Prognostic impact of CD8 and programmed death-ligand 1 expression in patients with resectable non-small cell lung cancer
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BACKGROUND: The prognostic impact of the expression of CD8 and programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) has not been established in patients with resectable non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC).

METHODS: Surgical tissue specimens were obtained from 136 patients with NSCLC who underwent surgical resection. The expression levels of CD8 and PD-L1 were assessed using tissue microarrays and immunohistochemistry.

RESULTS: The CD8-positive group showed significant increases in overall survival (OS) (median, not reached [NR] vs. 28.452 months) and relapse-free survival (RFS) (median, NR vs. 14.916 months) compared with the CD8-negative group. In contrast to CD8, the PD-L1-negative group demonstrated significant increases in OS (median, NR vs. 29.405 months) and RFS (median, 63.573 vs. 17.577 months) compared with the PD-L1-positive group. Two prognostic groups were stratified according to CD8/PD-L1 expression: group 1 (CD8-positive/PD-L1-negative) vs. group 2 (CD8/PD-L1: positive/positive, negative/negative, negative/positive).

Group 1 had better OS (median, NR vs. 29.405 months) and RFS (median, NR vs. 17.577 months) than group 2. Multivariate analysis indicated that group 1 constituted an independent favourable prognostic factor for OS (hazard ratio [HR], 0.329, p = 0.001) and RFS (HR, 0.293; p < 0.001).

CONCLUSIONS: Positive CD8 and negative PD-L1 expression together may be favourable prognostic markers in resectable NSCLC.
homeostatic responses to immune activation, immune cell infiltration should be considered simultaneously to assess the prognostic role of PD-L1 expression. Among the immunologic parameters, the expression of CD8, a marker for cytotoxic lymphocytes, has been most consistently reported as prognostic.\(^{18-20}\)

Recently, several studies have assessed the clinical significance of PD-L1 and CD8 expression in localised and resectable NSCLC. However, inconsistent findings from positive to negative or insignificant results were reported for the prognostic value of these biomarkers.\(^{3,21-24}\) In this study, we suggest additional findings for clinical impact of expression of CD8 and PD-L1 as prognostic biomarkers in NSCLC patients treated with surgical resection.

**METHODS**

**Patients and tissue samples**

Surgical tissue specimens from 136 patients with NSCLC who underwent surgical resection at Gyeongsang National University Hospital, Jinju, Korea, from October 2002 to January 2010 were obtained. This retrospective study was approved by the Institutional Review Board, which waived the requirement for informed consent. No patient received neoadjuvant chemotherapy or radiotherapy prior to thoracic surgery. Clinical stage was determined according to the seventh edition of the American Joint Committee on Cancer TNM staging system.\(^{15}\) Clinical characteristics were retrieved from available electronic medical records.

Tissue microarray (TMA) and immunohistochemistry (IHC) Representative tumour areas were marked on haematoxylin and eosin-stained slides and used for TMA construction. Tissue cores with a diameter of 3 mm were taken from donor paraffin blocks and placed in blank recipient paraffin blocks. Two cores per tumour were arrayed. The TMA blocks were cut into 4-μm sections, which were attached onto coated slides, labelled, and then placed on the Ventana Benchmark XT (Roche-Ventana, Vienna, Austria) and R 3.4.0 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

**Tissue microarray (TMA) and immunohistochemistry (IHC)**

All IHC results were evaluated by two independent pathologists who were blinded to patients’ clinical outcomes. PD-L1 expression was calculated as the percentage of membrane staining on tumour cells with any intensity. Cases were considered positive when ≥1% of the tumour cells expressed PD-L1 (Supplement Figure S1). In the case of CD8, we calculated the positivity of CD8 using the Genie analysis tool (Leica Biosystems, Wetzlar, Germany). The absolute numbers of CD8-positive cells were automatically counted. The mean (±SD) and median (range) absolute number of CD8-positive cells were 752 (±697) and 543 (33–3460) per mm\(^2\), respectively. The cut-off value for CD8 expression was determined as the median absolute number and cases were considered positive when CD8-positive cells >543 per mm\(^2\) were observed.

