Psychological well-being and health perception: predictors for past, present and future
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Abstract

Background: Increasing evidence supports an association between psychological well-being and overall health, however, much remains to be understood about this association. Objectives: The current study addresses this issue by presenting a new perspective focusing on health perceptions. Additionally, it examines the impact of each of six dimensions of psychological well-being on health perception. Methods: Data for this study were collected from a sample of 1,155 Portuguese adults in various settings. Results: Findings reveal that psychological well-being dimensions’ impact differently on prior, current, and health outlook perceptions. Furthermore, the dimension depressed mood influences current health perception; in turn, current health perception is the strongest predictor for psychological well-being. Discussion: Our results provide support for a bidirectional relationship between health perception and psychological well-being.
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Introduction

Well-being has been well studied over recent decades1,2, and, consequently, substantial progress has been made regarding its conceptualization and assessment. Health is an important dimension of psychological well-being, and there is a consensus that it is more than just the absence of mental illness or physical infirmity.3 There is also clear evidence that psychological well-being influences health in general.4 However, there might be a discrepancy between perceived health and actual health.5 Therefore, it is important to know how health perceptions have an impact on psychological well-being.

Although some authors claim that the relationship between psychological well-being and health perception is bidirectional, which makes it almost impossible to separate the two concepts, clearly, this relationship is not yet well understood.6,7,8 Furthermore, in Portugal, research on well-being has not received enough attention. The current study aimed to fill the research gaps namely by exploring the relation between psychological well-being and health perception in a Portuguese sample. In addition, the impact of each dimension of psychological well-being on health perception was also addressed.

Overview of the literature

There are two main approaches to the concept of well-being: a subjective one focused on overall life satisfaction and happiness that distinguishes between positive and negative effects and a psychologic one that defines well-being as actualization of human potentials.2 The psychological approach draws attention to formulations of human development and life’s existential challenges and comprises different dimensions.8 Although the two approaches have grown separately, they are in fact conceptually related and may complement each other;9,10 they represent different facets of positive psychological functioning. These different conceptions of well-being reflect the following two philosophies regarding happiness: (1) a hedonic philosophy supporting the idea that happiness is analogous to subjective experiences of pleasure and satisfaction; and (2) an eudaemonist philosophy supporting the idea that happiness occurs when individuals perform personally expressive behaviors during meaningful goal pursuits.11 The current study focuses on the hedonic concept of psychological well-being as it is closer to the experience and easier to identify by participants.

Psychological well-being is a multidimensional construct comprising positive psychological and social functioning, including physical aspects.12 In Huppert’s words, “It is the combination of feeling good and functioning effectively” (p. 137). Along the same lines, the 2011’s Eurobarometer Qualitative Survey on well-being defines psychological well-being as feeling good about oneself, believing in oneself and one’s values, self-realization, recognition and success.

The most significant models of psychological well-being are: Diener’s tripartite model of subjective well-being14 that presents three related components of well-being: frequent positive affect, infrequent negative affect, and cognitive evaluations such as life satisfaction (hedonic well-being); Carol Ryff’s six-factor model of psychological well-being that includes self-acceptance, autonomy, positive relations with others, environmental mastery, purpose in life and personal growth (eudaimonic well-being); Keyes’s flourishing model1 that contains emotional or subjective well-being (hedonic well-being),...
Health perception and psychological well-being

Health perception is the individual assessment of his or her own personal health. A growing number of empirical studies have found that psychological well-being significantly influences health in general, and is associated with better health. For instance, a study on self-reported health over 9-10 years found that people with high levels of well-being presented better health indexes over time than those with lower levels of well-being. Likewise, the results of a meta-analytic review indicates a positive association between psychological well-being and reduced mortality in population with health problems, namely, cardiovascular diseases, renal failure and immunodeficiency virus-infection. People may perceive themselves as healthy even though they suffer from a chronic disease, whereas they may perceive themselves as ill without having any disease. Thus, one’s health perception is particularly relevant to psychological well-being. A body of research has documented that higher psychological well-being is associated with higher self-rating health.

Demographic factors influence health perception, and age is one of the most significant one. Older people frequently report their health as being poor and very poor compared to individuals under 25 years of age, which may be explained by chronic diseases, prevalent among older people. As for gender-related differences, so far, results have been inconclusive although some studies found no statistically significant gender differences.

