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ABSTRACT

We report a trigonometric parallax measurement with the Very Long Baseline Array for the water maser in the distant high-mass star-forming region G75.30+1.32. This source has a heliocentric distance of 9.25–0.45 kpc, which places it in the Outer arm in the first Galactic quadrant. It lies 200 pc above the Galactic plane and is associated with a substantial H I enhancement at the border of a large molecular cloud. At a Galactocentric radius of 10.7 kpc, G75.30+1.32 is in a region of the Galaxy where the disk is significantly warped toward the North Galactic Pole. While the star-forming region has an instantaneous Galactic orbit that is nearly circular, it displays a significant motion of 18 km s⁻¹ toward the Galactic plane. The present results, when combined with two previous maser studies in the Outer arm, yield a pitch angle of about 12° for a large section of the arm extending from the first quadrant to the third.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The section of the Galaxy beyond the solar circle in the first quadrant is arguably the most difficult in which to study Galactic structure. Heliocentric distances are large (e.g., Mead & Kutner 1988; DIGEL et al. 1990), Population I objects scarce, the rotation curve poorly constrained, and the disk warped and flared. Still, two well-defined spiral arms are easily recognized in large-scale 21 cm and CO surveys of the region (e.g., Dame et al. 2001; see also our Figure 4 below). The nearer one, the Perseus arm, straddles the solar circle in the first quadrant, apparently crossing it near a longitude of ~50°; the other is 2–3 kpc farther from the Galactic center and is generally called the Outer arm (although other names such as “Cygnus-Out,” “Norma-Cygnus,” and “Perseus+I” have been applied; Vallée 2008). We note in passing that even more distant arm was recently identified in both 21 cm and CO emissions in the first quadrant (Dame & Thaddeus 2011); at about 15 kpc from the Galactic center this may be the distant end of the Scutum–Centaurus arm.

In general, the Outer arm contains much less molecular gas than arms at smaller Galactic radii (e.g., Perseus). Even so, some star-forming regions are detected in the arm at distances of ~5 kpc toward the anticenter direction, and this section of the arm has been located by trigonometric parallaxes (Honma et al. 2007; Hachisuka et al. 2009), through kinematic distance estimates (e.g., Russeil et al. 2007), and via photometric observations of open clusters (e.g., Pandey et al. 2006). An accurate knowledge of the gas distribution and large-scale motions in the outer regions of the Galaxy may provide strong constraints on the rotation curve of the Milky Way. In this respect, trigonometric parallax measurements of high-mass star-forming regions (HMSFRs) yield both an accurate distance to the source (within 10% accuracy) and its full-space proper motion around the Galaxy (within a few km s⁻¹). So far, these observations have provided evidence for a nearly flat rotation curve up to about 13 kpc from the Galactic center in both the third (Honma et al. 2007) and second Galactic quadrants (Hachisuka et al. 2009; Reid et al. 2009b).

In this paper, we further constrain the outer-Galaxy rotation curve and the structure of the Outer arm in the first quadrant using masers associated with the HMSFR G75.30+1.32 (IRAS 201444+3726). The longitude and large negative local standard of rest (LSR) velocity of this region, −57 km s⁻¹, place it within the locus of the Outer arm as traced by the gas. We conducted multi-epoch, astrometric, Very Long Baseline Array (VLBA) observations of its 22 GHz water masers (>50 Jy; Bränd et al. 1994) in order to measure the trigonometric parallax and three-dimensional velocity vectors of this star-forming region.

2. OBSERVATIONS AND DATA ANALYSIS

We conducted VLBA6 observations to study the 616−523 H₂O maser emission (rest frequency 22.235079 GHz) toward the HMSFR G75.30+1.32. In order to measure the trigonometric (annual) parallax and Galactic proper motion of this source, we used phase-referencing observations by fast switching between the maser target and two extragalactic continuum sources, J2015+3710 and J2018+3812. The former one was found in the VCS2 survey and has an absolute position known to better than ±1 mas (Fomalont et al. 2003), whereas the latter belongs to the VERA 22 GHz Fringe Search Survey (Petrov et al. 2007). Two strong fringe-finders (3C345 and 3C454.3) were observed for bandpass, single-band delay, and instrumental phase-offset calibration. We also employed four blocks of geodetic-like observations, in order to remove total atmospheric delays for...
3. RESULTS

3.1. Maser Emission

We mapped a range of LSR velocities within ±30 km s⁻¹ about the mean velocity of the emission (≈−56 km s⁻¹) and a field of view of ±1′′ about the peak position of the reference feature No. 2 (see Table 2). Since G75.30+1.32 has been well studied in the past, we imaged a large field of view and checked for differences between the total-power spectrum (Figure 1) and the flux density from the detected maser features (Table 2). With the interferometer, we recovered more than 90% of the emission detected with single-dish measurements. In addition to the emission reported in Table 2, we found a strong, maser feature at about 6′′ northward of the reference feature and probably represents another forming star in the region. However, analysis of this source is beyond the scope of this paper.

