Drug-releasing mesenchymal cells strongly suppress B16 lung metastasis in a syngeneic murine model
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Abstract

Background: Mesenchymal stromal cells (MSCs) are considered an important therapeutic tool in cancer therapy. They possess intrinsic therapeutic potential and can also be in vitro manipulated and engineered to produce therapeutic molecules that can be delivered to the site of diseases, through their capacity to home pathological tissues. We have recently demonstrated that MSCs, upon in vitro priming with anti-cancer drug, become drug-releasing mesenchymal cells (Dr-MCs) able to strongly inhibit cancer cells growth.

Methods: Murine mesenchymal stromal cells were loaded with Paclitaxel (Dr-MCsPTX) according to a standardized procedure and their ability to inhibit the growth of a murine B16 melanoma was verified by in vitro assays. The anti-metastatic activity of Dr-MCsPTX was then studied in mice injected i.v. with B16 melanoma cells that produced lung metastatic nodules. Lung nodules were counted under a dissecting stereomicroscope and metastasis investigated by histological analysis.

Results: We found that three i.v. injections of Dr-MCsPTX on day 5, 10 and 15 after tumor injection almost completely abolished B16 lung metastasis. Dr-MCsPTX arrested into lung by interacting with endothelium and migrate toward cancer nodule through a complex mechanism involving primarily mouse lung stromal cells (mL-StCs) and SDF-1/CXCR4/CXCR7 axis.

Conclusions: Our results show for the first time that Dr-MCsPTX are very effective to inhibit lung metastasis formation. Actually, a cure for lung metastasis in humans is mostly unlikely and we do not know whether a therapy combining engineered MSCs and Dr-MCs may work synergistically. However, we think that our approach using Dr-MCs loaded with PTX may represent a new valid and additive therapeutic tool to fight lung metastases and, perhaps, primary lung cancers in human.
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Background

Adult mesenchymal stromal cells (MSCs) are a suitable cell source for cell-based therapies [1]. MSCs possess intrinsic therapeutic potential [2], however, they can be in vitro manipulated and engineered to produce therapeutic molecules [3–6] that can be delivered to the site of disease, through their capacity to home pathological tissues [7–9].

Despite the molecular mechanisms involved in MSCs homing, the number of cells that reach a disease area may depend on the route of their administration [10, 11]. After intravenous (i.v) injection most of the cells remain trapped in draining organs such as lung, kidney and liver [12] and this may drastically limit the number of MSCs that can reach pathological area in other organs. However, lung, besides its draining capacity, has been shown a promise tissue target for cell-based therapies [13, 14].

Previous data from our group have shown that MSCs can acquire strong anti-cancer activity upon in vitro exposure to very high dose of chemotherapeutic agent such as Paclitaxel (PTX). In particular, we have shown that in vivo the co-injection as well as the intra-tumor injection of MSCs loaded with PTX strong inhibit tumor growth [15, 16]. MSCs become drug-releasing mesenchymal cells (Dr-MCs) because they are able to uptake and release the drug and thus kill tumor cells when located nearby. This property seems present not only in MSCs derived from bone marrow (BM) but also in those derived from adipose tissue [17] or in fibroblasts [18] and even in monocytes [19].

In order to expand our previous work, we here investigated the capacity of Dr-MCs loaded with PTX to inhibit lung metastasis induced by i.v. injection of B16 melanoma cells in syngeneic C57Bl6 mice. The Dr-MCs here used were the syngeneic SR4987 a MSC line developed from BM of BDF/1 mice [20]. We found that SR4987 loaded with PTX (SR4987PTX) i.v. injected after tumor cells administration, abolished almost all lung metastasis. We demonstrated that SR4987PTX once in lung, accumulate nearby metastatic foci through a complex mechanism involving resident mouse lung stromal cells (mL-StCs), Stromal-Derived Factor 1 (SDF-1) and its receptors CXCR4/CXCR7. We propose to use Dr-MCs loaded with PTX as a new therapeutic approach for lung metastasis.

Materials and methods

Cells

The murine melanoma cell line B16 [21] and MOLT-4 (human acute T-lymphoblastic leukemia) [22] were provided by Centro Substrati Cellulari, ISZLER (Brescia, Italy). B16 cells were maintained in RPMI 1640 medium (Euroclone, UK) supplemented with 10 % fetal bovine serum (FBS) (LONZA Walkersville MD USA). MOLT-4 cells were cultured in complete IMDM +10 % FBS. The Mesenchymal stromal cell line SR4987 was established from a long-term BM-derived cell culture of BDF/1 mice (ATCC CRL-2028) [23]. SR4987 cells were cultured in IMDM supplemented with 5 % FBS. SR4987 are positive for stem cell marker Vimentin, CD44+, CD73+, CD105+, CD106+, Sca-1+, CD34+, and CD45+ and have the capacity to differentiate into osteoblasts and chondrocytes [23]. SR4987 cells were also transduced with green fluorescent protein (GFP) using lentiviral vector ( pCCLsin.PPThPGK.GFPpre) as previously described [15].

Human lung-derived microvascular endothelial cells (L-MECs) were obtained from Dr. Arnaldo Caruso (Laboratory of Microbiology, University of Brescia, Brescia, Italy). L-MECs were routinely maintained in endothelial basal growth medium (EBM-GM) (EGM bullet kit, LONZA Walkersville MD USA) on Collagen + Fibronectin coated T25 flasks.

Mouse lung stromal cells (mL-StCs) were freshly isolated from C57Bl6 mice by using 0.25 % collagenase D (Boehringer Mannheim Germany). The adherent mL-StCs were cultured in IMDM +5 % FBS and analyzed for mesenchymal markers CD44, CD29 and CD90 (all purchased from Abcam Cambridge UK, mouse MSCs panel) to confirm their mesenchymal origin.

PTX priming of SR4987 cells

PTX was purchased from Adipogen (Vinci-Biochem, Italy). The activity of PTX on SR4987 cells was determined in a 24 h (cytotoxicity test) and in a 7 day MTT assay (anti-proliferative test) as previously described [24]. Priming of SR4987 with PTX (SR4987PTX) was carried out using PTX at 2,000 ng/ml as previously described [15].