Prior and current health

Research conducted by Ware on the association between prior and current health suggests that high scores for current health reflect upon a positive perception of both present and prior health and, albeit to a lesser extent, a positive future health or health outlook. Furthermore, health perception was found to be positively associated with other health variables pertaining to health states, such as psychological well-being, and negatively associated with other variables related to poor health, such as “bed days”. Correlations between current health and other health variables tended to be higher for feelings of general health, pain, and worry than for variables more directly observable, such as “bed days” and activity limitations. Both current health and prior health were negatively associated with illness behaviors. Current health, prior health, and future health scale scores were significantly negatively correlated with age. Current health scores were associated with both mental and physical health variables.

As mentioned earlier, previous research has found that the association between health and psychological well-being is bidirectional – health influences psychological well-being and psychological well-being also influences health. However, psychological well-being is a multidimensional concept and each dimension may have a different impact on health. Based on the fact that this subject has not yet been properly addressed, the current study aims: (i) to explore the association between psychological well-being and health perceptions (self-assessed) and (ii) to investigate the impact of each of the six dimensions of psychological well-being established by Dupuy. The following hypotheses were formulated: (H1) health perception would predict psychological well-being. Specifically, positive prior, current and future health perceptions would be associated with higher levels of psychological well-being; (H2) psychological well-being would predict health perception. Specifically, higher levels of psychological well-being would be associated with more positive prior, current and future health perceptions and (H3) the six dimensions of psychological well-being established by Ware would have a different impact on prior, current and future health perceptions. Given the heterogeneous results of previous research, no hypotheses were formulated regarding gender or age. Additionally, previous studies on measures’ validity were performed.

Methods

Sample

The sample comprised 1,155 respondents, being 69% female. The average age was 31.53 years-old (ranged between 18 and 79 years, SD = 13.53 years old). Regarding educational level, most of the respondents (44%) had reached an higher education level (41% had a bachelor’s degree and 3% postgraduate studies). One percent had basic education (9 years) and the remaining had completed secondary school (12 years of schooling). The sample was a convenience non-probabilistic sample, so, it is not representative of the population.

Procedures

Participants were recruited in multiple settings between 2015 and 2017 (universities, health and community centers), having participated voluntarily. The inclusion criteria were: (1) to be over 18 years of age and (2) to understand either the issues raised to give the informed consent or the items from the questionnaire. The only exclusion criterion was cognitive and psychophysiological impairment. In the information to the participant, researchers made a brief presentation and provided simple description of the study and its procedures and an assurance of confidentiality. The questionnaires were administered in each of the multiple settings, in a private, quiet room. All subjects gave their informed consent to participate in the study. The study protocol was approved by the Ethical Committee of Universidade Europeia, Portugal.

Measures

The sociodemographic questionnaire included questions about sex, age and literacy.

Psychological well-being was assessed with the Psychological General Well Being Index (PGWBI), an inventory designed by Dupuy to assess subjective perception of psychological well-being; PGWB measures self-representations of intrapersonal affective or emotional states reflecting a sense of subjective well-being or distress. The choice of Dupuy’s instrument relies on its multidimensionality, reflecting the concept of psychological well-being. This instrument includes a set of factors that together assess psychological well-being; although vitality is theoretically more affected by age and disease, individuals’ assessment cannot rule out. PGWBI is a revised version of the General Well Being schedule (GWB) and comprises six subscales assessing positive and negative affective states – anxiety, depressed mood, positive well-being, self-control, general health and vitality (Table 1) – experienced during the past month, on a six-point Likert type scale (0 = absence of psychological well-being; to 5 = high levels of well-being), according to the intensity or frequency of the affective experience. The overall score ranges between 0 and 110 and the range for the subscales’ scores is between 0 and 15, or 20 and 25. Results can be analysed globally or considering sub-scale’s scores. Scores between 0 and 60 reflect severe distress, between 61 and 72 reflect moderate distress, and between 73 and 110 point to a positive psychological well-being.
Although this instrument includes three items (which give rise to the general health factor) about health, they do not replace most of the items of the instrument used to measure health perception.

