Polarization and modal filters based on W-fibers Panda for fiber-optic gyroscopes and high-power fiber lasers

Alexander. M. Kurbatov
Roman. A. Kurbatov
1 Introduction

In single-mode (SM) fibers there are two mutually orthogonal fundamental polarization modes (x- and y-modes). Due to their cross-talk, total polarization will not be stable along the fiber even if only one of these modes excited at fiber input. However, a stable polarization is required in fiber communication lines\(^1\) and fiber sensors.\(^2\) It also will improve the high-power fiber lasers’ performance.\(^3\) Thus fibers are necessary, polarizing (PZ) at least within a certain spectral range (window). For this dichroism window, we assume that within it, x-mode loss does not exceed 0.1 dB and y-mode loss is above 30 dB on a fiber whole length (10 dB for higher-order x-mode and fundamental y-mode losses in high-power fiber laser modal and polarization filters).

In Sec. 2, we present a brief overview of polarization errors in Sagnac fiber loop interferometer (FLI), validating the necessity in different length PZ-fibers from 1 to 1000 m (for lasers this validating could be found in Ref. 3). In Sec. 3, we describe refractive index W-profile as a basis for a wide class of PZ-fibers and known from literature some PZ-fibers’ realizations. In Sec. 4, we describe two mathematical models for such fibers’ analysis. Section 5 describes a 500-m sample of Panda PZ-fiber, a prototype of PZ-fiber for FOG sensing coil, measures for further performance improvement of this kind of fiber, and an example of calculated W-profiles for coil PZ-fibers with different MFD from 6 to 10 μm. Section 6, in the same way, describes a short (~1 m) PZ-fibers Panda with calculated W-profiles examples with MFD from 8 to 10 μm. Finally, in Sec. 7, we describe some polarization and modal filters with raised and large MFD, which could be used in high-power fiber lasers.

2 Polarization Errors in Fiber Loop Interferometer

In FLI, which is, for example, a part of FOG, one fundamental accuracy limit is polarization nonreciprocity (PNR). It is caused by parasitic interference of x- and y-modes, passed through FLI with different velocities, when their small parts may be converted to another polarization state due to polarization mode coupling (PMC).

A well-known FLI minimal configuration\(^2\) uses an input polarizer with amplitude extinction ratio \(\varepsilon \ll 1\) to suppress y mode. First,\(^2\) it was set \(\varepsilon = 0\) (zero PNR), but for \(\varepsilon \neq 0\) an extremely severe limitation of \(\varepsilon^2 \sim 120\) dB was yielded.\(^4\) However, then in it was shown in Refs. 5 and 6 that high birefringent (hi-bi) fiber coil together with broadband source strongly suppress PNR, so \(\varepsilon^2 \sim 50\) dB is enough.\(^5\)

Further, a total PNR is divided into three parts\(^5\) \(\psi_{1,2,3}\) in accordance with light Stokes parameters \(s_{1,2,3}\), so \(\psi_1 \sim \varepsilon^2\) (intensity PNR) and \(\psi_{2,3} \sim \varepsilon\) (amplitude PNR’s). That is to our knowledge a first PNR analytical treatment based on a distributed stochastic PMC model in coil fiber, along with its optical axes misalignment relative to the polarizer axes. In the absence of this misalignment one may write\(^6\)

\[
\psi_1 \sim s_1 \varepsilon^2 \sqrt{L_y L_y}, \quad \psi_{2,3} \sim s_{2,3} \varepsilon \sqrt{h L_y},
\]

where \(L_y\) is depolarization length, \(L\) is fiber length (\(L_y \ll L\), \(h\) is fiber h-parameter. Later, a numerical model was suggested for stochastic PMC,\(^7\) which is universal for either hi- or lo-bi fibers. It treats the fiber as a sequence of short fiber sections with random lengths (on average 25 mm)
and random twists. For minimal FLI with hi-bi coil it agrees very well with Eq. (1).

