The majority coloring of the join and Cartesian product of some digraph
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Abstract. A majority coloring of a digraph is a vertex coloring such that for every vertex, the number of vertices with the same color in the out-neighborhood does not exceed half of its out-degree. Kreutzer, Oum, Seymour and van der Zyper proved that every digraph is majority 4-colorable and conjecture that every digraph has a majority 3-coloring. This paper mainly studies the majority coloring of the joint and Cartesian product of some special digraphs and proved the conjecture is true for the join graph and the Cartesian product. According to the influence of the number of vertices in digraph, we prove the majority coloring of the joint and Cartesian product of some digraph.

1 Introduction

For a digraph $D=(V(D),A(D))$ and every vertex $v \in V(D)$, let $N^+(v)(N^-(v))$ denote the out-neighborhood (in-neighborhood) of $v$ in $D$. $d^+(v)(d^-(v))$ is the size of $N^+(v)(N^-(v))$. A directed arc with tail $u$ and head $v$ is denoted by $uv$, vertex $u$ and $v$ are both called end of $(u,v)$. For a subset $V_1 \subseteq V$, we let $D[V_1]$ denote the induce subdigraph by the vertices of $V_1$.

A proper vertex coloring of a digraph $D$ is an assignment $c : V(D) \to \{1,2,\cdots,k\}$ such that there exists no monochromatic arc, then we say that $D$ is proper $k$-coloring. The least number $k$ that satisfies proper vertex coloring is denoted by $\chi(D)$.

A majority coloring of a digraph $D$ is an assignment $c : V(D) \to \{1,2,\cdots,k\}$ such that for every vertex $v \in V(D)$, at most half the out-neighbors of $v$ receive the same color as $v$, then we say that $D$ is majority $k$-colorable. The least number $k$ that satisfies this color is denoted by $\chi_m(D)$. The number of vertices that receive the color $i$ in the out-neighborhood of $v$ in $D$ is denoted by $n_D^i(c,v,i)$. The majority coloring of digraph was
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first introduced and studied by S. Kreutzer, Oum, P. Seymour, D. van der Zypen and D.R. Wood[1], who showed that every digraph is majority 4-colorable. They proved this result on the basis that every acyclic digraph is majority 2-colorable. A majority coloring of odd directed cycle must be a proper vertex coloring, three colors are necessary. Therefore, they proposed the following conjecture:

**Conjecture 1.1**[1]. Every digraph is majority 3-colorable.

Although this conjecture has not been fully resolved, S. Kreutzer et al.[1] studied some special digraphs. The conjecture is true for the digraphs with certain restrictions on out-degree or in-degree. M. Anastos, A. Lamaison, R. Steiner and T. Szabo[2] showed the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.2**[2]. Let $D$ be a digraph such that $\chi(D) \leq 6$. Then $D$ is majority 3-coloring.

We call a digraph $k$-majority choosable, if for any assignment of lists of sizes at least $k$ to the vertices, we can choose colors from the respective lists such that the arising coloring is a majority coloring. Anholcer, Bosek and Grytczuk[3] gave a beautiful proof to show that every digraph is majority 4-choosable(not only majority 4-colorable). M. Anastos, A. Lamaison, R. Steiner and T. Szabo[2] showed the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.3**[2] If $\Delta^+(D) \leq 4$ or $\Delta(U(D)) \leq 6$ or $\Delta(D) \leq 7$, then $D$ is majority 3-choosable.

**Theorem 1.4**[2] Let $D$ be a digraph whose underlying undirected graph is 6-choosable. Then $D$ is majority 3-choosable. In particular any digraph with a 5-degenerate underlying graph is majority 3-choosable.

**Theorem 1.5**[2] If $D$ is a digraph without odd directed cycle, then $D$ is majority 2-choosable.

A. Girão et al. [4] and F. Knox and R. Sámal[5] investigated a natural generalization of majority colorings: For any $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, define an $\alpha$-majority coloring of a digraph $D$ to be a vertex-coloring in which for every vertex $v$, at most $\alpha \cdot d^+(v)$ vertices in $N^+(v)$ have the same color as $v$. If such a coloring can be found for any assignment of lists of sizes at least $k$ to the vertices, call the digraph $\alpha$-majority $k$-choosable. A. Girão et al. [4] and F. Knox and R. Sámal[5] proved both that for every integer $k \geq 1$, every digraph is $\frac{1}{k}$-majority $2k$-choosable. A. Girão et al. [4] proposed the following conjecture:

**Conjecture 1.6**[4] For every integer $k \geq 1$, every digraph is $\frac{1}{k}$-majority $(2k-1)$-choosable.

It is obvious that even directed cycles and directed paths are majority 2-colorable, and odd directed cycles are majority 3-colorable. In this paper, We study the majority coloring of the join and Cartesian product of some digraphs. In Section 2, we prove several results about the majority coloring of the join of some digraphs. In Section 3, we prove the result about the majority coloring of the Cartesian product of some digraphs.
2 The majority coloring of the join of some digraphs

The join of $G$ and $H$ is a graph where the vertex set is $V = V(G) \cup V(H)$ and the edge set is $E = \{ uv | u \in V(G), v \in V(H) \} \cup E(G) \cup E(H)$. We denote the join of $G$ and $H$ by $G \vee H$.

Let $D_1, D_2$ be digraphs, we can denote the join of $D_1, D_2$ by $D_1 \vee D_2$, this mean that the arc set of $D_1 \vee D_2$ is $A = \{ uv | u \in V(D_1), v \in V(D_2) \} \cup A(D_1) \cup A(D_2)$, the vertex set is still $V = V(D_1) \cup V(D_2)$. Obviously, the out-degree of vertex in $D_2$ remains unchanged. Therefore, for majority coloring of the join $D_1 \vee D_2$, we just have to consider the change of the out-degree of the vertex in $D_1$.

Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $m \geq 2, n \geq 3$, $P_m = u_1u_2\cdots u_m$ is a directed path with $m$ vertices, $C_n = v_1v_2\cdots v_n$ is a directed cycle with $n$ vertices. Next, we study the majority coloring of the join of directed paths and directed cycles.

**Theorem 2.1** Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $m, n \geq 2$, $P_m \vee P_n$ is majority 2-colorable.

**Proof.** We know $\chi(P_l) = 2$, $\chi_m(P_l) = 2$, for any directed path $P_l$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $P_m = u_1u_2\cdots u_m$, $P_n = v_1v_2\cdots v_n$. For $v \in V(P_n)$, the out-neighborhood of $v$ remains unchanged, hence a proper 2-coloring of $P_n$ is a majority coloring of $P_n$. Next, let’s consider vertices in directed path $P_m$. For every $u_i \in V(P_m)$,

$$N^+_P(u_i) = \{ u_{i+1} \} \cup V(P_n), (i = 1, 2, \cdots, m-1); N^+_P(u_m) = V(P_n).$$

If $n$ is even, $\frac{n}{2}(i = 1, 2)$, we only need to perform proper vertex coloring on $P_m$.

If $n$ is odd, the number of vertices of the two colors in $P_n$ are $\frac{n-1}{2}$, respectively. For vertex $u_m \in V(P_m)$, we suppose $n^+_P(c_{P_m}, u_m, 1) = \frac{n-1}{2}$, $n^+_P(c_{P_m}, u_m, 2) = \frac{n+1}{2}$. We know that $N^+_P(u_m) = V(P_n)$. Therefore we color $u_m$ with color 1. According to this, we alternate the coloring of $u_i (i = 1, \cdots, m-1)$ with color $\{1, 2\}$, then we can obtain a majority 2-coloring of $P_m \vee P_n$. This proves the theorem.
Theorem 2.2 Let \( m, n \in \mathbb{N} \), and \( m \geq 2, n \geq 3 \), if \( n \) is even, then \( P_m \rightarrow V C_n \) is majority 2-colorable; if \( n \) is odd, then \( P_m \rightarrow V C_n \) is majority 3-colorable.

Proof. Let \( P_m = u_1u_2 \cdots u_m \), \( C_n = v_1v_2 \cdots v_n \). Frist, we consider the case if \( n \) is even, \( C_n \) is an even directed cycle, so it is majority 2-colorable, and satisfied
\[
n^+_{P_m \rightarrow V C_n} (c_{u_m}, i) = \frac{n}{2} (i = 1, 2). \tag{1}
\]
We have that \( n \leq d^+ (u_i) \leq n + 1 \), for every \( u_i \in V (P_m) (i = 1, \ldots, m) \). Let \( c_{P_m} (u_i) = 1 (i \text{ is odd}) \), \( c_{P_m} (u_i) = 2 (i \text{ is even}) \). It is the majority coloring of the join \( P_m \rightarrow V C_n \) that we want.

