Sertraline and Citalopram Actions on Gut Barrier Function
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Abstract

Introduction Disruption of intestinal barrier is a key component to various diseases. Whether barrier dysfunction is the cause or effect in these situations is still unknown, although it is believed that translocation of luminal content may initiate gastrointestinal or systemic inflammatory disorders. Since trauma- or infection-driven epithelial permeability depends on Toll-like receptor (TLR) activity, inhibition of TLR signaling has been proposed as a strategy to protect intestinal barrier integrity after infection or other pathological conditions. Recently, selective serotonin recapture inhibitors including sertraline and citalopram were shown to inhibit TLR-3 activity, but the direct effects of these antidepressant drugs on the gut mucosa barrier remain largely unexplored.

Materials and methods To investigate this, two approaches were used: first, ex vivo studies were performed to evaluate sertraline and citalopram-driven changes in permeability in isolated intestinal tissue. Second, both compounds were tested for their preventive effects in a rat model of disrupted gut barrier, induced by a low protein (LP) diet.

Results Only sertraline was able to increase transepithelial electrical resistance in the rat colon both when used in an ex vivo (0.8 μg/mL, 180 min) or in vivo (30 mg/kg p.o., 20 days) fashion. However, citalopram (20 mg/kg p.o., 20 days), but not sertraline, prevented the increase in phospho–IRF3 protein, a marker of TLR-3 activation, in LP-rat ileum. Neither antidepressant affected locomotion, anxiety-like behaviours or stress-induced defecation.

Conclusion Our data provides evidence to support the investigation of sertraline as therapeutic strategy to protect intestinal barrier function under life-threatening situations or chronic conditions associated with gut epithelial disruption.
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Introduction

Maintenance of intestinal barrier integrity is essential to a healthy status. Diverse chronic disorders, including inflammatory bowel disease, diabetes, and rheumatologic disorders, are associated with a disruption of intestinal barrier function [1–3]. Moreover, a proportion of patients admitted in intensive care units due to critical illness or injury also display increased gut permeability [4, 5] which may elevate the risk of sepsis, multiple organ failure, and probably the risk of death [6, 7].

Particularly relevant to mucosal immune function, a family of transmembrane receptors known as Toll-like receptors (TLRs) recognize conserved molecular patterns found in microbial pathogens [8, 9]. In epithelia, TLRs also participate in injury-induced inflammation and tissue repair mechanisms [10]. In this regard, we have demonstrated that poly(I:C), a TLR-3 agonist, affects gut epithelial permeability in a way that is region-specific: In the rat ileum, poly(I:C) reduced transepithelial electrical resistance, a phenomenon that is interpreted as an increase in tissue permeability [11]. This was accompanied by a reduced expression of claudin 7 (unpublished data by Olavarría-Ramírez 2015), a protein associated both with cell adhesion and tight junction maintenance [12]. On the contrary, in the rat colon, poly(I:C) decreased permeability to macromolecules and prevented epithelial weakening [11]. In the DSS-induced colitis mouse...
model, poly(I:C) exerts a protecting effect in the colon that includes lower disease activity index score and enhanced expression of an important tight junction protein, Zonula occludens 1 (ZO-1) [13].

TLR-3’s natural ligand is viral double-stranded RNA, of which poly(I:C) is a synthetic mimic [14]. However, TLR activity can also be modulated by ligands that do not have a microbial origin. In this regard, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs), which have been known for a while to have anti-inflammatory effects [15–17], have gained attention due to their ability to inhibit TLR activity. The use of a high-throughput screening system allowed identifying the antidepressant sertraline as a TLR-3 inhibitor in vitro [18]. Antidepressant effects on innate immunity have also been demonstrated in an animal model of rheumatoid arthritis: Citalopram exerts potent anti-inflammatory effects that are mediated through TLR-3 inhibition [19]. Together, these data suggest there is great potential for modulating intestinal barrier function by targeting TLR-3 activity with SSRIs such as citalopram or sertraline. Therefore, in this work we aimed to evaluate changes in permeability induced by citalopram or sertraline in isolated intestinal tissue. Also, the protective effect of each agent was tested in a rat model of disrupted gut barrier function, induced by administration of a low protein (LP) diet [20].

