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Abstract

Objectives: The primary objective of this scoping review was to examine existing evidence regarding the dietary intake and nutrition habits of elite and highly trained junior and young adult tennis players.

Methods: Systematic searches of MEDLINE, EBSCOHost and Scopus were undertaken from the earliest record to December 2021. Reference lists of articles selected were manually searched. A five-stage protocol informed by Arkey and O’Malley’s framework with consideration for the Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) methodology from scoping reviews was followed.

Results: The initial searches yielded an initial 145 records following the removal of duplicates. Following the screening process 14 articles were accepted for analyses. Three major themes were identified: (1) dietary intake and negative energy balance, (2) sub-optimal nutrient intake/habits, and (3) the use and impact of dietary supplements/ergogenic aids.

Conclusion: Research indicates sub-optimal nutritional practices amongst elite and highly trained junior and young adult tennis players. Particular concerns have emerged regarding insufficient calorie consumption to support performance, growth, and wellbeing.
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Introduction

Tennis is an intermittent sport characterised by explosive high intensity efforts interspersed with short rest intervals over sustained periods. An average point in tennis typically lasts <10 s, with matches commonly between 1.5–3 h in duration, known on occasion to exceed 4 h.¹ ² The intermittent bouts of high intensity predominantly utilise anaerobic metabolism, whilst the lower intensity efforts and set rest periods allow the aerobic system to aid in recovery.³ Promising young tennis players are exposed to high training and competition loads, commonly training in excess of 15 h a week,⁴ competing in more than 15 tournaments a year,⁵ spanning approximately 8 months in duration.⁶ It is important that players are physically robust enough to sustain such demands to optimise adaptation and minimise injury risk.⁷

The importance of nutrition and its direct influence on optimising energy stores,⁸ reducing fatigue,⁹ recovery from injury,¹⁰ and health status¹¹ is widely acknowledged. Nutrition is considered a key factor in overall athlete development,⁸ essential for success in sport at any age.⁴ Amongst young athletes, nutrition is particularly critical, not only to provide the increased needs for training, but also the needs generated by the growth spurt associated with puberty.¹² Supporting elite and highly trained young tennis players with appropriate nutrition is vital, in order...
to avoid the deleterious effects associated with insufficient nutrient and energy intake (EI). These include, but are not limited to impairments in metabolic rate, menstrual function, bone health, protein synthesis and immunity. Furthermore, adolescence is an important time in establishing a lifelong relationship with food; to develop a positive connection between diet, exercise, and body image, whilst minimising the risk of developing disordered eating (DE) tendencies and susceptibility to eating disorders.

Whilst literature exists assessing dietary intake in highly trained young athletes in other sports, research is in its infancy, and very little has been conducted exploring nutrition and junior and young adult tennis players. To date, no attempt has been made to systematically review nutrition in elite and highly trained junior and young adult tennis players. Scoping reviews address published evidence over a broad topic by summarising, mapping and categorising key concepts that underpin the research area using a systematic protocol. They are appropriate for understudied populations, making a scoping study relevant for this review. The identification and investigation of nutritional habits and practices in this population, including nutritional periodisation and supplementation use, will offer important insights to inform practice and future research.

**Objective**

The primary objective of this scoping review is to examine existing evidence regarding the dietary intake and nutrition habits in elite and highly trained junior and young adult tennis players. Additional objectives include: (1) conducting a systematic search of published literature, (2) mapping the characteristics of the research, (3) providing a brief summary of the research themes, and (4) identifying research opportunities and gaps in current research.

**Methods**

**Protocol design**

The protocol of this scoping review followed five stages informed by Arkey and O’Malley’s framework with consideration for the Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) population, concept, context methodology for scoping reviews. These stages consisted of (1) identifying the research question, (2) identifying relevant studies, (3) study selection, (4) charting the data, (5) collating, summarising, and reporting the results.

**Stage 1: identifying the research question**

Through consultation with the research team, the key objective of this systematic scoping review was to identify what is known from existing literature about nutritional intake in elite and highly trained junior and young adult tennis players. The scope of sports nutrition in junior and young adult tennis is broad, an area that has not been reviewed to date. The key objective was guided by the following research questions:

1. How are players fuelling to support performance, growth, and maturation?
2. What nutritional habits are evident amongst junior and young adult tennis players?
3. What is known regarding the impact of supplementation/ergogenic aids on tennis performance in this population?

**Stage 2: identifying relevant studies**

**Information sources.** The following databases were searched in December 2021 to identify relevant literature: MEDLINE (Ovid; 1950–present), EBSCOHost (1892–present) and Scopus (1960–present). The reference lists of articles selected for full text review were hand-searched to identify any additional literature. Studies published in a foreign language were translated and included in the review.

