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Abstract

This paper presents two sets of lexical items automatically extracted from the Arabic Qur’ān, and denoting two different notions of linguistic salience: *keyness* and prosodic *prominence*. Our novel hypothesis investigates a possible correlation between them. Our novel findings discover distributionally significant keywords that also occur strategically in phrase-final position so as to maximise their prominence, and thus meaningfulness, for reader, reciter, and aural recipient. Our methodology first computes Quranic keywords via the Corpus Linguistics technique of Keyword Extraction, and maps them to major Quranic themes in Islamic scholarship. Next, we implement a bespoke algorithm for rule-based capture of words annotated with *madd* or prolongation, a specific type of prosodic highlighting in Quranic recitation rules or *tajwīd*. We find it especially interesting that the concept of final syllable lengthening (*madd* before pause) is encoded in *tajwīd* and effectively demarcates phrase boundaries in the Qur’ān. We concentrate on nominal keywords (i.e. nouns and adjectives) since these are more likely to be aligned with phrase edges and to bear the hallmarks of pre-boundary lengthening. This correlation between keyness and prominence occurs 43.29% of the time in our data, since 526 keywords appear in our extracted subset of nominal types tagged with *madd* before pause: \((526/1215)*100\). Finally, we identify which Quranic keywords are most likely to be annotated with enhanced prolongation in the final syllable before pause, using an easy-to-interpret, single value metric: the *Laplace Point Estimate*. Keywords that emerge as semantically weighted in terms of both distributional and prosodic significance are most likely to reflect the Quranic themes of *God*, *Nature*, and *Eschatology*.
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1. Introduction

In this paper, we describe and implement two approaches for automatic extraction of meaningful terms in the Arabic Qur’ān. These approaches presuppose two different notions of linguistic salience – that associated with statistical significance or *keyness* (Scott 1997) versus that associated with prosodic prominence — and yield two corresponding item sets. We compute the first set via the Corpus Linguistics technique of Keyword Extraction (KWE) which identifies words of unusual frequency in a test corpus (in this case the Qur’ān) versus a suitable reference corpus. We extract the second set via rule-based capture and frequency profiling of words marked as prosodically prominent according to the rules of traditional Quranic recitation or *tajwīd*. Finally, we focus on overlap between these sets, and propose a metric for measuring this overlap. This is original research since a potential correlation between distributionally significant and prosodically prominent words is hitherto unexplored both in the Qur’ān and in Corpus Linguistics more generally. We explore this hypothesis via corpus-based (quantitative) and qualitative approaches. Also, by juxtaposing these two different notions of salience (i.e. keyness and prominence), we hope to gain further insight into the prosody-semantics interface.

We postulate that keywords extracted via computation over the Qur’ān may already be encoded as meaningful in Quranic *tajwīd*. *Tajwīd* has evolved as a discipline within Islamic Studies, and an annotation system for the Qur’ān to facilitate clear articulation and phrasing during a recitation of the scripture. Central to this paper is the concept of *prolongation* or *madd* manifest in *tajwīd* mark-up of nuclei in lengthened syllables, since enhanced duration has long been accepted as an acoustic cue to prominence (Mishra et al. 2012; Klatt 1976). Another important aspect is prescriptive mark-up of pauses or *waqf* within and between verses, especially since pre-boundary lengthening is implicitly identified in a subset of *madd*. Our *madd* extraction algorithm operates over plain text and phonemic transcriptions to identify and count instances of all the different types of *madd* in the Qur’ān, thus enabling comparison of our subset with extracted keywords. Language processing is accomplished in Python and Python-based Arabic language processing tools.

This interdisciplinary investigation necessitates discussion of English as well as Arabic linguistic theory since it is through the former that key concepts linking prosody and information status have gained recognition and acceptance. These are covered in depth in Section 2.
of this paper. Theoretical discussions continue in Sections 3 and 4 which cover prolongation in Quranic *tajwīd*, and the likelihood ratio test for statistical significance and corpus comparison respectively. To maintain continuity for the reader, we then report on KWE in two consecutive sections, dealing with corpora used in our experiments and corpus pre-processing in Section 5, and detailed analysis and interpretation of extracted keywords in Section 6. In Section 7, we present our algorithm for codification of the different types of *madd*, and extraction and frequency profiling of words in two subsets of pre-boundary words. The resulting lexical items are then discussed in relation to our keyword lists (Section 8), and conclusions drawn (Section 9).

2. Prosody and Information Status

There is an extensive body of literature on prosody per se and on the information-bearing aspects of perceptually prominent words. Prosody has been studied from a variety of theoretical perspectives and experimental approaches, including: phonetics; phonology; syntax; and discourse. In this section, we draw on this diversity to review key concepts in the realization and interpretation of prosodic prominence and phrasing in relation to information status in both English and Arabic as a basis for interpreting *tajwīd* annotation of *madd* (prolongation) on syllable nuclei in Quranic Arabic.

2.1 Realisation of Prosodic Prominence: Evidence from English Phonetics

Prosodic prominence in speech is measured in terms of acoustic and articulatory phenomena such as energy, duration, and fundamental frequency (Mishra et al. 2012). In a landmark study in the field of speech communication for English, Klatt (1976) associates prominence with duration at various segmental levels (phonemes, syllables, and words), and durational patterns with meaningfulness. Variability in segmental timing is partly due to the intrinsic properties of individual phonemes, but is also influenced by stress, emphasis, and positional factors, namely: the location of a phoneme within a word, and the location of a word within an utterance. Increased duration due to stress, emphasis and/or position largely affects vowel segments and some postvocalic consonant segments (*i.e.* sonorants and fricatives).
Stress, emphasis, and position are interrelated. The location of word stress in English is said to be variable; but stressed syllables are typically perceived as being louder and longer than others, having a full vowel not a reduced one. Stressed syllables may also acquire extra emphasis under certain constraints; this is realized via fluctuations in pitch, hence the term pitch accent. In another landmark, phonetics-based study by Fry (1958), the most significant correlate of perceived prominence was found to be higher frequency ($F_0$). The relationship between stress and pitch accents is widely accepted since the latter are assigned to stressed syllables within a word. The interrelationship between stress, pitch accents, and location then operates at phrasal level. Gordon (2014) affirms a dominant, cross-linguistic pattern of promoting the primary stressed syllable of the rightmost content word within an intonational phrase (IP) to the status of pitch accent in default prosodic conditions; and aligning pitch accents with primary stresses is also habitual in Arabic (de Jong and Zawaydeh 1999). Wichmann et al (2009) define an IP or ‘chunk’ as a sequence of (transcribed) speech that contains at least one pitch-accented word. Where there is more than one tonal prominence within the IP, the rightmost content word attracts the most prominent pitch accent (Ladd 1996 in Gordon 2014).

2.1.1 Pre-Boundary Lengthening

Final syllable lengthening is a prosodic device in many languages, including English (Klatt 1976) and Arabic (De Jong and Zawaydeh 1999), whereby a segment/phoneme in word-final position is demonstrably longer than that same segment/phoneme in word-medial position. Wightman et al. (1992) further specify that pre-boundary lengthening occurs in the rhyme of the final syllable, namely: the vowel and subsequent consonant(s), but not the consonantal onset. They also find that this lengthening effect is both prevalent and highly informative since it is used cumulatively by speakers to distinguish different boundary types: segments in final position of larger prosodic domains are characteristically longer than those in final position of smaller domains (ibid.; Gordon and Munro 2007).

2.2 Interpretation of Prosodic Prominence in English Phonology and Discourse

Klatt (1976) associates prosodic prominence (including durational and positional features) with meaning. However, there is still controversy in the literature as to how prosody and phrasing relate to infor-
mativeness (Calhoun 2010a; 2010b). The main contention is whether prominence (e.g. the distribution of pitch accents) reflects prosodic or discourse structure (Hellmuth 2007).

2.2.1 The Prosodic Hierarchy

In the predominant Autosegmental-Metrical (AM) model of intonational phonology (Nespor and Vogel 1986; Selkirk 1986), the so-called prosodic hierarchy defines a series of phonological units below the level of an utterance, namely (in descending order): intonational phrase (IP); phonological phrase (PhP) or ($\varphi$); prosodic word ($\omega$); foot ($f$); syllable ($\sigma$); mora ($\mu$); (and possibly) segment. Phonological phrases may be further decomposed into: (i) an intermediate or major phonological phrase; and (ii) an accentual or minor phonological phrase (Selkirk 2005). Stress and pitch then relate to meaning at different levels of this hierarchy (Frota et al. 2012), where, in general terms, stress operates at word-level, and pitch at the level of phrasing (Gordon 2014).

2.2.2 Prosody and Phrasing

Intonational and phonological phrases are important concepts for understanding the syntax-phonology interface and can be realized and detected phonetically via ‘prominent’ tonal and durational cues such as: phrase (edge) tones; boundary tones; pitch reset; pre-boundary (i.e. final syllable) lengthening; and pause. However, for English, complexity is introduced by the co-occurrence of what are variously identified as phonetic, metrical, syntactic, and pragmatic entities at/near rightmost phrase edges. English is constrained by its metrical structure to mark the head of every phonological phrase with a pitch accent (Hellmuth 2014; Calhoun 2010b; Wichmann et al. 2009), where the nuclear or most prominent pitch accent is habitually reserved for the final phrase in the utterance. Furthermore, pitch accented words frequently coincide with phrase and boundary tones since they tend to be phrase-terminal. This in turn overlaps with the right-branching bias in syntactic structure, where tonal prominences frequently align with syntactic heads, and where syntax — conceptualized as content versus function words, or part-of-speech tags, or treebank-style parse features — has proven to be a reliable predictor of prosodic-syntactic phrase boundaries in automated phrase break prediction (Liberman and Church 1992; Taylor and Black 1998; Read and Cox 2007).
2.2.3 The Discourse Functions of Prosody

Finally, prosodic prominence and phrasing are thought to signal information structure, where key concepts are: (i) focus (F-marking); (ii) givenness; and (iii) and the theme-rheme (or topic-comment) construct (Gundel 1988; Halliday 1967). In the analysis of discourse, mentions of an entity are either ‘given’ or ‘new’: they either refer back to, or update the existing discourse model. New information is F-marked, and pitch accents are thought to be functionally motivated in highlighting salience (newness). Prosodic phrasing then relates to the organization of information within an utterance. The distribution of F-marked items is considered in terms of theme-rheme, namely: the previously established (given) topic or theme, and new commentary on that topic (the rheme).

There is broad consensus that prosodic prominence and phrasing are crucial to conveying meaning. Prosody is used to partition the speech stream into chunks of constituents that can be interpreted together (phrasing), and highlight new and important content within each chunk (prominence). One characteristic of English is that it likes to chunk and highlight at the same time (Brierley and Atwell 2007); due to right-branching bias, prominent words tend to complete a phrase group. The traditional view is that pitch accents are functionally motivated and mark focus: this is termed the ‘accent-first’ or ‘pitch-first’ approach (Hellmuth 2007; Calhoun 2010a). However, prominence is also constrained by metrical structure; accents emerge at strong nodes within a hierarchical, binary-branching system of weak versus strong syllables. This is the ‘stress-first’ approach (Hellmuth 2007): pitch accents are, first and foremost, phonologically-determined events. For a language like English, the analysis of form (stress-first) coincides with the analysis of function (pitch-first) since pitch accents mark phrase level prominence and hence interact with focus.

2.3 Realisation and Interpretation of Prosodic Prominence in Arabic

Arabic is similar to English in that it is stress-timed (Nespor et al. 2011; Jun 2005). It has pitch accents aligned to primary stresses (de Jong and Zawaydeh 1999) and to constituents within the prosodic hierarchy (Hellmuth 2007). The prosodic typology of Arabic is in fact very similar to that of English (Jun 2005). In both languages, prominence is marked by stress at the lexical level, and demarcation of the heads of prosodic units at the post-lexical level (ibid.). Furthermore, rhythmic units in both languages are conceived of as the
metrical foot (a grouping of strong and weak syllables) at level of lexis, and the intermediate phonological phrase (PhP/φ) and intonational (IP) phrase at the post-lexical level (ibid).

