Comparison of renal arterial resistive index in type 2 diabetic nephropathy stage 0-4
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Abstract

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is one of world health problems and its prevalence and incidence is increasing. Chronic Kidney Failure involves a range of pathophysiologic processes that are associated with impaired renal function, leading to cardiovascular morbidity and mortality. Renal artery resistive index (RI) is indicator of atherosclerotic change in small vessels. The current study was aimed to assess RI in diabetic nephropathy patients at stage 0-4 and to compare RRI with HbA1c, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, albuminuria and glomerular filtration rate (GFR). In this cross sectional study, 100 diabetic nephropathy patients who attend to nephrology clinic of Ali-ibn Abi Talib Hospital were entered to the study. Ultrasound Doppler renal resistive index was measured and other information was recorded from their last lab data that was recorded in their medical records. Variable included: systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, albuminuria, GFR, HbA1c. All data was analyzed by Pearson's Correlation Coefficient. The findings indicated a significant correlation of RI with systolic BP (p=0.04 R=0.75), microalbuminuria (P=0.001 R=0.67), and GFR (P=0.001 R=0.76), while diastolic BP (P=0.45 R=0.32), HbA1c (P=0.56 R=0.43) were not found to be associated with RI. The findings indicated that increased systolic blood pressure, albumin excretion (microalbuminuria) and severity of disease were capable of increasing RI values in diabetic nephropathy patients. In addition, decreased GFR.
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evidence suggests that carotid-femoral aortic pulse wave velocity (PWV) gold standard is able to predict MA onset and is linked to annual change in eGFR, and decreased renal function in CKD subjects, but not general population. Recent investigations revealed that therapeutic intervention at a very early stage, before proteinuria, is capable of preventing the onset of nephropathy. Early vascular pathological changes have been defined to lead to end-organ dysfunction, which are explained to be capable of predicting cardiovascular changes in high-risk individuals such as diabetic patients. Renal resistive index (RI) has been described as a duplex ultrasound-derived parameter for exhibiting the arterial waveform, where RI is capable of demonstrating dynamic or structural changes of intrarenal vessels and renal dysfunction, and is markedly associated with renal arteriolosclerosis, and adverse cardiovascular events. Normal RI in adults is 0.47 to 0.70, showing a difference between 5 to 8% for two kidneys. RI is currently applied as a valuable marker for renal vascular and interstitial damage, where increased value of RI has shown negative progression of renal disease in T2DM patients, however, its clinical importance has been discussed. Current study was aimed to evaluate renal arterial resistive index in diabetic nephropathy (stage 0-4) among patients with type 2 diabetes.

Materials and Methods
All T2DM patients with the 0-IV stages (100 patients) referred to the Ali-ibn Abi Talib Hospital were enrolled in the study during 2015-2016. Patients who had kidney failure for any reason other than diabetes were not included. In addition, patients with diabetic nephropathy that were under hemodialysis or peritoneal dialysis were not included in the study. Additionally, smoker individuals with diabetic nephropathy were excluded as a risk factor for vascular disease. Informed consent was obtained from patients based on the approval of the Ministry of Health and Medical Education, Iran. In this study, sampling was done by census method and the whole medical records of patients were considered. The blood pressure (systolic and diastolic), glycosylated hemoglobin, serum creatinine, albuminuria, and 24-hour urine were extracted from the patient's medical records and recorded in a special form. Each patient was referred to an ultrasound unit and the Value of Intrarenal Resistive Index was measured in 3 poles (upper-middle-lower). The average rate in the 3 poles was recorded.

Data analysis
To describe the data, central indicators and dispersion (mean and standard deviation) were used and Pearson. Statistical significance was considered as p < 0.05.

