Interaction Between Dendritic Cells and Candida krusei β-Glucan Partially Depends on Dectin-1 and It Promotes High IL-10 Production by T Cells
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Host-Candida interaction has been broadly studied during Candida albicans infection, with a progressive shift in focus toward non-albicans Candida species. C. krusei is an emerging multidrug resistant pathogen causing rising morbidity and mortality worldwide. Therefore, understanding the interplay between the host immune system and C. krusei is critically important. Candida cell wall β-glucans play significant roles in the induction of host protective immune responses. However, it remains unclear how C. krusei β-glucan impacts dendritic cell (DC) responses. In this study, we investigated DC maturation and function in response to β-glucans isolated from the cell walls of C. albicans, C. tropicalis, and C. krusei. These three distinct Candida β-glucans had differential effects on expression of the DC marker, CD11c, and on DC maturation. Furthermore, bone-marrow derived DCs (BMDCs) showed enhanced cytokine responses characterized by substantial interleukin (IL)-10 production following C. krusei β-glucan stimulation. BMDCs stimulated with C. krusei β-glucan augmented IL-10 production by T cells in tandem with increased IL-10 production by BMDCs. Inhibition of dectin-1 ligation demonstrated that the interactions between dectin-1 on DCs and cell wall β-glucans varied depending on the Candida species. The effects of C. krusei β-glucan were partially dependent on dectin-1, and this dependence, in part, led to distinct DC responses. Our study provides new insights into immune regulation by C. krusei cell wall components. These data may be of use in the development of new clinical approaches for treatment of patients with C. krusei infection.
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INTRODUCTION

Candida species are the most common causative agents of opportunistic mycoses that impose increasing burdens of morbidity and mortality. In recent decades, mucocutaneous and invasive Candida infections caused by non-Albicans Candida species have increased globally as a result of the development of anti-fungal drug resistance (Colombo et al., 2017; Kontoyiannis, 2017). Candida krusei commonly causes nosocomial infections in patients with hematologic malignancies (Kim et al., 2017; Lortholary et al., 2017; Jamiu et al., 2020), as well as osteomyelitis, pneumonia, vaginitis, endophthalmitis, endocarditis, oral candidiasis, and other conditions in patients with underlying medical complications (Jamiu et al., 2020). C. krusei is an emerging multi-drug resistant pathogen: it is intrinsically resistant to fluconazole and rapidly acquires resistance to other anti-fungal drugs such as fluconosine, amphoterinc B and echinocandins (Jamiu et al., 2020). Hence, C. krusei-infected individuals have high mortality rates (Kronen et al., 2017; Jamiu et al., 2020).

Carbohydrate constituents of fungal cell walls are the main pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPS) that trigger both host protective immunity and pathogenic inflammatory responses. β-glucan is one of the major carbohydrate components making up the inner skeleton of the Candida cell wall, and acts as a key PAMP triggering host immune responses (Gow et al., 2011; Netea et al., 2015). Recognition of β-glucan in the Candida cell wall by the dectin-1 receptor has been shown to play a key role in protective immunity and subsequent fungal eradication (Taylor et al., 2007; Gow et al., 2011). Moreover, levels of serum β-glucan shed from the cell wall were correlated with the clinical outcomes of patients with invasive candidiasis (Sims et al., 2012; Giacobbe et al., 2015). Therefore, circulating β-glucans may directly interact with immune cells and induce either protective immunity or pathologic inflammatory responses.

Dendritic cells (DCs) are antigen-presenting cells that play a key role in Candida recognition, phagocytosis, and killing (Newman and Holly, 2001; Netea et al., 2004). Interactions between invading fungi and DCs via pattern-recognition receptors (PRRs) such as C-type lectin receptors and Toll-like receptors (TLRs) allow DCs to develop functional versatility, which determines the fate of adaptive immune responses (Wuthrich et al., 2012). Engagement of dectin-1 on DCs leads to Syk activation and subsequent C. albicans clearance (Skrzypek et al., 2009). Dectin-1 is also required for DC discrimination of yeast and hyphae and to induce Th17-mediated anti-Candida immunity through an interleukin (IL)-6-dependent mechanism (Kashem et al., 2015). Furthermore, recent studies demonstrated that differential β-glucan exposure on the cell walls of various Candida species resulted in distinct immune responses (Chen et al., 2019; Thompson et al., 2019).

At present, little is known regarding the immune response to C. krusei, and the interplay between C. krusei β-glucan and DCs is poorly understood. In this study, we investigated the effects of C. krusei, C. albicans, and C. tropicalis β-glucans on DC activation and subsequent T cell responses. We also observed the differential dectin-1-mediated DC responses to the β-glucans of three distinct Candida species. Our data provide insights into β-glucan-DC interactions and subsequent regulation of T cell immunity.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals and Ethics Statement

Female C57BL/6s (5–6 weeks old) were purchased from Nomura Siam International Co., Ltd., Bangkok, Thailand. All animal procedures were performed in accordance with the guidelines and approved by the Chulalongkorn University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) (Animal protocol 19-33-010 and 031/2561).

Candida Strains and Culture

C. albicans strain SC5314 was used in this study as its cell wall β-glucans have been well characterized (Lowman et al., 2003a; Lowman et al., 2014). C. tropicalis strain ATCC 750 and C. krusei strain ATCC 6258 were selected because these reference strains are used for quality control and antifungal drug susceptibility testing. All Candida yeasts were grown in Yeast Peptone Dextrose (YPD) broth (HiMedia Laboratories, Mumbai, India) at 30°C for 6–8 h with 180 rpm shaking. Subsequently, the yeast cultures were diluted to an OD600 of 0.1 and grown in 1.2 L of YPD medium at 30°C for 13 h with 150 rpm shaking. Under these culture conditions, all Candida species grow as budding yeast-like cells (Katiyar and Edlind, 2001; Kadosh and Johnson, 2005; Suzuki et al., 2006). The morphologies of all Candida yeasts were assessed using bright field microscopy (Olympus BX50, Tokyo, Japan).

Cell Wall β-Glucan Extraction

The protocols for Candida β-glucan extraction, depyrogenation and sterilization were kindly provided by East Tennessee State University, Johnson City, TN, USA. (Lowman et al., 2003a; Lowman et al., 2014). Briefly, Candida cell walls were first boiled at 100°C in 0.1 N NaOH for 15 min (three extractions). The harvested residues were next boiled at 100°C in 2 N H3PO4 for 15 min (three extractions), and the resulting residues were then boiled at 100°C in acidic ethanol (1% v/v of H3PO4 in absolute ethanol) for 15 min (three extractions) followed by neutralization to pH 7.0. The glucans were washed three times with endotoxin-free water. The glucans isolated using this procedure are water-insoluble microparticulates. Isolated β-glucans were depyrogenated in 250 mM NaOH and subsequently neutralized to pH 7.0 in 250 mM H3PO4. The β-glucans were washed in endotoxin-free water three times and sterilized by autoclaving at 121°C under 15 psi of pressure for 30 min. The amount of extracted β-glucans were used as dry weight. β-glucans were heat-dried at 50–60°C, and the dried glucans were weighted. The concentrations of β-glucans in all experiment are used as dry weight per volume. All yeast culture and β-glucan extraction procedures were performed using...
endotoxin free water and containers. The three batches of extracted glucans were pooled and used for all the experiments.

