Interaction of edge exciton polaritons with engineered defects in the hyperbolic material Bi$_2$Se$_3$
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Hyperbolic materials exhibit unique properties that enable intriguing applications in nanophotonics. The topological insulator Bi$_2$Se$_3$ represents a natural hyperbolic optical medium, both in the THz and visible range. Here, using cathodoluminescence spectroscopy and electron energy-loss spectroscopy, we demonstrate that Bi$_2$Se$_3$ supports room-temperature exciton polaritons and explore the behavior of hyperbolic edge exciton polaritons, which are hybrid modes resulting from the coupling of the polaritons bound to the upper and lower edges of Bi$_2$Se$_3$ nanoplatelets. We compare Fabry-Pérot-like resonances emerging in edge polariton propagation along pristine and artificially structured edges and experimentally demonstrate the possibility to steer edge polaritons by means of grooves and nanocavities. The observed scattering of edge polaritons by defect structures is found to be in good agreement with finite-difference time-domain simulations. Our findings reveal the extraordinary capability of hyperbolic polariton propagation to cope with the presence of defects, providing an excellent basis for applications such as nanooptical circuitry, nanoscale cloaking and nanoscopic quantum technology.
In the past few years, anisotropic media with a hyperbolic dispersion have attracted significant attention due to their unique electromagnetic and optical properties\(^1\). A material is called hyperbolic, when its isofrequency surface given by

\[
\frac{k_x^2}{\varepsilon_x} + \frac{k_y^2}{\varepsilon_y} = \left(\frac{\omega}{c}\right)^2
\]

forms a hyperboloid. Here, \(c\) is the speed of light and \(k_x\) and \(k_y\) are the in-plane (\(x\)-\(y\)-plane) and out-of-plane (\(z\)-direction) components of the wave vector, respectively, describing the planewave propagation in the material at frequency \(\omega\). This hyperbolic condition is fulfilled when at least one principle component of the dielectric tensor \(\varepsilon\) is negative\(^2\). A material with a negative real part of the dielectric function in the isotropic plane parallel to the surface \((\varepsilon_{||})\) is referred to as hyperbolic type-2 (HB\(2\)) whereas a material displaying a negative value in the out-of-plane direction \((\varepsilon_{\perp})\) is of hyperbolic type-1 (HB\(1\)) character. In contrast to closed isofrequency sheets, in hyperbolic materials propagation can occur at arbitrarily large wave vectors, resulting in a number of peculiar nanophotonic properties and applications such as negative refraction\(^3\) or subdiffraction super-resolution imaging\(^4\)-\(^7\) right at the transition to the hyperbolic dispersion\(^2\). The main criterion for hyperbolicity is the motion of free electrons being constrained in one or two spatial dimensions, which can be experimentally realized by the construction of artificial metamaterials such as layered metal-dielectric structures\(^8\)-\(^11\) or based on silicon carbide particles\(^12\). Besides such artificial engineering, and even more intriguing, natural hyperbolic behavior has also been predicted and experimentally demonstrated, for example in graphite for ultraviolet frequencies\(^13\), in layered van der Waals materials in the visible range\(^14\), and hexagonal boron nitride (h-BN) in the terahertz regime\(^15\)-\(^18\). Tetradymites like Bi\(_2\)Se\(_3\) structures are another class of hyperbolic materials in the visible range, as confirmed by spectroscopic ellipsometry\(^19\),\(^20\). Moreover, Bi\(_2\)Se\(_3\) can support the propagation of long-range hyperbolic edge polaritons\(^21\), which are excited at the edges of nanoplatelets (Fig. 1a). Being topologically different from surfaces, edges support polaritons with distinct features, such as having ultrahigh confined mode volumes and hybrid optical responses\(^22\). It should be mentioned though, that in contrast to directional zigzag-like propagation and scattering of surface phonon polaritons along the side surfaces of h-BN nanoparticles, the investigated edge polaritons here are hybrid modes that are tightly bound to the edges of the material, rather than 2D surfaces.

