A FOLLOW-UP STUDY OF THE OCCUPATIONAL HAND ECZEMA AND SKIN DAMAGE RISK IN HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS FROM ROMANIA IN TIME OF COVID-19
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Abstract

The aim of this work was to estimate the prevalence and risk factors of self-reported, work-related occupational hand eczema, targeting personnel working in hospitals and ambulatory units throughout Romania. A standardized questionnaire was specifically addressed to healthcare professionals from different medical fields. Out of 245 healthcare providers who took part in the survey, 161 (65.71%) were nurses, and 235 (95.92) women, which confirms that women are prevalent in this working sector. The latex powder-gloves were the most frequently implied trigger factor, 223 (91.02%) responders reported the daily use of protective gloves and 37 (15.12%) of them have reported the protective gloves as a possible cause for skin lesions. Also, an important part of responders testified a prolonged contact with water, which confirms the importance of wet environment in inducing occupational hand eczema. In addition, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analysis of powder free latex gloves was performed in order to establish a correlation between the elemental composition and risk factors for hand eczema. The results support the implication of Cd and Ca, which were detected on the inner faces of the gloves, to the toxicity to the skin.

Rezumat

Obiectivul studiului a fost de a estima prevalența și factorii de risc asociați ecземelor de contact profesionale, raportate de personalul medical din spitalele și unitățile ambulatorii din România. Un chestionar standard a fost adresat persoanelor care lucrează în unități de sănătate ale României. A fost considerat un risc mare pentru persoanele care lucrează în domeniul medical. Din cele 245 de cadre medicale participante, 161 (65,71%) erau femei, ceea ce confirma faptul că femeile sunt predominante în acest sector de activitate. Mănușile din latex pudrate au fost cel mai frecvent implicat factor de declanșare, 223 (91.02%) dintre răspunsătorii au raportat contactul prelungit cu apă, ceea ce confirmă importanța mediului umed în evoluția eczemelor de contact. Reteta analizei spectroscopică fotoelectronică cu raze X au permis identificarea elementelor Cd și Ca, care au fost detectate pe suprafața mănușilor, în toxicitatea la nivel cutanat.
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Introduction

Occupational skin diseases should be a public health priority since they represent up to 30% of occupational diseases [2]. In addition, skin diseases have a significant socio-economic impact on each individual therefore early diagnosis and appropriate protective measures are essential in order to prevent the progression to chronic eczema, which is much more difficult to treat [7]. Moreover, as a consequence of SARS-CoV-2 pandemic, the most important recommendations for infection prevention are hand hygiene and surface decontamination. Hand hygiene is achieved by frequent hand washing with soap and water for at least 20 sec, use of 60% alcohol hand sanitizers and wearing gloves [16]. The healthcare providers are most exposure to skin damage due to high exposure to wet environment and chemical agents, mainly disinfectants. As result, skin dryness,
irritant contact dermatitis and allergic contact dermatitis have been reported. For example, in Hubei, China, the hand eczema was reported by more than 76% of healthcare providers which washed their hands more than 10 times/day and wear gloves all time at work [6]. The aim of the study was to obtain data on hand eczema and risk factors from healthcare providers working in hospitals and ambulatory units from multiple departments, in different cities from Romania, including in time of COVID-19. The study was based on a self-reported questionnaire addressed to employees from all medical units and focussed on hand eczema, trigger factors and protective measures. Powder free latex gloves were examined using X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) method with the purpose of establishing a correlation between qualitative and quantitative elemental composition with respect to hand eczema risk factors.

Materials and Methods

The questionnaire

The questionnaire was anonymous, easy to understand, with yes or no answers to specific questions, and focused on the clinical aspects related to direct exposure. Work-related aggravating factors such as: contact with chemical agents, the use of latex gloves or different types of gloves, other suggestive exposures and hand washing frequency, were considered.

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analysis

Three different brands of powder free latex gloves (noted as sample 1, 2 and 3) were analysed in order to establish their elemental composition. The inner surface from three distinct areas of each glove was analysed: one area from the index finger and two different areas from the palm. XPS analysis was performed with a KRATOS AXIS Nova spectrometer equipped with an aluminium Kα X-ray source operated at 150W (15 kV x 10 mA). XPS wide scans were collected in the range of -10 ÷ 1200 eV with a resolution of 1 eV and a pass energy of 160 eV. Data was analysed using Vision Processing software (Vision2 software, Version 2.2.10).

