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Abstract

Background: The gold standard for verifying COVID-19 mostly depends on microbiological tests like real-time polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR). However, the availability of RT-PCR kits can be known as a problem and false negative results may be encountered. Although CT scan is not a screening tool for the diagnosis of COVID-19 pneumonia, given the widespread acquisition of it in the pandemic state, familiarity with different CT findings and possible differential diagnosis is essential in this regard.

Main text: In this review, we introduced the typical and atypical CT features of COVID-19 pneumonia, and discussed the main differential diagnosis of COVID-19 pneumonia.

Conclusions: The imaging findings in this viral pneumonia showed a broad spectrum, and there are no pathognomonic imaging findings for COVID-19 pneumonia. Although CT scan is not a diagnostic and screening tool, familiarity with different imaging findings and their differential diagnosis can be helpful in a rapid and accurate decision-making.
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Background

In December 2019, a series of pneumonia cases emerged in Wuhan, China. Thereafter, the disease rapidly spread worldwide. Accordingly, based on WHO declaration, it became pandemic on March 11, 2020. The gold-standard test for COVID-19 diagnosis is real-time reverse transcription-polymerase-chain-reaction (RRT-PCR). Although imaging is not considered as a diagnostic tool for COVID-19 and most radiology professional organizations and societies unanimously disagree with performing screening computed tomography (CT) for the identification of COVID-19, a large number of chest computed tomography (CT) scans have been done worldwide to assess the severity and extension of the lower respiratory tract involvement [1]. Therefore, radiologists should be more familiar with CT scan findings of COVID-19 pneumonia and its differential diagnosis. Typical imaging features are those frequently seen, which are more specific for COVID-19 pneumonia on the basis of the literature review in the current pandemic [2]. These findings include peripheral bilateral ground glass opacities (GGO) with or without consolidation or crazy-paving pattern, reverse halo sign or other findings related to organizing pneumonia (OP), and multifocal GGO of the rounded morphology with or without consolidation or visible intralobular lines (crazy-paving). However, this viral pneumonia showed imaging findings which are less specific for COVID-19 pneumonia or uncommonly reported; these findings can be classified as indeterminate or atypical findings. Correspondingly, they include diffuse GGO with no clear distribution, isolated lobar or segmental consolidation with no GGO, discrete small nodules (centrilobular, “tree-in-bud”), lung cavitation, and smooth interlobular septal thickening with pleural effusion [3].

The Dutch radiological society developed a categorical CT scheme-CORADS-to assess the suspicion for pulmonary involvement of COVID-19 based on features seen at unenhanced chest CT. It ranges from CO-RADS 1 (very low), 2 (low), 3 (intermediate), 4 (high), to 5 (very high) and it is an easy and reproducible tool to make decisions when COVID-19 patients are suspected. Although CT scan is not a diagnostic tool, familiarization with different imaging findings can help in a rapid and accurate decision-making.
category 1 with very low level of suspicion to CO-RADS category 5 with very high level of suspicion. If none of five categories can be assigned secondary to incomplete or insufficient images, it is considered as CO-RADS category 0. CO-RADS category 6 indicates proven COVID-19 by positive RT-PCR test results (Table 1) [4].

Moreover, there is a semi-quantitative scoring system for quantitatively estimating parenchymal abnormality on the basis of the area involved. Each one of the five lung lobes was individually evaluated and weighted based on parenchymal involvement scored on a scale ranged from 0 to 5, with 0 indicating no involvement, 1 indicating less than 5% involvement, 2 indicating 5–25% involvement, 3 indicating 26–49% involvement, 4 indicating 50–75% involvement, and 5 indicating more than 75% involvement. The total CT score was the sum of the individuals’ lobar scores, which was ranged from 0 (no involvement) to 25 (the maximum involvement) (Fig. 1) [5]. In this review, we introduced different CT features of COVID-19 pneumonia and discussed the main differential diagnosis. Recognition of these features could help radiologists to have a rapid and accurate diagnosis.

