The connection between the peaks in velocity dispersion and star-forming clumps of turbulent galaxies
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ABSTRACT
We present Keck/OSIRIS (OH Suppressing Infrared Imaging Spectrograph) adaptive optics observations with 150–400 pc spatial sampling of seven turbulent, clumpy disc galaxies from the DYnamics of Newly-Assembled Massive Objects (DYNAMO) sample (0.07 < z < 0.2). DYNAMO galaxies have previously been shown to be well matched in properties to main-sequence galaxies at z ∼ 1.5. Integral field spectroscopy observations using adaptive optics are subject to a number of systematics including a variable point spread function and spatial sampling, which we account for in our analysis. We present gas velocity dispersion maps corrected for these effects, and confirm that DYNAMO galaxies do have high gas velocity dispersion (σ = 40–80 km s$^{-1}$), even at high spatial sampling. We find statistically significant structure in six out of seven galaxies. The most common distance between the peaks in velocity dispersion (σ$_{\text{peaks}}$) and emission line peaks is ∼0.5 kpc; we note this is very similar to the average size of a clump measured with Hubble Space Telescope Hα maps. This could suggest that σ$_{\text{peaks}}$ in clumpy galaxies likely arise due to some interaction between the clump and the surrounding interstellar medium of the galaxy, though our observations cannot distinguish between outflows, inflows or velocity shear. Observations covering a wider area of the galaxies will be needed to confirm this result.
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1 INTRODUCTION
The most active epoch in star formation in the Universe occurs at 1 < z < 3 (Madau & Dickinson 2014). Star-forming galaxies during this epoch show very significant differences from z ∼ 0 spirals. For example, z > 1 galaxies have disturbed rest frame-UV morphologies (Abraham et al. 1996; Conselice, Bershady & Jangren 2000; Elmegreen & Elmegreen 2005), and extremely bright knots of star formation (so-called clumps) that are 100–1000× brighter than local star-forming regions (Genzel et al. 2011; Wisnioski et al. 2011; Swinbank et al. 2012). Adaptive optics (AO) observations revealed that these objects can be classified in three groups: mergers, dispersion-dominated galaxies and turbulent discs with elevated velocity dispersions ($V_{\text{lum}}/\sigma_{\text{gal}}$ ∼ 1–4) and all three groups show high velocity dispersions (σ$_{\text{gal}}$ > 50 km s$^{-1}$; e.g. Law et al. 2009; Jones et al. 2010; Genzel et al. 2011; Swinbank et al. 2012; Wisnioski et al. 2012; Glazebrook 2013, for a review). Turbulent disc galaxies are distinct from local Universe discs. Local Universe disc galaxies have lower gas velocity dispersion (σ$_{\text{gal}}$ ∼ 25 km s$^{-1}$) and low gas fractions ($f_{\text{gas}}$ < 10 per cent), conversely high-z discs have higher gas velocity dispersions (∼40–100 km s$^{-1}$) and high molecular gas fractions ($f_{\text{gas}}$ ∼ 20–60 per cent).

Models of unstable disc formation suggest that turbulent motions in the interstellar medium (ISM) would cause high velocity dispersion. (Immeli et al. 2004a,b; Bournaud et al. 2008; Elmegreen, Bournaud & Elmegreen 2008). Recently, Fisher et al. (2017b) show that the size of clumps in turbulent discs linearly correlates disc kinematics, and agrees with predictions of unstable disc galaxy evolution. Similarly, White et al. (2017) show that gas fractions and disc kinematics also relate in a manner that is consistent with predictions of unstable (or marginally stable) disc galaxies.

A detailed picture of the role of the turbulence in the formation and life cycle of clumps is still unknown. Some simulations suggest that the turbulent motions caused by radiative feedback and gas accretion in galaxies can maintain the high velocity...
dispersion required to regulate the stability in discs (Dekel, Sari & Ceverino 2009; Ceverino, Dekel & Bournaud 2010). Alternatively, Krumholz & Burkhart (2016) argue that galaxy averaged observations are most consistent with a gravity-only mechanism driving high velocity dispersions.

High-redshift observations on the spatial variation of velocity dispersions within turbulent discs are limited by both resolution and signal-to-noise ratio (S/N), and thus poorly constrain the simulations mentioned above. At $z \sim 2$, 0.12 arcsec correspond to a physical resolution of $\sim 1000$ pc. At this resolution, the beam-smearing effects are significant, which makes the velocity dispersion measurements of clumps difficult to interpret. These observations are also marked by decreased flux at the detector, dropping the S/N significantly in areas where there is no active star formation (Law, Steidel & Erb 2006). This effect artificially increases velocity dispersion in regions of low flux. Structure in velocity dispersion maps has been discussed in some observations (Genzel et al. 2011; Wisnioski et al. 2011; Livermore et al. 2015); however, the associated large uncertainties, discussed above, have hindered interpretation and detailed analysis.

The DYnamics of Newly-Assembled Massive Objects (DY-NAMO; Green et al. 2014) survey is a sample of rare galaxies (space density of $\sim 10^{-7}$ Mpc$^{-3}$) at $z \sim 0.1$ that are well matched in properties to $z \sim 1$ main-sequence galaxies. The DY-NAMO galaxies have high fractions of gas (Fisher et al. 2014; White et al. 2017), high star formation rates (SFRs; 1–40 $M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$) and high H$\alpha$ velocity dispersions ($\sigma > 50$ km s$^{-1}$; Green et al. 2010, 2014; Bassett et al. 2014). They are morphologically similar to high-redshift galaxies and pass the commonly used quantified definition of a ‘clumpy galaxy’ (Fisher et al. 2017a). Under the same observing conditions using AO-enabled observations of emission lines one can achieve a resolution 5–10 times better than at high redshifts. These galaxies, therefore, provide an excellent opportunity to study the well-resolved kinematics of turbulent discs.

In this work, we will use DYNAMO survey galaxies to explore the existence of structure in velocity dispersion distributions of turbulent discs, to later study the connection between this structure and the star-forming regions in the galaxy. In Section 2, we present the DYNAMO survey and DYNAMO-OSIRIS sample, analysed here. Section 3 summarizes the analysis: clump identification, emission line maps and kinematic measurements. Section 4 presents our results which are discussed in Section 5. Our conclusions are presented in Section 6. We use the cosmology $H_0 = 70$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$, $\Omega_M = 0.3$, $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.7$.