In this study, we use cathodoluminescence spectroscopy to demonstrate for the first time, to the best of our knowledge, that Bi\(_2\)Se\(_3\) supports room-temperature excitons, with binding energies higher than \(E = k_BT\), where \(k_B\) is Boltzmann’s constant, and \(T\) is the temperature. Although polaritons in Bi\(_2\)Se\(_3\) and Bi\(_2\)Te\(_3\) have been demonstrated before\(^21,23,24\), the origin of the observed polaritonic behavior had not been revealed. Similar direct and indirect band gap excitonic excitations with strong oscillator strengths up to the room temperature exist in either engineered heterostructures\(^25,26\) or natural layered materials with van der Waals bindings\(^27\)-\(^31\). Combination of the hyperbolic responses and exciton excitations leads to the propagation of hyperbolic edge exciton polaritons (HEEPs). In addition, we investigate the interaction of HEEPs with engineered defects, as means to steer edge polaritons. Of particular relevance is the development of reliable means to modulate the reflection and transmission behavior of HEEPs at corners of nanostructures. Here, we manipulated side planes of 50 nm thin Bi\(_2\)Se\(_3\) nanoplatelets with inhibited grooves or specifically shaped nanocavities using focused ion-beam milling, with the aim of exploring the transmission and scattering behavior of HEEPs and their interaction with localized polaritons. To this end, we use electron energy-loss spectroscopy (EELS)\(^32\)-\(^33\) in a transmission electron microscope (TEM) specifically adapted for investigations in the low-loss energy range, and compare the experimental data with results of finite-difference time-domain (FDTD)\(^34\) simulations. We furthermore demonstrate that high-energy HEEPs, owing to their coupling to radiation modes via various mechanisms of energy transfer, are rather robust against the engineered defects.

**Results**

**HEEP modes in Bi\(_2\)Se\(_3\) flakes.** We first investigate Bi\(_2\)Se\(_3\) nanoplatelets using cathodoluminescence spectroscopy. Electrons traversing semiconducting materials undergo a series of inelastic events. Particularly, a number of electron–hole pairs is generated per electron excitation, which strongly depends on the energy of the incident electron and the band gap of the material. Therefore, cathodoluminescence spectroscopy is a deterministic tool for detecting exciton-mediated radiation of the material. Acquired cathodoluminescence spectra from the material (see Supplementary Fig. 1 and Supplementary Note 1), particularly show two exciton peaks at the energies of \(E = 1.5\) eV and \(E = 1.95\) eV. These peaks are associated with the exciton transitions around the Q and F points of the Brillouin zone\(^35\). Exciton excitation in between the quintuple layers (located at the \(\Gamma\) point of the Brillouin zone) happens at lower energies below 0.5 eV, and their energies are affected by the spin–orbit interactions, similar to the exciton peaks in MoS\(_2\).\(^36\) However, this energy range is out of reach of the used cathodoluminescence spectrometer. The combination of excitonic excitations together with the hyperbolic nature of this material, thus leads to the emergence of hyperbolic exciton polaritons and in particular HEEPs. In the following, the properties of HEEPs and their interaction with anomalies will be discussed.

Thin nanoplatelets of Bi\(_2\)Se\(_3\) were investigated further experimentally by means of analytical TEM. For that purpose, the area of interest is either irradiated with a parallel beam of fast electrons or scanned by a focused electron probe. In both cases, the electrons lose energy due to the inelastic interaction with the specimen, and their corresponding energy-losses are analyzed with an energy-dispersive detection system (Fig. 1a). Electron beams interacting with nanoplatelets in an aloof trajectory, i.e., traversing the mode volume surrounding the side planes without passing through the material, can launch both surface waves and HEEPs. As will be discussed here, we observe a stronger coupling of the electron beams to HEEPs rather than surface waves. HEEPs in nanoplatelets propagate along the edges with their mode volume being sharply confined to the edges (Fig. 1b).

