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Abstract: Let G be a simple connected graph, the vertex- set and edge- set of G are denoted by V(G) and E(G), respectively. The molecular graph G, the vertices represent atoms and the edges represent bonds. In graph theory, we have many invariant polynomials and many invariant indices of a connected graph G. Topological indices based on the distance between the vertices of a connected graph are widely used in theoretical chemistry to establish relation between the structure and the properties of molecules. The coefficients of polynomials are also important in the knowledge some properties in application chemistry. The Schultz and modified Schultz polynomials, Schultz and modified Schultz indices and average distance of Schultz and modified Schultz of Cog-complete bipartite graphs are obtained in this paper.
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1. Introduction

Suppose that G = (V(G), E(G)) is a simple undirected connected graph of order p = p(G) and size q = q(G). A graph G is called an n-partite graph, n ≥ 2, if it possible to partition vertex– set V(G) in to non empty subsets V_1, V_2, ..., V_n (called partite sets) such that every element of E(G) joins a vertex of V_r to a vertex of V_t, for all r ≠ t for n = 2, such graphs are called bipartite graphs. A complete bipartite graph G is a 2- partite graph with petite sets V_1 and V_2 having the added property that if u ∈ V_1 and v ∈ V_2, then uv ∈ E(G) with two partite sets V_1 and V_2 denoted by K_{m,n} (or K(m,n), where |V_1| = m and |V_2| = n. The distance between any two vertices u and v of G is the length of a shortest (u,v)-path in a connected graph G, denoted by d(u,v). In particular, if u = v , then d(u,v) = 0 . Topological indices in biology and chemistry was used for the first time in 1947 when chemist Harold Wiener [1] introduced Wiener index to demonstrate correlations between physicochemical properties of organic compounds of molecular graphs. The Wiener index is represent the total distance of a connected graph G, i.e. W(G) = ∑_{u,v∈V(G)} d(u,v), in which the summation is taken over all unordered pairs {u, v} of distinct vertices of G. The diameter of G is the greatest distance in G and will be denoted by δ. The number of pairs of vertices of G that are distance k is denoted by d(G,k). Distance is an important concept in graph theory and it has applications to computer science, chemistry, and a variety of other fields [2-6].

For the definitions of concepts and notations used in this papers, vertices with distance k such that |d_k(G)| = d(G,k) and ∑_{k=1}^{δ} d(G,k) = (δ)^2 , where (δ)^2 is representation the number of unordered pairs of distinct vertices in G.

In chemical graph theory, there are two important polynomials are distinguished. Introduced:

The Schultz polynomial of a graph G is defined as:

Sc(G; x) = ∑_{u,v∈V(G)} (δ_u + δ_v)x^{d(u,v)},

and modified Schultz polynomial of a graph G is defined as:

Sc'*(G; x) = ∑_{u,v∈V(G)} (δ_u δ_v)x^{d(u,v)}.

These based structure descriptors and their polynomials were extensively studied and computed before [7-9].

The molecular topological index (Schultz index) was introduced by Harry P. Schultz in 1989 [10] and the modified Schultz index was defined by S. Klavžar and I. Gutman in 1997 [11].
The Schultz index is defined as:

$$Sc(G) = \sum_{u,v \in V(G)} (\delta_u + \delta_v) \ d(u,v),$$

and modified Schultz index is defined as:

$$Sc^*(G) = \sum_{u,v \in V(G)} (\delta_u \delta_v) \ d(u,v),$$

where the summation for all above are taken over all unordered pairs of distinct vertices in $V(G)$.

The indices of Schultz and modified Schultz can be obtained by the derivative of Schultz and modified Schultz polynomials with respect to $x$ at $x = 1$, respectively, i.e.:

$$Sc(G) = \frac{d}{dx} Sc(G; x) \bigg|_{x=1} \quad \text{and} \quad Sc^*(G) = \frac{d}{dx} Sc^*(G; x) \bigg|_{x=1}.$$

The average distance of a connected graph $G$ with respect Schultz and modified Schultz are defined as:

$$\bar{Sc}(G) = Sc(G) / |V(G)| \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{Sc}^*(G) = Sc^*(G) / |V(G)|.$$

In 2005, Gutman find many relations between Hosoya, Schultz and modified Schultz polynomials of a tree graph [14]. In 2013, Hassani et al. computed the Schultz polynomials of some Cog- special graphs which it under publication.

