A new marine biogenic emission: methane sulfonamide (MSAM), dimethyl sulfide (DMS), and dimethyl sulfone (DMSO₂) measured in air over the Arabian Sea
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Abstract. We present the first ambient measurements of a new marine emission methane sulfonamide (MSAM: CH₃NO₂S), along with dimethyl sulfide (DMS) and dimethyl sulfone (DMSO₂) over the Arabian Sea. Two ship-borne transects (W → E, E → W) were made during the AQABA (Air Quality and Climate Change in the Arabian Basin) measurement campaign. Molar mixing ratios in picomole of species per mole of air (throughout this paper abbreviated as ppt) of DMS were in the range of 300–500 ppt during the first traverse of the Arabian Sea (first leg) and 100–300 ppt on the second leg. On the first leg DMSO₂ was always below 40 ppt and MSAM was close to the limit of detection. During the second leg DMSO₂ was between 40 and 120 ppt and MSAM was mostly in the range of 20–50 ppt with maximum values of 60 ppt. An analysis of HYSPLIT back trajectories combined with calculations of the exposure of these trajectories to underlying chlorophyll in the surface water revealed that most MSAM originates from the Somalia upwelling region, known for its high biological activity. MSAM emissions can be as high as one-third of DMS emissions over the upwelling region. This new marine emission is of particular interest as it contains both sulfur and nitrogen, making it potentially relevant to marine nutrient cycling and marine atmospheric particle formation.

1 Introduction

The ocean plays an important role in the atmospheric chemistry of many trace gases and profoundly influences the global sulfur and nitrogen cycles (Brimblecombe, 2014; Sievert et al., 2007; Bentley and Chasteen, 2004; Fowler et al., 2013, 2015). Dimethyl sulfide (DMS) emitted from the ocean accounts for roughly half of the natural global atmospheric sulfate burden. The global DMS flux to the atmosphere was recently estimated to be 28.1 (17.6–34.4) Tg S yr⁻¹, equivalent to 50 % of the anthropogenic sulfur inputs (Webb et al., 2019). Nitrogen is often a limiting nutrient for phytoplankton growth in the ocean (Voss et al., 2013). Nonetheless, ocean emissions of organic nitrogen do occur in the form of amines (R-NH₂) (Ge et al., 2011; Gibb et al., 1999) and in inorganic forms such as nitrous oxide (N₂O) (Arévalo-Martínez et al., 2019) and ammonia (Gibb et al., 1999; Johnson et al., 2008; Paulot et al., 2015), particularly in upwelling regions (Carpenter et al., 2012).

Upwelling regions of the ocean are those where nutrient-rich waters from depths of 100 to 300 m are brought to the surface (Voss et al., 2013; Kämpf and Chapman, 2016). Upwelling leads to nutrient-rich zones in the surface ocean and therefore to regions of high phytoplankton activity, resulting in strong carbon dioxide uptake and the release of various volatile organic compounds including sulfur-, halogen-, and alkene-containing trace gases (Arnold et al., 2010; Colomb et al., 2008; Bonsang et al., 2010; Lai et al., 2011; Yassaa et al., 2008). In the Arabian Sea, the location of this
study, the Somalian coastal upwelling is a major feature. It is considered the fifth-largest upwelling system in the world (deCastro et al., 2016; Ajith Joseph et al., 2019).

Here we present trace gas measurements taken on a shipborne circumnavigation of the Arabian Peninsula. Relatively few measurements have been made in this region due to political tensions and piracy. Transects of the Arabian Sea (the most southerly section of the route) showed high levels of sulfur-containing gases. These include DMS, dimethyl sulfone (DMSO$_2$), and methane sulfonamide (MSAM), a new marine emission that unusually contains both sulfur and nitrogen atoms.

DMS is known to stem from biochemical reactions within phytoplankton that produce its precursor dimethylsulfoniopropionate (DMSP) (Kiene et al., 2000). Although only a small fraction of the DMS produced within the ocean is released into the atmosphere (Vila-Costa et al., 2006), it is still the most abundant form of oceanic sulfur emission (Kloster et al., 2006; Quinn and Bates, 2011; Lana et al., 2011; Liss et al., 2014).

The oxidation mechanism of DMS in the atmosphere is complex and still not fully understood (Mardyukov and Schreiner, 2018; Barnes et al., 2006; Ayers and Gillett, 2000; Chen et al., 2018). DMSO$_2$, the second sulfur-containing species measured in this study, is a product of DMS oxidation by the OH radical (Arsene et al., 2001; Barnes et al., 2006). It can be formed directly from DMS, via the intermediate dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and from the oxidation of DMSO with BrO and NO$_3$ (Barnes et al., 2006). Even though the oxidation of DMS in the atmosphere is still not fully understood, reaction with the hydroxyl radical (OH) is considered the dominant loss pathway (Khan et al., 2016).

