Health care costs of rheumatoid arthritis: A longitudinal population study
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Abstract

Quantifying the contribution of rheumatoid arthritis to the acquisition of subsequent health care costs is an emerging focus of the rheumatologic community and payers of health care. Our objective was to determine the healthcare costs before and after diagnosis of rheumatoid arthritis (RA) from the public payer’s perspective. The study design was a longitudinal observational administrative data-based cohort with RA cases from Ontario Canada (n = 104,933) and two control groups, matched 1:1 on year of cohort entry from 2001 to 2016. The first control group was matched on age, sex and calendar year of cohort entry (diagnosis year for those with RA); the second group added medical history to the match before RA diagnosis year. The main exposure was new onset RA. The secondary exposure was calendar year of RA diagnosis to compare attributable costs over the study observation window. Main outcomes were health care costs in 2015 Canadian dollars, overall and by cost category. We used attribution methods to classify costs into those associated with RA, those associated with comorbidities, and age/sex-related underlying costs. Health care costs associated with RA increased up to the year of diagnosis, where they reached $8,591: $4,142 in RA associated costs; $1,242 in RA comorbidity associated costs; and $3,207 in underlying costs. In the eighth-year post diagnosis, the RA costs declined to $2,567 while the RA comorbidity associated costs remained relatively constant at $1,142, and the underlying age/sex related cost increased to $4,426. RA patients had lower costs when diagnosed in later calendar years. Our results suggest a large proportion of disease related health care costs are a result of costs associated with RA comorbidities, which may appear many years before diagnosis.

Introduction

The association of rheumatoid arthritis (RA) with long-term health care costs are unclear and difficult to measure because of the unique treatment pathway of this complex chronic disease requiring long-term medication therapy [1,2]. Clinical trials of medications used in RA are highly selective with only 8% of patients seen in usual care meeting eligibility criteria [3–5]. Because of their design and short follow-up, clinical trials cannot capture the long-term costs
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Materials and methods

Statistical attribution mechanisms for the health care costs of RA

Health care costs of RA can be divided into 3 parts (Fig 1): 1) The directly associated costs of RA which can be calculated by subtracting the costs of non-RA controls from the costs of RA cases. 2) The RA associated comorbidity costs which can be calculated by subtracting the underlying costs from the costs of non-ra controls matched on medical histories. 3) Underlying costs which are a secular control for the costs of an “Average Patient” in the same time period.

The RA related costs are the marginal costs of RA alone, which can be calculated by separating out the costs of conditions that are more likely to occur as a result of RA. These costs are ascertained by measuring the costs of an RA case and subtracting the costs of a patient with a similar medical history, but no RA diagnosis. The costs of RA comorbidities are costs that occur as a result of subsequent conditions arising from RA. For example, an RA comorbidity associated cost could be increased cardiovascular events as a result of RA related inflammation.

This study was approved by the University Health Network Research Ethics Board. All analyses were conducted in R 3.4.1 [18], SAS Enterprise 7.0 [19] on Redhat Linux 7 [20] with IBM LSF [21] and SLURM workload management software [22].

Population

RA cases were residents of Ontario, Canada for at least one year who were eligible for identical, single-payer provincial health insurance coverage, and aged 15 years or older at RA diagnosis at any point from 2001 to 2016 inclusive (n = 104,933). The year of RA diagnosis was determined using a validated algorithm (2 RA related physician visits <= 2 years apart with at least 1 visit to a musculoskeletal specialist or 1 hospitalization for RA) [23]. Eligible controls received insured single-payer health care but did not have RA. Data were housed and analyzed at ICES (formerly the Institute for Clinical Evaluative Sciences) [24], an independent health
services research institute funded by the Ontario Ministry of Health (www.ices.on.ca) which contains administrative billing records for medical services in Ontario.

Year of RA diagnosis (primary exposure)
The primary exposure was the year of RA diagnosis, defined as the year patients met the validated administrative data case definition for RA in each year from 2001–2016.

