Problems on skew left braces
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Abstract. Braces were introduced by Rump as a generalization of Jacobson radical rings. It turns out that braces allow us to use ring-theoretic and group-theoretic methods for studying involutive solutions to the Yang–Baxter equation. If braces are replaced by skew braces, then one can use similar methods for studying not necessarily involutive solutions. Here we collect problems related to (skew) braces and set-theoretic solutions to the Yang–Baxter equation.

Introduction. In this paper I propose several problems in the theory of skew braces. I hope that these problems will help to strengthen the interest in the theory of skew braces and set-theoretic solutions to the Yang–Baxter equation. I have not attempted to review the general theory. I do not discuss problems related to homology of solutions, semibraces or trusses. I concentrate only on skew braces and the Yang–Baxter equation.

In Drinfeld wrote that maybe it would be interesting to study set-theoretical solutions to the equation

\[ R_{12}R_{13}R_{23} = R_{23}R_{13}R_{12}, \]

where \( X \) is a set, \( R: X \times X \to X \times X \) and \( R_{ij} \) is the map acting like \( R \) on the two factors \( i \) and \( j \) and leaving the third factor alone. Writing \( r = \tau \circ R \), where \( \tau \) is the map \( (x, y) \mapsto (y, x) \), Equation (1) becomes

\[ r_{12}r_{23}r_{12} = r_{23}r_{12}r_{23}, \]

where \( r_{12} = r \times \text{id} \) and \( r_{23} = \text{id} \times r \).

Let us say that a pair \((X, r)\) is a set-theoretic solution to the Yang–Baxter equation (YBE) if \( X \) is a non-empty set and \( r: X \times X \to X \times X \) is a bijective map satisfying (2). If \((X, r)\) is a solution of the YBE we write

\[ r(x, y) = (\sigma_x(y), \tau_y(x)). \]

We say that the solution \((X, r)\) is involutive if \( r^2 = \text{id}_{X \times X} \) and non-degenerate if \( \sigma_x \in S_X \) and \( \tau_x \in S_X \) for all \( x \in X \), where \( S_X \) denotes the group of bijective maps \( X \to X \). By convention, our solutions will always be non-degenerate solutions.

One tool to study set-theoretical solutions to the YBE is the theory of skew left braces. Braces were introduced by Rump in [60]. For a recent survey on braces
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we refer to [21]. Skew braces appeared later in [43]. A *skew left brace* is a triple 
\((A, +, \circ)\), where \((A, +)\) and \((A, \circ)\) are groups (not necessarily abelian) such that
\[
(3) \quad a \circ (b + c) = a \circ b - a + a \circ c
\]
for all \(a, b, c \in A\). To define skew right braces one needs to replace (3) by
\[
(4) \quad (a + b) \circ c = a \circ c - c + b \circ c.
\]
The inverse of an element \(a \in A\) with respect to the circle (or multiplicative) 
group of \(A\) will be denoted by \(a'\). Examples of skew left braces can be found 
in [3, 26, 43, 62].

A skew two-sided brace is a skew left brace that is also a skew right brace with 
respect to the same pair of operations.

If \(X\) is a class of groups, a skew left brace will be called of type \(X\) if its additive 
group belongs to \(X\). Skew left braces of abelian type are those braces introduced 
by Rump in [60]. By convention, a *left brace* will always be a skew left brace of 
abelian type, i.e. with abelian additive group.

Braces and skew left braces have several interesting connections, see for example 
[62, 67]. The connection between skew left braces and non-degenerate solutions 
of the YBE is given in the following theorem, see [43, Theorem 3.1]:

**Theorem.** If \(A\) is a skew left brace, then the map 
\[
r_A: A \times A \to A \times A, \quad r_A(a, b) = (-a + a \circ b, (-a + a \circ b)' \circ a \circ b),
\]
is a non-degenerate set-theoretic solution of the YBE. Moreover, \(r_A\) is involutive if 
and only if \(A\) is of abelian type.

Solutions produced from skew left braces are universal. In a different language, 
one finds the following result in [36, 50, 68]:

**Theorem.** If \((X, r)\) be a solution of the YBE, then there exists a unique skew 
left brace structure over the group 
\[
G = G(X, r) = \langle X : x \circ y = u \circ v \text{ whenever } r(x, y) = (u, v) \rangle
\]
such that the diagram
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X \times X & \xrightarrow{r} & X \times X \\
\downarrow \iota \times \iota & & \downarrow \iota \times \iota \\
G \times G & \xrightarrow{r \circ} & G \times G
\end{array}
\]
commutes, where \(\iota : X \to G(X, r)\) is the canonical map.