In 2013, Hassani et al. computed the Schultz polynomials of isomer of $C_{100}$ Fulleren by GAP program [15].

The Schultz and modified Schultz polynomials of a tree graph and some properties [12]. Bo Zhou [13], find some lower and upper bounds for the Schultz index of a graph $G$.

The Schultz and modified Schultz polynomial of some special graphs are summarized in the following theorem (See [14]). In addition, we have found the Schultz and modified Schultz polynomials of some Cog- special graphs which it under publication.

Finally, Farahani found Schultz and modified Schultz polynomials and topological indices of benzene molecules PAHs, corone polycyclic aromatic hydro carbons and some Haray graphs [8, 19, 20] in 2017, Guo and Zhou studies the properties of degree distance and Gutman index of uniform hypergraphs [21].

2. Main Results

Definition: A Cog- complete bipartite graph $K_{m,n}^c$ is the graph constructed from bipartite complete graph $K_{m,n}$, $m,n \geq 2$, of vertices set $\{u_1, u_2, ..., u_m, v_1, v_2, ..., v_n\}$ with $m + n - 2$ of additional vertices $\{w_1, w_2, ..., w_{m-1}, y_1, y_2, ..., y_{n-1}\}$, and $2m+2n-4$ of additional edges $\{w_iu_j, w_iu_{i+1}, y_jv_{j+1}, i = 1, 2, ..., m - 1, j = 1, 2, ..., n - 1\}$, see Figure 1.

![Figure 1. A Cog- complete bipartite graph $K_{m,n}^c$.](image)

From clearly that $p(K_{m,n}^c) = 2m + 2n - 2$, $q(K_{m,n}^c) = m(n+2) + 2(n-2)$ and $\delta = \text{diam}(K_{m,n}^c)$, for all $m, n \geq 4$.

Theorem 2.1: For all $m, n \geq 4$, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m}|D_i| = (2m + 2n - 3) (m + n - 1).$$

We will have four partitions for proof:

P1. If $d(z_1, z_2) = 1$, then $|D_i| = m(n+2) + 2(n-2)$ and is equal to $q(K_{m,n}^c)$, we have ten subsets of it:

P1.1. $\left\{ \left\{ u_{1(m)}w_{1(m-1)} \right\} : \delta_{u_{1(m)}} + \delta_{w_{1(m-1)}} = n + 3 \right\} = 2.$

P1.2. $\left\{ \left\{ w_iu_{i+1} \right\} : \delta_{w_i} + \delta_{u_{i+1}} = n + 4 \right\} = 2.$

P1.3. $\left\{ \left\{ w_iu_i \right\} : \delta_{w_i} + \delta_{u_i} = n + 4 \right\} = 2.$

P1.4. $\left\{ \left\{ v_{1(n)}y_{1(n-1)} \right\} : \delta_{v_{1(n)}} + \delta_{y_{1(n-1)}} = m + 3 \right\} = 2.$

P1.5. $\left\{ \left\{ y_{1(n)}v_{1(n+1)} \right\} : \delta_{y_{1(n)}} + \delta_{v_{1(n+1)}} = n + 2 \right\} = 2.$

P1.6. $\left\{ \left\{ y_{i(n)}v_{i+1} \right\} : \delta_{y_{i(n)}} + \delta_{v_{i+1}} = m + 4 \right\} = 2.$

Proof: For all vertices $z_1, z_2 \in V(K_{m,n}^c)$, there is $d(z_1, z_2) = k, k = 1, 2, 3, 4.$

From clearly that $\sum_{i=1}^{m}|D_i| = (2m + 2n - 3) (m + n - 1).$
P1.7. \[ \left\{ (u_i, v_j) : \delta_{u_i} + \delta_{v_j} = m + n + 2 & \delta_{u_i} \delta_{v_j} = (m+1)(n+1), i = 1, m, j = 1, n \right\} = 4. \]

P1.8. \[ \left\{ (u_i, v_j) : \delta_{u_i} + \delta_{v_j} = m + n + 3 & \delta_{u_i} \delta_{v_j} = (m+1)(n+2), 2 \leq i \leq m - 1, j = 1, n \right\} = 2(m - 2). \]

