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The Relationship Between Proteoglycan Loss, Overloading-Induced Collagen Damage, and Cyclic Loading in Articular Cartilage

Lorenza Henao-Murillo1,2*, Maria-Ioana Pastrama1, Keita Ito1, and Corrinus C. van Donkelaar1

Abstract

Objective. The interaction between proteoglycan loss and collagen damage in articular cartilage and the effect of mechanical loading on this interaction remain unknown. The aim of this study was to answer the following questions: (1) Is proteoglycan loss dependent on the amount of collagen damage and does it depend on whether this collagen damage is superficial or internal? (2) Does repeated loading further increase the already enhanced proteoglycan loss in cartilage with collagen damage? Design. Fifty-six bovine osteochondral plugs were equilibrated in phosphate-buffered saline for 24 hours, mechanically tested in compression for 8 hours, and kept in phosphate-buffered saline for another 48 hours. The mechanical tests included an overloading step to induce collagen damage, creep steps to determine tissue stiffness, and cyclic loading to induce convection. Proteoglycan release was measured before and after mechanical loading, as well as 48 hours post-loading. Collagen damage was scored histologically. Results. Histology revealed different collagen damage grades after the application of mechanical overloading. After 48 hours in phosphate-buffered saline post-loading, proteoglycan loss increased linearly with the amount of total collagen damage and was dependent on the presence but not the amount of internal collagen damage. In samples without collagen damage, repeated loading also resulted in increased proteoglycan loss. However, repeated loading did not further enhance the proteoglycan loss induced by damaged collagen. Conclusion. Proteoglycan loss is enhanced by collagen damage and it depends on the presence of internal collagen damage. Cyclic loading stimulates proteoglycan loss in healthy cartilage but does not lead to additional loss in cartilage with damaged collagen.
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Introduction

Proteoglycan (PG) loss and damage to the collagen network are among the first signs of early osteoarthritis (OA) in articular cartilage. PGs give cartilage resistance to compressive loading by generating a swelling pressure, which is maintained in the tissue by the arcade-like structure of collagen that restricts swelling and gives the tissue its resistance to tension. This mechanical coupling between PGs and collagen suggests a relationship between PG depletion, collagen damage, and mechanical loading in the onset of early stage OA. However, the exact nature of this relationship is still unclear.1 PG loss precedes extracellular matrix (ECM) degradation and collagen loss in articular cartilage explants cultured in the presence of interleukin-1 (IL-1)2-5 or retinol.6 Conversely, when ovalbumin is injected to induce arthritis in rabbits, collagen type II cleavage by collagenase is detected earlier in synovial fluid than PG loss.7 Additionally, collagenase treatment decreases the PG content, especially if the treatment duration is longer.8 Finally, collagen damage may promote damage to the ECM and vice versa, as suggested by computational modelling results.9

Loading regimes and biochemical treatments play an essential role in stimulating or inhibiting PG depletion from...
articular cartilage, and this behavior may be altered during the post-loading period. In general, submitting cartilage to cyclic loading enhances PG loss,\textsuperscript{10-15} and this effect may be altered by peak stress,\textsuperscript{12,13} load frequency\textsuperscript{10,14}, and duration of unloading periods.\textsuperscript{14}

