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Abstract
A new simple, sensitive and green environmental method of Flow-injection/merging zones technique was developed for indirect determination of α-cypermethrin pesticide in pure form, industrial and biological samples. This method based on oxidation reaction of pesticide (α-cypermethrin) with a calculated increase of N-bromosuccinamide (NBS) in acidic medium then react with Tartrazine dye (TART) and estimation of the excess of the oxidizing agent by measuring the residual absorption of TART (yellow color) at $\lambda_{max} = 430$nm, this method is a green chemistry, low cost and less consumption of poisonous chemical reagents. The limit of detection and limit of quantification for this method are 0.05 µg.ml$^{-1}$ and 0.17 µg.ml$^{-1}$ respectively, RSD% = 0.69% and the recovery is about = 102.15%. Chemical and physical parameters was optimized that effect on the pesticide reaction. The calibration curve was linear within concentration range (0.5 – 30) µg.ml$^{-1}$ with sampling through put is about 65 sample.hour$^{-1}$; the results of determination were compared with those given by trusted method (HPLC). No significant differences between both methods regarding in terms of accuracy and precision at 95% dependability level.
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1. Introduction

A α-cypermethrin (CYM) known chemically [Cyano-(3-phenoxyphenyl)-methyl] 3-(2,2-dichloroethenyl)-2,2-dimethyl-cyclopropane-1-carboxylate as shown in figure (1) [1,2]. Molecular formula (C$_{22}$H$_{19}$Cl$_{2}$NO$_{3}$), Molecular weight (416.30 g.mol$^{-1}$). It is a synthetic pyrethroid that contains a group of cyanide used as an insecticide in agricultural applications to control pests that
affect cotton, fruits and vegetables [3,4]. It is also used to control cockroaches, fleas and termites. Generally considered safe for humans. However, excessive use may cause environmental and health problems. The half-life in different conditions ranges from (4-100) days. It acts on the nervous system of insects by binding with sodium channels [5,6]. It is a highly toxic pesticide to fish, bees and aquatic invertebrates [7], Cypermethrin is stable in light and has a very low volatility and water solubility. Cypermethrin action is due to its action in disrupting normal functioning of the nervous system. Cypermethrin is not considered as irritate compound to the skin, but orally can cause coughing, difficulty in breathing, skin and eye irritation, nausea and vomiting [8-10]. Cypermethrin is a mixture of eight stereoisomers, four of them in cis and four in trans configuration. The most effective pair of cypermethrin isomer are 1R, cis and 1S, cis which they make up cypermethrin [11,12]. Several analytical methods have been reported for determination α-cypermethrin in different organic samples such as: Liquid-liquid extraction (LLE) [13]. Supercritical-fluid extraction (SFE) [14,15] Microwave-assisted extraction (MAE) [16-17], Solid phase extraction (SPE) [18]. Molecularly imprinted polymers (MIPs) [19], Cloud point extraction (CPE) [20]. High performance liquid chromatography [21,22], Gas chromatography [23,24], Spectrophotometer [25-27]. In the present work, a new CFIA technique was suggested for indirect spectrophotometric determination of pyrethroid insecticide (α-CYM) in pure form, industrial and biological samples through the oxidation reaction of CYM using NBS as oxidizing agent in acidic medium and estimation of the excess of NBS through the determination of residual absorption (unbleached yellow color) of tartrazine dye at \( \lambda_{\text{max}} = 430\text{nm} \).

The work was applied in six-three way margining zone programming at flow rate (3.03 mL.min\(^{-1}\)) applied to individual assay steps allows optimization of a high frequency [28-32]. Miniaturized and automation of analytical techniques based on flow techniques offers many benefits, such as a reduction in human exposure to toxic substances and the production of more environmentally friendly products [33,34]. It’s simple rapid and sensitive, moderate experimental condition, wide linear range, reproducibility and repeatability of the results obtained, low time consumption and cost [35] for determination α-cypermethrin.
Chemicals and Reagents

A standard solution of pesticide (Cypermethrin) (M.Wt =416.30 g.mol⁻¹) 1000 µg.ml⁻¹ (2.4×10⁻³M) was prepared by dissolving 0.1g in 10mL of methanol using volumetric flask 100 mL and complete to the mark by distilled water. A standard solution of N-bromosuccinamide (NBS) (M.Wt =177.9 g.mol⁻¹) 200 µg.mL⁻¹ (1.7×10⁻³M) was prepared by dissolving 0.02 gm in volumetric flask 100 ml using distilled water. A standard solution of the tartrazine dye (TART) (M.Wt =534.30 g.mol⁻¹) 100 µg.mL⁻¹ (1.12×10⁻³M) was prepared by dissolving 0.01g in 100 mL using distilled water. Standard solution of hydrochloric acid 1M (HCl) was prepared by taken 16.72 mL of concentrated acid in volumetric flask 200 mL and complete to the mark with distilled water.

Preparation of Biological Samples

1- Human Serum

Human serum samples were collected from healthy persons, in plastic tubes separated from blood at 3000 rpm for 15 minutes by centrifugation and acidified with 1 ml of HNO₃ (1M) to precipitate proteins. A 0.5 ml of the supernatant was pipette in a glass tube and stored at 4°C until they used [36,37].

2- Plasma Samples

Blood samples were collected from healthy persons in glass tubes [contain EDTA] and centrifuged for 20 min at 3000 rpm. Precisely 0.5 mL of plasma was pipetted into a 10 mL plastic tube and stored at 4 C° until it was used [38].

3- Urine Samples

The samples were collected from different healthy people (male), directly used after added 5 drops of HClO₄ acid (to precipitate the protein) [39] and then centrifuge at 3000 rpm.

