We present 75″ × 75″ size maps of M82 at 6.4 μm, 6.6 μm, 7.7 μm, 31.5 μm, and 37.1 μm with a resolution of ~4″ that we have obtained with the mid-IR camera FORCAST on SOFIA. We find strong emission from the inner 60″ (~1 kpc) along the major axis, with the main peak 5″ west–southwest of the nucleus and a secondary peak 4″ east–northeast of the nucleus. The detailed morphology of the emission differs among the bands, which is likely due to different dust components dominating the continuum emission at short mid-IR wavelengths and long mid-IR wavelengths. We include Spitzer-IRS and Herschel/PACS 70 μm data to fit spectral energy distribution templates at both emission peaks. The best-fitting templates have extinctions of $A_V = 18$ and $A_V = 9$ toward the main and secondary emission peak and we estimated a color temperature of 68 K at both peaks from the 31 μm and 37 μm measurement. At the emission peaks the estimated dust masses are on the order of $10^4 M_\odot$.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Over the last few $10^8$ yr a series of starbursts has been triggered in M82 (de Grijs 2001; Mayya et al. 2006) due to its interaction with M81 and NGC 3077 (e.g., Appleton et al. 1981; Yun et al. 1994; Sun et al. 2005; de Mello et al. 2008). The most recent starburst (~50 Myr, e.g., Rieke et al. 1980; Satyapal et al. 1997) has created a stellar cluster at the center of M82. A ring of ionized gas that is enveloped by a molecular gas ring surrounds this cluster, and the starburst is likely fueled by gas that is funneled toward this region by a ~1 kpc (~1′) stellar bar (Telesco et al. 1991; Achtermann & Lacy 1995; Wills et al. 2000; Greve et al. 2002). These gas rings, which appear between about 10″ and 30″ from the nucleus, could be gas swept up by a central expanding superbubble (Matsushita et al. 2000, 2005). High external gas pressure imposed on the molecular gas ring surrounds this cluster, and the starburst is likely fueled by gas swept up by a central expanding superbubble (Matsushita et al. 2000, 2005). High external gas pressure imposed on the molecular gas ring surrounds this cluster, and the starburst is likely fueled by gas swept up by a central expanding superbubble (Matsushita et al. 2000, 2005).

FORCAST is a dual-channel camera with a short-wavelength channel (5–25 μm) and a long-wavelength channel (25–40 μm), allowing for simultaneous observations at two specific wavelengths by selecting bandpass filters in filter wheels. A dichroic beam-splitter directs the same field of view to both the short- and long-wavelength cameras. To increase sensitivity, the beam-splitter can be either removed or replaced by a mirror during an observation. The effective field of view of the two 256 square arrays is 3.4 × 3.2″. After distortion correction, the pixel size is 0.768″.

We observed M82 on 2010 December 1 in the two long-wavelength bands without dichroic at an altitude of about 43,000 feet. We used the on-chip chop-nod observing mode with a chopper throw and nod-distance of 120″ and 90″, respectively, and a chop frequency of 2 Hz. In this mode the source is always on the detector array. For the 37 μm and 31 μm observations we took seven and five integrations, respectively, with an on-source integration time of 60 s per integration. The observations in the 37 μm band were taken at a zenith angle of about 58°.

We observed M82 on 2010 December 1 in the two long-wavelength bands without dichroic at an altitude of about 43,000 feet. We used the on-chip chop-nod observing mode with a chopper throw and nod-distance of 120″ and 90″, respectively, and a chop frequency of 2 Hz. In this mode the source is always on the detector array. For the 37 μm and 31 μm observations we took seven and five integrations, respectively, with an on-source time of 60 s per integration. The observations in the 37 μm band were taken at a zenith angle of about 58°. On 2010 December 4, we obtained four integrations of M82 in the 6.6 μm and 7.7 μm bands and eight integrations in the 6.4 μm band from an altitude of about 42,000 feet. All bands were observed without a beam-splitter, except for the 7.7 μm band observations, which were observed simultaneously with
the 31 μm band. We observed again in the on-chip chop-nod mode, but with a chopper throw and nod-distance of 90′′ and a chop frequency of 5 Hz. All bands were observed at a zenith angle of about 53°.

