Efficiency of Air Purifiers at Removing Air Pollutants in Educational Facilities: A Preliminary Study
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Infectious diseases caused by airborne bacteria and viruses are a major problem for both social and economic reasons. The significance of this phenomenon is particularly noticeable during the time of the coronavirus pandemic. One of the consequences is the increased interest in the air purifier (AP) market, which resulted in a significant increase in sales of these devices. In this study, we tested the efficiency of APs in removing bacterial air contamination in the educational context in the Upper Silesia region of Poland during the “cold season” of 2018/2019. During the 6 months of measuring microbiological air quality, an 18% decrease in the concentration of microbiological pollutants as a result of the action of the APs was recorded. Additionally, the results of the particle size distribution of the bacterial aerosols showed a reduction in the share of the respirable fraction (particles with an aerodynamic diameter below 3.3 µm) by an average of 20%. The dominance of gram-positive cocci in the indoor environment indicates that humans are the main source of most of the bacteria present in the building. We conclude that the use of APs may significantly decrease the level of concentration of microbiological air pollutants and reduce the negative health effects of indoor bioaerosols; however, further work that documents this phenomenon is needed.
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INTRODUCTION

People spend about 80–95% of their lives in indoor spaces and breathe in around 10 m³ of air every day (Lee and Chang, 1986; Dacarro et al., 2003; Tringe et al., 2008). Moreover, “people inhale 6–10 L of air per minute, which amounts to 15,000 L/day” (Wood et al., 2002). According to measurements conducted in the last 20 years by the US Environmental Protection Agency, the air in indoor spaces is sometimes ~100 times more polluted than atmospheric air and indoor air pollution is one of the top five health hazards (Kotzias, 2005; Gawrońska and Bakera, 2015).

The increased susceptibility of children to air pollution is associated with their much more varied activity during the day, the fact that they inhale a greater volume of air in relation to their body size and the incomplete maturity of their immune systems (Branco et al., 2014; WHO, 2018). Air quality in indoor environments, such as nurseries, where children stay for up to 40 h per week, is particularly important because children are a key vulnerable group and may be exposed to lung damage and infections associated with poor indoor air quality (IAQ) (Brągoszewska et al., 2018a; Oliveira et al., 2019; Chegini et al., 2020).
The basic indicator of indoor air pollution is the concentration of bioaerosols (Jiayu et al., 2019). When it comes to negative health effects, bioaerosols play an important role as risk factors. Biological aerosols are pollutant particles that include microorganisms such as bacteria, fungal spores, viruses, or pollen grains and are always present in the air (Adhikari et al., 2006; Bragoszewska and Pastuszka, 2018; Tolabi et al., 2019).

The main source of bacterial aerosols in kindergartens has been traced to the presence and activities of people (Bragoszewska et al., 2016a; Canha et al., 2016; Bragoszewska et al., 2018b). It should also be noted that microorganisms can colonize an educational building’s heating and ventilation system (Oh et al., 2014; Bragoszewska et al., 2018a; Bragoszewska and Biedron, 2018).

Exposure to bacterial aerosols in kindergartens is associated with a varied range of health effects, including three major groups: infections, toxic reactions, and allergic reactions (Zelles, 1999; Pastuszka, 2001; Douwes et al., 2003; Bragoszewska and Pastuszka, 2018; Golofit-Szymczak and Górny, 2018; Chegini et al., 2020). The aerodynamic diameter of biological aerosols is strongly related to their deposition site in the human respiratory tract; hence, their health effect is heavily dependent on their physical qualities, particularly their size distribution (Nevalainen et al., 1993; Thorn and Kerekes, 2001; Liebers et al., 2008; Nasir and Colbeck, 2010; Degobbi et al., 2011).

