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A B S T R A C T

Molecular oxygen (O$_2$) displays very interesting properties. Its first excited state, commonly known as singlet oxygen ($^1$O$_2$), is one of the so-called Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS). It has been implicated in many redox processes in biological systems. For many decades its role has been that of a deleterious chemical species, although very positive clinical applications in the Photodynamic Therapy of cancer (PDT) have been reported. More recently, many ROS, and also $^1$O$_2$, are in the spotlight because of their role in physiological signaling, like cell proliferation or tissue regeneration. However, there are methodological shortcomings to properly assess the role of $^1$O$_2$ in redox biology with classical generation procedures. In this review the direct optical excitation of O$_2$ to produce $^1$O$_2$ will be introduced, in order to present its main advantages and drawbacks for biological studies. This photonic approach can provide with many interesting possibilities to understand and put to use ROS in redox signaling and in the biomedical field.

1. Introduction

As presented in the enlightening and seminal book “Oxygen: The molecule that made the world” by Lane [1], molecular oxygen (O$_2$) is a fascinating compound. This element has shaped the Earth at many levels, from the geophysical one to the atmosphere and living entities. Its build-up in the atmosphere around 2.7-2.3 billion years ago triggered what has probably been the most devastating biological crisis and extinction event the Earth has witnessed. Once oxygen had accumulated in sufficient quantities, living organisms adapted to it, some of them even “learning” how to use it to further oxidize reduced compounds to obtain much more energy.

With this oxygen incorporation to the metabolic network of living cells, it came as no surprise that oxygen, and its partly reduced chemical products known as Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS), also assumed regulating and signaling roles [2]. This signaling role has only recently started to be acknowledged by the scientific community, in what is becoming a new branch of biology known as Redox Biology [3–5]. Within this field, the deleterious effects of ROS, whenever they are produced in too large quantities or at inappropriate times/places in living organisms, are also explored to provide answers to many disorders and diseases that have their origin in a redox deregulation of cell physiology.

Currently, the physiological and pathological role of ROS is a very fast growing area. In this review I will focus in one of these ROS, in particular singlet oxygen ($^1$O$_2$). Even within this reactive species, a whole range of articles and reviews already exist ([6–10] to name a few reviews), as it is usually invoked as the main cytotoxic compound involved in the clinical therapeutic approach known as Photodynamic Therapy (PDT) [11–15]. However, it has turned out that $^1$O$_2$, as it happens with many other ROS, is also involved in physiological cellular processes [16–18], and/or modulates the cellular redox potential in such a way as to induce those processes [19–21]. As such, the study of $^1$O$_2$ both as a biological damaging compound as well as a cellular signaling agent is of utmost importance. Classically, $^1$O$_2$ has been generated in living systems employing a photodynamic approach (see Section 2.2 for more details on this). Recently, however several groups have re-sparked interest on the possibility to directly excite the oxygen molecule with light at certain wavelengths, for biological research or clinical applications [22]. Some successful light wavelengths employed towards this end have been 1270 nm [23–28], 1065 nm [29–31] and 760 nm [32–34], to name a few. However, it must be mentioned (see details in Section 4) that research on the direct optical excitation of O$_2$ predates by several decades these studies.

In this review I will present and elaborate on the different optical wavelength regions to directly excite O$_2$ with light. First, I will briefly introduce the excited states of molecular oxygen, and their classical excitation approaches (Section 2). Then, an introduction to the biological action of $^1$O$_2$ will be provided (Section 3). In Section 4 the available spectral regions to directly excite O$_2$ for biological purposes...
will be detailed, with emphasis on their advantages and drawbacks. Finally, an outlook on different established or currently under development research areas that can take advantage of this photonic approach will be delineated (Section 5). It must be stressed that this review will deal with the available photonic options to excite O2, with REDOX biology studies in mind. It will only tangentially deal with the proper REDOX biology and chemistry of 1O2 (many different and excellent reviews have already been published on these topics and they will be cited whenever appropriate).

2. Singlet oxygen

The oxygen molecule O2 displays some very particular features. Being the second most electronegative element in the periodic table, it would be expected that O2 is to be a very reactive chemical compound. As it turns out, however, it is a quite unreactive molecule. A testimony to this is the fact that we live in a planet with a 21% oxygen atmospheric content, and nevertheless life thrives and even depends on it. Thus, I will briefly introduce the special characteristics of O2. From this starting point it will be quite straightforward to understand how O2 becomes electronically excited and, by extension, which are the main features of the first excited state commonly known as singlet oxygen (1O2), the topic of this review.

2.1. Basic physical-chemical features of 1O2

The reason why O2 is so unreactive, in spite of its electronegativity, is the fact that in the fundamental state it assumes a triplet electronic configuration [8-10, 35, 36]. The way in which the valence electrons arrange in O2 is such that the configuration with the least energy, has the two outermost electrons in different antibonding orbitals, but with the same spin (see Fig. 1). According to the molecular orbital theory, this arrangement has a triplet character. In formal spectroscopic nomenclature the ground state of O2 is designed as O2 X3Σg⁻ [10, 35]. A less formal designation is 3O2, stressing the triplet character of the ground state. This ground state is a biradical chemical character, which makes it quite unreactive to most chemical compounds [35, 36]. At the same time, this triplet character makes ground state O2 a paramagnetic compound, where oxygen tends to move to the point of strongest magnetic field.

Increasing in energy, the next two electronic levels of O2 are of singlet character. This is due to the rearrangement of the electron spin and orbital occupancy (Fig. 1). A certain amount of energy (~ 0.96 eV) is needed to flip one of the electron’s spins. Now, according to selection rules, both electrons can occupy the same orbital by coupling their spins. This first excited O2 level is designated as O2 (a1Δg), 1O2 (a) or simply 1O2 [10, 35]. A further increase in energy, to 1.62 eV above the ground level, maintains opposite electronic spins, thus singlet in character, but the two electrons again occupy different orbitals. This more energetic state is known as O2 (b1Σg⁺) or 1O2 (b) [10, 35], and it is also a singlet oxygen state. To simplify the nomenclature, and given the biological focus of this review, from this point on I will refer to ground state oxygen (O2 X3Σg⁻) simply as O2, the first singlet excited state - O2 (a1Δg), as 1O2, and to the second singlet excited state - O2 (b1Σg⁺) as 1O2 (b). The second excited state 1O2 (b) converts very fast (~ picoseconds) to the first excited state 1O2 [6, 37], especially in condensed matter (e.g. aqueous solutions), by transforming part of its electronic excitation energy into vibrational oscillations. Therefore, for most singlet oxygen applications in biology, the production of 1O2 (b) can be interpreted as if 1O2 had been directly produced instead. Many optical excitation bands that will be introduced later in this review (Section 4) produce 1O2 (b) in the first place, but this is very quickly transformed into 1O2 in biological systems.

Once produced 1O2 is several orders of magnitude more reactive than 3O2. This is a consequence of the molecule now having a singlet character, which makes it possible for it to interact more efficiently with most chemical compounds [2, 36, 38-40]. Most commonly, 1O2 reacts with other compounds by producing endoperoxides in the first place. These can further lead to generation of radicals, ROS, peroxides, aldehydes, etc. Most previous biological studies, studying the interaction between 1O2 and biological substrates, have focused on the deleterious reactions that 1O2 drives, which upset the smooth biochemistry of living systems. As it will be presented below, a new paradigm is emerging in which 1O2 can, under the right conditions, regulate physiological cell functions [16, 21, 33, 41-49]. Some of the prevalent reactions of 1O2 with biological substrates will be described in Section 3.

An important feature of 1O2 to consider in biological studies is its lifetime. This is the time it takes for a certain amount of 1O2 to decay to some fraction of its original concentration. As an excited species, 1O2 is in a metastable state: it decays to a lower energy state sooner or later. This decay can occur through different deactivation channels (Fig. 2). The simplest deactivation channel is for 1O2 to emit a photon and reach the fundamental state. As the initial and final electronic states are of different multiplicity (singlet vs. triplet), the light emission is termed phosphorescence. The emission is in a relatively narrow band centered at 1270 nm [6, 35, 36]. This radiative transition is forbidden by several electromagnetic and quantum mechanical rules [8]. As such, it takes a long time for it to happen in an undisturbed 1O2 molecule. However, in the condensed phase, the deactivation probability increases due to interaction and perturbations by nearby molecules or atoms, and the lifetime is reduced orders of magnitude. This 1270 nm emission is the counterpart of one of the absorption bands of 3O2 that are the main topic of this review (see Section 4.2).

The main deactivation pathway in water and aqueous solutions is physical quenching by internal conversion to the ground state (Fig. 2). This non-radiative transition takes place spontaneously, competing with light emission at 1270 nm. In condensed media, its occurrence is greatly enhanced due to solvent or solute interaction [44]. The key aspect is that 1O2 deactivation takes place without a net chemical reaction. The excitation energy is lost to the medium first as vibrational energy, which finally decays to heat. The energy coupling among 1O2 and apolar non-hydrogenated solvents is much less efficient, and its lifetime is consequently prolonged, reaching very high values (milli-seconds) [44-46]. Finally, 1O2 can engage in chemical reactions with a host of substrates. Some important examples directly related to biochemical and biological systems will be presented in Section 3.1.
Eventually, heat. This can happen without external influence (internal conversion) or through environmental interactions with other compounds (physical quenching), like a solvent (e.g., H₂O). ¹O₂ can engage in chemical reactions (reactive branch). Three prototypical kinds of reactions are shown: endoperoxide formation (top), peroxide formation (middle), and electron transfer (bottom). In the scheme R: chemical substituent, C: carbon atom, H: hydrogen atom, S: reduced substrate.

Usually the lifetime is expressed as the time it takes for a given ¹O₂ population to decay by 1/e (to ~36.78% of the initial amount) [47,48]. The lifetime is highly variable (from 10⁻⁶ s to 10³ s), and depends on different environmental parameters, like solvent, solvent phase (gas vs. condensed), temperature, polarity, hydrophobicity, etc [6]. Water happens to be one of the less ¹O₂-friendly solvents. This is due to the very high coupling among the electronic excitation of ¹O₂ and the vibrational levels of the H₂O molecule. In consequence, the most probable deactivation pathway for ¹O₂ in H₂O is vibrational dissipation of energy, which quickly decays to heat [6,48]. In aqueous solutions, which obviously are the medium of interest in biological systems, ¹O₂ lifetime is ~4 µs (Fig. 3) [47,48]. This relatively short lifetime allows ¹O₂ to diffuse only a small distance from its inception spot. This diffusion distance has been calculated as ~100–150 nm during one lifetime [47,48]. Thus, we would expect a relatively large spatial control over the “sphere of biological action” of ¹O₂ if we can produce ¹O₂ in a small enough volume. This topic will be elaborated further in Section 5.1. As ubiquitous as H₂O is in living cells, some structures and organelles have a different composition (e.g. lipid membranes). As a consequence, O₂ concentration and ¹O₂ lifetime can be considerably enhanced in those regions and, by extension, chemical/biological activity. These are parameters to be taken into account when ¹O₂ is generated in or close to those structures [34].