Results and Discussion

Analysis of questionnaires

A total of 1000 questionnaires were distributed to hospitals and ambulatory units from main cities in Romania and medical personnel from all departments took part in this study. Only 245 questionnaires were validated, which means a positive response rate of 24.50%, in contrast with other similar studies (65% in a study performed in Denmark in 2007) [4]. This relatively small percentage suggests that the prevalence of occupational or work related hand eczema among healthcare providers (from the entire country) is highly underestimated. Some possible reasons for the low participation to the study could be: the indifference to the subject, the lack of compliance or knowledge, the unwillingness to recognize existing symptoms and the fear that correct answers can affect daily activity or even lead to participants losing their job. Out of the 245 questionnaire responders, 83 (33.88%) were care takers and 161 (65.71%) were nurses. Physicians did not want to take part to the study with only one questionnaire being ratified by a surgeon. The great majority (95.92%, 235 out of 245) of questionnaires confirmed that women are prevalent in this working sector.

Referring to the age of the responders (Figure 1), statistical analysis revealed a higher frequency of hand eczema reported by healthcare providers older than 40 years when compared to younger than 40 years.

Regarding the timing of when respondents have eczema, 18 (7.34%) reported having hand eczema at the time of the study, 19 (7.75%) within the previous 3 months, 12 (4.89%) within 12 months and 29 (11.83%) reported having hand eczema for over a year prior to the study. Out of 245 of respondents, 225 (91.02%) reported the daily use of protective gloves; only 37 (15.12%) of participants incriminated protective gloves as a possible cause for skin lesions, the majority of responders did not answer to the question (Table I). When asked, the majority of healthcare workers reported latex gloves with powder were most commonly used for their daily practice, as seen in Table II. Severe case of allergic contact dermatitis was reported also by healthcare providers in time of COVID-19 (Figure 2).

| Gloves | Responders (no.) | Percentage (%) |
|-------|-----------------|---------------|
| Y = Yes | 37              | 15.10         |
| N = No  | 10              | 4.08          |
| D = Deny | 1               | 0.41          |
| Missing answer | 197             | 80.41         |
| Total   | 245             | 100           |
Table I

| Type of gloves | Responders (no.) | Percentage (%) |
|----------------|------------------|----------------|
| Latex, powder  | 204              | 83.30          |
| Latex, no powder | 41              | 16.70          |
| **Total**       | **245**          | **100**        |

Table II

| Other incriminated agents at work |
|-----------------------------------|
| Type of agent | Responders (no.) | Percentage (%) |
|---------------|------------------|----------------|
| Detergents    | 7                | 2.86           |
| Soap          | 6                | 2.45           |
| Kallas®       | 5                | 2.04           |
| Talc          | 5                | 2.04           |
| Cloramine     | 1                | 0.41           |
| Clorhexidine  | 1                | 0.41           |
| Missing answers | 220             | 89.79          |
| **Total**     | **245**          | **100**        |

Table III

Table IV

| Hand washing/day | Responders (no.) | Percentage (%) |
|------------------|------------------|----------------|
| Permanently (> 20) | 192              | 78.36          |
| Frequently (11 - 20) | 31              | 12.65          |
| Usually (6 - 10) | 10               | 4.08           |
| Rare (0 - 5) | 3                | 1.22           |
| Missing responses | 9                | 3.69           |
| **Total**       | **245**          | **100**        |

An important part of responders testified a prolonged contact with water, which confirms the importance of wet environment in inducing occupational hand eczema, the details are presented in Table V.

Table V

“Wet hands” defined as the contact with water of each responder, how many minutes/day

| Min/day       | Responders (no.) | Percentage (%) |
|---------------|------------------|----------------|
| Frequently (> 120) | 66              | 24.53          |
| Often (30 - 120) | 56              | 22.85          |
| Rare (< 30) | 105              | 42.86          |
| Missing answers | 18               | 9.76           |
| **Total**     | **245**          | **100**        |

When compared to clinical examination, the validity of questionnaires is debatable even though it allows an anonymous investigation on a larger scale, a non-homogenous study population and collection of variable additional data. Self-reported eczema study has been used and the results have been validated in many studies [10, 13]; several Swedish studies were based on self-reported occupational hand eczema and have shown a sensitivity of 87% and specificity of 79% [10]. The present study confirms the frequency of occupational hand eczema, especially in female nurses; this result is in concordance with other reports in which 70 - 72% of occupational skin disorders have been diagnosed in nurses [11]. Compared to other similar studies, the present study indicates a higher positive rate of responders among women over 40 years old, which is in contrast to other reports [8].

**X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analysis**

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) is a non-invasive quantitative and qualitative surface technique (sampling depth ≤ 10 nm) that can detect elements, with the exception of hydrogen and helium. XPS can also provide information regarding metal oxidation states, chemical bonding, and general types of organic functional groups [15]. This technique can be used to identify the elemental concentration and characterize the molecular structure of various solid samples [1, 3, 12].

![Figure 2](image-url)

XPS wide scans for the inner surfaces of 3 latex powder free gloves – finger samples
Wide XPS scans allowed the qualitative and quantitative elemental characterization of the surfaces of the powdered latex gloves; the elements detected on the surfaces included C, N, O, Zn, Ca, S, Si, and Cd (Figures 2 and 3).

