Single-parent expression complementation contributes to phenotypic heterosis in maize hybrids
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Abstract

The dominance model of heterosis explains the superior performance of F1-hybrids via the complementation of deleterious alleles by beneficial alleles in many genes. Genes active in one parent but inactive in the second lead to single-parent expression (SPE) complementation in maize (Zea mays L.) hybrids. In this study, SPE complementation resulted in approximately 700 additionally active genes in different tissues of genetically diverse maize hybrids on average. We established that the number of SPE genes is significantly associated with mid-parent heterosis (MPH) for all surveyed phenotypic traits. In addition, we highlighted that maternally (SPE_B) and paternally (SPE_X) active SPE genes enriched in gene co-expression modules are highly correlated within each SPE type but separated between these two SPE types. While SPE_B-enriched co-expression modules are positively correlated with phenotypic traits, SPE_X-enriched modules displayed a negative correlation. Gene ontology term enrichment analyses indicated that SPE_B patterns are associated with growth and development, whereas SPE_X patterns are enriched in defense and stress response. In summary, these results link the degree of phenotypic MPH to the prevalence of gene expression complementation observed by SPE, supporting the notion that hybrids benefit from SPE complementation via its role in coordinating maize development in fluctuating environments.

Introduction

Maize (Zea mays L.) is naturally an outcrossing species, which resulted in an exceptional degree of intraspecific structural genomic diversity during domestication (Springer et al., 2009; Swanson-Wagner et al., 2010; Jiao et al., 2017). The maize genome contains two evolutionarily distinct subgroups of genes classified by the presence or absence of syntenic orthologs in the closely related sorghum (Sorghum
determinations was denoted as single-parent expression (SPE; Paschold et al., 2012). SPE describes an extreme instance of gene expression complementation in maize hybrids and is consistent with the classical dominance model of heterosis. The dominance model, also known as the complementation model, describes the concept that deleterious alleles of different genes in two parental inbred lines—a phenomenon known as heterosis (Shull, 1948). Genetic diversity between the parental inbred lines is essential to fully exploit the effect of hybrid vigor. The effect of heterosis is most evident for traits at the adult stage of plant development, like crop yield, fertility, and biomass (Flint-Garcia et al., 2009). Total grain yield in maize is mainly determined by the highly heterotic traits ear length, ear diameter, number of kernels per ear, and thousand-kernel weight (Austin and Lee, 1998; Wang et al., 2007; Flint-Garcia et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2021).

Variation in transcriptional regulation is a major principle of phenotypic diversity and a potential source of heterosis (Botet and Keurentjes, 2020). In maize primary roots, hundreds of genes are expressed in hybrids but in only one of its two parental inbred lines (Paschold et al., 2012, 2014; Marcon et al., 2017; Baldauf et al., 2018). This specific type of gene expression complementation was denoted as single-parent expression (SPE; Paschold et al., 2012). SPE describes an extreme instance of gene expression complementation in maize hybrids and is consistent with the classical dominance model of heterosis. The dominance model, also known as the complementation model, describes the concept that deleterious alleles of different genes in both inbred lines are complemented in the F₁-hybrid by beneficial alleles, which results in its superior phenotypic performance (Jones, 1917). Recently, SPE complementation was demonstrated in genetically diverse maize genotypes along different stages of primary root development grown under controlled environmental conditions (Baldauf et al., 2018) and in aboveground tissues (Li et al., 2021). It was shown that non-syntenic genes were the driving force of gene expression complementation in hybrids (Paschold et al., 2014; Marcon et al., 2017; Baldauf et al., 2018; Li et al., 2021).

The objective of this study was to examine the role of SPE complementation in the phenotypic manifestation of heterosis in a genetically diverse panel of genotypes to survey how gene co-expression networks are connected with SPE and phenotypic traits.

Results

Data quality and gene activity status
In this study, we examined the transcriptomic plasticity of six maize F₁-hybrids and their parental inbred lines in three aboveground organs. We selected six paternal inbred lines (A554, H84, H99, Mo17, Oh43, and W64A) from different clades of the phylogenetic tree of maize (Lorenz and Hoegemeyer, 2013; see Figure 1a in Baldauf et al., 2018) and used them as male pollen donors in crosses with the inbred line B73 as a common female. In this study, the closely related inbred lines B73 and H84 belong to the stiff stalk germplasm pool, while the five other genotypes were selected from the non-stiff stalk germplasm pool. The inbred line B73 was selected as a common female parent because this vigorous genotype is the female parent of choice in many hybrid breeding programs and the reference-quality genome sequence of this genotype is available (Jiao et al., 2017). The diverse maize genotypes were grown according to a randomized block design in a field experiment (Supplemental Figure S1A). To capture the transcriptomic dynamics in vegetative and generative organs, the youngest fully developed leaf, that is, the flag leaf, the meiotic tassel, and the immature ear before pollination (Figure 1A), were harvested from each genotype. For each genotype-by-organ combination four biological replicates were subjected to RNA-sequencing (RNA-seq). However, for the common maternal inbred line B73, we surveyed 12 biological replicates per organ to optimize the precision of direct comparisons between B73 and the remaining genotypes by decreasing the standard error.