Changes in costs by calendar year (secondary exposure)
To evaluate changes in health care costs over time, attributable costs were also calculated for each calendar year of RA diagnosis. The introduction of new treatments and improvements in care forms a natural experiment because exposure to new treatments and care patterns increases over time. Patients diagnosed in later calendar years would be exposed to newer treatments sooner in their disease course than patients diagnosed in earlier calendar years and patients who lived until the new treatments were available would receive partial exposures to new treatment options. We hypothesized that use of diagnosis year as a measure of exposure to new treatments would reveal an association of diagnosis year with changes in health care costs.

Cost outcomes
Cost outcomes were total health care cost per patient per year in 2015 Canadian dollars (CAD) from the public payer’s perspective [25]. In Ontario most medical services are paid for by a single publicly funded insurer, the Ontario Ministry of Health. Funded services include all physician visits, hospital care, emergency department visits, and prescription medications among patients over the age of 64 or those receiving social assistance. Costs not included are drug costs for those under age 65 except those on social assistance, or with medication expenses exceeding 4% of net income. Other uninsured health care costs are chiropractors, elective surgeries, and non-evidence informed treatments or procedures. Costs were aggregated and
reported yearly at 5, 2, and 1 year before the year of RA diagnosis, the year of RA diagnosis, and 1, 2, 5, and 8 years after RA diagnosis.

Results were reported as total annual costs and split by 17 costing categories (S1 File). Individual events were costed for fee-for-service physician billings, non-physician billings, and laboratory billings from the Ontario Health Insurance Plan (OHIP) database. Physician services in capitation models were costed by applying payments weighted by age and complexity criteria. Medication costs were measured at the prescription level (list prices) from the Ontario Drug Benefits Program for all prescriptions after age 65, and for prescriptions exceeding 4% of a patient’s after-tax income below age 65 or recipients of social assistance. Inpatient hospitalizations, emergency department visits, and same day surgery were costed using resource intensity weighting, with oncology, dialysis, and hospital outpatient clinic visits derived using an analogous ambulatory care weighting system [26]. Complex continuing care, inpatient mental health, and rehabilitation costs [27,28] were calculated based on length of stay and case mix [29], while long-term care and home care services were costed using average unit costs of service per hour or day [30]. All unit costs and weighting values were obtained from the Ontario Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care and the Canadian Institute for Health Information, these datasets were linked using unique encoded identifiers and analyzed at ICES.

Analysis of total health care cost outcomes before RA diagnosis. Emerging evidence suggest that the negative inflammatory effects of RA can often manifest before the confirmation of an RA diagnosis. Therefore, we also measured the differences in health care costs before RA diagnosis between RA patients and their matched controls.

Matching covariates. Clinical covariates from administrative data were included and were measured annually (S 1). These covariates were 27 Major Expanded Diagnosis Clusters (MEDC), which were derived from the Johns Hopkins Adjusted Clinical Group System® Version 10 [31]. The MEDC methodology assigns diagnostic codes found in physician claims, the hospital Discharge Abstract Database, and the National Ambulatory Care Reporting System (NACRS) [32]. The NACRS dataset contains data for all hospital-based day surgery, outpatient cancer and dialysis services, and emergency department services. The Ontario Marginalization Index and neighbourhood income quintile were measured and reported but controls were not matched on these covariates [33].

Statistical analysis

Study design. Our study was a matched longitudinal cohort using individual-level administrative data

RA cases. RA cases Table 1 were matched to two control groups each with a 1:1 case-to-control ratio to provide each case with an eligible control in each control group.

Age sex matched controls. Potential age and sex matched controls were all those members of the population who were free of the algorithm-defined RA diagnosis before and during the year of diagnosis of the index case Table 1. Controls were sampled with replacement from the eligible pool and the index date assigned to controls was the year of RA diagnosis (2001–2016) of their corresponding matched case.

