Intestinal antiviral signaling is controlled by autophagy gene *Epg5* independent of the microbiota
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**ABSTRACT**

Mutations in the macroautophagy/autophagy gene *Epg5* are responsible for Vici syndrome, a human genetic disease characterized by combined immunodeficiency. Previously, we found that *epg5*−/− mice exhibit hyperinflammation in the lungs mediated by IL1β/IL-1β and TNF/TNFα, resulting in resistance to influenza. Here, we find that disruption of *Epg5* results in protection against multiple enteric viruses including norovirus and rotavirus. Gene expression analysis reveals IFNL1/INF-λ responsive genes as a key alteration. Further, mice lacking *Epg5* exhibit substantial alterations in the intestinal microbiota. Surprisingly, germ-free mouse studies indicate Epg5-associated inflammation of both the intestine and lung is microbiota-independent. Genetic studies support IFNL signaling as the primary mediator of resistance to enteric viruses, but not of microbial dysbiosis, in *epg5*−/− mice. This study unveils an important role, unexpectedly independent of the microbiota, for autophagy gene *Epg5* in host organism protection by modulating intestinal IFNL responses.

**Abbreviations:** CTNNB1: catenin (cadherin associated protein), beta 1; DAPI: 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole; EPG5: ectopic P-granules autophagy protein 5 homolog (C. elegans); FT: fecal transplant; IFI44: interferon-induced protein 44; IFIT1: interferon-induced protein with tetratricopeptide repeats 1; IFNG/IFN-γ: interferon gamma; IFNL1/INF-λ: interferon lambda; IFNLR1: interferon lambda receptor 1; IL1B/IL-1β: interleukin 1 beta; ISG: Interferon stimulated gene; GF: germ-free; LEF5: linear discriminating analysis effect size; MAP1LC3/LC3: microtubule-associated protein 1 light chain 3; MNoV: murine norovirus; MX2: MX dynamin-like GTPase 2; OAS1A: 2′-5′ oligoadenylate synthetase 1A; RV: rotavirus; SPF: specific-pathogen free; SQSTM1/p62: sequestosome 1; STAT1: signal transducer and activator of transcription 1; STING1: stimulator of interferon response cGAMP interactor 1; TBK1: TANK-binding kinase 1; TNF/TNFα: tumor necrosis factor

**INTRODUCTION**

Vici syndrome is a rare multisystem disorder characterized by combined immunodeficiency, abnormalities in autophagy, corpus callosum agenesis, and other multisystemic features [1]. Patients with Vici syndrome develop frequent and severe lung and gastrointestinal infections which, along with cardiomyopathy, are the main causes of death at a median age of 24 months [2,3]. Vici syndrome has been linked to causative mutations in human *Epg5*, which is essential for basal autophagy [1].

Autophagy is an evolutionarily-conserved process that is essential for both the maintenance of homeostasis and environmental stress responses – it recycles cellular constituents and removes cytotoxic threats such as protein aggregates and damaged organelles [4]. This process is executed through the formation of double-membrane autophagosomes and their delivery to lysosomes for degradation [5]. *Epg5* deficiency impairs autophagic flux by blocking the maturation of autophagosomes into degradative autolysosomes, leading to accumulation of SQSTM1/p62 aggregates and ubiquitin-positive inclusions in neurons and glial cells [6–8]. These cellular defects, along with key features of Vici syndrome, are recapitulated in *epg5*−/− mice [8]. Unlike disruptions in other autophagy-related genes, mutation of *Epg5* may be non-lethal...
because EPG5 acts at such a late stage in autophagy or is redundant with other effectors [7,9].

Autophagy serves as a key cellular response to pathogen infection, and autophagy adapters serve as their own category of pattern recognition receptors, helping to control intracellular microbes via direct capture, stimulation of inflammatory signaling, and delivery of antimicrobial peptides [10–12]. Recently, appreciation for a role for autophagy in interactions with commensal microbial populations has also been growing [13]. The disruption of autophagy genes has been linked to a range of different disorders related to immune system modulation in the gut, from Crohn disease [14–16] to microbiota-related colorectal tumorigenesis [17]. Multiple autophagy genes, including Atg5 and Atg7, have been shown to regulate the gut microbiota with effects on host immune function [16,17]. The effects of autophagy on the gut microbiota are thus imperative to explore since autophagy is potentially a key means by which the immune system and the microbiota interface.

We previously reported that mice deficient in Epg5 have increased baseline innate immune lung inflammation and are highly resistant to influenza infection, phenotypes also observed in mice lacking Atg5, Atg7, Atg14, and Rb1cc1/Fip200 in myeloid cells [18–20]. Enhanced expression of IL1B and TNF in the lung, but not other tissues, is correlated with the influenza resistance of epg5−/− mice [18]. The role of autophagy genes in suppressing innate inflammation and conferring susceptibility to various pathogens remains to be fully elucidated, but it has been linked to mediation of quiescence of tissue-resident macrophages by limiting the effects of systemic IFNG (interferon gamma) [21,22]. Spontaneous inflammation in Epg5-deficient mice was speculated to be due to the inadequate control of commensal or environmental microbes, because the administration of antibiotics ameliorates pulmonary inflammation in some autophagy mutants [20]. While autophagy-deficient mice and cells have been reported to fail to appropriately sense and respond to immunomodulatory products from commensal bacteria, thereby leading to colitis [23], the recent observation that autophagy proteins prevent spontaneous type I interferon responses driven by the microbiota in the intestine also supports a role for the commensal microbes in driving inflammation [24]. Thus, much remains to be explored regarding the interactions between autophagy, innate immune responses, and the microbiota.

In this study, we used well-characterized enteric viruses murine norovirus and rotavirus to interrogate the effects of Epg5 in the intestine. We found that epg5−/− mice are resistant to enteric viruses, and we identified increased colonic ISG (interferon-stimulated gene) signatures associated with dysregulation of the epg5−/− intestinal microbiota and intestinal epithelial cell SQSTM1 accumulation. Intriguingly, we determined that the inflammatory intestinal phenotype, as well as the pulmonary inflammatory phenotype, in epg5−/− mice are fully independent of the commensal microbiota. Finally, the ISG signatures responsible for limiting viral infection in epg5−/− mice were dependent upon intact IFNL/IFN-λ signaling. Our study indicates that Epg5 limits the IFN-mediated antiviral state and maintains microbial homeostasis in the gut independent of detection of the microbiota itself.

