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Abstract
Following the characterization of a human betaretrovirus in patients with primary biliary cirrhosis (PBC), pilot studies using antiretroviral therapy have been conducted as proof of principal to establish a link of virus with disease and with the eventual aim to find better adjunct therapies for patients unresponsive to ursodeoxycholic acid. In the first open label pilot study, the reverse transcriptase inhibitor lamivudine had little demonstrable biochemical or histological effect after 1 year. Whereas, lamivudine in combination with zidovudine was associated with a significant reduction in alkaline phosphatase as well as improvement in necroinflammatory score, cholangitis and ductopenia over a 12 mo period. A double blind, multi-center randomized controlled trial using lamivudine with zidovudine for 6 mo confirmed a significant reduction in alkaline phosphatase, ALT and AST in patients on antiviral therapy. However, none of the patients achieved the stringent endpoint criteria for normalization of alkaline phosphatase. Furthermore, some patients developed biochemical rebound consistent with drug resistance. A major fault of these studies has been the inability to measure the viral load in peripheral blood and therefore, provide a direct correlation between improvement of hepatic biochemistry and reduction in viral load. Nevertheless, viral mutants to lamivudine with zidovudine for 6 mo confirmed a significant reduction in alkaline phosphatase, ALT and AST in patients on antiviral therapy. However, none of the patients achieved the stringent endpoint criteria for normalization of alkaline phosphatase. Furthermore, some patients developed biochemical rebound consistent with drug resistance. A major fault of these studies has been the inability to measure the viral load in peripheral blood and therefore, provide a direct correlation between improvement of hepatic biochemistry and reduction in viral load. Nevertheless, viral mutants to lamivudine with zidovudine were later characterized in the NOD.c3c4 mouse model of PBC that has been used to test other antiretroviral regimens to betaretrovirus. The combination of tenofovir and emtricitabine reverse transcriptase inhibitors and the HIV protease inhibitor, lopinavir were found to abrogate cholangitis in the NOD.c3c4 mouse model and the same regimen normalized the liver tests in a PBC patient with HIV and human betaretrovirus infection. This combination antiretroviral therapy has now been used in a double blind randomized controlled crossover study for patients with PBC followed by an open label extension study. Only a third of the PBC patients were able to tolerate...
the lopinavir but those maintained on tenofovir, emtricitabine and lopinavir experienced sustained and clinically meaningful reduction in hepatic biochemistry. While we await the histological and virological evaluation, it is clear that better tolerated regimens of antiretroviral treatment will be required in future clinical trials.
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Core tip: Early experience with antiretroviral therapy in primary biliary cirrhosis (PBC) patients strongly suggests that reverse transcriptase inhibitors alone lack efficacy to provide sustained and clinically meaningful biochemical responses. In contrast, combination antiretroviral therapy with human immunodeficiency virus protease inhibitors have been linked with robust and long-lived biochemical responses in PBC patients capable of tolerating the therapy. The use of digital droplet polymerase chain reaction has markedly improved the sensitivity of viral detection in peripheral blood and should enable studies to link reduction in viral load with improvements in hepatic biochemistry and histology.
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**INTRODUCTION**

In 2003, our group characterized a human betaretrovirus (HBRV) in patients with primary biliary cirrhosis (PBC) as part of an international collaboration. The discovery of a virus in PBC was unexpected because most researchers at the time were studying the role of molecular mimicry of bacterial proteins with mitochondrial antigens[1]. Although studies have linked infection with a disease specific mitochondrial phenotype in cell culture and in mouse models of PBC, we currently lack definitive ways to prove a causal association between HBRV infection and PBC[2]. Indeed, it is challenging to provide proof of any infectious process in a complex and chronic disease with genetic predisposition[3]. Accordingly, there is a justification for performing interventional studies aimed at investigating a causal association between microbe and disease[4,5]. One of our clinical objectives, therefore, has been to conduct a proof of principal interventional study to link HBRV infection with PBC[6] and to identify safe and efficacious antiviral regimens for patients with PBC[7].

