ASSESSMENT OF ANTIOXIDANT PROPERTIES OF DIFFERENT FOMES FOMENTARIUS EXTRACTS
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Abstract

Ten extracts of the wild, inedible mushroom Fomes fomentarius obtained with different solvents: water, 80% ethanol, absolute ethanol, acetone, methanol, petroleum ether, cyclohexane, hexane, toluene and dichlormethane, were evaluated for their free radical scavenging capacity by DPPH (2,2-diphenyl-1-picryl-hydrazyl-hydrate) assay. Methanol and ethanol extracts showed the highest antioxidant activity (AOA) independent of the concentration of DPPH ethanolic solution which was used, while aqueous and petroleum ether extracts have provided increased AOA only when the DPPH ethanolic solution concentration was decreased. Thus, the present results show that this wild inedible mushroom has good potential as a source of natural antioxidants to be used in food industry, medicine and dermatocosmetics.

Rezumat

Zece extracte de ciuperci sălbatice, necomestibile Fomes fomentarius, în solvenți cu diferite polarități: apă, etanol 80%, etanol absolut, acetonă, metanol, eter de petrol, ciclohexan, hexan, toluen și diclormetan, au fost evaluat pentru capacitatea lor de eliminare a radicalilor liberi prin metoda DPPH (2, 2-difenil-1-picril-hidrazil-hidrat). Extractele obținute cu metanol și etanol au arătat cea mai mare activitate antioxidantă (AOA) independent de concentratia solutiei etanolic de DPPH utilizate, în timp ce extractele apoase și cele obținute cu eter de petrol au furnizat activități antioxidante crescute doar atunci când concentrația de soluție etanolică de DPPH a fost redusă. Astfel, rezultatele prezente au arătat că această ciupercă sălbatică necomestibilă are un potențial bun ca sursă de antioxidanți naturali pentru a fi utilizată în industria alimentară, în medicină și în domeniul dermatoestheticelor.
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Introduction

Oxidative stress represents a lack of balance between the production of reactive species of oxygen (ROS) and nitrogen (RNS) and the antioxidant defence mechanisms of the body. ROS production can be in vivo due to normal biological processes (cellular metabolism) while in the external environment the principal sources of ROS are smoking, xenobiotics, ionizing and ultraviolet radiation, ultrasonics, different pollutants and allergens [36]. The overproduction of ROS and RNS has been linked to several pathologies such as diabetes, obesity, AIDS, rheumatoid arthritis, chronic glomerulonephritis, ulcerative colitis, hepatitis, adult respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), neurodegenerative diseases (Alzheimer, Parkinson), multiple sclerosis, muscular dystrophy, cataract, male infertility, certain types of cancer and cardiovascular diseases (atherosclerosis and myocardial infarction) [36]. The aerobic cells have developed antioxidant defence mechanisms to suppress the damaging effects of ROS. Antioxidants can be divided according to their nature and action into enzymatic antioxidants (superoxide dismutase, catalase, glutathione peroxidase, glutathione reductase, heme oxygenase) and non-enzymatic antioxidants, originating from nutrition (carotenoids, α-tocopherol, ascorbic acid, coenzyme Q10, catechins, proanthocyanidins, quercetin, hesperidin, curcuminoids, ellagic acid, lycopene, lutein and zeaxanthin, α-lipoic acid, selenium, zinc, copper, manganese, etc.) or various metabolic processes (glutathione, ceruloplasmin, albumin,
Thus, we tested the antioxidant activity (AOA) of different extraction covers only a limited number of solvents used for concerning antioxidant properties of source of bioactive compounds. Most literature data however, considering its traditional use and what research of its chemical and biological activities. Fomes fomentarius develops as a parasite on beech and other foliated fungus is a large, inedible, white, woody fungus, which One of the polypore family, Fomes fomentarius (tinder fungus) is a large, inedible, white, woody fungus, which develops as a parasite on beech and other foliated species. It was used to relieve pain, treat rheumatism, dysmenorrhea, haemorrhoids, bladder disorders, oesophageal, gastric and uterine cancers [9]. Some studies have shown that Fomes fomentarius contains substances that exhibit strong antiviral activity against the human immunodeficiency virus HIV-1, and anti-microbial properties against Candida albicans and Helicobacter pylori [37] and of several other pathogenic bacteria [1, 16, 34]. In addition, compounds with potential antitumour, immunomodulatory and anti-inflammatory activity were also identified in Fomes fomentarius [9, 37, 39]. Unlike other species, Fomes fomentarius did not constitute the subject of detailed research of its chemical and biological activities. However, considering its traditional use and what previous studies exist, it could represent a valuable source of bioactive compounds. Most literature data concerning antioxidant properties of Fomes fomentarius covers only a limited number of solvents used for extraction (methanol, ethanol and water). Thus, we tested the antioxidant activity (AOA) of different Fomes fomentarius extracts obtained by using ten different solvents in order to find the optimal ones that could provide the highest AOA. To our knowledge, the present study is the first one which reports on the use of such a large scale of solvent polarities in order to obtain extracts of this wild inedible mushroom and to evaluate its AOA.