Generally Eq. (1) yields $\psi_{2,3} \gg \psi_1$, so errors $\psi_{2,3}$ suppression, even with $\psi_1$ left unchanged, already means PNR large suppression. Moreover, although $\psi_1 \sim \varepsilon^2$, it could be effectively suppressed by FLI polarizer. For this purpose, several FLI schemes were suggested using an additional hi-bi optical element before or after the polarizer for input x- and y-waves total decoherence, which should lead to $\psi_{2,3} = 0$. We further assume that it is hi-bi input fiber before the polarizer, although it also could be a proton-exchanged input waveguide of integrated optic chip (IOC).

However in Ref. 10, a high-order PMC is not considered in coil fiber. There is no need to do this for minimal FLI, but with input hi-bi fiber there will be small residual PN Rs due to high-order PMC, which may set a new limitation on $\psi_{2,3}$ suppression.\(^{11}\) Thus it still can be suppressed by FLI polarizer. Of course, when $B_{in}L_{in} > BL$ (both $B$ and $L$ are the same for coil fibers), then $\psi_{2,3}$ are fully suppressed. But this means $L_{in} \sim L$, which is undesirable constructively. Also, this leads to PNR suppression limitations due to PMC in the long input fiber itself. So we take $L_{in} \ll L$, and a new formula for $\psi_{2,3}$ is\(^{11}\):

$$\psi_{2,3} \sim s_{2,3} \varepsilon h^{3/2} L \sqrt{L},$$

which is only by a factor $\sim 1/hL$ smaller in comparison to Eq. (1). Thus further $\psi_{2,3}$ suppression is needed. One may use a coil of PZ-fiber. In Ref. 11, we used a numerical model for PZ-fiber, which is a generalization of model for PM-fiber.\(^{6}\) According to Ref. 11, when input fiber is present, errors $\psi_{2,3}$ are anyway considerably reduced by PZ-coil, but there is one special condition of their radical suppression to an arbitrary small level, as was expected in Ref. 10. This condition has a simple form:\(^{11}\)

$$aL_{in}B_{in}/B \gg 1,$$

where $a$ is y-mode decaying coefficient in PZ-coil. Here, input fiber plays the role of depolarizer.

As for $\psi_1$, PZ-coil reduces it even for minimal configuration FLI by a factor of $(aL)^{3/2}$ (now it is total PNR),\(^{11}\) so here corresponding rotation rate error depends as $L^{-1/2}$, which followed from Eq. (1). Finally, input fiber also may be PZ, leading to additional essential suppression of $\psi_1$. Although input PZ-fiber is a naturally hi-bi component, it combines an input polarizer and a depolarizer for the above-described errors $\psi_{2,3}$ suppression.

In Ref. 11, we also considered PMC in input PZ-fiber and optical components axes misalignment. Although here, it is $\psi_{2,3} \neq 0$, it is still possible to greatly suppress PNR by reaching the $\psi_{2,3} \ll \psi_1$.

Thus, it is of great importance to develop PZ-fibers with different lengths (1 to 1000 m).

As a section conclusion, note that due to the condition $\psi_{2,3} \gg \psi_1$, PZ-coil in minimal configuration FLI is useful only if its dichroism is already large within the initial and final fiber sections with $L_f$ length, which form values of $\psi_{2,3}$. Moreover, it should come together with $\varepsilon$-mode small bend loss. Thus, realistic PZ-coil in minimal configuration FLI does not have any advantage against PM-coil, despite a much more stable polarization through the whole PZ-coil. This is quite an impressive illustration of the difference between the interferometric (phase) processes in FLI and the fiber intensity $h$-parameter, so the above-declared requirement of stable polarization in fibers is necessary but not enough.

3 Refractive Index W-profile and Known PZ-Fiber Realizations Based on It

Now let us turn to refractive index W-profile with its main features and to its implementation for PZ-fiber manufacturing.