Age sex medical history matched controls. The second set of controls was matched to RA cases on age, sex with an identical methodology described above. In addition to age and sex matching, the second control group added to the match all available years of medical history before the year of RA diagnosis Table 1. Each of the 16 year-of-diagnosis cohorts (2001–2016), had a different length of lookback duration for establishing medical history and a different subsequent duration of cost accrual (Fig 2). Medical history was operationalized with balanced risk set matching using Mahalanobis distance to create a control group matched on
Table 1. Patient characteristics and costs at year of diagnosis for RA cases, index year for non-RA controls.

| Characteristic                              | RA Cases (n = 104,933) | Age/Sex/Medical History Matched Controls (n = 103,853) | Average Difference (Δ) | RA Associated Costs | RA Associated Comorbidty Costs | Underlying Costs |
|---------------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| Age (Mean, Median, SD, Range)              | 56.8, 58, 16.7, (15–99)| 56.8, 58, 16.7, (15–99) | 0                       | 0                   | -               | -               |
| Female Sex %, n                             | 69.6, 73047            | 69.6, 73047                                             | 0                       | 0                   | -               | -               |
| Year of Diagnosis (Mean, Median, SD, Range) | 2006, 2007, 6.3,       | 2001–2016                                              | 0                       | 0                   | -               | -               |
| Income Quintile (Mean, Median, Q1, Q3)     | 3.005, 3.2, 4          | 2.99, 3, 2, 4                                           | 0.01                    | 3.00, 3, 2, 4       | <0.01 | -               |
| Rurality Score (Mean, Median, Q1, Q3)      | 11.25, 2.0, 17         | 10.29, 2.0, 12                                         | 0.96                    | 10.06, 2.0, 11      | 1.19 | -               |
| Ontario Marginalization Score Summary (Mean, Median, Q1, Q3) | 3.037, 3, 2.5, 3.75 | 3.086, 3, 2.5, 3.75 | <0.01 | 3.087, 3, 2.5, 3.75 | -0.05 | -               |
| Material Deprivation (Mean, Median, Q1, Q3) | 3.012, 3, 2, 4         | 3.036, 3, 2, 4                                         | -0.02                   | 3.02, 3, 2, 4       | <0.01 | -               |
| Dependency (Mean, Median, Q1, Q3)          | 3.064, 3, 2, 4         | 3.038, 3, 2, 4                                         | <0.01                   | 3.008, 3, 2, 4      | 0.06 | -               |
| Housing Instability (Mean, Median, Q1, Q3) | 3.057, 3, 2, 4         | 3.094, 3, 2, 4                                         | -0.04                   | 3.115, 3, 2, 4      | -0.06 | -               |
| Ethnic Concentration (Mean, Median, Q1, Q3) | 3.016, 3, 2, 4         | 3.176, 3, 2, 4                                         | -0.16                   | 3.207, 3, 2, 5      | -0.19 | -               |
| Total Healthcare Costsb                    | 8,591                  | 4,449                                                  | 4,142                   | 3,207               | 5,384 | 4,142           |
| Inpatient Care                             | 2,672                  | 926                                                    | 1,746                   | 651                 | 2,021 | 1,746           |
| Physician Fee for Service Billings (Specialist) | 1,656                 | 704                                                    | 952                     | 509                 | 1,147 | 952             |
| Drug Benefits                               | 958                    | 641                                                    | 317                     | 475                 | 482   | 317             |
| Outpatient                                  | 618                    | 249                                                    | 368                     | 183                 | 434   | 369             |
| Physician Fee for Service Billings (General Practitioner) | 414                  | 273                                                    | 141                     | 194                 | 219   | 194             |
| Laboratory                                  | 307                    | 118                                                    | 189                     | 89                  | 218   | 189             |
| Home Care Services                          | 410                    | 237                                                    | 173                     | 168                 | 242   | 173             |
| Rehabilitation                              | 297                    | 81                                                     | 216                     | 59                  | 238   | 216             |
| Emergency Department                        | 271                    | 135                                                    | 136                     | 90                  | 181   | 136             |
| Same Day Surgery                            | 202                    | 141                                                    | 61                      | 107                 | 96    | 61              |
| Complex and Continuing Care                 | 171                    | 143                                                    | 28                      | 74                  | 97    | 28              |
| Long-Term Care                              | 157                    | 370                                                    | -213                    | 295                 | -138  | -213            |
| Capitation Costs (Family Health Teams)      | 100                    | 87                                                     | 13                      | 79                  | 21    | 13              |
| Cancer Clinics                              | 83                     | 80                                                     | 3                       | 75                  | 7     | 3               |
| Dialysis Clinics                            | 83                     | 92                                                     | -9                      | 47                  | 36    | -9              |
| Mental Health Inpatient Care                | 50                     | 86                                                     | -37                     | 44                  | 6     | -36             |
| Assistive Devices                           | 15                     | 10                                                     | 5                       | 7                   | 8     | 5               |

