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Abstract: A simple one-pot method was used to successfully embed manganese ferrite (MnFe₂O₄) nanoparticles on the nitrogen-doped reduced graphene oxide matrix (NrGO), which was used to activate peroxymonosulfate to treat the landfill leachate nanofiltration concentration (LLNC) with electrochemical enhancement. NrGO-MnFe₂O₄ and rGO-MnFe₂O₄ were characterized by various means. This indicates that nitrogen-doped could induce more graphene oxide (GO) spall and reduction to produce more active centers, and was favorable for uniformly loading MnFe₂O₄ particles. The comparison between electrochemical/peroxymonosulfate/NrGO-MnFe₂O₄ (EC/PMS/NrGO-MnFe₂O₄) system and different catalytic systems shows that electrochemical reaction, NrGO and MnFe₂O₄ can produce synergies, and the chemical oxygen demand (COD) removal rate of LLNC can reach 72.89% under the optimal conditions. The three-dimensional (3D-EEM) fluorescence spectrum shows that the system has a strong treatment effect on the macromolecules with intense fluorescence emission in LLNC, such as humic acid, and degrades into substances with weak or no fluorescence characteristics. Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) indicates that the complex structure of refractory organic compounds can be simplified, while the simple small molecular organic compounds can be directly mineralized. The mechanism of catalytic degradation of the system was preliminarily discussed by the free radical quenching experiment. Therefore, the EC/PMS/NrGO-MnFe₂O₄ system has significant application potential in the treatment of refractory wastewater.
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1. Introduction

At present, due to its advantages of low cost and easy operation, sanitary landfill is always the first choice for solid waste treatment in most developing countries [1]. However, this treatment can lead to leachate. Studies have demonstrated that this kind of high-strength wastewater containing high concentrations of organic matter, inorganic salts, and heavy metals is one of the important pollutant sources of groundwater and surface water [2]. However, traditional biological treatment cannot effectively remove organic matter from the leachate [3]. During membrane treatment, pollutants in leachate are enriched, accounting for approximately 13–14% of the pre-treatment volume [4]. Compared with leachate, it has higher refractory organic concentration, higher salinity, and lower biodegradability, making it more difficult to process [5].

The main treatment methods of landfill leachate nanofiltration concentration (LLNC) are the recharge method, the membrane distillation method, the evaporation method, and advanced oxidation processes (AOPs). Recycling leads to the accumulation of contaminants, and membrane distillation is costly. In the process of evaporation, not only are the pollutants not thoroughly treated, but also the equipment is corroded. AOPs can effectively
degrade a large number of refractory organic compounds, reduce colour number (CN) and improve biodegradability, which has become one of the key development directions of LLNC treatment. Among the AOPs used to treat LLNC, most belong to Fenton technology, which is based on reactive hydrogen peroxide ($\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$) to generate hydroxyl radical ($\cdot\text{OH}$) [6–8]. However, compared with hydrogen peroxide ($\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$, $E^0 = +1.77$ v), peroxymonosulfate (PMS, $E^0 = +1.82$ v), and peroxosulphate (PS, $E^0 = +2.01$ v) with peroxide bonds (O-O) have higher standard redox potential. In addition, when PMS and PS are activated under certain conditions, a variety of free radicals, such as sulfate ($\text{SO}_4^{\cdot-}$) and $\cdot\text{OH}$, and non-free radicals, such as singlet oxygen, can be obtained. Among them, $\text{SO}_4^{\cdot-}$ has high redox potential of 2.5–3.1 v, half-life of 30–40 µs, wide pH adaptive range, and high selectivity for unsaturated bonds and aromatic organic compounds [9]. Compared with PS, PMS is more easily activated because of its asymmetric molecular structure. In spite of this, PMS alone still has high stability, and has no obvious degradation effect on organic matter in wastewater. It needs to be activated by heat [10], electrochemistry [11], ultraviolet light [12], carbon material [13], and transition metal ions [14], etc.

The high catalytic performance of various transition metal-based materials in the oxidation of organic compounds in wastewater has attracted widespread attention. S.X. Liang et al. [15] prepared porous Fe-based glass (MG) matrix composites by the laser smelting method (SLM), which showed good catalytic activity and super-stable reusable property in the catalytic degradation of dye solution. Among transition metal ions, iron and manganese are abundant in the environment, easy to obtain, and polyvalent, making them ideal activators for PMS. Studies have shown that the coupling of iron and manganese can produce significant synergistic effect [16]. Manganese ferrite ($\text{MnFe}_2\text{O}_4$) is a type of iron-manganese composite catalyst with specific spinel crystal structure and stable function in the catalytic process [17]. Furthermore, MnFe$_2$O$_4$ particles are magnetic and can be separated from waste liquid for recycling. However, magnetic nanoparticles can accumulate on account of their high surface energy and magnetic interactions between particles, affecting the treatment effect. At the same time, compared with single component structure, the multicomponent of the interaction of mixed structures because of its unique structure and the electron transfer between different interfaces can improve the catalytic performance [16]. Therefore, heterogeneous catalysts with metal-based materials supported on solid carriers are commonly used in wastewater treatment.

