Low levels of 1,5-anhydro-D-glucitol are associated with vascular endothelial dysfunction in type 2 diabetes
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Abstract

Background: Vascular endothelial dysfunction is involved in macrovascular disease progression in type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM). We reported previously that blood glucose fluctuations, as evaluated by continuous glucose monitoring (CGM), correlate with vascular endothelial function, serving as a marker of vascular endothelial function. However, the use of CGM is limited, suggesting the need for another marker of vascular endothelial function. Here, we investigated the relationship between vascular endothelial dysfunction and blood levels of 1,5-anhydro-D-glucitol (1,5-AG), a marker of both postprandial hyperglycemia and fluctuations in blood glucose.

Methods: In 32 inpatients with T2DM and HbA1c less than 8.0%, the reactive hyperemia index (RHI), an index of vascular endothelial function, was determined by peripheral arterial tonometry. The relationships between RHI and 1,5-AG, blood glucose, lipid metabolism markers, and blood pressure, were examined.

Results: There was a strong correlation between 1,5-AG and natural logarithmic-scaled RHI (\(L_{\text{RHI}}\)) (\(r = 0.55; P = 0.001\)). However, there was no correlation between \(L_{\text{RHI}}\) and HbA1c, fasting blood glucose, IRI, LDL-C, HDL-C, TG, systolic blood pressure, or diastolic blood pressure. Multivariate analysis identified blood 1,5-AG levels to be the only significant and independent determinant of \(L_{\text{RHI}}\).

Conclusions: In T2DM with HbA1c <8.0%, low 1,5-AG levels were associated with vascular endothelial dysfunction, suggesting it is a potentially useful marker for vascular endothelial dysfunction.
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Background

Atherosclerosis causes vascular endothelial dysfunction even at an early stage [1], and is known to play a major role in the development and progression of macrovascular disease in type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) [2]. A study using the blood glucose clamp technique showed that endothelial dysfunction progresses via increased oxidative stress associated with fluctuations in blood glucose level [3]. Studies from our laboratory have also reported that the standard deviation (SD) and the mean amplitude of glycemic excursions (MAGE), i.e., indices of fluctuations in blood glucose as determined by continuous glucose monitoring (CGM), correlate significantly with vascular endothelial function [4]. It has become apparent that evaluation of blood glucose fluctuations by CGM is useful for predicting vascular endothelial function. However, the number of patients who use CGM is limited. We also reported previously that hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) cannot predict vascular endothelial function [4]. Accordingly, we explored the utility of other markers of glycemic control that are evaluated in daily clinical practice as predictors of vascular endothelial function.

The level of 1,5-anhydro-D-glucitol (1,5-AG) in peripheral blood is considered a useful marker of glycemic control [5,6] and a useful predictor of cardiovascular events [7]. The present study was designed to determine the relationship between vascular endothelial function and blood levels of 1,5-AG in patients with T2DM.
Methods

The present cross-sectional study included 32 patients with T2DM admitted to the University of Occupational and Environmental Health Hospital and Wakamatsu Hospital of the University of Occupational and Environmental Health between January 2012 and November 2013. All patients satisfied the following inclusion criteria: (1) age 20 years and above; (2) HbA1c <8.0%; (3) serum creatinine (Cre) <2.0 mg/dl; and (4) the absence of arrhythmias. Patients with diabetic ketoacidosis, nonketotic hyperosmolar coma, infection, or acute coronary syndrome were excluded from the study. The study protocol was approved by the Human Ethics Review Committee and a signed consent form was obtained from each subject.

