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Abstract

Gender disparities are pervasive throughout the HIV care continuum in sub-Saharan Africa, with men testing, receiving treatment, and achieving viral suppression at lower rates, and experiencing mortality at higher rates, compared with women. HIV self-testing (HIVST) has been shown to be highly acceptable among men in sub-Saharan Africa. However, evidence on linkage to HIV care following a reactive HIVST result is limited. In this systematic review, we aimed to synthesize the quantitative and qualitative literature from sub-Saharan Africa on men’s rates of linkage to HIV care after receiving a reactive HIVST result. We systematically searched 14 bibliometric databases. The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) flow diagram was used to document the screening results. The Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool (MMAT) was used to assess the methodological quality of the included studies. Of 22,446 references screened, 15 articles were eligible for inclusion in this review. Linkage to HIV care following a reactive HIVST result was subject to several barriers: financial constraints due to travelling costs, potential long waiting hours at the clinics, stigma, discrimination, and privacy concerns. Men’s rates of seeking confirmatory testing and linking to HIV care following a reactive HIVST result were inconsistent across studies. Combining financial incentives with HIVST was found to increase the likelihood of linking to HIV care following a reactive HIVST result. The variable rates of linkage to HIV care following a reactive HIVST result suggest a need for further research and development into strategies to increase linkage to HIV care.
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Background

Gender disparities are pervasive throughout the HIV care continuum in sub-Saharan Africa. Compared with women, men test, receive treatment, and achieve viral suppression at lower rates; have higher mortality rates; and have greater
loss to follow up after testing and treatment [1–7]. Studies conducted in multiple sites throughout sub-Saharan Africa have shown that men’s access to HIV-related services at healthcare clinics is adversely affected by multiple factors, including stigma, privacy and confidentiality concerns, long queues, financial barriers, and fear of testing positive [8–10]. As a result, men delay seeking HIV-related health care services and initiate HIV care and antiretroviral therapy (ART) at advanced stages of disease [11–15]. This phenomenon contributes to an increased HIV-related mortality rate among men within the first 3 months of starting ART, a rate that is nearly twice that among women [13].

Early diagnosis of HIV infection is imperative for ensuring that people with HIV are started on treatment as soon as possible after diagnosis, with the aim of improving health outcomes, increasing life expectancy, and reducing secondary transmission. This objective lends urgency to calls to design strategies aimed at improving men’s uptake of HIV testing and progression throughout the HIV care continuum, so that the UNAIDS 95-95-95 goals can be achieved [16]. The last decade has witnessed various interventions being designed and implemented throughout sub-Saharan Africa that are aimed primarily at encouraging men to test for HIV, including community and home-based HIV testing and counselling, as well as antenatal clinic-based HIV testing for men attending with their partners [17, 18].

These HIV testing interventions have made some progress in improving HIV testing uptake among men in sub-Saharan Africa [17]. However, some HIV testing interventions, such as home-based testing, may have limited community reach because men are less likely to be at home due to employment (and/or migratory employment) [17, 18]. Home-based HIV testing includes mobile outreach and door-to-door testing and can be provided by lay people who have received certified HIV testing training [19]. National algorithms for testing and validating results should be followed where applicable [19].

The widespread acceptability of HIV self-testing (HIVST) has increased enthusiasm for deployment of this testing strategy to increase rates of HIV testing among men [17, 20, 21]. HIVST offers a new approach that may improve men’s HIV testing rates by removing some of the traditional barriers associated with accessing clinic-based HIV testing services and by enabling individuals to conduct and interpret their own HIV tests at their own convenient time and in their chosen private space [22–24]. HIVST can be based on either oral fluid or blood specimens. The HIVST user with a reactive test result is prompted to visit a healthcare clinic for confirmation of a reactive result and, if confirmed as HIV positive, link to HIV care. HIVST has been shown to be highly accurate, with sensitivity exceeding 90% and specificity exceeding 95%, suggesting a high probability of similar HIV results when confirmed at a healthcare clinic [25, 26]. Although HIV self-tests are generally reliable, varying rates of HIV in the population and/or user error might alter their sensitivity, specificity, and positive/negative predictive values [27]. User error has been identified as a leading cause of low sensitivity and specificity, with studies highlighting the roles of variables such as poor vision, insufficient lighting, and failure to read the results within the stipulated time period [28, 29].

Linkage to HIV care is defined as having obtained a confirmatory HIV test at a health care facility or designated community setting and, if confirmed as HIV positive, starting ART within 30 days [30]. However, measuring or monitoring linkage to HIV care following reactive HIVST results has been raised as an issue of concern in sub-Saharan Africa given the private nature of HIVST administration [31]. Further, optimum systems for linking HIVST clients to HIV care are not well established in sub-Saharan Africa [26].

There is limited information about linkage to HIV care following a reactive HIVST result, especially among men. The HIVST strategy has been implemented in phases, with the first phase involving evaluation of products and delivery models in three sub-Saharan African countries: Malawi, Zambia and Zimbabwe. The second phase of the HIVST strategy laid the groundwork for rapid scale-up and included three additional countries: South Africa, Lesotho, Eswatini. The third phase included further scale-up in five additional countries: Cameroon, Mozambique, Nigeria, Tanzania and Uganda [32]. By the end of 2020, over 4.8 million HIVST kits had been distributed in these countries [32]. However, the extent to which men in sub-Saharan Africa link to HIV care following a reactive HIVST result is not well characterized. While more research is being done around the world to develop a better understanding of HIVST effectiveness and to develop innovative techniques for targeting hard-to-reach persons, more research is needed in sub-Saharan Africa, especially given the high incidence of HIV [33]. Understanding the rates, as well as challenges and facilitators, of linkage to HIV care following a reactive HIVST result is critical to facilitating the scale-up of HIVST among men in sub-Saharan Africa. Collating and synthesizing these data may facilitate understanding of the structural and individual variables that obstruct linkage to HIV care after a reactive HIVST result and may also guide program implementation, identify research needs, and influence policy development. To address this gap in the literature, we aimed to summarize the evidence about rates of linkage to HIV care following a reactive HIVST result, drawing from both quantitative and qualitative studies of men in sub-Saharan Africa.
Methods

Design

We undertook a systematic search for published and unpublished (grey) literature to synthesize evidence about the extent to which men in sub-Saharan Africa link to HIV care after a reactive HIVST result. Both qualitative and quantitative studies were eligible for inclusion if they described rates of linkage to HIV care among men after a reactive HIVST result, or men’s experiences with/perspectives about linkage to HIV care following a reactive HIVST result. This review followed the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines [34] and the Population, Concept, and Context (PCC) framework for determining the eligibility of research questions (Table 1). Because this review was based on data reported in publicly available bibliometric databases and publications, it was exempted from ethical approval. This review did not involve human/animal participants; therefore, informed consent was not necessary.