To check the quality and the sample relations in the dataset, we performed a principal component analysis (PCA) of all active genes across all genotypes and organs (Figure 1B; Supplemental Figure S2). Furthermore, we included a previously published primary root dataset of the same genotype panel (Baldauf et al., 2018) to display transcriptomic relationships from early vegetative belowground to aboveground vegetative and generative organs. The biological replicates of the different genotypes form distinct organ-specific clusters, indicating that the transcriptomes of the different genotypes have a higher transcriptomic similarity than the different organs of the same genotype (Supplemental Figure S2). The first three principal components (PCs) explain in total 60% of the variance in both datasets. The first PC separates the belowground primary root from the ear, tassel, and leaf transcriptome, while PC 2 and PC 3 separate the two aboveground generative organs (i.e. ear and tassel) from the two vegetative organs (i.e. root and leaf) (Supplemental Figure S2). Between both datasets, only 5% of the variance (PC 4) can be attributed to the transcriptomic distinctiveness between the different genotypes (Figure 1B). For each organ, the samples of the maternal inbred line B73 form a distinct cluster and are separated from the distantly related paternal inbred lines (Figure 1B). The sample clusters of the hybrids are located between the clusters of both their parental inbred lines. Hence, this reflects the genotypic relatedness among the diverse panel of genotypes and highlights the high quality of the data.

Aboveground tissues display SPE complementation patterns enriched in non-syntenic genes
Genes that are active in one parental inbred line and the hybrid, but inactive in the second parental inbred line, display...
SPE. Such SPE patterns are an extreme instance of gene expression complementation. We distinguish between two SPE patterns: SPE_B genes are active in the common maternal inbred line B73 but inactive in the corresponding paternal inbred line. Vice versa, SPE_X genes are active in the hybrid and the paternal inbred line X (X = A554, H84, H99, Mo17, Oh43, or W64A) but not in the maternal inbred line B73. For each aboveground organ, we determined the number of genes displaying SPE_B and SPE_X patterns for all six parent–hybrid triplets (Figure 2A). Among all genotype combinations, we observed between 1,196 and 2,026 SPE patterns. On average, fewer genes displayed SPE_B expression patterns across all genotype-by-organ combinations in comparison to the number of genes displaying SPE_X patterns. In the three different aboveground organs, on average, we identified the highest number of SPE genes in the tassel, followed by the ear and by the flag leaf (Figure 2A).

The genome of modern maize contains two subsets of genes: evolutionarily older syntenic genes and evolutionarily younger non-syntenic genes. In total, 56% of the annotated maize genes (B73v4) are syntenic genes, while 44% are non-syntenic. In all genotype-by-organ combinations, we observed between 1,196 and 2,026 SPE patterns. On average, fewer genes displayed SPE_B expression patterns across all genotype-by-organ combinations in comparison to the number of genes displaying SPE_X patterns. In the three different aboveground organs, on average, we identified the highest number of SPE genes in the tassel, followed by the ear and by the flag leaf (Figure 2A).

The number of SPE genes is significantly correlated with MPH
During the harvest of the plant material for the RNA-seq experiment, we recorded several phenotypic traits including the length of the unpollinated immature ear, meiotic terminate tassel length, flag leaf length, plant height, and the number of leaves and stem nodes. At maturity of the remaining plants in each plot, we harvested the open-pollinated mature ears and determined their length. For each trait, the level of mid-parent heterosis (MPH) was estimated in each parent–hybrid triplet (Supplemental Figure S4; Supplemental Table S1). On average, the size of the
mature ear (39%) and plant height (34%) displayed the highest levels of MPH across all hybrids. In contrast, the number of leaves (7%) and flag leaf size (17%) displayed lower levels of MPH. Irrespective of the trait, the hybrid B73xH84 displayed on average the lowest level of MPH (15%) reflecting the close relatedness of its parental inbred lines (Lorenz and Hoegemeyer, 2013; Supplemental Figure S4).

A regression analysis of the level of MPH for the different phenotypic traits on the number of genes displaying either the SPE_B or SPE_X pattern in the aboveground part of the plant revealed in all instances a significant positive association (Figure 4; Supplemental Table S2). Plant height and ear size displayed the highest levels of MPH (Supplemental Figure S4).

In addition, we performed a regression analysis of previously identified SPE genes in primary maize roots in the same genotype panel (Baldauf et al., 2018) to the phenotypic aboveground organs (Figure 4B; Supplemental Table S2).
S2) to test if SPE genes determined early in seedling development can be used to predict MPH at the adult stage of the plant. Similar to the results of the regression of the SPE genes determined in aboveground organs at the adult stage, the SPE genes determined in primary roots were significantly associated with the level of MPH for all examined traits (Supplemental Table S2).

