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1. Introduction

Skeletal muscle is a dynamic tissue that routinely undergoes a significant degree of mechanical strain and cellular deformation with each contraction. In order to preserve normal skeletal muscle function throughout the lifetime of an individual, this complex tissue must be able to routinely undergo cell shortening and generate forces required for movement while at the same time limiting mechanical cellular injury and adapting to changing workloads. In muscular dystrophy, an imbalance between muscle damage or degeneration and muscle repair through stem-cell mediated regeneration is thought to contribute to the disease pathology and consequently results in a progressive decline in muscle function [1]. Mutations in a number of distinct genes can cause muscular dystrophy with varying degrees of severity, but precisely how each can negatively affect normal muscle function is unclear [2]. A significant number of muscular dystrophies result from mutations that affect the normal assembly of the dystrophin-glycoprotein complex at the sarcolemma in muscle. A longstanding hypothesis to explain the high degree of muscle damage and degeneration observed in dystrophic muscle is that mutations affect the function of critical structural proteins in muscle and in some way compromises the mechanical stability of the muscle fiber and/or its sarcolemma. This exacerbates the damage that occurs during normal muscle contractions and initiates a lethal cascade of events that can cause death of the myofiber [3–5]. In support of this, early studies demonstrated an increase in the number of necrotic fibers in muscles from muscular dystrophy patients that likely resulted from irreparable membrane damage as a consequence of normal muscle activity [6]. However, rather than dystrophic muscle suffering from an increased susceptibility to external damage, alternative hypotheses exist that could account for increased cell death. For example, that causative mutations may affect either the resting homeostasis of muscle cells or alter the ability of the muscle to adapt and repair following a normal “dose” of muscle injury. Although the importance of the dystrophin-glycoprotein complex in maintaining sarcolemma integrity is well supported, alternative functions...
for this complex have been proposed through the years and are now gaining significant experimental support [7, 8].

2. The Dystrophin-Glycoprotein Complex

The dystrophin-glycoprotein complex (DGC) is composed of several transmembrane and peripheral components and is highly expressed in the sarcolemma of skeletal muscle [9–11]. Mutations in genes that encode DGC components lead to the loss of either expression and/or function of the DGC in muscle. Dystroglycan is a protein central to this complex that spans the sarcolemma and binds to ligands in the surrounding basal lamina through α-dystroglycan and to dystrophin inside the cell through β-dystroglycan [12]. Dystrophin in turn binds to the submembrane actin and intermediate filament cytoskeleton within fibers, thereby completing a link between the cytoskeleton and the extracellular matrix [13, 14]. Costameres are concentrations of extracellular matrix receptor complexes that reside at the membrane in register with the Z-lines of sarcomeres within muscle fibers. The location of the DGC at costameres and the identification of its function as a link between the matrix and the cytoskeleton has led to the hypothesis that the DGC might be critical in mechanically stabilizing muscle or the sarcolemma during muscle contraction [12, 15].

In addition to dystroglycan and dystrophin, the core of this complex in skeletal muscle is also formed by four sarcoglycans (α, β, γ, and δ) and sarcospan, which are thought to contribute to stabilization of the complex within the sarcolemma. Mutations in either dystrophin or the sarcoglycans are associated with reduced expression or incomplete formation of the DGC [16–18] and are hypothesized to result in muscular dystrophy through a common mechanism which includes a reduction in dystroglycan function. Therefore, reduction in the connections between the cytoskeleton and the extracellular matrix appears critical to muscular dystrophies associated with the DGC.

In addition to primary mutations in DGC components, several causative mutations have also been identified in a group of glycosyltransferases, which have been shown to function in a common pathway to glycosylate α-dystroglycan. This O-linked glycosylation of α-dystroglycan is essential for enabling α-dystroglycan to function as an extracellular matrix receptor [19]. In these glycosylation-deficient muscular dystrophies, dystroglycan and the DGC are expressed and intact at the sarcolemma, but the loss of the ability of dystroglycan to bind laminin is sufficient to cause muscular dystrophy [20, 21]. These findings highlight specifically the interaction of the DGC with extracellular matrix as a critical function of the DGC in preventing muscular dystrophy.

In the dystroglycan glycosylation-deficient mice (LARGE mdx) electron microscopic analysis showed that the interaction of dystroglycan with laminin in the extracellular matrix appeared to tightly anchor the basal lamina to the sarcolemma [21]. This tight and regular interaction of dystroglycan with the basal lamina is proposed to protect the sarcolemma from expansion of small ruptures during mechanical activity. Mutations in dystroglycan itself appear to be quite rare in humans, perhaps related to an essential role of dystroglycan in early development [22]. Only recently has a mutation in the dystroglycan gene been identified in muscular dystrophy patients and like previous glycosyltransferase mutations, the mutation appears to impair dystroglycan glycosylation and causes loss of function without impacting dystroglycan expression [23].

