Inhibitory interneurons distribute widely across the mouse thalamus and form ontogenetic spatial clusters
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Abstract

The proportion and distribution of local inhibitory neurons (interneurons) in the thalamus varies widely across mammals. This is reflected in the structure of thalamic local circuits, which is more complex in primates compared to smaller-brained mammals like rodents. An increase in the number of thalamic interneurons could arise from addition of novel interneuron types or from elaboration of a plesiomorphic ontogenetic program, common to all mammals. The former has been proposed for the human brain, with migration of interneurons from the ventral telencephalon into higher order thalamus as one of its unique features (Letinic and Rakic, 2001).

Here, we identify a larger than expected complexity and distribution of interneurons across the mouse thalamus. All thalamic interneurons can be traced back to two developmental programs: one specified in the midbrain and the other in the forebrain. Interneurons migrate to functionally distinct thalamic nuclei, where the midbrain-derived cells populate the sensory thalamus, and forebrain-generated interneurons only the higher order regions. The latter interneuron type may be homologous to the one previously considered to be human-specific, while we also observe that markers for the midbrain-born class are abundantly expressed in the primate thalamus. These data therefore point to a shared ontogenetic organization of thalamic interneurons across mammals.

Introduction

The thalamus is a forebrain structure that develops from the diencephalic prosomere 2 (p2) (Puelles and Rubenstein, 2003; Shi et al., 2017; Wong et al., 2018) and is primarily composed of cortically projecting excitatory thalamocortical (TC) neurons, divided into more than 30 individual nuclei in mammals (Jones, 2007; Clascá, Rubio-Garrido and Jabaudon, 2012; Hunnicutt et al., 2014). The function of the thalamus has been historically described as relay of sensory information to the cortex (Hubel and Wiesel, 1962; van der Loos and
Woolsey, 1973; Shatz, 1996; Sherman and Guillery, 2002; Cheong et al., 2013; Piscopo et al., 2013; Zeater et al., 2015). Taking into account the diversity of input and output features of thalamocortical circuits (Herkenham, 1980; Guillery, 1995; Rubio-Garrido et al., 2009; Clascá et al., 2012; Sherman, 2016), more recent work has shown that the thalamus is also critically involved in cognitive processes allowing for behavioural flexibility (Saalmann and Kastner, 2011; Groh et al., 2014; Ling, Pratte and Tong, 2015; Sherman, 2016; Bolkan et al., 2017; Guo et al., 2017; Schmitt et al., 2017; Rikhye, Gilra and Halassa, 2018; Rikhye, Wimmer and Halassa, 2018).

In contrast to cortical networks, excitatory neurons in the thalamus do not connect with each other (Jones, 2007; Bickford et al., 2008; Hirsch et al., 2015; Rikhye et al., 2018b). Instead, local connections and computations within thalamocortical circuits are dominated by the resident inhibitory, GABA-releasing neurons (interneurons) (Pasik, Pasik and Hamori, 1976; Montero, 1987; Sherman, 2004; Hirsch et al., 2015).

Interneuron numbers and distribution vary widely across species, suggesting that they are critically involved in the evolution of thalamocortical structure and function (Arcelli et al., 1997; Letinic and Rakic, 2001; Rikhye et al., 2018b). In particular, comparative studies across all amniotes (reptiles, birds and mammals) have described a correlation between the proportion of interneurons and the size and connectivity of the excitatory thalamus (Arcelli et al., 1997; Butler, 2008).

For example, in the reptilian thalamus, which is mostly devoid of descending projections from the cortex, interneurons have only been identified in the retinorecipient regions (Rio et al., 1992; Pritz and Stritzel, 1994; Kenigfest et al., 1995, 1998; Butler, 2008). In birds however, where reciprocal connections between the thalamus and the cortex are more abundant, thalamic interneurons are distributed more widely (Granda and Crossland, 1989; Veenman and Reiner, 1994; Butler, 2008).

Similarly among mammals, interneurons are largely restricted to the visual thalamus in smaller-brained marsupials, bats and mice, where they represent only 6% of the total neuronal population (Butler, 2008; Evangelio, García-Amado and Clascá, 2018; Seabrook et al., 2013b). In primates, on the other hand, where higher order (HO) nuclei driven by cortical inputs are expanded relative to sensory relay (first order, FO) regions (Armstrong, 1979; Stephan, Frahm and Baron, 1981; Butler, 2008; Baldwin, Balaram and Kaas, 2017; Halley and Krubitzer, 2019), interneurons are present across the entire thalamus and their proportion increases to around 30% (Braak and Bachmann, 1985; Arcelli et al., 1997).

How could these differences arise as part of species-specific ontogenesis of thalamic interneurons? We have previously shown that in the mouse, interneurons in the FO visual thalamus, the dorsal lateral geniculate nucleus (dLGN), originate in the midbrain from an
En1⁺Gata2⁺Otx2⁺Sox14⁺ lineage (Jager et al., 2016). On the other hand, earlier work in humans has suggested the Dlx1/2-expressing ganglionic eminences (GE) in the telencephalon as the source of interneurons for the HO thalamic nuclei - the mediodorsal nucleus and the pulvinar (Rakić and Sidman, 1969; Letinić and Kostović, 1997; Letinic and Rakic, 2001). At the same time, the latter studies were not able to detect any such migration from the GE in the mouse and macaque brain (Letinic and Rakic, 2001). Altogether these findings therefore suggest that innovation in developmental origin underlies the evolution of thalamic interneurons, concomitantly with an expanded cortical input to the thalamus. However, because each study considered different thalamic nuclei, and due to technical limitations, the possibility of evolutionary conservation of thalamic interneuron classes remains.

Here we hypothesised that in fact all mammals share a conserved developmental organization of thalamic interneurons, which becomes increasingly more elaborated in larger-brained species. This prediction is supported by findings from the cortex demonstrating that its interneuron classes, generated in the subpallium and defined through expression of regulatory programs (i.e. transcription factors), are common to the amniote lineages (Métin et al., 2007; Tasic et al., 2018; Tosches et al., 2018; Arendt et al., 2019). Moreover, a conserved subpallial origin was demonstrated for cortical interneurons in the cyclostome hagfish, and therefore appears to be an ancestral feature of the vertebrate brain (Sugahara et al., 2016, 2017).

