Crystal Structure and Supramolecular Architecture of Inorganic Ligand-Coordinated Salen-Type Schiff Base Complex: Insights into Halogen Bond from Theoretical Analysis and 3D Energy Framework Calculations
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Abstract: To identify the effects of halogen bonding in the architecture of Schiff base complex supramolecular networks, we introduced halogenated Schiff-base 3-Br-5-Cl-salen as ligand and isolated a new salen-type manganese(III) complex [Mn(III)(Cl)(H2O)(3-Br-5-Cl-salen)] (1) where 3-Br-5-Cl-salen = N,N’-bis(3-bromo-5-chlorosalicylidene)-1,2-diamine. The complex was investigated in the solid-state for halogen bonds (XBs) by single crystal X-ray structure analysis. Meanwhile, theoretical calculations were carried out to rationalize the formation mechanism of different types of XBs in the complex. The analysis result of electronic structure of the halogen bonds indicated that the chlorine atom coordinated to the Mn(III) center possesses the most negative potential and acts as anionic XB acceptor (electron donor) to the adjacent substituted halogens on the ligand, meanwhile the intermolecular Mn-Cl···X-C halogen bonding plays a significant role in directing the packing arrangement of adjacent molecules and linking the 2D layers into a 3D network. In order to verify the above results and acquire detailed information, the title complex was further analyzed by using the Hirshfeld surface analyses.
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1. Introduction

Engineering well-defined molecules into specific crystal network has been one of the frontiers in material science [1–3]. Although the strength and energy of non-covalent interactions are relatively small in comparison with those of covalent bond, large numbers of non-covalent interactions not only play important roles in the construction of crystal frameworks but also relate to various physicochemical properties, among which the most classic and widely studied intermolecular interaction is hydrogen bond [4–6]. Because of its high energy and directional character, the hydrogen bond is considered to be a structure-directing agent and, to some extent, can be used to construct specific molecular assemblies [7,8]. With the further development of research, however, because the constitution of hydrogen bond is relatively simple, in some cases it is difficult to achieve the goal of fine tuning the...
architecture and the intermolecular forces of supramolecular materials [9]. Therefore, it has become an urgent subject to develop a substitution of hydrogen bonds in crystal engineering [10,11].

Recent years, with the progress made in terms of theoretical and experimental studies, researchers revealed the anisotropic charge density distribution nature of covalently bound halogens, by which a directional intermolecular interaction called halogen bond (X-bond or XB) generate between halogen and Lewis base (electron donation site) and arouse growing interest [12,13]. Not only is the strength of X-bond stronger than that of a wide range of other weak noncovalent contacts such as hydrophobic interactions, dipole–dipole bonds, and \( \pi-\pi \) force, but the geometries of XB are more diverse [14–16]. Therefore, halogen bonding has been recognized as a promising alternative to hydrogen bonding in the self-assembly of a family of supramolecular architectures, especially in the fields of crystal engineering and material chemistry [15,17–19].

Initially, the studies on halogen bonds have been mainly focused on pure organic systems owing to the limited computational resources and ideal experimental models, few researchers have specially concern the electronic structure and topological properties of halogen bonds in metal complexes [20–24]. Recently, pioneer researches have looked at the methods for incorporating coordination polymers into X-bonded systems [25–27]. It was found that some ionic coordination complexes containing ionic inorganic ligands (e.g., Cl\(^{-}\), CN\(^{-}\), SCN\(^{-}\) etc.) can be used as halogen bonding acceptors [28]. In some studies, metal complex cations can serve as charge-balanced objects in the framework of halogen bonds formed between halide anion and neutral X-bonded donor sites [10,29]. Whereas researches about other types of coordination polymers are still rather rare, Schiff base type complexes, as an important member of coordination family, have attracted much attention because of their unique structures and physicochemical properties [30,31]. Although the considerable progress that has been made in different frontier sciences, to the best of our knowledge, up to the present, still no work has specifically concerned the electronic configuration as well as the influence of halogen bonds on Schiff base complexes.

