Amoebae can promote the survival of Francisella species in the aquatic environment
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ABSTRACT
Francisella tularensis, a tier 1 select agent, is the causative bacterium of tularemia, a zoonosis with a large animal reservoir. However, F. tularensis, like many other Francisella species, is assumed to have an aquatic reservoir. The mechanisms of Francisella species persistence in surface water remain poorly characterized. In this study, we deeply investigated the long-term interactions of the tularemia agent F. tularensis subsp. holarctica, F. novicida or F. philomiragia with amoebae of the Acanthamoeba species. In amoeba plate screening tests, all the Francisella species tested resisted the attack by amoebae. In in vitro infection models, intra-amoebic growth of Francisella varied according to the involved bacterial species and strains, but also the amoeba culture medium used. In co-culture models, the amoebae favoured Francisella survival over 16 days, which was likely dependent on direct contact between bacteria and amoebae for F. novicida and on amoeba-excreted compounds for F. novicida and for F. tularensis. In a spring water co-culture model, amoebae again enhanced F. novicida survival and preserved bacterial morphology. Overall, our results demonstrate that amoebae likely promote Francisella survival in aquatic environments, including the tularemia agent F. tularensis. However, bacteria-amoebae interactions are complex and depend on the Francisella species considered.
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Introduction
Francisella tularensis is a Gram-negative, facultative intracellular bacterium, causing the potentially life-threatening zoonosis tularemia. This microorganism is classified as a category A potential agent of biological threat by the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) [1]. Taxonomically, the F. tularensis species is divided into four subspecies. F. tularensis subsp. tularensis (Type A) and F. tularensis subsp. holarctica (Type B) are the etiological agents of tularemia. F. tularensis subsp. mediasiatica is restricted to central Asia and Russia, and has never been isolated from humans. F. tularensis subsp. novicida is an aquatic bacterium of low virulence in humans, also considered a different species, F. novicida [2,3]. For clarity, in our study we will differentiate F. tularensis (type A and type B tularemia agents) from the aquatic bacterium F. novicida. F. tularensis can infect a wide range of animals, which constitute the primary reservoir of this species [4]. Ticks and other arthropods (especially mosquitoes) are vectors of this pathogen [4]. More recently, the hydro-telluric environment has been highlighted as a probable major reservoir of this zoonotic agent and as a source of human infections [5]. Although F. tularensis has been detected in natural water sources [5], the role of the aquatic environment as a reservoir of this species remains to be firmly established. Furthermore, the mechanisms and conditions of F. tularensis long-term survival in this environment have to be characterized. Some intracellular bacteria such as Legionella pneumophila and Mycobacterium avium persist in natural ecosystem by using free living amoebae as a multiplication niche and reservoir [6]. Amoebae such as Acanthamoeba and Vermamoeba species are ubiquitous in water and soil [7]. A few experimental studies have investigated the relationships between Francisella sp. and amoebae, with contradictory results [8–14]. Most of these studies have involved the live vaccine strain (LVS) of...
F. tularensis, F. novicida, F. philomiragia, or F. noatunensis [8–14]. Only two studies have evaluated the interactions with amoebae of virulent F. tularensis type A strains [8,14] and only one with a virulent F. tularensis type B strain [14].

In mammalian cells, it is well established that Francisella species multiplies intracellularly thanks to an atypical Type VI secretion system (T6SS) encoded in the Francisella Pathogenicity Island (FPI) [15–17]. F. tularensis possesses two almost identical copies of the FPI in its genome, while F. novicida and F. philomiragia only possess a single copy [17,18]. F. novicida harbours another genetic locus, that we termed FNI, likely encoding a different T6SS of unknown function [17,19]. The role of Francisella T6SSs in persistence/replication within amoebae remains unclear [9,11,12,20].

In the present study, we conducted a detailed characterization of the interactions of three Francisella species, including a virulent type B strain, with two amoebic species in order to further characterize the potential role of amoebae in the long-term survival of these species in the aquatic environment.

Materials and methods

Bacterial strains

The following strains of Francisella sp. were used (Table S1): the reference strains F. philomiragia ATCC 25015, F. novicida U112 (CIP 56.12), and F. tularensis subsp. holarctica LVS NCTC 10857; one clinical strain of F. philomiragia (Ft47); six clinical strains of F. tularensis subsp. holarctica (Ft5, Ft6, Ft7, Ft46, Ft62, Ft74), F. novicida ΔFPI mutant [21], F. novicida ΔFNI mutant [19], and the F. novicida ΔFPIΔFNI double mutant. Clinical strains of F. tularensis subsp. holarctica were isolated from French patients suffering from the different tularemia forms. It was previously shown that all French clinical strains belong to clade B.44 and display low genetic diversity (genomes sequences of these clinical strains are available at DDBJ/EMBL/GenBank under the BioProject number PRJNA551589) [22]. Therefore, for most experiments, the Ft6 strain was used as representative of clinical strains. Clinical strain of F. philomiragia (Ft47) was isolated from a French patient suffering from a systemic infection with bacteremia. All Francisella strains used in this study are owned by the French National Reference Center for Francisella (Grenoble University Hospital, Grenoble, France). Specific authorizations were obtained from the Agence Nationale de Sécurité du Médicament et des produits de santé (ANSM, authorization number ADE-103892019-7) for F. tularensis strains. For experiments, Francisella sp. strains were grown on chocolate agar media supplemented with PolyViteX* (bioMérieux, Marcy-l’Etoile, France), incubated at 35°C in a 5% CO2-enriched atmosphere for one day for F. philomiragia and F. novicida strains, and two days for F. tularensis strains. Experiments were all conducted in a biosafety level 3 (BSL3) laboratory. The F. novicida ΔFPIΔFNI double mutant (U112 ΔFNT_1309-1325::aphA, ΔFNT_0037-0054::FRTsc) was generated in the wild-type U112 strain by transforming the ΔFNI mutant with genomic DNA from the ΔFPI mutant using chemical transformation. Briefly, the ΔFNI mutant grown in Tryptic Soy Broth (TSB), 0.1% L-cys and 0.4% glucose until an O.D.600 nm of 0.9 was washed once and 10-fold concentrated in chemical transformation buffer (Tris 50 mM, NaCl 270 mM, MgSO4, 25 mM, CaCl2, 20 mM, L-Arg 2 mM, L-His 1 mM, L-Met 2 mM, L-Asp 3 mM, Spermine 0.2 mM; MnCl2 35 mM, pH6.8). 500 μl of the obtained bacterial solution was incubated for 20 min at 37°C with shaking 100 rpm with 1 μg gDNA from the ΔFPI strain (ΔFNT_1309-1325::aphA). 1 ml TSB, 0.1% L-cys and 0.4% glucose was then added to the bacteria which were further incubated for 2 h at 37°C before plating on selective plates (Tryptic Soy Agar (TSA), 0.1% L-cys, 10 μg/ml Kana- mycin). Following clonal isolation, gene deletions were verified by PCR on gDNA using FPI and FNI flanking primers. Other bacterial strains used as controls included Staphylococcus epidermidis ATCC 1228 and Legionella pneumophila CIP107629 T. S. epidermidis ATCC 1228 was grown on sheep blood agar medium (bioMérieux, Marcy-l’Etoile, France) and L. pneumophila on Buffered Charcoal Yeast Extract (BCYE) medium (Oxoid, Cambridge, UK).