Since M82 is bright in all bands, we spatially registered the individual chop/nod integrations using a two-dimensional Gaussian fit over the entire galaxy before co-adding the individual integrations. These pointing-corrections are about 3 pixels and improve the noise and the final maps. Due to the on-chip chop-nod observing mode the extent of the final images is about 75′′ × 75′′. Since pointing accuracy and drifts were on the order of several arcseconds, we determined the absolute position of the M82 observation by comparing the 7.7 μm Spitzer map with the 8 μm PACS map from the Herschel archive.

The flux densities in all bands were color-corrected. The standard stars βGem, βUMi, and μUMa were used for absolute flux density calibration and to estimate the beam size. Based on the uncertainty of flat fielding and the water vapor burden, as well as the variance of the flux density measurements, the uncertainty of the intrinsic flux density of the standard stars, and the fact that βGem and βUMi are variable stars, we estimate a 3σ uncertainty of ~20% for the absolute calibration (T. L. Herter et al. 2012, in preparation; Herter et al. 2012). Due to turbulent airflow across the telescope and pointing instabilities the observations are not diffraction limited. For the 7.7 μm band, the emission at the secondary peak appears as two separate components. The positions of the main and secondary peaks coincide roughly with the position of the ionized ring or the inner edge of the molecular ring.

| Band (μm) | S_{peak}^a (Jy pixel^{-1}) | S_{map}^b,c (Jy) | S_{map}^b,d (Jy) | S_{map}^b,e (Jy) | S_{map}^b,f (Jy) | S_{map}^b,f (Jy) | S(Total Map)^g (Jy) |
|-----------|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| 6.4       | 0.112 ± 0.007               | 3.42 ± 0.23      | 2.96 ± 0.20     | 2.75 ± 0.18     | 6.93 ± 0.46     | 6.02 ± 0.40     | 68 ± 5              |
| 6.6       | 0.047 ± 0.003               | 1.40 ± 0.09      | 1.21 ± 0.08     | 1.17 ± 0.08     | 2.90 ± 0.19     | 2.52 ± 0.17     | 32 ± 2              |
| 7.7       | 0.141 ± 0.009               | 4.12 ± 0.28      | 3.41 ± 0.23     | 3.20 ± 0.21     | 8.16 ± 0.54     | 6.94 ± 0.46     | 75 ± 5              |
| 31.5      | 1.86 ± 0.12                 | 57.1 ± 3.8       | 40.6 ± 2.7      | 46.5 ± 3.1      | 110.0 ± 7.3     | 79.7 ± 5.3      | 676 ± 45             |
| 37.1      | 2.42 ± 0.16                 | 74.5 ± 5.0       | 51.5 ± 3.4      | 61.4 ± 4.1      | 143.9 ± 9.6     | 102.2 ± 6.8     | 891 ± 59             |

Notes.

a Pixel size: 0′′768.

b Within 6 × 6 pixels, corresponding to a 4′′6 × 4′′6 region.

c Main peak: 09°55′51.28′′, +69°40′45.5′′.

d Secondary peak: 09°55′52.68′′, +69°40′48.5′′.

e Western ridge: 09°55′50.47′′, +69°40′43.9′′.

f Within 9 × 9 pixels, corresponding to a 6.7′ × 6.7′ region.

g Within 50′′ × 75′′ (65 × 98 pixel) region around center of M82.

### 3. RESULTS

#### 3.1. Morphology

Figure 1 shows the distribution of the mid-IR emission in each of the observed bands. The 6.4 μm, 6.6 μm, and 7.7 μm emission is slightly more extended than the 31 μm and 37 μm emission. Also, the contrast in the morphology is higher in the 31 μm and 37 μm emission than in the short-wavelength bands, with the peaks being more pronounced. The main peak of all mid-IR distributions is 4′′5 west–southwest from the dynamical center. Strong emission extends further to the west–southwest and “peaks” roughly 9′′ from the center (“western ridge”). A secondary peak is visible 4′′ east–northeast of the center (except at 6.6 μm). In the 7.7 μm band, the emission at the secondary peak appears as two separate components. The positions of the main and secondary peaks coincide roughly with the position of the ionized ring or the inner edge of the molecular ring.