Materials and Methods

Chemicals

All reagents used to obtain Fomes fomentarius extracts were of analytical grade and were purchased from various suppliers: petroleum ether, dichloromethane and acetone from S.C. ChimReactiv SRL, Romania (Batch No: 649-328-00-1, 602-004-00-3, 606-001-00-8), hexane, cyclohexane, toluene and methanol from Merck KGaA, Germany (Batch No: 601-037-00-0, 601-017-00-1, 205-625-8, 603-001-00-X) and ethanol absolute from Chimapor S.A. (Batch No 200-578-6), 80% ethanol, and ultrapure water.

To evaluate the antioxidant activity (AOA) the following reagents were used: 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) (Batch No: # STBF5255V) acquired from Sigma-Aldrich, Germany, 96% ethanol, purchased from S.C. Chemical Company SA, Romania and ascorbic acid, acquired from Lach-Ner Company, Czech Republic.

Fungal material

Samples of wild-growing species of Fomes fomentarius were collected from Vâlcea forest (Romania) in October 2015 and were deposited in the research section of the Biochemistry Department of the “Victor Babes” University of Medicine and Pharmacy of Timișoara, Romania. The Fomes fomentarius species was identified according to the Moser criteria [23].

Obtaining Fomes fomentarius extracts

A few samples of the collected Fomes fomentarius mushroom were washed, cut into small pieces, ground down manually and stored for extraction at -28°C. Aliquots of approximately 15 grams of fresh mushroom were further used for each extraction procedure using 150 mL of ten different solvents (Table I) in Erlenmeyer flasks and left on a magnetic stirrer at room temperature for 24 hours at 25°C. After 24 hours the extracts were filtered and evaporated at 40°C to dryness using a Buchi rotavapor. Extraction yields were calculated for each sample. The aqueous extract contained the highest mass of dry material (0.435 g) followed by the ethanol 80% extract (0.347 g), while the petroleum ether extract contained the lowest mass of dry material (0.060 g). The mushroom dry extracts were kept at -25°C until further use. For preparing stock solutions (5 mg/mL) crude extracts were re-dissolved in the corresponding solvent and used for in vitro measurement of the AOA with the solvents presented in Table I.
Solvents used for obtaining the Fomes fomentarius extracts

| No. | Solvent type     | Solvent annotation |
|-----|------------------|--------------------|
| 1   | Ultrapure water  | S1                 |
| 2   | Ethanol 80%      | S2                 |
| 3   | Ethanol absolute | S3                 |
| 4   | Acetone          | S4                 |
| 5   | Methanol         | S5                 |
| 6   | Petroleum ether  | S6                 |
| 7   | Cyclohexane      | S7                 |
| 8   | Hexane           | S8                 |
| 9   | Toluene          | S9                 |
| 10  | Dichlormethane   | S10                |

**Table I**

**Determination of in vitro AOA**

AOA was evaluated by using DPPH (2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl) assay. DPPH is a free-radical used to evaluate the antioxidant potential of different extracts. For this purpose, two ethanolic solutions of DPPH 1 mM and 0.1 mM were prepared, by weighing 19.7 mg (for 1 mM solution) and 1.97 mg (for 0.1 mM solution) of DPPH respectively and dissolving them in 50 mL 96% ethanol. The two ethanolic solutions were kept at 4°C in darkness until use.

