RESEARCH ARTICLE

Biology and grading of pleomorphic xanthoastrocytoma—what have we learned about it?
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Abstract

Pleomorphic xanthoastrocytoma (PXA) is a rare astrocytoma predominantly affecting children and young adults. We performed comprehensive genomic characterization on a cohort of 67 patients with histologically defined PXA (n = 53, 79%) or anaplastic PXA (A-PXA, n = 14, 21%), including copy number analysis (ThermoFisher Oncoscan, n = 67), methylation profiling (Illumina EPIC array, n = 43) and targeted next generation sequencing (n = 32). The most frequent alterations were CDKN2A/B deletion (n = 63; 94%) and BRAF p.V600E (n = 51, 76.1%). In 7 BRAF p.V600 wild-type cases, alternative driver alterations were identified involving BRAF, RAF1 and NF1. Downstream phosphorylation of ERK kinase was uniformly present. Additional pathogenic alterations were rare, with TERT, ATRX and TP53 mutations identified in a small number of tumors, predominantly A-PXA. Methylation-based classification of 46 cases utilizing a comprehensive reference tumor allowed assignment to the PXA methylation class in 40 cases. A minority grouped with the methylation classes of ganglioglioma or pilocytic astrocytoma (n = 2), anaplastic pilocytic astrocytoma (n = 2) or control tissues (n = 2). In 9 cases, tissue was available from matched primary and recurrent tumors, including 8 with anaplastic transformation. At recurrence, two tumors acquired TERT promoter mutations and the majority demonstrated additional non-recurrent copy number alterations. Methylation class was preserved at recurrence. For 62 patients (92.5%), clinical follow-up data were available (median follow-up, 5.4 years). Overall survival was significantly different between PXA and A-PXA (5-year OS 80.8% vs. 47.6%; P = 0.0009) but not progression-free survival (5-year PFS 59.9% vs. 39.8%; P = 0.05). WHO grade remained a strong predictor of overall survival when limited to 38 cases defined as PXA by methylation-based classification. Our data confirm the importance of WHO grading in histologically and epigenetically defined PXA. Methylation-based classification may be helpful in cases with ambiguous morphology, but is largely confirmatory in PXA with well-defined morphology.

INTRODUCTION

Pleomorphic xanthoastrocytoma (PXA) is a rare astrocytic neoplasm predominantly affecting children and young adults. PXA is defined histologically by the presence of large pleomorphic, spindled and lipidized cells, numerous eosinophilic granular bodies and dense pericellular reticulin (13). PXA has a relatively favorable prognosis, but a higher tendency to recur than other pediatric low-grade gliomas, leading to its designation as a WHO grade II neoplasm. Up to one third of PXA show features of anaplasia, characterized by increased mitotic activity and at times necrosis, which is associated with decreased overall survival (16). As such, anaplastic PXA (A-PXA) is designated WHO grade III in the 2016 WHO Classification of Central Nervous System Tumors (12).
PXAs are characterized by genetic alterations of the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathway. The majority harbor *BRAF* p.V600E mutation, which has been identified in 60-78% of tumors (13). Other MAPK alterations have been identified in a smaller fraction of PXA, including *BRAF* insertion/deletion mutations (29), fusions involving *BRAF* or *RAF1* (15, 28) and mutation of *NF1* (4). The majority of PXA also harbor homozygous deletion of *CDKN2A/B*. Initial studies identified this alteration in approximately 60% of cases (39) while more recent reports have found a higher incidence (>85%) (27, 36). PXAs show frequent additional copy number changes, most commonly whole chromosome or whole chromosomal arm gains and losses. Recurrent alterations reported across multiple studies include loss −9 or −9p, and loss −22; recurrent gains include +7, +5, +20 and +21 (27, 36, 39). The genetic alterations underlying recurrence and anaplasia are not well understood. A recent study of 19 PXA patients, including 15 A-PXA, identified *TERT* promoter alterations in 47% of A-PXA. Mutations of *ATRX, PTEN, TP53* and *BCOR/BCORL1* were also identified in single cases of A-PXA (27). Additionally, genomic analyses have been performed on a small number of matched primary and recurrent tumors undergoing anaplastic transformation. These studies identified complex changes in chromosomal copy number as well as acquisition of mutations in *TERT, ARID1A* and *NF1* upon recurrence and anaplastic transformation (27, 36).

