Antimalarial and antitumour activities of the steroidal quinone-methide celastrol and its combinations with artemiside, artemisone and methylene blue
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Artemisinin, isolated from the traditional Chinese medicinal plant qīng hāo 青蒿 (Artemisia annua) and its derivatives are used for treatment of malaria. With treatment failures now being recorded for the derivatives and companion drugs used in artemisinin combination therapies new drug combinations are urgently required. The amino-artemisinins artemiside and artemisone display optimal efficacies in vitro against asexual and sexual blood stages of the malaria parasite Plasmodium falciparum and are active against tumour cell lines. In continuing the evolution of combinations of the amino-artemisinins with new drugs, we examine the triterpenoid quinone methide celastrol isolated from the traditional Chinese medicinal plant léi gōng téng 雷公藤 (Tripterygium wilfordii). This compound is redox active, and has attracted considerable attention because of potent biological activities against manifold targets. We report that celastrol displays good IC50 activities ranging from 0.50–0.82 µM against drug-sensitive and resistant asexual blood stage Pf, and 1.16 and 0.28 µM respectively against immature and late stage Pf NF54 gametocytes. The combinations of celastrol with artemiside or artemisone against HepG2 cells are synergistic. Given the promise of celastrol, judiciously designed formulations or structural modifications are recommended for mitigating its toxicity.
1 Introduction

Treatment of malaria with artemisinin combination therapies (ACTs) comprising artemisinin or one of its clinical derivatives (Figure 1) (Cui and Su, 2009; Li et al., 2017) with a longer half-life antimalarial drug (Eastman and Fidock, 2009; Wells et al., 2009; World Health Organisation, 2021) is compromised by enhanced tolerance of the malaria parasite, principally Plasmodium falciparum (Pf), to the artemisinin, and resistance to the partner drug (Phyo and von Seidlein, 2017; Woodrow and White, 2017; Ouji et al., 2018; Mairet-Khedim et al., 2021). The increased tolerance to artemisinins is due to induction of dormancy (quiescence) in ring stage parasites in response to drug pressure. Whilst various explanations are put forward for quiescence (Mbengue et al., 2015; Siriwardana et al., 2016), the most likely cause is an enhanced stress response (Bridgford et al., 2018; Rocamora et al., 2018; Wellem et al., 2020). New drug combinations based on rational consideration of mechanism of action of the components are urgently required. Here we focus on amino-artemisinins (Haynes et al., 2004) that have enhanced efficacies against all blood stages of the malaria parasite. The best-known is artemisone (Figure 1) (Haynes et al., 2006; Vivas et al., 2007). Its potent biological activities are ascribed to the properties of the amino group at C-10 (Wu et al., 2016), improved pharmacokinetics (Nagelschmitz et al., 2008), and generation of active metabolites with relatively long half-lives (Schmeer et al., 2005; Nagelschmitz et al., 2008; Gibhard et al., 2021; Watson et al., 2021).

In order to select the combination partner, one needs to briefly consider mechanism of action (MoA) of artemisinins. Whilst the thesis involving artemisinin activation by heme is the most strongly supported (Giannangelo et al., 2019; Quadros et al., 2022), there are difficulties in reconciling this with experimental observations, known aspects of free radical chemistry, and in particular structure-activity relationships of artemisinin derivatives and analogues (Haynes et al., 2004; Haynes et al., 2013). We have shown that these compounds rapidly oxidize reduced flavin cofactors of the disulfide reductases glutathione reductase (GR), thioredoxin reductase (TrXR) and others important for maintaining redox homeostasis in the malaria parasite. The artemisinin is thereby irreversibly reduced and enhancement of oxidative stress ensues (Figure 2) (Haynes et al., 2010; Haynes et al., 2011; Haynes et al., 2012).

In order to enhance the stress response, a drug capable of redox cycling is best used in combination with the artemisinin. One such is methylene blue (MB) (Figure 3), active against asexual blood stage malaria parasites and displaying synergism with artemisinins (Akoachere et al., 2005; Buchholz et al., 2008). MB also has gametocytocidal activity (Adjaye et al., 2011) that is synergized by artemiside and artemisone (Coertzen et al., 2018; Wong et al., 2019). Notably, MB rapidly oxidizes the same reduced flavin cofactors that are oxidized by the artemisinins and is thereby reduced to leucomethylene blue (LMB). The latter is reoxidized by oxygen to MB and the ensuing redox cycling results in build-up of ROS. Thus, NADPH that regenerates FADH$_2$ from FAD undergoes futile consumption (Figure 3) (Haynes et al., 2012). The redox cycling of MB will promote the action of artemisinins (Figure 3).