Henceforth, each one of the imaging feature of COVID-19 pneumonia with RT-PCR is described, as confirmed at our referral hospital for COVID-19 pneumonia. Images illustrated in differential diagnosis were extracted from the images’ archive of the Department of Radiology.

**Main text**

**Typical findings**

**Peripheral ground-glass opacities**

On HRCT, GGO refers to the area of the increased lung opacity in which underlying bronchovascular markings are not obscured [6].

![Fig. 1 COVID-19 pneumonia: thin section CT shows bilateral multifocal subpleural and peribronchial GGO, semiqutitative score:18](image-url)
GGO is the most common manifestation (40–83%) of COVID-19 pneumonia. Right and left lower lobes are most commonly involved. Multilobar subpleural GGO is seen in most cases. However, COVID-19 pneumonia may manifest as unilateral GGO even before the onset of symptoms with rapid evolution into diffuse, bilateral disease [7] (Fig. 2).

Differential diagnosis of GGO in the thin section CT was shown to be correlated with the clinical setting. In an acute setting, clinical history is more important than the distribution of GGO; however, in a chronic setting, its distribution is helpful in narrowing down the differential diagnosis. Notably, in patients with acute symptoms, some entities with peripheral distribution such as diffuse alveolar damage [6] (Fig. 3), simple pulmonary eosinophilia (Loffler syndrome) [8] (Fig. 4), and some viral pneumonia like influenza A have been described [9] (Fig. 5).

In some patients with ground-glass opacity on HRCT, superimposition of a reticular pattern resulted in crazy paving appearance. This pattern was initially recognized in patients with pulmonary alveolar proteinosis (PAP) (Fig. 6), and it may also be seen in other differential diagnoses of GGO [10].

Some recent studies have also reported the crazy paving pattern in 5–36% of patients with COVID-19 pneumonia [11]. This appearance can be considered as an indicator of disease progress or it may be recognized as secondary to the peak stage of COVID-19 pneumonia (Fig. 7) [5].

**Reverse halo appearance**

Reverse halo sign, also known as the Atoll sign, can be defined as a round or ovoid GGO surrounded by the complete or crescent ring of consolidation [6].
This sign has been reported in several COVID-19 cases (Fig. 8). Moreover, it is assumed to be secondary to disease progression, which can consequently result in the development of consolidation around GGO or lesion absorption with the consequent decreased central density [12].

Initially, the presence of reverse halo sign was believed to be specific for OP, but its differential diagnosis has broadened, such that we can remember it with mnemonic VISCERAL: Vasculitis, Infection, Sarcoidosis, Cryptogenic organizing pneumonia, Emboli, Radiation, and radioablation, Adenocarcinoma and Lymphomatoid granulomatosis. From non-infective processes, one important differential diagnosis that must be kept in mind is pulmonary infarction; in the patients with appropriate clinical history and laboratory data, in the presence of reverse halo sign on the non-contrast CT scan, the prompt evaluation of pulmonary vasculature, in contrast-enhanced CT with pulmonary thromboembolism (PTE) protocol, is essential (Fig. 9) [13, 14].

In the infective process, this appearance is not specific. In immunocompromised patients, when there is a suspected fungal infection, the reverse halo sign is more frequently expected in mucormycosis than in invasive pulmonary Aspergillus [15] (Fig. 10). Additionally, in active tuberculosis, the Atoll sign can be expected, but it shows a nodular appearance [16].

**Findings of organizing pneumonia**

OP is an inflammatory non-infectious abnormality, which can be idiopathic (cryptogenic OP) or secondary to the connective tissue disease, drug toxicity, infection, toxic inhalation, immunologic disorders, and graft versus host disease (GVHD). The most typical findings of the high-resolution computed tomography (HRCT) of OP include nodular or mass-like consolidation with peribronchovascular and subpleural predominance. The findings show more severity in the lower lobes [6]. Based on an expert panel review published in MARCH 2020, the most common reported CT findings in COVID-19
pneumonia are secondary to lung injury with organizing pneumonia pattern [17, 18] (Fig. 11). One finding that is highly suggestive of OP is the Atoll sign or reversed halo sign as described earlier in the previous paragraph [6].

