Biology of *Eocanthecona furcellata* (Wolff) (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae) Predator Nettle Caterpillar *Setora nitens* Walker Origin from Riau
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**Abstract.** The growing prospect of crude palm oil (CPO) has led to rapid development of oil palm plantations in Riau. Oil palm cultivation is somehow inseparable from the attack of Netter Caterpillar. In practice, the control measures taken are usually by using synthetic insecticides. In order to reduce the effects of synthetic insecticides, it requires alternatives in the technique of controlling this caterpillar pest such as the use of *Eocanthecona furcellata* predators. This research aims to obtain biochemical data on *Eocanthecona furcellata* from Riau as predator of Nettle Caterpillar pest on palm oil plants with alternative prey of *Oecophylla smaragdina* (Kroto) larvae. This research uses observation method where the growth and development of 100 larvae of *Eocanthecona furcellata* with prey of *Oecophylla smaragdina* (Kroto) broods were observed in the laboratory. The results showed that *Eocanthecona furcellata* fecundity is 134.88 eggs/female imago, hatch percentage of 82.93%. The life cycle of *Eocanthecona furcellata* passes through 5 instars with first nymphal instar period of 4.08 days, second-instar of 3.42 days, third-instar of 2.97 days, fourth-instar of 3.06 and fifth-instar of 5.25 days. The life cycle of female imago is longer than the life cycle of male imago, which is 37.41 days and 35.22 days. *Oecophylla smaragdina* (Kroto) larvae can be used for alternative prey in *Eocanthecona furcellata* predator multiplication.

1. Introduction

Palm oil is a commodity that significantly contributes to the Indonesian economy, particularly Riau Province. Riau is one of the largest palm oil producers in Indonesia. The growing prospect of crude palm oil (CPO) has led to the rapid development of oil palm plantations in Riau. According to Riau Central Bureau of Statistics (2016), that total surface area of oil palm plantation in Riau in 2015 is 2,424,545 ha with a production of 7,841,947 tons.

Oil palm cultivation is inseparable from pests attack. Netter Caterpillar (*Setora nitens*) is classified as a major pest that attack oil palm crops [1] and the dominant caterpillars found in oil palm plantations in Riau [2]. The netter caterpillar attack palm oil plant by eating leaf blades which leaves the leaves damaged and the sticks left over. During its first life cycle, the netter caterpillar is able to eat up to 400 cm² of leaves (Wood, 1968 in Ref. [3]). The attack of netter caterpillars has an impact on production decrease of up to 70% in first attack and 93% in second attack in the same year [4].

The control efforts undertaken by smallholder plantations and large corporations generally use synthetic insecticide. In order to reduce the effects of synthetic insecticides, it requires a need for alternatives in the techniques of controlling caterpillar pests such as the use of natural enemies like *Eocanthecona furcellata* predator. *Eocanthecona furcellata* insects have the ability to prey on several
types of caterpillars; Lepidoptera, Coleoptera and Heteroptera orders [5]. In India, *E. furcellata* predator has been regarded as an important predator on several lepidopteran pests [6,7]. These predators can be used as important biological control agents in an integrated pest management program.

The use of natural enemies as biological agents with augmentation techniques requires large numbers of predatory insects. The multiplication of predatory insects through easy-to-obtain, easy-to-reproduce, and low-cost alternative prey may serve as an alternative solution for insect predators to be mass-produced [8]. The purpose of mass-production of natural enemies is to easily produce natural enemies in large quantities, in a quick time and at an affordable cost [9] An alternative prey that can be used in mass production of *E. furcellata* predator is *Oecophylla smaragdina* (Kroto) larvae.

2. Materials and Methods
The research was conducted in a Pest Laboratory, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Riau, Bina Widya Campus, Jl. Bina Widya, Simpang Baru Sub-District, Tampan District, City of Pekanbaru, Riau Province. This research applied an observational method through observing the growth and development of 100 predators *Eocanthecona furcellata* larvae preying on *Oecophylla smaragdina* (Kroto) larvae in the laboratory. The observed data were tabulated using Excel 2007 program and were presented with tables and figures and were descriptively analysed. The observations objects comprised of egg stadia, nymph stadia and imago stadia.

