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ABSTRACT - Traffic grouping is generally utilized in different organization capacities, for example, programming characterized ,systems administration and organization interruption location frameworks. Many traffic arrangement strategies have been proposed for characterizing encoded traffic by using a profound learning model without investigating the bundle ,payload. Notwithstanding, they have a significant test in that the system of profound learning is mystifying. ,A breakdown of the profound learning model may happen if the preparation dataset incorporates malevolent or incorrect ,information. Logical man-made brainpower (XAI) can give some knowledge for improving the profound learning model ,by clarifying the reason for the glitch. In this paper, we propose a technique for clarifying the working .system of profound learning-based traffic arrangement as a technique for XAI dependent on a hereditary calculation. We depict the component of the profound learning-based traffic classifier by evaluating the significance ,of each component. Likewise, we influence the hereditary calculation to produce a component choice cover that ,chooses significant highlights in the whole list of capabilities. To exhibit the proposed clarification strategy, we executed a profound learning-based traffic classifier with an exactness of around 97.24%. In expansion, we present the significance of each component got from the proposed clarification strategy by characterizing the strength rate.

INDEX TERMS - Traffic classification, deep learning, explainable artificial intelligence (XAI), genetic algorithm.
I. INTRODUCTION

With the expansion of Internet-associated gadgets and different Internet administrations, it is critical to control the huge traffic volume in a proficient way. Traffic arrangement can be utilized to control different sorts of traffic in software-defined organizing (SDN) or to recognize malignant traffic in network interruption discovery framework (NIDS) [1]. On account of SDN, QoS the board is critical to moderate the weight of the whole organization and to satisfy the prerequisites of each kind of administration [2]. As the Internet administrations are more assorted, it is essential to give every Internet administration the differential QoS. Dynamic QoS can give the differential QoS by partitioning the QoS class to help a more intricate QoS. Likewise, on the grounds that various gadgets are associated to the Internet, the significance of innovations for distinguishing also, protecting against different assaults that may happen on the network has been underscored. NIDS fills in as a center capacity in network security by distinguishing assaults, for example, the refusal of administration (DoS) assault dependent on traffic grouping. Conventional traffic orders (TCs) are generally based on a payload-assessment, which is known as a payload-based TC. A payload-based TC straightforwardly investigates the payload of parcels also, matches the pre-characterized designs. Albeit a payload-based TC shows a superior, there are two basic issues. One issue is that payload-based TC can’t assess the scrambled payload. Since secure correspondence plans, for example, SSH and TLS encode the payload, the payload-based methodologies can’t examine the payload mixed by the encryption plot. Another issue is that inspecting the payload of packets requires enormous computational resources.

A stream conduct based TC has been proposed to address basic issues of a conventional TC. A stream conduct based TC depends on AI advances that can perceive designs without reviewing the payload. In a flowbehavior-based TC, the AI model learns different measurable highlights showing up in the organization, for example, the between appearance time or parcel size. The measurable highlights show contrasts in every application in light of the fact that the organization applications utilize various conventions and the examples of conduct change for every application client. Subsequently, a flowbehavior-based TC enjoys benefits that can work inside the scrambled traffic and fulfill the necessities of missioncritical applications requiring low inactivity.

IN any case, there is an extreme test to a stream behavior-based TC that happens dependent on the idea of machine learning. The discovery issue is gotten from the trouble of clarifying the aftereffects of the AI model. With an absence of dependability of the outcomes, the black-box issue has become a vital issue in AI [3]. As a pivotal weakness attributable to the discovery issue, a stream conduct based TC can be undermined by a blackbox ill-disposed assault [4]. In a black-box antagonistic assault situation, an assailant misdirects the AI model by infusing antagonistic bothers, which is a sort of commotion, in the info information. At the point when the undermined information are given to the AI model, a genuine assault situation can happen by misclassifying the information. For instance, for a situation of traffic arrangement, an aggressor can seize high-need QoS from casualty traffic, like the QoS of a self-ruling driving application, which requires low inactivity [5]. The antagonistic application can secure high-need QoS, which is assumed to be ensured for strategic applications. Thus, the assets for applications that require highpriority QoS can be depleted and no further ordinary activities of crucial applications are accessible without appropriate QoS prerequisites.

Distinguishing strange information in the dataset can create huge signs for network specialists to improve the traffic arrangement model dependent on AI. Logical computerized reasoning (XAI) is an innovation that depicts the way AI models work [6]. Customary AI models work by contrasting
appropriations of preparing and test dataset by figuring measurements, for example, the distance or score. After adequate preparing, these measurements fabricate the grouping rules framed as hyper-planes that recognize information. For instance, the instrument of customary AI models, for example, a choice tree furthermore, support vector machine can be clarified by envisioning or detailing grouping standards [7]. On the other hand, clarifying the instrument of profound learning is more troublesome than that of a conventional AI model. Since profound learning models depend on multi-facet perceptrons what's more, are prepared by essentially refreshing the boundaries characterized to every neuron, it is hard to characterize a specific score or distance used to think about hyper-planes and information. Thusly, clarifying the system of profound learning is more troublesome than clarifying that of customary AI. In the long run, stream conduct based methodologies likewise face the black-box issue alongside the presentation of profound learning in rush hour gridlock characterization.

We propose a prevailing aspect choice strategy to clarify how the proposed profound learning-based traffic classifier works. We characterize a fitting score as an element significance measurement and make a component determination veil that finds the ideal compromise between the high arrangement precision what's more, a decrease of the superfluous highlights dependent on a hereditary calculation. A hereditary calculation is a developmental calculation that can take care of the different NP-difficult issues, for example, the mobile sales rep issue (TSP) or the plan of very enormous scope coordination (VLSI). At last, we portray the deeplearning-based traffic classifier by characterizing a strength rate showing the degree to which every profound learning model alludes to each element. All in all, the proposed strategy has two specialized commitments.