We identified 38 distinct water maser features, distributed within an area of about 0′6 × 0′9. We use the term feature to refer to multiple “spots” spatially overlapping in contiguous velocity channels and identify features with individual masing cloudlets (e.g., Sanna et al. 2010). We used the AIPS task JMFIT to fit an elliptical Gaussian brightness distribution to the brightness structure of each maser emission center at each epoch. The measured properties of individual features are presented in Table 2. Their intensities range from about 10 to 0.1 Jy beam⁻¹ and span LSR velocities from −48 km s⁻¹ for the most redshifted feature (No. 17) to −66 km s⁻¹ for the most blueshifted one (No. 18). One-third of the maser features persisted over the one year time-baseline of our observations. For features lasting at least 6 months, we modeled their relative position shifts with time by a linear fit to get the internal proper motions of the maser distribution. At a distance of 9.25 kpc, the magnitude of the relative motions with respect to feature No. 2 ranges from 4 km s⁻¹ for feature No. 6 to 84 km s⁻¹ for feature No. 34, with an average accuracy of about 2 km s⁻¹.

### Table 1

| Source | R.A. (J2000) | Decl. (J2000) | θ_{peak} | P.A. | HPBW | F_{peak} | Image rms | V_{LSR} |
|--------|--------------|--------------|----------|------|------|----------|-----------|---------|
| G75.30+1.32 | 20 16 16.0117 | 37 35 45.807 | ... | ... | 0.87 × 0.43 at −15:9 | 4.499 | 0.007 | −56.8 |
| J2015+3710 | 20 15 28.7297 | 37 10 59.514 | 0.4 | −139 | 0.88 × 0.45 at −15:3 | 2.301 | 0.010 |
| J2018+3812 | 20 18 42.8500 | 38 12 41.700 | 0.8 | +58 | 0.89 × 0.45 at −13:2 | 0.079 | 0.002 |

Notes: Positions and source properties for the target maser and the QSO calibrators. The absolute position of the peak of the phase-reference maser channel No. 131 (belonging to feature No. 2 in Table 2) refers to the second (middle) epoch and is accurate to within ±1 mas. We report the positions of the calibrators used in the VLBA correlator. Angular offsets (θ_{peak}) and position angles (P.A.) east of north relative to the maser source are indicated in Columns 4 and 5. Columns 6, 7, and 8 give the natural restoring beam sizes (HPBW), the peak intensities (F_{peak}), and image rms noise of the phase-reference maser channel (at V_{LSR}) and K-band background sources from the second epoch.

Figure 1. Total-power (Stokes I) spectrum of the 22 GHz H₂O masers toward G75.30+1.32 from the second epoch on 2009 May 6. This profile was produced by averaging the total-power spectra of all VLBA antennas, after weighting each spectrum with the antenna system temperature. The dotted line crossing the spectrum (at −57.0 km s⁻¹) represents the systemic velocity (V_{sys}) of the molecular cloud hosting the star-forming region (Bronfman et al. 1996).
Figure 2. Images of the background continuum sources in $K$ band near G75.30+1.32 used for parallax purposes. Source names are in the upper right corner and restoring beams are in the lower left corner of each panel. Contour levels are at multiples of 10% of each peak brightness reported on top of each panel. All images are from the second (middle) epoch observations on 2009 May 6 (see Table 1).

Figure 3. Results of the “combined” parallax fit for G75.30+1.32. Red circles and blue triangles show maser positions measured relative to J2015+3710 and J2018+3812, respectively. Left panel: sky projected motion of the maser with respect to J2015+3710 and J2018+3812 with first and fourth epochs labeled. The empty circles and the line show the best-fit position offsets and the trajectory, respectively. Middle panel: the position offsets of the maser along the east and north directions vs. time. The best-fit model in the east and north directions are shown as continuous and dashed lines, respectively. Right panel: same as the middle panel but with fitted proper motions subtracted (i.e., parallax curve). The north offset data have been shifted for clarity.

positions over time (see Reid et al. 2009a for a detailed discussion). For the purposes of a maser reference position, we used the spot at $-54.3\,\text{km\,s}^{-1}$ associated with feature No. 5 (in Table 2 is reported the peak LSR velocity for each feature). This spot was isolated, nearly point-like, and fairly strong at all epochs ($S/N \gg 100$). Table 3 and Figure 3 show the results of the parallax and proper motion fitting for both background sources.

