The effects of feeding ferric citrate on ruminal bacteria, methanogenic archaea and methane production in growing beef steers
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Abstract

Methane produced by cattle is one of the contributors of anthropogenic greenhouse gas. Methods to lessen methane emissions from cattle have been met with varying success; thus establishing consistent methods for decreasing methane production are imperative. Ferric iron may possibly act to decrease methane by acting as an alternative electron acceptor. The objective of this study was to assess the effect of ferric citrate on the rumen bacterial and archaeal communities and its impact on methane production. In this study, eight steers were used in a repeated Latin square design with 0, 250, 500 or 750 mg Fe/kg DM of ferric iron (as ferric citrate) in four different periods. Each period consisted of a 16 day adaptation period and 5 day sampling period. During each sampling period, methane production was measured, and rumen content was collected for bacterial and archaeal community analyses. Normally distributed data were analysed using a mixed model ANOVA using the GLIMMIX procedure of SAS, and non-normally distributed data were analysed in the same manner following ranking. Ferric citrate did not have any effect on bacterial community composition, methanogenic archaea nor methane production (P>0.05). Ferric citrate may not be a viable option to observe a ruminal response for decreases in enteric methane production.

INTRODUCTION

Enteric methane (CH₄) produced by cattle contributes to atmospheric greenhouse gas concentrations, and ruminant enteric fermentation is the second largest source of CH₄ emissions from global livestock supply-chain emissions, accounting for 39% of the total emissions [1]. Consequently, decreasing enteric methane produced by ruminants stands to lessen the impact of livestock-associated greenhouse gas emissions. Numerous studies have examined ruminant enteric and manure methane mitigation strategies and technologies, including the use of inhibitors [2], ionophores [3], plant bioactive compounds [4] and de-faunation [5], to name a few. However, results from these studies are variable and inconsistent, leading to difficulties in effective implementation of methane mitigation strategies. Thus, it is imperative to determine effective, consistent methods for decreasing methane emissions.

The use of alternative electron acceptors in diets may impact enteric methane, and the ferric citrate may act as this alternative electron acceptor when ingested by cattle. Ferric iron has routinely been studied because of its ability to decrease hydrogen sulfide gas (H₂S) production in anaerobic systems by inhibiting sulphate reduction to sulfide [6, 7], and subsequently decreasing the amount of hydrogen available to sulfate-reducing bacteria (SRB). Ferric iron has a greater redox potential than sulphate and CO₂, and can outcompete the electron acceptors of sulphate and CO₂ for electrons [6]. However, as ferric iron is a relatively high-energy electron acceptor, its reduction is restricted by its solubility. Although most forms of ferric iron are relatively insoluble, chelation...
to citrate increases its solubility [8]. In the rumen, H₂ gas produced during microbial fermentation is used as an energy source by methanogens, and thus methanogenesis may be inhibited by ferric citrate due to its thermodynamic favorability over CO₂ for hydrogen. Indeed, recent wetland studies have demonstrated this, in which use of ferric citrate resulted in a decrease of methane production by 64% in the field [9]. This method may, therefore, be an effective manner in which to decrease enteric methane production during microbial fermentation in beef cattle.

Although research in cattle has begun to examine use of ferric citrate as a methane mitigation strategy, few to date have determined the effects of feeding ferric citrate to beef cattle and its influence on the rumen microbiota, potentially impacting methane production [10, 11], and no studies have been conducted in vivo to evaluate the effect of ferric citrate on the ruminal microbial communities. Therefore, it was hypothesized that the addition of ferric citrate to the diet of growing beef steers would impact the ruminal environment via populations of bacteria and methanogenic archaea and thus decreasing methane production.

