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ABSTRACT

We analyze a sample of multiple-exoplanet systems which contain at least 3 transiting planets detected by the Kepler mission (‘Kepler multiples’). We use a generalized Titius-Bode relation to predict the periods of 228 additional planets in 151 of these Kepler multiples. These Titius-Bode-based predictions suggest that there are, on average, $2 \pm 1$ planets in the habitable zone of each star. We estimate the inclination of the invariable plane for each system and prioritize our planet predictions by their geometric probability to transit. We highlight a short list of 77 predicted planets in 40 systems with a high geometric probability to transit, resulting in an expected detection rate of $\sim 15$ per cent, $\sim 3$ times higher than the detection rate of our previous Titius-Bode-based predictions.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The Titius-Bode (TB) relation’s successful prediction of the period of Uranus was the main motivation that led to the search for another planet between Mars and Jupiter, e.g. [Jaki (1972)]. This search led to the discovery of the asteroid Ceres and the rest of the asteroid belt. The TB relation may also provide useful hints about the periods of as-yet-undetected planets around other stars. In Bovaird & Lineweaver (2013) (hereafter, BL13) we used a generalized TB relation to analyze 68 multi-planet systems with four or more detected exoplanets. We predicted the existence of 141 new exoplanets in these 68 systems. Huang & Bakos (2014) (hereafter, HB14) performed an extensive search in the Kepler data for 97 of our predicted planets in 56 systems. This resulted in the confirmation of 5 of our predictions. (Fig. 3 and Table 1).

In this paper we perform an improved TB analysis on a larger sample of Kepler multiple-planet systems. To make new exoplanet orbital period predictions. We use the expected coplanarity of multiple-planet systems to estimate the most likely inclination of the invariable plane of each system. We then prioritize our original and new TB-based predictions according to their geometric probability of transiting. Comparison of our original predictions with the HB14 confirmations shows that restricting our predictions to those with a high geometric probability to transit should increase the detection rate by a factor of $\sim 3$ (Fig. 8).

As in BL13, our sample includes all Kepler multi-planet systems with four or more exoplanets, but to these we add three-planet systems if the orbital periods of the system’s planets adhere better to the TB relation than the Solar System (Eq. 4 of BL13). Using these criteria we add 77 three-planet systems to the 74 systems with four or more planets. We have excluded 3 systems: KOI-284, KOI-2248 and KOI-3444 because of concerns about false positives due to adjacent-planet period ratios close to 1 and close binary hosts (Lissauer et al. 2011; Fabrycky et al. 2014; Lillo-Box et al. 2014). We have also excluded the three-planet system KOI-593, since the period of KOI-593.03 was recently revised, excluding the system from our three-planet sample. Thus, we analyze 151 Kepler multiples, with each system containing 3, 4, 5 or 6 planets.

1.1 Coplanarity of exoplanet systems

Plants in the Solar System and in exoplanetary systems are believed to form from protoplanetary disks (e.g. Winn & Fabrycky (2014)). The inclinations of the 8 planets of our Solar System to the invariable plane are (in order from Mercury to Neptune) 6°, 2°, 2°, 1°, 1°, 1°, 0°, 0° (Souami & Souchay 2012). Jupiter and Saturn contribute $\sim 86$ per cent of the total planetary angular momentum and thus the angles between their orbital planes and the invariable plane are small: $0.3°$ and $0.9°$ respectively.

In a given multiple-planet system, the distribution of mutual inclinations between the orbital planes of planets is well described by a Rayleigh distribution (Lissauer et al. 2011; Fang & Margot 2012; Figueira et al. 2012; Fabrycky et al. 2014; Ballard & John-
We have chosen the coordinate system without loss of generality such that \( \phi_j \) has no component in the \( y \) direction. \( \phi_j \) is the angle between \( \mathbf{L}_j \) and \( \mathbf{L}_0 \). Let \( \mathbf{L}_0 \) be the projection of \( \mathbf{L}_j \) onto the \( x-z \) plane. \( \Delta \theta_j \) is the angle between \( \mathbf{L}_j \) and \( \mathbf{L}_0 \). We used this angle to determine the probability of detecting additional transiting planets in each system.

Estimates of the inclination of a transiting planet come from the impact parameter \( b \) which is the projected distance between the center of the planet at mid-transit and the center of the star, in units of the star’s radius.

\[
b = a \cos i
\]

where \( a \) is the radius of the star and \( a \) is the semi-major axis of the planet. For edge-on systems, typically \( 85^\circ < i < 95^\circ \). However, since we are unable to determine whether \( b \) is in the positive \( z \) direction or the negative \( z \) direction (Fig. 1b and Fig. 2b), we are unable to determine whether \( i \) is greater than or less than \( 90^\circ \). By convention, for transiting planets the sign of \( b \) is taken as positive and thus the corresponding \( i \) values from Eq. (1) are taken as \( i < 90^\circ \).

The impact parameter is also a function of four transit light curve observables, the period \( P \), the transit depth \( \Delta F \), the total transit duration \( t_F \), and the total transit duration minus the ingress and egress times \( t_F \) (the duration where the light curve is flat for a source uniform across its disk). Thus the impact parameter can be written,

\[
b = f(P, \Delta F, t_F, t_F).
\]

Eliminating \( b \) from Eqs. (1) and (2) yields the inclination \( i \) as a function of observables,

\[
i = \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{R_\star}{a} f(P, \Delta F, t_F, t_F) \right)
\]

From Eq. (1) we can see that for an impact parameter \( b = 0 \) (a transit through the center of the star), we obtain \( i = 90^\circ \); an ‘edge-on’ transit.

The convention \( i \leq 90^\circ \) is unproblematic when only a single planet is found to transit a star but raises an issue when multiple exoplanets transit the same star, since the degree of coplanarity depends on whether the actual values of \( i_j \) (\( j = 1, 2 \ldots N \)) where \( N \) are 1.5°. We use this angle to determine the probability of detecting additional transiting planets in each system.

\[
b = a \cos i
\]

where \( a \) is the radius of the star and \( a \) is the semi-major axis of the planet. For edge-on systems, typically \( 85^\circ < i < 95^\circ \). However, since we are unable to determine whether \( b \) is in the positive \( z \) direction or the negative \( z \) direction (Fig. 1b and Fig. 2b), we are unable to determine whether \( i \) is greater than or less than \( 90^\circ \). By convention, for transiting planets the sign of \( b \) is taken as positive and thus the corresponding \( i \) values from Eq. (1) are taken as \( i < 90^\circ \).

The impact parameter is also a function of four transit light curve observables, the period \( P \), the transit depth \( \Delta F \), the total transit duration \( t_F \), and the total transit duration minus the ingress and egress times \( t_F \) (the duration where the light curve is flat for a source uniform across its disk). Thus the impact parameter can be written,

\[
b = f(P, \Delta F, t_F, t_F).
\]

Eliminating \( b \) from Eqs. (1) and (2) yields the inclination \( i \) as a function of observables,

\[
i = \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{R_\star}{a} f(P, \Delta F, t_F, t_F) \right)
\]

From Eq. (1) we can see that for an impact parameter \( b = 0 \) (a transit through the center of the star), we obtain \( i = 90^\circ \); an ‘edge-on’ transit.

The convention \( i \leq 90^\circ \) is unproblematic when only a single planet is found to transit a star but raises an issue when multiple exoplanets transit the same star, since the degree of coplanarity depends on whether the actual values of \( i_j \) (\( j = 1, 2 \ldots N \)) where \( N \) are
is the number of planets in the system) are greater than or less than 90°. For example, the actual values of \( i_j \) in a given system could be all > 90°, all < 90° or some in-between combination. Although we do not know the signs of \( \theta_i = 90° - i_j \) for individual planets, we can estimate the inclination of the invariant plane for each system, by calculating all possible permutations of the \( \theta_i \) values for each system (see Appendix A.2). In this estimation, we use the plausible assumption that the coplanarity of a system should not depend on the inclination of the invariant plane relative to the observer.

1.2 The probability of additional transiting exoplanets

We wish to develop a measure of the likelihood of additional transiting planets in our sample of Kepler multi-planet systems. The more edge-on a planetary system is to an observer on Earth, the greater the probability of a planet transiting at larger periods. Similarly, a larger stellar radius leads to a higher probability of additional transiting planets (although with a reduced detection efficiency). We quantify these tendencies under the assumption that Kepler multiples have a Gaussian opening angle \( \sigma_{\text{out}} = 1.5° \) around the invariant plane, and we introduce the variable, \( \Delta a_{\text{crit}} \).

Planets with a semi-major axis greater than \( a_{\text{crit}} \) have less than a 50% geometric probability of transiting. More specifically, \( a_{\text{crit}} \) is defined as the semi-major axis where \( P_{\text{trans}}(a_{\text{crit}}) = 0.5 \) (Eq. [B1]) for a given system.

