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ABSTRACT

The focus of this study was to investigate the impact of corrosion attack in carbon steel C-1040 marine piping system using weight loss method. Two carbon steel specimens (coupons) of cylindrical shape were selected and weighed before they were exposed to two different test solutions (corrosion media) at a concentration of 0.2M and 0.04M in seawater and freshwater respectively at room temperature for eight weeks. The weight loss was taken as the difference in the weight of the coupons before and after immersion in the two different test solutions. The corrosion rates of the coupons were calculated from the weight loss obtained. The experimental result from weight loss method was calculated using engineering equation solver (EES). The weight loss and rate of corrosion of the two coupons varied as higher corrosion rate and weight losses were observed in coupon 2. The weight loss and corrosion rate in 0.2M concentration of coupon 2 in seawater environment increased from 0.04 g to 0.53 g, 0.007133 mmpy to 0.0181 mmpy while coupon 1 showed an increase from 0.01 g to 0.25 g, 0.0035 mmpy to 0.005573 mmpy.

*Corresponding author: Email: idiaphoken@gmail.com;
was observed in 0.04M concentration in freshwater environment. This shows that carbon steel metal was more susceptible to corrosion attack in seawater environment than in the freshwater environment. The micrograph results of coupon 2 before and after immersion in 0.2M of seawater for about 1344 hrs showed evidence of uniform (general) corrosion as the coupon surface was rough and jarring. The grain boundaries of the surface morphology also revealed general corrosion effects on the coupon after immersion as the film present on the surface was cracked as a result of corrosion impact.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Tretway et al. [1] defined corrosion as the degradation or decay of a metal by direct attack or by reaction with its environment. According to Ikechukwu et al. [2], corrosion takes place in the presence of an electrolyte; such as freshwater, saltwater or soil. Corrosion is a major concern in marine environments due to the high concentrations of chlorides and sulphur dioxides as well as high mean annual temperature, rainfall, humidity and time of wetness [3]. The high rates of corrosion severely reduce the lifespan of steel structures. A number of industrial designs of materials are not carried out unless keen considerations are given to the effect of corrosion on the materials' life spans Aminu et al. [4]. Thus, a better understanding of the corrosion behavior of steels is required in order to decrease degradation due to atmospheric corrosion. The impact of corrosion on a ship's hull is generally known and recognized by the material industry but the disasters by corrosion attacks in marine piping system and their arrangement used in offshore practices have been recognized by few [5]. According to Rajendran et al. [6], corrosion degrades the metallic properties of the affected metal. According to Oliver et al. [7], corrosion is the damaging attack on a metal by its environment which results in damage to its metallic properties, such that it can no longer meet the design criteria specified.

Environmental factors have significant effects on the corrosion of metals and other accelerating factors such as the oxygen of the fluid, chemical make-up, velocity of the fluid, temperature and pH values (Anyawu et al. [8]). An example of a corroded pipe affected by seawater is shown in Fig. 1.

Pipes corrode internally and externally. Internally, they may be affected by erosion, uniform and abrasive corrosion, fatigue and galvanic action. Externally, corrosion is caused mainly by atmospheric conditions, but pipes can corrode locally where liquids drip onto them or erode where clamps have loosened and fretting occurs [5]. However, in spite of safety and maintenance measures to combat and reduce the effects of corrosion in marine piping system, an estimated sum of 4% of the GNP of the industrial country has been spent Gerhardus et al. [9].

Fig. 1. Corroded piping system

The main focus of this research is to use weight loss method to evaluate the impact of corrosion attack in marine piping system by exposing carbon steel C-1040 for less than a year at room temperature and at different concentrations in freshwater and seawater as environmental media.

