Genome-Wide Identification and Characterization of Heat Shock Protein 20 Genes in Maize
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Abstract: Maize is an important cereal crop worldwide and is sensitive to abiotic stresses in fluctuant environments that seriously affect its growth, yield, and quality. The small heat shock protein (HSP20) plays a crucial role in protecting plants from abiotic stress. However, little is known about HSP20 in maize (ZmHSP20). In this study, 44 ZmHSP20s were identified, which were unequally distributed over 10 chromosomes, and 6 pairs of ZmHSP20s were tandemly presented. The gene structure of ZmHSP20s was highly conserved, with 95% (42) of the genes having no more than one intron. The analysis of the cis-element in ZmHSP20s promoter demonstrated large amounts of elements related to hormonal and abiotic stress responses, including abscisic acid (ABA), high temperature, and hypoxia. The ZmHSP20s protein had more than two conserved motifs that were predictably localized in the cytoplasm, nucleus, endoplasmic reticulum, peroxisome, mitochondria, and plasma. Phylogenetic analysis using HSP20s in Arabidopsis, rice, maize, and Solanum tuberosum indicated that ZmHSP20s were classified into 11 categories, of which each category had unique subcellular localization. Approximately 80% (35) of ZmHSP20 were upregulated under heat stress at the maize seedling stage, whereas the opposite expression profiling of 10 genes under 37 and 48 °C was detected. A total of 20 genes were randomly selected to investigate their expression under treatments of ABA, gibberellin (GA), ethylene, low temperature, drought, and waterlogging, and the results displayed that more than half of these genes were downregulated while ZmHSP20-3, ZmHSP20-7, ZmHSP20-24, and ZmHSP20-44 were upregulated under 1 h treatment of ethylene. A yeast-one-hybrid experiment was conducted to analyze the binding of four heat stress transcription factors (ZmHSFs) with eight of the ZmHSP20s promoter sequences, in which ZmHSF3, ZmHSF13, and ZmHSF17 can bind to most of these selected ZmHSP20s promoters. Our results provided a valuable resource for studying HSP20s function and offering candidates for genetic improvement under abiotic stress.
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1. Introduction

In the changing environment, numerous adverse stress conditions such as drought, salinity, heat, cold, and chemicals, nematodes, insects, and rodents were imposed on plants, which significantly influence their growth and development [1]. These abiotic stresses can cause damage to plant cells and cause secondary damage, such as osmotic and oxidative stress [2,3]. Plants have a series of elaborate mechanisms in response to environmental changes compared to animals, including maintaining cell membrane stability [4], capturing reactive oxygen species (ROS), synthesizing antioxidants, osmotic accumulation, and osmotic regulation, inducing some enzymes in response to stress, and enhancing the transcription and signaling of partners [5], to adapt morphologically and physiologically [6]. Abiotic stresses in plants are often interrelated and lead to physiological, morphological,
cellular, and molecular changes [1], and two or more abiotic stresses are often more lethal than single stress [7].

Heat shock protein (HSP) in Drosophila melanogaster was primarily discovered under exposure to high-temperature stress [8]. The response to stresses on the molecular level was found in all organisms, especially the sudden changes in genotypic expression resulting in an increase in the synthesis of HSP proteins [9–11]. The HSPs are characterized by the presence of a carboxyl terminus called a heat shock domain [12]. Under environmental stress conditions, plants reduce the synthesis of normal proteins and facilitate the transcription and translation of HSPs [13]. The expression of HSPs is mediated by the binding of heat stress transcription factors (HSFs) to heat shock element (HSE) sequences that are located in the promoter region of HSPs [2]. The heat shock promoter is characterized by a conserved palindromic element with the consensus motif “nGAAnnTTCn”. This HSE motif or its various variants have different effects on the interaction of HSFs with HSE [14]. HSPs can be divided into five classes according to their molecular weight and sequence homology, including HSP100, HSP90, HSP70, HSP60, and Small HSPs (HSP20) [15,16], in which HSP20s are 12–25 kDa polypeptides. Most HSP20s occur together in oligomers with 12 subunits. Plants have many types of HSP20s, and some species have more than 40 types of HSP20s [15]. The structure of HSP20s presents remarkable diversity, but all HSP20s share a common α-crystalline domain (ACD) that allows them to be recognized [17], reflecting their fitness with diversity stresses. HSP20s are widely present in plants and help to protect plant cells against protein breakdown and maintain their functional conformation [18]. HSP20s can also act as an ATP-independent molecular chaperone to capture substrate proteins denatured by stress [19], preventing the irreversible denaturation of substrates [20]. The feature facilitates the refolding of denatured proteins and improves plant performance in adapting to environmental stress.

