Rotation Mechanism of Molecular Motor V$_1$-ATPase Studied by Multiscale Molecular Dynamics Simulation
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ABSTRACT Enterococcus hirae V$_1$-ATPase is a molecular motor composed of the A$_3$B$_3$ hexamer ring and the central stalk. In association with ATP hydrolysis, three catalytic AB pairs in the A$_3$B$_3$ ring undergo conformational changes, which lead to a 120° rotation of the central stalk. To understand how the conformational changes of three catalytic pairs induce the 120° rotation of the central stalk, we performed multiscale molecular dynamics (MD) simulations in which coarse-grained and all-atom MD simulations were combined using a fluctuation matching methodology. During the rotation, a catalytic AB pair spontaneously adopted an intermediate conformation, which was not included in the initial inputs of the simulations and was essentially close to the “bindable-like” structure observed in a recently solved crystal structure. Furthermore, the creation of a space between the bindable-like and tight pairs was required for the central stalk to rotate without steric hindrance. These cooperative rearrangements of the three catalytic pairs are crucial for the rotation of the central stalk.

INTRODUCTION

Vacuolar ATPase (V-ATPase) is located in membranes and functions as a proton (or ion) pump coupled to adenosine triphosphate (ATP) hydrolysis (1–11). V-ATPase regulates the acidic environment in organelles and membrane vesicles; therefore, its function is related to various cellular activities, such as bone resorption by osteoclasts, the pH homeostasis of renal intercalated cells, the membrane trafficking processes of receptor-mediated endocytosis, protein degradation, and the tumor metastasis of cancer cells. Because the disorder of acidification causes diseases such as osteoporosis, cancer, neurological disorders, and metabolic diseases, V-ATPase is a potential pharmaceutical drug target (1–4). V-ATPase is composed of the VO and V$_1$ moieties connected to stators (Fig. 1A): the VO moiety is a proton (or ion) pump embedded in membranes and is composed of several subunits (a-subunit, c-ring, and d-subunit). The soluble V$_1$ moiety (V$_1$-ATPase) functions as a motor protein coupled to ATP hydrolysis. The central stalk (D and F subunits) penetrates the center of the A$_3$B$_3$ hexamer ring, which has an alternating arrangement of three catalytic A subunits and three noncatalytic B subunits. An ATP-binding site is located at the interface of the AB pair, and ATP hydrolysis occurs at each site in succession. Then, the A$_3$B$_3$ ring undergoes conformational changes. The conformational changes induce a rotation of the central stalk, and the rotation leads to the transportation of a proton (or ion) in the VO moiety.

A series of V$_1$-ATPase structures of Enterococcus hirae V$_1$-ATPase have been revealed by x-ray crystallography (12,13). E. hirae V$_1$-ATPase is a prokaryotic V-ATPase, not a eukaryotic V-ATPase described above. However, E. hirae V-ATPase physiologically transports ions (5–9), similarly to eukaryotic V-ATPases, and the subunits of E. hirae V-ATPase are homologous to the corresponding subunits of eukaryotic V-ATPases. Therefore, we believe that E. hirae V$_1$-ATPase is a homolog of eukaryotic V-ATPases.

Changing the conditions of nucleotides, Murata et al. (12,13) have solved three crystal structures of E. hirae V$_1$-ATPase. For the binding patterns of the nucleotides at the three sites of the A$_3$B$_3$ ring, these crystal structures are regarded as three different states of an ATP hydrolysis, i.e., “the catalytic dwell” ($2_{\text{ATP}V_1}$, Fig. 1B), “the ATP-binding dwell” ($2_{\text{ADP}V_1}$, Fig. 1C), and “the ADP-release
The half-closed pair is regarded as an intermediate state on the way of the conformational change from the bindable-like to the bound pair after ATP binding, and the tight-like pair is considered the dwelling structure before ADP release. However, it is not clear whether the conformations of the three pairs in the 3ADPV1 crystal structure exist together in physiological conditions, because the 3ADPV1 crystal structure was crystallized under ADP surplus conditions (13).