In a given system, a useful ratio for estimating the amount of semi-major axis space where additional transiting planets are more likely, is \( \Delta a_{\text{crit}}/a_{\text{out}} \), where \( a_{\text{out}} \) is the semi-major axis of the detected planet in the system which is the furthest from the host star. The larger \( a_{\text{crit}}/a_{\text{out}} \), the larger the semi-major axis range for additional transiting planets beyond the outermost detected planet. Values for this ratio less than 1 mean that the outermost detected planet is beyond the calculated \( a_{\text{crit}} \) value, and imply that additional transiting planets beyond the outermost detected planet are less likely. Figure 3 shows the \( \Delta a_{\text{crit}}/a_{\text{out}} \) distribution for all systems in our sample. The fact that this distribution is roughly symmetric around \( \Delta a_{\text{crit}}/a_{\text{out}} \approx 1 \) strongly suggests that the outermost transiting planets in Kepler systems are due to the inclination of the system to the observer, and not are really the outermost planets.

In Section 2, we discuss the follow-up that has been done on our BL13 planet detections. In Section 3, we show that the ~ 5% follow-up detection rate of HB14 is consistent with selection effects and the existence of the predicted planets. In Section 4, we extend and upgrade the TB relation developed in BL13 and predict the periods of undetected planets in our updated sample. We then prioritize these predictions based on their geometric probability to transit and emphasize for further follow-up a subset of predictions with high transit probabilities. We also use TB predictions to estimate the average number of planets in the circumstellar habitable zone. In Section 5, we discuss how our predicted planet insertions affect the period ratios of adjacent planets and explore how period ratios are tightly dispersed around the mean period ratio within each system. In Section 6, we summarize our results.

2 FOLLOW-UP OF BL13 PREDICTIONS

BL13 used the approximately even logarithmic spacing of exoplanet systems to make predictions for the periods of 117 additional candidate planets in 60 Kepler detected systems, and 24 additional predictions in 8 systems detected via radial velocity(7).
Figure 4. Exoplanet systems where an additional candidate was detected after a TB relation prediction was made (see Table 1). The systems are shown in descending order of $a_{\text{crit}}/a_{\text{out}}$. Previously known planets are shown as blue circles. The predictions of BL13 and their uncertainties are shown by the red filled rectangles if the $P_{\text{trans}}$ value of the predicted planet is $\geq 0.55$ (Eq. B1 and Fig. 8), or by red hatched rectangles otherwise. The new candidate planets are shown as green squares. The critical semi-major axis $a_{\text{crit}}$ (Section 1.2), beyond which $P_{\text{trans}}(a_{\text{crit}}) < 0.5$, is shown by a solid black arc. The uncertainties (width of red rectangles) in this figure and Table 1 are slightly wider than Figure 5 due to excessive rounding of predicted period uncertainties for some systems in BL13.

Figure 5. Same as Fig. 4 except here our TB predictions are based on $\gamma$ with $n_{\text{ins}}$ (Eq. 9) rather than on the $\gamma$ with $n_{\text{out}}$ of Eq. 5 of BL13. Comparing Fig. 4 with this figure, in the KOI-719 system the number of predicted planets goes from 4 to 2, while in KOI-1151 the number of predicted planets goes from 3 to 2. In both cases the detected planet is more centrally located in the predicted region.
(5 planets of the 6 planets in bold in Table 1; see also Fig. 2). Notably all new planet candidates have Earth-like or lower planetary radii. One additional candidate was detected in KOI-733 which is incompatible with the predictions of BL13. This candidate is unique in that it should have been detected previously, based on the signal-to-noise of the other detected planets in KOI-733. In Table 1 the detected radii are less than the maximum predicted radii in each case. The new candidate in KOI-733 has a period of 15.11 days and a radius of 3 Earth radii. At this period, the maximum radius to evade detection should have been 2.2 Earth radii. With the possible exception of KOI-1336 where a dip significance parameter (DSP, Kovács & Bakos 2005) was not reported, all detected candidates have a DSP of ≥ 8, which roughly corresponds to a Kepler SNR (Christiansen et al. 2012) of ≥ 12 (see Figure 6). HB14 required DSP > 8 for candidate transit signals to survive their vetting process.

3 IS A 5% DETECTION RATE CONSISTENT WITH SELECTION EFFECTS?

From a sample of 97 BL13 predictions, HB14 confirmed 5. However, based on this ~ 5% detection rate, HB14 concluded that the predictive power of the TB relation used in BL13 was questionable. Given the selection effects, how high a detection rate should one expect? We do not expect all planet predictions to be detected. The predicted planets may have too large an inclination to transit relative to the observer. Additionally, there is a completeness factor due to the intrinsic noise of the stars, the size of the planets, and the techniques for detection. This completeness for Kepler data has been estimated for the automated lightcurve analysis pipeline TERRA (Petigura et al. 2013a). Fig. 7 displays the TERRA pipeline injection/recovery completeness. After correcting for the radius and noise of each star, relative to the TERRA sample in Fig. 7 the planet detections in Table 1 have an average detection completeness in the TERRA pipeline of ~ 24%. That is, if all of our predictions were correct and if all the planets were in approximately the same region of period and radius space as the green squares in Fig. 7 and if all of the planets transited, we would expect a detection rate of ~ 24% using the TERRA pipeline. It is unclear how this translates into a detection rate for a manual investigation of the lightcurves motivated by TB predictions.

We wish to determine, from coplanarity and detectability arguments, how many of our BL13 predictions we would have expected to be detected. An absolute number of expected detections is most limited by the poorly known planetary radius distribution below 1 Earth radius (Howard et al. 2012; Dressing & Charbonneau 2013; Dong & Zhu 2013; Petigura et al. 2013b; Fressin et al. 2013; Silburt et al. 2014; Morton & Swift 2014; Foreman-Mackey et al. 2014). Large uncertainties about the shape and amplitude of the planetary radius distribution of rocky planets with radii less than 1 Earth radius make the evaluation of TB-based exoplanet predictions difficult. Since the TB relation predicted the asteroid belt (\(M_{asteroid} < 10^{-3}M_{Earth}\)) there seems to be no lower mass limit to the objects that the TB relation can predict. This makes estimation of the detection efficiencies strongly dependent on assumptions about the frequency of planets at small radii.

Let the probability of detecting a planet, \(P_{detect}\), be the product of the geometric probability to transit \(P_{trans}\) as seen by the observer (Appendix B) and the probability \(P_{SNR}\) that the planetary radius is large enough to produce a signal-to-noise ratio above the detection threshold.

\[
P_{detect} = P_{trans} P_{SNR}.
\]  

The geometric probability to transit, \(P_{trans}\), is defined in Eq. (B1) and illustrated in Fig. 1 and Fig. B1. The 5 confirmations from our previous TB predictions are found in systems with a much higher than random probability of transit (Fig. B1). This is expected if our estimates of the invariable plane are reasonable.

To estimate \(P_{SNR}\) we first estimate the probability that the radius of the planet will be large enough to detect. In BL13 we
Figure 8. Histogram of \( P_{\text{trans}} \) (Eq. [B1]), the geometric probability of transit, for the 97 predicted planets from BL13, that were followed up by HB14. The blue histogram represents the 5 new planets detected by HB14 (Table 1). As expected, the detected planets have high \( R_{\text{max}} \) values compared to the entire sample. The red and blue solid lines represent the empirical cumulative distribution function for the two distributions. A K-S test of the two distributions yields a \( p \)-value of \( 1.8 \times 10^{-2} \). Thus, \( R_{\text{max}} \) can be used to prioritize our predictions and increase their probability of detection.

Figure 9. The assumed distribution of planetary radii described in Section 4. The distribution is poorly constrained below 1 Earth radii, indicated by the gray dashed line. For low mass stars, the planetary radius distribution may decline below \( 0.7 R_{\oplus} \) (Dressing & Charbonneau 2013; Morton & Swift 2014). Alternative estimations show the planetary radius distribution continuing to increase with smaller radii (continuing the flat logarithmic distribution), down to \( 0.5 R_{\oplus} \) (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2014). For our analysis we have extrapolated the flat distribution (in log \( R \)) down to \( R_{\text{low}} \). We indicate three regions for a hypothetical system at a specific predicted period. The ‘already detected’ region refers to the range of planetary radii which should already have been detected, based on the lowest signal-to-noise ratio of the detected planets in that system. \( R_{\min} \) is the smallest radius which could produce a transit signal that exceeds the detection threshold, and is the boundary between the undetectable and detectable regions.