The heterotrimeric protein laminin-211 is a major component of the basal lamina surrounding adult muscle fibers that is bound by glycosylated α-dystroglycan (α-DG). Mutations in the LAMA2 gene result in loss of laminin α2 expression and the most common form of congenital muscular dystrophy [24–26]. The identification of laminin α2 mutations only further reinforces the notion that any disruption of the connection between the muscle fiber cytoskeleton to the extracellular matrix through the DGC, whether it be reduced expression of the DGC, reduced ability of dystroglycan to interact with laminin, or loss of laminin itself from the basal lamina, is sufficient to cause muscular dystrophy.

Despite the first genetic identification of dystrophin as a causal gene in Duchenne muscular dystrophy more than two decades ago [27] and the identification of the DGC in years following [16], whether the DGC contributes purely a mechanical role in stabilizing the sarcolemma during normal contractions or imparts other significant functions in muscle still remains an area of active investigation. The identification of additional components of the DGC, such as sarcospan, dystrobrevins, and syntrophins, that do not appear to have a direct or essential role in the mechanical function of the DGC but instead appear to be docking sites for other intracellular signaling proteins [28], has fueled considerable interest in what other intracellular pathways may be affected in DGC-associated muscular dystrophies.

3. The DGC, Sarcolemma Integrity, and Contraction-Induced Muscle Injury

Even normal skeletal muscle is susceptible to mechanical damage, particularly during lengthening contractions, and immediate defects at the level of the sarcolemma [29], the t-tubules [30], or the contractile machinery [31] contribute to a transient decrease in the isometric force. Following repetitive lengthening contractions, there can also be considerable, prolonged injury and muscle dysfunction that results from muscle degeneration, swelling, and infiltration of inflammatory cells [32]. In most cases, muscle dysfunction caused by prolonged injury can be fully repaired over time by active muscle fiber regeneration from resident stem cells, known as satellite cells. This suggests that occasional sarcolemmal injuries, muscle damage, and their repair might be a critical component of the homeostasis of normal muscle.

Many of the early experiments that sought to identify the mechanism by which mutations affecting the DGC cause muscular dystrophy have utilized the mdx mouse model [33]. These mice harbor a null mutation in the dystrophin gene and although they do exhibit the clinical features observed in human patients, the severity is milder [34]. A role for
the function of dystrophin in maintaining the integrity of the sarcolemma during muscle contraction was supported by studies showing that mdx muscle was highly susceptible to lengthening contraction-induced injury performed in vitro, as compared to healthy control muscle. Muscle from mdx animals demonstrated an increased tendency to take up Procion orange dye from the bathing medium, which suggested an increase in sarcolemma permeability following contraction [4, 35]. When injected into mdx animals, the membrane-impermeant Evans blue dye was also selectively taken up by muscle fibers that appeared necrotic and were hypercontracted, which suggested that increased membrane permeability eventually resulted in cell death [36]. This further supported the hypothesis that muscle contractions produce membrane tears, which lead to an increase in permeability of the sarcolemma to calcium and small molecules and results in a greater degree of cell death in dystrophic muscle. Muscle from mdx mice also demonstrated a measurable deficit in force generation following an in vivo lengthening contraction protocol [37, 38]. These data support a function for dystrophin and the dystrophin-glycoprotein complex, at least partially, in protecting the sarcolemma during muscle contraction and suggest that, in its absence, the sarcolemma is more susceptible to damage by contractile forces, resulting in increased permeability of ions and small molecules, and eventual cell necrosis and muscle degeneration.

Further support for a critical role of membrane integrity in muscular dystrophies was gained from a different class of muscular dystrophies associated with mutations in the dysferlin gene. Mutations in dysferlin are associated with Miyoshi myopathy and limb girdle muscular dystrophy 2B in humans [39, 40]. Dysferlin is not associated with the DGC but appears to have homology to the vesicle protein, synaptotagmin, and therefore has been predicted to be important for mediating vesicle-mediated membrane repair. While the complete functions of dysferlin are still under investigation, dysferlin has been shown to be required for rapid resealing of the sarcolemma in a calcium-dependent manner following focal membrane damage [41]. Although muscle from dysferlin-null animals is not particularly susceptible to contraction-induced damage any more so than healthy muscle [42], a recent study demonstrated that recovery of muscle following damage required an immediate and transient membrane resealing event and that dysferlin-deficient muscle consequently took longer to recover [42, 43]. The identification of dysferlin as a potential mediator of membrane repair in muscle underlies the importance of sarcolemmal integrity and its maintenance by repair pathways as important mechanisms in which defects may result in muscle degeneration.

