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The eukaryotic endomembrane system consists of multiple interconnected organelles. Rab GTPases are organelle-specific markers that give identity to these membranes by recruiting transport and trafficking proteins. During transport processes or along organelle maturation, one Rab is replaced by another, a process termed Rab cascade, which requires at its center a Rab-specific guanine nucleotide exchange factor (GEF). The endolysosomal system serves here as a prime example for a Rab cascade. Along with endosomal maturation, the endosomal Rab5 recruits and activates the Rab7-specific GEF Mon1-Ccz1, resulting in Rab7 activation on endosomes and subsequent fusion of endosomes with lysosomes. In this review, we focus on the current idea of Mon1-Ccz1 recruitment and activation in the endolysosomal and autophagic pathway. We compare identified principles to other GTPase cascades on endomembranes, highlight the importance of regulation, and evaluate in this context the strength and relevance of recent developments in in vitro analyses to understand the underlying foundation of organelle biogenesis and maturation.

Membrane identity in the endomembrane system

One key feature of eukaryotic cells is the presence of membrane-enclosed organelles, which constantly exchange proteins, lipids, or metabolites via vesicular transport or membrane contact sites (MCSs). Along the endomembrane system, vesicular trafficking requires vesicle budding from the donor membrane and directed transport toward and fusion with the acceptor compartment. The resulting trafficking routes form a regulated network that connects not only the internal organelles, but also the interior and exterior of the cell.

The specific identity of organelles within the endomembrane system is defined by the lipid and protein composition of their membranes. This includes signaling lipids such as phosphoinositides (PIPs) and small GTPases of the Ras superfamily of small G proteins, namely of the Rab, Arf, and Arl families, which act as binding platforms for accessory proteins involved in multiple membrane trafficking processes (Balla, 2013).

Rab GTPases, like other small GTPases, are key regulatory proteins that switch between an inactive GDP-bound (Rab-GDP) and an active GTP-bound (Rab-GTP) state (Barr, 2013; Goody et al., 2017; Hutagalung and Novick, 2011). Rab-GDP is kept soluble by binding to the chaperone-like GDP dissociation inhibitor (GDI). At the target membrane, an organelle-specific guanine nucleotide exchange factor (GEF) activates the Rab after its previous release from GDI, a process possibly supported by other factors (Dirac-Svejstrup et al., 1997). GTP binding stabilizes two loops in the Rab GTPase domain, which allows recruitment and binding of various so-called effector proteins to the Rab-GTP on the membrane. Rab GTPases are inefficient enzymes with a low intrinsic GTP hydrolysis rate and thus depend on a GTPase-activating protein (GAP) to hydrolyze bound GTP. GDI then extracts the Rab-GDP and keeps it soluble in the cytosol until the next activation cycle (Barr, 2013; Goody et al., 2017; Hutagalung and Novick, 2011). In addition to their conserved GTPase domain, Rabs contain a hypervariable C-terminal domain (HVD), which supports GEF recognition and therefore correct localization of the Rab (Thomas et al., 2018).

Among various other functions, Rab GTPases are critical for the fusion of vesicles with the acceptor membrane by recruiting tethering proteins, which bring the two membranes into close proximity. Tethers, together with Sec1/Munc18 proteins, promote the folding of membrane-bound SNAREs at the vesicle and the target membrane into tetrameric coiled-coil complexes.
process further reduces the distance between the membranes, bypasses the hydration layer on membranes, and results in mixing of lipid bilayers and consequently membrane fusion (Wickner and Rizo, 2017; Ungermann and Kümmel, 2019).

Organization and function of the endolysosomal pathway
Endocytosis allows the rapid adaptation of plasma membrane composition in response to changing environmental conditions by the uptake of membrane proteins from the plasma membrane, which are either transported to and finally degraded in the lysosome or sorted back to the plasma membrane, e.g., receptors after releasing their cargo within the endosomal lumen (Sardana and Emr, 2021). A third fate of endocytosed cargo is trafficking to the Golgi (Laidlaw and MacDonald, 2018). In addition, various kinds of endocytosis allow the uptake of very large particles such as bacteria during phagocytosis or fluids during pinocytosis (Huotari and Helenius, 2011; Babst, 2014). The endocytic pathway is also involved in the quality control system of plasma membrane proteins and allows degradation of damaged cell surface proteins as well as the down-regulation of nutrient transporters and receptors (Sardana and Emr, 2021).

During endocytosis, membrane proteins marked by ubiquitination are incorporated into endocytic vesicles, which pinch off the plasma membrane and fuse with the tubular-shaped early endosome (EE) in the cell periphery (Fig. 1 A). The EE serves as a sorting station, at which membrane proteins are either sorted into tubular structures and brought to the recycling endosome (RE) or get incorporated into intraluminal vesicles (ILVs) with the help of four endosomal sorting complexes required for transport (ESCRTs; Sardana and Emr, 2021). A prerequisite for the degradation of cargo in the lysosome is the maturation of EEs into late endosomes (LEs) by changing the organelle surface composition, including specific Rab GTPases and PI3Ps, and organelle shape. The LE is eventually spherically shaped, containing multiple ILVs and a more acidified lumen. Therefore, it is also called Multivesicular Body (MVB). Upon fusion with the lysosome, ILVs and their content are degraded into precursor molecules, which are reused by the cell (Fig. 1 A; Sardana and Emr, 2021; Huotari and Helenius, 2011).