Figure 10. The mean detection rate \( P_{\text{detect}} \) (Eq. [6]) of the BL13 predictions is dependent on the transit signal-to-noise threshold \( (SNR_{\text{th}}) \) in Eq. [6] used in lightcurve vetting (x-axis), and on the probability of low-radii planets, i.e. how far the flat logarithmic planetary radius distribution in Fig. 8 should be extrapolated (\( R_{\text{low}} \) on the y-axis). For example, in the denominator of Eq. [6] integrating down to a radius \( R_{\text{low}} \) of 0.6 \( R_{\oplus} \) and setting a SNR threshold of 7 (which sets \( R_{\text{min}} \) in the numerator) gives an expected detection fraction of \( \sim 25\% \) (blue values in the upper left of the plot). Integrating down to a radius of 0.2 \( R_{\oplus} \) and having a DSP threshold of 8 (converted from SNR according to Fig. 4) gives an expected detection fraction of \( \sim 5\% \) (red values in the lower right).

The probability \( P_{\text{SNR}} \) depends on the underlying planetary radius probability density function. We assume a density function of the form:

\[
f(R) = \frac{d f}{d \log R} = \begin{cases} 
\frac{k}{\log 2.8} R^\alpha, & R \geq 2.8 R_{\oplus} \\
\frac{k}{\log 2.8} , & R < 2.8 R_{\oplus}
\end{cases}
\]

(5)

where \( k = 2.9 \) and \( \alpha = -1.92 \) (Howard et al. 2012). The discontinuous distribution accounts for the approximately flat number of planets per star in logarithmic planetary radius bins for \( R \leq 2.8 R_{\oplus} \) (Dong & Zhu 2013; Fressin et al. 2013; Petigura et al. 2013b; Silburt et al. 2014). For \( R \leq 1.0 R_{\oplus} \) the distribution is poorly constrained. For this paper, we extend the flat distribution in log \( R \) down to a minimum radius \( R_{\text{low}} = 0.3 R_{\oplus} \). It is important to note that for the Solar System, the poorly constrained part of the planetary radius distribution contains 50 per cent of the planet population. For reference the radius of Ceres, a “planet” predicted by the TB relation applied to our Solar System has a radius \( R_{\text{Ceres}} = 476 \pm 9 \text{km} = 0.07 R_{\oplus} \).

The probability that the hypothetical planet has a radius that exceeds the SNR detection threshold is then given by

\[
P_{\text{SNR}} = \frac{\int_{R_{\text{max}}}^{\infty} f(R)dR}{\int_{R_{\text{min}}}^{\infty} f(R)dR}
\]

(6)

We do not integrate beyond \( R_{\text{max}} \) since we expect a planet with a radius greater than \( R_{\text{max}} \) would have already been detected. We define \( R_{\text{max}} \) by,

\[
R_{\text{max}} = R_{\text{min}} \text{SNR} \left( \frac{P_{\text{predict}}}{P_{\text{min SNR}}} \right)^{1/4},
\]

(7)

where \( R_{\text{min SNR}} \) and \( P_{\text{min SNR}} \) are the radius and period respectively of the detected planet with the lowest signal-to-noise in the system. \( P_{\text{predict}} \) is the period of the predicted planet. \( R_{\text{min}} \) depends on the SNR in the following way:

\[
R_{\text{min}} = R_s \sqrt{2\text{SNR}_{\text{th}} CDPP} \left( \frac{3 \text{hrs}}{n_t f_t} \right)^{1/4},
\]

(8)

where \( \text{SNR}_{\text{th}} \) is the SNR threshold for a planet detection, \( n_t \) is the number of expected transits at the given period and \( f_t \) is the...
transit duration in hours. See Figure 7 for an illustration of how the integrals in $P_{\text{trans}}$ (Eq. 4) depend on the planet radii limits, $R_{\text{min}}$ and $R_{\text{max}}$.

While $P_{\text{trans}}$ is well defined, $P_{\text{SNR}}$ is dependent on the SNR threshold chosen ($SNR_{\text{th}}$), the choice of $R_{\text{lim}}$, and the poorly constrained shape of the planetary radius distribution below 1 Earth radius. This is demonstrated in Figure 11, where the mean $P_{\text{detect}}$ from the predictions of BL13 (for $DSP > 8$) can vary from $\sim 2$ per cent to $\sim 11$ per cent. Performing a K-S test on $P_{\text{SNR}}$ values (analogous to that in Figure 5) indicates that the $P_{\text{SNR}}$ values for the subset of our BL13 predictions that were detected, are drawn from the same $P_{\text{SNR}}$ distribution as all of the predicted planets. For this reason we use only $P_{\text{trans}}$, the geometric probability to transit, to prioritize our new TB relation predictions. We emphasize a subset of our predictions which have a $P_{\text{trans}}$ value $\geq 0.55$, since all of the confirmed predictions of BL13 had a $P_{\text{trans}}$ value above this threshold. Only $\sim 1/3$ of the entire sample have $P_{\text{trans}}$ values this high. Thus, the $\sim 5$ per cent detection rate should increase by a factor of $\sim 3$ to $\sim 15$ per cent for our new high-$P_{\text{trans}}$ subset of planet period predictions.

4 UPDATED PLANET PREDICTIONS

4.1 Method and Inclination Prioritization

We now make updated and new TB relation predictions in all 151 systems in our sample. If the detected planets in a system adhere to the TB relation better than the Solar System planets ($\chi^2/d.o.f. < 1.0$, Equation 4 of BL13), we only predict an extrapolated planet, beyond the outermost detected planet. If the detected planets adhere worse than the Solar System, we simulate the insertion of up to 9 hypothetical planets into the system, covering all possible locations and combinations, and calculate a new $\chi^2/d.o.f.$ value for each possibility. We determine how many planets to insert, and where to insert them, based on the solution which improves the system’s adherence to the TB relation, scaled by the number of inserted planets squared. This protects against overfitting (inserting too many planets, resulting in too good a fit). In Eq. 5 of BL13 we introduced a parameter $\gamma$, which is a measure of the fractional amount by which the $\chi^2/d.o.f.$ improves, divided by the number of planets inserted. Here, we improve the definition of $\gamma$ by dividing by the square of the number of planets inserted,

$$\gamma = \frac{\chi^2_{\text{trans}} - \chi^2_{\text{fit}}}{n_{\text{ins}}^2}$$

where $\chi^2_{\text{trans}}$ and $\chi^2_{\text{fit}}$ are the $\chi^2$ of the TB relation fit before and after planets are inserted respectively, while $n_{\text{ins}}$ is the number of inserted planets.

Importantly, when we calculate our $\gamma$ value by dividing by the number of inserted planets squared, rather than the number of planets, we still predict the BL13 predictions that have been detected. In two of these systems fewer planets are predicted and as a result the new predictions agree better with the location of the detected candidates. This can be seen by comparing Figures 12 and 5.

We compute $P_{\text{trans}}$ for each planet prediction in our sample. Predictions for all 228 planets (regardless of their $P_{\text{trans}}$ value) are shown in Table C3 (where the systems are ordered by the maximum $P_{\text{trans}}$ value in each system).

4.2 Average Number of Planets in Circumstellar Habitable Zones

Since the search for earth-sized rocky planets in circumstellar habitable zones (HZ) is of particular importance, in Fig. 4 for a subset of Kepler multiples whose predicted (extrapolated) planets extend to the HZ, we have converted the semi-major axes of detected and predicted planets into effective temperatures (as in Fig. 6 of BL13). One can see in Fig. 17 that the habitable zone (shaded green) contains between 0 and 4 planets. Thus, if the TB relation is approximately correct, and if Kepler multi-planet systems are representative of planetary systems in general, there are on average $\sim 2$ habitable zone planets per star.

More specifically, in Table 5, we estimate the number of planets per star in various ‘habitable zones’, namely (1) the range of $T_{\text{eff}}$ between Mars and Venus (assuming an albedo of 0.3), displayed in Fig. 14 as the green shaded region, (2) the Kopparapu et al. (2013) “optimistic” and (3) “conservative” habitable zones (“recent Venus” to “early Mars”, and “runaway greenhouse” to “maximum greenhouse” respectively). We find, on average, 2 $\pm$ 1 planets per star in the ‘habitable zone’, almost independently of which of the 3 habitable zones one is referring to. Using our estimates of the maximum radii for these predominantly undetected (but predicted) planets, as well as the planetary radius distribution of Fig. 9, we estimate that on average, $\sim 1/6$ of these $\sim 2$ planets, or $\sim 0.3$, are ‘rocky’. We have assumed that planets with $R < 1.5R_{\oplus}$ are rocky (Rogers 2014, Wolfgang & Lopez 2014).

5 ADJACENT PLANET PERIOD RATIOS

HB14 concluded that the percentage of detected planets ($\sim 5\%$) was on the lower side of their expected range ($\sim 5\% - 20\%$) and that the TB relation may over-predict planet pairs near the 3:2 mean-motion resonance (compared to systems which adhered to the TB relation better than the Solar System, without any planet insertions. i.e. $\chi^2/d.o.f. \leq 1$). There is some evidence that a peak in the distribution of period ratios around the 3:2 resonance is to be expected from Kepler data, after correcting for incompleteness (Steffen & Hwang 2014). In this section we investigate the period ratios of adjacent planets in our Kepler multiples before and after our new TB relation predictions are made.