With the aim of exploring the electronic structure and topologies of X-bonded network of Schiff base complexes, we chose classic tetradentate salen-type Schiff base as ligands (3-Br-5Cl-salen = \( N,N' \)-bis(3-bromo-5-chlorosalicylidene)-diamine), and synthesized a new halogenate salen-type complex [Mn\(^{III}\)(3-Br-5Cl-salen)(Cl)(H\(_2\)O)] \((1)\). Theoretical analysis suggested that XB\( s \) play a key role in linking Schiff-base 2D layers into 3D frameworks.

2. Experimental

2.1. Material and Physical Measurements

All chemicals and solvents chosen for this work were purchased from the Aladdin Reagents (Shanghai) Co. Ltd. and were used without further purification. Elemental analyses were completed by using a Perkin-Elmer 240C instrument. PLASMA-SPEC (I) ICP atomic emission spectrometer was used to analyze the content of Mn (PerkinElmer, Shanghai, China).

2.2. Synthesis of the Complex

Synthesis of [Mn\(^{III}\)(3-Br-5Cl-salen)(Cl)(H\(_2\)O)] \((1)\)

3-bromo-5-chlorosalicylaldehyd (0.160 g, 1.0 mmol) (Aladdin, Shanghai, China) and diamine (Aladdin, Shanghai, China) (0.03 g, 0.5 mmol) were dissolved in methanol (Aladdin, Shanghai, China) (30mL) under stirring and the resulting solution refluxed at 80 °C for 1 h. Then manganese chloride (0.197g) (Aladdin, Shanghai, China) was added in one portion to the solution under stirring at 60 °C for another 2 h. After the reaction was cooled down at room temperature, the brownish red filtrate was left to crystallize for one week in a beaker at room temperature. Brown crystals were filtered and dried in air.
2.3. X-Ray Crystal Structure Analysis

Single-crystal datasets were collected at 293(2) K on an automated diffractometer equipped with MoKα radiation (\(\lambda = 0.71073\) Å) and Cryostream cooler (Oxford Cryosystem). The title compound was investigated via polarizability using the CrysAlisPro software according to the classic Lorentz equation [32]. Crystal structures of 1 were solved by direct methods and refined with full-matrix on \(F^2\) computations using the Olex2 software program [33]. In the final refinement, all the non-H atoms were anisotropically refined. All H atoms were refined using a riding model with C-H = 0.97 Å and \(U_{iso}(H) = 1.5 \times U_{eq}(C)\) or 1.2 \(U_{eq}(C)\), with aromatic C-H = 0.93 Å. Crystal data and details of structural determination and refinement for 1 are summarized in Table 1. Selected bond lengths and angles for 1 are collected in Table S1.

Table 1. Crystal data and details of structural determination and refinement for 1.

| Empirical Formula       | C_{16}H_{12}Br_{3}Cl_{3}Mn_{1}N_{2}O_{3} |
|-------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Mr                      | 601.37                                   |
| Temperature/K           | 293(2)                                   |
| Wavelength/Å             | 0.71073                                  |
| Crystal system          | Monoclinic                               |
| space group             | \(P 21/c\)                               |
| \(a/Å\)                 | 12.4164(12)                              |
| \(b/Å\)                 | 13.5291(18)                              |
| \(c/Å\)                 | 13.2867(9)                               |
| \(\beta/\text{deg}\)   | 122.347(6)                               |
| Volume/Å\(^3\)          | 1142.6(3)                                |
| \(Z\)                   | 4                                        |
| Calculated density      | 1885.6(3) Mg/m\(^3\)                     |
| Absorption coefficient  | 5.384 mm\(^{-1}\)                        |
| F(000)                  | 1168                                     |
| Theta range for data collection | 3.52 to 25.00 deg                     |
| Limiting indices        | \(-13 \leq h \leq 14, -15 \leq k \leq 16, -15 \leq l \leq 10\) |
| Reflections collected/unique | 4827/2812 \([R(int) = 0.0513]\)          |
| Completeness to theta = 25.00 | 99.7\%                                  |
| Refinement method       | Full-matrix least-squares on \(F^2\)     |
| Goodness-of-fit on \(F^2\) | 0.962                                   |
| Final R indices \([I>2\sigma(I)]\) | \(R_1 = 0.0619, wR_2 = 0.0978\)         |
| R indices (all data)    | \(R_1 = 0.0986, wR_2 = 0.1098\)         |