3.1 W-Profile Definition and General Properties

Refractive index W-profile\(^{12}\) is shown in Fig. 1, along with its modification by fiber bend. It contains a core with refractive index $n_1$ and diameter $2\rho$, depressed clad (DC) with refractive index $n_2$ and diameter $2\tau$, and pure quartz clad (refractive index $n_3$). For W-profile it should be $n_2 < n_3 < n_1$. Let us introduce the following parameters:

$$\Delta n_+ = n_1 - n_3,$$

$$\Delta n_- = n_3 - n_2,$$

$$\Lambda = (n_3^2 - n_2^2)/(n_1^2 - n_2^2),$$

$$\chi = \tau/\rho.$$

The convenient matched clad (MC) or compensated refractive index profile corresponds to $\Delta n_- = 0$. Thus parameters $\Lambda$ and $\chi$ describe W-profile depth and width, respectively.

Further, profiles $n(x, y)$ and $n_0(x, y)$ of bent and straight fibers are related as $n(x, y) = n_0(x, y)/(1+\chi R)$ ($R$ is bend radius), which is illustrated by Fig. 1 right part.

It is known that W-fiber with wide and deep enough DC may possess even a fundamental mode finite cutoff.\(^{13}\) Also from Fig. 1 one may see that bending yields its own kind of “triangular” W-profile right to fiber vertical axis, which may be treated as a growth of initial W-profile depth. The latter in turn may be treated as a fundamental mode cutoff decreasing, which explains a bending loss nature. It is clear that this “triangular” W-profile also takes place in MC-fiber,\(^{13}\) so here bend loss may be treated as a bending “triangular” W-fiber fundamental mode cutoff.

It is also known that birefringence yields two different W-profiles for x- and y-modes, splitting their cutoffs\(^{14}\) or even setting the x-mode cutoff to be infinite.\(^{15}\) This leads to a dichroism window, which, according to x- and y-modes bend loss, may be shifted to shorter wavelengths due to

Fig. 1 Refractive index W-profiles of straight and bent fiber, $\rho$, and $n_1$ are core diameter and refractive index, $2\tau$ and $n_2$ the same for DC, $n_3$ is quartz cladding refractive index, $2R_0$ and $n_4$ are coating diameter and refractive index.
fiber bending. These principles are basic for polarizing hi-bi W-fibers.

3.2 Long Fibers (<500 m)

First samples of long PZ-fibers for FOG coils were described in Ref. 16. These are bending type bow-tie fibers with low-aperture core, allowing the birefringence to play a significant role at the background of its small Δn. Thus a wide dichroism window is possible, which is necessary for FOG with broadband source. Here, disadvantage is a significant spreading of fundamental mode out of the core. This leads to broadband source. Here, disadvantage is a significant spread.

Another long PZ-fiber sample is W-fiber with elliptical stress clad,19 which also may be implemented for FOG sensing coil. It is based on the same principle as the fiber from Ref. 15, which also requires a low aperture core (here it is determined by Δn_e + Δn_v ~ 0.005). The latter also leads to the inability of MFD varying within necessary limits and to tightly pack the fundamental mode within the core, which may result in a raised material loss within elliptical stress cladding.

Recently,20 we described PZ-fiber Panda based on high-aperture core W-profile (Δn_e + Δn_v ~ 0.015) and narrow, deep enough DC (δ ~ 1.7, Λ ~ 0.6), where 2ρ = 8.3 μm. This fiber may be associated with so called “upper” and “lower” MC-fibers with Δn = Δn_e and Δn = Δn_e + Δn_v (Fig. 2). “Upper” fiber (and PZ-fiber from Ref. 16) advantages are: (1) wide dichroism window due to effective birefringence utilization at the background of low Δn = Δn_e; (2) single mode regime. Disadvantages are: (1) problem to yield MFD 6 to 8 μm; (2) loss and fundamental mode field deformation due to penetration into SAP. For “lower” fiber, due to large Δn = Δn_e + Δn_v, one may say that situation is the reverse. The advantage of the fiber described in Ref. 20 is that it combines these two MC-fibers advantages, while leaving out their disadvantages.