We divide our sample of Kepler multiples into a number of subsets. Our first subset includes systems which adhere to the TB relation better than the Solar System (where we only predict an extrapolated planet beyond the outermost detected planet). Systems which adhere to the TB relation worse than the Solar System we divide into two subsets, before and after the planets predicted by the TB relation were inserted. Adjacent planet period ratios can be misleading if there is an undiscovered planet between two detected planets, which would reduce the period ratios if it was included in the data. To minimize this incompleteness, we also construct a subset of systems which are the most likely to be completely sampled (unlikely to contain any additional transiting planets within the range of the detected planet periods).

Systems which adhere to the TB relation better than the Solar System ($\chi^2/d.o.f. < 1$) were considered by HB14 as being the sample of planetary systems that were most complete and therefore
Figure 11. The architectures and invariable plane inclinations for Kepler systems in our sample which contain at least one planet with a geometric probability to transit $P_{\text{trans}} \geq 0.55$. There are 40 such systems out of the 151 in our sample. The 14 with the highest $P_{\text{trans}}$ values are plotted here. The remaining 26 are plotted in the next two figures. The order of the systems (from top to bottom) is determined by the highest $P_{\text{trans}}$ value in each system. The thin horizontal dotted line represents the line-of-sight to Earth, i.e. where the $i$ value of a planet would be 90°. The thick grey line in each system is our estimate of the invariant plane angle, $\langle \theta \rangle$ (Appendix A2). The value of $\langle \theta \rangle$ is given in degrees to the right of each panel (see also Fig. A1). The green wedge has an opening angle $\sigma_{\Delta \theta} = 1.5^\circ$ and is symmetric around the invariable plane, but is also limited to the grey region where a planet can be seen to transit from Earth ($b \leq 1$, Eq. 1). The thick black arc indicates the $a_{\text{crit}}$ value beyond which less than 50 per cent of planets will transit (Eq. B1). Predicted planets and their uncertainties are shown by solid red rectangles if the $P_{\text{trans}}$ value of the predicted planet is $\geq 0.55$, or by red hatched rectangles otherwise. Thus, the 77 solid red rectangles in the 40 systems shown in Figs. 11, 12, & 13 make up our short list of highest priority predictions (Table C1). Our estimate of the most probable inclination ambiguities in a system are represented by vertically separated pairs of blue dots, connected by a thin black line (see Appendix A2).
Figure 12. The same as Figure 11 but for the next 16 systems in our sample which have at least one planet with $P_{\text{trans}} \geq 0.55$. Note that some of the new detected planets from the predictions of BL13 have been included in the Kepler data archive (see Table 1), and that these planets are included in our analysis.
had a distribution of adjacent planet period ratios most representative of actual planetary systems. However, the choice of BL13 to normalize the TB relation to the Solar System’s $\chi^2$/d.o.f is somewhat arbitrary. The Solar System’s $\chi^2$/d.o.f is possibly too high to consider all those with smaller values of $\chi^2$/d.o.f to be completely sampled.

We want to find a set of systems which are unlikely to host any additional planets between adjacent pairs, due to the system being dynamically full (Hayes & Tremaine 1998). We do this by identifying the systems where two or more sequential planet pairs are likely to be unstable when a massless test particle is inserted between each planet pair (dynamical spacing $\Delta < 10$, Gladman (1993), BL13).

The dynamical spacing $\Delta$ is an estimate of the stability of adjacent planets. If inserting a test particle between a detected planet pair results in either of the two new $\Delta$ values being less than 10, we consider the planet pair without the insertion to be complete. That is, there is unlikely to be room, between the detected planet pair, where an undetected planet could exist without making the planet pair dynamically unstable. Therefore, since the existence of an undetected planet between the planet pair is unlikely, we refer to the planet pair as ‘completely sampled’. Estimating completeness based on whether a system is dynamically full is a reasonable approach, since there is some evidence that the majority of systems are dynamically full (e.g. Barnes & Raymond (2004)). For Kepler systems in particular, Fang & Margot (2013) concluded that at least 45 per cent of 4-planet Kepler systems are dynamically packed.

If at least two sequential adjacent-planet pairs (at least three sequential planets) satisfy this criteria, we add the subset of the system which satisfies this criteria to our ‘most complete’ sample. We use this sample to analyze the period ratios of Kepler systems. The period ratios of the different samples described above are shown in Figure 15.

One criticism from HB14 was that the TB relation from BL13 inserted too many planets. To address this criticism we have redefined $\gamma$ to be divided by the number of inserted planets squared (denominator of Eq. 9). This introduces a heavier penalty for inserting planets. Figure 15 displays the distributions of period ratios when using the $\gamma$ from BL13 and the new $\gamma$ of Equation 9 (panels b and c respectively). When using our newly defined $\gamma$, the mean
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Figure 14. The effective temperatures of planets within the 31 systems from our sample which extend out to the green habitable zone (HZ) after our planet predictions are made. For the purpose of estimating the number of HZ planets per star (see Table 2), we extrapolate additional planets (gray squares) beyond the HZ. The sizes of the red hashed squares represent the $R_{\text{max}}$ of the predicted planet.

Table 2. The estimated number of planets per star within various ‘habitable zones’.

| Sample                  | All planets | Rocky planets ($R \leq 1.5 R_\oplus$) |
|-------------------------|-------------|--------------------------------------|
|                         | Mars-Venus | K13 “optimistic” | K13 “conservative” | Mars-Venus | K13 “optimistic” | K13 “conservative” |
| All 151 systems         | 2.0 ± 1.0  | 2.3 ± 1.2 | 1.5 ± 0.8 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.10 |
| Least extrapolation$^b$ | 1.6 ± 0.9  | 1.7 ± 0.8 | 1.3 ± 0.7 | 0.40 | 0.35 | 0.30 |

$^a$ K13 “optimistic” and “conservative” habitable zones refer to the “recent Venus” to “early Mars” and “runaway greenhouse” to “maximum greenhouse” regions from Kopparapu et al. (2013) respectively.

$^b$ The 31 systems in the sample shown in Fig. 14 are those which need the least extrapolation (red hashed squares) to extend out to (or beyond) the green Mars-Venus HZ.
Figure 15. The period ratios of adjacent-planet pairs in our sample of Kepler multiples, which can be compared to Figure 4 of HB14. Panel a) represents systems which adhere to the TB relation better than the Solar System ($\chi^2$/d.o.f. < 1.0); Panel b) represents systems which adhere to the TB relation worse than the Solar System and where BL13 inserted planets. This panel shows the period ratios of adjacent-planet pairs after planets are inserted. Panel c) is similar to Panel b), except that the $\gamma$ value, which is used to determine the best TB relation insertion for a given system, is divided by the number of inserted planets squared. In BL13 and panel b), $\gamma$ was divided by the number of inserted planets. Panel d) shows the period ratios between adjacent pairs of the same systems from panels b) and c), except before the additional planets from the predictions of BL13 have been inserted. Panel e) represents our most complete sample and contains the systems which are more likely to be dynamically full (as defined in Section 5). The mean $\chi^2$/d.o.f. value for each subset is shown on the left side of each panel. Kepler’s bias toward detecting compact systems dominated by short period planets may explain why the Solar System’s adjacent period pairs (black hatched histogram in panel e) are not representative of the histogram in panel c). The periods of predicted planets are drawn randomly from their TB relation predicted Gaussian distributions (Tables C1 and C2).

$\chi^2$/d.o.f. (displayed on the left side of the panels), more closely resembles that of our ‘most complete sample’ (panel e).

Since each panel in Fig. 15 represents a mixture of planetary systems with different distributions of period ratios, Figure 16 may be a better way to compare these different samples and their adherence to the TB relation. For each planetary system in each panel in Fig. 15 we compute the mean adjacent-planet period ratio. Figure 16 shows the distribution of the offsets from the mean period ratio of each system. How peaked a distribution is, is a good measure of how well that distribution adheres to the TB relation. A delta function peak at an offset of zero, would be a perfect fit. The period ratios of adjacent-planet pairs in our dynamically full “most complete sample” (green in Fig. 15) displays a significant tendency to cluster around the mean ratios. This clustering is the origin of the usefulness of the TB relation to predict the existence of undetected planets. The proximity of the thick blue curve to the green distribution is a measure of how well our TB predictions can correct for the incompleteness in Kepler multiple-planet systems and make predictions about the probable locations of the undetected planets.

6 CONCLUSION

Huang & Bakos (2014) investigated the TB relation planet predictions of Bovard & Lineweaver (2013) and found a detection rate of 5% (5 detections from 97 predictions). Apart from the detections by HB14, only one additional planet (in KOI-1151) has been discovered in any of the 60 Kepler systems analyzed by BL13 – indicating the advantages of such predictions while searching for new planets. Completeness is an important issue (e.g. Figures 7 & 10). Some large fraction of our predictions will not be detected because the planets in this fraction are likely to be too small to produce signal-to-noise ratios above some chosen detection threshold. Additionally, the predicted planets may have inclinations and semi-major axes too large to transit their star as seen from Earth. All new candidate detections based on the predictions of BL13 are approximately Earth-sized or smaller (Table I).