Results

Epg5-deficient mice are resistant to multiple enteric viral infections

Based upon its previously-described role in regulating inflammation and viral infection in the lung [18], we hypothesized that Epg5 deficiency may affect viral pathogenesis at another important mucosal site: the intestine. To explore this possibility, we infected epg5−/− mice or littermate controls with two enteric viruses, MNoV (murine norovirus) and murine RV (rotavirus). First, mice were infected with MNoVCRE, a persistent strain exhibiting intestinal tropism [25,26]. Strikingly, epg5−/− mice exhibited complete resistance to MNoVCRE at acute (three and seven days post-infection (dpi)) and persistent (21 dpi) time points. No viral shedding from epg5−/− mice was detected at any time point (Figure 1A). Viral levels in the ileum, colon, and mesenteric lymph node were negligible in epg5−/− mice (Figure 1B). We also tested acute strain MNOVCW3, which can spread systemically in wild-type mice, and observed inhibited viral infection in epg5−/− mice in the spleen at three dpi (Figure S1A). In vitro, MNOVCW3 replicated equivalently in wild-type and epg5−/− bone marrow-derived macrophage cells, and was sensitive to IFNG in both, suggesting there is not a cell-intrinsic defect in MNoV replication in the absence of Epg5 (Figure S1B). Next, we challenged epg5−/− mice or littermate controls with the EC strain of murine rotavirus (RVEC), and examined viral replication and shedding at an acute time point (4 dpi). Similar to the MNOVCRE results, epg5−/− mice exhibited a near-complete absence of viral replication in the ileum (Figure 1C), with an associated trend toward decreased viral shedding into the stool (Figure 1D). Thus, Epg5-deficiency provides resistance to multiple enteric viruses.

Inflammatory intestinal gene expression in Epg5-deficient mice

Because resistance to infection in the lung of epg5−/− mice is associated with autophagy defects, including accumulation of SQSTM1 and inflammation, we sought to explore these phenotypes in the intestine in the absence of infectious stimuli. No overt morphological or cellular changes were evident by histological analysis (Figure 2A), and flow cytometric analysis of intestinal intraepithelial lymphocytes, T regulatory cells, and colonic macrophages revealed only subtly decreased CD8 intraepithelial lymphocytes and decreased ADGRE1/F4/80 staining in macrophages, potentially associated with increased activation [27,28] (Figure S1C-G). However, epg5−/− mice exhibited dramatic SQSTM1 accumulation in the epithelial layer of the colon (Figure 2A), suggesting impaired autophagy in the intestine as was previously observed in lung [18]. We also detected LC3-II accumulation by western blot in ileum and colon samples from epg5−/− mice, consistent with defects in autophagosome turnover (Figure S2A). We confirmed there were no overt defects in tuft cell numbers, the cellular reservoir of persistent MNoV infection [29] (Figure S2B,C), or robust SQSTM1 accumulation in tuft cells in epg5−/− mice (Figure S2C,D). Colonic epithelial cell
proliferation was also similar between epg5−/− mice and control littermates (Figure S2E).

We next assessed the epg5−/− intestine for any gene expression changes by performing RNaseq on proximal colon sections from naïve epg5−/− mice and wild-type littermates (Figure 2B–D). While the overall number of significantly differentially-expressed genes in the epg5−/− colon was limited (85 genes upregulated; 13 downregulated) (Figure 2B), gene set enrichment analysis revealed the most robust expression signatures to be consistent with IFN and TNF inflammatory responses (Figure 2C,D). Of particular interest was the top signature, that of IFNL response, which is known to be potently antiviral in controlling both MNoV and RV [30–34]. We validated this signature by analyzing ISGs by qPCR, and found canonical ISGs to be significantly upregulated in the epg5−/− intestine (Figure S3A). We also assessed expression

Figure 1. Epg5−/− mice are resistant to infection by persistent murine norovirus and murine rotavirus. (A,B) Epg5+/+ and epg5−/− littermates were orally inoculated with 10⁶ PFU of MNoV CR6, and stool MNoV levels at 3, 7, and 21 dpi (A), and tissue viral levels at 21 dpi (B) were analyzed. N = 11–14 mice per group over two independent experiments. (C,D) Epg5+/+ and epg5−/− littermates were orally inoculated with 10⁵ 50% ID₅₀ of RV EC, and ileum (C) and stool (D) viral levels were quantified at 4 dpi. Statistical analyses performed using Mann-Whitney test.

***, P < 0.001; **, P < 0.01.
Figure 2. Epg5−/− mice exhibit SQSTM1 accumulation and inflammatory signatures in the colon. (A) Histological analysis of wild-type and epg5−/− colons, stained for epithelial cell marker CTNNB1 and autophagy substrate SQSTM1 with DAPI counterstaining. Representative photomicrographs are shown (N = 4 mice per group). (B-D) RNAseq analysis was performed using proximal colon tissue from Epg5+/+ and epg5−/− littermates at 9–12 weeks of age. (B) Heatmap of significantly upregulated or downregulated genes. Gene set enrichment analysis identified significantly enriched hallmark gene sets (C), with the IFNL pathway being the most enriched in epg5−/− colons (D). N = 6 mice per group.
of type I, II, and III IFNs themselves by qPCR, and detected elevated Ifna4 and Ifna11 expression as well as a trend toward elevated Ifnl2 and Ifnl3 expression in epg5+/− mice (Figure S3B). Ifnb and Ifng were equivalent between epg5+/− mice and wild-type littermates (Figure S3B). MNOV infection did not alter ISG expression in epg5+/− mice (Figure S3C). Associated with enhanced intestinal ISG expression, we detected increased phosphorylated STAT1 in the ileum and colon of epg5+/− mice, indicating enhanced activation of this transcription factor responsible for ISG expression in response to IFNs (Figure S3D). Levels of IFNL protein were similar in the serum and colon between epg5+/− mice and controls, but in ileum we observed a trend toward increased IFNL levels in epg5+/− mice (Figure S3E). Consistent with this observation, we found enhanced levels of phosphorylated protein kinase TBK1, a key upstream signaling molecule in the induction of type I and II IFNs [35], in the ileum but not the colon of epg5+/− mice (Figure S3F). We tested whether the inflammatory ISG signature observed in the epg5+/− intestine was associated with differential sensitivity to colitis, but did not observe substantial differences in weight loss or survival after dextran sodium sulfate administration in epg5+/− mice (Figure S4A,B). In summary, Epg5-deficiency in mice leads to accumulation of autophagic flux indicator SQSTM1 in the villi and associated dysregulated IFN responses in the intestine.