Materials and Methods

Animals

Male Sprague–Dawley rats were maintained on a 12:12 h dark–light cycle with temperature at 20 °C ± 1 °C. Food and water were provided ad libitum for all experiments. Killing was performed by thiopental overdose followed by decapitation. Protocols for animal care and use were approved by the institutional ethics committee of the Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso, Chile.

Experimental Design

Three experiments were conducted for this study. For experiment 1 (initial $n = 8$), ileum and colon from naïve rats were obtained at postnatal day (PND) 60 and exposed to vehicle (0.9% NaCl or saline), citalopram, or sertraline ex vivo. For experiment 2, rats were fed with a low protein (LP) diet for 5, 10, or 20 days, and all of them were at PND 60 on the last day of treatment, when plasma samples were taken to perform corticosterone assay (initial $n = 5$, 4, 4, and 5 for control diet, 5, 10, and 20 days of LP diet, respectively). For experiment 3, rats were fed with a low protein (LP) diet for 20 days and were simultaneously given (by means of daily oral gavage) vehicle (saline), citalopram, or sertraline; they were compared to age-matched rats fed a control diet and daily treated with vehicle (20 days, oral gavage). In a first cohort of rats (initial $n = 9$ for groups Vehicle and LP, $n = 6$ for groups LP + S and LP + C) from experiment 3, intestinal tissue and blood plasma samples were obtained at PND 60 to perform functional/molecular gut tests and corticosterone assay, respectively. A second cohort of rats ($n = 4$ for all groups) from experiment 3 was employed to perform an open field test at PND 60. The numbers here stated indicate how many animals were destined to each experiment. Details regarding diet and drug treatments are provided in the following section.

Diet and Drugs

Control diet was a standard grain-based diet (ProLab RMH3000 from Purina, energy density: 4.1 kcal/g). Kilocalories were distributed as follows: protein 25.967%, fat 14.022%, and carbohydrates 60.011%. Experimental, low protein (LP) diet was a custom-made purified diet (Purina, energy density: 4.05 kcal/g). Kilocalories were distributed as follows: protein 4.1%, fat 14.9%, and carbohydrates 81.0%. The low protein food was stained blue for easy recognition. Intestinal tissues obtained for ex vivo experiments (“experiment 1”) were taken from rats fed exclusively with control diet. These tissues were exposed to either vehicle (saline), citalopram (3, 30, or 300 μg/mL), or sertraline (0.8, 8 or 80 μg/mL).

In the case of the in vivo time-course experiment (“experiment 2”) after weaning at postnatal day (PND) 21, animals from group “0” were fed the control diet until PND 60, being this the day of sample obtaining. Animals from group “5” were fed the control diet until PND 54 and then the LP diet from PND 55 until PND 60 (i.e., a total of 5 days). Animals from group “10” were fed the control diet until PND 49 and then the LP diet from PND 50 until PND 60 (i.e., a total of 10 days). Animals from group “20” were fed the control diet until PND 39 and then the LP diet from PND 40 until PND 60 (i.e., a total of 20 days). In the case of the in vivo SSRI experiment (“experiment 3”), after weaning at postnatal day (PND) 21, all animals were fed the control diet until PND 39. Thereafter, they were separated into the control group, which was kept under the same diet until PND 60, and the low protein (LP) group, which was fed the low protein diet from PND 40 to PND 60. The LP group was subdivided into vehicle treated (saline daily p.o.), citalopram (20 mg/kg/day p.o.), or sertraline (30 mg/kg/day p.o.). Body weight and health status were monitored daily.
Tissue Sampling

Intestinal tissue samples were obtained at PND 60. Fecal contents were rinsed with saline (0.9% NaCl). Samples from both ileal and colonic tissue were distributed as follows: (starting from the proximal end of tissue) one cm was fixed in paraformaldehyde for morphological evaluation, and the next cm was used to obtain a mucosal scraping. The remaining tissue was divided into two pieces, for Ussing chamber and everted gut sac techniques, respectively.