**Scanning Electron Microscopy**

*Candida* β-glucans were fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer at pH 7.2 for 18 h at 4°C. The fixed β-glucans were washed with sterile deionized water and were air dried. The β-glucans were then processed for ultra-thin gold coating (JFC-1200, JEOL, Peabody, MA, USA). The specimens were observed by a scanning electron microscopy (Quanta250, FEI, Hillsboro, OR, USA) with 10,000x magnifications.

**Generation of Bone Marrow-Derived Dendritic Cells**

DCs were isolated from murine bone marrow as described previously (Inaba et al., 2009; Nguyen et al., 2018). Briefly, bone marrow cells were isolated from femurs and tibias of mice. The cells (1x10⁶ per 1 ml) were seeded in 24-well plates and cultured in atmosphere containing 5% CO2 for 7 days. Half the media volume was replaced every 2 days.

**Dectin-1 Blockade**

BMDCs were stimulated with 25 µg/ml of *C. albicans*, *C. tropicalis*, and *C. krusei* at concentrations of 12.5, 25, and 50 µg/ml for 24 h and 48 h. Unstimulated BMDCs were used as negative controls. Culture supernatants were collected for cytokine measurement and cells were harvested for evaluation of DC maturation marker expression.

**Syk Inhibition**

BMDCs were pre-treated with 1 µM of Syk inhibitor (InvivoGen) for 30 min prior to treatment of 25 µg/ml of β-glucans isolated from *C. albicans*, *C. tropicalis*, and *C. krusei*. For comparison of dectin-1 blockade, BMDCs were stimulated with 25 µg/ml of *Candida* β-glucans in the absence of dectin-1 antagonists. As a negative control, BMDCs were either untreated or pre-treated with dectin-1 antagonist. At 24 h and 48 h post-stimulation, culture supernatants were collected for cytokine measurement and cells were harvested for assessment of DC maturation marker expression.

**Flow Cytometry Analysis**

To evaluate BMDC maturation and inhibitory phenotype, BMDCs were stained with fluorophore-tagged monoclonal antibodies against mouse CD11c (N418), mouse CD40 (3/23), mouse CD80 (16-10A1), mouse CD86 (CL-1), mouse I-A/I-E (MHC class II) (M5/114.152), PD-L1 (MH7), PD-L2 (TY25), CD206 (C068C2), and dectin-1 (RH1). For T cell assay, LN cells and splenocytes were stained with fluorophore-tagged monoclonal antibodies against mouse CD3 (145-2C11), CD4 (GK1.5), mouse CD25 (PC61), and mouse/human FoxP3 (FJK-16s). Prior to staining with specific antibodies, the cells were incubated with Fc block (purified anti-mouse CD16/32) to reduce non-specific binding. All antibodies were obtained from BioLegend (San Diego, CA, USA) except for the anti-FoxP3 antibody, which was obtained from eBioscience (San Diego, CA, USA). The stained cells were assessed by flow cytometry (CytoFLEX, Beckman Coulter, San Diego, CA, USA) and the data were analyzed using Kaluza Flow Analysis Software (Beckman Coulter). For flow cytometry acquisition, the live cells of all samples were acquired at 20,000 cells/sample. Accordingly, the same electronic gate was applied to all sample for flow cytometry acquisition and analyses.

**In Vitro Dendritic Cell and T Cell Co-Culture Assay**

BMDCs were stimulated with 25 µg/ml of *Candida* β-glucans for 24 h and the cells were then washed with RPMI medium twice. The BMDCs (1×10⁵ cells) were co-cultured with T cells (1×10⁶) isolated from spleens of intact mice using immunomagnetic beads (Pan T Cell Isolation Kit II, mouse; Miltenyi Biotec, San Diego, CA, USA) at a DC:T cell ratio of 1:10 in the presence of 30 ng/ml of soluble anti-CD3 antibody (145-2C11, Biolegend) in 48-well plates (Clement et al., 1985; Li and Kurlander, 2010). After 72 h, the culture supernatants were collected and the cells were stained for CD4, CD25 and FoxP3.

**In Vivo Immunization and Ex Vivo Re-Stimulation Assay**

We performed subcutaneous immunization in the scruff of the neck with a mixture of 2 mg of *C. albicans* or *C. krusei* β-glucans and 30 µg of oval chicken albumin (OVA, Grade V, Sigma) in 200 µl PBS at day 0 and day 7. On day 14, draining lymph nodes (LNs) (cervical and brachial LNs) were excised from the mice and the LNs were digested with 300 units/ml Collagenase IV (GIBCO) and 20 units/ml DNase I (Sigma) at 37°C with 200 rpm shaking for 45 min. The LN cells were washed twice with RPMI containing 10% heat-inactivated FBS and pen/strep. The LNs were then seeded at 2 x 10⁶ cells in 500 µl of culture medium per well in 48-wells plate and stimulated with 200 µg/ml OVA. Culture supernatants were collected at 48 h post-stimulation for ELISA. The cells were harvested at 72 h post-stimulation and were then stained for CD3, CD4, CD25 and FoxP3.
In Vivo Candida krusei Infection and Ex Vivo Re-Stimulation

The mice were divided into three groups (PBS, low dose, and high dose of C. krusei). Prior to C. krusei infection, dexamethasone (0.1 mg/g of body weight; Baxter Vet, T.P. Drug laboratories, 1969, Bangkok, Thailand) were intraperitoneally injected to the mice on day -3, -2, -1, and 5 to induced immunosuppression (Jacobsen et al., 2010). At day 0, mice were intravenously inoculated with 1×10^6 and 5×10^6 of C. krusei yeast cells in 100 µl of PBS. On day 7 post-infection, blood serum and spleens were harvested from the mice. Spleens were mechanically disrupted and treated with RBC lysis buffer (8.02 g NH4Cl, 0.84 g NaHCO3, and 0.37 g EDTA in 1 L sterile deionized water) to remove red blood cells. Spleenocytes were then washed in RPMI medium containing 10% heat-inactivated FBS and pen/strep. Then 2×10^6 cells of spleenocytes were stained for CD3, CD4, CD25, and FoxP3.

For ex vivo re-stimulation, purified anti-CD3 antibody (BioLegend, cat # 145-2C11) were immobilized on 24-well plat. Briefly, 10 µg/ml of purified anti-CD3 in 200 µl of Dulbecco’s Phosphate Buffered Saline (DPBS) were coated on the plate at 4°C overnight. Prior to cell seeding, the anti-CD3 solution were removed and the coated wells were rinsed with culture medium twice. Then, the splenocytes were seeded at the concentration of 4×10^6 cells/well in 1 ml of culture medium (RPMI1640 supplemented with 10% of heat-inactivated FBS, 2 mM L-glutamax, 100 U/ml of penicillin, 100 U/mg of streptomycin, and 0.055 mM 2-mercaptoethanol). 48-h post-stimulation, culture supernatants were collected for cytokine measurements and the serum and culture supernatants of BMDCs and cytokines IFN-β, IL-1β, IL-6, IL-12, IL-17, IL-23, IL-10, and tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α were quantified by sandwich ELISA following the manufacturer’s instructions. All ELISA kits were purchased from Biolegend, except the IL-23 ELISA kit, which was obtained from eBioscience. The absorbance was measured at 450 nm using a microplate reader (EPOCH2C, BioTek).