Significantly DMS oxidation ultimately yields sulfates which may act as cloud condensation nuclei (see Fig. 1). In the case of MSAM, there are no previously reported measurements of this species. The MSAM data are assessed here through comparison with the better-known DMS and DMSO$_2$ species and with respect to air mass back trajectories and chlorophyll exposure, in particular in relation to the upwelling region. In summary, we examine the provenance, distribution and fate of DMS, DMSO$_2$, and the new marine emission MSAM in the region of the Arabian Sea.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 AQABA campaign

From 25 June to 3 September 2017, the Air Quality and Climate Change in the Arabian Basin (AQABA) cruise took place on the research vessel Kommandor Iona. The first leg of the cruise started from La Seyne-sur-mer near Toulon (France) and headed through the Suez Canal and around the Arabian Peninsula and ended in Kuwait. The second leg took the same route back (see Fig. 2). Onboard the ship there were a weather station and four laboratory containers equipped with instrumentation for on- and offline measurement of a large suite of trace gases, particles, and radicals (Bourtsoukidis et al., 2019; Bourtsoukidis et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020).

2.2 Sampling

A 10 m high (above sea level) high-volume flow inlet (HUFI) (diameter 15 cm) was used to draw ambient air down to the containers at a flow rate of 10 m$^3$ min$^{-1}$. The HUFI was situated between the four containers on the foredeck so that when the ship headed into the wind, no interference from the vessel’s smokestack or indoor ventilation was measured. From the center of the HUFI, air was drawn continuously at a rate of ca. 5 slpm (standard liter per minute) (first leg) or 3 slpm (second leg) into an air-conditioned laboratory container via an insulated FEP (fluorinated ethylene propylene) tube (1/2′′ = 1.27 cm o.d., length ca. 10 m). The tube was heated to 50–60 °C to avoid condensation inside the air-conditioned container. To prevent sampling of sea spray and particles, a routinely changed PTFE (polytetrafluoroethylene) filter was installed in the inlet line before it entered the container. This inlet system was employed for the measurements of VOCs and total OH reactivity (Pfannerstill et al., 2019) simultaneously. The inlet residence time for the VOC measurements was determined by a spiking test with acetone, and it was 12 s during the first leg and 26 s during the second leg.

2.3 Volatile organic compound (VOC) measurements

Online VOC measurements were performed using a proton transfer reaction time-of-flight mass spectrometer (PTR-ToF-MS 8000; manufacturer: Ionicon Analytik GmbH, Innsbruck, Austria). Detailed descriptions of the instrument can be found in Jordan et al. (2009), Graus et al. (2010), and Veres et al. (2013). Drift pressure was maintained at 2.2 mbar and the drift voltage at 600 V (E/N 137 Td). For mass scale calibrations, 1,3,5-trichlorobenzene was continuously fed into the sample stream. The PTR-ToF-MS was calibrated at the beginning, during, and at the end of the campaign (in total five humidity dependent calibrations were conducted as described by Derstroff et al., 2017). Calibrations were performed by using a standard gas mixture (Apel-Riemer Environmental inc., Broomfield, USA) of several VOCs with gravimetrically determined mixing ratios. The VOCs included in the calibration gas were methanol, acetonitrile, acetaldehyde, acetone, dimethyl sulfide, isoprene, methyl vinyl ketone, methacrolein, methyl ethyl ketone, benzene, toluene, α-xylene, 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene, and α-pinene. Clean synthetic air was measured every 3 h for 10 min to determine the instrument background. Synthetic air was supplied to the instrument only and not the whole inlet. The time resolution of the measurement was 1 min and the mass range extended to 450 amu. Mass resolution (full width half maximum) at mass

Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20, 6081–6094, 2020
https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-6081-2020
Figure 1. DMS oxidation scheme focusing on the trace gases discussed (Barnes et al., 2006). DMSP production within phytoplankton yields DMS in the surface water where it can be oxidize directly to DMSO. A small fraction of DMS is emitted to the atmosphere. Where it is predominantly oxidized by the OH radical yielding methane sulfonic acid (MSA) and sulfates. Additionally we sketched a possible formation pathway for DMSO$_2$. We suggest that MSAM could be formed in the water as a result of microbial activity, and parts of it are then emitted to the atmosphere. The bottom part of the figure illustrates the principle of the Somalia upwelling. Wind blowing along the coast displaces surface water and leads to the upwelling of cold nutrient-rich water, which can support a phytoplankton bloom (Kämpf and Chapman, 2016).