3. Results and Discussions
The research results for fecundity and percentage of *E. furcellata* egg hatching with Kroto feed are presented in Table 1. Observations were made on 27 pairs of imago *E. furcellata* and 7 egg groups.

| Observation | Average ± SE |
|-------------|--------------|
| Fecundity (egg/head) | 134.88 ± 4.547 |
| Hatch Percentage (%) | 82.93 ± 1.518 |

Table 1 shows that *E. furcellata* produced 134.88 eggs/head on average. The eggs are placed in groups and each group of eggs comprised of 19-73 eggs. This finding is in contrary to Susanto and Dongoran’s [10] findings where the *E. furcellata* is able to produce 12-40 eggs using Setothosea asigna feed. This suggests that multiplying predators *E. furcellata* with kroto alternative feed is better than using *Setothosea asigna* feed. Insects are able to multiply in large numbers under a relatively short time. There are two factors that influence the ability of an insect species to breed, namely natality and fecundity. Natality (birth) is the ability of this type of insect to produce new off springs. Small size of insects generally have relatively large natality rate. While fecundity (fertility) is the ability of a female insect to produce eggs. The more the number of eggs produced, the higher its ability to breed.

![Figure 1. *E. furcellata* Eggs are placed in groups. a: freshly laid eggs and b: about to hatch eggs.](image)
E. furcellata eggs are round in shape, 1.01 mm long and 0.90 mm wide in size. The eggs are bright black in color and gradually turn their color to brownish red with surface of shiny silver in color (Figure 1). This is in line with Semillano and Corey’s findings (2006) that over time, E. furcellata eggs turn to brownish red until hatching time comes. Table 1 also shows that the percentage of egg hatching of E. furcellata is quite high which is at 82.93%, leading to the high success rate for mass production. This suggests that E. furcellata predators can be bred in a laboratory with Kroto feed (Figures 2 and 3). The purpose of mass-breeding of natural enemies is to easily produce natural enemies in large quantities, in a quick time and at an affordable cost [9].

![Figure 2. Multiplication of E. furcellata with kroto feed](image)

![Figure 3. E. furcellata is preying on kroto](image)

Table 2 below presents the life cycle of E. furcellata with kroto feed in a laboratory at an average temperature of 29.5°C and humidity of 65%.

| Stadia                | Average ± SE (daily) |
|-----------------------|----------------------|
| **Eocanthecona furcellata** |                      |
| Eggs Incubation       | 10.14 ± 0.173        |
| Nymph                 |                      |
| 1st Instar            | 4.08 ± 0.003         |
| 2nd Instar            | 3.42 ± 0.007         |
| 3rd Instar            | 2.97 ± 0.006         |
| 4th Instar            | 3.06 ± 0.004         |
| 5th Instar            | 5.25 ± 0.007         |
| Pre-oviposition       | 13.18 ± 0.328        |
| Male Imago            | 35.22 ± 0.306        |
| Female Imago          | 37.41 ± 0.55         |
Table 2 shows that the incubation period of *E. furcellata* eggs lasts for 10.14 days. It is slower than the research results of Tiwari et al. [17] where the incubation period of *E. furcellata* eggs lasted only 5.33 days and the research results of [7] where the incubation period of eggs lasted 6 days with the same alternative feed, that is *Corcyra cephalonica*. According to Ref. [10], eggs hatch period takes 8-10 days and Kumar et al [11] puts forth that the incubation period of *E. furcellata* eggs lasts for 8.81 days. This suggests that the incubation period of *E. furcellata* eggs varies, due to internal factors of *E. furcellata* and due to external factors affecting the egg incubation period.

The hatched nymphs (1st instar) usually live in group (Figure 4). This is in line with Hideharu [12] (2004) statement in which he considers that the newly hatched *E. furcellata* nymph at 1st instar is still in group and has not actively fed the caterpillar.