A procedure to extend ¹O₂ lifetime and its activity sphere is to introduce heavy water (D₂O) in a living system. D₂O vibrational levels are slightly different from those of H₂O. This is, nevertheless, enough to reduce energy transfer from ¹O₂ to D₂O vibrational levels. As a result, ¹O₂ lifetime in pure D₂O increases to ~68 µs and diffusion distance to ~500–600 nm [47,48]. On the other hand, D₂O is toxic for cells in the long term, but a compromise concentration of 25% – 50% D₂O in H₂O can be employed in experiments, which preserve cell viability enough to obtain relevant results. In fact, the use of D₂O is a reliable method to prove ¹O₂ involvement in biological responses, as it will be explained in more detail in Section 5.1.

2.2. Classical excitation mechanisms of ¹O₂

The classical mechanisms of ¹O₂ excitation will now briefly be presented. In this way, the reader will be able to better appreciate the advantages and drawbacks of the direct optical excitation of ¹O₂, to be introduced in Section 4. One of the most common approaches is to employ a compound that transfers electronic excitation energy to ¹O₂. This compound is known as a photosensitizer (PS). When a PS is excited with light, it becomes electronically excited and can transfer this energy to the O₂ molecule, under the right circumstances. It would be too lengthy to go into detail about this process, as it is outside the scope of this review. However, the interested reader is directed to some comprehensive publications in the literature on this topic [6–10,12]. Suffice it to say that commonly employed PSs absorb light, from the near ultraviolet (near-UV) through the visible to the near infrared (NIR). These compounds show a relatively long excited state lifetime, which allows them to efficiently interact with ¹O₂ and transfer their energy to it. However, many PSs have drawbacks in greater or lesser degree when it comes to their incorporation or interaction with living systems (poor uptake, undesirable cell localization, induction of cell stress or straight cytotoxicity, etc.). For certain studies and applications, it can be desirable to excite ¹O₂ in other ways.

Several methodologies, variants of the classical light-PS photosensitized generation of ¹O₂ are currently in different developmental stages to deal with some of these drawbacks. The use of genetically-encoded PSs is gaining widespread use. In this approach cells are genetically-directed to synthesize a protein that harbors a PS (modified GFP or
other proteins like the recently reported miniSOG) [49]. Under light excitation, these proteins generate ROS, one of them 1O2. This variant offers a large amount of control as to the subcellular PS localization [33,34]. A different intracellular range of 1O2 mentioned in the previous paragraph, the precise positioning of a protein-PS within a certain cellular structure provides a great deal of control in regards to the biology of ROS. Among its drawbacks are the non-negligible interference with endogenous DNA, RNA and protein networks, the limited control over the precise protein-PS localization (for the moment), and the production of different ROS, not restricted to 1O2, during irradiation [33,34].

A second variant to increase control over 1O2 production is the use of non-linear excitation of PSs. It also makes use of a conventional PS, which often has been selected according to its high two-photon absorption. By increasing the exciting light flux rate over the studied area, employing pulsed lasers, the PS absorbs two long-wavelength photons, whose combined energies allow the PS to reach an excited electronic state [10]. Once this happens, the usual energy transfer to 3O2 can take place, leading to 1O2 generation. Two-photon excitation depends critically on the light flux rate, and as a consequence only a focused spot of light is available for PS non-linear excitation. This leads to a very high spatial resolution for the 1O2 source spot (< 1 μm), which is certainly an asset in experiments done at the single cell level [33,34]. However, its application is very limited when it comes to a larger target (e.g. organ or whole animal), and it usually happens that good two-photon absorbing PSs have other undesirable biological constrains, like inadequate cellular uptake. A very recent development of non-linear absorption-sensitized 1O2 generation is the pulsed excitation of metal or semiconductor nanoparticles, leading to the so-called hot-electron excitation [50,51]. Briefly, a very short laser pulse (femtoseconds) excites a small population of electrons in the nanoparticle by plasmon resonance. These excited electrons gain a fairly large amount of energy (few eV). Before they thermalize (100 fs-1ps), they can engage in high-energy chemistry with compounds adsorbed at the nanoparticle’s surface, like 1O2 molecules, for example [52]. So, 1O2 is generated at the interface between nanoparticle and medium. As fascinating as this approach is, it is necessary to introduce the nanoparticles into the living system under study. And then, a fs-pulsed laser source is mandatory for the excitation mechanism to work. These considerations make this methodology useful only for certain experimental conditions, and probably will not become a widespread technique to study the biological role of 1O2.

A quite successful 1O2 production approach is the use of dark chemical reactions, in which light is unnecessary to achieve oxygen excitation. This is possible because certain highly exothermic reactions make enough free energy available to efficiently excite 1O2 [35,36,40]. The reaction produces some excited state product, that collides with 3O2 and transfers the excitation energy, after which 1O2 is obtained. Unfortunately, most chemical reactions producing 1O2 either require very reactive reagents and/or produce very reactive intermediates (e.g. classical reaction between hydrogen peroxide and hypochlorite). These chemical compounds are commonly incompatible with living systems, as they directly damage them. It is worth mentioning, however, that certain biochemical systems [17,53] and cells [17,54,55] do indeed take advantage of some dark reactions to produce 1O2 without need of light. If this production has relevant biological roles, as it seems to, or they occur as a side effect and have a generic deleterious outcome, is not yet clear [2,17]. More details on this will be provided in Section 3.

A particular class of chemical 1O2 sources deserves mentioning at this point. These are endoperoxides of organic molecules that form when the organic precursors interact with 1O2 [35,56,57]. The 1O2 becomes “stored” in the endoperoxide in a kind of latent state. Later, when these endoperoxides are exposed to higher temperatures or light, they release the 1O2 which then diffuses into the surroundings. By modulating the releasing parameter (commonly increasing the temperature), the biological action of 1O2 can be studied [16,58,59]. Advantages of this methodology are the relatively sharp control of the total amount of 1O2 released and the certainty that the only ROS generated in the first place is 1O2. A big issue can be the uptake/interaction of the endoperoxide with cells, and the cytotoxicity of these compounds per se (these organic compounds show aromatic portions which can, for example, lead to nucleic acid intercalation). All in all, they are a nice approach to the study of the biological impact of 1O2, although few publications report on their use towards this goal.

3. Redox biology of 1O2

Here, a brief introduction to the basic chemistry and biological action of 1O2 will be presented. The aim is to sketch the current knowledge in this area, so that the advantages and opportunities, as well as some of the drawbacks, which direct optical excitation of 1O2 offers, can be better understood and appreciated.

3.1. Redox chemistry

The chemistry of 1O2 is dominated, as would be expected, by a strong oxidative behavior. Most commonly, the first reaction step with organic compounds is the establishment of a peroxide intermediate [10,38]. Then, radical species (R•O) are produced, as the RO-OR bond is quite labile. From this point on, radical reactions propagate easily, in many cases involving 1O2 given that it readily engages in reactions with radicals. Therefore, even when the first reaction steps usually narrow down to the generation of peroxides, secondary reactions rapidly widen the available reacting species. Among these one can find organic radicals (-R•), organic alkoxyl radicals (R-•O), organic peroxy radicals (R-O-O•), organic hydroperoxides (R-O-OH), superoxide (•O2-), hydroperoxides (H2O2), hydroxyl radical (•OH), peroxyxynitrite (ONOO•), aldehydes, etc., to name the most important [2-5,60]. It can be concluded that 1O2 is very reactive to compounds showing double bonds, on which the oxygenation can readily proceed. And double bonds are quite abundant in organic compounds and biomolecules.

It is not my intention to review 1O2 chemistry (this topic has been under study for many decades with some important contributions cited here), but just to provide a glimpse of what can happen in a biological system, like a cell, when 1O2 is produced inside it or nearby. But, before briefly describing some of the most important chemical consequences of 1O2 production in living systems, I would like to call the reader’s attention on a phenomenon that most probably has a large impact in the biological action of 1O2: ROS interconversion. By this process one particular class of ROS reacts chemically and transforms into other ROS, which themselves can further transform into other ROS [2,17,49,60]. For example, the superoxide radical (•O2-) readily oxidizes organic compounds and gets itself reduced to H2O2, which further acts to produce hydroxyl radicals (•OH), hypochlorite (OCI-) or nitrogen dioxide (•NO2). Many other reactions are possible. This testifies on the large chemical variability a researcher must face when dealing with redox biology. In fact, it is this chemical interconversion which allows part of the flexibility necessary for efficient ROS-mediated signaling [2-4].

In regards to 1O2 and ROS interconversion, it is known that 1O2 can produce H2O2 when it oxidizes certain biomolecules like ascorbate [61]. This is a critical step to explain physiological signaling mediated by 1O2, as H2O2 is currently recognized as the main physiological signaling ROS [2-5,18-20]. A conversion from 1O2 to H2O2 makes it more accessible to canonical redox receptors (that have evolved to recognize H2O2 as a signal molecule) [18], and increases the sphere of biological activity of the former 1O2, as H2O2 has a much larger diffusion range [48]. Superoxide can be obtained directly from 1O2 by one-electron reduction [62]. Peters and Rodgers reported the single-electron reaction between 1O2 and different variants of NADH compounds [63]. Therefore, 1O2 can engage in one-electron oxidation with the main cell reducing equivalent to convert into other ROS forms. A
conversion from $^{1}$O$_{2}$ to superoxide was also reported due to thermal endoperoxide activation and subsequent $^{1}$O$_{2}$ reaction with N,N-dimethylaniline [64]. These are proof that $^{1}$O$_{2}$ can be efficiently converted to other ROS, currently accepted as signaling molecules in cell biology [3,5,18,20]. Closing the circle, superoxide can promote the production of $^{1}$O$_{2}$ under the right conditions, like reacting with glutathione (GSH), the principal redox potential managing compound in cells [65]. This ROS interconversion is most likely a very important parameter to take into account when $^{1}$O$_{2}$ is generated directly by optical means. I will elaborate further on this in relation to redox biology studies at the end discussion.

3.2. Redox cell stress in relation to $^{1}$O$_{2}$

Following the same general historical trend of research on other ROS, the study of the biological action of $^{1}$O$_{2}$ dealt first with its deleterious and damaging effects on biomolecules and cells. Given its strong oxidizing nature, the production of $^{1}$O$_{2}$ in living systems, even in low amounts, leads to biomolecule oxidation with concomitant alteration of functional moieties/groups and loss of cellular functions [66–68]. Thus, 1O$_{2}$ can be considered a source of redox stress for the cell. One of the most important applications of 1O$_{2}$, the clinical modality known as PDT, takes advantage of the differential redox stress produced by a photodynamic treatment between tumoral and normal tissue [69]. But 1O$_{2}$ can be a health problem in certain circumstances, like atmospheric pollution scenarios, where sun-driven photochemistry can lead to accumulation of this and other reactive chemicals (e.g. O$_{3}$ or NOx).

Cell redox stress related to 1O$_{2}$ exposure has been implicated in mitotic arrest [70], cell senescence [71], inflammatory response [13,15,72], genetic damage [73–75], autophagic death [68,76], apoptosis [13,15,68], and necrosis [15,68]. In consequence, the study of biological responses to damaging concentrations of 1O$_{2}$ is a vigorous research area, both to protect normal cells from its effect, and to optimize PDT outcomes. Also the determination of when the damage threshold for 1O$_{2}$ is reached in a given system is an important question, from a basic science and health care point of view.

As mentioned before, living systems abound in biomolecules displaying double bonds and, in general, having a reductive character. The deleterious biological consequences just mentioned are a consequence of the disturbance of this reductive environment by 1O$_{2}$ and other ROS. In the first place, 1O$_{2}$ has been reported to oxidize a number of small biomolecules, cofactors and intermediate metabolites [61,63,65,68,77]. These oxidative events disrupt the signaling networks on which cell processes sit upon. For example, the increase in intracellular oxidation events leads to a change in the GSH-GSSG (glutathione disulfide) ratio, towards a less negative redox potential (i.e. to a more oxidized baseline) [20]. This, by itself, can start a stress response in the cell due to the redox signaling network based on the GSH-GSSG equilibrium [78].

