Influence of low Bi contents on phase transformation properties of VO₂ studied in a VO₂:Bi thin film library†
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A thin-film materials library in the system V–Bi–O was fabricated by reactive co-sputtering. The composition of Bi relative to V was determined by Rutherford backscattering spectroscopy, ranging from 0.06 to 0.84 at% along the library. The VO₂ phase M1 was detected by X-ray diffraction over the whole library, however a second phase was observed in the microstructure of films with Bi contents > 0.29 at%. The second phase was determined by electron diffraction to be BiVO₄, which suggests that the solubility limit of Bi in VO₂ is only ~0.29 at%. For Bi contents from 0.08 to 0.29 at%, the phase transformation temperatures of VO₂:Bi increase from 74.7 to 76.4 °C by 8 K per at% Bi. With X-ray photoemission spectroscopy, the oxidation state of Bi was determined to be 3+. The V⁵⁺/V⁴⁺ ratio increases with increasing Bi content from 0.10 to 0.84 at%. The similarly increasing tendency of the V⁵⁺/V⁴⁺ ratio and Tc with Bi content suggests that although the ionic radius of Bi³⁺ is much larger than that of V⁴⁺, the charge doping effect and the resulting V⁵⁺ are more prominent in regulating the phase transformation behavior of Bi-doped VO₂.

Most dopants can be categorized into two groups: (I) includes those with higher ionic radii and valence compared to V⁴⁺, e.g., W⁶⁺, Mo⁶⁺, Nb⁵⁺, Eu³⁺. Addition of group I elements to VO₂ reduces Tc values, which is sometimes ascribed to the lattice distortion induced by the dopant, while others attribute the reduced Tc to the charge doping and increased carrier density stemming from the dopants.¹⁰,²¹,²² Concerning group II, additional phases of VO₂ can form as a result of doping, e.g., M2 and T phase, making these systems more complex. In summary, it is still not well determined whether the change of Tc is due to a structural change or a charge effect. Recently, research on rare-earth doped VO₂ provides more insights, as those elements have higher ionic radii but lower valence than V⁴⁺. Eu³⁺ was reported to decrease Tc by 6.5 K per at%; Tb³⁺ leads to a reduction of Tc at lower level of element content (<5 at%) and an increment at higher levels.²³,²⁴ In this work, Bi is chosen as dopant, because Bi³⁺ has a 78% higher ionic radius (0.103 nm) but lower valence compared to V⁴⁺. The crystalline structure, composition, microstructure and phase transformation properties of Bi-doped VO₂ in form of a thin film composition spread are studied to get more insights into the effect of this dopant on the MIT of VO₂.

1. Introduction

The correlated electron material VO₂ has been widely researched due to its metal to insulator transition (MIT), which takes place at a critical temperature (Tc) of ~68 °C.¹,² The MIT of VO₂ is accompanied by a structural phase transformation from the monoclinic structure at T < Tc to the tetragonal structure at T > Tc. Across the MIT, the electrical resistivity of VO₂ changes up to 5 orders of magnitude and the optical transmittance in the infrared spectral region changes from highly transparent to reflective. These properties make it promising for applications such as smart windows,³,⁴ Mott-transition FET⁵ and nano-actuators.⁶,⁷ For practical use, the Tc of VO₂ needs to be tailorable to fulfill the different demands of applications. Among the approaches of tuning Tc, an addition of a third element (frequently called elemental doping) has been widely studied. Furthermore, by investigating the influence of additional elements on the MIT properties of VO₂, more insights into the mechanism of the MIT can be gained.⁸–¹¹ The debate over the MIT mechanism has lasted for decades, with two perspectives, either attributing the MIT to electron correlation (Mott-transition) or lattice structure change (Peierls-transition).¹²–¹⁵
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(99.95% purity, 100 mm diameter, Nova Fabrica) and a Bi₂O₃ target (99.9% purity, 100 mm diameter, Evochem) using a magnetron sputter system (AJA International, USA). Fig. 1 shows the geometric layout of the two targets and the substrate. V and Bi₂O₃ targets are positioned opposite to each other, and the Si strip is positioned along the V–Bi₂O₃ target direction. During the deposition, the substrate was static. As a result, the amount of sputtered material on the substrate strip varies at different positions with regard to the relative distance between the target and substrate. Empirically, the spatial profile of thickness in the used setup follows roughly a linear decrease along the library (from substrate positions closer to the source to positions further apart from the source), which is illustrated by the wedge shape of the thickness distribution of deposited material for each source in Fig. 1. Due to the spatial profile of the sputtered V and Bi atoms, a continuous Bi gradient forms along the strip, which is hereinafter referred to as VO₂:Bi library. Pulsed DC power of 300 W (pulsing frequency of 10 kHz, reverse time of 5 μs) was applied to the V target and rf power of 10 W was applied to Bi₂O₃. For sputtering, 80 sccm of Ar was introduced into the chamber and the process pressure was set to 0.4 Pa. A plasma emission monitor (PEM) system (Flotron, Nova Fabrica, Lithuania) was used to dynamically control the oxygen flow rate, in order to obtain single phase VO₂. Details about the control mechanism can be found elsewhere. The oxygen flow was about 6.4 sccm. The deposition temperature was 520 °C.