• We propose a dominant feature selection method using a genetic algorithm to explain how the deep-learningbased traffic classifier operates. In particular, the proposed method can determine which part of the entire feature the classifier focuses on by quantifying the importance of each feature.

• We implement the flow-behavior-based traffic classifier as the evaluation method that classifies the traffic and produces the accuracy to compute the fitting score. Although the proposed method also works well in any granularity of the types of traffic, we implement a service-specific traffic classification model to figure out the characteristics of internet services.

The remainder of this paper is coordinated into four areas. Related chips away at traffic characterization and XAI are presented in Section II. The development of profound learning-based traffic classifier and prevailing aspect determination technique are presented in Section III. Trial results and an exhibition assessment are introduced in Section IV. An investigation of how the traffic can be arranged into each help is moreover depicted in Sub-segment c of Section IV. At last, we give some finishing up comments in Section V.

II. RELATED WORKS

A. FLOW BEHAVIOR-BASED TRAFFIC CLASSIFICATION

The most critical issue of ongoing examinations in rush hour gridlock arrangement is to group the encoded traffic. Straightforwardly investigating the payload was an obstruction to encoded traffic grouping. Conduct measurements turned into a sign for arranging scrambled traffic on the grounds that the measurements can be removed without examining a mixed payload. Stream conduct based methodologies empower scrambled
traffic to be arranged by utilizing the conduct measurements. The creators of [8] presented three agent encryption instruments of traffic and removed the measurements from the scrambled traffic. Additionally, they assessed the performance of several machine-learning algorithms such as a support vector machine, random forest, naive Bayes, logistic regression, and neural networks. They presented the practicality of flow-behavior-based approaches by evaluating various machine-learning technologies.

With the critical advances in profound learning, numerous examinations on traffic arrangement have received its qualities. The center benefit of profound learning over customary machine learning innovations is to empower the classifier to naturally separate highlights from the crude information. The creators of [9] embraced a convolutional neural organization (CNN) for traffic arrangement. Portrayal learning is a technique used to naturally extricate highlights from crude information and the CNN is a commonplace technique for portrayal learning in profound learning. The convolution layer empowers a CNN to extricate the nearby highlights from the crude information. The creators incorporate element extraction and preparing by utilizing the upsides of the CNN. In [10], the creators assessed the two unique sorts of commonplace profound learning models, a CNN and a repetitive neural network (RNN). A RNN is intended to deal with consecutive information, for example, time-arrangement information. A few kinds of measurements can present the time-related nature, and the creators manage the time-related measurements utilizing a RNN. The creators of [11] proposed a profound learning-based traffic arrangement conspire for portable scrambled traffic. The creators proposed that traffic grouping plans utilizing a physically separated list of capabilities for versatile traffic produced by a moving objective are unrealistic. Also, they address the restrictions of customary traffic arrangement plans by utilizing the benefits of profound realizing, which can naturally extricate the list of capabilities.

Albeit profound learning shows an extraordinary presentation, presenting profound adapting straightforwardly can bring about a weakened execution. Changing and applying a novel model is vital as a result of the idea of highlights appeared by conduct insights. In [12], the creators portrayed an issue in which numerous investigations on profound learning-based traffic order have normally received every one of the highlights similarly without thinking about the sort of measurements. The creators mirror the multimodality of social measurements utilizing a multimodal profound learning model. Thought of traffic produced by secrecy apparatuses (ATs) was presented in [13]. Since it gets essential to safeguard the protection of clients on the Internet, a few ATs have been created, including Pinnacle. Thusly, a few noxious utilizations of ATs produce essential issues. The creators proposed an AT-explicit traffic arrangement by utilizing a progressive grouping that empowers an effective fine-grained tuning. The creators of [14] proposed a traffic arrangement conspire utilizing a progressive characterization. Stream conduct based methodologies have a detriment in that they can’t characterize obscure traffic classes in light of the idea of AI. Besides, expanding the granularity of the traffic class intensifies the order execution. The creators form the sub-classifier progressively dependent on the granularity of the traffic class. In [15], the creators tended to an issue in which an obscure traffic class can’t be arranged utilizing meta-learning. Profound adapting needs adequate dataset for the tweaking when an obscure traffic class shows up. In any case, it is hard to gather an adequate dataset of an obscure traffic class. Scarcely any shot learning, in particular meta-learning, empowers profound figuring out how to prepare the relationship of every information.
B. EXPLAINABLE ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE (XAI)

Logical man-made reasoning (XAI) methods have been concentrated to show the instrument of the machine learning model. In [6], the creators proposed the idea of reasonable man-made consciousness (XAI), and contrived a novel reasonable model that permits the AI model to infer such grouping results dependent on the element subset of the information. In [16], the creators imagined the significant highlights that are utilized for arranging certain information also, clarified why the profound learning model can perceive such information. To clarify this, the creators proposed an affectability examination (SA) that clarifies the effect of the progress of every pixel. In addition, the creators likewise proposed layer-wise pertinence proliferation (LRP), which clarifies the significance of every pixel. In [17], the creators proposed EXPLAIN-IT, a structure that discloses how to bunch an unlabeled YouTube traffic dataset obtained from the organization utilizing an unaided learning method. Clarify IT clarifies the bunching strategy utilizing LIME, which chooses the component most applicable to a particular choice from the information. Subsequently, the key element choice can clarify why the profound learning model characterizes the information. In [18], the creators depict the connection between the information and yield by embeddings fake irritations in specific highlights. The information yield relationship can give some translation rules to blackbox indicators like profound learning. Neural picture inscription age with a visual consideration conspire is proposed in [19]. The creators separated the critical highlights in the picture utilizing convolutional highlight extraction. The removed highlights are used to prepare the RNN for picture inscribing. During this methodology, the consideration instrument carried out through a convolutional include extraction can feature a significant some portion of the imageducing profound adapting straightforwardly can bring about a weakened execution. Reconsidering and applying a novel model is fundamental as a result of the idea of highlights appeared by conduct insights.

