Rhizobial–Host Interactions and Symbiotic Nitrogen Fixation in Legume Crops Toward Agriculture Sustainability
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Symbiotic nitrogen fixation (SNF) process makes legume crops self-sufficient in nitrogen (N) in sharp contrast to cereal crops that require an external input by N-fertilizers. Since the latter process in cereal crops results in a huge quantity of greenhouse gas emission, the legume production systems are considered efficient and important for sustainable agriculture and climate preservation. Despite benefits of SNF, and the fact that chemical N-fertilizers cause N-pollution of the ecosystems, the focus on improving SNF efficiency in legumes did not become a breeder’s priority. The size and stability of heritable effects under different environment conditions weigh significantly on any trait useful in breeding strategies. Here we review the challenges and progress made toward decoding the heritable components of SNF, which is considerably more complex than other crop allelic traits since the process involves genetic elements of both the host and the symbiotic rhizobial species. SNF-efficient rhizobial species designed based on the genetics of the host and its symbiotic partner face the test of a unique microbiome for its success and productivity. The progress made thus far in commercial legume crops with relevance to the dynamics of host–rhizobia interaction, environmental impact on rhizobial performance challenges, and what collectively determines the SNF efficiency under field conditions are also reviewed here.
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INTRODUCTION

Biological nitrogen fixation (BNF) is the largest nitrogen (N) input pathway in the ecosystem (Vitousek and Field, 2001). It is estimated that BNF generates approximately 200 Tg of organic N annually (Galloway et al., 2003; Peoples et al., 2009); thus, it can play an important role in climate preservation and agriculture sustainability. In legumes, a group of bacteria collectively referred to as rhizobia enzymatically convert atmospheric nitrogen into organic form through a symbiotic process (symbiotic N fixation, SNF) in the host roots. The grain legumes account for 27% of the world crop production and are only next to cereals. Globally, 60% of the total human protein requirement is met through plant-based proteins, of which legumes contribute to about 50% (Smýkal et al., 2015; ref. in Leinonen et al., 2019). An assessment of global protein sustainability
favors a shift from animal-based to plant-based proteins (Chaudhary et al., 2018; Leinonen et al., 2019). The legumes are valuable in agriculture for two main reasons. One, the legume seeds are rich in protein content (20–25% of the dry weight) and help meet the daily protein requirement of a majority of the world population, especially for those who cannot afford to source it from animals. Second, the environmental cost of legume cultivation, calculated as life cycle assessment (LCA), is significantly lower compared to non-legume crops due to the former’s ability to fix their own nitrogen (MacWilliam et al., 2014; Romeiko, 2019). Despite the challenges of accuracy and precision, the LCA is calculated by computing greenhouse gas (GHG) emission of all operations associated with a crop production system and delivering a product to the consumer table (Caffrey and Veal, 2013). The carbon footprint comparison depicts the lowest environmental cost of legumes among important food types (Figure 1).

In order to emphasize the significance of legumes in agriculture sustainability, it is pertinent to consider the environmental consequences of synthetic N use. The annual demand of chemically synthesized nitrogen is projected at about 120 Tg (FAO, 2017), approximately 50% of which is required to fertilize wheat, maize, and rice (Ladha et al., 2016). The N-use efficiency for cereals is estimated to be about 33%; the remaining becomes either a source of aquatic systems pollution (Raun and Johnson, 1999) or a substrate for NO or nitrous oxide (NO) gases in the environment through denitrification. According to the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), NO has 294 times more GHG effect than carbon dioxide on a per unit mass basis. In addition, the process of nitrogen fertilizer synthesis uses fossil fuels as one of the energy sources. Depending on the type of the fossil fuel, it releases 1.10–3.37 t of CO$_2$/ton fossil fuel burnt. It has been predicted that 2% consumption of global energy by 2050 will be due to chemical synthesis of N fertilizers (Glendinning et al., 2009). Approximately, one-third of total GHG emission occurs via the agriculture sector including crop cultivation and livestock (Gilbert, 2012). A large carbon footprint of agriculture along with the detrimental effects of runoff fertilizers puts a question mark on agriculture sustainability. The need for minimizing GHG emission and protecting the climate has been highlighted in a report by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC, see text footnote 2). In this connection, the legume production systems hold a great promise to reduce the agriculture carbon footprint by expanding the acreage under legumes and making the SNF process more efficient.

Low cost and easy accessibility of the synthetic N fertilizers obscured the importance of SNF. Most of the legume crop improvement strategies have focused on yield, disease resistance, and other agronomic traits. The availability of chemical fertilizers was one reason that interested breeders to utilize synthetic fertilizer, and the lack of interest in incorporating enhanced SNF efficiency as a trait in legume improvement programs was seen as far more complex since it involved a large array of gene medleys both in the host and in its symbiotic partner (Roy et al., 2020). Compared to an agronomic trait, the mapping of symbiosis-related genes on the chromosomes and understanding their heritable effect in breeding lines is far more challenging. Moreover, the stability of a heritable trait under different environmental conditions is very important, since it requires understanding and suitable deployment strategies for an optimal symbiotic efficiency. Introduction of novel and superior or genetically modified strains may face formidable challenges as the native strains are well-adapted to a specific niche. The development of elite rhizobial strains to maximize crop-specific symbiotic outcome is required which, however, has not received the needed attention (Sessitsch et al., 2002). In the recent past, an ecological relevance of rhizobia and the quest to gain in-depth knowledge of nitrogen fixation phenomenon have led to many advances. In this review, we bring together information relevant to the SNF as a breeding trait and the prominent factors that influence the symbiosis and N-fixing efficiency. This should help evoke more interest in filling the research gaps toward strengthening the symbiotic bond for a sustainable future.