**Search strategy.** The search strategy was developed and piloted in MEDLINE (Ovid) and agreed by the research team (see Supplementary Material). The following keywords were used: ‘Tennis’ OR ‘Racket Sport’ OR ‘Racquet Sport’ AND ‘Nutrition’ OR ‘Nutritional Status’ OR ‘Nutritional Intake’ OR ‘Intake’ OR ‘Ingestion’ OR ‘Nutrition Habits’ OR ‘Diet’ OR ‘Dietary Intake’ OR ‘Dietary Status’ OR ‘Dietary Supplements’ OR ‘Ergogenic Aids’. Filters were applied to retrieve articles in a junior, young adult and elite/highly trained population (see eligibility criteria for definitions). No date restrictions were applied.

**Eligibility criteria**

**Population.** The scoping review included junior and young adult tennis players. Players had to be considered ‘highly trained’ or ‘elite’. Highly trained was defined by players training at least 5 h per week, competing regularly in official match play and tournaments, and/or representing their county, region, or province. Elite refers to players competing at national or international level, with Association of Tennis Professionals (ATP), Women’s Tennis Association (WTA), International Tennis Federation (ITF) or national rankings. The population was not limited by sex. Age categories were considered with junior players ≤18 years of age, in line with eligibility to enter junior competitions from national and international governing bodies, and young adults between 18 and 21 years of age.
Content and context. The scoping review explored studies that considered the nutritional intake and dietary habits of elite and highly trained junior and young adult tennis players. Studies that report habitual dietary intake and intake during training, competition and rest were considered. Studies investigating the manipulation of dietary intake and its effect on tennis performance were also considered. Selection of studies was not limited by geographical location, with the aim of exploring trends in this population.

Stage 3: study selection
The study selection was undertaken in accordance with the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews) extension for scoping reviews guidelines.22 The review process consisted of three stages. Firstly, titles and abstracts were screened by the lead author to determine potential eligibility against a broad inclusion criteria. Secondly, the full text of records deemed relevant were retrieved and assessed in detail against the inclusion criteria. Finally, reference lists/bibliographies of the remaining articles were read to identify any eligible studies. If papers were deemed appropriate and published in a foreign language, a translated version was sought.

Stage 4: charting of the data
The key data extracted from the selected articles were authorship (inc. year of publication), participant information, aims/objectives, methods/protocol, and key findings.

Stage 5: collating, summarising, and reporting the results
Results were summarised to present an overview of the evidence. A summary of study characteristics including total number of studies involved, year of publication, study design, and study population is provided. The analysis carried out to describe study characteristics enabled major themes and gaps in the literature to be identified.

Results
Search results
The initial searches yielded 145 records following the removal of duplicates (n = 30). Following the omission of studies that did not comply with the eligibility criteria, ten articles remained. The bibliography/reference lists of the remaining articles were read, leading to the identification of four further studies. Fourteen articles were accepted for the scoping review following the full screening process. The PRISMA flow chart detailing the study identification procedures is included in Figure 1. The lead author (JF) extracted the following information from each article: authors and year of publication, participant information, aims/objectives, methods/protocol, and key findings. These are presented in Tables 1 and 2.

Study characteristics
A total of 319 tennis players were included in the studies reviewed. Seven of the studies (50%) recruited participants with either an ITF, junior, national, or senior ATP or WTA ranking. One study recruited Division 1 National Collegiate Athletic Association (NCAA) players: the highest level of intercollegiate sporting competition in the United States.26 The remaining studies recruited participants classified as elite (n = 1; Madrid Tennis Federation excellence programme); competing regularly at county/provincial level or higher (n = 2), and/or training a minimum of 5 h (n = 1), 9 h (n = 1) and 15 h per week (n = 1). Most studies recruited exclusively male players (n = 9); three exclusively female players, and two recruited both male and female players. Mean age for participants was 17.6 ± 1.7 years old. Seven of the studies (50%) investigated dietary intake/dietary habits,4,23–28 and seven (50%) investigated dietary supplements/ergogenic aids.29–35 Most studies assessed dietary intake via self-reported food diaries (n = 6). Either 3-day,24,27 4-day4,23 or 7-day25,28 food diaries were used. One study assessed dietary intake and habits via a questionnaire.26 One study used multiple methods to assess dietary intake, consisting of a 7-day food diary (FD), 24-h multiple pass recall and food photography.25 Energy expenditure (EE) was quantified by three studies via accelerometry, predictive equations and metabolic equivalents (METS).4,23,24

