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Abstract
The aim of this study is to determine the problem-solving skills of students studying at the Faculty of Sports Sciences of Uşak University and to examine individuals in terms of their personal variables. 290 students, 85 female and 205 male, participated in the study voluntarily at Uşak University Faculty of Sport Sciences. As a data collection tool in the research; “Personal Information Form” and “Problem Solving Inventory (PSI)” developed by Heppner and Peterson were used to determine problem solving skills. According to the normality test results performed to determine the appropriate analysis method for the data, the p-value for the problem solving scale was greater than 0.05. The total scores of the problem-solving scale match the normal distribution. For this reason, while investigating the significant differences, the parametric tests; t-test and ANOVA were used. No significant difference was found between the gender, age variable, monthly income level, monthly income level of families, education level of the parents, the region where the students live, the high school variable that the students graduated from, and the total scores of these students’ problem solving skills (p>0.05). As a result, according to the findings; it has been determined that sports have positive effects on the problem solving skills of the students at the Sport Sciences Faculty.
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1. Introduction

Individuals face a wide variety of problems in their lives. Various definitions of the problem have been made in the literature. These definitions are as follows: Morgan (1991) basically defines the problem as a conflict situation where the individual is faced with inhibition of achieving a goal. Koçel (2003) defines it as obstacles or obstacles that arise while moving in the desired direction. According to Hicks (1991), problem solving is the art of finding new ways to go from where you are to where you want to be. According to another definition, the problem solving process; is to bring together all possible solutions on a subject and therefore it is the demonstration of all professional practices (Taylor, 2000). Problem solving skill (PSS) is a basic skill gained in all areas of life. PSS is an integral part of growth. Human beings begin their problems and problem solution attempts from a very early age. This skill is gained with the help and orientation of the family and the environment before school, and it gains a certain systematic with school life and continues for lifelong process (Aksan, 2006; Yılmaz et al., 2009). The success of the individuals’ problem solving depends on their problem solving skill (Ağır, 2007). Problem solving skills are also available for athletes. Sports is a competitive, solidarity and cultural phenomenon that; transforms the natural environment of the individual into the human environment, develops the abilities it acquires, carries out with the rules or under the specific rules, with or without a vehicle, individually or collectively, in the scope of leisure activity or by taking the whole time, socializing, integrating with the society, developing the spirit and physics (Erkal, 1989). In physical education and sports, the values and attitudes, thoughts and abilities of the students have great importance for their sportive success. On the field, in the hall, in the ring and on the wrestling, the athlete should be able to adjust his position and the movement to be made according to the position and movement of both the opponent and his teammate (Pulur et al., 2012).

Briefly, the athlete must be able to adjust himself/herself on the field according to the position and movements of the opponent athletes and the positions of his teammates (Aldemir et al., 2014; Günay & Yücel, 2001). The athlete feels comfortable and free, the self-confidence of the athlete increases and the success is maximized (Baumann, 1994). Sports improves problem solving skills. Sports develops problem solving skills not only for individuals...
without disabilities but also for people with disabilities. Sports in brief; enables the disabled person to develop problem solving skills and to become an intellectual person (Dalbudak, 2020).

The aim of this study is; to determine the problem-solving skills of students studying at the Faculty of Sports Sciences of Uşak University and to examine them in terms of personal variables.

2. Materials and Methods

In this part of the research; the research model, population and sample, data collection tools, statistical techniques used are emphasized.

2.1 Model of the Research

This research, which aims to determine the problem solving skills of the students of the Faculty of Sport Sciences of Uşak University, was carried out using the survey method with the descriptive survey model in order to determine the opinions of the relevant teachers.

2.2 Population and Sample

The research group consisted of a total of 290 volunteer students, 85 female and 205 male, studying at the Faculty of Sports Sciences of Uşak University.

2.3 Data Collection Tools

As a data collection tool; Personal Information Form and Problem Solving Skills Scale were used.

2.4 Personal Information Form

In obtaining the data; regarding the demographic characteristics of the students; The “Personal Information Form” prepared by the researcher, including gender, age, income status of students, educational status of parents, monthly income levels of students, high school information where the student graduated, was used.

2.5 Problem Solving Skills Scale

Data related to problem solving skills; “Problem Solving Inventory, Form-A (PSI-A)” developed by Heppner and Petersen (1982) and adapted to Turkish, was collected using the “Problem Solving Inventory (PSI)” by Şahin, Şahin and Heppner (1993). Inventory, which measures the self-perception of the individual about problem solving skills, is a likert type scale with a total of 35 items scored between 1 and 6. In responding to this, the individual marks how often each item behaves as for those items. The 6-point Likert response options of the inventory are: “I always behave like this”, “I mostly behave like this”, “I often behave like this “, “I sometimes behave like this”, “I rarely behave like this” and “I never behave like this”. Some of the items are positive and some of them are negative. The scale gives scores for total scores and subscales. The answers given, are scored between the points 1 and 6. Items 9, 22 and 29 are excluded from the scoring. Scoring is done on 32 items. Items; 1, 2, 3, 4, 11, 13, 14, 15, 17, 21, 25, 26, 30 ve 34 are scored reversely. The range of points that can be taken from the inventory is between 32 and 192. In the reduction of the total scores obtained from the scale, it is accepted that the person’s perception of problem solving skill is positive.

While scoring the sub-scales (Mindful Problem Solving Approach, Self-confident Problem Solving Approach, Evaluative Problem Solving Approach, Planned Problem Solving Approach), which measure the problem-solving approaches that can be described as positive-desired, decreasing the scores, it is evaluated that the related approach styles are used more; as the scores obtained from the sub-scales (Impatient Problem Solving Approach and Avoidance Problem Solving Approach), which measure problem solving approaches that can be described as negative-ineffective, decrease, the related approach styles are used less. (Ferah, 2000).

3. Data Analysis

| Tests of Normality | Kolmogorov-Smirnov* | Shapiro-Wilk |
|--------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| Statistic          | Df                  | Sig.         | Statistic | Df | Sig. |
| Toplam puan        | .041                | 290          | .200      | .996 | 290 | .602 |

* This is a lower bound of the true significance; a. Lilliefors Significance Correction
According to the normality test results to determine the appropriate analysis method for the data, the p-value for the problem solving scale was greater than 0.05. The total scores of the problem solving scale match the normal distribution. For this reason, while investigating the significant differences, the parametric tests; t-test and ANOVA were used.

4. Findings

When the participants were examined in terms of demographic characteristics, the following findings were reached.

Table 2. The results of the t-test between the total scores of the problem solving skills according to the gender variable of the university students of sports sciences

| Problem Solving | Gender | N   | X     | SS     | T     | P  |
|-----------------|--------|-----|-------|--------|-------|----|
| Total Score     | Male   | 205 | 105.6341 | 10.78433 | .061  | .952 |
|                 | Female | 85  | 105.5529 | 9.31398  |       |     |

When the table is analyzed, no significant difference was found between the gender variable of sports science university students and their total problem-solving skills (p>0.05).

Table 3. The results of the t-test between total scores of problem solving skills according to the age variable of sports sciences university students

| Problem Solving | Age    | N   | X     | SS     | T     | P  |
|-----------------|--------|-----|-------|--------|-------|----|
| Total Score     | 18-23  | 271 | 105.2878 | 10.28007 | -1.949 | .065 |
|                 | 24-29  | 19  | 110.2105 | 10.66968  |       |     |

When the table is examined, no significant difference was found between the age variable of the sports science university students and their total problem solving skills (p>0.05).

Table 4. ANOVA test results between sports sciences university students’ monthly income levels variable and problem solving skills total score

| Problem Solving | Monthly Income Levels | N   | X     | SS     | F     | P  |
|-----------------|-----------------------|-----|-------|--------|-------|----|
| Total Score     | 1404 and less         | 261 | 105.3946 | 10.27363 |       |     |
|                 | 1405-2500             | 21  | 108.7143 | 12.25211  |       |     |
|                 | 2501-3500             | 8   | 104.5000 | 7.01020  |       |     |
|                 | Total                 | 290 | 105.6103 | 10.35912  | 1.046 | .353 |

When the table is analyzed, there is no significant difference between the monthly income variable of sports science university students and their total problem solving skills (p>0.05).

Table 5. ANOVA test results between the family monthly income levels variable of the students of sports sciences and their problem solving skills total score

| Problem Solving | Family Monthly Income | N   | X     | SS     | F     | P  |
|-----------------|-----------------------|-----|-------|--------|-------|----|
| Total Score     | 1404 and less         | 75  | 104.7200 | 10.62577 |       |     |
|                 | 1405-2500             | 91  | 104.8791 | 10.15528  |       |     |
|                 | 2501-3500             | 74  | 107.4189 | 10.92817  |       |     |
|                 | 3501-4500             | 31  | 107.1935 | 8.65417   |       |     |
|                 | 4501 and more         | 19  | 103.0000 | 10.14889  |       |     |
|                 | Total                 | 290 | 105.6103 | 10.35912  | 1.304 | .269 |

When the table is analyzed, no significant difference was found between the family monthly income variable of sport science university students and their total problem solving skills (p>0.05).
Table 6. ANOVA test results between father education variable and problem solving skills total score of sports sciences university students

| Problem Solving | Education of father | N   | X     | SS    | F     | P     |
|-----------------|---------------------|-----|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Total Score     | Illiterate          | 26  | 106.153 | 11.302 | .343  | .849  |
|                 | Primary school      | 115 | 105.000 | 10.602 |       |       |
|                 | Secondary school    | 62  | 106.790 | 10.273 | .343  | .849  |
|                 | High school         | 62  | 105.612 | 9.913  |       |       |
|                 | University/ undergraduate | 25 | 104.920 | 10.049 |       |       |
| Total           |                      | 290 | 105.610 | 10.359 |       |       |

When the table is analyzed, no significant difference was found between the father education variable of sports science university students and their total scores of problem-solving skills (p>0.05).

Table 7. ANOVA test results between mother education variable and problem solving skills total score of sports sciences university students

| Problem Solving | Education of Mother | N   | X     | SS    | F     | P     |
|-----------------|---------------------|-----|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Total Score     | Illiterate          | 76  | 104.815 | 10.844 | .249  | .910  |
|                 | Primary school      | 127 | 105.543 | 10.378 |       |       |
|                 | Secondary school    | 54  | 106.222 | 10.571 |       |       |
|                 | High school         | 25  | 106.640 | 8.509  | .249  | .910  |
|                 | University/ undergraduate | 8  | 106.875 | 11.038 |       |       |
| Total           |                      | 290 | 105.610 | 10.359 |       |       |

When the table is analyzed, no significant difference was found between the mother education variable of sports science university students and their total scores of problem solving skills (p>0.05).

Table 8. ANOVA test results between the region variable where sports sciences university students live and their problem solving skills total score

| Problem Solving | The Region Where Sports Sciences University Students Live | N   | X     | SS    | F     | P     |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------|-----|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Total Score     | The Marmara Region                                      | 40  | 106.775 | 10.299 |       |       |
|                 | Eagen Region                                             | 82  | 105.768 | 10.530 |       |       |
|                 | Central Anatolian Region                                 | 26  | 105.846 | 8.244  |       |       |
|                 | Black Sea region                                         | 28  | 107.464 | 11.133 |       |       |
|                 | Mediterranean Region                                      | 30  | 105.866 | 10.441 | .545  | .774  |
|                 | Eastern Anatolian Region                                 | 28  | 104.642 | 11.807 |       |       |
|                 | Southeast Anatolian Region                               | 56  | 103.857 | 10.060 |       |       |
| Total           |                                                        | 290 | 105.610 | 10.359 |       |       |

When the table is analyzed, no significant difference was found between the region variable where the students of sports sciences lived and their problem solving skills total score (p>0.05).

Table 9. ANOVA test results between high school variable graduated by sports science university students and problem solving skills total score

| Problem Solving | graduated high school | N   | X     | SS    | F     | P     |
|-----------------|-----------------------|-----|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Total Score     | high school           | 199 | 105.738 | 10.214 |       |       |
|                 | vocational high school | 53  | 105.886 | 10.962 | .231  | .794  |
|                 | sports high school    | 38  | 104.552 | 10.469 |       |       |
| Total           |                       | 290 | 105.610 | 10.359 |       |       |

When the table is analyzed, no significant difference was found between the high school variable graduated by
sports science university students and the total score of problem solving skills (p>0.05).

5. Discussion and Conclusion

The aim of the study is to examine the problem-solving skills of the sports science students studying at the university.

No significant difference was found between the gender variable and total problem solving skills of students in the faculty of sports sciences (p>0.05). Pulur et al. (2012), Taylan (1990), Basmacı (1998) did not find a significant difference between their problem solving skills and their gender. It supports the studies and researches we have done. As a result of the research; since problem solving does not affect both genders (male and female), it can be considered that there is no difference.

No significant difference was found between the age variable and total problem solving skills of students in the faculty of sports sciences (p>0.05). Demir et al. (2007), Yılmaz et al. (2009) found a similar finding in their study to this study, and it was found that age was not effective on problem solving skills. There was no significant difference between the monthly income variable of students in the faculty of sports sciences and their problem solving skills total score (p>0.05). Türkçapar (2009) found a similar finding in his study and it was found that monthly income was not effective on problem solving skills. We think that the income level does not affect problem solving skill. No significant difference was found between the monthly income variable of the family and the problem solving skills total score of the students in the faculty of sports sciences (p>0.05). Çağlayan et al. (2008) found a similar finding in their study to this study, and it was found that the family’s monthly income was not effective on problem solving skills. It is thought that they can solve the problems they face more easily regardless of the monthly income level of the family.

No significant difference was found between father education variable and problem solving skills total score of students in faculty of sports sciences (p>0.05). Güzel (2004), Çağlayan et al. (2008) found a similar finding in their studies, and it was found that father’s education was not effective on problem solving skills. It is thought that fathers can easily solve the problems they encounter at any level of education. No significant difference was found between the mother education variable and problem solving skills total score of students in the faculty of sports sciences (p>0.05). Çağlayan et al. (2008), Türkmen and Iflazoğlu (2000) found a similar finding in their studies to this study and found that mother’s education was not effective on problem solving skills. It is thought that mothers can solve the problems they encounter more easily, regardless of their educational level. No significant difference was found between the region variable where students in the faculty of sports sciences live and problem solving skills total score (p>0.05). Yılmaz et al. (2009), Yurttaş and Yetkin (2003) reached a similar finding in their studies related to this study, and it was found that the region where the students live did not have an impact on problem solving skills. It is thought that they can solve the problems they encounter more easily as a result of technological developments.

No significant difference was found between the high school variable graduated by the students in the sports sciences faculty and the problem solving skills (p<0.05). Çelik and Yurdakul (2009), Gemlik and Sur (2004), Kelleci and Gölbasi (2004), Erdem (1995) found a similar finding in their studies, and it was determined that the high school variable that students graduated did not have an effect on problem solving skills. Although they graduate from different schools, it is thought that they can solve the problems they encounter more easily as a result of the developments in education. It was observed that individuals with self-concept (ego) had a lower level of making mistakes and exhibiting aggressive attitude (Yiğit, Yılmaz, Acar, & Dalbudak, 2019).

As a result, it has been determined that the age, gender, income level of the students, the income level of the family, the education level of the parents, the high school where the student graduates, the region where the students live do not affect the problem-solving skills of the students. It is recommended to conduct research into larger groups and different faculties in order to identify students’ problem solving skills and the different factors affecting these skills.
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