**SNF-ASSOCIATED QTLs AND SNPs**

Quantitative trait locus/loci (QTL) is the location of an individual locus or multiple loci in the genome that affects a quantitative trait. QTLs provide valuable information on the inheritance of linked traits which can be used to identify a candidate gene(s) associated with a phenotype. The DNA sequences linked with QTLs can be deployed as molecular markers for a phenotypic trait governed by them. Such molecular markers are being increasingly used in marker-assisted selection (MAS) in modern breeding programs (Kumar et al., 2017). Several successful examples of using QTLs to increase quality, yield, and disease resistance in cereal crops include rice (Ali et al., 2017), maize (Beyene et al., 2016), and wheat (Zhang et al., 2017). However, the use of QTLs for improving SNF has not gained prominence in legume breeding programs. Nodulation, which comprises nodule
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number, shape, size, fresh/dry weights, and type (determinate or indeterminate), along with the health of nodules, is an indicator of the N fixation efficiency and represents important quantitative SNF traits. The process of nodulation is tightly regulated by both the host and rhizobia genetics, collectively determining the output of fixed N (Wang et al., 2018; Ferguson et al., 2019). A high heritability of nodulation traits in a given environment is an indicator of traits controlled by genetic loci (Yang et al., 2019). A significant correlation is known between nodule number, nodule fresh or dry weight, and N fixed in a symbiotic process (Pereira et al., 1993; Pazdernik et al., 1996). A positive correlation has also been found between nodule dry weight/plant and the seed yield (Burias and Planchon, 1990). The SNF-QTLs have been identified in several legumes, including soybean, cowpea, pea, and common bean. Thus, identification of the genetic loci, QTLs, or markers associated with nodulation traits is useful in breeding legumes for an efficient N fixation and for improving yields. However, the focus or the progress has been conspicuously more in soybean and common bean than other commercial legumes (Table 1).

Nitrogen fixation involves additional interactions with the strain and soil environment when compared to a plant agronomic trait and adds complexity in identifying SNF-QTLs in legumes. This could be a bottleneck for slow progress in the identification of QTLs in leguminous crops in comparison to cereal or other crops (Ali et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2017; Fang et al., 2019). The nodule number was one of the early SNF-related traits shown to be controlled by QTLs in common bean under certain N environments (Nodari et al., 1993; Souza et al., 2000). Using simple sequence repeat markers, a linkage map was constructed in soybean and the markers were linked to fresh and dry nodule weights, nodule numbers, plant dry weight, and acetylene reduction activity corresponding to the N-fixation rate (Tanya et al., 2005; Nicolás et al., 2006). Some of the SNF-QTLs were genetically mapped in soybean, although the effect of these QTLs only ranged from 6.5 to 15.4% of total variation (Santos et al., 2013). Co-localization of QTLs for root growth and nodule traits and a significant positive relationship between nodule establishment and pea root growth are the breeding possibilities for these two important traits (Bourion et al., 2010). These QTLs were also associated with an increased percentage of biological nitrogen derived from atmosphere (%Ndfa) with an additive effect. Such a synergism between root architecture and SNF controlled by QTLs has also been observed in soybean (Yang et al., 2017). The root growth plays an important role in the drought tolerance ability of crop species. Therefore, increased nodulation could be utilized for enhanced drought tolerance in leguminous crops. Two other QTLs associated with nodule number and nodule size have been identified in soybean (Yang et al., 2019). Nodule phenotype and number linked to these QTLs were genotype dependent. A symbiotic interaction between soybean and *Bradyrhizobium* strains was influenced by the QTLs involved in the secretion of genistein by the host roots (Ramongolalaina et al., 2018). The mapped QTLs showed a phenotypic variation under many environmental conditions related to the N level in soil, greenhouse vs. field studies, and the genotypes employed. Efforts to saturate the genetic map

### Table 1 | QTLs and SNP loci information for the morphological traits associated with SNF.

| Crop | Morphological trait | QTLs | References |
|------|---------------------|------|------------|
| Common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) | Nodule number | 4 QTLs | Nodari et al., 1993 |
| Common bean | Nodule number | 3 linkage groups under each of low and high nitrogen environments | |
| Common bean | Nodule number | 5 QTLs in the presence of N | Souza et al., 2000 |
| Common bean | Nodule number | 3 QTLs in the absence of N | |
| Common bean | Nodule number | QTLs on Pv01, Pv04 and Pv10 | Ramaekers et al., 2013 |
| Common bean | Nodule dry weight | 1 QTL on Pv03 | |
| Dry bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) | Nodule number | 1 QTL on Pv08 | Farid, 2015 |
| Common bean | Nodule number (in shoot and seed) | SNP loci on P03 and P09 | Kamfwa et al., 2015 |
| Common bean | %Ndfa | QTLs on Pv07 and Pv02 | Diaz et al., 2017 |
| Black bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) | Nodule number | 1 QTL on Pv01 | Heilig et al., 2017 |
| Common bean | %Ndfa | 3 QTLs on Pv01, Pv04 and Pv09 | Kamfwa et al., 2019 |
| Soybean | Nodule number, nodule size, nodule dry matter, acetylene reduction | 5 QTLs | Tanya et al., 2005 |
| Soybean | Nodule number and nodule dry weight | 2 QTLs | Nicolás et al., 2006 |
| Soybean | Nodule number and nodule dry weight ratio or nodule dry weight per nodule, shoot dry weight | 4 QTLs (B1/ rri B/E/ bfn3-E, L/ sdx2-L and I/ bfn4-I1) | Santos et al., 2013 |
| Soybean | Symbiosis specificity | Rj4 locus | Tang et al., 2014, Tang et al., 2016 |
| Soybean | Nodule number | 8 QTLs | Hwang et al., 2014 |
| Soybean | Nodule size | 7 QTLs | |
| Soybean | Individual nodule weight | 6 QTLs | |
| Soybean | Total nodule weight | 5 QTLs | |
| Soybean | Nodule fresh weight | 1 QTL on Gm12 | Muñoz et al., 2016 |

