Essential Tremor in a Charcot-Marie-Tooth Type 2C Kindred Does Not Segregate with the TRPV4 R269H Mutation
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Abstract
Background: We investigated 4 members of a family with type 2C Charcot-Marie-Tooth (CMT) and self-reported essential tremor (ET). A heterozygous missense mutation, R269H, in the TRPV4 gene was previously reported in this family. Our genotypic data provided a rare opportunity to determine the etiology of the tremor. Methods: Family study; the 4 tremor cases underwent a detailed neurological assessment. Results: The clinical diagnosis of ET was confirmed in all 4 tremor cases based on stringent published research criteria. Two of these also had CMT. We genotyped all 4 family members for the TRPV4 R269H mutation. We confirmed the presence of the TRPV4 R269H mutation in the 2 family members with ET and CMT; however, the TRPV4 R269H mutation did not segregate with ET in the same family. Conclusions: In this particular CMT family, the tremor was clinically attributed to ET. Furthermore, genotype data indicated that the tremor was unlikely to be caused by incomplete penetrance or variable expressivity of the TRPV4 R269H mutation. Hence, the tremor likely represents ET. This establishes that in some CMT families the tremor diathesis likely represents a second disorder, namely ET.
Introduction

Several neuropathies are accompanied by action tremors [1–4]. Charcot-Marie-Tooth (CMT) disease (hereditary sensory and motor neuropathy) is a heterogeneous group of familial neuropathies in which tremor is reported to be common, but the tremor is not well defined [5, 6]. It remains a matter of debate as to whether this tremor is a phenotypic expression of CMT or whether it represents a comorbidity [i.e. essential tremor (ET)] [5, 6]. Indeed, the diagnostic term ‘essential tremor’ has been applied repeatedly to many of these cases [6].

We investigated a family with type 2C CMT (associated especially with lower limb and voice abnormalities) and self-reported ET; the family was enrolled in the Family Study of Essential Tremor (FASET), Columbia University Medical Center (CUMC) [7]. The genotypic data provided a rare opportunity to attempt to disentangle the etiology of the tremor.

Several members of the kindred have been reported by other investigators who focused on neuropathy rather than tremor [8, 9]; a heterozygous missense mutation, R269H, in the TRPV4 gene was identified [9]. We genotyped 4 family members with mixed phenotypes (2 family members had been diagnosed with ET, and 2 others had been diagnosed with both ET and CMT) for the TRPV4 R269H mutation, and present our results.

Methods

Subjects/Evaluation

The family was enrolled in a genetic study of ET, as described [7, 10]. The study was approved by the CUMC Institutional Review Board; participants gave written informed consent. Seven individuals in the family were enrolled.

An in-person evaluation was conducted (questionnaires, videotaped neurological examination [7], and phlebotomy). The videotaped neurological examination included detailed assessments of postural, kinetic, intention and rest tremors, dystonia, and other movement disorders [11]. E.D.L. reviewed these examinations and rated the severity of postural and kinetic arm tremors (ratings of 0–3 in 12 items), resulting in a total tremor score [range = 0–36 (maximum)] [11]. All ET diagnoses were reconfirmed based on a review of questionnaires and videotaped neurological examinations [7]. Diagnoses were assigned based on stringent published diagnostic criteria (moderate or greater amplitude kinetic tremor during ≥3 activities or a head tremor in the absence of Parkinson’s disease or another known cause) [12]. The presence of dystonic postures did not preclude the diagnosis, particularly if mild and different in distribution from the tremor (e.g. blepharospasm).

Genotyping of TRPV4 Mutations in the CMT Type 2C Family by Sanger Sequencing

Genomic DNA was isolated from peripheral blood using the DNeasy blood kit (Qiagen, Inc.) or from saliva using the Oragene DNA kit (DNA Genotek Inc.). TRPV4 mutations were sequenced by means of polymerase chain reaction and using sequencing primers described previously [9]. Cycle sequencing in forward and reverse directions was performed on purified polymerase chain reaction products and run on a genetic analyzer (ABI 3700; Applied Biosystems, Foster City, Calif., USA). Sequence chromatograms were viewed and genotypes determined by means of Sequencher (Gene Codes Corp, Ann Arbor, Mich., USA).
Results

Phenotypes

The proband, subject III.6, was a 61-year-old woman whose tremor began at age 30 (table 1). On examination, there was a mild bilateral postural tremor and moderate bilateral kinetic tremor during multiple activities (total tremor score = 20). There was no intention or rest tremor. There was mild side-to-side neck tremor, and no limb or cranial dystonia or parkinsonism (fig. 1; table 1). The subject had been diagnosed with CMT at age 37 but had been symptomatic for 1–2 years with leg weakness and changes in the quality of her voice, as is typical of CMT type 2C. An electromyogram/nerve conduction study at age 36 was consistent with a predominantly axonal peripheral neuropathy involving both upper and lower extremities.

Subject III.2, her brother, was a 72-year-old man. On examination, there was a mild bilateral postural tremor and moderate bilateral kinetic tremor during multiple activities (total tremor score = 20, online suppl. fig. 1; for all online suppl. material, see www.karger.com/doi/10.1159/000357665). There was no intention or rest tremor. There was subtle flexed posturing of the left wrist during arm extension. There was no head tremor and no cranial dystonia or parkinsonism (table 1). The patient was unable to recall the age of onset of tremor or date of CMT diagnosis. There was bilateral arm and leg weakness with wasting of intrinsic hand muscles; the patient ambulated with a walker.