Figure 2. Ship track of AQABA cruise. Beginning in July 2017, the campaign started in the south of France near Toulon; the ship arrived in Kuwait at the end of July, started its return back to France at the beginning of August, and was back at its starting point at the beginning of September 2017. On the way to Kuwait it entered the Arabian Sea on 19 July and left it on 24 July. On the way back it entered the Arabian Sea on 11 August and left it on 15 August. Credit: NASA Earth Observatory.

96 amu was ca. 3500 during the first leg and > 4500 during the second leg.

The total uncertainty of the DMS measurement was < 30% (main sources of uncertainty: standard gas mixture 5%, flow meter 1%, calibration ≈ 10%) and the precision < 5%. DMSO$_2$ and MSAM were not present in the calibration gas. Calculation of the mixing ratio was therefore conducted based on the rate coefficients for proton transfer (Su and Chesnavich, 1982; Chesnavich et al., 1980), the knowledge of transmission factors, the amount of H$_3$O$^+$ ions, and the parameters of the drift region (Lindinger et al., 1998). Applying this method results in a greater uncertainty than for compounds included in the calibration gas mixture of approximately 50%. The limit of detection (LOD: 3× standard deviation of background) was 20 ppt for DMS, 25 ppt for DMSO$_2$, and 5 ppt for MSAM.

2.4 Discussion of inlet effects

Semi-volatile and especially low-volatile compounds partition reversibly from the gas phase to the walls in Teflon tubing (Pagonis et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2019; Deming et al., 2019). Teflon tubing acts approximately as a chromatography column for these compounds (Pagonis et al., 2017). This leads to a smearing of the time profile of these com-
compounds, which affects the measured concentrations (Deming et al., 2019). To evaluate this effect we measured a step concentration change from a stable MSAM concentration to a zero MSAM concentration (at same humidity and flow). This resulting decay does not necessarily follow a single exponential decay (Liu et al., 2019). In our case we found the best fit was obtained with a triple exponential decay. The e-folding times (time it takes for the signal to decrease by the factor 1/e) were from minutes to hours (for more details, see Sect. S5). This leads to considerable smoothing of the MSAM signal for concentration changes on timescales of minutes up to hours.

The partitioning of MSAM to the inside wall of the Teflon tubing raises the question of whether the observed MSAM could be generated there on surfaces. No inlet test was done during the campaign to address this issue since this discovery was a surprise. We do not know of a pathway to production in the inlet (see Sect. S6 for a discussion), but it remains a possibility that cannot yet be ruled out.

2.5 HYSPLIT back trajectories

Air mass back trajectories were calculated to investigate the origin of air masses encountered. The Hybrid Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory model (HYSPLIT; version 4, 2014), a hybrid between a Lagrangian and an Eulerian model for tracing small imaginary air parcels forward or back in time (Draxler and Hess, 1998), was used to derive 3-D back trajectories from a starting height of 200 m a.s.l. (above sea level), going 216 h back in time on an hourly grid beginning at the ship’s position.

3 Results

This study focuses on the two crossings of the Arabian Sea during the AQABA campaign. The Arabian Sea was generally characterized by low values of VOCs, especially VOCs related to anthropogenic activities (Bourtsoukidis et al., 2019; Bourtsoukidis et al., 2020; Pfannerstill et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2020). The three molecules presented in this study are the exception. They were higher in the Arabian Sea region than in the other regions. So the absence or very low concentrations of the other molecules plus the high values (compared to other regions) of DMS, DMSO$_2$, and MSAM characterize this part of the cruise as mostly influenced by clean marine air.

3.1 Dimethyl sulfide (DMS)

Measurements of DMS (m/z 63.0263) during AQABA showed elevated mixing ratios when the vessel traversed the Arabian Sea during both legs (brown shaded region in Fig. 3a). During the first leg over the Arabian Sea (Fig. 3b), DMS mixing ratios were generally in the range of 300–500 ppt, with occasional peaks of 800 ppt. During the second leg (Fig. 3c), the DMS mixing ratios over the Arabian Sea were significantly lower, in the range of 100–300 ppt, again with elevated peaks of short duration (around 2 h).