Artemisinins also show antitumour activities (Efferth, 2017; Konstat-Korzenny et al., 2018; Augustin et al., 2020; Kiani et al., 2020; Mancuso et al., 2021). Artemisone elicits activities superior to artemisinin in vitro (Gravett et al., 2011; Das, 2015). The activities (IC$_{50}$) against tumour cell lines range from 0.26–95.7 µM (Gravett et al., 2011; Hooft van Huijsduijnen et al., 2013; Dwivedi et al., 2015; Wu et al., 2018; Wong et al., 2020). As in the case of malaria, MoA likely involves interruption of function of flavin cofactors of disulfide reductases, leading to generation of intracellular ROS that through downstream signalling events overwhelms redox homeostasis in the cancer cell (Efferth, 2017; Wong et al., 2020). Other ROS-independent
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**FIGURE 1**
Artemisinin and clinical derivatives DHA, artether and artesunate. The amino-artemisinins artemiside and artemisone possess a nitrogen atom attached to C-10 that enhances biological activities.
pathways may also be involved (Qin et al., 2015; Greenshields et al., 2019). Combinations of artemisinins with known and experimental cancer drugs have been examined (Efferth, 2017; Kumar et al., 2019; Koltai, 2021). Artemisone shows additivity in combinations with oxaliplatin and gemcitabine \textit{in vitro} (Gravett et al., 2011). Artemisone is also active against A375 melanoma cells (IC\textsubscript{50} 95.7 µM), wherein synergism with the redox-active copper (II) complex of the anticancer drug elesclomol is observed. Further, generation of ROS is demonstrated, and use of flow cytometry in combination with the FITC Annexin V assay indicates the artemisinin induces apoptotic cell death, that is greatly enhanced in the presence of the companion drug (Wong et al., 2020). Thus, the case for continuing the examination of combinations of the amino-artemisinins with redox-active drugs to enhance cancer chemotherapy is clear.

We now consider the lipophilic triterpenoid quinone-methide celastrol (Figure 4), also known as tripterine, isolated from the traditional Chinese medicinal plant léi gōng téng 雷藤 or Thunder of God vine (\textit{Tripterygium wilfordii}) as the redox component (Chen et al., 2018). Celastrol exhibits potent antitumour and other biological activities that involve \textit{inter alia} redox activity associated with generation of intracellular ROS and modulation of downstream signalling pathways (Moreira et al., 2019; Peng et al., 2019; Chen et al., 2020; Lu et al., 2021; Youns et al., 2021). Celastrol also inhibits the flavoenzyme siderophore A that catalyzes the hydroxylation of L-ornithine in \textit{Apergillis fumigatus}. Whilst \textit{in silico} experiments suggested reversible binding at the active site of siderophore A (Martín Del Campo et al., 2016), the effect of celastrol on the redox cycling of the flavin cofactor (cf. Effect of MB, Figure 3) was not considered. However, that quinone-methides are redox active and rapidly oxidize reduced flavin cofactors in flavoenzymes is illustrated by the behaviour of the quinone oxidoreductases NQO1 and NQO2 upon treatment with \textit{o-} and \textit{p-}quinone methides derived from \textit{o-} and \textit{p-}cresol respectively (Kucera et al., 2013). Thus, we anticipate as for MB (Figure 3) (Coertzen et al., 2018; Wong et al., 2019), celastrol should synergize the action of artemisinins against different targets. However, although celastrol is a biologically potent compound, it displays untoward toxicity associated with numerous off-target effects. Thus, considerable effort has been directed towards development of controlled-release formulations of celastrol (Huang., et al., 2020; Shi et al., 2020; Guo et al., 2021; Wagh et al., 2021) or preparation of less toxic derivatives largely
associated with conversion of the carboxylic acid to amide derivatives (Klaić et al., 2012; Bassanini et al., 2021; Coghi et al., 2021).