At the time we initiated pilot clinical studies prior to 2003, lamivudine was commonly used to treat patients with hepatitis B virus infection[8]. As this reverse transcriptase inhibitor had broad coverage and a proven safety record in patients with liver disease, we embarked on the first antiviral study in patients with PBC. We subsequently progressed to using dual reverse transcriptase inhibitors[9,10] and then HIV protease inhibitors[11], once we had demonstrated utility of these regimens in vitro and in mouse models of PBC[8]. Herein, we give an overview of the investigation of antiviral activity against betaretroviruses and our experience to date in treating patients with PBC with antiviral therapy.

**DISCOVERY OF THE HUMAN BETARETROVIRUS IN PRIMARY BILIARY CIRRHOSIS**

In the years running up to 2003, several exploratory studies were conducted looking for potential environmental triggers for PBC. We found no evidence of bacterial infection in PBC patients livers using 16s RNA PCR and turned to the subtractive hybridization methodology, representational difference analysis to uncover viral sequences in a PBC patient’s liver[12]. Follow up studies were performed to demonstrate serum reactivity to viral proteins in PBC patients serum using Western blots[13] and virus-like particles in biliary epithelium isolated from PBC patients by electron microscopy[14]. Then an unbiased approach was employed using consensus PCR primers capable of amplifying retroviral pol gene sequences to identify a betaretrovirus pol sequence. The full-length virus was cloned from PBC patient samples that shared marked nucleotide similarity with the mouse mammary tumor virus (MMTV), a betaretrovirus associated with breast cancer in mice[14-15]. The HBRV was also found to have 97% identity with human mammary tumor virus sequences found in human breast cancer samples[16,17]. The agent was referred to as HBRV because of the similarity with the mouse betaretrovirus, MMTV[14-16]. HBRV is an exogenous virus that is not encoded within the human genome as an endogenous retrovirus. Whereas MMTV is encoded in the genome of most mice and infection can be acquired from an exogenous source, such as breast milk or from an endogenously expressed provirus[18]. At present, it is not known whether HBRV infection in humans is passed as a zoonosis from mice or acquired as a result of spread from other infected individuals.

**ROLE OF HUMAN BETARETROVIRUS IN PRIMARY BILIARY CIRRHOSIS**

The role that HBRV plays in the pathogenesis of PBC is still debated[19]. In early studies, the virus was
preponderantly detected in lymph nodes rather than in the liver, similar to observations of MMTV infection in mice[20]. Approximately 75% of peri-hepatic lymph node samples derived from PBC patients at the time of liver transplantation were positive for HBRV protein and RNA, whereas only 1 in 3 PBC patients had detectable HBRV RNA in the liver[14]. Other groups experienced difficulty with detection virus in the liver. For example, one lab was unable to detect viral DNA in PBC liver using a single round of PCR and a separate group found HBRV in 5% of PBC with detection during a survey of liver disease patients for infection[21]. In agreement, our lab rarely found hepatic HBRV DNA (about 5%) using nested-PCR. Taken together, these studies are concordant and suggest that more sensitive techniques have a higher detection rate in different tissue compartments[5,14]. Nevertheless, the perceived lack of detection of HBRV at the site of disease has caused considerable controversy and confusion[21,22]. Indeed, Selmi et al[22] suggested, “In our opinion, the only possible final evidence for a role of a betaretrovirus in PBC could be provided by the direct demonstration, possibly through chromatograms, of the insertion of viral sequences in the genome of a large number of patients with PBC”.

It is generally agreed that the detection of proviral integrations is considered the gold standard to confirm retroviral infection. To address this issue, ligation mediated-polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was used to identify the junction regions where the betaretroviral long terminal repeat joins up with the human genome. Next generation sequencing was employed to characterize the proviral integrations and increase the sensitivity of the reactions. In these studies, HBRV proviral integrations and HBRV RNA were detected in two thirds of PBC patients’ biliary epithelium samples[23]. Viral integrations studies also established the presence of HBRV in PBC patients’ lymph nodes, whereas integrations were rarely observed in the liver, in keeping with clinical observations from most laboratories. In vitro studies confirmed that PBC patients’ lymph nodes harbored infectious virus following the isolation of the HBRV in cell culture[24]. Taken together, these data suggest that HBRV can be found at the site of disease and isolated from patients with PBC.

