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Abstract. We consider the Dirichlet Laplace operator on open, quasi-bounded domains of infinite volume. For such domains semiclassical spectral estimates based on the phase-space volume – and therefore on the volume of the domain – must fail. Here we present a method how one can nevertheless prove uniform bounds on eigenvalues and eigenvalue means which are sharp in the semiclassical limit.

We give examples in horn-shaped regions and so-called spiny urchins. Some results are extended to Schrödinger operators defined on quasi-bounded domains with Dirichlet boundary conditions.

1. Introduction

Let $V(x)$ be a non-negative function on an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 1$. In this article we study the negative spectrum of Schrödinger operators

$$H_\Omega = -\Delta - V$$

defined in $L^2(\Omega)$ with Dirichlet conditions on the boundary of $\Omega$. More precisely, one defines $H_\Omega$ to be the self-adjoint operator generated by the quadratic form

$$\langle u, H_\Omega u \rangle = \int_\Omega |\nabla u(x)|^2 \, dx - \int_\Omega V(x) |u(x)|^2 \, dx,$$

with form domain $H^1_0(\Omega)$, see [BS87] for details. We always assume that $H_\Omega$ has purely discrete spectrum. Then the negative spectrum of $H_\Omega$ consists of finitely many eigenvalues $-\lambda_1 \leq -\lambda_2 \leq \cdots -\lambda_N < 0$, $N < \infty$, counted with multiplicity. In general, these eigenvalues cannot be calculated explicitly and for large $N$ it is difficult to approximate them numerically. Hence, to deduce information about the eigenvalues one studies also the Riesz means

$$R_\sigma(V; \Omega) = \text{Tr}(H_\Omega)^\sigma = \sum_{k=1}^N \lambda_k^\sigma$$

defined in $L^2(\Omega)$, see [BS87] for details. We always assume that $H_\Omega$ has purely discrete spectrum. Then the negative spectrum of $H_\Omega$ consists of finitely many eigenvalues $-\lambda_1 \leq -\lambda_2 \leq \cdots -\lambda_N < 0$, $N < \infty$, counted with multiplicity. In general, these eigenvalues cannot be calculated explicitly and for large $N$ it is difficult to approximate them numerically. Hence, to deduce information about the eigenvalues one studies also the Riesz means

$$R_\sigma(V; \Omega) = \text{Tr}(H_\Omega)^\sigma = \sum_{k=1}^N \lambda_k^\sigma$$

of order $\sigma \geq 0$ and their dependence on $\Omega$ and $V$.

The first rigorous step in this direction dates back to H. Weyl, R. Courant and D. Hilbert [Wey12, CH24] who calculated the semiclassical limit of the eigenvalues in the case of a constant potential. To state the general result let us introduce a scaling parameter $\lambda > 0$ and replace the potential $V$ by $\lambda V$. Then for $\sigma \geq 0$ and $V \in L^{\sigma + d/2}(\Omega)$ the limit

$$R_\sigma(\lambda V; \Omega) = L^d_{\sigma, d} \int_\Omega V(x) \, dx \lambda^{\sigma + d/2} + o(\lambda^{\sigma + d/2}), \quad \lambda \to \infty,$$

$$\lambda \to \infty,$$ (1)
holds with the semiclassical constant

$$L_{\sigma,d}^{cl} = \frac{\Gamma(\sigma + 1)}{(4\pi)^{d/2}\Gamma(\sigma + \frac{d}{2} + 1)},$$

see e.g. [RS78]. To get information about finite potentials one needs to supplement this asymptotic result with uniform estimates. In [LT76] it was shown that for $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\sigma > \max\{0, 1 - d/2\}$ the estimate

$$R_\sigma(V; \mathbb{R}^d) \leq L_{\sigma,d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} V(x)^{\sigma + d/2} \, dx$$

holds with certain positive constants $L_{\sigma,d}$. These inequalities have many important applications, for example, in proving the stability of matter [Lie97, LS10].

Finding the best constants for which the Lieb-Thirring inequalities hold, poses a substantial mathematical challenge. In [LW00] the inequalities were established for $\sigma \geq 3/2$ with the sharp constants $L_{\sigma,d} = L_{\sigma,d}^{cl}$. This result immediately implies that for any open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $\sigma \geq 3/2$, and any non-negative potential $V \in L^{\sigma + d/2}(\Omega)$

$$R_\sigma(V; \Omega) \leq L_{\sigma,d}^{cl} \int_{\Omega} V(x)^{\sigma + d/2} \, dx.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

If $V \in L^{\sigma + d/2}(\Omega)$ then both (1) and (2) hold and we see that the bound (2) is sharp: It shows the correct power of $V$ and holds with the sharp constant.

In this article we are interested in the case $V \notin L^{\sigma + d/2}(\Omega)$, where the bound (2) and even the asymptotics (1) must fail and one needs to find a new approach to get sharp uniform bounds on eigenvalues means. If $V \notin L^{\sigma + d/2}(\Omega)$ the leading order of the semiclassical limit depends on the potential $V$ and on the geometry of $\Omega$ and it is challenging to find estimates that take these dependencies into account.

Let us discuss the case of constant potential $V \equiv \Lambda > 0$ on $\Omega$ in more detail. If $\Omega$ is bounded then the semiclassical limit (1) reads as

$$R_\sigma(\Lambda; \Omega) = L_{\sigma,d}^{cl} |\Omega| \Lambda^{\sigma + d/2} + o(\Lambda^{\sigma + d/2}), \quad \sigma \geq 0, \quad \Lambda \to \infty,$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $|\Omega|$ denotes the volume of $\Omega$. In this case the asymptotic results are supplemented by the Berezin-Lieb-Li-Yau inequality [Ber72, Lie73, LY83]: For $\sigma \geq 1$

$$R_\sigma(\Lambda; \Omega) \leq L_{\sigma,d}^{cl} |\Omega| \Lambda^{\sigma + d/2}, \quad \Lambda > 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Again, the constant in this inequality is sharp and cannot be improved. However, under certain conditions on the geometry of $\Omega$ a negative second term exists in the semiclassical limit (3), see [Ivt80, Hör85, SY97, Ivt98, FG10], and the question arises whether (1) can be improved by an additional negative correction term. Recently, several results have been found giving answer to this question [PLU02, Mel03, Wei08, KVW09, GW10, GLW11]. In [FLU02] the corresponding sharp estimate for the discrete Laplacian was improved by a negative remainder term capturing the properties of the second term of the semiclassical limit. The first uniform improvement for the continuous Laplacian is due to A. Melás [Mel03]. He improved the estimate (4) for $\sigma \geq 1$, however, the remainder does not reflect the correct order of the second term of the semiclassical limit.

In [Wei08] this was improved in the case $\sigma \geq 3/2$. Using an inductive argument based on operator-valued Lieb-Thirring inequalities [LW00] the Berezin inequality (4) was strengthened by a negative remainder term of correct order in comparison with the second term of
the semiclassical limit. Here we are not concerned with the remainder term but we apply the same inductive argument to derive sharp spectral inequalities in domains of infinite volume.

However, for unbounded domains $\Omega$ even the discreteness of the spectrum of the Dirichlet Laplacian is no longer guaranteed. A necessary condition is the so called quasi-boundedness of $\Omega$ [AF03] which is satisfied, by definition, if
\[
\lim_{|x| \to \infty} \text{dist}(x, \partial \Omega) = 0.
\]
But even for quasi-bounded domains [3] and [4] must fail if the volume of $\Omega$ is infinite. In this article we show that one can nevertheless prove uniform bounds on the eigenvalue means for certain domains with infinite volume. In this case the leading order of the semiclassical limit depends on the geometry of $\Omega$, see e.g. [Ple78, Sim83]. However, applying the induction-in-the-dimension argument from [Wei08] we can prove sharp estimates valid for all $\Lambda > 0$ that capture the correct asymptotic behavior.

If the potential $V$ is not constant the situation is more difficult. The same inductive argument can still be used to reduce the problem to one dimension. But in contrast to the case of constant potential the eigenvalues of the resulting one-dimensional operator cannot be calculated explicitly. Therefore we have to study the one-dimensional problem in more detail. In particular, we have to analyze the effect of different boundary conditions on the eigenvalues. The result yields an improved version of the semiclassical bound (2). Again, this sharp Lieb-Thirring inequality with remainder term can be applied in situations, where all known results – in particular (1) and (2) – fail.

The remainder of the article is structured as follows. First we mention some key ingredients of the proofs. In particular, we review the induction-in-the-dimension argument from [Wei08] and adapt it to our needs here. This is done in Section 2.

