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INTRODUCTION

Two major drivers have contributed to dramatic increases in international research activities in the area of plant cell wall biology in recent years. Firstly, the enormous potential for crop residues and specialty plant bioenergy species as a large scale source of renewable liquid transport fuels is attracting both private and public sector research and development investment in the field (McLaren, 2005). Cell wall constituents represent the most important energy source in these plant materials. The second driver is the recognition that many of the world’s most widespread diseases, such as type II diabetes, colorectal cancer, cardiovascular, and certain inflammatory diseases, can be attributed to varying extents by increasing the fiber content of human diets (Jacobs and Gallaher, 2004; Jamal et al., 2005; Topping, 2007; Collins et al., 2010).

Notably, cell wall constituents represent a major component of dietary fiber in human societies around the world. Plant cell walls consist predominantly of polysaccharides and lignin. While the biochemical pathways of lignin synthesis are now well characterized and new genetic technologies have been successfully used to manipulate both the total amount and the chemical structure of lignin in plants, our understanding of the genes and enzymes involved in cell wall polysaccharide synthesis is still somewhat limited; we will focus on the biology of wall polysaccharides in this short review. It is estimated that hundreds of genes participate in cell wall polysaccharide metabolism in plants, but the functions of a relatively small proportion of these have been unequivocally assigned.

Here we will focus on current challenges in cell wall biology in the Poaceae, because these species are particularly important in human societies. Thus, grains from rice, wheat, sorghum, millet, and barley represent a large proportion of daily caloric intake in human diets and sugar cane is widely grown as a source of sucrose. Numerous fodder and forage grass species are used for the production of domestic animals and maize is also used for feeding livestock. Cereal crop residues are potential sources of lignocellulose for the production of bioethanol and other biofuels (McLaren, 2005) and perennial grasses, including switchgrass and Miscanthus, are being developed as specialist bioenergy crops, particularly in North America and Europe.

COMPOSITION OF CELL WALLS IN THE GRASSES

Cell walls in the Poaceae are markedly different in composition compared with those from other monocots and from dicots (Gibeaut and Carpita, 1993; Trethewey et al., 2005). These characteristic differences are manifest in the non-cellulosic polysaccharide components. In dicots and non-commelinoid monocotyledons, the major non-cellulosic polysaccharides of primary walls are xyloglucans and a range of pectic polysaccharides, with lower levels of hemicelluloses and hemicelluloses. In contrast, primary walls of grasses have much lower levels of xyloglucans and pectins, which are replaced by higher amounts of hemicelluloses and, in some cases, with (1,3,1,4)-β-glucans.

The hemicelluloses of the grasses consist of a (1,4)-linked backbone of β-D-xylopyranosyl (Xylp) residues, to which...
In some cases oligosaccharide substituents such as Glc glucuronopyranosyl (Glc p)-L-Ara p or on both of these carbon atoms. The Glc p-residues are usually linked to the C(O)2 atom of the Xyl p-backbone. The Ara p-residues are less common. If the (1,4)-β-glucan backbone is heavily substituted with Ara p-residues, the polysaccharide would be more soluble, because the Ara p-residues sterically inhibit aggregation and precipitation. Physical alignment will also occur between arabinoxylan chains, which indicated that the (1,3;1,4)-β-glucosyl residues in the polysaccharide, one would expect that if the (1,3;1,4)-β-glucosyl and (1,4)-β-glucosyl residues were arranged at random significant levels of contiguous (1,3;1,4)-β-glucosyl residues would be present. This is not the case.

