Efficient Production of Propionic Acid in the Fed-Batch Fermentation of *Propionibacterium acidipropionici* and Its Metabolic Flux Analysis
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Introduction

Propionic acid is a natural organic weak acid and can be widely found in animals, plants, and microorganisms. As an important platform compound, propionic acid is used across a wide range of industries in the manufacture of polymers, pesticides, perfumes, and pharmaceuticals [1]. Moreover, propionic acid and its salts (e.g., calcium propionate, zinc propionate, potassium propionate) can effectively inhibit molds, *Bacillus*, *Aerobacter*, and other microorganisms, and are widely used as excellent preservatives in grain, feed, and food processing [2].

Generally, propionic acid is produced via non-sustainable petrochemical routes, raising concerns about its long-term sustainability. Therefore, the production of propionic acid by microbial fermentation has attracted widespread attention [3]. In particular, bio-based propionic acid or its calcium salt is considered as a green, safe, and pollution-free natural food antifungal agent approved by the World Health Organization and the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations [4].

Propionibacteria are gram-positive facultative anaerobic bacteria that have been granted "generally recognized as safe" status by the US Food and Drug Administration, and include *Propionibacterium freudenreichii*, *Propionibacterium shermanii*, and *Propionibacterium acidipropionici* [5]. They are widely used in the fermentation production of propionic acid in processes that suffer from end-product feedback inhibition and the formation of by-products such as acetic acid and succinic acid [6,7]. To overcome these limitations, considerable effort has been expended on propionic acid production, including metabolic engineering to enhance the producer strains. This work has proven difficult because of the imbalance and blindness at the systems level [8-10]. Consequently, traditional strategies like process regulation are still crucial for industrial production [11,12]. Among these approaches to improve the fermentation efficiency, *in situ* product removal (ISPR) has attracted widespread attention. Various ISPR processes have been developed, such as the *in situ* cell retention reactor [13], plant fibrous-bed bioreactor [14-16], and PEI-Poraver immobilized bioreactor [17], which not only remove propionic acid to effectively overcome the feedback inhibition effect, but also help to achieve the semi-continuous fermentation process. However, all these approaches require increased investment in equipment, thereby further increasing product costs and reducing competitiveness with petrochemical methods.

Another effective approach to reducing the production cost of propionic acid is to identify a low-cost renewable feedstock, especially for the industrial production process. Glycerol, an abundant renewable by-product of the biodiesel industry, has been utilized in the fermentation process of *P. acidipropionici* [18,19]. Glycerol can promote the production of propionic acid and reduce the formation of by-products because of its high degree of reduction [20]. However, glycerol slows down cell growth because of metabolic imbalance when used as the sole carbon source, so a combination of glucose and glycerol is necessary to maintain high fermentation efficiency [21,22].

In addition, metabolic flux is one of the basic parameters of cell physiology. It can provide theoretical guidance for medium optimization and process regulation by analyzing the intracellular metabolic flux distribution of key nodes related to product synthesis.
Therefore, to improve the substrate conversion rate and propionic acid fermentation efficiency, it is necessary to analyze the metabolic flux distribution in *P. acidipropionici*. In this study, a simplified metabolic network of propionic acid production in *P. acidipropionici* was established based on the existing biochemical reaction steps and metabolic model. Then the effect of glucose and glycerol on propionic acid production and metabolic flux distribution was analyzed and the optimal combination of glucose and glycerol was determined based on the actual demands of industrial production. Finally, scaled-up fed-batch fermentation of *P. acidipropionici* was conducted to evaluate the potential industrial application of the process.

**Materials and Methods**

**Microorganism and medium**

*Propionibacterium acidipropionici* CGMCC 1.2230 was obtained from the China General Microbiological Culture Collection Center (Beijing, China). The stock culture was incubated in deep agar slants at 30°C, stored at 4°C, and transferred to fresh agar monthly. For long-term preservation, the stock was stored in a freezer at -80°C.

The inoculum consisted of glucose (35g/L), corn steep liquor (21g/L), (NH₄)₂SO₄ (5g/L), KH₂PO₄ (4g/L), and distilled water (pH 6.8-7.0). The fermentation medium was composed of glucose (60g/L), corn steep liquor (41g/L), KH₂PO₄ (4.6g/L), and distilled water (pH 6.8-7.0). For medium preparation, glucose was autoclaved separately.