For the characterizations, measurement areas (MAs) were defined every 9 mm along the strip (Fig. 1). The crystalline structure of the library was determined by X-ray diffractionometry (XRD, Bruker D8 Discover). The composition spread of the library was studied using Rutherford backscattering spectrometry (RBS) at the 4 MV accelerator facility of RUBION/Ruhr University Bochum, with a measurement spot size of 1 mm. Oxidation states of V and Bi were investigated by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, Kratos Axis Nova) using Al Kα as exciting source. Microstructure and morphology of the library was studied by scanning electron microscope (SEM, Jeol JSM-7200F). Transmission electron microscopy (TEM, Jeol JEM-2100Plus) was performed on selected areas using cross-sectional samples. The phase transformation properties of the library were studied by temperature-dependent resistivity ρ(T) measurements using four-probe method. A four-point probe head with 20 pins (5 × 4 groups) was used, which can measure five MAs simultaneously to achieve high throughput. The distance between the pins is 0.5 mm and the distance between each group of pins is 4.5 mm. During the measurement, the library is fixed on a temperature-controlled stage, with temperature cycling from 20 °C to 100 °C and temperature stability of ± 0.05 °C.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Composition spread and crystalline structure

Fig. 2a shows RBS spectra of the VO₂:Bi library with well-separated and background-free bands for V and Bi. All spectra are well simulated with SIMNRA software and areal densities for V and Bi were determined, from which the relative Bi content was calculated as shown in Fig. 2b. The Bi content increases from about 0.06 at% at the V-rich side to 0.84 at% at the Bi-rich side of the library. It is noteworthy that the Bi content here is the ratio Bi/(V + Bi), not the Bi proportion of the whole thin film composition. The absolute values of the areal densities for Bi and V determined by RBS have experimental errors of about 8% due to a systematic uncertainty in the normalization of the detection setup. The Bi/(Bi + V) ratio, however, is independent from the normalization, because it is cancelled out. The residual uncertainty is given by the statistical

Fig. 1  Schematic (not to scale) of the co-sputter deposition of the VO₂:Bi library and its measurement areas (MAs) for characterizations (top view), the wedge shapes in the schematic denote the thickness gradients of sputtered material from each target in the library.

Fig. 2  (a) RBS spectra acquired from all MAs of the V–Bi–O library. The inset is an enlarged Bi channel. (b) Bi content (Bi/(Bi + V) ratio) along the library with error marked, which is within 4% (relative error).
errors of the element signals. Even though there is only a very little amount of Bi, the RBS signal can be well analyzed and has a statistical error of 3% (about 1% for the V signal). The resulting relative error of the ratio is almost 4%, e.g., 0.84 ± 0.03 at% for MA11.