One proves that the pair \((G(X, r), \iota)\) satisfies a universal property, see [43] 
Proposition 3.9] and [67] Theorem 3.5]. The group \(G(X, r)\) is infinite since for 
example the degree map \(X \to \mathbb{Z}, x \mapsto 1\), extends to a group homomorphism 
\(G(X, r) \to \mathbb{Z}\). Several properties of \(G(X, r)\) are discussed in [45]. If the set \(X\) 
of the solution \((X, r)\) is finite, then one can realize this solution using a finite skew 
left brace, see [2, 26].
Problems. It was observed by Rump \cite{58} that non-degenerate involutive solutions are in bijective correspondence with non-degenerate cycle sets. A cycle set is a pair \((X, \cdot)\), where \(X \times X \to X, (x, y) \mapsto x \cdot y\), is a map such that each \(\varphi_x: X \to X, y \mapsto x \cdot y\), is bijective, and

\[(x \cdot y) \cdot (x \cdot z) = (y \cdot x) \cdot (y \cdot z)\]

for all \(x, y, z \in X\).

A cycle set \((X, \cdot)\) is said to be non-degenerate if the map \(x \mapsto x \cdot x\) is bijective. Finite cycle sets are non-degenerate, see \cite{58} Theorem 2. The correspondence between non-degenerate cycle sets and involutive solutions is given by

\[
r(x, y) = ((y \ast x) \cdot y, y \ast x)
\]

where \(x \ast y = z\) if and only if \(x \cdot z = y\). Homomorphisms of cycle sets are defined in the usual manner.

**Problem 1. Construct the free cycle set.**

It would be interesting to have a nice description of the free cycle set. This nice description could be used for example to compute homology.

In \cite{36}, Etingof, Schedler and Soloviev constructed all non-degenerate involutive solutions of cardinality \(\leq 8\), see Table 1. To construct finite involutive solutions one needs to construct finite cycle sets.

**Table 1. Involutive non-degenerate solutions of cardinality \(\leq 8\).**

| cardinality | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| solutions   | 1 | 2 | 5 | 23 | 88 | 595 | 3456 | 34528 |
| square-free | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 17 | 68 | 336 | 2041 |
| indecomposable | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 98 |

**Problem 2. Construct all cycle sets of small cardinality.**

One can try for example with the construction of cycle sets of cardinality nine. Of course Problem 2 refers to the construction of all isomorphism classes of cycle sets. It would also be interesting to construct all cycle sets (or involutive solutions) of small cardinality under particular assumptions. For example, a solution \((X, r)\) of the YBE is said to be square free if \(r(x, x) = (x, x)\) for all \(x \in X\), this means that one needs cycle sets \((X, \cdot)\) such that \(x \cdot x = x\) for all \(x \in X\).

**Problem 3. Construct all square-free cycle sets of small cardinality.**

An involutive solution \((X, r)\) is said to be indecomposable if the group \(G(X, r)\) defined as the subgroup of \(S_X\) generated by \(\{\sigma_x : x \in X\}\) acts transitively on \(X\). Similarly one defines indecomposable cycle sets. The classification of indecomposable solutions with a prime number of elements appears in \cite{35, 37}.

**Problem 4. Construct all indecomposable cycle sets of small cardinality.**

Maybe Problems 2, 3 and similar problems could be studied using constraint satisfaction methods.

The number of finite involutive solutions increases rapidly with the number of elements of the underlying set. Therefore it makes sense to ask for an estimation:
Problem 5. *Estimate the number of cycle sets of cardinality n for n → ∞.*

Much less is known for non-involutive solutions. Before going into the general problem of constructing non-involutive solutions, one could start with injective solutions. A solution \((X, r)\) is said to be *injective* if the canonical map \(X \to G(X, r)\) is injective. As it was proved in [36], involutive solutions are always injective:

\[ \{\text{involutive solutions}\} \subset \{\text{injective solutions}\} \subset \{\text{solutions}\}. \]

**Problem 6.** *Construct all injective non-involutive solutions of low cardinality.*

**Problem 7.** *Construct all solutions of small cardinality.*

Problem 7 is related to the construction of finite biquandles of small cardinality, see for example [10, 38]. A different approach to construct all solutions could be based on skew left braces, see [2]; this method requires the classification of finite skew left braces.