Launched optical modes that propagate along the edges of a nanoplatelet are partially reflected at corners, partially guided around them to the adjacent side planes, or couple to far-field radiation (Fig. 1c). The realization of nanocircuitry devices for applications such as polaritonic cloaking\(^37\) in the general field of transformational flat optics\(^38,39\) requires tunable steering of the propagating modes to desired locations under the possible influence of interactions with local topological anomalies.

Here, we aim at understanding the interaction of HEEPs with such anomalies. For this purpose, different defect structures were created at clean edges of Bi\(_2\)Se\(_3\) nanoplatelets using focused ion-beam milling. In the present paper, two examples are discussed, which are sketched in Fig. 1c. The real structures are located on two different nanoplatelets, as displayed in scanning electron microscope (SEM) images in Fig. 1d. Binary images have been extracted as a topological basis for FDTD simulations.

First, we provide a detailed mode analysis for the HEEPs. Due to the anisotropic dielectric properties of the material (Fig. 2a), it
becomes hyperbolic within distinct energy ranges between 1.06 eV and 1.74 eV and above 1.9 eV with type-1 and type-2 character, respectively (see also Supplementary Note 5 and Supplementary Fig. 9). The aforementioned partial reflection of propagating modes results in the formation of standing-wave patterns with a finite standing-wave ratio. This modulation of the photonic local density of states is visible in the EELS signal 40,41.

Fabry–Pérot-like resonances of several orders were observed along edges at distinct energy values, depending on their length (see Supplementary Fig. 2). From the distance between the maxima, the wavelengths and corresponding propagation constants of the excited modes were extracted, which show very good agreement with the computed dispersion (Fig. 2b and Supplementary Fig. 2c). At energies below 1.06 eV, channel modes are excited that have field profiles confined to the upper and lower surfaces. At energies starting from 1.06 eV, when the material becomes hyperbolic, HEEP s are excited with longer propagation lengths (Fig. 2b, inset) and therefore reduced attenuation constants, particularly at energies above 3.0 eV (see also Supplementary Fig. 4).

**Fig. 1 Excitation of polaritons in Bi$_2$Se$_3$ by electron beams.** a During the inelastic interaction of fast electrons with the specimen, both surface polaritons and edge polaritons are excited, and consequently the electrons experience energy-losses. The electron energy-loss spectrum is then acquired by the energy-dispersive detection system. The z-component of the electric field associated with edge polaritons propagating along the edges of a Bi$_2$Se$_3$ large cube, at the energy of 4 eV. The edge modes are hybridized in thin films to form symmetric and antisymmetric modes. Here, $E_1 = 4.3$ eV, and $E_2 = 3.8$ eV, and the thickness of the film is 60 nm. Red and blue colors represent positive and negative values, respectively. c The interaction of hyperbolic edge polaritons at corners and with precisely engineered scatterers of different topology causes reflection, transmission, and radiation of partial waves. d SEM images of the investigated structures. The HEEP s at the upper and lower edge of the nanoplatelets hybridize into a symmetric and an anti-symmetric mode, very similar to surface polaritons in thin films.
Two coexisting HEEP modes with symmetric and antisymmetric field distributions are supported (Fig. 2c). Both modes are hybrid in nature, meaning that they cannot be described by either transverse magnetic or transverse electric mode profiles, but rather a superposition of both solutions is required. Intriguingly, they can be described by HE mode profiles (see Supplementary Note 2 and Supplementary Fig. 3). HE1 and HE2 modes are associated with antisymmetric and symmetric HEEPs respectively, where by symmetry, we refer to the spatial distribution of the induced charges.

Finite grating structure. The structure with round-shaped grooves (Fig. 3a) was experimentally analyzed by energy-filtered transmission electron microscopy (EFTEM), where a series of energy-filtered images within a certain energy-loss range is recorded at intervals of 0.2 eV. These images form a three-dimensional data cube with the x and y coordinates as spatial axes and the z coordinate as the energy-loss axis. The intensity variation in each energy slice visualizes the spatially resolved relative energy-loss probability, which is proportional to the induced electric field projected along the electron trajectory (Fig. 3b)42.

