Conditional Sampling Analysis of Acoustic Phenomena from a Supersonic Jet Impinging on an Inclined Flat Plate*
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Acoustic waves generated from an impingement of the exhaust jet on the flame deflector is one of the causes of the payload vibration during the liftoff of a launch vehicle. To understand this acoustic phenomenon, the authors conducted experiments using a correctly expanded jet impinging on an inclined flat plate, and observed an acoustic wave propagating from the jet impingement region in a direction approximately perpendicular to the plate. The results of the wavelet analysis indicated that this acoustic phenomenon occurs intermittently. In this study, a flow phenomenon related to this intermittent acoustic phenomenon is extracted from schlieren visualization movies and wall surface pressure signals using a conditional sampling analysis. The extracted flow phenomenon is similar to the Mach wave of a free jet. With the nozzle-plate distance at which the OASPL of this acoustic phenomenon is the maximum, the flow phenomenon extracted is also similar, but some minor differences appear. These differences are expected to be a key to understand the change in OASPL when varying the nozzle-plate distance.
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1. Introduction

One of the causes of payload vibration during liftoff of a launch vehicle is the acoustic waves from the exhaust jet, which impinges on a flame deflector. These acoustic waves were considered to be generated only from the sources along the jet in NASA SP-807211 and its modifications.2,3) However, a numerical simulation by Tsutsumi et al.4) showed that the acoustic field at a launch pad changes significantly with a slight modification of deflector geometry, and inferred that acoustic waves from the jet impingement region will affect the acoustic field. The deflector is required not to generate these acoustic waves in the direction of the launch vehicle. To establish the design criteria, it is essential to understand the mechanism of how acoustic waves are generated from the supersonic impinging jet.

The flow phenomena of the supersonic impinging jet have been studied for the last five decades after Henderson3) using the jet impinging on a perpendicular or inclined flat plate. In Donaldson and Snecker6) and subsequent studies, it was described that the flow field consisted of the free jet, impingement, and wall jet regions. Donaldson and Snecker6) observed a recirculation flow in the impingement region, and Carling and Hunt1) discussed a structure of expansion, compression, and shock waves on the plate surface formed by rapid expansion of the jet after impingement. Additionally, the experiments by Lamont and Hunt8) and Nakai et al.9) and the numerical simulation by Goto et al.10) showed the complicated shock structure in an underexpanded jet impinging on an inclined flat plate.

Many studies on the acoustic phenomena of a supersonic jet impinging on a perpendicular plate have also been conducted, and most of them concerned the discrete tone. Powell11) suggested the model that an acoustic wave from the impingement region made a disturbance at the nozzle exit, and formed a feedback loop. There are many extensive studies on this feedback loop, especially phenomena in the free jet region (e.g., Krothapalli et al.12) and Uzun et al.13) and in the impingement region (e.g., Henderson et al.14) Dauptain et al.15) and Risborg and Soria16)).

Broadband acoustic phenomena are important during the liftoff of a launch vehicle. Nonomura et al.17) and Tsutsumi et al.18,19) conducted numerical simulations using a correctly expanded jet impinging on an inclined flat plate, and predicted an acoustic wave from the impingement region, which propagated in a direction approximately perpendicular to the plate, as well as the Mach wave from the free and wall jet regions, as shown in Fig. 1. Moreover, because the source of the acoustic wave from the impingement region was close to a shock structure in the impingement region, it was suggested that this acoustic wave was the shock-associated noise. As for experimental studies, only Worden et al.20) in which sound-pressure-level (SPL) spectra were measured at two fixed locations using a similar impinging jet, can be found.

The authors21) have experimentally studied the characteristics of the acoustic wave, such as the propagation direction and location of the source region. In this experiment, a Mach
The objective of this study is to discuss the characteristics of the flow phenomenon related to generation of the acoustic wave from the impingement region, which is extracted using the conditional sampling method proposed by the authors.\(^{23}\)

After explaining the methods of the experiment and analysis in Sec. 2, the results extracted in the case of the nozzle-plate distance \(5D\) are described in Sec. 3.1. Then, the flow phenomenon extracted is discussed in Sec. 3.2. Finally, the change in features extracted using different nozzle-plate distances is shown in Sec. 3.3.