Identifying the Research Question

What is the available evidence on linkage to HIV care following a reactive HIVST result among men in sub-Saharan Africa?

Suitability of the Question for a Systematic Review

See Table 1.

Search Strategy

Our comprehensive electronic search strategy was conducted to include studies published between January 2005 and February 2019, supplemented by a manual search conducted in January 2022 to include recently published eligible studies to cover the gap between 2019 and 2022. This manual search enabled us to include five additional studies in this review. The evidence search for this review was conducted concurrently with the evidence search for another review, published recently, which synthesised evidence from sub-Saharan Africa about men’s perspectives on HIVST [20, 21]. The current review builds on the previous study by focusing specifically on linkage to HIV care following a reactive HIVST result. HIVST is a relatively new intervention in sub-Saharan Africa. Therefore, studies published prior to 2005 were excluded given that they were unlikely to reflect pertinent contextual information about the HIVST model in sub-Saharan Africa. We searched the following electronic databases: PubMed/MEDLINE, American Doctoral Dissertations via EBSCOhost, the Union Catalogue of Theses and Dissertations (UCTD), SA ePublications via SABINET Online and WorldCat Dissertations, Theses via Online Computer Library Center (OCLC), the Education Resources Information Center (ERIC), the Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature (CINAHL), PsycINFO, Embase, Sociological Abstracts, Scopus, and Google Scholar. We also searched for reports issued by the South African Medical Research Council (MRC) and Human Sciences Research Council (HSRC), as well as those posted to the World Health Organization (WHO) and Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS) websites. Additional potential studies were also sought by reviewing the reference lists of eligible articles. Boolean terms (AND, OR) and Medical Subject Heading (MeSH) terms formed part of our search strategy. The key search words used were; ‘HIV testing’, ‘HIV self-testing’, ‘HIV self-screening’, ‘linkage to care’, ‘men’, ‘male’, and ‘sub-Saharan Africa’. Names of individual countries in sub-Saharan Africa, and truncated terms such as ‘East Africa’ and ‘Southern Africa’, were also included in the search to ensure retrieval of articles indexed using country-specific names or regional terms. Potential articles from the database search were exported to Endnote version 7 for further assessment and screening [35].

Study Selection and Inclusion Criteria

A single author (MH) conducted the initial database search, which included screening titles of articles and ensuring that the full text of potentially eligible studies were obtained for abstract screening. Two authors (MH and SM) then independently conducted abstract and full text screening, in duplicate, to identify studies that met the following inclusion criteria:

- Focused on HIVST and subsequent linkage to HIV care
- Conducted in sub-Saharan Africa
- Included only men, or included both men and women with sex-stratified analyses presented
- Published between January 2005 and January 2022
- Included individuals aged 15 years and older

There were no language restrictions. Studies published prior to 2005 and those conducted outside of sub-Saharan Africa were excluded. We excluded studies exclusively

Table 1 Population, Concept, and Context framework

| Criteria | Determinants |
|----------|-------------|
| Population | Men aged 15 years and older in sub-Saharan Africa |
| Concept | Linkage to HIV care following a reactive HIVST result |
| Context | HIV epidemic in sub-Saharan Africa |
focused on men who have sex with men, given the potentially confounding influences that result from the unique challenges they (and other members of UNAIDS-defined “key population” groups—sex workers, transgender people, people who inject drugs, and people who are incarcerated) face in accessing HIV care and related services [36–40]. Any disagreements about inclusion or exclusion were resolved by consensus, in which both reviewers discussed the identified study and either agreed on a final result or had their disagreement resolved by a third reviewer.

**Quality Appraisal**

Two authors critically appraised the methodological rigor of the included studies. All included articles were critically assessed using the Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool (MMAT), version 2018 [41, 42].

**Data Extraction**

We used Google Forms to abstract data on study characteristics and relevance. The following characteristics were identified: (a) author(s) and date of publication, (b) aim(s) or research questions, (c) primary source data (e.g. quotes from individuals), (d) study population, (e) mean age of participants, (f) gender of participants, (g) percentage of women, (h) percentage of men, (i) geographic setting (rural/urban), (j) study design, (k) type of intervention and outcomes, (l) most relevant finding, (m) most significant finding, (n) study limitations and implications, and (o) interpretations and conclusions as stated by the authors.

**Data Synthesis**

Using NVivo software (version 11, QSR International, Melbourne, Australia), we followed a thematic synthesis approach to synthesize data from the included studies. This approach followed three key stages: (i) coding the findings of the included studies line-by-line; (ii) organizing these free codes into related areas to construct descriptive themes; and (iii) developing analytical themes. All coding was discussed thoroughly among members of the research team to confirm that the key components of each included article were not overlooked. We extracted quantitative data on the percentages or rates of men who linked to HIV care following a reactive HIVST result, and compared linkage to care rates with those estimated in other studies included in this review.

**Results**

The electronic search strategy identified 22,446 references (Fig. 1). Title screening resulted in the exclusion of 19,274 articles. Fourteen duplicates were removed, leaving 3158 articles for title and abstract screening, resulting in the further exclusion of 3087 articles. We assessed the full text of 71 articles and excluded 56 of them for the following reasons: six were opinion/commentary papers, five were study protocols, and 45 did not present evidence on HIVST and linkage to HIV care among men in sub-Saharan Africa. Therefore, 15 articles met our inclusion criteria and were included in this review.

**Characteristics of Included Studies**

The included studies were conducted in the following countries: Zambia [43], Malawi [26, 44, 45], Tanzania [46], Uganda [47–50], South Africa [51–54], and Kenya [55, 56]. There were seven quantitative studies, seven qualitative studies, and one mixed methods study. This review included studies published from January 2005 to January 2022. Our search excluded studies conducted prior to 2005 because they were unlikely to reflect key aspects and information pertaining to the use of the HIVST model in sub-Saharan Africa. All of the included studies were published after 2015. Details of the included studies are presented in Table 2, with the quality assessment presented in Appendix Table 3.

**Key Themes**

We identified three main themes: evidence on linkage to HIV care following a reactive HIVST result; barriers to linking to HIV care following a reactive HIVST result; and strategies to increase linkage to care following a reactive HIVST result.