3.2 Dimethyl sulfone (DMSO$_2$)

DMSO$_2$ was measured by the PTR-ToF-MS at m/z 95.0161. Within the range of uncertainty for that mass, we did not find another plausible molecular structure. Additionally, the head space of the pure compound (TCI Deutschland GmbH, purity > 99 %) was sampled yielding a peak at the same position as found in ambient air. Measurements of DMSO$_2$ in the Arabian Sea region showed elevated levels between 40 and 120 ppt during the second leg (Fig. 3c) but more modest levels (< 40 ppt) on leg 1 (Fig. 3b). To our knowledge, there have been no measurements of DMSO$_2$ performed in this region previously.
3.3 New atmospheric trace gas: MSAM

At m/z 96.0144, a signal was observed which displayed a strong correlation with DMSO\(_2\) (Pearson correlation coefficient: \(r\) around 0.8) over the Arabian Sea during the second leg (see Fig. 4). This mass corresponded to MSAM, which has a similar structure to DMSO\(_2\), with an amine group substituted for a methyl group (see Fig. 1 for the chemical structures of the molecules). This molecule has not previously been measured in ambient air. To confirm the assignment of mass m/z 96.0144 to MSAM, the head space of the pure substance MSAM (Alfa Aesar, purity > 98 \%) was sampled by the PTR-ToF-MS. The analysis of the pure compound MSAM by PTR-ToF-MS matched the mass found in ambient air. No other plausible molecular structures could be found for this mass within the uncertainty for that mass. Based on the correlation of mass m/z 96.0144 to DMSO\(_2\) in ambient data, the mass spectral match to the pure compound, and the absence of alternative structures at that exact mass, we identify the measured signal as MSAM. In order to test whether MSAM can be observed outgassing from seawater, we flushed the headspace of solutions of 4.2, 0.05, and 0.0005 mol L\(^{-1}\) MSAM in artificial seawater with 100, 50, and 25 mL min\(^{-1}\) of synthetic air (Air Liquide, Krefeld, Germany) each. The resulting mixing ratios measured ranged from 650 ppt (lowest concentration and lowest flow rate) to 130 000 ppt (highest concentration and highest flow rate). Up to a certain flow value the increase in dilution due to an increase in flow is overcompensated for through an enhanced emission of the substance from the seawater. Therefore we measured the highest MSAM mixing ratios at the highest flow rate. During the Arabian Sea section of the second leg, values of up to 60 ppt were measured, but mostly it was found to be in the range of 20–50 ppt. On the first leg, MSAM was occasionally detected in the Arabian Sea, but concentrations were generally close to the LOD.

4 Discussion

Here we discuss DMS, DMSO\(_2\), and MSAM measurements in air from a rarely sampled region, the Arabian Sea. First we discuss the difference in DMS abundance between the two legs. Secondly we evaluate the source regions of these trace gases based on knowledge of their atmospheric lifetimes and chlorophyll exposure. Then, finally, we address the implications of these measurements to marine boundary layer chemistry.

4.1 DMS

We observed higher values of DMS in July (leg 1) than in August (leg 2) over the Arabian Sea (see Sect. 3.1). This finding is consistent with DMS flux predictions by Lana et al. (2011) for this region. Sea surface DMS concentrations can be used to estimate DMS fluxes to the atmosphere, and a global climatology of DMS surface water concentrations has been derived by Lana et al. (2011) from over 47 000 seawater measurements worldwide. Lana et al. (2011) predict strong fluxes of DMS in the Arabian Sea region, particularly in June, July, and August, coincident with the AQABA campaign. Seawater concentration data for DMS from the Lana climatology relevant for AQABA has been plotted in Fig. 5 for July and August. In the regions south of the Arabian Peninsula, higher concentrations of DMS in seawater are expected in July than in August. Therefore the measured higher mixing ratios of DMS in July than August support the results of the climatology for this region.

The highest DMS mixing ratios occurred during the first leg over the Gulf of Aden with around 800 ppt. The peak values are likely related to the ship crossing directly through patches of phytoplankton as evidenced by the observation of strong bioluminescence around the ship during the night. The DMS mixing ratio values of up to 300 ppt during the second leg can be compared to measurements made previously in that region. DMS values of up to 250 ppt associated with upwelling in the Gulf of Aden were reported during a ship cruise in April 2000 (Warneke and de Gouw, 2001). More recent measurements during a ship cruise in July and August 2018 in the western tropical Indian Ocean reported values of up to 300 ppt DMS (Zavarsky et al., 2018). The DMS measurements presented here are therefore consistent with the very limited previous measurements in this region.

4.2 Atmospheric lifetimes of DMS, DMSO\(_2\), and MSAM

The lifetime of DMS in the atmosphere with respect to the primary oxidant OH is around 1.3 d (bimolecular rate constant = 7.8 \(\times\) 10\(^{-12}\) cm\(^3\) molec\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) (Albu et al., 2006)). For all lifetimes with respect to OH we use the global
average concentration [OH] = 1.1 × 10^6 molec. cm^{-3} (Prinn et al., 2005). In some regions of the marine boundary layer, BrO may also contribute to the oxidation of DMS leading to shorter DMS lifetimes (Breider et al., 2010; Khan et al., 2016; Barnes et al., 2006).

