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ABSTRACT

Infectious bronchitis virus (IBV) is a highly contagious disease of birds causing significant economic losses globally. Unvaccinated scavenging chicken may serve as a source of infection for the commercial poultry farms and hence controlling the disease relying only on vaccinating commercial flocks resulted in poor achievement. The objective of this study was to determine the prevalence of IB among village chickens scavenging in Ada’a district, Ethiopia. The study area was selected for the fact that most of the commercial farms are present and thus characterized by a high density of poultry breeding. Eleven peasant associations (PA) from a total of 22 Ada’a districts were selected purposefully considering their close proximity to the large commercial poultry farms. The study was conducted on a total of 426 adult unvaccinated scavenging village chickens and sera were analyzed by an indirect ELISA test. The overall seroprevalence found in this particular study was 64.79%. Among the villages, the highest seroprevalence of IBV was detected at Yatu and Kality villages reaching up to 93.9% and 92.3% and mean antibody titer of 7097.32 and 8942.95 respectively. Given the high prevalence observed in the study area suggests an urgent need for the development of preventive and control strategies against IB not only for commercial farms but also it should consider the scavenging chicken for better achievement.
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Introduction

The total chicken population in Ethiopia is estimated to be 56.5 million with native chicken representing 96.9%, hybrid chicken 0.54% and exotic breeds 2.56% [1]. The most dominant chicken types reared in Ethiopia are local ecotypes, which show a large variation in body position, plumage color, comb type and productivity [2]. However, the economic contribution of the sector is not still proportional to the huge chicken numbers, attributed to the presence of many productions, reproduction and infrastructural constraints [3]. The indigenous flocks are said to be disease resistant and adapted to their environment. However, the survival rates of the Ethiopian indigenous chicks kept under natural brooding conditions considered low. Disease and predators are known to be the major causes of mortality in the country [4,5]. According to Negussie, et al. [6], Newcastle disease accounted for the largest
The study was conducted from December 2018 to April 2019 in villages found in Ada’a district of Bishoftu city. The Ada’a district has a total of 22 peasant associations (PAs). Bishoftu is also home to the country’s main commercial poultry farms. The area is located at 9°N latitude and 40°E longitudes at an altitude of 1850 meters above sea level in central high land of Ethiopia. It has an annual rainfall of 866 mm of which 84% is in the long rainy season (June to September). The dry season extends from October to February. The mean annual maximum and minimum temperatures are 26 °C and 14 °C respectively, with mean relative humidity of 61.3% [20].

Study Design and Sample Size

Cross-sectional study was conducted on 11 PAs’ that were purposefully selected from the total of 24 PAs’ considering their geographical location and closeness to the large commercial poultry farms. Hence, a total number of 426 backyard-scapenging chickens were randomly sampled. The sample size was determined using the formula given by Thrusfield (2005). As there was no previous estimated prevalence of IB in scapenging village chickens, 50% was considered as expected prevalence and desired absolute precision of 5% at 95% confidence level. Thus, the minimum overall sample size required for the study was 384. However, to increase the precision a total of 426 scavenging village chickens were included in this study.

Blood Sampling and Storage

Blood samples were collected from a total of 426 adult unvaccinated scavenging chickens through venipuncture (wing) using 2ml syringe and 24 gauge size hypodermic needle and then transferred into labeled plain vacutainer tubes. The samples were transported immediately after collection to the college of veterinary medicine and agriculture of Addis Ababa University in icebox and kept at room temperature to clot. After decanting the serum into cryovial, it was temporary stored at –20 °C and finally the sera were kept in icebox with icepack and transported to the National Health Diagnosis and Investigation Center (NAHDIC) for serological test using ELISA.

Serological Analysis

Serological tests were used in epidemiological studies for IBV detection. The sera were analyzed by an indirect ELISA test (IDEXX infectious bronchitis virus antibody test, IDEXX®, US). The test is designed to detect IBV specific antibodies conserved between different serotypes, which promote the detection of all IBV types. The test consisted of five 96 well plates (pre-coated with a purified viral antigen), positive and negative IBV antibody control sera (1.9mL), conjugate (50mL), sample diluent (235mL), TMB substrate (3,3’,5,5’-Tetramethylbenzidine) (60mL) stop solution (60mL). Each serum sample was singly tested for IB antibodies using the enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) technique. Prior to being assayed, a 1:500 dilution of the samples was made with manufacturer’s diluent in a 2-step process. 100µl of each diluted sample was then pipetted into the appropriate well on the antigen-coated plate. One hundred microliters of undiluted positive and negative controls was added to their appropriate wells in duplicate. Thenceforth, the plate was incubated for 30 min at room temperature. Plates were then manually washed five times with deionized water and blotted dry on laboratory tissue paper after

Materials and Methods

Study Area

The study was conducted from December 2018 to April 2019 in the study area. The study area was located at 9°N latitude and 40°E longitudes at an altitude of 1850 meters above sea level in central high land of Ethiopia. It has an annual proportion of overall flock mortality to be 57.3% followed by fowl pcox 31.6%, coccidiosis, 9.4% and predator loss 1.7%. Another study conducted in all zones found in Southern Ethiopia by Aberra, et al. [7] indicated that the major problems of poultry production in the study were Fowl cholera (28.8%), followed by Newcastle disease (26%), coccidiosis 921.6%, Fowl influenza (15.4%), fowl pcox (3.4%), fowl typhoid (3.4%), and salmonellosis (1.4%) [8].

