HESS J1858+020: A GeV-TeV source possibly powered by cosmic rays from SNR G35.6-0.4
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ABSTRACT

Context. The supernova remnant (SNR) G35.6–0.4 shows a non-thermal radio shell, however, no γ-ray or X-ray counterparts have been found for it thus far. One TeV source, HESS J1858+020, was found near the SNR and this source is spatially associated with some clouds at 3.6 kpc.

Aims. To attain a better understanding of the origin of HESS J1858+020, we further investigate the association between SNR cosmic rays (CRs) and the clouds through the Fermi-LAT analysis and hadronic modeling.

Methods. We performed the Fermi-LAT analysis to explore the GeV emission in and around the SNR. We explored the SNR physics with previously observed multi-wavelength data. We built a hadronic model using runaway CRs of the SNR to explain the GeV-TeV observation.

Results. We found a hard GeV source (SrcX2) that is spatially coincident with both HESS J1858+020 and a molecular cloud complex at 3.6 kpc. In addition, a soft GeV source (SrcX1) was found at the northern edge of the SNR. The GeV spectrum of SrcX2 connects well with the TeV spectrum of HESS J1858+020. The entire γ-ray spectrum ranges from several GeV up to tens of TeV and it follows a power-law with an index of ∼2.15. We discuss several pieces of observational evidence to support the middle-aged SNR argument. Using runaway CRs from the SNR, our hadronic model explains the GeV-TeV emission at HESS J1858+020, with a diffusion coefficient that is much lower than the Galactic value.
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1. Introduction

HESS J1858+020 is one of the first unidentified TeV source reported by Aharonian et al. (2008). In the H.E.S.S. Galactic plane survey (HGPS; H.E.S.S. Collaboration 2018), HESS J1858+020 is also considered as one of eleven HGPS sources that do not yet have any associations with known physical objects. In the context of the HAWC telescope (>100 GeV), which is mostly sensitive at ∼10 TeV, there is no known 2HWC catalog source associated with HESS J1858+020 (Abeysekara et al. 2017).

The nearby radio source G35.6–0.4 located northwest of HESS J1858+020 was identified as a supernova remnant (SNR) by Green (2009). The radio boundary of this SNR is clearly shown in the 1.4 GHz image with VGPS (Stil et al. 2006; Zhu et al. 2013) and the 610 MHz image with GMRT (Paredes et al. 2014).

A molecular cloud (MC) complex composed of two clumps has been found to be spatially coincident with HESS J1858+020. Paron & Giacani (2010) argued that the TeV emission of HESS J1858+020 is likely due to the interaction between the SNR cosmic rays (CRs) and this MC complex. This argument is further corroborated in Paron et al. (2011) by excluding the possibility of a young stellar object as the power source. The velocity of this MC complex is ~55 km s⁻¹, corresponding to a near-side distance of ~3.4 kpc or a far-side distance of ~10.4 kpc. The Hi study by Zhu et al. (2013) suggested a distance to this SNR-cloud system of 3.6 ± 0.4 kpc and this distance is also supported by the further Hi study by Ranasinghe & Leahy (2018). It was suggested that two nearby pulsars – PSR J1857+0212 and PSR J1857+0210 could be be associated with the SNR (Phillips & Onello 1993; Morris et al. 2002). However, these pulsar associations were disfavored by this newly confirmed distance of 3.6 ± 0.4 kpc because the dispersion measure distances to PSR J1857+0212 and PSR J1857+0210 were estimated to be 7.98 kpc and 15.4 kpc, respectively (Han et al. 2006; Morris et al. 2002).

