Use of Prophylactic Indomethacin in Preterm Infants: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis
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Background: Prophylactic indomethacin has been widely used as an effective intervention for reducing mortalities and morbidities in preterm infants including the cardiopulmonary and neurodevelopmental morbidities such as intraventricular hemorrhage (IVH), but many studies have reported contradictory outcomes regarding its significance. Therefore, we aim to systematically review and meta-analyze the data of prophylactic indomethacin on preterm infants.

Methods: Our systematic search included the following databases: Pubmed, Google Scholar, Scopus, Web of Science, The New York Academy of Medicine (NYAM), Virtual health library (VHL), and the System for Information on Grey Literature in Europe (SIGLE) to include studies that assessed the use of prophylactic indomethacin in preterm infants until 12 August 2021.

Results: The final list of our included studies is comprised of 23 randomized trials and cohort studies. Among all the studies outcomes, significant favorable outcome was lowering the rate of PDA, surgical PDA ligation ($P < 0.001$) and severe IVH ($P = 0.008$) while no significance was recorded with BPD, pulmonary hemorrhage, intraventricular hemorrhage, necrotizing enterocolitis, intestinal perforation, mortality, and length of hospital stay.

Conclusion: Since the meta-analysis results regarding effectiveness of prophylactic indomethacin varied based on the study design particularly with regard to outcomes such as surgical PDA ligation and severe IVH, this warrants the need for more evidence regarding the effectiveness of prophylactic indomethacin in very low birth weight infants.
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INTRODUCTION

Many cardiopulmonary and neurologic disabilities have been associated with preterm labor including patent ductus arteriosus (PDA), pulmonary hemorrhage, intracranial hemorrhage, and developmental delay (1–4). Although advances in modern medicine have improved the survival rates of very low birth weight (VLBW) infants, many neurodevelopmental complications are still present due to preterm birth such as blindness, deafness, and cerebral palsy. VLBW infants
are at risk of developing intraventricular hemorrhage (IVH) which is usually associated with neurodevelopmental decays when related to the brain parenchyma. IVH grade 3–4 is a major risk factor for the occurrence of these complications in preterm infants (5–8). Although the incidence rate of IVH has been markedly reduced since the 1980s (9, 10), as no or minimal reductions have been recorded recently (11, 12).

Many pre- and postnatal interventions have been reported to effectively treat IVH and reduce its incidence in preterm infants (13). One of these is indomethacin prophylaxis which is better administered within the first 6 h after birth (14–17). Besides, it helps in the closure of ductus arteriosus and therefore, can prevent the complications of PDA such as pulmonary hypertension (14, 15, 18). Its mechanisms of action include prostaglandin synthesis inhibition by inhibiting the cyclooxygenase pathways, reduction of hyperemic responses resulting from cerebrovascular hypoxia and hypercapnia, increasing the blood–brain barrier permeability, and prevention of cerebral perfusion-induced ischemia (19–23). Moreover, it enhances microvascular development in the germinal matrix (24). Perfusion-related factors such as hypoxia, hypercapnia, and hypotension usually develop after birth in VLBW infants (25). Most cases of preterm infants develop IVH within 6–8 h after birth regardless of the gestational age (26). It happens probably due to the increased levels of angiopoietin 2 and vascular endothelial growth factor in the germinal matrix that normally decreases within hours after birth (13).

The results of previously published randomized controlled trials (RCTs) have shown that early administration of indomethacin after birth lowers the incidence of symptomatic PDA and severe IVH as a prophylactic measure (16, 27–29). Although indomethacin administration showed favorable outcomes in reducing IVH incidence, many concerns have arisen regarding its effect on cerebral perfusion (30, 31). The rates of mortalities, bronchopulmonary dysplasia (BPD), or long-term neurodevelopmental decays reportedly seem to have been not affected. A previously published large RCT advised against using indomethacin as a prophylactic agent (15). Although the study showed favorable outcomes in terms of reducing incidence rates of PDA, PDA ligation, IVH, and pulmonary hemorrhage, no improvement regarding the incidence of death and neurodevelopmental disorders rates has been found. Therefore, in this systematic review, we aim to analyze the data of previously published investigations on the use of prophylactic indomethacin in preterm infants.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Search Strategy and Study Selection**

In accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-analyses statement (PRISMA) recommendations, we performed this systematic review and meta-analysis (32). A systematic electronic database search was conducted for relevant studies published, from inception until 12 August 2021, in seven databases: Pubmed, Google Scholar, Scopus, Web of Science, The New York Academy of Medicine (NYAM), Virtual health library (VHL), and the System for Information on Grey Literature in Europe (SIGLE). The search process was conducted using keywords, medical subject (MeSH) terms, and publication types based on the PICO framework (participants, comparison, intervention, and outcomes). Participants were any preterm infants, the intervention was the prophylactic indomethacin, the comparison was placebo or no treatment groups, and all possible outcomes were included. The systematic search was followed by a manual search in references of the included papers to include missed papers (33).

We included all original studies that assessed the use of prophylactic indomethacin in preterm infants. Papers were excluded if there were one of the following exclusion criteria: (i) non-original studies; (ii) articles in non-English language; (iii) in vitro or animal studies; (iv) data duplication, overlapping or unreliably extracted or incomplete data; and (v) abstract only articles, reviews, thesis, books, conference papers, or articles without available full texts (conferences, editorials, author response, letters, and comments). The title and abstract screening were performed by four independent reviewers. Furthermore, three independent reviewers performed full-text screening to ensure the inclusion of relevant papers in our systematic review. Any disagreement was done by discussion and consulting the senior member when necessary.

**Data Extraction**

Two authors made the pilot extraction of a few papers for building the data extraction sheet. The data extraction sheet included: patient’s characteristics, and outcomes. Two authors extracted the data and was reviewed by a third reviewer when necessary. If a disagreement occurred, a senior author was consulted.

**Statistical Analysis**

All data were analyzed using Comprehensive Meta-analysis Software Version 3.0, odds ratios (OR) and Standardized mean difference (SDM) outcomes were calculated. The corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CI) of pooled effect size were calculated using a fixed-effects or random-effects, according to heterogeneity level. Heterogeneity was assessed with Q statistics and I² test.

The publication bias was assessed using Egger’s regression test (34, 35) and represented graphically by Begg’s funnel plot (36), when there were 10 or more studies/effect sizes. Egger’s regression test P-value <0.10 was considered significant. Whenever publication bias was found, the trim and fill method of Duvall and Tweedie was applied (37) to add studies that appeared to be missing to enhance the symmetry.

**RESULTS**

**Search Results**

We identified 3,801 records after excluding of 506 duplicates by using Endnote software version X9. Title and abstract screening resulted in 36 records for further full-text screening. The later
yielded 20 eligible papers for inclusion in our study. Three papers were added after performing manual search trials. Finally, we included 23 studies for this systematic review and meta-analysis (Figure 1).

Study Characteristics
Out of the 23 included studies; 15 were randomized controlled trials and the remaining eight were cohort in design. The sample size of the included studies was highly variable ranging from 19 and as high as 34,602 pre-term infants. The average mean age in all reported treatment group and control group was 27 weeks (ranging from 26 to 28 weeks). Table 1 shows the main characteristics of the included studies (15, 17, 27–29, 38–55).

Publication Bias
With regard to articles with a cohort study design, no publication bias was found in the studies relating to the outcome of death (P = 0.852) using Begg's adjusted rank correlation test. Publication bias related to bronchopulmonary dysplasia, severe intraventricular hemorrhage, necrotizing enterocolitis and surgical PDA ligation was not assessed owing to few number of studies.