Simple involutive solutions were defined in [69, §2]. A surjective map \(p: X \to Y\) of involutive solutions is said to be a *covering* if all the fibers \(p^{-1}(y)\) have the same cardinality. A covering \(X \to Y\) is said to be *trivial* if either \(|Y| = 1\) or \(|Y| = |X|\). An involutive solution \((X, r)\) is said to be *simple* if \(|X| > 1\) and any covering \(X \to Y\) is trivial.

**Problem 8.** *Classify finite simple involutive solutions.*

One could simply ask for examples of small involutive simple solutions:

**Problem 9.** *Construct finite simple involutive solutions of small cardinality.*

It would be interesting to understand the simplicity of an involutive solution in the language of left braces:

**Problem 10.** *Is it possible to read off the simplicity of an involutive solution \((X, r)\) in terms of the left brace \(G(X, r)\)?*

It would be also interesting to develop the theory of non-involutive simple solutions. Some ideas could be obtained if one reads off the simplicity of a solution in terms of a skew left brace where it is realized.

**Problem 11.** *Let \((X, r)\) be a solution to the YBE. When does the multiplicative group of \(G(X, r)\) have torsion?*

Problem 11 was posed by Bachiller in [4]. Gateva–Ivanova and Van den Bergh proved in [42] that in the case of involutive solutions the multiplicative group of \(G(X, r)\) is always torsion-free. It is easy to construct examples of non-involutive solutions \((X, r)\) such that the multiplicative group of \(G(X, r)\) has torsion.

**Problem 12.** *Construct the free (skew) left brace.*

Based on the work of Bachiller [4] and Catino and Rizzo [20] on regular subgroups, an algorithm to construct finite skew left braces was introduced in [43]. With some exceptions, this algorithm was used to construct small (skew) left braces. For \(n \in \mathbb{N}\) let \(s(n)\) be the number of non-isomorphic skew left braces of size \(n\) and let \(b(n)\) be the number of non-isomorphic left braces of size \(n\). Table 2 shows some values of \(s(n)\) and \(b(n)\) and several open cases. Maybe some of these open cases are fairly straightforward computational projects.
Table 2. The number of non-isomorphic left braces and skew left braces.

| n  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|
| b(n) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 1 |
| s(n) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 1 |

| n  | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 |
|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| b(n) | 1 | 2 | 1 | 357 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 11 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 96 |
| s(n) | 1 | 6 | 1 | 1605 | 1 | 49 | 1 | 43 | 8 | 6 | 1 | 855 |

| n  | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 36 |
|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| b(n) | 1 | 2 | 1 | 106 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 9 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1708 |
| s(n) | 1 | 6 | 8 | 944 | 1 | 78 | 1 | 29 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 66209 |

| n  | 37 | 38 | 39 | 40 | 41 | 42 | 43 | 44 | 45 | 46 | 47 | 48 |
|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| b(n) | 1 | 2 | 11 | 1 | 80 | 1 | 91 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 128 | 28 |
| s(n) | 1 | 6 | 5 | 1985 | 1 | 43 | 1 | 36 | 8 | 6 | 1 | 418 |

| n  | 49 | 50 | 51 | 52 | 53 | 54 | 55 | 56 | 57 | 58 | 59 | 60 |
|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| b(n) | 1 | 2 | 11 | 1 | 14 | 1 | 17 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 489 | 1 |
| s(n) | 1 | 6 | 11 | ? | 1 | 36 | 1 | 43 | 1 | 36 | 1 | 17790 |

| n  | 61 | 62 | 63 | 64 | 65 | 66 | 67 | 68 | 69 | 70 | 71 | 72 |
|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| b(n) | 1 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 489 | 1 |
| s(n) | 1 | 6 | 11 | ? | 1 | 36 | 1 | 43 | 1 | 36 | 1 | 17790 |

| n  | 73 | 74 | 75 | 76 | 77 | 78 | 79 | 80 | 81 | 82 | 83 | 84 |
|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| b(n) | 1 | 2 | 5 | 9 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 1985 | ? | 2 | 1 | 34 |
| s(n) | 1 | 6 | 14 | 29 | 1 | 78 | 1 | ? | 1 | 36 | 1 | 606 |

