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Abstract

Harmonized tools and approaches for data collection can help to detect similarities and differences within and between countries and support the development, implementation, and assessment of effective and consistent preventive strategies. We developed open source occupational questionnaires on COVID-19 within COVID-19 working groups in the OMEGA-NET COST action (Network on the Coordination and Harmonisation of European Occupational Cohorts, omeganetcohorts.eu), and the EU funded EPHOR project (Exposome project for health and occupational research, ephor-project.eu). We defined domains to be included in order to cover key working life aspects of the COVID-19 pandemic. Where possible, we selected questionnaire items and instruments from existing questionnaire resources. Both a general occupational COVID-19 questionnaire and a specific occupational COVID-19 questionnaire are available. The general occupational COVID-19 questionnaire covers key working life aspects of the COVID-19 pandemic, including the domains: COVID-19 diagnosis and prevention, Health and demographics, Use of personal protective equipment and face covering, Health effects, Work-related effects (e.g. change in work schedule and work–life balance), Financial effects, Work-based risk factors (e.g. physical distancing, contact with COVID-19-infected persons), Psychosocial risk factors, Lifestyle risk factors, and Personal evaluation of the impact of COVID-19. For each domain, additional questions are available. The specific occupational COVID-19
questionnaire focusses on occupational risk factors and mitigating factors for SARS-CoV2 infection and COVID-19 disease and includes questions about the type of job, amount of home working, social distancing, human contact (colleagues, patients, and members of the public), commuting, and use of personal protective equipment and face coverings. The strength of this initiative is the broad working life approach to various important issues related to SARS-CoV-2 infection, COVID-19 disease, and potentially future pandemics. It requires further work to validate the questionnaires, and we welcome collaboration with researchers willing to do this. A limitation is the moderate number of questions for each of the domains in the general questionnaire. Only few questions on general core information like ethnicity, demographics, lifestyle factors, and general health status are included, but the OMEGA-NET questionnaires can be integrated in existing questionnaires about sociodemographic and health-related aspects. The questionnaires are freely accessible from the OMEGA-NET and the EPHOR homepages.
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**Background**

More than 2 years after the SARS-CoV-2 virus was identified in Wuhan, China, the pandemic still has an immense global health and economic impact on society. By 20 April 2022, there have been an estimated 506 000 000 confirmed cases of COVID-19, and over 6 000 000 deaths (https://covid19.who.int/). The pandemic has initiated a wealth of research on COVID-19 and working life. Examples are research on occupational risk factors for SARS-CoV-2 infection (Beale et al., 2022; Lan et al., 2020; Magnusson et al., 2020; Pearce et al., 2021; Verbeeck et al., 2021), occupational risk factors for COVID-19 disease and mortality (Mutambudzi et al., 2020; Nafilyan et al., 2021; Pearce et al., 2021; Senia et al., 2022), mitigating factors for risks associated with the SARS-CoV-2 virus (Iavicoli et al., 2021; Nelson et al., 2021), and long-term effects of COVID-19 infection often named long-COVID (Pauwels et al., 2021; Rayner and Campbell, 2021). Mental health issues related to being an essential worker during the COVID-19 pandemic has also been studied as reviewed in Froessl and Abdeen (2021).

The majority of occupational research has been conducted among healthcare workers. Despite a strong preventive effort beyond the early stages of the pandemic, healthcare workers still face an increased risk for SARS-CoV-2 infections (Würtz et al., 2022), although studies indicate a decreased risk in second and third wave compared with first wave (Magnusson et al., 2020; Mylle et al., 2021). For other occupations, the knowledge base is still rather limited, and there is an urgent need for occupational COVID-19-related research in order to secure effective preventive measures on the labour market for healthcare workers, other essential workers, and non-essential workers, in particular those who encounter general public contact for the current and future pandemics.

Current research on working life and COVID-19 uses a diversity in approaches, tools, and questionnaires, which makes it difficult to compare data and study results. Moreover, the majority of research has been performed on a national level, despite an urgent need for a global view in order to better understand the nature of the pandemic and the importance of occupational factors. Furthermore, when recording occupational diseases in order to develop preventive measures at the workplace, harmonization of epidemiological surveillance systems and case definitions is of major importance (Van der Molen et al., 2020).

**Open source COVID-19 questionnaires**

We aimed to develop open source questionnaires on working life and COVID-19, in order to facilitate the use of harmonized tools and approaches for data collection. This would allow researchers to detect similarities and differences within and between regions and countries. It might facilitate global research on risk and mitigating factors and the impact of adapted preventive measures during the different phases of the pandemic. Furthermore, harmonization of questions is key in order to harmonize and compare epidemiological surveillance systems and case definitions across countries.

The initiative was conducted within COVID-19 working groups in the OMEGA-NET COST action (Network on the Coordination and Harmonisation of European Occupational Cohorts, Omega—OMEGA-NET (omeganetcohorts.eu) (Turner and Mehlum, 2018), and the EU funded EPHOR project (Exposome project for health and occupational research, EPHOR—EPHOR Project (ephor-project.eu) (Pronk et al., 2022).