The RBS spectra also give information about the thickness variation along the library. Film thicknesses could be determined non-destructively by a calculation based on measured areal density and density of VO2. Since there is no referable density data of V1-xBi2O3 films, the density of VO2 (4.67 g cm⁻³) was used. This estimate is acceptable due to the low Bi content in the library. Based on the calculation, the thickness of film ranges from 206 nm at the V-rich end (1st MA) to 77 nm at the Bi-rich end (11th MA), which also shows a tendency of linear decease as presented in the ESI (Fig. S1).†

Fig. 3 shows the XRD patterns from V-rich to Bi-rich sides of the VO2:Bi library. All films show almost identical diffractions patterns. The diffraction peaks appear at 2θ = ~27.9°, 37.1°, 39.8°, 55.6°, 57.8°, which can be indexed as (011), (21–1), (002), (220), (022) of VO2 M1 respectively. Unlike Cr- and Al-doped VO2, no M2 or T phases were observed in VO2:Bi library, probably due to the low amount of Bi. Similarly, no bismuth oxides were detected by XRD neither. A detailed analysis on the (011) peak intensity was observed, which might be attributed to decreased film thicknesses in the library.

3.2 Analysis of the oxidation states by XPS spectroscopy

Fig. 4a shows the V2p–O1s XPS spectrum of a 0.17 at% Bi–VO2 sample with peak fitting illustrated. Spectra from other MAs are similar with respect to peak position and peak shape (Fig. 4c). Details about peak fitting can be found elsewhere.27 The V2p3/2 peak in Fig. 4a is asymmetric with a shoulder towards the higher binding energy, which indicates the existence of V⁵⁺ component in the spectrum, although no other V₂O₂⁺⁻¹ phase was observed in the XRD patterns. In deconvolution of V2p3/2 peak, two components appear at 516.1 and 517.3 eV, corresponding to V⁴⁺ and V⁵⁺ respectively. Given that the detection depth of XPS is generally well below 10 nm, the V⁵⁺ signal might be partly ascribed to surface oxidation of VO2. By using the same fitting parameters, deconvolution was performed on all spectra of the library using the software ESCApe (Kratos) and the V⁵⁺/V⁴⁺ ratio was plotted against the Bi content in Fig. 4b with quantification error indicated. At the V-rich side of the library (MA1, MA2), the amount of V⁵⁺ is higher and drops. From 3rd MA on, the V⁵⁺/V⁴⁺ ratio increases with Bi content along the library. Fig. 4a inset shows the Bi 4f spectrum from the 0.17 at% Bi–VO2 sample. The Bi 4f7/2 appears at ~159.4 eV, indicating a valence of +3. XPS spectra of Bi from different MAs are also quite similar with respect to the peak position and shape, but with different peak intensity due to different Bi contents.

3.3 Analysis of microstructure by electron microscopy

The microstructures of the films in the VO2:Bi library are displayed in Fig. 5. The films of Bi ranging from 0.06 to 0.17 at% exhibit similar dense morphology with grain size in the range of ~100–200 nm, and the grains show sharp facets. For the Bi of 0.22 and 0.29 at%, the grains’ facets tend to be blunt, and some grains grow up to size of ~270 nm. From Bi content of 0.38 at%, there is another feature appearing on the surface with bright contrast, as shown in Fig. 5. It seems to emerge at the grain boundary area of VO2. With increasing Bi content to 0.84 at%, the appearance of the feature does not change significantly, instead its surface coverage largely increases.

A TEM cross-sectional sample with the feature included was prepared using focused ion beam (FIB) to further study this area. Fig. 6b shows the cross-sectional HAADF image of the 0.38 at% Bi–VO2 film. As the contrast of the image is associated with the atomic number Z, the brighter structures visible at the bottom and the top of the film indicate the presence of a second phase consisting of heavier elements compared to VO2. A corresponding EDX map shown in Fig. 6c reveals that the second phase contains Bi. Fig. 6d show a bright field image where the Bi-rich grains are in the Bragg contrast. An acquired selected area diffraction (SAD) pattern from this region (Fig. 6e) allows to identify the diffraction spot belonging to the Bi-rich phase, marked as DF. The measured interplanar distance of 0.314 nm corresponds to the (112) plane of the BiVO4 phase – well visible in the dark-field image in Fig. 6f. Fig. 6g and h show the VO2 phase in the Bragg contrast and its indexed SAD pattern.

