AAV-mediated delivery of an anti-BACE1 VHH alleviates pathology in an Alzheimer’s disease model
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Abstract

Single domain antibodies (VHHs) are potentially disruptive therapeutics, with important biological value for treatment of several diseases, including neurological disorders. However, VHHs have not been widely used in the central nervous system (CNS), largely because of their restricted blood–brain barrier (BBB) penetration. Here, we propose a gene transfer strategy based on BBB-crossing adeno-associated virus (AAV)-based vectors to deliver VHH directly into the CNS. As a proof-of-concept, we explored the potential of AAV-delivered VHH to inhibit BACE1, a well-characterized target in Alzheimer’s disease. First, we generated a panel of VHHs targeting BACE1, one of which, VHH-B9, shows high selectivity for BACE1 and efficacy in lowering BACE1 activity in vitro. We further demonstrate that a single systemic dose of AAV-VHH-B9 produces positive long-term (12 months plus) effects on amyloid load, neuroinflammation, synaptic function, and cognitive performance, in the App⁰⁻/C23-Alzheimer’s mouse model. These results constitute a novel therapeutic approach for neurodegenerative diseases, which is applicable to a range of CNS disease targets.
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Introduction

The high selectivity of monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) offers unique opportunities to target key proteins involved in the etiology of neurodegenerative conditions, such as Parkinson’s disease and Alzheimer’s disease (AD) (Zhou et al., 2011; Panza et al., 2014). However, their potential as central nervous system (CNS) therapeutics is largely limited by their inability to cross the blood–brain barrier (BBB) (Zafir-Lavie et al., 2018), comparatively poor biodistribution through the parenchyma (Freskgård & Urich, 2017), and short half-life (Wang et al., 2018). In addition, there is the potential for Fc receptor-mediated immunogenicity, mediated by microglia, which can cause vasogenic edema and cerebral microhemorrhage (Panza et al., 2014).

Single variable domain antibodies (VHHs) are increasingly seen as an alternative to mAbs for therapeutic use (Steeland et al., 2016; Bannas et al., 2017; Gomes et al., 2018; Jovčevska & Muyldermans, 2020). In fact, the VHH-based therapeutic Cablivi® (caplacizumab-
yhdhp) was recently approved for market by the US Food and Drug Administration (Scully et al., 2019) for peripheral treatment of adults with acquired Thrombotic Thrombocytopenic Purpura (aTTP). One key reason for their attractiveness over conventional mAbs is their small size and unique structure of their hypervariable complementarity determining regions (CDR), which allows them to access distinct epitopes not available to conventional mAbs, such as enzyme active sites, which are often key drug targets (Hassanzadeh-Ghassabeh et al., 2013). In addition, VHVs have a much lower immunogenic profile than traditional mAbs, largely due to the lack of an Fc-region (Ackaert et al., 2021). Although there have been reports that VHH can pass through the BBB (Li et al., 2016), the degree of penetration is variable and linked to the intrinsic charge on the protein (Belanger et al., 2019). Unfortunately, the amount reaching the CNS, following peripheral injection, is further compromised by their high rate of peripheral clearance, due to renal excretion (Gainkam et al., 2008; Bannas et al., 2015). Although VHVs which do actually reach the CNS (or are directly injected into the CNS) typically have a longer half-life than in plasma, detectable levels are still reduced by up to 50% twenty-four hours post-injection (Dorrestein et al., 2015). Genetic delivery of therapeutic VHVs to the CNS offers a potential solution to these issues, allowing long-term local production (Zafir-Lavie et al., 2018).

Adeno-associated virus (AAV)-based vectors are becoming the vehicle of choice for gene therapy applications, particularly for CNS applications, due to their high efficiency of gene transfer (including to post-mitotic cells) and excellent safety profile (reviewed extensively in Hudry & Vandenberghe, 2019). Furthermore, the identification of BBB-crossing AAV serotypes has opened up the opportunity of minimally invasive vector administration, with uniform gene delivery across the CNS (Deverman et al., 2018). AAV-based vectors have one major drawback, however, in that their cargo capacity is very limited: transgenes larger than 5 kb in size are not efficiently packed into the vector (Trapani et al., 2014). Therefore, VHVs are ideal candidates for AAV-mediated delivery, as they are comparatively small (typically 350 bp in size) and can be easily incorporated into AAV vectors (Verhelle et al., 2017) without extensive modifications that can adversely affect their binding properties (Pain et al., 2015). The latter is generally an issue with AAV-mediated delivery of mAbs, or derivative formats such as single chain fragment variable (scFv) antibodies (Wu et al., 2010; Ahmad et al., 2012). In theory, their small size also allows for additional engineering, for example, directing the VHH into specific trafficking pathways to improve target engagement (Dmitriev et al., 2016), or incorporating specific proteolysis-promoting sequences to stimulate intracellular degradation of toxic species (Baudisch et al., 2018).

In this work, we describe an efficient AAV-based system for delivery of therapeutic VHH to the CNS. As a proof-of-concept strategy, we targeted the β-site amyloid precursor protein-cleaving enzyme 1 (BACE1), which is a key component in amyloid beta peptide (Aβ) production in AD (Vassar et al., 1999; Cai et al., 2001). BACE1 is an ideal target with which to explore the potential of AAV-mediated VHH in the CNS. BACE1 is constitutively active (Colombo et al., 2013) and has been shown genetically to account for the majority of Aβ production in vivo (Atwal et al., 2011). Thus, we reasoned that measuring Aβ concentration in the CNS would provide a direct and robust readout of the efficacy of AAV-mediated VHH delivery. Hence, we adopted a two-stage approach in which we first generated a novel VHH, named B9, and tested this antibody for BACE1 binding and inhibition using a variety of in vitro methods (Zhou et al., 2011). In a second phase, we then used the recently developed AAV-PHP.B to deliver B9 into the CNS of an AD mouse model, producing positive long-term (12 months plus) effects on amyloid load, neuroinflammation, synaptic function, and cognitive performance, after a single systemic injection. Together, our results demonstrate that VHH against key CNS disease targets can be produced and delivered efficiently using systemic application of gene therapy vectors. This approach constitutes a unique therapeutic avenue, not only for AD but also for the broad spectrum of CNS diseases.

Results

Production and identification of BACE1 inhibiting VHH

To generate VHH, a dromedary and a llama were immunized with purified human BACE1 ectodomain (amino acids 46–460). In total, 16 different clones were identified that bind to BACE1. We screened for a possible effect of these VHH on BACE1 activity using an in vitro APP cleavage assay (Zhou et al., 2011). The 16 identified VHVs were recombinantly expressed in bacteria, purified and added to the assay at a final concentration of 5 μM. VHH inhibited BACE1 to varying degrees, with three VHVs consistently giving the highest level of inhibition, with half-maximal effective concentrations (EC50) (95% confidence interval) in the nanomolar range: 99.2 nM (VHH-B9), 112.5 nM (101.1–125.2 nM) (VHH-10C4), and 788.7 nM (682.7–911.2 nM) (VHH-4A2) (Figs 1A and B, (Figs 1A and B). In a second phase, we then used the recently identified VHVs for BACE1 binding and inhibition using a variety of in vitro methods (Zhou et al., 2011). In a second phase, we then used the recently developed AAV-PHP.B to deliver B9 into the CNS of an AD mouse model, producing positive long-term (12 months plus) effects on amyloid load, neuroinflammation, synaptic function, and cognitive performance, after a single systemic injection. Together, our results demonstrate that VHH against key CNS disease targets can be produced and delivered efficiently using systemic application of gene therapy vectors. This approach constitutes a unique therapeutic avenue, not only for AD but also for the broad spectrum of CNS diseases.

Characterization of VHH-BACE1 binding

More detailed examination of VHH-BACE1 binding was obtained using the surface plasmon resonance (SPR) technique. The equilibrium dissociation constant (Kd) was determined for VHH-B9, VHH-10C4, and VHH-4A2 at both pH 7.0 (representing the pH of the extracellular environment) and pH 4.5 (pH of the endosomal compartment). In both cases, VHH-B9 showed the highest affinity for BACE1. Interestingly, binding affinity seemed to be slightly stronger at pH 4.5, which is beneficial, as the majority of APP cleavage is reported to occur in the endosomal system (Sannerud et al., 2011; Ben Halima et al., 2016) (Table 1). Despite the high binding affinity of VHH-B9 toward human and mouse BACE1, it did not show any binding to mouse BACE2 under the same measurement conditions, indicating a high degree of selectivity (Table 2), with epitope mapping suggesting VHH-B9 binds to BACE1 at the structurally unique Helix A and Loop F (Zhou et al., 2011). These structural elements are close to the active site of the enzyme (Fig EV2), indicating that VHH-B9 likely works by blocking effective substrate entry.

