Adding a new dimension: Multi-level structure and organization of mixed-species *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilms in a 4-D wound microenvironment
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**ABSTRACT**

Biofilms in wounds typically consist of aggregates of bacteria, most often *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Staphylococcus aureus*, in close association with each other and the host microenvironment. Given this, the interplay across host and microbial elements, including the biochemical and nutrient profile of the microenvironment, likely influences the structure and organization of wound biofilms. While clinical studies, *in vivo* and *ex vivo* model systems have provided insights into the distribution of *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* in wounds, they are limited in their ability to provide a detailed characterization of biofilm structure and organization across the host-microbial interface. On the other hand, biomimetic *in vitro* systems, such as host cell surfaces and simulant media conditions, albeit reductionist, have been shown to support the co-existence of *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* biofilms, with species-dependent localization patterns and interspecies interactions. Therefore, composite *in vitro* models that bring together key features of the wound microenvironment could provide unprecedented insights into the structure and organization of mixed-species biofilms. We have built a four-dimensional (4-D) wound microenvironment consisting of a 3-D host cell scaffold of co-cultured human epidermal keratinocytes and dermal fibroblasts, and an *in vitro* wound milieu (IVWM); the IVWM provides the fourth dimension that represents the biochemical and nutrient profile of the wound infection state. We leveraged this 4-D wound microenvironment, in comparison with biofilms in IVWM alone and standard laboratory media, to probe the structure of mixed-species *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* biofilms across multiple levels of organization such as aggregate dimensions and biomass thickness, species co-localization and spatial organization within the biomass, overall biomass composition and interspecies interactions. In doing so, the 4-D wound microenvironment platform provides multi-level insights into the structure of mixed-species biofilms, which we incorporate into the current understanding of *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* organization in the wound bed.

1. Introduction

Biofilms are multicellular microbial communities, implicated in chronic wound infections, where they fuel persistent, non-healing wounds [1–4]. In chronic wounds, biofilms are seen as discrete, microbial aggregates distributed across, and in close association with, wound bed [5–11]. Under these conditions, biofilm aggregates interface with a range of microenvironmental factors including host cells, such as dermal fibroblasts and epidermal keratinocytes, as well as matrix, biochemical and nutrient factors [12–15]. Further, biofilms in wounds often consist of more than one microbial species [3,9,10,16,17]; *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Staphylococcus aureus* are the most common bacterial co-pathogens [18–22]. Consequently, *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* have been observed to co-exist in the wound bed, with distinct structural and organizational features. In biopsies of chronic wounds, *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* aggregates exist in distinct regions, albeit separated by a few hundred micrometers [6]. On the other hand, in *in vivo* wound models, the two species exist in close proximity, with bacterial clusters observed to overlap with each other [18,20]. While the presence of truly-mixed aggregates with both species might be debated, *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* have been detected in chronic wound biofilm aggregates consisting of bacteria of different species and morphologies, including bacilli and cocci [1,9–11]. Given this, it is very likely that during initial infection, or at some point in the progression of the
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infected wound state, P. aeruginosa and S. aureus exist in close proximity with each other, allowing for possible interspecies interactions [19,23]. Therefore, in the complex wound microenvironment, the interplay across host and microbial elements likely influences the structure and organization of mixed-species bacterial biofilms.

The vast majority of laboratory studies on wound biofilms employ two-dimensional (2-D) plastic surfaces and refined protein broths [24–28], in which biofilms are grow as homogeneous dense mats or mushroom-like microcolonies [29–31]. This is clearly different from conditions and observations in clinical wounds, and are therefore of limited relevance. While in vivo and ex vivo systems have provided more relevant insights into the dimensions and distribution of biofilm aggregates [6–8,32–34], they present technical and scientific challenges with respect to a detailed analysis of biofilm structure across the host-microbial interface. More recently, there has been a push towards developing engineered in vitro approaches that recapitulate key features of the wound infection state, and enable the study of wound biofilms in the context of the complex microenvironment [13,18,35,36]. These approaches include reconstructed in vitro systems, such as mixed-species biofilms on 3-D host cell surfaces, and in simulant media conditions that mimic the wound milieu [13,18,35,37–39]. In these recapitulated systems, biofilm aggregates display species-dependent localization patterns and characteristic interspecies interactions [13,18,35], underscoring their role as relevant and tractable platforms.

To study the structure and organization of mixed-species biofilms under conditions that mimic the infection state, we have built a four-dimensional (4-D) wound microenvironment consisting of a 3-D reconstructed host cell surface and an in vitro wound milieu (IVWM). The 3-D host cell scaffold consists of human epidermal keratinocytes (HaCaT) and human dermal fibroblasts (HDFa), which are co-cultured and fixed to serve as a biomimetic substratum. In our previous work, we developed and evaluated an IVWM that recapitulates the composition of clinical wound fluid, consisting of serum along with matrix elements such as collagen, fibrinogen, and fibronectin, and biochemical factors [19,23]. In doing so, the IVWM provides the fourth dimension that represents the biochemical and nutrient profile of the wound infection state. Using confocal fluorescence microscopy and quantitative image analysis, we leveraged this recapitulated 4-D microenvironment to characterize structure of mixed-species P. aeruginosa and S. aureus biofilms across multiple levels of organization, such as aggregate dimensions and biomass thickness, species co-localization and spatial organization within the biomass, overall biomass composition and interspecies interactions. To understand the possible roles of the host cell scaffold and IVWM, and to compare with relevant insights into the dimensions and distribution of biofilm aggregates [6–8,32–34], they present technical and scientific challenges with respect to a detailed analysis of biofilm structure across the host-microbial interface. More recently, there has been a push towards developing engineered in vitro approaches that recapitulate key features of the wound infection state, and enable the study of wound biofilms in the context of the complex microenvironment [13,18,35,36]. These approaches include reconstructed in vitro systems, such as mixed-species biofilms on 3-D host cell surfaces, and in simulant media conditions that mimic the wound milieu [13,18,35,37–39]. In these recapitulated systems, biofilm aggregates display species-dependent localization patterns and characteristic interspecies interactions [13,18,35], underscoring their role as relevant and tractable platforms.

To study the structure and organization of mixed-species biofilms under conditions that mimic the infection state, we have built a four-dimensional (4-D) wound microenvironment consisting of a 3-D reconstructed host cell surface and an in vitro wound milieu (IVWM). The 3-D host cell scaffold consists of human epidermal keratinocytes (HaCaT) and human dermal fibroblasts (HDFa), which are co-cultured and fixed to serve as a biomimetic substratum. In our previous work, we developed and evaluated an IVWM that recapitulates the composition of clinical wound fluid, consisting of serum along with matrix elements such as collagen, fibrinogen, and fibronectin, and biochemical factors such as lactic acid and lactoferrin [35]. In doing so, the IVWM provides the fourth dimension that represents the biochemical and nutrient profile of the wound infection state. Using confocal fluorescence microscopy and quantitative image analysis, we leveraged this recapitulated 4-D microenvironment to characterize structure of mixed-species P. aeruginosa and S. aureus biofilms across multiple levels of organization, such as aggregate dimensions and biomass thickness, species co-localization and spatial organization within the biomass, overall biomass composition and interspecies interactions. To understand the possible roles of the host cell scaffold and IVWM, and to compare with laboratory media conditions, mixed-species biofilms grown in IVWM and Luria-Bertani (LB) media were also analyzed. Further, to understand the role of interspecies interactions, P. aeruginosa and S. aureus were grown as single-species biofilms in the different conditions. Taken together, our findings provide multi-level insights into mixed-species P. aeruginosa and S. aureus biofilms under wound-relevant conditions, which we incorporate into the current understanding of P. aeruginosa and S. aureus organization in the wound bed.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Bacterial strains and growth conditions