Lexical stress is much more predictable in Standard and Classical Arabic than in English, however, being a function of syllable weight and position (Ryding 2014; Watson 2011). In ‘full-form’ (i.e. fully-inflected) pronunciation, primary stress in Arabic falls on: (i) the first syllable of disyllabic words; (ii) the penultimate syllable if it is heavy or (failing that) the antepenultimate syllable in polysyllabic words (Ryding 2014). Stress increases vowel durations in Arabic as in English, but quantity lengthening/contrast in Arabic is primarily phonemic: it is a mechanism for differentiating the long and short vowels (de Jong and Zawaydeh 2002). As we shall see in Section 3, prolongation in Quranic tajwid only affects the long vowels (ي و ی). Furthermore, since the rules of tajwid recitation are there to ensure clarity of perception as well as production, the device of prolongation as a form of ‘hyperarticulation’ (de Jong 1995) serves to maximise vocalic contrasts. This in turn has been noted as an overarching feature of stress-timed versus syllable-timed languages: the former exhibit more variation (contrast) between two consecutive vowel durations (Jun 2005).

In a landmark study of durational, spectral and F0 correlates of stress and word-final juncture in Jordanian Arabic, de Jong and Zawaydeh (1999) found that the realisation of Arabic word-level prosody is very similar to that of English. Most notably, they observed extensive pre-boundary lengthening effects as distinct from stress. They also observed tonicity (pitch accents) associated with stressed syllables, and juncture-marking phrasal pitch specifications (tonality). Their conclusions reassert the cognitive function of prosodic prominence and phrasing as a language universal. Furthermore, in a later study (de Jong and Zawaydeh 2002), they engage with the discourse notion of focus, and its realisation at syllable, word, and phrase level; and they again differentiate stress from positional lengthening: while both produce longer durations, only stress produces more extreme formant values.

3. Quranic Tajwid and Madd

The Qur’ān as a scriptural document has undergone some developmental changes which ultimately lead us to the standard copy (muṣḥaf) that we have today. Five distinct phases can be identified with the progression of the Quranic text, all of which are significant for
Quranic *tajwīd*. The first phase begins with the ‘master copy’ or Uthman Codex (*al-rasm al-uthmānī*) which was initiated to unite all Muslims upon one standardized ‘book’; this may be termed ‘codexing’ (*tarsīm*). The next phase ushers in the use of diacritical points; this may be termed ‘consonantisation’ (*i'jām*), since the application of such provides clarity to pronunciation of letters. The third phase involves the introduction of short vowels (*harakāt*); this can be termed ‘vowelisation’ (*tashkīl*), which offers clarity to the pronunciation of words. The fourth phase introduces orthographic signs, pauses (*waqf*) to ensure correct meaning, and numerals to separate the verses. This may be termed ‘punctuation’ (*tarqīm*) and is most significant for the rules of *madd*. The fifth and final phase is the crystallization of all the previous phases into the set of *tajwīd* recitation rules. The attempts of *tajwīd* experts to codify and objectively reproduce the original, ‘revelatory’ pronunciations demonstrate that standardization of the Quranic text did not end with Uthman’s initiative.

The *tajwīd* recitation rules seek to encapsulate and facilitate reproduction of canonical (and indigenous) pronunciations of Arabic phonemes passed down through the oral tradition (Shah 2003). At the same time, they represent ancient, insightful linguistic analyses by a handful of trained Quranic reciters or *qurrā’*, plus early Arab grammarians such as Al-Khalīl (d. [around] 170/786), Sibawayh (d. [around] 180/796), and (later) Al-Khāqānī (d. [around] 325/936) which are pertinent to modern, Western linguistic theory (ibid.; Heselwood and Hassan 2011; Brierley et al. 2016). Giving each letter its ‘rights’ and its ‘dues’ during Quranic recitation means pronouncing each Arabic phoneme perfectly in terms of place and manner of articulation (its rights), plus coarticulatory context (its dues), so as to distinguish it from any other phoneme in the language and thus preclude error or distortion in transmission. The subset of *tajwīd* rules governing vowel durations is known as *madd* or prolongation.

### 3.1 Overview of the Different Types of Madd

Quranic *tajwīd* defines three overarching branches of *madd* or prolongation of syllable nuclei and these are: (i) natural *madd*; (ii) *madd* ‘gal’; and (iii) *madd* ‘gal’.

---

2 Traditions has it that Qatāda asked the companion Anas Ibn Mālik: ‘How was the Prophet’s recitation?’ — to which Anas replied: ‘He used to elongate [his voice]’, *kāna yamuddu maddan* (Al-Masīrī 2002: 138).

3 A diagrammatic representation of the different branches of *madd* can be found on the *Read with Tajweed* website: [http://www.readwithtajweed.com/images/Charts/MaddChart.pdf](http://www.readwithtajweed.com/images/Charts/MaddChart.pdf)
caused/followed by hamza; (iii) madd caused/followed by sukūn.

Natural madd letters are the long vowels ‘ālif, wāw, and yā’. These are twice as long as short vowels and equal to two ‘counts’ whenever they are not preceded by hamza and/or not followed by hamza or a silent letter. In most tajwīd manuals, a count is said to be roughly equal to the time it takes to unfold one finger from a lightly clenched position (and two counts to the time it takes to say ‘ālif). In terms of phonology, counts are synonymous with morae, units denoting syllable weight. Hence Arabic diphthongs aw, َّو، and ay, َّي، are also prolonged for two counts during recitation, where the same contextual constraints apply for hamza and sukūn.

A related case is normal prolongation (2 counts) of the short vowel in the suffixed masculine singular object pronoun -u in continuous recitation, as long as the next word does not begin with a silent letter or with hamza. In most cases, the short vowel in this suffix is damma as in Q.43.4: (wa innaḥū fi ummi l-kitābi, And indeed it is, in the Mother of the Book); in other cases it is kasra as in Q.11.8: (kānū bih yastahzī’ūna, what they used to ridicule). Another related case is realisation of tanwīn al-fatḥa before pause, where it is pronounced as a normal ‘ālif, as in the phrase عَلِيْمَاً كَدِيْراً (῾alīman qadīrā, He is ever Knowing and Competent).

3.1.1 Abnormal Prolongation Associated with Hamza

In Quranic recitation, the duration of long vowels and diphthongs is prolonged for four, five or six morae depending on context; this is termed abnormal prolongation. Four scenarios arise in the vicinity of hamza, the glottal stop.

Prolongation of the long vowel or diphthong as syllable nucleus for at least four counts is obligatory if it is immediately followed by hamza in the same word. In some cases, when hamza is the last letter of the word and the reciter pauses after it, it is extended to six counts. For example, both words are prolonged in the phrase هَنِيئًا مَّرِيئًا, in satisfaction and ease, followed by pause.

While the reciters (qurrā’) are in agreement regarding the effect of hamza on prolongation, they differ on the extent. Warsh (d. 197/813) and Hamza (d. 156/773) both argue that it should last for six short vowels, ʾĀṣim contends (d. 127/745) that it should last for five short vowels, and finally al-Kisāʾi (d. 189/805) maintains that it should last for four short vowels (al-Ḍabbā’ 1997: 97). These differences are typical and probably reflect different, authorized recitation styles and speeds. However, the important point to make is that such ‘abnormal’ durations can be interpreted as a more or less conscious device
to mitigate anticipatory co-articulation triggered by the glottal stop, which might otherwise result in a tendency to foreshorten the vowel sound, leading to impure realization. Furthermore, hyperarticulation of the long vowel in the final syllable before the Arabic phonemes /m/ and /n/ may serve to enhance ghunnah or nasal humming (another tajwid category) in phrase/verse terminal words like مستقيم (Q.1.5) and al-‘ālamīn (Q.1.2).

In continuous recitation, it is permissible to prolong a long vowel or diphthong for four or five counts at the end of a word if the next word begins with hamza. An instance of this type is Q.108.1: إنّا أَعْطَيْنَاكَ الْكَوْثَرَ, ‘Indeed, We have granted you, [O Muhammad], al-Kawthar’.4

Section 3.1 outlines how the short vowel in the suffixed masculine singular object pronoun gains an extra count in continuous recitation as long as that word does not begin with hamza or a silent letter. If the subsequent word does happen to begin with hamza, the count on this suffix is (preferably) extended to four or five morae to distinguish it from naturally occurring madd (Read with Tajweed 2016). This again hints at the linguistic motivation for ‘abnormal’ prolongation of long vowels, namely: preservation of vocalic contrasts during co-articulation. An example would be Q.2.112: فَلَهُ أَجْرُهُ عِندَ رَبِّهِ, ‘will have his reward with his Lord’. Once again, there are differing views among reciters as to duration for this type of madd (al-Ḍabbā’ 1997: 98–9).

Exchange of order prolongation occurs when the madd letter is immediately preceded by hamza in the same word. It is really a case of normal prolongation since the nucleus is not extended beyond two counts. However, it is categorised here because the madd letter is a substitute, introduced for ease of pronunciation, for what was originally a hamza (Read with Tajweed 2016). For example, hamza precedes wāw in the word ذِيْنَا, ‘we have been harmed’ (al-Masīrī 2002: 153). It is read in continuance and pause (Ghawthānī 1996: 40).

3.1.2 Abnormal Prolongation Associated with Sukūn

Madd letters are prolonged for the duration of six morae if they precede sukuīn, namely: a silent letter within the same word or a pause. This rule also affects realization of disconnected letters heading many chapters in the Qur’ān, for example: ق ۚ وَالْقُرْآنِ الْمَجِيدِ, ‘Qaf. By the honoured Qur’ān’ (Q.50.1).

4 al-Kawthar is the name of a river in Paradise.
There is a single word-internal case where a long vowel precedes an original silent letter which is not doubled; this occurs in the word آله آلْآنَālāna, now, which is repeated twice in the Qurʾān. However, there are frequent occurrences of madd letters followed by an original silent and doubled letter as in: الصُّلُّطِينَ al-dālīna, ‘those who are astray’ (Q.1.7). This is further discussed in Section 3.2.1.

Finally, when a long vowel occurs in the syllable before pause, where the pause in question is marked in tajwīd annotation, and is not a disfluency, then it is prolonged for up to six morae. This is termed madd al-ārid (the accidental stop) and is of most interest for this paper. A diagrammatic representation of the different branches of madd can be found on the Read with Tajweed website.

3.2 Prosodic Prominence Realised as Madd before Pause

The rules of tajwīd have evolved to eliminate any misunderstandings of the divine message resulting from incorrect or unclear pronunciation. As stated, they are derived from ancient practice: that of professional reciters (qurrāʾ) from as far back as the seventh century (Bohas et al. 1990; Shah 2003). From our contextual summary of tajwīd rules governing madd, we find that the motivation for this set is predominantly phonemic: to enhance the duration of syllable nuclei (a form of hyperarticulation) so as to maximize the quantity contrast differentiating long and short vowels (§2.3). However, we also find that the category of madd before pause (i.e. madd al-ārid, the accidental stop) does identify semantically salient words when that pause is explicitly marked in waqf annotation and is not simply a disfluency.

Madd before pause brings together key concepts discussed in Section 2, namely: (i) word-internal position affecting vowel duration, and increased duration interpreted as prominent and meaningful; (ii) pre-boundary lengthening signalling phrase edges and hence demarcating syntactic and information structure (i.e. sequences of words that should be interpreted together); (iii) right-branching bias in metrical as well as syntactic structure releasing prominent words at the end of a tone unit or phrase group; (iv) the likelihood that phrase-terminal syntactic heads will exhibit tonicity (pitch accents) as well as tonality (phrase tones) and pre-boundary lengthening, and thus constitute salient items: words that are ‘in focus’ or ‘new’ in discourse terminology. It is noteworthy that such phenomena have been observed and codified in Quranic tajwīd.

http://www.readwithtajweed.com/images/Charts/MaddChart.pdf
3.2.1 Stress versus Prolongation: an Illustrative Example

We have seen that increased duration (prolongation) in the syllable before pause is specifically labelled in a subset of madd in Quranic tajwīd, linking this annotation subset with informativeness, and enhancing the information status of words bearing such annotations. Furthermore, although word stress and pre-boundary lengthening show a tendency to interact, they are distinct phenomena in Arabic as in English, and are separately coded in the madd schema. A clear instance of this can be found in Q.1.7: (the astray ones). The word is strategically positioned: not only is it phrase and verse terminal, it is also the last word in the opening chapter and semantically charged. We find that it is significantly prolonged during recitation since it is annotated with two types of madd. Word stress is located on the first syllable which is also a case of madd before sukūn associated with šadda (up to six counts), where the first doubled letter is effectively silent. The final syllable is then a case of madd before a different notion of silence, namely: pause (up to six counts).

