Spectrophotometric variability of quasars caused by lensing of diffuse massive substructure: consequences on flux anomaly and precise astrometric measurements
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ABSTRACT

We investigate the spectrophotometric variability of quasars due to lensing of small mass substructure (from several tens to several hundreds solar masses). The aim of this paper is to explore the milli/microlensing influence on the flux anomaly observed between images of a lensed quasar in different spectral bands and the possible influence of small mass structure lensing of non-macrolensed quasars. We find that spectrophotometric variability may also be caused by lensing of small mass diffuse structure and can produce the flux anomaly, which is sometimes seen in different images of a lensed quasar. Additionally, we found that the lensing by small mass diffuse structure may produce significant changes in the photocentre position of a quasar, and sometimes can split or deviate images of one source that can be detected as separate from the scale from 0.1 to several milliarcseconds. This can be measured with Gaia-like space astrometric missions. We point out a special case where a low redshifted deflector (z_d ∼ 0.01) is lensing a high redshifted source for which the variability in the flux and photocentre (several milliarcseconds) may be detected on a relatively short time-scale.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The light from a distant quasar [quasi-stellar object (QSO)], along its path towards the Earth, can be perturbed by compact objects, as e.g. galaxies, stars in galaxies, stellar clusters, intermediate mass compact objects (with 10^{-2} − 10^{-4} M_☉) and cold dark matter (CDM) structure. This can cause magnification in the luminosity of a QSO and in its photometric position, the so-called lensing effects. The gravitational lensing can be strong or weak, depending on the position of a quasar and object (in the line of sight of an observer) which acts as a lens, if the line of sight from the observer to source lie very close to the centre of a massive galaxy, but still a compact massive object from the galaxy and stars can affect the QSO light (see e.g. Wróblewski et al. 2004; Zackrisson & Riehm 2007). There are a number of QSOs observed through a galaxy stellar disc (see e.g. Meusinger et al. 2010). The possibility that QSOs are micro/millilensed seems to be higher than macrolensed. As e.g. Zaharov et al. (2004) found that the optical depth for gravitational micro/millilensing caused by cosmologically distributed deflectors could be significant and could reach from 0.01 to 0.1 for QSOs with z > 2. Therefore, micro/millilensing effect may be often present not only in the case of lensed QSOs, but also in a number of non-lensed objects. It is well known that micro/millilensing can be used for investigation of the structure of QSOs as well as lensing objects (see e.g. Schmidt & Wambsganss 2010; Treu 2010; Zackrisson & Riehm 2010, for which three are the most used (see Treu 2010; Zackrisson & Riehm 2010): macrolensing (>0.1 arcsec), millilensing (10^{-3} arcsec) and microlensing (10^{-6} arcsec). Usually, millilensing and microlensing are considered to be present in the images of a macrolensed QSO; however, the effect of micro/millilensing may be present in QSOs even if they are not macrolensed, i.e. the line of sight from the observer to source does not lie very close to the centre of a massive galaxy, but still a compact massive object from the galaxy and stars can affect the QSO light (see e.g. Zaharov, Popović & Jovanović 2004; Zackrisson & Riehm 2007). There are a number of QSOs observed through a galaxy stellar disc (see e.g. Meusinger et al. 2010). The possibility that QSOs are micro/millilensed seems to be higher than macrolensed. As e.g. Zaharov et al. (2004) found that the optical depth for gravitational micro/millilensing caused by cosmologically distributed deflectors could be significant and could reach from 0.01 to 0.1 for QSOs with z > 2. Therefore, micro/millilensing effect may be often present not only in the case of lensed QSOs, but also in a number of non-lensed objects. It is well known that micro/millilensing can be used for investigation of the structure of QSOs as well as lensing objects (see e.g. Schmidt & Wambsganss 2010; Treu 2010; Zackrisson & Riehm 2010, for
review), and especially they present a tool for detection of dark matter subhalos (see, e.g. Inoue & Chiba 2005; Chen et al. 2007; Zakrisson & Riehm 2007, 2010; Eričke & Law 2011, etc.).