Amoebae and amoebae culture media

We used Acanthamoeba castellanii (Neff) and A. polyphaga (Linc-API) strains. Amoebae were grown axenically in peptone-yeast extract-glucose medium (PYG, Eurobio, France) at 27°C, in 75-cm² cell culture flasks (Falcon, Corning Incorporated, Life Sciences, Durham, USA). Peptone-yeast extract-glucose medium (PYG = ATCC medium 712) is a rich culture medium (2% proteose peptone, 0.1% yeast extract, 0.1 M glucose, 4 mM MgSO4 - 7H2O, 0.4 mM CaCl2, 0.1% sodium citrate dihydrate, 0.05 mM Fe(NH4)2(SO4)2 - 6H2O, 2.5 mM Na2HPO4 - 7H2O, 2.5 mM KH2PO4) supporting Acanthamoeba sp. living in trophozoite form and multiplication.

For experiments, several amoebae culture media were used. PYG without glucose medium (PYG/wg) presents the same composition as PYG medium except that it does not contain glucose. This medium supports Acanthamoeba sp. survival in trophozoite form for 16 days but does not allow amoebae multiplication (data not shown). Starvation medium (SM) is a less nutritive medium (1 mM Na2HPO4, 1 mM
KH2PO4, 0.016 mM MgSO4 - 7H2O, 0.027 mM CaCl2 - 2H2O, 2 mM NaCl, 0.005 mM (NH4)2Fe(SO4)2 - 6H2O, 0.2% yeast extract, 1.8% glucose) [23] allowing Acanthamoeba sp. survival in trophozoite form for 16 days without amoeba multiplication (data not shown). Page’s amoeba saline (PAS = ATCC medium 1323) is a poor medium (1 mM Na2HPO4, 1 mM KH2PO4, 0.016 mM MgSO4 - 7H2O, 0.027 mM CaCl2 - 2H2O, 2 mM NaCl) allowing Acanthamoeba sp. survival in cystic form without multiplication.

**Amoeba plate tests (APT)**

This test allows evaluation of interactions of bacteria with an amoebal monolayer established on the surface of an agar plate [10,24]. APT were performed using *A. castellanii* or *A. polyphaga*, for *F. tularensis* (LV5, and clinical Ft5, Ft6, Ft7, Ft46, Ft62, and Ft74 type B strains), *F. philomiragia* (ATCC 25015, or Ft47 clinical strain), and *F. novicida* U112.

Amoebae grown in PYG medium in 75-cm² cell culture flask were harvested at 90% confluence by rapping the flask to bring amoeba into suspension. Amoebae were counted, centrifuged 10 min at 1000 g, and resuspended in fresh PYG medium at a concentration of 2.67 × 10⁶ cells/ml. The amoeba suspension (1.5 ml) was spread on chocolate agar plates and allowed to dry 1-2 h to form an amoebae monolayer on the surface of the agar medium. For each bacterial strain tested, a suspension of 10⁵ CFU/ml was prepared in Phosphate-Buﬀered Saline (PBS, Thermo Fisher Scientiﬁc). Tenfold serial dilutions (from 10⁰ to 10⁶ CFU/ml) of this suspension were prepared, and 10 µl of each dilution was inoculated on the amoeba monolayer. The agar plates were then incubated at 30°C for 10 days, and examined daily for bacterial growth. As a bacterial growth control, chocolate agar plates without amoeba were inoculated with the same bacterial suspensions. For negative and positive APT controls, *S. epidermidis* ATCC 1228 and *L. pneumophila* CIP107629 T respectively were inoculated to amoeba monolayers using the same protocol except that BCYE agar plates were used for *L. pneumophila*. For Francisella strains, the results of APTs were evaluated visually and semi-quantitatively according to the lowest bacterial inoculum allowing colony formation and the intensity of the bacterial growth (equal or inferior) in comparison to the amoeba-free growth control. Francisella growth on the amoeba monolayer was also compared to that of *L. pneumophila* on the same protozoa. Experiments were performed twice.

**Growth of Francisella strains in amoebae culture media**

We tested the capacity of *F. philomiragia* ATCC 25015, *F. novicida* U112, and the LVS and Ft6 strains of *F. tularensis* to grow in the amoeba culture media PYG, PYG/wg, SM, and PAS, in the absence of amoeba. Each medium was inoculated with 10⁵ CFU/ml of the tested bacterial strain, spread in 24-well plates and then incubated at 27°C. At different time intervals over a 16-day period, an aliquot of each culture medium was sampled, serially diluted and spread onto chocolate agar plates to determine CFU counts. Experiments were performed twice, each time in triplicate.

**Amoebae infection with Francisella models**

We evaluated intra-amoebic growth of Francisella strains (*F. philomiragia* ATCC 25015, *F. novicida* U112, and *F. tularensis* LVS and Ft6 strains) in *A. castellanii* or *A. polyphaga*. Two models were evaluated either using PYG/wg or SM media as the amoeba culture supernatant.

