Health systems in Africa and ill-health actions: do we have ministries of health or ministries of disease?

Abstract

Africa is under the triple burden of communicable disease, non-communicable disease and socio-behavioral illness exacerbated by illiteracy, poverty and underdevelopment. Considerable efforts have been made by different players to improve the population health in the region. However, to deal with this situation, health systems in the region have placed more emphasis on disease management and not on the “causes of causes” that are the social determinants of health (SDH). Considering seven globally known documents/declarations, we came to the conclusion that African health systems perform interventions as “Ministries of disease” for the greatest part of health expenditures in countries of the African region is meant for disease management. They were not able to reach the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) in 2015 with that approach. In the perspective of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), there is a need for the paradigm shift in order to effectively improve the population health.
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Introduction

Africa is under the triple burden of communicable disease, non-communicable disease and socio-behavioral illness exacerbated by illiteracy, poverty and underdevelopment.1 Different health players are fully aware of the situation as expressed in the WHO Declaration of Ouagadougou.2 However, to deal with this situation, health systems in the region have placed more emphasis on disease management and not on the “causes of causes” that are the social determinants of health (SDH). This was recognized as one of the reasons why it was not possible for countries in the region to reach the Millennium development goals (MDGs) in 2015.3 In the perspective of Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), is it not time to examine why things must happen that way in this part of the world? As concerned players, we critically consider the situation in view of analyzing some aspects that could explain it before concluding on the need for a paradigm shift and future prospects. For that purpose, we considered seven main documents/declarations selected based on the fact that they are globally adopted documents focusing on the social determinants of health (SDH) and action across sectors (AAS) for effective improvement of population health. Table 1 shows the list of those documents/declarations.

Historical development of health systems in Africa

In Africa, health-related challenges are many including malaria, tuberculosis, cholera and acute respiratory infections. These conditions are preventable, and non-communicable diseases as well as emerging and reemerging diseases such as Ebola, Lassa fever and Marburg fever are significantly adding to the burden.4 Despite this somewhat alarming picture, we must recognize that efforts are being made to deal with ill/health in the African region, e.g. the Ouagadougou Declaration.2 Although these efforts are strongly supported by all the players in the region, it is clear that health in Africa is still a huge challenge and needs to be reconsidered given that various diseases have never been able to be “rolled back” despite the existence of an effective therapeutic regiment (preventive and curative) and the commitment of many partners in various efforts to control them.1,4

Health systems in Africa with the challenges of improving population health indicators

Since independence in the early 1960s, Africa has been poorly prepared to take care of itself despite its many natural resources. Poor human resources particularly in the field of health, and significant lack of well-trained and high level professionals have become a great concern for health systems management.5 Later on, when PHC was adopted in 1978,4 African countries were highly represented and found there an opportunity to revive their health systems that need a new life. In the enthusiasm of the PHC implementation, countries quickly realized the difficulty to fund such care. Hence the Bamako Initiative (BI) was adopted in 1987. Implementation of the BI has been most successful in respect of costs recovery but not in the rehabilitation of health indicators.1 Experts in the field have unanimously recognized that PHC and BI had failed in Africa, mainly due to the health systems weakness.4,6 In order to provide a solution to the situation of poor health indicators in the region, countries adopted the MDGs which have not been achieved by the vast majority of them.1

Health systems and concepts in Africa

Health professionals in Africa are doing their best, working with great enthusiasm. However, there is a problem related to the use of some concepts/approaches/strategies.7 Most of the strategies, approaches, and declarations that are adopted and implemented at the international level with great success outside Africa, have
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Primary Health Care: Now more than ever: The World Health Report 2008.

Table 1 Documents/Declarations considered in the framework of this study

| Title | Author | Year of issuance | Source |
|-------|--------|------------------|--------|
| 1 Alma Ata Declaration on the Primary Health Care | World Health Organization/Geneva | 1978 | http://www.who.int/publications/almaata_declaration_en.pdf |
| 2 Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) | United Nations/New York | 2000 | http://www.un.org/millenniumgoals/ |
| 3 Primary Health Care: Now more than ever. The World Health Report 2008. | World Health Organization/Geneva | 2008 | http://www1.paho.org/hq/dmdocuments/2010/PHC_The_World_Health_Report-2008.pdf |
| 4 Ouagadougou declaration on primary health care and health systems in Africa: Achieving better health for Africa in the new millennium. Declaration of the Members States of the WHO regional Office for Africa, International Conference on Primary Health Care and Health Systems in Africa, 28-30 April 2008, Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso | World Health Organization/Brazzaville | 2008 | http://www.afro.who.int/en/regional-declarations.html |
| 5 Closing the gap in a generation: Health equity through action on the social determinants of health. Final Report of the WHO Commission on Social Determinants of Health. | World Health Organization/Geneva | 2008 | http://www.who.int/social_determinants/thecommission/finalreport/en/index.html |
| 6 The Millennium Development Goals Report 2015 | United Nations/New York | 2015 | http://www.un.org/millenniumgoals/2015_MDG_Report/pdf/MDG%202015%20rev%20(70%20july%2020).pdf |
| 7 Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) | United Nations/New York | 2015 | https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/?menu=1300 |

