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INTRODUCTION

Situs inversus totalis (SIT) is a rare congenital condition seen in 0.01% of the population. It is characterized by the transposition of major thoracic organs and all of abdominal visceral organs to the opposite side of the body. The liver and gallbladder are located on the left side of the abdomen, while the stomach and the spleen are on the right side. Even though the etiology is not known exactly, it is thought to be due to a genetic defect occurring within the second week of embryonic life. It is seen equally in both genders.

Laparoscopic cholecystectomy has become the gold standard for treatment of symptomatic gallbladder calculi in late 1900s. However, trend for minimizing of surgical trauma lead to development of new techniques in laparoscopic surgery. In 1997, Navara et al. performed cholecystectomy via two umbilical ports and using three-sling-sutures. Since then, single incision laparoscopic surgery (SILS) entered a rapid development. It has promising advantages such as better cosmetic result and lesser postoperative pain.

In this manuscript, single incision laparoscopic cholecystectomy (SILC) performed in a case with SIT is presented.

CASE REPORT

A 49-year-old man who was known to have SIT, was admitted for elective cholecystectomy due to cholelithiasis. He presented to the department of surgery with complaints of left sided pain in the hypochondrium accompanied with nausea and vomiting after meals. The electrocardiogram and chest X-rays shows signs of dextrocardia [Figure 1]. On ultrasonographic evaluation, the right lobe of the liver and gallbladder were found on the left side; the spleen was visualized on the right. The gallbladder was filled with several gallstones. The common bile duct was normal in diameter. In the operating room, the surgeon and camera assistant were positioned on the right and the laparoscopy tower on the left. A single intra-umbilical 20 mm vertical incision was made by pulling out the umbilicus. After exposing the fascia, a single port trocar (Ethicon® Single Port) was applied just through the umbilicus and pneumoperitoneum was maintained stable at 12 mm Hg with carbon dioxide. The patient was put in a reverse trendelenburg position and rotated to the right. An initial laparoscopy inspected the reversed position of all intraperitoneal viscera [Figure 1]. The gallbladder was retracted with a suture placed along the gallbladder through the left side of the abdominal wall. Reticulating devices were entered through the port. Dissection was performed using an electric cauterity hook (Ethicon® Endopath® Hook Electrode) and reticulating dissector (Covidien Articulating SILSTM Dissector and SILSTM Grasper). Before clipping, meticulous dissection...
and complete clearance of cystic duct and cystic artery represent an essential step for a safe procedure [Figure 2]. The cystic duct and artery were separately clipped with a standard 5-mm clip applier (Ethicon® Ligaclip®) and divided [Figure 3]. The specimen was retrieved through the umbilical incision. Finally, the 20 mm trocar site was closed with an absorbable suture and the umbilicus was restored to its physiological position. The operating time was 90 min and the procedure was completed without technical problems and complication. Patient received per os at 6 h postoperatively and was mobilized. The patient was discharged on the first day in a nice condition.

**DISCUSSION**

SIT is characterized by the transposition of thoracal and abdominal organs to the opposite side of the body and seen rarely. Frequently, it can be associated with many anatomic variations. When it is associated with bronchiectasis and sinusitis, it is called as Kartagener's syndrome.[2-4] Diagnosis can be made with physical examination, electrocardiography, chest X-ray and abdominal scanning like ultrasonography or computed tomography.

Laparoscopic cholecystectomy is one of the most frequently performed surgical procedures in surgical clinics. The method has many advantages such as better toleration by the patients, less postoperative pain, less postoperative scarring, rapid recovery and faster return to work. Surgeons went into the effort of reducing the number and size of trocars in order to increase these obvious and clear benefits of conventional laparoscopy. Natural orifice surgery and SILS emerged in this sense and they were introduced into medical practice as the newest and the least invasive surgical methods.[7] First SILS was defined in 1990s and its use is rapidly increasing today by means of new instruments and equipment developed in this area. Randomized controlled studies are warranted to compare the clinical advantages of SILS with conventional laparoscopy. Major advantage of the technique is better cosmetic results obtained in the absence of obvious abdominal scarring. The most important problem in SILS application is the difficulty, experienced by the surgeon in adaptation to new instruments. Surgeon and assistant usually interfere with each other. Some of these disadvantages of the technique can be removed by using semi-flexible camera systems and cross-angled hand devices. Despite these restrictions of SILS, we could successfully perform our operation in the case with SIT in an appropriate period.

In SILC with SIT the surgeon stood on the patient’s left side and operated using standard SILS laparoscopic instruments. The fundic retraction of the gallbladder in our patient was achieved with a hanging suture to the skin, but this may also be performed with a clinch or grasper by the surgeon. We preferred to use the grasper, for retracting the Hartmann’s pouch of the gallbladder, we thought that this maneuver eased the exposure of Calot’s triangle and anterograde dissection.[8] The gallbladder was retracted with a grasper clasped in surgeon’s left hand and dissection of cystic structures performed with a dissector with the surgeon’s right hand. The challenging factor is the mirror-image anatomy of the patient which leads some or less problems with orientation and dissection. Although
there is no evidence suggesting that there is increased risk of injuries in SIT patients, the surgeon should identify the whole anatomy before clipping and dissecting any structure, because, there is an increased possibility of associated biliary tract and vascular anomalies in SIT patients.

In the English-language literature we found many reports of successful laparoscopic cholecystectomy with SIT.[8-11] However, reported SILC cases are scarce.[12-14] No natural orifice transluminal endoscopic surgery (NOTES) procedure for cholecystectomy in patient with SIT has reported yet. Although the single-port or single-incision procedures are cosmetically more approachable, technically they are slightly more time-consuming and more difficult and costly procedures. However, they can be performed safely in SIT patients using particular attention to the structural anatomy of the biliary field. To achieve this, surgeon has to pay attention to stand in strategic position of a patient, himself or herself and laparoscopic instruments.

In conclusion, SILC can be safely applied in the cases with SIT. Randomized controlled studies compared with conventional laparoscopy are warranted to be able to introduce the technique into routine practice.
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