Starting from the original method of Ramadan *et al.* [31], Moacă *et al.* [24] optimized the AOA technique, by measuring 0.5 mL of each extract, mixing it with 2 mL of solvent (the same solvent used for the extraction procedure for each sample extract) and with 0.5 mL ethanol solution of DPPH 1 mM and 0.1 mM respectively. The absorbances of the extracts were measured for 10 minutes continuously, every 5 seconds, using a UV-Line 9400 Spectrophotometer (from SI Analytics) at 516 nm. In parallel, an ethanolic solution of ascorbic acid 2 mM was prepared, which was used as positive control and analysed under the same conditions.

The percentage of antioxidant activity (% AOA) of each extract was calculated using the formula described below:

\[
AOA [\%] = 100 - \left( \frac{A_{\text{extract}}}{A_{\text{DPPH}}} \right) \cdot 100
\]

where: AOA – the antioxidant activity percent; \( A_{\text{extract}} \) – the absorbance of the mushroom extract, measured at 516 nm; \( A_{\text{DPPH}} \) – the absorbance of the DPPD free radical, without extract, measured at 516 nm.

Moreover, the UV-VIS spectra of all the ten mushroom extracts were obtained and the maximum absorbances were compared.

**Results and Discussion**

Figure 1 depicts the antioxidant activities (AOAs) of the ten mushroom extracts (S1-S10) compared to the AOA of the ascorbic acid ethanolic solution which was used as control, when an ethanolic DPPH solution of 1 mM was used. In order to evaluate AOAs of these extracts, a stock solution of 5 mg/mL was prepared from each of them. The positive control (ethanolic solution of ascorbic acid) was also evaluated for 10 minutes with DPPH 1 mM ethanolic solution. By recording the absorbances continuously, it was possible to make a precise determination of the time and the speed at which the DPPH free radical is consumed by the antioxidants present in the mushroom extracts.

As can be seen in Figure 1 all the Fomes fomentarius extracts present antioxidant activity compared with the antioxidant activity of the ascorbic acid ethanolic solution. The highest AOA was obtained in the case of samples: S5 (MeOH extract), S3 (EtOH absolute extract) and S2 (80% EtOH extract). For other extracts,
the antioxidant activity percentage was in the range of 10 - 40%. Moreover, these extracts displayed fluctuations regarding AOA, namely they reacted faster with DPPH in the first 100 seconds. This phenomenon probably occurs due to the relatively low amounts of antioxidant compounds present in the samples. The kinetics of the reaction are pretty much the same for all extracts, which means that there are no differences regarding the percentage of inhibition of the sample, irrespective of the solvent used at extraction. In almost all extracts, after 350 seconds the free radical is totally consumed by the antioxidants contained in each sample and after this time the kinetics of the reaction reached equilibrium.

In the case of the mushroom extracts: S7 (cyclohexane extract), S9 (toluene extract) and S10 (dichloromethane extract), the equilibrium had not been reached even after 20 minutes (data not shown). Regarding samples S1 (H₂O extract) and S6 (petroleum ether extract), after the DPPD radical was consumed, it was observed that the absorbances of the samples increased, leading to a decrease of the percentage of antioxidant activity, as compared to other samples when other solvents were used. As shown in literature, this could occur due to the fact that not many compounds are extracted in H₂O, and it seem that in petroleum ether as well.

Table II displays the inhibition percentage, relative to the concentration of 5 mg/mL of all the ten mushroom extracts and the inhibition percent of ascorbic acid ethanolic solution, respectively, determined with DPPH ethanolic solution 1 mM. The inhibition percentage was calculated with the equation presented above.

