Magnetohydrodynamic simulations of a Uranus-at-equinox type rotating magnetosphere
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Context. As proven by measurements at Uranus and Neptune, the magnetic dipole axis and planetary spin axis can be off by a large angle exceeding 45°. The magnetosphere of such an (exo-)planet is highly variable over a one-day period and it does potentially exhibit a complex magnetic tail structure. The dynamics and shape of rotating magnetospheres do obviously depend on the planet’s characteristics but also, and very substantially, on the orientation of the planetary spin axis with respect to the impinging, generally highly supersonic, stellar wind.

Aims. On its orbit around the Sun, the orientation of Uranus’ spin axis with respect to the solar wind changes from quasi-perpendicular (solstice) to quasi-parallel (equinox). In this paper, we simulate the magnetosphere of a fictitious Uranus-like planet plunged in a supersonic plasma (the stellar wind) at equinox. A simulation with zero wind velocity is also presented in order to help disentangle the effects of the rotation from the effects of the supersonic wind in the structuring of the planetary magnetic tail.

Methods. The ideal magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) equations in conservative form are integrated on a structured spherical grid using the Message-Passing Interface-Adaptive Mesh Refinement Versatile Advection Code (MPI-AMRVAC). In order to limit diffusivity at grid level, we used background and residual decomposition of the magnetic field. The magnetic field is thus made of the sum of a prescribed time-dependent background field \( B_0(t) \) and a residual field \( B_1(t) \) computed by the code. In our simulations, \( B_0(t) \) is essentially made of a rigidly rotating potential dipole field.

Results. The first simulation shows that, while plunged in a non-magnetised plasma, a magnetic dipole rotating about an axis oriented at 90° with respect to itself does naturally accelerate the plasma away from the dipole around the rotation axis. The acceleration occurs over a spatial scale of the order of the Alfvénic co-rotation scale \( r_c \). During the acceleration, the dipole lines become stretched and twisted. The observed asymptotic fluid velocities are of the order of the phase speed of the fast MHD mode. In two simulations where the surrounding non-magnetised plasma was chosen to move at supersonic speed perpendicularly to the rotation axis (a situation that is reminiscent of Uranus in the solar wind at equinox), the lines of each hemisphere are symmetrically twisted and stretched as before. However, they are also bent by the supersonic flow, thus forming a magnetic tail of interlaced field lines of opposite polarity. Similarly to the case with no wind, the interfaced field lines and the attached plasma are accelerated by the rotation and also by the transfer of kinetic energy flux from the surrounding supersonic flow. The tailwards fluid velocity increases asymptotically towards the externally imposed flow velocity, or wind. In one more simulation, a transverse magnetic field, to both the spin axis and flow direction, was added to the impinging flow so that magnetic reconnection could occur between the dipole anchored field lines and the impinging field lines. No major difference with respect to the no-magnetised flow case is observed, except that the tailwards acceleration occurs in two steps and is slightly more efficient. In order to emphasise the effect of rotation, we only address the case of a fast-rotating planet where the co-rotation scale \( r_c \) is of the order of the planetary counter-flow magnetopause stand-off distance \( r_{mp} \). For Uranus, \( r_c \gg r_{mp} \) and the effects of rotation are only visible at large tailwards distances \( r \gg r_{mp} \).
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1. Introduction

Uranus’ magnetosphere was explored in situ by the Voyager II spacecraft during its January 1986 flyby. Magnetic field measurements unveiled a dipole field strength of 23 \( \mu T/r_U^3 \), where \( r_U \) is the radius of Uranus, confirming the prediction of Siscoe (1971) that Uranus’ composition would be compatible with the presence of an intrinsic magnetic field, strong enough to sustain a planetary magnetosphere. Thus, not surprisingly, during inbound flight from上游, Voyager II successively encountered the bow shock and the magnetopause at 23.3 \( r_U \) and 18 \( r_U \) from the planet’s centre, respectively (Ness et al. 1986). The nearly sunward pointing spin axis orientation of the planet at the time of Voyager’s flyby (solstice configuration) offered a unique opportunity to observe a planetary magnetosphere with one of the poles pointing towards the Sun (e.g. Voight et al. 1983). Besides the exotic orientation of its spin axis with respect to the ecliptic plane, Voyager II also revealed an unexpected large angle of 59° between the spin axis and magnetic axis (Ness et al. 1986; Connerney et al. 1987). Radio and magnetic field measurements by Voyager II also allowed one to measure, with unprecedented precision, the rotation period of Uranus to be relatively fast, 17.24 ± 0.01 h, when compared to the magnetospheric relaxation time (Desch et al. 1986). As a consequence, the magnetosphere of Uranus is highly variable, with both diurnal and seasonal variations (Lepping 1994; Schulz & McNab 1996; Cao & Paty 2017).
Based on 15.2 h of measurement of the magnetic field orientation whilst Voyager II was inside the nightside magnetosheath, Behannon et al. (1987) estimated that the magnetic field lines composing the north and south tail lobes present a helical twist of pitch \( \alpha = 5.5^\circ \pm 3.0^\circ \). Assuming a cylinder-shaped tailwards magnetopause surface with an inferred radius of 42 \( r_U \), the wavelength of the twist turns out to be of the order of \( \lambda = 2 \pi \times 42 \, r_U / \tan \alpha = 2740 \, r_U \) (Behannon et al. 1987).