**Statistical analysis**

The association between the expression of each marker and clinicopathological parameters was analysed using the Mann–Whitney U or Kruskal–Wallis tests for CD8 data and \(χ^2\) test or Fisher’s exact tests for PD-L1 data. Survival probability analyses were performed using the Kaplan–Meier method. Long-term survivors were censored at 7 years of follow-up. Median follow-up duration was calculated by the reverse Kaplan–Meier approach. Overall survival (OS) was calculated from the date of surgery to the date of death from any cause or the date of the last follow-up observation. Relapse-free survival (RFS) was calculated from the date of surgery to the date of recurrence or the date of death from any cause. Kaplan–Meier analysis and log-rank tests were used to compare postoperative survival curves between groups. Univariate and multivariate analyses of survival were conducted using the Cox proportional hazards model with the enter selection method. Potential prognostic factors in the univariate analysis with \(p < 0.05\) were included in the multivariate analysis. \(p\) values <0.05 were considered statistically significant. All analyses were performed using STATA 14.0 (Stata Corporation, College Station, Texas, USA) and R 3.4.0 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

**RESULTS**

**Patients’ characteristics**

This study included 136 patients with tissue-confirmed resected NSCLC. The median age at diagnosis was 66 (range 31–77) years and 116 (85.3%) patients were men. The most prevalent histology was squamous cell carcinoma (SqCC, 90/136, 66.2%). The majority of patients (124/136, 91.2%) had stage I/II disease, and adjuvant treatment was performed in 38 (27.9%) patients. A list of patients’ characteristics based upon CD8 and PD-L1 expression is shown in Table 1. In terms of histology, the proportion of SqCC was higher in the PD-L1-positive group than in the PD-L1-negative group (85.7% vs. 59.4%; \(p = 0.005\)). Otherwise, there were generally no significant differences in patients’ characteristics between groups except in the variables with unbalanced proportion such as gender and surgery type.

**Survival analysis according to CD8 and PD-L1 expression**

In the entire patient group, the median OS and RFS were 63.573 months (95% confidence interval [CI], 31.212— not reached [NR]) and 35.647 months (95% CI 19.023–79.507), respectively, at a median follow-up of 84 months. The CD8-positive group (median OS, NR; 95% CI 63.573 months—NR) showed a significant increase in OS compared with the CD8-negative group (median OS, 28.452 months; 95% CI 17.019–58.349; \(p = 0.005\), Fig. 1a). RFS was also significantly increased in the CD8-positive group (median RFS, NR; 95% CI 47.836 months—NR) compared with the CD8-negative group (median RFS, 14.916 months; 95% CI 12.058–23.984; \(p = 0.001\), Fig. 1b). In contrast to CD8, the PD-L1-negative group (median OS, NR; 95% CI 33.511 months—NR) showed a significant increase in OS compared with the PD-L1-positive group (median OS, 29.405 months; 95% CI 13.667–72.509; \(p = 0.044\), Fig. 2a). RFS was also significantly increased in the PD-L1-negative group (median RFS, 63.573 months; 95% CI 19.450—NR) compared with the PD-L1-positive group (median RFS, 17.577 months; 95% CI, 8.871—52.238; \(p = 0.040\), Fig. 2b).

**Survival analysis using the combined model of CD8 and PD-L1**

We also evaluated whether the combined model of CD8 and PD-L1 more accurately predicts the prognosis of patients with resectable NSCLC. Patients with CD8-positive/PD-L1-negative had the highest survival probability, while the other three groups showed similarly low survival probabilities (Fig. 3a, b). Based on these results, we divided the patients into two groups and compared survival rates: group 1 (CD8-positive/PD-L1-negative) versus group 2 (CD8/PD-L1-positive/positive, negative/positive, negative/negative). Group 1 (median OS, NR; 95% CI, NR—NR) showed a significant increase in OS compared with group 2 (median OS, 29.405 months; 95% CI, 17.019–52.238; \(p < 0.001\), Fig. 3c). RFS was also significantly increased in group 1 (median
RFS, NR; 95% CI 66.793 months—NR) compared with group 2 (median RFS, 17.577 months; 95% CI 12.649–24.345; p < 0.001, Fig. 3d). Subgroup analyses for OS and RFS were generally consistent with the analyses of the entire cohort (Fig. 4).