Most HSP20s are not expressed under normal conditions but can be omnipresent in various biotic and abiotic stresses [21]. HSP20s are thus considered a component of cell protein quality control to defend against stresses and coordinate defensive signaling cascades by participating in the build-up of various resistance proteins. HSP20s are also involved in plant embryogenesis, germination, and fruit development. For example, HSP21 in tomato participates in the accumulation of carotenoids during ripening [22]. HSP20 plays an important role in abiotic stress and has been identified in various plants. PhtHSP17.8 of Populus trichocarpa enhances heat and salt tolerance by maintaining ROS homeostasis and collaboration [23]. Overexpression of maize HSP16.9 in tobacco can increase heat tolerance and oxidation resistance [24]. The expression of HSP22.8 in watermelon is reduced under abscisic acid (ABA) stress and salt stress [25]. HSP17.7 in tomato can maintain intracellular Ca2+ homeostasis and improve cold tolerance [26]. Moreover, most HSP20s in apple were upregulated under heat stress [27].

Maize (Zea mays) is an essential staple crop in Latin America, Asia, and sub-Saharan Africa, mainly for human consumption and animal feed production [28]. Aside from its agronomic importance, maize has been a key model for fundamental research for almost a century [29]. However, the HSP20 gene family in maize (ZmHSP20s) has not been fully researched [30,31]. In this study, we systematically identified and characterized ZmHSP20s in maize genome, which included the gene structure, conserved motif, cis-element in the promoter, and phylogenetic relationship. We also analyzed the expression level of ZmHSP20s under hormone treatments and abiotic stresses, especially for expression levels under high temperatures. Moreover, the possible interactions between ZmHSP20s and ZmHSFs were experimentally verified. These results provide valuable resources for investigating the function of HSP20s in plants.

2. Materials and Methods
2.1. Plant Growth and Treatment

Seeds of the maize inbred line B73 were planted in a greenhouse with a controlled temperature (~25 °C/22 °C, day/light cycle), a 14 h/10 h light/dark cycle, and 60% average
humidity. As previously described, the treatments were imposed on seedlings at the second leaf stage [32]. For the high-temperature treatment, the seedlings were transferred to an artificial climate chamber at 37, 42, and 48 °C, and the leaves were collected after 4 h of stress. The seedling leaves under 10 °C, drought stress after 1, 2, and 4 h treatments, and waterlogged roots were also collected. For hormone treatments, 100 µM of ethylene (ET), 100 mM of ABA, and 100 mM of gibberellin (GA) were applied to treat the seedlings, and the leaves after 1, 2, and 4 h treatments were sampled. The leaves and roots of seedlings growing under 25 °C conditions were collected as the control. For each sample, more than six seedlings were mixed and immediately frozen at −80 °C.

2.2. RNA Extraction and Quantitative Reverse Transcription PCR (qRT-PCR)

Total RNA was isolated using TRIZOL reagent (Invitrogen, Gaithersburg, MD, USA) and treated with RNase-free DNase (Invitrogen). Purified RNA was used to synthesize single-stranded cDNA using recombinant M-MLV reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen). Quantitative reverse transcription PCR (qRT-PCR) was performed using gene-specific primers (Table S1) and a 2 × iTaq™ Universal SYBR Green Super Mix (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). ZmActin1 (GRMZM2G126010) was used as an internal control for the normalization of expression data. Relative expression levels were calculated using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ (cycle threshold) method [33]. PCR involved an initial denaturation step at 95 °C for 5 min, followed by 40 cycles of 15 s at 95 °C, 10 s at 58 °C, and 20 s at 72 °C. The primers used for qRT-PCR were designed using online software Primer3Plus (https://www.primer3plus.com/ (accessed on 1 June 2022)).