Regarding publication bias among RCT studies, overall no publication bias was found in the studies. Regarding PDA, no publication bias was found in the studies (P = 0.524) using Egger's test (Figure 2A). No publication bias was found in studies (P = 0.458) using Begg's adjusted rank correlation test with regard to severe interventricular hemorrhage. Regarding necrotizing enterocolitis, no publication bias was found in studies (P = 0.652) using Begg's adjusted rank correlation test. With regard to death, no publication bias was found in the studies (P = 0.394) using Egger's test (Figure 2B). Publication bias related to bronchopulmonary dysplasia, intraventricular hemorrhage, pulmonary hemorrhage, intestinal perforation, surgical PDA ligation and hospitalization days were not assessed owing to few studies.
| Author Year | Design | Sample size | Gestational age | Birth weight | Male | Aim | Main conclusion(s) |
|------------|--------|-------------|-----------------|--------------|------|-----|-------------------|
|            |        |             | Treatment group | Control group | Treatment group | Control group | Treatment group | Control group | Treatment group | Control group |               |
|            |        |             | Total | Mean | SD | Total | Mean | SD | Total | Mean | SD | Total | Mean | SD | Event | Total | Event | Total |
| Bada et al. (28) | RCT | 141 | 71 | 28 | 2.2 | 70 | 28 | 2.6 | 1,103 | 70 | 1,074 | 265 | 37 | 71 | 26 | 70 |
| To determine the efficacy of indomethacin in preventing periventricular-intraventricular hemorrhage (PV-IVH) |
| Bandstra et al. (27) | RCT | 199 | 99 | 29 | 2.3 | 100 | 29.3 | 2.1 | 99 | 970 | 174 | 100 | 183 | 51 | 99 | 43 | 100 |
| To assess the impact of early prophylactic use of intravenous indomethacin on the incidence and severity of periventricular-intraventricular hemorrhage and patent ductus arteriosus in 199 oxygen-requiring premature infants |
| Jensen et al. (38) | Cohort | 7,831 | 2,587 | 25.9 | 1.5 | 5,244 | 26.7 | 1.6 | 1,270 | 2,587 | 2,744 | 5,244 |
| To assess the association between prophylactic indomethacin and bronchopulmonary dysplasia (BPD) in a recent, large cohort of extremely preterm infants |
| Laughon et al. (39) | Cohort | 34,602 | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | 3,290 | 6,189 | 15,406 | 28,413 |
| To describe the current use of treatments to prevent or treat patent ductus arteriosus (PDA) in preterm infants, examine the association between different treatment strategies and neonatal outcomes and review the variation in these practices between centers |
| Liebowitz et al. (40) | Cohort | 397 | 247 | 26.1 | 1.2 | 150 | 26 | 1.2 | 813 | 197 | 150 | 802 | 200 | 117 | 247 | 90 | 150 |
| To determine whether prophylactic indomethacin (prophylactic indomethacin treatment) has more or less morbidity than delayed conservative management of the moderate-to-large patent ductus arteriosus (PDA) |
| Maruyama et al. (41) | RCT | 19 | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – |
| To investigate the effects of prophylactic low-dose indomethacin on renal and intestinal blood flow |
| (Continued)
| Author Year | Design | Sample size | Gestational age | Birth weight | Male | Aim | Main conclusion(s) |
|-------------|--------|-------------|----------------|--------------|------|-----|-------------------|
| Mirza et al. (42) | Cohort | 868 | 868 | 26.36 | 1.97 | – | – | To test the hypothesis that administration of indomethacin prophylaxis before 6 hours of life results in a lower incidence of intraventricular hemorrhage (IVH) compared with administration after 6 h of life, and that the effects of early prophylaxis depend on gestational age (GA) and sex in very low birth weight infants (birth weight <1,250 g) |
| Narayanan et al. (43) | Cohort | 300 | 130 | 25.5 | 1.1 | 130 | 798 | 172 | To examine the role of prophylactic indomethacin in producing permanent DA closure and the mechanism by which this occurs |
| Nelin et al. (44) | Cohort | 671 | – | – | – | – | – | – | To determine whether PI use in a contemporary cohort of EP infants admitted to an all-referral NICU continues to be associated with beneficial outcomes |
| Schmidt et al. (15) | RCT | 1,202 | 601 | 25.9 | 1.8 | 601 | 26 | 1.9 | To determine whether the prophylactic administration of indomethacin improves survival without neurosensory impairment in extremely-low-birth-weight infants (those with birth weights below 1,000 g) |

