Presyncope in a Patient Status Post Pectus Excavatum Repair
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Abstract: Pectus excavatum (PEX) is an anterior chest wall deformity with sternal depression relative to the costal cartilages. We describe a patient status post remote PEX repair who presented with presyncope attributed to bifascicular block, partial right ventricular outflow tract (RVOT) obstruction, and right coronary artery (RCA) ischemia. Key Clinical Message: The clinician should be cognizant of the hemodynamic impact and electrocardiographic changes in a symptomatic patient status post pectus excavatum repair.
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Introduction

PEX excavatum (PEX) is an anterior chest wall deformity with sternal depression relative to the costal cartilages.1 The etiology is ambiguous, with both genetic and external factors implicated.2,3

PEX can also result in hemodynamic compromise, attributed to several mechanisms such as arrhythmias, right heart compression, and diminished lung capacity.4,5 A recent meta-analysis alluded to improved cardiorespiratory performance postsurgical correction.4

We describe a patient status post remote PEX repair who presented with exertional presyncope attributed to bifascicular block, partial right ventricular outflow tract (RVOT) obstruction, and right coronary artery (RCA) ischemia.

Case Report

A thirty-six-year-old biracial male (South-Asian, Caribbean-Black) with a medical history of PEX status post unknown type of repair twenty-two years ago presented to the emergency department with exertional presyncope during ballroom dancing. He had no significant social or family history and was not on any prescribed medication. His vital signs indicated systolic blood pressures of 116 mm Hg with no orthostasis, heart rate of 97 beats/min, respiratory rate of 16 breaths/min with an oxygen saturation of 99% on ambient room air. His physical examination revealed a prominent anterior chest wall deformity with bilateral thoracotomy scars with an otherwise normal cardiorespiratory exam (Figure 1).

A 12-lead electrocardiogram (ECG) revealed sinus rhythm with left atrial enlargement (LAE), right bundle branch block (RBBB), and left anterior fascicular block (LAFB) (Figure 2). A chest radiograph displayed an obscured right heart border with an inferomedial “haziness” and narrow cardiac silhouette (Figure 3). A bedside two-dimensional transthoracic echocardiogram
demonstrated normal left and right ventricular function with no evidence of mitral valve prolapase. Pertinent diagnostic laboratory investigations included a d-dimer 113 ng/dL (normal ≤500 ng/mL), N-terminal-pro-brain natriuretic peptide 57 pg/mL (normal ≤300 pg/mL), CK-MB 8 U/L (normal <20 U/L), troponin I 0.01 ng/mL (normal <0.15 ng/mL), all of which were within respective normal ranges. SARS-CoV-2 IgM and IgG antibody
serologies (Abbott Laboratories, Chicago, Illinois, USA) were negative. A cardiac computed tomography angiogram (cCTA) revealed marked right ventricular (RV) free wall (Figure 4A) and infundibular outflow tract impingement (Figure 4B) with a Haller index of 5.2 (Figure 5) and ostial RCA compression or vasospasm (Figure 6).

He was subsequently admitted for further observation, where he underwent inpatient cardiac monitoring with a 48-hour Holter monitor, which did not indicate any second-degree or third-degree heart block. Cardiac magnetic resonance imaging (cMRI) was precluded in the setting of pre-existing sternal wires. He underwent an
exercise stress echocardiogram for functional assessment in which he performed approximately 8 minutes (terminated due to fatigue) on the Bruce protocol with ten metabolic equivalents and overall was negative for ischemia on imaging criteria despite the bifascicular block. Pulmonary function testing indicated a borderline mild restrictive defect. On further questioning, the patient later revealed that he experienced occasional episodes (2–3) during the preceding years (3–4) during similar levels of exertion (ballroom dancing). He was offered cardiac catheterization and cardiothoracic surgery consultation for re-do sternotomy to alleviate structural issues and potential revascularization; however, he declined. The remainder of his two-day hospital course was uneventful, and he was subsequently discharged with sequential follow-up visits both one week and one month later, where he did not report any recurrent symptoms.

**Discussion**

Our patient’s presentation is interesting in several aspects, such as the novel finding of a bifascicular block post repair. Firstly, our patient could not recall what PE surgical repair (Nuss or Ravitch techniques) he underwent 22 years ago, nor were there available documented medical records detailing such. Patients with severe PEX can have tachycardia due to a reduced stroke volume, depending on the distortion and displacement of the heart. The ECG may demonstrate right axis deviation and ST-segment depression, reflecting rotation and compression of the heart. Some patients have conduction abnormalities, such as bundle branch block and Brugada phenocopy. A negative portion of the p wave in V1 (not LAE) and complete or incomplete RBBB are associated with anatomical severity as indicated by the Haller index (the ratio of the transverse diameter [the horizontal distance of the inside of the rib cage] and the anteroposterior diameter [the shortest distance between the vertebral and sternum]).