In vitro antitumor assay

The effect of conditioned medium (CM) from SR4987 and SR4987PTX cells on B16 proliferation was evaluated by MTT assay [24]. The inhibitory concentrations (IC50 and IC90) were determined according to the Reed and Muench formula [25]. The antitumor activity of CM from SR4987PTX cells was compared to that of pure PTX and expressed as PTX equivalent concentration (PEC) [15]. The PTX released by a single cells was calculated dividing PEC for the number of cells seeded and expressed as pg/cell. To establish the optimal dose of SR4987PTX to inject, co-cultures with B16 cells were made by using transwell inserts (0.4 μm pore size) (Becton Dickinson, USA) [15, 16].
Rosette adherence assay and Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) analysis

To study the interaction between B16 cells and SR4987, a rosette test was performed [16]. B16 were mixed in a conical tube with SR4987 or SR4987PTX cells, in 500 µl of IMDM + 5 % FBS (ratio B16/SR4987 5:1). After 24 h of incubation at 37 °C in air + 5 % CO₂, 20 µl of cells were collected by a micropipette and then transferred on a slide to evaluate rosette formation under an inverted microscope. Rosette were then analyzed by TEM as previously described [16].

Adhesion assay

Adhesion of SR4987 and SR4987PTX to L-MECs and to mL-StCs were performed following a previously described procedure [16]. L-MECs and mL-StCs monolayers were stimulated by adding B16 derived CM (B16-CM), tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNFa; 10 ng/ml; Sigma Chemical) and their combination for 24 h. L-MECs and mL-StCs were allowed to interact for 30' with SR4987 and SR4987PTX (10⁶ cells/well) in IMDM + 0.2 % BSA. Each test was run in quadruplicate (see Additional file 1).

Migration assay

Transwell supports were used to test spontaneous migration and chemotaxis of SR4987 and SR4987PTX. Migration assay was performed as previously described [26]. Test samples consisting in: mouse SDF-1 (1-100 ng/ml) (R&D System), TNFa (1-50 ng/ml), B16-CM (1:1-1:100 dilutions) mL-StCs-CM (1:1-1:100 dilutions), CM derived from mL-StCs primed for 24 h either with B16-CM (1:1) (mL-StCs-B16-CM), TNFa 10 ng/ml (mL-StCs-TNFa-CM) or B16-CM + TNFa (mL-StCs-B16-TNFa-CM), were placed in the lower compartment. The blocking effect of monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) anti mouse SDF-1 (R & D System), as well control mouse IgG, (0.01-1µg/ml) was tested by adding mAbs to medium containing SDF-1 or to CMs. To block SDF-1 receptors CXCR4/CXCR7, SR4987 and SR4987PTX (10⁵/10⁶) were pre-incubated for 1 h at 37 °C in IMDM + 0.2 % BSA in presence of different concentration of AMD3100 (0.1-10 µM) (purchased from Sigma). Each determination was done in duplicate (see Additional file 1).

Immunooassay for mouse SDF-1

CM samples recovered from 48 h cultured SR4987, SR4987PTX, B16 cells, or from mL-StCs stimulated or not with B16-CM, TNFa and B16-CM + TNFa were analyzed for the presence of SDF-1 using an Elisa kit (R&D System). The value of SDF-1 detected in the CM were normalized for the same number of cells counted at the end of incubation.

Flow Cytometry and PCR

The expression of Sca-1, CXCR4 and CXCR7 on SR4987 was determined by flow cytometry (FC) using the following antibodies: anti-Sca-1 FITC, anti-CXCR4 (ab1670) and anti-CXCR7 (all purchased from Abcam Cambridge UK). 20,000 events were acquired for each analysis using Epics “XL-MLC” (Beckman Coulter, USA) and histogram elaboration was performed with EXPO 32 software.

For the analysis of mRNA levels 1000 ng of total RNA isolated using the RNasy kit (Qiagen) was reverse-transcribed using iScript cDNA Synthesis Kit (Bio-Rad Laboratories). Triplicate polymerase chain reactions were carried out on an CFX 96 Touch Real Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad Laboratories). Relative gene expression was calculated by a comparative method (2^ΔΔCt) using GAPDH as an housekeeping gene. Primers sequences were designed using Primer3 software.

In vivo experiments and Immunohistochemistry

Six-to eight-week old male C57BL/6 mice were purchased from Charles River (Calcio, Milan, Italy). All the animal experiments were performed at the Animal Facility of Regina Elena National Cancer Institute in Rome Italy following directive 2010/63/EU. Healthy mice (2 each group) receiving i.v. injection of saline, 10⁶ SR4987GFP and 10⁶ SR4987GFP-PTX. Fluorescent cells were used to confirm their capacity to arrest into the lung. Mice were sacrificed at 6 h, 24 h and 48 h after treatments and lungs were minced and digested by collagenase (for 2 h at 37 °C) to obtain a cell suspension that was rapidly analyzed under Fluorescent microscopy. To study the anti-metastatic activity of SR4987PTX, mice were injected i.v. with 2.5 × 10⁶ B16 melanoma cells /0.2 ml. On day 5, after B16 injection, mice (12 each group) were treated i.v. with 0.2 ml saline (controls), PTX (10 mg/Kg), SR4987 (10³/0.2 ml) and SR4987PTX at 10⁴/0.2 ml. Treatments were repeated on day 10 and 15. On day 21 after B16 injection, mice were euthanized, lungs removed and fixed in Bouin’s solution. Lung nodules were counted under a dissecting stereomicroscope. Metastasis were also investigated by histology using Hematoxylin and Eosin (HE) and by Fontana-Masson staining to detect micrometastasis [26]. To investigate the capacity of SR4987 and SR4987PTX to home lung B16 nodules, mice (3 each group) were injected i.v. with 10⁶ B16 cells. Upon 14 days mice were i.v. treated with saline (controls), PTX (10 mg/kg), SR4987 (10⁴) and SR4987PTX (10⁵) and sacrificed 48 h after treatments. The lungs were processed by immunohistochemistry for Sca-1 + cells detection by using rabbit monoclonal anti-Sca1/Ly6A/E antibody (1:150, Abcam) and polyclonal anti-SDF-1 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc USA) for SDF-1
expression according to standard protocols [26, 27]. The number of Sca-1− cells was evaluated at high power field (HPF), 400X magnification. At least 10 fields for each case were randomly evaluated with variability less than 5 %.

