Prevalence of Congenital Heart Defects Associated with Down Syndrome in Korea
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INTRODUCTION

Down syndrome (DS) is a well-known genetic disorder that places affected individuals at increased risk for several medical morbidities, especially congenital heart defect (CHD). The prevalence of CHD among infants born with DS is reported to range from 40% to 60% compared with 0.8% in the general population (1). The prevalence of DS, the most frequent chromosomal abnormality, has remained stable over time with increasing average maternal age despite higher prenatal detection rates. However, there are few reports concerning the prevalence of CHD in infants with DS in Korea.

The frequency of CHD in infants with DS varies among geographical locations. In the United States of America and Europe, an atrioventricular septal defect (AVSD) is reportedly the most common CHD associated with DS (2-8). However, in Asian communities, a ventricular septal defect (VSD) is the most common defect (9, 10), whereas in Latin America, an atrial septal defect (ASD) is reportedly the most common CHD associated with DS (2-8). However, in Asian communities, a ventricular septal defect (VSD) is the most common defect (9, 10), whereas in Latin America, an atrial septal defect (ASD) is reportedly the most common defect (11). For Korea, however, there are no data available for the frequency and types of CHD in infants with DS in Korea.

The aim of this study was to identify the frequency and distribution of CHD in infants with DS in Korea and compare it with the prevalence in infants with CHD who have non-chromosomal abnormalities. To our knowledge, this study was planned to establish epidemiologic data about the distribution of CHD in infants with DS. We believe this study will provide baseline data for future studies on the epidemiology and management of infants with DS in Korea.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study analyzed data from the Congenital Anomaly Survey and the Infant and Maternal Mortality Survey, which were both performed by the Korea Institute for Health and Social Affairs (12, 13). These surveys were conducted using data from all Korean medical institutes from January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2006, and based on the medical insurance claims database of the National Health Insurance Corporation.

Research categories and subjects were selected in advance through pilot surveys, and a web-based research system was regulated to improve data acquisition and screening. During the data collection period, progress was monitored in real time, and corrective actions were implemented to respond to issues raised by the participating institutes. The data collected through these processes were reviewed and re-checked for accuracy,
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and differences or overlaps in birth defect diagnoses among the medical institutes were adjusted for uniform reporting.

Since the possible period of diagnosis for birth defects is wide, we only included data from the first postnatal year. This approach is in agreement with other international bodies, such as International Clearinghouse for Birth Defects Surveillance and Research (ICBDSR) and European Surveillance of Congenital Anomalies (EUROCAT), which generally include only data from the first postnatal year in their analysis. The Korean Medical Record Association integrated the database of birth defects with ongoing surveillance at each medical institute.

Birth defects were classified by Q code, according to the 10th revision of the International Classification of Diseases. Defects were analyzed according to the methods of EUROCAT, ICBDSR, and the National Birth Defects Prevention Network (NBDPN), which are global leaders in this field. In this study, only study subjects who were confirmed by chromosomal analysis were included and cases with rule-out diagnoses of birth defects and mismatching between disease diagnoses and medical records were excluded. Regarding fetal cardiac anomalies, which are closely accompanied by other heart defects, we focused only on the major anomalies, and divided the results into 3 groups with the assistance of the fetal heart specialist.

In this study, we calculated the total birth prevalence rate of each anomaly per 10,000 births, with the number of total births as the denominator, and the number of each anomaly as the numerator. We collected data regarding birth defects, in the first postnatal year, from the medical insurance claims database (sorted by main disease code and sub-code), and attempted to collect all information prior to analysis. Among the research categories, only easily accessible data regarding the risk factors of birth defects were utilized. Collected variables were maternal age, paternal age, parental occupation, parental education level, gestational age, birth weight, and pregnancy with or without multiple gestations.

Statistical analysis
We reviewed maternal and paternal age, parental job, educational background, gestational age at delivery, birthweight, and fetal sex as variables for analysis and performed the Poisson distribution to calculate 95% confidence intervals of DS prevalence.

