Effect of multiple allelic combinations of genes on regulating grain size in rice
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Abstract

The grain size is one of the complex trait of rice yield controlled by a plethora of interaction of several genes in different pathways. The present study was undertaken to investigate the influence of seven known grain size regulating genes: DEP1, GS7, GS3, GW8, GL7, GS5 and GW2. A wide phenotypic variation for grain length, grain width and grain length-width ratio were observed in 89 germplasm. The correlation analysis showed a strong association among these three grain traits viz. GL, GW, GLWR and TGW which play important roles in determining the final rice grain size. Except for GW2, all six genes showed strong association with grain size traits. A total of 21 alleles were identified with an average of 2.1 allele/locus in 89 germplasm of which seven alleles were found to be favourable alleles for improving the grain size with the frequency range of 24 (26.97%) to 82 (92.13%); the largest was found in GS5 followed by GW8, GL7, DEP1, GS3 and GS7 genes. Through ANOVA, four markers (GS3-PstI, S9, GID76 and GID711) of three genes (GS3, DEP1 and GL7) were found significantly associated with all the three traits (GL, GLWR and TGW). Concurrent results of significant associations of grain size traits with other markers were observed in both analysis of variance and genetic association through the general linear model. Besides, the population structure analysis, cluster analysis and PCoA divided the entire germplasm into three subgroups with the clear-cut demarcation of long and medium grain types. The present results would help in formulating strategies by selecting suitable candidate markers/genes for obtaining preferred grain shape/size and improving grain yield through marker-assisted breeding.

Introduction

Rice is one of the most important cereal crops of the world nourishing more than 50% of the world population [1]. It is also an economically important crop that accounts for ~20% of the world’s caloric intake [2]. Improvement of rice yield is one of the utmost necessities because of increase world population growth and availability of limited arable land worldwide. Rice yield, a complex trait is governed by numerous major and minor factors such as the number of productive tiller, panicle length, number of panicle per plant, grain weight, grain filling rate and grain size. Among them, the grain size is influenced by grain length, width and thickness
which in combinations affect the grain weight. The physical appearance of rice grain which is one of the main components of rice grain quality is the major factors defining market values of the crop. Also, consumer preferences are mainly determined by the grain shape which in turn is the combination of traits such as grain length, width, thickness and the length-width ratio. Almost 80% of the world’s foods are derived from seeds of the staple crops including rice, wheat and maize [3] and it is worth to explore genes that function to define the seed traits including quality [4]. The knowledge available about the mechanisms of different partitioning of seed reserves into the major storage component is meagre [5].

The complete whole genome sequence of rice has largely facilitated in identification of several QTLs in rice. To date, over 8500 QTLs governing different agronomically important traits of rice including grain size have been mapped using various segregating populations generated from diverse parents [6]. In recent years, several major QTLs affecting the rice grain yield and size have been cloned and characterized. Some of the major genes/QTLs influence the rice yield include GS3 [7,8], GW2 [9], qSW5/GW5 [10,11], GS5 [12], qGL3/qGL3.1 [13,14], GW8 [15], TGW6 [16] and GL7/GW7 [17,18], Gn1a [19], DEP1 [20], OsSPL14 [21,22], etc. Among them, the major QTL, GS3 for grain length encodes a protein containing putative the PEPB-like domain, a transmembrane region, a putative TNFR/NGFR family cysteine-rich domain and a VWFC module. A plant-specific organ size regulation (OSR) domain in the N-terminus of GS3 is both necessary and sufficient for functioning as a negative regulator of grain length and a point mutation in the second exon leads to a 178 amino acids truncation in the C-terminus of the predicted protein thereby increasing the grain length and weight [8]. For grain width, GW2 was identified [9] which encodes a RING-type E3 ubiquitin ligase and loss of function leads to increase in grain width and weight. This gene also acts as a negative regulator for grain width through the control of cell division in the spikelet hull by targeting unknown substrate for the ubiquitin-dependent degradation by the 26S proteasome. Fine mapping of this locus detected a 1212-bp deletion associated with the increased grain width [11]. Another important QTL for grain width, GW5 encodes a novel nuclear protein of 144 amino acids that is localized to the nucleus and likely acts in the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway to regulate cell division during seed development with a 1212-bp deletion associated with the increased grain width [11]. Among the other cloned genes for grain size, qSW5 [10], qGL3.1 [13], GIF1 [23] and TGW6 [16], GS5 [12] and GW8 [15] were reported as major regulator for grain size. From the recently cloned and characterized genes, it is revealed that multiple signalling pathways such as ubiquitination-mediated proteasomal degradation, phytohormones, and G-protein signalling pathways are involved in the determination of grain length [24]. However, the molecular mechanism underlying the controlling of grain size are still unclear.

The present research was undertaken to identify marker–trait association for grain size traits in rice. It was hypothesized that diverse rice germplasm varied widely in grain size due to presence of different combination of multiple alleles of several genes/QTL related to grain size and these candidate genes were significantly associated with the trait of interest in rice. In the present study, we evaluated 89 rice germplasm with diverse grain length and width to find out the allelic variation of seven major grain size regulating genes for grain size through candidate gene-based association approach. Further, relatedness among rice germplasm based on gene-based molecular markers was investigated to dissect the genetic architecture and heritability of grain size.

Materials and methods
Plant materials and phenotyping
A set of 89 germplasm showing different grain shape and size were used in this experiment. The seeds were sown in the nursery and transplanted in the field with the normal spacing of
10×15 cm with the recommended agronomic practices. At the stage of plant maturity, grain length (GL) and grain width (GW) were recorded (in mm) as average from 5 completely filled and matured grain samples by using digital vernier caliper. The grain length-width ratio (GLWR) was estimated by dividing mean grain length with mean grain width of each germplasm. The 1000 grain weight (TGW) was measured using electronic digital weighing balance by taking 100 filled grains from main panicle of each sample and the value were multiplied by 10 factors to get 1000 grains weight. The frequency distribution for GL, GW, GLWR and TGW, and their linear correlation coefficient (r) were analysed using statistical package XLSTAT (https://www.xlstat.com).

