2D Cu-TCNQ Metal–Organic Networks Induced by Surface Alloying
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ABSTRACT: We have studied the self-assembly of 7,7,8,8-tetracyanoquinodimethane molecules on the $\sqrt{3}\times\sqrt{2}$ reconstruction of the SnCu(001) surface alloy by means of X-ray photoemission spectroscopy, scanning tunneling microscopy, near-edge X-ray absorption fine structure spectroscopy, and density functional theory calculations. Our results show that surface alloying strongly attenuates the chemical interaction of the molecule with the surface, but it does not inhibit the charge transfer from the substrate to the molecules. The assembly mechanism of the molecules is completely modified with respect to the bare Cu(001) surface. We show that, on the SnCu(100) surface alloy, the strong CN–Cu interaction drives the formation of different coordination structures with native Cu adatoms. We found that the flexible coordination chemistry of Cu allows the formation of three different stable phases, each one with the Cu ions in a different coordination geometry (coordinations 4, 3, and 2). Moreover, we show that both the formation of lateral H bonds between adjacent molecules and the interaction of the Cu ion with the substrate play determinant roles in the stabilization of the structures.

INTRODUCTION

The synthesis of two-dimensional (2D) supramolecular networks by the self-assembly of organic molecules on metal surfaces has attracted considerable attention for the possibility of conferring novel properties to a substrate. However, a rational production of these networks is still a difficult task since the stabilization and the functionality of the organic layer crucially depend on the interplay between the molecule–molecule interactions and the molecule–surface interactions. Therefore, an appropriate control of the surface in the assembling mechanisms is required to build up and tune the properties of the organic layers. In this sense, much effort has been dedicated to study and compare the growth of supramolecular networks on metal surfaces with different degrees of reactivity.

Less explored substrates for the growth of supramolecular networks are the surface alloys, which have been extensively used in the study of heterogeneous catalysis. The deposition at room temperature (RT) of 0.5 ML of Sn atoms on Cu(001) produces a single-layer surface alloy with a $\sqrt{3}\times\sqrt{2}$ reconstruction (in short $\sqrt{3}/2$). The corresponding Sn/Cu composition is 3:2 due to the formation of Cu vacancy rows oriented along the [010] directions, as indicated in Figure 1b. At 360 K, there is a reversible phase transition to a $\sqrt{3}\times\sqrt{2}$ reconstruction, where the Cu vacancies break their alignment by lateral displacement, whereas the Sn atoms remain, on average, close to the low-temperature positions. The $\sqrt{3}/2$ reconstruction is a promising system for fine-tuning the molecule/substrate interaction since Sn alloying significantly reduces the Cu(001) surface reactivity to carboxyl and amino groups.

In this work, we investigate the response of the $\sqrt{3}/2$ surface alloy reconstruction to a reactive molecule, the strong organic-acceptor 7,7,8,8-tetracyanoquinodimethane (TCNQ) molecule (Figure 1a), and how the modified substrate affects the corresponding assembly of the molecules. Many studies...
regarding the assembly of TCNQ on different metallic crystalline surfaces have shown that phenomena such as electronic charge transfer and molecular bonding strongly depend on the nature of the substrate (structure and electronics).\textsuperscript{14–20}

Additionally, TCNQ has been extensively used as an organic ligand in the production of 2D metal–organic networks (MONs) on surfaces with different magnetic, electronic, and structural properties, which are also strongly affected by the choice of the substrate.\textsuperscript{21–28} In the previous reports, the molecules and the metal centers were separately evaporated on the surface. An alternative route to produce MONs is to incorporate atoms or to capture native adatoms directly from the substrate. The coordination to native adatoms has been proposed in a very recent re-evaluation of the TCNQ network stabilization on Ag(111), indeed.\textsuperscript{20} No MONs with native Cu atoms were reported for the TCNQ networks on Cu(001)\textsuperscript{15} and Cu(111),\textsuperscript{19} although native adatoms on Cu crystalline surfaces are known to coordinate to the nitrile terminal groups of adsorbed molecules.\textsuperscript{29–33}

We show here that the modification of Cu(001) by Sn surface alloying strongly reduces the chemical interaction of TCNQ molecules with the surface, which completely modifies the self-assembly mechanisms and gives rise to three different coordination structures based on the incorporation of Cu adatoms.