2.3. Identification of ZmHSP20s

Two approaches were applied to identify the ZmHSP20s family genes in maize. The conserved ZmHSP20 domain (PF00011) from the Pfam database [34] was used to query the maize B73 proteome (RefGen_v4) [35] with the ZmHSP20 HMM using the HMMER3.0 package [36], and the ZmHSP20 proteins were collected based on the E-value < 1 × 10⁻⁵. Moreover, the protein sequences of HSP20 family members in Arabidopsis and rice [37] were downloaded from TAIR [38] and the MSU Rice Genome Annotation Project [39] databases, respectively. These protein sequences from these Arabidopsis and rice HSP20 were used as queries to search against the maize proteome with an E-value < 1 × 10⁻⁵ based on a local BLASTP program with the default parameters. The proteins from these two approaches were collected and redundant sequences were manually eliminated. The Pfam [34] and SMART [40] databases were utilized to confirm the conserved domain in the identified proteins. The molecular weight (MW) and isoelectric point (pI) of ZmHSP20s were computed with the online ExPaSy tool [41]. Four online tools (Predotar [42], WOLF PSORT (https://www.genscript.com/wolf-psort.html (accessed on 6 June 2022)), TargetP [43], and CELLO [44]) were used to predict the subcellular localization. Some subcellular localizations of ZmHSP20s that cannot be predicted using software will be predicted by affinities with other species.

2.4. Analysis of Gene Structure, Chromosome Distribution, Duplication, Collinearity, and Conserved Motif

DNA, coding sequences (CDSs), and protein sequences of ZmHSP20 family genes and their corresponding physical location in the maize B73 reference genome (RefGen_v4) were downloaded from the MaizeGDB database. The gene structures were drawn and displayed by Gene Structure Display Server (GSDS) [45] using DNA and CDS sequences of each gene. The online program of Multiple Em for Motif Elicitation (MEME, V5.0.3, https://meme-suite.org/meme/doc/meme.html, accessed on 6 June 2022) was applied to predict the potential motifs with default parameters. The MG2C (MapGene2Chromosome V2, http://mg2c.iask.in/mg2c_v2.0/, accessed on 8 June 2022) software was used to display the physical location of each gene in its corresponding position. According to the manual,
the ZmHSP20s gene collinearity analysis within the maize genome was conducted using MCScanX software with default parameters [46].

2.5. Phylogenetic Analysis

To illuminate the evolutionary relationship of ZmHSP20s, the phylogenetic tree was constructed using representative HSP20s protein sequences of Arabidopsis thaliana (AtHSP20s) [47], rice (OsHSP20s) [48], Solanum tuberosum (StHSP20s) [49], and 44 ZmHSP20s. After being aligned using ClustalW [50], the aligned sequences were imported into MEGA11 [51] to construct an unrooted neighbor-joining phylogenetic tree (NJ) using 1000 bootstrap repetitions. The phylogenetic tree was modified using the online software iTOL [52].

2.6. Predicting the Cis-Regulatory Elements

The 1.5 kb sequences of the promoter of ZmHSP20 genes were obtained from the EnsemblPlants database and were then uploaded to the website of PlantCare [53] to predict the cis-regulatory DNA elements. The elements related to stress response and hormones were selected and displayed through Tbtools [54].

2.7. Prediction of the Interaction between ZmHSP20s and ZmHSFs

Protein sequences for HSF family members in maize (ZmHSFs) [55] were downloaded from maizeGDB [56], which were uploaded onto STRING database [57] to predict the interaction with ZmHSP20s. The interaction networks were drawn through Cytoscape_v3.9.1 [58]. The promoter sequences of ZmHSP20s were uploaded onto PlantRegMap [59] to predict the binding of ZmHSFs.

