The embryonic transcription factor Brachyury blocks cell cycle progression and mediates tumor resistance to conventional antitumor therapies
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The T-box transcription factor Brachyury, an embryonic determinant of mesoderm differentiation,1–3 was recently identified as a protein aberrantly expressed in several types of human carcinomas, while being absent in the majority of normal adult tissues.4–6 Previous studies from our laboratory demonstrated that high levels of Brachyury in human cancer cells drive an epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) in vitro and promote tumor dissemination in vivo.7,8 The phenomenon of EMT is thought to be crucial during the progression of carcinomas as it mediates the phenotypic conversion of relatively stationary, polarized epithelial tumor cells into highly migratory and invasive, mesenchymal-like cancer cells.6,7–12 As a result, carcinoma cells undergoing EMT are enabled to initiate the cascade of events leading to establishment of distant metastases.13

Recent investigations have also begun to unravel another intriguing aspect of tumor EMT, involving the acquisition of features of cancer stem-like cells and the induction of resistance to cytotoxic therapies.14–16 For example, Vega et al.17 demonstrated that overexpression of Snail in epithelial cells represses cell cycle progression via downregulation of cyclin D2 and upregulation of CDKN1A (p21) and confers resistance to serum depletion or TNF-α administration by activating the MAPK and PI3K survival pathways. More recently, Gupta et al.18 have shown that breast cancer cells induced into an EMT via forced downregulation of epithelial E-cadherin were more resistant than control cells to treatment with paclitaxel and doxorubicin.

In this study, we have investigated whether the EMT process associated with high levels of Brachyury expression in human lung carcinoma cells drives tumor resistance to conventional anticancer modalities, such as chemotherapy and radiation. Our results showed a clear survival benefit for lung cancer cells expressing elevated levels of Brachyury, which significantly resisted the cytotoxic effects of such therapeutic agents. In addition, we found that both in vitro and in vivo, human carcinoma cells with high levels of Brachyury grew at significantly lower rates than those with lesser Brachyury levels, a phenomenon we were able to relate to marked downregulation of cyclin D1, phosphorylated Rb (pRb) and p21, a gene previously shown to block EMT and stem cell properties in tumor cells.19 Altogether, these results indicate that in addition to being a driver of the epithelial–mesenchymal switch, Brachyury expression may also confer a selective growth advantage for tumor cells upon treatment with chemotherapy or radiation in human carcinomas.
**Results**

Brachyury overexpression drives an epithelial–mesenchymal switch in human carcinomas and decreases tumor susceptibility to cytotoxic modalities.

To study the effect of Brachyury expression in lung carcinoma cells, A549 epithelial cells characterized by low levels of Brachyury were stably transfected with a plasmid encoding the full-length human Brachyury protein (pBrachyury) or a control pCMV vector. In agreement with the previously demonstrated role of Brachyury as an inducer of a mesenchymal-like phenotype in carcinoma cells, this genetic manipulation of A549 cells significantly decreased the expression of the epithelial proteins E-cadherin and plakoglobin, while simultaneously increasing expression of the mesenchymal proteins fibronectin and vimentin (Figures 1a and b). In addition, overexpression of Brachyury in A549 cells resulted in enhanced expression of mRNAs encoding for the EMT transcription factors Snail and Slug (Figure 1c) and significantly enhanced tumor invasiveness in vitro, as compared with control A549 pCMV cells (Figure 1d). To investigate whether Brachyury-mediated EMT in A549 cells could impact their ability to withstand several of the therapeutics commonly utilized for the clinical management of lung cancer, cells were exposed to various clinically relevant doses of docetaxel, cisplatin and vinorelbine. As shown in Figure 1e, Brachyury overexpression led to a significant survival advantage for the A549 cells; approximately twice as many A549 pBrachyury cells survived treatment with docetaxel, cisplatin or the combination cisplatin plus vinorelbine than A549 pCMV cells. In addition to chemotherapy, radiation therapy is also a main therapeutic option for treatment of lung cancer. Overexpression of Brachyury in A549 cells resulted in enhanced resistance to gamma radiation, at doses ranging from 2 to 8 Gy, as compared with control A549 pCMV cells (Figure 1f).