_Pseudomonas aeruginosa_ (PA01-pUCP18::mCherry, Amp/CarbR) and Staphylococcus aureus (Strain AH13-pAH13::GFP_erm_, ErmR) were gifted by Dr. Derek Fleming and Dr. Kendra Rumbaugh (Texas Tech University Health Science Center, Lubbock, Texas, USA) [40,41]. For all experiments, _P. aeruginosa_ was grown in LB medium (broth: Sigma, USA, L3022; agar: SRL, India, 474236) containing 100 μg/mL ampicillin (HiMedia, India, TC021), and _S. aureus_ was grown in LB medium containing 10 μg/mL erythromycin (HiMedia, India, TC021). _P. aeruginosa_ and _S. aureus_ were streaked on antibiotic-containing LB agar and incubated at 37 °C. For overnight cultures, isolated colonies from streaked agar plates were grown in antibiotic-containing LB broth at 37 °C under shaking conditions for 18–20 h.

2.2. Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) by broth dilution method

The broth microdilution assay was performed to determine the MIC of ampicillin for _S. aureus_ (Strain AH13-pAH13::GFP_erm_, ErmR) using the method described previously [42,43]. Briefly, an overnight culture of _S. aureus_ was washed once in LB medium, and diluted to a density of 2 × 10^7 cells/mL in fresh LB. From this dilution, 50 μL of bacterial suspension and 50 μL of ampicillin (in dilutions from 0.03125 μg/mL to 512 μg/mL, prepared in LB medium) were dispensed in each well of a 96-well plate (Corning, USA, 3603; three replicates). A sterility control consisting of 100 μL of only LB medium (without bacteria) was also set up (in triplicate). The 96-well plate was incubated at 37 °C under shaking conditions for ~18–20 h. Following this, optical density was measured at 600 nm, and percent inhibition was calculated as follows: (OD_{600} at 0 μg/mL - OD_{600} at a given concentration)/OD_{600} at 0 μg/mL) × 100. The lowest concentration of ampicillin that showed 90% inhibition was considered as the MIC. Using this method, the MIC of ampicillin for _S. aureus_ was determined to be 0.25 μg/mL.

2.3. Host cell culture and maintenance

Primary human dermal fibroblasts (HDFa) were purchased from PromoCell (Germany, C-12302) and cultured in Fibroblast Growth Medium (FGM) [Cell Applications, USA 116–500; medium contains 2% fetal bovine serum (FBS)]. The immortalized epidermal keratinocyte cell line (HaCaT) was a gift from Dr. Madhur Motwani (Linq Labs, Pune, India) and was cultured in Keratinocyte serum-free Growth Medium (KGM) [Cell Applications, USA, 131–500A) supplemented with 1% FBS (Gibco, Brazil, 10270106). The cells were grown in tissue-culture treated, 25 cm² culture flasks (Tarsons, Korea, 950040) and maintained at 37 °C in a 5% CO₂ humidified incubator. For all experiments, HDFa cells had passage numbers <10 and HaCaT cells had passage numbers between 10 and 20.

2.4. Preparation of the _in vitro_ wound milieu (IVWM)

The _in vitro_ wound milieu (IVWM) was prepared with FBS as the base component, with the addition of collagen, fibronecin, lactic acid, and lactoferrin, as previously described [35]. Fibrinogen (Sigma, USA, F3879) was dissolved in filter-sterilized (0.2 μm pore size, Cole-Parmer, India, WW-15945-52), pre-warmed saline (0.9% NaCl, Merck, India, 106404) to the concentration of 10 mg/mL and stored at −20 °C. Fibronecin (Sigma, USA, F4759) was dissolved in autoclaved distilled water to the concentration of 1 mg/mL and stored at −20 °C. Peptone water (0.1% w/v) was prepared by dissolving peptone (SRL, India, 95292) in 0.9% NaCl, and autoclaved and stored at 4 °C. Lactoferrin (2 mg/mL) (Sigma, USA, L4040) was prepared in PBS (pH 7.2, Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA, 20012027), filter-sterilized and stored at 4 °C. Commercial FBS (Gibco, Brazil, 10270106) was stored at 4 °C. Rat tail collagen (50 μg/mL in 0.02 M acetic acid) (Sigma, USA, 950292) was stored at 4 °C. Lactic acid (≥85%, Sigma, USA, W261114) was stored at room temperature. Components stored at −20 °C were thawed on ice prior to reconstitution of the IVWM. The IVWM was prepared by adding the above components to FBS (final concentration in IVWM, 70%) as follows (numbers indicate final concentrations): fibrinogen (300 μg/mL), fibronecin (30 μg/mL), rat-tail collagen (12 μg/mL), lactoferrin (20 μg/mL) and lactic acid (11 mM). Reconstituted IVWM was freshly prepared prior to all experiments.

2.5. Preparation of host cell scaffolds

HaCaT and HDFa cells were grown in cell culture flasks up to...
80–90% confluency. Cells were trypsinized with 700 μL of 0.25% Trypsin-EDTA solution (Gibco, Canada, 25200056), followed by the addition of 700 μL KGM with 1% FBS (for HaCaT) or FGM (for HDFa).

To prepare co-cultured scaffolds of HDFa and HaCaT cells, 50 μL of 1 × 10⁵ cells/mL (~5000 cells of HaCaT cells in serum-free KGM) and 50 μL of 1 × 10⁵ cells/mL of HDFa cells (~5000 cells in FGM containing 2% FBS) were added to a single well of 96 - well flat, clear bottom, tissue culture treated, black polystyrene microplate (Corning, USA, 3603), and grown in a 5% CO₂ humidified incubator at 37 °C for 72 h [44]. Following this, the supernatant medium was removed, and the confluent cells were fixed in 50 μL of 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) (Sigma, USA, 158127) for 10 min at room temperature. Fixed cells were rinsed in 200 μL sterile PBS four times, and stored in 200 μL sterile PBS at 4 °C until further use. Prior to experiments, fixed cell scaffolds were stained with 50 μL of filter-sterilized 30 μM 4',6-Diamidino-2-Phenylindole, Dihydrochloride (DAPI) (Invitrogen, Germany, D1306) for 5 min at room temperature, followed by two rinses with 200 μL PBS each.

