Analysis of the first overtone bands of isotopologues of CO and SiO in stellar spectra
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ABSTRACT

Context. This study is based on models of the first overtone (Δν = 2) bands of the monosubstituted isotopologues of CO at 2.3 μm in the spectrum of Arcturus (K2 III) and of the monosubstituted isotopologues of SiO at 4 μm in the spectrum of the red giant HD 196610 (M6 III).

Aims. We aim to investigate problems involving the computation of the first overtone bands of isotopologues of CO and SiO in the spectra of late-type stars and to determine isotopic abundances.

Methods. We used fits of theoretical synthetic spectra to the observed stellar molecular bands of CO and SiO for determining the abundances for isotopes of C, O, and Si.

Results. Fits of synthetic spectra of the 12\textsuperscript{16}O first overtone bands at 2.3 μm computed with three available line lists to the observed spectrum of Arcturus provide the same carbon abundance [C] = −0.6 and isotopic ratio of carbon 12\textsuperscript{16}C/13C = 10 ± 2. However, the quality of fits to the observed spectrum differ for three line lists used. Furthermore, the derived oxygen isotopic ratio 16O/18O = 2000 ± 500 is larger than that known in the solar system, where 16O/18O = 500. The silicon isotopic ratio in the atmosphere of the red giant HD 196610 has been revised. Using the ExoMol SiO line list with appropriate statistical weights for the SiO isotopologues, the "non-solar" ratio 28Si:29Si:30Si = 0.86 ± 0.03:0.02:0.01 ± 0.01 is obtained.

Conclusions. We find that: (a) the computed isotopic carbon and silicon ratios determined by the fits to the observed spectrum depend on the adopted abundance of C and Si, respectively; and (b) Correct treatment of the nuclear spin degeneracies parameter is of crucial importance for today’s application of HITRAN and ExoMol line lists in the astrophysical computations.
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1. Introduction

Carbon has 15 known isotopes, ranging from 8\textsuperscript{C} to 22\textsuperscript{C}, of which 13\textsuperscript{C} and 12\textsuperscript{C} are stable. When a main-sequence star enters the red-giant branch, some convective mixing processes occur in its interiors, carrying nuclei affected by CN cycling from the internal layers to the surface. For more, see (Sneden 1991; Charbonnel & Zahn 2007). The theory predicts a decrease in the 12\textsuperscript{C}/13\textsuperscript{C} ratio with respect to the main sequence value (~89 in the case of the Sun) down to values in the range of 15–30, depending on the initial mass and metallicity of the star, see (Charbonnel & Zahn 2007). Subsequently, the carbon abundance in the outer layers of red giants drops and nitrogen increases.

There are three known stable isotopes of oxygen: 16\textsuperscript{O}, 17\textsuperscript{O}, and 18\textsuperscript{O}. Radioactive isotopes ranging from 11\textsuperscript{O} to 36\textsuperscript{O} have also been characterized and all are short-lived. If the stellar mass of a red giant does not exceed 1.3 M\textsubscript{⊙}, the 16\textsuperscript{O} abundance remains unaltered, while that of 18\textsuperscript{O} is mildly reduced; (see Palmerini et al. 2011). In higher-mass stars, the dominant energy production process is the CNO cycle, which is a catalytic cycle that uses nuclei of carbon, nitrogen, and oxygen as intermediaries, ultimately producing a helium nucleus as with the proton–proton chain (Böhm-Vitense 1992). However, in all cases, the carbon and oxygen isotopic ratios depend on the initial stellar masses and abundances (Charbonnel & Zahn 2007) so these parameters are of crucial importance for understanding stellar evolution.

Silicon has 23 known isotopes, with mass numbers ranging from 22 to 44. 28\textsuperscript{Si} (the most abundant isotope), 29\textsuperscript{Si}, and 30\textsuperscript{Si} are stable. All three stable isotopes of silicon are produced in stars through the oxygen-burning process, with the most abundant 28\textsuperscript{Si} produced as part of the α-process. Oxygen-burning in massive stars larger than 10.3 M\textsubscript{⊙} is preceded by the neon-burning process, although 16\textsuperscript{O} is lighter than neon; oxygen in stars of lower masses cannot ignite. After completing the oxygen-burning processes; the core of a star is primarily composed of silicon and sulfur; (see Clayton 1983; Hirschi 2014; Caughlan & Fowler 1988), and references therein. At advanced stages of massive star evolution the core oxygen-burning process is succeeded by the fusion of 28\textsuperscript{Si} with α-particles prior to a violent type II supernova event (see Nakamura et al. 1999).