In Section 3 we consider constant potentials on domains with infinite volume. We give examples, where the leading order of the semiclassical limit depends on the geometry of the domain $\Omega$. In this situation we derive sharp upper bounds on the eigenvalue means.

The last part of the article is devoted to the general setting of non-constant potentials. In Section 4 we first analyze the effect of different boundary conditions on the eigenvalues of one-dimensional Schrödinger operators. We find an improvement of (2) that can be generalized to higher dimensions. Finally, we give an example to show that the result applies for certain potentials $V \notin L^{\sigma+d/2}(\Omega)$.

2. Induction in the Dimension

In this section we prove an inequality reducing estimates for eigenvalue means of the operator $H_{\Omega}$ to estimates for one-dimensional Schrödinger operators. The proof relies on a lifting technique from [Lap97] and uses operator-valued Lieb-Thirring inequalities [LW00]. Here we follow the proof from [Wei08], where this approach of induction-in-the-dimension is employed to derive improvements of (1) for constant potentials.

Fix a Cartesian coordinate system in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ write $x = (x', t) \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{R}$. For $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ consider one-dimensional sections $\Omega(x') = \{ t \in \mathbb{R} : (x', t) \in \Omega \}$. If not empty, each section $\Omega(x')$ consists of at most countably many open intervals $J_k(x') \subset \mathbb{R}$, $k = 1, \ldots, N(x') \leq \infty$. 
For \( x = (x', t) \in \Omega \) put \( V_{x'}(t) = V(x) \) and let the one-dimensional Schrödinger operators
\[
H_k(x') = -\frac{d^2}{dt^2} - V_{x'}, \quad k = 1, \ldots, N(x'),
\]
be defined in \( L^2(J_k(x')) \) with Dirichlet boundary conditions. Finally let
\[
W(x', V) = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{N(x')} H_k(x')_-
\]
be the negative part of the Schrödinger operator \(-d^2/dt^2 - V_{x'}\) given on \( \Omega(x') \) with Dirichlet boundary conditions at the endpoints of each interval forming \( \Omega(x') \), that is, on the boundary of \( \Omega(x') \).

Using operator-valued Lieb-Thirring inequalities one can estimate eigenvalue means of \( H_\Omega \) in terms of \( W(x', V) \).

**Proposition 2.1.** For \( \sigma \geq 3/2 \) we have
\[
R_\sigma(V; \Omega) \leq L_{\sigma,d-1}^{cl} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} \text{Tr} W(x', V)^{\sigma+(d-1)/2} \, dx'.
\]

**Remark.** In the case of constant potential \( V \equiv \Lambda > 0 \) the trace of \( W(x', \Lambda) \) can be evaluated explicitly. If \( \Omega \) is bounded, a detailed analysis of the resulting estimate leads to improved Berezin-Li-Yau inequalities with a remainder term capturing the properties of the second term of the semiclassical limit \([\text{Wei08}, \text{GW10}, \text{GLW11}]\).

**Proof of Proposition 2.1.** We consider the quadratic form \( \langle u, H_\Omega u \rangle \) and evaluate it on functions \( u \) from the form core \( C_0^\infty(\Omega) \). We get
\[
\langle u, H_\Omega u \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} = \|\nabla' u\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} - \int_{\Omega} V |u|^2 \, dx
\]
\[
= \|\nabla' u\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} dx' \int_{\Omega(x')} \left( |\partial_{t} u(x', t)|^2 - V_{x'}(t) |u(x', t)|^2 \right) \, dt,
\]
where \( \nabla' \) denotes the gradient in the first \((d-1)\)-coordinates.

For fixed \( x' \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \) the functions \( u(x', \cdot) \) belong to \( C_0^\infty(\Omega(x')) \) and therefore to the form core of \( W(x', V) \). It follows that
\[
\langle u, H_\Omega u \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} \geq \|\nabla' u\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} \langle u(x', \cdot), W(x', V)u(x', \cdot) \rangle_{L^2(\Omega(x'))} \, dx'.
\]

(6)

To apply operator-valued Lieb-Thirring inequalities we need to extend these forms to \( \mathbb{R}^d \). More precisely, we extend both sides of (6) by zero to \( C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \partial \Omega) \) which is a form core of \((-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Omega}) \oplus H_\Omega \). This operator corresponds to the left-hand side of (6), while the semi-bounded form on the right-hand side is closed on the larger domain \( H^1(\mathbb{R}^{d-1}, L^2(\mathbb{R})) \), where it corresponds to the operator
\[
-\Delta' \otimes I - W(x', V)
\]
(7)
defined in \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1}, L^2(\mathbb{R})) \). Due to the positivity of \((-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Omega}) \) the variational principle implies
\[
R_\sigma(V; \Omega) = \text{Tr} \left(-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Omega} \oplus H_\Omega\right)_-^{\sigma} \leq \text{Tr} \left(-\Delta' \otimes I - W(x', V)\right)_-^{\sigma}.
\]

(8)
Now we apply sharp Lieb-Thirring inequalities \cite{LW00} to the Schrödinger operator \((7)\) with operator-valued potential \(W(x', V)\). For \(\sigma \geq 3/2\) we obtain

\[\text{Tr} \left( -\Delta' \otimes I - W(x', V) \right)^\sigma \leq L^\text{cl}_{\sigma,d-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} \text{Tr} W(x', V)^{\sigma+(d-1)/2} \, dx' \quad (9)\]

and the claim follows from \((8)\) and \((9)\).

\[\square\]

### 3. Constant potentials

In this section we assume \(V \equiv \Lambda > 0\) on quasi-bounded open sets \(\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d, d \geq 2\). First we remark the following relations between the eigenvalue means. For \(0 \leq \gamma < \sigma\) we have \[AL78\]

\[R_\sigma(\Lambda; \Omega) = \frac{1}{B(\gamma + 1, \sigma - \gamma)} \int_0^\infty \tau^{\sigma - \gamma - 1} R_\gamma((\Lambda - \tau)^+; \Omega) \, d\tau, \quad (10)\]

where \(B\) denotes the Beta-function. Hence one can use bounds or asymptotic results for \(R_\gamma\) to deduce the respective results for \(R_\sigma\) with \(\sigma > \gamma \geq 0\). Conclusions from eigenvalue means of higher order to means of lower order are more cumbersome since eigenvalue means of lower order are less smooth. To derive uniform bounds on the counting function, that is, on \(R_0(\Lambda; \Omega)\) one can make use of the estimate \[Lap97\]

\[R_0(\Lambda; \Omega) \leq (\tau \Lambda)^{-\sigma} R_\sigma((1 + \tau)\Lambda; \Omega), \quad \tau > 0, \quad \Lambda > 0, \quad \sigma > 0, \quad (11)\]

and optimize the right hand side in \(\tau > 0\). In general, sharp constants are lost but usually the correct order of growth in \(\Lambda\) is preserved.

In the following we consider specific domains with infinite volume. The discreteness of the spectrum of the Dirichlet Laplace operator defined on these domains can be deduced from the following sufficient condition \[Ada70\].

**Lemma 3.1.** Let \(\Omega\) be an open subset of \(\mathbb{R}^d\) and let \(Q\) be a cube with sides parallel to the coordinate axes. Let \(\mu_{d-1}(Q, \Omega)\) denote the maximum of the \((d-1)\)-dimensional measure of \(P(Q \setminus \Omega)\), where the maximum is taken over all projections \(P\) onto \((d-1)\)-dimensional faces of \(Q\).

Assume that for every \(\epsilon > 0\) there exist \(h \leq 1\) and \(r \geq 0\) such that for every cube \(Q\) of side length \(h\) with sides parallel to the coordinate axes and with \(Q \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : |x| > r\} \neq \emptyset\) we have

\[\frac{\mu_{d-1}(Q, \Omega)}{h^{d+1}} \geq \frac{1}{\epsilon}.\]

Then the embedding \(H^1_0(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^2(\Omega)\) is compact.

In the following examples the trace of the operator \(W(x', \Lambda)\) given in \((5)\) can be calculated explicitly and we find that Proposition 2.1 yields sharp estimates on eigenvalue means.

#### 3.1. Horn-shaped regions

First we consider horn-shaped regions, domains stretching to infinity along distinguished directions, see \[vdB92a\] for a general definition. These regions turn out to be of interest in different situations, see e.g. \[Sim83, vdB84, DS92, vdB92a, MM06, Lun10\]. They were introduced in \[Sim83\], where the semiclassical limit of the counting function was calculated for domains

\[\Omega_\nu = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |x| \cdot |y|^{\nu} \leq 1\}, \quad \nu \geq 1, \quad (12)\]
see Figure 1. Note that discreteness of the spectrum of $H_{\Omega_\nu}$ can be deduced from Lemma 3.1.

