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Abstract

Urinary Tract Infections are among the most common bacterial infections and account for a significant part of the workload in clinical microbiology laboratories. The rate of yield is almost same for all media i.e., Blood Agar, MacConkey agar, C.L.E.D Agar with Andrade’s indicator and Hichrome UTI Agar. The results obtained after various specific biochemical tests and other techniques, were correlated correctly with the presumptive identification results by Hicrome UTI Agar. Presumptive identification of isolates is a task that requires a great deal of experience when using traditional media like blood agar and Mac Conkey agar. On chromogenic media, this is easier, thus requiring less training. Thus, the use of chromogenic media may improve the quality of urine culture by contributing to a more uniform interpretation of urine culture plates by the different personnel engaged in this task at the laboratory. Blood agar should continue to be a part of the urine culture workup, as isolation and discrimination of different Gram-positive bacteria species are much easier with this medium. As many of the extra tests for bacterial identification associated with conventional culture methods were no longer required, chromogenic medium substantially reduced the laboratory workload. For detection of mixed bacterial growth Hichrome UTI Agar precedes the other media. The excessive use of Blood agar can be minimised in 24 hr working microbiology laboratories. Therefore Hichrome UTI Agar or C.L.E.D Agar with Andrade’s indicator is advisable as primary plating media. HiChrome UTI agar was found to be more useful as primary urine culture medium in both higher rate of isolation and presumptive identification of uropathogens in comparison to conventional media. Its inherent characteristics in demonstrating polymicrobial growth and ease of rapid identification by distinct colony colour are unique. Improved detection of mixed cultures may help to identify contaminated specimens and therefore lead to a reduction in the prescription of unnecessary antibiotics. The overall findings of this study suggests and reinforced that HiCrome UTI agar offers an excellent and time saving method for the reliable identification of most of the uropathogens and differentiation of mixed bacterial cultures in primary culture plate as opposed to conventional culture system. This study suggests and reinforced that HiCrome UTI agar offers an excellent and time saving method for the reliable identification of most of the uropathogens and differentiation of mixed bacterial cultures in primary culture plate as opposed to conventional culture system. It has the potential to streamline urine culture processing in a meaningful way, such as reducing technologist workload, improving result turnaround times which together all have considerable laboratory impact.
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**Introduction**

Urinary tract infection (UTI) is one of the most common conditions causing individuals to seek medical care. Urinary tract infections are important because they cause acute morbidity and may reduce renal function. In almost all cases there is a need to start treatment before the final microbiological results are obtained.

The laboratory diagnosis of urinary tract infection requires semi quantitative urine culture on standard agar media. As only 20 to 30% of urine samples result in significant growth, a considerable amount of time is spent evaluating samples that do not have clinical utility. The increase in resistance of microorganisms to antimicrobial agents, especially in hospitalized patients, demands rapid identification of the pathogen. Early information enables the selection of the appropriate antibiotics prior to the results of susceptibility tests and may thereby prevent outbreaks. Therefore any new medium or method with the ability to streamline urine culture processing in a meaningful way would be clinically useful and has the potential to have considerable laboratory Impact (Kanchana et al., 2013).

For many years Blood Agar and MacConkey Agar have been used for the detection of urinary tract pathogens. Careful observers are often able to recognize mixtures of different gram negative bacteria when they occur on a single plate. A recently developed medium, HiChrome UTI agar, incorporates chromogenic substrates for the detection of colonies from mixed cultures and single step identification of organisms. Thus it decreases the work load². In the present study the efficacy of HiChrome UTI agar was evaluated in the central microbiology laboratory as a primary urine culture medium for its rate of isolation and presumptive identification of uropathogens in comparison with a nonchromogenic medium, blood agar, Mac Conkey agar and Cystein Lactose Electrolyte Deficient Agar in terms of isolation rate and to detect mixed cultures for the diagnosis of urinary tract infection.

**Materials and Methods**

**Design of study:** Descriptive study

**Study setting:** Central Microbiology Laboratory, Medical College Hospital, Thiruvananthapuram, Department of MLT, Govt. Medical college, Thiruvananthapuram.

