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Summary

Background Two large clinical trials have shown a reduced rate of breast cancer development in high-risk women in the initial 5 years of follow-up after use of aromatase inhibitors (MAP.3 and International Breast Cancer Intervention Study II [IBIS-II]). Here, we report blinded long-term follow-up results for the IBIS-II trial, which compared anastrozole with placebo, with the objective of determining the efficacy of anastrozole for preventing breast cancer (both invasive and ductal carcinoma in situ) in the post-treatment period.

Methods IBIS-II is an international, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Postmenopausal women at increased risk of developing breast cancer were recruited and were randomly assigned (1:1) to either anastrozole (1 mg per day, oral) or matching placebo daily for 5 years. After treatment completion, women were followed on a yearly basis to collect data on breast cancer incidence, death, other cancers, and major adverse events (cardiovascular events and fractures). The primary outcome was all breast cancer.

Findings 3864 women were recruited between Feb 2, 2003, and Jan 31, 2012. 1920 women were randomly assigned to 5 years anastrozole and 1944 to placebo. After a median follow-up of 131 months (IQR 105–156), a 49% reduction in breast cancer was observed for anastrozole (85 vs 165 cases, hazard ratio [HR] 0·51, 95% CI 0·39–0·66, p<0·0001). The reduction was larger in the first 5 years (35 vs 89, 0·39–0·52, p<0·0001), but still significant after 5 years (50 vs 76 new cases, 0·64, 0·45–0·91, p=0·014), and not significantly different from the first 5 years (p=0·087). Invasive oestrogen-receptor-positive breast cancer was reduced by 54% (HR 0·46, 95% CI 0·33–0·65, p<0·0001), with a continued significant effect in the period after treatment. A 59% reduction in ductal carcinoma in situ was observed (0·41, 0·22–0·79, p=0·0081), especially in participants known to be oestrogen receptor-positive (0·22, 0·78–0·65, p<0·0001). No significant difference in deaths was observed overall (69 vs 70, HR 0·96, 95% CI 0·69–1·34, p=0·79) or for breast cancer (two anastrozole vs three placebo). A significant decrease in non-breast cancers was observed for anastrozole (147 vs 200, odds ratio 0·72, 95% CI 0·57–0·91, p=0·0042), owing primarily to non-melanoma skin cancer. No excess of fractures or cardiovascular disease was observed.

Interpretation This analysis has identified a significant continuing reduction in breast cancer with anastrozole in the post-treatment follow-up period, with no evidence of new late side-effects. Further follow-up is needed to assess the effect on breast cancer mortality.
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Introduction

Early work on therapeutic prevention of breast cancer has focused on selective oestrogen receptor modulators (SERMs), such as tamoxifen and raloxifene, which show anti-oestrogenic effects on the breast, as well as agonistic or antagonistic effects on some other organs. In a meta-analysis of several SERMs, a 38% reduction in all breast cancer was observed, driven by 50% reduction of oestrogen receptor-positive tumours, but no effect on oestrogen receptor-negative tumours. Long-term follow up of two of these trials has shown that the effects of tamoxifen continue with a constant 29% annual preventive effect for at least 15 years after completion of treatment. A greater short-term reduction in breast cancer incidence was seen in two trials using the aromatase inhibitors, anastrozole and exemestane. The MAP.3 trial compared exemestane with placebo in postmenopausal women at high risk of developing breast cancer and found a significant reduction in the incidence of all breast cancer by 53% and a 65% reduction in invasive breast cancer after a median follow-up of 35 months. However, all women were unblinded after the initial publication, so it was not possible to study a post-treatment effect, as has been seen with tamoxifen. The International Breast Cancer Intervention Study II (IBIS-II) was initiated in 2003 and recruited post-menopausal women without breast cancer but at high
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We report results of the randomised IBIS-II trial on the extended duration of benefit of anastrozole in preventing breast cancer up to 12 years after entry and indicate for the first time a long-term benefit, which is larger than that seen for tamoxifen in this period. No excess of fractures, other cancers, cardiovascular disease, or death from any specific cause was seen in the extended follow-up. The number needed to treat to prevent one breast cancer has been reduced to 29.

**Implications of all the available evidence**
Our results provide additional support for the use of anastrozole as the treatment of choice for breast cancer risk reduction in most postmenopausal women at high risk of developing breast cancer. Its use has been supported by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence in the UK and the US Preventive Services Task Force. Identification of women at high risk of early symptoms of oestrogen depletion and their management remains a challenge.