Of course, as described in Ref. 20, fiber may be only treated as a prototype of polarizing W-fibers for FOG coil because its dichroism window is not wide enough.

However, its birefringence is only 3.4 × 10^{-4}, which is too small for such applications. Below, using this fiber, we’ll test x- and y-modes bending loss mathematical models, which, in turn, will be applied to reveal that birefringence growth is extremely effective for dichroism window widening and to show that there is a wide enough class of such high-aperture core W-profiles for FOG PZ-coils with MFD at least within 6 to 10 μm.

3.3 Short Polarizing W-Fibers (~1 m)

As for short PZ-fibers, their most known samples are described in Refs. 14 and 15. These are W-fibers with elliptical stress clad and dichroism window relative widths of 5% (birefringence is B = 4.7 × 10^{-4}) and 13% (B = 7 × 10^{-4}), respectively. In Ref. 14, x- and y-modes have finite and different cutoffs, the dichroism window is in the visible spectrum region and could be shifted to smaller wavelengths by bending the fiber. In Ref. 15, the x-mode cutoff is set to be infinite in order to yield a half-infinite dichroism window (near 0.85 μm). However, the latter is also limited from above due to x-mode strong penetration into quartz cladding and thus contacting with absorbing coating. This is due to the fact that for the x-mode infinite cutoff, a low-aperture core and narrow DC are required, which cannot tightly pack the fundamental mode within the core and prevent its contact with the coating (again, it is impossible to vary MFD enough). In Ref. 19, a short PZ-fiber is also described, based on the same principle as in Ref. 15.

In Ref. 21, to our knowledge, a first Panda-type PZ-fiber is suggested with different x- and y-mode cutoffs, as in Ref. 14. In Ref. 22, we describe a practical sample of this fiber, which being 1 m length in a straight state yields a dichroism 32 dB for typical FOG broadband source, possessing a dichroism window wider than 250 nm (B = 7.5 × 10^{-4}). The rest of the parameters may be taken from Ref. 22, so it is seen that this is a middle-aperture core fiber (Δn_e + Δn_v ~ 0.006), and χ = 2.4. X-mode spreads into quartz cladding only close to its cutoff. Below we test x- and y-mode loss mathematical models using this fiber, and then we will show that there are classes of middle- and high-aperture core W-profiles for PZ-fibers of such lengths, having MFD at least within 8 to 10 μm.

3.4 Large-MFD PZ Fibers

Such kinds of fibers currently are mainly microstructured ones. These fibers are under much softer bending resistance requirements or even operate without any bending (for example, so-called rod-type fibers with several mm diameter).23 Here, air holes have a small diameter, and PZ effect is reached by SAP. Also, one should have in mind a low-aperture core fiber with two air holes from both sides of it.3 In the latter case, SAP is also implemented to introduce the birefringence.

4 Mathematical Models

Here, we introduce two kinds of models based on a scalar wave equation (see below). One of them could be used only for straight fibers and another for both straight and bent fibers. Note that implementing them for the same problem yields the same results for each model.
4.1 Straight Infinite Coating Fiber

We will put for coating $n_4 = 1.54$ and $R_0 \rightarrow \infty$ (Fig. 1). Light is treated as a supermodes set. Field $\psi_{i,j}(r, \phi)$ of supermode with azimuthal order $l$ and number $j$ is described by scalar wave equation:

$$[\Delta_l + k^2 n^2(r, \phi) - (1/r)^2] \psi_{i,j}(r, \phi) = \beta^2_{l,j} \psi_{i,j}(r, \phi). \quad (3)$$

Here $\Delta_l$ is Laplace operator transverse part, $k$ is vacuum wave number, $n(r, \phi)$ is refractive index profile, and $\beta_{l,j}$ is supermode propagation constant.

Further, in step-index W-profile Eq. (3) has analytical solutions in each region with constant refractive index. In the coating, any supermode of interest is the outgoing cylindrical wave [Hankel function, according to Eq. (3)], carrying the energy to infinity (attenuation). In this case, a characteristic equation may be constructed using scalar boundary conditions (equalizing fields and their radial derivatives at each layer’s boundary). Complex propagation constants are thus calculated, and their imaginary parts are attenuation values.