In additional experiments, the suppression of Brachyury expression in the mesenchymal H460 lung carcinoma cells by stable transfection with two different Brachyury-targeting short hairpin RNA (shRNA) constructs resulted in enhanced expression of epithelial plakoglobin (Figure 2a) and decreased expression of mesenchymal fibronectin and vimentin proteins (Figures 2a and b). Expression of E-cadherin was not detected in H460 control.shRNA cells and its

---

**Figure 1** Overexpression of Brachyury is associated with EMT and increased resistance to chemotherapy and radiation. A549 cells stably transfected with an empty vector (pCMV) or a Brachyury-encoding vector (pBrachyury) were analyzed for expression of Brachyury, E-cadherin, plakoglobin, fibronectin and vimentin by western blot (a) or by indirect immunofluorescence staining (b) for Brachyury, fibronectin and vimentin. (Magnification × 40). (c) cDNA generated from A549 pCMV (gray bars) and pBrachyury cells (black bars) was analyzed for expression of Brachyury, Snail and Slug by quantitative real-time PCR, as compared with GAPDH. (d) Invasion assays were performed using A549 pCMV, as compared with A549 pBrachyury cells. Indicated tumor cells were treated with various doses of (e) chemotherapy (ng/ml) or (f) gamma radiation (Gy) and assayed after 2 or 5 days of culture, respectively, for survival in comparison with untreated cells. Assays were performed in triplicate or quadruplicate; error bars correspond to S.E.M. Data shown are representative of at least two separate experiments (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01)
expression was not reconstituted after Brachyury inhibition. In agreement with the results observed with A549 cells, Brachyury inhibition in H460 cells concomitantly downregulated the expression of Snail and Slug mRNA (Figure 2c) and had a profound, negative impact on the ability of H460 cells to invade the extracellular matrix, *in vitro* (Figure 2d). As a result of Brachyury inhibition, the survival of H460 cells was significantly decreased after treatment with various doses of all chemotherapeutics tested, compared with H460 control.shRNA cells (Figure 2e). Similarly, it was found that significantly fewer H460 cells inhibited for Brachyury expression survived radiotherapy as compared with control cells (Figure 2f).

These results, together with our previous observations, indicated that elevated expression of Brachyury in human lung carcinoma cells is associated with the acquisition of a mesenchymal-like phenotype and the gain of resistance to cytotoxic agents.

**Chemotherapy-resistant tumor cells express high levels of Brachyury.** To investigate whether exposure of tumor cells to chemotherapy might select cells with spontaneously high levels of Brachyury, untransfected A549 cells were incubated for 6 h in culture with docetaxel or a combination of cisplatin/vinorelbine, and subsequently propagated in the absence of chemotherapeutics. Following three additional cycles of chemotherapy selection and recovery, immunofluorescence (Figure 3a) and western blot analysis (Figure 3b) showed substantially elevated expression of Brachyury in surviving A549 cells compared with untreated cells, which was accompanied by the gain of the mesenchymal markers vimentin and fibronectin (Figure 3a). These results led us to hypothesize that *in vivo* treatment of a tumor with cytotoxic therapies could also enrich for a population of cells with high levels of Brachyury. To confirm this hypothesis, xenografts of untransfected H460 cells were treated with either docetaxel or Hank’s balanced salt solution (HBSS) as described in Materials and Methods section. Immunohistochemistry analysis of Brachyury expression in excised tumors revealed that H460 tumor cells that survived docetaxel treatment had markedly higher levels of Brachyury as compared with HBSS-treated tumors (Figure 3c). Based on these findings, we hypothesized that Brachyury might serve as a marker for chemoresistance and could be used to predict response to chemotherapy.
on these results, we then investigated whether the resistance associated with Brachyury is directly related to its magnitude of expression. Several single-cell clones were isolated from the bulk A549 pBrachyury population, and six different clonal populations possessing a diversity of Brachyury expression (Figure 4a) were chosen for detailed examination of their growth kinetics (Figure 4b) and the relationship between Brachyury and susceptibility to chemotherapy (Figure 4c).

Survival assays revealed a strong positive correlation between the level of Brachyury and the survival of the tumor cells in response to docetaxel ($r = 0.895$), cisplatin ($r = 0.862$), vinorelbine ($r = 0.881$) and the combination of cisplatin and vinorelbine ($r = 0.873$). These results further supported the notion that the observed tumor resistance might be directly relatable to the amount of Brachyury the cells were expressing. In addition, the observations seemed to imply that the phenomenon of elevated Brachyury in the surviving non-clonal chemotherapy-treated cells (as described in Figures 3a–c) was due to selection and enrichment of spontaneously Brachyury-high cells, rather than an induction of Brachyury in response to treatment.

