Haptics-assisted Virtual Planning of Bone, Soft Tissue, and Vessels in Fibula Osteocutaneous Free Flaps
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Background: Virtual surgery planning has proven useful for reconstructing head and neck defects by fibula osteocutaneous free flaps (FOFF). Benefits include improved healing, function, and aesthetics, as well as cost savings. But available virtual surgery planning systems incorporating fibula in craniomaxillofacial reconstruction simulate only bone reconstruction without considering vessels and soft tissue.

Methods: The Haptics-Assisted Surgery Planning (HASP) system incorporates bone, vessels, and soft tissue of the FOFF in craniomaxillofacial defect reconstruction. Two surgeons tested HASP on 4 cases they had previously operated on: 3 with composite mandibular defects and 1 with a composite cervical spine defect. With the HASP stereographics and haptic feedback, using patient-specific computed tomography angiogram data, the surgeons planned the 4 cases, including bone resection, fibula design, recipient vessels selection, pedicle and perforator location selection, and skin paddle configuration.

Results: Some problems encountered during the actual surgery could have been avoided as they became evident with HASP. In one case, the fibula reconstruction was incomplete because the fibula had to be reversed and thus did not reach the temporal fossa. In another case, the fibula had to be rotated 180 degrees to correct the plate and screw placement in relation to the perforator. In the spinal case, difficulty in finding the optimal fibula shape and position required extra ischemia time.

Conclusions: The surgeons found HASP to be an efficient planning tool for FOFF reconstructions. The testing of alternative reconstructions to arrive at an optimal FOFF solution preoperatively potentially improves patient function and aesthetics and reduces operating room time. (Plast Reconstr Surg Glob Open 2015;3:e479; doi: 10.1097/GOX.0000000000000447; Published online 10 August 2015.)

Traumatic injuries, congenital defects, and malignant tumors in the head and neck region often cause severe disfigurement and suffering. Aspects that profoundly affect the survival rate and quality of life include competence of the surgeon, time from diagnosis to treatment, and quality of the preoperative plan.²⁻⁴ For example, the 5-year survival rate of gingival mandibular cancer is only about 50%, highlighting the importance of optimizing the diagnostic and treatment processes.⁵⁻⁶

For reconstruction of long bone defects in the head and neck area, different microvascular free flaps with donor sites such as the iliac, humerus,
and radius bone have been explored with various degrees of success. However, the fibula osteocutaneous free flap (FOFF) became the more popular donor site when Hidalgo introduced it for mandibular reconstruction in 1989. Wei et al had already demonstrated the vascular supply of the skin paddle by a septocutaneous perforator. The fibula free flap is widely used all over the world for orofacial reconstruction due to its well-known benefits including a long pedicle, low donor-site morbidity, possibility of a 2-team approach, and reliability of its skin paddle for simultaneous soft-tissue reconstruction. However, this type of complex surgery has a long learning curve, especially in centers with low case load, making the need for extra training modalities obvious.

Virtual surgery planning (VSP) and preoperative fabrication of implants and cutting guides for osteosynthesis have proven valuable in reconstructive surgery, with benefits including reduced time to shape the bone segments, reduced ischemia time, and improved accuracy with increased bony contact between fibular segments. This leads to benefits for the patient, such as better healing, function, and aesthetics. Furthermore, the planning session gives surgeons and engineers the opportunity to solve medical and technical patient-specific issues before surgery, with decreased intraoperative time yielding considerable cost savings. In a comparative study between 9 preplanned and 11 freehand reconstructions, Zweifel et al report the average time for the freehand reconstructions to be approximately 88 minutes and for the preplanned cases 21 minutes, resulting in an average time gain of approximately 67 minutes.

Examples of current commercial VSP system providers include Planmeca, Materialise, and Brainlab. Dérand et al demonstrate the use of generic 3D modeling software for surgery planning. However, the user interfaces of these systems are limited to 2D interaction with 3D data, which can be nonintuitive for 3D tasks such as surgery planning, and often require the assistance of a technician. Our goal is to shorten the preoperative planning and production from days to hours. This requires, in addition to in-house production of plates and cutting guides, a user-friendly planning system that can easily be used by the surgeons themselves without the assistance of technicians and 3D modeling experts.

Three-dimensional interaction through stereographics combined with haptics has the potential to make VSP systems easier to use by providing a visual sense of depth and an additional interaction and information channel, that is, touch. The combination of stereographics and haptics is motivated by the inherently visual and tactile nature of surgery. The use of haptics in craniomaxillofacial (CMF) surgery planning is rare; Parthasarathy describes the use of haptics in a planning workflow for cranioplasty and Murray et al for the repositioning of zygomatic bones. Schwartzman et al and Petersson and Åkerlund describe VSP systems with haptics for CMF surgery, but none of these systems support reconstructive planning using an FOFF. In addition, there is a need to incorporate into the surgical planning the soft-tissue component and the vessels along with the bone, which is not possible with current virtual planning systems.

