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Abstract

In this study, some physical properties desirable to the design and development of handling and processing equipment, as well as the proximate composition of Ipoli fruit was evaluated. Results show that average values of length, width, thickness and geometric mean diameter were 2.020 mm, 1.391 mm, 6.92 mm and 12.45 mm respectively at a moisture level of 85.2% (wet basis). Sphericity, aspect ratio, surface area and volume were found to be 62%, 70%, 438.27 mm$^2$ and 1227.84 mm$^3$ respectively, while coefficient of static friction on mild steel, rubber and plywood surfaces were found to be 1.294, 1.140 and 1.016 respectively. The nutritional facts also reveal that Ipoli fruit contains 6.2% Carbohydrate, 0.8% protein, 1.7% ash content, 0.18% Vitamin A and 0.42% Vitamin C. It is therefore recommended that Ipoli fruit consumption and cultivation be encouraged and the mechanization of its unit operations be considered.
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Introduction

Ipoli is to rain forest tree that is found in abundance in the Niger Delta region (Southern part) of Nigeria in Africa. It thrives well in the swamplike areas and grows to a height of about 20-25 m. The leaves are elongated, glossy and leathery and about 8-18 cm in length and 3-6 cm broad. It has a stout stalk and petals of the flowers are whitish in colour and sepal persistent at the base of the fruit. The fruit has a single seed embedded in a creamy pulp which is the desired juice when harvested. Fruiting season of this highly tasty plant is normally between November to January annually, and the matured fruit is yellowish in colour (Figure 1). Ipoli fruit is, indeed, a delight not only to humans but an attractant to a variety of animals and birds, including Monkeys, Squirrels etc. Notwithstanding, Ipoli fruit is still considered a wild crop, but can be domesticated or cultivated for mechanized juice production activities. However, this can only be possible when proper scientific studies are conducted on its physical properties and proximate composition.

Figure 1: Sample of Ipoli Fruits

A study of the proximate composition of food is important as it reveals the nutritional facts for safety reasons. Thus, similar investigations have been done on various biomaterials to ascertain their fitness for human consumption [1-3]. Beyond the food value, mechanizing the various unit operations (presently manual) involved in the harvesting, handling and processing of Ipoli fruit underscores the need for the study of its physical properties. These properties include mass, size, shape, surface area, volume, true density, bulk density, aspect ratio, sphericity, porosity etc. Size and shape of agricultural materials are important because they are used in screening solids to separate foreign materials and grading of fruits and vegetables. Size and shape are also necessary in heat and mass transfer calculations and containerization of biomaterials. Aspect ratio and radius of curvature are needed to determine how easily an object will roll. Roundness and angle of repose are important for the design of conveyors for particulate foods. Bulk density, true density and porosity may be useful in designing hoppers and storage facilities. Pressure loads on storage structures also depends on bulk density, angle of repose and frictional coefficients on bin wall materials. It is against this backdrop, that several scientists have investigated the physical and mechanical properties of diverse agricultural and food products in order to provide a baseline data for process machine design. These includes Burubai et al. [4] for Africa nutmeg; for maize; Heidarbeigi et al. [5] for wild Pistachio; Kilikian et al. [6] for Spinach seed; Zewdu and Solomon [7] for tef seed; Ozarlan [8] for cotton seeds; gursoy and guzel, et al. [9] for wheat grains; Aydin, et al. [10] for hazel nuts and Carman, et al. [11] for Lentil seeds. However, there is dearth of information on the physical properties of Ipoli fruit. Therefore, the objective of this study is to determine some physical properties and proximate composition of Ipoli fruit to aid design of processing and handling machines.

Materials and Methods

10kg of fresh Ipoli fruits were harvested from the Sabagreia forest on 20th of January, 2013. The fruits were taken to the Food Process
Engineering Laboratory of the Niger Delta University and processed manually to remove all foreign materials. The initial moisture content was taken using the oven method and the remaining samples stored in a jute bag at a room temperature of 22°C for 24 hours before experimentation.

Samples of 100 fruits were taken and their geometric dimensions of length (major diameter), width (intermediate diameter) and thickness (minor diameter) measured using a digital vernier caliper (Models CD-68S-Mitutoyo Corporation, Japan) with a resolution of ± 0.01mm. This gave rise to 100 replications in the measurements.