It is worth noting that carbon, oxygen, and silicon isotopes form in very specific and different nuclear reactions. Knowledge of the isotopic composition of stars is, therefore, important for our understanding of how the Universe works (see Romano et al. 2017). Unfortunately, the determination of isotopic abundances of C, O, and Si based on the analysis of their absorption lines in the optical spectral region is complicated by the small wavelength shifts of the corresponding lines and the effects of blending, which increase significantly with lower effective stellar temperatures. Fortunately, molecular line positions shift significantly with isotopic substitution and the infrared bands of different isotopologues in stellar spectra can provide input for the analysis of isotopic abundances.
The vibrational bands of CO and SiO isotopologues can be observed in spectra of late-type stars with the first overtone ($\Delta v = 2$) bands having similar shapes. However, due to the larger mass of the SiO molecule, its fundamental rovibrational spectrum is redder at 4 $\mu$m with respect to the bands of CO located at 2.3 $\mu$m.

Furthermore, because of its large dissociation energy and the relatively high abundance of its constituent atoms, carbon monoxide in its several isotopic forms is observed in a variety of astrophysical sources, including erupting supernovae, stellar photospheres, comets, and the interstellar medium (see, e.g. (Romano et al. 2017; Iwagami et al. 2015; Banerjee et al. 2018), and references therein).

Silicon monoxide, SiO, is an important constituent of these astrophysical environments. The millimetre-range maser lines of SiO have been extensively used in the astrophysical applications. See Tobin et al. (2019), Vlemmings et al. (2011), and Yoon et al. (2014) for more details. The thermal lines of SiO in the sub-mm and mm spectral ranges have also been studied extensively in the context of probing circumstellar dust formation, conspicuous axial symmetry, and bipolar dynamics. See González Delgado et al. (2003) for more on AGB stars and de Vicente et al. (2016) for a variety of evolved stars, as well as references therein.

The fundamental band of SiO was identified in the spectra of M supergiants a long time ago (Knacke et al. 1969; Rinsland & for a variety of evolved stars, as well as references therein. et al. (2003) for more on AGB stars and de Vicente et al. (2016) for the context of probing circumstellar dust formation, conspicuous axial symmetry, and bipolar dynamics. See González Delgado et al. (2003) for more on AGB stars and de Vicente et al. (2016) for a variety of evolved stars, as well as references therein.

The fundamental band of SiO was identified in the spectra of M supergiants a long time ago (Knacke et al. 1969; Rinsland & Wing 1982; Wollman et al. 1973). The strong 4 $\mu$m band of SiO appears in the spectra of cooler stars, at least up to the spectral type M6. The first overtone band of SiO forms notable structures in the observed spectra of late-type stars. See Evans et al. (2019), and Pavlenko (2019) for more details. Furthermore, some recent theoretical studies of hot and dense atmospheres of exoplanets suggest the possible presence of significant amounts of SiO and other silicates (Schafer et al. 2012; Tinetti et al. 2018).

In this work, we obtain isotopic ratios for carbon, oxygen and silicon by analysing the spectra of two cool stars, namely, Arcturus (K2 III) and HD 196610 (M6 III). Strictly speaking, we use Arcturus as a template star with known fundamental parameter to fit our synthetic spectra computed with the different line lists of various isotopologues of CO to the observed stellar spectra. In other words, a secondary aim of this paper is to compare different CO and SiO line lists for the analysis of the isotopic abundance of stellar atmospheres and to demonstrate the importance of the consistent treatment of the molecular statistical weights when computing molecular opacity and partition functions.

2. Line lists

In the following, we analyse different line lists for monosubstituted isotopologues of CO and SiO from the literature: three line lists for CO by Goorvitch (1994), HITTEMP2010 (Rothman et al. 2010), and HITRAN2018 by Li et al. (2015), respectively, as well as an SiO line list by Barton et al. (2013).

2.1. CO by Goorvitch (1994)

Goorvitch (1994) computed carbon monoxide line lists for seven isotopologues, namely $^{12}$C$^{16}$O, $^{13}$C$^{16}$O, $^{12}$C$^{17}$O, $^{13}$C$^{18}$O, $^{14}$C$^{16}$O, and $^{13}$C$^{18}$O, containing rotation-vibration transitions of the fundamental, first, and second overtone bands up to $v = 20$ and $J = 149$, as well as pure rotational transitions for up to $e = 5$ and $J = 60$ of the ground electronic state X $^1$A$^+$. The transitions dataset provided by Goorvitch (1994) comes with the transition frequencies, the lower state energies, the Einstein A-values, the R-values, the expectation value of the effective dipole moment operator, and the quantum numbers of each transition.