2. SAMPLE PREPARATION AND ANALYSIS

Two carbon steel C-1040 coupons were exposed in freshwater and seawater environments. The freshwater was obtained from Amassoma River in Southern Ijaw L.G.A., Bayelsa State while the seawater was obtained from Elia-Gina River, Ogonokom Abua/Odurl L.G.A. Rivers State. Coupon 1 and coupon 2 were exposed for a period of eight weeks in freshwater at a concentration of 0.04M and seawater at a concentration of 0.2M respectively at the
university laboratory. Before exposure, the carbon steel coupons of cylindrical shape were cut and filed; their areas were obtained along with the length and radius as shown in Table 1. The coupons comprise of the same length and radius, however, their weights varied when weighed on an ultra-sensitive balance. Before exposure, the coupons were mechanically polished with P800 grit paper to ensure surface smoothness, chemically cleaned with 9% hydrochloric acid solution, rinsed with clean water and then dried with a smooth towel.

Each coupon was suspended in a known volume (250ml) of corrosion media through a supporting rod and a thread. This was with a view to ensuring uniform contact of the coupons with the medium as shown in Fig. 2.

The salinity (concentration) and other parameters were obtained from the university laboratory. The constituents of seawater and freshwater are shown in Table 2.

In this work, weight loss analysis was used as an experimental method for the immersion test using samples of carbon steel C-1040. This was done to determine the weight difference of the coupons in order to calculate their corrosion rates. The specimens also called coupons were weighed using the ultra-sensitive weighing balance shown in Fig. 3 before they were exposed to two different test solutions (corrosion media) at a concentration of 0.2M and 0.04M in seawater and freshwater respectively for eight weeks. The weight loss in grams (g) was taken as the difference in the weight of the coupons before and after immersion in the two different test solutions. The corrosion rates of the coupons were calculated from the weight loss obtained. The initial weights of the carbon steel coupons obtained from the weighing balance are shown in Table 3.

Table 1. Shape, size and area of the specimen

| Specimen | Shape     | Radius (mm) | Length (mm) | Area (mm²) |
|----------|-----------|-------------|-------------|------------|
| Carbon steel | Cylindrical | 6.0        | 80          | 3243       |

Fig. 2. Beaker used as corrosion media

Fig. 3. Ultra-sensitive weighing balance used for weighing the carbon steel coupon

Table 2. Constituents of seawater and freshwater

| Constituent | pH | Salinity (M) | NO₃  | Cl  | SO₃  | Ca  | Mg  | Na  | Fe  | K  |
|-------------|----|--------------|------|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|
| Seawater    | 8.11 | 0.2         | 7.641 | 975 | 54.60 | 568 | 142 | 264 | 0.54 | 72 |
| Freshwater  | 6.25 | 0.04        | 0.214 | 27.0 | 4.86  | 18.54 | 4.50 | 7.62 | –   | 3.42 |
Table 3. Initial weights of the coupons

| Carbon steel C-1040 | Coupon 1 | Coupon 2 |
|--------------------|---------|---------|
| Weight (g)         | 15.79   | 15.78   |

The corrosion rate is calculated using:

\[
\text{Corrosion rate (C.R)} = \frac{\Delta \text{Weight (W)} \times K}{D \times (\frac{A}{\text{(mm}^2)} \times T \text{ (yr)}}
\]  

Where,

\[K = \text{Rate constant = 87.6}\]

\[\Delta W = \text{Weight in grams}\]

\[D = \text{Density of metal}\]

\[A = \text{surface area of metal in (mm}^2\)]

\[T = \text{Time of exposure in yrs.}\]

\[
\text{Corrosion rate (mm/y)} = \frac{87.6 \times \Delta W}{D \times A \times T} = \frac{g}{\text{mm}^2 \times \text{mm}^2 \times \text{yr}}
\]

or mmpy

Calculations of the sample area, weight loss and corrosion rate were coded and solved using engineering equation solver and plotted at the two different concentration on MS excel spreadsheet. The results from engineering equation solver (EES) are shown in the appendix.

