Risk Factors for Loosening of S2 Alar Iliac Screw: Surgical Outcomes of Adult Spinal Deformity
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Study Design: Retrospective study.
Purpose: To determine the risk factors for S2 alar iliac (S2AI) screw loosening and its association with lumbosacral fusion in patients with adult spinal deformity (ASD).
Overview of Literature: S2AI screws have been widely used for ASD surgery in recent years. However, no studies have analyzed the risk factors for loosening of S2AI screws and its association with lumbosacral fusion.
Methods: Cases of 50 patients with ASD who underwent long spinal fusion (>9 levels) with S2AI screws were retrospectively reviewed. Loosening of S2AI screws and S1 pedicle screws and bone fusion at the level of L5–S1 at 2 years after surgery were investigated using computed tomography. In addition, risk factors for loosening of S2AI screws were determined in patients with ASD.
Results: At 2 years after surgery, 33 cases (66%) of S2AI screw loosening and six cases (12%) of S1 pedicle screw loosening were observed. In 40 of 47 cases (85%), bone fusion at L5–S1 was found. Pseudarthrosis at L5–S1 was not significantly associated with S2AI screw loosening (19.3% vs. 6.3%, p=0.23), but significantly higher in patients with S1 screw loosening (83.3% vs. 4.9%, p<0.001). On multivariate logistic regression analyses, high upper instrumented vertebra (UIV) level (T5 or above) (odds ratio [OR], 4.4; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.0–18.6; p=0.045) and obesity (OR, 11.4; 95% CI, 1.2–107.2; p=0.033) were independent risk factors for S2AI screw loosening.
Conclusions: High UIV level (T5 or above) and obesity were independent risk factors for S2AI screw loosening in patients with lumbosacral fixation in surgery for ASD. The incidence of lumbosacral fusion is associated with S1 screw loosening, but not S2AI screw loosening.
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Introduction
Lumbosacral fixation is crucial in maintaining sagittal and coronal balance and achieving bone fusion in adult spinal deformity (ASD) surgery. However, S1 pedicle screws without iliac fixation were associated with a high...
rate of pseudarthrosis at the lumbosacral joint (33%) and implant failure (44%) [1,2]. Sacropelvic fixation by adding iliac screws distal to the S1 pedicle screws may decrease the force on the S1 pedicle screws and improve lumbosacral fusion [3]. S2 alar iliac (S2AI) screws may achieve less revision surgery with lower-profile and lower-rate loosening compared with iliac screws as an anchor of sacropelvic fixation [4-7].

Screw loosening is represented by a radiolucent area around the screw and indicates loss of screw fixation and may cause pseudarthrosis [8]. Risk factors for loosening of S1 pedicle screws and iliac screw have been investigated [9,10]. Some studies found a possible etiology of S2AI screw loosening [11,12], that is, S2AI screws penetrating the sacroiliac joint without bone fusion of the joint. However, apparently no studies have analyzed the risk factors for S2AI screw loosening. In addition, to our knowledge, the association of S2AI screw loosening with lumbosacral fusion has not yet been reported.

This study aimed to determine risk factors for S2AI screw loosening and its association with lumbosacral fusion in patients with ASD.

**Materials and Methods**

1. **Patient population**

Records of 55 consecutive patients with ASD who underwent long instrumented spine fusion (>9 levels) using S2AI screws in Seirei Sakura Citizen Hospita from November 2011 to April 2016 were retrospectively reviewed. All patients had a minimum of 2-year follow-up. Patients who underwent whole spine anteroposterior and lateral standing radiography and computed tomography (CT) preoperatively, immediately postoperatively, and 2 years postoperatively were included. Five patients who lacked postoperative CT were excluded. Thus, this present study included cases of 50 patients. This study protocol, including review of patient records, was approved by the review board of Seirei Sakura Citizen Hospital (IRB approval no., 30-12). Informed consent was obtained from all patients included in the study.