As for supermodes excitation, it is provided by input beam with the field $\psi_{in}$, and their further propagation through the fiber takes into account their individual attenuation coefficients:

$$E_{i,j}(r, \phi, z) = \sum_{i,j} A_{i,j} \psi_{i,j}(r, \phi) \exp[-i \text{Re}(\beta_{l,j}) z - \text{Im}(\beta_{l,j}) z]. \quad (4)$$

where $A_{i,j} = \int d\psi_{in} \psi_{i,j}^\ast$ with integration is carried out over the core, DC, and quartz claddings. The sign * is complex conjugation. For multimode core communication W-fibers, it is better to replace this treatment by so-called power-flow conjugation. For multimode core communication W-fibers, it is better to replace this treatment by so-called power-flow conjugation. For multimode core communication W-fibers, it is better to replace this treatment by so-called power-flow conjugation.

4.2 Frequency Domain Finite Difference Method with PML

Here, we assume a rectangular fiber cross-section (Fig. 3) and PML is for light attenuation modeling. One may use full-vectorial approach for transverse magnetic fields. However, for weak guiding fibers (i.e., for all W-fibers and MC-fibers described in this paper) one may start from the scalar wave equation, similarly to the model in Sec. 4.1:

$$\left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \sigma_x(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ \sigma_y(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right] + k^2 n^2(x, y) \right\} E(x, y) = \beta^2 E(x, y),$$

where functions $\sigma_x(x)$ and $\sigma_y(y)$ describe PML with absorbing coefficient $\alpha$ and width $d$ in the way that $\sigma_x(x) = \sigma_y(y) = 1$ outside PML, and within it they are (similar to Ref. 28):

$$\sigma_x(|x| > \rho_d) = 1/[1 + i \alpha(x - \rho_d)^2/d^2],$$

$$\sigma_y(|y| > \rho_d) = 1/[1 + i \alpha(y - \rho_d)^2/d^2].$$

Here, $\rho_d$ is quartz clad radius. Further, mesh consists of $N_x$ and $N_y$ steps $\Delta x = 2/(d + \rho_d)/(N_x - 1)$ and $\Delta y = 2/(d + \rho_d)/(N_y - 1)$ along the $x$ and $y$ axis:

$$x_i = -d - \rho_d + (i - 1) \Delta x,$$

$$y_j = -d - \rho_d + (j - 1) \Delta y,$$

$$(j = 1, 2, \ldots, N_y).$$

According to discretization scheme from Ref. 30, one has for wave equation the following:

$$ax_i E_{i-1,j} + b_{i,j} E_{i,j} + cx_i E_{i+1,j} + ay_j E_{i,j+1} + cy_j E_{i,j-1} = \beta^2 E_{i,j},$$

where
\[ ax_i = \frac{\kappa x_{i+1}}{\Delta x^2}, \quad bx_i = k^2 c_{i+1} - \frac{\kappa x_{i+1} + \kappa_{y,j+1} + \kappa_{y,j}}{\Delta y^2}, \]

\[ cy_j = \frac{\kappa y_j}{\Delta y^2}, \quad ay_j = \frac{\kappa y_{j+1}}{\Delta y^2}, \]

\[ cx_i = \frac{\kappa x_i}{\Delta x^2}, \quad \sigma y_j = \kappa y_j + \kappa y_{j+1}, \]

\[ \sigma x_i = \sigma x_i(x_j), \quad \sigma y_j = \sigma y_j(y_j). \]

Note, that a concept of quasi-Gaussian selected \( x \)- and \( y \)-supermodes is also valuable for bent fiber. First a “lower” straight MC-fiber (Fig. 2) fundamental mode field and parameters are calculated, serving then as an initial approximation for desired W-fiber selected supermode.