2.2. CO in HITTEMP2010

The HITTEMP2010 list for CO isotopologues (Rothman et al. 2010) is, in fact, the modified CO line list from HITTEMP1995 in which the data were assembled from the line list of Goorvitch (1994). These changes are described by Rothman et al. (2010) as “updates to the line parameters in HITRAN for carbon monoxide after 1995” that feature improved values for the HITTEMP2010 compilation. Nevertheless, we were interested to see whether these updates of the CO line list would change the results of the carbon isotope determination.

2.3. CO by Li et al. (2015)

Li et al. (2015) computed new extensive rovibrational line lists for nine isotopologues $^{12}$C$^{16}$O, $^{13}$C$^{16}$O, $^{12}$C$^{17}$O, $^{14}$C$^{16}$O, $^{13}$C$^{17}$O, $^{13}$C$^{18}$O, $^{14}$C$^{17}$O, $^{13}$C$^{18}$O, and $^{14}$C$^{18}$O in the ground electronic state with $e < 42$, $\Delta e < 12$, and $J < 151$. These line lists were subsequently included in HITRAN2016 (Gordon et al. 2017). The line intensity and position calculations were computed using a newly determined piece-wise dipole moment function (DMF) in conjunction with wavefunctions calculated using an empirically determined potential energy function by Coxon & Hajigeorgiou (2013). A direct fit method that simultaneously fits all the reliable experimental rovibrational intensities was used to construct the DMF of CO at internuclear distances near equilibrium. Li et al. (2015) claimed that molecular constants used to construct their line list, including those generated by Coxon & Hajigeorgiou (2004), are accurate enough to reproduce all relevant spectroscopic line positions to the same degree of precision as that achieved in the direct potential fit.

2.4. SiO by Barton et al. (2013)

The EBJT line lists for silicon monoxide were computed by Barton et al. (2013) as part of the ExoMol project (Tennyson & Yurchenko 2012) for the main isotopologue, $^{28}$Si$^{16}$O, and for four monosubstituted isotopologues ($^{28}$Si$^{15}$O, $^{30}$Si$^{16}$O, $^{28}$Si$^{17}$O and $^{28}$Si$^{18}$O) in their ground electronic states. These line lists are suitable for high temperatures (up to 9000 K), including those relevant to exoplanetary atmospheres, cool stars and sunspots. Barton et al. (2013) used a combination of empirical and ab initio methods: the potential energy curves were determined to high accuracy by fitting to extensive data from the analysis of both laboratory and sunspot spectra; high-quality ab initio dipole moment curves were calculated at the large basis set, with an accounting of multireference configuration interactions.

2.5. “Physics” and “astrophysics” conventions of the nuclear statistical weights and partition functions

Two main conventions for definition of the nuclear statistical weights and associated partition functions Q exist: the “physics” and “astrophysics” conventions. Their use requires special care when using line lists for astrophysical applications. Let us consider an absorption coefficient (line intensity) $I_{\nu\rightarrow}$ for a transition from an initial state $i$ to a final state $f$ as given in SI units, see (Bernath 2015):

$$I(f \leftarrow i) = \frac{\epsilon^2}{4\pi m_e c} a_{fi} \frac{e^{-E/kT}}{Q} [1 - \exp(-\hbar c v_{\nu i}/kT)],$$

where $a_{fi}$ is an oscillator strength, for the transition from the state $i$ with energy $E_i$, in thermal equilibrium at the temperature $T$. 

A52, page 2 of 8
to the state \( f \) with energy \( E_f, \nu_f \) as the transition wavenumber, 
\( h c \nu_f = E_f - E_i, m_e \) is the electron mass, \( e \) is the electron charge, 
\( k \) is the Boltzmann constant, \( g_i \) is the degeneracy of the (lower) 
state \( i, \epsilon_0 \) is the permittivity of free space and, finally, \( Q \) is the 
partition function, given by 

\[
Q = \sum_i g_i e^{-E_i/kT}.
\]

The statistical factor \( g_i \) according to the “astrophysics” convention, 
commonly adopted by astronomers, is given by 

\[
g_i = (2J_i + 1) \frac{g_i^{(ns)}}{g^{(ns)}},
\]

where \( g_i^{(ns)} \) is the nuclear spin degeneracy of the state in question 
and \( g^{(ns)} \) is the “total” nuclear spin factor. Examples of the 
partition functions \( Q \) computed using this convention include 
works by Irwin (1981) and Sauval & Tatum (1984). According 
to the “physics” convention, the statistical weight \( g_i \) is the total 
degeneracy of the state \( i \): 

\[
g_i = (2J_i + 1) g_i^{(ns)}.
\]