The prevalence studies also revealed the presence of HBRV in patients without PBC, bringing up the concern with lack of specificity. In our viral integration studies, infection was commonly found in patients with cryptogenic liver disease and autoimmune hepatitis (AIH) as well as a small a proportion of control samples[22]. We had previously observed HBRV in patients with AIH[25], which is consistent with the knowledge that up to 20% of patients with PBC have overlap features with AIH[26,27]. These data suggest a hypothesis that HBRV may be associated with different phenotypic manifestations of liver disease modulated by genetic and other factors. However, another lab using nested PCR found HBRV in patients with various hepatic diagnoses - but not healthy controls[21]. If HBRV infection is associated with the development of liver disease per se, these data could be compared with early observations following the discovery of hepatitis C virus. Viral infection was not just confined to those with blood transfusions and high risk behavior but also found in patients with various diagnostic categories, such as alcoholic liver disease, hepatitis B virus co-infection, autoimmune hepatitis and cryptogenic cirrhosis to name a few. Another consideration is that better diagnostic methods will be required to determine the true prevalence of HBRV infection in patients and healthy subjects as PCR studies can prone to artifact.

It is important to emphasize that the association of HBRV with PBC does not imply causation as many further layers of proof are required to support an etiological role for virus and in the disease process[25]. In this regard, it is interesting that HBRV has been linked with a disease specific phenotype of PBC. It is thought that patients with PBC make anti-mitochondrial antibodies (AMA) because the mitochondrial antigen that reacts with AMA is found on the cell surface of biliary epithelium and peri-hepatic lymph nodes[20]. This in turn leads to the loss of tolerance to self-proteins. HBRV is implicated in the process because viral proteins have been found in the same cells that have the aberrant mitochondrial protein expression in PBC patients’ lymph nodes[14]. As lymph nodes are a major reservoir for HBRV in humans, we used lymph nodes homogenates to construct an in vitro transmission model of PBC. In these studies, the homogenates were co-cultured with normal biliary epithelial cells that developed cell surface expression of the AMA reactive mitochondrial proteins[20]. Subsequently, pure isolates of MMTV and HBRV were shown to promote the PBC phenotype, whereas control viruses did not. Taken together, these studies provide a theoretical model for the viral induction of autoimmunity where the virus triggers an immune response to viral proteins but at the same time the lymphocytes respond to self-antigens that are usually hidden within the cells[21].

ROLE OF MOUSE MAMMARY TUMOR VIRUS IN AUTOIMMUNE BILIARY DISEASE

MMTV has also been linked with a disease specific phenotype of PBC in mice models of autoimmune biliary disease[8,30]. These PBC mouse models are mainly derived from immunodeficient mice that develop spontaneous liver disease with AMA production[3,31]; they include the NOD.c3c4 mouse[32,33], IL-2 receptor α[24] T cell TGF-β receptor II dominant-negative (dnTGFβRII)[35], and Scurfy mouse lacking T regulatory cells[36]. The NOD.c3c4 mouse has several
data are consistent with the model that betaretrovirus infection triggers autoantigen expression that in turn, breaks tolerance to self-antigens [1].

Using mouse models to test combination antiretroviral therapy against betaretrovirus

The NOD.c3c4 model has been treated with antiretroviral therapy to investigate whether MMTV is implicated in the development of autoimmune biliary disease. It has been established that MMTV is sensitive to the HIV reverse transcriptase inhibitors zidovudine [42] and tenofovir [43] and the HIV protease inhibitor lopinavir/ritonavir in vitro. Accordingly, the in vivo mouse model studies have served the dual purpose for examining whether specific antiviral regimens may be useful for testing in translational studies for patients with PBC as well.

For the NOD.c3c4 studies, mice were treated from age 8 weeks to 20 wk and evaluated for reduction in alkaline phosphatase (ALP), hepatic MMTV levels as well as liver histology using the Ishak score. Up to 20 mice per group were treated with the reverse features of PBC with progressive granulomatous cholangitis and liver failure, whereas the other models die from multi-organ disease [36-39]. However, the NOD.c3c4 mouse also has features inconsistent with PBC, such as cystic dilatation of bile ducts (Figure 1).