### Methods

#### Study design and participants

IBIS-II is an international, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Detailed study design and inclusion and exclusion criteria have previously been reported. In brief, high-risk postmenopausal women aged 40–70 years were recruited between Feb 2, 2003, and Jan 31, 2012, in 153 breast cancer treatment centres across 18 countries (appendix p 3). Specific risk criteria for entry were broad and have previously been reported. They were designed to include women aged 45–60 years who had a relative risk of breast cancer that was at least twice as high as that in the general population, those aged 60–70 years who had a risk that was at least 1.5 times higher, and those aged 40–44 years who had a risk that was at least four times higher. The exclusion criteria were being premenopausal, previous breast cancer including ductal carcinoma in situ diagnosed more than 6 months before trial entry, current or previous tamoxifen, raloxifene, or other SERM use for more than 6 months, or participation in IBIS-I, unless off-trial therapy for at least 5 years, intention to continue using oestrogen-based hormone replacement therapy, or previous or planned prophylactic mastectomy.

The trial was approved by the UK North West Multi-Centre Research Ethics Committee and was done in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (1996 revision), under the principles of good clinical practice. All participants provided written, informed consent to join the study, provide baseline and follow-up practice. All participants provided written, informed consent to join the study, provide baseline and follow-up blood samples, and have their past and future health records examined, including access to mammograms and pathology material.

#### Randomisation and masking

Consenting eligible women were randomly assigned (1:1) to either anastrozole (1 mg per day, oral) or matching placebo daily for 5 years. Randomisation was stratified by country. All participants and medical personnel were blinded to treatment allocation, which was only held by the central study statistician. Unblinding was only permitted if the participant developed breast cancer, when a clinician considered there to be valid medical or
looking at the results beforehand. The decision to analyse the data was made without and this analysis is provided 6 years after that report.10

Statistical analysis
All analyses were done on an intention-to-treat basis, including all randomly assigned patients. Analyses of the efficacy endpoints were based on hazard ratios (HRs) using Cox proportional hazard models,4,5 with corresponding 95% CIs, and survival curves were estimated using the Kaplan-Meier method.10 Only major cancers by site.

Outcomes
The primary outcome was the development of histologically confirmed breast cancer—either invasive or non-invasive (ductal carcinoma in situ). Secondary outcomes were oestrogen receptor-positive breast cancer, breast cancer mortality, other cancers, cardiovascular disease, fractures, and all-cause mortality. Exploratory analyses reported treatment effects by more detailed breast cancer type, specific baseline patient characteristics (age, body-mass index [BMI], previous use of hormone replacement therapy, and previous lobular carcinoma in situ or atypical hyperplasia), and other major cancers by site.

Role of the unding source
The funder of the study had no role in study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or writing of the report. The corresponding author had full access to all the data in the study and had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

Statistical analysis
All analyses were done on an intention-to-treat basis, including all randomly assigned patients. Analyses of the efficacy endpoints were based on hazard ratios (HRs) using Cox proportional hazard models, with corresponding 95% CIs, and survival curves were estimated using the Kaplan-Meier method. Only major adverse effects (other cancers, cardiovascular events, fractures, and deaths) were routinely collected after 5 years in all patients. Side-effects and secondary outcomes were compared between treatment groups using odds ratios (ORs) and Fisher exact significance tests. All p values were two-sided. All analyses were done using STATA version 15.1. This trial is registered as an International Standard Randomised Controlled Trial, number ISRCTN31488319.

Role of the unding source
The funder of the study had no role in study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or writing of the report. The corresponding author had full access to all the data in the study and had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

Results
All women randomly assigned to treatment (N=3864, 1920 anastrozole and 1944 placebo) have been included in this analysis. 3704 (95-9%) were still at risk of developing breast cancer after the 5-year treatment period (1866 anastrozole, 1838 placebo) and follow-up is ongoing (appendix p 3). Median follow-up for this analysis was 131 months (IQR 106–156), and 41295 women-years of follow-up have been accrued (anastrozole 20803, placebo 20491), of which 22367 women-years were accrued after 5 years of follow-up (anastrozole 11339, placebo 11028). Median age at study entry was 59-4 years (IQR 50–63-4), 1893 women (47-0%) had used hormone replacement therapy before entering the trial, and 2631 (68-1%) had a BMI of more than 25kg/m². Other baseline demographics are shown in the appendix (p 1).

