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Abstract—Work Space on construction sites is a crucial resource as other resources depend on it. Work space planning for various construction activities is of utmost importance especially when safety and productivity at construction sites have to be enhanced. Work space planning involves identifying various types of spaces needed for different construction activities in four dimension (4D) i.e. three dimensions along with time. The generation of these work spaces leads to a space-loaded production model. Work spaces know to which activities and construction methods they belong, when, where and for how long they exist and how much volume they occupy. Since a construction schedule consists of number of activities requiring variety of spaces, it is not always possible to specify the time space conflicts corresponding to various workspaces in four dimensions manually. In order to identify time space conflicts, potential loss-of- control events for various construction activities. A GIS-based Methodology is developed and implemented for a case study building

Keywords—GIS, WIA (Workspace Identification and Adjustment), SCF (Space Capacity Factor), MCWR (Minimum Comfortable Working Range), SDA (Space Demand for Activity), TSN (Total volume of conflicts between 3D execution Spaces of Activities)

I. INTRODUCTION

At the pre-construction stage, a schedule is developed to logically sequence the actions required for the timely completion of the constructed facility. This knowledge takes into account the logical sequence of activities required for the construction, as well as the space needed for the execution of the various activities. The layout of the construction site and the organization of spatial requirements are a critical factor, which should be taken into account during the planning phase. This integrates the planning and analysis of spatial requirements before activities commence. A construction project contains information in several spatial (drawings and blueprints) and non-spatial (schedule, cost estimate, specifications, etc.) data. The overlaps and lack of consistency in information may frequently contribute to the construction errors, which results in miscommunications that are expensive and time-consuming in nature. The planning of space use on the construction site is critical to the successful execution of the schedule of activities.

Construction activities need space on the job site for their implementation. Different activities require different area, different workers, equipments, materials, Temporary Facilities and the structure to be constructed share out a limited space on the jobsite during the construction phase. The mismanagement of activity space requirements throughout planning and scheduling stages results in time-space conflicts in an activity space requirement with another activity’s space requirement, this leads to the loss of time and resources. Different activities spaces have different space which varies during the course of the action, which makes exact determination of an activity space unpredictable. The spaces required by different activities change in all three dimensions with time (labourers, equipment, and material). An efficient workspace modelling method is required to amenably and accurately reflect the real workspace.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Database management capabilities of GIS can be used in construction industry. To extend the use of a GIS based 4D construction management approach for different phases of a construction project, GIS based procedure for quantity take offs, cost estimate and direct sunlight visualization are also developed and integrated with it. Several programmes are developed within GIS to extract necessary dimensions from building model to generate the accurate bill of quantities and cost estimate.

4D planning development is illustrated as accomplished manually. It is proven that the manual procedure adopted in 4D planning simulation presents a lot of difficulty and little productivity. In this way, the 4D visualization is unviable on the building schedule. Therefore, it is extremely important that it makes a connection between software of planning and graphical visualization, namely Microsoft Project and AutoCAD, respectively. Finally they discussed that it is possible to establish a connection between Microsoft Project and AutoCAD, allowing the integration and developing 4D planning, but this methodology needs to be analysed with great care about software development specialists to check about its practical use.

III. CONSTRUCTION SPACE PLANNING METHOD

A. Equations

Geographical Information Systems (GIS) are among the fields of research where computers are of great assistance. With the availability of personal computers, GIS is being used in many areas with a wide range of specialists to solve different problems.

GIS is a computer-based tool used extensively to solve various construction engineering problems involving the use of both spatial and nonspatial information and has
supplemented the already existing abilities and knowledge in the construction industry. It is a tool for creating, managing, analyzing, and visualizing all types of geographic information. The use of GIS in the construction industry has already been reported for various purposes such as database management, information integration, complex visualization, site layout, construction planning, etc. Most of the work done so far have used GIS in combination with different softwares to meet various project requirements like scheduling, data managements, construction simulation, etc. The use of GIS has been successful in improving the construction planning and design efficiency by integrating spatial and non-spatial information in a single environment.

B. Equations

Macro level spaces includes materials storage areas, has received considerable attention. Planning the macro areas for the construction site is of great importance, as errors at this stage could result in constricted access to the site as the building or product evolves. Approaching this problem as a dynamic entity is important as the evolution of the site can have impact on such items as materials storage, construction plant location and site offices. Furthermore, variations in location or dimension of these items over the construction period can have serious impact on the safety on the site.