**Evidence on Linkage to HIV Care Following a Reactive HIVST Result**

Evidence on linkage to HIV care following a reactive HIVST result was presented in 12 studies (seven quantitative, four qualitative, and one mixed methods). In Zambia, 82% (n = 533/647) of men reported willingness to link to care within the 1st week after a reactive HIVST result [43]. A recent study of 3486 men conducted in South Africa showed that as high as 72% of men with a reactive HIVST result received a confirmatory test, and nearly all (95%) who were confirmed to be HIV positive were subsequently linked to HIV care and initiated ART [53]; overall, 68% of men with a reactive HIVST result were linked to confirmatory testing and initiated ART successfully. In a study conducted in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa, linkage to HIV care was confirmed for 65% (n = 102/157) within 7 months of testing.
and 70% (n = 110/157) within 15 months of testing, among men who reported their results [54].

In Malawi, studies of secondary distribution of HIVST kits to male partners by women attending antenatal care found evidence of favorable opinions toward linkage to HIV care after a reactive HIVST result [44], especially when conditional financial incentives were included [45]. In another Malawi study, among 676 male partners of antenatal care clinic attendees who attended a male-friendly clinic (i.e., a clinic designed specifically for men and/or with male staff), 46 (6.8%) had a newly confirmed reactive HIVST result, all of whom were referred for ART; of these, 42 (91.3%) were linked to HIV treatment on the same day as their HIV diagnosis [45].

In the Zambia study, linkage to HIV care was lower among men receiving HIV testing for the first time compared with men who had prior HIV testing experience (adjusted odds ratio [AOR] 0.54; 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.32–0.91; p = 0.02), and was also lower among men with high incomes compared with men who had lower incomes (AOR 0.59; 95% CI 0.40–0.88; p = 0.009) [43].

While linkage to HIV care after a reactive HIVST result has been high in some studies, in a study conducted in Malawi, the authors estimated a much lower rate of linkage to HIV care after a reactive HIVST result (56.3%, n = 524/930) [26]. In Kenya, 63% (n = 26/41) of participants with a reactive HIVST result sought confirmatory testing, and 56% (n = 23/41) of those were linked to HIV care within 3 months [56]. Lower rates of linkage to HIV care after a reactive HIVST result were reported in Kenya, where only 25% (2/8) of men with a reactive HIVST result obtained confirmatory testing and subsequently linked to HIV care [55]. Similarly, only 23% (n = 6/26) of men who were HIVST reactive in Uganda were linked to HIV care in the intervention arm compared with 66.7% (n = 4/6) in the control arm [47].

In Zambia, the participants demonstrated an understanding that getting a confirmatory HIV test, seeking advice, and starting ART was indicated after a reactive HIVST result, especially because some people may require more support and counselling, as echoed by a Zambian man:
| Author (year)          | Country   | Study aim                                                                                           | Sample/population                                      | Number of participants | Age group       | Research method                                      | Key finding(s)                                                                                           |
|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------|------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Muwanguzi et al. (2021) | Uganda    | To explore employed professional men’s preferences for uptake of HIVST and linkage to HIV care or prevention services | Men                                                    | 33                     | 18–55 years   | Qualitative (in-depth interviews)                    | Incentives could be used to improve the rates at which men are linked to HIV care following a reactive HIVST result |
| Rujumba et al. (2021)  | Uganda    | To explore perceptions of pregnant and lactating women, their male partners and health care providers regarding both initial and repeat HIVST for women and their male partners during pregnancy and lactation in Kampala and generated suggestions for potential integration and scale-up of HIV self-testing in PMTCT programs | Men & women                                           | 22 women, 12 men, 23 health care providers | 24–40 years    | Qualitative (in-depth interviews & focus group discussions) | Concern that, in addition to confidentiality concerns and stigma, the lack of active linkage to care systems could be another barrier to timely linkage |
| Matovu et al. (2020)  | Uganda    | To generate data necessary to inform the design of a peer-led HIVST intervention intended to improve HIV testing uptake and linkage to HIV care in Kasensero fishing community in rural Uganda | Men & women                                           | 16 women, 31 men       | 15 years & older | Qualitative (focus group discussions)                 | Men preferred a home visit from a health care provider as a follow up strategy to encourage them to confirm reactive HIVST results and link to HIV care |
| Author (year)          | Country       | Study aim                                                                 | Sample/population | Number of participants | Age group          | Research method                        | Key finding(s)                                                                                     |
|------------------------|---------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Conserve et al. (2018) | Tanzania      | To assess men’s attitudes and personal agency towards HIVST and confirmatory HIV testing in order to inform the development of the Tanzania Self-Testing Education and Promotion Project, a peer-based HIV self-testing intervention for young men in Tanzania | Men               | 23                     | Mean age: 27.3 years | Qualitative (in-depth interviews)      | Men preferred phone call reminders over SMS reminders after HIVST                                      |
| Choko et al. (2017)    | Malawi        | To describe the views of pregnant women and their male partners on HIV self-test kits that are woman-delivered, alone or with an additional intervention | Men & women       | 31 women 31 men        | Median age for men: 28.5 years; women: 23.5 years | Qualitative (in-depth interviews & focus group discussions) | Men felt that providing a fixed financial incentive of approximately USD $2 would increase linkage to HIV care following a reactive HIVST result |
| Martinez Perez et al.  | South Africa  | To examine the feasibility and acceptability of unsupervised oral self-testing for home use in an informal settlement of South Africa | Men & women       | 11 women 9 men          | 18 years & older   | Qualitative (couple interviews, in-depth interviews, focus group discussions) | Healthcare providers’ home visits may deter future utilization of HIVST. Concern that home visits could potentially stigmatize HIVST clients who are labelled as HIV infected |
Table 2 (continued)

| Author (year)          | Country      | Study aim                                                                 | Sample/population | Number of participants | Age group          | Research method                  | Key finding(s)                                                                 |
|------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Makusha et al. (2015)  | South Africa | To explore interest in HIVST; potential distribution channels for HIV self-test kits to target groups; perception of requirements for diagnostic technologies that would be most amenable to HIVST and opinions on barriers and opportunities for HIV-linkage to care after receiving positive test results | Men & women       | 2: Government Officials; 4: NGOs; 2: Donors; 3 Academic Researchers; 1 Int. stakeholder | 18 years & older | Qualitative (in-depth interviews) | Some of the barriers to linkage to HIV care after a reactive HIVST result pertain to the lack of a personal referral system |