Indeed, using EFTEM mode for spectral imaging rather than scanning the structure with a focused electron beam yields better spatial sampling over this large investigated area and reduces the risk of contamination and beam damage. While there is no spatial intensity modulation present at 1 eV, a high intensity is observed along the structured side planes with maxima inside the grooves and minima at the corners at 3 eV (Fig. 3c, d). They are marked with big and small blue arrows in the EFTEM image (Fig. 3b, left panel). These intensity modulations are caused by the interaction of HE1 and HE2 modes by the discontinuities like sharp corners and partial reflection from them. Lack of intensity modulations at 1 eV is understood from the fact that at this energy, the dispersion of the HEEPs is located inside the light cone (Fig. 2b); therefore, electron-induced excitations strongly couple to the radiation. The perimeter of each groove structure is approximately 700 nm, that is equal to $2\lambda_{\text{eff}}$, where $\lambda_{\text{eff}} = 2\pi / \beta(\omega)$ is the effective HEEP wavelength and $\beta(\omega)$ is the phase constant. Interestingly, despite the relatively large size of the groove, only one single maximum is observed. We associate this behavior to the coexistence of two HE1 and HE2 modes at this energy with slightly different propagation constants, in such a way that interference between these two optical modes leads to a beating.
grating structure, with grooves separated by 300 nm gaps from the Bi$_2$Se$_3$ energies higher than 4 eV, the EELS signal for electrons traversing 350 nm, that is two times the length of the narrow bridges. At an effective wavelength associated with both modes is approximately the positions of the bridges between grooves.

Additional measurements were performed on a second finite grating structure at a finite edges are detectable via spatial intensity modulations in the EELS signal. This intensity modulation is observed along the edge between the two open holes with a maximum in the center for 1 eV, two maxima at the corners for 1.5 eV and three maxima (one in the center and two at the corners) for 2 eV. Interestingly, the same modulations, though with less visibility, are detected along the edge between the second and the third hole, which clearly reveals an influence of the latter isolated resonator on the propagating edge polaritons. EELS spectra that have been extracted from several edge positions clearly reveal the resonance character of the observed intensity

Circular nanocavities. To investigate the propagation around defects in detail, open and closed circular nanocavity structures were created at the edges of nanoplatelets to study the interference of localized polaritons with propagating modes. Holes with a diameter of ca. 200 nm and a side-to-side distance of ca. 350 nm were milled at different distances from the edge, resulting in two open cavities with an opening gap of about 150 nm and 100 nm, and edge distances of ca. 50 nm, 100 nm, and 150 nm. A three-dimensional sketch of the structure is shown in Fig. 5a. The red frame marks the area containing the first three holes, which has been investigated via scanning TEM-EELS spectrum imaging, where the electron probe is scanned over the specimen, while detecting a complete electron energy-loss spectrum for each pixel. Color-coded energy-filtered images have been extracted from the 3D-data cube at selected energy-loss values in the range between 1 eV and 4 eV. As for the finite grating of the grooves discussed before, the EELS signal is mainly confined to the shaped edge of the nanoplatelet and the intensity exhibits maxima within the holes and minima at the corners. Interestingly, this is also the case for the isolated hole, which is located at a distance of 50 nm away from the edge. As discussed before, Fabry–Pérot-like resonances that occur at finite edges are detectable via spatial intensity modulations in the EELS signal. This intensity modulation is observed along the edge between the two open holes with a maximum in the center for 1 eV, two maxima at the corners for 1.5 eV and three maxima (one in the center and two at the corners) for 2 eV. Interestingly, the same modulations, though with less visibility, are detected along the edge between the second and the third hole, which clearly reveals an influence of the latter isolated resonator on the propagating edge polaritons. EELS spectra that have been extracted from several edge positions clearly reveal the resonance character of the observed intensity.