From Tables VI and VII, besides the rubber polymer components, it can be clearly seen from XPS results that silicones and other compounds containing O, Ca, S, Cd, and Zn were also present on the inner surfaces of gloves.

Table VI

| Element | Sample 1 | Sample 2 | Sample 3 |
|---------|----------|----------|----------|
|         | Atomic conc (%) | Atomic conc (%) | Atomic conc (%) |
| Zn      | 0.66      | 0.37      | 1.37      |
| O       | 17.66     | 9.95      | 24.29     |
| N       | 1.76      | nd        | Nd        |
| Ca      | 1.22      | 1.81      | 5.01      |
| C       | 70.88     | 86.69     | 65.50     |
| S       | 0.79      | 0.22      | 0.59      |
| Si      | 7.03      | 0.97      | 2.97      |
| Cd      | nd        | nd        | 0.26      |

nd = not detected
All these elements were also found by other studies that implied the analysis through XPS on different types of gloves and have been proven to be contaminants [5, 8, 9]. In the article published by Strohmieer et al. it was demonstrated that many of the glove surface components could easily be transferred to other surfaces when only lightly touched [9]. In this respect, we may assume that these contaminants together with the trigger factors could provoke different types of allergies, including hand eczema. In addition, at a closer introspection, it can be seen that differences were found in the surface compositions between different brands of powder free latex gloves (Tables VI and VII). This could be most likely due the combination of different latex rubber formulas used by the manufacturers, differences in processing conditions, and the use of different surface coatings [9].

### Table VII

Surface composition, atomic concentration (%) determined from the XPS wide scans for the inner surfaces of 3 latex powder free gloves – palm samples

| Element | Atomic conc (%) | Mean S.D. | Atomic conc (%) | Mean S.D. | Atomic conc (%) | Mean S.D. |
|---------|----------------|-----------|----------------|-----------|----------------|-----------|
|         | Sample 1 (S1)  | S1.1  | S1.2  | Sample 2 (S2)  | S2.1  | S2.2  | Sample 3 (S3)  | S3.1  | S3.2  |
| Zn      | 0.68  | 0.74  | 0.71  | 0.042 | 0.53  | 0.56  | 0.545 | 0.021 | 1.30  | 0.85  | 1.075 | 0.318 |
| O       | 17.22 | 16.52 | 16.87 | 0.495 | 10.16 | 10.26 | 10.21 | 0.071 | 23.51 | 23.28 | 23.40 | 0.163 |
| N       | 1.36  | 1.99  | 1.675 | 0.446 | nd   | 1.29  | -    | -    | 0.27  | nd   | -    | -    |
| Ca      | 0.95  | 0.94  | 0.945 | 0.007 | 1.79  | 1.55  | 1.67  | 0.170 | 5.16  | 4.79  | 4.975 | 0.262 |
| C       | 72.10 | 71.45 | 71.77 | 0.460 | 86.05 | 84.82 | 85.44 | 0.870 | 66.60 | 67.05 | 66.93 | 0.177 |
| S       | 1.19  | 1.05  | 1.12  | 0.099 | 0.35  | 0.32  | 0.335 | 0.021 | 0.19  | 0.62  | 0.405 | 0.304 |
| Si      | 6.51  | 7.31  | 6.91  | 0.566 | 1.12  | 1.20  | 1.16  | 0.057 | 2.77  | 3.21  | 2.990 | 0.311 |
| Cd      | nd    | nd    | nd    | nd    | nd    | nd    | nd    | nd    | 0.27  | 0.22  | 0.245 | 0.035 |

nd = not detected; S.D. = standard deviation

Animal experimental models on mice showed that Cd inhibits fibroblast proliferation and intensifies cellular apoptosis and thus has a toxic effect when in contact with skin; this effect is dependent of dose and exposure duration [14]. Furthermore, mitochondrial dysfunction and apoptosis were also demonstrated to be influenced by modified Ca homeostasis [14].

### Conclusions

To the best of our knowledge, data on the incidence of occupational hand eczema in healthcare providers has not been published in Eastern Europe. This study is based on 245 validated anonymous questionnaires, filled by healthcare providers from hospitals and ambulatory units from different cities in Romania. Although the questionnaires were filled in anonymity, we consider that the results could have been influenced by the lack of confidence and anxiety of risk management. The protective gloves, the use of cleaning agents and hand washing, although part of the prevention protocols, proved to be risk factors for occupational hand eczema, latex powder-gloves being the most frequently implied trigger factor. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) performed on latex gloves showed the presence of Cd, which stimulates skin fibroblast apoptosis in mice by affecting intracellular homeostasis. The results of XPS analysis support the importance of finding Cd and also Ca on the inner faces of the gloves which contributing to the toxicity to the skin. Occupational contact dermatitis varies in definition and statistics between European countries and Romania, making challenging comparative statistics. Recognition of occupational skin diseases is important for achieving international guideline, in order to improve prevention and patient management.
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