The rotational behavior of the central stalk of *E. hirae* V1 and the corresponding rotary catalysis have been analyzed by single-molecule high-speed imaging (14–17). A 360° rotation occurs in milliseconds in association with three ATP hydrolysis events and is achieved by a three-pause rotation consisting of 120° intervals. The 120° rotation that occurs in association with ATP hydrolysis does not include substeps, unlike the situation of F1-ATPase (18), suggesting that the rotation mechanism of V1-ATPase differs from that of F1-ATPase (19–26).

A possible model of the rotation coupled with an ATP hydrolysis has been proposed that combines insights from X-ray crystallography and single-molecule high-speed imaging (12,13). In association with ATP hydrolysis, the A3B3 ring undergoes a series of conformational changes: specifically, successive changes among the 2ATPV1, 2ADPV1, 3ADPV1, and 2ATPV1 structures, where the last 2ATPV1 structure is a 120° rotated structure of the initial 2ATPV1 structure. Following the conformational changes of the A3B3 ring, the central stalk rotates 120°. In the process, the empty pair at the initial state changes its conformation to the bindable-like, half-closed, and then bound structure. However, in this model, a simple morphing among the three crystal structures is assumed. Such a simple interpolation among them suffers from a severe steric hindrance between the central stalk and the B subunit in the bindable-like pair during the rotation (13). Therefore, for the rotation of the central stalk, dynamic rearrangements of the three AB pairs are required to avoid steric hindrance. In addition, it is not clear whether the intermediate conformations, such as the bindable-like and half-closed pairs, are necessary for the rotation and how the conformational changes of three AB pairs cooperate with each other during the rotation.

To understand the mechanism of the 120° rotation structurally, we studied the cooperative motions among the three AB pairs and the central stalk using molecular dynamics (MD) simulations. According to the single-molecule experiments, the 120° rotation occurs in a timescale on the order of milliseconds, which is beyond the timescales of all-atom (AA) MD simulations. To treat the long timescale dynamics, we adopted multiscale MD simulations in which AA-MD and coarse-grained (CG) MD simulations were combined using the fluctuation matching methodology, which is a method of turning the parameters of CG-MD simulations. In principle, the parameters of CG-MD simulations are arbitrary, and the dynamics of CG
residues strongly depend on how the parameters are tuned. In our study, the fluctuations of CG residues around a minimum on a free energy surface were matched to those of AA-MD simulations at an equilibrium state near the crystal structure. Then, using the CG-MD simulation, we successfully reproduced a 120° rotation and observed the detailed behavior during conformational changes of the three AB pairs through intermediate states during the rotation. The intermediate state was necessary to avoid the steric hindrance.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Modeling and preparation of the solution system

First, for the 2ATPV1 (PDB ID: 3VR6) (12) and 2ADPV1 (PDB ID: 4ZD7) (13) crystal structures, missing residues (A subunit in the tight pair (A2ala): 279–282, D: 69–77, 84–86, and 109–127 for 2ATPV1; D: 62–86, 110–126, and 207; F: 2, 3, and 99–103 for 2ADPV1) were modeled using MODELER (27) as follows. For the A2ala subunit, the A subunit in the bound pair structure of 2ATPV1 was used as a template. For the D and F subunits, the crystal structures of the D and F subunits (PDB ID: 3AOO) (10) were used as a template. Because the 2ATPV1 crystal structure corresponds to the catalytic domain state, AMP-PNP molecules in the tight and bound pairs were replaced with ATP molecules. Because the 2ADPV1 crystal structure is regarded as the ATP-binding domain state, the ADP molecule in the bound pair was replaced with an ATP molecule. The lengths of the N- and C-termini of the A and B subunits were uniform: 1–586 residues were used for the A subunit, and 4–454 residues used for the B subunit.

The missing hydrogen atoms were added in accordance with the topology in the CHARMM27 force field (28–32). The N- and C-termini were set as NH₃⁺ and COO⁻, respectively. The protein was placed at the center of a rectangular cell, and the cell was filled with water molecules. The thickness of the water layer was 15 Å from the long axis of proteins, and the MD unit cells were (151.60, 155.60, and 177.79 Å) for the 2ATPV1 system and (159.83, 156.23, and 183.56 Å) for the 2ADPV1 system.