Sales of air purifiers (APs) have risen considerably, from 0.8 million units in 2015 to almost two million units in 2018, and this trend is projected to continue. AP sales have increased, which has resulted in a rise in the amount of energy used by APs (Kim et al., 2019). The use of APs can be one way to achieve IAQ improvement. APs with high-efficiency particulate air (HEPA) filters are highly effective in removing biological aerosols in indoor environments. HEPA filters in APs are widely used in Poland, which is a consequence of increasing public awareness of the impact of IAQ on health. In HEPA APs, the air is forced through the HEPA filter, and particles are physically captured (through diffusion, interception, inertial impaction, and sieving) (Yang, 2012). It is estimated that HEPA filters process particles of more than 0.3 μm with 99.97% efficiency fibers and could filter particles greater than 0.3 μm through impaction and interception (Gorji et al., 2017).

There is also limited evidence that these decreases result in improved cardiorespiratory health (Fisk, 2013; Morishita et al., 2015). APs usage has been associated with decreased blood pressure, reduced oxidative stress, reduced systemic inflammation, and enhanced lung function in a number of studies (Kelly and Fussell, 2019).

The COVID-19 pandemic has forced a significant focus on indoor disinfection and air purification options. The most frequent applications are the local control of the source of pollution, disinfection of rooms and surfaces, and ventilation. The use of APs can be considered an additional complementary and preventive action in the spread of biological contamination. Adequate IAQ can be achieved mainly by reducing and constantly controlling the concentrations of harmful microorganisms in the air.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Sampling Sites

The study was carried out in a kindergarten located in Gliwice (50.324,666 N, 18.711,405 E). Gliwice is a typical example of a city located in the industrial region of Upper Silesia, Poland, with 178,186 thousand occupants. The surrounding area of the measurement point is characterized by compact building development. Buildings, roads, asphalt, etc., cover most of the surfaces in this part of the city. More detailed information about the main characteristics of the studied kindergarten in Gliwice is provided in Table 1.

Air sampling was conducted during the “cold season,” from September 2018 to February 2019. The sampling was performed two times each week, with one sample taken outside the building...
**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Total Bacterial Aerosols When AP Was Off (APO), When AP Was Active (APA), and From Outdoors (OUT)**

The mean (±SD) concentration of bacterial aerosols and the efficiency of the AP during the 6 months of measurements are summarized in **Table 3**.

The Mann–Whitney U test confirmed significant differences in the concentration levels of bacterial aerosols between when APO and when APA with p-values < 0.05. The average concentration of bacterial aerosols when APO and when APA was 1208 CFU/m³ and 986 CFU/m³, respectively. The highest value of bacterial aerosols was detected in November (2257 CFU/m³) when APO and when APA, the maximum value of bacterial aerosols was also found in November, but it was 1.3 times lower (1675 CFU/m³) than the highest observed APO value.

Microbiological IAQ during 6 months of our measurements, when APA, was on average almost 18% better than in cases where there were no procedures to decrease the concentration of microbiological air pollutants. The highest average value efficiency of the AP was found in November (26%) and the lowest during February (11%) (Figure 1). In our opinion, the analyzed AP device employed has one of the best particle capture capacities within the aerodynamic diameter range from 1.1 to 2.1 µm. In November, the specific conditions, predominance of particles in size range from 1.1 to 2.1 µm (Figure 2), and the specific characteristics of the device (high efficiency in this size range) resulted in the observed peak in the mean AP efficiency (26%).

In our previous study conducted in Polish dwellings, the concentration of bacterial aerosols was reduced by almost 50% when APs were enabled (Bragoszewska et al., 2019). Similar studies conducted in Poland indicate that the effectiveness of APs for the reduction of particulate matter (PM) in kindergartens was 41% (Gayer et al., 2018).