Central functions of Rab5 and Rab7
Along the endolysosomal system, several Rabs coordinate sorting and recycling processes at the EE and LE. Early endosomal Rab5 and late endosomal Rab7 are here the key Rabs conserved among species. Their spatiotemporal activation and therefore functions are tightly coordinated on the level of the MVB/LE (Fig. 1 B).

In yeast, the Rab5-like GTPases Vps21, Ypt52, Ypt52, and Ypt10 and the Rab7-like Ypt7 structure the endocytic pathway (Singer-Krüger et al., 1994; Wichmann et al., 1992). In mammalian cells, Rab5 (with Rab5a, b, and c isoforms having non-redundant functions in the endocytic network; Chen et al., 2014, 2009) and Rab7 (with Rab7a and b isoforms, of which Rab7a is the main actor in transport processes along the endocytic pathway [Guerra and Bucci, 2016], whereas Rab7b has a role in the transport from endosome to the Golgi [Kjos et al., 2017; Progida et al., 2010]) are present (Wandinger-Ness and Zerial, 2014). While the overall organization of the endocytic pathway into EE and LE is conserved, yeast seems to have a more ancestral minimal endomembrane system, where the trans-Golgi network acts as EE and RE (Day et al., 2018). In mammalian cells, the more complex endolysosomal system depends on additional Rabs. Rab4 is involved in protein sorting at the EE, activation of Rab5, and recycling of cargo back to the plasma membrane (Källin et al., 2015; Wandinger-Ness and Zerial, 2014; de Renzis et al., 2002), whereas Rab11 and Rab14 function at REs (Fig. 1 A; Linford et al., 2012; Takahashi et al., 2012). Furthermore, Rab9 is required for retrograde transport between LEs and the trans-Golgi network (Lombardi et al., 1993), and Rab32 and Rab38 function in the biogenesis of lysosome-related organelles (Bowman et al., 2019; Gerondopoulos et al., 2012; Wasmieer et al., 2006).

During endosomal maturation, Rab5 is exchanged for Rab7 (Rink et al., 2005; Potyraev et al., 2010). This Rab switch is highly conserved and a prime example of coordinated Rab turnover during organelle maturation. The rapid transition from Rab5 to Rab7 was explained by a so-called cutout switch, where activation of Rab5 fosters a threshold value activation of Rab7, which in turn suppresses further Rab5 activation (Fig. 1 B; Del Conte-Zerial et al., 2008). Such a principle may apply to most Rab cascades (Barr, 2013).

Rab5 has multiple functions on EEs (Wandinger-Ness and Zerial, 2014). It interacts with a number of effectors such as the lipid kinase Vps34, Rabaptin-5, which is found in complex with the Rab5-GEF Rabex5, Rabenosyn-5, and tethers such as the class C core vacuole/endosome tethering (CORVET) complex or EEA1. Therefore, Rab5 is critical for the homotypic fusion of EEs (Gorvel et al., 1991; Ohya et al., 2009; Christoforidis et al., 1999a, b; Perini et al., 2014; Marat and Haucke, 2016). Vps34 was initially identified in yeast (Schu et al., 1993) and exists in two heterotetrametric complexes, which differ by just one subunit (Kihara et al., 2001). Complex I resides on autophagosomes, whereas complex II functions on endosomes (Fig. 2 D). Both complexes generate a local pool of phosphatidylinositol-3-phosphate (PI3P), to which several effectors bind, including the early endosomal tether EEA1 and ESCRTs (Wallroth and Haucke, 2018). Recent structural insights revealed that Rab5 recruits and activates endosomal complex II, whereas Rab1 acts similarly on autophagosomal complex I (Treml et al., 2021). This explains how Rab5-GTP promotes the formation of a local endosomal PI3P pool (Franke et al., 2019). Interestingly, Caenorhabditis elegans VPS-34 can recruit the Rab5 GAP TBC-2 to endosomal membranes, suggesting a possible link between PI3P generation and Rab5 inactivation (Law et al., 2017).

Rab7 is a key component in the late endocytic pathway (Langemeyer et al., 2018a). It is found on LEs, lysosomes, and autophagosomes and is required for the biogenesis and positioning of LEs and lysosomes, for MCSs of lysosomes with other organelles, and for the fusion of endosomes and autophagosomes with lysosomes (Fig. 1 A; Guerra and Bucci, 2016; McEwan et al., 2015; Ballabio and Bonifacino, 2020; Cabukusta and Neefjes, 2018). Even though both the metazoan Rab7 and yeast Ypt7 are activated by the homologous Mon1-Ccz1 GEF complex and
are required for endosomal maturation, their function on LEs and lysosomes is not entirely conserved. In yeast, active Ypt7 directly binds the hexameric homotypic fusion and vacuole protein sorting (HOPS) tethering complex and mediates SNARE-dependent fusion of LEs or autophagosomes with vacuoles as well as homotypic vacuole fusion (Wickner and Rizo, 2017; Gao et al., 2018a, b). In higher eukaryotes, HOPS also promotes fusion between LEs and lysosomes, including the cholesterol sensor ORPL1 and the dynein-interacting lysosomal RILP (Jordens et al., 2001; Cantalupo et al., 2001; Rocha et al., 2009). Both proteins also bind HOPS (van der Kant et al., 2015, 2013), as does another multivalent adaptor protein, PLEKHM1 (McEwan et al., 2015), which binds both Arl8b and Rab7 (Marwaha et al., 2017). Interestingly, Arl8b in complex with its effector SKIP also binds TBC1D15, a Rab7 GAP, which may displace Rab7 from LEs before their fusion with lysosomes (Jongsma et al., 2020). It is thus possible that fusion of LEs and autophagosomes with lysosomes requires a complex coordination of the three GTPases, Rab7, Arl8b, and Rab2, with the HOPS complex and other effectors. Some of this complexity may be explained by a second function of Rab7 and Arl8b in binding adapters of the kinesin or dynein motor protein family, which connect LEs and lysosomes to the microtubule network. Thereby Rab7 and Arl8b control the