Study design

This study was a cross-sectional study. On the second or third hospital day, fasting blood plasma glucose (FPG) was measured, together with HbA1c, 1,5-AG, immunoreactive insulin (IRI), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), and triglyceride (TG). Vascular endothelial function was assessed noninvasively using peripheral arterial tonometry (PAT) apparatus (EndoPAT2000, Itamar Medical, Caesarea, Israel) [8]. The reactive hyperemia index (RHI), which is a vasodilatory response to the release of avascularization and reflects the ability to produce nitric oxide (NO) in vivo stimulated by vascular shear stress, was measured automatically by PAT [9]. Evaluation of vascular endothelial function with EndoPAT includes the use of the contralateral arm as the control side, and RHI is calculated automatically. This evaluation technique is reported to involve less examiner-dependent variation and to be superior in terms of objectivity compared to the FMD evaluation [10]. No examiner-dependent variation and to be superior in terms of objectivity compared to the FMD evaluation [10]. Noninvasive vascular function test was carried out employing the step-up procedure, using L_RHI as the dependent variable, and age, sex, body mass index (BMI), disease duration, use of presence/absence of treatment with α-glucosidase inhibitor treatment or insulin treatment, use of antihypertensive drugs, use of antihyperlipidemic drugs, history of cardiovascular disease (CVD), LDL-C, HDL-C, TG, systolic blood pressure (SBP), and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) were normally distributed, whereas IRI and HOMA-IR showed skewed distribution. To assess potential correlations with L_RHI, the Pearson correlation coefficient was used for data with normal distribution pattern, whereas the Spearman rank-correlation coefficient was used for data with a non-normal distribution. Multivariate analysis was performed using the step-up procedure, using L_RHI as the dependent variable, and age, sex, body mass index (BMI), disease duration, use of presence/absence of treatment with α-glucosidase inhibitor treatment or insulin treatment, use of antihypertensive drugs, use of antihyperlipidemic drugs, history of cardiovascular disease (CVD), LDL-C, HDL-C, TG, SBP, DBP, HbA1c, 1,5-AG, and FPG as independent variables. The level of significance was set at p < 0.05. SPSS Statistical Software 21.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) was used for all statistical analyses.

Noninvasive vascular function test

The method used for endothelial function measurement using PAT has been described in detail previously [11]. Briefly, after an overnight fast, the subject rested in a temperature- and light-controlled room for a period of 30 min. Baseline pulse amplitude was recorded during a period of 5 min prior to the induction of ischemia. The latter was induced by placing the blood pressure cuff on the upper arm. The opposite arm served as a control. The PAT probes were placed on index finger of each hand. After 5 min, the blood pressure cuff was inflated to 200 mmHg or 60 mmHg above the systolic pressure if systolic pressure was over 140 mmHg for 5 min and then deflated to induce reactive hyperemia. As a measure of reactive hyperemia, RHI was calculated as the ratio of the average amplitude of the PAT signal over 1 min beginning 1.5 min after cuff deflation (control arm, A; occluded arm, C) divided by the average amplitude of the PAT signal over the 2.5-min time period before cuff inflation (baseline) (control arm, B; occluded arm, D). Thus, RHI = (C/D)/(A/B) × baseline correction. Because RHI has a heteroscedastic error structure, we used a natural logarithm transformation in all analyses.

Measurement of serum lipids, blood HbA1c, 1,5-AG and plasma glucose

Serum lipids were measured using a Hitachi 7350 autoanalyzer (Hitachi Co., Tokyo, Japan). LDL-C, HDL-C, and TG were determined by the enzymatic method, and LDL-C was determined by the direct method. HOMA-IR was calculated using the following formula: HOMA-IR = [fasting IRI (μU/ml) × fasting blood glucose (mg/dl)/405]. HbA1c (%) was measured by HPLC using Tosoh HLC-723 G8 (Tosoh Co., Kyoto, Japan), and expressed as National Glycohemoglobin Standardization Program (NGSP) values by adding 0.4% to HbA1c values expressed as the conventional Japanese standard substance (JDS) values [12]. The 1,5-AG level was measured by a colorimetric method (Nippon Kayaku, Tokyo, Japan) using a Bio Majesty JCA-BM 8060 (JEOL, Tokyo, Japan).

