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**Abstract**

Dengue fever is endemic in Malaysia, contributing to significant economic and health burden in the country. *Aedes aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus* are the main vectors of the dengue virus (DENV), which circulates in sylvatic and human transmission cycles and has been present in Malaysia for decades. The study investigated the presence and distribution of DENV in urban localities in the Klang Valley, Peninsular Malaysia. A total of 364 *Ae. aegypti* and 1,025 *Ae. albopictus* larvae, and 10 *Ae. aegypti* and 42 *Ae. albopictus* adult mosquitoes were screened for the presence of DENV. In total, 31 (2.2%) samples were positive, of which 2 *Ae. albopictus* larvae were co-infected with two serotypes, one with DENV-2 and DENV-3 and the other with DENV-3 and DENV-4. Phylogenetic analysis determined that the isolates belonged to DENV-1 genotype I (1 *Ae. aegypti* adult), DENV-2 (1 *Ae. albopictus* larva), DENV-3 genotype V (3 *Ae. aegypti* larvae and 10 *Ae. albopictus* larvae) and DENV-4 genotype IV (6 *Ae. aegypti* larvae and 12 *Ae. albopictus* larvae), a sylvatic strain of DENV-4 which was most closely related with sylvatic strains isolated from arboreal mosquitoes and sentinel monkeys in Peninsular Malaysia in the 1970s. All four DENV serotypes were co-circulating throughout the study period. The detection of a sylvatic strain of DENV-4 in *Ae. aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus* mosquitoes in urban areas in Peninsular Malaysia highlights the susceptibility of these vectors to infection with sylvatic DENV. The infectivity and vector competence of these urban mosquitoes to this strain of the virus needs further investigation, as well as the possibility of the emergence of sylvatic virus into the human transmission cycle.

**Author summary**

Dengue is a mosquito-borne disease that has re-emerged as a major public health problem in the 21st Century. The four serotypes of dengue virus are capable of infecting humans...
with a range of symptoms and clinical outcomes, with the change in predominating serotypes often responsible for new dengue outbreaks. In this study, we surveyed urban sites in the Klang Valley, Malaysia, for *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus* mosquito vectors and confirmed the presence of all four serotypes of the virus. We detected the presence of genotype V of dengue serotype 3, which has previously not been reported in the country, as well as a sylvatic strain of dengue serotype 4 that was closely related to strains obtained from forest-dwelling mosquito vectors and sentinel monkeys in Malaysia. The detection of a sylvatic strain of dengue virus in urban vectors raises questions over the risk of virus transmission to humans, especially with recent reports of severe clinical cases as a result of infection with sylvatic dengue virus serotypes. Further studies will be needed to determine the source and origins of these strains as well as the severity of dengue disease upon infection, especially with the development of new dengue vaccines.

**Introduction**

Dengue is a mosquito-borne viral infection that has re-emerged as a significant public health problem worldwide, especially in tropical and subtropical regions within which the disease is endemic [1]. Every year, approximately 96 million cases are reported worldwide, with the Asian region accounting for 70% of all cases [2]. Despite efforts to contain its spread, the incidence of dengue infections has increased 30-fold over the last 50 years, moving across borders into regions that had previously had little to no exposure to the disease [3]. Malaysia is no exception, and has experienced an exponential rise in dengue cases from less than 20,000 in 2011 to a historic high of 120,836 cases in 2015 [4]. Dengue virus (DENV) is transmitted to humans primarily by infected *Aedes aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus* mosquitoes that are widely distributed in tropical regions, especially in Southeast Asia, and are also the main vectors of chikungunya (CHIKV) [5] and Zika virus (ZIKV) [6].

DENV is a *Flavivirus* with four antigenically distinct serotypes, namely DENV serotype 1 to serotype 4 (DENV-1 to DENV-4) [7]. The virus circulates in two distinct transmission cycles; the sylvatic cycle between the vector mosquito and non-human primates, and the human transmission cycle involving domestic and peridomestic *Aedes* mosquitoes [8]. Sylvatic strains of DENV serotypes 1, 2 and 4 have been isolated from sentinel monkeys in Peninsular Malaysia, with the presence of sylvatic DENV-3 inferred based on the seroconversion of sentinel monkeys [9,10]. A sylvatic strain of DENV-4 was also isolated from canopy-dwelling *Ae. niveus* mosquitoes in Malaysia in 1975 [11]. In Africa, only sylvatic DENV-2 has been isolated thus far with the strains found to be phylogenetically distinct from other circulating DENV-2 strains [12]. Compared to sylvatic DENV strains detected in Africa, phylogenetic studies have noted the presence of a wider diversity of sylvatic DENV strains in Malaysia, suggesting that a DENV ancestor most likely originated in the Asian region and subsequently diverged into at least four DENV serotypes that are currently circulating [13].

In 2008, a patient who developed dengue haemorrhagic fever (DHF) in Peninsular Malaysia was found to be infected with a sylvatic DENV-2 strain [14]. A sylvatic DENV-1 virus was also isolated from a patient with dengue fever in the country, and it was suggested that the infection could be due to a rare spillover event as a result of contact with infected forest-dwelling vectors [15]. These findings indicate that in this region, sylvatic DENV is being maintained in a zoonotic cycle, as it has been for the last four decades [16]. Furthermore, a sylvatic DENV-2 strain was isolated in West Africa from a man with DHF. This isolate was however found to be from a completely different lineage of the virus detected in Malaysia [17]. Thus far, the sylvatic strain...
of DENV-4 has yet to be reported in humans, with only three known sequences that were successfully isolated in Malaysia [18]. These reports suggest that sylvatic strains of DENV are capable of causing clinical disease in humans and, with the appropriate conditions, may emerge into an urban transmission cycle without requiring significant adaptation to the human host. There exist considerable genetic differences between human and sylvatic DENV strains. However, studies by Vasilakis and colleagues comparing human and sylvatic strains of DENV-2 [19,20] and DENV-4 [21] found no significant difference in the replication kinetics of both strains of each serotype, further highlighting the high possibility of re-emergence of sylvatic strains into the human transmission cycle. Despite the growing impact of dengue infections amongst human populations, there remains a lack of information on the presence and distribution of DENV strains in Aedes mosquitoes in Malaysia. In this study we aimed to investigate the presence and distribution of DENV in urban localities in the Klang Valley, Peninsular Malaysia. We report the presence of all four DENV serotypes, including a sylvatic strain of DENV-4, in field captured Ae. aegypti and Ae. albopictus mosquitoes.