**Indeterminate-atypical findings**

**Diffuse GGO without clear distribution**

This is a common finding in COVID-19 pneumonia (Fig. 12); however, it has been encountered in various diseases such as pneumocystis infection (Fig. 13), and diffuse alveolar hemorrhage (Fig. 14). So, differentiating these entities by imaging alone is difficult in such circumstances [3].

**Nodular opacities with ground-glass halo**

Halo sign is defined as a condition in which GGO surrounds the central nodule or mass. This finding, in the thin section CT, is pathologically attributed to the presence of hemorrhage [19]. Although this appearance is unusual in COVID-19 pneumonia, it has been reported in some cases [20, 21] (Fig. 15). However, the main pathological stimulus of this manifestation still remains unknown.

Differential diagnosis is broad, which includes infectious and noninfectious entities. Many infectious diseases including septic emboli, tuberculosis, herpes simplex virus, varicella-zoster virus, influenza, and invasive pulmonary Aspergillus (Fig. 16) have been described in this regard [19].

**Focal consolidation**

On HRCT, area of the increased lung opacity with obscuration of underlying bronchovascular markings refers to consolidation [6].

Parenchymal consolidation with multifocal, patchy, or segmental distribution in subpleural and peribronchovascular regions has been reported in 2–64% of cases infected with this disease [12]. In COVID-19 pneumonia, when there is a longer time interval between the symptom onset and CT scan, or in those patients older than 50 years old, lesions usually show a more consolidative
appearance [22]. In COVID-19 pneumonia, unilateral lesions can be observed, especially immediately after the onset of symptoms, in asymptomatic patients or in those with minimal symptoms. Accordingly, they were described in 18.7% of cases in a meta-analysis of 34 studies performed on 4121 patients [23]. In these situations, sublobar pneumonia could be simulated (Fig. 17).

Differential diagnosis of parenchymal consolidation is related to the patient history; in an acute clinical setting, the infective process is highly considered. Notably, most bacterial pneumonias such as Streptococcus (Fig. 18) and Klebsiella pneumonia appear as lobar consolidation [24].

Centrilobular nodules
Centrilobular nodules are present in those diseases involving centrilobular bronchiole, arteriole, or lymphatic. There is sparing of subpleural interstitium, with similar spaces between adjacent nodules [6]. In COVID-19 pneumonia, imaging findings of acute bronchiolitis with centrilobular nodules have been demonstrated [1] (Fig. 19).

Differential diagnosis is broad, which includes different etiologies. Although bronchiolitis is the most common cause of centrilobular nodules [6], the most common type of bronchiolitis is infectious bronchiolitis, which can be classified as acute or chronic. In addition, acute bronchiolitis is typically viral or bacterial (staphylococcus) (Fig. 20), and chronic bronchiolitis is frequently mycobacterial (Fig. 21). Acute infectious bronchiolitis in CT scan often manifests itself as centrilobular nodules with a tree in bud appearance and peribronchial thickening [25].

---

Fig. 14 Pulmonary hemorrhage: thin section CT shows diffuse bilateral parahilar GGO without round configuration

Fig. 16 Angioinvasive aspergillosis represented by halo: thin section CT shows nodular area of consolidation with surrounding ground-glass opacities (halo sign) in left lower lobe

Fig. 15 COVID-19 pneumonia: thin section CT shows nodular opacity with ground glass halo

Fig. 17 COVID-19 pneumonia: thin section CT shows non-segmental parenchymal consolidation with air bronchogram in right lower lobe
Conclusion
The imaging findings in this viral pneumonia showed a broad spectrum, which indicate a considerable overlap with various infectious and non-infectious etiologies. So, there are no pathognomonic imaging findings for COVID-19 pneumonia. Although CT scan is not a diagnostic and screening tool, familiarity with different imaging findings and their differential diagnosis can be helpful in rapid and accurate decision-making.
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