Existence Results for Perturbed Fourth-order Kirchhoff Type Elliptic Problems with Singular Term
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ABSTRACT: Under appropriate growth conditions on the nonlinearity, the existence of multiple solutions for a perturbed nonlocal fourth-order Kirchhoff-type problem involving the Hardy term:

$$\Delta_2^p u - \left[ M \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx \right) \right]^{p-1} \Delta_p u - \mu \frac{|u|^{p-2} u}{|x|^2} = \lambda f(x,u),$$

is established. Our main tools are based on variational methods and some critical points theorems. We give some examples to illustrate the obtained results.
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1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to establish the existence of multiple solutions for the following perturbed nonlocal fourth-order problem of Kirchhoff-type under Navier boundary condition

$$\begin{cases}
\Delta_2^p u - \left[ M \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx \right) \right]^{p-1} \Delta_p u - \mu \frac{|u|^{p-2} u}{|x|^2} = \lambda f(x,u) & x \in \Omega, \\
u = \Delta u = 0 & x \in \partial \Omega,
\end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $\Delta_2^p u := \Delta(|\Delta u|^{p-2}\Delta u)$ and, $\Delta_p u := div(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)$ denote the p-biharmonic operator and the p-Laplacian operator, respectively, $1 < p < \frac{N}{2}$, $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$ is an open bounded domain containing the origin in $\mathbb{R}^N$, the boundary $\partial \Omega$ is smooth, and $M: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function such that there are two positive constants $m_0$ and $m_1$ with $m_0 \leq M(t) \leq m_1$ for all $t \geq 0$, and $\lambda > 0$, $\mu \geq 0$ and $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $L^1$-Carathéodory function.

Biharmonic equations can describe the static form change of a beam or the sport of a rigid body. For example, this type of equation furnishes a model for studying traveling wave in suspension bridges (see [21]). Due to this, many researchers have discussed the existence of at least one solution, or multiple solutions, or even infinitely many solutions for fourth-order boundary value problems by using lower and upper solution methods, Morse theory, the mountain-pass theorem, constrained minimization and concentration-compactness principle, fixed-point theorems and degree theory, and variational methods and critical point theory, and we refer the reader to [1, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 13, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 30, 34, 36] and references therein.

On the other hand, singular elliptic problems have been intensively studied in recent years, see for example, [3, 14, 15, 22, 28, 29, 31, 35, 37] and the references. Stationary problems involving singular nonlinearities, as well as the associated evolution equations, describe naturally several physical phenomena and applied economical models. For instance, nonlinear singular boundary value problems arise in the context...
of chemical heterogeneous catalysts and chemical catalyst kinetics, in the theory of heat conduction in electrically conducting materials, singular minimal surfaces, as well as in the study of non-Newtonian fluids and boundary layer phenomena for viscous fluids.

Recently, motivated by this large interest, the problem,

$$\begin{cases}
\Delta_p^2 u = \frac{|u|^{p-2}u}{|x|^p} + g(\lambda, x, u), & x \in \Omega, \\
u = \Delta u = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega,
\end{cases}$$

(1.2)

where $$g : [0, +\infty] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$$ is a suitable function, has been extensively investigated. For instance, Xie and Wang, in [35] proved that the problem (1.2) has infinitely many solutions with positive energy levels. Later, Xu and Bai [37] studied the infinitely many solutions for perturbed Kirchhoff type elliptic problems with Hardy potential

$$\begin{cases}
M(\int_\Omega |\Delta u|^p dx)\Delta_p^2 u - a \frac{|u|^{p-2}u}{|x|^{2p}} = \lambda f(x, u) + \mu g(x, u), & x \in \Omega, \\
u = \Delta u = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega.
\end{cases}$$

(1.3)

Li in [22] considered the fourth order elliptic problem with Navier boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases}
\Delta_p^2 u + \frac{|u|^{p-2}u}{|x|^{2p}} = \lambda f(x, u), & x \in \Omega, \\
u = \Delta u = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega,
\end{cases}$$

(1.4)

and proved that, the problem (1.4) admits at least two distinct solutions.

Our goal of this work is to show the existence three solutions and two solutions for the following p-harmonic equation:

$$\begin{cases}
\Delta_p^2 u - \left[M(\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p dx)\right]^{p-1} \Delta_p u - \mu \frac{|u|^{p-2}u}{|x|^{2p}} = \lambda f(x, u), & x \in \Omega, \\
u = \Delta u = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega,
\end{cases}$$

(1.5)

where $$\Omega$$ is bounded domain in $$\mathbb{R}^N (N \geq 5)$$ containing the origin and with smooth boundary $$\partial\Omega$$, $$1 < p < N/2$$, and $$f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$$ is a carathéodory function such that

$$(f_1) \quad |f(x, t)| \leq a_1 + a_2|t|^{q-1}, \quad \forall (x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R},$$

for some non-negative constants $$a_1$$, $$a_2$$ and $$q \in ]1, p^*[$$, where

$$p^* := \frac{pN}{N - 2p}$$

and

$$(M_1) \quad M : [0, +\infty[ \to \mathbb{R}$$ be a continuous function such that there are two positive constants $$m_0$$ and $$m_1$$ with

$$m_0 \leq M(t) \leq m_1 \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$ 

Recall that a function $$f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$$ is said carathéodory function, if

(C1) the function $$x \to f(x, y)$$ is measurable for every $$y \in \mathbb{R};$$

(C2) the function $$y \to f(x, y)$$ is continuous for a.e. $$x \in \Omega.$$

The plan of the paper is as follows: Section 2 contains some preliminary lemmas. In Section 3, using of three critical points theorems obtained in [6] which we recall in the next section (Theorems 2.4) we ensure the existence of at least three weak solutions for the problem (1.1). Finally Section 4 contains our main results and their proofs to obtain the existence of at least two weak solutions for the problem (1.1).
2. Preliminaries

Here and in the sequel, $X$ will denote the space $W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$. By the Hardy-Rellich inequality (see [11]), we know that

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^{2p}} dx \leq \frac{1}{H} \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^p dx,$$

where the best constant is

$$H = \left( \frac{(p-1)N(N-2p)}{p^2} \right)^p. \tag{2.2}$$

Obviously, for any $\mu \in [0, H)$,

$$(1 - \frac{\mu}{H}) \int_{\Omega} (|\Delta u|^p + |\nabla u|^p) dx \leq \int_{\Omega} (|\Delta u|^p + |\nabla u|^p - \mu \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^{2p}}) dx \leq \int_{\Omega} (|\Delta u|^p + |\nabla u|^p) dx.$$

In $W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$, for $\mu \in [0, H]$, we define

$$\|u\| = \left( \int_{\Omega} (|\Delta u|^p + |\nabla u|^p - \mu \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^{2p}}) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

this norm is equivalent to $\left( \int_{\Omega} (|\Delta u|^p + |\nabla u|^p) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$.