**SPE**<sub>B</sub> and **SPE**<sub>X</sub> patterns enriched in gene co-expression modules are highly correlated within each SPE pattern but clearly separated between these SPE patterns

For each of the three aboveground organs, we conducted a weighted gene co-expression network analysis (WGCNA) to identify clusters of highly connected genes, which are related to expression patterns and phenotypic traits determined in this study. Using dynamic tree cut, we grouped the hierarchically clustered expression profiles into individual modules representing clusters of highly correlated genes. To each module, we assigned a specific color name to distinguish between different modules. For each organ, we constructed the co-expression network for only the highly variable expressed genes among the prefiltered active genes including 6,232 genes for the unpollinated immature ear, 5,916 genes for the flag leaf, and 6,570 genes for the meiotic tassel. Setting the minimum module size to 30 genes per module, we identified 51, 55, and 52 modules in the ear, leaf, and tassel dataset, respectively. Among the different organs, up to 627 (ear), 591 (leaf), and 1,057 (tassel) genes were assigned to the largest module (= turquoise module) (Supplemental Data set 1).

The module eigengene is defined as the first PC of a given module and, thus, serves as a representative of the gene expression profile in that given module. Correlating the module eigengenes to the sample information represented by the family structure in each organ revealed several modules, which display high correlation values in the four biological replicates of a specific paternal inbred or maternal line and its hybrid, but lower correlation values in the remaining genotypes including the second parental inbred line (Supplemental Figure S5). Examining the expression patterns of the genes within each module, we identified **SPE**<sub>B</sub>-enriched and **SPE**<sub>X</sub>-enriched modules (SPE pattern determined in five or more of the six hybrids) (Supplemental Table S3) in each organ. In each organ, **SPE**<sub>B</sub>-enriched and **SPE**<sub>X</sub>-enriched modules are clearly separated (Figure 5, A–C). This indicates that the gene expression profiles of the **SPE**<sub>B</sub> and **SPE**<sub>X</sub> genes are highly correlated but distinct from each other.

Besides the **SPE**<sub>B</sub>-enriched and **SPE**<sub>X</sub>-enriched modules, we also identified 12 modules in which a specific SPE pattern, identified in a single parent–hybrid triplet, is enriched (Supplemental Table S3).

**Highly connected SPE genes display significant phenotypic trait correlations**

To close the gap between the phenotypic superiority of hybrids in the field and their gene expression patterns, we correlated the clusters of highly connected genes, represented by their expression profiles, with phenotypic traits. To this end, we identified several modules, which displayed significant associations (correlation value ≥ 0.5, P ≤ 0.001) to phenotypic traits. The resulting significant module–trait associations are depicted in Figure 5, D–F for the **SPE**<sub>B</sub>- and **SPE**<sub>X</sub>-enriched modules and in Supplemental Figure S6 for all identified modules in each dataset. Interestingly, the **SPE**<sub>B</sub>- and **SPE**<sub>X</sub>-enriched modules, which are significantly associated with a specific trait, show correlation values in opposite directions. Overall, the **SPE**<sub>B</sub>-enriched modules showed a positive correlation in almost all instances, while
the SPE_X-enriched were in general negatively correlated with the phenotypic traits. In addition, the modules enriched by a specific SPE pattern show in most cases a significant correlation to individual traits and contrasting correlation values between SPE_B and SPE_X patterns of the same genotype (Supplemental Figure S6).

Functional characterization of modules displaying significant trait correlations

We performed a gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis to identify overrepresented biological and molecular functions among the genes assigned to the SPE-enriched modules. For some modules, we identified several significantly enriched GO terms (Supplemental Table S4). Among the SPE_X-enriched modules identified in all three organs (brown in ear, red in leaf, brown in tassel), the GO term “regulation of ATPase activity” was consistently identified. In addition, GO terms related to the biological processes “response to heat,” “response to high light intensity,” and “defense response signaling pathway, resistance gene-dependent” (a synonym for GO term GO:0002758 “innate immune response-activating signal transduction”) and diverse subcategories were enriched among these modules (Supplemental Table S4). Furthermore, we identified especially among the SPE_B-enriched modules in the leaf and tassel dataset, GO

Figure 4 SPE genes are highly correlated with MPH. In (A), the results of the regression analysis of the number of SPE_B genes, identified in the corresponding aboveground shoot datasets, on MPH are displayed for the size of the following traits: unpollinated ear, mature ear, meiotic tassel, and flag leaf. The left part of (B) displays the regression line of the number of SPE_B genes identified in the aboveground tissue dataset on the MPH of the trait plant height. In the right part of B, the regression line of the SPE_B genes identified in the previously published primary root dataset (Baldauf et al., 2018) on plant height is displayed. For each hybrid, the number of SPE_B genes and the corresponding level of MPH for a specific trait are indicated by color-coded data points: red = B73 × A554, yellow = B73 × H84, green = B73 × H99, turquoise = B73 × Mo17, blue = B73 × Oh43, magenta = B73 × W64A.
terms associated to “cell wall organizations and biogenesis,” “pollen tube development,” “cell development,” and “growth” (Supplemental Table S4).