For the first model, a suspension of 5.3 × 10⁵ cells/ml in PYG/wg medium was prepared for each amoeba strain tested, using the same procedure described for APT experiments. Then, the amoeba suspensions were dispensed in 24-well plates (950 µL per well, each plate containing five wells for each amoeba strain), and amoebae were allowed to adhere for 1 h at 27°C. For each Francisella sp. strain tested, a bacterial suspension (50 µl of 10⁸ CFU/ml in PYG/wg) was added to wells containing either *A. castellanii* or *A. polyphaga* (in triplicate) to obtain a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 10. The plates were centrifuged at 1000 g for 20 min to optimize contact between bacteria and amoeba, and incubated 40 min at 27°C. Then, the culture supernatant was removed, the amoeba layer was washed three times with PAS, and new PYG/wg medium containing 100 µg/ml of gentamicin was added to wells containing either *A. castellanii* or *A. polyphaga* (in triplicate) to obtain a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 10. The plates were further incubated 1 h at 27°C. The amoeba monolayers where then washed three times with PAS and finally incubated in new PYG/wg medium at 27°C. This time of the experiment corresponded to T0. Plates were incubated during seven days at 27°C. CFU counts were determined at T0, day one (D1), D2, D5 and D7 of incubation of cell cultures (one plate per each time). Therefore, the culture supernatant of each well was removed and amoebae were lysed with 1% saponin for 10 min at 27°C followed by vigorous pipetting. The amoebic lysate was serially diluted and spread onto chocolate agar plates to determine intra-amoebic CFU counts. In parallel, the removed supernatant was also serially diluted and spread onto chocolate agar plates to determine extra-amoebic CFU counts. The bacterial detection limit was 10 CFU/ml.

For the second model, the same procedure as above was performed, but SM was used instead of PYG/wg and extra-amoebic CFU counts were not determined.
Experiments were performed twice, each time in triplicate.

**Amoebae and Francisella co-culture models**

We developed two co-culture models to evaluate growth of *Francisella* strains in the presence of amoebae, with or without direct contact of bacteria with these protozoa. In the first model, *A. castellanii* or *A. polyphaga* were infected with *F. philomiragia* ATCC 25015, *F. novicida* U112, or *F. tularensis* F6 strains, using the same procedure as above and SM as the amoeba culture medium. However, killing of non-phagocytized bacteria using gentamicin was not performed. The plates were incubated at 27°C for 16 days. At T0, D2, D7, D12 and D16, amoebae were lysed by addition of 1 ml of 2% saponin during 10 min at 27°C and vigorous pipetting without removing the amoebae supernatant. The lysates were serially diluted and spread onto chocolate agar plates in order to determine the total (i.e. intra- and extra-amoebic) CFU counts. Control wells without amoeba were prepared using the same protocol. The bacterial detection limit was 20 CFU/ml.

In the second model, co-culture conditions were the same as above, but bacteria and amoebae were separated by a cell culture insert with a pore size of 0.4 µm (Millicell Merck Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany). Therefore, there was no direct contact between these microorganisms, but possible interactions due to a shared culture medium. In co-culture with inserts model, at each time point listed previously, supernatant above the insert was sampled, serially diluted and spread onto chocolate agar plates in order to determine CFU counts. After this step, insert was removed and 1 ml of 2% saponin was added on the amoebae supernatant for 10 min at 27°C followed by vigorous pipetting. The lysate was spread onto chocolate agar plate in order to check for the absence of bacteria.

Experiments were performed twice, each time in triplicate.

**Amoebae survival**

During infection and co-culture experiments, wells with infected or uninfected amoebae were incubated in similar conditions. At each time point, amoebic morphology and adherence of infected and uninfected amoebae were checked under a microscope. After amoebae detachment through vigorous pipetting, determination of the percentage of mortality were performed using trypan blue dye in a counting chamber. In addition, for co-culture experiments that lasted for 16 days, total amoebae counting was also performed throughout the experiment.

**Immunofluorescence detection of Francisella in infected amoebae**

At different time points of the infection experiments in PYG/wg or SM media, infection of amoebae with *Francisella* strains was evaluated by immunofluorescence and confocal microscopy. Infection experiments were performed as described above in 24-well plates, except that a 12 mm microscopy slide (SPL Life Sciences, Korea) was added in each well at the beginning of the experiments. At the different incubation times previously indicated, microscopy slides were removed from wells, fixed with 3.7% paraformaldehyde for 20 min at room temperature and then washed with PBS. Amoebae were permeabilized with PBS-Triton X-100 0.2% for 10 min at room temperature followed by two PBS washes. Blocking was performed with 3% bovine serum albumin for 30 min at room temperature. Rabbit anti-*Francisella tularensis* primary antibody (# TC-7005, Tetracore, Rockville, USA) diluted 1:100 in PBS-BSA 0.3% was added for 1 h in humid chamber at room temperature followed by three washes with PBS-BSA 0.3%-Tween 0.01%. This anti-*Francisella tularensis* antibody was shown to also label *F. philomiragia* (data not shown). Then, AlexaFluor 594 goat-anti-rabbit secondary antibody (# A-11012, Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Rockford, USA) were diluted 1:1000 in PBS-Tri- ton X-100 0.2% for 10 min at room temperature, respectively for *Francisella* and amoebae actin staining. Slides were then washed with PBS-BSA 0.3%-Tween 0.01% twice, then with PBS twice. They were mounted with ProLong Glass (Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Rockford, USA) and examined by immunofluorescent microscopy (on a Nikon Eclipse TS100) and confocal microscopy. Confocal microscopy was performed using a confocal laser scanning microscope (CLSM, LSM710, Zeiss, Jena, Germany) equipped with a Plan-Apochromat 63×/1.40 Oil DIC M27 objective.