**Figure 1. Rab GTPases in the endolysosomal pathway.**

(A) Localization of key Rab GTPases along the endolysosomal pathway. Endocytic vesicles containing cargo (blue dot) or receptor proteins (red) are substrates of endocytosis. Endocytic vesicles (EV) fuse with the EE. Rabs are shown by numbers: Rab5 (green) on early EE is replaced by Rab7 (black) on multivesicular bodies (MVBs). GEFs are shown in blue. Positioning of lysosomes (Lys) depends on binding to motor proteins by either Arl8b (orange, 8b) or Rab7. Recycling occurs via REs involving Rab4, Rab11, and Rab14. MTOC, microtubule organizing center; Nuc, nucleus. (B) Spatiotemporal Rab5-to-Rab7 transition during endosomal maturation. Rab5 (green graph) is rapidly recruited to EE and replaced by Rab7. (C) Model of Rab7 GEF recruitment and activation on endosomes. Mon1-Ccz1 (or the trimeric complex additionally containing Rmc1/C18orf8/Bulli, as indicated by the unlabeled hexagon) requires Rab5-GTP for activation to promote Rab7 recruitment. For details, see text.
positioning of these organelles to the periphery or perinuclear area via the microtubule network, which has functional implications (Fig. 1A; Cabukusta and Neefjes, 2018; Bonifacino and Neefjes, 2017). Perinuclear lysosomes are the main places for degradation of cargo delivered by endosomes and autophagosomes, whereas peripheral lysosomes are involved in the regulation of mammalian target of rapamycin complex1 (mTORC1), the master regulator switching between cell growth and autophagy (Johnson et al., 2016; Korolchuk et al., 2011). This also may be connected to the role of lysosomes in lipid homeostasis, as Rab7 seems to control cholesterol export via the lysosomal NPC1 (van den Boomen et al., 2020; Shin and Zoncu, 2020; Castellano et al., 2017). How far the acidification state of perinuclear and peripheral lysosomes also affects their Rab7 and Arl8b mediated localization is still under debate (Ponsford et al., 2021). Thus, it is likely that Rab7 coordinates LE and lysosomal transport and fusion activity in coordination with endosomal biogenesis and cellular metabolism.

**GEF function and regulation in endosomal maturation**

The heterodimeric complex Mon1-Ccz1 was identified as the GEF for Ypt7 in yeast and for Rab7 in higher eukaryotes (Nordmann et al., 2010; Gerondopoulos et al., 2012). The Mon1-Ccz1 complex is an effector of Rab5 (Kinchen and Ravichandran, 2010; Langemeyer et al., 2020; Cui et al., 2014; Li et al., 2015; Poteryaev et al., 2010; Singh et al., 2014), suggesting a direct link to endosomal maturation and Rab turnover (Fig. 1B). Structural analyses uncovered how the two central longin domains in Mon1 and Ccz1 displace the bound nucleotide from Ypt7 (Kiontke et al., 2017). Unlike yeast, the metazoan Mon1-Ccz1 complex contains a third subunit termed RMC1 or C18orf8 in mammals and Bulli in Drosophila (Vaites et al., 2017; Dehnen et al., 2020; van den...
Rab5 activates the Mon1-Ccz1 GEF complex

During endosomal maturation, the Mon1-Ccz1 complex is recruited to Rab5- and PI(3)P-positive endosomes and activates Rab7 for subsequent fusion of endosomes with lysosomes (Nordmann et al., 2010; Poteryaev et al., 2010; Cabrera and Ungermann, 2013; Cabrera et al., 2014; Singh et al., 2014; Fig. 1 C). However, it was postulated that (but remained unclear how) Rab5 affects Rab7 GEF activity. The activity of GEFs is in the simplest way determined in solution, where the respective Rab, which has been loaded with a fluorescent- or radioactive-labeled nucleotide, is incubated with the GEF (Schoebel et al., 2009; Bergbrede et al., 2009). GDP or GTP addition then triggers displacement of the bound nucleotide, which results in a decrease of fluorescence or increase of radioactive signal in solution. Such in-solution assays can uncover the Rab specificity of GEFs yet cannot recapitulate the membrane context and potential regulating factors. Recent approaches therefore used liposomes and prenylated Rab:GDI complexes to address the role of membrane lipids and proteins in GEF activation (Thomas and Fromme, 2016; Thomas et al., 2018; Langemeyer et al., 2020, 2018b; Cezanne et al., 2020; Bezeljak et al., 2020). Details of these reconstituted systems are discussed below. In yeast, prenylated, membrane-bound, and GTP-loaded Rab5-like Vps21 was surprisingly inefficient as a single factor to recruit Mon1-Ccz1 to membranes, whereas addition of PIPs together with Vps21 enhanced recruitment (Langemeyer et al., 2020). However, activity of both the yeast and metazoan Rab7 GEF complexes showed a striking dependence on membrane-bound Rab5-GTP in the GEF assay, whereas PIPs alone were not sufficient to drive GEF activation. These observations demonstrate that the Mon1-Ccz1 complex depends on membrane-bound Rab5 for its Rab7 GEF activity, which nicely explains some of the previous in vivo observations on endosomal Rab5-to-Rab7 exchange (Poteryaev et al., 2010; Rink et al., 2005).

