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Abstract. The objective of this paper is to provide an insight into current basic research at ITE for the production of resource-efficient components through the controlled, automated magnetic distribution and alignment of steel fibers in UHPFRC (Ultra-High Performance Fibre-Reinforced Concrete). The method for distributing and aligning steel fibers in UHPFRC is based on the physical phenomenon of magnetism. Since steel fibers are ferromagnetic, magnetic fields can selectively change their position in the fresh concrete and align them according to the force flow and the maxim "form follows force". The magnetic fiber alignment (MFA) process developed on this principle combines the capabilities of digital and automated component manufacturing with the potential of targeted fiber alignment to increase the material efficiency of UHPFRC. It is highlighted at four levels:

At the material level, studies were conducted on the composite properties of different brand-new and recycled microsteel fibers (MSF), formwork designs suitable for the MFA process were developed, flux densities of different magnets were simulated with special software solutions and measured in practice, and an end effector was fabricated that was implemented on 3- and 6-axis kinematics. At the process level, the interaction of the main parameters of the MFA process was evaluated by visual analysis on transparent glucose syrup-based solutions, and series of specimens were analyzed by micro-CT scans. At the component level, centric tensile tests were performed on a wide variation of dog-bones to provide an assessment of the potential increase in tensile performance of UHPFRC by the MFA process. At an economic and environmental evaluation level, the results from the tensile tests were used to assess and quantify the potential savings from reducing the fiber content and using recycled steel fibers.
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Introduction

Lightweight and thin concrete components made of UHPFRC require tight manufacturing tolerances and high material efficiency due to their low wall thickness and filigree nature. This can be achieved in terms of the material quality of the concrete matrix and the fiber content. In terms of fiber distribution and orientation, the precision and efficiency is missing: In expectation of a uniform distribution of fiber contents in the component, the microsteel fibers (MSF) are added to the matrix during the mixing process and poured into the forms as a complete mixture. To ensure a certain concentration of effective fibers in UHPFRC components, the overall quantity of all fibers is increased, with the consequence of poorer workability of the fresh concrete. Thus, a considerable amount of microsteel fibers that are not effective for bearing capacity are used inefficiently. In the course of the development of UHPFRC, MSF with a tensile strength of at least 2000 MPa in particular have proven to be effective due
to their strong bond with the concrete matrix [1].

The goal of the research described is to provide the foundation for the production of resource-efficient components using controlled, automated magnetic distribution and alignment of MSF in UHPFRC. In principle, three strategies for a more sustainable use of MSF can be pursued with the MFA process. First, starting from a given fiber content, an increase in ultimate load can be achieved by transferring the individual fibers into a more efficient position and orientation. Second, while maintaining a desired material strength, the fiber content can be reduced through targeted orientation. Third, the higher strengths of the fiber-matrix system achieved by the MFA process provide the opportunity for a leaner design of UHPFRC components and thus for a reduction in matrix and deadweight. Which of these three goals should be pursued by the MFA process must be decided on a case-by-case basis.

Extensive research on the magnetic alignment of MSF has been conducted at ITE over the past decade. For example, UHPFRC components have already been developed in projects of the DFG Priority Program 1542 "Concrete Light" [2], which were treated with the MFA process in first probing tests [3]. In addition, studies and experiments on the topic of magnetic fiber alignment in UHPFRC have been continuously conducted at ITE since 2014. These investigations addressed key aspects of this research project and provided concrete indications on the potentials of automated magnetic alignment and distribution of MSF. In particular, the influence of different magnetic fields on the alignment and movement of MSF was investigated experimentally in small test specimens. For this purpose, different gels were used in addition to the UHPC matrix, which, as transparent concrete substitutes, allowed direct observation of the effects. Other published preliminary investigations dealt with the automation of more complex reinforcement structures [4, 5]. For example, robotic path-based modeling of intersecting fiber strands was investigated as they could be reasonably arranged in the tension zone of biaxially flexurally stressed slabs [6].