Cytokine Quantification

Cytokines [interferon (IFN)-γ, IL-1β, IL-2, IL-6, IL-12, IL-17, IL-23, IL-10, and tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α] in the culture supernatants of BMDCs and cytokines IFN-γ, IL-17, and IL-10 in the serum and culture supernatants of LN cells and splenocytes were quantified by sandwich ELISA following the manufacturer’s instructions. All ELISA kits were purchased from Biolegend, except the IL-23 ELISA kit, which was obtained from eBioscience. The absorbance was measured at 450 nm using a microplate reader (EPOCH2C, BioTek).

Statistical Analysis

All data were presented as means ± standard deviations. Sample sizes are indicated in each figure legend. The data were analyzed using one-way ANOVA with post-hoc Tukey HSD test in SPSS 22 software (IBM, New York, NY, USA). Values of p < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Morphology and Structure Analysis of Candida β-Glucans

First, β-glucons of C. albicans, C. tropicalis, and C. krusei were morphologically characterized by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (Figure 1). β-glucons of C. albicans were similar to C. tropicalis in terms of size and shape (Figures 1A, B, respectively). The average size of C. albicans β-glucans was 4-5 µM and the average size of C. tropicalis β-glucans was 4-6 µM. Both C. albicans and C. tropicalis β-glucans formed oval shape. In addition, β-glucans of these two Candida species appeared as a dense fine speckled pattern. On the other hand, the morphology of C. krusei β-glucans was drastically different from the other two as they formed rod shape and large in size (average size is 7-10 µM), and surface of the β-glucans exhibited a course speckled pattern (Figure 1C).

In addition to morphological appearance, NMR analysis was performed to characterize Candida β-glucan structure. NMR characterizations of Candida β-glucans were challenging due to their low solubilities in all deuterated solvents (Padungros et al., 2012; Padungros et al., 2014). The addition of deuterated trifluoracetic acid (TFA-d3) slightly enhanced the solubility. More importantly, TFA-d shifted all exchangeable protons on the hydroxyl groups of Candida β-glucans toward the downfield region, thus reducing overlapped protons signals on the pyranose rings. Characterization of C. albicans β-glucan and C. tropicalis β-glucan proved difficult due to their low solubilities in DMSO-d6, despite increasing temperature up to 60°C. Nevertheless, the 1H NMR spectra of both C. albicans β-glucan and C. tropicalis β-glucan were suggested that they were β-(1,3)-glucan with β-(1,6)-branching (Supplementary Figure S1 and S2) (Lowman et al., 2003b; Sukumaran et al., 2010; Lowman et al., 2014). For the C. krusei β-glucan, satisfying NMR spectra were obtained by performing measurement at room temperature. 1H NMR signals of anomic proton (H-1) was identified at δ 4.56 ppm, H-6 was at δ 3.74 ppm, H-3/H-6’ were at δ 3.52–3.46 ppm, and H-2/H-5/H-4 were at δ 3.33–3.23 ppm (Supplementary Figure S3). These signals were corresponded to β-(1,3)-glucan structure. Surprisingly, characteristic β-(1,6)-glucan side chain signals at 4.24 ppm and 4.08 ppm were not observed (Kono et al., 2017). The simplicity of the 1H NMR signals indicated that the C. krusei β-glucan was a highly homogeneous β-(1,3)-glucan without β-(1,6)-branching. 13C NMR signals were also supported by 1H NMR signals, confirming the β-(1,3)-glucan structure.

Effects of Candida β-glucans on Dendritic Cell Viability and CD11c Expression

To determine any potential contamination with bacterial endotoxin LPS during β-glucan preparation, we pre-treated our β-glucans with polymyxin B, a potent LPS neutralizer (Cardoso et al., 2007), before BMDC stimulation followed by an assessment of DC maturation marker expression (CD40, CD80, CD86, MHC class II) and inflammatory cytokine levels...
(IL-6 and TNF-α) (Supplementary Figures S6-S7). The results showed no significant difference in the expression of DC maturation markers and inflammatory cytokines between untreated and polymyxin B-treated groups, indicating that there was no endotoxin contamination in our β-glucans.

Candida β-glucans are PAMPs, which may transduce signals via PRRs and lead to cell death (Takeuchi and Akira, 2010; Kingeter and Lin, 2012). Therefore, we first examined DC viability in response to Candida β-glucan stimulation. BMDCs were stimulated with various concentrations of β-glucans isolated from the cell walls of C. albicans, C. tropicalis, and C. krusei for 24 h and 48 h, and the cell viability was determined by MTT assay (Supplementary Figure S8). At all doses tested, β-glucans from these three distinct Candida species showed no major effects on DC viability at both 24 h and 48 h (Supplementary Figures S8A and S8B, respectively) post-stimulation. The β-glucans of all Candida species had similar effects on DC viability. However, DC viability at 48 h showed a decreasing trend when BMDCs were stimulated with the highest concentration (50 µg/ml) of C. albicans and C. krusei β-glucans (Supplementary Figure S8B).

We next evaluated DC proportions in Candida β-glucan-stimulated bone marrow cells by flow cytometry analysis of the DC marker, CD11c (Figure 3). CD11c+ cells were gated and identified as DCs (Supplementary Figures S9A and S10A). At 24 h post-BMDC stimulation, all doses of C. albicans and C. tropicalis β-glucans did not alter the DC proportion, while C. krusei β-glucan at 25 and 50 µg/ml slightly reduced the percentage of CD11c+ cells compared with that among unstimulated BMDCs (Figure 3A, left panel). Furthermore, the proportions of CD11c+ cells among BMDCs stimulated with C. krusei β-glucan were significantly different from the proportions among BMDCs stimulated with C. albicans and C. tropicalis β-glucans (Supplementary Figure S12A, left panel). At 48 h post-stimulation, the percentages of CD11c+ cells among BMDCs stimulated with 25 and 50 µg/ml of all Candida β-glucans were reduced compared with unstimulated BMDCs (Figure 3A, right panel). However, a difference in DC proportion was not observed among BMDCs stimulated with different Candida β-glucans (Supplementary Figure S12A, right panel). CD11c expression levels of Candida β-glucan-stimulated BMDCs were also determined using histogram analyses of mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) (Figure 3B and Supplementary Figure S11). At 24 h post-stimulation, CD11c expression levels of BMDCs stimulated with C. albicans and C. tropicalis β-glucans were not clearly altered. By contrast, stimulation with C. krusei β-glucans
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(25 and 50 µg/ml) significantly diminished CD11c expression on BMDCs compared with expression on unstimulated BMDCs. CD11c expression in C. krusei β-glucan-stimulated BMDCs was markedly lower than that in C. albicans and C. tropicalis β-glucan-stimulated BMDCs (Figure 3B, left panel). At 48 h post-stimulation, the expression of CD11c was significantly reduced on BMDCs stimulated with 25 and 50 µg/ml of all Candida β-glucans. However, β-glucans of distinct Candida species showed no differential effect on CD11c expression (Figure 3B, right panel). Our data indicated that β-glucan from C. krusei downregulated CD11 expression on BMDCs.