2.6. Growth of mixed-species and single-species biofilms in the 4-D wound microenvironment, IVWM only and LB media

Overnight cultures of P. aeruginosa and S. aureus (set up in antibiotic-containing LB medium, 100 μg/mL ampicillin for P. aeruginosa and 10 μg/mL erythromycin for S. aureus) were centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 5 min at 25 °C, following which the supernatant was removed and the pellet was resuspended in 1 mL LB medium without antibiotics. Optical density of this suspension was measured at 600 nm, and the bacterial density was adjusted in LB medium without antibiotics. From this suspension, bacterial cultures were then diluted to 2 × 10⁶ cells/mL in freshly-prepared IVWM or LB medium (antibiotic-free). For mixed-species biofilms, 50 μL of P. aeruginosa and 50 μL of S. aureus diluted in IVWM (~10⁶ cells each) were added to fixed HDFa + HaCaT host cell scaffolds. For single-species biofilms, 50 μL of P. aeruginosa or S. aureus diluted in IVWM (~10⁸ cells) were added to fixed HaCaT + HDFa host cell scaffolds, followed by the addition of 50 μL of IVWM to make a total volume of 100 μL. The 4D microenvironment, including microbial cells, host cell scaffolds and the IVWM, was incubated at 37 °C in a static incubator for 4, 8, 24 and 48 h. Given the possible detrimental effects of laser exposure on bacterial growth, biofilm wells for different time points were seeded together and images for each time point were obtained from a different well. As controls, host cell scaffolds were also incubated with IVWM only (without bacteria). In the case of IVWM alone and LB media, mixed-species or single-species biofilms were set up as above (in IVWM or LB media), and inoculated into wells without host cell scaffolds. Based on the protocol above, the initial inoculum for the mixed-species biomass is double that in the single-species biomass. This allows the direct comparison of P. aeruginosa and S. aureus biofilms under mixed-species and single-species biofilms over time, with the same initial bacterial count for a given species across the conditions. While this two-fold difference in total inoculum density could alter nutrient availability in mixed-species and single-species conditions, both IVWM and LB medium are highly nutrient-rich in composition. It is also important to clarify that since the bacterial suspensions were grown overnight in antibiotic-containing LB media (100 μg/mL ampicillin for P. aeruginosa and 10 μg/mL erythromycin for S. aureus) and inoculated in IVWM, the resultant IVWM would contain a small proportion of LB media (estimated as less than 2% of the final composition of IVWM).

While P. aeruginosa is inherently resistant to erythromycin, the residual concentration of ampicillin could influence growth of S. aureus. However, overnight bacterial suspensions in antibiotic-containing LB media were pelleted and resuspended in antibiotic-free LB media, followed by further dilution in antibiotic-free LB media, and inoculation into IVWM to obtain the desired bacterial densities. Based on the volumes of media used for the dilutions, any residual ampicillin from the pellet or resuspended media would be diluted at least 2500 times, resulting in a concentration of less than 0.04 μg/mL. This is much lower than the MIC of ampicillin for S. aureus, which we measured as 0.25 μg/mL (using broth microdilution in LB media), and is therefore unlikely to influence the properties of S. aureus when grown under mixed-species conditions.

2.7. Confocal microscopy and image acquisition

At 4, 8, 24, and 48 h, undisturbed P. aeruginosa and S. aureus mixed-species and single-species biomass in the 4-D microenvironment, in IVWM only or in LB medium were imaged using confocal laser scanning microscopy (Leica, Germany, LASX TCS SP). Briefly, each well was imaged at the approximate center, with at least 3 biological replicates for each time point. To visualize GFP-labeled S. aureus, a 488 nm excitation filter and 497 nm–542 nm emission filter was used. To visualize mCherry-labeled P. aeruginosa, a 561 nm excitation filter and 586 nm–656 nm emission filter was used. Biomass on fixed host cell scaffolds were imaged using a Z-stacks that started below the lower end of the biomass and up to 175 μm thickness (above the upper end of the entire biomass), with a 5 μm step size. For imaging the host cell scaffolds alone (without biofilms), Z-stacks of 1 μm step size and 60 μm total thickness were acquired, with two fields of view per well.

2.8. Image processing and analysis

Image analysis was done using open-source image analysis tools, ImageJ and BiofilmQ v0.2.1., with Paraview v5.10.0 used for rendering [45–52].

To characterize the host cell scaffolds, a four-step process with BiofilmQ v0.2.1 was used [45,46], consisting of image preparation, image processing, calculation of features of the nuclei and visualization. Briefly, each DAPI-stained image was subjected to separation using an intensity threshold filter to isolate individual nuclei. This calculated the total number of host cell nuclei in the visualized area. Each separated nucleus and its MATLAB parameter files were exported into a separate folder and processed independently for analysis. For image processing, each nucleus was subjected to semantic segmentation using the Otsu thresholding method [47]. Further, the images were subjected to de-noising by convolution (kernel size in pixels [xy,z]-5,3) and top-hat filter of size 25 vox (14.20 μm) to remove background fluorescence. Next, object declumping by cube segmentation was used for dissection of each nuclei into smaller cubes (20 vox or 11.36 μm). In addition, a small object removal filter was used to remove voxel clusters of size less than 5000 vox to refine the visualized area prior to parameter calculations. Local and global parameters in BiofilmQ v0.2.1 [45,46] were used for calculating height, shape-volume and base-area of the nuclei. During processing, visualizations were generated using the.vtk file output capability within BiofilmQ v0.2.1 [45,46] and rendered using the open-source tool Paraview v5.10.0 [48–50].

Aggregate sizes for P. aeruginosa and S. aureus biomass in mixed-species and single-species biomass in the 4-D microenvironment or in IVWM only or in LB medium were estimated using ImageJ [51–53]. For this, the LIF files for each time point were imported into ImageJ and maximum intensity Z-projections of relevant channels were obtained. Otsu’s automated thresholding method was applied to the Z-projection, and the areas of thresholded particles, representing aggregate sizes, were measured using the particle size analysis tool. Resulting aggregate size values were exported to a spreadsheet and grouped into the following aggregate size ranges for 4h: <5 μm², 5–10 μm², 10–20 μm², 20–50 μm², 50–100 μm², and >100 μm². For 8, 24 and 48 h time points, the aggregate sizes were grouped as <25 μm², 25–50 μm², 50–100 μm², 100–1000 μm², 1000–10000 μm² and >10000 μm². Further, the percent count for each aggregate size range was calculated as the number of aggregates in a given size range/total number of aggregates x 100.

The thickness of P. aeruginosa and S. aureus biomass under mixed-
species or single-species conditions (in the 4-D microenvironment or in IVWM only or in LB medium) at relevant time points was measured using ImageJ [51,52]. Briefly, the side view projection images of the Z-stacks (of the relevant channel) were imported in ImageJ [51,52]. For a given replicate, the biomass boundary was defined manually using the polygon selection tool, and the thickness of the biomass was measured across every 25 μm on the X-axis. The mean of the 23 measurements was considered the average thickness for the biomass replicate. The final mean thickness at each time point represents the average thickness of three biological replicates.

To measure the co-localization of mixed-species *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* biomass with respect to each other (in the 4-D microenvironment or in IVWM only or in LB medium), the 3-D overlap parameter in BiofilmM v0.2.1 was used [45,46]. This feature quantifies the volume overlap or co-localization of two fluorescent channels in a given space. A local parameter called ‘Correlation Local3dOverlap’ calculates the volume overlap in μm³ of each object in channel 3 (GFP-*S. aureus*) with all segmented objects in channel 4 (*mCherry-*P. aeruginosa*), as well as the volume overlap of each object in channel 4 with all segmented objects in channel 3. This provides a ‘Correlation Local3dOverlap’ by the volume of the object. These values are then used to provide the user with a 3-D overlap fraction for the entire channel or the BiofilmOverlapFraction, defined as the sum of the Correlation LocalOverlapFraction for all objects in a specific fluorescence channel.