Genomic DNA isolation
DNA was isolated from young leaf tissues using the cetyltrimethyl ammonium bromide (CTAB) method. The purified genomic DNA was checked for quantity and quality on 0.8% agarose gels electrophoresis and NanoDrop ND-1000 Spectrophotometer (Thermofisher scientific, USA). The plant DNA samples were diluted with nuclease-free water to the working concentration of 20 ng/μl for PCR amplification.

Genotyping for grain size related genes
The rice germplasm were mined for the presence of 7 grain size related genes using 10 functional/linked markers. The grain size related genes included in the present study are DEP1, GS7, GS3, GW8, GL7, GS5 and GW2. The detailed primer pairs’ information of the eleven markers used in this study is given in Table 1. PCR reaction was carried out in a 25μl of a solution containing 1X Taq buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 50 mM KCl, pH 8.3), 0.2 μM of each forward and reverse primers, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 0.2 μM of each of dNTP, 20 ng template DNA, and 1U of Taq DNA polymerase (Dream Taq, Thermo Scientific, USA). The PCR condition was set up as follows: 94˚C of 5 min for initial denaturation followed by 35 cycles of 94˚C for 45 sec, primers annealing for 30 sec at varied temperatures and elongation for 1 min at 72˚C, followed by a final elongation at 72˚C for 10 min. The PCR products were analyzed by electrophoresis in 1.5% agarose or 3.5% Metaphor agarose gels (Lonza, USA) stained with ethidium bromide together with a 100 bp DNA ladder. After electrophoresis, the samples were documented using a gel documentation system (AlphaImager, USA). All marker types were visually scored for their presence (1) or absence (0). All PCR reactions were repeated at least twice to cross-check the scoring data.

| S. N. | Genes | Markers | Forward (5’to 3’) | Reverse (5’to 3’) | Tm (°C) | Types | References |
|------|-------|---------|-------------------|------------------|--------|-------|------------|
| 1    | DEP1  | S9      | TGGACACTTGTATCTTCTCAT | AACTGGAAGTTTGTAAAGTCTCA | 60     | STS   | Huang et al 2009 |
| 2    | GS7   | FMGS7   | TGCTCAAGGTGCTGCTATTTAAT | TGGGAAGTTTGTAAAGTCTCA | 55     | STS   | Shao et al 2012 |
| 3    | GS3   | GS3-PstI | TATTTAT TGGCTTGA TTTCCTGTG GCTGGTTT TTTACTTTC ATTTGCC | 60  | CAPS  | Yan et al. 2011 |
| 4    | GS3-PstI | GS3-PstI | TATTTAT TGGCTTGA TTTCCTGTG GCTGGTTT TTTACTTTC ATTTGCC | 60  | CAPS  | Yan et al. 2011 |
| 5    | RP    | SR17    | TGGCCATCTTCCTGTTTAC | TGTTCTCCTGCTGTTGTTG | 55     | SSR   | Wang et al 2011 |
| 6    | GW8   | GW8-InDel | TTGTTATGGCAATTAGTGAACAG | GTTCATTAGCCTGCTGCTTA | 57     | InDel | Wang et al 2012 |
| 7    | GL7   | RID76   | CACCAAGACCTGATCGACCA | TCACATTGAGAGAGGAGGAC | 58     | InDel | Bai et al 2010 |
| 8    | GL7   | RID711  | GCACATCGCTTGGAGGAGGAC | AGCCCGTAAAAATTCTGGCC | 58     | InDel | Bai et al 2010 |
| 9    | GS5   | RM574   | AACCTACCACGTTTGGTGGAGG | AGGGTTCAGCAGAGTGAATTCCC | 55     | SSR   | Li et al 2011 |
| 10   | GW2   | W004    | ACCACATTCCAGCCATTCC | TTGATAGAGCAACCAAGT | 60     | STS   | Song et al 2007 |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0190684.t001
CAPS markers (GS3-PstI) of GS3 gene analysis
The PCR amplification was carried out using GS3-PstI primer as explained above. The PCR products were cleaved with PstI restriction enzymes (New England Biolabs, Inc., USA), following the manufacturer’s protocol. The digestion mixture was set for 10 μl by adding 5μl of PCR product, 1μl of restriction enzyme (3U), and 1μl of 10 X buffer with additional nuclease free water to make the final volume to 10μl. The reaction mixture was incubated at specific temperature based on enzyme’s requirement for 2 hours. The digested product was separated in 1.5% agarose gel, observed in UV Transilluminator and bands were scored similar to SSR marker scoring.

Allele scoring and diversity analysis
The eleven markers were scored as present (1) or absent (0) to generate a binary matrix for each individual and used to infer the assessment of genetic distance and similarity coefficients. An unweighted neighbor joining un-rooted tree was constructed using computer software programme Darwin [25]. The Polymorphism information content (PIC) refers to the relative value of each marker regarding the amount of polymorphism exhibited. The estimation of major allele frequency, allele per locus, gene diversity, heterozygosity, PIC value of the markers was determined using PIC calculator (http://www.liv.ac.uk/~kempsj/pic.html); [26] and POWERMARKER Ver3.25 program [27].

