Delamanid for rifampicin-resistant tuberculosis: a retrospective study from South Africa
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Patients with rifampicin-resistant TB treated with delamanid had good treatment response and cardiotoxicity was rare
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ABSTRACT Experience with delamanid (Dlm) is limited, particularly among HIV-positive individuals. We describe early efficacy and safety data from a programmatic setting in South Africa.

This was a retrospective cohort study of patients receiving Dlm-containing treatment regimens between November 2015 and August 2017. We report 12-month interim outcomes, sputum culture conversion (SCC) by months 2 and 6, serious adverse events (SAEs) and QT intervals corrected using the Frederica formula (QTcF).

Overall, 103 patients were initiated on Dlm; 79 (77%) were HIV positive. The main indication for Dlm was intolerance to second-line anti-tuberculosis (TB) drugs (n=58, 56%). There were 12 months of follow-up for 46 patients; 28 (61%) had a favourable outcome (cure, treatment completion or culture negativity). Positive cultures were found for 57 patients at Dlm initiation; 16 out of 31 (52%) had SCC within 2 months and 25 out of 31 (81%) within 6 months. There were 67 SAEs reported in 29 patients (28%). There were four instances of QTcF prolongation >500 ms in two patients (2%), leading to permanent discontinuation in one case; however, no cardiac arrhythmias occurred.

This large cohort of difficult-to-treat patients receiving Dlm for rifampicin-resistant TB treatment in a programmatic setting with high HIV prevalence had favourable early treatment response and tolerated treatment well. Dlm should remain available, particularly for those who cannot be treated with conventional regimens or with limited treatment options.
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Introduction
In 2016, an estimated 600,000 new cases of rifampicin-resistant (RR) tuberculosis (TB), defined as Mycobacterium tuberculosis with demonstrated resistance to at least rifampicin, including mono- and poly-resistant strains of TB, multidrug-resistant (MDR) TB and extensively drug-resistant TB, emerged globally [1, 2]. This represents a significant public health threat [3]. RR-TB requires prolonged treatment with multiple toxic agents, resulting in poor treatment outcomes [4, 5].

A novel anti-TB agent from the nitroimidazole class, delamanid (Dlm) (Deltyba, Otsuka Pharmaceuticals, Rockville, MD, USA), was recommended for use in RR-TB by the World Health Organization (WHO) in 2014 after receiving conditional approval from the European Medicines Agency in 2013 [6, 7]. These recommendations were based on phase 2B trial data, which demonstrated efficacy and safety of the drug [8, 9]. Additionally, Dlm has no drug–drug interactions when given with antiretroviral therapy (ART), including efavirenz (EFV) [10, 11]. Despite these promising results and early recommendations, uptake of Dlm globally has been poor, with only 976 persons having received the drug under programmatic conditions as of October 2017 [12].

At the 48th Union World Conference on Lung Health, preliminary phase 3 clinical trial data were presented, in which Dlm was compared with placebo when added to a multidrug backbone regimen for 6 months. The primary endpoint was time to sputum culture conversion (SCC) measured over 6 months. Patients in the Dlm arm had SCC 6–13 days faster over 6 months than patients in the placebo arm, depending on three different analytic methods used (p-values of 0.0052–0.0562). Long-term outcomes at 24 months, which was a secondary endpoint, did not differ significantly between the Dlm and placebo arms, although the study was not powered to detect differences in final outcomes. Safety data were encouraging because only 5.3% of patients in the Dlm arm experienced a QT interval (corrected using the Frederica formula (QTcF)) >500 ms (Otsuka, unpublished results of delamanid trial 213 presented at the 48th Union Conference on Lung Health, 2017). These results have called into question the role of Dlm in the treatment of RR-TB. There is an urgent need for data on Dlm use under programmatic conditions to better define its role in the treatment of RR-TB, especially among populations that were under-represented in the phase 3 trial, e.g. adolescent patients and patients with HIV, extrapulmonary TB or intolerance to the drugs in the standard MDR regimen [13]. In fact, the WHO recently released a position statement on the use of Dlm for the treatment of RR-TB stating that use should be continued as indicated and that more data are needed from programmatic settings [14].

