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Abstract

**Background:** Duck hepatitis A virus type 1 (DHAV-1) is one of the most serious pathogens endangering the duck industry. However, there are few studies on the regulation of the cell cycle by DHAV-1.

**Methods:** In this study, flow cytometry was applied to analyze the effect of DHAV-1 infection on the cell cycle of duck embryo fibroblasts (DEFs). Subsequently, we analyzed the effects of cell cycle phases on DHAV-1 replication by real-time reverse transcriptase quantitative PCR (real-time RT-qPCR).

**Results:** Flow cytometry data analysis found that DEFs in the S phase increased by 25.85% and 54.21% at 24h and 48h after DHAV-1 infection, respectively. The levels of viral RNA detected by real-time RT-qPCR were higher in the DEFs with synchronization in the S phase or G0/G1 phase than in the control group. However, there was no difference in viral copy number between the G2/M phase arrest and control groups. In addition, nonstructural protein 3D of DHAV-1 significantly increased cells in the S phase, indicating that 3D protein is one of the reasons for the cell cycle arrest in the S phase.

**Conclusions:** In summary, DHAV-1 infection induces the cell cycle of DEFs to be arrested in the S phase. Both S phase and G0/G1 phase synchronization facilitate the replication of DHAV-1, and 3D protein is one of the reasons for the S phase arrest.

1 Introduction

The cell replication cycle is divided into the G0 phase at rest, the intermitotic phase (G1 phase, S phase, and G2 phase), and the mitosis phase (M). The sequential replacement of each phase requires the participation of cyclin and cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK) in the cell. Cyclin E1 and CDK2 form a complex to regulate cell cycle transition from G1 to S phase (1). CDK2 and Cyclin A regulate the progression from S to G2 phase (2). CDK1 and Cyclin B are the main regulatory proteins in the M phase (3). Regulating the host cell cycle is a common strategy exploited by the virus. Although different viruses block the cell cycle at different phases, the purpose of the virus to block the cell cycle is to create a favorable environment for its replication.

Duck hepatitis A virus type 1 (DHAV-1) belongs to the Avihepatovirus genus of the Picomaviridae family and is one of the most serious pathogens that harm 1-4 cycle ducklings. After ducklings are infected with DHAV-1, the main pathological changes are in the liver, and the extremely scattered infection also occurs in the kidneys (4, 5). Like other positive-sense single-stranded RNA viruses, its genome consists of a 5’ untranslated region (5’ UTR), an open reading frame (ORF), and a 3’ untranslated region (3’ UTR) (6). The ORF is first translated into precursor polyprotein, which will be cleaved into structural protein and nonstructural protein by viral protease 3C or 3CD. These viral proteins play an important role in viral life activities (7–13). After the virus infects cells, cell apoptosis is often accompanied by alternating cell cycle progression (14–16). DHAV-1 can induce apoptosis in cells and tissues (4, 9, 17). However, the regulation of DHAV-1 on the cell cycle has not been reported yet.
In this study, we explored the effect of DHAV-1 infection on the DEFs cell cycle. Our results showed that DHAV-1 infection caused the DEFs cell cycle to be arrested in the S phase and synchronization in the S phase was beneficial to the replication of DHAV-1. Interestingly, G0/G1 phase arrest is also beneficial to DHAV-1 replication. In addition, we also proved that the nonstructural protein 3D of DHAV-1 can cause cell cycle arrest in the S phase.

2 Materials And Methods

2.1 Cells and viruses

The DHAV-1 H strain (GenBank: JQ301467.1) was provided by the Institute of Preventive Veterinary Medicine at Sichuan Agricultural University. The primary duck embryo fibroblasts (DEFs) were described previously (18). DEFs were grown in a minimum essential medium (MEM) containing 10% newborn calf serum (Gibco) and incubated at 37°C with 5% CO₂ in an incubator. Then, DEFs were infected with DHAV-1 for 2 h, and the unbound virus was removed by washing with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) twice before the cells were overlaid with MEM containing 2% newborn calf serum.

2.2 Expression plasmids, antibodies, and reagents

The plasmid pCAGGS-3D-HA was constructed in a previous study (19). Mouse anti-HA was purchased from MBL, mouse anti-β-actin antibody was purchased from TransGen Biotech, and HRP-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG was purchased from Beyotime. Thymidine, Nocodazole, and Dimethyl sulfoxide were purchased from Sigma, and transfection reagent was obtained from TransGen Biotech.