250 breast cancers have been reported (85 anastrozole [4-4%] vs 165 placebo [8-5%]; table 1), with a highly significant 49% reduction for all breast cancer with
anastrozole (HR 0.51, 95% CI 0.39–0.66, p<0.0001). The reduction in incidence in the first 5 years of follow-up was 61% (0.39, 0.27–0.58, p<0.0001), and a smaller but still significant 37% reduction (0.64, 0.45–0.91, p=0.014) was seen in subsequent years, which was still larger than that seen for tamoxifen in previous trials. The effects in the two periods were not significantly different (p=0.087) and a Hosmer-Lemeshow test for non-proportional hazards was not significant (p=0.073). After 12 years of follow-up, the estimated risk of developing breast cancer was 8–8% (IQR 7–9–10–3) in the placebo group compared with 5–3% (4–3–6–6) in the anastrozole group (figure 1), and the number needed to treat for 5 years to prevent one breast cancer was 29.

Overall 203 (81.2%) of the breast cancers were invasive, and 151 (74.4%) of these were reported as oestrogen receptor-positive. A 54% reduction in incidence with anastrozole was observed for oestrogen receptor-positive cancers (HR 0.46, 95% CI 0.33–0.65, p=0.0001), with a larger 61% reduction in the first 5 years (0.39, 0.23–0.66, p=0.0001; figure 2), followed by a 48% reduction (0.52, 0.33–0.83, p=0.0062). A small, non-significant reduction in incidence was observed for invasive oestrogen receptor-negative breast cancer in the anastrozole group (0.77, 0.41–1.44, p=0.41; figure 2). A significant reduction in incidence for anastrozole was also found for ductal carcinoma in situ (0.41, 0.22–0.79, p=0.0081), in particular for lesions known to be oestrogen-positive (0.22, 0.07–0.65, p=0.0062; figure 2).

No clear heterogeneity or trend was observed for differences in the preventive effect of anastrozole by other tumour characteristics (appendix p 2). Anastrozole reduced incidence of invasive HER2-negative cancers by 43% (HR 0.57, 95% CI 0.41–0.78), which was similar to that for invasive HER2-positive cancers (0.52, 0.23–1.17; appendix p 2).

Exploratory analyses of baseline characteristics did not show any significant heterogeneity by age, BMI, previous hormone replacement therapy use, or previous lobular carcinoma in situ or atypical hyperplasia (appendix p 2). Reductions in incidence did not differ between treatment groups for women with a BMI of more than 30 kg/m² and who took hormone replacement therapy before trial entry.

Overall, a 28% reduction in cancer incidence at non-breast sites occurred (147 vs 200 cases, OR 0.72, 95% CI 0.57–0.91, p=0.0042; table 2). Secondary analyses showed that this reduction was driven largely by a reduction in the incidence of non-melanoma skin cancer (43 vs 73 cases, 0.59, 0.39–0.87, p=0.0058), and no effect on other specific cancers was apparent (table 2). In particular, no reduction in the incidence of endometrial cancer occurred due to oestrogen deprivation from anastrozole, although oestrogen is thought to be a major driver of this cancer. Additionally, the early reduction seen for colorectal cancers’ has not been extended with longer follow-up.

No effect was seen on any other major adverse event (table 3). In particular, there was no excess of fractures overall (380 vs 373, OR 1.04, 95% CI 0.88–1.22). A small non-significant increase in number of events during the active treatment period (198 vs 186, 1.09, 0.87–1.35) was counterbalanced by slight reduction of events after treatment was completed (182 vs 187, 0.98, 0.79–1.23).

Myocardial infarctions were evenly distributed between non-breast cancer cases (147 cases, 0.64, 0.45–0.91, p=0.0062; table 2).
treatment groups (table 3), and no differences in number of events were observed in the first 5 years (eight vs eight) or in follow-up after treatment (eight vs six). Numbers of deep vein thromboses were slightly increased in the placebo group, with no differences observed in the two periods (table 3). Cases of pulmonary embolism were non-significantly more frequent with anastrozole but no differences were observed during treatment compared with after treatment (table 3). Transient ischaemic attacks and strokes were non-significantly more common with anastrozole compared with placebo (46 vs 36, p=0·24).

Other less serious side-effects observed in the first 5 years during treatment with anastrozole,7 including arthralgia, joint stiffness, hot flushes, night sweats, vulvovaginal dryness, hypertension, and dry eyes, were not collected after the 5-year treatment period. However, even within the treatment period they were most common in the first year of treatment, so it is unlikely that there will be material differences in the post-treatment period. All participants have now completed treatment and full 5-year adherence was 74·6% for anastrozole compared with 77·0% for placebo (HR 0·89, 95% CI 0·79–1·01, p=0·081; appendix p 4), indicating that side-effects of anastrozole had little effect on treatment adherence. 139 (3·6%) women died during the study (69 anastrozole vs 70 placebo; table 4), with no difference between the two treatment groups (HR 0·96, 95% CI 0·69–1·34, p=0·82). Overall, no effect of anastrozole was seen for breast cancer-specific mortality (three anastrozole vs two placebo), but numbers are very small. Given the small number of deaths and the relatively young median age at entry (59·4 years), substantially longer follow-up will be needed to determine whether anastrozole affects breast cancer and other cause mortality. Deaths from cancers other than breast did not differ between treatment groups (p=0·39).

