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The growth scale and kinetics of WS$_2$ monolayers under varying H$_2$ concentration
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The optical and electronic properties of tungsten disulfide monolayers (WS$_2$) have been extensively studied in the last few years, yet growth techniques for WS$_2$ remain behind other transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDCs) such as MoS$_2$. Here we demonstrate chemical vapor deposition (CVD) growth of continuous monolayer WS$_2$ films on mm$^2$ scales and elucidate effects related to hydrogen (H$_2$) gas concentration during growth. WS$_2$ crystals were grown by reduction and sulfurization of WO$_3$ using H$_2$ gas and sulfur evaporated from solid sulfur powder. Several different growth formations (in-plane shapes) were observed depending on the concentration of H$_2$. Characterization using atomic force microscopy (AFM) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) revealed etching of the SiO$_2$ substrate at low concentrations of H$_2$ and in the presence of an Ar carrier gas. We attribute this to insufficient reduction of WO$_3$ during growth. High H$_2$ concentrations resulted in etching of the grown WS$_2$ crystals after growth. The two dimensional X-ray diffraction (2D XRD) pattern demonstrates that the monolayer WS$_2$ was grown with the (004) plane normal to the substrate, showing that the WS$_2$ conforms to the growth substrate.

In recent years, various monolayer transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDCs) have been studied as alternatives to graphene. An isolated “monolayer” consists of a metal layer between two chalcogen layers and that S-W-S trilayer is bonded to neighboring layers through weak van der Waals bonds. While graphene has no band gap, TMDCs can be direct band gap semiconductors, which suggests many applications. Inheriting experimental techniques from graphene, circuit elements were quickly demonstrated in molybdenum disulfide (MoS$_2$). Subsequently new properties of TMDCs have been discovered which drive their own applications, separate from its graphene ancestry, such as spintronics in MoS$_2$ and WSe$_2$ and tuning of the band gap through uniaxial strain. Stacks of different TMDCs have been shown to create almost a novel material through the creation of new shared exciton states and through femtosecond scale charge separation. TMDCs have also been explored for photodetectors, optical modulators, bio-imaging devices, mode-locked lasers, ultrafast saturation, and solar cells by using TMDCs alone or in graphene/TMDC heterostructures.

WS$_2$ has been less investigated than MoS$_2$ simply because of the greater difficulty in producing samples through exfoliation. WS$_2$, like MoS$_2$, is of interest for optoelectronics because of its direct band gap in the visible range and high absorption relative to its thickness. WS$_2$ monolayers have strong PL emission, stronger than other TMDCs such as MoS$_2$. WS$_2$ also exhibits strong spin-orbit coupling and band splitting due to spin enabling spintronics/valleytronics, which was first demonstrated in MoS$_2$. WS$_2$ also has high nonlinear susceptibility, suggesting its use for nonlinear optical devices. However, most device research to date has been largely based on mechanically exfoliated layers which does not allow high throughput manufacturing. Large scale deposition (polycrystalline chip scale or wafer scale growth, similar to what has already been demonstrated in graphene and MoS$_2$) and large grain size WS$_2$ monolayers are essential for further application research and eventual commercialization. To date,
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CVD crystal growth of WS₂ has been shown to produce single crystal flakes hundreds of micrometers in size\(^2\).

Here we demonstrate polycrystalline WS₂ monolayer growth up to mm\(^2\) coverage and show the effect of H\(_2\) concentration on the crystal size, nucleation density, total areal density, and growth formation. Growth is performed by low pressure (LP) CVD from solid WO\(_3\) and S sources. We utilize Raman spectroscopy, optical microscopy, scanning electron microscopy (SEM), atomic force microscopy (AFM) and two dimensional X-ray diffraction (2D XRD) to characterize growth formation, crystallinity, substrate orientation, existence of monolayer growth, and height of the deposited WS₂ layers. We furthermore demonstrate etching of WS₂ or etching of the SiO₂ substrate and explain these two effects in terms of the reaction chemistry. Finally, we report on a newly observed growth mode for single-crystal WS₂ monolayers and propose a sequential growth model to explain our observations.

Results and Discussion

Figure 1a is an illustration of our experimental setup. The experiment was contained within a 3” diameter quartz tube with a mechanical pump at one end and gas (H\(_2\) and Ar) introduced at the other with a controlled flow rate. The operating pressure during growth was approximately 9 Torr with the H\(_2\)/Ar mixture gas and 5 Torr with only H\(_2\) gas delivered. The center of the tube rests in a furnace which was raised to 900 °C and placed in the tube were two stacked substrates facing each other, one for the tungsten (W) source (WO\(_3\) evaporated by electron beam onto silicon) and for growth (an oxidized silicon substrate). Sulfur was provided by evaporation from solid powder which was placed in a ceramic crucible outside of the central furnace region, at a lower temperature. For large area growth, the sulfur position was such that it starts to evaporate when the substrate is between 800 and 850 °C. Hydrogen was introduced at 650 °C. Growth proceeded for thirty minutes, after which the furnace was cooled and hydrogen delivery ceased.