Methods

Ethics statement

This study has obtained ethical approval from the Medical Research & Ethics Committee, Ministry of Health Malaysia (Reference number: NMRR-15-2128-28012).

Sampling

From May 2016 to April 2017, Aedes larvae and adult mosquitoes were collected from two urban areas (Selangor and Kuala Lumpur) in the Klang Valley, Malaysia. Localities within Selangor and Kuala Lumpur were selected along a transect line extending from East to West. In total, nine sites were selected for sampling in each area (labelled A to I) for a total of 18 sampling sites. The sampling regimen used included one central site per locality (SJ-E and BJ-E) set approximately 12 km apart. Each area was segmented into three parallel lines 1 km apart from North to South. A series of three sampling sites, each at least 200 m apart, were identified at each segmented line (Fig 1). Table 1 shows the coordinates of each site and their associated land cover. Prior permission was obtained from owners and residents for the study, which was conducted on private land and residences. Samples were collected at monthly intervals using ovitraps for larvae and light traps for adult mosquitoes. Every month, 30 ovitraps were set in shaded locations indoors and outdoors and collected after five days. One light trap was set at each site and collected after 24 hours. In total, 9 light traps and 270 ovitraps were collected from each area every month and transported back to the laboratory for processing. A trap was recorded as positive when it contained Aedes mosquitoes of any species. Each sample was identified by species using keys by Rueda [22] and coded by month, trap and site number, and subsequently stored in -80°C prior to further processing [23].

Detection of DENV in larvae and adult mosquitoes

cDNA synthesis was performed as previously described [24]. For detection of DENV, nested PCR was carried out as previously described [25–27]. In brief, a total of 1,441 field-caught Aedes larvae and adult mosquitoes were individually harvested for RNA and subjected to nested PCR. The first and second round of PCR amplification was carried out using the DENV consensus primers Dcon [25], D1 and D2 [26]. To determine the DENV serotype present, the amplified DNA sequence was subjected to multiplex PCR using the same forward D1 primer and four reverse primers TS1, TS2, TS3 and TS4 for each serotype DENV-1, DENV-2,
Table 1. Land cover and GPS coordinates of sampling sites within Selangor and Kuala Lumpur.

| Area     | Site | Land cover                          | GPS coordinates         |
|----------|------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Selangor | SJ-A | Residential area (individual house) | 3° 2'56.59"N, 101°34'54.63"E |
|          | SJ-B | Residential area (individual house) | 3° 2'52.58"N, 101°34'57.80"E |
|          | SJ-C | Residential area (individual house) | 3° 2'54.06"N, 101°34'46.64"E |
|          | SJ-D | Residential area (individual house) | 3° 3'20.19"N, 101°35'11.06"E |
|          | SJ-E | Residential area (individual house) | 3° 3'10.01"N, 101°35'8.95"E |
|          | SJ-F | Residential area (park)             | 3° 3'10.52"N, 101°35'14.67"E |
|          | SJ-G | Residential area (individual house) | 3° 3'25.48"N, 101°36'7.92"E |
|          | SJ-H | Residential area (individual house) | 3° 3'19.58"N, 101°35'57.87"E |
|          | SJ-I | Residential area (individual house) | 3° 3'7.66"N, 101°36'14.72"E |
| Kuala Lumpur | BJ-A | Residential area (individual house) | 3° 4'7.79"N, 101°40'36.36"E |
|          | BJ-B | Residential area (individual house) | 3° 3'36.80"N, 101°40'31.83"E |
|          | BJ-C | Residential area (individual house) | 3° 3'19.68"N, 101°40'34.27"E |
|          | BJ-D | Commercial area                     | 3° 3'37.14"N, 101°41'11.97"E |
|          | BJ-E | Commercial area                     | 3° 3'26.89"N, 101°41'17.18"E |
|          | BJ-F | Residential area (apartment)        | 3° 3'7.76"N, 101°41'13.85"E |
|          | BJ-G | Residential area (individual house) | 3° 4'19.56"N, 101°41'17.05"E |
|          | BJ-H | Residential area (individual house) | 3° 4'15.64"N, 101°41'54.57"E |
|          | BJ-I | Residential area (individual house) | 3° 3'58.13"N, 101°41'59.31"E |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0007889.t001
DENV-3 and DENV-4, respectively [26]. The primers used for PCR amplification are detailed in S1 Table. Positive controls for each DENV serotype (DENV-1-DENV-4) as detailed in S2 Table and a negative control (nuclease-free water) were included separately. All PCR products were separated by agarose gel electrophoresis, followed by sequencing the positive PCR products. The expected sizes of the amplified products were 429nt for DENV-1, 70nt for DENV-2, 240nt for DENV-3 and 351nt for DENV-4.

Phylogenetic analysis
Phylogenetic analysis was conducted in MEGA X [28] using the Maximum Likelihood (ML) method based on the Tamura-Nei model [29] with 1,000 bootstrap resampling. Each sequence was analysed based on the nucleotide sequences of the capsid pre-membrane (CprM) gene to determine the genotype and genetic relationship of each strain. Reference sequences of DENV genotypes from different years and geographical regions were included in order to characterise the genotypes of each sequence. Sylvatic strains available for each serotype were also included in the analysis.