Materials and applications

Matrix

The process of magnetic alignment of MFA in UHPFRC places specific requirements on the matrix, particularly with regard to its composition and workability. As in previous investigations [7], a fine-grained concrete based on the ready-mix NANODUR® Compound 5941 gray developed by Dyckerhoff was used in these component tests (Tab. 1). The used formulation showed a high reproducibility of the rheological properties of the fresh concrete and the mechanical properties of the hardened UHPC (Tab. 2).

| Ingredient | Weight [kg/m³] |
|------------|----------------|
| NANODUR® Compound 5941, grey | 1100 |
| Quartz sand H33 | 1012 |
| Superplasticizer (GRACE ADVA® Flow 375) | 21 |
| Shrinkage reducer (GRACE Eclipse® Floor) | 7 |
| Water | 159 |
Table 2. Material properties of used UHPC

| Characteristic                                      | Mean value |
|----------------------------------------------------|------------|
| cube compressive strength (l = 100 mm)             | 120 MPa    |
| Uniaxial tensile strength (min.)                   | 3 MPa      |
| Modulus of elasticity                               | 45 GPa     |

Microsteel fibers (MSF)

MSF are particularly tightly bound into the very dense, strong and homogeneous structure of the fine-grained UHPC matrix. This allows high bond stresses to be transferred. In addition, the ductilizing effect of the fibers is based on the fact that in the case of cracks in the matrix, the bond friction between the matrix and the fibers is overcome without the fibers themselves cracking [8].

MSF of different lengths (4, 6, 8 and 11 mm) and different diameters (0.13 and 0.17 mm) and a fiber mix (MSF Mix) consisting of the fiber types MSF 4, 6 and 8 in equal weight proportions were analyzed and examined in experimental component tests for their suitability for the MFA process. All MSF were manufactured in accordance with DIN EN 10025-2 [9] as a hot-rolled product from mild steels in wet drawing processes and are certified in accordance with DIN EN 14889-1 [10]. They were brass coated for increased corrosion resistance. Due to the high impermeability of the UHPC structure, however, the near-surface corrosion of the fibers has no adverse effect on the durability of the components anyway [11].

The manufacturing process accounts for the very high tensile strength. Own investigations of the maximum tensile strength at fiber break resulted in values of 3400 MPa for fibers of diameter 0.13 mm and over 3900 MPa for fibers of diameter 0.17 mm. This high tensile strength compared to rebars (340 to 540 MPa) results from work hardening, which takes place during cold forming in the wire drawing process, accompanied by a simultaneous decrease in toughness.

In addition, recycled fibers (fiber type Rec) were also investigated and used. The possible application as concrete reinforcement of this so-called scrap-tire steel has already been investigated in some approaches [12, 13]. The fibers used here were provided by Genan, a company specialized in recycling scrap tires [14]. These uncertified fibers were inconsistent in geometry, some had adhesions of rubber, and most were not brass coated. Their tensile strengths were determined in own tensile tests and were 3800 MPa on average.
To determine the distribution of their geometrical properties such as length, diameter, slenderness and straightness, a sample weighing 10 grams and consisting of about 2500 individual fibers was examined. Figure 1 (left) shows the distribution of lengths and diameters, Figure 1 (right) the distribution of fiber slenderness.

Table 3 shows comparatively the most important geometrical properties of all fiber types. Three correlations between fiber geometry and fiber number should be pointed out here, each related to the same sample weight:

- As can be seen in the comparison between the fiber types MSF 4,6 and 8, the fiber slenderness, i.e. the ratio of fiber length to fiber diameter, increases for the same diameter but rising fiber length. At the same time, the number of individual fibers is reduced.
- The fiber type Rec shows a comparatively low number of individual fibers and a small total surface area due to its on average quite short and thick fibers.
- Compared with the 0.17 mm thick MSF 11, the 0.13 mm thick MSF 8 shows, despite comparable slenderness, a more than 2.3-fold higher number of individual fibers and a total surface area (contact area with the matrix) that is about one third larger.