From now let us assume that $\mu \in [0, H]$. Moreover, set $p^* := \frac{pN}{N-2p}$. By the Sobolev embedding theorem there exists a positive constant $c$ such that

$$\|u\|_{L^{p^*}(\Omega)} \leq c \|u\| \ (\forall u \in X) \tag{2.3}$$

see, for instance, [33]. Fixing $q \in [1, p^*]$, again from the Sobolev embedding theorem, there exists a positive constant $c_q$ such that

$$\|u\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \leq c_q \|u\| \ (\forall u \in X) \tag{2.4}$$

and, in the particular, the embedding $X \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega)$ is compact.

Let us define the functionals $\Phi, \Psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\Phi(u) = \frac{1}{p} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^p dx + \tilde{M} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx \right) - \mu \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^{2p}} dx \right),$$

$$\Psi(u) = \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) dx, \tag{2.5}$$

where

$$\tilde{M}(t) = \int_0^t [M(s)]^{p-1} ds \quad t \geq 0,$$

and

$$F(x, u) = \int_0^u f(x, t) dt, \quad (x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}.$$ 

In this article, we assume that the following condition holds,

(M1) $M : [0, +\infty[ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous function. Add there are two positive constants $m_0, m_1$ such that

$$m_0 \leq M(t) \leq m_1, \quad \forall t \geq 0. \tag{2.6}$$
Then
\[ m_0^{p-1}t \leq \tilde{M}(t) \leq m_1^{p-1}t. \] (2.7)

Throughout the paper, denote
\[ M^- = \min\{1, m_0^{p-1}\} \]
and
\[ M^+ = \max\{1, m_1^{p-1}\}, \]
then
\[ \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^p dx + \tilde{M}(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx) - \mu \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^{2p}} dx \]
\[ \leq \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^p dx + m_1^{p-1} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx - \mu \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^{2p}} dx \]
\[ \leq M^+ \|u\|^p, \] (2.8)
and since, by (2.1), for any \( \mu \in [0, H] \)
\[ \int_{\Omega} \left( |\Delta u|^p - \mu \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^{2p}} \right) dx \geq 0, \]
we have
\[ \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^p dx + \tilde{M}(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx) - \mu \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^{2p}} dx \]
\[ \geq \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^p dx + m_0^{p-1} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx - \mu \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^{2p}} dx \]
\[ \geq M^- \|u\|^p. \] (2.9)

We need the following propositions in the proofs of Theorems. The proof of this propositions are similar to the proof in [2, Proposition 3.3 and Theorem 3.4].

**Proposition 2.1.** Let \( f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) be a \( L^1 \)-Carathéodory function satisfies condition (f_1). Then we have the following result:

1. \( \Psi \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R}) \) and for \( u, v \in X \), we have
   \[ \Psi'(u)(v) = \int_{\Omega} f(x, u(x))v(x)dx. \]

2. The operator \( \Psi' : X \to X^* \) is compact.

**Proof.**

(1) By condition (f_1), we have
\[ |F(x, u)| \leq a_1 |u| + \frac{a_2}{q} |u|^q. \]

Then the Nemytskii operator properties implies that \( \Psi \) is a \( C^1 \) operator in \( L^q(\Omega) \). Since there is a continuous embedding of \( X \) into \( L^q(\Omega) \), the function \( \Psi \) is also \( C^1 \) in \( X \) and
\[ \Psi'(u)(v) = \int_{\Omega} f(x, u(x))v(x)dx. \]

(2) It is enough to show that \( \Psi' \) is strongly continuous in \( X \). Let \( \{u_n\} \subset X \) be a sequence such that \( u_n \to u \). Since, the embedding of \( X \) into \( L^q(\Omega) \) is compact, there exists a subsequence, noted also \( \{u_n\} \), such that \( u_n \to u \) in \( L^q(\Omega) \). According to the Krasnoselski’s theorem, the Nemytskii operator
\[ N_f : L^q(\Omega) \to L^\frac{2q}{q-2p} \]
\[ u \mapsto f(\cdot, u) \]
is continuous. Hence, $N_f(u_n) \rightarrow N_f(u)$ in $L^\infty(\Omega)$. Then by Holder’s inequality and embedding of $X$ into $L^q(\Omega)$, we have

$$\left| (\Psi'(u_n) - \Psi'(u))v \right| = \int_\Omega (f(x,u_n) - f(x,u))v(x)dx \leq c_q \|N_f(u_n) - N_f(u)\| \frac{v}{\|v\|}.$$ 

Thus, $\Psi'(u_n) \rightarrow \Psi'(u)$ in $X^*$. This completes the proof. \hfill \Box

**Definition 2.2.** Let $X$ be a reflexive real Banach space. The operator $T: X \rightarrow X^*$ is said to satisfy the $(S_\infty)$ condition if the assumptions $\lim \sup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \langle T(u_n) - T(u_0), u_n - u_0 \rangle \leq 0$ and $u_n \rightarrow u_0$ in $X$ imply $u_n \rightarrow u_0$ in $X$.

**Proposition 2.3.** Let $\mu \in [0,H]$ and $T: X \rightarrow X^*$ be the operator defined by

$$T(u)v := \int_\Omega |\Delta u|^{p-2} \Delta u \nabla vdx + \left[ M \left( \int_\Omega |\nabla u|dx \right) \right]^{p-1} \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla vdx - \mu \int_\Omega \frac{|u|^{p-2}}{|x|^{2p}} uvdx,$$

for every $u, v \in X$. Then $T$ admits a continuous inverse on $X^*$.

