Enlargement of optical Schrödinger’s cat states
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Superpositions of macroscopically distinct quantum states, introduced in Schrödinger’s famous Gedankenexperiment, are an epitome of quantum ‘strangeness’ and a natural tool for determining the validity limits of quantum physics. The optical incarnation of Schrödinger’s cat (SC)—the superposition of two opposite-amplitude coherent states—is also the backbone of continuous-variable quantum information processing. However, the existing preparation methods limit the amplitudes of the component coherent states, which curtails the state’s usefulness for fundamental and practical applications. Here, we convert a pair of negative squeezed SC states of amplitude $1.15$ to a single positive SC state of amplitude $1.85$ with a success probability of $\sim 0.2$. The protocol consists in bringing the initial states into interference on a beamsplitter and a subsequent heralding quadrature measurement in one of the output channels. Our technique can be realized iteratively, so arbitrarily high amplitudes can, in principle, be reached.

In this work, we implement an alternative for the direct-preparation approach mentioned above. Our technique probabilistically converts a pair of SCs into a single SC state with an amplitude greater than that of the initial ones by a factor of $\sqrt{2}$. Our method can be applied in an iterative manner27,28, which allows one to prepare an SC state of, in principle, any desirable amplitude—given that sufficiently many initial SCs are available at the inception stage.

The idea of the method was proposed by Lund et al.29 and further developed by Laghaout et al.28. Let the initial SC state be a superposition of coherent states of real amplitude $\alpha$:

$$|\alpha\rangle = N(|\alpha\rangle + |\alpha\rangle)$$ (1a)

$$|\alpha\rangle = N(|\alpha\rangle - |\alpha\rangle)$$ (1b)

where $N$ is the normalization factor. Suppose a pair of identical, either positive or negative, states (equation (1)) is put to interference on a symmetric beamsplitter. Let the relative phase of the inputs be $\alpha$. For the SC to be macroscopic, $\alpha$ has to be much larger than the quantum uncertainty of the position observable in the coherent state.5-7

Aside from the fundamental interest, optical SC states are useful in applied quantum science. They can serve as a basis for quantum computation8-9, metrology10,11, teleportation and cryptography12-14. Most of these applications require the involved coherent states to be of reasonably high amplitudes. For example, encoding a qubit in the coherent state basis $|\pm\alpha\rangle$ is practical only when these states are nearly orthogonal, that is, when $\alpha \geq 2$ (ref. 8). Although a fault-tolerant quantum computation scheme optimized at $\alpha \approx 1.6$ has been proposed, it requires a significant resource overhead9.

The above motivation to build optical SC states inspired a significant experimental drive15-27. Most of the existing experiments are based on photon subtraction from the squeezed vacuum state9-25 or on quantum-state engineering within the subspace of three lower Fock states15-18. The state obtained by these methods approximates SCs reasonably well only for relatively small amplitudes. A better performance is offered by the method of preparing SCs from multiphoton Fock states26, but these states are, themselves, difficult to prepare in a reliable and scalable fashion.

In optics, the SC state corresponds to a superposition of coherent fields of the electromagnetic wave point in two opposite directions at the same time, which resembles the superposition of dead and alive states of a cat in the original Schrödinger’s proposal, the life and death of a cat are entangled with the state of a decaying atom, which results in a macroscopic quantum-superposition state1. This setting, originally used as a metaphor to demonstrate the absurdity of the newborn quantum theory in the macroscopic domain, remained a matter of thought experiment for about 50 years. As quantum physics matured, this paradox was revisited; nowadays, Schrödinger’s cat (SC) is being emulated in diverse physical systems. It is expected to help answer a fundamental question2.

In this setting, originally used as a metaphor to demonstrate the absurdity of the newborn quantum theory in the macroscopic domain, remained a matter of thought experiment for about 50 years. As quantum physics matured, this paradox was revisited; nowadays, Schrödinger’s cat (SC) is being emulated in diverse physical systems. It is expected to help answer a fundamental question2.