All-atom molecular dynamics simulations

All AA-MD simulations were performed using the MD program package MARBLE (33) with the CHARMM27/CMAP force field (28–32) and the TIP3P water model (34). The isothermal-isobaric (NPT) ensemble was adopted with periodic boundary conditions. The temperature and pressure were set at 300 K and 1 atm, respectively. Bond lengths and angles involving hydrogen atoms were constrained using the partial rigid-body integrator at the 2 fs time step. The NPT ensemble was generated using the extended-system approach (33,35). The electrostatic interaction was handled by the particle-mesh Ewald method (36). The Lennard-Jones potential was smoothly truncated to zero over the range 8–10 Å (37,38). Na⁺ and Cl⁻ ions were added so that the ion density was 150 mM, and counterions were added to keep the total charge neutral. Before the production run, a 2000-step minimization using the steepest descent method, a heating simulation from 1 to 300 K over 100 ps with heavy atom restraints, and a 100 ps simulation to gradually remove the restraints were consecutively executed. The production run was performed for 100 ns.

Coarse-grained molecular dynamics simulations

All CG-MD simulations were performed using the program package CafeMol (39). The minimized structure of the 2ATPV1 crystal structure in solution determined by the AA-MD simulation was adopted for the initial structure. Each amino-acid residue was simplified into one bead, and beads were located at the Cα atoms of residues. The AICG model (40) was used to set the parameters for bonded and nonbonded interactions. For the fluctuation matching protocol, we performed multiple CG-MD simulations with various values of temperature (TCG in the range from 175 to 275 K for 1.0 × 10⁴ steps, and the snapshots were saved for every 1000 steps (10,000 snapshots in total). The root-mean-square fluctuations (RMSF) and correlation matrices were calculated from all snapshots for each simulation. The RMSF values calculated from the CG-MD simulation were compared with those of the AA-MD simulation. The RMSF deviation between the AA- and CG-MD simulations was estimated as follows:

\[
\Delta \text{RMSF}_{\text{AA}-\text{CG}}(T_{\text{CG}}) = \sqrt{\sum_i (\text{RMSF}_{\text{AA}} - \text{RMSF}_{\text{CG}}(T_{\text{CG}}))^2},
\]

where \(\text{RMSF}_{\text{AA}-\text{CG}}\) is the RMSF of the i-th residue calculated by the AA/CG-MD simulation, and the summation was performed for residues in the AαBβ subunits and the 1–39 and 160–207 residues of the D subunit. The RMSF results indicated that TCG = 240 K provided the best agreement with the AA-MD simulation at 300 K. Thus, TCG = 240 K was used for the following simulations.

To simulate a 120° rotation of the central stalk, a multiple-Go model (41) was used. In the multiple-Go model, a double-well potential was adopted. The two minima of the double-well potential were set at the structures before and after ATP hydrolysis corresponding to the 2ATPV1 crystal structure and its 120° rotated structure, respectively. The two structures in the CG representation are shown in Fig. 2. Hereafter, to represent conformational changes clearly, we call the three subunit pairs as AαBβ, AαBβR, and AαBβRII, respectively, and describe their states as shown in the interfaces of the AB pairs in Fig. 2. For a structural transition between the two basins, the height of the barrier was modulated by setting a function (41) that smoothly connected the two basins. The parameter of the function, "\(\Delta\)" was set to 800. In addition, as described in a previous work (42) that used CafeMol with the multiple-Go model, the effect of chemical processes, such as ligand binding, was mimicked using the energy gap between the states corresponding to energy minima. Therefore, to mimic an ATP hydrolysis event in the present calculation, the energy of the after-hydrolysis structure was set to a smaller value than that of the prehydrolysis structure. Here, the energy gap between these structures was set to 200. Using the parameters (\(\Delta = 800\), the energy gap = 200), we repeated the CG-MD simulations 11 times using the multiple-Go model for 1.0 × 10⁴ steps.

The parameters (\(\Delta = 800\), energy gap = 200) were determined after trial simulations. For too small \(\Delta\), the barrier between two basins is high, resulting in no transitions between two basins. In contrast, for too large \(\Delta\), the
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barrier disappears, and an artificial local minimum appears at the midpoint between two basins. From CG-MD simulations changing \(\Delta\) from 200 to 2000, we determined an adequate value for \(\Delta\). Similarly, when the too small energy gap is used, no rotation occurred. For the too large energy gap, too quick transitions took place. From CG-MD simulations changing the energy gap from 160 to 240, we chose an adequate value for the energy gap.