2. **Surgical procedure**

All S2AI screws had been inserted using an O-arm-assisted spinal navigation system (Medtronic Sofamor Danek, Memphis, TN, USA). S2AI screws with diameter of 7.5 or 8.5 mm and length of 50–90 mm were used. Deformity correction had been performed in all patients via vertebral column resection (VCR), pedicle subtraction osteotomy (PSO), or lateral lumber interbody fusion with a cancellous allograft and autograft. The number of levels fused and correction techniques were at the discretion of the attending surgeons. Transforaminal lumbar interbody fusion had been usually performed at L5–S1 using a polyetheretherketone cage with a cancellous allograft except for cases of preoperative spontaneous bone bridging at L5–S1. Th10 were selected for UIV, but Th5 or higher for patients with thoracic scoliosis and Parkinson’s disease. All surgery had been performed by the same team of four experienced spine surgeons.

3. **Patient data**

Baseline characteristics were retrospectively investigated, including age at surgery, sex, body mass index (BMI, kg/m²), bone mineral density (BMD), instrumented fusion levels, upper instrumented vertebra (UIV) level (T5 and above or below T5), with or without L5–S1 interbody fusion, and diameter and length of S2AI screws. BMD of lumbar spine was influenced by degeneration and did not exactly reflect osteoporosis; hence, BMD of the femoral neck had been determined by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry.

4. **Radiographic analysis**

In the lateral whole spine radiographs, sagittal vertical axis (SVA) was measured as the distance from the posterior superior edge of the S1 to the C7 plumb line. Pelvic incidence (PI) was measured from the angle subtended by a perpendicular from the cephalad endplate of S1 and a line connecting the center of the femoral head to the center of the cephalad endplate of S1. Thoracic kyphosis (TK) was measured as the angle from the upper endplate of T5 to the lower endplate of T12, and lumbar lordosis (LL) was measured as the angle from the upper endplate of L1 to the upper endplate of the S1. PI–LL was obtained as global spine alignment.

On CT images obtained 2 years postoperatively, signs of loosening of the S1 pedicle screws and S2AI screws on both right and left sides were examined. Loosening was defined as a radiolucent area (>1.5 mm) around the screw.
Bone fusion at L5–S1 in CT images obtained 2 years postoperatively was examined. Bone fusion was defined as a bridging bone formation in the L5–S1 disk space, the L5–S1 facet joint, or between the L5 transverse process and S1 alar [13,14].

To evaluate intraobserver and interobserver reliability, 20 of 50 cases were randomly selected. Loosening of S1 and S2AI screws and bone fusion at the L5–S1 were assessed from CT images by two orthopedic spinal surgeons who were both blinded to clinical data and outcome. The observer reviewed the same patient series in a second session. Kappa coefficients were used to evaluate the reproducibility of loosening and bone fusion judgment [15]. The intraobserver and interobserver reliabilities were acceptable (Table 1).

### Table 1. Kappa coefficients for intraobserver and interobserver reliability

| Characteristic                  | Intraobserver | Interobserver |
|--------------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| S1 screw loosening             | 0.79          | 0.66          |
| S2AI screw loosening           | 0.95          | 0.95          |
| Bone fusion at L5/S1           | 1.0           | 1.0           |

S2AI screw, S2 alar iliac screw.

(Fig. 1) [9]. Bone fusion at L5–S1 in CT images obtained 2 years postoperatively was examined. Bone fusion was defined as a bridging bone formation in the L5–S1 disk space, the L5–S1 facet joint, or between the L5 transverse process and S1 alar [13,14].

To evaluate intraobserver and interobserver reliability, 20 of 50 cases were randomly selected. Loosening of S1 and S2AI screws and bone fusion at the L5–S1 were assessed from CT images by two orthopedic spinal surgeons who were both blinded to clinical data and outcome. The observer reviewed the same patient series in a second session. Kappa coefficients were used to evaluate the reproducibility of loosening and bone fusion judgment [15]. The intraobserver and interobserver reliabilities were acceptable (Table 1).