P1.9. \[ \left\{ (u_i, v_j) : \delta_{u_i} + \delta_{v_j} = m + n + 3 & \delta_{u_i} \delta_{v_j} = (m+2)(n+1), i = 1, m, 2 \leq j \leq n - 1 \right\} = 2(n - 2). \]

P1.10. \[ \left\{ (u_i, v_j) : \delta_{u_i} + \delta_{v_j} = m + n + 4 & \delta_{u_i} \delta_{v_j} = (m+2)(n+2), 2 \leq i \leq m - 1, 2 \leq j \leq n - 1 \right\} = (m - 2)(n - 2). \]

P2. If \(d(z_1, z_2) = 2\), then \(|D_2| = (4mn + m(m - 1) + n(n - 1) - 8)/2\), we have twelve subsets of it:

P2.1. \[ \left\{ (y_{i+1}, y_{i+1}) : \delta_{y_{i+1}} + \delta_{y_{i+1}} = 4 & \delta_{y_{i+1}} \delta_{y_{i+1}} = 4, 1 \leq i \leq n - 2 \right\} = n - 2. \]

P2.2. \[ \left\{ (w_i, w_{i+1}) : \delta_{w_i} + \delta_{w_{i+1}} = 4 & \delta_{w_i} \delta_{w_{i+1}} = 4, 1 \leq i \leq m - 2 \right\} = m - 2. \]

P2.3. \[ \left\{ (v_i, v_n) : \delta_{v_i} + \delta_{v_n} = 2(m + 1) & \delta_{v_i} \delta_{v_n} = (m + 1)^2 \right\} = 1. \]

P2.4. \[ \left\{ (v_i, v_j) : \delta_{v_i} + \delta_{v_j} = 2m + 3 & \delta_{v_i} \delta_{v_j} = (m + 1)(m + 2), i = 1, n, 2 \leq j \leq n - 1 \right\} = 2(n - 2). \]

P2.5. \[ \left\{ (v_i, v_j) : \delta_{v_i} + \delta_{v_j} = 2(m+2) & \delta_{v_i} \delta_{v_j} = (m+2)^2, 2 \leq i \leq n - 2, i + 1 \leq j \leq n - 1 \right\} = (n - 2)(n - 3)/2. \]

P2.6. \[ \left\{ (u_i, u_m) : \delta_{u_i} + \delta_{u_m} = 2(n + 1) & \delta_{u_i} \delta_{u_m} = (n + 1)^2 \right\} = 1. \]

P2.7. \[ \left\{ (u_i, u_j) : \delta_{u_i} + \delta_{u_j} = 2n + 3 & \delta_{u_i} \delta_{u_j} = (n + 1)(n + 2), i = 1, m, 2 \leq j \leq m - 1 \right\} = 2(m - 2). \]

P2.8. \[ \left\{ (u_i, u_j) : \delta_{u_i} + \delta_{u_j} = 2n + 2 & \delta_{u_i} \delta_{u_j} = (n + 2)^2, 2 \leq i \leq m - 2, i + 1 \leq j \leq m - 1 \right\} = (m - 2)(m - 3)/2. \]

P2.9. \[ \left\{ (v_i, w_j) : \delta_{v_i} + \delta_{w_j} = m + 3 & \delta_{v_i} \delta_{w_j} = 2(m + 1), i = 1, n, 1 \leq j \leq m - 1 \right\} = 2(m - 1). \]

P2.10. \[ \left\{ (v_i, w_j) : \delta_{v_i} + \delta_{w_j} = m + 4 & \delta_{v_i} \delta_{w_j} = 2(m + 2), 2 \leq i \leq n - 1, 1 \leq j \leq m - 1 \right\} = (m - 1)(n - 2). \]

P2.11. \[ \left\{ (u_i, y_j) : \delta_{u_i} + \delta_{y_j} = n + 3 & \delta_{u_i} \delta_{y_j} = 2(n + 1), i = 1, m, 1 \leq j \leq n - 1 \right\} = 2(n - 1). \]

P2.12. \[ \left\{ (u_i, y_j) : \delta_{u_i} + \delta_{y_j} = n + 4 & \delta_{u_i} \delta_{y_j} = 2(n + 2), 2 \leq i \leq m - 1, 1 \leq j \leq n - 1 \right\} = (m - 2)(n - 1). \]

