Heavy Oil Upgrading and Enhanced Recovery in a Steam Injection Process Assisted by NiO- and PdO-Functionalized SiO$_2$ Nanoparticulated Catalysts
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Abstract: This work aims to investigate the effect of active catalytic nanoparticles on the improvement of the efficiency in recovery of a continuous steam injection process. Catalytic nanoparticles were selected through batch-adsorption experiments and the subsequent evaluation of the temperature for catalytic steam gasification in a thermogravimetric analyzer. A nanoparticulated SiO$_2$ support was functionalized with 1.0 wt % of NiO and PdO nanocrystals, respectively, to improve the catalytic activity of the nanoparticles. Oil recovery was evaluated using a sand pack in steam injection scenarios in the absence and presence of a 500 mg/L SiNi1Pd1 nanoparticles-based nanofluid. The displacement test was carried out by constructing the base curves with water injection followed by steam injection in the absence and presence of the prepared treatment. The oil recovery increased 56% after steam injection with nanoparticles in comparison with the steam injection in the absence of the catalysts. The API gravity increases from 7.2$^\circ$ to 12.1$^\circ$. Changes in the asphaltenes fraction corroborated the catalytic effect of the nanoparticles by reducing the asphaltenes content and the 620$^\circ$C+ residue 40% and 47%, respectively. Also, rheological measurements showed that the viscosity decreased by up to 85% (one order of magnitude) after the nanofluid treatment during the steam injection process.
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1. Introduction

The growing demand for fossil fuels has led to an interest in exploiting unconventional reservoirs of heavy (HO) and extra heavy crude oils (EHO), which are approximately of the same order as those of light and medium crude oils [1,2]. It is expected that for the 2014–2035 period, the development of HO and EHO oil resources would need approximately 9% of the cumulative investment upstream [3]. However, the production of heavy and extra-heavy oil is adverse due to the rheological properties that the crude oil has as a consequence of its high content of heavy compounds. In addition, the upgrading of HO and EHO requires large amounts of energy, leading to non-cost-effective processes at both surface and reservoir conditions.

Accordingly, several in-situ techniques have been employed for enhancing HO and EHO recovery with the objective of upgrading the oil and improving its viscosity and mobility. These techniques include thermal processes, such as steam assisted gravity drainage (SAGD) [4,5], cyclic steam...
stimulation (CSS) [6–9], steam flooding (SF) [10,11], in-situ combustion (ISC) [12,13] and cold
techniques using diluents, among others. The latter (i.e., cold processes) are employed for improving
the crude oil mobility by dilution or destabilization of large amount of crude oil components in the
reservoir by injecting solvents for viscosity reduction [14,15]. On the other hand, the thermal enhanced
oil recovery techniques for heavy oil upgrading include aquathermolysis [16–18], pyrolysis—also
called thermal cracking or thermolysis [19–22]—combustion processes [23,24] and low-temperature
oxidation [25,26]. Nevertheless, most of these techniques do not exceed the 50% of oil recovery [27–29].
Hence, nanotechnology in the form of nanoparticles and nanofluids has obtained special attention
to enhance the techniques above and increase oil recovery [30]. Further, nanoparticles with high
catalytic activity towards heavy molecules decomposition may be employed for HO and EHO in-situ
upgrading, increasing the oil recovery and quality as a cost-effective technology and reducing negative
environmental impact [31–33]. In this sense, different materials have been employed for catalytic
decomposition for the heavy fraction of heavy oil, including metallic, multimetallic and metal oxide
nanoparticles [22,32,34,35], as well as supported hygroscopic salts (SHS) [36–38]. SHS materials consist
of metal oxide nanoparticles over nanoparticulated support. Specially, bimetallic NiO and PdO
nanoparticles over different supports of Al$_2$O$_3$ [39,40], TiO$_2$ [39] and SiO$_2$ [37,38,41] nanoparticles
have shown special capacity of simultaneously adsorbing and catalytic decomposing crude oil heavy
fraction at relatively low temperatures (~220 $^\circ$C) due to the synergistic effect between the support and
the active phase [36], generating availability for the technology application under real steam injection
scenarios. Nevertheless, for field applications, the assessment of nanoparticles and nanofluids under
dynamic tests and reservoir conditions is essential for the scale-up and thus guarantee the success
of this type of technology. In this sense, few works have included nanocatalysts evaluation under
dynamic conditions for evaluating the feasibility of nanoparticles injection in thermal EOR processes.