Preparation of Industrial Samples

According to the standard addition method, two types of the industrial preparation containing Cypermethrin Alpha cypermethrin EC10% (India), Alpha 10% (China) have been analyzed under the
developed FIA method as shown in table (3-16), stock solution (1000 ppm) of industrial preparation was prepared from the main bottle, One ml was withdrawn from the main vial of concentration of 25000 ppm into a 250 ml volumetric flask and the volume was completed to the mark with distilled water.

The statistical comparison between the proposed method and trusted method (HPLC) using the student t-test and F-test [19] showed that the calculated F-test values and t-test less than the theoretical values for trusted method.

**Instrumentation of the Suggested CFIA Manifold**

The measurements in batch method procedure were made by shimatzu UV-1800(Japan) UV-Visible spectrophotometer double beam with quartz cuvette (1cm). The suggested FI manifold was developed in this study using a single channel manifold/FIA system for spectrophotometric determination of CYM pesticide. A peristaltic pump (Shenchen, LabM1) was used to pump the carrier stream (distilled water) at flow rate 3.03 mL.min⁻¹ through the injection valve (six-three way home made) which contain three loops made of Teflon (I.d=0.5 mm), the sample(CYM) in loop 1 and NBS, HCl in loop 2 and TART in loop 3 were loaded and then mixing in the reaction coil which is made of glass (I.d =2mm). The detection unit was modified (photometer 301-D⁺, VIS-spectro, single beam) (Japan). Kompensograph C1032 (siemens) was used for convert the absorption measurement as average peak Hight expressed in mV. (n=3) or optical multimeter absorption (DT9205A, OVA, China) for the absorption measurement. The detection unit containing a flow cell (a quartz silica,1cm) with internal volume of 80µL.

**Batch Method**

In 10 mL volumetric flask containing concentration of cypermethrin (0.1-20) µg.mL⁻¹, added 0.5ml of NBS and 0.3mL of HCl (1M) and waiting for 5 min to complete the oxidation reaction of pesticide then added 1.5 mL of TART dye and complete to the mark using distilled water, the residual absorbance of unbleached TART dye (yellow product) was measured at λ max=430nm against the blank.
Flow Injection Method

In the developed flow injection, 10 mL of volumetric flask was used which contain concentration of cypermethrin (0.1-20) µg.mL⁻¹ which injected in loop 1 (40 cm) equal to 79 µL a mixture of 1mL HCl and 3ml of NBS which equal 0.1 ml HCl and 0.3 ml NBS was inject in loop 2 (65cm) equal to 128 µL and the TART was inject in the loop 3 (50 cm) equal to 98 µL. After loading the loops, reopened the valves to be ready to inject the reactant to reaction coil (85 cm) using the peristaltic pump in the speed 35rpm (flow rate = 3.03 mL.min⁻¹), the absorbance result of the yellow product recorded in chart as average peak height (mV) as showing in figure (2).

Figure 2 - Single Channel Manifold of FIA/Merging Zones System for Determination of α-Cypermethrin in Pure Form, Industrial and Biological Samples

2. Result and Discussion

Absorption Spectra

A final concentration of 20 µg.mL⁻¹ CYM ( 0.48×10⁻⁴M) was reacted with (3.36×10⁻⁵M) NBS in acidic medium (0.01M), then adding (3.6×10⁻⁵M) TART, to calculate the concentration of unbleached TART dye as absorbance which was examined in visible region (λ_max = 430 nm) for indirect estimation of CYM.
Optimum Conditions for Batch Method

Effect of Type of Oxidizing Agent

The effect of a number of oxidizing agents was studied by react 6ppm of CYM with concentration of NBS ($10^{-3}$ M) to find out the extent of their influence, as the results showed in table 1 and figure (4), the best oxidizing agent for the oxidation of the pesticide in the acidic medium is (NBS), as it was confirmed in subsequent experiments.

Table 1 - Effect of Type of Oxidizing Agent

| Type of oxidation agent | Absorbance |
|-------------------------|------------|
| NBS                     | 0.543      |
| Fe(NO$_3$)$_2$.9H$_2$O   | 0.462      |
| KIO$_4$                 | 0.497      |
| K$_3$[Fe(CN)$_6$]       | 0.478      |

Figure 4 - Effect of Type of Oxidizing Agent
Effect of conc. of NBS

Different concentration of NBS were studies to determined the optimum concentration of NBS by different volume from the stock solution (0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3,0.4) mL which is equal to (5.6×10⁻⁶ to 4.48×10⁻⁵ M). The optimum amount of NBS that works to bleach the color of the TART dye has been determined exactly. As shown in the figure (5), the best amount of NBS is 0.4 mL (4.48×10⁻⁵ M) which is the optimal amount for bleaching the color of the TART dye.

![Figure 5 - Effect of Concentration of NBS](image)

Effect of Acidic Medium and Concentration

Different type of acidic medium were used to determine α-Cypermethrin by preparing 1M of (HCl, H₂SO₄, HNO₃, CH₃COOH). The results showed in (figure 6) and (table 2) that the best type of acid was HCl, as the remaining dye was in a stable state, which gave the best absorption of the pesticide (10 µg.mL⁻¹) at 430 nm. The effect of the concentration of 1M HCl by adding different volumes of it to the reaction (0.1, 0.2, 0.3,0.4, 0.5) mL was studied. The results showed that the best concentration of the acid is by adding 0.1 ml, which is equivalent to 0.01M as the acidic function PH is equal to 1.8, in which the dye is In a stable condition, it gave the best absorption of the pesticide, and this amount was adopted in subsequent experiments.

| Type of acidic medium | Abs.   |
|-----------------------|--------|
| HCl                   | 0.551  |
| HNO₃                  | 0.46   |
| H₂SO₄                 | 0.41   |
| CH₃COOH               | 0.4    |
Effect of conc. of TART

In order to find the best amount of TART dye that can be used in the estimation of the pesticide. The range of linear dye concentrations that obey with Lambert-Beer law were studied. Increased concentrations of dye solution (0.9×10^{-5} M to 4.5×10^{-5} M) were prepared, as the results showed at figure(8) that the best dye concentration was (3.6×10^{-5} M) which equal 2 ml for absorption of the residual unbleached of the TART dye by the excess of NBS at $\lambda_{\text{max}}$=430nm.
Calibration Curve

After fixing the best conditions for the estimation process, a calibration curve was prepared to find the equation of the straight line, as well as the limits of the concentration of the final colored compound of the pesticide and the value of molar absorbance. Concentration Standard curves were obtained, which show that the method follows the Lambert-Beer law within the ranges (0.4-18µg.mL⁻¹) shown in the figure (9) and that there is a negative deviation from the Lambert-Beer law after the estimated upper limits as shown in the figure (9).

