Impact of breeding for reduced methane emissions in New Zealand sheep on maternal and health traits
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Enteric methane emissions from ruminants account for ~35% of New Zealand’s greenhouse gas emissions. This poses a significant threat to the pastoral sector. Breeding has been shown to successfully lower methane emissions, and genomic prediction for lowered methane emissions has been introduced at the national level. The long-term genetic impacts of including low methane in ruminant breeding programs, however, are unknown. The success of the New Zealand sheep industry is currently heavily reliant on the proliﬁcacy, fecundity and survival of adult ewes. The objective of this study was to determine genetic and phenotypic correlations between adult maternal ewe traits (live weight, body condition score, number of lambs born, litter survival to weaning, pregnancy scanning and fleece weight), faecal and Nematodirus egg counts and measures of methane in respiration chambers. More than 9,000 records for methane from over 2,200 sheep measured in respiration chambers were collected over 10 years. Sheep were fed on a restricted diet calculated as approximately twice the maintenance. Methane measures were converted to absolute daily emissions of methane measured in g per day (CH4/day). Two measures of methane yield were recorded: the ratio of CH4 to dry matter intake (g CH4/kg DMI; CH4/DMI) and the ratio of CH4 to total gas emissions (CH4/(CH4 + CO2)). Ewes were maintained in the flocks for at least two parities. Non-methane trait data from over 8,000 female relatives were collated to estimate genetic correlations. Results suggest that breeding for low CH4/DMI is unlikely to negatively affect faecal egg counts, adult ewe fertility and litter survival traits, with no evidence for signiﬁcant genetic correlations. Fleece weight was unfavourably (favourably) correlated with CH4/DMI (rg = −0.21 ± 0.09). Live weight (rg = 0.3 ± 0.1) and body condition score (rg = 0.2 ± 0.1) were positively correlated with methane yield. Comparing the two estimates of methane yield, CH4/DMI had lower heritability and repeatability. However, correlations of both measures with adult ewe traits were similar. This suggests that breeding is a suitable mitigation strategy for lowering methane
Introduction

New Zealand is heavily reliant on pastoral-based agriculture and has a national sheep population of ~17 million breeding ewes (Beef and Lamb NZ Economic reports, 2020). Maternal sheep production in New Zealand relies on prolificacy, fecundity and survival of adult ewes. Sheep breeders can obtain breeding values for their stock (Newman et al., 2009), expressed as NZ$ gross survival of adult ewes. Sheep breeders can use a mixture of short-term measures and alternative to full respiration chamber measures (Jonker et al., 2018). After two generations of selection for either high or lower methane yield, the low line averages a 12% lower methane emissions than the high line (Rowe et al., 2019). Using 1-hour measures in portable accumulation chambers as an alternative to full respiration chamber measures (Jonker et al., 2018), breeders can use a mixture of short-term measures and genomic prediction to rank individual animals and select parents for lowered methane emissions. Rowe et al. (2021) have shown that incorporating methane emissions into the national breeding scheme is an extremely low-cost and effective mitigation strategy for lowering the greenhouse gas emissions from sheep. Before this strategy is implemented on a national scale, however, breeders must understand the long-term consequences of introducing methane emission traits. Animals with lower methane emissions have physiological differences from their high-emitting counterparts. These include 20% smaller rumens (Goopy et al., 2013, 2014; Bain et al., 2014), different microbial fermentation profiles (Kittelmann et al., 2014; Hess et al., 2020) and a higher ratio of propionate to butyrate in the volatile fatty acids coming from the rumen used by the animal as an energy source (Pinares-Patiño et al., 2011; Jonker et al., 2018). There is preliminary evidence that these changes are also associated with a leaner animal (Elmes et al., 2014).

Methane emissions are mainly measured in young animals (5–10 months of age). Therefore, methane parameters need to be validated in adult animals, particularly adult ewes, as they are maintained in the flock for several years. If low-emitting animals do have less fat and greater lean tissue, as suggested by Elmes et al. (2014), it could be hypothesized that this might, whilst positively affecting carcass value, have a negative effect on the ability of ewes to overwinter and to maintain productivity. Adult ewe body condition is thought to be a trait associated with ewe longevity, lamb survival and growth and the ability to survive feed fluctuations, often encountered in hilly environments (Morgan-Davies et al., 2007; Everett-Hincks and Dodds, 2008; Borg et al., 2009).