Using a methyl methacrylate indenter in confined compression, Lee and Bade\textsuperscript{16} showed that 15% dynamic strain applied to bovine chondrocytes in agarose at 0.3, 1, or 3 Hz for 48 hours induces a statistically significant increase in glycosaminoglycan (GAG) release rates, which remain elevated for 2 to 3 days.\textsuperscript{12} Piscoya et al.\textsuperscript{13} also demonstrated that applying dynamic loading at 0.5 Hz for 24 hours via unconfined compression leads to a significant increase of total sGAG release into the media at all magnitudes above 0.006 MPa, with the highest release at 0.0125 MPa. Finally, Sauerland et al.\textsuperscript{14} applied intermittent cyclic loading at 0.1, 0.5 and 1 Hz with a peak stress of 0.5 MPa for 5, 10, or 20 seconds, followed by an unloading period of 10, 100, or 1000 seconds. They found a marked increase of endogenous PG release from those explants subjected to a loading protocol with the highest frequency of intermittent loading, i.e., with the shortest unloading time of 10 seconds.\textsuperscript{14} Nevertheless, other studies demonstrate that the amount of PG loss may decrease during cyclic loading but increase in the post-loading period: Torzilli et al.\textsuperscript{5} found that cyclic loading in unconfined compression with a peak stress of 1 MPa at 1 Hz for 24 hours inhibits loss of PGs but, once the load is released, the amount of PG loss increases. The PG loss during the post-loading period may be enhanced by high peak stresses,\textsuperscript{16,17} although high peak stresses have also been associated with reduced PG loss:\textsuperscript{18} Guilak et al.\textsuperscript{16} applied unconfined compression to articular cartilage explants with a porous permeable platen using peak stresses of 0.028, 0.057, 0.1, 0.5, or 1 MPa. While in samples loaded at less than 0.1 MPa sGAG release was not affected by mechanical loading, samples loaded at 0.1 MPa or higher showed a dose-dependent inhibition of sGAG release during compression, as well as an increase of this release after loading. Similarly, Patwari et al.\textsuperscript{18} applied an injurious unconfined compression protocol of 65% strain at 2 mm/s to human osteochondral plugs and found that GAG release significantly decreased with increasing peak stress. Finally, as shown by Torzilli et al.\textsuperscript{5} of IL-1 stimulation alone increases PG loss, but the latter can be inhibited by concomitantly applying cyclic loading at 0.5 MPa; while PG loss in cartilage covering sesamoid bones already damaged by retinoic acid may be enhanced with cyclic loading of 1 MPa applied at 0.2 Hz.\textsuperscript{19}

Therefore, there is a discrepancy between studies with regard to the effects of collagen damage, mechanical loading, and the combination of both factors on PG loss. Part of these differences may result from an interaction between effects of the severity of collagen damage on one hand, and effects of cyclic mechanical loading on the other hand. The premise is that a disrupted collagen network would result in a more open structure, which facilitates the transport of PGs entangled within the ECM out of the tissue over time. This transport may already occur as a result of passive diffusion but may be enhanced by convection. Sah et al.\textsuperscript{20} have shown that, after 3 to 5 days postloading, cartilage disks that were statically compressed for 12 hours lost around 7.5% of the PG content compared with unloaded controls; while disks that were cyclically compressed for 24 hours lost 20.9% of the PG content compared with unloaded controls. This may suggest that, under unloaded or static loading conditions, only diffusion is effective, but cyclic loading stimulates convection and may therefore result in more PG loss compared with statically loaded or unloaded controls. This may be the case particularly in tissues with damaged collagen. We have previously shown that collagen damage as a result of mechanical overloading may start either as surface roughening with larger clefts penetrating the tissue from the surface, or as diffuse collagen damage that starts internally, below the surface.\textsuperscript{21} However, how these different types of collagen damage affect PG loss is still unknown. The present study therefore aims to answer the following questions: (1) Is PG loss dependent on the amount of collagen damage in articular cartilage, and does it depend on whether this collagen damage is superficial or internal? (2) Does repeated loading further increase the already enhanced PG loss in cartilage with collagen damage?

**Methods**

**Osteochondral Plug Preparation**

A total of 56 osteochondral plugs (diameter = 7.5 mm) were harvested from 22 metacarpal proximal epiphyses of 1-year-old calves using a column drill, as described previously.\textsuperscript{21} During drilling and cutting, osteochondral plugs were irrigated with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and afterwards they were stored in PBS at −20°C until mechanical testing.

**Mechanical Loading**

After thawing at room temperature for 1 hour, the osteochondral plugs were allowed to swell freely in 20 mL PBS
for 24 hours ($t = 0$, Figs. 1 and 2). Then, cartilage thickness for each sample was determined as the average height measured with a digital microscope (Keyence VHX-500FE, Itasca, IL, USA) at four different locations on the sample. The plugs were then mounted into a custom-made polycarbonate container by placing the osseous sections into a 7.5-mm diameter hole in the center of the container and using a flat plate to apply a 25 N compressive force distributed over the entire surface of the samples to press-fit them into place.21 The container was filled with 50 mL PBS and covered with a lid to avoid evaporation.