This Rab exchange, which occurs similarly on phagosomes (Jeschke and Haas, 2016), is in vivo likely regulated in space and time. Time-lapse microscopy studies revealed that levels of fluorescently labeled Rab5 decreased, while fluorescently labeled Rab7 increased on the surface of a tracked endosome (Poteryaev et al., 2010; Yasuda et al., 2016). Analysis of the spatiotemporal Rab5-to-Rab7 transition in mammalian cells revealed that Rab5-positive endosomes can separate from Rab7-positive membranes, suggesting that a stepwise maturation process also occurs in some cells (Skjeldal et al., 2021). However, in all cases, only some insights on Mon1-Ccz1 regulation are presently available. Phosphorylation is one potential regulatory mechanism in GEF regulation (Kulasekaran et al., 2015). Indeed, yeast Mon1-Ccz1 is a substrate of the vacuolar casein kinase 1 Yck3 (Lawrence et al., 2014). When added to the Rab5-dependent GEF assay, Yck3-mediated phosphorylation inhibited Mon1-Ccz1 GEF activity, presumably by blocking the Rab interaction (Langemeyer et al., 2020). How the kinase is in turn regulated and whether this is the only mechanism of Mon1-Ccz1 GEF control is currently unknown.

Rab7 activation and function in autophagy

The lysosome is also the destination of the autophagic catabolic pathway. During autophagy, portions of the cytosol, specific organelles, aggregates, or pathogens are engulfed into a double-layered membrane, which upon closure fuses with the lysosome for degradation and reuse of its content (Fig. 2 A; Zhao and Zhang, 2019; Nakatogawa, 2020). Autophagy is a versatile pathway required for adaptation of a cell’s organelle repertoire and quality control.

Rab7 is found not just on LEs, but also on autophagosomes (Hegedüs et al., 2016; Gao et al., 2018a), although its precise function seems to differ between organisms (Kuchitsu and Fukuda, 2018). In yeast, the Rab7-homologue Ypt7 mediates HOPS-dependent fusion of autophagosomes with vacuoles (Gao et al., 2018a). In metazoan cells, Rab7 and its effectors PLEKHM1 and WDR91 are required for autolysosome/amphisome-lysosome fusion, yet Rab7 does not seem to directly bind HOPS during fusion of autophagosomes with lysosomes (Xing et al., 2021; McEwan et al., 2015; Gutierrez et al., 2004; Kuchitsu and Fukuda, 2018).

Given the striking Rab5 dependence on endosomes in Mon1-Ccz1 activation, the question arises, how does Mon1-Ccz1-mediated Rab7 activation happen on autophagosomes? Some data suggest that yeast and metazoan Rab5 is directly involved in the autophagy process such as autophagosome closure (Ravikumar et al., 2008; Bridges et al., 2012; Zhou et al., 2019, 2017), whereas others do not find direct evidence, for instance in Drosophila (Hegedüs et al., 2016). Studies in yeast revealed that the LC3–like Atg8 protein directly binds and recruits Mon1-Ccz1 to the autophagosomal membrane during starvation, which results in Ypt7 activation as a prerequisite of HOPS-dependent fusion with the vacuole (Gao et al., 2018b; Fig. 2 B). Tight regulation of Mon1-Ccz1 GEF-activity is apparently mandatory to avoid fusion of premature autophagosomes with the vacuole (Fig. 2 C). How Mon1-Ccz1 localization to either endosomes or autophagosomes is coordinated (also with regard to similarities in organelle features; Fig. 2 D) and whether Atg8/LC3 also regulates the activity of the GEF complex are not yet known.

Of note, an endosomal-like Rab5-to-Rab7 cascade also occurs on the mitochondrial outer membrane during mitophagy in metazoan cells, a selective pathway to degrade damaged mitochondria (Yamano et al., 2018). Here, Rab5 is activated by a mitochondrial localized Rab5 GEF, followed by Mon1-Ccz1 recruitment and Rab7A activation, which then orchestrates the subsequent mitophagy process. How this process is coupled to autophagosome maturation, and whether Rab7 is then again needed on the formed autophagosome, has not been addressed so far.

These data nevertheless demonstrate the adjustable recruitment of Mon1-Ccz1 during endosomal maturation and autophagosome formation and even to the mitochondrial surface.
Targeting of the Mon1-Ccz1 complex is likely coordinated between all these processes.