For a new sample of Kepler multiple-exoplanet systems containing at least three planets, we computed invariable plane inclinations and assumed a Gaussian opening angle of coplanarity of $\sigma_{\Omega,\theta} = 1.5^\circ$. For each of these systems we applied an updated generalized TB relation, developed in BL13, resulting in 228 predictions in 151 systems.

We emphasize the planet predictions which have a high geometric probability to transit, $P_{\text{trans}} \geq 0.55$ (Figure 5). This subset of predictions has 77 predicted planets in 40 systems. We expect the detection rate in this subset to be a factor of $\sim 3$ higher than the detection rate of the BL13 predictions. From the 40 systems with planet predictions in this sample, 24 appeared in BL13. These predictions have been updated and reprioritized. We have ordered our list of predicted planets based on each planet’s geometric probability to transit (Tables C1 and C2). Our new prioritized predictions should help on-going planet detection efforts in Kepler multi-planet systems.

© 2015 RAS, MNRAS 000.
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APPENDIX A: ESTIMATION OF THE INvariable PLANe OF EXOPLANET SYSTEMS

A1 Coordinate System

In Fig.1 and this appendix we set up and explain the coordinate system used in our analysis. The invariable plane of a planetary system can be defined as the plane passing through the barycenter of the system and is perpendicular to the sum (⃗L) of all planets in the system:

||⃗L|| = ∑⃗Lj, (A1)

where ⃗Lj = (Lx, Ly, Lz) is the orbital angular momentum of the jth planet. One can introduce a coordinate system in which the x axis points from the system to the observer (Fig.1). With an x axis established, we are free to choose the direction of the z axis. For example, consider the vector ⃗Lj in Fig1. If we choose a variety of z' axes, all perpendicular to our x axis, then independent of the z' axis, the quantity Lz' 2 + Lz' 2 is a constant. Thus, without loss of generality, we could choose a z' axis such that Lz' = 0. In Fig1, we have chosen the z axis such that the sum of the y−components of the angular momenta of all the planets, is zero:

⟨L⟩ = ∑j Lj = (⟨L⟩x, 0, ⟨L⟩z). (A2)

In other words we have chosen the z axis such that the vector defining the invariable plane, ⟨L⟩, is in the x−z plane. We define the plane perpendicular to this vector as the invariable plane of the system.

The angular separation between ⃗Lj and ⃗L is φj, φj is a positive−valued random variable and can be well−represented by a Rayleigh distribution of mode σφ (Fabrycky & Winn 2009). For the jth planet, ⃗Lj is the projection of ⃗L onto the x−z plane. The angle between ⃗Lj and the z axis is θj. The angle between ⟨L⟩ and the z axis is ⟨θ⟩ where,

⟨θ⟩ = ∑j θjLj

Lj

(A3)

The angular separation in the x−z plane between ⃗Lj and ⃗L is Δθj. In the x−z plane, we then have the relation (Fig.1).

⟨Δθj⟩ + Δθj = θj, (A4)

where Δθj is a normally distributed variable centered around ⟨θ⟩ with a mean of 0. In other words, Δθj can be positive or negative. A positive definite variable such as φj is Rayleigh distributed if it can be described as the sum of the squares of two independent normally distributed variables (Watson 1982), i.e.

φj = √Δθj = Δθj/2, where Δθj/2 is the unobservable component of φj in the y−z plane perpendicular to Δθj (see Fig1). From this relationship, the Gaussian distribution of Δθj has a standard deviation equal to the mode of the Rayleigh distribution of φj:

σΔθ = σφ.

We can illustrate the meaning of the phrase ”mutual inclination” used in the literature (e.g. Fabrycky et al. 2014). For example, in Fig.1, imagine adding the angular momentum vector ⃗Lm of another planet. And projecting this vector onto the x−z plane and call the projection ⃗Lm just as we projected ⃗Lj into ⃗Lj. Now we can define two ”mutual inclinations” between the orbital planes of these two planets. ψj is the angle between ⃗Lj and ⃗Lm and ψ is the angle in the x−z plane between ⃗Lj and ⃗Lm (i.e. |Δθj − Δθm|).

Since both Δθj and Δθm are Gaussian distributed with mean μ = 0, their difference Δθj − Δθm is Gaussian distributed with σΔθj − Δθm = √σΔθj + σΔθm = σθj + σθm and μ(Δθj − Δθm) = 0. Hence ψ = |Δθj − Δθm| is a positive−define half-normal Gaussian with mean μψ = √2σθjσθm .

For ψjD, the angle between ⃗Lj and ⃗Lm, we have,

ψjD = |Δθj − Δθm|2 + (Δθj(−θj) − Δθm(−θm))2. (A5)

From above, (Δθj − Δθm) is Gaussian distributed with σΔθj − Δθm = √σΔθj. Since we expect σΔθj − Δθm = σθj, ψjD is Rayleigh distributed with mode σj (reported in Table A1). That is, σψjD = σj = √σθj. The mean of the
Rayleigh distribution of $\psi_{3D}$ is $\mu_{\psi_{3D}} = \sqrt{2} \sigma_{3D}$. On average we will have $\mu_{\psi_{3D}} / \sigma_{3D} = \sqrt{2}$.

### A2 Exoplanet invariant planes: permuting planet inclinations

An N-planet system has N different values of $\theta_j$ (see Fig. 1). Since observations are only sensitive to $|\theta_j|$, we don’t know whether we are dealing with positive or negative $\theta_j$ values. To model this uncertainty, we analyse the $2^{N-1}$ unique sets of permutations for positive and negative $\theta_j$ values. For example, in a 4-planet system consider the planet with the largest angular momentum. We set our coordinate system by assuming its inclination is less than 90°. We do not know whether the $i$ values of the other 3 planets are on the same side or the opposite side of 90°. The permutations of the +1s and −1s in Eq. A7 represent this uncertainty. There will be $k_{\text{max}} = 2^3 = 8$ sets of permutations for the $\theta_j$ of the remaining 3 planets, defined by $\theta_j M_{ij,k}$ where $M_{ij,k}$ is the permutation matrix,

$$
M_{ij,k} = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 \\
-1 & 1 & 1 \\
-1 & 1 & -1 \\
-1 & -1 & 1 \\
-1 & -1 & -1
\end{bmatrix}.
$$

(A6)

For each permutation $k$, we compute a notional invariant plane, by taking the angular-momentum-weighted average of the permuted angles (compare Eq. A3):

$$
\langle \theta \rangle_k = \frac{\sum \theta_j M_{ij,k}}{\sum L_j}
$$

(A7)

which yields 8 unique values of $\langle \theta \rangle_k$, each consistent with the $b_j$, $\theta_j$, and $i_j$ values from the transit light curves (see Eqs. [11][12]). For each of these $\langle \theta \rangle_k$, we compute a proxy for coplanarity which is the mean of the angular-momentum-weighted angle of the orbital planes around the notional invariant plane:

$$
\sigma_{(0)} = \frac{\sum L_j |\langle \theta \rangle_k - \theta_j M_{ij,k}|}{\sum L_j}.
$$

(A8)

The smaller the value of $\sigma_{(0)}$, the more coplanar that permutation set is. This permutation procedure is most appropriate when the system is close to edge-on since in this case the various planets are equally likely to have actual inclinations on either side of 90°. By contrast, when $\langle \theta \rangle$ is large, these permutations exaggerate the uncertainty since most planets are likely to be on the same side of 90° as the dominant planet. Thus, using this method, close-to-edge-on systems with $\langle \theta \rangle \lesssim 0.5^\circ$ will yield the smallest and more appropriate dispersions which we find to be in the range: $0^\circ \lesssim \sigma_{(0)} < 1.5^\circ$. Since the coplanarity of a system should not depend on the angle to the observer, the values of $\sigma_{(0)}$ should not depend on $\langle \theta \rangle$. We find that this condition can best be met when we reject permutations which yield values of $\sigma_{(0)}$ less than 0.4° and greater than 1.5°. (see Fig. A1). When no permutations for a given system meet this criteria, we select the single permutation which is closest to this range. Since the sign of $\langle \theta \rangle$ is not important, when more than one permutation meets this criterion, we estimate $\langle \theta \rangle$ by taking the median of the absolute values of $\langle \theta \rangle_k$ for which $0.4^\circ \lesssim \sigma_{(0)} \lesssim 1.5^\circ$. These permutations are used in Figs. 1[11][12][13] where the most probable inclination ambiguities are indicated by two blue planets at the same semi-major axis, one above and one below the $i = 90$ dashed horizontal line.