Mechanical indentation experiments were performed with a tensile testing machine (Universal Testing Machine BT1-FB010TND30, nominal force 2.5 kN, Zwick/Roell, Ulm, Germany). A 2-mm-diameter hemispherical impermeable indenter was used for all loading protocols to ensure the effects of loading pertain to the center and not to the cut edges of the samples. All indentations were applied in displacement-control at the displacement rates defined below, until the required force was reached. For the creep steps, the prescribed force was kept constant during the desired loading period.
Table 1. Overview of the Conditions Applied per Group.

| Sample Group | n  | Overloading OL (Collagen Damage) | Overloading Rate (mm/min) | Repeated Loading RL (cycles) | Creep | Research Question(s) |
|--------------|----|----------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|-------|----------------------|
| OL5          | 8  | Yes                              | 5 mm/min                  | No                         | No    | 1 and 2              |
| OL5-C        | 4  | Yes                              | 5 mm/min                  | No                         | Yes   | 1 and 2              |
| OL120        | 8  | Yes                              | 120 mm/min                | No                         | No    | 1 and 2              |
| OL120-C      | 4  | Yes                              | 120 mm/min                | No                         | Yes   | 1 and 2              |
| CTRL         | 4  | No                               | —                          | No                         | No    | 1 and 2              |
| CTRL-C       | 4  | No                               | —                          | No                         | Yes   | 1 and 2              |
| RL           | 4  | No                               | —                          | Yes                        | No    | 2                    |
| RL-C         | 4  | No                               | —                          | Yes                        | Yes   | 2                    |
| RL+OL5       | 4  | Yes                              | 5 mm/min                  | Yes                        | No    | 2                    |
| RL+OL5-C     | 4  | Yes                              | 5 mm/min                  | Yes                        | Yes   | 2                    |
| RL+OL120     | 4  | Yes                              | 120 mm/min                | No                         | No    | 2                    |
| RL+OL120-C   | 4  | Yes                              | 120 mm/min                | Yes                        | No    | 2                    |

*Groups that were used to address the first or the second research question are indicated in the last column by “1” or “2,” respectively.

To address the first research question, on the dependence of PG loss on the amount and type of collagen damage in articular cartilage, overloading-induced collagen damage was achieved by ramping 5 times from 0.05 to 45 N at either 5 mm/min (OL5, n = 8) or 120 mm/min (OL120, n = 8), resulting in a total cycle time of approximately 40 and 20 seconds, respectively (Fig. 1). The 2 displacement rates were chosen so as to produce different amounts of collagen damage as previously described in Henao-Murillo et al.21 During this relatively short loading period, the tissue is significantly strained, but convection remains limited. To monitor changes in cartilage mechanical properties as a result of this loading regime, additional groups of samples (OL5-C, n = 4; OL120-C, n = 4) also received creep steps consisting of 2 subsequent 35-minute holding periods at 1 N and 4 N.22,23 These were applied immediately before overloading (creep step 1), immediately after overloading (creep step 2), as well as 6 hours after overloading (creep step 3). Control samples with and without creep steps (CTRL, n = 4; CTRL-C, n = 4) were not subjected to the 5 cycles of overloading. Table 1 overviews the conditions applied per group; for this part of the study, the groups indicated by “2” in the last column were used.

To address the second research question, on whether repeated loading further increases the already enhanced PG loss in cartilage with collagen damage, the same protocol was used, but with additional repeated loading (RL). A total of 12 RL cycles were applied, each consisting of holding the load at 0.1 N for 5 minutes, ramping up to 5 N at 5 mm/min, and holding at 5 N for 5 minutes (Fig. 2). The repeated 5-minute loading periods are long enough to induce convection.15 Therefore, differences in PG loss between samples that received and did not receive the cyclic compression may be attributed to convection-induced transport of PGs. The RL cycles were applied either in absence of the overloading step (RL, n = 4) or after overloading at either 5 mm/min (RL + OL5, n = 4) or at 120 mm/min (RL + OL120, n = 4). To monitor changes in cartilage mechanical properties as a result of this loading regime, additional groups of samples also received creep steps as described above (RL-C, n = 4; RL + OL5-C, n = 4; RL + OL120-C, n = 4). For this part of the study, the groups indicated by “2” in the last column of Table 1 were used.