Transepithelial Electrical Resistance

The electrical resistance of an epithelial barrier is inversely proportional to its permeability. To measure this parameter, colon and ileum samples previously rinsed with saline were placed in oxygenated (95% O2, 5% CO2) Krebs buffer (1.2 mM NaH2PO4, 117 mM NaCl, 4.8 mM KCl, 1.2 mM MgCl2, 25 mM NaHCO3, 2.5 mM CaCl2 and 11 mM glucose). The muscle layers were stripped away, leaving only the mucosa and submucosa. Ileal preparations, which produce a substantial amount of mucus, were pre-incubated in oxygenated Krebs buffer at 37 °C for 20 min to clear some of this mucus. Preparations were then placed in Ussing chambers (EasyMount from Warner Instruments, Whitehall, PA, USA. Exposed area of 0.3 cm2) as described previously [20] with oxygenated Krebs buffer maintained at 37 °C, and voltage-clamped at 0 mV (World Precision Instruments, Sarasota, FL, USA). In the case of colon preparations, these were immediately placed in the Ussing chambers (exposed area of 0.3 cm2), as described before [20]. For in vivo experiments, tissues were studied as described, for ex vivo experiments, either vehicle, citalopram (3, 30, or 300 μg/mL), or sertraline (0.8, 8, or 80 μg/mL) was added on the serosal side of ileum and colon preparations. Short-circuit current responses were continuously monitored using LabScribe 2 software (World Precision Instruments). After stabilization, a 2 mV challenge was applied for 5 s in order to calculate transepithelial electrical resistance (TEER).

Permeability to Macromolecules

Finding a decrease in TEER is indicative of increased permeability but does not reveal the size of the chemical species that are passing through, which could be ions, small molecules, or even macromolecules. To further investigate this, the permeability to two molecules, with a MW of 4.4 and 40 kD, respectively, was assayed. Colon and ileum samples previously rinsed were placed in oxygenated Krebs buffer as described earlier. The procedure was conducted as described in [20]; in brief, tissues were everted using a crochet hook, thereby leaving the mucosa on the outer side. To prepare a sac, one end of a 2–3 cm everted gut segment was tied and the other end was affixed to a modified 2 mL cryovial, which allowed for repeated sampling. Each cryovial-intestine unit was then filled with a known volume of Krebs buffer and placed in a 15 mL centrifuge tube containing oxygenated Krebs buffer. All tubes also contained 30 μg/mL TRITC-dextran 4.4 kD (TD4.4) and 30 μg/mL FITC-dextran 40 kD (FD40). Tissues were incubated at 37 °C, and 60 μL samples were taken from the internal medium every 60 min for up to 180 min, which is the maximum time intestinal tissue remains vital in an ex vivo setup [21]. Internal medium samples were diluted 1:10 before performing fluorimetric analyses. Fluorescence (TD4.4: λex546, λem575 and FD40: λex490, λem520) was measured in a FluoroMax-2 fluorometer (Jobin-Yvon-SpeX, Edison, NJ, USA). Standard curves were used to obtain the concentration of each fluorophore (TD4.4 limit of detection: 71 ng/mL—limit of quantification: 238 ng/mL; FD40 limit of detection: 2 ng/mL—limit of quantification: 7 ng/mL). At the end of the assay, the mucosal area was measured. The volume of medium and the exposed mucosal area in each sac were used to express fluorophore transit as pmoles/cm2 at each sampling time.