Mainly two effects of micro/millilensing are used to investigate structure of quasars and lensed objects: (i) a magnification that may be wavelength dependent due to complex structure of QSOs (see e.g. Popović & Chartas 2005; Jovanović et al. 2008; Sluse et al. 2012; Stalevski et al. 2012), and in such a way producing a continuum (line) flux anomaly in the images of a macrolensed QSO (see e.g. Blackburne, Pooley & Rappaport 2006; Pooley et al. 2006; Kretzer et al. 2011; Pooley et al. 2012, etc.) and (ii) a slight wobbling of the observed source position caused by microlensing effect, called astrometric microlensing (photocentre variations), that presents a photocentric displacement of the source image during the microlensing event in the lens plane (see e.g. Hog, Novikov & Polnarev 1995; Miyamoto & Yoshii 1995; Walker 1995; Dominik & Sahu 2000; Lee et al. 2010, etc.). In the above papers, it has been shown that for a single lens and a single source configuration, centroid motion during the microlensing event follows, more or less, an elliptical dependence on the impact parameter of the source and lens.

Astrometric microlensing has been explored by a number of authors covering different regimes. Most of them discussed cases where lenses are stars in the Milky Way or dark matter objects in Galactic halo, acting on background stars either in the Magellanic Clouds or in nearby galaxies, as e.g. M31 (see e.g. Miralda-Escude 1996; Boden, Shao & van Buren 1998; Goldberg & Wozniak 1998; Mao & Witt 1998; Paczynski 1998; Han & Kim 1999; Safizadeh, Dalal & Griest 2000; Dominik & Sahu 2000; Delplancke, Gorski & Richichi 2001; Belokurov & Evans 2002; Dalal & Lane 2003; Lee et al. 2010; Proft, Demleitner & Wambsganss 2011; Yano 2012, etc.). The effects of Milky Way stars on background quasars were studied in several papers (see e.g. Hosokawa, Ohashi & Fukushima 1997; Sazhin et al. 1998; Honma & Kurayama 2002; Sazhin, Sazhina & Pshirkov 2011) as well as the effect of local dark matter subhalos (see e.g. Eričke & Law 2011). There are also studies that investigate astronomical lensing in intervening galaxy (see Williams & Saha 1995; Chen et al. 2007). However, changing in magnification at different wavelengths (flux anomaly due to micro/millilensing) in combination with astrometric microlensing is rarely investigated.

A new class of satellites will be able to conduct precise measurements of an object photocentre in different spectral ranges. For instance, the satellite Gaia is equipped with most sophisticated instruments with astonishing capabilities, producing the accuracy better than 20 μas in wide energy range 330–1050 nm. The primary objective of this mission is to not only create a three-dimensional model of our Galaxy, but also to be used in other branches of astronomy, such as detection of extrasolar planets, brown dwarfs, asteroids, exploding stars, testing the Einstein theory, etc. One of the main tasks of this mission is the definition of fixed celestial referential frame, the Gaia realization of the International Celestial Reference System (ICRS; Mignard et al. 2002). To reach this purpose, a large number of QSOs (5000–10 000) uniformly distributed over the sky are required. QSOs are active galactic nuclei (AGNs) located at cosmological distances. This makes them a perfect candidate for this purpose as they are objects without or with negligible proper motion. The QSO sample dedicated to this task should exhibit absolutely no proper motion and be completely free from any contaminant (non-QSO objects), while tiny apparent astrometric motions due to inner structure flux variations (Popović et al. 2012) or (micro) lensing are always possible (Treyer & Wambsganss 2004). As we noted above, micro/millilensing may be present also in not macrolensed QSOs. In Popović et al. (2012) we investigated photometric variability of quasars caused by variations in their inner structure, and here, we give our investigation of the influence of the micro/millilensing of diffuse small mass structure (like an open stellar cluster) on photometric variation of QSOs that can also have consequence for Gaia measurements.

The aim of this paper is to investigate spectroastrometric variability of quasars caused by micro/millilensing taking into account the complex emitting structure of a QSO. Additionally, we consider the lens as a bulk of stars concentrated on a relatively small surface, as e.g. star clusters, simulating a massive diffuse lensing structure that contains between several tens and several hundreds of solar mass stars. We explore astrometric microlensing in combination with spectral variation from the ultraviolet (UV) to infrared (IR) spectra band. This could be of particular interest since both depends on the specific properties of a lens and a source (see Keeton & Moustakas 2009).

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we present the source and lens model, in Section 3 we give results of our simulations and discuss results, and finally in Section 4 we outline our conclusions.

2 THE SOURCE AND LENS MODEL

To investigate photocentric variation in combination with possible wavelength dependent flux amplification, one has to take into account the complex structure of QSOs, but also consider the lens structure. Here, we assumed that the radiation in the UV and optical band is mainly coming from an accretion disc. On the other side, one cannot expect that a single star in galaxies, which are redshifted more than z ≥ 0.01 can produce significant astronomical microlensing; therefore, we considered a massive diffuse structure containing a group of stars distributed in a small surface.