Results
In the study, 100 patients with diabetic nephropathy were evaluated in a one-year period. Among 100 patients, 61 (61%) were female and 39 (39%) were male. The patients’ age ranged from 27 to 71 years and mean age was 51 ± 3. All patients were sent to the ultrasound unit of Ali-ibn Abi Talib Hospital in Zahedan, Iran after recording the demographic data and the results of tests such as urinary albumin excretion and GFR calculation. Doppler ultrasonography was performed to determine the RI. Of these patients, the lowest number was in the stage one (16 subjects), the highest number was in the third stage with 37 subjects (Table 1). In the current study, the standard of hypertension was considered to be greater than 130 mm Hg for systolic pressure and greater than 80 mmHg for diastolic pressure (Table 2). Our results showed that about 57% of patients experienced systolic blood pressure higher than normal, while this was 34% for diastolic pressure. Meanwhile, the highest systolic and diastolic blood pressure was observed in Stage 4 and the lowest in Stage 2. In our study population, the overall RI index was 0.76 ± 0.09, which showed the highest level in stage 4 with a mean of 0.83 ± 0.09 and the lowest was seen in stage1 with a mean of 0.63 ± 0.03. More data is given in Table 3. The One-Way ANOVA test showed that the mean RI in stage 4 was significantly more than stage 1, 2 and 3 (P = 0.001), but the mean RI between stages 1, 2 and 3 was not statistically significant. The second goal of this study was to determine the relationship between systolic blood pressure and RRI. According to data analysis by Pearson correlation coefficient (P = 0.04), there is a significant relationship between increased blood pressure and RI. Further information is indicated in Table 4. The next goal of this study was to determine the relationship between diastolic blood pressure and RI. Pearson correlation coefficient showed no significant correlation between high blood pressure and RI (P = 0.32; Table 4). Furthermore, Pearson test showed no significant correlation between high blood pressure and RRI (P = 0.32). In this study, the

Table 1. Frequency of stages of diabetic nephropathy in the study population

| Stages of diabetic nephropathy | Frequency % |
|-------------------------------|-------------|
| 1                             | 16          |
| 2                             | 24          |
| 3                             | 37          |
| 4                             | 23          |
| Total                         | 100         |
mean HbA1C for all diabetic nephropathy patients was 7.32 ± 0.12, which according to Pearson correlation coefficient (P = 0.56), there was no significant correlation between HbA1C and RI (Table 4). According to data analysis, there is a significant relationship between increasing the amount of 24-h urinary albumin excretion and RRI (P = 0.02; Table 4). In addition, a significant correlation was found between increased GFR and RRI (P = 0.001).

**Discussion**

The present study was a descriptive-analytic research at a specific time point in which association of RI variables such as HbA1C, GFR, systolic and diastolic blood pressure and albuminuria was evaluated in Doppler ultrasound based study in type 2 diabetic nephropathy stages. In this study, it seems that there is a direct relationship between the various stages of the disease with RI, where its increase has been demonstrated in diabetic nephropathy, especially at an advanced stage, which is consistent with many studies. Ohta (2006) indicated that RI of the renal arteries is linked to the severity of systemic atherosclerosis and is depend on underlying renal disease, and it seems to be more likely to increase in diabetic nephropathy. Other studies demonstrated a considerable association of RI with glomerular lesions of typical or atypical diabetic nephropathy. RI ≥0.80 has been defined as an independent predictor for decreasing renal function, indicating its value for determining risk of progressive renal disease in comparison with proteinuria and low level of glomerular filtration, another study has emphasized the close association of RI with the progression of renal damage. Current evidence increased RI in patients suffering from primary hypertension is capable of demonstrating alteration of intrarenal perfusion, and systemic hemodynamics, providing prognostic data and therapeutic approach. Lower RI values have been indicated to attribute to blood pressure improvement, better renal function after the correction of renal-artery stenosis. In the present study, an increasing trend in blood pressure stage of the disease was seen in most cases. According to the data obtained from data analysis, there is a direct correlation between systolic blood pressure in diabetic nephropathy patients with RI, indicating that the increase in systolic blood pressure results in an increase in RI; these findings are in line with the findings of a number of studies. Based on the data presented herein, it does not seem that there is a significant relationship between diastolic blood pressure and RRI, although this is contradictory to the studies described above. In the past, genetic predisposition to high blood pressure has been indicated to be a factor for the prevalence and progression of diabetic nephropathy. Taniwaki et al.,2001 reported that RRI was positively linked to systolic and negatively to diastolic blood pressure, respectively. They indicated that RI was obtained based on the difference of intrarenal

