Interventional Treatment of a Patient with Acute Coronary Syndrome and Multivessel Coronary Artery Disease Who Refuses Cardiac Bypass Surgery

Juergen Kammler*, Hermann Blessberger, Klaus Kerschner, Alexander Kypta, Thomas Lambert and Clemens Steinwender

Medical Department- Cardiology, Linz General Hospital, Johannes Kepler University School of Medicine, Linz, Austria

Received: January 01, 2014; Accepted: June 23, 2014, Published: June 25, 2014

*Corresponding author: Juergen Kammler, Medical Department- Cardiology, Linz General Hospital, Johannes Kepler University School of Medicine, Linz, Austria, Tel: +43 732 7806 73213; Fax: +43 732 7806 6205; E-mail: juergen.kammler@akh.linz.at

Abstract
We report a case of an 82 year old female patient suffering from an acute coronary syndrome with angina pectoris at rest accompanied by electrocardiographic signs of ischemia.

After the patient was stabilized, she consecutively underwent coronary angiography. The examination revealed a three vessel disease involving the left main stem. Surgical revascularization by coronary artery bypass grafting was recommended. As the patient explicitly refused an operation but accepted a high risk left main stem trifurcation intervention, aggravated by an occluded right coronary artery, we performed this intervention successfully during a second procedure.

This case shows, that high risk interventions are feasible if performed by a skilled cardiologist and an experienced staff at a high volume interventional catheterization center.

Keywords: Acute coronary syndrome; Left main stem; Coronary intervention; Trifurcation lesion.

Introduction
Revascularization in patients suffering from acute coronary syndromes (ACS) leads to a reduction in mortality. This holds true for percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) as well as for coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) [1, 2]. CABG is still regarded as the standard of care for significant left main (LM) disease in patients eligible for surgery (indication level I, level of evidence A), whereas the 2008 ESC guidelines on PCI state that stenting for unprotected LM disease should only be considered in the absence of other revascularization options (II B) [3,4]. However, in daily clinical practice patients are often reluctant to undergo the recommended CABG and ask for alternative therapy strategies like PCI. We report a challenging interventional case performed in a patient with ACS and coronary multivessel disease involving the LM who unambiguously refused CABG and subsequently underwent complex PCI.

Case Report
We report the case of an 82 year old female patient suffering from typical angina pectoris CCS class II since 6 months.

At the day of admission she reported severe thoracic discomfort lasting for 6 hours. The patient’s ECG (Figure 1) at admission showed ischemic ST-depression in the anteroseptal leads with a slight ST-segment elevation in the lead AVR. The patient was on 100mg aspirin once daily, a statin and a beta-blocker (metoprolol succinate). Upon admission, a 600mg clopidogrel loading dose and benzodiazepines were given orally, and morphine hydrochloride and nitrates were administered parenterally resulting in clinical stabilization within 30 minutes. The patient’s physical examination was unremarkable. The patient’s history revealed coronary artery disease with bare-metal stent implantation of the proximal Left Anterior Descending Coronary Artery (LAD) eight years ago and hypercholesterolemia on treatment as relevant cardiovascular risk factors. Furthermore, strumectomy of a retrosternal struma nodosa with sternotomy had been performed twelve years ago.

Transthoracic echocardiography showed a moderately reduced left ventricular systolic function (ejection fraction 48% as calculated by the biplane Simpson’s method) with an akinesia of the inferior wall.

Lab examinations showed elevated troponin T values (0.335 ng/ml-upper limit of normal: 0.014 ng/ml) and hypercholesterolemia (230 mg/dl- upper limit of normal: 200 mg/dl), LDL- hypercholesterolemia (143 mg/dl- desired values in coronary artery disease below 70 mg/dl). Red blood cell and platelet counts as well as the renal function were normal. The patient underwent coronary angiography which revealed a severe multivessel disease with a chronic occluded Right Coronary Artery (RCA) and a subtotal stenosis of the distal Left Anterior Descending Coronary Artery (LAD) requiring a complex PCI.
Main (LM) involving the origin of the LAD, left circumflex (LCX) and a large ramus intermedia (RI) in terms of a trifurcational lesion [Moving image 1].

No in-stent restinosis in the proximal LAD but a non-significant luminal narrowing in the mid section of the vessel was found. The LCX showed an additional significant stenosis in its mid-section (Figure 2). We recommended surgical revascularization by CABG, as indicated in current guidelines [3,4].

As the patient explicitly refused an operation but accepted a high risk intervention, we scheduled her for a complex coronary intervention the next day. As the small RCA was chronically occluded, we decided not to try to re-open the vessel, but planned to intervene the distal LM trifurcation stenosis. Using a
right femoral access, a 7 French 4.0 guiding catheter (Launcher® Coronary Guide Catheter; Medtronic, MN, USA) was positioned in the ostium of the left coronary artery. 5,000 IU of heparin were administered intravenously before the intervention started.