We describe a user-friendly VSP research system under development, the Haptics-Assisted Surgery Planning (HASP) system, which relies on stereographics and haptics to allow surgeons, with minimal system training and without support of technicians, to plan complex surgical procedures. In a retrospective pilot study, a surgical team interactively and iteratively uses the system to find the optimal fibula flap solution by defining and refining fibula osteotomies, anastomosis sites, and configuration of the skin paddle for 4 reconstructive cases of the head and neck region.
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rotate the entire 3D model, and reposition the bone fragments, fibula segments, and vessels, with the pen-like handle on a haptic device (3D pen with force feedback, see Fig. 1B, c) as we have described previously. During interaction, contact forces are computed in real time with high spatial resolution, which gives an impression similar to that of manipulating real, physical objects. The half-transparent mirror (Fig. 1B, b) allows the haptic and visual workspaces to be colocated. The infrared cameras track the markers on the stereo glasses for user “look-around” (Fig. 1A, d and e), that is, the surgeon can view the 3D model from different viewpoints by moving his/her head. This is essential for detecting anatomical details that are occluded from certain viewpoints and can also be useful for aligning objects.

Application of HASP in the Design of an FOFF

Figure 2 shows the FOFF planning workflow in HASP: preparation, design, and test of the FOFF leading to the resulting plan for the osteotomy positions and angles, anastomosis sites, and skin paddle configuration. The video demonstrates the planning of a mandibular reconstruction using an FOFF (See Video 1, Supplemental Digital Content 1, this video is available in the “Related Videos” section of the full-text articles at http://www.PRSGO.com or available at http://links.lww.com/PRSGO/A120).

Preparation

The surgeon begins by loading presegmented volumetric computed tomography angiogram data from the head and neck and from the lower leg. A standard fibula model with vessels may substitute the leg computed tomography. The recipient site is prepared for the transplant with a virtual resection tool (Fig. 3A) to remove malignant tissue in the bone with sufficient margin or to reshape fracture surfaces for better load-bearing properties. The resection tool provides planar or arbitrary cuts.

Design and Test

Control points positioned with the haptic pen define an initial contour of adjacent fibula segments. Two control points define the beginning and the end position of the fibula graft; additional control points may be added to create angulations. Control points may be moved or removed to adjust the contour (Fig. 3B). The orientations of the most proximal and distal fibular osteotomies may be adjusted to match the contact surfaces at the recipient site (Fig. 3C). The surgeon chooses what section of the fibula to use as well as its rotation around its long axis (Fig. 3D). These choices may significantly influence which anastomosis sites are reachable by the pedicle and how the perforator constrains the placement of the skin paddle. The
system continually computes the fibula osteotomy positions and angles from the user-defined control points and displays the resulting contour and the osteotomies in relation to the surrounding vessels (Fig. 3E).

With the haptic device, the surgeon may test the fit of the bony part of the FOFF by virtually removing and reinserting it into the recipient site (Fig. 3F). In addition to visual feedback, haptic feedback enables the surgeon to feel whenever the fibula segments make contact with neighboring bone. If the fit is not satisfactory, the reconstruction parameters can be adjusted and tested again.

After designing the bony part of the flap, the surgeon may test the reach of the pedicle. The pedicle is represented by a deformable model that allows moving, cutting, bending, and stretching using the haptic device. Grasping and moving the end of the pedicle tests its reach to potential anastomosis sites on the recipient vessels (Fig. 3E). The pedicle may be split into 1 artery and 2 veins. If the pedicle is stretched, that is, a desired site is beyond reach, the surgeon receives both a visual cue, the pedicle color lightens, and a haptic cue similar to the resistance when stretching a rubber band. If the reach is insufficient, the surgeon may redesign the fibula angulations or use a different section or orientation of the fibula.

HASP allows design of a skin paddle for soft-tissue reconstruction. The skin paddle is a deformable skin model with configurable size, connected to the fibula by a deformable model of a perforator. With the haptic device, the surgeon may move, orient, reshape and suture the skin paddle, and test its reach under the constraint of the perforator (Fig. 3G). If the skin paddle or perforator vessel is stretched, the surgeon receives both a visual cue, that is the skin paddle or perforator color lightens, and a haptic feedback that resists the stretch. If the perforator reach is insufficient, the surgeon may redesign the angulations or use a different section or orientation of the fibula.