Positive material identification (PMI) was used in the study to determine the chemical compositions of the corroded metal before carrying out weight loss analysis. The location to be tested is cleaned to remove dirt, rust or adhering grease. The X-MET7000 series has factory settings which are applicable to many measurements. X-met is however tested for by measuring the sample specimen. The chemical composition of the selected material (carbon steel C-1040) obtained from Turret Engineering Services Ltd is shown in Table 4.

The Inverted Metallurgical Microscope was used as a surface analysis tool for the inspection of grain size. Metallographic samples of carbon steel were inspected using a dedicated microscope to assess the grain size and phase of the coupons. Samples of carbon steel C-1040 surface were analyzed before and after immersion into the seawater environment of 0.2M concentration. Before the coupons were inspected with the microscope, the following preparatory steps were taken to ensure the visibility of the microstructure:

- **Sampling:** This involves cutting of the coupons to sizes that will fit into the mold for mounting. The coupons were cut into smaller dimensions using a hacksaw.
- **Mounting:** The coupons were placed in a mold that has a punch; phenolic powder (thermosetting material) was poured into the mold and a heater placed around it. Pressure was applied on the content of the mold with a hydraulic press and the coupons were heated in a heater until the light indicator went off.
- **Grinding:** This was done to ensure smooth finish and uniformity of the surface of the specimens to be scanned. Hence, five different abrasive papers were used ranging from P220, P320, P400, P600 and P800. The mounted surface to be scanned was thoroughly scrubbed on the abrasive paper starting from the P220 till the P800 to ensure surface smoothness.
- **Polishing:** Using a polishing machine, velvet clothe and a polishing reagents (diamond suspension and lubrication), the sample was inverted while the polishing wheel moved round until a mirror like surface was achieved.
- **Etching:** Etching reagent was used on the metal specimens.
- **Scanning:** The prepared samples were then placed under the microscope for scanning.

All these were carefully done to prevent the destruction of the coupons surfaces.

Table 4. Carbon steel C-1040 chemical composition

| Material   | Ti     | V     | Cr    | Mn    | Fe    | Ni    | Cu    | W    | Mo    | Nb    | Pb    | W    |
|------------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|------|
| Carbon     | 0.06   | 0.03  | 0.2   | 0.17  | 98.08 | 0.03  | 0.23  | 0.00 | 0.01  | 0.02  | 0.00  |
| steel C-1040 | 0.012  | 0.05  | 0.008 | 0.010 | 0.024 | 0.008 | 0.010 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.008 | 0.001 |
|            | 0.00   | 0.50  | 0.004 | 94.61 | 0.27  | 0.03  | 0.34  | 1.03 | 0.04  |       |       |      |
|            | 0.003  | 0.010 | 0.004 | 0.047 | 0.010 | 0.003 | 0.026 | 0.032 | 0.008 |       |       |      |
3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Presentation of Results

The experimental result obtained using weight loss technique was calculated using engineering equation solver (EES). The coupons showed evidence of corrosion attack after eight (8) weeks of exposure. In Tables 6 and 7, the coupons showed evidence of increased weight loss and corrosion rate while Figs. 4 and 5 graphically illustrated the different responses of the coupons to the impact of corrosion in seawater and freshwater environments.

3.2 Discussion of Results

The coupons exhibited different features in terms of color, texture, surface appearance, type and size of the corrosion products on the metal. By the end of the first week, coupon 2 exhibited patches of grey and black on its surface. Between the seventh (7th) and eighth (8th) weeks, about 60 to 80% of the surface was

Table 6. Weight loss results of coupons immersed after eight (8) weeks in freshwater and seawater media

| Concentration     | Initial weight before immersion | Wt. after 1st week | Wt. after 2nd week | Wt. after 3rd week | Wt. after 4th week | Wt. after 5th week | Wt. after 6th week | Wt. after 7th week | Wt. after 8th week |
|-------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| 0.02M of seawater | 14.79g                          | 14.75g             | 14.70g             | 14.63g             | 14.56g             | 14.50g             | 14.41g             | 14.33g             | 14.26g             |
| 0.04M of freshwater| 14.78g                          | 14.77g             | 14.74g             | 14.70g             | 14.67g             | 14.64g             | 14.62g             | 14.59g             | 14.54g             |