2. Method of Experiment and Analysis

2.1. Experimental method

The present experiment is carried out using a jet facility at the Hypersonic High-enthalpy Wind Tunnel at the Kashiwa Campus of The University of Tokyo. The experimental conditions and coordinate systems are shown in Table 1 and Fig. 2, respectively. A Mach 1.8 correctly expanded jet from a nozzle impinges on a 45° inclined flat plate. The nozzle-plate distance is set at \(5D\) for Sec. 3.1 and \(15D\) for Sec. 3.3. Detailed explanations of the jet facility, geometries of the nozzle and inclined plate, Mach number distribution on the centerline, and acoustic environment are shown in Akamine et al.\(^{21}\)

2.2. Measurements

In this study, for the conditional sampling analysis, acoustic measurement using a microphone and flow measurement are carried out synchronously. The flow measurement consists of schlieren visualization and wall surface pressure measurement on the inclined plate. To measure the acoustic wave from the impingement region, which propagates in a direction approximately perpendicular to the inclined plate, a microphone is set at \(r/D = 40, \theta = 75°\). The visualization movies of the flow field between the nozzle and impingement region are taken by a high-speed camera in two (in the case of the nozzle-plate distance \(5D\)) and five divided parts (in \(15D\) case). Additionally, to measure the wall surface pressure...
fluctuation in the impingement region, a pressure transducer is mounted at \( r/D = 0.1 \) (\( \theta = 0 \)), i.e., flush-mounted on the plate surface.

A Brüel & Kjær 1/4” free-field microphone type 4939 and a NEXUS microphone conditioner type 2690 are used for acoustic measurements, and a Kulite XCP-062-7-BAR-A pressure transducer (\( \phi = 1.7 \) mm) and a unipulse AM30 amplifier are used for the wall surface pressure measurement. These signals are logged using a National Instruments DAQ PCI-6133 and LabView (sampling frequency, 400 kHz; duration, 1 s).

Schlieren visualization movies are taken using an optical system that comprised of a mercury lamp, pair of concave mirrors (\( \phi = 200 \) mm; focal length, 2 m), knife edge (perpendicular to the jet axis), and a Photron FASTCAM SA-Z high-speed camera (exposure time, approximately 0.37 \( \mu s \); frame rate, 100 kHz; duration, 1 s; resolution, 640 \( \times \) 280 or 384 \( \times \) 480 pixels).

To determine the microphone location, the overall sound-pressure-level (OASPL) was measured while moving a single microphone using a two-dimensional positioning system. The locations of measurement points relative to the inclined plate are fixed, when the nozzle-plate distance is changed. The measurement points in the SD case are shown in Fig. 3. During the measurement, the microphone points at \( \varphi' \) in Fig. 2 (the intersection point between the jet axis and plate surface) within 30°, which causes less than 1.7 dB deviation (0.088 dB at 5 kHz, 0.41 dB at 15 kHz, and 1.7 dB at 40 kHz). To calculate the SPL, the microphone free-field response of incidence angle 0° and atmospheric absorption are corrected as described in Akamine et al.

2.3. Conditional sampling analysis

2.3.1. Overview

A flow phenomenon related to the intermittent generation of the acoustic wave is extracted from the visualization movie or wall surface pressure signal using the following analysis. An intermittent amplitude peak of each frequency component (i.e., “trigger event”) is detected by a wavelet transform of the far-field acoustic signal. Visualization images or wall surface pressure values in a certain interval around the trigger events are sampled and ensemble-averaged. By taking an ensemble average, it is expected that the flow phenomena related to this trigger event will be emphasized, whereas unrelated flow phenomena will be cancelled.