Candida krusei β-Glucans Had a Differential Impact on Dendritic Cell Maturation

Next, the effects of Candida β-glucans on DC maturation were evaluated. BMDCs were activated with various doses of Candida β-glucans for 24 h and 48 h, and expression of the DC maturation markers, CD40, CD80, CD86, and MHC class II, were determined by flow cytometry. First, the phenotypes of CD11c+ DCs were assessed using dot plot analyses (Supplementary Figure S12). The proportions of CD40+, CD80+, CD86+, and MHC class II+ subpopulations of CD11c+ cells were analyzed (Supplementary Figure 9B and 10B). BMDCs underwent maturation upon stimulation with all Candida β-glucans and the percentages of CD40+, CD80+, CD86+, and MHC class II+ cells were notably enhanced at both 24 h and 48 h post-stimulation (Supplementary Figure S12). BMDCs stimulated with three distinct Candida β-glucans showed similar proportions of CD40+ cells at both time points (Supplementary Figure S12A). At 24 h post-stimulation, the percentages of CD80+ cells (Supplementary Figure S12B, left panel) and MHC class II+ cells (Supplementary Figure S12D, left panel) among C. krusei β-glucan-stimulated BMDCs were significantly lower than those among C. albicans and C. tropicalis β-glucan-stimulated BMDCs. There were changes observed in the CD80+ subpopulation at 48 h post-stimulation: the proportion of CD80+ cells among all BMDCs was reduced, and the CD80+ cell proportion among BMDCs stimulated with C. krusei β-glucan was lower than that among BMDCs stimulated with C. albicans and C. tropicalis β-glucans (Supplementary Figure S12B, right panel). No difference in CD86+ cell proportion among stimulated BMDCs was observed at 24 h (Supplementary Figure S12C, left panel), but the CD86+ cell proportion among C. krusei β-glucan-stimulated BMDCs was higher than that among C. albicans and C. tropicalis β-glucan-stimulated BMDCs at 48 h post-stimulation (Supplementary Figure S12C, right panel). Although Candida β-glucans seemed to have differential effects on the proportions of mature DCs, only slight differences were observed.

The expression levels of maturation markers on CD11c+ cells at 24 h and 48 h post-stimulation were also analyzed using
histogram analyses of MFI values (Supplementary Figures S13 and S14). The effects of Candida β-glucans on expression levels of DC maturation markers were more apparent (Figure 4). Consistently, all markers were markedly upregulated on Candida β-glucan-stimulated BMDCs (Figure 4). The expression levels of CD40, CD80, and MHC class II on Candida β-glucan-stimulated BMDCs at both time points (Figures 4A, B, D) corresponded with the proportions of cells positive for these markers (Figures 3A, B, D). At 24 h post-stimulation, C. krusei β-glucan-stimulated BMDCs expressed lower levels of CD80 and MHC class II than C. albicans and C. tropicalis β-glucan-stimulated BMDCs (Figure 4C). By contrast, CD86 expression on C. krusei β-glucan-stimulated BMDCs was apparently higher than that on C. albicans and C. tropicalis β-glucan-stimulated BMDCs (Figure 4C).

Other DC markers, mannose receptor CD206, and programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) and PD-L2, which play a role in DC immunomodulatory function (Serrano et al., 2018), were also examined in BMDCs stimulated with Candida β-glucans. Consistent to DC maturation markers, the expression levels of CD206, PD-L1, and PD-L2 in β-glucan-stimulated BMDCs were higher than in those expression in unstimulated group (Supplementary Figures S13-S15). However, there was no notable difference among Candida β-glucans on the expression of these molecules. Collectively, our findings suggested that cell wall β-glucans from distinct Candida species differentially affected DC phenotypes and maturation.

**Candida krusei β-Glucan Enhanced Pro-Inflammatory and Anti-Inflammatory Cytokine Production**

To compare the effects of Candida β-glucans on DC cytokine production, the levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines (TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6, IL-23, IFN-γ, IL-12) and anti-inflammatory cytokines (IL-10) were quantitated by ELISA (Figure 5 and Supplementary Figure S16). At 24 h post-stimulation, all Candida β-glucans activated BMDCs to produce both pro-inflammatory and anti-inflammatory cytokines. Higher doses of Candida β-glucans induced more cytokine production (Figure 5). C. albicans and C. tropicalis β-glucans showed similar effects.
on the production of all cytokines. In contrast, *C. krusei* β-glucan augmented the production of all pro-inflammatory cytokines. Furthermore, levels of all pro-inflammatory cytokines induced by *C. krusei* β-glucan were approximately 0.5–2 fold higher than those induced by *C. albicans* and *C. tropicalis* β-glucans (Figures 5A–F). Intriguingly, *C. krusei* β-glucan had a major impact on IL-10 production: the amount of IL-10 produced by BMDCs stimulated with *C. krusei* β-glucan were approximately 3–5-fold greater than that produced by BMDCs stimulated with *C. albicans* and *C. tropicalis* β-glucans (Figure 5G). Cytokine production by *Candida* β-glucan-stimulated BMDCs was also determined at 48 h post-stimulation, and the results were consistent with the cytokine profiles at 24 h post-stimulation. Overall, levels of cytokines at 24 h and 48 h post-stimulation did not differ, except for a decline in IL-23 levels and a further increase in IFN-γ levels at 48 h post-stimulation (Supplementary
Among Candida β-glucans, C. krusei β-glucan was the most potent stimulus of cytokine production by DCs, especially production of the anti-inflammatory cytokine, IL-10.

**Candida krusei β-Glucan-Stimulated BMDCs Promoted IL-10 Production in T Cells but Did Not Affect Regulatory T Cell Expansion Both In Vitro and In Vivo**

Having demonstrated the effects of Candida β-glucans on DC maturation and cytokine production (Figures 3–5), we next examined the orchestration of T cell responses by Candida β-glucan-stimulated BMDCs. To assess the direct impact of DCs on T cell responses, we performed an in vitro DC-T co-culture experiment in the presence of a soluble anti-CD3 monoclonal antibody. In principle, the soluble anti-CD3 antibody binds to Fc receptors on DCs and is presented to T cells. Engagement of the soluble anti-CD3 antibody with T cells leads to transduction of the first signal into T cells (Clement et al., 1985; Li and Kurlander, 2010). Additional co-signals and cytokines were directly derived from Candida β-glucan-stimulated BMDCs. Using this approach, we investigated how DCs orchestrated T cell responses (Figures 6 and 7). BMDCs were stimulated with Candida β-glucans for 24 h and then co-cultured in vitro with T cells isolated from the spleens of mice in the presence of a low concentration (30 ng/ml) of soluble anti-mouse CD3 monoclonal antibody. Subsequently, levels of the T cell cytokines IL-2, IFN-γ, IL-17, and IL-10 were assessed by ELISA (Figure 6). As a negative control, DCs alone and T cells alone were also incubated with the soluble anti-CD3 antibody. A low dose of the soluble anti-CD3 antibody was unable to
stimulate T cells as there was no production of a T cell proliferation factor, IL-2 (Figure 6A) (Spolski et al., 2018), and there was a low background of other cytokines (Figures 6B–D).