**Table 3. Comparison of the geometric properties of MSF**

| fiber type | fiber length l [mm] | diameter d [mm] | Slenderness (l/d) | count per g [pcs.] | surface per g [cm²] |
|------------|---------------------|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| MSF 4      | 4                   | 0.13            | 30.1             | 2400                | 39.2              |
| MSF 6      | 6                   | 0.13            | 46.2             | 1600                | 39.2              |
| MSF 8      | 8                   | 0.13            | 61.5             | 1200                | 39.2              |
| MSF Mix    | 5.3 (Ø)             | 0.13            | 42.5 (Ø)         | 1734                | 39.2              |
| MSF 11     | 11                  | 0.17            | 64.7             | 510                 | 30.0              |
| Rec        | 6.3 (Ø)             | 0.32 (Ø)        | 36.9 (Ø)         | 257                 | 15.0              |

Two different fiber types were selected for the centric tensile tests shown below: First, MSF 8, which had proven to be the best performing fiber type within the group of MSF mentioned above. Its advantages, resulting from the high number of slender individual fibers with a large total surface area in relation to the sample weight, was also proven in bending tensile tests. Secondly, the fiber type Rec, which is geometrically inferior to MSF 8 but impresses with its economic and ecological benefits.

**Magnets**

The selection of the magnets was essentially based on two methods: The analysis of different magnetic fields using a FEMM software solution (Finite Element Method Magnetics, [15]) and the real measurements on selected single magnets and magnet combinations.

With the aid of the FEMM simulations, it was possible to visualize and evaluate the complex magnetic field geometry of both individual permanent magnets and their combinations as well as electromagnets. Although the simulations could be used for the specific selection of suitable magnets, the real magnetic flux densities had to be validated due to inaccuracies resulting from the simplified simulation in two-dimensional space. Thus, measurements in 2-millimeter steps up to 30 mm at and between the magnet poles were performed with a calibrated magnetometer. The exclusively used sintered NdFeB - magnets (neodymium-iron-boron) are currently the strongest permanent magnets [16]. They are characterized in particular by a very high energy density in relation to their volume and are classified according to their maximum energy product, which refers to the powers of the magnetic flux per unit volume (N 35 to N 52).
Figure 2. Flux density of MAG 1 and MAG 2 (left); Magnetic field lines of MAG 1 (right)

Figure 2 (left) shows the rapid decrease of the magnetic flux density with increasing distance to the magnet surfaces at the example of the two NdFeB magnets MAG 1 and MAG 2 used here. Figure 2 (right) illustrates the lower flux density between the poles (long side) and the elongated course of the field lines here. In contrast, the flux density is highest directly at the poles, while the field lines are more curved.

End effectors

Various magnets were applied in the research project, which were attached to individual holders (end effectors) on different robot models and CNC systems. Figure 3 shows the end effector used here. It basically consisted of a spring-mounted structure made of aluminum and 3D-printed plastic parts. The stiff parallelogram mechanism of the suspension allowed a defined contact pressure of the magnets, which was controlled by hydraulic shock absorbers. It was capable of alternating two different magnets and corresponding magnetic field geometry. A pneumatically initiated swiveling movement was triggered by a command stored in the machine code, thus enabling the use of two magnets without a conventional tool change. One of the two magnets was attached to a DC motor, which provided continuous and controllable rotation of the MAG 2 about its longitudinal axis when required. For the experiments shown here, the end effector was equipped with the MAG 1 and MAG 2 magnets; the rotation function was not used for the purely linear movements carried out here.