Figure 9 - Calibration Curve for Determination α-Cypermethrin with TART Dye Using NBS as Oxidizing Agent

y = 0.035x + 0.218
R² = 0.998

Stady of Stoichiometry Complex between CYM with TART

In order to know the ratio of reaction that occur between the reagent with pesticide, two important way were proceed which is mole ratio method and continuous variation methods at \( \lambda_{\text{max}} = 430\text{nm} \), the results shown that CYM produced a 1:1 complex with TART as shown in figure (10A,B):1/A (5×10⁻⁶ M of each CYM and TART mix in order to the procedure of mole ratio and complete to 10 ml with distilled water. 2/B (5×10⁻⁶ M of each CYM and TART mix in order of Job's method and complete to 10 ml with distilled water.

Figure 10 - Absorbance Versus Concentration of CYM with TART
A- Molar Ratio, B- Jobs Method
Mechanism of the Reaction

Depending on the results that collected from the mole ratio and Job's methods it is clear that the CYM-TART complex associate in 1:1 ratio so the proposed below mechanism is likely to suggest as shown in (Figure 11).

Figure 11 - The Proposed Mechanism of Complex between CYM and TART

Accuracy and Precision

Under the ideal condition that describe in established method, accuracy and precision was studied through measuring two concentration of CYM and according to the result that has been reached as shown in (table 3) the classical method have good with high accuracy and precision; each measurement is repeated for three times.

| [CYM], µg.mL⁻¹ | Present µ | Found x̅ | Error | Rec % | Erel % | RSD % | SD |
|----------------|-----------|---------|-------|-------|--------|-------|----|
| 4.0            | 3.924     |         | -0.076 | 98.095| -1.905 | 0.430 | 0.002 |
| 8.0            | 8.095     |         | 0.095  | 101.190| 1.190  | 0.461 | 0.002 |

*Average fine determination

Calculation of Stability Constant

Calculated static stability for the proposed interaction (CYM+TART) with concentration (5×10⁻⁵M) for both was calculated based on two groups of solutions were prepared; first group of solution were placed to include stoichiometric lot of CYM to TART, and the second group were
placed to include two-fold excess of TART. According to the mechanism and stoichiometry ratio between TART and CYM. The stability constant can writing as following: \[ K = 1 - \frac{\alpha}{\alpha^2} C \]

While \((\alpha)\) (degree of dissociation) can be wrote as follows: \[ \alpha = \frac{A_m - A_s}{A_m} \]

Where \(A_m; A_s\) are the values of absorbance of the aqueous solution including a more than enough and stoichiometric amount of the TRAT as shown in table 4.

| Am | As | \(\alpha\) | \(K \cdot (\text{L.mol}^{-1} \cdot \text{M}^{-1})\) |
|----|----|---------|------------------|
| 0.12 | 0.1 | 0.166 | 5.95714×10\(^5\) |

**Continuous Flow Injection Analysis Technique**

After choosing the optimum condition for the reaction between CYM and TART using classical spectrophotometric method. The spectrophotometric reaction was automated with flow injection/merging zone method to recognize the optimum parameters and to obtain spectracl automated with fast way to for determination of \(\alpha\)-CYM. So the batch procedure was employed to develop FIA method.

**The Suggested Manifold of Flow Injection System**

After install the system and connect the portions to study of optimal design of system, the developed system as shown in (figure 2) is contain a single line represented one channel which supply as carrier (distilled water) passing by injection valve (six three way, homemade), which contain three loops (different length with same inside diameter 0.5mm) filled with the sample, reagent, acidic medium and oxidizing agent.

**Optimization of the Developed FIA System**

Effect of chemical variables such as (CYM, NBS, TART and acidic medium) and the physical variables (flow rate, sample and reagent volumes, reaction coil length, purge time, dispersion and sampling/h).
Effect of Oxidizing Agent (NBS)

Different concentration of NBS was studied (2.24×10⁻⁵ to 6.72×10⁻⁵ M) and injected in loop 2 using homemade injection valve (figure 2) (CYM 10ppm), the results as shown in (figure 12) and table (5) that the optimum concentration of NBS for residual unbleached TART dye was 3.36×10⁻⁵M which is gave the highest value of absorbance in mV (n=3).

| conc. of NBS (M) | Average response (\(\bar{y}\)) (mV) | SD  | *RSD% | S.E.M   |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------|-----|--------|---------|
| 2.24×10⁻⁵       | 989                                  | 4.62| 0.47   | 989 ± 11.47 |
| 3.36×10⁻⁵       | 917                                  | 9.24| 1.01   | 917 ± 22.93 |
| 4.48×10⁻⁵       | 726                                  | 6.55| 0.90   | 726 ± 16.26 |
| 5.6×10⁻⁵        | 719                                  | 6.11| 0.85   | 719 ± 15.17 |
| 6.72×10⁻⁵       | 723                                  | 4.62| 0.64   | 723 ± 11.47 |