After 8 hours of mechanical testing, samples were kept in PBS for 48 hours. Subsequently, cartilage was separated from the bone with a scalpel. The cartilage was then cut longitudinally through the middle of the indented area. One half was embedded in Tissue-Tek compound (Sakura Finetek, Torrance, CA, USA, Inc.), rapidly frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −30°C until histological processing. The other half was frozen without prior embedding and was not used in the current study. PBS was collected at t = 0 hours (i.e., 24 hours after equilibration, t = *0 hours in Figs. 1 and 2), immediately after the mechanical tests (t = *8 hours in Figs. 1 and 2), and 48 hours after the mechanical tests (t = *56 h in Figs. 1 and 2). PBS was stored at −20°C until further processing for quantification of released PGs.

Histology

To detect denatured collagen, 7 µm longitudinal cryosections were stained using col2-3/4m antibody, using a previously described protocol.21 Briefly, sections were dried for 90 minutes at 37°C, fixed for 5 minutes in 3.7% 0.1 M phosphate-buffered (pH 7.4) formaldehyde and then rinsed extensively in a large volume of PBS. Sections were dipped in 0.1% tween PBS incubated with 1% hyaluronidase (testicular, Type I-s, EC 3.2.1.35, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) for 30 minutes at 37°C to enhance the permeability of the extracellular matrix by removing PGs. Afterwards,
they were incubated in 10% normal horse serum for 30 minutes to block nonspecific staining and incubated overnight at 4°C with 1/20 col2-3/4m antibody. The next day, sections were incubated in biotin-labeled horse anti-mouse antibody (1/400, IgG (H + L), produced in horse, Vector Laboratories, Inc., Burlingame, CA, USA) for 1 hour at room temperature. Finally, they were incubated with streptavidin 555 reagent (streptavidin, Alexa Fluor 555 conjugate, Invitrogen, Waltham, MA, USA) for 30 minutes. To detect nuclei, they were counterstained with 1:1000 DAPI (Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA, USA). After each preparation step, sections were rinsed with PBS. After mounting with mowiol, stained sections were digitized at 10× (Zeiss Axiovert 200M, Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany).

Glycosaminoglycan Assay

Collected PBS was thawed at 37°C, real volumes were measured and then each tube was centrifuged for 5 minutes at 1000 rpm to separate small pieces of cartilage and bone from GAGs. Then, to concentrate the samples, 4 mL of PBS supernatant was poured into centrifugal filters (Amicon Ultra-4 centrifugal filter units, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) and centrifuged at 2500 RCF (relative centrifugal force) for 20 minutes. Papain digestion buffer and diluted GAG standard were used to prepare the GAG standards in duplicate. The same amount of papain digestion buffer was added to the filtered PBS. Both standards and samples were digested overnight at 60°C, after which they were centrifuged at 12000 rpm for 5 minutes. 40 µL of the samples and standards were then added in duplicate to a 96-well plate and centrifuged at 2500 RCF (relative centrifugal force) for 20 minutes. Papain digestion buffer and diluted GAG standard were used to prepare the GAG standards in duplicate. After each preparation step, sections were rinsed with PBS. After mounting with mowiol, stained sections were digitized at 10× (Zeiss Axiovert 200M, Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany).

Data Processing and Statistics

The severity of the superficial and internal collagen damage each received a score between 0 and 5, such that the total collagen damage ranged from 0 to 10. This scoring system was developed and validated previously for use with col2-3/4m-stained cartilage.21 In short, in the digitized col2-3/4m-stained sections, superficial damage was scored based on visible macroscopic irregularities at the cartilage surface, while microscopic internal damage was scored based on the area covered by the staining. Superficial damage was scored 0 in case of a perfectly smooth surface, 1 in case of macroscopic mild roughening of the surface, and 5 in case clefts penetrated through the cartilage and reached the bone. Internal damage was scored between 0 and 4 depending on the size of the area stained with the col2-3/4m probe, and 1 point was added to the score if the staining advanced into the cartilage surface.

During the creep steps, force and displacement values were recorded. The deformed height $\Delta H_n$ during each of the 3 creep steps, $n \in \{1,2,3\}$, was determined using the average position of the actuator during the displacement equilibration portion of each holding period (1 N and 4 N, dark gray and light gray boxes, respectively, in Figs. 1 and 2):

$$\Delta H_n = H_{n,AN} - H_{n,1N}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Using this equation, the stiffness $k_n$ of the samples at each of the 3 creep steps was calculated as

$$k_n = \frac{\Delta F}{\Delta H_n}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

with $\Delta F$ the force difference between the 2 holding periods, $\Delta F = 4 N - 1 N = 3 N$.