Results
Sample collection
In total, 15,994 Aedes larvae were collected using ovitraps, of which 837 (5.2%) were Ae. aegypti and 15,157 (94.8%) were Ae. albopictus. For the adult mosquito samples, the majority were Ae. albopictus, comprising 75 (76.5%) of the 98 field collected samples. Due to the large number of Ae. albopictus larvae collected, one sample was randomly selected from every positive ovitrap at bimonthly intervals for a total 1,025 Ae. albopictus larvae screened. All adult mosquitoes (N = 52) and Ae. aegypti larvae (N = 364) collected from the same bimonthly intervals were screened.

DENV serotype detection
Overall, DENV was detected in 30 (2.2%) samples out of the 1,389 Aedes larvae (Tables 2 and 3) tested. Out of the 52 adult Aedes mosquitoes screened, only one (1.9%) out of 52 samples was positive for DENV (Tables 4 and 5). All four DENV serotypes were detected during the study period, where the most common serotype was DENV-4 (n = 18), followed by DENV-3 (n = 13) and one sample each that were RNA-positive for DENV-1 and DENV-2. The DENV-1 sequence (USJT8G/2017) was detected in an adult Ae. aegypti, the sole positive sample (1.92%) out of the 52 adult mosquitoes screened for DENV. The DENV-2 sequence (BJI29-2/2016) was detected in an Ae. albopictus larva from Kuala Lumpur, which was also co-infected with DENV-4 (BJI29-4/2016). Another Ae. albopictus larva from the same area was also positive for both DENV-3 (BJD2-3/2016) and DENV-4 (BJD2-4/2016). Out of the 18 larvae positive for DENV-4, 3 Ae. aegypti larvae in December 2016 as well as another 3 in April 2016 were collected from the same ovitrap set within site SJ-A. The rest of the 24 positive larvae were collected from different individual traps over the field collection period. The details of each sequence and their corresponding GenBank accession numbers (MH377083-115) are shown in S3 Table.

Phylogenetic analysis
Phylogenetic trees of the CprM gene sequences for each DENV serotype and reference sequences for the respective genotypes are shown in Figs 2–4. The DENV-1 sequence USJT8G/2017 obtained in this study was analysed with reference sequences from genotypes I
Table 2. DENV detected in *Aedes* larvae collected in Selangor from June 2016 to April 2017.

| Month | 2016 |  |  |  | 2017 |  | Total |
|-------|------|---|---|---|------|---|------|
|       | Jun  | Aug | Oct | Dec | Feb  | Apr |     |
| SJ-A  |      |    |    |     |      |    |      |
| *Ae. aegypti* | No. collected | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 5 | 21 | 80 |
|           | No. positive | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 (DENV-3); 3 (DENV-4) | 0 | 1 (DENV-3); 3 (DENV-4) | 9 |
| *Ae. albopictus* | No. collected | 37 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 12 | 78 |
|           | No. positive | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SJ-B   |      |    |    |     |      |    |      |
| *Ae. aegypti* | No. collected | 7 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 19 |
|           | No. positive | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| *Ae. albopictus* | No. collected | 33 | 7 | 36 | 184 | 37 | 5 | 302 |
|           | No. positive | 0 | 0 | 1 (DENV-3) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SJ-C   |      |    |    |     |      |    |      |
| *Ae. aegypti* | No. collected | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 34 |
|           | No. positive | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| *Ae. albopictus* | No. collected | 2 | 0 | 11 | 53 | 20 | 23 | 109 |
|           | No. positive | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SJ-D   |      |    |    |     |      |    |      |
| *Ae. aegypti* | No. collected | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|           | No. positive | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| *Ae. albopictus* | No. collected | 48 | 1 | 7 | 41 | 1 | 11 | 109 |
|           | No. positive | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SJ-E   |      |    |    |     |      |    |      |
| *Ae. aegypti* | No. collected | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|           | No. positive | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| *Ae. albopictus* | No. collected | 4 | 27 | 13 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 54 |
|           | No. positive | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SJ-F   |      |    |    |     |      |    |      |
| *Ae. aegypti* | No. collected | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
|           | No. positive | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| *Ae. albopictus* | No. collected | 205 | 236 | 25 | 238 | 205 | 207 | 1,116 |
|           | No. positive | 1 (DENV-4) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SJ-G   |      |    |    |     |      |    |      |
| *Ae. aegypti* | No. collected | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
|           | No. positive | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

(Continued)
to V (Fig 2), as well as one sylvatic strain isolated from a sentinel monkey in Malaysia in 1975 (GenBank accession number: EF457905) [30]. The DENV-1 strain was obtained from an adult *Ae. aegypti* mosquito collected in Selangor in 2017. Phylogenetic analysis revealed that this strain belonged to genotype I, one of the most prevalent genotypes in Southeast Asia [31]. The strain clustered closely with two other isolates, namely one from Hawaii in 1944 (EU848545)

Table 2. (Continued)

| Year | 2016          | 2017 | Total |
|------|---------------|------|-------|
|      | Month | June | Aug | Oct | Dec | Feb | April |
| *Ae. albopictus* | No. collected | 26 | 51 | 24 | 155 | 37 | 144 | 437 |
|      | No. positive | 0 | 0 | 1 (DENV-4) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| *Sj-H* | No. collected | 2 | 0 | 16 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 25 |
|      | No. positive | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| *Ae. aegypti* | No. collected | 51 | 2 | 1 | 92 | 35 | 9 | 190 |
|      | No. positive | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| *Sj-I* | No. collected | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 3. DENV detected in *Aedes* larvae collected in Kuala Lumpur from June 2016 to April 2017.