Oxidative modifications of proteins by 1O$_{2}$ are one of the most studied areas in the biological action of 1O$_{2}$. The modifications with the highest biological impact are those affecting aminoacid side groups. This is because side groups provide the enzymatic capabilities of a given protein. The side groups most easily oxidized by 1O$_{2}$ are: cysteine, methionine, phenylalanine, tyrosine, histidine and tryptophan [16,77]. Thus, sulfur-containing or aromatic aminocids have the largest reactivity towards 1O$_{2}$. The consequences of oxidative damage to proteins are diverse, but always produce a lesser or greater kind of functional disruption and loss of biological action. These can range from reversible oxidation of enzymatic or regulatory sites, to irreversible chemical changes or even peptide/protein denaturation, which can compromise essential cellular structures and processes, such as the plasmatic membrane, organelles, intracellular transit, vesicles, cell movement, mitosis, etc [66,77,79]. Additionally, oxidized proteins can act as secondary sources of ROS and increase the damaging action of these species [71,79].

Nucleic acids have been, along with proteins, the most studied area of biological impact of 1O$_{2}$. This can easily be understood as DNA is the reservoir of genetic information of the cell, and any chemical modification of it, such as those brought about by 1O$_{2}$, can lead to mutations or inadequate expression patterns. Among the elements making up DNA guanine is by far the most susceptible to oxidative damage by 1O$_{2}$ [74,80]. The product of guanine oxidation is the modified base 8-oxo-7,8-dihydro-2′-deoxyguanosine (8-oxo-dG). This base modification can induce mutations and, as recently reported, also epigenetic changes, if not properly excised and repaired. The mutations are mainly a consequence of G:C − T:A transversions (although other mutations are observed in minor amounts) [81]. Additionally, 8-oxo-dG can affect histone methylation patterns [82]. So, 1O$_{2}$ genetic impact goes further than direct mutation induction, and seems to have also an epigenetic deregulation role.

On a less subtle way, 1O$_{2}$ has been pointed as a direct causal agent of cytogenetic alterations [70,75]. In this case oxidative damage, both to nucleic acids and proteins, is at the root of the observed chromatin damage. On the one hand, oxidative damage to DNA is detected which signals for a rescue response. On the other hand, damage to proteins can delay this stress response, initiate its own stress response and make oxidized proteins to interact with nucleic acids in an incorrect way. This 1O$_{2}$-mediated cytogenetic damage is observed, not only in the cell nucleus, but also in the mitochondria, where it has been proposed as one of the main causes of age-related oxidative modifications in this organelle [73].

Currently, oxidative damage to lipids due to 1O$_{2}$ exposure is a very active research area. Biological lipids commonly display one or more double bonds, which make them idoneous chemical targets for 1O$_{2}$ oxidative action [83]. After a first reaction involving the establishment of an endoperoxide, the labile intermediate rearranges to produce lipid hydroperoxides, aldehydes and radicals [84]. These reaction products display higher hydrophilicity that the original lipids, which change the membrane properties and can critically compromise its barrier function. In addition, partially oxidized lipidic compounds can participate in further rounds of oxidative chemical reactions, with the participation of ground state 3O$_{2}$ [85]. These chain reaction-like oxidative waves may induce severe oxidative damage in biological membranes, compromising cell viability, and generate some amphiphilic oxidation products (e.g. aldehydes like 4-hydroxynononal or malondialdehyde) [53,86], which can induce damage in other cellular structures (nucleus [87] or mitochondria [88], for example), and even adversely affect nearby cells (so-called bystander effect) [89]. Cholesterol and related compounds do react with 1O$_{2}$ and can start long-range damage/signaling depending on the circumstances [83,84,90].

3.3. Redox cell signaling induced by 1O$_{2}$

In recent years, interest in the plausible signaling role of ROS as physiological compounds has emerged as a new paradigm in biology: redox biology. 1O$_{2}$ is studied as one of the ROS involved in this cellular signaling. The study of the signaling roles of 1O$_{2}$ goes back at least 20 years, when its involvement in stress responses was acknowledged [58,91–93]. The oxidative action of 1O$_{2}$ exposure, if not too strong, would lead to activation of stress/rescue response in cells and tissues, mostly mediated by the stress-related JNK and p38 mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPKs), as well as NF-kB and AP-1/AP-2 [16,72,94,95]. The final outcome depends on many parameters, but can range from cell adaptation, phenotypic changes, inflammatory response, senescence, autophagy or apoptosis.

However, as more studies are done on this topic, it is becoming clear that some ROS are able to subtly interfere with signaling networks in a positive way, i.e. to induce or enhance such processes as cell migration, cell proliferation, tissue regeneration, etc [5]. To do so, ROS must be generated in low doses, at particular cell localizations and for short
intervals [18]. A priori, there is no definitive reason why \(^1\text{O}_2\), or its secondary ROS products, should not behave in a similar, stimulating way. In fact, several recent reports point in this precise direction [21,33,72,83,96]. The mechanisms underlying this stimulating effect are far from clear. But lessons have been learnt from other ROS, and it seems that critical cysteine residues are one of the target sensors/ effectors to explain the observed results. Cell phosphatases and Src-kinases are two examples of redox regulation of cysteines. Phosphatase active sites usually have a cysteine with a catalytic role in the phosphate elimination. By oxidizing this cysteine, the catalytic activity is disrupted. A subtle oxidation (to a sulfenic state) is reversible through GSH-related activity. But stronger oxidation (sulfenic or sulfonic states) is irreversible, permanently deactivating the phosphatase. For Src-kinases the cysteines are not essential for kinase activity, but their subtle oxidation increases the enzyme activity by inducing a structural change driven by a disulfide bridge. It is known that ROS, and \(^1\text{O}_2\), can be oxidize to cysteines in phosphatases [2,3,16,67,77] and Src-kinases [41,97]. So, these mechanisms can explain, at least initially, some of the stimulating effects of \(^1\text{O}_2\).

In any case, researchers are just starting to tread this road, and further experimental work will be necessary in the upcoming years. It is in this area that direct optical excitation of oxygen can provide very interesting results, as will be discussed in the last section of this review. So, after these introductory sections on relevant chemical and biological aspects of \(^3\text{O}_2\), we now proceed to present the photonic mechanism and available wavelengths at which \(^3\text{O}_2\) can be directly pumped to \(^1\text{O}_2\).

### 4. Direct optical excitation of \(^1\text{O}_2\)

It is feasible to directly excite ground state oxygen \(^3\text{O}_2\) to \(^1\text{O}_2\) through absorption of light energy or photons of certain energy. Although there are several procedures to excite ground state \(^3\text{O}_2\) to the excited state \(^1\text{O}_2\), (see Section 2.2 above), direct photonic excitation is simpler: it only requires the adequate light source. In this section, I will introduce the physical mechanism behind the photonic excitation of \(^1\text{O}_2\), and the different wavelengths at which such a transition is possible. Advantages and drawbacks of each wavelength range will be discussed to offer the reader arguments to choose the best suited wavelength, depending on his or her study subject.

#### 4.1. Optical excitation of \(^1\text{O}_2\)

From the beginning it must be stressed that \(^3\text{O}_2\) does not strongly absorb light. At least, not in the wavelength range useful for research in redox biology. This might sound as a rotund spoiler of whatever will come next. However, the fact that oxygen does not absorb strongly does not mean that it does not absorb at all. It does, and its low absorption can be compensated by other means (light intensity, exposure time, “friendly-environment”, etc.). Then, why does \(^3\text{O}_2\) absorb light so weakly, and what wavelengths can we expect it to absorb?

First, molecular oxygen is a highly symmetric molecule. It is composed of two oxygen atoms which have the same electronegativity. In consequence, the molecule has no permanent dipole and for this reason \(^3\text{O}_2\) does not absorb in the infrared (IR) [98]. Second, due to its exotic outer electrons arrangement (see Section 2.1), several quantum mechanical rules forbid electronic transitions between the ground state (\(^3\text{O}_2\)) and the two first excited states (\(^1\text{O}_2\) and \(^1\text{O}_2\) (b)) (see ref [8–10], for theoretical arguments supporting these statements). Fortunately, this forbiddenness must be understood as a very low probability of such an event happening. Even more fortunate, oxygen interactions with other atoms or molecules partly relieve the molecular symmetry and change the electronic molecular orbitals, lifting to some extent the forbiddenness of these transitions. Experimental results show that \(^3\text{O}_2\) can be excited efficiently enough as to observe many different responses in biological systems.

Ground state \(^3\text{O}_2\) displays several absorption bands in the IR and the visible that can produce \(^1\text{O}_2\) and \(^1\text{O}_2\) (b) (Fig. 4) [9,22,99–104]. The particular absorption wavelengths that connect the different electronic-vibrational (vibronic) molecular levels are shown in Fig. 4B–C. Photon absorption in one of these bands leads to excitation of the corresponding singlet oxygen state, either \(^1\text{O}_2\) or \(^1\text{O}_2\) (b). The initial excited state can be vibrationally excited in addition (e.g. absorption at \(\sim 1065\) nm produces \(^1\text{O}_2\) in the first excited vibrational level or \(^1\text{O}_2\) \(v = 1\)). In condensed media, like biological systems, this vibrational energy is quickly (\(-\text{ps})\) dumped into the environment, and is of no further concern. It is worth reminding here that, whenever any optical transition favors \(^1\text{O}_2\) (b) generation, this species is converted to \(^1\text{O}_2\) very fast (Section 2.1), without having time to react. The absorption bands displayed in Fig. 4B are known as “monomol transitions” [9,103]. This is because the absorption of a single photon produces a single oxygen molecule in an excited state. Thus, there is a one-to-one relationship, and one mole of photons (often designed as an Einstein) will produce one mole of \(^1\text{O}_2\) molecules. This nomenclature is not the most intuitive, but it is the established one, and researchers will find it over and over again in the pertaining literature.

A fascinating property of oxygen is that two transiently interacting ground state molecules (\(^3\text{O}_2–\text{O}_2\)) can absorb a single photon, and simultaneously reach excited electronic levels if enough energy is available [9,104]. Each molecule perturbs the other electronic orbitals when in close distance, which enhances transition probabilities. Following the nomenclature introduced in the previous paragraph, these transitions are known as “dimol transitions”, as two excited molecules result after absorption of just one photon. Some observed dimol transitions are shown in Fig. 4C. In this review, I am exclusively focusing on photon absorption by molecular oxygen. But it is necessary to remark that there is reciprocity between some absorption bands and the corresponding emission bands. In other words, there is an emission band centered \(\sim 1270\) nm that corresponds to the radiative deactivation of \(^1\text{O}_2\) \(v = 0\) to \(^3\text{O}_2\) \(v = 0\). Or emission at \(\sim 760\) nm corresponding to \(^1\text{O}_2\) (b) \(v = 0\) to \(^3\text{O}_2\) \(v = 0\). Some practical comments concerning these emissions will be presented in Section 5.4.

In the next subsections, I will introduce monomol transitions and then dimol transitions. As a quick reference for the reader, the wavelengths, corresponding photon energies, type (monomol or dimol), and electronic states involved in each transition are summarized in Table 1.