b All costs are presented as 2015 Inflation Adjusted Canadian Dollars per-patient per year.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0251334.t001
similar medical history based on clinical covariates [34–40]. This technique uses clinical covariates (MEDC), normalizes them on a common scale and gives each case a pairwise "similarity score" to each potential control. The more similar a case is to a control, the closer the similarity score will be to 0, with controls selected on the minimum Mahalanobis distance for each RA case within the age/sex/year stratum [35,37,38,41]. This level of matching ensures similarity between the groups on clinical conditions other than RA, controls for secular changes in health care costs over time and reduces the potential for conditions other than RA to account for differences in health care costs. (Fig 2).

Analysis of costs of RA before and after diagnosis
Differences were calculated between RA cases and each of the two matched controls for total health care costs (Table 2) and for 17 cost categories (Fig 3, S1 Table, S2 File).

Analysis of costs of RA before and after diagnosis by calendar year of diagnosis
Costs were also calculated by year-of-RA-diagnosis cohort (and presented for 2002 and 2009), at 1, 2, 5 before and after RA diagnosis (Figs 4 and 5., Tables 3 and S2). Changes in costs over time by calendar year of diagnosis were examined with and without the inclusion of medication costs. The cost differences between cases and controls for the 2002 cohort were compared to the differences for the 2009 cohort at 1, 2, 5, years post diagnosis (Table 3).

Table 2. Total patient outcomes at year of diagnosis and 1, 2, 5, and 8-Year pre-and post-diagnosis (Data source for Fig 3).

| Years Before / After Diagnosis (n pairs of cases and controls) | RA Cases (2015 CAD/patient) | Age/Sex/Medical History Matched Controls (2015 CAD/patient) | Average Difference (2015 CAD/patient) | Age/Sex Matched Controls (2015 CAD/patient) | Average Difference (2015 CAD/patient) | Direct RA Associated Costs (2015 CAD/patient) | Indirect RA Associated (2015 CAD/patient) | Age/Sex Related Costs (2015 CAD/patient) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| -8 (n = 94,216) | 1853 | 1797 | 56 | 1262 | 591 | 56 | 535 | 1262 |
| -5 (n = 104,933) | 2462 | 2435 | 27 | 1689 | 773 | 27 | 746 | 1689 |
| -2 (n = 104,933) | 3656 | 3379 | 277 | 2430 | 1226 | 277 | 949 | 2430 |
| -1 (n = 104,933) | 4460 | 3853 | 607 | 2758 | 1702 | 607 | 1095 | 2758 |
| 0 (n = 104,933) | 8591 | 4449 | 4142 | 3207 | 5384 | 4142 | 1242 | 3207 |
| 1 (n = 98,780) | 8163 | 5075 | 3088 | 3812 | 4351 | 3088 | 1263 | 3812 |
| 2 (n = 91,146) | 7971 | 5195 | 2776 | 3952 | 4019 | 2776 | 1243 | 3952 |
| 5 (n = 67,672) | 8087 | 5441 | 2646 | 4310 | 3777 | 2646 | 1131 | 4310 |
| 8 (n = 46,916) | 8135 | 5568 | 2567 | 4426 | 3709 | 2567 | 1142 | 4426 |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0251334.t002
Results and discussion

Patient population

From 2001 to 2016, 104,933 patients were diagnosed with RA (Table 1). All RA cases were matched, giving two non-RA control groups. The total pool of available controls for matching was 18.6 million residents eligible for health care within the study window.