2.3.2. Wavelet transform

Complex values of \( \hat{p}(f, t_0) \) are calculated from a far-field acoustic signal of \( p(t_0) \) for each frequency \( f \) using the following equation of the continuous wavelet transform:

\[
\hat{p}(f, t_0) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} p(t_n) \psi^*(t_n - t_0) / s(f),
\]

where \( t_0 = n \Delta t \) is a time with \( n = 0, 1, \ldots, N - 1 \) for a signal length \( N = 400 \times 10^3 \) and \( \Delta t = 1/400 \) [kHz] = 2.5 \( \mu s \).

The Morlet function \( \psi(\varphi) = \pi^{-1/4} e^{-\varphi^2/2} e^{-\varphi_{\text{in}}^2} \) is a function that is a product of a sinusoidal wave and a Gaussian window function. Figure 4 shows the Morlet function in this analysis, with the parameter concerning the window width \( \omega_0 = 6 \), involving a scale factor

\[
s(f) = \left( \omega_0 + 2 + \omega_0^2 \right)^{1/2} 4\pi f \approx 0.968/f.
\]

In Eq. (1), the term \( \psi(t_n - t_0) / s(f) \) is the Morlet function of a frequency \( f \) at time \( t_0 \), and \( \psi^* \) is the complex conjugate of \( \psi \). Therefore, Eq. (1) shows the calculation of an inner product (i.e., a degree of similarity) between the far-field acoustic signal and the Morlet function of \( f \) at \( t_0 \). The amplitude

\[
|\hat{p}(f, t_0)| = \sqrt{\text{Re}(\hat{p})^2 + \text{Im}(\hat{p})^2}
\]

corresponds to the degree of similarity, and

\[
\arg(\hat{p}(f, t_0)) = \text{arctan} \left( \frac{\text{Re}(\hat{p})}{\text{Im}(\hat{p})} \right)
\]

represents the phase, which varies from \(-\pi\) to \(\pi\) in a period.

2.3.3. Trigger event detection and conditional sampling

A typical far-field acoustic signal of \( p(t_0) / \sigma_p \) and its amplitude of \( |\hat{p}(f, t_0)| / \sigma_p \) are shown in Figs. 5(a) and 5(b), respectively, where \( \sigma_p \) is the root mean square of \( p(t_0) \). In Fig. 5(b), for example, the \( f = 15 \) kHz component is indicated by the red line, and its phase \( \arg(\hat{p}(f, t_0)) \) is shown in Fig. 5(c). The amplitude peaks, which are indicated by red points in Fig. 5(b), are regarded as the arrival of the 15 kHz acoustic
wave to the microphone. The phase values of these amplitude peaks are not constant, as seen in Fig. 5(c). Therefore, the detection time of the trigger event is defined as the time at the same phase value \( \arg(\hat{p}(f, t_n)) = -\pi \) to align the trigger events in phase. Note that the trigger events of \( |\hat{p}(f, t_n)| \geq 0.4 \sigma_p \) are used here to eliminate the extremely weak peaks.

Depending on the detection time obtained, the visualization image or wall surface pressure value of a time \( \tau \) before the detection time of the trigger event can be taken (Fig. 5(d)), and the average of them can be calculated. By varying \( \tau \), a visualization movie or wall surface pressure waveform of the flow phenomenon related to the trigger event (i.e., the intermittent generation of the acoustic wave) can be extracted (Fig. 5(e)).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Intermittent generation of acoustic wave and related flow phenomenon

As discussed in the previous study, two types of acoustic wave are generated from the impingement region of the supersonic jet impinging on the inclined flat plate. Figure 6(a) shows an OASPL distribution, which was obtained by remeasurement conducted by Akamine et al. This figure shows two high-OASPL regions due to two different acoustic waves. One propagates 30° from the plate surface, and is explained as the Mach wave from the wall jet (flow along the plate surface after impingement) by numerical studies. The other is acoustic wave propagating 75° from the plate surface. Because its generation mechanism has not been clarified yet, this acoustic wave is the main focus of this study.