(Continued)
| Author            | Year | Design | Sample size | Gestational age | Birth weight | Male | Aim                                                                 | Main conclusion(s)                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|-------------------|------|--------|-------------|-----------------|--------------|------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Stavel et al. (45) |      | Cohort | 4,268       | –               | –            | –    | To determine the effect of concomitant administration of prophylactic indomethacin and early enteral feeds on the risk of spontaneous intestinal perforation (SIP) in extremely low-birth-weight (ELBW) infants, and to describe the variation in prophylactic indomethacin use in Canada | Prophylactic indomethacin was associated with increased odds of SIP independently from early feeding in this cohort; however, early enteral feeding was not associated with SIP. Marked variation in the use of prophylactic indomethacin was identified. |
| Couser et al. (46) | RCT  | 99     | 43          | 26.4            | 1.8          | 47   | To determine whether a course of low-dose indomethacin therapy, when initiated within 24 h of birth, would decrease ductal shunting in premature infants who received prophylactic surfactant in the delivery room. | The prophylactic use of low doses of indomethacin, when initiated in the first 24 h of life in low birth weight infants who receive prophylactic surfactant in the delivery room, decreases the incidence of left-to-right shunting at the level of the ductus arteriosus. |
| Hanigan et al. (47) | RCT  | 122    | 56          | 30.00           | 0.3          | 55   | To test the null hypothesis that the prophylactic administration of indomethacin would not be associated with a significant reduction in the incidence of PVH-IVH | Prophylactic administration of intravenous indomethacin for the prevention of PVH-IVH cannot be recommended for infants <1,000 g. In premature infants between 1000 and 1500 g birth weight, indomethacin significantly reduced the incidence of PVH-IVH. |
| Krueger et al. (48) | RCT  | 32     | 15          | 29.4            | 0.4          | 17   | To determine the efficacy of indomethacin to prevent the occurrence of symptomatic patent ductus arteriosus (PDA) | Results indicate that the use of prophylactic indomethacin is beneficial in prevention of symptomatic PDA. Early indomethacin administration increases oxygen and surfactant requirement. |
| Yaseen et al. (49) | RCT  | 27     | 14          | 30.3            | 2.5          | 13   | To evaluate the oxygenation, and surfactant requirements in preterm low birth weight infants receiving early indomethacin administration | Early indomethacin administration increases oxygen and surfactant requirement. |
| Vincer et al. (50) | RCT  | 30     | 15          | 28.0            | 25-34        | 15   | To test the efficacy of early intravenous indomethacin therapy in preventing chronic pulmonary disease of prematurity | Data suggests that caution must be exercised with early use of indomethacin. |

(Continued)
# Use of Prophylactic Indomethacin in Preterm Infants

## TABLE 1 (Continued)

| Author Year | Design | Sample size | Gestational age | Birth weight | Male | Aim | Main conclusion(s) |
|-------------|--------|-------------|-----------------|--------------|------|-----|-------------------|
| Ment et al. (51) | RCT | 48 | 24 | 28.7 | 1.92 | 24 | 28.5 | 2.20 | 24 | 1,010 | 172 | 24 | 1,015 | 156 | – | – | – | – | – | To examine the use of indomethacin to prevent GMH/IVH in very low birth weight neonates. |
| Ment et al. (52) | RCT | 36 | 19 | 28.2 | 1.9 | 17 | 2,813 | 2.0 | 19 | 950 | 152 | 17 | 927 | 175 | 10 | 19 | 10 | 17 | – | To determine whether a low dose of indomethacin would prevent germinal matrix or intraventricular hemorrhage and permit adequate urinary output |
| Ment et al. (16) | RCT | 61 | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | To test if indomethacin (0.1 mg/kg given intravenously at 6–12 postnatal hours and every 24 h for two more doses) would prevent extension of intraventricular hemorrhage |
| Nair et al. (53) | RCT | 115 | 56 | 27.8 | 1.2 | 59 | 27.9 | 1.4 | 56 | 989.5 | 93.5 | 59 | 995 | 83.6 | – | – | – | – | – | To study the efficacy and complications of low dose indomethacin in the reduction of major intraventricular hemorrhage (IVH) in very low birth weight (VLBW) babies. |
| Rennie et al. (54) | RCT | 50 | 24 | 28 | 2.3 | 26 | 29 | 2.0 | 24 | 1,214 | 323 | 26 | 1,330 | 326 | 13 | 24 | 18 | 26 | – | There was no significant difference in the incidence of intraventricular hemorrhage, days of treatment with oxygen or ventilation, or mortality between the two groups Although treatment with indomethacin on the first day of life appears to be safe, there is little advantage to its use in centers where the incidence of large shunts through a patent ductus arteriosus is relatively low |
| Mahony et al. (55) | RCT | 104 | 51 | 28.0 | 1.5 | 53 | 28.0 | 1.6 | 51 | 1,020.0 | 158.0 | 53 | 989.0 | 162.0 | 21 | 51 | 32 | 53 | – | To investigate the optimal timing for treatment of small premature infants using indomethacin therapy on the first day of life |

