Combined Food and Micronutrient Supplements during Pregnancy Have Limited Impact on Child Blood Pressure and Kidney Function in Rural Bangladesh1–4
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Abstract
Observational evidence suggests nutritional exposures during in utero development may have long-lasting consequences for health; data from interventions are scarce. Here, we present a trial follow-up study to assess the association between prenatal food and micronutrient supplementation and childhood blood pressure and kidney function. During the MINIMat Trial in rural Bangladesh, women were randomly assigned early in pregnancy to receive an early or later invitation to attend a food supplementation program and additionally to receive either iron and folate or multiple micronutrient tablets daily. The 3267 singleton birth individuals with measured anthropometry born during the trial were eligible for a follow-up study at 4.5 y old. A total of 77% of eligible individuals were recruited and blood pressure, kidney size by ultrasound, and glomerular filtration rate (GFR; calculated from plasma cystatin c) were assessed. In adjusted analysis, early invitation to food supplementation was associated with a 0.72-mm Hg [95% CI: 0.16, 1.28; P = 0.01] lower childhood diastolic blood pressure and maternal MMS supplementation was associated with a marginally higher [0.87 mm Hg (95% CI: 0.18, 1.56); P = 0.01] childhood diastolic blood pressure. There was also some evidence that a supplement higher in iron was associated with a higher offspring GFR. No other effects of the food or micronutrient interventions were observed and there was no interaction between the interventions on the outcomes studied. These marginal associations and small effect sizes suggest limited public health importance in early childhood. J. Nutr. 143: 728–734, 2013.

Introduction
The impact of nutrition during development is recognized as an important underlying risk factor for an individual’s susceptibility to disease in later life. Studies have consistently demonstrated that altering the nutrient supply of pregnant animals induces profound changes in the function of body systems, including raised blood pressure, fewer kidney nephrons, and impaired pancreatic β-cell development (1–4). In humans, much of the evidence has focused on the inverse associations observed between birth weight and a range of chronic diseases in later life, including hypertension and diabetes (5,6). These inverse associations are often interpreted as revealing the importance of nutrient supply to the developing fetus, although many other factors may underlie impaired uterine growth retardation and subsequent disease susceptibility.

A range of factors affect the supply of nutrients to the developing fetus, including placental function and maternal nutrient stores as well as the maternal diet. Of these, nutritional intake during pregnancy is the most easily manipulated and may thus have the greatest public health importance. Direct evidence from humans on the impact of diet during pregnancy on offspring cardiovascular disease (CVD)9 risk is limited and inconclusive (7). Moreover, the evidence base is dominated by observational studies that are likely to suffer from confounding due to a range of unmeasured characteristics. The follow-up of maternal supplementation trials to investigate the impact of nutrition...
during pregnancy on offspring CVD risk represents a powerful resource within this research field, taking advantage of the epidemiological strengths of trial design (7).

It has been suggested that the developmental origins of chronic disease may be particularly relevant to lower-income countries where nutrition and epidemiological transitions are occurring against a background of generational cycles of undernutrition and infant growth retardation (8). It is thus of particular interest to understand the impact of nutritional supplementation in pregnancy in these settings to explore the long-term consequences of these widely promoted interventions. A few studies have now published data on the impact of supplementation trials in resource-poor settings on offspring CVD risk factors. The provision of protein-energy supplements during pregnancy in The Gambia was found to be unrelated to any of the CVD risk factors studied, including body composition, blood pressure, and lipid profile in adolescents (9). No other studies to our knowledge have looked solely at protein-energy supplements during pregnancy. Multiple-micronutrient supplements (MMSs) provided in pregnancy compared with iron and folate supplements were marginally associated with lower offspring systolic, but not diastolic, blood pressure at 2 y of age in one Nepalese study (10) but were unrelated to offspring blood pressure at 6–8 y in a separate Nepalese trial where MMSs were compared with vitamin A supplementation (11). In this latter trial, there was also no impact of maternal iron, zinc, and folate supplementation on offspring blood pressure compared with vitamin A supplementation (11).