(Continued)
TABLE 1 | Continued

| Crop                | Morphological trait            | QTLs          | References                      |
|---------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|
| Soybean             | SNF traits and shoot dry weight | qBNF-C2, qBNF-O, qBNF-B1 | Yang et al., 2017               |
| Soybean             | Nodulation in the field (nodule number and weight) | qBNF-11 | Yang et al., 2017               |
| Soybean             | Number of large nodules and nodule weight | GmINVST gene | Li et al., 2018                 |
| Soybean             | Average nodule dry weight       | 1 QTL on Gm13 | Grunvald et al., 2018           |
| Soybean             | Nodulation (nodule number and weight) | 24 QTLS       | Ramongolalaina et al., 2018     |
| Soybean             | Nodulation (three nodules types) between indigenous rhizobia (B. japonicum, B. elkanii, rhizobium sp.) | 16 QTLS       | Zhu et al., 2019                |
| Soybean             | Nodules size and nodule number  | qBNF16        | Yang et al., 2019               |
| Soybean             | Nodules size and nodule number  | qBNF17        |                                 |
| Soybean             | Nodules number, nodule fresh and dry weights | 2 SNP loci on Gm17 | Huo et al., 2019               |
| Soybean             | Nodules number and nodule dry weight | 16 QTLS on eight chromosomes | Zhu et al., 2019               |
| Soybean             | Nodules number and nodule dry weight | 2 QTLS on Gm19 | Wang et al., 2020b              |
| Soybean             | Nodules number and nodule dry weight | GmNNL1 gene | Zhang et al., 2021              |
| Pea (Pisum sativum L.) | Nodules number | 9 QTLS | Bourion et al., 2010           |
| Pea (Pisum sativum L.) | Nodules size | 8 QTLS |                                 |
| Pea (Pisum sativum L.) | Nodules dry matter | 4 QTLS |                                 |
| Pea (Pisum sativum L.) | Relative part of the nodules dry matter | 3 QTLS |                                 |
| Cowpea (Vigna unguiculata) | Nodules color | QTL (Linkage group 4) | Ohlson et al., 2018           |
| Cowpea (Vigna unguiculata) | Nodules number | QTL (Linkage group 4) |                                 |
| Cowpea (Vigna unguiculata) | Nodules Fresh | QTL (Linkage group 6) |                                 |

TABLE 1 | Continued

| Crop                | Morphological trait | QTLs          | References                      |
|---------------------|---------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|
| Lotus japonicas     | Nitrogen fixation   | Sat1 gene     | Krusell et al., 2005            |
| Lotus japonicus     | Nitrogen fixation   | IGN1 gene     | Kumagai et al., 2007            |
| Lotus japonicus     | Nodule number       | 1 QTL on Chr 3 | Tominaga et al., 2012           |
| Lotus japonicus     | Nodule weight       | 5 QTLS on Chr 2, 3, 4 and 5 |                                 |
| Lotus japonicus     | Acetylene reduction activity per plant | 4 QTLS on Chr 2, 4 and 5 |                                 |
| Lotus japonicus     | Acetylene reduction activity per nodule number | 2 QTLS on Chr 2 and 4 |                                 |
| Lotus japonicus     | Acetylene reduction activity per nodule weight | 3 QTLS on Chr 3, 4 and 5 |                                 |

may allow the identification of other QTLs controlling SNF which are less affected by the environmental conditions. The usefulness of a QTL depends on the size and stability of the effect across environments and genetic backgrounds, as well as the phenotyping effort required to assess the trait directly (Scott et al., 2020). Despite several advantages of QTL mapping in biparental populations, this approach suffers from low genetic diversity and fewer recombinant events. The drawback is addressed through multi-parent populations, which can generate a mosaic of alleles and, hence, a greater potential of precise and dense mapping in contrast to biparental populations. Two popular approaches, namely, the nested association mapping (NAM) and multi-parent advanced generation inter-cross (MAGIC) populations, are in vogue (reviewed by Scott et al., 2020). Many of the agronomic traits, though not directly linked to symbiosis, have been mapped in common bean, faba bean, and cowpea through MAGIC populations (Diaz et al., 2020; Scott et al., 2020). MAGIC germplasm is a valuable resource for SNF-QTL mapping in legumes.