**Study of the T6SS impact on Francisella and amoebae interactions**

The role of the *F. novicida* T6SS in amoebae interactions was evaluated using the previously described models. APT were performed for *A. castellanii* and either wild-type (WT) *F. novicida* U112, *F. novicida* ΔFPI, *F. novicida* ΔFNI, or *F. novicida* ΔFPIΔFNI. Infections in PYG/wg and SM were performed for *A. polyphaga* and either WT *F. novicida* U112 or *F. novicida* ΔFPIΔFNI. Co-culture without inserts were performed for *A. polyphaga* and WT *F. novicida* U112 or *F. novicida* ΔFPIΔFNI. Experiments were performed twice, each time in triplicate.
Amoebae and Francisella co-cultures in spring water

Spring water (pH 7.5) was collected from a large permanent spring in Croatia and then autoclaved at 121°C for 15 min. F. novicida U112 and A. castellanii were inoculated in 1 L of spring water in glass bottles, with shaking, and capped with cap half-loosened, at room temperature. Amoebae and bacteria concentrations were of: 10^6 F. novicida/ml alone, 10^7 F. novicida/ml alone, 10^6 F. novicida/ml together with 10^7 A. castellanii/ml, 10^7 F. novicida/ml together with 10^6 A. castellanii/ml, 10^6 A. castellanii/ml alone and 10^7 A. castellanii/ml alone. Two hours after inoculation, most of the amoebae were adhered to the bottle, the rest were floating in the water. Growth kinetics of bacteria and amoebae were followed every five days in a period of 30 days. The suspensions were homogenized by shaking before each sampling. The number of F. novicida at each time point was determined by plating serial dilutions on BCYE agar plates. In order to determine the number of amoeba cells, at each time point 1 ml of water sample was transferred to a 24 well plate, and left for 2 h at room temperature to allow amoeba to adhere. It was followed by analysing the samples using light microscopy. Experiments were performed twice, each time in triplicate.

During these experiments in spring water, morphology and structure of F. novicida, after 15 days incubation alone in spring water or in co-incubation with A. castellanii in spring water was studied by TEM in comparison to control bacteria grown on BCYE agar. Bacteria were prepared for TEM by negative staining. Bacterial suspension was applied to the Carbon Coated 200 mesh Cooper Grid for 2 min, and drawn off from the edge of the grid with filter paper. After that, the grid was stained using 10 µl of 2% phosphotungstic acid for 1 min and again drained with the filter paper. The grid was placed directly into the grid box and allowed to air dry before observation. By transmission electron microscopy on a Zeiss 902A, we observed morphology of bacteria, including their size, shape and density. Ten fields for each sample were randomly photographed.

Statistical analysis

A two-tailed Student t-test was used to compare bacterial loads in the different experimental models, using a significance level of 0.05.

Results

Amoeba plate tests

The APT was first performed as a screening assay of interactions between a monolayer of amoebae and Francisella sp strains. Figure S1 shows some visual results of the APT tests. All Francisella strains tested were able to pass through the amoeba monolayer forming bacterial colonies, demonstrating resistance of these bacteria to the predatory properties of amoebae. F. philomiragia (ATCC 25015 and Ft47) growth was observed at the 10^6 CFU/ml dilution with the same intensity with or without amoebae and similar to the L. pneumophila control. For the clinical strains of F. tularensis, and F. novicida U112, growth was observed at the 10^6 CFU/ml dilution with or without amoebae but with inferior intensity in the presence of amoebae and compared to the L. pneumophila control. Finally, for the LVS strain, growth on the amoeba monolayer was observed at the 10^6 CFU/ml dilution (3 log inferior to the amoeba-free control and the L. pneumophila control).

Growth of Francisella strains in amoeba culture media

In order to define the most suitable supernatant medium for further evaluations of Francisella and amoeba interactions, we evaluated the growth of F. tularensis LVS and Ft6 strains, F. philomiragia ATCC 25015, and F. novicida U112 in the amoeba culture media PYG, PYG without glucose (PYG/wg), starvation medium (SM), and PAS. In the absence of amoeba, the four bacterial strains showed strong growth in PYG and PYG/wg media, with an increase of more than 4 log of CFU counts after 16 days of incubation of cultures (Figure S2). In contrast, these strains were unable to grow in SM or PAS media, with a progressive decrease in CFU counts up to undetectable level within 5–7 days for PAS and 5–16 days for SM (Figure S2).

Amoebae infection with Francisella in PYG/wg

Since APT revealed interactions between Francisella sp. and amoebae, we needed to better characterize these interactions. In this aim, we first used an infection model in a rich culture medium (i.e. PYG/wg) previously described in the literature [9,11] but with longer incubation period. Infections of A. castellanii or A. polyphaga with F. philomiragia ATCC 25015, F. novicida U112, or F. tularensis (LVS or Ft6 strains) were performed.

During the first two days of incubation of cultures, we observed a significant increase in intracellular CFU counts for F. philomiragia in A. polyphaga (3.04 log CFU/ml, p < 0.01) and A. castellanii (3.46 log CFU/ml, p < 0.001) and for F. novicida in A. polyphaga (1.50 log CFU/ml, p < 0.001) and A. castellanii (1.73 log CFU/ml, p < 0.05). Then, a progressive decrease (for F. philomiragia) or stagnation (for F. novicida) of intra-amoebic CFU counts was observed the following five days. CFU counts of both Francisella strains in
the amoebae culture supernatant evolved similarly to the intra-amoebic CFU counts with difference between them at each time point of one log or less (Figure 1).

As for *F. tularensis* Ft6, we observed a weaker and slower increase in CFU counts within the amoebic compartment, with a peak at five days of incubation, also more marked for *A. polyphaga* (2.22 log CFU/ml, *p* < 0.01) than for *A. castellanii* (1.07 log CFU/ml, not significant (NS)). Here again, CFU counts in culture supernatant evolved similarly to the intra-amoebic CFU counts with less than one log difference between them at each time point (Figure 1).

In contrast, the LVS strain did not display any intra- or extra-amoebic growth, but a progressive decline in intra-amoebic CFU counts during the seven days of the experiments, of 2.91 log CFU/ml (*p* < 0.01) for *A. polyphaga* and to undetectable level for *A. castellanii* (*p* < 0.001) (Figure 1).

