Emerging roles of interferon-stimulated genes in the innate immune response to hepatitis C virus infection
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Infection with hepatitis C virus (HCV), a major viral cause of chronic liver disease, frequently progresses to steatosis and cirrhosis, which can lead to hepatocellular carcinoma. HCV infection strongly induces host responses, such as the activation of the unfolded protein response, autophagy and the innate immune response. Upon HCV infection, the host induces the interferon (IFN)-mediated frontline defense to limit virus replication. Conversely, HCV employs diverse strategies to escape host innate immune surveillance. Type I IFN elicits its antiviral actions by inducing a wide array of IFN-stimulated genes (ISGs). Nevertheless, the mechanisms by which these ISGs participate in IFN-mediated anti-HCV actions remain largely unknown. In this review, we first outline the signaling pathways known to be involved in the production of type I IFN and ISGs and the tactics that HCV uses to subvert innate immunity. Then, we summarize the effector mechanisms of scaffold ISGs known to modulate IFN function in HCV replication. We also highlight the potential functions of emerging ISGs, which were identified from genome-wide siRNA screens, in HCV replication. Finally, we discuss the functions of several cellular determinants critical for regulating host immunity in HCV replication. This review will provide a basis for understanding the complexity and functionality of the pleiotropic IFN system in HCV infection. Elucidation of the specificity and the mode of action of these emerging ISGs will also help to identify novel cellular targets against which effective HCV therapeutics can be developed.
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INTRODUCTION

Hepatitis C virus (HCV) infects more than 170 million people worldwide and represents a heavy burden to global health, with the highest prevalence rates found in Africa and the Eastern Mediterranean. Acute HCV infection is asymptomatic, and in 70% of infected individuals, the virus persists to chronic liver diseases, including fibrosis, steatosis, cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma. Furthermore, HCV is a major cause of type I mixed cryoglobulinemia, which occurs in 10% of patients.

Using the HCV genotype 2a isolate Japanese fulminant hepatitis-1 genome-based cell culture-derived infectious HCV (HCVcc), Zhong et al. demonstrated that HCV and cells coevolve in vitro during chronically persistent infection, which involves the selection of viral mutants with increased infectivity and cells with resistance to viral entry and/or RNA replication. In this coevolution process, HCV exhibits multifaceted interactions with the host cells, and these cellular stress responses subsequently affect virus replication. For instance, infection with HCVcc or expression of the Japanese fulminant hepatitis-1 genome has been shown to trigger cytopathic effects, endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress, the unfolded protein response (UPR), autophagy and the innate immune response.

In the competition between this virus and host cells, viral infection often triggers a first-line host defense through the production of type I interferon (IFN), which is a broadly acting antiviral cytokine, and inflammatory cytokines. These cytokines confer an antiviral state on the host cells, thereby interfering with viral replication. With the ability to enhance the immune response for virus clearance or to inhibit viral replication, IFN-based therapies have been used to treat HCV-infected patients for over two decades.

To guard against viral infection, the host cell has developed multiple restriction strategies to limit viral infection. The
expression of many of these restriction factors is subject to transcriptional regulation by IFN. Upon infection by viruses such as HCV, viral RNA is first sensed by cellular pattern recognition receptors (PRRs), and the PRR-mediated recruitment of adaptor proteins and the activation of downstream signaling lead to IFN production. After binding to its receptor (IFNAR) complex present on the cell surface, IFN triggers the Janus kinase (JAK)/signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT) pathway to drive the synthesis of over 300 IFN-stimulated genes (ISGs), which block virus replication at different phases of the virus replication cycle. These ISGs are usually not synthesized at the basal state, but are induced to express and mediate the antiviral effector functions of IFN upon viral infection or IFN treatment.

In response, viruses have developed elaborate strategies to escape the IFN antiviral system by blocking the expression or antiviral functions of IFN. Therefore, the host and HCV maintain a homeostatic state, allowing tightly restricted viral replication without killing the host. Recent studies on genome-wide siRNA screens have added new candidates to this growing list of anti-HCV ISGs. These findings highlight the complexity and pleiotropic roles of IFN and its induced ISGs in modulating innate immunity and virus replication. Nevertheless, the complete spectrum of ISGs and their functionality in suppressing HCV replication have yet to be defined.

In this review, we focus on the molecular aspects of the type I IFN system and its effector mechanisms in modulating HCV replication. First, we briefly discuss the signaling triggered by the retinoic acid-inducible gene 1-like receptor (RLR) and the Toll-like receptor (TLR), which leads to type I IFN synthesis and IFN-mediated signaling pathway activation, resulting in the expression of a variety of effector ISGs. We also summarize the strategies that HCV uses to escape IFN antiviral surveillance. Additionally, we highlight what is currently known regarding the pivotal ISGs in viral infections, with an emphasis on their anti-HCV activities, and the emerging ISGs identified from recent genome-wide siRNA screens in relation to anti-HCV activities. Finally, we discuss the potential functions of several critical cellular factors, such as high-mobility group box 1 (HMGB1) and immunity-related GTPase family M (IRGM), and cellular pathways, such as UPR and autophagy, during HCV infection. Although these cellular determinants are not stimulated by IFN, these factors critically control the host immune response. Therefore, these determinants may also play crucial roles in modifying HCV replication.

This review provides a perspective for a better understanding of the anti-HCV mechanisms of IFN, ISGs and several critical cellular determinants known to contribute to the regulation of innate immunity. The gathered information not only provides a clearer picture for the specificity, functionality and complexity of the IFN system and its effector mechanisms in the control of HCV infection, but also helps to identify novel cellular targets against which efficacious therapeutic strategies can be developed. Clinically, the identification of new ISGs will also help to optimize the current IFN-based therapy and to provide a basis for more accurate predictions of IFN treatment outcomes.

**HCV GENOTYPES AND RESPONSE TO IFN TREATMENT**

HCV is an enveloped, positive-sense, single-stranded RNA virus classified within the genus *hepacivirus* in the *Flaviviridae* family. Currently, HCV isolates are classified into seven major genotypes, i.e., genotypes 1 through 7, and an array of subtypes. HCV genotypes differ by 20%–35% in genome sequence, whereas subtypes within each genotype can differ by at least 15%. Genotype 1 is the most prevalent (46%), followed by genotype 3 (30%); genotypes 2, 4 and 6 (cumulatively approximately 22%); and genotype 5 (less than 1%). Different genotypes exhibit distinct geographic distributions. Genotype 1 predominates in America and Europe, genotype 2 in Japan, genotype 3 in Asia, genotype 4 in Africa and Middle East and genotype 6 in Southeast Asia. HCV is transmitted via blood transfusion, intravenous drug abuse, unsafe therapeutic injection, liver transplantation and other risk factors.

The combination of PEGylated IFN-α and ribavirin is the standard therapy for HCV infection. However, this treatment is associated with side effects, and the efficacy of this regimen varies among genotypes, limiting the success rate of this treatment. Compared with genotype 2, infection with genotypes 1a and 1b results in more severe liver disease and low responsiveness to IFN therapy. Seventy-one percent of patients with genotype 2 infection respond to IFN therapy, whereas only 28% of genotype 1a and 26% of genotype 1b show a response. Patients infected with genotype 6 generally show higher sustained virological responses to IFN therapy than genotype 1-infected patients, whereas genotype 3-infected patients show a lower sustained virological response compared with genotype 1-infected patients. The heterogeneity of HCV genotypes also translates to differences in the manifestation of liver disease. For example, hepatic steatosis is most common in patients infected with genotype 3 and is attributed to its core protein. Recently, the use of active direct-acting antiviral molecules to block HCV infection has led to substantial improvements in sustained virological response rates in genotype 1-infected patients. However, the use of these drugs may allow selection of resistant variants if direct-acting antiviral monotherapy is adopted, and a high relapse rate occurs after direct-acting antiviral treatment is discontinued.

**HCV REPLICATION**

The approximately 9.6-kb HCV genome contains a single open reading frame flanked by untranslated regions (UTRs) at its 5’ and 3’ ends (Figure 1). The internal ribosome entry site (IRES) located in the 5’-UTR directs cap-independent translation, whereas the 3’-UTR contains sequences critical for viral replication and translation. The 3’-UTR (positioned at nucleotides 9389–9679 of the HCV genome) contains a poly(U/UC) tract located at nucleotide positions 9436–9600, which was identified as an HCV pathogen-associated molecular pattern (PAMP) that triggers RLR-mediated type I IFN production (Figure 1). Translation of the HCV genomic
RNA produces a single polyprotein of approximately 3000 amino acids, which is further processed by cellular and viral proteases to yield the structural proteins core, E1 and E2, and the non-structural proteins p7, NS2, NS3, NS4A, NS4B, NS5A and NS5B. The sites cleaved by cellular signal peptidase, signal peptide peptidase, and NS3/NS4A protease are marked by blue and green arrows, and red arrowheads, respectively, whereas the NS2/NS3 autoproteolytic site is indicated with a red arrow. Core, E1 and E2 form the virion. NS2 and p7 participate in the assembly of viral particles. NS3, NS4Band NS5A are critical for both viral replication and assembly, whereas NS4A and NS5B function in viral replication. The PU/UC tract located in the 3′-UTR is also marked. HCV, hepatitis C virus; NS, non-structural protein; UTR, untranslated region.

Hepatocytes are the primary target cells for HCV replication. Upon infection, the virus particle circulating in the blood biochemically resembles the very low-density lipoprotein, which is rich in apolipoprotein (Apo) E and ApoB. First, the apolipoprotein-associated lipoviral particle (LVP) attaches to glycosaminoglycan and low-density lipoprotein receptor and then interacts with cluster of differentiation 81 (CD81) and scavenger receptor class B number 1 (Figure 2). The LVP is subsequently translocated to the tight junction of hepatocytes where the LVP binds to the tight junction proteins claudin-1 and occludin followed by internalization of the HCV particle via pH-dependent endocytosis, which occurs on the plasma membrane. In addition to these receptors, cell surface molecules, such as epidermal growth factor receptor, ephrin receptor A2 and Niemann-Pick C1-like L1 cholesterol uptake receptor, are also essential for virus internalization. Subsequent to internalization, the acidic pH in the endosome triggers fusion of the viral envelope with the endosomal membrane, allowing the release of the viral genome into the cytoplasm.