The microbiota is altered in mice lacking Epg5

With the finding of an inflammatory transcriptional profile in the intestine of epg5+/− mice, we sought to determine if dysregulated cross-talk with the microbiota could be a factor. Canonical autophagy pathway genes Atg5 and Atg7 can regulate intestinal microbiobial populations [16,36], and we thus assessed whether late autophagy gene Epg5 was similarly involved in microbiota control. Cross-sectional analysis of the fecal bacterial microbiota of adult epg5+/− mice compared to their Epg5+/+ littermates revealed subtly increased absolute bacterial levels in epg5+/− mice, as well as significant overall community composition differences between these groups (Figure 3A,B), a finding consistent with prior studies in mice lacking autophagy genes in intestinal epithelial cells [16,36]. To determine whether development of microbiota differences required maintenance of distinct genotypes in a co-housed environment, we extended our initial analysis by performing a longitudinal comparison of Epg5+/+ and epg5+/− littermates who were initially co-housed en masse, then singly-housed for the duration of the experiment to prevent mouse-to-mouse microbial transfer. Over four weeks of being singly-housed, we again observed significant differences in overall community composition by adulthood (Figure 3C). We performed LEfSe analysis to identify putative biomarkers, and observed numerous taxonomic changes from the phylum to genus level in epg5+/− mice (Figure 3D). epg5+/− mice exhibited loss of protective microbes Akkermansia muciniphila (Figure 3D), a finding also reported in mice lacking Atg5 in intestinal epithelial cells [16]. A significant decrease in Bacteroidetes and concomitant rise in Firmicutes was observed in epg5+/− mice by cross-sectional analysis (Figure 3E); a non-significant trend in the same direction was observed after singly-housing (Figure 3F). Thus, deficiency in Epg5 is associated with gradual development of distinct intestinal bacterial populations, independent of the specific method of housing. We also analyzed the bacterial microbiota of two other mouse lines with intestinal epithelial cell-specific defects in autophagy, atg7−/−,Vil-Cre and atg16l1−/−,Vil-Cre mice, and found that both exhibited significant differences in overall community composition from control littermates (Figure S4C,D). Of interest, these lines exhibited loss of Akkermansia muciniphila as well as Allobaculum stercoris, a microbe in the Erysipelotrichaceae family which is also decreased in epg5+/− mice (Figure S4E,F), suggesting that defects in autophagy are associated with consistent changes in microbiota composition.

Interestingly, while performing our microbiota analysis, we observed that epg5+/− mice exhibited significantly smaller fecal pellets than wild-type littermates (Figure S4G). We also found significantly delayed intestinal transit time in epg5+/− mice via assessment of Evan’s blue dye transit (Figure S4H). Thus, in addition to enhanced intestinal inflammatory signatures, Epg5-deficiency is also associated with altered commensal bacterial populations and differential intestinal function.

The microbiota does not regulate the basal inflammatory state of the epg5+/− colon

To further investigate the relationship between the microbiota and inflammatory phenotypes in epg5+/− mice, we generated germ-free (GF) Epg5+/− and epg5−/− mice by rederiving embryos into GF Swiss-Webster dams. GF mice were either maintained as GF until 9 weeks of age, or colonized at weaning at 3 weeks of age by fecal transplant (FT) from specific-pathogen free (SPF) Epg5 mice (Figure 4A). We confirmed that the mice were GF or had been successfully recolonized by analysis of 16S rRNA gene copies in stool at 9 weeks of age (Figure 4B). Growth retardation has previously been reported for epg5−/− mice [7]; we measured weight of SPF and GF mice at 3 weeks of age prior to colonization, and found that epg5−/− were significantly smaller than Epg5+/− littermates regardless of the presence or absence of the microbiota (Figure 4C). We next evaluated whether the microbiota played any role in SQSTM1 accumulation in the colonic epithelium observed in SPF epg5−/− mice (Figure 2A), and found this accumulation was also present in GF and recolonized (GF+FT) epg5−/− mice (Figure 4D). We also found that GF and recolonized epg5−/− mice exhibited increased expression of colonic ISGs compared to Epg5+/− littermates (Figure 4E). Similarly, we found that ex vivo in the absence of microbial products, colonoids derived from epg5−/− but not Epg5+/− mice showed elevated levels of ISG Ifit1 (Figure 4F). Our results thus indicate that the microbiota is not responsible for the growth retardation or colonic IFN-associated inflammatory signature in epg5−/− mice.

The microbiota does not regulate the inflammatory state of the epg5−/− lung

It has been previously reported that epg5−/− mice are resistant to influenza infection due to elevated basal lung inflammation [18]. We thus assessed the role of the microbiota in
The intestinal bacterial microbiota is altered in epg5−/− mice. (A) Epg5+/+ and epg5−/− littermates were separated by genotype at 4–5 weeks of age and maintained in cages of 2–5 mice/cage. Fecal pellets were collected at 8–10 weeks of age, and sequencing analysis of the 16S rRNA gene V4 region was performed. QPCR was performed on extracted DNA to determine 16S rRNA gene copies/fecal pellet, and richness and Faith’s phylogenetic diversity analyses were performed on V4 sequencing data (A). Principal components analysis was also performed using weighted UniFrac distances (B). N = 16–21 mice per group over two independent experiments. (C) Fecal samples were collected from Epg5+/+ and epg5−/− littermates around time of weaning (4 weeks of age), after mice were subsequently co-housed in hamster cages by sex for 4 weeks (8 weeks), and then after they were subsequently singly-housed for an additional 4 weeks (12 weeks). Principal components analysis was performed. N = 9 mice per group. (D) LEfSe analysis of 16S rRNA gene sequencing of Epg5+/+ and epg5−/− littermates (cohorts in B and C) identified statistically significant bacterial taxa biomarkers. (E, F) Relative abundances of Bacteroidetes and Firmicutes phyla from (B) and (C). Statistical analyses performed using Mann-Whitney test (A, E, F) or permutational MANOVA (ADONIS) (B, C). **, P < 0.01; *, P < 0.05; ns, not significant.