In [GW10] it was shown that the methods introduced in Section 2 yield sharp upper bounds on the trace of the heat kernel of the Dirichlet Laplacian on various horn-shaped regions. Here we derive sharp bounds on eigenvalue means and order-sharp bounds on the counting function.

Let us recall the following asymptotic results from [Sim83]. For $\nu > 1$ the limit

$$R_0(\Lambda; \Omega_\nu) = \zeta(\nu) \left( \frac{2}{\pi} \right)^\nu \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu}{2} + 1\right)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu+1}{2}\right)} \Lambda^{(\nu+1)/2} + o\left(\Lambda^{(\nu+1)/2}\right), \quad \Lambda \to \infty,$$

holds, where $\zeta(\nu)$ denotes the Zeta function. Moreover, for $\nu = 1$

$$R_0(\Lambda; \Omega_1) = \frac{1}{\pi} \Lambda \ln \Lambda + o\left(\Lambda \ln \Lambda\right), \quad \Lambda \to \infty.$$

Applying (10) with $\gamma = 0$ we obtain for $\sigma > 0$ and $\nu > 1$

$$R_\sigma(\Lambda; \Omega_\nu) = \zeta(\nu) \left( \frac{2}{\pi} \right)^\nu \frac{B\left(\frac{\nu}{2} + 1, \sigma + 1\right)}{B\left(\sigma + \frac{d-1}{2}, \frac{d}{2}\right)} \Lambda^{\sigma+(\nu+1)/2} + o\left(\Lambda^{\sigma+(\nu+1)/2}\right), \quad \Lambda \to \infty,$$

and for $\nu = 1$

$$R_\sigma(\Lambda; \Omega_1) = \frac{1}{\pi (\sigma + 1)} \Lambda^{\sigma+1} \ln \Lambda + o\left(\Lambda^{\sigma+1} \ln \Lambda\right), \quad \Lambda \to \infty.$$

In order to treat domains in higher dimensions we generalize the notions from [Sim83] and put

$$\Omega_\nu = \left\{(x', x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{R} : |x'| \cdot |x_d|^\nu/(d-1) \leq 1\right\}, \quad d \geq 2, \quad \nu > 1.$$

For these domains of infinite volume an application of Proposition 2.1 yields sharp spectral estimates.

**Theorem 3.2.** For $\sigma \geq 3/2$, $\nu > 1$, and all $\Lambda > 0$ the estimate

$$R_\sigma(\Lambda; \Omega_\nu) \leq \frac{\zeta(\nu)}{2^{d-1}(d-1)} \left( \frac{2}{\pi} \right)^\nu \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu}{2} + 1\right)\Gamma(\sigma + 1)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\sigma + \frac{d+1+\nu}{2}\right)} \Lambda^{\sigma+(d-1+\nu)/2}$$

holds.
Remark. For $d = 2$ we conclude that the bound

$$R_\sigma(\Lambda; \Omega_\nu) \leq \zeta(\nu) \left( \frac{2}{\pi} \right)^\nu \frac{B\left(\frac{\nu}{2} + 1, \sigma + 1\right)}{B\left(\sigma + \frac{\nu + 3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)} \Lambda^{\sigma + (\nu + 1)/2}$$

holds for $\sigma \geq 3/2$ and all $\Lambda > 0$. Comparing this bound with the asymptotic relation (13) we see that the estimate is sharp: For horn-shaped regions, just as well as for bounded domains, the leading term of the semiclassical limit yields a uniform upper bound.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. In this setting the section $\Omega_\nu(x')$ consists of one open interval $(-|x'|^{1-(d)/\nu}, |x'|^{1-(d)/\nu})$.

Since $V \equiv \Lambda$, the trace of the operator-valued potential $W(x', \Lambda)$ defined in (5) can be evaluated explicitly. We find

$$\text{Tr} W(x', \Lambda) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \Lambda - \frac{\pi^2 j^2}{4|x'|^{2(1-d)/\nu}} \right) $$

Applying Proposition 2.1 yields

$$R_\sigma(\Lambda; \Omega_\nu) \leq L_{\sigma,d-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \Lambda - \frac{\pi^2 j^2}{4|x'|^{2(1-d)/\nu}} \right) \sigma^{\nu} \Lambda^{\sigma + (d-1)/2}$$

$$= L_{\sigma,d-1} \omega_{d-1} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \int_0^\infty \left( 1 - \frac{\pi^2 j^2}{4\nu \nu^{2(1-d)/\nu}} \right) \sigma^{\nu} \Lambda^{\sigma + (d-1)/2} dx' \Lambda^{\sigma + (d-1)/2}$$

where $\omega_{d-1}$ denotes the volume of the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$. We insert the identity

$$L_{\sigma,d-1} \omega_{d-1} \frac{\nu B\left(\sigma + \frac{d+1}{2}, \frac{\nu}{2}\right)}{2(d-1)} = \frac{\Gamma(\sigma + 1)\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu}{2} + 1\right)}{2^{d-1}(d-1)\Gamma\left(\frac{d+1}{2}\right)\Gamma(\sigma + \frac{d+1+\nu}{2})}$$

and arrive at the claimed estimate. \hfill \Box

Now we apply (11) to deduce order-sharp bounds on the counting function.

Corollary 3.3. For $\nu > 1$ and all $\Lambda > 0$ the estimate

$$R_0(\Lambda; \Omega_\nu) \leq C_{d,\nu} \Lambda^{(d-1+\nu)/2}$$

holds with a constant

$$C_{d,\nu} \leq \frac{(d+\nu+2)^{(d+\nu+2)/2}}{3^{3/2}(d+\nu-1)(d+\nu-1)/2 \Gamma\left(\frac{d+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{d+\nu+2}{2}\right)} \frac{\zeta(\nu)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{d+\nu+2}{2}\right)} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d+\nu+2}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{d+\nu+2}{2}\right)} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d+1+\nu}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{d+\nu+2}{2}\right)} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d+1+\nu}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{d+\nu+2}{2}\right)}$$

Proof. We use (11) with $\sigma = 3/2$ and apply Theorem 3.2 to obtain

$$R_0(\Lambda; \Omega_\nu) \leq \frac{1}{(\tau \Lambda)^{3/2} 2^{d-1}(d-1)} \frac{\zeta(\nu)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{d+\nu+2}{2}\right)} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d+\nu+2}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{d+\nu+2}{2}\right)} \frac{(1 + \tau)\Lambda^{(d-1+\nu)/2+1}}{(1 + \tau)^{(d+\nu)/2+1}}$$

Minimizing in $\tau > 0$ yields $\tau_{\text{min}} = 3/(d + \nu - 1)$ and inserting this we obtain the claimed result. \hfill \Box
Let us now consider the critical case $\nu = 1$ in dimension $d = 2$. Here the domain yields two equally strong singularities and we cannot distinguish one direction. However, choosing an intermediate direction we obtain a sharp estimate with a remainder term.

**Theorem 3.4.** Let $\sigma \geq 3/2$. Then for $\Lambda \leq \pi^2/16$ we have $R_\sigma(\Lambda; \Omega_1) = 0$ and for $\Lambda > \pi^2/16$ the estimate

$$R_\sigma(\Lambda; \Omega_1) \leq \frac{1}{\pi (\sigma + 1)} \Lambda^{\sigma + 1} \ln \Lambda + \frac{C}{\sigma + 1} \Lambda^{\sigma + 1}$$

holds with a constant

$$C < \frac{33 + 16 \ln(\frac{4}{\pi})}{8\pi} < 1.47 .$$

**Remark.** Again, comparing this inequality with the asymptotics (14), we see that the main term of the bound is sharp.

**Proof of Theorem 3.4.** Since the function $|\Omega_1(x)| = \frac{1}{x}$ has non-integrable singularities at zero and at infinity we have to choose a coordinate system $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ rotated by $\frac{\pi}{4}$ with respect to the coordinate system $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ which was used in definition (12). We get

$$\Omega_1(x) = \left\{ x_2 \in \mathbb{R} : |x_2| \leq \sqrt{|x_1|^2 + 2} \right\}$$

for $|x_1| \leq \sqrt{2}$ and

$$\Omega_1(x) = \left\{ x_2 \in \mathbb{R} : \sqrt{|x_1|^2 - 2} \leq |x_2| \leq \sqrt{|x_1|^2 + 2} \right\}$$

for $|x_1| > \sqrt{2}$. To simplify the following calculations and the resulting bound we confine ourselves to rough estimates which are nevertheless sufficient to prove the sharp constant in the leading term. First, we estimate $|\Omega_1(x_1)| \leq 4$ for $|x_1| \leq 2$ and

$$|\Omega_1(x_1)| \leq 2 \left( \sqrt{|x_1|^2 + 2} - \sqrt{|x_1|^2 - 2} \right) \leq \frac{4}{|x_1|} + \frac{2}{|x_1|^3}$$

for $|x_1| > 2$.