Developments in genome analysis have led to new approaches to study phenotypic variations linked to the genetic makeup. Genome-wide association studies (GWAS), which involve hundreds and thousands of single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in DNA, have emerged as a powerful tool in associating genetic changes with quantitative trait variation in plants and animals (Zhu et al., 2018). GWAS allows detection of causative alleles or loci which sometimes are not possible through QTL mapping. The use of genome sequencing has led to a significant progress in trait mapping in chickpea, pigeon pea, and groundnut (reviewed by Varshney et al., 2019). In many such studies, yield was the primary focus followed by disease resistance. Although higher SNF efficiency correlated with higher yields, there was little or no emphasis on traits linked to
improvement in SNF in these studies. Nonetheless, candidate genes for SNF and significant SNPs have been identified by GWAS on Pv03, Pv07, and Pv09 chromosomes of common bean (Kamfwa et al., 2015, 2019). Two of the candidate genes code for leucine-rich repeat receptor-like protein kinases that play a key role in signal transduction during nodulation (Sanchez-Lopez et al., 2011).

The cell wall β-expansins encoded by GmINS1 and GmEXPB2 promote nodule development in soybean (Li et al., 2015, 2018). SNF in Lotus japonicus required the presence of sulfate transporters (SST1) and certain membrane proteins (Krusell et al., 2005; Kumagai et al., 2007). Sequencing of red clover phenotypes differentiating in SNF resulted in the identification of two genes, ethylene response factor required for nodule differentiation and molybdate transporter 1 (Trněný et al., 2019). A study in Medicago truncatula identified several nodulation-related genes, SERK2, MtnodGRP3, MtMMPL1, NFP, CaML3, and MtnodGRP3A, which contributed to variations in the legume–rhizobia symbiosis and nodulation (Stanton-Geddes et al., 2013). Similarly, GWAS enabled the identification of several SNPs associated with Ndfa under different environments in soybean (Dhanapal et al., 2015). This approach identified 11 SNF-related characteristics linked to 20 SNP loci on eight chromosomes in soybean (Huo et al., 2019). Among these, three SNP loci located on chromosome Gm17 were associated with the shoot N concentration while two SNP loci in the adjacent region were linked to high nodule numbers and nodule fresh and dry weights. Functional analysis of the candidate genes involved in legume–rhizobia symbiosis has been studied by combining GWAS with newly developed gene disruption technologies such as CRISPR (Curtin et al., 2017). The transcriptomic analysis provides another high-throughput tool to relate to a gene function. Using this technique, involvement of the GmPAP12 gene in nodule development was demonstrated (Wang et al., 2020a).

The rapid gene sequencing and genome editing tools have accelerated the progress in functional genomics. These, along with mutagenesis techniques, have identified about 200 genes involved in various stages of the symbiotic process in both model and commercial legume crops (reviewed by Roy et al., 2020). The repertoire of genes provides a valuable resource for the breeders who can employ them as markers in MAS, and these could be edited for an efficient nodulation and nitrogen fixation. Even though GWAS serves as a powerful tool to assess the association of genotypic changes with the phenotypes, it presents limitations when the variants either are at low frequency or have a small effect size (Korte and Farlow, 2013). For complex traits such as nodulation, the variants sometimes explain only a small proportion of the heritability. Given that the environment plays a pivotal role in determining a phenotype, the missing heritability could be due to gene–environment interactions. This aspect of heritability has been addressed through gene–environment-wide association studies (Thomas, 2010). The genetic diversity or presence of SNPs in host plant QTLs or genes involved in SNF is an important determinant of the efficiency and capacity of SNF. Equally important is the genetics of participating nitrogen-fixing bacteria, especially the genetic linkages with phenotypic variations. The genomic studies have significantly broadened our knowledge in this area (Sablok et al., 2017; Aguilar et al., 2018; Sánchez-Cañizares et al., 2018).

Comparative genomic studies on a large number of rhizobial genomes have been conducted to identify the putative proteins involved in symbiosis (Queiroux et al., 2012; Seshadri et al., 2015). Some of these proteins are highly expressed in nodules than in a free-living bacterium. Using a GWAS approach in Ensifer meliloti strains, the phenotypic variations that most strongly associated with symbiosis phenotypes were identified and linked to the genes involved in nitrogen fixation or nodulation (Epstein et al., 2018). A QTL mapping study in soybean recombinant inbred lines (RILs) involving a symbiosis with Sinorhizobium fredii HH103ΔrhcJ mutant, which resulted in a reduced nodule number, identified three host genes that might be participating in the reduction process (Zhu et al., 2019). Another protein, NopD, which is one of the type III effectors of S. fredii, influences the expression of two genes associated with two QTLs (Wang et al., 2020b). These interactions resulted in nodule phenotypic differences in soybean RILs. The identification of QTLs, genes associated with them, and SNPs are promising not only for improving our understanding of host–rhizobia symbiotic interaction but also for providing vital tools for molecular breeding of leguminous crops for enhanced SNF efficiency.

**RHIZOBIAL–HOST INTERACTION AND SNF EFFICIENCY**

Symbiosis is a highly complex and structured process where both the host and rhizobial strain contribute in establishing the latter as a microsymbiont in root nodules (reviewed by Roy et al., 2020). To enhance the role of legume crops in agriculture sustainability, ideally there is a need for proficient symbiotic interaction(s) between the host and rhizobia for a maximum N output. Rhizobia display host preferences with varying degrees of specificity while the hosts also favor some strains over others (Andrews and Andrews, 2017). The host range of rhizobia is largely determined by nodulating genes with their counterparts in host plants (Perret et al., 2000). Neither rhizobial strains that can nodulate all leguminous plants nor any legume plant known to develop symbiosis with all strains of rhizobia have been identified. This suggested that the specificity is controlled through a regulatory mechanism present in both the host and rhizobial strain. Some legumes display a rigid regime of symbiotic bacteria preference while others tend to be flexible. Common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris) and soybean (Glycine max), for example, can be nodulated by a large number of taxonomically different rhizobia including members of genus Burkholderia, while in pea (Pisum sativum) and chickpea (Cicer arietinum) the symbiosis is restricted to a fairly narrow range of rhizobial strains (Andrews and Andrews, 2017; Muñoz-Azcarate et al., 2017; Ramírez et al., 2019; Figure 2).