2.1 Source model – stratified emission disc in QSOs

An AGN has a complex inner structure, meaning that different parts have emission in different spectral bands, i.e. a wavelength dependent dimensions of different emission regions is present in AGNs (see, e.g. Popović et al. 2012). It is widely accepted that the majority of radiation is coming from the accelerated material spiralling down towards the black hole in a form of an accretion disc. The radiation from the disc is mostly thermalized from outer regions R_out to the centre, with slight exception at inner radius, i.e. very close to the black hole, where Compton upscatering due to the high mass accretion could have a significant role (see Done et al. 2011).

To take into account the spectral stratification of the source we accepted a model of a standard relativistic, optically thick, geometrically thin, blackbody disc model (see, e.g. Pringle & Rees 1972; Novikov & Thorne 1973; Shakura & Sunyaev 1973) using the effective temperature as a function of the radius (Krolik 1998):

\[ T \propto R^{-3/4} \left(1 - \left(R_in/R\right)^{1/4}\right) \]

where \( R_in \) is the inner disc radius. At larger radius this equation could be reduced to \( T \propto R^{-\beta} \), where in the standard model \( \beta = 3/4 \). Here, we are going to investigate the microlensed radiation from the UV to IR (not in X-ray), therefore, we adopted the thermal emission as the main mechanism.

Taking into account the temperature gradient along the disc we adopted the formalism similar to that in Poindexter, Morgan &
Figure 1. Temperature as a function of the position of emitting material in the disc (in logarithmic scale) for different $\beta$ parameters: $\beta = 0.5$ – full line, $\beta = 0.75$ – dashed line and $\beta = 1.0$ – dash-dotted line.

Kochanek (2008), where the luminosity of a small surface element at the arbitrary position in the disc is proportional to the surface energy density and magnitude of emitting surface (Poindexter et al. 2008)

$$
dL(\lambda, R) \propto \frac{dS}{\lambda^5} \left( \exp \left( \frac{hc}{\lambda k\alpha(\beta) R^{-\beta} - 1} \right) \right)^{-1},
$$

where $dS$ is the surface element of the source. We replace $T$ in the expression for the energy density with the distance $R$ as shown in Fig. 1 and compute the proportionality coefficient as

$$
\alpha = T_0 R_0^\beta,
$$

where $T_0$ is the temperature at the distance $R_0$. To compute the spectral energy distribution (SED) for the disc configuration we integrate over the whole disc area

$$
L(\lambda) \propto \int_{\Delta m} dL(\lambda, R).
$$

Using equation (3) we calculated the SED of the source (see Fig. 2). As it can be seen in Fig. 2 (up), the SED depends on value of the parameter $\beta$, and for $\beta = 0.5$ (full line) the SED has a maximum at lower energy than other two SEDs (with $\beta = 0.75$ and 1, dashed and dash-dotted line, respectively). An increase of the source emission is notable at higher energies for higher values of the parameter $\beta$.

The inclination of the disc with respect to the observer could be incorporated with additional cos ($i$) ($i$ inclination angle). In all cases we considered a face-on disc ($i = 0$).

Using the disc model for the continuum emission of QSOs we were able to model emission in the spectral range that will be covered by Gaia (see Fig. 2, down). Moreover, such model allowed us to explore, both flux anomaly and photocentre variation in different spectral bands during a micro/millilensing event.

2.2 Lens model–diffuse massive substructure

As we noted above, the light from distant QSOs may cross far enough from a galaxy that is not macrolensed, but still may be micro/millilensed by structures which belong to the galaxy. Single star, or randomly distributed stars in the galaxy will probably act as a weak lensing, that is out of the scope of this paper.

However, the light may cross near the centre of some massive structures which have significant surface density that can produce strong (micro/milli) lensing effect. As widely accepted, galaxies can contain substructures with few tens to few hundreds stars which are usually addressed as the open star clusters. They can be irregular in shape with dimension of a few parsecs or less. Here, we will discuss effects of group of stars usually referred as an open star cluster that contains from a few tens to few hundreds Sun-like stars.

Such substructures contain enough stars to be able to produce the gravitational lensing on milli/micro scale. Here, we investigate such structure as a possible lens. For magnification map simulation, we used the ray-shooting technique, and first we describe the technique in a few sentences.