Statistical analysis
Data are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation (SD). Differences between mean values were evaluated according to Student’s t-test performed by GRAPHPAD-DINSTAT program (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). p values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results
SR4987 localized in the lung after i.v. injection
In preliminary experiments we verified if mouse SR4987 and SR4987PTX cells localized in the lung after i.v. injection. At this purpose, GFP transfected SR4987 cells (SR4987GFP) were prepared [15]. At 6 h, 24 h, and 48 h following cells injection, mice were sacrificed and lungs analyzed for the presence of SR4987GFP. Both groups of mice treated with cells retained in the lung significant amount of GFP+ cells until 48 h upon injection. Maximal presence of cells was observed between 6 and 24 h. The viability of the GFP+ cells arrested in lungs was confirmed by culturing the cells obtained after lungs digestion. The presence of injected cells in the lung were also ascertained by evaluating Sca-1, a marker that is considered specific for murine MSCs [28] and highly expressed on SR4987 (Additional file 2: Figure S2A). A significant presence of Sca-1+ cells in both SR4987 and SR4987PTX treated mice were found, while rare Sca-1+ cells were detected in untreated mice (Additional file 2: Figure S2B) by indicating that physiological Sca-1 positive cells with bone marrow origin is rarely seen.

SR4987PTX bind B16 melanoma cells and inhibit their in vitro proliferation
In previous studies we have shown that SR4987 cells behaved as Dr-MCs since are very resistant to PTX cytotoxicity and able to uptake/release the drug [15, 16]. The quantification of PTX released by SR4987PTX was performed by testing the anti-proliferative activity of CM (SR4987PTX-CM) on MOLT-4, a leukemia cell line very sensitive to PTX [29]. SR4987PTX release around 0.34 ± 0.01 pg and incorporate around 2.5 ± 0.05 pg of PTX/cell in 24 h [15]. We found that SR4987PTX-CM inhibited B16 proliferation in a dose-dependent manner, with an IC50 at dilution 1/9.98 ± 2.4 corresponding at 7.18 ± 4.99 ng/ml PTX (all results are summarized in Additional file 2: Figure S3). To establish the dose of SR4987PTX cells to inject in vivo, we co-cultured SR4987PTX and B16 cells at different ratio using trans-well inserts. We found that the optimal dose/ratio of SR4987PTX/B16 was around 1/5 that inhibited B16 melanoma proliferation of 70 % (Additional file 2: Figure S4).

SR4987 and SR4987PTX were also able to bind B16 cells by a rosette formation assay. The ultra-structure analysis of the rosette showed the presence of junctional-like structure and even a gap-like junction between SR4987 and B16 cells. At TEM, the potent cytotoxic activity of SR4987PTX on B16 cells was very evident; most of the B16 cells bound to SR4987PTX were apoptotic and necrotic (Additional file 2: Figure S5).

In vivo systemic administration of SR4987 PTX suppresses B16 lung metastasis in syngeneic mice
B16 melanoma cells were injected into the tail vein of syngeneic C57Bl6 mice at the dose of 2.5x10⁴/0.2 ml. On day 5 after tumor injection, mice were divided in 5 groups receiving respectively: saline (controls), PTX 10 mg/Kg, SR4987 at 1x10³/0.2 ml, SR4987PTX at 1x10²/0.2 ml and at 5x10⁴/0.2 ml. Treatments were repeated on day 10 and 15 for a total of three administrations and all mice were sacrificed on day 21. As summarized in Table 1 (section A), SR4987PTX treatments produced a potent lung metastasis suppression at both doses used (around 90 % inhibition; p < 0.001 vs control). The number of B16 lung nodules was not affected by SR4987, while PTX treatments, given at the maximum tolerated dose (MTD)
of 10 mg/kg, reduced metastasis ($p < 0.01$ vs control) but significantly less than SR4987PTX ($p < 0.05$). It is important to outline that the total dose of PTX given free was around 2,000 fold higher than mice that received PTX delivered by cells, thus highlighting the remarkable efficacy of SR4987PTX treatment. Repeating the experiment using SR4987PTX only at the low dose of $5 \times 10^4$ we confirmed the potent anti-metastatic activity (Table 1 section B). Besides the quantification of lung metastasis performed under dissecting stereomicroscopy (Additional file 2: Figure S6), histology of the lungs confirmed that in control and in SR4987 treated mice several metastasis were present, whereas in mice treated with SR4987PTX, rare metastasis were seen (Fig. 1a). A similar picture was observed in mice treated with PTX alone (Fig. 1a). However, analyzing the lung parenchyma by Fontana-Masson staining, that lead to a better detection of micrometastasis, we observed that micrometastasis were practically absent in SR4987PTX treated mice. On the contrary in PTX treated mice some residual B16 micrometastasis were present (Fig. 1b). We conclude that three injection of PTX at MTD (10 mg/kg) was significant less effective than SR4978PTX that even had a superior activity in eliminating also micrometastasis.

### B16-CM and TNFα improve adhesion of SR4987PTX to microvascular endothelium and to stromal cells of the lung

In order to understand the mechanism of SR4987PTX homing to metastatic lung, we started to evaluate whether B16 cells could affect the capacity of SR4987PTX to interact to lung-derived microvascular endothelial cells (L-MECs) and/or to mouse lung-derived stromal cells (mL-StCs). To this end, adhesion experiments were performed by seeding SR4987 and SR4987PTX on L-MECs and on mL-StCs monolayer. As shown in Fig. 2, both SR4987 and SR4987PTX had a poor capacity to adhere on L-MECs and mL-StCs, in contrast they adhere rapidly on L-MECs (Fig. 2a and b) and mL-StCs (Fig. 2c and d) after stimulation either with B16-CM or TNFα, an inflammatory cytokine that is known to increase adhesion molecules expression on both endothelium and StCs [30, 31]; to note that the combination B16-CM + TNFα significantly enhanced adhesion of SR4987 and SR4987PTX on both L-MECs and mL-StCs.