Suppose that $\Lambda \leq \pi^2/16$. Since $|\Omega_1(x_1)| \leq 4$ for all $x_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ we get

$$\text{Tr}W(x_1, \Lambda) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \Lambda - \frac{\pi^2 j^2}{|\Omega(x_1)|^2} \right)_+ = 0$$

for all $x_1 \in \mathbb{R}$. From Proposition 2.1 it follows that $R_\sigma(\Lambda; \Omega_1) = 0$ for $\Lambda \leq \pi^2/16$. On the other hand, if $\Lambda > \pi^2/16$ Proposition 2.1 implies

$$R_\sigma(\Lambda; \Omega_1) \leq L^{cl}_{\sigma, 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \Lambda - \frac{\pi^2 j^2}{|\Omega(x_1)|^2} \right)_+^{\sigma + 1/2} dx_1$$

$$\leq 4L^{cl}_{\sigma, 1} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \Lambda - \frac{\pi^2 j^2}{16} \right)_+^{\sigma + 1/2} + 2L^{cl}_{\sigma, 1} \int_{2}^{\infty} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \Lambda - \frac{\pi^2 j^2}{l(x_1)^2} \right)_+^{\sigma + 1/2} dx_1 , \quad (15)$$

with

$$l(x_1) = \frac{4}{|x_1|} + \frac{2}{|x_1|^3} .$$

Note that for $A > 0$ and $\gamma > 0$ we have

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \left( 1 - \frac{j^2}{A^2} \right)^\gamma \leq \frac{A}{2} B \left( \frac{1}{2}, \gamma + 1 \right) , \quad (16)$$
thus
\[ 4L_{\sigma,1} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \Lambda - \frac{\pi^2 j^2}{16} \right)^{\sigma+1/2} \leq \frac{8}{\pi} L_{\sigma,1} B \left( \frac{1}{2}, \sigma + \frac{3}{2} \right) \Lambda^{\sigma+1} = \frac{4}{\pi} \frac{1}{\sigma + 1} \Lambda^{\sigma+1}. \] (17)

Now we turn to the second term in (15). Put \( x(\Lambda) = (4\sqrt{\Lambda})/\pi + \pi/(4\sqrt{\Lambda}) \). For \( x_1 \geq x(\Lambda) \) we have \( l(x_1) \leq \pi/\sqrt{\Lambda} \), hence
\[ \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \Lambda - \frac{\pi^2 j^2}{l(x_1)^2} \right)^{\sigma+1/2} = 0. \]

In view of (16) it follows that
\[ 2L_{\sigma,1} \int_2^\infty \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \Lambda - \frac{\pi^2 j^2}{l(x_1)^2} \right)^{\sigma+1/2} dx_1 \leq \frac{1}{\pi} L_{\sigma,1} B \left( \frac{1}{2}, \sigma + \frac{3}{2} \right) \int_2^{x(\Lambda)} l(x_1)dx_1 \Lambda^{\sigma+1} \]
\[ = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{\sigma + 1} \int_2^{x(\Lambda)} l(x_1)dx_1 \Lambda^{\sigma+1}. \] (18)

By definition of \( x(\Lambda) \) and \( l(x_1) \),
\[ \int_2^{x(\Lambda)} l(x_1)dx_1 = \int_2^{x(\Lambda)} \left( \frac{4}{x_1} + \frac{2}{x_1^3} \right) dx_1 \]
\[ \leq 2 \ln \Lambda + 4 \ln \left( \frac{\pi}{4\Lambda} \right) - 4 \ln 2 + \frac{1}{4} \]
\[ \leq 2 \ln \Lambda + 4 \ln \left( \frac{\pi}{4} \right) + \frac{1}{4} \] (19)
for \( \Lambda > \pi^2/16 \). Inserting (17), (18) and (19) into (15) finishes the proof. \( \square \)

Again we can apply (11) to deduce order-sharp bounds on the counting function.

**Corollary 3.5.** For \( \Lambda \leq \pi^2/16 \) we have \( R_0(\Lambda; \Omega_1) = 0 \) and for \( \Lambda > \pi^2/16 \) the estimate
\[ R_0(\Lambda; \Omega_1) \leq \left( \frac{5}{3} \right)^{3/2} \frac{1}{\pi} \Lambda \ln \Lambda + CA, \]
holds, with a constant
\[ C < \sqrt{\frac{5}{3} \frac{825 + 400 \ln \left( \frac{\pi}{2} \right) + 360\pi \ln \left( \frac{\pi}{2} \right)}{72\pi}} < 8.56. \]

### 3.2. Spiny urchins.

In this subsection we study the eigenvalues of the Dirichlet Laplacian on so called spiny urchins, radially symmetric domains \( \Omega_S \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \) with infinite volume, which were introduced in [Cla67].

To construct \( \Omega_S \) we use polar coordinates \((r, \varphi) \in [0, \infty) \times [0, 2\pi)\) and choose an increasing sequence \((r_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) of positive real numbers and put \( r_0 = 0 \). For \( n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \) and \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, 2^{n+2} \) let
\[ \Gamma_{n,k} = \left\{ (r, \varphi) : r \geq r_n, \varphi = \frac{k-1}{2^{n+1}} \pi \right\} \]
be semi-axes and define
\[ \Omega_S = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \bigcup_{n,k} \Gamma_{n,k} , \]
see Figure 2. Note that this domain, though quasi bounded, has empty exterior. However, if
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} r_n 2^{-n} = 0, \tag{20}
\]
then discreteness of the spectrum of \(H_{\Omega_S}\) can be deduced from Lemma 3.1, see also \[vdB92b\].

![Figure 2. The set \(\Omega_S\).](image)

For \(r_n = n\) the domain \(\Omega_S\) was analyzed in \[Fle78\], where the leading term of the semiclassical limit was calculated: For \(r_n = n\) the asymptotic relation
\[
R_0(\Lambda; \Omega_S) = C \Lambda (\ln \Lambda)^2 + o \left( \Lambda (\ln \Lambda)^2 \right), \quad \Lambda \to \infty,
\]
holds with a constant \(C > 0\).

The general setting of an arbitrary increasing sequence \((r_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}\) was studied in \[vdB92b\]: If \(r_0 > 0\) and (20) is satisfied then for all \(\Lambda > 2^{14}r_0^{-2}\) the bound
\[
R_0(\Lambda; \Omega_S) \leq 50(8^{-1} + 8\pi)^2 \Lambda r_{K(\Lambda)}^2
\]
holds with \(K(\Lambda) = \max\{n \in \mathbb{N} : r_n 2^{-n} > (32)^{-1} \sqrt{\Lambda}\}\). Moreover, there is a similar lower bound. Here we extend and improve the upper bound: We derive order-sharp estimates on the eigenvalue means of \(H_{\Omega_S}\) valid for all \(\Lambda > 0\).

First, we need to adapt Proposition 2.1 to the radially symmetric situation. For \(r \in (0, \infty)\) put
\[
\Omega_S(r) = \{\varphi \in [0, 2\pi) : (r, \varphi) \in \Omega_S\}.
\]
Then $\Omega_S(r)$ consists of finitely many open intervals $I_k(r)$, $k = 1, \ldots, N(r)$. Choose $u \in C^\infty_0(\Omega_S)$ and consider the quadratic form

$$
\langle u, H_{\Omega_S} u \rangle_{L^2(\Omega_S)} = \int_{\Omega_S} \overline{u(x)} \left( -\Delta u(x) - \Lambda u(x) \right) dx
$$

$$
= \int_0^\infty \int_{\Omega(r)} \overline{u(r, \varphi)} \left( -\partial_r^2 - \frac{1}{r} \partial_r - \frac{1}{r^2} \partial_\varphi^2 - \Lambda \right) u(r, \varphi) \, d\varphi \, dr .
$$

(21)

For fixed $r > 0$ the function $u_r(\varphi) = u(r, \varphi)$ belongs to $C^\infty_0(\Omega_S(r))$. It satisfies Dirichlet boundary conditions at the endpoints of the intervals $I_k(r)$, $k = 1, \ldots, N(r)$.