\section*{Methods}

The experiments of scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) were carried out at the Centro Atómico Bariloche, Argentina, using an ultrahigh vacuum system from Omicron Nanotechnology. All of the STM images reported in this work were taken at RT using W tips. The chamber is equipped with a low-energy electron diffraction (LEED) optics, a home-made sputtering gun, and evaporators. The Cu(001) substrate (Mateck, orientation accuracy $\pm 0.1^\circ$) was prepared by repeated cycles of Ar$^+$ at 1.5 keV bombardment and then annealing at 770 K. Sn was evaporated from a home-made resistively heated boron-nitride (BN) crucible onto the clean Cu(001) surface, kept at RT, at a rate of 0.1 monolayer (ML) every 2.5 min. The formation of the 3$\sqrt{2}$ structure was checked by LEED. The TCNQ molecules (Sigma-Aldrich, 98% purity) were also evaporated from a home-made resistively heated BN crucible at a rate of approximately 0.1 ML every 5 min. We define 1 ML as the coverage corresponding to 1 molecule or atom per Cu atom of the top Cu(001) surface. All of the TCNQ adsorption were done with the surface alloy (3$\sqrt{2}$ reconstruction) kept at a fixed T. Note that when T is above 360 K, the reconstruction is ($\sqrt{2} \times \sqrt{2}$)R45$^\circ$, as indicated in the Introduction section.

The X-ray photoemission spectroscopy (XPS) and near-edge X-ray absorption fine structure spectroscopy (NEXAFS) experiments were carried out at the ALOISA beamline\textsuperscript{36,35} of the ELETTRA synchrotron, at Trieste. The XPS spectra were measured at grazing incidence (4$^\circ$ from the surface) and in normal emission by means of a hemispherical electron analyzer (angular acceptance of 2$^\circ$). We measured the N 1s, Sn 4d, and Cu 3p photoemission spectra with a photon energy of 515 eV and an overall energy resolution of 230 meV. We also measured the C 1s and Cu 3p with a photon energy of 380 eV (overall resolution of 140 meV). The binding energy (BE) of each spectrum is calibrated to the position of the Cu 3p peak. NEXAFS spectra at the nitrogen K-edge were taken by means of partial electron yield with a channeltron facing the sample and a negatively biased grid in between for rejecting low-energy secondary electrons (bias of -370 V). The photon energy resolution was set to about 100 meV. Absolute energy calibration has been obtained a posteriori through the nitrogen absorption signal observed in the N$_2$ drain current, as measured on the metal coating of the refocusing mirror, that was independently calibrated by simultaneous acquisition of the gas phase absorption spectra from N$_2$.\textsuperscript{35} The spectra were collected by keeping the sample at constant grazing angle of 6 degrees with respect to the surface while rotating the surface around the photon beam axis to switch from transverse magnetic (‘$p$’) to transverse electric (‘$s$’) polarization. Further details about the scattering geometry can be found in ref 36.

To compensate for the variation of the photon flux across the N K-edge, we have normalized the NEXAFS spectra from the TCNQ overlayer to the spectra taken from the bare 3$\sqrt{2}$ reconstruction.

We performed the calculations based on the density functional theory (DFT) using the Quantum ESPRESSO package,\textsuperscript{37} a plane wave implementation with the projected augmented wave method. To take into account possible molecule–surface van der Waals interactions, we used the rV10 exchange–correlation functional,\textsuperscript{38} which is a revised version of VV10 nonlocal density functional by Vydrov and Van Voorhis.\textsuperscript{39} For the surface calculations, we have used the slab method with five Cu layers and the Sn alloy in the topmost layer. For all of the calculations, we fixed the two lower layers, while all other atoms are allowed to relax. We used a wave function/charge cutoff of 60/600 Ry, and Brillouin integrations were done using a grid equivalent to 12 $\times$ 12 $\times$ 1 $k$-points for the surface unit cell.

