Case report

Technical difficulties during electronic cardiac device implantation in patients with persistent left superior vena cava
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ABSTRACT

We report a case of persistent left superior vena cava (PLSVC), discovered by chance during the cardiac electronic device placement procedure. PLSVCs are congenital anomalies of the thoracic vasculature, during which remnants of the left superior vena cava drain into the right atrium through the coronary sinus. PLSVCs can vary in their location and overall anatomy. In patients with PLSVC, implantation of a cardiac electronic device is associated with an increased risk of technical difficulties the entire procedure.

1. Introduction

A typical route used for cardiac electronic device placement is an approach through the left subclavian vein. Here we seek to describe the difficulties associated with this approach in a patient with a PLSVC.

PLSVCs are congenital thoracic venous anomalies present in approximately 0.3–0.5% of overall population [1]. When the anomaly exist s veins drain into the right atrium through a connection with the coronary sinus. This is usually asymptomatic and are often incidental found during various diagnostic or therapeutic procedures. Vena subclavia approach during cardiac electronic device implantation is a good example of the latter. One of the first reports of such an incidental finding is a case documented back in 1971, describing the implantation of a single chamber pacemaker in a patient with PLSVC [2].

2. Case presentation

Informed consent of the patient was obtained for the publication of this article. This is a case of a 65-year-old female who presented to the emergency department with complaints of general weakness, dizziness and presyncope. An urgent 12-lead ECG showed a complete AV block. After the exclusion of possible reversible causes of AV block, dual-chamber pacemaker placement was chosen as the main modality of treatment. During the procedure, approaching through the left subclavian vein, the guidewire took an unusual left- and downward course, at the level of the coronary sinus, leading to the incidental finding of PLSVC. Taking advantage of this finding, the right atrial and ventricular lead placement was attempted through an approach going through the remnant left superior vena cava and coronary sinus. The pacemaker implantation was an overall success with lead placement, using manually shaped stylets in the high right atrium and mid-septum region of the right ventricle (Figures 1 and 2).

The procedure itself, however, was complicated due to ventricular lead dislodgement during the placement, requiring repeat fixation twice. We received satisfactory results of pacemaker electrical parameters, which was sustained after 1 year later patient follow-up (Table 1).

3. Discussion

A PLSVC is a congenital anomaly and represents the persistence of the left anterior cardinal vein, which continues into the left sinus horn (left duct of Cuvier) during the early developmental period. PLSVCs are congenital anomalies of the thoracic vasculature, during which remnants of the left superior vena cava drain into the right atrium through the coronary sinus. PLSVCs can vary in their location and overall anatomy. In patients with PLSVC, implantation of a cardiac electronic device is associated with an increased risk of technical difficulties the entire procedure.
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The risks associated with electronic cardiac device placement in patients with PLSVC does not only entail technical difficulties with lead fixation. Moreover, the risks of lead misplacement, vessel injury, triggering cardiac ischemia or arrhythmia, cardiogenic shock, or cardiac arrest are all increased risk [8]. In some cases, cardiac lead placement might be impossible or may require the use of different shapes of stylets. While the placement of single- or dual-chamber devices in patients with PLSVC is difficult enough, implantation of CRT-P/D devices is even further complicated by the challenge of positioning the third lead into the left posterolateral vein of the heart through the PLSVC. Before the implantation of electronic cardiac devices, a left venogram can be employed to establish the diagnosis of PLSVC. In patients with PLSVC, implantation through the right SVC is preferable from the viewpoint of procedural complexity, unless the patients developed conditions favoring a left-sided approach in the presence of right SVC, such as venous occlusion or infection of previously implanted devices. A routine left venogram might define the procedural approach and avoid possible difficulties during implantation.

Parallel to the rising number of cardiac electronic device implantations, rising transvenous lead extraction procedures. Noteworthy are the challenges we face during lead extraction in patients with PLSVC. During this type of procedure, there are technical challenges, but in experienced centers lead extraction can be performed with favorable outcomes.

4. Conclusion

High suspicion for the presence of a PLSVC should be present in cases where TTE clearly shows dilation of the ostium of the coronary sinus, which should lead to diagnostic testing with either CT or MRV. Patients with known PLSVC should be made aware of the increased difficulties and potential risks of cardiac electronic device implantation, though these do not necessarily constitute an absolute contraindication to the procedure itself. In the presence of the indication, implantation of electronic cardiac devices in patients with PLSVC are beneficial for general health and improved quality of life.
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