The color-coded absorption probabilities versus the probe position show intensity maxima at the energy-losses of $\Delta E = 1$ eV and $\Delta E = 2.2$ eV at the centers and at $\Delta E = 1.4$ eV at the corners of the bridges, as confirmed by extracted spectra at these positions (see Supplementary Fig. 7). The spatial modulation of distinct energy-loss values along the edge was extracted as line profiles (Fig. 4c) that are in good agreement with the simulations (Fig. 4f). For the simulations, we have directly used the TEM dark field image to extract the structural topology with high accuracy (Fig. 4d). Additionally, at energy ranges $E<1$ eV, and $1.1$ eV<$E<1.7$ eV, we observe the first-order and second-order Fabry–Pérot-like resonances with one and two intensity maxima along the bridge, respectively (Fig. 4b, c, and also Fig. 4e, f for simulations). At energies $1.7$ eV<$E<2.2$ eV however, we observe again a first-order mode. This is particularly due to the change in the material dispersion from HB$_1$ to HB$_3$ and also corresponding changes in the phase constant and effective wavelength of the hyperbolic polaritons.
Simulated spectral line scans confirm these experimental findings (Fig. 5b–e). Nevertheless, the contrast of the EELS signal along the edge relative to the EELS signal from the open cavities is more pronounced in the FDTD simulations. At higher energies, this contrast is significantly altered, which is due to an enhanced coupling between the edge polaritons and localized SPs within the nanocavities, as will be discussed later. Moreover, at energies above 4 eV, there is no significant intensity modulation observable along the edges at the location of the 3rd and 4th isolated nanocavity. Nevertheless, even at energies below 4 eV, the influence of the third hole on the intensity modulations is more pronouncedly observed in the experimental results when compared to the simulations. This discrepancy can have several reasons: (i) Due to the weak van der Waals forces between layers, the material forms a layered structure; therefore, reaching a perfectly aligned edge by milling is not possible. However in simulations, a vertical hole configuration is assumed. (ii) In contrast to the experimental results, simulation results show more pronounced influences of the first and second nanocavity. This results in more contrast in the intensity and as such, the rather faint effect of the first and second hole is not as pronouncedly observed as in the experiment. (iii) The exact topology of the holes, formed by ion milling, is not cylindrical with vertical walls as considered in the simulations, but rather has some small inclined angles leading to a truncated conical topology, which has not been considered in the simulations.

Additionally, other reasons might also slightly alter the simulation results compared to the experiments, such as the existence of pollutant and dopants inside the material that could slightly change its optical response compared to the expected perfect Bi$_2$Se$_3$ single-crystalline structure.

**Discussion**

Fast electrons interact with uniaxial crystals of Bi$_2$Se$_3$, resulting in a variety of collective modes being excited within the bulk, at the interfaces and along the edges of the nanoplatelets. Because of electromagnetic interactions between the electrons and launched polaritons, the electrons lose energy, which is detected by an electron energy-loss spectrometer. Electrons propagating in the bulk along the $z$-axis launch longitudinally oscillating charge density waves, resulting in an absorption peak at the bulk plasmon energy of 2 eV, as well as Cherenkov radiation at energies below 1 eV, where the material is still dielectric. At the interfaces to a dielectric medium, surface modes, ranging from Channel waveguide waves in the dielectric to hyperbolic polaritons in the hyperbolic energy regime, transfer electromagnetic energy in lateral directions. Within the context of surface plasmon polaritons, the hybridized even and odd modes of thin metallic films are well known and extensively discussed in the literature. For an
anisotropic hyperbolic material however, both S and P-polarized propagating modes are observed, with different dispersions and mode profiles (Supplementary Fig. 5 and 6, and Supplementary Note 3). Although beyond the scope of the current paper, momentum-resolved EELS measurements could unravel the dispersion diagram of surface exciton polaritons, similar to methods used to explore phonon dispersions.\(^{45,46}\)

In addition to surface and bulk polaritons, edge polaritons are also excited along the edges of the nanoplatelets. The dispersion of hyperbolic edge polaritons and their characteristics are intensively discussed in Supplementary Note 2.

