Establishment of chromium detecting kit and its application in sea water and seafood
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Abstract. Chromium is one of main pollution in sea water, and the establishment of detecting kit is the research hot topic. In this study, immunized mice were obtained by immunogen Cr3+-EDTA-BSA, and hybridoma strains that secrete Cr3+-EDTA monoclonal antibody were selected. Cr3+-EDTA mAb were prepared with in vivo method. Then, Cr-kit for measurement of chromium was developed, and its application was executed in sea water and seafood. Two hybridoma strains of A321 and A424 were screened. The Cr-kit standard curve of the linear range was 1.0—512 μg/L, and limit of detection was 1.0 μg/L. The average recovery rates Cr spiked in samples of sea water, clam and shrimp were 102.62%, 94.69% and 96.29%, which were accordance with the results of ICP-AES. Totally, Cr-kit was successfully developed and behaved broad application potential in sea water and seafood.

1 Introduction

With the development of economy, heavy metal pollution is more and more serious in marine environments[1]. Heavy metal pollution not only directly damages the natural environment, but can enrich in organisms through adsorption or ingestion of marine organisms. The enriched metals directly endanger growth and survival of marine organisms, and damages human health by feeding[2,3].

It is difficult to control the marine pollution caused by heavy metals, which can not be decomposed by microorganisms[4]. Therefore, it is a key measure to detect the sea frequently to prevent marine pollution. Now, the chemical analysis methods can detect the heavy metal content in marine environment or organisms, but the results can not reflect the toxic effect of pollutants on organisms [5]. Therefore, it is necessary to establish a convenient, economic and sensitive bioassay method.

The purpose of this study is to prepare Cr3+ monoclonal antibodies, develop chromium detection kit, and provide an effective method for the detection of chromium in seawater and seafood.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Materials

Chromium chloride is analytical grade. Freund's complete and incomplete adjuvants are Roche products. Immunogen Cr3+-EDTA BSA and coating antigen Cr3+-EDTA OVA are synthesized by Shanghai Yaoqiang Biotechnology Co., Ltd.

2.2 Experiment methods

2.2.1 Preparation of Cr3+-EDTA mAb

The mice were immunized with the immunogen Cr3+-EDTA BSA, then the blood was collected to separate serum, and the antibody titer was detected. The immune spleen cells and tumor cells were mixed, screened and cloned to determine the monoclonal hybridoma cells and establish the positive hybridoma cell line. The Cr3+-EDTA mAb was prepared by intraperitoneal injection of Freund's incomplete adjuvant and tumor cells. After obvious enlargement in mice abdomen, the ascites were collected and purified by affinity chromatography[6].

2.2.2 The preparation of Cr-kit

(1) The optimum concentrations of coating antigen

Cr3+-EDTA OVA, Cr3+-EDTA mAb and RaMlgG-HRP were determined with chessboard format[2].

(2) Assembly of Cr-kit

Enzyme labeled board was coated with diluted Cr3+-EDTA-OVA with 100 μL/well, and then blocked by blocking buffer with 250 μL/well. Other reagents include Cr3+-EDTA mAb, RaMlgG-HRP, substrate buffer and stop solution.

(3) Operation procedure of Cr-kit

Firstly, the labeled board was coated with 50 μL/well Cr3+-EDTA mAb, incubated at 37 °C for 20 min, and then washed for 6 times with PBST buffer. Secondly, 50
μL/well RaMIgG-HRP and Cr\(^{3+}\)-EDTA standard samples were separately added, incubated at 37 °C for 20 min, and then washed for 6 times with PBST buffer. Thirdly, 100 μL/well substrate buffer was added and visualization for 5 min at room temperature. Finally, 100μL/well stop buffer was added and recorded A450 value.

(4) Establishment of standard working curve of Cr-kit

According to the above operation procedure of the Cr-kit, the A450 value of standard solution (B) and blank solution (B₀) were detected. B/B₀ value was taken as ordinate, and the common logarithm of standard solutions was taken as the abscissa to draw the standard curve.

