Isospin breaking corrections to the HVP at the physical point
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1. Introduction

The current most precise determination of the hadronic vacuum polarization (HVP) contribution to the anomalous magnetic moment of the muon $\alpha_\mu$ is obtained using the cross section of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$ (see [1, 2, 3] for recent results) and has an error of about $\lesssim 1\%$. A lattice calculation aiming at a similar precision requires to include isospin breaking corrections.

In nature, isospin symmetry is broken by the different quark masses of the up and the down quark and their different electric charges. These effects are expected to be of the order $O((m_d - m_u)/\Lambda_{\text{QCD}})$ and $O(\alpha)$, respectively. In this proceedings we present a calculation of isospin breaking corrections to the hadronic vacuum polarization at physical quark masses. These results have been published in [4] and are a continuation of our work in [5], where we calculated isospin breaking correction to the HVP at unphysical quark masses. Other calculations of isospin breaking corrections to the HVP can be found in [6, 7].

The structure of the proceedings is as follows: In section 2 we give details on the computational setup and describe our procedure to tune the quark masses to their physical values including isospin breaking corrections. In section 3 we discuss our results for QED and strong isospin breaking corrections. Conclusions and outlook are given in section 4.

2. Computational Setup and Tuning of the Quark Masses

In this work we calculate isospin breaking corrections using an expansion [8, 9] around the isospin symmetric limit, i.e.

$$C(t) = C^0(t) + \alpha C^{\text{QED}}(t) + \sum_f \Delta m_f C^{\Delta m_f}(t) + O(\alpha^2, \alpha \Delta m, \Delta m^2)$$

(2.1)

for a correlation function $C(t)$, where $C^0(t)$ is the correlation function in the isospin symmetric case, $\alpha C^{\text{QED}}(t)$ and $\sum_f \Delta m_f C^{\Delta m_f}(t)$ are the leading order QED and strong isospin breaking correction, respectively.

The set of diagrams at $O(\alpha)$ from the expansion in the electromagnetic coupling is shown in figure 1. These can be divided into three different classes of diagrams: QED corrections to the quark-connected contribution are given by diagrams $V$ and $S$, QED corrections to the quark-disconnected contribution are given by diagrams $F$ and $D3$. Diagrams $T$, $D1$, $D2$ and $T_d$, $D1_d$, $D2_d$ are electromagnetic effects for the sea quarks for the quark-connected and quark-disconnected contribution, respectively. In this work we calculate the connected diagrams $(V, S)$ and the leading disconnected diagram $F$. All other diagrams are at least $1/N_c$ or $SU(3)$ flavour suppressed for the HVP and we add an overall systematic uncertainty of 30% of the QED correction from neglecting these diagrams on our final result for $\alpha_\mu$ in [4]. Note, that we use local vector currents renormalized by $Z_V$ for the photon insertions, and thus, tadpole contributions are absent. We use Feynman gauge and the QED$_L$ [10] prescription for the photon propagators

$$\Delta_{\mu\nu}(x - y) = \delta_{\mu\nu} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k, \bar{k} \neq 0} \frac{\delta^{k(x - y)}}{\bar{k}^2}.$$
Isospin breaking corrections due to the expansion in the quark masses in equation (2.1) are given by the diagrams in figure 2. In this work, we only calculate the quark-connected correction (diagram M). We neglect mass corrections to the quark-disconnected contribution (diagram O), which are $1/N_c$ and $SU(3)$ flavour suppressed and assign an additional 10% of the strong isospin breaking correction as a systematic error in the final result for $a_\mu$ [4]. The mass correction to the sea quarks (diagram R and $R_d$ for the quark-connected and quark-disconnected HVP, respectively) is proportional to a factor of $(\Delta m_u + \Delta m_d)$ and we find $\Delta m_u \approx -\Delta m_d$ when tuning the quark masses to their physical values and thus expect diagram $R$ to be negligible.