VHH-B9 effectively inhibits neuronal BACE1 in primary neuronal cultures

To test whether VHH-B9 inhibits BACE1 in its native membrane environment, we turned to primary neuronal cultures. Cells were...
A VHHs inhibiting BACE1 were identified using an in vitro APP cleavage assay. VHHs were recombinantly expressed in bacteria, purified and added to the assay at a final concentration of 5 µM. VHH-B9, VHH-10C4, and VHH-4A2 consistently inhibited BACE1 activity, compared to PBS or control VHH (Aβ3 and BCIILP, raised against Aβ peptide and β-lactamase BCII 659/H, respectively). Values are mean ± range, n = 2, technical replicates.

B The EC50 values (95% confidence interval) for VHH-B9, VHH-10C4, and VHH-4A2 are 99.2 nM (83.8–117.5 nM), 112.5 nM (101.1–125.2 nM), and 788.7 nM (682.7–911.2 nM), respectively. Values are mean ± S.D., n = 3, technical replicates.

C, D Primary cultured neurons were transduced by Semliki Forest virus (SFV) expressing wild-type human APP695 and treated with PBS and anti-GFP VHH were used as controls. (C) Western blot analysis of conditioned media for sAPPα and sAPPβ, as well as cell extracts for full-length APP, CTFα, and CTFβ. (D) ELISA measurements of Aβ1-40 and Aβ1-42 in conditioned media. Values are mean ± S.E.M., n = 3 cultures for each analysis. One-way ANOVA, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.0001.

E, F Dose-dependent inhibition of BACE1 in primary cultured neurons by VHH-B9. Cultured neurons were transduced by SFV expressing wild-type human APP695 and treated with PBS (control: CT) or decreasing concentrations of VHH-B9, ranging from 10 µM to 0.7 nM. (E) Western blot analysis of conditioned media for sAPPα and sAPPβ, as well as cell extracts for full-length APP, CTFα, and CTFβ. (F) Conditioned media was analyzed by ELISA to assess levels of Aβ1-40. Values are mean ± S.D., n = 3 cultures for each analysis. The EC50 value (95% confidence interval) was estimated as 85.4 nM (52.5–125.6 nM).
transduced by Semliki Forest Virus (SVF) expressing wild-type human APP695 and then treated for 12 hours with recombinant VHH-B9 at a final concentration of 3 μM. Treatment with VHH-B9 decreased the levels of sAPPβ, CTFβ, Aβ1–40, and Aβ1–42 detected. Levels of full-length APP, however, remained unchanged (Fig 1C and D) (Zhou et al, 2011; Tesserue et al, 2013). VHH-B9 inhibits BACE1 in cultured neurons in a dose-dependent manner (Fig 1E and F), with an EC_{50} (95% confidence interval) of 85.4 nM (52.5 nM to 125.6 nM). VHH-10C4 and VHH-4A2 were also tested in neuronal cultures. While both these VHds inhibited BACE1 activity, VHH-B9 was the most effective, as predicted from the in vitro assays. Together, these results indicate that VHH-B9 efficiently inhibits BACE1 activity in its native neuronal environment.

**AAV serotype PHP.B allows safe, long-term VHH-B9 expression in the CNS following a single systemic dose**

We next decided to determine whether AAV-mediated B9 delivery (AAV-VHH-B9) could lower Aβ production and reduce Aβ-related pathologies in a mouse model of Alzheimer’s disease. For these experiments, the cDNA for VHH-B9 was modified to contain an N-terminal BACE1 signal peptide to direct VHH to the BACE1 trafficking pathway to maximize the chance of productive interactions between the two proteins; a C-terminal eMyc tag was also added to the VHH to aid detection. This cDNA sequence was then cloned into a standard AAV2-based expression cassette, which also contained the ubiquitously active chimeric CAG promoter (cytomegalovirus early enhancer element/chicken β-actin promoter/rabbit beta globin splice acceptor site), a woodchuck post-transcriptional regulatory element (WPRE), and a bovine growth hormone poly(A) sequence (pA). This expression cassette was then packaged into an AAV capsid using standard protocols (Fripont et al, 2019). Given that Alzheimer's disease shows brain-wide pathology, we opted to use the BBB penetrant PHP.B serotype, which results in homogeneous CNS transduction, following a single, minimally invasive systemic injection (Rincon et al, 2018). In all AAV-based experiments, a vector encoding GFP was used as a control.

Experiments were conducted in the AppNL-G-F Alzheimer mouse model, in which the endogenous APP locus is modified to contain a humanized Aβ sequence containing three AD-related mutations: Swedish, Iberian/Beyreuther, and Artic. The use of a knock-in strategy to generate these mice ensures they accurately recapitulate endogenous APP expression, avoiding the overexpression artifacts typically observed in transgenic animals, making them a preferred platform for drug screening (Sasaguri et al, 2017). Due to the mutations in APP, these mice display increased total Aβ production, an increased Aβ1–42/40 ratio, and greater propensity to Aβ aggregation and plaque formation (Saito et al, 2014). The first detectable plaques occur between 2 and 4 months of age, with Aβ levels plateauing at approximately 9–10 months of age and plaques visible throughout the brain (Mehla et al, 2019), consistent with reported behavioral and cognitive deficits from 6 months of age in this model (Fig 2A) (Saito et al, 2014).

In a proof-of-concept study, designed to test VHH production and target engagement following systemic (tail vein) AAV injection, 6-week-old AppNL-G-F mice were administered a dose of 1 × 10^{12} vector genomes (vg) per mouse of either AAV-VHH-B9 or AAV-GFP (Appendix Table S1). Twelve-week post-injection, ELISA measurements showed that VHH-B9 decreased Aβ1–40 and Aβ1–42 in the cortex and hippocampus of treated animals, in comparison with the control cohort (Fig EV3), consistent with colocalization of VHH-B9 with BACE1 at the immunohistochemical level (Fig EV3). No apparent toxicity, derived from either the VHH or the vector, was observed in these small animal cohorts, based on overall survival rates. Hence, AAV-mediated delivery is a feasible strategy for delivery of therapeutic nanobodies across the BBB into the CNS.

**Long-term, systemic VHH-B9 delivery reduces cognitive deficits in APPNL-G-F mice**

We decided to build on these proof-of-concept data by designing a longitudinal study to assess the possible beneficial effects of extended VHH expression/activity in an AD mouse model (Fig 2A). Experiments were designed based on large cohort sizes, allowing both reliable behavioral testing and detailed pathological examination post-euthanasia. A description of all animal cohorts and the experiments performed is given in Appendix Table S1.

AppNL-G-F mice received a dose of 1 × 10^{12} vg/mouse of either AAV-VHH-B9 or AAV-GFP via tail vein injection at 6 weeks of age. Three cohorts of age-matched C57Bl/6J mice (AAV-VHH-B9 injected, AAV-GFP injected, and wild type) were also included as controls for vector effect on normal learning and behavior. On reaching 10 months of age, we performed two behavioral tests associated with clinical signs of Alzheimer’s disease, including social preference and novelty memory, and spatial learning and reference memory (Latif-Hernandez et al, 2019). During social preference and novelty testing, our AppNL-G-F and C57Bl/6J cohorts showed normal

---

**Table 1. Binding affinities of selected VHds for BACE1.** Measurements were made on a Biacore instrument, using purified BACE1 ectodomain coupled to a CM5 chip. Binding constants were calculated at both pH 7.0 and pH 4.5 to confirm that the selected VHds bind BACE1 under physiological conditions (extracellular space or trafficking endosome, respectively).

| VH | Binding affinities of selected VHH to human BACE1 |
|---|---|
| | pH | k_{on} (M^{-1} s^{-1}) | k_{off} (s^{-1}) | K_{D} (nM) |
| VHH-B9 | 7.0 | 2.67 × 10^{4} | 9.80 × 10^{-4} | 3.7 |
| | 4.5 | 6.62 × 10^{4} | 1.30 × 10^{-4} | 1.9 |
| VHH-10C4 | 7.0 | 1.06 × 10^{5} | 7.92 × 10^{-5} | 74.7 |
| | 4.5 | 4.51 × 10^{5} | 1.25 × 10^{-2} | 27.7 |
| VHH-4A2 | 7.0 | 4.79 × 10^{5} | 2.31 × 10^{-2} | 48.2 |
| | 4.5 | 3.97 × 10^{5} | 8.41 × 10^{-3} | 21.2 |