**A role for ER-endosome MCSs in endosome maturation**

Endosomes form MCSs with the ER. Such contact sites have multiple roles ranging from lipid transport to ion exchange (Scorrano et al., 2019; Reinisch and Prinz, 2021). The endosome-ER contact depends on Rab7 and contributes to transport and positioning of endosomes, supports endosomal fission, and facilitates endocytic cargo transport and cholesterol transfer between LEs and the ER (Rocha et al., 2009; Friedman et al., 2013; Rowland et al., 2014; Raborg et al., 2015; Jongsm et al., 2016). Rab7 activation via the Mon1-Ccz1 complex is required for cholesterol export from the lysosome, likely in the context of MCSs. Rab7 binds to the NPC1 cholesterol transporter and may thus promote cholesterol export only at MCSs with the ER or other organelles (van den Boomen et al., 2020). The ER is also involved in endosome maturation, which requires an MCS between Reticulon-3L on the ER and endosomal Rab9. In fact, Rab9 is recruited shortly before the Rab5-to-Rab7 transition (Wu and Voeltz, 2021; Kucera et al., 2016). How Rab9 activation and MCS formation are coordinated with endosomal maturation has not yet been revealed. It is likely that the spatial positioning of endosomes (Fig. 1 A), their acidification, and TORC1 activity also contribute to this process (Bonifacino and Neefjes, 2017; Johnson et al., 2016).

**Retromer opposes Rab7 activation**

Retromer is a conserved heteropentameric complex that mediates the formation of vesicular carriers at the endosome and thus allows the transport of receptors back to the Golgi or plasma membrane. The complex consists of a trimeric core (Vps35, Vps26, and Vps29), which binds either a SNX1-SNX4 heterodimer or a SNX3 monomer (Simonetti and Cullen, 2018; Leneva et al., 2021; Kovtun et al., 2018). Retromer is an effector of Rab7, but also recruits the Rab7 GAP TBC1D5 in metazoan cells (Rojas et al., 2008; Kvainickas et al., 2019; Jimenez-Orgaz et al., 2018; Distefano et al., 2018; Seaman et al., 2009). This dual function of retromer may facilitate the formation of endosomal tubules after the Rab5-to-Rab7 transition, and these tubules eventually lose Rab7 once scission has occurred (Jongsm et al., 2020).

It is not yet clear how conserved the Rab7-retromer-GAP connection is. Yeast retromer is also an effector of the Rab7-like Ypt7 and coordinates protein recycling at the endosome (Liu et al., 2012; Balderhaar et al., 2010), yet a role of a Rab7 GAP has not been described. However, yeast retromer also binds to the Rab5 GEFs Vps9 and Muki (Bean et al., 2015), which suggests that both Rab5 and Rab7 function contribute to efficient tubule formation at the endosome. Whether and how the Rab7 GEF Mon1-Ccz1 is functionally coordinated with retromer will be a topic of future studies.

**GEF regulation along the endomembrane system**

In the previous section, we focused mainly on the role of the Rab7 GEF in the context of endosome and autophagosome maturation. However, the timing of GEF activation and the subsequent recruitment of their target Rabs is critical for all membrane trafficking processes along the endomembrane system to guarantee maintenance of intracellular organelle organization. Rabs in turn interact with effectors, and effectors such as the lysosomal HOPS complex not only bind SNAREs but also catalyze their assembly and thus drive membrane fusion (Fig. 3 A). The spatiotemporal regulation of GEF activation is therefore at the heart of organelle biogenesis and maturation, and thus membrane trafficking. Within this section, we will now broaden our view by comparing different regulatory principles of GEFs.

**A Rab cascade in exocytosis**

Another well-characterized Rab cascade is involved in the exocytic transport of secretory vesicles from the trans-Golgi network to the plasma membrane. At the trans-Golgi, the GEF transport protein particle II (TRAPPII) activates the Rab GTPase Ypt32, which then recruits the GEF Sec2 to secretory vesicles. Sec2 in turn activates the Rab Sec4, which binds the Sec15 subunit of the Exocyst tethering complex and allows vesicles to dock and fuse with the plasma membrane (Fig. 3 B; Walch-Solimena et al., 1997; Ortiz et al., 2002; Dong et al., 2007; Itzen et al., 2007). This cascade is conserved in humans. During cligenesis at the plasma membrane, the Ypt32 homologue Rab11 recruits the GEF Rabin 8, which in turn activates the human Sec4 homologue Rab8, a process regulated by phosphorylation (Hattula et al., 2002; Wang et al., 2015; Knödler et al., 2010). Interestingly, yeast Sec2 not only is a GEF, but also interacts with the Sec4 effector Sec15 (Medkova et al., 2006), a principle also observed in the endocytic Rab5 activation cycle, where the GEF Rabex5 interacts with the Rab5 effector Rabaptin-5. This dual role may also apply to Mon1-Ccz1, as the Mon1 homologue in C. elegans, SAND1, and yeast Mon1-Ccz1 can bind the HOPS tethering complex (Poteryaev et al., 2010; Nordmann et al., 2010).

At the Golgi, phosphatidylinositol-4-phosphate (PI4P) contributes to directionality and spatiotemporal regulation of the exocytic Rab cascade. Sec2 binds both Ypt32 and PI4P on secretory vesicles via two binding sites, a process called coincidence detection. However, PI4P binding inhibits the interaction of Sec2 with Sec15. As vesicles reach the cell periphery, PI4P levels drop by the activity of Osh4, a lipid transporter, which allows Sec2 to bind the Exocyst subunit rather than Ypt32 (Ling et al., 2014; Mizuno-Yamasaki et al., 2010). In addition, Sec2 is phosphorylated by the plasma membrane-localized casein kinases Yck1 and Yck2 (Stalder et al., 2013; Stalder and Novick, 2016), resulting in effector recruitment rather than further Rab activation.