The ewe’s ability to remain healthy and in good condition through winter and then to mother and raise lambs is fundamental to production. A great health challenge is a parasitism from intestinal nematodes. There has been some evidence that the parasite burden is correlated with methane emissions (Fox et al., 2018). In addition to adult ewe traits, we investigated relationships between methane emissions and faecal egg counts of Strongyle (FEC1) and Nematodirus spp. egg counts (NEM1) in summer at approximately 5 months of age.

Relationships between methane emissions and adult ewe traits associated with health, prolificacy, fecundity and survival, commonly described as “maternal traits”, have not previously been investigated. Given the long-term impact of sheep production on methane emissions will come predominately from the adult breeding ewe, it is vital that the
The relationship between methane and maternal traits is assessed and that methane parameters measured in young animals are validated in adults. Furthermore, maternal traits have a large effect on farm profitability. To understand the impact of selection for lowered methane emissions on the maternal ewe, genetic correlations between the characteristics for improved maternal production and methane emissions need to be estimated. The New Zealand Central Progeny Test (CPT) flock (McLean et al., 2006) has been measured for maternal or adult ewe traits. The CPT flocks are maintained to evaluate rams that have been selected for improving the maternal traits in their daughters, such as the number of lambs born, lamb survival and lamb growth. The initial progenitors of the divergent methane lines were selected from the CPT. The objective of this paper was therefore to use the large and comprehensive amount of maternal trait data that were collected in these research flocks to inform the likely trends and effects of selecting for reduced methane in the NZ commercial ewe population.

**Materials and methods**

Animal experiments were conducted to meet the guidelines of the 1999 New Zealand Animal Welfare Act and were approved by the AgResearch Grasslands (Palmerston North, NZ) and AgResearch Invermay (Mosgiel, NZ) Animal ethics committees—application numbers 13282, 13604, 13742, 13743.

**Experimental animals**

Trait data were available for animals from the five New Zealand recorded sheep flocks, born between 2007 and 2015, from the Sheep Improvement Limited (SIL) database (Newman et al., 2009). Three of the flocks consisted of the progeny of maternal dual-purpose sires generated in the New Zealand CPT program (SIL Flock IDs 4640, 4757 and 9153; McLean et al. (2006)). Sires within the CPT consisted of

### TABLE 1 Years of birth, number of animals and data records for respiration chamber methane measures, disease and adult ewe maternal traits (at ages 2–6 years) by sex and birth flock.

| Trait group          | Descriptor | Birth flocka |
|----------------------|------------|---------------|
|                      |            | 2638 | 4640 | 4757 | 9153 | 3633 | Total |
| Respiration chamber, females |
| Birth years          | 2009–11    | 2007, 09–11 | 2007, 09–11 | 2007, 09–11 | 2010–15 |
| No. animals          | 790        | 650          | 159          | 132          | 442      |
| No. records          | 2,122      | 1,877        | 641          | 526          | 1,862    |
| Respiration chamber, males |
| Birth years          | 2009       |              |              |              |          |
| No. animals          | 96         |              |              |              |          |
| No. records          | 375        |              |              |              |          |
| Disease, females     |
| Birth years          | 2007–15    | 2007–15      | 2007–12      | 2007–14      | 2012–14  |
| No. animals          | 4,869      | 1,555        | 763          | 268          | 409      |
| No. records          | 1,930      |              |              |              |          |
| Disease, males       |
| Birth years          | 2007–15    | 2007–12      | 2007–12      | 2007–11      | 2014–15  |
| No. animals          | 5,029      | 657          | 678          | 230          | 286      |
| No. records          | 6,202      |              |              |              |          |
| Maternal, femalesb  |
| Birth years          | 2007–15    | 2007–15      | 2007–15      | 2007–14      | 2010–15  |
| No. animals          | 2,783      | 2,175        | 1,456        | 1,541        | 446      |
| No. records          | 8,401      |              |              |              |          |
| LWMATEb, kg          | 7,795      | 6,709        | 1,057        | 3,133        | 1,102    |
| BCSMATE (1–5)        | 7,803      | 6,694        | 1,057        | 3,028        | 1,099    |
| PREGSC (0–3)         | 7,023      | 6,143        | 847          | 2,915        | 793      |
| NLB (0–3)            | 6,874      | 6,145        | 2,466        | 3,851        | 800      |
| LSW (0–1)            | 6,594      | 5,716        | 2,382        | 3,205        | 749      |
| FWMA, kg             | 5,728      | 4,039        | 74           | 151          | 786      |

