) of \(C^\bullet(G, V)\). It is standard to check that \(V \to C^\bullet(G, V)\) is an injective resolution of \(V\), where the map \(V \to C^0(G, V)\) is given by \(v \mapsto \{g \mapsto gv\}\). Thus, by the definition of \(\text{Ext}^i\), for any two smooth representations \(U, V\) of \(G\) we have
\[
\text{Ext}^i_G(U, V) \cong H^i(\text{Hom}_G(U, C^\bullet(G, V))).
\]

Here \(\text{Ext}^i_G\) stands for \(\text{Ext}\)-groups in the category of smooth \(G\)-representations. One can check easily that the space \(\text{Hom}_G(U, C^i(G, V))\) can be identified with the space \(C^i_G(U, V)\) consisting of all maps \(\phi : G^{i+1} \times U \to V\) with the following properties:

- \(\phi\) is linear in \(U\).
• \( \phi \) is \( G \)-equivariant, i.e. for any \( g, g_0, \ldots, g_i \in G \),
\[
\phi(gg_0, gg_1, \ldots, gg_i, g \cdot u) = g\phi(g_0, g_1, \ldots, g_i, u).
\]

• For any \( u \in U \), \( \phi(\cdot, u) \) is a locally constant \( V \)-valued function.

Let \( C^i(U, V) \) be the space consisting of all maps \( \psi : G^i \times U \to V \) with the following properties:

• \( \psi \) is linear in \( U \).

• for any \( u \in U \), \( \psi(\cdot, u) \) is a locally constant \( V \)-valued function.

Any map \( \phi \in C^i_G(U, V) \) can be uniquely associated to \( \tilde{\phi} \in C^i(U, V) \) as follows:
\[
\tilde{\phi}(g_1, \ldots, g_i, u) := \phi(e, g_1, \ldots, g_i, u), \quad \text{for any } g_1, \ldots, g_i \in G, u \in U.
\]

Conversely for any \( \psi \in C^i(U, V) \), we can uniquely associate an element \( \tilde{\psi} \in C^i_G(U, V) \) as follows,
\[
\tilde{\psi}(g, g_1, \ldots, g_i, u) := g \cdot \psi(g^{-1}g_1, \ldots, g^{-1}g_i, g^{-1}u), \quad \text{for any } g, g_1, \ldots, g_i \in G, u \in U.
\]

**Definition A.2.** Let \( U, V \) be two smooth representations \( U, V \) of \( G \).

1. We call a map \( \psi \in C^1(U, V) \) a 1-cocycle from \( U \) to \( V \) if
\[
\psi(g_1g_2, u) = g_1 \cdot \psi(g_2, g_1^{-1}(u)) + \psi(g_1, u), \quad \text{for any } g_1, g_2 \in G, \text{ and } u \in U.
\]

2. We call a map \( \psi \in C^1(U, V) \) a 1-coboundary from \( U \) to \( V \) if \( \psi(g, u) = g \xi(g^{-1}u) - \xi(u) \) for some \( \xi \in \text{Hom}(U, V) \).

By definition, a 1-coboundary is clearly a 1-cocyle. Let \( Z^1(U, V) \) (resp. \( B^1(U, V) \)) denote the space of all 1-cocycles (resp. 1-coboundaries) from \( U \) to \( V \).

**Lemma A.3.** The group \( \text{Ext}_G^1(U, V) \) is naturally isomorphic to the quotient
\[
Z^1(U, V)/B^1(U, V).
\]

**Proof.** The first cohomology \( \text{Ext}_G^1(U, V) \) of the complex \( C^\bullet_G(U, V) \) is computed by the following quotient
\[
\{ \phi \in C^1_G(U, V) \mid d\phi = 0 \}/\{ \phi \in C^1_G(U, V) \mid \phi = d\psi, \text{ for some } \psi \in C^0_G(U, V) \}.
\]

For any \( \phi \in C^1_G(U, V) \), the condition \( d\phi = 0 \) exactly corresponds to 1-cocyle condition for \( \tilde{\phi} \in C^1(U, V) \) in the sense of Definition A.2. Similarly \( \tilde{\phi} = d\psi \) for some \( \psi \in C^0_G(U, V) \) corresponds to the 1-coboundary condition for \( \phi \). Hence \( \text{Ext}_G^1(U, V) \) is isomorphic to \( Z^1(U, V)/B^1(U, V) \). \qed