Such a regulation may also apply to yeast Mon1-Ccz1. Anionic phospholipids and PI3P support Mon1-Ccz1 recruitment to liposomes and vacuoles (Langemeyer et al., 2020; Cabrera et al., 2014; Lawrence et al., 2014), whereas phosphorylation of the complex by the casein kinase Yck3 inhibits the binding of Mon1-Ccz1 to the Rab5-like Ypt10 and consequently reduces its GEF activity toward Rab7 (Fig. 3 C; Langemeyer et al., 2020). These observations suggest that the phosphorylation of GEFs by kinases may be a general regulatory principle in Rab cascades.

**Autoinhibition controls the Rab5 GEF**

Another widely used regulatory mechanism is the autoinhibition of GEFs to control their activity. This has been analyzed in detail
Figure 3. Regulatory mechanisms influence the activity of GEFs. (A) Hierarchical cascade of factors controlling membrane fusion. GEFs integrate various signals and initiate a cascade of protein activities, finally leading to membrane fusion. Signaling lipids, the presence of cargo proteins, upstream GTPases, and
kinases influence the activity of GEFs and therefore determine Rab GTPase activation. Consequently, effector proteins such as tethering factors are recruited. This ultimately leads to SNARE-mediated lipid bilayer mixing and membrane fusion. (B) A Rab cascade in yeast exocytosis. Active Ypt32 and PI4P (yellow) on late Golgi compartments and secretory vesicles recruit the GEF Sec2, which in turn promotes activation and stable membrane insertion of the Rab Sec4. (C) Mon1-Ccz1 regulation by phosphorylation. Mon1-Ccz1 is recruited to and activated on LEs by coincidence detection of membrane-associated Rab5 and PI3P (red, Fig. 1 C) and promotes stable membrane insertion of Rab7. This process is terminated by Mon1-Ccz1 phosphorylation by the type I casein kinase Yck3 in yeast (orange). (D) A positive feedback loop of GEF activation on endocytic vesicles and EEs. The Rab5 GEF Rabex-5 binds ubiquitinated cargo on endocytic vesicles and is autoinhibited. Rab5 recruits Rabaptin-5, which binds Rabex-5 and releases the GEF from autoinhibition, generating a positive feedback loop. (E) Membrane factors determine GEF activity of TRAPP II at the trans-Golgi. TRAPP II activity for the Rab Ypt32 requires membrane-associated Arf1 and PI4P. (F) The length of the hypervariable domain of Golgi Rabs defines the substrate specificity for TRAPP complexes. The yeast Rab GTPases Ypt1 and Ypt32 differ in the length of their C-terminal HVD (box). TRAPP II and TRAPP III complexes have the same active site, which is positioned away from the membrane, and thus discriminate Rab accessibility. (C) Phosphorylation as a mechanism to promote GEF activity. DENND1 GEF activity is autoinhibited, which is released by Akt-mediated phosphorylation. For details, see text.

for the early endosomal Rab5-specific GEF Rabex-5, which interacts with the Rab5-effector Rabaptin-5 (Horiuchi et al., 1997). One factor for Rabex-5 recruitment to endocytic vesicles are ubiquitinated cargo proteins at the plasma membrane (Fig. 3 D; Mattera et al., 2006; Lee et al., 2006). Yet, isolated Rab5 has only low GEF activity in vitro (Delprato and Lambright, 2007). Structural analysis revealed that binding of Rabaptin-5 to Rabex-5 causes a rearrangement in the Rabex-5 C-terminus, which releases the GEF from autoinhibition and therefore facilitates nucleotide exchange of Rab5 (Delprato and Lambright, 2007; Zhang et al., 2014). On endosomes, increasing amounts of Rab5-GTP further promotes recruitment of the Rabex-5–Rabaptin-5 complex, resulting in a positive feedback loop of Rab5 activation and GEF recruitment (Lippé et al., 2001). Overall, Rabex-5 GEF activity is regulated by autoinhibition, a feedback loop with the Rab5 effector protein Rabaptin-5, and ubiquitinated cargo, which guarantees precise timing in establishing a Rab5-positive endosome. Of note, the Mon1 subunit of the Rab7 GEF can displace Rabex-5 from endosomal membranes (Poteryaev et al., 2010), which suggests a negative feedback loop of the Rab5 activation cascade once the next GEF is present.

Regulation of Arf GEFs at different Golgi subcompartments
These key principles of GEF regulation in GTPase cascades are also found for Arf GTPases. Arf GTPases are soluble in their GDP-bound state by shielding their N-terminal myristate anchor in a hydrophobic pocket. Like Rabs, Arf GTPases are activated by specific GEFs, and their inactivation requires a specific GAP (Sztul et al., 2019). However, this review only highlights some key findings in the regulation of Rab GEFs and does not address regulation of the corresponding GAPs. Once activated, Arfs insert their lipid anchor and an adjacent amphipathic helix into membranes and are then able to bind effector proteins (Sztul et al., 2019). One of the best-studied Arf-GEFs is Sec7, which activates Arf1, an Arf GTPase involved in intra-Golgi trafficking (Achstetter et al., 1988). Studies on yeast Sec7 revealed that the protein is autoinhibited in solution and depends on three small GTPases—Arf1, the Rab Ypt1, and the Arf-like Arl—for recruitment to the Golgi, a process supported by anionic lipids found in the late Golgi compartment. Importantly, the late Golgi Rabs Ypt31/32 strongly stimulate GEF activity (McDonald and Fromme, 2014; Richardson et al., 2012, 2016), indicating allosteric activation, as observed for Rab5-dependent Mon1-Ccz1 activation (Langemeyer et al., 2020). In this process, Sec7 dimerizes and promotes Arf1 recruitment and thus establishes a positive feedback loop. Interestingly, membrane binding of two additional Arf1 GEFs of the early Golgi, Gea1/2, depends on Rab11/Ypt1 and neutral membranes. Under these conditions, Gea1/2 is released from autoinhibition, although no positive feedback loop was observed (Gustafson and Fromme, 2017). Thus, Arf GEF regulation and Arf activation are tightly linked to multiple small GTPases and the membrane environment to establish Golgi compartments.