2 DATA

2.1 Sample selection

The DYNAMO sample (Green et al. 2014) is selected from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey Data Release 4 (SDSS; Adelman-McCarthy et al. 2006). The sample consists of star-forming galaxies with no active galactic nucleus (AGN) activity. The redshift range is designed to avoid the overlap between the sky emission and the H$\alpha$ line, sampling the full luminosity range between $0.055 < z < 0.084$ and the upper luminosity range between 0.129 $< z < 0.254$. The primary objective of DYNAMO is to study low-redshift analogues of high-redshift turbulent galaxies ($z \sim 1.5$). Green et al. (2014) give a full description of the sample and present the H$\alpha$ fluxes and initial

kinematics of the DYNAMO galaxies. They use observations from the SPIRAL (Sharp et al. 2006) and WiFES (Dopita et al. 2007) Integral Field Spectrograph (IFS) on the Anglo-Australian Telescope and the ANU 2.3 m Telescope, respectively. They present an initial kinematic classification of the objects. However, the low spatial sampling of these IFS ($\sim 0.7–1.0$ arcsec per spaxel) limits the possibility of studying the kinematics of the structure within the galaxies.

To follow up on the results of Green et al. (2014), we observed a subset of 21 DYNAMO galaxies with higher spectral and spatial sampling. This subsample was observed with OSIRIS IFS (OH Suppressing Infrared Imaging Spectrograph; Larkin et al. 2006) on Keck. Henceforth, we refer to it as DYNAMO-OSIRIS to avoid confusion with the parent sample.

The main limitation of the sample selection was the AO requirement, i.e. the availability of at least one telluric star nearby the target galaxy. 10 of these objects are described in Green et al. (2014) and 11 were subsequently added to the DYNAMO sample due to their proximity to AO laser guide stars. These new targets met all early selection criteria for DYNAMO targets, yet had not been included in Green et al. (2014).

DYNAMO-OSIRIS includes objects that were classified as turbulent discs with high velocity dispersions ($\sigma \geq 40$ km s$^{-1}$), blue colours ($g - i \leq 1$), $M_{\text{star}} \sim 5 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$, and high SFRs (10–40 M$\odot$ yr$^{-1}$). We aim to test the result found at high redshifts where large disc dispersions lead to large star-forming regions (Genzel et al. 2011; Wisnioski et al. 2011). The blue colours and high SFR preferentially select galaxies with dominant young active star formation.

Three of the DYNAMO-OSIRIS galaxies overlap with the DYNAMO-HST (Fisher et al. 2017a) subsample which consists of 10 galaxies with H$\alpha$ imaging from the Hubble Space Telescope (HST), and 8 overlap with the DYNAMO-Gemini subsample (Bassett et al. 2014; Obreschkow et al. 2015) which consists of GMOS deep IFS observations of $\sim 15$ objects. This overlap allows us to pursue high-resolution multiband studies of turbulent galaxies. From the 21 galaxies observed, only 7 satisfy the S/N $\geq 4$ and disc-like kinematics required for this study.

The final sample of seven galaxies presented here have SFR = 5–42 M$\odot$ yr$^{-1}$, and stellar masses = 1–6 $\times 10^{10}$ M$\odot$ (Table 1). As we will show in this work, their emission line morphology and kinematics are consistent with clumpy, turbulent discs. Three out of the seven galaxies: D13-5, G20-2 and G4-1 overlap with DYNAMO-HST (Fisher et al. 2017a) and four out of the seven galaxies: D13-5, G20-2, G4-1 and SDSS013527–1039 overlap with DYNAMO-GEMINI (Bassett et al. 2014; Obreschkow et al. 2015).

2.2 OSIRIS observations

We observe the DYNAMO-OSIRIS targets with AO-enabled observations of the Pa $\alpha$ emission line with Keck/OSIRIS (Larkin et al. 2006). OSIRIS is an IFS organized in lenslet arrays with a 2048 $\times$ 2048 Hawaii-2 detector. It has a spectral resolution of $R \sim 3000$ and a spatial sampling of 0.1 arcsec. The observations were taken in 2012 (July, September) with the Keck I AO system (Wizinowich et al. 2006) in laser guide star mode (LGSAO). The seeing range was 0.35–0.80 arcsec.

We first acquired tip–tilt stars within the LGSAO field of view (FOV). We use 60 s integrations of these tip–tilt stars to calculate the point spread function (PSF) of the observations. For the science observations, we take four exposure sequences of 900 s, dithered by 0.05 arcsec around the base point, to avoid bad pixels and cosmic
ray contamination. For the sky frames, we need to offset the telescope from the object, as the galaxies commonly covered the whole FOV. Each sky frame was exposed for 30 min. The seven galaxies in our sample were observed with the filters Kn1, Kn2 and Kc3, wavelength ranges 1960–2040, 2040–2120 and 2120–2220 nm, respectively. These short ranges cover solely the Paα wavelength ranges 1960–2040, 2040–2120 and 2120–2220 nm, respectively. These short ranges cover solely the Paα line emission. The spectroscopic observations of the DYNAMO-OSIRIS galaxies are summarized in Table 1.

### 2.3 Data reduction and flux calibration

We use the OSIRIS data reduction pipeline version 2.3. The pipeline removes crosstalk, detector glitches and cosmic rays per frame, to later combine the data into a cube (two spatial coordinates x and y, and one spectral coordinate z). The sky subtraction is achieved in two steps, first by a spatial nodding on the sky and later by custom IDL routines based on the method of Davies (2007).

To flux-calibrate the OSIRIS spectra, we use the telluric stars observed each night (an average of three telluric stars per night). We use a synthetic spectrum of the star to correct the shape of the observed spectrum and the density flux obtained from the 2MASS catalogue (Skrutskie et al. 2006) to calculate a scaling factor. With these two parameters, we compute a correction curve. The observed spectrum is flux calibrated by applying the correction curve. This is particularly easy in the near-infrared as the stars are smooth in this region of the spectrum.

We compute a correction curve for each night of the observations and correct each 1D spectrum in the galaxy’s data cube. We check our results by integrating the corrected flux of each DYNAMO-OSIRIS galaxy and comparing the total magnitude with the Ks magnitude in the 2MASS catalogue. Our method corresponds to a first-order flux calibration consistent with the 2MASS magnitudes within 20–30 percent. This could be due to aperture effects since the OSIRIS FOV is in some cases smaller than the galaxies and might be missing stellar light. This accuracy is acceptable for the purpose of our study. The flux calibration is internally consistent for each map, and therefore sufficient for studying substructure.

### 3 ANALYSIS

The aim of this work is the investigation of kinematic substructure in turbulent discs at 150–400 pc sampling. To do this, we compare the velocity dispersion in the star-forming regions (clumps) with the velocity dispersion of the kinematic disc. We use the Paα emission line to measure the gas kinematics as well as the SFR across each galaxy.

#### 3.1 Emission-line maps and SFRs

The star-forming regions, in general, have high S/N (Paα peak S/N > 30). However, we need to ensure a good S/N in regions of low emission as well. To improve the general S/N, we apply a median filter to the galaxy cube, i.e. we replace the value of a given spaxel with the median of the adjacent spaxels. Later, we remove the spaxels with Paα peak S/N < 4. This enables a clean measurement of the inner galaxy properties.