2.3 Cell cycle analysis by flow cytometry

After virus infection, drug treatment, or transfection, DEFs were digested with 0.25% trypsin (Gibco) and resuspended with pre-cooled PBS. Then, DEFs were centrifuged and added 75% cold ethanol to fix overnight. Before adding 500 µl PI/RNase Staining Buffer (BD Biosciences) for 15 min, DEFs were washed with pre-cooled PBS to remove ethanol. Finally, DEFs were filtered into a new centrifuge tube and analyzed by flow cytometry.

2.4 Viral RNA Load in DEFs

Total RNA was isolated using RNAiso Plus Reagent (TaKaRa) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The number of viral copies in total RNA was measured using methods previously established in our laboratory (20).

2.5 Cell cycle synchronization

DEFs were treated with 1.0 mM Thymidine, serum-free medium, or 25 ng/ml Nocodazole for 24 h, and the cell cycle distribution was detected by flow cytometry.

2.6 Western blot analysis
DEFs were transfection with a plasmid expressing the 3D protein. Cells were lysed in 200 µl cell lysis buffer (Beyotime) containing 1% PMSF. The cell lysate was centrifuged, and the supernatant was collected. Samples were fractionated by SDS-PAGE electrophoresis and then transferred to PVDF membrane, blocked with 5% non-fat dry milk at room temperature for 5-6 h. The membranes were incubated overnight at 4°C with primary antibodies diluted in blocking buffer. The membranes were washed three times with TBS-Tween and incubated for 1 h at 37°C with the respective secondary antibodies diluted in blocking buffer. The membranes were then washed three times with TBS-Tween, and bound proteins were detected using an ECL chromogenic kit (Beyotime).

3 Results

3.1 DHAV-1 mediates cell cycle arrest in the S phase.

The cell cycle of DEFs was analyzed by flow cytometry at 24 or 48 h post-infection (hpi) to detect whether DHAV-1 regulates the cell cycle of DEFs (Fig. 1A). Through ModFit analysis (Fig. 1B), at 24 hpi, DEFs in the S phase increased from 23.25±1.21% to 29.26±1.07% (increased by 25.85%, \( P<0.001 \)); 48 hpi, DEFs in the S phase increased from 17.34±0.9% to 26.74±2.02% (increased by 54.21%, \( P<0.001 \)). These data indicate that DHAV-1 infection causes the DEFs cell cycle arrest in the S phase.

3.2 S phase arrest promotes the replication of DHAV-1.

Since DHAV-1 infection causes the DEFs cell cycle arrest in the S phase, we wonder whether the S phase is beneficial to virus replication. The DEFs were treated with 1.0 mM Thymidine for 24 h to make more DEFs synchronization in the S phase, and the cell cycle distribution was detected by flow cytometry. As shown in Fig. 2A and 2B, compared with the control group, Thymidine treatment increased DEFs in the S phase from 8.24±0.57% to 10.50±0.295% (increased by 27.49%, \( P<0.01 \)). After treating DEFs with Thymidine for 24 h, the cells were infected with 0.1 MOI DHAV-1, and viral copy numbers were detected at 2 and 24 hpi. As shown in Fig. 2C, there was no difference in viral copy number after 2 h of infection, while the viral copy number of the Thymidine-treated group was higher than that of the control group 24 hpi. These results indicate that S phase arrest will not affect the entry of DHAV-1 into cells but will promote the replication of DHAV-1.

3.3 G0/G1 phase arrest also promotes the replication of DHAV-1.

The DEFs were treated with a serum-free medium for 24 h to make more DEFs synchronization in the G0/G1 phase, and the cell cycle distribution was detected by flow cytometry. As shown in Fig. 3A and 3B, compared with the control group, the treatment of serum-free medium increased DEFs in the G0/G1 phase from 78.64±0.585% to 85.26±0.64% (increased by 8.41%, \( P<0.0001 \)). After treating DEF cells with a serum-free culture medium for 24 h, the cells were infected with 0.1 MOI DHAV-1, and viral copy numbers were detected at 2 and 24 hpi. As shown in Fig. 3C, there was no difference in viral copy number
after 2 h of infection, while the viral copy number of the serum-free medium treatment group was significantly higher than that of the control group 24 hpi. These results indicate that G0/G1 phase arrest will not affect the entry of DHAV-1 into cells but will promote the replication of DHAV-1.

