Effect of Promoting Diazotrophic Bacteria and Seaweed Extract Formula on Growth, Yield and Quality of Pea (Pisum Sativum L.) Plants
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ABSTRACT

Two field experiments were carried out at the Experimental farm, Faculty of Agriculture, Alexandria University during 2017 and 2018 winter seasons. The aim of the current study was to investigate the effects of different bio-fertilizer types and seaweed extract formula (0, 5, 10 mll⁻¹) on vegetative growth characters, total pods yield and its components as well as chemical constituents of green seeds of the pea cultivar “Balmoral”. The results indicated that plant height, foliage fresh weight, foliage dry matter, number of branches plant⁻¹, total yield (ton fed⁻¹), N, protein and K contents in green seeds were significantly and positively affected by different bio-fertilizer types and the seaweed extract. The highest total pods yield fed⁻¹ obtained by foliar application of seaweed extract at the rate of 10 mll⁻¹ in both growing seasons. Addition of bio-fertilizer (1) combined with foliar application of seaweed extract at the rate 10 mll⁻¹ gave the highest growth characters and total yield fed⁻¹ with the highest protein content of green seeds of pea plants, in both growing seasons.
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INTRODUCTION

Pea (Pisum sativum L.) is one of the most important legume vegetable crops in the world that growing in the winter season. The green seed of pea is commonly used in human diet where it is rich in protein, carbohydrates, antioxidant, vitamin A and C. Also, it has high levels of amino acids, minerals and dietary fibers (Mishra, 2014). Economically pea is a dominate export and cash crop in world trade and represents about 40% of the total trading in legumes for both fresh use and processing (Oiram & Agcaolili, 1994).

The use of mineral fertilizers in the vegetable crops production for long periods led to the spread of many diseases, which afflict humans as well as they cause pollution of the environment and water. Therefore, it was necessary to have natural tools and strategies for lowering use of chemical fertilizers in the vegetable crops production without having any effects on the environment and obtaining a good crop and quality. In order to prevent or, at least reduce the huge amounts of mineral nitrogen fertilizers applied by growers, aiming to increase the quantity of yield, without any care of its risk which led to plant and soil pollutions with different elements.

The application of bio-fertilizers is economically important to reduce the cost of mineral fertilizers and ecologically to avoid environmental pollution. Many diazotrophic bacteria produce and, also, secrete phytohormones like auxin, cytokinins and gibberellins and thereby enhance growth of roots and shoots (Jagnow et al., 1991).

Rhizobium bacteria are among the most beneficial soil bacteria, which known as bio-fertilizer, whose members are most notable for their ability in N₂ fixation, generate plant growth promoting substances, and because of the existence of technology having the potential to mass production of inoculants (Saghafi et al., 2018).

Bio-fertilizers play a very important role in improving soil fertility by fixing atmospheric nitrogen through the living symbiotic with plant roots; solubilize insoluble soil phosphates and produces plant growth substances in the soil (Zaghloul et al., 2015).

Many studies indicated that seaweed extract has many components like minerals, carbohydrates, amino acids, many of vitamins, betaines and growth regulators, which affect on metabolism of plants leading to enhance vegetative growth and total yield of vegetable crops. Also, seaweed extract applications especially at early stage of plant growth and establishment, improve crop performance and yield elevated resistance to biotic and abiotic stress, and enhanced postharvest (Norrie and Keathley, 2006). Today many of seaweed extracts used as a fertilizer and number of seaweed product used in agriculture (khan et al., 2009 and Ramya et al., 2015). Vegetable crop cultivation using seaweed extract as a fertilizers has increased germination and growth in legume plants (Kavipriya et al., 2011). Several investigations support different aspects of potential macro algal applications in agriculture. Currently, seaweed extracts are the new type of products used in plant cultivation. The sources of seaweed
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extracts are different species of marine algae which seem to be valuable and not wholly discovered biological material (Khan et al., 2009).

Finding environmentally safe methods became an important task that might be achieved through specific treatments.

