The Unpredictable Palmaris Longus Tendon Variation in Distal Radio-Ulnar Joint Reconstruction: A Technical Consideration for Undersized Graft
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\textbf{Background:} Distal radioulnar joint (DRUJ) instability results from the disruption of the triangular fibrocartilaginous complex consisting of DRUJ’s primary and secondary stabilizers. The gold standard of stabilization procedure remains ligament reconstruction that utilizes tendon grafts to reanimate the volar and dorsal radioulnar ligament (RUL) as the primary stabilizers of the joint. The palmaris longus (PL) tendon, the graft of choice in reconstructive surgery, is commonly used in DRUJ reconstruction. However, it can exhibit anatomical variations from agenesis to the variation in morphology, location, and attachment that is rarely encountered other than in cadaveric studies.

\textbf{Case Presentation:} We present a case of a 14-year-old boy with ulnar-sided wrist pain and instability following an injury in a boxing match four months before admission. The clinical and radiological results suggested a DRUJ injury with extensor carpi ulnaris (ECU) tendinitis. A ligament reconstruction using the PL tendon graft was planned. Intraoperatively, the PL was found anomalous with dual distal attachments and a short graft length.

\textbf{Results:} We performed Adams ligament reconstruction technique with some modifications to the original design. To overcome the under-sized graft, instead of passing it around the ulnar neck, we added one more bone tunnel on the ulna to enhance the construct stability by a tendon to bone healing.

\textbf{Conclusion:} In reconstructive surgeries, surgeons should consider the other sources of grafts as graft variations exist. Otherwise, as in our case, modifications to the standard technique can be made.
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\textbf{Introduction}

The distal radio-ulnar joint (DRUJ) is commonly injured after a traumatic event to the wrist, either isolated or accompanied by the distal radius fracture and triangular fibrocartilage complex (TFCC) tear. For symptomatic patients, reconstructing the dorsal or volar radio-ulnar ligament (RUL) offers optimum restoration of the DRUJ kinematics\textsuperscript{1}. The palmaris longus (PL) is the first choice of tendon donor for ligament reconstruction using the technique described by Adams and Berger in 2002\textsuperscript{1–3}.

Although the PL tendon is dispensable and fully fulfills the necessary requirements for tendon graft due to its length, diameter, and availability, the PL is also one of the most variable muscles in the human body. Namely, the absent PL, bi-tendinous, multiple muscle bellies, palmaris profundus, reverse palmaris, and palmaris brevis are all types of variations that are mostly found in cadaveric studies\textsuperscript{2,3}. The absent PL has been reported with a range between 1.5% and 63.9% that was discovered either clinically, intraoperatively, or in cadaver dissections\textsuperscript{4}. While, other variations were rarely found and only found during the cadaveric
anatomical study. Unfortunately, other than absent PL, it is hard to evaluate the existence of PL variations.\(^5,6\) Thus, it is potentially missed pre-operatively.

We present a case of chronic dislocation DRUJ in a young man treated with ligament reconstruction using PL tendon. Intraoperatively, the tendon was anomalous, so we made several modifications to the Adams technique of DRUJ reconstruction. Consent was obtained from the guardian of the patient for the publication of this report. This work has been reported in line with the CARE guidelines.\(^7\)

### Case Presentation

A 14-year-old boy was admitted to the outpatient clinic with ulnar-sided wrist pain following an acute injury after hitting the opponent during a boxing match four months prior to hospital admission. At the time of the injury, the wrist was suddenly locked and felt very painful. The patient went to the general practitioner and had the wrist treated conservatively with a brace. Although the acute pain and swelling had improved, the patient was still troubled with the pain associated with wrist rotation and weight lifting, particularly during his boxing activities. Moreover, there was a sense of instability, including weakness and a joint “clunk”.

On the physical examination, there was a bony prominence over the ulnar styloid and tenderness over the distal ulnar region and ulnar side of the wrist (Figure 1A). The foveal sign and ulnar grinding tests were negative. The piano key sign and the intraoperative ballottement test revealed DRUJ instability with the most stable position in supination. The ECU synergy test was positive with pain elicited through the course of ECU on dorso-ulnar side of the wrist. The PL tendon was evident on physical examination. The plain radiograph suggested DRUJ subluxation (Figure 1B and C) and the MRI revealed an absence of volar radio-ulnar ligament (Figure 2). There was also evidence of ECU sub-sheath injury (Figure 2).