Our patient displayed nearly all of these electrocardiographic features with the novel exception of a bifascicular block, which has not been described before.

We speculate that the left anterior fascicular block (LAFB) may have been artifactual with respect to his index surgical procedure two decades ago, similar to what has been described by Grüfe et al in 2011, in addition to the right bundle branch block with secondary ST-T changes. The reason for these ECG changes remains unclear but theorized to be related to electromagnetic interference from sternal bars or wires or malrotation of the cardiac axis within the thorax. LAFB is typically considered a benign ECG finding; however, its long-term sequelae has not been extensively prognosticated. Conduction blocks may occur due to conduction system fibrosis and possibly allude to left heart fibrosis, a substrate for atrial fibrillation and chronic heart failure. Progression of chronic bifascicular block to complete heart block appears to be infrequent among asymptomatic patients; however, among patients with syncope, the likelihood of progression to symptomatic high-grade heart block appears high.
Briefly, PEX is an anterior chest wall deformity characterized by sternal depression, usually from the midportion of the manubrium with intrusion towards the xiphoid process. The etiology is not well ascertained, and several postulated hypotheses include disproportionate muscular forces, defective cartilage structure and growth, and abnormal rib growth.\(^\text{16}\) It accounts for approximately 90% of anterior chest wall disorders, with an estimated incidence of 1 in 400–1000 persons.\(^\text{17}\) It typically displays a male preponderance (3x - 5x compared to women), usually sporadic in etiology, and is occasionally associated with some neuromuscular, connective tissue, and genetic disorders.\(^\text{18}\) The most common symptomatology displayed by patients is exercise intolerance, chest pain, and shortness of breath of approximately 80%, 70%, and 40%, respectively.\(^\text{19}\) Syncope is relatively uncommon at 10%.\(^\text{20,21}\)

Additionally, this patient had severe PEX, despite an attempted correction with both RV free wall and infundibular outflow tract compression. This may have potentiated the patient’s exertional presyncope. Both external and internal RV impingement likely led to the patient’s exercise-induced presyncope, attributed to decreased filling times and stroke volumes.\(^\text{20}\) PEX is also associated with alteration in RV morphology and function due to compression of the heart between the vertebral column and the depressed sternum. The right ventricle is less concentric, with a comparatively anterior position and more distensible than the left ventricle. PEX can produce global RV dysfunction, irrespective of the rounded apex. RV dysplasia, sacculations of the free wall, and hypertrophy of the moderator band were more common in patients with pectus excavatum.\(^\text{22}\) Ostial RCA compression, mechanical displacement by sternal inversion or vasospasm could also precipitate transient presyncope.\(^\text{23,24}\)

**Figure 6** The patient’s cardiac computed tomography angiogram (cCTA) demonstrates the slit-like ostial right coronary artery compression or vasospasm between the green arrows.
that the presyncope may have also been mediated by transient ischemia which could result in cardiac failure, tachyarrhythmia, and bradycardia, including sinus arrest and atrioventricular block.\textsuperscript{25,26}

We speculate that the patient may have undergone a modified pediatric Ravitch procedure (the patient’s age of index procedure was 14-years-old) based on his thoracotomy scars. A recent systematic review suggested there were no overt differences in outcomes between the Nuss and Ravitch populations across all age groups, however, alluded to marginally improved outcomes with the Nuss procedure when compared to other procedural subgroups such as duration of procedure and hospitalization, blood loss, complication rates including re-do operations, symptoms including cardiopulmonary function.\textsuperscript{27}

Patients with PE should undergo routine surveillance with serial clinical examinations, complementary advanced imaging (cCTA and cMRI), stress testing techniques, and pulmonary function testing as they may identify higher-risk subpopulations for cardiorespiratory compromise.\textsuperscript{28}

Conclusion

We describe a patient status post remote PEX repair who presented with presyncope attributed to bifascicular block, partial right ventricular outflow tract (RVOT) obstruction, and right coronary artery (RCA) ischemia. The clinician should be aware of these potential mechanisms precipitating presyncope.
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