For achieving these aims this study was to identify how respond the growth, yield and quality of pea plants to bio-fertilizers and seaweed extract.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

Two field experiments were carried out, during the winter seasons of 2017 and 2018, at the Experimental Station Farm of the Faculty of Agriculture Abies, Alexandria University; Alexandria, Egypt. To study the effects of four bio-fertilizers (Bio.1, Bio.2, Bio.3 and Bio.4) in addition to control and three concentrations of seaweed extract (0, 5 and 10 ml l⁻¹) as well as its interactions effect on the growth, yield and quality of pea plants.

Before execute the trials, soil samples were randomly collected up to 30 cm depth. Then, some physical and chemical properties of the soil of the two experimental sites, were determined according to methods described by (Page, 1982), and the results of analysis soil are presented in Table (1).

The seeds of Balmoral cultivar of pea were sowing in the first week of October, in both seasons. All seeds were sown in hills 15 cm apart on the two sides of rows.

**Treatments Preparation:**

The promoting bacteria that used as a bio-fertilizers were:

1. Control (0), without bio-fertilizers
2. Bio-fertilizer (1) is containing multi-strains of P and N₂ fixing bacteria of genera Bacillus as fixing of P and Azotobacter, Azospirillum and Rhizobium as fixing of N.
3. Bio-fertilizer (2), it is containing multi-strains of N₂ fixing bacteria of Azotobacter.
4. Bio-fertilizer (3), it was containing Azotobacter chroococcum and Azospirillum barsilense.
5. Bio-fertilizer (4), it was containing multi-strains of N₂ fixing bacteria of Colstriedum, Azospirillum and Rhizobium as a source of N.

| Table 1. Soil physical and chemical properties of the experimental sites in the two growing seasons of 2016/2017 and 2017/2018* |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Properties** | **Seasons** | **2016/2017** | **2017/2018** |
| **Physical properties** | | | |
| Sand % | 34.20 | 34.20 |
| Silt % | 24.20 | 24.60 |
| Clay % | 41.60 | 41.20 |
| Soil texture | Clay loam | Clay loam |
| **chemical properties** | | | |
| pH | 7.90 | 8.00 |
| E.C. (dS.m⁻¹) | 3.06 | 3.01 |
| **Soluble cations (m.eq l⁻¹)** | | | |
| Ca++ | 1.70 | 1.60 |
| Mg++ | 1.50 | 1.40 |
| Na⁺ | 1.60 | 1.80 |
| K⁺ | 0.36 | 0.38 |
| **Soluble anions (m.eq l⁻¹)** | | | |
| CO₃⁻ | Zero | Zero |
| HCO₃⁻ | 1.30 | 1.24 |
| Cl⁻ | 1.20 | 1.10 |
| SO₄⁻ | 3.18 | 3.15 |
| Available P (ppm) | 0.33 | 0.30 |

* These analysis were carried out at the central laboratory, Faculty of Agriculture, Alexandria University.
Preparation of Seaweed Extract Formulation

Samples of common algae (Ulva lactuca, Ulva fasciata and Petrocladua capilicla) were collected from the Eastern Harbor located at Alexandria, Egypt during summer 2017. The fresh samples were washed with seawater at the sampling site to remove the adhered sediments and impurities then put in polyethylene bags. Quick rinsing of the alga with tap water was carried out in the laboratory on the same day to get rid of the remaining impurities and epiphytes. Algal samples were air-dried in the shade at room temperature on absorbent paper, then cut into very small pieces of about 2 mm size. However, microscopic identification of the investigated algae was carried out according to Aleem (1993) and Braune (2008).

The extraction step was treated with ethanol 70% by soaking the material in the solvent (1:10, w/v) on a rotary shaker at 150 rpm at room temperature for 72 h. The extracts from consecutive soakings were pooled and filtered using filter paper (Whatman No. 4), and then the obtained filtrate was air dried to evaporate the solvent.

On the other side, humic acids were isolated from the samples according to Pempkowiak et al. (1994) and the final solution actually contains both humic and fulvic acids.