Let \( V, W \) be two smooth representations of \( G \). Let \( U \) be a sub-representation of \( V \). There exists a long exact sequence
\[
0 \to \text{Hom}_G(V/U, W) \to \text{Hom}_G(V, W) \to \text{Hom}_G(U, W) \overset{\Delta}{\to} \text{Ext}_G^1(V/U, W) \to \cdots.
\]

**Lemma A.4.** With the same notation as above, for any \( G \)-homomorphism \( \xi : U \to W \), assume that there exists a linear map \( \xi : V \to W \) such that \( \xi|_U = \xi \).

Then \( \delta(\xi) \) is represented by the 1-cocycle from \( V/U \) to \( W \) given by
\[
g \mapsto g \cdot \xi - \xi \in \text{Hom}(V/U, W).
\]
Proof. Set \( \phi(g, v) = g \cdot \tilde{\xi}(g^{-1} \cdot v) - \tilde{\xi}(v) \). For every vector \( v \in V \) and every \( g \in G \), there exists an open subgroup \( K \) of \( G \) such that \( K \) stabilizes \( v \). It follows that \( \phi(\cdot, v) \) is locally constant, i.e. \( \phi \in C^1(V, U) \). Note that for any \( v \in U \), \( \phi(g, v) = 0 \), hence \( \phi \) descends to an element in \( C^1(V/U, W) \), and it is easy to check that it satisfies the 1-cocycle condition. Following the standard construction of connecting homomorphism, this 1-cocycle represents the element \( \delta(\xi) \in \text{Ext}_G^1(V/U, W) \). \( \square \)

\section{Residues and 1-cocycles}

In this subsection we relate residues of meromorphic intertwining operators to connecting maps in the long exact sequence for extension spaces. To state the result, we need to introduce the notion of a meromorphic intertwining operator between representations of an \( \ell \)-group \( G \).

\begin{definition}[A.5] Let \( D \) be an open subset in \( \mathbb{C} \). A \( D \)-holomorphic character of \( G \) is a map \( \varpi : D \times G \to \mathbb{C}^\times \) such that

1. for any \( g \in G \), \( \varpi(\cdot, g) : D \to \mathbb{C}^\times \) is a holomorphic function;
2. there exists an open subgroup \( K \subseteq G \) such that for any \( s \in D \), \( \varpi(s, \cdot) : G \to \mathbb{C}^\times \) is a group homomorphism and \( K \) is contained in the kernel.

We call a smooth representation \( V \) of \( G \) \textit{admissible} if for every open compact subgroup \( K \subseteq G \), the space of invariants \( V^K \) is finite dimensional.

\begin{definition}[A.6] Let \( V \) be a smooth representation of \( G \) and let \( W \) be an admissible smooth representation of \( G \). Let \( \varpi \) be a \( D \)-holomorphic character of \( G \). A \( \varpi \)-equivariant meromorphic intertwining operator from \( V \) to \( W \) over \( D \) is a map \( \xi : D \times V \to W \) with the following properties:

- For every \( g \in G \) and \( v \in V \), we have \( g \cdot \xi(s, v) = \varpi(s, g)\xi(s, g \cdot v) \).
- For any \( s \in D \), \( \xi(s, \cdot) \) is a linear operator from \( V \) to \( W \). For any \( v \in V \) there exists an open subgroup \( K \) of \( G \) that stabilizes \( v \), such that \( \chi \) is trivial on \( K \) and the induced map \( \xi(\cdot, v) : D \to W^K \) is a meromorphic function on \( D \) with values in the finite-dimensional vector space \( W^K \).
- There exists a discrete subset \( \Pi \subseteq D \) such that \( \xi(\cdot, v) \) is holomorphic outside of \( \Pi \) for any \( v \in V \) and the orders of poles at the points of \( \Pi \) are uniformly bounded with respect to \( v \in V \) at any point of \( \Pi \).