Spearman’s rank-order correlation was used to assess the relationship between the amount of PG loss and the grade of collagen damage. A visual inspection of the scatterplot was performed to evaluate whether the relationship was monotonically. An independent-samples $t$ test was run to determine if there were differences in the mean PG loss after 48 hours between the group in which collagen damage (total or internal) was absent (damage was graded ≤1) and the group in which collagen damage was clearly present (damage was graded >1). Outliers were assessed by boxplot inspection, normality was assessed using Shapiro-Wilk’s normality test, and homogeneity of variances was assessed by Levene’s test.

A 2-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to examine the effects of loading condition (i.e., “cycles” vs. “no cycles”) and collagen damage (i.e., “damage” vs. “no damage”). Residual analysis was performed to test for the assumptions of the 2-way ANOVA. Outliers were assessed by boxplot inspection, normality was assessed using Shapiro-Wilk’s normality test, and homogeneity of variances was assessed by Levene’s test.

A 2-way mixed ANOVA was used to determine whether there was an influence of the interaction between loading condition (i.e., “cycles” vs. “no cycles”) and the 3 creep steps on changes in stiffness. Outliers were assessed by boxplot inspection, normality was assessed using Shapiro-Wilk’s normality test, homogeneity of variances and covariances were assessed by Levene’s test and Box’s M test, respectively, and sphericity was evaluated with Mauchly’s test. All statistical analyses were carried out with IBM SPSS Statistics 25.

Results

Examples of different categories of collagen damage are shown in Figure 3.

The total damage score in loaded samples ranged from 0 (no damage) to 5.5, with damage occurring both at the surface...
Figure 3. Typical examples of samples stained with col2-3/4m for collagen damage (stained areas) and DAPI for cell nuclei (background dots): (a) Undamaged cartilage. (b-d) Examples of internal collagen damage (white arrows in figures b, c and d, damage scores 3-4) and mild superficial roughening (grey upper arrow in figure d, damage score 1-2). Note that the line of red staining at the bottom of the images is staining of collagen in the mineralized cartilage zone and is always present. Scale bars = 200 µm.

Figure 4. Scatter plot of total collagen damage and PG loss after 56 hours. Markers corresponding to overloaded samples at 45 N applied at either 5 or 120 mm/min and markers corresponding to control samples that did not receive the 45 N load are indicated in the legend. \( n_{\text{TOTAL}} = 32 \); overloading/damage: \( n_{\text{OL}} = 24 \); no overloading/no damage: \( n_{\text{CTRL}} = 8 \) (see Table 1). Correlation: \( \rho = 0.649^* \); \( P < 0.0005 \); *significance level \( \alpha = 0.01 \). Insert: average PG loss for pooled samples with total collagen damage \( \leq 1 \) or \( >1 \). Data are presented as mean ± SD.

and internally (as shown by col2-3/4m staining). Superficial damage was mild and ranged between 1 and 2. The maximum internal damage score was 3.5, meaning that more than 50% of the cartilage between articular surface and bone stained...
positive for collagen damage. Control samples never stained for internal damage, but mild irregularities were visible at the surface of most samples (Fig. 4).

With regard to the first research question of the study, on the relationship between PG loss and collagen damage, Spearman’s rank-order correlation revealed a statistically significant correlation between PG loss after 8 hours of mechanical testing ($t = 8$ hours) and superficial macroscopic damage ($\rho = 0.449$, $P = 0.10$, significance level $\alpha = 0.01$). No significant correlations were found between PG loss and total or internal collagen damage at this time point. A good and statistically significant correlation between PG loss after 48 hours in PBS post-loading ($t = 56$ hours) and both the total ($\rho = 0.651$*) and the internal ($\rho = 0.651$*) collagen damage was found. Evaluation of PG loss against the internal collagen damage suggests that loss of PG is governed by the presence of internal collagen damage but is less dependent on the amount of internal damage (Fig. 5). Conversely, at $t = 56$ hours, the superficial collagen damage score was very low (grade between 1 and 2) and did not correlate significantly with PG loss.