PXAs can present a diagnostic challenge as it shares overlapping genomic features with multiple other tumor types. *BRAF* p.V600E is a common alteration in many low-grade glial and glioneuronal tumors (31). Concurrent MAPK alterations and *CDKN2A/B* deletion is also frequent in epithelioid glioblastoma (1, 19, 20, 24), pediatric secondary high-grade gliomas (25) and the recently described anaplastic astrocytoma with piloid features (30). Additionally, as genome-wide methylation-based classification is increasingly utilized in the diagnosis of brain tumors (6), multiple studies have identified tumors with a “PXA-like” methylation profile, including epithelioid glioblastoma (15), astroblastoma (23) and a subset of pediatric gliomas (24). The precise relationship of these tumors to PXA and their long-term prognosis remains uncertain. Methylation-based classification is not able to differentiate between PXA and A-PXA (6).

To more fully understand the genomics of PXA, its long-term prognosis and its relationship to other MAPK-altered tumors, we performed a comprehensive genomic analysis of a cohort of 67 patients with histologically defined PXA and correlated the findings with long-term clinical follow-up. Our results confirm that the majority of PXA are characterized by *CDKN2A/B* deletion and MAPK activation and that WHO grading remains an important prognostic factor in PXA.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Patient cohort**

We identified 67 patients who underwent resection of a PXA or A-PXA with pathology reviewed Mayo Clinic (n = 30), Johns Hopkins Hospital (JHH) (n = 5) or University of Bonn (n = 32).

All studies were conducted in accordance with the ethical standards of the Declaration of Helsinki. Studies were approved by the Institutional Review Boards of Mayo Clinic and JHH. The use of clinical data and biologic material is in conformity with the ethics regulation standards of the University of Bonn Medical Center. Cases reviewed at University of Bonn included patients in German pediatric low-and high-grade glioma trials. All tumors underwent histologic review with confirmation of diagnosis by at least two neuropathologists (C.G, T.P, F.R. and R.V.). A-PXA was defined by the presence of 5 or more mitotic figures per 10 high-power fields (field size of 0.23 mm²) consistent with 2016 WHO criteria (12). A subset of 29 patients from the Mayo Clinic/JHH cohort was included in previous clinical (16) and genomic studies (36). One patient had an apparent clinical diagnosis and family history of neurofibromatosis (case 63) without other manifestations or known germline testing. One patient (case 22) harbored a germline deletion of *CDKN2A/B* and presented with multiple, bilateral PXAs.

**Immunohistochemistry**

Immunohistochemistry was performed on FFPE sections using antibodies directed against p16INK4a (mouse monoclonal anti-p16, clone E6H4, Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany) and *BRAF* V600E (mouse monoclonal anti-*BRAF* V600E, clone VE1, Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany) using a Ventana Benchmark XT Immunostainer (Roche Ventana, Darmstadt, Germany). Immunohistochemistry for phospho-ERK was studied as described before (32); a detailed method is provided in the supplementary methods.

**Genome-wide chromosomal copy number analysis**

DNA was extracted from 5-micron formalin-fixed paraffin embedded (FFPE) tissue sections using the QIAamp DNA FFPE Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) as described (17). Genomic copy number losses and gains were identified with a molecular inversion probe array (MIP) (OncoScan CNV Plus Array, Thermo-Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The array covers frequent copy number changes across 900 cancer genes. Using at least 80 ng tumor DNA, MIP was performed as previously described (38). Briefly, all probes contain two genomic homology regions each flanking a SNP site. Gaps were filled and ligated after annealing to the DNA, followed by digestion of remaining non-circularized probes by exonucleases. After cleavage the now inverted probes were amplified by PCR using universal primers, followed by labeling with fluorescent molecules and hybridization to oligonucleotide chip arrays. Raw data were analyzed using the Nexus Copy Number 8.0 Discovery Edition software (BioDiscovery, El Segundo, CA, USA). The manufacturer’s SNP-FASST2 segmentation algorithm was used to make
copy number and loss of heterozygosity estimations. Whole chromosomes were counted as gained or lost when at least 90% of the probe signals from both chromosome arms were above or below the defined threshold as described previously (14). For acrocentric chromosomes (13–15, 21, 22) only q-arm changes were counted as whole chromosomal gains or losses. We applied GISTIC (Genomic Identification of Significant Targets in Cancer) to distinguish significant chromosomal aberrations from random background (3).