Exactly the same method may be used for modal filtering estimation. Here, a selected supermode is the one that is closest to the first higher-order mode of “lower” straight MC-fiber associated with the W-fiber under consideration (Fig. 2). However, here, instead of one selected supermode, there are two of them, which in straight fiber have fields azimuthal behavior in the forms of \( \cos \phi \) and \( \sin \phi \). Their fields are differently deformed by fiber bending and their bend losses are not equal to each other, so modal filtering should be estimated according to the smaller one. The example of such modal filtering calculation result in W-fiber, along with its changes by bending, is presented in Ref. 31.

6 Short Polarizing W-Fibers (1 to 10 m)

For another test of described above mathematical models, we used \( x \)-, \( y \)-, and \( x \)-modes spectral loss curves from Ref. 22 [Fig. 5(a)]. Here, \( x \)-mode loss (curve 2) sharp growth near 1.7 \( \mu \)m is due to instrumental spectrum analyzer limitations (not to cutoff). Calculation by the model in Sec. 4.1 yields good agreement with the experiment for straight fiber \( x \)- and \( y \)-mode loss [1 and 2 on Fig. 5(a)]. For \( x \)-mode bend loss we used the model in Sec. 4.2 with SAP, having reduced refractive index. For this case, Fig. 5(b) shows several loss graphs. Graphs 1 and 2 are \( y \)- and \( x \)-mode loss in a straight fiber, thick lines 3 and 4 are the same in bent fiber, averaged within SAP orientation angle \( \theta \) region \( 0 \pm 20 \) deg (see Fig. 3), and dash-dotted lines 5 and 6 are the same in bent fiber at fixed \( \theta = 90 \) deg. Thus theoretical curves 1 to 4 on Fig. 5(b) correspond to experimental curves 1 to 4 on Fig. 5(a). A good agreement between theory and experiment is seen except resonance on curves 1 and 3 at wavelength 1.425 \( \mu \)m [Fig. 5(b)]. Probably this is due to W-profile parameter changes along the fiber, smoothing out this resonance on Fig. 5(a). Another dip at 1.45 \( \mu \)m on Fig. 5(b) is due to SAP, according to calculations. On Fig. 5(a) it has a lower depth (curve 1), and this again could be due to its smoothing by longitudinal W-profile changes. As for \( x \)-mode bend loss averaging

![Fig. 4 Y- and x-modes spectral loss in PZ-fiber (1 and 2) and light spectra (3), exciting x- and y-modes (a), and theoretical x- and y-mode attenuation spectra for W-fiber Panda wound on 55-mm diameter carcass (b). Curves 1 and 2 on (a) are for y- and x-mode at birefringence \( B = 3.4 \times 10^{-4} \); curves 3 and 4 on (b) are the same at \( B = 8.0 \times 10^{-4} \).](image-url)
within $\theta = \pm 20$ deg, this corresponds to the fact that this loss in experiment was dependent on fiber installation in table. 22

Strictly speaking, here, the dichroism window in bent fiber has zero width and is situated near 1.5 $\mu$m. For 0.1-dB $x$-mode bending loss at 1.55 $\mu$m it should be $\theta = 0$ deg. On the contrary, at $\theta = 90$ deg the dichroism window is absent [curves 5 and 6 on Fig. 5(b)], or even in some sense one might say that it has a negative width. At the same time, setting the SAP refractive index equal to the quartz cladding index, one will get loss close to the latter case of $\theta = 90$ deg. Thus SAP with lower refractive index not only introduces anisotropy but also significantly prevents dichroism window bending reduction.

