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SUMMARY

SARS-CoV-2 continues to evolve into variants of concern (VOC), with greatest variability in the multidomain, entry-facilitating spike proteins. To recognize the significance of adaptive spike protein changes, we compare variant SARS-CoV-2 virus particles in several assays reflecting authentic virus-cell entry. Virus particles with adaptive changes in spike amino-terminal domains (NTDs) are hypersensitive to proteolytic activation of membrane fusion, an essential step in virus-cell entry. Proteolysis is within fusion domains (FDs), at sites over 10 nm from the VOC-specific NTD changes, indicating allosteric inter-domain control of fusion activation. In addition, NTD-specific antibodies block FD cleavage, membrane fusion, and virus-cell entry, suggesting restriction of inter-domain communication as a neutralization mechanism. Finally, using structure-guided mutagenesis, we identify an inter-monomer β sheet structure that facilitates NTD-to-FD transmisions and subsequent fusion activation. This NTD-to-FD axis that sensitizes viruses to infection and to NTD-specific antibody neutralization provides new context for understanding selective forces driving SARS-CoV-2 evolution.

INTRODUCTION

Even with available vaccines, antiviral treatments, and mitigation measures, SARS-CoV-2 continues to spread through human populations, with adaptive viruses becoming increasing transmissible and potentially able to resist vaccine-induced immunity. Highly contagious variants of concern (VOC) emerge, first D614G, then α, β, γ, δ, and ε variants. Conceivably a genetically stable variant with maximum transmissibility into both naive and immunized humans will eventually predominate (Burioni and Topol, 2021), yet this is not certain, making for current missions to predict ongoing SARS-CoV-2 evolutionary trajectories. Aims are in place to identify transmissibility determinants in past and current VOC and further elucidate VOC resistance to vaccine antibodies and antiviral agents. This study addresses a part of these aims by assessing VOC responses to host transmissibility determinants and by explicating antibody neutralization mechanisms.

VOC have acquired adaptive mutations throughout the ~30 kb RNA genome, yet most are present in the spike (S) gene. Variations in S proteins adapt viruses to diverse host factors conferring virus-cell entry. The principal host factors are receptors and proteases. Receptor binding domains (RBDs) adhere virus particles to target cell receptors, hence RBD mutations adapt viruses to human and animal orthologs of ACE2, the SARS-CoV-2 receptor (Niu et al., 2021; Ren et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2021b). Receptor-bound S proteins acquire conformations that are poised for membrane fusion (Benton et al., 2020; Jackson et al., 2022; Peng et al., 2021), and are then cleaved by host cell proteases to generate fragments that undergo large-scale multidomain conformational transitions. These transitory intermediate structures tether virus and cell membranes together and pull the two into coalescence (Jackson et al., 2022; Peng et al., 2021; Shang et al., 2020b). Mutations at or near protease cleavage sites increase or decrease spike fragmentation, in turn affecting proteolytic activation of membrane fusion (Hoffmann et al., 2020; Shang et al., 2020b; Walls et al., 2020). Other adaptive S protein mutations affect virus stability and fusion activation distinctly, for example, a powerfully selected D614G substitution in all VOC operates to stabilize S proteins in so-called “pre-fusion” conformations, increasing the durability of extracellular virus infectivity (Fernandez, 2020; Zhang et al., 2020, 2021a). Several more recently acquired VOC mutations alter epitopes, allowing viruses to escape neutralization by antibodies binding to RBDs and other domains (Gobeil et al., 2021; Graham et al., 2021; Planas et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2021a).

Amino-terminal domains (NTDs) of SARS-CoV-2 proteins are among the most hypervariable, with both indel and missense
mutations in past and present VOC. This level of variation is puzzling in light of currently obscure NTD functions. While several studies suggest that the NTDs bind viruses to cellular ligands (Baker et al., 2020; Qing et al., 2021; Wei et al., 2020), the significance of these interactions is often unclear, as they cannot substitute for ACE2-directed virus-cell entry (Baker et al., 2020; Qing et al., 2021; Wei et al., 2020). In addition, the NTDs contain an “antigenic supersite” that is recognized by a prominent class of neutralizing antibodies (Cerutti et al., 2021; Graham et al., 2021; McCallum et al., 2021). This neutralization demonstrates the functional relevance of NTDs in virus entry, but the mechanism by which antibody binding to a domain apparently unnecessary for virus-cell binding or membrane fusion is hard to discern. Finally, there is the question of whether NTD variation is driven by a requirement for antibody escape. While it is definitely conceivable that variants overcoming antibody restriction are positively selected, the majority of acute SARS-CoV-2 infections take place within the unvaccinated (Cdcgov, 2021; Linsenmeyer et al., 2021; Muhsen et al., 2021; Ng et al., 2021; Singanayagam et al., 2022), raising the likelihood that VOC NTD variations offer fitness advantages that are independent of antibody evasion.

