How experimental procedures influence estimates of metacognitive ability
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Corrigendum

In the original version of this article, the section ‘The reason for metacognitive inflation’ contained a small error. The corrected sentence reads as follows:

Therefore, metacognitive noise likely contributed to the increase in metacognitive efficiency scores (meta-d'/d' and meta-d'–d') in the current analyses but not to the increase in metacognitive sensitivity scores (meta-d', type 2 AUC, and phi) (Bang et al. 2019).

The authors would like to apologize for any confusion this may have caused.