**Table 2. Binding affinities of VHH-B9 for BACE1 and BACE2.**

| | Binding affinities of VHH-B9 to BACE1 vs. BACE2 |
|---|---|
| Conc. (nM) | k_{on} (M^{-1} s^{-1}) | k_{off} (s^{-1}) |
| mBACE1* | 100 | 2.24 × 10^{3} | 7.20 × 10^{-4} | 3.2 |
| hBACE1* | 100 | 1.53 × 10^{3} | 4.43 × 10^{-4} | 2.9 |
| mBACE2 | 100 | NR | NR | NR* |

h, human; m, mouse; NR, no response.
social interactions, defined as a preference for interaction with a “novel” mouse (measured by the degree to which the mouse sniffed a compartment (S1) containing a novel mouse, in preference to an empty compartment (E) (Fig 2B, left). To test social memory, following this initial interaction, an additional “novel” mouse was placed into the opposing compartment (S2). As expected, preference for social novelty was observed in C57Bl/6J controls (Fig EV4A). AppNL-G-F mice administered with AAV-GFP, on the other hand, did not show any preference for interacting with a “new” mouse, indicating impaired social recognition memory (Fig 2B, right). In direct contrast, AppNL-G-F mice injected with AAV-VHH-B9 did show significant interactions (Fig 2B, right). This result suggests that VHH-B9 administration prevents, or at least slows, the decline in cognitive performance and impairment in social memory observed in AppNL-G-F mice. AAV-VHH-B9 administration to AppNL-G-F mice did not, however, improve performance in spatial learning and reference memory, tested using a standard Morris Water Maze (MWM) (Fig EV4B–G).
Following the conclusion of behavioral testing (roughly 12-month post-injection), animals were euthanized. Tissue sections were produced from a small number of mice and the persistence of VHH-B9 expression and biodistribution checked using antibody staining for cMyc. Brain-wide cell transduction and VHH-B9 expression were observed, with particularly high levels observed in brain regions previously implicated in aspects of social behavior, specifically Prefrontal Cortex, Dentate gyrus, CA1, and Amygdala (Fig 2C) (Kogan et al, 2000; Broadbent et al, 2004; Huang et al, 2020; Botterill et al, 2021). To try and link improvements in behavior to pathology, we concentrated on these brain areas for our further analysis.

**Improved cognitive performance is related to decreased amyloid burden**

A further set of tissue samples were used to determine Aβ content in AAV-treated AppNL-G-F mice. Wild-type mice were excluded from this analysis as plaque deposition is not typically detected even at 24 months of age (Saito et al, 2014). Plaques were visualized using co-staining with an anti-Aβ antibody (green) and Thioflavin-S (for beta sheet structure typical of amyloids) (magenta) (Figs 3A and EV5A). Aβ plaques were quantified and their surface areas were measured. We observed both a decrease in total plaque number and plaque size in all brain regions assessed (Fig 3B and C). Finally, ELISA experiments showed a significant decrease in the levels of both insoluble Aβ1-40 and insoluble Aβ1-42 in mice treated with AAV-VHH-B9 (Fig 3D).

**Reduced astrogliosis and microgliosis concomitant to reduced amyloid load**

We next assessed whether AAV-VHH-B9 could reduce the level of neuroinflammation associated with amyloid deposition in AppNL-G-F mice. Neuroinflammation is often associated with an increase in the number of both GFAP+ astrocytes and IBA1+ microglia surrounding plaques. Unfortunately, both Dentate Gyrus and CA1 contain GFAP+ astrocytes in wild-type animals. However, AAV-VHH-B9 administration resulted in a significant difference in the number of reactive astrocytes in both Prefrontal Cortex and Amygdala (Fig 4A) in AppNL-G-F mice. Likewise, microgliosis was also significantly reduced in both Dentate Gyrus and Amygdala (Fig 4B), with a trend toward reduced microgliosis in Prefrontal Cortex and CA1. Crucially, vector administration (VHH-B9 or GFP) did not induce gliosis in wild-type animals, indicating that the vector itself does not induce an inflammatory response, consistent with the overall lack of toxicity observed. Likewise, ELISAs for anti-VHH indicated lack of a significant immune response against B9 (Appendix Fig S2).

**AAV-VHH-B9 treatment prevents loss of LTP in AppNL-G-F mice**

AppNL-G-F mice typically show loss of hippocampal long-term potentiation (LTP) from 6 months of age onwards (Latif-Hernandez et al, 2020), which is thought to underlie, at least in part, the cognitive impairments that develop in this mouse strain (Saito et al, 2014; Mehta et al, 2019). To test whether we could correlate the observed improvement in cognitive performance to improved circuit function, we decided to test LTP induction in treated and control mice. We used acute hippocampal slices on a multi-electrode array (MEA), allowing us to electrically stimulate the Schaffer collaterals while recording the evoked field excitatory post-synaptic potentials (fEPSPs) in CA1. As expected, in wild-type mice (including those treated with vector), application of a high-frequency stimulation (HFS) protocol induced a potentiation in the recorded fEPSP amplitude (Fig 5A–C). In contrast, in AppNL-G-F mice treated with AAV-GFP, LTP was reduced (Fig 5A–C), consistent with previously published data showing synaptic impairment and reduced long-term plasticity in this mouse model (Latif-Hernandez et al, 2019). These defects in synaptic function are consistent with the reduction in (functional) synaptic connections in the immediate vicinity of Aβ plaques (Fig EV5B). Treatment with AAV-VHH-B9 prevented typical decline in LTP reported in AppNL-G-F mice (Fig 5A–C).

Taken together, our data unequivocally demonstrate that a single systemic dose of AAV-PHP.B allows long-term, non-toxic expression of VHH-B9 in the CNS, producing significant effects on amyloid load, neuroinflammation, synaptic function, and cognitive performance in AppNL-G-F mice.

**Discussion**

To date, CNS diseases have been largely untreatable. A large class of CNS diseases are comprised of the so-called “proteinopathies,” which are thought to be caused by mutant proteins with toxic effects. Therapies based on RNA interference (RNAi) and anti-sense oligonucleotides (ASOs) are currently being trialed, but both approaches suffer from the fact that they typically target the whole protein, without any ability to distinguish between mutant and wild-type protein conformations (Cao & Heng, 2005). CRISPR/Cas9

---

**Figure 3.** BACE1 inhibition in AppNL-G-F mice by AAV-VHH-B9 delivery significantly reduces amyloid beta load.

AAV-VHH-B9 or AAV-GFP (1 x 10^{12} vector genomes per mouse) were systemically injected into 6-week-old AppNL-G-F mice. Brains were recovered approximately 12-month post-injection, following the completion of behavioral testing. A Co-staining with anti-N-Terminal Aβ 82E1 (green) and Thioflavin-S (magenta) reveals Aβ plaques (arrow heads) in regions of interest. Representative images are shown. Scale bar 50 μm. B, C The number of Aβ plaques in regions of interest was significantly reduced by treatment AAV-VHH-B9 (B), as was total plaque area (C). D Quantification of insoluble Aβ1-40 and Aβ1-42 in the cortex and hippocampus of AppNL-G-F mice measured using ELISA. Each dot represents the mean between duplicate Aβ measurements in each individual mouse. Long-term VHH-B9 expression resulted in a significant reduction in the amyloid load. Data information: (B) and (C) show plaque load measured in three slices from each of three independent animals (selected based on their performance in the sociability and preference for social novelty test); each dot represents plaque load in an individual slice. Bars represent mean ± SEM. Sample group size is shown in the graph. Statistical analyses for PFC in Fig 3B, and PFC and Amygdala in Fig 3C were performed with a Mann–Whitney test, due to a non-normal data distribution. The remaining analyses were performed with an unpaired t-test with Welch’s correction. (B) *P < 0.007, **P = 0.004–0.0005, ***P < 0.0004, ****P < 0.0003–0.0005, (D) **P = 0.002, ***P = 0.0005, ****P < 0.0001. (C) **P = 0.001–0.004, ***P = 0.0005–0.0001.
Figure 3.
gene editing/correction remains an interesting possibility, but concerns remain about off-target effects and long-term toxicity (Zhang et al., 2015; Morgens et al., 2017).

In contrast, monoclonal antibodies have been widely adopted for clinical use because of their high specificity (including the ability to target independent functional domains and/or toxic epitopes/ conformations) and good safety profile (Berger, 2002; Lu et al., 2020).

Single variable domain antibodies (VHHs) are increasingly seen as an alternative to conventional monoclonal antibodies for therapeutic purposes (Steeland et al., 2016; Bannas et al., 2017; Gomes et al., 2018; Jovčevska & Muyldermans, 2020), as their small size and the unique structure of their antigen binding domain allows them to access unique epitopes not available to conventional antibodies. As such, VHHs have great potential to stabilize desired protein

Figure 4. BACE1 inhibition in AppNL-G-F mice by AAV-VHH-B9 delivery significantly reduces neuroinflammation.

AAV-VHH-B9 or AAV-GFP were systemically injected into AppNL-G-F mice at a dose of $1 \times 10^{12}$ vg when mice were 6 weeks of age. Brains were recovered approximately 12-month post-injection following behavioral testing.

A. (left) Representative images of anti-GFAP immunostaining in Prefrontal Cortex and Amygdala from AppNL-G-F mice receiving either AAV-VHH-B9 or AAV-GFP; (right) quantification of the GFAP+ cell number in the indicated brain regions across experimental cohorts.