2.2.1 Generation of micro/millilensing magnification maps

A distribution of stars in the lens plane generates microlensing magnification map in source plane which could be computed by the ray-shooting technique (Kayser, Refsdal & Stabell 1986; Schneider & Weiss 1986, 1987; Treyer & Wambsganss 2004). This technique proposes to follow a ray of light from the observer point passing towards the lens and falling on the source plane. Light rays initiated with different angles close to the observer will have different deflection angles at the lens plane and reach the source plane at different points. We place the grid in the source plane and count the number of light rays falling on to particular grid cells. In that way, the unique map is created representing the current star distribution in the lens plane. This map is called the magnification map and can be used to determine the amount of magnification of source imposed by the lens. In Fig. 3, we present a sketch of the ray-shooting technique.
of source has a collection of pixels in the lens plane that depends on its SED. This procedure allowed us to create an image of the source in the lens plane in considered spectral range. Luminosity of the lens plane pixel $L_{\text{pix}}^\text{lens}(\lambda)$ is higher if there are more light rays passing through it and mathematically presents a sum of SEDs for all passed light rays:

$$L_{\text{pix}}^\text{lens}(\lambda) = \sum_{\text{rays}} L(\lambda, R).$$

We calculated the centroid shift of the image for a spectral filter as

$$D(x)(F) = \frac{\int_F \sum_{\text{pix}} x_{\text{pix}} L_{\text{pix}}^\text{lens}(\lambda) d\lambda}{\int_F \sum_{\text{pix}} L_{\text{pix}}^\text{lens}(\lambda) d\lambda},$$

where $F$ denotes integration for a particular $(U, B, V, R)$ spectral band and $A$ is the whole energy range.

The magnification for a particular source image is computed as the ratio of the luminosity for all pixels in a spectral range with the luminosity in the same spectral range without lens influence, as

$$m(F) = \frac{\int_F \sum_{\text{pix}} L_{\text{pix}}^\text{lens}(F) d\lambda}{\int_F \sum_{\text{pix}} L_{\text{pix}}^\text{nolens}(F) d\lambda}. $$

The relevant length-scales for microlensing is the dimension of the Einstein Ring Radius (ERR) in the lens plane, defined as

$$\xi_0 = \sqrt{\frac{4Gm}{c^2 D_s D_{ls}}},$$

and its projection in the source plane is

$$\text{ERR} = \frac{D_s}{D_{ls}} \xi_0 = \sqrt{\frac{4Gm}{c^2 D_s D_{ls}}},$$

where $G$ is the gravitational constant, $c$ is the speed of light, $m$ is the microlens mass. We adopted standard notation for cosmological distances to the lens $D_{ls}$, source $D_s$ and between them $D_{ls}$.

Time-scales for microlensing event is described in detail in the book on gravitational microlensing (see Schneider, Ehlers & Falco 1992; Zakharov 1997; Petters, Levine & Wambsganss 2001). Based on the sizes of the source $(R_{src})$ and caustic $(R_{caustic})$ pattern we distinguish two cases, when $R_{src} > R_{caustic}$ and $R_{src} < R_{caustic}$ (presented in detail in Jovanović et al. 2008). Both cases could be expressed in a single form

$$t_{\text{crossing}} = (1 + z_s) \frac{R}{v_{ls} (D_s / D_{ls})},$$

where $R$ replaces the $R_{src}$ or $R_{caustic}$. Here, we used a simple approach, since we considered that a microlensing event duration corresponds to the time needed for crossing over the caustic network created by the lens. In this way, the dimension of the caustic patterns in the magnification map determine the total time-scale for particular event, and it can be computed by using the equation (10), with the $R$ replaced by the dimension of map $R_{\text{pix}}$. We used already introduced comoving distances $D_s$ and given map dimensions in ERRs to calculate maps linear dimensions, and hence width of the caustic network.

In all calculations we assumed a flat cosmological model, with $\Omega_M = 0.27$, $\Omega_K = 0.73$ and $H_0 = 71 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$.

2.3 Parameters of source and lens

Source is defined with the inner and outer radius. As we adopted the standard model for the disc we considered that the most of the
radiation in the observed energy range, is coming from the disc part
defined with the $R_{in} = 10^{13}$ m and $R_{out} = 10^{15}$ m, see Blackburne
et al. (2011). For evaluating the proportionality coefficient $\alpha$, given
by equation (2), we took the temperature of $T_0 = 2 \times 10^4$ K, with the
peak radiation around 150 nm, at the radius $R_0 = 3.15 \times 10^{13}$ m.
The coefficient $\beta$ usually has the value of $\beta = 3/4$ and we kept
that value constant throughout all simulations. The disc inclination
can be changed, but in the most simulations we assumed a face-on
disc orientation. The source plane dimension is the same in all our
computation and equal to 40ERR.