**TABLE 1. The comparison of existing studies on XAI.**

| objective                | method                                      |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| image classification     | importance of features                      |
| traffic classification   | key feature selection in unsupervised learning |
| image classification     | instering artificial perturbation           |
| image caption genration  | attention mechanism                         |

Table 1 describes the comparison of existing studies on AI. Many studies on XAI aim to explain the machine learning model for image classification. However, the traffic classification problem has different characteristics from the image classification problem. In the image classification problem, all elements of the data have the same semantic such as RGB color value. The attention mechanism proposed in [19] selects a feature subset by detecting an object from composed of pixels having the same meaning. In the traffic classification problem, the dimension of the data is smaller than that of the image data. In addition, since each element of the data has different meanings, a feature selection method that can reflect all of these characteristics is required. We designed a dominant feature selection method that is suitable for the low-dimensional behavioral statistics based on a genetic algorithm.
III. THE PROPOSED METHOD

The outline of the proposed predominant aspect determination technique is represented in Figure 1. The proposed technique comprises of two sections: (1) the development of a traffic classifier, furthermore, (2) predominant aspect choice. The traffic classifier is planned by a lingering organization (ResNet), which is known as a best in class profound learning procedure [20]. The traffic grouping applies information pre-preparing and preparing step. The information pre-preparing step gathers bundles from traffic streams and concentrates the factual highlights of each stream. After the pre-preparing venture, in which the traffic dataset is made, the traffic classifier is prepared utilizing the dataset made out of factual highlights.

After the classifier is prepared, the proposed prevailing aspect determination technique creates an element choice veil in light of a hereditary calculation. The prevailing aspect determination conducts a cover determination and a posterity veil age. The cover determination assesses the veils by checking the zeroelements and ascertaining the precision utilizing a concealed information dataset and a pre-prepared classifier. After the assessment, with the cover determination picks a couple of veils are picked utilizing a roulette wheel choice technique for the cover formation of the future. With the roulette wheel choice technique, the likelihood of choosing the veils with a higher fitting score is higher than the others. The posterity veil age makes a veil pool utilizing the chose covers and offers assortment to the cover pool through a hybrid and transformation. The veil pool created by the posterity cover age is acquired by the future. After the emphasis of two stages, the component choice veils for each help are made and the covers are used to pick the highlights important to arrange each assistance from every factual component. At long last, we break down the component of the traffic classifier by registering the significance of each component utilizing the element choice veils.

FIGURE 1. An overview of the traffic classification and dominant feature selection.
A. THE CONSTRUCTION OF A TRAFFIC CLASSIFIER

The construction of a traffic classifier consists of three steps: packet gathering, data pre-processing, and classifier training. The packet gathering step collects packets and groups them by the traffic to construct the training dataset. Because most packets are encrypted, a packet itself cannot be used as a training dataset, although the grouped packet dataset that shares the same end-to-end network address such as the IP address or TCP port number is needed. The packets in a grouped dataset may serve the same application service because they have the same application source, and such packets form a network flow. The packets in a network flow have a similar behavior, which is represented by the statistical features such as the Algorithm 1 Procedure of Traffic Classification

Require: Training packet trace P collected with short time duration, the traffic flow F composed of packets pi, the function •(F) returning the 5-tuple of the flow F.

Ensure: Pre-trained traffic classifier.

1: D ← ∅
2: • = {•(F1), •(F2), . . . , •(FN )}
3: Perform clustering packets in P by 5-tuple set • to form a bidirectional flow set {F1, F2, . . . , FN }
4: for i = 1 → N do
5: t ← Sn−1 j=1 {τ (pj+1) − τ (pj)}
6: s = {sk | sk is packet size of packet pk , 1 ≤ k ≤ n}
7: Compute total bytes b in the flow
8: Compute feature vector by using traffic flow statistical features
ψ = [mt Mt µt σt ms Ms µs σs n b]
9: Compute reverse directional feature vector ψ¯
10: xi = [ψ, ψ¯]
11: Detect the application layer li by the packet gathering step
12: D ← D U {(xi, li)}
13: end for
14: Normalize dataset D
15: for i = 0 → N do
16: Pick (xi, li) ∈ D
17: for j = 0 → number of ResNet layers do
18: $e := x_i$

19: $x_i := \text{batch\_normalization}(x_i)$

20: $x_i := \text{ReLU}(x_i)$

21: $x_i := \text{convolution}(x_i)$

22: $x_i := e + x_i$

23: end for

24: Calculate the loss between the result of ResNet and $l$, and backpropagate the gradient of the loss to the model.

25: end for

1) PACKET GATHERING

The bundle gathering step is addressed in lines 2 and 3 of Calculation 1. To make a dataset utilizing measurable highlights, the bundles ought to be assembled from the different application sources first and afterward assembled by an organization address. The stream $F$ is characterized as a 5-tuple $\bullet(F)$ that contains five components: the source IP address, source port number, objective IP address, objective port number, and transport layer convention [21]. In general, if the parcels have a similar 5-tuple data in a specific TCP meeting length, they are in a similar stream. The greater part of the bundles in an organization stream that has something similar 5-tuple can be considered to serve a similar application administration in light of the fact that the application worker gives one application administration utilizing one port number. In this manner, the parcels in a stream that has a similar 5-tuple may have a comparable conduct in the organization, as demonstrated by comparative measurable highlights. The traffic classifier utilizes a bidirectional stream set that is formed of both $F$ and its opposite directional stream $F^\text{op}$ on the grounds that most network designs apply a worker customer correspondence approach. Note that $\bullet(F)$ is the capacity returning the 5-tuple of the stream $F$.