Brachyury expression impairs cell cycle progression. The impact of Brachyury overexpression in tumor cell proliferation and cell cycle progression were also investigated. As shown in Figure 5, forced overexpression of Brachyury in A549 cells significantly decreased cell proliferation (Figure 5a), while its silencing in H460 cells led to significantly increased growth (Figure 5b). Similarly, the proliferation analysis of the A549 pBrachyury clones (Figure 4b) revealed a strong inverse correlative trend ($r = -0.807$) between their growth and the expression of Brachyury. Experiments conducted with xenografts of H460 cells in nude mice also demonstrated that H460 cells silenced for the expression of Brachyury (H460 Brachyury.shRNA2) grew at significantly higher rates than H460 control.shRNA cells (Figure 5c), paralleling the results observed in vitro.

Having shown that high levels of Brachyury reduce tumor cell proliferation, its effect on cell cycle distribution was also investigated by propidium iodide staining of cellular DNA content. Tumor cells with high levels of Brachyury (H460 control.shRNA) demonstrated higher G1 fraction...
(50%, Figure 5d) than tumor cells with low Brachyury expression (H460 Brachyury.shRNA2, 33.6%). A higher G2/M fraction was observed in Brachyury-inhibited cells, thus corroborating enhanced cell cycle progression in these cells. A detailed analysis of cell cycle regulatory proteins was then conducted with various isogenic tumor cell pairs expressing various levels of Brachyury. Synchronized cultures of A549 pBrachyury cells induced to enter the cell cycle by addition of serum (Figure 6a) expressed markedly lower levels of pRb, cyclin D1 and p21 than the corresponding cultures of A549 pCMV cells. In addition, isogenic H460 cell lines showed that suppression of Brachyury led to an increase in the levels of cyclin D1 and p21 (Figure 6b). Differential expression of pRb and p21 were also observed in two populations of H460 cells derived from single-cell clones (designated H460 clone 1 and 2, Figure 6c); H460 clone 1 cells, characterized by higher levels of Brachyury, showed diminished pRb and p21 levels than those of H460 clone 2, which expressed lower levels of Brachyury. 

**Role of Brachyury-mediated p21 repression.** The positive association observed between p21 expression and tumor cell proliferation appeared intriguing, as p21 has been previously
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**Figure 4** Correlation of Brachyury levels with survival to chemotherapy. A549 pBrachyury single-cell clones (designated with indicated letters) were quantified for Brachyury expression by real-time PCR (a), and (b) evaluated for growth over a 5-day period. (c) Survival of individual clones in response to treatment with indicated doses of chemotherapeutics; numbers in parentheses indicate the Pearson’s correlation coefficient calculated in relation to Brachyury expression. All chemotherapy assays were performed in triplicate or quadruplicate, error bars denote S.E.M. Growth data shown are pooled results of at least two separate experiments ± S.E.M.
described as a potent inhibitor of cyclin-dependent kinases. Other studies, however, have shown that p21 could also favor cell cycle progression by acting as a major adaptor protein that assembles cyclin D1/cdk4 complexes, targeting them into the nucleus and favoring cyclin D1-associated kinase activity. Based on the positive role of p21 in cell cycle progression, we hypothesized that reduced levels of p21 in tumor cells with high levels of Brachyury could lead to diminished proliferation. In order to investigate this possibility, H460 tumor cells were stably transfected with a vector encoding the full-length human p21 gene or a control vector. As shown in Figure 6d, forced upregulation of p21 expression in the presence of high endogenous levels of Brachyury was sufficient to reconstitute pRb and markedly increase tumor cell proliferation (Figure 6e). Our results thus suggested that reduction of p21 might be responsible for the low proliferation characteristic of Brachyury-high tumor cells, potentially leading to the decreased tumor susceptibility to cytotoxic therapies.

Figure 5 Overexpression of Brachyury attenuates tumor cell proliferation and impairs cell cycle progression. (a and b) Growth kinetics of indicated tumor cell lines analyzed by the MTT assay. (c) In vivo growth of H460 control.shRNA cells compared with H460 Brachyury.shRNA2 cells determined over a 15-day period. Error bars correspond to S.E.M. for triplicate or quadruplicate measurements (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01). (d) Flow cytometric cell cycle analysis of H460 control.shRNA and H460 Brachyury.shRNA2 was performed by propidium iodide DNA staining.
significantly increase their susceptibility to chemotherapy and radiation (Figure 6f), and that silencing of p21 in Brachyury-low (H460 Brachyury.shRNA2) cells was able to decrease tumor susceptibility in response to radiation (Figure 6g).