*Average fine determination

Effect of concentration of HCl

Different concentration of HCl was used (0.01 to 0.05 M) as shown in figure (13) and table (6) that the best concentration of HCl was 0.02 M, as the acidic function PH is equal to 1.8, in which the dye is In a stable condition, it gave the best absorption of CYM pesticide.
Table 6 – Effect of HCl Concentration

| conc.of HCl (M) | Average response ($\bar{y}$) (mV) | SD  | RSD%  | S.E.M |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-----|-------|-------|
| 0.01            | 703                               | 8.33| 1.19  | 703 ± 20.67 |
| 0.02            | 793                               | 0.80| 0.10  | 793 ± 1.99  |
| 0.03            | 682                               | 2.12| 0.31  | 682 ± 5.25  |
| 0.04            | 636                               | 4.00| 0.63  | 636 ± 9.93  |
| 0.05            | 601                               | 1.22| 0.20  | 601 ± 3.03  |

Figure 13 - Effect of Concentration of HCl Using [CYM-NBS-HCl-TART] CFIA System

Residual of Unbleached Dye

Different concentration of TART was used (0.9×10^{-5} to 4.5×10^{-5} M) as shown in figure (14) and table (7) the optimum concentration of TART was 3.6×10^{-5} M for absorption of the residual unbleached of the TART dye using excess of NBS as oxidizing agent at $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ =430nm.

Table 7 - Effect of TART Concentration

| [TART], M   | Average response ($\bar{y}$) (mV) | SD  | RSD%  | S.E.M |
|-------------|-----------------------------------|-----|-------|-------|
| 0.9×10^{-5} | 41                                | 0.92| 2.28  | 41 ± 2.29  |
| 1.8×10^{-5} | 77                                | 4.62| 5.97  | 77 ± 11.47 |
| 2.7×10^{-5} | 208                               | 8.00| 3.85  | 208 ± 19.86|
| 3.6×10^{-5} | 341                               | 12.22| 3.58 | 341 ± 30.34|
| 4.5×10^{-5} | 227                               | 12.22| 5.39 | 227 ± 30.34|

Figure 14 - Effect of Concentration of TART
Effect of Flow Rate

Different flow rate was studied (25, 35, 40, 50, 60 and 70) rpm of the pump which are equal to (2.33-4.48) mL.min⁻¹ to get the optimum condition for the oxidizing reaction of CYM pesticide with NBS in acidic medium. The best speed is 35rpm equal to 3.03 mL.min⁻¹ as flow rate which found a high intensity of absorbance of the suggest reaction as shown in figure (15), and table (8).

| Pump (rpm) | Flow rate (mL.min⁻¹) | Average response (y) (mV) | SD   | RSD% | S.E.M |
|------------|----------------------|---------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 25         | 2.33                 | 1277                      | 4.62 | 0.36 | 1277 ± 11.47 |
| 35         | 3.03                 | 1320                      | 8    | 0.60 | 1320 ± 19.86 |
| 40         | 3.22                 | 1251                      | 12.22| 0.97 | 1251 ± 30.34 |
| 50         | 4.10                 | 1019                      | 4.62 | 0.45 | 1019 ± 11.47 |
| 60         | 4.39                 | 920                       | 8    | 0.86 | 920 ± 19.86 |
| 70         | 4.48                 | 867                       | 4.62 | 0.53 | 867 ± 11.47 |

Figure 15 - The Best Flow Rate for the Reaction between CYM Pesticide with TART Dye Using [CYM-NBS-HCI-TART] CFIA System

Effect of Injected Volume of Sample and Reagent, Reaction Coil

For the CFIA system [CYM-NBS-HCl-TART], the best volume of CYM (loop 1) was 40µl, HCl with NBS (loop 2) was 65 µl, TART dye (loop 3) was 50 µl and the reaction coil length was (85cm), as shown in table (9).
Table 9 - Optimization of Sample and Reagents Volumes Using New [CYM-NBS-HCl-TART] CFIA System

| length of loop (cm) | peak height mV | CYM(L1)/NBS-HCL (L2)/ TART (L3) | Average response (\(\bar{y}\)) (mV) | SD | RSD% | S.E.M |
|---------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----|------|-------|
|                     | L1  | L2  | L3  | 35-65-50 | 50-65-50 | 65-55-50 | 40-65-50 | 35-65-50 | 50-65-50 | 65-55-50 | 40-65-50 | 35-65-50 | 50-65-50 | 65-55-50 | 40-65-50 | 35-65-50 | 50-65-50 | 65-55-50 | 40-65-50 | 35-65-50 | 50-65-50 | 65-55-50 | 40-65-50 | 35-65-50 | 50-65-50 | 65-55-50 | 40-65-50 | 35-65-50 | 50-65-50 | 65-55-50 | 40-65-50 | 35-65-50 | 50-65-50 | 65-55-50 | 40-65-50 | 35-65-50 | 50-65-50 | 65-55-50 | 40-65-50 | 35-65-50 | 50-65-50 | 65-55-50 | 40-65-50 | 35-65-50 | 50-65-50 | 65-55-50 | 40-65-50 | 35-65-50 | 50-65-50 | 65-55-50 | 40-65-50 | 35-65-50 | 50-65-50 | 65-55-50 | 40-65-50 | 35-65-50 | 50-65-50 | 65-55-50 | 40-65-50 | 35-65-50 | 50-65-50 | 65-55-50 | 40-65-50 |
|---------------------|-----|-----|-----|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 35                  | 65  | 50  | 1080| 1048   | 1080   | 1069    | 18.48    | 1.73     | 1069±45.87 |
| 40                  | 50  | 50  | 1280| 1264   | 1272   | 1272    | 8        | 0.63     | 1272±19.86 |
| 50                  | 65  | 50  | 1064| 1056   | 1048   | 1056    | 8        | 0.76     | 1056±19.86 |
| 65                  | 50  | 50  | 1256| 1240   | 1240   | 1245    | 9.24     | 0.74     | 1245±22.93 |
| 40                  | 35  | 50  | 1184| 1176   | 1176   | 1179    | 4.62     | 0.39     | 1179±11.47 |
| 40                  | 50  | 50  | 1032| 1008   | 1008   | 1016    | 13.86    | 1.36     | 1016±34.40 |
| 40                  | 65  | 50  | 1064| 1056   | 1048   | 1056    | 8        | 0.76     | 1056±19.86 |
| 40                  | 40  | 50  | 1280| 1264   | 1272   | 1272    | 8        | 0.63     | 1272±19.86 |
| 40                  | 50  | 50  | 1104| 1088   | 1088   | 1093    | 9.24     | 0.84     | 1093±22.93 |
| 40                  | 65  | 50  | 1280| 1264   | 1272   | 1272    | 8        | 0.63     | 1272±19.86 |
| 40                  | 50  | 50  | 1104| 1088   | 1088   | 1093    | 9.24     | 0.84     | 1093±22.93 |
| 40                  | 65  | 50  | 1280| 1264   | 1272   | 1272    | 8        | 0.63     | 1272±19.86 |
| 40                  | 40  | 50  | 1280| 1264   | 1272   | 1272    | 8        | 0.63     | 1272±19.86 |
| 40                  | 40  | 50  | 1256| 1240   | 1240   | 1245    | 9.24     | 0.74     | 1245±22.93 |
| 40                  | 50  | 50  | 1104| 1088   | 1088   | 1093    | 9.24     | 0.84     | 1093±22.93 |