Both pea and chickpea, and many other agriculturally important legumes, belong to an inverted repeat lacking clade (IRLC). This clade is marked by a loss of inverted repeats in the plastid genome which results in its size variation (Wojciechowski et al., 2004). All the members of IRLC have indeterminate
nODULES AND ARE KNOWN TO POSSESS A HIGH DEGREE OF HOST SPECIFICITY. IRLC MEMBERS ARE COMMONLY NODULATED BY ENSIFER, MESORHIZOBIUM, AND RHIZOBIUM spp. BUT RARELY WITH THE MEMBERS OF BRADYRHIZOBIUM, NEORHIZOBIUM, AND PHYLOLBACTERIUM GENERA. FURTHER, NEARLY ALL MEDICAGO, MELILOTUS, AND TRIGONELLA spp. ARE NODULATED BY EITHER ENSIFER MEDICAE OR ENSIFER MELILOTI, WHILE TRIFOLIUM spp. AND MEMBERS OF TRIBE FABEAE ARE NODULATED BY RHIZOBIUM spp. (REF. IN SPRENT ET AL., 2017). THERE ARE NO REPORTS OF BURKHOLDERIA OR CUPRIAVIDUS SYMBIOTICS WITHIN THE IRLC (ANDREWS AND ANDREWS, 2017). THE SPECIFICITY OF RHIZOBIUM LEGUMINOSARUM bv. VICIAE GENOTYPES WITH THEIR HOSTS HAS BEEN FOUND TO BE LINKED TO PLANT-SPECIFIC SNP PATTERNS OBSERVED WITHIN THE nod GENE CLUSTER (JORRIN AND IMPERIAL, 2015). THE RHIZOBLA–HOST SPECIFICITY, AMONG MANY FACTORS, IS DETERMINED BY A SET OF FLAVONOIDS PRODUCED BY HOSTS. THESE CHEMICAL SIGNALS ARE PERCEIVED BY THE RHIZOBLA SPECIES TO PRODUCE Nod FACTORS, WHICH ARE RECOGNIZED BY THE HOST RECEPTORS PRESENT ON THE ROOT CELL MEMBRANE, THEREBY ADDING ANOTHER LAYER OF SPECIFICITY (BROUGHTON ET AL., 2000; WANG ET AL., 2018; ROY ET AL., 2020). Nod factors are composed of a β-1,4-linked N-acetyl-glucosamine backbone with functional groups attached to the reducing and nonreducing termini (Geurts and Bisseling, 2002). The IRLC legumes, which are known to possess high specificity with symbiotic bacteria, have a stringent requirement of the Nod factors with unsaturated fatty acyl chains (Debellé et al., 2001). A very high specificity within IRLC legumes is associated with a greater N fixation efficiency on the basis of per unit carbon utilized (Oono and Denison, 2010; Kereszt et al., 2011). In contrast, common bean which is a promiscuous host to rhizobial strains is considered as a weak nitrogen fixer compared to other grain legumes (Muñoz-Azcarate et al., 2017).

A better understanding between the host and its rhizobial partner or host–rhizobia specificity provides a gateway for an efficient symbiosis and nitrogen output. The genetic diversity of rhizobia in the soil offers opportunities for a range of mutualistic interactions. The environmental factors, soil management
practices, and cultivation history significantly influence the genetic diversity (Kaschuk et al., 2006; Mothapo et al., 2013; Yan et al., 2014). Almost each member of the rhizobial community tends to exploit its genetics to forge a symbiotic relationship with the available hosts. Because the competitiveness and \( N_2 \) fixing efficiency are independent traits, there is a high probability that more competitive rhizobia with poor-performing capability will colonize the host along with others, and their proportion in the colonized population will be an important determinant of SNF efficiency (Burghardt et al., 2018). As a counter mechanism, the host displays an ability to sanction the nonperforming symbionts by cutting off the nutrient supply (Westhoek et al., 2017), but what level of nonperformance triggers this mechanism is not understood. Such an action is expected to have a cost, both in terms of resources and time. Therefore, it may not be advantageous for the host to sanction every bacterium which is not the best performing. This may explain in part why a very high specificity in IRLC legumes is associated with more efficient \( N_2 \) fixation compared to promiscuous legumes. Further understanding on specificity and competitiveness should provide cues for developing elite strains with optimized symbiotic output.

