Abstract: Gram-negative bacteria are an increasingly serious source of antibiotic-resistant infections, partly owing to their characteristic protective envelope. This complex, 20 nm thick barrier includes a highly impermeable, asymmetric bilayer outer membrane (OM), which plays a pivotal role in resisting antibacterial chemotherapy. Nevertheless, the OM molecular structure and its dynamics are poorly understood because the structure is difficult to recreate or study in vitro. The successful formation and characterization of a fully asymmetric model envelope using Langmuir–Blodgett and Langmuir–Schaefer methods is now reported. Neutron reflectivity and isotopic labeling confirmed the expected structure and asymmetry and showed that experiments with antibacterial proteins reproduced published in vivo behavior. By closely recreating natural OM behavior, this model provides a much needed robust system for antibiotic development.

Gram-negative bacteria, such as Escherichia coli, are increasingly displaying antibiotic resistance,[1] partly because they possess an outer membrane (OM) that forms a highly selective filter around the target cell.[2] The OM structure is unique in biology (Figure 1). Most biological membranes are lipid bilayers with partial asymmetry in lipid content between the two layers. By contrast, the OM asymmetry is profound, creating a uniquely impermeable layer. The outer leaflet is composed of lipopolysaccharide (LPS) molecules that comprise hydrophobic lipid A attached to phosphorylated sugar chains of various lengths. Divalent cations cross-link anionic LPS, which leads to the formation of a light membrane and two hurdles for the incoming molecules to overcome. Hydrated saccharide chains prevent the ingress of hydrophobic or surface-active molecules, whilst the inner hydrophobic bilayer repels hydrophilic substances. Selective uptake through integral outer membrane proteins (OMPs) ensures that the cell receives the nutrients that it needs. These properties limit the toxicity of all antimicrobials whereas OMP mutations that further reduce OM permeability have been found in antibiotic-resistant cells.[2] Understanding the structure and function of the OM is therefore vital for human health[2] but it is challenging because bacterial cells are very...
small and the creation of accurate model systems is techni-
cally challenging. As a result, although we have a clear
knowledge of the chemical composition of the OM, our
understanding of its physical and dynamic properties lags far
behind our knowledge of other biological membranes.

Herein, we describe a method to create asymmetric
membrane models of the *E. coli* OM and reveal the unique
ability of neutron reflection (NR) to confirm the asymmetry
of the membrane models. The membrane “floats” on a water
layer above a phosphatidylethanolamine self-assembled monolayer
(SAM) on a smooth gold surface. Although much thinner
than the bacterial periplasm (ca. 145 Å), which is stabilized
by peptidoglycans and other polymers, the approximately
15 Å thick water layer combined with lipid asymmetry
renders this floating supported bilayer (FSB) a practical,
accurate, and useful synthetic OM model. The flat gold layer
is adhered to the silicon substrate through an intervening
permalloy layer enabling us to use magnetic-contrast NR to
confidently analyze this many-layered structure.

First, the gold surface was coated with a SAM of \( \omega \)-
thiolipids. The OM model was formed as an asymmetric
FSB by sequential Langmuir–Blodgett and Langmuir–Schae-
fer deposition of a deuterium-labeled phospholipid (d-DPPC)
followed by unlabeled LPS (Figure 2). The fitted data, from
a series of separate FSBs, revealed neutron scattering length
density (nSLD) profiles (see the Supporting Information,
Figures S3–S6 and Tables S1–S4) that are consistent with
asymmetric FSB on top of \( \omega \)-thiolipid-coated substrates.
The FSB consisted of a rough mutant LPS (Ra chemotype)
outer leaflet and a d-DPPC inner leaflet, which floated 12–
17 Å above the choline head groups of the \( \omega \)-thiolipid SAM
(Figure 3). These profiles were fitted to a model of the FSB
that consisted of a thin inner (d-DPPC) head-group layer,
inner and outer lipid-tail regions (14–18 Å), and a thick outer
head-group region (28–30 Å) corresponding to the LPS core
oligosaccharide (Tables S3–S6). The NR data analysis
revealed FSB coverages of >90% (Table S2) and asymme-
tries ranging from 69:28 and 23:75 (LPS/PC) in the outer and
inner leaflets, respectively, in the worst bilayer to 79:11 and
8:82 in the best. The thickness/nSLD profiles recorded for
these bilayers were consistent with previous studies
and with a model generated from atomistic molecular dynamics
simulations (Figure 4A). The stability of the floating model
OM was examined against time and under different solution
conditions. Sample 1 was analyzed over 72 h and showed no
changes. The bilayers were also studied at two Ca

\( ^{2+} \) concentrations, 5 mm and 20 \( \mu \)m, and no differences in structure were
observed.

The usefulness of this model for antibiotic development
hangs upon its relevance to the natural OM. We thus tested

Figure 2. Fabrication of floating asymmetric OM models. A) Lang-
muir–Blodgett deposition of the DPPC layer on the SAM. B) Repeated
pressure–area curves of the Ra-LPS monolayer at the air–water inter-
face to confirm stability. C) Langmuir–Schaeffer deposition of the Ra-
LPS layer. D) Structure of the complete OM model (inverted to enable
direct comparison with Figure 1). Red arrows indicate the direction of
movement of the substrate; calcium ions are shown as yellow spheres.