4. Does Contraction-Induced Injury Play a Causal Role in DGC-Associated Muscular Degeneration and Dystrophy?

While the generally accepted dogma is that mutations affecting the DGC render muscle more susceptible to contraction-induced damage during mechanical stress [44], recent evidence from our laboratory suggests that not all muscles of dystrophic animals are equally affected. We reported that, in the LARGE<sup>emd</sup> animal model of glycosyltransferase-deficient muscular dystrophy, typical fast-twitch muscles such as the EDL were weaker than control WT EDL muscles. Additionally, LARGE<sup>emd</sup> EDL muscles demonstrated the increased susceptibility to contraction-induced injury typical of EDL muscles of mdx mice, as indicated by a dramatic loss of force following lengthening contraction that was significantly greater than controls [45]. However, a remarkably different phenotype was measured in soleus muscles, which are composed of mixtures of fast and slow fibers [45]. Although the contraction protocol resulted in a 26% force deficit in WT soleus muscle, there was no greater increase in force deficit observed in the soleus of LARGE<sup>emd</sup> mice. Force deficits measured in WT soleus muscle were also higher than those measured in EDL muscle after two lengthening contractions, which suggested that the observed defect was not due to inherent differences in susceptibility to injury between the two muscle groups, nor to differences in dystroglycan glycosylation or laminin binding activity. However, the soleus of LARGE<sup>emd</sup> animals was still weaker than WT muscle and dystrophic, as evidenced by an increase in degenerating fibers, accumulation of inflammatory cells, and the presence of centrally nucleated fibers. An explanation that accounts for the dystrophic features and fiber degeneration in the soleus muscle in the absence of any detectable increased susceptibility to contraction-induced injury has not been addressed.

A similar lack of increased susceptibility of muscle to contraction-induced muscle damage was previously demonstrated in the soleus of mdx mice, and the authors speculated that dystrophin was not essential for maintaining structural stability in the soleus muscle [37]. Utrophin, a homologue of dystrophin, has been shown to be upregulated in the absence of dystrophin [46] and is also more highly expressed in slow-twitch fibers [47] which may therefore confer a degree of stability in the soleus muscle of mdx mice that might explain this lack of susceptibility to contraction-induced injury. However, upregulation of utrophin cannot explain the results in LARGE<sup>emd</sup> mice because, other than the loss of dystroglycan glycosylation, the DGC is assembled normally in LARGE<sup>emd</sup> muscle [20, 21]. We found that another important laminin receptor in muscle, α7β1 integrin, is expressed at much higher levels in the sarcolemma of soleus muscle as compared to other fast muscles [45]. Several reports have demonstrated that when the DGC is impaired, α7 or β1 integrin is upregulated, which suggests that the two receptors may have at least some overlapping functions in muscle [48]. Susceptibility to contraction-induced injury was directly compared between α7 integrin-null and LARGE<sup>emd</sup> mice using EDL muscle and only LARGE<sup>emd</sup> muscle was shown to be more susceptible to injury [21]. This might suggest that interactions with laminin and dystroglycan are more important for mechanical stability than are the interactions between α7β1 integrin and laminin. However, α7β1 integrin expression in fast muscle is very low, and the comparison was only shown....
in fast-twitch muscle, and not in the soleus muscle, which remains to be studied. Transgenic overexpression of α7 integrin in dystrophin/utrophin double knockout mice can significantly improve muscle disease [49], but whether this beneficial effect is due to prevention of mechanical damage or effects on cell signaling has not been fully addressed. The potential role of integrins and the DGC in laminin-dependent signaling in muscle is discussed in greater detail in Section 6.