Subject III.4, their sister, was 81 years old. On examination, there was a mild left arm postural tremor, mild right arm kinetic tremor, moderate left arm kinetic tremor (total tremor score = 16, table 1), jaw tremor and subtle blepharospasm. She did not have CMT.

Subject II.5, their maternal uncle, was an 87-year-old man with tremor onset at age 23 (online suppl. fig. 2; table 1). He had moderate to severe postural and kinetic tremor (total tremor score = 31), with an intentional component bilaterally. Aside from a mild bilateral rest tremor, there were no features of parkinsonism. He did not have CMT.

Identification of TRPV4 Mutations

To check cosegregation of the TRPV4 mutations in family members with CMT type 2C and ET, we performed Sanger sequencing in the 4 family members (II.5, III.2, III.4, and III.6) and 3 other family members (III.8, III.10, and II.11) with available DNA. We confirmed the presence of the TRPV4 R269H mutation in family members III.2 and III.6 (fig. 1; online suppl. fig. 3). Neither family members II.5 and III.4 (both with a diagnosis of ET) nor III.8 and III.11 (normal) carried the TRPV4 R269H mutation. Subject III.10 with CMT type 2C and ET carried the TRPV4 R269H mutation (fig. 1).

Discussion

The tremor in CMT patients remains a source of diagnostic confusion for clinicians and a potential source of error in genetic studies of ET. It is more parsimonious to attribute the tremor to one disorder, CMT, than to invoke comorbidity with ET. Yet our family provides firm support that the tremor, at least in some families, is not merely the result of CMT. It is not known whether CMT and ET, as 2 disease entities, are associated with one another at the population level. Ours was a kindred study; epidemiological studies have not been conducted to address this specific question.

Two of our cases (III.2 and III.4) had very subtle and focal dystonia on examination; in both cases, the dystonia was isolated to 1 limb or 1 cranial structure, was asymptomatic, and
not diagnosed by their physician. The tremor was the predominant clinical feature and was present in numerous body regions that dystonia was not. Dystonia, of varying severity, may be a feature in some ET families [7]. Furthermore, the presence of mild dystonia, particularly in the presence of long-standing or severe tremor, does not preclude the diagnosis of ET (Consensus Meeting of the Tremor Task Force of the Movement Disorders Society, Lisbon, May 3–5, 2013, pers. commun.).

In summary, we confirmed the presence of the TRPV4 R269H mutation in the 2 family members with ET and CMT; however, 2 family members with ET in the absence of CMT did not have the TRPV4 R269H mutation. This suggests that tremor in this family is unlikely to be an expression of CMT and unlikely to represent incomplete penetrance or variable expressivity of the TRPV4 R269H mutation. The tremor likely represents ET, as diagnosed clinically. Follow-up studies are underway to identify the causal mutation for ET in this family.
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Table 1. Demographic and clinical features of 4 family members

|                          | III.6 | III.2 | III.4 | III.5 |
|--------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Current age, years       | 61    | 72    | 81    | 87    |
| Right- or left-handed    | Right | Right | Right | Right |
| Gender                   | Female| Male  | Female| Male  |
| Age at tremor onset, years | 30   | Could not recall | 65  | 23    |
| Previously diagnosed with ET by a physician | Yes | No | Yes | Yes |
| Prescribed ET medication | Yes | No | No | Yes |
| Symptomatic improvement with ET medication | Yes | No | No | Yes |
| Previously diagnosed with dystonia by a physician | No | No | No | No |
| Previously diagnosed with CMT | Yes | Yes | No | No |
| Diagnosed with ET based on current assessment | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Examination              |       |       |       |       |
| Extension (R)             | 1     | 1     | 0     | 2     |
| Extension (L)             | 1     | 1     | 1     | 3     |
| Pouring (R)              | 2     | 1     | 1     | 2     |
| Pouring (L)              | 2     | 2     | 2     | 2     |
| Using spoon (R)          | 2     | 2     | 1     | 3     |
| Using spoon (L)          | 3     | 2     | 2     | 3     |
| Drinking (R)             | 2     | 1     | 1     | 2     |
| Drinking (L)             | 2     | 2     | 2     | 3     |
| Finger-nose-finger (R)   | 1     | 2     | 1     | 2     |
| Finger-nose-finger (L)   | 2     | 2     | 2     | 3     |
| Archimedes spiral (R)    | 1     | 2     | 1     | 3     |
| Archimedes spiral (L)    | 1     | 2     | 2     | 3     |
| Total tremor score       | 20    | 20    | 16    | 31    |
| Jaw tremor               | Yes   | No    | No    | Yes   |
| Voice tremor             | No    | No    | No    | No    |
| Dystonia                 | No    | No    | Yes (left arm) | Yes (blepharospasm) |
| Parkinsonism             | No    | No    | No    | No    |
| Limb weakness            | Yes (arms, legs) | Yes (arm, legs) | No | No |
| Areflexia                | Yes   | Yes   | No    | No    |

R = Right; L = left. 1 Washington Heights Inwood Genetic Study of Essential Tremor ratings (range = 0–3).
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**Fig. 1.** Pedigree of family and electropherograms showing clinical diagnosis of CMT or ET and family members who carry the TRPV4 mutation. The proband is denoted by an arrow. Squares and circles denote males and females, respectively, and diagonal lines represent deceased individuals. Black squares inside of grey shading represent family members diagnosed with CMT and ET, black squares without gray shading represent family members diagnosed with ET only, and gray shading without black squares represents family members diagnosed with CMT only, and open symbols indicate unaffected individuals. M = TRPV4 c.806G>A (p.R269H); W = wild-type allele.