Antimalarial activities of celastrol and its naturally-occurring methyl ester pristimerin (Figure 4) have been reported (Figueiredo et al., 1998; Li et al., 2019); for celastrol, IC50 activities against the chloroquine sensitive Pf NF54 strain and multidrug resistant Pf K1 strain are 564 and 401 nM respectively, with similar values for pristimerin (IC50 NF54 583 nM, K1 409 nM). Thus far, the antimalarial MoA has not been elucidated. However, it is clear that the redox-active quinone methide moiety of celastrol bestows overall biological activity (Kucera et al., 2013; Moreira et al., 2019; Peng et al., 2019; Chen et al., 2020; Lu et al., 2021; Youns et al., 2021). In this sense, this aspect correlates with the antimalarial activities of MB and redox-active naphthoquinones such as menadione (Lanfranchi et al., 2012; Klotz et al., 2014) that are active against asexual and sexual blood stage parasites (Gupta et al., 2002; Tanaka et al., 2015; Ehrhardt et al., 2016; Sidorov et al., 2016; Ahenkorah et al., 2020).

Here, we present the results of an evaluation of the antimalarial activity in vitro of celastrol alone and in combination with each of artemisone and MB using standardized screens (Coertzen et al., 2018; Wong et al., 2019). Likewise, antitumour activities of each of artemiside, artemisone and celastrol individually and in combination against selected cancer cell lines according to reported methods are described (Wong et al., 2020; Ng et al., 2022).

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Materials

Reference compounds and the artemisinins used for screening were ≥95% pure (Coertzen et al., 2018; Wong et al., 2019; Gibhard et al., 2021). Celastrol purchased from the Chengdu SanHerb BioScience company (Chengdu, China), was ≥95% pure, and was used without further purification.

2.2 Antimalarial efficacies

2.2.1 Antimalarial efficacies

In vitro cultivation of asexual and gametocyte P. falciparum parasites: P. falciparum asexual blood stage parasites were cultivated in human erythrocytes in RPMI-1640 media supplemented with AlbuMax II under sterile conditions and in a hypoxic environment (90% N2, 5% CO2, and 5% O2) at 37°C as described previously (Coertzen et al., 2018; Wong et al., 2019). Gametocytes were produced from asexual blood stage parasites in a stage-specific manner through induction of cellular stress as described previously (Reader et al., 2015; Coertzen et al., 2018; Wong et al., 2019; Reader et al., 2022).

2.2.2 Asexual blood stage parasites

DHA, artesunate, artemether, chloroquine (CQ), and methylene blue (MB) were used as reference drugs. All assay conditions are as previously described (Coertzen et al., 2018; Wong et al., 2019). Compound working solutions were prepared from a 10 mM stock solution in 100% (v/v) dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO; Sigma-Aldrich) in AlbuMAX II supplemented RPMI 1640 medium with a final DMSO concentration of 0.1% (v/v), shown to be nontoxic to intraerythrocytic asexual blood stage parasites (Coertzen et al., 2018). Dose-responses were determined using a 2-fold serial drug dilution on in vitro 95% ring-stage intraerythrocytic Pf parasites (1% parasitemia, 1% hematocrit) at 37°C under 90% N2, 5% CO2, and 5% O2 atmospheric conditions, detecting SYBR green I fluorescence as a marker for parasite proliferation following a 96 h drug treatment (Smilkstein et al., 2004; Verfinden et al., 2011). Activity against the Pf drug-sensitive NF54 strain and the multi-drug-resistant K1 (resistant to CQ, quinine, pyrimethamine, and cycloguanil), and W2 (resistant to CQ, quinine, pyrimethamine, and cycloguanil) strains was evaluated. Untreated and 1 µM CQ-treated
parasites were included as positive and negative controls. MB and artemisone were included as internal reference standards. Data analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism (version 6) software, intra-assay variability was monitored with Z-factors, and acceptable inter-assay reproducibility was determined from the percent coefficient of variation (CV) (Reader et al., 2015). Data are from technical triplicates, performed for three biological replicates. Results are expressed as the compound concentration at which 50% parasite viability/proliferation is affected (IC50).

2.2.3 Immature and late-stage gametocytes

Gametocytocidal activity was determined using the transgenic NF54-Pfj16-GFP-Luc reporter lines (Adjalley et al., 2011; Reader et al., 2015; Reader et al., 2022) to derive dose responses and determine IC50 after 48 h continuous drug pressure against immature gametocytes (2-fold serial drug dilutions on ≥95% stage II-III gametocytes) or after both 48 and 72 h continuous drug pressure against more mature late-stage IV - V gametocytes (≥90% stages IV and V, 10-fold serial drug dilutions) (2%–3% gametocytemia, 2% hematocrit) at 37°C under hypoxic conditions. Untreated and 5 µM MB-treated immature and late-stage gametocytes were included as positive and negative controls. MB and artemisone were included as internal reference standards. In all cases, an interference assay to eliminate false positives from possible compound interference with the luciferase readout was run in parallel. Unless otherwise indicated, data are from technical triplicates, performed for three biological replicates. Complete dose-response curves are given in the (Supplementary Figures S2A,B).

