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Skipping Breakfast Is Associated with Hypertension in Adults: A Meta-Analysis
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Previous studies evaluating the association between skipping breakfast and hypertension in adult populations showed inconsistent results. We performed a meta-analysis to systematically evaluate the association. Observational studies which evaluated the relationship between skipping breakfast and hypertension in adult populations with multivariate analyses were identified by systematic search of PubMed, Embase, and Web of Science databases. A random-effect model which incorporated the potential intrastudy heterogeneity was used for the meta-analysis. A total of six observational studies with 14189 adults were included, and 3577 of them were breakfast skippers. Pooled results showed that skipping breakfast was independently associated with hypertension in these populations (adjusted odds ratio (OR): 1.20, 95% confidence interval: 1.08 to 1.33, \(P < 0.001\)) with no significant heterogeneity (\(I^2 = 0\%\)). Sensitivity by excluding one study at a time showed consistent results (OR: 1.18 to 1.22, all \(P < 0.01\)). Subgroup analyses showed that the association between skipping breakfast and hypertension in adults was consistent in the general population and in patients with type 2 diabetes, in studies from different countries, in cohort and cross-sectional studies, in breakfast skippers defined as taking breakfast \(\leq 3\) days/week and as self-reported habitual breakfast skipping, and in studies with and without adjustment of body mass index (\(P\) for subgroup difference, all \(P > 0.10\)). In conclusion, skipping breakfast is associated with hypertension in the adult population.

1. Background

Hypertension is a prevalent risk factor of cardiovascular diseases, particularly in elderly population and in the developing countries [1–3]. Reducing the incidence of hypertension in global population is important for public health, which highlights the significance of identifying possible modifiable risk factors for hypertension [4, 5]. Poor lifestyles have been associated with the pathogenesis of various cardiometabolic disorders, including hypertension [6, 7]. Accumulating evidence from epidemiological studies showed that skipping breakfast is related to increased risks of obesity [8–10], type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) [11, 12], cardiovascular diseases [13–15], and all-cause mortality [14, 15]. However, studies evaluating the association between skipping breakfast and hypertension in the adult population showed inconsistent results [16–21]. Some studies suggested that skipping breakfast may be an independent risk factor for hypertension in adults [18–20], while others did not [16, 17, 21]. Moreover, a recent meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials (RCTs) including 425 participants showed that skipping breakfast with an average duration of 8.6 weeks did not significantly change the blood pressure (BP) [22], which further suggested the uncertainty regarding the relationship between skipping breakfast and hypertension. Accordingly, in this study, we performed a meta-analysis to systematically evaluate the association between skipping breakfast and hypertension in adult participants.
2. Methods

The meta-analysis was performed in accordance with the MOOSE (Meta-Analysis of Observational Studies in Epidemiology) [23] and Cochrane’s Handbook [24] guidelines.

2.1. Literature Search. Studies were identified via systematic search of electronic databases of PubMed and Embase via the following terms: (1) “breakfast” OR “morning meal” OR “eating patterns” OR “meal frequency” OR “skipping meals” and (2) “hypertension” OR “blood pressure” OR “hypertensive.” The search strategies were developed based on previous meta-analyses of “skipping breakfast” [8] and “hypertension” [25], respectively, which have been validated in these previous meta-analyses. The search was limited to human studies published in English. The reference lists of related original and review articles were also analyzed using a manual approach. The final literature search was performed on September 10, 2021.

2.2. Study Selection. The inclusion criteria for the studies were as follows: (1) observational studies published as full-length articles; (2) included adult population; (3) evaluated the association between skipping breakfast and hypertension; and (4) reported the relative risk for this association after adjustment of potential confounding factors. The definition of skipping breakfast was consistent with the criteria of the included studies. Diagnosis of hypertension was in accordance with the criteria applied in the included studies, which was generally defined as systolic BP ≥ 140 mmHg and diastolic BP ≥ 90 mmHg or on treatment of antihypertensive medications. Reviews, editorials, studies in children and adolescents, studies without information about breakfast skipping, studies irrelevant to the aim of the current meta-analysis, and studies with univariate analysis were excluded.

2.3. Data Extraction and Quality Evaluation. Literature search, data extraction, and quality assessment of the included studies were performed according to the predefined inclusion criteria. Discrepancies were resolved by consensus. The extracted data included the following: (1) name of first author, publication year, and country where the study was performed; (2) study design characteristics; (3) participant characteristics, including health status, sample size, age, sex, and body mass index (BMI); (4) exposure characteristics, including definition of breakfast skipping and number of breakfast skippers included; (5) follow-up durations for cohort studies; (6) methods for hypertension evaluation and the number of patients with hypertension; and (7) confounding factors adjusted in the multivariate analyses. The quality of each study was evaluated using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale [26] which ranges from 1 to 9 stars and judges each study regarding three aspects: the selection of the study groups; the comparability of the groups; and the ascertainment of the outcome of interest.

2.4. Statistical Analyses. We used odds ratios (ORs) and their corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CIs) as the general measure for the association between breakfast skipping and hypertension in the adult population. Data of ORs and their corresponding stand errors (SEs) were calculated from 95% CIs or P values and were logarithmically transformed to stabilize variance and normalize the distribution [24]. Cochrane’s Q test and estimation of I² statistic were used to evaluate the heterogeneity among the included observational studies [27]. Significant heterogeneity was considered if I² > 50%. We used a random-effect model to synthesize the OR data because this model is considered as a more generalized method which incorporates the potential heterogeneity among the included studies [24]. Sensitivity analyses, by omitting one individual study at a time, were performed to test the robustness of the results [28]. Pre-defined subgroup analyses were performed to evaluate the influences of study characteristics on the outcome, such as population characteristics, study design, country of the study, definition of breakfast skipper, adjustment of BMI, and quality scores. The potential publication bias was assessed by visual inspection of the symmetry of the funnel plots as well as Egger’s regression asymmetry test [29]. We used RevMan (Version 5.1; Cochrane Collaboration, Oxford, UK) and STATA software for the meta-analysis and statistics.

3. Results

3.1. Literature Search. The process of database search is summarized in Figure 1. In brief, 502 articles were found via an initial literature search of the PubMed, Embase, and Web of Science databases after excluding the duplications. Among them, 478 were excluded through screening of the titles and abstracts mainly because they were not relevant to the purpose of the meta-analysis. Subsequently, 24 potential relevant records underwent full-text review. Of these, 18 were further excluded for the reasons listed in Figure 1. Finally, six observational studies were included for the meta-analysis [16–21].

3.2. Study Characteristics and Quality Evaluation. The characteristics of the included studies are summarized in Table 1. Overall, one prospective cohort study [18] and five cross-sectional studies [16, 17, 19–21] with 14189 adults were included. The studies were published between 2011 and 2021 and performed in Korea [16, 19], Ghana [20], and the United States [17, 18, 21], respectively. Community adult population was included in three studies [17–19], apparently healthy employees were included in two studies [16, 21], and the other one included patients with T2DM [20]. Evaluation of skipping breakfast was based on questionnaires in all of the included studies, and breakfast skippers were defined as participants who took breakfast ≤3 days/week in three studies [16, 18, 20] and as self-reported habitual breakfast skippers for the other three studies [17, 19, 21]. A total of 3577 participants were breakfast skippers at baseline. Validation of the hypertension outcome was performed by
clinical examination by trained research members. Age, sex, BMI, smoking status, and other potential confounding factors were generally adjusted to varying degrees when the association between skipping breakfast and hypertension was reported. The NOS scores of the included studies ranged from eight to nine, indicating generally good study quality.

3.3. Association between Skipping Breakfast and Hypertension. Pooled results with a random-effect model showed that compared to people who ate breakfast regularly, people skipping breakfast were independently associated with higher odds of hypertension (adjusted OR: 1.20, 95% CI: 1.08 to 1.33, \( P < 0.001 \); Figure 2(a)) with no statistically significant heterogeneity (\( P \) for Cochrane’s \( Q \) test = 0.56, \( I^2 = 0\% \)). Sensitivity by excluding one study at a time showed consistent results (OR: 1.18 to 1.22, all \( P < 0.01 \); Table 2). Subgroup analyses showed that the association between skipping breakfast and hypertension in adults was consistent in general population and in patients with T2DM (\( P \) for subgroup difference = 0.16; Figure 2(b)), in studies performed in the United States, Korea, and Ghana (\( P \) for subgroup difference = 0.38; Figure 2(c)), in prospective cohort and cross-sectional studies (\( P \) for subgroup difference = 0.61; Figure 3(a)), in breakfast skippers defined as taking breakfast \( \leq 3 \) days/week and as self-reported habitual breakfast skipping (\( P \) for subgroup difference = 0.93; Figure 3(b)), in studies with and without the adjustment of BMI \( P \) for subgroup difference = 0.47; Figure 4(a)), and in studies with quality scores of eight and nine (\( P \) for subgroup difference = 0.83, Figure 4(b)).

3.4. Publication Bias. The funnel plots regarding the association between skipping breakfast and hypertension in the adult population are shown in Figure 5. The funnel plots were symmetric on visual inspection, suggesting a low risk of publication bias. Egger’s regression tests showed consistent results (\( P = 0.852 \)).

4. Discussion

In this meta-analysis, we pooled the results of six available observational studies, and the results showed that skipping breakfast is associated with a moderately increased risk of hypertension in the adult population. Further results of sensitivity analyses showed the robustness of the findings which were not primarily driven by either of the included studies. Moreover, results of subgroup analyses showed that the findings of the meta-analyses were not significantly affected by study characteristics, such as the source of the participants, country of the study, study design, definition of
| Study     | Country | Design | Participant characteristics | Sample size | Age range | Male % | Mean BMI kg/m² | Definition of breakfast skippers | Number of breakfast skippers | Follow-up duration years | Number of hypertensive patients | Variables adjusted | Quality score |
|-----------|---------|--------|------------------------------|-------------|-----------|--------|----------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|--------------|
| Min 2011  | Korea   | CS     | Apparently healthy employees | 415         | 30–50     | 28.4   | 23.2           | Taking breakfast ≤3 days/week   | 44                            | NA                    | NR                         | Age and sex        | 8            |
| Odegaard 2013 | USA | PC     | Community young adults       | 3598        | 25–37    | 45.5   | 26.7           | Taking breakfast ≤3 days/week   | 1556                          | 18                    | 1003                        | Age, study center, race, sex, education, cigarette smoking, physical activity, alcohol consumption, fast food restaurant use, dietary quality score, and BMI | 9            |
| Deshmukh 2013 | USA | CS     | Community young adults       | 5316        | 20–39    | 57.7   | 27.6           | Self-reported habitual breakfast skipping | 1277                          | NA                    | 1313                        | Age, gender, ethnicity, poverty income ratio, smoking status, alcohol consumption, physical activity, energy intake, and marital status | 9            |
| Mogre 2016  | Ghana   | CS     | T2DM patients                | 378         | 20–70    | 34.9   | 26.8           | Self-reported habitual breakfast skipping | 88                            | NA                    | 256                         | Age, sex, duration of DM, marriage, education, BMI, and family history of DM | 8            |
| Lee 2016    | Korea   | CS     | Community adults              | 3880        | >20      | 40.5   | 23.7           | Self-reported habitual breakfast skipping | 510                           | NA                    | 1004                        | Age, sex, DM, regular exercise, current smoking, BMI, WC, and RBC count | 8            |
| McCurley 2021 | USA | CS     | Hospital employees           | 602         | 20–75    | 21     | NR             | Taking breakfast ≤3 days/week   | 102                           | NA                    | 125                         | Age, sex, race, ethnicity, education, shift work status, marital status, household size, job type, 1-year weight goal, and number of items purchased | 8            |

BMI, body mass index; NR, not reported; NA, not applicable; CS, cross-sectional; PC, prospective cohort; DM, diabetes mellitus; T2DM, type 2 DM; RBC, red blood cells; WC, waist circumference.
### Study or Subgroup | log(Odds Ratio) | SE | Weight | Odds Ratio | Odds Ratio
--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | ---
Min 2011 | 0.182322 | 0.36406 | 2.2% | 1.20 [0.59, 2.45] | 1.20 [0.59, 2.45]
Odegaard 2013 | 0.231112 | 0.109261 | 23.9% | 1.26 [1.02, 1.56] | 1.26 [1.02, 1.56]
Deshmukh 2013 | 0.165514 | 0.114066 | 22.0% | 1.18 [0.94, 1.48] | 1.18 [0.94, 1.48]
Mogre 2016 | 0.587787 | 0.296722 | 3.2% | 1.80 [1.01, 3.22] | 1.80 [1.01, 3.22]
Lee 2016 | 0.165514 | 0.079626 | 45.1% | 1.18 [1.01, 1.38] | 1.18 [1.01, 1.38]
McCurley 2021 | -0.19845 | 0.282061 | 3.6% | 0.82 [0.47, 1.43] | 0.82 [0.47, 1.43]

Total (95% CI) | 100.0% | 1.20 [1.08, 1.33] | 1.20 [1.08, 1.33]

Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.00; Chi² = 3.96, df = 5 (P = 0.56); I² = 0%
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.41 (P = 0.0007)

### Study or Subgroup | log(Odds Ratio) | SE | Weight | Odds Ratio | Odds Ratio
--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | ---
0.5 | 0.109261 | 0.114066 | 23.9% | 1.80 [1.01, 3.22] | 1.80 [1.01, 3.22]
1 | 0.114066 | 0.282061 | 3.6% | 0.82 [0.47, 1.43] | 0.82 [0.47, 1.43]
1.5 | 0.079626 | 0.165514 | 100.0% | 1.18 [1.01, 1.38] | 1.18 [1.01, 1.38]
2 | 0.282061 | -0.19845 | –0.19845 | 0.82 [0.47, 1.43] | 0.82 [0.47, 1.43]

Favours skippers | Favours non-skippers
--- | ---
Min 2011 | 2.2%
Odegaard 2013 | 23.9%
Deshmukh 2013 | 22.0%
Mogre 2016 | 45.1%
Lee 2016 | 3.6%
McCurley 2021 | 100.0%

### Figure 2: Forest plots for the meta-analysis of the association between skipping breakfast and hypertension in the adult population. (a) Results of overall meta-analysis. (b) Subgroup analysis according to the characteristics of the included participants. (c) Subgroup analysis according to the country of the study.
breakfast skippers, adjustment of BMI, and quality scores of the included studies. Taken together, these results indicated that skipping breakfast was associated with hypertension in the adult population, and the association seemed to be independent of previously proposed confounding factors such as obesity.

To the best of our knowledge, this study may be the first meta-analysis summarizing the current evidence for the association between breakfast skipping and hypertension in the adult population. Our meta-analysis has several methodological strengths which should be noted before the interpretation of the results. Only studies with multivariate analysis were included in this meta-analysis, which therefore could provide an independent association between skipping breakfast and hypertension. Moreover, comprehensive sensitivity and subgroup analyses were performed, and the

### Table 2: Sensitivity analyses.

| Study excluded | OR (95% CI) | I² | P for Cochrane’s Q test | P for overall effect |
|----------------|------------|----|-------------------------|---------------------|
| Min 2011       | 1.20 [1.08, 1.33] | 0% | 0.41 | <0.001 |
| Odegaard 2013  | 1.18 [1.05, 1.33] | 0% | 0.45 | 0.007 |
| Deshmukh 2013  | 1.21 [1.07, 1.36] | 0% | 0.42 | 0.002 |
| Mogre 2016     | 1.18 [1.06, 1.32] | 0% | 0.73 | 0.002 |
| Lee 2016       | 1.22 [1.06, 1.40] | 0% | 0.42 | 0.007 |
| McCurley 2021  | 1.22 [1.09, 1.35] | 0% | 0.72 | <0.001 |

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.
| Study or Subgroup | log(Odds Ratio) | SE | Weight | Odds Ratio | Odds Ratio |
|-------------------|----------------|----|--------|------------|------------|
| **1.5.1 BMI adjusted** | | | | | |
| Odegaard 2013 | 0.231112 | 0.109261 | 23.9% | 1.26 [1.02, 1.56] | |
| Mogre 2016 | 0.587787 | 0.296722 | 3.2% | 1.80 [1.01, 3.22] | |
| Lee 2016 | 0.165514 | 0.079626 | 45.1% | 1.18 [1.01, 1.38] | |
| **Subtotal (95% CI)** | | | 72.3% | 1.23 [1.09, 1.39] | |
| Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.00; Chi² = 1.97, df = 2 (P = 0.37); I² = 0% | | | Test for overall effect: Z = 3.28 (P = 0.001) | |
| **1.5.2 BMI not adjusted** | | | | | |
| Min 2011 | 0.182322 | 0.36406 | 2.2% | 1.20 [0.59, 2.45] | |
| Deshmukh 2013 | 0.165514 | 0.114066 | 22.0% | 1.18 [0.94, 1.48] | |
| McCrery 2021 | -0.19845 | 0.282061 | 3.6% | 0.82 [0.47, 1.43] | |
| **Subtotal (95% CI)** | | | 27.7% | 1.13 [0.92, 1.38] | |
| Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.00; Chi² = 1.46, df = 2 (P = 0.48); I² = 0% | | | Test for overall effect: Z = 1.18 (P = 0.24) | |
| **Total (95% CI)** | | | 100.0% | 1.20 [1.08, 1.33] | |
| Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.00; Chi² = 3.96, df = 5 (P = 0.56); I² = 0% | | | Test for overall effect: Z = 3.41 (P = 0.0007) | |
| Test for subgroup differences: Chi² = 0.53, df = 1 (P = 0.47); I² = 0% | | | | |

(a) Figure 4: Forest plots for the subgroup analyses of the association between skipping breakfast and hypertension in the adult population. (a) Subgroup analysis according to the adjustment of BMI. (b) Subgroup analysis according to the quality scores.

| Study or Subgroup | log(Odds Ratio) | SE | Weight | Odds Ratio | Odds Ratio |
|-------------------|----------------|----|--------|------------|------------|
| **1.6.1 NOS = 8** | | | | | |
| Min 2011 | 0.182322 | 0.36406 | 2.2% | 1.20 [0.59, 2.45] | |
| Mogre 2016 | 0.587787 | 0.296722 | 3.2% | 1.80 [1.01, 3.22] | |
| Lee 2016 | 0.165514 | 0.079626 | 45.1% | 1.18 [1.01, 1.38] | |
| **Subtotal (95% CI)** | | | 54.1% | 1.19 [0.95, 1.47] | |
| Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.01; Chi² = 3.69, df = 3 (P = 0.30); I² = 19% | | | Test for overall effect: Z = 1.53 (P = 0.13) | |
| **1.6.2 NOS = 9** | | | | | |
| Odegaard 2013 | 0.231112 | 0.109261 | 23.9% | 1.26 [1.02, 1.56] | |
| Deshmukh 2013 | 0.165514 | 0.114066 | 22.0% | 1.18 [0.94, 1.48] | |
| **Subtotal (95% CI)** | | | 45.9% | 1.22 [1.05, 1.43] | |
| Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.00; Chi² = 0.17, df = 1 (P = 0.68); I² = 0% | | | Test for overall effect: Z = 2.53 (P = 0.01) | |
| **Total (95% CI)** | | | 100.0% | 1.20 [1.08, 1.33] | |
| Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.00; Chi² = 3.96, df = 5 (P = 0.56); I² = 0% | | | Test for overall effect: Z = 3.41 (P = 0.0007) | |
| Test for subgroup differences: Chi² = 0.05, df = 1 (P = 0.83); I² = 0% | | | | |

(b) Figure 4: Forest plots for the subgroup analyses of the association between skipping breakfast and hypertension in the adult population. (a) Subgroup analysis according to the adjustment of BMI. (b) Subgroup analysis according to the quality scores.
consistent results suggested the stability and robustness of the findings of the meta-analysis. Results of a meta-analysis showed that skipping breakfast was associated with hypertension, which may suggest that skipping breakfast is a modifiable risk factor for hypertension in the adult population. Several mechanisms underlying the association between skipping breakfast and hypertension have been proposed. Firstly, skipping breakfast may cause hunger sensations and overeating later in the day, leading to insulin resistance, overweight, and obesity [30]. Indeed, previous studies have consistently shown that skipping breakfast is associated with being overweight and obese [8–10], which may be a key mechanism underlying the association between skipping breakfast and increased cardiometabolic risks [31]. Since overweight and obesity have been well acknowledged as major risk factors for hypertension [32], it should be clarified if the association between skipping breakfast and hypertension exists. However, results of subgroup analysis showed that the association between skipping breakfast and hypertension was consistent in studies with and without adjustment of BMI, suggesting that the association could not be fully explained by the factor of overweight/obesity. In addition, skipping breakfast may be a behavioral marker of a cluster of unhealthy lifestyles, such as poor dietary habit, low physical activity, and irregular sleeping [33, 34], all of which may expose the participants to a higher risk of hypertension. Besides, habitual breakfast skipping is associated with higher levels of systematic inflammatory markers such as C-reactive protein [35] and glycoprotein acetyl [36], which may suggest chronic inflammation as a possible molecular basis for the association between skipping breakfast and hypertension. Studies are warranted in the future to clarify the mechanisms underlying the association between breakfast skipping and hypertension. Nevertheless, studies are also needed to determine whether long-term regular breakfast eating in former breakfast skippers is associated with improved cardiometabolic risk profiles, including hypertension.

Our study also has limitations. Firstly, the number of available studies for the meta-analysis is limited. We were unable to determine a dose-response association between skipping breakfast and hypertension according to the frequency and duration of the breakfast skipping habits. Besides, the possible influences of participant characteristics on the association of interest could not be determined based on this meta-analysis, such as age and sex. Moreover, we were unable to determine the ethnic differences in breakfasts on the results of the meta-analyses because none of the included studies reported the outcome according to the ethnicity of the included population. However, a subgroup analysis based on country of the study showed a consistent association between skipping breakfast and hypertension in studies from the USA, Korea, and Ghana. In addition, although the results of the meta-analysis were based on multivariate analyses, we could not exclude the possible influence of residual factors which may confound the association, such as dietary components. Finally, a causative relationship between skipping breakfast and hypertension could not be derived from this meta-analysis because it is based on observational studies.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, the results of this meta-analysis suggested that skipping breakfast is associated with a moderately increased risk of hypertension in the adult population. Future studies are needed to determine whether long-term regular breakfast eating in former breakfast skippers is associated with improved cardiometabolic risk profiles, including hypertension.
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