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ABSTRACT

Objective: To determine life satisfaction in old age people attending Fauji Foundation Hospital and to assess relationship of socio-demographic variables on life satisfaction of old aged people.

Study Design: Cross sectional study.

Place and Duration of Study: Fauji Foundation Hospital Rawalpindi, from Feb to Aug 2018.

Methodology: A total of 347 individuals were included by using non-probability consecutive sampling. The participants of the 60 years or more attending Fauji foundation hospital, being mentally sound and having comprehensive understanding without hearing aid were included in the study. Questionnaire was given to respondents including demographic profile and Urdu version of Life Satisfaction Scale for assessing the life satisfaction in old age.

Results: The total sample mean life satisfaction score was 14.95 ± 6.54. 5 (1.5%) people were extremely satisfied, 27 (8.4%) were satisfied, 117 (36 %) were dissatisfied and 63 (19.1%) were extremely dissatisfied.

Conclusion: Life satisfaction was found statistically significant with female gender, older age 70-80 years, being un married, primary education, monthly income of less than 10,000 and being unemployed.
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INTRODUCTION

Due to decreasing fertility and increasing life expectancy, nations are greying as the elderly population is growing much faster than the overall population. At present, 8.5 percent of the world’s Population is 60 years above. According to a recent report, ‘An Aging World: 2015’, this figure will escalate to nearly 17% of the world’s population by 2050. In the Eastern Mediterranean Region it is forecasted that by 2025, elderly will make up nearly 8.7% of the population. In present, Pakistan is going through the demographic transition. It is expected that in 2025, Pakistan will have 42.8 million elderly people, which makes the ratio 12.4% of its population. Despite its political and economical issues, Pakistan has succeeded in improving life expectancy, a fact clearly evident of its growing elderly. In general, the age of 65 and above is considered to be the inception of senility.

Initially the notion of satisfaction with life was suggested by Neugarten in 1961. For assessing successful aging most widely used concept is satisfaction with life. A strong association between subjective well-being and life satisfaction is present in the matter of successful or active ageing. According to Easterlin, significant correlates of high level of life satisfaction are, positive life events, good jobs, healthy interpersonal relationships, better physical and mental health and high income. Life satisfaction generally remained stable throughout the life span, showing just a slight increasing trend between the ages of 20 and 80 years.

The objective of our research was to determine the life satisfaction in old people visiting Fauji Foundation Hospital Rawalpindi and to assess relationship of socio demographic variables on life satisfaction of old aged people. We attempted to find the socio-economic and psychological effect of living standards on an old person’s mind to analyze his level of satisfaction in life. In Pakistan, past studies were done to know life satisfaction in institutionalized old age people but there was lack of research on a community level. There is a dire need for planning interventions to improve satisfaction of life in our senior citizens. Our research aimed to highlight the factors responsible for improving the life satisfaction in elderly which will eventually lead to a greater number of satisfied old members of the community and a healthier environment for them to live in.
METHODOLOGY

This cross sectional study was conducted on patients attending OPD Fauji Foundation Hospital from February to August 2018 using non-probability consecutive sampling.

Inclusion Criteria: Old age people of 60 years or greater, mentally sound and having comprehensive understanding without hearing aid.

Exclusion Criteria: Non-consenting patients were excluded.

Sample size by calculated by using WHO sample size calculator by inserting confidence level of 95%, pooled standard deviation of 5.7, population mean score of 15.7, sample size of 347 was calculated. Individuals who were <60 years of age, had severe cognitive dysfunction or any chronic disease were excluded from the study. Acceptance was taken from ethical review committee of institution (no.217/FF/ FUMC/ ERC). After signing the informed consent form, a questionnaire was given to respondents including demographic pro-file and Urdu version of Life Satisfaction Scale 11 for assessing life satisfaction in old age.

The Satisfaction With Life Scale (SWLS) by Diener is a short 5-item instrument designed to measure global cognitive judgments of satisfaction with one’s life. Scale consisted of five questions i.e. In most ways my life is close to my ideal, The conditions of my life are excellent, I am satisfied with life, So far I have gotten the important things I want in life, If I could live my life over, I would change almost nothing. Responses varied from 1-7 ranging from strongly agree to strongly disagree. Following cut offs were used to assess level of life satisfaction. About 31-35 Extremely satisfied, 26-30 satisfied, 21-25 slightly satisfied, 20 neutral, 15-19 slightly dissatisfied, 10-14 dissatisfied, 5-9 extremely dissatisfied.