### APPENDIX B: CALCULATING THE GEOMETRIC PROBABILITY TO TRANSIT: $P_{\text{TRANS}}$

We assume $\sigma_{3D} = 1.5^\circ$ is constant over all systems (Section 1.1), such that the geometric probability for the $j$th planet to transit is the fraction of a Gaussian-weighted opening angle within the transit region, where the standard deviation of the Gaussian is $\sigma_j = \sigma_{3D}$ (Figure B1 and Eq. B1).

$$
P_{\text{TRANS}}(\langle \theta \rangle, a_j) = \frac{1}{\sigma_j \sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{u_1}^{u_2} e^{-\frac{u^2}{2\sigma_j^2}} du
$$

(B1)

where the integration limits $u_1$ and $u_2$ are defined by (see Fig. B1).
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Figure A1. Top panel: The red histogram represents the final $\langle \theta \rangle$ angles for the systems in our sample. The blue line represents the normalised area out to $a_{\text{crit}}$ as a function of $\langle \theta \rangle$ (Eq. B5). The bottom panel shows the dispersion for our Kepler multiples, about the calculated $\langle \theta \rangle$ value for each system. The smaller values of $\sigma_{\Delta \theta}$ at $\langle \theta \rangle \sim 0^\circ$ are probably due to the over-representation of $i = 90^\circ$ in the Kepler data.

Figure B1. Illustration of the variables required to calculate $P_{\text{trans}}$ (Eq. B1), the fraction of a Gaussian within the transit region ($b \lesssim 1$). We assume that a planet with semi-major axis $a_j$, in a system whose invariable plane is the thick line, will have a position $\Delta \theta_j$, whose probability will be described by a normal distribution along the red line perpendicular to the invariant plane. The integration variable $u$ of Eq. B1 is along this perpendicular red line. The mean of the Gaussian is at the point $(x_0, z_0)$ where $u = 0$. The standard deviation $\sigma_j = a_j \sigma_{\Delta \theta}$ is also the half-width of the green region at a distance $a_j$ from the host star.

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{\Delta \theta} &= a_j \sigma_0 \\
\langle \theta \rangle &= \frac{R_\ast + z_0}{\cos(\langle \theta \rangle)} \\
\cos(\langle \theta \rangle) &= 1 \\
\langle \theta \rangle &= 0 \\
z_0 &= -a_j \sin(\langle \theta \rangle)
\end{align*}
\]
### APPENDIX C: TABLES OF PLANET PREDICTIONS

#### Table C1: 77 Planet predictions with a high geometric probability to transit ($P_{\text{trans}} > 0.55$) in 40 Kepler systems

| System | Number | $\gamma$ | $\Delta\gamma^a$ | $X^2$ (d.o.f.) | $X^2$ (d.o.f.) | Inserted | Period | $a$ | $R_{\text{max}}^b$ | $T_{\text{eff}}$ | $P_{\text{trans}}$ |
|--------|--------|---------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------|---------|----|----------------|---------------|---------------|
| KOI-1198 | 2 | 2.2 | 0.3 | 7.19 | 0.74 | 2 | 2.1 | 0.4 | 0.03 | 1.3 | 1642 | 1.00 |
| KOI-1955 | 4 | 3.4 | 0.2 | 10.23 | 0.19 | 2 | 4.3 | 0.7 | 0.06 | 1.5 | 1297 | 1.00 |
| KOI-1082 | 2 | 23.0 | 5.0 | 5.02 | 0.06 | 1 | 1.8 | 0.2 | 0.03 | 1.0 | 1184 | 1.00 |
| KOI-500 | 2 | 7.6 | 1.9 | 5.47 | 0.18 | 1 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 0.02 | 0.9 | 904 | 1.00 |
| KOI-1198 | 2 | 2.2 | 0.3 | 7.19 | 0.74 | 2 | 2.1 | 0.4 | 0.03 | 1.3 | 1642 | 1.00 |
| KOI-952 | 1 | 2 | 5.2 | 2.36 | 0.76 | 3 | E | 14.7 | 1.2 | 1.55 | 1081 | 0.90 |
| KOI-1082 | 2 | 0.9 | 1.9 | 1.20 | 0.27 | 2 | 4.5 | 0.2 | 0.05 | 0.9 | 1224 | 0.99 |
| KOI-1082 | 2 | 23.0 | 5.0 | 5.02 | 0.06 | 1 | 1.8 | 0.2 | 0.03 | 1.0 | 1184 | 1.00 |
| KOI-1198 | 2 | 7.6 | 1.9 | 5.47 | 0.18 | 1 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 0.02 | 0.9 | 904 | 1.00 |
| KOI-952 | 1 | 2 | 5.2 | 2.36 | 0.76 | 3 | E | 14.7 | 1.2 | 1.55 | 1081 | 0.90 |
| KOI-1082 | 2 | 0.9 | 1.9 | 1.20 | 0.27 | 2 | 4.5 | 0.2 | 0.05 | 0.9 | 1224 | 0.99 |

---

$^a\Delta\gamma = (\gamma - \gamma_1)/\gamma_2$ where $\gamma_1$ and $\gamma_2$ are the highest and second highest $\gamma$ values for that system respectively (see Bovard & Lineweaver (2013)).

$^bR_{\text{max}}$ is calculated by applying the lowest SNR of the detected planets in the system to the period of the inserted planet. See Eq. 7.

A planet number followed by "E" indicates the planet is extrapolated (has a larger period than the outermost detected planet in the system).
### Table C2: All 228 Planet Predictions in 151 Systems (Table C1 is a high \( P_{\text{trans}} \) subset of this table)