Furthermore, no cytokines were released from BMDCs incubated with the soluble anti-CD3 antibody (Figures 6A–D). A commercial β-glucan, curdlan, was used as a positive control. BMDCs stimulated with curdlan and Candida β-glucans activated T cells in the presence of the soluble anti-CD3 antibody as shown by increased levels of IL-2. However, no difference in IL-2 levels was detected among the different β-glucans (Figure 6A). T cells co-cultured with Candida β-glucan-stimulated BMDCs secreted higher levels of IFN-γ and IL-17 than T cells co-cultured with curdlan-stimulated BMDCs (Figures 6B, C). We did not observe any differences in IFN-γ and IL-17 levels between BMDCs stimulated with the three distinct Candida β-glucans. C. albicans and C. tropicalis β-glucan-stimulated BMDCs drove T cells to produce IL-10 at similar levels to those produced by T cells activated with curdlan.
stimulated BMDCs (Figure 6D). Strikingly, C. krusei β-glucan-stimulated BMDCs predominantly induced IL-10 secretion in T cells (Figure 6D); IL-10 production by T cells was correlated with the substantial IL-10 production by C. krusei β-glucan-stimulated BMDCs (Figure 5G).

IL-10-producing T cells possess regulatory function, and therefore we investigated whether FoxP3+ regulatory T cells were also affected by C. krusei β-glucan-stimulated BMDCs. In parallel to the observation of the T cell cytokine responses, the proportions and numbers of CD4+ T cells and CD4+FoxP3+ regulatory T cells were also determined. Following 72 h of DC-T cell co-culture in the presence of a soluble anti-CD3 antibody, CD4+ T cells were analyzed by flow cytometry (Supplementary Figure S17 and Figures 6E, F). The proportions and numbers of CD4+ T cells among T cells co-cultured with C. tropicalis and C. krusei β-glucan-stimulated BMDCs were slightly higher than those among T cells co-cultured with curdlan and C. albicans β-glucan-stimulated BMDCs (Figures 6E, F). CD4+FoxP3+ regulatory T cell proportions and numbers among T cells activated with Candida β-glucan-stimulated BMDCs were significantly higher than those among T cells activated with curdlan-stimulated BMDCs (Figures 6G, H). However, BMDCs stimulated with C. albicans, C. tropicalis, and C. krusei had similar effects on CD4+FoxP3+ regulatory T cell expansion (Figures 6G, H).

To investigate the effect of Candida β-glucans on T cell response in vivo, we immunized mice with a mixture of OVA and C. albicans or C. krusei β-glucans subcutaneously because the resident DCs in the skin could be exposed to the stimuli (Nguyen et al., 2018). After immunization, the cells from draining LNs of the immunized mice were ex vivo re-stimulated with OVA for 48 h. Culture supernatants were measured for (A) IFN-γ, (B) IL-17, and (C) IL-10 by ELISA. (D) CD4+FoxP3+ T cells were assessed by flow cytometry. n = 6. *p < 0.05. Ca, C. albicans; Ck, C. krusei.

**Systemic Infection of Candida krusei Mediated High IL-10 Production in T Cells**

We next questioned whether C. krusei infection also mediates IL-10 production in T cells, therefore, C. krusei was systemically...
infected into mice. To recapitulate systemic candidiasis in immunocompromised patient, the mice were periodically treated with dexamethasone to induce immunosuppression (Jacobsen et al., 2010), and then followed by C. krusei infection. At day 7 post-infection, the sera were collected and the splenocytes were ex vivo re-stimulated with immobilized anti-mouse CD3 to specifically activate T cells (Figure 8). The blood sera exhibited substantial decrease in IFN-γ and increase in IL-17 levels but no alteration in IL-10 levels regardless of infection dose in C. krusei-infected group compared to the non-infected group (Figure 8A). The anti-CD3 re-activated splenocytes from C. krusei infected group did not show the change of IFN-γ production, while the cells released high amount of IL-17. However, there were no difference in IL-17 production in the re-activated splenocytes from low dose and high dose C. krusei infected mice (Figure 8B). Intriguingly, the production of IL-10 in the anti-CD3 re-activated splenocytes from C. krusei infected mice were obviously enhanced in a dose dependent manner (Figure 8B). The number of CD4⁺FoxP3⁺ cells did not expand but rather less than the control group after C. krusei infection in contrast to CD4⁺CD25⁺FoxP3⁻ population (Figures 8C, D). Thus, our results in systemic C. krusei infection were concordant with the observations in direct DC:T co-culture and in vivo immunization. Collectively, C. krusei β-glucan-stimulated BMDCs triggered substantial IL-10 production by T cells but did not enhance CD4⁺FoxP3⁺ regulatory T cell expansion.

**FIGURE 8** | Systemic C. krusei infection promoted IL-10 production. Mice were treated with dexamethasone to induce immunosuppression and were systemically infected with 1x10⁶ and 5x10⁶ of C. krusei. Serum and spleens were collected on day 7 post-infection. Splenocytes were re-stimulated with immobilized anti-CD3 for 48 h. (A) Serum cytokines. (B) Cytokines from supernatant from anti-CD3-stimulated splenocytes. (C) T cells from unstimulated splenocytes. (D) T cells from anti-CD3 stimulated splenocytes. n = 5. *p < 0.05.
**Candida krusei** β-Glucan Interacted With Dectin-1 Receptor in a Different Manner From *C. albicans* and *C. tropicalis* β-Glucans

The dectin-1 receptor has been postulated to primarily recognize *Candida* β-glucans (Brown et al., 2003; Taylor et al., 2007), and its specific roles in recognition of β-glucans from different *Candida* species vary (Thompson et al., 2019). We therefore hypothesized that the differential responses of DCs to distinct *Candida* β-glucans may result, in part, from dissimilar interactions with dectin-1. To test this hypothesis, the dectin-1 receptor on DCs was blocked with a dectin-1 antagonist prior to *Candida* β-glucan stimulation, and then DC responses were assessed. Because curdlan is a well-known ligand of human and mouse dectin-1 receptor (Palma et al., 2006; Takano et al., 2017), it was used as the positive control in all dectin-1 blockade experiments. The DC proportion and CD11c expression in the dectin-1 blocking assay were first assessed by flow cytometry (Supplementary Figure S18). Blockade of dectin-1 did not affect DC proportion or CD11c expression in BMDCs stimulated with curdlan and all *Candida* β-glucans (Supplementary Figures S18A and S18B). Next, we examined the effect of dectin-1 blockade on DC maturation in response to *Candida* β-glucan stimulation. We assessed expression levels (MFI) of DC maturation markers at 24 h and 48 h post-stimulation (Supplementary Figures S19 and S20, respectively, and Figure 9). Dectin-1 antagonism efficiently reduced CD40 and CD80 expression on BMDCs stimulated with curdlan and all *Candida* β-glucans (Figures 9A, B). Blockade of dectin-1 inhibited the expression of CD86 and MHC class II on

![Figure 9](https://example.com/figure9.png)
BMDCs stimulated with *C. albicans* and *C. tropicalis* β-glucans, but not on BMDCs stimulated with curdlan and *C. krusei* β-glucan (Figures 9C, D). Alterations of pro-inflammatory and anti-inflammatory cytokine responses following dectin-1 blockade were also investigated (Figure 10 and Supplementary Figure S21). Overall, inhibition of dectin-1 receptor ligation dampened production of all cytokines in curdlan- and *Candida* β-glucan-stimulated BMDCs at 24 h (Figures 10A–G) and 48 h (Supplementary Figure S21A-G).