**Table 2** | Summary of sampling details for nursery school in Gliwice (Poland).

| Date of sampling | Sampling frequency | Total samples when air purifier was off | Total samples when air purifier was active | Total samples outdoor |
|------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Sep              | 8 times per month  | 96                                     | 96                                        | 96                   |
| Oct              | 8 times per month  | 96                                     | 96                                        | 96                   |
| Nov              | 8 times per month  | 96                                     | 96                                        | 96                   |
| Dec              | 8 times per month  | 96                                     | 96                                        | 96                   |
| Jan              | 8 times per month  | 96                                     | 96                                        | 96                   |
| Feb              | 8 times per month  | 96                                     | 96                                        | 96                   |

and two indoors, one when the APs were turned off and the other after 60 min from turning the APs on (**Table 2**). Two sets of measurements were performed with the APs turned on. Samples were collected between 10:00 and 12:00 local time, in order to check the efficiency of the tested device. The kindergarten had natural ventilation and was insulated and windows were kept closed during the sampling.

**Field Sampling**

Bioaerosols were collected using an Andersen cascade impactor (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, United States) with cut-off diameters of 7.0, 4.7, 3.3, 2.1, 1.1, and 0.65 m and quantified as bacterial colony-forming units per cubic meter of air (CFU/m³).

According to Nevalainen et al. (1993), the sample time was 10 min, and the impactor pump maintained a constant flow rate of 28.3 dm³/min. To speciate bacterial aerosols, researchers used tryptic soy agar (TSA %, Biocorp, Poland) growth media with cycloheximide added. At 36±1°C, the samples were incubated for 48 h.

**Identification of Selected Bacteria**

Single colonies were passaged on Biolog Universal Growth Agar (24 h incubation at 37°C) after a 24 h incubation on TSA plates. Gram staining and cell morphology were used to further characterize the isolates.

Selected strains were then identified using the Biolog OmniLog system (Biolog, Hayward, CA, United States) and GEN III MicroPlate™ as in our previous studies (Bragoszewska and Biedroń, 2018; Bragoszewska et al., 2018a).

**Characteristics of the Used AP**

The used AP had a PET prefilter that kept bigger pollutants out, a HEPA-11 filter with a 2.2 m² surface area, and an adsorption filter with active carbon (with an absorbing area of 57,000 m²). The Clean Air Delivery Rate (CADR) value obtained from the AP was 310 m³/h. CADR is the international standard for measuring AP effectiveness. The higher the CADR is, the more particles the air cleaner can filter and the larger the area it can serve (ASHRAE, 2019).

**Statistical Analyses**

All statistical analyses were performed with R Studio 1.3.1073, and plots were created with the ggplot2 package.

Due to a nonparametric distribution of the collected data (analyzed with the Shapiro–Wilk test), results were analyzed using the Mann–Whitney U test to assess differences at the sampling sites. Statistically significant differences were determined when a probability p-value was lower than 0.05.
Previously conducted studies have shown that HEPA filters can reduce particle number concentrations by more than 50% (Batterman et al., 2012; Wheeler et al., 2014; Kelly and Fussell, 2015). The removal efficiency of bioaerosols in Seoul, Korea, was as high as 68% for airborne bacteria (Oh et al., 2014). In our previous study conducted in Polish dwellings, the average air purification efficiency in the elimination of the total concentration of bacterial aerosols was near 50% (Bragoszewska et al., 2019).

The average level of bacterial aerosol concentration in the indoor air exceeded almost threefold the average level recorded in the outdoor air (401 CFU/m³). The ratio values of indoor to

| Months | OUT | APO | APA |
|--------|-----|-----|-----|
|        | Average concentration | SD | Average concentration | SD | I/O ratio | Average concentration | SD | I/O ratio |
| Sep    | 491 | 138 | 1,066 | 214 | 2.17 | 899 | 101 | 1.83 |
| Oct    | 426 | 70  | 1756 | 331 | 4.12 | 1,486 | 238 | 3.49 |
| Nov    | 551 | 78  | 2,257 | 743 | 4.09 | 1,675 | 448 | 3.04 |
| Dec    | 325 | 57  | 803  | 151 | 2.47 | 659 | 130 | 2.03 |
| Jan    | 309 | 62  | 623  | 77  | 2.02 | 531 | 100 | 1.72 |
| Feb    | 303 | 66  | 744  | 127 | 2.46 | 663 | 122 | 2.19 |