2) DATA PREPROCESSING

The information pre-preparing step processes the measurable highlights of the bidirectional stream set appeared in lines 4-14 of Algorithm 1. The practices of the parcels in the organization are addressed as measurable highlights, which are fundamentally uncovered by the between appearance time, bundle size, number of parcels, and number of bytes [21]. Albeit the parcels are scrambled, the parcels serving a similar application layer convention have interesting practices, and the conventions that serve a comparative kind of administration show comparative practices. For instance, texting administrations can cause bursty traffic, which can be appeared in the factual highlights like a moderately short
between appearance time and parcel size. Along these lines, the profound learning-based traffic classifier can arrange bundles by administration paying little heed to encryption by learning the appropriation of factual highlights that are diverse for each assistance. The traffic classifier employs bidirectional flow features and extracts 20 types of features as shown in Table 2.

| features          | description                                                                 | value |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|
| packet size       | The maximum minimum average, standard deviation of packet size in a flow     | 8     |
| inter - arrival time | The maximum minimum average, standard deviation of inner-packet time in a flow | 8     |
| packet            | Total no of packets in a flow                                              | 2     |
| bytes             | Total no of bytes in a flow                                                | 2     |

Information preprocessing includes highlight extraction and administration marking. The previous part expects to extricate highlights from the stream \( F = \{p_1, p_2, p_3, \ldots, p_n\} \), where \( F \) has \( n \) bundles and \( p_i \) is the \( i \)-th bundle. Since we manage a bidirectional stream, the highlights of the converse course stream \( F^- \) are too required. Hence, 10 kinds of measurable highlights in one heading can be separated, and there are 20 sorts of highlights in one bidirectional stream formed by \( F \) and \( F^- \). In stream \( F \), we register factual highlights, for example, the between appearance time what's more, parcel size as follows:

- **Statistical highlights**: least, most extreme, normal, standard deviation are processed from the conduct vector

\[
f = [f_1 f_2 f_3 \ldots f_k]
\]

\[
mf = \min \{f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_k\}, \ Mf = \max \{f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_k\}
\]

where \( mf, Mf, \mu_f, \) and \( \sigma_f \) are the minimum, maximum, average, and standard deviation of elements of \( f \), respectively. Note that the standard deviation is the sample standard deviation.

- **The inter-arrival time**: Arrival time of one packet measured using UNIX time \( \tau(p) \). The inter-arrival time features between two packets are computed as follows:

\[
t_i = \tau(p_i) - \tau(p_{i-1})
\]

The behavior vector of the inter-arrival time is \( t = [t_1 t_2 \ldots t_{n-1}] \). Thus, four types of statistical features can be computed, i.e. \( mt, Mt, \mu_t, \) and \( \sigma_t \)

- **The packet size**: the payload length field in the IP header gives the packet size feature. The DPI can look into the packet and search fields of the IP header. Therefore, the behavior vector of the packet size
is $s = [s_1 s_2 \ldots s_n]$ and four types of statistical features are computed: $ms, Ms, \mu_s$, and $\sigma_s$. Finally, the input vector $x$ is composed as follows:

$$
\psi = [m t M t \mu t s t M s \mu s \sigma s n b]
$$

$$
x = [\psi \bar{\psi}]
$$

Note that $\psi$ and $\bar{\psi}$ are input vectors of $F$ and $F^*$, respectively.

3) TRAINING CLASSIFIER

Prior to preparing, we need to direct extra pre-handling steps, i.e., standardization and reshaping, in light of the fact that the deep learning-based traffic classifier needs to acknowledge the two-dimensional information. The profound learning-based traffic classifier is planned dependent on the engineering of a CNN, which is perhaps the most comprehensively utilized profound learning models, and is fundamentally utilized for picture arrangement. The fundamental thought of a CNN is to remove the nearby highlights from two-dimensional info information utilizing bits that concentrate the diverse neighborhood highlights. Measurable highlights are reasonable for the strategy for removing nearby highlights on the grounds that those are made out of a few highlights that show up in one component. For instance, the measurable highlights of the between appearance time one way are addressed by four highlights like least, greatest, normal, and standard deviation. The information ought to be standardized as $[0, 1]$ to stay away from predispositions in light of the fact that the area of each component is unique. The info vector is reshaped to a lattice that can be utilized for the contribution of the CNN. Note that the corrected direct unit (ReLU) is utilized as the initiation work, which is detailed as ReLU$(x) = \max(0, x)$. Furthermore, cluster standardization is likewise utilized for regularization in every convolution layer. To change the limit of our model, we likewise use the engineering of the remaining organization (ResNet) which is one of the models with the best among the profound learning structures [20]. To use complex information, a bigger limit model ought to be utilized to keep away from the overfitting issue, and the profound learning-based traffic classifier applies a ResNet model to permit the utilization of complex info information.

B. DOMINANT FEATURE SELECTION

We proposed a prevailing aspect choice strategy to clarify how the profound learning model orders traffic. In order issues, there are key components inside the information that are the reason for order. For instance, in common language handling (NLP), the subject and action word are the key components, also, the others are qualifiers used to clarify them in the word tokens. Using information with such a large number of or superfluous parts for preparing may cause a higher intricacy of the model. Indeed, information with a huge number may prompt a higher precision. As such, the characterization exactness likewise diminishes on the grounds that low-dimensional information have less data for the choice. In this manner, there is a compromise between the order precision and measurements of the information, and the classifier needs a measurement decrease strategy that expands the exactness.
We propose a predominant aspect determination strategy dependent on a hereditary calculation as a measurement decrease procedure. The point of the proposed technique is to track down the ideal component choice veils, limiting the quantity of chose highlights furthermore, boosting the order precision. Subsequently, we defined the target work as a direct mix of two variables, specifically, the quantity of concealed components and the arrangement exactness. Here, \( \rho_1 \) is the quantity of dropped highlights and \( \rho_2 \) is the arrangement exactness. Besides, we augment \( \rho_1 \) on the grounds that amplifying the quantity of dropped highlights is equivalent to limiting the quantity of chose highlights. This issue is formed as follows:

\[
\text{maximize } \lambda_1 \rho_1 + \lambda_2 \rho_2 \\
\text{subject to } \rho_1 = 0, 1, \ldots, l_{\text{mask}} \\
0 \leq \rho_2 \leq 1 \\
\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 1 \\
0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq 1, 0 \leq \lambda_2 \leq 1
\]

where \( \lambda_1 \) and \( \lambda_2 \) are the weights of \( \rho_1 \) and \( \rho_2 \), respectively and are hyper-parameters that should be set beforehand. In addition, \( \rho_1 \) is an integer from zero to \( l_{\text{mask}} \), where \( l_{\text{mask}} \) is the total number of features. Note that \( \rho_1 \) should be normalized in \([0, 1]\) because \( \rho_1 \) is an integer and \( \rho_2 \) is a decimal value with the domain of \([0, 1]\).