Graphene and its derivatives are commonly used as catalyst carriers or catalysts due to their large specific surface area, good biocompatibility, and strong adsorption capacity for certain molecules [18–20]. However, the original graphene itself is chemically inert and has micro-mechanical fractures on its surface, which makes it difficult to deposit catalytic active substances on the surface of the catalyst. Therefore, it is hard to modify, affecting its application in the field of catalysis [18]. Doping with heteroatoms (such as nitrogen, boron, sulfur, or phosphorus) can effectively widen the band gap, increase defects, and improve reactivity, thus optimizing the chemical properties and electronic structure of graphene and improving its performance. Nitrogen atoms and carbon atoms have similar atomic radii and are more easily doped than other heteroatoms. In addition, nitrogen-doped graphene will make the spin density and charge distribution of carbon atoms become active sites induced by adjacent nitrogen atoms, and the sites where carbon atoms are replaced by nitrogen atoms will show better electrical properties [21]. According to the position of nitrogen atoms on the nitrogen-doped graphene sheet, nitrogen can be divided into three types, namely pyrrolic N, pyridinic N and graphitic N [20]. Studies have found that these three types have a catalytic effect on the catalytic process [22].
In order to further accelerate the reaction efficiency, obtain better treatment effect, and reduce the amount of metal-based catalyst, other technologies can be used to assist the activation of PMS wastewater treatment, such as UV light, heat, and electrochemical. Among them, there are many studies on the coupling of transition metals and ultraviolet light. S.X. Liang et al. [23] used Fe_{78}Si_{9}B_{13} metallic glass to compare the ultra-fast activation efficiency of H_{2}O_{2}, PS, and PMS under the action of photo-enhanced catalytic oxidation, and found that the activation efficiency of the catalyst on three kinds of peroxides was improved to varying degrees with the addition of UV. However, the cost of the treatment equipment is high because the ultraviolet assistance cannot effectively use sunlight. Electrochemistry, which is easy to combine with other technologies, has many advantages, such as high flexibility, clean processing process, simple equipment and easy control, so it has received more and more attention [10,11,24]. In the process of electrochemical activation, PMS can directly obtain electrons at the cathode and convert them into SO_{4}^{2−} or •OH, and then directly treat organic pollutants with free radicals. (Equations (1) and (2)) [24]. However, a single electrochemical system usually requires a longer reaction time and a larger current density to achieve a satisfactory degradation effect, so the combination of metallic [10] or non-metal [11] catalysts can still achieve an ideal treatment effect in a shorter time and a smaller current density. Thus, it was creatively assumed that nitrogen-doped GO (NrGO) supporting MnFe_{2}O_{4} as the catalyst of the electro-activated PMS process would exhibit better performance through the coupling of the three.

\[
\text{HSO}_{5}^- + e^- \rightarrow \text{OH}^- + \text{SO}_4^{2-} \text{or } \cdot \text{OH} + \text{SO}_4^{2-}, \quad (1)
\]
\[
\text{SO}_4^{2-}/\cdot \text{OH} + \text{Pollutant} \rightarrow \text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{Intermediate products} \text{+ SO}_4^{2-}, \quad (2)
\]

In this study, a simple one-pot hydrothermal method was used to prepare a novel heterogeneous catalyst with high efficiency and magnetic cycling performance supporting MnFe_{2}O_{4} supported by NrGO. NrGO loaded with MnFe_{2}O_{4} (NrGO-MnFe_{2}O_{4}) and reduced graphene oxide loaded MnFe_{2}O_{4} (rGO-MnFe_{2}O_{4}) were characterized by scanning electron microscopy (SEM), X-ray diffraction (XRD), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) and Raman spectra (RAMAN), combined with a catalyst cycle experiment, to explore the advantages of NrGO-MnFe_{2}O_{4} as a catalyst. NrGO replaces the inert and limited function catalyst support, more uniformly and stably supports MnFe_{2}O_{4}, and also provides more active centers and greatly promotes the accessibility and adsorption of substrate to the active center. With the assistance of electrochemistry, the synergistic effect of NrGO and MnFe_{2}O_{4} makes the NrGO-MnFe_{2}O_{4} catalyst show strong catalytic activity for the activation of PMS, and effectively degrades the refractory compounds contained in LLNC, which has been rarely reported in the past. The EC/PMS/NrGO-MnFe_{2}O_{4} system was optimized by studying the dosage, PMS dosage, initial pH, current density, and plate spacing of the NrGO-MnFe_{2}O_{4} system. The changes in organic pollutants in the system before and after LLNC reaction were analyzed by 3D-EEM spectroscopy and GC-MS. The degradation mechanism of the system was discussed by free radical quenching experiment.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Characteristics of Target Concentrate

The target concentration (LLNC) was obtained from the landfill leachate treatment system of solid waste landfill located in Zhengzhou Province, China. The age of the landfill is around 15 years. The major parameters of the sampled LLNC are shown in Table 1. All chemicals were of analytical grade. All solutions were prepared with ultrapure water.
Table 1. Characteristics of the target solution (landfill leachate nanofiltration concentration, LLNC).

| Parameter | Unit | Concentration |
|-----------|------|---------------|
| pH        | -    | 8.3 ± 0.5     |
| COD       | mg/L | 1250 ± 67     |
| BOD₅      | mg/L | 54 ± 8        |
| NH₃-N     | mg/L | 30 ± 3        |
| NO₃-N     | mg/L | 647 ± 12      |
| NO₂-N     | mg/L | 8 ± 0.5       |
| TN        | mg/L | 733 ± 15      |

Data presented are mean ± standard error.

2.2. Preparation of Catalysts

GO was prepared by the improved Hummers method with natural flake graphite as the raw material. NrGO-MnFe₂O₄ and rGO-MnFe₂O₄ were synthesized by the hydrothermal method [25,26]. Typically, an aqueous suspension of graphene oxide (30 mL of a 7 mg·mL⁻¹ solution) was first prepared by 30 min sonication. Eighteen milliliters of ammonia water was dropped into the suspension, and ultrasonic treatment lasted for 60 min to obtain solution A. At the same time, 0.84 g FeCl₃·6H₂O, 0.31 g MnCl₂·4H₂O, and 1.02 g polyethylene glycol (PEG) were dissolved in 27 mL ethylene glycol (EG) under stirring to form a clear solution B. We added solution B to A and stirred them with a magnetic stirrer for 30 min, then slowly added NaOH solution to adjust pH to 10 and stirred for 60 min. The above composition was transferred into 100 mL capacity Teflon-lined stainless autoclave and maintained at 200 °C for 12 hours. After cooling, the resulting precipitate was decanted and the washed with deionized water and absolute ethanol until neutral pH was obtained. Finally, the product (NrGO-MnFe₂O₄) was dried and ground for standby. rGO-MnFe₂O₄ was prepared from NrGO-MnFe₂O₄ without adding ammonia.