Another way of viewing (1,3;1,4)-β-glucans structure is that the polysaccharide is composed predominantly of cellobiose (with a degree of polymerization of DP3) and cellotetraosyl (DP4) residues linked by single (1,3)-β-linkages, as shown below:

```
G 3 G 4 G 4 G 3 G 4 G 4 G 3 G 4 G 4 G 3 G...
```

Markov chain analyses allowed Staudte et al. (1983) to demonstrate that the blocks of two or three adjacent (1,4)-linked glucosyl residues are arranged at random, which indicated that the (1,3)-linked glucosyl residues would be irregularly spaced along the polysaccharide chain. The irregularly spaced (1,3;1,4)-β-glucosyl residues would introduce irregularly spaced molecular kinks along what would otherwise be a linear, ribbon-like (1,4)-β-glucan, or cellulosic backbone. Again the fine structure of the polysaccharide, expressed this time as the DP3:DP4 ratio, will allow us to predict its physicochemical properties (Lazaridou and Biliaderis, 2004; Burton et al., 2010). When the DP3:DP4 ratios of cereal
(1,3,1,4)-β-glucans are in the range of about 2.0–3.0, at least some of the polysaccharide will be soluble in aqueous media. As the ratios move further from these values, in either direction, the polysaccharide becomes increasingly comprised of DP3 or DP4 units and hence individual molecules become more regular in shape and can more easily align over extended regions (Burton et al., 2010). As a result, the (1,3,1,4)-β-glucans will become less soluble as the DP3:DP4 ratio deviates from values of 1:1 although this may be influenced by physical or chemical interactions with other polymers found in the cereal grain or in downstream industrial processes. Selected examples showing the different fine structures of (1,3,1,4)-β-glucans from grasses are shown in Table 1. It should be noted that the (1,3,1,4)-β-glucans found in isolated cases in lower plants of the monophylete group and in fungal walls have quite different structures, insofar as either the DP3 or DP4 unit predominates and the polysaccharide can therefore be viewed as having a repeating DP3 or DP4 unit structure (Pettolino et al., 2009; Xue and Fry, 2012). Perhaps it is the ability of the grasses to synthesize a non-repeating (1,3,1,4)-β-glucan comprising DP3, DP4, and longer cellulodextrin units that has led to the more widespread adoption of the polysaccharide in the grasses.

**CHALLENGE I: HETEROXYLAN BIOSYNTHESIS; WHICH GENES AND HOW?**

The “core” non-cellulosic polysaccharides of cell walls in the grasses are heteroxylans, also found in dicot walls but in much lower abundance. Structurally, heteroxylans have features that are similar to xyloglucans, which are widely distributed as a major non-cellulosic component of dicot walls. In both cases, aggregation of the polysaccharide chains into insoluble or fibrillar material is sterically inhibited through the addition of monosaccharide or oligosaccharide substituents to main chains that are both extended, highly asymmetrical (1,4)-β-glucans. The types of substituents, the degree of substitution and distribution patterns along the main chain vary both between species and cells within a single plant.

Much of the initial work on the identification of genes involved in heteroxylan synthesis was focused on analyses of Arabidopsis mutant lines and transcript profiling. These studies implicated genes from the GT8, GT43, GT47, and GT61 families (Brown et al., 2007, 2009; Mitchell et al., 2007; Persson et al., 2007; Oikawa et al., 2010). However, these experiments were plagued with interpretative difficulties imposed by the large gene families, compensation and pleiotropic effects in transgenic lines during proof-of-function tests, and the difficulties associated with developing reliable biochemical assays of expressed enzymes. Mitchell et al. (2007) and Pellny et al. (2012) used comparative bioinformatics analyses to find candidate genes and concluded that genes in the GT43 and GT47 families might encode backbone (1,4)-β-xylan synthases, genes in the GT61 family might encode xylan (1,2)-α-D-arabinosyl transferases, and that BAHD genes encode feruloyl-arabinoxylan transferases. This group recently provided additional and compelling evidence for the participation of wheat GT61 genes, which they designated TaXAP, as xylan (1,3)-α-D-arabinosyl transferases (Anders et al., 2012). Zeng et al. (2010) used GT43-specific antibodies to co-immunoprecipitate a complex from wheat microsomes that contained GT43, GT47, and GT75 proteins. Analysis of the glucuronoarabinoxylan polymer synthesized by the complex suggested a regular structure containing Xyl, Ara, and GluA in a ratio of 45:12:1. The authors suggested that this may represent a core complex in the biosynthetic process of xylans but to date we have no definitive evidence for the involvement of specific genes or proteins in the synthesis of the backbone or in the additions of certain substituents. Mortimer et al. (2010) reported that the products of two GT8 genes mediate the addition of GluA and 4-O-methylglucuronic acid residues to the heteroxylan of Arabidopsis. Double mutant plants for these genes, gux1gux2, contain xylan that is almost completely unsubstituted, but still contain wild type amounts of the xylan backbone. This indicates that the synthesis of the backbone and its substitution can be uncoupled, a somewhat surprising observation when the behavior of such an unsubstituted and hence probably insoluble polysaccharide in an aqueous environment is considered.

