Surgical stabilization of supracondylar fracture femur with locking compression plate: A Study on functional outcome
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Abstract
Fractures of the distal end of femur especially comminuted, intra-articular extension remain some of the most challenging fractures facing orthopaedic surgeons. The present study is justified for the fact that it will be one of the solutions for the age-old complications associated with the treatment of supracondylar fractures with traditional fixed angle plates and nails of, postoperative loss of reduction (varus collapse) and malalignment due to the inherent lack of rigidity and in some cases, eventual implant failure. After the proper preoperative evaluation fitness for surgery was obtained. The limb to be operated was shaved and prepared a day before surgery. Antibiotic was injected intravenously at least 10 minutes before surgery. Although various approaches like direct lateral-standard approach, minimally invasive lateral approach, medial approach and antero-lateral approach are described however in the current study direct lateral approach was used which is the standard one generally followed by the various previous studies. Out of 30 fractures, 19 fractures accounting for 63.3% were open fractures. Rest of the fractures were closed. In this study majority of the cases 19 (63.3%) were due to road traffic accidents seen in below 50 years of age. Long term results were rated using Neer’s rating system. Neer’s score was assigned for each patient after 24 to 36 weeks. Using this scale 16 cases (53.3%) shown excellent, 11 cases (36.7%) good and 3 cases (10%) poor result. Out of three varus malalignments two were of type C3 fracture and one type C2. Factors contributing to malalignment were severe comminution and improper reduction.
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Introduction
The supracondylar area of the femur is defined as the zone between the femoral condyles and the junction of the metaphysis with the femoral diaphysis. This comprises approximately the distal 15 cm of the femur, as measured from articular surface. It is important to distinguish extra-articular fractures from intercondylar as well as diaphyseal fractures of the distal femur because the methods of treatment and prognosis are considerably different [1]. Fractures of the distal end of femur especially comminuted, intra-articular extension remain some of the most challenging fractures facing orthopaedic surgeons. These are serious injuries having the potential to produce significant long-term disabilities. These fractures reportedly account for less than 1% of all fractures and comprise 6% of all femoral fractures [2, 3]. There is bimodal distribution of these fractures [4, 5]. Operative treatment is recommended for most of these fractures. The goal of operative treatment is anatomical reduction, stable internal fixation and early rapid mobilization of adjacent joints and early functional rehabilitation of the knee. Locking compression plate has the advantage of combination of conventional compression plating and locked plating techniques which enhances the plate osteosynthesis. The DF- LCP is a further development from the LISS which was introduced in the mid to late 1990’s. The shaft holes on the DF-LCP are oval allowing for the options of a compression screw or a locking screw. This leads to a more precise placement of the plate, as it is able to be compressed more closely to the bone. The present study is justified for the fact that it will be one of the solutions for the age-old complications associated with the treatment of supracondylar fractures with traditional fixed angle plates and nails of,
postoperative loss of reduction (varus collapse) and malalignment due to the inherent lack of rigidity and in some cases, eventual implant failure [6-10].

Materials and Methods
This was a prospective longitudinal observational study conducted from November-2012 to October-2014 in the Department of Orthopaedics, at Andhra Medical College with a follow up period of 6 months to 18 months. 30 skeletally mature patients with distal fracture femur fractures, satisfying the inclusion and exclusion criteria were enrolled. Informed and written consent was taken from the enrolled patients.

Initial stabilization and pre-operative planning
All the patients were initially managed in emergency department according to advanced trauma life support guidelines. The patients were evaluated clinically and proper history of the incident was elicited. General, systemic examination as well as local examination of the patient was done. Thorough assessment was done to rule out head/chest/abdominal/spinal or pelvic injury. Injured limb was assessed carefully as regard to neurovascular status. Primary immobilization of involved limb in Thomas splint with a cotton pad below the distal fragment for transport of patient.

Surgical approach
Although various approaches like direct lateral-standard approach, minimally invasive lateral approach, medial approach and antero-lateral approach are described however in the current study direct lateral approach was used which is the standard one generally followed by the various previous studies.

Operation table
Radiolucent top fracture table was used.