**Ethics statement**
The study protocol was approved by the institutional review board of Gangnam Severance Hospital (IRB No. 3-2011-0286). Informed consent was waived by the board.

**RESULTS**
The number of total births in Korea was 888,263 in 2005-2006; of them, 25,975 had birth defects with a prevalence of 292.4 per 10,000 total births. The prevalence of chromosomal anomalies was 7.9 per 10,000 total births, accounting for 2.7% of all birth defects in 2005-2006. DS was the most common chromosomal anomaly and its prevalence was 4.4 per 10,000 total births, accounting for 1.5% of all birth defects (Table 1).

Baseline demographic characteristics of infants with DS, chromosomal abnormalities, and non-chromosomal abnormalities are presented in Table 2. There were significant differences in maternal age, paternal age, gestational age at delivery, and birth weights among infants with DS and those with non-chromosomal abnormalities.

Of the 394 infants with DS, 224 (56.9%) had a CHD (Table 3). There were significant differences in gestational age at delivery and birth weights between DS without CHD and those with CHD.

The data for CHD in infants with DS are summarized in Table 4. Atrial septal defect (ASD) was the most common defect reported as 30.5%, ventricular septal defect (VSD) 19.3%, patent ductus arteriosus (PDA) 17.5%, atrioventricular septal defect (AVSD) (9.4%), and tetralogy of Fallot (TOF) 2.5%. There were

| Parameters                | DS (n = 394) | Chromosomal abnormalities (n = 700) | Non-chromosomal abnormalities (n = 25,275) | \( p^* \) | \( p^\dagger \) |
|---------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|--------|--------|
| Maternal age (yr)         | 33.0 ± 5.4  | 32.2 ± 5.2                         | 30.5 ± 4.0                                | < 0.001| < 0.001|
| Paternal age (yr)         | 35.6 ± 5.5  | 34.7 ± 5.1                         | 33.2 ± 4.3                                | < 0.001| < 0.001|
| Gestational age (weeks)   | 35.1 ± 6.0  | 34.7 ± 6.2                         | 37.9 ± 3.5                                | < 0.001| < 0.001|
| Birth weight (grams)      | 2,585 ± 925 | 2,417 ± 1,002                      | 3,040 ± 683                               | < 0.001| < 0.001|
| Fetal sex ratio (M/F)     | 1.3         | 1.1                                | 1.3                                       | 0.812  | 0.118  |

*Comparison between groups with DS and non-chromosomal abnormalities; **Comparison between groups with chromosomal abnormalities and non-chromosomal abnormalities.

**Table 2. Demographic characteristics of Down syndrome, chromosomal abnormalities, and non-chromosomal abnormalities in Korea**
significant differences in ASD, VSD, PDA, AVSD, and coarctation of the aorta (CoA) between infants with DS and those with non-chromosomal abnormalities and in PDA, TOF, AVSD, and CoA between infants with chromosomal abnormalities and those with non-chromosomal abnormalities.

Table 3. Demographic characteristics of Down syndrome without congenital heart defect and those with congenital heart defect

| Parameters                           | Total patients with Down syndrome |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
|                                      | without CHD (n = 170) | with CHD (n = 224) | P  |
| Maternal age (yr)                    | 32.9 ± 5.8                  | 33.0 ± 5.2         | 0.912 |
| Paternal age (yr)                    | 35.9 ± 4.9                  | 35.4 ± 5.7         | 0.511 |
| Gestational age (weeks)             | 31.6 ± 7.5                  | 37.7 ± 2.1         | < 0.001 |
| Birthweight (grams)                 | 2,128 ± 1,167               | 2,897 ± 525        | < 0.001 |
| Fetal sex ratio (M/F)               | 1.6                         | 1.1                | 0.116 |

*Comparison between groups with DS and non-chromosomal abnormalities; †Comparison between groups with chromosomal abnormalities and non-chromosomal abnormalities.