Univariate and multivariate analyses for survival are shown in Table 2. Univariate analysis revealed that age < 65 years, non-SqCC histology, stage I, and group 1 were favourable prognostic factors for OS. Stage I and group 1 were favourable prognostic factors for RFS. The multivariate analysis indicated that age < 65 years, stage I, and group 1 (HR 0.329; 95% CI 0.175–0.619; p = 0.001) were independent favourable prognostic factors for OS. In the analysis for RFS, stage I and group 1 (HR, 0.293; 95% CI 0.163–0.527; p < 0.001) were independent favourable prognostic factors.
Fig. 2 Kaplan–Meier curves for survival based on the expression of PD-L1 in resectable non-small-cell lung cancer. 

a Overall survival.
b Relapse-free survival

Fig. 3 Kaplan–Meier curves for survival based on the expression of CD8/PD-L1 in resectable non-small-cell lung cancer. 

a Overall survival and 
b relapse-free survival in the four stratified groups. 
c Overall survival and 
d relapse-free survival in the dichotomised groups. Group 1 CD8-positive/PD-L1-negative, Group 2 CD8/PD-L1: positive/positive, negative/negative, or negative/positive
In this study, we investigated the prognostic significance of CD8 and PD-L1 expression in patients with resectable NSCLC using TMAs. The combination of CD8-positive and PD-L1-negative expression (group 1) was significantly associated with favourable OS and RFS. Multivariate analysis demonstrated that group 1 characteristics constituted one of the independent favourable prognostic factors.

Cancer immunoediting, which is the result of immunosurveillance, immune equilibrium, and immune evasion of the immune system, can modify the characteristics of cancer. Cancer cells are gradually able to gain several immune evasion mechanisms during cancer progression. Cancers develop various strategies to evade host immune responses, including reduced expression of major histocompatibility complex molecules, loss of tumour antigens, inadequate co-stimulation of T-cells, production...
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Table 2. Cox regression model for overall and relapse-free survival

| Variables | Overall survival | Relapse-free survival |
|-----------|------------------|-----------------------|
|           | Univariate | Multivariate | Univariate | Multivariate |
| HR | 95% CI | p | HR | 95% CI | p | HR | 95% CI | p |
| Gender | | | | | | | | |
| Male | Ref. | | | | | | | |
| Female | 0.526 | 0.241–1.149 | 0.107 | 0.814 | 0.431–1.540 | 0.527 | | |
| Age (years) | | | | | | | | |
| ≥65 | Ref. | | | | | | | |
| <65 | 0.591 | 0.362–0.968 | 0.036 | 0.537 | 0.319–0.902 | 0.019 | | |
| Smoking | | | | | | | | |
| Smoker/ex-smoker | Ref. | | | | | | | |
| Never smoker | 0.929 | 0.565–1.528 | 0.772 | | | | |
| Histology | | | | | | | | |
| SqCC | Ref. | | | | | | | |
| non-SqCC | 0.520 | 0.301–0.898 | 0.019 | 0.897 | 0.494–1.628 | 0.720 | | |
| ECOG PS | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Ref. | | | | | | | |
| 0 | 0.749 | 0.444–1.233 | 0.247 | | | | |
| Surgery | | | | | | | | |
| Lobectomy and others | 0.842 | 0.418–1.694 | 0.629 | | | | |
| TNM stage | | | | | | | | |
| II and III | Ref. | | | | | | | |
| I | 0.613 | 0.384–0.976 | 0.039 | 0.530 | 0.321–0.874 | 0.013 | | |
| CD8/PD-L1 | | | | | | | | |
| Group 2 | Ref. | | | | | | | |
| Group 1 | 0.316 | 0.173–0.577 | <0.001 | 0.329 | 0.175–0.619 | 0.001 | | |

HR hazard ratio, 95% CI 95% confidence interval, SqCC squamous cell carcinoma, Non-SqCC non-squamous cell carcinoma, ECOG PS Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status, Group 1 CD8-positive/PD-L1-negative, Group 2 CD8/PD-L1: positive/positive, negative/negative, or negative/positive

of immunosuppressive mediators such as TGF-β, recruitment of immunosuppressive inflammatory cells such as regulatory T cells and myeloid-derived suppressor cells, and expression of immune inhibitory ligands such as PD-L1.28,29