The reaction rate of OH and DMSO is <3 × 10^{-13} cm^3 molec.^{-1} s^{-1}, which leads to a tropospheric lifetime of more than 35 d ([OH] = 1.1 × 10^6 molec. cm^{-3}) (Falbe-Hansen et al., 2000), over 20 times longer than DMS. A recent study, Berasategui et al. (2020), measured a rate constant of 1.4 ± (0.2) × 10^{-13} cm^3 molec.^{-1} s^{-1} for the reaction of OH with MSAM, which results in a tropospheric lifetime of 75 d ([OH] = 1.1 × 10^6 molec. cm^{-3}). As MSAM has a long lifetime with respect to reaction with OH, we must also consider its physical removal by deposition to the ocean surface. We therefore carried out experiments to determine the Henry’s law constant for MSAM (for details, see Sect. S2) and found it to be in the range of 3.3 × 10^4–6.5 × 10^4 M atm^{-1}. DMSO has a similarly large Henry’s law constant > 5 × 10^4 M atm^{-1} (de Bruyn et al., 1994). A two-layer model can predict the exchange flux between the gas and aqueous phase to derive an estimate of the effective lifetimes (Liss and Slater, 1974; Schwartz, 1992; Yang et al., 2014). For substances with a high Henry’s law constant, like MSAM and DMSO, knowledge of the wind speed and the marine boundary layer height is sufficient to get a prediction of the deposition lifetime (for details, see Sect. S1 in the Supplement). This gives a lifetime of 30.5 ± 23.5 h (marine boundary layer height: 750±250 m and wind speeds 4 to 14 m s^{-1}). The lifetimes for MSAM and DMSO are therefore controlled by the deposition rate to the ocean surface and not by OH oxidation. This means that DMS, DMSO, and MSAM have similar lifetimes. During 12 and 13 August, most of the time air masses from the Somalia upwelling traveled for 10h up to a day before reaching the ship. On 14 and 15 August, air masses from the Somalia upwelling were around 4h old (determined from the HYSPLIT back trajectories). A common oceanic source and the similar lifetimes of DMSO and MSAM help explain the observed good correlation of MSAM with DMSO (see Fig. 4).

4.3 Chlorophyll exposure of HYSPLIT back trajectories

MSAM and DMSO were close to the LOD during the first leg, despite the fact that DMS mixing ratios were even higher than during the second leg. This observation excludes a simple relationship between the emissions of DMS and DMSO or MSAM. DMSO is known to be an oxidation product of DMS and is therefore linked to marine biogenic activity (Barnes et al., 2006). We hypothesize that the newly detected trace gas MSAM is also linked to marine biogenic activity. This is based on the observation that MSAM displays the highest values when influenced mainly by remote marine air without recent contact with land; it correlates well with DMSO (see Fig. 4) and is similar in chemical structure to DMS and DMSO. A good indicator of marine biogenic activity is phytoplankton. Phytoplankton in the water can be detected from space via the chlorophyll a pigment used for photosynthesis. Satellite images of regional chlorophyll can be exploited to investigate emission areas of marine biogenic VOCs. In the following sections, and with the help of HYSPLIT back trajectories and chlorophyll a water content we will investigate where the source of MSAM and DMSO is located.

4.3.1 Chlorophyll a water content

We used data from the satellite MODIS-Aqua (Jackson et al., 2019). In Fig. 6a, b and d, the chlorophyll a concentration averaged over 8 d is plotted for the time periods relevant for our measurements. During the first leg (Fig. 6a and b) the ship entered the Arabian Sea region on 19 July and left it around 23 July 2017. Figure 6a displays the average chlorophyll distribution from 12 to 19 July, since air masses reach-

**Figure 5.** Climatology for DMS surface water concentrations in July and August (Lana et al., 2011). Over 47 000 DMS seawater measurements were used together with interpolation or extrapolation techniques in order to obtain a monthly DMS surface water concentration of the whole earth. In the regions south of the Arabian Peninsula the climatology estimates higher values in July than in August.
Figure 6. Eight-day averaged chlorophyll $a$ concentration in the Arabian Sea. The relevant time periods for the first leg (a, b) and for the second leg (d, e) are pictured here. Panel (a) represents the average chlorophyll $a$ concentration from 12 to 19 July, (b) from 20 to 27 July, (d) from 5 to 12 August, and (e) from 13 to 20 August. The black rectangle represents the region of the Somalia coast upwelling. The ship track is plotted in gray. The light blue means no chlorophyll $a$ content. Panels (c) and (f) display the HYSPLIT back trajectories. The color code of the trajectories represents the weighted amount of chlorophyll $a$ concentration in the water underneath the trajectory.