HCV genomic translation occurs at the rough ER, and HCV RNA replicates in an ER-derived or ER-associated lipid-rich environment termed the membranous web. All HCV NS proteins except for NS2 are involved in viral RNA replication. The NS proteins are colocalized with the replicating viral RNA on a light density, detergent-resistant cytoplasmic membrane structure termed a ‘lipid raft’. Lipid droplets (LDs), which comprise a neutral lipid core with a single phospholipid layer, serve as energy storage sites and reservoirs of neutral lipids in adipose tissue and hepatocytes. LDs are indispensable for viral RNA replication and infectious virus formation. During the initial stage of virus assembly, HCV core protein interacts with LDs and the viral replication complex is also directed to LDs in an NS5A- and core-dependent manner, allowing encapsidation of the viral RNA by the core protein and assembly of the nucleocapsid. Additionally, the interaction of NS3 and NS5A with actin and tubulin in the microtubule network mediates translocation of the HCV replication complex to LDs.

The late stage of virus assembly, which occurs in the lumen of the ER, involves the acquisition of a lipid envelope, the embed-
Figure 2  HCV replication cycle. As shown, the HCV LVP is coated with ApoB and ApoE, which are marked by light green and light blue stripes, respectively, on its surface. The LVP attaches to SRB1 and to CD81 and further interacts with the tight junction protein claudin-1 and with occludin. Virus entry into cell proceeds through receptor-mediated endocytosis at the cell surface. Subsequent to internalization, the viral envelope fuses with the endosomal membrane under acidic pH, and the viral genome is uncoated and released into the cytoplasm. To dissect these two events, internalization and fusion are conventionally depicted as two seemingly separate steps in the cytoplasm. Viral RNA is translated at the ER to produce the polyprotein, which is subsequently processed into mature structural and non-structural proteins. Viral non-structural proteins, in conjunction with host factors, form a membranous web where viral RNA replication occurs. HCV particle assembly most likely initiates near the ER and LD where core protein and viral RNA accumulate. Finally, HCV particles are secreted into the extracellular milieu via the secretory pathway. Viral replication and assembly occur in the proximity of LDs and in lipid raft microdomains, which are shown in the inserted dashed rectangle. Apo, apolipoprotein; CD81, cluster of differentiation 81; ER, endoplasmic reticulum; HCV, hepatitis C virus; LD, lipid droplet; LVP, lipoviral particle.
ing of E1 and E2 into the envelope and the formation of the nascent virion (Figure 2). Then, the nascent virus particles associate with ApoB, ApoE and other very low-density lipoprotein lipids to form LVPs. Finally, LVPs are released from cells through the very low-density lipoprotein secretion pathway or the endosome secretory pathway (Figure 2).

Similar to the NS proteins, p7 plays numerous crucial functions in virion assembly and egress. p7, an integral, oligomeric membrane protein consisting of 63 amino acids, is grouped into the family of viroporins that form membrane pores or channels. In functioning as an ion channel, p7 modulates membrane permeability to facilitate virus entry by promoting virus uncoating and to enhance assembly or release. p7 conducts ions across the membrane, and this channel activity can be abrogated by the drug amantadine and iminosugars derivatives. During maturation and egress, the ion channel activity of p7 maintains the pH gradients within the secretory pathway and thereby stabilizes the HCV particle. In addition, p7 has been shown to be necessary for capsid assembly and envelopment because mutations in p7 result in the accumulation of incompletely assembled capsids that are unable to encapsidate viral RNA.

**VIRAL INFECTION AND INNATE IMMUNITY**

The IFN systems constitute the first-line defense mechanism against viral infection in humans. Based on their antiviral properties, IFNs are grouped into three classes: type I, II and III IFNs. In humans, type I IFNs consist of a large group of molecules encoded by multiple genes, mainly IFN-α and IFN-β, and other genes such as IFN-ε, IFN-κ and IFN-ω. IFN-α and IFN-β combat viruses directly by inhibiting virus replication or indirectly by inducing the innate immune response. Most cell types can elicit a type I IFN response by activating the TLR, RLR and JAK–STAT pathways. Type II IFN contains only one member, IFN-γ. Unlike type I IFNs, which are elicited as a direct response to viral infection, IFN-γ is secreted by natural killer cells and mitogenically activated T cells. IFN-γ exerts potent anti-HCV activity in vitro and mediates antiviral T-cell responses. It has also been reported that IFN-γ inhibits HCV infection by downregulating claudin-1 and CDB1. Type III IFNs consist of three members, termed IFN-λ-1, IFN-λ-2 and IFN-λ-3 or IL-28A/B (λ/2/3). As with type I IFNs, viral infection also directly activates type III IFNs. However, the antiviral properties and the mechanisms of action of type III IFNs remain unknown. Type III IFNs can be secreted by many cell types, but their receptors show a limited tissue distribution. HCV infection results in type III IFN induction predominantly in the human liver. Despite modulation by the IFN3 and NF-κB pathways for induction of type III and type I IFNs, these two systems upregulate distinct subsets of ISGs with different kinetics of induction.

During HCV infection, cells produce type I IFN to counteract viral infection, to modulate viral replication and to activate natural killer cells, dendritic cells and Kupffer cells. Recognition of PAMPs by PRRs, including TLRs and RLRs, triggers IFN synthesis and IFN-mediated cascade signaling pathways, leading to the production of type I IFN and a wide range of ISGs to mediate IFN antiviral activity (Figure 3).

Upon virus infection, TLRs and RLRs operate through different signaling pathways, depending on the nature of the viral signals. TLRs are expressed and localized in the intracellular compartment, similar to endosomes, or on the cell surface. Unlike RLRs, TLRs potentially detect viral double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) released by cells into the extracellular milieu (Figure 3). Three types of TLRs, i.e., TLR3, TLR7 and TLR9, are involved in the recognition of virus infections. TLR3 detects the dsRNA formed during the replication of positive-stranded RNA viruses, whereas TLR7 recognizes the uracil-rich ribonucleotide region of RNA, and TLR9 senses DNA PAMP motifs encoding CpG dinucleotides.

Upon binding to a PAMP, TLR3 dimerizes and initiates the binding of its cytosolic Toll-IL-1 receptor to the adaptor protein Toll-IL-1 receptor domain-containing adaptor inducing IFN-β (TRIF), resulting in the association of TLR3 with TRIF (Figure 3). This interaction leads to the recruitment of tumor necrosis factor (TNF) receptor-associated factor (TRAF) 6, TRAF3 and the TRAF family member nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells (NF-κB) activator-binding kinase 1 (TBK1), resulting in the phosphorylation and activation of IFN regulatory factor (IRF) 3 by TBK1 and by inhibitor of kappa B (IκB) kinase-related kinase (IKK)-ε. After phosphorylation, the IRF3 protein dimerizes and is translocated into the nucleus to form an enhanceosome complex with NF-κB and other transcription factors, thereby inducing the expression of target genes, such as IFNs. Moreover, the binding of viral dsRNA to TLRs also activates NF-κB activity and pro-inflammatory cytokine synthesis through the interaction of TRIF with receptor-interacting protein–1. TLR7 and TLR9 bind to myeloid differentiation pro-inflammatory response 88 (MyD88) and activate IL-1 receptor-associated kinase (IRAK) and TRAF6, followed by the activation of IKKα/β, which in turn activates NF-κB through phosphorylation, polyubiquitination and proteasomal degradation of its associated inhibitor IκBα. Migration of NF-κB into the nucleus results in IFN production (Figure 3).

The RLR receptors consist of RIG-I, melanoma differentiation-associated protein 5 (MDA5) and laboratory of genetics and physiology-2. RIG-I recognizes the HCV replication intermediate dsRNA within hours of infection, which triggers the downstream signaling before the viral protein is extensively synthesized (Figure 3). RIG-I senses the short, non-self dsRNAs with 5′-triphosphates, whereas RNAs lacking 5′-PPP, such as picornaviruses, are recognized by MDA5. Both RIG-I and MDA5 contain two N-terminal caspase activator and recruitment domains (CARDs). The recognition of dsRNA by RIG-I is dependent upon the ATP-driven translocate activity of CARDs and helicases, and binding to dsRNA induces conformational changes in RIG-I that facilitate its oligomerization and translocation from the cytosol to the mitochondrial surface.
mitochondria antiviral signaling protein (MAVS), which is also termed IFN-β promoter stimulator-1, virus-induced signaling adaptor or CARD adaptor inducing IFN-β (Figure 3). The chaperone protein 14-3-3 and the RING finger domain-containing E3 ubiquitin (Ub) ligase triple motif-containing protein (TRIM) 25 also participate in this process. TRIM25 mediates the ubiquitination of RIG-I at position Lys-63, which is important for MAVS binding and for IFN production.

The interaction between RIG-I and MAVS promotes the formation of a signaling complex on the mitochondrial surface that recruits and activates the downstream classical IKK complex, IKKα/IKKβ, and two non-classical IKK-related kinases, TBK1 and IKKε. Activation of TBK1 and IKKε leads to the phosphorylation, dimerization and nuclear translocation of the transcription factor IRF3 (Figure 3). TRAF3, TRAF6 and mitogen-activator protein kinase/extracellular signal-regulated...
kinase (ERK) kinase 1 (MEKK1) are also recruited to MAVS to activate NF-κB. The canonical IKKα and IKKβ induce NF-κB-dependent gene transcription via phosphorylation, polyubiquitination and proteasomal degradation of IkBα, thereby resulting in the release and nuclear migration of NF-κB. NF-κB activation involves the interaction of CARD9 with B-cell lymphoma/leukemia 10 protein. Activated NF-κB and IRF3 are translocated into the nucleus to form an enhancosome, thereby stimulating the expression of IFN and inflammatory cytokines with the help of other cellular factors, such as activating transcription protein 2 and c-Jun.

Then, secreted IFN binds to IFNAR on the cell surface and triggers the JAK–STAT signaling pathway (Figure 3). Following IFNAR receptor binding, tyrosine kinase-2 and JAK1 are activated and phosphorylate STAT1 and STAT2 to form a heterodimer, which subsequently recruits IRF9 to form the transcription factor IFN-stimulated gene factor 3 (ISGF3). Then, ISGF3 is translocated into the nucleus, binds to IFN-sensitive responsive element (ISRE) and transactivates the expression of various ISGs, such as 2′-5′ oligoadenylate synthetase (2-5OAS)/2′,5′-linked oligoadenylate (2-5A)-dependent, latent endoribonuclease (RNase L), dsRNA-dependent protein kinase R (PKR), and IRF7 (Figure 3).

