Course of the Diverticular Disease: What is changing?
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ABSTRACT

In this session several critical issues in diverticular disease were considered, including "It is symptomatic diverticular disease or irritable bowel syndrome?", "What do determine evolution to diverticulitis, bowel habits alteration or inflammation?", and "Prevention of acute diverticulitis: Is it at all possible?". The first part compared symptoms and laboratory findings between symptomatic uncomplicated diverticular disease (SUDD) and irritable bowel syndrome (IBS). Although both diseases share some symptoms, and although IBS can occur in patients having diverticulosis, SUDD and IBS can be distinguished using a combination of symptoms and laboratory tools.

The second part of this session debated what are the most important risk factors for the evolution towards acute diverticulitis (AD). Current data seem to exclude a significant role of bowel habits alteration, while inflammation seems to have a stronger role, especially in causing acute diverticulitis recurrence.

The third part analyzed the prevention of acute diverticulitis. In primary prevention mesalazine seems to be useful, while no definite conclusion can be drawn about the use of fiber and rifaximin. For secondary prevention, no drugs can be currently advised. At the same time, surgery should be advised on case-by-case basis.
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IS IT SYMPTOMATIC DIVERTICULAR DISEASE OR IRRITABLE BOWEL SYNDROME?

It is symptomatic diverticular disease!

SUDD is the term used for patients with abdominal symptoms in the setting of diverticulosis, absent overt infection or inflammation. The prevalence of SUDD is unknown, and clinical similarities with IBS impair our ability to epidemiologically distinguish the two entities, which typically run a benign course with a low incidence of complications. The pathophysiology of SUDD is not completely understood, and multiple factors seem to play contributing roles, including visceral hypersensitivity, altered colonic motility, an altered intestinal microbiota and/or low-grade chronic inflammation [1].

Historically, fiber has been a mainstay of SUDD treatment, although this practice is based on weak evidence and there was no guidance regarding the type and quantity of fiber needed. The possible role of inflammation in SUDD was the basis of investigating mesalamine compounds as therapeutic option. Notably, in a case series of over 900 patients undergoing surgery for SUDD [2] 76% of cases had evidence of acute or chronic inflammatory changes. The DIVA study [3] compared 12 weeks of mesalamine 2.4 g/day with placebo after CT-confirmation of acute diverticulitis (AD), and demonstrated a consistent trend towards decreased symptoms, but was underpowered to detect differences in recurrent diverticulitis. A systematic review of
6 RCTs [4] reported that mesalamine was more effective than placebo in achieving symptom relief in patients with SUDD, although there was significant heterogeneity among the trials, and a much larger trial [5] recently failed to demonstrate any reduction in symptoms or recurrent diverticulitis. While the evidence seems settled that mesalamine is not effective in preventing recurrent diverticulitis, it's role in symptom control in SUDD remains doubtful. On the assumption that the observed disturbances in the intestinal microbiota in patients with SUDD predispose to inflammation and symptoms, rifaximin has also been studied in SUDD with promising results. In a meta-analysis of 4 RCTs in SUDD [6] the combination of rifaximin with fiber was 29% more effective than placebo in obtaining symptom relief at 1 year, with a NNT of 3. Also based on presumptive dysbiosis, probiotics have been studied, with some benefit shown in trials involving bacterial strains such as Lactobacillus, Bifidobacterium infantis, and combination products such as VSL#3, but such trials have been small and uncontrolled. While we wait higher-quality evidence to support this approach [7] the microbiome is likely to be an important target for SUDD therapy in the next years.

It is IBS!

The definition of SUDD: “abdominal symptoms in the setting of diverticulosis, in absence of overt infection or inflammation” is quite confounding from a clinical point of view. This is because the real prevalence of SUDD is unknown, and clinical similarities with IBS (in both there is abdominal pain and change in bowel habits without macroscopic inflammation), as well as the typically benign course with a low incidence of complications, are too similar to allow a clear distinction between the two entities. Specifically, it is still unclear which is the origin of symptoms in patients with SUDD. For example, two large, community-based studies evaluated patients undergoing routine colonoscopy and having chronic abdominal symptoms [8, 9]; both found no evidence of mucosal inflammation either based on immune markers, serum CRP or histopathology in patients with diverticulosis regardless of symptoms [8, 9]. In addition, the good clinical response to rifaximin may also be a confounding factor, since rifaximin was found effective in symptoms’ relief in both diseases [6, 10, 11]. Thus, several physicians prefer to define these symptoms as “IBS-like” rather than “SUDD” [12]. Moreover, chronic abdominal pain in patients with diverticulosis may occur from other conditions than SUDD and IBS, it may be secondary to small intestinal bacterial overgrowth, underlying lactose malabsorption, celiac disease, or other functional gastrointestinal disorders [13].