Statistical analysis
The association between grain size (GL, GW, GLWR and TGW) and 10 selected markers of 7 genes were analyzed using the general linear model (GLM) model in TASSEL5 software [28]. To study the presence of genetic structure for grain size, population structure analysis was performed using the program STRUCTURE version 2.3.4 [29]. The model was run based on an admixture model with correlated allele frequencies and the number of sub groups (K) in the clusters was determined by simulating different K-values (K = 1 to 10) with 5 independent runs and run length of 100,000 burn-in period and 100,000 MCMC. The optimal K-value was determined through the ΔK method [30] using Structure Harvester ver. 0.6.193 application [31]. The Principal Coordinate Analysis (PCoA) was calculated from the generated binary data of markers with the GenAlEx 6.502 [32]. The genotypic data of genes corresponding to the grain size were converted into binary matrix which was used to determine the analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) for separation of the total molecular variance between and within groups and significant FST using GenAlEx 6.502 [32]. All other statistical analysis was conducted using statistical package XLSTAT (https://www.xlstat.com).

Results and discussion
The rice seed is a complex storage structure containing different types of tissues. Determination of seed size involves control of growth of the embryo, the surrounding triploid endosperm and seed coat. The yield and nutritional value of rice is mostly determined by the synthesis and storage of carbohydrates, proteins and minerals during grain filling and culinary quality is affected by the interaction of various enzymes to produce the final structure of the starch at molecular and granule level [33]. Consequently, it is difficult to achieve large increases in seed yield by altering single or only a few genes. Though a number of QTL/genes were cloned and characterized for grain size, the effects of different allelic combinations from different genes to determine the final grain shape and size are still unclear.
Phenotypic variations and correlation coefficient analysis for the grain size traits

The phenotypic variation in grain size was determined in 89 rice germplasm. A substantial variation in grain size of 89 germplasm suggests a quantitative inheritance governed by multiple genes which indicated the population panel of 89 germplasm was sufficient enough to undertake further association studies. The phenotypic data for grain length, grain width, length-width ratio and 1000-grain weight are given in S1 Table. Estimates for range, mean, standard deviation and coefficient of variation (CV %) for 89 germplasm evaluated in the present study are depicted in Table 2. The mean GL of the 89 germplasm was found to be 8.19±2.16 mm. The 89 germplasm showing an appreciable range for GL to the tune of 7.71 mm (5.070 to 12.78 mm) suggest that apart from one or two major genes there might be some interactions between the additional genes present in the germplasm and the environment. Similarly, the mean grain width of the 89 germplasm were recorded to be 2.399±0.352 mm. Compared to GL, grain width had a lower range of variation of 1.64 as expected and extended from 1.71 to 3.35 mm. Likewise, in case of GLWR, the mean was found to be 3.50 with a range from 1.77 to 5.55. The mean TGW of the 89 germplasm was found to be 21.54±5.516 g with a wide range of variation of 21.1. The Coefficient of variations (CV %) of GL, GW, GLWR and TGW showed 26.42%, 14.67%, 31.39% respectively. The GL, TGW and GLWR showed the largest phenotypic variation as compared to the GW. Since the availability of genetic variability for yield related components in the germplasm could be a valuable selection priorities of breeders for need based breeding in rice yield improvement, it is possible to effectively utilize the studied germplasm of the varied grain length and grain length-width ratio effectively in rice yield enhancement.

The Pearson correlation coefficients (r) were calculated for pairwise analysis among the GL, GW, GLWR and TGW traits (Table 3) using 89 diverse germplasm. The analyses of correlation between the GL and GW showed a negative correlation between them which is also found weak showing r = -0.164 at P value <0.1. Such linear negative correlation had also been reported in other grain yield or yield related studies [34,35,36]. However, the analyses of correlation of GLWR with GL, GW and TGW showed strong and highly significant correlation among them. A highly significant and strong positive correlation between GL and GLWR

| Traits | range | Mean | Std. deviation | Coefficient of variation (%) |
|--------|-------|------|----------------|----------------------------|
| GL     | 7.71  | 8.193| 2.165          | 26.42                      |
| GW     | 1.64  | 2.399| 0.352          | 14.67                      |
| GLWR   | 3.78  | 3.502| 1.099          | 31.39                      |
| TGW    | 21.1  | 21.54| 5.516          | 25.60                      |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0190684.t002

**Table 3. Correlation coefficients analysis among the four traits for grain size.**

| Traits     | Grain length (L) | Grain width (W) | GLWR  |
|------------|------------------|----------------|------|
| Grain length | 1                | -              | -    |
| Grain width  | -0.164*          | 1              | -    |
| GLWR       | 0.908**          | -0.543**       | 1    |
| TGW        | 0.577**          | 0.103          | 0.424** |

**Correlation is significant at the P value <0.001**

*Correlation is significant at the P value <0.1

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0190684.t003
(r = 0.908 at P value < 0.001), GL and TGW (r = 0.577 at P value < 0.001) indicates the GLWR and TGW are 90.8% and 57.7% respectively positively influenced by the grain length. Similarly, a strong negative significant correlation between GW and GLWR (r = -0.543 at P value < 0.001) showed a negative reduction in grain width when GLWR is 54.3%. This result suggests that there is a strong association among the four grain traits which play important roles in determining the final rice grain size. Such patterns are in accordance with the concept that GLWR contributes the major effects in combining the length and width of the rice grain. Further, the whole 89 germplasm were divided into three groups based on grain length: short grain with <6 mm long (14), medium grain with 6–9 mm long (35) and long grain with >9 mm long (40). It was observed that the highest number of germplasm were found in the group of long grain type followed by medium and short grain types. The average length of short, medium and long grain types were found to be 5.56 mm, 6.65 mm and 10.46 mm, respectively. However, the studied germplasm possessed the minimum grain length of 5.07 mm and a maximum length of 12.78 mm.