**METHODS**

**Animals and experimental design**

All animals were sourced from the U.S. Meat Animal Research Center (USMARC) in Clay Center, NE, USA. Eight steers were housed in four partially covered concrete pens (two steers per pen) during a 16 day diet adaptation period. Cattle were then moved to a metabolism facility for the collection period where they were housed in individual stalls (87×214 cm²) equipped with automatic, individual water cups. Prior to the start of the study, steers were acclimated to close human contact and the metabolism facility for at least 6 weeks. The experiment consisted of a replicated Latin square with four dietary treatments and four sample collection periods. Based on previous studies [10], and estimating the amount in the diet that would equate in terms of concentrations in the rumen fluid, dietary treatments consisted of 0, 250, 500 or 750 mg Fe/kg DM, with Fe being supplemented as ferric citrate and added to the diet in the ground corn carrier (Table 1). As a percentage of DM, diets consisted of 20% dry-rolled corn, 5% ground corn (as the carrier for the ferric citrate), 35% chopped alfalfa hay, 30% corn silage, 6% dried distiller’s grains with solubles, and 4% mineral and vitamin supplement with monensin (Steakmaker supplement; Table 1). Steers were stratified by body weight and assigned to a treatment. Each of the four periods was 21 days in length, consisting of 16 days of diet adaptation and 5 days of collections. Steers were weighed before the trial began and before each collection period when they were placed in individual metabolism stalls. Daily, each steer was offered 110% of the previous day’s feed intake to ensure ad libitum intake was achieved. During this 5 day period, O₂, consumption of CO₂, and CH₄ exchanges were measured for 24 h using a portable headbox respiration calorimeters. At the end of the 5 day collection period, steers were weighed before they were returned to their pen. At the end of each period when the steers were weighed, approximately 200 ml of rumen content was collected via an oesophageal tube and a vacuum pump. Immediately following sampling, rumen content was transferred to two 50 ml conical tubes and frozen. Samples were stored at −80°C until processing.

**Measurement of gas production**

Before each sampling and collection period, O₂ consumption as well as CO₂ and CH₄ gases were measured by indirect calorimetry using eight portable respiration headboxes for 24 h using the procedure previously reported by Hales et al. [12]. At least three air turnovers were permitted before gas measurements were determined. The daily diet allotment of the animal was placed in each head box before gas collections were initiated, and the cattle typically consumed >85% of the offered feed. Gas exchange was determined by pulling air through the headbox across a temperature-compensated dry test metre to determine airflow exiting the headbox. Air temperature and humidity were determined in real time. In order to form a composite air sample for the collection period for each individual headbox, proportional samples of background air entering the box and air exhausted from the headbox were collected in polyethylene-aluminum-Mylar laminate gas bags. Gas samples were analysed for O₂, CO₂ and CH₄ according to Nienaber and Maddy [13], and specifically, CH₄ was analysed using an infrared gas analysis system (AR-60A, Anarad, Santa Barbara, CA USA). Each headbox was calibrated for O₂ consumed and CO₂ produced by burning absolute ethanol with alcohol lamps before gas measurements.

### Table 1. Diet with 0, 250, 500 or 750 mg Fe/kg DM, with Fe being supplemented as ferric citrate

| Ingredient                  | % of dry matter |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Dry-rolled corn*            | 20              |
| Ground corn†                | 5               |
| Chopped alfalfa hay         | 35              |
| Corn silage                 | 30              |
| DDGS§                       | 6               |
| Steakmaker supplement§      | 4               |
| Salt                        | 0.3             |

*Dry-rolled corn was decreased to 19.70% of the diet in period 3 and 4 to account for the inclusion of NH₄Cl.
†Ground corn used as the carrier for ferric citrate.
‡Dried distiller’s grains with solubles.
§Supplement contained (DM basis): 26.66% crude protein; 3.39% ether extract, 21.21% neutral detergent fibre; 10.26% acid detergent fibre; 15.46% calcium; 0.356% phosphorus; 0.500% magnesium; 0.654% potassium; 0.527% zinc sulphate; 0.275% sulphur; 0.002% cobalt; 0.004% iodine; 0.083% selenium premix (0.2% Se); 0.007% vitamin A (1 000 000 IU g⁻¹); 0.105% vitamin E (500 IU g⁻¹); monensin (746 grams/ton).
||Ammonium chloride added during period 3 and 4 (i.e. after day 42) to reduce phosphate crystals observed in urine.
were collected. The alcohol recoveries ranged from 98–101% in all headboxes.