Table 7. Weight loss of coupons after eight (8) weeks of immersion

| Concentration     | Wt. loss aft wk. 1 | Wt. loss aft wk. 2 | Wt. loss aft wk. 3 | Wt. loss aft wk. 4 | Wt. loss aft wk. 5 | Wt. loss aft wk. 6 | Wt. loss aft wk. 7 | Wt. loss aft wk. 8 |
|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| 0.2M of seawater  | 0.04g              | 0.09g              | 0.16g              | 0.23g              | 0.29g              | 0.34g              | 0.46g              | 0.53g              |
| 0.04M of freshwater| 0.01g              | 0.04g              | 0.08g              | 0.11g              | 0.14g              | 0.16g              | 0.1g               | 0.24g              |

Fig. 4. Weight loss results of coupons in 0.2 M of seawater and 0.04M of freshwater exposed for eight weeks against time
rough, with a hard brownish corrosion product which when washed off left the surface with more black patches than the grey patches. Towards the end of the experiment, circular bumps were formed on the surface which when washed off exposed circular pits on the surface of the metal. The base of the pits was grey in color. The remaining surface was black. While at the eighth (8th) week, the water appeared dark yellowish brown with brown particles at the bottom inside the beaker used as a corrosion medium which was found to be similar to that of Bebeteidoh et al. [10]. The results from the experiment revealed that corrosion occurred as metal weight loss. The weight loss and corrosion rate of coupon 2 in seawater and coupon 1 in freshwater varied as higher corrosion rate and weight loss were observed in coupon 2 as shown in Figs. 4 and 5. From week one (1) to week eight (8), the weight loss and corrosion rate of coupon 2 increased steadily as shown in Tables 4 and 5. Both coupons showed increase in weight loss and corrosion rate. The weight loss of coupon 2 increased from 0.04 g to 0.53 g while its corrosion rate showed an increase of 0.007133 mmpy to 0.0181 mmpy. For coupon 1, weight loss increased from 0.01 g to 0.25 g and the corrosion rate from 0.0035 mmpy to 0.005573 mmpy. Thus, confirming that carbon steel metal was more susceptible to corrosion attack in seawater environment than in the freshwater environment. From the inverted metallurgical microscope study, the micrograph results for coupon 2 before and after immersion showed that that carbon steel C-1040 samples after 1344 hrs (0.1536 yr) of immersion in 0.2 M of seawater experienced uniform (general) corrosion as the surface was rough and jarring. The grain boundaries of the surface morphology revealed general corrosion effects on the coupon after immersion as the film present on the surface was cracked as shown in Figs. 6 and 7 as a result of corrosion impact.

Table 8. Corrosion rate of coupons immersed after eight (8) weeks in freshwater and seawater media

| Concentration | CR after 1st week (mmpy) | CR after 2nd week (mmpy) | CR after 3rd week (mmpy) | CR after 4th week (mmpy) | CR after 5th week (mmpy) | CR after 6th week (mmpy) | CR after 7th week (mmpy) | CR after 8th week (mmpy) |
|---------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 0.2M of seawater | 0.007133 | 0.008025 | 0.009511 | 0.01025 | 0.01034 | 0.01129 | 0.01172 | 0.01181 |
| 0.04M of freshwater | 0.003567 | 0.004458 | 0.00535 | 0.00535 | 0.00535 | 0.005053 | 0.005095 | 0.005573 |

Fig. 5. Corrosion rate results of coupon 2 in 0.2 M of seawater and coupon 1 in 0.04 M of freshwater exposed for eight weeks against Time
4. CONCLUSION