This definition is adopted by major databases such as HITRAN 
(Gamache et al. 2017), ExoMol (Tennyson et al. 2016) and 
JANAF (Chase et al. 1985). As one can see from Eqs. (2) and (3), 
the factor \( g_i^{(ns)} \) appears both in the numerator and denominator 
of Eq. (1) and, thus, cancels out, meaning that both conventions 
give identical intensities, providing their consistent usage both in 
\( Q \) and \( I(\nu = 1) \). However, this difference is a common source 
of errors when the conventions used for the intensities (oscillator 
strength) and partition functions are mixed up, leading to non-physical 
results.

To convert between conventions: 

\[
g_i I_i^{(astro)} = g_i I_i^{(phys)}.
\]

As a very typical illustration, the nuclear spin degeneracies of the ortho and 
para states of water are \( g_i^{(ortho)} = 3 \) and \( g_i^{(ortho)} = 1 \). 
Thus, the corresponding “astrophysics” spin factors are \( 3/4 \) and 
\( 1/4 \) respectively (4 is the sum of \( g_i^{(ortho)} \) and \( g_i^{(ortho)} \)), 
while the “physics” spin factors coincide with the nuclear spin degeneracies 
\( g_i^{(ortho)} \) and \( g_i^{(ortho)} \). Hence, the “astrophysics” and “physics” 
partition functions are related as 

\[
\frac{Q^{(phys)}}{Q^{(astro)}} = 4.
\]

The wrong usage of the conventions can lead to the intensities 
being too strong or too weak by a factor of 4.

The nuclear spin degeneracy of a heteronuclear diatomic 
molecule \( AB \) molecule, \( g \equiv g_X \), is the same for all states and is 
given by 

\[
g = (2I(A) + 1)(2I(B) + 1),
\]

where \( I(X) \) is the nuclear spin of isotope \( X \).

Turning to CO, \( ^{12}\text{C}^{16}\text{O} \) has zero nuclear spin degeneracy 
so in this case the factor is unity, meaning that “physics” and 
“astronomy” conventions are the same. The nuclear spin degeneracy 
factor of \( ^{13}\text{C}^{16}\text{O} \) is 2 and, therefore, mixing different conventions 
in partition functions and oscillator strength would lead to a factor of two difference.

For CO, the Goorvitch (1994) line lists were computed in 
the framework of the “astrophysics” convention while those of Li 
et al. (2015) and HITEMP (Rothman et al. 2010), as well as the 
SiO line lists of Barton et al. (2013), used the “physics” convention. 
To remain within one system of definitions we recomputed the \( g_f \) 
provided by Li et al. (2015), HITEMP2010 and Barton 
et al. (2013) using the “astrophysics” convention.

3. Spectral models

3.1. Observed spectra

For the analysis, the infrared spectrum of Arcturus obtained by 
Hinkle et al. (1995) was used. The resolution of the spectrum is 
of order 100 000 with the spectral lines broadened by the rotation 
\( (c \sin i \approx 1.5–3 \text{ km s}^{-1}) \) and macroturbulent motions in its 
atmosphere \( (V_{\text{macro}} \sim 4–6 \text{ km s}^{-1}) \).

The HD 196610 spectrum, taken from the IRTF stellar spectra 
library (Rayner et al. 2009), also contains SiO bands. Despite 
their much lower resolution \( (R \sim 2000) \), the structure of the first 
overtones band is very evident.

3.2. Opacities, model atmospheres

For Arcturus, we adopt \( T_{\text{eff}} = 4200 \text{ K} \), \( \log g = 1.5 \), and 
a set of abundance determinations from Peterson et al. (1993), 
with \( \log N(\text{Fe}) = -4.87, \log N(\text{C}) = -3.78, \log N(\text{O}) = -3.21, \log N(\text{Si}) = -4.59 \).