The lens has been assumed to have a circular shape, containing $N_*$ stars of solar mass ranging from 40 to 240. We also assumed
that lens and source are placed at the cosmological distances, with $z_d = 0.5$ and $z_s = 2.0$ (standard lens). Those values are not constant
in the case when we examine dependence on the source lens
distance. We are confident, based on the discussion in Section 2.2
that such carefully chosen lens reflects good enough condition for
the gravitational bound systems. Any more massive and densely
populated lens will act as one compact object with known influence
on the distant source.

3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

As illustrations, we presented in Fig. 4 the lens with random distribution of 40 and 240 stars (the distributions of stars located at $z_d = 0.5$ are shown on upper panels, and proper magnification maps for a source at $z_s = 2.0$ on bottom panels). As it can be seen from Fig. 4, an increase of the star density shows that the lens is very similar to the point-like one, therefore, we considered the maximal star number as 240 solar masses stars. The source images in $U$, $B$, $V$ and $R$ filters are shown in Fig. 5. As it can be seen the source images are different in dimension for different filters, and there is an expected magnification in size, that is increasing for the lower energies. Also, there is a notable change in the source structure due to the microlens effect that depends on the lensing system, as well as on the emission region of the source. In the case of $N_* = 240$, which we considered as the massive lens, the images are composed from several bright similar structures in all filters, but one can see that the dimensions of bright structures are different. The images of almost point-like source, in this case, are extensive and could be with dimensions of 0.1 mas (see Fig. 5 right). It means that e.g. a non-strongly lensed quasar, due to lensing by diffuse small mass structure, may show a complex shape with different bright spots in the centre and arc-like structures on the edge.

In some cases, one can expect that an image of the source is split into several components. Especially, in the case with low redshifted lens and high redshifted source. As an example in Fig. 6 we presented the images in different filters in the case where source is located at $z_s = 2.0$ and lens at $z_d = 0.01$. It is interesting that the lensed source can be seen as several images distributed across several milliarcseconds. This can affect a relatively strong jitter in the photocentre of a source, since random distribution of caustics contribute to the source amplification.

In the next section, we present the influence of different pa-
rameters to the magnification and photocentre offset in the case
where the lens is a stellar system with mass from 40 to 240 solar
masses.

3.1 Population of the stars in the lens

It is well known that a single lens microlensing of a source can cause a
centroid motion, or wobbling of the photocentre of the source
(see e.g. Hog et al. 1995; Miyamoto & Yoshii 1995; Walker 1995;
Dominik & Sahu 2000; Lee et al. 2010, etc.). Here, we considered
an open cluster configuration of the lens, taking different number of
stars, ranging from 40 to 240 solar mass stars, on the same surface.
We performed the simulations for a typical gravitational lens system,
taking $z_d = 0.5$ and $z_s = 2.0$. The results of our simulations are
presented in Fig. 7 and in Table 1. Fig. 7 (upper panels) presents
the variation of magnification for six different star numbers in the
lens, ranging from 40 to 240. They are grouped and randomly
distributed in a circular shape with diameter around 0.08 mas, as
it is shown in Fig. 4. As it can be seen from Fig. 7 (upper panels),
a significant difference between magnification in different filters is
present for massive lens ($N_* \geq 100$), and can be very prominent,
i.e. the magnification in the $U$ filter is significantly higher than in
the $R$ one, and as it can be seen in Table 1, the magnification can be
around 1.4 times higher in the $U$ filter than in the $R$ one. This can
also affect the flux anomaly between different images of a lensed
quasar. On the other side, the light curves in different filters have
similar shapes, and it is expected that with a higher lens mass (higher
stellar density) the lens acts as a point-like lens, i.e. the light curves
have a Gaussian-like shape (see Fig. 7, upper – panel right-down).
In the case of low-density star clusters we can distinguish separate
peaks in the magnification curve induced by the absence of caustics
over the source path.

The photocentre variability for considered cases is given in
Fig. 7 (bottom panels) and maximal photocentre offset in Table
1. As it is expected, the photocentre variability is higher
for massive lens. Fig. 7 (bottom panels) also shows that in
almost all cases a decrease of the photocentre offset with approaching
the centre of the diffuse mass lens is seen, and the drop is
higher for the massive lens. As it can be seen in Fig. 7 (bottom