#### 4.2. Monomol transitions

Monomol transitions (Fig. 4B) represent the simplest excitation mechanism: a single \(^3\text{O}_2\) molecule absorbs one photon and gets electronically excited, either to \(^1\text{O}_2\) or \(^1\text{O}_2\) (b) states. If it is the case that \(^1\text{O}_2\) (b) is the generated species, it undergoes very fast conversion to \(^1\text{O}_2\) (as mentioned in Section 2 [37]), which is the biologically relevant molecule. Monomol absorption bands are very weak: the corresponding molar absorption coefficients are \(\sim 10^{-3} – 10^{-4}\text{ M }^{-1}\text{ cm}^{-1}\) [45,46,105–107] or even lower. These absolute values are \(10^{-4} – 10^{-5}\) smaller than typical absorption coefficients for PDT PSs (but notice that PSs are commonly employed at \(10^{-4} – 10^{-6}\text{ M}\) final concentration). However, it is perfectly possible, as experiments show, to compensate the smaller absorption with a higher light flux and/or longer irradiation time.

The principal monomol bands are at \(1270\) nm, \(1065\) nm, \(920\) nm, \(760\) nm, \(690\) nm, and \(627\) nm. These bands will now be introduced in order of increasing photon energy (i.e. decreasing wavelength). Relevant biological reports employing these monomol transitions, along with experimental conditions and references, are listed in Table 2 as a quick guide for the interested reader.

##### 4.2.1. 1270 nm band

This transition has an energy gap of 0.97 eV (Fig. 4B). It pumps a ground state oxygen molecule into the first excited singlet state, with no
Fig. 4. Oxygen absorption bands and electronic transitions in the optical range (visible-infrared). (A) The O₂ absorption bands (black stripes) between 1300 nm and 390 nm are displayed in the spectral range covering the near-infrared and visible. The number under each transition indicates the peak wavelength, and the stripe width roughly represents the bandwidth for each transition. Both monomol and dimol transitions are plotted. All numbers refer to nanometers. (B) Monomol O₂ transitions represented in a Jablonski diagram. The peak wavelength for each transition is indicated. The arrows connect the initial level (fundamental) and the final levels, along with vibrational excitation (V > 0) in case this occurs. (C) Dimol O₂ transitions (Jablonski diagram), where two O₂ molecules are produced (double arrows) after single photon absorption. The peak wavelength for each transition is indicated. Some dimol transitions shown in (A) have not been represented here. Data based on [35,99–104,107].

additional vibrational energy (\(^{3}O_{2} v = 0 \rightarrow ^{1}O_{2} v = 0\)). The band is relatively broad (± 20 nm), although the final width depends on the particular solvent in which O₂ is dissolved [105–107]. However, given the very low absorption coefficient and the band narrowness, it is advisable to try to use light sources with emission as close to the band peak as possible (see Section 5.3). This is even more advisable for all the O₂ bands to be subsequently discussed. The excitation of \(^{1}O_{2}\) using 1270 nm was already introduced in the late 1960s and early 1970s, to obtain \(^{1}O_{2}\) in gas-phase oxygen at very high pressures (tens or hundreds of bars) or in different organic solvents for physical chemical studies [36,99,100]. These conditions were selected to make up for the low absorption at this wavelength. In these experiments oxygenation of chemical traps was demonstrated by directly exciting \(^{1}O_{2}\) optically. However, light sources emitting around 1270 nm were scarce, mainly due to lack of practical applications for this wavelength range. In consequence, experiments employing light around 1065 nm were much more common because of the coincidence of this band with the light emitted by neodymium lasers (see 1065 nm band below).

It was not until the late 1980s that this band was given proper attention, this time for biological and clinical applications [108]. Former Soviet Union physicists and clinicians employed this and other bands in what has been called the “light-oxygen effect” or LOE. In their 1999 review on this topic, Zakharov and Ivanov present a series of experimental results comparing efficiency of LOE vs. classical PDT as to effectiveness decreased \(^{1}O_{2}\) excitation, as did purging with N₂. One of the reasons for this is that the authors measured \(^{1}O_{2}\) production by light in several atmospheric pressure air-saturated solvents at room temperature, including H₂O. Their results pointed indeed to \(^{1}O_{2}\) production to explain the observed chemical trap bleaching. And positive results were obtained in H₂O, even when it is, probably, the most unfavorable solvent to achieve efficient \(^{1}O_{2}\) production. Following this, in a series of publications, Krasnovsky et al. further substantiated the real possibility to achieve \(^{1}O_{2}\) generation by direct optical pumping in relevant amounts for chemical or biological studies [110–114]. The action spectrum for \(^{1}O_{2}\) production fitted precisely with the IR absorption band peaking at 1270 nm. The efficiency of the process was higher in organic solvents than in D₂O. The presence of \(^{1}O_{2}\)-quenchers, like sodium azide (NaN₃) or β-carotene, effectively decreased \(^{1}O_{2}\) excitation, as did purging with N₂. Of special relevance in this sense is a work published in 2008 in which \(^{1}O_{2}\) was generated by a cw semiconductor laser emitting at 1269 nm with a maximum power of 700 mW in H₂O-SDS micellar systems [114]. Chemical trap photooxygenation was observed under laser irradiation in air-saturated aqueous solutions (some preliminary work was done in H₂O-micelles in 2006 [112]). Therefore, \(^{1}O_{2}\) photonic generation was shown to be feasible under conditions very close to those of biological relevance.

From 2010 onwards, reports on the direct optical excitation of \(^{1}O_{2}\)
at 1270 nm for biomedical goals have experienced a large increase. In 2010 a Raman-shifted laser source (1262 nm and 5.5 W) has been employed to successfully treat tumoral skin lesions in human patients [23]. It should be remarked, nonetheless, that photothermal effects cannot be ruled out due to the high power of the laser (see Section 5.6). In any case, an additive or synergic effect would be welcome, if it increases the chances of a positive clinical outcome. Also in 2010 Anquez et al. started a series of publications on direct excitation of $1\text{O}_2$ in the 1270 nm band under different experimental parameters. In their first contribution, $1\text{O}_2$ was generated using a Raman fiber ring laser (1240–1289 nm 2.5 W) in ethanol and acetone air-saturated solutions [115]. The detection of $1\text{O}_2$ was achieved through the use of a chemical trap. The action spectrum displayed a good fitting with $1\text{O}_2$ absorption spectrum in the NIR.

Following this first approach, Anquez et al. proved that irradiation of living human and rat tumoral cells under normal conditions (air-saturated growing medium) at ~1270 nm (100 W cm$^{-2}$ 1.5–3 h exposure) induced cell death [24,25]. Cell images seem to fit with necrotic death morphology, after plasmatic membrane integrity loss and subsequent osmotic shock. Several complementary experiments point to the involvement of $1\text{O}_2$ as the cytotoxic agent: increase of cytotoxicity with higher pO$_2$, decrease after N$_2$ purging, action spectrum fitting $1\text{O}_2$ NIR absorption spectrum, and decrease in toxicity in the presence of $1\text{O}_2$-quenchers (bovine serum albumin –BSA- and NaN$_3$). Additionally the authors took care in regards to the plausible temperature increase during NIR laser irradiation. Their results permitted to dismiss photothermal action as the damaging agent. This group has published more experimental results on $1\text{O}_2$ photonic excitation at 1270 nm, covering biological [116] and chemical [117,118] models.

Other research groups have also recently explored the usefulness of the 1270 nm band. The group led by Rafailov has studied and modelled the oxidative stress induced by $1\text{O}_2$ excited at 1268 nm (cw semiconductor laser up to 500 mW) [27,119,120]. Their models support the argument that an initial $1\text{O}_2$ burst leads to a redox imbalance, which destabilizes cell metabolism well after actinic light exposure has ended. This is a very interesting topic, with far-reaching consequences and will be further discussed in Section 5.2. Further experiments employing a 1265 nm cw excitation have been done by Kurkov et al., where oxidative stress and regression was induced in experimental animal tumors [26], and oxidative mitochondrial and overall cell disruption was recently obtained in cell cultures [28]. As mentioned in relation with some of the experimental results presented, the main concern using this band is its photothermal potential due to IR water absorption [121]. Thermal aspects of direct optical excitation of $1\text{O}_2$ will be discussed together in Section 5.6 below.

### 4.2.2. 1065 nm band

This band has an energy gap of 1.16 eV (Fig. 4B). It involves a transition of a ground state molecule into the first excited singlet state, with concurrent excitation of its first vibrational level ($1\text{O}_2 v = 0 \rightarrow 1\text{O}_2 v = 1$). The band is also relatively broad (± 15 nm), again depending on the gas pressure or the particular solvent in which $1\text{O}_2$ is dissolved [103]. The absorption coefficient is smaller than the 1270 nm transition [99,102,103,105]. After excitation, the vibrational energy decays very fast releasing heat to the environment, so for any practical biological purpose excitation at 1065 nm is equal to excite at 1270 nm. Two are the main advantages, one fundamental and the other one practical, of using 1065 nm in biological research: the tenfold reduction in water absorption as compared to 1270 nm [121], and the coincidence of this absorption band with the emission from commercially-available neodymium-based lasers (~1064 nm).

Experiments employing this band to excite $1\text{O}_2$ started in the 1970s, basically aiming to address several physical chemical parameters like chemical reactions and reaction rates [99,100,122–125], oxygen luminescence [101,126–128], or even oxygen lasing in the red and IR [129] (for more recent studies on molecular oxygen lasing schemes see also [130–133]). In fact, one of the first determinations of $1\text{O}_2$ reaction rates with aminoacids was obtained by optically pumping $1\text{O}_2$ at 1064 nm in D$_2$O, which thereafter reacted with histidine, tryptophan, methionine, tyrosine and alanine (in this order of decreasing reactivity) [134].