Two dietary intake studies also analysed attitudes towards eating and body shape via completion of the Eating Attitudes Test-26 (EAT-26) and body shape questionnaire (BSQ).27,28 Menstrual status and eating disorder/disordered eating prevalence was also assessed in these two studies. Body composition was investigated in three studies, with the use of dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DEXA),4,27 and anthropometric measures including body mass, height, skinfold analysis and body circumferences.24 Bone mineral density (BMD) was also assessed in one study.27 Of the seven studies that investigated dietary supplement/ergogenic aids and tennis performance, carbohyd rates and caffeine were investigated most frequently. Three studies investigated the effect of isotonic carbohydrate solutions (n = 3).29–31 Two of which also included the investigation of caffeine.30,31 and one study investigated the effect of caffeine in isolation.32 Two studies investigated the effect of extracellular buffers (often referred to as alkalising agents); sodium bicarbonate (NaHCO3)34 and sodium citrate (Na3C6H5O7)35 respectively. One study also investigated the impact of creatine loading on tennis stroke performance.33 Four of the studies analysed in this scoping review were published since 201823,25,26,35, four
between 2013–2015\textsuperscript{27–29,32}, four between 2006–2009,\textsuperscript{4,24,30,34} one in 2001\textsuperscript{33} and one in 1998.\textsuperscript{31}

**Organisation of data**

The studies within this review included relevant information relating to nutrition and dietary intake in elite and highly trained junior and young adult tennis players. Three main themes emerged, with some articles containing data related to several themes. First, dietary intake and negative energy balance. Second, sub-optimal nutrient intake/habits to support training and performance, and finally, the use and impact of dietary supplements/ergogenic aids. Themes were classified by the lead researcher, and where ambiguity occurred, constructive debate ensued until a consensus was achieved.

**Dietary intake and negative energy balance**

Dietary intakes ranged between $1715 \pm 321$ kcal day$^{-1}$ in highly trained competitive junior females\textsuperscript{27} to $3212 \pm 782$ kcal day$^{-1}$ in professional ATP ranked young male players.\textsuperscript{24} Juzwiak et al.\textsuperscript{4} reported intakes of $2635 \pm 727$ kcal day$^{-1}$ and $2967 \pm 775$ kcal day$^{-1}$ in 10–13 and 14–18 year old highly trained male players respectively. Yli-Piipari\textsuperscript{23} established five female NCAA Division 1 players to consume $2011 \pm 207$ kcal day$^{-1}$, and Fleming et al.\textsuperscript{25} reported intakes consistently below $2250$ kcal day$^{-1}$ irrespective of age group (ranging from 12–20 year olds). No differences in total daily EI were established between type of day (match vs. training vs. rest).\textsuperscript{23,25} Fleming et al.\textsuperscript{25} also reported no differences between energy or macronutrient distribution at breakfast lunch, dinner or snacks irrespective of type of day (with the exception of increased protein intake at lunch on training days vs. match days).

All three studies that quantified EI and EE reported negative energy balance/energy deficits. Yli-Piipari\textsuperscript{23} identified energy deficits of $–546 \pm 251$ kcal (range $–756$ to $–286$ kcal) amongst five female NCAA Division 1 tennis players. Similarly, Gomes et al.\textsuperscript{24} reported energy deficits $>1000$ kcal day$^{-1}$ (exact data not specified), albeit in a male population. Furthermore, Juzwiak et al.\textsuperscript{4} reported energy deficits of $>10\%$ in 32\% of the participants (male, $n = 8$), ranging from $–532$ to $–1709$ kcal. Approximately half of the participants in Coelho et al.\textsuperscript{27} and Filaire et al.\textsuperscript{28} research reported the consumption of $<1800$ kcal day$^{-1}$, considered the minimum energy necessary to maintain positive energy balance and prevent the disruption of endocrine function.\textsuperscript{37} Specifically, 54\% ($n = 13$) of players consumed $1715 \pm 321$ kcal day$^{-1}$\textsuperscript{27} and 46\% ($n = 12$) consumed $1739 \pm 252$ kcal day$^{-1}$\textsuperscript{27}. Coelho et al.\textsuperscript{27} also reported tennis players to consume significantly fewer calories than the sedentary control group ($1739 \pm 252$ kcal day$^{-1}$ vs. $2347 \pm 198$ kcal day$^{-1}$). Low energy availability (LEA) was identified in 87.5\% ($n = 21$) of tennis players in the Coelho et al.\textsuperscript{27} paper, defined as energy availability below 45 kcal kg$^{-1}$ of fat-free mass per day (kcal kg$^{-1}$ FFM day$^{-1}$). Eight players (33.3\%) reported intakes $<30$ kcal kg$^{-1}$ FFM day$^{-1}$, considered the threshold at which severe health implications can be observed.\textsuperscript{38}

Other variables including eating behaviour and attitudes were investigated by two studies amongst highly trained\textsuperscript{27}
Table 1. Studies investigating the dietary intake of elite and highly trained junior and young adult tennis players.

