Optical Structural Analysis of Individual α-Synuclein Oligomers
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Abstract: Small aggregates of misfolded proteins play a key role in neurodegenerative disorders. Such species have proved difficult to study due to the lack of suitable methods capable of resolving these heterogeneous aggregates, which are smaller than the optical diffraction limit. We demonstrate here an all-optical fluorescence microscopy method to characterise the structure of individual protein aggregates based on the fluorescence anisotropy of dyes such as thioflavin-T, and show that this technology is capable of studying oligomers in human biofluids such as cerebrospinal fluid. We first investigated in vitro the structural changes in individual oligomers formed during the aggregation of recombinant α-synuclein. By studying the diffraction-limited aggregates we directly evaluated their structural conversion and correlated this with the potential of aggregates to disrupt lipid bilayers. We finally characterised the structural features of aggregates present in cerebrospinal fluid of Parkinson's disease patients and age-matched healthy controls.

The formation and spread of small aggregates of proteins such as α-synuclein, β-amyloid or tau is reported in a wide range of neurodegenerative diseases. Of these, Parkinson's disease (PD) is characterised by the accumulation of a misfolded and aggregated protein called α-synuclein within neurons to form Lewy neurites and Lewy bodies. Genetic and pathological evidence suggests that the protein α-synuclein is central to neurodegeneration in PD. Specifically, the transition from an intrinsically disordered α-synuclein monomer through a series of oligomeric intermediates (with varying structures and size) to a highly structured filament is recognised to drive pathogenesis in α-synucleinopathies. Furthermore, aggregates of α-synuclein exhibit cell–cell transfer, leading to seeding and recruitment of more protein molecules to form additional aggregates that can generate new seeds in an exponential way leading to the region–region spread of disease. The distinct structure of α-synuclein aggregates has a role in its pathogenic properties, in particular, the toxicity of the aggregate, the cell type affected, seed competency, and the regional transfer of pathology. This dramatic effect of the structure of the ordered assembly on the pathogenic pathway in the brain underpins the importance of understanding the order/structure of α-synuclein aggregates.

Previous studies have shown aggregates to be very diverse in terms of their mechanisms of formation, size and structure. Bulk measurements obtained with conventional, ensemble-based, biophysical techniques are able to characterise many features of these heterogeneous aggregates but new quantitative tools are needed to specifically characterise in greater detail the structural features of individual aggregates, particularly in human tissue and biological fluids. By means of single-molecule fluorescence resonance energy transfer (smFRET) experiments, a subpopulation of aggregates formed with fluorescently labelled α-synuclein has previously been shown to undergo a slow structural rearrangement before growing into fibrils. This conversion can generate more cross-β structure and the resulting aggregates were reported to be both, more resistant to protease-K and more toxic to cells. Unlabelled fibrils of amyloid-β or α-synuclein can be imaged with total internal fluorescence microscopy and structurally specific dyes such as thioflavin T (ThT) opening the possibilities of studying aggregates in human biofluids. At a single fibril level, dyes such as ThT or Congo Red have been shown to bind insulin fibrils in an ordered way, and by monitoring the intensity as a function of the polarisation angle, these dye classes can provide information on the structure of fibrils.

In this work, we have characterised structural features of aggregates formed by an amyloidogenic protein, by implementing a highly sensitive fluorescence anisotropy setup. Fluorophores absorb light with a probability proportional to the square of the dot product of the local optical electric field and the molecular transition dipole moment. Thus when a dye binds in a defined orientation it emits highly polarised anisotropically. We therefore built a bespoke anisotropy instrument to study the structure of spatially isolated amyloid aggregates by placing a polariser in the detection path which rotates continuously during image acquisition. From these measurements, ThT is a widely-used benzothiazole dye that increases its fluorescence quantum yield by several orders of magnitude.
Experiments suggest that the dye preferentially binds with its long axis parallel to the long axis of fibrils, but depending on the protein under study and the structure of the fibril other binding sites may exist. When single α-synuclein fibrils are imaged as a function of the angle of the axis of polarisation, their fluorescence modulates sinusoidally between a maximum when the axis is aligned with the fibril and a minimum if the axis is perpendicular to the fibril (Figure 1b–d). This further confirms that the dominant binding site (or possibly sites) of ThT is aligned with the axis of the α-synuclein fibrils.