Numbers in brackets are the range of scores possible under each maternal trait.

a2638 AgResearch flock; 4640, 4757, 9153 Central Progeny Test (CPT) flocks; 3633 methane yield selection line flock.
bLWMATE, live weight at mating; BCSMATE, body condition score at mating; PREGSC, number of lambs pregnancy scanned; NLB, number of lambs born; LSW, litter survival to weaning; FWMA, fleece weight at mixed age.
Coopworth, Romney, Perendale, Texel and Composite breeds, where the latter consisted primarily of combinations of the former breeds with additional infusions of Finn and East Friesian. All the CPT rams were mated to composite ewes. The fourth flock was the AgResearch research flock (SIL Flock ID 2638; Jonker et al. (2018)). The fifth flock was the methane yield selection line flock (SIL Flock ID 3633), which was established by screening the previously mentioned four flocks for methane yield, g CH₄/kg DMI (Pinares-Patiño et al., 2013; Jonker et al., 2018). The high and low methane yield selection lines were created from the progeny of the top and bottom ten sires identified during the screening. The lines were closed outside genetics in 2012 and all sires used from 2012 onwards were born in the methane yield selection flock. The lines are currently maintained at 100 ewes per line. From the 5 flocks, 2,206 animals born in 2007 and 2009 were born and managed in a ryegrass-based pastoral grazing system.

Maternal traits

Ewes, aged 2–6 years, had live weight (LW) and body condition score (BCS) recorded at mating, pregnancy scanning, lambing and weaning in most of the 5 flocks, together with pregnancy scanning data and fleece weight measured at the time of shearing. Of these traits, live weight at mating (LWMATE), body condition score at mating (BCSMATE), pregnancy scan number of lambs 0–3 (PREGSC), number of lambs born 0–3 (NLB) and fleece weight (mixed age, FWMA) were analysed. Litter survival to weaning (LSW) was calculated as the number of lambs weaned (0–3) divided by NLB. Body condition was scored 1–5 (in 0.5 units) by palpation to assess the amount of eye muscle and fat cover. Scores ranged from a 1 for emaciated to a 5 for obese (Suiter, 1994). Where possible, multiple measures per ewe were recorded to estimate the repeatability of measures over time.

Methane measurements

Enteric methane emissions were measured in respiration chambers between 5 and 10 months of age (30–40 kg LW) as described by Pinares-Patiño et al. (2011,2013). In addition, 66 ewes were measured as adults for 1–2 additional recording years to provide information for estimating the methane emission repeatabilities.

Animals were transitioned to a standard lucerne pellet diet (19% CP, 43% NDF and 10 MJ ME/kg dry matter) in pens over 21 days. This was followed by two measurement rounds (R1 and R2) of 2 days in respiration chambers, with rounds separated by a 10–15 day interval. Individual animal DMI was measured in metabolic crates during each round (4 days) and then in respiration chambers (2 days); the feeding level was based on LW and was estimated as twice their maintenance requirement, thus, variation in voluntary intake was not measured. Animals were fed two equal-sized meals at 9 a.m. (hour 0) and 3 p.m. (hour 6) daily. In both R1 and R2, individuals were randomly allocated to the four groups (each of 24 animals) and 24 respiration chambers, and typically 10 progeny per sire were randomly selected to be measured for CH₄ emission. Only complete records were retained; additionally, if a chamber seal was broken or less than 95% of the offered feed was eaten on the day of measurement, then the record was discarded. The LW was measured during each methane measurement round. Here, three CH₄ traits are reported, gross emissions expressed as g CH₄/day (CH₄/d), CH₄ yield expressed as g CH₄/kg DMI (CH₄/DMI) and a derived measure of CH₄ yield calculated from moles methane divided by moles of total gas emitted (i.e., moles CH₄ plus moles of carbon dioxide) (CH₄/(CH₄+CO₂)). The trait CH₄/(CH₄+CO₂) was also computed in Jonker et al. (2018) in which it was shown to have a higher heritability and repeatability than CH₄/DMI and can be a good indicator of CH₄ yield where intake cannot be measured directly.