To rewrite the form in the ground state representation put $v(r, \varphi) = \sqrt{r} u(r, \varphi)$. Then again $v(r, \varphi)$ belongs to $C^\infty_0(\Omega_S(r))$ and for fixed $r > 0$, we have $v_r(\varphi) = v(r, \varphi) \in C^\infty_0(\Omega_S(r))$. Moreover,

$$
\int_0^\infty \int_{\Omega(r)} |u(r, \varphi)|^2 d\varphi \, dr = \int_0^\infty \int_{\Omega(r)} |v(r, \varphi)|^2 d\varphi \, dr
$$

and

$$
\left( -\partial_r^2 - \frac{1}{r} \partial_r - \frac{1}{r^2} \partial_\varphi^2 \right) u(r, \varphi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} \left( -\partial_r^2 - \frac{1}{4r^2} - \frac{1}{r^2} \partial_\varphi^2 \right) v(r, \varphi).
$$

Inserting this into (21) we obtain

$$
\langle u, H_{\Omega_S} u \rangle_{L^2(\Omega_S)} = \int_0^\infty \int_{\Omega_S(r)} \left( |\partial_r v|^2 + \frac{1}{r^2} |\partial_\varphi v|^2 - \left( \frac{1}{4r^2} + \Lambda \right) |v|^2 \right) d\varphi \, dr .
$$

(22)

In this setting, we define the Schrödinger-type operators

$$
H_k(r) = -\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{d^2}{d\varphi^2} - \left( \frac{1}{4r^2} + \Lambda \right), \quad k = 1, \ldots, N(r),
$$

in $L^2(I_k(r))$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions at the endpoints of $I_k(r)$. In the same way as in (5) let

$$
W(r, \Lambda) = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{N(r)} H_k(r)_-
$$

be the negative part of the operator

$$
-\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{d^2}{d\varphi^2} - \left( \frac{1}{4r^2} + \Lambda \right)
$$

in $L^2(\Omega_S(r))$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions. In view of (22) we can apply Proposition 2.1 and for $\sigma \geq 3/2$ we get

$$
R_\sigma(\Lambda; \Omega_S) \leq L_{\sigma,1}^{d} \int_0^\infty \text{Tr} W(r, \Lambda)^{\sigma+1/2} dr .
$$

(23)

To estimate the right hand side and to derive bounds on the eigenvalues means we assume that (20) is satisfied and that

$$
r_{n+1} \leq 2r_n
$$

(24)

holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the sequence

$$
\frac{22n}{r_n^2} - \frac{1}{4r_n^2}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},
$$
is increasing and for all $\Lambda > 15/4 \cdot r_1^{-2}$ there is a unique index $\hat{n}(\Lambda) \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying

$$\Lambda > \frac{2^{2n}}{r_n^2} - \frac{1}{4r_n^2} \quad \text{for all } n \leq \hat{n}(\Lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda \leq \frac{2^{2n}}{r_n^2} - \frac{1}{4r_n^2} \quad \text{for all } n > \hat{n}(\Lambda). \quad (25)$$

To simplify notation we put $r(\Lambda) = r_{\hat{n}(\Lambda)}$.

**Lemma 3.6.** Let $\sigma \geq 3/2$ and assume that (20) and (24) are satisfied. Then for $\Lambda \leq 15/4 \cdot r_1^{-2}$ we have $R_\sigma(\Lambda; \Omega_S) = 0$ and for $\Lambda > 15/4 \cdot r_1^{-2}$ the estimate

$$R_\sigma(\Lambda; \Omega_S) \leq L_{\sigma,2}^d \pi \hat{r}(\Lambda)^2 \Lambda^{\sigma + 1} + C_\sigma \Lambda^\sigma \ln (\Lambda \hat{r}(\Lambda)),$$

holds with a constant $C_\sigma > 0$ depending only on $\sigma$.

**Remark.** If we compare the main term of this bound with the Berezin inequality (14) we see that the effective domain that enters into the bound is a disk with radius $\hat{r}(\Lambda)$.

**Proof of Lemma 3.6.** In view of (23) we have to estimate

$$\text{Tr} W(r, \Lambda) = \text{Tr} \left( - \frac{1}{r^2} d^2 \varphi^2 - \Lambda - \frac{1}{4r^2} \right) = \sum_{k=1}^{N(r)} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \Lambda + \frac{1}{4r^2} - \frac{\pi^2 j^2}{r^2 |I_k(r)|^2} \right).$$

Fix $r > 0$ and $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $r_{n_0-1} < r \leq r_{n_0}$. Then the section $\Omega_S(r) \subset [0,2\pi)$ consists of $2^{n_0+1}$ identical open intervals of length $|I_k(r)| = \pi/2^{n_0}$. Hence,

$$\text{Tr} W(r, \Lambda) = 2^{n_0+1} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \Lambda + \frac{1}{4r^2} - \frac{2^{2n_0} j^2}{r^2} \right).$$

Note that for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\frac{2^{2n_0} j^2}{r^2} - \frac{1}{4r^2} \geq \frac{2^{2n_0+1} - 1}{4r^2} \geq \frac{15}{4r_1^2}. $$

For $\Lambda \leq 15/4 \cdot r_1^{-2}$ we obtain $\text{Tr} W(r, \Lambda) = 0$ and by (23) also $R_\sigma(\Lambda; \Omega_S) = 0$.

Hence, we can assume $\Lambda > 15/4 \cdot r_1^{-2}$. Suppose that $r > \hat{r}(\Lambda)$ thus $n_0 > \hat{n}(\Lambda)$. From (25) we get

$$\frac{2^{2n_0} j^2}{r^2} - \frac{1}{4r^2} \geq \frac{2^{2n_0+1} - 1}{4r^2_{n_0}} \geq \Lambda$$

for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and it follows that $\text{Tr} W(r, \Lambda) = 0$ for $r > \hat{r}(\Lambda)$. Moreover, if $r^2 \leq 15/(4\Lambda)$ we have $r \leq r_1$ and

$$\frac{4 j^2}{r^2} - \frac{1}{4r^2} \geq \frac{15}{4r^2} \geq \Lambda$$

for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Again it follows that $\text{Tr} W(r, \Lambda) = 0$ and it remains to consider $\sqrt{15}/(2\sqrt{\Lambda}) < r < \hat{r}(\Lambda)$.

For such $r$ we apply (16) to estimate

$$\text{Tr} W(r, \Lambda)^{\sigma+1/2} = 2^{n_0+1} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \Lambda + \frac{1}{4r^2} - \frac{2^{2n_0} j^2}{r^2} \right)^{\sigma+1/2} \leq r \left( \Lambda + \frac{1}{4r^2} \right)^{\sigma+1} B \left( \frac{1}{2}, \sigma + \frac{3}{2} \right).$$
From (23) we conclude
\[
R_\sigma(\Lambda; \Omega_S) \leq L_{\sigma,1} B \left( \frac{1}{2} \sigma + \frac{3}{2} \right) \int_{\sqrt{\frac{1}{15}}}^{\hat{r}(\Lambda)} r \left( \Lambda + \frac{1}{4r^2} \right)^{\sigma+1} dr
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{16(\sigma + 1)} \Lambda^\sigma \int_{15}^{4\Lambda\hat{r}(\Lambda)^2} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{s} \right)^{\sigma+1} ds
\]
\[
\leq \frac{1}{4(\sigma + 1)} \hat{r}(\Lambda)^2 \Lambda^{\sigma+1} + \frac{16^{\sigma-1}}{15^\sigma} \Lambda^\sigma \ln \left( 4\Lambda\hat{r}(\Lambda)^2 \right)
\]
and the claim of the lemma follows from the identity $4\pi(\sigma + 1)L_{\sigma,2}^c = 1$. \hfill \Box

Before we give examples we supplement Lemma 3.6 with the following lower bound on $R_\sigma(\Lambda; \Omega_S)$.

**Lemma 3.7.** Assume there exists $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $r_{n-1} < (1 - 2^{-n})r_n$ is satisfied for all $n \geq N_0$. Then for $\sigma \geq 0$ there exist positive constants $C$ and $\mu$ independent of $\Lambda$ such that

\[
R_\sigma(\Lambda; \Omega_S) \geq C \sum_{n=N_0}^{\hat{n}(\mu\Lambda)} r_n (r_n - r_{n-1}) \Lambda^{\sigma+1}
\]

holds for $\Lambda > 0$ with $\hat{n}(\mu\Lambda) > N_0$.