### 5. Statistical analysis

Student t-test was used to analyze continuous variables, and Fisher’s exact test for categorical variables. Patients were divided into two groups according to the presence of S2AI screw loosening (loosening group [at least one loose S2AI screw] and a nonloosening group). Age, sex, BMI, BMD, instrumented fusion levels, UIV level, with or without L5–S1 interbody fusion, diameter and length of S2AI screws, and spinopelvic parameters (SVA, PI, TK, LL, PI−LL) were compared between the two groups. A multivariate logistic regression analysis was conducted to identify predictors of S2AI screw loosening. Obesity, UIV level, preoperative SVA, and PI−LL were included in the multivariate model, because these factors had a $p<0.25$ in the univariate analyses and were considered clinically important. Odds ratios (ORs) were reported with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). All $p<0.05$ were considered statistically significant. JMP software package ver. 10.0 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA) was used for all analyses.

### Results

Patient characteristics and instrument data for spinal fusion are presented in Table 2. The mean age at the time of surgery was 67.3±8.0 years (range, 47–80 years). There were 45 female and five male patients. Their average BMI was 23.4±4.5 kg/m$^2$ (range, 18.0–40.1 kg/m$^2$). Fourteen patients (28%) whose BMI was ≥25 kg/m$^2$ were considered obese. The average of BMD was 0.59±0.1 g/cm$^2$ (range, 0.31–0.74 g/cm$^2$). Twenty-one patients had poste-
rior only instrumented fusion, and 29 patients had combined anterior and posterior instrumented spinal fusion. The average number of instrumented fusion levels was 12.0±2.7 (range, 9–16). Twenty-six patients had UIV at T5 or above, and 24 patients below T5. An interbody fusion at L5–S1 had been performed in 40 patients and was not performed in 10 patients (three patients had preoperative spontaneous bone bridging at L5–S1). S2AI screws with 7.5-mm diameter were used in nine patients, and screws with 8.5-mm diameter were used in 41 patients. The average length of the S2AI screws was 72.2±13.0 mm (range, 40–90 mm).

1. Surgical outcomes

Preoperative and postoperative spinopelvic parameters are presented in Fig. 2. Preoperative, immediately postoperative, and 2 years postoperative SVA was 127.6±62.8 mm, 39.2±40.7 mm, and 53.3±51.9 mm, respectively. Preoperative, immediately postoperative, and 2 years postoperative PI–LL was 46.2°±21.4°, 4.9°±15.0°, and 9.9°±16.7°, respectively. Spinopelvic parameters improved soon after surgery and were maintained during the 2-year follow-up period. Bone fusion at L5–S1 was found in 40 of 47 patients (85%) except for three with spontaneous preoperative bone fusion.

Table 3 shows the relationship between S2AI and S1 pedicle screw loosening. S2AI screw loosening was found in 33 patients (66%): unilateral in 17 and bilateral in 16. S1 pedicle screw loosening was found in six patients (12%): unilateral in two and bilateral in four. To investigate the association between S2AI and S1 pedicle screw loosening, the screw was judged as loose if a screw on one of the sides was loose. All cases with S1 pedicle screw loosening also showed S2AI screw loosening. However, the S1 pedicle screw loosening rate was not significantly different between the S2AI screw loosening group and nonloosening group (18.2% versus 0%, \(p=0.061\)).

Using O-arm navigation, all S2AI screws properly penetrated the sacroiliac joint, and there was no S2AI or S1 screw misplacement. Revision surgery was required in
two cases in the S2AI screw loosening group due to L5–S1 pseudarthrosis with S2AI screw breakage and rod breakage at L5–S1. In addition, one patient in each group had proximal junctional failure requiring reoperation, and three patients (two in the S2AI screw loosening group and one in the S2AI screw nonloosening group) had rod breakage at the level of PSO or VCR requiring reoperation.

Pseudarthrosis rate at the level of L5–S1 was not significantly associated with S2AI screw loosening (19.3% versus 6.3%, \( p = 0.23 \)) (Table 4), but was significantly higher in patients with S1 screw loosening (83.3% versus 4.9%, \( p < 0.001 \)) (Table 5).

