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Abstract
This paper describes our approach to detecting Sentiment and Sarcasm for Arabic in the ArSarcasm 2021 shared task. Data preprocessing is a crucial task for a successful learning, that is why we applied a set of preprocessing steps to the dataset before training two classifiers, namely Linear Support Vector Classifier (LSVC) and Bidirectional Long Short Term Memory (BiLSTM). The findings show that despite the simplicity of the proposed approach, using the LSVC model with a normalizing Arabic (NA) preprocessing and the BiLSTM architecture with an Embedding layer as input have yielded an encouraging F1 score of 33.71% and 57.80% for sarcasm and sentiment detection, respectively.

1 Introduction
Sentiment Analysis (SA) is a natural language processing field that aims to detect people’s opinions and emotions (Nassif et al., 2020). Recently, SA becomes a big challenge in which several works were realized using different methods. Considering works that investigate twitter/tweets as data source, we can cite (Abdul-Mageed et al., 2019) who describe a collection of deep learning Arabic social media processing tools (AraNet) to analyze 15 datasets related to sentiment analysis of Arabic including MSA and its dialects by the way of a Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers (BERT). (Farha and Magdy, 2020) presented ArSarcasm dataset to train a deep learning model for sarcasm detection based on SA using Bidirectional Long Short Term Memory (BiLSTM). In (Beseiso and Elmousalami, 2020), authors have carried out a comparative study by applying three deep learning techniques: Convolutional Neural Network (CNN), Bidirectional Gated Recurrent Unit (BiGRU), and Attention on two datasets (ASTD and LABR). In the case of a single dialect, we find the work done for the Algerian ALGED dataset by (Moudjari et al., 2020), who used classical and deep learning classification to tackle the problem of sentiment analysis.

One of the main challenges of SA is the Sarcasm detection which could be beneficial in many areas. Sarcasm can be defined as a special form of verbal irony that is intended to express contempt or ridicule (Joshi et al., 2017), where people convey the opposite of what they mean, using implicit indirect phrasing, where the intended meaning is different from the literal one (Wilson, 2006). In recent years, we have noticed that Arabic corpora are diversifying more and more according to the task at hand, for instance, one can mention those built by (Bouamor et al., 2018; Zaghouani and Charfi, 2018; Maamouri et al., 2010; Zaghouani et al., 2014; Bouamor et al., 2015). In addition, irony and sarcasm detection has recently drawn a significant attention in computational linguistics (Joshi et al., 2017). Fewer studies considered in detail irony detection in Arabic. The only and earliest corpus on Arabic sarcasm/irony detection is SOUKHRIA corpus in (Karoui et al., 2017), where the authors created a corpus of Arabic tweets, by collecting a set of political keywords. They used the Arabic equivalent of sarcasm # , # #. However, this corpus has not been released to public yet. There is also the work done by (Al-Ghadhban et al., 2017) who proposed a classification model that detects Arabic-sarcasm tweets by using some data mining algorithms. In the first shared task on irony detection for the Arabic language organized by (Ghanem et al., 2019), where they collected their data using distant supervision and used similar Arabic hashtags. The task consists of a binary classification of tweets as ironic or not using a dataset composed of 5,030 Arabic tweets about different political issues and events related to the Middle East and the Maghreb. Another contribution to the creation of
new corpus, (Abbes et al., 2020) proposed a new open domain Arabic corpus annotated for irony detection, which was also collected from Twitter. The rest of the paper is organized as follows: In section 2, a description of the used dataset is presented. The applied cleaning steps and preprocessing are explained in section 3. In section 4, we expose the proposed approach and experiments. Finally, the findings and discussion are presented in section 5. We conclude the paper in section 6.

2 Description of the Dataset

ArSarcasm-v2 dataset (Abu Farha et al., 2021) has been built using previously available Arabic sentiment analysis datasets (SemEval 2017 (Rothenthal et al., 2017) and ASTD (Nabil et al., 2015)) for which sarcasm and dialect labels have been assigned to them. More details about this dataset is addressed, in Table 1. Note that these statistics are related to the dataset after removal of punctuation and emojis.

3 Data Cleaning and Preprocessing

The cleaning process is the first step to apply with Arabic tweets. We define it as a surface preprocessing which includes one or many of the following steps: punctuation removal, emojis removal, stop words removal, Arabic diacritics removal, Arabic Letter normalization, Latin letter and words removal, repeating words and chars removal. The second step is morphological preprocessing: lemmatization (WorADRetLemmatizer (Lem)), stemming (ISRI Arabic Stemmer (Stem)) and part of speech tagging (PosTagger (PosTag) of NLTK)1 (Lichouri and Abbas, 2020; Lichouri et al., 2020).