Figure 6  Brachyury modulates expression of cell cycle regulatory proteins. Western blot analysis of Brachyury and the cell cycle regulatory proteins Rb, cyclin D1 and p21 was performed using (a) the A549 tumor cell pair at indicated times after release from cell cycle arrest by serum starvation or (b) asynchronous cultures of H460 control versus Brachyury shRNA-1 and -2 cells. (c) Two tumor cell lines derived from single-cell cloning of H460 cells were analyzed for expression of Brachyury in relation to Rb and p21. (d) H460 cells transfected with p21 expression vector or pCMV control were analyzed for p21 and Rb expression by western blot and (e) growth kinetics over a 5-day period. (f) Indicated cells were treated with cytotoxic therapies and assayed for survival in comparison with untreated cells. (g) The H460 cell pair transfected with a pool of nonspecific control siRNA or p21-specific siRNAs was treated with γ-radiation (1 Gy) and evaluated for cell death by using CellTiter-Glo (Promega). (**P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001)

Examination of the human p21 gene promoter sequence for the presence of a consensus T-box-binding element (5'-ATTTCACCTAGGTGTAATT-3') revealed a half-site (5'-AGGTGTA-3') at position –14 relative to the transcription initiation site (Figure 7a). Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) analysis with H460 control.shRNA and A549 pBrachyury cells indicated that Brachyury directly binds the promoter of p21, a phenomenon that was not observed with concurrent ChIP analysis of H460 Brachyury.shRNA2 cells silenced for expression of Brachyury (Figure 7a). To investigate whether reduced levels of p21 in Brachyury-high cells was a result of...
transcriptional silencing, H460 control.shRNA versus H460 Brachyury.shRNA-1, -2 cells were transiently transfected with a reporter construct containing the luciferase gene under the control of a 969-bp fragment of the human p21 promoter (wild-type), and a mutated p21 promoter containing point mutations in the T-box-binding site (denoted by arrowheads). Depicted below is the gel electrophoresis of PCR products amplified from the indicated immunoprecipitated DNA template sources using p21 promoter-specific primers. M corresponds to the DNA ladder.

Deficient DNA damage response (DDR) in Brachyury-high tumor cells. Owing to the central role of the p21 protein in the p53-mediated cell cycle arrest following genotoxic stress, we have also investigated whether upregulation of p21 expression in response to γ-radiation could be compromised in tumor cells with high levels of Brachyury. As shown in Figure 7d, irradiation of A549 pCMV cells resulted in up to ninefold upregulation of p21 protein levels while only approximately fourfold upregulation was achieved in H460 Brachyury.shRNA2 cells.

Figure 7  Brachyury drives repression of p21. (a) A ChIP assay was employed utilizing control IgG versus anti-Brachyury antibody with template DNA obtained from indicated cells. Shown at the top is the T-box palindromic consensus element juxtaposed with the half-binding site (shaded) located in the human p21 promoter (wild-type), and a mutated p21 promoter containing point mutations in the T-box-binding site (denoted by arrowheads). Depicted below is the gel electrophoresis of PCR products amplified from the indicated immunoprecipitated DNA template sources using p21 promoter-specific primers. M corresponds to the DNA ladder. (b) Luciferase reporter assay was used to analyze H460 cells utilizing a wild-type p21 promoter driving the expression of the luciferase gene. (c) Luciferase reporter assay with H460 control.shRNA cells utilizing a wild-type versus mutated human p21 promoter driving the expression of the luciferase gene. Values shown represent the average of two technical replicates corrected for background ± S.E.M. (*P<0.05). (d) Synchronized A549 pCMV and pBrachyury cells or asynchronous H460 control.shRNA and H460 Brachyury.shRNA2 were treated with indicated doses of radiation; cells collected after 24 h were analyzed for p21 induction by western blot. Intensity of p21 detection was quantitated and compared against β-actin or GAPDH (bottom panels)
irradiated A549 pBrachyury cells. Similarly, irradiation of H460 Brachyury.shRNA2 cells resulted in a 5.3-fold induction of p21 expression while a 2.7-fold upregulation was observed in Brachyury-high H460 control.shRNA cells. These results suggested that high levels of Brachyury could also hinder the tumor response to DNA damage.

