Rate dependence of electrical and mechanical properties of conductive polymer nanocomposites
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Abstract. Conductive polymer nanocomposites with enhanced electrical and thermal properties show promise as an alternative solution for electronic materials. For example, electronic interconnect materials will have comparable electrical and thermal conductivity to solder with an increased operating range of strain and temperature. This paper documents the fabrication and experimental evaluation of a prototype conductive polymer nanocomposite. Material selection, fabrication processes, and initial characterization of a low Tg polymer with a high fill ratio of carbon nanotubes is presented. The electrical and thermal properties of the composite are measured and compared with predictions. The mechanical properties are measured using dynamic mechanical analysis (DMA) over a wide temperature range. The mechanical and electrical responses of the conductive polymer composite are simultaneously measured at higher strain rates using a modified split Hopkinson pressure bar (SHPB) apparatus. The electrical response is observed using constant current excitation with high bandwidth (>500 kHz) instrumentation. The dynamic compression data implies the change in electrical resistance is solely a function of the material deformation, i.e., the material exhibits constant electrical conductivity and is insensitive to the applied loads. DMA and SHPB dynamic data are used to estimate the parameters in a Mulliken-Boyce constitutive model, and the resulting behavior is critically evaluated. Finally, progress towards improving the polymer composite’s mechanical, electrical, and thermal properties are discussed.

1. Introduction

The global electronics industry is dominated by components designed for commercial electronics [1]. Accordingly, the design architecture, fabrication process, materials, component design, and testing [2] all reflect the mass-production, commercial-off-the-shelf (COTS) nature of consumer electronics. However, these same processes, materials, etc., are often used in electronics subjected to much harsher operating environments. The corresponding failure modes are diverse and depend on the geometry, materials, and physics of operation of the devices [3]. For example, Fig. 1 shows an SEM image of the cross-section of a surface mount resistor subjected to severe mechanical shock environments [4,5]. The mechanical loading initiated a crack that has propagated along the interface between the fiber-reinforced polymer printed circuit board and the copper trace material. The particular damage mechanism, known as pad lift-off [6] or pad cratering, occurs at the interconnect between the assembly and the component. Other electronics failure modes at device interconnects include solder joint fracture, component termination failures, or component stress cracking.

Developing alternatives for the packaging and assembly of traditional electronics requires understanding of the multifunctional response of candidate materials. This paper documents the fabrication and initial characterization of the combined thermal, electrical, and rate-dependent mechanical response of a new electrically-conductive polymer composite.

2. Material development

A conductive polymer nanocomposite (CPnC) was proposed as an alternative material for electronic...
interconnects. This section reviews the design objectives, composition and fabrication process of the initial composite, and characterization of the material.

2.1. Material performance objectives

The primary performance objective for the CPnC is to reproduce the thermal and electrical properties of solder while exhibiting strain-tolerance over a wide range of operating conditions. A eutectic lead-based solder alloy, Sn-37Pb (63% Sn, 37% Pb), and a lead-free solder alloy, SAC305 (96.5% Sn, 3% Ag, 0.5% Cu), were chosen as references [7]. The strain tolerance was given indicated by the strain to failure (i.e., ultimate strain). Viscosity, particularly shear-thinning behavior, was sought to aid in mixing, processing, and ultimately enable manufacturing concepts. Finally, the electrical properties should be sensitive to strain (i.e., not change resistivity as a function of deformation). Table 1 below lists the design objectives for the study.