Discussion

This updated analysis of the IBIS-II trial provides additional support for the use of anastrozole in breast cancer prevention for high-risk postmenopausal women. The large 61% reduction in breast cancer incidence in the first 5 years has been maintained in subsequent follow-up to 12 years. The significant 36% reduction during post-treatment follow-up was not significantly smaller than during treatment, and still greater than that observed for tamoxifen, which has produced a roughly constant 29% reduction for 20 years.1 The number needed to treat to prevent one breast cancer during the first 12 years of follow-up was 29, which compares favourably with the 58 needed for tamoxifen at that time.2 Very few deaths from breast cancer have occurred to date, but it is too early to expect an effect on this outcome, which is a limitation of this analysis. The reduction with anastrozole was primarily seen in oestrogen receptor-positive cancers, which suggests that the effect on mortality will be smaller than that for incidence. The effects were greatest for oestrogen receptor-positive tumours, but an unexpected and non-significant 27% reduction was also seen for receptor-negative cancers, which will need further follow-up to validate.

The previously observed2 reduction of other cancers with anastrozole, notably non-melanoma skin cancer, has continued with longer follow up. No other side-effects have been identified with longer follow-up, and the small 11% excess of fractures during the active treatment period has not continued after 5 years of follow-up. A limitation of this analysis is that routine collection of less serious side-effects was not done after the 5-year treatment period.

All women have completed the active follow-up period of the trial and now are followed for long-term outcomes by various methods. In the UK, long-term data are collected through national registries for deaths, cancers, and major predefined adverse events, so we are confident that data are complete. Additionally, we still collect data through annual questionnaires where appropriate. For international centres, annual questionnaires were used to collect information on all primary and secondary outcomes. However, data on lesser side-effects, such as hot flushes and musculoskeletal events, were only collected during the 5-year treatment period.

In conclusion, these updated results show a continuing long-term effect of 5 years of anastrozole treatment in preventing breast cancer in high-risk postmenopausal women. No new major adverse events were identified. Overall, our data substantially strengthen the findings from our initial report after 5 years of follow-up.2 In the UK, the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence has now recommended the use of anastrozole for breast cancer prevention in high-risk postmenopausal women,12 and in the USA, the US Preventive Services Task Force has also supported its use.11 The benefits of anastrozole, in terms of the reduction in risk of breast cancer in high-risk postmenopausal women, extend beyond the 5-year treatment period.

Table 4: Specific causes of death

|                      | Anastrozole, N=1920, n (%) | Placebo, N=1944, n (%) | Hazard ratio (95% CI) |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| All                  | 69 (3·6%)                   | 70 (3·6%)              | 0·96 (0·69–1·34)     |
| Breast cancer        | 2 (0·1%)                    | 3 (0·2%)               | 0·64 (0·11–3·88)     |
| Other cancer         | 27 (1·4%)                   | 34 (1·8%)              | 0·77 (0·47–1·28)     |
| Cardiovascular       | 13 (0·7%)                   | 9 (0·5%)               | 1·41 (0·60–3·33)     |
| Other or unknown     | 27 (1·4%)                   | 24 (1·2%)              | 1·10 (0·63–1·91)     |
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Supplementary Table 1: Number of breast cancer events and hazard ratios according to treatment allocation and follow-up period.

|                                | Anastrozole (N=1920) | Placebo (N=1944) |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| Age (years), median (IQR)      | 59·5 (55·0-63·5)      | 59·4 (55·1-66·3)  |
| Age at menarche (years), median (IQR) | 13·0 (12·2-14·0) | 13·0 (12·2-14·0) |
| Age at first child birth (years), median (IQR) | 24 (21-27) | 24 (21-27) |
| Age at menopause (years), median (IQR) | 50 (45-52) | 49 (45-52) |
| BMI, kg/m²                      | 27·4 (24·2-31·1)      | 27·3 (24-31·2)    |
| Previous HRT use               | 893 (47·0%)           | 910 (47·2%)       |
| Hysterectomy                   | 631 (33·2%)           | 656 (34·0%)       |