恶劣的环境会导致实验失败。
The experimental set-up for realizing the above protocol is shown in Fig. 1. The initial SCs in two spatially distinct light modes are generated by photon subtraction from squeezed vacuum states. As the squeezed vacuum is approximated by a positive SC (equation (1a)), the photon annihilation flips the sign in front of the \(|-\alpha\rangle\) component, which converts the state to a negative squeezed SC (equation (1b)) of a larger amplitude. The obtained states are characterized by optical homodyne tomography. Corrected for the total quantum efficiency of 62% (Methods), they have a fidelity of 84% with an ideal state (SC, [1.15]) squeezed by 1.74 dB. The Wigner functions of the experimentally reconstructed states and the best-fit state are shown in Fig. 2 (right column). Throughout this paper, we follow the concept of Ourjoumtsev et al. by fitting our experimentally acquired states with squeezed SCs. The rationale behind this convention is that squeezing does not affect the macroscopicity of the superposition and can be undone by a local unitary operation.

Simultaneous preparation of the two initial SC states is heralded by coincident clicks of single-photon counting modules (SPCMs) 1 and 2. The states are then mixed on a symmetric beamsplitter. The optical phase difference between them is stabilized actively (Methods) to make sure that the output state of the beamsplitter is described by equation (2). Subsequently, one of the beamsplitter output modes (mode 2) is subjected to homodyne measurement of the position quadrature. Conditioned on a near-zero measurement result \(|X| \leq 0.3\) in mode 2, the state of mode 1 is subjected to quantum tomography by means of another homodyne detector. Out of a total of 40,000 SPCM coincidence events collected, 8,000 satisfied this condition, which corresponds to the protocol’s success probability of 0.2.

The tomographic reconstruction result is shown in Fig. 2a (right). The amplified state, corrected for a 62% detection efficiency, has a fidelity of 77% with \(|\text{SC}, [1.85]\rangle\) squeezed by 3.04 dB, displayed
in Fig. 2b (right). The additional squeezing of the output SC compared with the input is a result of the non-ideality of the homodyne detection in mode 2 as well as the imperfection of the initial SC.

The Wigner functions of the SC states have a characteristic shape that consists of two positive Gaussian peaks associated with the individual coherent state constituents and a highly non-classical 'interference fringe' pattern between them. Our observations are consistent with this description. In the initial SC states, the Gaussian peaks are quite close to each other, so the Wigner function of the initial state resembles that of the squeezed single photon\(^{19}\). For the amplified SC, the peaks are separated further, so one can more clearly distinguish them from the interference pattern in between, with the latter becoming more prominent. This effect on the Wigner function is also quite evident without the efficiency correction (Fig. 2a, left insets).

The protocol demonstrated here constitutes an instrument to convert a pair of SC states into a single larger-amplitude positive SC state. The probability of success \(p\) is directly related to the width of the quadrature selection band in output mode 2 of the beamsplitter, and asymptotically increases to 1/2 for high amplitudes. As shown, the fidelity of the amplified SC does not significantly decrease with respect to that of the initial one, which permits the application of the protocol in an iterative fashion.

A single realization of our protocol produces optical SCs with amplitudes that are comparable to the highest ever achieved, including other physical systems, such as microwave\(^{34}\) and circuit\(^{35}\) cavity quantum electrodynamics settings. Iterating our protocol for \(n\) stages will further increase the SC amplitude by a factor of \(\alpha' / \alpha = 2^{n-1}\). As each implementation of the protocol would require two input SCs, a total of \(1 + 2 + \ldots + 2^n - 1\) implementations are needed for \(n\) stages, with the corresponding success probability of \(p = p^{2^{n-1}}\). This estimate can be increased by using wider acceptance intervals at advanced stages of the protocol with \(\alpha = 2\). For example, amplifying the SC state from \(\alpha = 1.4\) to \(\alpha' = 4\) would require, on average, \(\sim 5,000\) copies of the initial SC per one copy of the output.

The multistage version of the breeding protocol would function best with on-demand SC sources. The recently developed high-efficiency, reproducible single-photon sources\(^{36,37}\) are promising in
this context. The photons obtained from these sources can be subjected to squeezing to generate low-amplitude SCs, or used directly as the input states of the first stage. Alternatively, one can use heralded SC sources, such as those employed in this experiment, combined with optical quantum memory in a setting similar to the quantum repeater. This will change the scaling of the overall success probability with respect to the target amplitude from exponential to polynomial.