**Proof.** since

$$T(u)u = \int_\Omega |\Delta u|^p dx + \left[ M \left( \int_\Omega |\nabla u|dx \right) \right]^{p-1} \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p dx - \mu \int_\Omega \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^{2p}} dx,$$

then $T$ is coercive. Consequently, thanks to a Minty-Browder theorem [38], the operator $T$ is surjection. For any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N$, we have the following elementary inequalities from which we can get the strictly monotonicity of $T$:

$$\langle |x|^{p-2}x - |y|^{p-2}y, x - y \rangle \geq \begin{cases} 
C_p |x - y|^p, & \text{if } p \geq 2 \\
C_p \frac{|x - y|^p}{|x|^{2p}+|y|^{2p}}, & \text{if } 1 < p < 2,
\end{cases} \quad (2.10)$$

where $\langle ., . \rangle$ denotes the usual inner product in $\mathbb{R}^N$, for every $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Indeed, for $1 < p < 2$, it is easy to see that

$$\langle T(u) - T(v), u - v \rangle \geq \int_\Omega (|\Delta u|^{p-2} \Delta u - |\Delta v|^{p-2} \Delta v)(\Delta u - \Delta v)dx + m_0^{p-1} \left[ \int_\Omega \frac{|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u - |\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v}{|x|^{2p}}(\nabla u - \nabla v)dx \right]

- \mu \int_\Omega \frac{|u|^{p-2}}{|x|^{2p}} - \frac{|v|^{p-2}}{|x|^{2p}} (u - v) dx

\geq C_p \left[ \frac{|\Delta u - \Delta v|^2}{(|\Delta u| + |\Delta v|)^{2-p}} \right] + m_0^{p-1} \frac{|\nabla u - \nabla v|^2}{(|\nabla u| + |\nabla v|)^{2-p}}

- \mu \frac{|u - v|^2}{|x|^{2(p(|u| + |v|)^{2-p})}} dx > 0,$$

and for $p \geq 2$, we also observe that

$$\langle T(u) - T(v), u - v \rangle \geq C_p \int_\Omega (|\Delta u - \Delta v|^p + m_0^{p-1}|\nabla u - \nabla v|^p - \mu \frac{|u - v|^p}{|x|^{2p}}) dx

\geq C_p M^- \|u - v\|^p > 0,$$
which means that $T$ is strictly monotone. Thus $T$ is injective and admits an inverse mapping, $T^{-1}$ is continuous. Indeed, let $\{f_n\}$ be a sequence of $X^*$ such that $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $X^*$. Let $u_n$ and $u$ in $X$ such that

$$T^{-1}(f_n) = u_n \quad \text{and} \quad T^{-1}(f) = u.$$ 

By the coercivity of $T$, the sequence $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in the reflexive space $X$. This means that there exist a subsequence that we call again $\{u_n\}$, such that $u_n \rightharpoonup \hat{u}$ in $X$ which implies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \langle T(u_n) - T(u), u_n - \hat{u} \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \langle f_n - f, u_n - \hat{u} \rangle = 0.$$

Now we prove that $T$ is a mapping of type $(S_+)$, it follows that

$$u_n \rightarrow \hat{u} \quad \text{in} \quad X. \quad (2.11)$$

Indeed let $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $X$ and $\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \langle T(u_n) - T(u), u_n - u \rangle \leq 0$. Since $T$ is strictly monotone, then

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \langle K'(u_n) - K'(u), u_n - u \rangle \leq 0,$$

where $K' : X \rightarrow X^*$ defined as

$$K(u) := \frac{1}{p} \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p dx \quad \forall u \in X,$$

and

$$\langle K'(u), v \rangle = \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla v dx,$$

for every $v \in X$. Then $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $X$ (see Theorem 3.1 of [12]). So, $T$ is a mapping of $(S_+)$ type. On the other hand since $T$ is the Fréchet derivative, it follows that $T$ is continuous, thus from (2.11) we have,

$$T(u_n) \rightarrow T(\hat{u}) = T(u) \quad \text{in} \quad X^*.$$

Hence, taking into account that $T$ is an injection, we have $u = \hat{u}$. This completes the proof. \qed

To prove our main result in section 3, we use a three critical point theorem of [6]. We recall it in a convenient form.

**Theorem 2.4 ([6, Theorem 2.6]).** Let $X$ be a reflexive real Banach space, $\Phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous, coercive and continuously Gateaux differentiable functional whose Gateaux derivative admits a continuous inverse on $X^*$, $\Psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuously Gateaux differentiable functional whose Gateaux derivative is compact, such that

$$\inf_{X \in X} \Phi(x) = \Phi(0) = \Psi(0) = 0.$$

Assume that there exist $r > 0$ and $\bar{x} \in X$, with $r < \Phi(\bar{x})$ such that

(i) $\sup_{\Phi(u) < r} \frac{\Phi(u)}{\Phi(\bar{x})} < \frac{\Psi(\bar{x})}{\Psi(\bar{x})}$,

(ii) for each $\lambda \in \Lambda_r := \left[\frac{\Psi(\bar{x})}{\sup_{\Phi(u) \leq r} \Phi(u)}\right]$ the functional $\Phi - \lambda \Psi$ is coercive.

Then, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda_r$ the functional $\Phi - \lambda \Psi$ has at least three distinct critical points in $X$.

Other toll is the following abstract result.

**Theorem 2.5 ([4, Theorem 3.2]).** Let $X$ be a real Banach space and let $\Phi, \Psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be two continuously Gateaux differentiable functionals such that $\Phi$ is bounded from below and $\Phi(0) = \Psi(0) = 0$. Fix $r > 0$ such that $\sup_{\Phi(u) < r} \Phi(u) < +\infty$ and assume that, for each $\lambda \in [0, \frac{r}{\sup_{\Phi(u) < r} \Phi(u)}]$, the functional $I_\lambda := \Phi - \lambda \Psi$ satisfies $(PS)$-condition and it is unbounded from below. Then, for each $\lambda \in [0, \frac{r}{\sup_{\Phi(u) < r} \Phi(u)}]$, the functional $I_\lambda$ admits two distinct critical points.
We say that a function \( u \in X \) is a (weak) solution of the problem (1.1) if
\[
\int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^{p-2} \Delta u \Delta v dx + \left[ M \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx \right) \right]^{p-1} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla v dx \\
- \mu \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p-2} |x|^{-\rho} u v dx - \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x, u) v dx = 0
\]
for every \( v \in X \).

3. Existence of three weak solutions

In this section, we formulate our main results on the existence of at least three weak solutions for the problem (1.1).

Fix \( x^0 \in \Omega \) and pick \( s > 0 \) such that \( B(x^0, s) \subset \Omega \) where \( B(x^0, s) \) denotes the ball with center at \( x^0 \) and radius of \( s \).