B. (left) Representative images of anti-IBA1 immunostaining in dentate gyrus and amygdala from AppNL-G-F mice receiving either AAV-VHH-B9 or AAV-GFP; (right) quantification of the IBA1+ cell number in the indicated brain regions across experimental cohorts.

Data information: For both (A) and (B), cell numbers were measured in three slices from each of three independent animals (selected based on their performance in the sociability and preference for social novelty test); each dot represents cells counted in an individual slice. Bars represent mean ± SEM. Sample group size is shown in the graph. Statistical analyses were performed with an Unpaired t-test with Welch’s correction. (A) *P = 0.02, **P = 0.001; (B) *P = 0.01. Scale bars, 50 μm.
conformations (Steyaert & Kobilka, 2011), target toxic protein epitopes/conformations (Schut et al, 2015; Gettemans & De Dobbeleer, 2021), and/or block protein function (Lauwereys et al, 1998).

Despite the obvious attractiveness of antibody-based therapies for CNS disease, there are three major issues which complicate their use. The first is the chronic, multi-system nature of these diseases, the second is the shielding effect of the BBB which limits antibody access to the CNS from the systemic circulation, and the third is the restricted diffusion of antibodies through the parenchyma and uptake into cells (Levites et al, 2006; Wolak et al, 2015; Pardridge & Chou, 2021). Combined these three issues mean that constant dosing with high levels of antibody will be necessary to achieve any meaningful disease-modifying effect (Ovacik & Lin, 2018), even those modified to allow transcytosis across the BBB (Pardridge & Chou, 2021). One possible strategy to generate long-term antibody production and disease protection is antibody gene transfer with viral vectors, particularly AAV-based vectors (Brady et al, 2017; Robert et al, 2017; Martinez-Navio et al, 2020). Historically, however, this approach has suffered from a number of drawbacks. The most notable is that the vector requires direct injection of the AAV into the brain parenchyma which, despite the risks associated with such a surgical procedure (infection, hemorrhage, edema, etc.), only leads to transduction of the tissue immediately surrounding the injection site. This issue has been largely offset by the recent identification of BBB-crossing AAVs, which combine minimally invasive vector administration with uniform gene delivery across the CNS (Deverman et al, 2018). The other drawback is that the limited transgene capacity of AAV means that extensive antibody refactoring is often necessary in order not to exceed the packaging limit. The format most commonly used is the so-called single chain variable fragment (scFv), which is a fusion of the variable regions of the antibody heavy and light chains, separated by a short linker. Unfortunately, extensive optimization is generally needed to optimize both the length and hydrophilicity of the linker sequence, to ensure correct protein folding allowing efficient antigen binding (Ewert et al, 2004; Ahmad et al, 2012). This is further compounded by the fact that the highly reducing environment of the cell typically prevents the formation of disulfide bridges, which may be needed for assembly and stability (Soetens et al, 2020). In contrast, the smaller size, single binding domain, and stability of VHH make them ideal candidates for incorporation into AAVs. The excellent safety profile of these vectors, coupled with the long-term transgene expression possible (up to 15 years in non-human primates (Sehara et al, 2017) and 6 years in humans (Marks et al, 2016)), has made them the vector of choice for long-term treatment of CNS conditions, with the new opportunities for homogeneous, brain-wide gene transfer afforded by BBB crossing AAVs opening up the possibility of efficient treatment of multi-system disorders (Deverman et al, 2018). In this respect, the recent approval of Zolgensma has revolutionized the treatment of pediatric spinal muscular atrophy (SMA) (Mendell et al, 2017), with significant increases in survival and motor skills reported in patients, following a single systemic injection of vector.

In this work, we set out to establish proof-of-principle for safe and efficient delivery of therapeutic VHH into the CNS using next-generation BBB-crossing AAVs, by targeting BACE1 an important CNS target central to AD pathology (Vassar et al, 1999; Barão et al, 2016; Voytyuk et al, 2018). Through our VHH discovery platform, we identified several BACE1 inhibitors, of which VHH-B9 emerged as the strongest candidate, based on specificity and degree of inhibition. Exploiting the intrinsic stability of VHHs and ease of engineering (Vincke et al, 2009), we further refined the system by incorporating a signal peptide into the VHH sequence, allowing intracellular expression and directed trafficking to the endosome, where the majority of
BACE1-mediated APP cleavage is thought to occur (Sannerud et al., 2011; Ben Halima et al., 2016). This was done with the aim of promoting VHH-BACE1 interactions early in the secretory pathway, which may be beneficial as some mutations in APP, such as the Swedish mutation (Swe), are known to promote processing of APP in the secretory pathway itself (Sasaguri et al., 2017).

Exploiting the suitability of the VHH platform for use with AAV-delivery, we then incorporated the cDNA for VHH-B9 into the BBB crossing AAV serotype PHP.B, and performed a longitudinal study in the App<sup>−/−;G-F</sup> mouse line. One single systemic administration of AAV-PHP.B at 6 weeks of age allowed CNS-wide transduction and sustained production of VHH-B9 for in excess of 12-month post-vector administration, with no overt signs of toxicity. Consistent with a central role of BACE1 in Aβ production, long-term VHH expression resulted in a significant reduction in insoluble Aβ accumulation and the number and size of Aβ plaques, significantly reduced the levels of astro- and microgliosis, and protected against loss of synaptic function. Although reports of cognitive deficits in the App<sup>−/−;G-F</sup> mouse line have varied between laboratories (Saito et al., 2014; Whyte et al., 2018; Latif-Hernandez et al., 2019), we could detect impairments in sociability and preference for social novelty, as well as spatial learning and reference memory, in untreated mice at 12 months of age. Crucially, treatment with VHH-B9 appears to prevent, or at least slow, impairment in social memory in this mouse line, consistent with improved pathology in key brain areas associated with this behavior (Bicks et al., 2015; Okuyama et al., 2016; Ko, 2017; Hainmueller & Bartos, 2020; Huang et al., 2020). We did not, however, see any effect of VHH-B9 on spatial learning and reference memory in the Morris Water Maze (MWM). Given the overall positive effects of VHH-B9 expression, the most parsimonious explanation at this moment is that spatial learning and reference memory are more prone to disruption by Aβ accumulation and/or associated neuroinflammation, meaning a greater reduction in Aβ would be required to see any effect (see below).

In direct contrast, a traditional passive immunization, albeit with a different anti-BACE1 VHH (VHH-B3a; 75 µg), showed no significant decrease in the level of soluble Aβ<sub>40</sub> in the APP/PS1 mouse line 24-hour post-injection (Dorrestijn et al., 2015). This presumably reflects the relative high dissociation constant of this VHH for BACE1 (0.3 µM), a gradual decrease in available VHH (possibly as a result of clearance), or a combination of both factors. A dose-dependent inhibition of BACE1 activity was seen following direct parenchymal injections of conventional mAbs, or systemic administration of mAbs engineered to cross the BBB by receptor-mediated transcytosis (Zhou et al., 2011; Cheng et al., 2013; Ye et al., 2017). In these examples, however, effective inhibition was typically limited to a period of up to 48-hour post-injection. Taken together, we believe these results largely validate our decision to use AAV-mediated delivery of VHH.

The data we present here support the hypothesis that BACE1 inhibition will likely be beneficial for AD patients. Although there have been a number of clinical trials using BACE1 inhibitors that were terminated prematurely due to adverse side effects, there is reason to believe that these failures result from poor drug specificity, an incomplete understanding of BACE1 biology and incorrect trial design, leading to off-target toxicity and/or issues of dosing (McDade et al., 2021). However, there are reasons to believe that a sustained steady state reduction, similar to that which we report, may be worthwhile pursuing. First, a reduction of 50% in the levels of BACE1 activity is sufficient to significantly reduce Aβ plaques, neuritic burden, and synaptic deficits in mouse models of AD (McConlogue et al., 2007), similar to the effects seen with a reduction of 30% in the levels of gamma secretase activity (Li et al., 2007). Second, a mutation in human APP, which reduces cleavage by BACE1 (Jonsson et al., 2012), leads to a reduction in cerebral Aβ of approximately 20%, with carriers showing lifelong protection against AD and cognitive decline (although issues with the mutation affecting aggregation of Aβ peptides cannot be fully excluded) (Benilova et al., 2014; Maloney et al., 2014). These observations provide strong support for continued efforts to target BACE1 therapeutically in AD (Hampel et al., 2021; McDade et al., 2021), although dosing and timing of treatment relative to disease progression will be critical, with reports suggesting that treatment will be more efficient early in disease before heavy plaque deposition (McDade et al., 2021). As such, effective treatment will also depend on improvements in related disciplines, including genetic testing, biomarker identification, and cognitive tests, to identify patients who can benefit from treatment.