3.4 G2/M phase arrest does not affect the replication of DHAV-1.

DEFs were treated with 25 ng/ml Nocodazole for 24 h to make more DEFs synchronization in the G2/M phase, and the cell cycle distribution was measured by flow cytometry. As shown in Fig. 4A and 4B, compared with the control group, Nocodazole treatment increased DEFs in the G2/M phase from 10.52±0.55% to 26.465±0.855% (increased by 151.57%, P<0.0001). After treating DEFs with Nocodazole for 24 h, the cells were infected with 0.1 MOI DHAV-1, and viral copy numbers were detected at 2 and 24 hpi. As shown in Fig. 4C, there was no difference in viral copy number between the Nocodazole treatment group and the control group after 2 and 24 h infection. These results indicate that G2/M phase arrest does not affect the entry of DHAV-1 into cells, nor does it affect the replication of DHAV-1.

3.5 3D protein causes the DEFs cell cycle arrest in the S phase.

In other picornaviruses, cell cycle changes are related to the 3D protein of the virus (21, 22). However, it is still unknown whether the nonstructural protein 3D of DHAV-1 also has such a function. DEFs were transfected with a plasmid expressing the 3D protein and set pCAGGS as a control simultaneously (Fig. 5C). After 36 h of transfection, the cell cycle was detected by flow cytometry (Fig. 5A). Through ModFit analysis (Fig. 5B), compared to the control group, 3D protein increased DEFs in the S phase from 20.86±0.92% to 46.5±0.75% (increased by 122.91%, P<0.0001). These results indicate that the viral nonstructural proteins 3D causes the DEFs cell cycle arrest in the S phase.

4 Discussion

Regulating the cell cycle to create a favorable environment for virus replication is one of the strategies commonly used by most viruses. In DNA viruses, there may be multiple cell cycle changes. Bocavirus minute virus of canines (MVC) arrests the S phase of cells in the early infection and arrests the G2/M phase of the cells in the late infection (14, 23). Human parvovirus B19 (B19V) can block the cell cycle in the G2/M phase (24, 25). However, Luo et al. found that B19V blocks the cell cycle in the S phase through infectious cloning and the 5-Bromo-2’-deoxyuridine (BrdU) method (26). In addition, the nonstructural protein NS1 of B19V can also block the G1 and G2/M phases of cells (27, 28), suggesting that the process of DNA virus regulating the cell cycle is more complicated. In RNA viruses, there have been extensive reports on the research of Zika virus (ZIKV), Coxsackievirus A6 (CVA6), Coxsackievirus A16 (CVA16), and Enterovirus 71 (EV71) on cell cycle regulation (21, 22, 29). However, DHAV-1, as an important pathogen that harms the duck industry, has not been thoroughly studied in the cell cycle.
regulation. This study showed that DHAV-1 induces DEFs cell cycle arrest in the S phase (Fig. 1). The S phase is the DNA synthesis phase in the cell cycle and requires the participation of various enzymes in the cell. DHAV-1 blocks DEFs in this phase and creates an environment for its replication. In addition, this study also indicates that 3D protein is the cause of cell cycle arrest in the S phase (Fig. 5), which is consistent with the results of other picornaviruses, and may be related to the 3D protein uridylation (21, 22).

Previous reports have demonstrated that DHAV-1 can induce increased phosphorylation of eIF2α (19). eIF2α phosphorylation plays an important role in viral infection, related to the G0/G1 phase (30). Newcastle disease virus (NDV) and Muscovy duck reovirus (MDRV) can arrest the G0/G1 phase of cells through the PERK-eIF2α pathway (16, 31). However, our results show that DHAV-1 does not block the DEFs cell cycle in the G0/G1 phase. This situation has similar reports in EV71 (22, 32).

Since DHAV-1 caused cells to accumulate in the S phase, the cells in the G0/G1 phase in the infection group were significantly lower than the control group, while the cells in the G2/M phase were slightly higher than the control group (Fig. 1B). Similarly, the 3D protein causes cells to accumulate in the S phase, but the cells in the G2/M phase are slightly lower than the control group (Fig. 5B), suggesting that viral infection is more complicated than the expression of a single protein and further research is needed to clarify this issue. The situation is similar to that of the Duck Tembusu virus (DTMUV), a single-stranded positive-stranded RNA virus (33).

