Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic in patient admission to a high-complexity cancer center in Southern Brasil
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INTRODUCTION

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) has transformed how healthcare is delivered globally and has relegated many medical activities to second priority, oncology included. Cancer care consumes significant healthcare resources, and cancer patients not only are frequently exposed to medical facilities but are also at significant risk for bad outcomes with COVID-191,2. Due to that, caregivers are forced to make difficult decisions regarding the allocation of limited resources and to weigh the risk from a delay of cancer management versus the harm of COVID-19 exposure3. As a possible consequence of this scenario, data from
European countries showed a significant decrease in cancer referrals and diagnoses during the outbreak. In Brasil, the first case of COVID-19 was confirmed on February 25, and on March 20, the Ministry of Health reported the onset of community transmission of the disease all over the national territory. To slow disease spread, governmental organs advised citizens to stay at home and adopt the social distancing strategy. Despite this measure, more than 1.8 million cases of COVID-19 had been reported by July 13. As for the impact of the pandemic on the care for non-COVID-19 patients, a study suggests a reduction in hospital admission for emergency conditions in Brasil. However, national data of admission to cancer care are lacking in the literature.

In Brasil’s Unified Health System (SUS), which provides universal access to healthcare, general practitioners are responsible for referring patients with a suspected cancer diagnosis to High-Complexity Oncology Assistance Units (UNACONS) or High-Complexity Oncology Centers (CACONS) to define the diagnosis and treatment. The aim of this study was to analyze the epidemiologic impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on admission for high-complexity cancer care in Brasil.

**RESULTS**

Overall, from March 20, 2020 to June 30, 2020, 1,002 newly referred patients attended a medical appointment versus 1,717 during the same period last year, which represents 715 fewer patients (-42%) (P <0.001). Comparing appointments in 2020 to those in 2019 (Figure 1), respectively, there were 122 versus 196 appointments in the period from 20 to 31 March (-38%), 278 versus 538 in April (-48%), 339 versus 501 in May (-32%), and 263 versus 482 in June (-45%). The number of appointments per week decreased in the period between March and June 2020, as the number of COVID-19 cases in Brasil increased (Figure 2). Between March 20 and June 30, a decrease in appointments was observed for all medical specialties (Figure 3): 361 versus 215 for gastrointestinal/urogenital (-40%) (P <0.001), 206 versus 122 for breast (-41%) (P <0.001), 526 versus 235 for gynecology (-55%) (P < 0.001), 145 versus 121 for head and neck (-17%) (P <0.001), 300 versus 235 for gynecology (-55%) (P < 0.001), 145 versus 121 for head and neck (-17%) (P <0.001), 300 versus 235 for gynecology (-55%) (P < 0.001), 87 versus 64 for thoracic (-26%) (P < 0.001), and 92 versus 52 for other specialties (-43%) (P <0.001).

**DISCUSSION**

The main finding of the present study is the dramatic reduction (42%) in the number of first-time appointments during the COVID-19 pandemic compared to the same period the year before (Figure 1). A weekly reduction in appointments was observed as the number of COVID-19 cases increased in Brasil (Figure 2). The impact was observed for all medical specialties but was more pronounced for gynecology (Figure 3). Our data is in accordance with previous studies that identified a reduction in overall cancer referrals and in cancer diagnoses during the pandemic in the United Kingdom and in the...
Netherlands\textsuperscript{4}, countries that have a public health system that provides universal access to healthcare and adopts the gatekeeping principle, similar to the SUS in Brasil.

Identifying the causes that lead to this decrease is beyond the scope of this work; however, we suggest five possible explanations. First, there may have been a reduction in cancer detection among asymptomatic individuals since the National Institute of Cancer in Brasil has issued a recommendation for physicians to postpone cancer screening exams during the outbreak. Second, potential oncology patients may have downplayed symptoms that would otherwise lead them to seek medical attention due to fear of COVID-19 or confusion about stay-at-home orders. Third, general practitioners might have postponed cancer investigations and specialized-center referrals to save healthcare resources for the management of the COVID-19 pandemic. Fourth, the cancer center has postponed appointments considered non-urgent to minimize patient exposure. Fifth, patients may have missed medical appointments at the cancer center due to the anxiety of becoming infected at a healthcare facility. All this combined may explain fewer patients effectively getting specialized oncological care during the pandemic. Of note, despite the remarkable reduction in appointments, there was a period of slight increase and stability during the month of May (Figure 2). We suppose that this is due to a policy adopted by this CACON of using virtual means to contact patients whose appointments could not be postponed.
Cancer care delay may have a significant impact on the oncological scenario in the near future. Firstly, it is known that some tumors require immediate diagnosis and treatment and that even short delays may impact significantly life expectancy. Although other tumors have a lower risk of progression and can tolerate a certain delay in cancer treatment, a model-based analysis showed that a delay of six months in those cases results in a significant amount of cancer-attributable deaths since many of those tumors are common.

Secondly, postponing cancer care may cause a rebound effect and create an epidemic of cancer cases in the near future, a significant part of them upstaged, which are more expensive to treat. This has a tremendous potential to overwhelm a healthcare system that was already burdened before COVID-19.

Cancer accounts for significant morbimortality and this scenario may be worsened as a consequence of the COVID-19 pandemic. A model estimated additional 6,270 deaths in England and 33,890 in the United States for new cancer cases in 1 year due to the outbreak. In Brasil, cancer is also a major health issue; 626,000 new cases are expected for 2020, and 224,000 deaths were registered in 2018. Since the number of COVID-19 cases is still on the rise in our country, cancer management will continue to face challenges and will require individualized decisions based on each patient’s condition and each hospital’s resources. It is important for cancer centers to set a proactive approach for diminishing patient’s exposure to COVID-19 by adapting oncological care during this unusual period, as recommended by national and international entities. Contacting patients to minimize their behavior changes towards cancer care is also necessary. In this pandemic context, a rapid and well-structured response is needed, as already reported by institutions in Brasil.

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, our data suggest a significant negative impact of the COVID-19 pandemic in patient admission for cancer care. Its consequences on cancer prognosis and mortality will be evaluated in the near future. As for now, it is important that cancer centers and public health strategies reinforce care for non-COVID-19 patients to prevent potentially unnecessary deaths.
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