4.3.2 Back-trajectory chlorophyll analysis

A trajectory analysis was carried out to investigate whether air masses traveling over regions of high chlorophyll are associated with higher atmospheric levels of DMSO$_2$ and MSAM. To investigate the provenance of air masses sampled at the ship in relation to the chlorophyll distributions shown above, HYSPLIT back trajectories were calculated (9 d back) for every full hour of the cruise. For each point of the trajectory the underlying chlorophyll $a$ content was weighted with respect to the arrival time at the ship to account for oxidation and dispersion effects (see Fig. 6c and f). These values were then added up for each trajectory individually in order to determine quantitatively to what extent the air sampled had passed over areas of high chlorophyll content (indicator for phytoplankton in the water). Only time points when the trajectory was within the marine boundary layer, as calculated from the HYSPLIT model, were considered. Changing the weighting did not materially affect the results. For details on the weighting procedure, see Sect. S3.

The results of these calculations are displayed in Fig. 7. The graphs show the total chlorophyll exposure and the exposure of chlorophyll specifically from the region of the Somalia upwelling (see Fig. 6, the region in the black rectangle). On the first leg (Fig. 7a), when both DMSO$_2$ and MSAM mixing ratios were low, air reaching the ship did not cross chlorophyll-$a$-rich waters in the previous 1 to 2 d. This is the case for the total exposure as well as for the exposure to chlorophyll in the Somalia upwelling region.

However, during the second leg (Fig. 7b), when DMSO$_2$ and MSAM levels were high, the air measured had traveled over extensive chlorophyll-$a$-rich waters. In general, the exposure in the Somalia upwelling region always constituted the majority of the total exposure, except for one peak in the beginning (13 August from 12:00 to 19:00 UTC) where chlorophyll patches closer to the ship constituted roughly half of the total chlorophyll exposure. From these calculations we can conclude that the occurrence of DMSO$_2$ and MSAM is related to marine emissions in the Somalia up-
Figure 7. Weighted amount of chlorophyll \(a\) trajectories crossed over before arrival at the ship for leg 1 (a) and leg 2 (b). The total chlorophyll \(a\) exposure (yellow line) and the chlorophyll \(a\) exposure originating from the Somalia upwelling region (black line) are plotted. The corresponding \(y\) axis for the chlorophyll \(a\) exposure for both graphs (a, b) is displayed on the right side. Mixing ratios in ppt for DMSO\(_2\) and MSAM are plotted in red and blue with the corresponding \(y\) axis on the left side.

welling region. MSAM and DMSO\(_2\) mixing ratios started to increase around 12:00 UTC of 12 August but the chlorophyll exposure only started to increase around 06:00 UTC on 13 August (Fig. 7b). A possible explanation for the delay in chlorophyll exposure could be that the bloom already started on 12 August and not just on 13 August as indicated in Fig. 6d and e. Possibly the earlier start of the bloom escaped detection by MODIS-Aqua as it sees every point on earth every 1–2 d. Around the Equator some regions escape detection on any given day. An inspection of daily data from MODIS-Aqua revealed that parts of the Somalia upwelling were in a blind spot of the satellite on 12 August. The chlorophyll exposure fell sharply to zero at the beginning of 15 August, roughly 8 h before DMSO\(_2\) and MSAM values start to decline as well (Fig. 7b). In this case the calculated HYSPLIT back trajectories no longer pass over the Somalia upwelling but cross Somalia before arriving at the ship. Our measurements thus indicate that we were impacted by the Somalian upwelling region for longer than calculated from the trajectories. This is not unexpected as meteorological data for this region are sparse and the trajectories therefore correspondingly uncertain.

4.4 DMSO\(_2\), DMSO, MSIA, and MSA

In the following we will discuss briefly why we did not detect DMSO, methane sulfinic acid (MSIA), and methane sulfonic acid (MSA). These are important oxidation products from DMS.

DMSO is known to be an important intermediate in the oxidation of DMS with OH (Hoffmann et al., 2016). The reaction rate of DMSO with OH is 15 times faster than that of DMS with OH, making it a potentially important sink in the remote marine atmosphere (Barnes et al., 2006). A model study of the sulfur cycle in the global marine atmosphere suggested values of around 10 ppt for DMSO in the region of the Arabian Sea (Chen et al., 2018). This is below the limit of detection (LOD) of around 15 ppt for DMSO in our instrument and probably the reason why we do not observe it in...
this dataset. Measurements of DMSO made on Amsterdam Island ranged from 0.36 to 11.6 ppt (Sciare et al., 2000).