**HCV EVASION OF ANTIVIRAL INNATE IMMUNITY**

Acute HCV infections can be spontaneously cleared in some infected individuals, suggesting that the innate immunity induced by HCV PAMP sensing can control acute viral infection. However, 80% of acutely infected people are not induced by HCV PAMP sensing can control acute viral infection, leading to the emergence of resistance to IFN therapy. In this regard, several HCV proteins have been developed strategies to escape or to counteract the host immune response, leading to the emergence of resistance to IFN therapy. In this regard, several HCV proteins have been shown to block host antiviral responses, resulting in progression to chronic HCV infection (Figure 4). Obtaining further data regarding HCV evasion of host innate immunity will certainly improve IFN-based therapy outcomes.

**Core protein**

HCV core protein is involved in the formation of the viral nucleocapsid and modulates many cellular functions, including transcription and signal transduction. Expression of the full-length HCV genome or core protein downregulates IFN signaling by depressing STAT1 tyrosine phosphorylation, which then blocks STAT1 heterodimerization with STAT2 and inhibits IFN signal transduction and ISG expression (Figure 4). In addition, expression of core protein induces synthesis of suppressor of cytokine signaling 3 (SOCS3) in HepG2 cells. SOCS3 is an important repressor of the JAK–STAT pathway due to its ability to inhibit STAT1 phosphorylation (Figure 4). Thus, HCV core protein induces SOCS3 and suppresses IFN-mediated ISG expression. SOCS3 expression is upregulated in chronically HCV-infected patients who are IFN non-responders compared with responders.

Core protein expression has also been demonstrated to inhibit IRF1 synthesis, transcriptionally repressing several ISGs, such as IL-15, IL-12 and PKR.

**E2**

Many viruses use molecular mimicry as an important immune evasion strategy to promote virus survival and persistence. Viruses express proteins that are structurally similar to host defense proteins, and these viral proteins can act as immune modulators. HCV employs this molecular mimicry strategy to resist type I IFN through its E2 envelope protein. E2 comprises a 12-amino acid sequence identical to eukaryotic initiation factor 2α (eIF2α) and PKR. This domain operates to prevent PKR-dependent phosphorylation of eIF2α and repression of protein synthesis, thus possessing an ability to resist type I IFN treatment (Figure 4).

**NS3/4A**

The HCV NS3/4A protease is not only responsible for the maturation of NS proteins, viral RNA replication and virion morphogenesis but is also important for suppressing the host antiviral system. The NS3/4A complex is anchored to the intracellular membrane through the NS4A transmembrane domain and the amphipathic α-helix at the NS3 N-terminus. All these domains facilitate cleavage of their two cellular targets, MAVS and TRIF, which act as key players in type 1 IFN production (Figure 4). MAVS is an essential antiviral signaling protein in the RLR system and, therefore, is an ideal target for viral immune evasion. NS4A serves as the primary membrane subcellular targeting subunit to escort NS3/4A to MAVS. NS3/4A binds to MAVS on mitochondria and cleaves MAVS at Cys-508, resulting in the dislocation of the N-terminal portion of MAVS from mitochondria and the suppression of IFN production (Figure 4). The hydrophobic amino acid stretch in the NS3 amphipathic α-helix is also required for controlling RIG-I signaling. Cleavage of MAVS and reduction of IFN levels have been observed in chronically HCV-infected patients. Thus, NS3/4A-mediated cleavage of MAVS RIG-I signaling impairs IFN synthesis.

Additionally, the NS3/4A protease also cleaves TRIF, an adaptor protein linking TLR3 to kinases responsible for activating IRF3 and NF-κB (Figure 4). Cleavage of TRIF interferes with poly(I:C)-activated TLR signaling and IRF3 and NF-κB activation, thereby limiting the expression of multiple host defense genes and enhancing HCV persistence.

**NS4B**

Stimulator of interferon gene (STING), which is also known as mediator of IRF3 activation (MITA), is a 42-kDa protein mainly localized to the ER. In response to dsDNA transfection or DNA virus infection, STING plays a crucial role in the activation of transcription pathways, essential for effective innate immune signaling. Upon dsDNA stimulation, STING polymerizes and translocates from the ER to a cytoplasmic punctate structure where the STING polymer provides a platform to
connect TBK1 with IRF3, which phosphorylates IRF3, thereby triggering downstream signaling. In viral infection, NS4B from yellow fever virus (YFV) blocks the induction of the IFN production pathway through an interaction with STING. NS2B3 from dengue virus (DenV) acts as a protease to cleave STING, thereby shutting down IFN signaling. In HCV infection, NS4B interacts with and sequesters STING on the ER to inhibit the association of STING with TBK, suppressing IFN signaling (Figure 4). Therefore, targeting STING to inhibit innate immunity might be beneficial for virus survival.

**NS5A**

The mature HCV NS5A is present as two phosphoproteins, the hypophosphorylated p56 and hyperphosphorylated p58. NS5A phosphorylation occurs at multiple serine residues, such as serine 225, 229 and 232 upstream of the IFN sensitivity determining region (ISDR) of NS5A, which spans residues 237–276 (based on genotype 1b HCV-J strain), and these serine residues are important for hyperphosphorylation. The functional and locational significance of NS5A p56 and p58 remains unclear; however, maintenance of these two forms at a specific ratio is critical for HCV replication.

Figure 4 Evasion of innate immunity by HCV viral proteins. HCV NS3/4A protease cleaves the TRIF and MAVS adapters, thereby crippling innate immune antigen recognition and type I IFN induction signaling. NS5A interacts with MyD88 to inhibit TLR signaling. NS5A inhibits PKR activation via its ISDR, resulting in IFN resistance. The HCV IRES can bind to the dsRNA-binding domain of PKR and can increase PKR autophosphorylation and activation, thereby enhancing viral protein translation. Furthermore, HCV-mediated phosphorylation and activation of PKR inhibits its downstream target eIF2α and attenuates the expression of ISGs, promoting viral replication. The E2 protein antagonizes the PKR-dependent activation of host eIF2α, resuming translation. NS4B interacts with STING/MITA to inhibit downstream IRF3 signaling. Moreover, core induces the expression of SOCS3 and attenuates the STAT1 phosphorylation pathway. Expression of HCV induces the expression of PP2A, which inhibits PRMT1 enzymatic activity. Inhibition of PRMT1 activity decreases methylation of STAT1, which favors binding to PIA1, resulting in the disruption of STAT1 signaling, impairment of ISG production, and HCV evasion of innate immunity. Additionally, decreased PRMT1 activity enhances NS3 helicase activity, which in turn promotes virus replication. eIF2α, eukaryotic initiation factor 2α; HCV, hepatitis C virus; IFN, interferon; IRF, IFN regulatory factor; ISG, IFN-stimulated gene; MAVS, mitochondria antiviral signaling protein; MITA, mediator of IRF3 activation; MyD88, myeloid differentiation pro-inflammatory response 88; NS, non-structural protein; PIA1, protein inhibitor of activated STAT1; PKR, dsRNA-dependent protein kinase R; PRMT1, protein arginine methyltransferase 1; SOCS3, suppressor of cytokine signaling 3; STAT, signal transducer and activator of transcription; STING, stimulator of interferon gene; TLR, Toll-like receptor; TRIF, TIR domain-containing adaptor inducing IFN-β.
functions as a pleiotropic protein that modulates the host environment to favor virus replication and persistence. Additionally, NS5A binds to MyD88, which is a major adaptor molecule in the TLR pathway, and inhibits the recruitment of IRAK1 to MyD88, attenuating TLR signaling and impairing cytokine production. A sequence within the NS5A ISDR, which spans residues 237–302, was shown to be responsible for interaction with the death domain of MyD88 in macrophage cells.

PKR is an IFN-induced gene product that is activated by binding to dsRNAs commonly produced during viral replication. NS5A rescues HCV replication in IFN-treated cells and inhibits IFN antiviral activity by binding to PKR and blocking PKR autophosphorylation and eIF2α phosphorylation. NS5A expression is sufficient to rescue the replication of an IFN-sensitive virus. The interaction of PKR with NS5A requires the ISDR that overlaps a broader PKR-binding region, residues 234–366, and results in the inhibition of PKR activation and resistance to IFN in HCV-expressing cells. Consistent with this mechanism, mutations in or deletion of ISDR correlate with sensitivity to IFN-α-mediated antiviral activity. Moreover, meta-analysis and long-term follow-up support the association of this ISDR region with the outcome of IFN therapy. This region, which encompasses a genetically flexible domain that allows mutations to occur, is the key site of adaptation to IFN therapy and influences the fitness of HCV RNA replication.

In contrast, other studies suggest that the inhibitory effect of NS5A on IFN may be independent of PKR. NS5A increases expression of IL-8, also known as chemokine CXCL8, by upregulating the IL-8 promoter, which in turn, inhibits IFN antiviral activity and facilitates virus infection. In a cell culture model, IL-8–positive cells are associated with chronic HCV infection, and IL-8 removal mitigates HCV replication. Importantly, the serum level of IL-8 is elevated in chronic hepatitis C patients compared with control individuals or is higher in IFN non-responders relative to responders. These observations suggest that NS5A expression increases IL-8 production, which somehow perturbs the IFN antiviral pathway.

Moreover, NS5A impedes the 2–5OAS/RNase L system to inhibit IFN signaling. The 2–5OAS/RNase L antiviral pathway is present in virtually every cell. This pathway involves the activation of a latent endoribonuclease and degrades HCV mRNA with a dsRNA structure during replication. NS5A physically binds to 2–5OAS through amino acid residues 1–40 of NS5A. A single point mutation at amino acid 37 of NS5A affects the NS5A and 2–5OAS binding and the antiviral activity of the 2–5OAS/RNase L system. Thus, NS5A inhibits IFN antiviral activity in an ISDR-independent manner. Moreover, IFN-resistant strains, such as genotypes 1a and 1b, have fewer RNase L recognition sites in their genomes than the IFN-sensitive strains, such as genotypes 2 and 3, providing a means for IFN-resistant strains to escape from nucleolytic cleavage.

Apoptosis also plays a key role in the host defense system by restricting viral spread and persistence. Blocking apoptosis could be critical for the establishment of life-long persistence in the host organism. NS5A was shown to block the activation of caspase 3 and to inhibit proteolytic cleavage of the death substrate poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase in TNF-α-induced cells. Adenovirus infection in NS5A-transgenic mice downregulates and upregulates the expression of T-box transcription factor 21 and trans-acting T cell-specific transcription factor 3, respectively, resulting in lower IFN-γ expression and a delay in virus clearance. Furthermore, stable expression of NS5A in the human hepatoma cell line Huh7 decreases sensitivity to TNF-α-mediated apoptosis, and activation of caspase-3, 8 and 9 by TNF-α is inhibited in NS5A-expressing cells. Thus, NS5A protects cells from TNF-α-mediated apoptotic death.