2.2.4 Drug combination assays against asexual blood stage parasites

The in vitro interactions of celastrol with artemisone and MB was determined using a fixed-ratio isobole analysis on Pf NF54 asexual parasites (SYBR Green I-based fluorescence). Briefly, the drugs were applied alone at their respective IC50 value and in fixed-drug percentage combination ratios of IC50 values of 100:0, 80:20, 60:40, 40:60, 20:80, and 0:100, two-fold serially diluted and grown for 96 h at 37°C under the 90% N2, 5% O2, and 5% CO2 gas mixture in 96-well plates to obtain the IC50 dose response curves for each drug alone and in the fixed-drug ratio (Ohrt et al., 2002; Fivelman et al., 2004). The fractional inhibitory concentration (FIC) for each drug in the combination was calculated as follows:

\[
FIC = \frac{IC_{50}}{IC_{50} \text{ of drug A in combination with drug B}}
\]

FIC of drug A

The paired FIC values for the drugs in each combination were linearly plotted to provide the isobologram. The ΣFIC of FIC of drug A in combination with FIC of drug B was determined by calculating the mean FIC value, to obtain the representative FIC value for the drug combination. Data obtained were analysed in Excel, and sigmoidal dose-response curves and isobolograms were plotted using GraphPad 6.0. Experiments were performed in triplicate, and repeated 3 times.

2.3 Cytotoxicity

Proliferative and non-proliferative mammalian cell lines: human liver and lung cancer cell lines HepG2 and A549, and immortalized normal liver LO2 and lung BEAS-2B cells were purchased from ATCC (Manassas, VA, United States). Cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and antibiotics penicillin (50 U/mL) and streptomycin (50 µg/ml; Invitrogen, United Kingdom). All cells were incubated at 37°C in a 5% humidified CO2 incubator.

2.3.1 Assays

All test compounds were dissolved in DMSO at a final concentration of 50 mM and stored at −20°C before use. Cytotoxicity was assessed with A549, HepG2, BEAS-2B and LO2 cells. The MTT assay with 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazole-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (5 mg/ml) was performed as previously described (Ng et al., 2022). Briefly, 4 × 104 cells per well were seeded in 96-well plates before drug treatment. After overnight cell culture, the cells were exposed to different concentrations of selected compounds (0.19–100 µM) for 72 h. Cells without drug treatment were used as control. Next, 10 µl of the MTT solution was added to each well and incubated at 37°C for another 4 h. Solubilization buffer (100 µl) was then added (10 mM HCl in a solution of 10% of SDS) and incubated overnight. The absorbance A at 570 nm was measured on the next day. The percentage of cell viability was calculated using the following formula: Cell viability (%) = A_treated/A_control × 100. Dose response curves for all assays are given in the Supplementary Figures S3–S6.

2.3.2 Drug combination assays

For the drug combination inhibitory assays, six drug preparations, of which four comprised combinations of either artemiside or artemisone with celastrol in a fixed ratio of 80:20, 60:40, 40:60, and 20:80 were prepared and screened against HepG2 liver hepatocellular carcinoma and LO2 human normal hepatocytes respectively. Two of these six preparations employed each of artemiside, artemisone or celastrol alone at a concentration approximately 5–7 times higher than the IC50 of the individual drug as presented in Table 4. For artemiside, 500 µM was taken as 5-fold IC50 and for celastrol 20 µM was taken as 7-fold IC50. Thus, six combinations for artemiside (µM) and celastrol (µM) prepared were 5000, 4004, 3008, 20012, 10016, and 020, respectively.