The Health Perceptions Questionnaire (HPQ) Form II was used to assess health's subjective evaluations. The questionnaire includes both physical and mental health. It consists of 32 items, of which 26 measure perceptions of prior health, current and future health, resistance/susceptibility to illness, health concerns, sickness orientation (Table 2), and the remaining six items evaluate rejection of sick role, and attitude toward going to the doctor. High scores on four subscales (current health, prior health, future health and resistance to illness) indicate positive health perceptions and high scores on two subscales (health concerns and sickness orientation) indicate negative health perceptions. Twenty-two of the questions from the HPQ were used to calculate the General Health Rating Index (GHI) score, ranging from 22 to 110, allowing assessment of health perception over time (past, present and future).

Both scales have been widely used over time for diverse populations.  

Table 1. The Psychological General Well Being Index (PGWBI)

| Dimensions       | Issues                                                                 |
|------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Anxiety          | Nervous, tense, anxious, worried, upset.                               |
| Depressed mood   | Depressed, downhearted, sad, discouraged, hopeless.                    |
| Positive well-being | Low spirits, dissatisfied or unhappy, interested in life, cheerful, light-hearted. |
| Self-control     | Firm control of behaviors, thoughts, emotions or feelings.            |
| General health   | Bothered by any illness, bodily disorder, aches or pains; help needed with most or all of the things; concerned/fears about health. |
| Vitality         | Energy or drained, sapped, fresh and restored; dull, sluggish, tired.  |

Source: PGWBI adapted from Dupuy (1984).

Table 2. The Health Perceptions Questionnaire (HPQ) Form II

| Dimensions               | Issues                                                                 |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Prior health             | Bed days; being sick or ill; thinking that might die.                   |
| Current health           | Bad/excellent current health; healthier/not healthier than other people, than before, than anyone; doctors confirm health status. |
| Health outlook           | Better/worse health in the future than now, than other people in the future; expectations to be healthy; afraid to be sick in the future. |
| Resistance to illness    | Get sick easier/harder than other people; bodily resistance to illness; perception of something going around. |
| Health worry/concern     | Worried about health; worried about health more than other people; health concerns; more health concern than other people. |
| Sickness orientation     | Getting sick as being part of life; acceptance of being sometimes sick. |

Source: Adapted from Ware (1976).
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For HPQ, the model structure comprised six latent variables and 26 observed variables (items). All items met the assumption of univariate normality (|sk| < 1.26 e |ku| < 1.61), but not of multivariate normality (ku = 108.44, p < .001). Results of CFA indicated a poor model fit (χ²(293) = 2359.534, p < .001; χ²/df = 8.053; RMSEA = .081; IC₉₀: (.078, .084); SRMR = .0819; CFI = .716; MECVI = 2.295) (Figure 2, Model 2). Model re-specification was conducted by deleting items with no factorial validity (items 17, 23, 10, 7, 3 e 5), and by allowing the covariance of residuals based on item content (22 and 30, 30 and 32, and 19 and 18) and item complementary (1 and 22, 4 and 30, 4 and 28, 9 and 26, 12 and 11, 12 and 18, and 26 and 32). The modified model provided a better fit to the data: RMSEA, SRMR and CFI indicated a good fit, and χ²/df suggested acceptable fit (χ²(54) = 208.675, p < .001; χ²/df = 3.864; RMSEA = .051 (.044, .059); SRMR = .0442; CFI = .965; MECVI = .263) (Figure 2, Model 2 – Modified).

Table 3. Cronbach’s Alpha (α), composite reliability (CF), convergent (AVE) and discriminant validity of the PGWBI dimensions

|   | α   | CF  | AVE | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  |
|---|-----|-----|-----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| 1 | Anxiety | .86 | .88 | .55 |    |    |    |    |    |
| 2 | Depressed mood | .68 | .70 | .44 | .55 |    |    |    |    |
| 3 | Positive well-being | .76 | .79 | .48 | .70 | .44 |    |    |    |
| 4 | General health | .60 | .61 | .34 | .74 | .80 | .48 |    |    |
| 5 | Self-control | .51 | .54 | .37 | .84 | .90 | .78 | .34 |    |
| 6 | Vitality | .73 | .74 | .41 | .83 | .89 | .77 | .82 | .37 |

Figure 1. CFA Models of PGWBI.
Comparison of competing models revealed that the modified model was more parsimonious and had better fit quality ($\chi^2_{dif}(239) = 2150.859$, $p < .001$). All items had factorial validity ($0.52 \leq \lambda \leq 0.76$) and good individual reliability ($R^2 \geq 0.27$). The factors' internal reliability was acceptable with the exception of health outlook. The model presented a good composite reliability for the HPQ ($0.76$) and

Figure 2. CFA Models of HPQ.
current health (85), and an acceptable composite reliability for health outlook (.60). All factors had discriminant validity (Table 4).