Statistical analyses

Significant systematic effects and covariates were determined using a general linear model procedure (SAS, 2015). Table 2 gives full details of fixed effects and random effects fitted in the final model for each trait. Breed effects were not fitted explicitly, following Pickering et al. (2013) who found that breed accounted for very little variation in an animal model with similar populations.

For methane traits, fixed class effects accounted for birth year * birth flock * sex combinations and for recording year * methane recording round (mob of 96 animals) * group (sub-mob of up to 24 animals within a lot measured contemporaneously) * methane recording round combinations. In addition, a fixed effect for birth/rearing rank (brr) combining birth rank (single, twin, triplet) and rearing rank (single, twin, triplet), and a covariate for birth date deviation from the flock mean (bdev) were fitted for CH₄/d. Transformation of FEC1 and NEM1 followed the current national breeding scheme (SIL) methodology in using log, (x + 50), and birth year * birth flock * sex combinations were fitted.

Fixed effects fitted for each adult ewe trait were the age of dam (2–6+ years), birth/rearing rank and a contemporary group to account for recording flock * recording year * grazing mob * age class (2, 3, 4, 5 + 6 years) combinations. Animals that were measured in the first year of life in respiration chambers at AgResearch Grasslands (Palmerston North, NZ) had been
Transported 1,130 km from where they were grazed for approximately 1 month. Additional levels were added to the contemporary group effects for the 2-year-old LWMATE and BCSMATE to differentiate these management groups. An additional fixed effect was fitted for LWMATE and BCSMATE at 3 years of age and older to account for the number of lambs born in the previous recording year (NLB_Prev).

Pedigree records (individual, sire and dam) were available for 81,936 animals born between 1990 and 2015 and were used to construct genetic relationships for fitting a general animal model in ASREML (Gilmour et al., 2009).

### Genetic parameters

Methane traits were fitted into a linear mixed model (1). Three random effects were fitted with normal distributions. These were individual additive genetic effects, across and within round permanent environmental effects.

\[
y = \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{b} + \mathbf{Z} \boldsymbol{a} + \mathbf{W}_1 \boldsymbol{p}_{\text{ew}} + \mathbf{W}_2 \boldsymbol{p}_{\text{e}} + \mathbf{e}
\]

where \( \mathbf{y} \) is the vector of observations, \( \mathbf{b} \) is the vector of fixed effects, \( \mathbf{a} \) is the vector or random animal genetic effect, \( \mathbf{p}_{\text{ew}} \) is the vector of permanent environmental effect within methane measurement round (i.e., day 1 and day 2), \( \mathbf{p}_{\text{e}} \) is the vector of permanent environmental effect and non-additive genetic effects across methane measurement rounds (round 1 and round 2), \( \mathbf{e} \) is the vector of random residual effects, \( \mathbf{X} \) is the design matrix of full column rank that associates observations with the appropriate combination of fixed effects, \( \mathbf{Z} \) is the design matrix that associates observations with the appropriate combination of random effects, \( \mathbf{W}_1 \) and \( \mathbf{W}_2 \) are design matrices relating observations to animals within and across methane measurement rounds, respectively, and \( \mathbf{A} \) is the numerator relationship matrix based on pedigree information, var \( \mathbf{p} = \mathbf{I} \sigma^2_{\text{pe}}, \) var \( \mathbf{e} = \mathbf{I} \sigma^2_e \) and var \( \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{A} \sigma^2_a. \)

The phenotypic correlation between records is equal to the repeatability. Within the animal, the “repeatability” of trait measures was estimated using the intra-class correlation—the ratio between individual variance (additive genetic plus permanent environmental effects) and the phenotypic variance.