Muscle physiological studies have also been performed in an animal model of congenital muscular dystrophy, the dy/dy mouse, that harbors a null mutation in the LAMA2 gene [24]. In contrast to the results demonstrated in mdx mice, laminin-deficient muscle does not exhibit a defect in sarcolemmal stability [50]. Both the EDL and soleus muscles were isolated from laminin-deficient mice and subjected to a moderate lengthening contraction protocol in vitro and neither demonstrated an increased susceptibility to injury over that observed in control muscle. As a means to amplify potentially subtle defects in these mice, the anesthetic halothane was used to increase fluidity of the lipid bilayer. Although this did cause an increase in force deficit following a lengthening contraction protocol, this deficit was still not any greater in laminin-deficient muscle [50]. Because contraction protocols can vary, sarcolemmal permeability was directly compared between three different mouse models of DGC-related muscular dystrophy, mdx [33] and two deficient in laminin, dy/dy [24] and dy<sup>2</sup>/dy<sup>2</sup> [25]. Animals were injected intravenously with Evans blue dye and muscles were analyzed several hours after injection. Muscles from mdx demonstrated an increase in dye uptake while dye uptake in laminin-deficient muscle was not different than control animals [51]. Additionally, positively stained fibers in mdx mice often appeared in groups of neighboring fibers, in contrast to the few individual fibers stained in laminin-deficient muscle, which appeared necrotic. However, laminin-deficient muscle still had dystrophic pathological features, which further supports the notion that, although disruption of the sarcolemma may contribute to the pathology of muscle disease, it is not essential.

Together, these studies demonstrate that membrane damage is not required for muscle degeneration and muscular dystrophy. Although mutations that compromise DGC function can leave muscle vulnerable to membrane damage, this is not true for all muscle groups, since the soleus muscle, despite demonstrating a susceptibility to injury in healthy muscle, does not show increased susceptibility in the absence of a functional DGC. Additionally, the number of fibers that may be damaged, as evidenced by dye uptake in mdx fast muscles, is not sufficient to explain the dramatic loss in force generation following injury [37]. Therefore, the DGC likely possesses other cellular functions in skeletal muscle that, when impaired, contribute to muscle degeneration and the dystrophic pathology. Potential alternative pathways and their experimental support are reviewed below.

5. Role of the DGC in
Altered Calcium Homeostasis

Intracellular calcium is a critical mediator of several regulatory processes in skeletal muscle [52]. In dystrophic muscle, the concentration of intracellular calcium is elevated, and several potential sources for calcium entry have now been identified (Figure 1). Early studies of mdx muscle demonstrated that individual fibers that showed an elevation in intracellular calcium were also necrotic, which suggested that calcium entered through membrane tears as a direct result of dystrophin loss [53, 54]. Increased intracellular calcium in mdx muscle was later explained by an increase in sarcolemmal permeability attributed to calcium leak and stretched-activated channels [55, 56]. Stretch-activated channels can be blocked in mdx mice via oral delivery of streptomycin and results in a reduction in intracellular calcium and an improvement in force production [57]. In the same study, a decrease in intracellular calcium was not observed in streptomycin-treated control animals, which suggested that the activity of these channels was somehow enhanced in the absence of dystrophin.

The transient receptor potential (TRP) channels are a diverse family of ion channels composed of multiple subunits, that have also been identified as potential mediators of altered calcium homeostasis in dystrophic muscle [58]. Several TRP channels in the canonical subfamily (TRPC) are expressed in mouse skeletal muscle and TRPC1, TRPC4, and TRPC6 were initially identified as being potentially impaired in mdx muscle [59]. In a later study, expression of TRPC1 was shown to be increased in mdx muscle and the authors speculated that its activity may be increased due to additional upregulation of caveolin-3 and src, which contribute to the translocation of this channel to the sarcolemma [60]. Similarly, a stretch-activated TRP channel in the vanilloid receptor subfamily, TRPV2, has also been shown to be more highly expressed at the sarcolemma in mdx muscle. Inhibition of TRPV2 using a dominant negative genetic approach resulted in a restoration of normal intracellular calcium levels and an amelioration of dystrophic pathology in mdx mice [61].

Although the increased resting calcium concentration observed in the cytoplasm of dystrophic muscle may be the result of increased expression or activity of calcium channels at the sarcolemma, a recent study has demonstrated that an additional source of calcium entry may be due to defects at the level of the sarcoplasmic reticulum [62]. Ryr1 channels isolated from mdx muscle were shown to be hypernitrosylated as a potential consequence of altered nitric oxide signaling downstream of dystrophin loss. This resulted in an increased leak of calcium ions from the sarcoplasmic reticulum, and pharmacological inhibition of Ryr1 was shown to reduce muscle damage in mdx muscle.