No diffuse X-ray emission has been found in or around SNR G35.6–0.4 with a 30 ks Chandra observation (Paredes et al. 2014). However, seven X-ray point sources without IR counterparts have, in fact, been detected. Four of these point sources lie inside the SNR and their spectral properties resemble those of embedded protostars (Paredes et al. 2014). The previous Fermi-LAT study on SNR G35.6–0.4 by Torres et al. (2011) found no GeV detection. Hadronic models using the SNR as the power source were considered by Torres et al. (2011) and the authors suggested a low diffusion coefficient in order to explain the lack of GeV emission. In the 3FHL...
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2. Fermi-LAT data analysis

2.1. Data preparation

In the following analysis, we selected the latest Fermi-LAT Pass 8 data with a “Source” event class (evclass = 128 & evtype = 3), taken in the period between August 4, 2008 (Mission Elapsed Time 239,557,418) and May 1, 2019 (Mission Elapsed Time 578,361,605). For the spectral analysis, the energy range adopted is 1–500 GeV, while the analysis of the morphology was carried out in the 5–500 GeV range in consideration of the improved
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| 5–500 GeV | RA & Dec | 1σ error radius | Spectral index | Photon flux | TS value |
|-----------|---------|------------------|---------------|------------|----------|
| SrcX1     | 284.476◦ & 2.265◦ | 0.040◦ | 3.73 ± 0.49 | 2.62 ± 0.49 | 49.1 |
| SrcX2     | 284.578◦ & 2.095◦ | 0.029◦ | 2.31 ± 0.27 | 1.99 ± 0.45 | 39.3 |
| 5–10 GeV  | SrcX1   | 284.452◦ & 2.251◦ | 0.038◦ | 3.02 ± 0.94 | 2.54 ± 0.47 | 48.6 |
|           | SrcX2   | 284.578◦ & 2.095◦(fixed) | 2.31(fixed) | 1.15 ± 0.39 | 13.3 |
| 10–500 GeV| SrcX1   | 284.476◦ & 2.265◦(fixed) | 3.73(fixed) | <0.37 0.2  | 28.2 |
|           | SrcX2   | 284.554◦ & 2.076◦ | 0.037◦ | 2.30 ± 0.41 | 0.85 ± 0.22 | 10.2 |

the HESS/MAGIC image of HESS J1858+020 as an extended template.

2.2. Spatial analysis of the GeV sources
For the purposes of carrying out a more detailed study of the emission around SNR G35.6−0.4/HESS J1858+020, we firstly derive test-statistic (TS) maps by excluding 4FGL J1858.3+0209, 4FGL J1857.6+0212, and 4FGL J1857.7+0246e from the background model. Both the >5 GeV and >10 GeV TS maps are shown in Fig. 1. As clearly seen in the SNR region, the 5 GeV photons are mostly concentrated at the northeastern edge of the SNR, while the 10 GeV photons are mostly around HESS J1858+020. This spatial difference between 5 GeV and 10 GeV TS maps seems to indicate a two source scenario. Assuming these two sources are point sources, we hereafter fit the coordinates of the two sources with the command gtfindsrc and rename them as SrcX1 and SrcX2.

In the course of the following analysis, which focus on finding the spatial and spectra information of SrcX1 and SrcX2, the extended source 4FGL J1857.7+0246e was treated as a background source. Both a uniform disk (the TeV extension of HESS J1857+026, the cyan circle in Fig. 1) and the MAGIC image of HESS J1857+026 (the cyan contours north of the SNR in Fig. 1) were used as the spatial template of 4FGL J1857.7+0246e and their analysis results in the scope of the SNR region essentially remain the same.

Using only the >5 GeV data, the best-fit position of SrcX1 was found to be RA = 284.476◦, Dec = 2.265◦, with 1σ error radius of 0.040◦. And for SrcX2, the best-fit position and its 1σ error radius are RA = 284.578◦, Dec = 2.095◦ and 0.029◦, respectively. The TS (Mattox et al. 1996) values of SrcX1 and SrcX2 were fitted to be 49.1 and 39.3, corresponding to the significant level of 6.2σ and 5.4σ, respectively. More comparisons between SrcX1 and SrcX2 in different energy bands are list in Table 1.

Additionally, extended spatial templates of SrcX1 & SrcX2 were also explored to test their spatial extensions. These templates include uniform disks with a different radius, the MAGIC-south image of HESS J1858+020 and the HESS image of HESS J1858+020. Ultimately, these extended spatial templates basically show no improvement in the significance of SrcX1/SrcX2 and point sources are enough to describe their γ-ray emissions.