To quantify the spatial organization of *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* in the mixed-species biomass (in the 4-D microenvironment or in IVWM only or in LB medium), LIF files containing images of mixed-species biofilms for each time point were imported into ImageJ [51,52], following which the entire Z-stack for each relevant channel was thresholded using Otsu’s thresholding method. The mean gray value (MGV, indicative of fluorescent intensities) of the thresholded areas for each Z-slice in a given Z-stack was calculated using the ‘measure stack’ tool in ImageJ [51,52]. MGVs were normalized to the highest MGV in a given dataset, and plotted as a distribution of biomass over Z-thickness. Biomass distribution peak widths were calculated as the distance (in μm) covered by >20% of the normalized MGV in a Z-stack; it is the difference between the X intercepts where the MGV is >20% of the highest value in the data set at the higher and lower ends of the Z-thickness.

Biomass composition of *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* in mixed-species and single-species biofilms (in the 4-D microenvironment or in IVWM only or in LB medium) was calculated using MGV in ImageJ [51,52]. For this, LIF files for each time point were imported into ImageJ, and maximum intensity Z-projections of relevant channels were obtained, which were then subject to Otsu’s automated thresholding method [47,54]. MGVs were obtained for *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* single-species biomass, as well as separately for *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* in the mixed-species biomass, for each time point. The ratio of MGVs for *P. aeruginosa* to *S. aureus* in the mixed-species biomass, and for mixed-species to single-species biomass for *P. aeruginosa* to *S. aureus*, was obtained to analyze the composition of mixed-species biomass and possible role of interspecies interactions across time points.

2.9. Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 6.01 for Windows (GraphPad Software, San Diego, California USA) [55]. A one-way or two-way ANOVA with Fisher’s LSD test for multiple comparisons was performed and a p-value of ≤0.05 was considered significant.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. A 4-D wound microenvironment with host cell scaffolds and an in vitro wound milieu (IVWM) to study the structure and organization of mixed-species biofilms

The concept of the 4-D wound microenvironment is broadly based on in vitro cell culture techniques, classified as 2-D, 2.5-D and 3-D systems [56]. In contrast to conventional cell 2-Dculture on flat surfaces, in 2.5-D cell culture, cells are grown on layers of extracellular matrix proteins (ECM) proteins [57,58]. However, 3-D cell culture systems take this further, with cells grown in or on artificial microenvironments (on scaffolds or scaffold-free), in interaction with each other and the environment [59]. A more recent concept, 4-D cell culture consists of 3-D cell culture systems with an additional dimension, such as biochemical or biophysical stimuli [60-64], that more closely recapitulate the microenvironment.

Recapitulating the infection microenvironment is particularly important in the context of biofilms in wounds where in contrast to standard laboratory studies on 2-D surfaces (Fig. 1A), biofilms are observed as bacterial aggregates, attached to the surface of, and surrounded by, the wound bed [57,65]. The wound bed is made up of host cells such as epidermal keratinocytes and dermal fibroblasts [37,44], and is bathed in protein-rich wound milieu, with a characteristic biochemical and nutrient profile [66,67]. Given this, biofilms in wounds form and exist in close approximation with the wound microenvironment [5-8]. Previous studies have aimed to recreate this complex microenvironment under laboratory conditions, with mixed-species biofilms grown on 3-D human skin epidermis constructs [32,68], with a focus on studying host inflammatory responses and effects of antimicrobial treatments.

To study the structure and organization of mixed-species biofilms in the wound microenvironment, we have developed an in vitro platform consisting of a 3-D reconstructed ‘wound bed’ and an in vitro wound milieu, which provides the fourth dimension that represents the biochemical and nutrient profile of the infection state; this set-up is together referred to as the 4-D wound microenvironment (Fig. 1B and C). The ‘wound bed’ was reconstructed using co-cultured HaCaT and HDFa cells, grown and fixed to form a confluent host cell scaffold. Based on our previous work, the in vitro wound milieu (IVWM) mimics the composition of clinical wound fluid, consisting of fetal bovine serum (FBS), with additional matrix and biochemical factors, such as collagen, fibrinogen, fibronectin, lactic acid and lactoferrin [35]. The IVWM was seen to support the growth of mixed-species biofilms of *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus*, and recapitulate key features such as biomass formation, metabolic activity and interspecies interactions [35].

In this study, we leveraged the 4-D wound microenvironment to study the structure of mixed-species *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* biofilms across multiple levels of organization, such as aggregate dimensions and biomass thickness, species co-localization and organization within the biomass, biomass composition and interspecies interactions (Fig. 1D and E). To study the possible role of interspecies interactions, *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* were also studied as single-species biofilms. Further, to study the individual roles of the host cell scaffold and IVWM in the 4-D microenvironment, and to compare with laboratory media conditions, mixed-species and single-species biofilms grown in IVWM and Luria-Bertani (LB) media were also analyzed.

3.2. 3-D features of the co-cultured host cell scaffolds with HaCaT + HDFa cells

In clinical wound biopsies, as well as ex vivo human skin wounds, the wound bed consists of keratinocytes and fibroblasts, with the two cell types in close association with each other [69-72]. Consequently, studies aiming to recapitulate the wound bed, include both fibroblasts and keratinocytes, with varying seeding and layering approaches [32,
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Fig. 1. Building a 4-D wound microenvironment consisting of mixed-species P. aeruginosa and S. aureus biofilms on a co-cultured host cell scaffold of epidermal keratinocytes and dermal fibroblasts, in the presence of an in vitro wound milieu. (A) Standard biofilm studies typically use 2-D polystyrene surfaces to grow and study biofilms. (B) Host cells, such as human dermal fibroblasts (HDFa) and epidermal keratinocytes (HaCaT), provide a 3-D surface that resembles the cellular composition and organization of the wound bed. (C) The IVWM recapitulates the composition of clinical wound fluid, with matrix and biochemical factors, and provides the additional dimension that represents the biochemical and nutrient profile of the infection state. (D) Mixed-species P. aeruginosa and S. aureus biofilms are grown in the 4-D microenvironment. (E) The 4-D microenvironment was leveraged to study the structure of mixed-species P. aeruginosa and S. aureus biofilms across multiple levels of organization.

Notably, biofilms in wounds form and exist in the presence of host cellular elements [5–8]. To reconstruct the ‘wound bed’, HaCaT and HDFa cells were co-cultured to form 3-D host cell scaffolds, following which they were fixed and stained to visualize host cell nuclei. As seen in Suppl Figure 1A, the co-cultured host cell scaffolds consist of both HaCaT and HDFa cells, with characteristic co-culture arrangements. HaCaT cells are observed as closely-packed clusters, surrounded by sheaths of dermal fibroblasts (HDFa), seen as large, flat, spindle-shaped cells with elongated protrusions (inset). This structure and arrangement resembles previous ex vivo and in vitro wound bed models [32,44,75], including reconstructed human skin models. The co-cultured scaffolds showed an average of ~140 ± 20 cells (both HaCaT and HDFa) in a visualized area of 581.2 × 581.2 μ m, consisting of 88 ± 16 HaCaT cells and 52 ± 4 HDFa cells. This results in 13000 ± 1500 cells in the well (cultrurable surface area of 0.32 × 10^8 μ m^2; n = 2, two biological replicates with two fields of view per well, error represents SEM), which based on the average cell area for HaCaT and HDFa cells (3000 μ m^2 and 4000 μ m^2) respectively [76–79], corresponds to a coverage of close to 100% of the well surface [80,81].