Critically, partial long-term inhibition of BACE1 may not only result in a positive suppression of plaque load, but may also reduce (or completely avoid) the known toxicity resulting from complete loss of BACE1 function, including issues of hypomyelination (Willem et al., 2006), aberrant synaptic homeostasis and plasticity (Filser et al., 2015), axon guidance abnormalities (Rajapaksha et al., 2011; Cao et al., 2012; Ou-Yang et al., 2018), impairments in spatial and working memory (Egan et al., 2019; Henley et al., 2019; Knopman, 2019), and retinal pathology (Cai et al., 2012). In this respect, our observation that AAV-mediated delivery of VHH-B9 did not substantially affect cleavage of the alternative BACE1 substrate seizure protein 6 (SEZ6), which is important for maintenance of dendritic spines and synaptic plasticity (Gunnersen et al., 2007; Pignoni et al., 2016; Zhu et al., 2018), is interesting, as it implies that VHH-B9 may be an APP-selective BACE1 inhibitor, a finding consistent with the results we obtained on synaptic function and LTP induction. Such a tool would be enormously valuable for avoiding substrate-specific side effects, and this will be followed up in future studies. The specificity of VHH-B9 for BACE1 also avoids issues of impaired glucose homeostasis, hypopigmentation, seizures, and blindness (among others), which are associated with cross-reactivity with BACE2, Cathepsin D, and Cathepsin E (Voytyuk et al., 2018).

Moving forward the system needs to be optimized to maximize reductions in Aβ with the minimal possible vector dose, to ensure safety. In this respect, adjustments can be made in both the vector system and VHH cargo.

As a proof-of-concept study, we were concerned with maximizing transduction of the CNS, following systemic delivery. As such, we used the recently developed AAV9 variant, PHP.B, which shows enhanced blood–brain barrier crossing and CNS transduction following intravenous dosing (Deverman et al., 2016; Rincon et al., 2018). However, the BBB crossing appears dependent on the presence of LY6A protein on vascular endothelial cells (Huang et al., 2019), the absence of which is thought to account for the lack of efficient CNS transduction in some primate studies (Hordeaux et al., 2018). Development of new capsids is a major ongoing research
in the aforementioned areas that finally makes AAV-mediated delivery of VHH a clinically relevant option for CNS disorders.

In summary, our data demonstrate for the first time the therapeutic (disease-modifying) potential of combining VHH and next-generation AAV-based vectors. Moving forward, we propose that vector-mediated VHH delivery can be successfully exploited for the treatment of a variety of CNS conditions with defined targets, such as Parkinson’s disease and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, which are at present untreatable. As such, we think additional studies to optimize both VHH for these targets and the design of delivery vectors are warranted.

Materials and Methods

Animals

The AppNL-G-F line (official strain name App<sup>flu</sup>/App<sup>flu</sup>) is a knock-in model of Alzheimer’s type amyloidosis, in which the murine App gene has been replaced with a humanized version, containing mutations linked to familial forms of AD. The Swedish (NL), Iberian (F), and Arctic (G) mutations increase total Aβ production, A<sub>β1–42</sub>/A<sub>β1–40</sub> ratio, and facilitate Aβ aggregation, respectively. The use of gene knock-in ensures that issues associated with APP overproduction and mis-localized expression are avoided. This mouse line recapitulates several symptoms associated with Alzheimer’s disease, the most prominent being synapse loss, microgliosis, astrogliosis, and age-dependent cognitive decline.

All animal procedures were performed in accordance with the regulations of the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of RU Leuven (ethical permission document P209/2015). Animals were initially bred and housed in a specific pathogen free (SPF) facility. Following AAV injection, animals were moved to a conventional facility with controlled humidity, temperature, and light conditions. All the animals were housed in groups (max 5 animals/cage) within individually ventilated (IVC) cages. When necessary, animals were transferred to the behavioral testing facility, where they were housed in standard filter top cages (max 4 animals/cage). All cages contained wood chip bedding and were enriched with materials allowing nest building and concealment. Food and water were provided ad libitum.

Immunization and VHH library construction

Two VHH libraries were generated using a protocol described previously, with minor modifications (Vincke et al., 2012). The first library was produced by immunization of a dromedary with recombinant non-glycosylated human BACE1 ectodomain (amino acids 46–460), purified from insect cell cultures (Bruinzeel et al., 2002). The second library was produced by immunization of a llama with human BACE1 ectodomain purified from HEK293 cell cultures (Zhou et al., 2011). Briefly, the dromedary or llama received six subcutaneous immunizations at weekly intervals with 150 μg BACE1. Immune responses were evaluated using ELISAs, after purification of conventional IgG1 molecules, or the heavy-chain-only subclasses IgG2 and IgG3, from serum. In all, three different subclasses of IgG were cloned into the pHEN4 phagemid and transformed into electrocompetent TG1 E. coli cells to generate a library of 6 x 10<sup>7</sup> transformants with 90% correct inserts from the dromedary, and another library of 5 x 10<sup>7</sup> transformants with more than 78% correct inserts from the llama.

Panning of VHH libraries

Panning of VHH libraries was performed according to a previously described protocol (Vincke et al., 2012), with two different strategies used to enrich BACE1-binding VHHS. The first strategy used an
ELISA-based technique combined with high pH elution. Briefly, libraries were rescued with M13K07 helper phage and phage particles were then prepared and applied to ELISA plates pre-coated with purified BACE1 ectodomain. After incubation and washing, the bound phage particles were eluted with 100 mM triethylamine (pH 10.0) and immediately neutralized with 1 M Tris-HCl (pH 7.5). Alternatively, purified BACE1 ectodomain protein was labeled with Sulfo-NHS-SS-Bio (Pierce), according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Phage particles were pre-blocked with 1% (w/v) BSA in phage panning buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 150 mM NaCl and 0.05% (v/v) Tween-20), and incubated with 200 nM biotin-labeled BACE1. Streptavidin-coated paramagnetic beads (Pierce), pre-blocked in 1% BSA, were used to capture biotin-labeled BACE1. After extensive washes, the bound protein and phage particles were eluted by 50 mM DTT. Eluates from both approaches were used to re-infect exponentially growing TG1 cells for a further round of phage panning. After three consecutive rounds of panning, phages recovered from the second and third rounds were used to infect exponentially growing TG1 cells, which were plated out at dilutions of $10^{-4}$, $10^{-5}$, and $10^{-6}$. Single colonies were picked for further analysis.

**Phage ELISA Screening**

Single colonies retrieved from different rounds of phage panning were grown with shaking at 220 rpm in 2 ml 2× YT medium (1.6% (w/v) bacto tryptone, 0.5% (w/v) NaCl, and 1% (w/v) yeast extract in water) supplemented with 100 µg/ml ampicillin and 1% (w/v) glucose in 24-well plates for 8 h at 37°C. Bacteria in the exponential growth phase were infected with M13K07 helper phages (5×10⁸ plaque forming units [pfu] per well) for 20 min at room temperature. After addition of 70 µg/ml of kanamycin to the cells, they were further grown overnight at 37°C, with constant shaking at 220 rpm. The next morning, bacteria were harvested by centrifugation at 1,200g Av for 20 min. Supernatants containing phage particles were tested for binding to BACE1 in an ELISA. Essentially, 96-well plates (Nunc) were coated with BACE1 ectodomain protein at 100 ng/well overnight at 4°C. Non-coated wells were used as a control. The plates were blocked with 3% milk (w/v) in PBS for 1 h at RT. After blocking, 100 µl of each phage particle containing supernatant was added to a coated and a non-coated well and incubated for 2 h at room temperature. Plates were then washed using washing buffer (0.05% (v/v) Tween-20, PBS) and further incubated with HRP-conjugated anti-M13 antibody (GE Healthcare, #27942101) at a 1:3,000 dilution in 3% (w/v) milk in PBS for 1 h at room temperature. Plates were then extensively washed and developed using 0.2 mg/ml ABTS (2,2′-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid; Sigma) in 50 mM citric acid, pH 4.0 supplemented with 0.2% (v/v) H₂O₂ (Sigma) as a substrate. Signals were read at 405 nm with an ELISA plate reader (2103 EnVision, Perkin Elmer).