**FIGURE 1** | The efficiency of air purifier (AP) (%) in every month of measurement.

**FIGURE 2** | The size distribution of bacterial aerosol collected by using an Andersen impactor with different aerodynamic diameter stages: stage 1: >7.0 µm; stage 2: 4.7–7.0 µm; stage 3: 3.3–4.7 µm; stage 4: 2.1–3.3 µm; stage 5: 1.1–2.1 µm; stage 6: 0.65–1.1 µm; (A) outdoor environment (OUT); (B) when AP was off (APO); (C) when AP was active (APA).
outdoor bacteria numbers (I/O bacteria) are generally used as an identifier for emission sources of bioaerosols (Nasir and Colbeck, 2010; Gayer et al., 2018). If this ratio is >1.0, there is a difference between outdoor and indoor biological aerosol sources and the source exists in the indoor space (Bragoszewska et al., 2018b). The calculated average I/O ratios of bacterial aerosol levels according to 6 months of measurements are shown in Table 2. The average I/O bacteria value of 2.89 was obtained when APO, while the average I/O bacteria ratio when APA occurred at 2.50. Because the I/O ratio was greater than 1.0, it is safe to assume that the primary sources of bacterial aerosol in this study are internal, such as building occupants (in this case, kindergarten personnel and children) and building components that support microbiological growth.

As mentioned in Table 2, during the 6 months of our study, the ratio of I/O bacteria ranged from 1.72 to 4.12, which implies that indoor air is the major source of bacterial bioaerosols. This result agrees with our previous study conducted in a nursery school in Gliwice (Bragoszewska et al., 2016b) and with the findings of Canha et al. (2016), Tolabi et al. (2019), and Aydogdu et al. (2010).

### The Size Distribution of Bacterial Aerosols

The mean distributions of aerodynamic diameters of bacterial aerosol are shown in Figure 2. When the AP was turned off, the size distribution of bacterial aerosols was characterized by a substantial percentage of particles in the 1.1–2.1 μm aerodynamic diameter (d_{ae}) range. The results of size distributions may indicate that the particles of bacteria are relatively fresh and mostly of human origin. Because respirable particles (particles less than 3.3 μm) lead to respiratory symptoms, such findings could indicate the presence of a hazardous potential exposure (Owen et al., 1992; Lacey and Dutkiewicz, 1994).

The results of the share of the respirable fraction of bacterial aerosols when APO and when APA are shown in Figure 3. The obtained results of the size distribution indicate that the use of active APs reduced the participation of the respirable fraction of bacteria by 20% on average. In December, the AP reduced the concentration of the respirable fraction by half, from 68% (APO) to 37% (APA).

#### Identification of Isolated Bacterial Aerosols

Four groups of airborne bacteria were identified: Gram-positive cocci, nonsporing gram-positive rods, sporng gram-positive rods, and gram-negative rods (Table 4). Eight species of bacteria were isolated both when APO and when APA: Micrococcus luteus, Macroccocus equipecuricus, Nocardia alba, Bacillus flexus, Lactobacillus crispatus, Paenibacillus barengoltzii, and Insolitispirillum peregrinum. Interestingly, three species of bacteria were isolated only when APA: Staphylococcus epidermidis, Streptococcus sobrinus, and Acinetobacter lwofii. Staphylococcus epidermidis and Acinetobacter lwofii are recognized as normal flora of the skin. Streptococcus sobrinus is associated with dental caries especially among children (Ghasempour et al., 2013). When comparing the outdoor air and the indoor air, it can be noticed that gram-positive cocci dominated in enclosed spaces. Children’s activity is normally high; thus, gram-positive cocci can be transmitted to the indoor air from their bodies and respiratory tracts. The results of the qualitative analysis of bacterial aerosols obtained in the kindergarten in Gliwice cohere with other researches (Lacey and Dutkiewicz, 1994; Pastuszka et al., 2000; Liebers et al., 2008; Dumala and Dudzińska, 2013; Canha et al., 2015; Jiayu et al., 2019; Kelly and Fussell, 2019).