The 7.7 μm FORCAST map is in excellent agreement with the Spitzer/IRAC 8 μm map (Figure 1). Also, the FORCAST 31 μm map agrees very well with the 30 μm map obtained by Telesco et al. (1991) using the NASA Infrared Telescope Facility (IRTF), and the flux densities at the main and secondary peaks agree within the calibration uncertainty.

In Figure 2 we show a three-color image of M82, where we have combined the 6.6 μm (blue), 31 μm (green), and 37 μm (red) bands. All colors are scaled linearly with flux density and start at the 3σ level of the statistical background noise in each band. The image shows that the 6.6 μm is more extended and that the secondary peak and western ridge are more pronounced in the two long-wavelength bands.

Figure 3 shows the emission profiles along a 60′′ cut following the major axis of M82. The length and position of the cut is shown in Figure 1. The profiles are in steps of 1 pixel and the flux densities are summed over 1 × 5 pixel strips perpendicular to the major axis and normalized to the emission peak. They emphasize that in the 31 μm and 37 μm bands the ratio between the main and secondary peak is much stronger than in the three short mid-IR bands. In fact, the 6.6 μm continuum only shows a gradient in the flux density from the main peak toward the position of the secondary peak. The emission from the “western ridge” is very pronounced in the 31 μm and 37 μm bands, less in the 7.7 μm and 6.4 μm maps, and barely noticeable in the 6.6 μm map. The 31 μm and 37 μm emission show a peak at this position that is stronger than the secondary peak.
Figure 1. Maps of M82 in the FORCAST bands in units of Jy pixel$^{-1}$ at 6.4 $\mu$m (top left), 6.6 $\mu$m (mid left), 7.7 $\mu$m (bottom left), 31.5 $\mu$m (top right), and 37.1 $\mu$m (mid right). Bottom right: IRAC band 4 (8 $\mu$m) map (in MJy sr$^{-1}$) overplotted with FORCAST 7.7 $\mu$m contours. The color scale is linear and starts at the 3$\sigma$ level of the statistical background noise (0.009 Jy at 6.4 and 6.6 $\mu$m, 0.018 Jy at 7.7 $\mu$m, 0.042 Jy at 31.5 $\mu$m, and 0.051 Jy at 37.1 $\mu$m). The dashed line in the 6.6 $\mu$m map (mid left) indicates the position of the profiles shown in Figure 3.

Figure 3 also shows the flux density ratios along the major axis of M82. The profile of the 37 $\mu$m/6.6 $\mu$m and 37 $\mu$m/7.7 $\mu$m flux density ratios basically follows the profile of the 37 $\mu$m flux density. In contrast, the flux density ratios of the 7.7 $\mu$m/6.6 $\mu$m and 7.7 $\mu$m/6.4 $\mu$m bands are fairly uniform along the major axis, with larger variations only at the edge of the profile, where the signal-to-noise becomes small. The profile of the 37 $\mu$m/31 $\mu$m flux density ratio differs from all others. It is basically flat within $\pm 10''$ of the center of M82 and then increases on either side, while the flux density ratios of all other bands either decrease or stay constant with distance from the center. This indicates a higher color temperature of the large grains in the inner region than in the outer region. Also, the difference of the profiles between the three short mid-IR bands and two long mid-IR bands indicate that they trace different dust components with spatially distinct excitation conditions.

3.2. Mid-IR Spectral Energy Distribution

Siebenmorgen & Krügel (2007)$^6$ have created template SEDs for starbursts as a function of the total luminosity ($L_{\text{tot}}$), optical extinction, contribution to the total luminosity by OB stars, and radius of the nuclear starburst, covering the wavelength range 0.03–2000 $\mu$m. We use the FORCAST and PACS 70 $\mu$m

---

$^6$ http://www.eso.org/~rsiebenm/sh_models/
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Figure 2. Three-color image of M82, with the FORCAST 6.6 μm as red, 31.5 μm as green, and 37.1 μm as blue. All bands are linearly scaled, starting from 3σ of the statistical background noise, before combined.