| System          | Number Inserted | **\( \gamma \)** | \( \Delta \varpi \) | \( \left( \frac{X^2}{\text{d.o.f.}} \right)_i \) | \( \left( \frac{X^2}{\text{d.o.f.}} \right)_f \) | Period (days) | \( a \) (AU) | \( R_{\text{max}} \) (\( R_\oplus \)) | \( T_{\text{me}} \) (K) | \( P_{\text{trans}} \) (d) |
|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| KOI-1198        | 2               | 2.2              | 0.3               | 7.19                                          | 0.74                                          | 2              | 2.1 ± 0.4       | 0.03                          | 1.3             | 1642                    | 1.00 |
| KOI-1955        | 4               | 3.4              | 0.2               | 10.23                                         | 0.19                                          | 1              | 2.6 ± 0.3       | 0.04                          | 0.9             | 1568                    | 1.00 |
| KOI-1082        | 2               | 23.0             | 5.0               | 5.02                                          | 0.06                                          | 1              | 1.8 ± 0.2       | 0.03                          | 1.0             | 1184                    | 1.00 |
| KOI-701         | 6               | 18.0             | 7.4               | 7.08                                          | 0.14                                          | 1              | 1.5 ± 0.3       | 0.02                          | 0.9             | 904                     | 1.00 |
| KOI-1627        | 0               | -                | -                 | -                                             | -                                             | 2              | 4.0 ± 0.2       | 2.1                           | 299             | 0.93                    |
| KOI-1833        | 0               | -                | -                 | -                                             | -                                             | 2              | 2.1 ± 0.3       | 0.03                          | 1.3             | 960                     | 1.00 |
| KOI-3158        | 0               | -                | -                 | -                                             | -                                             | 2              | 1.5 ± 0.2       | 0.02                          | 1.2             | 1091                    | 1.00 |
| KOI-4032        | 2               | 0.9              | 1.9               | 1.20                                          | 0.27                                          | 1              | 3.4 ± 0.2       | 0.04                          | 0.8             | 1347                    | 1.00 |
| KOI-707         | 3               | 3.2              | 2.2               | 3.69                                          | 0.90                                          | 9              | 8.9 ± 0.8       | 0.09                          | 2.0             | 1162                    | 1.00 |
| KOI-1336        | 1               | 1.3              | 65.6              | 1.07                                          | 0.19                                          | 1              | 6.7 ± 0.7       | 0.07                          | 1.7             | 1053                    | 0.98 |
| KOI-2859        | 1               | 10.2             | -1.0              | 1.69                                          | 0.16                                          | 8              | 2.4 ± 0.1       | 0.03                          | 0.6             | 1242                    | 0.95 |
| KOI-250         | 5               | 0.7              | 1.1               | 2.26                                          | 0.14                                          | 1              | 5.1 ± 0.3       | 0.05                          | 0.8             | 967                     | 0.54 |
| KOI-168         | 0               | -                | -                 | -                                             | -                                             | 2              | 4.8 ± 0.4       | 0.05                          | 1.2             | 686                     | 0.96 |
| KOI-2585        | 0               | -                | -                 | -                                             | -                                             | 2              | 6.6 ± 0.6       | 0.06                          | 1.3             | 616                     | 0.91 |
| KOI-1052        | 2               | 115.4            | 40.4              | 1.36                                          | 0.01                                          | 1              | 10.8 ± 1.0      | 1.0                           | 9.6             | 1062                    | 0.95 |
| KOI-505         | 3               | 1.6              | 0.1               | 8.20                                          | 0.56                                          | 1              | 27.1 ± 2.8      | 1.8                           | 2.0             | 669                     | 0.69 |
| KOI-1831        | 1               | 1.3              | 0.2               | 2.54                                          | 1.11                                          | 2              | 8.2 ± 0.1       | 0.08                          | 0.9             | 739                     | 0.91 |
| KOI-248         | 1               | 18.3             | 80.8              | 2.48                                          | 0.13                                          | 2              | 21.9 ± 2.5      | 0.15                          | 4.5             | 788                     | 0.92 |
| KOI-880         | 1               | 4.0              | 22.4              | 1.35                                          | 0.28                                          | 1              | 4.2 ± 0.5       | 0.04                          | 1.4             | 633                     | 0.89 |
| KOI-1567        | 2               | 5.9              | 44.8              | 1.51                                          | 0.07                                          | 2              | 11.4 ± 1.2      | 1.0                           | 2.0             | 668                     | 0.87 |
| KOI-1082        | 2               | 85.6             | 16.6              | 3.26                                          | 0.01                                          | 1              | 12.1 ± 1.2      | 1.0                           | 1.5             | 828                     | 0.88 |
| KOI-351         | 4               | 0.5              | 1.0               | 5.78                                          | 0.65                                          | 1              | 183.8 ± 1.8     | 0.16                          | 1.6             | 720                     | 0.75 |
| KOI-701         | 6               | 18.0             | 7.4               | 4.04                                          | 0.01                                          | 1              | 8.4 ± 0.8       | 0.07                          | 6.2             | 621                     | 0.87 |
| KOI-1306        | 1               | 5.7              | 0.4               | 4.12                                          | 0.62                                          | 2              | 137.2 ± 2.1     | 0.11                          | 1.5             | 756                     | 0.85 |
| KOI-2722        | 0               | -                | -                 | -                                             | -                                             | 2              | 55.9 ± 0.9      | 0.28                          | 2.1             | 476                     | 0.43 |
| KOI-1358        | 0               | -                | -                 | -                                             | -                                             | 2              | 23.4 ± 1.5      | 0.17                          | 1.4             | 774                     | 0.78 |
| KOI-1627        | 0               | -                | -                 | -                                             | -                                             | 2              | 33.0 ± 1.8      | 0.21                          | 1.5             | 690                     | 0.67 |
| KOI-1833        | 0               | -                | -                 | -                                             | -                                             | 2              | 46.5 ± 2.3      | 0.27                          | 1.6             | 615                     | 0.56 |
| KOI-3158        | 0               | -                | -                 | -                                             | -                                             | 2              | 27.4 ± 1.5      | 0.17                          | 2.1             | 497                     | 0.57 |
| KOI-701         | 6               | 18.0             | 7.4               | 4.04                                          | 0.01                                          | 1              | 13.6 ± 1.0      | 0.10                          | 1.6             | 522                     | 0.74 |
| KOI-1627        | 0               | -                | -                 | -                                             | -                                             | 2              | 21.0 ± 1.3      | 0.14                          | 1.4             | 451                     | 0.60 |
| KOI-1833        | 0               | -                | -                 | -                                             | -                                             | 2              | 16.6 ± 1.2      | 0.13                          | 1.9             | 586                     | 0.73 |
| KOI-3158        | 0               | -                | -                 | -                                             | -                                             | 2              | 16.4 ± 0.7      | 0.10                          | 2.2             | 455                     | 0.60 |
| KOI-701         | 6               | 18.0             | 7.4               | 4.04                                          | 0.01                                          | 1              | 12.7 ± 0.6      | 0.09                          | 0.4             | 566                     | 0.71 |
| KOI-1306        | 1               | 5.7              | 0.4               | 4.12                                          | 0.62                                          | 2              | 16.4 ± 0.7      | 0.11                          | 0.4             | 520                     | 0.62 |

**Continued**
Table C2: All 228 Planet Predictions in 151 Systems (Table C1 is a high $P_{\text{trans}}$ subset of this table)

| System | Number | $\nu$ | $\Delta t^d$ | $(X^2_{\text{d.o.f.}})_f$ | $(X^2_{\text{d.o.f.}})_f$ | Inserted | Period | $a$ | $R_{\text{max}}^b$ | $T_{\text{c}}^e$ | $P_{\text{trans}}^d$ |
|--------|--------|------|-------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------|--------|----|---------------|-------------|--------------|
| KOI-2055 | 0 | - | - | 0.29 | - | - | 3 E | 21.1 ± 0.8 | 0.13 | 0.5 | 478 | 0.55 |
| KOI-245 | 3 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.56 | 0.17 | 1 | 16.8 ± 0.9 | 0.12 | 0.3 | 582 | 0.70 |
| KOI-749 | 0 | - | - | 0.49 | - | - | 1 E | 11.4 ± 0.6 | 0.10 | 1.5 | 711 | 0.69 |
| KOI-730 | 0 | - | - | 0.33 | - | - | 1 E | 27.9 ± 1.5 | 0.18 | 2.3 | 620 | 0.69 |
| KOI-719 | 1 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 1.36 | 0.66 | - | 1 E | 14.8 ± 2.0 | 0.11 | 0.8 | 514 | 0.69 |
| KOI-1060 | 0 | - | - | 0.22 | - | - | 2 E | 88 ± 12 | 0.35 | 1.2 | 284 | 0.24 |
| KOI-3083 | 0 | - | - | 0.56 | - | - | 1 E | 13.2 ± 0.5 | 0.17 | 0.7 | 75 | 0.66 |
| KOI-156 | 0 | - | - | 0.10 | - | - | 1 E | 17.9 ± 1.0 | 0.12 | 1.6 | 476 | 0.61 |
| KOI-137 | 0 | - | - | 0.13 | - | - | 1 E | 51.2 ± 3.1 | 0.19 | 3.1 | 539 | 0.60 |
| KOI-1151 | 0 | - | - | 0.85 | - | - | 1 E | 33.0 ± 2.2 | 0.20 | 1.0 | 564 | 0.59 |
| KOI-1015 | 0 | - | - | 0.62 | - | - | 1 E | 36.1 ± 3.5 | 0.22 | 2.3 | 590 | 0.58 |
| KOI-2029 | 1 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.15 | 0.05 | - | 1 E | 7.3 ± 1.6 | 0.15 | 0.3 | 513 | 0.56 |
| KOI-664 | 0 | - | - | 0.06 | - | - | 1 E | 40.3 ± 3.0 | 0.23 | 1.5 | 618 | 0.56 |
| KOI-2693 | 0 | - | - | 0.01 | - | - | 1 E | 19.1 ± 1.4 | 0.12 | 1.0 | 430 | 0.53 |
| KOI-1590 | 0 | - | - | 0.64 | - | - | 1 E | 28.7 ± 3.3 | 0.16 | 1.3 | 455 | 0.53 |
| KOI-279 | 0 | - | - | 0.55 | - | - | 1 E | 56 ± 6 | 0.30 | 1.3 | 586 | 0.53 |
| KOI-1930 | 1 | 0.60 | 2.9 | 7.4 | 2.9 | 3.51 | 0.42 | 1 E | 72 ± 7 | 0.35 | 1.2 | 542 | 0.53 |
| KOI-70 | 0 | 1.42 | 3.51 | 7.4 | 0.29 | - | 1 E | 39.1 ± 5.4 | 0.22 | 1.2 | 498 | 0.52 |
| KOI-720 | 0 | - | - | 0.14 | - | - | 1 E | 34.8 ± 3.6 | 0.20 | 2.9 | 477 | 0.51 |
| KOI-1860 | 0 | - | - | 0.02 | - | - | 1 E | 49.5 ± 5.6 | 0.27 | 1.7 | 512 | 0.49 |
| KOI-1475 | 0 | - | - | 0.13 | - | - | 1 E | 24.0 ± 3.0 | 0.14 | 1.7 | 377 | 0.48 |
| KOI-1194 | 0 | - | - | 0.52 | - | - | 1 E | 70.9 ± 2.5 | 0.16 | 1.3 | 374 | 0.47 |
| KOI-2025 | 0 | - | - | 0.21 | - | - | 1 E | 40.5 ± 2.4 | 0.24 | 2.3 | 647 | 0.44 |
| KOI-733 | 0 | - | - | 0.07 | - | - | 1 E | 35.5 ± 3.5 | 0.20 | 2.8 | 437 | 0.46 |
| KOI-2169 | 0 | - | - | 0.07 | - | - | 1 E | 7.6 ± 0.4 | 0.07 | 0.7 | 806 | 0.44 |
| KOI-2163 | 0 | - | - | 0.06 | - | - | 1 E | 46.3 ± 3.1 | 0.26 | 1.7 | 532 | 0.44 |
| KOI-3319 | 0 | - | - | 0.01 | - | - | 1 E | 45.9 ± 5.3 | 0.25 | 2.1 | 511 | 0.44 |
| KOI-2352 | 0 | - | - | 0.28 | - | - | 1 E | 20.3 ± 1.2 | 0.16 | 1.1 | 845 | 0.44 |
| KOI-1681 | 0 | - | - | 0.15 | - | - | 1 E | 12.7 ± 1.1 | 0.09 | 1.3 | 415 | 0.44 |
| KOI-1413 | 0 | - | - | 0.14 | - | - | 1 E | 56.2 ± 3.8 | 0.28 | 1.8 | 454 | 0.44 |
| KOI-2597 | 0 | - | - | 0.13 | - | - | 1 E | 17.7 ± 1.0 | 0.14 | 1.8 | 791 | 0.43 |
| KOI-2220 | 0 | - | - | 0.19 | - | - | 1 E | 19.1 ± 1.6 | 0.14 | 1.2 | 695 | 0.42 |
| KOI-1161 | 0 | - | - | 0.18 | - | - | 1 E | 21.4 ± 1.9 | 0.14 | 2.1 | 754 | 0.42 |
| KOI-582 | 0 | - | - | 0.08 | - | - | 1 E | 30.3 ± 2.3 | 0.18 | 1.8 | 466 | 0.41 |
| KOI-82 | 0 | - | - | 0.92 | - | - | 1 E | 38.3 ± 2.9 | 0.21 | 0.9 | 488 | 0.41 |
| KOI-157 | 1 | 3.7 | 6.9 | 3.15 | 6.9 | 0.69 | 1 E | 75 ± 8 | 0.34 | 2.7 | 439 | 0.41 |
| KOI-864 | 0 | - | - | 0.09 | - | - | 1 E | 44.0 ± 4.6 | 0.24 | 2.9 | 453 | 0.40 |
| KOI-939 | 0 | - | - | 0.24 | - | - | 1 E | 20.3 ± 2.1 | 0.15 | 1.9 | 640 | 0.40 |
| KOI-899 | 0 | - | - | 0.08 | - | - | 1 E | 39.1 ± 3.6 | 0.20 | 2.8 | 360 | 0.40 |
| KOI-841 | 2 | 7.1 | 0.4 | 4.35 | 0.4 | 0.15 | 1 E | 63 ± 11 | 0.31 | 2.7 | 469 | 0.39 |
| KOI-1557 | 0 | - | - | 0.58 | - | - | 1 E | 18.2 ± 1.9 | 0.12 | 2.0 | 499 | 0.35 |
| KOI-571 | 2 | 19.9 | 5.2 | 4.87 | 5.2 | 0.07 | 1 E | 40.9 ± 5.6 | 0.19 | 0.8 | 294 | 0.35 |