Here, we present information on blood pressure and kidney function for individuals born as part of the Maternal and Infant Nutrition Intervention in the Matlab (MINIMat; ISRCTN16581395) trial in rural Bangladesh. This trial was a large, combined food and multiple-micronutrient intervention for pregnant women aimed at improving birth weight and neonatal health (12). This paper presents follow-up data on blood pressure and kidney function of the children born during the trial when they reached 4.5 y of age.

**Methods**

The MINIMat trial was conducted by the International Centre for Diarrhoeal Disease Research, Bangladesh (icddrb) between November 2001 and October 2003 in the rural Matlab region of Bangladesh, 57 km southeast of the capital Dhaka. Details of the trial design are available elsewhere (12–14). In brief, women were recruited early in pregnancy through regular surveillance of the demographic area covered by icddr,b. Consenting women were randomly assigned to 2 separate nutritional interventions in pregnancy: access to food supplementation and receipt of a micronutrient supplement. For the food intervention, women were randomly assigned to receive encouragement to attend government-sponsored, local community nutrition centers either early in pregnancy (around 9 wk of gestation) or at a time of their choosing (usually around 14 wk). Compliant was assessed by the reported number of food packages received and the number of micronutrient bottle openings (recorded by the eDEM device) from enrollment to wk 30 gestation. Compliance was assessed by the reported number of food packages received and the number of micronutrient bottle openings (recorded by the eDEM device) from enrollment to wk 30 gestation. Compliance was assessed by the reported number of food packages received and the number of micronutrient bottle openings (recorded by the eDEM device) from enrollment to wk 30 gestation.

For the food intervention, women were randomly assigned to 2 separate nutritional in-
Independent \( t \) tests and \( \chi^2 \) tests were used to assess any differences from the original trial between those recruited and those lost to follow-up. Linear regression was used to investigate the effect of the maternal interventions on offspring blood pressure and kidney function. Three stages of models were used: adjusted for interventions only (model 1), adjusted for covariates unrelated to the maternal intervention but related to blood pressure/kidney function (model 2), and as model 2 but additionally adjusted for covariates that could be associated with the maternal interventions. The analysis of the food supplementation intervention compared the 2 arms: early and usual invitation to access food supplementation. The unbalanced design of the MINIMat trial allowed for the assessment of 2 important research questions: is there an impact of MMS and is there an impact of providing a high iron dose? The analysis was conducted by creating dummy variables for the MMS and Fe60F interventions and comparing these with the Fe30F group as the reference (Table 1). The linear regression models were fitted with both terms to assess their independent effects, but the coefficients are reported separately in the results. An "as-treated" analysis was conducted to assess the impact of adherence to the intervention irrespective of randomization. The reported total number of food packets consumed during pregnancy was assessed as the exposure for the food invitation intervention and the pill count obtained from the eDEM technology provided an estimate of micronutrient tablet consumption. Linear regression models were fitted adjusted for covariates relating to blood pressure and kidney function as appropriate.

**Results**

The current follow-up study recruited 2526 children who were born during the original trial, representing 77% of the eligible cohort (Fig. 1). Recruitment rates were similar across the 6 supplement arms of the trial and the reasons for loss to follow-up were also similar in their distributions. The main cause of loss to follow-up was individuals who could not be located during the follow-up study. Recruited individuals who were born before 37 wk of gestation (preterm, \( n = 191 \)) were excluded from the analysis, leaving a sample size of 2335.

The mean age at follow-up was \( 4.6 \pm 0.1 \) y and 50.5% of the recruited individuals were boys. At follow-up, the average BMI-for-age Z-score was \(-1.7 \pm 1.0\) and the height-for-age Z-score was \(-1.5 \pm 1.0\); 28% of boys and 33% of girls were classified as...
stunted (Table 3). All 3 blood pressure measurements were correlated ($r > 0.65; P = < 0.001$) and the mean of 3 readings was used as an estimate of average blood pressure. The mean systolic blood pressure was $91.1 \pm 7.5$ mm Hg for girls and $91.4 \pm 7.7$ mm Hg for boys. Mean diastolic blood pressure was $55.0 \pm 6.4$ mm Hg for girls and $53.8 \pm 6.5$ mm Hg for boys. The mean kidney volume adjusted for body surface area was $104.0 \pm 15.5$ cm$^3$/m$^2$ for boys and $104 \pm 15.9$ cm$^3$/m$^2$ for girls. Mean GFR was $158.2 \pm 35.1$ mL/min - $1.73$ m$^3$ with no difference between boys and girls. Neither kidney volume nor GFR was associated with blood pressure (data not shown).