In picornaviruses, different viruses manipulate the cell cycle differently. CVA6 inhibits cells from G0/G1 phase from entering the S phase (21), while EV71 and CVA16 prevent the cell cycle from transitioning from the S phase to the G2/M phase (22). These results indicate that G0/G1 phase or S phase arrest is a common strategy used by picornaviruses. In this study, both the S phase and G0/G1 phase are beneficial to DHAV-1 replication. This result is inconsistent with other picornaviruses that specifically block a certain cell cycle stage, implying that after DHAV-1 infection, although the number of cells in the S phase increased by 54.21%, most of the DEFs were still in the G0/G1 phase. This part of the cells in the G0/G1 phase may also be indispensable for DHAV-1 replication because the virus replicates more significantly in the cells synchronized with the G0/G1 phase than the cells synchronized with the S phase (Fig. 2C and 3C). In addition, viral infection and viral protein overexpression may have different results on the cell cycle. For example, ZIKV infection leads to cell cycle arrest in the S phase (29), while overexpression of its E protein induces G2/M phase arrest (15). Although the results of this study indicate that both the expression of 3D protein and DHAV-1 infection affect the progress of the S phase, whether other viral proteins affect the G0/G1 phase needs further proof.

5 Conclusion

The current study innovatively found the DHAV-1 infection caused the DEFs cell cycle arrest in the S phase. Furthermore, the synchronization of the S phase and the G0/G1 phase is conducive to the
replication of DHAV-1, and 3D protein is one of the reasons for cell cycle arrest in the S phase. These results provide basic data for further research on the pathogenic mechanism of DHAV-1.

6. Abbreviations

DHAV-1: Duck hepatitis A virus type 1; DEFs: Duck Embryo Fibroblasts; real-time RT-qPCR: real-time reverse transcriptase quantitative polymerase chain reaction; CDK: Cyclin-dependent kinase; 5' UTR: 5' untranslation region; ORF: Open reading frame; 3' UTR: 3' untranslation region; MEM: Minimum essential medium; PBS: Phosphate-buffered saline; SDS-PAGE: Sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis; MOI: Multiplicity of infection; B19V: Human parvovirus B19; MVC: Bocavirus minute virus of canines; ZIKV: Zika virus; CVA6: Coxsackievirus A6; CVA16: Coxsackievirus A16; EV71: Enterovirus 71; NDV: Newcastle disease virus; MDRV: Muscovy duck reovirus; DTMUV: Duck Tembusu virus.
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**Figures**
Figure 1

DHAV-1 mediates cell cycle arrest in the S phase. (A) At 24h or 48hpi, DEFs infected with mock or DHAV-1 at 1 MOI were collected to analyze cell-cycle profiles by flow cytometry. (B) The histograms were analyzed by the ModFit LT program to display the cell cycle distribution. Differences between the 2 groups were analyzed using Student's t-test and were considered significant: *P<0.05; ***P<0.001.
Figure 2

S phase arrest promotes the replication of DHAV-1. (A) After 24h of Thymine treatment of DEFs, the cell cycle profile was analyzed by flow cytometry. (B) The histograms were analyzed by the ModFit LT program to display the cell cycle distribution. (C) The viral copies were detected at 2 and 24 hpi in the S phase-synchronized or non-synchronized cells. Differences between 2 groups were analyzed using Student’s t-test and considered significant: *P<0.05; **P<0.01.
Figure 3

G0/G1 phase arrest also promotes the replication of DHAV-1. (A) DEFs were cultured in a serum-free medium for 24 h, and the cell cycle profile was analyzed by flow cytometry. (B) The histograms were analyzed by the ModFit LT program to display the cell cycle distribution. (C) The viral copies were detected at 2 and 24 hpi in G0/G1 phase-synchronized or non-synchronized cells. Differences between 2 groups were analyzed using Student's t-test and were considered significant: ****P<0.0001.
Figure 4

G2/M phase arrest does not affect the replication of DHAV-1. (A) After 24 h of Nocodazole treatment of DEFs, the cell cycle profile was analyzed by flow cytometry. (B) The histograms were analyzed by the ModFit LT program to display the cell cycle distribution. (C) The viral copies were detected at 2 and 24 hpi in G2/M phase-synchronized or non-synchronized cells. Differences between 2 groups were analyzed using Student’s t-test and were considered significant: ***P<0.001; **** P <0.0001.
Figure 5

3D protein causes the DEFs cell cycle arrest in the S phase. (A) After 36 h of transfection, DEFs were collected for analyzing cell-cycle profiles by flow cytometry. (B) The histograms were analyzed by the ModFit LT program to display the cell cycle distribution. (C) The expression of the 3D protein in DEFs. Differences between 2 groups were analyzed using Student's t-test and were considered significant: *P<0.05; ****P<0.0001.