However, some clinical characteristics [14], together with a higher fecal calprotectin [15] and different histo-pathological findings [16, 17] can help differentiate SUDD from IBS.

WHAT DETERMINES THE EVOLUTION TO DIVERTICULITIS?

Bowel habits alteration

The spectrum of lesions associated with the progression of DD of the colon includes the development of diverticulitis and its complications, although this is very rare compared with the high prevalence of asymptomatic DD in the Western population. In a cohort of veteran patients undergoing colonoscopy in the US, 4% of patients with known uncomplicated diverticulosis developed AD after a median-time-to-event of 7.1 years and a rate of 6 cases per 1000 patient-years [18].

The reasons why some patients with asymptomatic DD progress to AD are unknown, although several potential factors have been suggested, including host genetics factors, diet, medication, lack of exercise, smoking, and bowel habits (constipation) [19]. The type of diet and exercise have been linked to bowel habits, and also to the development of diverticulitis. A recent meta-analysis of nine randomized controlled trials involving 680 participants showed that exercise improved symptoms of constipation, although due to methodological issues, there was a risk of bias and more studies were needed [20]. In the EPIC study [21] a vegetarian diet and patients taking fiber in the highest quartile among the participants were associated with a lower risk of being hospitalized due to diverticulitis. Similarly, patients performing vigorous exercise had a lower risk of diverticulitis compared to those being inactive [21, 22]. However, there is no direct evidence that bowel habits or constipation are linked to diverticulitis. In a colonoscopy-based cross-sectional study that analyzed 539 patients with diverticulosis and 1569 controls (without diverticulosis), neither constipation, nor a low-fiber diet were associated with an increased risk of diverticulosis [23]. In another study, of 144 patients detected with asymptomatic DD in a screening colonoscopy study and over a mean follow-up of 7.0±1.7 years, 4.2% developed AD or diverticular bleeding. No variables, including constipation, collected at baseline colonoscopy were associated with these events [24]. In a Norwegian population based study conducted to detect risk factors of hospital admission for AD, increased age, obesity, smoking in females and living in rural areas in males, but not constipation were associated with increased risk [25]. In a small study conducted in Asiatic Korean people, constipation was not associated with the development of right-sided diverticulitis [26].

Inflammation

In recent years, accumulating data suggest that the inflammatory response associated with SUDD may be chronic, with exacerbations and remissions that may lead to the occurrence of AD. Patients were found to have high levels of fecal calprotectin [27], and high levels of TNFa [28], while histopathologic exam showed signs of low-grade inflammation [29]. Those patients seemed to be at higher risk to develop AD, and several clinical studies demonstrated lower risk to develop AD when using anti-inflammatory treatments such as 5 ASA [30, 31].

Inflammation may also play a role in AD recurrence. In most patients, the disease is mild, responds well to antibiotic therapy, and does not recur [32]. However, accumulating data suggest more recently that some patients develop the so-called “SUDD post-AD”, and that it is not an isolated short-term event, but rather a chronic inflammatory process with exacerbations and remissions.

Several observations support the idea of chronic mucosal colonic inflammation. Firstly, patients had high levels of
fecal calprotectin and serum CRP [27, 33]. Secondly, the level of inflammatory cytokines, TNFα and IL-6, in the affected mucosa was higher compared with nonaffected mucosa [33, 34]. In addition, the histologic evaluation of the affected mucosa showed significantly higher crypt distortion, increased number of lymphoid aggregates, and increased number of lymphocytes in the lamina propria - suggestive of persistent chronic mucosal inflammation [33, 34]. Moreover, several clinical studies demonstrated clinical improvement using anti-inflammatory treatments such as 5-ASA or treatments modulating bacterial-mucosal cross-talk such as rifaximin and probiotics [35, 38].