Table 10 - Effect of Reaction Coil Length

| Length of R.C (cm) | Average response (\(\bar{y}\)) (mV) | SD   | RSD%   | S.E.M   |
|-------------------|------------------------------------|------|--------|---------|
| 75                | 661                                | 20.13| 3.04   | 661±49.98 |
| 85                | 688                                | 8.00 | 1.16   | 688±19.86 |
| 70                | 547                                | 12.22| 2.23   | 547±30.34 |
| 125               | 488                                | 13.85| 2.83   | 488±34.40 |

Purge Time

Purge time for the sample segment to be injected via the carrier stream (distilled water) was studied, using the ideal chemical and physical parameters were studied previously \[39\]. For CYM-TART system time like (5,10,15,20) sec and open valve (injected mode) were used, and showed that the purge time more than 20 sec giving a highest response intensity. For this reason, open valve was selected as an optimum purge time to complete transportation of sample from sample loop to flow cell, the results obtained were summarized in figure (8).

Figure 16 - Effect of Purge Time on Peak Height in mV for CYM-TART (6 \(\mu\)g.mL\(^{-1}\))
Calibration Curve of the Proposed Method and Calculation of Sampling

After verbal and verification all optimum condition, a series concentration of CYM from (0.05 to 30) ppm were prepared and injected in the developed FIA system with NBS, HCl and TART to know the liner range of CYM pesticide which can be applicable for this method as shown in table (11) and figure (17).

Sampling was calculated by measure the time it need to load the sample and reagent (chemicals) in to their loops which is equal to 15 sec. and measure the time that need to move the chemicals from injection valve across the reaction coil to the detector to appear analytical signal which is equal to 40 sec, the sampling is 65 sample/hour.

Table 11 - Statistical Treatment of Calibration Curve for Determination of CYM Pesticide Using New [CYM-NBS-HCl-TART] CFIA System

| [CYM], µg.mL⁻¹ | peak hight (mV) | Average response (ŷ) (mV) | ŷ | SD | RSD% | S.E.M | E/y% |
|---------------|----------------|---------------------------|----|----|------|-------|------|
| 0.5           | 91.2           | 89.6                      | 91 | 99.73 | 0.92 | 1.02 | 91±2.29 | 2.53 |
| 1             | 120            | 122                       | 121| 111.69 | 1.15 | 0.96 | 121±2.87 | 2.38 |
| 2             | 150            | 151.2                     | 150| 135.61 | 1.20 | 0.80 | 150±2.98 | 1.99 |
| 4             | 187.6          | 187.6                     | 187| 183.45 | 1.62 | 0.87 | 187±4.01 | 2.15 |
| 6             | 231            | 228                       | 230| 231.29 | 1.52 | 0.66 | 230±3.77 | 1.64 |
| 8             | 275.2          | 272                       | 272| 279.13 | 3.20 | 1.18 | 272±7.94 | 2.92 |
| 10            | 320.3          | 316.8                     | 318| 326.97 | 2.03 | 0.64 | 318±5.05 | 1.59 |
| 12            | 368.5          | 364.7                     | 366| 374.81 | 2.17 | 0.59 | 366±5.39 | 1.47 |
| 14            | 412            | 412                       | 411| 422.65 | 1.15 | 0.28 | 411±2.87 | 0.70 |
| 16            | 475.2          | 473                       | 474| 470.49 | 1.27 | 0.27 | 474±3.15 | 0.66 |
| 18            | 523.9          | 528.6                     | 527| 518.33 | 2.73 | 0.52 | 527±6.77 | 1.28 |
| 20            | 576            | 571.2                     | 574| 566.17 | 2.77 | 0.48 | 574±6.88 | 1.20 |
| 30            | 806            | 806                       | 805| 805.37 | 1.50 | 0.19 | 805±3.7267 | 0.46 |
Study of Dispersion

Dispersion is a physical phenomenon that occurs in FI technique as a producer of confluence of different concentration solution, the sample mixed with the carrier and then spread the sample in the solution. Success of the analysis process by FIA is depend on some points [40]:

1-reproducible injection time.
2-reproducible sample injection volume.
3- control on the dispersion of the sample zone.

The dispersion of [CYM-NBS-HCl –TART] was 1.13 and it was calculate by the low

\[ D = \frac{C_0}{C_{\text{max}}} \]

\( D = \frac{C_0}{C_{\text{max}}} \)

As shown in table (12).