Following Voyager’s observations, 3D magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) simulations of Uranus or Uranus-like magnetospheres in a solstice configuration have been published (Tóth et al. 2004; Cao & Paty 2017; Griton et al. 2018). In particular, the Tóth et al. (2004) simulation seems to indicate that the wavelength of the twist inferred by Behannon et al. (1987), which was based on the sparse data collected by Voyager II during its short flight through Uranus’ tail, is probably in excess by a factor of three (Tóth et al. 2004) find \( \lambda = 900 \, r_U \). The equinox configuration, however, has been addressed in just one paper by Cowley (2013) with the guiding idea to help understand the distant UV auroral observations by Lamy et al. (2012). Cao & Paty (2017) essentially concentrate on the dayside structure of the magnetosphere as a function of both the seasons and the planet’s phase, and they do not focus as much on the physical effects of rotation. In this paper we present a detailed study of the role of the planetary rotation on the tailwards acceleration of the magnetospheric plasma.

### 2. Methods

#### 2.1. Simplifications

In this paper, we concentrate on the role of planetary rotation for a Uranus-like configuration at equinox. The purpose here is not to produce faithful simulations of Uranus but rather to investigate the effects of rotation on a Uranus-like dipole plunged in a supersonic flow (stellar wind). Besides the fact that the rotation period and the dipole intensities are not the same as those of Uranus (see Table 1 below), we also simplify the orientations of the relevant axes and directions as shown in Fig. 1. The main approximations are as follows: (1) the magnetic axis goes through the planet’s centre, (2) the spin axis is at 90° with respect to the magnetic axis, and (3) the spin axis is perpendicular to the flow direction. In this paper we assume that the planetary field is strictly dipolar. This is a rather minor simplification as the fractional contribution of higher order terms (quadrupolar, octopolar, etc.) at a planet’s surface is generally small and, by definition, rapidly decreasing with distance.

#### 2.2. Simulations set-up and parameters

Four simulations are discussed in this paper (see Table 1). In the first one (run 1), a magnetic dipole that is plunged in a resting plasma rotates about an axis perpendicular to itself. In the other three simulations (runs 2 to 4), the angle between the spin axis and the magnetic dipole is also 90°; however, the surrounding plasma flows at supersonic speed and perpendicularly to the rotation axis. Such a configuration is reminiscent of the planet Uranus at equinox. In runs 2 and 3, the angular velocity is roughly two times smaller than in run 4. Run 3 differs from run 2 in that a constant magnetic field has been added into the flow. The reference frame of the simulation is a right-handed Cartesian frame with the \( z \)-axis pointing against the solar wind flow. The spin axis points towards the positive \( x \)-axis so that it is orthogonal to the flow. The \( y \)-axis completes the right-handed coordinate system. We do arbitrarily assume that at \( t = 0 \), the magnetic dipole is oriented parallel to the \( z \)-axis. The magnetic dipole is located at \( r = 0 \). We used a modified version of the HHD module of the Message-Passing Interface-Adaptive Mesh Refinement Versatile Advection Code (MPI-AMRVAC; Keppens et al. 2012), allowing the use of a time-dependent predefined background magnetic field. In our case, the background field is a rotating magnetic dipole, as discussed in Griton et al. (2018). In the case of the non-zero intensity of the magnetic field \( B_i \) in the impinging plasma (as for run 3, see Table 1), the latter was added to the background field. As in previous simulations of planetary magnetospheres (see Pantellini et al. 2015, Chap. 2), we used a two-step Lax-Friedrichs type scheme (called \( TVDLF \) in MPI-AMRVAC) associated with a gradient limiter \( minmod \).