3.3 Multimodal Demarcation of Madd

Distinctions such as lexical stress versus pre-boundary lengthening are visualised in tajwīd editions of the Qur’ān such as Dar-Al-Maarifah (2008); vowels may be colour-coded to identify different gradations or durations of madd. In Figure 1 the traditional colour codes (cf. Muhammad 2012: 59), are linked to the different types of madd.

Figure 1: Colour-coded categorisation of madd in a tajwīd edition of the Qur’ān

| Colour          | Annotation                                      |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| Dark Red        | Necessary prolongation (up to 6 counts)         |
| Blood Red       | Obligatory prolongation (up to 5 counts)        |
| Bright Orange   | Pre-boundary/final syllable lengthening (up to 6 counts) |
| Cumin           | Normal prolongation of long vowels (2 counts)    |

Pre-boundary words can accrue prosodic prominence via the licence to double or treble normal durations for the long vowels ‘alif, wāw and yā’. In Figure 2, we present three more examples of this phenomenon. We have mapped the original Arabic text to automatically generated, full-form IPA phonemic transcriptions which have then been edited manually to imitate colour-coding on these same verses in

---

6 Full-form as opposed to pause-form pronunciation as defined in Ryding (2014: 36–7).
Quranic *tajwīd* (cf. Brierley et al. forthcoming). Instances of *madd* before pause appear in bold and underline; one instance of *madd* before hamza appears in bold; natural *madd* sites are underlined; and letters/sounds subject to coarticulation appear in light grey font in Figure 2.

![Figure 2: Visualising different categories of *madd* within full-form IPA transcriptions of Quranic verses in the Yusuf Ali English translation](image)

There are several points to notice here. First, colour coding of natural *madd* (where long vowels last twice as long as short vowels) only appears in certain contexts, namely: it is always marked on ‘dagger ’alif’ presumably because this glyph is associated with the Othmani script and may be less familiar to modern-day readers used to Modern Standard Arabic (MSA). The word *مَالِكٌ* in Q.1.4 is rendered as *маalik-i-jawmi-ʔaddjaːn* in Othmani script; hence natural *madd* in the first syllable is colour-coded as a reminder (Dar-Al-Maarifah (2008)). Naturally-occurring *madd* is not colour-coded in Q.101.5 however, presumably because readers will already be sensitive to this. The verse has been included to show the semantic weight (information status) of phrase-final and verse-terminal words in this powerful text. In the Quranic vision of entropy, mountains will be reduced to rubble: they will be like wool fluffed up: *الْمَنْفُوشِ*.

Verse 12.44 in the chapter entitled *Yusuf* is especially interesting. We see from the mark-up on /biʕaːlim iː n/ that pre-boundary annotation of *madd* (bold and underline) occurs on what would be the penultimate syllable if the word retains its case ending. However,
since this word is verse-terminal, the case-ending is dropped, and pre-boundary lengthening coincides with primary stress on the final, super-heavy (CVVC) syllable. This is distinguished from normal prolongation on the dagger ‘alif site in the mark-up (i.e. underline only).

Yusuf Ali’s English translation of this verse (Q.12.44) uses punctuation to identify three separate phrases: ‘They said: “A confused medley of dreams: and we are not skilled in the interpretation of dreams”’. It transpires that in the tajwid version of this verse, the third word أَحْلَامٍ is associated with an in-verse waqf boundary symbol ۖ. This denotes a permissible (though weak) stop. Thus, if the reciter chooses to pause here, the tanwin kasra on أَحْلَامٍ would be dropped and the final syllable lengthened /ʔaħlɑːm/.

4. Computing Statistically Significant Keywords

Keyword Extraction (KWE) is a statistical technique for corpus comparison used in Corpus Linguistics and is revelatory of significant lexical differences between two datasets in terms of aboutness and style (Scott 1997). It is exemplified in Text Analytics toolkits such as Wordsmith Tools (ibid) and Wmatrix (Rayson 2008). The methodology entails formal comparison, via significance testing, of observed word frequencies in a dataset of interest (i.e. the test set) with their expected frequencies in a suitable reference corpus, to verify the apparent overuse or underuse suggested by raw frequencies. Keywords in this context differ, therefore, from common parlance where the notion of keyness denotes words viewed subjectively as key; instead, they are words of unusual frequency (or infrequency) in a test set relative to a reference set, where unusualness depends on some predetermined confidence level of statistical significance. While results from KWE still require qualitative evaluation, the methodology mitigates against researcher bias since grammatical as well as content words may be retrieved. In our study, Keyword Extraction over the Qur’ān versus some 7 million words of Classical Arabic uncovers words/concepts that are quintessentially Quranic.

4.1 The Log Likelihood (LL) Metric

Both Wordsmith Tools and Wmatrix implement the likelihood ratio test for comparative frequency profiling and KWE over two corpora. This test is widely used in corpus studies since it does not assume a normal distribution (Rayson and Garside 2000) and is therefore more suitable for language data where an unlimited number of function
(grammatical) words abound in any one text/sample. The test compares the fit of two models, in this case the test and reference datasets, and computes the likelihood of the data under the former versus the latter. The resulting log likelihood (LL) statistic can also be used to compute a $p$-value denoting the probability of obtaining a test statistic at least as extreme as the one observed, assuming that there is no difference in the test and reference sets. In Corpus Linguistics, it is usual to set a very challenging LL statistic and $p$-value to determine whether there is a significant difference between any two datasets. Wordsmith and Wmatrix implement an LL cut-off of at least $6.63$ for statistical significance; this corresponds to a $p$-value of $0.01$ or 99% confidence that the difference (absolute value) between observed and expected values is not due to chance. This is the measure used in our experiments here.

Word frequency distributions are generated for both corpora (the test and reference sets), and the LL statistic is then calculated for each word in the respective frequency lists, following Rayson and Garside (2000) and Wmatrix. First, expected frequencies are calculated from raw data drawn up in a contingency table (Table 1), where the values $a$ and $b$ represent individual word frequencies, and the values $c$ and $d$ represent the total number of words in the test and reference sets respectively.

| Observed Statistics in Data       | Test Set | Reference Set | Total |
|----------------------------------|----------|---------------|-------|
| Observed Frequency: Single Word) | a        | b             | $a+b$ |
| Observed Frequency: All Other Words | $c-a$   | $d-b$         | $(c+d)-(a-b)$ |
| Total                            | c        | d             | $c+d$ |

The expected frequency of any word in the test corpus is given by $E_1 = \frac{c(a+b)}{(c+d)}$, and the expected frequency of that same word in the reference corpus by $E_2 = \frac{d(a+b)}{(c+d)}$. Calculating LL can then be expressed as $2\sum_i(O_i \ln (O_i/E_i))$, according to the formula:

$$2 \ln \lambda = 2 \sum_i O_i \ln \left(\frac{O_i}{E_i}\right)$$

Here $O$ denotes *observed* frequency and $E$ denotes *expected* frequency.

7 http://ucrel.lancs.ac.uk/llwizard.html
5. Corpora used for Keyword Extraction

The test and reference sets used in this study are the Qur’ān versus the Literature section of the *King Saud University Corpus of Classical Arabic* (Alrabiah 2013) respectively. The Qur’ān corpus used is the *Boundary Annotated Qur’ān* (Sawalha et al. 2014; Brierley et al. 2012), henceforward referred to as BAQ. This dataset is purpose-built for machine learning, and features the whole text of the Qur’ān rendered in traditional Othmani script and Modern Standard Arabic (MSA), with Arabic words mapped to multiple linguistic annotations. The literary genre in the *King Saud University Corpus of Classical Arabic* (henceforward referred to as KSUCCA) accounts for around 14% of the whole corpus and contains over 7 million words of poetry (42 texts); novels (2 texts); and a category entitled *Literature and Eloquence* (60 texts). We consider this to be a suitable reference set for the present study in terms of size and also register, since it exemplifies eloquent or refined language.

5.1 The Boundary Annotated Qur’ān

BAQ version 2.0 (Sawalha *et al* 2014) is used in these experiments because it includes an automatically-generated pronunciation form for each Arabic word in addition to syntactic and prosodic information in the form of part-of-speech (POS) tags and phrase break annotations. These pronunciation forms were generated in accordance with our Arabic-IPA mapping scheme and transcription technology, which uses the International Phonetic Alphabet (IPA) as standard, and outputs a phonemic transcription or citation form for each Arabic word in the text (Brierley *et al*. 2016; Sawalha *et al*. 2014). These phonemic transcriptions, together with the *tajwīd* phrase break (or punctuation) mark-up, are later used in our extraction algorithm for capturing prosodically salient words (§7). An illustrative sample from BAQ is given in Figure 3.

5.2 Pre-Processing the BAQ and KSUCCA corpora

The Qur’ān is fully vowelized but systematic treatment of short vowels and other diacritic marks is not consistent in KSUCCA due to the large number and diversity of texts. Therefore, text pre-processing to strip away all such mark up in both corpora was an important stage in order to reconcile the datasets and optimise results from KWE over the non-vowelised word forms only. This was achieved via the *Tokenizer* module in the *Standard Arabic Language Morphological*
Analysis (SALMA) toolkit used in this study (Sawalha 2011). When splitting characters within a word, this tokenizer imposes a constraint such that only one diacritic mark can be mapped to any given letter. Geminates are resolved by removing the šadda and doubling the consonant, and then mapping the first consonant in the geminate pair to sukūn, and the second to its short vowel. Each Arabic word is decomposed and stored as a list of (consonant, diacritic) tuples within a nested (hierarchical) data structure; and the module outputs three variant analyses: the processed vowelized word; the processed non-vowelized word, and the non-vowelized word. Figure 4 illustrates these outputs for the word form جَنَّاتٌ jannaːtun, gardens, after šadda has been resolved (i.e. starting from the processed vowelized word: جَنْنَاتٌ). For KWE we use the non-vowelized word as in: جَنات.

We also illustrate how the tokenizer unpicks tanwīn, and how graphemes are mapped to IPA characters during automatic phonemic transcription of Arabic (Brierley et al. forthcoming; Brierley et al. 2016) in Figure 5. Two phonemic transcriptions are available, with or without tanwīn, since the final syllable will be dropped if the reciter chooses to pause after this word: /ʤannaːtun/ (with tanwīn) and /ʤannaːt/ (without tanwīn). For Arabic-IPA transcription we need to access the processed vowelised form after šadda has been resolved, as in: جَنْنَاتٌ.
5.3 Word Counts and Output Statistics from our Experiments

After normalizing our datasets as described, the final word counts used to calculate log likelihood and extract keywords were as follows: (i) BAQ corpus: 77,430 word tokens; (ii) KSUCCA: 7,224,348; (iii) KSUCCA + BAQ as reference corpus: 7,301,778. Our approach to calculating log likelihood amalgamates the test set with the reference set to ensure that each word in the former occurs at least once in the latter. Another approach is to assume a default value for words that do not occur in the reference set. Both approaches are valid and yield the same results for a given experiment (Scott 2008). The top ten most significant nominals, verbals and grammatical words computed from our data are given in Table 2; and a more comprehensive set of nominal keywords (i.e. nouns and adjectives) with their English translation is given in Appendix I.