Data was analyzed using Statistical Package of Social Sciences (SPSS-23). descriptive statistics were carried out for demographic variables. Mean life satisfaction score with standard deviation was calculated. Independent sample t-test was used to compare life satisfaction score with age, gender, employment status, residence and family structure while ANOVA was used for comparing life satisfaction score with education status, monthly income and marital status.

RESULTS

A total of 325 participants were included in the study. Out of 85 performas which were incomplete were excluded from the study Mean life satisfaction score was found to be 14.95 ± 6.5. Among these, 142 (43.7%) were self-employed. 59 (18.2%) had pension as their source of income, 103 (31.7%) were corporate employed and 21 (6.5%) earned through armed forces. Majority were having 3 or 4 children i.e 78 (24%) and 65 (20%) respectively. Other important demographic characteristics of sample were given in Table-I. Out of 325 participant 5 (1.53%) were Extremely satisfied, 62 (19.07%) extremely dissatisfied, 27 (8.30%) satisfied, 117 (36%) dissatisfied, 26 (8.03%) slightly satisfied, 76 (23.38%) slightly dissatisfied and 12 (3.69%) Neutral.

Table-I: Demographic characteristics of respondent.

| Demographic Characteristics | n (%) |
|-----------------------------|-------|
| Gender of Respondent        |       |
| Male                        | 199 (61.2) |
| Female                      | 126 (38.8) |
| Age of Respondent           |       |
| 60-70 years                 | 260 (80.0) |
| 70-80 years                 | 65 (20.0) |
| Education of Respondent     |       |
| Primary                     | 116 (35.7) |
| Secondary                   | 69 (21.2) |
| Inter                       | 62 (19.1) |
| Bachelors                   | 41 (12.6) |
| Higher education             | 37 (11.4) |
| Monthly Income              |       |
| <10,000 Rs                  | 83 (25.5) |
| 11,000-20,000 Rs            | 61 (18.8) |
| 21,000-30,000 Rs            | 58 (17.8) |
| 31,000-40,000 Rs            | 50 (15.4) |
| 41,000-50,000 Rs            | 30 (9.2) |
| >50,000 Rs                  | 43 (13.2) |
| Marital Status              |       |
| Single                      | 22 (6.8) |
| Married                     | 275 (84.6) |
| Divorced                    | 6 (1.8) |
| Widowed                      | 22 (6.8) |
| Employment of Respondent    |       |
| Yes                         | 140 (43.1) |
| No                          | 185 (56.9) |
| Residence of Respondent     |       |
| Rural                       | 118 (36.3) |
| Urban                       | 207 (63.7) |
| Family Structure            |       |
| Nuclear                     | 161 (49.5) |
| Joint                       | 164 (50.5) |

Table-II: Categorization of life satisfaction score

| Categorization of score | n (%) |
|-------------------------|-------|
| Extremely satisfied     | 5 (1.53%) |
| Satisfied               | 27 (8.30%) |
| Slightly satisfied      | 26 (8.03%) |
| Neutral                 | 12 (3.69%) |
| Slightly dissatisfied    | 76 (23.38%) |
| Dissatisfied             | 117 (36%) |
| Extremely dissatisfied   | 62 (19.07%) |
Life satisfaction score was found to be statistically significant with older age 70-80 years (p-value 0.007), female gender (p-value 0.001), monthly income less than 10,000 (p-value <0.001), being single (p-value <0.001), unemployment (p-value 0.04). Education of respondent showed that there was a significant difference between primary education and other education levels (p<0.001). F (4,317)=11.420, p-value <0.001.

Gamez Howell of marital status revealed that there was statistically significant difference of mean score between single (19.63 ± 9.04, p-value=0.05), widowed 18.77 ± 7.28, p-value=0.04). Gamez Howell of monthly income showed significant difference of monthly income <10,000 (M=19.57 ± 6.60, p-value ≤0.001) with other monthly income groups shown in Table-III.