C. Micro Space Planning

Micro level (or work execution) space is the area required within the proximity of the component being installed. This space can also include the building components being installed. Planning of micro level activities are difficult, as they are extremely dynamic and are not fixed in the same position for the duration of the activity.

IV. METHODOLOGY

A. Case Study

This case study covers construction of the Hostel Building. The construction of hostel building started in January 2018 with the stipulated period of 24 Months. The hostel building is G+7 with the capacity of 1000 students with all the modern facilities. The dining hall, kitchen, visitors room, library and common room etc. have been provided in the building. Five staircases have been provided in the building for easy movement. Three lifts have been provided in the building. As per Government norms Ramps have been provided and the washrooms for disabled persons have been provided on each floor.

Being in the research stage, the proposed methodology is implemented on the hostel building. The 2D drawing of the Building is shown in Fig 1&2. The entire work was divided into scheduling and calculation of workspace respectively and identifying the area of conflicts in there execution to manage the project effectively.
2. **Conversion and Exporting Google Sketchup Files to Collada.**

3. **Importing File To ArcScene**

   Similar steps should be done for slab members and for all levels and members.

**F. Time Animation**

Various steps involved to evaluate and visualize the construction schedule within ArcScene are discussed below:

- **Step 1:** Construction Schedule
- **Step 2:** Linking activities with corresponding 3D members.
- **Step 3:** Enabling time layer for each component in ArcGIS
- **Step 4:** Animation construction process

---

---

**D. WIA Method**

Using this MCWR system space loaded modelling of hostel building is done mainly for two activities (column and slab). The space requirement for different activities, using equation (1) is done by giving input in the excel work sheet.

\[
\text{Area} \geq 0.9 \times 0.9 \times \text{number of workers} \times \text{adjust factor}
\]

**E. Labour Workspace Calculation**

The proposed method uses the equation (1) for creation of workspace which gives a dynamic workspace structure to represent an activity. Basically in this research workspace is generated mainly for 2 activities i.e. columns, slabs. By using default but customizable parameters for workspace creation, further these above mention activities are sub divided, into number of activities of which space requirements changes over time.
V. RESOLUTION OF TIME SPACE CONFLICT

Since time-space conflicts have multiple types, the analysis of time-space conflicts involves not only the detection of spatial conflicts, but also the categorization of the conflicts.

The methodology uses 3D animation to check time-space conflicts. A space conflict is said to occur when a space during a same time period is demanded by more than one activity. Once a conflict is detected, affected activities are analysis and for conflict resolution, following strategies are used to either resolve or minimize the space conflicts:

1. Adjustments in Space Requirement Strategy used to adjust space demand by changing the locations of conflicting spaces or by changing the originally assigned adjust factor used in equation (1) in order to decrease or increase spaces requirement. In this strategy, the space requirements for different activities are altered to eliminate or minimize the conflicts.

2. Schedule Alteration parallel activities in a schedule sometime lead to site congestion, schedule delay, and unsafe working environment. Therefore, such situations must be avoided by correcting the execution schedule before its implementation. Alternate construction sequences have to be explored by re-sequencing the project activities or by changing the construction methods. This includes changes in interrelationships among activities, duration of space requirements, or splitting activities to resolve conflicts. Activities on the critical path should be given priority for their space demand. Attempts should be made to identify alternate sequences in such a way as to maximize the number of conflicts resolved.

3. Adjustments in Space Requirements and Schedule in this characteristics of each activity participating in a conflict are analysed in detail. This assists planners in deciding which activity’s spaces need adjustments and which part of a schedule need alteration. This strategy includes changes in the space requirements and execution schedule at the same time. Changes in space requirements, construction methods, or/and sequence most likely resolve the majority of space conflicts. In sequence alteration process, if activities in a schedule need addition/deletion and editing in the corresponding components’ geometry then a revised 4D execution.

VI. CONCLUSION

This study has provided a prototype system, which could improve space planning on construction projects, and potentially assists in avoiding the avoidance of costly time space conflicts. However, throughout the duration of this study, various issues have been identified, which could potentially improve the planning and analysis of space usage during the construction process. The recommendations discussed herein are divided into two categories. The first details potential extensions to underlying research theories developed in this study. The expansion of these theories would provide a more robust technique of planning space usage. The second category is concerned with recommendations for future enhancements to the prototype system developed. Several of these initiatives are interrelated with future enhancements to the underlying space planning recommendations.
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