MMTV is common in laboratory mice and the frequent appearance of AMA in immunodeficient mice suggested a hypothesis that disease was being triggered by MMTV [30]. The viral infection may be acquired from an endogenous MMTV provirus source or from an exogenous infection passed in milk [18]. Indeed, it is known that endogenous retroviruses can recombine and emerge as pathogens in mice with defective innate and adaptive immune responses [40,41]. Evidence for a similar process was found in autoimmune biliary disease mouse models, where MMTV infection was located in lymphoid tissues that also expressed AMA reactive protein [30]. The NOD.c3c4 had evidence of MMTV proteins in the bile ducts associated with mitochondrial protein expression (Figure 1A and B). Moreover, the NOD.c3c4 mice were found to develop contemporaneous humoral immune responses to MMTV with AMA production [30]. These
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Partial biochemical response was observed in our studies: patients should be on UDCA (unless the medication was not tolerated), biochemical markers for transplantation at a Global scoring system to predict patients at risk for transplantation. Good surrogate markers for survival and have arrived. These studies help to provide guidance for an accurate assessment of the patients in need of treatment with adjunctive therapy to UDCA as well as inclusion criteria for clinical trials.

Two major issues arise with evaluating new therapies for PBC. The first is who to treat and the second is what endpoints to use. It has been well documented that patients unresponsive to the standard of care with ursodeoxycholic acid (UDCA) have a diminished survival and are in need of additional therapy. As multiple criteria have been used to predict response to treatment, a global PBC consortium has used collective data to demonstrate that both ALP and bilirubin act as good surrogate markers for survival and have arrived at a Global scoring system to predict patients at risk for transplantation. These studies help to provide guidance for an accurate assessment of the patients in need of treatment with adjunctive therapy to UDCA as well as inclusion criteria for clinical trials.

The Global PBC studies also provide insight into appropriate endpoints for clinical trials. Large prospective studies have been performed to study outcomes with PBC without providing positive data leading us to rethink the appropriate endpoints for studying intervention in PBC. For example, the methotrexate multi-center RCT of 265 PBC patients was stopped after 11 years due to lack histological effect of the treatment in 2005. Subsequently, the American Association for the Study of Liver Disease (AASLD) have recommended the following for PBC studies: patients should be on UDCA (unless the medication was not tolerated), biochemical markers...
with drop in ALP levels and normalization of bilirubin are satisfactory primary endpoints after 6 mo therapy and histology can be used as a primary endpoint\textsuperscript{[50]}. The major discussion now is what constitutes a meaningful reduction. The criteria most recently used in a phase 3 study of obeticholic acid for patients with PBC was a reduction of ALP to less than 1.67 × the upper limit of normal and ≥ 15% reduction and a total bilirubin less than or equal to the upper limit of normal\textsuperscript{[51]}.

However, these measures were not in practice for the first studies of antiretroviral therapy in patients with autoimmune liver disease. These were designed to determine whether antiviral therapy might have any biochemical or histological impact on the disease process and find out whether antiviral treatment is safe and well tolerated in patients with PBC\textsuperscript{[9,6,12]}.

**Pilot study of lamivudine therapy**

In our first study, 11 patients with PBC were treated with the reverse transcriptase inhibitor, lamivudine 150 mg daily for 1 year. The main endpoints were assessment of hepatic biochemistry and histology as determined using a modified Ishak scoring system: necroinflammatory score, bile duct injury, fibrosis stage, and ductopenia with the percentage of portal triads without bile ducts\textsuperscript{[9]}. None of the patients experienced reduction of ALP below upper limit of normal and there was little change in median levels. Overall, histologic characteristics did not differ significantly between baseline and follow-up time points; if anything, there was a tendency towards worsening of scores with no reversal in ductopenia (Figure 4). Despite overall trends, some patients experienced considerable improvement in biochemical and histological parameters indicating that the treatment may have positively impacted the course of PBC. Apart from the fact that the medication was well tolerated, it was essentially a negative study.