Faroqii et al. [42] performed CSS experiments in a heavy oil-containing silica sand pack using
Ni nanoparticles at approximately 240 $^\circ$C. Ni nanoparticles were introduced to the porous media
during the sand pack preparation. The authors found that the employed nanoparticles increased
the oil recovery up to 10% during the CSS experiments in comparison with the system in the
absence of nanoparticles. Yi, Babadagli and Li [43] studied the effect of Ni nanoparticles to promote
aquathermolysis reactions under CSS experiments between 150 and 220 $^\circ$C. Nanoparticles were
pre-mixed with the heavy oil for the experiments. The authors observed that higher oil recovery
was obtained at 220 $^\circ$C with a nanoparticle concentration of 0.20 wt % and was attributed to the
level of aquathermolysis reactions occurring at this temperature. It was observed that nanoparticles
act as catalysts by increasing the saturates fraction in comparison with the system in the absence
of nanoparticles. Also, the authors concluded that the nanoparticles penetration into the sand pack
plays a key role in the oil recovery. Hashemi et al. [31] employed in-situ prepared ultra-dispersed
multi-metallic nano-catalysts of NiWMo within a vacuum gas oil matrix for the upgrading of Athabasca
bitumen and enhanced recovery under conditions of pressure and temperature of a SAGD process
(320 to 340 $^\circ$C). The authors reported that the employed nanoparticles can enhance the bitumen
recovery and upgrading by hydrogenation reactions that lead to viscosity reduction and increase of the
API gravity. Also, the sulfur and nitrogen content, as well as the microcarbon residue, were reduced.
Hamedi et al. [33] compared the effect an industrial micro-sized Raney nickel catalyst against Ni
nanoparticles during steam-injection at 300 $^\circ$C for HO upgrading. Tests were performed in the absence
and presence of a porous media. The results showed that the catalytic activity of nickel nanoparticles
(in a mass base) was higher than that for Raney nickel catalyst. Further, the authors report that the
catalytic process is improved in the presence of the porous media as the sand matrix behave as support
for the Ni nanoparticles. In addition, the authors found that the particle distribution and reactivity
are greatly influenced by the injection rate and direction, as well as the viscosity of the nanofluid.
Azfal et al. [44] studied the effect of Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles in the oil recovery and quality of oil during
steam injection at 295 $^\circ$C. The authors reported that the oil recovery increased up to 30% in the presence
of nanoparticles and that the oil viscosity was reduced up to 50%, mainly attributed to the cracking of C–S, C=C and C≡C bonds in the heavy fraction of the crude oil.

However, until now there are no studies reporting the evaluation of SHS catalysts in steam flooding processes. Hence, this work aims to investigate the effect of active catalytic nanoparticles of silica functionalized with NiO and PdO nanoparticles in the improvement of the oil quality and the efficiency in recovery a continuous steam injection process. Oil recovery was evaluated using a non-confined sand pack in steam injection scenarios in the absence and presence of a water-based SHS-containing nanofluid. Changes in the physicochemical properties of crude oil were assessed using SARA analyses, simulated distillation (SimDis) measurements, changes in API gravity and rheological behavior.

2. Results

2.1. Nanoparticles Characterization

SiO$_2$ nanoparticles functionalized with 1.0 wt % of nickel and palladium oxides (SiNi1Pd1) were used as a catalyst in the steam flooding process. SiO$_2$ nanoparticles mean crystallite size was estimated at 7 nm with an $S_{\text{BET}}$ of 389 mg/m$^2$. Also, the $S_{\text{BET}}$ of SiNi1Pd1 nanoparticles was 202 mg/m$^2$ with NiO and PdO mean crystallite size of 1.3 and 2.2 nm, respectively [36]. The mean aggregate size of the bimetallic nanoparticles in the aqueous solution was 61 nm, as measured by dynamic light scattering (DLS) [36]. Additionally, the metal dispersion over the SiO$_2$ nanoparticles was estimated in 18.3%.