Although no BiVO4 was detected by XRD in the library, the detailed microstructure study reveals that the solubility limit of Bi into VO2 is about ~0.29 at%. Compared to the reported content of other dopants, e.g., 2.5 at% of W, the solubility of Bi into VO2 is much lower, which might be attributed to the large difference in the ionic radius (0.103 nm for Bi³⁺ versus 0.058 nm for V⁴⁺). In addition, it is interesting to observe in the TEM image that the BiVO4 does not form a columnar structure as VO2 does. Instead, the phase is discontinuously formed at the grain boundary of VO2 on the top of the surface and at the VO2 film–substrate interface.

3.4 Analysis of phase transformation properties by temperature-dependent resistivity measurements

The phase transformation properties of the library were studied by temperature-dependent resistance measurements (R(T)).
temperature-dependent resistivity $\rho(T)$ of the thin film was calculated using film thickness $t$: $\rho = 4.532 \times t \times R$. Fig. 7a shows $\rho(T)$ curves of the library: the films show resistivity drops of about 3 orders of magnitude across the MIT. With increasing Bi content, the resistivity drop decreases to above 2 orders of magnitude as the resistance of the metallic state increases. Meanwhile, the hysteresis loops of $\rho(T)$ curves become slightly wider. $T_c$ were determined by the first derivative of the heating $\rho(T)$ curve, $d \log \rho/dT$, which was plotted as a function of Bi content in Fig. 7b. The $T_c$ at the V-rich side is 75.2 °C and decreases to 74.7 °C for the 0.08 at% Bi–VO$_2$ film. Within the Bi content range from 0.08 to 0.29 at%, $T_c$ increases by ~2 K from 74.7 to 76.4 °C. For higher Bi contents of 0.38–0.84 at%, there is only a slight change of ~0.4 K. A partial linear fit was performed in the $T_c$-increasing section (0.08–0.29 at%) and it was determined that the $T_c$ increases by 8 K per at% Bi. The slight change in $T_c$ for Bi > 0.29 at% is in good agreement with the discovery in microstructure observation, i.e., the second phase BiVO$_4$ is

---

**Fig. 4**  (a) XPS spectrum of a VO$_2$:Bi sample with 0.17 at% Bi content. The V2p–O1s region is shown and deconvoluted to its components. The inset shows the spectrum of Bi 4f. (b) The $V^{2+}/V^{4+}$ ratio from the deconvolution is shown in dependence of Bi content, with quantification error indicated. (c) V2p–O1s spectra from different MAs.

**Fig. 5**  SEM surface images of films from the VO$_2$:Bi library with different Bi contents.
present in the VO2:Bi library as Bi > 0.29 at%. As shown in Fig. S3,† the resistivity of the VO2:Bi library at lower temperatures, e.g., 40 °C, didn’t change too much. In comparison, at higher temperatures, e.g., 100 °C, it increases more obviously. Although the second phase BiVO4 has much higher resistivity (≈10^10 Ω cm), its presence didn’t elevate the overall resistivity of the VO2:Bi library, probably due to its low amount. Interestingly, the tendency of resistivity change of metallic VO2:Bi thin film (at 100 °C) along the increasing Bi content is coincident with the change of Tc: after a slight decrease at 0.08 at%, it increases within the Bi content of 0.08–0.29 at%, until Bi > 0.29 at%, where only a slight change is observed.