MDGs have failed in Africa according to WHO. Health systems in Africa selected three MDGs related to disease to improve health (Goal 4: reduce child mortality; Goal 5: improve maternal health; Goal 6: Fight HIV/AIDS, malaria, tuberculosis and other endemic diseases). While all MDGs were pointing out the different necessary levers for the development of health and wellbeing, how can one understand this choice? From the concept of the determinants of health, illness is the product of a number of fundamental factors such as: poverty, illiteracy (especially on the part of women), the living environment and inequality in international relations, in other words the living conditions of populations. Those three so-called “health MDGs” cannot be effectively achieved without the other five which are the major determinants of health. Can we reduce maternal mortality (MDG5) without poverty reduction (MDG1), with uneducated women (MDG 2 & 3) and without empowering women (MDG3)? Can we reverse the trend of tuberculosis (MDG6c) without the reduction of poverty (MDG1)? Is child mortality (MDG4) not highly correlated with the mother’s education (MDG2 & 3)?
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Discussions above clearly show that most of the health systems’ actions in Africa are disease-oriented, with no efforts aiming at their root causes. As shown in the “ill-health continuum” in Figure 1 below, when almost the entire expenditure and health action are channeled toward preventive, curative, re-adaptive and rehabilitative care, the current system is a disease-oriented. By extension, let’s understand that we are dealing with ministries of disease and not ministries of health. In such a condition, it is not possible to overcome successfully any disease; it persists according to the WHO Commission on SDH. Through the example of malaria, authors have shown that the approaches used so far in sub-Saharan Africa were inadequate and couldn’t curb the disease prevalence sustainably. The dominant vision of health in this kind of orientation is biomedical and there’s need to go beyond by integrating health and non-health sectors resulting from a bio-psychosocial vision of health which would provide an opportunity for the health sector to take great advantage of the action on the SDH in these other non-health sectors (Figure 1).

![Ill-Health Continuum](image)

**Figure 1** Ministry of disease.
Legend HS, health system; HP, health promotion; SDH, social determinants of health; P, prevention

It is important to make changes in view of achieving this purpose, and this calls for a priority action on SDH. It will be necessary to redefine the role of the health sector in the African region to actively enhance the action of non-health sectors in health development. Among others, this implies a reform of health professionals training process at all levels, allowing the health sector to keep its name of health system and therefore “Ministry of health” as shown Figure 2 with an important coordinating role. The United Nations understood this as illustrated through the MDGs. Indeed, MDGs target both key health priorities and the socio-economic situation of poor countries. While the action on the SDH is recognized as a more effective approach in the fight against the disease and local development, this picture of the health situation in Africa suggests a pressing need for the region to move toward its adoption.

![Ministry of Health](image)

**Figure 2** Ministry of Health.
Legend HS, health system; HP, health promotion; SDH, social determinants of health; P, prevention

Conclusion and prospects for the future

For whoever seeks to know whether in Africa we have ministries of health or disease, the answer lays in the status of population health indicators. On one hand, when it is a Ministry of disease, health indicators disagree on health status improvement, because the “causes of the causes” are not addressed within the various programs to fight the disease. The vision of health in that kind of health system is biomedical. On the other hand, when it is a Ministry of health, the vision of health would be bio-psychosocial; actions that would be carried out would fall within the logic of SDH/HiAP (health in all policies) with health professionals playing a backing role. In light of this picture, some actions are needed to promote the strategy of addressing SDH/HiAP which includes in our opinion:

i. Finding appropriate mechanisms to reinforce advocacy for action on SDH through the HiAP approach,

ii. Strengthening partnerships for health development in particular and the development in general with involvement of other non-health sectors on a trans-sectoral manner,

iii. Reorganizing health systems, giving among others, priority to research as it allows the adaptation of actions to the characteristics of populations,

iv. Organizing health professionals training that includes important content in connection with the action on SDH through HiAP.
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