PD-L1 is often upregulated on tumour cells and impairs T cell responses, leading to anergy, exhaustion, and apoptosis on engagement with its cognate co-inhibitory receptor PD-1, which is often highly expressed on tumour-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs).20,35 In contrast, CD8+ T cells exhibit marked cytotoxic capacities that may induce tumour cell death36 by releasing perforins and granzymes in acquired immune responses, thereby playing a critical role in antitumour immunity.27 Indeed, CD8+ T cells are most likely to be functionally relevant in NSCLC, as the number of apoptotic tumour cells is significantly higher in tumours with a high number of CD3+ and CD8+ T cells.39 Therefore, CD8+ T lymphocytes comprise a well-established group of effector T cells with potent cytotoxic effects in cancer.39 In addition, PD-L1-negative tumour cells promote tumour-reactive CD8+ T-cell infiltration and proliferation, increased cytokine production, and enhanced cytolytic activity.30 Our results showing the positive impact of CD8-positive/PD-L1-negative expression on survival of patients with resectable NSCLC support these theoretical considerations.

Previous studies for PD-L1/CD8 expression in locoregional NSCLC reported various and different results compared with our study. A study that included patients with surgically resected stage I NSCLC showed that CD8+ TILs, but not PD-L1, was associated with increased disease-free survival (DFS) and OS.13 In another study showing similar findings, a positive impact of CD8+ expression on survival of resected NSCLC patients was shown only in those with low PD-1-to-CD8 ratio.21 A French study for patients with surgically treated basaloid squamous cell lung carcinoma (stage I–II, 68%) reported that patients with high PD-L1 expression together with increased PD-1+ and CD8+ TILs were associated with an 87% reduction of death risk compared to those with low expression of all three markers.22 A Chinese study for patients with EGFR-mutated and ALK-rearranged NSCLC (stage IA-IIIA, 52%) suggested that OS was shorter in patients with PD-L1+/CD8+ than in those with PD-L1−/CD8+.23 In contrast, another Chinese study showed that neither PD-L1 nor CD8 nor their combination were associated with OS in patients with stage I-II NSCLC who underwent surgical resection.24 The complex heterogeneity of the tumour microenvironment (TME) may be related to the inconsistency between studies, including the present study. Thommen et al. demonstrated that the immunologic function and metabolism of intratumoural CD8+ T lymphocytes differ according to PD-1 expression, and the presence of TILs with high PD-1 expression is correlated with an improved response to PD-1 blockade and with increased OS in NSCLC.9 Teng et al. proposed that the TME be stratified into four types based on T-cell infiltration and PD-L1.
as follows: type I, PD-L1+TIL+; type II, PD-L1- TIL-; type III, PD-L1 +TIL-; and type IV, PD-L1- TIL+. According to this classification, group 1 in the present study may be relevant to type IV TME. Non-PD-1/PD-L1 suppression pathways, such as myeloid-derived suppressor cells and M2 macrophages, are related to tolerance to PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors in this type. If the patients relevant to type IV TME in other previous studies had stronger non-PD-1/ PD-L1 suppression pathways than those in the present study, the inconsistency between the present and previous studies can be explained. Collectively, we believe that other components of the TME should be combined with the predictive model to elucidate the prognostic impact of CD8 and PD-L1 expression in future studies.

As with all studies, this work has several limitations that should be taken into consideration. First, the sample size was relatively small for generalising the clinical significance of the expression of CD8 and PD-L1 in resectable NSCLC. Second, there was potential selection bias derived from the retrospective nature of this study. Confirmation in the external validation cohort or large prospective study is required to demonstrate our findings. Third, tissue specimens used in this study were not recently obtained. However, given that the paradigm for perioperative therapy of resectable NSCLC has not been largely changed during last 10 years, our cohort may be enough to assess the clinical outcome of locoregional NSCLC patients. Fourth, the optimal cut-off value for CD8 positivity has not been established. While some studies used the proportion of CD8-positive cells, others including this study determined the cut-off value of CD8 by its absolute number. Statistical parameters used to identify the cut-off value were also various, including mean, median, quartile, and previously reported values. Subsequent analyses will be necessary to establish definitive cut-off value for CD8 positivity.

Fifth, PD-L1 expression was determined using particular PD-L1 positive/negative expression may be an independent favourable prognostic factor for OS and RFS in patients with resectable NSCLC. These findings may be useful to identify patients who are able to be included in a future trial for perioperative immunotherapy in resectable NSCLC. Given several limitations of this study showing inconsistent result compared with previous ones, further large prospective studies regarding CD8, PD-L1, and other biomarkers for the TME should be performed to validate our findings.
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