In the thin film library, the Bi content is not the only parameter which could affect Tc. There might be also a variation in oxygen-to-vanadium ratio along the library, inherently stemming from the sputter geometry, i.e., the V atomic wedge and a possible O-gradient, as the O2 inlet is close to the V-rich side of the library (shown in Fig. 1). The resulting variation in stoichiometry of VO2 can also influence Tc. For example, Tc tends to decrease with O-deficiency and increase in over-stoichiometric VO2. Another parameter is film thickness, which affects the grain size growth and thus Tc. It has been reported that smaller grain size can lead to decreased Tc, because a higher density of grain boundaries results in more defects promoting the phase nucleation.28

To rule out the possibility that the increase of Tc originates from the fabrication method, a V–O reference library was fabricated under the same deposition condition as that of VO2:Bi library without sputtering the Bi2O3 target. It is reasonable to assume that the thickness and oxygen variation originated from the deposition layout should be comparable for both libraries. The V–O library studied here was confirmed to be single VO2 phase at all MAs by XRD measurements (not shown). The R(T) curves of the V–O reference library were studied and Tc of the thin films along the V–O library was plotted in Fig. 8 in comparison with that of VO2:Bi library.

It turns out that Tc of the V–O library decreases along the direction from V-rich side to Bi-rich side, contrary to the increasing tendency in the VO2:Bi library, which confirms the role of Bi in increasing the Tc of VO2. Moreover, a detailed XPS surface characterization (not shown) on the V–O library also indicated a higher V5+/V4+ and slight decrease of V5+/V4+ at the V-rich side, similar to what was observed in the VO2:Bi library. It suggests that O-rich VO2 forms at the V-rich side due to the sputter layout, which might account for the higher V5+/V4+ ratio and slight decrease of Tc in the VO2:Bi library at first two MAs. For the MAs with higher Bi until 0.29 at%, the influence of Bi doping becomes more profound, which leads to the increase of V5+/V4+ and Tc. It is noteworthy that Tc of V-rich VO2:Bi thin film is higher than reported value of ~68 °C for VO2, which might be partly attributed to the stress of the film, as tensile stress could increase Tc.29

The dopant-induced influence of lattice distortion and charge doping on the phase transformation in doped VO2 systems is still under debate. For instance, the role of lattice distortion is emphasized in some studies on V1–xW1-xO2.10,30 They found that the lattice distortion around W atoms promotes the formation of rutile-like VO2 nuclei in monoclinic lattice, which propagates through the VO2 M1 matrix and lower the thermal energy barrier for the phase transformation. In contrast, some31,32 claim that the electron doping enhances the charge carriers in V1–xW1-xO2, due to the extra two electrons from W-doping, which affects the band structure and facilitate the transformation to the metallic phase. Another study31 suggests that both electronic distribution and local structure perturbation are responsible for the reduction of Tc in V1–xW1-xO2. A comparative study31 on W- and Ti-doped VO2 concludes that the role of charge doping is more prominent in regulating Tc.
although the local structure perturbation induced by dopants is also present. In the current study, the large ionic size of Bi\(^{3+}\) seems not to give rise to significant structure distortion in VO\(_2\) (M1) to reduce the \(T_c\) values. Instead, the large difference of ionic size between Bi\(^{3+}\) and V\(^{4+}\) limits the solubility of Bi\(^{3+}\) in VO\(_2\) to \(\sim 0.29\) at\%. In the composition range of the VO\(_2\):Bi library with soluble Bi\(^{3+}\), the variations of V\(^{5+}/V^{4+}\) ratio and \(T_c\) show an almost similar trend with increasing Bi content, which suggests that the effect of charge doping on the phase transformation behavior is more prominent. When doping with Bi\(^{3+}\) holes are introduced into the VO\(_2\):Bi system due to the charge compensation and are trapped as discrete V\(^{5+}\) ions at cation sites neighboring to the Bi\(^{3+}\), which is in accordance with the increase of V\(^{5+}/V^{4+}\) ratio. The effect of elemental doping with higher or lower valence than V\(^{4+}\) on tuning the transition temperature is comparable with the non-stoichiometry of VO\(_2\).\(^{32,33}\) Here the Bi\(^{3+}\)-doped VO\(_2\) behaves like over-stoichiometric VO\(_2\) with excess O. As reported,\(^{34}\) excess O gives rise to more V\(^{5+}\), higher \(T_c\) and increasing metallic resistivity, which have all been observed in the current study. In addition, compared to V\(^{4+}\), V\(^{5+}\) ions tend to stabilize the low-temperature structure, because the V\(^{5+}\) ion, with small size and empty d orbitals, is in favor of lower anion coordination and not stable in an oxygen octahedron of the high temperature structure.\(^{35}\) As a result, the \(T_c\) values are increased with Bi doping. Similar correlations have been reported in previous studies on Al\(^{3+}\), Ga\(^{3+}\) and most recently Ge-doped VO\(_2\) systems.\(^{35,36}\) By using Bi\(^{3+}\) as dopant, both the size and charge effects of the dopant on the MIT of VO\(_2\) are evaluated. The higher ion size of Bi\(^{3+}\) does not cause obvious lattice distortions to reduce the \(T_c\), which could otherwise support the mechanism of Peierls-transition in VO\(_2\). In contrast, the charge doping plays a more prominent role in increasing \(T_c\), which suggests that the Mott-transition is probably the main cause of the VO\(_2\) MIT.