---

**Fig. 1** Histological examination of the lungs showing the potent anti-metastatic activity of SR4987PTX treatment. **a** HE staining shows the presence of several B16 lung nodules (black arrows) in control mice (CTRL) and in SR4987 treated mice. Some metastasis are present in mice treated with a very high dose of PTX (10 mg/kg), while mice treated with SR4987PTX appear without metastatic nodules. **b** Fontana-Masson staining shows the presence of lung micrometastasis (red arrows) in PTX and their absence in SR4987PTX treated mice (boxes 600x magnifications)
Priming of mL-StCs with B16-CM alone or combined with TNFα stimulate SR4987PTX chemotaxis that is mediated by SDF-1/CXCR4/CXCR7 axis

We next performed chemotaxis experiments by using trans-well insert. Under control medium (CTRL) condition, we found that SR4987PTX had a significant lower spontaneous motility than SR4987. Surprisingly, the addition of B16-CM, as chemotactic stimulus, did not substantially improve basic migration of both SR4987 and SR4987PTX (Additional file 2: Figure S7). We then tested the CM recovered from culture of mL-StCs (mL-StCs-CM) and again no significant increase of migration was observed. However, when mL-StCs were primed first with B16-CM (mL-StCs-B16-CM), TNFα (mL-StCs-TNFα-CM) or their combination (mL-StCs-TNFα-B16-CM), a potent induction of both SR4987 and SR4987PTX migration was noted (Fig. 3). The oriented movement of SR4987PTX was highest in the presence of mL-StCs-TNFα-B16-CM (around 10 fold higher than control) but either addition of mL-StCs-B16-CM and to lesser extent mL-StCs-TNFα-CM were effective (6 and 3 fold the CTRL respectively) (Fig. 3a). Untreated SR4987 behaved similarly to SR4987PTX (Fig. 3b). Additionally, we also found that SDF-1, a cytokine known to be a chemoattractant for MSCs [32] was effective to stimulate both SR4987PTX and SR4987 at 20 and 10 ng/ml respectively (Fig. 3a and b).

Since the efficacy of SDF-1 and because SR4987 cells expressed a high level of CXCR4 (90 %) and, to minor extent, CXCR7 (20 %) SDF-1 receptors [33]
we then verified if SDF-1 could be a mediator of SR4987 and SR4987PTX chemotaxis in the metastatic lung. We first analyzed in vitro the capacity of B16 and mL-StCs to release SDF-1. We found that B16 cells and mL-StCs did not release a significant amount of SDF-1 in the CM (Fig. 3c). However, when mL-StCs were primed with B16-CM alone or combined with TNFα the concentration of SDF-1 in the medium was significantly increased (Fig. 3c). These results were also confirmed by analyzing the expression of SDF-1 by PCR performed on mL-StCs under the different treatments conditions (Fig. 3d).

Migration experiments of SR4987PTX and SR4987 were repeated by adding anti-SDF-1 antibodies or in the presence of AMD3100 a molecule able to bind specifically the SDF-1 receptors CXCR4 and CXCR7 [34]. Anti-SDF-1 antibodies and AMD3100 were both able to significantly reduce chemotaxis (Fig. 3e and f).

Increment of SDF-1 expression and presence of SDF-1+ cells in the lung of mice bearing B16 melanoma metastasis

Subsequently, by using SDF-1 antibodies, we investigated the expression of SDF-1 and the localization of SDF-1+ cells in normal and in B16 metastatic lungs (Fig. 4). In summary SDF-1 expression and the SDF-1+ cells were practically absent in normal lung (Fig. 4a) but highly expressed in mice with metastasis (Fig. 4b). SDF-1+ cells were particularly localized in the lung stroma near or at periphery of metastasis, but absent inside metastatic nodules (Fig. 4c and d). Interestingly, the observation at higher magnification of the periphery of metastasis, revealed that SFD-1 staining was present in some cells but mostly widespread among cancer cells; while a diffuse staining along the extreme periphery of the metastases was noted (Fig. 4e and f).
SR4987PTX cells localize preferentially in the vessels nearby metastatic nodule

To detect the presence and to roughly quantify the number of SR4987PTX in the metastatic lung, anti-Sca-1 antibodies were used (Fig. 5). We found that mice treated with either SR4987 and SR4987PTX had almost 5-6 fold Sca-1+ cells/mm² higher than control and 3 fold of PTX treated mice (Fig. 5a). The presence of few Sca-1+ cells in control and PTX treated mice suggested a little endogenous mobilization of MSCs from BM (Fig. 5b and c). However, in these mice, Sca-1+ cells were not seen nearby metastasis (Fig. 5d and e). In mice treated with SR4987, we found several Sca-1+ cells in lung parenchyma (Fig. 5f), but even within metastases (Fig. 5g). Finally in mice treated with SR4987PTX a significant presence of Sca-1+ cells in close proximity of microvessels (Fig. 5h) and nearby metastatic nodule were observed (Fig. 5i). Substantially, Sca-1+ cells were detected near the microvessels where most of the metastasis were localized (Fig. 5j). By a more accurate observation, we discovered that some Sca-1+ cells were even present in the lumen of microvessels infiltrating metastatic nodule (Fig. 5i and m), demonstrating that SR4987PTX cells could reach metastasis through the hematic route and that they may strongly affect cancer cells growth even if they do not cross the vessels wall.