Spartan 14 V1.1.4 Wave function molecular modeling suite was used for the calculations of compound 1 on a personal computer by means of DFT method [34]. Hirshfeld surfaces analyses were carried out using CrystalExplorer 17.5 software [35] and the TONTO system [36].

Crystallographic data (excluding structure factors) for the structure in this paper have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB21EZ, UK. Copies of the data can be obtained free of charge on quoting the depository number CCDC-1958103 (Fax: +44–1223–336–033; E-Mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk, http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk) [37].

The complex crystallizes in monoclinic space group \(P 21/c\). There is one manganese(III) center, one 3-Br-5Cl-salen salen-type ligand, one chloride anion, and one water molecule in the asymmetric unit (see Figure 1a). The basal plane of Mn\(^{III}\) center of 1 is chelated by two oxygen and two nitrogen atoms from 3-Br-5Cl-salen unit, with Mn-O(N) bond distances ranging from 1.864(5) to 2.027(5) Å, and O(N)-Mn-O bond angles of 80.8(2) to 173.0(2). The length of Mn-N is, on average, 2.1395(5) Å longer than that of Mn-O [1.898(6) Å]. The dihedral angle between two benzene rings is 8.38(58)°. Thus, the molecule exhibits an overall coplanar architecture, which is, as can be clearly observed, in accordance with those reported in non-halogenated Schiff base complexes [38,39].
Thus, the molecule exhibits an overall coplanar architecture, which is, as can be clearly observed, in accordance with those reported in non-halogenated Schiff base complexes [38,39].

The apical positions of 1 are occupied by one oxygen atom O(3) from a water molecule and one chlorine ligand Cl(3). From which we can clearly see that owing to the Jahn-Teller effect of high-spin d4 metal center, the hexa-coordinate Mn{sup}III{sub} center possesses an elongated axis, furnishing a distorted octahedral geometry. The bond lengths of Mn(1)-O(3) and Mn(1)-Cl(3) are 2.301(6) and 2.500(3) Å, respectively, much longer than those of the basal plane.

It is revealed by PLATON software that a self-assembled supramolecular dimer is built up of two adjacent monomers which are linked by π–π, O–H···O and O–H···Br intermolecular hydrogen interactions (see Figure 1b and Figure S1 (Supplementary Materials)) [40]. Then, the coordination oxygen atoms O(3) form intermolecular hydrogen bonds with the adjacent coordinated chlorine atom Cl(3){sup}i{sub} [i: 1-x, 0.5+y, 1.5-z] which links the neighboring self-assembled supramolecular dimers to form a one-dimensional chain structure (Figures 1b and 2a). In the bc plane, the 1D chains were further linked together through the O–H···Cl H-bonds (O(3)–H(3A)···Cl(3){sup}iii{sub} [iii: 1-x, −0.5+y, 1.5-z]) to form supramolecular dimer 2D layers. Details of intermolecular hydrogen bonding interactions are given in Table 2.
O(3)-H(3B)⋅⋅⋅O(2)ii 0.85 2.4 3.216(9) 161

Symmetry codes: (i) 1-x, -y, -z; (ii) 1-x, 1/2+y, 1/2-z.

Table 2. Hydrogen bonding geometry.

| D-H--A                  | D-H, Å  | H--A, Å  | D···A, Å  | D-H···A, deg |
|-------------------------|---------|----------|-----------|-------------|
| O(3)-H(3A)--Cl(3)i     | 0.85    | 2.52     | 3.303(8)  | 154         |
| O(3)-H(3B)--Br(2)i    | 0.85    | 2.84     | 3.381(9)  | 123         |
| O(3)-H(3B)--O(2)i     | 0.85    | 2.4      | 3.216(9)  | 161         |

Symmetry codes: (i) 1-x,-y,-z; (ii) 1-x,1/2+y,1/2-z.