As concerns to the second research question of the study, on the effect of repeated loading (cycles) on the already enhanced PG loss in cartilage with collagen damage, 2-way ANOVA showed an interaction between loading condition (i.e., cycles/no cycles) and collagen damage (i.e., damage/no damage; $P = 0.00027$, Fig. 6 and Table 2). The simple main effects revealed that for cartilage without collagen damage, the mean PG loss was significantly higher in samples that were repeatedly compressed than in those that received no compression cycles ($P = 0.00049$, Fig. 6 and Table 2). There was also a significant difference in mean PG loss between cartilage with an intact and a damaged collagen network when no cycles were applied ($P = 0.00015$, Fig. 6 and Table 2). However, whether or not cyclic loading was applied did not significantly change PG loss in cartilage with compromised collagen network. Interestingly, cyclic loading alone or collagen damage alone seem to cause similar PG loss in healthy cartilage.

Finally, the change in mechanical properties of the samples during the experiment was monitored using the three 1 N/4 N creep steps. Initial cartilage layer thickness at $t = 0$ was $H_0 = 0.97 \pm 0.15$ mm. Stiffness increased over the 3 creep steps ($P < 0.0005$, Fig. 7), and there was no statistically significant difference between stiffness increase with cycles and with no cycles ($P = 0.0456$, significance level $\alpha = 0.01$). Furthermore, there was no influence of the
interaction between loading condition (i.e., cycles–no cycles) and the 3 creep steps on the changes in stiffness ($P = 0.143$, Fig. 7), that is, the change in stiffness across the three creep steps was not dependent on whether loading cycles were applied or not. Nevertheless, stiffness was always larger in the group that received repeated loading (cycles) compared to the group without repeated loading (no cycles).

**Discussion**

The aims of the present study were (1) to assess whether there is a relationship between total PG loss and the amount and type of collagen damage in articular cartilage and (2) to determine whether cyclic loading further increases the already enhanced loss of PGs in cartilage with collagen damage. The premise was that repeated loading would enhance the transport of PGs out of the tissue through convection, in addition to the diffusive transport due to a damaged collagen network. In one subset of cartilage samples PG loss was measured at different time points and correlated with mechanically induced collagen damage, while in another subset loading cycles were additionally applied to investigate the effect of damage and repeated loading on this loss. Changes in mechanical properties of the tissue were monitored in terms of cartilage stiffness, measured by creep indentation.

The results showed that, 48 hours postloading, PG loss was increased in cartilage samples that had more total collagen damage. Interestingly, PG loss was dependent on the presence, but not the amount of internal damage. Furthermore, the study revealed that repeated compressive loading alone significantly enhanced PG loss in cartilage with intact collagen, supporting the hypothesis that PG transport and loss depend on convection. However, it was shown that once PG loss is increased because the collagen network is damaged, it is not further enhanced by cyclic compression. This suggests that the enhanced diffusivity of PGs in cartilage with mild collagen damage may dominate over the potential effect of increased convection induced by the repeated loading protocol applied in this study.

Taken together, the results may be interpreted as follows. If the collagen network in cartilage is damaged, PGs may leave the tissue. Under unloaded conditions this effect may be attributed to enhanced diffusivity through a more open collagen network. The presence of damage to the internal collagen network, as demonstrated herein with col2-3/4m staining, more than doubles the amount of PGs that is released in the culture medium. Because this internal collagen damage starts in the intermediate or high deep zone, it may be speculated that PGs from these zones may find their way to the surface and leave the cartilage. However, this effect was not significant enough to become visible in histological slides stained with Alcian blue (data not shown). Indeed, assessing the PG content in tissue has been reported to be less sensitive than quantifying PG loss in media.17 Furthermore, total PG loss increased with total collagen damage, suggesting that although there is no significant correlation between surface damage and PG loss, damage to the superficial zone may allow more PGs to leave the cartilage.

If PGs from deeper zones are to be transported to the surface, it may be expected that convection would stimulate such transport, in particular because PGs are relatively large.