2.8. Yeast One- and Two-Hybrid Assays

A full-length CDS of ZmHSFs was cloned into vector pGADT7-Rec2 and the 1.5 kb promoter sequence of ZmHSP20s was cloned into vector pHis2 using a CloneExpressII One Step Cloning Kit (Vazyme, Nanjing, China) with the corresponding primers (Table S1). Recombinant vectors were co-transfected into yeast competent AH109. Transformants were cultured on SD/-Leu-Trp and were then placed on SD/-Leu-Trp-His with a special concentration of 3-amino-1,2,4-triazole (3-AT). For the yeast-two-hybrid experiment, the full-length CDS of ZmHSFs was cloned into vector pGADT7 while the full-length CDS of ZmHSP20s was cloned into vector pGBK7T, and the transformants were screened on SD/-Leu-Trp-His-Ade.

3. Results

3.1. The Characters of ZmHSP20 Gene Members

A total of 44 members of ZmHSP20s in maize were finally identified through BLASP and HMMER programs, which were referred to as ZmHSP20-1 to ZmHSP20-44 based on their location in chromosomes (Table S2). ZmHSP20s locate across 10 chromosomes, and chromosomes 1 (11) and 3 (7) had the largest member of ZmHSP20s while chromosomes 4 (ZmHSP20-22 and ZmHSP20-23), 7 (ZmHSP20-32 and ZmHSP20-33), and 10 (ZmHSP20-44) had the smallest member of ZmHSP20s. The isoelectric point ranged from 4.75 (ZmHSP20-30) to 11.66 (ZmHSP20-17) and the molecular weight (MW) ranged from 13.98 to 62.73 kilodalton (Kd), most of which were around 20 Kd. ZmHSP20-8 (62.73 Kd) and ZmHSP20-21 (46.21 Kd) had higher apparent MWs although both proteins had a conserved domain of HSP20 (Table S2). The subcellular localization of ZmHSP20s demonstrated that most of these proteins localized in the cytoplasmic region, while some proteins localized in nuclear, mitochondrial, endoplasmic reticulum (ER), plastid, and peroxisome (Po) regions. Four gene clusters of ZmHSP20s in chromosomes 1 (2 clusters), 3 (1 cluster), and 9 (1 cluster) were identified, of which cluster 1 contained three genes (ZmHSP20-2 to ZmHSP20-4), cluster 2 contained three genes (ZmHSP20-5 to ZmHSP20-7), cluster 3 contained five genes (ZmHSP20-16 to ZmHSP20-20), and cluster 4 contained three genes (ZmHSP20-40 to
wound responsiveness (WUN-motif), and light responsiveness (G-box) were also identified. The number of cis-elements ranged from 4 (4 members) to 39 (39 members). Moreover, six pairs of ZmHSP20s exhibited collinearity, which included ZmHSP20-8 and ZmHSP20-11, ZmHSP20-11 and ZmHSP20-24, ZmHSP20-12 and ZmHSP20-44, ZmHSP20-16 and ZmHSP20-34, ZmHSP20-22 and ZmHSP20-39, and ZmHSP20-30 and ZmHSP20-36.

**Figure 1.** Genome-wide distribution of ZmHSP20 genes on maize chromosomes. The chromosomal location of each ZmHSP20 gene is annotated with the gene name. Chromosome numbers are indicated at the top of each bar. The ZmHSP20 genes present on duplicated chromosomal segments are connected by red dashed lines.

