Environmental drivers of the benthic macroinvertebrates community in a hypersaline estuary (Northeastern Brazil)
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Abstract: Introduction: The estuarine community of benthic macroinvertebrates spatially varies in response to changes in environmental variables in these ecosystems. Understanding this variability helps our understanding the mechanisms structuring these communities. Aim: Assess the structural aspects of the benthic macroinvertebrate community in a hypersaline estuary, and to relate to environmental variables that influence the community structure along the estuary. Methods: The study was conducted at Tubarão river estuary in May 2015. We sampled two estuarine areas (upper and lower), and in each zone were sampled six points composed of two replicas, one sampled in sandy bottom and the other in muddy bottom. Samples of benthic macroinvertebrates and estuarine environmental variables were collected. Environmental drivers of the benthic macroinvertebrate community were determined by Distance-based Linear Models analysis. The contribution of individual species to the dissimilarity between the areas and substrate types were determined by analysis of the percentage of similarity. Results: The composition of benthic macroinvertebrate community differed between the upper and lower areas, although it was similar between the muddy and sandy bottoms. The variation in the benthic community between areas was mainly related to the influence of salinity in the upper area. In the lower area, the variation of the macroinvertebrates was related to salinity, associated with other variables in the sandy (temperature, turbidity and dissolved oxygen) and muddy (temperature, total dissolved solids and dissolved oxygen) substrates. Taxa which contributed most to the dissimilarity between the upper and lower areas were Nereididae (17.89%), Anomalocardia brasiliana (15%) and Cirratulidae (10.43%). Conclusions: Salinity was the main driver of the structural aspects of the benthic macroinvertebrate community in the upper area of the estuary, although in the lower area a set of variables have structured benthic macroinvertebrates in the hypersaline estuary studied. In addition, the largest mangrove cover and less influence of the tide, may have favored the greater abundance of macroinvertebrates in the upper area.
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Resumo: Introdução: A comunidade de macrínvertebrados bentônicos estuarina varia espacialmente em resposta a mudanças nas variáveis ambientais nesses ecossistemas. O entendimento dessa variabilidade auxilia o conhecimento dos mecanismos estruturadores dessas comunidades.
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**1. Introduction**

Estuaries are highly productive coastal ecosystems (Kennish, 2002), and its dynamics is related to the interactions among oceans, freshwater, land and atmosphere (Day et al., 2012). The dynamics of estuaries promotes a high complexity and heterogeneity of habitats, so that the community that persists exhibits unique characteristics (Laegdsgaard & Johnson, 2001).

Based on salinity, estuaries are classified as inverse (negative), positive or neutral (Pritchard, 1952). Negative estuaries have a salinity that increases upstream because evaporation exceeds rainfall and the amount of freshwater input from the river is too small to compensate for the difference (Savenije, 2005). According to Savenije (2005), these estuaries occur in arid and semi-arid climates and are characterized by the occurrence of salt flats (salinas). These conditions can cause maximum salinity, also called hypersalinity (Valle-Levinson, 2010).

In estuarine ecosystems, a number of environmental factors predict the distribution of biological communities (Wells, 1961; Costa-Dias et al., 2010) and recent studies have highlighted the salinity as the main factor structuring these communities (Josefson & Hansen, 2004; Bleich et al., 2011; Josefson & Goke, 2013). Benthic macroinvertebrates are distributed along the salinity gradient of the estuaries and the fauna is primarily composed of polychaetes, molluscs and crustaceans (Tweedley et al., 2012). These organisms play critical roles in these ecosystems acting in the energy flow to higher trophic levels and in the decomposition of organic matter (Abílio et al., 2007), among other functions in the ecosystem. The distribution of benthic macroinvertebrate community has been studied, in order to understand the functioning of the estuarine ecosystem and understanding the mechanisms structuring the benthic macroinvertebrate community (Hewitt et al., 2005; Lima et al., 2013; Blanchet et al., 2014).

The distribution pattern of the benthic macroinvertebrate community has been widely described in ecosystems where salinity levels increase progressively from upstream to downstream (positive estuarine ecosystems) in temperate regions (Josefson & Hansen, 2004; Bleich et al., 2011; Josefson & Goke, 2013), with less focus on tropical estuaries (Barros et al., 2008). However, very little has been described for hypersaline estuaries, particularly for benthic macroinvertebrates.