**Correlation matrix**

RMSF values were calculated as \(\sqrt{\langle (R_i - \langle R_i \rangle)^2 \rangle}\), where \(R_i\) is the position of \(i\)-th Ca atom, and \(\langle X \rangle\) represents the time average of \(X\). RMSF represents the magnitude of the residue fluctuations. In flexible regions, such as loops, the RMSF values are large, and in rigid regions, such as helices, the RMSF values are small. In the present calculation, RMSF values were calculated from the last 50 ns trajectory of the AA-MD simulation for the 2ATPV1 crystal structure and were also calculated from the whole trajectory of the CG-MD simulation. The Ca atoms of the A, B, and D subunits were used except for the protruded regions of the D subunit (residues 40–159).

The correlation matrix \(C_{ij}\) was calculated by the following:

\[
C_{ij} = \frac{\langle (R_i - \langle R_i \rangle)^2 (R_j - \langle R_j \rangle) \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle (R_i - \langle R_i \rangle)^2 \rangle \langle (R_j - \langle R_j \rangle)^2 \rangle}},
\]

and indicates the degree of correlated fluctuations between residues. For example, the value of 1 represents a fully correlated movement, and a value of \(-0\) represents no correlations. An area with high correlations indicates a domain motion. In the present calculation, the correlation matrix was calculated for the same trajectories as used in the RMSF calculation.

**Estimation of rotation angle**

The rotation angle for each snapshot was calculated as follows: first, a horizontal plane of a \(V_1\) snapshot structure was determined. The horizontal plane was defined by the three centers of mass of the N-terminal domains of the three A subunits. Next, the direction of the central stalk was defined by two residues of the D subunit: D31 in the N-terminal helix and E161 in the C-terminal helix. Then, the vectors connecting D31 and E161 were projected onto the horizontal plane. The rotation angle between the projected vectors at steps \(N\) and \(N + 1\) was evaluated, and the time evolution of the rotation angle was calculated by their summations.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Fluctuation matching**

To perform the multiscale MD simulation of \(V_1\)-ATPase, first we conducted an AA-MD simulation from the 2ATPV1 crystal structure in solution at 300 K. From the AA-MD simulation, the magnitudes of fluctuations of residues were estimated by the RMSF (Fig. 3). Independently of the AA-MD, CG-MD simulations were also performed at various temperatures (\(T_{CG}\)), and the RMSF was calculated for each \(T_{CG}\). From a comparison with the RMSF for the AA-MD and CG-MD simulations, an appropriate \(T_{CG}\) was determined so that the RMSF at \(T_{CG}\) was similar to that of AA-MD at 300 K. When \(T_{CG}\) was set at 240 K, the RMSF of all A and B subunits in the CG-MD simulation were in good agreement with those in the AA-MD simulation (Figs. 3 and S1 in the Supporting Material), and their correlation coefficient was 0.815: the consistency between the CG- and AA-MD simulations was remarkable in the secondary-structure regions, indicating that the dynamics simulated in the two simulations were matched to one another. Using the fluctuation matching method, we could tune the parameters of the CG-MD simulation only using \(T_{CG}\) and the temperature \(T_{CG} = 240\) K was employed in the following calculations. This agreement between the CG and AA-MD fluctuations is not surprising because the force field of CG-MD employed here was designed on the basis of atomic interactions (the AICG model).

This fluctuation matching allowed us to perform CG-MD simulations involving the dynamics sampled in AA-MD simulations. In the present calculation, the fluctuation matching was performed using a quasi-stable state near the crystal structure. Namely, the fluctuations in the CG-MD simulations are close to those of the AA-MD simulations around a minimum of a potential surface corresponding to the crystal structure.