**Family history (non-exclusive)**

|                                                        | Anastrozole | Placebo |
|---------------------------------------------------------|-------------|---------|
| Two or more relatives with breast/ovarian cancer        | 956 (49·8%) | 938 (48·3%) |
| One relative with breast cancer at age ≤ 50             | 675 (35·3%) | 653 (33·7%) |
| One relative with bilateral breast cancer               | 166 (8·6%)  | 141 (7·3%)  |
| LCIS/Atypical hyperplasia                              | 154 (8·0%)  | 190 (9·8%)  |
| DCIS (treated with mastectomy)                         | 160 (8·3%)  | 166 (8·5%)  |

IQR=Interquartile Range, kg=kilogram, m=meter, LCIS=lobular carcinoma in situ, DCIS=ductal carcinoma in situ
Supplementary Table 2: Number of invasive breast cancer events and hazard ratios according to treatment allocation and subgroups.

|                     | Number of events (anastrozole vs. placebo) | HR (95% CI)       | P-heterogeneity/P-trend (1df) |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|
| **Nodal status**    |                                             |                   |                               |
| Negative            | 35 vs. 88                                   | 0.39 (0.27-0.58)  |                               |
| Positive            | 26 vs. 32                                   | 0.80 (0.48-1.34)  | 0.083                         |
| **Tumour grade**    |                                             |                   |                               |
| Low                 | 14 vs. 17                                   | 0.81 (0.40-1.65)  |                               |
| Intermediate        | 33 vs. 72                                   | 0.45 (0.30-0.68)  |                               |
| High                | 21 vs. 39                                   | 0.53 (0.31-0.91)  | 0.18                          |
| **Tumour size**     |                                             |                   |                               |
| ≤ 10mm              | 17 vs. 41                                   | 0.41 (0.23-0.72)  |                               |
| 10-20mm             | 26 vs. 48                                   | 0.53 (0.33-0.86)  |                               |
| > 20mm              | 28 vs. 43                                   | 0.64 (0.40-1.03)  | 0.31                          |
| **HER2 status**     |                                             |                   |                               |
| Negative            | 58 vs. 101                                  | 0.57 (0.41-0.78)  |                               |
| Positive            | 9 vs. 17                                    | 0.52 (0.23-1.17)  | 0.86                          |
| **Age**             |                                             |                   |                               |
| ≤ 55 years          | 17 vs. 32                                   | 0.51 (0.28-0.91)  |                               |
| 55-60 years         | 13 vs. 44                                   | 0.32 (0.17-0.59)  |                               |
| > 60 years          | 41 vs. 56                                   | 0.70 (0.47-1.05)  | 0.5                           |
| **BMI**             |                                             |                   |                               |
| ≤ 25 kg/m²          | 17 vs. 28                                   | 0.59 (0.32-1.07)  |                               |
| 25-30 kg/m²         | 27 vs. 45                                   | 0.61 (0.38-0.98)  |                               |
| >30 kg/m²           | 27 vs. 57                                   | 0.46 (0.29-0.73)  | 0.66                          |
| **HRT**             |                                             |                   |                               |
| Never               | 36 vs. 74                                   | 0.48 (0.32-0.71)  |                               |
| Prior               | 35 vs. 58                                   | 0.60 (0.39-0.91)  | 0.46                          |
| **Benign breast disease** |                                       |                   |                               |
| LCIS or AH          | 8 vs. 23                                    | 0.34 (0.15-0.77)  |                               |
| No LCIS or AH       | 63 vs. 109                                  | 0.57 (0.42-0.78)  | 0.21                          |

HR=Hazard Ratio, CI=Confidence Intervals, df=degrees of freedom, BMI=Body Mass Index, LCIS=Lobular Carcinoma in Situ, AH=Atypical Hyperplasia, HRT=Hormonal Replacement Therapy
Supplementary Figure 1: CONSORT diagram
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1838 at risk at five years

Intention To Treat: 1944 assigned to placebo

1937 received placebo

1866 at risk at five years
Supplementary Figure 2: Five-year adherence (%) according to treatment allocation.

| HR (95% CI)       | P-value |
|-------------------|---------|
| 0.89 (0.79-1.01)  | 0.08    |

77.0% (75.1-78.8)  
74.6% (72.6-76.5)  

Follow-up time [years]
Appendix

Independent Trial Steering Committee:

Richard Sainsbury – Chairman (IOW NHS Trust; London, United Kingdom)
Judy Garber (Dana Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, United States)
Nick Zdenkowski (University of Newcastle, Newcastle, Australia)
Rhian Gabe (Centre for Cancer Prevention, Queen Mary University, London, United Kingdom)

Principal Investigators and Local Coordinators:

Vesna Bjelic-Radisic, Dept. of Obstet. and Gynecology, Graz, Austria
Michael Fridrik, General Hospital Linz, Linz, Austria
Manuela Gili, Austria National Coordinating Centre, Austria
Richard Greil, Third Medical Dept., Salzburg, Austria
Verena Göschl, Austria National Coordinating Centre, Austria
Dietmar Heck, Ordensklinikum Linz Barmherzige Schwestern, KH BHS Linz - Department Chirurgische Abteilung, Austria
Wilfried Horvath, Dept. of Surgery, Gussing, Austria
Raimund Jakesz, Department of Surgery, Vienna University Medical School, Vienna, Austria
Petra Luft, Salzburg Cancer Research Institute (SCRI) Center for Clinical Cancer and Immunology Trials (CCCIT), Salzburg, Austria
Angela Ramoni, Dept. of Obst. and Gyn, Innsbruck, Austria
Arno Reichenauer, Dept. of Surgery, Sankt Veit, Austria
Christian Singer, Medical University of Vienna, Department Allgemeine Gynäkologie, Medical University of Vienna, Austria
Katharina Steiner, Austrian Breast and Colorectal Cancer Study Group, Austria National Coordinating Centre, Austria
Michael Stierer, Univ. Prof. Dr. Michael Stierer, Austria National Coordinating Centre, Austria
Josef Thaler, Hospital Klinikum Kreuzschwestern Wles, Oberösterreich, Austria
Thomas Thurwachter, Austria National Coordinating Centre, Austria
Ursula Wieder, Brustzentrum Hanusch-KH, Department Chirurgie/Gynäkologie, Brustzentrum Hanusch-KH, Department Chirurgie/Gynäkologie, Austria
Ehtesham Abdi, The Tweed Hospital, New South Wales, Australia
Sandra Allen, Nambour, Queensland, Australia
Test Anzmo, Newcastle, Australia
Anne Arruzza, Liverpool, New South Wales, Australia
Heath Badger, Dept of Surgical Oncology, ANZBCTG, Newcastle, Australia
Caroline Baker, Mercy Private Hospital / Victorian Breast & Oncology Care, Victoria, Australia
Geoffrey Beadle, Royal Brisbane Hospital, Queensland, Australia
Ian Bennett, Princess Alexandra Hospital, Queensland, Australia
Angela Benson, Victorian Breast & Oncology Care / Mercy Private Hospital, Victoria, Australia
Robert Blum, Bendigo Health, Victoria, Australia
Adam Boyce, Lismore Base Hospital, New South Wales, Australia
Fran Boyle, Mater North Sydney, North Sydney, Australia
Karen Briscoe, Coffs Harbour Health Campus, New South Wales, Australia
Kelsey Bumford, Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre, Victoria, Australia
Sonia Byrne, Lismore Base, New South Wales, Australia
Jenny Campbell, Royal Brisbane, Queensland, Australia
Hugh Carmalt, New South Wales, Australia
Margaret Chamen, Tamworth Rural Referral Hospital, New South Wales, Australia
Anupam Chaudhuri, Riverina Cancer Care Centre - DCIS, New South Wales, Australia
Sharon Clark, The Tweed Hospital, New South Wales, Australia
David Clark, The Breast Centre, New South Wales, Australia
Amy Clark, Bendigo Health, Victoria, Australia
Vicki Clowes, Goulburn Valley, Victoria, Australia
John Collins, Royal Melbourne Hospital, Melbourne, Australia
Annette Cubitt, Royal Brisbane, Queensland, Australia
Giuliana D’Aulerio, Sir Charles Gairdner, Western Australia, Australia
Rachel Dear, St Vincents Sydney, New South Wales, Australia
Suzanne Ryan, Nambour, Queensland, Australia
Suma Santhosh, Macarthur, New South Wales, Australia
Frank Sardelic, Tamworth Hospital, New South Wales, Australia
Christobel Saunders, Sir Charles Gairdner Hospital, Western Australia, Australia
Eva Segelov, New South Wales, Australia
Kimberley Sheather, Mater, North Sydney, Australia
Judith Silcock, Breast & Endocrine Centre, New South Wales, Australia
Cassandra Simon, Coff's Harbour Health Campus; ANZNC, New South Wales, Australia