**DNA extraction and sequencing**

Microbial DNA was extracted similarly to methods described by Yu and Morrison [14]. After the chemical and mechanical cell lysis, nucleic acids were precipitated using isopropanol. The DNA was purified with RNase and proteinase K treatment, followed by the use of QIAamp columns from the Qiagen DNA Stool Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Genomic DNA concentration was determined using a Nanodrop 1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Wilmington, DE, USA). Extraction were stored at −20°C until sequencing library preparation. The DNA was amplified using PCR for 27 cycles as follows: 30 s denaturation at 95°C, annealing for 1 min at 58°C, and elongation for 90 s at 72°C, with an initial denaturation for 5 min at 95°C and a final elongation of 72°C for 10 min. The V1-V3 hypervariable regions of the bacterial 16S rRNA gene was targeted for amplification. Modified universal primers 27F (5′-Adapter/ Index/ AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG) and 519R (5′-Adapter/ Index/ GTATTACCGCGGCTGCTG) including TruSeq indices and adapters were used with AccuPrime Taq high fidelity DNA Polymerase (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) to produce sequencing libraries [15]. Amplification products were quality checked with gel electrophoresis. Libraries were then purified using AmPure beads (Agencourt, Beverly, MA, USA), and quantified using a Nanodrop 1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Wilmington, DE, USA) and by real-time PCR on the LightCycler 480 system (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany). The resulting libraries were sequenced using the 2×300, v3 600-cycle kit and the Illumina MiSeq sequencing platform (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) at the United States Meat Animal Research Centre (US MARC; Clay Centre, Nebraska, USA).

**Sequence-read processing and analyses**

For bacterial community analysis, amplicon sequence reads were processed using the Quantitative Insights Into Microbial Ecology (QIIME) bioinformatics pipeline, version 1.9.1 [16]. Sequences were quality trimmed using the Galaxy server [17] and those with a score ≥Q30 were retained. Sequences that contained read lengths shorter than 300 bp were removed and adapters/index sequences were trimmed. Chimeric sequences were identified and filtered using usearch61 [18, 19]. To avoid biases generated by differences in sequencing depth, each sample was subsampled to an even depth of 60000 sequences based on the sample with the lowest number of sequences after quality and chimeric filtering. Sequences classified as chloroplasts and mitochondria were removed. Sequences were clustered using UCLUST into species-level operational taxonomic units (OTU) against the Greengenes v13_8 16S rRNA database with a pairwise identity threshold of 97% [16, 18]. In order for OTUs to be retained, they had to appear in ≥25% of samples. Phylogenetic trees were built with FastTree [20] to determine α- and β-diversity metrics. Then, α-diversity was analysed using observed species, Shannon diversity, PD whole tree, chao1, Simpson’s evenness E and equitability indices. Analysis of similarity (ANOSIM) was used to analyse β-diversity among treatments based on weighted and unweighted UniFrac distances and visualized using principal coordinates analysis (PCoA) in QIIME [21].

**Methanogen 16S rDNA**

Following DNA extraction, real-time quantitative PCR (qPCR) was performed to determine the level of methanogen 16S rDNA. This was performed similarly to the established method by Freely et al. [22]. Oligonucleotide primers used for qPCR analyses targeted eight methanogen groups: Methanomicrobiales (order), Methanobacteriales (order), Methanosarcina (genus), Methanobacterium (genus), Methanobrevibacter ruminantium +Mbb. cuticulis, and Methanobrevibacter smithii +Mbb. wolinii +Mbb. thaueri +Mbb. gottschalkii +Mbb. woesei as described in Freely et al. [22]. Each DNA sample was amplified in triplicate. Quantitative PCR reactions included: 15 ng DNA template and 5 µl Master mix (Bio-Rad), 10 µM each primer and adjusted to 10 µl with water. PCR was performed on a CFX384 thermal cycler (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) under the following conditions: 3 min at 98°C followed by 40 cycles of 98°C for 15 s and 60°C for 20 s. A melting curve analysis from 65–95°C was performed after the amplification reactions were completed. To determine copy numbers for each sample, standard curves for each primer set were produced. Templates for the standard curve reactions were generated by cloning PCR amplicons produced using each primer set into the Topo vector and transformed into One Shot TOP10 competent cells (Topo TA cloning kit, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). The DNA from transformed E. coli cells was obtained by using the QIAprep spin miniprep kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and plasmid purity was confirmed by agarose gel electrophoresis. Concentrations of DNA were determined with a Nanodrop 1000 (Thermo Scientific, Wilmington, DE, USA) and gene copy numbers were calculated with the Thermo Scientific DNA copy number calculator tool (http://www.thermoscientificbio.com/webtools/copynumber/). Samples utilized as plasmid controls were diluted to 102 through 108 copies of plasmid copies µl−1. Calculations of copy numbers for each sample were performed using standard curve calculation in the Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA).