It is hard to amplify the target work in light of the fact that \( \rho_1 \) is a number. Also, \( \rho_2 \) can contrast regardless of whether the number of zeros is a similar veil in light of the fact that the places of the zero segments in the cover decides the critical segment of the information for grouping the traffic. At the end of the day, it tends to be hard to boost the target work utilizing streamlining strategies that essentially change \( \rho_1 \). In this manner, the proposed strategy discovers the component determination covers utilizing a hereditary calculation, which can augment the precision by considering the situation of the zero parts. A hereditary calculation is a meta-heuristic calculation propelled by acquiring the best chromosomes through the ages to permit the fittest to endure. With the proposed technique, the chromosomes are considered as the component determination veils, and the calculation generates a mask pool to maximize the objective function. Based on the algorithm, the proposed method generates an optimal feature selection mask that selects the least number of features without significantly compromising the classification accuracy.

The component choice technique is led as a few cycles comprising of two stages, specifically, best cover determination and posterity cover age. The best cover determination step assesses the fitting score of the parent covers and chooses a couple of the best covers through a roulette-wheel determination. After veil determination, the offsprings are made through a hybrid and transformation. The ideal covers are made through adequate emphases of the above strides toward a boost of the target work addressed by the fitting score. Note that the fitting score is a pointer that addresses the optimality. Calculation 2 shows the whole methodology of the component determination.
1) BEST MASK SELECTION

The best veil determination means to pick a couple of best component choice covers leaving the future. The hereditary calculation characterizes the chromosome with a few qualities made out of parallel encoding for the statement of arrangements. The proposed include determination veil is introduced as a chromosome appeared as a parallel string. The components of the veil addressed by qualities pick the significant highlights, as shown by a "1" and eliminate different highlights, as demonstrated by a "0". Along these lines, the ideal element vector $x_0$ is processed as the component astute increase of the ideal component determination cover and the unique information vector.

During the underlying age, the proposed technique haphazardly makes $M$ chromosomes creating the populace furthermore, measures the fitting score. The chromosomes that are passed to the cutting edge are chosen from the populace through a roulette-wheel determination. The roulette-wheel choice is one of the techniques used to pass on chromosomes with high fitting scores to the future. To stay away from combination to the nearby least in chromosome investigation, the technique allows all up-and-comer chromosomes an opportunity to be given to the future however gives a high likelihood of determination of chromosomes with high fitting scores.

In the $i$-th age, the fitting score assessment and best chromosome determination are directed. The proposed technique assesses the fitting score of chromosomes in the parent populace. The ideal component determination veil ought to choose the most un-number of highlights to augment the exactness. That is, the ideal veils consider the quantity of dropped highlights $\rho_1$ furthermore, grouping exactness $\rho_2$, which is inferred utilizing a pretrained traffic classifier. The fitting score $\kappa$ is defined as the target capacity to be amplified. The proposed strategy registers the fitting score $\kappa$ as follows:

$$\kappa = \lambda_1\rho_1 + \lambda_2\rho_2$$

**Algorithm 2 Procedure of Dominant Feature Selection**

Require: Pre-trained traffic classifier, flow statistical feature dataset $x$, the weight of dropped feature numbers $\lambda_1$, the weight of classification accuracy $\lambda_2$, the number of whole generation $N$, the number of individuals in a population $M$.

Ensure: Optimal feature selection mask $\theta^*$ of a service.

1: Randomly generates the initial population $\theta_0$.

2: for $i = 0 \rightarrow N - 1$ do

3: $k_i = \emptyset$

4: for $j = 1 \rightarrow M$ do

5: Pick a individual $\theta_{ji}$ from the $i$-th generation population $\theta_i$

6: Compute $\rho_{j1}$, which is the number of zeros in $\theta_{ji}$.

7: $x_0 = \theta_{ji} \circ x$
8: Compute the accuracy $\rho_j^2$ from the pre-trained traffic classifier using $x_0$.

9: Compute $\kappa_{ji} = \lambda_1 \rho_j^1 + \lambda_2 \rho_j^2$

10: $\kappa_i = \kappa_i \cup \{\kappa_{ji}\}$

11: end for

12: Compute best individuals $\theta^*$

i by truncating the population based on $\kappa_i$

13: $\theta_{i+1} = \emptyset$

14: for $j = 1 \rightarrow M$ do

15: Decide to perform elitism, crossover and mutation operation in Monte-Carlo manners.

16: if Perform elitism operation then

17: Randomly pick a individual $\hat{\theta}_j^i$ from $\theta^i$

18: $\theta_{ji+1} = \hat{\theta}_j^i$

19: end if

20: if Perform crossover operation then

21: Randomly pick two individuals $\hat{\theta}_1^i, \hat{\theta}_2^i$ from $\theta^i$

22: Compute $\theta_{ji+1}$ by crossover operation.

23: end if

24: if Perform mutation operation then

25: Randomly pick two individuals $\hat{\theta}_1^i, \hat{\theta}_2^i$ from $\theta^i$

26: Compute $\theta_{ji+1}$ by mutation operation.