6. Analysis of Key Nominals in terms of Major Quranic Themes

In total, 3983 statistically significant content and function words have emerged from corpus comparison of the Qur’ān versus some seven million words from KSUCCA, with log likelihood (LL) statistics ranging from our designated cut-off point for the 99% confidence interval (>=6.63), to soaring figures such as 2328.72 for الله Allah, 1103.93 for ربك your-Lord, and 3663.35 for الذين those-who. We are particularly interested in nominal forms, comprising nouns and adjectives, not only because they are high in semantic content,
### Table 2: Top ten nominal, verbal and function words computed via Keyword Extraction over the BAQ versus KSUCCA subset

| Grammatical Category | Word | LI Statistic | Test Count | Reference Count | Test Total | Reference Total |
|----------------------|------|--------------|------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|
| **Nominals**         |      |              |            |                 |            |                 |
|                      | الله | 2328.72      | 2153       | 57054           | 77430      | 7301778         |
|                      | يرك | 1103.93      | 220        | 537             |            |                 |
|                      | السماوات | 1094.65 | 182        | 233             |            |                 |
|                      | عذاب | 969.54       | 188        | 421             |            |                 |
|                      | الأرض | 748.76     | 157        | 446             |            |                 |
|                      | عليم | 705.50       | 128        | 229             |            |                 |
|                      | رهم | 619.38       | 111        | 190             |            |                 |
|                      | ريكم | 545.98      | 102        | 202             |            |                 |
|                      | رءوس | 533.05      | 106        | 257             |            |                 |
|                      | مبين | 528.28      | 97         | 181             |            |                 |
| **Verbals**          |      |              |            |                 |            |                 |
|                      | أمنوا | 1568.08     | 263        | 349             | 77430      | 7301778         |
|                      | كفروا | 1124.46     | 189        | 253             |            |                 |
|                      | قال | 1057.98      | 294        | 1665            |            |                 |
|                      | كنت | 912.72       | 188        | 507             |            |                 |
|                      | يأتيها | 902.13    | 142        | 143             |            |                 |
|                      | كانوا | 725.98      | 229        | 1663            |            |                 |
|                      | يشاء | 575.61       | 116        | 293             |            |                 |
|                      | قال | 536.64       | 27         | 34653           |            |                 |
|                      | يؤمنون | 517.37     | 86         | 110             |            |                 |
|                      | تعلصون | 496.13     | 83         | 109             |            |                 |
| **Function Words**   |      |              |            |                 |            |                 |
|                      | الذين | 3663.35     | 810        | 2668            | 77430      | 7301778         |
|                      | إن | 1358.01      | 966        | 20494           |            |                 |
|                      | لكم | 1231.10      | 337        | 1849            |            |                 |
|                      | وما | 903.84       | 646        | 13766           |            |                 |
|                      | والذين | 895.71    | 164        | 303             |            |                 |
|                      | لهم | 820.94       | 373        | 4798            |            |                 |
|                      | هم | 793.12       | 261        | 2046            |            |                 |
|                      | ألك | 620.61       | 133        | 402             |            |                 |
|                      | عليك | 567.31      | 164        | 1007            |            |                 |
|                      | إنا | 551.07       | 156        | 918             |            |                 |
but also because this group is more likely to be associated with phrase-final boundaries than any other grammatical type, and this has bearing on the second part of our study (§3.2; §7; §8). As we have said, our total word count for the Qur’ān is 77,430 tokens. Table 3 shows raw counts for all coarse-grained syntactic categories used in our Qur’ān dataset (BAQ) relative to boundary type (i.e. breaks versus non-breaks). These syntactic categories are also used in the Quranic Arabic Corpus (Dukes 2015). According to our data, 22.12% of nouns, and an impressive 57.93% of adjectives are likely to precede a phrase boundary in the Qur’ān.

Table 3: Frequencies of POS categories associated with boundary type in our Qur’ān dataset

| POS Category       | Breaks | Non-Breaks | Totals |
|--------------------|--------|------------|--------|
| Nouns              | 6343   | 22330      | 28673  |
| Verbs              | 2199   | 17165      | 19364  |
| Particles          | 19     | 8359       | 8378   |
| Pronouns           | 262    | 7634       | 7896   |
| Prepositions       | 277    | 7314       | 7591   |
| Adverbs            | 86     | 1685       | 1771   |
| Conjunctions       | 0      | 1471       | 1471   |
| Adjectives/Nominals| 1307   | 949        | 2256   |
| Disconnected Letters| 30     | 0          | 30     |
| **Totals**         | 10523  | 66907      | 77430  |

The most significant nominal forms, comprising nouns and adjectives, are listed in Appendix I. Readers are reminded that LL statistics recorded in Appendix I are computed for the unmarked form (i.e. the Arabic word minus short vowels and diacritics) as explained in Section 5, and subsume all morphological variants resulting from different case endings (e.g. الله /ʔallaːhu/ [nominative]; الله /ʔallaːha/ [accusative]; الله /ʔallaːhi/ [genitive]), but not phrasal or cliticised variants such as والله and-Allah, and ربه their-Lord, which appear as separate keywords. Readers will also note that phonemic pronunciation forms in the IPA character set are mapped to Arabic word forms in this section.

Having computed an extensive list of keywords and quintessentially Quranic concepts, the challenge now is to interpret them. Our proposed methodology draws on independent scholarship as an accessible standard: we will verify and interpret the highest-scoring subset.
of key nominals in terms of the major Quranic themes identified by Fazlur Rahman as primary reference (Rahman 2009), though we also cite other scholarship.

Rahman defines eight high-level themes for the Quranic knowledge domain: (1) God; (2) Man as Individual; (3) Man in Society; (4) Nature; (5) Prophethood and Revelation; (6) Eschatology; (7) Satan and Evil; and (8) Emergence of the Muslim Community. We use these labels to manually categorise the subset of key nominals with LL scores descending from 2328.72 to 122.05 and appearing in Appendix I. We then examine this categorisation for words of particular interest, and discuss how keywords in any given category reflect a range of concepts within that theme. We also observe whether all eight major themes are equally well represented in our keyword collection. Most words are assigned to one theme only, with implicit recognition of possible association with alternative themes. A few words have proved difficult to categorise and these are discussed where relevant. Finally, English translations of Quranic verses quoted in full in this section are taken from Pickthall (2011).

6.1 Predominant Themes

Predominant themes in our keyword collection are: God; Nature; and Eschatology. Less well-represented themes are Man in Society, and Emergence of the Muslim Community; the former has four clear hyponyms: (فرعون, Pharaoh; لقوم, for-a-people/tribe; لقوم, a-people/tribe; فيسرائل, Israel; and so does the latter: (عبد, slave; أمة, nation; الصلاة (the)-prayer; الزكاة (the)-almsgiving or zakat. The theme of Satan and Evil also has one obvious member: الشيطان, Satan; but while this concept is not further reinforced by notions of whispering, machinations, treachery, and forsaking (all Satanic behaviours in the Qur’ān), our keyword list does contain one abstract denotation for sin in the word ضلال, error.

6.2 God

Allah (الله) emerges as the most significant content word in our experiments, with an LL statistic of 2328.72, and a total raw count of 2153 for the combined variants: الله /ʔallaːhu/, اللة /ʔallaha/, and الله /ʔallaːhi/. Phrasal and cliticised forms of the word are also retrieved: والله والله (and-Allah) and لله (to-Allah). Another indefinite form إله god is also significant.

The second most significant content word in Appendix I (LL 1103.93) is also a synonym for God in the Qur’ān: your-Lord (ربك)
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[m.sing]. This has connotations of lord and master, but also of caregiver and sustainer. In the Qur’ān, the word most often appears within a genitive construction, and with a suffixed personal pronoun, suggesting an intimate relationship between God and man (Calderini 1994). Hence the forms ربهم (their-lord) [m.pl], ربكم (your-lord) [m.pl], ربنا (our-lord), ربي (my-lord), رب (lord), ربهم (his-lord) which also appear in our keyword list. Usage of رب as an invocation is thought to be pre-Islamic (Böwering 2007). In the Qur’ān, it is the form in which the godhead first makes itself known (Q.96.1-3): ‘…Read: in the name of your Lord…’ It is also more prevalent in the Meccan chapters (ibid), as in Q.106.3, where Allah is ‘Lord of the Ka’ba’ (Ruthven 2006: 92).

It is man’s heartfelt task to comprehend God’s attributes, Allah’s most beautiful names (al-Jīlānī 1992: 21). Adjectival epithets retrieved in our experiments are as follows: عليم (LL 705.50); رحيم (LL 619.38); عفو (LL 416.21); قدير (LL 208.13); خبير (LL 181.98); حكيم (LL 170.01); حكيم (LL 168.71); صمد (LL 167.35); الحكيم (LL 146.67); سميح (LL 110.76).

Here we note that of all the qualities attributed to God in the Qur’ān, mercy and forgiveness appear foremost in this list. We also note interrelationships between the terms retrieved, since frequent co-occurrences of the following are attested as companion words: oft-forgiving, most merciful (al-Sharif 2006:149); all-knowing, all-aware (ibid. 138); all-knowing, all-wise (ibid. 188). Furthermore, God’s power (قدير) is exercised through manifestations of mercy (رحيم): creating, sustaining, guiding, and forgiving or returning to those who truly repent (Rahman 2009: 6–7).

6.3 Nature

There are many references to the natural world in the Qur’ān. The ordered universe is said to be ‘Muslim’ or surrendered to God (Rahman 2009: 65). This order, and the beauty and variety of creation, is incontrovertible evidence of God and of God’s power (قدير), which also has connotations of measurement and proportion (al-Sharif 2006: 258): each aspect of creation is measured or guided by God, and the finitude of created things contrasts with the infinity and unity of God (Rahman 2009: 67). Some of our topmost keywords are: the-heavens (LL 1094.65); والأرض (LL 748.76); the-earth (LL 505.60).

However, we believe the most interesting finding in our keyword list relating to the Quranic theme of Nature is variants of āya (s.), āyāt
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(pl.), translated as *sign* or *signs* in the English interlinear: بآياتنا (*our-signs*); آيات (*signs*); آياته (*his-signs*); آلآيات (*for-signs*); آية (*a-sign*); البينة (*the-clear-signs*); لآية (*for-a-sign*). Natural phenomena and processes point to God as originator and prime mover, and constitute reasons for belief (Rahman 2009: 68–73). The link between nature, reason and belief is clearly expressed in Qur’ān 13 Al-Ra’d Thunder, which catalogues the heavens, the sun and moon, firm hills, flowing streams, vineyards, ploughed lands, date-palms, and finally water as signs for ‘…people who take thought…’ لِقَوْمٍ يَتَفَكَّرُونَ (Q.13.3) and ‘…people who have sense…’ لِقَوْمٍ يَعْقِلُونَ (Q.13.4).

However, the full significance of the words آية and آلآيات in the Qur’ān is that they also denote Quranic verses (Rahman 2009: 71–3) and are to be interpreted as God’s *revelations* and God’s *truth*. Again, many of these concepts congregate in Q.13.1:

‘…These are the verses [آياتُ] of the Scripture. That which is revealed to you from your Lord is the Truth [ْالْحَق]…’

Finally, the status of Quranic verses as clearly revealed truth is present in the keyword بالبينات (LL 142.93), which Rahman translates as ‘[with] clear, manifest, and indubitable signs’ (Rahman 2009: 73).

6.4 Eschatology

Quranic *Eschatology* is a predominant theme in the earlier, Meccan revelations (Sharaf 2012) and is well-represented in our keyword list. The fourth most significant content word in Appendix I is عذاب punishment (LL 969.54) and again العذاب the-punishment (LL 370.77). Other keywords signifying the concept of Hell are: أليم (*painful*); جهم (hell); and النار the-fire. For Heaven we have: جنات gardens; and أجر reward. We also have الأنهار the-rivers, as in the chapter entitled Al-Bayyinah, The Clear Proof.9

For Rahman, however, the fundamental Qur’ān teaching on the-hereafter is that there will be a final day of reckoning, when every person is resurrected in the flesh, and shaken into self-awareness (Rahman 2009: 106). There are many compelling evocations of

8 See also Q. 29.44 (Al-Ankabut The Spider): ‘…Allah created the heavens and the earth with truth [ْالْحَق]... In it is indeed a sign [آيَة] for believers…’
9 Q.98.7–8: ‘…those who believe and do good works... / Their reward is with their Lord: Gardens of Eden, underneath which rivers flow, in which they dwell forever…’
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the Last Judgement in the Meccan *ṣūras* (chapters), for example Q.75.3-4:

‘…Does man think that We shall not assemble his bones? / Yea, verily. Yea, We are able to restore his very fingers…’;\(^{10}\)

The final judgement is represented in our keyword list via terms like: القيامة (*the-resurrections*); يومئذ (*on-that-day*); and يوم (*a-day*). Designation of people as (un)believers or (dis)believers, and as wrongdoers versus those who do good works/deeds also relates to the current theme, but is discussed under *Man as Individual* in Section 6.6.