To assess variation in the interactions between the three *Candida* β-glucans and dectin-1, we further analyzed the percent blocking of expression of DC maturation markers (Table 1) and levels of DC cytokines (Table 2). Dectin-1 antagonism less potently inhibited DC maturation in response to *C. krusei* β-glucan, especially at 24 h post-stimulation (Table 1), and least efficient blocked DC cytokine production in response to *C. krusei* β-glucan (Table 2). These results suggested that the interaction between DCs and *C. krusei* β-glucan may be partially dependent on dectin-1. In addition, the percent blocking of production of some cytokines in *C. albicans* and *C. tropicalis* β-glucan-stimulated BMDCs differed.

Coupled to dectin-1, spleen tyrosine kinase (Syk) has been well described as a crucial adaptor protein kinase of signaling pathway downstream of dectin-1 in DC anti-fungal mechanism (Whitney et al., 2014). We then investigated whether different *Candida* β-glucans also affect DC functions through Syk signaling pathway. BMDCs were treated with Syk inhibitor prior to *Candida* β-glucan stimulation. At 24 h and 48 h post-stimulation, DC maturation and cytokine production were observed (Figures 11 and 12, Supplementary Figure S22.

![FIGURE 10](image-url) | Contribution of dectin-1 blockade to dendritic cell (DC) cytokine production. Bone-marrow derived DCs (BMDCs) were pre-treated with 25 µg/ml of dectin-1 antagonist for 2 h, and then the cells were stimulated with 25 µg/ml of curdlan and β-glucans isolated from *C. albicans*, *C. tropicalis*, and *C. krusei* for 24 h. Levels of (A) TNF-α, (B) IL-1β, (C) IL-6, (D) IL-23, (E) IL-12, (F) IFN-γ, and (G) IL-10 were measured in culture supernatants by ELISA. n = 5; data are representative of two independent experiments. *p*<0.05 compared with unstimulated BMDCs, *p*<0.05. (-), unstimulated BMDCs; Curd, curdlan; Ca, *C. albicans*; Ct, *C. tropicalis*; Ck, *C. krusei*. 

---

**TABLE 1** | Percent blocking of DC maturation markers.

| Glucan     | (-)          | Curd | Ca  | Ct  | Ck  |
|------------|--------------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| *C. albicans* β-glucan | 100          | 75   | 80  | 70  | 65  |
| *C. tropicalis* β-glucan | 90           | 80   | 85  | 75  | 70  |
| *C. krusei* β-glucan       | 80           | 65   | 70  | 60  | 55  |

**TABLE 2** | Percent blocking of DC cytokines.

| Glucan     | (-)          | Curd | Ca  | Ct  | Ck  |
|------------|--------------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| *C. albicans* β-glucan | 100          | 75   | 80  | 70  | 65  |
| *C. tropicalis* β-glucan | 90           | 80   | 85  | 75  | 70  |
| *C. krusei* β-glucan       | 80           | 65   | 70  | 60  | 55  |
**TABLE 1** | Blockade of dendritic cell (DC) maturation by dectin-1 antagonist.

| Time (h) | Treatment | CD40 | CD80 | CD86 | MHC class II |
|----------|-----------|------|------|------|-------------|
| 24 h     | Curdlan   | 3.53 ± 2.01bc | 17.89 ± 4.32a | 21.77 ± 8.28ad | 25.77 ± 5.32ad |
|          | C. albicans | 1.58 ± 2.27bc | 10.75 ± 7.97c | 4.02 ± 8.98ac | 3.78 ± 3.54 |
|          | C. tropicalis | 18.52 ± 9.49abc | 7.84 ± 9.18b | 18.92 ± 6.22a | 2.00 ± 2.74bc |
|          | C. krusei | 2.72 ± 2.86 | 3.53 ± 2.01bc | 17.89 ± 4.32a | 21.77 ± 8.28ad |

% Blocking* ± SD

* % Blocking was calculated by the following formula; (average MFI of molecule A expressed on glucan-stimulated DCs – MFI of molecule A expressed on dectin-1 antagonist treated glucan-stimulated DCs) x 100/average MFI of molecule A expressed on glucan-stimulated DCs, n = 5; Data are representatives of two independent experiments. #p < 0.05 compared with Curdlan, $p < 0.05 compared with C. albicans glucan, %p < 0.05 compared with C. tropicalis glucan, %p < 0.05 compared with C. krusei glucan.

**TABLE 2** | Blockade of dendritic cell (DC) cytokine production by dectin-1 antagonist.

| Time (h) | Treatment | IFN-γ | IL-1β | IL-6 | IL-23 | IL-12 | IFN-γ | IL-10 | TNF-α |
|----------|-----------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 24 h     | Curdlan   | 53.02 ± 10.84b,c,d | 99.58 ± 0.94a,d | 100.00 ± 0.00 | 100.00 ± 0.00 | 100.00 ± 0.00 | 100.00 ± 0.00 | 100.00 ± 0.00 | 100.00 ± 0.00 |
|          | C. albicans | 59.43 ± 4.53a,b,c | 83.12 ± 2.22a,d | 80.77 ± 16.87 d | 85.22 ± 1.96b,d | 95.14 ± 17.47d | 81.50 ± 0.89d | 79.72 ± 1.70b,d | 81.50 ± 0.89d |
|          | C. tropicalis | 34.18 ± 4.90b,c | 4.02 ± 8.98b,c | 18.57 ± 14.82b,c | 61.26 ± 1.98b,c | 73.30 ± 36.88d | 12.56 ± 7.07 | 59.55 ± 9.40a,b,c | 40.52 ± 4.67b,c |
|          | C. krusei | 34.55 ± 3.79b,c | 74.25 ± 3.86a,b,c | 92.19 ± 17.47d | 4.02 ± 8.98a,b,c | 73.30 ± 36.88d | 80.77 ± 16.87d | 73.30 ± 36.88d | 73.30 ± 36.88d |

% Blocking* ± SD

* % Blocking was calculated by the following formula; (average MFI of cytokine A produced on glucan-stimulated DCs – MFI of cytokine A produced on dectin-1 antagonist treated glucan-stimulated DCs) x 100/average MFI of cytokine A produced on glucan-stimulated DCs, n = 5; Data are representatives of two independent experiments. #p < 0.05 compared with Curdlan, $p < 0.05 compared with C. albicans glucan, %p < 0.05 compared with C. tropicalis glucan, %p < 0.05 compared with C. krusei glucan.

Supplementary Tables S1 and S2). Syk inhibitor could suppress DC maturation as indicated by significant reduction of CD40, CD80, CD86, and MHC class II expression as early as 24 h post-stimulation (Figure 11). Expectedly, inhibition of Syk also diminished the cytokines (TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6, IL-23, IL-12, IFN-γ, and IL-10) released by BMDCs stimulated with different Candida β-glucans (Figure 12 and Supplementary Figure S22). The data of Syk inhibition also showed the differential activation of BMDCs by the distinct Candida β-glucans (Supplementary Tables S1 and S2).