We calculate the Paα flux, per spaxel, per galaxy by fitting a Gaussian to the line emission. From the Paα fluxes, we calculate the L(Paα) and use the following equations to compute the SFRs:

\[ L(Pa\alpha)_{corr} = 10^{0.4P_{Pa\alpha}} \times L(Pa\alpha) \]  
\[ A_{Pa\alpha} = 0.52 \log \left( \frac{Pa\alpha/H\alpha}{0.128} \right) \]  
\[ SFR = 5.53 \times 10^{-42} \times 0.128 \times L(Pa\alpha)_{corr}, \]  

where \( A_{Pa\alpha} \) (equation 2) is the dust correction factor from Calzetti et al. (2000), \( Pa\alpha/H\alpha \) (equation 3) is the flux Paα-to-Hα ratio, and the SFR is the relation for Hα from Hao et al. (2011) scaled by the intrinsic Paα-to-Hα ratio from Case B recombination, 0.128.

The effect of dust in the near-infrared is almost negligible which makes \( A_{Pa\alpha} \) small for our observations, mean \( A_{Pa\alpha} = 0.12 \pm 0.01 \). \( A_{Pa\alpha} \) was calculated from the Paα/Hα ratio by: (a) comparing the Paα OSIRIS observations with the Hα SPIRAL/WiFES observations of Green et al. (2014); (b) comparing with Hα HST observations (Bassett et al. 2017). Both methods revealed similar results.

The Paα fluxes could be affected by AO PSF effects. The AO system distributes the light from a point source in large wings, larger than the PSF core. Bassett et al. (2017) found, by comparing OSIRIS and HST emission line maps, that D13-5, G20-2 and G4-1 Paα fluxes need corrections in the range of 10–30 per cent for fluxes with radii smaller than 0.3 arcsec, for structures larger than this there is negligible difference. This level of uncertainty is acceptable for the purpose of our analysis, in which the emission line map is mainly used to identify clump locations. We present our Paα emission-line maps in units of \( \Sigma_{SFR}(M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1} \text{kpc}^{-2}) \), to compare across galaxies without being biased by the different physical scales.

#### 3.2 Clump identification

Three of the galaxies in our sample (D13-5, G20-2, G4-1) also have HST observations of Hα. These galaxies were explored by Fisher et al. (2017a) to find an automatic method to identify clumps and
measure their sizes. In Fig. 1, we show the comparison between two $H\alpha$ maps degraded to the OSIRIS spatial sampling (0.1 arcsec) and the $Pa\alpha$ maps from the OSIRIS observations. We find a one-to-one correspondence between the two emission lines. The clumps positions are consistent between the $H\alpha$ and $Pa\alpha$ emission.

To find the clump position, we follow the method implemented by Fisher et al. (2017a). This process recovers similar objects to those seen in the $H\alpha$ map. We subtract in quadrature from the velocity dispersion the AO PSF and typical noise effects on velocity dispersion. Bassett et al. (2017) found that in our sample the PSF wings elevate the real flux in a given region of a galaxy up to $\sim 50$%.

3.3 Gas kinematics

We measure the $Pa\alpha$ line centroid and full width at half-maximum (FWHM) using the $\text{IDL} \chi^2$ routine PAN (Peak ANalysis; Dimeo 2005) modified by Westmoquette et al. (2007) for astronomical purposes. Westmoquette, Smith & Gallagher (2008), Westmoquette et al. (2012) and Gruyters et al. (2012) show that PAN measures linewidths robustly, using a Levenberg–Marquardt technique to solve the least-squares minimization problem.

We input to PAN the observed spectra and their associated error arrays (variance from the observations) provided by the OSIRIS data reduction pipeline. We fit a background polynomial and a Gaussian profile to the $Pa\alpha$ emission line. To calculate the uncertainties, we use the Monte Carlo fitting provided by PAN. This routine repeats the fit 100 times by creating a synthetic spectrum from the best fit of the observed spectrum and the addition of Gaussian random errors.

PAN provides three valuable checks to discriminate between good and bad fits, which we use in our analysis: (a) The residuals of the fit, calculated as $r_i = (y_i, \text{fit} - y_i, \text{data})/\sigma_{i, \text{err}}$, where $y_i, \text{data}$ is the observed spectrum; $y_i, \text{fit}$ is the fit to the observed spectrum and $\sigma_{i, \text{err}}$ is the associated error. From a good fit, we expect a residual that resembles white noise. (b) The values of the $\chi^2$. (c) The uncertainties of the fit. We reject fits with uncertainties greater than the FWHM measured.

In Fig. 2, we show the spectra of typical spaxels in galaxy D13-5 at three different peak S/N. The S/N > 30 (left-hand panel) represents the star-forming regions (clumps). The S/N < 6 (right-hand panel) is commonly found at the edges of the galaxy. We find that the Gaussian fit is good in spaxels with S/N $\geq 4$.

After fitting the $Pa\alpha$ emission line, we proceed to calculate the velocities from the centroid and the velocity dispersions from the FWHM of the Gaussian profile.

We subtract in quadrature from the velocity dispersion the OSIRIS instrumental broadening, measured from the OH lines (Rousselot et al. 2000). The OSIRIS instrumental broadening shows a standard variation of $\pm 6.4$ km s$^{-1}$ across the FOV. We find that compared to our measured velocity dispersions, this variation is small and does not affect our conclusions. We discuss this effect on our identification of velocity dispersion peaks in Section 4.1. Thus, we use a constant instrumental resolution of 41 km s$^{-1}$ (similar to Mieda et al. 2016) across the FOV. This, however, does increase the uncertainty of our velocity dispersion measurements. We therefore add in quadrature $6.4$ km s$^{-1}$ to the measurement errors.

3.3.1 AO PSF and typical noise effects on velocity dispersion measurements

As mentioned in Section 3.1, the AO system creates a PSF that cannot be modelled by a single Gaussian profile. The PSF profile of AO systems combines a central core and broader wings. These wings distribute the flux from a point source in a wider area and can also catch fluxes from surrounding sources. Bassett et al. (2017) found that in our sample the PSF wings elevate the real flux in a given region of a galaxy up to $\sim 50$% per cent. Here, we seek to quantify the impact of a non-Gaussian profile PSF on velocity dispersion. We refer to this phenomenon as AO PSF effect throughout the paper.

A second source of possible uncertainty in measuring velocity dispersions is noise. Elevated noise increases the recovered S/N cut of 4 that will allow us to measure reliable kinematics. For most galaxies, the mask region does not cover the whole galaxy.
velocity dispersion, i.e. the Gaussian profile that describes the emission line would be distorted by the noise patterns. This would widen the FWHM and artificially increase the velocity dispersion. This is a major problem for the kinematic studies of high-redshift galaxies (e.g. Law et al. 2009; Genzel et al. 2011; Livermore et al. 2015).

We use simulations to quantify the impact of the AO PSF effect and typical noise over our velocity dispersion maps. These simulations are divided into three stages that are described in the following paragraphs.