Table-III: Comparison of life score with sociodemographic variables.

| Age of Respondent | n     | Mean ± SD | p-value |
|-------------------|-------|-----------|---------|
| 60-70 years       | 259   | 14.47 ± 6.53 | 0.009*  |
| 70-80 years       | 65    | 16.90 ± 6.27 |         |

| Gender of Respondents | n   | Mean ± SD | p-value |
|-----------------------|-----|-----------|---------|
| Male                  | 199 | 13.82 ± 5.89 | <0.001* |
| Female                | 126 | 16.73 ± 7.13 |         |

| Education of Respondents | n     | Mean ± SD | p-value |
|--------------------------|-------|-----------|---------|
| Primary                  | 115   | 18.02 ± 6.84 | <0.001**|
| Secondary                | 69    | 13.50 ± 5.47 |         |
| Inter                    | 62    | 13.90 ± 5.81 |         |
| Bachelors                | 41    | 12.02 ± 6.04 |         |
| Higher education         | 37    | 13.21 ± 5.77 |         |

| Monthly Income | n     | Mean ± SD | p-value |
|----------------|-------|-----------|---------|
| <10,000 Rs     | 83    | 19.57 ± 6.60 |         |
| 11,000-20,000 Rs| 60   | 15.11 ± 6.40 | <0.001**|
| 21,000-30,000 Rs| 58   | 13.68 ± 5.39 |         |
| 31,000-40,000 Rs| 50   | 12.24 ± 5.43 |         |
| 41,000-50,000 Rs| 30   | 13.63 ± 5.36 |         |
| >50,000 Rs      | 43    | 11.67 ± 5.28 |         |

| Marital Status | n     | Mean ± SD | p-value |
|----------------|-------|-----------|---------|
| Single         | 22    | 19.63 ± 9.04 | <0.001**|
| Married        | 274   | 14.21 ± 6.01 |         |
| Divorced       | 6     | 18.33 ± 5.35 |         |
| Widowed        | 22    | 18.77 ± 7.28 |         |

| Employment of Respondent | n     | Mean ± SD | p-value |
|--------------------------|-------|-----------|---------|
| Yes                      | 140   | 13.20 ± 5.80 | <0.001* |
| No                       | 185   | 16.27 ± 6.77 |         |

| Residence of Respondent | n     | Mean ± SD | p-value |
|-------------------------|-------|-----------|---------|
| Rural                   | 118   | 15.30 ± 6.59 | 0.43*   |
| Urban                   | 207   | 14.74 ± 6.52 |         |

| Family Structure | n     | Mean ± SD | p-value |
|------------------|-------|-----------|---------|
| Nuclear          | 161   | 14.70 ± 7.03 | 0.57*   |
| Joint            | 164   | 15.18 ± 6.03 |         |

*Independent sample t-test, **ANOVA

**DISCUSSION**

Life satisfaction among geriatric population has become an important issue in elderly care. Elderly population is neglected now a days by communities and families due to demanding jobs, change in life styles, a shift to nuclear family structures. The objective of our study was to assess life satisfaction in elderly population of Rawalpindi Pakistan in relation with sociodemographic variables. The expansion of modernization and the increased aging population throughout the world has made it important to investigate in the field of gerontology.

Life satisfaction is a multi-dimensional issue. In this study 78% population was found to be dissatisfied from their life including 23% slightly dissatisfied, 36% dissatisfied and 19.1% were extremely dissatisfied. These results were far more alarming than the study conducted in Turkey by Beyaztas et al, where 36.6% were not found to be satisfied with their lives. The difference in responses might be due to better living standards in Turkey.

In this study Life satisfaction score was higher in females as compared to males, this might be due to better socialization and stress coping by females in our sample population. These results were consistent with results of Sheung-Tak and Chan, who discovered that social networking has more influence upon female Life satisfaction comparing to male and Vinsi M.S also revealed the findings that the life satisfaction level was high and satisfactory in female old age populations in comparison to males geriatrics staying in old age home (Z test score higher than tabulated value 5.8 >1.96).

In this study, Life satisfaction score was statistically significant with monthly income. Mean score of life satisfaction decreases as monthly income increases, these results were contrary with research work of Jiménez et al, which suggested that life satisfaction increases as moving to high income group (p<0.01). Current study showed life satisfaction was high in those individuals who have primary education, while a cross country survey by De-Neve et al found positive effect of high education on life satisfaction, these both results might be due to psychological acceptance of people regarding their education and income.

In present study statistically significant relationship was found between life satisfaction and marital status. Score was highest in single person these results were contrary to researches done by Zakaria et al which showed ore life satisfaction with being married (p-value <0.05). The difference might be due to marital status affecting their life decisions and positions. Our study showed statistically significant relationship between un employment and life satisfaction score, unemployed individuals were more satisfied with their
Life satisfaction was found statistically significant older age, female gender, being unmarried, primary education, monthly income of less than 10,000 and being unemployed. These results are quite different from other researches published in past, more studies have to be done to explore these variables in detail to obtain local data.
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