For HD 196610, the computations were performed for \( T_{\text{eff}} = 3500 \text{ K} \) 
McDonald et al. (2016) found from analysis of CO and 
Na equivalent widths the metallicity \( [\text{Fe/H}] = 0.3 \) to \( -0.26 \) 
however, in their analysis they used lower \( T_{\text{eff}} \) \( \sim 250 \text{ K} \) 
determined by Cesetti et al. (2013) and McDonald et al. (2012). 
Furthermore, they determined for the star \( \log g = 0.39 \), see Table 3 
in McDonald et al. (2016). To show the possible effects of metallicity 
and gravity uncertainties on the results of Si abundance 
and isotopic ratio determination, we carried out our analysis for 
the cases of \( [\text{Fe/H}] = 0 \) and \( -0.3 \), gravities \( \log g = 1.0 \) and 0.5. 
In this paper we adopt the “solar” scale of abundances from 
Anders & Grevesse (1989): \( \log N(\text{C}) = -3.48, \log N(\text{O}) = -3.11, \log N(\text{Si}) = -4.49 \), 
but for iron we adopt \( \log N(\text{Fe}) = -4.54 \) from Asplund et al. (2009).

Atomic lines from VALD3 (Ryabchikova et al. 2015), as well as 
molecular lines of \( \text{H}_2 \), \( \text{TiO}, \text{CH}_3, \text{VO}, \text{CaH}, \text{C}^{18}\text{O} \) and 
\( ^{13}\text{C}^{16}\text{O} \) were accounted in the 1D model atmosphere 
computations by SAM12, see more details in Pavlenko (2003). Synthetic 
spectra were computed for a 1D model atmosphere using the 
WITA6 program (Pavlenko 1997) assuming Local Thermal 
Equilibrium (LTE). Our model atmosphere and synthetic spectra 
were computed for the microturbulent velocity of \( V_t = 1.7 \text{ km s}^{-1} \).

3.3. Synthetic spectra

The synthetic spectra were computed using the WITA6 program 
within a classical framework, for example, LTE, hydrostatic 
equilibrium, and a one-dimensional model atmosphere without 
sources and sinks of energy. Theoretical synthetic spectra 
were computed across the first overtone bands of CO (22 500– 
22 500 Å) and SiO (39 000–42 000 Å) with a wavelength step 
0.05 Å, opacities due to absorption by atoms, and \( \text{H}_2 \text{O} \) were also 
accounted for.

3.4. Fits to the observed spectra

The best fit to the observed spectra was achieved by the \( \chi^2 \) 
procedure described elsewhere (Pavlenko 2014). We give a few details 
here to aid in the understanding of the procedure. As part of the
fit, the function,
\[ S = \sum_{i=1}^{N} s_i^2 \]
is minimised, where \( s_i = |F_{\text{obs}} - F_{\text{comp}}| \); \( F_{\text{obs}} \) and \( F_{\text{comp}} \) are the observed and computed fluxes, respectively, and \( N \) is the number of the wavelengths points used in the minimisation procedure. In our analysis we omit some spectral ranges which contain artifacts provided by strong noise, telluric absorption, bad pixels, etc. The minimisation factor \( S \) is computed on a 3D grid of radial velocity sets, normalisation factors, and broadening parameters. The errors of the fit is evaluated as \( \delta = \sum s_i/N \). In some of the plots, the errors \( \delta \) are indicated as error bars for \( S \).

4. Results

4.1. Identification of isotopic CO bands

The CO first overtone bands system covers a wide spectral range. Here we restrict our analysis at 22 360–25 000 Å. To verify the consistency of our results with Pavlenko (2008), the carbon abundance and carbon \(^{13}\)C/\(^{12}\)C isotopic ratio were determined for a narrower spectral range of 23 600–23 800 Å, which contains several molecular bands of the CO monosubstituted isotopologues.

The positions of the first overtone bands of the CO isotopologues in the observed spectrum of Arcturus are shown in Fig. 1. We note the distinct wavelength differences in the locations of \(^{12}\)C\(^{16}\)O, \(^{12}\)C\(^{18}\)O, \(^{13}\)C\(^{16}\)O, and \(^{14}\)C\(^{16}\)O band heads, with the \(^{12}\)C\(^{17}\)O and \(^{12}\)C\(^{18}\)O band heads overlapping. All molecular lists, except for \(^{14}\)C\(^{16}\)O, were taken from the HITEMP database, while the line list for \(^{14}\)C\(^{16}\)O was taken from Li et al. (2015).

4.2. Carbon abundance and \(^{12}\)C/\(^{13}\)C in Arcturus

It is worth noting that CO absorption dominates the spectrum of Arcturus across the fitted spectral region, and that absorption by H\(_2\)O is very weak in this region. We therefore exclude H\(_2\)O from our analysis for the Arcturus data.