**ELISA screening of periplasmic extracts**

The expression vector pHEN4 encodes a PeLb (pectate lyase) signal sequence at the N-terminus of the multiple cloning site. Hence, the VHHS encoded by the plasmid are exported to the periplasmic space when expressed in bacterial cultures. To generate periplasmic extract for VHH screening, single colonies, identified by phage ELISA, were inoculated in individual wells of a 24-well plate, each containing 1 ml Terrific Broth (TB) medium (2.4% (w/v) yeast extract, 2% (w/v) tryptone, 0.4% (v/v) glycerol, 17 mM KH₂PO₄, and 72 mM K₂HPO₄) supplemented with 100 µg/ml ampicillin. Plates were left at 37°C with shaking at 220 rpm. When the OD₆₀₀ reached 0.6 in a well, 1 mM IPTG (isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside) was added to the culture to induce protein expression. Bacteria were grown for approximately 16 h at 28°C and then were harvested by centrifugation at 1,200 g Av for 20 min. Cell pellets were resuspended in TES solution (200 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8), 0.5 mM EDTA, 500 nM sucrose) and incubated on ice for 30 min. To release the VHH from the periplasmic space, a mild osmotic shock was given by adding 1.5× volume of TES buffer diluted four times in H₂O. After incubation on ice for 45 min, the supernatants were cleared by centrifugation at 1,000 g Av for 20 min at 4°C and tested by ELISA. As the VHHS were engineered to contain a C-terminal hemagglutinin (HA) tag, a mouse monoclonal anti-HA antibody (Covance, MMS-101P) was used as the detection antibody. An alkaline phosphatase conjugated goat anti-mouse antibody (Sigma) was used as the secondary antibody. ELISA plates were developed using PNPP (p-Nitrophenylphosphate; Sigma) as a substrate. Signals were read at 405 nm with an ELISA reader (2103 EnVision, Perkin Elmer).

**Sequence analysis and subcloning of VHHS into a bacterial expression vector**

Positive colonies identified by phage ELISA and periplasmic extract ELISA were analyzed by PCR and restriction enzyme digestion (using Hinfl and TaqI enzymes), in order to group different sequence patterns. Primers used for colony PCR were forward 5′-CTAGTGCGGCCCCGCTGGAGACCGTGACTGGG-3′ and reverse 5′-TCACACAGGAAAACAGCTATGA-3′. VHHS were labeled with restriction enzyme digestion patterns were randomly chosen for sequencing and subcloned into the pHEN6 expression vector, which contains the coding sequence for a C-terminal hexa-histidine tag to facilitate purification.

**VHH expression and purification**

pHEN6 expression vectors were transformed into WK6 E. coli bacteria. Bacteria were inoculated in 1 liter of TB medium supplemented with 100 µg/ml ampicillin and 0.1% (v/v) glucose. Flasks were incubated at 37°C with continuous agitation at 220 rpm. When the OD₆₀₀ reached 0.75–1.0 in a flask, 1 mM IPTG was added to induce VHH expression. Bacteria were then cultured overnight at 28°C with shaking. The next morning, bacteria were harvested by centrifugation and the periplasmic extract was prepared following the protocol described above. VHHS were purified by affinity chromatography using Ni-NTA beads (Qiagen) and size exclusion chromatography on Superdex-75 (GE Healthcare). Protein concentrations were determined by UV absorption at 280 nm, using the theoretical extinction coefficient of the individual VHHS (calculated based on their amino acid content).

**Affinity measurements**

The binding affinities of selected VHHS for BACE1 were analyzed by surface plasmon resonance (SPR) spectroscopy, using a BiACore...
instrument. For immobilization, 2,500 resonance units (RU) purified BACE1 were coupled to a CM5 chip using amine coupling chemistry (EDC/NHS), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Binding/regeneration cycles were performed by injection of the VHHs (in a concentration range of 0–500 nM) at 25°C in HBS buffer (10 mM Hepes (pH 7.5), 150 mM NaCl, 3.5 mM EDTA, and 0.005% (v/v) Tween-20) at a constant flow rate of 30 µl/min. Regeneration of the surface was achieved by injection of 10 mM glycine/HCl (pH 1.5). For affinity measurements at acidic pH, running buffer was replaced by a citrate buffer containing 150 mM NaCl, 3.5 mM EDTA, 0.005% (v/v) Tween-20 at pH 4.5.

Bio-layer interferometry

Biotinylated human or mouse BACE1 was immobilized at 1 µg/ml for 900 s on streptavidin-coated biospolers (Molecular Devices). The biosensors were washed for 120 s in Kinetic Buffer (Molecular Devices) and dipped into wells containing serial dilutions of VHH-BACE1. The biosensors were washed for 120 s in Kinetic Buffer (Molecular Devices) and dipped into wells containing serial dilutions of VHH-BACE1 and dipped into wells containing serial dilutions of VHH-BACE1. All Devices) and dipped into wells containing serial dilutions of VHH-BACE1 and dipped into wells containing serial dilutions of VHH-BACE1. For immobilization, 2,500 resonance units (RU) purified on an OctetRED96 system (Molecular Devices). Binding parameters were calculated using Molecular Devices Analysis 9.0 software, with a 1:1 homogeneous fitting model.

Production and purification of BACE1:Fc

The construct expressing human BACE1 (amino acids 1–460) fused to the constant region of human IgG1 (hBACE1:Fc) was described previously (Zhou et al, 2011). Mutations, including SQD376-378/WAA (F(SQD/WAA)), EDVATSQDD371-379/MGAGLNRYE (F(BACE2)), EVATSQD371-378/EGS (AF), and GFPLNQSEVLASVG219-232/GAG (ΔA), were introduced into the original construct. Purification of wild-type or mutant hBACE1:Fc followed a protocol described previously (Zhou et al, 2011). Proteins folded correctly and were active in the MBPC125APPsw cleavage assay. Protein purity was assessed by SDS-PAGE using a 4–12% Bis-Tris gel, followed by Coomassie staining. Protein concentration was measured by Bradford assay (Bio-Rad). Aliquots of the purified protein were snap-frozen and stored at –80°C.

In vitro APP cleavage assay

The assay was performed essentially as described (Zhou et al, 2011), using materials provided by Eli Lilly. Briefly, hBACE1:Fc was diluted to 10 nM in reaction buffer (50 mM ammonium acetate [pH 4.6], 1 mg/ml BSA and 1 mM Triton X-100). The substrate was a fusion protein consisting of maltose binding protein (MBP) and the 125 amino acids from the carboxy terminus of human APP695 containing the Swedish (Sw) mutation (K670M/N671L). Substrate was diluted to 50 nM in reaction buffer. 10 µl test VHHs (5 µM) were incubated with 25 µl substrate and 15 µl hBACE1:Fc at 25°C for 3 h. At the end of the incubation, the reaction mixture was diluted fivefold in “stop” buffer (200 mM Tris (pH 8.0), 6 mg/ml BSA, and 1 mM Triton X-100). 50 µl of the diluted reaction mixture was then loaded on an ELISA plate precoated with an anti-MBP capture antibody. The cleavage product MBP-C26sw was detected using an antibody against a neo-epitope generated by BACE1-mediated cleavage. Purified MBP-C26sw was used to generate a standard curve. The amount of cleavage product reflects the relative activity of BACE1.

Dot blot analysis of VHH binding to wild-type and mutant BACE1

200 ng purified wild-type or mutant hBACE11-460:Fc (in a final volume of 10 µl) was dotted onto strips of nitrocellulose membrane. After drying, the membrane strips were incubated with blocking buffer (5% (w/v) milk, 0.05% (v/v) Tween-20, Tris-buffered saline (TBS: 50 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl; pH 7.6)) for 1 h at room temperature. After blocking, the membrane strips were incubated with primary antibodies, including the mouse monoclonal antibodies 1A11, 5G7, 10B8 (Zhou et al, 2011), and VHH-B9 (all diluted to 1 µg/ml in blocking buffer) for 1 h at room temperature. The membranes were then washed three times in washing buffer (0.05% (v/v) Tween-20, TBS) (5 min per wash). After washing, the membrane strip incubated with VHH-B9 was further incubated with an anti-His antibody (1:2,000 dilution, Biolegend, J099B12) for 1 h at room temperature, followed by three washes, each of 5-min duration. All membranes were subsequently incubated with HRP-conjugated goat-anti-mouse IgG antibody diluted in blocking buffer (1:10,000 dilution, Novus, NB7539) for 1 h at room temperature. After incubation, the membranes were washed three times in washing buffer and two times in TBS (5 min per wash), before signal was developed using enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) methods. Signals were captured with a Fuji LAS-1000 Luminescent Image Analyzer.

Cell-based assays (cultured neurons and glia)

Mixed primary brain neurons were derived from C57BL/6J mice at embryonic day 14 (E14), following a previously described protocol (Zhou et al, 2011). Glial cultures were established from C57BL/6J mice at post-natal day 3 (P3), according to a previously described protocol (Voityuk et al, 2018). Once confluent, glia from each dish were frozen in 1 ml freezing medium (90% (v/v) FBS and 10% (v/v) DMSO) to establish a frozen stock. Cultures were reactivated when needed, using standard culturing protocols.

Experiments with neurons using addition of purified nanobodies

Neurons were cultured in 6 cm dishes (Nunc) and maintained in neurobasal medium (Gibco) supplemented with B27 (Gibco). Neurons were transduced with SFV expressing WT human APP695 (Annaert et al, 1999) after 3 days in culture. Two hours after transduction, neurons were treated with test VHH, diluted in fresh media for 12 h.