Position of the patient
Supine position with a sand bag placed below the operating knee and another one below the ipsilateral hip.

Operative steps/technique (Figure 2)
- Skin and subcutaneous tissue were cut, superior geniculate artery is identified and ligated.
- Care was taken not to incise the lateral meniscus at the lateral joint margin.
- The vastus lateralis muscle was carefully elevated from intermuscular septum and retracted anteriorly and medially.
- Reduce and temporarily secure the articular fragments with pointed reduction forceps and/or K-wires.
- Condyles were secured with 6.5 mm cancellous screws.
- Screws were inserted starting from central hole in the condylar portion under image control.
- The plate shaft was fixed with appropriate cortical screws after confirming final reduction of the fracture.

Radiological evaluation
Antero-posterior and true lateral views of injured limb including complete knee joint and distal femur. Antero-posterior view of pelvis with both hips to rule out associated injuries. Patients with open wounds received immediate wound lavage with at least 9 liters of normal saline followed by povidone iodine, padding dressing and were put on intravenous antibiotics, which continued post operatively according to requirement. Upper tibial skeletal pin traction with a Steinmann or Derham pin under local anaesthesia followed by continuous traction given over Bohler-Braunsplint. Injection ATS 1500 IU, Injection AGGS 20,000 IV, broad spectrum injectable antibiotics and analgesics were administered for compound injuries. Intravenous Metronidazole was administered in open fractures where anaerobic contamination was suspected.

Instruments and implants used
The instruments and implants used were plate and screws manufactured using 316L stainless steel with gun drilling technique. Locking compression plate (4.5mm) which are available from 4 holed to 22 holed. Anatomically pre-contoured plate head with soft edges. Self-tapping cortical locking screws (5mm) (Figure 1).
In case of 33A type fractures closed reduction was achieved using various instruments like clamps or Steinmann pins using as joy stick to manipulate fracture fragments and fixation done by MIPO technique with DF-LCP.

In cases of 33C type fractures closed reduction was attempted and if anatomically reduced, fixation was done with MIPO technique, otherwise direct lateral approach was used to expose fracture fragments and are anatomically reduced.

In cases of medial wall comminution, medial side also was augmented with screws to prevent Varus collapse.

Fig 2: Intra operative images of direct lateral approach to distal femur fracture

Postoperative care was performed to the patient.

Physical therapy
Postoperative physiotherapy regimen was tailored according to the fracture pattern, fixation achieved. Static quadriceps exercise with active hip and knee mobilization were started from the next day of surgery. Active assisted ROM along with active quadriceps and hamstring strengthening exercises were added from 5-7th day of surgery. Early phase was conducted for 1-3 weeks and late phase after 3 weeks.

Early complications
Iatrogenic fractures especially in osteoporotic bones while reducing the fracture, damage to surrounding soft tissue (collateral ligaments of knee and menisci), injury to popliteal vessels, as it winds from medial to posterior compartment, Damage to geniculate vessels and accompanying nerves.

Late complications
Failure of Reduction, due to improper surgical technique, poor bone stock, poor patient compliance, poor surgical planning and execution. The results were evaluated by taking into consideration the following pain, function, motion, work, gross anatomy and Roentgenograms

Follow up protocol
After discharge from the hospital, these patients were called for the first follow up after 2 weeks and subsequent follow up were done at 1 month, 3 months, 6 months and at 9 months. Patients were educated to report earlier for follow up if required. Patients were assessed by NEER’S functional scoring in follow-up visits by assessing the following:

Neer’s functional scoring was used to assess the outcome of surgery, for adult distal femoral fractures. It consists of: Functional (70 units) and Anatomic (30 units) (Table 1).