SD, standard deviation; RCT, randomized controlled trial.
FIGURE 2 | Publication bias among randomized controlled trial studies for the outcome (A) patent ductus arteriosus (B) death.

FIGURE 3 | Publication bias among cohort and randomized controlled trial study designs for the outcome (A) patent ductus arteriosus (B) interventricular hemorrhage (C) necrotizing enterocolitis (D) death.
With regard to the publication bias in both cohort and RCT studies, in general no publication bias was seen with the exception of patent ductus arteriosus where publication bias was found in the studies ($P = 0.083$) using Egger's test (Figure 3A). No publication bias was found related to bronchopulmonary dysplasia in studies ($P = 0.543$) using Begg's adjusted rank correlation test. With regard to intraventricular hemorrhage, no publication bias was found in studies ($P = 0.348$) using Begg's adjusted rank correlation test. For severe intraventricular hemorrhage as well, no publication bias was found in studies ($P = 0.217$) using Egger's test (Figure 3B). Regarding necrotizing enterocolitis, no publication bias was found in studies ($P = 0.364$) using Egger's test (Figure 3C). With regard to death, no publication bias was found in studies ($P = 0.449$) using Egger's test.

**FIGURE 4** | Meta-analysis of bronchopulmonary dysplasia from (A) cohort studies, (B) RCT studies, (C) combination of cohort and RCT studies.
test (Figure 3D). Using Begg’s adjusted rank correlation test, no publication bias was found in studies ($P = 0.176$) with regard to surgical PDA ligation. Publication bias related to pulmonary hemorrhage, intestinal perforation and hospitalization days was not assessed owing to few studies.

**Meta-Analysis of Outcomes**

**Bronchopulmonary Dysplasia**

In the meta-analysis of cohort studies, no significant difference was seen between the group of infants given prophylactic doses of indomethacin and the placebo or no treatment group with regard to the rates of bronchopulmonary dysplasia (OR = 0.88; 95% CI = 0.53–1.46; $P$-value = 0.628). There was high significant heterogeneity among the included studies ($I^2 = 91%$; $P$-value $< 0.001$) (Figure 4A).

Meta-analysis of RCT studies shows there was no significant difference between the group of infants with prophylactic doses of indomethacin and the group of placebo or no treatment with regard to the rates of bronchopulmonary dysplasia (OR = 1.64; 95% CI = 0.99–2.71; $P$-value = 0.053). There was no significant heterogeneity among the included studies ($I^2 = 30%$; $P$-value = 0.234) (Figure 4B).

In the combined meta-analysis of cohort and RCT studies, there was no significant difference between the prophylactic indomethacin group and the placebo or no treatment group regarding the rates of bronchopulmonary dysplasia (OR = 1.04; 95% CI = 0.70–1.57; $P$-value = 0.831). There was high significant heterogeneity among the included studies ($I^2 = 79%$; $P$-value $< 0.001$) (Figure 4C).

**Patent Ductus Arteriosus**

Meta-analysis of RCT studies shows infants given prophylactic doses of indomethacin have significantly lower rates of PDA compared to those who did not (OR = 0.31; 95% CI = 0.25–0.38;
P-value < 0.001). There was no significant heterogeneity among the included studies ($I^2 = 10\%$; $P$-value = 0.341) (Figure 5A).