**Proof.** For $n \geq N_0$ and $k \in \{1, \ldots, 2^{n+1}\}$ consider a segment $\Omega_{n,k} \subset \Omega_S$, i.e., a region between $r = r_{n-1}$, $r = r_n$ and two adjacent semi-axes $\Gamma_{n,k}$ and $\Gamma_{n,k+1}$. Note that there are $2^{n+1}$ identical segments $\Omega_{n,k}$. Let $\tau(n)$ denote the maximal number of disjoint squares $Q_{l_n}$ with side length $l_n = r_n/2^{n+1}$ that can be placed in the interior of $\Omega_{n,k}$. From the definition of $\Omega_S$ it follows that

\[
\tau(n) \geq C \frac{r_n - r_{n-1}}{l_n}, \quad n \geq N_0
\]

Hence, the variational principle implies

\[
R_\sigma(\Lambda; \Omega_S) \geq \sum_{n=N_0}^{\hat{n}(\mu\Lambda)} 2^{n+1} \tau(n) R_\sigma(\Lambda; Q_{l_n}) \geq C \sum_{n=N_0}^{\hat{n}(\mu\Lambda)} 2^{n+1} \frac{r_n - r_{n-1}}{l_n} R_\sigma(\Lambda; Q_{l_n}). \quad (26)
\]

To estimate $R(\Lambda; Q_{l_n})$ from below, we first consider the square $Q_1$ with side length 1. From Weyl’s asymptotic law [3] we know that there are positive constants $C$ and $\Lambda_0$, such that $R_\sigma(\Lambda; Q_1) \geq C \Lambda^{\sigma+1}$ holds for all $\Lambda \geq \Lambda_0$. By scaling, we deduce that

\[
R_\sigma(\Lambda; Q_{l_n}) \geq C l_n^2 \Lambda^{\sigma+1} \quad (27)
\]

holds for all $\Lambda \geq \Lambda_0/l_n^2$.

Fix $\Lambda > 0$. From (25) we deduce that

\[
\frac{\Lambda_0}{l_n^2} = 4\Lambda_0 \frac{2^n}{r_n^2} \leq 8\Lambda_0 \left( \frac{2^n}{r_n^2} - \frac{1}{4r_n^2} \right) \leq \Lambda
\]

holds if $n \leq \hat{n}(\Lambda/(8\Lambda_0))$. Denoting $\mu = 1/(8\Lambda_0)$ we find that (27) is valid for all squares $Q_{l_n}$ with $n \leq \hat{n}(\mu\Lambda)$.

\[1\]Here and in the following the letter $C$ denotes various positive constants that are independent of $\Lambda$. 
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In view of (20) it follows that
\[ R_\sigma(\Lambda; \Omega_S) \geq C \sum_{n=N_0}^{\hat{n}(\mu\Lambda)} 2^{n+1} \frac{r_{n+1} - r_n}{l_n^2} \Lambda^{\sigma+1} \geq C \sum_{n=N_0}^{\hat{n}(\mu\Lambda)} r_n (r_n - r_{n-1}) \Lambda^{\sigma+1} \]
and the proof is complete. \(\square\)

Let us state some examples to show that the bounds capture the correct order in \(\Lambda\) and that choosing different sequences \((r_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) leads to different behavior in the semiclassical limit.

**Corollary 3.8.** Let \(\sigma \geq 0\).

1. Assume \(r_n = n\). Then for \(0 < \Lambda \leq 15/4\) we have \(R_\sigma(\Lambda; \Omega_S) = 0\) and for \(\Lambda > 15/4\)
\[ R_\sigma(\Lambda; \Omega_S) \leq C_\sigma \Lambda^{\sigma+1} (\ln \Lambda)^2. \]

2. Assume \(r_n = 2^\delta n\) with \(0 < \delta < 1\). Then for \(0 < \Lambda \leq 15 \cdot 2^{-2(1+\delta)}\) we have \(R_\sigma(\Lambda; \Omega_S) = 0\) and for \(\Lambda > 15 \cdot 2^{-2(1+\delta)}\)
\[ R_\sigma(\Lambda; \Omega_S) \leq C_{\delta, \sigma} \Lambda^{\sigma+1/(1-\delta)}. \]

All bounds capture the correct order in \(\Lambda\) as \(\Lambda \to \infty\).

**Proof.** To prove the bounds for \(\sigma \geq 3/2\) we can apply Lemma 3.6 and it remains to estimate \(\hat{r}(\Lambda)\). By definition, \(\hat{r}(\Lambda) = r_{\hat{n}(\Lambda)}\) and by (25) \(r_{\hat{n}(\Lambda)}\) satisfies
\[ \frac{2^{2\hat{n}(\Lambda)}}{r_{\hat{n}(\Lambda)}^2} - \frac{1}{4r_{\hat{n}(\Lambda)}} \leq \Lambda. \]
It follows that \(\hat{r}(\Lambda) \leq C \ln \Lambda\) in the case \(r_n = n\) and \(\hat{r}(\Lambda) \leq C_\delta \Lambda^{\delta/(2(1-\delta))}\) in the case \(r_n = 2^\delta n\) and the bounds for \(\sigma \geq 3/2\) follow from Lemma 3.6. To deduce the claimed estimates for \(0 \leq \sigma < 3/2\) we apply (11) and finally (10).

It remains to prove that the estimates are of correct order in \(\Lambda\). Note that in the case \(r_n = n\) the assumptions of Lemma 3.7 are satisfied with \(N_0 = 1\). Hence, we have
\[ \sum_{n=N_0}^{\hat{n}(\mu\Lambda)} r_n (r_n - r_{n-1}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\hat{n}(\mu\Lambda)} n \geq C \hat{n}(\mu\Lambda)^2 = C \hat{r}(\mu\Lambda)^2. \]
In the case \(r_n = 2^\delta n\) we find for sufficiently large \(\Lambda\) that
\[ \sum_{n=N_0}^{\hat{n}(\mu\Lambda)} r_n (r_n - r_{n-1}) = \sum_{n=N_0}^{\hat{n}(\mu\Lambda)} 2^\delta n \left(2^\delta n - 2^\delta (n-1)\right) \geq C \sum_{n=N_0}^{\hat{n}(\mu\Lambda)} 2^\delta n \geq C 2^{2\delta \hat{n}(\mu\Lambda)} = C \hat{r}(\mu\Lambda)^2, \]
holds. In both cases, we insert this into Lemma 3.7 and get
\[ R_\sigma(\Lambda; \Omega_S) \geq C \Lambda^{\sigma+1} \hat{r}(\mu\Lambda)^2. \] (28)
For \(\Lambda\) large enough the relations (25) imply
\[ \hat{r}(\mu\Lambda) \geq C \ln(\mu\Lambda) \geq C \ln \Lambda \]
if \(r_n = n\) and
\[ \hat{r}(\mu\Lambda) \geq C (\mu\Lambda)^{\delta/(2(1-\delta))} \geq C \Lambda^{\delta/(2(1-\delta))} \]
if \( r_n = 2^{\delta n} \). As \( \Lambda \to \infty \) we obtain from (28) that \( R_\sigma(\Lambda; \Omega_S) = O(\Lambda^{\sigma+1}(\ln \Lambda)^2) \) in the case \( r_n = n \) and \( R_\sigma(\Lambda; \Omega_S) = O(\Lambda^{\sigma+1/(1-\delta)}) \) in the case \( r_n = 2^{\delta n} \). Thus the bounds on \( R_\sigma(\Lambda; \Omega_S) \) show the correct order in \( \Lambda \). □

Let us state one more example, where one encounters exponential growth of the eigenvalue means.

**Corollary 3.9.** Assume \( \sigma \geq 3/2 \) and \( r_n = 2^n/\sqrt{n} \). Then for \( 0 < \Lambda < 15/16 \) we have \( R_\sigma(\Lambda; \Omega_S) = 0 \) and for \( \Lambda > 15/16 \)

\[
R_\sigma(\Lambda; \Omega_S) \leq C_\sigma 2^{2 \Lambda} \Lambda^\sigma.
\]

This bound follows from Lemma 3.6 similar as in Corollary 3.8.

## 4. Non-Constant Potentials

In this section we consider Schrödinger operators \( H_\Omega \) with non-constant potentials \( V \geq 0 \) on open sets \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d \). Since we define \( H_\Omega \) with Dirichlet boundary conditions the variational principle implies that the sharp Lieb-Thirring inequality (2) holds. In fact, the Dirichlet condition gives rise to an improvement of this bound. In this section we use this to derive sharp Lieb-Thirring inequalities with remainder term.