Of the 394 infants with DS, 122 (31.0%) had single heart defects and 102 (25.9%) had multiple heart defects. There was no significant difference in single heart defects between infants with DS and those with non-chromosomal abnormalities, whereas there was a significant difference in multiple heart defects between infants with DS and those with non-chromosomal abnormalities. CHD was significantly more frequent in infants with DS than in infants with non-chromosomal abnormalities (Table 5).

With regard to CHD, the frequencies of total CHD, single heart defects, and multiple heart defects were higher in infants with DS than in infants with other chromosomal abnormalities. However, extracardiac anomalies were seen more frequently in infants with Trisomy 13 or Turner syndrome than in those with DS (Table 6).

Table 4. Distribution of major congenital heart defects in Down syndrome

| Heart defects                  | No. (%) in DS (n = 394) | No. (%) in chromosomal abnormalities (n = 700) | No. (%) in Non-chromosomal abnormalities (n = 25,275) | P* | P† |
|--------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|-----|-----|
| ASD (Q21.1)                    | 120 (30.5)             | 163 (23.3)                                    | 5719 (22.6)                                           | < 0.001 | 0.681 |
| VSD (Q21.0)                    | 76 (19.3)              | 109 (15.6)                                    | 3304 (13.1)                                           | < 0.001 | 0.084 |
| PDA (Q 25.0)                   | 69 (17.3)              | 81(11.6)                                      | 1194 (4.7)                                            | < 0.001 | < 0.001 |
| PV atresia/stenosis (Q22.0/Q22.1) | 4 (1.0)               | 6 (0.9)                                       | 426 (1.7)                                             | 0.304 | 0.091 |
| TOF (Q21.3)                    | 10 (2.5)               | 20 (2.9)                                      | 361 (1.4)                                             | 0.067 | 0.002 |
| AVSD (Q 21.2)                  | 37 (9.4)               | 43 (6.1)                                      | 271 (1.1)                                             | < 0.001 | < 0.001 |
| CoA (Q22.5.1)                  | 8 (2.0)                | 18 (2.6)                                      | 204 (0.8)                                             | 0.008 | < 0.001 |
| DORV (Q20.1)                   | 2 (0.5)                | 9 (1.3)                                       | 168 (0.7)                                             | 0.703 | 0.049 |
| TGA (Q20.3)                    | 1 (0.3)                | 2 (0.3)                                       | 143 (0.6)                                             | 0.411 | 0.327 |
| Single ventricle (Q20.4)       | 1 (0.3)                | 2 (0.3)                                       | 29 (1.0)                                              | 0.423 | 0.196 |

*Comparison between groups with DS and non-chromosomal abnormalities; †Comparison between groups with chromosomal abnormalities and non-chromosomal abnormalities.

Table 5. Frequency of congenital heart defects in patients with Down syndrome, chromosomal abnormalities, and non-chromosomal abnormalities in Korea

| Heart defects                  | No. (%) in DS (n = 394) | No. (%) in chromosomal abnormalities (n = 700) | No. (%) in Non-chromosomal abnormalities (n = 25,275) | P* | P† |
|--------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|-----|-----|
| Single heart defects           | 122 (31.0)             | 190 (27.1)                                    | 7,997 (31.6)                                          | 0.775 | 0.012 |
| ASD (Q21.1)                    | 36 (9.1)               | 49 (7.0)                                      | 3,295 (13.0)                                          | 0.022 | < 0.001 |
| VSD (Q21.0)                    | 16 (4.1)               | 25 (3.6)                                      | 1,772 (7.0)                                           | 0.022 | < 0.001 |
| PDA (Q 25.0)                   | 19 (4.8)               | 26 (3.7)                                      | 517 (2.0)                                             | < 0.001 | 0.002 |
| PV atresia/stenosis (Q22.0/Q22.1) | 0 (0)                | 2 (0.3)                                       | 229 (0.9)                                             | 0.058 | 0.085 |
| TOF (Q21.3)                    | 10 (2.5)               | 18 (2.6)                                      | 352 (1.4)                                             | 0.056 | 0.009 |
| AVSD (Q 21.2)                  | 29 (7.4)               | 32 (4.6)                                      | 233 (0.9)                                             | < 0.001 | < 0.001 |
| CoA (Q22.5.1)                  | 2 (0.5)                | 6 (0.9)                                       | 75 (0.3)                                              | 0.448 | 0.009 |
| DORV (Q20.1)                   | 2 (0.5)                | 9 (1.3)                                       | 165 (0.7)                                             | 0.722 | 0.043 |
| TGA (Q20.3)                    | 1 (0.3)                | 1 (0.1)                                       | 141 (0.6)                                             | 0.419 | 0.142 |
| Single ventricle (Q20.4)       | 1 (0.3)                | 2 (0.3)                                       | 29 (1.0)                                              | 0.423 | 0.196 |