Table II

Composition and codification of metronidazole gel formulations

| Mushroom extracts | Concentration [mg/mL] | % inhibition |
|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------|
| S1 (H₂O extract)  | 15.85 ± 0.024         |             |
| S2 (EtOH 80% extract) | 59.65 ± 0.009         |             |
| S3 (EtOH absolute extract) | 78.93 ± 0.006         |             |
| S4 (acetone extract) | 22.05 ± 0.038         |             |
| S5 (MeOH extract) | 87.47 ± 0.004         |             |
| S6 (petroleum ether extract) | 35.89 ± 0.067         |             |
| S7 (cyclohexane extract) | 44.02 ± 0.079         |             |
| S8 (hexane extract) | 39.49 ± 0.009         |             |
| S9 (toluene extract) | 44.57 ± 0.051         |             |
| S10 (dichloromethane extract) | 37.73 ± 0.024        |             |

2 Ascorbic acid 0.4 mg/mL 97.57 ± 0.017

The results are expressed as average ± SD (n = 3)

Figure 2 present the time-dependent AOAs of the same mushroom extracts, but using a ten-fold lower concentration of the free radical (DPPH - 0.1 mM). All the mushroom extracts show AOA in this case as well, which was compared with ascorbic acid AOA as the positive control, due to having the highest AOA value (95%).

The time-dependent AOAs of ten mushroom extracts, using 0.1 mM DPPH ethanolic solution

When the 0.1 mM DPPH free radical was used, the highest antioxidant activity percentage were obtained in the case of sample S3 (absolute EtOH extract), S6 (petroleum ether extract) and S5 (MeOH extract). When methanol was used to extract active compounds from *Fomes fomentarius*, this extract (S5) reacted slowly with DPPH throughout the recording time of the analysis, equilibrium being reached after 1000 s (~ 17 minutes, data not shown). The following samples showed high AOA: S4 (acetone extract); S2 (80% EtOH extract) and S1 (H₂O extract). The high percentage of AOAs in the case of H₂O, acetone and petroleum ether extracts was in the highest likelihood due to the low content of free radical (0.1 mM) used to scavenge the substrate.

In the case of the other extracts, the free radical was consumed in the first 250 seconds, and afterwards the kinetics of the reaction reached equilibrium.

In the case of sample S10 (dichloromethane extract), a fluctuation of the AOA could be observed in the first 100 seconds; this may be due to the small amount of active compounds present in the extract.

Table III also shows the inhibition percentage relative to the concentration of 5 mg/mL of all the ten mushroom extracts and the inhibition percentage of ascorbic acid ethanolic solution determined with DPPH ethanolic solution 0.1 mM, respectively. The inhibition percentage was calculated using the same equation presented above.
previously. All the extracts present an antioxidant activity percentage slightly lower at the beginning of the reaction (the initial moment) compared to the end of the reaction, after 1200 seconds (the final moment – data not shown).

Table III

The inhibition percentage induced by ethanol solutions of ascorbic acid as compared to mushrooms extracts

| Sample                     | Concentration [mg/mL] | % inhibition |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|
| S1 (H₂O extract)           | 75.70 ± 0.010         |             |
| S2 (80% EtOH extract)      | 76.88 ± 0.009         |             |
| S3 (absolute EtOH extract) | 87.72 ± 0.005         |             |
| S4 (acetone extract)       | 80.55 ± 0.052         |             |
| S5 (MeOH extract)          | 88.87 ± 0.045         |             |
| S6 (petroleum ether extract)| 88.74 ± 0.052         |             |
| S7 (cyclohexane extract)   | 35.53 ± 0.052         |             |
| S8 (hexane extract)        | 32.04 ± 0.052         |             |
| S9 (toluene extract)       | 41.07 ± 0.052         |             |
| S10 (dichloromethane extract)| 39.74 ± 0.016        |             |