In South Africa there were close to 20,000 cases of RR-TB confirmed in 2016 [15]. Bedaquiline (Bdq) is registered in the country and is widely used, along with other repurposed drugs such as linezolid and clofazimine (Cfz), to treat RR-TB in line with WHO recommendations [16, 17]. To date, Dlm is not registered or readily available in South Africa outside of an expanded access programme with strict criteria [18]. In 2015, Médecins Sans Frontières (MSF), a humanitarian non-governmental organisation, was able to procure Dlm for a subset of patients with RR-TB in a decentralised treatment programme in Khayelitsha, South Africa. The aim of this study was to describe early efficacy and safety of Dlm-containing RR-TB treatment regimens in a cohort of patients with high rates of HIV co-infection.

Methods
Study design
This was a retrospective, descriptive cohort study of patients with RR-TB who received Dlm within an individualised treatment regimen, using routinely collected programmatic data.

Setting
Khayelitsha is a peri-urban township outside of Cape Town, South Africa, with a population of ~450,000 people, most of whom reside in informal structures. The annual case notification rate of RR-TB is 55 per 100,000 population, the HIV prevalence among RR-TB patients is 70% and patients with RR-TB are managed mostly as outpatients across 11 primary healthcare facilities, as previously described [19]. Most patients treated for RR-TB receive self-administered treatment, accompanied by enhanced adherence support from a dedicated team of counsellors.

Participants
All patients initiated on Dlm in Khayelitsha between November 2015 and August 2017 were included in the study. Indications for Dlm included 1) intolerance to specific second-line (SL) drugs in the treatment regimen, e.g. fluoroquinolone-susceptible RR-TB but high risk of hearing loss; 2) limited options to design a regimen with at least five effective drugs; and 3) patients in whom previous RR-TB treatment had failed. Patients were further eligible to receive the combination of Bdq and Dlm if there was 1) the inability to construct a regimen with at least four effective drugs; 2) suspected resistance due to previous drug
exposure; and 3) intolerance to drugs in the MDR regimen. Because patients on the combination of Bdq and Dlm have been previously reported on, we did not assess safety or efficacy outcomes for these patients separately [20].

Criteria that were considered when designing a Dlm-containing RR-TB treatment regimen were HIV co-infection with EFV-based ART (therefore Bdq was contraindicated); allergy, intolerance or prior treatment with Bdq; >2 months exposure to Cfz; age $\leq$18 years; pregnancy; extensive drug resistance; and high risk of treatment failure (e.g. diabetes and extensive cavitation).

**Patient monitoring**

As per WHO recommendations [6], Dlm was administered for a period of 6 months, although some patients required prolonged administration of the drug. Reasons for prolonged administration of Dlm included interruptions in Dlm throughout the course of treatment or the lack of other therapeutic options to devise a treatment regimen with at least four effective drugs following the completion of the intensive phase of treatment with Dlm. Clinical monitoring of patients on Dlm occurred monthly, and ECG monitoring was carried out every 2 weeks for the first 12 weeks and monthly thereafter. In cases of QTcF prolongation (>500 ms), patients were managed according to WHO guidelines and local expert advice.

**Study outcomes**

Standard WHO definitions were used for RR-TB treatment outcomes, including success, loss to follow-up (LTFU), death and treatment failure [21]. Favourable outcomes were defined as cure, treatment completion or culture negativity if still on treatment.

**Efficacy**

Efficacy was assessed using SCC measured 2 and 6 months after Dlm initiation (conducted on liquid media using a mycobacteria growth indicator tube, BACTEC MGIT 960; Becton Dickinson, Sparks, MD, USA). SCC was defined as two consecutive negative cultures taken at least 30 days apart in a patient with a positive specimen at baseline [21]. Sputum reversion to positive was defined as two consecutive positive cultures at least 28 days apart occurring after SCC. Baseline refers to when Dlm was added to the regimen, even if background RR-TB treatment was ongoing.

**Safety**

Serious adverse events (SAEs) were defined according to the International Council for Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Registration of Pharmaceuticals for Human Use Guidelines as events that resulted in death, were life-threatening, required inpatient hospitalisation or prolongation of existing hospitalisation, or resulted in persistent or significant disability/incapacity or congenital anomalies/birth defects [22].

We used QTcF in our cohort [23]. QTcF prolongation was defined as any absolute QTcF interval $\geq$500 ms or as any QTcF interval increase of >60 ms from the baseline.

**Data sources, analysis and statistics**

Data were obtained from the South African Electronic Drug-Resistant Tuberculosis Register (EDRWeb.net), RR-TB registers, patients’ medical records (including ECG readings) and the National Health Laboratory Service Database (TrakCare). Data on SAEs were obtained from the central MSF Pharmacovigilance unit in Geneva, Switzerland, which compiles SAE reports from the MSF clinical field team within 24 h of becoming aware of the event. Data entered in EDRWeb were validated using information captured in patient medical records and RR-TB registers.