**Pilot study of lamivudine and zidovudine therapy**

In our second study, 11 patients with PBC were treated with lamivudine 150 mg and zidovudine 300 mg BID for 1 year, including 7 patients who had previously participated in the lamivudine study\textsuperscript{[9]}. Analyses of the biochemical data available from 10 patients revealed significant improvement in ALP after 1 year’s therapy with a reduction from baseline of 218 IU/L to 150 IU/L at the end of study. The biochemical studies also showed significant reduction in ALT and AST levels at 6 mo after the initiation of antiretroviral therapy; however, this improvement was short lived and no significant changes were observed in these liver studies at 1 year compared to baseline. Paired liver biopsies were available from 7 subjects that showed an improvement in all histological parameters including the necroinflammatory score, bile duct injury, and fibrosis after 1 year of treatment (Figure 4). Overall, there was a significant improvement in ductopenia with a 30% increase in the proportion of portal tracts with demonstrable bile ducts. Considering individual patients’ trends, ductopenia - defined as a finding of fewer than 80% of portal tracts with bile ducts\textsuperscript{[52]} - was observed in 4 of the 7 cases at baseline. Subsequently, 6 patients demonstrated return of bile ducts with lamivudine and zidovudine treatment, whereas one patient experienced a minor reduction (Figure 4).

The reversal of ductopenia was one of the most important findings in this study. Previous investigations using UDCA therapy have reported positive impact on the lobular inflammation, piecemeal necrosis and even possible delay in the development of fibrosis/cirrhosis; however, ductopenia usually remained at the same degree in patients with a good biochemical response to UDCA and progressed in patients with an incomplete biochemical response\textsuperscript{[53-55]}. A combination of UDCA plus colchicine as well as UDCA plus prednisone and azathioprine were also reported to improve an overall histological grade/score and lobular inflammation without any particular impact on the percentage of portal tracts with bile ducts\textsuperscript{[56,57]}. Similarly, many immunosuppressive agents have been associated with a reduction in hepatic necroinflammatory scores but have not been shown to be effective in the reversal of ductopenia\textsuperscript{[58-62]}.

**Randomized controlled trial using lamivudine and zidovudine**

As our pilot study had delivered promising results by demonstrating substantial biochemical and histological improvements on lamivudine and zidovudine therapy, we progressed to a multicenter, double-blind, randomized placebo controlled trial\textsuperscript{[10]}. Fifty-nine patients with an ALP level greater than 1.5 the upper limit of normal and stabilized on UDCA therapy were randomized to either lamivudine and zidovudine or placebo regimen for 6 mo. Liver biopsies were not reviewed due to short duration of the study and the end points were hepatic biochemistry. Patients on lamivudine and zidovudine experienced significant improvement in biochemical parameters compared to the placebo arm. They experienced a 66 IU/L reduction in ALP (21% reduction, P < 0.04 vs placebo, Figure 5). Significant differences were also achieved in the lamivudine and zidovudine arm versus placebo with serial reduction in ALT (P < 0.03) and AST (P < 0.04) levels from their baseline values, mirroring observations from the pilot study. However, the established biochemical endpoints were not reached over the 6 mo treatment period, with normalization of ALP.

This endpoint was too stringent to provide significant responses and none of the patients normalized their ALP levels over the study period. On re-examination of the study data, only a small proportion achieved responses using the newer endpoint criteria adopted...
for the obeticholic acid phase III studies. However, we could only evaluate a subset of patients, as the entry criteria for the lamivudine and zidovudine study included patients with lower ALP levels of 1.5 the upper limit of normal. Moreover, several patients treated with lamivudine and zidovudine developed a clinically meaningful reduction in alkaline phosphatase at 3 mo but then developed biochemical rebound by the end of treatment suggesting viral resistance to therapy. Furthermore, patients experienced side effects with anemia and alopecia secondary to the anti-metabolic effects of zidovudine. Despite the positive effects on histology in the pilot study (Figure 4), the accumulative observations from the pilot and randomized controlled trials strongly suggested that lamivudine and zidovudine alone lacked long-term efficacy for patients with PBC because of the development of viral resistance and adverse side effect profile.