The simulation domain is a spherical shell, extending from \( r = r_{\text{min}} \) (inner boundary) to \( r = r_{\text{max}} \) (outer boundary). We use a structured spherical grid with regularly spaced grid points in \( \theta \) and \( \phi \). In the radial direction \( r \), we use either a constant or an outwards geometrically growing grid size as detailed in Table 1. MPI-AMRVAC allows for local mesh refinement, but this was not necessary. Boundary conditions at both inner and outer boundaries are the same as in Griton et al. (2018).

For convenience, and to avoid specialisation, dimensionless quantities are used throughout the paper. Spatial dimensions are normalised to the radius \( r_{\text{min}} \) of the inner boundary of the simulation domain (the planetary radius throughout the paper).

### Table 1. Main parameters of the simulations discussed in the paper.

| Run | \( r_{\text{max}} \) | \( (N_r, N_\theta, N_\phi) \) | \( n_0 \) | \( p_0 \) | \( B_i \) | \( B_0 \) | \( \Omega \) |
|-----|------------------|-------------------------|------|------|-------|------|---|
| 1 no wind | 26 (24 geom,24,48) | 4 | 0.24 | 0 | 100 | 0.78 |
| 2 – wind | 54 (20 geom,16,32) | 1 | 0.59 | 0 | 1648 | 3.14 |
| 3 – wind + IMF Y | 54 (20 geom,16,32) | 1 | 0.59 | 3.46 | 1648 | 3.14 |
| 4 – wind | 54 (96 const,24,48) | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1648 | 6.42 |

Notes. The plasma surrounding the rotating dipole is characterised by a magnetic field intensity \( B_i \), a number density \( n_0 \), a sound speed \( c_{r,1} = 1 \), and a fluid velocity \( v_i = 20 \) (except for simulation 1 where \( v_i = 0 \)). Normalisation is such that \( B_i / \sqrt{n} \) is the Alfven velocity and \( c_r = (\gamma p/n)^{1/2} \), the sound speed (here we use \( \gamma = 5/3 \)). The numerical grid is a spherical regular one with \( N_r, N_\theta, N_\phi \) grid points. Grid points spacing is constant in \( \theta \) and \( \phi \), and it is either constant (“const”) or geometrically increasing away from the centre (“geom”). Quantities \( n_0, p_0 \) refer to the plasma density and pressure at the inner boundary (the planetary surface, located at \( r = 1 \)). \( B_i \) is the dipole strength at the magnetic equator and distance \( r = 1 \). Finally, \( \Omega \) is the angular velocity of the rotating dipole.

![Fig. 1. Axis orientation of the planet Uranus at equinox (A) and simplified version used in this paper (B). Red arrow is for the magnetic axis and black arrow for the planetary spin axis. Yellow thick arrow indicates the solar wind propagation direction.](image-url)
The mass is normalised to the average molecular mass so that in normalised units, mass density \( \rho \) and number density \( n \) are interchangeable, that is, \( \rho = n \). Velocities are normalised to the adiabatic sound speed in the surrounding, unperturbed, plasma. Densities are also normalised to the value in the surrounding plasma. As a consequence, from the expression of the adiabatic sound speed \( c_s^2 = \gamma p/\rho \), it follows that the dimensionless pressure in the unperturbed plasma is \( 1/\gamma \). The vacuum permeability \( \mu_0 \) is coherently set to unity so that in terms of dimensionless quantities, the Alfvén speed is \( v_A = B/\sqrt{n} \) and the plasma beta \( \beta = 2p/B^2 \). The latter is equivalent to the normalisation independent expression \( \beta = 2\gamma^{-1}c_s^2/v_A^2 \).

3. Rotating planetary dipole plunged in a resting plasma

In a vacuum a dipole rotates rigidly for distances to the rotation axis \( r < c/\Omega \), where \( c \) is the speed of light and \( \Omega \) the angular velocity of the rotation. On the other hand, if the dipole is plunged in a plasma and rotation is started at \( t = 0 \) for example, the plasma along any given field line is set in motion perpendicular to the plane of the field line by an Alfvén wave emitted at the inner boundary. In that case, the rigid rotation approximation breaks down at much smaller distances \( r \), where the Alfvén velocity and the co-rotation velocity are of the same order. At such distances, the effect of rotation is the one that is schematically illustrated in Fig. 2, which shows the deformation of the field lines under the effect of the centrifugal force, resulting from the fluid that is set in motion perpendicularly to the plane of the field line.