Since systematic errors usually dominate over random noise, we estimated “a posteriori” the quality of the measurements from the fit residuals (see Reid et al. 2009a). While both calibrators had a small angular distance from the maser source (see Table 1), J2018+3812 had a deconvolved size (e.g., $\approx 0.5\,\text{mas} \times 0.2\,\text{mas}$ at $-5^\circ$, from the first epoch data) more than two times that of J2015+3710. That affected the parallax signature in the north direction for the fainter calibrator J2018+3812. Thus, to optimally combine the measurements of the two background sources, we determined their relative weights as follow. First, we fitted data for each background source separately to give preliminary estimates of parallax and proper motion. Since we expect no detectable proper motion for the extragalactic sources, we re-fitted the data from the two sources independently, holding the proper motions fixed at the average values from the first fit. This procedure was iterated,
adjusting the individual error floors to yield values of chi-squared per degree of freedom near unity. The error floors determined in this manner were ±0.01 mas in both the E–W and N–S directions for J2015+3710 and ±0.03 mas in the E–W direction and ±0.08 mas in the N–S direction for J2018+3812. These individual error floors were used in a final “combined” parallax reported in Table 3. Note that, since the targets of these observations were high-declination sources, we avoided low-elevation data. That explains the accurate N–S offset measurements for the point-like calibrator J2015+3710, compared to low-declination sources (e.g., Reid et al. 2009a). The parallax of G75.30+1.32 from the combined fit is 0.108 ± 0.005 mas, corresponding to a distance of 9.25±0.45 kpc from the Sun. For the IAU value of the distance to the galactic center, $R_0 = 8.5$ kpc, our measured distance translates to a Galactocentric radius of 10.8 kpc.

To determine a secular proper motion for the HMSFR (as opposed to a single maser spot), we need to correct the combined fit values in Table 3 for the proper motion of the reference spot with respect to the forming star. We estimated this contribution from the average proper motion of all the maser features with respect to the reference spot of the parallax. The average internal velocity components are $-0.28 ± 0.09$ mas yr$^{-1}$ toward the east and $-0.64 ± 0.17$ mas yr$^{-1}$ toward the north, where we report the standard error of the mean. Thus, the total motion of the whole source is estimated to be $-2.37 ± 0.09$ mas yr$^{-1}$ and $-4.48 ± 0.17$ mas yr$^{-1}$ in the east and north directions, respectively. At our measured distance these values correspond to $-104±4$ km s$^{-1}$ and $-196±7$ km s$^{-1}$ eastward and northward, respectively. Converting the kinematic information, we have assumed an LSR velocity of 0.0 mas yr$^{-1}$.
-57.0 ± 2.2 km s⁻¹ for the HMSFR G75.30+1.32, obtained from the CS(2–1) line survey by Bronfman et al. (1996), in agreement with the median velocity of the maser features (−57.2 km s⁻¹).

We can transform the three-dimensional velocity from the equatorial heliocentric reference frame, in which they were measured, to a reference frame rotating with the Galaxy, to estimate the peculiar motion of the HMSFR (i.e., the deviation from a circular rotation). Adopting a flat rotation curve for the Milky Way with Θ = 239 km s⁻¹ (Brunthaler et al. 2011), a current “best estimate” for the distance to the Galactic center of R₀ = 8.3 kpc (Reid et al. 2009b; Brunthaler et al. 2011), and the revised Hipparcos measurements of the solar motion (Schönrich et al. 2010), we find peculiar velocity components for G75.30+1.32 of (U₀, V₀, W₀) = (11.3 ± 5.3, 1.1 ± 8.8, −17.8 ± 5.4) km s⁻¹, where U₀, V₀, and W₀ are directed toward the Galactic center, in the direction of Galactic rotation and toward the North Galactic Pole, respectively. In Table 4, we summarize these results together with the peculiar proper motions of sources previously measured along the Outer arm.