Here, we addressed these conundrums with novel in vitro systems that measure SARS-CoV-2 entry processes and their neutralization by NTD-specific antibodies. In discerning neutralization mechanisms, we discovered a functional linkage between NTDs and proteolytic substrate sites involved in fusion activation. NTD antibodies suppressed proteolytic activation of fusion. Selective pressures are exerted on this linkage, as VOC changes in the NTDs enhanced this proteolytic activation of fusion. The findings offer new insights into mechanisms of SARS-CoV-2 neutralization, and into contagious VOC that are hypersensitized to infection by host cell susceptibility factors.

**RESULTS**

**NTD-specific antibodies neutralize authentic and virus-like SARS-CoV-2**

SARS-CoV-2 NTD-specific antibodies bind to an antigenic supersite comprised of several projecting loops (Cerutti et al., 2021; McCallum et al., 2021; Suryadevara et al., 2021). These antibodies neutralize infections by unknown mechanisms. We expressed and purified several NTD-specific antibodies (Dodev et al., 2014; Peter et al., 2021). In initial tests, a prototype NTD mAb, 4A8 (Chi et al., 2020), was evaluated for neutralization of SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 (D614G) cell entry. Consistent with previous studies (Chi et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2021a), 4A8 neutralized SARS-CoV-2 but not SARS-CoV (Figure 1A), as SARS-CoV spikes lack the loops comprising the NTD antigenic supersite (Cerutti et al., 2021; McCallum et al., 2021; Suryadevara et al., 2021).

---

**Figure 1. NTD neutralizing antibodies block SARS-CoV-2 spike fusion**

(A) Authentic SARS-CoV or SARS-CoV-2 (D614G) (SARS-1 or SARS-2, respectively) were incubated with titrated levels of antibody 4A8 before inoculating onto Vero-E6 cells. Plaques were counted at 48 hpi. Percent plaques was calculated relative to vehicle control.

(B) Schematic for VLP production and cell-free fusion. Supernatant from HEK293T cells expressing the SARS-CoV-2 structural proteins S, E, and M, and a HiBiT-tagged version of N (HiBiT-N) were harvested, and VLPs purified through size-exclusion chromatography. The effect of 4A8 on cell-free fusion between these VLPs and hACE2-LgBiT+ EVs was detected by quantifying the Nluc activity arisen from HiBiT-LgBiT complementation.

(C) Cell-free fusion signal (relative to vehicle control) using SARS-1 or SARS-2 spike in the presence of titrated levels of NTD antibody 4A8. Mean and standard deviation (SD) (n = 5) are graphed. Data are representative of three biological repeats.
To gain insights into the mechanisms by which 4A8 and other NTD-specific antibodies effect virus neutralization, we advanced into a more tractable in vitro model of virus-cell entry ([Qing et al., 2021]; see Figure 1B). This assay system uses SARS-CoV-2 virus-like particles (VLPs) engineered to contain nanoluciferase (Nluc) "HiBiT" fragments. In the system, HiBiT VLPs are incubated with human ACE2-positive extracellular vesicles (EVs) that contain internal Nluc "LgBiT" fragments. Protease-triggered VLP-EV membrane fusions allow HiBiT and LgBiT to come together, generating the Nluc activities that are measured as readouts for spike protein-mediated membrane fusion.

SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 VLPs induced robust Nluc signals upon incubation with EVs, with signals dependent on VLP spike proteins and on EV-associated hACE2 ([Qing et al., 2021]). In accord with the plaque reduction neutralization titers (PRNT) (Figure 1A), 4A8 antibodies neutralized fusion by SARS-CoV-2 (D614G) but not SARS-CoV, with anti-SARS-CoV-2 fusion titer equivalent to the PRNT values (Figure 1C). These findings validated the in vitro VLP-based assay system as an accurate reflection of authentic virus-cell entry and its neutralization.

**NTD-specific antibodies inhibit proteolytic cleavage of SARS-CoV-2 spike proteins**

To address neutralization mechanisms, we first considered whether NTD antibodies interfere with an S1-S2 separation process that is traditionally taken as a measure of host cell receptor-induced conformational changes in CoV spike proteins (Gallagher, 1997; Matsuyama and Taguchi, 2009; Walls et al., 2019). This postulated antibody-mediated interference with S1-S2 stability was prompted by our prior discovery that the SARS-CoV-2 NTD “supersite” loops controlled S1 shedding from VLPs ([Qing et al., 2021]). SARS-2 VLPs were incubated with mAb 4A8, with or without soluble sarbeco (hACE2) receptors, using soluble embeco (mCEACAM) receptors as negative controls. VLPs were then pelleted free of shed S1 and evaluated for S1-S2 ratios (Figure 2A). As expected, hACE2 but not mCEACAM receptors caused S1 shedding (Figures 2B and 2C). Notably, 4A8 mAbs did not suppress the hACE2-induced shedding (Figures 2B and 2C), indicating that the mAbs do not neutralize by disturbing virus-receptor binding or resultant spike protein conformational changes leading to heterodimer destabilization.