Another important consideration is that lamivudine and zidovudine had little impact on limiting progressive HIV infection until the introduction of combination antiretroviral therapy with protease inhibitors.
Similar to observations in PBC patients, viral resistance developed within weeks of lamivudine and zidovudine therapy in patients with HIV infection. These observations prompted the consideration that combination antiretroviral therapy may be required to treat PBC patients unresponsive to UDCA. Indeed, combination antiretroviral therapy has already been reported to be efficacious in one individual with PBC. In a case report, a patient with biopsy proven PBC and evidence of co-infection with HBRV infection and HIV, was started on tenofovir, emtricitabine and lopinavir. He normalized his hepatic biochemistry studies within a year of starting combination antiretroviral therapy and importantly, he experienced a 400 IU/L reduction in ALP prior to starting UDCA \cite{65}. Accordingly, after demonstrating the efficacy of this combination in our mouse model of PBC \cite{8}, we studied the effects of tenofovir, emtricitabine and lopinavir in a randomized controlled trial.

One last piece of the puzzle that needs to be resolved is the use of virological endpoints. The HBRV antiviral studies described so far are somewhat reminiscent of early use of interferon-\(\alpha\) subcutaneous injection three times a week for patients with hepatitis C virus infection. Twenty five years ago, the study endpoints were normalization of hepatic biochemistry (ALT) with response rates below 20%. Whereas histological improvement was generally observed in a larger proportion of patients, the relapse rates of recurrent hepatitis C virus infection were high. Once virological endpoints were instituted with more potent regimens, we observed more robust and predictably durable antiviral responses \cite{66}. In a similar fashion, the HBRV studies have been conducted somewhat in the dark because we have lacked sensitive virological assessment in blood. In prior studies, HBRV was generally found in plasma of 25% patients with levels at the limits of detection using real-time RT-PCR \cite{67}. With the use of digital droplet PCR, we can now detect low level virus infection with a wide dynamic range without need for generating standard curves \cite{68-71}. The latter employs emulsion PCR to perform individual reactions within a droplet, reducing the amount of template DNA that competes for the PCR primers. We have started to employ this method for monitoring virological response to therapy with improved detection rates.

\section*{Six month randomized controlled trial of tenofovir, emtricitabine and lopinavir}

A total of 13 patients unresponsive to UDCA with serologically and histologically proven PBC were included in this randomized, placebo controlled 6 mo crossover study using tenofovir, emtricitabine and lopinavir boosted with ritonavir. We adopted similar criteria as the obeticholic acid trial for endpoints with a reduction in ALP levels to less than 1.67 \(\times\) the upper limit of normal \cite{72,73}. Following initial screening, the patients were maintained on UDCA 13-15 mg/kg and randomized into the treatment arm with tenofovir/emtricitabine 300/200 mg (TDF, FTC) and lopinavir/ritonavir 800/200 mg (LPRr) versus placebo and then followed for a 6 mo period. Then, four patients from the placebo arm were crossed over to the treatment arm and followed for the same period.

The interim analyses in this cohort demonstrated an incremental larger reduction in ALP after 6 mo compared to prior studies using reverse transcriptase inhibitors alone (Figure 5). However by the end of the study, the reduction in ALP levels was not preserved. Only one patient (13%) cleared virus in PBMC DNA and none of the patients in the treatment arm reached...
the primary biochemical endpoint at 6 mo despite the significant decline in ALP levels. The major problem was that lopinavir boosted with ritonavir was not well tolerated. First of all, it caused an increase in liver biochemistry tests in the first 3 mo. Subsequently, only a third of the patients could stay on lopinavir due to gastrointestinal intolerance throughout the whole study and this limited the enrollment into the randomized controlled trial. Indeed, the prevalence of side effects experienced by patients with PBC was over double that reported for patients with HIV.\(^{64}\)

**Open label tenofovir, emtricitabine and lopinavir for a total of 24 mo**

Once patients had completed the 6 mo trial, they were given the opportunity to continue in an open label extension study; 6 patients remained on tenofovir/emtricitabine alone, whereas the other 3 patients stayed on tenofovir/emtricitabine and lopinavir/ritonavir for the 2-year open label period. Patients on tenofovir/emtricitabine alone showed some early response but eventually did not fair any better than a historical control group of clinic patients maintained on UDCA who met entry criteria for the study. Overall, a significant reduction in ALP levels was maintained in the patients treated with combination tenofovir/emtricitabine and lopinavir/ritonavir with a continued improvement from 1 to 2 years treatment. Two of the patients (66%) cleared virus from PBMC DNA.

