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Abstract: Chemoselectivities of five experimentally realised CpRuCl(PPh₃)₂/Mel-catalysed couplings of 7-azabenzonorbornadienes with selected alkynes were successfully resolved from multiple reaction pathway models. Density functional theory calculations showed the following mechanistic succession to be energetically plausible: (1) CpRuI catalyst activation; (2) formation of crucial metallacyclopentene intermediate; (3) cyclobutene product formation (P2) elimination (ΔGₑ[∆G] = 11.9–17.6 kcal mol⁻¹). Alternative formation of dihydrobenzodiazepine products (P1) by isomerisation to azametalla-cyclohexene followed by subsequent CpRuI release was much less favourable (ΔGₑ[ΔG] = 26.5–29.8 kcal mol⁻¹). Emergent stereoselectivities were in close agreement with experimental results for reactions a, b, e. Consequent investigations employing dispersion corrections similarly support the empirical findings of P1 dominating in reactions c and d through P2→P1 product transformations as being probable (ΔG ≈ 25.3–30.1 kcal mol⁻¹).

Introduction

Norbornadienes (NBD) and oxo- or azanorbornadienes are excellent synthons for preparation of various annulations such as dihydrobenz[g]indoles,[1] cyclobutenes,[2] deltacyclopentenes,[3] benzonorbornanes,[4] polynorbornadienes,[5] epoxynaphthalenes,[6] dihydronaphtalenes,[7] diamines,[8] etc., through ring-openings and reactions with substituted alkynes,[1–3] arenes,[4] silylacylenes[6] and amines.[8] Ru-catalysed ring-opening of such oxo- or aza-norbornadienes show perfect substrate tolerance with moderate to high yields and good to excellent chemo-, regio- and/or stereoselectivities.[9]

With aims of improving reaction efficiency, Tenaglia and Giordano established a novel reaction system in 2003 comprising of norbornadiene with alkynes mediated by a CpRuCl(PPh₃)₂/Mel catalyst system (Cp = cyclopentadienyl). The addition of Mel effectively precludes the [2+2+2] cycloaddition product (P3, Scheme 1) and significantly shortens reaction times (6 days → 8 h), generating cyclobutene derivatives (P2) in moderate yields (~ 51%).[10] This facilitated preparation of numerous benzonorcaradienes[11] and benzoindoles[12] from oxo- or azabenzenorbornadienes, using the CpRuCl(PPh₃)₂/Mel catalyst system. Reaction of oxabenzenorbornadiene with alkynes initiated by CpRuCl(PPh₃)₂/Mel in dioxide catalytically and selectively generates benzonorcaradienes (P4) as the major product,[11] whereas reaction of 7-azabenzenorbornadienes (R1) with alkynes (R2) offer chemoselective routes to 3a,9b-dihydrobenzoinoiles (P1, Scheme 1) or cyclobutene derivatives (P2).[12]

To resolve the bases for the observed stereoselectivities, we initiated a series of density functional theory (DFT) calculations. A putative mechanism involving the following general steps was formulated: (1) catalyst activation [CpRuCl(PPh₃)₂ + Mel → CpRuCl]; (2) complex formation of a distinct metallacyclopentene intermediate from 7-azabenzenorbornadienes and alkynes with CpRuCl; and (3) cyclobutene product formation (P2) by CpRuCl elimination. Differing reactive orientations and conformations lead to the chemical transformations following multiple pathways, the details of which are provided in each section of the Results and Discussion and in the relevant figures. An additional pathway involving P2→P1 product isomerisation was also addressed to help resolve experimental observations.

Results and Discussion

Results emerging from all calculations on reactions a–e (Scheme 1), are presented in principal sections 3.1 Reaction Mechanisms and 3.2 Chemoselectivities. For clarity of dis-
semination, these are further partitioned into the following sub-sections: 3.1.1 In situ catalyst (CpRuI) formation; 3.1.2 Mechanistic specificities: Symmetrical alkyynes; 3.1.3 Mechanistic specificities: Unsymmetrical alkyynes; 3.2.1 Chemoselectivities of reaction a; 3.2.2 Chemoselectivities of reaction b; 3.2.3 Chemoselectivities of reaction c; 3.2.4 Chemoselectivities of reactions d and e. The influence of theoretical methods and basis sets are reported in Section 3.3 (Influences of computational method).