The carbon abundance and the carbon isotopic ratio were determined iteratively, using line lists by Goorvitch (1994); Rothman et al. (2010); Li et al. (2015). For any new carbon abundance model the atmosphere of Arcturus was recomputed, resulting in \( \log N(C) = -3.78 \pm 0.1 \) and \(^{12}\)C/\(^{13}\)C = 10 ± 2, respectively, for all three line lists. Our fitting procedure ignores the “bad” spectral features, likely created by telluric absorption lines; (see Hinkle et al. 1995). These features are marked by thick blue lines in Fig. 2 where the results of the fits to the observed spectrum are shown. We note that our carbon abundance \( \log N(C) = -3.78 \) agrees within the error bar with the values found using a different procedure by Peterson et al. (1993), Ryde et al. (2009), and Abia et al. (2012).

4.3. \(^{18}\)O/\(^{16}\)O in Arcturus

Molecular bands of \(^{12}\)C\(^{18}\)O could be observed in the modelled spectral region. We estimated the ratio \(^{18}\)O/\(^{16}\)O from a comparison of the relative strength of \(^{12}\)C\(^{18}\)O and \(^{12}\)C\(^{16}\)O isotopologues. To estimate the abundance of a given isotopologue we determine the relative number density of the given species: \( \frac{X_{i\text{CO}}}{X_{\text{total}}(\text{CO})} = N_{i\text{CO}}/N_{\text{total}}(\text{CO}) \), where \( N_{\text{total}}(\text{CO}) \) is the total number density of all CO isotopologues. For the solar case, \( X_{\text{CO}} = 0.989 \), \( X_{\text{IC}} = 0.011 \), and \( X_{\text{ISO}} = 0.99762 \), \( X_{\text{ISO}} = 0.00200 \) (see De Bievre & Taylor 1993).

Our value of \( X_{\text{ISO}} = 0.0005 \pm 0.0004 \) was obtained at the minimum \( S \) computed for different spectral ranges in the observed spectrum listed in the upper panel of Fig. 3. On the other hand, a synthetic spectrum generated with the “solar” \( X_{\text{ISO}} \) gives...
features which are notably absent from or too weak to be seen in the observed spectrum; see the $^{13}$C$^{18}$O features marked by arrows at lower panel of Fig. 3, which provides an estimation “by eye” of the lower abundance of $^{18}$O in the atmosphere of Arcturus compared to the Sun.

4.4. $^{17}$O/$^{16}$O in Arcturus

A similar procedure was used to estimate the ratio $^{17}$O/$^{16}$O in the atmosphere of Arcturus, see Fig. 4; for the Sun we have $X_{\text{O}} = 0.00038$ (De Bievre & Taylor 1993). We have some evidence that the fraction of $^{17}$O is also lower in the Arcturus. However, this estimation is harder than that of $^{18}$O as the determination of the abundance of $^{17}$O in stellar atmospheres by fitting to observed spectra is hindered by the coincidence between the wavelengths of the band heads of the first overtone of $^{12}$C$^{17}$O band heads with those of the abundant $^{12}$C$^{16}$O; see Fig. 1. Differences in these spectra increase with the wavelength, however, at the longer wavelength, we observe more complex spectral features created by a large number of CO bands. The pollution by telluric lines also increases to the red spectral region. Taking into account all these factors, we may assume that $X_{\text{O}} < 0.0002$; see upper panel of Fig. 4. However, the formal error here is large ±0.0004.

4.5. $^{14}$C/$^{12}$C in Arcturus

Goorvitch (1994) and Li et al. (2015) both computed line lists for the radioactive carbon monoxide isopolongues $^{14}$C$^{16}$O, $^{14}$C$^{17}$O and $^{14}$C$^{18}$O. Radioactive carbon’s isotope $^{14}$C can be formed in thermonuclear events on the stellar surface. $^{14}$C has a half-life of $5700±40$ yr$^1$, and decays by emitting an electron and an electron antineutrino while one of the neutrons in the $^{14}$C atom decays to a proton into a stable (non-radioactive) isotope $^{14}$N atom. Unfortunately, $^{14}$C$^{16}$O bands are shifted redwards with respect to other CO isopolongues, which complicates their analysis due to stronger blending by lines of other bands in this region, see Fig. 1.