A breakthrough research has enabled the simultaneous measurement of rhizobial competitiveness and \( N_2 \) fixation in nodules (Mendoza-Suárez et al., 2020). The studies indicate that introduction of modified strains for improved SNF efficiency and other symbiotic characteristics face a tough competition for their performance from the native strains (Goyal et al., 2021). An indigenous rhizobia can dilute or even abolish the positive effect of an inoculant (Dwivedi et al., 2015). A study with six different legume species inoculated with superior \( N_2 \)-fixing strains proved ineffective over native soil rhizobia to enhance \( N_2 \) fixation (Singleton and Tavares, 1986). Similarly, the commercial inoculants did not provide a significant benefit in nodulation and crop yield over native strains (Nyaga and Njeru, 2020). Exceptionally, the native strains outperform commercial strains for \( N_2 \)-fixation effectiveness in different legume crops (Ouma et al., 2016; Koskey et al., 2017; ref. in Mendoza-Suárez et al., 2020). The nonnative inoculants face competition from the native strains and may be unable to maintain their superiority. Rhizobial populations vary from highly beneficial to ineffective in natural and agricultural soils, and the community-level effects seem to favor the persistence of ineffective rhizobia (Pahua et al., 2018). It is known that the microbial communities cause an either favorable or unfavorable environment for specific rhizobia in the rhizosphere, thus creating unequal opportunities for the strains (Han et al., 2020). These studies underscore the importance of native strains for developing more competitive or better-performing inoculants since nodule occupancy by the natives tends to be significantly higher (Irisarri et al., 2019). In view of these observations, an SNF improvement program faces challenges in developing and deploying superior inoculants in crop production systems each having a unique ecosystem. Nevertheless, the drag due to a mix of poor-performing strains presents an opportunity to maximize the nodule occupancy with efficient strains for an efficient SNF.

**ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS AFFECTING SNF**

Environmental factors have profound effects on rhizobial diversity, which is determined by the adaptability of microbial species to the prevailing conditions (Young et al., 2017; Koskey et al., 2018). Environmental stress, which affects both the host and rhizobial response, leads to reduction in SNF efficiency (Laranjo and Oliveira, 2011). The magnitude of reduction depends on how the bacteria live, thrive, and survive under those environments given that the dynamics of stress changes rapidly under combined extremes of temperature, salinity, drought, soil pH, pesticides, or nutrient deficiency (Hungria and Vargas, 2000). The prominent factors that influence the symbiotic process are discussed below (Figure 3). Genetic improvements of strains for better adaptation to a specific stress have been recently reviewed (Goyal et al., 2021).

**Temperature**

Temperature significantly affects the host–rhizobia interactions and determines geographical distribution of strains and their ability to nodulate (Hungria and Vargas, 2000). Each legume–rhizobia combination tends to display specificities for an optimal performance (Igiehon et al., 2019). For many rhizobia, the ideal temperature range is 25–30°C, but the strains are known to fix nitrogen between 30 and 42°C in arid and semiarid conditions (Dwivedi et al., 2015). Temperature in these regions, especially those with sandy soils, can touch 60°C. Bacterial survival is
key for a symbiotic process when favorable conditions return. Strain adaptation to high temperatures has been observed for certain rhizobial species, although these are usually associated with reduction in nodule weight, number, and nitrogenase activity leading to decreased N fixation (Baldani and Weaver, 1992; Rodrigues et al., 2006; Ormeño-Orrillo et al., 2016). Downregulation of the nodC gene has been observed at higher than optimal temperatures (Shiro et al., 2016), whereas a complete abolition of nodulating ability could be due to curing of the plasmids contributing to N fixation (Hungria and Franco, 1993). Further, significant variations have been observed among different host–rhizobial interactions at low temperature. In pigeon pea (Cajanus cajan) and cowpea (Vigna unguiculata) Bradyrhizobium symbioses, the N fixation ceases below 21°C (Marsh et al., 2006), while in soybean the complete inhibition of nodulation occurs below 10°C (Matthews and Hayes, 1982). However, some strains display greater resiliency by fixing N even at 4°C (Yuan et al., 2020). Low temperature reduces secretion of the flavonoids involved in signaling of nodule formation, which also could contribute to poor N fixation by the rhizobia (reviewed by Wang et al., 2018). A substantial decrease in the level of genistein was noted below 17.5°C (Zhang and Smith, 1996). Application of genistein and daidzein stimulated the nodulation and nitrogen fixation under a low-temperature regime (Broughton et al., 2003). Along with reduction in flavonoids at low temperatures, a decline in the excretion of nod factors was also observed in Rhizobium leguminosarum bv. trifolii (McKay and Djordjevic, 1993) and Bradyrhizobium (Zhang et al., 2002). Overall, these studies indicate that stress-induced impairment in the signaling mechanism leads to slower or inhibition of nodule formation (Lira et al., 2015). The molecular changes in response to temperature stress are discussed in detail elsewhere (Alexandre and Oliveira, 2013; da-Silva et al., 2017).

pH

Certain agricultural practices and industrial pollution tend to make the soil acidic with a negative impact on crop productivity. An acidic soil with pH below 5.5 is considered poor in quality, which exacerbates the metal ion toxicity. These factors affect the growth and symbiotic characteristics of rhizobia, thereby influencing their distribution, survival, and nodulating ability (Ferguson et al., 2013; Lira et al., 2015). The sensitive strains in acidic soils are compromised for N fixation, which can significantly affect the legume production unless fertilized (Hungria and Vargas, 2000). A reduced secretion of flavonoids and Nod factors appears to be responsible in part for the lower number of nodules under acidic conditions (McKay and Djordjevic, 1993). Considerable structural change in the profile of Nod factors occurs when the pH is lowered from neutral to acidic (Morón et al., 2005). In general, low pH does not favor the growth of rhizobia, but there is a wide variation across different strains in response to pH. Some strains of Mesorhizobium ciceri found to be moderately acidophilic prefer a pH range of 5–7 for their growth (Laranjo and Oliveira, 2011). Another study on Rhizobium tropici, Rhizobium leguminosarum, Rhizobium taiwanense, and Sinorhizobium meliloti found that certain strains were able to grow at pH 4, indicating their adaptability to environmental fluctuations (Igiehon et al., 2019). Rhizobia employ mechanisms related to decreased membrane permeability, internal buffering, and prevention of metal ion toxicity to maintain ideal intracellular pH (Ormeño-Orrillo et al., 2016). The acid-tolerance response involves multiple genes spanning intracellular signaling to metabolic adjustments (Draghi et al., 2016), which presents challenges in strain improvement for acid tolerance.