3. Risk factors

Significant differences were found in obesity, preoperative PI, and postoperative PI–LL, PI, and SVA between S2AI screw loosening and nonloosening groups (\( p < 0.05 \)) (Table 6). On multivariate logistic regression analyses with four potential predictors (obesity, UIV level, preoperative SVA, and preoperative PI–LL), high UIV level (T5 or above) (OR, 4.4; 95% CI, 1.0–18.6; \( p = 0.045 \)) and obesity (OR, 11.4; 95% CI, 1.2–107.2; \( p = 0.033 \)) were independently associated with S2AI screw loosening (Table 7).

### Table 4. Relationship between S2AI screw loosening and bone fusion at L5–S1

| Bone fusion at L5–S1 | S2AI screw loosening | \( p \)-value |
|----------------------|----------------------|--------------|
| Yes                  | 25                   | 0.23         |
| No                   | 15                   |              |

Three cases were excluded in this analysis because of preoperative spontaneous fusion. S2AI screw, S2 alar iliac screw.

### Table 5. Relationship between S1 screw loosening and bone fusion at L5–S1

| Bone fusion at L5–S1 | S1 screw loosening | \( p \)-value |
|----------------------|-------------------|--------------|
| Yes                  | 1                 | <0.001*      |
| No                   | 39                |              |

Three cases were excluded in this analysis because of preoperative spontaneous fusion. Statistically significant.

### Table 6. Comparison between S2AI screw loosening and non-loosening group

| Variable                              | S2AI screw loosening | \( p \)-value |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------|
| Age (yr)                              |                      |              |
| Sex (male vs. female)                 |                      |              |
| Obesity (no. of patients)             |                      |              |
| Bone mineral density (g/cm\(^2\))     |                      |              |
| Upper instrumented vertebra (T5 or above vs. below T5) | |              |
| L5/S interbody fusion (yes vs. no)    |                      |              |
| Screw diameter (φ7.5 mm vs. φ8.5 mm)  |                      |              |
| Screw length (mm)                     |                      |              |
| Sagittal vertical axis (mm)           |                      |              |
| Preoperation                          |                      |              |
| Immediate postoperation               |                      |              |
| LL (°)                                |                      |              |
| Preoperation                          |                      |              |
| Immediate postoperation               |                      |              |
| PI (°)                                |                      |              |
| Preoperation                          |                      |              |
| Immediate postoperation               |                      |              |
| Thoracic kyphosis (°)                 |                      |              |
| Preoperation                          |                      |              |
| Immediate postoperation               |                      |              |
| PI–LL (°)                             |                      |              |
| Preoperation                          |                      |              |
| Immediate postoperation               |                      |              |

Values are presented as mean±standard deviation or number. S2AI screw, S2 alar iliac screw; LL, lumbar lordosis; PI, pelvic incidence. Statistically significant. Body mass index ≥25 kg/m\(^2\).

### Table 7. Logistic regression analysis of risk factors for S2 alar iliac screw loosening

| Risk factor                              | \( p \)-value | Odds ratio (95% confidence interval) |
|------------------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|
| Obesity (body mass index ≥25 kg/m\(^2\)) | 0.033*       | 11.4 (1.2–107.2)                     |
| Upper instrumented vertebra T5 or above  | 0.045*       | 4.4 (1.0–18.6)                       |
| Preoperative sagittal vertical axis      | 0.66         |                                     |
| Preoperative pelvic incidence–lumbar lordosis | 0.37     |                                     |

*Statistically significant.
11.4; 95% CI, 1.2–107.2; \( p = 0.033 \)) were independent risk factors of S2AI screw loosening (Table 7).

The representative obese case of S2AI screw loosening with high UIV level was presented (Fig. 3). This patient was a 68-year-old obese woman (BMI, 25.1 kg/m\(^2\)). Deformity correction was performed by lateral lumbar interbody fusion and T5 to pelvic posterior fixation. Two-year postoperative multiplanar reconstruction CT showed that bony fusion was confirmed at the L5–S1 without S1 screw loosening, despite S2 alar iliac bilateral screw loosening.

**Discussion**

High UIV level (T5 or above) and obesity were found to be independent risk factors for S2AI screw loosening after lumbosacral fixation in patients with ASD. The incidence of lumbosacral fusion was associated with S1 screw loosening, but not S2AI screw loosening. To our knowledge, this is the first study to reveal risk factors for S2AI screw loosening and the association between lumbosacral bone fusion and loosening of S1 and S2AI screws.

