NOx formation of swirl burner under air-staged combustion with flue gas recycle
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Abstract: Air-staged and flue gas recycle (FGC) combustion are important technologies to reduce NOx emissions. This study explores FGC ratios on the NOx formation in the primary combustion zone under air-staged combustion for a HT-NR3 swirl burner at different air excess coefficients. The coal combustion characteristics including gas velocity, temperature, gas components and NOx emission under different FGC ratios were analyzed. The results show that higher the FGC ratio will larger the gas temperature decrease and lower the NOx emission. Combined FGC technology with lower air excess coefficients technology, the NOx emission at outlet of furnace will be further reduced. When the air consumption excess coefficient is 0.8 with FGC ratio 20%, the NOx concentration at the furnace outlet will decrease from 208ppm to 138ppm, lower 33.6%.

1 Introduction

HT-NR3 low-nitrogen swirl burner [1-4] and flue gas recycle technology [5-8] are both widely used to reduce NOx emission. Hu [9] numerically simulated the combustion process and NOx emission characteristics of a tangentially fired boiler, the calculation results showed that, with flue gas recycle, a lower average temperature and maximum temperature in the furnace will be obtained. Wang et al. [10] studied coal combustion under different cycle rates by numerical simulation, the results show that the combustion temperature and ignition position of pulverized coal are affected by the cycle rate. Li [11] conducted a study on a 30 t/h high-efficiency pulverized coal double-cylinder chamber fired industrial boiler, and the results showed that with the increase of the FGC ratio, the NOx reduction is 17%.

This study explores FGC ratios on the NOx formation in the primary combustion zone under air-staged combustion for a HT-NR3 swirl burner, the effect of different air excess coefficients on the NOx emission also investigate to further understand the co-effect of FGC ratio and air excess coefficients on the NOx emission

2 Numerical model

2.1 Mathematical model

In this paper, the commercial software Fluent is used to numerically simulate the combustion, fluid and particle flow, heat and mass transfer of a HT-NR3 swirl burner. The non-premixed combustion model is used to simulate gas-phase turbulent combustion, and the β probability density function (PDF) is used to study the interaction between turbulence and chemical reactions. The modified Realizable k-ε two equation turbulence model is used to simulate turbulent flow, and the radiation model is the P-1 model. The volatile combustion process is simulated by the CPD model, the turbulent combustion uses the finite rate chemical/vortex dissipation model, and the coal char combustion uses the diffusion kinetic model. The particle movement adopts the particle random trajectory model, and the average particle size of coal powder is 45 μm. In the NOx calculation, only fuel nitrogen oxides and thermal nitrogen oxides are considered, and rapid nitrogen oxides are ignored. All the nitrogen in the volatile matter is directly converted to HCN and NH3, part of it is converted to NO, and the nitrogen in the char is directly converted to NO.

2.2 Simulation zone

Fig. 1. Calculation model  
Fig.2. Mesh

The simulation object is selected from a 1000MW coal boiler as shown in Figure 1. HT-NR3 burner is mainly composed of primary air, secondary air, tertiary air, starter oil gun and ignition oil gun. The primary air duct is equipped with concentrator to form coal dense phase and
dilute phase respectively. The primary air outlet is equipped with a flame stabilizing ring to enhance the ignition of the pulverized coal air flow. In the HT-NR3 burner, the primary air and the secondary air are no swirling flows, and the tertiary air is swirling flow. Twelve swirling blades are arranged in the tertiary air channel, and the angle of the swirling blades is 25°. The mesh is shown in Figure 2.