3.1 Reaction mechanisms
3.1.1 In situ catalyst (CpRuI) formation

Preliminary calculations using the B3LYP method were performed to explore the in situ (dioxane, 323 K) generation of CpRuI (CAT3) from pre-catalysts CpRuCl(PPh3)2 and Mel. Corresponding mechanisms and free energy results are summarised in Figure 1. Three pathways (Path 1–3) were performed for the elimination of the two PPh3 ligands to form the CpRuCl_Mel complexes (COM1_a). Path 1 involves preliminary dissociation of the PPh3 groups to form CpRuCl (CAT2), releasing 0.1 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) free energy. This is followed by subsequent association of Mel (CpRuCl + Mel — CpRuCl_Mel), tested both in the absence (Path 1a) and presence (Path 1b) of an explicit dioxane solvent molecule, exothermically forming COM1_a (\(\Delta G_{\text{rel}} = -8.4\) kcal mol\(^{-1}\)) and COM1_b (\(\Delta G_{\text{rel}} = -3.9\) kcal mol\(^{-1}\)), respectively. Paths 2 and 3 comprise concerted and barrierless formations of COM1_a and COM1_b in the absence (CpRuCl(PPh3)2 + Mel — CpRuCl_Mel + 2PPh3) and presence (CpRuCl(PPh3)2 + Mel + dioxane — CpRuCl_Mel[dioxane] + 2PPh3), respectively, of an explicit dioxane solvent molecule. The latter, 4-coordinated solvent-associated Ru is 4.5 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) less favourable in free energy than the 3-coordinated solvent-free species. The destabilising effect of the explicit solvent molecule persists during the subsequent formation of CpRuI (CAT3, \(\Delta G_{\text{rel}} = -4.4\) kcal mol\(^{-1}\)) via TS1_a (\(\Delta G_{\text{rel}} = +25.3\) kcal mol\(^{-1}\), TS = transition state) and TS1_b (\(\Delta G_{\text{rel}} = +27.6\) kcal mol\(^{-1}\)) and the post-reaction complexes COM2_a (\(\Delta G_{\text{rel}} = -9.4\) kcal mol\(^{-1}\)) and COM2_b (\(\Delta G_{\text{rel}} = -6.5\) kcal mol\(^{-1}\)), followed by elimination of MeCl. The solvent-associated path 3 lowers spontaneity by an average –3.2 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) (\(\Delta G_{\text{rel}} = [4.5, 2.3, 2.9]/3\)). Thus, it is concluded that a dioxane molecule is never directly bound to Ru during the formation of CpRuI (CAT3).

Figure 1. Energetically plausible mechanisms of CpRuI (CAT3) formation from CpRuCl(PPh3)2 and Mel. Relative free energies (kcal mol\(^{-1}\)) are obtained at IDSCRF-B3LYP/6-311G level in dioxane solution, at the lowest experimental temperature of 323 K.

The proposed formation of CpRuI(PPh3)2 (CAT4) from CpRuCl(PPh3)2 and MeO\(^{10-12}\) was deemed unlikely due to the associated high free energy barriers, persisting even at 323 K (\(\Delta G_{\text{rel}} = +87.1\) kcal mol\(^{-1}\), Figure S1(a) in the Supporting Information). Exhaustive attempts involving differing constitutional positioning and conformation torsioning of all molecular species failed to identify more energetically favourable direct routes to CAT4. We therefore speculate that the CpRuI(PPh3)2 species observed by X-ray is formed along Path 2 by association of two PPh3 groups with CpRuI (CAT3). This is evidenced as being plausible due to the overall exothermicity of CAT4 generation (\(\Delta G_{\text{rel}} = -1.5\) kcal mol\(^{-1}\), Figure S1(b)).

3.1.2 Mechanistic specificities: Symmetrical alkyynes

Mechanistic structural details and resultant spontaneous for reaction a are summarised in Scheme 2 and Figure 2, respectively. Therein, two differing pathways (Paths I and II) were explored in the competitive generation of dihydrobenzoinolides (P1) and cyclobutenes (P2) from 7-azabenzenorbornadiene (R1a) and 3-hexyne (R2a). Paths I and II differ by disparate orienta- tions of Cp and I groups with respect to the Ru ring plane (Ru-C1-C2-C3-C4, Scheme 2), and competitive sub-pathways to P1 and P2 are denoted by I-1, I-2, II-1, II-2, respectively. Overall, Path I is more spontaneous, with a tendency for the Cp ligand to remain above the Ru ring plane (TS2a =
18.3 kcal mol\(^{-1}\)), preferred by 3.6 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) over the sub-plane orientation (TS6a = 21.9 kcal mol\(^{-1}\)). This generates Ru-cyclopentene intermediates (INT1 and INT4) that subsequently isomerize to INT2 and INT5 by Ru–N complexation. This is followed by C5–N6 bond breaking via TS4a and TS8a, with barriers of 26.5 and 27.5 kcal mol\(^{-1}\), respectively to form ruthenium-cyclohexene intermediates (INT3 and INT6). This is the rate-determining step (RDS) for pathways I-2 and II-2, and overall, it serves to form the cyclohexene moiety in the benzoindole product (P1).

Alternatively, at INT1 and INT4, the reaction may pursue cyclobutene generation through reductive elimination to produce dihydrobenzoindole, via TS5a and TS7a (affording P2) with barriers of 15.8 and 13.8 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) for paths I-1 and II-1, respectively. Hence, P2 formation is 10.7 and 13.7 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) more spontaneous than P1 formation, on paths I and II respectively. This is a reasonable explanation for why 63% of P2a has been isolated experimentally.

Supplementary calculations involving exhaustive attempts to identify possible transition structures and reaction paths to P1a and P2a formation via intermediate III (Scheme 1) were all unsuccessful. Searches did afford two structures arising from cleavage of a single C–N linkage in R1a (TS10a and TS10ax), but were prohibitive at 27.2 and 33.4 kcal mol\(^{-1}\), respectively (Figure S2 in the Supporting Information). Similar product routes arose for reactions b–e, raising the applicability of potential routes for future experimental explorations, and are thus discussed below.

### 3.1.3 Mechanistic specificities: Unsymmetrical alkynes

Asymmetric substitution of the alkyne results in an additional splitting of the four paths described in reaction a for a symmetric alkyne. This forms an octet of paths to be investigated in the competitive generation of P1b, P2b and P3b (I-1, I-2, II-1, II-2, III-1, III-2, IV-1, IV-2). From the outset, Path I-1 dominates firstly in the generation of ruthenium-cyclopentene intermediate (TS2b = 14.6 kcal mol\(^{-1}\)) and subsequently in reductive elimination (Figure 3). Mechanistic dimensionality is immediately reduced through preclusion of the latter two paths (IV-1 and IV-2) involving C4–C2 binding (TS6b–n, Figure 3 and Scheme S1 in the Supporting Information), due to their being 2.2 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) less spontaneous than C3–C1 binding (TS2b, Figure 3 and Scheme S1).

Although formation of INT1b–n and INT1b are competitive due to near-identical barriers of TS2b–n (14.5 kcal mol\(^{-1}\)) and TS2b (14.6 kcal mol\(^{-1}\)), path I-1 is more spontaneous overall, with a maximal barrier of 16.9 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) at reductive elimination (TS3b). This TS3b is 1.1 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) thermodynamically more favourable than TS2b–n (Figure 3 and Scheme S1).
more favourable than the corresponding TS3 b–n on path II-1. Thus, path I-1 dominates in the generation of P2 b and is in good thermodynamic agreement with experimental yields of 98%. This differs from Tam’s conclusions for dominance of a pathway similar to path IV-1, based on gas-phase computations using the inferior LANL2DZ basis set, involving a static general potential to describe all core electrons.[14]

3.2 Chemoselectivities

3.2.1 Chemoselectivities of reaction a

To resolve the structural bases for the observed chemoselectivities and corresponding energetics, key structures and their corresponding Wiberg bond indices (WBI) along P2 a and P2 b formation pathways for reactions a and b are presented in Figures 4 and 5, respectively. The reduced spontaneity of surmounting the TS6 a relative to TS5 a barriers, detailed in section 3.1.2, renders the contribution of path II-1 to P2 a production negligible and is attributed to a later transition state. This is evidenced by both the shorter (cat-complex) C1–C4 (product) bond length (2.04 vs. 2.14 Å) and bigger Wiberg bond index (WBI) (i.e., stronger bonding, as per WBI ≈ 0.454 vs. 0.406) of TS6 a, relative to those of TS5 a. The inverse is observed in the subsequent step, in which the earlier transition structure of TS5 a raises its free energy by 1.3 kcal mol⁻¹ relative to that of TS3 a, further hampering P2 a formation along path II-1. This is evidenced by the longer (2.28 vs. 2.03 Å) and correspondingly weaker (cat-complex) C2–C4 (product) bond (i.e., smaller WBI ≈ 0.318 vs. 0.491), relative to that in TS3 a.

Crowding around Ru by the Cp, Et and CO₂Me groups is alleviated by transfer of electronic density to the I atom, facilitating its departure. This is evidenced by a natural bond order (NBO) change of −0.911 e and the decreasing WBI of the Ru–I linkage at the INT1 a → TS4 a step (0.780 → 0.318) (see Figure S3 in the Supporting Information). This step is 10.7 kcal mol⁻¹ less spontaneous than the path via TS3 a, effectively making path I-2 (thus P1 a production) improbable in this manner. Similarly, P1 a production by path II-2 is less probable than its corresponding path II-1, with the INT5 a → TS8 a RDS step for the former being 13.7 kcal mol⁻¹ less spontaneous than the RDS of the latter with INT4 a → TS7 a. These trends support our proposal for path I-1 dominance in the observed 63% yield of P2 a at 363 K (Scheme 1).

3.2.2 Chemoselectivities of reaction b

For reaction b, similar structure-spontaneity trends to those in reaction a support the observed predominance for P2 b formation, with predominance of path I-1 and additional contributions from path III-1, since the free energy barrier of TS3 b–n (16.4 kcal mol⁻¹) is competitive with that of TS3 b. Paths II-1 and IV-1 are avoided due to their higher barriers at TS6 b and TS6 b–n, effectively slowing down reactions along these paths by 1.5×10⁵ and 28 times, respectively, with respect to path I-1 (kinetic calculations detailed in Figures 3 and S4 in the Supporting Information). The 7.9 kcal mol⁻¹ reduction in spontaneity of TS6 b, relative to TS2 b, arises from its later transition structure; this is evidenced by its contracted (cat-complex) C1–C4 (product) linkage (2.07 Å vs. 2.13 Å) and correspondingly larger WBI (0.452 vs. 0.416). The situation is similar for TS6 b–n and TS2 b–n, wherein the latter has an extended (cat-complex) C2–C4 (product) bond length (2.00 Å vs. 2.21 Å) and correspondingly larger WBI (0.350 vs. 0.416) (Figure 5).

Confidence in the spontaneity-bonding-Wiberg trends and the crucial product stoichiometry role of the atomic cohesion of C1–C3 and C2–C4 linkages is further procured by an identi-
3.2.3 Chemoselectivities of reaction c

Results for reaction c are presented in Figure S5 (Supporting Information). Path II is avoided due the reduced spontaneity of TS6c relative to that of TS2c along path I (9.6 vs. 2.8 kcal mol$^{-1}$). An 88.0% yield of P1c was observed experimentally (Scheme 1), without any P2c formed; however, computations predict P2c dominance if only paths of types I and II are considered, due to avoidance of TS4c (path I-2, 27.3 kcal mol$^{-1}$) and a 9.7 kcal mol$^{-1}$ free energy preference for TS3c (path I-1, 17.6 kcal mol$^{-1}$). This highlights the importance of alternative path calculations.

The overwhelming thermodynamic stability of P1c (~60.2 kcal mol$^{-1}$), relative to that of P2c (~35.1 kcal mol$^{-1}$), hints at the possibility of a kinetic—thermodynamic product transformation pathway. A novel type of path (V) involving the CprRuI catalyst oxidatively inserting into a C–N bond on the P2c product, was thus tested to rectify this experimental—computational discord (Scheme 3 and Figure S6 in the Supporting Information). Differing constitutional orientations of Cp and I ligands divides the path into two differing channels (V-1 and V-2). The initial oxidative insertion step is locally demanding at 41.0 and 40.8 kcal mol$^{-1}$, for TS11c and TS13c, respectively. However, these barriers are globally surmountable due to sufficient energy remaining in the reaction ensemble relative to the original starting materials; the transformations are +5.9 and +5.7 kcal mol$^{-1}$, relative to the starting materials, respectively. Subsequently, the ($\text{c}_{\text{tr-complex}}$–2–C4$_{\text{product}}$) bond is cleaved at TS12c and TS14c, [31.5 and 10.9 kcal mol$^{-1}$, respectively], the latter providing a possible route to P1c dominance, although the oxidative insertion remains prohibitive.

Further examination of the structures along these pathways revealed weak O–H–O and O–H–I interactions, which are specific to reaction c (Figure S7 in the Supporting Information) and are poorly described by the B3LYP method.$^{[15]}$ Subsequent calculations employing the dispersion-corrective B3LYP + D3 method rendered the oxidative insertion barriers to be manageable values of 25.7 (TS11c) and 25.3 kcal mol$^{-1}$ (TS13c). More encouraging was the reduction of the TS14c barrier to 15.1 kcal mol$^{-1}$, allowing the C2–C4 linkage to be easily cleaved at 323 K and support P1c dominance (Figure 6). Once again a spontaneity-Wiberg correlation is apparent, with the O8–H–O9 and O9–H–I8 connections in TS11c and TS13c having near-identical WBI values of 0.053 versus 0.057. This indicates that the barrier lowering in the latter TS arises from elsewhere in the transition structures. Indeed, the WBI of the C5–H–I interaction in TS11c (0.033), is half that of the O8–H–I link in TS13c (0.071); this relatively strong hydrogen-halide interaction is responsible for the barrier elevation. Similarly, TS14c has an advantage with the O8–H–O9 and O9–H–I intra-molecular interactions, helping effect its observed spontaneity and manageable barrier at the B3LYP + D3 level.

Overall, the B3LYP + D3 results recover the experimental-computational agreement, through its resolution of the product stereoselectivity (two bridged cis/hydrobenzoindoles (P1) in reactions c and d, under the help of CprRu, as determined at the IDSCRF-B3LYP/BS1 level in dioxane solvent.
agreement with experimental observations of 42% and 97%, respectively (Scheme 1). P1d emerges from path I-1 generation of P2d and eventual P2d — P1d conversion along a type V pathway, whereas P1e forms along a I-1 path only. Complete free energy profiles for reactions d and e are presented in Figures S8, S9, S10 and S11 (Supporting Information). Key steps of these transformations are compared in Figure 7, right side, against their matching transitions in reactions a–c. The RDSs on path type V for reactions d and e emerge as TS13d and TS13e, mediated by barriers of + 30.1 and + 38.1 kcal mol\(^{-1}\), respectively. The former supporting the 42% P1d product yield experimentally observed at 333 K over 19 h, the latter effectively blocking P1e generation.

3.3 Influence of computational methods

In determining the probability of P2a(b, e) — P1a(b, e) transformation, by paths V-1 or V-2, and to more fully explore the influence of the method on relative free energies, calculations employing both B3LYP and B3LYP + D3 functionals were carried out for reactions a and b. The results in dioxane solvent are summarised in Tables 1, 2 and S1. Corresponding results for reactions c–e are also listed for sake of comparison. It is clear that path V-1 is more difficult to overcome than V-2 in all five reactions, featured by higher free energy barriers ranging from 37.6 to 43.0 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) at the IDSCRF-B3LYP + D3/BS1 computational level and even higher free energy barriers at the IDSCRF-B3LYP/BS1 computational level (ranging from 55.0 to 63.8 kcal mol\(^{-1}\)). When the B3LYP method is employed, the activation free energy barriers corresponding to RDS in path V-2 (TS13) is predicted to be 48.7, 53.4, 40.8, 45.2, and 56.1 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) respectively for reactions a–e, suggesting no detectable transformation from P2 to P1 and this does not support the predominant formation of P1c and P1d.

The B3LYP + D3 method does generate reduced RDS (TS13) free energy barriers of 34.5, 36.7, 25.3, 30.1 and 38.1 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) for reactions a–e, respectively, aligning well with the experimentally observed yields of P1. For reactions b and e, their corresponding half-lives of 4.880 \times 10^8 h (ca. 5571 years) and 4.048 \times 10^8 h (ca. 46210 years) nullify any corresponding P2 Æ P1 conversion via TS13; in agreement with experimental data. For reaction a, its lower TS13 barrier is perhaps surmountable under the reaction conditions, providing a route to the –5% isolated yield of P1a. For H-bound systems (c and d), these RDS free energy reductions correspond to representative half-lives of 5.42 and 2.275 \times 10^3 h (ca. 3 months) and their experimentally observed chemoselectivities of 88% and 42%, respectively. The latter time of 3 months is within one order of magnitude (ca. 1.50 kcal mol\(^{-1}\)) of the experimental reaction times of 6 days. Thus, P2 Æ P1 through path V-2 seems possible for reactions a, probable for reaction c and, at least to some extent, also so for reaction d. In summary, it is deemed necessary to include dispersion correction on the B3LYP method for systems demonstrating weak interactions.\(^{15–16}\)

### Conclusion

Density functional theory (DFT) calculations employing the IDSCRF-B3LYP and IDSCRF-B3LYP + D3 methods, with 2 differing basis sets, have been performed to probe the experimentally observed chemoselectivities of five \(\text{CpRuCl(PPh}_3\text{)}_2/\text{Mel cat-}

---

**Table 1.** Relative free energies (kcal mol\(^{-1}\)) of stationary points on path V-2 for reactions a–e, obtained at the IDSCRF-B3LYP + D3/BS1 level in dioxane solvent, at experimental temperatures.\(^{[a]}\)

| Reaction step | Reaction (temperature) | a (363 K) | b (333 K) | c (323 K) | d (333 K) | e (333 K) |
|---------------|------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| P2 + CAT3     | 0.0                    | 0.0       | 0.0       | 0.0       | 0.0       |
| TS13          | 34.5                   | 36.7      | 25.3      | 30.1      | 38.1      |
| INT10         | 9.2                    | 9.7       | 2.7       | 7.1       | 12.2      |
| TS14          | 25.2                   | 32.2      | 17.8      | 20.2      | 31.0      |
| INT11         | 14.3                   | 18.4      | 12.3      | 12.7      | 21.6      |
| INT6          | 10.3                   | 5.5       | -3.9      | 1.4       | 8.2       |
| TS9           | 16.1                   | 28.6      | 7.8       | 11.1      | 20.0      |
| P1 + CAT3     | -23.6                  | -17.7     | -27.9     | -26.9     | -19.6     |
| \(k\)         | 1.282 \times 10^4      | 5.692 \times 10^{-12} | 5.125 \times 10^{-4} | 1.221 \times 10^{-7} | 6.862 \times 10^{-15} |
| \(t_{1/2}\)    | 2.167 \times 10^4      | 4.880 \times 10^3    | 0.542 \times 10^1    | 2.275 \times 10^3    | 4.048 \times 10^0    |

\(^{[a]}\) Rates (k, \(\text{mol}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}\)) and half-lives (\(t_{1/2}\), h) were determined from the free energy barriers of the RDS (TS13), at the IDSCRF-B3LYP + D3/BS1 level.
alyzed cycloaddition reactions. The following conclusions can be drawn:

(1) Multiple reaction pathways emerging from differing constitutional arrangements and conformations must be characterised in order to reproduce experimentally observed stoichiometries. Characterisation of the contributions of each pathway to (or justifying their exclusions from) the overall reaction path-ensembles is crucial for raising confidence levels of the interpretation of the observed chemoselectivities.

(2) The catalytic cycle begins with direct formation of CpRuI from CpRuCl(PPPh)3 and Mel precursors, then generation of a crucial five-membered metallacyclopentene (INT1) with free energy barriers of 18.3 and 20.8 kcal mol−1 for reactions a and e, respectively. INT1 generation serves as the RDS in the production of cyclobutene products in reactions a and e. Reductive elimination, with a barrier of 16.9 kcal mol−1, serves as the RDS for reaction b. In these three reactions, the competing pathways to dihydrobenzoinodole production via TS4 are effectively blocked by barriers of 26.5, 29.8 and 27.6 kcal mol−1 for reactions a, b and e, respectively.

(3) Cyclobutene products in reactions c and d isomerise to the competing dihydrobenzoinodole products through the cleavage of a C–N bond in 7-azabenzonorbornadienes. This serves as the RDS in these processes, with barriers of 25.3 (c) and 30.1 kcal mol−1 (d).

(4) Poorer agreement of chemoselectivities emerge from the B3LYP method for systems displaying contingent H-bonding (i.e., reactions c,d), although the results are structurally informative and afford qualitative energetic ordering of reaction steps. To recover experimentally observed chemoselectivities, methods incorporating dispersion corrections, such as within B3LYP + D3, should be employed.

Our work has depicted the importance of manifold mechanisms to accurately and reproducibly resolve experimental chemoselectivities of azabenzonorbornadienes and alkynes. This work has led to complementary explorations of reactive regioselectivities of unsymmetrical alkynes, as well as the dia-stereoselective formation of dihydrobenzoinodoles in related systems.

### Computational Methods

All models involved the full-sized systems (i.e., no truncations) to accurately represent the real chemical transformations under investigation. Stable structures along the mechanistic profiles were initially optimised, their identities verified and relative free energies determined in solvent (see below) using the B3LYP method, as implemented in Gaussian 09 Program Package (G09),19 employing a basis set labelled ‘BS1’ for convenience. BS1 employs the 6-31G(d,p) Pople basis set for C, H, O, Na atoms and the standard double-ζ valence polarized (DZVP) all-electron basis set for the Ru atom.19 For the I atom, diffuse 1s, 1p and 1d functions, taken from the aug-cc-pVTZ-PP basis set,20 have been added to the standard 6-311G(d) basis set.21 A second basis set combination, labelled ‘BS2’, differing from BS1 only in its use of the 6-311++G(d,p) Pople basis set for C, H, O, N atoms, was also employed for selected computations. Experimental solvent effects (dioxane, ε = 2.21), were addressed using the default self-consistent reaction field (SCRF) polarisable continuum model (PCM),22 employing IDSCRF atomic radii21 to define the molecular cavity; denoted IDSCRF-B3LYP.

All free energies reported throughout the work have been corrected to include translation–entropy contributions in the condensed phase (Strans) using the THERMO method24 towards avoiding the pitfalls associated with default gas-phase calculations of Strans originating from Strans. Intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC)25 calculations were carried out on selected reaction pathways to confirm key transition states (TSs) and connect two corresponding adjacent minima. Furthermore, the dispersion-corrected DFT-D24 method (denoted IDSCRF-B3LYP + D3) was chosen to characterise selected stationary points and reaction channels when necessary. NBO analyses, as implemented in G09, was also performed on selected stationary points at IDSCRF-B3LYP/BS2/BS1 or IDSCRF-B3LYP + D3/BS2/BS1 level, to investigate their electronic properties and bonding characteristics.

To dispel the spectres of methodological uncertainty and anomaly in the B3LYP results, single-point energies using the more modern M062X, X3LYP and CAM-B3LYP functionals, as well as MP2 and B2PLYP methods were carried out on selected paths in reaction a; these are presented in Table S2 in the Supporting Information. For concision, only B3LYP or B3LYP + D3 results are discussed in the text.
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### Table 2. Relative free energies (kcal mol−1) of stationary points on path V-2 for reactions a–e, obtained at the IDSCRF-B3LYP/BS1 level in dioxane solvent, at experimental temperatures.

| Reaction step | Reaction | a (363 K) | b (333 K) | c (323 K) | d (333 K) | e (333 K) |
|---------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| P2 + CAT3     |          | 0.0       | 0.0       | 0.0       | 0.0       | 0.0       |
| TS13          |          | 48.7      | 53.4      | 40.8      | 45.2      | 56.1      |
| INT10         |          | 25.9      | 29.4      | 20.9      | 23.9      | 31.8      |
| TS14          |          | 41.6      | 49.9      | 31.8      | 34.7      | 50.8      |
| INT11         |          | 32.9      | 37.0      | 26.4      | 30.0      | 42.6      |
| INT6          |          | 27.3      | 24.7      | 15.5      | 19.2      | 29.5      |
| TS9           |          | 35.8      | 50.4      | 24.5      | 28.9      | 42.4      |
| P1 + CAT3     | −20.7    | −13.5     | −25.1     | −24.2     | −14.5     |
| k             |          | 3.619 × 10−17 | 6.239 × 10−23 | 1.668 × 10−15 | 1.502 × 10−17 | 1.055 × 10−24 |
| tI2           |          | 7.675 × 10−12 | 4.452 × 10−10 | 1.665 × 10−11 | 1.849 × 10−13 | 2.634 × 10−29 |
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