P3. If \(d(z_1, z_2) = 3\), then \(|D_3| = mn + m(m - 4) + n(n - 4) + 5\), we have seven subsets of it:

P3.1. \[ \left\{ (u_i, w_j) : \delta_{u_i} + \delta_{w_j} = n + 3 & \delta_{u_i} \delta_{w_j} = 2(n + 1), 2 \leq j \leq m - 1 \right\} = m - 2. \]

P3.2. \[ \left\{ (u_m, w_j) : \delta_{u_m} + \delta_{w_j} = n + 3 & \delta_{u_m} \delta_{w_j} = 2(n + 1), 1 \leq j \leq m - 2 \right\} = m - 2. \]

P3.3. \[ \left\{ (u_i, w_j) : \delta_{u_i} + \delta_{w_j} = n + 4 & \delta_{u_i} \delta_{w_j} = 2(n + 2), 2 \leq i \leq m - 1, 1 \leq j \leq m - 1, |i - j| \neq 0, 1 \right\} = (m - 2)(m - 3). \]

P3.4. \[ \left\{ (v_i, y_j) : \delta_{v_i} + \delta_{y_j} = m + 3 & \delta_{v_i} \delta_{y_j} = 2(m + 1), 2 \leq j \leq n - 1 \right\} = n - 2. \]

P3.5. \[ \left\{ (v_m, y_j) : \delta_{v_m} + \delta_{y_j} = m + 3 & \delta_{v_m} \delta_{y_j} = 2(m + 1), 1 \leq i \leq n - 2 \right\} = n - 2. \]

P3.6. \[ \left\{ (v_i, y_j) : \delta_{v_i} + \delta_{y_j} = m + 4 & \delta_{v_i} \delta_{y_j} = 2(m + 2), 2 \leq i \leq n - 1, 1 \leq j \leq n - 1, |i - j| \neq 0, 1 \right\} = (n - 2)(n - 3). \]

P3.7. \[ \left\{ (w_i, y_j) : \delta_{w_i} + \delta_{y_j} = 4 & \delta_{w_i} \delta_{y_j} = 4, 1 \leq i \leq m - 1, 1 \leq j \leq n - 1 \right\} = (m - 1)(n - 1). \]

P4. If \(d(z_1, z_2) = 4\), then \(|D_4| = (m(m - 5) + n(n - 5) + 12)/2\), we have two subsets of it:

P4.1. \[ \left\{ (w_i, w_j) : \delta_{w_i} + \delta_{w_j} = 4 & \delta_{w_i} \delta_{w_j} = 4, 1 \leq i \leq m - 3, 2 \leq j \leq m - 1 \right\} = (m - 2)(m - 3)/2. \]

P4.2. \[ \left\{ (v_i, y_{i+2}) : \delta_{y_i} + \delta_{y_{i+2}} = 4 & \delta_{y_i} \delta_{y_{i+2}} = 4, 1 \leq i \leq n - 3 \right\} = (n - 2)(n - 3)/2. \]
From P1-P4 and after the computational processes we get the two equations (1) and (2).

Corollary 2.2: For all \( m, n \geq 4 \), then

\[
Sc(K^{c}_{m,n}) = 8m^2(n + 3) + 8n^2(m + 3) + 10mn - 96(m + n) + 144.
\]

(3)

\[
Sc^{c}(K^{c}_{m,n}) = 3mn(mn - 8) + 3m^2(5n + 8) + 3n^2(5m + 8) - 104(m + n) + 188.
\]

(4)

Proof:

To get equations (3) and (4), we derivative the equations (1) and (2) with respect to \( x \) and then compensation of the value of \( x \) by \( 1 \).

Corollary 2.3: For all \( m, n \geq 4 \), then

1. \( \frac{Sc(K^{c}_{m,n})}{Sc(K^{c}_{m,n})} = (8m^2(n + 3) + 8n^2(m + 3) + 10mn - 96(m + n) + 144)/(m + n - 1)(2m + 2n - 3) \).
2. \( \frac{Sc^{c}(K^{c}_{m,n})}{Sc^{c}(K^{c}_{m,n})} = 3mn(mn - 8) + 3m^2(5n + 8) + 3n^2(5m + 8) - 104(m + n) + 188)/(m + n - 1)(2m + 2n - 3) \).