Discussion

The studies reported here demonstrate for the first time a link between the transcription factor Brachyury and resistance to conventional therapies in human carcinomas. High levels of Brachyury expression in human lung cancer cells are shown here to impair cell cycle progression and to decrease tumor susceptibility to various chemotherapies and radiation. Brachyury is an embryonic, tissue-specific transcription factor of the T-box family, essential for mesoderm differentiation during vertebrate development. Our previous studies have shown that Brachyury is aberrantly re-expressed in multiple types of human carcinomas and that high levels of Brachyury expression drive the phenotypic conversion of tumor cells into mesenchymal-like cancer cells. Although the link between the acquisition of mesenchymal-like features and the resistance of carcinoma cells to cytotoxic agents has been demonstrated in some experimental models, we are unaware of reports associating the expression of Brachyury with tumor resistance to anticancer therapeutics.

A potential mechanism by which Brachyury could impart tumor resistance to cytotoxic treatments is described here, relating to the decreased proliferation of cancer cells with high levels of this transcription factor. A strong inverse correlation was shown between the levels of Brachyury in single-cell-derived lung cancer populations and their growth rate in vitro. In addition, xenografts of Brachyury-high tumors grew at significantly lower rates than those with lesser Brachyury levels. These results thus suggested that expression of Brachyury in cancer cells might mediate a reciprocal switching between cell proliferation and the establishment of a molecular program that culminates with the acquisition of a mesenchymal-like phenotype. In support to this assumption, a similar phenomenon is described during embryonic development, where the area of Brachyury-expressing mesoderm progenitor cells in the gastrulating rabbit embryo has been described as an area of low proliferative and highly migratory activity. Although tumor proliferation seems to be logically required for a tumor to progress and multiple studies associate markers of proliferation such as Ki-67, mitotic index and others with poor prognosis, invasiveness and proliferation appear to be mutually exclusive. For example, this phenomenon was demonstrated with invasive breast cancer cells isolated in vivo after chemotactic attraction that showed activation of gene expression programs related to motility and invasiveness in the absence of proliferation or apoptosis.

Inhibition of cell cycle mediated by Brachyury overexpression is shown here to associate with decreased pRb and cyclin D1 expression, results that are in agreement with the attenuation of cell cycle mediated by members of the Snail/Slug and ZEB1/SIP1 families, which impair G1/S phase progression via repression of cyclin D and Rb hypophosphorylation. Unlike Snail, which blocks G1/S transition via upregulation of p21 expression, in our experimental systems we have observed a marked reduction, rather than overexpression, of p21 in Brachyury-high tumor cells. Although this expression pattern may appear to contradict the role of p21 as an inhibitor of cell cycle, we have demonstrated here that upregulation of p21 in tumor cells with high levels of Brachyury was sufficient to release cell cycle and to promote cell proliferation, an effect that sensitizes cancer cells to chemotherapy and radiation treatment. Therefore, the role of p21 in our experimental systems seems to be that of promoting, rather than blocking, cell cycle progression. Our results evoke the role of p21 during Notch activation in endothelial cells where inhibition of cell cycle progression is due to the repression of p21 expression which, in turn, prevents entrance of cyclin D/cdk4 into the nucleus and delays phosphorylation of Rb. We postulate that a similar mechanism might be involved in the inhibition of cell cycle mediated by p21 downregulation in Brachyury-high tumor cells.

The mechanism by which p21 is downregulated in the presence of high levels of Brachyury was also investigated here. We have shown that Brachyury directly binds to the promoter of p21 and that the transcriptional activity of this promoter is impaired in the presence of high levels of Brachyury. Although Brachyury binding to the p21 promoter is shown here to be required for the transcriptional repression of p21, Brachyury has been previously described as an activator of transcription. Therefore, Brachyury-mediated repression of p21 may necessitate cooperation with additional transcriptional repressors or cofactors. This was the case with E-cadherin repression mediated by Brachyury upregulation in pancreatic tumor cells, where it was shown that Brachyury binding to the E-cadherin promoter was necessary to fully repress its expression, an effect that was dependent on a Brachyury/Slug cooperation.