Initially, we defined domains to be included in order to cover key working life aspects of the COVID-19 pandemic. The domains were selected after two working group meetings in spring and summer 2020
and subsequent discussions. We also screened available tools and questionnaires when the work was initiated in spring 2020, e.g. the repository of COVID tools at the NIOSH Institute of Health (https://www.cdc.gov/niosh/emres/2019_ncov_default.html), and the UK Household Longitudinal Survey Mainstage Questionnaires (https://www.understandingsociety.ac.uk/sites/default/files/downloads/documentation/mainstage/questionnaire/wave-9/W9-questionnaire-consultation.pdf) and (https://www.understandingsociety.ac.uk/sites/default/files/downloads/documentation/covid-19/questionnaires/wave-1/W1-covid-19-questionnaire.pdf), and the COVID Stress Scales (Taylor et al., 2020). We included information about long-COVID and vaccination in a revised version in autumn 2021 where selected questions from the questionnaire on long-COVID by Davis et al. (2021) were used.

We aimed to cover domains directly related to occupational risk of infection (social distancing, human contact, contact with COVID-19-infected persons, use of personal protective equipment and face covering). In addition, we included domains covering risk factors more generally (health and demographics, psychosocial risk factors, and lifestyle risk factors). Finally, we included domains covering the social, economic, physical, and economic consequences of the pandemic. Where possible, we selected questionnaire items and instruments from existing questionnaire resources. Additionally, where appropriate, we added newly developed questions.

These domains and questionnaire items were compiled into a general occupational COVID-19 questionnaire (34 core questions, and around 50 additional optional questions) and a specific occupational COVID-19 questionnaire (short version 8 questions, and 36 additional optional questions). The general occupational COVID-19 core questions are included in Supplementary Material (available at Annals of Work Exposures and Health online). All sources and references are furthermore included in the freely assessable material from the following website: https://omeganetcohorts.eu/resources/covid19-and-omega/. A first version of the questionnaires were available early February 2021. A slightly extended second version of the questionnaires were available ultimo December 2021. The most important changes were inclusion of questions on vaccinations and long-COVID. Drafts of the questionnaires were distributed to all participants in OMEGA-NET twice with >300 participants from 40 countries. We asked the OMEGA-NET participants to provide input on whether the questionnaires captured all the important occupational COVID-19-related information, and whether the questions were easy to understand and captured the proper information intended.

The general occupational COVID-19 questionnaire is a short core questionnaire covering key working life aspects of the COVID-19 pandemic described in the following domains:

- COVID-19 diagnosis and prevention (including vaccination)
- Health and demographics
- Use of personal protective equipment and face covering
- Health effects/work-related effects (including long-COVID)
- Financial effects
- Work-based risk factors
- Psychosocial risk factors
- Lifestyle risk factors
- Personal evaluation of the impact of COVID-19.

For each domain, additional questions are available in an extended version of the questionnaire.

The specific occupational COVID-19 questionnaire focuses on occupational risk factors for SARS-CoV-2 infection and COVID-19 disease and includes questions about:

- Type of job
- Amount of home working
- Social distancing
- Human contact (colleagues, patients, citizens)
- Commuting
- Use of personal protective equipment and face covering

Recently, Oude Hengel et al. (2021) developed a COVID-19 job exposure matrix based on similar occupational risk factors and mitigating factors as included in the occupational COVID-19 questionnaire. The COVID-19 job exposure matrix is also available on the OMEGA-NET homepage.

Discussion

This initiative aims to encourage the use of similar working life core questions on COVID-19 across epidemiological studies within and between countries, and might facilitate any future data pooling or meta-analysis initiatives. The questionnaires have already frequently been downloaded (by April 2022, 904 times for the general occupational COVID-19 questionnaire and 514 times for the specific occupational COVID-19 questionnaire) from the OMEGA-NET (omeganetcohorts.eu), and the EPHOR [EPHOR—EPHOR Project
homepages. Some of the questions are currently being used in the EPHOR project. More updates of the questionnaires are planned in order to meet future needs. For example causes of people changing or losing jobs may be related to the pandemic; this is not well covered in the present second version. In addition, more detailed information on vaccinations and re-infections will need to be included.

One strength of the questionnaires is the broad approach to various important working life issues related to SARS-CoV-2 infection, COVID-19 disease, and potentially future pandemics. The comprehensive approach to specific occupational risk factors is another strength. It requires more work to validate the questionnaires further, and we welcome collaboration with researchers willing to do this.

A limitation is the moderate number of questions for each of the domains in the general occupational questionnaire. Additionally, the numbers of questions on general core information such as ethnicity, demographics, lifestyle factors, and general health status are limited. We aimed at providing an instrument focussing on questions related to the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic. The questionnaires can be integrated in existing questionnaires already covering sociodemographic and health-related aspects. Examples are the abundantly used European Community Respiratory Health Survey ECRHS questionnaires on respiratory health and lifestyle factors (https://www.ecrhsl.org/questionnaires-and-protocols) and the perceived stress scale questionnaire (https://www.das.nh.gov/wellness/Docs/Percieved%20Stress%20Scale.pdf).

Finally, it has to be mentioned that some issues related to specific occupations (e.g. seafarers), are not covered by the questionnaires.

We suggest that questions with similar content should be used in a sequence and the content and the order of the questions over different study waves (e.g. within and after the pandemic) should be the same to allow for appropriate comparisons over time. It is important to recognize that the questionnaires will need to be reviewed and revised depending on the stage of the pandemic (e.g. vaccination status, possible changes in pathogenicity, and symptom profiles from new variants), and the questionnaires also need to be adopted to potential future use when COVID has become endemic, or we may face future new pandemics. Country and cultural-specific adaptations might also be needed.

**Supplementary data**

Supplementary data are available at *Annals of Work Exposures and Health* online.
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