Gram-positive rods were the dominant group of outdoor air bioaerosols. One of the leading representatives of this group is the genus Bacillus, the spores of which have remarkable resistance to chemical and physical factors. They are bacteria commonly found in soil and water as well as being a component of the normal flora of the skin and mucous membranes of humans and various animals (Menteşe et al., 2009).

In the tested air of a selected kindergarten, exposure to bacterial aerosols does not pose a direct threat of acute health effects. Nevertheless, long-term inhalation of such large doses of airborne microorganisms could have negative health consequences, especially in vulnerable people. These people may be more susceptible to upper respiratory tract illnesses.

### TABLE 4 | Bacterial species identified inside nursery school during two cycles: when APO/when APA and outdoor (OUT).

| Species of isolated bacteria | OUT | APO | APA |
|-----------------------------|-----|-----|-----|
| Micrococcus luteus           | +   | +   | +   |
| Macroccocus equipecuricus    | -   | +   | -   |
| Staphylococcus epidermidis   | -   | -   | +   |
| Staphylococcus sobrinus      | -   | -   | +   |
| Nocardia alba                | +   | +   | -   |
| Bacillus flexus              | +   | +   | -   |
| Bacillus licheniformis       | +   | +   | -   |
| Lactobacillus crispatus      | +   | +   | -   |
| Paenibacillus barengoltzii  | +   | +   | -   |
| Pseudomonas stutzeri         | +   | -   | +   |
| Acinetobacter lwofii         | +   | -   | +   |
| Pantoea eucrina             | +   | -   | +   |
| Insolitispirillum peregrinum | +   | +   | -   |
and allergy symptoms such as headaches, watery eyes, itchy skin, and coughing (Kim and Kim, 2007).

Although the level of microbiological contamination in the examined kindergarten should be considered safe, one should still strive to improve IAQ by taking appropriate measures. It can be expected that, in the autumn season, the presence of children with symptoms of infection in the kindergarten will cause a significant increase in the level of pathogenic bacteria in the building. Therefore, it is strongly recommended to increase the air exchange rate.

### CONCLUSION

This work reports the effect of APs on bacterial aerosols in a kindergarten in the city of Gliwice (Poland) during 6 months of the “cold season” in 2018/2019. We also collected samples from the outdoor air to determine the indoor/outdoor ratio.

The average concentration of airborne bacteria in the kindergarten when APA was 986 CFU/m³, whereas the average concentration of indoor culturable bacteria when APO was 1208 CFU/m³. Microbiological IAQ, when the APs were enabled, was on average almost ~18% better than in those without any procedures to decrease air pollutant concentration, with the maximum value of AP efficiency in November (26%).

The results of the size distribution of airborne bacteria indicate the significant role of indoor emission sources in the analyzed kindergarten. The use of active APs reduced the participation of the respirable fraction (the particles < 3.3 μm) of bacteria by 20% on average. It was observed that the best AP efficiency in each month of our measurement was achieved for bacteria with an aerodynamic diameter range from 1.1 to 2.1 μm (stage 5 of the Andersen impactor).

There is a still lack of international standards for microbial IAQ. In conclusion, indoor bioaerosol measurements should be carried out more frequently and on a bigger scale. Portable and inexpensive APs have the potential to reduce people’s exposure to biological air pollutants in indoor areas, but further research is needed, notably into the reemission process caused by APs air blowing. The understanding of this relationship will serve as a crucial foundation for the development of AP technology.
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