Of 44 ZmHSP20s, 22 members had no intron, 20 members had only 1 intron, and 1 member (ZmHSP20-21) had 6 introns (Figure 2). One gene, ZmHSP20-13, had an ultra-long intron. Seventeen ZmHSP20s did not predict the 5′-UTR and 3′-UTR regions. To explore the potential regulatory and function of ZmHSP20 genes, the cis-acting elements of the ZmHSP20s promoter region involved in hormone stimulus and stress response were analyzed (Figure 3). Ten elements involved in hormone stimulus were detected, including the ABA response element (ABRE), auxin response element (TGA-element, AuxRR-core), GA response element (ATAC-box, GARE-motif, and P-box), MeJA response element (CGTCA-motif and TGACG-motif), SA response element (TCA-element and SARE). The cis-elements of drought responsiveness (DRE), anaerobic responsiveness (ARE and GC-motif), low-temperature responsiveness (LTR), wound responsiveness (WUN-motif), and light responsiveness (G-box) were also identified. The number of cis-elements ranged from 4 (ZmHSP20-43) to 39 (ZmHSP20-21). ZmHSP20-3 had 26 cis-elements, of which 16 cis-elements were related to hormone and abiotic stresses, including ABA, auxin, GA, MeJA, drought, low-temperature, and light. The G-box occupied the most genes, which appeared in the promoter regions of 40 ZmHSP20 genes, except ZmHSP20-7, ZmHSP20-22, ZmHSP20-28, and ZmHSP20-42. ABRE presented in 36 genes, of which ZmHSP20-19 contained 10, and ZmHSP20-10 and ZmHSP20-21 contained 8, respectively. In particular, GC-motif appeared 6 times in ZmHSP20-33 but no more 2 in the other genes. Moreover, 23 of ZmHSP20s contained...
the MBS element, 10 of ZmHSP20s contained the TGA element, and 9 of ZmHSP20 contained the TATC_box. These results indicated that ZmHSP20s were involved in multiple hormonal and abiotic responses.

Figure 2. The gene structure of ZmHsp20s. The CDSs are displayed with yellow rectangles. The introns are displayed with black lines. Purple rectangles represent UTR. CDS, coding sequence; UTR, untranslated region.
Figure 3. Characters of cis-elements in promoter regions of ZmHSP20s. Cis-elements related to hormone responsiveness are represented as cylindrical and cis-elements related to abiotic stress responsiveness are represented as a wedge. ABRE was the response to ABA; ARE and GC-motif were the response to anaerobic conditions; CGTCA-motif was the response to MeJA; DRE and MBS were the response to drought; G-box was light response, GARE-motif and TATC-box were the response to GA; LTR was the response to low temperature; TC-rich repeat was the response to defense and stress; and TGA-element was the response to auxin.

3.2. Conserved Function of ZmHSP20s

The conserved motifs in ZmHSP20s proteins were analyzed using MEME (Figure 4). A total of five motifs were identified, of which Motif 1 was detected in all ZmHSP20s
proteins, and more than two motifs in one protein were identified (Figure 4A). Motif 1, Motif 3, and Motif 4 were distributed on most of the proteins while Motif 5 was only found on 8 members, including ZmHSP20-02, ZmHSP20-03, ZmHSP20-04, ZmHSP20-16, ZmHSP20-17, ZmHSP20-18, ZmHSP20-34, and ZmHSP20-43. These ZmHSP20s were divided into two subgroups based on whether they contained the Motif 5 at the N-terminal. Interestingly, members in group 1 (containing Motif 5) were localized to the cytoplasm and had no intron except ZmHSP20-17 had 1 intron. In particular, ZmHSP20-17 in group 1 lacked Motif 3 compared with other members. The length of these conserved motifs varied from 15 to 29 amino acids (Figure 4B). The GO enrichment analysis of 44 ZmHSP20 genes was conducted, of which 35 genes were enriched (Figure S1). The significant GO terms mainly included the response to hydrogen peroxide, response to hydrogen peroxide, response to reactive oxygen species, response to heat, response to osmotic stress, response to stimulus, and protein oligomerization, indicating the important roles in abiotic stress.

Figure 4. Analysis of the conserved motif in the ZmHSP20s protein. (A) Conserved motifs in ZmHSP20 proteins. The phylogenetic tree of ZmHSP20s was constructed with amino acid sequences using MEGA11 software. Different motifs are presented in different colors. ZmHSP20s were classified into group 1 and group 2 based on the presence or absence of Motif 5. (B) Motif sequences were predicted in the ZmHSP20s protein. The overall height of the amino acid stacks plotted on the y-axis indicates the sequence conservation at a given position, while the height of individual symbols within a stack indicates the relative frequency of a nucleotide base at that position.

