Since their discovery, the study of maternal mRNAs has led to the identification of mechanisms underlying their spatiotemporal regulation within the context of oogenesis and early embryogenesis. Following synthesis in the oocyte, maternal mRNAs are translationally silenced and sequestered into storage in cytoplasmic granules. At the same time, their unique distribution patterns throughout the oocyte and embryo are tightly controlled and connected to their functions in downstream embryonic processes. At certain points in oogenesis and early embryogenesis, maternal mRNAs are translationally activated to perform their functions in a timely manner. The cytoplasmic polyadenylation machinery is responsible for the translational activation of maternal mRNAs, and its role in initiating the maternal to zygotic transition events has recently come to light. Here, we summarize the current knowledge on maternal mRNA regulation, with particular focus on cytoplasmic polyadenylation as a mechanism for translational regulation.
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of transcription [5,6], thus developmental control is passed on from maternal components to that of zygotic ones (Fig. 1B). As it turned to be, Neyfakh’s ‘morphogenetic function of the nucleus’ consists of the zygotic genome activation (ZGA, i.e., expression of the genome of the embryo proper) and is a crucial part of the mid-blastula transition (MBT), which also includes the asynchronization of the cell cycle [7] and acquisition of cell motility [8,9]. In fish and frogs, the occurrence of MBT was shown to be dependent on the nuclear to cytoplasmic ratio which increases with each cell division, causing a titration of maternal suppressors which, at a critical point, allows the activation of zygotic transcription [8,10,11]. In parallel, some studies in insects and mammals led to the development of the maternal-to-zygotic transition (MZT) concept, which is equivalent to that of MBT in fish and amphibians. Later on, the concept of MZT was extended to other changes at the molecular as well as cellular level. These include the clearance of maternal mRNAs [12–18] and major epigenetic rearrangements [19–21]. The consequence of these events culminates in the initiation of gastrulation, during which cells migrate and form the three germ layers.

Despite an absence of transcriptional input from the embryonic genome up to the point of MBT/MZT, developmental processes dynamically progress throughout early embryogenesis. This is possible thanks to the maternally deposited factors, which include a large pool of maternal mRNAs. In order to ensure progression through different developmental milestones, the activity of maternal mRNAs is confined to a precise time and space. In this Review, we will describe how the maternal mRNAs are spatiotemporally organized in the oocyte and early embryo and how their activity is regulated during development.

The genesis of maternal mRNAs

Before we discuss the regulation of maternal mRNAs, it is necessary to understand their origin. The process of oogenesis in all model organisms studied includes two meiotic arrests. The first arrest occurs during prophase I, which is also known as the period of oocyte growth. During this phase, the oocyte accumulates maternal components required for subsequent maturation and embryonic development. In some organisms such as Drosophila, maternal mRNAs are transcribed by cells supporting the oocyte, called nurse cells [22]. These maternal RNAs are subsequently transferred to the oocyte by microtubules, cytoskeletal networks, and cytoplasmic streaming [23–25]. During the period of oocyte growth in vertebrates, maternal RNAs are transcribed by the oocyte proper. From this time onward, the oocyte undergoes dynamic changes which include its final maturation, activation, and the earliest steps of embryonic development following its fertilization. These dynamic changes depend on maternal mRNAs activated according to precise timetable to confer functions required.

To prevent premature activation of developmental program, maternal mRNAs transcribed during the oocyte growth undergo translational repression. Their poly(A) tails are shortened immediately after their export into the cytoplasm. Injecting a tPA RNA with a long poly(A) tail into an immature oocyte causes its deadenylation, suggesting that the RNA-silencing
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All these studies raised a number of important questions, which need to be answered to understand the role of maternal RNAs in more detail. Where do translationally silent maternal mRNAs reside in the egg? What keeps them sequestered from the pervasive translation or degradation machinery in the cytoplasm? And finally, what mechanism ensures precise spatial and temporal activation of maternal mRNAs?

Many maternal mRNAs are spatially localized in the oocyte and early embryos. In Drosophila, more than 70% of the early embryonic transcriptome were found to be subcellularly localized [34]. Localization of transcripts generally serves to confine their activity and function to the appropriate time and space. In parallel, it also facilitates interactions with important protein regulators. In Drosophila, localization of maternal mRNAs in the oocyte is known to be crucial to establish the first embryonic axis [35], while in germ cells, localization of oskar and nanos mRNAs to the posterior of the germ cell progenitor is necessary to select whether cell will become an oocyte or a nurse cell. As another example, the zebrafish mos mRNA is localized to the animal pole, where Zorba protein, required for its translational activation during oocyte maturation, is present [36]. During development, differential localization of transcripts into subcellular or subembryonic domains is especially relevant in order to confer asymmetry, which will in turn define embryonic patterning. In early Xenopus oocyte, xdzl and nanos1 are localized to the vegetal cortex; in later stage oocytes, vgl and veg are transported to vegetal cortex and subsequently inherited during cell cleavage by vegetal blastomeres. Similarly, many maternal mRNAs are localized in zebrafish oocytes. These include the homologs of previously mentioned Xenopus mRNAs such as dazl and dvr1 [37,38].

The localization of maternal mRNAs in the fertilized egg and early embryo is driven by several different mechanisms [39,40]. On fertilization in Xenopus, the maternal transcripts of xCr1, a coreceptor of the Nodal signaling, are translationally activated in selected cells while repressed in others. This results in the accumulation of xCR1 specifically in the animal and marginal cells and its absence in vegetal cells [41]. Localization of mRNAs in the early embryo can occur through cell division. In the early mouse embryo, the cell fate decision between cells of the inner cell mass (ICM) and trophectoderm occurs due to localization of Cdx2 mRNA at the apical side of blastomeres at the 8- to 16-cell stage and inherited by daughter cells upon division. Maternally inherited magoh transcripts encode a core component of the splicing-dependent multiprotein exon junction complex deposited at the pre-mRNA splice junctions. This complex includes several other maternal components (Rbm8A, Casc3, Eif4a3). As a component of exon junction complex, Magoh may influence downstream processes, including nuclear mRNA export, subcellular mRNA localization, translation efficiency, nonsense-mediated mRNA decay and through its interaction with Pym1 inhibits apoptosis by regulating splicing of BCL2L1/Bcl-X as well as some other apoptotic factors [42–44]. Magoh is known for its role in germ plasm assembly and germline development in Drosophila and zebrafish [38]. It is noteworthy that despite its uniform distribution in the 1- and 2-cell stage zebrafish embryo and during late embryogenesis, these transcripts become transiently enriched in the central four blastomeres during 8–16 cell stage [45]. This is of interest since these blastomeres contribute to the ectoderm giving rise to the neural tube and epidermis [46,47]. The functional implications of this phenomenon remain to be understood. All we know for now is that a deficiency of one of the members of the exon junction complex, EIF4A3, have been linked to craniofacial abnormalities with learning and language disabilities in human suggestive of defects in neural crest derivatives. In support of this role of EIF4A3, the zebrafish morphants show extensive apoptosis early on and craniofacial phenotype later [48]. It remains to be seen how specific is the morphant phenotype and whether an accumulation of magoh transcripts in blastomeres giving rise to ectodermal derivatives have any connection to physiological apoptosis in the developing neural tube [49].

In some cases, certain localization signals are known to be crucial for mRNA localization into appropriate subcellular or subembryonic domains. The evolutionary conserved Nodal signaling acts as a determinant of dorsal cell fate and body axis later on. Squint is one of the Nodal ligands in zebrafish. Along with the Drosophila oskar, Xenopus vegt, the zebrafish squint belongs to a group of the so-called ‘coding and non-coding’ RNAs (cncRNAs) acting as key developmental regulators in plants and animals [50]. At the 4-cell stage, the maternal mRNA of squint, a ligand of the Nodal signaling, was found to be localized to two blastomeres giving rise to dorsal cell fates [51].
localization is driven by a structural motif in the form of a hairpin loop at the 3′UTR of the *squint* transcript [52]. This motif is bound by the Ybx1 protein which prevents premature translation of *squint* [53]. Of note, prior to the 16-cell stage, *squint* is translationally repressed and is not polyadenylated. It undergoes cytoplasmic polyadenylation from the 16-cell stage, consistent with a cohort of maternal mRNAs previously described by our group [53–56]. It is still unclear how the *squint* mRNA is transported to the prospective dorsal blastomeres, although its localization depends on microtubules [51]. It is likely that *squint* transport follows a predominant mode of active translocation mediated by the cytoskeletal network of the cell. Interestingly, a similar structure motif was found in other components of the Nodal signaling pathway, which suggests common translational regulation of factors within the same pathway [37].

Microtubule-based transport is known to be involved in localization of dorsal determinants in oocytes and early embryos of amphibians and fishes. In the zebrafish, the mRNA and protein of the maternal factors Syntabulin (Sybu) and Wnt8a are initially localized at the vegetal pole of the oocyte (Fig. 2). On egg activation and fertilization, they are translocated toward the future embryonic dorsal, where they activate the canonical Wnt signaling and induce the body axis [58–60]. This transport was shown to be dependent on arrays of microtubules, which upon egg activation is organized at the vegetal pole of the egg in direction of the future embryonic dorsal side [61]. Grip2a, another maternal factor with similar dynamics to that of Sybu and Wnt8a, is responsible for the organization of microtubules at the vegetal pole of the activated egg, which represents the initial step in breaking the asymmetry before the long-range transport of these factors to the dorsal side [62]. The failure of microtubule rearrangement in grip2a mutants results in the failure of wnt8a mRNA translocation to the future embryonic dorsal and hence axis induction defects. Surprisingly, a more recently performed loss of function analysis of *wnt8a* by Hino and colleagues revealed that maternal Wnt8a is likely to be dispensable for the initial dorsal axis determination [63]; nevertheless, it cooperates with zygotically expressed Wnt8a in ventrolateral and posterior tissue formation. The same study also identified Wnt6a as an alternative candidate for dorsal determinant which showed similar expression pattern and localization as *wnt8a*. Among other maternal dorsal determinants located initially at the vegetal pole is Vrtn, whose activity could be suppressed by the putative vegetally localized antagonists. Vrtn binds the *bmp2b* regulatory sequence and represses its zygotic transcription [64].

**Storage of maternal mRNAs in cytoplasmic granules**

Translocated mRNAs are often present in RNP complexes with RNA-binding proteins, most of which serve to regulate mRNA processing and repress their translation [65]. These RNP complexes are actively transported along the cell’s cytoskeletal network in a directed fashion to their rightful subcellular localization. Once the complex reaches its destination, and upon appropriate biological cues, the RNP complex is remodeled and translational activation of these mRNAs ensues. These RNP complexes are often organized into membrane-less structures known as cytoplasmic granules.

In metazoan cells, structures known as cytoplasmic granules host specific molecular processes pertaining to the inhibition of mRNA translation or degradation [66–69]. These subcellular structures lack membrane and contain proteins involved in specific RNA metabolism function. The organization of maternal mRNAs into cytoplasmic granules would reasonably facilitate their translational suppression by common factors residing in the granules; at the same time, it would also facilitate collective transport to different subcellular domains and rapid response to developmental cues as the lack of membrane of these granules would allow turnover rate in the order of seconds [70]. These granules are indeed dynamic in nature—their formation depends on the steady-state supply of mRNAs subjected to the particular molecular process of the specific granule type. Interestingly, the types of granules present in a cell are regulated in a precise spatial and temporal manner during development as different combinations of granule types each of which perform distinct functions are present in different cell types, according to specific developmental stage [71].

One of the most common and well-characterized types of cytoplasmic granules are the processing bodies (P-bodies) known as sites of mRNA repression, decapping, and degradation. P-bodies contain a core set of decapping enzymes—DCP1 and DCP2, and other accessory proteins such as the DEAD-box RNA helicase (Dhh1). In the *Caenorhabditis elegans* oocyte, a subset of maternal mRNAs is bound to the homolog of Dhh1 (CGH-1). Binding of mRNA to CGH-1 causes its stabilization and repression and directs them to a distinct type of RNA granule [72,73]. Unlike P-bodies, these CGH-1 granules lack decapping activity and were found to contain only repressed maternal mRNAs and some interactors of CGH-1 such as the Ataxin2 and PABP homologs. These translational regulators are also found in stress granules—another type
of granules known to sequester and transiently suppress the translation of mRNAs during stress [72]. Therefore, in the *C. elegans* oocyte, maternal mRNA association with CGH-1 and translational regulators nucleates the formation of localized cytoplasmic foci in the form of cytoplasmic granule where translationally repressed maternal mRNAs are stabilized and sequestered.

During oogenesis in *Drosophila*, different types of germ granules exist at different stages and subcellular locations. These granules differ by mRNA composition. However, a single type of granule may differ in mRNA composition at different developmental stages. The germ granules are typically up to 500 nm large and contain core germ plasm proteins Oskar, Vasa, and Tudor as well as other proteins involved in RNA processing [69,74]. They are also sites of active translation containing large numbers of polysomes [75]. Sponge bodies are a type of granules found in the nurse cells and oocyte. It is identified by the presence of Exuperantia which is required for the localization of bicoid RNA to the anterior pole of the oocyte [25]. Sponge bodies exhibit dynamic movements between the nurse cells and oocyte and are known to contain different compositions of mRNAs in different subcellular locations, therefore suggesting dynamic exchange of their contents which is coupled to their transport [76]. The polar granule is another type of granule found in the late-stage oocytes. These granules contain materials transported from the nurse cells to the posterior part of the oocyte. Similar to sponge bodies and P-granules, the polar granules also contain the decapping enzyme Dcp1 and DEAD-box helicase Me31B [77], suggesting that these structures are closely related. In addition, they also contain Aubergine and Piwi involved in the piRNA pathway [78,79]. Piwi proteins and piRNAs are thought to protect the germ cells from the mutagenic activity of retrotransposons [80].

In the early *Drosophila* embryo, P-bodies are sites for translational control of maternal mRNAs required to establish the embryonic axis. By electron microscopy, P-bodies are identified as electron-dense structures of about 60–80 nm in diameter-lacking ribosomes and organized into distinct core and outer region [81]. The core contains the *Drosophila* homolog of the DEAD-box RNA Helicase Dhh1, Me31B. It contains repressed mRNAs and, therefore, may represent the site of translational repression. The outer region contains translational regulator...
proteins such as Sqd and Orb which are required for translational activation. Active mRNAs are anchored to the outer regions and translated there. Super-resolution microscopy revealed that mRNAs within the granules form structured, homotypic clusters of single mRNA species localized in a defined position, whereas proteins are evenly distributed throughout the granule [82]. Interestingly, the distribution of mRNA clusters within the granule itself does not seem to correlate with their translational activity or their protection from degradation. The homotypic organization of mRNAs into different subcompartments of the granule may allow storage and quick retrieval of specific mRNAs when needed. Such organization may also facilitate localized interactions between regulatory proteins and their target mRNA by providing high local concentrations of the target as well as the protein itself.

In mouse, P-bodies are found in early-stage oocytes arrested at prophase I, where they reside in perinuclear foci as well as at the cell cortex [83]. The P-bodies disappear during the period of oocyte growth, and some of their components including cytoplasmic polyadenylation element (CPE)-binding protein (CPEB) and DDX6 become localized to the subcortical aggregates (SCA), which contain maternal mRNAs. In contrast to the typical P-bodies, the SCA lack the decapping enzyme DCP1 implicated in mRNA degradation [84]. This may reflect the function of SCA in maternal mRNA storage and repression but not degradation. This is similar to the CGH-1 granules of *C. elegans* [72]. On oocyte maturation, the SCA disappear, presumably releasing associated maternal mRNAs from repression.

In *Xenopus* oocytes, maternal mRNAs are localized and translationally repressed in the mitochondrial cloud—an electron dense structure containing germinal granules and associated with dense array of mitochondria (Fig. 2). This structure can be found in stage I oocytes at the future vegetal cortex [85,86]. Within the mitochondrial cloud, silenced mRNAs are organized within or around the germinal granules which aggregate at the vegetal pole. The mitochondrial cloud delivers germinal granules and localizes maternal mRNAs to the vegetal cortex by the messenger transport organizer (METRO) pathway during stage I and II of oogenesis. This mechanism works through the entrapment of germ plasm mRNAs by the dense ER network of the mitochondrial cloud and subsequent expansion of the cloud toward the vegetal cortex [87,88].

A structure termed the Balbiani body analogous to the mitochondrial cloud could also be found in zebrafish [89]. This structure resembles the mitochondrial cloud in that it associates with mitochondria as well as germ granules and their associated maternal mRNAs (Fig. 2). The Balbiani body is also known to be involved in transporting and localizing maternal mRNAs to the oocyte vegetal cortex [90,91]. The formation of the Balbiani body is linked to orientation of the chromosomal bouquet, a specific organization assumed by the chromosomes in the nucleus at the onset of meiosis, in a microtubule-directed process [92]. Subsequent to its formation, the Balbiani body associates with the oocyte cortex and releases its mRNPs containing most of the dorsal determinants and germ plasm components, including *sybu* and *wnt8* discussed in previous section [38,91,93]. The establishment of the Balbiani body at the vegetal pole, therefore, determines the polarity of the oocyte, which is in turn necessary to establish the embryonic axis and specify the germ line [61,94,95]. To date, very few studies were performed on cytoplasmic granules in zebrafish embryogenesis or for that matter during the embryogenesis of vertebrates. However, it is known that the activation of zygotic transcription results in the formation of distinct types of nuclear granules including those involved in the processing of histone mRNAs and assembly of splicing machinery [96]. Given the nature of cytoplasmic bodies in other systems known to be dependent on the presence of mRNA itself, it is plausible that the activation of zygotic transcription represents a trigger for the formation of such granules.

The timely activation of maternal mRNAs at developmental milestones

Up to the point of ZGA, the dynamic processes of oogenesis and embryogenesis rely solely on maternal mRNAs. The absence of transcriptional input from the zygotic genome necessitates regulation of maternal RNAs at the post-transcriptional level in order to activate different subsets of mRNAs with precise developmental timing. Over the last two decades, several studies have identified translational control by cytoplasmic polyadenylation as one of the mechanisms to regulate the timely activation of maternal mRNAs during the development prior to MZT [28,33,97–105]. In the mouse, *Xenopus*, and zebrafish, maternal mRNAs encoding key drivers of oogenesis and early embryonic development are deadenylated right after their synthesis during oocyte growth and stored to be reactivated at appropriate developmental milestones (Fig. 3). These deposited maternal mRNAs have a short poly(A) tail and are translationally inactive. A portion of the stored maternal mRNAs becomes dramatically adenylated at specific stages of oogenesis as well as upon fertilization, which correlates well with their recruitment into polysomes and translation
initiation [29,30,56,106-108]. In Xenopus oocytes, the poly(A) tail could increase by as much as twofold or more, resulting in a corresponding increase of translation efficiency [29,30,108].

The initiation of oocyte maturation represents the first point of activation of stored maternal mRNAs. In most vertebrates, upon ovulation, meiosis is reinitiated and arrested a second time in metaphase II [109], whereas in Drosophila, meiotic arrest takes place in metaphase I [110]. The point of meiotic release from prophase I is known as oocyte maturation which occurs upon stimulation by hormones—gonadotropin in mouse [73] and progesterone in Xenopus and zebrafish [111,112] or exposure to a particular signal released by sperm in C. elegans [113,114]. During its maturation, the oocyte undergoes two consecutive M-phases without an intervening S-phase. As a result of this maturation, the oocyte can be fertilized, upon which the completion of meiosis ensues and embryonic development initiates. One of the earliest transcripts to be translationally activated upon oocyte maturation is c-Mos kinase [115]. The activity of this kinase is crucial to initiate a subsequent series of cytoplasmic polyadenylation and translation of other mRNAs’ encoding factors essential for oocyte maturation such as Cyclin B1 [116-119]. Loss of function of these factors, overexpression, or prevention of their translational activation was shown to prevent oocyte maturation, indicating that their timely activation is essential [119,120]. In the zebrafish and mouse oocytes, cyclin B1 mRNAs are repressed and stored in cytoplasmic RNA granules which disassemble during oocyte maturation. The formation of these granules is required for the translational repression, while their disassembly coincides with translational activation of cyclin B1 mRNAs. Disruption of the granules results in premature translation of Cyclin B1, which negatively affects oocyte maturation [121].

The second event of maternal mRNA activation occurs during embryonic development, which in some species is triggered by fertilization. In zebrafish, a large cohort of maternal mRNA was found to undergo cytoplasmic polyadenylation prior to the MBT [54]. This process was shown to correlate with the increase in mRNA association with polysomes. It is essential for developmental progression past MBT/MZT [56].

The molecular machinery of cytoplasmic polyadenylation

Polyadenylation of eukaryotic mRNAs is an inherent part of gene expression. It involves the addition of multiple adenine bases to the 3' end of the mature mRNA. Polyadenylation of mRNA is tightly linked to its stability and translatability. All mRNAs undergo nuclear polyadenylation following their transcription and maturation [122,123]. This process is dictated by a hexamer motif at the 3'UTR of the mRNA known as

![Fig. 3. Different waves of maternal mRNA activation throughout oogenesis and early embryogenesis mediated by cytoplasmic polyadenylation. Maternal mRNAs are synthesized throughout the period of oocyte growth and stored in a dormant state. The first wave of activation is stimulated by GH or progesterone, resulting in phosphorylation of CPEB1 by Aurora kinase and the cytoplasmic polyadenylation of several maternal factors required for oocyte maturation. Another late wave is in turn mediated by CPEB4 whose translation was activated during the early wave and is phosphorylated by ERK2 and Cdk1 kinases. Following fertilization, another wave of cytoplasmic polyadenylation occurs on thousands of maternal transcripts. This later wave is required for the embryo to undergo a proper process of MZT. However, the exact molecular mechanism regulating this third wave of cytoplasmic polyadenylation has not yet been worked out.](image-url)
the nuclear polyadenylation sequence (AAUAAA) [30]. While some mRNAs are translated upon translation to the cytoplasm, others are deadenylated upon reaching cytoplasm and stored to be translated at a later stage [26]. The latter group of mRNA possesses an additional signal in their 3’UTR known as the CPE, a sequence signal at the 3’-UTR of mRNA molecules, which determines whether the mRNA should undergo such translational regulation [124,125]. This mode of translational regulation is known as cytoplasmic polyadenylation.

The molecular machinery of cytoplasmic polyadenylation is probably best characterized within the context of oocyte maturation and early embryogenesis in *Xenopus* [106,107,126]. The CPEB represents a family of RNA-binding proteins. They bind CPE to regulate translation by nucleating the formation of cytoplasmic polyadenylation complex as well as translational enhancing and repressing complexes [124,127,128]. All CPEB proteins contain a highly conserved C-terminal, which consists of two RNA recognition modules responsible for binding target RNAs and a less conserved N-terminal domain. The latter contains phosphorylation domains and protein–protein interaction domains presumed to be functionally important [129–132]. In the vertebrates, the two classes of CPEBs are represented by CPEB1 and CPEB2-4 groups [127]. The latter group is found to be expressed abundantly in the central nervous system. It is known to function in synaptic plasticity and long-term memory formation [133–135]. CPEB1 and CPEB4 play a role in oogenesis and are activated through different mechanisms. To be activated, CPEB1 is phosphorylated by the Aurora kinase A (Aurka), whereas CPEB4 by the ERK2 and Cdk1 kinases [136]. CPEBs perform a dual role in translational regulation: in their native unphosphorylated form, they repress cap-dependent translation; in their phosphorylated active form, they act on the translation of mRNAs by default have long poly(A) tails. When the mRNAs are exported to the cytoplasm, CPEB nucleates the formation of a repressive complex, which includes the Gld2 poly(A) polymerase and PARN poly(A) ribonuclease. As PARN is more active, the concurrent activity of these two proteins results in the net shortening of poly(A) tail. On appropriate biological stimuli, PARN is expelled from the complex, resulting in elongation of the poly(A) tail due to Gld2 activity [128]. The long poly(A) tail is subsequently recognized by the poly(A)-binding protein (PABP), which recruits the translation initiation complex and initiates mRNA translation [137].

**Cytoplasmic polyadenylation mechanism in oogenesis and early embryonic development**

In the *Xenopus* oocyte, a heterodimeric complex of CDC2 and Cyclin B, known as the maturation-promoting factor (MPF) induces the initial entry into metaphase I from prophase I [116]. In oocytes arrested at prophase I, this complex is initially inactive and requires CDC25 for its activation. Progesterone stimulation results in the phosphorylation of Cpeb1 by Aurka (Fig. 3), resulting in the earliest wave of cytoplasmic polyadenylation of mRNAs encoding the components required for activation as well as the assembly of the MPF [125]. Importantly, this early wave of polyadenylation also results in the translation of Cpeb4 which is responsible for a second late wave of polyadenylation during the second meiotic division (Fig. 3). Cpeb4 is activated through a distinct mechanism. ERK2 and Cdk1 phosphorylate Cpeb4 at its N-terminal domain which contains an intrinsically disordered region. This hyperphosphorylation maintains Cpeb4 in a monomeric active state required for cytoplasmic polyadenylation [136]. Otherwise, Cpeb4 forms liquid-like droplets by means of its N-terminal region, sequestering mRNAs and suppressing their translation.

Besides Cpeb1 which is implicated in oocyte maturation, an additional small CPEB-like RNA-binding protein (ElrA) is present at the earliest steps of embryonic development in *Xenopus*. ElrA recognizes the embryonic-type CPEs present in maternal mRNAs regulated during embryogenesis [106,126,138]. It is a member of the ELAV family of RNA-binding proteins implicated in regulating mRNA stability, translation, and transport in *Drosophila* and mammalian neurons [139]. The zebrafish homolog of ElrA, *elavl1*, was shown to be under translational regulation through cytoplasmic polyadenylation during pre-MBT stages [140]. Moreover, the translation of *elavl1* appeared to be regulated by Zorba, the zebrafish homolog of CPEB1 [141].

Previous research from our group revealed that at least 3000 of maternal transcripts undergo progressive increase in poly(A) tail prior to MBT [54]. Subsequently, Subtelny and colleagues [108] showed the correlation between poly(A) tail elongation and translation efficiency of maternal mRNAs in several organisms, including the zebrafish. A unifying observation from these studies is that embryonic cytoplasmic polyadenylation seems to occur almost exclusively during the period of transcriptional quiescence [54,108].
Inhibition of this process by genetic mutations or chemical treatment of embryos with 3’ deoxyadenosine (3’dA) has been shown to result in the failure of passing through the MBT milestone in zebrafish [54,56] and MZT in other organisms [97,100]. The transcripts of at least three different CPEBs—cpeb1/zorba, cpeb4a, and cpeb4b, were maternally supplied [54,56]. In addition, elavl1 is also present at this stage [138]. Each of these factors show distinct expression dynamics [54,56,138], which suggests their sequential roles in regulating cytoplasmic polyadenylation and translational activation of maternal mRNAs in a timely manner. Zorba transcripts are initially present at high level from egg stage and are gradually degraded as development proceeds, in agreement with its known role in oocyte maturation in Xenopus. The degradation of zorba coincided with the gradual increase in cytoplasmic polyadenylation of cpeb4a, cpeb4b, and elavl1 (in agreement with [140]). While the level of elavl1 continues to increase post-MBT, cpeb4 levels immediately fall following its peak expression at MBT. Moreover, in agreement with their known roles in later developmental processes [133–135], cpeb2 and cpeb3 were undetectable during the pre-MBT stages, with cpeb2 starting to be expressed only after MBT [54,56]. The sequential expression patterns of the different CPEBs coincide with the different developmental milestones and thus suggest distinct targets and function at different stages of development.

**Mechanistic insights from studies of cytoplasmic polyadenylation and CPEB beyond embryogenesis**

Besides its role in oogenesis and embryogenesis pre-MBT/MZT, cytoplasmic polyadenylation also plays an important role in later stages of life. The best characterized examples of cytoplasmic polyadenylation in somatic cells are known to occur in the central nervous system [133–135], in synaptic junctions as part of a mechanism for the establishment of long-term memory. It is known that memory formation is dependent on protein synthesis for the duration of the training stimuli [142–144]. CPEB plays a role in synaptic plasticity and neuronal morphology and hence determines learning and long-term memory [145–148]. In the neurons, CPEB is localized in RNP granules distributed along the dendritic arbor and localized in the vicinity of synapses [128,149]. The CPEB regulation of localized translation in the synapses has been observed in the sea slug *Aplysia* [150]. Several key evidences support this idea as disruption of CPEB function results in abnormalities in various aspects of neuronal development and function [133,151,152].

Although the role of CPEB characterized so far is predominantly within the cytoplasmic domain, CPEBs also have a prominent role in the nucleus. CPEB1 proteins were observed to be shuttling between the cytoplasm and nucleus in cultured cells as well as in Xenopus embryos [153–155]. It is well established that post-transcriptional mRNA regulation usually starts even before cytoplasmic import, where RNA-binding proteins regulating translation shuttle between nucleus and cytoplasm. They bind nuclear mRNAs and regulation of translation can occur once they are exported to the cytoplasm. In this sense, the behavior of CPEB1 is in agreement with this concept. In the nucleus of HeLa cells, CPEB1 is associated with nucleolar bodies containing the nuclear export protein Crm1. In the *Xenopus* oocytes, CPEB1 associates with lampbrush chromosomes. Interestingly, this association is RNA-sensitive, showing that CPEB1 binds to nascent-transcribed mRNAs known to associate with proteins involved in nuclear RNA processing [154]. These observations suggest that CPEB1 may play a role in processing of RNAs and translational control. However, despite this knowledge, the exact role of CPEB1 in the nucleus was not known until relatively recently. Bava and colleagues [156] observed that CPEB1 in the nucleus colocalizes with splicing factors. Here, CPEB1 promotes the formation of alternative 3’UTRs of mRNAs: its binding to target mRNAs promotes the usage of a more proximally located alternative polyadenylation site (PAS), resulting in a shorter 3’UTR. In this scenario, CPEB1 recruits the cleavage and polyadenylation specificity factor to a more upstream and weaker PAS. Under normal circumstances, the stronger downstream PAS would be used, thus resulting in a transcript with longer 3’UTR. It is thought that having a shorter 3’UTR would subject the transcript to less translational regulation, as it would contain less regulatory elements. Hence, the presence of CPEB1 would result in more efficient translation of target transcripts. An example of this mechanism could be observed in the liver, where CPEB1 mediates the processing of the 3’UTRs of VEGF and CPEB4 mRNAs. This results in a shorter isoform of both mRNA species lacking translational repression and mRNA destabilizing elements, which results in the overall increase in their translation [157]. The consequent increase in CPEB4, in turn, leads to the increase in cytoplasmic polyadenylation of the VEGF mRNA, which stimulates VEGF translation further. In the human liver, CPEB1 and CPEB4 are expressed uniformly at low levels. In patients with
cirrhotic liver, however, their expression is strongly increased particularly in hepatocytes at the regenerative foci [157]. In addition, CPEBs and VEGF expression is also elevated in the endothelium of new vessels generated in fibrotic areas, which is thought to stimulate endothelial cell recruitment and proliferation necessary for angiogenesis. The loss of function of CPEBs was found to limit the pathologic progression of VEGF-mediated angiogenesis without compromising physiological function of VEGF, which could thus be explored further as a potential therapeutic target to prevent disease progression.

**Extending the morphogenetic function**

Besides cytoplasmic polyadenylation, many other means of translational regulation which are not covered in this Review have been characterized in oogenesis and embryogenesis [158]. In case of the latter, most of the forms known are suppressive in nature, the end result of which is often the instability of the transcript and its degradation [158–160]. One mechanism which recently came to light is codon optimality [161] which has been demonstrated to regulate maternal mRNA clearance in the zebrafish [162,163]. With the advent of large-scale analysis of genomes and transcriptomes brought about by high-throughput next generation sequencing (NGS) technologies, particularly those which enables the profiling of global translation [164,165], it is reasonable to expect that more and more of such mechanisms will come to light in the near future, which will enable us to gain a more comprehensive understanding of maternal RNA biology. By extension, the routine comparison of large numbers of maternal and zygotic transcripts at the genomic level has driven rapid progress in understanding of the molecular mechanisms of the MBT which had been discovered by Neyfakh in 1950s. Hence, his papers were rediscovered by a new generation of developmental biologists [54,56,166]. And yet, there was a second part of the MBT story that for now remains hidden in the libraries. One intriguing continuation of the studies on ‘morphogenetic’ function of nuclei (defined as the ability to support embryonic development) has been the ‘vitogenetic’ function (defined as the ability of irradiated embryos to survive without developmental progress [2]. In continuation of the ‘vitogenetic’ function story, it was found that the microinjection of a fraction of small nuclear RNA (snRNA) known for their role in pre-mRNA splicing [167], but not any other RNA extracted during mid-gastrula embryos into irradiated 1–2 cell stage loach embryos led to a significant increase of zygotic transcription as well as noticeable extension of the period of their survival. In this case, the zygotic transcription has been detected by cultivating the isolated blastoderms at specific stages (7 hpf, note that at optimal temperature, the loach develops approximately twice slower compared to zebrafish) with radioactively labeled precursors of DNA, RNA, and protein for 1 h and measuring radioactivity of the trichloroacetic acid filter precipitate in the liquid scintillation counter. The relative increase of transcription as defined by incorporation of tritiated uridine was higher comparing to replication and protein synthesis as defined by incorporation of labeled thymidine and leucine, correspondingly [168–170]. In other words, an excess of snRNA seems to cause a prolongation of the ‘vitogenetic’ function [171]. What could be the reason for this?

It has been shown that, upon fertilization, the *Xenopus* egg contains a certain amount of snRNA U1, sufficient for 4000–8000 nuclei, that is, roughly corresponding to the number of cells at the MBT. In addition, when zygotic transcription is activated at the twelfth cleavage (4000-cell stage), RNA polymerase II generates mainly snRNAs. From the twelfth cleavage to gastrulation, U1 RNA increases sevenfold in parallel with increase of cell number. This level of snRNA transcription is much above that present in somatic cells. This suggests much higher U1 transcription or a greater number of active U1 genes in the embryo, suggesting the critical developmental role of snRNA transcripts. Interestingly, microinjection of U1 snRNAs into the cytoplasm of a mature *Xenopus* oocyte triggers the transport of snRNA-binding proteins into the nucleus (germinal vesicle, GV) [172]. In the sea urchin, the maternal U1 RNA and U1-specific ribonucleoproteins (snRNP) reside in the GV. On oocyte maturation, they relocate to the cytoplasm where they are maintained during early cleavage and U1 RNA appears in the nuclei of micromeres at the 16-cell stage. In contrast, the zygotic U1 transcripts are confined to nuclei, while the maternal ones remain cytoplasmic. Being released to the cytoplasm at oocyte maturation, these RNPs (or RNAs) may have been structurally altered [173]. Intriguingly, the snRNA themselves are a subject of cytoplasmic polyadenylation [174,175]. It remains to be seen whether the 1988 experimentally induced elongation of the ‘vitogenetic’ function could be due to a strictly limited mass of maternal snRNA or its structural modification involving cytoplasmic polyadenylation. Whatever the answer, it seems that there are multiple fractions of maternal RNAs whose activation during development involves cytoplasmic polyadenylation.
The understanding of translational regulation mechanism extends beyond early embryonic development and could be extrapolated to different biological aspects. With the development of high-throughput sequencing techniques, it is now possible to move beyond single-gene studies and obtain a global view of translation which has often been limited by technical challenges associated with protein analyses. Incorporation of the increasing number of such datasets into the established knowledge of the principles of early embryonic development would be the next step to establish a more comprehensive understanding of translational regulation of maternal mRNAs. One caveat, however, is that this methodology is relatively easy to use for the analysis of transcripts within the temporal aspect, whereas it remained more challenging to use this methodology to resolve developmental processes in space, taking place in 3D environment of oocytes and embryos. This situation changes for the better with the introduction of single-cell transcriptome analysis. In the zebrafish field, the feasibility of this technique have recently been demonstrated to construct the spatial map of the early zebrafish gastrula based on the expression profiles of individual cells [176] and for lineage tracing of individual cells in the early zebrafish embryo in combination with CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing [177]. It is foreseeable in the future that the application of single-cell-based techniques, in combination with whole embryo analysis, will open up vast possibilities to study and unravel novel aspects of the regulation of maternal mRNAs in the spatial context.
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