The formulation of seaweed extracts and humic substances was designed as follows:

1. The crude extracts were firstly mixed with equal ratios.
2. The mixture was added to the humic substances in the solution form and stirred well in a ratio of 3:1 v/v.
3. This formula was stored at -20°C until usage.

Table 2. Chemical composition of seaweed extracts formulation

| Parameter       | Value               |
|-----------------|---------------------|
| Odor            | Humic to seaweed-like odor |
| Color           | Dark brown          |
| Texture         | Dense (near to oily) |
| Humus (Humic acid + fulvic acid) | 10% |
| Alginic acid    | 10%                 |
| Suspended matter| Lower than 15%      |
| Total protein   | 1 gl⁻¹              |
| Total carbohydrates | 30%         |
| Total lipids    | 5-7%                |
| Vitamin C       | 4 mg l⁻¹            |
| P               | 111.6 mg l⁻³       |
| K               | 7.56 mg l⁻¹        |
| Mg              | 25.3 mg l⁻¹        |
| Fe              | 120.1 mg l⁻¹       |
| Mn              | 60.0 µg l⁻¹        |
| Zn              | 42.0 µg l⁻¹        |
| Ash             | 10%                 |
| pH              | 5-6                 |

Experimental layout and treatments

The experimental layout was a split plots system in a Randomized Complete Blocks Design with three replications. Each replicate included 15 treatments, which were the combinations of four promoting bacteria (bio-fertilizers) as well as control treatment and three rates of seaweed extract (0, 5, 10 ml l⁻¹) that used as foliar application. The bio-fertilizers were, randomly, arranged in the main plots, while seaweed extract rates were, randomly, distributed in the sub-plots. Each subplot consisted of three ridges; each ridge was 4 m length and 0.7 m width. The inoculation process by bio-fertilizes was carried out by mixing the bacteria with pea seeds, which wetted by a small amount of water and sugar before 30 minutes from sowing. Seeds of the uninoculation (control treatment) were sprayed with tap water (according to Dawaa, et al., 2014).

The experimental soil in the two growing seasons was received 40 kg fed⁻¹ calcium superphosphate (15.5% P2O5) as one dose before sowing. Ammonium sulfite (20.5% N) at 30 kg fed⁻¹
Vegetative growth characters

At the first time of harvest (after 70 days from sowing), the data of vegetative growth characters were determined of the five plants randomly chosen from first row of each sub-plot to measure the plant height (cm), the number of branches, foliage fresh weight (gm) plant\(^{-1}\) and dry matter of plant foliage (%).

Yield and its components

The plants of second row of each sub plot were saved to find the number of pods plant\(^{-1}\), the average weight of pods plant\(^{-1}\), number of seed pod\(^{-1}\), shelling ratio (%) and total pods yield fed\(^{-1}\) (ton) characters.

Chemical constituents of green seed

Minerals contents of green seeds (%) were determinate according to the methods mentioned by Evenhuis and Dewaard (1980), and Murphy and Riley (1962). The protein percentages in green seeds were calculated by multiplying nitrogen content by 6.25.

Statistical analysis

All obtained data were statistically analyzed using Co-Stat Software (2004), a computer program for statistics. Duncan’s multiple range tests was used to compare the differences among the means of the different treatments as elucidated by Steel and Torrie (1984).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Vegetative Growth

The results concerning the differences between the two studied factors as well as their interactions on vegetative growth characters of peas plants which included plant height, number of branches, foliage fresh weight and dry matter of plant are shown in Figures (1, 2) and Table (3). The results clarified the presence of significant increment on the vegetative growth characters of pea as a result to use bio-fertilizer types, in both growing seasons. Generally, inoculation pea seeds by different bio-fertilizer treatments resulted in higher vegetative growth characters compared with the control treatment, in both seasons. The highest values for all vegetative growth characters were obtained from using treatment bio 1 which is a mixture of many bacterial strains. While, the greatest foliage dry matter (%) for peas plants was obtained from control treatment. Similar results were reported by (Rather et al. 2010; Sarg and Hassan, 2003 and Solieman et al. 2003) on pea plant, that inoculation of pea seeds with bio-fertilizer, where there was significantly increase in vegetative growth characters compared to the un inoculated one. This can be clarified based on bio-fertilizer has dramatically influenced on bio control in the rhizosphere, that could be due to the action of one or more of the growth promoting substances especially auxin and gibberellins.