To compare the energy of different molecular configurations, we evaluated a weighted molecular adsorption energy, $\epsilon_{ads}$, for each configuration as

$$\epsilon_{ads} = \frac{E_{conf} - E_{surf} - N_{ad}E_{at} - E_{TCNQ}}{N_{TCNQ}}$$

where $E_{conf}$ is the total energy of the configuration of N$_{TCNQ}$ molecules adsorbed on the surface with N$_{ad}$ adatoms, $E_{surf}$ is the total energy of the clean surface with the same area, and $E_{TCNQ}$ is the total energy of an isolated (gas phase) molecule. In this experiment, all of the metal atoms that are part of the coordination structures are not codeposited with the molecules but are directly incorporated from the substrate either by metal extraction (like found for most of porphyrinoids on metals and oxides\textsuperscript{40,41}) or by capture of native adatoms. The latter ones are formed by atom detachment at kinks, where the corresponding energy cost for attachment/detachment is identical to that of a bulk energy atom.\textsuperscript{42} Therefore, for configurations with adatoms (Cu or Sn), $E_{at}$ is the reference energy per atom: for Cu, we have taken the corresponding bulk energy, and for Sn, we have taken the energy of an Sn atom in the 3$\sqrt{2}$ surface alloy reconstruction.

\section*{Results and Discussion}

The assembly of TCNQ on Cu(001) at room temperature (RT) has been studied by Tseng et al.\textsuperscript{15} In this system, there is a considerable electronic charge transfer from the surface to the molecule. The molecules arrange in rectangular-shape islands with a preferential growth direction, as indicated by the yellow arrow in Figure 2a. From the STM image, we obtained
a unit cell of \( b_{01}^{Cu} = (12.5 \pm 1) \text{ Å} \) and \( b_{01}^{Sn} = (7.4 \pm 0.7) \text{ Å} \) and a subtended angle of \( \alpha = 98 \pm 3^\circ \) in agreement with previously reported values.\(^{15}\) In terms of the unit cell vectors \( a_1 \) and \( a_2 \) of the underlying Cu(001) substrate, the obtained unit cell vectors of the adlayer are compatible with a commensurate structure: \( b_{01}^{Cu} = 4a_1 + 3a_2 \) and \( b_{01}^{Sn} = -2a_1 + 2a_2 \).\(^{15}\) According to Tseng et al.,\(^{13}\) there are no Cu adatoms in this structure but a strong interaction between the nitrile groups and the Cu atoms, causing rippling of the top surface layer. Remarkably, the molecular domains grow along a direction that corresponds to a head-to-head molecular alignment. Clearly, the molecule-to-substrate interaction overcomes also the electrostatic repulsion between the nitrile groups.

To compare with the surface alloy, we performed DFT calculations also for the \((43 \bar{2} 2)-\text{TCNQ/Cu(100)}\) phase. We obtained an adsorption energy \( (\epsilon_{ads}) \) of 3.68 eV and a N–Cu bond length of \( d_{N-Cu} = 2.05 \text{ Å} \) (Table 1). Additionally, the calculations indicate that the CN groups are bent toward the surface, forming an angle of \( \phi = 15.5^\circ \) with respect to the surface plane, in agreement with previous results.\(^{15}\)

Figure 2c shows a large-scale STM image after RT deposition of TCNQ on the 3\(\sqrt{2}\) surface alloy reconstruction. The parallel lines that are clearly distinguished in the areas of the surface free of molecules correspond to the 3\(\sqrt{2}\) reconstruction and are oriented along the [100] and [010] crystallographic directions. Although the prepared interface shows no long-range order, a quasi-rectangular structure is observed at the local level, as indicated in Figure 2c (a phase).