Regulation and specificity of TRAPP complexes at the Golgi
Arf1 activation is also linked to the activation of Golgi-specific Rabs. Arf1-GTP binds to the highly conserved TRAPP GEF complexes at the Golgi (Fig. 3 E). Yeast and mammalian cells contain two TRAPP complexes. In yeast, both complexes share seven core components. TRAPP III in addition contains Trs85, whereas accessory TRAPP II subunits are instead Trs130, Trs120, Trs65, and Tca7. Metazoan TRAPP complexes contain additional subunits (Lipatova and Segev, 2019).

Interestingly, both complexes share the same catalytic site for Rab11/Ypt1 and Rab11/Ypt32. However, TRAPP III provides GEF activity toward Rab1/Ypt1. Initially, it was proposed that TRAPP III can activate both Rab1/Ypt1 and Rab11/Ypt32 (Thomas et al., 2019, 2018; Thomas and Fromme, 2016; Riedel et al., 2018); however, it was recently shown that the TRAPP III complex is specific for Rab11/Ypt32 (Riedel et al., 2018; Thomas et al., 2019). Reconstitution of GEF activity on liposomes helped here to unravel TRAPP complex substrate specificity, since in solution assays are not adequate to address some of the features important for specific interactions: Rab11/Ypt32 has a longer HVD between the prenyl anchor and the GTPase domain compared to Rab1/Ypt1. The HVD not only binds TRAPP III and facilitates its activation, while Ypt32 with its shorter HVD may be positioned too far away from the active site. In addition, both complexes require their respective membrane environment for optimal activity, indicating how Arf and Rab GEFs cooperate in Golgi biogenesis.
The GEF DENND1 requires Arf5 for Rab35 activation

Recently, another example of Arf-mediated Rab activation was reported (Kulasekaran et al., 2021). Rab35, an endocytic Rab found at the plasma membrane and REs (Sato et al., 2008; Kouranti et al., 2006), is involved in cell adhesion and cell migration by controlling the trafficking of β1-integrin and the EGF receptor (Klinkert and Echard, 2016; Allaire et al., 2013). Arf5 binds the Rab35 GEF DENND1 and stimulates its GEF activity, with dysregulation of this cascade linked to glioblastoma growth (Kulasekaran et al., 2021). DENND1 GEF activity is initially autoinhibited and relieved by phosphorylation via the central Akt kinase (Fig. 3 G; Kulasekaran et al., 2015). Similarly, another DENN-domain containing GEF, DENVND3, is phosphorylated by the autophagy-specific ULK kinase and then activates Rab12, a small GTPase involved in autophagosome trafficking (Xu et al., 2015). Thus, it seems that Rab GEF activation is more generally linked to other trafficking proteins, such as Arfs, and controlled by kinases and likely also phosphatases.

Lessons from reconstitution

Organelle biogenesis and maintenance in the endomembrane system are tightly linked to the correct spatial and temporal activation of Rab GTPases. A small yeast cell gets by with 11 Rabs, while human cells encode >60 (Hutagalung and Novick, 2011). Rab activation, and therefore membrane identity, of each organelle depends on the cognate GEF. This puts GEFs into the driver’s seat of any Rab-directed function at cellular membranes. It seems that GEFs integrate, by several regulatory loops, incoming signals from various sources such as membrane composition, cargo proteins, upstream GTPases, or kinases/phosphatases (Fig. 3 A). Yet our insights on the specific membrane targeting and regulation of GEFs remain incomplete for want of available experimental approaches. We briefly discuss here how recent advances on the reconstitution of GEF-mediated Rab activation at model membranes have advanced our understanding of organelle maturation and biogenesis.

Reconstitution of any reaction to uncover the essential constituents is limited by the available tools. GEFs, Rabs, Sec18/Munc1 proteins, tethering factors, and SNAREs are for instance required for membrane fusion (Fig. 3 A). Initial assays focused on SNAREs and revealed their important but rather inefficient fusogenicity (Weber et al., 1998). Further analyses uncovered critical activation steps for SNAREs (Malsam et al., 2012; Pobbat et al., 2006; Südhof and Rothman, 2009; Jahn and Scheller, 2006), yet fusion at physiological SNARE concentrations in various in vitro systems does not occur, unless assisted by chaperoning Sec1/Munc18 proteins and tethering factors (Bharat et al., 2014; Lai et al., 2017; Mima and Wickner, 2009; Ohya et al., 2009; Wickner and Rizo, 2017). Most tethers again depend on Rabs for their localization, and Rab localization to membranes requires a GEF (Cabrera and Ungermann, 2013), whose activity can be a limiting factor for fusion (Langemeyer et al., 2020, 2018b). The long avenue of understanding the mechanism and regulation of membrane fusion exemplifies the challenges in dissecting the complexity of a cellular reaction, but also demonstrates the powerful insights obtained from reconstitution of these processes.