While several of these studies noted an improvement in muscle health following inhibition of calcium entry in animal models of muscular dystrophy, an important study recently demonstrated that elevated calcium was sufficient to cause muscle damage in the absence of a genetic basis for muscular dystrophy [63]. The overexpression of TRPC3
in skeletal muscle resulted in a muscle wasting phenotype with defects similar to those observed in laminin-deficient muscle. Using gene expression profiling, this phenotype was also shown to be associated with altered expression of many genes, in a pattern that was strikingly similar to gene expression changes in δ-sarcoglycan deficient muscle. However, the muscle did not demonstrate any changes in expression of the DGC at the sarcolemma, which suggests that much of the muscle damage observed in DGC-related muscular dystrophies may be attributed to downstream defects in calcium homeostasis.

Elevated intracellular calcium can result in cellular damage in a number of ways that may underlie many of the defects observed in dystrophic muscle. When sustained, abnormal elevations in intracellular calcium can cause mitochondrial dysfunction to undergo permeability transition which can eventually lead to mitochondrially mediated apoptosis, involving swelling of the mitochondria, release of cytochrome c, and the activation of caspases [64]. Cyclophilin D is a mitochondrial enzyme that is important for regulating the mitochondrial permeability transition and genetic strategies have demonstrated that its absence renders mitochondria insensitive to calcium-induced cell death [65, 66]. Both δ-sarcoglycan-null and laminin-null mice have abnormally swollen mitochondria and the muscular dystrophy seen in both models can be partially alleviated by altering the pores mediating the mitochondrial permeability transition [67].

Another important downstream consequence of mitochondrial dysfunction is an increased production of reactive oxygen species (ROS), which can further exacerbate cellular damage [68]. Several studies have identified ROS as a potential mediator of muscle damage in the muscular dystrophies [69–71]. Antioxidant treatment of mdx mice has demonstrated mixed results but in some cases has lessened the symptoms of muscular dystrophy [71, 72]. In a model of muscular dystrophy not associated with DGC defects but instead caused by defective collagen IV, a component of the extracellular matrix, mitochondrial dysfunction was shown to be a major source of ROS. Additionally, when ROS production was suppressed, oxidation of myofibrillar proteins was reduced and improved contractile performance [73]. Therefore, cellular mechanisms downstream of mitochondrial defects may be an important step in the process by which DGC mutations eventually result in myofiber damage and cause muscle disease.

Normal excitation-contraction coupling has been suggested to be affected by an increase in cellular calcium concentration and may also be an important contributor to muscle weakness in these diseases [74, 75]. Elevated calcium can also directly impair muscle function by increasing the activity of calcium-dependent proteases like calpains which can cleave myofibrillar proteins [76–78]. While the genetic and pharmacological inhibition of calpains may alleviate several pathological features in mdx muscle [79–81], such experiments have yielded inconsistent results and may be in part due to compensatory increases in calpain activity and/or a lack of efficacy of the proposed inhibitors [82].

Several of these studies have demonstrated that intracellular calcium is elevated in dystrophic muscle, and that this can lead to a number of deleterious effects to the cell and contribute to a decline in contractile function. More importantly, these studies have provided several alternatives by which calcium permeability and/or intracellular calcium may be increasing that are independent from direct entry of calcium through sarcolemma tears. However, it is still unclear just how distinct genetic mutations that affect the function of the DGC can alter activity of the various calcium channels that have been proposed. While inhibition of calcium entry has been shown to be beneficial in the animal models addressed, it is important to note that muscle disease was still present in these animals, albeit it to a lesser degree. This suggests that altered calcium homeostasis, whether it is through membrane tears or from altered activity of calcium channels, may not be the only mechanism downstream of genetic mutations that results in muscle damage.

6. Laminin-Dependent Intracellular Signaling through the DGC

Laminins exist as heterotrimeric proteins composed of specific combinations of α, β, and γ subunits and are differentially expressed in multiple cell types. Laminin-211 (α2/β1/γ1) is expressed in the extracellular matrix of adult skeletal muscle and is bound with high affinity by α-DG
and α7β1 integrin. Mutations that affect α2 laminin, as is the case in the dy/dy mouse and in congenital muscular dystrophy 1A, result in muscular dystrophy as a result of lost interactions with either or both laminin receptors [24–26]. Although laminin is the major ligand of dystroglycan by which a connection is forged between the DGC and the extracellular matrix in skeletal muscle, laminin-211 deficient muscle is not susceptible to contraction-induced damage and does not typically show increased uptake of cell impermeant dye (reviewed in Section 4). Despite the lack of evidence for increased muscle damage, many fibers of laminin-deficient muscle are apoptotic [83], which is thought to significantly contribute to muscle disease. Both pharmacological and genetic inhibition of apoptosis (e.g., Bcl-2 overexpression, Bax inactivation) have been shown to ameliorate dystrophy in laminin-α2-deficient animal models [84–87]. Because there appears to be a lack of sarcolemmal damage, the mechanism by which apoptosis occurs in this disease may be independent of elevations in intracellular calcium. Alternatively, increases in apoptosis may be due to disruptions in downstream cell survival signaling as a result of lost interactions between laminin and its two major receptors in skeletal muscle.