Quantitative studies

Sithole et al. (2021) | South Africa | To investigate whether HIV self-test kit distribution was a feasible approach to reach men and to estimate the proportion of participants who reported their HIVST results, tested positive, and linked to care | Men               | 2634                   | Median age: 27 years (interquartile range: 22–33) | Quantitative          | 65% (n = 102/157) and 70% (n = 110/157) of men were linked to ART after a reactive HIVST result. Men who received an HIVST kit at a place other than the workplace or mobile van (adjusted odds ratio [AOR] 3.58; 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.30–14.84; p=0.033) and those with a secondary level of education or above (AOR 1.34; 95% CI 1.00–1.78; p=0.046) were more likely to report their HIVST results |
| Author (year)       | Country      | Study aim                                                                 | Sample/population                  | Number of participants | Age group       | Research method                              | Key finding(s)                                                                                             |
|-------------------|--------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Shapiro et al. (2020) | South Africa | To understand whether HIV self-test kit distribution is feasible to engage men in testing, to determine the yield of HIV detection and linkage to care for men by providing HIVST in South African communities, and to determine predictors of retention along the HIV cascade for men who use HIVST, in order to better optimize engagement for men | Males & females                   | 4307 men 189 women | 18 years & older | Quantitative (implementation)               | 72% of men with a reactive HIVST result received a confirmatory test, with 95% of these linking to ART. Overall linkage was confirmed for 68% of HIV diagnosed men |
| Korte et al. (2020) | Uganda       | To evaluate the impact of offering HIVST to male partners of women presenting for antenatal care (ANC) | Male partners of pregnant women attending ANC | 1455                  | 18 years & older (mean age [standard deviation] 32.2 [8.1] years) | Quantitative (cluster-randomized controlled trial) | 23% (n = 6/26) of men in the intervention vs 66.7% (n = 4/6) in the control arm were linked to HIV care following a reactive HIVST result |
| Choko et al. (2019) | Malawi       | To investigate the impact of HIVST alone or with additional interventions on the uptake of testing and linkage to care or prevention among male partners of ANC clinic attendees in a novel adaptive trial | Male partners of pregnant women attending ANC | 2349                  | Mean age: 30 years | Quantitative (cluster-randomized controlled trial) | 91.3% (n = 42/46) of men were linked to ART following a reactive HIVST result |
| Author (year)          | Country | Study aim                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Sample/population                                                                 | Number of participants | Age group   | Research method                                      | Key finding(s)                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|-----------------------|---------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------|------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Thirumurthy et al.    | Kenya   | To assess an approach of providing multiple self-test kits to women at high risk of HIV acquisition to promote partner HIV testing and to facilitate safer sexual decision making                                                                                                                                                | Male partners of sex workers and women receiving antenatal and post-partum care    | 280                    | 18–39 years  | Quantitative (cohort study)                         | 56% (n = 23/41) of men were linked to HIV care following a reactive HIVST result                                                                                                                                 |
| Masters et al. (2016) | Kenya   | To determine whether providing multiple HIV self-test kits to pregnant and post-partum women for secondary distribution is more effective at promoting partner testing and couples testing than conventional strategies based on invitations to clinic-based testing                                                                 | Male partners of pregnant women attending ANC                                      | 570                    | 18 years & older | Quantitative (randomized controlled trial)          | 25% (n = 2/8) were linked to ART following a reactive HIVST result                                                                                                                                           |
| Choko et al. (2015)   | Malawi  | To evaluate uptake, accuracy, linkage to care, and health outcomes when highly convenient and flexible but supported access to HIV self-test kits were provided to a well-defined and closely monitored population                                                                                                           | Men & women                                                                      | 7868 women 6124 men   | 16 years & older | Quantitative (prospective—within a cluster-randomised trial) | Linkage to HIV care after a reactive HIVST result was 56.3% (n = 524/930)                                                                                                                                 |
|                       |         | **Mixed-methods studies**                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |                                                                                  |                        |             |                                                      |                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
| Chipungu et al. (2017)| Zambia  | To examine the intention to link to care amongst potential HIVST users and the suitability of three linkage to care strategies in Lusaka Province, Zambia                                                                                                                                 | Men & women                                                                      | 1617 (60% women, 40% men) | 16–49 years  | Mixed methods: Quantitative (cross sectional) & qualitative (focus group discussions) | 82% (n = 533/647) of men were willing to link to ART within the 1st week after a reactive HIVST result                                                                                                                                 |
If a person is positive they need to find people who can help [them], so that they can be comforted and not have the feeling of saying ‘why have I been found positive or what can I do ([43], p. 7).

A study in Tanzania found that men’s positive attitudes towards seeking confirmatory HIV testing and linkage to HIV care were motivated by the availability of lifesaving treatment [46]:

Truly if I see two lines [reactive HIVST results] have appeared I will be ready to move from this place to the responsible place to verify my results. ([46], p. 8).

In another study conducted among male partners of antenatal clinic attendees, men felt that providing a fixed financial incentive of approximately USD $2 would increase linkage to HIV care following a reactive HIVST result [44], citing the possibility that such a strategy would be used for transport costs, removing a crucial economic barrier:

When you come to the clinic, you spend the whole day with no food for today. Providing a high financial incentive would encourage other male partners, upon hearing that their friend just got food for the day by simply going to the clinic. ([44], p. 6).

However, also important to note is that these men would still have to deal with issues preventing them from attending an HIV clinic, including stigma and confidentiality concerns, long waiting times, lack of paid leave to attend clinic, and limited clinic operating hours [46, 53].

Some of the barriers to linkage to HIV care after a reactive HIVST result pertain to the lack of a personal referral system for linking people to HIV care [51]. Setting up an efficient monitoring and evaluation programme for HIVST is important, as well as the provision for adequate follow up after a reactive HIVST result [51, 52].

Barriers to Linkage to HIV Care Following a Reactive HIVST Result

Evidence on the barriers associated with linkage to HIV care following a reactive HIVST result was presented in six studies. These consisted of three quantitative studies, two qualitative studies, and one mixed methods study. Studies conducted in Zambia, Uganda, Malawi and South Africa indicated that men of limited financial means may not obtain confirmatory HIV testing and/or initiate ART due to financial constraints or travelling costs [43, 45, 47]. In a study conducted in Uganda, participants suggested that incentives could be used to improve the rates at which men are linked to HIV care following a reactive HIVST result:

Why would I want to take an HIV test? There must be a reason why, especially if you want me to take it at the office. But if you put a test and said those who take the test and go for treatment will be given something, then I would be willing to participate. ([50], p. 8).

You could even motivate them that you will give them a reward when they bring back the results or when they go for other services. For example, circumcision or when they start on treatment if they test positive. ([50], p. 9).

Choko et al. [26, 45] also indicated that, while there is high readiness to engage in HIVST, optimal systems for linking clients to HIV care are not well established in Africa.