To extract a flow phenomenon that correlates to this acoustic wave, conditional sampling analysis is applied to the visualization movie. The trigger events are detected from the far-field acoustic signal measured using a microphone at \( r/D = 40, \theta = 75° \) (a red point in Fig. 6(a)). The visualization regions are the red squares in Fig. 6(a). A snapshot of the raw movies is shown in Fig. 6(b). The nozzle-plate distance is 5D.

Figure 7 shows the number of trigger events for each frequency component in the acoustic signal per second. The number of trigger events is normalized by frequency (i.e., number of periods included in a sine wave per second). This
normalization is needed to compare the number of trigger events between different frequencies because higher-frequency (shorter-period) components occur more frequently than lower-frequency (longer-period) components in the same-length signal. The peak frequency is about 15 kHz, and the peak frequency of the FFT spectrum of this acoustic signal is a similar frequency (10–20 kHz), as shown in Fig. 8. Therefore, the 15 kHz component is chosen for the discussion in this section.

Figures 9(a) and 9(b) show two of the images extracted from different visualization movies, using 289 trigger events of the 15 kHz component. The green color in the contours indicates the time-averaged gray value, and red and blue indicate lighter and darker than that, respectively. It can be observed that extracted density fluctuation passes through the boundaries between the two different movies, indicating that the extracted results have reproducibility.

Figure 9(b) shows the image extracted at $\tau = -2.20$ ms (2.20 ms before the detection time of the trigger event; i.e., the arrival of the intermittent 15 kHz acoustic wave at a microphone). Waves propagating from a source at $r/D \approx 1.0$ on the plate surface in a direction approximately perpendicular to the plate (Wave [1]) are observed. Wave [1] is observed to be generated at $\tau \approx -2.35$ ms from the source. The distance between the source and microphone is $40D$ ($= 0.8$ m). Therefore, the propagation velocity is calculated as approximately 340 m/s, which is almost equal to the sound speed of the surroundings. This indicates that Wave [1] is the acoustic wave detected at the microphone. Other waves (waves [2] and [3]) are also observed, and more careful investigation is necessary to discuss them.

In the images before the generation of these waves, a density fluctuation in the free jet region is extracted. For example, in the image at $\tau = -2.40$ ms (Fig. 9(a)), the density fluctuation that moves along the jet to the inclined plate appears mainly at the upper side of the jet. In particular, this fluctuation appears not only outside of the jet, but also in the jet. Because the schlieren method does not give information on the location of density fluctuation along the light path, a wall surface pressure waveform extracted at $r/D = 0.1$ (in the impingement region) is shown in Fig. 10. At $\tau \approx -2.40$ ms, when this fluctuation reaches the inclined plate in Fig. 9(a), a pressure fluctuation with a large amplitude appears. Therefore, the flow phenomenon that consists of the density fluctuation at the upper side of the jet and pressure fluctuation in the jet relates to the intermittent generation of the acoustic wave from the impingement region.

3.2. The extracted flow phenomenon and the Mach wave of the free jet

The feature of the flow phenomenon extracted in Fig. 9(a) is similar to that of the Mach wave of the free jet. The Mach wave is explained by Oertel et al. as a pressure wave generated by a supersonic convection of large-scale turbulent structures in the shear layer. It is hypothesized that the density fluctuation at outside of the jet is the Mach wave and the pressure fluctuation in the jet is generated by the large-scale
turbulent structures. Based on this hypothesis, the angle of the density fluctuation to the jet axis will be the Mach angle for the convection speed. In Fig. 9(a) and other frames of the extraction movies, the inclination angle of these density fluctuations is approximately 55–70°. The convection speed of these density fluctuations is also estimated at 360–400 m/s by tracking peaks of the gray values along the jet axis in the region of \( z/D = 0.7–1.2 \) of the images extracted. These values are summarized in Fig. 11 left. As shown in Fig. 11 right, the Mach angle is calculated as \( \sin^{-1} a/U \), where \( a \) is the speed of wave propagation and \( U \) is the convection speed. If the speed of wave propagation is assumed to be the speed of sound in the surroundings (i.e., \( a = 340 \) m/s), the Mach angle for the convection speed \( U = 360–400 \) m/s is approximately 60–70°, which almost corresponds to the inclination angle observed in the extraction movies. Therefore, this is considered to support the above hypothesis.