2.3. Experimental Setup and Procedure

Electrolysis experiments were carried out in a plexiglass container (height 120 mm, diameter 100 mm). Both cathode and anode (11 cm × 7 cm × 2 cm) were made of 304 L stainless steel invading into the vessel, and the anode was fixed on the electrolytic cell. The electrode spacing was adapted by changing the position of cathode on the electrolytic cell. The cathode and anode were connected in parallel to the negative and positive lead of the DC power supply for the experiment. A magnetic stirrer was used for continuous stirring below to improve the mass transfer of chemical species from pollutant to the electrode [27].

In the experiment, 500 mL LLNC was treated every time, and the effective soaking area of the electrode was 7 mm × 7 mm. Before the experiment, we adjusted the pH and the solution was agitated with a magnetic stirrer at 200 rpm. In the electrolysis process, the catalyst was added slowly before the current was activated, and then PMS was added slowly after 5 min. The parameters such as pH, current density, catalyst dosage, PMS dosage and plate spacing were studied in each batch. In addition, after the reaction, the electrode surface was covered with organic impurities and oxide layer. Before each operation, the electrodes were soaked in HCl solution (35%) solution for 2 min, and then dried for use. Before analysis, the samples were filtered with 45 µm microporous membrane paper.

2.4. Analytical Methods

Chemical oxygen demand (COD) was determined according to the standard method [28]. The morphology of composites was characterized by Regulus 8100 (Hi-tech High-Tech Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) nm SEM. XRD (Ultima IV, Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) used a monochromatic X-ray beam and Ka1 (k = 1.5406 nm) at working voltages of 40 kV and 30 mA to analyze the material in the range of 5° to 80°. The UV-vis absorption spectrum of the sample was recorded on the ultraviolet-visible spectrophotometer (UV-3100, Shanghai Meipuda instrument Corporation, Shanghai, China), and
its wavelength range was 254 nm. XPS measurements were performed on an ESCALAB 250Xi spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and charge correction was carried out with the energy standard C1s = 284.8 eV. Laser Raman spectroscopy of LabRAM HR Evo (HORIBA Scientific, Paris, France) was used obtain the spectroscopy of 633 nm He-Ne.

Three-dimensional EEM was analyzed using Hitachi F-7000 fluorescence spectrometer (Hitachi Company, Tokyo, Japan). The wavelength of the excitation light (Ex) is 200–550 nm, and the wavelength of the emission laser (Em) is 200–650 nm. Both of them were scanned at a speed of 5 nm. Fluorescence spectra of deionized water were used as blank samples during the test. Centrifugal filtration was performed on the test samples before the test.

Agilent 7890B-5977A (Agilent Technologies Inc., Palo Alto, CA, USA) was used to analyze the water samples using GC-MS. Before the test, the organic matter in the water sample should be extracted. The steps are as follows. First, the HLB extraction column was fixed on the extraction device, and 5 mL dichloromethane, 5 mL methanol, and 5 mL deionized ultra-pure water were successively used for activation pretreatment. After that, the water sample was passed through the filter cartridge at a flow rate of 5 mL/min, and the oxidized intermediates were continuously eluted with 5 mL methanol. The extract was completely dehydrated by the nitrogen stripping method, and then dissolved again by adding 2 mL methanol. The solution was filtered through 0.22 μm polyethersulfone membrane and put into a chromatographic vial to be measured. Chromatographic test was performed on a CD-5MS column (30 m × 0.25 mm, film thickness 0.25 mm) with helium as the carrier, and 1 L of the sample was injected. The initial column temperature was 40 °C for 2 min, then increased to 220 °C at a rate of 5.0 °C/min for 3 min, and then increased to 260 °C at a rate of 10 °C/min for 7 min. The mass spectrometry test was performed under a detection voltage of 0.2 kV, using an omnidirectional scanning mode with a scanning range of 50–500 m/z.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Characterization of Catalysts

Figure 1a–c show the SEM images of GO, rGO-MnFe₂O₄, and NrGO-MnFe₂O₄. GO is a smooth lamellar structure, which is the effect of multiple layers stacked together. After modification, both rGO-MnFe₂O₄ and NrGO-MnFe₂O₄ can observe stacks and folds, which are generated during the reduction process. However, NrGO-MnFe₂O₄ fold degree is higher, which is caused by more peeling defects with nitrogen doping. In addition, these defects also provide more catalytic active center. Both rGO-MnFe₂O₄ and NrGO-MnFe₂O₄ have fine particle distribution on the laminar, indicating that MnFe₂O₄ has been successfully loaded on the graphene surface. However, MnFe₂O₄ appeared as an aggregation on the surface of rGO, while it was more evenly distributed and dense on NrGO. The crystal structure of the material is further analyzed by XRD. According to Figure 1d, rGO-MnFe₂O₄ and NrGO-MnFe₂O₄ both obtained peaks consistent with the spinel phase MnFe₂O₄ standard atlas (JCPDS NO.10-0319), indicating that MnFe₂O₄ was successfully prepared. The peaks observed at 2θ of 30.22°, 35.4°, 37.28°, 42.24°, 52.12°, 56.56°, 61.58°, and 73.06° represent (111), (220), (311), (222), (400), (422), (511), (440) and (533) planes, respectively. It can be seen from Figure 1d that the 2θ = 10°characteristic peak completely disappears in the XRD spectra of GO, while a new wide peak (graphene (002) planes) appears in the RGO-MnFe₂O₄ and NrGO-MnFe₂O₄ spectra, indicating its peeling and GO reduction. However, the peak corresponding to the NrGO-MnFe₂O₄ (002) plane is at a higher 2θ degree, indicating that doping can induce more peeling and reduction [29]. Other studies have shown that the effect of doping on the crystal structure of graphene is negligible [30].
Figure 1. SEM images of (a) GO, (b) rGO-MnFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}, and (c) NrGO-MnFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}; (d) XRD spectra of GO, rGO-MnFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}, and NrGO-MnFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}.