Put
\[
\theta_1 := \frac{2\pi}{\Gamma\left(\frac{N}{2}\right)} \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{s} \left[ \frac{12(N + 1)}{s^3} r - \frac{24N - 1}{s^2} \right]^{\frac{p-1}{2}} dr,
\]

\[
\theta_2 := \int_{B(x^0, s) \setminus B(x^0, \frac{s}{4})} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \frac{12f(x_i - x_i^0)}{s^3} - \frac{24(x_i - x_i^0)}{s^2} - \frac{9(x_i - x_i^0)}{s^2} \right)^2 \right] \frac{1}{x} dx,
\]

where \( \Gamma \) denotes the Gamma function, and
\[
L := \theta_1 + \theta_2. \quad (3.1)
\]

We present our first existence result as follows. We recall that \( c_q \) is the constant of the embedding \( W^{1,p}_0 \cap W^{2,p} \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega) \) for each \( q \in [p, p^*] \) and \( c_1 \) stands for \( c_q \) with \( q = 1 \).

**Theorem 3.1.** Suppose \((M_1)\) and \( \mu \in [0, H] \) hold (with \( H \) is as in (2.2)). Also let \( f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is a Carathéodory function, satisfying condition \((f_1)\). Moreover, assume that

1. \( f_2 \) there exist \( r > 0 \) and \( d > 0 \) with \( r < \frac{M}{p}d^{-\frac{1}{q+1}} \) such that
   \[
   \varpi_r := \frac{1}{r} \left\{ a_1 c_1 \left( \frac{pr}{M} \right)^\frac{q}{2} + a_2 c_1 \left( \frac{pr}{M} \right)^\frac{q}{2} \right\} < \frac{p}{p} \int_{\Omega \setminus B(x^0, \frac{s}{4})} F(x, d) dx \frac{1}{d^p M + L};
   \]
2. \( f_3 \) \( \int_{\Omega \setminus B(x^0, \frac{s}{4})} F(x, \zeta) dx \geq 0 \) for each \( \zeta \in [0, d] \);
3. \( f_4 \) there exist \( a \in [0, +\infty[ \) and \( \gamma \in (1, p) \) such that
   \[
   F(x, t) \leq a(1 + |t|^\gamma).
   \]

Then, for every \( \lambda \in \Lambda := \frac{d^p M + L}{d^p \int_{\Omega \setminus B(x^0, \frac{s}{4})} F(x, d) dx} \frac{1}{\varpi_r} \) the problem (1.1) possesses at least three weak solutions.

**Proof.** In order to apply Theorem 2.4 to our problem, We introduce the functionals \( \Phi, \Psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) for each \( u \in X \), as follows
\[
\Phi(u) = \frac{1}{p} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^p dx + \tilde{M} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx \right) - \mu \int_{\Omega} |u|^p \right) dx,
\]

and
\[
\Psi(u) = \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) dx,
\]
and we put 

\[ I_\lambda(u) = \Phi(u) - \lambda \Psi(u). \]

Now we show that the functionals \( \Phi \) and \( \Psi \) satisfy the required conditions. We easily observe that \( \Phi(0) = \Psi(0) = 0 \). By proposition 2.1 we know that \( \Psi \) is a differentiable functional whose differential at the point \( u \in X \) is the functional \( \Psi'(u) \in X^* \), given by

\[ \Psi'(u)(v) = \int \Omega f(x, u(x))v(x)dx \]

for every \( v \in X \), and \( \Psi' : X \to X^* \) is a compact operator. Moreover it is well known that \( \Psi \) is sequentially weakly upper semicontinuous, and \( \Phi \) is continuously differentiable whose differential at the point \( u \in X \) is \( \Phi'(u) \in X^* \), given by

\[ \Phi'(u)(v) = \int \Omega |\Delta u|^{p-2} \Delta u \Delta v dx + \left[ M \left( \int \Omega |\nabla u|^p dx \right) \right]^{p-1} \int \Omega |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla v dx \]

\[ - a \int \Omega \frac{|u|^{p-2}}{|x|^{2p}} uv dx, \quad (3.2) \]

for every \( v \in X \), while Proposition 2.3 gives that its Gâteaux derivative admits a continuous inverse on \( X^* \). Furthermore, \( \Phi \) is sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous.

Clearly, the weak solutions of the problem (1.1) are exactly the solutions of the equation \( \Phi'(u) - \lambda \Psi'(u) = 0 \). Now, let \( \bar{v} \in X \) defined by

\[ \bar{v}(x) = \begin{cases} 
0 & x \in \bar{\Omega} \setminus B(x^0, s) \\
\frac{d}{d} \left( \frac{4}{s^2} \left( \frac{s}{2} \right)^2 - \frac{12}{s^2} \left( \frac{s}{2} \right)^2 + 9 \right) & x \in B(x^0, s) \setminus B(x^0, \frac{s}{2}) \\
x \in B(x^0, \frac{s}{2}). 
\end{cases} \quad (3.3) \]

A direct calculation shows

\[ \frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial x_i} = \begin{cases} 
0 & x \in \bar{\Omega} \setminus B(x^0, s) \cup B(x^0, \frac{s}{2}) \\
\frac{12}{s^2} \left( \frac{s}{2} \right)^2 - \frac{24}{s^2} \left( \frac{s}{2} \right)^2 + 9 \frac{s}{2} & x \in B(x^0, s) \setminus B(x^0, \frac{s}{2}), 
\end{cases} \]

and

\[ \frac{\partial^2 \bar{v}}{\partial x^2_i} = \begin{cases} 
0 & x \in \bar{\Omega} \setminus B(x^0, s) \cup B(x^0, \frac{s}{2}) \\
\frac{12(N+1)}{s^2} - \frac{24}{s^2} + 9 \frac{(N+1)}{s^2} & x \in B(x^0, s) \setminus B(x^0, \frac{s}{2}), 
\end{cases} \]

and so that

\[ \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial^2 \bar{v}}{\partial x^2_i} = \begin{cases} 
0 & x \in \bar{\Omega} \setminus B(x^0, s) \cup B(x^0, \frac{s}{2}) \\
\frac{12(N+1)}{s^2} - \frac{24}{s^2} + 9 \frac{(N+1)}{s^2} & x \in B(x^0, s) \setminus B(x^0, \frac{s}{2}). 
\end{cases} \]

It is easy to see that

\[ \int \Omega |\Delta \bar{v}(x)|^p dx = \theta_1 d^p \quad \int \Omega |\nabla \bar{v}(x)|^p dx = \theta_2 d^p \quad (3.4) \]