Given a \( \varpi \)-equivariant meromorphic intertwining operator \( \xi : D \times V \to W \), we denote by \( \xi(s) \) the associated linear operator \( \xi(s, \cdot) \). Clearly \( \xi(s) \) is a \( G \)-homomorphism from \( V \) to the representation \( W \otimes \varpi(s) \), where \( \varpi(s) \) denotes the character \( \varpi(s, \cdot) \) of \( G \). We consider the Laurent expansion of \( \xi(s) \) at \( s = s_0 \),

\[ \xi(s) = \sum_{i = -k_0}^{\infty} \xi_i \cdot (s - s_0)^i, \]

where \( k_0 \) is the order of the pole of \( \xi(s) \) at \( s = s_0 \), and the coefficient \( \xi_i \) is a linear operator from \( V \) to \( W \) for each \( i \). The coefficient \( \xi_{-1} \) is called the \textit{residue} of \( \xi(s) \) at \( s = s_0 \), denoted by \( \text{Res}_{s=s_0} \xi(s) \).

We now state the main result of this appendix.
Theorem A.7. Let $\xi$ be a $\varpi$-equivariant meromorphic intertwining operator from $V$ to $W$ over $D$, where $V$ is a smooth representation and $W$ is a smooth admissible representation of $G$. Let $U \subseteq V$ be a sub-representation such that $\xi|_U$ is holomorphic at $s_0 \in D$. Let $\delta : \text{Hom}_G(U, W) \to \text{Ext}^1_G(V/U, W)$ be the connecting homomorphism. Assume that $\varpi(s_0)$ is the trivial character of $G$. Then

1. For any $s_0 \in D$, the map $\text{Res}_{s = s_0}(\varpi(s) - 1) \xi(s)$ given by

$$g \mapsto \text{Res}_{s = s_0}(\varpi(g, s) - 1) \xi(s)$$

is a 1-cocycle from $V$ to $W$.

2. The class of $\delta(\xi|_U(s_0))$ in $\text{Ext}^1_G(V/U, W)$ is represented by the 1-cocycle $\text{Res}_{s = s_0}(\varpi(s) - 1) \xi(s)$.

Proof. Recall that $\xi_0$ is the 0-th coefficient in the Laurent expansion of $\xi(s)$ at $s = s_0$. Therefore, $\xi_0 : V \to W$ gives an extension of $\xi|_U(s_0) : U \to W$ as a linear operator (not necessarily equivariant). Moreover, it is a smooth vector of $\text{Hom}(V, W)$ since it is fixed by the kernel of $\varpi$, which is open in $G$. In view of Lemma A.4, $\delta(\xi|_U(s_0))$ can be represented by the 1-cocycle $g \cdot \xi_0 - \xi_0$. Finally, note that $\xi_0 = \text{Res}_{s = s_0}(\varpi(s) - 1) \xi(s)$ and hence

$$g \cdot \xi_0 - \xi_0 = \text{Res}_{s = s_0}(g \cdot \xi(s)) - \text{Res}_{s = s_0}(\xi(s)) = \text{Res}_{s = s_0}(\varpi(g, s) - 1) \xi(s).$$

This finishes the proof. \hfill \square

A.3. Generalized homomorphisms and a non-vanishing criterion of residue 1-cocycles. Let $V, W$ be two smooth representations of $G$. We first recall the definition of generalized $G$-homomorphisms defined in [HS]. The group $G$ acts on $\text{Hom}(V, W)$ naturally. The space $\text{Hom}_{G,k}(V, W)$ of generalized $G$-homomorphisms from $V$ to $W$ of order $\leq k$ consists of $\xi \in \text{Hom}(V, W)$ such that

$$(g_0 - 1)(g_1 - 1) \cdots (g_k - 1) \cdot \xi = 0, \text{ for any } g_0, \cdots, g_k \in G.$$ 

The space $\text{Hom}_{G,\infty}(V, W)$ of all generalized $G$-homomorphisms is the union

$$\text{Hom}_{G,\infty}(V, W) := \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \text{Hom}_{G,k}(V, W).$$

Assume that $W$ is an admissible representation of $G$. Let $\xi(s)$ be a $\varpi$-equivariant meromorphic intertwining operator from $V$ to $W$, where $\varpi$ is a holomorphic character of $G$. Recall the Laurent expansion of $\xi(s)$ at $s = s_0$,

$$\xi(s) = \sum_{i=-k_0}^{\infty} \xi_i(s - s_0)^i,$$
where $k_0$ is the order of the pole of $\xi(s)$ at $s = s_0$. Assume further that $\varpi(g, s_0) = 1$ for any $g \in G$. Consider the Taylor expansion of $\varpi(g, s)$ at $s = s_0$.

$$\varpi(g, s) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varpi_i(g, s_0)(s - s_0)^i.$$ 

**Lemma A.8.** With the same notation as above, we have

$$(g - 1)\xi_i = \sum_{j=1}^{i+k_0} \varpi_j(g, s_0)\xi_{i-j}$$

and in particular $\xi_i \in \text{Hom}_{G,i+k_0}(V, W)$ for each $i$.