2.2. Fabrication process

A low T<sub>g</sub> polymer matrix was chosen instead such that the material would remain compliant during dynamic events and extend the ultimate strain. The polymer, Epon 828 with Jeffamine D2000 hardener, has relatively poor electrical and thermal performance (i.e., conductivity) in the cured state. In order to improve the electrical and thermal conductivity in a manner similar to commercially available conductive epoxies (e.g., the Ag-epoxy examined [8]), conductive nanoparticles were considered as the filler. Candidate fillers included Ag nanoparticles and multiwalled carbon nanotubes (MWCNT). Initial compositions investigated included two heavily filled CPnC’s. The first consisted of 20% (by weight) Ag nanoparticles, 10% MWCNT and the Epon 828/D2000 matrix. The second was 20% MWCNT with the Epon 828/D2000 matrix. The processing procedure involves three mixing cycles of 2000 rpm for 3 minutes each in a Thinky planetary centrifugal mixer. After each mix cycle, the composite material is degassed under vacuum (20 kPa) while continuing mixing for 2 minutes. After three mixing cycles, the mixture is cast in either silicone or Teflon molds, placed in vacuum bags, and subsequently cured in an autoclave. The curing temperature profile involves (1) a ramp from room temperature to 120°C at a rate of 1°C/min., (2) hold at 120°C for 3 hours, then (3) a cool down to room temperature; pressure is maintained at 690 kPa and a vacuum of ~100 kPa (gauge pressure) is applied to the parts during curing. The vacuum and pressure are released after the parts cooled and the samples then separated from the molds.

2.3. Characterization

After fabrication of the samples, basic thermal, electrical, and mechanical characterization of the candidate CPnC’s was performed. Electrical resistivity was estimated using the van der Pauw technique. The 20% MWCNT/Epon 828/D-2000 prototype material was measured to have an electrical resistivity of 0.5 μΩ·m, reaching the design goal. The 20% Ag/10% MWCNT/Epon 828/D2000 was observed to have a much higher resistivity. The thermal conductivity was measured using laser flash analysis. The 20% MWCNT/Epon 828/D2000 and the 20% Ag/10% MWCNT/Epon 828/D2000 were measured at 25°C, 50°C, and 100°C. The results are shown in Fig. 2 below. While both conductivities were significantly below the design goal, the 20% MWCNT material showed higher average thermal conductivity (0.37 W/m·K) than the 20% Ag/10% MWCNT composite (0.25 W/m·K).

One of the key unanswered questions revolves around the microstructure, specifically whether the nanoparticles were uniformly distributed throughout the matrix and the nature the interfaces between nanoparticles. SEM and TEM analyses were performed; images from the SEM analysis on the 20% Ag/10% MWCNT/Epon 828/D2000 and the 20% MWCNT/Epon 828/D2000 are shown in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4, respectively. The 20% mass loading of the silver nanoparticle flakes was initially expected to contribute strongly to the enhanced transport properties within the material. However, Fig. 3 illustrates that the very dense Ag is sparsely distributed and does not enhance the overall network due to the isolated location of each particle, explaining the lower thermal conductivity and higher electrical resistivity. Commercial conductive epoxies achieve enhanced transport through much higher mass loadings, typically 65% or higher [9]. Additionally, there were few observable interfaces between the two types

| Design Metric | Sym. | Sn-37Pb | SAC-305 | CPnC Goal | Units |
|---------------|------|---------|---------|------------|-------|
| Electrical resistivity | ρ   | 0.146  | 0.132   | ≤ 0.5  | μΩ·m |
| Thermal conductivity | κ   | 50.9   | 58      | ≥ 58   | W/m·K |
| Tensile Elongation | ΔL/L<sub>0</sub> | ~50    | 35      | >100%  | %    |
| Glass Trans. Temp. | T<sub>g</sub> | N/A*  | N/A*    | <273   | K     |
| Viscosity | η   | N/A*   | N/A*    | <1e6   | poise |

*Note: glass transition temperature and viscosity are not meaningful for solder alloys except during the reflow process and are therefore not applicable.

Table 1. Design objectives for the conductive polymer nanocomposite in comparison to Sn-37Pb and SAC305 solders.

Figure 2. Thermal conductivity at three different temperatures.
Figure 3. SEM image of the 20% Ag/10% MWCNT CPnC showing carbon nanotubes and the flakes of silver nanoparticles (matrix has been removed).

Figure 4. SEM image of the 20% MWCNT CPnC showing multiwalled carbon nanotubes with significant agglomeration (matrix material has been removed).

Figure 5. Viscosity and temperature vs. time for the 20% MWCNT CPnC.