Therefore

\[ \Phi(\bar{v}) = \frac{1}{p} \left( \int \Omega |\Delta \bar{v}|^p dx + \bar{M} \left( \int \Omega |\nabla \bar{v}|^p dx \right) - \mu \int \Omega \frac{|\bar{v}|^p}{|x|^{2p}} dx \right) \]

\[ \leq \frac{1}{p} \int \Omega |\Delta \bar{v}|^p dx + \frac{1}{p} \bar{M} \left( \int \Omega |\nabla \bar{v}|^p dx \right) \]

\[ = \frac{1}{p} \theta_1 d^p + \frac{1}{p} \bar{M} (\theta_2 d^p) \]

\[ \leq \frac{1}{p} (\theta_1 + m_1^{p-1} \theta_2) d^p \leq \frac{M^+}{p} L d^p, \quad (3.5) \]
and
\[
\Phi(\bar{v}) \geq \frac{1}{p} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\Delta \bar{v}|^p dx + m_0^{p-1} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \bar{v}|^p dx - \mu \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\bar{v}|^p}{|x|^{2p}} dx \right) \\
\geq M^{-} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\Delta \bar{v}|^p dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \bar{v}|^p dx - \mu \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\bar{v}|^p}{|x|^{2p}} dx \right) \\
\geq M^{-} \left( (1 - \frac{\mu}{H}) \theta_1 d^p + \theta_2 d^p \right) \geq \frac{M^{-}}{p} d^p L (1 - \frac{\mu}{H}). \tag{3.6}
\]

Due to \((f_3)\), one has that
\[
\Psi(\bar{v}) = \int_{\Omega} F(x, \bar{v}) dx \geq \int_{B(x^0, s^2)} F(x, \bar{v}) dx = \int_{B(x^0, \bar{v})} F(x, d) dx,
\]
so, thanks to \((3.5)\) we get
\[
\frac{\Psi(\bar{v})}{\Phi(\bar{v})} \geq p \frac{\int_{B(x^0, \bar{v})} F(x, d) dx}{M^+ L d^p}. \tag{3.7}
\]

From \(r < \frac{M^{-}}{p} d^p L (1 - \frac{\mu}{H})\), one has \(r < \Phi(\bar{v})\).

On the other hand, due to \((2.9)\), we get
\[
\|u\| < \left( \frac{pr}{M^{-}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \tag{3.8}
\]
for every \(u \in X\) and \(\Phi(u) < r\).

Now, from \((2.4)\) and by using \((3.8)\), one has
\[
\Psi(u) = \int_{\Omega} F(x, u(x)) dx \leq a_1 \|u\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + \frac{a_2}{q} \|u\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \\
< a_1 c_1 \left( \frac{pr}{M^{-}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} + \frac{a_2}{q} c_q \left( \frac{pr}{M^{-}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}},
\]
for every \(u \in X\) such that \(\Phi(u) < r\). Hence
\[
\sup_{\Phi(u) \leq r} \frac{\Psi(u)}{r} \leq \frac{1}{r} \left( a_1 c_1 \left( \frac{pr}{M^{-}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} + \frac{a_2}{q} c_q \left( \frac{pr}{M^{-}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right),
\]
and so condition (i) of Theorem 2.4 is verified.

Now we prove that \(I_\lambda\) is coercive. From \((2.4)\) one has
\[
\int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^\gamma dx \leq c_\gamma \|u\|^\gamma,
\]
and so, for each \(u \in X\) with \(\|u\| \geq \max\{1, \frac{1}{c_\gamma}\}\), from \((f_4)\) and \((2.9)\) we have
\[
I_\lambda(u) := \Phi(u) - \lambda \Psi(u) \\
\geq \frac{M^{-}}{p} \|u\|^p - \lambda c \{ \text{meas}(\Omega) + c_\gamma \|u\| \},
\]
where \(\text{meas}(\Omega)\) denotes the Lebesgue measure of the open set \(\Omega\). Since \(\gamma < p\), coercivity of \(I_\lambda\) is obtained. Then, taking into account the fact that the weak solutions of the problem \((1.1)\) are exactly critical points of the functional \(I_\lambda\), and
\[
\Lambda \subseteq \left[ \frac{\Phi(\bar{v})}{\Psi(\bar{v})}, \frac{r}{\sup_{\Phi(u) \leq r} \Psi(u)} \right],
\]
we have the desired conclusion. \(\square\)
Remark 3.2. We observe that, if \( f(x, 0) \neq 0 \), then by Theorem 3.1, we obtain the existence of at least three non-zero weak solutions.

Example 3.3. The following function verifies the assumptions requested in Theorem 3.1. Let \( r > 1 \) be a real number and \( 1 < \gamma < p < q < p^* \). We consider the function \( f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) defined as

\[
f(x, t) = \begin{cases} 
1 + \alpha(x)|t|^{q-1}, & x \in \Omega, t \leq r, \\
1 + \alpha(x)r^{q-\gamma}t^{\gamma-1}, & x \in \Omega, t > r,
\end{cases}
\]

where \( \alpha: \Omega \to \mathbb{R} \) is a Borel, bounded and positive function. condition \((f_1)\) is easily verified. Taking into account that

\[
F(x, t) = \begin{cases} 
\leq 0 & x \in \Omega, t \leq 0 \\
t + \alpha(x)\frac{|t|^q}{q} & x \in \Omega, 0 < t \leq r, \\
t + \alpha(x)(\frac{\alpha}{q} + \frac{\alpha^{q-\gamma}}{q^{\gamma-1}}t^{\gamma-1}) & x \in \Omega, t > r,
\end{cases}
\]

one has \( F(x, t) \geq 0 \) for each \((x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, +\infty[\) and \((f_3)\) is verified. Finally, we observe that

\[
F(x, t) = \begin{cases} 
\leq 0 & x \in \Omega, t \leq 0 \\
\leq r + \alpha(x)\frac{|t|^q}{q} & x \in \Omega, 0 < t \leq r, \\
\leq (r + \alpha(x)\frac{\alpha}{q})t^\gamma & x \in \Omega, t > r,
\end{cases}
\]

and since \( F(x, t) \leq (r + \alpha(x)\frac{\alpha}{q})(1 + |t|^\gamma) \) for each \((x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \), \((f_4)\) is verified.

4. Existence of two weak solutions

In this section, our goal is to obtain the existence of two distinct weak solutions for the problem (1.1).