Figure 2. The crystal packing of complex 1 showing (a) intermolecular hydrogen bonding which is responsible for the stable packing of the chains; (b) intermolecular hydrogen bonding for a 3D framework. Color: the intermolecular O-H--O hydrogen bonds are shown as red dashed lines; the intermolecular O-H--Cl hydrogen bonds are shown as blue dashed lines.
It is well-known that PLATON software is effective in qualitative analyses of classic intermolecular contacts. However, the information it can give about non-classical weak contacts and quantitative results is very limited. Platon only shows the 1D to 2D intermolecular contacts, this seems to suggest that Van der Waals forces play a dominating role in the organization of the 2D layer into 3D network. However, further theoretical calculation shows that there is a close relationship between XB and 3D crystal structure. Detailed structural discussion will be presented in the theoretical analysis section.

2.4. Optimized Structure and HOMO-LUMO

It is known that the electronic properties and reactivity of complex can be elucidated by the analysis of the frontier molecular orbitals [41]. The fit view of experimental and optimized structures of the title compound is given in Figure 3 and Figure S2 (Supplementary Materials). The bond distances and angles of the optimized molecular structure are basically consistent with the experimental structure; generally slight differences are observed because the optimized geometry was obtained by gas-phase DFT optimizations [42]. Selected frontier molecular orbitals three-dimensional plots of 1 are given in Figure 4. The HOMO surface is mainly localized around benzene rings and the coordination chlorine atom, and the LUMO around the metal centers and -C=N- double bond showing an effective communication from π-orbitals throughout the ligand.

Figure 3. The overlay view of experimental structure and optimized structures of 1 are given in black and red, respectively. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity.

Figure 4. Visual representation of molecular orbital surfaces for compound 1.

In order to evaluate the weak contacts and electrochemical reactivity of the title complex, ESP and MEP maps were created by using the B3LYP/6–311G level in ground state [43]. The region shown as
convex surface that represents negative electrostatic potential, which wholly covers around the chlorine ligand (Cl(3)) (see Figure 5a), mostly covers phenoxy oxygen atoms (O(1) and O(2)), and partially covers bromine atoms (Br(1) and Br(2)) in a small semicircle region near the benzene ring, indicating the most highly negative sites are localized around the atoms. Noteworthy, compared with bromine atoms, the substitute chlorine atoms (Cl(1) and Cl(2)) on the benzene rings do not display a higher negative potential, similar results can be found in the molecular electrostatic potential (MEP) map.

![Molecular surface plot of the title compound: (a) electrostatic potential surface and (b) molecular electrostatic potential (MEP) surface of compound 1. The MEP values at selected points are given in kJ/mol\(^{-1}\).](image)

The MEP for the title compound is scaled from \(-200\) kJ mol\(^{-1}\) to \(+220\) kJ mol\(^{-1}\), and the quantitative molecular electrostatic potential surface is displayed with color: red indicates the negative regions and
blue indicates the positive regions. As is shown in Figure 5b and Figure S3 (Supplementary Materials), the region around the halogen atom (Cl(3)) that coordinated to the Mn(III) center (−200 kJ mol⁻¹) exhibits the most highly negative electrostatic potential. Meanwhile, this region is also influenced by the phenoxo oxygen atom (−158 kJ mol⁻¹). Consequently, we can predict that the atom in the region acts as an electron donor in intermolecular interactions. The region of hydrogen atoms on the coordination water molecule (220 kJ mol⁻¹) and the amine group at the molecular plane (84 kJ mol⁻¹) exhibits the most highly positive electrostatic potential. Further study indicated that the bromine and chlorine atoms on the benzene rings present quite different values in different directions. More specifically, along the C-X bond axis, the MEP potential is positive, the MEP values for Br(1) and Cl(2) are calculated to be 70 kJ mol⁻¹ and 51 kJ mol⁻¹, respectively; whereas the potentials in the direction perpendicular to the axis are negative, that for Br(1) and Cl(2) to be −51 kJ mol⁻¹ and −48 kJ mol⁻¹, respectively. That is to say along C-X bond direction halogens is electrophilic (δ⁺) which can act as σ-hole to interact with negatively charged electrostatic nucleophile Figure 6. In addition, although Br atom is close to the most negative region (chlorine ligand and phenoxo oxygen atoms) of the whole molecule, the positive potential of Br along C-Br bond direction is still higher than that in the C-Cl direction of Cl atom. These observation show that the electrostatic potential distribution of halogen atoms on the salen type ligand is basically consistent with other types of halogenated organic compounds.