XPS was utilized to further detect the surface characteristics and chemical composition of the composite. Figure 2a shows the XPS spectra of NrGO-MnFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} and rGO-MnFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}. In the investigation of NrGO-MnFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} by wide scan XPS, there are obvious peaks in Mn, Fe, C, O and N, while no element N appears in rGO-MnFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}, indicating that Mn, Fe, and N have been successfully doped into graphene. According to XPS analysis of the element ratio of the composites, the C/O ratio of NrG-MnFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} to rGO-MnFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} is 1.35 and 1.31, respectively. Figure 2b shows the C 1s spectra of NrGO-MnFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} and rGO-MnFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}. The C 1s peak of rGO-MnFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} was divided into three sub-peaks, respectively, the C=C bond (284.60 eV), C-O bond (286.20 eV), and O-C=O (288.7 eV). The C 1s peak of NrGO-MnFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} was divided into four sub-peaks. In addition to the above three peaks, the new peak at 285.70 eV was the absorption peak of the C=N bond, so the intensity of the absorption peak of the C=C bond was also reduced. As can be seen from Figure 2c, the O 1s spectra of NrGO-MnFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} and rGO-MnFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} are divided into three absorption peaks, namely for lattice oxygen (O\textsuperscript{2−}) (529.87 eV, 529.89 eV), surface oxygen (531.46 eV, 531.44 eV), and adsorption oxygen (532.59 eV, 532.60 eV) [31]. The lattice oxygen may be the combination of O and elements Fe and Mn in iron and manganese oxides [32], surface oxygen may be the binding bond of oxygen-containing groups on the catalyst surface [33], and adsorption oxygen may absorb molecular water [34]. However, in the O 1s spectra of NrGO-MnFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}, the oxygen content of the surface is relatively low, which may be due to the higher reduction degree of oxygen-containing groups in the process of nitrogen doping. Figure 2d shows the N 1s spectrum of NrGO-MnFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}, and the N 1s peaks are fitted as three sub-peaks. Among them, 398.4 eV is the pyridine nitrogen absorption peak, 399.7 eV is the pyrrole nitrogen absorption peak, and 401.85 eV is the graphite nitrogen absorption peak [35]. Because both pyridine nitrogen and pyrrole nitrogen are nitrogen-doped forms that replace carbon atoms in graphene vacancies, whereas graphite nitrogen replaces carbon atoms in the non-vacancy lattice, it is therefore indicated that nitrogen atoms replace carbon atoms in the graphene framework, and the increase in C/O further proves that the reduction degree of NrGO-MnFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} is higher. Some previous studies reported that electronegative
graphitized N atoms could anodize adjacent C atoms, making the negatively charged PMS more conducive to the adsorption on the surface of catalysts. The synergism between pyridine nitrogen and carbon adjacent to vacancy can show high catalytic activity. In addition, pyrrole N can also be used as an adsorption site to promote the contact between PMS and pollutants through electrostatic adsorption of organic pollutants [22,36]. In any case, the presence of pyridine N, pyrrole N, and graphite N in the N 1s spectra suggests that the NrGO prepared may have a positive impact on the activity of the hybridized catalyst.

![Figure 2](image_url)

Figure 2. (a) XPS spectra of rGO-MnFe$_2$O$_4$ and NrGO-MnFe$_2$O$_4$; (b) C 1s scan, (c) O 1s scan, (d) N 1s scan, (e) Mn 2p scan and (f) Fe 2p scan of rGO-MnFe$_2$O$_4$ and NrGO-MnFe$_2$O$_4$. 
The Mn 2p XPS spectra of NrGO-MnFe$_2$O$_4$ and rGO-MnFe$_2$O$_4$ (Figure 2e) were pleated at 641.1 (Mn 2p$_{3/2}$) and 652.9 eV (Mn 2p$_{1/2}$), and the spin energy was separated to 11.8 eV. The peak of Mn 2p$_{3/2}$ is located at 640.64 and 641.90 eV, which are the binding energy peaks of Mn$^{2+}$ and Mn$^{3+}$, respectively. The other peak is 645.4 eV, which belongs to the star peak of Mn$^{2+}$ in 2p$_{3/2}$ [37]. Figure 2f shows the Fe 2p XPS spectra of NrGO-MnFe$_2$O$_4$ and rGO-MnFe$_2$O$_4$. Absorption peaks at 710.8 and 713.19 eV correspond to 2p$_{3/2}$ peaks of Fe$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{3+}$, respectively. The peaks at 724.27 and 726.56 eV can be assigned to 2p$_{1/2}$ peaks of Fe$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{3+}$, respectively [38]. The peak at 718.78 eV belongs to the Fe$^{3+}$ satellite peak [39]. According to the XPS spectra of Mn 2p and Fe 2p, nitrogen doping has negligible influence on the generation of MnFe$_2$O$_4$.