In another monocot/dicot difference, the heteroxylan from Arabidopsis contains a reducing terminal oligosaccharide consisting of 4-β-D-Xylp-(1,4)-β-D-Xylp-(1,3)-α-L-Rhap-(1,2)-α-D-GalpA-(1,4)-β-D-Xylp (Pena et al., 2007). So far there is no evidence that such an oligosaccharide is present in arabinoxylans from the grasses (York and O’Neill, 2008).

**CHALLENGE II: DEFINING THE MECHANISM OF (1,3,1,4)-β-GLUCAN SYNTHESIS AND ASSEMBLY**

As outlined in the sections above, the (1,3,1,4)-β-glucans of the grasses can be considered a co-polymer consisting mainly of cellobiose (DP3) and cellotetraose (DP4) residues linked by single (1,3)-β-glycosidic linkages. The current challenge is therefore to

Table 1 | Levels of (1,3,1,4)-β-glucans in grains of various grass species.

| Species                  | (1,3,1,4)-β-glucan (% w/w) | DP3:DP4 ratio | Solubility in water (%) | Starch (% w/w) |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|----------------|
| Oats                    | 6–8                         | 15–2.3:1      | ~80                     | ~80            |
| Barley                  | 4–10                        | 2.6:1         | ~20                     | ~57            |
| Wheat                   | 1                           | 3.2:1         | 0                       | ~57            |
| Brachypodium            | 40                          | 5.9:1         | Insoluble               | <10            |
| Rice                    | <0.06                       | 1.1:4         | ?                       | 80             |
| Maize                   | 3.6                         | 2.5:1         | ?                       | 70–80          |
| Sorghum                 | 0.07–0.2                    | 2.8:1         | ?                       | 70–80          |
| Transgenic barley       | 78                          | 2.1:1         | ?                       | 44             |
| (AsGlo:CaFX)            | 6.0                         | 2.8:1         | ?                       | ?              |

Only trace amounts can be detected in rice, maize, and sorghum grain, but in Brachypodium (1,3,1,4)-β-glucans constitute up to 40% (w/b) of the grain. The link between DP3:DP4 ratios and polysaccharide solubility can also be seen. At the relatively low ratios observed in the oat grain (1,3,1,4)-β-glucans, the polysaccharide is more soluble than it is in the other grains, whereas higher DP3:DP4 ratios are observed. The values shown for the barley AsGlo:CaFX lines were obtained from grain of transgenic barley transformed with the CaFX genes as described by Burton et al. (2010).
devise a cellular and enzymic mechanism that would accommodate the random and non-random features described above, and then to come up with experimental evidence to prove the proposed mechanism. At the moment it is not agreed exactly where the (1,3;1,4)-β-glucans are synthesized in the cell. Wilson et al. (2006) used immunocytochemistry with specific monoclonal antibodies that detect adjacent (1,3)-and (1,4)-β-glucosyl residues in polysaccharides (Meikle et al., 1994) to locate (1,3;1,4)-β-glucans in several barley tissues. The polysaccharide could be clearly seen in the cell walls, but they could never detect any (1,3,4)-β-glucan inside the cell, despite the long-held belief that the polysaccharide is synthesized in the Golgi apparatus. The same patterns were seen in barley leaves, coleoptiles, suspension-cultured cells, and in the developing grain. In contrast, Carpita and McCann (2010) reported that (1,3,4)-β-glucan was indeed present in the Golgi of developing maize coleoptiles, using the same antibody. The situation is not helped by the paucity of information regarding the location of the (1,3,4)-β-glucan synthase proteins themselves. Doblin et al. (2009) used an anti-HA antibody to show that the tagged barley CSLH protein, which is known to mediate (1,3,4)-β-glucan synthesis, was located in ER- and Golgi-derived vesicles in transgenic Arabidopsis tissues.

