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Introduction

Primary lumbar hernia is a rare clinical entity. So far, around 300 cases have been described in the literature and almost all of them describe repair for unilateral hernias. We present a case of bilateral primary lumbar hernia repair at our institution.

Case Report

A 79 year old lady, who had enjoyed unremarkable health and was fully independent on her activity of daily living, was presented to us with self-detected bilateral loin swellings for one year. The swellings did not produce any symptoms of pain or discomfort and she denied any history of trauma to the region or symptoms of cough, constipation or urinary outflow obstruction. Physical examination revealed her Body Mass Index (BMI) to be 19.1kg/m². Abdominal examination found a non-distended abdomen and there was absence of surgical scars or ventral hernias. Bilateral lumbar protrusions were detected on upright (Figure 1), but they were completely reduced in prone position, which was compatible with lumbar hernias. Computer tomography (CT) scan demonstrated bilateral lumbar hernias just below the twelfth ribs. Retroperitoneal fat was seen inside the right hernia sac and a segment of descending colon was seen inside the left hernia sac (Figure 2). She later consented to an elective repair of her bilateral lumbar hernias under elective settings.

Figure 1.

Figure 2.
Perioperative Management

She was admitted one day before the operation for assessment by the list anesthetist as well as for bowel preparation. Klean-Prep polyethylene glycol solution (Helsinn Healthcare S.A., Switzerland) was given in case bowel resection was indicated. She started fasting eight hours before the operation. Amoxicillin and clavulanic acid were given by intravenous route during induction of anesthesia. Repair of the bilateral lumbar hernias was performed under general anesthesia with endotracheal intubation. The patient was first positioned in right decubitus for the repair of the larger left side lumbar hernia. Although prone position had obvious advantage of allowing both sides repair to be performed without position change, we decided to carry out the lumbar hernias’ repair one side at a time in their respective decubitus positions in order to avoid the unnecessary risks associated with prone anesthesia [1,2].

Operative Findings

Both lumbar hernias were from the superior lumbar triangle. The fascial defects measured 3x3cm in size over the left side, and 1x1cm over the right side. A segment of descending colon was found in the hernia sac over the left side, and perinephric fat was noted in that over the right side.

Method Of Repair

Due to the rarity of bilateral primary lumbar hernias, as well as the limited experience available in the current literature on laparoscopic approaches in this clinical entity, we decided for open repair as it remained to be simple, safe and effective [3,4]. An oblique incision was made over the left lumbar hernia. The Latissimus dorsi muscle was retracted cranially and the hernia content was reduced. A 7x7cm Prolene polypropylene mesh (Ethicon, USA) was placed in ‘sublay’ manner beneath the lumbar fascia defect. The mesh was fixed by non-absorbable monofilament stitches applied superiorly to the peristomeum of the twelfth rib, anteriorly to the internal oblique muscle, and posteriorly to the quadratus lumbarum (Figure 3). The wound was then closed in layers after hemostasis had been attained. Following the completion of the left lumbar hernia repair, the patient was turned to left decubitus position and the same procedure was repeated on the right lumbar hernia. The operative blood loss was 30ml and the operation took 138 minutes. Postoperatively the patient recovered uneventfully and diet was resumed immediately after the patient recovered from the effect of anesthesia on the same day. The patient was prescribed on-demand oral paracetamol 500mg every 6 hours for the first three days after the operation. She was fully mobilized on the next day after the operation and was discharged on post op day 2. There was no recurrence detected on follow-up at 6 months.

Discussion

Queen Elizabeth Hospital is a tertiary referral surgical center with a catchment population of one million. Our institution carry out approximately 1200 cases of hernia repair yearly, but the incidence of primary lumbar hernia is extremely low accounting for just one case per year (0.09%). Lumbar hernia is a rare condition. It was estimated that only around 300 cases have been reported in the literature [5,6]. However, the incidence of bilateral lumbar hernias was mostly described in the pediatric population, in which case they were classified as congenital and usually associated with other inborn abnormalities [7-11]. Only a few reports have described experience on the acquired-type bilateral primary lumbar hernias, which are found in adults [3,9]. Despite its rarity, acquired lumbar hernias possess specific clinical features. Acquired lumbar hernias account for around 80% of cases [12]. They are classified as primary (spontaneous) or secondary, depending on the existence of a causal factor (previous incision, trauma or infection). Approximately 55% of acquired lumbar hernias belong to the primary type [13]. Primary lumbar hernias are more often found on the left side and in the upper lumbar triangle [14]. They are precipitated by conditions associated with increased intra-abdominal pressure, such as strenuous physical activity or chronic bronchitis.