If \( n \) is odd, \( C_n \) is an odd cycle, and the out-neighborhood of \( v_j \) \( (j = 1, \cdots, n) \) remains unchanged in \( C_n \), so \( C_n \) must be majority 3-colorable, and the majority coloring is also a proper vertex coloring. We perform proper vertex coloring on \( P_m \) with color \( \{1, 2\} \). Thus, we can obtain that
\[
\left\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \right\rfloor \leq q \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \right\rfloor + 1, q \leq \frac{d^+ (u_i)}{2} (i = 1, \cdots, m),
\]
for every vertex \( u_i \) \( (i = 1, \cdots, m) \), where \( q \) denotes the number of \( u_i \) with the same color in the out-neighborhood of \( u_i \) in \( P_m \rightarrow V C_n \). Thus, \( P_m \rightarrow V C_n \) is majority 3-colorable. This concludes the proof of the theorem.

Theorem 2.3 Let \( m, n \in \mathbb{N} \), and \( m \geq 2, n \geq 3 \), if \( m \) is odd and \( n \) is even, then \( C_m \rightarrow V P_n \) is majority 3-colorable; otherwise \( C_m \rightarrow V P_n \) is majority 2-colorable.

Proof. Let \( C_m = u_1u_2 \cdots u_m \), \( P_n = v_1v_2 \cdots v_n \). We know that \( P_n \) is majority 2-colorable. If \( n \) is even, \( n^+_{C_m \rightarrow V P_n} (c_{P_n}, u_m, i) = \frac{n}{2} (i = 1, 2) \). We have that \( d^+_{C_m \rightarrow V P_n} (u) = n + 1 \), for every vertex \( u \in V (C_m) \). Thus, we only need to ensure that the vertex coloring of \( C_m \) is majority colorable. If \( m \) is odd, then \( C_m \) is majority 3-colorable, thus \( C_m \rightarrow V P_n \) is majority 3-colorable. If \( m \) is even, then \( C_m \) is majority 2-colorable, thus \( C_m \rightarrow V P_n \) is majority 2-colorable.

If \( n \) is odd, we have that \( n^+_{C_m \rightarrow V P_n} (c_{P_n}, u_m, 1) - n^+_{C_m \rightarrow V P_n} (c_{P_n}, u_m, 2) = 1 \). We may suppose that \( n^+_{C_m \rightarrow V P_n} (c_{P_n}, u_m, 1) = \frac{n - 1}{2} \), \( n^+_{C_m \rightarrow V P_n} (c_{P_n}, u_m, 2) = \frac{n + 1}{2} \). If \( m \) is even,
the proper 2-coloring of $C_m$ must be a majority 2-coloring. Then we can obtain the
majority 2-coloring of $C_m \to V P_n$. If $m$ is odd, we color $u_m$ with color 1. By the coloring
of $u_m$, we alternate the coloring of $V(C_m)$ with color $\{1, 2\}$, then there exists a
monochromatic arc, we can suppose that $u_m u_1$ is a monochromatic arc. The number of $u_m$
with the same color in the out-neighborhood of $u_m$ in $C_m \to V P_n$ is $\left(\frac{n-1}{2}+1\right)=\frac{n+1}{2}$.
Thus, $C_m \to V P_n$ is majority 2-colorable. This proves the theorem.

Theorem 2.4 Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $m, n \geq 3$, if $m, n$ are even, then $C_m \to V C_n$ is
majority 2-colorable; otherwise $C_m \to V C_n$ is majority 3-colorable.

Proof. Let $C_m = u_1 u_2 \cdots u_m$, $C_n = v_1 v_2 \cdots v_n$. First, we consider that $m, n$ are even,
$C_m, C_n$ are even directed cycle, thus they are also majority 2-colorable. Thus a proper
coloring of $C_m \to V C_n$ is a majority coloring.