Assuming the plasma temperature is low enough so that only the Lorentz force has to be considered, one may immediately conclude that the effect of the deformation of the lines from position 1 to 2, as shown in Fig. 2, is the reduction of their curvature and thus their magnetic tension (directed towards the centre) along the rotation axis. On the other hand, the displacement of the lines from position 1 to 2 does not change the magnetic pressure gradient along the rotation axis; therefore, an unbalanced, net outward-directed force arises (blue arrow).

The acceleration of the plasma along the rotation axis for a rotating dipole in an initially motionless plasma can be observed in simulation 1 (see Table 1). Figure 3 shows a snapshot of the simulation at time \( t = 41.00 \), shortly after the end of the fifth rotation. Only half of the system is shown, the other half is its symmetric replica. By that time and given that there is no magnetic field in the surrounding plasma, the system has reached a stationary state in the frame of the rotating dipole.

In the left panel of the figure, four sample field lines of various length passing through the \( x \) axis are shown. As the system is stationary, each line may be represented as the same line at different times. The fluid velocity profile \( v_x(x) \) in the right panel shows that the plasma and thus the field lines are expelled along the rotation axis at increasingly high speeds, eventually exceeding the fast-mode velocity. Even though the profiles may not be strictly independent of the conditions at the outer boundary, the simulation clearly shows that a dipole, which rotates about an axis that is perpendicular to itself, is an efficient plasma accelerator. Since magnetic field lines expand from the dipole’s centre, magnetic flux has to be steadily injected at the inner boundary.

The characteristic length \( r_e \), over which acceleration occurs, which is also of the order of the co-rotation distance, can be evaluated very roughly by assuming a constant plasma density and a magnetic field intensity decrease \( \propto r^{-3} \) (dipole field).

This leads to

\[
   r_e = \left( \frac{\rho_A^0}{\Omega} \right)^{1/4}
\]

(1)

where \( \rho_A^0 = B_0^2/\sqrt{n_0} \) is the dipole equatorial Alfvén speed at \( r = 1 \). Given the parameters of simulation 1, one obtains \( r_e \approx 2.8 \). The same expression gives \( r_e \sim 30–50 \) for the real Uranus.

4. Rotating planetary dipole plunged in a supersonic plasma flow

When the rotating dipole is immersed in a supersonic plasma, such as Uranus in the solar wind, a new scale length arises in addition to \( r_e \), defined by Eq. (1). This new scale length is the stand-off distance \( r_m \) of the planetary magnetopause in the direction opposing the supersonic flow. The stand-off distance can be estimated to lowest order by equilibrating the dynamic pressure of the plasma flow \( 0.5n_1v_1^2 \) and the planetary magnetic pressure at that distance \( 0.5B_0^2(r_m) \). Again in assuming a \( B \propto r^{-3} \) dependence and a uniform density of \( n = n_1 \) in the whole system, one has:

\[
   r_m = \left( \frac{\rho_A^1}{n_1} \right)^{1/3}
\]

(2)

With the parameters of Table 1, the stand-off distance can thus be estimated as \( r_m = (1647.92/20)^{1/3} = 4.3 \) for all simulations where \( n_1 \neq 0 \).

In the case of an extremely slowly rotating planet \( r_m \ll r_e \), the structure of the magnetosphere in the vicinity of the planet is essentially unaffected by rotation and no twist of planet
connected field lines is expected. For fast-rotating planets where \( r_m \gg r_\star \), field lines are completely twisted up before reaching \( r_m \), which thus becomes meaningless. In the next sections, we discuss simulations where both distances are comparable \( r_m \sim r_\star \sim 4 \). Simulations are advanced in time long enough for the initial conditions to be forgotten and the system to become strictly periodic. One may note that due to the fact that the impinging flow is perpendicular to the spin axis, there is no frame in which the system reaches a stationary state as in the case of the rotating dipole in a plasma at rest.