Table 1: Neer’s Functional scoring

| Neer’s score - pain | Unit value |
|---------------------|------------|
| Pain (20 units)     |            |
| 5- No pain          | 20         |
| 4- Intermittent     | 16         |
| 3- With fatigue     | 12         |
| 2- Restrict function| 8          |
| 1- Constant or at night | 4   |

| Neer’s score - function | Unit value |
|-------------------------|------------|
| Function (20 units)     |            |
| 5- As before injury     | 20         |
| 4- Mild restriction     | 16         |
| 3- Restricted, walks sideways | 12 |
| 2- Cane or severe restriction | 8 |
| 1- Crutches or brace    | Apr-00     |

| Neer’s score - knee flexion | Unit value |
|-----------------------------|------------|
| Motion (20 units) Knee Flexion |            |
| 5 - Normal or 135 degrees  | 20         |
| 4 - 100 degrees             | 16         |
| 3 - 80 degrees              | 12         |
| 2 - 60 degrees              | 8          |
| 1 - 40 degrees              | 4          |
| 0 - 20 degrees              | 0          |

| Neer’s scoring - work capacity | Unit value |
|-------------------------------|------------|
| Work (10 units)               |            |
| 5- As before injury           | 10         |
| 4- Regular but with handicap  | 8          |
| 3- After work                 | 6          |
| 2- Light work                 | 4          |
| 1- No Work                    | Feb-99     |

| Neer’s score - Roentgenogram |
|------------------------------|
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Results

The results of the present study were depicted in the Table 2. Age of the patients ranged from 21 to 71yrs with an average age of 41.9 years. Majority of the patients (63.3%) were in the age group of 21-40 years. Out of 30 patients treated with locking compression plate, 19 (63.3%) were male patients and 11 (36.7%) were female patients. Supracondylar fracture of right femur was seen in 17 patients making up to 56.7% of fractures and left sided femur was seen in 13 patients accounting for 43.3% of the fractures. None had bilateral fractures. Out of 30 fractures, 19 fractures accounting for 63.3% were open fractures. Rest of the fractures were closed. In this study, out of 30 fractures, type A fractures were seen in 13 patients (43.3%) and type C fractures were seen in 17 patients (56.7%). Average time for fracture union was 15.1 weeks. In this study 50% of the patients had knee flexion of more than 110 degrees, 40% had 91-110 degrees and 10% had less than 90 degrees. Average knee flexion in type A fractures was 118.66 degrees and type C was 110.27 degrees. Overall average knee flexion in 30 patients in this study was 114.47°. Long term results were rated using Neer’s rating system. Neer’s score was assigned for each patient after 24 to 36 weeks. Using this scale 16 cases (53.3%) shown excellent, 11 cases (36.7%) good and 3 cases (10%) poor result. Out of three varus malalignments two were of type C3 fracture and one type C2. Factors contributing to malalignment were severe comminution and improper reduction (Figure 3).

Table 2: Overall results of the study

| Parameter                | Unit value |
|--------------------------|------------|
| Neer’s score - Roentgenogram |            |
| Roentgenogram (15 units) |            |
| 5. Neur Normal           | 15         |
| 4. 5° angulation or 0.5 cm displacement | 12         |
| 3. 10° angulation or 1.0 cm displacement | 9          |
| 2. 15° angulation or 2.0 cm displacement | 6          |
| 1. Union but with greater deformity; spreading of condyles; osteoarthritis. | 3          |
| 0. Non-union or chronic infection | 0          |

| Parameter                | Unit value |
|--------------------------|------------|
| Neer’s score-overall rating |          |
| Parameter                | Unit value |
| Excellent                | Above 85 units |
| Satisfactory / good      | 70-85 units |
| Unsatisfactory / fair    | 55-60 units |
| Failure                  | Below 55 units |

| Age                | Number of patients | Percentage (%) |
|--------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| 21-30              | 9                  | 30             |
| 31-40              | 10                 | 33.3           |
| 41-50              | 3                  | 10             |
| 51-60              | 4                  | 13.3           |
| Above 60           | 4                  | 13.3           |

| Nature of injury | Distal femur fractures | Percentage (%) |
|------------------|------------------------|----------------|
| RTA              | 22                     | 73.3           |
| FALL             | 8                      | 26.7           |
| TOTAL            | 30                     | 100            |

| Side affected | Number of fractures | Percentage (%) |
|---------------|---------------------|----------------|
| RIGHT         | 17                  | 56.7           |
| LEFT          | 13                  | 43.3           |

| Type of injury | Number of fractures | Percentage (%) |
|----------------|---------------------|----------------|
| Open           | 19                  | 63.3           |
| Closed         | 11                  | 36.7           |