While, \( C_0 \) is the peak height without dilution (conducting interaction outside the flow injection system), \( C_{\text{max}} \) is peak height with dilution (conducting interaction inside the flow injection system) as explain in figure (18). The study was conducted with two experiments, in the first experiment, mixed all the ingredients interact in a suitable beaker and then pass the solution through the flow injection system (as carrier stream) to get fixed response represented (\( C_0 \)). In the second experiment, CYM into L1, NBS and HCl in L2 and TART in L3. Distilled water pass through the system as carrier (3.03mL.min\(^{-1}\)) and the component injected, works to push the components to reaction coil and then to detector to get response represented by (C).

Figure 18 - Dispersion of CYM and TART
Table 12 - Dispersion Value of CYM

| [CYM], μg.mL⁻¹ | C° (cm) | Cmax (cm) | D     |
|----------------|---------|-----------|-------|
| 4              | 8.7     | 7.7       | 1.13  |
| 8              | 10.5    | 9.4       | 1.117 |

Analysis of Variation (ANOVA) [38] of Linear Equation

Analysis of variation (ANOVA) of linear equation is Calculate sum of squares of the difference of values yi (response) from ŷi (appraiser response), (imply error) and called (about regression) to obtain \( \sum (y_i-\hat{y}_i)^2 \) for (n- 2) freedom degrees to get sum of squares (So)².

Calculate the sum of squares of the variance of values yi from average value \( \bar{y} \) (due to regression) to obtain \( E(\hat{y}_i-\bar{y})^2 \) and for (1) of degrees of freedom to obtain sum of squares (S1)² when dividing the (S1)² on (So)² get the value(F) as shown in Table (13).

Table 13 - Analysis of Variation for Developed FIA Method

| Source of Variation | SS          | df  | MS            | F           | P-values     | F crit          |
|---------------------|-------------|-----|---------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Between Groups      | 347377.3536 | 1   | 347377.3536   | 41.46418254 | 4.64209E-06  | 4.413873405     |
| Within Groups       | 150799.8466 | 18  | 8377.769253   |             |              |                 |
| Total               | 498177.2002 | 19  |               |             |              |                 |

From above table (13), (F critical=4.413 << F calculate = 41.46), so it may be complete which there is an important relation between the concentration of CYM pesticide and the response obtained.

Method Validation

The analytical characteristics just as correlation coefficient (r), detection limit, linear range and relative standard deviation of each procedure were estimated [30, 31] at the improved conditions; as shown in the (Table 14). A calibration curve was constructed (Figure 13) for a set of CYM standard solution and the basic analytical figure of deserts of the proposed method. Statistical assessment of regression line presented result of standard deviation for residuals (Sy/x); intercept (Sa) and slope (Sb) under 95% confidence limits for (n-2) freedom degrees were clarified in the table. The result obtained shows that \( t_{tab} >> t_{cal} \), where the calculated value was 0.59 and tabulated value for (n-2) at confidence 95% =4.3 Therefore, there was a meaningful relationship between CYM and peak
height in (mV.) with time (min) and from the value of Correlation coefficient (r), possible to know that congruence be more and better bonding, the result were summarized in table (14).

### Table 14 - Analytical Characteristic of Calibration Curve for Estimation of CYM Pesticide Using [CYM-NBS-HCl-TART] CFIA System

| Parameters                                      | FIA method | Batch method |
|------------------------------------------------|------------|--------------|
| $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (nm)                    | 430        | 430          |
| Regression equation; $y = bx + a$; $y = \text{absorbance}$; $x = \text{concentration (μg. mL}^{-1}\text{)}$ | $y = 23.92x + 87.77$ | $y = 0.035x + 0.218$ |
| Linear range (μg mL$^{-1}$)                    | 0.5 - 30   | 0.4 - 18     |
| Average of recovery (%)                        | 99.4675    | 99.643       |
| Average of Relative Error % $E_{\text{rel}}$ % | -0.533     | -0.357       |
| Average of Relative standard deviation (RSD %)  | 1.277      | 0.445        |
| Slope (b); (mL. μg$^{-1}$) $b = \Sigma [(x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})]/\Sigma (x_i - \bar{x})^2$ | 23.92      | 0.035        |
| Intercept (a); ($a = y_0 - bx$)              | 87.77      | 0.218        |
| Linearity R2                                   | 0.998      | 0.998        |
| Correlation coefficient (r): $r=\Sigma [(x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})]/\Sigma (x_i - \bar{x})^2 \Sigma (y_i - \bar{y})^2]^{0.5}$ | 0.999      | 0.999        |
| Standard deviation of slope (Sb) $S_b = S_y/x/\Sigma (x_i - \bar{x})^2)^{0.5}$ | 0.2691     | 0.0005       |
| Standard deviation of intercept (Sa) $S_a = S_y/x(\Sigma x_i^2)/(n \Sigma (x_i - \bar{x})^2)^{0.5}$ | 4.0858     | 0.0051       |
| Limit of detection (LOD)*                     | 0.05       | 0.016        |
| Limit of quantification (LOQ)**                | 0.18       | 0.05         |
| Molar absorptivity $\varepsilon$ (L/mol.cm) $\varepsilon = b*M.Wt*1000$ | 9957896    | 14570.5      |
| Sandell’s sensitivity (S) (μg.cm$^{-2}$) $S = M/\varepsilon$ | 4.1806E-05 | 0.028571429 |
| Sample throughput (h$^{-1}$)                   | 65         | 5            |
| Standard deviation of the residuals; $S_y/x = \Sigma [(y_i - \bar{y})^2]/(n - 2)^{0.5}$; $\bar{y} = bx_0 + a$ | 8.95       | 0.39         |
| Confidence limit of slope (b) = $b \pm tS_b$  | 23.92 ± 0.576 | 0.035 ± 0.0011 |
| Confidence limit of intercept (a) = $a \pm tS_a$ | 87.7 ± 8.744 | 0.218 ± 0.0115 |