Due to their availability and reliability, Nd-based lasers have found an important niche in biological studies as light sources for optical tweezers. Optical tweezers allow the trapping and controlled movement of microscopic entities (e.g. cells) by focusing the light or making adequate interference patterns. At ~1064 nm photon absorption by biological chromophores is negligible as long as one stays in the linear excitation regime, i.e. irradiance < WM cm$^{-2}$. Thus, Nd lasers, mainly Nd-YAG, are widely employed as sources for optical tweezers. However, several publications have reported chemical and biological damage at relatively low light intensities in optical traps at this wavelength [30,31,135–142]. In many of these publications the source of damage has been ascribed to direct thermal action (due to water absorption), organic chromophore excitation in the limit of the absorption band (e.g. cell cytochromes) or biphotonic excitation. Very few articles point directly to $1\text{O}_2$ excitation as a plausible source of damage, although some of them show cell damage action spectra that fit reasonably well with the absorption spectrum of $1\text{O}_2$ and invoke ROS as a general disruption mechanism [136–138,142]. On the other hand, experiments by Landry et al. provide direct evidence for $1\text{O}_2$ production in an optical tweezers setup [30]. The authors show that depleting the medium of $1\text{O}_2$ eliminates the oxidative damage to a DNA molecule stretched between two microscopic beads trapped by the optical tweezers. Oxidative damage to DNA is linearly proportional to laser power (i.e. one-photon process) and addition of $1\text{O}_2$ quenchers (e.g. NaN$_3$ and ascorbic acid)
Table 2
Relevant examples of biological experiments with monomol O$_2$ transitions. Several experimental aspects are detailed in each entry (see footnotes for more information). Each set of conditions has been obtained from a publication, with the particular reference provided in each case.

| Transition | Biological model | Light source | Wavelength | Power | Spectral control | $^1$O$_2$ scavenging | $^1$O$_2$ enhancement | Thermal control | Reference |
|------------|------------------|--------------|-------------|-------|------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------|-----------|
| 1270 nm    | Human erythrocytes | Diode laser (cw) | 1264 nm | 0.5–2 mW | Yes | pN$_2$ | No | No | [108] |
|            | T36 carcinoma in mice | Diode laser (cw) | 1264 nm | 4–4.5 mW | No | No | No | No | [108] |
|            | Human skin tumors | Raman fiber laser (cw) | 1262 nm | 1.5–5 W | No | No | No | No | [23] |
|            | MCF-7 breast cancer line | Raman fiber laser (cw) | 1270 nm | 1.5–5 W | Yes | -RSA | $^p$N$_2$ | No | [24] |
|            | Metastatic breast cancer | Raman fiber laser (cw) | 1300 nm | 5 mW | Yes | -RSA | $^p$N$_2$ | No | [24] |
|            | CC-5 carcinoma in mice | Diode laser (cw) | 1264 nm | 4 W | No | No | No | No | [26] |
|            | HaCaT | Diode laser (cw) | 1268 nm | 1.5–5 W | Yes | -BSA | $^p$O$_2$ | No | [27] |
|            | Primary Keratinocytes | Raman fiber laser (cw) | 1270 nm | 300 mW | Yes | -BSA | $^p$O$_2$ | Yes | [116] |
|            | MCF-7 breast cancer line | Diode laser (cw) | 1268 nm | - | No | No | No | No | [119] |
|            | HaCaT | Diode laser (cw) | 1268 nm | 4 W | No | No | No | Yes | [28] |
| 1065 nm    | NG-37 lymphoblast line | Nd:YAG laser (cw) | 1064 nm | 60–240 mW | Yes | No | No | No | [138] |
|            | E. coli bacteria | Nd:YAG laser (cw) | 1064 nm | 3–30 mW | No | No | No | Yes | [139] |
|            | Human skin fibroblasts | Nd:YAG laser (cw) | 1064 nm | 1 W | No | No | No | Yes | [143] |
|            | Double strand DNA | Nd:YAG laser (cw) | 1064 nm | 30–350 mW | No | -O$_2$ depletion | -Ascorbate | -Lipoic acid | -NaN$_3$ | [30] |
| 920 nm     | S. cerevisiae yeast | Yb fiber laser (cw) | 1070 nm | 0.7–2.6 mW | No | No | No | Yes | [31] |
|            | E. coli bacteria | Ti: sapphire laser (cw) | 900 nm | 5–19.1 mW | Yes | No | No | Yes | [145] |
|            | -AS49 adenocarcinoma | LED (cw) | 901 ± 69 nm | - | Yes | No | No | Yes | [146] |
| 810 nm     | NC-37 lymphoblast line | Ti: sapphire laser (cw) | 800 nm | 60–120 mW | Yes | No | No | Yes | [138] |
|            | C. elegans nematode | Ti: sapphire laser (cw) | 810 nm | 240–480 mW | Yes | No | No | Yes | [147] |
|            | Rat lymphoblasts | Diode laser (cw) | 808 nm | 30–100 mW | No | No | No | Yes | [149] |
|            | Mouse primary neurons | Diode laser (cw) | 810 nm | - | No | No | No | Yes | [154] |
|            | C2C12 myoblast line | Diode laser (cw) | 808 nm | 100 mW | No | No | No | Yes | [151] |
|            | Rat muscle (hind leg) | Diode laser (cw) | 810 nm | 100 mW | No | No | No | Yes | [152] |
| 760 nm     | NB2 renal line | Ti: sapphire laser (cw) | 760 nm | 130 mW | Yes | No | No | Yes | [161] |
|            | Human sperm cells | Ti: sapphire laser (cw) | 760 nm | 70–84 mW | Yes | No | No | Yes | [162] |
|            | CHO line | Ti: sapphire laser (cw) | 760 nm | 88–176 mW | Yes | No | No | Yes | [136] |

(continued on next page)
| Wavelength | Light source | Power | Biological model | Light scattering | Spectral control | \( \bullet \) scavenging | \( \bullet \) enhancement | Reference |
|------------|--------------|-------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------|
| Ti: sapphire laser (cw) 800 nm 120 mW Yes No No Yes | [138] |
| Ti: sapphire laser (cw) 800 nm 240 mW Yes No No Yes | [147] |
| Ti: sapphire laser (cw) 760 nm 88 mW Yes No No Yes | [164] |
| Ti: sapphire laser (pulsed) 762 nm 200 mW Yes No No Yes | [108] |
| Alexandrite laser (pulsed) 762 nm 200 mW No No No No | [108] |
| Ti: sapphire laser (cw) 800 nm 60 mW Yes No No Yes | [90] |
| HeLa line Ti: sapphire laser (pulsed) 765 nm 700 mW (pre-stage) Yes -NaN 3 D2O Yes | [32] |
| HeLa line Ti: sapphire laser (pulsed) 765 nm 4.5–91.5 mW (on-stage) Yes No No Yes | [33] |
| Human erythrocytes Ti: sapphire laser (pulsed) 762 nm 200 mW Yes No No Yes | [108] |
| Lewis carcinoma in mice Alexandrite laser (pulsed) 762 nm 200 mW No No No No | [108] |
| NC-37 lymphoblast line Ti: sapphire laser (cw) 800 nm 60–120 mW Yes No No Yes | [138] |
| C. elegans nematode Ti: sapphire laser (cw) 760 nm 240 mW Yes No No Yes | [147] |

Spectral control means that the biologically-relevant wavelength has been compared to other close wavelengths in the experiments. \( \bullet \) scavenging informs if any of the following measures have been employed to scavenge or quench \( \bullet \) activity:

- \( \alpha \)-TOC (added tocopherol);
- O2 depletion (O2 consumption by added enzymatic oxidases);
- BSA (added Bovine Serum Albumin);
- pN2 (increasing N2 pressure in the system to displace O2);
- NaN3 (added sodium azide);
- Thermo control means that either the researchers have taken active measures to control the setup temperature strategies to increase \( \bullet \) activity or, at least, they accounted for a possible photothermal activity of the actinic light in the article.

4.2.3. 920 nm band

This band corresponds to the transition \( (\bullet \)O2 \( v = 0 \rightarrow \bullet \)O2 \( v = 2 \) \) with a photon energy of 1.35 eV. The transition probability decreases exponentially the higher the final vibrational level and, in consequence, this wavelength has been scarcely employed for biological studies. It displays a low absorption by water but nevertheless only half that of 1065 nm light [121], which does not compensate for the decrease in the \( \bullet \)O2 absorption coefficient. Nowadays is easier to find light sources at ~920 nm but sources at ~1065 nm are still much more widespread.

This wavelength range is employed in optical traps where some biological side effects are reported. Liang et al. studied the action spectrum for cell perturbation in the range 700–1100 nm [136]. They found a marked decreased in cell clonal growth at ~900 nm (along 1064 nm and 740–760 nm). The Authors dismiss a thermal mechanism of damage and suggest that biphotonic processes could explain the observed biological effect. Two articles studied the optimal NIR region for bacterial (E. coli) optical trapping. Neuman et al. observed a clear deleterious effect on bacterial movement (taken as a proxy of viability) around 915 nm [137]. The effect was dependent on oxygen presence and showed one-photon dependence. The authors directly point to \( \bullet \)O2 as a most plausible source of damage although photosensitized by some “sensitizer molecule”. They acknowledge that \( \bullet \)O2 can be directly photooxidized but, most surprisingly, they state that “…the absorption spectrum for molecular oxygen does not resemble the action spectrum for E. coli.”. A further paper was published in 2008 assessing again the action spectrum for bacterial photodamage between 840 and 930 nm [145]. There is a marked increase in bacterial metabolic inactivation and killing at 900 and 930 nm (more severe at this wavelength) when compared to 840 and 870 nm. The photodamage is linear with light intensity although a hypothesis involving biphotonic excitation of GFP (which was employed to label the bacteria) was advanced to try to explain the lack of putative chromophore. Oxygen is not considered and, in the end, the authors state: “A specific photochemical cause of photodamage eludes us—the spectrum is not consistent with water or E. coli or the media.”. Additional comments of \( \bullet \)O2 generation in optical traps will be provided in Section 5.5.

Recently Spitterl and Berns have published interesting results on cell photostimulation and in vitro wound healing [146]. They employed light emitting diode (LED) arrays to increase cell proliferation and migration in several cell lines. The most successful excitation was obtained with LEDs emitting at 901 nm (peak), but with a very broad emission spectrum (full bandwidth at half maximum ~FWHM of 69 nm), fully overlapping the 920 nm oxygen band. Generation of \( \bullet \)O2 greatly diminishes it too. However, the authors point to the polystyrene beads employed to tether the DNA as the source of \( \bullet \)O2. Although not completely negating this possibility, the alternative view that \( \bullet \)O2 is being directly excited by 1064 nm photons should be considered. As other IR wavelengths are employed in optical tweezers, and some of them overlap \( \bullet \)O2 absorption bands, I will discuss further the oxidative damage in optical traps in Section 5.5.
or other ROS is not mentioned, but light absorption by elements of the mitochondrial electron transport chain (cytochrome c oxidase) is proposed as a possible chromophore to explain the results (see Section 5.3 for more on O2 absorption vs. endogenous chromophores). Anyway, this article highlights the use of LEDs as alternative light sources to lasers for O2 optical excitation (Section 5.3).

4.2.4. 810 nm band

This band corresponds to the transition \((^3\text{O}_2 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow ^1\text{O}_2 (b) \cdot v = 3)\) with a photon energy of 1.53 eV. It has been widely employed in photobiological studies given the availability of diode lasers emitting at 808 nm. In addition, wavelengths between 800 and 850 nm show very low absorption in biological systems, due to the lack of endogenous chromophores absorbing in this range and the still very low water absorption in this region of the NIR (see for more on the so-called biological window). At this wavelength the transition probability is extremely small and, in fact, absorption by other chromophores, like cytochromes, should complementary be considered to explain the biological responses observed.

This wavelength range is popular in optical traps due to the very low level of damage observed in bacteria [137], eukaryotic cells [136,138] and in whole organisms (C. elegans) [147]. Nevertheless, the light is not innocuous. Under the right irradiation conditions cell growth inhibition has been reported. Under long irradiation times the growth and proliferation of a human glioblastoma cell line was significantly inhibited [148]. Studies led by Fonseca have found evidence of genetic damage [149], increased mRNA and protein expression involved in DNA repair and genomic stabilization [150], and increasing occurrence of both apoptosis and necrosis [151] in white blood cells and myoblasts exposed to 808 nm light. Both gross chromat alteration (comet assay) as well as base-level oxidative modifications (8-oxo-dG) were observed. These genetic alterations, although not exclusive of \(^1\text{O}_2\) action, strongly point to its production during light exposure. Quite recently this group has found concerning evidence of ploidy alterations (changes in the cell chromosomal set) and a trend in DNA fragmentation (not statistically significant) in muscles exposed to 808 nm light [152].

In contrast, Yu et al. have found a protective role of 810 nm light in an oxygen-glucose deprivation neuronal model in vitro [153,154]. However the light fluence employed (3 J cm\(^{-2}\)) with a cw diode 810 nm laser is between 3 and 23 times smaller than that employed by Fonseca et al. Again, this pinpoints the importance of dose in inducing stimulating or deleterious biological effects.