**Statistical analyses**

Data were analysed for normality using the UNIVARIATE procedure in SAS 9.4, and normality was assumed using a Shapiro-Wilk statistic of ≥0.90 and visualization of histograms and plots of residuals. Normally distributed data were analysed using a mixed model ANOVA using the GLIMMIX procedure in SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). Data that were not normally distributed were first ranked and then analysed using a mixed model ANOVA using the GLIMMIX procedure in SAS 9.4. The fixed effect of treatment was analysed and included random effects of period and animal. Significance was declared using α≤0.05.
RESULTS

Bacterial community composition

Collectively, the sampled rumen contents of the eight steers resulted in a total of 4211015 overall reads after quality control and chimera detection and removal. Individual samples generated an average of 131594 cleaned sequence reads, ranging from 61204 to 258993 sequences. Within the total cleaned sequences, an average of 2822±39 OTUs were detected per sample. Good’s coverage indicated adequate coverage, with ≥0.98 coverage among all treatments (Table 2).

The α-diversity metric Shannon’s diversity index was not different among treatments (P=0.31). Other α-diversity indices such as PD whole tree (P=0.33), chao1 (P=0.75), observed OTUs (P=0.26), Simpson’s evenness E (P=0.51) or equitability (P=0.33) were not different among treatments (Table 2). β-diversity did not differ among treatments based on weighted (R=−0.08, P=0.99) and unweighted (R=−0.09, P=0.98) UniFrac distances (Fig. 1). No bacterial genera were significantly different among treatments (P>0.05; Fig. 2).

Archaeal abundance

Quantitative PCR was used to evaluate the copy number and type of the methanogen present in the rumen fluid from animals for each ferric citrate treatment and the control animals. The average copy numbers of methanogen order, genus and species for each treatment are presented in Table 3. No significant differences were observed among methanogenic archaeal quantities from ruminal fluid among treatments, including groups Mbb. ruminantium +Mbb. cuticularis (P=0.90), Mbb. smithii +Mbb. wolинii + Mbb. thaueri + Mbb.

| Diversity metric         | Ferric citrate treatment† | P-value |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------|
|                          | 0            | 250     | 500     | 750     |
| Good’s coverage          | 0.98±0.00     | 0.98±0.00| 0.98±0.00| 0.98±0.00| 0.93    |
| PD whole tree            | 87.59±2.30    | 89.96±2.04| 90.08±1.84| 91.24±2.45| 0.33    |
| Chao1                    | 3615.70±89.00 | 3678.93±116.82| 3665.25±115.25| 3719.19±157.66| 0.75    |
| Observed OTUs            | 2737.75±85.80 | 2863.00±60.90| 2813.00±53.70| 2874.88±104.00| 0.26    |
| Shannon index            | 7.63±0.22     | 8.01±0.13 | 7.75±0.08 | 7.89±0.18 | 0.31    |
| Simpson’s evenness E     | 0.02±0.00     | 0.02±0.00 | 0.02±0.00 | 0.02±0.00 | 0.51    |
| Equitability             | 0.67±0.02     | 0.70±0.01 | 0.68±0.01 | 0.69±0.01 | 0.33    |

*P>0.05.
†Treatment in mg Fe/kg DM, with Fe being supplemented as ferric citrate.

Fig. 1. Principal coordinates analyses based on (a) unweighted and (b) weighted UniFrac distances using 9999 permutations. The level of ferric citrate inclusion is represented by differing symbols. Green square=0 mg, red circle=250 mg, blue arrow=500 mg and orange triangle=750 mg Fe/kg DM, with Fe being supplemented as ferric citrate.
gottshalkii + Mbb. woesei ($P=0.89$), Methanosarcina ($P=0.36$), Methanobacterium ($P=0.94$), Methanomicrobiales ($P=0.90$), and Methanobacteriales ($P=0.77$).

**Methane production**

Methane gas, measured as litres produced per kg of DMI per steer, was not significantly decreased by treatment with Fe being supplemented as ferric citrate at any level compared to the diet without ferric citrate ($P>0.05$, Fig. 3). Overall dry matter intake was not significantly altered across treatments ($P>0.05$).