The patient was diagnosed with chronic DRUJ injury associated with ECU tendinitis and underwent the reconstructive procedure. Surprisingly, during tendon harvesting, the PL tendon was anomalous. Initially, after the identification of the distal attachment, the tendon was difficult to obtain through small separate incisions. Thus, we extended the incision and found that the PL had two tendinous insertions into the palmar aponeurosis, with the point of bifurcation being 2.5 cm from the distal wrist crease. The lateral division lies superficially, has a 0.4 cm width, and is 0.5 cm shorter than the medial division. The medial division has a 0.6 cm width and lies between the flexor retinaculum and the transverse carpal ligament. The total length obtained from the harvesting was only 13 cm (Figure 3). We prepared the tendon for grafting with both divisions used and the Krakow sutures were applied on both ends.

### Surgical Technique

We reconstructed the dorsal and volar RUL using PL tendon using the modification of Adams’ technique of DRUJ reconstruction.\(^3\) A dorso-ulnar incision was made over the DRUJ and the extensor retinaculum was opened to expose the 5th compartment. The extensor digit minimi (EDM) tendon was retracted radially and an inverted L-shaped capsular incision was made to expose the DRUJ, with the smaller limb of the L-shaped being made just proximal to the triangular fibrocartilage complex (TFCC). The TFCC remnant was debrided to expose the fovea and make an interosseous tunnel from the fovea to the ulnar side of the ulnar styloid. The 4th dorsal compartment was elevated subperiosteally to reflect the dorsal margin of the sigmoid notch and lunate fossa of the distal radius. A 3.5 mm drill hole was made in the lunate fossa approximately 5 mm radial to the radial edge of DRUJ and 5 mm to the radio-carpal joint to avoid breaching the sigmoid notch (Figure 4A).

Volarly, the radial tunnel was made on the ulnar column of distal radius approximately 0.5 cm radial to the sigmoid notch and 0.5 cm proximal to the radiocarpal joint. The bone was exposed through the PL harvesting incision by retracting the whole carpal tunnel content radially. After the graft preparation, the PL graft was passed through this radial tunnel with a suture retriever from dorsal to volar (Figure 4B). The volar limb of the graft was passed back dorsally through the soft tissue tunnel from volar to dorsal DRUJ capsule (Figure 4C).

Both limbs of the PL graft were then passed to the ulna interosseous tunnel with one end pulled longer than the other. A second ulnar tunnel was made proximally to pass the longer limb. Both limbs were fixed with Pulvertaft’s weave
technique after appropriate graft tensioning in the neutral wrist and compressed DRUJ (Figure 5). The summary of the technique is illustrated in Figure 6.

A Muenster anti-rotation splint was applied with the forearm in a neutral to slight supination position up to 6 weeks postoperative. Heavy lifting, boxing, and other strenuous exercises for the wrist are discouraged for the first 6 months.
after surgery. On the 3rd and 6th months follow-up, the wound healed uneventfully and the DRUJ remains stable in prono-supination movement and is pain-free.

**Discussion**

The DRUJ instabilities are common among athletes due to either acute trauma or repetitive ulnar and compressive loading on the hand and wrist. It may coexist with distal radius fracture, TFCC injury, DRUJ arthritis, or ECU subluxation and tendinitis. Numerous techniques have been described that are generally classified into intra- and extra-articular reconstruction techniques. To our knowledge, limited human studies have proven the superiority of one over another. Even though studies on cadavers have shown that the extra-articular method is a good choice and less invasive, the intra-articular anatomical reconstruction methods can restore the DRUJ’s stability to where it was before the surgery. In our case, instability is the main problem, and the patient has a demanding boxing activity that requires a stable wrist with a strong grip. Thus, an intra-articular ligament reconstruction using a tendon graft is the treatment of choice.