2.2. Selection of Nanocatalyst

The adsorption of asphaltenes onto nanoparticles is influenced by different factors including the heterogeneity of adsorbent surface, surface chemistry, nanoparticle size, asphaltenes chemical structure, the content of heteroatoms, among others [7]. Previous studies [36] have demonstrated that the asphaltene adsorption was higher for SiNi1Pd1 nanoparticles than for SiO$_2$ support or monometallic SHS. SiNi1Pd1 nanoparticles are able to absorb up to 0.65 mg/m$^2$ of asphaltenes, while SiO$_2$ nanoparticles adsorb 0.14 mg/m$^2$ at the same asphaltene equilibrium concentration of 200 mg/L [36]. This could be because of the synergistic effect of the compounds that enhances the adsorption due to the various selectivities of the adsorbent [37]. Also, bimetallic compounds have synergistic effects in avoiding sintering processes, which would lead to a less heterogeneous surface [45]. Hence, an adsorbent with various selectivities towards asphaltenes would be more efficient and will have higher affinity than that with single selectivity [46]. Also, the presence of NiO and PdO nanoparticles over the silica surface may inhibit the asphaltenes self-association over the catalyst surface [39].

For catalytic decomposition of the heavy molecules, the asphaltenes migrate first from the bulk phase to the nanoparticles surface. The velocity with which asphaltenes are adsorbed over the nanoparticles would depend on the adsorption potential of the selected material and this is also dependent on their chemical nature and structure. Adsorbed asphaltenes can be found in the active sites as monomers, dimers, $i$-mers or aggregates according to the crowding of the available surface area. Then, as temperature increases, the adsorbed asphaltenes are decomposed and the H$_2$ or O$_2$ from the H$_2$O(g) will react with the free radicals. It is expected that the catalytic activity of the nanoparticles would affect the way both water and asphaltenes get adsorbed on the active sites, leading to a less expensive process in energetic terms. The degree of asphaltenes self-association impacts the catalytic activity of the nanoparticles as bigger aggregates could block some active sites [47].

The SiO$_2$ and SiNi1Pd1 nanoparticles were tested in an atmosphere of Ar saturated with H$_2$O(g) for asphaltenes catalytic steam gasification using thermogravimetric analyses. For virgin n-C$_7$ asphaltenes, the reaction begins approximately at 300 °C, with a maximum rate of mass loss at 458 °C and ceases at 544 °C. It is worth to mention that at the end of the reaction coke formation was observed. Meanwhile, the catalytic steam gasification of asphaltenes in the presence of SiO$_2$ nanoparticles can be divided
into two reaction regions. The first region (<500 °C) could correspond to dissociation of S–C and N–C bonds, the break-up of alkyl chains and the opening of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons [33,48,49]. In the second region, (500–800 °C), there are reactions attributed to the gasification of resultant compounds after addition reactions of free radicals that did not stabilize in the reactions of the first region. Furthermore, for the SiNi1Pd1 nanoparticles, the maximum rate of mass loss is observed at 220 °C. As it was mentioned before, bimetallic compounds avoid sintering effects and lead to homogeneous distribution on the surface, resulting in asphaltenes adsorption mainly on the NiO and PdO sites [50].

2.3. Steam Injection Process Assisted by Nanoparticulated Catalysts

The displacement tests were performed in three steps namely for evaluating the effect of nanoparticles in the oil recovery during steam injection. The first step includes the construction of base curves of oil recovery (water flooding). The second step consisted in obtaining the oil recovery curves with continuous steam injection for determined pore volumes injected of cold water equivalent (PVI CWE) until no more oil is produced. Finally, the third step was performed for obtaining the oil recovery profile with continuous steam injection in the presence of SiNi1Pd1 nanoparticles. The absolute permeability and the effective water (Kw) and oil permeabilities (Ko) were 8.3, 6.7 and 5.5 Darcy, respectively. After the water flooding step, a total oil recovery of 40% was obtained. For the steam injection, 0.5 pore volumes (PV) of a Tween 80-containing brine was injected and soaked for 24 h. A pressure differential of 0.23 psi was observed. Figure 1 shows the oil recovery curves with continuous steam injection in the presence and absence of the SiNi1Pd1 nanoparticles. It can be observed from Figure 1 that the oil recovery with the steam injection in the absence of nanoparticles stopped after approximately 20 PVI CWE, reaching an additional recovery of 36%. Oil recovery is improved by steam injection in comparison with the water flooding due to different factors including, viscosity reduction, volatilization of light hydrocarbons, thermal expansion, a variation of the relative permeability and capillary pressure, as well as gravitational segregation [51]. From Figure 1 it can be also observed that the oil recovery increased even more in the presence of the selected nanoparticles. Similar percentages of oil recovery than that of steam injection in the absence of nanoparticles are obtained with fewer PVI CWE. After 3 PVI CWE, a recovery of 34% was obtained. However, at 20 PVI CWE, the 82% of the oil in the porous media was recovered, representing a 127% more than that in the absence of nanoparticles. It is worth to mention that the pressure differential at the nanofluid soaking stage was estimated in 0.19 Psi.