6.5 Prophethood and Revelation

Understanding the notion of prophecy in Islam was central to Rahman’s work (Moosa 2009). In our study, Mohammed (محمد) LL 248.54 and Moses (موسى) LL 171.05 are retrieved as significant names of prophets, but ورسوله (*and-his-messenger* LL 284.74) is the obvious top-scoring keyword associated with *Prophethood and Revelation* in Appendix I. This phrase frequently co-occurs with the word Allah, as in the following example from Q.4.136, which also introduces another keyword in this thematic category: الكتاب (*the-book*), (LL 234.58), translated here by Pickthall as scripture:

‘…O you who believe! Believe in Allah and His messenger and the Scripture which He has revealed to His messenger, and the Scripture which He revealed before…’

The prophet Mohammed is also identified by the keyword نذير (*a-warner*) in the Qur’ān, especially in the earlier revelations as reminders of يوم الدين, literally *the-day of-religion* (Rahman 2009: 82). Another societal role and function is suggested by the keyword شهيد (*a-witness*), but this is harder to interpret. In matters of jurisprudence, it carries the usual legal sense of someone who witnesses the execution of a document, as in contracting fixed term debts.\(^{11}\) However, God’s prophets will also be summoned to bear witness against their respective communities on the last day (Rahman 2009: 31).

\(^{10}\) Q.70.43–4: ‘…The day when they come out from the graves in haste, as if racing to a goal, / With eyes aghast, disgrace stunning them: Such is the Day which they are promised…’

\(^{11}\) Q.2.282: ‘…And call to witness, from among your men, two witnesses. And if two men be not (at hand) then a man and two women, of such as you approve as witnesses...And the witnesses must not refuse when they are summoned…’
Furthermore, Allah is cited as a witness to the truth of revelation in altercations between Mohammed and disbelieving tribesmen.12

Another word considered under the present theme is a relatively high-scoring adjectival form: مبين (clear) (LL 528.28). This has the same root (ب-ي-n) as a keyword we discussed under Nature (§6.3): (with-the-clear-signs). On inspection, it seems that the word form مبين is used in the Qur’an to qualify nouns such as book (Q5.15; Q12.1) and warner (Q7.184; Q11.25).

Finally, we have chosen to categorize the keywords صراط (a-way/path); هدى (guidance); and مستقيم (straight) primarily under Prophet-hood and Revelation, since Mohammed is one of those sent on a straight path (i.e. the prophets), and since Allah in his mercy has sent down the book (i.e. the prophetic revelation) as a guidance to mankind so that they may find God. The interplay between these ideas is beautifully present in this simple statement from Q.11.56: ‘…My Lord is on a straight path…’13

6.6 Man as Individual

The subset of keywords associated with the theme of Man as Individual is perhaps remarkable for what it does not contain. There is mention of souls and hearts: أنفسهم (their-souls — literally themselves LL 259.63); أنفسكم (your-souls — literally yourselves LL 149.14); نفس (a-soul LL 106.29), and قلوبهم (their-hearts LL 255.28), but no occurrence of concepts like knowledge (root علم ‘l-m) and reason (root عقل ‘q-l) which distinguish mankind from the rest of creation, including the angels (Rahman 2009:19).

However, keywords associated with this theme do expose basic polarities in the domains of action and belief. Hence we have: الصالحات (the-good-deeds) and the-doers-of-good), versus الظالمين (the-wrongdoers) and again الظالمون (the-wrongdoers). Similarly, we have: المؤمنين (believers) and again الكافرين (for-the-disbelievers), versus الكافرين (the-disbelievers). Words which share the root ك-ف-ر (e.g. الكافرين) have connotations of pride, resistance,

12 Q.46.7–8: ‘…when Our clear revelations are recited to them, those who disbelieve say of the Truth when it reaches them: This is mere magic. / Or do they say: He has invented it? Say (O Muhammed): If I have invented it, still you have no power to support me against Allah…He suffices for a witness between me and you…’

13 See also Q.36.3–6: ‘…You are of those sent / On a straight path, / A revelation of the Mighty, the Merciful, / That you may warn a people whose fathers were not warned, so they are heedless…’
rejection (Rahman 2009:21), and ingratitude (Ruthven 2006:93). There are also interesting contrasts in our list between words derived from the root ḍ-l-l (e.g. ضلال error), and words derived from ḥ-q-q and ṣ-d-q: بالحق (by-the-truth); الحق (the-truth); and صادقين (truthful). In the Qur’an, the former ḍ-l-l signifies pointless and misguided action.14 For Rahman, deviance is associated with impermanence. It is set against the notions of guidance and the straight path (§6.5), and also that which abides forever (§6.2) and with truth (Rahman 2009: 36).

Polarities and contrasts in the Qur’ān have long been noted. Amongst the classical exegetists who deal with ‘themes’ (maʿānī) is the Andalusian jurist Ibn Juzayy al-Kalbī (d. 741/1340) of Granada. In his Tashīl li-ʼulūm al-tanzīl, he argues that the Qur’ān can be thematically divided into two binary motifs: persuasion to do good (targhīb) and eschewing evil (tarhīb).15 Ultimately, the Prophet is himself described in dual terms as a warner of impending judgement (nadhīr) and as a harbinger of glad tidings (bashīr) (Ibn Juzayy 1995: 8–9).

6.7 Hapaxes

There are three hapaxes in the keywords list. These have been corroborated and examined in context via an authoritative, indexed dictionary of the Quranic language domain (al-Muʿjam al-Mufahras) originally assembled by one Fuʿad Abd al-Baqi (d. 1388 A.H/1968) and now made available via Al-Lahham (2007). The first is عمرة (life-time) in Q.10.16 which is an adverb of time (mafūl ḥī) from the verb لبشت (I dwelt) (Haywood and Nahmad 1993: 393). The second hapax is the word أحمد (Ahmad) in Q.61.6 which in popular Muslim lore is the name for Mohammed in heaven. Muslim missionaries such as Ahmad Deedat use the verse in polemics with Christian televangelists by arguing that أحمد is a translation of the Paraclete mentioned in John 14:16 (Deedat 1993: 59–63). The final hapax is the word أبد in Q.80.31 which is translated as herbage or grass (Al-Lahham 2007: 33).

14 Q.1.6–7: ‘…Show us the straight path / …not the (path)...of those who go astray…’
15 ‘…ponder over the Qurʾān, you will find promises and threats mentioned together...one being clarified by the other as the poet said: “By opposites things are clarified” (fa bididdihā tatabayyanu l-ashyā’).’
7. Madd Extraction Algorithm

*Tajwid* rules are well-defined and thus amenable to algorithmic formulation. In previous work, we have used Regular Expression (RE) search patterns over Arabic text for automatic retrieval of all verse-terminal and in-verse *qalqalah* sites in the Qur’ān (Brierley et al. 2014). Qalqalah or ‘vibration’ is another prosodic effect applied to a subset of Arabic consonants {ت ج ق ط} under certain constraints during *tajwid* recitation. For the present study, we have developed REs for capturing instances of *madd* or ‘prolongation’ in Quranic Arabic, as per the distinct types described in Section 3 of this paper. Input data is again drawn from the BAQ corpus but for some *madd* types this new algorithm operates over the IPA phonemic transcription tier in BAQ version 2.0 (Sawalha et al. 2014), as well as the plain text tier used for *qalqalah* capture (Brierley et al. 2014). A phonemic transcription is mapped to each fully vowelized Arabic word in version 2.0 of the corpus, complete with case endings and *tanwin* as in: المَفْعُولِ (fluffed up), rendered as the IPA string /ʔalmanfuːʃi/, and أَحْلَامٍ (dreams) rendered as the IPA string /ʔaħlaːmin/ (§3.3).

7.1 Regular Expression Search Pattern for Madd before Pause

In this section, we present a summary of our *madd* extraction algorithm, concentrating on abstract definition and automatic extraction of *madd* before pause (§3.1.2) since this corresponds to the device of final syllable lengthening which is in turn associated with phrase-final items and phrase edges in metrical and syntactic structure, and with informativeness (§3.2).

Arabic Natural Language Processing entails specification of each letter and diacritic mark via its unique Unicode number rather than working directly with the standard Arabic alphabet for reasons to do with interoperability; and the same applies when working with special character sets such as the IPA. Examples would be the word وَمَا represented as the Unicode string: [‘u0648’, ‘u064E’, ‘u0645’, ‘u064E’, ‘u0627’]16; and the transcription /fiː/ represented as the Unicode string: [‘u0066’, ‘u0069’, ‘u003A’]17. Hence our REs for extracting different types of *madd* specify Unicode ranges and single characters because they are designed to operate over word-level tokens (or

---

16 Arabic Unicode Consortium: http://unicode.org/charts/PDF/U0600.pdf

17 Unicode IPA Extensions: http://unicode.org/charts/PDF/U0250.pdf
alternatively tokenized phonemic transcriptions) consisting of Unicode sequences. The RE formula for identifying madd before pause interacts with the plain text tier only and is decomposed in Figure 6.

Figure 6: Rule-ordering in RE over Arabic Unicode for extracting madd before pause

| u'[^u0621-u0652]+|^u0627,u0648,u064A][u0652] | at least one occurrence of any Arabic letter |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| ^[u0621-u0652]+  | one of the madd letters: { ی و ئ }          |
| ^[u0652]*        | sukūn diacritic (optional)                   |
| ^[u0621-u064A]   | any Arabic letter                            |
| ^[u064B-u0650]Z  | any short vowel or tanwīn: { ◌َ ◌ٌ ◌ٌٌ ◌ً ◌ٍ } at end of string |

To qualify as an instance of madd before pause, the word must be tagged as phrase-terminal as well as meeting all the ordered constraints in Figure 6. This is enabled by the fact that all words in the BAQ corpus are tagged as either breaks (terminals) or non-breaks, depending on whether or not they immediately precede a tajwīd pause mark: وَقف waqf, stop. All types of stop are considered (whether compulsory, recommended, or optional) in our coarse-grained boundary annotation scheme of break versus non-break. Boundary annotations in BAQ are described in more detail in Brierley et al. (2012); they are derived and authenticated via tajwīd mark-up in a reputable edition of the Qur’ān, and in a widely-used recitation style: ḥafṣ bin ʿāṣim (cf. Sharaf 2004).

7.2 Regular Expression for Madd Caused by Sukūn and Šadda before Pause

Madd caused by sukūn and šadda requires prolongation for up to six morae and is of interest to the present study when associated with waqf boundary annotations. It also provides an opportunity to illustrate RE capture over IPA phonemic transcriptions. The RE is expressed in terms of either/or (as signified by the pipe symbol |) namely: the search is either for a madd letter /aː/, /iː/, /uː/ or a diphthong /aj/, /aw/. This rule is decomposed in Figure 7.

18 http://tanzil.net/download
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Figure 7: Ordering of constraints in Regular Expression over IPA phonemic transcriptions for extracting madd caused by sukūn and šadda

| u*.\[a,u,i\]\u02D0.+.+.+.+|.*a[w,j].+.+ |
|---|---|
| .* | optional character |
| .* | optional character |
| \[a,u,i\]\u02D0.+.+ | a madd letter {ي و} |
| a[w,j] | a diphthong |
| .+.+ | at least 2 more characters |
| .+.+ | at least 2 more characters |

It can also be seen from Figure 7 that identification of madd caused by sukūn and šadda requires scrutiny of two consecutive characters (.+.+) following the madd letter or diphthong. This is because we need to verify whether the next two characters are identical (e.g. /waḥādaṣṣaḥul, and-argued-with-him/), or whether we are dealing with pharyngealized sounds (e.g. /ʔasˤsˤatˤa/, (to)-the-path). If we encounter the latter, then further rules are required to check whether the pharyngealized sound is doubled or not (e.g. /xasˤsˤatan/, exclusively).19 Finally, as with madd before pause, captured items are cross-checked against boundary annotations to finalize the frequency distribution for instances of madd caused by sukūn and šadda before pause.