Figure 3. Visualization of the end effector with integrated magnet changer
Efficiency increase of the fiber content

Decisive for the efficiency of the individual MSF in the UHPFRC matrix are the fiber geometry, the bond behavior and the local distribution and orientation [17, 18]. In particular, only that fiber portion is relevant for the transmission of tensile forces that is located in a component parallel or in a moderate deviation to the direction of the occurring tensile stresses. Also, only those locations are of importance where tensile stresses actually occur [19]. Thus, in a component with random distribution of fibers, not even half of the fibers used are relevant for the transmission of tensile forces. They are the only fibers that lead to the required load-transmitting crack bridging in the cracked state of the component, as well as to the resulting failure indication and possible increase in post-crack load-bearing capacity [20]. In contrast, fibers are not effective for the transmission of compressive forces, and the fiber content that is oriented approximately in parallel to the compressive forces occurring in a component remains ineffective [21].

Figure 4 (left) shows the probability of a randomly oriented single fiber deviating from a tensile trajectory. For a small deviation of 0-15° it is only 3.4%. In the range of moderate deviations it is 10.0% (15-30°) and 15.9% (30-45°). On the one hand, this geometric correlation between fiber orientation and fiber effectiveness illustrates the large unused fiber content of about 70%, which can be omitted in components with clear tensile load directions. However, it also shows the narrow spectrum that must be maintained for a directed fiber alignment. Figure 4 (right) clearly shows the difference between a magnetically aligned fiber strand and a random fiber alignment in relation to a defined tensile direction. While the probability distribution of the fiber alignment parallel to the main tensile direction (= 0°) strongly decreases in the case of a random fiber alignment (blue dashed line), the predominant fiber portion of a magnetically treated specimen lies in the range of 0 - 45° (red line), which is relevant for the transmission of tensile forces. In addition, the random, average fiber orientation without reference to a main tensile direction is also shown (black dotted line).

Bond behavior

The design principle of fiber-reinforced concrete differs fundamentally from the fiber-based design principles of nature and the construction methods derived from it, such as fiber-reinforced plastics. While the tensile strengths of these structures are largely based on long, thin fibers oriented in the direction of tension, the composite behavior between fibers and matrix plays a crucial role in short-fiber composites such as UHPFRC. There are two reasons for reinforcing concretes with short fibers. On the one hand, the mixing process of fiber-
reinforced concretes requires a certain dimensional stability of short fibers and their uniform distribution in the matrix; on the other hand, they are mainly required for ductile material behavior, which is achieved by pulling them out of the cracked matrix rather than by tearing them apart. Here, the bond behavior in interaction with the fiber properties as well as the pull-out direction of the fibers is decisive [22, 23].

In the case of straight fiber pull-out, micro-interlocking and adhesion provide the largest contributions to the maximum transmittable fiber tensile forces, friction plays a minor role. Due to fiber deformation at the deflection point, even higher tensile forces can usually be transmitted by the fiber in the case of an angled fiber pull-out. However, the formation of breakout cones at larger angles can affect the deformation capacity of the UHPFRC and lead to a more brittle mode of failure [24]. To gain deeper insight into the composite behavior of the fiber-matrix combination used here, pull-out tests were performed on straight and angled fibers.

![Figure 5](image)

**Figure 5.** Results of pull-out test of individual fibers (left); Fiber orientation and probability of crack bridging (right)

Figure 5 (left) shows the maximum pull-out forces in relation to the bond length as well as the angle of fiber pull-out. The fiber pullout forces at 30° and 45° angles and a bond length of 4 mm increased by 38% and 52%, with increasing damage to the matrix at the pullout location.

However, as shown in Figure 5 (right), increasing deviation of the fiber orientation parallel to the main tensile direction is coupled with a shortening of the projected fiber length and a decrease in the probability of crack bridging. The ratio of the projected length to the bond length is called the fiber orientation coefficient $\eta$. While the projected fiber length is hardly reduced at moderate deviations from the main tensile direction, it already drops to 50% at a deviation of 60°.

The two counteracting effects on fiber effectiveness can therefore partially neutralize each other. Although this expands the range of effective fiber orientation, it makes it more difficult to specify a narrow fiber angle spectrum. However, the tensile load-bearing behavior of UHPFRC cannot be directly derived from the bond behavior of single fibers, since further effects from scattering of the local fiber distribution and the bond behavior of fiber groups cannot be quantified in a universally valid way [25]. Nevertheless, it is a general principle that a fiber orientation parallel to the tensile direction causes an almost linear force decrease in the fiber pull-out phase, and a fiber inclination up to about 45° keeps the tensile forces longer due to fiber redirection - with increased damage to the matrix. A fiber inclination of 45° and above makes crack-bridging force transmission more difficult and faces the risk of being abruptly terminated by fiber rupture [26].

**Process parameters**

The analyses presented above demonstrate that, in addition to the selection of a suitable
fiber-matrix combination, fine adjustment of the process parameters of the MFA process is also necessary to achieve a significant increase in the performance of UHPFRC components.

These process parameters were investigated at ITE using two main experimental methods. A transparent substitute for the liquid UHPC matrix based on glucose syrup was developed to allow immediate visual control of the influence of the magnetic fields on the movement of the fibers. The formulation was adapted to the consistency of the liquid UHPC using modified penetration tests of needles according to Vicat [27] and in spreading tests with the Hägermann cone. Although these two test methods are originally used for the determination of the setting time and yield stress, they could serve here for an approximation of the substitute to the used UHPC: The vacuum-deaerated mixture of glucose syrup 43° and 45° in the mixing ratio 23:77 corresponded to the UHPC matrix at a temperature of 20°C in both test methods with a deviation of penetration time (weight: 300 g, steelball, diameter: 10 mm; travel: 40 mm) and slump flow spread (measurement after 8 min.) of ± 4% each. This substitute replaced a previously used ultrasonic gel based hydrogel and could be used to narrow the process parameters.

The second method was based on the capabilities of 3D image processing. In a parameter study on 27 UHPFRC specimens, the three most important process parameters of travel speed, magnetic field strength and component thickness were investigated. Micro-computed tomography (μCT) was used to acquire the MSF in the cuboid specimens (40 x 40 x 15/20/30 mm). The use of the Amira-Avizo 3D [28] and Fiji [29] software solutions allowed the accurate and automated analysis of the MSF in terms of their distribution, location and orientation in the specimens, allowing the interaction of the three process parameters to be assessed and quantified. Special attention was paid to the evaluation of the mentioned fiber orientation coefficient, which describes the influence of the orientation on the effectiveness of the fiber in a certain axial direction [30], as well as the fiber distribution along the cross-section of the specimens.

As mentioned, two magnets were used in the experiments: MAG 1 had dimensions of 40 × 40 × 80 mm and grade N 45. The north and south poles of the magnet were located at the end of the long central axis, respectively. In a first step, its strong pole side was used to move the randomly distributed MSF from the specimen cross-section toward a cross-section side. The intensity of the fiber movements could be controlled with the travel speed of the end effector. With its edge length of 50 mm, the cube magnet MAG 2 generates a broader magnetic field than the pole side of Mag 1. Its long side could be used in a second step to rotate the locally concentrated MSF into an angular range parallel to the formwork face. In this way, reinforcement layers could be designed in the tensile zones of specimens whose individual fibers, arranged along the tensile trajectories, lay in an angular range of 0°–45°. In this way, reinforcement layers could be designed in the tensile zones of specimens whose individual fibers, arranged along the tensile trajectories, lay in an effective angular range of 0°–45°. The angular range could even be limited to 0°–15° by adjusting the parameters, and the strands could be compacted down to a fiber content of 10% by volume (Figure 6 (left)). A numerical approach to include this fiber distribution, which is not nonhomogeneous across the cross section, in the calculation of the flexural strength is explained in [3, 31].
For component thicknesses of or below 30 mm, such as the dog-bones investigated, an alignment uniformly distributed over the cross-section was achieved with fiber angles deviating only moderately from the tensile direction (Fig. 6 (right)). By fine tuning the parameters of traverse speed, magnet spacing and magnet change, homogeneous and efficient fiber strands could be modeled, especially at higher fiber contents from 2.5% by volume. The gaps between parallel strands could be reduced to 20% of the magnet widths without pronounced mutual interference between the parallel strands during modeling. In these gaps, the formation of three-dimensional transverse ribs could be observed, which formed an arc- or s-shaped bond between the strands depending on the path directions. Since the magnetic field strength of the used magnets at a distance of 30 mm is only about 39% (long side) or 19% (pole side) of the magnet surface (see Figure 2, left), a magnetic treatment on both sides is suitable for components with cross sections larger than 30mm.

Production of the test specimens

All UHPFRC mixtures were produced with a planetary mixer using a flat paddle mixer. The horizontal formworks were filled with shovels from above. In order to exclude the influence of a dominant flow direction and to achieve a fiber concentration as homogeneous as possible without local imperfections, overlapping portions of about 100 ml each were poured in. After a five-minute venting period under low-frequency vibration, the formwork was closed with screws. This was followed by the automated MFA, also in a horizontal position, within a maximum of 35 minutes after the end of mixing. Hardening of all test specimens took place in an upright position at about 20 °C. They were stripped after 48 hours and stored in a moist place until they were tested after 28 days. For the consistency test, the target mini slump flow spread of 270 ± 10 mm was checked with the Hägermann cone without shocks in parallel with the filling of the formwork. In case of deviations, the formworks were cleared and a new mix was prepared.

Centric tensile tests

The effect of near-surface fiber concentration can be used for components that are exposed to a one-sided bending load. For this, detailed experimental and numerical investigations have already been carried out at ITE [3]. However, in order to perform measurements cleaned from this effect regarding the actual tensile load capacities, an experimental setup for centric tensile tests was developed for UHPFRC components of small thickness. Figure 7 (left) shows the geometry of the dog-bones with a linear robot path (red dashed line), the articulated sliding supports on both sides for fixation during the tensile test (center), and the modular design of the formwork (right). The MFA procedure was carried out on one side with the formwork lying flat. In order to quantify the influence of the formwork edges on the fiber orientation and thus the expected increase in tensile strength described in [32], dog-bones of
three different thicknesses were investigated. The tensile tests were performed on a tensile testing machine of the IAM, TU Braunschweig with a 50 kN load cell (accuracy class 0.5 ISO 7500-1) at a speed of \( v = 0.15 \text{ mm/min} \) and a measuring rate of about 10 Hz. Machine force and the machine path were recorded.

Figure 7. Shape and dimensions of the dog-bones (left), testing fixture (middle) and construction of the formwork (right)

In this series of tests, a total of 24 dog-bones were tested. Three different thicknesses of dog-bones, four different fiber contents, two different fiber types, as well as dog-bones treated with the MFA process (M) and not treated (R) were varied. Table 4 lists all the specimens, as well as the results on the measurements performed. There are nine pairs of magnetically treated and non-treated dog-bones, whose measured values were directly compared in the lines *increase [%]*.

Table 4. Measured values from the tensile tests and comparative performance increase