Proof: obvious

Corollary 2.4: For all \( m, n \geq 4 \), then

\[
Sc^{c}(K^{c}_{m,n}; x) = (m^4 + 4m^2 + 8m^2 + 20)x + (m^4 + 7m^3 - 16m + 2)x^2 + 4(m^3 - 8m + 9)x^3 + 4(m - 3)(m - 2)x^4.
\]

(5)

\[
Sc^{c^{*}}(K^{c}_{m,n}; x) = (m^4 + 4m^2 + 8m^2 + 20)x + (m^4 + 7m^3 - 16m + 2)x^2 + 4(m^3 - 8m + 9)x^3 + 4(m - 3)(m - 2)x^4.
\]

(6)

Proof: from possible to obtain formulas (5) and (6) from formulas (1) and (2) by equality \( n, m \ (m = n) \) and after simplified processes.

Corollary 2.5: For all \( m = n \geq 4 \), then

1. \( \frac{Sc(K^{c}_{m,n})}{Sc(K^{c}_{m,n})} = 16m^2 + 58m^2 - 192m + 144 \).
2. \( \frac{Sc^{c}(K^{c}_{m,n})}{Sc^{c}(K^{c}_{m,n})} = 3m^4 + 30m^4 + 24m^2 - 208m + 188 \).

Proof: similar to proof corollary 2.2.

Corollary 2.6: For all \( m = n \geq 4 \), then

1. \( 14.5 < \frac{Sc(K^{c}_{m,n})}{Sc(K^{c}_{m,n})} < 2m + 10 \).
2. \( 26.7 < \frac{Sc^{c}(K^{c}_{m,n})}{Sc^{c}(K^{c}_{m,n})} < 3(4m^2 + 45m + 87)/32 \).

When \( n = 2 \) and \( m = 3 \) for all \( m \geq 4 \), we obtain the same formulas in the Theorem 2.1 and corollary 2.2 after off setting the value \( n = 2 \) and \( m = 3 \) for all \( m \geq 4 \), in the Theorem 2.1 and corollary 2.2 respectively.

As special case, if \( n = 2, m \geq 4 \), then \( K^{c}_{m,n} \) is shown in Figure 2.

\[
Sc^{c}(K^{c}_{m,2}; x) = 2(m^2 + 12m - 6)x + 2(3m^2 + 5m - 3)x^2 + 2(3m^2 - 8m + 6)x^3 + 2(m - 3)(m - 2)x^4.
\]

(7)

\[
Sc^{c^{*}}(K^{c}_{m,2}; x) = 4(2m^2 + 6m - 5)x + (13m^2 - 2m - 6)x^2 + 4(2m^2 - 6m + 5)x^3 + 2(m - 3)(m - 2)x^4.
\]

(8)

Proof: For every vertices \( z_1z_2 \in V(K^{c}_{m,2}) \), there is \( d(z_1z_2) = k, k = 1,2,3,4 \), and obvious \( \sum_{i=1}^{4}|D_i| = (2m + 1)(m + 1) \).

We will have four partitions for proof:

P1. If \( d(z_1z_2) = 1 \), then \( |D_1| = 4m \) and is equal to \( q(K^{c}_{m,2}) \), we have six subsets of it:

P1.1. \( \{(u_1(m), w_1(m-1)); \delta_{u_1(m)} + \delta_{w_1(m-1)} = 5 & \delta_{u_1(m)} \delta_{w_1(m-1)} = 6 \} \) = 2.

P1.2. \( \{(w_i, u_i); \delta_{w_i} + \delta_{u_i} = 6 \} \) = 2.

P1.3. \( \{(u_{i+1}, w_{i+1}); \delta_{w_{i+1}} + \delta_{u_{i+1}} = 8 \} \) = 2.

P1.4. \( \{(u_j, v_i); \delta_{u_j} + \delta_{v_i} = 3 \} \) = 2.

P1.5. \( \{(u_j, v_i); \delta_{u_j} + \delta_{v_i} = 5 \} \) = 2.

P1.6. \( \{(y_j, v_i); \delta_{y_j} + \delta_{v_i} = 3 \} \) = 2.