Positive and significant correlations were found between total HPQ and total PGWBI (r = .204; p < .001). Correlations between PGWBI and HPQ were positive and statistically significant (Table 5). Of interest for this study were the correlations between total PGWBI and total HPQ (r = .594) and current health perception (r = .573); and the correlations between general health and current health perception (r = .620) and total HPQ (r = .663).

A multiple regression analysis was conducted to examine the association between PGWBI and HPQ items (Table 6). Five models were analysed to test the predictive effects of HPQ factors on PGWBI (Model 1), of PGWBI factors on HPQ (Model 2); of PGWBI factors on prior health perception (Model 3), current health perception (Model 4) and health outlook perception (Model 5).

Findings indicate gender associations for the total PGWBI and the six PGWBI dimensions (gender was codified as 0 – male and 1 – female): total PGWBI score (r = -.156, p < .001), anxiety (r = -.129, p < .001), depressed mood (r = -.118, p < .001), positive well-being (r = -.113, p < .001), self-control (r = -.136, p < .001), general health (r = -.073, p = .016) and vitality (r = -.203, p < .001). No gender associations were found for HPQ.

Results point to a negative association between age and PGWBI. Age was found to be negatively related to the total PGWBI (r = -.114, p < .001), and to the following four PGWBI dimensions: general health (r = -.215, p < .001), anxiety (r = -.096, p = .002), positive well-being (r = -.116, p < .001) and vitality (r = -.070, p = .021). Age was also negatively associated with total HPQ (r = -.312, p < .001), prior health (r = -.233, p < .001), current health (r = -.299, p < .001), and health outlook (r = -.109, p < .001) perceptions.

Discussion

Summarizing, in the present study, we suggested that HP and PWB would predict each other (H1 and H2), and that the six dimensions of PWB would have a different impact on prior, current and future health perceptions (H3). Three factors of HPQ were found to predict PGWBI. Thus, results validated our first hypothesis. Positive prior, current and future health perceptions were found to be associated with higher levels of PGWBI, and negative prior, current and future health perceptions were associated with lower levels of PGWBI (Table 6, Model 1). Psychological well-being factors that were found to predict health perception were: general health, vitality and positive well-being confirming H2 (Table 6, Model 2), and validating our second hypothesis. As for our third hypothesis, only general health predicted prior health perception (Table 6, Model 3). Four PGWBI factors (general health, vitality, positive well-being and depressed mood) predicted current health (Table 3, Model 4). Finally, positive well-being, general health and vitality predicted future health outlook perception (Table 6, Model 5).

The current research aimed to explore the association between psychological well-being and (self-assessed) health perception and to study the impact of each of the six dimensions of psychological well-being on health perception. To test the hypotheses that health perception and psychological well-being would predict each other, and that the six dimensions of psychological well-being would have a different impact on prior, current and future health perception, two questionnaires were used. After a thorough examination of the models presented by each author, the psychological well-being model almost fitted the data (21 instead of 22 items and 6 dimensions) but the model presented for health perception had to be modified and reduced to 13 items, 3 dimensions and a general measure.

In this study, positive prior, current and future health perceptions were found to be associated with higher levels of PGWBI, and negative prior, current and future health perception were associated with lower levels of PGWBI. These results corroborate prior research findings.\(^3,5,19,20,22\). Our results are also in line with Ware’s findings\(^2\), indicating a positive association between health perception and psychological well-being.