GEFs determine the localization of the corresponding Rab, and consequently, Rabs follow their GEF if they are mistargeted (Gerondopoulos et al., 2012; Blümer et al., 2013; Cabrera and Ungermann, 2013). However, these anchor-away approaches completely bypass the tight cellular regulation of GEF activation by the mistargeting and additional overexpression of the GEF protein and may allow only statements about GEF/substrate specificity. The spatiotemporal activation of each GEF at the right organelle is vital for the timing of all downstream reactions. GEFs are recruited to membranes by coincidence detection, which includes membrane lipids such as PIPs, membrane packaging defects, and peripheral membrane proteins such as upstream Rabs or other small GTPases. This recruitment is often accompanied by the release from autoinhibition, which may be triggered or inhibited by other regulatory processes such as phosphorylation. It comes as no surprise that pathogens such as Legionella and Salmonella take advantage of the central function of GEFs to establish and nourish their intracellular organellar niche by manipulating small GTPase activity (Spanò and Galán, 2018).

To understand the specificity of Rab GEFs (and GAPs), mostly very simplified systems were used. Most GEF assays analyze soluble Rabs loaded with fluorescent 2’-O-(N-methylanthraniloyl) (MANT)-nucleotide or radioactively labeled GDP/GTP and soluble GEF in a test tube, where nucleotide exchange activity is observed upon addition of unlabeled nucleotide (Fig. 4 A). This strategy allows the identification of substrate (Rab) specificity of GEFs, but could also lead to misleading results, as pointed out earlier on the example of the TRAPP complexes and Rab1/Ypt1 or Rab11/Ypt32. In addition, GEF-Rab pairs negatively regulated by one of the above principles could easily be missed.

As Rabs and GEFs function on membranes, we and others adopted strategies for measuring Rab activation by GEFs on membranes (Fig. 4 B). In a first approach, Rab and other small GTPases (Sot et al., 2013; Schmitt et al., 1994) were immobilized with C-terminal hexahistidine tags on liposomes containing the polycationic lipid 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-[(N-[(S-aminocarbonyl][iminodiacetic acid)succinyl)] (DOGS-NTA) and observed higher activity of the added GEF (Cabrera et al., 2014; Thomas and Fromme, 2016). A drawback of this technique is the artificial membrane composition. To avoid potential artifacts of unnaturally charged membranes and permanently membrane-bound Rab, recent studies relied on prenylated Rabs in complex with GDI. Reflecting the natural source of the cytoplasmic Rab pool, this complex was used as a GEF substrate in the presence of liposomes mimicking the natural membrane composition (Cezanne et al., 2020; Bezeljak et al., 2020; Langemeyer et al., 2020, 2018b; Thomas et al., 2018, 2019; Thomas and Fromme, 2016).

Even though these observations are recent, the outcome and the understanding of GEF regulation is encouraging. For the Rab5 GEF complex consisting of Rabex5 and Rabaptin5, GEF-dependent Rab5 recruitment to membranes revealed a self-organizing system, nonlinear Rab5 patterning, and collective switching of the Rab5 population (Bezeljak et al., 2020; Cezanne et al., 2020). This is in agreement with mathematical modeling
and predictions on bistability and ultrasensitivity of Rab networks (Del Conte-Zerial et al., 2008; Barr, 2013). For the Golgi-resident TRAPPII and TRAPPIII complexes, the membrane composition, the length of the Rab HVD, and the presence of membrane-bound Arf1 determined the GEF specificity for their Rabs (Fig. 3 F; Thomas et al., 2019, 2018; Thomas and Fromme, 2016; Riedel et al., 2018), which is nicely supported by recent structural analyses of yeast and metazoan TRAPPIII (Galindo et al., 2021; Joiner et al., 2021).

Our own data uncovered that the yeast and metazoan Mon1-Ccz1(-RMC1) complex required membrane-bound Rab5-GTP to activate Rab7 out of the GDI complex (Langemeyer et al., 2020). Surprisingly, Rab5-GTP not only determined membrane binding of Mon1-Ccz1, but also activated the GEF on membranes by a yet-unknown mechanism (Fig. 1 C). Phosphorylation of yeast Mon1-Ccz1 by the casein kinase Yck3 inhibited this activation, demonstrating possible regulation of GEF activity (Fig. 3 C). Importantly, this finding agrees with the observed Rab5-to-Rab7 switch in vivo (Poteryaev et al., 2010; Rink et al., 2005).

Taken together, the available tools open exciting avenues for our understanding of organelle maturation. Reconstitution will allow the investigation of an entire Rab cascade and its regulation by kinases or membrane lipids. It will be possible to determine the cross-talk with lipid kinases and observe possible regulatory loops between Rabs and PI kinases (Tremel et al., 2021). We are confident that such analyses, complemented by in vivo analyses of Rabs or other small GTPases and their GEFs, will clarify the underlying mechanism of organelle maturation and biogenesis along the endomembrane system of eukaryotic cells.
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