Combined meta-analysis of cohort and RCT studies shows infants given prophylactic doses of indomethacin have significantly lower rates of Patent Ductus Arteriosus compared to those who did not (OR = 3.84; 95% CI = 0.78–14.67; $P$-value = 0.104). There was high significant heterogeneity among the included studies ($I^2 = 95\%$; $P$-value < 0.001) (Figure 6A).

Surgical PDA Ligation

In the meta-analysis of cohort studies, there was no significant differences between the group of infants given prophylactic doses of indomethacin and placebo or no treatment group with regard to the rates of surgical PDA ligation (OR = 3.84; 95% CI = 0.78–14.67; $P$-value = 0.104). There was high significant heterogeneity among the included studies ($I^2 = 95\%$; $P$-value < 0.001) (Figure 5A).

Meta-analysis of RCT studies shows infants with prophylactic doses of indomethacin have significantly lower rates of surgical PDA ligation compared to those who did not (OR = 0.50; 95% CI = 0.35–0.72; $P$-value < 0.001). There was no significant heterogeneity among the included studies ($I^2 = 0\%$; $P$-value = 0.523) (Figure 6B).

Combined meta-analysis of cohort and RCT studies shows there was no significant differences between the group of infants...
given prophylactic doses of indomethacin and the infants in the placebo or no treatment group with regard to the rates of necrotizing enterocolitis (OR = 1.10; 95% CI = 0.79–1.52; P-value = 0.571). There was no significant heterogeneity among the included studies (I^2 = 0%; P-value = 0.825) (Figure 6C).

Pulmonary Hemorrhage
Meta-analysis of RCT studies shows there was no significant differences between the group of infants given prophylactic doses of indomethacin and placebo or no treatment group regarding the rates of pulmonary hemorrhage (OR = 0.86; 95% CI = 0.64–1.15; P-value = 0.303). There was no significant heterogeneity among the included studies (I^2 = 0%; P-value = 0.606) (Figure 7).

Intraventricular Hemorrhage
Meta-analysis of RCT studies shows there was no significant differences between the group of infants given prophylactic

---

**FIGURE 7** | Meta-analysis of pulmonary hemorrhage from RCT studies.

**FIGURE 8** | Meta-analysis of intraventricular hemorrhage from (A) RCT studies, (B) combination of cohort and RCT studies.
doses of indomethacin and the placebo or no treatment group with regard to the rates of intraventricular hemorrhage (OR = 0.88; 95% CI = 0.58–1.32; P-value = 0.532). There was low heterogeneity among the included studies ($I^2 = 35%$; $P$-value = 0.186) (Figure 8A).

Combined meta-analysis of cohort and RCT studies shows there was no significant differences between the group with infants given prophylactic doses of indomethacin and placebo or no treatment group with regard to the rates of intraventricular hemorrhage (OR = 0.96; 95% CI = 0.73–1.25; $P$-value = 0.735).
There was low heterogeneity among the included studies ($I^2 = 25\%$; $P$-value $= 0.248$) (Figure 8B).

### Severe Intraventricular Hemorrhage

In the meta-analysis of cohort studies, no significant difference was found between the group of infants given prophylactic doses of indomethacin and the placebo or no treatment group regarding the rates of severe intraventricular hemorrhage (OR $= 1.03$; 95% CI $= 0.67–1.57$; $P$-value $= 0.607$). There was high significant heterogeneity among the included studies ($I^2 = 91\%$; $P$-value $< 0.001$) (Figure 9A).

For meta-analysis of RCT studies, as seen in Figure 9B, infants with prophylactic doses of indomethacin have significantly lower rates of severe intraventricular hemorrhage compared to those
who did not (OR = 0.68; 95% CI = 0.51–0.90; P-value = 0.008). There was no significant heterogeneity among the included studies ($I^2 = 10\%$; P-value = 0.350).