**Discussion**

Maize exhibits an exceptional degree of intra-specific genomic diversity (Swanson-Wagner et al., 2010; Marroni et al., 2014) that relates to transcriptomic diversity (Hansey et al., 2012; Hirsch et al., 2014; Jin et al., 2016). In this study, we applied RNA-seq to survey how the genomic diversity of maize is reflected in the transcriptomic landscape of F₁-hybrids and their parental inbred lines in mature, vegetative, and generative tissues (Figure 1A).

To reflect a maximum genetic diversity in the hybrids, we crossed the inbred line B73 with five paternal inbred lines, representing different clades of a published phylogenetic tree and with the inbred line H84 of the same clade (Lorenz and Hoegemeyer, 2013). The result of our PCA confirmed the expected kinship relations (Figure 1B; Supplemental Figure S2). Hybrids share 50% of their genomes with each of their parental inbred lines. Therefore, the hybrid samples were located between the parental samples. Consistent with the pedigree lineage of the surveyed inbred lines (Troyer, 2001), the stiff stalk line H84 displayed the closest relation to B73, while the non-stiff stalk lines were more distantly related to B73. Similar clustering was previously observed in primary roots of maize (Baldauf et al., 2018). Although the different genotypes were transcriptomically distinct, a much higher proportion of the variance in our dataset is attributed to the dissimilarity in the transcriptomes among the examined tissues (Figure 1B; Supplemental S2). A similar transcriptomic separation of five different maize tissues was observed in a study that surveyed five maize tissues in a large set of genotypes (Li et al., 2021). In contrast, different
stages of primary root development were not transcriptomically separated as observed for distinct tissues (Baldauf et al., 2018; Figure 1B).

The concept of SPE complementation implies that genes active in hybrids are only transcribed in one of the parental inbred lines (Paschold et al., 2012). SPE patterns were observed throughout seedling root (Paschold et al., 2012, 2014; Baldauf et al., 2018) and mature vegetative and generative aboveground development under field conditions (Figure 2A; Baldauf et al., 2018; Li et al., 2021).

We demonstrated that gene expression complementation in F₁-hybrids is a general mechanism to increase the total number of active genes in maize hybrids compared to their parental inbred lines (Figure 2C). In maize primary roots, the total number of expressed genes in the hybrids and their parental inbred lines increased consistently across all genotypes along development (Baldauf et al., 2018), reflecting the increase of morphological complexity in the examined roots (Hochholdinger et al., 2004). In this study of the transcriptomic plasticity in aboveground tissues, we observed a similar pattern: we identified the highest number of active genes in the meiotic tassel followed by the immature ear. We observed less expressed genes in the fully developed flag leaf (Figure 2C). The observed range is consistent with previous RNA-seq studies in B73 (Davidson et al., 2011; Stelpllug et al., 2016). The highest number of expressed genes in the meiotic tassel, which was harvested prior to pollen shedding, can be attributed to the unique expression profile of pollen-specific genes as previously demonstrated (Davidson et al., 2011). Hence, the observed expression profiles reflect the transition from vegetative to generative development.

The evolutionary age of maize genes can be estimated based on their synteny to genes in the unduplicated, closely related sorghum genome (Schnable and Lyons, 2011). Maize genes, which are syntenic to sorghum genes, are evolutionarily older than non-syntenic maize genes that have no sorghum homolog. In B73v4, ~54% of protein-encoding genes are syntenic, while ~44% are non-syntenic (Schnable, 2019).