Statistical analyses

All values were expressed as mean ± SD. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov normality test demonstrated that natural logarithmic-scaled RHI (L_RHI), 1,5-AG, HbA1c, FPG, LDL-C, TG, systolic blood pressure (SBP), and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) were normally distributed, whereas IRI and HOMA-IR showed skewed distribution. For inter-group comparisons, the unpaired t-test was used for normally distributed data, the Mann–Whitney U test for data with skewed distributions. To assess potential correlations with L_RHI, the Pearson correlation coefficient was used for data with normal distribution pattern, whereas the Spearman rank-correlation coefficient was used for data with a non-normal distribution. Multivariate analysis was carried out employing the step-up procedure, using L_RHI as the dependent variable, and age, sex, body mass index (BMI), disease duration, use of presence/absence of treatment with α-glucosidase inhibitor treatment or insulin treatment, use of antihypertensive drugs, use of antihyperlipidemic drugs, history of cardiovascular disease (CVD), LDL-C, HDL-C, TG, SBP, DBP, HbA1c, 1,5-AG, and FPG as independent variables. The level of significance was set at p < 0.05. SPSS Statistical Software 21.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) was used for all statistical analyses.
Results

Clinical characteristics

Table 1 lists the clinical features of the 32 (17 men and 15 women) participating patients. The mean L_RHI value was 0.6 ± 0.2 (men: 0.6, women: 0.7) (range: 0.3-1.0), and the mean baseline pulse amplitude was 8.5 ± 4.0 (men: 8.7, women: 8.3), with neither value showing any significant difference between the sexes.

Relationship between L_RHI and markers of diabetes control and nonglycemic metabolic variables

The relationship between L_RHI and clinical markers of glycemic control is shown in Table 2. Univariate analysis showed a statistically significant relationship between L_RHI and 1,5-AG (r = 0.55; P = 0.001). On the other hand, L_RHI did not correlate with HbA1c, FPG, IRI, or HOMA-IR. L_RHI also did not correlate with LDL-C, HDL-C, TG, SBP, or DBP.

In the next step, we compared the relationship between L_RHI and treatment with and without sulfonylurea, pioglitazone, metformin, α-glucosidase inhibitor, dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor, and insulin. There was no relationship between L_RHI and treatment with and without sulfonylurea (0.64 ± 0.09 vs 0.61 ± 0.04, p = 0.818), pioglitazone (0.71 ± 0.13 vs 0.60 ± 0.04, p = 0.311), metformin (0.77 ± 0.09 vs 0.58 ± 0.04, p = 0.060), and dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor treatment (0.72 ± 0.11 vs 0.59 ± 0.04, p = 0.210). On the other hand, L_RHI was significantly higher in the α-glucosidase inhibitor-treated group (0.86 ± 0.04, n = 3) than -untreated group (0.59 ± 0.04, n = 29) (P = 0.042), and significantly lower in the insulin-treated group (0.38 ± 0.04, n = 5) than -untreated group (0.66 ± 0.04, n = 27) (P = 0.006).

Multivariate analysis, using L_RHI as the dependent variable and age, sex, BMI, disease duration, use of presence/absence of treatment with α-glucosidase inhibitor treatment or insulin treatment, use of antihypertensive drugs, use of antihyperlipidemic drugs, history of CVD, LDL-C, HDL-C, TG, SBP, DBP, HbA1c, 1,5-AG, and FPG as the independent variables, identified 1,5-AG as the only significant and independent determinant of L_RHI (adjusted multiple R² = 0.277, standardization coefficient β = 0.548, t = 3.149, P = 0.001) (Table 3).

Because the incidence of cardiovascular events is reportedly increased significantly in patients with L_RHI of ≤0.4 [13], the subjects were divided into two groups; the low L_RHI group (L_RHI ≤0.4, n = 7) and the high L_RHI group (L_RHI >0.4, n = 25). The 1,5-AG level was statistically significantly lower in the low L_RHI group (4.7 ± 1.8 µg/ml) compared with high L_RHI group (8.1 ± 3.6 µg/ml) (p = 0.023). However, HbA1c was not statistically significantly different between the two groups (low group:7.3 ± 0.3%, high group:7.2 ± 0.5%, p = 0.855).