Next, we consider that $n$ is even, $m$ is odd, $C_n$ is an even directed cycle, and the
proper 2-coloring of $C_n$ must be a majority 2-coloring. We suppose that $C_m \to V C_n$ is
majority 2-colorable. Then $V(C_m)$ has at most two colors. Because $m$ is odd, there must
exist a monochromatic arc, we can suppose that $u_m u_1$ is a monochromatic arc. For vertex
$u_m$, $d_{C_m \to V C_n}^{+}(u_m) = n + 1$. The number of vertices with the same color of $u_m$ in the out-
neighborhood of $u_m$ in $C_m \to V C_n$ is $\left(\frac{n}{2}+1\right)>\frac{n+1}{2}$, a contradiction. Therefore $C_m \to V C_n$ is majority 3-colorable.

Finally, if $n$ is odd, $C_n$ is an odd directed cycle, thus the proper vertex coloring of $C_m$
must be majority 3-colorable. Regardless of the parity of $m$, $C_m$ is must majority 3-
colorable. Therefore $C_m \to V C_n$ is majority 3-colorable. This proves the claim.

3 The majority coloring of the Cartesian product of some digraphs

The Cartesian product of graph $G$ and $H$ is a graph that vertex set is

$$V(G \times H) = \{(u, v) \mid u \in V(G), v \in V(H)\},$$

the arc set is
\[ E(G \times H) = \{(u, v)(u', v') \mid u = u', vv' \in E(H) \text{ or } v = v', uu' \in E(G) \}. \]

We denoted the Cartesian product of graph \( G \) and \( H \) by \( G \times H \).

This definition is extended to digraphs: Let \( D_1 = (V_1, A_1), D_2 = (V_2, A_2) \) be digraphs, the Cartesian product \( D_1 \times D_2 \) is a digraph that the vertex set is
\[ V(D_1 \times D_2) = \{(u, v) \mid u \in V_1, v \in V_2 \}, \]
the arc set is
\[ A(D_1 \times D_2) = \{(u, v)(u', v') \mid u = u', vv' \in A_2 \text{ or } v = v', uu' \in A_1 \}, \]
where \((u, v)(u', v')\) is the arc from \((u, v)\) to \((u', v')\).

Let \( m, n \in \mathbb{N} \), and \( m \geq 2, n \geq 3 \), \( P_m \) is a directed path with \( m \) vertices, \( C_n \) is a directed cycle with \( n \) vertices.

Next, we give the conclusion of the majority coloring of the Cartesian product composed of directed path and directed cycle.

**Theorem 3.1** Let \( m, n \in \mathbb{N} \), and \( m, n \geq 2 \), \( P_m \times P_n \) is majority 2-colorable.

**Proof.** It is obviously that \( d^+(u_i, v_j) \leq 2 \), for each vertex \((u_i, v_j) \in V(P_m \times P_n)\).

The proper 2-coloring of \( P_m \times P_n \) must be a majority 2-coloring, hence \( P_m \times P_n \) is majority 2-colorable. The theorem is proved.

We know that the Cartesian Product satisfies the commutative law, hence the majority coloring of \( P_m \times C_n \) and \( C_n \times P_m \) is the same. Therefore we only need to discuss one case of two cases, and suppose that we discuss \( P_m \times C_n \). This prove the claim.

**Theorem 3.2** Let \( m, n \in \mathbb{N} \), and \( m \geq 2, n \geq 3 \), if \( n \) is odd, \( P_m \times C_n \) is majority 3-colorable; otherwise \( P_m \times C_n \) is majority 2-colorable.

**Proof.** Let \( P_m = u_1u_2\cdots u_m, C_n = v_1v_2\cdots v_n \), then
\[ V(P_m \times C_n) = \{(u_i, v_j) \mid u_i \in V(P_m), v_j \in V(C_n), i = 1, \cdots, m; j = 1, \cdots, n\}. \]

For each vertex \((u_i, v_j) \in V(P_m \times C_n)(i = 1, \cdots, m - 1; j = 1, \cdots, n)\),
\[ d^+(u_i, v_j) = 2 \text{ and } N^+(u_i, v_j) = \{(u_{i+1}, v_j), (u_i, v_{j+1})\} (j \mod n). \]

For each vertex \((u_m, v_j) (j = 1, \cdots, n)\), \[ d^+(u_m, v_j) = 1 \text{ and } N^+(u_m, v_j) = \{(u_{i+1}, v_j)\} (j \mod n). \]