Western Blot

Intestinal mucosa obtained by tissue scraping was homogenized in ice-cold lysis buffer (HEPES 10 mM, pH 7.9, KCl 10 mM, EDTA 0.1 mM, EGTA 0.1 mM, Triton X-100 0.06%, dithiothreitol 0.5 mM and protease inhibitors PMSF 0.1 mM, Na3VO4 0.1 mM, NaF 0.02 mM, NaPPi 0.025 mM, leupeptin 2 μg/mL, and aprotinin 2 μg/mL). Protein concentration was determined using Qubit® protein assay (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA). 30 μg of protein from each mucosal sample was separated by SDS-PAGE (12%, Sigma, Darmstadt, Germany) and transferred to PVDF membranes (Millipore, Burlington, MA, USA). The membranes were blocked for 1.5 h at room temperature (Tris-buffered saline containing 0.1% Tween-20 and 5% BSA). Mouse anti-total-IRF3 antibody (Santa Cruz, Dallas, TX, USA) or rabbit anti-phospho-IRF3 antibody (Cell Signaling, Danvers, MA, USA) was dilutet 1:1000 in blocking solution and applied overnight at 4 °C. After repeated washing, membranes were incubated for 2 h at room temperature with peroxidase-conjugated anti-mouse or anti-rabbit secondary antibody (1:5000. Jackson ImmunoResearch, West Grove, PA, USA), respectively. Signal was developed using Western-Lightning® Plus-ECL (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA, USA). Autoradiographic films were scanned and band intensity evaluated by densitometry. For this study, samples were run in singlicate. Membranes were subjected to phospho-IRF3 western blot protocol, then treated for 1 h at RT with a stripping solution (consisting of 0.02% Ponceau red, 0.3% trichloroacetic acid, and 0.3% sulphasalicylic acid),
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thoroughly washed with PBS-T, and used to detect total-IRF3. Data were analyzed blindly using Multi Gauge software (Fuji Film, Tokyo, Japan) and expressed as the ratio of phospho-IRF3 (pIRF3) to total-IRF3 (tIRF3) band intensity.

**Immunofluorescence and Confocal Microscopy**

Colon and ileum segments previously rinsed with saline were fixed overnight in 10 mM PBS containing 4% paraformaldehyde, dehydrated in PBS containing 30% sucrose, and stored at −80 °C. The frozen tissue was brought to −20 °C to be cut into transverse sections (4 μm thick) and mounted on glass slides. After antigen retrieval (incubation in 10 mM citrate buffer, ph 6, 5 min at 90 °C and then at 5 min at RT), tissues were washed in PBS containing Triton X-100 (0.3%), blocked with a protein block serum free (Dako), and incubated overnight at 4 °C with the primary antibody (Rabbit anti-ZO-1 from Invitrogen) diluted 1:200 in Dako blocking solution. The secondary antibody (Alexa Fluor 488 donkey anti-rabbit) was diluted 1:1000 in PBS-T and applied for 1 h at RT. Tissues were then washed and nuclei stained with 1 μM DAPI solution (Invitrogen), 2 min at RT, and coverslips were applied using Prolong Diamond (Molecular Probes/LifeTechnologies).

Stained sections were observed using a Carl Zeiss (LSM 700) confocal microscope, under ZEN control software. Photographs were captured at 40X and analyzed with ImageJ 1.50i. Five photographs from each three non-consecutive sections were evaluated per animal. ZO-1 signal was identified as positive immunofluorescent dots located at the apical side and between enterocytes. ZO-1 signal dots were counted along the epithelium, and results were expressed as number of positive signals/μm of epithelium.

**Open Field (OF)**

This test was performed as described before [22]. Briefly, at postnatal day 60, rats (n = 4 per group) were individually placed in a 60 × 60 cm apparatus and behavior was recorded for 5 min with a camera located 1.5 m above the test site. After each test, the apparatus was cleaned with 5% ethanol. Once all videos were acquired, manual quantification was carried out in a blind fashion. The arena was divided into 16 squares, where the inner 4 squares determined the central area of the arena. From the OF test, the following parameters were evaluated to identify stress-related behaviors: fecal pellet output, time spent in the center, and time remained in the peripheral zone.

**Corticosterone Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA)**

Blood was collected upon decapitation and was obtained from rats without stress. A commercially available ELISA kit was used to quantify the levels of the stress hormone corticosterone in the plasma according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Corticosterone kit, Enzo LifeSciences, NY, USA).