In the combined meta-analysis of cohort and RCT studies, no significant differences between the group of infants given prophylactic doses of indomethacin and the placebo or no treatment group regarding the rates of severe intraventricular hemorrhage (OR = 0.87; 95% CI = 0.63–1.21; P-value = 0.408). However, there was high significant heterogeneity among the included studies ($I^2 = 81\%$; P-value < 0.001) (Figure 9C).

### Necrotizing Enterocolitis

In the meta-analysis of cohort studies, regarding the rate of necrotizing enterocolitis, there was no significant differences between the group of infants with prophylactic doses of indomethacin and the infants in the placebo or no treatment group (OR = 1.03; 95% CI = 0.69–1.54; P-value = 0.884). There was high significant heterogeneity among the included studies ($I^2 = 84\%$; P-value < 0.001) (Figure 10A).

Meta-analysis of RCTs shows there was no significant difference between the group of infants given prophylactic doses of indomethacin and the infants in the placebo or no treatment group with regard to the rates of necrotizing enterocolitis (OR = 1.10; 95% CI = 0.79–1.52; P-value = 0.571). There was no significant heterogeneity among the included studies ($I^2 = 0\%$; P-value = 0.825) (Figure 10B).

Combined meta-analysis of cohort and RCT studies shows there was no significant differences between the group of infants with prophylactic doses of indomethacin and the infants in the placebo or no treatment group regarding the rates of necrotizing enterocolitis (OR = 1.05; 95% CI = 0.80–1.39; P-value = 0.711). However, there was medium significant heterogeneity among the included studies ($I^2 = 61\%$; P-value = 0.003) (Figure 10C).

### Intestinal Perforation

Combined meta-analysis of cohort and RCT studies, shows there was no significant differences between the group of infants given prophylactic doses of indomethacin and the infants in the placebo or no treatment group with regard to the rates of intestinal perforation (OR = 1.58; 95% CI = 0.89–2.82; P-value = 0.121). However, there was high significant heterogeneity among the included studies ($I^2 = 77\%$; P-value = 0.039) (Figure 11).
FIGURE 13 | Meta-analysis of death from (A) cohort studies, (B) RCT studies, (C) combination of cohort and RCT studies.
Hospitalization Days

Meta-analysis of RCT studies shows that two studies with 340 patients were included in the analyses of hospitalization days. On comparing this outcome among the prophylactic indomethacin and placebo/no treatment groups, there was no statistically significant difference for hospitalization days (SMD = 0.08; 95% CI = -0.26: 42; P-value = 0.631). There was a medium significant heterogeneity in the analysis of hospitalization days (I² = 60%; P-value = 0.116) (Figure 12).

Death

In the meta-analysis of cohort studies, Figure 13A shows there was no significant differences between the group of infants given prophylactic doses of indomethacin and the placebo or no treatment group with regard to the rates of death (OR = 0.96; 95% CI = 0.71–1.29; P-value = 0.884). There was high significant heterogeneity among the included studies (I² = 92%; P-value < 0.001).

Meta-analysis of RCT studies shows there was no significant differences between the group of infants given prophylactic doses of indomethacin and the placebo or no treatment group regarding the rates of death (OR = 1.10; 95% CI = 0.88–1.37; P-value = 0.408). There was no significant heterogeneity among the included studies (I² = 0%; P-value = 0.821) (Figure 13B).

Combined meta-analysis cohort and RCT studies shows there was no significant differences between the group of infants given prophylactic doses of indomethacin and the group of placebo or no treatment regarding the rates of death (OR = 1.00; 95% CI = 0.81–1.23; P-value = 0.967). However, there was high significant heterogeneity among the included studies (I² = 73%; P-value < 0.001) (Figure 13C).

DISCUSSION

In this study, we have included 23 studies from the systematic and manual search to be analyzed to study indomethacin as a prophylactic measure in pre-term infants from many aspects including bronchopulmonary dysplasia, patent ductus arteriosus, pulmonary hemorrhage, intraventricular hemorrhage, severe intraventricular hemorrhage, necrotizing enterocolitis, intestinal perforation, death, hospitalization days, and surgical ligation of PDA.