As above for the malaria combination assays, a mean ΣFIC<1.0 represents a synergistic interaction, >1.3 represents an antagonistic interaction and ΣFIC = 1 represents an indifferent or additive interaction. Additivity, synergism and antagonism were also be established from the linear plots constructed from the FIC values of each of the drugs. A concave hyperbolic plot indicates synergism, a convex hyperbolic plot indicates an antagonistic interaction, and a straight line indicates an additive interaction. Isobole analysis of the combinations of each of artemiside and artemisone in
combination with celastrol was performed against HepG2 and LO2 cells respectively. In Figure 5 is presented the isoboles for each drug combination.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Antimalarial efficacy

3.1.1 Asexual blood stage parasites

Activity was determined using the SYBR Green I based fluorescence assay on asexual blood stages of the NF54 (drug sensitive), K1 and W2 (drug resistant) strains of P. falciparum. In Table 1 are presented the activities and the resistance index (RI) for each drug resistant strain. Dose response curves are given in the Supplementary Figures S1A–C. Also included in Table 1 for direct comparison of activities against celastrol are data for the internal reference standards MB and artemisone recorded at the same time as for celastrol. The activities correlate with the data previously reported for these compounds including the other artemisinin derivatives of Table 1 (Coertzen et al., 2018; Wong et al., 2019).

Interestingly, celastrol displays antimalarial activities somewhat similar to those previously recorded (IC50 P. falciparum NF54 564 nM, P. falciparum K1 401 nM) using the tritiated hypoxanthine assay (Li et al., 2019), confirming that activities of celastrol are orders of magnitude inferior to those of the artemisinins. Whilst the activities in terms of IC50 data are better than those of the naphthoquinone menadione recorded using the tritiated hypoxanthine assay (IC50 9.6–12 µM) (Lanfranchi et al., 2012),
there are more recent examples of redox active naphthoquinones displaying superior activities against asexual blood stages of drug-sensitive and -resistant *P. falciparum* (Verlinden et al., 2011; Ehrhardt et al., 2016; Sidorov et al., 2016; Ahenkorah et al., 2020; Ng et al., 2022).

### 3.1.2 Stage specific gametocyte activity

Stage-specific activities of celastrol against immature (stage II-III) and late stage (stage IV-V) gametocytes were determined using the luciferase based assay against the Luc reporter cell line; results are representative of three biological replicates (*n* = 3), each performed in technical triplicates, mean ± SEM; data are from 48 h for immature gametocytes and 72 h for late stage IV-V, gametocytes drug incubation period (Coertzen et al., 2018; Wong et al., 2019).

#### Table 2 Activities (nM) in vitro against immature stage II-III and late stage IV-V *P. falciparum* NF54 gametocytes.

| Compound        | Immature stage II-III (luc 48 h) IC₅₀ nM | Late stage IV-V (luc 72 h) IC₅₀ nM | Fold change preference ratio EG to LG | Fold change preference ratio LG to EG |
|-----------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| **Methylene Blue**<sup>a</sup> | 95.0 ± 11.3                            | 143.0 ± 16.7                       | 1.5                                  | 0.7                                  |
| **DHA**<sup>b</sup> | 43.0 ± 3.9                             | 33.66 ± 1.98                       | 0.78                                 | 1.3                                  |
| **Artemether**<sup>b</sup> | 37.7 ± 2.0                             | 136.2 ± 85.9                      | 3.6                                  | 0.28                                 |
| **Artesunate**<sup>d</sup> | 62.8 ± 3.0                             | 259.4 ± 80                         | 4.1                                  | 0.24                                 |
| **Celastrol**   | 1,160 ± 66.5                           | 282.4 ± 96.2                      | 0.2                                  | 4.1                                  |
| **Artemiside**<sup>d</sup> | 16.4 ± 1.0                            | 1.5 ± 0.5                          | 0.09                                 | 10.9                                 |
| **Artemisinone**<sup>d</sup> | 1.94 ± 0.11                           | 42.4 ± 3.3                         | 21.9                                 | 0.05                                 |

<sup>a</sup>Structures of artemisinins in Figure 1, of celastrol in Figure 4; IC₅₀ values against 

<sup>b</sup>Immature stage II-III, gametocytes (>90%) determined using the luciferase based assay against the Luc reporter cell line; results are representative of three biological replicates (*n* = 3), each performed in technical triplicates, mean ± SEM; data are from 48 h for immature gametocytes and 72 h for late stage IV-V, gametocytes drug incubation period.

<sup>d</sup>Data from Coertzen et al., 2018, Wong et al., 2019.

The standout features are the relative toxicity of DHA towards the normal cell lines (SI < 1), and the selective activities of artemiside and artemisone against liver hepatocellular carcinoma cell lines (SI > 183 and >171 respectively), compared with celastrol which displays no selectivity with respect to normal hepatocytes (SI < 1, Table 4) (Klaic et al., 2012).