In order to further analyze the structural characteristics and properties of the materials, Raman spectroscopy was used. The D peak of graphite material in Raman spectrum comes from the vibration of graphene defects and edges and the G peak is caused by the stretching motion of sp$^2$ hybridized atomic pairs, representing the symmetry and order degree of graphite structure. The 2D peak comes from the double phonon vibration of Raman scattering process. In general, the peak strength ratio ($I_D/I_G$) between peak D and peak G is used to measure the graphitization degree of carbon materials. The higher the ratio is, the higher the disorder degree and defect density are in the sample [40]. The Raman spectra of GO, rGO-MnFe$_2$O$_4$ and NrGO-MnFe$_2$O$_4$ are presented in Figure 3. Peak D, peak G, and peak 2D are near 1331, 1585, and 2660 cm$^{-1}$, respectively. The $I_D/I_G$ of GO, rGO-MnFe$_2$O$_4$ and NrGO-MnFe$_2$O$_4$ were 1.09, 1.19 and 1.33. Theoretically, when GO is reduced, the oxygen-containing functional groups are removed. The ordering degree of sp$^2$ carbon network structure will increase, the sp$^2$ region will become larger, and $I_D/I_G$ will decrease. In fact, $I_D/I_G$ increases, which may be due to the fact that after GO reduction, a large number of sp$^2$ hybridized carbon atoms deoxidize to form new hybridized regions. These regions are smaller than GO, making the average sp$^2$ region smaller and more numerous, which is reflected in the Raman spectrum as $I_D/I_G$ ratio enhancement [41]. Compared with rGO-MnFe$_2$O$_4$, the $I_D/I_G$ of NrGO-MnFe$_2$O$_4$ was significantly increased, indicating that doping of nitrogen atoms, produced more defective bits. On the one hand, more vacancies were formed. On the other hand, the difference between C-N and C-C bond distances enhanced the asymmetry of electron distribution in graphite region, further indicating the successful doping of N atoms into rGO [42].

![Figure 3. Raman spectra of GO, rGO-MnFe$_2$O$_4$ and NrGO-MnFe$_2$O$_4$.](image-url)
3.2. Comparison of Different Catalytic Systems

Different electrochemical systems including EC/PMS/NrGO-MnFe$_2$O$_4$, EC/PMS/MnFe$_2$O$_4$, EC/PMS, and electrolyzation alone were used to study the LLNC. For comparison, different catalytic systems of PMS/NrGO-MnFe$_2$O$_4$, PMS alone and NrGO-MnFe$_2$O$_4$ alone were further investigated. As can be seen in Figure 4, the COD removal rate was 30.12% at 120 min in electrolysis alone process. This indicates that some pollutants are directly oxidized at the anode or oxidized by •OH generated at the anode [43,44]. However, the low removal rate might be explained by the fact that the pollutant must diffuse to the anode before oxidation, which becomes more difficult as the pollutant concentration decreases. Under the condition of adding PMS alone, the final removal rate of COD was 12.17%, which was due to the fact that PMS alone was relatively stable at normal temperature and could not decompose to produce free radicals to degrade organic matters. However, there is still COD removal, which may be caused by the small amount of transition metal ions in LLNC, which can activate PMS and produce free radical oxidation pollutants. When NrGO-MnFe$_2$O$_4$ was added alone, the COD removal rate was 9.21%, indicating that the catalyst had certain adsorption effect.

Figure 4. Chemical oxygen demand (COD) removal rates of different systems. Reaction conditions: pH of 5.0; catalytic dosage of 1.00 g/L; peroxymonosulfate (PMS) dosage of 2.00 mM; current density of 20 mA/cm$^2$; electorcle spacing of 2.0 cm.

In EC/PMS system, COD removal rate was greater than the sum of PMS and electrolysis alone (36.29%), which was 42.33%. This suggests that electrolysis can degrade pollutants by activating PMS to generate free radicals in addition to directly oxidizing them. In addition, compared with PMS alone, the COD removal rate of the catalytic system with MnFe$_2$O$_4$ was significantly improved. The results show that MnFe$_2$O$_4$ had better ability to activate peroxosulfate and significantly improved the removal rate of pollutants [45]. Interestingly, compared with the EC/PMS/rGO-MnFe$_2$O$_4$ system, the COD removal rate of EC/PMS/NrGO-MnFe$_2$O$_4$ system has been improved to some extent, which may be caused by the following reasons: (a) the doping of nitrogen atoms forms more active centers which can activate PMS alone; (b) NrGO scaffolds greatly promote the accessibility and adsorption of substrates to active centers; (c) MnFe$_2$O$_4$ particles are more evenly distributed on the surface of NrGO, accelerating its activation on PMS; (d) strong electrical conductivity accelerates electron transfer between MnFe$_2$O$_4$ and electrode. Therefore, EC/PMS/NrGO-MnFe$_2$O$_4$ system is the best process to treat landfill leachate.
3.3. Factors Affecting LLNC Treatment in EC/PMS/NrGO-MnFe₂O₄ Process

3.3.1. Effect of pH

Since pH can affect the activity of catalyst and the oxidation performance of oxidant, the influence of different initial pH values on the COD removal rate in the target solution was investigated in this experiment. The results are shown in Figure 5: in the EC/PMS/NrGO-MnFe₂O₄ system, good removal effect was achieved within the initial pH range of 3.0~10.0 of the reaction solution, but the removal rate of COD under acidic conditions (65.53%) was slightly higher than that under neutral conditions (33.01%) and alkaline conditions (41.79%). Under acidic conditions, the effect of pH value on COD removal rate was not significant, but the best effect was obtained when pH was 5.0. Due to the fact that a high pH would precipitate metal oxides on the catalyst, some active sites on the catalyst surface were covered [46]. In addition, \( \cdot \text{OH} \) can be generated from \( \text{OH}^- \) by consuming \( \text{SO}_4^{\cdot-} \) in large quantity under alkaline conditions (Equation (3)). The half-life of hydroxyl radicals is less than that of sulfate radicals, and the number of effectively utilized radicals is reduced [47]. Meanwhile, acidic conditions are favorable for the stable existence of sulfate radicals (Equations (3) and (4)). However, in the strong acid environment, the COD removal rate decreases. This may be due to the large increase in the number of hydrogen ions, some of which will inhibit the surface activity of the catalyst and hinder the ion circulation between iron and manganese [47]; the other part will quench sulfate and hydroxyl radicals (Equations (5) and (6)) [48].

\[
\text{SO}_4^{\cdot-} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{SO}_4^{2-} + \cdot\text{OH} + \text{H}^+ , \quad (3)
\]

\[
\text{SO}_4^{\cdot-} + \text{OH}^- \rightarrow \text{SO}_4^{2-} + \cdot\text{OH} , \quad (4)
\]

\[
\text{SO}_4^{\cdot-} + \text{H}^+ + \text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{HSO}_4^- , \quad (5)
\]

\[
\cdot\text{OH} + \text{H}^+ + \text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} . \quad (6)
\]

Figure 4. Chemical oxygen demand (COD) removal rates of different systems. Reaction conditions: pH of 5.0; catalytic dosage of 1.00 g/L; PMS dosage of 2.00 mM; current density of 20 mA/cm²; electorcle spacing of 2.0 cm.