At the year of diagnosis, RA cases were predominantly female (69.6%, n = 73,047), with a mean age of 56.8 years, (median = 58, SD = 16.7, Range = 15–99), mean year of diagnosis 2009, (median = 2009). RA patients and matched controls had similar demographic characteristics rurality score (median = 2), and Ontario Marginalization Index composite score (Table 1).

Aggregate costs before and after RA diagnosis

RA cases cost more in health care service use than patients matched on age/sex alone with cost differences increasing from $590 per patient 8-years before diagnosis to a peak difference of $5,384 per patient in the year of diagnosis and declining to $3,708 per patient 8-years after diagnosis (Fig 3, Tables 2 and S1). We found that differences in costs between RA patients and matched controls with similar medical history appear years before the diagnosis of RA. Differences in cost increased from $56 per patient 8 years before diagnosis, rising to $277 per patient 2 years prior, and to $606 per patient 1 year before diagnosis. In the year of diagnosis, patients with RA cost $8,591 per patient meaning they were $4,141 per patient more expensive compared to patients matched on age, sex, and medical history who cost $4,449 per patient (Fig 3, Tables 2 and S2).

The top 5 cost categories for RA patients in the year of diagnosis (S3 Table) were inpatient costs ($1,746, 20.3%), physician fee for service payments to specialists ($952, 11.3%), outpatient care ($369, 4.3%), drug benefits ($317, 3.7%), and physician fee-for-service payments to general practitioners ($141, 1.6%).
A. Total Health Care Costs Grouped by Year of Diagnosis (2002, 2009)

B. Total non-Medication Related Costs by Year of Diagnosis (2002, 2009)

*Matched on 27 Major Expanded Diagnosis Clusters Before Year of Diagnosis (Supplement 1)
**Numerical Data from Figure 4 Available (Table 3)
Trends in RA associated costs before and after diagnosis

In the year of diagnosis (Table 1), the RA associated costs were $4,142 per patient. The associated comorbidity costs of RA were $1,242 per patient and the underlying age-sex specific costs were $3,207 (Fig 3, Tables 2 and S1). Our findings show that by 8 years post diagnosis, the RA associated costs were $2,567 per patient, the RA comorbidity associated costs were $1,142 per patient and the age/sex underlying costs were $4,426 per patient (Tables 2 and S1). These results indicate that the RA associated costs are decreasing over time ($3088/$8163, 37.8%, at year 1 post diagnosis) compared to matched controls at 8 years post diagnosis ($2567/$8135, 31.5%, Fig 3, Tables 2 and S1). Over time, the RA comorbidity associated portion of costs remains almost constant from year 1 post diagnosis ($1263/$8163, 15.5%) to 8 years post diagnosis ($1142/$8135, 14.0%, Fig 3, Tables 2 and S1).

Total and non-medication related health care costs grouped by calendar year of diagnosis (2002, 2009)

Costs were grouped by calendar year of RA diagnosis (2002, 2009) for total costs (Figs 4A and 5A, Table 3) and non-Medication costs (Figs 4B and 5B, Table 3). This analysis illustrates the association of calendar year of RA diagnosis on changes in total and non-medication related health care costs.

Total health care costs grouped by year of diagnosis. For patients diagnosed in 2002, the costs of RA patients were $6,870 per patient in the year of diagnosis increasing at 5 years post diagnosis to $8,095 per patient ($1,225 per patient). Patients diagnosed in 2009 had a higher initial cost of treatment of $9,136 per patient but these costs decreased by $763 per patient at 5-years post diagnosis to $8,373 (Figs 4A and 5A, Tables 3 and S2).

Total non-medication related costs grouped by year of diagnosis. For patients diagnosed in 2002, the non-medication related costs of RA patients were $6,178 per patient in the year of diagnosis, increasing at 5 years post diagnosis to $6,735 per patient ($557) (Fig 4B, Tables 3 and S2). Patients diagnosed in 2009 had higher initial non-medication related costs of $8,201 but these costs decreased by $1,761 at 5-years post diagnosis to $6,440 (Figs 4B and 5B, Tables 3 and S2).