A measure of the degree of extended ordered cross-β structure in an aggregate is the amplitude of the fluorescence signal of ThT as the polariser rotates. The normalised fluorescence intensity as a function of polariser angular displacement of each individual protein aggregate was fitted to a sinusoid (see Methods section and Figure S1 in the Supporting Information (SI)). The fluorescence was fitted to $y = \text{acos}(bx + c) + d$, where $a$ represents the amplitude of the signal, $b$ the constant angular frequency (user-defined rotation velocity of the polariser), $c$ the phase and $d$ an offset (Figure 1e). The response to the anisotropy measurement is defined by: $\text{modulation} = 2a/(a + d)$. A small modulation value implies disordered β-sheet content in the aggregate, whereas a larger modulation value implies spatially aligned β-sheet content.

We used a single-molecule sensitive TIRF imaging mode to measure the structural arrangement of individual spatially isolated diffraction-limited aggregates which we have previously characterised using super-resolution techniques. We performed an aggregation reaction for recombinant α-synuclein and focused on the kinetics of the lag phase of the aggregation. The reaction was done at a concentration of 70 μM under agitation at 200 rpm in 25 mM Tris buffer (pH 7.4) supplemented with 0.1 mM NaCl and 0.01% NaN₃ at 37°C. The aggregation reaction was performed in low binding polypropylene tubes to minimise protein adhering to the tubes. More specifically we analysed samples taken from the aggregation reaction at times between 1 h and 4 h. At longer times aggregates larger than the diffraction limit of optical light (i.e. ≈ 170 nm) start to form. A histogram of modulation magnitude upon binding extended cross-β structures. Experiments suggest that the dye preferentially binds with its long axis parallel to the long axis of fibrils, but depending on the protein under study and the structure of the fibril other binding sites may exist. When single α-synuclein fibrils are imaged as a function of the angle of the axis of polarisation, their fluorescence modulates sinusoidally between a maximum when the axis is aligned with the fibril and a minimum if the axis is perpendicular to the fibril (Figure 1b–d). This further confirms that the dominant binding site (or possibly sites) of ThT is aligned with the axis of the α-synuclein fibrils.

A measure of the degree of extended ordered cross-β structure in an aggregate is the amplitude of the fluorescence signal of ThT as the polariser rotates. The normalised fluorescence intensity as a function of polariser angular displacement of each individual protein aggregate was fitted to a sinusoid (see Methods section and Figure S1 in the Supporting Information (SI)). The fluorescence was fitted to $y = \text{acos}(bx + c) + d$, where $a$ represents the amplitude of the signal, $b$ the constant angular frequency (user-defined rotation velocity of the polariser), $c$ the phase and $d$ an offset (Figure 1e). The response to the anisotropy measurement is defined by: $\text{modulation} = 2a/(a + d)$. A small modulation value implies disordered β-sheet content in the aggregate, whereas a larger modulation value implies spatially aligned β-sheet content.

We used a single-molecule sensitive TIRF imaging mode to measure the structural arrangement of individual spatially isolated diffraction-limited aggregates which we have previously characterised using super-resolution techniques. We performed an aggregation reaction for recombinant α-synuclein and focused on the kinetics of the lag phase of the aggregation. The reaction was done at a concentration of 70 μM under agitation at 200 rpm in 25 mM Tris buffer (pH 7.4) supplemented with 0.1 mM NaCl and 0.01% NaN₃ at 37°C. The aggregation reaction was performed in low binding polypropylene tubes to minimise protein adhering to the tubes. More specifically we analysed samples taken from the aggregation reaction at times between 1 h and 4 h. At longer times aggregates larger than the diffraction limit of optical light (i.e. ≈ 170 nm) start to form. A histogram of modulation magnitude upon binding extended cross-β structures. Experiments suggest that the dye preferentially binds with its long axis parallel to the long axis of fibrils, but depending on the protein under study and the structure of the fibril other binding sites may exist. When single α-synuclein fibrils are imaged as a function of the angle of the axis of polarisation, their fluorescence modulates sinusoidally between a maximum when the axis is aligned with the fibril and a minimum if the axis is perpendicular to the fibril (Figure 1b–d). This further confirms that the dominant binding site (or possibly sites) of ThT is aligned with the axis of the α-synuclein fibrils.