It was suggested that non-syntenic genes often contribute to the capacity of plants to adapt to fluctuating environmental conditions (Schnable, 2015). Among all genes expressed in the parent–hybrid triplets, between 8% and 11% are non-syntenic and thus underrepresented compared to their genomic prevalence (Figure 2B). Similar to previous observations in maize (Paschold et al., 2014; Baldauf et al., 2018; Li et al., 2021), the identified SPE patterns in this study were significantly enriched in non-syntenic genes (56%–84%) in each parent–hybrid triplet (Figure 2B). Since maize hybrids can cope better with environmental fluctuations than their parental inbred lines (Betrán et al., 2003), these results support the notion that an overrepresentation of non-syntenic SPE genes could contribute to the adaptability of hybrids. This hypothesis is further underscored by the observation that SPE genes are very stable in their expression pattern under water deficit conditions (Marcon et al., 2017). Heterosis can be monitored throughout plant development, but the degree of the phenotypic advantage is highly trait- and genotype-specific (Hochholdinger and Baldauf, 2018). In general, continuous traits (e.g. plant height or yield) display higher levels of heterosis than discrete traits (e.g. number of leaves or stem nodes) (Mehta and Sarkar, 1992; Flint-Garcia et al., 2009; Yi et al., 2019). Both plant height and grain yield, as examples of continuous traits, are significantly correlated and display among the highest levels of heterosis (Yang et al., 2017). Among the traits examined in this study, plant height and size of the mature ear displayed the highest level of heterosis (Supplemental Figure S4). The concept of heterotic groups (Melchinger and Gumber, 1998) is based on the observation that increasing the genetic diversity of the parental inbred lines via their selection from two different heterotic pools leads to superior performance of their hybrid progeny (Lariépe et al., 2012). In our study, crosses between the stiff stalk line B73 and the five non-stiff stalk lines from other clades (Lorenz and Hoegemeyer, 2013) displayed on average the highest level of phenotypic heterosis (Supplemental Figure S4). In contrast, the hybrid B73 × H84 with two stiff stalk parents displayed on average the lowest levels of MPH (Supplemental Figure S4). Likewise, the number of SPE genes varies highly between the different hybrids, but still reflects the relatedness of the parental inbred lines (Figure 2A). A correlation between genetic distance and the number of SPE genes has also been suggested by Li et al. (2021). Hence, SPE complementation might be one of the links that converts the genetic distance of the parents into phenotypic heterosis in hybrids as demonstrated in this study. Consistent with the variation of the level of MPH between different genotypes and traits, SPE genes are also highly genotype- and tissue-specific (Figure 3).

Nevertheless, the number of SPE genes is significantly positively correlated with the level of MPH irrespective of the function of these genes (Figure 4; Supplemental Table S2). These results link to our observation that SPE genes are significantly enriched with non-syntenic genes, which could contribute to the superior performance of the hybrids at fluctuating environmental conditions. Overall, in accordance with the classical dominance model (Jones, 1917), gene expression complementation in hybrids is associated with heterosis.

Interestingly, SPE genes determined in belowground primary root tissues are also significantly correlated with MPH observed for mature, vegetative, and generative traits (Figure 4B; Supplemental Table S2). Although further studies are necessary to examine the role of SPE genes in heterosis manifestation, these results might provide an interesting tool to predict MPH based on SPE genes identified during early seedling root development. Due to their simple structure, maize seedling roots are an attractive model for studying heterosis under controlled environmental conditions in high throughput (Hoecker et al., 2006; Paschold et al., 2010).

Although we have seen that SPE genes are significantly correlated with the level of MPH for all examined traits, we
observed that specific groups of SPE genes have a stronger effect on the phenotype of the plants (Figure 5, D–F; Supplemental Figure S6). In the gene co-expression analysis, SPE_X-enriched modules were in most cases negatively correlated with the observed phenotypic traits while SPE_B-enriched modules showed positive correlations. This pattern is also reflected in the regression coefficients (Supplemental Table S2) and might reflect either the functional contribution of SPE_B and SPE_X genes to the observed phenotypes or the parental contribution of individual SPE patterns to their hybrid offspring. The yellow (in leaf) and turquoise (in tassel) modules, in which SPE_B genes are overrepresented in five or more of the six parent–hybrid triplets, are predominantly enriched in growth-promoting GO terms (Supplemental Table S4). This indicates that the genes assigned to these modules might promote the fitness of these plants, which is also reflected in a significantly positive association of the module eigengenes of these SPE_B-enriched modules to the phenotypic traits (Figure 5, D–F).

In contrast, among the SPE_X-enriched modules GO terms related to defense- and stress-related biological processes were specifically enriched, which might explain the negative correlation of the corresponding module eigengenes to the measured phenotypic traits (Supplemental Table S4; Figure 5, D–F). Although a competition between plant immunity and growth due to limited resource allocations was reported previously (Denancé et al., 2013; Huot et al., 2014), it was demonstrated that a reduction of the basal defense response in Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) F1-hybrids promoted leaf growth but did not necessarily compromise their stress tolerance (Groszmann et al., 2015; Gonzalez-Bayon et al., 2019). There seems to exist a cross-talk between the networks promoting the response of the plant to environmental stimuli and to growth. In Arabidopsis hybrids, it was shown that stress-responsive genes were repressed under normal conditions, but diurnally induced under stress conditions and thereby balancing the tradeoff between stress response and growth (Miller et al., 2015). In combination with our observation that both SPE_B and SPE_X patterns are significantly correlated with MPH, this supports the notion that hybrid plants benefit from both SPE patterns in fluctuating environmental conditions.

Furthermore, the parental contribution of individual SPE genes is, for example, reflected in the significant trait association of the dark-red and pink module identified in the dataset of the unpollinated ear. The parental inbred line H84 had on average 2 cm shorter immature ears in comparison to the maternal inbred line B73 at harvest (Supplemental Table S1). The dark-red module, which is specifically enriched in SPE_B genes determined in the parent–hybrid triplet B73×H84, is positively correlated with the size of the immature ear. In contrast, the pink module, which is enriched by SPE_X genes identified in the same hybrid, is negatively correlated with that trait (Supplemental Figure S6). This indicates that the maternal inbred line B73 has a higher contribution to the size of the immature ear of the hybrid B73 × H84 than the inbred line H84. Although estimating parental effects on the transcriptome of the hybrid is generally challenging (Botet and Keurentjes, 2020), these results are in line with the observation that the level of heterosis depends highly on the measured trait and environmental effects (Li et al., 2018).