Using mouse genetics together with in situ methods and spatial modeling, we investigated the distribution, transcription factor (TF) expression and ontogeny of thalamic GABAergic interneurons comprehensively across the mouse thalamocortical nuclei. These experiments identify a wider distribution of GABAergic interneurons than previously reported (Arcelli et al., 1997; Seabrook et al., 2013b; Evangelio et al., 2018), encompassing both FO sensory relay and HO thalamic nuclei. We then show that while the largest proportion of thalamic interneurons in the mouse is generated in the En1⁺Sox14⁺ embryonic midbrain, there is an additional class that derives from the Dlx5⁺ inhibitory progenitor domains in the forebrain, potentially homologous to the one identified in humans. Intriguingly, we also find that interneurons are organized in a spatial pattern according to their ontogeny, such that midbrain-born interneurons are largely found in the sensory relay nuclei, while the forebrain-generated interneurons reside exclusively in the HO thalamus. Finally, we examined the evidence for midbrain-generated interneurons in the primate (marmoset) thalamus, and observed an abundant expression of corresponding marker genes.
Results

GABAergic cells are widely distributed across the mouse thalamus, with Sox14 expression distinguishing between two spatially clustered classes

To investigate spatial and molecular diversity of interneurons comprehensively across all thalamic (thalamocortical, TC) regions in the mouse, we searched for markers by leveraging publicly available single-cell RNA sequencing data resources, and used mouse genetics together with in situ hybridization to anatomically map their expression.

In the mouse thalamus, GABAergic interneurons are most abundant in the dLGN (Arcelli et al., 1997; Evangelio et al., 2018). Both the Allen Brain Atlas (© 2015 Allen Institute for Brain Science. Allen Cell Types Database. Available from: celltypes.brain-map.org) and DropViz resources (Available from: dropviz.org; Saunders et al., 2018) identify a transcriptional cluster corresponding to mouse dLGN interneurons, and from this Sox14 as one of transcription factor genes expressed selectively in the interneurons within the nucleus, confirming our previous findings (Jager et al., 2016). Sox14 is expressed upon cell-cycle exit within inhibitory lineages in the diencephalon, midbrain, hindbrain and spinal cord, but not in the telencephalon (Delogu et al., 2012; Achim et al., 2013; Prekop et al., 2018; Guo and Li, 2019). We focused on a developmentally expressed transcriptional regulator with the assumption that cells of the same class/family would implement the same differentiation program (Deneris and Hobert, 2014; Tosches et al., 2018; Arendt et al., 2019).

We then mapped the spatial distribution of Sox14+ cells within all TC regions using the Sox14GFP/+ line (Crone et al., 2008), and compared it to that of the entire GABAergic population, labelled with in situ hybridization for Gad1 (Fig. 1A-C). Experiments were done at postnatal day (P)14, by which time point mouse TC nuclei are considered to display adult-like circuit composition (Bickford et al., 2010; Golding et al., 2014; Seabrook et al., 2013a,b, 2017; Thompson et al., 2016).

In addition to the dLGN, Sox14+ cells distributed across the LP, VP, PO and in very small numbers in the MG complex (Fig. 1A,C,D). In these nuclei all Sox14+ cells had a GABAergic profile and co-expressed Gad1 (100%, n=3 brains). In the dLGN, VP and MG (i.e. FO sensory relay nuclei) they also represented virtually all GABAergic cells (≥98%, pie charts in Fig. 1D).

Unexpectedly however, 22.1±4.0% of the total GABAergic population in TC regions did not express Sox14 (Fig. 1B,C; 3Bii), and these cells appeared spatially largely non-overlapping with the Sox14+ class (Fig. 1C,D). In particular, we observed the largest proportion of Sox14+ Gad1+ cells in the MD (29.6±4.5%), LD (19.4±3.1%) and LP (13.2±1.2%), and in smaller numbers in the CL, PO, VAL and VM (Fig. 1B,C,D).
Figure 1. Diversity and distribution of GABAergic cells in the mouse thalamocortical nuclei. A. (i) Representative coronal section of P14 Sox14\(^{GFP/+}\) thalamus with Sox14\(^+\) cells in the dLGN, VP, LP and PO. (ii) Sox14\(^+\) cells in TC regions co-express Gad1\(^+\), but not all Gad1\(^+\) cells co-express Sox14 in the LP and PO. Filled arrows mark Sox14\(^+\)Gad1\(^+\) and empty arrows Sox14\(^-\)Gad1\(^+\) cells. Scale bars, 100 µm. B. (i) Representative rostral coronal section of P14 Sox14\(^{GFP/+}\) thalamus with Gad1\(^+\) cells in the MD, CL and LD, and containing no Sox14\(^+\) cells. (ii) Gad1\(^+\) cells in these nuclei do not co-express Sox14. Scale bars, 100 µm. C. 3D reconstruction of a representative P14 Sox14\(^{GFP/+}\) thalamus from tracing every tenth 20µm-thick coronal section, displayed as a z-projection and showing distribution of Sox14\(^{Gad1^+}\) (yellow) and Sox14\(^{Gad1^-}\) cells (red). One dot represents one neuron. D. Distribution of Sox14\(^{Gad1^+}\) and Sox14\(^{Gad1^-}\) cells across TC nuclei in the Sox14\(^{GFP/+}\) brains at P14, plotted as proportion of all the cells within each interneuron group (mean±SE; n= 3 brains). Sox14\(^{Gad1^+}\) and Sox14\(^{Gad1^-}\) populations have distinct distributions (p~0, Chi-squared test). Pie charts show the proportion (mean±SE) of the two interneuron classes within each nucleus.

To quantitatively demonstrate spatial clustering of these two putative thalamic interneuron classes (Sox14\(^{Gad1^+}\) and Sox14\(^{Gad1^-}\)), we calculated the nearest neighbour distances (NND) from 3D reconstructions of their respective distributions in the Sox14\(^{GFP/+}\) thalamus (Fig. 1C; 2A). Indeed, the cumulative distribution of NNDs was significantly shifted to smaller distances within each of the classes than between them (p<1.4\times10^{-30}, 2-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, n=3 brains; Fig. 2A).

To characterise spatial organization of thalamic GABAergic interneurons in an unbiased way, we then applied machine learning (k-Means clustering) to these same 3D
reconstructions of the Sox14\textsuperscript{GFP/+} thalami (Fig. 1C; 2B,C). The data best fit two spatial clusters, as assessed from the silhouette score (Fig. 2Bii,C; see also Materials and Methods). Consistent with the NND analysis, one cluster corresponded to the Sox14\textsuperscript{*} cells (contains 94.9±1.4\% of all Sox14\textsuperscript{*} cells), and the other to the Sox14\textsuperscript{*} interneurons (contains 81.0±0.3\% of all Sox14\textsuperscript{*} cells; Fig. 2B,D). The two thalamic molecular GABAergic groups therefore occupy their own respective spatial clusters, with the Sox14\textsuperscript{*} cells located more rostrally and medially compared to the Sox14\textsuperscript{*} interneurons.

Figure 2. Spatial organization of thalamic GABAergic cells. A. Normalised nearest neighbour distance (NND) for Sox14\textsuperscript{*}Gad1\textsuperscript{+} and Sox14\textsuperscript{*}Gad1\textsuperscript{+} populations and between the two groups from P14 Sox14\textsuperscript{GFP/+} data (Fig. 1), plotted as cumulative proportion of all cells within a given set. The NND distribution is significantly shifted to larger distances between groups than within each of the groups (p<1.4×10\textsuperscript{-30}, 2-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, n=3 brains). B. Representative z-projections of IN distribution amongst TC nuclei, from P14 Sox14\textsuperscript{GFP/+} data (Fig. 1). One dot represents one neuron and they are colour-coded by (i) their genetic identity or (ii) spatial cluster. For the spatial clusters a y-projection is also
shown. Scale bars, 500µm. C. Performance of unsupervised k-Means algorithm in identifying thalamic interneuron spatial clusters from the P14 Sox14^{GFP/+} data (n=3 brains, see also Fig. 1) as measured by the silhouette score, which varies with number of clusters (k). We choose k=2 as this point has the highest score. D. Proportion of Sox14^{+} and Sox14^{-} GABAergic cells in each spatial cluster, averaged over three brains (mean±SE).