Figure 3a shows a domain of the \( \alpha \) phase, where the rectangular unit cell \( b_{1}^{Cu} = 11.0 \pm 0.5 \text{ Å} \) and \( b_{2}^{Cu} = 7.4 \pm 0.5 \text{ Å} \) is indicated, compatible with a commensurate \((3\sqrt{2} \times 2\sqrt{2})\) \( R45^\circ \) unit cell and corresponding to a coverage of 1/12 ML. The small intermolecular distance of this arrangement implies that the negatively charged CN groups are facing one each other, which would be energetically unfavorable.\(^{25,52,33}\) Additionally, the unit cell of this phase is very similar to that of the MONs formed by TCNQ with Ni\(^{15,25}\), Mn\(^{24}\), and Sn\(^{28}\) as coordinating atoms on different substrates. We then concluded that the \( \alpha \) phase is stabilized by a metal–ligand coordination interaction. DFT calculations show that coordination to Cu adatoms is more favorable from an energetic point of view than to Sn adatoms (see below). Therefore, we propose for the \( \alpha \) phase the model shown in Figure 3b, where each Cu adatom is coordinating four CN groups, with a Cu/TCNQ stoichiometry ratio of 1:1. Figure 3b actually corresponds to the relaxed structure obtained by DFT for the \( \alpha \) phase. The calculations reveal that the Cu adatoms are in a bridge position between two Sn atoms and they lie in a plane 1.1 Å below that of the molecular rings. In addition, the CN groups are bent toward the surface, forming an angle of \( \phi = 6^\circ \) with respect to the surface plane (Table 1). The N–Cu bond distance is 2.1 Å, and the calculated adsorption energy is \( \epsilon_{ads} = 2.65 \text{ eV} \).

By increasing the substrate temperature to 420 K during molecular deposition, extended and ordered ribbon-shape domains of a new phase are observed at the local level, as indicated in Figure 2c. The proposed unit cell of the molecular layer, with \( b_{1}^{Cu} = 12.8 \pm 0.5 \text{ Å} \) and \( b_{2}^{Cu} = 7.4 \pm 0.5 \text{ Å} \), is indicated in Figure 4a. In Figure 4b, we show a zoomed image (empty states) where the molecular arrangement for the \( \beta \) phase is seen in better detail. The proposed unit cell of the molecular layer, with \( b_{1}^{Cu} = 12.8 \pm 0.5 \text{ Å} \) and \( b_{2}^{Cu} = 7.4 \pm 0.5 \text{ Å} \), and a subtended angle of 99 \( \pm 2^\circ \), is indicated in Figure 4b.

Interestingly, the STM image presents dark valleys between the molecular stripes and the interstripe distance \( (d^2 = 12.7 \pm \)
0.5 Å, Figure 4b) is significantly larger than in the α phase (\(d_{\alpha} = b_{1\alpha} = 1\) Å, Figure 3a). Compared with the α phase, the β one is less dense with a molecular coverage of 1/14 ML. We therefore discard the possibility of an α-like phase with Cu adatoms coordinating CN groups between molecules of different stripes. Figure 4c shows the model for the β phase, as will be discussed hereafter, where each Cu adatom is coordinating two CN groups of adjacent molecules with stoichiometry \(\text{Cu}_2\text{TCNQ}\). This model is compatible with a commensurate structure, where the Cu adatoms are in bridge positions between two Sn atoms, as in the case of the α phase (see SI-2 for more details).

We note that the unit cell shown in Figure 4b is very similar to that formed by TCNQ adsorbed on Cu(100). However, there are also important differences between the two systems. The preferential growth direction of the β phase is along the molecular stripes (short molecular axis) and, thus, is opposite to that observed on the bare substrate. Another difference is that temperatures above 400 K are needed to form ordered domains of the β phase on the SnCu(001) surface alloy, while large domains of adsorbed TCNQ molecules are formed on the bare Cu(001) substrate at room temperature.

Taking into account the preferential growth direction we have performed calculations for different configurations corresponding to a single stripe of molecules using a \(2\sqrt{2} \times 6\sqrt{2}R45^\circ\) supercell (see Figure 5 and Table 1). A stripe formed by TCNQ molecules oriented with the long-axis perpendicular to the [010] direction (configuration I, Figure 5a) is not energetically favorable having \(\epsilon_{\text{ads}} = 1.90\) eV compared to \(\epsilon_{\text{ads}} = 1.94\) eV for an isolated molecule (see SI-3 for extra details of the calculation).