| Study                  | Participant info.                                                                 | Aims                                                                 | Methods/protocol                                                                 | Key findings                                                                 |
|------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Juzwiak et al.⁴        | Highly trained; >5 h per week. 12.6 ± 0.9 yrs old (n = 17) & 16.4 ± 1.1 yrs old (n = 27), males. | Characterise the body composition of tennis players; assess EE and EI during training; evaluate the adequacy of macro- and micronutrient intakes. | 4-day FD, EE via METS plus estimated BMR, DEXA. | Calorie deficits of >10% off EE (range 532–1709 kcals) in 32% of players  
CHO intake ↓ recommendations (<5 g kg⁻¹) in 32% of players  
Protein intake ↑ recommended intakes in 73% of players  
>1.5 g kg⁻¹. |
| Yli-Piipari²³          | Division 1 NCAA student-athletes. 19.7 ± 0.6 yrs old. n = 5**, females.            | Examine dietary intake, EE, and energy balance during match, training and rest days. | 4-day FD during a competition period: 1 x match, 1 x recovery, 2 x training days. EE via accelerometer ActiGraph GT3X+ plus estimated BMR | Negative energy balance reported by all players; −547 ± 251 kcal; range –764 to −286 kcal.  
EI: 2011 ± 207 kcal (range 1815–2298 kcal.)  
CHO intake ↓ recommendations; mean intake: 4.6 ± 1.0 g kg⁻¹.  
No difference in dietary intake between days |
| Gomes et al.²⁴         | Nationally ranked (Brazil; AM) and ATP ranked (PRO). 18.0 ± 1.4 yrs old. n = 24. 15 AM, 9 PRO, males. | Investigate food consumption and the anthropometric profile of tennis athletes. | 3-day FD, Anthropometric measures: height, weight, circumferences, skinfold assessment. EE via METS plus estimated BMR | Calorie deficits reported in both groups (AM: >1200 kcal day⁻¹; PRO: >1000 kcal day⁻¹; exact data not specified)  
Protein intake ↑ recommended intakes (AM: 2.4 ± 0.2 g kg⁻¹; PRO: 2.3 ± 0.3 g kg⁻¹.)  
↓ CHO intake below recommendations; mean intake: 4.6 + 1.0 g kg⁻¹.  
No difference in dietary intake between days |
| Fleming et al.²⁵       | County level+. 14 ± 3 yrs old. n = 27, 14 males, 13 females.                     | Quantify total energy and macronutrient intake and daily distribution of energy and macronutrients on training and competition days. | Dietary intake via 7-day FD, remote food photography and 24-h multiple pass recall. During a training and competition period. | Variable eating habits  
MD-1 balanced meals reported by 51% of players  
39% of players reported the consumption of ‘nothing specific on MD + 1. |
| Fleming et al.²⁶       | County/provincial level+. 19 ± 3 yrs old. n = 70; 48 males, 22 females.          | Identify nutritional habits pre-, during and post-match play; investigate recovery strategy use | Nutrition and recover habits questionnaire. Areas addressed: match preparation, intra-match nutritional habits, situation dependent variables, post-match nutrition and recovery. | EI: ↓ in tennis players than control 1715 ± 321 vs 2167 ± 310 kcal day⁻¹  
91.7% of players met criteria for DE/LEA  
33% of tennis players reported menstrual irregularities vs 9.5% of |
| Coelho et al.²⁷        | Highly trained; >9 h per week. 14.77 ± 2.16 yrs old, n = 24. Sedentary controls, 15.41 ± 1.86 yrs old, n = 21, females. | Estimate the prevalence of DE in adolescent female tennis players. | 3-d FD, self-assessment of pubertal tanner stage, body shape questionnaire; EAT-26, BSQ, menstrual status questionnaire, Bulimic Investigatory Test Edinburgh, menstrual status. | (continued) |
and professional female tennis players. Filaire et al. reported DE attitudes in 46% (n = 12) players. Coelho et al. reported 91.7% (22 of 24) of players met criteria for DE and or/LEA. BMD was analysed by Coelho et al., with 25% of players (n = 6) reporting low BMD (Z-score below −1.0 SD). Similarly, 50% of participants (n = 13) from the Filaire et al. study reported having sustained bone injuries during their tennis career to date. Menstrual dysfunction was also reported in both studies, referring to the development of oligomenorrhea (≤9 menstrual periods in 12 months), primary amenorrhea (first menstrual period >15 years of age), or secondary amenorrhea (cessation of menses for >3 months). Primary and secondary amenorrhea or oligomenorrhea was reported in 33% (n = 8) of players versus 9.5% (n = 2) of the sedentary control group. Secondary amenorrhea was reported by 33% (n = 4) players by Filaire et al. One player also reported menstrual dysfunction (unspecified categorisation).