The food invitation intervention successfully produced 2 groups of women who received different amounts of food during pregnancy. The median reported food packet consumption in the early invitation group was 103 packets (IQR: 67, 128) compared with 70 packets (IQR: 39, 92) in the usual invitation arm. The invitation to early food supplementation was associated with lower offspring diastolic blood pressure by a mean of $0.74$ mm Hg ($95\%$ CI: $0.18$, $1.24$); $P = 0.04$) higher diastolic blood pressure for children whose mothers received MMSs during pregnancy compared with iron and folate. There was no effect of the high- compared with low-iron intervention on offspring blood pressure (Table 6). There was also no effect of the iron intervention on offspring kidney function in unadjusted analysis, but in the adjusted analysis, individuals whose mothers had received 60 mg of iron during pregnancy had a mean $4.98$ mL/min - $1.73$ m$^3$ ($95\%$ CI: $0.30$, $9.67$); $P = 0.04$) higher GFR at 4.5 y of age than those whose mothers had received 30 mg of iron during pregnancy.

The combined effect of the food and micronutrient interventions was assessed by introducing 2 interactions terms, one between the food and MMS intervention and one between the food and Fe intervention. There appeared to be no interaction between the interventions in relation to offspring blood pressure or kidney function (Supplemental Table 1). There were no interactions between any of the potential effect modifiers tested (sex, wealth index, or maternal baseline BMI) and any of the 3 interventions on either child blood pressure or kidney function (data not shown).

The analyses of both the food and micronutrient interventions were repeated using an as-treated rather than intention-to-treat design. There was no association between the number of food packets consumed and offspring blood pressure, kidney volume, or GFR (Supplemental Table 2). Similarly, there was no association between tablet consumption as measured by

### Table 1

| New code for analysis $^2$ | Multiple micronutrients (MMS) | High-iron dose (highFe) |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Fe30F                      | 0                             | 0                       |
| Fe60F                      | 0                             | 1                       |
| MMS                        | 1                             | 0                       |

$^1$ Original micronutrient arm of the intervention. Fe30F, 30 mg iron and 400 µg folate; Fe60F, 60 mg iron and 400 µg folate; MMS, multiple micronutrient supplement.

$^2$ Variable is recoded to represent multiple micronutrients or high-iron dose: individuals were coded 0 (control) or 1 (receiving intervention) and fitted together in regression models of intention-to-treat analysis.