Additional barriers to linkage to HIV care include the potentially long wait times at the clinics, stigma, discrimination, and privacy concerns [46, 47]. In a study conducted in Kampala, Uganda, some men expressed concern that, in addition to confidentiality concerns and stigma, the lack of active linkage to care systems could be another barrier to timely linkage:

In case he tested himself he will not encourage himself to go to the clinic and start taking ARVs. Some people will not even know which hospital to go to for treatment. Some fear going to hospitals near their homes because they do not want to be known ([48], p. 11).

Strategies to Increase Linkage to Care Following a Reactive HIVST Result

Evidence about the most appropriate strategies for increasing follow up after HIVST was reported in eight studies [43–46, 49, 50, 52, 54]. These consisted of five qualitative studies, two quantitative studies, and one mixed methods study. A study conducted in Zambia indicated that more than half (51%; n = 328/647) of men preferred a home visit from a health care provider as a follow up strategy for confirming reactive HIVST results and linking to HIV care, citing the enhanced privacy, greater trust, and more effective communication they perceived with home visits compared with health facilities [43]. This finding was consistent with the findings from a study conducted in Rakai, Uganda, although study participants also noted concerns over potential breaches of confidentiality that could result from home visits:

In my opinion it’s a good [idea] because no transport cost is involved by self-tested individuals. However, if community members become aware that a medical worker always visits a certain person in the village, they become suspicious that the person is HIV positive which may make the one who obtains ARVs from his home uncomfortable ([49], p. 11).
In another study conducted in Uganda during the COVID-19 pandemic, men indicated that follow up telephone calls from health care providers would be preferred, due to their busy schedules and fears of COVID-19:

I think the phone can be used for follow-up and support after getting one’s test results. In this era of COVID and with our busy schedules it’s very hard for me to keep going to the facility, but if I have somebody to continually offer guidance and information, I think it makes it easier. ([50], p. 8).

In the Zambian study, 31% (n = 203/647) of men preferred a phone call and 18% (n = 116/647) preferred SMS reminders [43]. One man reported:

I think both modes (phone and home visit) of talking to your counsellor are important, but if you want an effective communication, its better people come to your home so that you build trust and strong relationship ([43], p. 8).

In a study conducted in Malawi among men with partners attending antenatal care, follow up phone call reminders were preferred, and these increased linkage to HIV care among men who received HIVST kits from their partners [44, 45]. Phone call reminders after HIVST were also preferred over SMS reminders in Tanzania [46]. In a study conducted in South Africa, confirmatory testing and linkage to HIV care after a reactive HIVST result were low, despite telephone reminders and home visits, because some participants could not be reached at home or by telephone. Following up with SMS reminders after HIVST was not perceived as effective for improving linkage to HIV care, because some people simply ignored them due to an influx of spam messages [44]. Attempts by healthcare providers to provide repeated follow ups may deter future utilization of HIVST if these attempts are thought to compromise the autonomy of HIVST clients [52]. Some have also voiced concern that home visits could potentially stigmatize HIVST clients who are labelled as “HIV infected” [52].

A study conducted in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa, indicated that men who received an HIVST kit at a place other than the workplace or mobile van (AOR 3.58; 95% CI 1.30–14.84; p = 0.033) and those with a secondary level of education or above (AOR 1.34; 95% CI 1.00–1.78; p = 0.046) were more likely to report their HIVST results [54]. In Rakai, Uganda, men suggested that peers could be used to encourage men with a reactive HIVST result to seek confirmatory results and, if confirmed to be HIV positive, link to HIV care as soon as possible:

A peer educator can intervene and take HIV treatment to the homes of the self-tested individuals… [The use of a peer-leader] could be effective because the peer leader can reach his colleague who self-tested HIV positive without informing others… it is better if they get linked through the peer leader who distributed the kits. ([49], p. 11).

Discussion

In this systematic review of research on linkage to HIV care following HIVST in sub-Saharan Africa, we found a paucity of evidence overall. More research is required to understand barriers to confirmatory testing and ART linkage after a reactive HIVST result, as well as potential enabling factors, so that program implementers can design more effective linkage to care interventions aimed at improving the rates of men accessing HIV treatment in these settings [57].

Some studies described the potential of financial incentives to improve rates of linkage to confirmatory testing and subsequent HIV care following a reactive HIVST result among men. For those living in conditions of poverty, the financial incentives could be used for transport costs, removing a crucial economic barrier to ART linkage. However, it is important to note that this finding was based on only a few studies. More evidence is needed to confirm the impact of incentives on linkage to care, despite the promising results to date. As high as 72% of men obtained confirmatory testing and subsequently linked to HIV care following reactive HIVST results in South Africa [53], but inconsistent findings were noted in other settings [26, 43, 47, 55, 58]. In addition to financial barriers, other barriers include poor integration of HIV and primary healthcare services [43, 45, 47, 58], long wait times, stigma, discrimination and privacy concerns [8].

Given these persistent barriers deterring men from accessing HIV services in health care settings, our results suggest the importance of community-based confirmatory HIV testing and ART initiation. Community-based initiation and delivery of ART services has been shown to be an effective strategy for improving access to HIV treatment in sub-Saharan Africa, as it addresses several distinctive barriers associated with accessing HIV treatment from clinic settings [59–63].

The findings of this review make an important contribution to the literature on HIVST in sub-Saharan Africa, given the limited evidence on this important topic. Nevertheless, the findings should be interpreted in light of several important
limitations. First, we did not include studies conducted in countries in sub-Saharan Africa that are not implementing the HIVST programme. Second, the findings of this review may not be generalised across the region, especially given the limited number of studies that met our inclusion criteria. Furthermore, most of the included studies were conducted in Eastern and Southern Africa, and few studies focused on secondary distribution of HIVST kits to the male partners of women attending antenatal care clinics. Third, we did not attempt to contact authors for gender-disaggregated data if they were not reported in the full text. Finally, in some included studies, it is possible that linkage to confirmatory testing and care was underestimated, especially in cases where participants could not be reached after follow-up attempts.

**Conclusion**

HIVST is an important model for improving men’s uptake of HIV care and related services. The rates of men seeking confirmatory testing and linkage to HIV care following HIVST remain inconsistent. Measuring linkage to HIV care following a reactive HIVST result remain a primary concern in sub-Saharan Africa. This suggests that further research and strategies are required to address ongoing concerns over linkage to HIV care, especially given the contrasting findings.