Figure 3. The intestinal bacterial microbiota is altered in epg5−/− mice. (A,B) Epg5+/+ and epg5−/− littermates were separated by genotype at 4–5 weeks of age and maintained in cages of 2–5 mice/cage. Fecal pellets were collected at 8–10 weeks of age, and sequencing analysis of the 16S rRNA gene V4 region was performed. QPCR was performed on extracted DNA to determine 16S rRNA gene copies/fecal pellet, and richness and Faith’s phylogenetic diversity analyses were performed on V4 sequencing data (A). Principal components analysis was also performed using weighted UniFrac distances (B). N = 16–21 mice per group over two independent experiments. (C) Fecal samples were collected from Epg5+/+ and epg5−/− littermates around time of weaning (4 weeks of age), after mice were subsequently co-housed in hamster cages by sex for 4 weeks (8 weeks), and then after they were subsequently singly-housed for an additional 4 weeks (12 weeks). Principal components analysis was performed. N = 9 mice per group. (D) LEfSe analysis of 16S rRNA gene sequencing of Epg5+/+ and epg5−/− littermates (cohorts in B and C) identified statistically significant bacterial taxa biomarkers. (E, F) Relative abundances of Bacteroidetes and Firmicutes phyla from (B) and (C). Statistical analyses performed using Mann-Whitney test (A, E, F) or permutational MANOVA (ADONIS) (B, C). **, P < 0.01; *, P < 0.05; ns, not significant.
inflammatory lung phenotypes previously observed in epg5−/− mice. Consistent with findings in SPF epg5−/− mice, GF and recolonized epg5−/− mice exhibited histologic lung abnormalities including the appearance of lymphoid aggregates, large macrophage-like cells, and infiltration by polymorphonuclear leukocytes (Figure S5A). Flow cytometric analysis confirmed inflammatory phenotypes previously observed in epg5−/− lungs, including increased neutrophils and upregulation of ITGAM/CD11b on alveolar macrophages, regardless of the presence or absence of the microbiota (Figure S5A,B, Figure S5B) [18]. Similarly, the microbiota did not regulate the dysregulated SQSTM1 accumulation observed in epg5−/− lungs by histological analysis (Figure 5C). Finally, expression of cytokines Il1β and Tnf was significantly upregulated in GF and recolonized epg5−/− lungs, but not colons, compared to Epg5−/− littermates, consistent with our prior report in SPF epg5−/− mice (Figure 5D, Figure S6A) [18]. Cumulatively, these results indicate that the microbiota is dispensable for the dysregulated autophagy, immune cell infiltration, and inflammatory cytokine milieu of the lungs in mice lacking Epg5.

**The colonic antiviral inflammatory signature in epg5−/− mice is driven by IFNL signaling**

Upon finding that the microbiota played a negligible role in the basal inflammatory state in epg5−/− mice, we furthered our investigation into the specific signaling molecules that may be driving these intestinal antiviral effects by interrogating the role of IFNL signaling. Expression of Ifnlr1 in the colons of SPF and GF was equivalent between epg5−/− and Epg5−/− littermates (Figure S6A). We generated epg5−/− ifnlr1−/− and Epg5−/− ifnlr1−/− mice, which lack IFNL signaling. While disruption of IFNL signaling did not affect the growth retardation observed in epg5−/− mice (Figure 6A), we found that MNoV susceptibility was restored in epg5−/− ifnlr1−/− mice compared to epg5−/− mice, as measured by stool viral shedding at 7 dpi and colon MNoV levels at 21 dpi (Figure 6B,C). We also observed significantly decreased expression of canonical ISGs Ifit1, Ifi44, and Ms2 in post-infection colons of epg5−/− ifnlr1−/− mice compared to epg5−/− mice (Figure 6D). Disruption of IFNL signaling in epg5−/− mice also restored susceptibility to RV E1C (Figure 6E,F), but had no effect on the accumulation of SQSTM1 in intestinal epithelial cells (Figure S6B). We also assessed whether, in the absence of IFNL signaling, the dysbiosis observed in epg5−/− mice would still be present, by analyzing 16S rRNA gene sequencing from pre-infection stools of epg5−/− ifnlr1−/− and Epg5−/− ifnlr1−/− mice. We found that, similar to the epg5−/− mouse microbiota compared to that of littermate controls (Figure 3B,C), the epg5−/− ifnlr1−/− microbiota was significantly different from that of Epg5−/− ifnlr1−/− mice (Figure 6G). Though not statistically significantly, relative abundances of Bacteroidetes and Firmicutes in epg5−/− ifnlr1−/− samples also followed similar trends as observed in epg5−/− samples (Figure 6H). Disruption of IFNL signaling similarly did not affect the histologic lung abnormalities observed in epg5−/− mice (Figure S6C). We also assessed previously-harvested lungs from epg5−/− sqstm1−/− mice and a single mouse deficient for both Epg5 and Sting1 in parallel, and observed that neither autophagic cargo protein SQSTM1 nor cytosolic DNA sensor STING1 appear to contribute to histologic lung abnormalities in epg5−/− mice (Figure S6C). In sum, these data support that IFNL signaling is the major driver of dysregulated ISG expression and antiviral signaling, but not of microbial dysbiosis, in the intestine.

**Discussion**

Autophagy is an essential cellular pathway for processing intracellular pathogens and cellular materials during periods of stress and plays critical roles at barrier sites in the body such as the intestinal and pulmonary mucosa. Here, we discovered a novel interaction between autophagy gene Epg5 and the intestinal antiviral response, which is predominantly mediated by elevated IFNL signaling. Epg5 deficiency resulted in complete resistance to multiple enteric viruses including norovirus and rotavirus in mice, and genetic studies leveraging epg5−/− ifnlr1−/− mice revealed that these intestinal hyperinflammation and antiviral resistance phenotypes are dependent on the IFNL-ISG pathway. Loss of Epg5 is also associated with dysbiosis of commensal microbiota in the intestine. However, strikingly, GF mouse studies indicated that the hyperinflammation in the intestine and lung occurs without contribution from the dysbiotic microbiota.

This study provides an unexpected observation which uncouples the traditional “stimulus and response” link between the microbiota and inflammation. In recent years, several studies have shown that sensing of microbial metabolites or the microbiota itself is a primary driver of inflammatory responses in the intestine and other tissues [17,20,24]. Susceptible animals such as autophagy-deficient mice are thought to be hypersensitive to these microbial stimuli, resulting in elevated cytokine production and immune cell infiltration in the tissue. As shown, Epg5-deficient mice exhibited hyperinflammation at two mucosal sites, lung and intestine, as well as dysbiosis of the bacterial microbiota. Gene expression analysis in the intestine revealed a strong signature of ISG expression, which is predominantly IFNL-mediated. Elevated IFNL appeared to be derived predominantly from the ileum, while STAT1 activation and antiviral ISG activity were present throughout the intestine. epg5−/− ifnlr1−/− mice lost this increased expression of ISGs, but still maintained dysbiosis of the microbiota. Conversely, GF epg5−/− mice developed hyperinflammation at comparable levels to conventional epg5−/− in both the lung and intestine, which is independent of reconstitution of the commensal microbiota. Although inflammatory signatures in the lung and intestine exhibit different features (e.g., increased TNF and IL1B in the lung, and increased IFNL and ISGs in the intestine), none of these phenotypes required any stimulus from microbiota, indicating that hyperinflammation and microbial dysbiosis are completely independent in these animals. We also found that neither STING1, which is involved in induction of both inflammation and autophagy [37,38], nor SQSTM1, which may also contribute to regulation of inflammatory pathways [39,40], play any role in epg5−/− associated inflammatory lung phenotypes. Thus, our study in the epg5−/− mouse model broadens the
Figure 4. The microbiota does not regulate the basal inflammatory state of the epg5−/− colon. (A) Epg5+/+ and epg5−/− embryos were rederived into germ-free (GF) Swiss-Webster dams, then were either maintained as GF until 9 weeks of age, or colonized at weaning at 3 weeks of age by fecal transplant (FT) from specific-pathogen free (SPF) Epg5+/+ mice. (B) 16S RNA gene copies per fecal pellet from Epg5+/+ and epg5−/− GF, or colonized (GF+FT) littermates as detected by qPCR of the V4 hypervariable region of the 16S rRNA gene. (C) Weights of age-matched SPF and GF Epg5+/+ and epg5−/− mice were collected. N = 5–18 mice per group. (D) Histological analysis of Epg5+/+ and epg5−/− SPF, GF, or GF+FT littermate colons, stained for epithelial cell marker CTNNB1 and autophagy substrate SQSTM1 with DAPI counterstaining. Representative photomicrographs are shown. Scale bars are 50 μm. (E) QPCR was used to analyze expression of canonical ISGs Ifit1, Ifi44, and Oas1a in colon from Epg5+/+ and epg5−/− SPF, GF, or GF+FT littermates at 9 weeks of age. N = 5–8 mice per group. (F) QPCR was used to analyze expression of Ifit1 in colonoids derived from Epg5+/+ and epg5−/− SPF colons. N = 9–10 colonoids per group pooled from two independent experiments. Statistical analyses performed using Mann-Whitney test.