### 4.1. One-dimensional considerations.

As in Section 3 we can apply Proposition 2.1 to reduce the problem to one dimension. However, for non-constant potentials \( V \) the trace of the operator-valued potential \( W(x', V) \) defined in (5) cannot be calculated explicitly. Therefore we need to study the one-dimensional situation in more detail to derive the following improvement of (2).

**Theorem 4.1.** Let \( I \subset \mathbb{R} \) be an open interval of length \( l < \infty \) and assume \( \sigma \geq 3/2 \) and \( V \in L^{\sigma+1/2}(I) \) such that

\[ A = l \int_I V(t) \, dt < \infty. \]

Then for \( A \leq 2 \ln 3 \) we have \( R_\sigma(V; I) = 0 \) and for \( A > 2 \ln 3 \)

\[
R_\sigma(V; I) \leq L_{C^{1,1}}^d \int_I V(t)^{\sigma+1/2} \, dt - \left( \frac{2 \left( \int_I V(t) \, dt \right)^2}{\exp(A) - 1} \right)^\sigma.
\]

The remainder of Section 4.1 is devoted to the proof of this result. In particular, we study the effect of different boundary conditions on the eigenvalues. First we assume \( I = (0, l) \) and \( V \in C_0^{\infty}(I) \). Recall that

\[
H_I = -\frac{d^2}{dt^2} - V
\]

is defined in \( L^2(I) \) as self-adjoint operator generated by the quadratic form

\[
\langle u, H_I u \rangle = \int_I \left( |u'(t)|^2 - V(t)|u(t)|^2 \right) dt,
\]

with form domain \( H^1_0(I) \). Moreover, we define the operator

\[
H_\mathbb{R} = -\frac{d^2}{dt^2} - V
\]

in \( L^2(\mathbb{R}) \) generated by the form (29) with form domain \( H^1(\mathbb{R}) \).
We assume that the negative spectrum of $H_I$ consists of $N$ eigenvalues $(-\lambda_k)_{k=1}^{N}$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, and denote the negative eigenvalues of $H_{\mathbb{R}}$ by $(-\mu_k)_{k=1}^{M}$. The variational principle implies $M \geq N$ and $-\mu_k \leq -\lambda_k$ for each $k = 1, \ldots, N$.

In order to derive relations between the eigenvalues of $H_I$ and $H_{\mathbb{R}}$ we define

$$H_I^{(\alpha, \beta)} = -\frac{d^2}{dt^2} - V, \quad 0 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq \frac{\pi}{2},$$

as self-adjoint operators generated by the form

$$\langle u, H_I^{(\alpha, \beta)} u \rangle = \int |u'(t)|^2 dt - \int V(t)|u(t)|^2 dt + (\cot \alpha |u(0)|^2 + (\cot \beta |u(l)|^2$$

with form domain $H^1(I)$. Note that eigenfunctions of $H_I^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ satisfy boundary conditions of the third kind: $u'(0) = (\cot \alpha)u(0)$ and $u'(l) = -(\cot \beta)u(l)$. For $\alpha, \beta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ the negative spectrum of $H_I^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ consists of eigenvalues $(-\nu_k(\alpha, \beta))_{k=1}^{N(\alpha, \beta)}$. We point out that for $\alpha = \beta = 0$ we recover Dirichlet boundary conditions:

$$H_I^{(0,0)} = H_I, \quad N(0,0) = N, \quad \text{and} \quad (\nu_k(0,0))_{k=1}^{N(0,0)} = (\lambda_k)_{k=1}^{N}.$$  \hfill (30)

We need the following result from [Wei03] about the behavior of the eigenvalues of $H_I^{(\alpha, \beta)}$. For $\alpha \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ and $\nu > 0$ let $u(t; \nu, \alpha)$ to be the unique solution of

$$-u''(t) - V(t)u(t) = -\nu \, u(t), \quad t \in I,$$

$$u(0; \nu, \alpha) = \sin \alpha,$$

$$u'(0; \nu, \alpha) = \cos \alpha.$$  \hfill (31)

**Lemma 4.2.** Fix $\beta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$. Then for $\alpha \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ the map $\alpha \mapsto \nu_k(\alpha, \beta)$ is monotone increasing and differentiable and we have

$$\frac{d\nu_k(\alpha, \beta)}{d\alpha} = \|u(\cdot; \nu_k(\alpha, \beta), \alpha)\|_{L^2(I)}^2.$$  

Because of the symmetry of the eigenvalue problem (31) a corresponding result holds for fixed $\alpha \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ and the map $\beta \mapsto \nu_k(\alpha, \beta)$, $\beta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$. For $k = 1, \ldots, N$ it follows that

$$-\nu_k(\alpha, \alpha) \leq -\nu_k(0, 0) = -\lambda_k < 0$$

for all $\alpha \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$.

For $k = 1, \ldots, N$ put

$$\omega_k = \arccot \sqrt{\mu_k} \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}].$$  \hfill (32)

Then we have $N(\omega_k, \omega_k) \geq N$ and both $-\mu_k$ and $-\nu_k(\omega_k, \omega_k)$ exist as negative eigenvalues of $H_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $H_I^{(\omega_k, \omega_k)}$ respectively.

**Proposition 4.3.** For $k = 1, \ldots, N$ the eigenvalues of $H_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $H_I^{(\omega_k, \omega_k)}$ satisfy

$$-\mu_k = -\nu_k(\omega_k, \omega_k).$$

**Proof.** For arbitrary $k \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$ let $\Phi_k$ denote the eigenfunction of $H_{\mathbb{R}}$ corresponding to $-\mu_k$. Then supp $V \subset I = (0, l)$ implies

$$\Phi_k(t) = c_1 \exp(-\sqrt{\mu_k}t) \quad \text{for} \ t \geq l \quad \text{and}$$

$$\Phi_k(t) = c_2 \exp(+\sqrt{\mu_k}t) \quad \text{for} \ t \leq 0$$

\text{with} \ c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{C}.$$
Lemma 4.4.

To restrict ourselves to considering the ground states.

4.2 relating the derivative of the map $\beta H$

Hence, applying Lemma 4.2 and its analog for the map $\mu k$

Therefore $\tilde{\Phi}$

Lemma 4.3 imply

Proposition 4.3 imply

First we remark that it suffices to prove the result for $I$

By definition, the function $u$

Let $\nu k$

As a solution of (31) $u$

In the remainder of this subsection we use this identity to complete the proof of Theorem 4.4.

In order to get a result valid without further assumptions on the potential $V$

Lemma 4.4. Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an open interval of length $l$ and $V \in C^\infty_0 (I)$. Then the inequality

$$\mu_1 - \lambda_1 \geq \frac{2 \left( \int V(t) dt \right)^2}{\exp \left( l \int V(t) dt \right) - 1}$$

holds. Moreover, if $l \int V(t) dt \leq 2 \ln 3$ then $-\lambda_1 \geq 0$ and we have $R_\sigma (V; I) = 0$ for $\sigma \geq 0$.

Proof. First we remark that it suffices to prove the result for $I = (0, l)$. To apply (33) we have to analyze the functions $u(\cdot; \nu_1 (\alpha, \omega_1), \alpha)$ and $\tilde{u}(\cdot; \nu_1 (0, \beta), \beta)$ for $0 < \alpha, \beta < \omega_1$.