**Appendix**

See Table 3.

| Table 3 Methodological quality assessment of included studies |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Question number** | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Q5 | Q6 | Q7 | Q8 | Q9 | Q10 | Q11 | Q12 |
|----------------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|-----|-----|
| **Qualitative studies** |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |     |     |     |
| Muwanguzi et al. [50]   | Y  | Y  | Y  | Y  | Y  | Y  | Y  | N/A| N/A| N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Rujumba et al. [48]    | Y  | Y  | Y  | Y  | Y  | Y  | Y  | N/A| N/A| N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Matovu et al. [49]     | Y  | Y  | Y  | Y  | Y  | Y  | Y  | N/A| N/A| N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Conserve et al. [46]   | Y  | Y  | Y  | Y  | Y  | Y  | Y  | N/A| N/A| N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Choko et al. [44]      | Y  | Y  | Y  | Y  | Y  | Y  | Y  | N/A| N/A| N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Martinez Perez et al. [52] | Y  | Y  | Y  | Y  | Y  | Y  | Y  | N/A| N/A| N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Makusha et al. [51]    | Y  | Y  | Y  | Y  | Y  | Y  | Y  | N/A| N/A| N/A | N/A | N/A |
| **Quantitative studies** |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |     |     |     |
| Sithole et al. [54]    | Y  | Y  | N/A| N/A| N/A| N/A| N/A| N/A| N/A| Y   | Y   | Y   |
| Korte et al. [47]      | Y  | Y  | N/A| N/A| N/A| N/A| N/A| N/A| N/A| Y   | Y   | Y   |
| Choko et al. [45]      | Y  | Y  | N/A| N/A| N/A| N/A| N/A| N/A| N/A| Y   | Y   | C   |
| Masters et al. [55]    | Y  | Y  | N/A| N/A| N/A| N/A| N/A| N/A| N/A| Y   | Y   | Y   |
| **Question number** | Q1 | Q2 | Q13| Q14| Q15| Q16| Q17| Q18| Q19| Q20| Q21| Q22|
|----------------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|-----|-----|
| Shapiro et al. [53]   | Y  | Y  | Y  | Y  | Y  | Y  | Y  | N/A| N/A| N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Thirumurthy et al. [56]| Y  | Y  | Y  | Y  | Y  | Y  | Y  | N/A| N/A| N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Choko et al. [26]     | Y  | Y  | Y  | Y  | Y  | Y  | Y  | N/A| N/A| N/A | N/A | N/A |
| **Mixed methods studies** |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |     |     |     |
| Chipungu et al. [43]  | Y  | Y  | Y  | N/A| N/A| N/A| N/A| N/A| N/A| Y   | Y   | Y   |

Screening questions (for all types): Q1: Are there clear research questions?; Q2: Do the collected data allow to address the research questions? Qualitative: Q3: Is the qualitative approach appropriate to answer the research question?; Q4: Are the qualitative data collection methods adequate to address the research question?; Q5: Are the findings adequately derived from the data?; Q6: Is the interpretation of results sufficiently substantiated by data?; Q7: Is there coherence between qualitative data sources, collection, analysis and interpretation? Quantitative randomized controlled trials: Q8: Is randomization appropriately performed?; Q9: Are the groups comparable at baseline?; Q10: Are there complete outcome data?; Q11: Are outcome assessors blinded to the intervention provided?; Q12: Did the participants adhere to the assigned intervention? Quantitative: Q13: Is the sampling strategy relevant to address the research question?; Q14: Is the sample representative of the target population?; Q15: Are the measurements appropriate?; Q16: Is the risk of nonresponse bias low?; Q17: Is the statistical analysis appropriate to answer the research question? Mixed methods: Q18: Is there an adequate rationale for using a mixed methods design to address the research question?; Q19: Are the different components of the study effectively integrated to answer the research question?; Q20: Are the outputs of the integration of qualitative and quantitative components adequately interpreted?; Q21: Are divergences and inconsistencies between quantitative and qualitative results adequately addressed?; Q22: Do the different components of the study adhere to the quality criteria of each tradition of the methods involved? Y yes; N no; C can’t tell; N/A not applicable
Author Contributions MH conceptualised, designed, and prepared the initial draft of the study, which was reviewed by KH, DC, ACT, TD and ATC. SM contributed in the abstract, full article screening, and data extraction. All the authors reviewed the draft and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Funding This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Data Availability All the data analysed and reported in this paper were from published literature, which is already in the public domain.

Declarations

Competing Interests ACT reports receiving a financial stipend from Elsevier, Inc. for his work as Co-Editor in Chief of the journal SSM-Mental Health. All other authors declare no competing interests.

Ethical Approval Ethics approval is not applicable.

Consent to Participate Informed consent was not necessary.

Research Involving Human and/or Animal Participants This review does not include any animal or human participants.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