Single trait and bivariate (two-trait) analyses were undertaken using ASREML 3.0 (Gilmour et al., 2009), and phenotypic, genetic and environmental correlations between the traits were estimated.

### Results

Phenotypic means, standard deviations and heritability estimates for methane traits, internal parasites and maternal traits are detailed in Table 3. Repeatability estimates from measures in multiple recording years are described in Table 3 for methane and maternal traits. Table 4 gives phenotypic and genetic correlations between methane, FECI, NEM1 and maternal traits.

### Heritability estimates

Heritability (and repeatability) estimates were high for LWMATE 0.49 ± 0.02 (0.71 ± 0.01) and for fleece weight 0.59 ± 0.03 (0.71 ± 0.01) and moderate for BCSMATE 0.20 ± 0.02 (0.28 ± 0.01). The heritability (and repeatability) estimates for the NLB, LSW and pregnancy scanning data were lower at ~0.1; however, these estimates are similar to the current national estimates derived from the SII methodology. The heritability estimates for \( \text{CH}_4/\text{DMI} \) (0.03 ± 0.03) and \( \text{CH}_4/(\text{CH}_4 + \text{CO}_2) \) at 0.21 ± 0.03 (repeatability 0.34 ± 0.06) was higher than the methane yield derived for the ratio of methane emissions to dry matter intake.
TABLE 3 Means, standard deviations, heritability ($h^2$) and repeatability estimates across recording years (±SE) for respiration chamber methane traits, disease and maternal traits.

| Trait                     | No. animals | No. records | Mean | STD  | $\sigma_\text{p}$ | $h^2$ (SE) | Repeatability (SE) |
|---------------------------|-------------|-------------|------|------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|
| Respiration chamber      |             |             |      |      |                   |            |                   |
| CH$_4$, g/d              | 2,206       | 9,333       | 24.0 | 4.40 | 2.99              | 0.26 (0.03) | 0.42 (0.05)       |
| CH$_4$/DMI, g/kg         | 2,206       | 9,332       | 15.4 | 2.10 | 1.48              | 0.12 (0.02) | 0.20 (0.03)       |
| CH$_4$/(CH$_4$+CO$_2$), mol/mol | 2,206     | 9,006       | 0.059 | 0.006 | 0.005            | 0.21 (0.03) | 0.34 (0.06)       |
| Disease: Faecal egg counts (log$_e$(value + 50)) |             |             |      |      |                   |            |                   |
| FEC1                      | 14,744      |             | 6.07 | 0.90 | 0.79              | 0.33 (0.02) |                   |
| NEM1                      | 13,815      |             | 4.30 | 0.59 | 0.55              | 0.30 (0.02) |                   |
| Maternal                 |             |             |      |      |                   |            |                   |
| LWMATE, kg               | 6,900       | 19,796      | 67.3 | 8.27 | 6.78              | 0.49 (0.02) | 0.71 (0.01)       |
| BCSMATE (1–5)            | 6,888       | 19,681      | 3.57 | 0.69 | 0.49              | 0.20 (0.02) | 0.28 (0.01)       |
| PREGSC (0–3)             | 6,649       | 17,721      | 1.94 | 0.73 | 0.72              | 0.08 (0.01) | 0.12 (0.01)       |
| NLB (0–3)                | 7,919       | 20,136      | 1.91 | 0.73 | 0.71              | 0.08 (0.01) | 0.12 (0.01)       |
| LSW (0–1)                | 7,763       | 18,646      | 0.78 | 0.35 | 0.34              | 0.05 (0.01) | 0.11 (0.01)       |
| FWMA, kg                 | 4,467       | 10,778      | 4.13 | 0.92 | 0.66              | 0.59 (0.03) | 0.71 (0.01)       |

TABLE 4 Genetic ($r_g$) and phenotypic ($r_p$) correlations (±SE) between respiration chamber methane emissions (CH$_4$, g/d), methane yield traits (CH$_4$/DMI, g/kg) and (CH$_4$/(CH$_4$+CO$_2$), mol/mol) on a restricted diet, FEC1, NEM1 and maternal traits. Correlations significant at the 5% level ($p < 0.05$) are marked with "+".