Figure 1b–e are SEM images of several WS₂ monolayers grown with 30, 40, 50 and 60 sccm of H\(_2\) gas with 100 sccm Ar each. We note that there was no WS₂ deposition when H\(_2\) was not introduced, but small, single crystal WS₂ triangles were observed when the H\(_2\)/Ar gas mixture was provided. The images show that when the flow rate of H\(_2\) was increased, both the size and density of crystals increased. Fig. 1f–g
show the increasing size and total surface coverage measured by image analysis using ImageJ. The average size of a WS₂ crystal increased from 4.7 μm² to 10.8 μm² over the experimental range, and total area coverage increased from 2.2% to 20%. The increase in total area coverage is not only due to increase in crystal size, but also due to increase in nucleation density, which increased approximately four times, from one nucleation site per 213 μm² to one per 54 μm² in the experimental range.

Supplying H₂ gas without Ar during the reduction and sulfurization process of WO₃ resulted in increased single crystal size and nucleation density of WS₂ monolayer crystals, compared to the same experimental conditions but with Ar. In addition to the increased size of single crystal WS₂ monolayers, under certain conditions continuous mm² coverage of polycrystalline WS₂ monolayer growth was observed. Figures 2a–c show SEM images of WS₂ monolayers grown with 45, 50 and 60 sccm of H₂ gas and no Ar. Figure 2d shows the same trend as when Ar was present—when the H₂ flow rate was increased the average size of the WS₂ single-domain crystals increased. The crystals were larger than when Ar was present, from 79.8 μm² under 45 sccm of H₂ and up to 432.7 μm² under 60 sccm. Figure 2e shows polycrystalline WS₂ growth with more than 85% surface coverage, grown with 60 sccm H₂. Figure 2f is a larger area SEM image of the polycrystalline growth, showing up to mm² surface coverage. Each cm² chip exhibited these large coverage regions along with regions of isolated single crystal growth such as the one used for the data in Fig. 2d. Figure 2g is a large-area optical image stitched to show the extent of large-area growth. The color contrast shows predominantly monolayer growth along with bilayer and thick growth in some regions. Within the red box, a 1.1 mm square, the monolayer coverage is 89.5%, calculated through thresholding and pixel counting performed with Gimp and ImageJ.

Figure 3a,b show the Raman spectroscopy and photoluminescence (PL) peaks of mono, bi, and few layer WS₂ samples grown in our furnace. All spectra were taken with 532 nm excitation. Figure 3a gives the E₁g and A₁g phonon modes of mono, bi and multi-layer of WS₂, located at 350.6 and 418.2 cm⁻¹ for mono and bi-layer, confirming WS₂ growth. In the multilayer WS₂ samples, the E₁g and A₁g modes were slightly blue-shifted to 352.5 and 421.3 cm⁻¹, consistent with values reported in literature. As anticipated, the intensities of the two Raman peaks decreased with the number of layers, and the difference was small while not proportional to the number of layers. The frequency difference between the two modes for multi-layer WS₂ was smaller than that of mono or bi-layer WS₂. All of these effects are consistent with previous reports and support the conclusion that our growth products are WS₂. Figure 3b presents the PL spectra of mono and bi-layer WS₂ samples. As observed by other groups, the PL intensity for monolayer samples was much higher than other two samples (bi or multi-layer). The inset of Fig. 3b shows the PL peaks of a bi-layer sample magnified to be visible, still measurable though appearing as flat when plotted alongside the monolayer sample. It is difficult to observe the PL peak of multi-layer WS₂ because the band gap changes from direct to indirect when the number of WS₂ layers changes from mono to multi-layer. The center of the PL peak for mono and bi-layer samples was at 641.4 nm, corresponding to 1.93 eV, similar to values reported in literature. Furthermore, the sharp and intense PL peak indicates the high quality of the WS₂ monolayer. While the measured FWHM value of the PL peak from exfoliated WS₂ was 75 meV, the measured FWHM of the PL peak from our CVD-grown WS₂ was 40 meV, which is comparable to high quality CVD-grown WS₂ reported in other literature if not better. Figure 3c shows the 2D x-ray diffraction data. The sharp and bright point indicates that the film was well crystallized. Comparing the data to powder diffraction data calculated from a WS₂ model using Mercury, the q value corresponds to the (004) plane.