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure4.png}
\caption{Upper panels show a randomly star distribution in the lens plane:
(a) case of $n_{lens} = 40$ (left-hand panels) and (b) case for $n_{lens} = 240$ (right-
hand panels). In the bottom panels, we give the appropriate magnification
map in the source plane for specified $n_{lens}$, respectively. The horizontal
parallel solid lines present the paths of the source for which we calculated
magnification light curves and variability of the photocentre positions. These
plots are given for a standard lens system with $z_d = 0.5$ and $z_s = 2.0$.}
\end{figure}
Figure 5. Images of source in four different energy channels $U[332–398 \text{ nm}]$, $B[398–492 \text{ nm}]$, $V[507–595 \text{ nm}]$ and $R[589–727 \text{ nm}]$. Lens for this case is presented in Fig. 4. Left four panels present the image for the case of $n_{\text{lens}} = 40$, while four on the right-hand side are for the $n_{\text{lens}} = 240$ stars, with mentioned $z_d = 0.5$ and $z_s = 2.0$.

Figure 6. The same as in Fig. 5, but for $z_d = 0.01$ and $z_s = 2.0$.

panels) and Table 1 in difference with the magnification, there is no significant photocentre offset difference among different filters with exception for the last two cases of 200 and 240 stars. We conclude that for cluster with higher star population this mutual band deviation will not increase significantly, regarding the single lens behaviour of the lens. The maximal photocentre offset is small, around 0.06 mas for the case of a high density cluster (see Table 1).

3.1.1 Different lens and source redshifts

It is interesting to explore a situation where star clusters, containing between several tens and 240 stars, are located at low redshift and act as lenses to different redshifted quasars. Therefore, we performed numerical simulations, assuming that the lens is a low redshifted star cluster ($z_d = 0.01$). The linear dimension of the lens is held constant, consequently when moved closer to the observer its angle
Figure 7. Magnification (upper) and centroid shift (bottom) variation for different star numbers in the lens. Different line colours represent observed energy channels, $U[332-398\ nm]$ – violet line, $B[398-492\ nm]$ – blue line, $V[507-595\ nm]$ – green line and $R[589-727\ nm]$ – red line.

Figure 8. Same as in Fig. 7, but for different values of source redshift $z_s$ and the lens contains 80 solar mass stars.

Table 1. Data for the maximal centroid shift in (mas) and magnification per energy channel for different number of stars in the lens, ranging from 40 to 240. First column gives the number of microlenses $n_{\text{lens}}$, next four are centroid shift in $U, B, V$ and $R$ energy channels, and next four are magnification variability in same channels. Calculations are made for the standard lens and source distances.

| $n_{\text{lens}}$ | $\kappa_d$ | $d_{fc}\text{(mas)}$ | Magn. |
|------------------|------------|------------------------|-------|
|                  |            | $U$ | $B$ | $V$ | $R$ | $U$ | $B$ | $V$ | $R$ |
| 40               | 0.0940     | 0.011 | 0.111 | 0.011 | 0.111 | 4.6 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 4.2 | 3.9 |
| 80               | 0.1620     | 0.026 | 0.025 | 0.024 | 0.026 | 8.5 | 8.1 | 7.8 | 7.1 |
| 120              | 0.2182     | 0.035 | 0.035 | 0.035 | 0.035 | 10.5 | 9.8 | 9.1 | 8.2 |
| 160              | 0.2650     | 0.042 | 0.043 | 0.043 | 0.043 | 12.2 | 11.2 | 10.4 | 9.0 |
| 200              | 0.3070     | 0.050 | 0.049 | 0.051 | 0.052 | 12.7 | 11.8 | 11.2 | 9.7 |
| 240              | 0.3440     | 0.058 | 0.059 | 0.058 | 0.058 | 18.6 | 16.9 | 15.4 | 13.0 |

Table 2. Same as in Table 1, but for different values of source distance defined with $z_s$, ranging from 0.05 to 2.0. The results are given for the case when the lens contains 80 solar mass stars ($\kappa = 0.162$) located at close distance of $z_d = 0.01$.

| $z_s$ | $d_{fc}\text{(mas)}$ | Magn. |
|-------|------------------------|-------|
| 0.05  | 0.52 | 0.51 | 0.52 | 0.54 | 8.11 | 7.61 | 7.15 | 6.43 |
| 0.1   | 1.11 | 1.10 | 1.10 | 1.14 | 9.03 | 8.69 | 8.44 | 7.77 |
| 0.5   | 4.07 | 4.07 | 4.04 | 3.95 | 10.84 | 10.61 | 10.24 | 9.94 |
| 1.0   | 5.42 | 5.41 | 5.40 | 5.35 | 10.93 | 10.78 | 10.55 | 10.41 |
| 1.5   | 5.78 | 5.76 | 5.75 | 5.78 | 10.95 | 10.84 | 10.72 | 10.53 |
| 2.0   | 5.74 | 5.74 | 5.74 | 5.77 | 10.96 | 10.87 | 10.67 | 10.48 |

diameter is increased. The lens contains 80 solar mass stars concentrated in a circular shape with diameter 2.7 mas. The distance of a source is changed from $z_s = 0.05$ to 2.0.