4. Conclusions

A VO\(_2\):Bi library was fabricated by reactive co-sputtering, with Bi content ranging from 0.06 to 0.84 at\%. Although only VO\(_2\) M1 phase was detected by XRD, a detailed microstructure study revealed the presence of a second phase BiVO\(_4\) for Bi > 0.29 at\%, which suggests that the solubility of Bi\(^{3+}\) in VO\(_2\) is limited to \(\sim 0.29\) at\%. The large ionic size of Bi\(^{3+}\) limits its solubility in VO\(_2\), and no obvious lattice distortion was indicated in the X-ray diffraction patterns. Studies on the oxidation state of V by XPS indicates that adding Bi\(^{3+}\) into VO\(_2\) generates more V\(^{5+}\), meanwhile transformation properties studied by \(\rho(T)\) curves of the VO\(_2\):Bi library shows that \(T_c\) of VO\(_2\):Bi increases from 74.7 to 76.4 °C by 8 K per at\% Bi at Bi content range of 0.08-0.29 at\%. The good agreement between increasing V\(^{5+}/V^{4+}\) and \(T_c\) suggests that in the VO\(_2\):Bi library the effect of charge doping from Bi\(^{3+}\) on the phase transformation of VO\(_2\) is more profound than the lattice distortion.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank ZGH for support in XRD, SEM, TEM measurements and FIB sample preparation. This work was supported by the German Research Foundation (DFG) (Project number LU 1175/18-1).
References