**DISCUSSION**

In efforts to lessen anthropogenic methane emissions that arise from livestock production, alternative or novel methods continue to be developed and investigated to mitigate methane emissions in livestock. Cattle are the primary contributors to livestock-derived methane pollution, accounting for approximately 65% of methane emissions from livestock [1, 23]. Decreasing methane not only may serve to lessen methane emissions, but may also improve cattle feed efficiency because methane production shunts carbons away from energy-production to produce methane [24]. Thus, identifying effective methods to decrease methane without negatively impacting rumen fermentative processes could result in both environmental and economic benefits for the cattle industry. This study examined ferric citrate as a potential methane-mitigation strategy by measuring methane production and ruminal archaeal and bacterial populations with increasing levels of ferric citrate.

**Table 3.** No significant differences observed in methanogenic Archaea by ferric citrate treatment*

| Methanogenic Archaea† | Ferric citrate treatment‡ | $P$-value |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|-----------|
|                       | 0           | 250     | 500        | 750     |
| Mbb. ruminantium + Mbb. coticulatis | 114584±33171 | 110474±26503 | 108641±25662 | 92828±23979 | 0.90 |
| Mbb. smithii + Mbb. wolinii + Mbb. thaueri + Mbb. gottshalkii + Mbb. woesei | 406609±28669 | 376752±23027 | 376377±49400 | 367968±47931 | 0.89 |
| Methanosarcina         | 31886149±7997944 | 21416696±2638314 | 20926231±1969735 | 19116438±2968230 | 0.36§ |
| Methanobacterium       | 179484±19795 | 164754±11524 | 170557±21664 | 169744±21833 | 0.94 |
| Methanomicrobiales     | 306826±31788 | 284164±22768 | 283451±30049 | 290379±28939 | 0.90 |
| Methanobacteriales     | 52943679±10057490 | 57193922±7478688 | 55068829±12485778 | 44890414±8512105 | 0.77 |

*P>0.05.
†Copies per μl, determined by real-time quantitative PCR. Data represented as LSMeans.
‡Treatment in mg Fe/kg DM, with Fe being supplemented as ferric citrate.
§Based on ranked values.
In this study, ferric citrate did not have any significant effect on methane output. Ferric citrate has been used previously primarily in vitro to alter methane production. Wu and others conducted a study examining the effects of increasing levels of ferric citrate and ferric oxide (0, 25, 50, 100, 150 and 200 mg l⁻¹ as Fe³⁺) on methane production, archaeal populations and sulphur-reducing bacterial (SRB) populations in rumen fluid culture from a Jersey cow on a total mixed ration [10]. In that study, neither ferric citrate nor ferric oxide significantly decreased methane production, though increasing amounts of ferric citrate tended to be associated with decreased methane production [10]. However, ferric citrate did alter archaeal and bacterial populations. At 25 mg l⁻¹, ferric citrate decreased total bacteria. Further, every level of ferric citrate inclusion increased total archaeal populations, with greater archaeal populations occurring at greater levels of ferric citrate [10]. Given that methane production was not affected in the study by Wu and others, as well as the present study, these results may suggest that ferric citrate does not impact methane production. However, it is difficult to compare and contrast in vitro and in vivo studies as in vivo processes are not always accurately represented, which is evident by the lack of impact on the archaeal and bacterial populations in the current study.

One factor that may have affected the lack of significant results in this study may have been the amount of time animals underwent the treatment. In this study, the steers were on the diet and treatment for a total of 21 days; however, recent research suggests more time is warranted to observe differences in the ruminal microbial populations. A study conducted by Clemmons and others examined the ruminal bacterial populations of 50 steers for 10 weeks following a standard 2 week diet adaptation [25]. In that study, bacterial populations did not begin to stabilize to final populations until at least 4 weeks following the adaptation period, which was 6 weeks after the introduction to the new diet [25]. Although the present study was focused on the inclusion of ferric citrate as a treatment rather than a new diet, ruminal microbial populations may require more time than was provided in the present study in order for significant changes to be observed, both in microbial populations as well as methane production.