**Statistical Analyses**

Values are expressed as mean ± SEM. Ex vivo TEER data were analyzed by nonparametric 2-way ANOVA, and any overall statistical differences were further examined using Bonferroni test (GraphPad Prism 5 Software Inc. GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA). In vivo TEER data, macromolecule permeability, western blot, and immunofluorescence data were analyzed by nonparametric 1-way ANOVA, and any overall statistical differences were further examined using Dunn’s multiple comparison test. (GraphPad Prism 5 Software Inc. GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA). Significance was established at p < 0.05. Importantly, at the end of the functional assays, only tissues displaying healthy features were included in the analysis. The n numbers representing data that we were able to retrieve from each experience are shown in each figure legend.

**Results**

**Ex Vivo Effects of SSRI on the Permeability of Colon Tissue**

As a first approach, gut preparations from healthy rats were exposed to citalopram (0.3, 3 or 30 µg/mL) or sertraline (0.8 or 8 µg/mL) ex vivo and TEER was registered for up to 3 h. This allowed us to identify acute effects of each drug on healthy gut tissue. For sertraline, the lowest dose induced a significant increase in colon TEER (F(2, 84) = 11.57; p < 0.0001). Also, a Bonferroni posttest revealed that the highest dose had a significant effect at the 3 h time point (p < 0.05); in the case of citalopram, colon tissues treated with the highest dose also had a higher TEER than vehicle-treated tissues, but in a nonsignificant fashion (see Fig. 1a, b). In contrast to colonic tissue, ileal tissue preparations rapidly deteriorated under ex vivo treatment with sertraline and citalopram, and therefore, those data are not reported. Similar deterioration was observed in colon preparations treated with 80 µg/mL sertraline (not shown).
Stress-Associated Phenotype in Rats Subjected to LP Diet With and Without SSRIs

It has been previously reported by others and by us that low protein (LP) diet results in disruption of ileal and colonic barrier integrity [20, 23, 24]. We wanted to evaluate the influence of two SSRIs on gut epithelial barrier, without having confounding systemic effects such as stress-induced hyperpermeability. Therefore, we verified whether the phenotype associated with 1) a 20-day LP diet and 2) a 20-day LP diet plus daily sertraline (30 mg/kg/day) or citalopram (20 mg/kg/day) involved behavioral or endocrine alterations associated with stress. Figure 2a shows that corticosterone levels were not significantly increased along LP treatment in naïve rats, in comparison with rats fed a regular chow. Also, neither citalopram nor sertraline was able to modify corticosterone levels in the plasma of LP-treated rats (Fig. 2b). As expected, basal corticosterone levels in vehicle rats subjected to oral gavage (Fig. 2b) were higher than in control diet naïve rats (Fig. 2a). In the open field, LP rats had a locomotor activity and fecal pellet output that was not different from vehicle rats (Fig. 2c, d). Likewise, these parameters were not affected by sertraline or citalopram. Regarding the time spent in the center and periphery of the open field, no effect was observed under LP diet or LP diet plus an SSRI (see Fig. 2e, f). Reference values for stress-induced fecal pellet output in the open field as well as differences in time spent in the center and periphery of the open field as result of anxiogenic experimental conditions can be found in [22, 25].

In Vivo Effects of SSRIs on Zonula Occludens-1 (ZO-1) in the Gut Mucosa

The density of pixels corresponding to the immunoreactive signal of ZO-1 (a protein found in tight junctions) in ileum and colon mucosa was not modified by either LP diet or LP diet plus sertraline or citalopram (not shown). However, when counting the number of discrete spots of positive ZO-1 immunoreactive signal along the mucosal epithelium (see Fig. 5a), there was an overall effect of LP diet, but only in the ileum (Fig. 5b, c). Moreover, the ileum of the LP-treated plus citalopram group was the only to display a significant decrease in the amount of stained regions, in comparison with the vehicle group (Fig. 5b).