(5) Sample pretreatment

For liquid samples such as sea water, 0.1 mol/L EDTA was added and mixed. After incubation for 30 min, the mixture was centrifuged for 10 min at 5000 rpm and A450 of the supernatant was detected. For seafood such as clam and shrimp, 1.0 g sample was added to flask, and then add 1 ml double-distilled water and 6 ml mixed acid solution (3 ml H\(_3\)PO\(_4\) and 3 mL H\(_2\)SO\(_4\)). The sample was heated to white smoke. After cooling, the flask was added 0.5 ml HNO\(_3\), and heated until the sample turns white. The digested sample was transferred into 50 ml centrifuge tube, and centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 10 min. The supernatant was moved into 100 mL flask, and then added 10 mL Na\(_2\)SO\(_3\) (1 mol/L, pH 9.5). After stirring 1 h, 10 ml 0.1 mol/L EDTA was added, the sample was obtained with a final volume of 100 ml.

2.2.3 Performance of Cr-kit properties

(1) Detection limit and stability

The IC\(_{15}\) value of Cr\(^{3+}\)-EDTA was taken as the detection limit[7]. The Cr-kit was stored in a refrigerator at 4 °C. The stability of the kits was determined at 0, 30, 90, 180, 270 and 360 days.

(2) Accuracy and precision

The Cr\(^{3+}\)-EDTA standard solution was added to seawater, clam and shrimp separately, with the final concentration of 0 μg/L, 5.0 μg/L, 10.0 μg/L and 50.0 μg/L in 3 samples. The accuracy was determined by recovery and standard deviation of 6 paralleled determinations. The Cr-kits were assembled at different time periods, and the precision was determined by intra- and inter-assay of standard deviation in 6 paralleled samples.

2.2.4 Application of the Cr-kit in seawater and seafood

Three seawater samples, three clams and three shrimps were collected from Weihai, Shandong. The samples blocked with blocking buffer were used as negative control. The Cr content was detected with Cr-kit and ICP-AES respectively to check the consistency.

3 Results and analysis

3.1 Preparation of Cr\(^{3+}\)-EDTA mAb

The results of cell fusion are shown in Figures 1 and 2. The results showed that four mice behaved high titers, of which M2 manifested the highest titer value of 1:(4.98×10^4) and the lowest IC\(_{50}\). Therefore, M2 was selected for cell fusion.
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Fig.1. Titer detection of the supernatant antibodies

The screening results of hybridoma cell lines showed the positive rate of the fused cells was 100% after three cloning. According to the determined results of titer and IC\(_{50}\), two hybridoma cell lines A321 and A424 with high efficiency and high sensitivity were screened.
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Fig. 2. Inhibitory curves of supernatant antibodies against Cr\(^{3+}\)-EDTA

3.2 Development of Cr-kit

The best working concentrations of Cr\(^{3+}\)-EDTA-OVA, Cr\(^{3+}\)-EDTA-mAb and RaMIgG-HRP were 2.2 μg/mL, 1:(4.98×10^4) and 1:(10^5) respectively. The standard curve of Cr-kit was shown in Figure 3. The curvilinear regression equation was y = -34.463x + 101.3, R\(^2\) = 0.9933, IC\(_{50}\) = 28.29 μg/L, and the linear range was
1.0—512 μg/L.

\[ y = -34.463x + 101.3 \]
\[ R^2 = 0.9933 \]

**Fig. 3.** Calibration curve of ELISA kit

### 3.3 Performance test of Cr-kit

#### 3.3.1 Detection limit and stability determination

The IC₁₅ of Cr-kit of Cr³⁺-EDTA was 0.9 μg/L, and the detection limit is defined as 1.0 μg/L due to the existence of error.

The stability test result was shown in Table 1. During the storage period of 270 days, the detection limit, linear range, IC₅₀ and R² had no significant changes. However, the decrease of absorbance and the increase of IC₅₀ were significant at 360 days. Therefore, the shelf life of the Cr-kit was 270 days.

**Table 1. The stability detection of Cr-Kit**

| Conservation time/d | IC₅₀(μg/L) | R²    | Amax  |
|---------------------|------------|-------|-------|
| 0                   | 8.45       | 0.9799| 0.993 |
| 30                  | 8.50       | 0.9816| 0.987 |
| 90                  | 8.58       | 0.9836| 0.935 |
| 180                 | 8.65       | 0.9798| 0.875 |
| 270                 | 8.73       | 0.9872| 0.856 |
| 360                 | 10.29      | 0.9259| 0.612 |

**3.3.2 Determination of accuracy and precision**

The results of the accuracy and precision determination are shown in Table 2. For seawater sample, the recovery rate was 99.76% - 105.3% with an average of 102.6%, and RSD was 8.9% - 13.5% with an average of 10.3%. For clam samples, the recovery rate was 99.7% - 104.6% with an average of 101.8%, and RSD was 6.3% - 12.3% with an average of 9.5%. For shrimp samples, the recovery rate was 92.6% - 98.6% with an average of 96.3%, and RSD was 8.6% - 10.9% with an average of 9.5%. The results showed that four treatments were significantly different among different samples (P < 0.05), and RSD was relatively stable with no significant difference (P > 0.05). The results showed Cr-kit was fit for the determination of total chromium residue.