To independently confirm our findings and control for potential effects of looking at a juvenile age (P14), we also mapped anatomical distribution of all Gad1^{+} and Chrna6^{+} cells across the adult mouse TC nuclei at P56, using the Allen Mouse Brain Atlas (© 2004 Allen Institute for Brain Science. Allen Mouse Brain Atlas. Available from: mouse.brain-map.org; Lein et al., 2006) in situ hybridization data (Supp. Fig. 1). Chrna6 has been identified as another marker specific for interneurons, at least in the dLGN (Golding et al., 2014; DropViz; Allen Cell Types Database). The resulting 3D reconstructions, k-Means spatial clustering (Supp. Fig. 1A) and distribution plot (Supp. Fig. 1B) were consistent with our observations from the P14 Sox14^{GFP/+} thalamus.

Mouse thalamus therefore exhibits wider interneuron distribution and diversity than has been previously reported, with at least two molecularly and spatially distinct classes. The largest interneuron class, which is distributed across FO and HO sensory nuclei including the dLGN, can be defined as Sox14^{+}. Conversely, the smaller Sox14^{-} GABAergic population is found exclusively in HO regions that associate with more cognitive functions, such as the MD (Rikhye et al., 2018a; Halassa and Kastner, 2017).

**All Sox14-expressing thalamic interneurons are born in the midbrain**

We have previously shown that in the Sox14^{GFP/GFP} (Sox14 knockout, KO) there is a >90% reduction in the number of interneurons in the dLGN (Jager et al., 2016). Given the role of Sox14 in specifying subcortical inhibitory classes (Delogu et al., 2012; Achim et al., 2013; Prekop et al., 2018; Guo and Li, 2019), this analysis was extended here to encompass all TC regions. We find a comparable reduction in the number of Sox14^{+} interneurons overall across the dLGN, LP, VP, PO and MG in the Sox14 KO (90.5±1.5%, p=2.7×10^{-4}, two-sample two-tailed t-test, n=3 brains/genotype; Fig. 3A,B). Conversely, there was no significant change in the number of Sox14^{Gad1^{+}} cells (p=0.4, two-sample two-tailed t-test; Fig. 3A,B) and in their distribution across TC regions (Fig. 3A,C; p>0.05, Chi-squared test, n=3 brains/genotype). These results therefore indicate that the two TC interneuron populations may already be segregated during development and represent two non-overlapping GABAergic lineages.
Figure 3. A. 3D reconstruction of a representative P14 Sox14\textsuperscript{GFP/GFP} thalamus from tracing every tenth 20µm-thick coronal section, displayed as a z-projection and showing distribution of Sox14\textsuperscript{Gad1\textsuperscript{+}} (yellow) and Sox14\textsuperscript{Gad1\textsuperscript{+}} cells (red). B. (i) Relative change in the number of Sox14\textsuperscript{Gad1\textsuperscript{+}} and Sox14\textsuperscript{Gad1\textsuperscript{+}} cells across TC regions in P14 Sox14\textsuperscript{GFP/GFP} relative to P14 Sox14\textsuperscript{GFP/+} data (mean\pm SE, n=3 brains/genotype). There is a significant reduction in the Sox14\textsuperscript{Gad1\textsuperscript{+}} population (p=2.7\times10^{-4}, two-sample two-tailed t-test), but no statistically significant difference in the size of the Sox14\textsuperscript{Gad1\textsuperscript{-}} group (p=0.4, two-sample two-tailed t-test). (ii) Proportion of Sox14\textsuperscript{Gad1\textsuperscript{+}} cells within the total GABAergic population is decreased in the Sox14\textsuperscript{GFP/GFP} (mean\pm SE, n=3 brains/genotype). C. Distribution of Sox14\textsuperscript{Gad1\textsuperscript{+}} cells across TC nuclei in the Sox14\textsuperscript{GFP/+} and Sox14\textsuperscript{GFP/GFP} brains at P14 (mean\pm SE; n= 3 brains/genotype). Sox14\textsuperscript{Gad1\textsuperscript{+}} distribution is unaltered in the Sox14 KO (p>0.05, Chi-squared test).

dLGN interneurons in the mouse derive from the midbrain (Jager et al., 2016). To explore how the molecular and spatial organization of thalamic interneurons is generated during development more conclusively, we fate-mapped midbrain lineages and checked for their presence, distribution and inhibitory profile across the thalamus. We crossed En1-Cre (Kimmel et al., 2000) with a R26\textsubscript{Isl}-GFP (Sousa et al., 2009) reporter line (Fig. 4A), as the En1 TF gene is expressed in the midbrain and rostral hindbrain progenitors, but not in the forebrain (Sgaier et al., 2007). The analysis was done at P21 and, confirming our previous findings, there were GFP\textsuperscript{+} cells (En1\textsuperscript{+} lineage) distributed across the dLGN and co-expressing GABA (Fig. 4B). However, like the Sox14\textsuperscript{Gad1\textsuperscript{+}} neurons, En1\textsuperscript{+} cells were observed beyond the dLGN - in the LP, VP, PO and MG, where they were also positive for GABA (Fig. 4B,C). Plotting their distribution confirmed that it is equivalent to Sox14\textsuperscript{+} INs (p>0.05, Chi-squared test; Fig. 4C,D). Occasional GFP\textsuperscript{+} cells with glia-like morphology were also observed in the thalamus. These cells were GABA\textsuperscript{-} and were not included in any of the analyses.
Figure 4. Sox14+ interneurons in TC regions derive from the midbrain. A. Schematic of the fate mapping experiment: crossing En1-Cre with R26lsl-GFP reporter line permanently labels all midbrain born cells with GFP expression. B. (i) Representative coronal section of P21 En1-Cre;R26lsl-GFP thalamus with En1+ cells observed in the dLGN, LP, VP and PO (considering TC regions only). For clarity some of the En1+ cells are indicated with white arrows. Scale bar, 100µm. (ii) En1+ cells in these regions co-express GABA (filled white arrows). Empty arrows mark GABA single-positive cells. Scale bar, 10µm. C. 3D reconstruction of a representative P21 En1-Cre;R26lsl-GFP thalamus from tracing every sixth 60µm-thick coronal section, displayed as a z-projection and showing distribution of En1+ cells. D. Distribution of Sox14+Gad1+ and En1+ cells across TC nuclei in Sox14\textsuperscript{GFP/+} and En1-Cre;R26lsl-GFP brains, respectively, plotted as proportion of all the cells within each group (mean±SE; n= 3 brains/genotype). The two populations are not differently distributed (p>0.05, Chi-squared test).