**Discussion**

MSCs may represent a novel therapeutic tool for fighting cancer [1]. MSCs can be engineered to produce and deliver anti-cancer molecules [3–6, 35, 36] or, as our group have recently demonstrated, MSCs can become Dr-MCs upon priming with anti-cancer drug like PTX, acquiring strong anti-cancer activity both and in vivo [15, 16]. Paclitaxel is an important molecule active on many solid tumors including melanoma [37] and also with anti-angiogenic activity. PTX priming of MSCs induces mitotic arrest of the cells without affecting their viability and allowing to the uptake/release mechanism to properly works. These features have been verified also for Vincristine and drugs with other mechanism of action as Gemcitabine and Doxorubicin. In any case, regardless the kind of manipulation used, it is necessary that MSCs maintain their homing ability because is crucial to localize their cytotoxic cargo in the tumor. At this regard, the therapeutic localization of MSCs in organs such as lung is certainly facilitated by i.v. administration [10, 11].

To expand our previous work, we here investigated whether the i.v. injection of Dr-MCs loaded with PTX may inhibit the formation of lung metastases, induced in C57Bl6 mice upon i.v. injection of syngeneic B16 melanoma cells. To exclude any immunological interference
by the host, as Dr-MCs, we used the SR4987 cells, a BM-derived MSCs line syngeneic to C57Bl6 mice [23] and able to uptake and release PTX and to kill cancer cells [15, 16].

We found that 5×10⁴ SR4987PTX cells injected i.v. three times, starting from day 5 after tumor injection, were sufficient to produce up to 90 % of lung metastasis inhibition; an effect that was even superior of those obtained with PTX given at the MTD of 10 mg/Kg. Because SR4987 may incorporate at maximum around 2.5 pg/cell of PTX [15], the dose of PTX that mice received through the cells was about 2000 times less than the groups treated with PTX. Thus, we conclude that the delivery of the drug through the cells has a significantly higher therapeutic index than the PTX given through the standard therapy. Previous reports have shown the efficacy of engineered MSCs delivering anticancer molecules, or MSCs per se, to inhibit lung metastases upon i.v. administration [5, 6, 38–40]. Our results expand these observations, and demonstrate for the first time that syngeneic MSCs loaded with PTX given systemically in mice bearing lung metastasis, have a potent therapeutic effect.

The capacity of SR4987PTX to home malignant lung nodules was investigated both in vitro and in vivo. In summary our results indicate that SR4987PTX once injected i.v., are able to reach metastatic lung nodules through a complex mechanism involving mainly the chemokine SDF-1/CXCL12 and its receptors CXCR4/ CXCR7. These data confirm previous reports showing...
the importance of SDF-1/CXCR4/CXCR7 axis in the homing of MSCs to cancer environment [41–44]. However, besides this, our study highlights a new undiscovered mechanism of MSCs homing in metastatic lung that is not mediated directly by B16 cells but through the implication of lung StCs. Indeed, our in vitro data show that B16-CM neither stimulates chemotaxis of both SR4987 and SR4987PTX nor contains significant amount of SDF-1. In contrast, CM derived from mL-StCs primed with B16-CM, secretes SDF-1 and stimulates chemotaxis of SR4987PTX. Further, the in vivo analysis of the SDF-1+ cells localization in metastatic lung, reveals that most of the SDF-1+ cells are not present inside metastasis but are localized in the lung stroma or at the extreme periphery of metastatic nodules. We therefore conclude that SR4987PTX, once arrested in the lung, migrate toward B16 metastasis by an “indirect mechanism” mediated by SDF-1 secreted by StCs of the lung under the influence of factors released by B16 cancer cells. In this contest, TNFα seems to play an important role as enhancer of the B16 cancer cells activity.

Our results thus highlight the importance of StCs surrounding the metastatic node that seem to behave like tumor stromal cells in favoring the progression of primary cancer [45–47]. Whether this StCs-mediated attraction of MSCs is occurring in other metastatic organs besides lung, remains to be established. The finding that lung contains specific resident MSCs that differ from those from BM [48], may suggest an organ-specific activity of lung MSCs in controlling local tissue diseases [49, 50].

Finally, the in vivo search of SR4987PTX in metastatic lung demonstrated the presence of many Sca-1+ cells in parenchyma and even inside metastatic nodules. The few Sca-1+ cells present in the lung of control and PTX treated mice suggested a modest endogenous mobilization of MSCs from BM, at least at the time of mice sacrifice. Notably, we observed that Sca-1+ cells in mice injected with SR4987PTX were mostly detected nearby metastases or even attached to endothelium of the microvessels infiltrating metastatic foci; thus very close to cancer cells. To note that the vicinity of Dr-MCs to cancer cells is an important condition to ensure the efficacy of their toxic cargo (PTX) [15, 16]. In our opinion this result could explain the potent anti-metastatic effect obtained even when mice were treated with a lower dose of SR4987PTX.

Conclusions

Tumor recruits MSCs from host BM to form its stroma [41, 42, 51] a mechanism probably essential for tumor progression [45–48]. We can use this cancer property to fight it by administrating exogenous MSCs that can work as Trojan horses carrying in the tumor anticancer molecules [1]. Although the presence of some conflicting data [52, 53], in general preclinical studies indicate that MSCs loaded with anti-cancer molecules could be very effective to inhibit primary and metastatic cancer growth [3–6]. The results shown here clearly demonstrated that our approach to upload anticancer drug, such as PTX, on MSCs lead them to become strongly cytotoxic against cancer and, importantly, without altering their home properties. Actually, a cure for lung metastasis in humans is mostly unlikely and we do not know whether a therapy combining engineered MSCs and Dr-MCs may work synergistically. However, we think that our approach using Dr-MCs loaded with PTX may represent a new valid and additive therapeutic tool to fight lung metastases and, perhaps, primary lung cancers in human.
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Additional file 1: Supplementary materials and methods. (DOC 45 kb)