Clinical and demographic characteristics were stratified by HIV status. Categorical variables were described using proportions and continuous variables using medians and interquartile range (IQR). Chi-squared or Fisher’s tests and Wilcoxon rank-sum tests were used to determine differences in clinical and demographic characteristics based on HIV status. Interim outcomes for patients who had 12 months of follow-up and culture conversion by 2 and 6 months were reported. A Kaplan–Meier curve was used to show time to 6-month culture conversion; data were censored for LTFU and mortality. Bivariate logistic regression and Cox proportional hazards models were used to determine the strength of association between culture conversion and patient characteristics. SAEs were reported using descriptive statistics. Changes in QTcF values over time at the cohort level were reported as the median of the difference between each follow-up time point and the baseline value for each individual. Box plots were used to show the median QTcF values over time and the median change from baseline for the 6-month follow-up period. Factors were considered statistically significant if there was a two-tailed p-value of <0.05. Stata version 14 (College Station, TX, USA) was used for statistical analysis.
Ethics

Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the University of Cape Town Human Research Ethics Committee (HREC 499/2011). The study fulfilled the MSF Ethics Review Board (Geneva, Switzerland) exemption criteria for a posteriori analyses of routinely collected clinical data. Patients provided written informed consent to receive Dlm.

Results

Patient and treatment characteristics

Overall, 103 patients were given Dlm for RR-TB treatment. Of these, 79 patients (77%) were HIV positive (table 1). The median (IQR) CD4 count was 141 (61–252) cells·mm$^{-3}$ (n=45; 57% with CD4 count <200 cells·mm$^{-3}$) and 72 HIV-positive patients (91%) were on ART at Dlm initiation. The seven patients not on ART at Dlm initiation were started on ART within a median (IQR) of 1.9 (1.0–8.0) weeks after
Dlm initiation. The majority of patients had pulmonary TB (n=97, 94%); of the five patients with extrapulmonary TB, two had peri-anal abscesses and the remaining three had disseminated TB, a pericardial and pleural effusion, and a pleural effusion, respectively. One patient with both pulmonary and extrapulmonary TB had disseminated TB. The median (IQR) time from RR-TB treatment to Dlm initiation was 1.5 (0.8–3.2) months and the total time on Dlm was 6 (3.6–7.8) months; 54 patients (52%) received treatment with Dlm for >6 months.

The differences based on HIV status are shown in table 1. A higher proportion of HIV-negative patients were <18 years of age or diabetic when compared with HIV-positive patients (21% versus 4% and 12% versus 1%, p<0.05); additionally, more HIV-negative patients had MDR-TB plus additional SL resistance than did HIV-positive patients (58% versus 29%, p<0.05).

The median number of drugs included in RR-TB regimens at Dlm initiation was six (IQR 6–7) including Dlm. The most commonly co-administered drugs at Dlm initiation included pyrazinamide (91%), levofloxacin (86%), terizidone (86%) and ethambutol (70%) (figure 1). Bdq was co-administered in 32 patients (31%). The majority of patients (97%) received at least one other QT-prolonging drug in addition to Dlm, as follows: Bdq and Cfz (n=24, 23%), Cfz only (n=10, 10%), Bdq only (n=8, 8%), and moxifloxacin only (n=6, 6%). Clinical and demographic characteristics for the 32 patients treated with regimens containing both Dlm and Bdq stratified by HIV status can be seen in table 2.

**Efficacy**

**Interim outcomes**

The median (IQR) follow-up time for the 103 patients included in this study was 7.8 (4.6–12.9) months. Overall, outcomes of LTFU, death, cure or treatment completion, and failure of the SL regimen occurred after Dlm initiation within a median (IQR) of 2.3 (0.9–3.8) months in 12 patients (12%), 3.1 (1.0–7.6) months in 11 patients (11%), 10.3 (7.1–16.6) months in seven patients (7%) and 10.9 (8.1–12.6) months in two patients (2%). At the time of this analysis, 57 patients (55%) were still on RR-TB treatment with or without Dlm and culture negative; 64 patients (62%) had a favourable outcome.

Overall, 46 patients (45%) had 12 months of follow-up; of these patients, 28 (61%) had a favourable outcome, including either treatment completion and cure or culture negativity; seven (15%) were LTFU, five (11%) died, two (4%) were declared to have a failure in treatment, one (2%) was transferred out and the remaining three (7%) were still on RR-TB treatment (two culture positive and one with an unknown culture status). There was no significant difference in 12-month outcomes based on HIV status (p>0.05; table 3).