continued
### Table C2: All 228 Planet Predictions in 151 Systems (Table [C3] is a high $P_{\text{trans}}$ subset of this table)

| System Number | Inserted Period (days) | $a$ (AU) | $R_{\text{max}}^b$ | $T_{\text{eff}}^c$ | $P_{\text{trans}}^d$ |
|---------------|------------------------|----------|---------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| KOI-3741      | 0                      | -        | -                   | 1                 | $35.3 \pm 3.1$     |
| KOI-709       | 0                      | -        | -                   | 1                 | $2.2 \pm 1.9$      |
| KOI-1563      | 0                      | -        | -                   | 1                 | $414 \pm 24$       |
| KOI-2135      | 0                      | -        | -                   | 1                 | $395 \pm 24$       |
| KOI-2433      | 0                      | -        | -                   | 1                 | $1033 \pm 24$      |
| KOI-2086      | 0                      | -        | -                   | 1                 | $933 \pm 24$       |
| KOI-1102      | 0                      | -        | -                   | 1                 | $2.6 \pm 1.9$      |
| KOI-1539      | 0                      | -        | -                   | 1                 | $1033 \pm 24$      |
| KOI-1445      | 0                      | -        | -                   | 1                 | $391 \pm 24$       |
| KOI-232       | 0                      | -        | -                   | 1                 | $391 \pm 24$       |
| KOI-520       | 0                      | -        | -                   | 1                 | $314 \pm 24$       |
| KOI-2707      | 0                      | -        | -                   | 1                 | $335 \pm 24$       |
| KOI-152       | 0                      | -        | -                   | 1                 | $381 \pm 24$       |
| KOI-1332      | 0                      | -        | -                   | 1                 | $337 \pm 24$       |
| KOI-2485      | 0                      | -        | -                   | 1                 | $575 \pm 24$       |
| KOI-877       | 0                      | -        | -                   | 1                 | $343 \pm 24$       |
| KOI-775       | 0                      | -        | -                   | 1                 | $253 \pm 24$       |
| KOI-757       | 0                      | -        | -                   | 1                 | $295 \pm 24$       |
| KOI-510       | 0                      | -        | -                   | 1                 | $413 \pm 24$       |
| KOI-117       | 0                      | -        | -                   | 1                 | $692 \pm 24$       |
| KOI-935       | 0                      | -        | -                   | 1                 | $374 \pm 24$       |
| KOI-285       | 0                      | -        | -                   | 1                 | $490 \pm 24$       |
| KOI-671       | 0                      | -        | -                   | 1                 | $614 \pm 24$       |
| KOI-834       | 0                      | -        | -                   | 1                 | $351 \pm 24$       |
| KOI-509       | 0                      | -        | -                   | 1                 | $334 \pm 24$       |
| KOI-904       | 0                      | -        | -                   | 1                 | $252 \pm 24$       |
| KOI-723       | 0                      | -        | -                   | 1                 | $357 \pm 24$       |
| KOI-1436      | 0                      | -        | -                   | 1                 | $555 \pm 24$       |
| KOI-435       | 2                      | $1.18$   | $0.3$               | 1                 | $321 \pm 24$       |
| KOI-2073      | 0                      | -        | -                   | 1                 | $155 \pm 24$       |
| KOI-812       | 0                      | -        | -                   | 1                 | $274 \pm 24$       |
| KOI-474       | 0                      | -        | -                   | 1                 | $336 \pm 24$       |
| KOI-907       | 0                      | -        | -                   | 1                 | $301 \pm 24$       |
| KOI-1422      | 0                      | -        | -                   | 1                 | $198 \pm 24$       |
| KOI-710       | 0                      | -        | -                   | 1                 | $998 \pm 24$       |
| KOI-623       | 0                      | -        | -                   | 1                 | $591 \pm 24$       |
| KOI-282       | 0                      | -        | -                   | 1                 | $299 \pm 24$       |
| KOI-620       | 0                      | -        | -                   | 1                 | $298 \pm 24$       |
| KOI-3925      | 0                      | -        | -                   | 1                 | $734 \pm 24$       |
| KOI-2167      | 0                      | -        | -                   | 1                 | $303 \pm 24$       |
| KOI-1426      | 0                      | -        | -                   | 1                 | $294 \pm 24$       |
| KOI-1127      | 0                      | -        | -                   | 1                 | $681 \pm 24$       |
| KOI-191       | 0                      | -        | -                   | 1                 | $301 \pm 24$       |
| KOI-1430      | 0                      | -        | -                   | 1                 | $215 \pm 24$       |
| KOI-806       | 0                      | -        | -                   | 1                 | $245 \pm 24$       |
| KOI-612       | 0                      | -        | -                   | 1                 | $235 \pm 24$       |
| KOI-481       | 0                      | -        | -                   | 1                 | $282 \pm 24$       |
| KOI-2714      | 0                      | -        | -                   | 1                 | $272 \pm 24$       |
| KOI-1258      | 0                      | -        | -                   | 1                 | $210 \pm 24$       |
| KOI-564       | 0                      | -        | -                   | 1                 | $250 \pm 24$       |
| KOI-1922      | 0                      | -        | -                   | 1                 | $299 \pm 24$       |
| KOI-2183      | 0                      | -        | -                   | 1                 | $188 \pm 24$       |
| KOI-518       | 0                      | -        | -                   | 1                 | $124 \pm 24$       |
| KOI-2842      | 0                      | -        | -                   | 1                 | $559 \pm 24$       |

---

$^a \Delta \gamma = (\gamma_2 - \gamma_1)/\gamma_1$ where $\gamma_1$ and $\gamma_2$ are the highest and second highest $\gamma$ values for that system respectively (see BL13).

$^b R_{\text{max}}$ is calculated by applying the lowest SNR of the detected planets in the system to the period of the inserted planet. See Eq. 10.

$^c$ A planet number followed by “$\pm$” indicates the planet is larger than the outermost detected planet in the system.

$^d P_{\text{trans}}$ values $> 0.55$ are shown in bold, indicating a higher probability to transit.

$^e$ $T_{\text{eff}}$ values between Mars and Venus (206 K to 300 K, assuming an albedo of 0.3) are shown in bold.