(1) We create an empty box of the average size of the galaxies in our sample, 2.5 arcsec × 3 arcsec. As first input, we add a simulated face-on galaxy with an exponential light profile. This is done by creating a simulated spectrum (without noise) per spaxel, containing a single emission line, Pa α. We give the same velocity dispersion to all the spaxels and add in quadrature the OSIRIS instrumental broadening profile (shuffled randomly between 35 and 47 km s⁻¹). The constant velocity dispersion map facilitates the identification of any changes caused by the systematics.

We find that the instrumental resolution affects areas of raw velocity dispersion below 52 km s⁻¹, which is equivalent to corrected velocity dispersions <22.24 km s⁻¹. The majority of the spaxels in our galaxies have recovered velocity dispersions above 20 km s⁻¹. Therefore, the OSIRIS instrumental resolution does not affect our conclusions.

Finally, we apply a disc rotation model to the galaxy: a simple arctan profile (Courteau 1997). The upper panels of Fig. 3 show the exponential light profile (left-hand panel), the initial velocity map (middle panel) and an initial velocity dispersion of σ_input = 60 km s⁻¹ (right-hand panel).

(2) The second step is to create a simulated galaxy similar to those in our sample; to do so, we add star-forming clumps to the disc in randomized positions. These clumps are symmetric, exponential light profiles.³ The clump sizes vary from 0.2–0.6 arcsec (2–6 spaxels) and fluxes span from 1 to 5 per cent of the total flux of the galaxy (Wuyts et al. 2012; Guo et al. 2015; Fisher et al. 2017a).

Later, we add to the simulated spectra random noise of the order of the OSIRIS observations. To calculate the typical noise, we chose three spaxels per galaxy from a region dominated by the sky, where galaxy flux is not apparent. The typical noise is the median of all three spaxels (21 spaxels in total), 2.36 × 10⁻¹⁸ ± 1.1 × 10⁻¹⁸ erg s⁻¹ cm⁻². The middle panels of Fig. 3 show the flux map including the clumps (left-hand panel) and the velocity and velocity dispersions (middle and right-hand panels) recovered with PAN after adding noise.

³ To reproduce the physical shapes of clumps (e.g. elongated, asymmetrical) would be beyond the scope of the simulation.
Figure 3. AO PSF and noise simulations. The upper panels show the initial input of our simulations: a simple disc with exponential light profile (left-hand panel), velocity map (middle panel) and the constant velocity dispersion map (60 km s$^{-1}$; right-hand panel). The middle panels present the second stage of the simulation when we add seven typical non-central clumps (reproducing the galaxy G20-2) to the exponential disc and the typical OSIRIS noise. The left-hand panel shows the flux map, the middle and right-hand panels show the kinematic maps recovered with PAN. The bottom panels show the flux map after convolving the galaxy with the widest AO PSF (Gaussian core FWHM = $3 \pm 0.51$ spaxels plus wings), and the recovered velocity and velocity dispersion maps from PAN. In this final stage, we see the spatial sampling effect from the OSIRIS AO PSF at the centre and the noise effect as cross-like patterns in the velocity dispersion map.

(3) We convolve the simulated galaxy by the OSIRIS AO PSF. This AO PSF was measured from the telluric stars observed each night. We found that the median AO PSF is composed by a Gaussian core FWHM = $1.39 \pm 0.74$ spaxels ($\sim 0.14$ arcsec) and side wings that can be described by a second Gaussian FWHM = $7 \pm 1.0$ spaxels (see Bassett et al. 2017, for details on the AO PSF and its comparison with other observations). We test the effects of the AO PSF in the simulation using the actual profile that describes:

(a) the median AO PSF; (b) the widest AO PSF in our observations (Gaussian core FWHM = $3 \pm 0.51$ spaxels and side wings FWHM = $7 \pm 1.0$ spaxels). The bottom panels of Fig. 3 show flux and kinematic maps (recovered from PAN) after convolving the galaxy with the widest AO PSF (case b). We can see the AO PSF effect at the centre of the galaxy and cross-like patterns created by the noise.

The AO PSF mostly affects the centre of the galaxies (cases a and b), elevating the velocity dispersion more than two standard deviations. When using the widest AO PSF (case b), it can also elevate the velocity dispersion in regions between clumps. However, the rise in velocity dispersion in these regions would be of the order of one standard deviation (clump 'f': black circles in Fig. 4), which is within the measurement errors. Fig. 4 shows the velocity dispersion of each spaxel in the simulated galaxy (from Fig. 3) as a function of their radial distance from the centre. The coloured circles represent the spaxels within the star-forming clumps. We labelled the clumps with lower case letters to locate them in the bottom-left panel of Fig. 3 and in Fig. 4. The solid line represents the input velocity dispersion (60 km s$^{-1}$), and the dashed lines represent the median (59.7 km s$^{-1}$; thick line) and standard deviation (5.5 km s$^{-1}$; thin lines) of the velocity dispersion recovered from PAN. Spaxels coincident with star-forming regions best recover the input velocity dispersion (mean $\sigma_{\text{clumps}} = 60.5$ km s$^{-1}$; standard deviation 2.2 km s$^{-1}$), except for those within 3 spaxels of the galactic centre. The relatively higher S/N in the clumps clearly drives the more accurate velocity dispersion measurement. As we reach the edge of the galaxy, the S/N decreases and the systematic effect increases. This process of creating models and measuring velocity dispersions is repeated 100 times with different clump configurations, i.e. random number of clumps, sizes, positions and brightness. From the results of the simulation, we fit the uncertainty in velocity dispersion ($\Delta \sigma$) due to AO PSF and noise effects, as a function of the S/N:

$$\Delta \sigma = 11.486 \exp\left(-0.1 \times \frac{S}{N}\right) + 0.442.$$  

(4) We find that if we apply an S/N cut of 4, all spaxels satisfy $\Delta \sigma < 10$ km s$^{-1}$. Therefore, we masked all spaxels with S/N < 4. We also exclude from our analysis clumps within 3 spaxels of
the galactic centre, as they are highly affected by spatial sampling and possible AGN contamination. With this function, we create a systematic map per galaxy, to later subtract it from the measured velocity dispersion maps. This reduces the AO PSF and noise systematic effects, and we can reliably compare the velocity dispersion from the inner regions of the galaxy (excluding ±3 from the galactic centre).

3.4 Kinematic modelling

We fit a disc model to our galaxies to apply a spatial sampling correction and to compare the differences in velocity and velocity dispersion maps between models and observations. We use the automated tool GBKFIT\(^4\) (Bekiaris et al. 2016), a software designed to model the kinematics of IFS data. GBKFIT uses parallel architectures such as multicore CPUs and graphic processing units to model thousands of galaxies in short time frames.