Conclusions

In summary, our results suggest that SPE complementation contributes to phenotypic heterosis in maize hybrids and that genes displaying this type of gene expression pattern could be a promising target for maize breeding.

Materials and methods

Plant materials and field experiments

We crossed the six male maize (Zea mays L.) inbred lines A554, H84, H99, Mo17, Oh43, and W64A with the common female parental inbred line B73 to obtain six F1-hybrids. The field experiment was laid out as a randomized block design with four blocks at the experimental field station Endenich of the University Bonn, Germany (50°43′47.8″N 7°04′31.1″E) in the summer of 2018 (Supplemental Figure S1A). Each experimental unit was a 3.2 m long plot consisting of four rows with a row spacing of 0.65 m. In each row we grew 15 plants with a planting distance of 0.2 m between adjacent plants. Within each block, 15 plots representing the six hybrids, six paternal inbred lines and three times the common maternal inbred line B73 were randomly arranged. For B73, we generated in total 12 biological replicates, amounting to three plots per block of the design. These additional replications accounted for the fact that B73 is involved in all 6 heterosis contrasts, whereas all other genotypes, for which we generated 4 biological replicates, are present in only one heterosis contrast (Piepho, 2005). We harvested the immature unpollinated ear, the main terminal axis of the meiotic tassel, and 10 cm of the flag leaf tip between vegetative stage 9 and 16, i.e. between the expansion of leaf no. 9 and 16, when the flag leaf released the tassel (BBCH Stage 55) and the terminating stem node, but prior to pollen shedding (Figure 1A). To prevent marginal plot effects, we harvested and pooled plant material of five randomly selected plants of the two middle rows of a plot for each biological replicate. At harvest date, we phenotyped the plants of which the RNA samples were taken for the following traits: plant height, number of leaves, number of stem nodes, and total length of harvested organs which were used for RNA-seq (immature unpollinated ear, main terminal tassel and flag leaf). In addition, open-pollinated mature ears of the remaining plants (n = 10) of each plot were harvested to determine the length of the mature ears.

Analysis of phenotypic data

For each phenotypic trait of each parent–hybrid triplet, we estimated the level of MPH based on a linear mixed model reflecting the genotype factor and block factors of the
randomized block design using the lme4 package in R (Bates et al., 2015):

\[ Y_{ijk} = \mu + g_m + b_i + p_j + e_{ijk} \]

where \( Y_{ijk} \) represents the mean phenotypic value of a specific trait of interest of the respective genotype \( m \), \( \mu \) represents the intercept, \( g_m \) represents the fixed effect for genotype \( m \), \( b_i \) represents the fixed effect for block \( i \), \( p_j \) represents the random effect for plot \( j \) nested within block \( i \), and \( e_{ijk} \) represents the random error effect for plant \( k \) of genotype \( m \) in block \( i \) and plot \( j \). We calculated the levels of MPH based on the estimated least-square means of each genotype as the difference of the performance of the hybrid (F1) and the average performance of its two parental inbred lines \((P_1 + P_2)/2\) (Hochholdinger and Hoecker, 2007).

RNA isolation and experimental design of RNA-seq

We ground plant material in liquid nitrogen and isolated total RNA with the RNeasy Plant Mini kit (Qiagen, Venlo, The Netherlands). For all samples, we detected a RIN (RNA integrity number, Schroeder et al., 2006) value \( \geq 8.3 \) with a Bioanalyzer (Agilent RNA 6000 Nano Chip; Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). cDNA libraries were constructed with the TruSeq RNA sample preparation kit (Illumina, San Diego CA, USA). For sequencing, each library was indexed with a distinct Illumina TruSeq adapter.

We used the randomized block design of the field experiment to optimize the RNA-seq experiment represented by a row–column design, in which the rows represent the lanes of the flow cells and the columns represent the blocks (Supplemental Figure S1B). Samples harvested from the same field block remained in the same block for the RNA-seq experiment. This helped to account for repeated measurements on the same experimental unit and to obtain a higher precision for all pairwise comparisons involving the three genotypes from the same cross (parents and hybrid) in subsequent statistical analyses. The combination of a hybrid with its male parent is denoted as a “pair”. In total, six pairs (A554 and B73xA554, H84 and B73xH84, H99 and B73xH99, Mo17 and B73xMo17, Oh43 and B73xOh43, W64A and B73xW64A) were analyzed. To each lane (\( \Delta \) row), we assigned two pairs of two different organs per field block, while the pairs of the two organs were identical (16 libraries). Moreover, two libraries of the corresponding organs and blocks of the common parent B73 were assigned to each lane. Hence, the RNA samples of the three organs harvested from the same field block (\( \Delta \) column) were assigned to three different lanes. In total, the 180 indexed libraries were loaded in nine lanes of three flow cells with 20 pooled libraries per lane. Cluster preparation and paired-end sequencing were performed according to the manufacturer’s protocol (HiSeq 4000; Illumina).