**Proof.** The lemma follows from the following equivariant property:

$$g \cdot \xi(s) = \varpi(g, s)\xi(s).$$

$\square$

By Theorem A.7 and Lemma A.8, we immediately have the following corollary:

**Corollary A.9.** The class $\delta(\xi|_{U}(s_0))$ is represented by

$$g \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{k_0} \varpi_i(g, s_0)\xi_{-i}.$$ 

We deduce from this a criterion for the non-vanishing of $\delta(\xi|_{U}(s_0))$.

**Theorem A.10.** Assume that $k_0 \geq 1$, and there exists $g_1, g_2, \cdots, g_{k_0} \in G$ such that

1. $\frac{d\varpi(g_i, s)}{ds}(s_0) \neq 0$ for each $i$.
2. $(g_1 - 1)(g_2 - 1)\cdots(g_{k_0} - 1)$ acts on $\text{Hom}_{G,\infty}(V/U, W)$ by zero.

Then $\delta(\xi|_{U}(s_0)) \neq 0$.

**Proof.** Note that $\varpi_1(g, s_0) = \frac{d\varpi(g, s)}{ds}(s_0)$. By repeating Lemma A.8 we have

$$(g_1 - 1)(g_2 - 1)\cdots(g_{k_0} - 1) \cdot \xi_0 = (\prod_{i=1}^{k_0} \frac{d\varpi(g_i, s)}{ds}(s_0))\xi_{-k_0}.$$ 

Assume that $(g \mapsto g \cdot \xi_0 - \xi_0)$ is a 1-coboundary from $V/U$ to $W$, then there exists $\tilde{\xi} \in \text{Hom}(V/U, W)$ such that $g \cdot \xi_0 - \xi_0 = g \cdot \tilde{\xi} - \tilde{\xi}$ for any $g \in G$. By Lemma A.8 $g \cdot \xi_0 - \xi_0$ is a generalized $G$-homomorphism, it follows that $\tilde{\xi} \in \text{Hom}_{G,\infty}(V/U, W)$. By assumption (2),

$$(g_1 - 1)(g_2 - 1)\cdots(g_{k_0} - 1) \cdot \tilde{\xi} = 0.$$ 

But the left-hand side equals to

$$(g_1 - 1)\cdots(g_{k_0-1} - 1)(g_{k_0} - 1) \cdot \xi_0$$

by the equality $g \tilde{\xi} - \tilde{\xi} = g\xi_0 - \xi_0$. The distribution $(g_1 - 1)\cdots(g_{k_0-1} - 1)(g_{k_0} - 1) \cdot \xi_0$ is nonzero since $\frac{d\varpi(g_i, s)}{ds}(s_0) \neq 0$ for each $i$. We arrive at a contradiction, and hence $\delta(\xi|_{U}(s_0)) \neq 0$. 

26
We shall now come back to the setup of Section 2. Let $X$ be the space $X(F)$ and and $G = G(F)$. Assume that $Z_{f,\mu}$ is standard at $s = s_0$ in the sense of Section 2. It amounts to saying that $Z_{f,\mu}$ is a $|\nu|^s$-equivariant meromorphic intertwining operator from $S(X)$ to $\chi$. It is interesting to understand when $\mu$ can be extended to a $\chi$-invariant distribution on $X$. It is equivalent to the vanishing of the class $\delta(\mu)$ in $\text{Ext}^1_G(S(X_f), \chi)$. In Theorem 2.6, the conditions for the unextendability of $\mu$ as semi-invariant distribution to $X$ are exactly to make sure that assumption (2) in Theorem A.10 holds. The main body of this paper is exactly to verify the assumption (2). Up to this technicality, we essentially re-proved Theorem 2.6 from the point of view of homological algebra.
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