Nina Singh, San Clinical Trials, New South Wales, Australia
Joanne Smith, Coff's Harbour, New South Wales, Australia
Raymond Snyder, St Vincent's Hospital Melbourne, Victoria, Australia
Victoria Sproule, Calvary Mater Newcastle, New South Wales, Australia
Amy Tang, Box Hill Hospital, Victoria, Australia
Javier Torres, Goulburn Valley, Victoria, Australia
Krystine Walsh, Maroondah, Victoria, Australia
Shane White, Austin Heath, Victoria, Australia
Stephen Wilkinson, Royal Hobart Hospital, Hobart, Australia
Nicholas Zdenkowski, New South Wales, Australia
Inneke Bambust, Dendermonde, Belgium
Barbara Beier, Brussels, Belgium
Martine Berliere, Brussels, Belgium
Nathalie Blondeel, Borstkliniek, Laarbeeklaan, Brussel, Belgium
Dominique Crasson, Namen, Belgium
Luc Dirix, Wilrijk, Belgium
Frederic Forget, Libramont, Belgium
Francoise Henry, Brussels, Belgium
Marc L'Hermite, Brussels, Belgium
Jan Lamote, Borstkliniek, Laarbeeklaan, Brussel, Belgium
Fabienne Lieben, Brussels, Belgium
Patrick Neven, University Hospitals, Leuven, Belgium
Jean-Marie Nogaret, Rue Héger Bordet 1 Brussels, Brussels, Belgium
Guy Orye, Campus Virga Jesse, Hasselt, Belgium
Monique Seret, Namen, Belgium
Phillip Simon, Brussels, Belgium
Daisy Supply, University Hospitals Leuven, Leuven, Belgium
Marijke Tollenaers, Secretariaat gynaecologie, Genk, Belgium
Sofie Tombeur, Aalst, Belgium
Jos Vlasselaer, Genk, Belgium
Sophie Vrancken, Wilrijk, Belgium
Margit Bachmann, Thun, Switzerland
Bénédicte Fleury, Centre Pluridisciplinaire d'Oncologie, CHUV - PAV 02-12, Genève, Switzerland
Susanne Bucher, Luzerner Kantonsspital, Neue Frauenklinik Brustzentrum, Switzerland
Pierre O. Chappuis, Unité d' oncogénétique et de prévention des cancers, Service d'Oncologie, HUG, Genève, Switzerland
Manuela Rabaglio, Klinik und Poliklinik für Medizinische Onkologie, Bern, Switzerland
Suzanne Bucher, Luzerner Kantonsspital, Neue Frauenklinik Brustzentrum, Switzerland
Markus Borner, Bern, Switzerland
Farzaneh Borner, Inselspital Bern Universitätsklinik für Medizinische Onkologie, Bern, Switzerland
Pierre O. Chappuis, Unité d' oncogénétique et de prévention des cancers, Service d'Oncologie, HUG, Genève, Switzerland
Bénédicte Fleury, Centre Pluridisciplinaire d'Oncologie, CHUV - PAV 02-12, Genève, Switzerland
Olivia Pagani, IOSI - Ospedale Regionale Bellinzona e Valli, Switzerland
Manuela Rabaglio, Klinik und Poliklinik für Medizinische Onkologie, Inselspital Bern, Bern, Switzerland
Daniel Rauch, Onkologiezentrum Thun, Spital STS AG, Thun, Switzerland
Khalil Zaman, Centre pluridisciplinaire d'oncologie, CHUV, Switzerland
Lindner (Coop Carstensen), Krankenhaus Elim, Germany
Frederik Marmé, Universitätsklinikum Heidelberg, NCT, Germany
B. Ataseven, Germany
Gunter Von Minckwitz, GBG Forschungs GMBH, German National Coordinating Centre, Germany
Splitt, Facharzt f. Frauenheilkunde u. Geburtshilfe, Germany
Meinzer, St. Vincenz Krankenhaus GmbH, Gynaekologische Ambulanz, Germany
Volkmann Müller, Germany
Thomas Noesselt, Kreiskrankenhaus Hameln, Frauenklinik/Onkol. Ambulanz, Germany
Paepeke, Klinikum rechts der Isar der Techn. Univ. München, Germany
Pourfard/Uleer, Gemeinschaftspraxis Hildesheim, Frauenheilkunde and Geburtshilfe, Germany
Bauer, Brustzentrum Südbaden, Germany
Distler, Technische Universität Dresden, Germany
Thomssen, Klinikum der Med. Fakultät, Germany
Ulmer, Stadt. Klinikum Karlsruhe, Germany
Andreas Rempen, Schwäbisch Hall, Germany
Rezai, Brustzentrum Lusienkrankenhaus Duesseldorf, Germany
Rita Schmutzler, Universitätsklinikum Koen, Frauenklinik, Germany
Henning Ritter, Pius-Hospital Oldenburg, Frauenklinik, Germany