From raw sequencing reads to read counts

We trimmed the 100-bp long paired-end sequencing reads by removing low-quality reads and adapter sequences using Trimmomatic version 0.36 (Bolger et al., 2014). In paired-end mode, we used the following settings: ILLUMINACLIP: 3:30:10, LEADING:3, TRAILING:3, MAXINFO:30:0.8, and MINLEN:40. We indexed B73v4 (jiao et al., 2017; ftp://ftp.ensemblgenomes.org/pub/plants/release-42/fasta/zea_mays/dna/) using the alignment tool HiSat2 version 2.1.0 (Kim et al., 2015). We aligned the trimmed sequencing reads to the reference genome by providing a list of known splice sites extracted from the GTF annotation file of B73 (ftp://ftp.ensemblgenomes.org/pub/plants/release-42/gtf/zea_mays/). We set the minimum intron size to 20 and the maximum intron size to 60,000 and all other mapping parameters to default. In the following step, we marked and removed duplicates, that is, read pairs that have identical 5’-coordinates and orientations, from the mapped reads except the read pair having the highest sum of base qualities using the picard tool MarkDuplicates version 2.5.0 (http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/command-line-overview.html). We obtained raw read counts for each protein-coding gene by using HTSeq-count version 0.10.0 using default settings (Anders et al., 2015). During this step, we discarded reads mapping at multiple positions of the genome and to overlapping gene models.

For subsequent comparative analyses between above-ground and belowground tissue expression patterns, we analyzed a sequencing dataset of three developmental stages of maize primary roots of the same genotype panel according to the same protocol described above (Baldauf et al., 2018; NCBI Sequence Read Archive (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra) accession numbers SRP110782).

Analysis of expression complementation

The gene activity status (on/off) was determined by thresholding normalized read counts. To adjust for artifactual read count differences across genes due to guanine-cytosine (GC) content and gene length, we fit a generalized additive model to log-transformed counts with GC content and log-transformed gene length as explanatory variables (Lithio and Nettleton, 2015). The R package mgcv (Wood, 2017) was used for parameter estimation. Given the estimated GC content and gene length effects, we obtained a predicted count for each gene. The inverse of this predicted count serves as a multiplicative gene-specific normalization factor. We also calculated sample-wise scale factors by using the trimmed mean of M-values (TMM) method to adjust for differences among library sizes (Robinson and Oshlack, 2010). Each raw count was then multiplied by the product of the appropriate gene-specific normalization factor and the TMM scale factor to obtain a normalized count. Given an organ type, we used the mean normalized count across all replicates of each genotype to represent the average expression level for each gene. For the root dataset, we used the 0.1 quantile of the nonzero average expression levels as the threshold for calling activity status. For the shoot dataset, the distribution of average expression levels varies across different organs; thus the 0.1 quantile of the nonzero average expression levels of each organ was used as an organ-specific threshold for calling activity status. We called a gene active if the average...
expression level across all replicates is greater than the threshold and inactive otherwise for each genotype and organ type.

Regression analysis of the levels of MPH on the number of SPE genes

The baseline model has a fixed effect for blocks (4 levels) and genotypes (13 levels). As there are five plants measured per plot, the model has a random effect for plots. The residual error, therefore, assesses the within-plot variance among plants. The model was then extended to cover the regression of MPH on the number of SPE genes. Thus, we defined seven covariates for the seven parental lines. These covariates were initially all set to 0 for each observation. Then for observations on parental lines, the corresponding covariate for that line was set to 1. For observation on hybrids, the two covariates corresponding to the two parents were set to 0.5. Thus, collectively, these seven covariates model the effect of the per se performance of the parental lines and the mid-parent values of the hybrids. MPH was then modeled by a regression on the number of SPE genes. For this purpose, we set the number of SPE genes to zero for all parental lines. This was done to be able to include them in the overall model. Note, however, that the parental lines have no impact on the regression, because their effect is fully absorbed by the covariates for the parental line effects. As the MPH effects of the hybrids were not expected to fall on the regression line, we allowed for deviations from the regression by adding a random effect for the hybrids. This was implemented by fitting the random effect z*genotype, where z is a continuous dummy variable with z = 0 for parental lines and z = 1 for hybrid. This dummy variable acts as a switch that turns the random effect off for parental lines and turns it on for hybrids (Piepho et al., 2006). The analysis was implemented in the GLIMMIX procedure of SAS. The seven covariates for the parental lines were combined into an effect “parents” with six degrees of freedom using the “effect” statement of GLIMMIX. To plot the fitted model, we computed the product of the slope estimate multiplied by the number of SPE genes. Adding the predicted random effects for hybrids, we obtained the predicted MPH, which was then plotted against the number of SPE genes.