For the four bacterial strains tested, microscopic observations of infected and uninfected amoebae showed conservation of adherence and maintenance in trophozoite form of these protozoa at each time point of the experiments and amoebae mortality rates were inferior to 10% (data not shown).

**Amoebae infection with Francisella in SM**

In the absence of amoebae, we observed that PYG/wg supported a progressive growth of *Francisella* strains over time, whereas SM did not. Indeed, to prevent any extracellular growth of *Francisella* that may interfere with intra-amoebic CFU counts monitoring, we then developed an infection model in poor culture medium (i.e. SM), precluding extracellular growth of these bacteria. *A. castellanii* or *A. polyphaga* were infected with *F. philomiragia* ATCC 25015, *F. novicida* U112, or *F. tularensis* (LVS or Ft6 strains) using the same procedure as above but SM as the culture supernatant.

In this model, a rapid decrease of intra-amoebic CFU counts was observed up to undetectable level within one to seven days of incubation of cultures depending on the *Francisella* sp. strain considered (Figure 2).

For the four bacterial strains tested, microscopic observations of infected and uninfected amoebae showed conservation of adherence and maintenance in trophozoite form of these protozoa at each time point of the experiments and amoebae mortality rates were inferior to 10% (data not shown).

**Amoebae and Francisella co-cultures in SM**

Since APT revealed that *Francisella* sp. resisted to amoebae and infection in SM did not suggested intra-amoebic survival of *Francisella* sp., we developed co-culture models in order to evaluate if amoebae could promote *Francisella* sp. survival whether intracellular or extracellular. In co-culture model, after the infection step, extra-cellular bacteria were not killed. This model was supposed to be closer to the interactions between amoebae and bacteria that may occur in nature. Co-cultures of *A. castellanii* or *A. polyphaga* with *F. tularensis* Ft6, *F. philomiragia* ATCC 25015 or *F. novicida* U112 were established using SM as the amoebae culture medium.

We observed an enhanced survival of both *F. philomiragia* and *F. novicida* in the presence of *A. castellanii* or *A. polyphaga*, compared to amoeba-free SM medium (Figure 3). The *F. philomiragia* and *F. novicida* CFU counts declined during the first seven to 12 days of experiments, both in the presence of *A. castellanii* (3.99 log CFU/ml, *p* < 0.001; and 3.73 log CFU/ml, *p* < 0.001, respectively) or *A. polyphaga* (1.19 log CFU/ml, *p* < 0.001; and 1.08 log CFU/ml, *p* < 0.01, respectively) (Figure 3). However, we then observed an increase in *F. philomiragia* CFU counts between days 12 and 16 for *A. castellanii* (1.97 log CFU/ml, NS), and days 7 and 16 for *A. polyphaga* (2.28 log CFU/ml, *p* < 0.05). Between days 7 and 16, *F. novicida* CFU counts significantly increased in *A. castellanii* (2.93 log CFU/ml, *p* < 0.001), and remained relatively stable in *A. polyphaga* (Figure 3). In contrast, CFU counts steadily and significantly decreased in the absence of amoebae, to undetectable level for *F. philomiragia* (*p* < 0.01), and by 5.19 log CFU/ml (*p* < 0.001) after 16 days for *F. novicida* (Figure 3). Therefore, there was a clear persistence of both *F. philomiragia* and *F. novicida* in the presence of either amoeba species, compared to amoeba-free culture conditions. For *F. novicida*, the difference in CFU counts at D16 was 4.32 log CFU/ml (*p* < 0.001) between amoeba-free and *A. castellanii* cultures, and 3.93 log CFU/ml (*p* < 0.001) between amoeba-free and *A. polyphaga* cultures. For *F. philomiragia*, the difference in CFU counts between amoeba-free and either *A. castellanii* or *A. polyphaga* cultures was more than four log (p could not be evaluated).

For *F. tularensis* Ft6, CFU counts progressively and similarly decreased between T0 and D16 in the presence or absence of amoebae: 3.53 log CFU/ml reduction (*p* < 0.001) in the presence of *A. castellanii*, 1.88 log CFU/ml (*p* < 0.001) with *A. polyphaga*; and 3.27 CFU/ml (*p* < 0.001) without amoebae (Figure 3). At day 16 of incubation, CFU counts were not statistically different between Ft6 alone and Ft6 with *A. castellanii* and was slightly superior for Ft6 with *A. polyphaga* than for Ft6 alone (*p* < 0.05) (Figure 3).

For the three bacterial strains tested, microscopic observations of infected and uninfected amoebae showed conservation of adherence and maintenance in trophozoite form of these protozoa. Total amoebae
counts varied from $3 \times 10^5$–$8 \times 10^5$ amoebae/ml and amoebae mortality rates were inferior to 10% (data not shown).

**Amoebae and Francisella co-cultures in SM in the presence of inserts**

We tried to further investigate the mechanisms implicated in the enhanced survival of *F. novicida* U112 and *F. philomiragia* ATCC 25015 in co-culture with amoebae. In this aim, we established new co-cultures of these species in the presence of *A. castellanii* or *A. polyphaga*. However, direct contact between bacteria and amoebae was prevented by using cell culture inserts, while these microorganisms still shared the same culture medium.

Enhanced survival of *F. novicida* in the presence of either of the two amoebae species was partially lost when bacteria and amoebae were separated by an insert. *F. novicida* CFU counts progressively decreased between T0 and D16 in wells with inserts (3.56 log CFU/ml reduction ($p < 0.001$) for *A. castellanii* and 2.91 CFU/ml reduction ($p < 0.01$) for *A. polyphaga*) (Figure 4). For each amoeba species, CFU counts in wells with versus without inserts were significantly different at D16 ($p < 0.01$ and $p < 0.001$ for *A. castellanii* and *A. polyphaga*, respectively) (Figure 4). However, bacterial counts at D16 in wells with amoebae and inserts were significantly higher than in wells with bacteria alone ($p < 0.05$ for *A. castellanii* and inserts and $p < 0.001$ *A. polyphaga* and inserts).