Allelic contribution of seven grain size regulating genes for grain size

Understanding the allelic diversity of grain size regulating genes along with their relationships and phenotypic effects would be highly useful for genetic manipulation in increase of grain size in rice. The allelic distribution of seven genes related to grain size regulating traits was examined in 89 diverse rice germplasm using ten genic /linked markers. Among them, the GS3 gene localized on chromosome 3 has been reported as the most important gene for grain size (grain length) regardless of genetic background [37] which encodes a protein with a putative phosphatidyethanolamine-binding protein (PEBP)-like domain, a transmembrane domain, a putative tumor necrosis factor receptor (TNFR)/nerve growth factor receptor (NGFR) family domain and a von Willebrand factor type C (VWFC) module [38]. In this study, three genic functional markers (GS3-PstI, RGS1 and SR17) were used to assess the allelic pattern in GS3 locus in 89 germplasm (Table 4). The GS3-PstI is a functional CAPS markers using PstI endonuclease enzyme differentiating ‘C/A’ SNP mutation in second exon of GS3 gene which produces a truncated protein without functional domain that associated with an enhanced rice grain length [39,40,41]. Using GS3-PstI primers, all 89 germplasm generated PCR products of approximately 511 bp in size (Fig 1). Since CTGAG sequence (restriction site of PstI enzyme) is present in the PCR products, the 511 bp PCR products was digested by PstI endonuclease enzyme into 300 bp smaller fragments, thereby referred as C-allele. Some of the germplasm produced additional allele with digested PCR fragment of 350 bp which was referred here as B-allele. Similar type of additional allele of GS3 gene was also observed in rice germplasm which might be due to insertion of 45-base pair in the first intron of GS3 gene [42]. Besides, the presence of CTGAG sequence in the PCR products which couldn’t be digested by PstI restriction enzyme, was referred as A-allele. Among 89 germplasm used, 33, 32 and 24 germplasm were found to possess A-, B- and C-alleles, respectively which also exhibit significant differential grain size. The highest frequency of 37.07% was observed for A-allele followed by B- (35.95%) and C- (26.96%) alleles. The germplasm possessing A-allele also has higher mean grain length (10.18±1.49 mm), mean grain length-width ratio (4.42±0.89) and 1000-grain weight (24.45±4.12 g) as compared to other two alleles (Table 4). Interestingly, the B-allele with 45 bp insertion in the intron was found to be intermediate GL, GLWR and TGW compared to the germplasms carrying the other two alleles. The germplasm carrying B-allele had medium grain length (7.58±1.71 mm) significantly longer mean GL (17.15%), higher mean GLWR (17.75%) and higher 1000-grain weight (12.78%) as compared to the C-allele. This B-allele would be a valuable allele for efficient selection of medium grain length type
Table 4. Analysis of allelic variation of 7 grain regulating genes in 89 germplasm.

| S No. | Genes | Markers | Alleles | germplasms | Means±SD | P value | Means±SD | P value | Means±SD | P value | Means±SD | P value |
|-------|-------|---------|---------|------------|----------|---------|----------|---------|----------|---------|----------|---------|
| 1     | GS3   | GS3-Pstl| A       | 10.18±1.49 | <0.0001* | 2.35±0.27 | 0.591 | 4.42±0.89 | <0.0001* | 24.45±4.12 | <0.0001* |
|       |       |         | B       | 7.58±1.71b |          | 2.42±0.37  |       | 3.21±0.91 |          | 20.97±4.92 |          |
|       |       |         | C       | 6.28±0.95c |          | 2.44±0.42  |       | 2.64±0.54 |          | 18.29±6.02 |          |
|       | RGS1  |         | A       | 8.34±2.33a | 0.394   | 2.38±0.35  | 0.388 | 3.59±1.15 | 0.289   | 21.36±6.02 | 0.681   |
|       |       |         | B       | 7.92±1.82b |          | 2.44±0.36  |       | 3.33±0.99 |          | 21.87±4.48 |          |
|       | SR17  |         | A       | 8.19±1.88a | 0.994   | 2.39±0.36  | 0.889 | 3.58±1.21 | 0.794   | 22.31±4.35 | 0.588   |
|       |       |         | B       | 8.19±2.22a |          | 2.40±0.35  |       | 3.49±1.09 |          | 21.41±5.70 |          |
| 2     | DEP1  | S9      | A       | 7.55±2.02a | 0.005*  | 2.41±0.37  | 0.676 | 3.21±1.06 | 0.013*  | 19.93±5.83 | 0.006** |
|       |       |         | B       | 8.83±2.14b |          | 2.38±0.33  |       | 3.78±1.08 |          | 23.11±4.74 |          |
| 3     | GW8   | GW8-Indel| A       | 6.99±1.72b | 0.002*  | 2.45±0.43  | 0.415 | 2.92±0.81 | 0.004*  | 19.95±6.62 | 0.121   |
|       |       |         | B       | 8.58±2.16a |          | 2.38±0.32  |       | 3.69±1.11 |          | 22.06±5.04 |          |
| 4     | GL7   | GiD76   | A       | 8.71±2.17a | <0.0001* | 2.39±0.33  | 0.821 | 3.73±1.13 | 0.001*  | 22.40±4.92 | 0.014*  |
|       |       |         | B       | 6.78±1.40c |          | 2.41±0.42  |       | 2.87±0.70 |          | 19.20±6.42 |          |
|       |       | GiD711  | A       | 8.63±2.06a | 0.003*  | 2.39±0.33  | 0.697 | 3.71±1.11 | 0.007*  | 22.51±4.70 | 0.011*  |
|       |       |         | B       | 7.19±2.09b |          | 2.42±0.41  |       | 3.03±0.94 |          | 19.30±6.60 |          |
| 5     | GS5   | RM574   | A       | 6.65±1.57b | 0.049*  | 2.45±0.45  | 0.667 | 2.83±1.02 | 0.090   | 19.65±6.63 | 0.349   |
|       |       |         | B       | 8.32±2.16a |          | 2.39±0.35  |       | 3.56±1.09 |          | 21.70±5.42 |          |
| 6     | GW2   | W004    | A       | 8.39±2.22a | 0.163   | 2.37±0.34  | 0.227 | 3.62±1.13 | 0.089   | 21.44±5.51 | 0.778   |
|       |       |         | B       | 7.66±1.94c |          | 2.47±0.37  |       | 3.18±0.97 |          | 21.81±5.63 |          |
| 7     | GS7   | FMGS7   | A       | 7.67±1.96b | <0.0001*| 2.43±0.37  | 0.151 | 3.24±1.03 | <0.0001*| 20.75±5.72 | 0.026*  |
|       |       |         | B       | 9.60±2.11a |          | 2.31±0.27  |       | 4.20±0.99 |          | 23.67±4.32 |          |