\(^{4}\)\text{http://supercomputing.swin.edu.au/gbkfit}

GBKFIT was tested with large data sets such as the galaxies in the SAMI survey (Bryant et al. 2015), the GHASP survey (Epinat et al. 2008) and the first data set of the DYNAMO galaxies (observed with SPIRAL and WiFES; Green et al. 2014). GBKFIT has accurately recovered previous modelling results of these IFS observations.

The software uses the velocity and velocity dispersion maps measured from the observations to fit a rotating disc model with an intrinsic velocity dispersion (\(\sigma_{\text{model}}\)), constant across the galaxy (Davies et al. 2011). The correction for spatial sampling is achieved by convolving the modelled galaxy with a three-dimensional function that combines the line and PSF (instrument spectral resolution and PSF; equation 9 in Bekiaris et al. 2016).

The model fitting method is presented in Bekiaris et al. (2016) and consists in (a) evaluate the observed cube using input parameters; (b) convolve the model cube with the line and PSF of the observations; (c) evaluate the goodness of fit by calculating the \(\chi^2\) of the fit from the residuals; (d) evaluate when the termination criteria is met. If not, the process begins again changing the initial parameters.

We ran the following rotation curve models for the DYNAMO-OSIRIS sample: flat, arctan (Courteau 1997) and the analytical function of Epinat et al. (2008); with the optimizers: Levenberg–Marquardt (Barbosa et al. 2006; Coccato et al. 2008) and nested sampling (Skilling 2004). We also tried different priors for the galactic centre: fixed centre, allowing us to vary ±2 spaxels from the initial conditions, and allowing us to vary ±10 spaxels from the initial conditions.

We find that a Levenberg–Marquardt optimizer, a flexible galactic centre within ±2 spaxels and the analytical function of Epinat et al. (2008) give the best goodness of fit for our galaxies. The four-parameter analytical function of Epinat et al. (2008) is given by

\[
V_{\text{rot}} = V_t \left(\frac{r}{r_a}\right)^g \left(1 + \frac{r}{r_t}\right)^a, \tag{5}
\]

where \(V_{\text{rot}}\) is the rotation curve, \(V_t\) and \(r\) are the turn-over velocity and radius. The constants \(g\) and \(a\) control the inner and outer slope of the rotation curve. The rotation curve is flat if \(a = g\), rising if \(a < g\), and declining if \(a > g\).

We calculate the goodness of fit, \(\chi^2\), from equation (11) in Bekiaris et al. (2016), which adds in quadrature the residuals from the velocity map with the weighted (\(W\)) residuals from the velocity dispersion map. We tried \(W = 1\) and \(W = 0.2\). However, we chose to use \(W = 0.2\) as GBKFIT fits a constant velocity dispersion across the galaxy, and this does not always represent the velocity dispersion in our galaxies. The reduced \(\chi^2\) from the fit of our sample span from 0.31 to 2.26 (Table 2), representing a reliable fit. Fig. 5 shows the observed velocity map (left-hand panels), the modelled velocity map (middle panels) and the residuals from the fit (right-hand panels). These maps show that the analytical function of Epinat et al. (2008) fits the observable data ±15 km s\(^{-1}\).

We calculate the shear in the observed (\(V_{\text{shear}}\)) and modelled velocity \(V_{\text{shear}}\) as \((V_{\text{max}} - V_{\text{min}})/2\), where \(V_{\text{max}}\) and \(V_{\text{min}}\) are the means of the spaxels that contain the 5 per cent highest and lowest velocities in the masked region (Law et al. 2009; Wisnioski et al. 2012). We do not correct the \(V_{\text{shear}}\) from galaxy inclination because we do not rely on the inclinations we have for the DYNAMO-OSIRIS galaxies. The inclinations were taken from the galaxy SDSS photometry and are used only as a proxy in the rotation curve fitting.

We expect the velocities to increase with lower inclinations as \(V_{\text{shear}} \sim V_{\text{obs}}/\sin i\), i.e. if the SDSS inclinations were accurate the \(V_{\text{shear}}\) of SDSS013527-1039 would increase by 64 per cent and the \(V_{\text{shear}}\) of D13-5 would increase by 26 per cent.

We use the modelled velocity maps to calculate the velocity gradient per spaxel and apply a spatial sampling correction to our observed velocity dispersion maps (Davies et al. 2011; Varidel et al. 2016). The velocity gradient in each spaxel is subtracted in quadrature from the measured velocity dispersion.

The final observed velocity dispersion profiles (black triangles) and the \(\sigma_{\text{model}}\) (dashed blue thick line) are shown in Fig. 6. The observed \(\sigma_{\text{gal}}\) is calculated from de-rotating the galaxy and stacking all the spectra within the masked region (luminosity-weighted...
Velocity maps. The left-hand panels show the observed velocities, the middle panels show the rotation curve fitted with equation (5) (Epinat et al. 2008) and the right-hand panels show the residuals of the fits. The name of each galaxy is at the top of the right-hand panels. The images are shown at the same physical scale to facilitate visual inspection (top-left corner).

Figure 6. Velocity dispersion as a function of the radial distance from the centre of the galaxy. The black dots represent each spaxel. The black triangles show the median velocity dispersion of each distance bin (each bin has the same number of spaxels). The orange circles mark the brightest spaxel of each clump. The red stars are the $\sigma_{\text{peaks}}$ described in Section 4.1. The name of each galaxy is at the top-right corner. We show the constant $\sigma_{\text{model}}$ and $3\times$ the error in $\sigma_{\text{model}}$ in dashed blue lines. The luminosity-weighted velocity dispersion of the galaxy is shown as a green solid line. There is statistically significant structure in six out seven galaxies.
velocity dispersion; green solid line). Different methods have been proposed in the literature (see Davies et al. 2011, for a review) to calculate σ_{gal}. The global properties of the galaxies do not lie within the scope if this study, and thus the chosen method does not affect our results. Table 2 summarizes the galaxy properties V_{model}, σ_{gal}, incl and reduced χ^2. incl is the inclination of the disc from SDSS photometry.

4 RESULTS

The first objective of this work is to confirm significant structure in the velocity dispersion maps. The second is to study the associate velocity dispersions of star-forming clumps.

4.1 Peaks in the velocity dispersion maps

We identify the peaks of the distribution in the velocity dispersion maps, to study the velocity dispersion structure. We call peaks (σ_{peaks}) the spaxels with velocity dispersion higher or equal to the velocity dispersion cut σ_{cut} = σ_{model} + 3 × error in σ_{model}, where σ_{model} is the velocity dispersion fitted by the analytical function of Epinat et al. (2008) with GBKFIT; The (3 × error in σ_{model}) ∼ 15 km s^{-1}. We do not take isolated spaxels, only peaks which comprise three or more spaxels with velocity dispersion ≥σ_{cut}. This is still visible after smoothing the galaxy with a 0.3 arcsec Gaussian.