Potential increases in survival signaling though laminin receptors in muscle could be the basis for results of a recent study that demonstrated an improvement of dystrophy in mdx mice upon injection of soluble laminin-111, a laminin isoform not normally expressed in skeletal muscle [88]. While the mechanism of this effect is unclear, the benefit of laminin-111 injections may be due to either upregulation of α7-integrin-mediated signaling or integrin-mediated stabilization of the sarcolemma [88]. However, a transgenic approach to deliver laminin-111 to skeletal muscle failed to benefit mdx mice [89] despite its rescue of muscular dystrophy in laminin-α2-deficient muscle [90, 91]. So while laminin α1 and α2 are normally expressed in different tissues, they appear to be functionally similar in promoting muscle cell survival. Whether this important function of laminin in muscle can be targeted therapeutically in all forms of DGC-deficient muscular dystrophy is still debatable.

Integrins are formed as heterodimers of α and β subunits and the predominant alpha isoform expressed in differentiated skeletal muscle, α7 integrin, forms dimers with β1 to form a laminin receptor. Mutations in α7 integrin result in muscular dystrophy in patients and in animal models, and a loss of α7 integrin in muscle has been shown to predominantly affect the structure and function of the myotendinous junction, where α7β1 integrin is highly expressed [92–94]. α7β1 integrin is also expressed at costameres and, similar to α-dystroglycan, can associate intracellularly with cytoskeletal proteins and may contribute to mechanical stability of the sarcolemma [48]. Animals lacking both dystrophin and α7 integrin display a much more severe form of muscular dystrophy than animals lacking either protein alone, which suggests that both laminin receptors may be required at the sarcolemma and can potentially compensate for one another [95]. Transgenic overexpression of α7 integrin can partially alleviate muscle disease in dystrophin/utrophin double knock-out mice independently of any change in expression of DGC components [49, 96]. However, integrins are associated with a number of signaling pathways and can alter AKT and MAP kinase activity in a contraction-dependent manner [97]. Therefore, some of the improvement observed when integrins are overexpressed in dystrophic muscle may be in part due to changes in cell signaling rather than direct prevention of sarcolemma damage.

While the integrins may function as laminin-dependent signaling receptors in skeletal muscle [98], whether dystroglycan and the DGC may similarly participate in downstream signal transduction cascades is less clear. Rather than simply serving as a membrane “stabilizer”, dystrophin has also been proposed to serve as a sensor for membrane tension and function early in the development of skeletal muscle [99]. The authors of this early study proposed that this function of the DGC may be achieved through either interactions with stretch-activated cation channels or through regulation of a downstream signaling mechanism analogous to integrin signaling. Such signaling would likely be important for either growth of differentiated fibers or for mediating proliferation or fusion of satellite cells with regenerating fibers. Interestingly, when the dystroglycan gene was specifically targeted in differentiated skeletal muscle using cre-loxP-mediated recombination, the phenotype was surprisingly mild compared to other models of DGC-related muscular dystrophy [100]. The residual expression of dystroglycan in satellite cells suggested that dystroglycan might have an unappreciated role in this cell type, which could be important for either cell signaling within satellite cells or for interactions with the basal lamina [100]. However, how the DGC may be functioning in muscle regeneration is not known.

Given the critical role of laminin in promoting cell survival signaling in muscle and the existence of two possible receptors that might mediate its effects, dissecting the molecular mechanisms of each would certainly be a key advance towards understanding how disruptions can result in muscle disease. A truncated form of laminin-α1 was recently generated that lacks LG domains 4-5 and can prevent dystroglycan binding while retaining the LG domains necessary for laminin interactions with integrins. In contrast to full length laminin-α1, when this truncated laminin-α1 was transgenically expressed in laminin-α2-deficient muscle, several fibers in select muscle groups were still apoptotic [101]. Because transgenic expression of full length laminin-α1 can fully rescue the dy/dy phenotype, this suggests that interactions between dystroglycan and laminin are also likely important for cell survival signaling. This is the first study to our knowledge that directly implicates dystroglycan in laminin dependent survival signaling in muscle in vivo and suggests that disruption of dystroglycan-dependent signaling may also contribute to the pathology of muscular dystrophy.