**, P < 0.01; *, P < 0.05.
Figure 5. The microbiota does not control inflammatory lung phenotypes in epg5−/− mice. (A,B) Flow cytometric analysis was performed on lung tissue from Epg5+/+ and epg5−/− SPF, GF, or GF+FT littermates, and the percentage of neutrophils (PTPRC/CD45+ Ly6G+ ITGAM/CD11b+) (A) as well as the ITGAM/CD11b-positivity of alveolar macrophages (pre-gated on PTPRC/CD45+ SIGLEC1+ ITGAX/CD11c+) (B) was assessed. (C) Histological analysis of Epg5+/+ and epg5−/− SPF, GF, or GF+FT littermate lungs, stained for SQSTM1 with DAPI counterstaining. Representative photomicrographs are shown. (D) QPCR was used to analyze expression of Il1b and Tnf in lungs from these mice. N = 6–8 mice per group over two independent experiments. Statistical analyses performed using Mann-Whitney test. *** P < 0.001; ** P < 0.01; * P < 0.05; ns, not significant.
Figure 6. Antiviral signaling, but not dysbiosis, in epg5−/− mice is driven by IFNL signaling.

(A) Weights of Epg5+/−/Ifnlr1−/− and epg5−/−/Ifnlr1−/− littermates at week 3 old. These mice, as well as Epg5+/− and epg5−/− controls, were orally inoculated with 10^6 PFU of MNov at 6–8 weeks of age and stool MNov levels at 7 dpi (B), and tissue viral levels at 21 dpi (C) were analyzed. (D) QPCR was used to analyze expression of ISGs Ifit1, Ifi44, and Mx2 in colons from these mice. N = 4–10 mice per group over two independent experiments. Statistical analyses performed using Mann-Whitney test (A-D,F) or permutational MANOVA (ADONIS) (E). ***, P < 0.001; **, P < 0.01; *, P < 0.05; ns, not significant.
conventional view of immune responses and their regulation by autophagy genes.

It has been shown in multiple studies that deficiency of autophagy genes is associated with hyperinflammation in multiple organs (e.g., lung, intestine and peritoneum) via elevation of different proinflammatory cytokines including type I IFNs, IFNG, TNF and IL1B [14,15,18,20–22,24]. In a recent study, defects in autophagy genes in intestinal epithelial cells are reported to induce type I IFN responses, driven by the commensal microbiota [24]. However, immune regulation of type III IFN signaling by the autophagy pathway has not previously been studied. Data in this study revealed a novel role for autophagy gene Epg5 in regulating intestinal antiviral responses via IFNL, which is a specialized antiviral cytokine at barrier sites and a previously unrecognized element to be controlled by an autophagy gene. As lung inflammation and intestinal IFNL responses are microbiota-independent, it is an open question as to what stimuli trigger hyperinflammation. Epg5-deficient intestines in GF and conventional conditions exhibited accumulated autophagic flux marker SQSTM1, particularly at the tips of epithelial villi, suggesting enterocytes are under autophagic stress and may be responsible for increased IFNL production. However, our data indicates that IFNL signaling itself does not contribute to SQSTM1 accumulation or to inflammatory lung phenotypes. Given the rapid turnover of the epithelial cell layer, dead cells, released mitochondrial DNA, or damaged DNA could serve as drivers of inflammation. Further studies will be needed to elucidate the upstream stimuli, sensing and regulation pathways to better understand the autophagy-mediated regulation of IFNL responses.

Although hyperinflammation is not directly driven by dysbiosis of the commensal microbiota in $\text{epg5}^{-/-}$ mice, key features of the microbiota in $\text{epg5}^{-/-}$ mice reveal important insights. Microbiota changes, including increased bacterial load, loss of Akkermansia, and increased abundance of genera in the Clostridiaceae family in $\text{epg5}^{-/-}$ mice (Figure 3) are consistent with prior reports of dysbiosis in mice lacking Atg5 or Atg7 in intestinal epithelial cells [16,36]. Our study thus supports the broad observation that dysregulated autophagy in the intestine contributes to dysregulation of microbial communities [41].

Materials and methods

Ethics statement

All mice were used under regulations stipulated by Washington University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee and on protocols approved by the Washington University Animal Studies Committee.

Mouse lines

C57BL/6 J mice were originally purchased from Jackson Laboratories (Jackson Laboratories, 000664) and bred and housed in Washington University in Saint Louis animal facilities under specific pathogen free, including MN0V-free, conditions. Crosses of $\text{Epg5}^{-/-}$ mice [7] were used to generate experimental animals and littermates were used for all experiments. $\text{Ifih1}^{-/-}$ mice, generated from a cross between $\text{Ifih1}^{+/+}$ (originally from $\text{Ifih1}^{-/-}\text{tm1a[EUCOMM(Wtsi)]ES}$ cells) and a Deleter-Cre line as previously described [30], were bred to $\text{Epg5}^{-/-}$ mice. $\text{sqstm1}^{-/-}$ mice [42] and $\text{sting1}^{-/-}$ mice [43] were also bred to $\text{Epg5}^{-/-}$ mice. Only a single $\text{epg5}^{-/-}\text{sting1}^{-/-}$ mouse was obtained from six litters (28 mice) born from $\text{Epg5}^{-/-}\text{sting1}^{-/-}$ intercrosses. $\text{Atg7}^{-/-}$ [44] and $\text{atg3}^{-/-}\text{Vil-Cre}$ [14], as well as $\text{Atg16l1}^{+/+}$ [11] and $\text{atg16l1}^{-/-}\text{Vil-Cre}$ [45], mice were generated by crossing Cre-positive and Cre-negative mice.