Fig (2) also indicated that foliar spraying of pea plants with seaweed extract significantly increased the mean values of all these parameters as compared with the control treatment. Spraying pea plants with 10 ml l\(^{-1}\) of seaweed extract gave the highest values of all vegetative growth parameters, in both seasons, with exception in the case of the foliage dry matter (%), in two seasons. Growth enhancement of pea plants by foliar application of seaweed extract may be due for its high contents from macro and micro elements, amino acids, vitamins, cytokinins and auxins. These growth hormones may be play an imperative role in enhancement of cell size and cell division and their presence together they complement each other, where the Cytokinins are effective in shoot formation and auxin in root development, while micronutrients improve the soil health (Ordog et al. 2004 and Ramya et al. 2015).

With respect to the interaction effects between bio-fertilizer inoculation and foliar application of seaweed extract, the obtained results illustrated in (Table 3) reflected, in general, that the combined treatment between Bio1, which contained multi-strains of P and N\(_2\) fixing bacteria and seaweed extract concentration of 10 ml l\(^{-1}\) produced the highest mean values for all vegetative parameters, in the two seasons, except the values of foliage dry matter (%).
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Control: without inoculation by bio-fertilizers.
Bio 1: A mixture of genera Bacillus, Azobacter, Azospirillum and Rhizobium
Bio 3: A mixture of Azotobacter chroococcum and Azospirillum barsilense.
Bio 2: A mixture of multi-strains of Azotobacter
Bio 4: A mixture of Colstriedum, Azospirillum and Rhizobium

Fig. 1. Effect of bio fertilizer on vegetative growth characters in winter seasons 2017 and 2018
Fig. 2. Effect of seaweed extract concentrations on vegetative growth characters in winter seasons 2017 and 2018.
Table 3: The interaction effects between bio fertilizer and seaweed extract on vegetative growth characters in winter seasons 2017 and 2018

| Treatments | Plant height (cm) | Foliage fresh weight (g) | Foliage dry matter (%) | Number of branches plant
|------------|------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| Bio fertilizer | Seaweed con. ml/l | Season 2017 | | |
| Bio 0 | 0 | 50.87 l | 34.80 l | 19.41 a | 3.11 m |
| | 5 | 56.06 k | 36.95 k | 19.10 b | 3.27 k |
| | 10 | 58.76 j | 39.03 j | 18.81 c | 3.42 j |
| | 0 | 76.15 c | 64.55 de | 14.11 k | 3.91bc |
| Bio 1 | 5 | 78.06 b | 66.85 bc | 14.02 k | 3.95 ab |
| | 10 | 79.49 a | 69.14 a | 13.88 l | 3.98 a |
| | 0 | 72.01 de | 63.23 e | 15.58 h | 3.80 ef |
| Bio 2 | 5 | 75.92 c | 65.52 cd | 15.27 i | 3.84 de |
| | 10 | 77.78 b | 68.13 ab | 15.01 j | 3.89 cd |
| | 0 | 60.92 i | 43.17 i | 17.61 d | 3.19 j |
| Bio 3 | 5 | 65.28 h | 48.42 h | 17.21 e | 3.30 k |
| | 10 | 68.51 g | 50.22 gh | 17.01 f | 3.49 i |
| | 0 | 69.73 f | 49.84 gh | 16.04 g | 3.62 h |
| Bio 4 | 5 | 71.44 e | 51.28 g | 15.98 g | 3.72 g |
| | 10 | 72.87 d | 56.85 f | 15.02 j | 3.79 f |

| Control: without inoculation by bio-fertilizers. | |
| **Yield and its components** | |