27: end if

28: $\theta_{i+1} = \theta_{i+1} \cup \{\theta_{ji+1}\}$

29: end for

30: end for

31: $\theta^? = \theta_N$

where $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2$ are the loads of $\rho_1$ and $\rho_2$, individually, also, they are hyper-boundaries that ought to be set previously. In the event that $\rho_1$ is high, the quantity of dropped highlights is a higher priority than the exactness. The base highlights are chosen, albeit the precision is marginally low. In any case, if $\lambda_2$ is high, the
exactness is more significant and the quantity of zeros in the cover is moderately little. Subsequently, there is a compromise between the quantity of dropped highlights and precision.

After the measurement of the fitting score, the best chromosome selection is conducted to select chromosomes evaluated with high fitting scores through a roulette-wheel determination. The number of inhabitants in chose chromosomes comprises generally of covers assessed with a high fitting score and is acquired by the future. The covers merged to the ideal veils that have a high fitting score from adequate cycles of natural selection.

2) OFFSPRING MASK GENERATION

The subsequent advance means to create posterity covers dependent on the chose covers. Fundamentally, posterity covers are created by two change procedures, i.e., hybrid and transformation. These procedures are an interaction used to investigate the whole conceivable chromosome pool and discover better chromosomes. For model, if the quantity of segments of the info information is \( l_{mask} \), the quantity of potential veils that can apply the info information is \( 2^{l_{mask}} \). At the point when the components of the information are more perplexing, the conceivable cover pool is dramatically extended also, the debilitating inquiry technique has outrageous trouble tracking down the ideal covers.

A crossover is an operation that merges a portion of the genes of parents. Specifically, a crossover chooses one random gene \( \alpha \) in the parent chromosomes \( g_1 \), \( g_2 \) and separates each chromosome into two parts \( g_1[0, \alpha] \), \( g_1[\alpha, 20] \), \( g_2[0, \alpha] \), and \( g_2[\alpha, 20] \), where \( g[\alpha, \beta] \) is a sub-array of array \( g \) from \( \alpha \) to \( \beta - 1 \). It swaps parts of \( g_1 \) and \( g_2 \) as follows:

\[
g_1 = g_1[0, \alpha] + g_2[\alpha, 20] \\
g_2 = g_2[0, \alpha] + g_1[\alpha, 20]
\]

A mutation is an operation that changes a few genes in chromosome. A bit flipping operation is used as the mutation operation because the proposed method uses binary-encoded chromosomes.

The proposed technique has two hyper-boundaries that have a likelihood of working a hybrid and transformation, for example, the hybrid and transformation rates. It works a hybrid and transformation with a specific likelihood, and along these lines a few chromosomes have been changed, albeit some others have been saved. Along these lines, the proposed technique gives ideal component determination veils in a stochastic way. Since posterity veil age technique depend on the hereditary calculation, they are of a probabilistic sort. At the end of the day, with the hereditary calculation, a hybrid and transformation arbitrarily happen. Thusly, discovering better chromosomes and keeping up the best people delivers the ideal component determination veil.

IV. PERFORMANCE EVALUATION AND EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS

In this section, we describe the performance of the deep learning-based traffic classifier used to evaluate the accuracy, learning cost. To evaluate the performance of the proposed method, we carried out numerous experiments using real-world data.
A. EXPERIMENT SETTINGS

For reasonable assessments, the public pcap datasets are utilized to construct the preparation dataset. We embraced public pcap datasets from Applications and administrations for the preparation dataset. ISCX VPN-nonVPN, MACCDC, and WRCCDC, which have additionally been as often as possible utilized in different examinations in rush hour gridlock characterization and incorporate both scrambled and non-encoded parcels. Albeit the public pcap dataset has numerous bundles that work different conventions, the quantity of streams assembled by parcels that share a similar 5-tuple is inadequate to prepare profound learning-based traffic characterization model. To supply really preparing information, we accumulate the extra pcap information using the worker which creates bundles of different conventions from a grounds organization. Subsequently, the whole dataset is made out of 49 applications, as demonstrated in Table 3. In Table 3, a number segment addresses the quantity of streams. We set the quantity of information by each assistance like stay away from one-sided preparing. Besides, for commonsense use, parcels of one stream are assembled for 900 seconds without thinking about a TCP meeting break. To make a preparation dataset, we carried out the information pre-handling program utilizing the nDPI library, which can recognize the application. The nDPI is an open-source DPI library that gives data on both the payload and header of the bundle. In view of the nDPI, we assemble data about the application layer convention, IP address, and TCP port number. Albeit the public pcap dataset applies the preassigned marks, we need to appoint names to the extra dataset gathered by our grounds organizations. We influence the nDPI library to allocate the extra dataset gathered by our grounds organizations. Subsequent to social occasion the data, we carried out the remainder of the pre-handling program that gatherings the bundles into the stream and concentrates the stream measurements. The profound learning-based traffic classifier is carried out utilizing TensorFlow. The whole trials are directed by a worker with an Intel i9-8980XE CPU, 64GB of RAM, and NVIDIA GeForce GTX 2080.