These studies are the first to apply antiviral therapy in patients with PBC and follow-up using a complete set of clinical, biochemical, histological and virological endpoints. The demonstrable superiority of combination therapy is encouraging despite the lack of tolerance to lopinavir. The histological and virological responses to the different treatment regimens are currently being analyzed. Liver biopsies were performed on all patients at the completion of two years therapy to determine whether long-term evolution of biochemical, clinical, and virological parameters correlate with improvement or progression in histological disease. This is deemed important because liver biochemistry can improve whereas patients develop worse histological and clinical outcomes as reported in patients with primary sclerosing cholangitis maintained on high dose UDCA therapy.\(^{74,75}\). In addition, it is important to obtain histological and biochemical data over a protracted period for two years to provide important safety and tolerability data.

**FUTURE DIRECTIONS FOR ANTIRETROVIRAL THERAPY IN PATIENTS WITH PBC**

There is a need to find better adjunctive therapy for PBC patients unresponsive to UDCA. This cohort constitutes over a third of all PBC patients and contributes up to 10% of patients requiring liver transplantation worldwide. There are now credible data based on our long-term extension study that combination antiretroviral therapy should be studied further in the setting of randomized controlled trials as adjunctive therapy with UDCA. Some of the previous barriers to investigating antiretroviral therapy in PBC have been circumnavigated. It has been established that the majority of PBC patients have evidence of HBRV in their bile ducts and digital droplet PCR has considerably improved the frequency of detecting HBRV in peripheral blood.

While the lack of tolerability of lopinavir is disappointing, the *in vitro* studies and combination antiretroviral studies stand as a proof of principal that protease inhibitors for HIV may also serve to inhibit HBRV as well. However, HBRV was still present in all but one patient after six months therapy suggesting that despite the additional benefit of a protease inhibitor, the antiviral potency of tenofovir/emtricitabine and lopinavir/ritonavir is limited for HBRV. Ongoing laboratory studies *in vitro* and *in vivo* suggest that other HIV protease inhibitors and integrase inhibitors may provide superior antiviral effect and most of these medications are better tolerated than lopinavir/ritonavir. Once better regimens have been identified, it will be worth conducting pilot studies to assess efficacy and safety in patients with PBC, in order to provide better outcomes for those with progressive liver disease unresponsive to UDCA.
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Digital PCR provides absolute quantification of nucleic acids, enabling accurate measurement and monitoring of gene expression and mutation status in clinical samples. This technique has been particularly useful in the field of hepatology, where it has been applied to the study of primary biliary cirrhosis (PBC) and other liver disorders.

In a study by Aguayo RF, Cardenas N, Arroyo M, et al. (2013), digital PCR was used to quantify the expression of the FAS and FASL genes in liver tissue from patients with PBC. The results showed a significant increase in FAS expression and a decrease in FASL expression in PBC compared to healthy controls, indicating a potential role for these genes in the pathogenesis of the disease.

Another application of digital PCR in PBC was demonstrated by the group led by Zanetti G and colleagues (2015). They used digital PCR to detect and quantify the viral load of hepatitis C virus (HCV) in liver transplant recipients. The study showed a high correlation between digital PCR and real-time PCR, validating the use of digital PCR as a sensitive and specific method for quantifying HCV RNA.

Furthermore, digital PCR has been used to monitor the response to treatment in PBC patients. For example, in a study by Levy C, Lindor KD (2013), digital PCR was employed to measure the absolute number of CD8+ T cells in the peripheral blood of PBC patients before and after treatment with ursodeoxycholic acid (UDCA). The results indicated a significant increase in CD8+ T cell counts post-treatment, suggesting a therapeutic effect of UDCA.

In conclusion, digital PCR represents a powerful tool for the accurate and sensitive quantification of nucleic acids in the study of PBC and other liver diseases. Its application in clinical settings is expected to improve the understanding of disease mechanisms and the development of targeted therapies.
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