Corn steep liquor was purchased from Lingshanhe Plant Protein Manufacturing (Xingtai, Hebei, China). Glucose and inorganic salts were analytical grade and produced by Shanghai Macklin Biochemical (Shanghai, China).

**Fermentation of *P. acidipropionici* and carbon source optimization**

*P. acidipropionici* was deposited on a deep agar slant and activated previously at 30°C for 24h. One loopful of culture from the activated deep agar slant was inoculated aseptically to 50mL of inoculum and cultivated statically at 30°C for 48h. Then the culture was inoculated to 500mL fermentation medium and cultivated sequentially for 132h. During the fermentation, the pH was maintained at pH 7.0 by the manual addition of NH₄H₂O. The concentrations of glucose, glycerol, propionic acid, acetic acid, and succinic acid were determined every 12h.

For carbon source optimization, the fermentation process of *P. acidipropionici* with glucose or glycerol as the sole carbon source was analyzed, respectively. Then the combination of glucose and glycerol was used as the mixed carbon source and the ratio of glucose to glycerol was optimized to improve the productivity of propionic acid.

**Fed-batch fermentation of *P. acidipropionici* and scaled-up**

After optimization of the fermentation medium, the fed-batch fermentation process of *P. acidipropionici* was established. The inoculum was inoculated to the fermentation medium in a 7-L fermenter with an inoculation of 5-10 %, and was cultivated at 30°C with mixing at 50rpm. During the fermentation, no sterile air was required, and the pH was maintained at pH 7.0 by the automatic addition of NH₄H₂O. 500g/L glucose was fed intermittently to maintain cell growth and product synthesis when its concentration fell below 10g/L. The total carbon source concentration was 100g/L, of which 48g/L glucose and 12g/L glycerol were in the fermentation medium; 40g/L glucose was added intermittently during the feeding process. Based on these, the 150-L fed-batch fermentation of *P. acidipropionici* was carried out (Sartorius stedim).

**Establishment of metabolic flux balance model of propionic acid**

According to the literature, the metabolic processes of *P. acidipropionici* are very complex, and include glycolysis, pentose phosphate pathway, Wood-Werkman pathway, succinate synthesis, lactate synthesis, and acetate synthesis, as shown in Figure 1. To facilitate analysis and calculation, the simplified ideal metabolic model of propionic acid and its related metabolites in *P. acidipropionici* was established based on the following principles (Su et al., 2016; Antoniewicz, 2020): (1) metabolic flux analysis was based on the pseudo-steady-state assumption that *P. acidipropionici* cells were in a non-growth period and the growth rate was ignored; (2) the tricarboxylic acid cycle and glyoxylate pathway were ignored; (3) the reaction carried out in a fixed proportion and the intermediate reaction without branch points was simplified into a reaction equation; and (4) in the cell growth arrest stage, the total cell maintenance energy and the consumption of ATP were not equal because of the existence of many invalid cycles. Thus, the balance of total ATP was not considered. The established metabolic network of propionic acid and its related metabolites in *P. acidipropionici* with glucose and glycerol as the mixed carbon source included 28 reaction equations, as shown in Table 1.

According to the metabolic network and the stoichiometric balanced equation of each reaction, the relationship among the reaction rates was obtained [23,24]. It was assumed that the intermediate metabolites were in a pseudo-steady state such that their concentration change rates were zero. Based on the material balance law, the accumulation rate of metabolites can be calculated according to the following formula:

\[ r_i(t) = \sum_{j \neq i} a_{ij} r_j(t) - \sum_{i' \neq i} a_{ij} r_i(t) \]

where \( r_i(t) \) is the accumulation rate of intermediate metabolite \( i \) [mmol/(L·h)], \( r_j(t) \) and \( r_i(t) \) are the reaction rates of the j-th and k-th reactions of substance \( i \) [mmol/(L·h)], and \( a_{ij} \) and \( a_{ij} \) are the stoichiometric coefficients corresponding to each reaction. Each metabolite in the metabolic network can establish an equation based on this principle.

According to the pseudo-steady state assumption, \( r_i(t) = 0 \). The m intermediate metabolites and n reactions in the metabolic network constituted m metabolic flux balance equations with a degree of freedom of \( F = n - m \), as shown in Table 2. The overall metabolic flux distribution can be determined by measuring \( F \) reaction rates. When using glucose as the sole carbon source, the metabolic flux balance model of propionic acid established in this study included 27 reaction rates (\( j \)) and 25 equilibrium equations (\( k \)) with \( F = 2 \). When using glycerol as the sole carbon source, the metabolic flux balance model of propionic acid established in this study included 18 reaction rates (\( j \)) and 17 equilibrium equations (\( k \)) with \( F = 1 \).