**Table 2.** Mean (Standard Deviation) Proteoglycan Loss (µg) After 48 Hours in Phosphate-Buffered Saline Post-loading ($t = 56$ Hours).

| Collagen Damage | No Cycles | Cycles | $P$, No Cycles vs. Cycles |
|-----------------|-----------|--------|--------------------------|
| No collagen damage | 739 (547) | 1617 (583) | 0.00049 |
| Collagen damage | 1633 (668) | 1212 (628) | 0.00015 |
| $P$, No collagen damage vs collagen damage | 0.00015 | 0.00027 (interaction) |

**Figure 7.** Stiffness as calculated between the low (1 N) and high (4 N) displacement equilibration portion during the first, second, and third creep steps, according to equation (2). $n_{TOTAL} = 24$; cycles: $n_{NoCycles} = 12$; no cycles: $n_{NoCycles/CtrlCycles} = 12$, see Table 1). Asterisk: statistically significant differences between creep steps (first, second, and third), $P < 0.0005$. 

17. Indeed, assessing the PG content in tissue has been reported to be less sensitive than quantifying PG loss in media.
molecules.25 Interestingly, however, this is not the case, as PG loss from damaged cartilage is not different between repeatedly loaded and free swelling samples. One explanation may be that the damage already increased the diffusivity of the PGs to such extent that either the diffusion overrules any additional effects of convection, or the increase is negligible and falls within the variability of the measurements. Another explanation may be found in the compaction of the cartilage after loss of PGs in combination with the cyclic compressive loading. Indeed, indentation was significantly deeper after the 4 N holding period in the first creep step than in the second and third, but the difference in indentation depths were only 4.2% between the first and second step ($P = 0.001$) and 4.9% between the first and third ($P = 0.0001$). However, it is possible that this compaction, which is likely the result of loss of fluid and theoretically results in a more compressed collagen network, is partially responsible for the increased stiffness. In essence, this is an effect that is commonly referred to as strain-dependent permeability.20 Thus, the compromised collagen network may be open in cartilage under free swelling, enhancing the diffusivity of PGs, whereas this enhanced diffusivity is counteracted if the same network is compacted by external cyclic mechanical loading. It is widely known that solute transport by convection may be restricted or even inhibited when the porosity and permeability of the superficial zone is decreased.15

These findings are largely in line with previous literature. PG loss was often reported in combination with collagen network damage, reflected as changes in cartilage shape,27 fissures or cracks,28,29 internal cleavage,8 or denaturation.11,30 Enzymatic degradation of cartilage discs with collagenase for different periods of time resulted in loss of PG content from the cartilage zones where collagen cleavage occurred.8 In agreement with our results, osteochondral plugs subjected to unconfined compression showed more macroscopic damage (i.e., cracks) and an increase in PG loss with higher stresses.29 PG loss as a result of cyclic loading was reported by Loening et al.,12 who hypothesized that their cyclic injurious compression regime would induce collagen fiber degradation, demonstrated by the decrease in equilibrium and dynamic stiffness. The present study shows that osteochondral samples released PGs from 24 hours before mechanical loading to 48 hours after the loading was applied. This holds for all samples, including the unloaded controls, which lost the lowest amount of PGs over time (111.9 ± 49 µg in the 24 hours of equilibration in PBS, up to $t = 0$; 55.9 ± 32.3 µg in the 8 hours in which loaded samples were mechanically tested, up to $t = 8$ hours; and 1261.9 ± 735.1 µg in the 48 hours in PBS postloading, up to $t = 56$ hours). Nevertheless, PG loss was significant and showed a good correlation with collagen damage only in the 48 hours postloading. These results are in agreement with other studies who reported an increase in PG loss during a postloading period.11,12,27,30 The finding that enhanced PG loss is dependent on the presence of internal collagen damage rather than on the amount thereof might agree with the finding of Lin et al.11 stating that PG loss occurs within 6 hours of cycling loading, and independent of the applied peak stress.

With regard to the effects of cyclic loading, the enhanced PG loss in healthy cartilage observed here has also been reported by various studies.5,11,12,14,20,30 Using even lower frequency cycles (2 hours on–2 hours off) than those applied in the present study, Sah et al.20 showed enhanced PG loss in cartilage over a 24-hour period both during loading and in the postloading period.20 Higher frequency loading (0.1 Hz and 1.0 Hz) also contributed to PG loss, and this effect was also enhanced during the post-loading period.14 In contrast, other studies reported cyclic loading to decrease PG loss, but these studies used cartilage samples with maintained cell viability and their results may be partly explained by an inhibition in PG synthesis.15,23,32 Furthermore, Ostendorf et al.19 found that cyclic loading of already degenerated cartilage through retinoic acid treatment further decreased PG content especially during the post-loading period. This additional effect of loading in damaged cartilage was not observed in the present study. It is possible that the retinoic acid applied by Ostendorf et al.19 not only degenerated the collagen network but had additional effects on the ECM that influenced PG loss.