| T  | reinforcement quantity [Vol\%] and (type)* | type R or M **/ increase M to R | specimen thickness t [mm] | 15 | 20 | 30 |
|----|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|----|----|----|
| no.1 | 1.0 (MSF 8) | R | 4.1 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 4.1 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 4.7 | 0.8 | 1.9 |
| 2   | M | 4.3 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 4.2 | 1.4 | 2.5 | 5.8 | 1.3 | 3.8 |
|    | increase [%] | 7 | 21 | 14 | 1 | 55 | 159 | 23 | 63 | 97 |
| 3   | 1.75 (MSF 8) | M | 7.6 | 1.9 | 4.0 | 6.4 | 1.3 | 6.9 | 6.3 | 1.6 | 6.1 |
| 4   | 2.5 (MSF 8) | M | 8.0 | 1.1 | 2.4 | 9.3 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 7.7 | 1.5 | 5.5 |
| 5   | R | 5.9 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 8.6 | 1.6 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 1.1 | 3.3 |
| 6   | 3.5 (MSF 8) | M | 14.3 | 2.0 | 6.2 | 14.5 | 2.5 | 11.5 | 15.5 | 3.5 | 29.9 |
|    | increase [%] | 42 | 18 | 237 | 68 | 56 | 128 | 157 | 218 | 800 |
| 7   | 4.5 (Rec) | R | 6.0 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 7.0 | 0.8 | 1.8 | 7.0 | 1.1 | 5.1 |
| 8   | M | 8.3 | 1.4 | 2.5 | 7.3 | 1.7 | 3.9 | 8.5 | 1.7 | 7.6 |
|    | increase [%] | 38 | 17 | 49 | 4 | 113 | 112 | 21 | 55 | 49 |

24 specimens, e.g. name T 1.0 R (t 15)

* MSF 8: micro steel fibers, 8mm; Rec: recycled steel fibers
** R: random fiber distribution and orientation; M: magnetically controlled fiber distribution and orientation

Since the focus of the investigations was on the performance increase due to the MFA process, the tests were carried out with reduced measurement technology. Therefore, no further measurement data was collected with strain gauges or optical measurement. And since only one dog-bone type was produced at a time and the test results of UHPFRC specimens are generally subject to large scatter, no generally valid conclusions for construction practice can be derived from the measurements shown here. Nevertheless, there were the following clear
trends:

- All specimens treated with the MFA procedure showed higher maximum tensile stress ($\sigma_M$), strain ($\varepsilon_M$) and work ($w$ up to $\varepsilon_M$) than the non-treated specimens at the same fiber content and MSF type.
- The measurements of the maximum tensile stresses did not show a clear correlation with the dog-bone thickness and thus of an influence of the formwork edges.
- The measured values of the series with the recycled fibers "4.5 (REC) M" are on average similar to those of the series "2.5 (MSF 8) M", which in this case would mean an increase of the fiber content of the Rec fibers by about 80% compared to the MSF 8.
- All measured values of the "2.5 (MSF 8) M" series are clearly above those of the "3.5 (MSF 8) R" series. Thus, in this case, at least 1% by volume, or a fiber content of 40%, could be saved by the MFA process. This level of savings potential is also basically in agreement with the results of previous bending tensile tests [3].

Figure 8 (left, right) compares the series "1.0 (MSF 8) M", "1.0 (MSF 8) R", "3.5 (MSF 8) M" and "3.5 (MSF 8) R". The marked average max. tensile stresses of the respective series illustrate the increasing effect of the MFA process with increasing fiber content. The measured maximum tensile stresses of the "3.5 (MSF 8) M" series are higher than all measurement results of comparable tests on UHPFRC dog-bones listed in [33]. CT scans of the dog-bone T 3.5 M (t30) confirmed the particularly high homogeneous and parallel fiber alignment and distinct multicrack formation.

![Figure 8](image-url)

**Figure 8.** Stress-elongation diagram of M and R series, fiber content 1% by volume (left); stress-strain diagram of M and R series, fiber content 3.5% by volume (right)

Figure 9 shows the maximum tensile stresses reached in all 24 dog-bones tested in relation to the fiber content. It indicates that the fiber effectiveness of the M series treated with the MFA process (MSF 8) increases overproportionally with increasing fiber content, and that the MVA process is particularly effective with higher fiber contents. However, this does not apply to the same extent to the treated dog-bones with recycled fibers. Here, despite the high fiber content, only a smaller mean increase in the maximum tensile stresses of 21% was recorded.
Economic and ecological savings potential