Indeed, the dynamics of edge polaritons and the phase distribution of optical near fields could convey more insight into the time-varying propagation mechanisms to understand for instance the multipole distributions of localized resonances in our cavities. In contrast to EELS experiments that show time-averaged photonic local density of states, simulations using the FDTD approach are a powerful tool to give insight into the dynamics and propagation mechanism of the polaritons and their interactions with structured defects. By exciting the specimen at certain energies with an electron at a defined impact position (marked with a white dot in Fig. 6), the propagating modes can be visualized by calculating the \(z\)-component of the electric and magnetic fields in finite time intervals. When the moving electron interacts with the \(\text{Bi}_2\text{Se}_3\) nanoplatelet at its edge, various energy-loss channels become possible, ranging from diffraction radiation to surface and edge polariton excitations. The dominant mechanism is the transition radiation, whereas only less than 10\% of the generated photons are converted to the propagating polaritons.

We start our analysis with the structure shown in Fig. 3. While propagating modes are observed along the edges of the grooves for higher energies, this is not the case at 1 eV. Instead, dipolar excitations are visible inside defects that couple to the edge polaritons. The magnetic field is confined inside the defect with asymmetric orientation related to the position of the excitation, pointing inside and outside the plane, respectively (Fig. 6a, right panel). As such, the defect structures behave similarly to a split

---

**Fig. 5 EELS measurements on the structure with holes at different distances from the edge.**

* a Schematic of the defect structure with the investigated area being marked by a red frame and slices of the 3D-data cube at the indicated energy-loss values (1, 1.5, 3, and 4 eV). The color scale indicates the relative local absorption probability at the displayed energy-loss. (b, c) Experimental and (d, e) simulated EELS intensities acquired along a \(\text{Bi}_2\text{Se}_3\) nanoplatelet having holes at different distances from the edge. The exact shape of the structure was extracted from the bright-field TEM image and used for the simulations. Line profiles at selected energies (i) 1 eV, (ii) 1.5 eV, (iii) 2 eV (iv) 3 eV, (v) 4 eV are shown in (c) and (e).
ring resonator at low energies. Hence the mechanism of the propagation of the polaritons at this energy is via dipole-dipole interactions. At energies higher than 3 eV, the effective wavelengths of the edge polaritons are smaller than the size of the grooves. Edge polaritons are therefore partially transmitted around the corners into the grooves, and are partially coupled to the next defect via radiation transfer. However, the latter mechanism contributes more strongly to the coupling between the defects at higher energies. Particularly at energies $E > 4.0$ eV, edge polaritons can penetrate into the grooves around bending edges as sharp as 90° and couple to the next gap as well (Fig. 6a).

For the structure with embedded circular nanocavities at varying distances from the edge, we observe the surprising ability of the edge polaritons to cope with the presence of smaller defects. Even for the case of open nanocavities touching the edge, edge polaritons can efficiently couple to the next bridge at low-loss. At energies above 4 eV, the attenuation constant of the HE$_1$ edge mode is significantly reduced (compare Fig. 6b with Fig. 2b). Hyperbolic surface polaritons are purely transverse modes and do not sustain the $z$-component of the magnetic field ($H_z$). In contrast, edge polaritons demonstrate a strong localization along the edges (Fig. 6b, right panels). Edge polaritons can also be localized inside isolated nanocavities in the form of dipolar and quadrupolar magnetic resonances, at the energies of 3 eV and 5 eV, respectively. In comparison with surface polaritons, the spatial distribution of edge polaritons is quite confined to the edges, which makes them suitable candidates for engineering localized nanoresonators made of Bi$_2$Se$_3$.