4. DISCUSSION

The source G75.30+1.32 is located in the first Galactic quadrant at a Galactocentric radius of about 11 kpc, far beyond the Galactocentric radius of the Perseus arm in this direction (~8 kpc; lower right panel in Figure 4). The Outer arm between Galactic longitudes of 20°–90° at zero latitude can be clearly traced in 21 cm H i emission with V_{LSR} ≤ −20 km s⁻¹ (upper panel of Figure 4). The longitude–velocity diagram in Figure 4 shows that G75.30+1.32 (marked by a cross) is definitely within the locus of the Outer arm, although it lies about 10 km s⁻¹ higher in velocity than the central velocity of the arm at that longitude (~−67 km s⁻¹). We note that, with a Galactic latitude of b = 1.32° and a distance of 9.25 kpc, G75.30+1.32 is located ~213 pc above the Galactic plane. An analysis of both the CO survey from Dame et al. (2001) and the H i survey from Kalberla et al. (2005) toward the Galactic latitude of G75.30+1.32 shows that the star-forming region sits on the side of a fairly bright CO cloud and lies close to a substantial H i enhancement (lower left panel in Figure 4). A further comparison of the Galactic coordinates and distance of G75.30+1.32 with a vertical cross-section of the Galactic plane points out the coincidence with a prominent warp of the Milky Way, particularly pronounced in the neutral hydrogen gas (e.g., Nakanishi & Sofue 2003).

The Galactic warp is a displacement of the outer disk from the midplane, generally upward (toward the North Galactic Pole) between the first and second quadrants and downward at diametrically opposite directions in the third and fourth. This midplane displacement can also be detected in faint CO line emission and peaks close to the Galactic location of G75.30+1.32, at a Galactocentric azimuth θ = 124°, toward the North Galactic Pole (e.g., Nakanishi & Sofue 2006, their Figure 8). The Galactocentric azimuth is defined as the azimuth angle around the rotation axis of the Galaxy, taken so that θ = 180° points to the Sun and θ = 0° is parallel to V₀.

The difference of V_{LSR} at b = 0° and b = 1.32° may be related to the dynamics of the warp together with the peculiar motion of G75.30+1.32 perpendicular to the plane (~18 km s⁻¹) and directed toward the Galactic plane. We note that this last value differs by about six times the mean value for HMSFRs located within the Perseus arm (~3 km s⁻¹; Reid et al. 2009b).

Two ideas have been forwarded to explain the Galactic warp (see Sellwood 2010 and reference therein): (1) as a consequence of the ongoing accretion of matter by the Galactic halo at much larger radii than the edge of the disk and (2) as a result of tidal interactions due to the proximity of the Magellanic Clouds (ℓ ~ 280°) and the Sagittarius dwarf galaxy (e.g., Figure 1 in Bailin 2003). In the accretion scenario, gravitational torques between the inner halo and the outer disk produce a misalignment of the outer parts of the Galaxy with respect to the plane of the inner disk. This happens because of a redistribution of angular momentum through the system as long as freshly accreted matter streams from the outer to the inner parts of the Galaxy (e.g., Binney 1992; Jiang & Binney 1999). In this framework, the present result (W₀) may be interpreted as an inward motion through the Galactic warp toward the inner Galactic disk.

Using R₀ = 8.3 kpc, Θ₀ = 239 km s⁻¹, and a flat rotation curve beyond the solar circle, the revised kinematic distance (Reid et al. 2009b) at the Galactic coordinates of the source with an V_{LSR} = −57 km s⁻¹ is 9.9 kpc, with no kinematic distance ambiguity as the source is in the outer Galaxy. The small discrepancy (~1σ) between the kinematic distance of G75.30+1.32 and its trigonometric distance of 9.25 kpc can be accounted for by the small peculiar motion of G75.30+1.32 (~7 km s⁻¹) toward to the Sun direction (Table 4). On the one hand, this small difference hints at the validity of a nearly
Figure 4. Star-forming region G75.30+1.32 in the Galaxy, from the H\textsc{i} and CO complex association to a plane view of the Milky Way. Upper panel: longitude–velocity diagram of 21 cm emission at $b=0^\circ$ from the LAB H\textsc{i} survey (Kalberla et al. 2005). The color scale from light blue to white corresponds to the 21 cm intensity range 35–120 K. The emission from the Outer and Perseus Arms is labeled together with the position of G75.30+1.32 (cross). Lower left panel: composite image of CO (colors) and H\textsc{i} emission (contours) at the Galactic coordinates of the source (cross). The CO emission from Dame et al. (2001) was integrated over the LSR velocity range from $-65$ to $-110$ km s$^{-1}$. CO intensity was converted to H$_2$ column density by using the relation $N$(H$_2$)/$W_{CO} = 1.8 \times 10^{20}$ (Dame et al. 2001). The H\textsc{i} column density for the same velocity range starts from $10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$ in steps of $2 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$. Lower right panel: schematic view of the spiral arms of the Milky Way across the four Galactic quadrants after Taylor & Cordes (1993) with updates. The best value of $R_0 = 8.3$ kpc from Brunthaler et al. (2011) is assumed. The location of the central bar from Benjamin et al. (2005) is also reported. The positions of the Outer arm sources previously measured with trigonometric parallaxes are labeled (Reid et al. 2009b) together with the distance and Galactic longitude of the present measurement.