Three main reasons influence the enhanced recovery of oil during steam injection in the presence of nanoparticles. The nanomaterials alter the system wettability to a strong water-wet condition by decorating the rock surface and hence, enhancing its preference for water [52]. In this case of steam injection in the presence of SiNi1Pd1 nanoparticles, the states of saturation at each stage (Table 1) corroborated the wettability changes of the system.

Also, the asphaltene adsorption over the injected nanoparticles contributes to increasing the oil recovery. As the asphaltene-asphaltene interactions are weaker than those of asphaltene-nanoparticle, the asphaltenes would be more prone for being in the nanoparticles surface than for being in the oil matrix [53,54]. Further, the asphaltene aggregation system in the oil matrix would be fragmented and individual asphaltene molecules or smaller asphaltene aggregates will diffuse through the bulk phase to the nanoparticles surface until saturation; the remaining asphaltenes in the bulk phase would reorganize and form smaller aggregates [55]. Reduction in the asphaltene aggregate directly leads to re-organization of the crude oil viscoelastic network and hence, to a diminution of the crude oil viscosity [54]. It is worth to mention that it has been demonstrated that the resins adsorption over the nanoparticles surface does not have significant interference in the asphaltenes adsorption [56].

The adsorbed asphaltenes over the nanoparticles active sites can be converted in lighter products depending on the conditions of asphaltene loading, the degree of self-association in the nanoparticles surface, chemical nature of the asphaltene molecule, temperature, chemical nature of the nanoparticles,
among others. Hence, the conversion of asphaltenes in lighter products changes the chemical composition of crude oil and enhance the processes above that affect the oil recovery.

![Figure 1. Oil recovery curves for cold water equivalent steam injection in the absence and presence of a nanofluid containing 500 mg/L of SiNi1Pd1 nanoparticles. Injection pressure and temperature were maintained at 8.96 MPa (1300 psi) and 300 °C, respectively.](image)

**Table 1.** Estimated values for residual water saturation (Swr) and residual saturation (Sor) at different stages of the displacement tests. Injection pressure and temperature were maintained at 8.96 MPa (1300 psi) and 300 °C, respectively.

| Stage                                 | Swr Initial | Sor Final |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| Water flooding                        | 20%         | 80%       |
| Steam injection                       | 22%         | 78%       |
| Steam injection in the presence of nanoparticles | 25%         | 75%       |

2.4. Characterization of Upgraded Crude Oil

2.4.1. API Gravity, SARA and Conversion (R%)

Figure 2 shows the values of (a) saturates, aromatics, resins and asphaltene content (SARA), (b) API gravity and (c) residue (620 °C+) conversion (R%) for virgin crude oil, crude oil produced after steam injection in the absence of nanoparticles and crude oil produced after steam injection in the presence of SiNi1Pd1 nanoparticles. It can be observed from Figure 2 that the physicochemical properties of crude oil changed in both scenarios of steam injection in the absence and presence of nanoparticles. However, a higher upgrading was obtained when the SiNi1Pd1 nanoparticles were injected due to the temperature of asphaltene decomposition is reduced in comparison with the system without nanoparticles, leading to a reduction of the effective activation energy needed for the reaction [38,41].