| Trait                     | CH$_4$, g/d | CH$_4$/DMI, g/kg | CH$_4$/(CH$_4$ + CO$_2$), mol/mol |
|---------------------------|-------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|
|                           | $r_g$       | $r_p$           | $r_g$       | $r_p$           | $r_g$     | $r_p$           |
| FEC1                      | 0.18 (0.09) | 0.09 (0.03)*    | 0.13 (0.11) | 0.05 (0.02)*    | 0.14 (0.10)| 0.04 (0.02)    |
| NEM1                      | 0.01 (0.10) | 0.03 (0.03)     | 0.03 (0.11) | 0.02 (0.02)     | 0.03 (0.11)| 0.03 (0.03)    |
| LWMATE                    | 0.77 (0.05)* | 0.41 (0.02)*   | 0.31 (0.09)* | 0.06 (0.02)*    | 0.28 (0.09)* | 0.07 (0.02)*   |
| BCSMATE                   | 0.35 (0.09)* | 0.12 (0.02)*   | 0.22 (0.11)* | 0.003 (0.02)    | 0.21 (0.10)* | 0.05 (0.02)*   |
| PREGSC                    | −0.05 (0.12) | 0.01 (0.02)    | 0.11 (0.13) | −0.02 (0.01)    | 0.05 (0.13) | −0.02 (0.02)   |
| NLB                       | −0.07 (0.12) | 0.02 (0.02)    | 0.09 (0.13) | −0.01 (0.01)    | 0.05 (0.12) | −0.02 (0.02)   |
| LSW                       | −0.20 (0.14) | −0.01 (0.02)   | −0.13 (0.15) | 0.01 (0.01)     | −0.13 (0.15)| 0.03 (0.02)    |
| FWMA                      | −0.10 (0.08) | −0.01 (0.02)   | −0.21 (0.10)* | −0.07 (0.02)*   | −0.21 (0.09)* | −0.08 (0.02)*  |

(CH$_4$/DMI) at 0.12 ± 0.02 (repeatability 0.20 ± 0.03). For single measures of FEC1 and NEM1, the heritability estimates were 0.33 ± 0.02 and 0.30 ± 0.02, respectively.

**Correlations**

Fleece weight had a negative correlation with methane yield traits (−0.21 ± 0.10 for CH$_4$/DMI); LW and BCS had positive genetic correlations with methane yield traits (0.31 ± 0.09, 0.22 ± 0.11, respectively for CH$_4$/DMI). Given the growing body of evidence for a link between increased lean mass associated with low methane, monitoring of these traits should continue.

For FEC1 and NEM1, there were no significant genetic correlations with methane gross emissions or yield traits; phenotypic correlations for FEC1 were significant but low for methane gross emissions and CH$_4$/DMI (0.09 ± 0.03, 0.05 ± 0.02, respectively).

**Discussion**

If breeding is used as a mitigation approach for the reduction of enteric methane emissions for sheep, the most substantial reduction would come from the lower emissions in adult ewe flocks. Methane measures, however, have been largely collected in young animals. Genetic correlations between lamb and ewe...
measurements of CH₄ and CO₂, using portable accumulation chambers, ranging from 0.85 to 0.99 (Jonker et al., 2018). This provides evidence that methane can be measured in young females to predict methane production later in life. The impact of breeding for lowered methane emissions on important maternal traits has not been evaluated to date, because lifetime performance records in large flocks measured for methane emissions have been extremely scarce. This is largely due to the need to maintain and monitor large flocks of sheep through multiple pregnancies. Here, we have collated an unprecedented data set, including thousands of records from research flocks recorded over many years, to investigate the relationship between methane traits measured in young animals and maternal ewe traits. Results suggest that breeding for low methane yield is unlikely to have a substantial negative impact on important adult ewe traits such as the ability to maintain weight and condition, fecundity and parasite resistance.

For methane yield traits (CH₄/DMI and CH₄/(CH₄+CO₂)), there were no genetic correlations with maternal fertility, and litter survival traits were significantly different from zero, suggesting that selection for low methane yield animals is unlikely to have any negative effects on the commercial ewe flocks.