![Figure 4](image_url)

**Figure 4.** *E. furcellata* nymph at 1st instar live in group around the egg

The duration of 1st instar *E. furcellata* nymph is 4.08 days, 3.42 days on 2nd instar, 2.97 days on 3rd instar, 3.06 days on 4th instar and 5.25 days on 5th instar. Therefore, in order to reach adulthood, it requires 5 times to turn the skin into different colors. According to Hagen et al [13], Wardhana [14], Barsagade and Gathalkar [15], the *E. furcellata* nymph consists of 5 instars during its development. *E. furcellata* nymph period takes 18.78 days. In accordance with the research results of Lenin and Rajan [7] that the nymphal period lasts about 16 days. On the contrary, Escalona and Abad [16] and Tiwari et al. [17] suggest that *E. furcellata* insects take up to more than 24 days to turn their colors five times.

Table 2 also shows that the life cycle of female *E. furcellata* imago is longer than that of male imago. The life cycle of female imago lasts for 37.41 days while life cycle of male imago lasts for 35.22 days and preoviposition lasts about 13.18 days. According to research results of Pillai and Agnihatri [18], and [7], that the life cycle of female imago is longer than the life cycle of male imago of *E. furcellata*.

Table 3 shows that length of *E. furcellata* egg is 1.01 mm with the width of 0.90 mm. The 1st nymphal instar has 1.27 mm of length and 0.91 mm of width. The 2nd nymphal instar has 2.25 mm of length and 1.08 mm of width. The 3rd instar has 4.08 mm of length and 2.55 mm of width. The 4th nymphal instar has 7.42 mm of length and 4.58 mm of width. The 5th instar has 9.94 mm of length and 6.27 mm of width.
Figure 5. *E. furcellata* predator life cycle with kroto alternative feed in a laboratory

The size of each *E. furcellata* stadia with kroto alternative feed is presented in Table 3.

### Table 3. Size of each *E. furcellata* stadia

| Stadia           | Average ± SE (mm) | Length | Width    |
|------------------|-------------------|--------|----------|
| Egg              | 1.01 ± 0.013      | 0.90   | 0.007    |
| Nymph            |                   |        |          |
| 1<sup>st</sup>Instar | 1.27 ± 0.014  | 0.91   | 0.009    |
| 2<sup>nd</sup>Instar | 2.25 ± 0.020  | 1.08   | 0.012    |
| 3<sup>rd</sup>Instar | 4.08 ± 0.062  | 2.55   | 0.039    |
| 4<sup>th</sup>Instar | 7.42 ± 0.028  | 4.58   | 0.019    |
| 5<sup>th</sup>Instar | 9.94 ± 0.101 | 6.27   | 0.043    |
| Male Imago       | 10.99 ± 0.065    | 5.81   | 0.054    |
| Female Imago     | 14.79 ± 0.048    | 7.98   | 0.033    |

The male imago has 10.99 mm of length with 5.81 mm of width and the female imago has 14.79 mm of length with 7.98 mm of width. This is the characteristics that differ between male and female imago where female imago is larger in size as compared to male imago. As Lee’s et al. [19] put forth that the size of the female imago of predator *E. furcellata* is larger than that of the males.
4. Conclusions
The fecundity rate of *Eocanthecona furcellata* is 134.88 eggs/female imago, while percentage of egg hatching is 82.93% with egg incubation period lasting for 10.14 days. The life cycle of *Eocanthecona furcellata* with kroto as an alternative feed in the laboratory is as follows: 1st nymphal instar takes 4.08 days, 2nd instar takes 3.42 days, 3rd instar takes 2.97 days, 4th instar takes 3.06 and 5th instar takes 5.25 days. The life cycle of female imago is longer than the life cycle of male imago, which is 37.41 days and 35.22 days. Kroto (*Oecophylla smaragdina* ant larvae) can be used as an alternative feed to multiply predator *Eocanthecona furcellata* in the laboratory.
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