Experiments with neurons or glia using application of AAV-VHH

AAV1 vectors encoding VHH-B9 or GFP were generated by the tri-transfection method using HEK293T cells (as described in Fripont et al, 2019) and applied to cells in culture. The pan-BACE inhibitor, Compound J (CpJ) (Esterhazy et al, 2011), was used as a positive control at a final concentration of 10 nM. DMSO alone was used as the vehicle control. The final amount of vector applied was approximately $3.75 \times 10^{11}$ vector genomes (vg).
AAV vectors were applied to neurons in culture at day 3 in vitro (DIV). 3 days post-AAV application, CpJ or DMSO were added according to the following treatment protocol: (i) AAV-VHH-B9 + Vehicle, AAV-GFP + Vehicle (negative control) and AAV-GFP + Compound J (positive control). Media was collected 36 hours later and concentrated using centrifugal concentrators (30 kDa molecular weight cut-off, Millipore). Cells were collected and homogenized in 5 volumes of ice-cold PBS, supplemented with protease (Roche) and phosphatase (Sigma) inhibitors. Lysates were centrifuged in an Ultra Optima TLX (Beckman) at 14,000 g at 4°C for 15 min. Supernatants were collected, snap-frozen using liquid N₂, and stored at −80°C.

AAV vectors were added to glia when the cells had reached confluency. 3 days post-AAV application, CpJ or DMSO were added as described above. Media and cells were collected 36 h later and processed as described.

Western blot

The amount of total protein in both culture media and cell extracts was measured using the BCA Method (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Samples were prepared by mixing with Laemmli loading buffer and heating at 75°C for 10 min. Samples were then loaded onto 10% Bis-Tris SDS-PAGE gels (20 μg/lane) and separated by electrophoresis in NuPAGE MES SDS running buffer, except for samples obtained from glial cultures, which were loaded on 4–12% Bis-Tris SDS-PAGE gels (20 μg/lane) and separated by electrophoresis in NuPAGE MOPS SDS running buffer. Immunoblotting was carried out using standard tank blotting techniques. The membranes were incubated with blocking buffer (5% (w/v) milk, 0.05% (v/v) Tween-20, TBS) for 1 h at room temperature. Primary antibodies in blocking buffer were added overnight at 4°C. Primary antibodies used were WO2 for total β (1:1,000 dilution, The Genetics Company, AB4-10); 6E10 for sAPPβ (1:1,000 dilution, Signet Laboratories, #9320-02); anti-APPβ (1:1,000 dilution, Covance, #SIG-39138); custom B63 antibody for full-length and carboxy terminal fragments of APP (1:5,000 dilution) (Annaert et al, 2001); anti-β-actin (1:3,000 dilution, Sigma-Aldrich, A5441), anti-DNER (1:1,000 dilution, R&D Systems, AF2254), anti-llama IgG H&L (1:1,000 dilution, HRP, AB112786), anti-VCAM (1:1,000 dilution, Thermo Fisher Scientific, PA5-47029), anti-SEZ6 (1:1,000, R&D Systems, AF5598), anti-cMyc (9E10) (1:1,000 dilution, Abcam, ab32), and anti-GFP (1:1,000, Sigma, 18141460001). The membranes were then washed three times in 0.05% (v/v) Tween-20, TBS (7 min per wash) and subsequently incubated with corresponding secondary antibody in blocking buffer for 1 h at room temperature. Secondary antibodies used were HRP-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG antibody (1:10,000 dilution, Novus, NB7539), HRP-conjugated goat-anti-rabbit IgG antibody (1:10,000 dilution, Novus, NB2-30348H), goat polyclonal anti-mouse IgG-HRP conjugate (1:3,000 dilution, Biorad, 170-6516), goat polyclonal anti-rabbit IgG-HRP conjugate (1:3,000 dilution, Biorad, 170-6515), or rabbit polyclonal anti-goat IgG-HRP conjugate (1:2,000 dilution, DAKO, P0449). After the incubation, the membranes were washed three times in 0.05% (v/v) Tween-20, TBS and two times in TBS (5 min per wash) before signal was developed with ECL reagents. Signals were captured and analyzed with a Fuji LAS-1000 Luminescent Image Analyzer.

AAV vector production (for systemic administration)

AAV-based vectors were designed around a standard single stranded (ss) AAV2 expression cassette. The cDNA for the anti-BACE1 VHH-B9 was modified to contain an N-terminal BACE1 signal peptide and a C-terminal cMyc tag. The cDNA sequence encoding VHH-B9 (or GFP for control) was then cloned into the expression cassette, which also contained a ubiquitously active CaG promoter, a woodchuck post-transcriptional regulatory element (WPRE), and a bovine growth hormone poly(A) sequence (pA). The plasmid pAAV-Rep2/PHP.B capsid was cloned in house, using online data as a guide (Deverman et al, 2016). Final production and quality control of vectors were performed by Vigene Biosciences. Further details about packaging and purification strategies can be found on the company’s website (http://www.vigenebio.com).

Systemic administration of AAV vectors

A dose of 1 × 10^{12} vector genomes (vg)/mouse of AAV was administered to animals using tail vein injection. To perform the injection safely and avoid injury and stress, each mouse was placed inside a restraining device. Dilution of the tail vein was stimulated by friction with a cotton pad and a 70% ethanol solution. A standard vector volume of 100 μl per animal was administered using an insulin syringe with ultra-fine needle (29 Gauge, Becton Dickinson). In the event of bleeding, a clean cotton pad was applied at the end of the procedure. Each animal was then returned to its original cage and monitored for 15 min for adverse effects. Allocation of the animals to treatment groups was performed randomly.

Sociability and preference for social novelty task

Social approach and memory were tested in a three-chamber setup constructed from plexiglass (Nadler et al, 2004; Naert et al, 2011). The setup consisted of a central chamber (38 × 11 × 30 cm, length × width × height) with two adjacent chambers (11 × 11 × 30 cm, length × width × height) connected by a wall with fifty-two 8 mm holes to allow exchange of olfactory, visual, and auditory signals. The test mouse was placed in the central chamber. Following a 5-min habituation phase, a strange (novel) mouse (S1) was placed in one of the adjacent chambers and the preference of the habituated mouse to approach S1 over the empty chamber was recorded for 6 min. After 6 min, a second stranger (S2) was placed in the opposing empty chamber, and social memory for S2 was analyzed for a further 6 min. Animals were tracked using an overhead webcam and ANY-maze tracking software (Stölling). Time sniffing (head within 5 cm of the separation wall) was compared between groups.

Morris water maze

Spatial learning and memory were tested in a hidden platform protocol in the Morris water maze (Callaerts-Vegh et al, 2006). Animals were trained for 10 days to locate a hidden platform using distal spatial cues. Reference memory was assessed in interspersed probe trials, where the platform was removed and time spent in virtual zones close to the target platform was assessed. Animals were tracked in a round pool (150 cm diameter) filled with opacified
water (26 ± 1°C) using the Ethovision system. Non-performers (animals not actively engaged in swimming activities) were excluded from subsequent analysis using pre-established criteria (non-performance: swim velocity < 5 cm/s for longer than 35% of total time).

Transcardial perfusion and brain extraction for post-mortem analysis

Animals were anesthetized via intraperitoneal injection of 100 µl Pentobarbital sodium (Dolethal) solution. Upon lack of response to a pain stimulus (toe pinch-response), transcardial perfusion was performed with 10 ml of ice-cold PBS. The brain was then extracted and dissected along the interhemispheric fissure. Each right hemisphere was post-fixed for 24 h in 4% (w/v) PFA solution and then stored in 0.02% (w/v) NaN₃-PBS solution at 4°C before use in immunohistochemistry-based experiments. Each left hemisphere was dissected at the stereoscopic microscope, to isolate the hippocampus and cortex, which were then snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C prior to Aβ extraction and measurement using ELISA.

Immunohistochemistry

Post-fixed brain hemispheres were sliced at the LEICA VT1000S vibrating microtome to obtain 50 µm thick sagittal sections. The brain sections were put into separate wells of a 24-well plate and rinsed in a solution of 50 mM Tris-Cl, 150 mM NaCl (TBS, pH 7.6) for 10 min. Permeabilization and blocking of non-specific binding sites was achieved by incubation in 500 µl of blocking solution (10% [v/v] Normal Donkey Serum, Abcam; 1% [v/v] Triton-X100, Sigma-Aldrich; TBS) for 2 h at room temperature. The brain sections were then incubated in 500 µl of primary antibody solution overnight at 4°C. On the following day, the brain sections were rinsed six times in TBS. Each washing step was carried out for 10 min. The sections were then incubated for 2 h, at room temperature, in 500 µl of secondary antibody solution. Finally, the sections were rinsed six times with TBS and mounted on SuperFrost Plus Adhesion glass slides (Thermo Fisher Scientific) with Fluoromount-G without addition of DAPI (Thermo Fisher Scientific), unless otherwise specified. The glass slides were stored at 4°C, protected from light to prevent photobleaching.