**Resulting Plan**

The plan from HASP includes the orientation of the fibula, positions and angles of the fibula osteotomies which may be used to generate a cutting
guide, selection of recipient vessels and anastomosis sites, determination of pedicle length, location of the pedicle (lingual or buccal), location of the skin perforator in relation to the osteotomies, and location and configuration of the skin paddle. A measurement tool (Fig. 3H) may be used at any stage in the planning to measure, for example, the length of the defect, the pedicle, or the fibula segments.

**HASP SYSTEM EVALUATION WITH CLINICAL CASES**

Two surgeons (a plastic surgeon, A.R.-L., and a maxillofacial Surgeon, A.T.) from the Uppsala University Hospital evaluated HASP, with 4 cases that they had operated on previously. We describe the cases in Table 1 and present the plan derived with HASP in Table 2. The first case served as practice; the surgeons received instructions on how to use the system during the planning.

**RESULTS**

The planning session results shown in Figure 4 were similar in outcome to the actual surgeries, with the following exceptions. In Case 3, the fibula had to be rotated 180 degrees about its length axis intraoperatively to avoid plate and screw interference with the septocutaneous perforator to the skin. In Case 4, the fibula had to be reversed 180 degrees from the surgical plan derived with conventional planning software, as the pedicle did not reach the initially suggested anastomosis site. As a result, the distance between the bone and the reconstruction plate increased, the fit between the fibula segments became suboptimal, and the fibula did not reach the temporal fossa, as can be seen in Figure 5. These issues were evident in the planning with HASP and could most likely have been avoided. In Case 2, it was difficult to find the optimal fibula shape and position.

**Table 1. Case Descriptions**

| Case 1: A 70-year-old woman with osteoradionecrosis in the left mandible after a previously radiated gingival SCC underwent mandible resection from the left canine to the angle. Reconstruction used an FOFF split into 2 segments for shape conformation. A skin paddle reconstructed an intraoral defect, and vascular anastomosis of the left facial artery and vein was performed. |
| Case 2: A 45-year-old woman with a composite posterior pharyngeal wall and cervical spine defect, including C1 to C3, after excision of a chordoma and postoperative radiotherapy. Reconstruction of the defect used a single-segment FOFF after the mandible was split for access to the cervical spine. A soft-tissue defect in the pharynx was covered with an adipofascial paddle, and vascular anastomosis of the left facial artery was performed. |
| Case 3: A 68-year-old woman with a recurrent, previously operated on, ameloblastoma whose radial forearm soft and nonvascularized bone reconstruction failed, resulting in a fractured reconstruction plate. The situation was resolved with an FOFF with 3 segments in the left mandible and an intraoral skin paddle. Vascular anastomosis of the left superior thyroid artery was performed. |
| Case 4: A 52-year-old woman sustained a large gingival SCC in the right mandible that required mandible resection and reconstruction with an FOFF divided into 5 segments and an intraoral skin paddle. Vascular anastomosis of the right superior thyroid artery was performed. |

*FOFF, fibula osteocutaneous free flap; SCC, squamous cell carcinoma.*
resulting in extra time in the operating room which could have been reduced with prior planning with HASP. The surgeons estimate that the ischemia time could have been reduced up to 25% in this case. The results show that the surgeons could make a detailed plan in a short time, between 29 and 63 minutes per case, after only 40 minutes of training.

The surgeons found several aspects of HASP useful. In particular, the possibility to iterate between the different components of the FOFF (skin, bone, and vessels) was appreciated during the collaborative planning. From a microvascular standpoint, the bone defect was easily visualized, and the surgeons could adjust where on the fibula to place the osteotomies to optimize the locations of the perforators to the skin paddle and the reach of the vascular pedicle. The vessels can be picked up, measured, and moved to the anastomosis sites, suggesting adjustments such as rotations of the fibula before the placement of plates. The surgeons can anticipate the size of the defect and virtually move around the skin paddle to cover the defect over the fibula bony part intra- or extraorally. The planning of the soft tissue and the ability to anticipate possible “bulkiness” of the flap play an important role when the patient will receive dental implants. Today, during real surgery, the inset of the soft-tissue paddle is done last and is mainly performed by freehand.

Other feedback from the surgeons includes that the ability to work in 3D with “look-around” is very helpful for perception of the anatomy and alignment of objects. Haptic feedback assists the contouring of the reconstruction and the insetting of the FOFF, giving a striking difference to other systems without haptic feedback previously tried by the 2 participating surgeons. The haptic feedback was especially appreciated for the feel of the bone (resistance when hitting the ends of the resection) and when planning the length of the fibula. The foremost complaints concerned system ergonomics, including limited screen size, arm fatigue after extended use of the haptics device, and that only one user at a time can fully benefit from the head tracking.