### Study of Interferences

In order to examine the selectivity of the method, the interference likely to be introduced from excipients (as cellulose, sucrose, fructose, glucose and some of ions) were studied. A sample of pure CYM (10ppm) spiked with half, equal and double fold excess concentration of selected interferences (5-10-20) ppm, three excipients were analyzed. The acceptable recovery values (83.56-104.4%) demonstrated that, there were no interferences during the determination of CYM pesticide using proposed MZ-FIA methods, the results summarized in Table (15).
### Table 15 - Effect of Interferences on CYM-TART (10µg/ml)

| Type of Interference | Conc. of Interferences (ppm) | Present conc. of CYM (ppm) | Average response (\(\bar{y}\)) (mV) | Found conc. Of CYM (ppm) | Erel% | Rec% |
|----------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------|------|
| Sucrose              | 5                             | 10                          | 328                                 | 10.04                    | 0.431 | 100.4|
|                      | 10                            | 10                          | 336                                 | 10.38                    | 3.775 | 103.8|
|                      | 20                            | 10                          | 320                                 | 9.71                     | -2.914| 97.09|
| Cellulose            | 5                             | 10                          | 335                                 | 10.33                    | 3.329 | 103.3|
|                      | 10                            | 10                          | 318                                 | 9.63                     | -3.694| 96.31|
|                      | 20                            | 10                          | 303                                 | 9.02                     | -9.826| 90.17|
| Lactose              | 5                             | 10                          | 336                                 | 10.39                    | 3.887 | 103.9|
|                      | 10                            | 10                          | 342                                 | 10.63                    | 6.317 | 106.3|
|                      | 20                            | 10                          | 325                                 | 9.92                     | -0.796| 99.2 |
| Glucose              | 5                             | 10                          | 327                                 | 10.03                    | 0.319 | 100.3|
|                      | 10                            | 10                          | 337                                 | 10.42                    | 4.221 | 104.2|
|                      | 20                            | 10                          | 319                                 | 9.68                     | -3.248| 96.75|
| NO\(^3\)-             | 5                             | 10                          | 339                                 | 10.52                    | 5.224 | 105.2|
|                      | 10                            | 10                          | 344                                 | 10.72                    | 7.231 | 107.2|
|                      | 20                            | 10                          | 318                                 | 9.61                     | -3.917| 96.08|
| Cl\(^-\)              | 5                             | 10                          | 334                                 | 10.28                    | 2.772 | 102.8|
|                      | 10                            | 10                          | 339                                 | 10.52                    | 5.224 | 105.2|
|                      | 20                            | 10                          | 325                                 | 9.93                     | -0.684| 99.32|
| SO\(^4\)-             | 5                             | 10                          | 345                                 | 10.74                    | 7.398 | 107.4|
|                      | 10                            | 10                          | 350                                 | 10.95                    | 9.461 | 109.5|
|                      | 20                            | 10                          | 324                                 | 9.88                     | -1.242| 98.76|
| Na\(^+\)              | 5                             | 10                          | 337                                 | 10.41                    | 4.054 | 104.1|
|                      | 10                            | 10                          | 339                                 | 10.51                    | 5.046 | 105  |
|                      | 20                            | 10                          | 324                                 | 9.89                     | -1.13 | 98.87|
| Ba\(^{+2}\)           | 5                             | 10                          | 336                                 | 10.39                    | 3.887 | 103.9|
|                      | 10                            | 10                          | 336                                 | 10.37                    | 3.664 | 103.7|
|                      | 20                            | 10                          | 322                                 | 9.80                     | -2.022| 97.98|
| Fe\(^{+2}\)           | 5                             | 10                          | 335                                 | 10.32                    | 3.218 | 103.2|
|                      | 10                            | 10                          | 329                                 | 10.08                    | 0.765 | 100.8|
|                      | 20                            | 10                          | 326                                 | 9.95                     | -0.461| 99.54|

### Biological Samples Applications

The FIA/MZ technique was applied for estimation of CYM pesticide concentration in spiked human biological samples [37],[39] according to the standard addition method, three types of biological samples (plasma - serum – urine) have been analyzed under proposed method which come from three different patient’s samples. Three different concentrations of biological samples (for serum [5,10 and 20] µg.mL\(^-1\), for plasma and urine [4,6 and 8 ]µg.mL\(^-1\) were examined for accuracy and precision. Each concentration was analyzed (n=3). Acceptable accuracy with high
the repeatability of the results obtained for determination of CYM in biological samples were observed, as shown in Tables (16a,b,c).

Table 16a - Application of the Suggest FIA Method for Determination of CYM in Biological Samples (Spiked Serum Samples)

| type of app. | CYM (ppm) | Present µ | Found x̅ | Error | Rec% | Erel% | RSD% | SD |
|--------------|-----------|-----------|---------|-------|------|-------|------|----|
| Serum1       | 5         | 4.69      | -0.31   | 93.84 | -6.16 | 0.00  | 0.00 | |
|              | 10        | 10.10     | 0.10    | 101.00| 1.00  | 1.87  | 6.11 | |
|              | 15        | 14.50     | -0.50   | 96.68 | -3.32 | 1.06  | 4.62 | |
| Serum 2      | 5         | 5.42      | 0.42    | 108.33| 8.33  | 1.06  | 2.31 | |
|              | 10        | 9.99      | -0.01   | 99.87 | -0.13 | 1.87  | 6.11 | |
|              | 15        | 14.89     | -0.11   | 99.28 | -0.72 | 0.90  | 4.00 | |
| Serum 3      | 5         | 5.13      | 0.13    | 102.53| 2.53  | 1.01  | 2.12 | |
|              | 10        | 10.10     | 0.10    | 100.99| 0.99  | 0.70  | 2.31 | |
|              | 15        | 14.79     | -0.21   | 98.62 | -1.38 | 0.63  | 2.77 | |