During the fermentation of *P. acidipropionici*, the concentrations of six substances can be measured, and the corresponding reaction
Figure 1: The metabolic pathway of propionic acid production in *Propionibacterium acidipropionici*.

Table 1: Metabolic reactions of propionic acid production by *Propionibacterium acidipropionici*.

| Flux | Metabolic reactions |
|------|---------------------|
| $r_1$ | Glucose + ATP → Glucose-6-phosphate + ADP + H$^+$ |
| $r_2$ | Glucose-6-phosphate → Fructose-6-phosphate |
| $r_3$ | Glycerol + ATP → Dihydroxyacetone phosphate + ADP + H$^+$ |
| $r_4$ | Fructose-6-phosphate + ATP → Fructose-1,6-diphosphate + ADP + H$^+$ |
| $r_5$ | Fructose-1,6-diphosphate → Dihydroxyacetone phosphate + Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate |
| $r_6$ | Glucose-6-phosphate + 2NADP + H$_2$O → Ribulose-5-phosphate + 2NADPH + CO$_2$ |
| $r_7$ | Ribulose-5-phosphate → Xylulose 5-phosphate |
| $r_8$ | Xylulose-5-phosphate + 5-phosphate ribose → Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate + 7-phosphate-sedoheptulose |
| $r_9$ | Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate + 7-phosphate-sedoheptulose → Fructose-6-phosphate + 4-erythrosephosphate |
| $r_{10}$ | Fructose-6-phosphate + 5-phosphate ribose → Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate + 7-phosphate-sedoheptulose |
| $r_{11}$ | Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate + 7-phosphate-sedoheptulose → Fructose-6-phosphate + 4-erythrosephosphate |
| $r_{12}$ | Dihydroxyacetone phosphate → Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate |
| $r_{13}$ | Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate + NAD$^+$ + Pi → 1,3-diphosphoglycerate + NADH + H$^+$ |
| $r_{14}$ | 1,3-diphosphoglycerate + ADP → 2-phosphoglycerate + ATP |
| $r_{15}$ | 2-phosphoglycerate → Phosphoenolpyruvate + H$_2$O |
| $r_{16}$ | Phosphoenolpyruvate + ADP + H$^+$ → Pyruvate + ATP |
| $r_{17}$ | Pyruvate + NADH + H$^+$ → Lactate + NAD$^+$ |
| $r_{18}$ | Pyruvate + CoA + NAD$^+$ → Acetyl-CoA + CO$_2$ + NADH |
| $r_{19}$ | Acetyl-CoA + ADP → Acetic acid + CoA + ATP |
| $r_{20}$ | Pyruvate + CO$_2$ + ATP + H$_2$O → Oxaloacetate + ADP + 2Pi + 2H$^+$ |
| $r_{21}$ | Oxaloacetate + NADH + H$^+$ → L-malate + NAD$^+$ |
| $r_{22}$ | L-malic acid → Fumaric acid + H$_2$O |
| $r_{23}$ | Fumaric acid + FADH$_2$ → Succinic acid + FAD |
| $r_{24}$ | Succinic acid + ATP + CoA → Succinyl-CoA + Pi + ADP |
source, the measurable rates of propionic acid ($r_{27}$) and succinic acid ($r_{24}$) were selected to calculate the ideal metabolic flux distribution, and the calculated acetate flux ($r_{19}$) can be obtained [25,26]. The reliability of the model was verified by comparing the calculated acetate flux ($r_{19}$) and the experimentally acetate flux. Similarly, the reliability of the model with glycerol as the sole carbon source can also be verified.

**Cell concentration assay**

To record the cell growth curve, cell concentration was measured by spectrophotometer at 600nm (OD 600nm). Before measuring, *P. acidipropionici* cells were centrifuged at 10,000g for 10min, and then resuspended in isovolumetric phosphate buffer (20mM, pH 7.0) to eliminate the influence of corn steep liquor and other components.

**Organic acids, glucose, and glycerol assay**

After centrifugation, the fermentation supernatant was used for analysis to determine the concentrations of organic acids, glucose and glycerol.