7.3 Performing the Searches

At runtime, our algorithm converts the BAQ corpus into a unified data structure or list of lists. Inner lists within this nested structure each hold an Arabic word mapped to its chapter, verse, and word-inverse ID, plus other linguistic information such as its part-of-speech, IPA transcription, English interlinear translation, and break type. The algorithm then iterates over the corpus line by line (i.e. over each inner list) checking for pattern matches in the plain text tier or IPA transcription tier for each madd type as defined by its unique RE. Instances of each type are then stored in separate lists as program output. These lists are later used to generate frequency distributions for each madd type as further output. When all this information is later combined with the results from Keyword Extraction, our quantitative analysis yields original insights into prosody as a mechanism for identifying important words and enhancing their salience. For example, the word form العَالَمِينَ al-ʿalāmīna, the-worlds occurs 60 times in the Qurʾān. As well as emerging as a statistically significant keyword

19 We use the symbol /x/ rather than /χ/ to transcribe the Arabic letter خ (Brierley et al. 2016).
(LL 203.27), this variant always occurs at phrase edges and so always features madd before pause. Hence the accusative case mark would always be dropped in practice and its corresponding phonemic transcription would therefore be: /ʔalʕaːlamiːn/.

8. Discussion of Results

In this section, we compare statistics from Keyword Extraction (KWE) and extraction of madd before pause as quantitative evidence to address the following research questions:

1. Is there evidence that statistically significant words in the Qur’ān are also made prosodically prominent, via tajwīd annotation of increased duration in the syllable before pause in their variant realisations? If so, how often does this occur?

2. Which statistically significant words in the Qur’ān also occupy prime (phrase-final) position in terms of metrical and syntactic structure with unusual frequency?

From our KWE experiments, we can access the raw frequencies of unmarked keywords with high LL values in the Qur’ān versus KSUCCA. These raw frequency totals represent the combined counts for all fully vowelized morphological variants subsumed under any given unmarked form. For example, السماوات (al-samāwāt, the heavens) has a combined count of 182, and subsumes two variants: السماواتِ (al-samāwāti) and السماواتُ (al-samāwātu). The former occurs 175 times in the Qur’ān, whereas the latter only occurs 7 times. If the former is frequently associated with pre-pausal lengthening in tajwīd annotation, then that is exactly the sort of evidence we seek in relation to our research questions above.

It transpires that there is only one instance of السماواتِ marked up with madd before pause in our Qur’ān dataset. However, in Section 7.1, we drew attention to the excellent example of العالمين (al-ʿalāmīna, the worlds) which occurs 60 times in the Qur’ān. This also represents the total count for the unmarked form and keyword: العالمين. So as well as accounting for a statistically significant lexical item (العالمين LL 203.27), this variant is always annotated with madd before pause because it always occurs at phrase edges; its phrase-final position is not accidental since it is determined by syntax and sentence/utterance planning. Hence, from this fusion at the prosody-syntax-semantics interface, we deduce that this word, and its denotation, represented by a unique sound sequence and rhythmic pattern, is of particular
importance in the Qurʾān as God’s message to mankind: there is/are (an) unseen world(s) beyond the concrete world mankind inhabits.\textsuperscript{20}

8.1 How often are Keywords in the Qurʾān made Prosodically Prominent?

From our corpus comparison experiments in Section 5, we have extracted a total of 3983 keywords with an LL statistic $\geq 6.63$, the cut-off for statistical significance according to Rayson (2008). We now find that 53.73\% of these are tagged as either nouns or adjectives: $((2140/3983)*100)$. These are what we have termed \textit{nominal keywords}; and these are of interest to this study not only because they are high in semantic content, but also because they are more likely to terminate a phrase than any other grammatical type. Statistics presented in Table 3 (§6) reveal that (based on raw frequency) adjectives are most likely to be phrase-final, co-occurring with boundaries 58\% of the time; while nouns co-occur with boundaries 22\% of the time; and nouns-plus-adjectives co-occur with boundaries 25\% of the time. In contrast, verbs co-occur with boundaries 11\% of the time; adverbs co-occur with boundaries 5\% of the time; and verbs-plus-adverbs co-occur with boundaries 11\% of the time in our data.

Table 4: Co-occurrence statistics of grammatical types with punctuation (pause marks) in the Qurʾān

|                    | Breaks       | Non-Breaks    | % Co-Occurrence with Boundaries |
|--------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------------------------|
| 1307 Adjectives    | 949 Adjectives | (1307/2256)*100 = 58\% |
| 6343 Nouns         | 22330 Nouns  | (6343/28673)*100 = 22\% |
| 1307 Adjectives + 6343 Nouns | 949 Adjectives + 22330 Nouns | (7650/30929)*100 = 25\% |
| 2199 Verbs         | 17165 Verbs  | (2199/19364)*100 = 11\% |
| 86 Adverbs         | 1685 Adverbs  | (86/1771)*100 = 5\% |
| 2199 Verbs + 86 Adverbs | 17165 Verbs + 1685 Adverbs | (2285/21135)*100 = 11\% |

Turning to prosodically prominent items, our madd extraction algorithm retrieves 5412 instances of madd before pause in our Qurʾān.

\textsuperscript{20} This same concept is present in the Christian (Nicene) creed: ‘…Credo in unum Deum, Patrem omnipotentem, factorem caeli et terrae, visibilium et invisibilium…’
dataset. Further manual and statistical analysis reveals that 71.36% of these are tagged as either nouns (total 3036) or adjectives (total 826); and that the set of noun-plus-adjective types annotated with madd before pause comprises 1215 items.

Finally, considering the intersection of nominal keywords and noun-adjective types with madd before pause, we find 526 common items. This gives a percentage crossover of 43.29%: \((526/1215)*100\). There is therefore considerable evidence of target prosodic highlighting (i.e. tajwīd annotation of madd before pause) associated with statistically significant keywords in the Qur’ān.

8.2 Which Key Quranic Concepts are Most Likely to be Made Prosodically Prominent?

In this section, we consider the overlap between the subset of nominal keywords presented in Appendix I (i.e. keywords with higher LL figures) and their counterparts in our extracted subset of prosodically prominent nominals (i.e. nouns and adjectives) annotated with madd before pause. This set-overlap measure, which simply counts words common to both lists, does not account for rankings of words within these lists as in rank-biased overlap (Webber, Moffat and Zobel 2010) or weighted overlap (Pilehvar and Navigli 2015), though we do present a simple ranked ordering of items in Table 5 (§8.5).

The criteria informing interpretation of results are: (i) high LL score for unmarked form; (ii) high count for fully-vowelized variant in relation to raw count for unmarked form; (iii) good proportion of fully vowelized variant marked up with madd before pause relative to total count for fully vowelized form. To illustrate the application of these criteria, we first discuss the items عَلِيمٌ (ʽalīmin) and عَلِيمٍ (ʽalīmin).

The total count for the unmarked form عليم is 128, and it has a very significant LL score of 705.50. This total is made up of raw counts for all fully-vowelized variants of عليم, namely: عَلِيمٌ (100), عَلِيمٍ (6), and عَلِيمَاً (22). It transpires that the word form عليم (ʼalim) is never annotated with madd before pause so we can assume that it is never phrase-final and that it is always pronounced with tanwīn during recitation. Contrast this with the variant عليم (ʼalīmin) which co-occurs with a boundary 56% of the time (i.e. 56/100 instances annotated with madd), and the variant عليم (ʼalīman) which co-occurs with a boundary 100% of the time (i.e. 6/6 instances annotated with madd). In phrase-final position, both variants will be realised without tanwīn and will sound identical: /ʕalīm/.
8.3 Laplace Point Estimation

We now direct the reader to Appendix II, which summarizes the above data for عَلِيمٍ and all other matches in our keyword and Madd-before-pause subsets. An important aspect of our analysis here is inclusion of a point estimate statistic which represents the probability that a given word (represented by the unmarked form) will be annotated with Madd before pause (and hence will complete a phrase) in the Qur’ān. This probability seems somewhat difficult to establish since it is only fully vowelised instances that are realised with Madd; the total count for the unmarked form subsumes raw counts for its associated morphological variants.

However, the probability that a statistically significant word (denoted by the unmarked form) will be annotated with Madd before pause in our data can be construed more simply as a task akin to that of measuring successful rates of completion in usability studies. In such a scenario, successful task completion rate is most commonly computed as a single value or point estimate given by the formula \( \frac{x}{n} \), namely: the number of successful attempts \( x \) divided by the total number of attempts \( n \). This is known as the Maximum Likelihood Estimate (MLE).

It is also important to consider alternative methods that have been devised to compensate for extreme outcomes particularly when sample sizes are small (Lewis and Sauro 2006). This has bearing on our data when, for example, low frequency variants such as عَلِيمٍ always exhibit Madd before pause (§8.3). Therefore, instead of simply dividing the number of successes by the number of trials (i.e. MLE), we implement an adjustment known as the Laplace point estimate which specifically targets extreme outcomes (e.g. 100% success rate) over small sample sizes. This method adds one to the numerator and two to the denominator, and is expressed as \( \frac{(x+1)}{(n+2)} \), where \( x \) represents the number of successes, and \( n \) is the number of trials. For example, we find that the keyword عَلِيمٍ (all-knowing) is made prosodically prominent 49% of the time by rounding up the Laplace Point Estimate of 0.4846, and expressing it as a percentage. Data used in this calculation includes: (i) total count for the unmarked form (in this case 128); and (ii) number of occurrences of variant(s) with Madd (in this case 56 + 6 = 62). Implementing Laplace, we therefore have \( \frac{(62+1)}{(128+2)} \) successes \( n \) trials, resulting in a probability of 49%.
8.4 Interpretation of Results

Semantically weighted Quranic words/concepts that are both ‘key’ (i.e. significant statistically) and also highly likely to be made prosodically prominent in phrase-final position can perhaps be grouped as follows, where percentages are derived from the Laplace Point Estimate score for a given item in Appendix II.

8.4.1 God
The first group concerns the nature of God, namely the attributes of Allah, Lord of the Worlds. It includes: الحكيم (the-all-wise) 86%; قادر (all-powerful) 82%; العلمي (the-all-knowing) 79%; رحيم (merciful) 74%; حكيم (wise) 58%; بصير (all-seeing) 48%; خبير (all-aware) 41%.

8.4.2 Eschatology – and Man as Individual
The second group concerns the nature of man, manifest in the distinction between good and evil. It includes the following oppositions: المحسنين (the-doers-of-good) 94% versus المدانين (the-wrongdoers) 76%, and المؤمنين (believers) 94% versus الكافرين (the-disbelievers) 57%; المتقين (the-god-fearing) 60% versus المجرمين (the-criminals) 62%. It may also include the masculine plural form: مخلدون (abiding-forever) 96%, either in Paradise or Hell. Such polarisation is characteristic of the Quranic theme of Eschatology, and of the Qur’ān more generally ($6.6).

8.4.3 Eschatology – and Prophethood and Revelation
Finally, there is a group of powerful end-of-the-world concepts reminiscent of the early Meccan suras. It includes punishment for unredeemed sin: الحليم (the-hellfire) 72%; ألهم (painful) 69%; and النار (the-fire) 46%. This may be juxtaposed with مستقيم (straight) 77%, and مبين (clear) 86%, defining the guidance offered by God’s ‘messengers’, and linking the Quranic themes of Eschatology and Prophethood and Revelation.

8.5 Ranking Keyness with Respect to Madd-ness
As stated in Section 8.3, we have focussed this analysis on set overlap between the keyword nominals presented in Appendix I, and the nominals annotated with madd before pause presented in Appendix II. In Appendix III, we have ranked the 43 items common to both lists based on their Laplace Point Estimate score mapped to corresponding rank in terms of Log Likelihood. Items with the most
congruent rankings are presented in Table 5 and again reflect the Quranic themes of *God, Nature, and Eschatology*, with a notable exception being *Israel*.

**Table 5: Quranic words/concepts with similar rankings for keyness and ominence**

| La Place Ranking | Unmarked Form | LL Rank | Literal English Translation | Quranic Theme          |
|------------------|---------------|---------|----------------------------|------------------------|
| 12               | الظالمين      | 10      | the-wrongdoers             | Eschatology            |
| 23               | يصير         | 27      | (all)-seeing               | God                    |
| 24               | النار          | 29      | the-fire                   | Eschatology            |
| 25               | خبير          | 21      | (all)-aware                | God                    |
| 29               | إسرائيل      | 30      | Israel                     | Man in Society         |
| 31               | بالبينات      | 35      | with-the-clear-signs       | Nature                 |
| 32               | الآيات         | 33      | the-signs                  | Nature                 |
| 33               | ضلال          | 28      | error                      | Satan/Evil             |

**8.6 Interaction of Keyness and Madd caused by Sukūn and Šadda before Pause**

In Section 7.2, we presented a second RE search pattern to capture instances of *madd* caused by *sukūn* and *šadda* before pause. While these do not yield high LL statistics, we have assembled the highest-scoring instances in descending order of Laplace Point Estimate in Table 6.