*Comparison between groups with DS and non-chromosomal abnormalities; †Comparison between groups with chromosomal abnormalities and non-chromosomal abnormalities.
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Table 6. Chromosome anomalies detected in infants with single heart defect, multiple heart defect, and extracardiac anomalies

| Birth defects                  | No. (%) in birth defects (n = 25,975) | Congenital heart defects (n = 11,031) | Single heart defects (n = 8,187) | Multiple heart defects (n = 2,844) | Extracardiac anomalies (n = 15,988) |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Trisomy 13                     | 9 (0.03)                             | 3 (33.3)                             | 2 (22.2)                       | 1 (11.1)                          | 5 (55.6)                            |
| Trisomy 18                     | 42 (0.17)                            | 11 (26.2)                            | 7 (16.7)                       | 4 (9.5)                           | 10 (23.8)                           |
| Down’s syndrome                | 394 (1.52)                           | 224 (56.8)                           | 122 (31.0)                     | 102 (25.9)                        | 64 (16.2)                           |
| Turner’s syndrome              | 32 (0.12)                            | 6 (18.8)                             | 4 (12.5)                       | 3 (9.4)                           | 13 (40.6)                           |
| Kleinfelter’s syndrome         | 13 (0.05)                            | 3 (23.1)                             | 2 (15.4)                       | 1 (7.7)                           | 5 (38.5)                            |
| Wolff-Hirshorn syndrome        | 4 (0.02)                             | 1 (25.0)                             | 1 (25.0)                       | 0 (0)                             | 3 (75)                              |
| Cri-du-chat syndrome           | 12 (0.05)                            | 5 (41.7)                             | 3 (25.0)                       | 2 (16.7)                          | 4 (33.3)                            |
| Other chromosomal anomalies    | 194 (0.74)                           | 77 (39.7)                            | 49 (25.3)                      | 28 (14.4)                         | 90 (46.4)                           |
| Total                          | 700 (2.7)                            | 330 (47.1)                           | 190 (27.1)                     | 140 (20.0)                        | 195 (27.9)                          |

Data are presented as No. (%) in each chromosomal anomaly unless otherwise specified.

Table 7. Adjusted risk factors of infants with CHD and chromosomal abnormalities

| Risk factors | All chromosomal abnormalities | Infants with chromosomal abnormalities and CHD | RR (95% CI) |
|--------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------|
| Maternal age |                                |                                               |             |
| < 35         | 453                            | 219 (48.3)                                    | 1 (referent) |
| ≥ 35         | 195                            | 85 (43.8)                                     | 1.467 (0.611-1.724) |
| Paternal age |                                |                                               |             |
| < 35         | 243                            | 144 (59.3)                                    | 1 (referent) |
| ≥ 35         | 213                            | 121 (56.8)                                    | 0.931 (0.589-1.474) |
| Infant sex   |                                |                                               |             |
| Male         | 354                            | 175 (49.4)                                    | 1 (referent) |
| Female       | 313                            | 154 (49.2)                                    | 0.996 (0.674-1.467) |
| Gestational weeks |                  |                                               |             |
| ≥ 37         | 406                            | 236 (58.1)                                    | 1 (referent) |
| < 37         | 254                            | 70 (27.6)                                     | 0.987 (0.612-1.467) |

CHD, congenital heart defect; RR, relative risk; CI, confidence interval.