| Stage | Systolic blood pressure Mean±SD | Diastolic blood pressure Mean±SD |
|-------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Stage 1 | 13±0.54                         | 8±0.33                           |
| Stage 2 | 0.24±12                         | 8±0.29                           |
| Stage 3 | 14±0.32                         | 10±0.51                          |
| Stage 4 | 15±0.26                         | 10±0.41                          |

**Table 3. Comparison of RI in different stages**

| Stage   | Mean ± SD | P-Value |
|---------|-----------|---------|
| Stage 1 | 0.66±0.05 |         |
| Stage 2 | 0.69±0.04 | 0.001   |
| Stage 3 | 0.74±0.02 |         |
| Stage 4 | 0.85±0.09 |         |
systolic with diastolic velocity of blood flow, suggesting its association with systolic and diastolic blood pressure. On the other hand, there were studies that reported no correlation between RI and blood pressure. RI can be affected by age and pulse pressure, where an increase in RI was found to be independently linked to increased pulse pressure, higher systemic 24-h blood pressure and circadian abnormalities. The well-known mechanism for increasing RRI in essential hypertension has not been explained. But various process can be likely involved in this event. Hypertensive arteriopathy has been described to be linked to constriction of arterioles and its hemodynamic modulation, thus both functional alteration and, renal atherosclerotic lesions has been attributed to an increase in RI value. Another goal of our study was to determine the relationship between HbA1C and RI, but the results showed that HbA1C levels cannot have a significant effect on the level of RI, and the values of these two variables are independent of each other. A study reported an association between HbA1C and increased RI in children with T1-DM. Another study indicated RRI was remarkably decreased in patients with T2D. Poor control of blood glucose, as shown by elevated HbA1c and basal plasma renin activity (PRA) had an effect on the magnitude of reduction in RI among subjects suffering from T2D. There are studies that reported RRI not associated with HbA1c, which are in agreement with our findings. In the present study, albuminuria in patients with diabetic nephropathy was calculated to be 296 ± 12 mg/24 h which is classified as microalbuminuria and early macroalbuminuria. The results indicated a direct correlation of albumin excretion with RI. Thus, increasing the excretion of albumin has led to an increase in the resistance of the renal interlobular arteries. These findings are consistent with the results of many studies, which most studies emphasize RI role in reflecting intra-renal microvascular characteristics. Albuminuria (rate ≥30 mg/24 h) has been defined to be a marker of kidney damage (National Kidney Foundation, 2002). RI≥0.7 has been defined to be capable of representing kidney function in diabetic patients with micro or macroalbuminuria. Microalbuminuria has been demonstrated to be valuable for predicting diabetic nephropathy and could serve as a clue for the onset of kidney damage. The association of RI with microalbuminuria in some investigations could suggest the impact of increased pulsatility on aorta, resulting in accelerating the gradual microvascular damage. As indicated previously, albuminuria was linked to RI, where its increase was observed in subjects with macroalbuminuria (>300lg/mg creatinine) as compared with normoalbuminuria. Furthermore, increased RI has been revealed in diabetic nephropathy, when the kidneys become smaller in size, followed by microalbuminuria. On the other hand, recent evidence indicates that the albuminuria and albuminuric nephropathy cannot be always linked to impaired renal function and renal failure. A growing body of evidence suggests that high level of albuminuria or mild decrease in glomerular filtration rate (GFR) can be capable of assessing cardiovascular risk at the initial stage. Taken together, our results show that increasing systolic blood pressure, albumin excretion (microalbuminuria) and severity of disease were capable of increasing RI values in diabetic nephropathy patients. Furthermore, decreased GFR levels can increase RI values, but diastolic blood pressure and HbA1c were not related to RI.
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