### Table 2

| Early food | Usual food | All recruited $^2$ | Lost to follow-up |
|------------|------------|-------------------|------------------|
|            |            |                   |                  |
| Participants, n | 427 | 416 | 431 | 413 | 437 | 402 | 2526 | 1910 |
| Maternal variables |            |            |            |            |            |            |            |            |
| Age, y     | 26.4 ± 5.8 | 26.7 ± 6.0 | 27.1 ± 6.3 | 26.9 ± 6.0 | 26.5 ± 6.0 | 26.2 ± 5.7 | 26.6 ± 6.0 | 25.8 ± 5.9$^*$ |
| Height, cm | 149.6 ± 5.7 | 150.1 ± 5.1 | 150.0 ± 5.2 | 150.0 ± 5.4 | 149.8 ± 5.0 | 149.7 ± 5.4 | 149.9 ± 5.4 | 149.7 ± 5.4 |
| Weight $^2$, kg | 45.1 ± 6.7 | 45.5 ± 7.0 | 45.1 ± 6.7 | 45.2 ± 7.3 | 45.2 ± 6.8 | 45.4 ± 6.4 | 45.3 ± 6.8 | 45.5 ± 7.0 |
| BMI $^2$, kg/m$^2$ | 20.2 ± 2.6 | 20.2 ± 2.8 | 20.0 ± 2.7 | 20.0 ± 2.7 | 20.2 ± 2.7 | 20.3 ± 2.4 | 20.1 ± 2.7 | 20.3 ± 2.7$^*$ |
| Hb, g/L    | 117 ± 12.7 | 116 ± 13.1 | 117 ± 12.7 | 117 ± 11.9 | 116 ± 12.8 | 118 ± 13.1 | 117 ± 12.7 | 116 ± 13.1 |
| Education, y | 7.2 ± 2.6 | 7.4 ± 2.7 | 7.1 ± 2.7 | 7.2 ± 2.8 | 7.2 ± 2.7 | 7.3 ± 2.6 | 7.2 ± 2.7 | 7.7 ± 2.8$^*$ |
| Primiparity, % | 31.4 | 31.7 | 28.5 | 29.5 | 28.7 | 32.1 | 30.3 | 38.8$^*$ |
| Household variables |            |            |            |            |            |            |            |            |
| Wealth index | -0.4 ± 2.3 | 0.0 ± 2.2 | -0.2 ± 2.5 | 0.1 ± 2.3 | -0.1 ± 2.3 | 0.1 ± 2.2 | -0.0 ± 2.3 | 0.1 ± 2.4 |

$^1$ Values are means ± SDs or percentage where indicated. Asterisks indicate different from those recruited: * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$. Early food: maternal randomization to access food early in pregnancy. Usual food: maternal randomization to access food at the usual time in pregnancy; Fe30F, 30 mg iron and 400 µg folate; Fe60F, 60 mg iron and 400 µg folate; MMS, multiple micronutrient supplement.

$^2$ All individuals recruited into current follow-up study.

$^*3$ Measured during wk 8 of gestation.
bottle opening and offspring blood pressure, kidney volume, or GFR (Supplemental Table 3).

**Discussion**

This study is one of the first to report follow-up data on offspring blood pressure and kidney function as a result of a combined pregnancy nutritional intervention. There is evidence that invitation to access food supplements early in pregnancy was associated with a slightly lower offspring diastolic blood pressure at 4.5 y compared with the standard care in later pregnancy. In contrast, MMSs were associated with slightly higher diastolic blood pressure compared with iron and folate supplementation alone and a high- compared with low-iron supplement was associated with a higher offspring GFR. No other effects of the food or micronutrient interventions on offspring blood pressure, kidney volume, or GFR were observed and there was no interaction between the interventions on the outcomes studied.

Previous studies of protein-energy supplementation trials do not provide a direct comparison with the MINIMat intervention, partly because they lack a temporal variation in food supplementation. The protein-energy supplements were provided from 20 wk of gestation to pregnant women in The Gambia were not associated with offspring blood pressure at 10–17 y of age (23), and those provided during pregnancy and early childhood in Guatemala were similarly not associated with offspring blood pressure at 20–29 y of age (24). In India, although a community-based cereal meal intervention was not associated with offspring blood pressure in adolescence, children born in intervention areas had a lower measure of global arterial stiffness (the augmentation index) (25). In the current study, no association was observed between food packet consumption and offspring diastolic blood pressure irrespective of the treatment arm, which cautions against the overinterpretation of these findings. In addition, the relatively small effect size and the lack of an effect on systolic blood pressure may question the validity of the findings. However, these data do suggest that researchers may wish in future studies to assess the timing as well as the type of pregnancy intervention.

Multiple-micronutrient supplementation in pregnancy was associated with a marginal increase in offspring diastolic blood pressure, although the association was only strongly apparent in the fully adjusted analysis. Two other trials, both from Nepal, of multiple-micronutrient supplementation in pregnancy have published data on offspring blood pressure. In contrast to the MINIMat trial, both the Nepalese trials reported an increase in birth weight in the multiple-micronutrient arms of the trial (26,27). The follow-up studies have shown inconsistent results, however. Vaidya et al. (10) reported lower systolic blood pressure at 2.5 y of age for children born to women who had received multiple micronutrients in pregnancy. In contrast, Stewart et al. (11) found no effect of MMSs on child blood pressure at 6–8 y, consistent with the results presented here. One interpretation of the first Nepalese trial is a detrimental effect of the high-iron dose in the comparison group (10). The design of the MINIMat trial allows for this to be tested and the analysis presented here has shown no effect of high- compared with low-iron dose on offspring blood pressure in this population.