Moreover, if the hypothesis is true, a similar flow structure will also be extracted in the free jet of the same Mach number. To confirm this, an experiment for the free jet was carried out with the same nozzle and flow conditions, and the same measurement and analysis were applied. In this experiment, the microphone was set as shown in Fig. 12(a) to detect the trigger event from the far-field acoustic signal. In the results shown in Fig. 12(b), which were extracted using 270 trigger events of the 15 kHz component, the shape of the density fluctuation extracted is similar to that in Fig. 9(a). Therefore, the hypothesis is also supported by these results. Additionally, in Fig. 12(b), the density fluctuation at the upper side of the jet is extracted more clearly than that at the lower side. This indicates that the azimuthal correlation length is short, and it is consistent with the results of the numerical simulation of the Mach wave by Freund et al. Depending on this consideration, it is supposed that the density fluctuation extracted is the Mach wave of the free jet.

Finally, it is considered how the density fluctuation extracted shown in Fig. 9(a) generates the acoustic wave from the impingement region. The reflection of the Mach wave from the free jet region is likely to occur. However, the source region of the acoustic wave from the impingement region is concentrated, as reported by Akamine et al. and also shown in Fig. 9(b). This feature does not appear only by the reflection. Therefore, there will be another mechanism of acoustic wave generation in the impingement region, and further investigation is necessary to clarify the whole mechanism.
However, some minor differences can be found. In Fig. 15(a), the density fluctuation at the lower side of the jet is mainly extracted, whereas that at the upper side is extracted in Fig. 9(a) (the 5D case). Additionally, a straight wave (indicated as Wave [4]) can be observed in Fig. 15(b). This is radiated from the region beneath the impingement region and propagates in a direction almost perpendicular to the plate surface. In the 5D case (Fig. 9(b)), Wave [2] was observed in a similar region. However, Wave [2] has an arc-shaped wave front, is radiated from the upper side of the impingement region, and propagates in the upstream direction. Therefore, waves [2] and [4] are considered to be different acoustic phenomena. As for Wave [3], the corresponding region is not included in the present visualization region in the 15D case because the main focus of the present study is the acoustic wave propagating 75° from the plate surface. Therefore, its existence is not discussed in this study. Understanding these differences will help clarify the relation between the OASPL and nozzle-plate distance in Fig. 13, and further studies are necessary.

4. Conclusion

In this study, experiments were carried out to discuss the features of flow phenomenon related to acoustic wave generation in a supersonic impinging jet. Because the acoustic wave was generated intermittently, a conditional sampling analysis was adopted. The flow phenomenon, which is related to the acoustic wave from the impinging region propagating in a direction approximately perpendicular to the inclined flat plate, was successfully extracted, and the following conclusions were obtained.

1. In the case of the nozzle-plate distance being 5D, the images extracted from the visualization movies showed that the acoustic wave was generated from the impingement region, after the arrival of density fluctuation in the free jet region at the inclined plate. Additionally, according to the results extracted from the wall surface pressure signal, this density fluctuation involved pressure fluctuation in the jet.

2. The features of this density fluctuation were similar to the Mach wave of a free jet. Therefore, it was considered that the Mach wave of the free jet region is related to the generation of acoustic waves from the impingement region. As for the mechanism of this acoustic wave generation, it cannot be explained as the reflection of the waves from the free jet region, because the source region of the acoustic wave from the impingement region was concentrated.

3. In the case of the nozzle-plate distance being 15D, at which the OASPL took a maximum, the density fluctuation extracted was similar to that in the case of 5D. However, some minor differences can be found, and understanding these differences will help clarify the relation between the OASPL and nozzle-plate distance.
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