A measure of the degree of extended ordered cross-β structure in an aggregate is the amplitude of the fluorescence signal of ThT as the polariser rotates. The normalised fluorescence intensity as a function of polariser angular displacement of each individual protein aggregate was fitted to a sinusoid (see Methods section and Figure S1 in the Supporting Information (SI)). The fluorescence was fitted to $y = \text{acos}(bx + c) + d$, where $a$ represents the amplitude of the signal, $b$ the constant angular frequency (user-defined rotation velocity of the polariser), $c$ the phase and $d$ an offset (Figure 1e). The response to the anisotropy measurement is defined by: $\text{modulation} = 2a/(a + d)$. A small modulation value implies disordered β-sheet content in the aggregate, whereas a larger modulation value implies spatially aligned β-sheet content.

We used a single-molecule sensitive TIRF imaging mode to measure the structural arrangement of individual spatially isolated diffraction-limited aggregates which we have previously characterised using super-resolution techniques. We performed an aggregation reaction for recombinant α-synuclein and focused on the kinetics of the lag phase of the aggregation. The reaction was done at a concentration of 70 μM under agitation at 200 rpm in 25 mM Tris buffer (pH 7.4) supplemented with 0.1 mM NaCl and 0.01% NaN₃ at 37°C. The aggregation reaction was performed in low binding polypropylene tubes to minimise protein adhering to the tubes. More specifically we analysed samples taken from the aggregation reaction at times between 1 h and 4 h. At longer times aggregates larger than the diffraction limit of optical light (i.e. ≈ 170 nm) start to form. A histogram of modulation magnitude upon binding extended cross-β structures. Experiments suggest that the dye preferentially binds with its long axis parallel to the long axis of fibrils, but depending on the protein under study and the structure of the fibril other binding sites may exist. When single α-synuclein fibrils are imaged as a function of the angle of the axis of polarisation, their fluorescence modulates sinusoidally between a maximum when the axis is aligned with the fibril and a minimum if the axis is perpendicular to the fibril (Figure 1b–d). This further confirms that the dominant binding site (or possibly sites) of ThT is aligned with the axis of the α-synuclein fibrils.
values for each time point revealed that oligomers present at 1 h and 2 h have low modulation values (typically lower than 0.5), while at 3 h we found a distinct population of oligomers that respond with high modulation values (Figure 2). These data are indicative of the structural rearrangement from a relatively amorphous oligomer into a “fibril-like” periodic structure. The modulation measurement does not correlate with the fluorescence intensity of the aggregate (SI, Figure S2 and S3), suggesting that the number of ThT binding sites can remain constant during a structural re-arrangement.

Although there is a variability associated with the stochasticity of the nucleation process during the lag phase of the aggregation, independent experiments show the presence of the two populations of aggregates and the same trend for the evolution of the species (SI, Figure S4).

Combining all the detected aggregates, the overall distribution of the degree of modulation can be fitted to two Gaussian distributions (Figure 3a). When compared to the modulation of long fibrils (for example formed after 24 h of aggregation, yielding fibrils that are several µm long), we observe that the fibrils typically have higher modulation values (Figure 3b). The histogram of oligomers and fibrils can be therefore fitted to three Gaussian distributions (Figure 3c). The population corresponding to low modulation values have some cross-β content (as ThT binds to them), and behaves in a similar way to fluorescent beads (SI, Figure S5), meaning that these aggregates are not structurally aligned. The scatter plot of modulation vs. the mean intensity of all measured aggregates suggested once again that disordered aggregates convert to fibril-like aggregates without an increase in integrated fluorescence intensity (Figure 3d). We observed that fibrils are characterised by high modulation and intensity values (Figure 3d). This strong fluorescence anisotropy response of fibrils can be achieved by labelling with other fluorescent dyes such as pentamerifomythiophene acetic acid (pFTAA) which has also been shown to bind to cross-β structures[25] (SI, Figure S6).