Total publicly funded medication costs among RA patients

In 2016, 72,000 RA patients receiving publicly funded medications cost $663.4M, rising from $29.3M in 2001 (Fig 6). In 2001 50.3% ($14.8M) of all medication costs for RA patients were for RA-related medications rising to 64.0% ($425.2M/$663.4M) in 2016. When split by type of RA medication, (Fig 7) in 2016 the total cost of all 4 administered csDMARDs combined (methotrexate, leflunomide, sulfasalazine, hydroxychloroquine) was $7.0M (Fig 7) while the total costs of the top 5 biologics by total medication costs were Adalimumab ($22.4M), Infliximab ($18.7M), Etanercept ($15.6M), Golimumab ($9.2M), and Tocilizumab ($6.3M).

Conclusions

We found that healthcare costs of RA patients were higher than costs for both age-sex matched, and age-sex-medical history matched controls. Increases in health care costs were detectable up to 15 years before the diagnosis of RA when compared to controls matched only...
Fig 5. Difference in Annual per-patient health care costs over time grouped by diagnosis year (2002, 2009) before and after rheumatoid arthritis diagnosis (public payer’s perspective). X-axis: Years before and after RA diagnosis, Y-axis: Annual Costs Per Patient (2015 Canadian Dollars), Grouping Variable: Exposure Group.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0251334.g005
Table 3. Patient outcomes by year of exposure with and without medication costs (Data source for Fig 4).

| Incident Year | Years Before/After RA Diagnosis (n pairs), n | RA Cases (2015 CAD/patient) | Average Difference (2015 CAD/patient) | Sum of the Difference in Costs Since Diagnosis | Direct RA Associated Costs | Indirect RA Associated Costs | RA Cases (2015 CAD/patient) | Average Difference (2015 CAD/patient) | Sum of the Difference in Costs Since Diagnosis | Direct RA Associated Costs | Indirect RA Associated Costs |
|---------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 2002          | -8 (n = 5,322), 0                            | -                           | -                                      | -                                             | -                         | -                           | -                           | -                                      | -                                             | -                         | -                           |
|               | 0                                           | 1503                        | 1522                                   | -19                                           | -3622                      | 1007                        | 496                         | 4129                                   | -19                                           | 515                        | 1007                        |
|               | -5 (n = 5,322), 0                            | 1735                        | 1695                                   | 50                                             | -3353                      | 1006                        | 6.49                        | -3967                                  | 50                                             | 599                        | 1006                        |
|               | -2 (n = 5,322), 0                            | 2109                        | 1805                                   | 254                                            | -1551                      | 1218                        | 891                         | -3736                                  | 254                                            | 637                        | 1218                        |
|               | -1 (n = 5,322), 0                            | 0                           | 0                                      | 0                                              | 0                          | 2243                        | 4427                        | 0                                    | 3.494                                          | 12.23                      | 2243                        |
|               | 0                                           | 6703                        | 3466                                   | 3404                                           | 0                          | 2243                        | 4427                        | 0                                    | 3.494                                          | 12.23                      | 2243                        |
|               | -2 (n = 5,322), 4                            | 6851                        | 4576                                   | 2275                                           | -1129                      | 3466                        | 3413                        | -1211                                  | 2275                                           | 11.40                      | 3466                        |
|               | -1 (n = 5,322), 24                           | 8039                        | 5630                                   | 2465                                           | -939                       | 4106                        | 3898                        | -636                                   | 2465                                           | 15.24                      | 4106                        |
|               | 0                                           | 9105                        | 5630                                   | 2465                                           | -939                       | 4106                        | 3898                        | -636                                   | 2465                                           | 15.24                      | 4106                        |
|               | -1 (n = 5,322), 31                           | 7829                        | 5792                                   | 2037                                           | -1366                      | 4428                        | 3481                        | -1225                                  | 2037                                           | 13.64                      | 4428                        |
|               | -8 (n = 5,322), 27                           | 1294                        | 1271                                   | 23                                              | -4009                      | 926                         | 3448                        | -9880                                  | 23                                              | 345                        | 926                         |
| 2009          | -8 (n = 6037), 0                             | -                           | -                                      | -                                              | -                         | -                           | -                           | -                                      | -                                              | -                         | -                           |
|               | 0                                           | 2653                        | 2618                                   | 35                                              | -9597                      | 1877                        | 776                         | -4653                                  | 35                                              | 741                        | 1877                        |
|               | -5 (n = 6037), -8                            | 4215                        | 3790                                   | 425                                             | -4287                      | 2793                        | 1422                        | -4807                                  | 425                                             | 99.7                       | 2793                        |
|               | -2 (n = 6037), -8                            | 5066                        | 4032                                   | 1034                                            | -3958                      | 3282                        | 1864                        | -3655                                  | 1034                                            | 850                        | 3282                        |
|               | -1 (n = 6037), -8                            | 9136                        | 4904                                   | 652                                             | 0                          | 3507                        | 5429                        | 0                                    | 4632                                          | 797                        | 3507                        |
|               | 0                                           | 8963                        | 5136                                   | 3426                                            | -1206                      | 4080                        | 4383                        | -946                                   | 4080                                          | 10.06                      | 4080                        |
|               | -8 (n = 6037), 20                            | 8198                        | 5138                                   | 3040                                            | -1592                      | 4235                        | 3963                        | -1466                                  | 3040                                          | 92.3                       | 4235                        |
|               | 5 (n = 6037), 66                            | 8373                        | 5298                                   | 3000                                            | -1553                      | 4482                        | 3090                        | -1539                                  | 3090                                          | 91.1                       | 4482                        |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0251334.t003
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on age and sex. Higher costs in RA patients were detectable up to 2-years prior to diagnosis when compared to patients matched on age-sex and the addition of medical history.