It has been suggested that a reduction in nephron number as a result of inadequate fetal nutrition represents a potential mechanism linking low birth weight and hypertension, with supportive evidence from animal models (3,28–30). In humans, low birth weight has been associated with lower kidney volume (by ultrasound) (31) and chronic kidney disease in later life (32). Few human studies have investigated the association between the maternal diet during pregnancy and offspring kidney function. In the second Nepalese trial reported above, the control group (vitamin A supplements only) had a higher risk of urinary microalbuminuria (microalbumin:creatinine ratio ≥3.4 mg/mmol) compared with individuals whose mothers received folic acid or folic acid, iron, and zinc in addition to vitamin A (11). Here, we found weak evidence for an increased GFR in children exposed to high-iron supplementation during in utero development, which is challenging to interpret. Some authors have suggested that fewer nephrons will initially be associated with compensatory hyperfiltration (33), which could be consistent with a higher GFR. In later life, these same individuals may

**TABLE 3** Anthropometry and body composition of offspring born during the MINIMat trial, Bangladesh at 4.5 y of age1

|                          | Girls | Boys |
|--------------------------|-------|------|
| Participants, n          | 1157  | 1178 |
| Age, y                   | 4.6 ± 0.1 | 4.6 ± 0.1 |
| Height, cm               | 99.9 ± 26.8 | 100.5 ± 4.3 |
| Weight, kg               | 13.4 ± 1.6 | 14.1 ± 1.7 |
| BMI, kg/m²               | 13.6 ± 1.0 | 13.9 ± 1.0 |
| Fat-free mass, kg        | 10.7 ± 1.0 | 11.8 ± 1.1 |
| Fat mass, kg/2           | 2.9 ± 0.7 | 2.4 ± 0.8 |
| Height-for-age Z-score2  | −1.6 ± 0.9 | −1.4 ± 1.0 |
| BMI-for-age Z-score2     | −1.6 ± 0.9 | −1.7 ± 1.0 |

1 Values are means ± SDs.
2 Fat-free mass and fat mass assessed by bioelectrical impedance analysis (Tanita, TBF-300MA) using population-specific prediction equations (21).
3 Indices calculated in comparison to UK growth reference data (20).

**TABLE 4** Effect of maternal food intervention on offspring blood pressure and kidney function at 4.5 y in Bangladesh1

|                          | Systolic pressure (mm Hg) | Diastolic pressure (mm Hg) | Kidney volume (cm³/m²) | GFR² (mL/[min · 1.73 m²]) |
|--------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|
| n                        | 2312                       | 2312                       | 1068                   | 1224                       |
| Model 12                 | 0.46 (−0.16, 1.08)         | 0.58 (0.06, 1.11)          | 1068                   | 1224                       |
| P value                  | 0.15 (0.05)                | 0.13 (0.18)                | 0.10                   | 0.10                       |
| R² (%)                   | 0.08                       | 0.21                       | 0.19                   | 0.15                       |
| Model 23                 | 0.42 (−0.21, 1.05)         | 0.59 (0.05, 1.13)          | 0.99                   | 0.33                       |
| P value                  | 0.19 (0.03)                | 0.03 (0.16)                | 0.99                   | 0.33                       |
| R² (%)                   | 0.09                       | 0.21                       | 0.17                   | 0.05                       |
| Model 34                 | 1969                       | 1969                       | 861                    | 1093                       |
| P value                  | 0.57 (−0.08, 1.21)         | 0.72 (0.16, 1.28)          | 0.45                   | 1.23                       |
| R² (%)                   | 0.09                       | 0.26                       | 0.08                   | 0.05                       |

1 Values are regression coefficients (β) showing the difference in mean blood pressure (95% CI) for individuals born to women invited to receive food supplements early in pregnancy (coded 0) compared with the usual time (coded 1), derived from linear regression analysis. R² refers to adjusted partial R² for all models. GFR, glomerular filtration rate; MMS, multiple micronutrient supplement.