Staining of VHH-B9

Brain distribution of VHH-B9 was assessed by staining against the cMyc tag fused to the VHH. Primary and secondary antibody solutions were prepared by adding rabbit anti-cMyc (1:500 dilution, Abcam, #ab9106) and donkey anti-rabbit Alexa 488 (1:200 dilution, Thermo Fisher Scientific, #A21206) to blocking solution, respectively.

Staining of Aβ plaques, GFAP, and Iba1

Primary antibody solution was prepared by adding mouse anti-human Aβ 82E1 (1:300 dilution, Tcell, #JP10323), guinea pig anti-GFAP (1:1,000 dilution, Synaptic Systems, #173 004), or goat anti-Iba1 (1:1,000 dilution, Abcam, #ab5076) to blocking solution. Secondary antibody solution was prepared by adding donkey anti-mouse Cy3 (1:400 dilution, Jackson Immuno, #715-165-150), donkey anti-guinea pig Alexa 488 (1:400 dilution, Jackson Immuno, #706-545-148), or donkey anti-goat Alexa 647 (1:400 dilution, Jackson Immuno, #705-605-147) to blocking solution.

Aβ plaques were co-stained with Thioflavin-S to facilitate counting and analysis. The brain sections were incubated in a 0.05% (w/v) Thioflavin-S/50% ethanol solution for 5 min at room temperature. The sections were then rinsed in 70% ethanol and 95% ethanol, with each rinse lasting 5 min. To ensure thorough ethanol removal, the sections were then immersed three times in sterile H₂O (B. Braun Medical Inc.) (3 x 5 min).

Co-staining of Aβ plaques and Synaptophysin

Aβ plaques were stained as previously described. The presynaptic marker synaptophysin was stained by the addition of rabbit anti-synaptophysin 1 (1:1,000, Synaptic Systems, #101 203) and donkey anti-rabbit AlexaFluor 488 (1:200, Thermo Fisher Scientific, #A21206) to primary and secondary antibody solutions, respectively.

Co-staining of VHH and BACE1

For BACE1 staining, antigen retrieval was performed, prior to blocking, by boiling sections in citrate buffer (10 mM citric acid, 0.05% [v/v] Tween-20; pH 6.0 NaOH) followed by three washes in PBS, each of 5-min duration. Sections were then processed using our standard protocol. Primary antibodies used for staining were rat anti-c-Myc (1:400 dilution, Bio-Rad, #MCA1929) and rabbit anti-BACE1 (1:150 dilution, Cell Signaling, D10E5). Secondary antibody solution contained donkey anti-rat Alexa 488 (1:200 dilution, Jackson Immuno, #712-545-150) and donkey anti-rabbit Cy3 (1:200 dilution, Jackson Immuno, #711-165-152). The brain sections were mounted onto microscopy slides, using Fluoromount G containing DAPI (SouthernBiotech).

Imaging and analysis

Brain sections for counting of Aβ plaques, and reactive astrocytes and microglia, were imaged using a Leica SP8 confocal laser scanning microscope, equipped with a HC PL APO 20x/NA0.75 objective (Leica, #15506517). A Leica DM5500 epifluorescence microscope, equipped with a HC PL APO 10x/NA0.45 objective (Leica, #506411) was used to take the mosaic image in Fig 2C and the single fields of view in Fig EV3C–F. Quantification of Aβ plaque number and reactive astro- and microgliosis was carried out in a semi-automatized fashion with the aid of ImageJ/Fiji software.

ELISA

Sandwich ELISAs were performed using a standard protocol, with minor modifications. Nunc maxisorp flat-bottom 96-well plates (VWR, #735-0079) were used. Wells were incubated with 100 µl of coating buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 10 mM NaCl, 10 mM Na₂CO₃; pH 8.5), containing either capture antibody or purified recombinant VHH-B9. This incubation was performed overnight at 4°C on a tilting table. The next day, coating buffer was discarded and each well rinsed five times with 150 µl of DPBS (Gibco), supplemented with 0.05% (v/v) Tween20 (Sigma-Aldrich). Each washing step was carried out for 10 min. Non-specific binding was prevented by blocking with 100 µl DPBS supplemented with 0.1% (w/v) casein.
from bovine milk (PBS-casein, Sigma-Aldrich) for 4 h at room temperature.

Detection of anti-VHH-B9 antibodies

Blood collection was performed pre-mortem via cardiac puncture. Serum was then separated from the whole blood by centrifugation at 2,000 g for 10 min at 4°C. For detection of VHH-B9-specific antibodies, Nunc MaxiSorp plates (Thermo Fisher Scientific) were coated with purified VHH-B9 (75 ng total protein) and stored overnight at 4°C. Mouse anti-camelid IgG2/3 (heavy chain) antibody (50 µL, 50 µg/ml; Merck Millipore #MAC131) was used as a positive control; PBS was used as a negative control. Test (serum) samples (50 µl final volume) were obtained from three individual mice per experimental cohort (1:10,000 serum dilution in PBS) (Appendix Table S1). Samples were incubated overnight at 4°C. Horse anti-mouse IgG HRP-conjugated (50 µl final volume, 1:5,000 dilution, Cell Signaling Technology, #7076S) was used as the detection antibody. Absorbance was measured at 450 nm in a Perkin Elmer EnVision 2103 Multilabel reader.

Quantification of Aβ1-40 and Aβ1-42

Soluble and insoluble fractions of Aβ were extracted from cortex and hippocampus, as previously described (Latif-Hernandez et al., 2020). The total protein content of the samples containing the soluble and insoluble Aβ fractions was determined using a modified Lowry–Peterson assay (Lowry et al., 1951; Peterson, 1977). Monoclonal antibodies JRFcA/40/28 and JRFcA/42/26 (1.5 µg/ml in 100 µl of coating buffer) were used for capture. These antibodies recognize the C-terminus of Aβ species terminating at amino acids 40 or 42, respectively. HRP-conjugated JRFαβN/25 antibody (1:6,000 in PBS-casinein), which recognizes the first seven N-terminal amino acids of human Aβ, was used for detection. In these experiments, detection antibody was mixed with sample prior to adding to the capture plate. The plate was then left overnight at 4°C degrees on a tilting table. The following day, the plate was rinsed five times with 0.05% (v/v) Tween 20/PBS, before colorimetric-based detection. The absorbance was measured at 405 nm in a Perkin Elmer EnVision 2103 Multilabel reader

Multielectrode array (MEA) LTP recordings

LTP recordings were done in 13- to 16-month old mice. For tissue preparation, mice were anesthetized with isoflurane and decapitated. Brains were rapidly removed and 300 µm thick parasagittal brain slices prepared using a Leica VT1200 vibratome. Slicing was performed in a sucrose-based cutting solution (ACSF) containing (in mM): 87 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 1.25 NaH₂PO₄, 10 glucose, 25 NaHCO₃, 0.5 CaCl₂, 7 MgCl₂, 75 sucrose, 1 kynurenic acid, 5 ascorbic acid, 3 pyruvic acid (pH 7.4 (HCl); 95% O₂/5% CO₂ gas). Slices were allowed to recover at 34°C for 35 min, and then maintained at room temperature in the same solution for at least 30 min before using. For recordings, slices were placed onto a multielectrode array (MEA 2100, Multi Channel Systems) and continuously perfused with artificial cerebrospinal fluid (aCSF) solution containing (in mM): 119 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 1 NaH₂PO₄, 11 glucose, 26 NaHCO₃, 4 MgCl₂ and 4 CaCl₂ (pH 7.4 (HCl); 95% O₂/5% CO₂ gas; 34°C). Field excitatory post-synaptic potentials (fEPSPs) were recorded from Schaffer collateral-CA1 synapses by stimulating and recording from the appropriate (visually identified) electrodes. Input–output curves were recorded for each slice by applying single-stimuli ranging from 500 to 2,750 mV with 250 mV increments. A stimulus strength that corresponds to 35% of the maximal response in the input–output curve was used for recordings. For long-term potentiation (LTP) experiments, stable fEPSPs were recorded for 30 min to establish a baseline. Next, we applied three high-frequency trains (100 stimuli; 100 Hz) with 5-min intervals. Subsequently, post-LTP fEPSPs were measured every 5 min (average of three consecutive stimuli at 15 sec intervals) for 55 min. Recordings were processed and analyzed using Multi Channel Experimenter software (Multi Channel Systems).

Data collection and statistical analysis

In the initial proof-of-concept study, the investigator performing the experiments was aware of which treatment groups animals had been assigned to.
been assigned to. In the longitudinal study, all experiments were performed by an investigator blinded to treatment group allocation. Normality in sample distribution was verified with the Shapiro–Wilk test. Statistical analysis was chosen accordingly and is indicated in the corresponding figure legend.

Data availability

This study includes no data deposited in external repositories.
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