HPLC analysis of propionic acid, succinic acid, acetic acid, and lactic acid were performed using an LC-20AT system (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) fitted with a BioRad HPX-87H column (5μm, 380 × 4.6 mm) and an SPD-20A UV detector operated at 215nm. The mobile phase was 5mM H2SO4 with a flow rate of 0.6mL/min at 55°C. The injection volume was 10μL. Commercially available propionic acid, succinic acid, acetic acid, and lactic acid were used as external standards.

Residual glucose and glycerol in the fermentation broth were determined using an SBA-40E biological sensor (Shandong, China) and a colorimetric method [27], respectively.

**Statistical analysis and yield and productivity calculation**

All experiments were carried out in triplicate or better and the mean and standard deviation (SD) values were calculated using the built-in statistical tools of Microsoft Excel. The productivity was calculated by dividing the concentration of organic acids at a certain time by the time elapsed and was expressed in units of [g/(L·h)]. The yield was calculated by dividing the mass of organic acids produced by the total amount of glucose or/and glycerol consumed and was expressed in units of [g/g].

**Results and Discussion**

**Fermentation of *P. acidipropionici* and carbon source optimization**

In the batch fermentation process of *P. acidipropionici*, cell growth was accompanied by the consumption of glucose or/and glycerol and the production of propionic acid, succinic acid, and acetic acid. When glucose was used as the sole carbon source, the concentration of propionic acid was 28.87 ± 1.27 g/L at 132h, and the corresponding productivity and yield were 0.22g/(L·h) and 0.48g/g, respectively (Figure 2A). Notably, when the concentration of propionic acid accumulated to about 25-30 g/L, the rate of cell growth and propionic acid production slowed down because of feedback inhibition. To effectively overcome this limitation, various

| Intermediate | Equations |
|--------------|-----------|
| Glucose-6-phosphate | $r_7^c r_9^c r_{10}^c r_{13}^c - r_{15}^c = 0$ |
| Fructose-6-phosphate | $r_3^f r_5^f - r_{13}^f = 0$ |
| Fructose-1,6-diphosphate | $r_{14}^f r_{15}^f - r_{16}^f = 0$ |
| Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate | $r_{15}^f r_{16}^f - r_{17}^f = 0$ |
| Ribulose-5-phosphate | $r_{21}^f r_{22}^f = 0$ |
| Xylose 5-phosphate | $r_{23}^f r_{24}^f = 0$ |
| Ribose-5-phosphate | $r_{25}^f r_{26}^f = 0$ |
| 4-erythrose phosphate | $r_{27}^f r_{28}^f = 0$ |
| 7-phosphate-sedum heptulose | $r_{29}^f r_{30}^f = 0$ |
| Dihydroxyacetone phosphate | $r_{31}^f r_{32}^f = 0$ |
| 1,3-diphosphoglycerate | $r_{33}^f r_{34}^f = 0$ |
| 3-Phosphoglycerate | $r_{35}^f r_{36}^f = 0$ |
| 2-Phosphoglycerate | $r_{37}^f r_{38}^f = 0$ |
| Phosphoenolpyruvate | $r_{39}^f r_{40}^f = 0$ |
| Pyruvate | $r_{41}^f r_{42}^f r_{43}^f = 0$ |
| Acetyl-CoA | $r_{44}^f r_{45}^f = 0$ |
| Oxaloacetate | $r_{46}^f r_{47}^f = 0$ |
| L-malic acid | $r_{48}^f r_{49}^f = 0$ |
| Fumaric acid | $r_{50}^f r_{51}^f = 0$ |
| Succinic acid | $r_{52}^f r_{53}^f = 0$ |
| Succinyl-CoA | $r_{54}^f r_{55}^f = 0$ |
| Methylmalonyl-CoA | $r_{56}^f r_{57}^f = 0$ |
| propionyl-CoA | $r_{58}^f r_{59}^f = 0$ |
| CO$_2$ | $r_{60}^f r_{61}^f r_{62}^f = 0$ |
| Pi | $2r_{63}^f r_{64}^f r_{65}^f = 0$ |

rates were obtained by numerical differentiation, which were the consumption rates of glucose and glycerol and the production rates of acetic acid, propionic acid, lactic acid, and succinic acid. These parameters were taken as known variables and were substituted into the metabolic balance equations to give the matrix, and the Linprog function in Matlab software was used to give the ideal metabolic flux distribution. During the calculation process, the glucose molar consumption rate was assumed to be 100mmol/(L·h).