We calculate the isospin breaking corrections to the HVP on a $48^3 \times 96$ lattice using $N_f = 2 + 1$ dynamical flavours of Mobius Domain Wall Fermions on a single lattice spacing $a^{-1} = 1.730(4)$ GeV. The isospin symmetric calculation is done using a light and a strange quark mass, that have been tuned to reproduce a pion of $m^0_\pi = 135.0$ MeV and a kaon of $m^0_K = 495.7$ MeV in the absence of QED and strong isospin breaking effects [11]. To obtain up, down and strange quark masses at their physical values including QED, we proceed as follows. We fix the charged pion, neutral kaon and charged kaon masses including QED to their experimental values

\begin{align}
  a m^\text{exp}_\pi^0 &= \left[ m^0_\pi + a m^\text{QED}_\pi + \Delta m_u m^\Delta m_{u} + \Delta m_d m^\Delta m_{d} \right] \\
  a m^\text{exp}_K^0 &= \left[ m^0_K + a m^\text{QED}_K + \Delta m_u m^\Delta m_{u} + \Delta m_s m^\Delta m_{s} \right] \\
  a m^\text{exp}_{K^0} &= \left[ m^0_{K^0} + a m^\text{QED}_{K^0} + \Delta m_u m^\Delta m_{u} + \Delta m_s m^\Delta m_{s} \right]
\end{align}

where $m^0_H$ is the isospin symmetric mass of $H$, $a m^\text{QED}_H$ the QED correction to mass of $H$ and $\Delta m_f m^\Delta m_f$ the correction to the mass of $H$ from a shift of the quark mass $\Delta m_f = (m_f - m^0_f)$. The
shifts $\Delta m_f$ in the quark masses are free parameters that can be tuned after all the required correlation functions have been calculated. Once having tuned the quark masses to reproduce the physical values of $\pi^+$, $K^+$ and $K^0$ we checked that we also correctly reproduce the neutral pion mass.

In addition, the tuning of the quark masses as in equations (2.3) - (2.5) requires to determine the lattice spacing in the presence of QED. Here, we choose to set the lattice spacing by fixing the mass of the $\Omega^-$ baryon

$$a \rightarrow a(\Delta m_s) = \left( m^0_{\Omega} + \alpha m^\text{QED}_{\Omega} + 3 \Delta m_s m_{\Omega}^{\Delta m_s} \right) / m_{\Omega}^\text{exp}. \quad (2.6)$$

We find the shift in the lattice spacing to be smaller than the statistical error on the lattice spacing and therefore neglect this effect in the following.

3. Results

In the following we discuss our results for different contributions of the QED and strong isospin breaking corrections to the hadronic vacuum polarization. The HVP contribution to the anomalous magnetic moment of the muon can be calculated from the vector-vector two-point function \[ a_\mu = \sum_t w_tC(t) \quad \text{with} \quad C(t) = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{j=0}^2 \sum_{\vec{x}} \langle J_j(\vec{x},t) J_j(0) \rangle \] (3.1)

where $J_j = \frac{2}{3} \pi \gamma_j u - \frac{1}{3} \pi \gamma_j d + \cdots$ are electromagnetic vector currents. In this work we use local vector currents multiplied with the vector current renormalization $Z_V$. We also calculate the QED correction to $Z_V$ and find this to be negligible for our setup.

3.1 Quark-connected QED correction

Our data for the quark-connected QED correction (diagrams $V$ and $S$ in figure 1) to the integrand $w_tC(t)$ is shown in figure 3. The QED correction to $a_\mu$ from these contributions can then be obtained by integrating the data over the euclidean time $t$. However, as one can see in figure 3 the statistical error on the data is large. Therefore, we replaced the data in the integration for $a_\mu$ by a fit ansatz

$$C(t) = (c_1 + c_0 t) e^{-E t}. \quad (3.2)$$

We fix $E$ to the energy of the lowest lying state, which, including QED, is given by $\pi \gamma$, where in QEDL [10] the photon has one unit of momentum (cf. equation (2.2)). We then fit our data to the ansatz (3.2) using $c_1$ and $c_2$ as free parameters. The result of this fit is shown in figure 3 by the solid line.