To characterize the 3-D features of the co-cultured scaffolds, BiofilmQ v0.2.1 [45,46] was used to analyze the height, shape-volume and base-area of the host cell nuclei (n = 2, biological replicates with two fields of view per well). The average height of the HaCaT nuclei measured 13.2 ± 0.5 μ m and that of the HDFa nuclei measured 13.2 ± 0.6 μ m (Suppl Figure 1B). It is important to note that the cytoplasmic layer surrounding the nucleus, typically 15–30 μ m [82–84], would further add to the thickness of the substratum. The base-area of the nucleus represents the surface area occupied in the horizontal plane, and the shape-volume represents the volume occupied by the nucleus across both horizontal and vertical planes. Given that nuclear morphology varies with cell shape, and nuclear volume positively correlates with cell volume [85–87], base-area and shape-volume can serve as proxies for heterogeneity in cell shape and volume. As seen in Suppl Fig. 1C and D, in the co-cultured HaCaT + HDFa scaffolds, host cell nuclei for each cell type showed varied distribution with respect to base-area and shape-volume, in addition to variation across the two cell types. Taken together, the co-cultured host cell scaffolds consist of a confluent layer of fixed HDFa and HaCaT cells, with 3-D features that resemble the cellular composition and organization of the wound bed.

3.3. Mixed-species and single-species P. aeruginosa and S. aureus biofilms in the 4-D microenvironment, in comparison with IVWM only and LB medium

To study mixed-species biofilms in the 4-D microenvironment, IVWM only and LB medium P. aeruginosa and S. aureus were mixed in the IVWM or LB medium, and seeded together (in a 1:1 ratio) on the HaCaT + HDFa mixed separately in the IVWM or LB medium, and seeded alone with or without host cell scaffolds.

As seen in Fig. 1A, in the 4-D wound microenvironment, P. aeruginosa and S. aureus co-exist under mixed-species conditions, with the structure and organization of the biofilm observed to vary across time points. At 4 h, P. aeruginosa and S. aureus are seen as bacterial aggregates, in close approximation with, and dispersed across, the host cell scaffold (seen as DAPI-stained nuclei). Across subsequent time points of 8, 24 and 48 h, P. aeruginosa is observed to grow into dense, mat-like biofilms, whereas S. aureus retains its aggregate structure and is observed to be progressively enmeshed in the dense, mat-like growth of P. aeruginosa (Fig. 1A). Overall, this resembles the presence and growth of biofilms in clinical...
wounds, as well as previous in vitro host cell-biofilm models [6,7,13]. Further, *P. aeruginosa* aggregates in wounds are typically observed to be large and dense, extending across the wound surface area [6,88]. This is in contrast to *S. aureus* aggregates, which are most often seen as small and discrete clumps [6,7]. Notably, the visualized biomass consists of bacteria in discrete aggregates, larger mats, as well as planktonic cells. In doing so, it resembles the structural complexity of biofilms in wounds, where bacteria can be seen as aggregates and dense clumps, as well as planktonic cells [9]. Notably, this distinct structural organization of *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* is also seen under single-species conditions (Fig. 2B). It is important to note that biomass accumulation at later time points, is likely leading to the progressive destruction of the host cell scaffold, seen as loss of nuclear structure and diffusion of the nuclear stain (DAPI) (Fig. 2A and B, Suppl Figure 2).

For mixed-species biofilms in IVWM only and LB medium, *P. aeruginosa* biomass across time points is seen to form dense mats, similar to that in the 4-D microenvironment (also seen under single-species conditions) (Fig. 2C-F). On the other hand, for *S. aureus* under mixed-species conditions in the IVWM only (as compared with the 4-D microenvironment) denser aggregate structures are seen at 4 h, with smaller, more discrete aggregates at later time points (Fig. 2C). This is distinct from that observed under single-species conditions, where *S. aureus* is seen as dense biomass across time points (Fig. 2D). The formation and development of *S. aureus* in mixed-species biofilms is also distinct in LB medium, showing dense aggregates at early time points, followed by sparse biomass at 24 and 48 h (Fig. 2E). However, under single-species conditions in LB medium, *S. aureus* is seen as dense mats of biomass across early and subsequent time points (Fig. 2F). This decline of *S. aureus* biomass under mixed-species conditions, likely points to the effect of interspecies interactions in LB medium.

Taken together, mixed-species *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* biofilms in the 4-D microenvironment show characteristic structure and organization, with notable differences from observations in the IVWM only and LB medium, as well as across mixed-species and single-species biomass under the different conditions. This underscores the possible roles of the host cell substratum and biochemical milieu, alone and together, in influencing the structure of early biofilm aggregates, formation of subsequent dense biofilms and co-existence of the two bacterial species. Given this, the 4-D platform provides a relevant and tractable system to study the structure of mixed-species biofilms across multiple levels of organization, and in comparison with IVWM only and LB medium can provide insights into the specific roles of the host cell substratum, biochemical milieu and interspecies interactions in the recapitulated microenvironment.

### 3.4. Aggregate dimensions and biomass thickness of *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* mixed-species biofilms in the 4-D wound microenvironment, in comparison with IVWM only and LB medium

Aggregate sizes for *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* in mixed-species and single-species biofilms at relevant time points in the 4-D microenvironment, IVWM only and LB medium, were measured using the particle size analysis tool in ImageJ [51-53]. It is important to note that while biofilm aggregates are 3-D structures, we measured the size of the aggregates in area (μ m$^2$), which is in accordance with previous studies [89]. Further, the biomass thickness of *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* in mixed-species and single-species biofilms at relevant time points was measured using ImageJ [51,52].
As seen in Fig. 3A, early biofilms (at 4 h) of *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* under mixed-species conditions, consist of a majority of single bacterial cells or small aggregates (<5 μm²) that constitute ~76% and ~82% of the total biomass respectively. The next largest group of aggregates for both species are in the 5–10 μm² size range, representing ~11% and ~8% of the aggregate size distribution for *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* respectively. This is followed by larger aggregates in the 10–20 μm² size range, that comprise ~5–6% of the total biomass. Taken together, the early mixed-species biofilm consists of ~80–90% of smaller aggregates of less than 10 μm² size, with larger aggregates (20–50 μm², 50–100 μm² and >100 μm² in size) comprising ~10–20% of the total biomass; *S. aureus* biomass consists of a significantly larger number of smaller aggregates, and *P. aeruginosa* shows a shift towards larger aggregate sizes (Fig. 3A, Suppl Tables 1 and 2). This corresponds to the well-studied model of biofilm development, where biofilms are typically seeded by single cells (planktonic) or small aggregates [29]. Further, the aggregate sizes in the 4-D microenvironment correspond to aggregate sizes measured in clinical wounds, including at the wound edges where keratinocytes and fibroblasts are found in close proximity [90,91]. It is important to note that while biofilms were seeded from bacterial culture, it is important to note that while biofilms were seeded from bacterial culture, smaller aggregates constitute the majority (<94%) of aggregates in the size range of <25 μm². This proportion was observed to significantly decline at 24 and 48 h, with ~80% of aggregates in the size range of <25 μm², and a shift towards larger aggregate sizes in the range of 25–50 μm² and 50–100 μm² (~11–12%) (Fig. 3B). For *P. aeruginosa*, across 8, 24 and 48 h, the biomass grew into thick mats, measuring ≥ 3 μm at 8 h, and 46 ± 1 μm and 44 ± 4 μm at 24 and 48 h respectively (Fig. 3C). When compared with single-species biofilms in the 4-D microenvironment, the overall aggregate size distributions for *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* are similar across time points, with the biomass of both species consisting of a majority of small aggregates and *P. aeruginosa* growing to form dense mats (Fig. 3D-F, Suppl Tables 1 and 2).

In the IVWM only, while the overall aggregate size distributions for *P. aeruginosa* in the mixed-species biomass is similar to that in the 4-D microenvironment, for *S. aureus* at 4 h, there is a shift in aggregate sizes towards larger aggregates in 5–10 μm² (~8% in the 4-D micro-environment versus ~13% in IVWM only) and 10–20 μm² size ranges (~5% in the 4-D microenvironment versus ~9% in IVWM only) (Fig. 3G, Suppl Tables 1–4). This indicates that in the presence of the biochemical milieu alone, *S. aureus* forms larger aggregates, which possibly highlights the role of host cell substratum in influencing the initial attachment and early aggregation of *S. aureus*. In addition, host matrix components such as fibrinogen and fibronectin (present in the IVWM) are known to influence the aggregation of *S. aureus*, including observations of large aggregates coated with fibrinogen [95,96].

On the other hand, across the later time points of 24 and 48 h, in mixed-species biomass in IVWM only, *S. aureus* biomass shows a shift towards larger numbers of smaller aggregates in the <25 μm² size range (~89% each at both time points), as compared with that in the 4-D microenvironment (~80% each at both time points) (Fig. 3H). This suggests that during subsequent biomass development, *S. aureus* forms larger aggregates in the presence of the host cell substratum, as compared with when in the biochemical milieu alone. This could possibly be due to differences in growth properties and features of biofilm aggregates when attached to a cellular substratum, as opposed to when grown in the biochemical milieu [97,98]. In the IVWM only, single-species *S. aureus* biomass also shows a significant shift to smaller aggregates at later time points (~97% and ~94% at 24 and 48 h) (Fig. 3J and K, Suppl Tables 3–4). Further, in the absence of the host substratum and milieu (as in LB medium), smaller aggregates constitute the majority of the biomass (>99% in the <25 μm² size range), an effect in stark contrast to the dense mats seen when *S. aureus* is grown in single-species conditions (Fig. 3M–Q, Suppl Tables 5–6). Notably, this underscores the
role of interspecies interactions in influencing aggregate features and formation during biomass development. In the IVWM only and LB medium, P. aeruginosa grew to form dense mats at 8, 24 and 48 h, under both mixed-species and single-species conditions (Fig. 3I, O, L and P).

Taken together, in the 4-D microenvironment, early aggregate features of P. aeruginosa and S. aureus mixed-species biomass are largely influenced by the presence of the host substratum, whereas subsequent aggregate and biomass formation is likely influenced by an interplay between the host substratum, biochemical milieu and interspecies interactions.

3.5. Co-localization of P. aeruginosa and S. aureus in mixed-species biofilms in the 4-D wound microenvironment, in comparison with IVWM only and LB medium

To determine the co-localization of P. aeruginosa and S. aureus in the mixed species biomass in the 4-D microenvironment, IVWM only and LB medium, the 3D overlap analysis module of BiofilmQ v0.2.1 was used [45,46]. This tool measures the volumetric overlap fraction for each species, which is the volume of the species (channel) overlap in relation to the biomass of that species.

As seen in Fig. 4A, in the 4-D microenvironment at 4 h, a very small fraction of the total biomass of P. aeruginosa was observed to overlap with S. aureus, with the non-overlapping fraction measuring ~99%. This could be due to the dispersed nature of the P. aeruginosa and S. aureus biomass, seen as discrete aggregates, under mixed-species conditions (Figs. 2 and 3). The P. aeruginosa overlap fraction increases across the time points of 8, 24 and 48 h, but remains to continue a very small fraction of the total P. aeruginosa biomass, accounting for ~2% of the species biomass at 48 h. This is possibly due to the growth of P. aeruginosa into thick, mat-like biofilms across time, resulting in small regions of overlap with S. aureus aggregates. On the other hand, for S. aureus, the biomass overlapping with P. aeruginosa at 4 h constitutes ~28% of the total S. aureus biomass (Fig. 4B). The larger overlap fraction of S. aureus with P. aeruginosa, as compared with vice-versa, could be due to the larger proportion of smaller aggregates in the S. aureus in the early mixed-species biomass (Figs. 2 and 3). At 8 h, the overlap fraction of S. aureus was observed to decrease to ~7%, followed by an increase across 24 and 48 h (~30% and ~28% respectively). This decrease in the S. aureus overlap fraction at 8 h could result from a combination of several factors such as growth and density of aggregates of S. aureus (bacteria in the center of the S. aureus aggregates would be considered as part of the non-overlap fraction), as well as increase in P. aeruginosa biomass.

Fig. 4. Co-localization of P. aeruginosa and S. aureus biomass under mixed-species conditions in the 4-D microenvironment, IVWM only and LB medium. The co-localization of P. aeruginosa and S. aureus biomass with respect to the total biomass of the species was measured using the 3D overlap parameter in BiofilmQ v0.2.1 [45,46]. The overlap fraction of P. aeruginosa with respect to the total P. aeruginosa biomass (solid red and red lines) and S. aureus (solid green and green lines) with respect to the total S. aureus biomass in (A–B) the 4-D microenvironment, (C–D) IVWM only, and (E–F) LB medium. Error bars represent SEM, n = 3, biological replicates. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)
In the IVWM only, the overall co-localization patterns are similar to that in the 4-D microenvironment, however a higher fraction of the total biomass of *P. aeruginosa* is seen overlapping with *S. aureus* at 4 h (~11%) (Fig. 4C–D). This is possibly due to the shift towards larger aggregates seen in *S. aureus* in IVWM only (Fig. 3), while the aggregate size distributions of *P. aeruginosa* remain similar across the 4-D microenvironment and IVWM only.

In LB medium, while *P. aeruginosa* continues to show a small fraction of overlap with *S. aureus*, whereas for *S. aureus* the overlap fraction with *P. aeruginosa* increases significantly at 48 h (Fig. 4E–F). This effect is likely due to interspecies interactions in LB medium, with the growth of *P. aeruginosa* resulting in destruction of *S. aureus* biomass, visibly evident as the majority of small *S. aureus* aggregates and the vertical displacement of residual biomass (Figs. 2 and 3).

Taken together, in the 4-D microenvironment in mixed-species conditions, *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* co-exist in close proximity across time points, and the differences in overlap fractions between the two species indicates distinct spatial organization in the biomass.

### 3.6. Spatial organization of *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* in mixed-species biofilms in the 4-D wound microenvironment, in comparison with IVWM only and LB medium

To study the spatial organization of *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* in the mixed-species biomass in the 4-D microenvironment, IVWM only and LB medium, the MGV for each Z-slice in a given channel was calculated using the ‘measure stack’ tool in ImageJ [51,52]. MGVs were normalized to the highest MGV in a given dataset, and plotted as a distribution of biomass over Z-thickness and biomass distribution peak widths.

As seen in Fig. 5A, in the 4-D microenvironment at 4 h, the normalized MGV distribution of both *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* in the mixed-species biomass is observed to coincide with that of the host cell scaffold (the DAPI channel) at the lower end of the Z-stack. At 8 h, the normalized MGV distribution (Fig. 5B) shows the *P. aeruginosa* biomass to be distributed across a wider region as compared with *S. aureus*, with the *S. aureus* biomass observed in the lower regions of the biomass distribution. This is prominently observed at 24 and 48 h as well, with *P. aeruginosa* biomass is distributed across a wider thickness while *S. aureus* biomass is seen within the *P. aeruginosa* biomass (Fig. 5C and D).

Similar to that in the 4-D microenvironment, in IVWM only, at early time points, *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* are well-mixed, which is followed by spatial organization of *S. aureus* in the lower regions of the mixed-species biomass (Figures E-H). On the other hand, in LB medium, while early mixed biomass shows spatial overlap between the two species, at later time points, the organization is distinct from that observed in both, the 4-D microenvironment and IVWM only. At 24 h, *S. aureus*, is seen in the lower regions of the biomass, with a vertical displacement of *P. aeruginosa*, whereas at 48 h, both species show a vertical displacement, with dispersed biomass (Figures I-L).

The spatial re-organization seen across time points, in all three conditions, is also evident with biomass distribution peak widths (Figures M-O). In the 4-D microenvironment and IVWM only, the differences in the biomass peak widths between *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* increase from 4 h to 48 h, with *P. aeruginosa* showing a larger distribution (at 48 h in the 4-D microenvironment, biomass distribution peak width for *P. aeruginosa* is ~77 ± 32 μm and for *S. aureus* ~32 μm). In LB medium, the differences in the biomass peak widths of the two species increase to show a significant difference from 4 h to 24 h (at 24 h biomass distribution peak width for *P. aeruginosa* is ~162 ± 2 μm and for *S. aureus* ~18 ± 2 μm), which is followed by a marked increase in *S. aureus* distribution at 48 h (peak width ~77 ± 32 μm). The growth of *P. aeruginosa* into dense, mat-like biofilms (Figures 2 and 3), and possible inhibitory effect of *P. aeruginosa* on *S. aureus*, could result in the dispersal of *S. aureus* biomass.

Taken together, the 4-D microenvironment, the co-existing biomass
of *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* (aggregates, mats and planktonic cells) undergo spatial reorganization across time points, with *S. aureus* segregating in the lower regions of the *P. aeruginosa* biomass. This distinct spatial reorganization is also observed in the IVWM only, as well as across later time points (mixed-species biomass is seen to destroy the host cell scaffolds over time), which possibly points to the role of the biochemical milieu in this effect. As part of future work, it would be interesting to understand the factors influencing the tendency of *S. aureus* to remain in the lower regions of the biomass, such as adhesion to the host cell scaffold at early time points, the role of specific components of the IVWM or interspecies interactions.

### 3.7. Biomass composition of, and interspecies interactions between, *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* in mixed-species conditions in the 4-D wound microenvironment, and in comparison with IVWM only and LB medium

Biomass for mixed-species *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* biofilms in the 4-D microenvironment, IVWM only and LB medium, was measured using MGV in ImageJ [51, 52]. An MGV ratio of >1 represents more *P. aeruginosa* biomass and <1 represents more *S. aureus* biomass. To study the roles of the interspecies interactions, we compared the MGV ratio for *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* under mixed-species to single-species conditions, with a ratio of 1 representing equal biomass for a species across both conditions, >1 represents more biomass for that species in mixed-species conditions, and <1 represents more biomass in single-species conditions.

As seen in Fig. 6A, in the 4-D microenvironment, the ratio of the biomass of *P. aeruginosa* to *S. aureus* under mixed-species conditions increases from 4 h to 48 h, indicating a higher relative biomass of *P. aeruginosa* across time points. At 4 h, the ratio of the relative biomass of *P. aeruginosa* to *S. aureus* indicates nearly equal composition of both species, whereas across 24 and 48 h, the ratio of the relative biomass of *P. aeruginosa* which is more than twice that of *S. aureus* in the mixed-species biofilm. In Fig. 6B, the ratio of mixed-species to single-species biomass for *P. aeruginosa* in the 4-D microenvironment remains close to 1, with no significant difference across time points. On the other hand, for *S. aureus*, the ratio shows a significant decrease across 8 and 48 h. This indicates that while the 4-D microenvironment supports the mixed-species co-existence of *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus*, the growth of *S. aureus* is slightly impaired or inhibited. This could be due to interspecies interactions such as the active killing or inhibition of *S. aureus* by *P. aeruginosa* exoproducts (such as the lasB elastase) [21, 99], which correlates with the increased accumulation of *P. aeruginosa* across time.

At early time points, the possible inhibitory effect on *S. aureus* can be observed in the shift towards larger aggregate sizes under single-species conditions (absence of *P. aeruginosa*) (Fig. 3); at 8 h this is seen as an increase in aggregates in the 25–50 μm² and 50–100 μm² size ranges in the single-species biomass (Suppl Table 1). However, *P. aeruginosa* in...
single-species biomass grows into thick mats, similar to that in mixed-species conditions (Figs. 2 and 3).

The overall biomass composition and evidence of the marginal inhibitory effect of *P. aeruginosa* on *S. aureus* is also observed in the IVWM, only with a decline in *S. aureus* biomass across 8 and 48 h (Fig. 6C and D). This is further underscored by observations in LB medium, where *P. aeruginosa* is seen to significantly outcompete *S. aureus*; at 4 h, the ratio of the relative biomass of *P. aeruginosa* to *S. aureus* indicates nearly equal composition of both species, which increases 8-fold at 48 h. Further, the ratio of mixed-species to single-species biomass shows a marked decline in *S. aureus* across time points (Fig. 6E and F), which is very likely due to the well-studied effect of *P. aeruginosa* killing or inhibiting *S. aureus* in LB medium \([18,35]\).

Taken together, the 4-D microenvironment supports the co-existence of *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* in mixed-species biofilms, with a relative predominance of *P. aeruginosa*. This aligns with previous in vivo and clinical observations of wound biofilms \([6,7,18,23,35,100]\), as well as biomimetic in vitro studies, where *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* co-exist in wound-like conditions, however *P. aeruginosa* is found in larger numbers \([18,35]\). This is possibly due to the inhibitory effect of *P. aeruginosa* on the growth of *S. aureus* (widely observed under in vitro conditions) \([18,21,99]\), but could also be due to a combination of factors, including the increased growth of *P. aeruginosa* under mixed-species conditions \([23]\).

### 3.8. Proposed fine-tuned model of the structure and organization of mixed-species *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* biomass in the wound bed

In this study, the 4-D wound microenvironment, with co-cultured (fixed) HaCaT + HDFa scaffolds and IVWM, recapitulates the co-existence and interactions of *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* in the presence of host and matrix elements (Fig. 7). Based on our findings, under these wound-like conditions, *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* grow to form mixed-species biomass with distinct structure and organization, with *S. aureus* observed as discrete aggregates and *P. aeruginosa* growing to form dense, mat-like biofilms. In early mixed-species conditions, *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* are seen as biofilm aggregates or planktonic cells close association with the host cell scaffold, with the nearly equal presence of both *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus*. At this stage, *P. aeruginosa* is seen to form larger aggregates as compared with *S. aureus*, which could possibly influence the subsequent organization and growth of the species in the biomass. In subsequent growth of the mixed-species biomass, *S. aureus* was observed to retain its aggregate structure, albeit forming larger aggregates. On the other hand, *P. aeruginosa* grew into large, dense mat-like structures. The subsequent growth of mixed-species biomass was associated with distinct changes in spatial organization across the two species, with *S. aureus* aggregates embedded in the lower parts of, and surrounded by, the *P. aeruginosa* biomass. Further, the biomass composition of the mixed-species biomass revealed a predominance of *P. aeruginosa* over time, likely a result of interspecies interactions resulting in *P. aeruginosa* impairing the growth of *S. aureus* \([21,99]\).

In chronic wound, *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* biofilms show distinct spatial segregation, with *S. aureus* seen as smaller aggregates closer to the wound surface \([6]\), and *P. aeruginosa* found as larger aggregates in the deeper regions of the wound. This has been partially attributed to the virulence features of *P. aeruginosa*, such as motility, destruction of polymorphonuclear leukocytes, adaptation to low-oxygen conditions and production of tissue remodeling enzymes \([101-105]\). It is important to note that this non-random distribution occurs over prolonged periods of time, and will therefore be influenced by immune factors and tissue remodeling. Given this, it is very likely that in the initial phases of bacterial colonization, *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* co-exist in close proximity \([23]\). This could result from colonization with one pathogen predisposing establishment of the second pathogen, or infection with both pathogens could occur over short intervals of time. Regardless, the co-existence of both pathogens in the wound bed would result in a range of interactions, likely to influence the subsequent structure and organization of mixed-species biofilms. Based on our study, the structure and organization of early mixed-species biomass, notably the aggregate structure of *S. aureus*, appears to be influenced largely by the presence of the host cell substratum. On the other hand, subsequent biomass features and formation, are influenced by a combination of microenvironmental factors including the biochemical milieu and presence and growth of *P. aeruginosa* (Fig. 7). In clinical wounds, the prolonged effects of bacterial virulence factors, immune factors and tissue remodeling enzymes (not recapitulated in the 4-D microenvironment), such as *P. aeruginosa* elastases \([103-105]\), could result in *P. aeruginosa* migrating to the deeper regions of the wound, while *S. aureus* remains as smaller aggregates closer to the wound surface.

![Fig. 7. Proposed fine-tuned model of the structure and organization of mixed-species *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* biofilms in the wound bed.](image-url)
4. Conclusions and future directions

The 4-D microenvironment recapitulates key features of the wound infection state, such as a reconstructed host cell surface and wound milieu. We leveraged this recapitulated microenvironment to characterize the structure of mixed-species *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* biofilms across multiple levels of organization. Overall, several features of the mixed-species biomass in the 4-D microenvironment align with clinical and in vivo observations of wound biofilms [7–9], such as the formation of biofilm aggregates, coexistence of both pathogens with a predominance of *P. aeruginosa*, and indication of possible inhibitory effects of *P. aeruginosa* on *S. aureus*. Further, the 4-D system also enabled a fine-tuned analysis of the influence of the host and pathogen relevant factors, such as the substratum, biochemical milieu, and interspecies interactions, on the structure and organization of the mixed-species biomass. Given this, the platform provides insights into mixed-species biomass features and formation when *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* are in close proximity, which can be placed in the context of the current understanding of *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* organization in the wound bed.

While the fixed co-cultured host cell scaffold provides a biomimetic substratum, the wound bed consists of proliferating and migrating keratinocytes and fibroblasts, which are likely to influence host-biofilm interactions. Given that maintaining host cell viability in the presence of bacterial growth poses technical challenges [106], fixed host cell scaffolds provide a semi-inert, 3-D biomimetic substratum for biofilm growth, and DAPI-stained nuclei indicate the presence of host cells in relation to the mixed-species biomass. While the presence of biofilms (in particular *P. aeruginosa*) is observed to destroy the host cell scaffold over time, from 8 h onwards, the *P. aeruginosa* biofilms observed are dense mats. Given this, the system, even at 48 h, lends itself well to study the various stages of biofilm formation (from initial attachment to biofilm aggregates to formation of dense, mature mats), and can possibly be extended or adapted to different biofilm growth stages with changes in media and bacterial inoculums. Nevertheless, the host cell component of the platform could be optimized to allow for the presence of live host cells that would more closely mimic the host cell-biofilm interface. This would be particularly relevant in the context of studying initial colonization and bacterial adherence in the wound bed.

Further, when using live host cells, staining the two cell types (with cytoskeletal stains such as vimentin and cytokeratin [107,108]) would provide additional insights such as the location of the biofilm aggregates with respect to the host cell structure, as well as comparison across different host cell types. With the addition of live host cells, the 4-D platform can also be leveraged to monitor the inflammatory profile of keratinocytes and fibroblasts in the presence of biofilms, similar to this previous study with a 3D reconstructed human epidermis [68].

The 4-D microenvironment can also be expanded to study the viability of the mixed-species and single-species biomass grown in the system. For this, colony-forming units (CFUs) of the biomass could be used to measure changes in the biomass density and composition over time, as well as establish correlation between aggregate sizes and bacterial numbers (particularly in the context of *P. aeruginosa* outcompeting *S. aureus*). This is particularly interesting given the positive correlation between microscopy data and CFU counts from previous biofilm studies [109–111]. Along these lines, optimizing the platform for live-dead staining would provide further insights into the metabolic structure of the mixed-species biomass [54], which would be interesting given the chemical composition of the IVWM. Finally, visualization of the biofilm matrix or extracellular DNA components of the matrix could be used to characterize the roles of non-bacterial components in biofilm structure and organization [112].

Finally, to further the clinical relevance of the platform, the 4-D microenvironment can be used to study gene expression profiles of *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* biofilms (as mixed-species and single-species), in comparison with standard laboratory media and previously published reports in clinical wounds [113–115]. This would lend itself well to building the 4-D microenvironment into a platform for evaluating anti-biofilm approaches, such as antimicrobial agents and wound washes [68], with high-throughput structural and functional readouts. This would be particularly relevant in the context of clinical strains of *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus*, as well as other wound pathogens, and the next steps for this work would be to demonstrate and characterize biofilm formation with clinical strains in the 4-D microenvironment.

Overall, the 4-D wound microenvironment represents a composite in vitro model that can be leveraged to study the features and formation of *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* mixed-species biofilms, and open hitherto unexplored insights into the structure and organization of biofilms under wound-relevant conditions. For this, the reconstructed nature of the platform lends itself well not only for multi-level and multi-parameter insights, but also for dissecting the roles of various host, matrix, biochemical, and microbial factors, as well as the interplays between them.
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