![Figure 6. Molecular electrostatic potential calculations of 1, (a) σ-hole of bromine atoms (b) σ-hole of chlorine atom. Color range (kJ/mol): where red stands for lower than −140; yellow between −140 and 100; green between −30 and 30; and blue greater than 50.](image)

**2.5. Hirshfeld Surface Analysis**

Hirshfeld surface analysis provides insights into the detailed information about the strength of intermolecular interactions. A better understanding of the issue can contribute to addressing the challenge of quantitatively understanding the intermolecular contacts using visual information of color and shades on the surfaces [35]. Therefore, in this work, Hirshfeld surface analysis was used to analyze the intermolecular interactions in the crystal packing. Moreover, visualized images of the molecular structure and the positioning of Hirshfeld surfaces were also employed to elucidate the interaction of specific atoms and orientations.

The interactions with normalized contact distance in crystal structure shorter than the sum of the corresponding van der Waals radii of the atoms, are highlighted by red spots and mapped with negative $d_{norm}$ value on the Hirshfeld surface, whereas the contacts around that of van der Waals radii with zero $d_{norm}$ value are plotted in white color, and the longer contacts with the positive $d_{norm}$ value are represented in blue color (Figure S4 (Supplementary Materials)) [44]. The largest red region in
Figure 7(left) is originated from strong hydrogen bonding contacts within the coordination chlorine atom and adjacent oxygen atom (O⋯H⋯Cl H-bond (O(3)--H(3A)⋯Cl(3)i[iii: 1−x, −0.5+y, 1.5−z]) [i: −0.5−y, 0.5+z]). This plot is in accordance with electrostatic potential surfaces calculation result that the most strong interaction occurs between the most negative and the most positive region of the title compound. Secondary obvious red regions Figure 7(right) appears at the other side of I, which are ascribed to a pair of symmetric hydrogen bonds within the self-assembled supramolecular dimer: O(3)--H(3A)--O(3)i and O(3)--O(3)i--H(3A)i.

Herein we carried out the mapping of the electrostatic potential on Hirshfeld surfaces at the B3LYP/3–21G level of theory (see Figure 8) [45]. It is clearly shown that the chlorine ligands act as halogen bonding acceptors and link three adjacent molecules participating in the formation of halogen bonding. Although these molecules are arranged in different directions, all the C⋯X⋯Mn angles are close to 180° (Figure 8a, Figure S5 and Table S2 (Supplementary Materials)). Therefore, it is concluded that this is due to the key role of XBs that leads to the organization of the 2D layered sheets of I (Figure 8b). Furthermore, structural analyses show that 2D layered structures are bound to form a 3D supramolecular framework via multiply halogen bonding (Figure 9).

Figure 7. O–H⋯Cl interaction in I wherein the Hirshfeld surface emphasizes short intermolecular contacts.

Figure 8. The three-dimensional map of the potential across the plane for quantifying the intermolecular interactions within the crystal structure. (a) C⋯X⋯Mn interactions between adjacent molecules; (b) XB induced layered structure.
Figure 8. The three-dimensional map of the potential across the plane for quantifying the intermolecular interactions within the crystal structure. (a) C-Cl-Mn interactions between adjacent molecules; (b) XB induced layered structure.