4 Experiments

As our focus is on detecting sentiment and sarcasm, we present, in this section, our contribution which is preprocessing as a solution to improve detection, in order to build LSVC and BiLSTM classification models (see figure 1). After performing this preprocessing step, features are calculated using the TFIDF vectorizer. These features are used to learn the LSVC model, where default parameters defined in the sklearn library are used (Pedregosa et al., 2011). For BiLSTM, we adopted RNN model which uses an embedding layer with an input of 20k words which will be converted to vector with size 50. We fixed the max length of the input sequences (tweets) to 70 words (Table 1, max token length in train is 148 and test 92). After that we added a Bidirectional LSTM layer with 512 units, followed by a max pooling layer. We then added a dense layer

1https://www.nltk.org/index.html

Table 1: ArSarcasm-v2 dataset statistics

|                | Train (ArSarcasm-v2) | Dev  | Test (ArSarcasm-v2) |
|----------------|----------------------|------|---------------------|
| # sentences    | 12,548               | 2,110| 3,000               |
| # words        | 275,854              | 46,456| 64,347             |
| Max # word per sentence | 148    | 52   | 92                 |
| Min # word per sentence | 1      | 1    | 1                  |
| Max # char per sentence | 298   | 52   | 92                 |
| Min # char per sentence | 2      | 1    | 1                  |

Figure 1: Sarcasm and sentiment detection system.
Table 2: Obtained score in the development phase in both sarcasm (F1-sarcastic) and sentiment (F1-PN) detection using a combination of processing steps.

| Params \ Models                  | Sentiment | Sarcasm |
|----------------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Default (Without Pre-processing) | 88.23     | 98.21   |
| BiLSTM LSVC                      | 86.05     | 98.83   |
| Arabic Diacritics Removal (ADR)  | 86.66     | 98.23   |
| normalizeArabic (NA)             | 88.29     | 98.46   |
| remove_emoji (RE)                | 86.04     | 98.32   |
| remove_repeating_char (RRC)      | 86.83     | 98.23   |
| removeLatinLetter (RLL)          | 87.20     | 98.26   |
| removeOneLetterWord (ROLW)       | 86.25     | 98.21   |
| removePunctuation (RP)           | 87.34     | 98.35   |
| removeStopWord (RSW)             | 86.81     | 98.11   |
| removeWordRepetition (RWR)       | 86.64     | 98.33   |
| applyLemme (Lemme)               | 86.31     | 98.21   |
| applyPosTag (PosTag)             | 52.77     | 73.39   |
| applyStem (Stem)                 | 87.61     | 98.32   |

Table 3: Obtained score in the development phase in both sarcasm (F1-sarcastic) and sentiment (F1-PN) detection using a combination of processing steps with LSVC and BiLSTM.

| Params \ Models                | Sentiment | Sarcasm |
|--------------------------------|-----------|---------|
| RP+RE                          | 85.95     | 98.33   |
| BiLSTM LSVC                    | 85.76     | 98.68   |
| RP+RE+RSW                      | 85.53     | 98.14   |
| BiLSTM LSVC                    | 70.73     | 98.53   |
| RP+RE+RSW+RRC+NA +ADR          | 87.44     | 98.23   |
| +ADR+RWR                       | 75.56     | 98.53   |
| BiLSTM LSVC                    | 87.17     | 97.62   |
| RP+RE+RSW+RRC+NA +ADR+RWR+ROLW | 86.80     | 97.08   |
| +ADR+RWR+ROLW+RLL              | 82.57     | 97.03   |
| BiLSTM LSVC                    | 86.63     | 95.42   |
| RP+RE+RSW+RRC+NA +ADR+RWR+ROLW | 56.47     | 91.22   |

of 256 units followed by a dropout layer of 0.4, and a second dense layer with 2 units (Sentiment: 2 classes) or 3 units (Sarcasm: 3 classes). The BiLSTM model is compiled using the binary and categorical cross entropy and the RMSprop for optimization. For training, we used a batch size of 128, 5 epochs, and a validation split of 0.2. For development, we used the test set from the ArSarcasm corpus. The results are reported in Tables 2 and 3.

https://github.com/iabufarha/ArSarcasm
Table 4: Obtained results of our submitted system vs the average for all the participant for sarcasm and sentiment detection in the test phase.

|                  | Sarcasm Task | Sentiment Task |
|------------------|--------------|----------------|
|                  | F1-sarcastic | Accuracy       | F1-PN          | Accuracy       |
| Our proposed system | 33.71%      | 72.87%         | 57.87%         | 59.23%         |
| Average for all system | 52.52%      | 80.48%         | 65.43%         | 64.61%         |

5 Results and Discussion

In Table 2, we summarize the results obtained using the development set from Abu Farha GitHub. All the preprocessing and morphological processing steps are applied independently.

The reported results shows that in the case of sentiment detection, the best performance is obtained using the Arabic normalizer with an F-PN score of 88.29% and 98.46% with BiLSTM and LSVC, respectively. In the case of sarcasm detection, the best performance is achieved without applying any preprocessing step with an F1-sarcastic score of 86.05% and 98.83% with BiLSTM and LSVC, respectively. We should note that the combination of preprocessing steps didn’t improve the performance of the system (Table 3).

Results on the test set

Our submitted prediction during the test phase is based on the models that use preprocessing and morphological processing steps independently. The performance we achieved for the test phase was F1-sarcastic = 33.71% and F-PN = 57.87% which is less than the average values of all submitted systems by around (+8%) and (+7%) for sarcasm and sentiment detection, respectively (see Table 4).

6 Conclusion

In this work, we presented a simple but intuitive detection system based on the investigation of a number of preprocessing steps and their combinations. A comparison between LSVC and BiLSTM classifiers was conducted where we tried to find the best combination of ”preprocessing + classifiers”.

After conducting more than 200 experiments, we found that feeding BiLSTM (used for sarcasm detection) with raw text without preprocessing is better and allowed to achieve a score of 33.71%. In the case of LSVC (used to detect the sentiment of tweets), we found that the better preprocessing step, in our case, is the Arabic Letter Normalizer with an achieved score of 57.87%.
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