Additional file 2: Figure S1. SR4987GFP and SR4987GFP-PTX arrest in the lung of mice upon i.v. administration. Mice were sacrificed at 6 h, 24 h and 48 h after treatments with cells. Panel (A) shows photos taken under fluorescent microscope immediately upon enzymatic lung tissue disruption, showing the presence of several fluorescent cells until 48 h after their injection. Not significant difference were noted between mice treated with SR4987/GFP and SR4987/GFP-PTX (10x magnification). Panel (B) shows photos of SR4987/GFP and SR4987/GFP-PTX cultured for 2 and 7 days upon isolation from mice, indicating that cells maintain their viability (20x magnification). Figure S2. Sca-1 is highly expressed on SR4987 and can be used for their detection in the mice lung. In (A) FC analysis showing the high positivity of the SR4987 for Sca-1. In (B) Immunostaining for anti-Sca-1 of lungs derived from mice treated i.v. with saline, 10^6 SR4987 and 10^6 SR4987PTX cells and sacrificed 24 h after treatments. Pictures show the high presence of Sca-1+ cells (black arrows) in the parenchyma and in the lumen of lung vessels in mice treated with both SR4987 and SR4987PTX. Only, very few Sca-1+ cells were detected in control mice (CTRL) (photo 20x, in the box 40x magnifications).

Figure S3. Sensitivity of SR4987 to PTX and efficacy of SR4987PTX-CM to inhibit B16 proliferation. In (A) the proliferation of SR4987 in the presence of increasing concentration of PTX. The IC50 of PTX was around 34 ng/ml. In (B) is shown that viability of SR4987 is not affected by PTX even at concentration up 5000 ng/ml. In (C) is shown the growth inhibition of Molt-4 tumor cells in the presence of decreasing dilution of SR4987PTX-CM confirming that SR4987PTX release significant amount of PTX in the CM. In (D) the inhibition of proliferation of B16 cells in the presence of increasing concentration of PTX. The IC50 of PTX was 7.18 ± 4.99 ng/ml. In (E) is shown the dose dependent efficacy of SR4987PTX-CM to inhibit B16 cells proliferation with an IC50 DIL of around 1/10.

Figure S4. Co-culture of SR4987 and SR4987PTX with B16 cells using transwell insert. B16 cells seeded on the top of membrane (0.4 um pore size) insert were co-cultured with different dose of SR4987 and SR4987PTX seeded on the bottom well and cultured for 5 days. The Figure shows that SR4987PTX at 1:5 ratio was able to strongly inhibit B16 proliferation as visualized by crystal violet staining of B16 in the transwell line (A) and on the membrane line (B). In line (C), photos of cultured B16 cells not stained upon co-culture or not with SR4987 and SR4987PTX (20x magnifications). Figure S5. Rosette formed by B16 + SR4987 and B16 + SR4987PTX and their ultrastructural analysis by TEM. In (A) and (B) rosette formed by SR4987GFP + B16 photographed under light and fluorescence microscopy respectively. (C-F) four different panels at TEM showing the interactions between untreated SR4987GFP and B16 cells. Note that B16 cells bound SR4987GFP appear healthy (C), the presence of area of contact between B16 and SR4987 is evident; junctional-like structure and a gap-like junction (D,E) and even nanotubule structure (F) are seen (white arrows). In (G) and (H) rosette formed by
Figure S6. In (A) migration of control (sham) mice and of PTX treated mice. In contrast, at both dosage of SR4987/PTX treated mice, no significant migration was observed (XJO). In (L) a microcosmole that arise from SR4987/PTX is photographed. Figure S5. Metastasis in the lung of control mice and of PTX treated mice. Figure 5. Chemotaxis of SR4987 and of SR4987/PTX in response to B16-CM and expression of the SDF-1 receptors CXCR4 and CXCR7. In (A) migration of SR4987/PTX and SR4987 placed on the top of transwell membrane insert and stimulated by different dilution of B16-CM. No significant chemotaxis activity of both SR4987 and SR4987/PTX is stimulated by B16-CM at every dilutions tested. Note that the basal migration of SR4987/PTX is around three fold lower than untreated SR4987 (* p<0.05). In (B) is shown FC analysis of SR4987 for the expression of the SDF-1 receptors CXCR4 and CXCR7, indicating the high positivity of the cells particularly for CXCR4 (>90 %), CXCR7 is less expressed (18 %). (DOC 26 kb)

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors’ contributions
CL, SA: performed in vivo experiments and data collection; AB, VC, AF, MD: performed in vitro experiments, data collection and analysis; A: ED, LP, DP, AO: performed cytotoxicological analysis; AP, GA: conceived and designed the experiments and wrote the manuscript; EC prepared cells and performed FACS analyses; PM, EP, PC, AC, NP, FS: prepared reagents, supervised in vitro studies. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgment
This work was partially supported by a grant from Italian Association for Cancer Research # 14337 to C. Leonetti. We thank Franco Alpi and Lucia Fontana for their technical assistance. We thank Rosalind Hindris for checking the English of the manuscript.

Author details
1Department of Biomedical, Surgical and Dental Sciences, University of Milan, Via Pascal 36, Milan 20133, Italy. 2Experimental Chemistry Laboratory, Regina Elena National Cancer Institute, Rome, Italy. 3Department of Clinical and Experimental Sciences, Institute of Pathological Anatomy, University of Brescia, Brescia, Italy. 4Department of Molecular and Translational Medicine, University of Brescia, Brescia, Italy. 5Department of Veterinary Medicine, University of Perugia, Perugia, Italy. 6Department of Biopathology and Image Diagnostics, Anatomic Pathology Institute, University of Rome Tor Vergata, Rome, Italy. 7Cellular Neurobiology Laboratory, Department of Cerebrovascular Diseases, IRCCS Neurological Institute C. Besta, Milan, Italy. 8Department of Microbiology, Brescia University, Brescia, Italy. 9Laboratory of Clinical Pathology and Neurogenetic Medicine, Fondazione IRCCS Neurological Institute Carlo Besta, Milan, Italy. 10Department of Medical and Surgical Sciences, University of Brescia, Brescia, Italy.