Monensin was also included in this study, which may also have affected the results. Monensin is an ionophore that acts to decrease methanogenesis by decreasing hydrogen-producing bacteria through disruption of ion exchange across the cell membrane [26, 27]. Because hydrogen is a substrate for methanogenesis, the decline in available hydrogen results in decreased methane production [26]. A study conducted by Thornton and Owens measured the effect of monensin on increasing levels of roughage (12% acid detergent fibre [ADF; low], 27% ADF [medium], and 40% ADF [high]) with or without 200 mg of monensin [28]. Thornton and Owens found that monensin decreased methane production as ADF increased [28]. Because methanogenesis is primarily a result of microbial function, the monensin may have altered the microbial populations in the rumen decreasing methane production. Thus, the inclusion of monensin in the present study may have limited or masked any potential effects of ferric citrate that would have been observed otherwise. It should be noted, however, that monensin use is practical and common in the United States feedlot industry, and effects of ferric citrate on methane production in cattle not receiving an ionophore are not very relevant to the industry.

In this study, ferric citrate did not significantly affect methanogenic archaea, bacterial community composition nor methane production. It is possible that the anticipated beneficial effects could not be observed when ferric citrate was used in conjunction with monensin. Additionally, the length of the treatment may not have been long enough to substantially alter the rumen microbiome and, in turn, methane production. Subsequent studies could assess use of ferric citrate without the use of monensin, for longer length of time, and in greater number of animals to possibly observe a ruminal response to ferric citrate use for decreases in enteric methane production.

Funding information
This study was supported by the USDA-NIFA Hatch/Multistate Project W4177 - TEN00524 - Enhancing the Competitiveness and Value of U.S. Beef; Accession Number: 1016984. Mention of a trade name, proprietary product, or specific equipment does not constitute a guarantee or warranty by the USDA and does not imply approval to the exclusion of other products that may be suitable. USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

Acknowledgements
The authors thank Cindy Felber, Kristen Kuhn, Jacky Carnahan, Bob Lee, Sue Hauver, and Kelsey McClure for technical assistance.

Author contributions
B.C. software, formal analysis, data curation, writing – original draft preparation, writing – review and editing, visualization. L.S. formal analysis, data curation, writing – review and editing. E.M. formal analysis, writing – original draft preparation, writing – review and editing. A.L.P. conceptualization, resources, data curation, project administration, writing – review and editing, supervision. K.H. conceptualization, resources, data curation, project administration, writing – review and editing, supervision. J.W. conceptualization, resources, data curation, project administration, writing – review and editing, supervision. M.D. conceptualization, resources, data curation,
project administration, writing – review and editing. S.J.H. conceptualization, resources, data curation, project administration. P.M. conceptualization, resources, data curation, project administration, writing – review and editing, supervision.

Conflicts of interest
The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

Ethical statement
The U.S. Meat Animal Research Centre Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee reviewed and approved all animal procedures. The procedures for handling cattle complied with the Guide for the Care and Use of Agricultural Animals in Agricultural Research and Teaching [29].