In that perspective, this study aims to evaluate the distribution pattern of the benthic macroinvertebrate community in a hypersaline estuary of the littoral semiarid in northeastern Brazil, and identify which environmental variables drive the structure of this community. We tested the hypothesis that salinity is the environmental variable that has the most influence on the structure of the benthic macroinvertebrate community acting in the selection of species along the estuary.
2. Material and Methods

2.1. Study area

The study site was the Tubarão River, located in the city of Macau, northern coast of the state of Rio Grande do Norte, Northeastern Brazil (5° 05’ 37” S, 36° 32’ 21” W) (Figure 1). The study area is included within the limits of Ponta do Tubarão Sustainable Development Reserve, a protected area of sustainable use managed by the state government.

Tubarão River is considered a sea arm that has penetrated the continent and currently extends for 10 km being fed by the freshwater from groundwater and low rainfall occurring in the region (Queiroz & Dias, 2014) and subject to periodic water inputs from the sea by strong tidal currents (Dias et al., 2007).

In the city of Macau, the rainy season is 3-4 months (February to May), and the dry season extends for 8 or 9 months (June to January) (INMET, 2015). In 2015, the average rainfall between January and May was only 58 mm (INMET, 2015). The average wind speed in the region is 20.5 km/h, characterizing them as the stronger winds of northeastern Brazil (INMET, 2010).

Tubarão River is bordered by mangrove vegetation and its surroundings has a variety of ecosystems such as salt marshes, Caatinga vegetation, mobile and fixed dune fields and cliffs (Dias et al., 2007).

2.2. Sampling procedures

Samples were collected in May 2015. Samples were collected in two areas: 1) the upper area, upstream, whose margins had dense mangrove vegetation and was subject to minor wave action, and 2) the lower area, closer to the sea, whose mangrove vegetation was scarce and was subject to strong wave action. Six sample points were determined in each area with two replicates, visually selecting a replica with the sandy substrate and the other replica with muddy substrate. In total 24 samples were taken, 12 in the upper area, and 12 on the lower area, six of the muddy substrate and six of the sandy substrate in each area. The muddy and sandy substrates would be found at different

Figure 1. (a) Location of the study area in the State of Rio Grande do Norte, Northeastern Brazil; (b) Partial view of the Tubarão River. Legend: ⭐ indicates the sampling areas.
depths. Therefore, the types of substrate were sampled at 15 cm (muddy substrate) and 80 cm (sandy substrate).

Sediment samples to macrofaunal removal were collected with a cylindrical corer (area: 16.67 cm²) to obtain a portion of 5 cm in height from the sediment for each sample. Sediment washing for separation of benthos was performed in the field using local water under a sieve with mesh of 500μm. Benthic mollusks were identified to genus or species, and the polychaetes were identified to the taxonomic level of family using specialized literature (e.g., Amaral & Nonato, 1996; Amaral et al., 1998; Tunnell Junior et al., 2010).

Physical and chemical parameters were obtained during high tide through multiparameter sonde Horiba model. The measured variables were salinity, temperature (°C), pH, conductivity (μS/cm²), turbidity, dissolved oxygen (mg/L⁻¹) and total dissolved solids (TDS).

2.3. Data analysis

To assess significant differences in the composition of benthic macroinvertebrate community among the areas and types of substrates sampled, Permutational Multivariate Analyzes of Variance were performed (PERMANOVA) (Anderson et al., 2008). For this, it was established two factors: area (two levels: upper and lower) and substrate (two levels: sandy and muddy). It was considered significant the differences when α ≤ 0.05, using 9999 permutations. The data were transformed into square root and Bray-Curtis was used as a measure of dissimilarity (Anderson, 2001; Anderson et al., 2008).

To assess significant differences for each environmental variable between the upper and lower areas, PERMANOVA univariate analyzes were performed considering significant differences when α ≤ 0.05, using 9999 permutations. In this case, the environmental data were transformed into log (x + 1) and the Euclidean distance coefficient was used. To determine if one or more environmental variables were drivers of the structural aspects of the benthic macroinvertebrate community in different areas (upper and lower) and substrate types (sandy and muddy), Linear Distance-based Models (DistLM) analyzes were performed through a dissimilarity matrix, using 9999 permutations (Legendre & Anderson, 1999).