The catalytic effect of nanoparticles was observed through the decrease of 38% in asphaltene content in the presence of SiNi1Pd1 nanoparticles regarding the virgin crude oil. The increase in saturates occurred possibly from catalytic hydrogenation of aromatics and the production of light hydrocarbons throw asphaltene cracking in the presence of catalytic palladium that can adsorb on its surface 900 times its weight of hydrogen allowing the light components to form faster [57].

The increase R% was achieved due to the formation of lighter compounds of crude oil, which occurs at lower temperature conditions due to the effect of nanoparticles. The residue conversion
percentage increase 72% for crude oil produced after steam injection assisted by nanoparticles compared with the crude oil produced after steam injection and agrees with the increase in API gravity.

Figure 2. (a) Saturates, aromatics, resins and asphaltene content (SARA) analysis, (b) API gravity and (c) residue (620 °C+) conversion (R%) for an extra heavy crude oil before and after recovery with steam injection in the presence and absence of 1000 mg/L of SiNi1Pd1 nanoparticles. Injection pressure and temperature were maintained at 8.96 MPa (1300 psi) and 300 °C, respectively.
2.4.2. Rheological Behavior

Figure 3 shows the rheological behavior at 25 °C of the virgin crude oil and the crude oil after steam injection in the presence and absence of nanoparticles, as well as the Cross model fitting. It is observed from Figure 3 that all samples have a typical non-Newtonian behavior where the viscosity decreases by increasing the share rate. Also, it can be observed that the viscosity of the crude oil after recovery with steam in the presence of the SiNi1Pd1 nanoparticles is drastically reduced in comparison to the virgin crude oil and the crude oil after steam injection in the absence of nanoparticles. At a fixed shear rate of 50 s⁻¹, the viscosity reduction degree (VRD) [58] was 75% and 73%, respectively. The heat transferred by steam in the absence of nanoparticles manages to reduce the viscosity and increases the mobility of the crude oil without upgrading, while crude oil after steam injection assisted by nanoparticles showed an improvement of the physicochemical properties of the fluid besides decreasing the viscosity.

The high viscosity of heavy and extra heavy oils is due to the formation of a viscoelastic network of interacting asphaltene nanoaggregates and resins [54,59], in addition to the presence of C–S and C=S bonds [60]. Hence, when nanoparticles catalytically decompose the heaviest molecules of crude oil such as asphaltenes, the viscoelastic network will be interrupted and the crude oil matrix would reorganize as the chemical structure of the bulk phase is changing. Also, the adsorption process of asphaltenes over the nanoparticles may change the rheological behavior. Taborda et al. [61] demonstrated that the sole addition of 8 nm SiO₂ nanoparticles to the crude oil reduces the viscosity up to 55% and is mainly attributed to the changes in the internal structure of the fluid [54].

![Figure 3](image-url)

**Figure 3.** The rheological behavior of an extra heavy crude oil before and after recovery with steam injection in the presence and absence of 1000 mg/L of SiNi1Pd1 nanoparticles. Injection pressure and temperature were maintained at 8.96 MPa (1300 psi) and 300 °C, respectively. The points are the experimental data and the continuous line is the Cross model in Equation (2).

Table 2 shows the rheological parameters of the Cross and Ostwald–de Waele models for virgin EHO, crude oil after steam injection and crude oil after steam injection assisted by SiNi1Pd1 nanoparticles at 25 °C. The model that best describes the experimental data was Cross model with RSME% < 7.0. Extreme viscosity parameters $\mu(0, \gamma)$ and $\mu(\infty, \gamma)$ account for the fluid behavior when subjected to stress at share rates of zero and infinity, respectively. The Table 2 shows that these
parameters decrease in the order steam injection assisted by nanoparticles > steam injection in the absence of nanoparticles > virgin EHO, indicating that the mobility properties of the fluid are enhanced by the presence of nanoparticles. Also, it is observed that $\alpha_C$ is lowest for the crude oil recovered after steam injection in the presence of nanoparticles, indicating that the treatment leads to less pseudoplastic behavior of the crude oil. These results of viscosity reduction are of primary significance as demonstrate that the mobility of crude oil can be enhanced at both surface and subsurface conditions.