Figure 3. Flux densities (left) and flux density ratios (right), normalized to the value at the main peak position, along the major axis of M82. The reference position is the main peak, distances are in arcsec, and positive distance is toward the northeast. Flux densities are summed over 1 × 5 pixels perpendicular to the major axis.

data points as well as low-resolution Spitzer-IRS spectra between 5.3 μm to 12.8 μm from regions 2 and 3 of Beirão et al. (2008) to find the SED template that fits the data in the two mid-IR emission peaks within a 6′/8 × 6′/8 (∼115 × 115 pc) area, corresponding to ∼9 × 9 FORCAST pixels. The lower size limit of the area is given by the Spitzer observation. Since this area is much smaller than the smallest nuclear radius in the SED template grid (350 pc), we constrained our search algorithm to that template series and allow it to fit an additional scaling factor. Figure 4 shows the data points and the best-fitting SED template at the main and secondary peaks. It includes three data points from Telesco et al. (1991), multiplied by a factor of two, which corresponds to the flux density ratio within a 6′/8 and 4′/5 aperture in a FORCAST band at the peaks. The flux densities at 70 μm and 37 μm at the main and secondary peaks are very similar and the 70 μm flux density is thus crucial to constrain the SED fit.

For the main mid-IR emission peak, the best-fitting SED template has a total luminosity of $L_{MP}(\text{tot}) = 10^{11.2} L_\odot$, an OB luminosity fraction of 40%, an extinction of $A_V = 18$, and a hydrogen density of $n = 5 \times 10^3$ cm$^{-3}$ in the hot spots. The template requires an additional scaling factor of 0.041, which is of the order of the ratio of observed to the template area, and lowers the total luminosity to $L_{MP}(\text{tot}) = 6.7 \times 10^9 L_\odot$. The best-fitting model for the secondary emission peak has a total luminosity of $L_{SP}(\text{tot}) = 10^{10.7} L_\odot$, an OB luminosity fraction of 40%, an extinction of $A_V = 9$, a hydrogen density of $n = 5 \times 10^3$ cm$^{-3}$, and requires an additional scaling factor of 0.11, which reduces the total luminosity to $L_{SP}(\text{tot}) = 5.7 \times 10^9 L_\odot$.

Due to limited resolution of the SED template parameters we estimate the derived extinction to be within a factor of two. Both values are lower than the extinction reported by Förster Schreiber et al. (2001) for the entire central region ($A_V = 52 \pm 17$). This discrepancy could be due to the patchy
extinction in the central region (Satyapal et al. 1995; Lipsky & Plavchan 2004; Gandhi et al. 2011).

3.2.1. Extinction

The extinction laws between 3 and 10 μm toward the center of M82 and the Galactic center (GC) are similar (Förster Schreiber et al. 2001), and for the GC it is best modeled with $R_V = 5.5$ (Draine 2003). To estimate the extinction and emissivity at the FORCAST bands we therefore use the GC extinction law as modeled by Li & Draine (2001) and Weingartner & Draine (2001) with $R_V = 5.5$, a gas-to-dust mass ratio of 105, a dust grain mass per hydrogen atom of $m_d = 2.2 \times 10^{-26}$ g/H, $N(H)/A_V = 1.37 \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$ mag$^{-1}$ (with $N(H) = N(H_1) + N(H_2)$), and an emissivity index of $\beta = 1.79$ for the 31 μm and 37 μm bands. The mass absorption coefficient and albedo at 31.62 and 37.15 μm are $\kappa = 385.2$ cm$^2$ g$^{-1}$ and 0.0007, and $\kappa = 288.8$ cm$^2$ g$^{-1}$ and 0.0006, respectively. Using the relation $\kappa_{abs}(\lambda) = 0.4 \ln(10 [(1 - \text{albedo})/N_H]\nu^{\alpha}$, and $A(31)/A_V = 9.46 \times 10^{-2}$ and $A(31)/A_V = 1.26 \times 10^{-2}$, resulting in $\tau(37 \mu m) = 0.16$ and $\tau(31 \mu m) = 0.21$ at the main emission peak, respectively. At the secondary emission peak the opacities are $\tau(37 \mu m) = 0.08$ and $\tau(31 \mu m) = 0.11$.