The analyzed data showed a varied heterogeneity in some outcomes which is probably due to the difference in study designs, the different dosages of indomethacin injection, and outcome definition between studies. Moreover, it is important to note that this meta-analysis is fundamentally different from prior ones, in that data from both randomized trials and retrospective cohort studies are included in the present analyses and is likely to be the dominant factor for differences in results.

As for the cardiopulmonary outcomes, our meta-analysis of RCT studies and combined meta-analysis of RCT and cohort studies showed that prophylactic indomethacin administration in infants significantly lowers the rates of PDA formation (P-value < 0.001) and no significant heterogeneity was estimated (I² = 10%; P-value = 0.341) in case of the included RCT studies while medium significant heterogeneity was found in the combined analysis of RCT and cohort studies. (I² = 62%; P-value = 0.001) which could be due to the different study designs that were included in the analysis similar to previously published studies (56, 57). Regarding the outcome of PDA surgical ligation, meta-analysis of RCT studies revealed significantly lower rates of surgical PDA ligation among the infants given prophylactic doses of indomethacin (P-value < 0.001) which is similar to the findings of Fowlie et al. who reported a significant lower incidence of surgical PDA ligation among the indomethacin prophylactic group (typical RR 0.51, 95% CI 0.37,0.71) (14).

On the other hand, in the present study, no significant difference was reported between indomethacin prophylactic group and the placebo/no treatment group with regard to the outcome of BPD and pulmonary hemorrhage rates in the meta-analysis of cohort and RCT studies and combined analysis. Jensen et al. (57) in their analysis of observational data found that prophylactic indomethacin did not increase or decrease the risk of developing BPD. Moreover, the authors compared these results with another analysis of RCTs, however, the analysis indicated the same information that prophylactic indomethacin had no beneficial effects on BPD.

With regard to the risk of intraventricular hemorrhage, our analysis showed no significant difference between the group of infants given prophylactic indomethacin when compared to the placebo group. However, with regard to severe IVH, meta-analysis of RCT studies showed significantly lower rates of severe IVH in the prophylactic indomethacin group (P-value = 0.008). Similarly, Fowlie et al. found a significant reduction in severe IVH incidence in infants that were prophylactically injected with indomethacin (typical RR 0.66, 95% CI 0.53–0.82) (14). However, significant heterogeneity in this study was estimated due to the inconsistency of treatment efficacy among their included studies (56). None of the studies, however, measured the long-term outcomes, they have only focused on the short ones. Schmidt et al. (15) in their large trial on 18-month infants reported statistical insignificance on long term neurodevelopmental outcomes although IVH grade 3 and 4 were significantly reduced. Therefore, concerns should be made to assess the overall quality of the effect of indomethacin on the long-term neurodevelopmental outcomes and the rate of adverse events incidence due to the vasoconstrictive nature of the drug which may alter the cerebral blood flow.

Furthermore, we found no significance between the use of prophylactic indomethacin on infants in reducing the time of hospital stay. The findings reported by Fowlie et al. favored the control groups in terms of time spent in the hospital with no significance (P = 0.087) (14). With regard to the outcome of death, no significant effect of prophylactic indomethacin was reported in the current study in both cohort and RCT studies. Jensen et al. reported a weak association between indomethacin prophylaxis and decreased risk-adjusted odds of mortality (0.81, 95% CI 0.66–0.98), however, the authors included observational data only (57).

Limitations to our study include variable heterogeneity in the analysis of some outcomes due to the different study designs that were included in this study. However, we estimated the publication bias in most cases no publication bias was found.
CONCLUSION

Prophylactic indomethacin in VLBW infants has proven efficient in preventing short-term events such as PDA, surgical PDA ligation, and severe IVH. On the other hand, it showed no significance with regard to outcomes such as IVH, RPD, pulmonary hemorrhage, necrotizing enterocolitis, intestinal perforation, death and hospital stays. Since the meta-analysis results regarding effectiveness of prophylactic indomethacin varied based on the study design particularly with regard to outcomes such as surgical PDA ligation and severe IVH, this warrants the need for long term studies with larger sample size to determine the effectiveness of prophylactic indomethacin.
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