**DISCUSSION**

The priorities in CMF surgery are to first save life, secondly to restore function, and thirdly to achieve a cosmetically acceptable result. Major recent advancements include better imaging and the introduction of VSP. There are limitations in the reconstructive options due to medical and anatomical restrictions in donor and recipient sites, but VSP allows for accurate planning of bone reconstruction and production of cutting guides and patient-specific fixation.

---

**Table 2. The Resulting Plan from HASP Includes Notes and Measurements of Bone Defect at the Recipient Site, Bone Preparation, Determination of Anastomosis Site, Number of Osteotomies and Segments, Pedicle Length, Number of Angulations, Length of Fibula Segments, Distance between from Perforator to Closest Osteotomy Site(s), Pedicle Location, Skin Paddle Location, and Total Planning Time**

| Case No. | Clinical description | Recipient site | Bone defect | Bone preparation | Anastomosis site | Donor site (fibula) | Transplant features | Total planning time |
|----------|----------------------|---------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| 1 (Practice case) | Left hemimandible resection (osteoradionecrosis) | Bone defect | 63 mm | Resection tool | Left facial artery | Bone defect 63 mm | No. angulations 1 | 40 min |
| 2 | Cervical spine resection | 56 mm | Extraction of titanium cage | left facial artery | 45 mm | 0 | 34 min |
| 3 | Left hemimandible resection (ameloblastoma) | 105 mm | Resection of remnant bone, repositioning of proximal condyle, change midline shift | Left superior thyroid | 84 mm | 2 | 29 min |
| 4 | Right hemimandible (squamous cell carcinoma) | From right condyle to 2nd molar left side | Resection tool | Right superior thyroid | 57 mm | 4 | 63 min |

NA, not applicable.
plates, which optimizes the outcome from a functional and esthetical point of view.

The drawbacks of commercial VSP systems are due to the reliance on several iterations with technicians at external companies which delay the surgery with a negative impact on the prognosis. The process often needs 8–10 working days, including transport of plates and cutting guides between countries. By contrast, the

---

**Fig. 4.** Final plans for the 4 cases. A, Case 1, osteoradionecrosis. B, Case 2, cervical spine defect. C, Case 3, ameloblastoma. D, Case 4, squamous cell carcinoma. The whole fibula with osteotomy positions and orientations is shown in relation to surrounding vessels to the left in each case.

**Fig. 5.** A, Surgery outcome for Case 4. Due to an intraoperative reversal of the fibula, the resulting reconstruction did not reach the temporal fossa. B, During the planning session of Case 4 with HASP, this problem was avoided.
surgeons in this study planned the cases themselves in 1 hour or less. The planning with HASP illustrates that issues encountered during the actual surgery could have been identified beforehand and ischemia time could have been reduced. Shorter ischemia time leads to a better prognosis for the flap, and in general, shorter time in the operating room leads to a better prognosis for the patient. In addition, and in contrast to available VSP systems, HASP allows not only planning of the bony part but lack variables related to soft tissue and vessels, which are crucial for the success of the vascularized bone and soft-tissue transfer.

The surgeons participating in this study had previously operated the cases, which could lead to a bias as they were familiar with them. Although this may be regarded as a weakness, it may also be seen as a strength because the surgeons became very aware during the planning session of which problems could have been prevented with our system, such as fibula orientation and pedicle reach.

No VSP system can anticipate all challenges encountered in the operating room, but the ease of changing parameters in HASP, such as reversing direction of the fibula, or adding and removing osteotomies, allows straightforward preparation of alternative reconstruction plans including alternative anastomosis sites. In addition to the quantitative planning data (Table 2), the surgeon gains a “mental map” of the case during preoperative planning, which may facilitate work during surgery. This also motivates the use of HASP as a teaching tool. Finally, HASP is a vehicle for collaborative planning by teams from diverse disciplines, such as CMF; ear, nose, and throat; and plastic surgery.

**CONCLUSIONS**

One key concept in HASP that leads to an optimal FOFF is the iterative design of the osteotomies, the location of the anastomosis sites, and the configuration of the skin paddle (Fig. 2). In other words, the 3 components of the FOFF design do not have to be planned in any particular order after the initial osteotomies are defined, and the user gets immediate feedback how the change of one component affects the other components. The other key concept is the underlying interaction paradigm, combining stereo visualization and haptics, which gives a natural interface for an application that is inherently highly visual and highly tactile.

The results clearly show that complex planning with HASP can be managed in-house by the surgeon himself or herself, or in collaboration between surgeons, in an hour or less after a short training session. This expands the possibilities to use virtual planning not only in oncological surgery but also when lead time to surgery is critical such as in trauma reconstruction.

Hidalgo stated that “Shaping of mandible grafts is an artistic process that will remain partially intuitive despite attempts to more rigidly define the process scientifically”; the HASP system’s natural interface allows the surgeon to move the intuitive, artistic process to a preoperative stage.
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