P2. If \( d(z_1z_2) = 2 \), then \( |D_2| = (m^2 + 7m - 6)/2 \), we have eight subsets of it:
2.7. \(|(u_i, u_j)\) : \(\delta_{u_i} + \delta_{u_j} = 8 \text{ and } \delta_{u_i} \delta_{u_j} = 16, 2 \leq i \leq m-2, i+1 \leq j \leq m-1\) = (m-2)(m-3)/2.

2.8. \(|(v_1, v_2)\) : \(\delta_{v_1} + \delta_{v_2} = 2(m+1)\) and \(\delta_{v_1} \delta_{v_2} = (m+1)^2\) = 1.

3. If \(d(z_1, z_2) = 3\), then \(|D_3| = (m-1)^2\), we have four subsets of it:

3.1. \(|(y_1, w_1)\) : \(\delta_{y_1} + \delta_{w_1} = 4 \text{ and } \delta_{y_1} \delta_{w_1} = 4, 1 \leq i \leq m-1\) = m-1.

3.2. \(|(u_1, w_1)\) : \(\delta_{u_1} + \delta_{w_1} = 5 \text{ and } \delta_{u_1} \delta_{w_1} = 6, 2 \leq i \leq m-1\) = m-2.

3.3. \(|(u_m, w_1)\) : \(\delta_{u_m} + \delta_{w_1} = 5 \text{ and } \delta_{u_m} \delta_{w_1} = 6, 1 \leq i \leq m-2\) = m-2.

3.4. \(|(u_i, w_j)\) : \(\delta_{u_i} + \delta_{w_j} = 6 \text{ and } \delta_{u_i} \delta_{w_j} = 8, 2 \leq i \leq m-1, 1 \leq j \leq m-1, |i-j| \neq 0, 1\) = (m-2)(m-3).

4. If \(d(x_1, z_2) = 4\), then \(|D_4| = ((m-2) + (m-3) + 12)/2\), we have:

\(|(w_i, w_j)\) : \(\delta_{w_i} + \delta_{w_j} = 4 \text{ and } \delta_{w_i} \delta_{w_j} = 4, 1 \leq i \leq m-3, i+2 \leq j \leq m-1\) = (m-2)(m-3)/2

From P1-P4 and after the computational processes we get the two equations (7) and (8). Also we can obtain the same formula when compensating the value of \(n\) by 2 in the Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 2.8: For \(m \geq 4\), we have:
1. \(Sc(K_2^{m-2}; x) = 4(10m^2 - 11m + 12)\).
2. \(Sc^*(K_2^{m-2}; x) = 2(33m^2 - 46m + 38)\).

Corollary 2.9: For \(m \geq 4\), we have:
1. \(11.3 < Sc(K_2^{m-2}; x) < 20\).
2. \(16.9 < Sc^*(K_2^{m-2}; x) < 33\).

Theorem 2.10: For \(m \geq 4\), we have:
1. \(Sc(K_3^{m-3}; x) = (3m^3 + 37m - 8)x + (8m^2 + 24m - 8)x^2 + (7m^2 - 13m + 16)x^3 + (2m^2 - 10m + 12)x^4\).
2. \(Sc^*(K_3^{m-3}; x) = (15m^2 + 42m - 20)x + (43m^2 + 23m - 8)/2)x^2 + (10m^2 + 12m + 24)x^3 + (2m^2 - 10m + 12)x^4\).

Proof: By the same way proof the Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 2.11: For \(m \geq 4\), we have:
1. \(Sc(K_3^{m-3}; x) = 48m^2 + 6m + 72\).
2. \(Sc^*(K_3^{m-3}; x) = 96m^2 - 41m + 92\).

Corollary 2.12: For \(m \geq 4\), we have:
1. \(14 < Sc(K_3^{m-3}; x) < 24\).
2. \(25 < Sc^*(K_3^{m-3}; x) < 48\).

Remark:
1. \(Sc(K_2^{m-2}; x) = 44x + 32x^2 + 4x^3\).
2. \(Sc(K_2^{m-2}; x) = 78x + 72x^2 + 18x^3\).

3. Conclusion

In this paper we managed to find the Schultz and modified Schultz polynomials and Schultz and modified Schultz indices of Cog-complete bipartite graph \(K_{m,n}^{c}\) of order \(2m + 2m - 2, m, n \geq 2\). Also, we give the given boundary lower and upper of average distance of Schultz and modified Schultz of Cog-complete bipartite graph \(K_{m,n}^{c}\).
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