Received: 22 June 2015 Accepted: 4 August 2015

Published online: 13 August 2015

References
1. Stuckey DW, Shah K. Stem cell-based therapies for cancer treatment: separating hope from hype. Nat Rev Cancer. 2014;14:683–91.
2. Wei X, Yang X, Han ZP, Qu FF, Shao L. Mesenchymal stem cells: a new trend for cell therapy. Acta Pharmacol Sin. 2013;34:747–54.
3. Sasportas LS, Kasmieh R, Wakimoto H, Hingtgen S, van De Water AJM, Mohapatra G, et al. Assessment of therapeutic efficacy and fate of engineered human mesenchymal stem cells for cancer therapy. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2009;106:4822–7.
4. Stuckey DW, Shah K. Trial on trial: preclinical advances in cancer therapy. Trends Mol Med. 2013;19:685–94.
5. Studeny M, Marini FC, Champmin RE, Zompetta C, Fidler IJ, Andreff M. Bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells as vehicles for interferon-beta delivery into tumors. Cancer Res. 2002;62:3603–8.
6. Ren C, Marini FC, Champmin RE, Zompetta C, Fidler IJ, Andreff M. Therapeutic potential of mesenchymal stem cells producing interferon-alpha in a mouse melanoma lung metastasis model. Stem Cells. 2008;26:3322–8.
7. Loebinger MR, Kyrtatos PG, Turmaine M, Price AN, Panikhurst Q, Lyggoe MF, et al. Magnetic resonance imaging of mesenchymal stem cells homing to pulmonary metastases using biocompatible magnetic nanoparticles. Cancer Res. 2009;69:8862–7.
8. Studeny M, Marini FC, Dembinski J, Zompetta C, Cabrera-Hansen M, Bekele BN, et al. Mesenchymal stem cells: potential precursors for tumor stroma and targeted-delivery vehicles for anticancer agents. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2004;96:1593–603.
9. Hall B, Andreff M, Marinii F. The participation of mesenchymal stem cells in tumor stroma formation and their application as targeted-gene delivery vehicles. Handb Exp Pharmacol. 2007;180:263–83.
10. Freymann T, Polin G, Osman H, Craz Y, Lu M, Cheng L, et al. A quantitative, randomised study evaluating three methods of mesenchymal stem cell delivery following myocardial infarction. Eur Heart J. 2006;27:1114–22.
11. Wilson T, Stark C, Holmblon J, Rosling Kuusilehto A, Tiri T, et al. Fate of bone marrow-derived stromal cells after intraperitoneal infusion or implantation into femoral bone defects in the host animal. J Tissue Eng. 2010;2010:345896.
12. Ansboro S, Greiser U, Barry F, Murphy M. Strategies for improved targeting of therapeutic cells: implications for tissue repair. Eur Cell Mater. 2012;23:310–8.
13. Antunes MA, Laffey JG, Pelosi P, Rocco PR. Mesenchymal stem cell cell trials for pulmonary diseases. J Cell Biochem. 2014;115:1023–32.
14. Loebinger MR, Sage KS, Janes SM. Mesenchymal stem cells as vectors for lung disease. Proc Am Thorac Soc. 2008;5:711–16.
15. Pessina A, Bonomi A, Cocce V, Invernici G, Navone S, Cavicchini L, et al. Mesenchymal stromal cells primed with paclitaxel provide a new approach for cancer therapy. PLoS One. 2011;6:e28321.
16. Pessina A, Cocce V, Pascucci L, Bonomi A, Cavicchini L, Sisto F, et al. Mesenchymal stromal cells primed with Paclitaxel attract and kill leukemia cells, inhibit angiogenesis and improve survival of leukemia-bearing mice. Br J Haematol. 2013;160:766–78.
17. Bonomi A, Cocce V, Cavicchini L, Sisto F, Dossena M, Balzarini N, et al. Adipose tissue-derived stromal cells primed in vitro with paclitaxel acquire anti-tumor activity. Int J Mol Sci. 2013;14:2611 Suppl33–41.
18. Pessina A, Cocce V, Bonomi A, Cavicchini L, Sisto F, Ferrari M, et al. Human skin-derived fibroblasts acquire in vitro anti-tumor potential after priming with Paclitaxel. Anticancer Agents Med Chem. 2013;13:523–30.
19. Bonomi A, Lisi R, Navone SE, Frigeri F, Frigerio S, Ciussani E, et al. Human CD14+ cells loaded with Paclitaxel inhibit in vivo cell proliferation of Glioblastoma. Cytostherapy in press. doi:10.1016/j.jcyt.2014.09.020.
20. Pessina A, Sisto F, Cocce V, Cavicchini L, Pascucci L, Ciussani E, et al. A mesenchymal stromal cell line resistant to Paclitaxel that spontaneously differentiates into osteoblast-like cells. Cell Biol Toxicol. 2011;27:169–80.
21. Sligar S. Control of pigment production in mouse melanoma cells in vitro. Evocation and maintenance. J Cell Biol. 1969;43(2):263–74.
22. Moore GR, Minowada J, To B lymphocytes in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Lancet. 1972;2:38–9.
23. Pessina A, Neri MG, Mineo E, Picciriello M, Gribaldo L, Brambilla P, et al. Expression of B cell markers on SR-4987 cells derived from murine marrow stroma. Exp Hematol. 1997;25:536–41.
24. Mossman T. Rapid colorimetric assay for cellular growth and survival: application to proliferation and cytotoxicity assays. J Immunol Methods. 1983;65:55–63.
25. Reed LJ, Muench H. A simple method of estimating fifty percent endpoints. Am J Hyg. 1938;27:493–7.
26. Benetti A, Berenzi A, Gambartotti M, Garrafa E, Gelati M, Dassy E, et al. Transforming growth factor-beta1 and CD105 promote the migration of hepatocellular carcinoma-derived endothelium. Cancer Res. 2008;68:8626–34.
27. Ferlosio A, Orlandi A. Stem cells, aging and pulmonary vascular remodelling. Sarcoidosis Vasc Diffuse Lung Dis. 2012;29:159–67.