1. Staveteig S, Wang S, Head SK, Bradley SEK, Nybro E. Demographic patterns of HIV testing uptake in sub-Saharan Africa. Demographic patterns of HIV testing uptake in sub-Saharan Africa. DHS Comparative Reports 30. Calverton: ICF International; 2013.
2. Tsai AC, Siedner MJ. The missing men: HIV treatment scale-up and life expectancy in sub-Saharan Africa. PLoS Med. 2015;12(11): e1001906.
3. Nsanzimana S, Remera E, Kantes S, Chan K, Forrest JI, Ford N, et al. Life expectancy among HIV-positive patients in Rwanda: a retrospective observational cohort study. Lancet Glob Health. 2015;3(3):e169–77.
4. Johnson LF, Mossong J, Dorrrington RE, Schomaker M, Hoffmann CJ, Keiser O, et al. Life expectancies of South African adults starting antiretroviral treatment: collaborative analysis of cohort studies. PLoS Med. 2013;10(4): e1001418.
5. Mills EJ, Bakanda C, Birungi J, Chan K, Ford N, Cooper CL, et al. Life expectancy of persons receiving combination antiretroviral therapy in low-income countries: a cohort analysis from Uganda. Ann Intern Med. 2011;155(4):209–16.
6. Barnabas RV, van Heerden A, McConnell M, Szpiro AA, Krows ML, Schaafsma TT, et al. Lottery incentives have short-term impact on ART initiation among men: results from a randomised pilot study. J Int AIDS Soc. 2020;23: e25519.
7. Nardell MF, Adeoti O, Peters C, Kakuhihire B, Govathson-Mandimika C, Long L, et al. Men missing from the HIV care continuum in sub-Saharan Africa: a meta-analysis and meta-synthesis. J Int AIDS Soc. 2022;25(3): e25889.
8. Hlongwa M, Mashamba-Thompson T, Mukhunga S, Hlongwana K. Barriers to HIV testing uptake among men in sub-Saharan Africa: a scoping review. Afr J AIDS Res. 2020;19(1):13–23.
9. Tabana H, Doherty T, Swanevelder S, Lombard C, Jackson D, Zembe W, et al. Knowledge of HIV status prior to a community HIV counseling and testing intervention in a rural district of South Africa: results of a community based survey. BMC Infect Dis. 2012. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2334-12-73.
10. Dzinamarira T, Mulindabigwi A, Mashamba-Thompson TP. Co-creation of a health education program for improving the uptake of HIV self-testing among men in Rwanda: nominal group technique. Heliyon. 2020;6(10):1–7.
11. Kanters S, Nansubuga M, Mwehinde D, Odiit M, Kasirye M, Musoke W, et al. Increased mortality among HIV-positive men on antiretroviral therapy: survival differences between sexes explained by late initiation in Uganda. HIV/AIDS. 2013;5:111.
12. Mills EJ, Bakanda C, Birungi J, Chan K, Hogg RS, Ford N, et al. Male gender predicts mortality in a large cohort of patients receiving antiretroviral therapy in Uganda. J Int AIDS Soc. 2011;14(1):52.
13. Druyts E, Dybul M, Kanters S, Nachega J, Birungi J, Ford N, et al. Male sex and the risk of mortality among individuals enrolled in antiretroviral therapy programs in Africa: a systematic review and meta-analysis. AIDS. 2013;27(3):417–25.
14. Siedner MJ, Ng CK, Bassett IV, Katz IT, Bangsberg DR, Tsai AC. Trends in CD4 count at presentation to care and treatment initiation in sub-Saharan Africa, 2002–2013: a meta-analysis. Clin Infect Dis. 2015;60(7):1120–7.
15. Kigozi IM, Dobkin LM, Martin JN, Geng EH, Muyindike W, Emenyonu NL, et al. Late disease stage at presentation to an HIV clinic in the era of free antiretroviral therapy in sub-Saharan Africa. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2009;52(2):280.
16. UNAIDS. Understanding fast-track: accelerating action to end the AIDS epidemic by 2030. Geneva: UNAIDS; 2015.
17. Hlongwa M, Mashamba-Thompson T, Mukhunga S, Hlongwana K. Mapping evidence of intervention strategies to improving men’s uptake to HIV testing services in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review and meta-synthesis. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2020;47:2334–41.
18. Hensen B, Taoka S, Lewis JJ, Weiss HA, Hargreaves J. Systematic review of strategies to increase men’s HIV-testing in sub-Saharan Africa: a scoping review and meta-synthesis. BMC Public Health. 2020;20(1):66.
19. WHO, UNAIDS statement on HIV testing services: new opportunities and ongoing challenges [press release]. Geneva: UNAIDS; 2017.
20. Hlongwa M, Mashamba-Thompson T, Mukhunga S, Hlongwana K. Men’s perspectives on HIV self-testing in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review and meta-synthesis. BMC Infect Dis. 2019;19(496):1–13.
21. Hlongwa M, Hlongwana K. Men’s perspectives on HIV self-testing in sub-Saharan Africa: a qualitative systematic review protocol. JBI Evid Synth. 2020;18(3):571–5.
22. Choko AT, Desmond N, Webb EL, Chavula K, Napierala-Mavedzenge S, Gaydos CA, et al. The uptake and accuracy of oral kits for HIV self-testing in high HIV prevalence setting: a cross-sectional feasibility study in Blantyre, Malawi. PLoS Med. 2011;8(10): e1001102.
23. Harichund C, Moshabela M, Kunene P, Abdool KQ. Acceptability of HIV self-testing among men and women in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. AIDS Care. 2018;31(2):186–92.
24. Figueroa C, Johnson C, Verster A, Baggaley R. Behavior, attitudes and acceptability on HIV self-testing among key populations: a literature review. AIDS Behav. 2015;19(11):1949–65.
25. Pant Pai N, Sharma J, Shirkumar S, Pillay S, Vadnais C, Joseph L, et al. Supervised and unsupervised self-testing for HIV in high- and low-risk populations: a systematic review. PLoS Med. 2013;10(4):e1001414.
26. Choko AT, MacPherson P, Webb EL, Willey BA, Feasy H, Sambakuni R, et al. Uptake, accuracy, safety, and linkage into care over two years of promoting annual self-testing for HIV in Blantyre, Malawi: a community-based prospective study. PLoS Med. 2015;12(9):e1001873.
27. UNAIDS. A short technical update on self-testing for HIV. Geneva: UNAIDS; 2013.
28. Shanks L, Klarkowski D, O’Brien DP. False positive HIV diagnoses in resource limited settings: operational lessons learned for HIV programmes. PLoS ONE. 2013;8(3):e59906.
29. Jafa K, Patel P, MacKellar DA, Sullivan PS, Delaney KP, Sides TL, et al. Investigation of false positive results with an oral fluid rapid HIV-1/2 antibody test. PLoS ONE. 2007;2(1):e185.
30. U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Understanding the HIV care continuum. Atlanta: U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2019.
31. Choko AT, Jamil MS, MacPherson P, Corbett E, Chitembo L, Ingold H, et al. Measuring linkage to HIV treatment services following HIV self-testing in low-income settings. J Int AIDS Soc. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1002/jia2.25548.
32. Population Services International. HIV self-testing Africa initiative. Washington, D.C.: Population Services International; 2017.
33. UNAIDS. Fact sheet—latest global and regional statistics on the status of the AIDS epidemic. Geneva: UNAIDS; 2021.
34. Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ. 2020;2021:372.
35. Clarivate. EndNote (version 7). London: Clarivate; 2016.
36. Beyrer C, Baral SD, Collins C, Richardson ET, Sullivan PS, Sanchez J, et al. The global response to HIV in men who have sex with men. Lancet. 2016;388(10040):198–206.
37. Reissner SL, Poteat T, Keatley J, Cabral M, Mothopeng T, Dunham E, et al. Global health burden and needs of transgender populations: a review. The Lancet. 2016;388(10042):412–36.
38. Shannon K, Cray L-A, Baral SD, Bekker L-G, Kerrigan D, Decker MR, et al. The global response and unmet actions for HIV and sex workers. Lancet. 2018;392(10148):698–710.
39. Mathers BM, Degenhardt L, Ali H, Wiessing L, Hickman M, Matlick RP, et al. HIV prevention, treatment, and care services for people who inject drugs: a systematic review of global, regional, and national coverage. Lancet. 2010;375(9719):1014–28.
40. Kamarulzaman A, Reid SE, Schwitters A, Wiessing L, El-Bassel N, Dolan K, et al. Prevention of transmission of HIV, hepatitis B virus, hepatitis C virus, and tuberculosis in prisoners. Lancet. 2016;388(10049):1115–26.
41. Hong QN, Pfluey P, Fábregues S, Bartlett G, Boardman F, Cargo M, et al. Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool (MMAT), version 2018. Gatinou: Canadian Intellectual Property Office; 2018.
42. Hong QN, Fábregues S, Bartlett G, Boardman F, Cargo M, Vadnais P, et al. The Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool (MMAT) version 2018 for information professionals and researchers. Eduf Inf. 2018;34(4):285–91.
43. Chippungu J, Bosomprah S, Zanolini A, Thimurthy H, Chilengi R, Sharma A, et al. Understanding linkage to care with HIV self-test approach in Lusaka, Zambia—a mixed method approach. PLoS ONE. 2017;12(11):e0187998.
44. Choko AT, Kumwenda MK, Johnson CC, Sakala DW, Chikalipo MC, Fielding K, et al. Acceptability of woman-delivered HIV self-testing to the male partner, and additional interventions: a qualitative study of antenatal care participants in Malawi. J Int AIDS Soc. 2017;20(1):21610.
45. Choko AT, Corbett EL, Stallard N, Maheswaran H, Lepine A, Johnson CC, et al. HIV self-testing alone or with additional interventions, including financial incentives, and linkage to care or prevention among male partners of antenatal care clinic attendees in Malawi: an adaptive multi-arm, multi-stage cluster randomised trial. PLoS Med. 2019;16(1):e1002719.
46. Converse DF, Muesing KE, Maboko LL, Shirima S, Kilonzo MN, Maman S, et al. Mate Yako Afya Yako: formative research to develop the Tanzania HIV self-testing education and promotion (Tanzania STEP) project for men. PLoS ONE. 2018;13(8):e0202521.
47. Korte JE, Kisa R, Vrana-Diaz CJ, Malek AM, Buregyeya E, Matovu JK, et al. HIV oral self-testing for male partners of women attending antenatal care in central Uganda: uptake and linkage to care post-test in a randomized trial. JAIDS. 2020;84(3):271–9.
48. Rujumba J, Homsy J, Mbazzi FB, Namukwaya Z, Amone A, Rukundo G, et al. Pregnant women, their male partners and health care providers’ perceptions of HIV self-testing in Kampala, Uganda: implications for integration in prevention of mother-to-child transmission programs and scale-up. PLoS ONE. 2021;16(6):e0253616.
49. Matovu JK, Namuusisi A, Nakabiyire S, Wanyenze RK, Serwaadwa D. Formative research to inform the development of a peer-led HIV self-testing intervention to improve HIV testing uptake and linkage to HIV care among adolescents, young people and adult men in Kasensero fishing community, Rakai, Uganda: a qualitative study. BMC Public Health. 2020;20(1):1–16.
50. Muwanguzi PA, Nasuuma EM, Namimbi F, Oisingada CP, Ngarirado TD. Venues and methods to improve professional men’s access to HIV self-testing and linkage to HIV prevention or treatment: a qualitative study. BMC Health Serv Res. 2021;21(1):1–12.
51. Makusha T, Knight L, Taegtmeyer M, Tulloch O, Davids A, Lim J, et al. HIV self-testing could “revolutionize testing in South Africa, but it has got to be done properly”: perceptions of key stakeholders. PLoS ONE. 2015;10(3):e0122783.
52. Martínez Perez G, Cox V, Eillman T, Moore A, Patten G, Shroufi A, et al. ‘I Know that I Do Have HIV but Nobody Saw Me’– oral HIV self-testing in an informal settlement in South Africa. PLoS ONE. 2016;11(4):e0152653.
53. Shapiro AE, van Heerden A, Krows M, Sausi K, Sithole N, Schaufasma TT, et al. An implementation study of oral and blood-based HIV self-testing and linkage to care among men in rural and peri-urban KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. J Int AIDS Soc. 2020;23:e25514.
54. Sithole N, Shahanemesh M, Koole O, Krows M, Schaufasma T, Siedner MJ, et al. Implementation of HIV self-testing to reach men in rural uMkhanyakude, KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. A DO-ART trial sub study. Front Public Health. 2021:9:652877.
55. Masters SH, Agot K, Ohonyo B, Napierala Mavedzenge S, Maman S, Thirumurthy H. Promoting partner testing and couples testing through secondary distribution of HIV self-tests: a randomized clinical trial. PLoS Med. 2016;13(11):e1002166.
56. Thirumurthy H, Masters SH, Mavedzenge SN, Maman S, Omanga E, Agot K. Promoting male partner HIV testing and safer sexual decision making through secondary distribution of self-tests by HIV-negative female sex workers and women receiving antenatal and post-partum care in Kenya: a cohort study. Lancet HIV. 2016;3(6):e266–74.
57. Hamilton A, Thompson N, Choko AT, Hlongwa M, Jolly P, Korte JE, et al. HIV self-testing uptake and intervention strategies
among men in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review. Front Public Health. 2021;9:60.