In this research work, the impact of corrosion attack in marine piping system and other fluid equipment operating in the downstream and upstream sectors in Nigeria was successfully addressed by applying the weight loss method. Result from our experiment showed that the
values of corrosion rate and weight loss were found to be higher in seawater environment than in freshwater environment due to the effects of salinity in seawater. The research work showed the dangers of operating marine piping system in seawater and freshwater by analyzing the metal behavior in both corrosive environments, thus drawing the attention of materials and corrosion engineers on the need to combat corrosion by exploring and seeking for better material designs that will be more resistant to corrosion in marine piping systems.
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APPENDIX

Engineering equation solver (EES) code for weight loss calculation and results

"Determination of Area, weight loss and corrosion rate of carbon steel in SEAWATER environment after immersion for two months"

\[ r=6 \text{ [mm]}; \, L=80 \text{ [mm]}; \, \pi=3.142 \]
\[ A=(2*(\pi)*r*L) + (2*(\pi)*r^2) \]

"Weight difference for the first week"
\[ W_R=14.79 \text{ [g]}; \, Wone=14.75 \text{ [g]} \]
\[ W_{1\text{loss}}=W_R - Wone \]

"Corrosion rate after immersion for the first week"
\[ K=87.6; \, T_{\text{week1}}=0.0192 \text{ [mmpy]}; \, D=7.89 \text{ [g/mm]} \]
\[ Cr_{\text{week1}}= (K*W_{1\text{loss}})/(A*T_{\text{week1}}*D) \]

"Weight difference for the second week"
\[ Wtwo=14.70 \text{ [g]} \]
\[ W_{2\text{loss}}=W_R - Wtwo \]
\[ T_{\text{week2}}=0.0384 \text{ [mmpy]} \]
\[ Cr_{\text{week2}}= (K*W_{2\text{loss}})/(A*T_{\text{week2}}*D) \]

"Corrosion rate after the second week of immersion"
\[ Wthree=14.63 \text{ [g]} \]
\[ W_{3\text{loss}}=W_R - Wthree \]
\[ T_{\text{week3}}=0.0576 \text{ [mmpy]} \]
\[ Cr_{\text{week3}}= (K*W_{3\text{loss}})/(A*T_{\text{week3}}*D) \]

"Weight difference for the third week of immersion"
\[ Wfour=14.56 \text{ [g]} \]
\[ W_{4\text{loss}}=W_R - Wfour \]
\[ T_{\text{week4}}=0.0768 \text{ [mmpy]} \]
\[ Cr_{\text{week4}}= (K*W_{4\text{loss}})/(A*T_{\text{week4}}*D) \]

"Corrosion rate after fourth week of immersion"
\[ Wfifth=14.50 \text{ [g]} \]
\[ W_{5\text{loss}}=W_R - Wfifth \]
\[ T_{\text{week5}}=0.096 \text{ [mmpy]} \]
\[ Cr_{\text{week5}}= (K*W_{5\text{loss}})/(A*T_{\text{week5}}*D) \]

"Weight difference after the fifth week of immersion"
\[ Wsix=14.41 \text{ [g]} \]
\[ W_{6\text{loss}}=W_R - Wsix \]
\[ T_{\text{week6}}=0.1152 \text{ [mmpy]} \]
\[ Cr_{\text{week6}}= (K*W_{6\text{loss}})/(A*T_{\text{week6}}*D) \]

"Corrosion rate after the sixth week of immersion"
\[ Wseventh=14.33 \text{ [g]} \]
\[ W_{7\text{loss}}=W_R - Wseventh \]
\[ T_{\text{week7}}=0.1344 \text{ [mmpy]} \]
\[ Cr_{\text{week7}}= (K*W_{7\text{loss}})/(A*T_{\text{week7}}*D) \]

"Weight loss after the seventh week of immersion"
\[ Weight=14.26 \text{ [g]} \]
\[ W_{8\text{loss}}=W_R - Weight \]

"Corrosion rate after eight week of immersion"
\[ T_{\text{week8}}=0.1536 \text{ [mmpy]} \]
\[ Cr_{\text{week8}}= (K*W_{8\text{loss}})/(A*T_{\text{week8}}*D) \]
"Determination of Area of the cylinder used, weight loss in grams and corrosion rate of carbon steel in FRESHWATER environment after immersion for two months"

\[ r=6 \text{ [mm]}; \, L=80 \text{ [mm]}; \, \pi=3.142 \]
\[ A=(2(\pi)r)L+(2(\pi)r^2) \]

"Weight difference for the first week"
\[ W_R=14.79 \text{ [g]}; \, W_{one}=14.77 \text{ [g]} \]
\[ W_{loss\_wk1}=W_R-W_{one} \]

"Corrosion rate after first week of immersion"
\[ T_{\text{week}1}=0.0192 \text{ [mmpy]}; \, K=87.6; \, D=7.89 \text{[g/mm}^3\text{]} \]
\[ C_{r\_week1}=\frac{(K\times W_{loss\_wk1})}{(A\times T_{\text{week}1}\times D)} \]

"Weight loss after the second week of immersion"
\[ W_{two}=14.74 \text{ [g]} \]
\[ W_{loss\_wk2}=W_R-W_{two} \]

"Corrosion rate after the second week of immersion"
\[ T_{\text{week}2}=0.0384 \text{ [mmpy]} \]
\[ C_{r\_week2}=\frac{(K\times W_{loss\_wk2})}{(A\times T_{\text{week}2}\times D)} \]

"Weight loss after the third week of immersion"
\[ W_{three}=14.70 \text{ [g]} \]
\[ W_{loss\_wk3}=W_R-W_{three} \]

"Corrosion rate after the third week of immersion"
\[ T_{\text{week}3}=0.0576 \text{ [mmpy]} \]
\[ C_{r\_week3}=\frac{(K\times W_{loss\_wk3})}{(A\times T_{\text{week}3}\times D)} \]

"Weight loss after the fourth of immersion"
\[ W_{fourth}=14.67 \text{ [g]} \]
\[ W_{loss\_wk4}=W_R-W_{fourth} \]

"Corrosion rate after the fourth week of immersion"
\[ T_{\text{week}4}=0.0768 \text{ [mmpy]} \]
\[ C_{r\_week4}=\frac{(K\times W_{loss\_wk4})}{(A\times T_{\text{week}4}\times D)} \]

"Weight loss after the fifth of immersion"
\[ W_{fifth}=14.64 \text{ [g]} \]
\[ W_{loss\_wk5}=W_R-W_{fifth} \]

"Corrosion rate after the fifth week of immersion"
\[ T_{\text{week}5}=0.096 \text{ [mmpy]} \]
\[ C_{r\_week5}=\frac{(K\times W_{loss\_wk5})}{(A\times T_{\text{week}5}\times D)} \]

"Weight loss after the sixth week of immersion"
\[ W_{six}=14.62 \text{ [g]} \]
\[ W_{loss\_wk6}=W_R-W_{six} \]

"Corrosion rate after the sixth week of immersion"
\[ T_{\text{week}6}=0.1152 \text{ [mmpy]} \]
\[ C_{r\_week6}=\frac{(K\times W_{loss\_wk6})}{(A\times T_{\text{week}6}\times D)} \]

"Weight loss after the seventh week of immersion"
\[ W_{seventh}=14.59 \text{ [g]} \]
\[ W_{loss\_wk7}=W_R-W_{seventh} \]

"Corrosion rate after the seventh week of immersion"
\[ T_{\text{week}7}=0.1344 \text{ [mmpy]} \]
\[ C_{r\_week7}=\frac{(K\times W_{loss\_wk7})}{(A\times T_{\text{week}7}\times D)} \]

"Weight loss after the eight week of immersion"
\[ W_{eight}=14.54 \text{ [g]} \]
\[ W_{loss\_wk8}=W_R-W_{eight} \]

"Corrosion rate after the eight week of immersion"