**Sub-optimal nutrient intake/habits**

Six studies involving dietary intake directly assessed macronutrient intake. One study assessed dietary habits pre, during and post-match play. Filaire et al. reported carbohydrate intakes of 4.6 ± 1.0 g.kg.bm−1 in female NCAA Division 1 players. Similar intakes were also reported by Coelho et al. and Filaire et al. in a young female tennis population. Specifically, Coelho et al. reported intakes of 4.23 ± 1.42 g.kg.bm−1 (tennis player group) and Filaire et al. 3.18 ± 0.43 g.kg.bm−1 DE group versus 4.33 ± 0.9 g.kg.bm−1 non-DE group, respectively. Also, carbohydrate intakes of 4.6 ± 1.4 g.kg.bm−1 were reported by Fleming et al., amongst highly trained male and female junior tennis players, and carbohydrate intakes of 5 g.kg.bm−1 were established in 32% of junior male players (n = 14) by Juzwiak et al. In contrast, Gomes et al. reported intakes of 6.3 ± 0.5 g.kg.bm−1 and 6.5 ± 0.7 g.kg.bm−1 between Brazilian nationally ranked and professional ATP ranked players. Although relative intakes were not assessed by Fleming et al., 51% of players (n = 36) opted for balanced meals (consisting of carbohydrates, fats and protein and some micronutrient considerations) rather than prioritising carbohydrates as the predominant macronutrient on the day prior to match day (MD-1).

Protein intakes >1.4 g.kg.bm−1 were reported in four studies ranging from 1.43 ± 0.43 g.kg.bm−1 to 2.4 ± 0.2 g.kg.bm−1. Protein intakes >1.5 g.kg.bm−1 were reported in 73% (n = 32) of players by Juzwiak et al. Conversely, Filaire et al. reported intakes of 1.15 ± 0.23 g.kg.bm−1 and 1.35 ± 0.36 g.kg.bm−1 between the DE and non-DE groups of professional (WTA ranked) female tennis players. Fat intake contributed towards >30% of total daily EI (TDEI) in two studies (35 ± 4% and 33 ± 3%). Similarly, Juzwiak et al. reported fat intakes between 32–42% TDEI in 80% of players (n = 35). In contrast, Gomes et al. reported fat intakes of 16
| Study                        | Participant Info                       | Aims                                                                 | Methods/protocol                                                                 | Key findings |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| Gomes et al.29              | National ranked between 10–55.          | Assess the effect of CHO supplementation on tennis match play performance | 3 x SMP. Players ingested a 6% CHO solution or artificially sweetened PLA solution (1 bottle per h). Match analysis. | ↔ in number of games won, rally duration, or number of strokes per rally |
|                            | 18 ± 1 yrs old.                         |                                                                      |                                                                                  | ↔ first serves and second serves in and return of serve.                     |
|                            | n = 12, males.                          |                                                                      |                                                                                  | ↔ effective playing time (CHO 19.1% vs PLA 19.3%)                           |
|                            | Highly trained;                         | Investigate the effects of prolonged SMP on performance and the      | 4 x SMP of 2 h 40 min (4 sets against ball machine). Trials: CHO supplementation (3 mg.kg.BM⁻¹), CHO supplementation (6% solution), precooling and intermittent cooling and PLA control. Core temp., thermal sensation, HR, RPE, blood LA, glucose, and stroke quality measured. | Caffeine significantly ↑ serve velocity in 4th set compared to PLA and CHO (165 ± 15 km.h⁻¹ vs 159 ± 15 vs 158 ± 13 km.h⁻¹ respectively). |
|                            | >15 h per week.                         | ergogenic potential of CAF, CHO and cooling.                         |                                                                                  | ↓ RPE in 4th set following CAF consumption; (ES vs PLA = 0.90, round 2, 0.54 round 3) |
|                            | 18.3 ± 3 yrs old.                      |                                                                      |                                                                                  | ↔ serve or ground stroke proficiency following CHO supplementation.          |
|                            | n = 12, males.                          |                                                                      |                                                                                  | CHO reduced fatigue induced error rate by 7 ± 4% and non-reached balls by 3 ± 1% compared to placebo. |
|                            | Nationally ranked between 50–350        | Investigate the effects of CHO, and CHO plus CAF supplementation on   | 3 x 2-h SMP. LTPT and shuttle run tests pre and post SMP. Throughout the testing, participants ingested a CHO solution, or CHO plus CAF (5 mg.kg.bm⁻¹), or placebo drink. Stroke quality, HR and RPE measured. | Combination of CHO plus CAF did not add to CHO-induced improvements on stroke quality. |
|                            | (Belgium).                              | stroke performance during prolonged SMP.                             |                                                                                  | Significant ↑ running pace at high intensity (>18 km h⁻¹) after CAF vs PLA (63.3 ± 27.7 m h⁻¹ vs 46.7 ± 28.5 m h⁻¹). |
|                            | 20.4 ± 0.9 yrs old.                    |                                                                      |                                                                                  | CAF significantly ↑ handgrip force by ~ 4.2% ± 7.2% in both hands vs PLA. |
|                            | n = 13, males.                          |                                                                      |                                                                                  | ↔ ball velocity in serve test between conditions (CAF: 42.7 ± 5.0 m.s⁻¹ vs PLA: 42.6 ± 4.8 m.s⁻¹) |
|                            | Elite⁶                                  | Investigate the effect of a caffeinated energy drink on physical      | CAF containing energy drink (3 mg.kg.bm⁻¹) vs PLA drink. GPS, accelerometer, HR monitors employed. Batch tennis tests: handgrip test, max velocity serve test, 8 x 15 m sprints followed by SMP (best of 3 sets). Notational analysis of match play. | ↔ in stroke performance (power or precision) or sprint power |
|                            | 16.4 ± 1.2 yrs old.                    | performance in tennis                                               |                                                                                  | ↔ in shuttle run times (19.87 ± 0.30 s placebo vs 19.85 ± 0.27 s creatine) |
|                            | n = 14, 10 males, 4 females.            |                                                                      |                                                                                  |                                                                      |
| Eijnde et al.33             | Nationally ranked between 50–350        | Investigate the impact of acute oral creatine loading on tennis       | 5-day creatine (20 g.day⁻¹ = 4 x 5 g doses) or PLA supplementation period. LTPT – assessing stroke quality followed by 70 m multi-directional shuttle run test. | ↔ in number of serves, rally duration, or number of strokes per rally |
|                            | (Belgium).                              | stroke power and precision.                                          |                                                                                  | ↔ first serves and second serves in and return of serve.                     |
|                            | 20.4 ± 0.9 yrs old.                    |                                                                      |                                                                                  | ↔ effective playing time (CHO 19.1% vs PLA 19.3%)                           |
|                            | n = 8, males.                           |                                                                      |                                                                                  |                                                                      |
| Wu et al.34                | National level                          | Investigate the effect of Sodium bicarbonate (NaHCO₃) supplementation | Participants ingested SB (0.3 g.kg.bm⁻¹) or PLA before the trial and after the third game of a 12-game SMP protocol (ball machine). Blood samples (HCO₃⁻, pH, haemoglobin, base excess, blood La) were taken and the Loughborough tennis skills test | ↔ in number of games won, rally duration, or number of strokes per rally |
|                            | 21.8 ± 2.4 yrs old.                    | on tennis skilled performance.                                      |                                                                                  | ↔ first serves and second serves in and return of serve.                     |
|                            | n = 9, males.                           |                                                                      |                                                                                  | ↔ effective playing time (CHO 19.1% vs PLA 19.3%)                           |