4.1. Unmagnetised supersonic flow

Let us first focus on the simplest case where the impinging supersonic flow is unmagnetised. Under such circumstances, no magnetic connection can be established between the flow and the planet: a planetary field line does not connect to the planet’s surface. Figure 4 shows a snapshot of run 2. As for run 1, the system is symmetric with respect to the spin equator. As for the free rotating dipole of Fig. 3, the field lines are seen to be twisted by the rotation. At the same time, since \( r_m \sim r_\star \sim 4 \), the field lines are bended in the direction of the flow. Even though the profiles in the right panels of Fig. 4 are not taken along the spin axis as in Fig. 3, but along a more pertinent axis starting from the centre of the planet towards downstream, the overall picture is qualitatively the same in both simulations. Indeed, in both simulations the fluid velocity is accelerated to high velocities over a spatial scale coherent with the above estimates of \( r_\star \). The fact that acceleration is steeper in run 2 (where \( r_\star = 4.8 \)) with respect to run 1 (where \( r_\star = 2.8 \)) can be due to many different reasons. First, even though the impinging plasma and the plasma contained in the planetary flux tubes do not mix in run 2, the impinging flow transmits an additional kinetic energy flux in places where the fluid flow and magnetic field lines are not aligned. This continuous transfer of kinetic flux actually drives the asymptotic velocity towards \( v_f = 20 \). Second, as previously stated, the plotted profiles are taken along different paths. Third, some minor effects may be due to the different spatial resolutions, different domain sizes, and different plasma conditions near the outer boundaries. We shall mention here that with no rotation and in the context of an ideal MHD with zero resistivity and zero viscosity, a stationary state is expected to form where the plasma magnetically connected to the planetary field is at rest while the impinging supersonic plasma flows around the magnetospheric obstacle. Magnetic flux does not escape from the planet in that extreme limit.

4.2. Magnetised supersonic flow

Run 3 only differs with respect to run 2 by the addition of a uniform magnetic field \( B_\text{IMF} \) in the impinging flow. The orientation of the impinging magnetic field (IMF) is perpendicular to both the spin axis and the flow direction so that the equatorial plane remains a plane of symmetry. Figure 5 shows a snapshot of the simulation at the same planetary rotation phase as for the unmagnetised case of Fig. 4. Differences are rather minor but visible. First, magnetic reconnection between the planetary field and the magnetic field in the impinging plasma becomes possible, as illustrated by one of the three sample field lines in the left panel of the figure (indicated by the red arrow on Fig. 5). Second, the acceleration of the plasma downstream of the planet is not as smooth as in the unmagnetised case. Indeed, while for \( z < 8 \) where only closed planetary field lines are present, the profiles are nearly identical in the two simulations. This is not
the case in the interval \(-z \in [8, 13]\), where the acceleration of the plasma is slower in run 3, as compared to run 2. This effect is clearly due to the reconnection between the planetary magnetic field and the IMF. At larger distances, for \(-z > 13\), all magnetic field lines are disconnected from the planet and the acceleration of the flow increases again due to the effect of the relaxation of newly disconnected magnetic field lines. As a result, at \(-z = 30\) the flow velocity is already of the order of \(v_z = 16.8\) against 15.5 only for the unmagnetised simulation of Fig. 4. We note that in this situation, magnetic reconnection participates in the acceleration process, which is therefore more efficient than in the unmagnetised case discussed in the previous section.

4.3. Fast-rotating planetary dipole in unmagnetised supersonic flow

Simulation 4 is mainly characterised by a rotation that is more than twice as fast than in runs 2 and 3 and has more grid cells in the radial direction. Combined with the fact that the radial extension of the cells is constant as opposed to in simulations 1–3
in which it increases geometrically with \( r \), one can deduce that the spatial resolution is higher at large distances \( r \). The downside of the constant cell size in the radial direction is that the cells become stretched in \( \theta \) and \( \phi \) with increasing \( r \). It should be noted that \( r_c \propto \Omega^{-1/4} \) implies that \( \Omega \), only marginally affects \( r_c \) and therefore does not modify in a significant way the near planet acceleration of the plasma observed in Figs. 4 and 5. On the other hand, since the twisting of the field lines in the downstream region must be periodic with a wavelength \( \lambda \leq 2\pi v_0/\Omega \), it follows that \( \lambda \approx 20 \) for run 4 so that at least two periods should be observable. The twisting of sample field lines from both poles of the dipole is neatly visible in Fig. 6. Except for the orientation of the spin and magnetic axes, in addition to the absence of IMF, run 4 is identical to the runs from Griton et al. (2018) reminiscent of Uranus in a “solstice” configuration, with its spin axis aligned with the propagation of the solar wind. In run 4 and as seen in Fig. 6, the wavelength of the magnetic structure only slightly grows over the two observable oscillations, which illustrates the fact that the acceleration mainly occurs close to the planet. Again, the spin equatorial plane \( x = 0 \) is a symmetry plane with identical structures in both the upper and lower hemisphere. Also, neither magnetic field lines nor stream lines cross the plane of symmetry. It should be noted that in the absence of an impinging magnetic field and in addition to a \( 2\pi/\Omega \) time periodicity, the system also has a strict \( \pi/\Omega \) periodicity if it is combined with a magnetic field sign reversal (as in run 2). This means that, after a time interval \( \pi/\Omega \), the exact same top Fig. 6 is recovered with switched colours. Unfortunately, even if some symmetries are preserved for particular orientations of the impinging magnetic field, the ideal picture shown in the top panel of Fig. 6 is not universal.