Figure 5. Effect of initial pH on the removal of COD in the LLNC: (a) pH = 4.0, 7.0, and 10.0; (b) pH = 3.0, 4.0, 5.0, and 6.0. Reaction conditions: catalytic dosage of 1.00 g/L; PMS dosage of 2.00 mM; current density of 20 mA/cm²; electorcle spacing of 2.0 cm.

3.3.2. Effect of NrGO-MnFe₂O₄ Dosage

The influence of catalyst dosage on COD removal rate in EC/PMS/NrGO-MnFe₂O₄ system is illustrated in Figure 6a: at a catalyst dosage of 0.25~0.75 g/L, the increase in catalyst resulted in a significant increase in COD removal rate in wastewater. This is due to the increase in active sites, which accelerates the reaction rate. However, the increased
amplitude is small for a catalyst dosage of 0.75–1.0 g/L, which may be caused by the saturation of the active site [49]. In comparison, the removal rate decreased slightly when the catalyst dosage was 1.0–1.25 g/L. The reduction in removal rate may be caused by the agglomeration of nanoparticles, diffusion restriction in heterogeneous reactions and the self-annihilation of sulfate free radicals generated in a short time [50].

3.3.3. Effect of PMS Dosage

Under the optimum catalyst dosage, different PMS concentrations were detected, and the results are given in Figure 6b: with the increase in PMS concentration (0.50–2.00 mM), the COD removal rate increased from 47.59 to 72.89%. This is caused by the increase in PMS concentration and the number of free radicals generated by activation. However, when PMS concentration continued to increase to 2.50 mM, COD removal rate was lower than 2.00 mM before 80 min, then slightly higher than 2.00 mM, and finally increased to 74.39% in 120 min. This phenomenon may be due to the fact that superfluous PMS can eliminate hydroxyl radicals and sulfate radicals, and the initial reaction rate of PMS drops, as shown in Equation (7) [51]. Therefore, the removal rate was low in the first 80 min.
However, with PMS at higher doses, SO\textsubscript{5}•— is generated in Equation (8). SO\textsubscript{5}•— also has the ability to oxidize and degrade pollutants, but its oxidation capacity is not as good as SO\textsubscript{4}•—. So, with the extension of the reaction time, the COD removal rate even exceeded the PMS concentration of 2.00 mM [22]. Considering this comprehensively, 2.00 mM is selected as the best concentration.

\[
\text{SO}_4^{\bullet-} + \text{SO}_4^{\bullet-} \rightarrow \text{S}_2\text{O}_8^{\bullet-}
\]  

\[
\text{SO}_4^{\bullet-} + \text{HSO}_5^- \rightarrow \text{SO}_5^{\bullet-} + \text{SO}_4^{2-} + \text{H}^+
\]

3.3.4. Effect of Current Density

The effect of current density on COD removal rate was studied (Figure 6c). In general, the removal rate of COD gradually increases in the waste liquid, when the current density is within the range of 5~25 mA/cm\textsuperscript{2}. This is mainly because the increase in current density accelerates the electron transfer rate of pollutants on the electrode surface, thus increasing the direct oxidation rate of organic pollutant molecules. Another reason is that the increase in the current density leads to more *OH (Equation (9)) and more SO\textsubscript{4}•— via electron transfer reactions on the anode surface (Equation (10)) [52]. Moreover, the electron transfer rate between iron and manganese as well as the active site on nitrogen-doped graphene can be increased, leading to the increase in NrGO-MnFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} catalytic activity and the generation of more free radicals. However, when the current density increased further, the COD removal rate decreased. This phenomenon may be due to the increase in current density leading to the increase in electrode polarization, resulting in the increase in electron energy. Electrons migrate from the electrode to the solution and hydrogen evolution reaction occurs (Equation (11)) [53].

\[
\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{*OH} + \text{H}^+ + e^-
\]

\[
\text{HSO}_5^- + e^- \rightarrow \text{OH}^- + \text{SO}_4^{\bullet-}
\]

\[
2\text{H}^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow \text{H}_2
\]

3.3.5. Effect of Electrode Spacing

The mass transfer process of electrochemical reactor mainly includes electromigration and diffusion mass transfer, and the rates of these two mass transfer processes will be affected by the electrode plate spacing, so choosing an appropriate electrode plate spacing can promote direct oxidation and indirect oxidation. The specific results are shown in Figure 6d: with the increase in electrode spacing, COD removal first increases and then decreases, and 2 cm is the best spacing [50]. This is caused by concentration polarization caused by too-tight plate spacing, and the reduction in free migration of pollutant molecules in inter-plate solution, which affects mass transfer efficiency and leads to the reduction in electrochemical efficiency. On the contrary, if the electrode spacing is too large, the resistance will increase and the electron transfer efficiency will decrease. Moreover, too fast discharge of the electrode plate will cause too fast local reaction and insufficient degradation of organic matter, which will lead to the decrease in COD removal rate and even cause a short circuit between the electrode plates [51].