By definition, the function $u$ is the first eigenfunction of $H^{(\alpha, \omega_1)}_I$ thus it is non-negative on $I$. As a solution of (31) $u$ solves the integral equation

$$u(t; \nu, \alpha) = \frac{1}{2} (\sin \alpha) \left( e^{\nu t} + e^{-\nu t} \right) + \frac{1}{2} (\cos \alpha) \left( \frac{e^{\nu t} - e^{-\nu t}}{\sqrt{\nu}} \right)$$

$$- \int_0^t \frac{\sinh (\sqrt{\nu (t - s)})}{\sqrt{\nu}} V(s) u(s; \nu, \alpha) ds.$$  (34)

The first two summands are non-decreasing in $\nu > 0$. For $\alpha \in [0, \omega_1]$, Lemma 4.2 and Proposition 4.3 imply $\nu_1 (\alpha, \omega_1) \leq \mu_1$. Since the integrand in (34) is positive it follows that

$$u(t; \nu_1 (\alpha, \omega_1), \alpha) \leq \frac{1}{2} (\sin \alpha) \left( e^{\nu_1 t} + e^{-\nu_1 t} \right) + \frac{1}{2} (\cos \alpha) \left( \frac{e^{\nu_1 t} - e^{-\nu_1 t}}{\sqrt{\mu_1}} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} e^{\nu_1 t} \left( \sin \alpha + \frac{\cos \alpha}{\sqrt{\mu_1}} \right) + \frac{1}{2} e^{-\nu_1 t} \left( \sin \alpha - \frac{\cos \alpha}{\sqrt{\mu_1}} \right).$$
Now we use that \( \sin \alpha - \frac{\cos \alpha}{\sqrt{\mu_1}} \leq 0 \) holds for \( \alpha \in [0, \omega_1] \) and conclude
\[
0 < u(t; \nu_1(\alpha, \omega_1), \alpha) \leq \frac{1}{2} e^{\sqrt{\mu_1} t} \left( \sin \alpha + \frac{\cos \alpha}{\sqrt{\mu_1}} \right).
\]
By explicit calculations it follows that
\[
\int_0^{\omega_1} \|u(\cdot; \nu_1(\alpha, \omega_1), \alpha)\|^{-2} d\alpha \geq \frac{4\mu_1}{\exp(2t\sqrt{\mu_1}) - 1}.
\]
Similarly, we find
\[
\int_0^{\omega_1} \|\tilde{u}(\cdot; \nu_1(0, \beta), \beta)\|^{-2} d\beta \geq \frac{4\mu_1}{\exp(2t\sqrt{\mu_1}) - 1}
\]
and (33) implies
\[
\mu_1 - \lambda_1 \geq \frac{8\mu_1}{\exp(2t\sqrt{\mu_1}) - 1}.
\]
For \( t\sqrt{\mu_1} \leq \ln 3 \) it follows that \(-\lambda_1 \geq 0\). Since the right hand side of (35) is non-increasing the estimate [HLT98]
\[
\sqrt{\mu_1} \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_I V(t) \, dt
\]
implies the claimed result.

The proof of Theorem 4.1 is an immediate consequence of the results above:

**Proof of Theorem 4.1.** Using convexity of the map \( \lambda \mapsto \lambda^\sigma \) and the Lieb-Thirring inequality (2) we estimate
\[
R_\sigma(V; I) = \sum_{k=1}^N \lambda_k^\sigma \leq \sum_{k=1}^N \mu_k^\sigma - (\mu_1^\sigma - \lambda_1^\sigma) \leq L_{cl}^\sigma \int_I V(t)^{\sigma+1/2} \, dt - (\mu_1 - \lambda_1)^\sigma.
\]
Hence, for \( V \in C_0^\infty(I) \) the claim follows from Lemma 4.4. A standard approximation argument allows us to prove the claim for all non-negative potentials \( V \in L^{\sigma+1/2}(I) \).

### 4.2. A sharp Lieb-Thirring inequality with remainder term

Let us now consider general Schrödinger operators \( H_\Omega \) on bounded or quasi-bounded open sets \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d \) with Dirichlet boundary conditions. To apply the inductive argument introduced in Section 2 fix a coordinate system in \( \mathbb{R}^d \). For \( x \in \Omega \) we write \( x = (x', t) \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{R} \) and assume that \( V_{x'} \in L^{\sigma+d/2}(\Omega(x')) \), a.e. in \( x' \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \). We use the notation introduced in Section 2 and put
\[
A_k(x') = |J_k(x')| \int_{J_k(x')} V_{x'}(t) \, dt,
\]
\[
B_k(x') = \int_{J_k(x')} V_{x'}(t) \, dt.
\]
Let \( \kappa(x', V) \subset \mathbb{N} \) be the subset of all indices \( k \) with \( A_k(x') > 2 \ln 3 \) and put
\[
\Omega_V(x') = \bigcup_{k \in \kappa(x', V)} J_k(x') \subset \mathbb{R} \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_V = \bigcup_{x' \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}} \{x'\} \times \Omega_V(x') \subset \Omega.
\]
The results from Section 2 and Section 4.1 imply the following sharp Lieb-Thirring inequality with remainder term.
**Theorem 4.5.** Let $\Omega$ be an open set in $\mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 2$, and assume $\sigma \geq 3/2$. Then the estimate
\[
R_\sigma(V; \Omega) \leq L_{\sigma,d}^c \int_{\Omega_V} V(x)^{\sigma+d/2} \, dx - L_{\sigma,d-1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} \rho(x',V) \, dx'
\]
holds with a remainder
\[
\rho(x',V) = \sum_{k \in \kappa(x',V)} \left( \frac{2B_k(x')^2}{\exp(A_k(x')) - 1} \right)^{\sigma+(d-1)/2}.
\]

**Proof.** In view of Proposition 2.1 we have to estimate
\[
\text{Tr} W(x',V)^{\sigma+(d-1)/2} = \sum_{k=1}^{N(x')} \text{Tr} H_k(x')^{\sigma+(d-1)/2} = \sum_{k=1}^{N(x')} R_{\sigma+(d-1)/2}(V;x_k,J_k).
\]
The potential $V_{x'}$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 4.1 a.e. in $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$. For $k \notin \kappa(x',V)$ we have $|J_k(x')| = 0$. Hence,
\[
\text{Tr} W(x',V)^{\sigma+(d-1)/2} = \sum_{k \in \kappa(x',V)} R_{\sigma+(d-1)/2}(V;x_k,J_k)
\]
\[
\leq \sum_{k \in \kappa(x',V)} \left( L_{\sigma+(d-1)/2,2,1}^c \int_{J_k(x')} V_{x'}(t)^{\sigma+d/2} \, dt - \frac{2B_k(x')^2}{\exp(A_k(x')) - 1} \right)^{\sigma+(d-1)/2}.
\]
Thus the claim follows from Proposition 2.1 using the identities
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} \sum_{k \in \kappa(x',V)} \int_{J_k(x')} V_{x'}(t)^{\sigma+d/2} \, dt \, dx' = \int_{\Omega_V} V(x)^{\sigma+d/2} \, dx
\]
and $L_{\sigma,d-1}^d L_{\sigma+(d-1)/2,1}^c = L_{\sigma,d}^c$. \(\square\)

4.3. **An example with** $V \notin L^{\sigma+d/2}$. Let us illustrate Theorem 4.5 by an example of a Schrödinger operator defined on a horn-shaped region with a potential such that the classical Lieb-Thirring inequality (2) fails. As in Section 3.1 set
\[
\Omega_1 = \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |x| \cdot |y| \leq 1\}
\]
and put $V_\alpha(x,y) = |x|^{\alpha} |y|^{-\alpha}$ with $0 < \alpha < 2/5$. Again, we introduce a scaling parameter $\lambda > 0$ and study the operator
\[
H_\alpha = -\Delta - \lambda V_\alpha,
\]
defined in $L^2(\Omega_1)$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions. Since $V_\alpha \notin L^{\sigma+1}(\Omega_1)$ the classical results (2) and (11) fail.

Nevertheless, Theorem 4.5 yields an upper bound on $R_\sigma(\lambda V_\alpha; \Omega_1)$ for $3/2 \leq \sigma < (1-\alpha)/\alpha$. Indeed, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ the section $\Omega_1(x)$ consists of one open interval $(-x^{-1}, x^{-1})$ and
\[
A_1(x) = \frac{4}{|x|} \int_0^{|x|^{-1}} \lambda |x|^{\alpha} |y|^{-\alpha} \, dy = \frac{4\lambda}{1-\alpha} |x|^{2(\alpha-1)}.
\]
Since $\alpha < 1$ we find that $A_1(x)$ tends to zero as $|x|$ tends to infinity. Thus $A_1(x) \leq 2\ln 3$ holds for
\[
|x| \geq \left( \frac{2\lambda}{(1-\alpha)\ln 3} \right)^{1/(2-2\alpha)} = x_\alpha(\lambda).
\]
From Theorem 4.5 it follows that for all $3/2 \leq \sigma < (1 - \alpha)/\alpha$ the estimate
\[
R_\sigma(\lambda V_\alpha; \Omega_1) \leq 4L_{\sigma,2}^d \int_0^{x_\alpha(\lambda)} \int_0^{x^{-1}} x^{\alpha(\sigma + 1)} y^{-\alpha(\sigma + 1)} dy dx \lambda^{\sigma + 1}
\leq L_{\sigma,2}^d 2^{4\alpha(\sigma + 1)/((1 - \alpha)(\sigma + 1))} \alpha^{(\sigma + 1)/(1 - \alpha)} (1 - \alpha)^{(\sigma + 1)/(1 - \alpha)}
\]
holds for all $\lambda > 0$. 
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