References
1. Gerber PJ, Steinfeld H, Henderson B, Mottet A, Opio C et al. Tackling climate change through livestock: a global assessment of emissions and mitigation opportunities. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) 2013.
2. Knight T, Ronimus RS, Dey D, Tootil C, Naylor G et al. Chloroform decreases rumen methanogenesis and methanogen populations without altering rumen function in cattle. Anim Feed Sci Technol 2011;166:107–112.
3. Odongo NE, Bagg R, Vessie G, Dick P, Or-Rashid MM et al. Long-term effects of feeding monensin on methane production in lactating dairy cows. J Dairy Sci 2007;90:1781–1788.
4. Staerfl S, Amelchanka SL, Käibler T, Soliva CR, Kreuzer M et al. Effect of feeding dried high-sugar ryegrass (AberMagic) on methane and urinary nitrogen emissions of primiparous cows. Livestock Science 2012;150:293–301.
5. Morgavi DP, Martin C, Jouany J-P, Rainila MJ. Rumen protozoa and methanogenesis: not a simple cause-effect relationship. Br J Nutr 2012;107:388–397.
6. Lovley DR, Phillips EJ. Competitive mechanisms for inhibition of sulfate reduction and methane production in the zone of ferric iron reduction in sediments. Appl Environ Microbiol 1987;53:2636–2641.
7. Drewoski ME, Doane P, Hansen SL. Ferric citrate decreases ruminal hydrogen sulphide concentrations in feedlot cattle fed diets high in sulphate. Br J Nutr 2011;111:261–269.
8. Johnson D. The role of iron bacteria in the biodegradation of minerals. Biodegradation Abstracts 1995.
9. Pangala SR, Reay DS, Heal KV. Mitigation of methane emissions from constructed farm wetlands. Chemosphere 2010;78:493–499.
10. Wu H, Meng Q, Yu Z. Evaluation of ferric oxide and ferric citrate for their effects on fermentation, production of sulphide and methane, and abundance of select microbial populations using in vitro rumen cultures. Bioresearch Technol 2016;21:603–609.
11. Wu H, Meng Q, Zhou Z, Yu Z. Ferric citrate, nitrate, saponin and their combinations affect in vitro ruminal fermentation, production of sulphide and methane and abundance of select microbial populations. J Appl Microbiol 2019;127:150–158.
12. Hales KE, Brown-Brandl TM, Freely HC. Effects of decreased dietary roughage concentration on energy metabolism and nutrient balance in finishing beef cattle. J Anim Sci 2014;92:264–271.
13. Nienaber JA, Maddy AL. Temperature controlled multiple chamber indirect calorimeter-design and operation. Trans ASAE 1985;28:555–560.
14. Yu Z, Morrison M. Improved extraction of PCR-quality community DNA from digesta and fecal samples. Biotechniques 2004;36:808–812.
15. Myer PR, Smith TPL, Wells JE, Kuehn LA, Freely HC. Rumen microbiome from steers differing in feed efficiency. PLoS One 2015;10:e0129174.
16. Caporaso JG, Kuczynski J, Stombaugh J, Bittinger K, Bushman FD et al. QiIME allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data. Nat Methods 2010;7:335–340.
17. Afogn EA, Baker D, van den Beek M, Blankenberg D, Bouvier D et al. The Galaxy platform for accessible, reproducible and collaborative biomedical analyses: 2016 update. Nucleic Acids Res 2016;44:W3–W10.
18. Edgar RC. Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST. Bioinformatics 2010;26:2460–2461.
19. Edgar RC, Haas BJ, Clemente JC, Quince C, Knight R. UCHIME improves sensitivity and speed of chimera detection. Bioinformatics 2011;27:2194–2200.
20. Price MN, Dehal PS, Arkin AP. FastTree 2–approximately maximum-likelihood trees for large alignments. PLoS One 2010;5:e9490.
21. Lozupone C, Knight R. UniFrac: a new phylogenetic method for comparing microbial communities. Appl Environ Microbiol 2005;71:8228–8235.
22. Freity HC, Lindholm-Perry AK, Hales KE, Brown-Brandl TM, Kim M et al. Methane production and methanogen levels in steers that differ in residual gain. J Anim Sci 2015;93:2375–2381.
23. Alexandratos N, Bruinsma J. World Agriculture Towards 2030/2050: The 2012 Revision. Rome: ESA Working paper FAO; 2012.
24. Johnson KA, Johnson DE. Methane emissions from cattle. J Anim Sci 1995;73:2483–2492.
25. Clemmons BA, Martino C, Schneider LG, Leffler J, Embree MM et al. Temporal stability of the ruminal bacterial communities in beef steers. Sci Rep 2019;9:9522.
26. Russell JB. A proposed mechanism of monensin action in inhibiting ruminal bacterial growth: effects on ion flux and protonmotive force. J Anim Sci 1987;64:1519–1525.
27. Chen M, Wolin MJ. Effect of monensin and lasalocid-sodium on the growth of methanogenic and rumen saccharolytic bacteria. Appl Environ Microbiol 1979;38:72–77.
28. Thornton JH, Owens FN. Monensin supplementation and in vivo methane production by steers. J Anim Sci 1981;52:628–634.
29. FASS. Guide for Care and Use of Agricultural Animals in Research and Teaching, 3rd ed; 2010.

Five reasons to publish your next article with a Microbiology Society journal
1. The Microbiology Society is a not-for-profit organization.
2. We offer fast and rigorous peer review – average time to first decision is 4–6 weeks.
3. Our journals have a global readership with subscriptions held in research institutions around the world.
4. 80% of our authors rate our submission process as ‘excellent’ or ‘very good’.
5. Your article will be published on an interactive journal platform with advanced metrics.

Find out more and submit your article at microbiologyresearch.org.