So, what possible mechanism would allow the HvCslH protein to be located in Golgi vesicles whilst the polysaccharide itself is only found in the wall? Doblin et al. (2009) and Burton et al. (2010) proposed that (1,3,4)-β-glucan synthesis might be a two-phase process, through which the CslH and/or CslF enzymes of the Golgi synthesize a population of oligosaccharides with only (1,4)-β-glucosyl linkages, that is cellodextrins. They envisaged that these would be predominantly cellotriose and cellotetraose, but could include longer cellodextrins of up to 10–12 residues in low abundance, and that they would be covalently attached to a lipid or protein molecule in the Golgi membrane. The nature of the putative lipid or protein carriers is unknown, although Fujino and Ohnishi (1979) reported the presence of cellotriosyl stictoscer and other glycosylated stictoscer, campesterol and stigmasterol derivatives in rice bran. Some interest was subsequently shown in these glycolipids as possible intermediates in cellulose synthesis (Delmer, 1999) but their role in polysaccharide synthesis in plants has never been satisfactorily demonstrated. In the absence of any (1,3)-β-linkages, these putative carrier-linked oligosaccharides would not be recognized by the (1,3,4)-β-glucan antibody. Following transport of the cellodextrins to the plasma membrane, it is proposed that they would be polymerized through (1,3)-β-linkages either in the plasma membrane or in the periplasmic space and would be released into the wall as (1,3,4)-β-glucans that would then be recognized by the antibody. The proposal depends on the presence of a population of (1,4)-β-linked oligoglucosylides of different lengths and the random selection of these by the putative transferase enzyme during their polymerization; this would explain the random distribution of the DP3 and DP4 units in the final polysaccharide. Candidate transferase enzymes include members of the large family of xyloglucan endo-transglycosidases (XETs) or GT2-1 cellulose synthases located in the plasma membrane, both of which are abundant in the grasses. An alternative possibility is that unidentified GT enzymes synthesize the (1,4)-β-linked oligoglucosylides in the Golgi and that these are polymerized to form the final (1,3;3,4;3,4)-β-glucan polysaccharide by CesA enzymes at the plasma membrane.

For those proteins known to be located in the Golgi, there is also the matter of orientation in the membrane that will have a fundamental impact on the way in which resultant polysaccharides are synthesized. Davis et al. (2010) used protease protection experiments to demonstrate that in Arabidopsis an odd number of transmembrane domains (five) dictates that CslA9 faces the Golgi lumen whilst CslA4, with an even number, faces the cytosol. We currently have no information about the topology of the CesA and CslH proteins involved in (1,3;1,4)-β-glucan synthesis, whichever membranes they are embedded in, just as we have little information about the role of different members of the gene families, with seven or more CesA representatives and one to three CslH genes in various cereals. It is becoming clear that the CesA gene is more important than other members of the same family, based on observations that loss of CesA6 activity dramatically reduces the amount of (1,3;1,3)-β-glucan in all barley tissues in the bgl mutant (Taketa et al., 2012) and overexpression of the HvCslF6 gene has a significant effect on barley leaf and grain (1,3,4)-β-glucan content and structure (Table 1, Burton et al., 2011). However, overexpression of HvCslF4 also influenced (1,3,4)-β-glucan properties (Table 1, Burton et al., 2011) and the establishment of the mechanistic role of each of these proteins, be it in a complex or solo, will be a major step forward in our understanding of the synthesis of what appears to be one of the less complex polysaccharides in plant cell walls.