When the molecules are allowed to fully relax by in-plane molecular reorientation (configuration II, Figure 5b), the calculated adsorption energy is \(\epsilon_{\text{ads}} = 2.20\) eV, which represents an energy gain per molecule of 0.3 eV with respect to configuration I. This energy gain is associated with the formation of two CH···N bonds per molecule as indicated by the ellipses in Figure 5b. In this configuration, the CN groups that are forming H bonds are almost parallel to the surface plane (\(\phi = 1.3^\circ\)). The other ones are bent toward the surface by an angle \(\phi = 14.6^\circ\) (Table 1) and with a N−Sn bond distance of 2.48 Å. This distance is rather large for a covalent bond and reflects the low chemical interaction of the CN groups with the alloyed Sn layer. The adsorption energy of this configuration is lower than that obtained for the MON configuration associated with the α phase (see Table 1). It is worth mentioning that in this theoretical structure, which is free of Cu adatoms, the molecules are partially charged, as follows from the corresponding projected density of states (PDOS) where the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) is partially filled (see Figure 6a). According to the Bader analysis,\(^43,44\) the charge transfer from the surface to the molecules corresponds to 1.1 e−/molecule.

Figure 4. STM images obtained after the adsorption of TCNQ molecules at 420 K on the 3\(\sqrt{2}\) surface alloy reconstruction. (a) Filled-state image (−2 V, 5 pA) of the β phase. The arrows indicate small domains of the γ phase. (b) Empty-state image of the β phase (2 V, 5 pA). The unit cell of the molecular layer and the interstripe distance, \(d\), is depicted in the image. (c) Proposed model for the β phase on the 3\(\sqrt{2}\) reconstruction, where the red circles correspond to Cu adatoms. Only the Sn atoms (circles) of the substrate are shown.

Figure 5. Configurations corresponding to a single stripe of TCNQ molecules adsorbed on the SnCu(001) surface alloy. (a) Molecules perpendicular in the [010] direction. (b) Rotated molecules with the formation of two CH···N bonds. (c) and (d) Similar to (a) and (b) but with Cu adatoms linking the TCNQ molecules. White-gray (orange) corresponds to Sn (Cu) atoms.

Figure 6. Projected density of states on the TCNQ molecules. (a) Configuration (II) and (b) configuration (IV).
We then explore the same configurations but with Cu adatoms linking the TCNQ molecules: configurations III and IV in Figure 5c,5d, respectively. Note that configuration IV corresponds to a single stripe of the proposed model for the β phase. The adsorption energies are rather similar for these two configurations: $e_{ads} = 2.67$ eV for the TCNQ molecules oriented perpendicular to the [010] direction (configuration III) and $e_{ads} = 2.71$ eV when they are allowed to relax by in-plane rotation (configuration IV). Thus, the inclusion of Cu adatoms results in a considerable net energy gain per molecule and supports the Cu$_2$TCNQ structure proposed for the β phase (configuration IV). In addition, the calculated energy gain of 0.06 eV for the single stripe of the β phase with respect to the α one is in agreement with the STM images shown in Figure 4, where the latter phase is not observed. Moreover, the charge transfer to the molecules is higher than that obtained for the case without adatoms (1.4 e$^{-}$/molecule, for configuration IV) and the PDOS (Figure 6b) shows that now the LUMO is completely filled. The fact that Cu adatoms are coordinating two adjacent CN groups explains the observed preferential growth direction along the stripes.

We point out that the same configuration but with Sn adatoms instead of Cu ones has an adsorption energy of only $e_{ads} = 0.3$ eV (see Table 1 and SI-4). We have also compared different unsupported configurations for Sn$_2$TCNQ and Cu$_2$TCNQ single stripes (see SI-5), and in all of the cases, there is an important energy gain for the coordination with Cu adatoms with respect to the Sn ones. In addition, it was recently shown that the interaction between nitrile ligands and Cu atoms is strong enough to prevent the formation of Sn–TCNQ coordination networks on the Cu(001) surface. Therefore, we discard the possibility of a Sn-TCNQ MON on the 3√2 surface alloy.

Coming back to configuration (IV) shown in Figure 5d, we note that the dicyanomethilene groups are slightly twisted so that the CN groups are not equivalent ((1) and (2) in SI-6). We obtain from the calculations two bond distances $d_{Sn-Cu} = 1.96/2.02$ Å for the CN groups (1) and (2), respectively, which are very close to the obtained value of the bare Cu(001) surface (see Table 1) and to previously reported values for Cu–CN MONs in coordination I $^{50,32,45}$ In addition, the Cu adatoms lie in a plane at 1.6 Å below the molecular rings, which is consistent with the fact that the Cu coordination adatoms were never detected by STM topography.