We next asked whether NTD antibodies interfere with the spike proteolytic cleavages that are essential prerequisites for virus-cell membrane fusion (Hoffmann et al., 2018; Matsuyama and Taguchi, 2009; Millet and Whittaker, 2018; Park et al., 2016). We previously demonstrated that SARS-2 VLP fusion with hACE2+ EVs is associated with proteolysis at the well-characterized S2’ position ([Qing et al., 2021]). With 4A8 mAb in the VLP-EV fusion reactions (Figure 3A), S2’ cleavages were notably suppressed (Figure 3B), clearly distinct from control isotype Fc proteins that exerted no effect on S2’ cleavage (Figure S1). Reduced S2’ cleavage correlated precisely with diminished membrane fusion (Figure 3C). An independently identified NTD antibody TRES328 ([Peter et al., 2021]) phenocopied 4A8 in S2’ cleavage and fusion inhibition (Figure S2), suggesting a common neutralization mechanism for the NTD antibodies. Replacement of the VLPs with authentic SARS-CoV-2 (D614G) particles gave similar results, showing that 4A8 mAbs suppressed S2’ cleavage (Figures 3D and 3E). These results indicate that NTD-binding antibodies neutralize SARS-CoV-2 spike by blocking S2’ cleavage.

We also determined whether the relays from NTDs to S2’ cleavage sites are present in SARS-CoV spikes. Here, we spliced the SARS-CoV-2 NTD loops into SARS-CoV spikes, effectively constructing the SARS-CoV-2 antigenic supersite onto SARS-CoV ([Qing et al., 2021]; see Figure S3). VLPs with these engineered SARS-CoV spikes elicited membrane fusions that were effectively suppressed by 4A8 mAbs, while fusions generated by standard SARS-CoV VLPs were unaffected (Figures 4A and 4B).
Membrane fusion levels correlated precisely with the extents of S2\textsuperscript{0} cleavage (Figures 4C and 4D). These results suggest that the communications between NTDs and S2\textsuperscript{0} cleavage sites are conserved in the sarbecoviruses, and that neutralizing antibodies interfere with NTD mobility such that fusion domains (FDs) remain uncleaved and incompetent in virus entry.

**Substitutions at inter-domain locations hypersensitize viruses to NTD antibody neutralization**

In pre-fusion spike trimers, the NTD antigenic supersites are about 10 nm from the nearest S2\textsuperscript{0} cleavage sites (Figure 5A). Furthermore, NTDs are not present in currently available post-fusion spike trimer structures (Cai et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2020), and so it is not obvious how NTD positioning or restricted NTD mobility might expose or conceal a distal protease substrate site. To gain insights into the structures linking NTD position to S2\textsuperscript{0} cleavage, we used molecular genetic approaches, asking whether SARS-CoV-2 spike variations might promote or impede NTD mAb neutralization. We started with naturally occurring variations. These included a D614G substitution that stabilizes SARS-CoV-2 spikes (Qing et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2020), affects RBD up-down transitions (Yurkovetskiy et al., 2020), and increases viral transmissibility (Hou et al., 2020; Volz et al., 2021). (Figure S4), and a furin cleavage site deletion (ΔPRRA) that renders spikes unable to separate into S1 to S2 and thereby attenuates SARS-CoV-2 in vivo (Chu et al., 2021; Johnson et al., 2021; Lau et al., 2020; Peacock et al., 2021) (Figure S4). Neither of these spike variations affected changes to VLP fusion activation and its neutralization by 4A8 mAbs.

Guided by a hypothesis that inter-monomer rearrangements expose S2\textsuperscript{0} sites for proteolytic activation (Gobeil et al., 2021), we continued analyses with two changes, N317A and D737A, that disrupt an inter-domain bridge residing near the apex of S2 (Figure 5B). This N317-D737 bridge is near the well-known “2P” (K986P/V987P) changes that stabilize pre-fusion spikes (McCallum et al., 2021; Walls et al., 2020; Wrapp et al., 2020), which, perhaps expectedly, prevented S2\textsuperscript{0} cleavage (Figure S5). Also evaluated were D796H/Y changes that disrupt an inter-domain D796-Y707 bridge (Figure 5C) and were reported to operate allosterically in conferring virus resistance to neutralization by convalescent patient sera (Kemp et al., 2021). Neither the N317A/D737A nor the D796H/Y substitutions affected changes to VLP fusion activation and its neutralization by 4A8 mAbs (Figures 5B and 5C). Holding to the hypothesis that inter-monomer rearrangements expose S2\textsuperscript{0} cleavage sites, we continued the search for functional links between NTDs and S2\textsuperscript{0} cleavage sites and noted a \( \beta \) sheet comprised of strands from two adjacent monomers; residues 701–705 (green) adjacent to residues...
787–790 (magenta) with inter-monomer stabilization achieved by A701/N703 interactions with I788 (Figure 5D). These strands are near the S2’ cleavage sites (Figure 5A). We introduced β strand-disrupting A701P and N703P substitutions into VLPs. Here, VLPs with these substitutions were nearly 10-fold more sensitive to mAb 4A8 neutralization (Figure 5D). This hypersensitivity to NTD mAb neutralization suggested that the proline substitutions facilitated NTD-driven S2’ cleavage and fusion activation. Using trypsin titrations to assess fusion triggering, we found that the A701P/N703P VLPs were indeed activated for fusion at trypsin levels that were nearly 10-fold lower than wild-type (WT) VLPs (Figures 5D and S6). These findings identify an inter-monomer β sheet “linker,” between NTDs and FDs, that is involved in transmitting NTD ligation signals to FDs. The results further document a remarkable concordance between NTD mAb neutralization sensitivity and proteolytic triggering of viral membrane fusion.