For the regression analysis of tissue-specific traits (i.e. size of the unpollinated ear, the mature ear, the flag leaf, and tassel), to the number of SPE genes, only the SPE genes were considered which were identified in the corresponding tissue dataset. In contrast, all SPE genes, which were identified in the three aboveground tissues, were used for the regression analysis of the remaining traits “plant height,” “number of leaves,” and “number of nodes.” Similarly, all SPE genes identified in primary roots at three developmental stages (Baldauf et al., 2018) were used for the regression analysis of all aboveground phenotypic traits, to determine if SPEs manifested during very early plant development can be used to predict heterosis for aboveground traits later in development.

PCA for sample structure analysis

We performed a PCA on expression filtered (counts per million [CPM] > 10 in >70% of all samples; Chen et al., 2016) normalized read counts of the active genes among all three aboveground organs using the function `prcomp` of the `stats` package in R (R version 4.0.1 (2020-06-06)). In addition, we included a previously published RNA-seq dataset covering three developmental stages of the maize primary root of the same genotype panel to analyze the aboveground organs in relation to the belowground dataset (Baldauf et al., 2018). In detail, we normalized the raw read counts of the active genes of both datasets by using the TMM method and transformed them into log2-CPM values (CPM; function `calcNormFactors`, Bioconductor package `edgeR_3.30.3`; Robinson and Oshlack, 2010). In addition, we filtered the active genes to keep only genes which have a CPM value > 10 in >70% of all samples (function `filterByExpr`, Bioconductor package `edgeR_3.30.3`; Chen et al., 2016). Subsequently, we estimated the mean–variance trend within the filtered count data and assigned a precision weight to each observation (function `voom`, Bioconductor package `limma_3.44.3`; Law et al., 2014).

Gene co-expression network analysis and functional characterization

We performed WGCNA to identify clusters of highly connected genes and their correlation to phenotypic trait data (Zhang and Horvath, 2005). For each aboveground organ separately, we used only the genes displaying the highest variance (upper 75% percentile) among the expression filtered active genes from previous analyses for network construction using the WGCNA package in R (WGCNA_version 1.69; Langfelder and Horvath, 2008). We obtained co-expression modules for each organ dataset by using the automatic network construction function `blockwiseModules` with default settings, except the soft thresholding power (β) was set to 8 for ear, 6 for leaf, and 8 for the tassel dataset based on the scale-free topology fit index estimate. In addition, we set the network type to signed hybrid and TOMtype to signed. We selected a high module selection sensitivity by setting the corresponding parameter to 4 and merged modules with < 30 genes into their closest larger neighboring module. We summarized each module by the first PC of the scaled module expression profiles, referred to as module eigengene. We set the parameter `mergeCutHeight` for merging the modules to 0.25, implying that modules whose eigengenes are correlated > 0.75 will be merged. We visualized the identified network of eigengenes in heatmaps displaying the correlation to external phenotypic trait data and RNA-seq sample information.

To identify modules that are significantly associated with the measured phenotypic traits, we correlated module eigengenes with calculated plot means of the individual phenotypic traits using Pearson correlation in R. We calculated
student asymptotic p-values for each given correlation using the R function corPvalueStudent within the WGCNA package (WGCNA_version 1.69; Langfelder and Horvath, 2008).

To visualize the edges and nodes of the identified modules with significant module–trait correlations or enriched SPE gene expression patterns, we used the R package igraph and selected only the modules which display a correlation value $\geq 0.5$ at a significance level $< 0.001$ (**) for further analyses and graphical display. We removed edges with a weight $< 0.01$ and unconnected nodes prior to plotting the network in R.

**GO enrichment analysis and enrichment analysis of nonsynctenic genes**

We used the Web-based agriGOv2 platform (Tian et al., 2017) to perform a GO enrichment analysis for the genes among the co-expression modules displaying significant sample and trait correlations. As reference for the singular enrichment analysis, we used the maize B73.AGPv4 annotation file of the maize-GAMER project (Wimalanathan et al., 2018). In addition to the singular enrichment analysis of each gene set, we performed a cross comparison among all enriched GO terms using the SEACOMPARE analysis tool of AgriGOv2 (Tian et al., 2017). Finally, for each gene set we summarized significantly enriched GO terms and reduced functional redundancies using the Web-based software REVIGO (Supek et al., 2011). We set the allowed similarity to 0.7. We determined the evolutionary origin of genes displaying SPE complementation or nonadditivity by comparing those genes with published lists of syntenic and nonsyntenic genes (Schnable, 2019). We applied Fisher’s exact test at a significance level of $\alpha = 0.05$ to determine the distribution of nonsyntenic genes among those gene sets in comparison to all expressed genes that did not display the corresponding expression pattern.

**Accession numbers**

Raw sequencing data are deposited in the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra) under accession number PRJNA705557.
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