Theorem 4.1. Suppose \((M_1)\) and \( \mu \in [0, H[ \) hold (with \( H \) is as in (2.2)). Also let \( f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) be a Carathéodory function such that \((f_1)\) holds. Moreover, assume that

\[(f_5)\] there exist \( \theta > p \) and \( t_0 > 0 \) such that

\[0 < \theta F(x, t) \leq tf(x, t),\]

for each \( x \in \Omega \) and \( |t| \geq t_0\).

\[(f_6)\] For every \( t > 0 \)

\[\tilde{M}(t) \geq t[M(t)]^{p-1}.
\]

Then, for each \( \lambda \in ]0, \lambda^*[, \) the problem (1.1) admits at least two distinct weak solutions, where

\[
\lambda^* = \frac{1}{a_1c_1\left(\frac{p}{M^*}\right)^{1/p} + a_2c_2d\left(\frac{p}{M^*}\right)^{q/p}}.
\]

Proof. Our aim is to apply Theorem 2.5 to problem (1.1) in the case \( r = 1 \) to the space \( X = W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap W^{2,p}(\Omega) \) and to the functional \( \Phi, \Psi : X \to \mathbb{R} \) defined in the proof of Theorem 3.1. First we prove that \( I_\lambda = \Phi - \lambda\Psi \) satisfies (PS)-condition for every \( \lambda > 0 \). Namely, we will prove that any sequence \( \{u_n\} \subset X \) satisfying

\[
I_\lambda(u_n) \to c, \quad \text{and} \quad I_\lambda'(u_n) \to 0,
\]

contains a convergent subsequence. Due to (4.1), we can actually assume there is a constant \( C \) such that

\[
|I_\lambda(u_n)| \leq C \quad \text{and} \quad |I'_\lambda(u_n)| \leq C\|u_n\|, \quad \text{in} \ X
\]
for every $n$. By (4.2) we can write
\[ C + \frac{1}{\theta}o(1)\|u_n\| \geq I_\lambda(u_n) - \frac{1}{\theta}I'_\lambda(u_n)u_n \]
\[ = \frac{1}{p} \left( \int_\Omega |\Delta u_n|^p dx + M \left( \int_\Omega |\nabla u_n|^p dx \right) \right) - \frac{1}{\theta} \left( \mu \int_\Omega \frac{|u_n|^p}{|x|^{2p}} dx \right) \]
\[ - \frac{1}{\theta} \left( \int_\Omega |\Delta u_n|^p dx + \left[ M \left( \int_\Omega |\nabla u_n|^p dx \right) \right]^{p-1} \int_\Omega |\nabla u_n|^p dx \right) \]
\[ - \mu \int_\Omega \frac{|u_n|^p}{|x|^{2p}} dx - \lambda \int_\Omega f(x, u_n)dx + \frac{\lambda}{\theta} \int_\Omega f(x, u_n)u_n dx \]
\[ \geq \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\theta} \right) \int_\Omega |\Delta u_n|^p dx + \left[ M \left( \int_\Omega |\nabla u_n|^p dx \right) \right]^{p-1} \int_\Omega |\nabla u_n|^p dx \]
\[ + \lambda \int_{\{x \in \Omega : |u_n| \leq t_0\}} \frac{1}{\theta} f(x, u_n)u_n - F(x, u_n) \right) dx \]
\[ + \lambda \int_{\{x \in \Omega : |u_n| > t_0\}} \frac{1}{\theta} f(x, u_n)u_n - F(x, u_n) \right) dx \]
\[ \geq \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\theta} \right) \|u_n\|^p M^\lambda - C_1. \]

Which of course implies that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $X$. By the Eberlian-Smulyan theorem, passing to a subsequence if necessary, we can assume that $u_n \to u$ in $X$ and $u_n \to u$ in $L^q(\Omega)$, so $\langle I'_\lambda(u_n), u_n - u \rangle \to 0$. By (f1), we have
\[ \int_\Omega |f(x, u_n)(u_n - u)| dx \leq \left( a_1 \text{meas}(\Omega) + a_2 \|u_n\|^{q-1}_{L^{q-1}(\Omega)} \right) \|u_n - u\|_{L^q(\Omega)}, \]

since $u_n \to u$ in $L^q(\Omega)$, we have
\[ \lim_{n \to +\infty} \int_\Omega |f(x, u_n)| |u_n - u| dx = 0. \]

But
\[ \langle \Phi'(u_n), u_n - u \rangle = \langle I'_\lambda(u_n), u_n - u \rangle + \lambda \int_\Omega f(x, u_n)(u_n - u) dx, \]

hence,
\[ \limsup_{n \to +\infty} \langle \Phi'(u_n), u_n - u \rangle \leq 0. \]

Since $\Phi$ verifies $(S_\lambda)$ condition, we have $u_n \to u$ in $X$ and so $I_\lambda$ satisfies $(PS)$-condition. From (f5), by standard computations, there is a positive constant $C$ such that
\[ F(x, t) \geq C|t|^\theta \quad (4.3) \]
for all $x \in \Omega$ and $|t| > t_0$. In fact, setting $a(x) := \min_{|\zeta| = t_0} F(x, \zeta)$ and
\[ \varphi_t(s) := F(x, st), \quad \forall s > 0, \quad (4.4) \]

by (f5), for every $x \in \Omega$ and $|t| > t_0$ one has
\[ 0 < \theta \varphi_t(s) = \theta F(x, st) \leq sf(x, st) = s \varphi_t'(s), \quad \forall s > \frac{t_0}{|t|}. \]

Therefore,
\[ \int_{t_0/|t|}^1 \frac{\varphi_t'(s)}{s} ds \geq \int_{t_0/|t|}^1 \frac{\theta}{s} ds. \]
Then
\[ \varphi_t(1) \geq \varphi_t \left( \frac{t_0}{|t|^p} \right) \frac{|t|^q}{t_0^q}. \]

Taking into account of (4.4), we obtain
\[ F(x, t) \geq F \left( x, \frac{t_0}{|t|^p} \right) \frac{|t|^q}{t_0^q} \geq a(x) \frac{|t|^q}{t_0^q} \geq C|t|^q, \]
where \( C > 0 \) is a constant. Thus (4.3) is proved. Fixed \( u_0 \in X \setminus \{0\} \), for each \( t > 1 \) one has
\[ I_\lambda(tu_0) = \Phi(tu_0) - \lambda \Psi(tu_0) \leq \frac{M^+}{p} t^p \|u_0\|^p - \lambda C t^q \int_\Omega |u_0|^q dx. \]