| n  | 85 | 86 | 87 | 88 | 89 | 90 | 91 | 92 | 93 | 94 | 95 | 96 |
|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| b(n) | 1 | 2 | 1 | 90 | 1 | 16 | 1 | 9 | 2 | 2 | 1 | ? |
| s(n) | 1 | 6 | 1 | 800 | 1 | 294 | 1 | 29 | 8 | 6 | 1 | ? |

| n  | 97 | 98 | 99 | 100 | 101 | 102 | 103 | 104 | 105 | 106 | 107 | 108 |
|----|----|----|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| b(n) | 1 | 8 | 4 | 51 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 106 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 494 |
| s(n) | 1 | 53 | 4 | 711 | 1 | 36 | 1 | 944 | 8 | 6 | 1 | ? |

| n  | 109 | 110 | 111 | 112 | 113 | 114 | 115 | 116 | 117 | 118 | 119 | 120 |
|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| b(n) | 1 | 6 | 2 | 1671 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 11 | 2 | 1 | 395 |
| s(n) | 1 | 94 | 8 | ? | 1 | 78 | 1 | 43 | 47 | 6 | 1 | ? |

| n  | 121 | 122 | 123 | 124 | 125 | 126 | 127 | 128 | 129 | 130 | 131 | 132 |
|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| b(n) | 4 | 2 | 1 | 9 | 49 | 36 | 1 | ? | 2 | 4 | 1 | 24 |
| s(n) | 4 | 6 | 1 | 29 | 213 | 990 | 1 | ? | 8 | 36 | 1 | 324 |

| n  | 133 | 134 | 135 | 136 | 137 | 138 | 139 | 140 | 141 | 142 | 143 | 144 |
|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| b(n) | 1 | 2 | 37 | 108 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 27 | 1 | 2 | 1 | ? |
| s(n) | 1 | 6 | 101 | ? | 1 | 36 | 1 | 395 | 1 | 6 | 1 | ? |

| n  | 145 | 146 | 147 | 148 | 149 | 150 | 151 | 152 | 153 | 154 | 155 | 156 |
|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| b(n) | 1 | 2 | 9 | 11 | 1 | 19 | 1 | 90 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 40 |
| s(n) | 1 | 6 | ? | 43 | 1 | ? | 1 | ? | 4 | 36 | 12 | 782 |

| n  | 157 | 158 | 159 | 160 | 161 | 162 | 163 | 164 | 165 | 166 | 167 | 168 |
|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| b(n) | 1 | 2 | 1 | ? | 1 | ? | 1 | 11 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 443 |
| s(n) | 1 | 6 | 1 | ? | 1 | ? | 1 | 43 | 12 | 6 | 1 | ? |

Problem 13. Construct all left braces of size 32.
Some results related to Problem 13 are shown in Table 3. According to these results, one needs to construct left braces of size 32 with additive group isomorphic to $C_2^5 = C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$, where $C_2$ denotes the cyclic (multiplicative) group with two elements. Apparently, this particular case cannot be done in reasonable time with the techniques of [43]. The number of (skew) left braces of size 64, 96 or 128 seems to be extremely large and our computational methods are not strong enough to construct them all.

**Table 3. Some calculations of braces of size 32.**

| additive group | number | time needed |
|----------------|--------|-------------|
| $C_{32}$       | 9      | 0.1         |
| $C_8 \times C_4$ | 1334   | 4 hours     |
| $C_{16} \times C_2$ | 120    | 30 minutes  |
| $C_4^2 \times C_2$ | 13512  | 13 days     |
| $C_8 \times C_2^2$ | 1547   | 10 hours    |

**Problem 14.** Let $p$ be a prime number. Classify skew left braces of size $p^n$.

Problem 14 is important because it is the key step in the classification of skew left braces of nilpotent type.

Left braces of size $p^2$ and $p^3$, where $p$ is a prime number, were classified by Bachiller in [3]. He proved that $b(p^2) = 4$ and $b(p^3) = 6p + 19$. Since groups of order $p^2$ are abelian, it follows that $s(p^2) = 4$. Skew left braces of size $p^3$ were classified by Nejabati Zenouz in [53]. He proved that $s(p^3) = 6p^2 + 8p + 23$ whenever $p > 3$. From Table 2 one gets $s(8) = 47$ and $s(27) = 101$.

**Problem 15.** Let $p$ and $q$ be different prime numbers. Construct all skew left braces of size $pq$.

It should be fairly easy to solve Problem 15 using the techniques of [14].