Infectious bronchitis (IB) is a highly contagious disease of birds, caused by Infectious bronchitis virus enveloped, positive-sense, and single-stranded RNA virus, belonging to the genus: Gamma coronavirus, family coronaviridae [9,10]. The genome of the virus is approximately 27.6kb in size encoding 4 structure proteins namely phosphorylated nucleocapsid (N) protein, small envelope protein (E), integral membrane glycoprotein (M), and spike glycoprotein (S) in the order of S’-Pol-S-3a-3b-E-M-5a-5b-N-UTR3’ [11]. The hypervariable regions have the characteristic of virus neutralization and serotype-specific antigenic determinants responsible for binding to the host cell, neutralization, and immune response [12] and responsible for the pathogenicity of the virus to the host cell [13]. The virus is highly contagious and causes upper respiratory disease in chickens [14] with significant economic losses globally [15]. In hens, it also results in reduction of egg production and poor egg quality. Interstitial nephritis and mortality is also often observed in some strains of the virus [16]. All ages groups can be affected by the disease [17] among which extremely susceptible are chicks of 2 to 3 weeks of age. The overall mortality rates reaches as high as 40%-90% in affected chicks [18]. The disease is transmitted through the air-borne, mechanical transmission between birds, houses, and farms [19] and control of IB is essentially based on the use of live attenuated and kill vaccines. However, the low level of cross protection between vaccines of different serotypes is a major obstacle to IB control (Cavanagh and Naqi, 2003). Moreover, scavenging chicken may serve as a source of infection for the commercial poultry farms and hence controlling the disease only through the use of live attenuated and killed vaccines. However, the low level of cross protection between vaccines of different serotypes is a major obstacle to IB control (Cavanagh and Naqi, 2003). Moreover, scavenging chicken may serve as a source of infection for the commercial poultry farms and hence controlling the disease only by vaccination makes it more difficult. Therefore, the effects of this disease have been a problem to the poultry sector in Ethiopia in particular and worldwide in general. The objective of the study was to determine the prevalence of infectious bronchitis virus among scavenging village chickens in central Ethiopia using an ELISA assay. The study focused on Ada’a district, areas surrounding Bishoftu, a city where most of the commercial farms present and thus characterized by a high density of poultry breeding.

Serological tests were used in epidemiological studies for IBV detection. The sera were analyzed by an indirect ELISA test (IDEXX infectious bronchitis virus antibody test, IDEXX®, US). The test is designed to detect IBV specific antibodies conserved between different serotypes, which promote the detection of all IBV types. The test consisted of five 96 well plates (pre-coated with a purified viral antigen), positive and negative IBV antibody control sera (1.9mL), conjugate (50mL), sample diluent (235mL), TMB substrate (3,3’,5,5’-Tetramethylbenzidine) (60mL) stop solution (60mL). Each serum sample was singly tested for IB antibodies using the enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) technique. Prior to being assayed, a 1:500 dilution of the samples was made with manufacturer’s diluent in a 2-step process. 100µl of each diluted sample was then pipetted into the appropriate well on the antigen-coated plate. One hundred microliters of undiluted positive and negative controls was added to their appropriate wells in duplicate. Thenceforth, the plate was incubated for 30 min at room temperature. Plates were then manually washed five times with deionized water and blotted dry on laboratory tissue paper after
washing. Hundred microliters of conjugate was added to all wells and the plate was incubated at room temperature for 30±2min. Washing and blotting were repeated as described above. One hundred microliters of TMB substrate was added to all wells and incubated at room temperature for 15±1min. To stop the reaction, 100µl of stop solution was added to all the wells. The micro plates were analyzed by the ELISA microplate reader (MACHINE MARK®), at a wavelength of 650nm.

**Data Analysis**

The optical density (OD) values were transferred onto an excel worksheet. The Positive Control Means (PCX) and Negative Control Means (NCX) for each test plate were calculated (Microsoft Excel, Microsoft Office 15). An assay was accepted to be valid when the NCX absorbance was less than or equal to 0.150 and the difference between PCX and NCX was greater than 0.075. The formula used for the calculation was the following: S/P=sample (OD)-NCX(OD)/PCX(OD)-NCX(OD), Where S/P is sample to positive ratio, Sample (OD) is OD of test serum, NCX (OD) is mean OD of negative control, and PCX (OD) is mean OD of positive control. The test was valid if the difference between the average value of the positive controls and the average value of the negative controls is greater than 0.075 and the mean value of negative controls is less than 0.150. The interpretation of the results was determined by the ELISA sample to positive ratio for each serum. The threshold of positivity was fixed at 0.20 (antibody titer = 396). The samples with S/P<0.20 (antibody less or equal to 396) were negative, whereas samples with S/P>0.20 (antibody titer higher than 396) were considered positive. IBV prevalence was calculated as the percentage of positive sera among the total number of analyzed sera. The average titer of antibodies was also calculated and compared with the positivity threshold of the test according to [21] IBV antibody titer was classified as low (titer level ranging from 397-1000), medium (1001-5000) or high (titers>5000). The overall district and peasant association level of prevalence was calculated using descriptive statistics.