2 Model 1, adjusted MMS and iron dummy variables only.
3 Model 2, additionally adjusted for age, sex, wealth index, tertiles of maternal wk 8 of gestation blood pressure (blood pressure models only), and season of birth fitted as Fourier terms (36).
4 Model 3, as model 2 but additionally adjusted for height, BMI, fat free mass, diarrhea in the past 2 wk, and feeling well on the study day.
5 GFR calculated from plasma cystatin C (17).
TABLE 5  Effect of maternal multiple micronutrient supplementation on offspring blood pressure and kidney function at 4.5 y in Bangladesh\textsuperscript{1}

|                      | Model 1\textsuperscript{2} | Model 2\textsuperscript{3} | Model 3\textsuperscript{4} |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
|                      | \( P \) value | \( R^2 \) (%) | \( P \) value | \( R^2 \) (%) | \( P \) value | \( R^2 \) (%) |
| Systolic pressure (mm Hg) | 2312 | 0.05 (−0.71, 0.81) | 0.90 (−0.04) | 2196 | 0.05 (−0.73, 0.82) | 0.90 (−0.04) | 1969 | 0.22 (−0.58, 1.02) | 0.58 (−0.03) |
| Diastolic pressure (mm Hg) | 2312 | 0.55 (−1.01, 1.20) | 0.09 (0.08) | 2196 | 0.60 (−1.07, 1.26) | 0.08 (0.09) | 1969 | 0.87 (0.18, 1.56) | 0.01 (0.25) |
| Kidney volume (cm\(^3\)/m\(^2\)) | 1099 | −0.98 (−3.30, 1.34) | 0.41 (−0.03) | 968 | −1.75 (−4.09, 0.60) | 0.14 (0.11) | 881 | −1.45 (−3.89, 1.00) | 0.25 (0.04) |
| GFR\textsuperscript{2} (mL/(min \cdot 1.73 m\(^2\))) | 1224 | 1.30 (−3.55, 6.14) | 0.60 (−0.06) | 1222 | 2.08 (−2.66, 6.83) | 0.39 (−0.02) | 1093 | 3.43 (−1.56, 8.42) | 0.18 (0.07) |

\textsuperscript{1} Values are regression coefficients (β) showing the difference in mean blood pressure (95% CI) for individuals born to women in the MMS compared with the Fe30F intervention group, derived from linear regression analysis. \( R^2 \) refers to adjusted partial \( R^2 \) for all models. Fe30F, 30 mg iron and 400 µg of folate; GFR, glomerular filtration rate; MMS, multiple micronutrient supplement.

\textsuperscript{2} Model 1, adjusted for the iron intervention dummy and food intervention variables only.

\textsuperscript{3} Model 2, additionally adjusted for age, sex, wealth index, tertiles of maternal blood pressure (blood pressure models only), and season of birth.

\textsuperscript{4} Model 3, as model 2 but additionally adjusted for height, BMI, fat-free mass, diarrhea in past 2 wk, and feeling well on the study day.

\textsuperscript{5} GFR calculated from plasma cystatin C (17).

demonstrate relatively low GFR, which is a standard marker of kidney disease (34), but further studies will be required to unravel this association and assess validity.