**Problem 16.** Let $p$ and $q$ be different prime numbers. Construct all skew left braces of size $p^2q$.

Left braces of size $p^2q$ for prime numbers $p$ and $q$ such that $q > p + 1$ were classified by Dietzel in [31]. He proved that

$$b(4q) = \begin{cases} 
9 & \text{if } 4 \nmid q - 1, \\
11 & \text{if } 4 \mid q - 1,
\end{cases}$$

if $q > 3$, and that

$$b(p^2q) = \begin{cases} 
4 & \text{if } p \nmid q - 1, \\
p + 8 & \text{if } p \mid q - 1 \text{ and } p^2 \nmid q - 1, \\
2p + 8 & \text{if } p^2 \mid q - 1,
\end{cases}$$

if $q > p + 1 > 3$.

**Problem 17.** Estimate the number of (skew) left braces of size $n$ for $n \to \infty$.

The following problem appears in [21]:

**Problem 18.** Compute automorphism groups of skew left braces of size $p^n$.
Automorphism groups of skew left braces of size $p^3$ were computed in [52]. In [40, 2.28(I)] Gateva–Ivanova made the following conjecture: For each finite involutive square-free solution $(X, r)$ there exist $x, y \in X$ such that $x \neq y$ and $\sigma_x = \sigma_y$. It was proved by Cedó, Jespers and Okniński in [25] that the conjecture is true if the group $G(X, r)$ generated by $\{\sigma_x : x \in X\}$ is abelian. Later in [41] and in [26] it was shown using other methods that the result is also valid if $G(X, r)$ is infinite abelian. In full generality, the conjecture is now known to be false. The first counterexample appeared in [69]; other counterexamples were later constructed in [71, 17]. It would be interesting to find essentially new counterexamples.

**PROBLEM 19. Construct counterexamples to Gateva–Ivanova conjecture.**

One could ask, for example, for counterexamples of size nine. Computer calculations show that there is only one counterexample to Gateva–Ivanova conjecture among the 38698 involutive solutions of size $\leq 8$.

It could be enlightening to attack Problem 19 using the theory of braces. Let $A$ be a brace and $X$ be a subset of $A$ such that the restriction $r_X = r_A|_{X \times X}$ of $r_A$ to $X \times X$ is a solution to the YBE. We say that $(A, X)$ is a Gateva–Ivanova pair if the solution $(X, r_X)$ is a counterexample to Gateva–Ivanova conjecture.

**PROBLEM 20. Find Gateva–Ivanova pairs.**

One could start studying Problem 20 by inspecting the database of small braces. I should remark that counterexamples to Gateva–Ivanova conjecture might provide new examples of Artin-Schelter regular algebras with global dimension $> 3$ with interesting properties to study. Gateva–Ivanova conjecture motivated a deeper study of the structure of braces and related objects, see for example [26, 39, 60].

Gateva–Ivanova conjecture is of course related with the retractability of involutive solutions, introduced in [36]. For an involutive solution $(X, r)$, consider the equivalence relation on $X$ given by $x \sim y$ if and only if $\sigma_x = \sigma_y$. Denoting by $Y$ the set of equivalence classes of $X$, the map $r$ induces a function $\pi: Y \times Y \to Y \times Y$. The pair $(Y, \pi)$ is a solution to the YBE which will be denoted by $\text{Ret}(X, r)$. One defines inductively

$$\text{Ret}^{n+1}(X, r) = \text{Ret}(\text{Ret}^n(X, r))$$

for all $m \geq 1$. The solution $(X, r)$ is said to be a multipermutation solution if there is a positive integer $m$ such that $\text{Ret}^n(X, r)$ has only one element. The solution $(X, r)$ is said to be irretractable if $\text{Ret}(X, r) = (X, r)$.

A group $G$ admits a left ordering if it admits a total ordering $< \text{such that if } x < y \text{ then } zx < zy \text{ for all } x, y, z \in G$. In [9] it is proved that a finite involutive set-theoretic solution $(X, r)$ of the YBE is a multipermutation solution if and only if its structure group $G(X, r)$ admits a left ordering. One of the implications was proved in [30, 44].

**PROBLEM 21. Let $(X, r)$ be an injective non-involutive solution of the YBE. When does the multiplicative group of $G(X, r)$ admit a left ordering?**

Problem 21 appears in [47].