Figure 3. A) nSLD profiles of the OM model in different solution
isotopic (\( H_2O \) and \( D_2O \)) contrasts and a schematic representation of
the OM model. The permalloy layer provides a separate nSLD for each
neutron spin. The strong peaks of the deuterated DPPC tails versus
\( H_2O \) (blue #) and the non-deuterated LPS tails versus \( D_2O \) (red *),
which confirm asymmetry, are evident. C) Original data points (black)
from two spin polarizations with fitted lines that correspond to the
nSLD profiles in (A). The two data sets relate to samples examined in
a \( D_2O \) buffer solution using neutrons in a spin-up configuration (red)
and in a \( H_2O \) buffer solution using spin-down neutrons (blue). Colored
shading indicates the 95% confidence limits of the fitted model, see
the Supporting Information for details.
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the response of the model to either divalent-cation removal or antimicrobial proteins. Their interactions with the OM in vivo and in vitro are well known, enabling direct comparisons with our model.

In vivo, divalent-cation removal by EDTA treatment of Gram-negative bacteria removes the LPS from the OM, leading to the appearance of phospholipids in the outer leaflet (which is likely due to mixing across the OM). The OM model was examined in 5 mM Ca\(^{2+}\) and 3 mM EDTA solutions. Upon the sequestration of Ca\(^{2+}\) by EDTA, the bilayer asymmetry was reduced in both the inner and outer leaflets by approximately 20% (see Figure 4B, Figures S6, S7, and Tables S5, S6). Recently, we obtained a similar result using silicon-supported asymmetric OM mimics. In the MD simulation, we imitated EDTA addition by replacing the divalent cations with twice the number of monovalent ions, keeping the whole system electroneutral. After 200 ns, the asymmetry had clearly already broken down, and the roughness of the bilayer had increased, which is in agreement with the experimental observations (Figure 4A).

Humans produce antimicrobial proteins, including lysozyme and lactoferrin, as part of their innate immune system. Lactoferrin is cationic (pI 8.0–8.5) and acts directly upon the OM through electrostatic interactions with the anionic core oligosaccharide region. It has been suggested that it disrupts the divalent-cation bridges between neighboring LPS molecules, causing their release into the bulk solution. On the other hand, the cationic enzyme lysozyme (pI 11) is much less active against Gram-negative than against Gram-positive bacteria as it cannot easily pass through the OM to digest periplasmic peptidoglycan.

As the nSLD profiles of proteins are different from those of LPS and d-DPPC, we can define the protein layer by NR. The interaction of lactoferrin (40 \(\mu\)g mL\(^{-1}\)) with the OM model reduced membrane coverage by 12% and the bilayer leaflet asymmetry by approximately 30%. The 90 Å increase in the thickness of the LPS core region (see Figure 5A and Figures S5, S8 and Tables S5, S8) agrees with the suggested electrostatic binding of lactoferrin to the core oligosaccharide. It implies that the protein is bound to the bilayer with its major axis parallel to the membrane normal, as the prolate protein is approximately 90° in length along its longest axis. These data provide the first structural picture of lactoferrin disrupting the OM. In the laboratory, lysozyme is often combined with EDTA to remove the outer membrane and the peptidoglycans from Gram-negative bacteria. Having already measured the large effect of EDTA, we investigated the interaction of lysozyme alone with an intact FSB in 20 mM Ca\(^{2+}\) solution. The thickness of the core oligosaccharide region increased by 20 Å, suggesting that this cationic protein has bound electrostatically to the anionic region of the outer leaflet (Figure 5B, Figures S3, S9, Tables S3, S9). There was no loss of FSB coverage, but the bilayer roughness increased from 9.31 (range 8.35,10.0) to 12.88 (7.36,18.22) Å. Fitting of the data also suggested a minor decrease in asymmetry, albeit
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**Figure 4.** A) MD simulation of an LPS–PC asymmetric bilayer after 500 ns with Ca\(^{2+}\) (left) and 200 ns after replacement of the Ca\(^{2+}\) ions by twice the amount of Na\(^{+}\) ions (right). B) nSLD profiles obtained by fitting the neutron reflectivity data of an asymmetric DPPC/Rα-LPS system in the presence of 5 mM Ca\(^{2+}\) (left) and after calcium sequestration by EDTA (right). Schematic representations of the structures that these profiles describe are also shown, these were determined through interpretation of the fitting parameters and the resulting SLD profiles using the known scattering length densities of the bilayer components and the aqueous solutions (see the Supporting Information). Note the reduced packing/asymmetry and increased roughness.
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**Figure 5.** A, B) nSLD profiles and schematic representations of the OM model before and after protein addition: A) lactoferrin (LF; 40 \(\mu\)g mL\(^{-1}\)), B) lysozyme (Lyz; 200 \(\mu\)g mL\(^{-1}\)) in 20 mM HEPES buffer (pH/\(D\) 7.2, 20 \(\mu\)M CaCl\(_2\); see the Supporting Information for further details).
within error limits. These results agree with the previously observed differences in the abilities of each protein to disrupt the OM.

In conclusion, we have presented models of the OM that are asymmetric, rest on a water-filled layer, and contain rough bacterial lipopolysaccharides. These bilayer models are not only amenable to structural characterization by neutron reflection, but can, in principle, be studied by techniques such as surface plasmon resonance, infrared spectroscopy, atomic force microscopy, and FRET. The gold surface presents problems related to fluorescence quenching and opacity, which can be overcome by the use of PC-modified silicon substrates. The models will enable studies of the interactions of antibacterial molecules, including small-molecule antibiotics, polymyxins/collistins, and colicins, with the OM surface under conditions that are close to, but much more tractable than, those found in vivo. Intact OMPs can be incorporated during the Langmuir–Schaeffer step, and we are currently enhancing the nSLD contrast of the OMPs to define their structure in the FSB. Finally, we are developing methods to incorporate smooth lipopolysaccharides.
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