Scharl, Klinikum St. Marien Amberg, Germany
Schindelin, Dr.-Horst-Schmidt-Kliniken GmbH, Germany
Sabine Schmatloch, Germany
A Schneider, Germany
Claudia Schumacher, St. Elisabeth Krankenhaus, Brustzentrum Koeln-Hohenlind, Germany
Schwenzer, Klinikum Dortmund, Frauenklinik, Germany
Anne Schwiebus, Germany
Stephan Seitz, Germany
Thomas Steck, Klinikum Passau, Frauenklinik, Germany
Dirk Strumberg, Germany
Tesch, Onkologie Bethanien/Marien-Krankenhaus, Germany
Toralf Reimer, Germany
Volker Hanf, Klinikum Fuert, Frauenklinik, Germany
Erich Weiss, Klinikum Sindelfingen-Boeblingen GmbH, Brustzentrum der Frauenklinik, Germany
W Weist, Katholisches Klinikum Mainz/St. Vinzenz, Germany
Wolfgang, Germany
Zahn, Brustzentrum Ostthueringen am SRH Waldklinikum Gera, Germany
Louise Bjorn, AALBORG SYGEHUS, Denmark
Charlotte Lang, Herlev University Hospital, Denmark
Jens Peter Garne, Aalborg Hospital, Breast Surgery Department, Denmark
Tiina Palva, Pirkanmaa Cancer Society, Tampere, Finland
Marjo Virkki, Tampere/Finland, Tampere, Finland
Leslie Ardilouze, Institut Bergonié, Bordeaux, France
Aude Barbier, Institut Gustave Roussy, Villejuif, France
Célia Baylac, France
Ahmed Benyoucef, Centre Henri Becquerel, Rouen, France
Jean Pierre Bergerat, Département Hémat-Oncologie, France
Olivier Bernard, Agen, France
Dominique Berton-Rigaud, ICO René Gauducheau, Nantes, France
Virginie Birr, Hôpital Emile Muller, France
Gabrielle Blanche, CENTRE PAUL STRAUSS, France
Nathalie Bonichon - Lamicchane, CT Bordeaux, France
Delphine Brunie, France
Abdesslam Chajara, Brest, France
Céleste David, Institut Sainte Catherine, France
Francesco Del Piano, France
Sengul Deveci, France
Nadine Dohollou, France
Stephen Brincat, Oncology Day Unit, Tal Qroqq, Malta, Malta
Nadia Cilia, Oncology Day Unit, Tal Qroqq, Malta, Malta
Ian Campbell, Waikato Hospital, New Zealand, Hamilton, New Zealand
Heather Flay, Waikato Hospital, Hamilton, New Zealand
Brendan Luey, Wellington, Wellington, New Zealand
Michael Mcrystal, North Shore Hospital Auckland, New Zealand, Auckland, New Zealand
Debra Morriss, Wellington, Wellington, New Zealand
Kathryn Neilson, St George's, Christchurch, New Zealand
Sherry Nisbet, North Shore, Auckland, New Zealand
Gill Rolfe, Auckland, New Zealand
Jenni Scarlet, Waikato, Hamilton, New Zealand
Andrew Simpson, Wellington Hospital, Wellington, New Zealand
Nic Stevens, St Georges, Christchurch, New Zealand
Chris Wynne, Canterbury Breast Care (Christchurch), Christchurch, New Zealand
Conceicao Costa, Gabinete de Investigação Clínica, Lisbon, Portugal
Paula Rodrigues, Gabinete de Investigação Clínica, Lisbon, Portugal
Gabriela Sousa, Lisbon, Portugal
Fatima Vaz, Instituto Portugues De Oncologia, Gabinete De Estudos Clinicos, Lisbon, Portugal
Linda Agren, Lund, Sweden
Anna-Karin Falck, Department of Surgery, Helsingborg, Sweden
Kristina Johansson, Swedish Monitors, Sweden
Jenny Johansson, Stockholm, Sweden
Jakob Kaij, Department of Surgery, Skånes Universitetssjukhus Department of Surgery, Sweden
Sara Margolin, Department of Oncology, Stockholm, Sweden
Kerstin Reistad, Helsingborg, Sweden
Anita Ringberg, Malmo University Hospital, Swedish Monitors, Sweden
Lisa Rydén, Department of Surgery, Lund, Sweden
Lisa Rydén, Department of Surgery, Swedish Monitors, Sweden
Gunilla Sellerstam, Stockholm, Sweden
Jan Sundberg, Department of Oncology, Swedish Monitors, Sweden
Jan Sundberg, Department of Oncology, Lund, Sweden
Marie Sundqvist, Swedish Monitors, Sweden

IBIS-II coordinating centre:
Jessica Adams
Jane Hickman
Victoria Davis
Nadia Haidar