A group $G$ satisfies the unique product property if for every finite non-empty subsets $A$ and $B$ of $G$ there is an element $x$ which can be written uniquely as $x = ab$ with $a \in A$ and $b \in B$.

**PROBLEM 22. Let $(X, r)$ be an involutive irretractable solution of the YBE. Can $G(X, r)$ satisfy the unique product property?**
In [45] Example 8.2.14 Jespers and Okniński proved that the structure group \( G(X, r) \) of a certain involutive irretractable solution \((X, r)\) of cardinality four does not satisfy the unique product property. They showed that this structure group contains a subgroup isomorphic to the celebrated Promislow group [56].

A group \( G \) is said to be diffuse if for every finite non-empty subset \( A \) of \( G \) there exists \( a \in A \) such that for all \( g \in G \setminus \{1\} \), either \( ga \notin A \) or \( g^{-1}a \notin A \). Diffuse groups satisfy the unique product property. However, the precise relation between diffuseness and unique products is not clear at the moment. In [47] it is proved that the structure group \( G(X, r) \) of an involutive solution \((X, r)\) is diffuse if and only if \((X, r)\) is a multipermutation solution. Therefore a positive answer to Problem 22 would give an example of a non-diffuse group with the unique product property.

**Problem 23.** Study non-involutive multipermutation solutions.

Some work related to Problem 23 can be found in [47, 67].

As it was observed by Rump, two-sided braces are equivalent to radical rings. The multiplication of this radical ring is the operation 
\[
a * b = -a + a \circ b - b.
\]
It makes sense to consider this operation for all skew left braces, although in general it will not be associative. The following problem appears in [22].

**Problem 24.** Let \( A \) be a left brace such that the operation \( * \) is associative. Is \( A \) a two-sided brace?

It is proved in [22, Proposition 2.2] that the answer is positive if the additive group of the left brace has no elements of order two. For skew braces, Problem 23 can be answered in the negative, see [46, §1].

A left ideal of a skew left brace \( A \) is a subgroup \( L \) of the additive group of \( A \) such that \( A * L \subseteq L \). An ideal of \( A \) is a left ideal \( I \) such that \( a + I = I + a \) and \( a \circ I = I \circ a \) for all \( a \in A \). A skew left brace \( A \) is said to be simple if it has no ideals different from \( \{0\} \) and \( A \).

**Problem 25.** Classify finite simple skew left braces.

Problem 25 is intensively studied for finite left braces, see for example [5, 6, 8]. However, not much is known about finite simple skew left braces that are not of abelian type.

**Problem 26.** Study representation theory of skew left braces.

Rump defined modules over right braces in [59]. A different interpretation of Problem 26 could be the following: To study consequences of having skew left brace homomorphisms between two given skew left braces.

Left braces such that either the additive or the multiplicative group is isomorphic to \( Z \) were classified in [28]. It is not clear how to extend some of these results to skew left braces. The operations \( g^k + g^l = g^{k+l} \) and \( g^k \circ g^l = g^{k+l} \) turn the set \( \{g^k : k \in Z\} \) into a skew left brace with multiplicative group isomorphic to \( Z \) and additive group isomorphic to the infinite dihedral group. It seems that there are no other non-trivial skew left braces with multiplicative group isomorphic to \( Z \).

**Problem 27.** Classify isomorphism classes of skew left braces with multiplicative group isomorphic to \( Z \).
Problem 27 could be studied using techniques from factorizable groups, see for example [1]. Similarly one could also ask for the classification of skew left braces where one of its groups is isomorphic to the infinite dihedral group.

Finite skew left braces with cyclic additive groups were classified by Rump in [61, 57]. In the same vein, it would be interesting to know the classification of skew left braces with multiplicative group isomorphic to the quaternion group. This problem is not even solved for left braces. Such left braces are called quaternion left braces. For \( m \in \mathbb{N} \) let \( q(4m) \) be the number of isomorphism classes of quaternion left braces of size \( 4m \).

**PROBLEM 28.** Prove that

\[
q(4m) = \begin{cases} 
2 & \text{if } m \text{ is odd}, \\
7 & \text{if } m \equiv 0 \text{ mod } 8, \\
9 & \text{if } m \equiv 4 \text{ mod } 8, \\
6 & \text{if } m \equiv 2 \text{ mod } 8 \text{ or } m \equiv 6 \text{ mod } 8.
\end{cases}
\]

The conjectural formula for \( q(4m) \) of Problem 28 was verified by computer for all \( m \leq 128 \).