3.2.2. Dust Mass

We use three different methods to estimate the dust masses at the main and secondary emission peaks within 6′.8 × 6′.8.

Applying the extinction laws from the previous section we estimate the gas mass using $M_g = \mu \times m_{th} \times N(H) \times \text{Area}$, where $\mu = 1.4$ is the mean atomic mass per hydrogen, $m_{th}$ is the mass of atomic hydrogen, and $N(H)$ is the total hydrogen column density. We obtain a total gas mass of $M_g$(MP) = $3.8 \times 10^6 M_\odot$ at the main peak and $M_g$(SP) = $1.9 \times 10^6 M_\odot$ at the secondary peak. Using a gas-to-dust ratio of 105 this gives dust masses of $M_d$(MP) = $3.6 \times 10^5 M_\odot$ and $M_d$(SP) = $1.8 \times 10^4 M_\odot$ at the main and secondary peak, respectively. Due to the uncertainty of the extinction, the dust masses can vary by a factor of two.

The dust mass can also be estimated by $M_d = 1/\kappa_{abs} \times F_\nu(\lambda) \times D^2 / B(\lambda, T)$, where $\kappa_{abs}$ is the mass absorption coefficient, $F_\nu$ is the flux density, $D$ is the distance to M82, and $B(\lambda, T)$ is the Planck function at wavelength $\lambda$ and temperature $T$.

Using the previously estimated opacities for 31 μm and 37 μm and a modified blackbody function, we estimate a color temperature of 68 ± 10 K at both emission peaks. The resulting dust masses are $1.15 \times 10^5 M_\odot$ and $7.9 \times 10^4 M_\odot$ at the main and secondary peaks, respectively. The uncertainty of the masses is within a factor of four.

Sanders et al. (1991) estimate the dust mass using the relation $M_d = (L_{(FIR)}/10^9 L_\odot) \times (40 K/T_d)^2 \times 10^4 M_\odot$, where $T_d$ is the dust temperature and $L_{(FIR)}$ is the far-IR luminosity in the range between 40 and 500 μm. From the best-fitting model SED, we determine a $L_{MP(FIR)} = 3.08 \times 10^9 L_\odot$ at the main peak and $L_{SP(FIR)} = 2.52 \times 10^9 L_\odot$ at the secondary peak. Using the color temperatures derived above we obtain dust masses of $M_d$(MP) = $2.2 \times 10^4 M_\odot$ and $M_d$(SP) = $1.8 \times 10^4 M_\odot$ at the main and secondary peak. These dust masses are good to a factor of three.

High-resolution CO measurements with a 4′.2 aperture suggest molecular hydrogen column densities of $N(H_2) = 4 \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$ at our main peak and $N(H_2) = 4 \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$ at our secondary peak (Weiß et al. 2001). So, the enclosed gas masses, including He and other heavy elements, are $(1.7-4.2) \times 10^5 M_\odot$. Assuming a gas-to-dust ratio of 105 this yields dust masses between $(1.6-4) \times 10^4 M_\odot$, in agreement with our calculations above.

4. SUMMARY

We have presented the first results of mid-IR observations of M82 obtained with FORCAST on SOFIA. M82 was observed in the 6.4 μm, 6.6 μm, 7.7 μm, 31.5 μm, and 37.1 μm bands. The observations cover a 75″ × 75″ region. All bands show a strong peak located 4′.5 west–southwest of the kinematic center of M82. A secondary peak 4′ east–northeast of the nucleus is seen in the 6.4 μm, 7.7 μm, 31.5 μm, and 37.1 μm bands, but not in the 6.6 μm continuum. The profiles of the flux density ratios over 60″ along the major axis indicates that the emission at the three short mid-IR bands is dominated by a different dust component than the emission at the two long mid-IR bands. We fitted SED templates to the FORCAST data combined with PACS 70 μm and low-resolution Spitzer-IRS spectra and
estimated extinctions of $A_V = 18$ and $A_V = 9$ toward the main and secondary peaks and a dust color temperature of 68 K in both peaks. The dust masses at the locations of the emission peaks are on the order $10^4 M_\odot$.
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