**Geometric Mean Diameter (GMD)**

The geometric mean diameter for the 100 fruits was determined using the measured geometric dimensions of length (L), width (W) and thickness (T) in the following equation.

\[
GMD = \left(\frac{LW^T}{3}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}
\]

**Sphericity**

The shape of a food material is usually expressed in terms of its sphericity. It is an important property used in fluid flow and heat and mass transfer calculations. Sphericity was determined using the measured geometric dimensions in the formula.

\[
S = \left(\frac{LW^T}{3}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}
\]

However, for a detailed study of the shape of Ipoli fruit, aspect ratio was also calculated as follows [12]

\[
Aspect \ ratio, \ R = \frac{W}{T} \times 100
\]

**1000 Kernel Weight (TKW)**

The mass of 100 fruits were weighed on a top loading electronic balance (EK 5350) with a resolution of 0.01g and the resultant weight manually to remove all foreign materials. The initial moisture content was taken using the oven method and the remaining samples stored in a beaker of known weight and volume and calculated as follows [18].

\[
\rho_b = \frac{Mass}{Volume} = \frac{m}{V}
\]

Where \( \rho_b \) (g/cm³) is bulk density, mass m(g) of sample.

**Surface area and volume**

The surface area and volume of Ipoli fruit were calculated based on the geometric mean diameter (GMD) in the following equations [15]

\[
S = \frac{\pi (GMD)^2}{3}
\]

\[
V = \frac{\pi (GMD)^3}{6}
\]

**Radius of curvature**

This is an important property needed for the design of conveyors and chutes. It determines the rollability of objects. The minimum radius of curvature (\( R_{min} \)) and maximum radius of curvature (\( R_{max} \)) were calculated using the followings

\[
R_{min} = \frac{H}{T}
\]

\[
R_{max} = \frac{H^2 + L^2}{4T}
\]

Where, H is the average of thickness and length (mm).

**Angle of repose**

Angle of repose is an important physical property for the design of processing, storage, and conveying systems of particulate materials. When the materials are smooth and rounded, the angle of repose is low. For sticky and fine materials the angle of repose is high [4]. Angle of repose therefore indicates the cohesion amongst the individual units of the materials. It was determined using a bottomless cylinder (10 cm diameter, 15 cm height) which was also applied by Taser et al. andgarvanyak et al. [16,17]. The cylinder was placed over a smooth surface and Ipoli fruits were filled in. the cylinder was raise slowly permitting the sample to flow down and form a natural slope. The height (H) and diameter (D) of the heap were measured and the dynamic angle of repose calculated as follows.

\[
\theta = \tan^{-1}\frac{2H}{D}
\]

**Bulk density**

Bulk density which is defined as the ratio of the mass of the sample to its container volume was evaluated by weighing an Ipoli-filled beaker of known weight and volume and calculated as follows [18].

\[
\rho_b = \frac{Mass}{Volume} = \frac{m}{V}
\]

**True density**

This is the ratio of mass of sample to its pure volume. For Ipoli fruit, true density was determined by the water displacement method [5,19]. The true density was then calculated as

\[
\rho'_T = \frac{Mass \ of \ individual \ fruit \ (kg)}{Volume \ of \ individual \ seed \ (m^3)}
\]

**Porosity**

Porosity is a vital physical property that characterizes the amount of air spaces in a bulk. It is needed in modeling and design of various heat and mass transfer processes. It is defined as the volume fraction of air in the bulk sample and is calculated as

\[
Porosity, \ P = \frac{\rho_T - \rho_b}{\rho_T} \times 100
\]

**Coefficient of static friction**

This is the ratio of force needed to start sliding the sample over a surface by the weight of the sample. The coefficient of static friction of Ipoli fruits was determined against three structural surfaces commonly used for post Harvest handling of biomaterials, namely; mild steel, rubber and plywood. This was done on a tilting-table apparatus. A wooden frame of 10 cm x 10 cm x 5 cm was filled with the fruits and lifted slightly about 2 mm to prevent contact with the test surface. The surface was gradually raised with a screw device until the sample and the wooden frame just begin to slide down. The angle of inclination...
was measured with a protractor and the coefficient of static friction calculated as follows

\[ \text{coefficient of static friction}, \ \mu_s = \tan(\alpha) \]

Where \( \alpha \) is the angle of tilt of table.