Concerning the effects of bio fertilizer types and seaweed extract concentrations on the yield and its components of pea plants, the results in Figures (3-4) clearly showed that the total pea yield and its parameters were significantly affected by the bio-fertilizers inoculation. The maximum total yield and its components expressed as the number of pods plant \(^{-1}\), average weight of pods plant \(^{-1}\), shelling ratio %, were obtained by using Bio 1 (mixture from Bacillus, Azotobacter, Azospirillum and Rhizobium), in both growing seasons. The increase in yield attribute and pod
yield might be due to active and rapid multiplication of bacteria especially in rhizosphere creating favourable condition for N fixation at higher rate through supply by N fertilizers and supply of other nutrients, bacterial secretion, hormone production and supply of antibacterial and antifungal compounds of which favourable for growth and ultimately yield Saghafi et al. 2018.

Control: without inoculation by bio-fertilizers.
Bio 1: A mixture of genera Bacillus, Azotobacter, Azospirillum and Rhizobium
Bio 3: A mixture of Azotobacter chroococcum and Azospirillum brasilense.
Bio 2: A mixture of multi-strains of Azotobacter
Bio 4: A mixture of Colstriedum, Azospirillum and Rhizobium

Fig. 3. Effect of bio-fertilizer on yield and its components in winter seasons 2017 and 2018
Fig. 4. Effect seaweed extract on yield and its components in winter seasons 2017 and 2018
These results are matched well with those achieved by Badr et al. (2014) who indicated that inoculated pea seeds with bio-fertilizer significantly surpassed on uninoculated ones in all yield components characters (i.e. a number of pod plant⁻¹, seed as well as number of seed pod⁻¹, pods weight plant⁻¹).

Concerning the effect of foliar application of seaweed extract in the two tested seasons (Figure 4), the obtained data showed that the foliar application of seaweed extract increased significantly (number of pods plant⁻¹, average weight of pods plant⁻¹, number of seed pod⁻¹, number of seed pod⁻¹, shelling ratio % ) and total pods yield (ton fed⁻¹) as compared with the control.

### Table 4. The interaction effects between bio fertilizer and seaweed extract on yield and its components in winter seasons 2017 and 2018

| Treatments | Seaweed con. ml/l | Season 2017 |  | Season 2018 |  |
|------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Bio fertilizer |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bio 0 5 | 0 12.93 k 4.30 k 6.40 a 3.34 a |  | 77.56 d-f | 2.50 j |  |
| 10 15.30 ij 4.53 i 6.50 a 3.47 a |  |  | 76.50 fg | 3.12 hi |  |
| 0 24.23 de 5.84 c 6.88 a 4.65 a |  |  | 79.68 bc | 6.36 c |  |
| Bio 1 5 | 0 26.13 bc 5.92 b 6.90 a 4.79 a |  | 80.86 b | 6.96 b |  |
| 10 27.50 a 6.04 a 7.00 a 4.98 a |  |  | 82.36 a | 7.48 a |  |
| 0 23.62 e 5.53 f 6.85 a 4.21 a |  |  | 76.09 g | 5.88 d |  |
| Bio 2 5 | 0 25.40 c 5.74 d 6.87 a 4.51 a |  | 78.52 c-e | 6.56 c |  |
| 10 26.73 ab 5.84 c 6.97 a 4.60 a |  |  | 78.82 cd | 7.02 b |  |
| 0 15.56 i 4.63 h 6.51 a 3.60 a |  |  | 77.64 d-f | 3.24 h |  |
| Bio 3 5 | 0 19.06 h 4.67 h 6.54 a 3.70 a |  | 79.17 c | 4.00 g |  |
| 10 20.51 g 4.92 g 6.66 a 3.81 a |  |  | 77.33 e-g | 4.54 f |  |
| 0 21.96 f 5.49 f 6.74 a 4.05 a |  |  | 73.77 h | 5.42 e |  |
| Bio 4 5 | 0 23.76 e 5.66 e 6.79 a 4.33 a |  | 76.56 fg | 6.05 d |  |
| 10 25.34 cd 5.74 d 6.89 a 4.40 a |  |  | 76.77 fg | 6.54 c |  |