**Study population:** Urine samples received in central microbiology lab from out patients and inpatients

**Inclusion criteria:** All urine samples in sterile urine culture bottle.

**Exclusion criteria:** Urine samples in unsterile containers.

**Sample size:** 353

**Duration of study:** 6 months

**Study setting:** Clinical Microbiology Laboratory at Govt. Medical College Hospital, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala, in India.

**Procedure**

353 urine samples were tested. Samples were collected in sterile containers. The urine samples were inoculated on Blood Agar, MacConkey Agar, Cysteine Lactose Electrolyte Deficient Agar (CLED) with Andrade’s indicator and Hicrome UTI Agar. The inoculated plates were incubated at 37°C overnight. Presumptive identification of bacterial growth was done on HiCrome UTI agar according to colony morphology and
color as depicted by the manufacturer. Colonies on the MacConkey agar, CLED Agar and BA were also identified following colony characteristics against each of the uropathogens. The final identification of the isolates was done using standard identification protocol such as Gram’s staining, motility test, catalase test, coagulase test, oxidase test and other relevant biochemical tests as appropriate for the isolates.

Results and Discussion

The present study evaluated the ability of Blood Agar, MacConkey Agar, Hicrome UTI Agar and CLED Agar with Andrade’s indicator for detection and identification of routine urinary pathogens. Out of 353 urine samples, 123 (34.84%) yielded significant bacterial growth, 20 (5.66%) showed no significant bacterial growth, 60 (16.99%) showed mixed bacterial growth, and 150 (42.49%) showed no growth. Only 35% showed significant bacterial growth. According to the studies by Salvatore et al., (2011), Parveen et al., and Sharmin et al., (2010), only 40-50% showed significant bacterial growth (Salvatore et al., 2011; Parveen et al., 2011; Sharmin et al., 2010). A higher rate of isolation was reported from a study in UK (54.2% single growth and 21.6% mixed growth) by Perry and Freydiere, (2007).

Table 3 shows the rate of isolation of mixed growth. Out of 60 mixed bacterial growth all (100%) were detected by Hicrome UTI Agar on second day itself; 50% by Blood Agar, 58% by MacConkey’s Agar and 60% by CLED Agar with Andrade’s indicator. Detection of mixed bacterial growth is easier in Hicrome UTI Agar. Previous studies by Lakshmi et al., (2004) and Perry and Freydiere (2007) suggested that best advantage of chromogenic media over other media was easy recognition of mixed growth and preventing the need for subculturing and performing multiple biochemical tests (Perry et al., 2007). Cystein Lactose Electrolyte Deficient Agar with Andrade’s indicator showed colour changes depending on the production of acid by different organisms.

Table 4 shows the ability of HiChrome UTI agar medium (100%) to detect uropathogens. It is equal to that of the combination of the two reference media blood agar and MacConkey Agar. CLED Agar with Andrade’s indicator also showed good response in detection of uropathogens. The
The rate of isolation of uropathogens of the present study are in accordance with few studies by Parveen et al., (2011), Sharmin (2010) et al., Ciragil et al., (2006), and Rani et al., in Bangalore, India (2012) carried out on both chromogenic and conventional media. HiCrome UTI agar, Blood agar and CLED Agar with Andrade’s indicator supported the growths of all 123 (100%) isolates whereas MacConkey agar yielded 110(97.56%) bacterial growths.

**Table.1 Growth of Result of urine culture**

| Type of Growth                  | Number | %  |
|--------------------------------|--------|----|
| Significant bacterial growth   | 123    | 35 |
| No Significant bacterial growth| 20     | 6  |
| Mixed bacterial growth         | 60     | 17 |
| No Growth                      | 150    | 42 |
| Total                          | 353    | 100|