In Fig. 1, the radio contours of SNR G35.6−0.4 at 1.4GHz with VGPS (Stil et al. 2006; Zhu et al. 2013) and the contours of nearby TeV sources (Aharonian et al. 2008; MAGIC Collaboration 2014) are plotted. The eastern cloud traced by the 13CO J = 1−0 emission with a velocity range between 51 and 59 km s⁻¹ (Paron & Giacani 2010) is shown in purple contours and named “CloudX2” for the purposes of this work. As can be seen, the position of SrcX2 is in good correspondence with the TeV image of HESS J1858+020 and CloudX2, while SrcX1 appears to be corresponding to the radio shell of SNR G35.6−0.4.

2.3. Spectral analysis of the GeV sources
With the best positions of SrcX1 and SrcX2 obtained from the 5–500 GeV data (see Table 1), we used gtlike to fit the power-law spectra of them in the energy range of 1–500 GeV. The spectral index and total photon flux of SrcX1 are 3.09 ± 0.09 and (6.55 ± 0.52) × 10⁻¹⁰ photon cm⁻² s⁻¹. While the spectral index and total photon flux of SrcX2 are fitted as 2.27 ± 0.14 and (1.59 ± 0.40) × 10⁻¹⁰ photon cm⁻² s⁻¹.

To derive the spectral energy distribution (SED) of SrcX2 at different energies, we binned the data with six logarithmically even energy bins between 1 GeV and 500 GeV and we performed the same likelihood fitting analysis to the data. Considering the much softer spectrum of SrcX1, we derived the energy band of 1–50 GeV into eight bins to obtain its SED. For the energy bin with the TS value of SrcX1/SrcX2 smaller than 5.0, an upper limit with 95% confidence level was calculated. The results of the spectral analysis are shown in Fig. 2.

In summary, we performed the Fermi-LAT analysis around SNR G35.6−0.4 and found two distinct GeV sources: one displaying a hard GeV spectrum (SrcX2) is in spatial coincidence with HESS J1858+020 and CloudX2; the other one displaying a soft GeV spectrum (SrcX1) is located at the northern edge of the SNR. The GeV spectrum of SrcX2 connects well with the TeV spectrum of HESS J1858+020 and together, they show a hard GeV-TeV spectrum with a power-law index of ~2.15.

3. Multi-wavelength observations around SNR G35.6−0.4
3.1. SNR size and distance
In adopting the 1.4GHz image with VGPS (see Fig. 3), we obtained a close-up of the complex with an angular size of ~13°–17° and a center at RA = 284.457°, Dec = 2.176° (Zhu et al. 2013).

The most up-to-date HI & CO studies by Zhu et al. (2013) and Ranasinghe & Leahy (2018) suggest that the distance to

Table 1. Best-fit position, spectral parameters, and TS values of SrcX1/SrcX2 for different energy bands with point source assumptions.

| Energy Band | RA & Dec | 1σ Error Radius | Spectral Index | Photon Flux (10⁻¹⁰ ph cm⁻² s⁻¹) | TS Value |
|-------------|---------|------------------|---------------|--------------------------------|----------|
| 5–500 GeV   | SrcX1   | 284.476° & 2.265° | 0.040°        | 3.73 ± 0.49                    | 2.62 ± 0.49 | 49.1 |
|             | SrcX2   | 284.578° & 2.095° | 0.029°        | 2.31 ± 0.27                    | 1.99 ± 0.45 | 39.3 |
| 5–10 GeV    | SrcX1   | 284.452° & 2.251° | 0.038°        | 3.02 ± 0.94                    | 2.54 ± 0.47 | 48.6 |
|             | SrcX2   | 284.578° & 2.095°(fixed) | 2.31(fixed) | 1.15 ± 0.39                    | 13.3 |
| 10–500 GeV  | SrcX1   | 284.476° & 2.265°(fixed) | 3.73(fixed) | <0.37 0.2  | 28.2 |
|             | SrcX2   | 284.554° & 2.076° | 0.037° | 2.30 ± 0.41 | 0.85 ± 0.22 | 10.2 |
the SNR-cloud system (SNR G35.6–0.4 & Eastern cloud) is \(3.6 \pm 0.4\) kpc, and \(3.8 \pm 0.3\) kpc, respectively. We adopted a distance of \(3.6\) kpc in our model and this value leads to an averaged SNR radius of \(\sim 8\) pc.

