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The wetting behavior of a liquid on solid substrates is governed by the nature of the effective interaction between the liquid-gas and the solid-liquid interfaces, which is described by the binding potential \( g(h) \) which is an excess free energy per unit area that depends on the liquid film height \( h \). Given a microscopic theory for the liquid, to determine \( g(h) \), one must calculate the free energy for liquid films of any given value of \( h \), i.e., one needs to create and analyze out-of-equilibrium states, since at equilibrium there is a unique value of \( h \), specified by the temperature and chemical potential of the surrounding gas. Here we introduce a Nudged Elastic Band (NEB) approach to calculate \( g(h) \) and illustrate the method by applying it in conjunction with a microscopic lattice density functional theory for the liquid. We also show that the NEB results are identical to those obtained with an established method based on using a fictitious additional potential to stabilize the nonequilibrium states. The advantages of the NEB approach are discussed. Published by AIP Publishing. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4990702]

I. INTRODUCTION: RELEVANCE OF THE BINDING POTENTIAL

To describe a thin film of a liquid on a surface with a liquid-gas interface close to a solid-liquid interface, the so-called binding potential\(^1\) (also referred to as the wetting or disjoining potential\(^2,3\)) or effective interface potential\(^4\) is of great importance. The binding potential \( g(h) \) is an excess free energy per substrate area due to the interaction of the two interfaces. It depends on the film height \( h \), i.e., on the distance between the two interfaces. \( g(h) \) is a key quantity in the study of wetting transitions\(^3,5\) and is a crucial input to coarse-grained (mesoscopic) effective interface models which are used to study both the statics and dynamics of liquids at interfaces. The binding potential is defined for a uniform thickness layer of the liquid on a flat solid wall in the presence of a bulk vapor phase. For partially wetting liquids that form droplets on a solid substrate, the binding potential is particularly important for describing the droplets in the vicinity of the three-phase contact line.

On the one hand, expressions for \( g(h) \) may be derived from microscopic theories by asymptotic methods\(^2\) resulting in relatively simple approximations which consist of combinations of power laws and/or exponentials.\(^3,5\) Such expressions are used in many applications although strictly speaking they are only valid in the large \( h \) limit. In particular, the divergence of power law terms for vanishing \( h \) is problematic. On the other hand, one can avoid such problems by numerically determining \( g(h) \) from microscopic models to obtain a relation that is valid for all film heights. Then, the binding potential is a useful tool to bridge the scales from a quantitative microscopic description to a corresponding mesoscopic coarse-grained description where it enters the effective interface Hamiltonian or mesoscopic free energy. In particular, binding potentials have been extracted from molecular dynamics (MD) computer simulations,\(^7,8\) lattice density functional theory (DFT),\(^9\) and continuum DFT.\(^1\)

To illustrate the reasoning leading to the definition of the binding potential as the contribution to an effective interface Hamiltonian for a partially wetting liquid on a substrate, we consider a two-dimensional (2D) system (cf. Fig. 1), with fluid contained in a rectangular domain, \( A = [0, L_x] \times [0, L_z] \). A thermodynamic description of such a three-phase system can be done using DFT\(^10,11\) in the canonical ensemble, i.e., based on the minimization of a Helmholtz free energy \( \mathcal{F}[\rho] \) as a functional of the density profile, \( \rho(x) \in A \), subject to the constraint that the system contains a fixed number of particles \( N = \int_A \rho(x)dx \) which is enforced by a Lagrange multiplier which is also the chemical potential \( \mu \). Thus, the equilibrium state of the system corresponds to the minimum of the thermodynamic grand potential \( \Omega = \mathcal{F} - \mu \int_A \rho(x)dx \). When there is no substrate wall in the system, the equilibrium state has a uniform density. However, below the critical temperature, two-phase coexistence can occur and one observes phase separation
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and the state with \( \Omega \) minimized grand potential for an imposed value of the adsorption liquid-gas interface (cf. Fig. 1). Of course, neither functional of an effective interface Hamiltonian \( L \) size

FIG. 1. A droplet density profile obtained from lattice DFT in a domain of size \( L_x \times L_z = 100\sigma \times 50\sigma \) with lattice spacing \( \sigma \) and a wall for \( z \leq 0 \). The colour-coding for the density scale is given on the right. The inset shows a density profile of a cut through the droplet at the position indicated by the white dashed line. The height \( h \) is marked with a black dashed line at the interface between the liquid (\( \rho_l \)) and gas (\( \rho_g \)) phases.

...into bulk liquid and bulk gas phases with densities \( \rho_l \) and \( \rho_g \), respectively. At coexistence, the chemical potential \( \mu = \mu_{\text{coex}} \) and the difference between the values of the Omega when the system contains just a single phase and when there is gas-liquid coexistence, per unit area (or length in 2D) of the liquid-gas interfaces, gives the liquid-gas surface tension \( \gamma_{\text{lg}} \). Similarly, when there is a wall present in the system, the excess free energy per wall-area due to the wall-liquid interface (at \( \mu = \mu_{\text{coex}} \)) corresponds to the solid-liquid interfacial tension \( \gamma_{\text{sl}} \). The binding potential is extracted from the difference of the minimized grand potential for an imposed value of the adsorption \( \Gamma = \int_0^L [\rho(z) - \rho^s] \mathrm{d}z \) (identical at all points along the wall) and the state with \( h \to \infty \) (at \( \mu = \mu_{\text{coex}} \)).