For rederivation of GF $\text{Epg5}^{+/+}$ and $\text{epg5}^{-/-}$ mice, sperm was harvested from $\text{epg5}^{-/-}$ males and eggs from $\text{Epg5}^{+/+}$ females for in vitro fertilization. The resulting embryos were then transferred into GF pseudopregnant Swiss Webster recipient females, and $\text{Epg5}^{+/+}$ and $\text{epg5}^{-/-}$ mice were born at approximately equal ratios. GF and recolonized mice were housed in the Washington University Gnotobiotic Core Facility until 9 weeks of age. Confirmation of a GF environment was performed by bi-weekly wet mount observation, anaerobic and aerobic culture, fungal culture, and monthly 16S rRNA gene PCR (Charles River) and shotgun sequencing (Transnettx) of fecal samples.

**Generation of viral stocks**

Stocks of MN0V strains CR6 and CW3 were generated from molecular clones as previously described [25]. Briefly, plasmid encoding the viral genomes was transfected into 293 T cells to generate infectious virus, which was subsequently passaged on BV2 (RRID:CVCL 0182) cells (obtained from the Virgin Lab at Washington University School of Medicine). After two passages, BV2 cultures were frozen and thawed to liberate virions. Cultures then were cleared of cellular debris and virus was concentrated by ultracentrifugation through a 30% sucrose cushion. Titers of virus stocks were determined by plaque assay on BV2 cells [46].

For production of murine RV stocks, four-day-old suckling CD-1 mice (Charles River, CrI:CD1[ICR]) were infected orally with 400 diarrheal dose 50 (5 uL of a 1:10 dilution in PBS [Gibco, 14190–144] + Evan’s blue) from a stock of murine RV strain EC-RV received from the Estes Lab at Baylor College of Medicine. Three days post-infection, mice were sacrificed and the entire small intestine and colon with contents were harvested and pooled for weighing. A 20% homogenate was prepared in homogenization media of DMEM (Gibco, 11995–040) supplemented with 1% penicillin-streptomycin (Gibco, 15140–122) by three rounds of homogenization using a Mini-Beadbeater-24 (Biocomp Products, 112011) on ice (homogenize for 1 min, then allow to settle for 30 s). Homogenate was pooled on ice, aliquoted, and then stored at −80°C until use. The shedding dose 50 (SD50) of the stock was determined by orally infecting groups of five adult mice (6–8 weeks old), of both BALB/c and C57BL/6 genotypes, with 100 uL of 10-fold serial dilutions, ranging from $10^{-5}$ to $10^{-9}$ for BALB/c and $10^{0}$ to $10^{-5}$ for C57BL/6, and analyzing fecal pellets for RV genome copies by qPCR.

**Mouse treatments and infections**

For intestinal transit time assays, mice were gavaged orally with 500 uL of 8% Evans blue dye (MP Biomedicals, 314–13-
6). Fecal pellets were collected at 2, 4, 6, 9, 24, and 48 h, weighed and resuspended at 100 mg/mL in PBS. “Evan’s Blue Score” was determined by assessing the level of blue color in the feces: slightly blue = 1, light blue = 2, moderate blue = 3, dark blue = 4, intense blue = 5, as previously described [47, 48].

For MNoV infections, mice were inoculated with virus at 6–8 weeks of age by the oral route in a volume of 25 μl; a dose of 10^6 plaque-forming units (pfu) of the indicated virus was used for all experiments. For RV infections, 6–8 week-old mice received 10^5 50% shedding doses (SD50) of RV in 100 μl preceded by 100 μl 1.33% (w/v) sodium bicarbonate (Fisher Scientific, BP328–500) by oral gavage.

**Cells, infections, and IFN treatment**

Primary bone marrow-derived macrophages were prepared as described previously [11]. Briefly, bone marrow was incubated in culture medium (DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS, 5% defined equine serum [Invitrogen, 16050–114], 10% CMG14-12 supernatant [49], 1% MEM nonessential amino acids [Gibco, 11140050], 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 2 mM l-glutamine) for 7 days, after which adherent cells were harvested and replated on fresh culture medium. For *in vitro* infections, cells were plated at a density of 1 × 10^5 cells/well in 24-well dishes. Infections were performed at day 11 of *in vitro* culture after 24 h of treatment with 100 U of recombinant IFNG (R&D Systems, 485-MI-100/CF). After 30 min of absorption at 4°C, cells were washed and IFNG added back into treated cultures. Cells were harvested by freezing at −80°C.

Colonoids were generated from crypts isolated from colons. Briefly, adult mice were euthanized to collect colon tissue, which was then cut into 2- to 4-mm pieces and washed with ice cold PBS. The tissue fragments were treated with EDTA solution to isolate intestinal crypts. The crypts were resuspended in Matrigel (Corning, 15585729) and seeded into culture plates. The colonoids were grown in basal culture media (Advanced DMEM/F12 [Gibco, 12634–010] supplemented with 2 mM GlutaMax [Invitrogen, 35050–061], 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.5). For differentiation, the colonoids were cultured in differentiation media consisting of basal culture media with (1X) N2 supplement (Invitrogen, 17502048), (1X) B27 supplement (Invitrogen, 17504044), and 1 mM N-acetylcysteine (Sigma Aldrich, A9165), 50 ng/mL murine recombinant EGF (Invitrogen, PMG8041), 100 ng/mL murine recombinant NOG (noggin; PeproTech, AF-250-38), and 1 mg/mL human recombinant RSPO1 (R-spondin 1; R&D Systems, 4645-RS-025/CF).

**Lung and colon histological analysis**

Lungs were inflated and colonos were flushed with PBS, then both tissues were fixed with 10% formalin, embedded in paraffin, cut into 5-μm sections, and adhered to charged slides. Slides were stained with H&E or left unstained. For IHC, lung sections were deparaffinized in CitriSolv (Fisher, 1601) and rehydrated in graded ethanol. Heat-mediated antigen retrieval was performed with citrate-based Antigen Unmasking Solution (Vector labs, H3300). Sections were blocked and incubated with primary antibodies overnight at 4°C, and detected by Alexa Fluor 488- (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, 111–545–003) and 555- (Invitrogen, A21435) conjugated secondary antibodies. Slides were mounted using DAPI-containing mounting media (Vector labs, H-1000). Images were obtained with immunofluorescence microscopy (BX-51; Olympus) and analyzed with SPOT Advanced software (Diagnostic Instruments). Antibodies used: SQSTM1/p62 (Progen, GP-P62 C), CTNNB1 (Sigma, HPA029160), MKI67/Ki67 (eBioscience, SolA15), DCLK1 (Abcam, ab31704).