The AFM and SEM images in Fig. 4 give further information on the effect of H₂ on crystal growth. There are two etching modes: substrate etching at low H₂ concentrations and WS₂ etching at high H₂ concentrations. In our experiment, we used a WO₃-deposited SiO₂/Si substrate as a tungsten source which was placed on top of a clean SiO₂/Si substrate as a growth substrate. Also considering the presence or lack of Ar, we obtained four different types of WS₂ deposition samples: either the top (i.e., WO₃ deposited substrate) or bottom (i.e., oxidized Si substrate), and either with or without Ar flow during growth. Figure 4a depicts an AFM image of a WS₂ monolayer grown on the bottom substrate with no Ar. The step height measurement of 1 nm gives further evidence of monolayer growth. Figure 4b,c show AFM and SEM images of a WS₂ monolayer on the bottom substrate grown under a H₂/Ar mixture. These images show the WS₂ grown in a 6 nm etched pit, indicating that WS₂ was deposited only after SiO₂ substrate etching. We observed the etched SiO₂ only on the bottom (growth) sample grown in the combination H₂ and Ar environment. We did not observe SiO₂ etching in any of the other three sample types, including the top (source) substrate during the same growth as the indented sample. This phenomena can be explained by considering the reduction and sulfurization process of WO₃. When the H₂/Ar mixture gas is used for WS₂ deposition, the concentration of H₂ gas is not high enough, resulting in the WS₂ not being fully reduced before sulfur gas is supplied. Then the clean bottom SiO₂/Si substrate can be etched by a chemical which includes hydrogen, oxygen and sulfur, of which there are a few in the literature known to etch SiO₂, while the top substrate is protected by the remaining WO₃. Only when the WO₃ is completely reduced can WS₂ growth begin on the top source substrate. Thus, Ar dilutes the hydrogen, in turn increasing available oxygen during the time of sulfur delivery. When only H₂ gas is used, the high concentration of hydrogen fully reduces the WO₃ before sulfur is provided. However, as a second effect, when the flow rate of H₂ was high, above 60 sccm, the monolayer WS₂ deposited during the growth period was etched to the substrate surface nonuniformly. Figure 4d shows an etched WS₂ monolayer, located near the edge of the substrate. Comparing images of WS₂ growth under different hydrogen...
Figure 2. SEM images and optical image of grown WS$_2$ under different H$_2$ flow rates without Ar. The dark area is WS$_2$ monolayer. (a) 45 sccm H$_2$ flow rate. (b) 50 sccm. (c) 60 sccm. (d) graph of the average size of WS$_2$ based on different H$_2$ flow rates. (e) SEM image of polycrystalline WS$_2$ grown under a 60 sccm H$_2$ flow rate. (f) SEM image of a millimeter scale WS$_2$ deposition. Scale bars are 100 $\mu$m except in (f) which is 300 $\mu$m. (g) is a stitched optical image of mm scale deposition; the red box is 1.1 mm square and monolayer covers 89.5% of the area within that region; scale bar 1mm.
concentrations supports the explanation that the residual H\textsubscript{2} causes etching of the already grown WS\textsubscript{2} crystals after all the sulfur powder has evaporated. This is shown by the WS\textsubscript{2} being etched more at the edge of the chip, where the H\textsubscript{2} concentration is the highest due to our sandwich configuration. Also, the boundary of the original single crystal WS\textsubscript{2} monolayer can be observed due to incomplete etching, showing that the crystal was grown and later etched.