The study provides evidence that psychological dimensions of well-being predict different dimensions of health perception: general health predicted prior health perception; general health, vitality, positive well-being and depressed mood predicted current health perception; general health, positive well-being and vitality predicted health outlook perception. As it might be expected, general health was present in all three models of health perception (prior health, current health and future health perceptions), as general health is a dimension of the PGWBI that evaluates health’s general perception. It should be noted that current health predictors did not differ from those found by Ware\(^2\), for whom, correlations between current health

### Table 4. Cronbach's Alpha (α), composite reliability (CF), convergent (AVE) and discriminant validity of HPQ dimensions

|            | α    | CF | AVE | r²       | 1  | 2  | 3  |
|------------|------|----|-----|---------|----|----|----|
| 1          | Current health | .85 | .85 | .42 | -  |
| 2          | Prior health  | .68 | .67 | .41 | .26 | -  |
| 3          | Health outlook | .56 | .60 | .43 | .28 | .24 | -  |

### Table 5. Correlations between PGWBI and HPQ scores (N = 1,081)

|          | 1    | 2    | 3    | 4    | 5    | 6    | 7    | 8    | 9    | 10   | 11   |
|----------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| PGWBI    |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| 1. Anxiety | .70  |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| 2. Depressed mood | .66  | .62  |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| 3. Positive well-being | .63  | .58  | .51  |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| 4. Self-control | .69  | .82  | .84  | .58  |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| 5. General health | .69  | .69  | .70  | .70  |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| 6. Vitality  | .89  | .83  | .84  | .78  | .76  |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| 7. Total    | .48  | .39  | .48  | .39  | .82  | .53  |      |      |      |      |      |
| HPQ        |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| 8. Current health | .30  | .29  | .27  | .24  | .49  | .29  | .37  |      |      |      |      |
| 9. Prior health  | .27  | .27  | .31  | .25  | .29  | .30  | .34  | .38  |      |      |      |
| 10. Health outlook | .49  | .42  | .49  | .40  | .86  | .53  | .59  | .94  | .71  |      |      |
| 11. Total   | .43  | .42  | .49  | .40  | .86  | .53  | .59  | .94  | .71  | .51  |      |

Note: All correlations were significant at p < .001.
and other health variables tend to be higher for feelings of general health, pain, and worry.

Our findings indicate that health concerns are determined by health perception (past, current and future), which is supported by Dupuy's definition of general health: “bothered by any illness, bodily disorder, aches or pains, help needed with most or all of the things to do; concerned, worried, or with fears about health” (p. 180).

In this study, current health and future health perceptions shared predictors, even though current health includes depressed mood, meaning that what affects current health perception do not affect prior and future health perception; depressed mood is the differentiating element between prior and future health perception. Furthermore, given the positive association between a perception of bad prior health and a perception of good current health, psychological well-being may be mainly determined by current health perception. This is in line with research outcomes that identified psychological well-being with the Actualization of Human Potentials (AHP) emphasizing an individual's power to grow and change in the present and to achieve self-fulfilment.

Gender differences were found for all dimensions of psychological well-being but not for health perception. These findings are consistent with other studies that show that men have higher levels of psychological well-being than women. Results show also a negative association between age and psychological well-being, general health, anxiety, positive well-being and vitality. Thus, our data suggest that younger people have higher levels of psychological well-being than older people, in previous results of the impact of age on psychological well-being have been quite heterogeneous, with some authors claiming that psychological well-being is stable throughout life and others reporting the opposite. As for health perception, younger people have a better health perception than their older counterpart, which is supported by previous research.

Table 6. Multiple regression models (N=1,081)

| Model | B     | Std. Error | Beta | t (p-value) | Tolerance | VIF |
|-------|-------|------------|------|-------------|-----------|-----|
|       |       |            |      |             |           |     |
| Model 1 |       |            |      |             |           |     |
| Constant | .82   | .11        |      |             |           |     |
| Current health | .47   | .03        | .46  | 15.90 (.<.001) | .71  | 1.42 |
| Health outlook | .14   | .03        | .13  | 5.04 (.<.001) | .85  | 1.17 |
| Prior health | .10   | .02        | .13  | 4.77 (.<.001) | .79  | 1.27 |
| Dependent variable: PGWB |       |            |      |             |           |     |
| Model 2 |       |            |      |             |           |     |
| Constant | 1.56  | .06        |      |             |           |     |
| General health | .40   | .02        | .52  | 17.85 (.<.001) | .58  | 1.73 |
| Vitality | .11   | .03        | .13  | 3.93 (.<.001) | .47  | 2.29 |
| Positive well-being | .07   | .02        | .10  | 3.20 (.<.001) | .49  | 2.04 |
| Dependent variable: HPQ |       |            |      |             |           |     |
| Model 3 |       |            |      |             |           |     |
| Constant | 1.76  | .10        |      |             |           |     |
| General health | .55   | .03        | .49  | 18.59 (.<.001) | 1.00  | 1.00 |
| Dependent variable: Prior health |       |            |      |             |           |     |
| Model 4 |       |            |      |             |           |     |
| Constant | 1.41  | .08        |      |             |           |     |
| General health | .41   | .03        | .46  | 15.08 (.<.001) | .56  | 1.78 |
| Vitality | .19   | .03        | .20  | 5.52 (.<.001) | .40  | 2.50 |
| Positive well-being | .10   | .03        | .12  | 3.57 (.<.001) | .44  | 2.26 |
| Depressed mood | -.06  | .03        | -.07 | -2.22 (.026)  | .50  | 1.99 |
| Dependent variable: Current health |       |            |      |             |           |     |
| Model 5 |       |            |      |             |           |     |
| Constant | 2.12  | .09        |      |             |           |     |
| Positive well-being | .13   | .03        | .16  | 3.95 (.<.001) | .49  | 2.04 |
| General health | .12   | .03        | .14  | 3.77 (.<.001) | .58  | 1.73 |
| Vitality | .09   | .04        | .10  | 2.22 (.026)  | .44  | 2.29 |
| Dependent variable: Health outlook |       |            |      |             |           |     |

Limitations

By providing information about the association between psychological well-being and health perception, this study contributes to the literature on well-being and health. However, limitations should be acknowledged. First, as this is a cross-sectional study, any causality association between the variables under study must be interpreted with caution. On the other hand, the sample was a convenience non-probabilistic one, so, it is not representative of the population. The fact that results relied exclusively on self-reported data from two questionnaires is another limitation. Thus, future studies should consider a methodological diversity.

Conclusion

The current study gives support to the line of research that indicates a bidirectional association between psychological well-being and health perception. In spite of not determining a causal relation, the study identified psychological dimensions of well-being that predict health perception. Whereas general health was found to predict health perception, depressed mood only influences current health perception and current health perception is the strongest dimension predictor for psychological well-being. Being so, patients' interventions must be focused on general health. Health perception can be an important factor to predict psychological well-being, aligned with a holistic approach to the phenomenon. Thus, we consider important to plan intervention and to regularly evaluate patient's treatment programs.
these predictive factors should be considered. Finally, these results allow us to acknowledge patients (in general diseases) from a global and holistic perspective.

Contributions

Ângela Leite contributed to the article performing the conception; analysis and interpretation of data; drafting the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content.

Ana Ramires contributed to the article performing the conception; analysis and interpretation of data; drafting the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content.

Andréa de Moura contributed to the article drafting the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content.

Teresa de Moura contributed to the article drafting the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content.

João Marôco contributed to the article performing analysis or interpretation of data.

Disclosure statement

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interests.