Our data showed that the per-patient costs of RA are the highest in the year of diagnosis and decline over time relative to matched controls. When separated by RA and comorbidity associated costs, at the year of disease diagnosis, a higher proportion of costs are associated
with RA but this proportion decreases over time. In contrast, after diagnosis, the associated costs of RA related comorbidities remain constant as proportion of total cost.

After stratifying by calendar year of diagnosis to examine the association of temporal factors on health care costs (medical advances, clinical treatment advances, biological trends in disease progression), Fig 7. Annual RA medication costs over time. X-axis: Calendar year, Y-axis: Annual Medication Costs (Millions of 2015 CAD), Grouping Variable: Medication.

**csDMARDs** include methotrexate, leflunomide, hydroxychloroquine, sulfasalazine.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0251334.g007
severity) we found that patients diagnosed in 2002 years had greater decreases in cost between diagnosis and 5 years than those diagnosed in 2009, especially when medication costs were excluded. Because of the high cost of biologics, the interesting finding here is that the non-medications costs declined among patients diagnosed in later calendar years. Biologics can cost $15,000CAD/yr compared to about $900CAD/yr for csDMARDs, making comparisons between costs including medications unclear because of wide disparities in costs. We can infer if cost-deferral through improvements in treatments or care patterns are possible by measuring non-medications costs over time among patients with similar medical histories. For example, if non-medications related costs declined in later calendar years, adjusted for confounders, there is an underlying reason for cost reduction one of which could be differences in available pharmacologic treatment options.

We found that for patients diagnosed with RA, RA-related medication costs were about 50% of all medication costs paid by the public payer. For patients diagnosed with RA, Biologics were 4 of the top 5 medications having the highest total cost, with the combination of all 4 csDMARDs representing the 5th most expensive RA related medication cost.