*F. philomiragia* ATCC 25015 co-culture experiments with inserts gave non reproducible results within and between experiments and thus were not included in this study.

Although Ft6 survival was not favoured by the presence of amoebae, we performed co-cultures with insert for this bacterial strain. Surprisingly, *F. tularensis* Ft6 better survived when it was separated from the amoebae compared to co-cultures without inserts. When bacteria were separated from amoebae by an insert, CFU counts decreased during the first seven days (0.61 log CFU/ml ($p < 0.01$) reduction for *A. castellanii* and 1.54 log CFU/ml reduction ($p < 0.001$) for *A. polyphaga*) and then increased up to 16 days (1.66 log CFU/ml increase ($p < 0.05$) for *A. castellanii* and 2.74 log CFU/ml increase ($p < 0.05$) for *A. polyphaga*), for both amoebae species (Figure 4). CFU counts were significantly higher in wells with insert than in wells without inserts at D16 ($p < 0.05$ both for *A. castellanii* and *A. polyphaga*) (Figure 4).

For the two bacterial strains, no bacteria were obtained from the amoebae supernatants lystates demonstrating that bacteria did not pass through the insert during the 16 days of the co-culture experiment.

![Figure 1](image-url) Figure 1. Infection of *A. castellanii* and *A. polyphaga* by *Francisella* sp. in PYG/wg. The figure shows one experiment made in triplicate. Similar results were obtained in a second experiment. The error bars represent standard deviations. Comparison of T0 versus D2 (or D5 for Ft6), and D2 (or D5 for Ft6) versus D7. NS: not significant; *: $p < 0.05$; **: $p < 0.01$; ***: $p < 0.001$. Emerging Microbes & Infections 283
Immunofluorescence detection of *Francisella* in infected amoebae

Immunofluorescence staining and confocal microscopy were performed for the two amoebae species and the four *Francisella* strains at T0, D2 and D7 of infection in PYG/wg, and at T0 and D7 of infection in SM. Confocal microscopy confirmed that the four *Francisella* strains tested were located inside...
A. polyphaga or A. castellanii amoebae. No major variation was observed over time in PYG/wg, whereas bacteria disappeared at D7 in SM. Figure 5 shows confocal microscopy of A. polyphaga infected with F. tularensis Ft6, either in PYG/wg at T0, D2 and D7, or in SM at T0.

**Study of the T6SS impact on Francisella and amoebae interactions**

In order to evaluate the role of the T6SS on F. novicida interactions with amoebae, we tested T6SS-deleted mutants compared to the wild-type (WT) U112 strain of F. novicida in the previously described models.

In APT model, F. novicida ΔFPI, F. novicida ΔFNI, and F. novicida ΔFPIΔFNI gave the same results with A. castellanii compared to the WT strain (Figure S1).

During infection in PYG/wg of A. polyphaga, the intra-amoebic counts of F. novicida WT and ΔFPIΔFNI strains evolved similarly although subtle but significant differences were observed at D2 and D7. There was a raise in CFU counts during two or five days, followed by a relative stabilization (Figure S3). Here again, CFU counts evolved similarly inside and outside amoebae, and were not significantly different between the mutant and WT strains over time (Figure S3).

During infection in SM of A. polyphaga, the intra-amoebic CFU counts of F. novicida WT and

![Figure 4. Co-culture with inserts of A. castellanii and A. polyphaga with Francisella sp. in SM. The figure shows one experiment made in triplicate. Similar results were obtained in a second experiment. The error bars represent standard deviations. Comparison of T0 versus D7 or D16; or D7 vs D16. Comparison of bacteria with amoebae separated or not by an insert at D16. NS: not significant; *: p < 0.05; **: p < 0.01; ***: p < 0.001.]

![Figure 5. Confocal microscopy of A. polyphaga infected by F. tularensis Ft6. (A) T0 in PYG/wg; (B) D2 in PYG/wg; (C) D7 in PYG/wg; (D) T0 in SM; No more bacteria were observed inside amoeba at D7 in SM (data not shown). A’ orthogonal view of T0 in PYG/wg; D’ orthogonal view of T0 in SM. Green: actin (phalloidin Alexa Fluor 488). Red: Francisella sp. (rabbit primary antibody against Francisella, goat secondary antibody against rabbit Alexa Fluor 594).]
ΔFPIAFNI decreased progressively and similarly over time despite bacterial counts slightly higher for F. novicida ΔFPIAFNI (Figure S3).

Finally, during co-culture without inserts, A. polyphaga enhanced the survival of either F. novicida WT or F. novicida ΔFPIAFNI in a similar manner. In contrast, the bacterial count of these two strains progressively and similarly decreased over time in amoeba-free medium (Figure S3).

Amoebae and Francisella co-culture in spring water

To better mimic the natural conditions of Francisella sp. survival in aquatic environments, F. novicida U112 and A. castellanii co-cultures were performed in spring water and at room temperature, during 30 days. In co-culture with amoebae, F. novicida showed enhanced survival compared to amoeba-free water samples, regardless of doses. During the observed period, bacterial counts in co-culture were higher in samples, regardless of doses. During the observed time in amoeba-free medium (Figure S3). Moreover, F. novicida survived for a longer period of time in the presence of amoebae.

Unlike previous models, in the spring water co-culture model, amoebic counts were not stable during the experiments and decreased progressively. Besides, in co-culture models, amoebic counts decreased slightly faster in comparison to control water samples with no bacteria (NS at D5, p < 0.05 at D10, D15, and D20, NS at D25 and D30 for F. novicida at 10⁶ CFU/ml and p < 0.01 at D5, D10, and D15, p < 0.001 at D20, p < 0.01 at D25 and NS at D30 for F. novicida at 10⁷ CFU/ml) (Figure 6(A)).

Morphology of F. novicida, after 15 days incubation alone in spring water or in co-incubation with A. castellanii in spring water was also studied by transmission electron microscopy (TEM). Multiple morphological changes of F. novicida cells were observed after 15 days incubation in amoeba-free spring water. Bacteria showed disorganized cytoplasm separated from the cell wall, with multiple clumping and highly undefined cell wall. In contrast, F. novicida co-cultured with amoebae in spring water were roundly shaped and showed well preserved cell structures with smooth and intact cell wall, similar to the control bacteria grown on BCYE agar (Figure 7).