Figure 6. Shear-thinning behavior of the 20% MWCNT CPnC.

2.4. Dynamic mechanical analysis

Dynamic mechanical analysis (DMA) [10] was then performed on both candidate CPnC’s. DMA samples of 17.9 mm long, 11 mm wide, and 3.1 mm thick were cast for each of the candidate materials and the matrix material. The samples were tested in a single cantilever configuration in a TA Instruments Q800 [11,12]. The excitation frequency was set at 1 Hz and the samples were ramped through the temperature range of $-120^\circ \text{C}$ to $+120^\circ \text{C}$ at a rate of $3^\circ \text{C/minute}$. The displacement was held constant at $20 \, \mu\text{m}$ for this analysis.

Typical DMA data for the CPnC’s is shown in Fig. 7 at the measured frequency of 1 Hz. The low $T_g$ is readily apparent, and the room temperature (298 K) modulus of both candidates are approximately 70 MPa. The frequency can be converted to an equivalent strain rate ($\dot{\varepsilon}$) using the equivalence relationship [13],

$$\dot{\varepsilon} = 4\omega d_0/I_g, \quad (1)$$

where $\omega$ is the angular frequency (in rad/s), $d_0$ is the amplitude of the displacement, and $I_g$ is the specimen gage...
length. For this sample geometry, the equivalent strain rate is \( \dot{\varepsilon} \approx 0.03 \text{s}^{-1} \).

3. Dynamic compression experiments

3.1. Apparatus

A split Hopkinson pressure bar (SHPB) apparatus [14] was modified to allow simultaneous measurement of the electrical resistance of the sample by using the incident and transmission bars as electrodes. A schematic is shown in Fig. 8. 6 foot (1.81 m) long aluminum alloy (6061) bars with 1 in. (25.4 mm) diameter were used for both the incident and transmission bar.

The technique to simultaneously measure the electrical and mechanical response of the material is most similar to previous work by Heeder et al. [15]. The primary distinction is the much higher mass loading of the 20% MWCNT CPnC investigated in this work. Other recent studies have attempted similar measurements but on much higher equivalent resistance composites [16,17].

A Precision Filters 28144 constant current signal conditioner [18] is used to provide the excitation current. Electrical connection to the incident and transmission bars is made using hose clamps compressing a copper mesh (to which the wires are soldered) as shown in Figure. Due to the low resistivity of the nanocomposite (the sample resistance is typically less than 1 \( \Omega \)), a series resistor is necessary to raise the total resistance to the expected range of a strain gage (in this case, approximately 120 \( \Omega \)). Uncured conductive epoxy is used in lieu of conductive grease as a multifunctional surface finish: it reduces friction at the sample-bar interfaces and also reduces the contact resistance.

Dynamic strain is measured on the incident and transmission bars using Kyowa semiconductor strain gages [19]; the same Precision Filters system used to measure the sample resistance provides the strain gage conditioning. Both sensor and sample resistance signals are digitized by a National Instruments data acquisition system with PXI-6133 cards [20].

3.2. Sample material, preparation, and geometry

The 20% MWCNT nanocomposite samples were prepared as noted in Sect. 2.2 and cast into 0.625 in. (16 mm) diameter rods. SHPB sample disks with variable lengths between 0.125 in. (3 mm) and 0.188 in. (4.8 mm) were cut from these rod.

3.3. Results and discussion

As expected with a low \( T_g \) matrix, the 20% MWCNT CPnC exhibited a compliant, rubbery response as shown in the stress-strain curve shown in Fig. 10 with a measured strain rate of 522 \text{s}^{-1}. The material exhibited excellent recovery, exhibiting no measurable change in thickness after dynamic compression and no discernible damage.