**Culture conversion**

At Dlm initiation, 58 out of 103 patients (56%) had positive cultures; 57 (98%) of those had pulmonary TB. Time to culture conversion is displayed in figure 2 for these patients. Of these 57 patients, 31 (54%) had culture data available for months 2 and 6; 16 (52%) had SCC within 2 months and 25 (81%) within 6 months. SCC and time to SCC did not differ based on HIV status, RR-TB resistance profile or indication for Dlm (p>0.05).
Of the 25 patients with SCC within 6 months, three (12%) reverted to culture-positive status, at 2.2, 3.6 and 4.0 months after the date of culture conversion, which was 5.0, 7.1 and 4.9 months after Dlm initiation, respectively. All of these patients were HIV positive and still on Dlm at the time of reversion.

Safety
SAEs
There were 67 SAEs reported in 29 patients (28%); each patient had a median of two (IQR 1–3) SAEs. Of these, 22 (33%) were attributed to Dlm. The most common SAEs were QT prolongation (n=7; 100% attributed to Dlm) and vomiting (n=4; 75% attributed to Dlm). There were three hepatic SAEs of which 67% were attributed to Dlm.

QTcF safety profile
The median (IQR) QTcF values observed during the monitoring visits can be seen in figure 3a. Overall, there were four instances of QTcF prolongation >500 ms in two patients (2%); in one of these patients Dlm was permanently withdrawn owing to QTcF prolongation.

Median (IQR) QTcF change from baseline values for each monitoring visit can be seen in figure 3b. There were 14 instances in which the QTcF value increased >60 ms from baseline in nine patients (9%); none of these instances led to known cardiac arrhythmias or the permanent discontinuation of Dlm, and none led to QTcF >500 ms. Eight of these patients were receiving other potentially QT-prolonging drugs along with Dlm: Bdq only (n=1), Cfx only (n=2), and Bdq and Cfx (n=5). A significantly higher proportion of patients on combination treatment with Bdq, Cfx and Dlm experienced QTcF increases >60 ms from baseline (5 of 24, 21%) compared to those not on all three of these drugs (4 of 79, 5%) (risk ratio 4.1, 95% CI 1.2–14.1, p=0.030).

Discussion
We report on a cohort of 103 patients who received Dlm for the treatment of RR-TB in a routine programmatic setting. Notably, more than one third of patients in the cohort were infected with highly drug-resistant TB. Of the 25 patients with SCC within 6 months, three (12%) reverted to culture-positive status, which is lower than previously reported. All of these patients were HIV positive and still on Dlm at the time of reversion. The most common SAEs were QT prolongation (100% attributed to Dlm) and vomiting (75% attributed to Dlm). There were three hepatic SAEs of which 67% were attributed to Dlm. The QTcF safety profile showed that four patients experienced QTcF prolongation >500 ms in total. Eight of these patients were receiving other potentially QT-prolonging drugs along with Dlm, which was associated with a significantly higher proportion of patients experiencing QTcF increases >60 ms from baseline compared to those not on all three of these drugs.

### Table 2: Clinical and demographic characteristics, stratified by HIV status, of patients treated with rifampicin-resistant tuberculosis regimens containing delamanid and bedaquiline from November 1, 2015, to August 31, 2017, in Khayelitsha, South Africa