We therefore conclude that the Sox14+ thalamic interneurons derive from the midbrain, and simultaneously that the Sox14+ GABAergic cells do not; the two classes thus represent distinct inhibitory lineages in TC regions, further supporting their definition as two distinct thalamic interneuron classes.

Midbrain-derived interneurons migrate into the sensory thalamus in the first postnatal week in two streams
En1-Cre;R26lsl-GFP line was then used to investigate the timeline and spatial trajectories of the Sox14+ interneuron precursors migrating from the midbrain into the first and higher order sensory TC regions (Fig. 5A). Previously, dLGN interneurons were found to populate this nucleus in the first postnatal week (Golding et al., 2014; Jager et al., 2016). We therefore
looked at the numbers and migratory morphology of GFP\(^+\) (i.e. En1\(^+\)) cells in the thalamus at E16.5, E17.5, P0.5, P1.5 and P2.5. We focused on the dLGN, LP and VP, but left out the PO and MG, due to low overall numbers of interneurons in these two regions in the juvenile/adult mouse thalamus (Fig. 1, Supp. Fig. 1).

At E16.5 no GFP\(^+\) cells were present in the thalamus. From E17.5 to P2.5 their numbers progressively increased in all of the regions analysed (Fig. 5A,B). The number of GFP\(^+\) cells in the dLGN at P2.5 matched previous independent reports (Golding et al., 2014), validating our counting method. Midbrain-derived interneurons therefore populate the different TC regions following a similar timeline. Interestingly, they appear in two ventrally located nuclei (i.e. dLGN and VP) simultaneously (Fig. 5A,B), implying they use distinct routes to reach them.

To infer their direction of migration, we determined the leading process orientation of migrating GFP\(^+\) cells along all three dimensions (ventro-dorsal, latero-medial, caudo-rostral; Fig. 5C; Jager et al., 2016; Paredes et al., 2016). This was plotted at a population level as frequency distribution using heat maps, for each nucleus individually, for E17.5 and P0.5 (Fig. 5D; Supp. Fig. 2B), as the relative increase in GFP\(^+\) cell numbers was the greatest between these two timepoints (Fig. 5B). Moreover, there was a progressive decrease across developmental stages in the proportion of GFP\(^+\) cells for which migratory morphology could be identified (Supp. Fig. 2A).

Heat maps indicate that at a population level (integrated across dimensions), GFP\(^+\) cells migrate into the dLGN, LP and VP in a caudo-rostral and dorso-ventral direction (Fig. 5D), consistent with the position of the thalamus in the brain relative to their midbrain origin. However, GFP\(^+\) precursors in the dLGN and LP have a dominant medio-lateral orientation, while those in the VP an opposite, latero-medial orientation, as can also be seen from polar histograms (Supp. Fig. 2C). This suggests that midbrain-derived interneuron precursors enter TC regions simultaneously in two distinct streams, one migrating rostro-ventro-laterally to the dLGN and LP, and the other rostro-ventro-medially to the VP, indicating a split between visual (dLGN, LP) and somatosensory (VP) TC nuclei.
Figure 5. Midbrain-derived IN precursors progressively populate the thalamus from E17.5 onwards. A. Representative coronal sections of En1-Cre;R26Isl-GFP thalamus at E17.5 and P2.5. Green arrows mark some of the GFP+ cells. Scale bars, 100µm. B. Number of GFP+ cells counted in the dLGN, LP and VP from E17.5 to P2.5 (mean, n=3 brains). C. Leading process orientation of GFP+ cells was determined along the caudo-rostral, ventro-dorsal and latero-medial dimensions. D. Frequency distribution of leading process orientation for GFP+ cells in the dLGN, LP and VP at E17.5 and P0.5 combined, represented in heat maps (n=3 brains/developmental stage).

Sox14-negative thalamic interneurons populating higher order nuclei are born in the forebrain

Having excluded the midbrain, we aimed to identify the origin of the Sox14- interneuron class in the mouse HO TC regions. To molecularly define it, we made use of DropViz data (Available from: dropviz.org; Saunders et al., 2018) and observed that within inhibitory clusters from the thalamus and surrounding regions, Sox14 and Pvalb show largely non-overlapping expression, where Pvalb is a marker for at least 5 out of 11 inhibitory clusters (Pvalb p-value< 9.05e-30). It is known that Pvalb is expressed by the nearby prethalamic structures like the thalamic reticular nucleus (TRN; Clemente-Perez et al., 2017), and by telencephalic interneuron types derived from the ganglionic eminences (Marin and Rubenstein, 2001; Tremblay, Lee and Rudy, 2016; Tasic et al., 2016).

We therefore crossed P\(v\)-Cre (Hippenmeyer et al., 2005) with a R26Isl-nuclearGFP (Mo et al., 2015) reporter line to label Pvalb+ cells, and assessed their distribution and GABAergic profile in TC nuclei at P14 (Supp. Fig. 3A). Pvalb+ cells were present in regions populated by the Sox14- interneurons, including the MD, LD, LP and PO, and absent from the nuclei populated exclusively by Sox14+ interneurons, such as the dLGN and VP (Supp. Fig. 3Ai).
At later ages (P56) Pvalb is widely expressed in the thalamus, and is observed in high-density gradients in several nuclei, including the VP (© 2004 Allen Institute for Brain Science. Allen Mouse Brain Atlas. Available from: mouse.brain-map.org; Lein et al., 2006). Importantly however, at P14 93.9% of Pvalb+ cells in TC regions co-expressed GABA (n=2 brains, Supp. Fig. 3Aii,B). Therefore, we define the Sox14+ GABAergic cells as Pvalb+, and restricted our analyses to P14.

Previous reports suggested the Dlx1/2/5+ ganglionic eminences (GE) as a source of thalamic interneurons in humans (Rakić and Sidman, 1969; Letinić and Kostović, 1997; Letinic and Rakic, 2001). We investigated this possibility directly and fate-mapped the progeny from forebrain inhibitory progenitor domains in the mouse thalamus, by crossing Dlx5-Cre (Monory et al., 2006) to R26lsl-GFP line (Fig. 6A).

At P14 all TC Pvalb+ cells are a Dlx5 lineage (GFP+; 100%, n=3 brains; Fig. 6B,Ei) and majority of them co-expressed GABA (93.6±3.7%; Fig. 6Eii), in line with observations from the Pv-Cre;R26lsl-nGFP line (Supp. Fig. 3Aii,B). We also observed Pvalb+Dlx5+ cells in the thalamus, the majority of which had a glia-like morphology and did not express GABA (Supp. Fig. 3C). Occasional Pvalb+GABA+Dlx5+ cells with neuronal-like morphology were also seen (Supp. Fig. 3C,D), suggesting leaky Cre activity in some cases. That all Pvalb+Dlx5+ cells in TC nuclei are labelled with GFP argues against this being an artefact of leaky reporting. Pvalb+GABA+Dlx5+ cells were not considered in any of the analyses.