As we mentioned earlier, the instrumental resolution of OSIRIS varies across the FOV. We therefore evaluate the impact of this on our results. We find that the instrumental resolution in our observations varies from 35 to 47 km s^{-1}. This variation occurs smoothly across the chip and it is small compared to σ_{cut}. We find that the variation in the instrumental resolution is not the source of the σ_{peaks}. We also find that none of the selected σ_{peaks} lie in zones of low instrumental resolution. We tested regions equivalent to the size of a clump (∼0.7 arcsec), and we find that the maximum variation in such areas is 3 km s^{-1}. However, we do not fully discard the effect of this variation, and we account for it by adding it in quadrature to the measurement errors.

Fig. 6 shows the σ_{peaks} that pass this criterion (red stars), σ_{model} is shown as a thick blue dashed line and the (3 × error in σ_{model}) are shown as thin blue dashed lines. On average, the velocity dispersion in σ_{peaks} across the sample is 66.07 ± 12 km s^{-1}. This is 18 km s^{-1} higher than the average velocity dispersion of the galaxy floor across the sample, 48 ± 8 km s^{-1}.

In six out of seven galaxies, we find 2–5 velocity dispersion peaks. We can, therefore, confirm significant structure in the velocity dispersion of turbulent galaxies. There is only one galaxy, SDSS212912−0734, which does not show significant structure in the velocity dispersion map (median σ_{spaxel} = 53.07 ± 10.19 km s^{-1}); the areas of relatively elevated velocity dispersion are close to the galactic centre. A summary of the σ_{peaks} per galaxy can be found in Table 3.

| ID       | σ_{peaks} ID | σ_{peaks} (km s^{-1}) | σ_{peaks} err (km s^{-1}) | Assoc to clump | d (kpc) |
|----------|--------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|----------------|---------|
| D13-5    | i            | 67.91                 | 8.01                      | a              | 0.63    |
| D13-5    | ii           | 63.02                 | 5.78                      | a,b            | 0.47    |
| G20-2    | i            | 54.02                 | 9.53                      | b,c            | 0.52    |
| G20-2    | ii           | 49.66                 | 14.99                     | d,e            | 0.52    |
| G20-2    | iii          | 70.42                 | 7.60a                     | 0.26           |         |
| G20-2    | iv           | 90.18                 | 8.60                      | a,f            | 0.58    |
| G4-1     | i            | 59.52                 | 21.45                     | c,d,e          | 0.72    |
| G4-1     | ii           | 61.65                 | 23.50                     | c,b            | 0.75    |
| G4-1     | iii          | 70.63                 | 25.10                     | a,b            | 0.53    |
| E23-1    | i            | 62.92                 | 16.01                     | None           | 1.07    |
| E23-1    | ii           | 64.70                 | 25.60                     | None           | 1.58    |
| SDSS152159+0640 | i | 71.61 | 20.10 | None | 1.94 |
| SDSS152159+0640 | ii | 88.78 | 9.50 | a        | 0.90 |
| SDSS152159+0640 | iii | 66.09 | 5.64 | c        | 0.71 |
| SDSS12912−0734 None | None | None | None | None |
| SDSS013527−1039 | i | 95.88 | 34.23 | b,c |
| SDSS013527−1039 | ii | 88.56 | 25.60 | None |
| SDSS013527−1039 | iii | 54.99 | 22.45 | None |
| SDSS013527−1039 | iv | 50.87 | 12.62 | f |
| SDSS013527−1039 | v | 77.22 | 22.56 | None | 1.02 |
4.2 Are the star-forming clumps connected to the velocity dispersion structure?

Fig. 7 shows the velocity dispersion maps (left-hand panels) and $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}$ maps (right-hand panels) of each galaxy. The brightest spaxel of each star-forming clump is marked with a lower case letter and an orange circle. The $\sigma_{\text{peaks}}$ are marked with lower case Roman numbers and red stars.

We analyse whether there is or not a direct correspondence between $\sigma$ and $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}$ in each galaxy, by plotting the $\sigma$ versus $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}$ (per spaxel; Fig. 8). We show the $\sigma_{\text{peaks}}$ as red stars and the star-forming clumps as yellow circles. Note that the $\sigma_{\text{peaks}}$ are not always the spaxels with highest velocity dispersion. This is because in most of the galaxies the highest velocity dispersions are found in the centre of the galaxy.

G20-2 and G4-1 show an increase in velocity dispersion with increasing SFR density. This is not the case for the rest of the galaxies in our sample. We do not see any correspondence between $\sigma$ and $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}$ in D13-5, G4-1, E23-1, SDSS152159+0640, etc.
Figure 8. Velocity dispersion as a function of $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}$ (per spaxel). The name of each galaxy is at the top of each panel. We show the $\sigma_{\text{peaks}}$ as red stars and the brightest spaxel of the star-forming clump (clump centre) as orange circles. In G20-2 and G4-1, the velocity dispersion increases with increasing $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}$. While the rest of the galaxies do not show a clear trend.

SDSS212912−0734 and SDSS013527−1039. We also explored the velocity dispersion in each star-forming clump by plotting $\sigma$ as a function of the spaxel distance to the clump centre. We do not see any trend in any clump.

We examine the distances between $\sigma_{\text{peaks}}$ and the star-forming clumps. Fig. 9 shows the distribution of the distances$^5$ from the $\sigma_{\text{peaks}}$ to the nearest clump. The mode of the distribution is 0.52 kpc. We note that this is very similar to the average radius encompassing 90 per cent of the clump flux of clumps in DYNAMO galaxies (Fisher et al. 2017a,b). We find that 67 per cent of $\sigma_{\text{peaks}}$ are located within 1 kpc of a clump centre identified in Pa $\alpha$ emission line flux. Henceforth, we refer to $\sigma_{\text{peaks}}$ sitting at less than 1 kpc away from the clump centre as associated $\sigma_{\text{peaks}}$, suggesting a possible link between the star-forming clumps and the $\sigma_{\text{peaks}}$. In Fig. 7, we present this association as white lines.

To rule out any bias in the distance to the nearest clump distribution, we simulate each galaxy by fixing the $\sigma_{\text{peaks}}$ and moving the clumps to random positions. Then, we measure the distances from the $\sigma_{\text{peaks}}$ to each clump and reproduce Fig. 9 (distribution of the distances from the $\sigma_{\text{peaks}}$ to the nearest clump). We repeat this procedure 1000 times varying the clump sizes. Fig. 10 shows the modes of the 1000 runs.