Several studies using primarily cell culture systems have shown that the DGC may be capable of participating as a scaffold for various signal transduction cascades (Figure 2). β-Dystroglycan is capable of binding multiple signaling and adaptor proteins known to be important for myoblast differentiation and cell survival signaling [102–105]. The c-terminus of β-dystroglycan contains a proline-rich region
that can bind Grb2, a well known adaptor protein [102, 106] and may be important for recruiting additional components of the MAP kinase pathway [104]. Dystroglycan can also be phosphorylated at tyrosine892 near the c-terminus of β-DG [103, 107] and may function to regulate interactions between dystrophin and caveolin-3 [107, 108]. This phosphorylation has also been shown to be adhesion dependent and enables dystroglycan to recruit several SH2-domain containing proteins, including c-Src and Fyn, to the sarcolemma [109]. In an unrelated study, these two kinases were also shown to be associated with the DGC and functioned to phosphorylate the DGC protein syntrophin [105]. In the presence of laminin, syntrophin was shown to associate with the DGC and mediate downstream Rac1 signaling that led to increased activity of c-jun. This result was suggested to explain how increased doses of laminin in vitro led to a dose-dependent increase in cell proliferation in C2C12 myoblasts [110]. An increase in Rac1 signaling was also observed following muscle contraction, which suggested that interactions between laminin and dystroglycan are important for enabling the DGC to function as a laminin-dependent mechanoreceptor [105].

The PI3K/AKT pathway is an important signaling pathway essential for muscle cell survival, growth, and hypertrophy that has been suggested to function downstream of the DGC. Disruption of laminin/dystroglycan binding in vitro by antibody blockade results in a decrease in PI3K-mediated phosphorylation of AKT and is associated with an increase in apoptosis [111]. This result may be mediated in part through interactions of the DGC with heterotrimeric G-proteins, which has also been shown to be laminin-dependent. In the presence of laminin, dystroglycan can be immunoprecipitated in a complex with Gβγ and PI3K and leads to an increase in AKT activation [112]. Therefore, the authors of this study concluded that, in muscular dystrophies where the DGC is disrupted, loss of an interaction with Gβγ can impair PI3K signaling and may contribute to disease pathology. Several studies have demonstrated perturbations in AKT signaling in mdx muscle but generally have demonstrated an increase in AKT activity rather than a decrease that would be predicted by these cell culture studies [112, 113]. This potentially could be due to increased AKT signaling downstream of α7β1 integrin, which is upregulated in mdx muscle [114] and has been shown to be beneficial when overexpressed in dystrophic muscle either directly [115] or downstream of IGF-1 [116–118].

While the potential loss of PI3K/AKT signaling downstream of laminin binding may impact muscle function through its effect on cell survival or growth, skeletal muscle function may also be compromised due to increases in activity of the ubiquitin-proteasome system (UPS) [119]. MuRF1 and MAFbx/atrogin-1 are important mediators of skeletal muscle atrophy that function to ubiquitinate target proteins which subsequently results in their destruction by the proteasome [119]. In a recent study, decreased phosphorylation of AKT was demonstrated in laminin-deficient muscle and was associated with an increase in total amount of ubiquitinated protein [120]. Additionally, pharmacological inhibition of the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway in laminin-deficient animals resulted in an amelioration of several pathological features of the disease. This led the authors to conclude that impaired laminin-dependent signaling in dystrophic muscle may also be impacting the UPS and contributing to muscle disease. Although a number of signaling pathways are known to be important for skeletal muscle growth, the exact contributions of disrupted dystroglycan-dependent or integrin-dependent signaling in dystrophic muscle still needs to be formally addressed.

Figure 2: The dystrophin-glycoprotein complex may participate in laminin-dependent signaling in skeletal muscle. Interactions shown in blue indicate interactions that have been shown to be increased when laminin is bound to dystroglycan. Interactions in pink indicate those that have been shown to be increased following a muscle contraction protocol. Since the phosphorylation of β-DG can bind a number of other SH2-domain containing proteins and can also interact with Grb2, it may participate in additional signal transduction cascades that have not yet been identified. It is important to remember that in many cases, these interactions have been studied in cell culture systems and the relevance of these interactions in muscle in vivo has not been extensively studied.
7. Direct Role of the Dystrophin-Glycoprotein Complex in Force Transmission

While muscle damage is hypothesized to be important in the pathogenesis and progression of DGC-associated muscular dystrophy, dystrophic muscle displays considerable muscle weakness even in very early stages of the disease. This weakness, expressed as a reduction in specific force normalized to the cross sectional area of the muscle, occurs prior to muscular atrophy and can even be measured in the presence of pseudohypertrophy in early phases of the disease [121, 122]. Our studies and the studies of others, in soleus muscle of DGC-deficient muscular dystrophies, demonstrate that the soleus muscle is also weak and this weakness is completely independent of an increased susceptibility of the muscle to damage [38, 45]. Therefore, muscle damage that results as a consequence of contraction-induced injury cannot fully explain the muscle weakness in DGC-associated muscular dystrophy.