**Table.2 Showing number of isolates**

| Name of the isolate          | Number | %   |
|------------------------------|--------|-----|
| Escherichia coli             | 45     | 36.58|
| Klebsiella spp.              | 26     | 21.13|
| Serratia species             | 1      | 0.81 |
| Candida species              | 15     | 12.19|
| Pseudomonas aeruginosa       | 12     | 9.75 |
| Enterococcus spp.            | 14     | 11.38|
| Staphylococcus aureus        | 5      | 4.06 |
| Acinetobacter spp.           | 5      | 4.06 |
| Total                        | 123    | 100 |
Table 3: Showing rate of detection of mixed bacterial growth in media

| Media               | Number | %  |
|---------------------|--------|----|
| Blood agar          | 30     | 50 |
| Mac conkey agar     | 35     | 58 |
| CLED                | 36     | 60 |
| Hicrome UTI agar    | 60     | 100|

Table 4: Comparison of four culture media for the rate of isolation of uropathogens

| Isolate no          | Blood agar N % | Mac conkey N% | CLED N% | Hicrome N% |
|---------------------|----------------|---------------|---------|------------|
| *Escherichia coli* 45 | 45 (100)       | 45 (100)      | 45 (100)| 45 (100)   |
| *Klebsiella spp.* 26 | 26 (100)       | 26 (100)      | 26 (100)| 26 (100)   |
| *Serratia species* 1 | 1 (100)        | 1 (100)       | 1 (100) | 1 (100)    |
| *Candida species* 15 | 15 (100)       | 8 (53.3)      | 15 (100)| 15 (100)   |
| *Pseudomonas aeruginosa-12* | 12 (100) | 12 (100) | 12 (100) | 12 (100) |
| *Enterococcus spp.* 14 | 14 (100) | 12 (85.71) | 14 (100)| 14 (100)   |
| *Staphylococcus aureus* 5 | 5 (100) | 4 (80) | 5 (100) | 5 (100)    |
| *Acinetobacter spp.* 5 | 5 (100) | 5 (100) | 5 (100) | 5 (100)    |
| Total               | 123 (100)     | 113 (91.87)   | 123 (100)| 123 (100) |

Table 5: Rate of presumptive identification

| Isolate & total no | Blood agar (%) | Mac conkey (%) | CLED (%) | Hicrome (%) |
|--------------------|----------------|----------------|----------|-------------|
| *Escherichia coli* 45 | 28 (62)       | 30 (66)        | 30 (66)  | 45 (100)   |
| *Klebsiella spp.* -26 | 11 (42)       | 13 (50)        | 13 (50)  | 26 (100)   |
| *Serratia species* -1 | 1 (100)       | 1 (100)        | 1 (100)  | 1 (100)    |
| *Candida species* -15 | 10 (66)       | 7 (46)         | 7 (46)   | 15 (100)   |
| *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* -12 | 6 (50) | 5 (41) | 5 (41) | 12 (100) |
| *Enterococcus spp.* -14 | 7 (50)       | 7 (50)         | 7 (50)   | 14 (100)   |
| *Staphylococcus aureus* -5 | 3 (60)       | 2 (40)         | 5 (100)  | 5 (100)    |
| *Acinetobacter spp.* -5 | 0             | 0              | 0        | 5 (100)    |
Blood agar is an enriched medium; Cystein Lactose Electrolyte Deficient Agar and HiCrome UTI agar also contains all essential nutrients to support the growth of possible uropathogens that is why all isolates were possible to be grown on to these two media. Similar findings were also reported by Galliot et al., (2000) and Parveen et al., (2011).

The chromogenic media studied can be used as a single primary isolation media for identification of urinary tract pathogens. Several researchers - Aspell et al., (2002), Carraico et al., (1999), and Scarparo et al., (2002), have previously demonstrated equal or superior performance of various chromogenic media over traditional media for identification of urinary tract pathogens.

Table 5 shows the rate of presumptive identification of isolated organisms. In case of presumptive identification Hicrome UTI Agar precedes the other conventional media. The findings were similar to the study of Kiyofumi Ohkusu (2000).

Other researchers; Samra et al., and engstler et al., speculated that the speed and reliability of identification on chromogenic media may render them cost effective, although the price of chromogenic media is much higher than traditional media (Samra et al., 1998; Hengstler et al., 1997). According to a study by Kiyofumi ohkusu (2000), Japan; an overall reduction of about 70% in the cost of identification of Gram negative bacilli was achieved. Cost comparison results were based on the commercial cost of each identification kit; but the cost of labour associated with testing was not included.
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