To explore the evolutionary relationship of HSP20s in plants, 44 of ZmHSP20s, 18 of AtHSP20s, 18 of OsHSP20s, and 35 of StHSP20s were subjected to construction of a phylogenetic tree, which was divided into 11 categories according to a previous classification [47,60,61] (Figure 5). These proteins were predicted to localize in 6 organelles, including the cytoplasm and nucleus (C), endoplasmic reticulum (ER), peroxisome (Po), mitochondria (M), and plasma (P). The proteins in the categories of CI, CII, CIII, CV, CVI, and CVII were mainly localized in the cytoplasm and nucleus, proteins in the category of MI and MII were mainly localized in the mitochondria, while proteins in the category
of ER, Po, and P were mainly localized in the endoplasmic reticulum, peroxisome, and plasma, respectively. The CI category had the largest number of members, and most of the members in category CII belonged to maize and rice. The category of CV and Po had only four members, with one member of each species. The P category had only three ZmHSP20s (ZmHSP20-5, ZmHSP20-6, and ZmHSP20-7), and the CVII category had three members (AtHSP14.7, StHSP20-27, and StHSP20-28) from dicotyledonous plants, and the CVI category had four members from maize, *Solanum tuberosum*, and *Arabidopsis*. The phylogenetic relationship indicated the conservation and difference in HSP20s in plant evolution.

![Figure 5. Phylogenetic tree of HSP20 proteins of rice (Os), Arabidopsis (At), Solanum tuberosum (St), and maize (Zm) using MEGA11 software based on the NJ method. Eleven subfamilies with different colors were classified and unclassified ZmHSP20s are labeled with grey.](image)

### 3.3. High Temperature Strongly Induced the Expression of ZmHSP20s

To investigate the response of ZmHSP20s to high temperature, qRT-PCR was applied to analyze the expression level of 44 ZmHSP20s under 37, 42, and 48 °C stresses (Figure 6). Of 44 genes, 31 genes were upregulated after heat stress, while 12 genes such as ZmHSP20-3, ZmHSP20-16, ZmHSP20-17, ZmHSP20-18, ZmHSP20-34, and ZmHSP20-43 were increasingly induced under three temperature gradients (Figure 6A). The highest upregulation of ZmHSP20s was under 42 °C stress, which was more than 1000-fold compared with the normal condition (25 °C). Only 23 genes were upregulated under 48 °C stress, of which one gene, ZmHSP20-24, was only upregulated (116-fold) at this temperature point. One gene, ZmHSP20-38, was only upregulated (32-fold) under 37 °C stress. The interaction network of ZmHSP20s showed that only 30 genes interacted with each other (Figure 6B,C). Except
for ZmHSP20-24, these 14 ZmHSP20s that were not in the network were not upregulated by heat stress. We further compared the expression level of ZmHSP20s under 37 and 48 °C stresses, and nine genes such as ZmHSP20-20, ZmHSP20-24, ZmHSP20-28, and ZmHSP20-36 to ZmHSP20-39 displayed opposite expression profiling under 37 and 48 °C stresses (Figure 6B, C). Moreover, a significantly higher expression level of ZmHSP20s under 37 and 42 °C stresses than under 48 °C stress was detected (Figure S2), implying the differential expression of ZmHSP20s under different degrees of heat stress.

3.4. Differential Expression of ZmHSP20s under Hormonal Stimuli and Abiotic Stresses

Given that a large number of cis-elements related to hormone and abiotic response occurred in the promoter region of ZmHSP20s, 20 ZmHSP20s were randomly selected to analyze their expression level under three treatments of hormone (ABA, ethylene, and GA) and three abiotic stresses (cold, drought, and waterlogging) (Figure 7). Under the ABA treatment, ZmHSP20-40 was apparently upregulated, while ZmHSP20-3, ZmHSP20-10, and ZmHSP20-30 had minor changes. All four genes (ZmHSP20-3, ZmHSP20-7, ZmHSP20-24, and ZmHSP20-44) were upregulated under 1 h of ethylene treatment, whereas ZmHSP20-3 and ZmHSP20-24 were downregulated under 2 and 4 h of treatment. ZmHSP20-4 had