CHALLENGE III: ASSIGNING A DEFINITIVE FUNCTION TO (1,3;1,4)-β-GLUCANS IN THE GRASSES

Intrinsic (1,3,4)-β-glucan variability in the cereals and grasses present a number of interpretative challenges with respect to the contention that (1,3,4)-β-glucans are structural components of cell walls. Despite the high concentrations of (1,3;1,4)-β-glucans in the grains of some grasses, this is not so for all grasses (Table 1) whilst they are found at low levels in vegetative tissues. The conclusion from this variability is that the (1,3,4)-β-glucans are not essential for cell wall integrity but they may impact wall function such as in rapidly expanding tissues exemplified by oat or rice coleoptiles (Hosono and Nevins, 1989; Chen et al., 1999). On the other hand, it is also clear that walls can accommodate very high levels of (1,3;1,4)-β-glucans, as seen in the starry endosperm of Brachypodium grain (Guillon et al., 2012; Table 1). Overexpression of the HvCslF6 gene in transgenic barley resulted in increases of 40% or more in (1,3,4)-β-glucan content of transgenic grain with even larger increases in vegetative tissues (Burton et al., 2011). In contrast, we have been singularly unsuccessful in our attempts to either increase or decrease cellulose content in barley plants transformed with CesA genes (our unpublished data).

Boulon et al. (2002) suggested that the (1,3,4)-β-glucans in young barley leaves might function primarily as a secondary store of glucose that is mobilized during sugar depletion in the plant, based on their observations that when the seedlings were placed in the dark, (1,3;1,4)-β-glucan levels dropped and the (1,3;1,4;3,4)-β-glucan endo- and exohydrolases increased. A storage
function for (1,3;1,4)-β-glucans would be consistent with their high levels in Brachiaria graminea, which contains 42% (w/w) (1,3;1,4)-β-glucan and 6% (w/w) starch (Guillon et al., 2012). These values can be compared with the barley grain, which typically contains about 4% (w/w) (1,3;1,4)-β-glucan and 65% (w/w) starch (Tatham and Fincher, 2012). Overexpression of the barley CslF or CslH gene resulted in both increases in (1,3;1,4)-β-glucan content and a concomitant decrease in starch content in the grain of transgenic lines (Burton et al., 2010).

One can certainly rationalize the potential function of (1,3;1,4)-β-glucans as a store of metabolizable glucose in terms of the comparative enzymology of starch and (1,3;1,4)-β-glucan biosynthesis and mobilization. A single CslF or CslH enzyme is required for (1,3;1,4)-β-glucan synthesis in plants (Burton et al., 2006; Dublin et al., 2009), while multiple enzymes are needed for the synthesis of amylose and amylopectin in starch granules, where there is the added complication of moving enzymes to the plastid. Similarly, (1,3;1,4)-β-glucan endohydrolase and a β-glucan glucohydrolase (Hernando and Fincher, 2001), while starch degradation again requires a battery of hydrolytic enzymes for its complete conversion to glucose. Thus, the enzymology and cell biology of (1,3;1,4)-β-glucan synthesis and hydrolysis would appear to be simpler than for starch, although enzyme kinetics will obviously be an important factor and we cannot conclude at this stage whether or not (1,3;1,4)-β-glucans really function in the cellular energy balance in the grasses.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

In addressing the current challenges in plant cell wall biology, it must be acknowledged that some of these are likely to be met in a short time frame, especially with the interest of the biofuels industries and human health considerations driving our understanding forward at a very rapid rate. In this brief review we have highlighted areas where we believe there are fascinating discoveries to be made in the immediate future. We understand that the cellular and molecular mechanisms proposed for the assembly of (1,3;1,4)-β-glucans, which might also apply to other non-cellulosic wall polysaccharides, challenge the long-held views of how these polysaccharides are synthesized and where this process occurs in the cell. Just as challenging is to provide experimental evidence to support the proposed two-phase biosynthetic mechanism. Emerging evidence that hydrolytic enzymes somehow participate in wall polysaccharide synthesis is also an area that needs to be pursued. But as York and O’Neill (2008) commented, “our current rudimentary knowledge of the mechanisms of plant polysaccharide biosynthesis necessarily limits us to informed and modest conjecture.” We now have a number of new but testable hypotheses for the enzymic mechanism and cell biology of cell wall polysaccharide assembly in plants, and the answers to these questions will undoubtedly generate new challenges in the future.
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