B. PERFORMANCE EVALUATIONS OF SERVICE-SPECIFIC TRAFFIC CLASSIFICATION

For preparing the profound learning model, we partitioned the 70% of the dataset into preparing dataset and 30% into test dataset, and all assessments depend on the test dataset. The boundaries are introduced indiscriminately, and a group standardization layer is used to moderate the exertion needed to regularize the boundaries by framing a comparable dispersion in each layer. There are some hyper-boundaries to be tuned before the preparation, for example, clump size and number of ages. We found the two hyper-boundaries above through a sufficient number of explores different avenues regarding a clump size of 300 and 5,000 ages. In addition, we led tests by changing other hyperparameters like the quantity of channels in the convolution layer and the quantity of layers in the remaining square. Note that one remaining square comprises of a few convolution layers and group standardization layers, and the whole model is built by stacking a few leftover squares. Figures 2(a) what's more, 2(b) show the test cost and test exactness as indicated by the cycles. We led tests by changing the number of layers from 4 to 8 and utilizing 64 and 128 channels. It very well may be seen that the more noteworthy the quantity of channels and the number of layers, the higher the characterization exactness, and the quicker the expense combination. When all is said in done, if the information has a high measurement, a more perplexing profound learning model is required [22]. ResNet enjoys a benefit that effectively controls the intricacy of the model by tuning the quantity of leftover squares and convolution channels. Subsequently, the model ought to have adequate intricacy to satisfactorily portray the dataset by expanding the quantity of layers and channels.
the test cost and test accuracy according to hyper-parameters such as the layers and filters. As shown in the figures, the model with 128 filters shows the maximum accuracy and minimum cost. It can be seen that when the deep learning model is trained by 128 filters, the classifier can achieve a sufficient performance. Hence, we use a sufficiently trained model whose numbers of layers and filters are 16 and 128, respectively. For preparing the profound learning model, we separated the 70% of the dataset into preparing dataset and 30% into test dataset, and all assessments depend on the test dataset. The boundaries are instated indiscriminately, and a bunch standardization layer is used to relieve the exertion needed to regularize the boundaries by shaping a comparative circulation in each layer. There are some hyper-boundaries to be tuned before the preparation, for example, group size and number of ages. We found the two hyper-boundaries above through a satisfactory number of explores different avenues regarding a clump size of 300 and 5,000 ages. Also, we led tries by changing other hyperparameters like the quantity of channels in the convolution layer and the quantity of layers in the lingering block. Note that one remaining square comprises of a few convolution layers and cluster standardization layers, and the whole model is built by stacking a few lingering blocks. Figures 2(a) furthermore, 2(b) show the test cost and test precision as indicated by the cycles. We directed examinations by changing the number of layers from 4 to 8 and utilizing 64 and 128 channels. It very well may be seen that the more noteworthy the quantity of channels and the number of layers, the higher the grouping exactness, and the quicker the expense intermingling. When all is said in done, if the information has a high measurement, a more unpredictable profound learning model is required [22]. ResNet enjoys a benefit that effectively controls the intricacy of the model by tuning the quantity of remaining squares and convolution channels. Consequently, the model ought to have adequate intricacy to satisfactorily portray the dataset by expanding the quantity of layers and channels.

C. EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS OF SERVICE-SPECIFIC TRAFFIC CLASSIFICATION

In this segment, we investigate how profound learning-based traffic classifier orders traffic into administrations. We led tests by changing the two hyper-boundaries, \( \lambda_1 \) and \( \lambda_2 \), of the proposed hereditary calculation based informative technique and assessed the presentation as per the hyper-boundaries. Note that we set the amount of \( \lambda_1 \) and \( \lambda_2 \) as 1 to reasonably quantify the impacts of the two factors \( \rho_1 \) and \( \rho_2 \). In light of the element choice veils created by each experiment, we define the dominance rate, which represents the importance of features and analyzes the statistical feature of each service.

how the fitting score, exactness, and number of dropped highlights change all through the ages contingent upon the loads \( \lambda_1 \) and \( \lambda_2 \). From each help, it can be seen that, as \( \lambda_1 \) builds, the quantity of dropped highlights increments. Simultaneously, as \( \lambda_2 \) diminishes, the normal precision of the cover pool diminishes. The primary section is the outcome wherein \( \lambda_1 \) is set to 0.1 and \( \lambda_2 \) is set to 0.9, where the proposed strategy plans to look for covers with a higher grouping exactness. Since it is for the most part better to have more information for a profound learning model with regard to grouping the administrations, a higher exactness and then some power in the characterization are appeared. The subsequent section shows the outcomes wherein both \( \lambda_1 \) and \( \lambda_2 \) are set to 0.5, implying that the methodology means to discover covers with both a higher precision and a bigger number of dropped highlights. It tends to be seen that the proposed technique will in general show a decent and reciprocal conduct between exactness furthermore, the quantity of dropped highlights. The third segment shows that, when \( \lambda_1 \) is set to 0.9 and \( \lambda_2 \) is set to 0.1, it shows that the proposed technique intends to discover covers with a bigger number of dropped highlights, instead of accomplishing a higher exactness.
Subsequently, the outcome shows that the quantity of dropped highlights is a lot higher than that of the other two results with various loads. In any case, it shows the most minimal

how the fitting score, exactness, and number of dropped highlights change all through the ages contingent upon the loads λ1 and λ2. From each help, it can be seen that, as λ1 builds, the quantity of dropped highlights increments. Simultaneously, as λ2 diminishes, the normal exactness of the cover pool diminishes. The main segment is the outcome where λ1 is set to 0.1 and λ2 is set to 0.9, where the proposed technique means to look for covers with a higher characterization exactness. Since it is by and large better to have more information for a profound learning model with regard to characterizing the administrations, a higher exactness and that’s only the tip of the iceberg vigor in the characterization are appeared. The subsequent segment shows the outcomes wherein both λ1 and λ2 are set to 0.5, implying that the methodology intends to discover covers with both a higher precision and a bigger number of dropped highlights. It very well may be seen that the proposed strategy will in general show a reasonable and corresponding conduct between exactness what’s more, the quantity of dropped highlights. The third segment shows that, when λ1 is set to 0.9 and λ2 is set to 0.1, it demonstrates that the proposed strategy means to discover covers with a bigger number of dropped highlights, instead of accomplishing a higher precision. In this manner, the outcome shows that the quantity of dropped highlights is a lot higher than that of the other two results with various loads. Be that as it may, it shows the least