### 3.2. Considering a possible middle-aged SNR

As described in Sect. 2.3, the \(\gamma\)-ray spectrum at CloudX2 extending down to several GeV seems to indicate that this is the case of a middle-aged SNR. So far, there is no direct observational evidence for the shock velocity. In following: we discuss the evidence based on multi-wavelength studies in favor of a middle-aged SNR.

**\(\gamma\)-ray emission extending down to several GeV.** The flat \(\gamma\)-ray spectrum at CloudX2 ranges from several GeV to tens of TeV, which is similar to those of the middle-aged SNRs associated with MCs, such as SNR W28, W44, and IC 443. Its flat spectral shape, in particular, resembles those of the clouds (240A,B,C) next to but not in direct proximity to SNR W28 (Abdo et al. 2010; Hanabata et al. 2014; Cui et al. 2018). If a hadronic origin is assumed, then the SNR has already released CRs with energies down to tens of GeV into CloudX2. A slow shock (\(v_{\text{SNR}} \ll 1000\) km s\(^{-1}\)) at present can achieve an escape energy of \(\sim 10\) GeV with the help of the damping of magnetic waves by neutrals (O’C Drury et al. 1996). It could be argued that a young SNR can also release the GeV CRs to MCs through a shock-cloud collision scenario (Cui et al. 2019; Tang et al. 2015). Nonetheless, there is no evidence from the linewidth of the molecular clouds that are interacting with the SNR; for example, no line asymmetry was found at CloudX2 (Paron et al. 2011).

**An intrinsic weak X-ray emission.** The non-detection of diffuse X-ray emission (Paredes et al. 2014) can be either due to the intrinsic nature of the SNR or the heavy absorption. The total H column density along the line of sight (LOS) of G35.6–0.4 is \(\nu_{\text{H, total}} \approx 1.44 \times 10^{22}\) H cm\(^{-2}\) (Willingale et al. 2013). The extinction curve along the LOS of the SNR becomes flat behind \(\sim 3.4\) kpc (Green et al. 2019). This indicates that most of the observed gas lies in front of the SNR (3.6 kpc) and the column density up to 3.6 kpc is about 96\% \(\nu_{\text{H, total}}\). Obviously, this \(1.44 \times 10^{22}\) H cm\(^{-2}\) is not thick enough to absorb most keV photons; see, for example, the SNRs with higher \(\nu_{\text{H}}\) displaying X-ray emissions (Zhu et al. 2017). Hence, the non-detection of diffuse X-ray emission is likely due to an intrinsic weak source.

A **hard radio index.** The non-thermal radio spectrum of SNR G35.6–0.4 displays an index of \(\alpha = 0.47 \pm 0.07\) (Green 2009). This value is much harder than the ones (\(\alpha \approx 0.6 – 0.8\)) measured in young SNRs (Dubner & Giacani 2015).

Ultimately, we find that SNR G35.6–0.4 is likely to prove a middle-aged SNR but none of this evidence is conclusive at present. Noticeably, with an ambient density of \(10^{-3}\) H cm\(^{-3}\), a SNR distance of 3.6 kpc will lead to a SNR age of merely 2 kyr. In the modeling section below, we adopt this middle-aged SNR scenario in order to improve the release of \(<100\) GeV CRs – hence, a relatively higher ambient density is required.

### 3.3. The circumstellar medium and other observations

Following the \(^{12}\)CO study by Paron & Giacani (2010) and the distance study by Zhu et al. (2013), CloudX2 is shown to have a mass of \(<5.0 \times 10^{3}\) \(M_{\odot}\); see also Fig. 3. The projected distance between CloudX2 and the SNR center is \(<8\) pc. The even larger clouds located at the western side of the SNR lack GeV-TeV counterpart, hence, they are not considered in Paron & Giacani (2010) and they are not included in our Fig. 1 either. In our
model, shown below, they are assumed to be located far from the SNR.