**The NTD changes in VOC increase sensitivity to protease-triggered membrane fusion**

In SARS-CoV-2 VOC, there are substitution mutations in RBDs, in S1–S2 furin cleavage sites, in FDs, and notably also in the NTDs (Davies et al., 2021; Faria et al., 2021; Tegally et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2021b). Many of the NTD changes are within the antigenic supersite (Figure 6A) and, expectedly, several VOC are resistant to neutralization by NTD antibodies (Cai et al., 2021; Gobeil et al., 2021; Graham et al., 2021; Planas et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2021a). However, it is not known whether the NTD changes also impact virus entry properties independent of antibody escape. Based on our prior understanding of NTD deletions and their profound effects on virus stability (Qing et al., 2021) and on our newly identified functional links between NTD supersites and S2’ cleavage patterns (Figures 5D and S6), we hypothesized that the NTD changes adapt SARS-CoV-2 for higher sensitivity to proteolytic activation. We incorporated the NTD mutations of five VOC (α, β, γ, δ, and ε; see Figures 6A and S7) into the D614G (WT) spike background and generated VOC spike-containing VLPs. We then utilized cell-free VLP–EV fusion assays to identify requirements for protease-triggered membrane fusion. Relative to the “WT” VLPs, all five VOC VLPs were hypersensitive to trypsin protease-triggered fusion (Figures 6B–6G). Furthermore, VOC VLPs showed relatively sharp trypsin dose-response profiles (Figures 6C–6F), suggesting cooperativity in fusion-activating spike proteolysis ascribed to the NTD alterations. Of note, these VOC-specific NTD changes that are distant from proteolytic cleavage sites increase susceptibility to host cell proteases similarly to the more proximal 701P/703P changes (Figure 5D), consistent with a long-distance activation relay from NTD-to-FDs. The overall findings make a case for host proteases as driving forces for spike protein NTD adaptations, with the NTD changes contributing to the high transmissibility of VOC.

**DISCUSSION**

In visualizing coronavirus binding to susceptible host cells and resultant virus-cell membrane fusion and cell entry, one can look to virus particle “pre-fusion,” receptor-bound “intermediate” and “post-fusion” end-stage spike structures (Benton et al., 2020; Cai et al., 2020; Walls et al., 2020). Further dissecting the controlled transitions between these structural states is central to understanding coronavirus entry and its therapeutic inhibition (Jackson et al., 2022; Peng et al., 2021). In working toward this added perception, antibodies are frequently employed to capture and subsequently resolve structural intermediates (Chi et al., 2020; McCallum et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2022; Yang et al., 2021). Here, we used SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies to probe the conformational transitions leading to spike proteolytic cleavages and virus-cell membrane fusion. Using
antibodies specifically binding to distal NTDs of the spike proteins, we identified links between NTD ligation and a virus entry-activating proteolytic event taking place at distant “S2” locations within the FDs. The discovery of this NTD-to-S2’ signaling clarifies our vision of coronavirus-cell entry and provides insights on the nature of concerning SARS-CoV-2 variations.

The neutralization mechanisms were elucidated using a tractable in vitro virus-cell membrane fusion system that reflects authentic SARS-CoV-2 entry (Qing et al., 2021). Using this system, we demonstrated that NTD antibodies did not interfere with virus binding to hACE2 receptors, nor did they suppress an S1–S2 separation process that arises subsequent to hACE2 binding (Chi et al., 2020; McCallum et al., 2021; Suryadevara et al., 2021) (Figures 2B and 2C). Rather, the antibodies blocked S2’ cleavage. The in vitro fusion system revealed a striking concordance between S2’ cleavage and membrane fusion (Figure S8), making it abundantly clear that the essential requirement for virus fusion and cell entry is in liberating the S2’ transmembrane cleavage fragment. Corroborating these results, the NTD antibodies effectively neutralized authentic SARS-CoV-2 by suppressing S2’ proteolytic cleavages (Figures 1A, 3D, and 3E). Of note, these findings are consistent with a model in which receptor-bound spikes undergo activating S2’ cleavages while S1 remains attached to viruses, with a near end-stage S1 separation occurring regardless of whether the spikes execute productive membrane fusion. This is distinct from previous models depicting viruses engaging receptors and then undergoing complete separation from S1 fragments to reveal underlying S2 domains, which are then cleaved at S2’ to allow for spike refolding in concert with virus-cell membrane fusion (Jackson et al., 2022; Peng et al., 2021).