Discussion

The aim of our study was to evaluate in vitro survival or even proliferation of Francisella species in the presence of amoebae over an extended period. This could help to understand their long-term survival in natural aquatic environments. Therefore, we set-up different models of interactions between F. tularensis, F. philomiragia, or F. novicida with two amoeba species, A. castellanii and A. polyphaga.

We first evaluated the interactions between Francisella and amoebae using the Amoeba Plate Test (APT). This screening test was developed by Albers et al. [24] to study the interactions between L. pneumophila and amoebae [24]. The APT evaluates the ability of a specific bacterial strain to pass through a layer of amoebae to form colonies on an agar plate. A negative APT usually indicates that the tested bacterium is unable to kill amoebae either directly or through intra-amoebic multiplication. Conversely, a positive test usually indicates that the bacterium is able to resist the attack by amoebae and possibly multiply within these protozoa [6]. Interestingly, we observed a positive APT for all tested Francisella strains but with varying results. We observed a strong growth with F. philomiragia, a medium growth with F. novicida and the clinical strains of F. tularensis, and the weakest growth with the LVS strain. As for F. philomiragia, our results are in agreement with those reported by Verhoeven et al. [10] showing higher resistance of

![Figure 6](Image)

**Figure 6.** Growth kinetics of F. novicida (A) and A. castellanii (B) in spring water. The figure shows one experiment made in triplicate. Similar results were obtained in a second experiment. The error bars represent standard deviations. Comparison at each time point of (A) F. novicida with or without amoebae (B) A. castellanii with or without bacteria. NS: not significant; *: p < 0.05; **: p < 0.01; ***: p < 0.001. Fn: F. novicida; Ac: A. castellanii
F. philomiragia compared to F. novicida in an A. castellanii APT model and those of Thelaus et al. [25] showing that F. philomiragia was the least edible Francisella species for the ciliate Tetrahymena pyriformis. Regarding F. tularensis subsp. holarctica strains, LVS was less efficient to resist to amoebae than the clinical strains. This might be due to the attenuated virulence of this vaccine strain. Interestingly, the six clinical strains of F. tularensis subsp. holarctica displayed similar results, suggesting a homogenous amoeba resistance between strains of this subspecies, correlating with the low genetic diversity of French Type B strains [22]. Overall, the APT demonstrated some interactions between Francisella sp. and amoebae, with variations between Francisella species that could suggest different degree of adaptation to protozoan predation, as previously suggested by Thelaus et al. [25].

To better characterize interactions between Francisella and amoebae, we then used different cell models: 1/ an infection model in rich culture medium previously described in the literature [9,11] but with longer incubation period; 2/ an infection model in poor culture medium developed to prevent any extracellular growth of Francisella; and 3/ co-culture models in which amoebae and Francisella were allowed to interact through direct contact or not.

We first evaluated the ability of Francisella to multiply in amoeba culture media (PYG, PYG/wg, SM and PAS) in the absence of these protozoa. In models evaluating the ability of bacteria to grow inside eukaryotic cells, the culture supernatant should not support their extracellular growth. F. philomiragia, F. novicida, and F. tularensis Ft6 and LVS strains displayed a strong growth in PYG and PYG/wg. This observation was previously reported by El-Etr et al. [8]. Because we incubated our cultures for up to 16 days, we considered that an extracellular growth of bacteria may lead to erroneous results. Thus, in our experiments, we did not use the PYG and PYG/wg media but rather the SM medium for which no bacterial growth was observed.

In the infection in PYG/wg model, use as control, we observed a two- to four-log increase in CFU counts of F. novicida, F. philomiragia and Ft6 strains within amoebae during the first two or five days of infection (Figure 1). Confocal microscopy confirmed that bacteria were present inside the amoebae (Figure 5). These findings were similar to those previously reported by Santic et al. [9] for F. novicida and A. castellanii or V. vermiformis. Yet, the next days of the experiments we observed a stagnation or reduction in intra-amoebic CFU counts. However, extracellular CFU counts overlaid intracellular CFU counts. The LVS strain did not exhibit any CFU increase in the intra- or extra-amoebic compartments, while this bacterium was able to grow in amoeba-free PYG/wg. This result suggests that the LVS strain was phagocytosed and digested by the amoebae, which may be correlated to the low virulence of this vaccine strain. Because of extra-amoebic growth of most Francisella strains tested, we considered this model not suitable for long-term evaluation of the intra-amoebic growth of these bacteria.

The infection in SM model was designed to allow only intra-amoebic growth of Francisella strains, by using SM as the culture medium. The SM supported the survival of A. castellanii and A. polyphaga for 16 days in trophozoite forms (data not shown), but did not allow growth of the tested Francisella sp. strains (Figure S2). In this model, no intra-amoebic replication of F. novicida, F. philomiragia, LVS and Ft6 was observed. A rapid decrease of intra-amoebic CFU counts was observed (Figure 2). Confocal microscopy confirmed that Francisella sp. were localised inside the amoebae at T0, but then disappeared at D7 post infection (Figure 5), a result correlating with reduction in CFU counts. El-Etr et al. also reported a decrease in intracellular counts of LVS and some clinical strains of F. tularensis subsp. tularensis, in an infection model using a poor culture medium (High-salt buffer) [8]. However, in the same study, an increase in intra-amoebic CFU counts was observed for F. novicida U112 and others F. tularensis subsp. tularensis clinical strains [8] suggesting that intra-amoebic growth could depend on the Francisella species and strain studied and also on experimental protocol used.