**Analysis of intestinal tissues by western blotting and ELISA**

Tissues were processed by mechanical homogenization in RIPA buffer (Beyotime, P0013K) containing PMSF (protease inhibitor; Beyotime, ST506). Pierce™ BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo, 23227) was utilized to quantify protein amounts. Following SDS-PAGE to resolve samples, transfer to PVDF membrane (GE, 10600023) was performed. Membranes were then subjected to a 60 min incubation at room temperature with blocking buffer containing PBS or TBST (TBST [Servicebio, G0015] + 0.01% Tween-20 [Sangon Biotech, A600560]) and 5% nonfat dry milk, followed by incubation overnight at 4°C with either a 1:1000 dilution of anti-LC3 (Cell Signaling Technology, 83506), anti-TBK1/NAK (Cell Signaling Technology, 3504), anti-phospho-TBK1/NAK (Ser172; Cell Signaling Technology, 5483), a 1:750 dilution of anti-phospho-STAT1 (Tyr701; Invitrogen, 7649 T), or a 1:5000 dilution of anti-GAPDH (Bio-Rad, MCA4739P) or anti-ACTB/β-actin (Huabio, EM21002). This was followed by PBST (PBS and 0.05% Tween-20) and treatment with 1:5000 horseradish peroxydase: goat anti-mouse IgG (Cell Signaling Technology, 7076) at room temperature for 60 min. The Sensitive Enhanced Chemiluminescence D kit (Proteintech, B2202103) or ECL Western Blotting Substrate (Pierce, 32106) was utilized to detect specific reactive proteins on the membrane.

For IFNL ELISA, serum or 30–50 mg of ileum or colon homogenate were collected and IFNL2 and IFNL3 levels were analyzed by ELISA as described previously (R&D Systems, DY1789B05) [50].

**RNA extraction and quantitative reverse transcription-PCR**

As previously described [30], RNA was isolated from stool using a ZR-96 Viral RNA kit (Zymo Research, D7023). RNA from tissues or cells was isolated using TRI Reagent with a Direct-zol-96 RNA kit (Zymo Research, R2056) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Five μl of RNA from stool or tissue was used for cDNA synthesis with the ImProm-II reverse transcription system (Promega, A3800). MNoV TaqMan assays were performed, using a standard curve for determination of absolute viral genome copies, as described previously [51]. RV genome copies were detected using custom NSP3-specific primer pairs.
(5′-CTTCTGGACTACGACAGACTATT-3′, 5′-GATTTGTACGCGTGTGTGG-3′) with a (5′-AGCGCTGCACTTAGACTAGCAT-3′ 5′-carboxyfluorescein (FAM) dye label, 3′ nonfluorescent quencher (NFQ), and minor groove binder (MGB) from Integrated DNA Technologies. PrimeTime qPCR assays for *Ifna4* (Mm. PT.58.7678281.g, *Ifnb* (Mm. PT.58.3012453.g), *Ifng* (Mm. PT.58.30096391), *Ifit1* (Mm. PT.58.32674307), *Ifi44* (Mm. PT.58.12162024), and *Oas1a* (Mm. PT.58.30459792) all from Integrated DNA Technologies, and a Taqman assay for *Ifn12* and *Ifn3* (Mm.04204156.g_H) from Thermo Fisher Scientific were performed using the same protocol. Quantitative PCR for housekeeping gene *Rps29* was also performed as described and used to normalize absolute values of other analytes [30].

**RNA-Seq**

For RNA-Seq, mRNA was extracted from colon tissue lysate by means of oligo-dT beads (Invitrogen, 61002). For cDNA synthesis, we used custom oligo-dT primer with a barcode and adaptor-linker sequence (CCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCT-XXXXXXXX-T15). After first-strand synthesis, samples were pooled together based on Actb qPCR values and RNA-DNA hybrid was degraded with consecutive acid-alkali treatment. Then, a second sequencing linker (AGATCGGAAGACGCTCTTCCGATCTG) was ligated with T4 ligase (New England BioLabs, M0202) followed by solid phase reversible immobilization/SPRI clean-up. The mixture then was PCR enriched 12 cycles and solid phase reversible immobilization purified to yield final strand-specific RNA-seq libraries. Libraries were sequenced using a HiSeq 2500 (Illumina) using 50 bp X 25 bp pair-end sequencing. Second mate was used for sample demultiplexing. Reads were aligned to the GRCh38.p2 assembly of the mouse genome using STAR aligner. Additional options were “-outFilterMultimapNmax 15 -outFilterMismatchNmax 6 -quantMode TranscriptomeSAM”. Aligned reads were quantified using quant3p script (github.com/cfab/quant3p) to account for specifics of 3′ sequencing: higher dependency on good 3′ annotation and lower level of sequence specificity close to 3′ end. ReSeq genome annotation was used. Using MACS2 peak caller, putative exons were identified (peaks in rna-seq alignment not overlapping with known exons), then assigned to a gene located within 5000 bp and added into the annotation. For on average 1 million reads per sample that mapped to multiple genome positions there were only one exonic alignment. For these reads multimapper flags were removed for read counting purposes. Actual read counting was carried out by ht-seq with enriched genome annotation and alignment with fixed multimapper flags. DESeq2 was used for differential gene expression analysis which was used as ranked list in pranked GSEA analysis to identify pathway enrichments [52]. An IFN-specific gene set was generated from the top differentially expressed genes after treatment with recombinant IFN [53]. RNA-Seq data have been uploaded to the European Nucleotide Archive (accession no. PRJEB42341).

**16S rDNA qPCR and Illumina sequencing and analysis**

Phenol/chloroform-extracted DNA from fecal pellets was used for both 16S RNA gene qPCR and sequencing. SYBR green qPCR for 16S was performed with 515 F (5′-GTGCCAGCMGCGCGGTAA-3′) and 805 R (5′-GACTACGGGATCATATACC-3′) primers to detect the V4 hypervariable region of the 16S rRNA gene.