The revised entry model prompted us to identify structural elements communicating a suppressive signal from S1 NTDs to S2 FDs. One of three elements evaluated was a β sheet spanning two spike monomers (Figure 5D). Disrupting this β sheet with proline substitutions heightened virus sensitivity to NTD antibody neutralization and to protease-activated membrane fusion. This inter-domain β sheet element may be one of several hubs controlling responses to signals emanated by NTD- or RBD-binding ligands. These signal-transducing elements may be central to sarbecoviruses, as S2’ cleavage and membrane fusion by the related SARS-CoV spikes were also suppressed
by NTD ligation (Figure 4). Importantly, the NTDs of several coronaviruses are bona fide “RBDs,” with receptor ligation activating cell entry. Among these are the embecoviruses, which are activated for fusion following NTD binding to Ig-like CEACAM receptors (Godfraind et al., 1995; Hensley et al., 1998; Shang et al., 2020a). How CEACAM binding to embecovirus NTDs elicits fusion while IgG antibody binding to sarbecovirus NTDs suppresses fusion is currently unclear, yet it is notable the prototype embecovirus MHV lacks the suppressive sarbecovirus b sheet element (Figure S9). Conceivably the adaptive restructuring of signaling hubs allows NTDs to operate as primary RBDs. Several ligands unrelated to hACE2 are known to bind to SARS-CoV-2 NTDs (Baker et al., 2020; Lempp et al., 2021; Wei et al., 2020) and there are reports of hACE2-independent entry of SARS-CoV-2 (Puray-Chavez et al., 2021), raising the possibility that additional adaptations in the SARS-CoV-2 NTD-to-S2′ axis could generate variants that employ the NTDs as full-fledged RBDs that stimulate rather than suppress S2′ cleavage and fusion.

Adaptive evolution of SARS-CoV-2 is proceeding throughout the COVID19 pandemic, with VOC arising and replacing prior versions. Neutralizing antibodies are frequently proposed as selective agents in driving adaptive VOC changes in spike proteins (McCallum et al., 2021; Planas et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2021a), and VOC changes, particularly those in the NTDs, do indeed confer antibody escape (Cai et al., 2021; Graham et al., 2021; Planas et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2021a). However, given that most human hosts have been immunologically naive and unlikely to select for antibody-resistant viruses during acute infection periods, alternative selective forces may be central in driving spike variations. As interference with NTD dynamics suppressed viral fusion, we asked whether VOC NTD changes are adaptive in entry processes, and we found that NTD changes from a, b, γ, δ, and o VOC all increased virus sensitivity for proteolytic activation of membrane fusion (Figures 6B–6G). Therefore, we suggest that the NTD adaptations contribute, along with additional VOC changes in other spike domains, to promote SARS-CoV-2 transmissibility into host environments that have trypsin-like serine proteases, such as TMPRSS2, and/or endosomal proteases, such as cathepsin L. These environments include the nasal epithelia, with ciliated cells presenting TMPRSS2 apically into airway spaces (Ahn...
et al., 2021; Chu et al., 2021; Muus et al., 2021; Nakayama et al., 2021). Whether protease levels exert selective pressures greater or lesser than neutralizing antibodies is unknown, as the VOC changes analyzed here confer both antibody escape and proteolytic sensitivity. Yet selection at the level of proteolytic activation is strongly supported by recent analyses of the omicron variant, which exhibits distinctive preferences for cathepsin proteases over the prior VOC that are adapted to TMPRSS2 (Willett et al., 2022). It is important to note that the findings in this report do not explain differential VOC preferences for distinct activating proteases, nor do they indicate whether distinct NTD changes account for the continuing cycles of new VOC emergence and dominance. Undoubtedly many combinations of VOC changes outside of the NTD contribute in complex ways, particularly for the omicron variant that is set apart in its cell entry (Peacock et al., 2022). Adaptive changes beyond the spikes are also relevant, and it will require worldwide research efforts to obtain a complete atlas of the SARS-CoV-2 variations affecting virus pathogenesis, transmissibility, and neutralization.