Since \( \theta > p \), this condition guarantees that \( I_\lambda \) is unbounded from below. Fixed \( \lambda \in ]0, \lambda^*[ \), from (2.9) it follows that
\[ \| u \| \leq \left( \frac{M^-}{p} \right)^{1/p} \] for each \( u \in X \) such that \( u \in \Phi^{-1}([1, \infty]) \). Moreover, the compact embedding \( X \hookrightarrow L^1(\Omega) \), (f1), (4.5) and the compact embedding \( X \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega) \) imply that, for each \( u \in \Phi^{-1}([1, \infty]) \) we have
\[ \Psi(u) \leq a_1 \| u \|_{L^1(\Omega)} + \frac{a_2}{q} \| u \|^q_{L^q(\Omega)} \leq a_1 c_1 \| u \| + a_2 c_2 \| u \|^q \]
\[ < a_1 c_1 \left( \frac{p}{M^-} \right)^{1/p} + a_2 c_2 \left( \frac{p}{M^-} \right)^{q/p}, \]
and so,
\[ \sup_{\Phi(u) \leq 1} \Psi(u) \leq a_1 c_1 \left( \frac{p}{M^-} \right)^{1/p} + a_2 c_2 \left( \frac{p}{M^-} \right)^{q/p} = \frac{1}{\lambda^*} < \frac{1}{\lambda}. \]

From (4.6) one has
\[ \lambda \in ]0, \lambda^*[ \subset \left[ a, \frac{1}{\sup_{\Phi(u) \leq 1} \Psi(u)} \right]. \]

So all hypotheses of theorem 4.1 are verified. Therefore, for each \( \lambda \in ]0, \lambda^*[ \), the functional \( I_\lambda \) admits two distinct critical points that are weak solutions of problem (1.1). \( \square \)

**Example 4.2.** We consider the function \( f \) defined by
\[ f(x, u) = \begin{cases} u^{q-1}, & x \in \Omega, u \geq 0, \\ -(-u)^{q-1}, & x \in \Omega, u < 0, \end{cases} \]
for each \((x, u) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}, \) where \( 1 < p < q < p^*. \) We prove that \( f \) verifies the assumption requested in Theorem 4.1. Condition (f1) is easily verified. We observe that
\[ f(x, u)u - \theta F(x, u) = |u|^q - \frac{\theta}{q} |u|^q = (1 - \frac{\theta}{q}) |u|^q, \]
for each \((x, u) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}. \) Thus for every \( \theta \) such that \( p < \theta < q \) Condition (f3) is verified too.

Now we consider the following special case of problem (1.1):
\[ \begin{cases} \Delta(\Delta u) - \left[ a + b e^{-\left( \int_0^1 |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)} \right] \Delta u - \mu \frac{u}{|x|^\alpha} = \lambda f(x, u), & x \in \Omega, \\ u = \Delta u = 0, & x \in \partial \Omega, \end{cases} \]

\[ \left( a + b e^{-\left( \int_0^1 |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)} \right) \Delta u - \mu \frac{u}{|x|^\alpha} = \lambda f(x, u), \]
where $\Omega$ is a bounded smooth domain of $\mathbb{R}^N$, $N > 4$, $0 < \mu < \frac{(N(N-4))}{4}^2$, $a$ and $b$ are positive constants. Set
\[ M(t) = a + bt^2 \quad t \geq 0, \]
then
\[ a \leq M(t) \leq a + b, \]
and
\[ \tilde{M}(t) - tM(t) = b(1 - e^{-t} - te^{-t}). \]
Let $g(t) = -(e^{-t} + te^{-t})$, then $g(0) = -1$ and $g'(t) = te^{-t} \geq 0$ for all $t \geq 0$. Thus for all $t \geq 0$ we have
\[ \tilde{M}(t) \geq tM(t). \]
Hence the condition (f6) is satisfied. In view of Theorem 4.1, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 4.3.** Assume $f(x, u)$ satisfies (f1) and (f5), Then, for each $\lambda \in [0, \lambda^*[$, the problem (4.8) admits at least two distinct weak solutions, where
\[ \lambda^* = \frac{1}{a_1c_1 \sqrt{\frac{2}{\min(1,a)}} + a_2 c_2^{\frac{q}{q}}} \]

**Example 4.4.** Let $\Omega$ is a bounded smooth domain of $\mathbb{R}^N$, $4 < N < 8$, $0 < \mu < \frac{(N(N-4))}{4}^2$, $a$ and $b$ are positive constants. Then the problem
\[
\begin{cases}
\Delta(\Delta u) - [a + be^{-\left(\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx\right)}]\Delta u - \mu \frac{u}{|x|^{N-4}} = \lambda(1 + u^3) & x \in \Omega, \\
u = \Delta u = 0 & x \in \partial\Omega,
\end{cases}
\]
(4.9)
Then, for each $\lambda \in [0, \lambda^*[$, the problem (4.9) admits at least two distinct weak solutions, where $\lambda^*$ introduced in the statement of Corollary 4.3.

In fact, if $N < 8$, then $p^* = \frac{2N}{N-4} > 4$. Hence (f1) is satisfied, and for (f2) we have
\[ F(u) = \int_0^u (1 + t^3)dt = u + \frac{1}{4}u^4. \]
If we put $\theta = 3$ and $t_0 = 2$ then (f5) is satisfied too.

**References**

1. C.O. Alves, F.J.S.A. Correa, T.F. Ma, *Positive solutions for quasilinear elliptic equation of Kirchhoff type*. Comput. Math. Appl., 49, 85-93, (2005).
2. A. Amrous, F. Moradi, M. Moussaoudi, *Existence of solutions for fourth-order pdes with variable exponents*. E. J. D. E. No. 153, pp. 1-13, (2009).
3. G. Autuori, F. Colasuonno and P. Pucci, *On the existence of stationary solutions for higher-order p-Kirchhoff problems*. Commun. Contemp. Math, 16, 1450002 [43 pages] (2014).
4. G. Bonanno, *Relations between the mountain pass theorem and local minima*. Adv. Nonlinear Anal, 1, 205-220, (2012).
5. G. Bonanno, P. Candito, *Non-differentiable functionals and applications to elliptic problems with discontinuous non-linearities*. J. Differ. Equ. 244, 3031-3059, (2008).
6. G. Bonanno, S.A. Marano, *On the structure of the critical set of non-differentiable functionals with a weak compactness condition*. Appl. Anal. 89, 1-10, (2010).
7. A. Cabada, J.A. Cid, L. Sanchez, *Positivity and lower and upper solutions for fourth-order boundary value problems*. Nonlinear Anal. TMA, 67, 1599-1612, (2007).
8. P. Candito and R. Livrea, *Infinitely many solutions for a nonlinear Navier boundary value problem involving the p-biharmonic*. Studia Univ. "Babeş-Bolyai", Mathematica, Volume LV, Number 4, December 2010.
9. P. Candito and G. Molica Bisci, *Multiple solutions for a Navier boundary value problem involving the p-biharmonic operator*. Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems Series, (4), 741-751, (2012).
10. P. Candito, L. Li and R. Livrea, *Infinitely many solutions for a perturbed nonlinear Navier boundary value problem involving the p-biharmonic*. Nonlinear Anal. TMA, 75, 6360-6369, (2012).