Results of simulations are presented in Fig. 8, and maximal photocentre offset and magnification in Table 2. As it can be seen from Fig. 8 and Table 2, the amplification is almost constant after $z_s = 0.5$ source redshift and photocentre offset also stays almost the same, taking values around 3–5 mas. Therefore, one can note that a low redshifted star cluster acting as a lens will produce similar amplification and photocentre offset on all high redshifted quasars.

In all cases, a flux anomaly is presented and as it is expected, due to dimension of the UV emitting region, the highest amplification is seen in the $U$ filter.

3.2 Influence of impact parameter of the microlensing event

All above simulations have been performed for a source crossing the centre of a stellar cluster (lens), but there is possibility that the projected distance between the lens and source is different. Therefore, we simulated cases of different impact parameters $b$, for a small mass (40 stars) and more massive (240 stars) lens, taking a standard lens system ($z_d = 0.5$ and $z_s = 2.0$).

The parameter $b$ is given in relative units in comparison with the source plane half height and has been changed from 0 (when the lens and source are centred) to 0.5 (lens located at the half height of the lens plane). We simulated transition of the lens taking paths as presented in Fig. 4 (horizontal lines, the line crossing the centre corresponds to $b=0$ and the outer one to $b=0.5$).

For this simulation, we selected two extreme cases with 40 and 240 stars in the lens in order to have a clear picture of what happens during the variation of this parameter. We showed them in the microlensing by small mass clusters, and therefore, they are not suitable candidates for astrometry.
Table 3. Same as in Table 1, but for different values of impact parameters of the source and lens, ranging from 0 to 0.5 of map half height. Paths of source over the magnification map are presented with full lines in Fig. 4. We give the results for two cases when lens contain 40 and 240 stars ($\kappa = 0.094$ and $\kappa = 0.344$, respectively).

| $n_{stars}$ | $d_c$ (mas) | Magn. |
|-------------|-------------|-------|
|             | $b$ | $U$ | $B$ | $V$ | $R$ | $U$ | $B$ | $V$ | $R$  |
| 0           | 40  | 0.010 | 0.011 | 0.011 | 0.011 | 4.6 | 4.4 | 4.2 | 3.9  |
| 0           | 240 | 0.058 | 0.059 | 0.058 | 0.058 | 18.6 | 16.9 | 15.4 | 13.0 |
| 0.1         | 40  | 0.014 | 0.014 | 0.014 | 0.014 | 6.4 | 6.1 | 5.9 | 5.4  |
| 0.1         | 240 | 0.058 | 0.059 | 0.058 | 0.059 | 21.3 | 19.1 | 16.9 | 14.2 |
| 0.2         | 40  | 0.018 | 0.018 | 0.018 | 0.019 | 5.7 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.2  |
| 0.2         | 240 | 0.062 | 0.061 | 0.063 | 0.063 | 20.3 | 18.0 | 15.8 | 13.2 |
| 0.3         | 40  | 0.022 | 0.022 | 0.022 | 0.022 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.5  |
| 0.3         | 240 | 0.062 | 0.062 | 0.063 | 0.065 | 5.4 | 5.1 | 5.0 | 4.5  |
| 0.4         | 40  | 0.023 | 0.023 | 0.023 | 0.023 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 2.9  |
| 0.4         | 240 | 0.064 | 0.065 | 0.064 | 0.064 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.1  |
| 0.5         | 40  | 0.024 | 0.023 | 0.024 | 0.023 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1  |
| 0.5         | 240 | 0.066 | 0.067 | 0.067 | 0.067 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3  |

Figure 9. Magnification (upper panel) and photocentre (bottom panel) variability of a source during the overcrossing event. Lens consist of 40 solar mass stars distributed uniformly in the circular area with the diameter of 0.04 mas. In panels from (a) to (f) impact parameter $b$ changes from 0 to wards maximum value equal to the half of the half height of source map. Trajectories are presented as in Fig. 4. Distances $D_d$ and $D_s$ are defined with its respectful redshifts with values for the standard lens ($z_d = 0.5$ and $z_s = 2.0$).