There are a number of important strengths of the current study, including the large sample size and good retention of participants; 77% of eligible individuals born during the maternal trial were successfully recruited at 4.5 y of age. Loss to follow-up is a well-recognized issue for long-term follow-up studies within this research field and these rates of loss are relatively low (35). The lack of differential follow-up rates between the intervention groups and the marginal differences between recruited individuals and those lost to follow-up suggests limited selection bias for the current study. A related issue is the reduction in sample size as a consequence of participant attrition, which affects study power. In this study, the precision estimate for the effect of maternal MMS supplementation on offspring systolic blood pressure ranged from −0.57 to 1.02 mm Hg and effects within this range cannot therefore be discounted. However, it is arguable whether effect sizes of this magnitude would be meaningful from a public health standpoint. Cost and logistic considerations resulted in the measurements of kidney volume and GFR being conducted on a subset of individuals at the 4.5-y follow-up, but the sample size remained large and the precision of effect estimates high. Although it was a limitation that only a small number of individuals had both kidney function measurements, this would not be expected to have influenced the analysis of the intervention effect; the sample size for kidney function measurements, this would not be expected to have prolonged effects of the pregnancy intervention, particularly as groups have been observed, this limitation is of minor concern. A final important limitation relates to the age of study participants who may be too young for differences in CVD risk factors to emerge. It will be important to study this cohort into the future to assess any prolonged effects of the pregnancy intervention, particularly as groups of offspring become exposed to different environments as they age.

In conclusion, this is one of the first studies to include a follow-up of a combined food and micronutrient intervention in pregnancy. There is some evidence that access to food supplements

TABLE 6  Effect of maternal iron supplementation on offspring blood pressure and kidney function at 4.5 y in Bangladesh\textsuperscript{1}

|                      | Model 1\textsuperscript{2} | Model 2\textsuperscript{3} | Model 3\textsuperscript{4} |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
|                      | \( P \) value | \( R^2 \) (%) | \( P \) value | \( R^2 \) (%) | \( P \) value | \( R^2 \) (%) |
| Systolic pressure (mm Hg) | 2312 | −0.04 (−0.80, 0.72) | 0.91 (−0.04) | 2196 | 0.06 (−0.71, 0.84) | 0.87 (−0.04) | 1969 | 0.04 (−0.76, 0.84) | 0.93 (−0.05) |
| Diastolic pressure (mm Hg) | 2312 | 0.26 (−0.39, 0.90) | 0.44 (−0.02) | 2196 | 0.33 (−0.33, 1.00) | 0.33 (0.00) | 1969 | 0.42 (−0.27, 1.11) | 0.23 (0.02) |
| Kidney volume (cm\(^3\)/m\(^2\)) | 1098 | −0.10 (−2.40, 2.21) | 0.93 (−0.09) | 968 | −0.54 (−2.88, 1.80) | 0.65 (−0.08) | 881 | −0.91 (−3.38, 1.57) | 0.47 (−0.05) |
| GFR\textsuperscript{2} (mL/(min \cdot 1.73 m\(^2\))) | 1224 | 4.47 (−0.32, 9.26) | 0.07 (0.19) | 1222 | 5.05 (0.36, 9.74) | 0.04 (0.27) | 1093 | 4.86 (−0.22, 9.58) | 0.06 (0.22) |

\textsuperscript{1} Values are regression coefficients (β) showing the difference in mean blood pressure and kidney function (95% CI) for individuals born to women in the Fe60F compared to Fe30F intervention group, derived from linear regression analysis. \( R^2 \) refers to adjusted partial \( R^2 \) for all models. Fe60F, 60 mg of iron and 400 µg of folate; GFR, glomerular filtration rate; MMS, multiple micronutrient supplement.

\textsuperscript{2} Model 1, adjusted for the MMS intervention dummy and food intervention variables only.

\textsuperscript{3} Model 2, additionally adjusted for age, sex, wealth index, tertiles of maternal blood pressure (blood pressure models only), and season of birth.

\textsuperscript{4} Model 3, as model 2 but additionally adjusted for height, BMI, fat-free mass, diarrhea in past 2 wk, and feeling well on the study day.

\textsuperscript{5} GFR calculated from plasma cystatin C (17).
early in pregnancy is associated with reduced offspring diastolic blood pressure in childhood, albeit with a relatively small effect size. Weaker evidence was also found for an impact of MMs on increased offspring diastolic blood pressure and of high-compared with low-iron supplements on increase offspring GFR. Overall, there was limited evidence for long-lasting impacts of pregnancy supplementation on offspring blood pressure or markers of kidney function in this population.
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