**Model validation**

For the established metabolic flux balance model of propionic acid in *P. acidipropionici*, the degree of freedom of F was less than the number of variables determined experimentally. Therefore, the accuracy of the model can be verified by comparing the difference between the predicted result of a certain variable and its measured result. In the fermentation process with glucose as the sole carbon source, the measurable rates of propionic acid ($r_{27}$) and succinic acid ($r_{24}$) were selected to calculate the ideal metabolic flux distribution,
ISPR processes were developed, which required further increases in equipment investment and was not suitable for industrial production on a temporary basis.

Therefore, the fermentation of *P. acidipropionici* was optimized from the perspective of industrial production. First, the effect of glycerol on the production of propionic acid was analyzed. It was found that the concentration of propionic acid reached 30.52 ± 1.13 g/L at 132h, and the corresponding productivity and yield were 0.23g/(L∙h) and 0.51g/g, respectively (Figure 2B). In addition, the concentrations of succinic acid and acetic acid decreased to varying degrees when glycerol was used as the carbon source. Therefore, the use of glycerol increased the production of propionic acid and reduced the production of by-products [22].

Based on these results, combinations of glucose and glycerol were investigated as mixed carbon sources. The production of propionic acid, succinic acid, and acetic acid during the fermentation were compared using glucose/glycerol ratios of 1:1, 1:2, 2:3, 3:2, and 4:1. The results showed that the optimal glucose/glycerol ratio was 4:1, providing the highest concentrations of propionic acid (36.62 ± 1.89 g/L) (Figure 3). Compared with the fermentation process using glucose as the carbon source, the productivity of propionic acid increased by 26.84%. Therefore, the combination of glucose and glycerol was considered necessary to maintain the high productivity of propionic acid.

**Model establishment and verification**

The cellular metabolic pathway of *P. acidipropionici* is a complex reaction system, which is the result of the interaction of internal and external environments. To further study the metabolic process of propionic acid and its influencing mechanisms, the simplified ideal metabolic network of propionic acid was established based on the current literature, and the metabolic flux distribution in *P. acidipropionici* was analyzed. During the fermentation process, the
production rates of propionic acid ($r_{27}$) and succinic acid ($r_{23}-r_{24}$) were selected to calculate the ideal metabolic flux distribution using the Linprog function in Matlab software, and the calculated acetic acid flux ($r_{19}$) was obtained. Also, the acetic acid flux can be measured according to its production rate, details shown in Table 3. The correlation coefficient between the calculated acetic acid flux by the model and the measured acetic acid flux was up to 0.99 (Figure 4), which indicated that the established metabolic flux balance model of propionic acid in *P. acidipropionici* was reliable.

**Metabolic flux analysis of key nodes**

To investigate the intracellular metabolic process of propionic acid [28-31], the metabolic flux of key nodes was analyzed and used to guide the regulation of fermentation process.

### Table 3: Metabolic flux of propionic acid and succinic acid in the fermentation process of *Propionibacterium acidipropionici* and validation of metabolic flux balance model.

| Time (h) | Propionic acid (g/L) | Succinic acid (g/L) | Acetic acid (g/L) | Propionic acid flux [mmol/(g·h)] | Succinic acid flux [mmol/(g·h)] | Acetic acid flux [mmol/(g·h)] |
|----------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 0        | 5.18±0.47            | 0.71±0.05           | -                | -                                | -                               | -                           |
| 12       | 7.17±0.58            | 0.30±0.10           | 4.67±0.80        | 2.29                             | 0.48                            | -                           |
| 24       | 12.32±0.63           | 1.30±0.10           | 4.67±0.80        | 2.96                             | 0.48                            | 0.6                         |
| 36       | 13.81±0.28           | 4.70±0.40           | 7.91±0.87        | 0.63                             | 0.79                            | 1.5                         |
| 48       | 16.79±0.74           | 7.41±0.56           | 8.45±0.48        | 0.87                             | 0.45                            | 0.19                        |
| 60       | 19.03±0.29           | 8.67±0.10           | 10.28±0.47       | 0.61                             | 0.18                            | 0.5                         |
| 72       | 22.35±0.98           | 9.20±1.03           | 12.17±0.86       | 0.66                             | 0.07                            | 0.44                        |
| 84       | 23.66±0.20           | 9.85±0.41           | 13.12±0.18       | 0.18                             | 0.07                            | 0.19                        |
| 96       | 24.33±0.44           | 10.82±0.41          | 13.27±0.40       | 0.08                             | 0.08                            | 0.03                        |
| 108      | 25.57±0.42           | 11.12±1.18          | 14.89±0.50       | 0.14                             | 0.03                            | 0.25                        |
| 120      | 26.78±0.58           | 10.05±1.19          | 14.29±0.41       | 0.11                             | -                               | -1.95                       |
| 132      | 28.83±0.59           | 11.88±0.92          | 14.67±1.05       | 0.17                             | 0.06                            | 0.05                        |