Having found that Candida β-glucans and dectin-1 interactions regulated Syk-mediated cytokine production, we next questioned whether these β-glucans affect the expression of dectin-1 receptor on the DCs. To investigate this, we analyzed surface dectin-1 expression on CD11c+ BMDCs after Candida β-glucan stimulation (Figure 13 and Supplementary Figure S23). C. albicans β-glucan downregulated dectin-1 expression on BMDCs at 24 h and 48 h post-stimulation while C. tropicalis β-glucan did not change level of dectin-1 on BMDCs at 24 h but rather downmodulated the dectin-1 expression at 48 h. Intriguingly, dectin-1 expression on BMDCs stimulation with C. krusei β-glucans was significantly enhanced at 24 h, and it was downmodulated at 48 h (Figure 13).

Collectively, these findings suggested the involvement of dectin-1 receptor and Syk signaling pathway in DC maturation and cytokine production in response to C. albicans, C. tropicalis, and C. krusei β-glucans, and suggested that the differential DC responses to distinct Candida β-glucans possibly resulted from dectin-1 expression and these differential interactions of the Candida β-glucans with dectin-1 were through dectin-1/Syk signaling pathway axis.

**DISCUSSION**

Cell wall β-glucans of C. albicans have been demonstrated to play a pivotal role in the induction of anti-fungal immunity (Netea et al., 2015; Camilli et al., 2018). However, our findings demonstrated that the cell wall β-glucans of C. albicans, C. tropicalis, and C. krusei have different impacts on DC immunity, which consequently influenced T cell responses. Most Candida species can undergo a yeast-to-hypha transition in response to environmental conditions such as
high temperature, pH, and nutritional factors (Thompson et al., 2011; Lu et al., 2014). β-glucan is a major carbohydrate component of the Candida cell wall, located in the intermediate layer between mannan and chitin, and is exposed on the outer surface (Wheeler et al., 2008; Gow et al., 2011). β-glucan is masked by mannan in the cell wall of C. albicans hyphae (Bain et al., 2014; Davis et al., 2014). The yeast form in all Candida species plays a key role in the early stages of host tissue colonization (Netea et al., 2015). For these reasons, we explored the role of β-glucans in yeast cell walls, which may be linked to the physiological and immunological responses occurring in Candida-infected individuals.

Our investigations demonstrated quantitative differences in DC maturation and function in response to the cell wall β-glucans of three distinct Candida species (Figures 3–5). Several lines of evidence suggest that the differential effects of Candida β-glucans are probably related to variation in β-glucan structures. The different β-glucan structures in yeast and hyphae of C. albicans resulted in distinct cytokine responses in human monocyte-derived macrophages (Lowman et al., 2014). In addition, the different β-glucan contents of two distinct strains of C. tropicalis differentially elicited immune responses from peripheral blood mononuclear cells (Mesa-Arango et al., 2016). Although there is no direct evidence that the β-glucan structures of C. tropicalis and C. krusei differ, detection of β-glucans in the cell lysates of Candida species using β-glucan-specific antibodies reflected the inter-species diversity of β-1,6-glucan and β-1,6-glucan contents and structures (Matveev et al., 2019; Yamanaka et al., 2020). Consistently, our NMR analysis revealed the distinct β-glucan
structure of *C. krusei* which mainly contained β-1,3-glucan (Figure 2). Meanwhile, *C. albicans* and *C. tropicalis* β-glucan composed of β-(1,3)-glucan with β-(1,6)-branching (Supplementary Figures S1 and S2) (Lowman et al., 2003b; Sukumaran et al., 2010; Lowman et al., 2014). One parameter that may also contribute to variation in immune responses is the particle size of β-glucans. As revealed by SEM, morphology of *C. albicans* and *C. tropicalis* were quite similar in shape and size whereas the morphology of *C. krusei* was larger and formed different shape (Figure 1). Particulate β-glucans differing in size affected the cytokine responses of human monocyte-derived DCs via regulation of dectin-1 expression (Elder et al., 2017). The resemblance in morphology and β-glucan structure of *C. albicans* and *C. tropicalis* may contribute to similarity in the immune response observed throughout this study. Presumably, structural diversity and variation in size of *Candida* β-glucans affected their ability to induce DC maturation and cytokine production.

The expression of CD11c was down-modulated by *C. krusei* β-glucans (Figure 3), regardless of DC viability (Supplementary Figure S8). Low-CD11c expressing *C. krusei* β-glucan-stimulated BMDCs produced high amounts of cytokines (Figure 5). Previously, DCs stimulated with several types of PRRs showed CD11c downregulation but these DCs still maintained typical cytokine production and function (Singh-Jasuja et al., 2013; Griffiths et al., 2014). In addition, lower CD11c expression following DC activation was linked with increased cytokine production (Singh-Jasuja et al., 2013). Therefore, down-modulation of CD11c may have indicated certain responses of DCs to stimuli.

DCs play a key role in effector T cell fate decisions, especially via cytokine signals (Zhou et al., 2009; Eisenbarth, 2019; Saravia et al., 2019).
secreted IFN-γ, cells differentiated into Th1, Th17 and regulatory T cells, which stimulated BMDCs (IL-10 for regulatory T cells) produced by T cells activated by et al., 2009; Eisenbarth, 2019; Saravia et al., 2019). The increased IL-10 production by T cells activated by cytokine promoting the differentiation of IL-10-secreting cells corresponded with the high IL-10 production by these BMDCs (et al., 2018). Although increased CD4+ FoxP3+ T cells were not detected among T cells co-cultured with C. krusei β-glucan-stimulated BMDCs (Figure 5 and Supplementary Figure S16), T cells differentiated into Th1, Th17 and regulatory T cells, which secreted IFN-γ, IL-17, and IL-10, respectively (Figure 6) (Zhou et al., 2009; Eisenbarth, 2019; Saravia et al., 2019). The increased IL-10 production by T cells activated by C. krusei-stimulated BMDCs corresponded with the high IL-10 production by these BMDCs (Figure 5G and Supplementary Figure S16G); IL-10 is a key cytokine promoting the differentiation of IL-10-secreting regulatory T cells (Hsu et al., 2015; Brockmann et al., 2017; Comi et al., 2018). Although increased CD4+ FoxP3+ T cells were not detected among T cells co-cultured with C. krusei β-glucan-stimulated BMDCs, elevated IL-10 production by T cells probably resulted from the increased function of FoxP3+ regulatory T cells and the increased expansion of FoxP3+ IL-10-secreting regulatory type 1 T cells (Schmidt et al., 2012). CD4+ effector cells (Th1, Th2, and Th17) can also produce IL-10 as a host-protective factor, but the induction of IL-10 in these cells seems to be independent of IL-10 signaling (Jankovic et al., 2010; Ng et al., 2013). In addition to the influence of IL-10 on T cell function and differentiation, substantial expression of co-stimulatory molecules, such as CD80 and CD86 is involved in the induction of IL-10-secreting T cells (Kuipers et al., 2006; Pletinckx et al., 2011). Upregulation of CD86 in BMDCs stimulated with C. krusei β-glucan (Figure 4C and Supplementary Figure S15A) was markedly consistent with the previous reports. Therefore, the enhanced IL-10 production by T cells may have been mediated via IL-10 and co-stimulators derived from C. krusei β-glucan-stimulated BMDCs. Even though C. krusei β-glucan-stimulated BMDCs exhibited high production of Th1 and Th17 cytokines (Figure 5), IFN-γ and IL-17 production in these T cells was not increased compared with T cells co-cultured with C. albicans and C. tropicalis β-glucan-stimulated BMDCs (Figure 6). These results could be explained by the suppressive effects of IL-10 on IFN-γ and IL-17 production as well as Th differentiation (Wang et al., 2016; Neumann et al., 2019).