HCV-induced ER stress
HCV protein expression can induce an ER stress response and lead to calcium release from the ER, which in turn activates the cyclic AMP responsive element-binding protein that binds to the cyclic AMP responsive element in the promoter of protein phosphatase 2A (PP2A), resulting in upregulation of PP2A. Expressed in essentially all cell types, PP2A is a serine/threonine phosphatase that is involved in multiple cellular processes, such as the cell cycle, signal transduction and stress response. Increased expression of PP2A has been observed in a cell line inductively overexpressing HCV protein, in liver extracts from HCV transgenic mice and in liver biopsies from patients with chronic hepatitis C.

Duong et al. showed that upregulation of PP2A by HCV can inhibit the enzymatic activity of protein arginine methyltransferase 1 (PRMT1), which leads to decreased methylation of STAT1. Hypomethylated STAT1 is more prone to bind to protein inhibitor of activated STAT1 and inhibits STAT1 dimerization, resulting in impaired nuclear translocation into the nucleus, binding of STAT1 to the ISRE, and ISG production. Thus, HCV-induced PP2A activation disrupts the IFN-α-induced antiviral state, leading to HCV evasion of innate immunity. These authors also showed that PRMT1 can methylate HCV NS3 at arginine 467, resulting in the inhibition of NS3 helicase activity. Therefore, HCV-mediated PP2A upregulation enhances NS3 helicase activity by inhibiting PRMT1 enzymatic activity, which in turn facilitates virus replication.

FUNCTIONS OF ISGS IN INNATE ANTIVIRAL RESPONSES AND HCV REPLICATION
Human genomes encode hundreds of ISGs, and the first ISG, 54K, was discovered more than 25 years ago. Synthesis of some ISGs can be triggered by viral infection without IFN production. Some ISG products can directly regulate cellular processes, such as protein synthesis and cell growth, survival and apoptosis, whereas others may modify the IFN antiviral activity against invading viruses. The gene products of ISGs can target many steps in the HCV replication cycle to limit viral replication. Many PAMP receptors and their subsequent sig-
naling partners are also ISGs. ISGs expressed at the basal level provide antiviral surveillance before IFN activation or therapy; however, their levels markedly increase after IFN production. In the innate immune response to virus infection, viral RNA acts not only as a inducer of the production of IFN and its effector functions but also as a substrate and product for cellular enzymes, such as PKR and 2-5OAS/RNase L. The inverse correlation between the upregulated expression of ISGs, such as OAS-like (OASL), ISG15 and viperin, in liver biopsies from HCV-infected patients and infected hepatocytes and decreased viral RNA levels suggest the anti-HCV activities of these ISGs. In this section, we will highlight the involvement of ISGs that are critical for modulating innate immunity in HCV replication, and the potential functions of these ISGs, as outlined in Table 1.

### Table 1: Functions of ISGs in HCV replication

| ISG            | Function in HCV replication                                      | Reference |
|----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| RIG-I (also known as DDX58) | Inhibition of HCV replication by activating the RLR signaling pathway | 72,127    |
| DDX60          | Suppression of HCV replication in a RLR-dependent manner          | 28,129    |
| ADAR           | Inhibition of HCV replication via RNA editing of adenosine to inosine | 148       |
| IRF1           | Suppression of HCV replication via modulation of ISRE-mediated ISG expression | 132,134   |
| IRF7           | Elimination of HCV infection through enhancement of RIG-I/PAMP signaling components and increased expression of IFN-α subtype and other ISGs | 23,135,138,139 |
| PKR            | Inhibition of HCV replication at the translation step by PKR autophosphorylation and eIF2α phosphorylation | 144–146   |
| Resistance of HCV IRES activity to PKR activation | 147–149 |  |
| Inhibition of PKR activation by NS3A through the ISDR function | 104–106 |  |
| Suppression of PKR activation by E2 | 84 |  |
| Enhancement in viral protein translation by PKR activation | 151,152 |  |
| Upregulation of HCV IRES activity by PKR activation | 153 |  |
| HCV translation through an eIF2α-independent, bacterial-like initiation pathway | 154 |  |
| Attenuation of IFN effector function, but not viral IRES-mediated translation, by PKR phosphorylation | 155 |  |
| Suppression of ISG translation and enhancement of HCV growth through PKR- and eIF2α-dependent induction of SG formation | 156,164 |  |
| OAS/RNase L    | Cleavage of HCV mRNA by OAS/RNase L                              | 110       |
| Inhibition of HCV infection by culture with cells expressing or supernatant containing OAS-1 and MxA | 125       |
| Suppression of HCV replication by OASLα, a major form induced in human liver | 171       |
| Mediation of the RNase L-dependent anti-HCV activity by OAS1 p46 and OAS3 | 170       |
| ISG20          | Inhibition of HCV replication by ISG20 through its 3′–5′ exonuclease activity | 146,172   |
| IFITM          | Inhibition of HCV replication, not viral entry, by IFITM1         | 200       |
| Hindrance of HCV entry by disrupting the interaction of HCV coreceptors CD81 and occludin | 186       |
| IFIT           | Restriction of HCV replication by IFIT1 by targeting the IRES-mediated translation/replication site | 147,200   |
| ISG15/USP18    | Promotion of HCV replication by ISG15 ISGylation                 | 220       |
| Evasion of host innate immunity by ISG15 in HCV infection | 221       |
| Inhibitory effect of USP18 on IFN-mediated anti-HCV activity | 226       |
| Negative effect of USP18 on IFN-mediated signaling and ISG induction but not on anti-HCV activity | 227       |
| Upregulation of ISG15 and USP18 in IFN non-virological responders in chronic hepatitis C patients | 34,228,229 |
| Viperin        | Decrease in HCV RNA replication by viperin                       | 146,239   |
| Viperin-mediated anti-HCV activity occurring through the disruption of the interaction of NS5A with hVAP-33 by viperin | 240,241   |
| CH25H          | Inhibition of membrane fusion between cells and HCV by CH25H      | 242       |

**Abbreviations:** ADAR, RNA-specific adenosine deaminase; CH25H, cholesterol-25-hydroxylase; DDX, DExD/H box RNA helicase; eIF2α, eukaryotic initiation factor 2α; HCV, hepatitis C virus; IFITM, IFN-inducible transmembrane protein; IFN, interferon; IRF, IFN regulatory factor; ISDR, interferon sensitivity determining region; ISG, IFN-stimulated gene; ISRE, IFN-sensitive responsive element; mRNA, microRNA; MxA, myxovirus resistance gene A; NS, non-structural protein; PAMP, pathogen-associated molecular pattern; PKR, dsRNA-dependent protein kinase R; RIG-I, retinoic acid-inducible gene 1; RLR, RIG-I-like receptor; RNase L, 2–5A-dependent endoribonuclease latent form; USP18, UBL-specific protease 18; VAP, vesicle-associated membrane protein.
expression has been observed in IFN-treated human dendritic cells, suggesting that RIG-I serves as an ISG.126

RIG-I contains two tandem CARDs at its N-terminal region, with a repressor domain in its C-terminal region. The CARD is responsible for downstream signaling and activation of type I IFN after recognition of non-self RNA, whereas the repressor domain is essential for the autoregulation and recognition of viral RNAs.127 Without viral stimulation, the CARD interacts with the helicase domain, placing RIG-I in an auto-inhibitory state and disabling signal transduction.127

Upon binding to viral RNA, RIG-I undergoes conformational changes that expose the CARD, allowing RIG-I to be ubiquitinated.127 RIG-I is ubiquitinated by two different ligases, TRIM25 and Ring finger protein 125. TRIM25 ubiquitinates RIG-I at lysine 172 to mediate the antiviral response, whereas ubiquitination by Ring finger protein 125 regulates the degradation of RIG-I by the proteosome, thereby downregulating RIG-I-mediated signaling.123 Ubiquitination by TRIM25 induces RIG-I to form a tetramer, promoting the CARDs of RIG-I to engage with the CARDs of MAVS. This results in the accumulation of MAVS on the mitochondrial membrane and the activation of IKK and TBK1, which, in turn, activates the transcription of NF-kB, IRF3 and IRF7 to promote IFN production.123 Moreover, ubiquitination by TRIM25 also prevents CARDs from interacting with the helicase domain to reinstate the auto-inhibitory state.127 Ubiquitination at lysine 172 is crucial for RIG-I function because a mutation at this residue renders RIG-I unable to bind to MAVS, thus abrogating downstream signaling.128

DDX60

DDX60 is also a DEAD/H box helicase whose function remains unclear.129 DDX60 slightly resembles the yeast Ski protein, which is a cofactor of the RNA exosome required for controlling host RNA quality.129 DDX60, which is the human homolog of yeast Ski, and the RNA exosome exhibit antiviral activity against monkey leukemia virus and Sindbis virus (SINV).129 DDX60 expression is upregulated during infections of measles virus (MeV) and HCV.28,129 The DDX60 mRNA level is robustly upregulated in human fetal liver cells within 24–48 h after HCV infection, providing a means to initiate the antiviral mechanism.130

Unlike RIG-I, DDX60 does not contain the CARDs to interact with MAVS.129 After viral infection, DDX60 is induced and binds to RIG-I as well as MDA5 and laboratory of genetics and physiology-2 and promotes the binding of RIG-I to dsRNA.28,129 DDX60 is essential for type I IFN expression during DNA virus infection and is induced to suppress viral replication in a RLR-dependent manner (Table 1).129 DDX60 knockdown reduces the expression of type I IFN after HCV, HIV and YFV infections.28

IRF1

IRF1 was first identified as a transcriptional activator of the IFN-α/β gene. In unstimulated cells, IRF1 is expressed at a low level; however, its expression is increased by the induction of IFN-α/β, TNF-α, IL-1 and viral infection.131 Nevertheless, the precise pathway leading to IRF1 activation by virus infection remains elusive. IRF1 activation may proceed through a PKR-dependent pathway after virus infection. PKR indirectly phosphorylates IRF1 and activates its DNA-binding properties. Thus, activated IRF1 regulates the promoter function of IFNα/β promoter and acts as a modulator of many ISGs by binding to the ISRE in the promoter region, thereby regulating viral replication (Table 1).82,132