Naturally, most $^{14}$C in the atmosphere of the star should be in the form of the molecule $^{14}$C$^{16}$O. We searched for $^{14}$C$^{16}$O in the spectrum of Arcturus across 23 900–24 000 Å, in the spectral range containing the head of $\nu = 0$ band of the molecule with negative result, no lines of $^{14}$C$^{16}$O in the spectrum were found. From our modelling, we may conclude that $X_{\text{C}} < 0.00025$; see the upper panel of Fig. 5 where the position of the $^{14}$C$^{16}$O band head computed for $X_{\text{C}} = 0.01$ is marked by the arrow

---

1 See http://www.nucleide.org/DDEP_WG/Nuclides/C-14_com.pdf
in the lower panel of Fig. 5, where we show the theoretical spectrum computed with $X_{14C^{12}O} = 0.2$. The abundance of $X_{14C^{12}O}$ seems to be lower than our detectable limit or the molecule is completely absent in the atmosphere of Arcturus.

4.6. SiO first overtone bands in spectrum HD 196610

HD 196610 is a variable red giant of $T_{\text{eff}} = 3500 \pm 30$ K, see more details in Pavlenko (2019). In a recent paper Pavlenko (2019) performed an initial fit to the observed first overtone bands of SiO in the spectrum of the red giant HD 196610 to determine isotopic ratios of silicon in its atmosphere. Unfortunately, Pavlenko (2019) uses an inconsistent convention for the nuclear spin statistical weights. We note that the factor $g_s = 2I + 1 = 1$ for the isotopologous $^{28}\text{Si}^{16}\text{O}$ and $^{30}\text{Si}^{16}\text{O}$, while for $^{29}\text{Si}^{16}\text{O}$ $g_s = 2$ (see Table 1). In this paper we revise the isotopic ratios of Si in the atmosphere of HD 196610 by consistently using the “astrophysics” convention.

Furthermore, here we use a more sophisticated procedure than in Pavlenko (2019) to determine the silicon isotopic ratios; the same as was used for the analysis of the CO isotopologues, see Sect. 4.2. Here the minimisation of $S$ was performed on a 2D grid of $X_{^{12}\text{Si}^{16}\text{O}}$ and $X_{^{28}\text{Si}^{16}\text{O}}$ at each point of the grid $X_{^{29}\text{Si}^{16}\text{O}} = 1 - X_{^{28}\text{Si}^{16}\text{O}} - X_{^{12}\text{Si}^{16}\text{O}}$. In this way we were able to determine the ratios for all three isotopologues of SiO.

It is worth noting that the determination of the min of $S$ was carried out iteratively, by varying the total abundance of silicon in the atmosphere of the star, which represents another improvement on the procedure of Pavlenko (2019).

A comparison of results is shown in Table 2. The upper panel of Fig. 6 shows the best fit to the observed spectrum containing the first overtone bands of SiO obtained with the parameters listed in Table 2.

Interestingly, in the case of determination of silicon abundance together with isotopic ratio, we obtain similar results for fits that start with different Si abundances (and gravities). Namely, we obtained [Si] = $-0.3 \pm 0.1$, see Table 2. We thus confirm the result of McDonald et al. (2016). Accuracy of $^{28}\text{Si}^{16}\text{O}$ abundance determination $X_{^{28}\text{Si}^{16}\text{O}} = 0.86 \pm 0.03$ is naturally limited by the low resolution of the observed spectra. Nevertheless, we obtained here non-solar isotopic ratios for Si for all input parameters tested.

As we see from a comparison with the results of Pavlenko (2019), the isotopic ratio computed with a consistent convention for the statistical weights and partition functions changes the SiO isotopologue abundances significantly.

5. Conclusion

There are several line lists for the CO isotopologues available in the literature computed by different authors. In this paper, we apply these line lists to analyse the observed spectrum of the red giant Arcturus and show that all provide very similar results. However, the minimisation factor $S$ is lower for the line lists of Goorvitch (1994) and HITEMP2010 than for the newer Li et al. (2015) line list which has been adopted by HITRAN, despite a more sophisticated approach used to generate the latter line list. The detailed analysis of the differences is beyond the scope of this paper. Here we only note that the difference in the minimisation parameters $S$ obtained in the framework of the same procedure is clearly caused by the use of the different line lists. On the other hand, the $^{13}\text{C}^{13}\text{C}$ ratios determined by the best fit to the observed spectrum are the same for all three line lists.

In other words, a consistent usage of the statistical weight convention leads to the perfect agreement for the carbon abundance and isotopic ratios between HITEMP2010 (Rothman et al. 2010) and the line lists of Goorvitch (1994). However, the minimisation factor $S$ determined from the $\chi^2$ fit to observed spectrum for the cases of the Goorvitch (1994) and Rothman et al. (2010) lists is notably smaller in comparison with the case of Li et al. (2015) line list.