On the basis of the centric tensile tests described above, it is possible to derive both economic and ecological savings potentials that result from the reduction of the fiber content. The magnitude of these savings depends to a large extent on the formulation of the UHPFRC under consideration. Figure 10 (left) shows the widely varying cost contribution of MSF to the concrete formulation used at a fiber content of 2.5% by volume. In the centric tensile tests, MSF of Scenario 1 (Stratec) and 4 (Genan) were used. In Scenario 2 and 3, the costs of comparable products from competitors were applied. The economic savings potential of the pure material costs of all four scenarios can be estimated as follows according to the test results:

The 40% reduction in fiber content achieved with the MFA process in the tests reduces the total cost of the UHPFRC by 24% in Scenario 1, 18% in Scenario 2, and 12% in scenario 3. Despite the 80% increase in recycled fiber content required for equivalent performance of the UHPFRC compared to the 2.5% by volume fiber content of brand-new MSG 8, the total cost of the UHPFRC would be reduced by 55% in scenario 1, 38% in scenario 2, and 20% in scenario 3.

Figure 10 (right) compares the shares of the individual UHPFRC ingredients of an average UHPC in different impact categories. In four of the five impact categories, the share of MSF occupies more than 50% and ranks first, even ahead of cement. Thus, the magnitude of the environmental savings potential resulting from the reduction of the fiber content is compar-
ble to the economic savings of scenario 1.

The sustainability analysis carried out in [34] divides the environmental impact of the production of MSF into the sub-processes wet drawing (40-50%), production of the electrical steel (30-40%) and hot rolling and stranding (2-20%). Replacing new MSF with recycled MSF offers high environmental savings potential, as these fibers have already undergone all energy-intensive manufacturing processes. However, their non-ideal geometrical properties in terms of length, diameter and straightness increase the necessary fiber content compared to uniform brandnew MSF. On the one hand, this resulted in poorer processability in the mixing process, and on the other hand, they therefore also showed lower performance in the transmission of tensile forces. However, since a satisfactory life cycle assessment of structures made of UHPFRC compared to structures made of normal concrete is mainly achieved by the potentially longer service life, the use of a higher fiber content is put into perspective.

Conclusion and outlook

The aim of the research described is to create the basis for the production of resource-efficient components with the aid of the controlled, automated magnetic distribution and orientation of MSF in UHPFRC. This defined arrangement of fibers significantly increases the efficiency of the fiber content used in a component and can thus lead to a large increase in performance or a significant reduction in the MFS used. As a result, in addition to improved processability of the UHPFRC, there is a large potential for saving ecological and economic resources, which can be significantly increased by using recycled MSF. In the future, the reproducible control of the process, which is to be ensured by using the latest developments in the field of automated production, will be decisive for an economical, practical construction application of the process, which has already been tested on a laboratory scale.

![Diagram](figure.png)

**Figure 11.** Illustration of a novel end effector for MFA integrated in layered extrusion

Beyond the application of the process for components made of UHPFRC cast in molds, the use of the principle of MFA in modified form is also possible in the additive manufacturing (AM) of concrete components. For example, initial trial runs of the technique in a combined process with Shotcrete 3D Printing (SC3DP) [35], developed at DBFL, have already shown promising results, providing clear indications of a possible novel reinforcement strategy for concrete components manufactured without formwork.

In addition, the application of the MFA process in other AM methods is currently being investigated at the ITE. The first end effectors for the integration of MFA into 3D concrete printing approaches based on layered extrusion and selective binding have already been produced and are now to be tested. In this context also the use of vertically oriented MSF is being investigated to avoid cold joints between extruded horizontal layers, as shown in Figure 11. One of the larger challenges in transferring the MFA process to AM construction methods without formwork is the higher viscosity of AM concrete formulations compared to fresh
UHPFRC. Therefore, an approach is presently pursued to reduce the viscosity of the matrix locally and for a short time during the printing process and thereby achieve a higher agility of the magnetically stimulated MSF.

The research field of magnetic fiber alignment thus has the potential to be extended beyond the application possibilities described to other innovative construction methods and contribute to the development of more resource-efficient concrete structures.
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