Noticeably, a HI shell sometimes could be associated with a pre-SN wind bubble or a SNR; see, for example, the slow-expanding HI shell around the Wolf-Rayet star HD 156385 (Cappa de Nicolau et al. 1988) and the fast-expanding HI shells around SNR CTB 80 (Park et al. 2013) & SNR Cygnus Loop (Leahy 2003). Finding such a shell could help us to confine the progenitor type and the ambient density, which eventually leads to a more accurate SNR age. Unfortunately, HI shells are very difficult to find and only several are known among all ≳300 Galactic SNRs (Leahy & Ranasinge 2012). We revisited the HI data used in Zhu et al. (2013) and we do not find any evidence for either a slow or a fast HI shell around SNR G35.6−0.4.

As seen in Fig. 3, the GeV source SrcX1 lacks multiwavelength counterparts and no X-ray source, TeV source, pulsar, or HI region is located within the 2σ uncertainty circle. Hence, SrcX1 will be considered as a background source in this work.

4. A hadronic explanation of GeV-TeV emission of HESS J1858+020

4.1. Models

As described in the previous section, one of the most challenging characteristics of the γ-ray spectrum of SrcX2 is the broad energy range. A successful acceleration model for such a spectrum should be able to release the ≳100 GeV CRs during its early SNR stage, as well as the 10−100 GeV CRs during its late SNR stage.

A simple SNR evolution history with a homogeneous circumstellar medium is adopted in our model. To calculate the SNR evolution history, the analytical solution of \( t_{\text{SNR}} \propto r^{3/7} \) (Chevalier 1982; Nadezhin 1985) was adopted for the ejecta-dominated stage, while the thin-shell approximation (Ptuskin & Zirakashvili 2005) was adopted for the Sedov-Taylor stage; the result is essentially consistent with the analytical solution of \( t_{\text{SNR}} \propto r^{3/5} \) (Ostriker & McKee 1988; Bisnovatyi-Kogan & Silich 1995) and the analytical solution of \( \nu_{\text{SNR}} \propto r^{7/10} \) by Cioffi et al. (1988) is adopted for the pressure-driven snowplow (PDS) stage. The SNR evolution profiles are shown in Fig. 4.

In explaining the hard TeV tail of SrcX2, we adopt the acceleration theory of nonresonant streaming instability developed by Bell (2004) and Zirakashvili & Ptuskin (2008). This theory can boost the escape energy up to hundreds of TeV in young SNRs. Given a SNR’s evolution history and an acceleration efficiency, an analytical approximation of this theory (Zirakashvili & Ptuskin 2008) can provide us with the runaway CR flux and the escape energy \( E_{\text{max}} \). In a strong shock, only CRs with energies above \( E_{\text{max}} \) can escape from the shock upstream and become runaway CRs. A magnetic field of \( B_0 = 5 \mu G \) and an initial magnetic fluctuation of \( B_0 = 7 \% \) in the ICM are assumed in the calculation, following Zirakashvili & Ptuskin (2008).

In explaining the GeV spectrum of SrcX2, the damping of the magnetic waves by the neutrals is adopted in the late SNR stage. This damping effect can significantly lower \( E_{\text{max}} \) and it is considered important in mid-aged and old SNRs; both Shull & McKee (1979) and Sutherland & Dopita (2017) noted that a shock slower than \( \sim 100 \) km s\(^{-1}\) will lead to a significant drop of the UV ionization at shock precursor. The relationship of \( E_{\text{max}} = \nu_0 \rho_0^{0.5} n_{\text{H}}^{3/2} \) TeV (O’C Drury et al. 1996) is adopted to estimate the escape energy in partially ionized medium, where \( \nu_0 \) is shock velocity in unit of \( 10^3 \) km s\(^{-1}\), \( n_{\text{H}} \) is the circumstellar density, and \( n_{\text{H}} \) is the neutral density. A homogeneous diffusion coefficient was adopted in the entire space, which follows a power-law rule of \( D = D_0 \eta^\delta \). By integrating the SNR surface as well as the entire SNR evolution history, it is possible to obtain the present CR density at CloudX2; see also the equations in Sect. 2.4.1 of Cui et al. (2016).