**Targeted Sequencing**

Targeted next generation sequencing was performed at Mayo Clinic using the clinically validated Neuro-Oncology Expanded DNA Panel (https://www.mayocliniclabs.com/test-catalog/Overview/603047). The DNA subpanel assesses for alterations in 150 genes associated with CNS tumors and utilizes a QIAseq Targeted DNA custom amplicon-based panel with molecular bar code technology to allow traceability of PCR artifacts/duplicates. Sequencing was performed using an Illumina HiSeq 2500 (Illumina, Inc., San Diego, CA; paired-end, 2 × 151). The RNA subpanel was performed in a subset of cases lacking *BRAF* V600E or other MAPK alteration. The RNA panel utilizes a QIAseq Targeted RNAscan custom amplicon-based panel designed to detect 104 known gene-gene fusions and novel fusion transcripts, including *BRAF-KIAA1549* fusion. Paired-end 231/71 sequencing was performed on an Illumina MiSeq instrument. A gene list is included in the supplementary methods. DNA and RNA panel data were processed through custom bio-informatics pipelines developed to detect single nucleotide variants and small insertions/deletions (< 50 base pairs) with at least 15% variant allelic frequency and gene fusion events with at least 10 fusion transcripts, respectively. Variants with < 15 supporting molecules were excluded. DNA and RNA sequence alterations were visualized using Alamut Visual (Interactive Biosoftware, Rouen, France) and integrative genome browser (IGV) (34), respectively. DNA sequence alterations classified as benign based on publicly available literature (35). DNA sequence alterations were visualized using Alamut with detection variance across all samples were used as input in the t-SNE analysis.

**TERT promoter mutation analysis**

Targeted analysis of the *TERT* promoter was performed by pyrosequencing or digital droplet PCR (ddPCR). Prossequencing analysis of the *TERT* promotor hotspots (C228 and C250) was performed as previously described (11). ddPCR was performed using two TaqMan assays for specific for *TERT* C228T and C250T (Biorad, Hercules CA). PCR products were analyzed on a QX200 droplet reader (Biorad) and analyzed with QuantaSoft software (Biorad). Further details are available in supplementary methods.

**Genome-wide methylation profiling**

Genome-wide methylation profiling was performed in 35 patients from the Mayo Clinic/JHH Hopkins and 23 patients from the German cohort using Illumina Infinium Human MethylationEPIC BeadChip (850K). The methylation data were preprocessed using the minfi package (v.1.28.4) (2) in R (http://www.r-project.org, version 3.5.3). Quality control was performed by examining the detection *P*-value and calculating the median log (base2) intensities for methylated and unmethylated signals for each array. Samples with detection *P*-value less than 0.01 and unmethylated and methylated median intensity values above 10 were carried forward for subsequent analysis. Beta density plots for all samples before and after normalization were also examined. After the quality control process, 46 samples were carried forward in the subsequent methylation analysis.

Functional normalization (8) with NOOB background correction and dye-bias normalization (35) was performed. Probe filtering was performed after normalization. Specifically, probes located on sex chromosomes, containing nucleotide polymorphism (dbSNP132 Common) within five base pairs of and including the targeted CpG-site or mapping to multiple sites on hg19 (allowing for one mismatch), as well as cross reactive probes were removed from analysis.

Unsupervised analysis of methylation data was performed using t-distributed stochastic neighbor embedding (t-SNE) using Rtsne (v.0.15) in comparison to the published Heidelberg reference cohort (6). In brief, principal components were calculated using the 5000 most variably methylated CpG probes, as measured by the standard deviation (SD) of the probe-level beta values across samples. The top 13 principal components which explained more than 99% of the total variance across all samples were used as input in the t-SNE analysis.

**Mate-pair sequencing**

Mate-pair sequencing is a whole-genome protocol that detects structural and copy number variation. DNA extraction and mate pair library preparation were performed as previous described (26). Briefly, DNA was isolated using the Qiagen Puregene extraction kit and processed using the Illumina Nextera Mate Pair library preparation kit and sequenced on the Illumina HiSeq 4000. DNA was fragmented at 2-5 kb fragments and sequenced from both ends. The sequencing data were mapped to the reference genome (GRCh38) using BIMA and the output was analyzed using SVATools (7, 18). Junctions and CNAs were graphically illustrated using genome and junction plots as previously described (10).

**Statistical analyses**

Patient and clinicopathologic characteristics were summarized with frequencies and percentages or medians and ranges, as appropriate. Comparisons between groups (i.e., PXA II vs. A-PXA) were performed with Fisher’s exact (categorical data) or Wilcoxon rank-sum tests (ordinal data). Progression was defined as the first recurrence or...
death caused by disease (whichever occurred earlier). Progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) were summarized using the Kaplan-Meier method (5-year survival) and were compared between groups with likelihood ratio tests from Cox proportional hazards regression models. Survival estimates and hazard ratios were reported along with 95% confidence intervals (CI). P-values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant. All analyses were performed using SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC) or R.

**RESULTS**

**Patient cohort characteristics**

Characteristics of the overall cohort of 67 patients diagnosed with PXA or A-PXA are shown in Figure 1 and detailed in Supporting Table S1. Median age at initial diagnosis was 20.3 years (range: 5.6 to 73.1 years), with 28 tumors (41.8%) occurring in pediatric patients (<18 years of age) and 39 (58.2%) in adults (Figure S1). The vast majority of tumors occurred in a supratentorial location (n = 64), most frequently the temporal lobe (n = 32), with rare (n = 3) posterior fossa tumors. A similar proportion of patients were female (n = 37, 55.2%) and male (n = 30, 44.8%). At primary diagnosis, the majority of patients were diagnosed with PXA grade II (n = 53, 79.1%) vs. A-PXA (n = 14, 20.9%). There were no significant differences in age or gender between patients diagnosed with PXA vs. A-PXA (Table S1). Patients from the German cohort were younger relative to the Mayo/JHH cohort (median age: 15.4 years vs. 21.9 years) and more frequently diagnosed as PXA grade II (96.9% vs. 62.9%) (Table S1). Overall, our cohort reflects the typical demographics of PXA patients (13).

**Genomic analysis**

In 65 cases, genomic analyses were performed on specimens obtained at initial resection, while 2 cases had tissue available only at first recurrence, including one tumor that had undergone anaplastic transformation. Tumors were assessed for the **BRAF** p.V600E mutation by targeted sequencing (n = 59) or IHC alone (n = 8) (Figure 1). Overall, 51 tumors (76.1%) were positive for **BRAF** p.V600E, including PXA (79.2%) and A-PXA (64.3%) (Table S2). All tumors were analyzed for genome-wide copy number by molecular inversion probe (MIP) technology (Figure S2). The most frequent alteration was loss of **CDKN2A/B**, present in the vast majority of cases (n = 63; 94%). In 53 cases, **CDKN2A/B** showed homozygous deletion. Ten cases showed at least hemizygous loss of **CDKN2A/B**, but match to the reference methylation class. **ANA PA**, anaplastic pilocytic astrocytoma; **LGG-GG**, low-grade glioma, ganglioglioma; **LGG-PAGG-ST**, low-grade glioma, subclass hemispheric pilocytic astrocytoma and ganglioglioma; **CONT INFLAM**, control tissue, inflammatory tumor microenvironment; **CONT REACT**, control tissue, reactive tumor microenvironment; **GBM RTK II**, glioblastoma, IDH wild-type, subclass RTK II; **GBM G34**, glioblastoma, IDH wild-type, H3.3 G34 mutant; **PLEX PED B**, plexus tumor, subclass pediatric B; **GBM MES**, glioblastoma, IDH wild-type, subclass mesenchymal; **NA**, not available; **LTF**, lost to follow-up. [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
in a subset of six cases, the deletion could not be unequivocally interpreted as hemizygous vs. homozygous loss because of DNA quality, small deletion size or tumor cellularity. To further evaluate this finding, IHC for p16 was performed in 33 cases. In cases with CDKN2A/B homozygous deletion, a complete loss of p16 protein expression was observed in 22 (of 23) cases while 1 case showed protein loss only in a subset of cells. In 6 (of 6) tumors with hemizygous or questionable hemi/homozygous deletion, p16 expression was also lost. Expression was retained in 3 (of 4) tumors lacking CDKN2A/B deletion.

By genome-wide chromosomal copy number analysis (MIP), most cases also demonstrated additional chromosomal gains/losses, predominantly involving whole chromosomes or chromosomal arms (Figure S2). The most frequent whole chromosome gains were gain +7 (n = 17), +5 (n = 12), +12 (n = 10), +21 (n = 10), +2 (n = 10) and +20 (n = 9). The most frequent losses were loss −9 (n = 24), −22 (n = 9) and −13 (n = 8). Tumors tended to show a predominance of either chromosomal gains or losses, but this pattern was not associated with tumor grade or other molecular features. Loss −10 was less common (n = 6) and no tumors demonstrated concurrent gain +7/loss −10, characteristically found in glioblastoma (5). No focal amplifications (including TERT) were identified. A-PXA showed a significantly higher number of whole chromosome CNAs than PXA grade II (median 5.5 vs. 1.0; P = 0.004). Gain +7, +20 and +21 were more frequent in A-PXA (Table S2).

To further characterize the pattern of CNAs, mate-pair sequencing was performed in 6 cases with available frozen tumor tissue (3 PXA and 3 A-PXA) (Figure S3). In 5 cases, CDKN2A/B deletion resulted from a small interstitial deletion, while case 51 showed a more complex intrachromosomal rearrangement of 9p. Genome-wide, chromosomal translocations were not identified in two cases while three cases showed a small number of translocations that did not result in relevant gene fusions. In contrast, case 45 demonstrated a complex pattern consistent with chromothripsis and chromoplexis (Figure S4). This involved multiple chromosomes, with an intrachromosomal event of 3p resulting in ATG7-RAF1 fusion, an alteration previously reported in an A-PXA (28).

In 31 cases (21 PXA; 10 A-PXA), DNA was available in suitable quality to perform targeted next generation sequencing of 150 CNS tumor associated genes. In an additional 32 cases, targeted sequence analysis of the TERT promoter was performed by pyrosequencing or digital droplet PCR (ddPCR). In most PXA grade II cases, BRAF p.V600E was the sole pathogenic alteration identified by NGS (Figure 1). Of the BRAF p.V600 wild-type PXAs, two cases harbored previously reported BRAF activating insertion/deletion mutations: BRAF p.V504R,S506dup and BRAF p.L485_S490delinsF, the latter identified in conjunction with a splice site mutation in NF1 (29). ATG7-RAF1 fusion was present in two cases, including case 45 characterized by mate-pair sequencing. Thus, alternative MAPK pathway alterations were identified in all PXA analyzed by targeted NGS. A single PXA harbored a TERT promoter mutation and another single tumor an inactivating mutation in PIK3CA. Alterations in ATRX, TP53 or PTEN were not identified in PXA grade II.

Of 10 A-PXA analyzed by targeted NGS testing, 7 harbored a BRAF p.V600E mutation. Additional mutations were identified in 5 (of 7) BRAF-mutant A-PXA, involving TERT (n = 1), ATRX (n = 2), TP53 (n = 1) and PIK3CA (n = 1). The TP53 mutation (c.783-2A > G) involved the splice acceptor site and has been previously reported. Overall, targeted TERT promoter analysis identified mutations in 2 of 14 (14.3%) A-PXA. Three A-PXA harbored an unusual molecular profile, characterized by NF1 mutation in a background of marked chromosomal aneuploidy (Figure S5). Case 62 showed an NF1 p.L844F mutation, a point mutation in PTEN and an apparently triploid genome, with complex superimposed CNAs. Cases 63 and 64 harbored truncating mutations in NF1 and showed an almost identical CNA profile, characterized by a near haploid genome. Review of tumor histology confirmed the diagnosis of A-PXA in these cases (Figure S5). Case 62 showed predominance of large, pleomorphic, lipidized cells and prominent perivascular inflammation. Cases 63 and 64 showed more classic morphology, with necrosis (both cases) and microvascular proliferation (case 64).

In nine cases, BRAF p.V600 was wild-type, but tissue could not be further analyzed by NGS. As a surrogate for MAPK pathway activation, phospho-ERK immune staining was performed in 5 BRAF p.V600 negative cases and in 10 cases with known MAPK alterations. pERK was positive in all tumors examined, supporting MAPK pathway activation as a hallmark of PXA.

**Methylation-based classification**

Tumor classification of 46 cases (31 PXA; 15 A-PXA) was performed based on genome-wide methylation data obtained from the Illumina MethylationEPIC array. Two tumors analyzed at recurrence/anaplastic progression only are included with A-PXA. These tumors were classified against a comprehensive reference data set (6) by two independent methods: an unsupervised t-SNE (t-distributed stochastic neighbor embedding) analysis and the Heidelberg supervised brain tumor classifier, version v11b4 (6) (https://www.molecularneuropathology.org/mnnp). t-SNE analysis was performed using a subset of 14 reference classes (Figure 2A); inclusion of all reference classes did not substantially alter classification (not shown). Among 46 cases, both methods consistently classified 38 cases with the PXA (n = 36), hemispheric pilocytic astrocytoma and ganglioglioma (n = 1) and ganglioglioma (n = 1) reference methylation classes.

By unsupervised t-SNE, most cases grouped with PXA (n = 40) and the remaining with the classes anaplastic pilocytic astrocytoma (n = 2), hemispheric pilocytic astrocytoma and ganglioglioma (n = 1), ganglioglioma (n = 1) or control tissues (n = 2). The anaplastic pilocytic astrocytoma reference group utilized in this analysis contains 21 tumors (6),
which subsequently all clustered with the newly defined entity anaplastic astrocytoma with piloid features (30) (D. Capper, personal communication). Of the tumors grouping with PXA, there was no apparent subgrouping related to WHO grade, genomic driver alterations or CDKN2A/B deletion status (Figure 2B-D). Analysis using the Heidelberg methylation brain tumor classifier (v11b4) available as an online tool yielded a definitive assignment to a reference class (defined by a calibrated score ≥ 0.9) for 26 tumors (56.5%) (Table S3). The number of definitively matched tumors was higher for
PXa grade II (n = 20, 64.5%) than for A-PXA (n = 6, 40.0%). Overall, for 38 tumors (82.6%), PXA was the highest scoring reference class, including 24 (52.2%) with scores ≥ 0.9. Only two tumors showed a high score for other low-grade glioma reference classes, consistent with the t-SNE analysis (Figure 1). Overall, in the majority of cases, methylation profiling was concordant with the histologic diagnosis of PXA. For cases with discordant classification by methylation profiling, tumor histology was re-reviewed (Figure S6). All were considered to remain in keeping with PXA. Neither of the two cases matching to other low-grade glioma methylation classes (case 1 and 2) demonstrated a definitive ganglion cell component and were more cellular and pleomorphic than typical of pilocytic astrocytoma. The two cases grouping with anaplastic pilocytic astrocytoma (case 26 and 41) were both cerebellar PXA grade II, with characteristic morphology. The two cases matching to the “control tissue” methylation classes (cases 4 and 62) both showed solid tumor histology. Although an inflammatory component was apparent in case 62, the CNA profile supported high tumor cell content (Figure S5B).

Genomic characterization of matched primary and recurrent samples

In 9 cases, genomic analyses were performed on matched primary and recurrent tumors, including 2 cases at both first and second recurrences (Figure 3). Case 38 received radiotherapy prior repeat resection; the remaining cases did not receive radiation or chemotherapy prior to recurrence. Tumors underwent anaplastic transformation on recurrence in 8 cases while one patient presented initially with an A-PXA. Recurrent tumors were analyzed by MIP genome-wide copy number analysis (n = 9), TERT promoter analysis (n = 9) and methylation profiling (n = 6). The majority of tumors showed an increase in complexity at recurrence, with acquisition of multiple additional CNAs (Figure 3A). The changes were largely non-recurrent, although gains of chromosomes 2 (n = 2) and 7 (n = 2) as well as loss of chromosome 10 (n = 2) were identified in multiple tumors at recurrence. In two cases, TERT promoter mutations were acquired at recurrence (case 22 and 38). For the 6 matched samples analyzed by methylation profiling, the methylation class was stable for tumors grouping with the PXA (n = 5) and anaplastic pilocytic astrocytoma methylation class (n = 1) (Figure 3C).

In two cases, tissue was available from matched low- and high-grade components within the same tumor. Additional CNAs were present in the high-grade component of both tumors, including loss of chromosome 6 (Figure 3B). Additionally, TERT mutation was identified only in the high-grade component of case 53. Methylation class was preserved between the low- and high grade components of case 67 (Figure S7). Overall, our results suggest that on recurrence or anaplastic transformation, the methylation class is preserved. The majority of tumors acquire additional, largely non-recurrent CNAs and a subset acquires TERT promoter mutations.

Association of histology and genomic features with patient outcome

Follow-up was available for 62 patients, with a median follow-up period of 5.4 years (Table S4). Overall, histologic WHO grade was a strong predictor of patient overall survival (P = 0.001). A trend toward decreased progression-free survival was also present, but this did not reach statistical significance (P = 0.05) (Figure 4A,B). For PXA, five-year progression-free survival was 59.9% (95% CI: 45.0%, 74.8%) and overall survival was 80.8% (95% CI: 68.8%, 92.9%). For A-PXA, five-year progression-free survival was 39.3% (95% CI: 12.7%, 65.9%) and overall survival 47.6% (95% CI: 20.1%, 75.1%). Limiting survival analysis to the 38 cases that were confidently assigned to the PXA methylation class by methylation-based classification showed consistent results (Figure 4C,D); the presence of anaplastic features remained strongly associated with overall survival (P = 0.002) with a non-significant trend toward decreased progression-free survival (P = 0.09) in those PXA defined by methylation-based classification.

The presence of necrosis was also strongly associated shorter overall survival (P = 0.0003, Table S4). Five-year overall survival was 86.3% for tumors with no necrosis (95% CI: 74.9, 97.9) vs. 43.8% for tumors with necrosis (95% CI: 20.5, 67.0). However, given a strong association of necrosis with elevated mitotic activity and relatively small number of cases, we were unable to reliably evaluate necrosis and mitotic index as independent predictors of survival. Associations between patient survival and molecular genetic features were less robust. Neither the total number of whole chromosome CNAs nor any individual whole chromosome gain or loss showed a significant association with overall survival (Table S4). CDKN2A/B deletion was not associated with overall survival (P = 0.18), although the power of this analysis was very weak.

Figure 2. Genome-wide methylation profiling and methylation-based classification of PXA. (A) Clustering of PXA relative to published reference methylation classes. Analysis was performed using the top 5000 most differentially methylated probes with t-SNE visualization. Current series denotes cases from our cohort. (B-D) Grouping of current cases relative to (B) tumor grade, (C) genetic driver alteration and (D) CDKN2A/B deletion type. Reference cases are shown in grey within the area denoted in red in panel A. Reference methylation classes included: PXA, (anaplastic) pleomorphic xanthoastrocytoma; ANA PA, anaplastic pilocytic astrocytoma; LGG-GG, low-grade glioma, ganglioglioma; LGG-PA/GG-ST, low-grade glioma, subclass hemispheric pilocytic astrocytoma and ganglioglioma; CONT-INFLAM, control tissue, inflammatory tumor microenvironment; CONT-HEMI, control tissue, hemispheric cortex; CONT-REACT, control tissue, reactive tumor microenvironment; glioblastoma IDH wild-type subgroups: GBM-MES, mesenchymal subclass; GBM-MID, midline subclass; GBM-RTK-I subclass; GBM RTK-II subclass; GBM-RTK-III subclass; pedRTK I subclass; GBM-MYCN subclass. Two cases analyzed at only recurrence/anaplastic transformation are included with A-PXA. [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
limited as only three cases in our series lacked CDKN2A/B deletion and had clinical follow-up available. TERT promoter mutations were rare (n = 3), but showed strong association with shorter overall survival (P = 0.002), with no patients alive at five years. An insufficient number of cases harbored other molecular alterations, including ATRX, TP53 and NF1 mutations, to correlate with patient survival.

**DISCUSSION**

This cohort of PXA patients is unique for the number of cases and the detailed correlation of clinical, histologic and genomic features with long-term clinical follow-up. The study confirms that the vast majority of PXA are characterized genetically by the presence of CDKN2A/B deletion in combination with MAPK pathway alterations (Figure 1). While BRAF p.V600E was the most frequent driver alteration (n = 51, 76.1%), we also identified previously reported alterations of BRAF (29) and RAF1 (28). Three A-PXA showed an unusual genomic profile, characterized by NF1 mutation and marked aneuploidy (Figure S5), including two confirmed by methylation-based classification. NF1 has been described as a somatic mutation in PXA (4) and PXA rarely occur in the setting of neurofibromatosis type 1, the
majority low-grade (37). However, to our knowledge, this molecular profile has not been previously reported in A-PXA.

Multiple studies have identified CDKN2A/B deletion to be a frequent genomic alteration in PXA (20, 27, 36, 39). However, the frequency at which it has been observed has varied across studies, from approximately 60% (20, 39) up to 100% (27). While this may in part reflect variability across patient cohorts, it is also likely to result from technical differences in the methods used to detect CDKN2A/B deletion. In our series, CDKN2A/B loss was present in 63 cases (94%), although in 10 cases the loss was hemizygous or could not be unequivocally interpreted as hemi- vs. homozygous deletion. This variability in detection sensitivity has important implications as CDKN2A/B becomes increasingly utilized as a diagnostic and prognostic marker. The MIP technology used in this study represents a high-resolution, quantitative method for the assessment of allele-specific copy numbers in FFPE archival tissue. As CDKN2A/B deletion was present in the vast majority of our cases, we are unable to assess its prognostic significance in PXA. Only three tumors in our series lacked CDKN2A/B deletion and had clinical follow-up available, although none of these tumors recurred. In other tumor types, including pediatric gliomas (21) and IDH-mutant astrocytomas (33) CDKN2A/B deletion is an adverse prognostic factor. However, in PXA, it appears to be a defining molecular alteration likely representing an early pathogenic event, present in both low-grade and anaplastic PXA.

In comparison to PXA grade II, A-PXA showed a higher number of whole chromosome CNAs (Table S2).
and a subset harbored additional pathogenic alterations, including mutations of TERT, ATRX and TP53 (Figure 1). These findings are comparable to a recently published genetic characterization of 15 A-PXA from UCSF (27). However, this series reported a high frequency of TERT alterations, identified in 47% (7 of 15) of A-PXA (27). Two of these tumors harbored TERT amplification rather than promoter mutation, an alteration we did not observe. In our cohort, TERT promoter mutations were uncommon, identified in 2.0% of PXA grade II and 14.3% of A-PXA. A difference between the UCSF series and our series is that the majority of the A-PXA in the UCSF series (9 of 15, 60%) were analyzed at recurrence (27) and TERT alterations were more frequent in recurrent tumors (5 of 9; 56%). No details were provided regarding adjuvant treatments patients received prior to recurrence. Additionally, 2 (of 9) tumors in our series (Figure 3) and I (of 4) tumors in the UCSF series acquired TERT mutation at recurrence, suggesting that TERT promoter mutations may be a late event associated with recurrence and anaplastic transformation. A high frequency of TERT alterations was also identified in a group of PXA utilized as a reference in comparison to epithelioid glioblastoma (20). In this cohort, TERT promoter mutations were observed in 19% of PXA grade II and 36% of A-PXA. The clinicopathologic features of this cohort have not been independently reported. Overall, our findings suggest that additional CNAs and pathogenic mutations are present in A-PXA, including TERT promoter mutations. However, no single recurrent alteration seems to underlie anaplastic transformation.

For the majority of cases in our series, methylation-based classification was concordant with the histologic diagnosis of PXA (Figure 2, Table S3). In only two cases both unsupervised t-SNE clustering and supervised analysis using the Heidelberg classifier unequivocally reassigned tumors to other entities. Both were PXA grade II by histology, which matched to other low-grade glioma methylation groups (pilocytic astrocytoma or ganglioglioma). Intriguingly, these were the only two cases submitted for methylation profiling that lacked CDKN2A/B deletion. By unsupervised clustering, an additional two PXA cases grouped with the methylation class of anaplastic pilocytic astrocytoma (Figure 2). The cases included in this reference group cluster with the subsequently described anaplastic astrocytoma with piloid features (30). Notably, these were the only two cerebellar tumors analyzed, suggesting a possible influence of tumor site on the methylation profile. While methylation profiling was largely concordant with histology, the Heidelberg classifier did not uniformly provide a definitive diagnosis, with low-confidence calls (calibrated score < 0.9) in 34.4% of cases overall and 60.0% of A-PXA (Table S3). This is in keeping with a recently published series, in which 44% of pediatric low-grade gliomas similarly showed low-confidence calls using this tool (9). Across our series, methylation profiling largely confirmed the diagnosis of PXA and did not provide additional information likely to alter clinical management. In fact, its inability to distinguish low- and high-grade PXA represents a significant limitation of methylation-based classification in this setting.

Within this cohort, WHO grade was the most significant prognostic factor. The presence of anaplastic features (defined by > 5 mitoses per 10 high-power fields (area of 0.23 mm²) was a strong predictor of patient overall survival (P < 0.001) with a trend toward decreased progression-free survival (P = 0.05) (Figure 4). This was consistent even when limited to those 38 tumors that could be defined as PXA both by histology and methylation-based classification (Figure 4C,D). These findings parallel those of a recent study showing the importance of histology in determining prognosis of pediatric gliomas with a PXA-like methylation signature (9). Although identified in a small number of cases, our findings also suggest that TERT mutation may be associated with poor patient outcome. Each of the three patients with TERT-mutant tumors at initial resection died, including one patient with a PXA grade II (Figure 1). In keeping with this, the UCSF case series, which identified TERT promoter mutations in 47% of A-PXA, reported an extremely high rate of recurrence (12 of 13 patients) with very short recurrence-free survival (median 1.3 years) (27). In contrast, in our series, 5-year recurrence free survival for A-PXA was 40.9%. Larger series with long-term follow-up are needed to clarify the role of TERT promoter mutation in PXA.

Our study was restricted to PXA with well-defined histologic features. As such, methylation-based classification was largely confirmatory. A number of recent studies have reported tumors that can be assigned to the PXA methylation class but lack its characteristic morphology, including epithelioid glioblastoma (20), astroblastoma (22) and a subset of pediatric gliomas (23). While the relationship of these tumors to PXA remains incompletely understood, our results emphasize the importance of careful histologic examination and correlation with long-term follow-up. While “PXA-like” tumors may have more favorable outcomes than IDH-wild-type glioblastoma, it is premature to assume that all will have outcomes analogous to PXA.

In summary, our results confirm that the vast majority of PXA harbor CDKN2A/B deletion in conjunction with alterations of the MAPK pathway. In both histologically and epigenetically defined PXA, tumor WHO grade remains a strong predictor of patient survival. Methylation profiling may be useful in tumors with ambiguous morphology, but serves largely to confirm the diagnosis in cases with classic histology.
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