IRF1 controls the IFN antiviral response by affecting a set of ISGs, such as IRF7 and IRF3.133 IRF1 cooperates with IRF3 and IRF7 to regulate cellular antiviral genes, such as IFN-α/β. HCV infection increases the level of IRF1, which may affect other IRF pathways and ISG expression, thereby leading to a reduction in viral replication.132 IRF1 overexpression induces an antiviral state that affects various viruses, including NDV, VSV and HCV.133,134 The expression level of IRF1 is reduced in cells harboring HCV subgenomic replicons (SGRs), whereas IRF1 overexpression in these cells increases the ISRE activity and attenuates HCV replication.134 Additionally, HCV infection mediates IRF1 expression, thus affecting the intracellular level of HCV RNA.134 However, HCV may evade the IRF1 anti-HCV effect through core-mediated suppression of IRF1 synthesis.82

IRF7

IRF7 is an essential transcription factor for the induction of IFN-α/β and ISGs. All of the elements of IFN responses, either innate or adaptive immunity, are regulated by IRF7.135 IRF7 is constitutively expressed in certain cells, such as macrophage and plasmacytoid dendritic cells, priming these cells for rapid IFN production.23 During infection, IFN-α/β binds to its receptor and activates the JAK–STAT pathway, resulting in IRF7 expression.23 Then, IRF7 is phosphorylated, forms a heterodimer with IRF3 and is translocated into the nucleus.136 In the nucleus, the IRF7-IRF3 heterodimer binds to the IFN elements in the promoter region of IFN-α genes, leading to enhanced expression of the IFN-α subtype and a diverse range of ISGs (Table 1).23,135 In turn, these events increase the abundance of RIG-I and viral PAMP signaling components, whereas sustained signaling serves to amplify IFN production.23,135 Moreover, IRF7 induces expression of other ISGs without activating IFN signaling.137 Thus, IRF7-mediated transcriptional cascades serve as an intrinsic antiviral mechanism allowing rapid ISG expression before IFN production.

IRF7 plays an important role in eliminating HCV infection. siRNA knockdown of IRF7 decreases IFN-α production and increases the HCV titer.138,139 Mice lacking IRF7 show rapidly lethal infection by West Nile virus (WNV) and high virus burdens.140 IRF7 deficiency represses the induction and accumulation of IFN-α, thus favoring WNV replication.140 Although HCV seems to suppress the basal expression of IRF7, TLR7 stimulation activates IRF7 and suppresses HCV replication.138 This observation suggests that HCV may only partially inhibit IRF7 activity in HCV-expressing cells.138
PKR, which is also known as EIF2αK2, is a serine/threonine kinase that phosphorylates eIF2α in response to virus infection. This IFN-inducible kinase has two distinct activities: autophosphorylation, resulting in its activation, and phosphorylation and inactivation of eIF2α. Through phosphorylation events, PKR mediates the inhibition of translation initiation of both cellular and viral mRNA.141–143 It is well documented that the anti–HCV activity of PKR occurs through its translational control (Table 1).144–146 However, viruses have evolved elaborate strategies to counteract the detrimental effects of PKR. HCV IRES activity has been shown to be resistant to PKR activation in cells harboring HCV SGR and in the HCV infection model (Table 1).147–149

Mechanistically, viruses may use their proteins to impede the dsRNA-dependent pathway in various ways, such as sequestering dsRNA, inhibiting PKR activation, producing PKR-substrates, activating antagonist phosphatases and degrading PKR.142 As indicated above, HCV employs NS5A and E2 to antagonize PKR function, resulting in resistance to IFN and a blockade of the PKR-mediated inhibition of viral protein synthesis (Table 1).

Analogous to alphaviruses SINV and Semliki Forest virus,150 HCV can activate PKR and eIF2α phosphorylation to enhance its own viral protein translation (Table 1).151,152 Compared with other previously studied dsRNAs, domains III-IV of the HCV IRES were shown to bind to the N-terminal dsRNA-binding domain of PKR, leading to increased PKR autophosphorylation and activation.152 Additionally, cap-dependent but not HCV IRES-mediated translation is inhibited by PKR and eIF2α phosphorylation.152 These results indicate that while escaping the deleterious effects of PKR activation, HCV can employ its structured IRES to direct its own protein translation. Karamichali et al.153 demonstrated that activated PKR or silencing PKR upregulates or downregulates HCV IRES activity (Table 1). These authors further showed that the inhibitory effect of NS5A on IRES-dependent translation occurs through PKR inactivation. In contrast, HCV can translate its viral protein via a bacterial-like pathway that uses eIF5B, which is an analog of bacterial IF2, and eIF3, instead of eIF2α and its GTPase-activating protein eIF5, as the initiation factor (Table 1).154 The use of eIF2α-independent translation initiation provides an alternative tactic for HCV translation when eIF2α is inactivated by phosphorylation under stress conditions.

Many lines of evidence have revealed that HCV-mediated phosphorylation and activation of PKR, in turn, inhibit its downstream target, eIF2α, and attenuate the expression of host cellular proteins, including ISGs, without any inhibitory effects on viral IRES-mediated viral protein translation (Table 1).143,155,156 PKR knockdown in HCV-infected cells restores ISG expression and enhances the antiviral effect of IFN.155 These results demonstrate that HCV escapes IFN antiviral activity by promoting the phosphorylation of PKR and inhibiting the production of antiviral ISG proteins, thus providing an interesting pathway for the virus to evade the IFN antiviral response.

Furthermore, accumulating evidence has revealed that PKR and eIF2α participate in the formation of stress granules (SGs). SGs are large, dynamic structures between 50 to 200 nm in size, that form in the cytoplasm when cells undergo extracellular stresses, including viral infections.157 SG formation is important for the posttranscriptional regulation of gene expression.157 SGs contain stalled translation pre-initiation complexes, including cellular mRNAs, translational initiation factors, the small subunit of the ribosome and many cellular RNA binding proteins, such as T-cell-restricted intracellular antigen 1 (TIA-1), the homologous TIA-1-related protein TIAR and RasGAP-SH3 domain binding protein 1 (G3BP1), involved in regulating mRNA functions.158–161

Many viruses, including HCV, can modulate SG assembly and co-opt SGs to promote their own protein synthesis.162,163 Consistent with this notion, upregulation of the regulatory subunit of protein phosphatase 1 that dephosphorylates eIF2α and growth arrest DNA damage-inducible protein 34, inhibits SG formation.164 These results indicate the importance of eIF2α phosphorylation in HCV-induced SG formation.

Moreover, Garaigorta et al.156 demonstrated that HCV-induced SG formation is IFN- and PKR-dependent and is inversely correlated with the induction of ISG proteins, such as myxovirus resistance gene A (MxA) and Ub-like (UBL)-specific protease 18 (USP18), in HCV-infected cells without affecting the mRNA levels of these ISGs. Furthermore, the SG proteins TIA-1, TIAR and G3BP1 have been shown to play a critical role in HCV replication and infectious virus production.156 In support of this finding, G3BP1 was also reported to be essential for HCV RNA replication, presumably through its relocation to LDs or its interaction with NS5B.165,166 The results of Garaigorta et al.156 demonstrated that HCV hijacks PKR phosphorylation–triggered SG formation to downregulate the translation of antiviral ISGs, thereby promoting viral RNA replication, virus assembly and egression.

OAS and RNase L

Upon sensing and activation by the PAMP of viral dsRNA, certain IFN-stimulated 2–5AOS proteins can synthesize 2-5A from ATP. After binding to 2-5A short oligoadenylates, a ubiquitous, latent endonuclease, RNase L, is activated through dimerization and degrades either cellular or viral RNAs, resulting in the inhibition of protein synthesis, cellular apoptosis and impaired virus propagation.121,167,168 Therefore, the OAS/RNase L pathway represents a critical arm of IFN’s antiviral effector mechanism against many viruses, including HCV.121 Depending on the specific RNA substrates and the extent of enzymatic activity, RNase L can block different types of viruses through different mechanisms, such as apoptosis, or through the ‘suppressor of virus RNA’ derived from cellular or viral RNA.168 Nevertheless, some members of the OAS family can exert antiviral activity independent of RNase L.169
The OAS system has been reported to exert anti-HCV effects through the RNase L pathway. The UA and UU dinucleotides within loops of predicted stem-loop structures in the viral RNA are prone to cleavage by RNase L (Table 1). Additionally, the sensitivity of HCV infection to IFN therapy correlates with the efficiency of RNase L-mediated viral RNA cleavage. The anti-HCV activity of OAS1 p46 and OAS3 p100 in the OAS family occurs in an RNase L-dependent fashion (Table 1). HCV replication is suppressed in HCVcc-infected Huh7 cells co-cultured with hepatic stellate cells (LX-2) treated with 5′-ppp-dsRNA or incubated with conditioned medium from LX2 cells stimulated with 5′-ppp-dsRNA. In these HCVcc-infected cells, the expression of OAS-1 and MxA is upregulated. The two different domains in OAS-like a (OASLa), a major isoform in human liver that is induced by HCV, contribute to the antiviral activity. The N-terminal OAS homology domain, which lacks the cleavage activity, impairs cell proliferation as well as viral replication, whereas the C-terminal U-like domain impedes HCV replication without affecting cell growth (Table 1).

### ISG20

The IFN-stimulated gene 20 kDa protein (ISG20) has emerged as a second IFN-regulated RNase that inhibits RNA virus replication. ISG20, along with the closely related ISG20L1 and ISG20L2, belongs to the yeast RNA exonuclease 4 homolog subfamily within the DEDDh exonuclease family and members of this superfamily possess both RNase and DNase activities. ISG20 overexpression restricts infection by encephalomyocarditis virus, VSV, influenza virus (IFV), human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), YFV, picornavirus and HCV. ISG20 has been reported to impair HCV genotype 1b SGR replication in HEK293 cells (Table 1). In addition, ISG20 can hinder genotype 2a viral RNA replication either in SGR or HCVcc infection, and its anti-HCV effect is not shared with ISG20L1 and ISG20L2 (Table 1). Apart from degrading viral RNA through its 3′–5′ exonuclease activity, the anti-HCV mechanism of ISG20 in HCV replication remains poorly understood despite its possible action on cellular factors.