| Year | 2016          | 2017 | Total |
|------|---------------|------|-------|
|      | Month | June | Aug | Oct | Dec | Feb | April |
| *BJ-A* | No. collected | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 3 | 14 |
|      | No. positive | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| *Ae. aegypti* | No. collected | 149 | 32 | 10 | 74 | 55 | 197 | 517 |
|      | No. positive | 1 (DENV-4) | 0 | 0 | 1 (DENV-4) | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| *BJ-B* | No. collected | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|      | No. positive | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| *Ae. albopictus* | No. collected | 22 | 1 | 2 | 16 | 0 | 7 | 48 |
|      | No. positive | 1 (DENV-3) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| *BJ-C* | No. collected | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|      | No. positive | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0007889.t002

(Continued)
### Table 3. (Continued)

| Year       | Month | 2016 No. collected | 2017 No. collected | Total No. collected |
|------------|-------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
|            | June  | Aug                | Oct                | Dec                 | Feb | April |
| **Ae. albopictus** |       |                    |                    |                     |     |       |
|            |       | 116                | 68                 | 28                  | 79  | 9     | 91    | 391   |
| No. positive |       | 0                  | 0                  | 0                   | 0   | 0     | 0     | 0     |
| BJ-D       |       |                    |                    |                     |     |       |       |       |
| **Ae. aegypti** |       |                    |                    |                     |     |       |       |       |
| No. collected |       | 0                  | 0                  | 8                   | 0   | 0     | 0     | 8     |
| No. positive |       | 0                  | 0                  | 0                   | 0   | 0     | 0     | 0     |
| **Ae. albopictus** |       |                    |                    |                     |     |       |       |       |
| No. collected |       | 232                | 75                 | 258                 | 95  | 254   | 225   | 1,139 |
| No. positive |       | 1 (DENV-3); 1 (DENV-4) | 0               | 0                   | 0   | 0     | 0     | 2     |
| BJ-E       |       |                    |                    |                     |     |       |       |       |
| **Ae. aegypti** |       |                    |                    |                     |     |       |       |       |
| No. collected |       | 0                  | 0                  | 0                   | 2   | 0     | 14    | 16    |
| No. positive |       | 0                  | 0                  | 0                   | 0   | 0     | 0     | 0     |
| **Ae. albopictus** |       |                    |                    |                     |     |       |       |       |
| No. collected |       | 166                | 299                | 237                 | 317 | 281   | 221   | 1,521 |
| No. positive |       | 0                  | 1 (DENV-4)         | 0                   | 0   | 0     | 0     | 1     |
| BJ-F       |       |                    |                    |                     |     |       |       |       |
| **Ae. aegypti** |       |                    |                    |                     |     |       |       |       |
| No. collected |       | 0                  | 0                  | 0                   | 29  | 5     | 5     | 39    |
| No. positive |       | 0                  | 0                  | 0                   | 0   | 0     | 0     | 0     |
| **Ae. albopictus** |       |                    |                    |                     |     |       |       |       |
| No. collected |       | 19                 | 0                  | 0                   | 12  | 0     | 1     | 32    |
| No. positive |       | 1 (DENV-3)         | 0                   | 0                   | 0   | 0     | 0     | 1     |
| BJ-G       |       |                    |                    |                     |     |       |       |       |
| **Ae. aegypti** |       |                    |                    |                     |     |       |       |       |
| No. collected |       | 0                  | 4                  | 3                   | 5   | 0     | 2     | 14    |
| No. positive |       | 0                  | 0                  | 0                   | 0   | 0     | 0     | 0     |
| **Ae. albopictus** |       |                    |                    |                     |     |       |       |       |
| No. collected |       | 60                 | 81                 | 18                  | 101 | 51    | 99    | 410   |
| No. positive |       | 3 (DENV-3); 1 (DENV-4); 1 (DENV-4) | 1 (DENV-4) | 1 (DENV-4) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| BJ-H       |       |                    |                    |                     |     |       |       |       |
| **Ae. aegypti** |       |                    |                    |                     |     |       |       |       |
| No. collected |       | 40                 | 81                 | 0                   | 2   | 5     | 1     | 129   |
| No. positive |       | 0                  | 2 (DENV-4)         | 0                   | 0   | 0     | 0     | 0     |
| **Ae. albopictus** |       |                    |                    |                     |     |       |       |       |
| No. collected |       | 50                 | 93                 | 1                   | 36  | 62    | 102   | 344   |
| No. positive |       | 1 (DENV-3)         | 0                   | 0                   | 0   | 0     | 0     | 1     |
| BJ-I       |       |                    |                    |                     |     |       |       |       |
| **Ae. aegypti** |       |                    |                    |                     |     |       |       |       |
| No. collected |       | 2                  | 0                  | 48                  | 14  | 0     | 6     | 70    |
| No. positive |       | 0                  | 0                  | 0                   | 0   | 0     | 0     | 0     |
| **Ae. albopictus** |       |                    |                    |                     |     |       |       |       |
| No. collected |       | 52                 | 43                 | 53                  | 167 | 35    | 162   | 512   |
| No. positive |       | 1 (DENV-2); 1 (DENV-4) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0007889.t003
Table 4. DENV detected in adult *Aedes* mosquitoes collected in Selangor from June 2016 to April 2017.

| Year | 2016 | 2017 | Total |
|------|------|------|-------|
| Month | June | Aug | Oct | Dec | Feb | April |
| SJ-A | | | | | | |
| *Ae. aegypti* | No. collected | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | No. positive | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| *Ae. albopictus* | No. collected | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | No. positive | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SJ-B | | | | | | |
| *Ae. aegypti* | No. collected | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | No. positive | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| *Ae. albopictus* | No. collected | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | No. positive | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SJ-C | | | | | | |
| *Ae. aegypti* | No. collected | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | No. positive | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| *Ae. albopictus* | No. collected | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | No. positive | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SJ-D | | | | | | |
| *Ae. aegypti* | No. collected | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | No. positive | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| *Ae. albopictus* | No. collected | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | No. positive | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SJ-E | | | | | | |
| *Ae. aegypti* | No. collected | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | No. positive | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| *Ae. albopictus* | No. collected | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | No. positive | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SJ-F | | | | | | |
| *Ae. aegypti* | No. collected | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | No. positive | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| *Ae. albopictus* | No. collected | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| | No. positive | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SJ-G | | | | | | |
| *Ae. aegypti* | No. collected | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | No. positive | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

(Continued)
and another more recent isolate from China in 2015 (KU094071). Many DENV-1 strains isolated between the 1940s and 2010s from Southeast Asia also belong to this genotype, including Malaysian isolates from Johor Bahru (KX452068) and the Klang Valley (JN697058).