The commonest contents of a lumbar hernia include retroperitoneal fat, small and large bowel, omentum, caecum, appendix, stomach, ovary, spleen and kidney [15,16]. Nonetheless, these reports include cases of post traumatic or incisional lumbar hernias where the hernial sac may be of substantial size. The diagnosis is obtained by clinical examination, and can be supplemented by CT imaging, where the sensitivity is approximately 98%. Some have also found MRI useful in confirming lumbar hernia...
defects [15,17,18]. In contrary to the aforementioned, albeit our patient belongs to the acquired primary type of lumbar hernia, she did not have the typical risk factors for lumbar hernias, hence the development of her lumbar hernia was likely a result of anatomical alterations of the posterior abdominal wall caused by aging [6].

Most lumbar hernias increase in size with time, and overall, there is a 25% risk of incarceration and 8% rate of strangulation. It is therefore generally agreed that lumbar hernias should be repaired early [19]. Primary repair is challenging due to inadequate fascia around the defect making tensionless repair difficult [20]. Various primary repair [21], tissue flaps [9,17,22,23] and mesh repairs (including laparoscopic trans abdominal [3,24-27] and retroperitoneoscopic approaches [19,28-30] had been described. In view of the high failure rates observed in primary repair with facial closure, the principle of tensionless repair by the use of prosthesis became more popular in Lumbar hernia repairs. This has led to more recent publications on the use of pros-theic mesh to bridge the defect and minimize tension, which was associated with a lower recurrence rate [31,32]. As tension-free methods became more popular, reports on the use of different prosthesis design and modification followed.

Losannoff and Kjossev reported on the use of a cylindrical shape polypropylene mesh plug. It was proven to promote powerful fibroblastic response to repair the wall defect [17]. On the other hand, Armstrong and co-workers reported the use of the Ventralex patch, which combines a layer of PTFE with a smaller polypropylene mesh. This mesh allowed the surgeon to perform with a small incision and to place the mesh within the peritoneum with polypropylene straps abutting the patch to the fascia, and was associated with a quick recovery as well as good long-term outcome [18]. Solaini and co-workers reported the use of a dart mesh (Bard Mesh Dart, small monofilament knitted polypropylene), which was sutured medially to the quadratus lumbarum, externally to the internal oblique, and superiorly to the peristome of the twelfth rib and the serratus posterior-inferior. Like other similar studies, the author observed a quick recovery and no recurrence upon follow-up at 11 months [33]. These reports have revolutionized the use of a mesh in achieving tensionless repair in lumbar hernias. It was based on this and our centre experience that open repair using prosthesis was selected for our patient as it is simple, safe and effective. We anchored a Prolene polypropylene mesh (Ethicon, USA) in sublay manner with Nylon stitches superiorly to the periosteum of the twelfth rib, anteriorly to the internal oblique muscle and posteriorly to the quadratus lumbarum. The sublay or underlay placement of a mesh is scientifically sound as buttressing the mesh posteriorly takes full advantage of the hydrostatic principle of Pascal, where the mesh repair will be reinforced by the intra-abdominal pressure [34]. Advocates of this approach have found success in the repair of different abdominal wall hernias including parastramal [35-37] and other ventral hernias [38-40].

This technique was also recently reported on the repair of lumbar hernias [32,41,42]. Sublay mesh repair is therefore advantageous as it provides tension-free repair and prevents recurrence behind the mesh, especially in primary hernias. This concurs with the post-operative findings in our patient where a quick postoperative recovery, short hospital stay, minimal analgesic demand and no recurrence at 12 months was observed. Placing the mesh in the sublay manner may be equivalent to extraperitoneal hernioplasty as advocated by enthusiasts of the minimally invasive approaches. Minimally invasive surgery has recently become a popular option for repair of lumbar hernias. One nonrandomized study demonstrated that laparoscopic lumbar hernia repairs resulted in lower operative times, hospital stay, pain medication use, as well as recovery time [43]. However, most of the laparoscopic repair was performed on patients with secondary lumbar hernias following either history of trauma or nephrectomies.

The laparoscopic approach can repair the defect at the deepest layer of the posterior abdominal wall, which allows for complete identification and coverage of the entire defect without a large incision. Nevertheless, the acquisition of expertise, the difficulty in fixing the mesh (due to the lack of strong fascia around the hernia defect), and the higher operation cost due to necessity of special instruments for mesh anchorage (e.g. tack and staple devises) may preclude laparoscopic approach becoming the prevalent option in lumbar hernia repairs. Although some authors may define laparoscopic approach as the best option [6], it is the authors’ opinion that it should be reserved for centres where expertise and resources are readily available; after all, the open approach is as safe and effective.

Conclusion

This report describes a successful repair of bilateral primary lumbar hernias using open tension-free sublay prosthesis. Due to its rarity, lumbar hernias especially bilateral hernias should be treated following the principle of hernia repair by a method that has been proven safe and effective in other more commonly performed hernia repairs.
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