Next, combinations of each of artemiside and artemisone with celastrol were examined, according to the method used to establish additivity/synergism described above. Interestingly, the mean of ΣFIC<1.0 for assays against HepG2 carcinoma cell lines indicates each of artemiside and artemisone display synergism
with celastrol. Against the normal hepatocyte LO2 cell line, whilst the interaction of artemisone with celastrol was synergistic, that of artemiside was additive. Synergism and additivity were also evident from the linear plots determined from the FIC values of each of the drugs (Table 5 and Figure 6). Thus, synergism is confirmed for combinations of the amino-artemisinins with
celastrol against the HepG2 carcinoma cell line, and for artemisone-celastrol against human normal hepatocyte LO2.

4 Conclusion

The activities of the oxidant amino-artemisinins artemiside and artemisone as well as the redox active drug celastrol were determined against *P. falciparum* blood-stage asexual drug sensitive NF54 and multidrug resistant K1 and W2 parasite strains. It is demonstrated that the combinations of each of artemisone and of MB with celastrol are additive, with a final ΣFIC of 1.06 for artemisone with celastrol and a final ΣFIC of 1.25 for MB with celastrol. Therefore, for the asexual blood stage parasites, the results for the artemisone-celastrol combination are similar to the artemisone-MB combinations recorded earlier, wherein an ΣFIC of 1.14 is observed (Coertzen et al., 2018). With respect to the oxidant mode of action of the artemisinin, this thus strongly supports the precept of common redox mechanistic pathways for MB and celastrol. That is, it is likely that celastrol exerts oxidative stress through attrition of reduced flavin cofactors associated with redox-active flavoenzymes such as glutathione reductase, thioredoxin reductase and others responsible for maintaining redox homeostasis in the malaria parasite. For the first time, the effect of celastrol against blood stage gametocytes was evaluated. In comparison with the gametocytocidal activities of known naphthoquinones, celastrol showed good activity against late stage gametocytes. The results provide substantial impetus for examining the antimalarial mechanism of action of celastrol. Thus, proposed future work will aim to more precisely define the redox activity of celastrol including a delineation of its actual effects on reduced flavin cofactors in relation to the behaviour of MB (cf. Figure 3) (Haynes et al., 2012) and of naphthoquinones, as discussed in the Introduction.

For the antitumour activities, the key features that emerge here are the selectivities of artemiside and artemisone (SI > 170) toward the HepG2 cancer cell line with respect to LO2 normal cell line. Selectivity towards hepatocellular carcinoma elicited by artemisinins both *in vitro* and *in vivo* have been recorded previously (Hou et al., 2008; Nandi et al., 2021) but here, an advantage is conferred by the relative lack of toxicity of artemisone especially with respect to the relatively neurotoxic DHA and its prodrugs artesunate and arteether (Schmeer et al., 2005; Haynes et al., 2006; Vivas et al., 2007; Nagelschmitz et al., 2008; Wu et al., 2016; Watson et al., 2021). Artemiside that is active against the HepG2 carcinoma cell line as noted here, and against malaria and other apicomplexan parasites is metabolized to artemisone and other active metabolites *in vivo* that in essence greatly extends the half-life of active drug and enhances overall bioavailability (Gibhard et al., 2021). Thus, the potential of artemiside to act as an antitumour agent requires further

---

**FIGURE 6**

Isobole analyses of combinations of each of artemiside and artemisone with celastrol against HepG2 liver hepatocellular carcinoma and LO2 human normal hepatocyte cell lines; results are representative of four independent biological repeats (n = 4), each performed as technical triplicates, ± SEM.
evaluation. In addition, we show here that drug interactions between each of artemiside and artemisone with celastrol are synergistic, thus supporting the strategy of combining the oxidant amino-artemisinin with the redox active celastrol. In this sense, the parallel in activities of the artemisone-celastrol combination with the artemisone - elesclomol-Cu as noted in the Introduction is apparent, and may involve a similar mechanistic pathway.

Overall, even though celastrol is so biologically active, its non-selectivity with respect to cytotoxicity towards normal cell lines is an issue, as has been noted on many occasions previously. Clearly, evaluation of selective formulation methods (Huang, T, et al., 2020; Shi et al., 2020; Guo et al., 2021; Waghe et al., 2021) or of relatively non-toxic derivatives (Klaić et al., 2012; Bassanini et al., 2021; Coghi et al., 2021) must continue in order to develop celastrol as a potent and successful drug.
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