4.2.5. 760 nm band

This band presents the peculiarity that the produced singlet oxygen species is the second excited state \((^3\text{O}_2 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow ^1\text{O}_2 (b) \cdot v = 0)\) [35-37]. The transition energy is 1.63 eV and the bandwidth is fairly narrow (± 10 nm) [9,46,113]. In aqueous solutions its decay lifetime is very short, on the order of picoseconds, and it can be assumed that all \(^1\text{O}_2\) (b) produced is converted to \(^1\text{O}_2\) with unity efficiency [37]. The direct optical excitation of \(^1\text{O}_2\) (b) has been successfully employed both in past decades, and recently in the field of physical chemistry [6,7,9,100,102,155-160].

Research done mainly in the last decade has shown that it is feasible to directly excite \(^1\text{O}_2\) (b) at ~760 nm in different solvents [46,106,110], but particularly in \(\text{H}_2\text{O}, \text{D}_2\text{O}\) and aqueous solutions [32,46,105,107]. In consequence, given \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) role as universal solvent in biological systems, the production and biological activity of \(^1\text{O}_2\) (b) after exposure to 760–765 nm light was proposed and demonstrated recently [32–34]. Experiments were done with a pulsed Ti:sapphire laser with different average powers and irradiation times (5–100 mW and 10 s – 10 min) focused inside HeLa cells. As a result, different cell responses have been produced, which ranged from fast necrosis to cell proliferation stimulation [33]. Additionally, irradiations were done just outside cells and necrosis was also achieved, an important issue in the study of the sphere of action of \(^1\text{O}_2\) (b). The primary role of \(^1\text{O}_2\) in the biological responses was demonstrated by an enhanced effect in solutions partly prepared with \(\text{D}_2\text{O}\), the inhibitory action of \(^1\text{O}_2\)-quenchers (NaA and α-tocopherol), the negligible effect of catalase (H\(_2\)O\(_2\) quencher), and the establishment of a biological action spectrum for cell death, in almost perfect coincidence with \(^1\text{O}_2\) absorption between 730 and 800 nm. Additional evidence was obtained when a cw Ti:sapphire laser induced necrosis in single cells at 763 nm, but not at 800 nm, thereby ruling out any non-linear optical process (Blázquez-Castro, Haro and Jaque, unpublished results).

But the biological action of light in the 750–770 nm range has been observed much earlier, although in practically all the cases it went unrecognized as the consequence of the direct optical excitation of oxygen. In the early 1990s optical trapping was rapidly developing as a biological manipulation technique. Researchers were interested in finding the safer wavelength range to study samples. So efforts to establish an action spectrum of biological damage (expressed in different ways, from loss of mobility to outright cell killing) were done (some results concerning this have already been presented in previous \(\text{O}_2\) bands). Several publications came to the conclusion that the region around 765 nm should be avoided. Deleterious biological effects observed to support this argument include positive genetic comet assay [138], heat shock response in C. elegans [147], chromosomal damage [161], loss of spermatodea motility [162], loss of cell cloning efficiency [136,162,163], propidium iodide internalization [163], and giant cell/coenocyte formation [164]. Most publications point to some biphotonic effect as the cause of these negative responses, some also mentioning the possibility that ROS (in general) could be involved. Somewhat surprisingly König et al. found no differential biological effect in cell cloning efficiency when cells were exposed to 760 nm vs. 730 and 800 nm [165]. A possible explanation for this result can be that the irradiances employed in the experiments were relatively high (10\(^{12}\) W cm\(^{-2}\)) which, as pointed by the authors, can lead to plasma generation inside the cell. Damage due to plasma formation would surpass any effect \(^1\text{O}_2\) production could induce.

The recent biological results obtained at ~760 nm, both damaging [32] and stimulating [33], seem to contradict the very low \(^1\text{O}_2\) absorbivity and small \(^1\text{O}_2\) amount generation. It can happen, however, that cells are more susceptible to \(^1\text{O}_2\) than suspected earlier. I will discuss this in Section 5.2. Additionally, as timely pointed out recently by Krasnovsky and Kozlov, the absorption coefficients of \(^1\text{O}_2\) at 1273 nm and 765 nm in aqueous solutions are practically equal [107]. More arguments in favor of employing one or the other wavelength band in redox biology research will be provided in Section 5.3. Some preliminary research in tumor regression was done in the early 1990s with a pulsed alexandrite laser emitting at 762 nm [108]. The researchers observed a significant tumor growth suppression and average lifespan extension of 50% in the treated mice.

4.2.6. 690 nm band

Absorption in this band promotes the following transition \((^3\text{O}_2 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow ^1\text{O}_2 (b) \cdot v = 1)\). The energy gap is 1.80 eV, in the far red optical spectrum. Few publications cite results dealing with this band, which mainly involve spectroscopy and \(^1\text{O}_2\) quenching studies [157,158,166,167]. The transition probability is quite small, and it overlaps greatly with endogenous chromophores present in living cells. Some biological results were published by Almeida-Lopes et al. after laser diode exposure (one of them emitting at 682 nm) of human gingival fibroblasts in vitro [168]. Growth curves point to a subtle stimulating effect of 692 nm over controls and cultures exposed to 786 nm during the first 4 days. The effect disappears by day 6 post treatment. Precisely due to this band overlap with endogenous chromophores its use in redox biology studies is discouraged, as it is more difficult to reach valid conclusions on the working mechanisms, both chemical and biological.
4.3. Dimol transitions

These transitions excite two singlet oxygen molecules while just one photon (hv) is absorbed by the momentary complex formed by two ground state molecules: \([3\text{O}_2 - 3\text{O}_2] + hv \rightarrow [1\text{O}_2 - 1\text{O}_2]\) [104]. The singlet oxygens produced can be vibrationally excited or not, or one can be in the first excited state (\(1\text{O}_2\)) and the other in the second excited state (\(2\text{O}_2\) (b)). The dimol transitions are listed in Table 1 and displayed in Fig. 4C. As these transitions depend on the temporal establishment of the \([3\text{O}_2 - 3\text{O}_2]\) collision pair, the absorption coefficient depends on the square of the oxygen partial pressure (pO2). The pO2 in air-saturated aqueous solutions is around 0.27 mM, thus it follows that dimol bands are relatively weak in comparison to the monomol transitions introduced previously. This is an important argument discouraging their use in redox biology in favor of monomol excitations. Another argument is that dimol transitions cover a range of wavelengths between 633 nm in the visible and 344 nm in the UV (see Table 1). These wavelengths overlap the absorption bands of numerous endogenous chromophores, from cytochromes in the far visible [167,170] to cofactors and aminoacids in the UV [171,172]. Therefore it becomes very difficult to discern which chromophores and ROS get involved in a particular biological response after light exposure at these wavelengths. Nevertheless some of them are very popular, in particular light of 633 nm, in so-called low-level laser therapy (LLLT), and I will introduce briefly the main dimol transitions. Relevant biological works employing dimol transitions are listed in Table 3.

4.3.1. 633 nm band

Absorption of one 633 nm photon promotes the following dual transition: \([3\text{O}_2 - 3\text{O}_2] + hv \rightarrow [1\text{O}_2 \nu = 0 - 1\text{O}_2 \nu = 0]\). Basically it is the dimol counterpart of the 1270 nm monomol transition (two 1270 nm photons have the same energy as one 633 nm photon), with an energy of 1.96 eV. This transition has been employed to assess physical and/or chemical parameters, mainly in high pressure oxygen although there are studies in organic solvents too [99,101,123,173–176].

Its popularity in biological studies comes from the fact that the band coincides with the emission of the very common Helium-Neon (He-Ne) laser at 632.8 nm. Thus, He-Ne laser availability has made studies at this wavelength quite accessible. There are literally hundreds of scientific publications on the biological action of He-Ne laser. Most of them study the photomodulation and photostimulation of cells, tissues and animals within the frame of LLLT [108,141,143,177–180] although some studies also report on the damaging action when high light doses are employed [29,181]. LLLT is currently a very active and broad research and clinical area. It is therefore outside the scope of this review which tries to focus explicitly in the direct excitation of \(1\text{O}_2\). The interested reader can consult some of the cited references for more specific sources and information on this very appealing topic (see also the review by Chung et al. for an interesting introduction to LLLT [182]).

It is worth mentioning that, by pure chance, the 633 nm dimol transition overlaps with a 627 nm monomol transition. This monomol band corresponds to the initial excitation of \(1\text{O}_2\) (b) \(\nu = 2\) [157,158,167]. However its absorption coefficient is very low. But it seems interesting, in regards to the mechanistic action of LLLT, to keep in mind that exciting at ~633 nm can in fact excite \(1\text{O}_2\) by two complementary transitions: a monomol and a dimol.

4.3.2. 577 nm band

Absorption of a ~577 nm photon leads to the following transition \([3\text{O}_2 - 3\text{O}_2] + hv \rightarrow [1\text{O}_2 \nu = 1 - 1\text{O}_2 \nu = 0]\). One of the \(1\text{O}_2\) molecules is produced in the first vibrational state which rapidly deactivates to the \(\nu = 0\) level releasing heat [174,183]. This wavelength range is routinely employed in the photothermal treatment of vascular disorders making use of pulsed dye lasers [184]. The possibility that a partial effect is due to \(1\text{O}_2\) excitation cannot be ruled out, but evidence points

| Transition | Biological model | Light source | Wavelength | Power | Spectral control | \(1\text{O}_2\) scavenging | \(1\text{O}_2\) enhancement | Thermal control | Reference |
|------------|-----------------|--------------|------------|-------|-----------------|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-----------|
| 633 nm     | Human erythrocytes | Dye laser (pulsed) | 633 nm | – | Yes | No | No | No | [108] |
| 633 nm     | Human erythrocytes | He-Ne laser (cw) | 632.8 nm | 38–50 mW | No | pAr | pO2 | Yes | [108] |
| 633 nm     | NC-37 lymphoblast line | He-Ne laser (cw) | 633 nm | 1.2 mW | No | No | No | No | [177] |
| 633 nm     | A2058 melanoma line | He-Ne laser (cw) | 632.8 nm | 7 mW | No | No | No | Yes | [178] |
| 577 nm     | Human skin fibroblasts | He-Ne laser (cw) | 632.8 nm | 18.8 mW | Yes | No | No | Yes | [143] |
| 577 nm     | MCF-7 breast cancer line | He-Ne laser (cw) | 632.8 nm | 14 mW | No | No | No | No | [141] |
| 577 nm     | A549-EMT6-ASTC-a-1 | Diode laser (cw) | 635 nm | – | No | SOD | –NAC | No | No | [181] |
| 577 nm     | A549-EMT6-ASTC-a-1 | Diode laser (cw) | 635 nm | – | No | No | No | No | [181] |
| 577 nm     | MDA-MB-231 | LED (cw) | 637 ± 17 nm | – | Yes | No | No | Yes | [146] |
| 577 nm     | Clinical trials in women | Diode laser (cw) | 635 nm | – | No | No | No | No | [180] |

Spectral control means that the biologically-relevant wavelength has been compared to other close wavelengths in the experiments. \(1\text{O}_2\) scavenging informs if any of the following measures have been employed to scavenge or quench \(1\text{O}_2\) activity: pAr (increasing Argon pressure in the system to displace \(1\text{O}_2\)); SOD (added Superoxide Dismutase); NAC (added N-acetyl cysteine). 