As a result, the minimization of the grand potential \( \Omega(\rho(x)) \) with respect to \( \rho(x) \) is reduced to the minimization of an effective interface Hamiltonian \( F[h(x)] \) with respect to a function \( h(x) \) with \( x \in [0, L_x] \) that describes the position of the liquid-gas interface (cf. Fig. 1). Of course, neither functional is known exactly, but a good approximation for the latter is

\[
F[h] = \int_0^{L_x} \left[ g(h(x)) + \gamma_{lg} \xi + \gamma_{sl} \right] \mathrm{d}x. \tag{1}
\]

This excess free energy contains contributions from the liquid-gas and solid-liquid interfaces, i.e., the surface tensions \( \gamma_{lg} \) and \( \gamma_{sl} \), and the interaction energy of the two interfaces, i.e., the binding potential \( g(h) \). Here \( \mathrm{d}x = \xi \mathrm{d}x \) is the local interface element, i.e., \( \xi = \sqrt{1 + (\partial_x h)^2} \) represents the interface metric. For small interface slopes, one can make the small-gradient or long-wave approximation \( \xi \approx 1 + (\partial_x h)^2/2 \) often used in gradient dynamics models on the interface Hamiltonian (also known as thin film or lubrication models).\textsuperscript{12–14}

The crucial step in the coarse-graining procedure is to determine the binding potential from a set of one-dimensional density profiles \( \rho(z) \), where \( z \) is the perpendicular distance from the wall, obtained by minimizing \( \Omega(\rho(z)) \) under the constraint of fixed adsorptions \( \Gamma \) and under the condition that \( \mu = \mu_{\text{coex}} \), i.e., \( \rho(z) \to \rho^s \) for \( z \to \infty \), far from the wall. Since one can define \( h = \Gamma/(\rho_l - \rho_g) \), this is equivalent to imposing the film height \( h \). As discussed in Ref. 15 for the somewhat related case of droplet nucleation, the adsorption constraint condition cannot be implemented through a Lagrange multiplier, since this would shift the chemical potential away from the coexistence value. In Refs. 1 and 9, the authors employ an iterative Picard algorithm for the minimization of the grand potential, where the adsorption is imposed through a self-consistent calculation of an additional fictitious potential \( V_{\text{eff}}(z) \). The \textit{a priori} unknown \( V_{\text{eff}}(z) \) has to be calculated separately for each adsorption and can be interpreted as an additional space-dependent external potential that acts mainly within the liquid, i.e., \( V_{\text{eff}} \to 0 \) for \( z \to \infty \). A detailed discussion of the fictitious potential method can be found in Refs. 9 and 15.

Here, we present an alternative approach based on the Nudged Elastic Band method,\textsuperscript{16–18} also well known as a geometry optimization algorithm used to determine chemical reaction paths. It was successfully employed for a problem similar to that discussed above, namely, to determine the free energy barrier for the nucleation of a liquid drop in a gas phase.\textsuperscript{19} Originally, the NEB method was introduced to determine saddle points on a potential energy landscape as well as corresponding steepest descent paths (SDPs) connecting saddle points and minima.\textsuperscript{20} As mentioned above, our aim here is to obtain from DFT the minimum of the grand potential for a specified adsorption and bulk density. The SDP on the free energy landscape (with respect to the Euclidean metric) obtained by the NEB method can be parametrized by the adsorption. The free energy values along the path are interpreted as an approximation for the required constrained free energy minima. We compare our results obtained from the NEB method to the corresponding results obtained via the fictitious potential approach and show that they are in excellent agreement. Furthermore, we verify that the NEB method does in fact give the SDP by additionally comparing to results obtained from a pseudo-dynamics (i.e., the trajectory given by a non-conserved dynamical equation based on DFT). However, we first introduce DFT.

II. MODEL SYSTEM

As a simple model system, we consider the following discrete lattice DFT grand potential in a reduced one-dimensional (1D) description of a 2D or 3D system (i.e., assuming translation invariance along the wall):

\[
\Omega(\{\rho_i\}) = k_B T \sum_{i=1}^{L_z} \left[ \rho_i \ln(\rho_i) + (1 - \rho_i) \ln(1 - \rho_i) \right] - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{L_z} \sum_{j=1}^{L_z} \epsilon_{ij} \rho_i \rho_j + \sum_{i=1}^{L_z} \rho_i (V_i - \mu). \tag{2}
\]

Here, \( \{\rho_i\} \) are the densities in a system of size \( L_z \), \( k_B \) is the Boltzmann constant, \( T \) is the temperature (in the following \( k_B T = 1 / \beta \)), \( \mu \) is the chemical potential, \( \{V_i\} \) is an external potential modeling the wall interaction, whereas the fluid inter-particle interaction is modeled through the interaction matrix \( \epsilon_{ij} \). In our case, we consider nearest neighbor (i.e., short-range) interactions as well as particle self-interactions that result from the mapping of the particle pair interactions in the full translation-invariant 2D or 3D system, as described...
in Ref. 21. In contrast, the wall potential is long-ranged and acts across the entire system, algebraically decaying as

\[ V_i = -\epsilon_\omega r^3 \quad \text{for} \quad i \geq 1, \]  