It is instructive to compare our method with the techniques for the heralded preparation of arbitrary Fock-state superpositions by engineering the measurement in the idler channel of parametric downconversion. Theoretically, any quantum state, including SCs, can be decomposed into the Fock basis and thus prepared in exponential to polynomial. In practice, however, these methods operate in the limit of low probability to generate a photon pair. Hence, in contrast to the method developed here, they feature prohibitively low success probabilities for any states beyond the 2–3 photon subspace.

Methods

Methods and any associated references are available in the online version of the paper.
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Methods

Degenerate parametric downconversion takes place in periodically poled potassium titanyl phosphate crystals (PPKTP, Raicol) under type-I phase-matching conditions. Each of the two crystals is pumped with ~25 mW frequency-doubled radiation of the master laser (Ti:Sapphire Coherent Mira 900D), with a wavelength of 780 nm, repetition rate of 76 MHz and pulse width of ~1.5 ps. In each nonlinear crystal, a 1.7 dB single-mode squeezed vacuum state is generated.

For the preparation of the initial SCs, 10% of the energy from these squeezed vacuum states is ‘tapped’ by beam splitters and directed to SPCMs (Excillitias) via fibre interfaces. The preparation rate of each SC state is ~10 kHz, which results in a ~2 Hz rate of coincidence events.

The set-up requires two phase-lock loops (PLLs), one to keep the input SCs in phase with each other and the other to ensure that the homodyne detector in mode 2 measures the X quadrature. To generate the feedback signal, both PLLs use the data from the homodyne detectors without conditioning on the SPCM events (which we refer to as ‘non-triggered’). The first PLL is set to minimize the Einstein–Podolsky–Rosen-type quadrature correlations, which show up in the detectors’ measurements when the phases are misaligned. The feedback signal is applied to a piezoelectric transducer in one of the initial state’s paths (PZT₁; in Fig. 1).

If the first PLL functions properly, the non-triggered output of the beam splitter constitutes two unentangled single-mode momentum-squeezed states. The second PLL can therefore be set to keep the variance of the mode 2 quadrature measurements at the maximum. The feedback signal is applied to the corresponding local oscillator phase via PZT₂ (Fig. 1).

The reconstruction of both the initial and amplified SC states is performed using the iterative maximum likelihood algorithm. The local oscillator phase is varied by PZT₂, and its time-dependent value is extracted from the variance of the non-triggered quadrature data, which corresponds to the single-mode squeezed state. To perform the tomography of the initial SCs, the reflectivity of the central beam splitter is set to zero.

The total quantum efficiency of state detection, 62%, is determined from the analysis of these SC states. The main efficiency reduction factors are optical losses (10%, not counting the tapping beamsplitter), mode matching between the signal and local oscillator (81%) and the efficiency of the homodyne detector (86%).

The observed experimental imperfections can be explained by the model of Ourjoumtsev et al. According to this model, the SPCMs’ dark events, as well as imperfect matching between the modes detected by the SPCMs and the squeezed mode, results in an imperfect photon subtraction. The density matrix of the input SC is thus given by $\hat{\rho} = \frac{1}{2} (\hat{\rho}_{\text{SC}} + \hat{\rho}_{\text{vac}} + \hat{\rho}_{\text{vac}} + \hat{\rho}_{\text{SC}})$, where $\hat{\rho}_{\text{SC}}$ is the density matrix of the squeezed vacuum state subjected to 10% of losses on the tapping beamsplitter. The best fidelity of 98% between the model and the experimentally reconstructed state was found for $\xi = 0.9$.

Subsequently, the ‘breeding’ protocol was applied to the modelled input SC, taking into account the efficiency of the heralding homodyne detector. The best fit gives a 97% fidelity with the experimentally obtained density matrix.

If the parameter $\xi$ was equal to 0.99 and the tapping beamsplitter reflectivity was 0.05 rather than 0.1, which can be achieved by modern experimental methods, the fidelity between the ideal cat states and the output (input) states calculated using the same model would be 95% (96%). In other words, the fidelity loss observed for the amplified SC in the present experiment mainly results from the imperfections in the initial SC rather than the ‘breeding’ operation itself.

Data availability. The data that support the plots within this paper and other findings of this study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.
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