Visualization of the spatial distribution of the environmental variables and abundance of benthic macroinvertebrate community between areas (levels: upper and lower) and type of substrate (levels: sandy and muddy) was obtained by Non-metric Multi-Dimensional Scaling (NMDS) (Clarke & Gorley, 2006).

The species that most contributed to the similarity and dissimilarity between as areas and substrate types were determined by a similarity percentage analysis (SIMPER).

All statistical analyzes were performed on PRIMER 6 & PERMANOVA+ software (Systat Software, Cranes Software International Ltd. 2008).

3. Results

A total of 301 individuals, distributed in 23 taxa of Polychaeta and Mollusca, represented the benthic macroinvertebrate community. The occurrence of 221 (73.42%) individuals in the upper area was recorded, the most abundant being polychaetes Nereididae (28.50%), the bivalve Anomalocardia brasiliensis (Gmelin, 1791) (27.60%) and polychaetes Cirratulidae (23.98%). In the lower area, 80 individuals (26.57%) were recorded, with greater representation of A. brasiliensis (20%), Neritina virginea (Linnaeus, 1758) (16.25%) and Cirratulidae (12.5%).

The analysis PERMANOVA showed that the composition of benthic macroinvertebrate community significantly differed between the upper and lower areas (Pseudo-F¹,₂₅ = 2.6574; p = 0.001), however, did not differ between the substrate types (Pseudo-F₁,₂₃ = 0.98731; p = 0.4587).

The variables temperature (Pseudo-F¹,₁₁ = 9.0924; p = 0.0155), pH (Pseudo-F¹,₁₁ = 40.645; p = 0.0029) and dissolved oxygen (Pseudo-F¹,₁₁ = 34.683; p = 0.0017) were different between the upper and lower areas, while the electrical conductivity (Pseudo-F¹,₁₁ = 0.90178; p = 0.508), turbidity (Pseudo-F¹,₁₁ = 4.3321; p = 0.065), total dissolved solids (Pseudo-F¹,₁₁ = 2.1337; p = 0.2547) and salinity (Pseudo-F¹,₁₁ = 1.2967; p = 0.30) did not differ significantly between the upper and lower areas (Table 1). The DistLM analysis showed that salinity was the variable that most influenced the distribution of the benthic macroinvertebrate community in the upper area for both types of substrate, sandy (R² = 0.35206) and muddy (R² = 0.24356). In the lower area the variables that explain the community distribution in sandy substrate were temperature, turbidity, dissolved oxygen and salinity (R² = 0.87923). For the muddy substrate, temperature, total dissolved solids, dissolved oxygen and salinity were the variables...
that better explained the community distribution (R²=0.95456).

When considering the abundance of benthic macroinvertebrate community, NMDS analysis showed no grouping between the sampling points of the upper and lower areas (Figure 2a), or between the muddy and sandy substrates (Figure 2b). The NMDS, showed total aggregation among environmental variables between areas (Figure 3a) and substrate types (Figure 3b).

The results of SIMPER showed that the taxa that most contributed to the similarity of the upper area were Nereididae (34.49%), A. brasiliana (31.43%) and Goniadidae (14.44%). On the other hand, to the lower area, the taxa that most contributed were A. brasiliana (65.73%), Scoloplos (12.9%) and Neritina virginea (18.8%). The community dissimilarity between the upper and lower areas was due to the taxa Nereididae (17.89%), A. brasiliana (15%) and Cirratulidae (10.43%).

Table 1. Environmental variables (mean and standard deviation) measured at the upper and lower area of the Tubarão River, State of Rio Grande do Norte, Northeastern Brazil.

|                      | Upper Sandy | Upper Muddy | Lower Sandy | Lower Muddy |
|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Water temperature (°C)| 28.50 ± 0.69| 29.58 ± 0.39| 29.82 ± 0.19| 30.44 ± 0.30|
| pH                   | 8.81 ± 0.07 | 8.92 ± 0.10 | 9.14 ± 0   | 9.14 ± 0.01 |
| Conductivity (μS/cm⁻¹)| 78.03 ± 0.35| 72.2 ± 7.2  | 76.53 ± 0.77| 78.06 ± 0.49|
| Turbidity (NTU)      | 13.73 ± 1.98| 24.33 ± 11.86| 23.06 ± 4.38| 45.53 ± 25.29|
| Dissolved oxygen (%) | 67 ± 9.60   | 84.93 ± 9.21| 114.26 ± 3.05| 115.10 ± 3.46|
| Total Dissolved Solids (mg/L⁻¹)| 46.83 ± 0.15| 41.26 ± 4.70| 45.80 ± 0.52| 47.56 ± 1.15|
| Salinity (%)         | 54.4 ± 0.20 | 53.50 ± 1.66| 49.53 ± 5.31| 54.23 ± 0.40|