The intermediate position of the Cu adatoms between the Sn layer and the plane defined by the molecule rings reflects a considerable attractive interaction of Cu adatoms with the Sn layer. Indeed, the more stable configuration obtained for a single unsupported stripe of Cu$_2$TCNQ is one with the Cu adatoms at the same plane of the molecules rings (see SI-5). Pointing in the same direction, the calculated unsupported Cu$_2$TCNQ structure shows no in-plane rotation of the molecules as the one observed for configuration IV (Figure 5d). Putting all together, we conclude that the energy gain made by the molecules in going from configuration III to IV (0.04 eV) should be mainly driven by the interaction of the Cu adatoms with the substrate.

We point out that the comparison of the obtained adsorption energies reveals that alloying the Cu(001) surface with Sn strongly reduces the molecule–surface interaction. Indeed, configurations I and II shown in Figure 5 present a much lower adsorption energy with respect to the TCNQ/Cu(001) system. The origin of this difference between the two substrates is found in the combination of two effects. In the case of the surface alloy, the CN groups can only interact with the Sn atoms, which protrude by 0.5 Å above the Cu ones in the same alloy layer; hence, the CN groups cannot approach the Cu atoms underneath close enough to establish a chemical bond. On the other hand, the chemical interaction established between the CN groups and the Cu atoms of the Cu(001) surface is stronger than that established with the Sn atoms of the surface alloy, as follows from the comparison of the bond distances calculated for N–Cu and N–Sn (see Table 1).

Overall, the higher chemical interaction of TCNQ, nitride terminations with Cu atoms rather than with Sn ones can be associated with the 3d band (which drives the reactivity of transition metals) in copper.

The production of MONs with atoms coming from the underlying substrate depends on the availability of adatoms, which usually increases with the surface temperature, and, in some cases, the molecule itself plays an active role and may favor the extraction of the atoms beneath. Along with the latter mechanism, we have calculated an energy barrier of $E_b = 0.34$ eV for the creation of a Cu vacancy to form one TCNQ-coordinated adatom when the molecules are already adsorbed on the surface (see SI-7 for the details of the calculation). Assuming a frequency factor of $\nu_0 = 10^{12}$ s$^{-1}$ for this process, it gives a large rate for the transition for $T = 298$ K: $\nu = \nu_0 \exp(-\frac{E_b}{kT}) = 1.8 \times 10^6$ s$^{-1}$, which assures the availability of Cu adatoms and is consistent with the formation of metal–organic structures with Cu already at RT.

A careful inspection of the STM image of Figure 4a shows that there are molecules with different apparent heights (black arrows). These molecules form a third phase that we named γ. Increasing the coverage, bigger domains of this phase are obtained, and at a coverage close to a saturated monolayer, it is the dominant phase (Figure 7a). In this phase, not all of the molecules look equivalent, resulting in a rectangular unit cell containing four molecules with parameters $b_γ^\perp = 45 \pm 4$ Å and $b_γ^\parallel = 7.4 \pm 0.5$ Å, consistent with a commensurate (12/2 × 2√2/R45°) unit cell. The coverage for this phase is then 1/12 ML, larger than that of the β phase (1/14 ML). The γ phase presents long-range order along the $b_γ^\parallel$ direction, while defects appear in the perpendicular direction (linear voids aside the stripes), as indicated by the red arrows in Figure 7a.

This molecular arrangement results from the combination of basic units (building blocks) made of paired molecular stripes. Each stripe is formed by molecules aligned side-by-side with the same in-plane orientation. Each pair consists of one stripe made of molecules oriented transverse to the stripe direction, i.e., at 90°, and the adjacent stripe is made of molecules rotated in-plane at 77° ± 2°. The γ domains are formed by the coupling of two pairs of stripes, where the stripes with rotated molecules display an opposite orientation with respect to the stripe direction.