The main strengths of this study were the use of design techniques that minimized bias. The contribution of medical history to age-sex based matching improves upon study design methods relying on age-sex matching alone because controls are more similar to cases in the pre-diagnosis period. This similarity was used to separate the attributable costs of RA using statistical methods called Mahalanobis distance matrices instead of linear regression. Mahalanobis distance is a weighted measure of similarity between covariates that automatically upweights or downweights variables based on the observed mean of a covariate in a patient compared to the shape of the variance of the population for that variable [34,39,42,43]. For uncorrelated data of a single covariate, regression and Mahalanobis distance will perform similarly. However, when data is highly correlated which is present in patients with chronic diseases like rheumatoid arthritis, we see large differences between Mahalanobis Distances and unweighted statistical methods like regression. Our assumption that medical histories contain correlated data are shown by the large difference in medical histories between patients with RA and matched controls. On the macro scale over thousands of covariates, Mahalanobis Distance ensures that patients are similar in the proportion and shape of the variance of all comorbidities. Mean values that deviate in the same direction of the variance are downweighted while mean values that are deviating in opposite directions to the sample (i.e. outliers) are up-weighted. Linear regression is unable to reliably concurrently adjust for hundreds of covariates over time. We can see from Fig 4, the difference between the matched controls on pre-diagnosis medical histories (before 0 on the x-axis) and the patients matched on age and sex are large, indicating the considerable benefit of adding a distance matrix match to age and sex matching alone. Numerically, we see that patients with a Mahalanobis distance matrix match are more similar on each clinical covariate by at least 30% (S3 Table).

Our study further improved on existing costing studies considering costs in relation to disease diagnosis and calendar year instead of calendar year alone. The advantage of this design is that we ensured that cases and controls were matched at identical calendar time periods to reduce bias due to changing cost patterns over time and changing cost measurement categories. In health care systems, changing cost measurements are inevitable as a result of changes over time in health care delivery, technologies, and preferences. Therefore, measurement of longitudinal costs over a long-term time horizon will have changing availability of costs and differences in which costs are included in each category (S2 File). Many costing studies ignore this issue creating immortal time bias [44,45], but our use of matched case control design on year of birth and calendar year ensured that comparisons were made between patients in the same time period for which costing measurement was identical in both cases and controls. We
present our findings always as a comparison difference between cases and controls to highlight that the difference in cost in each time period is the meaningful value for researchers and decision makers to interpret because of the identical collection of available costs. When our results are presented between time periods, we show the difference between matched cases and controls which is robust to changes in costing measurement over time.

Our study limitations are common to all matching studies: potential missing unobserved covariates that could contribute to differences between the case and control groups. This concern could be improved by the addition of clinical chart data from electronic medical records to adjust for clinical differences between the cases and matched controls; however, these data were not available. Other limitations were that full medication costs were captured for patients above 65 with uncaptured data for privately paid for medication costs in the under-65 population. We addressed this concern by matching patients based on common time periods which ensures no immortal measurement bias occurs. Finally, costing data on lost work productivity, and non-health care related costs including caregiver time could be significant unmeasured costs from the societal perspective. The population under study was restricted to adult-onset RA only because of the significantly different and heterogeneous clinical phenotype of Juvenile Idiopathic Arthritis (JIA) combined with the lack of a validated administrative algorithm for detecting these patients. There is work underway to validate an administrative algorithm for patients under the age of 16 with Juvenile Idiopathic Arthritis (JIA) and the authors expect a separate costing analysis for this patient population. Because the adult and juvenile populations have clinically meaningful differences, combining the two populations in a costing study would likely be suboptimal from a health services research measurement perspective.

Comparisons of costing studies, either comparisons across countries or within countries are difficult because of the use divergent methods to measure costs, the scope of costs captured, and differences in cohort ascertainment methods reflect local differences in health care systems [10,11,13,15,46–53]. Our study is most comparable to results from Sweden [10], where a similar single payer system yielded similar trends in costs detectable up to 1 year before diagnosis and costs decreasing after 1-year post diagnosis [10]. The costs of newly diagnosed RA in Sweden were $9,239 CAD per patient on average, compared to $8,591 CAD per patient in our study.

This study showed the health care cost implications for RA treatment by clinicians. Up to the year of diagnosis we observed rises in health care costs with declining costs in the post-diagnosis years. For clinicians, the data could be interpreted as treatment benefit, strengthening the argument of further resources allocated to early detection and rapid treatment.

Future research could target critical periods as cost avoidance strategies including early detection of disease onset. These findings show that reductions in RA related costs are measurable after diagnosis with more detailed data and methods separating the causal relationships between occurrence of disease and health care costs. As treatment strategies improve over time, these improvements can change the amount and distribution of health care costs.
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