1. F. J. Morin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 1959, 3, 34.
2. Y. Zhao, J. Hwan Lee, Y. Zhu, M. Nazari, C. Chen, H. Wang, A. Bernussi, M. Holtz and Z. Fan, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 2012, 111, 53533.
3. R. Shi, N. Shen, J. Wang, W. Wang, A. Amini, N. Wang and C. Cheng, *Appl. Phys. Rev.*, 2019, 6, 11312.
4. S. Y. Li, G. A. Niklasson and C. G. Granqvist, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 2014, 115, 035113.
5. F. Xu, X. Cao, H. Luo and P. Jin, *Sci. Rep.*, 2012, 2, 1993–1919.
6. D. Ruzmetov, G. Gopalakrishnan, C. Ko, V. Narayananumruti and S. Ramanathan, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 2010, 107, 114516.
7. A. Rúa, F. E. Fernández and N. Sepulveda, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 2010, 107, 74506.
8. K. Liu, C. Cheng, Z. Cheng, K. Wang, R. Ramesh and J. Wu, *Nano Lett.*, 2012, 12, 6302–6308.
9. X. Tan, T. Yao, R. Long, Z. Sun, Y. Feng, H. Cheng, X. Yuan, W. Zhang, Q. Liu, C. Wu, Y. Xie and S. Wei, *Sci. Rep.*, 2012, 2, 466.
10. C. Si, W. Xu, H. Wang, J. Zhou, A. Ablat, L. Zhang, J. Cheng, Z. Pan, L. Fan, C. Zou and Z. Wu, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2012, 14, 15021–15028.
11. Y. Wu, L. Fan, W. Huang, S. Chen, S. Chen, F. Chen, C. Zou and Z. Wu, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2014, 16, 17705–17714.
12. D. Ruzmetov, S. D. Senanayake, V. Narayananumruti and S. Ramanathan, *Phys. Rev. B*, 2008, 77, 1217.
13. O. Nájera, M. Civelli, V. Dobrosavljević and M. J. Rozenberg, *Phys. Rev. B*, 2017, 95, 035113.
14. T. J. Huffman, C. Hendriks, E. J. Walter, J. Yoon, H. Ju, R. Smith, G. L. Carr, H. Krakauer and M. M. Qazilbash, *Phys. Rev. B*, 2017, 95, 075125.
15. A. Cavalleri, T. Dekorsy, H. H. W. Chong, J. C. Kieffer and R. W. Schoenlein, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2004, 70, 161102.
16. M. Soltani, M. Chaker, E. Haddad, R. V. Kruzelecky and J. Margot, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2004, 85, 1958–1960.
17. Y. Jiazhen, Z. Yue, H. Wanxia and T. Mingjin, *Thin Solid Films*, 2008, 516, 8554–8558.
18. C. Piccirillo, R. Binions and I. P. Parkin, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2007, 4050–4055.
19. B. L. Brown, M. Lee, P. G. Clem, C. D. Nordquist, T. S. Jordan, S. L. Wolfley, D. Leonhardt, C. Edney and J. A. Custer, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 2013, 113, 173704.
20. W. Bruckneb, U. Gerlach, H. P. Bruckner, W. Moldenhaueb and H. Oppermann, *Phys. Status Solidi*, 1977, 42, 295.
21. B. G. Chae and H. T. Kim, *Phys. B*, 2010, 405, 663–667.
22. C. Tang, P. Georgopoulos, M. E. Fine, J. B. Cohen, M. Nygren, G. S. Knapp and A. Aldred, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1985, 31, 1000.
23. N. Wang, M. Duchamp, R. E. Dunin-Borkowski, S. Liu, X. Zeng, X. Cao and Y. Long, *Langmuir*, 2016, 32, 759–764.
24. X. Cao, N. Wang, S. Magdassi, D. Mandler and Y. Long, *Sci. Adv. Mater.*, 2014, 6, 558–561.
25. X. Wang, L. Yan, Y. Li and Y. Cao, *J. Inorg. Mater.*, 2015, 30, 1228.
26. M. Mayer, *AIP Conf. Proc.*, 1999, 475, 541–544.
27. X. Wang, E. Suhr, L. Banko, S. Salomon and A. Ludwig, *ACS Appl. Electron. Mater.*, 2020, 2, 1176–1183.
28. M. J. Miller and J. Wang, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 2015, 117, 34307.
29. Y. Muraoka and Z. Hiroi, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2002, 80, 583–585.
30. J. M. Booth and P. S. Casey, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2009, 103, 86402.
31. Y. Wu, L. Fan, Q. Liu, S. Chen, W. Huang, F. Chen, G. Liao, C. Zou and Z. Wu, *Sci. Rep.*, 2015, 5, 9328.
32. S. Zhang, J. Y. Chou and L. J. Lauhon, *Nano Lett.*, 2009, 9, 4527–4532.
33. E. Strelcov, A. Tselev, I. Ivanov, J. D. Budai, J. Zhang, Z. Z. Tischler, I. Kravchenko, S. V. Kalinin and A. Kolmakov, *Nano Lett.*, 2012, 12, 6198–6205.
34. E. Kusano and J. A. Theil, *J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A*, 1989, 7, 1314–1317.
35. J. B. Goodenough, *J. Solid State Chem.*, 1971, 2, 490–500.
36. A. Krammer, A. Magrez, W. A. Vitale, P. Mocny, P. Jeanneret, E. Guibert, H. J. Whitlow, A. M. Ionescu and A. Schuler, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 2017, 122, 45304.