MSIA has a very high reaction rate of $9 \times 10^{-11}$ cm$^3$ molec.$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ with OH radicals (Burkholder et al., 2015; Kukui et al., 2003; Hoffmann et al., 2016). In the model study mentioned above, this leads to concentrations over the Arabian Sea of around 2 ppt which again is below the LOD for MSIA with our instrument (around 20 ppt) (Chen et al., 2018).

MSA, on the other hand, is predicted to be around 20–40 ppt over the Arabian Sea (gas phase and aqueous phase combined), which is above its LOD, but almost all of it will be in the aqueous phase (Chen et al., 2018; Hoffmann et al., 2016). In the gas phase, the maximum MSA values reported to date are below 1 ppt, which is far too low to be measured with our setup (LOD around 15 ppt) (Eisele and Tanner, 1993; Chen et al., 2016; Berresheim, 2002).

We observed DMSO$_2$ mixing ratios during the second leg between 40 and 120 ppt, which is high compared to previous measurements of 0.2–11 ppt (Berresheim et al., 1998; Davis et al., 1998) made in Antarctica. In the following we will briefly describe and discuss formation pathways for DMSO$_2$. This will be done for formation via OH, BrO, and NO$_3$.

### 4.4.1 OH

DMSO$_2$ formation from OH oxidation, via the intermediate DMSO, has been observed in laboratory studies (Falbe-Hansen et al., 2000). However, it has to be noted that newer studies indicate that mainly MSIA and not DMSO$_2$ is formed (Barnes et al., 2006; Kukui et al., 2003; Hoffmann et al., 2016). Another pathway is formation from the OH–DMS adduct followed by sequential reactions with NO and O$_2$ (Arsene et al., 2001; Barnes et al., 2006). Due to the low NO$_3$ of the remote marine atmosphere, this pathway seems unlikely as well. There are speculations about a possible formation from the initially formed OH–DMS adduct by O$_2$ addition and a subsequent complex process which is not yet fully understood (Berndt and Richters, 2012; Arsene et al., 1999; Turpin et al., 1996; Barnes et al., 2006).

### 4.4.2 BrO

BrO can form DMSO$_2$ from DMSO. But these reactions seem rather unlikely because of the slow reaction rate compared to the fast reaction rate of OH and DMSO. This reaction, therefore, would only play a role for much higher concentrations of BrO and not for concentrations of 2 ppt for BrO, which have been proposed to be ubiquitous in the marine troposphere (Read et al., 2008; Platt and Höhninger, 2003).

### 4.4.3 NO$_3$

Most studies show no formation of DMSO$_2$ from NO$_3$ oxidation (Barnes et al., 2006). NO$_3$ oxidation of the intermediate DMSO is known to only yield DMSO$_2$ (Falbe-Hansen et al., 2000). However, NO$_3$ oxidation is not thought to produce DMSO (Barnes et al., 2006). Maybe the increase in DMSO$_2$ after sunset (see Fig. 3c) is an indication that NO$_3$ is oxidizing the remaining DMSO from the OH oxidation of DMS produced during the daytime.

With the data presented here it is not possible to decide if one or some of the abovementioned mechanisms are responsible for the observed DMSO$_2$ values. A diel analysis of DMS, MSAM, and DMSO$_2$ was made. But due to the fact that we only have 2 consecutive days with elevated DMSO$_2$ on a moving platform, the results must be viewed with caution since variation may come from source or removal process variation. Nevertheless, for completeness, the plots and description of this diel variability are found in Sect. S4.

### 4.5 MSAM

We are not aware of a possible formation pathway for MSAM in the gas phase. Therefore, we consider it rather unlikely that it is formed via DMS gas phase oxidation. A microbial formation from DMS or DMS products in the water of the highly biological active upwelling region with subsequent emission into the atmosphere seems plausible (see Fig. 1).

To our knowledge there have been no reports of MSAM occurring or being produced in biological systems. MSAM belongs to the class of sulfonamides which is known for its antibacterial properties, and it has therefore been used in antibacterial drugs (Sköld, 2010). The only mention of MSAM in this context was as a metabolite of a drug detected in human urine (Anacardio et al., 2009).

From the dataset presented in this paper, the ocean is expected to be a sink for MSAM. This is shown through our calculations of the lifetime (a few hours to a few days), which are dominated by deposition. The ocean can only become a source of MSAM to the atmosphere if the concentration of MSAM in surface seawater is so large that emission locally dominates over deposition. Our measurements indicate that this was the case in the region of the Somalia upwelling. Although no seawater measurements were made in that region to confirm this, the trajectory data presented here indicate that biologically active areas are able to produce sufficiently large MSAM concentrations.