Virtually all Pvalb+ interneurons in the neocortex and hippocampus are specified within Nkx2.1+ progenitor domains of the medial ganglionic eminences (MGE) and preoptic area (POA) (Lavdas et al., 1999; Wichterle et al., 2001; Xu et al., 2004; Xu et al., 2008; Gelman et al., 2011). Intrigued by the finding of Pvalb+ interneurons in the thalamus, we investigated whether they shared their progenitor domain with cortical Pvalb+ interneurons. Hence, we fate-mapped Nkx2.1+ lineages by crossing Nkx2.1-Cre (Xu et al., 2008) to R26lsl-GFP line (Fig. 6C) and investigated the presence of co-expressing GFP+Pvalb+ neurons in TC regions. At P14 none of the TC Pvalb+ cells belonged to a Nkx2.1 lineage (GFP+Pvalb+; 0%, n=3 brains; Fig. 6D,Ei), suggesting that a forebrain Dlx5+Nkx2.1 progenitor domain is the source of the Pvalb+ thalamic interneurons. Although we did not conduct a detailed investigation of the cell type identity of thalamic cells labelled by the Nkx2.1-Cre;R26lsl-GFP reporter, we noted several glia-like morphologies and occasional neuron-like ones, compatible with sparse, ectopic Cre activity.
Figure 6. Sox14\(^{Pvalb^+}\) interneurons in TC regions derive from the Nkx2.1\(^{neg}\) rostral forebrain. A. Schematic of the fate mapping experiment: crossing Dlx5-Cre with R26lsl-GFP reporter line permanently labels some hypothalamic and all ventral telencephalic and prethalamic-born cells with GFP expression. B. (i) Representative coronal sections of P14 Dlx5-Cre;R26lsl-GFP thalamus with Dlx5\(^{Pvalb^+}\) cells present in the MD, LD, CL, VAL, VM,
LP and PO (considering TC regions only). Scale bar, 100µm. (ii) Dlx5+Pvalb+ cells in TC regions co-express GABA. Scale bar, 100µm. C. Schematic of the fate mapping experiment: crossing Nkx2.1-Cre with R26lsl-GFP reporter line permanently labels some hypothalamic and all MGE-born cells with GFP expression. D. (i) Representative coronal sections of P14 Nkx2.1-Cre;R26lsl-GFP thalamus with Pvalb+ and Nkx2.1+ cells present in the MD, LD, CL, VAL, VM, LP and PO (considering TC regions only). Scale bar, 100µm. (ii) Nkx2.1+ cells in TC regions do not co-express Pvalb+. Scale bar, 100µm. E. (i) Proportion of Pvalb+ cells in TC regions that are Dlx5+ or Nkx2.1+ at P14 (mean±SE, n=3 brains). (ii) Proportion of Dlx5+Pvalb+ cells in TC regions co-expressing GABA at P14 (mean±SE, n=3 brains). F. 3D reconstruction of a representative P14 Dlx5-Cre;R26lsl-GFP thalamus from tracing every sixth 60µm-thick coronal section, displayed as a z-projection and showing distribution of Dlx5+Pvalb+ cells. G. Distribution of Dlx5+Pvalb+ and Sox14+ Gad1+ cells across TC nuclei in P14 Dlx5-Cre;R26lsl-GFP and Sox14GFP/+ brains, respectively, plotted as proportion of all the cells within each group (mean±SE, n=3 brains/genotype). The two populations are not differently distributed (p>0.05, Chi-squared test).

Finally, we mapped the distribution of Pvalb+Dlx5+ cells across TC regions (Fig. 6F,G) and observed that it matches the Sox14+Gad1+ cells (Fig. 6G; p>0.05, Chi-squared test).

Altogether, we therefore conclude that the Sox14+Pvalb+ thalamic interneurons originate from Dlx5+Nkx2.1+ inhibitory progenitor domains located in the forebrain, emphasizing their distinct lineage compared to the larger, midbrain-born Sox14+ thalamic interneuron class. Despite being a Pvalb+ Dlx5+ lineage, these thalamic interneurons likely do not share the same origin of cortical Pvalb+ interneurons.

The presence of a Dlx5+ inhibitory lineage in the mouse thalamus is therefore consistent with the proposed ontogeny for human thalamic interneurons (Rakić and Sidman, 1969; Letinić and Kostović, 1997; Letinic and Rakic, 2001). Correspondingly, we investigated if the midbrain-derived interneurons identified in mouse could also be found in the primate thalamus. We used the Marmoset Gene Atlas to examine the expression of SOX14 and OTX2, known markers for the mouse dLGN interneurons (Golding et al., 2014; Jager et al., 2016; DropViz), in the early postnatal marmoset thalamus. These were then compared to the GAD1 signal (all ISH data available from: https://gene-atlas.brainminds.riken.jp; Shimogori et al., 2018).

This shows that both SOX14+ and OTX2+ cells are present across all marmoset thalamocortical nuclei (Supp. Fig. 4A), with a similar distribution and density to the GAD1+ neurons (Supp. Fig. 4B), seemingly accounting for the majority of GABAergic cells in the marmoset dorsal thalamus. On the other hand, forebrain-derived prethalamic inhibitory structures like the reticular nucleus, are negative for the two markers (Supp. Fig. 4A).

**Discussion**

Our study reveals a previously unappreciated complexity in the GABAergic interneuron population distributed across the mouse thalamocortical nuclei.
In particular, we describe two broad thalamic interneuron classes, defined by (1) expression of transcription factors that are part of distinct regulatory programmes of GABAergic specification ($Sox14^+$ vs $Dlx5^+$; Eisenstat et al., 1999; Marin and Rubenstein, 2001; Stühmer et al., 2002; Achim et al., 2013, 2014; Mayer et al., 2018; Mi et al., 2018; Arendt et al., 2019), and (2) their origin in the midbrain and forebrain, respectively.

As expected, interneuron numbers and density were largest in the dLGN. We then show that one of the markers for the dLGN interneurons, the $Sox14$ transcriptional regulator, labels GABAergic cells found scattered across all first and higher order sensory nuclei (dLGN, LP, VP, PO and MG; Guillery and Sherman, 2002; Wang, Eisenback and Bickford, 2002; Pouchelon et al., 2014; Roth et al., 2015; Frangeul et al., 2016). Using fate-mapping, we demonstrate that in all of these regions $Sox14^+$ interneurons derive from $En1^+$ midbrain and migrate into the thalamus late embryonically. This is in contrast to the broadly spatially separated $Sox14^-$ interneurons, representing around 20% of the total thalamic interneuron population. The $Sox14^-$ class is found exclusively in HO thalamocortical nuclei (e.g. the MD, LD, LP and PO; Sherman, 2016; Halassa and Kastner, 2017), can be defined as $Pvalb^+$ and originates from $Dlx5^-Nkx2.1^-$ inhibitory progenitor domains in the forebrain.

These results reconcile discrepant findings on the origin of thalamic interneurons. For example, ganglionic eminence-derived $Dlx1/2^+$ interneurons were shown to migrate into human MD and pulvinar (Rakić and Sidman, 1969; Letinić and Kostović, 1997; Letinic and Rakic, 2001), as opposed to the midbrain origin that we previously identified for the mouse dLGN interneurons (Jager et al., 2016). Our study suggests these different observations could be the result of spatial segregation of ontogenetic interneuron classes, which may in fact be conserved across mammals.

Supporting this conclusion, gene expression evidence from the marmoset indicates that midbrain-generated interneurons are also present in the primate thalamus. Similarly, Jones (2002) and Hayes et al. (2003) previously described late appearance of interneurons in the ferret and macaque thalamus, progressively from caudal towards rostral nuclei. It can also be seen from the BrainSpan Atlas of the Developing Human Brain (© 2010 Allen Institute for Brain Science. BrainSpan Atlas of the Developing Human Brain. Available from: www.brainspan.org; Miller et al., 2014) that both GAD1 and SOX14 expression increase in the dorsal thalamus in the mid-prenatal period (from postconception week 16), which would be consistent with a migration of midbrain-born interneurons into these regions.

Interestingly, grafting experiments using chick and quail embryos demonstrated a potential for midbrain cells to populate retino-recipient nuclei in the chick diencephalon (Martinez and
Alvarado-Mallart, 1989). The grafted midbrain cells were observed migrating tangentially at the surface of the diencephalon and seemingly through the host optic tract before invading the regions targeted by the retinal projections (Martinez and Alvarado-Mallart, 1989). The neurotransmitter identity of these migrating cells is unknown, but their midbrain origin and distribution across the thalamus resemble the mouse Sox14+ interneurons, suggesting that in birds too, interneurons in the visual thalamus are a midbrain lineage. Relatedly, lineage tracing in chick, using a retroviral library, indicated that clonally related siblings can populate both the diencephalon and mesencephalon (Golden and Cepko, 1996).

In the future, it would be important to conclusively address how thalamic interneurons evolved by comparing their diversity and origin comprehensively across amniotes, as was recently done for cortical interneurons (Tosches et al., 2018; Tasic et al., 2016, 2018).

Finally, it is intriguing that in the mouse spatial organization of interneuron classes appears to correlate with anatomical and functional organization in the thalamus, which sees a split between sensory relay and higher order processing (Guillery and Sherman, 2002; Sherman, 2016; Halassa and Kastner, 2017). Here we provide genetic definitions and origin for the two broad thalamic interneuron classes, which can be used to investigate the functional significance of local inhibition in TC computations underlying both sensory perception and cognition.

**Materials and Methods**

### Animals

| Species     | Designation | Source or reference | Identifiers | Additional information |
|-------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------|------------------------|
| *Mus musculus* | Sox14tm1Tmj (Sox14eGFP) | Crone et al., 2008 | MGI ID: 3836003 | Maintained in the C57BL/6J (Charles River Laboratories) background |
| *Mus musculus* | En1-Cre | Kimmel et al., 2000; The Jackson Laboratory | Stock No: 007916 MGI ID: 2446434 | C57BL/6J background |
| *Mus musculus* | Dlx5/6-Cre | Monory et al., 2006; The Jackson Laboratory | Stock No: 008199; MGI ID: 3758328 | C57BL/6J background |
| *Mus musculus* | B6 PVcre | Hippenmeyer et al., 2005; The Jackson Laboratory | Stock No: 017320; MGI ID: 3590684 | C57BL/6J background |
| *Mus musculus* | Nkx2.1-Cre | Xu et al., 2008 | Stock No: 008661 MGI: J:131144 | C57BL/6J background |
| *Mus musculus* | RCE:loxP | Sousa et al., MMRRC Stock | | C57BL/6J |
Table 1: Mouse strains used in the study.

| Species            | Strain                           | Stock No.  | MGI ID.       | C57BL/6J background |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|------------|---------------|---------------------|
| *Mus musculus*     | Gt(Rosa)26Sortm5(CAG-Sun1/sfGFP)Natl | No: 32037-JAX | MGI:4412373   |                     |

The mice were housed in the animal facilities at King's College London under standard conditions on a 12h:12h dark/light cycle, with unrestricted access to water and food. Housing and experimental procedures were approved by the King’s College London Ethical Committee and conformed to the regulations of the UK Home Office personal and project licences under the UK Animals (Scientific Procedures) 1986 Act. Both female and male mice were used in a randomised way across experiments. The morning when the vaginal plug was observed was designated as embryonic day (E) 0.5 and the day of birth as postnatal day (P) 0.5.

**Immunohistochemistry and in situ hybridization**

Mice were transcardially perfused with 4% PFA and the brains dissected and postfixed in PFA at 4°C overnight, then washed in PBS for at least 24 hours at 4°C. For in situ hybridization (ISH), brains were stored in PFA for 5 days, to minimise RNA degradation, and all the subsequent solutions were treated with diethyl pyrocarbonate (DEPC; AppliChem). The brains were cryoprotected in a sucrose gradient (10–20–30%), frozen on dry ice and cryosectioned as 20µm coronal sections collected on Superfrost Ultra Plus slides (Thermo Scientific) for ISH, or as 60µm free-floating coronal sections for IHC.

**Immunohistochemistry**

| Antibody                | Dilution | Incubation time | Source               |
|-------------------------|----------|----------------|----------------------|
| Rabbit anti-GABA        | 1:2000   | 2X ON, 4°C      | Sigma, A2052         |
| Chicken anti-Gfp        | 1:5000   | 2X ON, 4°C      | Abcam, Ab13970       |
| Mouse anti-Parvalbumin  | 1:2000   | 1X ON, 4°C      | Sigma-Aldrich, P3088 |
| Goat anti-chicken Alexa-488 | 1:500 | 2h, RT          | Invitrogen, A11039   |
| Goat anti-rabbit Alexa-568 | 1:500 | 2h, RT          | Invitrogen, A11036   |
| Goat anti-rabbit Alexa-647 | 1:500 | 2h, RT          | Invitrogen, A21245   |
| Goat anti-mouse Alexa-568 | 1:500 | 2h, RT          | Invitrogen, A11004   |
| Goat anti-mouse Alexa-635 | 1:500 | 2h, RT          | Invitrogen, A31575   |

Brain sections were washed in PBS three times and blocked in 2-7% normal goat serum (NGS) solution (in 1X PBS, 0.1-0.3% Triton-X100) for 2 hours at room temperature (RT). Primary antibodies (Table 2) were diluted in blocking solution and incubated with the sections (as stated in the table). This was followed by three 30min PBS washes, and incubation in secondary antibodies (Table 2) diluted 1:500 in blocking solution, for 2 hours at RT. After two 30min PBS washes, the sections were incubated in DAPI for 30 min (1:40000...
dilution in PBS; Life Technologies), and mounted using ProLong Gold mounting media (Invitrogen).

In situ hybridization

Gad1 antisense RNA probe was transcribed in vitro from full-length cDNA template (IMAGE ID: 5358787). The probe was diluted to a final concentration of 800ng/ml in hybridization buffer (50% formamide, 10% dextran sulphate, 1mg/ml rRNA, 1X Denhardt’s solution, 0.2M NaCl, 10mM Tris HCl, 5mM NaH$_2$PO$_4$.2H$_2$O, 1mM Tris base, 50mM EDTA) and applied onto the slides, which were incubated in a humidified chamber at 65°C overnight. The slides were then washed three times for 30min in wash buffer (50% formamide, 1X SSC, 0.1% Tween) at 65°C, two times for 30min in MABT buffer (100mM maleic acid, 150mM NaCl, 0.1% Tween-20) at RT, and blocked for 2h at RT (2% Boehringer Blocking Reagent (Roche), 20% inactivated sheep serum in MABT). Sheep α-DIG alkaline phosphatase conjugated antibody (Roche, 11093274910) was diluted 1:2000 in the blocking solution and incubated with the slides overnight at 4°C. This was followed by five 20min washes in MABT and two 20min washes in the AP buffer (0.1M Tris-HCl pH8.2, 0.1%-Tween-20). Fast red TR/Naphthol AS-MX tablets (Sigma) were dissolved in the AP buffer and applied onto the slides for colour reaction for 3–6 hours at RT in the dark. The slides were then washed three times for 20min in PBS before proceeding with IHC for GFP as described above. Sox14$^{GFP^+}$ and Sox14$^{GFP/GFP}$ sections were always processed in parallel.

Quantifying distribution of neuronal populations across thalamic nuclei

| Transgenic line | Age | Cells annotated/counted | Number of brains | Sampling | Section thickness (µm) |
|-----------------|-----|-------------------------|------------------|---------|-----------------------|
| Sox14$^{GFP^+}$ | P14 | GFP$^+$ and Gad1$^+$  | 3                | Every 10th coronal section | 20 |
| Sox14$^{GFP/GFP}$ | P14 | GFP$^+$ and Gad1$^+$  | 3                | Every 10th coronal section | 20 |
| En1-Cre;R26lsl-GFP | P21-30 | GFP$^+$             | 3                | Every 6th coronal section | 60 |
| Dlx5/6-Cre;R26lsl-GFP | P14 | GFP$^+$, Pvalb$^+$ and GABA$^+$ | 3 | Every 6th coronal section | 60 |
| Nkx2.1-Cre;R26lsl-GFP | P14 | GFP$^+$, Pvalb$^+$ | 3 | Every 6th coronal section | 60 |
| Pv-Cre;R26lsl-nGFP | P14 | GFP$^+$, Pvalb$^+$ and GABA$^+$ | 2 | Every 6th coronal section | 60 |

Table 3. Genetic identity of cells counted across TC regions and technical details of corresponding experiments.

Confocal z-stacks covering the extent of the thalamus across all axes (caudo-rostral, ventro-dorsal, latero-medial) were acquired using either Nikon A1R inverted confocal, inverted spinning disk Nikon Ti microscope or Olympus VS120 slide scanner, with 10X (NA 0.30 Plan
Fluo DLL) and 20X (NA 0.75 Plan Apo VC or UPLSAPO NA 0.75) objectives. The stacks were then viewed with the Neurolucida software. TC nuclei were identified from the DAPI counterstain, using cytoarchitectonically recognizable structures, such as the dLGN, the habenular complex, the TRN, the anterior pretectum and the fasciculus retroflexus (fr), as landmarks for orientation and reference. The cells of interest (Table 3) were assigned to TC regions by comparing the sections to the Allen Brain Reference Atlas and annotated and counted manually. For each brain, only one hemisphere was analysed (chosen in a randomized way). For experiments using Gad1* and Chrna6* in situ hybridization data from the Allen Mouse Brain Atlas resource (© 2004 Allen Institute for Brain Science. Allen Mouse Brain Atlas. Available from: mouse.brain-map.org; Lein et al., 2006), all images of P56 C57BL/6J coronal brain sections containing the thalamus were downloaded for each gene (every 8th 25µm-thick section, sampling every 200µm across the thalamus), and analysed in the same way as described above.

3D reconstructions of cell distributions

3D reconstructions of cell (Table 3) distributions across thalamic regions were generated for each brain separately using the Neurolucida software (MBF Bioscience), from the acquired confocal z-stacks or Allen Mouse Brain Atlas in situ hybridization data as described above. For each image the outline of the thalamus and the surrounding structures was manually traced using the ‘contour’ function and the cells were annotated with the ‘marker’ function, placed at the centre of the soma. Traced images were then aligned in sequential rostro-caudal order, manually for each brain, using tissue landmarks (midline and clearly recognisable structures, e.g. dLGN, TRN, habenula, hippocampus) for reference, and their spacing in the rostro-caudal dimension was preserved according to the sampling used for each brain.

Nearest Neighbour Distance calculations

Nearest neighbour distance (NND) was determined for the Sox14*Gad1* and Sox14*Gad1* cells from the 3D reconstructions of their distributions. The cells’ coordinates in 3D were generated by Neurolucida and analysed using a custom Python script and the Pandas library (McKinney, 2010) to calculate NNDs separately for each group and between the two groups, for each Sox14GFP/+ brain individually. The data was then normalised to the largest NND within each data set (each individual group and between groups sets for each brain), averaged across the brains (mean±SE) and plotted as cumulative distribution. Normalization allows us to plot their cumulative distribution as a fraction of the maximum distance, though even before normalization the curves were broadly similar. Statistically significant
differences between the distributions were verified using the 2-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, implemented in the SciPy library (Jones et al.).

**Migrational morphology analyses**

E16.5, E17.5, P0.5, P1.5 (n=3 brains/developmental stage) and P2.5 (n=1) En1-Cre;R26lsl-GFP brains were quickly dissected on ice and immersed in 4% PFA for 12 hours before switching to PBS. 300µm-thick coronal sections were cut on a vibratome (Leica VT 1200S). To increase the imaging depth, the sections were cleared following the ScaleSQ protocol (Hama et al., 2015). ScaleS4 buffer was used as a mounting medium (Hama et al., 2015), and spacers were placed on the slides to prevent compressing the sections. Nikon A1R inverted confocal was used to acquire z-stacks that covered the entire extent of the thalamus for each brain, with a 20X objective (NA 0.75 Plan Apo VC). The achieved imaging depth in z ranged from 200-250µm. The stacks were imported into Neurolucida software (MBF Bioscience) to trace the migratory morphology of GFP\(^+\) cells in the dLGN, LP and VP. On average, 2 sections covered the extent of these nuclei in the rostro-caudal dimension and the first time point when GFP\(^+\) cells were observed there was at E17.5. GFP\(^+\) cells were not traced in the PO and MG due to their low numbers in these nuclei in the juvenile and adult brains, and the ambiguity in delineating these regions anatomically in the embryonic brains. We did not observe GFP\(^+\) cells with neuronal morphology in any other TC regions (i.e. outside the FO and HO sensory thalamus) for all ages analysed. In the analysed regions (dLGN, LP, VP), all GFP\(^+\) somas were annotated using the semi-automated ‘Soma’ function. The leading processes were traced manually with the ‘Tree’ function, starting in the middle of the soma and until each process could be unequivocally identified or until the point of bifurcation, for all GFP\(^+\) cells with a clearly visible and identifiable leading process (44% of all GFP\(^+\) cells at E17.5, 30% at P0.5, 26% at P1.5, 14% at P2.5). The 3D coordinates for each leading process were then exported into Excel, and their orientation was expressed in the brain’s coordinate system (x=L-M, y=V-M, z=C-R), as a vector joining the start and end point of the process, using a custom Python script and the Pandas (McKinney, 2010) and Numpy (Walt, Colbert, Varoquaux, 2011) libraries. Each vector was defined by its orientation in spherical coordinates (polar and azimuthal angle), and overall length. Population level orientation data for the dLGN, LP and VP at E17.5 and P0 was plotted as heat-maps, by binning cells according to their spherical coordinates. The bins were then integrated along each axis to reveal a dominant orientation (e.g. for the dLGN, 66% and 69% of cells oriented dorso-ventrally and caudo-rostrally, respectively). Polar histograms of leading process orientation in the dorsal-ventral-lateral-medial plane were also produced.
**Spatial clustering analysis**

Unsupervised machine learning methods were used to investigate spatial organization of Sox14\(^*\)Gad1\(^*\) and Sox14\(^*\)Gad1\(^-\) cells. The 3D models of P14 Sox14\(^{GFP/+}\) thalamus generated with Neurolucida for NND analysis were again used to obtain the coordinates of all thalamic interneurons.

These data were analysed separately for each brain (n=3) using a custom Python script, and partitioned into clusters using the k-Means algorithm implemented in the library Scikit-Learn (Buitinck et al., 2013). The algorithm takes as input the expected number of clusters \(k\).

Multiple values of \(k\) were tested, and evaluated using the silhouette coefficient metric of clustering performance (Rousseeuw, 1987), also implemented in Scikit-Learn. The silhouette coefficient is equal to the average ratio of distances between points within and between each cluster. More positive scores indicate coherent, well-separated clusters, whereas scores close to zero indicate overlapping clusters. The score was highest (0.472±0.012) for \(k=2\), and the average fraction of all Sox14\(^*\) and Sox14\(^-\) cells in each of the resulting clusters was computed across all brains.

We also performed k-Means clustering on the 3D distribution of Gad1\(^*\) cells obtained from *in situ* hybridisation data from the Allen Mouse Brain Atlas. The silhouette score was again highest (0.512) for \(k=2\), and the resulting clusters have a spatial definition similar to those from the P14 Sox14\(^{GFP/+}\) thalamus.

**Statistics**

*Comparison of distributions*

The Chi-squared test was used to test for significant differences in the thalamus-wide distribution of specific cell classes. This thalamus-wide comparison compensates for categorical errors arising from a degree of uncertainty in nuclear boundaries, as a result of variation in the sectioning plane and other factors.

For each distribution, average relative cell numbers were computed in Excel. A custom python script was used to compute the Chi-squared statistic, and the corresponding p-value was computed using the Chi-squared cumulative density function implemented in SciPy (Jones et al.).

*Change in interneuron numbers in the Sox14 knockout*

This was tested for statistical significance using unpaired two-sample two-tailed t-test, comparing the Sox14 knockout to Sox14\(^{GFP/+}\) for each interneuron class separately (n=3 brains/genotype). Total interneuron numbers across all TC nuclei were compared and sampling was consistent between genotypes (each 10\(^{th}\) thalamic section was analysed for each brain).
Other statistical analyses used in the study are described in the corresponding sections (Nearest Neighbour Distance calculations and Spatial clustering analysis).
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Supplementary Figures

A. 3D reconstruction of a representative P56 mouse thalamus from tracing every eight 25µm-thick coronal section, displayed as a z-projection and showing distribution of (i) Gad1⁺ and (ii) Chrna6⁺ cells. In (i), k-Means clustering was applied to the data using k=2 (highest silhouette score, 0.512); the resulting spatial clusters are shown as a z- and y-projection and colour-coded. One dot represents one neuron. ISH data was downloaded from the Allen Mouse Brain Atlas (© 2004 Allen Institute for Brain Science. Allen Mouse Brain Atlas. Available from: mouse.brain-map.org; Lein et al., 2006).

B. Distribution of Gad1⁺ and Chrna6⁺ cells across TC nuclei (n=1 brain/marker) is compared to all Gad1⁺ and Sox14⁺Gad1⁺ cells from P14 Sox14GFP/+ thalamus (n=3 brains; see also Fig. 1).

Supplementary Figure 1.
Supplementary Figure 2. A. Proportion of GFP\(^+\) cells in the dLGN, LP and VP combined, for which a leading process could be identified, in E17.5-P2.5 *En1-Cre;R26lsl-GFP* brains (mean±SE, n=3 brains/developmental stage, apart from P2.5 where n=1 brain). B. Frequency distribution of leading process orientation for GFP\(^+\) cells in the dLGN, LP and VP at (i) E17.5 and (ii) P0.5 separately, represented in heat maps (n=3 brains/developmental stage). C. Polar histograms of leading process orientation in the latero-medial and ventro-dorsal plane for GFP\(^+\) cells in the dLGN, LP and VP at E17.5 and P0 combined (n=3 brains/developmental stage).
Supplementary Figure 3. A. (i) Representative coronal sections of P14 Pv-Cre;R26rlsl-nGFP thalamus with GFP^+ cells present in the MD, LD, CL, VAL, LP and PO (considering TC regions only). Scale bar, 100µm. (ii) GFP^+ cells in TC regions express GABA at P14. Scale bar, 100µm. B. Proportion of GFP^+ cells in TC regions co-expressing GABA at P14 (mean, n=2 brains). C. Clusters of Pvalb^+GABA^-Dlx5^+ glia-like cells are observed across TC regions in the Dlx5-Cre;R26rlsl-GFP line at P14, as shown for the LD. White arrows mark Pvalb^+GABA^-Dlx5^+ cells. Scale bar, 100µm. D. Pvalb^-Dlx5^+ cells with neuronal morphology do not express GABA. Scale bar, 100µm.
Supplementary Figure 4. A. Representative coronal sections of P0-4 marmoset thalamus showing GAD1, SOX14 and OTX2 expression. Scale bar, 1000µm. B. Expression of GAD1, SOX14 and OTX2 in the marmoset (i) dLGN and (ii) MD. Scale bar, 1000µm. Source of ISH: the Marmoset Gene Atlas (Available from: https://gene-atlas.brainminds.riken.jp).