Consider now the birefringence $B = 0.001$. It is achievable in Panda fibers, at least with 125- $\mu$m diameter, which is considered by us for short PZ-fibers. For example, Ref. 32 reports about $B = 1.15 \times 10^{-3}$ in bow-tie fiber, which are only slightly better in this sense than Panda fiber. In Table 2, parameters are listed for two W-profiles, yielding almost the same dichroism windows for 60-mm bending diameter (in straight fibers dichroism windows are different). The first W-profile is a small modification of the profile from Ref. 22 with a slightly raised $\Delta n_+$, the second W-profile is high-aperture core, similar to the above-considered (Sec. 5) W-profile for FOG coil PZ-fiber. Calculations for Table 2 also took into account SAP influence. Here, when angle $\theta$ averaging of $x$-mode bending loss within the limits $\theta = \pm 45$ deg we have got 0.1 dB, a necessary value plus many more soft requirements to fiber installation. Note that at bending diameters $2R > 70$ mm fiber may be installed arbitrarily.

Thus, none of the W-profiles by themselves provide the wide-band dichroism even with high birefringence. SAP with reduced refractive index is also necessary for $x$-mode bending loss decreasing.

Further, in Table 3, another two possible W-profiles are presented for MFD $= 8$ $\mu$m. Note that the second one is...
again a high-aperture-core narrow-DC W-profile. Thus a class of W-profiles is possible for each MFD, at least within 8 to 11 μm. This widens a region of possible MFD of PZ-fibers, known from literature. For MFD = 6 to 8 μm one should consider another W-profiles class; these will, of course, be high-aperture-core profiles, including a very high-aperture-core available by the SPCVD method.

In accordance with the above, we also suggest PZ-fiber construction with the cross-section shown in Fig. 6. Here, initially a W-profile in circular preform is manufactured for 250-μm diameter fiber. Then the preform is polished from two sides but between the rods and fiber boundary a thick enough quartz layer is left. Drawn fiber is wound on one of the flat boundaries to maintain the SAP orientation, which is most desirable for dichroism window. Such a fiber could be wound with a 30-mm diameter.

### Table 3 Example of refractive index W-profiles parameters for 1-m PZ-fiber with MFD = 8 μm.

| Parameter | Profile 1 | Profile 2 |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| \(\Delta n_+\) | 0.0045 | 0.0038 |
| \(\Delta n_-\) | 0.0045 | 0.009 |
| \(2\rho (\mu m)\) | 7.3 | 9.0 |
| \(\chi\) | 2.3 | 1.7 |

### 7 Large-MFD W-Fibers

These are not of interest for FOG, but they are useful for high-power fiber lasers. The spectral range here is near 1.06 μm with standard MFD = 6 to 7 μm. In MC SM fiber, which may be bent with a 60-mm diameter, according to calculations maximal MFD = 11.2 μm(\(\Delta n = 0.0023, 2\rho = 10.1 \mu m\)). For fibers with large MFD, it is better to determine this parameter by effective mode area \(A\) of two-dimensional mode field distribution \(E(x, y)\).

\[
\text{MFD} = 2\sqrt{\frac{A}{\pi}}. \quad A = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \text{d}x\text{d}y\vert E(x, y)\vert^2}{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \text{d}x \text{d}y \vert E(x, y)\vert^4}.
\]

#### 7.1 Middle-Aperture-Core W-Fiber

Applying the middle-aperture-core W-profile one may raise MFD at least to 12.4 μm. In Table 4, parameters are listed for realized Panda fiber. This 200-m fiber was wound with 160-mm diameter and \(h\)-parameter was \(10^{-8} 1/m\), which is obviously due to dichroism. Calculated value for the first high-order mode loss is >15 dB/m (straight fiber), and fundamental mode loss is <0.2 dB for one 60-mm diameter turn. Indeed, far-field scanning revealed a good SM light beam after the straight 1-m fiber. Here, similar to the dichroism window, it is possible to introduce the SM window oriented on high-order mode 10 dB attenuation.

For such types of W-profiles it is probably the maximum MFD that could be reached for the combination of bend resistance and SM regime in a straight fiber. Using such types of W-fibers for larger MFD could only be done through fundamental and high-order modes bend losses difference (as in Ref. 35) for convenient MC low-aperture fiber. We applied the model in Sec. 4.2 to bent middle-aperture-core W-fibers of the same kind as in Table 4 but with lower \(\Delta n_+\) and larger \(2\rho\) for MFD ~ 30 μm. Also a small \(\chi\) ~ 1.3 to 1.4 was chosen for high-order modes filtering out. According to calculations, higher-order mode loss is only three to four times larger than that of the fundamental mode.