At low coverages, these building blocks can be observed separately, as shown in Figure 7b,7c, where a three-stripe domain clearly displays the opposite in-plane orientation of TCNQ (at ±77°) in the stripes aside the central one (TCNQ at 90°). Under certain tip conditions, the filled-state image reveals extra protrusions connecting the molecules of the central stripe with the corresponding ones at the side stripes, which we assign to a signature of Cu adatoms. A tentative molecular arrangement, commensurate with the underlying 3√2 reconstruction, is indicated in the inset of Figure 7a.
where all of the CN groups of the central stripes form coordination bonds. In contrast, the in-plane-rotated molecules have only two of their CN groups coordinating with Cu adatoms but they also have CH···N bonds with the adjacent molecules. According to this model, each copper atom is coordinated to three CN groups with a Cu/TCNQ stoichiometry ratio 1:1. The γ phase is thus stoichiometrically equal to the metastable α phase but with the coordination of Cu adatoms to the nitrile groups changed from 4 to 3, due to the in-plane rotation of half of the molecules. To accommodate more molecules, the Cu coordination is changed from 2 (β phase) to 3 (γ phase). A similar behavior was previously observed for Cu MONs of 1,3,5-tris(pyridyl)benzene on Au(111), where the Cu coordination increases for higher molecular densities and was attributed to in-plane compression.\(^{48}\)

The unit cell of the γ phase is too large for a DFT calculation with the substrate. For this reason, to understand the preference of the Cu atoms for a coordination 3 with the substrate, indicating that the underlying 3√2 surface alloy reconstruction is representative of the latter. This pattern corresponds to a \((k√2 \times 2√2)R45^°\) symmetry and clearly reflects the long-range order observed in the STM images along the \(b'_{\gamma}\) and \(b''_{\gamma}\) directions for the γ and β phases, respectively. In addition, bright spots of the 3√2 are clearly seen for the saturated surface, indicating that the underlying 3√2 reconstruction is not removed when the adsorption is done at 420 K. This is also supported by XPS measurements of the Sn 4d core level, as measured on the bare 3√2 surface alloy reconstruction and after the adsorption of TCNQ at 420 K, where the two spectra are practically indistinguishable (see SI-8).

Complementary information on the configuration of the molecular arrangement and the charge transfer can be obtained from polarization-dependent near-edge X-ray absorption fine spectroscopy (NEXAFS). Figure 10 shows the N 1s spectra obtained with s and p polarizations after the adsorption of TCNQ on the 3√2 reconstruction at 420 K for a coverage similar to the one of Figure 7a. Both patterns were measured at RT and 70 eV.

![Figure 8](image8.png)

**Figure 8.** Configurations corresponding to unsupported Cu-TCNQ MONs. (a) TCNQ molecules are rotated in-plane to form three short N–Cu bonds. (b) Rectangular framework where the Cu atoms are coordinating four nitrile groups.

![Figure 9](image9.png)

**Figure 9.** (a) LEED pattern of the bare 3√2 surface alloy reconstruction. (b) LEED pattern after the adsorption of TCNQ on the 3√2 reconstruction at 420 K for a coverage similar to the one of Figure 7a. Both patterns were measured at RT and 70 eV.
The one of Figure 7a.

TCNQ on the 3\(\sqrt{2}\) at 420 K, for a coverage similar to the STM images of Figure 7a. The N 1s core level is fitted with a main component at a binding energy (BE) of 398.1 eV plus three small shake-up peaks. On the other hand, the C 1s peak is fitted with four main components at BEs of 285.20, 284.46, 284.08, and 283.6 eV and also three small shake-up peaks (see the inset of Figure 11b for peak assignments). The relative peak areas are not exactly in their stoichiometry ratio of 4:2:2:4 due to a photoelectron diffraction effect of backward scattering from the substrate atoms. The expected ratio is recovered by increasing the primary photon energy to 800 eV, where the weight of photoelectron backward scattering is negligible (see SI-9). We note that the shape of the C 1s spectrum and the performed fitting are comparable to those reported for other MONs based on TCNQ molecules.27,28 Finally, the strong attenuation of the shake-up features for both core levels are clear indications that the TCNQ molecules are charged,26–28,50,51 in agreement with the NEXAFS measurements.