**Table 6: Top ten words annotated with *madd* caused by *sukūn* and *šadda* before pause, and ranked in descending order of Laplace Point Estimate score, representing the probability that a given word will be made prosodically prominent in the Qur'ān**

| Rank | Laplace Score | Unmarked Form | Literal English Translation | Raw Count: Total Unmarked Form: | Marked Form: Freq. Marked Variant: | Freq. Marked Variant with Madd: |
|------|---------------|---------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 1    | 0.875         | الضالين       | the-astray                 | 6                              | 6                                | 6                              |
| 2    | 0.8571        | كافة          | all-(mankind)              | 5                              | 5                                | 5                              |
| 3    | 0.8571        | جان           | jinn                       | 5                              | 5                                | 5                              |
These are of interest to this study since they again exhibit a correlation between keyness and prosodic prominence. Several are associated with the Quranic theme of Eschatology, and with deviance from the straight path\textsuperscript{21}:

\begin{itemize}
  \item ضالون (80%),
  \item لضالون (75%),
  \item ضالين (75%).
\end{itemize}

\section*{9. Conclusions and Further Work}

Our first contribution in this paper is a set of Quranic keywords extracted via corpus comparison of the Qur’\={a}n versus a 7 million-word reference set of Classical, literary Arabic. These keywords represent a particular notion of linguistic salience, namely: statistical significance. We have then verified the meaningfulness of nominal keywords (\textit{i.e.} nouns and adjectives) in this set by qualitative, interpretative techniques, mapping them to major Quranic themes in Islamic scholarship. The themes of \textit{God}, \textit{Nature}, and \textit{Eschatology} are well represented in our results; and some of our most interesting findings, and top-scoring keywords, emphasise: God’s mercy and forgiveness (\textit{God}); natural phenomena as ‘signs’ or proof of God (\textit{Nature}); and the reality of heaven, hell, and a final day of reckoning (\textit{Eschatology}).

We then hypothesise that keywords extracted via computation over the Qur’\={a}n may already be encoded as meaningful in rules governing traditional Quranic recitation, or \textit{tajw\={i}d}. Our specific focus is the \textit{tajw\={i}d} sub-category of \textit{madd} (prolongation) before pause. According to this rule, words in phrase-final position are made perceptually prominent via abnormal prolongation of Arabic long vowels and diphthongs when they occur in the syllable before pause. Pre-boundary lengthening has long been accepted as a cue to prominence, and

\textsuperscript{21} This concept of disorientation and losing one’s way is also very reminiscent of Dante’s \textit{Inferno} (Canto 1.1–3): ‘…Nell mezzo del cammin di nostra Vita / mi ritrovai per una selva oscura / ché la diritta via era smarrita…’
prosodic prominence and phrasing are widely associated with informativeness as well as signifying metrical structure. Thus our second contribution is to the Islamic Studies sub-field of tajwīd: we interpret the phenomenon of madd before pause as final syllable lengthening, and even prosodic boundary mark-up, and so link the linguistic insights informing tajwīd with modern linguistic theory.

Another important aspect of this work is to ascertain whether statistically significant words in the Qurʾān are also highlighted prosodically via the device of madd before pause. This is original research since a potential correlation between distributionally significant and prosodically prominent words is hitherto unexplored both in the Qurʾān and in Corpus Linguistics more generally.

To address this novel research question, we have made a further contribution in the form of our bespoke madd extraction algorithm. This is designed to operate over automatically-generated phonemic transcriptions of Arabic words in our Boundary-Annotated Qurʾān, as well as over plain text, and defines a set of constraints or regular expressions to capture all instances within each sub-category of madd in our dataset.

We have found considerable evidence of nominal keywords aligned with phrase edges and bearing the hallmarks of pre-boundary lengthening. In our data, this correlation occurs 43.29% of the time, since 526 keywords also appear in the extracted set of nouns and adjectives tagged with madd before pause: \( \frac{526}{1215} \times 100 \). We have also found convincing evidence that certain Quranic keywords are also highly likely to be reserved for phrase-final position and to be made prosodically prominent with extra prolongation (madd) before pause.

This probability is somewhat difficult to establish because our keywords are computed from the raw frequencies of unmarked forms in the Qurʾān, whereas all instances of madd before pause are marked. A notable and straightforward example is the keyword العالمين with a log likelihood score of 203.27. This occurs 60 times in the Qurʾān and is always realised via the same vowelization (الْعَالَمِينَ, al-ʿalāmīna, the worlds) and with madd before pause.

To compute the majority of less straightforward cases, we have used the Laplace Point Estimate statistic. This serves two purposes: (i) it represents the number of successes (i.e. instances of madd before pause) relative to the number of trials (i.e. total raw frequency) for any given item as a single value which is easy to interpret; and (ii) it compensates for extreme outcomes (e.g. 100% success rate) over small sample sizes.
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Using this metric, we have again found that keywords representing the themes of God, Nature and Eschatology are more likely to emerge as semantically weighted in terms of distributional and prosodic significance. Top-scoring examples are: العالمين (the-worlds), with madd before pause 98% of the time; صادقين (truthful [m.pl]), with madd before pause 97% of the time; خالدون (abiding-forever), with madd before pause 96% of the time. Furthermore, examining congruent rankings of keyness with respect to madd-ness in a list of 43 items ranked in descending order of Laplace score, the same themes emerge, along with a notable exception: إسرائيل (Israel).

To sum up, we have found evidence that distributionally significant, and quintessentially Quranic keywords also occur strategically in phrase-final position so as to maximise their prominence, and thus meaningfulness, for reader, reciter, and aural recipient. Further work is needed to determine the extent of this congruence between keyness and prominence in the Qur’ān, and to determine whether such congruence is peculiar to this text, or a feature of Arabic more generally, and/or more universally for other languages. Further work in cross-referencing and interpreting concepts and annotated phenomena in traditional Arabic linguistics in the light of contemporary linguistic theory is also needed.

Addresses for correspondence: C.Brierley@leeds.ac.uk
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Appendix I: Nominal keywords linked to major Quranic themes

Quranic Themes (Rahman 2009): 1 - God; 2 - Man as Individual; 3 - Man in Society; 4 - Nature; 5 - Prophethood and Revelation; 6 - Eschatology; 7 - Satan and Evil; 8 - The Muslim Community; Hapax – keywords that only occur once in the Qur’an

| LL Statistic | Unmarked Word Form | Quranic Theme |
|--------------|--------------------|---------------|
| 2328.72      | الله               | 1             |
| 1103.93      | ربك                | 1             |
| 1094.65      | السماوات           | 4             |
| 969.54       | عذاب               | 6             |
| 748.76       | الأرض              | 4             |
| 705.50       | عالم                | 1             |
| 619.38       | ربي                 | 1             |
| 545.98       | ركيم               | 1             |
| 533.05       | ربا                 | 1             |
| 528.28       | مبين               | 5             |
| 505.60       | الأرض              | 4             |
| 433.11       | رحيم               | 1             |
| 416.21       | غفور               | 1             |
| 370.77       | العذاب              | 6             |
| 369.05       | ربي                 | 1             |
| 356.42       | جنات               | 6             |
| 356.05       | يابائنا             | 4             |
| 354.65       | أجل                 | 6             |
| 343.11       | جهنم               | 6             |
| 339.18       | عبد                | 8             |
| 335.46       | الصالحتين         | 2             |
| 329.68       | إله                 | 1             |
| 324.49       | بالله               | 1             |
| 316.07       | الطالبين           | 2             |
| 310.43       | أي                  | 3             |

| LL Statistic | Unmarked Word Form | Quranic Theme |
|--------------|--------------------|---------------|
| 296.15       | سبيل               | 5             |
| 295.52       | بالحق               | 2             |
| 290.26       | ابن                  | 3             |
| 284.74       | ورسوله              | 5             |
| 278.60       | خالدين              | 6             |
| 276.75       | الكافرين           | 2             |
| 269.70       | فرعون               | 3             |
| 268.35       | رب                   | 1             |
| 259.63       | أنفسهم              | 2             |
| 255.28       | قلوبهم              | 2             |
| 248.54       | محمد                | 5             |
| 234.92       | الكتاب              | 5             |
| 234.81       | آيات                | 4             |
| 224.10       | ربه                 | 1             |
| 221.99       | القيامة             | 6             |
| 221.66       | والله               | 1             |
| 215.41       | السماوات           | 4             |
| 213.77       | الآخرة              | 6             |
| 210.11       | أجر                 | 6             |
| 208.13       | قدير               | 1             |
| 203.49       | يومى                 | 6             |
| 203.27       | العالمين            | 4             |
| 201.97       | تقوم                | 3             |
| 200.71       | صراط               | 5             |
| 200.30       | الآخرة              | 6             |
## Automatic Extraction of Quranic Lexis

| LL Statistic | Unmarked Word Form | Quranic Theme |
|--------------|--------------------|--------------|
| 199.62       | آياتنا              | 4            |
| 197.08       | الحق               | 2            |
| 194.57       | بآيات              | 4            |
| 191.73       | مؤمنين             | 2            |
| 189.99       | يوم                | 6            |
| 186.39       | آلاء               | 4/6          |
| 185.58       | خبر                | 5            |
| 181.98       | خبر                | 1            |
| 179.70       | صادفين            | 2            |
| 179.31       | اللات               | 4           |
| 174.67       | الشيطان             | 7           |
| 174.31       | لله                | 1            |
| 173.80       | ندیر               | 5            |
| 171.05       | موسم               | 5            |
| 170.01       | العلم               | 1            |
| 168.71       | حكیم               | 1            |
| 167.35       | بصیر               | 1            |
| 163.33       | هدی                | 5            |
| 160.90       | آباه               | 4            |
| 159.24       | قوم                | 3            |
| 157.82       | ضلال               | 7            |
| 156.73       | النار               | 6            |
| 153.88       | إسرائیل            | 3            |
| 152.96       | آبیة               | 4            |
| 149.14       | أنفسکم             | 2            |
| 146.67       | الحکیم              | 1            |
| 146.37       | عظیم               | U            |
| 144.49       | الآبیات             | 4            |
| 144.42       | مستقیم              | 5            |
| 142.93       | بالپنیات           | 4            |
| 141.32       | الحياة              | 2            |

| LL Statistic | Unmarked Word Form | Quranic Theme |
|--------------|--------------------|--------------|
| 140.78       | خالدون              | 1            |
| 140.03       | شهدی               | 5            |
| 140.00       | لکافیون            | 2            |
| 139.26       | المحسن             | 2            |
| 133.10       | الظالمون            | 2            |
| 129.55       | أمة                 | 8            |
| 127.45       | أعمالهم            | 2            |
| 125.64       | الملائكة           | 4/6          |
| 122.05       | عمر                 | 2 Hapax      |
| 121.01       | أحمد               | 6 Hapax      |
| 120.51       | الصلاة             | 8            |
| 120.15       | يوم                 | 6            |
| 119.85       | بابی               | 3            |
| 119.85       | لآبیه              | 4            |
| 119.59       | الأولین             | 3            |
| 117.86       | الناس               | 3            |
| 117.13       | بالاخرة             | 6            |
| 116.18       | العطیفین           | 6            |
| 112.88       | مسیم               | 6            |
| 111.68       | الحجیم              | 6            |
| 111.23       | الجنة               | 6            |
| 110.99       | قوله               | 5            |
| 110.79       | الزکاة             | 8            |
| 110.76       | مسیم               | 1            |
| 107.01       | المؤمنون           | 6            |
| 106.29       | نفس                | 2/6          |
| 105.61       | آبای خاکین         | 4 Hapax      |
| 105.33       | الدنيا              | 4            |
| 104.72       | المجتهدین          | 6            |
| 104.67       | المرسلین          | 5            |
## Appendix II: Analysis of madd before pause on nominal keywords