#### 7.2 Straight Short Modal and Polarization W-Filters

Fiber bending reduces its SM-window similar to the dichroism window in PZ-fibers. Thus, it is natural to ask for straight modal filters with lengths 0.1 to 0.5 m. In this case, it is also possible to use refractive index W-profile. We will start from a profile with pure silica core and DC with refractive indices regulated by SAP-introducing birefringence \(B\). Thus one

### Table 4 Refractive index W-profile parameters for fiber with MFD = 12 μm at 1.06 μm.

| Parameter | Value |
|-----------|-------|
| \(\Delta n_+\) | 0.001 |
| \(\Delta n_-\) | 0.004 |
| \(2\rho (\mu m)\) | 15.4 |
| \(\chi\) | 2.0 |

![Fig. 6 Flat W-fiber Panda.](image-url)
has $\Delta n_+ = B/2$. Such a way to regulate core index is much more accurate than with core doping. Here, the refractive index for $y$-mode is lower than the quartz index, so this is also a PZ-fiber. In this case, DC should be narrow for high-modes effective attenuation due to effective penetration into the quartz cladding. The latter will also lead to higher-order $x$-mode exciting with small amplitude by the input of a higher-order mode of a multimode fiber (small fields overlap integral). This will give an additional 4 to 5 dB for filtering efficiency, which is essential especially for 0.1-m filters. Also note that due to a narrow DC, fundamental $x$-mode cut-off frequently is infinite, similar to the PZ-fiber from Ref. 14.

In Table 5, the parameters of W-profile are listed for such filters with 0.1-m length and MFD near 32 and 73 $\mu$m. It is clear that in both cases, the core is low aperture due to the fact that by making the DC by fluorine doping it is possible to regulate this accurately enough by the value $\Delta n_-$. W-profile parameters in Table 5 are only the particular variants for each MFD. It is also possible to construct similar profiles for all MFD values from 30 to 70 $\mu$m. Here, DC determines the modes’ cutoffs and concentrates the light in the core, reducing the SAP influence on it, i.e., improving the beam quality.

It should be specially noted that birefringence may be widely varied (~30%, see Table 5). This is good for operation under strong heating. However, such short fibers could be cooled. Besides all of this, one may use additional scattering/absorbing inserts in fiber cross-sections (layers and rods). Earlier, for standard MFD W-fiber we introduced an absorbing/scattering layer into cladding and it yielded an increase of $y$-mode loss in 50-mm W-fiber section from 1 to 3 dB to 15 dB (see Ref. 22 for details).

### 8 Conclusion

We considered PZ-fibers based on refractive index W-profile. For FOG sensing coils (long fibers) high-aperture-core W-fibers are possible. For PZ-fibers with smaller lengths (1 to 10 m), which could be used as input fiber in fiber loop interferometer (FLI), W-profiles with high- and middle-aperture-core are suitable. For this second case, we have shown that necessary bend resistance may be achieved only with the help of the reduced refractive index of SAP, which significantly prevent the dichroism window narrowing due to bending. Finally, for large MFD PZ-fibers for high-power fiber lasers, W-profiles are possible with middle- and low-aperture core (the latter for very large MFD).

### Table 5 Example of refractive index W-profiles parameters for fiber modal and polarization filters samples with MFD = 32 and 73 $\mu$m at 1.06 $\mu$m.

| Parameter | MFD = 32 $\mu$m | MFD = 73 $\mu$m |
|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|
| $B(=2\Delta n_-)$ | $4.2 - 5.5 \times 10^{-4}$ | $0.5 - 0.7 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| $\Delta n_-$ | 0.001 | $2.5 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| $\gamma (\mu m)$ | 40 | 90 |
| $\chi = \tau/\rho$ | 1.2 | 1.1 |
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