In Vivo Effects of SSRIs on the Abundance of Phospho-IRF3 in the Gut Mucosa

In order to assess whether modulation of intestinal barrier function with citalopram or sertraline is associated with inhibition of TLR-3 activity, we looked at IRF3, a signaling molecule that is modified by phosphorylation upon TLR-3 activation. LP diet on its own induced a significant increase in the relative amount of phospho-IRF3 to total-IRF3 (pIRF3/IRF3) detected in mucosal scrapings from both ileum and colon (Fig. 6a). In the ileum, citalopram (but not sertraline) treatment prevented this increase in LP diet-treated rats. However, in the colon, neither sertraline nor citalopram treatment was able to modify the levels of pIRF3 in the gut mucosa (Fig. 6b).
Discussion

Antidepressants have been used for some time to improve gastrointestinal (GI) function in patients [26] being the main focus restoration of normal GI motility. Little is known about the direct effects of antidepressant drugs on the gut mucosa or the potential actions of antidepressant treatment on the intestinal barrier. The present work reports, for the first time, the in vivo effects of two SSRIs on diverse aspects of the rat gut barrier function.

For this study, a suitable model of dysfunctional gut barrier was required to show no signs of physiological stress. There were two reasons for this: First, stress-induced hyperpermeability could promote confounding systemic effects. Second, we wanted to distinguish the direct actions of antidepressants on the epithelial barrier from those potentially induced indirectly, by relieving stress. We had previously used a model based on protein malnutrition which displayed decreased transepithelial electrical resistance both at the ileum and colon [20], although we were unaware of potential

Fig. 2 Evaluation of physiological and behavioral markers of stress in rats fed a LP diet. a Time-course response of naïve rats under LP diet ($n=5$, 3, 4, and 5 for control diet, 5, 10, and 20 days of LP diet, respectively). b Corticosterone levels in rats that had a 20-day treatment with either: control diet plus vehicle (Vehicle), LP diet plus vehicle (LP), LP diet plus sertraline at 30 mg/kg/day (LP+S), or LP diet plus citalopram at 20 mg/kg/day (LP+C) ($n=7$, 7, 5, and 4, respectively). c–f Behavioral outcomes of LP rats under SSRI treatment when tested in the open field ($n=4$ for all groups). Data are expressed as mean ± SEM.
effects on the rat’s stress response. The low protein (LP) diet seemed to induce an early, nonsignificant increase in plasma corticosterone; however, by 20 days of diet, corticosterone levels were not different from those found in animals fed regular chow. Moreover, LP-fed rats did not show anxiety-like behaviors in the open field, in comparison with regular chow-fed controls, which would appear as increased fecal output, increased time in the periphery, and decreased time in the central area [22, 25]. Rats subjected to daily oral gavage had higher plasma corticosterone levels than naïve rats (compare control groups from Fig. 2a, b), although rats in the LP, LP + S, and LP + C groups were not under the effect of higher corticosterone levels than their own control group (vehicle-treated rats). Furthermore, SSRI treatment had no statistically significant effect on behavior and motor activity. These data allowed us to define this model as suitable for the present investigation. In order to be precise, we must draw attention to the fact that in our LP diet, proteins were replaced by carbohydrates. In addition, unlike the control diet, which is grain based, the LP diet here used was custom-made from purified nutrients which contain substantially less fiber. Therefore, it is likely that the alterations reported

Fig. 3 Transepithelial electrical resistance (TEER) of gut preparations obtained from animals that had a 20-day treatment with either: control diet plus vehicle (Vehicle), LP diet plus vehicle (LP), LP diet plus sertraline at 30 mg/kg/day (LP+S), or LP diet plus citalopram at 20 mg/kg/day (LP+C). a Ileum TEER (n = 5, 4, 3, and 5, respectively). b Colon TEER (n = 5, 5, 5, and 4, respectively). In both (a) and (b), a posttest was performed to compare LP with vehicle, and LP + S or LP + C with LP group. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM. *p < 0.05 LP versus Vehicle and #p < 0.05 LP + S versus LP in Dunn’s multiple comparison test.