**Proximate analysis**

The food value (chemical properties) of Ipoli fruits were evaluated at the Chemistry Laboratory of the Niger Delta University, Bayelsa State, Nigeria. They include moisture content, ash content, fat content, crude protein, carbohydrate, vitamin A and vitamin C content and were determined according to standard methods. Moisture content (%) was determined using the oven method, crude protein by the Kjeldahl apparatus method, carbohydrate by difference, ash content by method described by Pomeranz and Meloan [20], fat content by Soxhlet apparatus as described by Keraj and Muller [21]. The vitamins were also determined using standard procedures [1]. All determination were done in triplicate and statistically analysed.

**Results and Discussions**

A summary of the determined physical properties and proximate compositions of Ipoli fruits are presented in Tables 1 and 2 respectively.

### Table 1: Some Physical Properties of Ipoli Fruits

| Physical Properties | Unit | Sample Size | Mean value | Minimum value | Maximum value | Standard deviation | Coefficient of variability |
|---------------------|------|-------------|------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------|---------------------------|
| Moisture content    | %    | 5           | 85.2       | 84.71         | 86.31         | 2.04               | 0.024                     |
| Length              | mm   | 100         | 20.02      | 17.5          | 22.26         | 1.28               | 0.064                     |
| Width               | mm   | 100         | 13.91      | 11.25         | 16.13         | 1.13               | 0.081                     |
| Thickness           | mm   | 100         | 6.92       | 5.63          | 8.07          | 0.52               | 0.075                     |
| GMD                 | mm   | 100         | 12.45      | 11.1          | 14.01         | 0.78               | 0.063                     |
| Sphericity          | %    | 100         | 0.62       | 0.57          | 0.72          | 0.04               | 0.065                     |
| Aspect Ratio        | %    | 100         | 0.7        | 0.61          | 0.86          | 0.06               | 0.086                     |
| Unit Mass           | g    | 100         | 1.82       | 1             | 3             | 0.52               | 0.286                     |
| TKW                 | g    | 5           | 1820       | 1819          | 1832          | 2.35               | 0.001                     |
| Surface Area        | mm²  | 100         | 438.27     | 387.08        | 616.63        | 8.29               | 0.019                     |
| Volume              | mm³  | 100         | 1227.84    | 716.09        | 1439.84       | 15.31              | 0.013                     |
| Rmin                | mm   | 100         | 6.95       | 6.13          | 8.07          | 0.57               | 0.082                     |
| Rmax                | mm   | 100         | 10.58      | 9.31          | 11.61         | 0.6                | 0.057                     |
| Angle of repose, θ  | °    | 3           | 22.5       | 21.8          | 23.4          | 1.03               | 0.046                     |
| Bulk Density        | kg/m³| 10          | 531.04     | 523.26        | 568.41        | 10.46              | 0.02                      |
| True Density        | kg/m³| 10          | 1482.28    | 1293.82       | 1631.05       | 22.54              | 0.015                     |
| Porosity            | %    | 10          | 63.25      | 49.87         | 68.53         | 4.26               | 0.067                     |
| Mild steel          | Rad  | 3           | 1.294      | 1.189         | 1.386         | 0.125              | 0.097                     |
| Rubber              | Rad  | 3           | 1.14       | 0.897         | 1.274         | 0.101              | 0.089                     |
| Plywood             | Rad  | 3           | 1.061      | 0.801         | 1.173         | 0.11               | 0.108                     |

The moisture content of fresh Ipoli fruit was found to be 85.20% (wet basis). This value is higher than those of African nutmeg [4], Parkia Specioca [19] and Spinach. Therefore, the high moisture content is an indication that Ipoli fruit has a short storage life; hence proper preservation methods must be applied to maintain its freshness during storage.

### Dimensional properties

At the above moisture level, mean values of length, width and thickness are shown in Table 1. Results indicate that Ipoli fruit has mean values of length, width and thickness of 20.02 ± 1.28 mm, 13.91 ± 1.13 mm and 6.92 ± 0.52 mm respectively. These values are higher than those of Jetropa seeds [17], wild pistachio [5] and African nutmeg [4].
These dimensional properties are essential for the design of sieve apertures for cleaning and sorting operations as well as the development of chutes and hoppers. More so, since the three semi-axes of the fruit are not equal, the shape of Ipoli fruit can be considered as a scalene ellipsoid. Alsogeometric mean diameter which is a function of the length, width and thickness was found to vary between 14.01 mm and 11.10 mm with a mean value of 12.45 mm. Thegeometric mean diameter value obtained here is higher than that of Sesame seeds [22].

