Dear Editor,

The Omicron variant of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) was designated as a variant of concern about two weeks after the first infection cases were reported in Botswana and South Africa in November 2021 [1]. More than 30 of the mutations identified in Omicron are in the spike protein, including 15 in the receptor-binding domain, which affects virus transmissibility and immune escape [2, 3]. The Omicron variant has displaced the Delta variant, which previously was the most widespread variant [4]. Currently, Omicron appears to be the most infectious variant worldwide because of its high transmissibility. In Korea, genomic surveillance of SARS-CoV-2 has been conducted since the first infection was reported in January 2020 [5, 6]. On December 1, 2021, the first case of the Omicron variant was identified in a traveler returning from Nigeria. The Omicron variant rapidly outpaced the Delta variant, accounting for > 90% of cases by early February 2022. We describe how the Omicron variant became dominant in Korea and how it was classified into genetically different groups. The Institutional Review Board of the Korea Disease Control and Prevention Agency (2020-03-01-P-A) approved the study and waived the requirement for written consent.

Nasopharyngeal and oropharyngeal swabs were randomly collected from patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection. During the study period (December 1, 2021–February 3, 2022), 1.9% (8,359 cases) of the swabs collected from confirmed cases were whole-genome sequenced across the country. Sequencing libraries were prepared using the QIAseq SARS-CoV-2 Primer Panel and QIAseq FX DNA Library Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions and were sequenced on the NextSeq 2000 platform (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) with an average genome coverage of >1,000× for all isolates. The sequences were submitted to GISAID EpiCoV (https://www.gisaid.org/).

In Korea, the Delta variant increased rapidly and was identified in >90% of the samples collected in August 2021; however, in January 2022, its prevalence decreased to 36.1% because of the emergence of the Omicron variant (Fig. 1A). In February 2022, the Omicron variant accounted for 99.1% of all coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) cases in Korea. With the emergence of the Omicron variant, the number of confirmed cases has increased sharply, resulting in a fifth wave of the pandemic (Fig. 1B).

Analysis of the pangolin lineage [7] of the Omicron variant in samples from Korea identified three lineages: BA.1, BA.1.1, and BA.2. Among these, the BA.1.1 lineage was the most prevalent, accounting for 78.6% of all cases, followed by the BA.1 lineage (21.1%). A phylogenetic tree of the global and Korean Omicron variants indicated that the Omicron variant isolated in Korea grouped...
into three distinct clusters (Fig. 2). One of the three clusters belonged to the BA.1 lineage; the other two to the BA.1.1 lineage. The lineage of the virus isolated from the first confirmed Omicron case, a traveler returning from Nigeria, was BA.1, and it was associated with cluster 1_BA.1. Initially, the Omicron variant caused outbreaks in Incheon city. The variant then spread to other regions of Korea; however, it did not cause large-scale outbreaks (Fig. 2).

The BA.1.1 lineage isolates from Korean samples were grouped into two genetic clusters based on whether or not they harbored the open reading frame (ORF)1a E775G mutation (Fig. 2). Cluster 1_BA.1.1, which does not have this mutation, is related to a previously reported outbreak [8]. This outbreak resulted from a single case from Iran and started with household transmission to a kindergarten in the local community. Cluster 1_BA.1.1 subgroup viruses were concentrated in the Honam region and accounted for 15.2% of all sequences. Cluster 2_BA.1.1 was the largest of the three clusters (56.4% of all sequences). The metropolitan area was a major source of viruses in this cluster, which then spread throughout the country. Mutational analysis indicated that the most common non-synonymous mutations were found in the ORF9b protein (P10S), regardless of the lineage.

We described the displacement of the Delta variant by the Omicron variant in Korea. The rate of transmission of the Omicron variant is higher than those of previous variants in Korea, driving the fifth wave of the COVID-19 pandemic in the country. It has been suggested that immune evasion contributes to the rapid spread of the Omicron variant [9, 10]. However, more re-
search is needed to better understand the link between immune system evasion and the transmissibility of SARS-CoV-2 variants. Considering the high transmission rates of emerging variants worldwide, monitoring of new SARS-CoV-2 variants must be conducted rapidly and regularly.
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