### ADAR

RNA-specific adenosine deaminase (ADAR) is constitutively expressed in normal cells as an inactive form. However, viral infection triggers the two mammalian ADAR genes, ADAR1 and ADAR2, to express two active proteins, ADAR1 and ADAR2. ADAR catalyzes adenosine to inosine editing in RNAs that possess double-stranded structures. Because I is recognized as guanosine by RNA polymerase, A to I editing causes nucleotide substitution as well as dsRNA destabilization because of the reduced stability of Eu mismatch base pair compared with the normal base pair. The RNA editing ability of ADAR affects many biological processes, including viral replication and persistence, apoptosis, ion channel function and the postranscriptional modification of genes. Only the ADAR1 transcription level is induced by IFN treatment and by pathogen infections. In addition, ADAR1, but not ADAR2, affects the stability of HCV replicon RNA (Table 1).

In HCV SGR replication, IFN-γ treatment decreases viral RNA replication and concomitantly increases ADAR1 expression, suggesting that ADAR1 possesses an antiviral activity in the HCV RNA replicon. ADAR1 knockdown conversely increases the HCV replicon RNA. Loss of HCV RNA by ADAR1 may be due to several reasons. First, an I base-specific RNA might target mutated viral RNA. Second, the mutated RNA might lead to insufficient replication and genome instability. Third, the cellular mRNA involved in viral replication may also be targeted by ADAR1. Thus, the RNA editing ability of ADAR1 negatively affects HCV RNA replication, representing a potent strategy in anti-HCV therapy.

In sharp contrast, the replication of hepatitis delta virus (HDV) benefits from ADAR1 editing. The editing of HDV RNA by ADAR1 converts the UAG stop codon to a UUG tryptophan codon, allowing the synthesis of a larger HDV antigen. Without viral RNA editing, the HDV genome cannot be packaged into a virion. Nonetheless, ADAR overexpression increases RNA editing but decreases HDV replication.

### IFN-inducible transmembrane protein (IFITM) family

IFITM family members, including IFITM1, IFITM2 and IFITM3, inhibit, in an IFITM-specific manner, the replication of diverse pathogenic membrane-enveloped viruses, including Marburg virus and Ebola (EBOV) filoviruses; severe acute respiratory coronavirus; HIV; Rift Valley fever virus (RVFV); respiratory syncytial virus; reovirus; flaviviruses, including DenV and WNV; and HCV. In contrast, IFITMs show no inhibitory effects on entry of amphotropic mouse leukemia virus, Machupo virus, Lassa virus and lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus.

IFITMs are topologically located at different intracellular membrane compartments. IFITM2 and IFITM3, which are type II transmembrane proteins, are primarily localized to endosomes and lysosomes, whereas IFITM1 also localizes to the cell periphery. IFIT3 interacts with TBK1, IRF3 and other IFITM members and enhances IFN signaling.

Lipid raft membranes, which are enriched in cholesterol and sphingolipids, play vital roles in cellular pathways and in virus entry, assembly and budding. Vesicle-associated membrane protein-associated protein A (VAPA) and oxysterol homeostasis, particularly in the endosomal compartment, is critical for the entry of viruses such as EBOV and Marburg viruses.

IFITMs have been demonstrated to interfere with virus infection by blocking virus-endosome fusion (Table 1), presumably through the modification of cellular membrane properties, such as fluidity and spontaneous curvature. Amini-Bavil-Olyaee et al. demonstrated that the interaction...
of IFITM3 with VAPA antagonizes the association of VAPA with OSBP, thereby inducing the accumulation of cholesterol in multivesicular bodies and in late endosomes. The disruption of intracellular cholesterol homeostasis subsequently impairs the membrane fusion of intraluminal viron-containing vesicles and endosomes, resulting in a block of VSV release into the cytosol.188

Using immortalized human hepatocytes and Huh7 infection models, Raychoudhuri demonstrated that IFITM1 expression inhibits HCV replication but not at virus entry.200 Later, Wilkins et al.186 identified that IFITM1 is a hepatocyte tight junction protein whose antiviral action occurs through modification of the interactions of the HCV coreceptors CD81 and occludin, thereby inhibiting HCV entry (Table 1).186 This study represents an interesting mode of antiviral innate immunity; an ISG can exert its anti-HCV action by disrupting viral coreceptor associations.

IFIT family

The IFN-induced protein with tetratricopeptide repeats (IFITs) family represents a class of ISGs featured by their unique helix–turn–helix motifs, known as tetratricopeptide repeats. IFITs mediate a broad range of protein–protein interactions; in particular, the tetratricopeptide repeat motif is critical for modulating protein translational initiation and transport, cell proliferation and migration, virus replication, and antiviral signaling.201–204 Proteins in the IFIT family have been linked to IFN antiviral functions, including those against WNV and lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus.205 IFIT3 plays an important role in modulating innate immunity by bridging TBK1 to MAVS on mitochondria as IFIT3 expression facilitates the association of its tetratricopeptide repeat motif with the N terminus of TBK1, thereby enhancing IRF3-mediated gene expression.192

IFIT1, which is also known as ISG56, belongs to a family that also contains other stress-induced, structurally related proteins, P60, P58 and P54, in humans. IFIT1 acts as a negative-feedback regulator for Sendai virus-triggered induction of type 1 IFN antiviral signaling transduction, presumably through its interaction with the adapter protein STING and through disruption of the normal association between STING/MTA and MAVS or TBK1.203 Moreover, IFIT1/2 preferentially targets mutants of poxvirus, coronavirus, and WNV that lack 2′-O methylation in their viral RNA cap, thereby rendering these mutant viruses unable to replicate.204 This study addresses the mechanism by which 2′-O methylation of the 5′ cap of viral RNA renders viruses insensitive to IFIT-mediated host innate antiviral activity.

Wang et al.147 demonstrated that IFIT1 mediates its IFN antiviral activity and blocks HCV RNA replication, presumably by targeting an eIF3-dependent step in viral IRES-mediated translation (Table 1).147 In immortalized human hepatocyte and Huh7 infection models, Raychoudhuri documented that IFIT1 expression inhibits HCV replication by suppressing HCV IRES-mediated transcription.200 Conversely, IFIT1 knockdown facilitates HCV replication.200 These results suggest that IFIT1 restricts HCV infection primarily at the viral translation/replication site.

ISG15 and USP18

Protein posttranslational modifications by Ub and UBL modifiers not only play important roles in numerous cellular processes, such as protein localization, interaction, activity and degradation, signal transduction, vesicular trafficking and DNA damage repair,206–208 but also modulate pathogen–host interactions, such as the viral replication cycle and the host antiviral response.208–210 ISG15, which was the first UBL protein modifier identified,211 is post-translationally attached via its C terminus to the lysine residues of ISGs and to hundreds of target proteins involved in different pathways.212,213 Similar to its Ub homolog, ISG15 is linked to proteins via a tightly regulated process known as ‘ISGylation’, and the activating E1 (Ube1L), conjugating E2 (UbcH8), and ligating E3 (CEB1) enzymes catalyze these sequential events.211,214 ISG15, together with its conjugation E3 ligase (CEB1) and its deconjugation enzyme USP18, are in the same ISG15/USP18 UBL pathway.

ISGylation modulates signal transduction pathways and host antiviral responses. ISG15 exerts its modulatory roles by inhibiting virus release, ISOylating viral proteins, or modifying host proteins.214 ISG15 targets many cellular proteins, including JAK1, STAT1 and many ISGs. Three antiviral effector molecules, IRF3, RIG-I and PKR, are also modified by ISGylation.214 Activated IRF3 is stabilized by ISGylation and therefore, positively regulates type I IFN signaling.215,216 The ISG15 conjugation-mediated reduction of the non-ISGylated RIG-I correlates with the reduced NDV-triggered IFN response.123 Additionally, viral RNA-independent PKR activation requires the ISGylation of PKR.217

ISG15 expression enhances IFN-mediated antiviral activity against many viruses, including HIV and SINV.214 Overexpressing ISG15 in IFN-α/β receptor knockout mice decreases SINV replication and protects the mice from SINV-induced lethality.218 ISG15−/− mice are more susceptible to infection by many RNA and DNA viruses, such as INFV and herpes simplex virus (HSV) type 1, and the protection effect of ISG15 from SINV infection is dependent on ISGylation.219 Lu et al.215 demonstrated that induction of ISG15 expression in NDV-infected cells counteracts the Ub-mediated degradation of IRF3 and enhances the NDV-mediated host innate antiviral response. Their findings revealed a feedback mechanism of ISG15 in enhanced antiviral immunity. Despite functioning as an antiviral molecule, ISGylation of the antiviral RIG-I enzyme inhibits IFN signaling in mouse embryonic fibroblast cells.123

Using the genotype 2a J6/Japanese fulminant hepatitis-1 chimeric HCV infectious model, Chen et al.220 unexpectedly found that ISG15 acts as a pro-HCV regulator because increased ISG15/ISGylation facilitates HCV production, whereas blocking ISGylation decreases virus production (Table 1). Moreover, knockdown of Ube1L, the E1 activating enzyme, inhibits HCV replication, particularly HCV egress, without affecting IFN-mediated ISG expression in HCV-
infected cells. Using the HCV-Huh7.25.CD81 infection system, Arnaud et al. dissected the acute IFN response to HCV infection into early, PKR, and late, RIG-I, phases. HCV infection rapidly induces the expression of many IR3-dependent genes, including ISG15, through a PKR-dependent mechanism before the RIG-I phase, which recruits MAVS. Then, ISG15 induction blocks HCV RNA-mediated RIG-I activation by inhibiting RIG-I ubiquitination, thereby negatively controlling the RIG-I/MAVS pathway. These studies illustrate that HCV may exploit ISG15 to antagonize host innate immunity and to promote viral replication.

The deconjugation of USP15 from its target proteins is catalyzed by USP18 (mouse ortholog UBP43). USP18 can function in both ISG15-dependent and ISG15-independent modes. USP18 was shown to bind to IFNAR2 and attenuate the JAK-STAT pathway, thereby negatively regulating IFN signaling (Table 1). Reduced USP18 expression results in increased antiviral activity against many viruses, such as SINV, hepatitis B virus and VSV, in USP18 knockout mice. USP18 knockdown is concomitant with increased cellular protein ISGylation, prolonged STAT1 tyrosine phosphorylation and enhanced ISG expression, thus greatly enhancing the ant-HCV potency of IFN. All these studies suggest that USP18 disruption can impede its negative regulatory effect on IFN signaling, resulting in sustained JAK–STAT activity and antiviral activity. Consistent with these observations, Murray et al. demonstrated that IFN-α signaling and ISG induction were greatly increased when UPS18 was knocked down in both HCV SGR- and HCVcc-infected Huh7 cells. However, UPS18 knockdown did not have a significant effect on anti-HCV activity. These observations suggest a slight dependency of IFN-mediated antiviral activity on USP18 activity. Additionally, USP18 upregulation is predictive of a non-sustainable viral response to IFN treatment. The expression levels of UPS18 and ISG15 increase in liver biopsy specimens from chronically HCV-infected patients who do not respond to IFN-based therapy, inferring that HCV hijacks the ISG15/USP15 pathway to evade the antiviral immune response and to facilitate its replication. This observation also explains, at least partially, the failure of IFN-based treatments in non-responders, although non-responders express higher levels of ISGs, particularly ISG15, compared with IFN responders. Taken together, these findings demonstrate that USP18 is an attractive target for the development of anti-HCV therapeutics.