For 16S sequencing, primer selection and PCRs were performed as described previously [54]. Briefly, each sample was amplified in triplicate with Golay-barcoded primers specific for the V4 region (F515/R806), combined, and confirmed by gel electrophoresis. PCR reactions contained 18.8 μL RNase/DNase-free water, 2.5 μL 10X High Fidelity PCR Buffer (Invitrogen, 11304–102), 0.5 μL 10 mM dNTPs, 1 μL 50 mM MgSO4, 0.5 μL each of the forward and reverse primers (10 μM final concentration), 0.1 μL Platinum High Fidelity Taq (Invitrogen, 11304–102) and 1.0 μL genomic DNA. Reactions were held at 94°C for 2 min to denature the DNA, with amplification proceeding for 26 cycles at 94°C for 15 s, 50°C for 30 s, and 68°C for 30 s; a final extension of 2 min at 68°C was added to ensure complete amplification. Amplicons were pooled and purified with 0.6X Agencourt AMPure XP beads (Beckman-Coulter, A63882) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The final pooled samples, along with aliquots of the three sequencing primers, were sent to the DNA Sequencing Innovation Lab (Washington University School of Medicine) for sequencing by the 2 X 250bp protocol with the Illumina MiSeq platform.

Read quality control and the resolution of amplicon sequence variants were performed with the dada2 R package [55]. Amplicon sequence variants that were not assigned to the kingdom Bacteria were filtered out. The remaining reads were assigned taxonomy using the Ribosomal Database Project (RDP) trainset 16/release 11.5 16S RNA gene sequence database [56]. Ecological analyses, such as alpha-diversity (richness, Faith’s phylogenetic diversity) and beta-diversity analyses (weighted UniFrac distances), were performed using Phyloseq and additional R packages [57], and differentially abundant taxa between sample groups were identified by performing pairwise comparisons using LEFSe [58]. 16S sequencing data have been uploaded to the European Nucleotide Archive (accession no. PRJEB42341).

**Flow cytometric analysis of lung and intestinal cells**

Single-cell suspensions were made from minced lung tissue and subjected to collagenase (Liberase Thermolysin Medium; Sigma-Aldrich, 5401119001), hyaluronidase (Sigma-Aldrich, H4272), and DNase I (grade II; Sigma-Aldrich, 10104159001) digestion, then treated with ACK buffer to remove red blood cells. Cells were suspended in PBS with 0.1% sodium azide and 1% BSA (Fisher Scientific, BP97061600), blocked with anti-FCGR2B/FcγRII-FCGR3/FcγRII (BioLegend, 101320), and labeled with specific antibodies. Gating strategies were the same as previously reported [18]. Briefly, antibodies used included: PTPRC/CD45 (APC-
Fire750; BioLegend, 147714), SIGLEC-F (BV605; BD Pharmlingen, 747673), FCGRI/CD64 (APC; BioLegend, 139306), LY6G (PerCP; BioLegend, 127654), ITGAx/CD11c (FITC; BioLegend, 117306), and ITGAM/CD11b (BV421; BioLegend, 101236). We used flow cytometry to identify specific cell populations in mouse lungs using the following gates: alveolar macrophages as PTPRC/CD45+ FCGRI/CD64+ SIGLEC-F+ ITGAX/CD11c− (in Epg5+ mice, ITGAM/CD11b expression was low; in epg5−/− mice, expression of ITGAM/CD11b was predominantly high), and neutrophils as PTPRC/CD45+ LY6G+ ITGAM/CD11b+. Flow cytometric analysis was performed on an LSRII Fortessa (BD Biosciences).

Intraepithelial or lamina propria lymphocytes were isolated from the small and large intestines as described previously [59]. Briefly, small or large intestines were collected and opened longitudinally washed with cold PBS to remove fecal content. The intestines were shaken in HBSS containing 5 mM EDTA and 0.5% FBS for 20 min at 37°C. Detached epithelial cells were filtered with a 70μm cell strainer and saved for Percoll (Sigma-Aldrich, P1644-500ML) gradient separation. After removing the epithelial cells, the remaining portions of the intestines were cut into small pieces and incubated with RPMI 1640 (Gibco, 11875093) containing 4% FBS, 1 mg/ml collagenase D (Roche Diagnostics, 1108858001), 0.5 mg/ml dispase (Roche Diagnostics, 10206938001) and 40 μg/ml DNase I (Roche Diagnostics, 10104159001) for 1 h at 37°C in a shaking water bath. The digested tissues were washed and filtered with a 70-μm cell strainer. The detached epithelial and digested lamina propria cells were resuspended in 5 ml of 40% Percoll, overlaid on 2.5 ml of 80% Percoll in a 15-ml Falcon tube. Percoll gradient separation was performed by centrifugation at 780 g for 20 min at 25°C. The interface cells were collected, washed with cold PBS and then used immediately for experiments as intraepithelial or lamina propria lymphocytes.

After dead cell labeling with Zombie Aqua™ Fixable Viability Kit (BioLegend, 423102), the cells were stained with PTPRC/CD45 (APC-CY7, BV421, and BV711; BioLegend, 103116, 103134, 103147), CD3 (BVU 395; BD Biosciences, 565365), CD4 (PE; BioLegend, 100408), KLRF1/NK-1.1 (APC-CY7; BioLegend, 108724), PTPRC/CD200 (AF700; BioLegend, 103231), CD8A (FITC; BioLegend, 100706), CD8B1 (PE-Cy7; BioLegend, 126661), TCRB/TCRB (BV421; BioLegend, 109230), TCRG/TCRy-TCRD/TCRD (APC; BioLegend, 118116), CX3CR1 (FITC; BioLegend, 149020), LY6C (APC-CY7; BioLegend, 128025), ADGREI/F4/80 (BV785; BioLegend, 123141), ITGAX/CD11c (PE-CY7; BioLegend, 117317), ITGAM/CD11b (PerCP-CY5.5; BioLegend, 101229), MHC-II (AF700; BioLegend, 107621), FCGRI/CD64 (PE; BioLegend, 139303), and IL2RA/CD25 (APC; BioLegend, 101910). The gating strategy to distinguish macrophages from dendritic cells in the gut was as previously described [59]. For the analysis of regulatory T cells (Treg) from colon, isolated lymphocytes were permeabilized and stained with FOXP3 (FITC; Eibioscience, 11–5773-82). Flow cytometric data were collected on a BD X-20 (BD Biosciences). Both lung and intestinal flow cytometric data were analyzed with FlowJo software (Tree Star Inc.).

**Statistical analysis**

Data were analyzed with Prism 7 software (GraphPad Software). In all graphs, three asterisks indicate a P-value of <0.001, two asterisks indicate a P-value of <0.01, one asterisk indicates a P-value of <0.05, and ns indicates not significant (P > 0.05) as determined by Mann-Whitney test, one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) or Kruskal-Wallis test, or two-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple-comparison test, as specified in the relevant figure legend. Differences in beta-diversity were determined using Permutational Multivariate Analysis of Variance (ADONIS).
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