The DENV-2 sequence BJI29-2/2016 obtained in this study was analysed with 32 reference sequences from six genotypes of DENV-2, namely the Asian I, Asian II, American/Asian, American and Cosmopolitan genotypes and included sylvatic strains isolated in Malaysia. Based on this analysis, we can confirm that the DENV strain sequenced from an \emph{Ae. albopictus} larva collected from Kuala Lumpur in 2016 belonged to serotype 2. However, we are unable to conduct any further analysis to determine the genotype of the sequence due to the short sequence length.

The DENV-3 (n = 13) sequences obtained in this study were analysed with 22 reference sequences from genotypes I to V. Based on this analysis, all the sample sequences clustered with strains from genotype V, including with the first DENV-3 genotype V strain isolated in the Philippines in 1956 (M93130) [32,33] as well as with isolates that were detected in India in 2009 (KC787098) and in Brazil in 2002 (EF629371). Genotype V is represented by early Asian strains and were recently detected among patients during outbreaks in Brazil and Colombia [32].

The DENV-4 (n = 18) sequences obtained in this study were analysed with 16 reference sequences (Fig 4) from genotypes I to III as well as three sylvatic strains (genotype IV). Genotype III is specifically found in neighbouring Thailand, with genotypes I and II represented by strains from Southeast Asia, Sri Lanka, the Caribbean and the Americas [13]. Phylogenetic analysis revealed that the sequences obtained from both \emph{Ae. aegypti} and \emph{Ae. albopictus} in this study belonged to the sylvatic genotype, clustering with early sylvatic isolates collected in the 1970s from the forest-dwelling \emph{Ae. niveus} (EF457906), and from sentinel monkeys (JF262780 and JF262779) in Peninsular Malaysia [9].
| Year | 2016 | 2017 | Total |
|------|------|------|-------|
| Month | June | Aug | Oct | Dec | Feb | April |
| **BJ-A** | | | | | | |
| *Ae. aegypti* | | | | | | |
| No. collected | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| No. positive | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| *Ae. albopictus* | | | | | | |
| No. collected | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| No. positive | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **BJ-B** | | | | | | |
| *Ae. aegypti* | | | | | | |
| No. collected | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| No. positive | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| *Ae. albopictus* | | | | | | |
| No. collected | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| No. positive | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **BJ-C** | | | | | | |
| *Ae. aegypti* | | | | | | |
| No. collected | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| No. positive | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| *Ae. albopictus* | | | | | | |
| No. collected | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| No. positive | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **BJ-D** | | | | | | |
| *Ae. aegypti* | | | | | | |
| No. collected | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| No. positive | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| *Ae. albopictus* | | | | | | |
| No. collected | 2 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 13 |
| No. positive | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **BJ-E** | | | | | | |
| *Ae. aegypti* | | | | | | |
| No. collected | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| No. positive | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| *Ae. albopictus* | | | | | | |
| No. collected | 4 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| No. positive | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **BJ-F** | | | | | | |
| *Ae. aegypti* | | | | | | |
| No. collected | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| No. positive | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| *Ae. albopictus* | | | | | | |
| No. collected | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| No. positive | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **BJ-G** | | | | | | |
| *Ae. aegypti* | | | | | | |
| No. collected | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| No. positive | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

(Continued)
Discussion

This study reports the detection of four DENV serotypes amongst field collected *Ae. aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus* mosquitoes in Selangor and Kuala Lumpur in Peninsular Malaysia. In total, 31 samples were positive including dual infections in *Aedes* larvae. Co-infections of *Aedes* larvae with multiple serotypes of DENV have previously been reported in Brazil [34] and may have occurred as a result of transovarial transmission from the adult mosquito. Dual infections in adult *Ae. aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus* mosquitoes have also been found in Thailand [35].

The hyperendemicity of dengue in Malaysia presents an opportunity for co-infections, especially when taking into account the multiple feeding behaviour of *Ae. aegypti*. However, as the DENV co-infections were detected in larvae it is unclear if both serotypes would remain in the vector for transmission in adulthood. The single sample positive for DENV-2 that was detected in this study was part of a co-infection with sylvatic DENV-4. Due to the short length of the detected DENV-2 sequence, we are unable to ascertain the genotype of this sequence. The DENV-1 strain detected in this study belonged to genotype I which is related to strains circulating in neighbouring Singapore and Thailand. This particular strain was also the most prevalent serotype detected between 2005 and 2010 in Malaysia. More recently, genotype I was found to be the predominant strain among dengue patients in Selangor and Kuala Lumpur during the 2014–2016 epidemic period [36]. Non-severe dengue infections were more commonly observed in patients infected with this strain, unlike those infected with the Cosmopolitan genotype of DENV-2 that were more prone to severe clinical manifestations.

In Malaysia, the most common strain of DENV-3 has been from genotype III, which has circulated in the country for more than three decades and is one of the most widely distributed DENV genotypes globally [37]. All DENV-3-RNA-positive samples in the current study belonged to genotype V, a lineage that was identified by Wittke et al. [33] as a group of viruses that were previously classified as genotype I [38], but displayed amino acid changes that distinguished them from this genotype. Hence the DENV-3 viruses were separated into five main groups with the addition of the fifth genotype. Viruses belonging to genotype V include old
Asian viruses and have not been associated with cases of DHF until recently, when a fatal case of DHF due to this genotype was identified in Brazil in 2003 [32]. The same genotype V virus was detected in patients in Colombia [39] and in field-captured *Ae. aegypti* mosquitoes in dengue-endemic areas in Thailand in 2011 [40]. Thus far, this genotype has not been reported in Malaysia. All DENV-3 isolates in this study were closely related to the early Asian isolates, however as the sequence available in this study was only from the CprM region of the genome a detailed assessment of the relationship between the different strains could not be carried out. Further study on the full sequence of this genotype will be necessary to determine the origins and pathogenicity of the virus.