Discussion

The main finding of the present study was that vascular endothelial function parameter L_RHI correlates significantly with 1,5-AG in T2DM patients with HbA1c <8.0%.

In fact, 1,5-AG blood level correlate with postprandial hyperglycemia in patients with HbA1c <8.0%, in both type 1 diabetes mellitus [14] and T2DM [15]. In addition, the use of CGM has demonstrated a significant correlation with MAGE and indices of postprandial hyperglycemia in patients with HbA1c <8.0% [16]. 1,5-AG has been clinically used as a marker of changes in blood glucose level. Sakamoto et al. [17] used this parameter to compare changes in blood glucose level according to glucose-lowering therapy, similar to CGM data. Furthermore, since low 1,5-AG levels are associated with coronary artery disease, 1,5-AG has also been used to identify patients at high risk of cardiovascular disease [18]. Furthermore,
blood 1,5-AG levels correlated inversely with serum Cre levels especially in patients with Cre ≥2.0 mg/dl [15]. Based on the reported relationship between 1,5-AG blood levels and HbA1c <8.0% and Cre <2.0 mg/dl described above [14,15], we focused in this study on patients with HbA1c <8.0%.

Blood 1,5-AG level is a marker of glycemic control and accurately reflects rises and falls in urinary glucose excretion. When 1,5-AG leaks into the urine along with excessive excretion of glucose, the result is a decreased concentration in the blood. In other words, blood glucose levels correlate inversely with those of 1,5-AG [19]. Because glucose is immediately excreted into the urine even after a very short period of postprandial hyperglycemia, 1,5-AG was previously reported to serve as a marker of glycemic control that reflects fluctuations in blood glucose, in patients with HbA1c <8%. Based on this result, we believe that 1,5-AG should be evaluated in patients with type 2 diabetes accompanied by coronary artery disease. However, there is only limited information on 1,5-AG and vascular endothelial function, and no study free of the effects of drug treatments has yet been reported. In the present study, vascular endothelial function, evaluated by PAT, correlated strongly with 1,5-AG, suggesting the 1,5-AG level is a potentially useful predictor of vascular endothelial function, as well as a marker of fluctuations in blood glucose, in patients with HbA1c <8%. Based on this result, we believe that 1,5-AG should be evaluated in patients with HbA1c <8%, and that treatment of postprandial hyperglycemia and multidisciplinary risk management for atherosclerosis should be provided to patients with low 1,5-AG levels.

L_RHI is mainly an index of vascular endothelial function that reflects vasodilatory responses to NO, an endothelium-dependent vasodilatory factor [26]. Vascular endothelial function determined by L_RHI is reported to allow detection of atherosclerosis at an early stage and to correlate with coronary atherosclerosis [11], as well as to predict coronary artery disease [13]. As for the relationship between glucose metabolism and vascular endothelial function, it is known that increased oxidative stress and

### Table 2: Correlation coefficients between L_RHI and clinical markers of glycemia and various nonglycemic metabolic variables