11. E.B. Davies, A. M. Hinz, *Explicit constants for Rellich inequalities in $L_p(\Omega)$*. Math. Z, 227, 511–523, (1998).

12. X. Fan, Q. Zhang, *Existence of solutions for $p(x)$-Laplacian Dirichlet problem*. Nonlinear Anal, 52, 1843–1852, (2003).

13. M. Ferrara, S. Khademloo and S. Heidarkhani, *Multiplicity results for perturbed fourth-order Kirchhoff type elliptic problems*. Appl. Math. Comput, 234, 316-325, (2014).

14. M. Ghergu and V. Radulescu, *Singular elliptic problems*. Bifurcation and Asymptotic Analysis Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and Its Applications, vol. 37, Oxford Univ. Press, (2008).

15. M. Ghergu and V. Radulescu, *Sublinear Singular elliptic problems with tow parameters*. J. Differ. Equ, 195, 520-536, (2003).

16. J.R. Graef, S. Heidarkhani, L. Kong, *Multiple solutions for a class of $(p_1,\ldots,p_n)$-biharmonic systems*. Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. (CPAA) 12, 1393-1406, (2013).

17. S. Heidarkhani, M. Ferrara, A. Salari and G. Caristi, *Multiplicity results for $p(x)$-biharmonic equations with Navier boundary conditions*. Complex Var. Elliptic Equ, 61, 1494–1516, (2016).

18. S. Heidarkhani, S. Khademloo and A. Solimannia, *Multiple solutions for a perturbed fourth-order Kirchhoff type elliptic problem*. Portugal. Math. (N.S.), 71, Fasc. 1, 39-61, (2014).

19. S. Heidarkhani, Y. Tian, C.-L. Tang, *Existence of three solutions for a class of $(p_1,\ldots,p_n)$-biharmonic systems with Navier boundary conditions*. Ann. Polon. Math, 104, 261-277, (2012).

20. L. Kong, *On a fourth order elliptic problem with $(p(x))$-biharmonic operator*. Appl. Math. Lett, 27, 21–25, (2014).

21. A.C. Lazer and P.J. McKenna, *Large amplitude periodic oscillations in suspension bridges: Some new connections with nonlinear analysis*. SIAM Rev, 32, 537-578, (1990).

22. L. Li, *Two weak solutions for some singular fourth order elliptic problems*, Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ, 1, 1-9, (2016).

23. L. Li and C.-L. Tang, *Existence of three solutions for $(p,q)$-biharmonic systems*, Nonlinear Anal. TMA, 73, 796–805, (2010).

24. C. Li, C.L. Tang, *Three solutions for a Navier boundary value problem involving the $p$-biharmonic*. Nonlinear Anal. TMA, 72, 1339-1347, (2010).

25. L. Liu and C. Chen, *Infinitely many solutions for $p$-biharmonic equation with general potential and concave-convex nonlinearity in $\mathbb{R}^N$*. Bound. Value Probl, 1, 1-9, (2016).

26. H. Liu and N. Su, *Existence of three solutions for a $p$-biharmonic problem*. Dyn. Contin. Discrete Impuls. Syst. Ser. A Math. Anal, 15(3), 445-452, (2008).

27. X. Mingqi, G. Molica Bisci, G.Tian and B. Zhang, *Infinitely many solutions for the stationary Kirchhoff problems involving the fractional $p$-Laplacian*. Nonlinearity, 29, 357-374, (2016).

28. G. Molica Bisci and V. Rădulescu, *Applications of local linking to nonlocal Neumann problems*. Commun. Contemp. Math, 17, 1450001 [17 pages], (2015).

29. G. Molica Bisci and V. Rădulescu, *Mountain pass solutions for nonlocal equations*. Annales Academiae Scientiarum Fennicae Mathematica, 39, 579-592, (2014).

30. G. Molica Bisci and D. Repovš, *Multiple solutions of $p$-biharmonic equations with Navier boundary conditions*. Complex Vari. Elliptic Equ, 59, 271-284, (2014).

31. V. Rădulescu, *Combined effects in nonlinear Singular elliptic problems with convection*. Rev. Roum. Math. Pures Appl, 53, 543-553, (2008).

32. B. Ricceri, *On an elliptic Kirchhoff-type problem depending on two parameters*. J. Global Optim, 46, 543-549, (2010).

33. G. Talenti, *Elliptic equations and rearrangements*. Ann. Sc. Norm. Super Pisa Cl. Sci, 3, 697-718, (1976).

34. Y. Wang and Y. Shen, *Nonlinear biharmonic equations with Hardy potential and critical parameter*. J. Math. Anal. Appl, 355, 649-660, (2009).

35. H. Xie and J. Wang, *Infinitely many solutions for p-harmonic equation with singular term*. J. Inequal. Appl, 2013, No9, 13pp, (2013).

36. Z. Xiu, L. Zhao, J. Chen and S. Li, *Multiple solutions on a $p$-biharmonic equation with nonlocal term*. Bound. Value Probl, 1, 154-164, (2016).

37. M. Xu and C. Bai, *Existence of infinitely many solutions for perturbed Kirchhoff type elliptic problems with Hardy potential*. Electron. J. Differ. Equ, Vol. 2015, No. 268, pp. 1-9, (2015).

38. E. Zeidler, *Nonlinear functional analysis and its applications*. Vol. II, III. Berlin-Heidelberg- New York 1985.
Ahmad Ghazvehi and Ghasem A. Afrouzi,
Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Mathematical Sciences,
University of Mazandaran,
Babolsar, Iran.
E-mail address: aghazvehi@yahoo.com
E-mail address: afruzi@umz.ac.ir (Corresponding author)