Limitations of the study

Only two NTD-specific antibodies were evaluated in this study. Whether additional neutralizing antibodies operate by suppressing proteolytic activation of membrane fusion remains to be determined. An NTD-to-FD axis was recognized in this study, yet NTD variations may also control NTD-to-RBD communications. NTD variations alter spike protein functions in concert with changes in other domains and this complexity requires additional comparisons with viruses harboring RBD and FD changes. Finally, in this study, the effects of amino acid substitutions on NTD-to-FD communication were evaluated using cell-free fusion assays. The impact of these changes during natural virus-cell entry remains to be determined.
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## STAR★ METHODS

### KEY RESOURCES TABLE

| REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
|---------------------|--------|------------|
| **Antibodies**      |        |            |
| rabbit polyclonal anti-SARS-CoV-2-S1 | SinoBiological | Cat#40591-T62 |
| rabbit polyclonal anti-SARS-S2 | Dr. Carolyn Machamer | JH50520001 |
| mouse monoclonal anti-SARS-S2 | ThermoFisher | Cat#MA5-35946 |
| goat anti-human IgG | Santa Cruz Biotechnologies | Cat#sc-2453 |
| rabbit monoclonal anti-hACE2 | Invitrogen | Cat# MA5-32307 |
| 4A8 (Chi et al., 2020), and this paper | N/A |
| TRES328 (Dr. Hans-Martin Jäck) (Peter et al., 2021) | N/A |
| Goat Anti-Rabbit IgG - HRP | Perkin Elmer | Cat#NEF812001EA |

| **Bacterial and virus strains** |        |            |
| SARS-CoV (MAT15) | K. Subbarao | N/A |
| SARS-CoV-2 (D614G) | This paper | N/A |

| **Chemicals, peptides, and recombinant proteins** |        |            |
| LipoD293 | SignaGen | Cat#SL100668 |
| Amicon Ultra-15 100 NMWL/kDa | Millipore | Cat#UFC910024 |
| qEVoriginal / 35 nm | Izon | N/A |
| Nano-Glo HiBiT Extracellular Detection System | Promega | Cat#N2420 |
| TPCK Trypsin Treated from Bovine Pancreas | Sigma | Cat#T1426-50MG |
| HiTrap Protein A High Performance Columns | GE Healthcare | Cat#GE17-0402-01 |
| HRP Conjugation Kit - Lightening Link | Abcam | Cat#ab102890 |

**Experimental models: Cell lines**

| HEK293T | Dr. Edward Campbell | N/A |
| Vero-E6 | ATCC | Cat#CRL-1586 |

**Recombinant DNA**

| WT-SARS-CoV-2 BAC | Drs. Sonja Zuniga and Luis Enjuanes | N/A |
| pVITRO1-M80-F2-IgG1/κ | Andrew Beavil (Dodev et al., 2014) | Addgene plasmid # 50383 |
| pCEP4-hACE2-Fc | (Qing et al., 2021) | N/A |
| pCEP4-mCEACAM-Fc | (Gallagher, 1997) | N/A |
| pcDNA3.1-MCS | Invitrogen | Cat#V79020 |
| pcDNA3.1-SARS-CoV-S | (Qing et al., 2021) | GenBank: AY278741.1 |
| pcDNA3.1-SARS-CoV-S (SARS-2 NTD loops) | (Qing et al., 2021) | N/A |
| pcDNA3.1-SARS-CoV-2-S | (Kumar et al., 2021) | GenBank: NC_045512.2 |
| pcDNA3.1-SARS-CoV-2-E | (Kumar et al., 2021) | GenBank: NC_045512.2 |
| pcDNA3.1-SARS-CoV-2-M | (Kumar et al., 2021) | GenBank: NC_045512.2 |
| pcDNA3.1-SARS-CoV-2-N | (Kumar et al., 2021) | GenBank: NC_045512.2 |
| pcDNA3.1-SARS-CoV-2-HiBiT-N | (Kumar et al., 2021) | N/A |
| pcDNA3.1-SARS-CoV-2-S (D614G) | (Qing et al., 2021) | N/A |
| pcDNA3.1-SARS-CoV-2-S (D614G, SARS-1 NTD loops) | (Qing et al., 2021) | N/A |
| pcDNA3.1-SARS-CoV-2-S (ΔPRRA) | This paper | N/A |
| pcDNA3.1-SARS-CoV-2-S (D614G, ΔPRRA) | This paper | N/A |
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**Lead contact**
Requests for further information or resources and reagents should be directed to the lead contact, Tom Gallagher (tgallag@luc.edu).

**Materials availability**
All materials generated in this study will be made available on request by the Lead Contact.

**Data and code availability**
- All data reported in this paper will be shared by the lead contact upon request.
- This paper does not report original code.
- Any additional information required to reanalyze the data reported in this paper is available from the lead contact upon request.

**EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS**

**Cell lines**
HEK293T (obtained from Dr. Ed Campbell, Loyola University Chicago) and Vero-E6 (ATCC CRL-1586) cells were maintained in DMEM-10% FBS [Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Media (DMEM) containing 10 mM HEPES, 100 nM sodium pyruvate, 0.1 mM non-essential amino acids, 100 U/mL penicillin G, and 100 μg/mL streptomycin, and supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Atlanta Biologicals)]. All cell lines were cultured in a 5% CO₂ incubator at 37°C.