Figure 6. The expression level of ZmHSP20s under heat stress. (A) Heatmap showing the expression levels of ZmHSP20s at 25, 37, 42, and 48 °C based on qRT-PCR. (B) The PPI map of ZmHSP20s was drawn and the genes upregulated at 37 °C are shown in red, and the downregulated genes are shown in green. PPI, protein–protein interaction. (C) The PPI map of ZmHSP20s was drawn and the genes upregulated at 48 °C are shown in red, and the downregulated genes are shown in green.
more than 10-fold induction after GA treatment, while ZmHSP20-27 was reduced by GA. Cold stress strongly restricted the expression of four genes (ZmHSP20-12, ZmHSP20-25, ZmHSP20-37, and ZmHSP20-38), and the expression restriction of ZmHSP20-4, ZmHSP20-6, ZmHSP20-33, and ZmHSP20-38 was different under waterlogging stress. Furthermore, ZmHSP20-18 were upregulated after 2 and 4 h of drought stress, whereas ZmHSP20-37 was strongly limited. These results indicated that the members of ZmHSP20s play different roles in different stimuli.

Figure 7. Expression level of ZmHSP20s under hormone stimulus and abiotic stresses. The height of each column indicates the mean value of three technical replicates. ABA, abscisic acid; ETH, ethylene; GA, gibberellin; 1, 2, and 4 h indicate the time of treatment.

3.5. Interaction of the ZmHSP20s with the ZmHSFs

The protein interaction between ZmHSP20s and ZmHSFs was predicted using Strings [57] and it was found that 7 of the ZmHSP20s interacted with 14 of the ZmHSFs (Figure S3). To verify their interaction at the protein level, six ZmHSFs CDSs (ZmHSH02, ZmHSH10, ZmHSH15, ZmHSH17, ZmHSH24, and ZmHSH25) were inserted into the pGADT7 vector, and six ZmHSPs CDSs (ZmHSP20-1, ZmHSP20-9, ZmHSP20-26, ZmHSP20-38, ZmHSP20-41, and ZmHSP20-44) were inserted into the pGBK7 vector (Table S1). Yeast-two-hybrid experiments detected no interaction between ZmHSFs and ZmHSP20s (Figure S4). The predicted binding of ZmHSFs with the promoter sequence of ZmHSP20s in PlantRegMap [59] showed that four ZmHSFs (ZmHSF3, ZmHSF6, ZmHSF13, and ZmHSF17) can bind to 32 of the ZmHSP20s promoters (Table S3). Yeast-one-hybrid was applied to verify the binding of four ZmHSFs with the promoter sequence of eight ZmHSP20s (ZmHSP20-1, ZmHSP20-12, ZmHSP20-14, ZmHSP20-20, ZmHSP20-26, ZmHSP20-27, ZmHSP20-31, and ZmHSP20-44) (Figure 8). The ZmHSF3 and ZmHSF13 can interact with ZmHSP20-1, ZmHSP20-12, ZmHSP20-14, ZmHSP20-20, ZmHSP20-26, ZmHSP20-31, and ZmHSP20-44, ZmHSF6 can interact with ZmHSP20-1, ZmHSP20-14, ZmHSP20-20, and ZmHSP20-44, and ZmHSF17 can interact with ZmHSP20-12, ZmHSP20-20, and ZmHSP20-31. The differential strength of interactions between ZmHSPs and ZmHSFs was also observed, which included the strong interaction between ZmHSF3, ZmHSF13, and ZmHSF17 with the promoter of ZmHSP20-20, ZmHSP20-26, and ZmHSP20-31.
4. Discussion

Abiotic stress hurts crop development and yield and is a major barrier to meeting food demand worldwide. Plants have different strategies for coping with different types of stress. HSPs were induced in almost all stresses [2], and each member of the HSPs group has a unique role [62]. HSP20s is a subfamily of HSPs groups, which is also called small HSPs. The expression levels of HSP20s were regulated by heat, salt, and powdery mildew in barley (Hordeum vulgare L.) [63], and the expression of Lilium davidii HSP16.45 in Arabidopsis thaliana enhanced the latter cell activity in heat, salt, and oxidative stress [64], indicating that HSP20s play essential roles in biotic and abiotic stresses. In the present study, a total of 44 ZmHSP20s were identified (Table S2), and four clusters in three chromosomes were detected (Figure 1). The gene structure and amino acid sequence were conserved among all genes, indicating that OsHSP20s were regulated by heat, salt, and powdery mildew in maize, rice, Arabidopsis, and potato demonstrated that the specific subcellular localization of each category was presented, indicating the specific function of HSP20s in each category. Some evolution-related categories such as P and CVI were also identified, which may play vital roles in maize and dicotyledonous plants, respectively. Moreover, the member of OsHSP20s was not detected in the CVI category, implying the possible association with the aquatic environment.