To our knowledge, no measurements of MSAM have been reported in the atmosphere so far, and thus no information about the potential role it could play there is available. SO$_2$ is an oxidation product of MSAM (Berasategui et al., 2020) and a precursor for sulfuric acid (H$_2$SO$_4$). H$_2$SO$_4$ is known to be a key contributor to new particle formation (Li et al., 2018; Kulmala et al., 2014; Almeida et al., 2013; Sipilä et al., 2010; Weber et al., 1996, 2001; Chen et al., 2016). However, due to the slow reaction of MSAM with OH, the contribution of MSAM to SO$_2$ is negligible (Berasategui et al., 2020). Acid–base reactions (e.g., H$_2$SO$_4$ with ammonia or amines) are very important in new particle formation (Chen et al., 2018).
and Finlayson-Pitts, 2017; Almeida et al., 2013). MSAM is an acid and therefore could participate in acid–base reactions, but since MSAM is only a weak acid ($pK_a = 10.8$; Juntila and Hormi, 2009) its role as an acid in these reactions is probably limited.

Studies indicate that the dominant driving force in new particle formation and growth are the hydrogen bonds formed between common atmospheric nucleation precursors (Xie et al., 2017; Cheng et al., 2017; Li et al., 2018). The newly found trace gas MSAM is very intriguing because it contains a sulfonamide group, which is a sulfonyl group connected to an amine group. The sulfonyl and the amine group both support hydrogen bonding, giving MSAM a high hydrogen-bonding capacity, potentially enabling nucleation.

Because of the comparable lifetimes of MSAM and DMS, we can estimate the relative emission of MSAM to DMS from the ratio of the concentrations [MSAM]/[DMS]. We only included ratios observed in the afternoons of 14 and 15 August, when the ship was in closest proximity to the Somalia upwelling. The afternoon was chosen to make sure that both MSAM and DMS have roughly the same atmospheric lifetime when estimating the relative emission. Deposition to the ocean surface will happen all the time for MSAM; however, OH, the main loss process for DMS, is only present during the day. We derived ratios ranging from 0.1 to 0.27, meaning that emissions of MSAM over the Somalia upwelling can be almost a third of the DMS emissions. Therefore, MSAM could play an important role in particle formation and/or growth over and downwind of upwelling regions. To verify these possibilities, further experiments regarding particle growth and formation with MSAM need to be performed.

5 Conclusions

During the AQABA campaign we made the first measurements of MSAM in ambient air. Back-trajectory chlorophyll analyses suggest that it is a marine biogenic emission from the highly productive upwelling region off Somalia. During the first leg of the AQABA campaign the ship encountered mostly biogenic emissions from sources located along the ship route when crossing the Arabian Sea. The enhanced DMS values observed there could be attributed to seasonally enhanced DMS fluxes. No oxidation products or other organosulfur compounds were detected in substantial amounts from the local emissions. In contrast, during the second leg, not only DMS but also DMSO$_2$ and MSAM were measured. DMSO$_2$, like MSAM, was shown to originate from the Somalia upwelling region. DMSO$_2$ mixing ratios of up to 120 ppt were measured during the second leg, which is quite substantial considering that previous studies indicate it to be a minor or negligible product in DMS gas phase oxidation. The main loss mechanism for MSAM and DMSO$_2$ is deposition to the ocean surface with lifetimes of a few hours to a few days. MSAM is a molecule which, to our knowledge, has never been reported in the atmosphere. We detected it in concentrations up to 60 ppt during the second leg in the Arabian Sea. Emissions of MSAM over the Somalia upwelling can reach close to a third of the DMS emissions.

A marine emission containing a nitrogen atom is somewhat surprising since under most circumstances primary productivity in the ocean is nitrogen limited. The emission of a nitrogen-containing compound may be related to the abundance of reactive nitrogen provided by the upwelling. Due to its sulfonyl and amine group, MSAM has a high hydrogen-bonding capacity enabling hydrogen bonding to other atmospheric nucleation precursors. These hydrogen bonds are known to be a critical factor in particle growth and formation (Li et al., 2018; Xie et al., 2017; Cheng et al., 2017). Therefore MSAM could prove to be of importance for particle formation and/or growth over upwelling regions. The mechanisms in which gas phase precursors lead to new particle formation are an active research area in atmospheric chemistry because they are subject to large uncertainties (Li et al., 2018; Chen et al., 2016, 2018; Carslaw et al., 2013).
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