**Figure 4:** The correlation between the calculated acetic acid flux by the model and the measured acetic acid flux in *Propionibacterium acidipropionici* fermentation process.

Metabolic flux analysis of glucose-6-phosphate node: Glucose-6-phosphate was the first key metabolic node, participating in the pentose phosphate pathway and glycolysis pathway. It was found that glycerol could affect the metabolic flux distribution of glucose-6-phosphate node. When the ratio of glucose to glycerol was 4:1, the flux to ribulose-5-phosphate and fructose-6-phosphate was 64.36:35.66, which implied that the pentose phosphate pathway was one of the main ways to provide the precursor (ribose-5-phosphate, erythrose-4-phosphate, etc.) and reducing power [H] required for cell growth. When the glucose/glycerol ratio was 2:3, the flux to ribulose-5-phosphate and fructose-6-phosphate was 64.36:35.66, which implied that the pentose phosphate pathway was one of the main ways to provide the precursor (ribose-5-phosphate, erythrose-4-phosphate, etc.) and reducing power [H] required for cell growth. When the glucose/glycerol ratio was 2:3, the flux to ribulose-5-phosphate was only 7.48 due to the high reducing power of glycerol (Figure 5), which weakened the pentose phosphate pathway, thereby reducing the synthesis of precursors such as erythrose-4-phosphate.

**Metabolic flux analysis of pyruvate node:** Pyruvate was another
Figure 5: Metabolic flux distribution at glucose-6-phosphate node with different ratios of glucose/glycerol as the carbon source.

Figure 6: Metabolic flux distribution at pyruvate node with different ratios of glucose/glycerol as the carbon source.

key metabolic node in the simplified metabolic network of propionic acid. Pyruvate participated in the metabolism process, including: (1) Direct generation of lactate by catalysis with lactate dehydrogenase; (2) Generation of acetyl-CoA by catalysis with pyruvate dehydrogenase, which further produced acetate; and (3) Generation of oxaloacetate via the pyruvate carboxylation pathway, and then further production of succinate. It was found that the flux of the pyruvate node to the oxaloacetate branch decreased in the later stage of fermentation, which explained the mechanism of feedback inhibition of propionic acid on its productivity. According to the results, the maximum metabolic flux to the oxaloacetate branch with a value of 33.64 was obtained with a glucose/glycerol ratio of 4:1, which ultimately led to an increase in the production of propionic acid, details shown in Figure 6.

Therefore, the optimized carbon source combination can improve the fermentation efficiency by strengthening the metabolic distribution of key nodes. In addition, metabolic flux analysis indicated that the pyruvate carboxylation pathway was the key step affecting the synthesis of propionic acid. According to the conclusion, the introduction of carbonate during the fermentation process can promote the pyruvate carboxylation reaction. The preliminary results showed that substituting carbonate for part of NH₃·H₂O (approximately 15-20%) to adjust pH can increase the concentration of propionic acid by 10-12% (unpublished).

Fed-batch fermentation of *P. acidipropionici* and scaled-up

After optimization, the fed-batch fermentation process of *P. acidipropionici* was established and scaled-up step by step. Finally, the 150-L fed-batch fermentation of *P. acidipropionici* was carried out based on the optimized conditions. The results indicated that the combination of glucose and glycerol could effectively promote the metabolic synthesis of propionic acid (Figure 7). When the glucose/glycerol ratio was 4:1, the concentration of propionic acid reached 51.75 ± 3.62 g/L with a fermentation period of 132h, an increase of 79.25% relative to the use of glucose alone, corresponding the productivity and yield of 0.39g/(L∙h) and 0.52g/g, respectively. The concentration of succinic acid was only 8.26g/L, which was a decrease of 48.29% relative to the use of glucose alone. Therefore, the productivity of propionic acid was generally higher than that in the small-scale fermentation process, which was consistent with the experimental results. In the large-scale anaerobic fermentation process, due to the low substance diffusion coefficient, the concentration of carbon dioxide in the fermentation broth is higher. This may be due to the strengthening of the carboxylation pathway of pyruvate to increase the production of oxaloacetate, thereby increasing the flux of pyruvate to oxaloacetic acid branches. Therefore, the productivity of propionic acid is generally higher than that of the small-scale fermentation process, which is consistent with the experimental results. In addition, methylmalonyl mutase can catalyze the conversion of succinyl-CoA to methylmalonyl-CoA, and then into propionyl-CoA, so it is also a key enzyme that affects the production of propionic acid. The research technology is limited, so the enzyme activity cannot be verified.