2

| Ascorbic acid | 0.4 mg/mL | 94.82 ± 0.052 |

The results are expressed as average ± SD (n = 3)

Our results regarding AOA as inhibition percentage when the MeOH mushroom extract was tested were comparable to the results of other authors [5, 16, 17, 25] when AOA was expressed as inhibition percentage and EC₅₀ value, revealing a high antioxidant potential. This high value of AOA could be explained by a rich content of polyphenols in the MeOH mushroom extract [17]. For ethanolic extracts our data were in accordance with the results obtained by Karaman et al. [17] and Nowacka et al. [27] while Kalyoncu et al. [18] showed a decreased AOA (%). Some authors showed that hydro distilled extracts of *Fomes fomentarius* are the most active towards DPPH radical, compared with other solvents (ethanol - low AOA and chloroform - no AOA) [18]. Moreover, other authors [10] obtained considerable AOA for *Fomes fomentarius* harvested in Serbia hydrodistilled extracts (EC₅₀ - 1.31 µg/mL), even higher than vitamin C (EC₅₀ - 4.22 µg/mL) [19]. This increased AOA may be partially explained by translocation of a great amount of polyphenols (157 ± 8 mg GAE/g) stored in the spores, as a result of hydrodistillation which destroys sporoderm [33]. On the contrary, the aqueous extracts (obtained at 100°C) of *Fomes fomentarius* harvested in Iran showed a decrease of almost 70 times of the AOA presented as EC₅₀ [38]. In our case the water extract had the lowest AOA (15.85%) when DPPH ethanolic solution of 1 mM was used and the antioxidant potential increased substantially by decreasing the concentration of DPPH solution 10 fold. It can be considered that the various differences in AOA exhibited by *Fomes fomentarius* extracts are also likely to be related to the places of harvesting and the climatic conditions, as well as the time elapsed from harvesting to analysis.

The UV-VIS spectrum of all ten mushroom extracts is shown in Figure 3. Table IV presents the maximum absorbance of each mushroom extracts as a function of wavelength. S1 (H₂O extract), S3 (absolute EtOH extract), S5 (MeOH extract) and S6 (petroleum ether extract) samples present values of absorbance that exceed the registration range and have therefore been diluted to fit the scale (Figure 3). Only the final obtained data is presented in Figure 3.

All solutions have maximum absorbances in the range of 300 - 400 nm, except for the S6 extract. The recording of the UV spectra and the presentation of the absorbances at the wavelength of maximum absorption, offers a quantitative benchmark for other experiments that wish to reproduce the data regarding the antioxidant property of the extracted compounds. A number of compounds (for which there were standards) were identified in extracts S1-S8 (caffeic acid, protocatechuic acid, p-coumaric, and ferulic acids) by combining chromatographic (TLC or HPTLC) with spectrophotometric (IR or UV-VIS) methods [2, 5, 14, 35] and the identification of other compounds will continue through derivatization experiments and analysis by ESI-MS or MALDI-TOF-MS.
Conclusions

The data obtained shows that Fomes fomentarius, while being a wild inedible mushroom, could represent a viable source of bioactive compounds with antioxidant potential. The present study is the first to use a large set of different solvents for Fomes fomentarius extraction and evaluation of the antioxidant properties of the obtained extracts. The highest AOAs were obtained for the MeOH and EtOH absolute extracts, independent of the concentration of the DPPH ethanolic solution. Moreover, when water and petroleum ether were used as solvents for extraction, increased AOAs were also recorded, but only when the concentration of the DPPH ethanolic solution was decreased. The maximum absorbances obtained in the UV-VIS spectrum for each of the ten mushroom extracts could be attributed to different compounds, most of them polyphenols with antioxidant properties, but further studies are necessary to precisely identify these components and to determine which of them is more effective in ROS scavenging. The demonstrated antioxidant potentials of mushrooms can be useful in the pharmaceutical and food industry, by decreasing the use of synthetic compounds, and might offer health benefits by improving the antioxidative defence mechanisms and by diminishing the risk of various pathologies.
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