Our overall observations highlight the unique feature of optical excitations in tetradymites and in particular in Bi$_2$Se$_3$. Distinct from surface and edge plasmon polaritons, the visibility of the spatial interference fringes caused by the Fabry–Pérot-like resonances in the Bi$_2$Se$_3$ nanoplatelets are much less pronounced. As discussed here, this is due to the coexistence of various optical modes and therefore low beating frequencies caused by the phase differences between the optical modes. Moreover, exactly this competition between the different optical modes helps for a higher transmission through discontinuities, simply by facilitating the modes couplings and various energy transfer mechanisms as discussed above. Moreover, we do not observe a zigzag-like propagation of the sort normally observed in h-BN and other materials supporting phonon polaritons. In other words, the optical response of Bi$_2$Se$_3$ sustains a combination of plasmonic-like propagation concomitant by a large number of photonic states, supported along surfaces, edges, as well as in the bulk of the material.

Finally, we would like to point out that our observations regarding the behavior of edge polaritons support the ellipsometry model used in treating the material as a uniaxial anisotropic material, in a sense that the in-plane dispersion of the optical waves is completely isotropic. We did not observe any evidence that the behavior of edge polaritons along certain in-plane edges, regarding their orientation with respect to the in-plane crystallographic direction, is different. However, natural nanoplatelets do behave differently from long milled edges, highlighting the fact that due to the layered nature of the material, milling the structure to achieve a perpendicularly aligned edge is challenging.

Our results show that Bi$_2$Se$_3$ can host room-temperature polaritons, similar to other classes of van der Waals materials such as transition metal dichalcogenides. The excitonic optical response of Bi$_2$Se$_3$ in combination with its hyperbolic behavior, allows for the excitation of hyperbolic edge exciton polaritons. The interaction between such HEEPs and engineered defects of different topologies is revealed here in detail. We were able to directly visualize standing-wave patterns resulting from the reflection of hyperbolic polaritons from edges and corners, and furthermore to experimentally demonstrate steering of the edge...
polaritons by means of grooves and nanocavities in the vicinity of edges. Supported by numerical simulations, we could show that at higher energies, edge polaritons can avoid defects, and that unexpectedly the standing-wave ratio associated with the reflection from such defects is smaller than at lower energies. Remarkably, due to the large number of hybrid optical modes, which are excited at higher energies and also the low attenuation constant of edge polaritons at $E > 4.0 \text{ eV}$, embedded discontinuities are overcome by coupling between various edge and radiation modes. This characteristic is promising for the implementation of data transmission lines as interconnects between electronic counterparts, and opens up possibilities for coherent and efficient transfer of optical energy similar to topological photonics, albeit within a natural material such as Bi$_2$Se$_3$. Moreover, the principal possibility to tune hyperbolic polaritons in van der Waals materials via doping and defect engineering renders Bi$_2$Se$_3$ and related tetrahymenes into promising platforms for future configurable optical waveguides, both in the near-infrared bands as discussed elsewhere$^{47,48}$, and at visible frequencies as demonstrated here. Our concept provides an excellent basis for future applications such as nanoptical circuitry, coaxing at the nanometer scale, as well as quantum technology by steering emission of quantum emitters on the nanoscale.

**Methods**

**Sample growth and transfer.** Nanoplatelets of Bi$_2$Se$_3$ were grown on Si/SiO$_2$ substrates using a catalyst-free vapor transport method as described elsewhere$^{49}$. They were then transferred to a TEM grid by an all-dry approach to minimize contamination due to solvents$^{50}$. To this end, the Si/SiO$_2$ growth substrate was gently pressed onto a holey carbon support film, while avoiding lateral movement.

**Focused ion-beam sample preparation.** Structuring was performed by direct milling with a focused ion beam. In order to achieve the required high resolution, we utilized the Raith ion beam Plus system as a dedicated high-resolution ion-beam structuring tool. The holes were milled using a focused beam of double-charged gold ions (Au$^{2+}$) at an acceleration voltage of 35 kV and a beam-limited aperture with a diameter of 7 μm, resulting in a beam current of 11.3 pA. We suspect that there are little to no ion-beam structuring related defects in the finite grating structure, demonstrating the high-resolution image of the fabricated defects.

**Data availability**

The datasets generated during the current study are available from the corresponding author on request.

**Code availability**

The developed code used in this study is available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.
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