**The 120° rotation of the central stalk in a multiple-Go model**

To simulate the 120° rotation of the central stalk during ATP hydrolysis, a multiple-Go model (39,41–43) was used. The two minima on the multiple-Go potential were set at the structures before and after ATP hydrolysis corresponding to the 2ATPV1 crystal structure and its 120° rotated structure, respectively (Fig. 2). The 2ATPV1 crystal structure is regarded as a hydrolysis-waiting form, because the structure was crystalized in the presence of AMP-PNP. The two basins corresponding to the two structures were sufficiently separated, and a high barrier existed between them. For the transition between the two basins to occur, the height of the barrier was modulated by setting a function
(39,41–43) that smoothly connected the two basins. In addition, to mimic ATP hydrolysis, the minimum value for the after-hydrolysis structure was set at a lower value than that for the prehydrolysis structure. To date, the multiple-Go models have been successfully applied to various biomolecular systems involving large structural changes (39,41–43). Using the double-well potential, we performed CG-MD simulations at $T_{CG} = 240$ K and independently repeated 11 times. A rotation of the central stalk occurred in nine simulations, and the time dependence of the rotation angles during a simulation is illustrated in Fig. 4 A. As the simulation proceeded, the central stalk rotated from 0° to 120° (Movie S1). The rotation did not include any sub-steps, and the behavior is consistent with the single-molecule experiments (14–17).

**Spontaneous emergence of the bindable-like structure**

The intermediate states of the AB pair spontaneously emerged during the rotation, and the corresponding structure was essentially close to the bindable-like structure observed in the $2_{ADPV1}$ crystal structure. To examine the conformational change of the AB pair, the time dependence of the conformation was estimated by the RMSD from the empty, bound, bindable-like structures in the crystal structure. The RMSD of the A$_B$B$_1$ pair from the bindable-like crystal structure was the smallest from the $3520 \times 10^3$ to $3565 \times 10^3$ steps. The snapshot structure at the minimum RMSD (1.36 Å) of the bindable-like structure was superimposed on the corresponding part in the $2_{ADPV1}$ crystal structure (Fig. 4 D). The structure of the A$_B$B$_1$ pair from the CG-MD was in good agreement with that of the crystal structure. Additionally, in all the CG-MD simulations, the A$_B$B$_1$ pair adopted the bindable-like structure as shown in Fig. S3. This emergence of the bindable-like structure in the simulation is not trivial. In the simulation, the $2_{ADPV1}$ crystal structure was not used as the initial structure. Further, the bindable-like structure was not on the pathway found by simple interpolation between the empty and bound structures. In fact, compared with the empty structure, the bindable-like structure exhibited a more open interface between A and B subunits.

**FIGURE 4** Rotation of the central stalk and structural rearrangements of the A$_B$B$_3$ subunits in V$_1$-ATPase observed in a trajectory of a CG-MD simulation. The time evolution of the rotation angle of the stalk is shown in (A). The time evolution of the RMSD of the A$_B$B$_3$ pair from the empty, bindable-like, and bound structures in the crystal structures are compared in (B). A snapshot at the $3536 \times 10^3$ step in the CG-MD simulation is compared with the bindable-like structure of the crystal structure (PDB ID: 5KNB) (green) in (D). A snapshot at the $3565 \times 10^3$ step showing the large space between subunit A$_{III}$ (black) and B$_{II}$ (orange) is illustrated in (E). For comparison of the space, the corresponding initial structures of subunits A$_{III}$ and B$_{II}$ are shown in gray. The time evolution of the distances between the A$_I$ subunit and the N- and C-termini helices of the central stalk are plotted in (C). The trajectories of the C-terminal region for the $3467 \times 10^3$– to $3520 \times 10^3$ steps and the $3520 \times 10^3$ to $3700 \times 10^3$ steps are drawn in (F) and (G), respectively. The trajectories correspond to the movement of the center of mass of the C-terminal regions: R475-L477 of subunit A, E384-S392 of subunit B, and the contacting regions of the helices in the central stalk (D$_{N1}$: T20-L29, D$_{N2}$: K30-Q39, and D$_{C}$: K162-M173 of subunit D).
Nevertheless, in our simulation, the bindable-like structure spontaneously emerged as an intermediate state during the 120° rotation.

The emergence of the bindable-like structure is reasonable from the viewpoint of molecular function. It has been considered that the incoming ATP can bind to the AB pair only when the AB pair adopts the bindable conformation (12). Even when V1-ATPase or the A3B3 ring including the empty conformation was crystallized under ATP analog surpluss conditions, no electron densities corresponding to ATP were observed in the binding site of the empty pair. In contrast, when the A3B3 ring including the bindable conformation was crystallized with the addition of an ATP analog, the bindable pair underwent the conformational change to the bound pair (PDB ID: 3VR2). Because the nucleotide-binding site is quite similar between the bindable and bindable-like structures, the bindable-like structure is regarded as the same state as the bindable structure (13). Therefore, the bindable-like structure has ATP-binding ability, but the empty structure does not. Considering the ATP affinity, the emergence of the bindable-like structure is absolutely necessary for the incoming ATP to bind to the catalytic AB pair.

The conformational change from the empty to the bindable-like structure was attained by a separation of the A and B subunits in the empty pair and the cooperative rearrangements of the other two adjacent pairs. The movements of the C-terminal regions in all subunits (R475-L477 for subunit A, E384-S392 for subunit B) are shown in Fig. 4 F and correspond to the trajectories (3467 × 10^3 to 3520 × 10^3 steps) that occur until the emergence of the bindable-like structure. The structural change of the AIB1 pair from the empty to bindable-like structures was mainly achieved by a cooperative rearrangement of the AIIIIBIII pair (the tight pair), as follows. First, the interface in the tight pair opened due to the ATP hydrolysis. Then, the AIII subunit moved outside and brought the B1 subunit in the same direction (Fig. 4 F) because of the interactions between the AIII and B1 subunits in the C-terminal regions. Thus, the B1 subunit moved toward the AIII subunit of the tight pair, resulting in a separation of the interface between the A1 and B1 subunits.

The cooperative rearrangement of the B1 and AIII pairs triggered the rotation of the central stalk. The contact region of the central stalk with the A3B3 ring was composed of the two helices corresponding to the N- and C-termini that were termed “DN” and “DC,” respectively (Fig. S4). The AIII subunit interacted with both DN and DC; then, the outgoing movement of the AIII subunit caused a tilt of the central stalk in the same direction (Fig. 4 F). This tilt of the central stalk is also present in the 2ADPV1 crystal structure, despite the fact that the crystal structure was not used as an input for the current CG-MD simulation. Following the tilt of the stalk, in the empty pair, the A1 subunit moved inward. The A1 subunit was approaching DC while retaining the contact distance between A1 and DN (Fig. 4 F). During the movement, the interface site between A1 and DN was shifted.

Avoidance of the steric hindrance of the stalk rotation

For a successful 120° rotation, in addition to the bindable-like structure, a large separation between the AIII and B1 subunits (black and orange, respectively, in Fig. 4 D) was necessary to avoid steric hindrance between the central stalk and the B1 subunit. In 2 of 11 simulations, no rotation was observed due to the steric hindrance between DN and the B1 subunit, even after the A2B1 pair underwent the conformational change from the empty to the bindable-like structures (Fig. S5). In contrast, in the other simulations successfully exhibiting a 120° rotation, the space was spontaneously generated at the interface between the AIII and B1 subunits after the emergence of the bindable-like structure (Fig. 4 E; movements of the C-terminal regions are shown in Fig. 4 G and correspond to the following trajectories: (3520 × 10^3 to 3700 × 10^3 steps)). Next, due to the outward motion of the B1 subunit, the steric hindrance between the central stalk and the B1 subunit was avoided, leading to rotation of DN into the space. The width of the space was estimated using the distance between the C-terminal regions in the AIII and B1 subunits (Fig. S6 A): the distance was ~13 Å before the rotation and ~22 Å after the 120° rotation, and the maximum distance was ~28 Å during the rotation, where the maximum distance was larger than those of the crystal structures. The large distance was observed in other eight simulations shown in Fig. S6 B, suggesting that the creation of the space always occurred in the rotation process.

After the conformational change of the A1B1 pair from the empty to the bindable-like structure described above, ATP binding induced the closing motion of the A1B1 pair from the bindable-like to the bound structure. During the conformational changes of the A1B1 pair, the A1 subunit switched from interacting with the central stalk at DN to DC. Before the rotation, the A1 subunit interacted only with DN; As the empty structure changed to the bindable-like structure, the central stalk tilted, and then the A1 subunit moved to the space, leading to the interaction of the A1 subunit with both DN and DC (Fig. 4 F). This behavior was observed by the decrease in the distance between the A1 subunit and DC (Fig. 4 C). As the bindable-like structure changed to the bound structure, the central stalk rotated toward the space generated by the separation of the AIII and B1 subunits, the distance between the A1 subunit and DC decreased further, and finally the A1 subunit (Fig. 4 G) interacted only with DC. These behaviors were analyzed by switching the contacts between the central stalk and the A subunit (Fig. S7). Interestingly, just before the generation of the space between the AIII and B1 subunits, the distance was in good agreement with the distance between the central stalk and
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the A subunit of the half-closed AB pair in the 3ADP1 crystal structure (Fig. 4 C). In fact, the A subunit of half-closed pair contacts both D$_A$ and D$_C$ in the 3ADP1 crystal structure, suggesting that the bindable-like structure undergoes a closing motion upon nucleotide binding and that the interaction between the A subunit and the central stalk changed. The adjacent pair of the half-closed pair (A$_3$B$_3$) adopted the empty structure (A$_{III}$B$_{III}$) in the simulation snapshot rather than the tight-like structure of the 3ADP1 crystal structure. To avoid steric hindrance, the outward movement of the A$_{III}$ subunit was necessary. Thus, the adjacent pair (A$_{III}$B$_{III}$) had to undergo the conformational change from the tight to the empty structure before the emergence of the half-closed structure. This result implies that the conformations of the three pairs in the 3ADP1 crystal structure do not emerge together during a 120° rotation.

A series of the conformational changes of the A$_3$B$_3$ subunits are important for the rotation in the right direction. The creation of the space between the A$_{III}$ and B$_1$ subunits is crucial for the rotation of the central stalk. The space emerges in the correct direction of the rotation, and the central stalk rotates to fill the emerged space. Thus, the space acts as a steric constraint to ensure the correct rotation of the stalk. Because the creation of the space was achieved by the cooperative rearrangement of the A$_3$B$_3$ subunits as described above, the rearrangement is an important factor for the correct rotation. This point is related to the result of the single-molecular experiment of F$_1$-ATPase with changing the length of the stalk (44), showing that the axleless F$_1$-ATPase rotates in the correct direction. This result implies that an important factor for the correct rotation is the conformational changes of the A$_3$B$_3$ (α$_3$β$_3$ in F$_1$-ATPase) subunits. In addition, according to Baba et al. (45), amino-acid specific interactions between the stalk and the A$_3$B$_3$ subunits are not required for the rotation of V$_1$-ATPase, and the stalk rotates even though almost parts of the stalk are changed to the other protein adopting a coiled-coil structure. This result also implies that the important factor for the correct rotation may be included in the dynamics of the A$_3$B$_3$ subunits rather than chemical and structural characteristics of the stalk itself.

Comparison of fluctuations in the AA- and CG-MD simulations

Finally, to confirm the dynamics revealed by the CG-MD simulations, we analyzed the fluctuations in the vicinity of the 2ATP1 and 2ADP1 crystal structures using AA-MD simulations. The CG-MD simulations indicated that the emergence of the bindable-like structure and the space between the bindable-like and tight pairs were necessary for the rotation of the central stalk. The bindable-like structure was generated by the cooperative movement of the A$_{III}$ and B$_1$ subunits in the same direction due to the interaction between these subunits. In the AA-MD simulation of the 2ATP1 crystal structure, highly correlated motions were observed between the C-terminal regions of the A subunit in tight pair (A$_{tight}$) and B subunit in the empty pair (B$_{empty}$) (Fig. S8 A). The correlated motions observed in AA-MD are consistent with the results of CG-MD simulation. Further, CG-MD indicated that after the emergence of the bindable-like structure, the B$_1$ and A$_{III}$ subunits must be separated to generate the space between the bindable-like and tight pairs. In the AA-MD simulation of the 2ADP1 crystal structure, the correlations in motion of the B subunit in the bindable-like pair and A subunit in the ADP-bound pair were smaller than those of the A$_{tight}$ and B$_{empty}$ subunits, suggesting that the A$_{tight}$ and B$_{empty}$ subunits did not move cooperatively after the emergence of the bindable-like structure (Fig. S8 B). These AA-MD results are consistent with the dynamics revealed by CG-MD simulations.

CONCLUSIONS

The mechanism underlying the rotation of the central stalk in V$_1$-ATPase in conjunction with the cooperative rearrangements of the A and B subunits was investigated using multiscale MD simulations. A possible rotation mechanism associated with ATP hydrolysis is proposed (see Fig. 5 ; Movie S2) as delineated in the following. Although the nucleotides were not explicitly included in the CG-MD simulations, the binding states of nucleotides were estimated from the conformational changes of the subunits.

1. ATP hydrolysis occurs in the tight pair (A$_{III}$B$_{III}$), and a phosphate is released (Fig. 5 , A and B).
2. The A$_{III}$ subunit moves outside and pulls the B$_1$ subunit in the same direction, resulting in widening the interface of the A$_i$B$_i$ pair (Fig. 5 B).
3. Once the A$_i$ and B$_i$ subunits of the empty pair separate from each other, the empty structure undergoes a conformational change to the bindable-like structure, which has affinity for ATP binding (Fig. 5 , B and C).
4. Because the A$_{III}$ subunit interacts with the central stalk, the central stalk is tilted in the same direction as the outside movement of the A$_{III}$ subunit. Because of the tilt, the A$_i$ subunit approaches the C-terminal helix of the central stalk (Fig. 5 C).
5. ATP binding occurs in the bindable-like structure (A$_i$B$_i$), and the bindable-like structure undergoes a conformational change to the bound structure. In addition, ADP release occurs in the tight pair (A$_{III}$B$_{III}$), and the A$_{III}$ subunit moves further outward (Fig. 5 , C and D).
6. Due to the outward movement of the A$_{III}$ subunit, the A$_{III}$ and B$_1$ subunits separate from each other, and then the N-terminal helix of the central stalk rotates to the space between the A$_{III}$ and B$_1$ subunits. As the B$_1$ subunit moves further outward, the space between the B$_1$ and A$_{III}$ subunits widens more. The space avoids the steric
hindrance between the central stalk and the B₁ subunit (Fig. 5, D and E).

7. During the structural change from the bindable-like to the bound structure (A₁B₁), the A₁ subunit switches its interaction partner on the central stalk. In the bindable-like structure, the A₁ subunit interacts only with the N-terminal helix of the stalk. On the pathway of the conformational changes, the A₁ subunit is transiently close to both the N- and C-terminal helices. Finally, in the bound structure, the A₁ subunit interacts only with the C-terminal helix (Fig. 5 E).

8. During the structural change, the N-terminal helix of the central stalk further rotates to the space between A₃ and B₁, and a 120° rotation is achieved (Fig. 5 F).

From these insights, we found that two conditions were necessary for a successful rotation of the central stalk: one is the emergence of the bindable-like structure (Fig. 5, B and C), and the other is the space between the A₃ and B₁ subunits (Fig. 5, D and E). In particular, the space avoids the steric hindrance between the B₁ subunit and the central stalk, which was an unresolved problem in the previous model introduced by Murata et al. (12,13).

The cooperatively rearranging motion of the A₁B₃ subunits is key to the rotation in the right direction. The space emerges in the correct direction of the stalk rotation, and the central stalk rotates to enter the emerged space. Thus, the space acts as a steric constraint to ensure the correct rotation of the stalk. This implies that the important factor for the correct rotation is included in the dynamics of the A₁B₃ subunits rather than chemical and structural characteristics of the stalk itself as pointed in the single-molecule experiments of F₁- and V₁-ATPase (44,45).

Although the overall behavior of the rotation has been elucidated in this study, the nucleotide binding to the catalytic sites should be investigated in the next step. In this study, we did not explicitly deal with the nucleotide binding and dissociation of products during the ATP hydrolysis process. In the future, we will examine how the structural change propagates from the binding pocket to the A₃B₃ ring. Furthermore, the different functions of V-ATPase in other species are interesting (18,46–49). It is known that Thermus thermophilus V-ATPase functions as an ATP synthase, suggesting that the central stalk may rotate in the opposite direction to that of E. hirae V-ATPase. Simulations will contribute to the elucidation of the difference in the V-ATPases of various species.

SUPPORTING MATERIAL

Eight figures and two movies are available at http://www.biophysj.org/biophys/supplemental/S0006-3495(17)30154-6.
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