58. Lebina L, Seatlholo N, Taruberekera N, Radebe M, Kinghorn A, Meyer T, et al. Feasibility of community-based HIV self-screening in South Africa: a demonstration project. BMC Public Health. 2019;19(1):898.

59. Barnabas RV, Szpiro AA, van Rooyen H, Asiimwe S, Pillay D, Ware NC, et al. Community-based antiretroviral therapy versus standard clinic-based services for HIV in South Africa and Uganda (DO ART); a randomised trial. Lancet Glob Health. 2020;8(10):e1305–15.

60. Nachega JB, Adetokunboh O, Uthman OA, Knowlton AW, Altice FL, Schechter M, et al. Community-based interventions to improve and sustain antiretroviral therapy adherence, retention in HIV care and clinical outcomes in low- and middle-income countries for achieving the UNAIDS 90-90-90 targets. Curr HIV/AIDS Rep. 2016;13(5):241–55.

61. Njau B, Damian DJ, Abdullahi L, Boulle A, Mathews C. The effects of HIV self-testing on the uptake of HIV testing, linkage to antiretroviral treatment and social harms among adults in Africa: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS ONE. 2021;16(1):e0245498.

62. Eshun-Wilson I, Awotiwon AA, Germann A, Amankwa SA, Ford N, Schwartz S, et al. Effects of community-based antiretroviral therapy initiation models on HIV treatment outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS Med. 2021;18(5):e1003646.

63. Lankowski AJ, Siedner MJ, Bangsberg DR, Tsai AC. Impact of geographic and transportation-related barriers on HIV outcomes in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review. AIDS Behav. 2014;18(7):1199–223.

Publisher’s Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.