Gene expression was strongly affected by environmental stimuli, which was regulated through multiple factors such as cis-elements and trans-factors. The protein of trans-factors can bind to the cis-elements in the promoter to activate or inhibit the expression of targets. The cis-elements in the promoter of one gene can reflect its potential expression profiling. The HSP20s participate in diverse biotic and abiotic stresses [49], which implied that some cis-elements related to stresses may be located in the promoter of HSP20s. Using the online tool PlantCare [53], the cis-elements in the promoter of 44 ZmHSP20s were identified (Figure 3), and large amounts of elements associated with hormone and abiotic stress were detected in all genes, indicating that ZmHSP20s are also tightly associated with abiotic stresses. To verify these results, qRT-PCR was conducted to analyze the response of hormone and abiotic stresses (Figure 7). All selected genes responded to hormone stimuli (ABA, GA, and ethylene) and abiotic stresses (hypoxia, low temperature, and...
drought), of which all four genes increased their expression after 1 h of ethylene, suggesting their possible roles in ethylene-mediated signals. The expression of four *ZmHSP20s* were restricted under cold stress, similar to previous transcriptome analysis [67]. Under given conditions, some *ZmHSP20s* were upregulated while some *ZmHSP20s* were inhibited, demonstrating their differential function in response to stresses.

Heat stress seriously affects growth, development, and yield, which frequently occurs with the increasing global climate. The expression of *HSP20s* was activated, and yielded proteins can avoid protein degradation [13,18], which usually play roles in molecular chaperone, retaining suitable conformations [19,20]. The GO analysis of 44 *ZmHSP20s* displayed that these genes are mainly involved in stresses such as high temperature, osmosis, and salt stress. They also involved in protein assembly, folding, and membrane composition (Figure S1), which were also discovered in rice [68], indicating the conserved characters of *HSP20s* in plants under heat stress. Transcriptome analysis of maize seedling leaves revealed that *ZmHSP20* were obviously upregulated under heat stress [67], and qRT-PCR analysis of *ZmHSP20* under 37, 42, and 48 °C stresses showed that approximately 80% of *ZmHSP20* were upregulated (Figure 6), implying the essential roles of *ZmHSP20s* under heat stress. Moreover, the differential expression profiling of *ZmHSP20s* under 37 and 48 °C conditions indicated their diverse roles. Specifically, the genes in cluster 3 such as *ZmHSP20-16, ZmHSP20-17*, and *ZmHSP20-20* were significantly upregulated (more than 1000-fold) under heat stress, which would be a potential target for genetic improvement of heat stress. Moreover, the induced expression of *ZmHSP20s* under heat stress depended on the binding of ZmHSFs proteins in their promoter regions (Figure 8), but not on protein–protein interaction between ZmHSFs and ZmHSP20s (Figure S4), suggesting the molecular mechanism of ZmHSP20s in response to heat stress.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/life12091397/s1, Figure S1. Functional analysis of 44 *ZmHSP20s* based on Gene Ontology. Figure S2. Boxplots showing the expression levels of *ZmHSP20s* under heat stress. Expression levels of *ZmHSP20s* increased under 37 °C, 42 °C, and 48 °C conditions, comparing with 25 °C. Figure S3. Predicted Interaction between ZmHSP20s and ZmHSFs protein using STRING database. Figure S4. The interaction between ZmHSFs and ZmHSP20s based on yeast-two-hybrid experiments. SD, synthetic dropout medium; Leu, leucine; Trp, tryptophan; His, histidine; Ade, adenine. Table S1. The primer using in this study. Table S2. Information of 44 *ZmHSP20s*, including Gene, Gene ID, position start, position end, chromosome, isoelectric point (PI), molecular weight (MW), and predicted subcellular localization. Table S3. Predicted gene fragments for ZmHSP20 and HSF interaction.
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