DISCUSSION

This is the first nationwide study of CHDs in infants with DS in Korea. The total number of births in Korea was 888,263 in 2005-2006, with 25,975 birth defects in 25,335 live births and 640 stillbirths. The prevalence of DS was 4.4 per 10,000 total births, accounting for 1.5% for all birth defects. We found that CHDs occurred in 56.3% of infants with DS, which is similar with the prevalence presented in the previous literature (44%-58%). In our study, ASD was the most common CHD, occurring in 52.9% of all CHDs in the Asian population, while AVSD has been reported in the range of 11.8%-22.0% (20-22). In contrast, Caucasian studies have reported that AVSD occurs in 35.7%-60.1% of DS cases, while VSD occurs in 15.6%-35.0% of cases (23, 24).

Our results were unique compared to those from prior Asian studies. ASD (30.5%) was the most common CHD in infants with DS, followed in frequency by VSD, PDA, and AVSD. We also found that AVSD accounted for only 9.4% of all CHDs, which is in stark contrast to the prevalence of AVSD in Caucasian studies. Similar to findings in Mexican cases of DS, we found that ASD was the most common CHD, while AVSD was the least common, as reported in previous Asian studies. AVSD occurred in only 9% of all CHDs in Mexican infants with DS, whereas ASD, VSD, and PDA were more common. Furthermore, the total number of VSD cases (19.3%) in our results was much lower than in previous Asian studies (6, 20, 25).

In our study, the AVSD was the fourth most prevalent CHD, accounting for 9.4% of CHDs in infants with DS. However, as a single heart defect, AVSD was the second most prevalent defect, occurring in 7.4% of all single heart defects, second only to ASD.
Regarding the prevalence of CHD in infants with DS born to women < 35 yr and those ≥ 35 yr, we found that mothers ≥ 35 yr were more likely to give birth to a DS child with a CHD.

It has been reported that the prevalence of CHD varies, depending on the presence of DS and other non-chromosomal abnormalities. For instance, the Baltimore-Washington Infant Study found AVSD in only 2.8% of non-DS cases, compared to 60.1% of DS cases. As indicated by various studies, several CHDs observed in non-chromosomal abnormalities are rare, although they are common in DS cases.

Advanced maternal age is a well-known risk factor for DS. In Korea, there is an ongoing trend towards delayed childbearing, and older mothers are known to have an increased risk of pregnancies with chromosomal anomalies, including DS. An increase in the prevalence of DS will result in a significant increase in the cost of early diagnosis and effective management of CHD, as the current trend is to treat these infants in the same manner as non-syndromic individuals. In our study, maternal age, paternal age, and fetal sex ratio were not significantly different between DS infants with or without CHD.

Differences in the prevalence of ASD, VSD, PDA, AVSD, and CoA among patients with DS or non-chromosomal abnormalities were found to statistically significant. However, differences in the prevalence of PDA, TOF, AVSD, CoA, and DORV among patients with DS or non-chromosomal abnormalities were not statistically significant. Unfortunately, we cannot accurately perform more detailed statistical analysis, as the majority of the data had insufficient detail regarding medication taken during pregnancy, or maternal employment and living environment, which are all important environmental factors that could affect the prevalence of DS. Therefore, future research is needed for more accurate and objective analysis.

The reported frequencies of CHD may vary with the variability of classification criteria, the conduct of postmortem examination, examiner experience, social and economic factors and so on. With advanced ultrasound technology and increasing experience and interest in prenatal screening, it may increase the detection rate of CHD. However, since postmortem examinations of stillborn infants are conducted less commonly in Korea than in other countries, this may result in the underestimation of the frequency of CHD.

Our results indicate a need for timely identification and increased awareness of the development of CHD in DS, which might help decrease neonatal morbidity and increase overall health and wellness. It is necessary to assess and collect periodic data, so that trends can be monitored for early recognition and optimal management of CHD in infants with DS. Our study contributes to the understanding of the effects of DS, and provides the basis for identifying the distribution of CHD in infants with DS in Korea.
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