Table 16b - Application of the Suggest FIA Method for Determination of CYM in Biological Samples (Spiked Plasma Samples)

| type of app. | CYM (ppm) | Present µ | Found x̅ | Error | Rec% | Erel% | RSD% | SD |
|--------------|-----------|-----------|---------|-------|------|-------|------|----|
| Plasma 1     | 4         | 3.53      | -0.47   | 88.31 | -11.69| 2.56  | 4.41 | |
|              | 6         | 5.75      | -0.25   | 95.85 | -4.15 | 1.03  | 2.31 | |
|              | 8         | 7.76      | -0.24   | 96.97 | -3.03 | 0.85  | 2.31 | |
| Plasma2      | 4         | 3.83      | -0.17   | 95.84 | -4.16 | 2.20  | 3.95 | |
|              | 6         | 5.68      | -0.32   | 94.74 | -5.27 | 0.20  | 0.46 | |
|              | 8         | 7.75      | -0.25   | 96.90 | -3.10 | 0.15  | 0.40 | |
| Plasma3      | 4         | 3.66      | -0.35   | 91.38 | -8.62 | 0.23  | 0.40 | |
|              | 6         | 5.66      | -0.34   | 94.27 | -5.73 | 0.37  | 0.83 | |
|              | 8         | 7.59      | -0.41   | 94.88 | -5.12 | 0.86  | 2.31 | |

Table 16c - Application of the Suggest FIA Method for Determination of CYM in Biological Samples (Spiked Urine Samples)

| type of app. | CYM (ppm) | Present µ | Found x̅ | Error | Rec% | Erel% | RSD% | SD |
|--------------|-----------|-----------|---------|-------|------|-------|------|----|
| Urine1       | 4         | 3.58      | -0.42   | 89.57 | -10.43| 1.27  | 2.20 | |
|              | 6         | 5.36      | -0.64   | 89.35 | -10.65| 1.85  | 4.00 | |
|              | 8         | 7.69      | -0.31   | 96.07 | -3.94 | 0.15  | 0.40 | |
| Urine2       | 4         | 3.62      | -0.38   | 90.54 | -9.46 | 1.21  | 2.12 | |
|              | 6         | 5.39      | -0.61   | 89.77 | -10.23| 0.25  | 0.55 | |
|              | 8         | 7.65      | -0.35   | 95.69 | -4.31 | 0.15  | 0.42 | |
| Urine3       | 4         | 4.03      | 0.03    | 100.85| 0.85  | 0.25  | 0.46 | |
|              | 6         | 5.31      | -0.69   | 88.42 | -11.58| 1.08  | 2.31 | |
|              | 8         | 7.67      | -0.33   | 95.86 | -4.14 | 0.30  | 0.80 | |
Assessment of the Suggested Method

To assess the success and efficiency of a new proposed method, two types of industrial preparation containing CYM were analyzed under proposed method which is come from different origins (India, china). The industrial preparations were prepared as mentioned procedure shown in practical paragraph. After preparing the solution of these industrials, the proposed FIA/MZ method was successfully applied for estimation CYM in it and the results are listed in Table (17) [22]. In the direction of assessing the proficiency of the developed method, the obtained results were compared with trusted method HPLC method (Table 17). The statistical comparison between proposed and trusted methods using the student t- test and F-test [40] indicated that the calculated values were less than the theoretical one, which referred to insignificant difference between both methods regarding accuracy and repeatability.

Table 17 - Comparison of the Proposed Method with Trusted Method

| Industrial application | Classical method conc. of CYM (ppm) | Erel % | Rec % | Mean Rec % | RSD % | Trusted method conc. of CYM (ppm) | Erel % | Rec % | Mean Rec % | RSD % |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------|------|------------|------|----------------------------------|--------|------|------------|------|
|                        | Present                | Foun d |      |            |      | Present                           | Foun d |      |            |      |
| Alpha cypermethrin in EC10% | 6                      | 5.95   | -0.83 | 99.17      | 100.08 | 2.97                             | 6       | 6.02 | 0.33       | 100.33 |
|                        | 10                    | 10.10  | 1     | 101        |       | 1.33                             | 10      | 9.97 | -0.3       | 99.7   |
| Alpha 10%              | 6                      | 6.20   | 3.33  | 103.33     | 101.22 | 2.85                             | 6       | 5.98 | -0.33      | 99.67  |
|                        | 10                    | 9.91   | -0.9  | 99.1       |       | 1.36                             | 10      | 10.03 | 0.3        | 100.3  |

$t_{tab}=4.30$ for $n_1=n_2=2,n_1+n_2=2$ at 95% confidence
$F_{tab}=161.40$ for $n_1=1=n_2=1$ at 95% confidence

3. Conclusion

By reviewing the literature survey in the field of injection analysis, few researchers found that used this automation technique depence on merging zone of chemicals to determine of $\alpha$-cypermethrin pesticide in pure form, biological and industrial samples, that is why a researcher plan for this manuscript was suggested for the sensitive spectrophotometric determination of CYM pesticide using the proposed CFIA design which is characterized by a wider calibration range, high sampling rate. Moreover, it was conduct out in watery intermediate and did not demand any sample pretreatment or transmutation. A new design homemade CFI /Merging zones analytical process are strong, purchase and compassionate for the spectrophotometric of CYM.in elegant system and industrial formulations with lowest consumption of toxic reagent and chemicals for the regard of
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µg.ml⁻¹ amount of CYM and without indigence for prior divorce action, temperature or pretreatment of specimen and solid phase extraction. The capital benefit of the methods are its huge workings range; suitable sensitivity and its proper for appropriate in routine examination laboratories due to their expertness and their result in industrial specify control decrease reagents waste. The suggested procedures has fit linearity, exalted for analyzation the search into of CYM at major of when comparison with batch methods and official HPLC analytical frequency with throughput 65 sample.h⁻¹. In addition, the broad applicability of improved progress microgram even (µg.ml⁻¹) in industrial preparations samples.
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