Form of Table 3 and Figs 9 and 10. For example, in Fig. 9 (upper panel) we see that for the case of lens with 40 stars, there are more or less similar diagrams with casual peaks of the magnification having similar height. Those are caused by a rare caustic distribution in the magnification map for a small number of stars. One can conclude that one peak in light curves presents a variation caused by a single caustic in the map (caused by a group of stars), with relative variation as much as five to seven times. On the contrary, in the second case (Fig. 10), with a more massive lens (240 stars) we have the proportionally higher relative magnification of the order of 20 times, caused by an action of a bulk of overlapping caustics which gradually decreases with an increase of parameter $b$. For the last two cases [(e) and (f) in Fig. 10] the magnification is neglected. Such behaviour suggests that the lens acts as a compact object with the mass equal to the sum of masses of all stars in the cluster. In both cases, relative deviations per observed energy channels are at maximum for the moment of the highest magnification with the maximum in the $U$ channel and decreased for another channels. These observed properties are more clearly given in Table 3, column mag.

For the case of the centroid shift variable $D_{cs}$ presented in Figs 9 and 10 (bottom panels) for both cases of 40 and 240 stars we can note a lot of similarities with main difference in the amount of shift which is two to three times higher, for latter. For the both cases, we have two peaks which are evolving with increasing of the parameter $b$.

The most interesting is that in the case of low-mass cluster, where one can expect almost same magnification and photocentre variation in all passbands form $b = 0$ to 0.5. Such behaviour is caused since randomly distributed groups of stars can be homogenously distributed within the cluster.

4 CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we studied the microlensing effect caused by a small mass (diffuse) stellar cluster (up to 240 solar masses) defined in Section 2.2 on the compact QSO source as it is defined in Section 2.1. The case of such lensing may be present in the case of a gravitational lens system, where one of the images can be affected by the lensing of a low-mass cluster. Moreover, this can be present in the case of quasars which are not strongly lensed by the central mass of a galaxy, but they are projected close to the centre of a low redshifted galaxy. In the most cases, we considered a typical lens system ($z_d = 0.5$ and $z_s = 2.0$) for a low-mass cluster having 40 or 80 solar mass stars in a circular shaped surface with diameter of around 0.08 mas. We explored situations with different distances of a source, taking that the lensing stellar cluster is low redshifted ($z_d = 0.01$). We investigated variations of magnification and photocentre in different ($U, B, V, R$) spectral bands, covering the spectral
range that will be observed with the Gaia mission. From our investigations, we are able to point out the following conclusions.

(i) A small mass stellar cluster (from 40 to 240 solar mass stars) can significantly contribute to the flux and photocentre variability, not only in the case of lensed quasars, but also in the case of quasars which are projected very close to the centre of a low redshifted galaxy. The amplification is different in different spectral filters, ranging from 5 to 20 times; the UV spectrum is more amplified than the IR one. On the other side, the photocentre variability can reach several milliarcseconds, that can be detected with future missions.

(ii) The lensing of such cluster can significantly contribute to the flux anomaly observed in some lensed quasars. In this anomaly, one can expect that the flux in the $U$ filter will be always more amplified than in the $R$ filter. This shape of amplification can be used to clarify the source of the anomaly. On the other hand, the photocentre offset is not significantly different in different filters. In the case of $N_\ell \leq 100$ lensing, one can expect that the image of a lensed quasar or non-lensed quasar has a structure showing brighter spots around the centre and arc-like structures on the edge of the projected Einstein ring of the cluster. Extremely, in the case of low redshifted lens ($z_d \leq 0.01$) and high redshifted source ($z_d \geq 0.5$), there may be several features (or point-like images) separated by several milliarcseconds.

(iii) In a difference with the point-like lens, the diffuse stellar cluster microlensing can produce several prominent peaks in light curve during the lensing event, especially in a low-mass cluster (up to 100 solar mass stars distributed in the considered surface of 0.08 mas). It depends on surface stellar distribution across the projected surface of the cluster, and in the low-mass stellar cluster, the caustics caused by grouping of stars can significantly affect the amplification (different in different spectral filters), as well as cause an offset in the photocentre.

Additionally, we should emphasize a special case with a low redshifted small mass cluster ($z_d \leq 0.01$) in combination with high redshifted quasars ($z_d \geq 0.5$). The effect of lensing in this case is very important, the light of quasars may be amplified in a relatively short period (several years), and photocentre variability can be detected in a relatively short time. Thus, quasars projected very close to the low redshifted galaxies might not be good candidates for the reference frame objects. In this case, one can expect maximal offset of the photocentre of several milliarcseconds, that is comparable with the offset of the photocentre caused by the changes in the inner quasar structure (see Popović et al. 2012).
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