| OTA Classification Type | No. of patient | Percentage (%) |
|-------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| A1                      | 5              | 16.7           |
| A2                      | 4              | 13.3           |
| A3                      | 4              | 13.3           |
| C1                      | 6              | 20             |
| C2                      | 7              | 23.4           |
| C3                      | 4              | 13.3           |

| Union time (weeks) | Number of fractures | Percentage (%) |
|-------------------|---------------------|----------------|
| <12               | 10                  | 33.3           |
| 13-18             | 16                  | 53.3           |
| 19-24             | 2                   | 6.7            |
| 25-30             | 2                   | 6.7            |

| Full weight bearing time (weeks) | Number | Percentage (%) |
|---------------------------------|--------|----------------|
| <12                             | 4      | 13.3           |
| 16-Dec                          | 23     | 76.7           |
| 17-20                           | 2      | 6.7            |
| >20                             | 1      | 3.3            |
| Knee flexion (degrees) | Number of patients | Percentage (%) |
|------------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| >110                   | 15                 | 50             |
| 91-110                 | 12                 | 40             |
| <90                    | 3                  | 10             |

| Type of Fracture OTA Classification | Number of Fractures | Average Knee Flexion (Degrees) |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|
| Type A                              | 13                  | 118.66                         |
| Type C                              | 17                  | 110.27                         |
| Total                               | 30                  | 114.47                         |

| Pain                              | Number of patients | Percentage (%) |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| No pain                           | 4                  | 13.3           |
| Intermittent                       | 22                 | 73.4           |
| With fatigue                       | 4                  | 13.3           |
| Restrict function                  | 0                  | 0              |
| Constant or at night               | 0                  | 0              |

| Neer’s Function score of the study | Number of patients | Percentage (%) |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| As before injury                   | 4                  | 13.3           |
| Mild restriction                   | 22                 | 73.4           |
| Restricted, stairs sideways        | 4                  | 13.3           |
| Cane or severe restriction         | 0                  | 0              |
| Clutches or brace                  | 0                  | 0              |

| Neer’s scoring for work capacity in present study | Number of patients | Percentage (%) |
|---------------------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| Same As before injury                             | 7                  | 23.3           |
| Regular but with handicap                         | 20                 | 66.7           |
| Light work                                        | 3                  | 10             |
| No Work                                           | 0                  | 0              |

| Neer’s score gross anatomy                     | Number of fractures | Percentage (%) |
|------------------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------|
| Thickening only                                 | 20                  | 66.7           |
| 5° angulation or 0.5 cm short                   | 10                  | 33.3           |
| 10° angulation or rotation; 2.0 cm short        | 0                   | 0              |
| 15° angulation or rotation; 3.0 cm short        | 0                   | 0              |
| Union but with greater deformity                | 0                   | 0              |
| Non-union or chronic infection                  | 0                   | 0              |

| Neer’s score Roentgenogram                    | Number of fractures | Percentage (%) |
|------------------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------|
| Near Normal                                    | 12                  | 40             |
| 5° angulation or 0.5 cm displacement           | 15                  | 50             |
| 10° angulation or 1.0 cm displacement          | 3                   | 10             |
| 15° angulation or 2.0 cm displacement          | 0                   | 0              |
| Union but with greater deformity; spreading of condyles; osteoarthritis | 0 | 0 |
| Non-union or chronic infection                 | 0                   | 0              |

X-ray findings and functional outcome of Supracondylar fracture femur with locking compression plate