Patients with SUDD, including patients having SUDD post-AD, suffer from a prolonged inflammatory process, resulting in recurrent abdominal pain and high levels of inflammatory markers in blood and stool, and structural changes in the affected tissue with high expression of inflammatory cytokines and inflammatory infiltrates.

Further research is warranted for better understanding of the mechanism behind symptomatic DD and for improving patients’ treatment.

PREVENTION OF ACUTE DIVERTICULITIS: IS IT AT ALL POSSIBLE?

Primary prevention of acute diverticulitis

Progression from SUDD to diverticulitis is uncommon since the disease course is often benign. According to a prospective, long-term study [39], only 2.5% of patients with SUDD developed AD after a median follow up of 66 months. Prevention (both primary and secondary) of AD is challenging. Although there are some studies of medical treatments aimed to reduce the occurrence and recurrence of diverticulitis, most of them are of poor quality, and management is often empirical rather than evidence-based.

A vegetarian diet and a high intake of dietary fiber lower the risk of admission to hospital and of death from DD [21]. Rifaximin in combination with fiber is more effective than fiber alone in preventing AD [30, 40], a trend that is also observed in clinical practice [41]. The therapeutic value of rifaximin was however quite low (number needed to treat (NNT) = 59) [30]. Finally, a meta-analysis [42] found that mesalazine also prevented diverticulitis occurrence in patients with SUDD.

Secondary prevention of acute diverticulitis

After an episode of AD, patients might present with recurrent or smoldering diverticulitis, stricture and fistula and often develop chronic GI and non-GI symptoms [43], that may affect their quality of life [44, 45]. The infection-associated shifts in gut microbial community and the resulting chronic, low-grade mucosal inflammation may well underlie this so-called post-diverticulitis IBS, which has a pathophysiology similar to post-infection IBS [46]. Therefore, several strategies have been undertaken to prevent recurrence of diverticulitis.

Dietary interventions

Although the American Gastroenterological Association Institute (AGA) guideline on management of AD [47] recommends a fibre-rich diet or fiber supplementation in patients with a history of AD, a systematic review [48] found that the evidence for this approach was of "very low" quality.

Pharmacological therapies

Even though a recent updated meta-analysis did not find a significant difference in the rate of recurrent diverticulitis with mesalazine treatment [49], the mesalazine use after an episode of diverticulitis should not be precluded. Mesalazine treatment resulted in fewer and less severe symptoms than placebo [3, 50]. In addition, mesalazine supplementation led to faster recovery in patients with acute uncomplicated diverticulitis [51].

Albeit preliminary results were promising [52], no conclusive results can be drawn regarding the use of rifaximin for secondary prevention. However, adding mesalazine to rifaximin (both administered 7 days/month for 12 months) seems to be more effective than rifaximin alone for resolution of symptoms and prevention of diverticulitis [53]. Furthermore, the normalization of the inflammatory indexes was faster with the combined treatment [53]. Although rifaximin use can be considered promising, the AGA guideline does not consider the available evidence to be strong enough to recommend the use of rifaximin for the secondary prevention of diverticulitis [47].

A 2012 systematic review concluded that the evidence on medical therapy to prevent recurrent diverticulitis is poor [54]. Therefore, at that time, no medical recommendation for prevention therapy against relapse could have been made.

Surgery

Surgery is another option in patients with recurrent, uncomplicated diverticulitis, in selected cases. In the past, surgery was recommended after 2 recurrent attacks and potentially sooner in younger (less than 50 years of age) patients [55]. However, accumulating data on the natural history of the disease have led to the abandonment of this recommendation. Indeed, most complications (except fistulas and obstruction) occur during the first or second episode, and emergency surgery is rarely needed in the setting of recurrent disease [56]. Consistent with AGA technical review [57], morbidity is common after elective resection (10–15%) and surgery does not eliminate risk of diverticulitis recurrence (5–8% risk at 8 years). Therefore, elective surgery is recommended for recurrent, uncomplicated diverticulitis on a case-by-case basis, with consideration of factors such as severity and frequency of attacks, effect on QOL, need for immunosuppression, surgical risk profile and patient preference [56].
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