Fig. 4 Permeability to macro-molecules in gut preparations obtained from animals that had a 20-day treatment with either: control diet plus vehicle (Vehicle), LP diet plus vehicle (LP), LP diet plus sertraline at 30 mg/kg/day (LP+S), or LP diet plus citalopram at 20 mg/kg/day (LP+C). a Ileum permeability to 4.4 kD dextran (n = 9, 6, 6, and 4, respectively). b Ileum permeability to 40 kD dextran (n = 6, 7, 5, and 4, respectively). c Colon permeability to 4.4 kD dextran (n = 9, 9, 5, and 4, respectively). d Colon permeability to 40 kD dextran (n = 7, 7, 5, and 4, respectively). Data are expressed as mean ± SEM.
here are the result of a combination of effects driven by low protein (4.1% of energy vs. 26% in control diet) high carbohydrate (81% of energy vs. 60% in control diet), and low fiber content (3.9% of mass vs. 24.5% in control diet), including the corresponding repercussions in gut microbiota.

The present investigation was addressed to citalopram and sertraline, two of the three SSRI drugs with reported anti-inflammatory effects associated with TLR-3 inhibition [18, 19]. Fluoxetine was the third compound with such reported effects; however, we left it out of this study because it showed no effect on our exploratory ex vivo gut permeability assays (data not shown). For our ex vivo experiments, we tested a range of concentrations including those reported to have anti-inflammatory effects [18, 19] and we found that sertraline induced a small, significant reduction in colon permeability, and citalopram generated a larger, although not statistically significant effect, which led us to proceed with the in vivo experiments. Also, citalopram was used instead of escitalopram; while the S-enantiomer has been described to have a higher potency than the racemic mixture citalopram in reducing anxiety-like behaviors in rodents [27] as well as a greater efficacy in outpatient treatment of major depressive disorder [28], no such descriptions are available regarding TLR-3 binding and the subsequent anti-inflammatory effects. Therefore, we decided to use the racemic mixture that was proven by [19] to have TLR-3 inhibiting properties. Oral instead of intraperitoneal dosage of drugs was selected to ensure a good availability to the GI tract and hence to the intestinal mucosa, considering that significant fecal elimination is suggested for both oral citalopram and sertraline [29]. The doses here used were based on two reports showing anti-inflammatory effects of SSRIs in rodent models of rheumatoid arthritis [19, 30]. Most SSRIs, including sertraline and citalopram, have a half-life of 24–36 h in humans. In rats, orally administered sertraline has been reported to have a half-life of 4 h [31], remarkably shorter than in humans. On the other hand, the half-life of citalopram in rats is 1–1.6 h when the drug is administered intraperitoneally; lower bioavailability is expected for the oral route, due to increased biotransformation in this animal model [32]. The enhanced metabolism of these two compounds in rats, in comparison with humans, has been described by several authors [32–35]. Moreover, Velez de Mendizabal and collaborators declare having unpublished data showing that citalopram metabolic rate by rat microsomes is 18-fold higher than in human microsomes [32]. Considering this, the oral doses of sertraline and citalopram used in the present work should be considered sub-effective in terms of behavior. Under this criterion, we decided to exclude two control groups from our design: Control diet + C and control diet + S, although we acknowledge this may be considered a caveat of the present study.

It has been previously shown that gut barrier defects are accompanied by decreased expression of tight junction proteins such as ZO-1 [36]. Alternatively, reduced apical localization of ZO-1 has also been described [37]. We observed a reduction in the number of ZO-1 immunoreactive sites in the gut mucosa of rats that were fed LP diet; however,
sertraline-induced barrier protection such as that observed in the colon must follow a different mechanism, as the effect on colon ZO-1 signal was not prevented in LP + S (or in LP + C) animals. Therefore, future studies should include a more comprehensive evaluation of other proteins found in intestinal tight junctions, such as occludin and claudins, to name a few [38].