A high UIV level (T5 or above) was found to be a risk factor for S2AI screw loosening after lumbosacral fixation in patients with ASD. Orita et al. [9] reported that longer fusion using S1 screws in lumbosacral fixation including the lumbosacral junction to treat spondylolisthesis increased the incidence of radiographically defined loosening, and suggested that this was because of the increased local loading to the sacrum [9]. In this study, we found that the risk factors for S2AI screw loosening associated with a high UIV level were consistent with the study by Orita et al. [9]. The long lever arm of the constructs was determined to induce a large cantilever force and provide an explanation for the association.

Furthermore, obesity was found as a risk factor for S2AI screw loosening after lumbosacral fixation in patients.
with ASD. To our knowledge, there are no previous reports revealing the association between obesity and the loosening. High BMI was a risk factor for correction loss after surgery to correct ASD [10]. Anterior translation of the trunk due to a high BMI would increase the magnitude of mechanical stress on the posterior instrument postoperatively. The mechanical stress caused by obesity may increase screw loosening [1,9].

S2AI screw loosening was found in 67% of patients, and S1 screw loosening in 12% of patients 2 years after ASD surgery. Previous studies found that S2AI screw loosening was found in 2.9%–10.4% [5,7,11]. The incidence of S2AI screw loosening found in our study was more than that reported previously. The difference was because we evaluated screw loosening using CT, while previous studies used conventional radiographs [7,11]. We consider that our 2-year postoperative evaluation using CT is more accurate than that of previous studies.

We also found pseudarthrosis at the level of L5–S1 in about 15% of patients 2 years postoperatively. Previous studies found pseudarthrosis at L5–S1 in long fusion cases using S2AI screws in 4.3%–6.1% of patients [6,11]. The incidence of pseudarthrosis found in this study is greater than that reported previously. The difference was because we evaluated pseudarthrosis using CT in all cases, while previous studies used conventional radiographs. In addition, this study showed that the incidence of pseudarthrosis was not different whether or not there was S2AI screw loosening. The incidence of pseudarthrosis was greater in patients with S1 screw loosening. The incidence of S1 pedicle screw loosening (12%) was relatively rare compared with S2AI screw loosening (66%). These findings were because lumbopelvic fixation protects the S1 pedicle screws and improves the chance of successful lumbosacral fusion [13,16]. Even if a S2AI screw was loosened, lumbosacral fusion could still be obtained as long as S1 pedicle screws were not also loosened.

Our findings indicate that patients with a high UIV level or obesity should be observed carefully with strict brace protection. Moreover, patients with ASD and these risk factors should be taught not to move their lumbosacral spine violently until bony fusion is established to prevent S2AI screw loosening.

This study has several limitations. First, clinical outcome scores such as the Oswestry Disability Index and Scoliosis Research Society-22 Questionnaire were not analyzed, because we considered these questionnaires lacking information specifically related to pelvic fixation and we could not compare clinical outcomes related to S2AI screw loosening. Second, the risk factors for S1 screw loosening were not analyzed because the sample size for S1 screw loosening was too small (only six cases) to determine risks. In addition, the association between S1 and S2AI screw loosening was almost significant (p<0.061), which may be due to the smaller sample size. Third, the detailed pathophysiology of ASD in our patients was heterogeneous. Patients ranged from those with primary de novo scoliosis and progressive idiopathic scoliosis to deformity secondary to osteoporotic vertebral fracture. Due to a small sample size, risk factors could not be analyzed according to patient pathophysiology. Despite these limitations, our results provide important information for surgical treatment of ASD using S2AI screws.

Conclusions

This study showed a high UIV level (T5 or above) and obesity were independent risk factors for S2AI screw loosening after lumbosacral fixation in patients with ASD. We also found that the incidence of lumbosacral fusion was associated with S1 screw loosening, but not S2AI screw loosening. Patients with a high UIV level or obesity should be observed carefully until lumbosacral bony fusion.
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