For the connected QED correction to $a_\mu$ we find

$$a_\mu^{\text{QED,con}} = 5.9(5.7)S(1.1)E(0.3)C(1.2)V(0.0)A(0.0)Z \times 10^{-10}, \quad (3.3)$$

where the first error ($S$) is statistical. Further to that, we have assigned the following systematic errors. A systematic error from our fit ansatz ($E$) is determined by varying the input for the lowest energy between $\pi \gamma$ and $\pi \pi$. We estimate a discretization error ($C$) as $(a\Lambda)^2$ with $\Lambda = 400$ MeV.
When including QED in a lattice calculation, finite volume corrections can be large (see e.g. [14]). In this work, we estimate finite volume corrections, be replacing the photon propagator by its infinite volume expression and taking the difference in the final result as a systematic error ($\delta V$) from not correcting for these effects. More details on using an infinite volume photon propagator can be found in the supplementary material of [4]. However, a study presented at this conference [15] suggests, that the finite volume effects for the QED correction to the HVP are much smaller. Finally, we propagate uncertainties from the lattice spacing ($\delta A$) and vector renormalization constant ($\delta Z$), but find them to be negligible compared to other sources of systematic errors.

3.2 Quark-disconnected QED correction

The leading QED correction to the quark-disconnected HVP is given by diagram $F$ in figure 1. Here, we are only interested in contributions, where in addition to the photon the quark lines are connected by gluons. If no additional gluons connect both quark lines, these contributions are conventionally counted as higher order HVP contributions and need to be subtracted in this context.

We calculated the leading quark-disconnected QED correction to the HVP using data generated for the light-by-light scattering project [16]. The data is fitted using the same ansatz (3.2) as for QED, using $\pi\pi$ as the lowest lying energy state as an input. Here, we vary the energy between two interacting and two free pions to obtain a systematic error ($\delta E$) from the fit ansatz. We find for the leading quark-disconnected QED correction to the anomalous magnetic moment

$$a_{\mu}^{\text{QED, disc}} = -6.9(2.1)_{S}^{1.3}E(0.4)_{C}(0.4)V(0.0)_{A}(0.0)Z \times 10^{-10},$$

where we have assigned a similar set of systematic errors as above.

3.3 Strong Isospin breaking corrections

In figure 4 we show the correction to the vector two-point function $C(t)$ for the quark-connected mass insertion diagram (diagram $M$ in figure 2). We fit the correlator using a similar ansatz (3.2) as for QED, using $\pi\pi$ as the lowest lying energy state as an input. Here, we vary the energy between two interacting and two free pions to obtain a systematic error ($\delta E$) from the fit ansatz.
For the correction to $a_\mu$ from diagram $M$ we find
\[ a_\mu^{IB} = 10.6(4.3)_{S}(1.3)_{E}(0.6)_{V}(6.6)_{A}(0.1)_{Z} \times 10^{-10}. \] (3.5)

For the strong isospin breaking correction we estimate finite volume corrections using chiral perturbation theory [17].

4. Conclusions

The results shown here are part of RBC/UKQCD’s recently published result for the anomalous magnetic moment of the muon [4]. This work is the first calculation of the HVP contribution to the $a_\mu$ including a calculation of QED and strong isospin breaking corrections directly at the physical point. Although our statistical errors are still large, we find isospin breaking corrections to be at the order of 1%, with results for the connected QED correction given in (3.3), the leading disconnected QED correction given in (3.4) and the connected strong isospin breaking correction given in (3.5).

For the future, we plan to increase the statistics on these contributions in addition to calculating the missing quark-disconnected diagrams and studying the effect of isospin breaking corrections for the sea quarks. In that context we are looking into reusing the data from the hadronic light-by-light scattering project [16] for all of the diagrams shown in figure 1. Further to that, we will extend our study of isospin breaking corrections to a second lattice spacing in order to take a continuum limit.

These future improvements will allow us to determine the isospin breaking correction to $d^{HVP}_\mu$ precise enough to be consistent with reaching an overall error of $<1\%$ on the total QCD+QED result for $d^{HVP}_\mu$ from a lattice calculation.
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