Salinity

It is estimated that 40% of the available land surface area is affected by salinity and desiccation, thereby severely limiting the productivity potential of crops. Both bacteria and plants experience adverse effects of salinity, reducing thereby the availability of water and causing water deficiency or desiccation. Salinity stress has significant consequences on the survival, colonization, and nodule activity of rhizobia (Vriezen et al., 2007; Brígido et al., 2012). A differential response of rhizobial strains to salt tolerance is an indication of their evolutionary fitness to the environment (Burghardt, 2020). Bradyrhizobium strains are among the most sensitive to a high-salt environment followed by Mesorhizobium, while Rhizobium and Sinorhizobium are relatively more tolerant (Laranjo and Oliveira, 2011; Brígido et al., 2012). The increased concentration of low molecular weight solutes is one of the several mechanisms observed in salt-tolerant strains (Dong et al., 2017; Furlan et al., 2017). To increase the biosynthesis of trehalose, the M. ciceri strain was modified to improve tolerance to salt as well as symbiosis with the host (Moussaid et al., 2015). Similarly, a salt-tolerant Bradyrhizobium strain accumulated more trehalose, proline, and betaine, among other compounds, which led to higher number of nodules, plant dry weight, and nitrogen level (Dong et al., 2017). Salt stress may diminish the capacity of bean root exudates to induce nod genes for producing lipochitooligosaccharides (Nod factors), while it did not change the pattern of flavonoids (Dardanelli et al., 2012). In another study with a salt-tolerant strain R. tropici CIAT 899, induction of nod genes and production of Nod factors were observed even under high concentrations of salt (Guasch-Vidal et al., 2013). An RNA-seq analysis of R. tropici CIAT 899 under salt stress revealed that nodulation genes were expressed in the absence of flavonoids (Pérez-Montaño et al., 2016). Moreover, the same set of symbiotic genes was upregulated in the presence of both a Nod-factor inducer apigenin and salt. These studies suggest the presence of different dynamics of flavonoid-nod factor signaling in salt-sensitive vs. salt-tolerant strains.

Drought

Lack of moisture in soil for extended time periods causes drought and affects plant growth and development as well as microbial activities. Under drought conditions, a decrease in nodule number, biomass, and nodule-specific activity resulted in a substantial reduction in biologically derived nitrogen acquisition (Serraj et al., 1999; Marquez-Garcia et al., 2015). A precise mechanism of reduction in nitrogenase activity was not deciphered, but a limiting supply of photosynthates for the nodule and loss of leghemoglobin were considered as playing a role (Serraj et al., 1999). Drought stress altered the
morphology of legume roots and accelerated the senescence of nodules in soybean (Kunert et al., 2016). Exogenous application of a Nod factor under drought conditions led to a higher cytokinin level in plant organs and coincided with increased nodule number and nodule-specific activity (Prudent et al., 2016). Other studies have indicated that drought induces similar changes in both determinate- and indeterminate-type nodules (Gil-Quintana et al., 2015). The differences in gene expression were attributed to different nodule types (Sanko-Sawczenko et al., 2019). Dehydration of the cell membrane causes damage to the lipid bilayer, and this can jeopardize the bacterial survival during drought (Bushby and Marshall, 1977). A slow rate of desiccation that led to accumulation of osmoprotectants was found to improve rhizobia survival for a longer time period (Antheunisse et al., 1981). A correlative study demonstrated that the nodules of drought-tolerant soybean cultivar accumulated higher levels of trehalose, proline, and betaine compared to the susceptible cultivar (Furlan et al., 2017). Likewise, a double mutation in ostA and treY genes impaired the accumulation of trehalose and increased the vulnerability of a R. leguminosarum bv. trifolii strain to desiccation (McIntyre et al., 2007). These studies highlight the importance of osmoprotectants in drought tolerance. Furthermore, extreme water status, i.e., excess of water in waterlogged conditions, also severely impairs nodule activity and N fixation (see a review by Pucciariello et al., 2019). A study on the role of nitric oxide homeostasis revealed that a mutation in a single domain of hemoglobin in Bradyrhizobium diazoefficients conferred protection to symbiotic nitrogen fixation during flooding in soybean plants (Salas et al., 2020).