Viperin

Viperin, which stands for virus inhibitory protein, endoplasmic reticulum-associated, IFN-inducible, plays crucial roles in virus replication, signaling and the immune response. The viperin protein sequence is highly conserved, and all viperin homologs contain three functional domains: the amphipathic N-terminal domain, which mediates ER and LD association; the central CxxxxCxC motif, which is functionally important for Fe–S cluster formation; and the highly conserved C-terminal domain, which is essential for antiviral activity. In addition to type I, type II and type III IFNs, dsDNA and dsRNA analogs, bacteria, lipopolysaccharide, poly(I:C) and a broad spectrum of DNA and RNA viruses can induce viperin expression. Viperin expression regulates many cellular functions, such as forming LDs and reducing membrane fluidity.

Viperin possesses antiviral activity against diverse families of DNA and RNA viruses, including INFV, HIV, SINV, the flaviviruses Japanese encephalitis virus, DengV and WNV, and the hepatitis virus (Table 1). Viperin functions in different ways to defend against virus infections. For instance, viperin alters membrane fluidity by interacting with farnesyl diphasate synthase, which is an enzyme essential for isoprenoid biosynthesis, thus disrupting the formation of lipid rafts, the sites of INFV budding, leading to interference with virus release from the cell surface. The induction of viperin into HIV-1-infected cells disrupts lipid rafts, causing viperin redistribution to CD81 compartments, where HIV-1 buds in human macrophages.

Additionally, USP18 upregulation is predictive of a non-sustainable viral response to IFN treatment. The expression levels of UPS18 and ISG15 increase in liver biopsy specimens from chronically HCV-infected patients who do not respond to IFN-based therapy, inferring that HCV hijacks the ISG15/USP15 pathway to evade the antiviral immune response and to facilitate its replication. This observation also explains, at least partially, the failure of IFN-based treatments in non-responders, although non-responders express higher levels of ISGs, particularly ISG15, compared with IFN responders. Taken together, these findings demonstrate that USP18 is an attractive target for the development of anti-HCV therapeutics.

CH25H

By conducting bioinformatic analyses of murine bone marrow-derived macrophages, Liu et al. showed that cholesterol-25-
hydroxylase (CH25H), which is an IFN-α- and IFN-γ-stimulated ISG, can mitigate the replication of many membrane-enclosed viruses, including HIV, VSV, HSV and murine γ-herpesvirus, and many pathogenic viruses, such as RVFV, EBOV, Russian spring-summer encephalitis virus and Nipah virus in vitro and in vivo. These viruses contain different structural characteristics in their fusion proteins. For instance, HIV and EBOV contain class I fusion peptides, RVFV and Russian spring-summer encephalitis virus harbor class II peptides, and VSV and HSV belong to class III fusion proteins. The broadly antiviral action of the CH25H gene product is mediated by the ability of its enzymatic product, 25-hydroxycholesterol, to inhibit pH-dependent and pH-independent membrane fusion between cells and viruses, as typified by VSV and HIV, respectively (Table 1). This study not only demonstrates that IFN can confer an antiviral state to host and/or target cells by inducing a natural oxysterol inhibitor but also suggesting that modification of membrane oxysterols can be used as a potential antiviral approach. Determining whether this broad antiviral ISG can block HCV-mediated membrane fusion would be interesting.

**POTENTIAL FUNCTIONS OF EMERGING ISGS IDENTIFIED FROM GENOME-WIDE SIRNA SCREENS IN HCV INFECTION**

Several genome-wide siRNA screens were recently performed to identify ISGs or IFN-mediated effector genes (IEGs) that mediate IFN antiviral functions. These studies have identified many new ISGs or IEGs and have revealed interesting features of the actions of ISGs.

Using an overexpression screen approach, Schoggins et al. demonstrated that each virus exhibits a unique but partially overlapping profile of antiviral ISG expression. The expression levels of ISGs may vary depending on viral infection or on the time, dose, or cell type used for IFN treatment. In HCV infection, higher expression levels of 36 unique ISGs were found to correlate with a reduction in the HCV viral load. Schoggins et al. further observed that multiple ISG genes could target each viral species with a range of inhibitory activities. A set of effectors, including IRF1, C6orf150 (also known as MB21D1), heparanase, RIG-I, MDA5 and IFITM3, exert broad antiviral activities against different viruses, including HCV, YFV, WNV, chikungunya virus, Venezuelan equine encephalitis virus and HIV-1. However, other effectors, such as DDX60, IFN-inducible proteins 44L and 6, IFITM2, MAPK kinase 14, Moloney leukemia virus 10, nicotinamide-phosphoribosyltransferase, OAS, receptor transporter protein 4, three prime repair exonuclease 1 and protein unc-84 homolog B, display species-specific antiviral effector functions. These results also demonstrated that different ISGs can exert additive antiviral effects on virus replication.

Remarkably, several ISGs, such as ADAR, family with sequence similarity 46, member C, lymphocyte antigen 6E and mucolipin-2, can enhance the replication of certain viruses. Certainly, further characterizing how these ISGs antagonize IFN-mediated antiviral functions and determining which steps of virus replication are targeted by these ISGs are important. These findings indicate the complexity of the type I IFN-mediated innate immune response in virus replication.

Performing a siRNA-based ‘gain of function’ screen, Metz et al. identified several new anti-HCV ISGs in addition to those previously reported anti-HCV ISGs. This study demonstrated that both IFN-α and IFN-γ can upregulate the expression of several ISGs, including IFIT3, TRIM14, phospholipid scramblase 1 and inducible nitric oxide synthase 2. These ISGs possess anti-HCV activity, although the precise roles of these ISGs in HCV replication are not understood. This study also reported a substantial overlap in antiviral innate immune responses triggered by either cytokine. However, some ISGs are more specifically induced by IFN-α or by IFN-γ. For instance, phospholipid scramblase 1 and nitric oxide synthase 2 primarily function as IFN-γ-mediated anti-HCV effectors. Moreover, different ISGs function additively or synergistically to interfere with HCV infection, indicating that the combinatorial and concerted actions of multiple effectors mediate repression of HCV replication.

In addition to the signaling molecules involved in the IFN/JAK–STAT/ISG pathway, the majority of genes identified by Fusco et al. are not transcriptionally activated by IFN-α. In contrast to the notion that ISGs target specific virus replication steps, some of these genes can exert IFN-mediated antiviral effects at multiple steps of the HCV replication cycle. For instance, dipeptidyl-peptidase 4/CD26/adenosine deaminase complexing protein 2 blocks virus entry, initial RNA replication, and amplified translation. MYST1, histone acetyltransferase inhibits HCV entry, translation, RNA replication and virion release, and protein phosphatase 3, catalytic subunit, β isoform (PPP3CB) impairs virus entry, initial RNA replication and subsequent translation. Taken together, these findings reveal that these IFN-insensitive IEGs, together with ISGs, constitute the host cellular genes mediating the antiviral activity of IFN against viral replication.

A functional genomic screen has shown that several new genes comprising the U4/U6.U5 tri-small nuclear ribonucleoprotein (snRNP) possess the ability to mediate IFN antiviral activity. U4/U6.U5 tri-snRNP is the major component of human spliceosome complexes involved in mRNA processing. This genomic screen demonstrated that squamous cell carcinoma antigen recognized by T cells (SART1) is a U4/U6.U5 tri-snRNP-specific factor required for IFN-α-mediated anti-HCV activity, although SART1 is not induced by IFN-α. The anti-HCV activity of SART1 acts by regulating the expression of ISGs, such as MxA, OAS and PKR, either in the presence or absence of exogenous IFN-α. This genetic screen links an unappreciated role of RNA processing to the control of antiviral immunity.

**ROLES OF CELLULAR FACTORS INVOLVED IN HOST IMMUNITY IN HCV REPLICATION**

In this section, we discuss recent findings regarding the roles of several cellular factors and/or machinery involved in the immune response in modulating HCV replication. Although
these determinants are not directly induced or activated by IFN, knowledge of their interplay with the host immune response will help to elucidate their effects on HCV infection. The functions of these cellular determinants in HCV infection are summarized in Table 2.

**IKKα**

HCV can co-opt an intrinsic innate pathway and hijack cellular lipid metabolism to facilitate its assembly. IKKα was initially identified as a critical factor for HCV replication in a genomewide RNA interference screen. Subsequently, HCV infection was shown to activate IKKα through the interaction of the viral genome 3'-UTR with DEAD box polypeptide 3, X-linked (DDX3X). IKKα translocates into the nucleus and induces the CBP/p300-mediated expression of lipogenic genes, including sterol regulatory element-binding proteins, followed by the promotion of core-mediated LD formation and the enhancement of HCV assembly (Table 2).251

**MSR1**

Dansako demonstrated that upon HCV expression, class A scavenger receptor type 1 (MSR1) expressed on the plasma membrane of infected and adjacent uninfected cells can bind to dsRNA released from infected cells and mediate its endocytosis and transport, and release of dsRNA at the acidic endosome requires a stretch of conserved basic residues within the C terminus of the collagen superfamily domain of MSR1. Therefore, MSR1 acts as a key element for the TLR3-mediated PRR, thereby rendering both infected and uninfected hepatocytes refractory to HCV replication (Table 2).

**HMGB1**

HMGB1, which is an abundant nuclear protein that mediates activation of host immune responses and inflammation, represents a prototype damage-associated molecular pattern that participates in the pathogenesis of diverse pathogens. HMGB1 is passively released by cell injury or ischemia without pathogen invasion, but is actively secreted from stimulated immune cells, such as natural killer cells, macrophages and mature dendritic cells. Many types of TLRs, such as TLR2, TLR4 and TLR9, can act as receptors for HMGB1.