The distances from each $\sigma_{\text{peaks}}$ to the nearest clump peak at 2.0, 1.0, 0.51 and 0.25 kpc. Although the distribution of the random distances is different from the distribution of the distances from $\sigma_{\text{peaks}}$ to the nearest clump in the observations (the probability of the two samples to be drawn from the same distribution is 1.02 per cent, Kolmogorov–Smirnov test), in 155/1000 simulations the typical distances is 0.51 kpc similar to what we see in our observations (0.52 kpc). Therefore, $\sim$15 per cent of the simulations suggest that the typical distance observed between a $\sigma_{\text{peaks}}$ and the closest clump is due to systematics, i.e. limited coverage of the galaxies by the OSIRIS FOV. Observations covering more than 7 kpc of a galaxy

$^5$ The distances are not corrected by galaxy inclination because the inclinations were taken from SDSS photometry, and we do not completely rely on them.
will be needed to confirm our result that $\sigma_{\text{peaks}}$ sit within 1 kpc of clump centres.

It is important not to confuse the association between star-forming clumps and $\sigma_{\text{peaks}}$ with a physical correlation. Not all star-forming clumps have associated $\sigma_{\text{peaks}}$, and vice versa. For instance, SDSS212912−0734 does not have any $\sigma_{\text{peaks}}$, and the $\sigma_{\text{peaks}}$ in E23-1 do not appear to be associated with the star-forming clumps. We would like to stress that this ‘lack of correlation’ can also be biased by the small coverage of our observations. At least 44 per cent of the clumps sit less than 1 kpc away from the edge of the OSIRIS galaxy coverage. Therefore, there is a possibility that more $\sigma_{\text{peaks}}$ are lying $\sim$1 kpc outside the coverage of our observations. The summary of the star-forming clumps properties is in Table 4.

### 5 DISCUSSION

In this paper, we analyse the kinematics of a sample of clumpy, turbulent discs at $z \sim 0.1$ with spatial sampling of 150–400 pc. This type of galaxy is rare in the local Universe, but they represent $\sim 1/3$ of the high star-forming galaxy population at high redshifts. Their properties (high SFR, young stars) suggest a rapid formation ($< 1$ Gyr; Förster Schreiber et al. 2006; Genzel et al. 2006) and their kinematics reflect a fast, however, smooth accretion process (e.g. Shapiro et al. 2008).

We find significant structure in the velocity dispersion of the DYNAMO-OSIRIS turbulent discs. Six out of seven galaxies in our sample have $\sigma_{\text{peaks}}$ with velocity dispersion higher than the velocity dispersion of the galaxy floor ($\sigma_{\text{peaks}} \geq \sigma_{\text{model}} + 3 \times \text{error in } \sigma_{\text{model}}$; Fig. 6). This confirms the weak structure seen in high-redshift observations at $\sim 3 \times$ lower spatial resolution (Genzel et al. 2011; Newman et al. 2012a,b; Wisnioski et al. 2012).

A clump can lose angular momentum due to clump–clump encounters, dynamical friction, gas drag, mass exchange with the disc, etc. Ceverino et al. (2012) predicted that some of the main sources of support against this gravitational collapse in clump evolution are turbulence and rotation. Ceverino et al. (2012) assume that the velocity dispersion can be driven by turbulence. They presented a case where rotation provides $\sim 50$ per cent of support, and the velocity dispersion ($\sigma_{\text{clump}}$) is comparable to the velocity dispersion of the disc. We find that most of the clumps in the DYNAMO-OSIRIS galaxies have $\sigma_{\text{clump}} = \sigma_{\text{model}} \pm 15 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. Consistent with the prediction of Ceverino et al. (2012), this would suggest that velocity dispersion (turbulence) is providing some of the support against gravitational collapse, being complemented by some other mechanism (e.g. rotation).

We find that 67 per cent of the $\sigma_{\text{peaks}}$ in our galaxies lie within 1 kpc of a clump centre (most common distance 0.5 kpc), suggesting that $\sigma_{\text{peaks}}$ can be found at the edges of the star-forming clumps (Fig. 9). The position of the $\sigma_{\text{peaks}}$ at the outskirts of the star-forming clumps first suggests that a fraction of the high gas velocity dispersions in clumpy galaxies may be attributable to some sort of interaction between clumps and the surrounding disc gas. Moreover, this enhanced velocity dispersion at the edges of clumps can be explained by several physical mechanisms: (a) turbulence and/or heat radiation feedback which would provide pressure support to the clumps and result in massive outflows (Krumholz & Dekel 2010); (b) inflows, which would create a range of velocity vectors at the edge of clumps; and (c) internal dynamics of clumps decoupled from the dynamics of the disc.

Outflows can be traced via the Hα emission which contains information from ionized gas and shocked stellar winds. Previous observations of Hα kinematics have seen these outflows through a broad component in the Hα emission line (Genzel et al. 2011; Newman et al. 2012a; Wisnioski et al. 2012). However, this broad component is not seen in the Pa α line emission of our observations. Newman et al. (2012a) investigated the different sources of high disc velocity dispersion in turbulent galaxies at high redshifts. They found that the gas pressure produced by turbulence and radiation pressure is less than the gas pressure expected from the velocity dispersion measured in these turbulent discs. Suggesting that although star formation feedback is an important source of velocity dispersion, another strong component would be necessary to match the velocity dispersion observed. Our observations match this hypothesis, as the star formation feedback does not seem to fully explain the velocity dispersion maps.

These results reinforce the question: Do star-forming clumps live long enough to survive several dynamical times of the disc and spiral to the central overdensity? The existence of strong outflows could suggest that the radiation pressure could disrupt the clumps before virialization (Genel et al. 2012). Bournaud et al. (2014) stated that the most massive clumps survive stellar winds due to the gas rich environment where they live, which allows them to recover their mass and live long enough to merge into the galaxy bulge. Using the DYNAMO-OSIRIS sample, we will address this question in a following paper, by measuring the velocity sheers of the clumps in the galaxies with the highest spatial resolution (2–3 galaxies, $z < 0.15$).

### 6 CONCLUSIONS

We presented the high-resolution observations of seven low-redshift turbulent discs ($0.07 < z < 0.2$; DYNAMO-OSIRIS), analogous to high-redshift galaxies ($z \sim 1.5$). These galaxies were observed with the OSIRIS IFS on Keck. We use the Pa α emission line to construct $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}$ and kinematic maps. The galaxies have prominent star-forming clumps (3–7 per galaxy) and have disc-like kinematics. To construct reliable velocity dispersion maps, we account for several systematics: spatial sampling, AO PSF and noise effects. The spatial sampling correction is done by fitting an analytical function (Epinat et al. 2008) to the galaxies to later subtract the velocity gradient per spaxel from the observed velocity dispersion. The AO PSF and noise effects are corrected by simulating DYNAMO-OSIRIS-like galaxies and measuring the effect of the AO PSF and noise in different clump configurations. From the simulations, we create
Table 4. Star-forming clump properties. Column 1 shows the DYNAMO or SDSS ID of the galaxy. Column 2 shows the clump ID. Columns 3 and 4 present the Pa $\alpha$ luminosity of the brightest spaxel in the clump. Uncertainties come from flux calibration. Columns 5 and 6 present the velocity dispersion of the brightest spaxel in the clump. The uncertainties come from 100 Monte Carlo realizations of the emission line fit. Column 7 shows the ID of the associated $\sigma_{\text{peaks}}$.