**Table 2. The accuracy and precision detection of Cr-Kit**

| Sample     | Cr³⁺-EDTA concentration (μg/L) | Detection value (μg/L) | Recovery (%) | RSD (%) |
|------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|--------------|---------|
| Sea water  | 0                              | 0.083±0.04 a           | —            | 9.6     |
|            | 5                              | 5.14±0.23 b            | 102.8        | 13.5    |
|            | 10                             | 10.53±0.87 c           | 105.3        | 8.9     |
|            | 50                             | 49.88±2.12 d           | 99.76        | 9.3     |
| Clam       | 0                              | 0.12±0.03 a            | —            | 10.6    |
|            | 5                              | 4.73±0.31 b            | 94.6         | 12.3    |
|            | 10                             | 9.28±0.79 c            | 92.8         | 8.7     |
|            | 50                             | 48.33±3.06 d           | 96.66        | 6.3     |
| Shrimp     | 0                              | 0.13±0.05 a            | —            | 10.9    |
|            | 5                              | 4.93±0.21 b            | 98.6         | 8.6     |
|            | 10                             | 9.26±0.32 c            | 92.6         | 9.5     |
|            | 50                             | 48.83±3.10 d           | 97.66        | 8.8     |

Note: Different lowercase letters in the same column indicate significant difference (p<0.05).

#### 3.3.3 Effect of pH to Cr-kit

The effect of pH on the kit was shown in Figure 4. The results showed that pH influenced the Cr-kit greatly. When pH<7.0, B₀ and IC₅₀ increased significantly, which affected the sensitivity of Cr-kit. When pH > 8.5, B₀ and IC₅₀ increased slightly and affected the accuracy of Cr-kit. Therefore, pH 7.0-8.5 is the optimum reaction condition.
3.4 Application of Cr-kit in sea water and seafood

Table 3 showed the detection results of Cr concentration in sea water and seafood. The data indicated the detection results of Cr-kit and ICP-AES were basically the same, and RSD was relatively stable.

In this study, the Cr concentration in Weihai sea area was about 1.0-3.1 μg/kg and higher than that of 0.3-0.47 μg/kg in Longdao sea area of Tangshan City, Hebei Province[8]. In clam and shrimp, the Cr concentration was about 0.08-2.12 μg/kg and lower further than that of 2.0 mg/kg in Shaoxing City seafood market[9].

Table 3. The Cr concentration detection of sea water and seafood

| Sample  | No.  | Cr-Kit (μg/kg) | ICP-AES (μg/kg) |
|---------|------|----------------|-----------------|
| Sea water| SW1  | 2.18±0.068     | 2.17±0.033      |
|         | SW2  | 3.06±0.032     | 3.11±0.018      |
|         | SW3  | 1.02±0.073     | 1.06±0.024      |
| Clam    | CL1  | 1.89±0.12      | 1.92±0.081      |
|         | CL2  | 1.35±0.066     | 1.2±0.018       |
|         | CL3  | 1.22±0.038     | 1.35±0.043      |
| Shrimp  | SH1  | 0.084±0.006    | 0.086±0.005     |
|         | SH2  | 1.22±0.054     | 1.25±0.048      |
|         | SH3  | 2.12±0.069     | 2.2±0.055       |

4 Conclusion

The quality, performance and detection limit of Cr-kit depend on the affinity of the antibody[10]. In this study, a detection method for heavy metal chromium was established by high affinity antibody. The detection limit of Cr-kit was 1 μg/L, and the detection range was 1.0—512 μg/L. The accuracy of standard curve is determined by the setting of the standard point. In this study, the standard point was set as IC15, which was on the same curve with the content of Cr3+-EDTA. Additionally, through the recovery test and practical application, the standard point behaved good linear relationship, high sensitivity and accuracy.

In this study, Cr3+-EDTA mAb with high titer, high affinity and strong specificity was prepared by cell fusion technology. Cr-kit for total chromium contamination in sea water and seafood was successfully developed. The kit behaved the advantages of low detection limit, good accuracy and strong specificity, and showed broad prospects and application value.
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