Table 2. Rheological parameters of the Cross and Ostwald–de Waele models for virgin extra heavy crude oils (EHO), crude oil after steam injection and crude oil after steam injection assisted by SiNi1Pd1 nanoparticles at 25 °C.

| Model                  | Parameters       | Virgin EHO | Crude Oil after Steam Injection | Crude Oil after Steam Injection Assisted by Nanoparticles |
|------------------------|------------------|------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| Cross                  | $\mu_{\infty}$ (cP) | 180        | 178                           | 68                                                     |
|                        | $\mu_{0.7}$ (cP)   | 2512       | 2113                          | 435                                                    |
|                        | $\alpha_C$ ($s \times 10^{-2}$) | 3.6        | 3.1                           | 1.88                                                   |
|                        | $m$               | 2.42       | 2.19                          | 1.12                                                   |
|                        | $RSME\%$          | 6.2        | 3.1                           | 3.0                                                    |
| Ostwald–de Waele      | $K$ (cP/s)        | $2.5 \times 10^7$ | $2.2 \times 10^7$ | $7.2 \times 10^6$ |
|                        | $n$               | 0.13       | 0.09                          | 0.09                                                   |
|                        |                   | 16.9       | 19.5                          | 11.1                                                   |

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Materials

Fumed silica (SiO$_2$) nanoparticles were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Salt precursors of Ni(NO$_3$)$_2$·(6H$_2$O) (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) and Pd(NO$_3$)$_2$ (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) and distilled water were used for nanoparticle functionalization. The functionalized nanoparticles were synthesized following the procedure reported in a previous study [37].

Deionized water with conductivity of 3 $\mu$S/cm was employed for steam generation, as well as for brine and nanofluid preparation. A 2000 mg/L of NaCl ($\geq$99.5%, Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) synthetic brine was employed for injection into the porous media. Commercial surfactant Tween 80 (Panreac, Barcelona, Spain) was employed for nanoparticles dispersion. A Colombian EHO of 7.2° API was used for the displacement tests. The porous media was prepared with clean silica sand (Ottawa sand, US sieves 30–40 mesh) purchased from Minercol S.A. (Colombia). Also, toluene (99.8%, Panreac, Barcelona, Spain), methanol (99.8%, Panreac, Barcelona, Spain) and HCl (37%, Panreac, Barcelona, Spain) were used for porous media cleaning.

3.1.1. Nanoparticles Characterization

Nanoparticles were characterized by way of Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) surface area ($S_{BET}$), mean crystallite size and percentage of metal oxide nanoparticles coverage over the support. The $S_{BET}$ was measured via nitrogen physisorption at −196 °C using an Autosorb-1 from Quantachrome after outgassing samples overnight at 140 °C under high vacuum. The nanoparticles mean crystallite size was measured through the Scherrer equation to the primary X-ray Diffraction (XRD) peak. XRD patterns were obtained using an X’Pert PRO MPD X-ray diffractometer from PANalytical using Cu Kα radiation operating at 60 kV and 40 mA with a $\theta/2\theta$ goniometer. The average metal particles sizes and the determination of metal dispersion over the SiO$_2$ support was evaluated by pulse chemisorption trough H$_2$ titration using a Chembet 3000 (Quantachrome Instruments, Boynton Beach, FL, USA). First, the SiNi1Pd1 catalysts were dried at 200 °C for 1 h and then reduced at 700 °C for 1 h in 10 vol % H$_2$ in Ar at 80 mL/min and purged with flowing bAr for 1 h until the samples reach atmosphere temperature of 25 °C. The hydrogen pulses continued until no additional uptake of H$_2$ was observed. The particle
size of the synthesized materials was obtained by dynamic light scattering (DLS) with a nanoplus-3 from Micromeritics (Norcross, GA, USA) [53,55].

3.1.2. Porous Media

A solution of methanol and toluene in a 1:1 ratio was employed for the initial cleaning of the prepared porous bed using a concentration of 10 mL per 5 g of sand. Then, the sand was washed with HCl at 10 vol/wt % to remove any surface impurities or dust and finally dried in a vacuum oven at 120 °C for 12 h. Approximately 100 g of the sand are transferred to a stainless-steel tube and the porous media permeability was estimated following Darcy’s law.

3.1.3. Preparation of Nanofluid

The nanofluid was prepared by dispersing 500 mg/L of SiNi1Pd1 nanoparticles in a 1 wt % solution of tween 80 in distilled. The nanofluid is magnetically stirred at 300 rpm at 25 °C for 6 h and then sonicated for 4 h [62].