flat rotation curve up to the outer regions of the first Galactic quadrant ($d\Theta/dR < 3$ km s$^{-1}$ kpc$^{-1}$; e.g., Brand & Blitz 1993; Reid et al. 2009b). On the other hand, the small values of the peculiar motion of G75.30+1.32 along the Galactic plane (Table 4) may be interpreted in the framework of a density-wave-induced spiral perturbation of the gravitational potential in a rotating galaxy (Lin et al. 1969). These perturbations give rise to systematic, residual velocities within the spiral arms of the order of 10 km s$^{-1}$ (Lin et al. 1969); inside the corotation radius, at the inner edge of an arm these streaming motions are directed toward the galactic center and counter to galactic rotation; at the outer edge, residual velocities toward the galactic center decrease to zero and point in the direction of the galactic rotation. Outside the corotation radius residual velocities should be reversed (e.g., Figure 2 in Mel’Nik et al. 1999; Mel’Nik et al. 2001). By assuming a spiral pattern speed of 20 km s$^{-1}$ kpc$^{-1}$ (e.g., Bissantz et al. 2003) and a Galactic rotation of about 240 km s$^{-1}$, the corotation radius would be located at about 12 kpc. G75.30+1.32 shows a residual velocity toward the Galactic center consistent with the star-forming region inside the corotation radius (Table 4). Furthermore, the streaming motions of star-forming regions measured so far along the Outer arm (Table 4) show positive values toward the Galactic center and suggest a corotation radius above a Galactocentric distance of 13 kpc. On the contrary, Russeil et al. (2007) located the corotation radius between the Perseus arm and the Outer arm in order to explain the opposite sign of residual velocities of their H\textsc{ii} regions. Note that, this estimate accounted only for residual radial velocities ($V_{LSR}$). As soon as the BeSSeL survey$^7$ and the VERA project$^8$ increase the sample of three-dimensional velocity measurements along the Outer arm, we should be able to assess these issues with stronger statistical support.

---

$^7$ See the web page at the following URL, http://www.mpifr-bonn.mpg.de/staff/abrunthaler/BeSSeL/index.shtml.

$^8$ See the web page at the following URL, http://veraserver.mtk.nao.ac.jp/index.html.
Finally, we can estimate the pitch angle of the Outer arm between Galactic longitudes from 75° to 196°. We mention as a caveat that spiral arms in other galaxies can have “kinks” and variations of pitch angle over large portions of an arm (e.g., Seigar & James 1998). For the simplest case of a logarithmic spiral arm (e.g., Reid et al. 2009b), we fitted the parallax data of G75.30+1.32 (first quadrant) together with the two previous (trigonometric) measurements of star-forming regions along the Outer arm (Table 4). This approach formally yields a pitch angle of $12.1 \pm 4.2$ which covers about 120° of longitude between the first and third Galactic quadrants. In Figure 5, we display this result with the logarithm of the Galactocentric radius as a function of the Galactic longitude ($\beta$, defined as 0 toward the Sun). While perfect-logarithmic, spiral arm sections should appear as straight lines in such a plot, the measurement for the star-forming region WB 89–437 deviates from the fit by about three times the parallax uncertainty ($\approx 600$ pc). This behavior may be explained when considering the spread of star-forming regions within an arm with a width of several hundreds of pc. The mean value derived here is in good agreement with a weighted average of published data for Galactic spiral arms, which gives a mean pitch angle of 12°8 (Vallée 2008), and agrees also with a more recent estimate of 13°5 for the Outer arm based on modeling of FIR cooling lines from the Galactic interstellar medium (Steiman-Cameron et al. 2010). Furthermore, it is consistent with the first direct estimate of the Perseus arm pitch angle (16°5 ± 3°1) made with the trigonometric distances of its HMSFRs (Reid et al. 2009b).

This work was partially funded by the ERC Advanced Investigator Grant GLOSTAR (247078). Y.X. was supported by the Chinese NSF through grants NSF 11073054, NSF 10733030, and NSF 10621303.
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