DENV-4 has not been associated with major DENV epidemics in Malaysia since the 1960s [41] and appears to be circulating at a lower prevalence compared to the other three. In this
Fig 3. Phylogenetic analysis of the CprM gene sequences of DENV-3 isolates from the Klang Valley. Sample sequences of DENV-3 (240 nt) from *Ae. aegypti* (indicated with an asterisk *) and *Ae. albopictus* collected from Selangor (indicated with a white triangle) and Kuala Lumpur (indicated with a black triangle) in this study are labelled with the GenBank accession number, sample ID and year of collection. Bootstrap values (1,000 replicates) are shown next to the branches. Taxa of reference sequences are labelled as the GenBank accession numbers, year and country of sample collection.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0007889.g003
Fig 4. Phylogenetic analysis of the CprM gene sequences of DENV-4 isolates from the Klang Valley. Sample sequences of DENV-4 (351 nt) from *Ae. aegypti* (indicated with an asterisk *) and *Ae. albopictus* collected from Selangor (indicated with a white triangle) and Kuala Lumpur (indicated with a black triangle) in this study are labelled with the GenBank accession number, sample ID and year of collection. Bootstrap values (1,000 replicates) are shown next to the branches. Taxa of reference sequences are labelled as the GenBank accession numbers, year and country of sample collection.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0007889.g004
study, sylvatic DENV-4 strains were detected in both *Ae. aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus* larvae collected from Selangor and Kuala Lumpur in Peninsular Malaysia. The large numbers of *Ae. albopictus* collected in urban areas in the study is concerning, as this vector has high potential to act as a ‘bridging vector’ and, in the absence of the primary vector *Ae. aegypti*, contribute to dengue epidemics amongst susceptible human populations [42]. Furthermore, the DENV-4 isolates in this study were determined by phylogenetic analysis to be a sylvatic strain that is very closely related to sylvatic DENV-4 sequences isolated from *Ae. niveus* (EF457906) and sentinel monkeys ([IF262779 and IF262780] by Rudnick in Malaysia in the 1970s [9]. In West Africa, the forest-dwelling *Ae. furcifer* is known to circulate amongst rural villages and is capable of transmitting sylvatic DENV to resident human populations. In the study by Diallo and colleagues, this vector, along with other vectors such as *Ae. luteocephalus*, *Ae. vittatus* and *Ae. aegypti* were susceptible to infection with both sylvatic and urban strains of DENV-2, although the latter two vectors displayed a lower susceptibility to infection [43].

Evidence of the ability of sylvatic DENV to emerge from an enzootic cycle to infect humans, as well as their presence in urban vector populations in this study, raises concerns over the potential of these viruses to cause significant outbreaks in the future. There have been recent reports of human infections with highly divergent strains of DENV-1 [44] and DENV-2 [45,46] in travellers returning from Borneo to Australia. The ability of these suspected sylvatic strains to spill over and cause human disease raises significant public health concerns especially with increased travel and exposure of susceptible populations. The growing population of *Ae. albopictus* and its opportunistic feeding behaviour would make it the most likely vector for transmission of DENV to both primate and non-primate hosts which may act as virus reservoirs in urban settings [47–49]. However, thus far there is no experimental evidence of this route. The current study was also based on relatively short sequence lengths of less than 500nt and confined to two localities within the Klang Valley. Hence more extensive molecular epidemiology studies will be required to ascertain virus lineage and whether detected virus strains are also present in other parts of the country. It is unclear if the sylvatic DENV-4 lineage detected in *Ae. aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus* larva in this study will be present in adult mosquitoes for onwards transmission and subsequently cause similar disease in humans and warrants further work into the vector competence of vectors infected with this virus. Nevertheless, the possibility of such infections will need to be taken into account in future prevention and control programs in the country.

**Supporting information**

S1 Table. List of primers used for dengue virus nested PCR.

(DOC)

S2 Table. List of positive controls for DENV serotypes 1 to 4.

(DOC)

S3 Table. List of sequences of DENV serotypes 1 to 4 detected in *Aedes* mosquitoes from Selangor and Kuala Lumpur during 2016–2017.

(DOC)

**Acknowledgments**

The authors thank the community at residential areas in Selangor and Kuala Lumpur for their support and assistance with field collections for the study.
Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Nur Alia Johari, Ivan Kok Seng Yap, Patricia Kim Chooi Lim.

Formal analysis: Nur Alia Johari, Kenny Voon.

Funding acquisition: Nur Alia Johari, Lokman Hakim Sulaiman, Ivan Kok Seng Yap, Patricia Kim Chooi Lim.

Investigation: Nur Alia Johari, Kenny Voon, Shen Yung Toh.

Methodology: Nur Alia Johari, Kenny Voon, Ivan Kok Seng Yap, Patricia Kim Chooi Lim.

Resources: Nur Alia Johari, Kenny Voon, Ivan Kok Seng Yap, Patricia Kim Chooi Lim.

Supervision: Patricia Kim Chooi Lim.

Writing – original draft: Nur Alia Johari.

Writing – review & editing: Nur Alia Johari, Kenny Voon, Shen Yung Toh, Lokman Hakim Sulaiman, Ivan Kok Seng Yap, Patricia Kim Chooi Lim.