3.2. Methods

3.2.1. Selection of Catalytic Nanoparticles

Bath-mode adsorption experiments were carried out at a temperature of 25 °C using a set of 50-mL glass beakers by adding a fixed amount of nanoparticles to the heavy oil model solutions for an adsorbent to solution ratio of 100 mg to 10 mL and different initial concentrations of n-C7 asphaltenes as described in previous works [37,50]. After that, the nanoparticles containing adsorbed asphaltenes were dried to remove any traces of toluene. Amount adsorbed was determined using an UV-Vis Genesys 10S spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) [63]. At this stage, the nanoparticles containing adsorbed asphaltenes were ready for the thermal analysis experiment. To evaluate the catalytic behavior of the selected nanoparticles, catalytic steam gasification of adsorbed asphaltenes over nanoparticles was carried out and studied using a simultaneous thermogravimetric analysis, TGA Q50 analyzer (TA Instruments, Inc., New Castle, DE, USA) coupled with an IRAffinity-1 FTIR device (Shimadzu, Japan) to analyze the outcome gases [36]. The amount of asphaltene adsorbed was fixed at 0.20 ± 0.02 mg/m² and was heated from 100 to 900 °C at three different heating rates of 5, 10 and 20 °C/min in an atmosphere of Ar saturated with and H₂O(g). This flow rate allows the steam to be present above the sample in excess [35]. Details of TGA experiments can be found in a previous study [64].

3.2.2. Fluid Injection Test

The main objective of the displacement tests was to evaluate the effect of the nanoparticles in enhancing the oil recovery with continuous steam injection and upgrading the produce crude oil. Figure 4 shows a schematic representation of the experimental setup employed for the displacement tests. The setup consists mainly of a positive displacement pump (DB Robinson Group, Edmonton, AB, Canada), a cylinder containing water for steam generation, a tubular furnace (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), an oil-containing cylinder, a tank containing the nanofluid, a stainless-steel tube, manometers, a thermocouple, valves and fraction collectors. The porous media has a porosity of 38%. The nanofluid was injected into the porous media from the production point using the positive displacement pump. The temperature of the surroundings was kept at 85 °C for all steps using an oven. The displacement test was performed in three steps. First, the oil recovery base curves by water injection are obtained. In the second step, the oil recovery by the continuous steam injection in the absence of nanofluids is measured. Finally, the third step consisted in evaluating the influence of the nanoparticles in the enhanced recovery of oil. For absolute permeability estimation, 10 pore volumes (PV) of brine was injected into the porous media at a defined rate of 0.5 mL/min. Subsequently, the crude oil was injected until the pressure no longer changed and then 20 PV of water are injected for
determining the water effective permeability ($K_w$) at residual oil saturation ($S_{or}$) conditions. For step two, the steam was introduced into the sand from the water cylinder through the coil line and pressure and temperature conditions were recorded. The water was injected at a flow ranging between 2.5 and 6.5 mL/min to avoid condensation after in the outlet of the coil line. The conditions injection pressure and temperature were maintained at 8.96 MPa (1300 psi) and 300 °C for ensuring a steam quality of 100%. It is expected that at a high $n$-C$_7$ asphaltene conversion would be obtained a temperature of 300 °C in the presence of SiNi1P1 nanoparticles [37,39,41,50]. It is worth to mention that for step two, 0.5 PV of a mixture of a 2000 mg/L NaCl brine + 1 wt % tween 80 was injected into the porous media as blank. In step three, 0.5 PV of nanofluid were injected at a fixed rate of 0.5 mL/min by the production inlet with a soaking time of 24 h. Finally, steam was injected at the same conditions than that of step two until there was no oil production.

Figure 4. Schematic representation of the experimental setup. Legend: (1) the displacement positive pumps the core holder, (2) the oil-containing displacement cylinder, (3) the water-containing displacement cylinder, (4) the tubular furnace (5) the coil line (6) the manometers, (7) the thermocouple, (8) the pressure transducer, (9) the slim tube, (10) the sand packed bed and (11) sample output.