The electrical response of the material in various tests is shown as a function of time is shown in Fig. 11 and Fig. 12. The bulk resistivity of the sample was initially hypothesized to follow the compressive stress (or pressure) due to the MWCNT networks acquiring more contact points, decreasing the overall composite material’s resistance. However, as Fig. 11 shows, there was no direct correlation to support this hypothesis. The opposite scenario, where the bulk resistivity (\( \rho_0 \)) is constant, would yield a scenario where the change in resistance (\( \Delta R \)) follows from the geometric distortion of the sample,

\[
\Delta R = \rho_0 \Delta \left( \frac{L}{A} \right),
\]

where \( L \) and \( A \) are the instantaneous length and area of the conductive path in the sample. Assuming full participation and one-dimensional compression, this result would be proportional to the net compression of the sample. This
proportionality is evident in Fig. 12, supporting this conclusion.

3.4. Constitutive analysis

The Ogden model [21] was initially considered due to the rubbery nature of the nanocomposite, however the calculated compression of the CPnC with minimal radial distortion suggested this was inappropriate.

The Mulliken-Boyce (M-B) constitutive model was then chosen for analyzing the data based on previous work [22,23]. The M-B model [24,25] is a two phase (α and β) viscoelastic-viscoplastic model for glassy polymers that incorporates both polymer network stress and the chain stresses. The model has been shown to accurately reproduce rate-dependent behavior of polymers. DMA data [26] is used to estimate the activation energies, mean temperatures, and shift factors associated with different phases of a polymeric material associated in the M-B model. However, the CPnC’s considered are well above the glass transition temperature. Nonetheless, the model was implemented with the assumption that the nanotube networks can be modeled by the chain stresses analogous to the polymer (quantified as a Langevin spring element in the viscoelastic model).

A genetic algorithm was implemented in Matlab [27] to estimate the M-B model parameters. The DMA data was used for the modulus as a function of temperature with the β phase assumed to be negligible. A resulting fit is shown in Fig. 13. The rubbery modulus was estimated to be 20 MPa with a stretch factor (N) of 2.9, indicating significant participation in the network stress term. The estimates for the phase activation energies (∼10⁻¹⁹ J) and attempt frequencies (∼10¹⁹ s⁻¹) are not expected to be accurate due to the system being above the glass transition temperature. Overall, the parameter estimates were limited by the lack of shift factors associated with the DMA (i.e., no frequency-dependent information), variability between dynamic stress-strain data sets, and lack of dynamic data at multiple strain rates. A more appropriate constitutive model should also be sought, given the inappropriate use of the M-B model in a solely rubbery phase.
4. Future work
The development of the CPnC is at a very immature stage. While the initial results are promising, the thermal conductivity and electrical resistivity need to be significantly improved. CNT interface functionalization (metallic approach) is being pursued as a possible means to further improve the properties of the next iteration of the nanocomposite. More thorough examination of the rate-dependent effects, including a wider range of frequencies of DMA data and adding both quasi-static and dynamic tensile and compressive testing at different strain rates, are also underway. Improvements to the modified SHPB are also underway, such as using bars with lower impedance (e.g., hollow Al bars) and utilizing longer duration loading pulses. Detailed examination of the coupling between the mechanical and electrical response of the material is also in progress. Lastly, analysis of the stress-strain data using different constitutive models is planned.

5. Conclusion
The development of a conductive polymer nanocomposite with enhanced electrical and thermal properties was pursued as an alternative material for electronic interconnects. The material synthesis method and electrical/thermal characterization of two prototype CPnC’s compositions—20% Ag/10% MWCNT and 20% MWCNT in Epon 828/D2000 matrix—was presented. Mechanical properties of the resulting rubbery material was measured over a wide temperature range using dynamic mechanical analysis (DMA). The mechanical and electrical responses of the conductive polymer composite were simultaneously measured at higher strain rates using a modified split Hopkinson pressure bar (SHPB) apparatus. The change in electrical resistance of the sample during dynamic deformation is shown to be largely a function of the material deformation. If the bulk resistivity is assumed to be constant, the resulting change in resistance implies the material is largely insensitive to the applied loads. The stress-strain curves were estimated using a Mulliken-Boyce model with marginal results. Finally, ongoing research towards improving the polymer composite’s mechanical, electrical, and thermal properties was discussed.
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