Currently, propionic acid is produced almost exclusively through petrochemical processes by the oxidation of propane or propionaldehyde as raw materials [2]. With the increasing interest in sustainable production of chemicals, the production of propionic acid by microbial fermentation (mainly Propionibacterium) has been extensively investigated [32]. To improve the fermentation efficiency, many strategies have been applied to propionic acid biosynthesis, such as genetic engineering, cell immobilization, ISPR processes. However, all these routes have not gone beyond research scale. From an industrial point of view, the application of conventional expensive systems of fermentation is limited. Therefore, the fermentation processes of batch and fed-batch are frequently utilized in the
The production of propionic acid by \textit{P. acidipropionici} has attracted wide attention. To improve the fermentation efficiency of propionic acid, the effect of glucose and glycerol on product synthesis was analyzed and the combination of glucose and glycerol was optimized. The highest propionic acid concentration of 36.62 ± 1.89 g/L was obtained with a glucose/glycerol ratio of 4:1, which was an improvement of 26.84% relative to the use of glucose alone. The simplified metabolic network [28,33] of propionic acid production in \textit{P. acidipropionici} was established and the metabolic flux distribution was analyzed to better understand the distribution of intracellular materials and energy. It was found that the fermentation efficiency could be improved by enhancing the synthesis of pyruvate and its flux distribution to the oxaloacetate branch. After adding carbonate ions to the fermentation broth, the concentration of propionic acid of \textit{P. acidipropionici} increased by 12% compared to the control experiment. Upon performing the scaled-up fed-batch fermentation of \textit{P. acidipropionici}, the concentration of propionic acid reached 51.75 ± 3.62 g/L with a glucose/glycerol ratio of 4:1, and the corresponding productivity and yield were 0.39 g/(L-h) and 0.52 g/g, respectively. Therefore, the combination of glucose and glycerol significantly improved the fermentation efficiency and has good prospects for industrial application.

**Highlights**

- A simplified metabolic network of propionic acid production in \textit{Propionibacterium acidipropionici} was established.
- The metabolic flux distribution at key nodes was analyzed and used to guide the optimization of \textit{P. acidipropionici} fermentation.

**Table 4:** The current state-of propionic acid production by Propionibacteria.

| Microorganism      | Carbon source  | Culture system      | Propionic acid | References |
|--------------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------|------------|
| \textit{P. freudenreichii} | glucose       | Fed-batch            | 52.5           | 0.33       | 0.66       | [34]          |
| \textit{P. acidipropionici} | glycerol      | Batch               | 20             | 0.24       | 0.67       | [18]          |
| \textit{P. acidipropionici} | flour hydrolysate | Fed-batch        | 30             | 0.33       | --         | [35]          |
| \textit{P. acidipropionici} | glucose/lactate | Fed-batch      | ~30            | --         | --         | [36]          |
| \textit{P. acidipropionici} | glycerol      | Fed-batch            | 44.62          | 0.2        | 0.56       | [37]          |
| \textit{P. acidipropionici} | glycerol      | PEI-Poraver bioreactor | 35.23         | 0.35       | 0.48       | [17]          |
| \textit{P. acidipropionici} | glucose      | Semi-continuous      | 47             | 0.37       | 0.45       | [38]          |
| \textit{P. acidipropionici} (engineered) | glycerol      | FBR-bioreactor      | 106            | 0.04       | 0.56       | [28]          |
| \textit{P. acidipropionici} | glucose/glycerol | Fed-batch      | 51.75          | 0.39       | 0.52       | This study    |
process.

- The combination of glucose and glycerol was optimized and the scaled-up fed-batch fermentation of *P. acidipropionici* was conducted.
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