The production of reactive oxygen species can mediate translocation from the nucleus to the cytoplasm and the subsequent release of HMGB1. Interestingly, it has been shown that HCV core and NS5A can trigger oxidative stress in infected cells. Jung et al. demonstrated that HCV infection causes the nuclear-to-cyttoplasmic translocation of HMGB1 and its release into the extracellular milieu. TLR4 acts as a major component of the receptor complex that recognizes lipopolysaccharide LPS and plays a role in the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines and antiviral IFNs via signaling MyD88 and the TLR adapter protein TRIF. Jung et al. also demonstrated that HMGB1 interacts with TLR4 to activate IFN signaling (Table 2). Because HMGB1 is present at higher levels in the sera of patients with chronic hepatitis and cirrhosis compared with those detected in control individuals, the results of Jung et al. may help to elucidate the potential inhibitory action of HMGB1 in HCV propagation in chronically HCV-infected patients.

**Autophagy**

Autophagy is a conserved ‘self-eating’ process that engulfs and delivers cytoplasmic cargos and invading pathogens within double- or multiple-membrane autophagosomes structures to lysosomes for degradation. The purpose of autophagic induction is to maintain cellular homeostasis in the host when the host undergoes extracellular or intracellular stresses. Autophagy plays pivotal roles in the stress response, nutrient deprivation, damaged organelles, unfolded protein aggregation, intracellular quality control and cell death. The autophagic process requires two UBL conjugation complexes:

---

**Table 2 Functions of cellular determinants involved in immune responses during HCV replication**

| Immune regulator | Function in HCV replication | Reference |
|------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|
| IKKα             | Promotion of HCV assembly by IKKα through the interaction of the viral 3'-UTR with DDX3X, which promotes the expression of lipogenic gene and core-mediated LD formation | 251       |
| MRS1             | Restriction of HCV replication by MRS1 through its mediation of the recognition of viral dsRNA produced in neighboring cells by TLR3 | 252       |
| HMGB1            | Inhibition of HCV infection by activating IFN signaling mediated by TLR4 through an interaction with HMGB1 | 259       |
| Autophagy        | Promotion of HCV RNA replication through the suppression of innate antiviral immunity by autophagy | 10,277    |
|                  | Protection of cells from HCV-infected apoptotic death by autophagy by downregulating innate immunity and ISG production | 280       |
|                  | Enhanced HCV replication and elimination of damaged mitochondria by PINK- and Parkin-dependent mitophagy | 281       |
| IRGM             | Promotion of HCV viral growth through the interaction of IRGM with autophagy-associated proteins | 282       |
| miR-21           | Inhibition of MyD88 and IRAK1 expression by miR-21, leading to the suppression of TLR signaling and an increase in HCV viral replication | 287       |

Abbreviations: DDX, DExD/H box RNA helicase; dsRNA, double-stranded RNA; HCV, hepatitis C virus; HMGB1, high-mobility group box 1; IFN, interferon; IKK, IkB kinase-related kinase; IRAK, IL-1 receptor-associated kinase; IRGM, immunity-related GTPase family M; ISG, IFN-stimulated gene; LD, lipid droplet; miR, microRNA; MSR, class A scavenger receptor type; MyD88, myeloid differentiation proinflammatory response 88; TLR, Toll-like receptor; UTR, untranslated region.
autophagy-related gene (ATG) 12-ATG5-ATG16L and microtubule-associated protein 1 light chain 3-phosphatidyethanolamine. 262, 266

Autophagy has emerged as an immune regulator that commands the innate and adaptive immune responses against intracellular viruses. 267–271 Autophagy also participates in the modulation of virus–host interactions. In contrast, viruses can subvert the host autophagic pathway to potentiate their own growth. 272, 273 Analogously, HCV is able to subvert the host autophagic machinery and enhance viral growth, including RNA replication, 274 translation of the incoming viral RNA genome 275 and the release of infectious viruses (Table 2). 276

Two laboratories have independently demonstrated that HCV can activate autophagy via ER stress-mediated induction of the UPR and that UPR-autophagy is required for HCV replication. 277, 278 HCV NS5B, NS5A and NS5B have also been implicated in the induction of autophagy. 12, 279 Huang et al. 278 showed that HCV induces ER stress and inhibits the AKT–tuberous sclerosis–mTOR complex 1 signaling pathway, resulting in autophagy activation. In contrast, Shrivastava et al. 279 demonstrated that HCV induces autophagy by stimulating Beclin mRNA expression and by activating mTOR signaling, which may enhance hepatocyte growth.

Ke and Chen 277 demonstrated that in the context of HCV infection or without HCV infection, activation of the UPR and autophagy downregulates innate immunity; in contrast, disruption of the UPR and autophagy upregulates innate immunity. These results demonstrate that HCV hijacks UPR and autophagy to stimulate viral RNA replication by suppressing innate antiviral immunity. The UPR–autophagy pathway represents a unique mode of reversible control in the innate immunity capacity in target cells. 10, 27 Further determining how the virus-cell interplay subsequently reshapes the host defense mechanisms thereby promoting HCV RNA replication.

Furthermore, a specific mode of autophagy, termed ‘mitophagy’, was recently reported to play a critical role in HCV replication and in the elimination of damaged mitochondria in infected cells in a Parkin-dependent manner. 281 Knockdown of Parkin and Pink gene expression suppresses viral RNA replication (Table 2). 281 These results suggest a critical role for mitophagy in HCV replication. Nevertheless, the molecular basis for the roles of autophagy and mitophagy in suppressing innate antiviral immunity in HCV infection has yet to be investigated.

IRGM

A recent study has demonstrated that many different families of RNA viruses can target the autophagy network to promote viral growth. 282 Among these targets is IRGM, which modulates autophagy by interacting with many autophagy-associated proteins, such as ATG5, ATG10 and light chain 3C. Strikingly, IRGM knockdown impairs autophagy induced by many viruses, such as HCV, MeV and HIV-1, resulting in mitigated viral replication (Table 2). 283 Moreover, the C protein of MeV, NS3 of HCV, and Nef of HIV-1 were shown to induce autophagy by interacting with IRGM. These results suggest that RNA viruses have evolved to use a common strategy to target a critical molecule in autophagy to benefit their growth.

miR-21

MicroRNA is a class of endogenous small non-coding RNAs that bind to the 3’-UTR of target mRNAs to control gene expression. 284 microRNAs also participate in innate and adaptive immunity response by binding to their complementarily mRNAs and regulating the expression and translation of their target genes. 285 For example, miR-155 regulates the host antiviral immune response by promoting type I IFN, whereas miR-16 enhances mRNA degradation. 285

miR-21 was shown to be upregulated in liver samples from hepatocellular carcinoma patients and in HCV-infected cells. 286 During HCV infection, miR-21 expression is activated by the PKCe/JNK/cJun and PKCe/ERK/cFos pathways. 287 cJun and cFos form the AP-1 protein, which binds to the miR-21 promoter and activates miR-21 expression. 288 miR-21 upregulation was shown to suppress the expression of MyD88 and IRAK1, which are two genes involved in the TLR signaling cascade, thereby repressing the production of type I IFN and ISG and promoting HCV replication (Table 2). 287 These results indicate that HCV usurps miR-21 to enhance its replication. Likewise, miR-21 also increases the production of HIV, VSV and enterovirus 71 by suppressing type I IFN production. 287

CONCLUSIONS

The mechanisms by which viruses and cells coevolve and the tactics each party employs to establish the dynamic equilibrium are emerging as a fascinating area in HCV–host interaction research. Previous studies that aimed to understand the HCV cell coevolution process have revealed several interesting aspects of virus-host cell interactions, such as ER stress, UPR, autophagy and innate antiviral immunity responses in HCV replication. 11, 12, 27 Further determining how the virus-cell interplay subsequently reshapes the host defense mechanisms and how virus replication is modulated in response to these cellular stresses will be important for gaining a complete understanding of the molecular basis of the HCV–host interaction in the pathogenesis of HCV infection.

Viral infection can trigger the IFN-mediated frontline host defense mechanism, including the production of a wide range of ISGs to limit virus replication. Many studies have also hitherto demonstrated that some of the identified ISGs can exert broad antiviral activities against a diverse spectrum of viruses, whereas other ISGs may have virus type-specific functions. The majority of studied ISGs mediate IFN antiviral activities, acting as negative regulators in virus replication. Strikingly, some ISGs function as negative modifiers in the innate immune response, thereby promoting virus replication. Nevertheless, the modes of action of most of the ISGs remain unclear. Although most identified ISGs target individual steps of virus replication,
some ISGs seem to act at multiple stages of the virus replication cycle. Determining the mechanisms by which these ISGs function at different steps of the virus replication cycle would be interesting.

Current studies have indicated that different types of IFNs may substantially overlap in mediating their innate immune response by activating the same set of ISGs. However, the induction of some ISGs may be unique to only one type of IFN, indicating the specificity in the induction of these ISGs by IFNs. Clearly, different ISGs can additively or synergistically suppress HCV replication, suggesting that inhibiting HCV replication depends on the combinatorial effects of individual ISGs induced by IFN under the specific context of HCV infection. Therefore, IFN-mediated suppression of HCV replication is not caused by a single ISG but rather by the concerted actions of multiple ISGs.

Moreover, gene expression profiling of hepatocytes from chronically HCV-infected patients treated with IFN has consistently shown differences between IFN-responders and IFN-non-responders. For instance, the levels of specific ISGs, such as ISG15 and USP18, and viral sensors, such as RIG-I, MDA5 and lab of genetics and physiology-2, are upregulated in patients with sustained virological responses compared with patients with sustained virological responses.

Therefore, profiling gene expression for cytoplasmic viral sensors and related regulators involved in the innate antiviral immune response can identify new ISGs that can be used as markers for predicting the clinical outcome of IFN therapy.

In conclusion, the emergence of complexity in the highly pleiotropic type I IFN system in HCV infection reveals that the host has evolved to erect multiple checkpoints for anti-HCV innate immune surveillance to ensure that HCV is under tight control at all times, even when a single effector fails to confer antiviral activity, without drastically downgrading the overall efficacy of the IFN treatment. Therefore, further deciphering which ISGs and/or IEGs are induced by IFNs upon HCV infection and the specificity and action of these ISGs and other cellular immune regulators on HCV replication will not only provide insights into how IFN functions to obstruct HCV replication but will also reveal novel cellular targets against which effective and efficacious anti-HCV therapeutics can be developed.
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