In our experiment, several growth formations for monolayer samples were observed, and the formations were also found to depend on the concentration of H\textsubscript{2}. Figure 5 shows the different growth processes and the resulting morphology differences. Figure 5a–c show different growth formations grown in an H\textsubscript{2}/Ar mixture environment. Figure 5a shows WS\textsubscript{2} monolayer growth under low H\textsubscript{2}/Ar flow rate conditions, where all the WS\textsubscript{2} monolayers are a clear triangle shape and less than 5\(\mu\)m in side length. Figure 5b shows monolayer growth under high H\textsubscript{2}/Ar flow rate, where we can observe a barb shaped triangle growth process, observed and explained by Cong et al.\textsuperscript{38}. Figure 5c is a well-known 2D material growth mode, observable when a hexagonal crystal structure has different growth rates on alternate faces\textsuperscript{39}. When we supplied only H\textsubscript{2}, we observed two different growth processes, shown in Fig. 5d–f. The growth shown in Fig. 5e,f is a new growth mode not reported in literature to our knowledge. Our interpretation on the temporal evolution of a single crystal of WS\textsubscript{2} is illustrated in Fig. 5g–j, showing the in-plane sequential growth, creating the multi-apex WS\textsubscript{2} triangle shape. The growth directions at the corners are shown by the solid arrows, while the dashed lines show the outline of the overall expansion. In this growth, W atoms are abundant on the SiO\textsubscript{2} surface due to the reduction of WO\textsubscript{3} by H\textsubscript{2}, as the sample
is deposited under a high concentration of H₂. We attribute this unique formation of the multi-apex WS₂ triangle shape to the ratio between W and S during the growth; the WS₂ monolayer becomes a triangle shape when the ratio of W to S is higher than 1:2. In that paper, Wang et al. demonstrated that only for reactant delivery in the ratio 1:2 will hexagonal crystals grow, otherwise growth of three faces will be faster than the other three and triangles will form. In the terminated WS₂ monolayer (Fig. 5a), each side of the terminated triangle of WS₂ is an active site for in-plane expansion of the monolayer crystal. Figure 5h shows the schematic after the termination of the first expansion, forming an additional apex in each side of the triangle, of which growth state is shown in Fig. 5e. Figure 5i shows a second expansion step and Fig. 5j depicts the terminated second expansion process. Figure 5f is an SEM image after the third expansion process. This process repeats to enlarge the size of WS₂ monolayers. Figure 6 shows an AFM scan and Raman and PL for a typical step-growth sample. The Raman and PL signatures were taken on the area grown during the first enlargement step after the termination of the first WS₂ monolayer growth. The AFM scan shows that the growth is in-plane with matched thickness for the subsequent step, and the strong PL signal confirms that it is monolayer growth.

**Conclusion**

We have demonstrated large scale WS₂ monolayer deposition, with up to 433 μm² single crystals and mm² size continuous polycrystalline films. We have furthermore elucidated the effect of the concentration of H₂ during the reduction and sulfurization process; the relatively simple relationship between increasing domain size with H₂ flow rate as well as substrate and sample etching and its effect on growth morphology. We have shown that controlling H₂ concentration is crucial for large area WS₂ deposition.
In the presence of an Ar carrier gas, increasing the local pressure, the crystal size varied relatively little (a few micrometers) between low and high flow rates. In a H₂ only environment, the flow rate had a dramatic effect on growth. In addition, in the conditions of a high concentration of H₂ and a low concentration of sulfur gas, the grown WS₂ was etched. Raman spectroscopy and AFM images confirm monolayer growth in accordance with other groups’ findings. XRD measurement confirms the grown WS₂ is well crystallized with the (004) plane normal to the substrate. Finally, we have offered an explanation for a new growth mode for WS₂ single crystal monolayers which works in stages.

Methods
5 nm thick WO₃ was evaporated from pellets onto a source substrate which was sandwiched with a clean second substrate for growth, with no space. The sandwiched sample was loaded into the middle of 3” quartz tube. For sulfur, we adopted the commonly published method for MoS₂ and WS₂ growth of placing solid sulfur powder in the furnace tube upstream of the growth area. The ambient gas was purged out by mechanical pump to the base pressure of 850 mTorr. As the furnace was ramped in temperature at 15 °C/min, the reaction proceeded by reduction of WO₃ by hydrogen and subsequent sulfurization of the WO₃. The growth temperature was 900°C. Ar gas was introduced from 150°C to reduce moisture and ambient gas and H₂ gas was supplied from 650°C (increasing temperature) to 700°C (decreasing temperature). The deposition pressure depends on the gas type and amount of flow rate. The best result was obtained at 4.5 Torr deposition pressure under 60 sccm H₂ flow rate. The reduction and sulfurization reactions require a higher temperature than the sulfur evaporation. By placing the sulfur at different places outside of the main heating area of the furnace, it evaporated at different times relative to the substrate temperature. At the optimized location for our furnace setup, the sulfur powder started to evaporate at 830°C furnace temperature and all sulfur powder was used up after about 30 minutes.

Figure 5. SEM images showing the several growth formations of WS₂ monolayers. The black triangle shapes are monolayer WS₂. (a) growth formations of WS₂ monolayers deposited under 30 sccm H₂ with 100 sccm Ar flow rate, (b,c) deposited under 60 sccm H₂ with 100 sccm Ar flow rate, (d-f) deposited under only H₂ without Ar. (g-j) show schematics of the temporal evolution in a sequential growth formation: (g) first enlargement step after the termination of the first WS₂ monolayer growth (h) termination of the first enlargement step (i) second enlargement step after the termination of the first enlargement step (j) termination of the second enlargement step. The scale bar of (a) is 2 μm, (b–d) are 5 μm, (e) is 30 μm and (f) is 10 μm.
Figure 6. AFM, Raman, and PL of a step-growth sample. The AFM scan (a) shows that the sequential growth is in-plane with no break between the crystals. The height of the 630 nm PL peak relative to the Raman signal shows that the crystal is monolayer WS2 (b).
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