**FUNCTIONAL OUTCOME**

Pre-operative x-ray, Immediate post-op x-ray, 1yr 3 months old, Knee extension x-ray, Knee flexion x-ray
Discussion
The study was particularly relevant as supracondylar fracture of femur historically has been difficult to treat. Problems such as knee stiffness, varus collapse, mal-union and non-union frequently resulted. These limitations have encouraged surgeons to resort to definitive operative management of the fractures by internal fixation using distal femoral locking compression plates. High energy trauma in 73.3% of our patients of which most of whom were younger. In Yeap et al. (2007) study, patients ranged from 15 to 85 years with a mean age of 44 years. According to the OTA supracondylar fracture femur classification, intra articular (type C) fractures were seen in 17 (56.7%) patients and rest 13 (43.3%) had extra articular (type A) fractures. This indicates that type C fractures occur more than type A. In our study the average time interval between injury and surgery was 6 days. This was in accordance with the study conducted by Yeap et al. (2007) [11]. In Bachu et al. (2017) study bony union was achieved on average in 16.6 weeks. In Weight et al. (2004) [13] in their study all fractures healed at a mean of 13 weeks (range 7-16 weeks). However, the early union in our study in compression with others might be due to early intervention, less soft tissue handling and early mobilization. In this study group, overall average knee flexion in 30 patients was 114.47 degrees. It was attributed to the stable and study construct and the early range of motion achieved with DF-LCP We conclude ROM around knee is better in patients treated with DF-LCP. Post-operative ROM is less in type C fractures compared to type A. All the patients in our study were followed for an average of 11.83 months (ranging from 6-18 months). All fractures were united eventually and there were no non-unions.

Conclusion
DF-LCP has combi-hole, based on need of surgeon can use either locking or standard bicortical screw. This technique has a lesser chance of complications like plate or screw breakage, but careful selection of patients and strict adherence to the basic principles of fracture fixation will go a long way in reducing the complications of fracture fixation using locking compression plates.

Conflict-of-interest statement
The author declares no conflicts-of-interest related to this article.

References
1. Gwathmey FW Jr, Jones-Quaiddoo SM, Kahler D, Hurwitz S et al. Distal Femoral Fractures: Current Concepts Journal of the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons. 2010; 18(10):597-07.
2. Martinet O, Cordey J, Harder Y, Maier A, Buhler M, Barraud G. The epidemiology of fractures of the distal femur Injury. 2000; 31(3):C62-3.
3. Areneson TJ, Melton LJ, Lewallen DG et al. Epidemiology of diaphyseal and distal femoral fractures in Rochester, Minnesota, 1965-1984. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 1988; 234:188-94.
4. Schutz M, Muller M, Krettek C et al. Minimally invasive fracture stabilization of distal femoral fractures with the LISS: A prospective multicentre study. Results of a clinical study with special emphasis on difficult cases. Injury. 2001; 3(2):SC48-4.
5. Ayaz Khan M, Shafique M, Sahibzada AS, Sultan S. Management of type-A supracondylar fractures of femur with dynamic condylar screw (DCS). Journal of Medical Sciences. 2006; 14(1):44-7.
6. Kregor PJ, Stannard J, Zlowodzki M, Cole PA, Alonso J. Distal femoral fracture fixation utilizing the Less Invasive Stabilization System (L.I.S.S.): The technique and early results. Injury. 2001; 32(3):32-47.
7. Neer CS II, Grantham SA, Shelton ML. Supracondylar Fracture of the Adult Femur - A Study of One Hundred and Ten Cases. JBJS Am. 1981; 63-B(7):7-11.
8. Austin Broun, D'Arcy JC. Internal fixation for supracondylar fracture femur in elderly patients. JBJS. 1970; 53-B:420-24.
9. Connoley JF, King P. Closed Reduction and Early Cast Brace Ambulation in the Treatment of Femoral Fractures. JBJS Am. 1973; 55-(A):591-13.
10. Mooney V. Quoted by Wardlaw D, James Mclauchalan et al. A biomechanical study of cast brace treatment of femoral shaft fractures. JBJS. 1981; 63-B(7):7-11.
11. Yeap EJ, Deepak AS. Distal Femoral Locking Compression Plate Fixation in Distal Femoral Fractures: Early Results. Malaysian Orthopaedic Journal. 2001; 3:599.
12. Bachu S, Ramulu L. A study of surgical management of distal femoral fractures in adults using locking compression plate. Int J Res Orthop. 2017; 3(2):253-58.
13. Weight M, Collinge C. Early Results of the Less Invasive Stabilization System for Mechanically Unstable Fractures of the Distal Femur (AO/OTA Types A2, A3, C2, and C3). J Orthop Trauma. 2004; 18(8):503-08.

Fig 3: X-ray findings of the cases and functional outcome of the study