Given the location of the DGC at costameres in muscle, several investigators have hypothesized that the DGC might contribute to “lateral transmission of force” from the sarcomere to the lateral extracellular matrix [123–126]. While the concept of longitudinal force generated in sarcomeres and transmitted down myofibrils in muscle to the tendon is well studied, the concept of lateral force transmission is less well appreciated. This concept of lateral transmission of force in muscle was first described in frog muscle by Street in the early 1980’s [127]. In these studies, force was shown to be transmitted laterally from a single dissected fiber to the fibers flanking it in a muscle fiber bundle, with little or no decrement. Formal proof that the DGC was important in lateral transmission of force in muscle was lacking. Recently, we developed a novel yoke apparatus to directly measure the transmission of force from the muscle laterally to the extracellular matrix and the epimysium [128]. Applying this approach to mdx muscle, we showed for the first time that loss of DGC function in tibialis anterior muscles of mdx mice was sufficient to cause an approximately 40% loss in lateral force transmission in the muscles. While the precise contribution of each of the other components of the cytoskeleton, the costamere, and the extracellular matrix to the lateral transmission of force in muscle remains to be elucidated, the loss of lateral transmission of force may help explain how loss of the DGC at the lateral membrane contributes muscle weakness and fragility. Furthermore, the lateral transmission of force might be critical in transmitting force around the sites of focal myofiber injury in whole muscle and may help explain the markedly enhanced force deficits caused by lengthening contractions observed in fast muscles of DGC deficient animals (Figure 3).

8. Conclusions

Several studies have suggested that mutations affecting the DGC result in muscular dystrophy due to the importance of this complex in preserving sarcolemmal integrity. This role of the sarcolemma in muscular dystrophy is further supported by the observation that mutations that affect membrane repair can also result in muscle disease [40]. While several reports have demonstrated deficits in force production in dystrophic muscle in response to contraction-induced damage, it is not clear whether these defects are directly due to changes in sarcolemma integrity [37]. Additionally, mutations that affect the DGC have been shown to result in a muscle disease that variably affects the diaphragm, EDL, and soleus muscles. Therefore, an increased susceptibility to mechanical injury does not appear to be an essential step in the dystrophic process that leads to muscle weakness and the progressive decline in muscle function, and incidentally, cannot always account for other observed cellular disruptions such as differences in apoptosis.

An important downstream event that has been attributed to increased sarcolemma permeability through membrane tears is an increase in intracellular calcium. This rise in calcium is capable of negatively impacting skeletal muscle in a multitude of ways and may in fact be an important contributor to the pathology in these diseases. The
mechanism by which DGC mutations can alter the activity of calcium channels is not clear but would support a hypothesis that membrane damage is not an essential event that precedes changes in calcium permeability. Although changes in calcium permeability alone can result in muscle disease, it is unlikely that this is the sole mechanism by which DGC mutations result in muscular dystrophy since interventions that have restored calcium homeostasis have not completely eliminated the disease.

Another consequence of gene mutations that have been shown to be relevant to disease pathology in muscular dystrophy are disruptions in signaling pathways that may be essential to enable skeletal muscle to adapt and respond to ongoing cycles of contraction and relaxation. Laminin mutations result in muscle disease concomitant with increases in apoptosis and a recent study suggests that this defect may be mediated in part through the DGC. In light of emerging evidence that membrane damage is not essential, this gives credence to several in vitro studies that have suggested that dystroglycan and the DGC may be participating in cell survival signaling. Therefore, dystroglycan in combination with other components in the DGC may be serving a function similar to that of α7β1 integrin, which has been more extensively demonstrated in mechano-related signaling [48, 49].

Many recent studies have demonstrated pharmacological and genetic interventions that have resulted in a dramatic improvement of the dystrophic pathology without any obvious protection of the sarcolemma from mechanical damage [129–131]. Discovery of additional signaling pathways directly downstream of the DGC, either those important for survival signaling or related to altered calcium homeostasis, may also serve as potential targets for pharmacological interventions to reduce disease pathology.
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