5. Conclusions

In this paper, we present 3D MHD simulations of a rotating dipole plunged in a supersonic plasma flow. The rotation axis, the dipole axis, and the direction of the impinging flow are selected to be mutually orthogonal, which is reminiscent of the magnetosphere of Uranus at equinox. The objective of the paper is not to provide faithful modelling of a specific rotating planetary magnetosphere as in Tóth et al. (2004) for the case of the slowly rotating Uranus. Since our main objective was to observe the ejection of planetary magnetic flux (and plasma) due to the rotation of the planet, we deliberately accentuate its effect by selecting sufficiently fast angular velocities to force acceleration to occur close to the planet, allowing for the simulation domain to be substantially reduced with respect to the case of Uranus.

In order to disentangle the effect of the rotation from the effect of the supersonic flow surrounding the rotating dipole, we simulated a dipole rotating in a resting plasma unmagnetised plasma first (run 1). The important outcome of this simulation is that the plasma becomes accelerated to at least the fast-mode phase velocity along the rotation axis (see Fig. 3). The spatial scale over which the acceleration occurs is naturally of the order of the co-rotation scale \( r_c \), for which Eq. (1) provides a rough estimate for a rotating dipolar field. Plunging the rotating dipole into a supersonic flow oriented as mentioned above does not substantially alter the picture at short distances \( r < r_c \) provided rotation is fast enough, that is, \( r_c \lesssim r_m \). Otherwise, the structure of the magnetosphere at distances \( r \lesssim r_m \) would only depend on the actual phase of the planet as in the simulation for Uranus at solstice by Tóth et al. (2004). The impinging flow merely participates in the continued acceleration of the plasma tailwards through a transfer of kinetic energy flux from the incoming flow and/or through magnetic reconnection. In the slow rotation limit \( r_c \gg r_m \) (in the case of Uranus), which is not explicitly addressed in this paper, the field lines are first stretched and bent under the effect of the impinging flow before being twisted by the effect of rotation. In this case and if reconnection is allowed, the acceleration close to the planet is primarily due to reconnection. However, at larger distances up to order \( r_c \), the acceleration due to rotation is still effective, at least as long as the Alfvén travel time along the concerned field lines is shorter than the rotation period \( 2\pi/\Omega \). This is even the case for the slow rotator Uranus, as field line windings tailwards of the planet have been observed by Tóth et al. (2004). We shall conclude by pointing out that the suggestive, and relatively simple, magnetic structure shown
in Fig. 6 is much more complex for a non-zero field $B_I$ in the impinging plasma, even in the north-south symmetry preserving case where $B_I$ is oriented in the $y$ direction. As no spacecraft has ever had the opportunity to explore Uranus’ magnetosphere at equinox, and since no other planet in the Solar System has never found itself in a similar configuration, simulations are the only means for a better understanding of the spatio-temporal structure of such a complex stellar wind-planet interaction.

As explained by Selsis (2007), the definition of habitable planet generally focuses on the ability of the planet to host liquid water on its surface. However, as pointed out by many researchers (see for example the review by Lammer et al. 2009 or Cuntz et al. 2000), the stellar wind-planet interaction can be determinant when it comes to host life on a planetary surface. As planetary magnetic fields from an exoplanet can now be detected from observations (as predicted by Zarka 2007 and recently confirmed by Cauley et al. 2019), the role of planetary magnetospheres is now studied to be included in the definition of the habitable zone, for example, concerning their role in preserving exoplanetary atmospheres from erosion (Rodríguez-Mozos & Moya 2019). Recent modelling of planet-star magnetised interactions (e.g. Strugarek et al. 2014, 2015) focuses on non-rotating planets in synchronised systems, but they also highlight the importance of taking into account fast-rotating planets for future research. The work presented here, along with the previous study from Griton et al. (2018), are together a first step towards a better understanding of the physical mechanisms at work in more complex, highly time-dependent interactions between a star’s wind and a magnetized rotating planet.
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