*ANOVA test for significant at the level of P value <0.05.
**a, b and c were ranked by Tukey (HSD) test

which possess a desirable processing trait for high yield of milled rice grains as phenotypic selection is really a difficult process [43]. It was explained that an aberrant splicing in the intronic regions in the B-allele led to a translation of novel modified GS3 protein with different functional domains determining the grain length in rice [42,44,45]. Moreover, these three alleles of GS3-Pstl marker of GS3 gene were found to be significantly associated with differences in grain length, grain length/width ratio and 1000-grain weight traits except grain width trait in 89 rice germplasm. Comparison of this allelic series in the B-allele led to a translation of novel modified GS3 protein with different functional domains determining the grain length in rice [42,44,45]. Moreover, these three alleles of RGS1 markers were ranked by Tukey (HSD) test [43]; these two alleles of RGS1 markers were detected in 89 rice germplasm with frequency of 65.16% (A-allele) and 34.84% (B-allele) (Fig 2). A-allele occurs at a high frequency, nearly twice that of B-allele. Notably, this marker was not found to be associated in any trait components of the grain size in the present study (Table 4). The SR17 marker was developed based on an insertion/deletion of 338 bp in the second intron of GS3 gene [43]. This marker produced two different PCR products of 1.4 kb and 1.1 kb fragment size in 89 germplasm which corresponded to two alleles A and B respectively. The B-allele was observed in high frequency of 85.39% in all germplasm while A-allele with 14.61% was found only in 13 rice germplasm used in this study. Similarly, distorted frequency of alleles of SR17 markers of GS3 gene were also observed in 287 Chinese rice accessions [43].
Similar to the RGS1 marker, SR17 marker also showed no association with any trait components of the grain size in the present study (Fig 2, Table 4). Among the three markers of GS3 gene, only the allelic variations of GS3-PstI markers were found to be highly associated with grain length, grain length-width ratio and 1000-grain weight, consistent with the previous reports [37,42,43] and hence, would be very valuable for analysing functional diversity and improvement of rice yield through marker-assisted selection.

The DEP1 gene, encodes a previously unknown PEBP (phosphatidylethanolamine-binding protein) like domain protein sharing some homology with the N terminus of GS3 gene and regulates panicle architecture for which a STS marker S9 was also developed [20]. Two alleles of DEP1 genes, A and B were distinguished by two different PCR products of 500 and 200 bp fragment size, respectively which were detected in 89 rice germplasm with similar frequency of 49.43% (A-allele) and 50.54% (B-allele) as well (Fig 2). The B-allele had significantly longer mean grain length (8.83 ± 2.14 mm), higher mean GLWR (3.78 ± 1.08) and more mean TGW (23.11 ± 4.74g) as compared to the A-allele (Table 4). The allelic variation of DEP1 gene showed significantly associated with the difference in grain length, grain length/width ratio and 1000-grain weight traits in 89 rice germplasm except grain width. Therefore, this marker could be effectively utilized for efficient selection of grain length and weight traits similar to previous described GS3 gene.

The GW8 gene synonymous with OsSPL16, encodes a protein of an SBP-domain transcription factor that regulates grain size by positively regulating the cell proliferation of grain [15].
The GW8-indel marker was developed based on 10-bp deletion in the promoter region which was reported to be responsible for grain size variation. Based on this 10-bp deletion, this markers generated two alleles, A and B corresponded to Basmati- and indica-type alleles, respectively [15,42]. The A- (Basmati) allele was found in 22 germplasm and B- (indica) allele in 67 germplasm (Fig 2, Table 4). Remarkably, B- (indica-type) allele which had longer mean grain length (8.58±2.16 mm) and higher mean GLWR (3.69±1.11) as compared to the A-allele was corroborated with previous report [42]; significant differences between the alleles for GL and GLWR were observed.

The GL7 gene also known as GW7, encodes a TONNEAU1-recruiting motif protein with similarity to C-terminal motifs of the human centrosomal protein CAP350 and regulates the grain shape by increasing cell division in the longitudinal direction [18]. Here, two linked InDel markers, GID76 and GID711 were used to determine the allele distribution of GL7 gene in the 89 germplasm (Fig 2, Table 4). The two alleles of GID76 were able to differentiate the whole germplasm into 65 (73.03%) and 24 (26.97%) with A- and B-alleles respectively. Similarly, GID711 markers produced maximum frequency (69.66%) of A-allele and minimum frequency (30.34%) of B-allele. The A-alleles of both the InDel markers had longer mean grain length, higher mean GLWR and mean TGW as compared to their corresponding B-alleles. These markers showed their significant association with grain length, grain length/width ratio and grain weight which could be used for efficient selection for GL, GLWR and TGW traits.

The GS5 gene was fined map on chromosome 5 to 11.6-kb region between RM574 and S2 markers containing single ORF which encodes a putative serine carboxypeptidase and its higher expression functions as a positive regulator of grain size by regulating grain width, filling and weight [12]. Due to the unavailability of effective functional marker of GS5 gene, we used the closest/linked marker, RM574 for assessing the germplasm. This marker yielded two alleles, A and B with the frequency of 7 (7.86%) and 82 (92.14%) respectively (Fig 2, Table 4). The germplasm carrying the B-allele had significantly longer mean grain length as compared to another one. This marker did not show any association with GW, GLWR and TGW. In contrast to our results, Lee et al [42] could achieve three type of alleles by employing the markers developed from the promoter region of GS5 gene which showed significant association with all the grain size traits. This result indicates that the RM574 is not efficient enough to determine the allelic distribution of GS5 gene for rice grain size in comparison to the genic variations in the promoter sequence [42,46].

The GW2 gene, a major gene that controls rice grain width and weight encodes a previously unknown RING-type protein with E3 ubiquitin ligase activity, regulates grain size by increasing cell numbers, resulting in enhanced grain width, weight and yield [9]. A linked marker, W004 was used to detect the distribution of GW2 in 89 germplasm and detected two alleles with frequency of 73.03% (A) and 29.94% (B) (Fig 2, Table 4). However, there was no significant difference observed for these alleles with the grain phenotypes indicating that this marker should not be used for which InDel [9] and SNPs [47] markers could be utilized for detecting the allelic variations of GW2 gene in rice germplasm.

Another important QTL for grain size, GS7 gene was identified on chromosome 7 that determines the extra extend of grain length with the combination of the favourable allele of GS3gene [48]. In our study, the functional InDel marker FMGS7 based on GS7 gene distinguished 89 germplasms into two allele (A and B) groups with frequency of 73.03% and 26.97% respectively. The B-allele has significant higher mean grain length (9.60±2.11 mm) as
compared to mean grain length (7.67±1.96 mm) of A-allele (Fig 2, Table 4). The B-allele has also higher mean TGW (23.67±4.32g) as compared to mean mean TGW (20.75±5.72g) of A-allele. Unlike to Shao et al [48], no association was observed with variation in grain width and the ratio of length to width. Shao et al [48] reported that germplasm carrying same A-allele of GS3 gene along with different alleles of GS7 gene combinations significantly produce different grain length in rice. In the present study, 33 germplasm out of 89 germplasms were found to carry A-allele of GS3 gene. Using the FMGS7 marker, 33 genotypes again could be differentiated into two groups (GS3/GS7 alleles): group 1 as A/A alleles (19 germplasm) produced mean grain length of 9.86±1.13 mm and group 2 as A/B alleles (14 germplasm) produced mean grain length of 10.59±1.83 mm. However, there were no significant differences observed in grain length between these two groups. The discrepancy in the present result might be either due to uses of small size population or allelic variation of other unknown genes that controls the complex traits of grain size.

Thus, the six genes out of seven genes used in the present study showed strong association with grain size traits. The occurrence of allelic variation and association with one or more grain size traits such as GL, GW, GLWR and TGW would be useful to evaluate allele-specific markers for yield enhancing genes through MAS in rice breeding.

**Favourable alleles of seven grain regulating genes**

Identification of favourable alleles of the trait of interest is one of the prerequisite to enhance the performance of modern cultivars by introgressing and cumulating several favourable alleles from the vast gene pool of rice germplasm in the breeding population of rice through molecular markers. These favourable alleles of grain size regulating genes would be useful for understanding the rice seed development and improving grain size, thereby increasing the rice yield through MAS approach. The individual contribution of these seven genes (GS3, DEP1, GW8, GL7, GS5, GW2 and GS7) towards grain size were estimated and the cumulated contribution of their favourable alleles for grain size has been thoroughly understood. In the present study, a total of 21 alleles were identified at the 10 loci of seven genes with an average of 2/locus in 89 germplasm (Table 4). Of which, seven alleles were found to be beneficial/favourable alleles for improving the grain size through GL, GLWR and TGW. ANOVA was used to estimate the favourable allele for each locus by determining the significant difference of means between rice accessions with the favourable alleles (FAs) and non-favourable alleles (N-FAs) (Table 5). The frequency of favourable alleles were found to be in the range of 24 (26.97%) to 82 (92.13%) with the largest in GS5 followed by GW8, GL7, DEP1, GS3 and GS7 genes (Fig 3). Six genes were observed to be significantly associated with the grain length. Seven markers of six genes (GS3, DEP1, GW8, GL7, GS5 and GS7) showed the significant difference for GL and GLWR (P<0.05) and these seven alleles were appeared to be favourable alleles found in 89 rice germplasm (Table 5). A significant positive correlation exists among GL, GLWR and TGW and the same alleles were observed to be favourable for both the GL and GLWR traits. All the favourable alleles of six genes contributed significantly higher for GL and GLWR traits in 89 rice germplasm. Similarly, all the favourable alleles except for GW8 and GS5 genes showed similar positive contribution for TGW. Unlike to other FAs, the favourable allele of the only GS5 gene do not contribute toward GLWR and TGW traits. The means that GL, GLWR and TGW values of cumulated FAs were found to be significantly higher as compared to cumulated N-FAs (Table 5) indicating that the pyramiding of favourable alleles leads to increase grain length and grain weight, and thereby improving the grain yield in rice. Similarly, pyramiding of favourable alleles has been reported to lead higher stem water-soluble carbohydrates and higher 1000-grainweight in rice [49]. Therefore, pyramiding of favourable alleles for
obtaining genotypes with higher grain size through marker-assisted selection paves a way to overcome the yield bottleneck in rice breeding.

Genetic diversity

A total of ten markers from 7 grain size related genes were used to assess the genetic diversity of 89 rice germplasm. The major allele frequency varied from 0.50 to 0.92 with an average of 0.7. The polymorphism information content of markers had an average value of 0.31 and varied from 0.13 to 0.58. The maximum PIC value was observed for the marker GS3-Pst1 of GS3 gene while minimum for the marker RM574 of GS5 gene. Similarly, the gene diversity of ten markers ranged from 0.14 to 0.66 with a mean value of 0.39 (Table 6). The present study showed that the GS3-Pst1 of GS3 gene is highly informative for grain size and can be used to assess the genetic diversity of diverse germplasm whereas rest of the markers are moderate to slightly informative.

Based on cluster analysis, 89 germplasm were categorized into three major clusters (I, II and III) (Fig 4). Major cluster I included 29 germplasm, which was further divided into two sub-clusters IA and IB; the sub-cluster IA and IB consists of 18 and 11 germplasm respectively. The mean grain length of major cluster I was observed to be 7.83 mm and mean grain width was 2.44 mm. Major cluster II contained 54 germplasm of which most of them belonged to medium rice grain with the mean grain length and width of 8.2 and 2.4 mm, respectively. Major cluster III included only 6 germplasm with a mean grain length of 9.38 mm.

Table 5. Pyramiding of favourable alleles of six genes for GL and GLWR.

| Genes | Markers | Alleles | Grain Length (mm) | Grain Length-width ratio | 1000-Grain Weight (g) |
|-------|---------|---------|-------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
|       |         |         | FA (Means±SD)     | FA (Means±SD)            | FA (Means±SD)         |
|       |         |         |                  | N-FA (Means±SD)          | N-FA (Means±SD)       |
|       |         |         |                  |                          |                       |
| GS3   | GS3-Pst1| A       | 10.18±1.49        | 4.42±0.89                | 24.45±4.12            |
|       |         | B       | -                 | 7.58±1.71                | -                     |
|       |         | C       | -                 | 6.28±0.95                | -                     |
| DEP1  | S9      | A       | -                 | 7.55±2.02                | 3.21±1.06             |
| GW8   | GW8-Indel| A     | 6.99±1.72         | -                        | 2.92±0.81             |
|       |         | B       | 8.58±2.16         | 3.69±1.11                | -                     |
| GL7   | GID76   | A       | 8.71±2.17         | 3.73±1.13                | 22.40±4.92            |
|       |         | B       | 6.78±1.40         | -                        | 2.87±0.70             |
|       | GID711  | A       | 6.33±2.06         | 3.71±1.11                | 22.51±4.70            |
|       |         | B       | 7.19±2.09         | 3.03±0.94                | 19.30±6.60            |
| GS5   | RM574   | A       | 6.65±1.57         | -                        | -                     |
|       |         | B       | 8.32±2.16         | -                        | -                     |
| GS7   | FMGS7   | A       | 7.67±1.96         | 4.20±0.99                | 23.67±4.32            |
|       |         | B       | 9.60±2.11         | -                        | -                     |
| Mean±SD|         |         | 8.98±0.66         | 7.08±0.50               | 3.92±0.31            |
|       |         |         |                   |                          | 3.02±0.22            |
|       |         |         |                   |                          | 23.22±0.85            |
|       |         |         |                   |                          | 19.74±0.92            |

FA & N-FA denotes Favourable and Non-favourable alleles respectively.

*highly favourable alleles that exhibit significantly different traits compared with the unfavorable alleles (P < 0.05)
P-values are for comparison between mean GL and GLWR values of cumulated FAs and N-FAs
*ANOVA test for significant at the level of P-value <0.0001.

*a and b were ranked by Tukey (HSD) test

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0190684.1005
Genetic association analysis of the 7 selected genes with the grain length, width, GLWR and TGW traits were carried out using the generalized linear model (GLM) to find out significant association among GL, GW, GLWR and TGW. Out of the ten markers used in the present study, the frequency distribution of favourable alleles of six genes related to grain size in 89 rice germplasm is shown in Fig 3. The table below provides the estimation of major allele frequency, allele per locus, gene diversity, expected heterozygosity, PIC in 89 rice germplasm.

Table 6. Estimation of major allele frequency, allele per locus, gene diversity, expected heterozygosity, PIC in 89 rice germplasm.

| Marker    | Major Allele Frequency | Allele per locus | Gene Diversity | Expected heterozygosity | PIC   |
|-----------|------------------------|------------------|----------------|-------------------------|-------|
| S9        | 0.505                  | 2                | 0.499          | 0.499                   | 0.375 |
| FMGS7     | 0.853                  | 2                | 0.249          | 0.393                   | 0.316 |
| GS3-Pstl  | 0.370                  | 3                | 0.660          | 0.660                   | 0.586 |
| RSG-1     | 0.651                  | 2                | 0.453          | 0.454                   | 0.350 |
| GW8-InDel | 0.752                  | 2                | 0.372          | 0.372                   | 0.302 |
| RID76     | 0.730                  | 2                | 0.393          | 0.393                   | 0.316 |
| RID711    | 0.696                  | 2                | 0.422          | 0.422                   | 0.333 |
| RM574     | 0.921                  | 2                | 0.144          | 0.144                   | 0.134 |
| W004      | 0.730                  | 2                | 0.393          | 0.393                   | 0.316 |
| SR17      | 0.853                  | 2                | 0.249          | 0.249                   | 0.218 |
| Mean      | 0.706                  | 2.1              | 0.384          | 0.398                   | 0.324 |
Fig 4. Cluster analysis of 89 germplasm based on 7 grain size regulating genes.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0190684.g004
study, only one marker RID711 of GL7 gene was significantly associated with all the four traits viz. GL, GW, GLWR and TGW (Table 7). The GS3-PstI marker for GS3 gene showed the highest phenotypic variance (44.2%) followed by FMGS7 (25.8%), RID76 (14%), GW8-InDel (11%) and RID76 (RID711 (10.4%). Out of the ten markers, only seven markers (S9, FMGS7, GS3-PstI, GW8-indel, RID76, RID711 and RM574) corresponding to six genes (DEP1, GS7, GS3, GW8, GL7 and GS5) showed significant association for GL with a range of phenotypic variation from 4.9 to 44.2%. The GS3-PstI marker for GS3 gene showed the highest phenotypic variance (44.2%) and RM574 of GS5 genes showed the lowest (4.9%). The other three markers for grain length didn’t reveal significant association at the level of \( P \) value < 0.1. Similar associations of the same markers were also observed during the analysis of variance.