As a rule, the CNN model works the characterization interaction by gathering a few neighborhood highlights. It is imperative to find key highlights that are utilized as rules utilized for the profound learning model to characterize. The XAI is an illustrative strategy that portrays how the profound learning model can group the attributes of a specific article by removing the key highlights like eyes, nose, and ear [16]. We found the key highlights of the stream by planning the prevailing aspect determination strategy dependent on a hereditary calculation as a technique for the XAI. We applied the proposed predominant aspect determination technique by changing the hyper-boundaries like the weight of the dropped highlights λ1 and the exactness λ2. We characterized the predominance rate to show the degree to which each highlight is predominant in arranging the traffic. The predominance rate is characterized by the extent of the quantity of chosen key highlights to the quantity of highlights in the whole try as follows:

\[ I = \frac{K}{N} \times 100, \quad 0 \leq K \leq N, \]

where I is the dominance rate of each feature, and K represents the number of times a key feature is selected in each experiment. The experiment was conducted starting from λ1 at 0.1, and λ1 was gradually increased by 0.1, until reaching 0.9. Then, λ2 is determined depending on λ1, starting from 0.9 to 0.1, making λ1 + λ2 = 1. Consequently, the entire experiments were conducted 10 times and N was set to 10. Figure 7 shows the dominance rate of each statistical flow feature for each service.
FIGURE 2. (a) Confusion matrix according to traffic classes (the ratio of predicted results to the true traffic class). (b) Precision, recall, and F1-score according to each service. Services 1-8 are as follows: (1) instant messaging, (2) E-mail, (3) file transfer, (4) P2P, (5) remote access, (6) streaming, (7) VoIP, and (8) Web surfing.

FIGURE 3. Fitting score, accuracy, and number of zeros per generation for 3 services: email, remote access, and web surfing. The X-axis indicates the generations. The Y-axis on the left indicates the fitting score and accuracy. The Y-axis on the right indicates the number of zeros, which equals to the number of dropped features.
FIGURE 4. Number of zeros, fitting score, and accuracy depending on different weight settings. The X-axis indicates the weights \(\lambda_1\) and \(\lambda_2\). The Y-axis on the left indicates the fitting score and accuracy. The Y-axis on the right indicates the number of zeros, which is equal to the number of dropped features.

FIGURE 5. Importance of features for each service. Note that (1) is the number of packets, (2) is the amount of bytes, (3), (4), (5), and (6) are the minimum, maximum, average, and standard deviation of inter-arrival time, respectively, and (7), (8), (9), and (10) are the minimum, maximum, average, and standard deviation of packet size, and (11), (12), (13), (14), (15), (16), (17), (18), (19), and (20) is the features of reverse direction, respectively.

It very well may be seen that the profound learning-based traffic classifier doesn’t order traffic into a help utilizing all highlights, however, groups it utilizing just explicit highlights. We directed nine analyses by changing the \(\lambda_1\) and \(\lambda_2\) and arrived at the midpoint of the consequences of the tests. At the point when the proposed strategy finishes an adequate number of cycles, it produces 200 include determination covers of each assistance for one analysis furthermore, picks the best 10 covers, which accomplish the most elevated precision. Figure 7 shows the predominance rate for each measurable highlight that influences the precision. In the event that the strength rate is high, it can help increment the characterization precision or fitting score, specifically, it tends to be a contender for the key component. Something else, these highlights have less effect on the traffic characterization, and in this way they can be applicants of pointless highlights. A strength pace of 100% infers that the classifier continuously utilizes the highlights to characterize the traffic into the help, specifically, the component is utilized as the center element of the assistance. Since the 0% strength rate suggests that the element does not influence the grouping, it shows that the element is unessential for grouping.
It very well may be seen that the profound learning-based traffic classifier doesn’t arrange traffic into a help utilizing all highlights, be that as it may, characterizes it utilizing just explicit highlights. We directed nine tests by changing the $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2$ and arrived at the midpoint of the aftereffects of the examinations. At the point when the proposed strategy finishes an adequate number of emphases, it creates 200 highlight choice veils of each help for one investigation also, picks the main 10 veils, which accomplish the most noteworthy exactness. Figure 7 shows the strength rate for each factual include that influences the exactness. On the off chance that the predominance rate is high, it can help increment the characterization exactness or fitting score, to be specific, it very well may be a contender for the key element. Something else, these highlights have less impact on the traffic order, and along these lines they can be competitors of superfluous highlights. A predominance pace of 100% suggests that the classifier continuously utilizes the highlights to group the traffic into the assistance, in particular, the component is utilized as the center element of the assistance. Since the 0% strength rate infers that the component does not influence the grouping, it shows that the element is unimportant for characterization.

The highlights have a low predominance rate. The majority of the administrations have a few key highlights, albeit the "web surfing" class has not many applicants. As such, the profound learning model of our strategy will arrange traffic that it can't characterize into the "web surfing" class. This can be clarified by the reality that the traffic of "web surfing" administrations will in general show an all inclusive property that addresses the overall practices of Internet administrations. For instance, the traffic of a "web surfing" administration dependent on HTTP can show different practices in the organization. Thusly, the entirety of the other traffic that doesn’t show the particular practices of the other administrations can be arranged into the "web surfing" class, which is viewed as a conventional class. Conversely, there are numerous connections among the highlights, and accordingly, a vacillation in the precision can as often as possible happen.

V. CONCLUSION

In this investigation, we proposed an illustrative strategy for the deeplearning-put together traffic classifier based with respect to a hereditary calculation. Further, we carried out the profound learning-based traffic classifier dependent on the ResNet model for exhibiting the proposed informative technique. We planned the prevailing include determination strategy as an informative technique dependent on a hereditary calculation to produce an ideal element choice cover. The proposed informative technique creates the ideal element choice covers by uniting the profound learning-based traffic classifier’s outcome onto the assessment of the chromosome in a hereditary calculation. The element choice covers are utilized to extricate the key component subset from the whole list of capabilities by considering the compromise between the classifier’s precision and the quantity of pointless highlights. We led a few investigations for mirroring the stochastic property of a hereditary calculation and figured the significance rate through the component determination covers. Through the significance rate, we clarified the system of the profound learning-based traffic classifier by researching the key highlights of every Internet administration. Later on, we plan to plan a key element choice calculation for better grained application-explicit traffic classifiers. Likewise, we will improve the union speed of the hereditary calculation to empower ongoing key component determination.
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