The result of our carbon isotopic determination from fits to the observed spectrum of Arcturus agrees, at least within the

| Atom | $I$ | $2I + 1$ |
|------|-----|----------|
| $^{12}\text{C}$ | 0   | 1        |
| $^{13}\text{C}$ | 1/2 | 2        |
| $^{14}\text{C}$ | 0   | 1        |
| $^{16}\text{O}$ | 0   | 1        |
| $^{17}\text{O}$ | 5/2 | 6        |
| $^{18}\text{O}$ | 0   | 1        |
| $^{28}\text{Si}$ | 0  | 1        |
| $^{29}\text{Si}$ | 1/2 | 2        |
| $^{30}\text{Si}$ | 0   | 1        |

Table 1. Carbon, oxygen, and silicon atom nuclear spin degeneracy parameters.
older star than the Sun so this may be interpreted as the evidence of a lower production of $^{18}$O at early epochs; see discussion in Abia et al. (2012) for more details.

Using the fit of our synthetic spectra computed for the set of input parameters of HD 196610, for example, silicon abundances and gravities, we determined isotopic ratios in the atmosphere of the star. The abundances and isotopic ratios were determined in the framework of self-consistent approach, when isotopic ratios and abundance of Si vary in the process of determination of the best solution. In that way we found $[\text{Si}] = -0.3 \pm 0.1$, a result that agrees with recent estimation of McDonald et al. (2016) which was obtained using very different procedure. Furthermore, we showed that (a) the Si abundance determination shows a rather marginal dependence on the input parameters, for example, abundances and gravity of the star, adopted in our work; (b) we obtained “non-solar” isotopic ratio of Si in atmosphere of HD 196610; and (c) our estimations of $X_{\text{Si}} = 0.86 \pm 0.03$ and $X_{\text{Si}} = 0.86 \pm 0.01$ (see Table 2) shows rather marginal dependence on the adopted abundances and gravities as well.

However, we should note that these estimations were obtained by fits of our synthetic spectra to the spectra of the first overtone SiO bands obtained with rather low resolution. Naturally, more confidence in any analysis of the isotopic ratios could be obtained using spectra of better quality and a more refined analysis should be followed by a detailed abundance analysis to reduce the number of free parameters.

Nevertheless, we demonstrate the importance of the consistent usage of spectroscopic data via a critical revision of the recent results by Pavlenko (2019) for the Si isotopic ratios. This leads to the difference of by a factor more than 2 for $^{28}$Si$^{16}$O from the nearly solar ratio $^{28}$Si$^{16}$Si$^{30}$Si = 0.92:0.05:0.03 to the “non-solar” ratio 0.83:0.13:0.03. In this paper we also used a more advanced procedure to determine the silicon abundance and its isotopic ratios in the framework of our self-consistent approach, in which both parameters vary in the iteratively process of finding solution.

And finally, it can be assumed with a high degree of confidence that in the case of these two stars, we observe differences in the isotopic composition of oxygen and silicon between the times and places of their formation and the present-day values. Indeed, the masses of both stars are 0.6 and 1.1 solar masses for HD 196610 (McDonald et al. 2016) and Arcturus (Ayres & Johnson 1977), respectively. Certainly, these masses are not high enough to initiate the nucleosynthesis of silicon and oxygen. Detailed analysis of spacial distributions of isotopes in our Galaxy is of crucial importance and vital for modern astrophysics, however that problem is beyond the scope of this paper.
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Table 2. Relative isotopologue abundances of Si obtained from the fits to the first overtone bands of SiO observed in the atmosphere of HD 196610.

| Star | $^{28}$Si | $^{29}$Si | $^{30}$Si | Refs. |
|------|---------|---------|---------|-------|
| The Sun (5770/4.44/0.0) | 0.0 | 0.92 | 0.05 | 0.03 | [1] |
| HD 196610 (3500/1.0/0.0) | 0.0 | 0.95 | 0.02 | 0.03 | [2] |
| HD 196610 (3500/1.0/0.0) | -0.2 | 0.83 | 0.13 | 0.04 | [3] |
| HD 196610 (3500/1.0/-0.3) | -0.3 | 0.87 | 0.10 | 0.03 | [3] |
| HD 196610 (3500/0.5/0.0) | -0.3 | 0.86 | 0.11 | 0.03 | [3] |
| HD 196610 (3500/0.5/-0.3) | -0.4 | 0.89 | 0.10 | 0.01 | [3] |

Notes. “Solar” isotopic ratios of Si (De Bievre & Taylor 1993) are shown as well.