| Variables       | HbA1c | 1,5-AG | FPG  | IRI   | HOMA-IR | LDL-C  | HDL-C  | TG    | SBP   | DBP   |
|-----------------|-------|--------|------|-------|---------|--------|--------|-------|-------|-------|
| 1,5-AG (n = 32)| −0.38*| −0.24  |      |       |         |        |        |       |       |       |
| FPG (n = 32)    | 0.18  | −0.24  |      |       |         |        |        |       |       |       |
| IRI (n = 27)    | 0.26  | 0.19   | −0.15|       |         |        |        |       |       |       |
| HOMA-IR (n = 27)| 0.27  | 0.17   | −0.02| 0.98**|         |        |        |       |       |       |
| LDL-C (n = 32)  | −0.02 | −0.28  | −0.17| −0.03 | −0.04   |        |        |       |       |       |
| HDL-C (n = 32)  | −0.14 | −0.06  | 0.07 | −0.43*| −0.45*  | −0.40* |        |       |       |       |
| TG (n = 32)     | −0.01 | −0.04  | −0.17| 0.27  | 0.20    | 0.28   | −0.70**|       |       |       |
| SBP (n = 32)    | −0.11 | −0.05  | −0.39*| 0.11  | 0.06    | 0.34   | −0.08  | −0.01 |       |       |
| DBP (n = 32)    | −0.09 | −0.13  | −0.14| 0.32  | 0.27    | 0.18   | −0.06  | −0.01 | 0.71**|       |
| L_RHI (n = 32)  | −0.08 | 0.55** | −0.25| 0.14  | 0.06    | 0.08   | −0.04  | 0.01  | 0.03  | −0.19 |

Data are results of Pearson correlation analysis for normally distributed variables and Spearman rank correlation for variables with skewed distribution. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01.

Abbreviations as in Table 1. 1,5-AG, 1,5-anhydro-D-glucitol; FPG, Fasting plasma glucose; IRI, Immunoreactive insulin; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; TG, triglyceride; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure.

### Table 3: Linear multivariate analyses with L_RHI as the dependent variables

| Variables | Unstandardized coefficients | Standardized coefficients | t     | P value | 95% CI          |
|-----------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-------|---------|-----------------|
|           | B   | SE | B   | SE | β   | t    | P value    | 95% CI          |
| Intercept | 0.370 | 0.077 | 4.819 | <0.001 | 0.213-0.527 |
| 1,5-AG    | 0.034 | 0.009 | 0.548 | 3.149 | 0.001 | 0.015-0.053 |

Multivariate stepwise regression analysis with L_RHI as the dependent variable and age, gender, BMI, duration of the disease, use of presence/absence of treatment with α-glucosidase inhibitor treatment or insulin treatment, antihypertensive drugs, lipid-lowering drugs, prevalence CVD, LDL-cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol, triglyceride, systolic blood pressure, HbA1c, 1,5-AG, and fasting plasma glucose as the independent variables. Abbreviations as in Tables 1 and 2: SE, Standard error; CVD, cardiovascular disease; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval.
fluctuations in blood glucose together worsen vascular endothelial dysfunction [3], and indices of fluctuations in blood glucose, such as MAGE and postprandial hyperglycemia, correlate strongly with vascular endothelial function [4]. Specifically, fluctuations in blood glucose and postprandial hyperglycemia are considered to be major factors favoring the progression of vascular endothelial dysfunction in glucose metabolism. On the other hand, it was recently reported that 1,5-AG correlates with MAGE and postprandial hyperglycemia in CGM [16,27]. The findings of this study indicate that 1,5-AG correlates strongly with vascular endothelial function because it is a marker of glycemic control that reflects, particularly fluctuations in blood glucose and postprandial hyperglycemia.

This study has several limitations. First, this study did not include control subjects free of diabetes mellitus. Second, we did not evaluate the relationship between vascular endothelial function with either oxidative stress or inflammation. Third, about half of the subjects were on treatment intended to improve lipid metabolism. Although a relationship between L_RHI and blood pressure or the ratio of TC to HDL-C was found in a large-scale cohort study [28], no relationship was found between L_RHI and blood pressure or lipid metabolism in our study, presumably because most of our patients were on antihypertensive drugs or statins. Fourth, the determination coefficient of the independent variable was low for the model employed in this study, with the adjusted R² being equal to 0.277 in multivariate analysis. The sample size was relatively small, and therefore the obtained results require further confirmation in a larger number of patients.

Conclusions

The present study demonstrated that low levels of 1,5-AG, a marker of fluctuations in blood glucose level, are associated with vascular endothelial dysfunction in patients with HbA1c <8.0%.
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