Pregnancy scanning data, NLB and lamb survival to weaning did not appear to have any relationship with methane traits. This indicates that selection for low methane yield is unlikely to affect these traits. FEC1 and NEM1 were not genetically correlated with methane traits although a low phenotypic correlation with FEC1 was observed. This phenotypic relationship is unsurprising as a parasitized animal is likely to reduce intake and emit less methane. These results do not reflect the increased methane yield found by Fox et al. (2018), who challenged 24 sheep with infective Teladorsagia circumcincta larvae. This is likely due to additional power from the sample size in the current study, where over 13,000 FEC records and over 9,000 methane records have been used to estimate the genetic correlation between methane yield and adult ewe traits.

Low to moderate relationships were observed between methane yield, fleece weight, body weight and body condition score at mating. It has been shown previously that low-emitting animals tend to have a greater amount of lean tissue (Elmes et al., 2014), possibly due to greater ratios of propionate and valerate to acetate and butyrate coming from the rumen (Jonker et al., 2019). Also, Bilton et al. (2021) showed that low methane ewes similarly had different volatile fatty acid profiles in their rumen fluid and less short-chain fatty acids in their milk. Although there is no evidence here that these relationships affect fecundity, a watching brief should be maintained for traits associated with fat deposition, such as BCS traits and potentially for puberty, which has a known association with growth and body composition (Stephenson et al., 1980; Rosales Nieto et al., 2014).

Fleece weight has previously been reported as having a significant negative correlation with methane yield (Pinares-Patño et al., 2013). This is an interesting relationship as the expectation would be that a bigger ewe would have a heavier fleece (Wuliji et al., 2011), and increased fleece weight, given a set body weight, is associated with increased feed intake during ad libitum feeding (Schinckel, 1960). The underpinning mechanism for increased wool growth associated with lowered methane yield is unknown, but it reflects that selection for changing methane emissions and the resulting impact on rumen outflow may have effects on the animal that are yet to be understood.

An important caveat is that selection based on fixed intake in respiration chambers may not reflect true methane yield on pasture, where intake varies based on availability and quality of feed. Jonker et al. (2018) have reported genetic correlations ranging between 0.4 and 0.7 for different methane measurements on the same animals through respiration chambers and later through portable accumulation chambers. This infers that additional evidence for the relationship between methane emissions, feed intake and production traits is still required.

Further work has been documented using data from portable accumulation chambers, estimating methane yield by the ratio of moles of methane to moles of total gas in the absence of intake data but where voluntary intake is allowed to vary (Goopy et al., 2011; Robinson et al., 2015; Goopy et al., 2016; Paganoni et al., 2017; Jonker et al., 2018). The estimated genetic correlation between CH₄/(CH₄ + CO₂) and CH₄/DMI is very high (rg = 0.94 ± 0.03) and CH₄/(CH₄ + CO₂) is more heritable and repeatable. The correlations between the two measures of methane yield and adult ewe traits were also very similar. This suggests that at a fixed rate of intake, gas trait measures can be used as a proxy for intake. The advantages of portable accumulation chamber measures are manifold: each measure is approximately ten-fold lower in cost and animals can be measured at pasture and at any stage of life, e.g., during pregnancy. As more data are collected and error rates are minimized, better estimates of the relationship between methane traits and maternal traits should emerge.

Conclusion

Results suggest that breeding for low-methane yield is unlikely to negatively affect fecundity or parasite resistance in adult ewes. Low to moderate genetic correlations were seen for methane yield with wool growth, LW, and BCS. Fleece weight was negatively correlated with methane yield, and LW and BCS were positively correlated with methane yield. For the methane yield traits, the heritability and repeatability of CH₄/ (CH₄+CO₂) were higher than CH₄/DMI suggesting that gas traits are an efficient and effective proxy for DMI at a fixed level of feed intake. This suggests that opportunities exist for the use of alternative methods, such as portable accumulation chambers, to attain a greater number of measures of methane emissions from grazing sheep at different reproductive stages.
Finally, breeding is a suitable mitigation strategy for lowering ruminant methane; a watching brief is needed to ascertain the long-term impacts of the associated changing rumen outflow with body weight and BCS. Our results are relevant to other ruminant species and in the assessment of other mitigation technologies.
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