References

1. Guzman MG, Harris E (2015) Dengue. Lancet 385: 453–465. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(14)60572-9 PMID: 25230594

2. Bhatt S, Gething PW, Brady OJ, Messina JP, Farlow AW, et al. (2013) The global distribution and burden of dengue. Nature 496: 504–507. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12060 PMID: 23963266

3. WHO (2012) Global strategy for dengue prevention and control 2012–2020. Geneva: World Health Organization.

4. Mudin RN (2015) Dengue Incidence and the Prevention and Control Program in Malaysia. The International Medical Journal of Malaysia 14: 05–10.

5. Burt FJ, Rolph MS, Rulli NE, Mahalingam S, Heise MT (2012) Chikungunya: a re-emerging virus. Lancet 379: 662–671. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(11)60281-X PMID: 22100854

6. Thangamani S, Huang J, Hart CE, Guzman H, Tesh RB (2016) Vertical Transmission of Zika Virus in Aedes aegypti Mosquitoes. Am J Trop Med Hyg 95: 1169–1173. https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.16-0448 PMID: 27573623

7. WHO (2009) Dengue: guidelines for diagnosis, treatment, prevention and control. New Edition. Geneva: World Health Organization.

8. Vasilakis N, Cardosa J, Hanley KA, Holmes EC, Weaver SC (2011) Fever from the forest: prospects for the continued emergence of sylvatic dengue virus and its impact on public health. Nat Rev Microbiol 9: 532–541. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro2595 PMID: 21666708

9. Rudnick A, Lim T (1986) Dengue virus ecology in Malaysia. Inst Med Res Malays Bull 23: 51–152.

10. Rudnick A (1965) Studies of the Ecology of Dengue in Malaysia: A Preliminary Report. J Med Entomol 2: 203–208. https://doi.org/10.1093/jmedent/2.2.203 PMID: 5827757

11. Rudnick A. The ecology of the dengue virus complex in peninsular Malaysia.; 1984. University of Malaysia Press, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. pp. 7.

12. Rico-Hesse R (1990) Molecular evolution and distribution of dengue viruses type 1 and 2 in nature. Virology 174: 479–493. https://doi.org/10.1016/0042-6822(90)90102-w PMID: 2129562

13. Weaver SC, Vasilakis N (2009) Molecular evolution of dengue viruses: Contributions of phylogenetics to understanding the history and epidemiology of the preeminent arboviral disease. Infect Genet Evol 9: 523–540. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2009.02.003 PMID: 19460319

14. Cardosa J, Ooi MH, Tio PH, Perera D, Holmes EC, et al. (2009) Dengue virus serotype 2 from a sylvatic lineage isolated from a patient with dengue hemorrhagic fever. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 3: e423. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0000423 PMID: 19391666

15. Teoh B-T, Sam S-S, Abd-Jamil J, AbuBakar S (2010) Isolation of ancestral sylvatic dengue virus type 1, Malaysia. Emerg Infect Dis 16: 1783–1785. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1611.100721 PMID: 21029545

16. Vasilakis N, Cardosa J, Diallo M, Sall AA, Holmes EC, et al. (2010) Sylvatic dengue viruses share the pathogenic potential of urban/endoemic dengue viruses. J Virol 84: 3726–3728. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.02640-09 PMID: 20212326
17. Franco L, Palacios G, Martinez JA, Vázquez A, Savji N, et al. (2011) First Report of Sylvatic DENV-2-Associated Dengue Hemorrhagic Fever in West Africa. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 5: e1251. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0001251 PMID: 21829739

18. Durbin AP, Mayer SV, Rossi SL, Amaya-Larios IY, Ramos-Castaneda J, et al. (2013) Emergence potential of sylvatic dengue virus type 4 in the urban transmission cycle is restrained by vaccination and homotypic immunity. Virology 439: 34–41. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2013.01.018 PMID: 23485373

19. Vasilakis N, Fokam EB, Hanson CT, Weinberg E, Sall AA, et al. (2008) Genetic and phenotypic characterization of sylvatic dengue virus type 2 strains. Virology 377: 296–307. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2008.04.044 PMID: 18570968

20. Vasilakis N, Shell EJ, Fokam EB, Mason PW, Hanley KA, et al. (2007) Potential of ancestral sylvatic dengue-2 viruses to re-emerge. Virology 358: 58–67. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2006.08.049 PMID: 17014880

21. Rossi SL, Nasar F, Cardosa J, Mayer SV, Tesh RB, et al. (2012) Genetic and phenotypic characterization of sylvatic dengue virus type 4 strains. Virology 423: 58–67. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2011.11.018 PMID: 22178263

22. Vasilakis N, Shell EJ, Fokam EB, Mason PW, Hanley KA, et al. (2007) Potential of ancestral sylvatic dengue-2 viruses to re-emerge. Virology 358: 58–67. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2006.08.049 PMID: 17014880

23. CDC (2016) Surveillance and Control of Aedes aegypti and Aedes albopictus in the United States [Internet]. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

24. Johari NA, Toh SY, Voon K, Lim PKC (2019) Detection of Zika Virus RNA in Aedes aegypti and Aedes albopictus larvae in Klang Valley, Peninsular Malaysia. Trop Biomed 36: 310–314.

25. Yong YK, Chong HT, Tan CT, Devi S (2006) Rapid detection, serotyping and quantification of dengue viruses by TaqMan real-time one-step RT-PCR. J Virol Methods 138: 123–130. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jviromet.2006.08.003 PMID: 17000012

26. Lanciotti RS, Calisher CH, Gubler DJ, Chang GJ, Vorndam AV (1992) Rapid detection and typing of dengue viruses from clinical samples by using reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction. J Clin Microbiol 30: 545–551. PMID: 1372617

27. Teo C, Lim P, Voon K, Mak J (2017) Detection of dengue viruses and Wolbachia in Aedes aegypti and Aedes albopictus larvae from four urban localities in Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. Trop Biomed 34: 583–597.