The sphericity of Ipoli fruit varied between 72% and 57% with a mean value of 62%. Similarly, aspect ratio which is another shape factor varied between 86% and 61% with a mean value of 70% as shown in Table 1. This shows that Ipoli fruit has a higher sphericity than wild pistachio seed [5] but is similar to those of Sesame seeds [22] and Parkia speciosa seeds. These low sphericity and aspect ratio values reveals that Ipoli fruit is most likely to slide than roll on flat surfaces and these values are needed for hopper and chute designs.

| Characteristics     | Obtained values (M ±S.D) | Coefficient of variability |
|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| Moisture content (%)| 85.20 ± 2.04             | 0.024                     |
| Ash content (%)     | 1.70 ± 0.14              | 0.082                     |
| Fat (%)             | 0.50 ± 0.42              | 0.84                      |
| Crude protein (%)   | 0.80 ± 0.25              | 0.31                      |
| Total carbohydrate (%)| 6.20 ± 0.11           | 0.018                     |
| Vitamin A (%)       | 0.18 ± 0.06              | 0.33                      |
| Vitamin C (%)       | 0.42 ± 0.13              | 0.31                      |

Table 2: Proximate Composition of ipoli Fruit

At the 85.20% moisture content, the average unit mass of Ipoli fruit was observed to change between 3.0g and 1.0g with a mean value of 1.82g which is close to the mean mass of Parkia Speciosa. Table 1 also shows that Ipoli fruit had mean surface area and volume values of 438.27 mm$^2$ and 1227.84 mm$^3$ respectively. These values are needed for containerization and packaging design. The minimum radius of curvature was noted to vary between 8.07 mm and 6.13 mm with an average value of 6.92. Also an average of 10.58 mm was recorded for maximum radius of curvature. These figures are required not only for hopper and conveyor designs but for the calculation of elastic modulus of Ipoli fruit using Herzt theory. Furthermore, true density and bulk densities of the fruits were found to be 1482.28 ± 22.54 kg/m$^3$ and 531.04 ± 10.46 kg/m$^3$ respectively. Literature reveals that true density and bulk densities of the fresh fruits are 20.02 mm, 13.91 mm, 6.92 mm and 12.45 mm respectively. Also the average values of sphericity, aspect ratio and unit mass were 62%, 70% and 1.82g respectively.

Results (Table 2) show that Ipoli fruit contains some food value as it is composed of 1.70% ash content, 0.50% fat, 0.80% crude protein, 6.20% carbohydrate, 0.18% vitamin A and 0.42% vitamin C. Although crude protein and ash content of Jatropha seed [21] are higher, Ipoli fruit contains more vitamins (A and C) which is deficient in Jatropha seed. Data therefore indicates that Ipoli fruit contains more of Carbohydrate, protein and vitamins, hence its consumption should be encourages and its domestication a policy [24].

Conclusion

An investigation of some physical properties and proximate composition of Ipoli fruit was conducted and results show that:

(a) The fresh fruit contains about 85.20% (wb) moisture content

(b) The length, width, thickness, andgeometric mean diameters of the fresh fruits are 20.02 mm, 13.91 mm, 6.92 mm and 12.45 mm respectively. Also the average values of sphericity, aspect ratio and unit mass were 62%, 70% and 1.82g respectively.

(c) Average values of angle of repose, bulk density, true density and porosity were found to be 22.5; 531.04 kgm$^{-3}$; 1482.28 kgm$^{-3}$ and 63.23% respectively.

(d) Coefficient of static friction values were noted to be 1.294, 1.140 and 1.018 for mild steel, rubber and plywood surfaces respectively.

(e) The food value of Ipoli fruit is about 0.8% protein, 0.5% fat, 6.2% carbohydrate, 1.7% ash, 0.18% vitamin A and 0.42% vitamin C.

Therefore, information provided in this study may be relevant to engineers and food processors for decision making.
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