Since APT revealed that Francisella sp. resisted to amoebae and infection in SM did not suggested intra-amoebic survival of Francisella sp., we developed co-culture models. The aim of the co-culture model
was to evaluate if amoebae could promote *Francisella* sp. survival whether intracellular or extracellular. Enhanced survival of *F. philomiragia* and *F. novicida* was observed in the presence of amoebae, while we found a rapid loss of cultivability of these bacteria alone in amoeba-free medium (Figure 3). Buse et al. also showed that several amoebae species enhanced bacterial survival but did not enable multiplication of *F. novicida*, LVS and type-A and type-B clinical strains [14]. Unlike this study, we observed a real multiplication of both *F. novicida* and *F. philomiragia* after seven to 12 days of incubation (Figure 3). However, Buse et al. stopped there experimentations after 10 days of co-incubation, maybe just before bacterial multiplication onset [14]. This suggested that amoebae could promote the survival of these two *Francisella* species. Two mechanisms should be considered to explain this enhanced survival in the presence of amoebae: 1/ a direct “physical” interaction between bacteria and amoebae; 2/ the production of nutritive elements by amoebae in the culture supernatant that could be useful for *Francisella* survival and/or a degradation of toxic compounds by amoebae. In order to investigate these two hypotheses, we repeated the same co-culture experiments with the addition of inserts to physically separate bacteria from amoebae while allowing them to share the same medium. Enhanced survival of *F. novicida* was partially lost when an insert separated amoebae and bacteria (Figure 4). This result suggested that enhanced survival of *F. novicida* in co-culture with amoebae could be partly dependent on direct contact between bacteria and protozoa but also on amoeba-excreted compounds. Experiments with *F. philomiragia* gave too heterogeneous results within and between experiments to draw any conclusion.

Although the Ft6 strain did not survive in amoeba co-cultures without insert, we observed an enhanced survival of this strain when separated from the amoebae (Figure 4). We can hypothesize that, because *F. tularensis* strains (including Ft6) have a lower growth rate than *F. philomiragia* and *F. novicida*, phagocytosis by amoebae may outcompete bacterial growth in co-culture models without insert leading to a reduction in bacterial CFU counts. In contrast, when amoebae cannot phagocytize Ft6 because of the insert, this bacterium may benefit from amoeba-excreted compounds. This differ slightly from the observations of Buse et al. [14] who reported an enhanced survival of a *F. tularensis* type B strain in contact with amoebae, which may indicate that results are dependent on the bacterial strain used or on the experimental protocol. Verhoeven et al. reported that the inoculation of *F. philomiragia* ATCC 25015 in PYG medium preconditioned by *A. castellanii* (i.e. growth supernatant of *A. castellanii* from which the amoebae were removed) reduced biofilm production and increased bacterial growth [10]. Gustafsson et al. also demonstrated the same positive effect of culture media preconditioned by *A. palestinensis* on the growth of *F. tularensis* LVS [26]. These two studies suggested that several *Francisella* species could benefit from elements excreted by amoebae during co-culture with these protozoans.

Co-culture models of *F. novicida* with *A. castellanii* were then performed in a spring water environment and at room temperature to be as close as possible from natural ecosystem. In this model, amoebae again favoured *F. novicida* survival. Indeed, bacterial counts were higher in the presence of amoebae compared to amoeba-free spring water and survival time of *F. novicida* was longer in the presence of amoebae (Figure 6(A)). In addition, bacterial morphology was preserved in presence of amoebae compared to amoeba-free spring water (Figure 7).

In all the models tested, we did not observe any difference when WT *F. novicida* and a *F. novicida* strain deleted of the two loci encoding T6SS, strongly suggesting that *F. novicida* does not rely on its T6SSs to interact with amoebae. This result is in sharp contrast with the key role of the FPI-encoded T6SS in promoting bacterial replication in mammalian cells [17]. However, this feet well with our infection and co-culture experiments results that suggest an absence of *Francisella* sp. replication inside amoebae but a *Francisella* sp. survival and multiplication in the presence of amoebae, probably extracellularly.

Overall, data available in the literature on the interactions between *Francisella* sp. and amoebae are conflicting. Some studies described the multiplication of *F. noatunensis*, *F. philomiragia*, *F. novicida*, LVS, and clinical strains of *F. tularensis* subsp. *tularensis* inside or in association with amoebae such as *A. castellanii*, *V. vermiformis* and *Dictyostelium discoideum* [8–13]. Conversely, other publications reported a lack of multiplication of *F. novicida*, LVS or type A and type B clinical strains [8,14]. However, these studies used very different experimental protocols, especially in terms of amoebae culture media. Previous works reporting multiplication of *Francisella* strains inside or in the presence of amoebae for 12 or 20 days have used rich media such as PYG medium (ATCC medium 712) [10,11]. For such models, it may be difficult to distinguish intra- from extra-amoebic growth of bacteria, and increase in CFU counts should be interpreted cautiously. To overcome this potential bias, we decided to work in SM medium, which did not support growth of *Francisella* strains used in this study. We evaluated for the first time the interactions of amoebae with several virulent clinical strains of *F. tularensis* subsp. *holarctica*. Although more tedious, these models can be considered more relevant to study ecological aspects of this major human pathogen compared to the attenuated LVS strain or strains belonging
to other *Francisella* species. Hence, very different results were obtained in this study between the studied *Francisella* species, but also between LVS and clinical strains of *F. tularensis* subsp. *holarctica*.

In our models, *F. philomiragia*, *F. novicida* and virulent type B strain of *F. tularensis* did not multiply inside amoebae, but could survive and multiply in an environment containing amoebae suggesting a potential commensal relationship between these two microorganisms. The interaction conditions established in our co-culture models likely occur in the aquatic environment where amoebae are widespread [7]. We found that *F. novicida* and *F. philomiragia* survive in the presence of amoebae. These two species are considered to have a primary aquatic reservoir, which fits well with the water-borne nature of the rare human infections caused by these two pathogens [5]. We also demonstrated that fully virulent *F. tularensis* strains may benefit from the presence of amoebae to survive in the environment. This may partly explain why human tularemia cases are occasionally associated with water-born transmission [5]. However, the variable ability of *Francisella* species to interact with amoebae, as described in the literature, also suggests that amoebae should not be considered the only reservoir of these bacteria in the aquatic environment. Other mechanisms potentially involved may include survival of *Francisella* sp. in biofilms, in mosquitoes larvae, and in water as viable but non-culturable (VBNC) forms [5] and have to be further investigated.
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