3.2.3. Analytical Methods

Changes in API gravity, oil viscosity, saturates, aromatics, resins and asphaltene content (SARA), as well as residue content (620 °C+) were estimated to determine the effect of the nanoparticles in the EHO upgrading after recovery with steam injection in the presence of nanoparticles. The oil sample for analytical tests was the one taken when each step of the displacement test ended. The ASTM D1298 standard was used to determine the changes in the API gravity. For SARA analyses, micro de-asphalting was performed to the crude oil by $n$-heptane. The content of saturates, aromatics and resins were obtained through IATROSCAN MK6 TLC-FID/FPD equipment with hydrogen injection using the IP 469 method. The residue content (620 °C+) was estimated using high-temperature simulated distillation (HTSD) following the ASTM D-7169 procedure using an Agilent 7890 chromatographer. Results are presented as residue conversion ($R\%$) as follows [31]:

$$R\% = \frac{R_{\text{virginEHO}} - R_{\text{Treatment}}}{R_{\text{virginEHO}}} \times 100$$

where $R_{\text{virginEHO}}$ and $R_{\text{Treatment}}$ is the residue content before and after steam injection, respectively.

The rheological behavior of crude oil was evaluated at 25 °C using a KINEXUS–Pro + rheometer with separated plate-plate geometry ideal for heavy oil. Different shear rates in a range from 0 to 100 s$^{-1}$ were used for viscosity measurement.
3.2.4. Rheological Models

Empirical models of Cross and Ostwald–de Waele [65] were employed to describe Non-Newtonian fluid behavior in terms of viscosity “µ” (cP) as a function of the shear rate “γ” (s⁻¹). Equations (2) and (3) show the expressions for the Cross and Ostwald–de Waele models, respectively:

\[ µ = μ_{∞,γ} + \frac{μ_{0,γ} - μ_{∞,γ}}{1 + (α_{c}γ)^{m}} \]  

\[ µ = K(γ^{n-1}) \]

For the Cross model, \( α_{c} \) (s) is the characteristic relaxation time, \( m \) is a constant related to the flow behavior, and \( μ_{∞,γ} \) (cP) and \( μ_{0,γ} \) (cP) are the viscosities at infinite shear rate and zero shear rate, respectively. In the case of the Ostwald–de Waele model, \( K \) (cP/s) is the consistency index and \( n \) is the flow behavior index.

To define the model that best fits the experimental data, the root-mean-square error (RSME%) was used:

\[ RSME\% = 100 \sqrt{\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \left( \frac{C_{exp} - C_{cal}}{C_{exp}} \right)^{2}} \]

where \( C_{exp} \) is the experimental measure of viscosity, \( C_{cal} \) is the viscosity calculated by the employed models and \( k \) the number of experimental points.

4. Conclusions

The advantages of nanotechnology as solution for extra heavy oil upgrading and enhanced oil recovery is shown in this work. In the adsorption process, functionalized nanoparticles are prone to reduce the degree of asphaltene self-association and increase the adsorption affinity [37,41]. Nanoparticles also are able to enhance the asphaltene catalytic steam gasification process by reducing the degree of asphaltene self-association over the catalyst surface, decreasing the temperature of asphaltenes decomposition and by the inhibition of the coke formation and the catalyst poisoning [41,50]. It was observed that the oil recovery increased up to 56% for the system in the presence of SiNi1Pd1 nanoparticles regarding the steam injection in the absence of the nanocatalyst. Additionally, trough API gravity, viscosity, SARA analyses and residue content measurements it was demonstrated that nanoparticles upgrade the quality of extra heavy oils during steam injection processes. The API gravity of crude oil increased from 7.2° to 12.1°. A reduction of oil viscosity was also observed. \( n-C_{7} \) asphaltene content decreased a 39% after steam injection in the presence of nanoparticles in comparison with the untreated EHO. Also, the residue content (620 °C+) decreased a 47%. The rheological behavior of the crude oil showed a less pseudoplastic trend after injection of steam in the presence of the selected nanoparticles. The improvement of oil recovery due to the inclusion of catalytic nanoparticles could be attributed to mainly to wettability alteration, viscosity reduction due to disruption of the viscoelastic network and crude oil upgrading. This study should generate a better landscape about the use of catalytic nanoparticles in the improvement of enhanced oil recovery processes and its application in local and international scenarios.
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