\(1\text{O}_2\) enhancement alludes to strategies to increase \(1\text{O}_2\) activity: pO2 (pumping \(1\text{O}_2\) into the system to increase its partial pressure). Thermal control means that either the researchers have taken active measures to control the setup temperature during irradiation or, at least, they accounted for a possible photothermal activity of the actinic light in the article.
to a purely thermal mechanism of action. However there is at least one example in which a biological response has been measured and assigned to direct $^{1}\text{O}_2$ excitation at ~$577$ nm. Armicet et al. reported on changes in the plasmatic membrane permeability of red blood cells exposed to a tunable dye laser emitting between $570$ and $590$ nm [185]. The permeability alterations were wavelength-dependent and followed $\text{O}_2$ absorption. The results are partially reproduced in a review by Zakharov and Ivanov [108]. In connection with these biological results, and as it occurred to the $633$ nm dimol band, the $577$ nm dimol shows a fortuitous overlap with a monomol band at $\sim 580$ nm [183]. This monomol corresponds to the initial excitation of $^{1}\text{O}_2$ ($b$) $\nu = 3$ [186,187]. Authors of ref 108 and 185, advanced that, most probably, the combination of both monomol and dimol absorption explained the observed effect on red blood cells.

4.3.3. Other dimol bands

There are other dimol absorption bands in the visible and the near UV (see Table 1). I am just going to mention them, but not develop them further. This is because more and more compounds absorb light when irradiation wavelengths become shorter, and it becomes a formidable task to assign a single causal agent to a given biological experiment. However due to chemical reactions and physical interactions it is of the order of $35$ µs in the intracellular milieu [47,48]. In any case this order of magnitude is crucial in the intracellular milieu, as the $\text{O}_2$ lifetime can be reduced by addition of physical and/or chemical quenchers, so that its biological action is diminished. The compound Na$\text{Na}_3$ is able to deactivate $\text{O}_2$ without engaging into chemical reaction. The decay is accompanied by heat release. Unfortunately Na$\text{Na}_3$ is poisonous for the mitochondrial electron transport chain but cells tolerate mM final concentrations for several hours. Adequate Na$\text{Na}_3$ controls should provide for biological effects due to this compound. Cell media made of different mixing percentages of H$_2$O:D$_2$O can also provide for different rates of physical $\text{O}_2$ quenching, therefore providing insight into $\text{O}_2$ biological action. Finally several compounds react directly with $\text{O}_2$ in a sacrificial way, being oxidized themselves instead of cellular components. However results with these compounds should be interpreted with care, as new ROS can form precisely due to these very chemical reactions. For example, ascorbate reacts with $\text{O}_2$ leading to the production of the superoxide anion radical and $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ [61].

Most biological studies done so far rely on lasers to excite directly $\text{O}_2$ (for the reasons behind this see Section 5.3 below). Due to the high implemented in redox biology studies. Also I will include comments and suggestions in regards to some scientific areas that share methodological aspects with this technique, introducing different points of view to answer some issues in those areas. To complement this section, the absorption coefficients for $3\text{O}_2$ in several biologically-relevant solvents, recently published, are listed in Table 4. With this data researchers can estimate order-of-magnitude $\text{O}_2$ production rates and doses, when considering and planning redox biology experiments using direct optical excitation of $\text{O}_2$.

### 5.1. Methodological aspects of direct optical $\text{O}_2$ excitation

The direct excitation of $\text{O}_2$ allows for robust and essential control experiments to be done. This is a strong methodological asset of this approach, and adequate comparison among experimental conditions must be implemented by all means, if one is to conclude the causal role of $\text{O}_2$ in the biological experiments. These control experiments are affordable due to several reasons. First, if $^{1}\text{O}_2$ is at the origin of a given biological response, then a strong wavelength-dependent effect should be observed. In other words, the response should diminish, and finally disappear, the farther the exciting light is from the peak of the absorption band. This has been observed, for example, when HeLa cells were efficiently damaged under $765$ nm excitation, but no deleterious effect was observed once the exciting laser was tuned farther than $15$ nm to each side of the $765$ nm absorption peak [32]. Similar control-light examples in chemical and biochemical experiments have been done recently by Krasnovsky et al. [105–107,110–114]. This photonic methodology allows for control-light experiments under the same experimental conditions by just changing the wavelength. In fact, redox biology experiments done under this methodological approach should always provide for a sham-irradiation control done at as-close-as-possible wavelengths to the one producing $\text{O}_2$ (e.g. using light at $775$ or $780$ nm along the “active” $760$–$765$ nm). Oxygen bands are very narrow in comparison to other chromophores which may overlap in absorption (including thermal effects by water excitation). Therefore, biological responses observed under light excitation outside the $\text{O}_2$ bands should make the experimenter suspicious about the possibility that another chromophore is actually the source of the biological outcome.

A second important advantage is that $\text{O}_2$ lifetime can be modulated, to a certain extent, by several chemical compounds. On one side $\text{O}_2$ lifetime can be enhanced in living systems by D$_2$O addition. The energy transfer to D$_2$O is much less efficient than to H$_2$O. The lifetime in pure D$_2$O is $\sim 68$ µs [46] in comparison to the 3–4 µs in H$_2$O. However due to chemical reactions and physical interactions it is of the order of $35$ µs in the intracellular milieu [47,48]. In any case this order of magnitude increase in lifetime affords for a noticeable enhancement of $\text{O}_2$ biological impact: time for necrotic cell killing was reduced four times in D$_2$O-based incubation medium [32]. On the other side $\text{O}_2$ lifetime can be reduced by addition of physical and/or chemical quenchers, so that its biological action is diminished. The compound Na$\text{Na}_3$ is able to deactivate $\text{O}_2$ without engaging into chemical reaction. The decay is accompanied by heat release. Unfortunately Na$\text{Na}_3$ is poisonous for the mitochondrial electron transport chain but cells tolerate mM final concentrations for several hours. Adequate Na$\text{Na}_3$ controls should provide for biological effects due to this compound. Cell media made of different mixing percentages of H$_2$O:D$_2$O can also provide for different rates of physical $\text{O}_2$ quenching, therefore providing insight into $\text{O}_2$ biological action. Finally several compounds react directly with $\text{O}_2$ in a sacrificial way, being oxidized themselves instead of cellular components. However results with these compounds should be interpreted with care, as new ROS can form precisely due to these very chemical reactions. For example, ascorbate reacts with $\text{O}_2$ leading to the production of the superoxide anion radical and $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ [61].

### Table 4

$3\text{O}_2$ absorption coefficients for some wavelengths in different solvents. Data for H$_2$O and other biologically-relevant solvents are provided with corresponding references.

| Solvent     | Wavelength | Abs. coefficient ($10^{-3}$ M$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$) | Reference |
|-------------|------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------|
| H$_2$O      | 1273 nm    | 1.5                                           | [107]     |
|             | 765 nm     | 1.1                                           | [107]     |
| H$_2$O + 0.2 M SDS | 1276 nm    | 1.2                                           | [105]     |
| D$_2$O      | 764.3 nm   | 1.34                                          | [46]      |
| Ethanol     | 1270 nm    | 2.2                                           | [105]     |
|             | 1273 nm    | 2.8                                          | [107]     |
|             | 760 nm     | 0.97                                         | [105]     |
|             | 765 nm     | 1.06                                         | [107]     |
| Acetone     | 1270 nm    | 2.3                                          | [105]     |
|             | 1273 nm    | 3.2                                          | [106]     |
|             | 1273 nm    | 2.9                                          | [107]     |
| Methanol    | 760 nm     | 0.88                                         | [105]     |
|             | 765 nm     | 0.88                                         | [106]     |
|             | 765 nm     | 0.96                                         | [107]     |
|             | 763.6 nm   | 0.88                                        | [46]      |
|             | 764 nm     | 0.71                                        | [46]      |
coherence and directivity of lasers, it is possible to focus the light beams almost to the diffraction limit. For the wavelengths discussed in this review this means that light spots in the 0.5–1 μm diameter range are affordable. In turn this translates into a very high spatial control over the subcellular domain where ¹O₂ is being produced. This control opens the way to study subcellular effects driven by ¹O₂ by irradiating particular organelles or areas. It also allows for studies on the diffusion of ¹O₂ inside the cell and the differences/similarities of intracellular vs. extracellular generated ¹O₂ [32]. Something to consider is that the diffraction spot dimensions are commonly referred to the lateral (x and y axis) ones. The depth (z-axis) limit is larger as the beam focuses and then defocuses above and below the focusing spot. In consequence, there is a roughly conical volume above and below the focusing spot where ¹O₂ is directly excited too [33]. An interesting experiment partly avoiding this issue would be to make use of the total internal reflection effect at an adequate wavelength, to study ¹O₂ production in a very thin slice just outside/inside the cell. This can be an adequate approach to study the impact of ¹O₂ on the plasmatic membrane, membrane proteins and receptors, etc. Also to take into account is the fact that actinic light gets scattered and diffracted, especially in cellular environments that show refraction index changes and micrometric structures. As direct ¹O₂ excitation is a one-photon process this scattered light will produce some ¹O₂ outside the region of interest.

To avoid unwanted and interfering results due to non-linear optical effects, the use of pulsed lasers should be avoided whenever possible. Biphotonic or multiphotonic excitation because of short laser pulses (nanosecond or shorter) can lead to excitation of organic chromophores (which can photosensitize ¹O₂ but also other ROS as in the classical photodynamic effect) [34] or induce plasma generation that produces a number of ROS [188]. These effects obscure the role ¹O₂ can play in redox biology by adding additional parameters the researcher must account for.

5.2. Redox biology with optically excited ¹O₂

Direct excitation of ¹O₂ can be employed to induce cellular redox stress (stimulation [5]) [33,180], redox distress (stress response and damage [5]) [28,33,119,120], cell death [24,27,32,116], and, seemingly, it can be put to clinical use, at least for some kind of tumors [108,189]. A puzzling aspect of ¹O₂ action at all this levels is the apparent high biological susceptibility to small ¹O₂ amounts: given the very small absorption coefficient of ³O₂ and its low concentration in cells and tissues, it is not easy to understand how relatively short irradiations can have such a biological impact. To answer this question is, in my opinion, one of the biggest issues to deal with in regards to ¹O₂ action in redox biology. A mechanism that can partly address this is the ROS-induced ROS release (RIRR) phenomenon, a term initially introduced to explain the observed chronic increase in ROS production by mitochondria well after an initial oxidative insult has been applied [190,191]. In many of the original studies on RIRR the oxidative treatment was ionizing radiation. In RIRR certain oxidative modifications of the affected mitochondria induce leaks and a faulty behavior in the respiratory process. As a consequence, more ROS are produced by the mitochondria, which in turn deal more oxidative damage and further the inefficient respiration process. This positive feedback perpetuates the deleterious cycle which can end by killing the cell or transforming it. Photoactivating processes related to RIRR are known to be driven by ¹O₂ [73]. Recently the process has been expanded to explain similar events not exclusively linked to mitochondrial ROS production [192]. That an initial “oxidative spark” by optically excited ¹O₂ can reach the threshold for significant local structural/functional modifications, that subsequently lead to an increased ROS production, seems feasible in view our current knowledge of the cell physiology and RIRR. Putative ROS sources for such an ¹O₂-driven RIRR can be the mitochondria [28,193], the family of NAD(P)H Oxidases (NOX) [41,194], the lipooxygenases [195] or, most probably, a mixture of all of them. The ROS interconversion process is surely playing a role in this ¹O₂ susceptibility, as it can transform a short-lived ROS like ¹O₂ into longer lived species like H₂O₂. In fact, it has been reported that the bystander effect after a photodynamic treatment is mediated by H₂O₂ [196] and other diffusing mediators like lipid peroxidation products [197]. The group led by Rafailov has modelled the impact of an initial ¹O₂ pool (generated by 1270 nm light exposure) on the subsequent ROS increased production and redox stress in normal and tumoral cells [27,119]. Recently, it has been proposed that extracellular generation of ¹O₂ can, under the right circumstances, derive into even more ¹O₂ production through enzymatic processes and catalase inactivation at the cell membrane [194].