(continued)
Investigate the effects of sodium citrate (SC) supplementation on tennis skill tests.

Participants ingested SC (0.5 g.kg.bm\(^{-1}\)) or a PLA and performed the STPT and RSA tests followed by 1-h SMP. Blood samples (base excess, pH, HCO\(_3^−\), blood La) were taken pre and post trials. STPT and RSA repeated following 1-h SMP.

Significant ↑ shot consistency (%) following SC compared to PLA (58.5 ± 14.8% vs 40.4 ± 10.4% respectively).

Significant ↑ games won following SC compared to PLA (specific data not specified).

↔ in RSA performance ↑ in blood pH in the SC trial (pre match: 7.38 ± 0.02; post-match: 7.48 ± 0.05).

Note: * = Part of the Madrid Tennis Federation excellence program. ↑ higher/greater than/increased, ↓ lower/less than/decreased, ↔ no difference. HR = Heart Rate, RPE = Rate of Perceived Exertion, EF = Effect size. La = Lactate, HCO\(_3^−\) = Bicarbonate, CHO = Carbohydrate, CAF = Caffeine, SB = Sodium Bicarbonate, SC = Sodium Citrate, PLA = Placebo. RSA = Repeated sprint ability shuttle test, LTPT = Leuven Tennis Performance test, STPT = Skill Tennis Performance Test, SMP = Simulated Match Play, GPS = Global Positioning Software.

The use and impact of dietary supplements/ergogenic aids

The majority of studies have investigated the effect of carbohydrate and/or caffeine supplementation on tennis performance.\(^{29-32}\) Isotonic drinks were used in all three investigations considering carbohydrate supplementation.\(^{29-31}\) Simulated match play (SMP) was conducted in all three investigations, with trial durations between 2 and 3 h. Food consumption on the day/s preceding the testing interventions were standardised in all investigations yet details of which were only presented in one study.\(^{29}\) Participants in the Gomes et al.\(^{29}\) study consumed an isonergic diet consisting of 8.33 ± 0.58 g.kg.bm\(^{-1}\) carbohydrate, 2.10 ± 0.14 g.kg.bm\(^{-1}\) protein and 1.58 ± 0.13 g.kg.bm\(^{-1}\) fat. All studies were placebo controlled.

Vergauwen et al.\(^{31}\) also investigated the co-ingestion of carbohydrate plus caffeine (5 mg.kg.bm\(^{-1}\)), whilst Hornery et al.\(^{30}\) also investigated caffeine (3 mg.kg.bm\(^{-1}\)) and cooling interventions (ice baths and cooling jackets).