**Viruses**
SARS-CoV (MA15), a gift from K. Subbarao (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD), was propagated on Vero E6 cells. SARS-CoV-2 D614G was obtained by modifying WT-SARS-CoV-2 BAC (a gift from Drs. Sonja Zuniga and Luis Enjuanes, CNB-CSIC, Madrid, Spain) via reverse engineering (Fehr et al., 2015). SARS-CoV-2 D614G was resurrected by transfecting WT-SARS-CoV-2 (D614G) BAC into Vero E6 cells, and plaque purified and sequence verified subsequently.

**METHOD DETAILS**

**Plasmid construction**
Full-length SARS-CoV S (GenBank: AY278741.1) and SARS-CoV-2 S, E, M, and N (GenBank: NC_045512.2) genes were synthesized by Genscript, Inc. as human codon-optimized cDNAs, and inserted into pcDNA3.1 expression vectors. All S mutations were
HiBiT-N tagged VLPs were produced as described previously (Kumar et al., 2021; Qing et al., 2021). Briefly, equimolar amounts of Virus-like particles (VLPs) transfected into 10^7 HEK293T cells. To produce spikeless "No S" VLPs, the S expression plasmids were replaced with empty vector full-length CoV S, E (envelope), M (membrane) and HiBiT-N encoding plasmids (total 10 ug) were LipoD (SignaGen, cat: SL100668)-

Samples in SDS solubilizer [0.0625 M Tris- HCl (pH 6.8), 10% glycerol, 0.01% bromophenol blue, 2% (wt/vol) SDS, +/- 2% 2-mercaptoethanol] were heated at 95°C for 5 min, electrophoresed through 8% or 10% (wt/vol) polyacrylamide-SDS gels, transferred to nitrocellulose membranes (Bio-Rad), and incubated with rabbit polyclonal anti-SARS-CoV-2-S1 (SinoBiological, cat: 40591-T62), rabbit polyclonal anti-SARS-S2 (1JH50520001, obtained from Dr. Carolyn Machamer, Johns Hopkins University), mouse monoclonal anti-SARS-S2 (ThermoFisher, cat: MA5-35946, conjugated to HRP), goat anti-human IgG (sc-2453, Santa Cruz Biotechnologies), rabbit monoclonal anti-hACE2 (Invitrogen, cat: MA5-32307), or purified LgBiT-substrate cocktail (Promega). After incubation with appropriate HRP-tagged secondary antibodies and chemiluminescent substrate (Thermo Fisher), the blots were imaged and processed with a FlourChem E (Protein Simple).

Recombinant 4A8 was synthesized by using its published VH and VL sequences (Chi et al., 2020) to replace their counterparts in pVITRO1-M80-F2-lgG1/κ (a gift from Andrew Beavil, Addgene plasmid # 50383; http://n2t.net/addgene:50383; RRID:Addgene_50383, (Dodev et al., 2014)). TRES328 (Peter et al., 2021) was obtained from Dr. Hans-Martin Jäck, Friedrich-Alexander-Universität.

Plaque reduction assay
Circa 50 pfu of authentic SARS-CoV or SARS-CoV-2 (D614G) was incubated with titrated levels of 4A8 for 30 min at 37°C. The virus-antibody mixture was then inoculated onto Vero-E6 cells for 1 h at 37°C, and media were replaced with DMEM-2% FBS and incubated at 37°C. Plaques were counted at 48 hpi, and plaque reduction quantified relative to vehicle control.

Virus-like particles (VLPs)
HiBiT-N tagged VLPs were produced as described previously (Kumar et al., 2021; Qing et al., 2021). Briefly, equimolar amounts of full-length CoV S, E (envelope), M (membrane) and HiBiT-N encoding plasmids (total 10 ug) were LipoD (SignaGen, cat: SL100668)-transfected into 10^7 HEK293T cells. To produce spikeless “No S” VLPs, the S expression plasmids were replaced with empty vector plasmids. At 6 h post-transfection, cells were replenishe with fresh DMEM-10% FBS. HiBiT-N VLPs were collected in FBS-free DMEM from 24 to 48 h post-transfection. FBS-free DMEM containing HiBiT-N VLPs were clarified by centrifugation (300xg, 4°C, 10 min; 3000xg, 4°C, 10 min).

To obtain purified viral particles, clarified VLP-containing FBS-free DMEM was concentrated 100-fold by ultrafiltration (Amicon, 100 kDa) and then VLPs were purified using size-exclusion chromatography (SEC qEV original, Izon, Inc., usage following product instructions). VLPs were eluted from columns into 2x FBS-free DMEM. Peak VLP fractions were identified after detergent lysis of VLPs by adding LgBiT and measuring complemented Nluc in a luminometer. For downstream experiments, VLP inputs were normalized based on their Nluc activity upon LgBiT complementation. Peak fractions were stored at -80°C.

