Impact of respiratory muscle training on blood gases and pulmonary function among patients with cervical spinal cord injury

Abstract
Background: Pulmonary difficulties is the most common cause of morbidity and mortality following spinal cord injury, which is the main cause of chronic respiratory failure in young adults.

Objective: This study aimed to investigate the effect of resistive respiratory muscle training on blood gases and pulmonary function of patients with cervical spinal cord injury.

Methods: Thirty six patients with complete spinal cord injury at level from C5 to C8 of both sexes (23 males and 9 females), their age ranged from 23-41 years (30.51±6.82 year) were selected from ICU of Cairo University Hospital participated in this study. Their height ranged between 149-185cm. Participants equally enrolled to either training group (group A) or control group (group B). The respiratory muscle resisted training program was started for group (A) after the clinical stability of patient condition with a threshold positive expiratory pressure device using a three-way valve system via flanged mouthpiece. The patient performed six work sets, five minutes in duration, with a rest period in between for three minutes. All patients received a 45 minutes training/day, five days/ week for six weeks. The arterial blood gases and pulmonary function test are measured before and after exercise program.

Results: the mean value of heart rate (HR), respiratory rate (RR), partial pressure of arterial carbon dioxide (PaCO\textsubscript{2}), and PH revealed significant reduction, where forced vital capacity (FVC), forced expiratory volume in the first second (FEV\textsubscript{1}) and partial pressure of arterial oxygen (PaO\textsubscript{2}) revealed significant increase in group (A) at the end of the study. However, changes in group (B) were not significant. Moreover, there were significant differences between both groups at the end of the study (P<0.05).

Conclusion: Resistive respiratory muscle training improves blood gases and pulmonary function suggesting this intervention as an efficacious therapy for patients with cervical spinal cord injury.
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Introduction
Spinal cord injury (SCI) characterized with respiratory difficulties, which is the most common cause of morbidity, and mortality as the prognosis for the patient sustaining spinal cord injury has until this century remained poor.\textsuperscript{1} Individuals with spinal cord injury have high risk of pulmonary complications because of neurological deficits.\textsuperscript{2} Individuals with cervical SCI had reduced vital capacity (VC) and total lung capacity (TLC) below the lower limit of normal.\textsuperscript{3} Spinal cord injury resulted in reduction in lung volumes as result of respiratory muscles dysfunction. However, reduction in compliance of lung and chest, increased compliance of the abdominal wall and stiffness of rib cage in addition to paradoxical movements of chest wall contribute to breathing difficulties.\textsuperscript{4} While, expiratory muscle altered function reduced cough effectiveness and mucus retention.\textsuperscript{5} However, cervical SCI demonstrated increased vagal activity, secretions production, bronchospasms and pulmonary edema, therefore these pulmonary complications is the main cause of morbidity and mortality among individuals with SCI.\textsuperscript{6}

The total management of patients requires a holistic, multidisciplinary approach to ensure effective rehabilitation, which depends upon awareness of the risks, and a thorough understanding of the pathophysiology of mucus plugging atelectasis and the alterations in pulmonary mechanics.\textsuperscript{7} However, the leading causes of morbidity and mortality in spinal cord injury population are airway mucus plugging and atelectasis.\textsuperscript{8}

Progressive resistive exercises are recommended for increasing respiratory muscle strength and endurance in cervical and high thoracic spinal injuries, so that locomotor training is an effective procedure for improving pulmonary function in patients with SCI due to improvement in respiratory muscle function.\textsuperscript{1} However, respiratory muscle training resulted in improved values of vital capacity (VC), maximal inspiratory mouth pressure (PImax) and maximal expiratory mouth pressure (PEmax) in patients with cervical SCI.\textsuperscript{9}

This study is designed to investigate the effect of respiratory muscle training on arterial blood gases and pulmonary function in patients with spinal cord injury.
Materials and methods

Subjects
Thirty six patients with complete spinal cord injury at level from C5 to C8 of both sexes (23 males and 9 females), their age ranged from 23-41 years (30.51±6.82 year) were selected from ICU of Cairo University Hospital participated in this study. Their height ranged between 149-185cm. Exclusion criteria included chest infection, cardiac disorders, severe anemia, rib fracture and neurological disorders rather than spinal cord injury. Participants equally enrolled to either training group (group A) or control group (group B).

Equipment
1. Acid–Base Analyzer: Acid-base analyzer (model 318 ph, Bayer corporation, USA) was a computerized device used to measure partial pressure of arterial oxygen (PaO2), partial pressure of arterial carbon dioxide (PaCO2) and PH.
2. Intensive care unit monitor: A computerized Trinitron Color Graphic Monitor (Model Sony- PGM–100 p, Sony Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) was a screened device connected through cables to patient. It was used to monitoring vital signs of patient include heart rate (HR), Respiratory rate (RR), Blood pressure (BP) and electrocardiogram (ECG).
3. Spirometer: A portable spirometer (Microspiro Model (HI-198),Tokyo, Japan) was used in measuring pulmonary function included forced vital capacity (FVC) and forced expiratory volume in the first second(FEV1).

Procedures
The respiratory muscle-training program for group (A) was started after the clinical stability of patient condition and continued for six weeks of training program. The patient assumed a seating position on wheelchair with head-up tilt about 45° and inspiratory muscle trainer with a threshold positive expiratory pressure device (Respironics Inc., Cedar Grove, NJ) using a three-way valve system (Airlife 0013504, Allegiance Healthcare Corp., McGaw Park, IL) via flanged mouthpiece. The patient performed six work sets, five minutes in duration, with a rest period in between for three minutes. All patients received a 45 minutes training/day, five days/ week for six weeks. The training intensity was initiated with 20% of each individual maximal inspiratory mouth pressure (PImax) and maximal expiratory mouth pressure (PEmax) and progressively increased as tolerated up to 40% of PImax and PEmax at the end of the training program.10–12

Statistical analysis
Data concerning each patient were collected in the first evaluation including arterial blood gases (PaO2, PaCO2, and PH), pulmonary function (FVC and FEV1), heart rate and respiratory rate. These data were also collected after 6 weeks in the final evaluation. However, paired “t” test was used to determine the significance of difference in mean value between the results observed in the first and the final evaluations of (PaO2, PaCO2, PH , FVC, FEV1, HR, RR) for all patients in both groups, while independent “t” test used to compare between both groups (P<0.05).

Results
The demographic data were presented in Table 1 the mean value of patients’ age in group (A) and group (B) were 36.24±7.37 and 34.95±6.14 year respectively. Regarding the gender were 5 females with (31%) and 11 males with (69%) in group (A), while four females with (25%) and 12 males with (75%) in group (B). Concerning the level of spinal cord injury, there were 14 patients (88%) had lower cervical lesion (C6-8), while the other 2 patients (12%) had middle cervical lesion (C3-5) in group (A), while 13 patients (81%) had lower cervical lesion (C6-8), while the other 3 patients (19%) had middle cervical lesion (C3-5) in group (B). However, the mean duration for spinal cord injury in group (A) and group (B) were 5.3±1.14 and 4.92±1.36 months and ranged from2-6 months respectively. Moreover, the smoking history revealed that there were 10 smokers (63%) all of them were male, while 6 were nonsmoker (37%) in group (A), while there were 9 smokers (56%) all of them were male, while 7 were nonsmoker (44%) in group (B).

As observed in Table 2 the mean value of HR, RR, PaCO2 and PH revealed significant reduction, where FVC, FEV1 and PaO2, revealed significant increase in group (A) at the end of the study. However, changes in group (B) were not significant (Table 3). Moreover, there were significant differences between both groups at the end of the study (Table 4) (P<0.05).

Table 1 Demographic characteristics of all patients

| Variables                      | Mean±SD | No. (%) |
|--------------------------------|---------|---------|
| Statistics                     | Group (A) | Group (B) | Group (A) | Group (B) |
| Age (year)                     | 31.24±7.37 | 29.95±6.14 | - | - |
| Sex                            | - | - | 11(69%) | 12(75%) |
| Males                          | - | - | 5(31%) | 4(25%) |
| Females                        | - | - | 14(88%) | 13(81%) |
| Level of lesions               | C 3-5 | - | 2(12%) | 3(19%) |
| Duration of injury (month)     | 5.3±1.14 | 4.92±1.36 | - | - |
| Smoking history                | Smoker | - | 10(63%) | 9(56%) |
| Non-Smoker                     | - | - | 6(37%) | 7(44%) |
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Table 2 Mean value and significance of HR, RR, FVC, FEV1, PaO2, PaCO2, and PH in group (A) before and at the end of the study.

| Variable               | Before         | After          | t- value | Significance |
|------------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------|--------------|
| HR (beat/min)          | 114.26±12.23   | 100.47±11.65*  | 10.18     | P<0.05       |
| RR (breath/min)        | 18.29±2.18     | 15.23±1.94*    | 6.57      | P<0.05       |
| FVC(% predicated)      | 51.15±8.72     | 62.17±9.54*    | 7.16      | P<0.05       |
| FEV1 (% predicated)    | 78.22±9.14     | 90.26±10.28*   | 9.25      | P<0.05       |
| PaO2 (mmHg)            | 80.24±7.12     | 89.11±8.35*    | 8.26      | P<0.05       |
| PaCO2 (mmHg)           | 43.16±6.19     | 37.25±5.86*    | 6.38      | P<0.05       |
| pH                     | 7.46 ±0.36     | 7.38±0.21*     | 3.51      | P<0.05       |

HR, heart rate; RR, respiratory rate; FVC, forced vital capacity; FEV1, forced expiratory volume in the first second; PaO2, Partial pressure of arterial oxygen; PaCO2, partial pressure of arterial carbon dioxide; (*) indicates a significant difference between the two groups, P < 0.05.

Table 3 Mean value and significance of HR, RR, FVC, FEV1, PaO2, PaCO2, and PH in group (B) before and at the end of the study.

| Variable               | Before         | After          | t- value | Significance |
|------------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------|--------------|
| HR (beat/min)          | 116.48±13.15   | 119.11±13.42   | 1.41      | P<0.05       |
| RR (breath/min)        | 19.72±2.54     | 20.14±2.36     | 0.93      | P>0.05       |
| FVC(% predicated)      | 49.31±7.86     | 47.63±8.12     | 1.15      | P<0.05       |
| FEV1 (% predicated)    | 76.54±8.65     | 74.82±8.71     | 1.38      | P<0.05       |
| PaO2 (mmHg)            | 78.92±6.48     | 77.61±6.75     | 1.26      | P<0.05       |
| PaCO2 (mmHg)           | 44.53±5.61     | 45.67±5.72     | 1.13      | P<0.05       |
| pH                     | 7.47 ±0.32     | 7.48±0.35      | 0.54      | P=0.05       |

HR, heart rate; RR, respiratory rate; FVC, Forced vital capacity; FEV1, forced expiratory volume in the first second; PaO2, Partial pressure of arterial oxygen; PaCO2, partial pressure of arterial carbon dioxide.

Table 4 Mean value and significance of HR, RR, FVC, FEV1, PaO2, PaCO2, and PH in group (A) and group (B) at the end of the study.

| Variable               | Group (A)     | Group (B)     | t- value | Significance |
|------------------------|---------------|---------------|-----------|--------------|
| HR (beat/min)          | 100.47±11.65* | 119.11±13.42  | 8.23      | P<0.05       |
| RR (breath/min)        | 15.23±1.94*   | 20.14±2.36    | 5.14      | P<0.05       |
| FVC(% predicated)      | 62.17±9.54*   | 47.63±8.12    | 5.86      | P<0.05       |
| FEV1 (% predicated)    | 90.26±10.28*  | 74.82±8.71    | 7.35      | P<0.05       |
| PaO2 (mmHg)            | 89.11±8.35*   | 77.61±6.75    | 6.19      | P<0.05       |
| PaCO2 (mmHg)           | 37.25±5.84*   | 45.67±5.72    | 5.24      | P<0.05       |
| pH                     | 7.38±0.21*    | 7.48±0.35     | 3.12      | P<0.05       |

HR, heart rate; RR, respiratory rate; FVC, Forced vital capacity; FEV1, forced expiratory volume in the first second; PaO2, Partial pressure of arterial oxygen; PaCO2, partial pressure of arterial carbon dioxide; (*) indicates a significant difference between the two groups, P < 0.05.

Discussion

Cervical spinal cord injury induce weakness and/or paralysis in respiratory muscle and altered pulmonary function, however respiratory complications are the common cause of death and one of the most common medical complications. Therefore those SCI patients need specific assessment and management of their respiratory condition. The current study aimed to detect the efficacy of resistive inspiratory muscle training program on blood gases pulmonary function in patients with acute cervical spinal cord injury.

Results of this study reveals that there was significant improvement in partial pressure of arterial oxygen (PaO2), partial pressure of arterial carbon dioxide (PaCO2) and PH following six weeks of resistive respiratory muscle training program( p<0.05). Our results coincided with results of Golds et al.15 who found that mean value of PaCO2
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