It is interesting to remark the potential importance of lipid oxidation products as signaling and damaging agents. Lipids are the bulk components of biological membranes. Oxygen solubility is higher in organic hydrophobic solvents so its concentration is more elevated in biological membranes. At the same time, ¹O₂ lifetime is longer in this kind of solvents and it is reactive towards lipidic compounds [83,84]. Therefore, it can be expected that lipid oxidation products derived from ¹O₂ membrane reactions will be one of the main oxidation products to be generated during and right after exposure to one of the actinic wavelengths presented. A particular compound within these oxidation products, 4-hydroxynonenal (4-HNE), has some interesting properties that can partly explain the paradoxical low-dose vs. high-dose biological effects of ¹O₂. At high doses 4-HNE is cytotoxic and mutagenic, promotes lipid oxidation chain reactions and, due to its amphiphilic aldehyde character, can diffuse from membranes to other cellular locations through the aqueous compartments [86–89,198], also supporting the bystander effect. However, it has been reported that at low doses (micromolar or lower) 4-HNE has physiological signaling roles, like cell mitosis regulation and enhancement [199–201]. Deepening in the mechanisms behind this cell stimulation Ramana et al. found activation of protein kinase C, NF-κB and AP-1 after low concentration 4-HNE exposure [202]. Another two groups have found activation of the Src kinase pathway under similar circumstances [203,204]. Mild photodynamic treatments induce cell stimulation partly through activation of Src kinase [41–43], which is a prototypical example of kinase regulation by redox modulation [97]. Intracellular levels of 4-HNE modulate GSH concentration and, in consequence, the overall reduction potential (E_{red}) of the cell [200,202,205]. Additionally, 4-HNE and other lipid oxidation products can increase ROS production by interfering with the mitochondria [88] or NOX [206]. This brings again the RIRR argument introduced previously in this section. In summary, lipid oxidation products, in particular 4-HNE, may work as efficient signal transducers for ¹O₂-driven redox processes in the cell.

An experimental principle to keep always in mind is that direct optical excitation of ¹O₂ guarantees that the only ROS produced in the first place is ¹O₂. As obvious as this might sound, it can help answer fundamental questions in redox biology. For example, in connection to the RIRR phenomenon just discussed or the ROS interconversion processes presented. Does redox signaling show ROS-specificity or is it supported by an unspecified action mechanism? It seems that subtle general modulation of the cellular E_{red} explains many redox signaling effects [19,20,207,208]. As E_{red} is tightly dependent on the ratio GSH:GSSG [3,20,78], it is reasonable to think that different cell responses can be obtained by judiciously providing different “oxidative levels”, irrelevant of the particular ROS involved in the induction of the oxidative action, but attending to the location, amount and duration of them. This is, at the moment, highly speculative, but it has been systematically proved that different ROS (H₂O₂, ¹O₂ or ³O₂) induce very similar cell responses [2,5,18,209].

Following this theoretical argument, a schematic of two opposite cell responses (mitosis stimulation vs. apoptosis) that can be induced by direct optical ¹O₂ excitation is shown in Fig. 5. The interphase rest cell state is shown in Fig. 5A. Differences exist in the E_{red} value among different cell domains. These have been represented as a color code:
cooler colors for more negative $E_{\text{red}}$ (more reduced) and warmer for less negative (more oxidized) values. The nucleus, for example, shows a reduced environment (deep blue) to preserve genetic material against oxidative modifications. When a low dose optical treatment is applied to a subcellular spot (Fig. 5B), low amounts of $^{1}$O$_{2}$ are produced that locally decrease the $E_{\text{red}}$ value (deep orange spot). ROS diffusing from this spot induce a mild oxidative wave (light orange) that spreads to nearby cell locations. Under the right time-dose conditions, this ROS wave can be transduced to a mitotic signal [21, 33, 41, 210]. If the irradiation is continued and/or the light dose is high enough (Fig. 5C), the amount of ROS in the illuminated spot becomes large (red spot) and a generalized oxidative state imposes in the intracellular milieu (deep orange cytoplasm and light orange nucleus). In this particular case, the irradiated cell is shown undergoing apoptosis. The nucleus also shows a turn towards oxidative conditions (light orange), the nuclear membrane has dissolved, and chromatin appears condensed and fragmented (karyorrhexis). Adapted from [33, 210].

5.3. Light sources

The most adequate light sources for direct optical excitation of $^{1}$O$_{2}$ are lasers. Several are the arguments underpinning this statement. Lasers produce quite monochromatic light. This means they emit almost pure colors (i.e. at a single wavelength). As O$_{2}$ absorbs in such narrow bands it is reasonable to pump also with a narrow wavelength source, to avoid wasting most of the light not “tuned” to O$_{2}$. Lasers can be cw or pulsed. Both kinds can provide very useful results by direct O$_{2}$ irradiation of cells. For microscopic studies like those studying biological stimulation [146, 215], Ti:sapphire should be used for these kind of studies. Absorption coefficients for 810 and 690 nm are very low, which leaves 760 and 920 nm as the best suited bands for these kind of studies. Absorption at 760 nm is stronger than at 920 nm [103], which explains that some recent reports have been done at this wavelength [32–34].

Other light sources have been shown to directly photoexcite O$_{2}$, but different features like polychromaticity, low power, incoherence, etc. make them much less suitable than lasers for the topic of this review. LEDs are probably the second best option to obtain $^{3}$O$_{2}$. Recent publications have reported on the feasibility of this approach [146, 213–215]. It will be interesting to see the practical importance of these light sources, although they can be adequate for low $^{1}$O$_{2}$ dose studies like those studying biological stimulation [146]. As a historical remark Evans was able to produce $^{1}$O$_{2}$ by optical excitation employing halogens lamps and narrow-band filters to isolate O$_{2}$ excitation bands from the blackbody lamp spectrum [99].

5.4. Fluorescence microscopy

Light has an assessing, relatively passive role in fluorescence microscopy by exciting some fluorophore of interest. It turns out, however, that it can excite many other unwanted chromophores. Given the narrow and weak absorption bands of O$_{2}$ in the visible, the concerns should be towards organic molecules capable of absorption, not to oxygen. As such, most undesirable oxidative action occurring in fluorescence microscopy is due the classical photodynamic effect or other photochemical reactions. However, currently it is possible to excite in the far red/NIR and record the fluorescent emission in the NIR. Thus, fluorophores excited around 690 nm and 760 nm should be discarded in favor of others or changing the excitation wavelengths.
Non-linear excitation fluorescence microscopy makes much common use of NIR wavelengths [216,217]. Following the same arguments presented above, O₂ absorption bands should be avoided to preserve sample integrity. In this particular field excitation with Ti:sapphire pulsed lasers is very common. Care must be taken not to excite at ~760 nm, ~810 nm or ~920 nm. Additionally, it can be a good idea to use some kind of antioxidant compound (1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane–DABCO– is a useful option) or antioxidant fluorescence medium to quench ROS action during sample imaging. This will depend on viability issues, but it is worth assessing its usefulness. This also applies to linear excitation fluorescence microscopy.

Finally it can be interesting to try to detect ¹O₂ phosphorescence at ~1270 nm after direct excitation at 760 nm in living samples [10,32,37,46]. This is difficult because of the low amount of ¹O₂ produced in the first place and the very low rate of light emission, especially in the reduced environment that defines living systems. The probability of solvent quenching by H₂O or chemical reaction leave small margin for light emission. Photon detection at 1270 nm requires dedicated equipment but this has been already proved with a classical photodynamic excitation of ¹O₂ in living cells [47].

5.5. Optical traps

As repeatedly mentioned in Section 4 a significant part of the damage observed with optical tweezers/traps can be assigned to O₂ directly excited by NIR light [30,135,139,163,164]. The different action spectra reported for deleterious biological action with optical traps clearly fits to the O₂ absorption spectrum [136–138,142,145,161,218]. Therefore, researchers should refrain of using those wavelengths that fall within the O₂ absorption bands (i.e. 760 nm, 810 nm, 920 nm, and 1065 nm). Use of antioxidants and quenchers can be useful ways of minimizing the effect of any O₂ eventhually produced, as long as they do not have negative effects on the samples or these are dealt with adequately with proper controls. At least in one case pre-irradiation at 632.8 nm was employed to “prime” cells for later exposure to 1064 nm light. Cells exposed to 632.8 nm endured better the subsequent irradiation to 1064 nm [141]. Alternatively, optical tweezers working with actinic light can be put to use to assess non-adherent cell redox responses. The cell can be trapped and exposed to O₂ at the same time. An interesting possibility is to study biomechanical aspects, like cell membrane fluidity or intracellular viscosity during trapping, as the optical tweezers can induce controlled mechanical forces on the cell. Changes in these properties can be correlated to the light flux and the O₂ dose. Certainly, large viscosity changes have already been reported in relation to photodynamic treatments of cultured cells [219].

5.6. Thermal aspects

Finally, some comments on thermal aspects seem appropriate. Due to the very high light intensities and/or focusing, it is reasonable to assume that very high thermal loads should happen under most experimental situations described. However, absorption by H₂O is relatively low below 1300 nm and almost negligible below 950 nm (biological window) [121]. Unless some exogenous strongly absorbing compound/structure (e.g. nanoparticles) has been introduced in the studied system, water should be the main concern in regards to thermal side effects. This issue has been both theoretically modelled and experimentally measured for different wavelengths and situations [220–222]. Consensus is that the temperature increase in the focal plane is around 1 °C for every 100 mW of light power at 1064 nm. Making use of shorter wavelengths (760 or 920 nm) should reduce the thermal load by at least one order of magnitude. Although heat-driven damage seems not to be a factor of concern, assuming the previous arguments, it is nevertheless important to be aware that some biological effects can have a thermal origin in some redox experiments using light [223–229]. Under certain circumstances, ironically, thermal damage can be an asset. For example, Yusupov et al. reported on the efficient clinical treatment of skin tumors making use of a laser emitting at 1262 nm [23]. The authors assign the main toxic action on the lesions to the production of ¹O₂, but thermal synergy could not be discarded. Therefore, a combination of oxidative damage and thermal stress can significantly enhance the clinical outcome of certain phototherapies [230].

6. Summary

Direct optical excitation of O₂ for redox biology seems a promising and very productive research area. Definitively, it is a highly interdisciplinary field, which requires inputs from redox biology, biophotonics, physical chemistry, physics, etc. At the same time, it can help respond questions and define new parameters, with the potential to shed light upon many biomedical topics, from ROS-induced pathologies to regenerative processes. It is one of the goals of this review to have introduced the general methodology to the broad audience of researchers in redox biology and photobiology; and, hopefully, to have sparked interest in them about its potentials and further developments.
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