3 Simulation conditions

The working conditions of three FGC ratios are simulated, as shown in Table 1. The air excess coefficients of pulverized coal combustion are 1.2, and three air excess coefficients for the main combustion zone are 0.8, 0.9 and 1.0 respectively.

| Table 1. Calculation cases |
|---------------------------|
| case | flue gas recycle ratio |
| 1 | 0 |
| 2 | 0.1 |
| 3 | 0.2 |

The inlet parameters are shown in Table 2, and the coal composition is shown in Table 3.

| Table 2. Inlet parameter |
|--------------------------|
| primary air | Secondary air | Third air |
| Air temperature K | 350 | 614 | 614 |
| Air flow ratio | 0.33 | 0.11 | 0.56 |
| Coal flowrate kg/s | 1.58 | 23442 |

| Table 3. Coal proximate and ultimate analyses |
|---------------------------------------------|
| Mt/% | 15.55 |
| Am,% | 8.8 |
| Vdaf,% | 34.73 |
| Cw,% | 61.7 |
| Hw,% | 3.67 |
| Om,% | 8.56 |
| Nm,% | 1.12 |
| Sm,% | 0.6 |

4 Simulation results and analysis

4.1 Velocity distribution

Figure 3 shows the axial velocity distribution on the plane passing through the central axis under different FGC ratios. It can be seen that an annular recirculation zone is formed. The tertiary air enters the combustion chamber through tangential rotation, forming an annular recycle zone near the inlet of the furnace. With the increase of the FGC ratio, more flue gas enters the furnace which results in increasing of gas velocity.

4.2 Gas temperature distribution

Figure 4 shows the gas temperature distribution. It can be seen that the temperature in the center zone of the furnace is lower, while the gas temperature around the center flow is higher. This is because pulverized coal with a lower temperature absorbs a lot of heat after entering the furnace and on the other hand, the burning of volatile matter increases the gas temperature. With FGC ratio increasing, the gas temperature decreases. For the case without flue gas recycle, the peak temperature of the flame in the furnace is 2000K; when the flue gas recycle rate is 10%, the temperature peak decreases to 1900K; when the flue gas recycle rate increases to 20%, the flame peak temperature decreases to 1775K. The higher the flue gas recycle rate is, the lower the combustion temperature which results in a lower thermal NOx formation.

Figure 5 shows the temperature distribution along the radial direction at different axial positions. It can be seen that the temperature trends for different FGC ratios are similar with different highest temperatures at the same position. When x=2.5m, the highest temperature is 1900K, 1800K and 1700K respectively. The high-temperature flue gas recirculation increases the gas temperature of the recirculation zone which helps to heat the pulverized coal particles, causing them to ignite in advance and further increase the temperature in the recirculation zone. The temperature near the center zone of the furnace is relatively low because of the high concentration of pulverized coal in the center, which absorbs a lot of heat and lower the gas temperature. At x=8m position, the gas temperatures around the center zone for different flue gas recycle ratio increase.
4.3 Gas compositions

Figure 6 shows the O₂ and CO concentrations distributions along radial at different axial positions. It can be seen that at x=2.5m, the oxygen concentration in the recirculation zone is lower and the CO concentration is higher. As the FGC ratio increases, the CO concentration in the recirculation zone decreases. At the x=8m, the temperature increases, the oxygen concentration decreases, and the CO concentration increases. For the three FGC ratios, the maximum outlet CO concentration is 0.17, 0.144 and 0.11, respectively. It can be seen that the use of FGC technology can effectively reduce the CO concentration, and the effect is more obvious when the flue gas recycle ratio is 20%.

Figure 7 shows the NOₓ concentration in the recirculation zone and at the furnace outlet with different FGC ratios. When the FGC rate is 0%, 10%, and 20%, the maximum NOₓ concentration in the recirculation zone is 375ppm, 160ppm and 155ppm; the maximum outlet NOₓ concentration is 280ppm, 180ppm and 175ppm respectively.
Fig. 8. Changes in CO and NOx concentrations at X=2.5m under different air consumption coefficients and flue gas recycle ratios.

Figure 8 shows the changes in CO and NOx concentrations under different excess coefficients and FGC ratios at x=2.5m. It can be seen that the CO and NOx concentrations under different excess coefficients are greatly different. As the air excess coefficient increases, the concentration of CO becomes lower, and the concentration of NOx becomes higher.

Figure 9 shows the NOx concentration at the furnace outlet with three FGC ratios under different air excess coefficients. It can be seen that with the combination of FGC and lower air excess coefficients technology, the NOx concentration at the furnace outlet will be further reduced. When the air consumption excess coefficient is 0.8 with FGC ratio 20%, the NOx concentration is reduced from 208ppm to 138ppm, lower 33.6%.
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