Similarly, four markers were found to be associated with the grain width i.e. RSG1, GW8-InDel, RID711, and RM574. The phenotypic variance for these four markers for grain width varied from 4.7% to 6.3%, respectively. The marker RID711 represented highest phenotypic variance (6.3%) followed by GW8-InDel (6.2%), RSG1 (6.1%), and RM574 (4.7%) whereas, remaining six markers for grain width didn’t reveal significant association at \( P < 0.05 \).

In the case of GLWR, only six markers were found to be significantly associated with the GLWR at \( P < 0.05 \). These markers (S9, FMGS7, GW8-InDel, RID711 RM574 and W004) represented a phenotypic variance from 6.8% to 41.2%. The GS3-PstI marker for GS3 gene showed the highest phenotypic variance (41.2%) and S9 of DEP1 genes showed the lowest (6.8%). Interestingly, except RM574, all the markers associated with GL were also found to be significantly associated with GLWR. This is another evidence for the hypothesis where the GL contributes major effects to GLWR as compared to GW.

Except for RM574 and GW8-InDel, all the remaining five markers showing significant association with GL and GLWR were found to be significantly associated with TGW in 89 germplasm. The phenotypic variance for these five markers for grain width varied from 6% to 16.1%.

Population structure analysis

Population structure of 89 rice germplasm was analysed using the data obtained from eleven markers using Structure software. The peak plateau of \( \text{adhoc} \) measure \( \Delta K \) was found to be \( K = 3 \) (Fig 5) which indicated that the entire 89 germplasm were distributed into three subgroups (SG1 SG2 and SG3). Based on ancestry threshold of > 55%, all the 89 germplasm except two, were classified into three subgroups (S2 Table). The SG1 contain 31 germplasm, most of which belonged to medium grain (17), while 10 and 4 belong to long and short grain respectively. The SG2 included all the grain type, i.e. small (8), medium (14) and long grain (3) types. Further, the SG3 subgroup is dominated by the long grain type germplasm (25) of 31 germplasm. Notably, each sub-group is dominated by a particular grain type like SG1 and SG2 (medium grain type), and SG3 (long grain). The result revealed that the subgroup SG3 was considered dominated by long grain type whereas the subgroup SG1 and SG2 mostly consisted of medium grain type. Consequently, as a whole, structure analysis suggested the differentiation of grain type in three separate populations (SG1, SG2 and SG3).

Estimation of population genetics through AMOVA analysis

In order to find out the genetic relationship among the three grain type populations, the 89 rice germplasm were divided into three groups based on grain size; Small grain (length < 6 mm, 14 germplasm); medium grain (length 6–8 mm; 35 germplasm) and long grain (length > 9 mm; 40 germplasm). It was found that maximum variance (83%) was observed
within the population while minimum exist between populations (17%) (Fig 6, Table 8). The pair-wise fixation indices ($F_{ST}$) among the populations were given in the Table 9. The highest pair wise $F_{ST}$ was observed between small grain and long grain rice while the least was observed between small and medium grain types. The estimated value of fixation indices indicated that there is weak population structure which are not genetically isolated from each other. To establish the genetic relationships of the 89 germplasm based on the ten markers related to seven genes, the PCoA was further constructed. A scatter plot generated from the PCoA analysis showed that the first two components accounted to 32.89% and 20.98% of the genetic variation which resulted in a total genetic variation of 53.87% (Fig 6). These scatter plots showed a clear separation of two grain types, long and medium grain populations. This result is found to be concurrent with the result of the clustered and structure analysis.

### Conclusion

The effects of different allelic combinations from different genes to determine the final grain shape and size undertaken in this study would further facilitate understanding the complex mechanism of rice grain size. Our finding provided an overview of the allelic combinations of different genes for grain size in rice. The beneficial alleles identified could be useful to

Table 7. Genetic association of 7 genes for rice grain size traits.

| S.N. | Marker       | P-value | $R^2_{marker}$ | P-value | $R^2_{marker}$ | P-value | $R^2_{marker}$ |
|------|--------------|---------|----------------|---------|----------------|---------|----------------|
| 1    | S9           | 0.007*  | 0.078          | 0.575   | 0.003          | 0.013*  | 0.068          |
| 2    | FMGS7        | 3.E-07**| 0.258          | 0.238   | 0.016          | 4.E-06**| 0.215          |
| 3    | GS3-PstI     | 1.E-12**| 0.442          | 0.085   | 0.034          | 1.E-11**| 0.412          |
| 4    | RSG1         | 0.458   | 0.006          | 0.0203* | 0.061          | 0.289   | 0.012          |
| 5    | GW8-InDel    | 0.001** | 0.110          | 0.019*  | 0.062          | 0.003*  | 0.092          |
| 6    | RID76        | 2.E-04**| 0.147          | 0.560   | 0.004          | 7.E-04**| 0.122          |
| 7    | RID711       | 0.002*  | 0.104          | 0.018*  | 0.063          | 0.006*  | 0.081          |
| 8    | RM574        | 0.037*  | 0.049          | 0.042*  | 0.047          | 0.090   | 0.032          |
| 9    | W004         | 0.080   | 0.034          | 0.700   | 0.001          | 0.088   | 0.033          |
| 10   | SR17         | 0.959   | 3.E-05         | 0.121   | 0.028          | 0.793   | 7.E-04         |

* & ** significant at $P$ value <0.05, <0.001 respectively.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0190684.t007

Fig 5. Population structure of 89 germplasm based on 10 markers (K = 3) and graph of estimated membership fraction for K = 3. The maximum of adhoc measure $\Delta K$ determined by structure harvester was found to be K = 3, which indicated that the entire population can be grouped into three subgroups. Different color within group indicates the proportion of shared ancestry with other group which has the same color with the admixture.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0190684.g005
manipulate and pyramid the preferred grain size trait for consumer’s interest thereby increase
the grain yield. Besides, the marker loci that strongly associated with grain size would be highly
informative and efficient in the selection of parental lines for development of new rice breed-
ing populations.
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