Figure 10. Absorption nitrogen K-edge spectra after the adsorption of TCNQ on the 3\(\sqrt{2}\) reconstruction at 420 K for a coverage similar to the one of Figure 7a.

eV25,49 clearly indicating a charge transfer to the molecule. The two spectra present a main peak at 399.2 eV (s) and at 400.1 eV (p). These peaks correspond to almost degenerated empty states of the neutral molecule, which are localized on the CN bond of the nitrile group. Two of them are parallel to the CN bond, while the other two are perpendicular to the CN bond, producing \(\sigma^*\) and \(\pi^*\) resonance in the spectra, respectively. Notice that we adopt the same notation used in ref 49 for labeling the \(\pi^*\) and \(\sigma^*\) LUMOs of the CN groups. The polarization dependence of the \(\pi^*\) and \(\sigma^*\) resonances of Figure 10 shows that the molecules in the \(\gamma\) phase are adsorbed with CN almost parallel to the surface. This is in agreement with the small angle \(\phi\) theoretically predicted for the unsupported molecular structure depicted in Figure 8a.

Finally, in Figure 11a,11b, we show the N 1s and C 1s XPS spectra, respectively, corresponding to the adsorption of TCNQ on the 3\(\sqrt{2}\) reconstruction at 420 K on the 3\(\sqrt{2}\) reconstruction for a coverage similar to the 

CONCLUSIONS

We have studied the adsorption of TCNQ on the 3\(\sqrt{2}\) reconstruction of the SnCu(001) surface alloy.

Our results indicate that the 3\(\sqrt{2}\) reconstruction is stable upon the adsorption of the strong acceptor TCNQ molecule and generates new 2D Cu-TCNQ structures with unusual geometries and coordination properties.

Surface alloying causes a strong attenuation of the chemical interaction of the molecules with the surface. There are mainly two origins of this effect: (i) the CN–Sn interaction is weaker than the CN–Cu one and (ii) the CN groups cannot approach close enough the Cu atoms underneath. In contrast, surface alloying does not prevent the phenomenon of charge transfer from the surface to the molecules.

On the SnCu(100) surface alloy, the strong CN–Cu interaction drives the formation of different coordination structures with native Cu adatoms. In addition, the flexible coordination chemistry of Cu allows the formation of three different phases, namely, \(\alpha\), \(\beta\), and \(\gamma\), each one with the Cu ions in a different coordination geometry.

The \(\alpha\) phase, with a rectangular structure and 4-fold coordinated Cu ions, is the less stable one. In fact, the most stable phases are \(\beta\) and \(\gamma\) ones, with the Cu ions 2-fold and 3-fold coordinated, respectively. These two phases coexist and give rise to large ribbon-type domains when the molecules are deposited on the surface alloy kept at 420 K. The \(\beta\) phase has a TCNQ/Cu ratio of 1:2 (Cu\(_2\)TCNQ), and it is the dominant one at low molecular coverages. In this case, the observed in-plane rotation of the molecules is not driven by electrostatic intermolecular interactions (H bonds) but by the interaction of the metal center with the alloyed Sn layer. On the other hand, at higher coverages, the \(\gamma\) phase (with a TCNQ/Cu ratio of 1:1) dominates, due to its larger molecular density. In this case, two types of supramolecular interactions are combined to stabilized the molecular network: metal–ligand coordination interaction and H bonds between adjacent molecules. Remarkably, the most stable phases present coordinations 2 and 3, as previously observed for MONs formed with coinage adatoms and similar strong acceptor molecules Ag-TCNQ,20 Au-F4TCNQ,24 and Ag-TCE.47

This work shows that alloying with Sn is a useful tool to modify the self-assembly of CN-functionalized molecules on Cu surfaces in a controlled manner. In particular, the 3\(\sqrt{2}\) reconstruction can be used as a new low-interacting substrate for the growth of novel MONs with native (Cu) atoms.
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Figure 11. (a) N 1s and (b) C 1s XPS spectra obtained after the adsorption at 420 K on the 3\(\sqrt{2}\) reconstruction for a coverage similar to the one of Figure 7a.
Complementary information regarding the (a) DFT calculations for a single molecule and single stripe of molecules with and without the substrate (unsupported), (b) commensurate model for the $\beta$ phase; (c) details of the energy barrier calculations for the creation of Cu adatoms, and (d) XPS spectra of the C 1s and Sn 4d core levels (PDF).
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