Upregulation of p21 protein expression is a major event associated with the p53-mediated DDR triggered after a cell’s exposure to genotoxic agents, including γ-radiation and chemotherapies. Absence of p21 has been previously shown to abrogate p53-mediated cell cycle arrest in response to DNA damage. Our results showed that lung carcinoma cells with high levels of Brachyury expression inefficiently upregulate p21 in response to γ-radiation treatment as compared with control cells. By suppressing p21 upregulation, tumor cells with high levels of Brachyury could bypass mechanisms of senescence induced by p21 and continue to proliferate even in the presence of irreparable DNA damage, a phenomenon that could promote accumulation of genomic alterations and genomic instability.

Interestingly, recent studies have also shown that p21 is able to attenuate Ras- and c-Myc-dependent EMT and expression of stem cell-like genes in breast cancer cell lines. It is therefore conceivable that in our system, the repression of p21 that takes place during Brachyury upregulation in tumor cells could also contribute to the maintenance of the mesenchymal characteristic of cancer cells with high levels of Brachyury.

Several reports have demonstrated a link between EMT and properties of stem cells.
mammary epithelial cells acquire a pattern of phenotypic markers typical of stem cells and sphere-forming ability following induction of EMT. In addition, studies in pancreatic cancer found that therapy-resistant tumor cells had concomitantly acquired markers associated with stem-like cells and changes related to EMT, such as vimentin upregulation and E-cadherin downregulation.\(^4\) Intriguingly, one point of intersection connecting the concepts of EMT, stem-like state, and therapy resistance, is that of proliferative restraint. As conventional cancer therapies such as chemotherapy and radiation target rapidly proliferating cells and require cell cycle processes to trigger apoptosis, it seems likely that inhibition of cell cycle could have the benefit of allowing tumor cells to initiate repair mechanisms, potentially preventing cytotoxicity.

A recent publication showed that Brachyury drives the acquisition of a stem-like phenotype in colon carcinoma cells.\(^5,6\) Although we have not evaluated whether Brachyury expression associates with features of stem cells in lung cancer, we have demonstrated here that lung carcinoma cells with high levels of Brachyury could be selected from a heterogenous tumor population as a result of exposure to cytotoxic agents, a phenomenon frequently observed with stem-like cells. This result, together with our previous studies on the role of Brachyury in the epithelial–mesenchymal switch and metastasis led us to hypothesize that the development of novel therapies specifically aimed at eliminating Brachyury-positive tumor cells could help reduce the occurrence of metastasis and/or alleviate therapeutic resistance in human cancer. One possible strategy to eliminate tumor cells with high Brachyury levels that are otherwise resistant to conventional therapies is via immunotherapies with Brachyury-based vaccine platforms. Supporting this proposal, we previously reported on the expansion of Brachyury-specific T cells from the blood of cancer patients using Brachyury-specific peptides, and have shown that those T cells could lyse Brachyury-expressing tumor cells.\(^4\) A Brachyury-based cancer vaccine has been developed that is currently undergoing phase I clinical testing in patients with carcinomas. If effective, cancer vaccines directed against Brachyury could circumvent the problem of resistance to chemotherapy and radiation and help decrease the rate of metastasis of human carcinomas characterized by overexpression of Brachyury.

Materials and Methods

Cell lines and culture. The human lung carcinoma lines A549 and H460 were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Rockville, MD, USA) and propagated as recommended. Transfected A549 cells were selected with 500 μg/ml G418 (Invitrogen Corp., Carlsbad, CA, USA); transfected H460 cells were selected with 1 μg/ml puromycin (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA).

Plasmids and small interfering RNA (siRNA). The full-length human Brachyury construct (pCMV-Neo-Brachyury, accession number NM_003181.2), a p21 expression vector (accession number NM_000181.2), and empty-vector control (pCMV-Neo) were purchased from Origene Technologies Inc. (Rockville, MD, USA). The Brachyury.shRNA1, Brachyury.shRNA2 and control.shRNA vectors used to transfect H460 cells were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. A pool of p21-specific and a non-targeting siRNA were obtained from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham, MA, USA).

Real-time PCR. Analysis of gene expression by real-time PCR was conducted as previously described,\(^7\) with TaqMan human gene expression assays for Brachyury, Snail, Slug and GAPDH (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA, USA). All reactions were performed in triplicate; data shown are representative averages, with standard error.