**Results**

In the present study, the test carried out on a total of 426 adult unvaccinated scavenging chickens sera from different villages in Ada’a district for infectious bronchitis virus (IBV) prevalence. Hence an overall seroprevalence of 64.79 (Table 1) was recorded in the district. Computing this overall prevalence of each village gives an overall seroprevalence of 64.79 showing a high prevalence rate of the disease in the area and even reaching as high over as 90% in some of the PAs. A relatively low prevalence was registered in Denkaka PA (17.64%). This difference in prevalence rate among the PA in the study area might be due to their geographical locations in relation to the commercial farms and the less movement of chickens (no live poultry market). This low prevalence registered at Denkaka PA (17.64) is in harmony with the study conducted by [22] who reported that IB is less prevalent at a rate of 18.8% in village chickens of Maiduguri, Nigeria and [23] who reported that IBV is less common in northern Nigeria and in backyard poultry in Niger Republic. Unexpectedly, in the present study in most of the villages, and 17.64 % was recorded in Cheleba Silase and Denkaka PAs with mean antibody titer of 1251.43 and 1693.14 respectively (Table 2).

### Table 1: Overall prevalence of infectious bronchitis (IB) in scavenging chickens in the study 290 villages.

| Peasant Associations (PA) | Number of Samples Collected | No. of Positives from the Total Samples | Overall Prevalence (%) |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Kality                   | 39                          | 36                                     | 8.45                   |
| Godino                   | 29                          | 21                                     | 4.93                   |
| Bekejo                   | 44                          | 31                                     | 7.28                   |
| Denkaka                  | 47                          | 8                                      | 1.88                   |
| Ude                      | 31                          | 25                                     | 5.87                   |
| Golodertiu               | 40                          | 27                                     | 6.34                   |
| Chelabasilase            | 51                          | 23                                     | 5.4                    |
| Wajitu                   | 12                          | 8                                      | 1.88                   |
| Yatu                     | 49                          | 46                                     | 10.8                   |
| Giche                    | 59                          | 39                                     | 9.15                   |
| Hidi                     | 25                          | 12                                     | 2.82                   |

### Table 2: Prevalence of infectious bronchitis virus among scavenging chickens in different 296 peasant associations.

| Peasant Associations (PA) | Number of Samples/Total No. of Population | No. of Positives from the Total Samples | Prevalence (%) |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------|
| Kality                   | 39/5400                                   | 36                                     | 92.3           |
| Godino                   | 29/5500                                   | 21                                     | 72.41          |
| Bekejo                   | 44/4740                                   | 31                                     | 70.45          |
| Denkaka                  | 47/6500                                   | 8                                      | 17.02          |
| Ude                      | 31/4500                                   | 25                                     | 80.64          |
| Golodertiu               | 40/3347                                   | 23                                     | 67.5           |
| Chelabasilase            | 51/4340                                   | 23                                     | 45.09          |
| Wajitu                   | 12/3702                                   | 8                                      | 66.6           |
| Yatu                     | 49/2330                                   | 46                                     | 93.88          |
| Giche                    | 59/5294                                   | 39                                     | 66.1           |
| Hidi                     | 25/5400                                   | 12                                     | 48             |

**Discussion**

The overall prevalence of IB in backyard-scavenging chickens in the study was 64.79 showing a high prevalence rate of the disease in the area and even reaching as high over as 90% in some of the PAs. A relatively low prevalence was registered in Denkaka PA (17.64%). This difference in prevalence rate among the PA in the study area might be due to their geographical locations in relation to the commercial farms and the less movement of chickens (no live poultry market). This low prevalence registered at Denkaka PA (17.64) is in harmony with the study conducted by [22] who reported that IB is less prevalent at a rate of 18.8% in village chickens of Maiduguri, Nigeria and [23] who reported that IBV is less common in northern Nigeria and in backyard poultry in Niger Republic. Unexpectedly, in the present study in most of the villages,
To sum up, the unvaccinated status of the backyard-scavenging chickens in this study would indicate a natural infection with a potentially high virulence strain of IBV. Even if Ethiopia’s over 95% of the poultry population is reared under extensive production management system (scavenging), vaccination of these chickens against any poultry diseases is not practiced. The country’s current poultry disease prevention strategy is fully targeting the commercial farms. However, this practice encourages easy spread of infectious agents and backyard chickens can also serve as a reservoir for infectious agents [29]. Given the high prevalence observed in the study area suggests an urgent need for the development of preventive and control strategies against IB not only targeting for the commercial farms but also in consideration of the scavenging chickens for a better achievement. We recommend isolation and serotyping of IBV from the study area as a future study.
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