4.2. Parameter justifications and results

The escape energy \( E_{\text{max}} \) is highly sensitive to the shock velocity, \( v_{\text{SNR}} \). To generate \( \sim 10 \) GeV CRs, one requires a shock velocity of \( v_{\text{SNR}} \ll 1000 \) km s\(^{-1}\) during the late stage of the SNR evolution. Hence, we adopt a relative high circumstellar density of \( n_{\text{H}} = 20 \) H cm\(^{-3}\). Such a density at a Galactocentric distance of 5 kpc indicates that the circumstellar medium is cold neutral medium (Wolfire et al. 2003; Cox 2005). When the SNR reaches 8 pc, our model gives a SNR age of \( t_{\text{SNR}} = 18 \) kyr, a shock velocity of \( v_{\text{SNR}} = 142 \) km s\(^{-1}\), and an escape energy of \( E_{\text{max}} = 26 \) GeV, see Fig. 4.

More details of the best fitted parameters are shown in Table 2, where we also show the dependencies of our fitting results on those parameters. A higher \( E_{\text{g}} \) gives a overall higher \( v_{\text{SNR}} \). Both a higher \( v_{\text{SNR}} \) and a higher \( \eta \) can eventually lead to a higher total CR production and a higher escape energy \( E_{\text{max}} \). The value of \( E_{\text{g}} \) is suggested to be around \( 1 \) GeV. The value of \( \eta \) is limited by that the energy of total accelerated CRs should not be too far from \( 10\% E_{\text{g}} \). A lower diffusion coefficient, \( D \), and longer SNR-cloud distance, \( L \), will suppress the CRs (mostly GeV CRs) from reaching CloudX2. However, once the CRs can easily reach the cloud (mean diffusion distance after certain time is beyond the SNR-cloud distance \( L \)), a lower diffusion coefficient helps confining the CRs in the SNR-cloud region from spreading too thin.

The neutral density \( n_{\text{H}} \) in the shock precursor lacks observational constraints. Following the recent simulation work (Fig. 5 in Sutherland & Dopita 2017), we adopt an estimation of \( X = 4.5 \% \cdot (t_{\text{SNR}}/100 \) km s\(^{-1}\))^\(-2\) in a range of \( v_{\text{SNR}} = 140−500 \) km s\(^{-1}\), where \( X = n_{\text{H}}/n_{\text{H}} \) and \( 1 − X \) is the ionization ratio. In a more detailed model, for a future study, \( X \) is dependent on many other factors, such as metallicity or magnetic field; see also Sutherland & Dopita (2017). The damping of magnetic waves takes effect when the shock velocity is below \( \sim 500 \) km s\(^{-1}\), and this 500 km s\(^{-1}\) is chosen to get a smooth transition from the theory of Zirakashvili & Ptuskin (2008) to that of O’C Drury et al. (1996).
solve this question by detecting either the thermal or the non-thermal emission. For instance, the thermal emission found in SNR W28 with XMM-Newton (Zhou et al. 2014) shows a temperature of ~0.5 keV with a column density of ~4 × 10^{21} \text{H cm}^{-2}. The column density at SNR G35.6–0.4 is ~1.44 × 10^{22} \text{H cm}^{-2}. Future X-ray observations may also discover the alternative power sources if they are leptonic dominated – for example, a PWN origin for HESS J1640–465 (Xin et al. 2018) – as well as the potential shock-cloud collisions – for example, the thermal X-ray emission at the northeastern shell of SNR W28 (Zhou et al. 2014). Noticeably, millimeter observations of ionization lines may also shed some light on the shock-cloud collisions.

One of the most interesting feature of SrcX2 is the hard TeV tail and we expect future observations with LHAASO/CTA may further characterize the hard tail (see Fig. 2). In addition, they may also reveal more detailed TeV features at or around the SNR.

6. Summary

We carried out an analysis the Fermi-LAT data at SNR G35.6–0.4 and discovered two GeV sources using the >5 GeV data. A soft GeV source – SrcX1 is located at the northern edge of the SNR, a hard GeV source – SrcX2 is in spatial coincident with HESS J1858+020 and the molecular cloud complex at east – CloudX2. The spectral index of SrcX1 and SrcX2 are 3.09±0.09 and 2.27±0.14, respectively. The GeV spectrum of SrcX2 connects well with the TeV spectrum of HESS J1858+020. The entire GeV-TeV spectrum of SrcX2 is flat and it covers a wide energy range, from several GeV up to tens of TeV.