Figure 2. Multidimensional scaling ordination model of environmental parameters obtained from Tubarão river (Macau, Rio Grande do Norte). (a) Upper and lower areas of the estuary; (b) Sandy and muddy substrates.

Figure 3. Multidimensional scaling ordination model of abundance of individuals at Tubarão river (Macau, Rio Grande do Norte) in relation to: (a) upper and lower areas of the estuary; and (b) sandy and muddy substrates.
4. Discussion

Our results showed that the structure of the benthic macroinvertebrate community varied between the upper and lower areas, with a spatial segregation of their sampling points. The environmental variables influence the distribution patterns of the benthic macroinvertebrate community between areas. However, the type of substrate (sandy and muddy) was not a structuring factor for the macrofauna.

Temperature, dissolved oxygen and pH were different among the areas when the environmental variables we relate to the abundance of the community, but only salinity was the variable that directed the structural aspects of the macrobenthic community in the upper area in both types of substrate. In this case, the greater abundance of macroinvertebrate community recorded in the upper area could be related to the favoring of euryhaline species which, under extreme salinity conditions can perform osmoregulation (Teske & Wooldridge, 2001).

Besides the influence of salinity, the greater abundance of benthic fauna in the upper area, can also be related to estuarine features such as vegetation in the surrounding of the ecosystem and the influence of waves, which differ between the upper and lower areas. The upper area shows the margins with dense mangrove vegetation and is subject to less influence of wave action. These characteristics can facilitate the development of suitable environments for the establishment and development of the species.

In the lower area, salinity also influence the community distribution, but in combination with other environmental variables. Although salinity remained very high (ranging from 49.53 to 54.4) in the river shark it was not observed an evident salinity gradient. The lower abundance of the community in this area could be related to the stress resulting from the waves action, which acts as a physiological barrier in the survival and reproduction of the species, and the absence of mangrove vegetation in this area. This process results in the selection of species with functional and morphological characteristics to persist in this area. The inverse hypersaline gradient in the estuary of the Tubarão River was identified by Queiroz & Dias (2014), where it influenced the community distribution. The increase in the levels of dissolved oxygen in the lower area also affected the abundance of benthic macroinvertebrates. In an inverse way, Kolar & Rahel (1993) emphasized that the decrease in oxygen content affects the distribution and development of benthic organisms in response to hypoxia.

The species A. brasiliana, was that contributes most to similarity between the upper and lower areas. This fact is due to its ability to tolerate high salinity along the estuary (Silva et al., 2005). According to Rodrigues et al. (2010), A. brasiliana is an euryhaline species, once it can be found in salinities varying from 10 to 49. However, Barroso & Matthews-Cascon (2009) found that A. brasiliana presents relative limitation to very low salinity, since the species has only occurred in points with great marine influence, not occurring in areas where salinity was less than 30.

The occurrence of the gastropod Neritina virginia in the upper area, demonstrates its capacity to tolerate high salinity as demonstrated by Cruz-Neta & Henry-Silva (2013), in which the species was found in salinities until 49. Furthermore, this species is one of the most conspicuous in estuaries along the Brazilian coast (Rios, 2009).

Despite the abundance did not significantly differ between the sandy and muddy substrates in the study area, other studies show that sediment composition is a major factor in structuring the macrofauna (e.g., Hewitt et al., 2005; Lima et al., 2013) since these organisms have benthic life mode. In this context, we suggest that future studies should be carried out using precise techniques for sediment classification.

We conclude that the structural aspects of the benthic macroinvertebrate community varied between the upper and lower areas, and that this change was mainly related to the influence of salinity (in the upper area), and the salinity in combination with other variables, especially the dissolved oxygen (in the lower area). In addition, mangrove vegetation and influence of the waves acted in structuring the benthic macroinvertebrates.
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