Control: without inoculation by bio-fertilizers.  
Bio 1: A mixture of genera Bacillus, Azotobacter, Azospirillum and Rhizobium  
Bio 2: A mixture of multi- strains of Azotobacter  
Bio 3: A mixture of Azotobacter chroococcum and Azospirillum brasilense.  
Bio 4: A mixture of Colstrium, Azospirillum and Rhizobium  

*Values marked with same alphabetical letter(s), within a comparable group of means, do not significantly differ, using revised L.S.D. test at 0.05 level.
treatment. Respecting enhancing potential of the seaweed extract on the yield and its components might be due to supply pea plants with micro, macro nutrients and significant amounts of cytokinins, auxins and betaines, which increase chlorophyll production by boosting the photosynthetic process, thereby stimulating vegetative growth that would be improved accordingly and reflect on its productivity. These results are in harmony with the findings of Nour et al. (2010) on tomato, and Rathore et al. (2009) on soybean.

The interactions effects between varying types of bio-fertilizer and different concentrations of foliar application of seaweed extract, are presented in Table (4). The results illustrated that the plants treated with Bio (1) combined with foliar application of seaweed extract at the rate of 10 ml l⁻¹ concentration achieved the highest values of the number of pods plant⁻¹, average pod weight, shilling ratio (%), and total pods yield (ton fed.⁻¹) as compared with other treatment combinations; in the two seasons.

Chemical Components

Results presented in Figures (5-6) showed the chemical constituents of pea green seeds as a response of bio-fertilizer types and various application concentrations of seaweed extract. Results clarified that the highest values of all estimated parameters, i.e. N, P, K and total protein in pea green seeds were observed in pea plants that inoculated with bio-fertilizers (1), in the two growing seasons.

The results, also, revealed that the foliar application of seaweed extract at the concentration of 10 ml l⁻¹ increased significantly (N,P,K %) and total protein contents in the pea green seeds, in the two tested seasons. The superiority of seaweed was due to the benefits of seaweed components providing an excellent source of bioactive compounds such as macro- and micronutrients, essential fatty acids, amino acids, vitamins, cytokinins, auxins like growth promoting substances affecting

---

Control: without inoculation by bio-fertilizers.
Bio 1: A mixture of genera Bacillus, Azotobacter, Azospirillum and Rhizobium
Bio 2: A mixture of multi- strains of Azotobacter
Bio 3: A mixture of Azotobacter chroococcum and Azospirillum brasilense.
Bio 4: A mixture of Colstriedum, Azospirillum and Rhizobium

---

Fig .5.Effect of bio- fertilizer on Chemical Components in winter seasons 2017 and 2018
Fig. 6. Effect seaweed extract Chemical Components in winter seasons 2017 and 2018.
Table 5: The interaction effects between bio fertilizer and seaweed extract on Chemical Components in winter seasons 2017 and 2018