(3)

i.e., implicitly \( V_i = \infty \) for \( i < 1 \). As a measure of the thickness of the wetting film, we define the adsorption on a domain of length \( L_z \) according to

\[ \Gamma = \sum_{i=1}^{L_z} (\rho_i - \rho^s), \]  

(4)

with the resulting effective film height \( h = \Gamma/(\rho^s - \rho^l) \).

III. NUDGED ELASTIC BAND APPROACH

The NEB method belongs to the class of double-ended chain-of-states methods for geometric optimization problems,\(^{16-19,20}\) i.e., on a free energy landscape, a set of \( P \) points is distributed between two fixed end points in such a way that a pre-defined criterion is optimized. Here, these points are represented by a sequence of density profiles \( \rho^I = \{\rho_i^I\}, I = 1 \ldots P \), with corresponding values of the grand potential \( \Omega[\rho^I] \) and adsorption \( \Gamma^I \). As end points \( (\rho^0, \rho^{P+1}) \) of the chain, we use the density profiles of a homogeneous bulk gas \( (\rho^0 = \rho^s) \) at zero adsorption \( \Gamma^0 = 0 \) and a bulk liquid phase in contact with the wall \( (\rho^{P+1} = \rho^w) \) with a corresponding \( \Gamma^{P+1} = \Gamma^w \), which minimizes the unconstrained \( \Omega[\rho] \). As initial guesses for all intermediate profiles, we use hyperbolic tangent functions

\[ \rho_i^I = \frac{1}{2}(\rho^s - \rho^l) \tanh (i\sigma - a_t L_z) + \frac{1}{2}, \]  

(5)

placing the liquid-gas interface at the desired positions via the parameter \( a_t \).

An individual optimization process for each single \( \Omega[\rho^I] \) point on the free energy landscape will always reach a minimum of the functional. To prevent this and to well distribute the intermediate profiles \( \rho^I \) between the two end points, an artificial elastic force \( F^\text{elastic} \) is introduced between profiles. Then, the entire chain of elastically joined profiles is optimized in parallel. The elastic energy is proportional to the squared distance between two neighboring density profiles (based on the \( L_2 \) norm)

\[ |\rho^{I+1} - \rho^I|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{L_z} (\rho_i^{I+1} - \rho_i^I)^2. \]  

(6)

Note that if we replace the distance measure between profiles in Eq. (6) by \( \sum_{i=1}^{L_z} |\rho_i^{I+1} - \rho_i^I| \) or even the difference between adsorptions \( |\Gamma^{I+1} - \Gamma^I| \), then the results discussed below do not change. The elastic force only acts along the chain, i.e., it is a parallel force component, whereas the component perpendicular to the chain results from the energy functional \( F^{\text{FE}} = -\partial \Omega[\rho]/\partial \rho \big|_{\rho = \rho^I} \). Thus, on the discretized space of density profiles, we employ the Euclidean metric in order to approximate the constrained minimum free energies by points on a SDP. The overall force vector \( F_{\text{NEB}} = \{F_{\text{NEB}}^1, \ldots, F_{\text{NEB}}^P \} \) to be minimized is composed of the forces acting at all intermediate points \( I = 1 \ldots P \) (i.e., excluding the end points), where

\[ F_{\text{NEB}}^I = \sum_{\parallel} F_{\text{elastic}}^I + \sum_{\perp} F_{\text{FE}}^I, \]  

(7)

with \( \parallel \) and \( \perp \) as projection operators onto the direction parallel and perpendicular to the chain, respectively. The projection operators are obtained via a tangent formalism and the detailed form of \( F_{\text{elastic}}^I \) can be found in Ref. 16. For the minimization of

![FIG. 2](image-url)
The approach can be readily used for calculations based on other microscopic models, e.g., continuum DFT models, to derive coarse-grained mesoscopic binding potentials. Using these in interface Hamiltonians allows for studies of wetting transitions, mesoscopic static droplet shapes, and even mesoscopic droplet dynamics. It is a very efficient tool for parameter studies because the entire binding potential is calculated in one single optimization procedure and the point chains (chains of density profiles) obtained for one parameter set can be directly employed as initial guesses for the calculation at neighboring parameter sets. A future challenge consists in extending the presented method to determine binding potentials for complex fluids where it depends not only on the film height but also on other, internal, degrees of freedom. This would allow one to provide mesoscopic gradient dynamics models for such fluids with correct coarse-grained wetting energies.
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In the context of studying nucleation pathways, in Ref. 25, a non-Euclidean metric motivated by dynamical considerations is employed to calculate a nucleation pathway (in contrast to Ref. 19 where a Euclidean metric is considered). The approach here is in the first instance applicable to static droplets, so there is no analogous dynamical reasoning.