We find that SNR G35.6–0.4 is possibly a middle-aged SNR, and this argument is supported by three pieces of indirect observational evidence. Firstly, we find that the lack of diffuse X-ray emission, especially for the keV band, is likely due to an intrinsic weak source rather than the heavy absorption. Secondly, if the SrcX2 is indeed powered by the SNR CRs, then the GeV emission found at CloudX2 indicates that CRs with energies down to ~10 GeV have been released from the SNR. Thirdly, the radio index of SNR G35.6–0.4 is much harder than that of a young SNR. However, this evidence is not conclusive and we look forward to future observations of SNR G35.6–0.4.

We built a hadronic model to explain the GeV-TeV emission of SrcX2 with SNR CRs. By adopting the acceleration theory of nonresonant streaming instability, our model can generate CRs with energies of ≥100 TeV during the early SNR stage. The damping of magnetic waves by the neutrals was adopted for the late SNR stage and it leads to the release of CRs with energies down to ~10 GeV. Our model requires a diffusion coefficient that is much lower than the Galactic value and, in particular, a hard index of diffusion coefficients is needed to suppress the diffusion of early-released TeV CRs.
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5. Discussions and observational expectations

One of the arguments in support of SNR G35.6–0.4 as a middle-aged SNR is the non-detection of diffuse X-ray emission with Chandra. More sensitive observations with XMM-Newton may

Table 2. Parameters of the SNR model.

| Parameter  | Value |
|------------|-------|
| $E_{35}$  | 1.2   |
| $\eta$       | 0.08  |
| $D_{10}$    | 0.24  |
| $\delta$    | 0.25  |
| $L$         | 10 pc |

Notes: (a) Explosion energy of the SN, $E_{35} = 10^{35}$ erg. (b) The acceleration efficiency $\eta$ represents the ratio between the energy flux of runaway CRs and the kinetic energy flux of incoming gas onto the shock, and it remains constant through the entire SNR evolution. The total energy of all the released CRs is 23% $E_{35}$. (c) The diffusion coefficient follows a rule of $D = D_0E^\delta$, where $D_0$ is in unit of $10^{28}$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$. $D_0$ is 1 and $\delta$ = 0.3–0.5 represent the Galactic diffusion coefficient. (d) The three dimensional distance between the SNR and CloudX2 (The projected distance is ~8 pc). (e) “+” / “−” means that with a increasing value of the parameter, the $\gamma$-ray flux (total energy of GeV-TeV band) of SrcX2 increases/decreases. For parameter $D$, both “+” and “−” could happen, that is why we leave them blank, see also the explanations in text. (f) “+” / “−” means with increasing energy of the parameter, the $\gamma$-ray spectral index becomes harder/softer (higher/lower TeV to GeV ratio).

Using the nonresonate acceleration model in the early stage and the damping model in the late stage, over the entire SNR evolution, the runaway CRs cover a large energy range, from ~10 GeV up to more than 100 TeV, see Fig. 4. To explain the flat GeV-TeV spectrum of SrcX2, the early released TeV CRs should not diffuse too far at an age of 18 kyr, meanwhile the late-released GeV CRs should be able to reach CloudX2. Hence, a relative hard index of diffusion coefficient (0.25) is adopted; see also Table 2. Ultimately, these SNR CRs explain the GeV-TeV emission of SrcX2 with a diffusion coefficient that is much lower than the Galactic value; see the spectrum fitting in Fig. 5. The SNR has a Galactocentric distance of 5 kpc, while the corresponding CR sea contribution (Yang et al. 2016; A. U. Abeysekara et al. 2016) is very little and can be ignored.

Fig. 5. Hadronic model results using SNR CRs in explaining the GeV-TeV emission of SrcX2. The Fermi-LAT data and HESS data of SrcX2 are marked in red and blue, respectively. Our model results are shown in solid lines, the contribution of runaway CRs and CR sea are marked in dashed lines and dotted lines, respectively.
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