According to the literature, SSRIs are able to attenuate proinflammatory responses in vitro [39] and in vivo [40]. Modulation of gut barrier function has been suggested as a mechanism behind an individual’s inflammatory status; therefore, we expected to observe a reinforcement of the epithelial barrier in gut tissues treated with either sertraline or citalopram. However, the effects of the SSRI compounds here tested were markedly different from each other. Whereas sertraline improved TEER in the colon both ex vivo and in vivo, citalopram had no significant effect. On the other hand, only citalopram was able to prevent, the LP diet-induced increase in phospho-IRF3, a signaling molecule associated with TLR3-induced inflammation [41]. Keeping in mind that the present study did not evaluate inflammation markers, these data not only imply that the search for a mechanism behind sertraline-induced barrier protection must continue, but also are in line with previous evidence suggesting that citalopram anti-inflammatory actions are mediated through TLR-3 inhibition [19]. While the drugs here studied share the same proposed mechanism when used to treat disorders of the central nervous system, our data suggest this is not the case when targeting the gut barrier function, although the implicated mechanism is not within the scope of this study.

Data on TLR-3 binding to DAMPs (damage-associated molecular patterns generated by cells) are solid but still very limited: Upon radiation-induced cell damage, non-coding eukaryotic RNA (such as U1 RNA) is released. The size of intact U1 RNA is about 160 nt, but after irradiation, fragments smaller than 100 nt are produced; these molecules are able to bind TLR-3 and elicit TLR-3-dependent signaling [42]. However, no data are available regarding the ability of TLR-3 to bind smaller dsRNA molecules, such as 20–30 nt miRNAs or siRNAs generated by Dicer activity [43]. This is unlikely according to crystallography data [44] and binding affinity studies [45] which show that, in order to form a stable complex with TLR-3, a dsRNA oligonucleotide must be at least 40–50 nt in length. Therefore, although certain dsRNA from the host can be recognized by TLRs, the ability of SSRIs to interfere with signaling elicited by TLR-3 binding to self-ligands (that is, in addition to viral or viral-like particles) remains to be investigated.

From the two types of functional assays that were performed, only those evaluating TEER showed significant effects of either LP diet or SSRI compounds. No changes were observed in the macromolecule permeability assays, on the other hand (Fig. 4). This may be interpreted as indicative of alterations in the gut barrier that affect the passage of molecules smaller than 4.4 kD, which was the MW of the smallest fluorescent tracer here used.

Ileal and colonic tissues displayed similar effects under LP diet, but not always did they show the same response to a particular SSRI. For example, in our ex vivo experiments both sertraline and citalopram seemed to induce rapid deterioration of ileal preparations, an effect that was not observed in colonic samples, with the exception of the highest dose of sertraline (80 μg/mL). Citalopram was able to prevent the increase in phospho-IRF3 induced by LP diet only in the ileum, but not in the colon, where relative phospho-IRF3 protein levels were similar to those induced by LP diet. Divergent results between these gut regions are not entirely unexpected, considering the fact that their microbiota composition, which ultimately shapes mucosal immunity, is markedly different [46].
SSRIs are under continuous investigation not only for alternative uses but also to discover novel targets and mechanisms explaining their currently known effects. Strong correlations have been found between the abundance of depression-related bacteria and anxiety- and depression-like behaviors in mice subjected to chronic unpredictable mild stress [47]. In that study, fluoxetine (12 mg/kg/day p.o.) restored gut dysbiosis induced by stress in mice, being able to correct the variation of depression-related bacteria [47]. In a similar focus, another study reported that gut bacteria transplanted from chronically stressed mice to naïve ones were able to induce a depressive phenotype and minimize fluoxetine (18 mg/kg/day) efficacy in the recipient via alterations in serotonin and tryptophan metabolism [48]. To date, no such data are available for sertraline or citalopram; however, if this was also the case for these two compounds, at least two types of mechanisms would be worth exploring regarding intestinal barrier protection: short term, potentially dysbiosis-independent effects, accounting for the ex vivo observations, and long term, potentially dysbiosis-related effects, accounting for the in vivo results here reported.

To conclude, the present work shows that citalopram and sertraline are able to affect gut mucosal function by either altering epithelial barrier properties, modifying tight junction protein localization, or changing the level of posttranscriptional modifications in signaling proteins. Moreover, this investigation provides evidence supporting further investigation of these SSRIs as therapeutic strategy to protect intestinal barrier function under life-threatening situations or pathological conditions associated with gut barrier disruption.
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