**PROBLEM 29.** Which finite abelian groups appear as the additive group of a quaternion left brace?

For \( m \in \{2, \ldots, 128\} \) the additive group of a quaternion left brace of order \( 4m \) is isomorphic to one of the following groups:

\[
C_{4m}, C_{2m} \times C_2, C_m \times C_2 \times C_2, C_m \times C_4, C_{m/2} \times C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2.
\]

By inspection, \( C_m \times C_2^2 \) appears if \( m \equiv 2, 4, 6 \text{ mod } 8 \) and \( C_m \times C_4 \) and \( C_{m/2} \times C_2^3 \) appear if \( m \equiv 4 \text{ mod } 8 \).

**PROBLEM 30.** Is it true that there are seven classes of isomorphism of quaternion left braces of size \( 2^k \) for \( k > 4 \)?

It is interesting to study properties of groups appearing as the multiplicative groups of skew left braces. Let us start with the case of left braces. A finite group \( G \) is said to be an involutive Yang–Baxter group (or simply a IYB group) if it is isomorphic to the multiplicative group of a finite left brace. In [36], Etingof, Schedler and Soloviev proved that IYB groups are always solvable. A natural problem arises: Is every finite solvable group the multiplicative group of a left brace? The answer is negative, as it was shown by Bachiller [4] following the ideas of Rump [62]. However, it would be interesting to find other counterexamples.

**PROBLEM 31.** Which is the minimal cardinality of a solvable group that is not a IYB group?

Some results related to IYB-groups can be found in [11, 23, 26, 27, 33]. Related problems are the following:

**PROBLEM 32.** Is every nilpotent group of nilpotency class two the multiplicative group of a left brace?

**PROBLEM 33.** Is every nilpotent group of nilpotency class two the multiplicative group of a two-sided brace?
PROBLEM 34. Which finite nilpotent groups are multiplicative groups of a two-sided (or left) brace?

Problems 32–34 appeared in the survey [21]. Problem 34 is interesting even in the particular case of groups of nilpotency class \( \leq 3 \).

I learned the following related problem from Rump:

PROBLEM 35. Is there an example of a non-IYB finite group where all the Sylow subgroups are IYB groups?

The solution to the YBE one obtains from a skew left brace is always a biquandle. Biquandles are non-associative structures useful in combinatorial knot theory. We refer to [34, 54, 55] for nice introductions to the subject.

PROBLEM 36. Study knot invariants produced from skew left braces.

As biquandles produce knot invariants and these invariants can be strengthened by using quandle and biquandle homology, it is then natural to ask if the homology of braces of [48] can be used in combinatorial knot theory:

PROBLEM 37. Is it possible to strengthen invariants produced from skew left braces by using brace homology?

Left and right nilpotent left (and right) braces were defined by Rump in [60]. Strongly nilpotent left braces were defined by Smoktunowicz in [65]. These definitions extend to skew left braces, see [28]. A skew brace \( A \) is said to be left nilpotent if there exists \( n \geq 1 \) such that \( A^n = 0 \), where \( A^1 = A \) and \( A^{n+1} \) is defined as the subgroup \( A \ast A^n \) of \( (A, +) \) generated by \( \{a \ast x : a \in A, x \in A^n\} \). Similarly \( A \) is said to be right nilpotent if there exists \( n \geq 1 \) such that \( A^n = 0 \), where \( A^{(1)} = A \) and \( A^{n+1} \) is defined as the subgroup \( A^n \ast A \) of \( (A, +) \) generated by \( \{x \ast a : x \in A^n, a \in A\} \).

The following problem of Smoktunowicz appears in [64] in an equivalent formulation:

PROBLEM 38. Let \( G \) be a finite group which is the multiplicative group of some left brace. Is \( G \) is the multiplicative group of a right nilpotent left brace?

Problem 38 also makes sense for skew left braces of nilpotent type.

PROBLEM 39. Are there simple two-sided skew braces of nilpotent type?

A skew brace \( A \) is said to be prime if for all non-zero ideals \( I \) and \( J \), the subgroup \( I \ast J \) of \( (A, +) \) generated by \( \{u \ast v : u \in I, v \in J\} \) is non-zero.

PROBLEM 40. Is every finite prime skew left brace of nilpotent type a simple skew left brace?