**Quranic Themes (Rahman 2009):**
1 - God; 2 - Man as Individual; 3 - Man in Society; 4 - Nature; 5 - Prophethood and Revelation; 6 - Eschatology; 7 - Satan and Evil; 8 - The Muslim Community

| Morph. Variant | Raw Count: Variant | Raw Count: Variant with Madd | Unmarked Form | Total Count: Unmarked Form | LL     | LaPlace Point Estimate | Interlinear | Quranic Theme |
|----------------|--------------------|------------------------------|---------------|----------------------------|--------|------------------------|-------------|---------------|
| العالمين        | 60                 | 60                           | 60            | 203.27                     | 0.9839 | the-worlds             | 4           |               |
| صادقين         | 31                 | 31                           | 31            | 179.7                      | 0.9697 | [those-who-are]-truthful | 6           |               |
| خالدون         | 24                 | 24                           | 24            | 140.78                     | 0.9615 | abiding-forever        | 1           |               |
| مؤمنين         | 33                 | 33                           | 34            | 191.73                     | 0.9444 | believers              | 6           |               |
| المحسنين       | 30                 | 29                           | 30            | 139.26                     | 0.9375 | (to)-the-good-doers   | 6           |               |
| الحكيم          | 33                 | 28                           | 42            | 146.67                     | 0.8636 | all-wise               | 1           |               |
| الحكم           | 9                  | 9                            |               |                            |        |                        |             |               |
| مبين           | 45                 | 45                           | 97            | 528.28                     | 0.8586 | clear                  | 5           |               |
| المُرسلين       | 39                 | 39                           |               |                            |        |                        |             |               |
| المرسلين       | 24                 | 21                           | 24            | 104.67                     | 0.8462 | the-messengers         | 5           |               |
| قدير           | 37                 | 36                           | 43            | 208.13                     | 0.8222 | all-powerful           | 1           |               |
| Morph. Variant | Raw Count: Variant | Raw Count: Variant with Madd | Unmarked Form | Total Count: Unmarked Form | LL | LaPlace Point Estimate | Interlinear | Quranic Theme |
|----------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|---------------------------|----|------------------------|------------|----------------|
| العْلِيمُ         | 28                | 22                          | العليم        | 32                        | 170.01 | 0.7941                 | the-all-knower | 1             |
| العْلِيمِ         | 4                 | 4                           | مُسْتَقِيمٌ     | 32                        | 144.42 | 0.7647                 | (the)-straight-path | 5             |
| مُتَّقِينَ         | 20                | 19                          | مُسْتَقِيمٌ     | 32                        | 144.42 | 0.7647                 | (the)-straight-path | 5             |
| الظَّالِمِينَ      | 64                | 49                          | الطالبين      | 64                        | 316.07 | 0.7576                 | wrongdoers      | 6             |
| رَحِيمٍ          | 59                | 58                          | رحيم          | 81                        | 433.11 | 0.7349                 | (the)-most-merciful | 1             |
| الْجَحِيمِ        | 2                 | 2                           | الجحيم        | 23                        | 111.68 | 0.72                   | (of)-hellfire    | 6             |
| أَلِيمٍ          | 38                | 32                          | أليم          | 66                        | 354.65 | 0.6912                 | (is)-painful    | 6             |
| أَلِيمٍ          | 14                | 14                          | عظيم         | 71                        | 146.37 | 0.6849                 | great          | 1             |
| عَظِيمٍ          | 31                | 31                          | عظيم         | 71                        | 146.37 | 0.6849                 | great          | 1             |
| عَظِيمٍ          | 18                | 18                          | عظيم         | 71                        | 146.37 | 0.6849                 | great          | 1             |
| غَفُورٌ          | 51                | 4                           | غفور         | 72                        | 416.21 | 0.0676                 | oft-forgiving   | 1             |
| الْمُتَّقِينَ      | 24                | 16                          | المتقين       | 24                        | 133.1  | 0.6538                 | the-pious      | 6             |
| النَّجَارُينَ      | 19                | 12                          | المجرمين      | 19                        | 104.72 | 0.6190                 | the-wrongdoers  | 6             |
| النَّجَارُينَ      | 19                | 12                          | المجرمين      | 19                        | 104.72 | 0.6190                 | the-wrongdoers  | 6             |

**Theme:**
- the-all-knowers
- the-straight-path
- wrongdoers
- (of)-hellfire
- (is)-painful
- great
- oft-forgiving
- the-wrongdoers
- the-criminals
- the-pious
| Morph. | Raw Count: Variant | Raw Count: Variant with Madd | Unmarked Form | Total Count: Unmarked Form | LL  | LaPlace Point Estimate | Interlinear | Quranic Theme |
|--------|------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|---------------------------|-----|------------------------|-------------|---------------|
| حكيم | 35               | 31                          | حكيم          | 55                        | 168.71 | 0.5789                 | full-of-wisdom | 1             |
| حكيم | 4                | 1                           |               |                           |       |                        |             |               |
| الكافرين | 52        | 30                          | الكافرين     | 52                        | 276.75 | 0.5741                 | (to)-the-disbelievers | 6             |
| تعبدون | 20          | 11                          | تعبدون        | 20                        | 118.74 | 0.5455                 | worship       |               |
| عليم | 100              | 56                          | عليم          | 128                       | 705.5  | 0.4846                 | (the)-all-knower | 1             |
| عليم | 6                | 6                           |               |                           |       |                        |             |               |
| بصير | 27               | 20                          | بصير         | 42                        | 167.35 | 0.4773                 | all-seer      | 1             |
| النار | 70               | 36                          |               |                           |       |                        |             |               |
| النهر | 21               | 10                          | النهر         | 102                       | 156.73 | 0.4615                 | (in)-the-fire | 6             |
| النهر | 11               | 1                           |               |                           |       |                        |             |               |
| خبير | 23               | 13                          | خبير         | 37                        | 181.98 | 0.4103                 | (is)-all-aware | 1             |
| خبير | 2                | 2                           |               |                           |       |                        |             |               |
| الأنهار | 40          | 11                          | الأنهار        | 43                        | 213.77 | 0.2889                 | the-rivers    | 6             |
| الأنهار | 3              | 1                           |               |                           |       |                        |             |               |
| شهيد | 11               | 8                           | شهيد         | 32                        | 140.03 | 0.2647                 | (a)-witness   | 5             |
| Morph. Variant | Raw Count: Variant | Raw Count: Variant with Madd | Unmarked Form | Total Count: Unmarked Form | LL | LaPlace Point Estimate | Interlinear | Quranic Theme |
|----------------|-------------------|------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------|----|------------------------|------------|--------------|
| العذاب         | 35                | 9                            | العذاب         | 85                        | 370.77 | 0.2184     | the-punishment | 6            |
| العذاب         | 22                | 5                            | إسرائيل      | 42                        | 153.88 | 0.2045     | children-of-Israel | 3            |
| الميظان        | 19                | 7                            | الشيطان       | 63                        | 174.67 | 0.1692     | Satan        | 7            |
| الميظان        | 10                | 2                            |              | 31                        | 144.49 | 0.1212     | the-signs    | 4            |
| ضلال          | 27                | 3                            |              | 33                        | 157.82 | 0.1143     | error        | 7            |
| السماء         | 81                | 9                            | السماء        | 109                       | 215.41 | 0.0991     | the-sky      | 4            |
| السماء         | 15                | 1                            |              | 163                       | 234.92 | 0.0667     | the-book     | 5            |
| الكتاب         | 78                | 5                            |              | 29                        | 179.31 | 0.0645     | surely-signs | 4            |
| آيات           | 29                | 1                            |              | 29                        |        |            |             |              |
| Morph. Variant | Raw Count: Variant | Variant with Madd | Raw Count: Unmarked Form | Total Count: Unmarked Form | LL | LaPlace Point Estimate | Interlinear | Quranic Theme |
|----------------|-------------------|------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|----|------------------------|------------|---------------|
| سبيل          | 6                 | 5                | سبيل                     | 116                         | 296.15 | 0.0593               | way/path   | 5             |
| سبيل          | 7                 | 1                |                           |                             |       |                       |            |               |
| خير           | 78                | 8                | خير                      | 153                         | 185.58 | 0.0581               | better     | U             |
| الصالحات       | 59                | 2                |صالحات                    | 61                          | 335.46 | 0.0476               | good-deeds | 2             |
| عذاب           | 13                | 1                | عذاب                      | 188                         | 969.54 | 0.0158               | (a)-punishment | 6        |
| عذاب           | 14                | 1                |                           |                             |       |                       |            |               |
| يوم            | 28                | 2                | يوم                       | 233                         | 189.99 | 0.0128               | (a)-day     | 6             |
| السماوات       | 175               | 1                | السماوات                  | 182                         | 1094.65 | 0.0109               | the-heavens | 4             |
## Appendix III: Comparative rankings of keyness and prominence

| La Place Ranking | Unmarked Form | LL Rank | Literal English Translation | Quranic Theme  |
|------------------|---------------|---------|----------------------------|---------------|
| 1                | العالمين      | 17      | the-worlds                 | Nature        |
| 2                | صادقين        | 22      | truthful (m.pl)             | Eschatology   |
| 3                | خالدون        | 36      | abiding-forever (m.pl)      | Eschatology   |
| 4                | مؤمنين        | 18      | believers/believing        | Eschatology   |
| 5                | المحسنین      | 38      | the-doers-of-good          | Eschatology   |
| 6                | الحکیم         | 31      | the-(all)-wise             | God           |
| 7                | میبین          | 4       | clear                      | Prophethood/Revelation |
| 8                | المنسین        | 43      | the-messengers             | Prophethood/Revelation |
| 9                | قادر          | 16      | (all)-powerful             | God           |
| 10               | العلیم         | 25      | the-(all)-knowing          | God           |
| 11               | مستقیم         | 34      | straight                    | Prophethood/Revelation |
| 12               | الفاطالمین     | 10      | the-wrongdoers             | Eschatology   |
| 13               | رحیم           | 5       | merciful                   | God           |
| 14               | الجیحیم        | 41      | the-hellfire                | Eschatology   |
| 15               | أليم           | 8       | painful                    | Eschatology   |
| 16               | عظم           | 32      | great                      | God           |
| 17               | الفاطالمین     | 39      | the-wrongdoers             | Eschatology   |
| 18               | المحرمن        | 42      | the-criminals              | Eschatology   |
| 19               | المتقین        | 40      | the-god-fearing            | Eschatology   |
| 20               | حکیم           | 26      | wise                       | God           |
| 21               | الکافرون       | 12      | the-disbelievers           | Eschatology   |
| 22               | علمی           | 3       | (all)-knowing              | God           |
| 23               | پصیر           | 27      | (all)-seeing               | God           |
| 24               | النار           | 29      | the-fire                   | Eschatology   |
| 25               | خیبر           | 21      | (all)-aware                | God           |
| 26               | الأحراز         | 15      | the-rivers                 | Eschatology   |
| 27               | شهید           | 37      | (a)-witness                | Prophethood/Revelation |
| 28               | العذاب          | 7       | the-punishment             | Eschatology   |
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| La Place Ranking | Unmarked Form | LL Rank | Literal English Translation | Quranic Theme |
|------------------|---------------|---------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| 29               | إسرائيل      | 30      | Israel                      | Man in Society|
| 30               | الشيطان       | 24      | the-Devil/Satan             | Satan/Evil    |
| 31               | بالبينات      | 35      | with-the-clear-signs        | Nature        |
| 32               | الآيات         | 33      | the-signs                  | Nature        |
| 33               | ضلال          | 28      | error                       | Satan/Evil    |
| 34               | السماء         | 14      | the-sky                     | Nature        |
| 35               | غفور          | 6       | forgiving                   | God           |
| 36               | الكتاب        | 13      | the-book                    | Prophethood/Revelation |
| 37               | الآيات         | 23      | for-signs                  | Nature        |
| 38               | سبيل          | 11      | way/path                    | Prophethood/Revelation |
| 39               | خير           | 20      | good                        | Eschatology   |
| 40               | الصالحات       | 9       | the-good-deeds             | Man (Individual) |
| 41               | عذاب           | 2       | punishment                  | Eschatology   |
| 42               | يوم            | 19      | day                         | Eschatology   |
| 43               | السماوات       | 1       | the-heavens                 | Nature        |