3.4. The 3D-EEM Fluorescence Spectroscopic and GC-MS Analysis on the Organic Removal

In order to explore the changes in dissolved organic matter in the treatment of LLNC by EC/PMS/NrGO-MnFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} catalytic system, the 3D-EEM fluorescence spectra of the original LLNC and the effluent are shown in Figure 7. The synthesized spectrum can be subdivided into five major regions, representing aromatic protein I (I), aromatic protein II (II), fulvic acid-like samples (III), humic acid-like samples (IV) and soluble microbial by-product-like samples (V) [54]. It can be seen from Figure 7a that LLNC identified an obvious peak (peak 1) in the 3D-EEM fluorescence spectrum before treatment, and the maximum fluorescence intensity of the peak is located at the wavelength of 400/490 nm of Ex/Em, indicating that the peak belongs to humic acid-like substances. Two peaks can be roughly
identified in the treated samples (Figure 7b). The first peak (peak 2) is the maximum fluorescence intensity Ex/Em wavelength at 285/410 nm, while the second peak (peak 3) is at 325/410 nm. Peak 2 and peak 3 are identified as fulvic acid-like (FA) substances widely found in landfill leachate, domestic sewage, lakes, and soils. FA generally makes a smaller molecular weight of organics and less stable chemical structure than humic acid-like substances represented by peak 1 [55]. Moreover, the fluorescence intensity of effluent was significantly lower than that of the influent, indicating that the EC/PMS/NrGO-MnFe$_2$O$_4$ catalytic system could degrade the macromolecules with intense fluorescence emission in LLNC and make them into substances with weak or no fluorescence characteristics.

![Figure 7](image)

**Figure 7.** Three-dimensional EEM fluorescence spectra of treated LLNC before (a) and after (b).

The organic pollutants in LLNC raw liquid and the treated effluent were analyzed by GC-MS. The pretreatment methods, such as extraction and rotary evaporation, were adopted. It can be seen from Figure 8 that the overall absorption abundance of organic matter in effluent LLNC decreases, indicating that the overall content of organic pollutants decreases. Moreover, a new peak appeared at the position with less time of outflow spectrum, which represented the formation of substances with simpler structure and lower molecular weight. As can be seen from Table 2 (Organic compounds of LLNC), the types and content of organic compounds in LLNC are greatly changed before and after the catalytic reaction, which further indicates that the EC/PMS/NrGO-MnFe$_2$O$_4$ system can effectively remove most organic compounds in LLNC. The content of silanes in the inlet water is relatively high, such as tetracycl-sevosilane, dodecyl-hexasiloxane, decycl-pentasiloxane and hexamethylcyclotrisiloxane, which may be due to the presence of SiO$_2$ in the soil. The main chain of siloxane is Si-O-Si and there is no double bond, so it is not easily decomposed by UV light and ozone. However, the content of siloxane in the water is reduced, indicating that the EC/PMS/NrGO-MnFe$_2$O$_4$ system has the removal performance for it. In addition, the content of heterocycles decreased sharply, from 24.64% to 1.77%. At the same time, alkane derivatives, carboxylic acid derivatives, ketones and other compounds with small molecular weight and simple structure were generated. The analysis results of GC-MS once again demonstrate the excellent performance of the EC/PMS/NrGO-MnFe$_2$O$_4$ system in the treatment of LLNC.
Figure 8. The GC-MS analysis on treated LLNC before (a) and after (b).

Table 2. Organic compounds of LLNC.

| Organic Compounds            | Influent Values | Effluent Values |
|------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                              | Type | Percentage (%) | Types | Percentage (%) |
| Alcohols                     | 1    | 3.44           | 0     | 0              |
| Heterocyclic compounds       | 3    | 24.64          | 1     | 1.77           |
| Aromatic hydrocarbon         | 1    | 1.14           | 1     | 0.98           |
| Esters                       | 1    | 1.06           | 2     | 1.48           |
| Phenolic compounds           | 1    | 0.89           | 0     | 0              |
| Siloxanes                    | 4    | 68.83          | 6     | 44.51          |
| Carboxylic acid derivatives  | 0    | 0              | 1     | 34.71          |
| Alkane derivatives           | 0    | 0              | 2     | 14.08          |
| Cycloalkanes                 | 0    | 0              | 1     | 0.77           |
| Aldehydes derivatives        | 0    | 0              | 1     | 0.86           |
| Ketone derivatives           | 0    | 0              | 1     | 0.84           |

3.5. Identification of Free Radicals in EC/PMS/NrGO-MnFe₂O₄ System and Possible Reaction Mechanism

After homogeneous and heterogeneous activation, the main free radicals produced by PMS are SO₄⁻² and *OH. In order to verify the reactive free radicals involved in the EC/PMS/NrGO-MnFe₂O₄ system and the difference in contributions between different free radicals, it is very necessary to carry out the free radical capture experiment. In this experiment, methanol (MeOH) and tert-butanol (TBA), two different free radical capture agents, were used. MeOH can react with SO₄⁻² and *OH at the same time ($K_{SO₄⁻²/MeOH} = 1.6 \times 10^7$ M⁻¹s⁻¹, $K_{OH/MeOH} = 1.2 \times 10^9$ M⁻¹s⁻¹). The reaction rate of TBA and *OH ($K_{OH/TBA} = 3.8 \times 10^8$ M⁻¹s⁻¹) was higher than that of TBA and SO₄⁻² ($K_{SO₄⁻²/TBA} = 4 \times 10^5$ M⁻¹s⁻¹), and the *OH in the system could be selectively quenched. As shown in Figure 9a, equal amounts of MeOH and TBA were added to the system for comparison. After adding MeOH, obvious inhibition was observed, while the inhibition effect brought by TBA was relatively weak. Thus, in the EC/PMS/NrGO-MnFe₂O₄ system, SO₄⁻² plays a major role in the oxidative degradation of pollutants into intermediates or mineralization into inorganic salts and water, and *OH also contributes to some extent.
Figure 9. Effects of different quenchers (a): tert-butanol (TBA) (100 mM) and methanol (MeOH) (100 mM), on COD removal rate (reaction conditions: pH of 5.0; catalytic dosage of 1.00 g/L; PMS dosage of 2.00 mM; current density of 20 mA/cm$^2$; electorcle spacing of 2.0 cm), and possible reaction mechanism (b).