Results assessing carbohydrate supplementation on tennis performance varied. Gomes et al.\(^{29}\) reported no effect of carbohydrates on performance compared to placebo (including games won, rally duration, strokes per rally, effective playing time, aces, double faults, first and second serve %, and return %). This too was evident in Hornery et al.\(^{30}\) investigations, where carbohydrate had no effect on performance (serve or ground stroke proficiency) compared to placebo. In contrast, carbohydrate ingestion reduced fatigue induced error rate by 7% ± 4% and non-reached balls by 3 ± 1% compared to placebo in the research by Vergauwen et al.\(^{31}\)

Mixed responses to caffeine ingestion were also established. Ingestion methods varied from caffeinated energy drinks\(^{32}\) to caffeinated tablets.\(^{30,31}\) Dose varied from 3 mg.kg.bm\(^{-1}\)\(^{30,32}\) to 5 mg.kg.bm\(^{-1}\).\(^{31}\) Hornery et al.\(^{30}\) reported significant increases in serve velocity in the final set of the match following caffeine consumption compared to placebo and carbohydrate conditions (165 ± 15 km.h\(^{-1}\) vs. 159 ± 15 km.h\(^{-1}\) vs. 158 ± 13 km.h\(^{-1}\) respectively). A trend towards reduced RPE in the 4th set was also established (effect size – 0.54); specific data was not stated. Similarly, Gallo-Salazar et al.\(^{32}\) reported significant increases in physical performance, including increases in handgrip force (~ 4.2 ± 7.2%) and running pace at high intensity (63.3 ± 27.7 m.h\(^{-1}\) vs. 46.7 ± 28.5 m.h\(^{-1}\)). Additionally, number of sprints during SMP and a higher percentage of points won on service were reported.
following caffeine consumption compared to placebo (13.2 ± 1.7 vs. 12.1 ± 1.7; P = 0.05; and 56.4 ± 10.0% vs. 49.7% ± 9.8%; P = 0.07 respectively), albeit not statistically significant. In contrast, research by Vergauwen et al. reported no further beneficial effects on stroke performance when caffeine was ingested alongside a carbohydrate beverage than carbohydrate consumption alone.

Positive effects of alkalising agents (sodium bicarbonate and sodium citrate) on tennis skill performance were established in two papers. Cunha et al. reported significantly greater shot consistency during the skill tennis performance test (STPS) following the ingestion of sodium citrate compared to placebo (58.5 ± 14.8% vs. 40.4 ± 10.4%). Similarly, Wu et al. established sodium bicarbonate ingestion to maintain serve and forehand consistency, compared to significant declines in the placebo condition. Both studies reported significant increases in blood pH following the ingestion of sodium bicarbonate and sodium citrate respectively.

One study to date investigated the effect of acute creatine supplementation (4 x 5 g doses over 5 days) on stroke performance. Creatine supplementation did not affect power or precision of serves, baseline strokes or volleys (specific data not specified). No difference was also reported in shuttle run times between placebo and creatine conditions (19.87 ± 0.30 s vs. 19.85 ± 0.27 s respectively).

**Discussion**

The primary objective of this scoping review is to examine existing evidence regarding the nutritional/dietary intake and nutritional habits in elite and highly trained junior and young adult tennis players. Emerging evidence indicates that elite and highly trained junior and young adult tennis players, irrespective of sex, are failing to consume sufficient calories, with notable incidence of negative energy balance and LEA reported. Furthermore, nutrient intakes below recommendations seem to be prevalent in this population.

Energy deficits ranging from 500–1500 kcal/day and intakes <30 kcal·kg⁻¹·FFM·day⁻¹ indicative of LEA were reported. This raises concerns regarding relative energy deficiency in sport (RED-S), a condition caused by LEA and associated with a myriad of impairments and health implications including but not limited to metabolic rate, thermoregulation, growth, bone health, and menstrual function. Additionally, albeit limited to two studies to date, DE (referring to a group of abnormal eating behaviours such as restricted eating, fasting, frequently skipping meals, the use of diet pills, laxatives, binge eating, and purging) was established as an area of concern in this population. Further research is required to add to these initial findings whilst establishing strategies to support young tennis players, to avoid the negative consequences of such conditions.