| ID     | Clump ID | $L$(Pa $\alpha)_{\text{corr}}$ ($10^{39}$ erg s$^{-1}$) | $L$(Pa $\alpha)_{\text{corr}}$ err ($10^{39}$ erg s$^{-1}$) | $\sigma_{\text{clump}}$ (km s$^{-1}$) | $\sigma_{\text{clump}}$ err (km s$^{-1}$) | Assoc to $\sigma_{\text{peaks}}$ |
|--------|----------|---------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| D13-5  | a        | 1.28                                                   | 0.25                                                      | 53.71                                | 2.75                                 | i, ii, iii                     |
| D13-5  | b        | 1.39                                                   | 0.27                                                      | 45.31                                | 1.81                                 | ii, iii                        |
| D13-5  | c        | 0.52                                                   | 0.10                                                      | 43.55                                | 3.65                                 | None                          |
| D13-5  | d        | 0.70                                                   | 0.14                                                      | 35.44                                | 2.75                                 | None                          |
| G20-2  | a        | 1.34                                                   | 0.26                                                      | 54.31                                | 10.74                                | iii, iv                        |
| G20-2  | b        | 1.43                                                   | 0.28                                                      | 55.17                                | 8.53                                 | i                             |
| G20-2  | c        | 1.77                                                   | 0.35                                                      | 53.05                                | 9.63                                 | i                             |
| G20-2  | d        | 1.07                                                   | 0.21                                                      | 40.50                                | 20.68                                | ii                            |
| G20-2  | e        | 1.02                                                   | 0.20                                                      | 40.64                                | 22.72                                | ii                            |
| G20-2  | f        | 1.35                                                   | 0.27                                                      | 22.59                                | 8.32                                 | iv                            |
| G20-2  | g        | 1.43                                                   | 0.28                                                      | 15.20                                | 9.43                                 | None                          |
| G4-1   | a        | 1.86                                                   | 0.37                                                      | 48.24                                | 19.84                                | iii                           |
| G4-1   | b        | 2.07                                                   | 0.41                                                      | 55.66                                | 27.62                                | ii, iii                       |
| G4-1   | c        | 1.86                                                   | 0.37                                                      | 53.56                                | 20.17                                | i, ii                         |
| G4-1   | d        | 2.55                                                   | 0.51                                                      | 40.61                                | 14.33                                | i                             |
| G4-1   | e        | 2.08                                                   | 0.41                                                      | 42.28                                | 15.65                                | i                             |
| E23-1  | a        | 4.86                                                   | 0.97                                                      | 51.71                                | 3.46                                 | None                          |
| E23-1  | b        | 2.78                                                   | 0.55                                                      | 42.80                                | 12.75                                | None                          |
| E23-1  | c        | 2.93                                                   | 0.58                                                      | 46.95                                | 8.87                                 | None                          |
| E23-1  | d        | 3.69                                                   | 0.73                                                      | 28.27                                | 10.41                                | None                          |
| E23-1  | e        | 2.97                                                   | 0.59                                                      | 39.62                                | 9.29                                 | None                          |
| SDSS152159+0640 | a | 10.53                                                   | 2.1                                                       | 65.68                                | 3.05                                 | ii                            |
| SDSS152159+0640 | b | 6.56                                                   | 1.31                                                      | 45.50                                | 7.07                                 | None                          |
| SDSS152159+0640 | c | 6.26                                                   | 1.25                                                      | 46.33                                | 4.81                                 | iii                           |
| SDSS152159+0640 | d | 5.60                                                   | 1.12                                                      | 53.10                                | 5.42                                 | None                          |
| SDSS212912−0734 | a | 18.96                                                   | 3.79                                                      | 46.43                                | 2.93                                 | None                          |
| SDSS212912−0734 | b | 19.35                                                   | 3.87                                                      | 42.65                                | 2.91                                 | None                          |
| SDSS212912−0734 | c | 12.76                                                   | 2.55                                                      | 44.06                                | 3.36                                 | None                          |
| SDSS013527−1039 | a | 2.09                                                   | 0.41                                                      | 38.31                                | 6.83                                 | None                          |
| SDSS013527−1039 | b | 2.32                                                   | 0.46                                                      | 48.90                                | 7.12                                 | i                             |
| SDSS013527−1039 | c | 1.77                                                   | 0.35                                                      | 51.32                                | 7.25                                 | i                             |
| SDSS013527−1039 | d | 1.26                                                   | 0.25                                                      | 19.94                                | 10.64                                | None                          |
| SDSS013527−1039 | e | 1.29                                                   | 0.25                                                      | 46.16                                | 15.58                                | None                          |
| SDSS013527−1039 | f | 1.52                                                   | 0.30                                                      | 60.69                                | 20.81                                | iv                            |

systematic maps that we subtract from the observed velocity dispersion. We find that after applying the corrections, there is significant structure in the velocity dispersion maps of turbulent galaxies. To explore this structure, we measure the $\sigma_{\text{peaks}}$ in the velocity dispersion distribution. Around 67 per cent of these peaks appear to be connected to the clumps, as they are less than 1 kpc away from the clump centre. We suggest interactions between the clumps and the ISM of the galaxy (outflows, inflows, velocity shears, etc.) as possible sources of these $\sigma_{\text{peaks}}$. However, new observations covering a wider area of the galaxy are needed to confirm this result. Further studies will analyse whether these clumps can survive feedback and become virialized.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

POA acknowledges the tools developed by the Astropy Collaboration (Astropy Collaboration et al. 2013) on the analysis and plotting of IFS data. POA also thanks Javier Zaragoza Cardiel and Sebastián F. Sancház for the useful discussion on galaxy kinematics. This research was funded by the Australian Research Council Discovery Project DP130101460. DBF is the recipient of an Australian Research Council Future Fellowship (FT170100376) funded by the Australian Government.

REFERENCES

Abraham R. G., van den Bergh S., Glazebrook K., Ellis R. S., Santiago B. X., Surma P., Griffiths R. E., 1996, ApJS, 107, 1
Adelman-McCarthy J. K. et al., 2006, ApJS, 162, 38
Astropy Collaboration et al., 2013, A&A, 558, A33
Barbosa F. K. B., Storchi-Bergmann T., Cid Fernandes R., Winge C., Schmitt H., 2006, MNRAS, 371, 170
Bassett R. et al., 2014, MNRAS, 442, 3206
Bassett R. et al., 2017, MNRAS, 467, 239
Bekiaris G., Glazebrook K., Fluke C. J., Abraham R., 2016, MNRAS, 455, 754
Bournaud F. et al., 2008, A&A, 486, 741
Bournaud F. et al., 2014, ApJ, 780, 57
Bryant J. J. et al., 2015, MNRAS, 447, 2857