Cell-free fusion assay
hACE2-LgBiT EVs were obtained as described previously (Qing et al., 2021). Briefly, HEK293T target cells were LipoD-transfected with pcDNA3.1-hACE2-LgBiT. At 6 h post-transfection, transfection media were removed, rinsed, and replace with FBS-free DMEM. Media were collected at 48 h post-transfection, clarified (300xg, 4°C, 10 min; 3000xg, 4°C, 10 min), and concentrated 100-fold by ultrafiltration (Amicon, 100 kDa). Then vehicle, hACE2-Fc (400 nM), or TRES328 (Peter et al., 2021) was obtained from Dr. Hans-Martin Jäck, Friedrich-Alexander-Universität.

Cell-free fusion assays were performed as described previously (Qing et al., 2021). Briefly, at 4°C, equal volumes of HiBiT-N VLPs and hACE2-LgBiT EVs were mixed with nanoluc substrate (cat#N2420, Promega) and trypsin (Sigma; 10 ng/mL or as indicated) in 384-well multiwell plates. Sample plates were then loaded into a Glomax luminometer maintained at 37°C. Nluc accumulations were recorded over time. VLP-EV cell-free fusions were quantified as the fold increase of Nluc signal from S-bearing VLPs over spikeless VLP background control.

For antibody neutralization assays, VLPs were incubated with serial dilutions of either 4A8, mCEACAM-Fc, or TRES328 for 30 min at 37°C before adding hACE2-LgBiT EVs, substrate, and trypsin. For proteolytic activation assays, VLPs were incubated with hACE2-LgBiT EVs for 30 min at 37°C before adding substrate and serial dilutions of trypsin.

VLP S1-retention assay
SARS-CoV-2 S (D614G) VLPs were incubated with vehicle or 4A8 (400 nM) for 30 min at 37°C. Then vehicle, hACE2-Fc (400 nM), or mCEACAM-Fc (400 nM) were added and the mixtures were incubated for 24 h at 37°C. After incubation, the VLPs were density purified from the mixture through a 20% sucrose cushion (SW60, 35000 rpm, 2 h, 4°C). The resulted pellets were resuspended and each
split into two halves, one half was probed with anti-S1 antibody while the other half with anti-S2 antibody. S1-S2 ratios were calculated from the Western blot band intensities.

**S2’ cleavage assay**

VLPs bearing SARS-2-S (D614G) or SARS-1/2-S, or recombinant SARS-CoV-2 (D614G) were incubated with vehicle, 4A8 (500 nM), mCEACAM-Fc (500 nM), or TRES328 (500 nM) for 30 min at 37°C. Subsequently, hACE2-LgBiT EVs were added and incubated for 30 min at 37°C. Lastly, titrated levels of trypsin were added and incubated for 30 min at 37°C. A portion of the resulting mixture was mixed with NLuc substrate and fusion was measured by ways of RLU. SDS-solubilizer was added to the rest of the mixture for Western blot analysis.

**Fc constructs**

pCEP4-mCEACAM-Fc was constructed previously (Gallagher, 1997). pCEP4-hACE2-Fc was generated using the strategy described in (Qing et al., 2020). Briefly, the mCEACAM coding region was removed by NotI and MreI digestion, and replaced with hACE2 ectodomain (codons 1–740). The expression plasmids were LipoD-transfected into HEK293T cells, and transfected cells were incubated in FBS-free DMEM containing 2% (wt/vol) Cell Boost 5 (Hyclone). Conditioned media were collected on days 4 and 7, clarified free of debris (300xg, 4°C, 10 min; 4500xg, 4°C, 10 min), and Fc-tagged proteins then purified using HiTrap Protein A High Performance Columns (GE Healthcare) according to the manufacturer instructions. Purified proteins were dialyzed in PBS [pH 7.4], quantified spectrophotometrically and stored at -20°C until use.

**QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

All Western blot images are representative of three or four biological repeats (depending on the experiment, specified in the figure legends). The pixel density of the relevant bands were quantified by AlphaView (ProteinSimple). The raw pixel values (or ratios) were subsequently normalized to their corresponding vehicle control, whose values were set to one. Mean and SEM are shown based on data from biological repeats.

All antibody titration and trypsin titration graphs are one representative of three biological repeats. For these graphs, mean and SD are shown based on three technical replicates. To quantitatively compare the effects of spike mutations on antibody response or protease sensitivity, the antibody IC50 or trypsin EC50 values from each biological replicate were pooled, and subsequently normalized to their corresponding WT spike control, whose IC50 or EC50 values were set to one. Mean and SEM are shown based on data from biological repeats.

For instances where only one pair of conditions were compared, statistical analyses were done using one-sample t-tests. For instances where multiple pairs of conditions were compared, one-way analysis of variance followed by the appropriate post hoc tests were applied. Tests used for each dataset are specified in the figure legends. All graphs and statistical analyses were completed using Prism 8 (GraphPad). p-values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.