In order to understand further the evolution of aggregates we globally fitted two Gaussian functions to each time point, pooling data obtained in three independent aggregation reactions to describe better the landscape of aggregates (Figure 3a). The integrated areas of the Gaussians give an estimate of the number of aggregates in each population (Figure 4a). The results show that non-modulating aggregates appear before modulating ones, and at a slower rate,
suggesting that there is a conversion of non-modulating to modulating aggregates. In contrast to these well-defined populations, aggregates formed during an aggregation reaction of α-synuclein at low monomer concentration (1 μM for 1 month) display a broader distribution of modulation responses, suggesting that a wider variety of species are formed over long periods of time (SI, Figure S7).

To correlate the structural information of the aggregated species with the ability of these aggregates to generate toxic effects in cells we evaluated their capability to disrupt membranes with a technique that uses vesicles filled with a Ca$^{2+}$ sensitive dye. Upon the interaction of a protein aggregate with the vesicle’s membrane, Ca$^{2+}$ ions enter the vesicle from the surrounding solution and hence becomes fluorescent. This change in fluorescence can be detected using TIRF microscopy. We imaged individual liposomes in the presence of Ca$^{2+}$ buffer (blank), followed by the addition of an aliquot of α-synuclein aggregates at a concentration of 50 nM and subsequent addition of ionomycin. In the presence of only Ca$^{2+}$ buffer, the fluorescence intensity of the vesicles was low and comparable to that of background noise due to minimal Ca$^{2+}$ presence within the vesicle. After incubation (for 10 minutes) with α-synuclein samples, we detected an increase in the localised fluorescence intensity of the vesicles showing that Ca$^{2+}$ ions could enter the vesicles as a consequence of the aggregates’ induced membrane permeability. Subsequent addition of ionomycin, an ionophore enabling Ca$^{2+}$ to enter the vesicles, results in the saturation of all vesicles with Ca$^{2+}$ ions, allowing us to quantify the extent of membrane disruption (Figure 4c).

The permeabilisation assay showed a linear increase of the Ca$^{2+}$ influx after incubation with aggregates (previously aggregated for 1 h to 4 h), suggesting that both disordered and fibril-like aggregates induce calcium influx in the liposomes (Figure 4b). Given that the trend of modulating species dominates the later time points while the abundance of non-modulating aggregates increases at a slower rate (Figure 4a), our results suggest that modulating species have a higher ability to disrupt membranes.

To demonstrate the broad applicability of our technique, we also applied the method to samples of human cerebrospinal fluid (CSF), comparing aged-matched healthy controls (HC) to Parkinson’s disease (PD) patients. By analysing the modulation of individual species in each group (obtained from 4 HC and 4 PD samples), we found that the large majority of species show non-modulating behaviour. (Figure 5a,b) meaning that they are disordered. Only a small fraction (∼1%) of species showed modulating behaviour in both HC and PD groups (Figure 5c). Species with higher modulation values (modulation over 0.45) in PD patients have a mean of 0.57 compared to HC with a mean of 0.48 (inset Figure 5c). The abundance of these modulating species in CSF is very low and therefore prevents us from a robust statistical analysis. Further studies need to be done to characterise these ordered species, as they are candidates to be involved in toxicity and spreading mechanisms. However, this does demonstrate the technique is capable of making structural measurements in human CSF.

In summary, we have demonstrated that by combining sensitive TIRF microscopy with anisotropy measurements, one can directly characterise the structural features of individual oligomers. This method is highly flexible as it does not require protein labelling, but rather a dye that recognises cross-β motifs. We have shown the conversion from disordered aggregates of α-synuclein to fibrillar aggregates, in agreement with previously reported smFRET measurements. Furthermore, our experiments suggest that modulating aggregates have a higher capacity to disrupt lipid membranes. Our results provide clear evidence that most ThT active species in CSF are disordered, but do, however, contain cross-β sheet structure. Our ability to analyse single aggregates individually allowed us to detect an ultra-low abundance of fibril-like species in human CSF. This methodology is compatible with other proteins whose aggregation has been associated with human disorders such as amyloid-β, tau, lysozyme or insulin. Overall this approach provides a new method to characterise the degree of fibrillation in individual protein aggregates, contributing to the set of biophysical methods needed to understand some of the most fundamental mechanisms in neurodegeneration.
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