Additionally, sub-optimal nutrient intake is consistently reported. In particular, carbohydrate intakes below guidelines (<6 g·kg⁻¹·bm⁻¹) were widely reported. Players tend to be overconsuming protein, with intakes >1.4 g·kg⁻¹·bm⁻¹ in 80% of studies (4/5), arguably at the expense of sufficient carbohydrate. This may be associated with the satiating properties of protein consumption, reducing overall calorie consumption and carbohydrate intake. Failing to consume sufficient carbohydrates may impair performance, with the maintenance of adequate energy and glycogen availability considered key for tennis performance. Further research is required to substantiate the impact of such dietary intakes on performance, with research to date failing to directly investigate this relationship. Micronutrient intakes below recommended nutrient intakes (RNIs) were also reported, yet limited to only two studies in this review. Notably, calcium intakes were low in both studies, with fibre, potassium, magnesium and folic acid also below recommendations amongst highly trained junior male players. Although micronutrient intake was not directly reported by Filaire et al. and Coelho et al., the high incidence of bone injury and low BMD reported in these studies are indicative of prolonged deficiency of calcium and vitamin D. As micronutrient deficiencies often occur concurrently where insufficient calorie intake is reported, supporting players to meet energy needs is vital. Promoting and encouraging the consumption of a well-chosen diet focussed on food variety can help athletes meet their micronutrient needs. Ensuring players have adequate fuelling opportunities around their training, competition and school/educational commitments is also recommended.

Current recommendations suggest young athletes should aim to eat throughout the day, every 3–4 h, planning intake around their daily commitments. Timing of consumption is also important, to adequately fuel training and match play, promote glycogen resynthesis, and optimise training adaptations including muscle protein synthesis, growth and maturation. Consideration for day to day variation in EE is also advocated, adjusting EI in accordance with the demands and goals of the day. Research to date largely fails to consider the distribution and timing of dietary intake, limited to two studies. Initial insights suggest a lack of nutritional planning, with no clear differences in nutritional intake (total caloric intake and macronutrient distribution) established between type of day (rest, training, competition) nor meal (breakfast, lunch, dinner, snacks). This raises concerns regarding nutritional knowledge and the amount of time players spend planning and considering their dietary intake. Dietary education may be beneficial with the goal of establishing the nutritional fundamentals, whilst reinforcing eating for performance and long-term health. Including the parent/guardian in this process is encouraged, with many playing a pivotal role in the dietary provision of young athletes.
The efficacy of dietary supplementation in elite and highly trained junior and young adult tennis players is unclear. Limited to seven studies investigating several different supplements (carbohydrate, caffeine, creatine, sodium bicarbonate and sodium citrate), current research fails to come to a consensus regarding its use. Although it is widely accepted that carbohydrate-electrolye drinks can improve sporting performance, no ergonic effects were reported following its consumption in tennis match play performance by Gomes et al. and Hornery et al. These findings may have been due to methodological flaws associated with pre-testing dietary intakes. Specifically, Gomes et al. prescribed the ingestion of high doses of carbohydrate (>8 g.kg.bm⁻¹) on the day prior to testing, notably higher than habitual intakes reported by Vergauwen et al. Similarly, research indicates just three studies to date, with no performance benefits reported by Vergauwen et al. Similarly, research indicates that sodium bicarbonate and sodium citrate may attenuate fatigue, and have a positive impact on tennis skilled performance, with particular emphasis on shot and serve consistency and potentially attributable to induced alkalosis (the increase in and/or maintenance of blood pH) and maintenance of prolonged muscle contractile capabilities.

In order to determine the ergogenicity of dietary supplementation in this population, further research is warranted. Yet, before addressing the need for dietary ergogenic aids and searching for the ‘silver bullet’ for performance, research should focus on supporting players to meet the nutritional fundamentals (such as meeting energy balance and RNIs), which will have the greatest impact on health, maturation and performance.

**Limitations**

Potential limitations of this scoping review are the heterogeneity and breadth of studies included. Specifically, methods used to quantify dietary intake largely focussed on self-reported food diaries, inherently associated with under-reporting, with only one study utilising multiple methods (food diaries, dietary recall and food photography). deemed a more robust method of accurately assessing dietary intake. This too was evident in the quantification of EE. Only three studies did so, employing a range of methods including METs, accelerometers, and prediction equations. Tennis protocols used, and outcomes assessed also widely varied, making it difficult to draw direct comparisons.

**Conclusions**

This scoping review serves to provide a clear overview from literature to date regarding the nutritional intake of elite and highly trained junior and young adult tennis players. The following practical implications were summarised from the key findings:

- Elite and highly trained junior and young adult tennis players often fail to consume sufficient calories to support performance, growth and wellbeing, posing concerns regarding LEA and RED-S.
- Subsequent deficiencies in micronutrient status are evident.
- Indication of a lack of nutritional planning.
- Concerns regarding unhealthy relationships with food established/being established in this population.

Additionally, this review identified an over-emphasis on the investigation of dietary supplementation in literature to date, with 50% of studies (7 from 14) focussing on their efficacy. Future research should focus on dietary intake and habits in this population, and its impact on performance, with the objective of developing strategies to support players and those working alongside them. Establishing nutritional guidelines and recommendations specific to the junior tennis player offers another exciting opportunity for future research. Supporting this population of talented athletes is imperative, to enable them to meet their sporting potential, whilst developing healthy lifelong eating behaviours to optimise growth, maturation, and wellbeing.
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