28. Kumar S, Stecher G, Li M, Knyaz C, Tamura K (2018) MEGA X: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis across Computing Platforms. Mol Biol Evol 35: 1547–1549. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msy096 PMID: 29722887

29. Tamura K (1992) Estimation of the number of nucleotide substitutions when there are strong transition-transversion and G+C-content biases. Mol Biol Evol 9: 678–687. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a040752 PMID: 1630306

30. Rudnick A (1978) Ecology of dengue virus. Asian J Infect Dis 2: 156–160.

31. Wang B, Li Y, Feng Y, Zhou H, Liang Y, et al. (2015) Phylogenetic analysis of dengue virus reveals the high relatedness between imported and local strains during the 2013 dengue outbreak in Yunnan, China: a retrospective analysis. BMC Infect Dis 15: 142. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-015-0908-x PMID: 25885572

32. Aquino VH, Amarilla AA, Alfonso HL, Batista WC, Figueiredo LTM (2009) New Genotype of Dengue Type 3 Virus Circulating in Brazil and Colombia Showed a Close Relationship to Old Asian Viruses. PLoS One 4: e7299. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0007299 PMID: 19823677

33. Wittke V, Robb T, Thu H, Nisalak A, Nimmanitya S, et al. (2002) Extinction and rapid emergence of strains of dengue 3 virus during an interepidemic period. Virology 301: 148–156. https://doi.org/10.1006/viro.2002.1549 PMID: 12359455

34. Pessanha JEM, Caiaffa WT, Cecilio AB, Iani FCCdM, Araujo SC, et al. (2011) Co-circulation of two dengue virus serotypes in individual and pooled samples of Aedes aegypti and Aedes albopictus larvae. Revista Da Sociedade Brasileira De Medicina Tropical 44: 103–105. https://doi.org/10.1590/s0037-86822011000100023 PMID: 21340419

35. Thavara U, Siriyasatien P, Tawatsin A, Asavadachanukorn P, Anantapreeca S, et al. (2002) Extinction and rapid emergence of strains of dengue 3 virus during an interepidemic period. Virology 301: 148–156. https://doi.org/10.1006/viro.2002.1549 PMID: 12359455

36. Suppiah J, Ching S-M, Amin-Nordin S, Mat-Nor L-A, Ahmad-Najimuddin N-A, et al. (2018) Clinical manifestations of dengue in relation to dengue serotype and genotype in Malaysia: A retrospective
observational study. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 12: e0006817. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0006817 PMID: 3022680

37. Tan KK, Zulkifle NI, Sulaiman S, Pang SP, NorAmdan N, et al. (2018) Emergence of the Asian lineage dengue virus type 3 genotype III in Malaysia. BMC Evol Biol 18: 58. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12862-018-1175-4 PMID: 29699483

38. Lanciotti RS, Lewis JG, Gubler DJ, Trent DW (1994) Molecular evolution and epidemiology of dengue-3 viruses. J Gen Virol 75: 65–75. https://doi.org/10.1099/0022-1317-75-1-65 PMID: 8113741

39. Usme-Ciro JA, Mendez JA, Tenorio A, Rey GJ, Domingo C, et al. (2008) Simultaneous circulation of genotypes I and III of dengue 3 in Colombia. Virol J 5: 101. https://doi.org/10.1186/1743-422X-5-101 PMID: 18764951

40. Sittivicharipayo T, Wonnapanij P, Surat W (2018) Phylogenetic analyses of DENV-3 isolated from field-caught mosquitoes in Thailand. Virus Res 244: 27–35. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virusres.2017.10.021 PMID: 29126872

41. AbuBakar S, Shafee N (2002) Outlook of dengue in Malaysia: a century later. Malays J Pathol 24: 23–27. PMID: 16329552

42. Hanley KA, Monath TP, Weaver SC, Rossi SL, Richman RL, et al. (2013) Fever versus fever: the role of host and vector susceptibility and interspecific competition in shaping the current and future distributions of the sylvatic cycles of dengue virus and yellow fever virus. Infect Genet Evol 19: 292–311. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2013.03.008 PMID: 23523817

43. Diallo M, Sall AA, Moncayo AC, Ba Y, Fernandez Z, et al. (2005) Potential role of sylvatic and domestic African mosquito species in dengue emergence. The American journal of tropical medicine and hygiene 73: 445–449. PMID: 16103619

44. Pyke AT, Moore PR, Taylor CT, Hall-Mendelin S, Cameron JN, et al. (2016) Highly divergent dengue virus type 1 genotype sets a new distance record. Sci Rep 6: 22356. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep22356 PMID: 26924208

45. Pyke AT, Huang B, Warrilow D, Moore PR, McMahon J, et al. (2017) Complete Genome Sequence of a Highly Divergent Dengue Virus Type 2 Strain, Imported into Australia from Sabah, Malaysia. Genome Announc 5: e00546–00517. https://doi.org/10.1128/genomeA.00546-17 PMID: 28729258

46. Liu W, Pickering P, Duchêne S, Holmes EC, Aaskov JG (2016) Highly Divergent Dengue Virus Type 2 in Traveler Returning from Borneo to Australia. Emerging Infectious Diseases 22: 2146–2148. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2212.160813 PMID: 27869598

47. Thongyuan S, Kittayapong P (2017) First evidence of dengue infection in domestic dogs living in different ecological settings in Thailand. PLoS One 12: e0180013. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0180013 PMID: 28854207

48. De Thoisy B, Dussart P, Kazanjii M (2004) Wild terrestrial rainforest mammals as potential reservoirs for flaviviruses (yellow fever, dengue 2 and St Louis encephalitis viruses) in French Guiana. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 98: 409–412. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trstmh.2003.12.003 PMID: 15138077

49. Aguilar-Setién A, Romero-Almaraz ML, Sánchez-Hernández C, Fiqueroa R, Juárez-Palma LP, et al. (2008) Dengue virus in Mexican bats. Epidemiol infect 136: 1678–1683. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0950268808000460 PMID: 18325131