28. Holmes C, Stanford WL. Concise review: stem cell antigen-1: expression, function, and enigma. Stem Cells. 2007;25:1339–47.

29. Zhang P, Tao DD, Feng YD, Xie DX, Zhou JF, Gong JP. Paclitaxel induces apoptosis of acute leukemia cells in S phase. Ai Zheng. 2006;25:1243–6.

30. Maurus CF, Schneider MR, Schmidt D, Zünd G, Seebach JD. Activation of human microvascular endothelial cells with TNF-alpha and hypoxia/ reoxygenation enhances NK-cell adhesion, but not NK-Cytotoxicity. Transplantation. 2006;81:1204–11.

31. Xiao Q, Wang SK, Tian H, Xin L, Zou ZG, Hu YL, et al. TNF-α increases bone marrow mesenchymal stem cell migration to ischemic tissues. Cell Biochem Biophys. 2012;62:409–14.

32. Wright DE, Bowman EP, Wagers AJ, Butcher EC, Weissman IL. Hematopoietic stem cells are uniquely selective in their migratory response to chemokines. J Exp Med. 2002;195:1145–54.

33. Sun X, Cheng G, Hao M, Zheng J, Zhou X, Zhang J, et al. CXCL12 / CXCR4 / CXCR7 chemokine axis and cancer progression. Cancer Metastasis Rev. 2010;29:709–22.

34. Rosenkilde MM, Gerlach LO, Jacobsen JS, Skjerf RJ, Bridger GI, Schwartz TW. Molecular mechanism of AMD3100 antagonism in the CXCR4 receptor: transfer of binding site to the CXCR3 receptor. J Biol Chem. 2004;279:3033–41.

35. Loebinger MR, Eddaoudi A, Davies D, Janes SM. Mesenchymal stem cell delivery of TRAIL can eliminate metastatic cancer. Cancer Res. 2009;69:4134–42.

36. Giriendi G, Bussadori R, Veronesi E, Piccinno S, Bums JS, De Santis G, et al. Understanding tumor-stroma interplays for targeted therapies by armed mesenchymal stromal progenitors: the Mesenkillers. Am J Cancer Res. 2011;1:787–805.

37. Wilson MA, Zhao F, Letero R, D’Andrea K, Rimm DL, Kirkwood JM, et al. Correlation of somatic mutations and clinical outcome in melanoma patients treated with Carboplatin, Paclitaxel, and sorafenib. Clin Cancer Res. 2014;2013:3328–37.

38. Elzaouk L, Moelling K, Pavlovic J. Anti-tumor activity of mesenchymal stem cells producing IL-12 in a mouse melanoma model. Exp Dermatol. 2006;15:865–74.

39. Hayes-Jordan A, Wang YX, Walker P, Cox CS. Mesenchymal Stromal Cell Dependent Regulation of Pulmonary Metastasis from Ewing’s. Front Pediatr. 2014;2:44.

40. Matuskova M, Kozovska Z, Toro L, Durinikova E, Tyciakova S, Cierna Z, et al. Combined enzyme/prodrug treatment by genetically engineered AT-MSC exerts synergy and inhibits growth of MDA-MB-231 induced lung metastases. J Exp Clin Cancer Res. 2015;34:33.

41. Bergfeld SA, DeClerck YA. Bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells and the tumor microenvironment. Cancer Metastasis Rev. 2010;29:249–61.

42. Diecke NC, Hodivala-Dilke K, Jeffery R, Hunt T, Pouloko R, Oukof F, et al. Bone marrow contribution to tumor-associated myofibroblasts and fibroblasts. Cancer Res. 2004;64:4922–5.

43. Zhao S, Wang J, Qin C. Blockade of CXCL12/CXCR4 signaling inhibits intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma progression and metastasis via inactivation of canonical Wnt pathway. J Exp Clin Cancer Res. 2014;33:103.

44. Abdul Aziz MT, El Asmar MF, Atta HM, Mahfouz S, Fouad HH, Rosshdy NK, et al. Efficacy of mesenchymal stem cells in suppression of hepatocarcinogenesis in rats: possible role of Wnt signaling. J Exp Clin Cancer Res. 2011;30:49.

45. Barcellos-Hoff MH, Ravani SA. Irradiated mammary gland stroma promotes the expression of tumorigenic potential by uniradiated epithelial cells. Cancer Res. 2000;60:1254–60.

46. Bhowmick NA, Chytli A, Pleth D, Gorska AE, Dumont N, Shappell S, et al. TGF-beta signaling in fibroblasts modulates the oncogenic potential of adjacent epithelia. Science. 2004;303:948–51.

47. Tlsty TD, Coussens LM. Tumor stroma and regulation of cancer development. Annu Rev Pathol. 2006;1:119–50.

48. Lama VN, Smith L, Badri L, Flint A, Andrei AC, Murray S, et al. Evidence for tissue-resident mesenchymal stem cells in human adult lung from studies of transplanted allografts. J Clin Invest. 2007;117:989–96.

49. Badri L, Walker NM, Ohtsuka T, Wang Z, Delmar M, Flint A, et al. Epithelial interactions and local engraftment of lung-resident mesenchymal stem cells. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol. 2011;45:809–16.

50. Ampollini L, Madeddu D, Falco A, Frati C, Lorusso B, Graiani G, et al. Lung mesenchymal cells function as an inductive microenvironment for human lung cancer propagating cells. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg. 2014;46(6):e103–12. doi:10.1093/ejcts/ezu359.

51. Orino A, Gupta PB, Sgroi DC, Arentzan-Seledos F, Delaunay T, Naeem R, et al. Stromal fibroblasts present in invasive human breast carcinomas promote tumor growth and angiogenesis through elevated SDF-1/CXCL12 secretion. Cell. 2005;121:335–48.

52. Hunt DR, Welch DR. A MSC-ing link in metastasis? Nature Med. 2007;13:1289–91.

53. Lodi D, Iannitti T, Palmieri B. Stem cells in clinical practice: applications and warnings. J Exp Clin Cancer Res. 2011;11:90–9.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution