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**Abstract:** The aim of this study is to investigate the characteristic of corn cob as a biomass feedstock for slow pyrolysis process. This was achieved by using proximate, elemental and thermogravimetric (TG) analysis as well as heating value, pH and lignocellulosic determination. Proximate analysis was performed using ASTM E1756-01, ASTM E1755-01 and ASTM E872-82. Proximate analysis showed that the corn cob feedstock contained 87.76 mf wt% of volatile matter, 1.05 mf wt% of ash content and 11.09 mf wt% of fixed carbon. The elemental analysis revealed that corn cob feedstock contain less than 1 mf wt% of nitrogen and sulfur. The percentages of cellulose, hemicelluloses and lignin of corn cob feedstock are 45.88%, 39.40% and 11.32% respectively. The weight loss of corn cob feedstock was prominent in the temperature range of 250°C–350°C. Two distinct peaks of derivative thermogravimetric (DTG) curve indicate the difficulty of corn cob feedstock to degrade due to its high fixed carbon content. The overall findings showed that corn cob is suitable to be used as the feedstock for slow pyrolysis because of its high volatile matter and low percentages of nitrogen and sulfur. Its high fixed carbon makes it a potential feedstock for the slow pyrolysis of biomass.
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1. **INTRODUCTION**

Pyrolysis is the thermal decomposition of biomass to produce a mixture of condensable liquids (bio-oil), gases and solid residue (biochar) in the absence of oxygen.[1] The pyrolysis process can be classified into three main classes, namely slow pyrolysis, fast pyrolysis and flash pyrolysis.[1-4] Each class can be differentiated by their operating conditions such as temperature, heating rate and holding time. The reaction temperature range is between 300°C–700°C for slow pyrolysis, 400°C–650°C for flash pyrolysis and 550°C and above for fast...
Slow pyrolysis of Biomass

Slow pyrolysis is performed at slow heating rate of between 10°C min⁻¹ and 20°C min⁻¹. Meanwhile, fast pyrolysis takes place at much higher heating rates than slow pyrolysis. Slow pyrolysis requires long holding time of at least 30 min to several hours for the feedstock to fully pyrolyse while fast pyrolysis is complete in as little as two seconds. Flash pyrolysis requires shorter holding times than fast pyrolysis. Slow pyrolysis gives maximum yield of biochar and gas but produce less liquid, while fast and flash pyrolysis produce higher liquid yields.

The different parameters of the pyrolysis process influence the yield percentage and also the properties of the product. Besides, the properties of the feedstock used for the pyrolysis also will influence the percentage yield and properties of the product. Various types of biomass have been used as the feedstock for slow pyrolysis process in different product applications. Oil palm wastes such as empty fruit bunches, oil palm shell and pressed fruit fibers, cassava wastes, rice husk, rubber wood sawdust and wheat straw are among the common feedstocks used for the slow pyrolysis process. In Malaysia, corn residues are an abundant waste which are easily available throughout the year. In 2012, the production of corn in Malaysia was 52,481 tons, and in the subsequent year, it increased by about 5% to 55,000 tons. In 2013, Malaysia ranked 113 out of 165 corn producing countries. Planted corn in the state of Kedah is 526 hectares while the area of the District Kubang Pasu is 187 hectares. For one hectare of land, 40,000 corns can be grown and the cost of planting one hectare of corn is RM 5,600. District Kubang Pasu has been voted as the Best Group National Corn in 2011. For every 1 kg of dry corn grains produced, about 0.15 kg of cobs, 0.22 kg of leaves and 0.50 kg of stalks are produced. Usually, the corn wastes such as corn cob and corn stovers are left on the ground of the farm or found littering the streets of the stall or market.

Liu et al. used corn cob in their study as the feedstock of biochar production at pyrolysis temperatures ranging from 300°C to 600°C. In the feedstock characterisation, they found that corn cob has higher volatile matter and fixed carbon; 69.5 wt% and 15.9 wt% respectively compared to corn stalk; 65.3 wt% and 15.6 wt% respectively. But, the corn cob contained much lower ash content, 2.9 wt% than the corn stalk, 11.7 wt%. For the lignocellulosic component, it was observed that the corn cob feedstock contained higher hemicellulose of 39.3% and lower cellulose and lignin, 28.75% and 19.6% respectively. However, Demirbas and Medic et al. found that cellulose is the main lignocellulosic component in the corn cob; 52 daf wt% and 45.2 wt% respectively.

The percentage of C, H, N, S and O are determined from the elemental analysis. From the literature of corn cob characterisation, it could be observed that carbon
is the major element in the corn cob feedstock. The percentage of carbon in the corn cob feedstock ranges from 47 wt% to 49 wt%. The corn cob feedstock has low percentage of sulfur and nitrogen, usually below 1.0 wt%. However, Liu et al. reported 1.89 wt% of nitrogen for the corn cob feedstock. The low percentage of nitrogen and sulfur in the feedstock could contribute towards sustainable environment as lower percentage of nitrogen oxide and sulfur oxide will be released during the pyrolysis process.

The percentage of carbon content and ash content in the feedstock also could influence the heating value of the biomass feedstock. According to Motghare et al., heating value is the amount of heat generated when a substance or biomass feedstock undergoes complete combustion. The biomass produces water and carbon dioxide when it is completely combusted and the generated water and water vapors contain latent heat which is given off upon condensation. High heating value (HHV) is the heating value which includes latent heat. In a study involving seven different types of biomass, Llorente and García found that five of them show that calorific values are directly proportional to the carbon content, and inversely proportional to the ash content. Literatures reported that the high heating value of the corn cob is in the range of 16.15 MJ kg\(^{-1}\) to 19.28 MJ kg\(^{-1}\).

Corn cob is a convenient source of biomass in Malaysia which can be used as feedstock for the production of biochar via slow pyrolysis process. Biochar produced from slow pyrolysis process can be used as soil enhancer to improve soil fertility. The application of biochar as a mitigation tool for sequestering recalcitrant carbon into agriculture soils also has been discussed recently. The physical and chemical properties of corn cob will greatly influence the quality of pyrolysis products as well as the yield percentage of products such as char, oil and gas. Therefore, a full understanding of the corn cob properties is essential to produce high quality biochar with high fixed carbon content. The main objective of this study is to investigate the properties of corn cob as a potential feedstock for the slow pyrolysis process.

2. **EXPERIMENTAL**

2.1 **Sample Collection and Pre-treatment**

The corn cobs originated from a farm in the District of Gurun, state of Kedah. As collected, the corn cobs were wet with a moisture content of 12.8 mf wt%. The samples were dried in the sun for a few days to remove the moisture content so as to avoid the growth of orange fungus and grey mould. The corn cobs were dried
again in the conventional oven at 105°C till their moisture content achieved less than 10 mf wt% of moisture content. Then, the corn cobs were ground to powder and stored in air tight containers prior to the analysis.

2.2 Feedstock Analysis

The values of moisture content, ash content and volatile matter of corn cob were determined according to American Society for Testing and Materials, ASTM E1756-01, ASTM E1755-01 and ASTM E872-82, respectively. The average results from the proximate were presented in moisture free weight percentage (mf wt%). The percentage of fixed carbon was calculated using Equation 1:

\[
\text{Fixed carbon (mf wt%)} = 100 - (\text{VM} + \text{AC})
\]

where,

VM = volatile matter, and AC = ash content.

The elemental analysis was performed in a Perkin Elmer 2400 analyser to determine the percentage of carbon, hydrogen, nitrogen and sulphur in the corn cob. The percentage of oxygen was obtained from Equation 2:

\[
\text{Oxygen (mf wt%)} = 100 - (\text{C} + \text{H} + \text{N} + \text{S})
\]

where,

C = carbon, H = hydrogen, N = nitrogen, and S = sulfur.

The powdered corn cob sample was burned in a commercial Parr Adiabatic Bomb Calorimeter to determine its HHV. The lower heating value (LHV) was calculated by using Equation 3.

\[
(LHV)_{\text{dry}} = (HHV)_{\text{dry}} - 2.442(8.936\text{H}/100)
\]

where,

H = weight percentage of hydrogen on dry basis.

The value of pH of corn cob feedstock was measured using a Jenway 3015 pH meter at room temperature. The 0.5 g of feedstock was dissolved in 50 ml de-ionised water in a conical flask and covered with foil for about 30 h while stirring occasionally. To determine the lignocellulosic content, firstly, the ground sample was extracted with ethanol–benzene according to ASTM D1107-96. The
percentage of lignin was determined using the extractive free sample with 72% sulfuric acid as described by ASTM D1106-96. The percentage of hemicelluloses was then determined from the difference between holocellulose and alpha-cellulose percentage as described by ASTM D1103-60.

Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) JEOL 6460 LV model was used to analyse the feedstock structure and surface topography of the feedstock. The coupled energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) analyser was used to detect the elements like silica, potassium and magnesium in the sample. The SEM was operated at 15 kV. The image was magnified around 50–5000 times. Before viewing the image, the corn cob feedstock was attached to an aluminum stub. The stub was then placed in a sputter coater to coat the sample with gold thus providing a conductive layer. The coating was performed in vacuum condition at 0.1 mbar for 3 min and current 35 mA. The stub was then placed in the sample holder of SEM. The image was thus displayed on the connecting monitor.

Thermogravimetric (TG) analysis was performed using Mettler Toledo SDTA851 TG Analyzer. The corn cob feedstock was loaded in a high purity alumina pan with approximately 5 mg weight of the powdered sample. Nitrogen was used as a carrier gas for creating the inert environment. The heating rate was set at 5°C min⁻¹ and the temperature range is between 30°C to 900°C. This analysis produced two types of curves, TG curve and derivative thermogravimetric (DTG) curve. TG curve represents the change in weight of the sample as a function of temperature. The DTG curve indicates the rate of weight change, dW/dt versus temperature.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The result of proximate and elemental analysis of the corn cob is as shown in Table 1. The moisture content, ash content and volatile matter of corn cob were found to be 7.14 mf wt%, 1.05 mf wt% and 87.76 mf wt%, respectively. Due to the high volatile content in corn cob, it is suggested that corn cob is a suitable feedstock for the thermochemical conversion process such as pyrolysis. The high volatile matter content also makes biomass feedstock a highly reactive fuel with a faster combustion rate during devolatisation phase than other fuels such as coal.

From Equation 1, the fixed carbon value of the corn cob is 11.19 mf wt%. From the elemental analysis, it was observed that the corn cob feedstock consists of 43.81 mf wt% of carbon, 6.54 mf wt% of hydrogen, 0.77 mf wt% of nitrogen, 0.69 mf wt% of sulfur and 48.19 mf wt% of oxygen. Elemental composition
obtained from elemental analysis of the corn cob feedstock reveals that they are environmentally friendly when used as feedstock for pyrolysis process since they have low percentage of nitrogen and sulfur. The corn cobs feedstock will give off low rates of nitrogen oxide and sulphur oxide when during biochar production. The results from this study are also compared with the results from other literature in Table 1.

| Analysis | This study (mf wt%) | Demirbas$^{23}$ (wt%)$^a$ | Demiral et al.$^{25}$ (wt%)$^a$ | Trninić et al.$^{26}$ (wt%)$^a$ | Liu et al.$^{22}$ (wt %) |
|----------|---------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
|          |                     | Hawaiian corn cob         | Serbian corn cob          | Hawaiian corn cob         | Serbian corn cob  |
| Moisture content | 7.14 | – | 7.36 | – | – | 11.7 |
| Ash content | 1.05 | 1.1 | 1.49 | 2.6 | 1.5 | 2.9 |
| Volatile Matter | 87.76 | 84.6$^b$ | 79.58 | 79.6 | 81.1 | 69.5 |
| Fixed Carbon | 11.19 | 15.4$^b$ | 11.57 | 17.8 | 17.5 | 15.9 |

Elemental analysis

|          | Carbon | Hydrogen | Nitrogen | Sulfur | Oxygen |
|----------|--------|----------|----------|--------|--------|
|          | 43.81  | 49.0     | 49.32    | 47.0   | 47.6   | 48.12  |
|          | 6.54   | 5.6      | 5.35     | 6.4    | 6.3    | 6.48   |
|          | 0.77   | 0.5      | 0.63     | 0.5    | 0.6    | 1.89   |
|          | 0.69   | –        | –        | 0.1    | 0.2    | –      |
|          | 48.19  | 43.8     | 44.70    | 43.4   | 43.9   | 43.51  |

$^a$ Dry basis; $^b$ Dry ash free basis

It could be observed that the ash content of the corn cob is quite low which ranges from 1.0 wt% to 2.9 wt%. The low ash content in the biomass feedstock could reduce slagging and fouling in the furnace for thermochemical conversion process which caused by the alkali content in the high-ash biomass. For the elemental analysis, the carbon percentage is the lowest while the oxygen content in the corn cob is the highest compared to other literature values in Table 1. These variations could be due to a few factors such as different species of corn used, different weather conditions under which the corn is grown and different soil type on which corn has been cultivated. The corn cob feedstock used for this study has been grown under tropical climate. Meanwhile, from Table 1, it could be observed that the elemental properties of corn cob reported by Demirbas$^{23}$ and Demiral et al.$^{25}$ are almost similar. The Mediterranean climate of Black Sea region and Marmara region in Turkey is most likely to have contributed to the similarities of the elemental properties of corn cob reported by Demirbas$^{23}$ and Demiral et al.$^{25}$.
The results of lignocellulosic percentage, heating values and pH value of corn cob feedstock are presented in Table 2. The lignin, cellulose and hemicellulose were found to be 11.32%, 45.88% and 39.40%, respectively. It was found that cellulose is the main component of corn cob feedstock. The cellulose, lignin and hemicelluloses will contribute to the thermal decomposition of corn cob feedstock and released of the volatiles. These three components played significant roles in determining the pyrolysis process of biomass under a given operating condition. Lignin is the main component responsible for the production of char. The feedstock with high lignin content could produce higher char yield during the pyrolysis process.

The results of lignocellulosic content of corn cob from this study is consistent with the findings reported by Demirbas and Medic et al. as presented in Table 2 where the cellulose is the main component of corn cob feedstock. The different locations of sample collection may result in slight variation of the chemical properties due to their diverse origin and species.

The pH value obtained for corn cob is 5.44 which is slightly acidic. This observation is agreement with the result by Ogunjobi et al. Heating value is determined to indicate the energy chemically bound in the feedstock and it is one of important property of a the feedstock which is used as fuel. The HHV of corn cob feedstock is 16.46 MJ kg⁻¹ and the lower heating value was found to be 15.03 MJ kg⁻¹. The result of HHV from this study is almost similar to the result

### Table 2: Characteristics of corn cob.

| Analysis | This study | Demirbas²³ | Medic et al.²⁴ | Ogunjobi and Lajinde²¹ | Liu et al.²² |
|----------|-----------|------------|----------------|-----------------------|-------------|
|          |           | White corn cob | Yellow corn cob |                       |             |
| Lignocellulosic |           |             |               |                       |             |
| Cellulose | 45.88%    | 52.0 wt%  | 45.2%  | 33.57%  | 33.10%  | 28.7%  |
| Hemicellulose | 39.4%    | 32.5 wt%  | 38.3%  | n/a     | n/a     | 39.3%  |
| Lignin    | 11.32%    | 15.5 wt%  | 10.3%  | 18.32%  | 13.40%  | 19.6%  |
| pH        | 5.44      | –          | –      | 5.70     | 5.50     | –      |
| Heating values (MJ kg⁻¹) |       |             |       |         |          |         |
| HHV       | 16.46     | –          | 19.28  | 16.54    | 16.15    | –      |
| LHV       | 15.03     | –          | –      | –        | –        | –      |

* Dry ash free basis; ¹Dry, ash and extractive free
reported by Ogunjobi et al. The heating value of white corn cob and yellow corn cob reported by Ogunjobi et al. are 16.54 MJ kg$^{-1}$ and 16.15 MJ kg$^{-1}$ respectively. However, it could be observed that the result reported by Medic et al. is slightly higher, i.e., 19.28 MJ kg$^{-1}$. The difference in HHV value could be due to the different percentages of carbon, hydrogen and oxygen percentage in the feedstock. Carbon and hydrogen contributes positively to the heating value, while the oxygen content influence negatively. The corn cob feedstock reported by Medic et al. has higher carbon content (47.15 wt%) and lower oxygen content (46.41 wt%) than the finding from this study.

The Dulong formula could be used to provide an estimate of the higher heating value from ultimate analysis of wastes. According to Dulong's formula, the heat of combustion of a sample equals the heat of combustion of its elements regardless of whether it passes through one or more oxidation states. The Dulong formula is:

\[ Q = 337C + 1442 \left(\frac{H - O}{8}\right) + 93S \]

where, 

- \( C \) = mass percent of carbon, \( H \) = mass percent of hydrogen, \( O \) = mass percent of oxygen, \( S \) = mass percent of sulfur in the coal, and \( Q \) = higher heating value (kJ kg$^{-1}$).

By using Equation 4, the energy content obtained from the elemental composition of corn cob feedstock using Dulong's formula was 14.88 MJ kg$^{-1}$ while the HHV obtained from bomb calorimeter was 16.46 MJ kg$^{-1}$. It can be observed that the HHV obtained from bomb calorimeter is slightly higher than the value calculated by Dulong formula. This might be due to the fact that Dulong formula is derived from elemental composition of pure molecules, where the calculated values are more prone to error. Whereas the measurements of "actual" heats of combustion by use of an oxygen bomb calorimeter are mainly the errors of the calorimeter and the corn cob feedstock moisture. The heating value relates to the amount of oxygen needed for complete combustion.

Figure 1 shows the SEM images of the corn cob structure for 2000 times magnification. Some irregularities can be observed. The corn cob is clearly seen to have no pores. It can also be observed that the corn cob displays cellular texture which does not have a well-defined pore structure. The corn cob could not retain water due to its less porous characteristic. The formation of some pores can be developed and further enhanced during the pyrolysis.
EDX analysis was performed on corn cob. The results of EDX analysis in Table 3 shows that the composition of corn cob consists of 53.02% carbon (C), 45.85% oxygen (O) and 1.13% silicon (Si). The high value of carbon is good to produce high value of energy. Corn cob is carbohydrate in structure. Carbohydrates are imperative energy source derived by oxidation which is needed for different metabolic activities. Therefore, corn cob feedstock contained a high percentage of oxygen with respect to conventional fossil fuels including hydrocarbon (HC) liquids and char.

| Element | wt%  |
|---------|------|
| C       | 53.02|
| O       | 45.85|
| Si      | 1.13 |
| Total   | 100  |

The result of TG analysis of the corn cob was shown in Figure 2. From the TG curve, it can be seen the loss of weight in corn cob begin at temperature as low as 30°C. Below 250°C, the rate of weight loss is lower compared to that rate of weight loss that occur above 250°C and less than 350°C. Lignin thermal decomposition occurs throughout the temperature range of TG analysis greater than 350°C. From the DTG curve of Figure 3, the lowest peak is observed in the temperature range of 30°C to 120°C, which occurs due to moisture reduction in the corn cob feedstock. In fact, at 120°C, all the moisture has been removed.
In the temperature range of 100°C–180°C, no obvious weight loss is observed. The DTG curve shows that the corn cob has a flat tail and two distinct peaks occurring at temperature ranges of 180°C–320°C and 320°C–350°C. The first peak corresponds to the thermal decomposition of hemicelluloses and the second peak corresponds to the decomposition of cellulose. Hemicellulose started its decomposition easily with a maximum mass loss rate (0.12 wt% min⁻¹) at 285°C. Cellulose pyrolysis occurred at a higher temperature range between 320°C–350°C with the maximum weight loss rate of 0.12 wt% min⁻¹ attained at 322°C. Lignin was the most difficult to decompose. Its decomposition happened slowly at a very low mass rate.

This study is parallel with the work by Yang et. al. who have shown that the decomposition of hemicellulose, cellulose and lignin occurred in the range of 220°C–300°C, 300°C–340°C and greater than 340°C respectively. The first moisture peak from DTG curve occurred at the temperature below 220°C. Yang et al. reported that empty fruit bunches had a high volatiles content of 79.67 wt% and low fixed carbon content of 8.65 wt%. The release of volatiles potentially caused an earlier degradation of carbon resulting in one big peak. However, corn cob feedstock is difficult to degrade due to the high value of fixed carbon content of 11.19 mf wt%, hence resulting in two separated peaks. The two distinct peaks also represented the release of volatiles and the degradation of carbon that exist in the corn cob feedstock, respectively.
Reactivity is the rate at which the char reacts in an oxidising or reducing atmosphere, while devolatilisation describes the easiness of char reacting with the gasification agent. The reactivity of the corn cob feedstock is reflective in the DTG curves. It is represented by the DTG peak height which is directly proportional to its reactivity. The temperature corresponding to the peak height is inversely proportional to the reactivity. From Figure 2, corn cob feedstock was reactive because it possess a faster pyrolysis rate with double peaks starting at a lower temperature range of 180°C–320°C. High volatile content was also released. Vamvuka et al. reported that biomass such as olive kernel exhibits a simple peak with less pronounced shoulder and a more profound sloping base line was less reactive.

4. CONCLUSION

The abundance of corn waste in Malaysia lead to the utilisation of corn cob as the feedstock for pyrolysis process. This study has revealed the properties of corn cob. The moisture content, ash content and volatile matter of corn cob were found to be 7.14 mf wt%, 1.05 mf wt% and 87.76 mf wt%, respectively. From the elemental analysis, the corn cob feedstock contained the highest oxygen content of 48.19 mf wt%. The percentages of hydrogen, nitrogen, carbon and sulfur composition are 6.54 mf wt%, 0.77 mf wt%, 43.81 mf wt% and 0.69 mf wt%, respectively. The pH value is slightly acidic at 5.5. The value of lignin, hemicellulose and cellulose of corn cob were found to be 11.32%, 39.4% and 45.88% respectively. From the SEM analysis, the structure of corn cob appeared to be compact with no pores could be observed. The TG analysis showed that the corn cob samples started to degrade at 250°C and the weight loss was prominent in between 250°C and 350°C. The DTG curve consist of two distinct peaks between temperature range of 180°C–320°C and 320°C–350°C, which correspond to the thermal decomposition of hemicelluloses and cellulose respectively. This study found that the corn cob is suitable to be used as the feedstock for slow pyrolysis because of its high volatile matter and low percentages of nitrogen and sulfur. The high fixed carbon makes it a potential feedstock for the production of high quality biochar via slow pyrolysis process.
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