PROBLEM 41. Is every finite prime left brace a simple left brace?

In [24] §5] Cedó, Jespers and Okniński found a prime non-simple finite left brace. This example solves Problems 30 and 31.

PROBLEM 42. Are there prime two-sided skew braces of nilpotent type?

A skew left brace is said to be strongly nilpotent if it is right and left nilpotent. A skew left brace \( A \) is said to be strongly nil if for every element \( a \in A \) there is a positive integer \( n = n(a) \) such that any \( \ast \)-product of \( n \) copies of \( a \) is zero.
**Problem 43.** Is every finite strongly nil skew left brace a strongly nilpotent skew left brace?

For a skew left brace $A$ let $\rho_1(a) = a$ and $\rho_{k+1}(a) = \rho_k(a) \ast a$ for $n \geq 1$. The skew left brace $A$ is said to be right nil if there exists a positive integer $n$ such that $\rho_n(a) = 0$ for all $a \in A$.

**Problem 44.** Is every finite right nil skew left brace a right nilpotent skew left brace?

Radical rings are the source of several other problems for skew left braces. For example, it might make sense to discuss an analog of the Köthe conjecture in the context of skew left braces. For rings one of the formulations of the conjecture is the following: the sum of two nil left ideals in a ring is a left nil ideal. The conjecture was formulated around 1930 and it is still open, see [29, 63].

**Problem 45.** Is there an analog of the Köthe conjecture for skew left braces?

Problems 39, 40, 41, 42, 43 and 44 appear in [28] and [46]. Köthe conjecture has been shown to be true for various classes of rings such as polynomial identity rings, right Noetherian rings and radical rings.

Bachiller observed the connection between skew braces and Hopf–Galois extensions. This connection is precisely described in the appendix of [67]. In the theory of Hopf–Galois extensions the following problems are natural, see [16]:

**Problem 46.** Is there a skew left brace with solvable additive group but nonsolvable multiplicative group?

**Problem 47.** Is there a skew left brace with non-solvable additive group but nilpotent multiplicative group?

Problems [16] and [17] also appeared in [67] and [51] Problem 19.90]. In general, it is interesting to know relations between the multiplicative and the additive group of a skew left brace. Several results in this direction can be found in the theory of Hopf–Galois extensions, see for example [13, 15, 16]. The following problem is mentioned in [47] and [51] Problem 19.49:

**Problem 48.** Let $A$ be a skew left brace with left-orderable multiplicative group. Is the additive group of $A$ left-orderable?

Timur Nasybullov constructed an example of a left brace that answers Problem 48 negatively (private communication). Another example that answers Problem 48 appears in [28].

In [28] it is proved that skew left braces generated (as a skew left brace) by a single element yield indecomposable solutions of the YBE. It is then natural to ask if all finite indecomposable solutions are of this type:

**Problem 49.** Is it true that for each indecomposable involutive solution $(X, r)$ there exists a one-generator left brace $A$ generated by $x$ such that $X$ is of the form $X = \{ax + x : a \in A\}$?

Problem 49 appears in [66] for left braces.

Rump proved that braces are equivalent to linear cycle sets. A linear cycle set is a triple $(A, +, \cdot)$, where $(A, +)$ is an abelian group and $(A, \cdot)$ is a cycle set such that $a \cdot (b + c) = (a \cdot b) + (a \cdot c)$ and $(a + b) \cdot c = (a \cdot b) \cdot (a \cdot c)$ hold for all $a, b, c \in A$. 
A theory of dynamical extensions of cycle sets was used in [69] to produce a counterexample to Gateva–Ivanova conjecture.

Problem 50. Develop the theory of dynamical extensions of linear cycle sets.

To study non-involutive solutions one replaces cycle sets by skew cycle sets. According to [67, §6.1], a skew cycle set is defined as a linear cycle set but where the commutativity of the group \((A, +)\) is not assumed. Problem 50 can be stated for skew braces and skew cycle sets.

Almost all of the questions for skew left braces make sense in the particular and highly interesting case of \(k\)-linear left braces, which are left braces where the additive group is a \(k\)-vector space compatible with the multiplicative group. Those braces were introduced by Catino and Rizzo in [20] as circle algebras.

There are also several questions on one-generator skew left braces, as those skew left braces are maybe easier to study than skew left braces. In particular, one could also ask most of the previous questions on skew left braces for one-generator skew left braces.
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