| Variable                                | Total patients | HIV positive | HIV-negative | p-value |
|-----------------------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|---------|
| Patients                                | 32 (100.0)     | 18 (100.0)   | 14 (100.0)   |         |
| Age at Dlm initiation years             | 35 (30–43)     | 36 (31–43)   | 32 (25–42)   | 0.32    |
| <18 years of age                        | 2 (6.3)        | 0 (0.0)      | 2 (14.3)     |         |
| Male                                    | 19 (59.4)      | 10 (55.6)    | 9 (64.3)     | 0.62    |
| Body mass index at Dlm initiation kg·m⁻² | 20.8 (19.5–24.4) | 20.5 (19.5–24.9) | 21.7 (19.6–23.6) | 0.86   |
| QTcF at Dlm initiation ms               | 410.5 (387.5–429.0) | 405.0 (383.0–432.0) | 415.5 (404.0–426.0) | 0.63   |
| Diabetic                                | 2 (6.3)        | 0 (0.0)      | 2 (14.3)     | 0.18    |
| CD4 count at Dlm initiation cells·mm⁻³  | 91.0 (55.0–215.0) | –            | –            | –       |
| Antiretroviral therapy at Dlm initiation| 16 (88.9)      | –            | –            | –       |
| RR-TB disease classification            |                |              |              |         |
| Rifampicin mono-resistant TB            | 2 (6.3)        | 2 (11.1)     | 0 (0.0)      | –       |
| Multidrug-resistant TB                 | 3 (9.4)        | 1 (5.6)      | 2 (14.3)     | –       |
| Pre-XDR-TB injectable                  | 1 (3.1)        | 1 (5.6)      | 0 (0.0)      | –       |
| Pre-XDR-TB fluoroquinolone             | 12 (37.5)      | 5 (27.8)     | 7 (50.0)     | –       |
| XDR-TB                                 | 14 (43.7)      | 9 (50.0)     | 5 (35.7)     | 0.46    |
| Previous TB treatment history           |                |              |              |         |
| None                                    | 9 (28.1)       | 3 (16.7)     | 6 (42.9)     | –       |
| First-line                              | 12 (37.5)      | 8 (44.4)     | 4 (28.6)     | –       |
| Second-line                             | 11 (34.4)      | 7 (38.9)     | 4 (28.6)     | 0.26    |
| Disease site                            |                |              |              |         |
| Pulmonary                               | 30 (93.8)      | 17 (94.4)    | 13 (92.9)    | –       |
| Extrapulmonary                          | 2 (4.8)        | 1 (5.6)      | 1 (7.1)      | 1.0     |
| QTcF-prolonging drugs co-administered  |                |              |              |         |
| Clofazimine                             | 24 (75.0)      | 13 (72.2)    | 11 (78.6)    | 1.0     |
| Moxifloxacin                            | 0 (0.0)        | 0 (0.0%)     | 0 (0.0%)     | –       |

Data are presented as n (%) or median (interquartile range). Dlm: delamanid; QTcF: QT corrected using the Frederica formula; RR: rifampicin-resistant; TB: tuberculosis; XDR: extensively drug-resistant. #: one missing baseline body mass index; ¶: one missing baseline CD4 count; +: numbers are not mutually exclusive.
resistant strains, more than three out of four patients were HIV positive, and eight patients were aged \( \leq 18 \) years; these populations have been under-represented in clinical trials.

The high rates of culture conversion in this population are encouraging, and are similar to rates reported among RR-TB patients treated with Dlm-containing regimens in other programmatic and trial settings (67.6–94.4%) [24–26]. Data from a programmatic setting in South Korea showed 24-week SCC rates of 94.4% and 92.9% in solid and liquid media, respectively, which are higher than our SCC rates; however, there are setting-specific differences that likely affected the variability in these findings [25]. In our study, one of the three patients who reverted to culture positive after initial conversion to negative was given Dlm within an optimised regimen following prior RR-TB treatment failure, and therefore was already at considerably higher risk of a poor outcome [27]. This highlights the need for wider access to more effective treatment regimens, including new drugs, at the initial diagnosis of RR-TB. Treatment with Dlm

| Patients | HIV positive | HIV-negative | Time from Dlm initiation to final outcome months |
|----------|--------------|--------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Patients | 46 (100.0)   | 35 (100.0)   | 11 (100.0) | 8.1 (2.0–12.6) |
| Still on treatment | | | | |
| Culture positive | 2 (4.3) | 2 (5.7) | 0 (0.0) | -
| Culture negative | 21 (45.7) | 14 (40.0) | 7 (63.6) | -
| Culture status unknown | 1 (2.2) | 1 (2.9) | 0 (0.0) | -
| Cured or completed treatment | | | | |
| Lost to follow-up | 7 (15.2) | 6 (17.1) | 1 (9.1) | 10.3 (7.1–16.6)
| Died | 5 (10.9) | 4 (11.5) | 1 (9.1) | 2.0 (1.4–13.6)
| Treatment failure | 2 (4.3) | 2 (5.7) | 0 (0.0) | 10.4 (8.1–12.6)
| Transferred out | 1 (2.2) | 0 (0.0) | 1 (9.1) | 5.9 (5.9–5.9)

Data are presented as n (%) or median (interquartile range). Dlm: delamanid. #: rifampicin-resistant tuberculosis (RR-TB) treatment was ongoing in these patients and therefore time to a final outcome could not be calculated.
for >6 months might assist in improving long-term outcomes for RR-TB patients with poor early treatment response [28, 29].