Let \( V_i = \{(u_i, v_j) \mid j = 1, \cdots, n\}, j = 1, \cdots, m \), and \( W_j = \{(u_i, v_j) \mid i = 1, \cdots, m\}, j = 1, \cdots, n \). Every \( D[V_i] \) is a directed \( n \) cycle. If \( n \) is odd, then each \( D[V_i] \) is an odd directed cycle, hence each \( D[V_i] \) is majority 3-colorable. We color every \( D[V_i] \) in the following way:
\[c((u_i, v_j)) = 0, \quad j = 0 \mod 3; \quad c((u_i, v_j)) = 1, \quad j = 1 \mod 3; \quad c((u_i, v_j)) = 2, \quad j = 2 \mod 3.\]

The above coloring is majority 3-colorable of \(P_m \times C_n\).

If \(n\) is even, then \(D[V_m]\) is an even directed cycle, and is majority 2-colorable. We color each \(D[V_i]\) such that the coloring \(c_i\) is proper 2-colorable, and is majority 2-colorable. Then \(P_m \times C_n\) has a majority 2-coloring. The theorem is proved.

**Theorem 3.3** Let \(m, n \in \mathbb{N}\), and \(m, n \geq 3\), \(C_m \times C_n\) is majority 2-colorable.

Proof. According to the definition of Cartesian product, we know that \(C_m \times C_n\) is a 2-regular digraph i.e. \(d^+((u_i, v_j)) = d^-((u_i, v_j)) = 2\), for every vertex \((u_i, v_j) \in V(C_m \times C_n)\). Let \(V_i = \{(u_i, v_j) | j = 1, \cdots, n\}, \quad i = 1, \cdots, m\), and \(W_j = \{(u_i, v_j) | i = 1, \cdots, m\}, \quad j = 1, \cdots, n\). We color every vertex \((u_i, v_j)\) in the following way:

\[c((u_i, v_j)) = 1, \quad i, j \text{ are also odd or even;}
\]

\[c((u_i, v_j)) = 0, \quad i \text{ is odd and } j \text{ is even or } i \text{ is even and } j \text{ is odd.}\]

If \(m, n\) are also odd, \(D(V_i), D(W_j)\) are odd directed cycles. We can know that the above coloring of \(D[V_m]\) and \(D[V_1]\) is the same, \(D[W_n]\) and \(D[W_1]\) is the same. For every vertex \((u_i, v_j) \in V(C_m \times C_n) \setminus (D[V_m] \cup D[W_n])\), the number of vertices with the same color of \((u_i, v_j)\) in the out-neighborhood of \((u_i, v_j)\) in \(C_m \times C_n\) is \(q = 0\). For every vertex \((u_i, v_j) \in (D[V_m] \cup D[W_n]) \setminus (u_m, v_n)\), \(q = 1 = \frac{1}{2}d^+((u_i, v_j))\), where \(q\) denotes the number of vertices with the same color of \((u_i, v_j)\) in the out-neighborhood of \((u_i, v_j)\) in \(C_m \times C_n\). For the vertex \((u_m, v_n)\), its out-neighbor \((u_m, v_1)\) and \((u_1, v_n)\) are the same color as it. We change the color of \((u_m, v_1)\). For the vertex \((u_m, v_1)\), \(q = 1 = \frac{1}{2}d^+((u_m, v_1))\), where \(q\) represents the number of vertices with the same color of \((u_m, v_1)\) in the out-neighborhood of \((u_m, v_1)\) in \(C_m \times C_n\). Therefore \(C_m \times C_n\) is majority 2-colorable.

When at least one of \(m\) and \(n\) is even, first we consider that exactly one is even. We can suppose that \(m\) is odd, \(n\) is even. Every \(D[V_i]\) is an even directed cycle, and every \(D[W_j]\) is an odd directed cycle. The number of vertices with the same color of \((u_i, v_j)\)
in the out-neighborhood of \((u_i, v_j)\) in \(C_m \times C_n\) is \(q = 1\), for every vertex \((u_i, v_j) \in V(C_m \times C_n)\). Thus \(C_m \times C_n\) is majority 2-colorable. Finally, if \(m\) and \(n\) are also even, every \(D[V_i]\) and \(D[W_j]\) are also even directed cycle, the above coloring is proper 2-colorable, and is also majority 2-colorable. Thus, \(C_m \times C_n\) is majority 2-colorable. This proves the theorem.
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