| Treatments | Nitrogen (%) | Protein (%) | Phosphorus (%) | Potassium (%) |
|------------|--------------|-------------|----------------|---------------|
|            | Season 2017  |             |                |               |
| Bio fertilizer | seaweed |            |                |               |
| Bio 0       | 0 2.02 e    | 20.63 o     | 0.30 a         | 2.59 a        |
|             | 5 3.40 cd   | 21.29 n     | 0.31 a         | 2.60 a        |
|             | 10 3.45 b-d | 21.56 m     | 0.33 a         | 2.61 a        |
|             | 0 4.10 a-c  | 25.62 d     | 0.47 a         | 2.97 a        |
| Bio 1       | 5 4.21 ab   | 26.31 b     | 0.48 a         | 3.01 a        |
|             | 10 4.31 a   | 26.93 a     | 0.51 a         | 3.10 a        |
|             | 0 3.91 a-d  | 24.43 g     | 0.39 a         | 2.86 a        |
| Bio 2       | 5 4.01 a-d  | 25.10 e     | 0.42 a         | 2.90 a        |
|             | 10 4.18 ab  | 26.13 c     | 0.45 a         | 2.97 a        |
|             | 0 3.50 b-d  | 21.91 l     | 0.32 a         | 2.64 a        |
| Bio 3       | 5 3.56 a-d  | 22.25 k     | 0.34 a         | 2.65 a        |
|             | 10 3.30 de  | 22.64 j     | 0.35 a         | 2.67 a        |
|             | 0 3.73 a-d  | 23.25 i     | 0.37 a         | 2.71 a        |
| Bio 4       | 5 3.86 a-d  | 24.14 h     | 0.38 a         | 2.75 a        |
|             | 10 3.94 a-d | 24.64 f     | 0.39 a         | 2.84 a        |
|             | Season 2018  |             |                |               |
|            |             |             |                |               |
| Bio 0       | 0 3.31 e    | 20.70 o     | 0.30 a         | 2.53 a        |
|             | 5 3.40 de   | 21.25 n     | 0.31 a         | 2.60 a        |
|             | 10 3.45 c-e | 21.56 m     | 0.32 a         | 2.62 a        |
|             | 0 4.13 a-d  | 25.81 d     | 0.47 a         | 2.99 a        |
| Bio 1       | 5 4.22 ab   | 26.39 b     | 0.48 a         | 3.05 a        |
|             | 10 4.29 a   | 26.85 a     | 0.51 a         | 3.08 a        |
|             | 0 3.89 a-e  | 24.35 g     | 0.40 a         | 2.85 a        |
| Bio 2       | 5 4.02 a-e  | 25.14 e     | 0.42 a         | 2.91 a        |
|             | 10 4.18 a-c | 26.14 c     | 0.44 a         | 2.95 a        |
|             | 0 3.50 b-e  | 21.91 l     | 0.31 a         | 2.63 a        |
| Bio 3       | 5 3.55 a-e  | 22.22 k     | 0.35 a         | 2.64 a        |
|             | 10 3.64 a-e | 22.79 j     | 0.36 a         | 2.66 a        |
|             | 0 3.74 a-e  | 23.37 i     | 0.37 a         | 2.72 a        |
| Bio 4       | 5 3.83 a-e  | 23.93 h     | 0.38 a         | 2.77 a        |
|             | 10 3.94 a-e | 24.62 f     | 0.39 a         | 2.83 a        |

Control: without inoculation by bio-fertilizers.  
Bio 1: A mixture of genera Bacillus, Azotobacter, Azospirillum and Rhizobium.  
Bio 2: A mixture of multi-strains of Azotobacter.  
Bio 3: A mixture of Azotobacter chroococcum and Azospirillum barnesense.  
Bio 4: A mixture of Colstriedum, Azospirillum and Rhizobium.  
*Values marked with same alphabetical letter(s), within a comparable group of means, do not significantly differ, using revised L.S.D. test at 0.05 level.

cellular metabolism in treated plants leading to enhance growth and productivity (Stirk et al., 2003; Ordog et al., 2004; Khan et al., 2009).

Concerning the effects of interaction between bio fertilizers inoculation types and foliar applications of seaweed extract concentrations on chemical constituents of pea green seeds, obtained results in Table (5) showed that the highest values of all estimated parameters, i.e. N, P, K (%) and total protein in pea green seeds were observed in the pea plants that inoculated with Bio (1) in combination with foliar application of seaweed extract at rate (10 ml l⁻¹). This trend of results was observed, in the two growing seasons.

Abd El-Baky et al. (2008) confirmed that using marine algea U. lactuca could be considered as potential rich source of natural colorant with antioxidant and antibacterial properties and could be utilized in as natural preservative ingredient in food and in pharmaceutical industry.
**CONCLUSIONS**

It could be concluded from the results of this study that using a mixture of multi strain of diazotrophic bacteria as a biofertilizer (Rhizobium, Azotobacter, Azospirrellum and Bacillus) . Moreover, foliar application of seaweed extract formula at concentration 10 ml l⁻¹ that prepared from algae available widely in the Egypt coastal can be a very effective, applicable and cheap method for high productivity of pea plants. In addition, their effects are save on human health and environment.
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