References

1. Deci EL, Ryan RM. Hedonia, eudaimonia, and well-being: An introduction. J Happiness Stud. 2008;9:1-11.
2. Keyes CLM, Shmotkin D, Ryff CD. Optimizing well-being: The empirical encounter of two traditions. J Pers Soc Psychol. 2002;82(6):1007-22.
3. Grossi E, Blessi GT, Sacco PL, Buscema M. The interaction between culture, health, and psychological well-being: Data mining from the Italian culture and well-being project. J Happiness Stud. 2002;13:129-48.
4. Keyes CLM. The mental health continuum: From languishing to flourishing in life. J Health Soc Behav. 2002;43(2):207-22.
5. Ryff CD. Psychological well-being revisited: Advances in the science and practice of eudaimonia. Psychother Psychosom. 2014;83(1):10-28.
6. Loprinzi PD. Factors influencing the disconnect between self-perceived health status and actual health profile: Implications for improving self-awareness of health status. Prev Med. 2015;73:37-9.
7. Diener E, Chan MY. Happy people live longer: Subjective well-being contributes to health and longevity. Applied Psychology: Health and Well-Being. 2011;3(1):1-43.
8. McPherson F, Melvin KC, Belew DL, McGraw LK. Health perception and wellness behavior survey among military beneficiaries. Ann Psychiatry Ment Health. 2016;4(2):1060.
9. Soukiazis E, Ramos S. The structure of subjective well-being and its determinants: A micro-data study for Portugal. Social Indicators Research. 2016;126(3):1375-99.
10. Gallagher MW, Lopez SJ. Curiosity and well-being. J Positive Psychol. 2007;2(4):236-48.
11. Ryan RM, Deci EL. On happiness and human potentials: a review of research on hedonic and eudaimonic well-being. Ann Rev Psychol. 2001;52:141-66.
12. Taylor RM, Gibson F, Franch, LS. A concept analysis of health-related quality of life in young people with chronic illness. J Clin Nurs. 2008;17(14):1823-33.
13. Huppert FA. Psychological well-being: Evidence regarding its causes and consequences. Applied Psychology: Health and Well-Being. 2009;1:137-64.
14. Diener E, Suh EM, Lucas RE, Smith HE. Subjective well-being: Three decades of progress. Psychol Bull. 1999;125:276-302.
15. Frazier P, Anders S, Shallcross S, Keenan N, Perera SS, Howard K, et al. Further development of the temporal model of control. J Couns Psychol. 2012;59(4):623-30.
16. Steptoe A, Demakakos P, Oliveira C. The psychological well-being, health and functioning of older people in England. In: Banks J, Nazroo J, Steptoe A, eds. The dynamics of ageing: Evidence from the English Longitudinal Study of Ageing 2002-10 (Wave 5). London: The Institute for Fiscal Studies; 2012. p. 98-182.
17. Wilks DC, Neto F. Workplace well-being, gender and age: Examining the ‘double jeopardy’ effect. Social Indicators Research. 2013;114:875-90.
18. Stone AA, Schwartz JE, Broderick JE, Deaton A. A snapshot of the age distribution of psychological well-being in the United States. Proc Nat Acad Sci. 2010;107(22):9985-90.
19. Ryff CD, Radler BT, Friedeman EM. Persistent psychological well-being predicts improved self-rated health over 9-10 years: Longitudinal evidence from MIDUS. Health Psychol Open. 2015;2(2). pii: 2055102915601582.
20. Chida Y, Steptoe A. Positive psychological well-being and mortality: A quantitative review of prospective observational studies. Psychosom Med. 2008;70(7):741-56.
21. Kaleta D, Polanka K, Dziankowska-Zaborsczyk E, Hanke W, Drygas W. Factors influencing self-perception of health status. Cent Eur J Public Health. 2009;17(3):122-7.
22. Ware JE. Scales for measuring general health perceptions. Health Serv Res. 1976;11(4):396-415.
23. Stoll L, Michaelson J, Seaforth C. Well-being evidence for policy: a review. London: New Economics Foundation; 2012.
24. Dupuy HJ. The psychological general well-being (PGWBI) index. In: Wenger NK, Mattson ME, Furberg CD, Elinson J, eds. Assessment of quality of life in clinical trials of cardiovascular therapies. New York: Le Jacq Publishing; 1984. p. 170-83.
25. Namjoshi MA, Buesching DP. A review of the health-related quality of life literature in bipolar disorder. Qual Life Res. 2001;10(2):105-15.
26. Gonzalez C, Gomes E, Kazachkova N, Bettencourt C, Raposo M, Kay TT, et al. Psychological well-being and family satisfaction levels five years after being confirmed as a carrier of the Machado-Joseph disease mutation. Genet Test Mol Biomarkers. 2012;16(12):1363-8.
27. Souto T, Leite A, Ramires A, Santos V, Espírito-Santo R, Wenger NK, Mattson ME, Furberg CD, Elinson J, eds. Assessment of quality of life in clinical trials of cardiovascular therapies. New York: Le Jacq Publishing; 1984. p. 170-83.
28. Hair JF, Black WC, Babin BJ, Anderson RE. Multivariate data analysis: Conventional criteria versus new alternatives. Structural Equation Modeling. 1976;11(4):396-415.
29. Hu LT, Bentler PM. Cutoff criteria for fit indexes in covariance structure analysis: Conventional criteria versus new alternatives. Structural Equation Modeling. 1976;11(4):396-415.
30. Kline RB. Principles and practice of structural equation modelling. New York: Guilford Publications; 2015.
31. Marôco J. Análise estatística com o SPSS Statistics. Pêro Pinheiro, Por-