Since the mice showed the different susceptibility to Candida species (Hirayama et al., 2020), it is difficult to compare the immune response among Candida species. To determine the immune response to C. krusei, we therefore systemically infected mice with low dose and high dose of C. krusei. C. krusei also mediated IL-17 production in T cells which is similar to a previous report of C. albicans infection (LeibundGut-Landmann et al., 2007). However, systemic C. krusei infection notably promoted IL-10 production from T cells in a dose-dependent fashion (Figure 8). Considering of β-glucans exposed on the outer surface of the cell wall (Wheeler et al., 2008; Gow et al., 2011) and circulating β-glucans in patients with invasive candidiasis (Sims et al., 2012; Giacobbe et al., 2015), β-glucans of C. krusei may be one factor that promoted IL-10 production in T

![Differential dectin-1 expression on Candida β-glucan-stimulated bone-marrow derived dendritic cells (BMDCs). BMDCs were stimulated with 25 µg/ml of β-glucans isolated from C. albicans, C. tropicalis, and C. krusei for 24 h and 48 h, and the geometric mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) of dectin-1 on CD11c+ cells were determined using histogram analyses. n = 5; data are representative of two independent experiments. *p < 0.05. (-), unstimulated BMDCs; Ca, C. albicans; Ct, C. tropicalis; Ck, C. krusei.](image-url)
cells in murine systemic C. krusei infection. While we could observe high IL-10 production in T cells from C. krusei infected mice, there was no impact of C. krusei in regulatory T cells. The high regulatory T cell number in the control uninfected group may be due to the mechanism of dexamethasone in the induction of regulatory T cell expansion in (Engler et al., 2017). In addition, C. krusei infection showed the increased T cell activation (CD4+CD25+FoxP3) (Figures 8C, D), therefore high IL-10 production is possible mediated in a C. krusei-specific response.

Recognition of β-glucans by dectin-1 is important for elicitation of host protective immunity required to eradicate Candida infection (Taylor et al., 2007). Dectin-1-deficient mice exhibited increased susceptibility to systemic infections caused by various Candida species (Chen et al., 2019; Thompson et al., 2019). In addition, dectin-1-dependent immune responses differed among Candida species in association with the different levels of β-1,3-glucan exposure on the cell walls (Thompson et al., 2019). Our findings further demonstrated that dectin-1 expression on BMDCs stimulated with different Candida β-glucans varied (Figure 13), which probably led to differential DC responses (Figure 9, Figure 10, Tables 1, and Table 2). It has been well demonstrated that dectin-1 ligation activated Syk tyrosine kinase and led to anti-fungal response in DCs (Whitney et al., 2014). The inhibition of Syk also showed the differential interaction between Candida β-glucans and DCs (Figure 11, Supplementary Table 1 and 2) which supported the results of dectin-1 blockade (Figure 10, Tables 1 and 2). The differential interaction between dectin-1 with β-glucan was previously demonstrated. The binding affinity of β-glucan to dectin-1 is influenced by polymer chain length and side-chain branching in the β-glucan structure (Adams et al., 2008). The structural conformation of β-glucan also affects its bioactivity and receptor binding efficiency (Sletmoen and Stokke, 2008; Legentil et al., 2015). In addition, the expression level of TLR2 and the cooperative action of dectin-1 and TLR2/TLR4 determine the biological response induced by the dectin-1-β-glucan interaction (Ferwerda et al., 2008; Willoccks et al., 2013; Legentil et al., 2015). Association between dectin-1 and other receptors (galectin-3 and SIGN-R1) may also be involved in dectin-1 signaling mediated by β-glucans (Esteban et al., 2011; Takahara et al., 2011).

We cannot discount the possibility that differential DC responses to distinct Candida β-glucans may be influenced by the presence of other β-glucan receptors. Complement receptor 3 (CR3) is a heterodimeric integrin consisting of two membrane proteins, CD11b (αM) and CD18 (β2), and is predominantly expressed on NK cells, DCs, macrophages, monocytes, and neutrophils (Goyal et al., 2018). Recognition of Candida β-glucan by CR3 also can activate innate immune cells, leading to Candida clearance (Li et al., 2019), and CR3 activation depends on the structure and composition of β-glucan (Legentil et al., 2015). Other receptors, including a lactosylceramide receptor as well as scavenger receptors, are also expressed on innate immune cells and participate in β-glucan recognition. However, little is known regarding how they interact with β-glucan (Zimmerman et al., 1998; Sato et al., 2006; Jozelewski et al., 2012).

A recent study demonstrated that dectin-1 on myeloid cells was required for C. krusei killing and induction of subsequent Th1 and Th17 anti-fungal immunity (Chen et al., 2019). In addition, dectin-1 ablation led to high fungal burdens and increased susceptibility to C. krusei infection. In contrast with a previous report, we found an immunoregulatory effect of C. krusei β-glucan. This discrepancy reflects intra-species diversity in cell wall β-glucans because different strains of C. krusei were employed in our study. Carbohydrate analyses of the cell walls of different C. albicans strains demonstrated variation in β-glucan structure and composition (Miura et al., 2003; Lowman et al., 2014). In addition, different C. tropicalis strains elicited distinct host immune responses (Mesa-Arango et al., 2016). In this study, a single strain of each Candida species was employed to investigate immune activation and modulation by inter-species β-glucan variation. Nonetheless, intra-species diversity of cell wall β-glucan should be considered and further investigated.

In this work, we used GM-CSF and IL-4 derived BMDCs as a platform for the in vitro study of DCs. The advantage of this culture system is cost-effectiveness and primary BMDCs can produce the results greater than DC cell line. However, BMDCs derived from GM-CSF comprise a heterogeneous population of DCs and macrophages (Helft et al., 2015; McDaniel et al., 2020). The addition of IL-4 in GM-CSF derived DC culture showed ability to limit the number of macrophages (Helft et al., 2015). Therefore, DCs may be the major population in GM-CSF and IL-4 derived BMDCs in our culture system. Nonetheless, the future investigation of DC response to Candida β-glucan in other in vitro DC culture system such as FLT3L-derived BMDCs will provide more advantage and insight in DC anti-fungal immunity (Brasel et al., 2000).

Our previous study demonstrated the role of C. krusei cell wall mannan in DC-mediated Th17 immunity, which could play an important role in anti-Candida immunity (Nguyen et al., 2018). Here, we demonstrated the immunomodulatory effects of C. krusei cell wall β-glucan, which may either balance or suppress the immune response. Our findings could shed light on the different immune mechanisms responsible for defense against distinct Candida species and may be of help in the development of new diagnostic and therapeutic approaches.
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