Metals and Pesticides

The Industrial Revolution significantly contributed to heavy metal contamination of the soil, affecting microbial activities and influencing their diversity and population. The most common metals contaminating the soil are lead (Pb), cadmium (Cd), arsenic (As), zinc (Zn), chromium (Cr), copper (Cu), mercury (Hg), and nickel (Ni) (Li et al., 2019). Although Cu, Ni, Co, and Zn are required as micronutrients, at high concentrations they are toxic to plants and microorganisms. Similarly, aluminium (Al), which is the most abundant metal in Earth’s crust, causes toxicity under acidic conditions (Bojórquez-Quintal et al., 2017). Below pH 5.0, Al exists in its trivalent form (Al\(^{3+}\)), which exerts its toxic effect on many aspects of the rhizobia/legume symbiosis, consequently impairing the nitrogen fixation process (reviewed by Jaiswal et al., 2018). An excessive level of Al under low pH conditions reduced nitrogenase activity by as much as 50% in addition to biochemical changes in the nodules during the Phaseolus vulgaris–R. tropici CIAT899 symbiosis (Mendoza-Soto et al., 2015). The prominent mechanisms of Al toxicity mitigation in rhizobia include induction of efflux pumps, reduction in Al uptake through synthesis of siderophores, production of exopolysaccharides, and synthesis of citric acid (Artigas Ramírez et al., 2018; Jaiswal et al., 2018). Heavy metal contamination of the soil has negative effects on plant growth and development as well as symbiotic activity (DalCorso, 2012). In a peanut–Bradyrhizobium sp symbiotic interaction, 10 \(\mu\)M Cd reduced both the nodule number and the N content (Bianucci et al., 2013). Similarly, the contamination of Cu in soil reduced the nodule number in common bean, causing a shift in rhizobial communities that were tolerant to Cu (Laguerre et al., 2006). Chromium, which naturally occurs in its two predominant ionic states, Cr\(^{6+}\) and Cr\(^{3+}\), causes a very strong oxidative stress resulting in adverse effects on symbiotic interactions (Stambulka et al., 2018). Induction of antioxidant defense is one of the mechanisms Rhizobium deploys against metal-induced oxidative stress. Metal-tolerant rhizobia cause an efflux of metals. An interference in a dmeF gene that plays a role in metal efflux led to the tolerant strains of R. leguminosarum bv. viciae to become susceptible to Ni and Co toxicity (Rubio-Sanz et al., 2018). The other strategies include extracellular immobilization, periplasmic allocation, cytoplasmic sequestration, and biotransformation of toxic products to overcome the metal stress (Cardoso et al., 2017, 2018). The mechanisms could be specific to a stress type or may involve a broader approach of reverse phosphorylation for adjustment of several cellular processes (Lipa and Janczarek, 2020).

Pesticides that are predominantly directed at pathogenic microbial pests could also target the beneficial rhizobial species. A few limited studies do not rule out the adverse impact on the symbiotic activity. One of the earlier studies noticed a harmful effect of Captan and Thiram (TMTD) on nodulation in soybean (Chamber and Montes, 1982). Expanding the evaluation to more fungicides such as Apron, Arrest 75W, Crown, and Captan showed a toxic effect on rhizobial survival to varying degrees with negative consequences on nodulation in chickpea (Kyei-Boahen et al., 2001). Crown and Captan reduced nodulation, %Ndfa, and shoot dry matter. Seed application of Thiram and P-Pickel T also had detrimental effects on rhizobial survival and nodulation in pea (Rathjen et al., 2020). In general, many of the organochlorine pesticides, agrichemicals, and environmental contaminants reduce root nodules, lower the rates of nitrogenase activity, and affect plant yield (Fox et al., 2007). In view of the global use of pesticides exceeding 4 million tons per year, more ecological studies are required to assess their impact on agriculture sustainability.

Biotic Stress

Pests and diseases can potentially cause substantial yield losses, and such harmful effects extend beyond crops to nitrogen-fixing rhizobia. Nematodes, in particular, were found to interfere with the soybean–rhizobia symbiosis and decreased nodule number and size (Hussey and Barker, 1976). In another study, the soybean root infection by Pratylenchus penetrans similar to field infestation severely affected nodulation, density of viable bacteroids in nodules, and N fixation by B. japonicum (Elhady et al., 2020). A prior infection by soybean mosaic virus also adversely impacted nodulation in soybean (Andreola et al., 2019). Activation of plants’ defense mechanism in P. vulgaris in response to a generalist hemibiotrophic plant pathogen, Colletotrichum gloeosporioides, was accompanied with a reduced nodule number by the rhizobia (Ballhorn et al., 2014).
FUTURE PERSPECTIVES

In recent years, a significant progress confined to select commercial grain legumes has been made in the identification of QTLs that determine the symbiotic process and N fixation. The adoption of such information in breeding programs depends on recognizing SNF as an important part of agriculture sustainability. Effective and low-cost synthetic versions of N are likely to be a deterring factor till the associated environmental issues become compelling enough to consider environment-friendly options. The strength and effectiveness of identified QTLs would contribute to their incorporation into breeding efforts. More studies on QTLs with expansion and involving other legume crops would strengthen them as candidates of SNF trait.

A varied degree of rhizobial–host preference, which in part is determined by the presence of reciprocal symbiotic genes in host plants, and the environments dictate the symbiotic process and its performance. Consequently, it sets the limit of N amount which can be accrued in a symbiotic relationship. The existing knowledge along with robust soil microbiome profiles can be used to optimize the host–rhizobia interactions, particularly when new legume crops are introduced into the soil and environment. Unraveling the molecular basis of a negative correlation with rhizobial promiscuousness and N fixation efficiency or vice versa should greatly help in improving the symbiotic N outcome. It is also important to note that recombinant DNA technology has contributed in developing the novel strains (germplasm) that are more adaptive to a given environment and have improved efficiency of SNF. The challenges from the native rhizobial microflora notwithstanding, such strains can be integrated for better N output but will require undertaking more ecological studies to allay the fears of genetically modified organisms. In addition, to protect the scope of SNF in N use sustainability, the application of pesticides as an integral agricultural practice needs to be carefully monitored.
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