Measured tissue stiffness significantly increased from the first to the second and third creep steps ($P < 0.0005$), which is consistent with literature results showing that creep loading increases tissue stiffness of articular cartilage samples compared with samples that do not undergo creep.22 However, there was no correlation between stiffness and any of the collagen damage types (superficial, internal, or total). This could be either due to the variability in the stiffness measurements, that may have been too large to reveal any significant correlation, or due to the too small effects of early damage to be captured by differences in creep.

A high variability in PG loss between samples was observed in the current study. Some samples that were not graded as being damaged lost over 1000 µg of PGs, while some damaged samples lost as little as 521 µg of PGs. This may be a sample-dependent effect, but it is also possible that a type of micro-damage occurred in the collagen fibrils that is not detectable with col2-3/4 antibody, yet still stimulates PG release.18

Another important consideration in the interpretation of the results presented herein is the age of the animal from which the tissue was harvested. Compared to immature bovine cartilage (e.g., 4- to 8-week-old calves), in adult bovine cartilage (e.g., 3- to 5-year-old steers) both PGs and chondroitin sulfate–rich regions become smaller,33 which might directly affect the fixed charge density and GAG content of the tissue and, consequently, its compressive properties.34 In human articular cartilage,
a rapid decline of GAG content with age has been observed: When body growth is complete, the content of GAG (dry weight) in the superficial zone of cartilage is about 15%, amount which decreases during aging and reaches about 7% by the age of 70 years. Immature cartilage from 8-week-old rabbits was shown to contain more GAGs and to have a higher wet weight and hydrodynamically larger chondroitin sulfate–rich PG than mature cartilage, therefore acting as an elastic cushion of water which protects the bone surface. As concerns the collagen network, its structure and content differ depending on the specimen age. Changes of the collagen network during growth and maturation in pigs include a decrease in collagen content and gradual changes in collagen fibril orientation from parallel to the cartilage surface throughout the uncalcified tissue in 4-month-old animals to a classical Benninghoff architecture structure in 21-month-old animals. Importantly, the development of articular cartilage is different at different joint surfaces, as was observed in the findings of Julkunen et al., showing that the collagen network organization develops earlier in tibial cartilage than in femoral cartilage in New Zealand rabbits.

The samples used for the present study were harvested from 1-year-old cows. Histology reveals an arcade-like collagen orientation, indicating that cartilage stiffness and resistance to deformation may be close to those of adult bovine tissue. However, cows at this age have probably not developed fully mature cartilage yet. For instance, Otsuki et al. mention that cows older than 2 years are skeletally mature, which is in line with the study of Levin et al. who used mature bovine cartilage from 1.5- to 2-year-old cows. However, the study of Thambyah et al. showed that 2-year-old bovine specimens are adolescent. This could mean that the amount and size of PGs is decreased and the collagen network may approximate the arcade structure proposed in the Benninghoff model.

Finally, it is possible that the overloading used in the present study damaged not only the collagen network but also the PG molecules. This would have resulted in smaller PG fragments, which could then have diffused faster through the tissue even in an intact collagen network. Theoretically, such effect may have produced a similar type of results. Therefore, determination of the distribution of PG fragment size in the collected PBS is advised in future studies.

To conclude, PG loss increases with the amount of total collagen damage, and in the presence of internal collagen damage PG loss is considerably enhanced. This suggests that collagen damage increases the diffusivity of PGs in cartilage and that not only superficial PGs but also those residing in deeper zones may leave the cartilage through enhanced diffusion. The repeated loading applied in the present study enhanced PG loss in cartilage without collagen damage, suggesting a significant impact of convection in the transport of PGs. However, it did not further stimulate PG loss in damaged cartilage, in which PG loss is already enhanced without cyclic loading. This suggests that either enhanced diffusion in damaged cartilage overrules the effects of convection, or that tissue compaction due to mechanical loading counteracts the increased permeability of the open collagen network.
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