Figure 9. The packing configurations of 1 (a) 3-dimensional network (b) 3-dimensional structure constructed by means of the aggregation behavior fueled by hydrogen bonding. Color code: red dashed lines represent the intermolecular C-X···Cl-Mn hydrogen bonds.

2.6. Intermolecular Interaction Energy

In this work, we used the CrystalExplorer software to calculate and display the interaction energy in a molecular crystal by using the B3LYP method with 3–21G basis set level [46]. Analyzing and quantifying intermolecular interactions in a crystal lattice, including repulsion, electrostatic, dispersion, and polarization etc., could help researchers gain an insight into the underlying interaction energy that happens and leads to the organization of crystal packing into supramolecular architectures in crystalline materials [47].

The molecular environment of the title structure was built at its center and around with a maximum distance of 3.8 Å. The energies’ benchmark was calculated according to Mackenzie’s method to scale different energy frameworks, which shows that the scale factors for electrostatic, dispersion, polarization, and repulsion are 1.057, 0.740, 0.871, and 0.618, respectively.

The relative strengths in interaction energy of molecular packing in all directions obtained here exhibit cylinder-shaped energy frameworks (see Figure 10). Some insignificant contacts weaker than the threshold energy value of 10kcal/mol have been omitted from the original calculation for clarity. From the perspective of the computational results of the interaction energies, it is also revealed that XB interaction should be of fundamental importance because of the fact that XBs conducting electrostatic interactions (−224.4 kJ/mol) play a dominant role in the crystal structure of the investigated system. Additionally, the polarization, repulsion, and total interaction energies are found to be −89.6 kJ/mol, 275.5 kJ/mol, −326.9 kJ/mol, respectively. Details of the interaction energy calculation for 1 are presented in Table S1.
we could regulate the expansion of 2D layers into 3D frameworks by changing the halogen atoms which acts as anion XB acceptors to the adjacent halogens to form multiple XBs. This kind of interaction wise interaction energies (kJ/mol) obtained from the energy framework calculation for compound 1.

| Interactions | Energy (kJ/mol) |
|--------------|----------------|
| Dispersion   | 1.057          |
| Polarization | 0.740          |
| Repulsion    | 0.871          |
| Total        | 0.618          |

From the perspective of the computational results of the interaction energies, it is also revealed that XB interaction should be of fundamental importance because of the fact that XBs conducting electrostatic interactions are predominant over the crystal packing. Because the strength of XBs could be mediated by different halogen atoms, we could regulate the expansion of 2D layers into 3D frameworks by changing the halogen atoms and/or coordinated ones to form different XBs with different strength.

3. Conclusions

In order to get insights of the electronic structure and topologies of XBs in Schiff base complexes, a new hetero-halogenated Schiff-base complex was synthesized and determined by single crystal X-ray diffraction. Crystal structure analyses revealed that classic H-bond is the main driving force in linking the molecules into 1D and 2D structures, and no significant interactions are found from 2D layers to 3D network. Whereas, theoretical calculation gives us a further information about the energy architecture of the 3D structure of this halogenated compound.

Theoretical calculations indicated that the chlorine ligands have the most negative potential, which acts as anion XB acceptors to the adjacent halogens to form multiple XBs. This kind of interaction not only directs the adjacent molecules to form a 2D layered structure but also links the 2D layers into a 3D framework. The intermolecular interaction energy was also evaluated by Hirshfeld surface analysis, and the results indicate that the XB conducting electrostatic interactions are predominant over the crystal packing. Because the strength of XBs could be mediated by different halogen atoms, we could regulate the expansion of 2D layers into 3D frameworks by changing the halogen atoms and/or coordinated ones to form different XBs with different strength.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/2073-4352/10/4/334/s1.

Figure S1: The self-assembled supramolecular dimer of 1. Figure S2: The optimized structures. Figure S3: Full molecular ESP. Figure S4: Hirshfeld surfaces map. Figure S5: short contact of 1. Table S1: Table S1. Molecular pair wise interaction energies (kJ/mol) obtained from the energy framework calculation for compound 1. Table S2. Selected X-Bond Distances and Angles.
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