Then three Run through® guide wires (Terumo; Leuven, Belgium) were positioned in the LAD, LCX and RI.

**First step: Ostial stenosis of the LAD/ RI**

Sequential balloon dilatations of the distal LM into the LAD and the origin of the RI were performed, respectively, followed by stent implantations of the LAD and distal LM (3.0x22mm) and RI (2.75x12mm) in mini-crush technique (all stents used: Resolute Integrity® zotarolimus eluting stents; Medtronic; MN, USA, with implantation pressures up to 16 atmospheres) [5,6]. As a TIMI III flow was assessed in both vessels after stent implantation, no final kissing-balloon dilatation was performed.

**Second step: Ostial stenosis and stenosis of the mid-section of the LCX**

[Moving image 2]: A kissing-balloon dilatation of the LM/LAD and LCX was performed. As the result was not ideal, two stents were implanted. One in the mid-section (2.25x12mm) of the LCX and another one at the origin of LCX (2.25x8mm) in T-stenting technique [7] with the implanted LM/LAD stent (Figure 3). A final kissing-balloon dilatation led to a discrete dissection of the mid-section of the LM.

**Third step: Treating the LM dissection**

[Moving image 3]: To seal the dissection, a 3.5x15mm stent was implanted into the LM, slightly overlapping with the stent in the distal LM/LAD.

**Fourth step: Peri-interventional emergency**

However, this stent implantation resulted in an occlusion of the RI (Figure 4) with signs of ischemia on the ECG and symptoms of angina. Because of suspected thrombi at the stented parts of the LAD and the RI, a weight-adapted bolus of abciximab was administered intravenously (0.25mg/kg= 8.6ml).

Afterwards, the RI was rewired (Pilot 50®; Abbott Vascular, ILL, USA). A balloon dilatation of the ostium of the RI using a 2.75mm non-compliant balloon (Beo®; SIS Medical, Switzerland), followed by a kissing-balloon dilatation of the LAD and RI (3.0 mm/2.75 mm balloon), led to a restoration of TIMI III flow in all three vessels (Moving image 5), accompanied by normalization of the ECG (Figure 5) and disappearance of clinical symptoms.

After the intervention, the patient did well and could be discharged on the second day after the procedure.

She is now on dual antithrombotic medication comprising acetylsalicylic acid (100 mg/day) and clopidogrel (75mg/day), a beta-blocker (metaprolol succinate 95 mg/day) and an AT I-antagonist (candesartan hydrochlorothiazide 16/12.5 mg/day) and fluvastatin 40 mg/day.
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From the landmark trial SYNTAX which showed that patients had lower event rates after CABG than patients treated with PCI. The higher event rate in the PCI group was mainly caused by the higher rate of re-interventions compared to the CABG group during follow-up. Patients with CABG had higher rates of cerebrovascular events, especially in the first year after surgery [8]. However, two important points have to be made here: First, the SYNTAX trial used a first-generation drug-eluting stent (DES; TAXUS®; Boston Scientific, MN, USA) with a known higher rate of in-stent restenosis compared to newer stent generations which might have led to much better results in patients treated with PCI [9,10]. Second, events like re-interventions and cerebrovascular events were subsumed as a combined endpoint which made no further quantitative or qualitative differentiation. In our opinion, these differences are relevant for the patients treated (who might accept an interventional re-intervention but want to avoid a cerebrovascular event). Guidelines and recommendations are important for clinical physicians in everyday practice. Nevertheless, the patients’ decisions have to be respected too. If patients want to be treated in a way different to guideline recommendations, we have to accept their choice. In our specific case, the patient refused a surgical intervention despite being a class I indication and preferred PCI instead which is only classified as II B. As the armamentarium in the cath labs today is continuously getting better as stent designs and catheter materials are steadily improved and there is more routine in performing complex PCIs nowadays, we think that a decision like ours in this very case is reasonable and proved to be safe. Nevertheless, we have to stress the fact that skilled interventional cardiologists and experienced staff are essential to perform such high risk interventions.

Conclusion

In conclusion, this case highlights 3 important points:

1. High risk interventions are feasible if they are performed by skilled cardiologists and an experienced staff at a high volume interventional catheterization center.
2. Even in cases where a surgical approach is clearly recommended, percutaneous intervention is a possible and an effective solution for patients refusing for an operation. However, an appropriate patient education regarding the potential risks and the importance of adherence to the post-interventional medical therapy is crucial.
3. Intravascular imaging was not available at the time of intervention due to technical reasons. However, this case shows that even complex coronary interventions can be performed without additional imaging modalities such as optical coherence tomography or intravascular ultrasound by a skilled interventional cardiologist. Good angiographical results can be achieved by high pressure stent implantation and subsequent kissing-balloon dilatation.
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