Based on the experiment of radical quenching, it is preliminarily speculated that the possible oxidative degradation mechanism in EC/PMS/NrGO-MnFe$_2$O$_4$ system is as follows (Figure 9b). First, the nitrogen-doped graphene matrix provides specific adsorption domains for PMS and pollutants through chemical adsorption and π–π bond interactions, respectively, resulting in a high concentration of reactants around NrGO-MnFe$_2$O$_4$. Secondly, MnFe$_2$O$_4$ and NrGO jointly showed catalytic activity on PMS and produced rich free radicals (Equations (4) and (12)–(17)). Among them, the synergistic effect of Fe-Mn bimetals can promote the catalytic process, mainly reflected in Mn$^{2+}$, Mn$^{3+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$, which all provide electrons to PMS and generate active free radicals through Equations (12)–(14); meanwhile, Mn$^{3+}$ and Mn$^{4+}$ can also be electronically reduced to Mn$^{2+}$ and Mn$^{3+}$ (Equations (15)–(17)), so as to realize the redox cycle of iron and manganese ions. Secondly, electrochemistry, on the one hand, treats wastewater by direct electrolysis (Equations (1) and (2)), and on the other hand, accelerates NrGO-MnFe$_2$O$_4$ electron transfer by providing electrons to facilitate the catalytic process (Equations (18)–(20)). Finally, under the joint action of NrGO, MnFe$_2$O$_4$ and electrochemistry, PMS was activated to produce rich active substances, and the organic pollutants adsorbed were oxidized and degraded into small molecules, and the release occupied point was used as the free point for the next round of reaction.

\[
\begin{align*}
Mn^{2+} + HSO_5^- &\rightarrow Mn^{3+} + OH^- + SO_4^{2-} & (12) \\
Mn^{3+} + HSO_5^- &\rightarrow Mn^{4+} + SO_4^{2-} + OH^- & (13) \\
Fe^{2+} + HSO_5^- &\rightarrow Fe^{3+} + SO_4^{2-} + OH^- & (14) \\
Mn^{3+} + HSO_5^- &\rightarrow Mn^{2+} + H^+ + SO_5^{2-} & (15) \\
Mn^{4+} + HSO_5^- &\rightarrow Mn^{3+} + H^+ + SO_5^{2-} & (16) \\
Fe^{2+} + Mn^{3+} &\rightarrow Fe^{3+} + Mn^{2+}, E_0 = 0.74v & (17) \\
Fe^{3+} + e^- &\rightarrow Fe^{2+} & (18) \\
Mn^{3+} + e^- &\rightarrow Mn^{2+} & (19) \\
Mn^{4+} + e^- &\rightarrow Mn^{3+} & (20)
\end{align*}
\]
3.6. The Stability of rGO-MnFe_2O_4 and NrGO-MnFe_2O_4

For catalytic experiments, recyclability is one of the most important properties of catalysts. Therefore, the catalytic cycle experiments were carried out respectively for NrGO-MnFe_2O_4 and rGO-MnFe_2O_4 (Figure 10). Under the same experimental conditions, after six experiments, NrGO-MnFe_2O_4 and rGO-MnFe_2O_4 still have good catalytic activity, but the reduction of rGO-MnFe_2O_4 is greater than that of NrGO-MnFe_2O_4. This may be due to the catalytic effect of the active sites formed by nitrogen doping. In addition, the structure of NrGO-MnFe_2O_4 is more stable than that of rGO-MnFe_2O_4.

![Figure 10. Effect of recycling catalyst (a) NrGO-MnFe_2O_4; (b) rGO-MnFe_2O_4) on COD removal.](image)

4. Conclusions

LLNC was treated by electrochemical method combined with PMS/NrGO-MnFe_2O_4 method, and the main conclusions were as follows:

1. NrGO-MnFe_2O_4 and rGO-MnFe_2O_4 particles with magnetic cycling ability were prepared in one step by simple hydrothermal method, and were characterized by SEM, XRD, Raman and XPS. Through comparison, it was found that NrGO-MnFe_2O_4 formed more active centers due to nitrogen doping, causing: (a) more defects, which were used to activate PMS and greatly promoted the accessibility and adsorption of substrates to the active centers; (b) more conductivity to uniform magnetic particle load; (c) stronger electrical conductivity, making it conducive to electron transfer in the catalytic process. NrGO-MnFe_2O_4 is more suitable as a catalyst for the EC/PMS system. Through the catalyst cycle experiment, it was found that NrGO-MnFe_2O_4 has better secondary cycle performance than rGO-MnFe_2O_4.

2. In the comparison of catalytic systems, EC/PMS/NrGO-MnFe_2O_4 process shows the advantages of electrochemical coupling of NrGO and MnFe_2O_4 in the treatment of LLNC refractory wastewater. The operating conditions were optimized by single factor analysis experiments, with initial pH of 5.0, NrGO-MnFe_2O_4 dose of 1.0 g/L, PMS dose of 2.0 mm, current density of 25 mA/cm^2 and plate spacing of 2.0 cm. Under the best conditions, the COD removal rate of LLNC can reach 72.89% after 120 min.

3. The 3D-EEM fluorescence and GC-MS analysis of LLNC before and after treatment showed that EC/PMS/NrGO-MnFe_2O_4 could effectively treat LLNC, a kind of refractory wastewater. Combined with the radical quenching experiment, it was found that PMS mainly generated SO_4^{2-} and OH• under the combined action of electrochemistry and NrGO-MnFe_2O_4. Thus, most macromolecules, such as heterocyclic macromolecules in wastewater, can be effectively removed and degraded into small molecular weight intermediates.
In conclusion, this study provided an efficient, easily prepared, and recyclable catalyst NrGO-MnFe$_2$O$_4$, and reduced its combination with electrochemistry, promoting the development of advanced oxidation technology based on PMS to treat refractory biodegradable wastewater.
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