Preoperatively, we have examined the existence of the palmaris longus tendon as the donor tendon by physical examination. However, as we proceeded to the PL harvesting, the anatomical variance was unavoidable. The PL is a slender fusiform muscle in the forearm region that shares a common origin with the flexor digitorum superficialis (FDS), the flexor carpi radialis (FCR), and the flexor carpi ulnaris (FCU) muscle. It is characterized by a long tendon and short muscle belly which generally meets the requirement for donor tendon graft due to its superficial location and adequate dimension without necessarily causing a functional deformity (dispensable tendon). These values make the
The PL tendon of great surgical importance and become the first donor of choice for tendon graft procedures in various reconstructive surgeries. The PL varies in the incidence of its absence, morphology, number, attachment, and ability to have accessory slips and substitute structures. The PL variations are suggested to have clinical importance as they potentially cause or aggravate space-occupying lesion–associated diseases such as carpal tunnel syndrome, Guyon’s canal syndrome, or even compartment syndrome. Uniquely, these variations are hard to interpret by radiological examination and are usually found during routine cadaveric dissection or as an incidental intraoperative finding, as in our case.
The PL agenesis has been documented well by many literatures in different populations.\(^5\) This knowledge brings an important consideration to the donor choice for tendon grafting. Moreover, the muscle belly is also of interest because a number of cases have been reported with nerve compression syndrome, which may be associated with a fusiform swelling over the volar distal forearm.\(^5,13,14\) The PL variation highlighted in our report is a short bi-tendinous PL that, to our knowledge, has never been reported other than in cadaveric dissections.\(^2,15\)

The incidence of PL duplication varies between 0.8 and 3.1%, and the incidence of attachment variation is even rarer (0.5%).\(^9\) Some structures have been identified as the PL insertion sites, such as fascia (antebrachial, thenar, and hypothenar fascia), carpal bones (scaphoid, lunate), muscles (thenar or hypothenar muscles), tendons (flexor carpi ulnaris, long flexor tendons), transverse carpal ligament, and the interosseous membrane. The end of the PL tendon may be split in two, and an extra slip may be added to any of the above structures.\(^12\) Furthermore, Kumar et al.\(^16\) also described the PL tendon with multiple attachments in one cadaver. The tendon was divided and attached to the fascia covering the abductor pollicis brevis, flexor retinaculum, and the tendon of flexor carpi ulnaris.

In our case, we incidentally found the anomalous bifid tendon intraoperatively. To our knowledge, this PL variation has never been reported in a clinical setting. The overall length was undersized compared to the normal PL, which is generally above 15 cm in length.\(^5,11\) We neither look for the exact inserting point of the tendon nor the muscle belly attachment as it requires a thorough dissection. The tendon in our case was bifurcated with the lateral division lying superficially, 0.5 cm shorter, and relatively thinner than the medial division. The medial division lies beneath the retinaculum but was still lying superficially in the carpal tunnel. However, unlike the most common symptomatic PL anomaly, this patient had never experienced carpal tunnel syndrome, which is commonly caused by the anomalous
reversed PL muscle. As we had already been in the middle of harvesting this tendon, we decided to use the undersized graft with some modification of the graft fixation.

Although there are many modifications to simplify the technique and move towards a more minimally invasive fashion, the DRUJ reconstruction method that was first described by Adams and Berger in 2002 remains the gold standard for stabilizing the DRUJ. The graft is passed into two interosseous tunnels within the ulnar styloid and lunate facet of the distal radius. If the graft has an adequate length, both limbs are sutured to each other after passing around the neck. Alternatively, the limbs are sutured to each other after they pass through two divergent tunnels through the fovea. In a series of 14 patients tested with a mean follow-up of 2 years, instability resolved in 12 patients. In another series observing 22 patients, it was concluded that the Adams technique not only restored pronation and supination but also the DASH and PRWHE scores. Gillis et al used the Adams procedure on 95 wrists that were fixed with three distinct techniques: sutures alone, anchors, or interference screws. The failure rate was 14% in a mean of 5.5 years of follow-up.

In our case, the graft was too short to be wrapped around the neck. The ulnar styloid was also relatively small, so drilling two divergent holes risked fracture. Thus, we passed one longer limb to another hole through the proximal cortices. Sanders described a technique that utilizes less than a 15 cm graft by securing the graft to adjacent radial soft tissues instead of through the radial bony tunnel. We opted to make more bone tunnels to enhance the stability of the construct by a tendon to bone healing. However, a long-term follow-up is needed to evaluate the stability of the construct in restoring wrist function.

**Conclusion**
The palmaris longus tendon is a dispensable donor graft that is greatly utilized in various reconstructive surgeries. However, the variety of the muscle belly and the tendon is hardly identified in preoperative clinical and radiological examination. It is also rarely reported other than in cadaveric studies. Thus, physicians should be aware of the PL tendon varieties when considering them as donor grafts, and other sources of grafts or allografts should be kept in mind. Otherwise, modifications to the standard technique can be made.
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