Overall, 11% of patients treated with RR-TB regimens including Dlm died. These findings are similar to what has previously been reported in a study of patients treated with Dlm-containing regimens; of 53 patients, 13.2% died by 6 months [24]. Previous reports have found high rates of mortality among RR-TB patients with HIV co-infection, low CD4 counts, SL drug resistance and prior TB treatment histories; thus, our findings are not surprising [30, 31]. Time to death was rapid, within ~3 months, and may have been due to severe and extensive disease at time of Dlm initiation because Dlm was often offered to those patients with limited treatment options. Data on final treatment outcomes for 16 RR-TB patients treated with Dlm-containing regimens in a programmatic setting in Latvia showed cure rates of 84.2% and LTFU rates of 15.8% [32]. We need a longer follow-up period for patients enrolled in our cohort before we can make comparisons between our findings and those previously reported. Our data further highlight the need for early diagnosis and prompt initiation of robust treatment regimens, improved integrated management of HIV/TB, and management of other risk factors for poor outcomes at the time of RR-TB diagnosis and throughout follow-up.

Overall, few patients (2%) experienced QTcF prolongation >500 ms when treated with Dlm in combination with other potential cardio-toxic drugs and less than half experienced SAEs. These data are supported by the recent phase 3 Dlm trial results in which only 5.3% of patients who received Dlm experienced QTcF prolongation and Dlm was reported to have a favourable safety profile (unpublished results of delamanid trial 213 presented at the 48th Union Conference on Lung Health, 2017). Other case reports and studies from programmatic settings utilising Dlm in RR-TB treatment regimens have

![Graph](https://doi.org/10.1183/13993003.00017-2018)
reported relatively low rates of QTcF prolongation >500 ms or increase >60 ms when Dlm was co-administered with other cardio-toxic drugs (3.7–17.0%) [13, 20, 24–26, 32–34]. In the current study there appeared to be an increased risk of QT interval change >60 ms from baseline when a combination of cardio-toxic drugs, including Dlm, were administered. However, none of the episodes reported here led to the permanent discontinuation of Dlm and this population included patients treated with multiple drugs, all of which are toxic and can cause SAEs. A multi-site study of 28 patients who received RR-TB regimens containing the combination of Bdq and Dlm similarly found that no patients had a QTcF >500 ms and there were four patients (14%) who experienced six instances of a QTcF increase >60 ms from baseline. Again, none of these instances led to permanent discontinuation of Bdq or Dlm [20]. One of these instances in a patient from South Africa is also reported on in this study. Given that QTcF prolongation mostly occurred after the eighth week of treatment with Dlm in the current study, the intensive ECG monitoring following Dlm initiation may be overcautious. Less than one third of our cohort experienced SAEs, very few of which were attributed to Dlm, so our data further support previous findings regarding the favourable safety profile of Dlm [25, 32]. Similar to the favourable safety profile of Bdq, Dlm performed well in RR-TB treatment regimens, with and without Bdq [35–37]. A systematic review of the evidence regarding Bdq-containing RR-TB treatment regimens showed that Bdq was discontinued in 3.4% of patients owing to adverse events and in 0.6% of patients owing to QTc prolongation [37].

The first limitation of this study was the retrospective, descriptive cohort design, with a relatively small sample size. There was no control group, and final treatment outcomes are still pending for the majority of patients in this cohort; therefore, statistical inferences for the outcomes of interest could not be made. Multivariate analyses could not be performed, particularly for the analysis of culture conversion, owing to the small sample size. There were missing data, particularly on QT intervals, which might have resulted in an underestimation of QTcF prolongation in this cohort. This does, however, reflect the routine monitoring that is carried out in overburdened and under-resourced facilities in this programmatic setting. Nevertheless, there were no instances of clinically significant cardiac arrhythmias or unexplained sudden deaths in this cohort.

This is one of the largest reported cohorts of patients receiving Dlm for RR-TB treatment outside of clinical trial settings, but the generalisability of these findings is limited. Further analyses should include larger numbers of patients and longer follow-up to draw conclusions on final outcomes for patients receiving Dlm in programmatic settings. Additionally, studies are needed to further delineate the possible role of Dlm in populations with limited treatment options, including children, pregnant women, patients with drug intolerances and patients who need to remain on EFV-containing regimens.

Overall, this cohort, which included a large proportion of HIV-infected individuals and patients with extensive disease, had favourable early treatment response and tolerated Dlm-containing regimens reasonably well. Our data suggest that Dlm should remain available for RR-TB patients, particularly those who cannot be treated with conventional regimens and those with limited treatment options.
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