Cell invasion assays. Blind Well Chambers (Neuro Probe Inc., Gaithersburg, MD, USA) with 10 μm pore polycarbonate filters coated with Matrigel (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA) were used. RPMI-1640 supplemented with 10% FBS was added to the lower chambers; cells (1 × 10⁶) were added in serum-free medium onto the upper chambers. After 24-h incubation at 37 °C, filters were fixed and stained with Diff-Quik. Cells on the bottom side of the filters were counted in five random × 100 microscope objective fields. Experiments were conducted in triplicate for each cell line.

Chemotherapy and radiation survival assays. Cells were seeded in 96-well plates at 5 × 10³ cells per well in 100 μl media, allowed to attach overnight, and treated with chemotherapy the following day (day 0). Chemotherapeutics included docetaxel (Sanofi-Aventis, Bridgewater, NJ, USA), cisplatin (APP Pharmaceuticals, Schaumburg, IL, USA) and vincristine (Bedford Laboratories, Bedford, OH, USA). All wells were replaced with fresh media after 6 h. For radiation survival assays, tumor cells were suspended in growth media and exposed to the indicated doses of ionizing radiation using a Co-137 irradiator, washed with media and subsequently seeded on 96-well plates. Cultures were maintained for designated times, after which cell survival was evaluated by 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2, 5-diphenyl-tetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay as previously described.\(^7\) Survival for treated wells was calculated as a percentage of the values representing wells of untreated cells.

Western blot and immunofluorescence. Tumor cells were lysed in RIPA (Cell Signaling Technology, Beverly, MA, USA) or SDS sample buffer; proteins (25–60 μg) were resolved using 4–20% SDS-PAGE, followed by transfer to nitrocellulose membranes. The following antibodies were used: p21, cyclin D1 (Cell Signaling Technology), retinoblastoma (Thermo Fisher Scientific), Brachyury (Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA), β-actin and GAPDH (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA). Blots were incubated with anti-mouse or anti-rabbit IRDye secondary antibodies (LI-COR Biotechnology, Lincoln, NE, USA); specific detection was performed with the Odyssey Infrared Imaging System (LI-COR Biotechnology). Analysis of tumor cells cultured on glass cover slips was performed as previously described.\(^7\) For chemotherapy-resistant cells, A549 cells were grown to 50–60% confluency and treated for 6 h with cisplatin and vincristine (500 and 40 ng/ml, respectively) or docetaxel (1 ng/ml). Surviving tumor cells were allowed to recover for 6–9 days in complete media; treatment with chemotherapeutics and subsequent recovery was repeated for three additional cycles. After the fourth cycle, cells were analyzed by immunofluorescence with the following antibodies: Brachyury (Abcam), vimentin and fibronectin (BD Biosciences).

ChiP assay. The human Brachyury ExactaChiP kit was purchased from R&D Systems (Minneapolis, MN, USA), and used to perform ChiP analysis using H460 and A549 cells, following the manufacturer’s recommendations. PCR reactions (Titanium Taq; CloneTech Laboratories, Mountain View, CA, USA) were performed using primers specific for the promoter region of the human p21 gene (forward 5’-GCTTCTTACAGTGTCGTTG-3’; reverse 5’-CAAGGAGACTGATTCCGCGA-3’); PCR products were resolved by agarose gel electrophoresis.

Luciferase reporter assay. A construct encoding the luciferase gene under the control of a 969-bp segment of the human p21 promoter was purchased from SwitchGear Genomics (Menlo Park, CA, USA). The Brachyury response element in the p21 promoter was mutated by utilizing the GENEART site-directed mutagenesis system (Invitrogen Corp.) with the following primers: forward 5’-AGCTTCGCTCTGACTGCTGCTGCGC-3’; reverse 5’-CTTGGTGACAGTCAGTCAGTCAGTC-3’; mutation was confirmed by sequencing. Activity was assessed by seeding tumor cells overnight in 12-well culture plates at 10⁵ cells per well. After overnight attachment, cells were transfected with 300 ng of plasmid utilizing Fugene-6 (Roche Applied Science, Indianapolis, IN, USA). Renilla luciferase activity was assessed at 24-h post-transfection using Renilla-Glo (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) and a 1450 Betalplate reader (Perkin-Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA). Data were corrected by subtracting the luminescence observed in cells transfected in parallel with a control promoter reporter (SwitchGear Genomics).
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