SYNTHESIS AND STABILITY OF RESVERATROL-GOLD nanoparticle-POLYETHYLENE GLYCOL-FOLIC ACID conjugates
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Objective: Gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) can be used as targeted drug delivery systems, however, AuNPs have high surface energy and easily aggregate, thus negatively impacting nanoparticle stability. Therefore, it is necessary to add a stabilizing agent to AuNPs. To synthesize AuNPs stabilized by polyethylene glycol conjugated to folic acid (FA), thus creating a model drug (resveratrol [RSV]) carrier that targets FA receptors on cancer cells.

Methods: AuNPs were synthesized using the Turkevich method and stabilized by adding FA conjugated to polyethylene glycol (PEG). After RSV was loaded, the conjugate was physically characterized and subjected to stability tests.

Results: The RSV-AuNP had an average particle size of 51.97 nm (polydispersity index [PDI] 0.694, zeta potential – 24.6 mV). The RSV-AuNP-PEG-FA had an average particle size of 195.6 nm (PDI=0.233, zeta potential=–21.1 mV). Stability tests showed that RSV-AuNP-PEG-FA was more stable than RSV-AuNP. Furthermore, RSV-AuNP-PEG-FA and RSV-AuNP were more stable in buffer pH 7.4 and bovine serum albumin 2% than in buffer pH 4, cysteine 1%, and NaCl10.9% solutions.

Conclusion: PEG-FA conjugates can improve the stability of RSV-loaded AuNP.
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INTRODUCTION
Nanoparticles have several advantages, such as improvement in the intracellular infiltration, circulation time of the drug, and enhanced hydrophobic solubility [1]. They consist of different biodegradable materials such as natural or synthetic polymers, lipids, or metals [2]. Gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) are some of the most widely employed nanoparticles in medicine. AuNPs have been extensively applied in the fields of general drug delivery, targeted drug delivery, and disease diagnosis [3]. While AuNPs are effective at targeted drug delivery, they have high surface energy and easily aggregate [4]. Stabilization of AuNPs can be accomplished by employing surfactants, by adding functional groups to the nanoparticle surface, or coating the nanoparticle with a polymer layer. One polymer that can be used as a stabilizing agent is polyethylene glycol (PEG). PEG can be used to coat nanoparticles, thus increasing nanoparticle stability and preventing nanoparticle aggregation [4]. PEGylation of nanoparticles can improve their in vivo stability, prevent surface attachment of opsonin proteins, and increase nanoparticle accumulation at tumor sites to increase antitumor activity [5].

Other important factors exist for increasing the cellular uptake process, such as nanoparticle surface modification with targeting moieties. Active targeting is a strategy, where functional targeting moieties are peripherally conjugated to the nanoparticle system, thus enabling the preferential accumulation of particles at the tumor site. In active targeting-based cancer treatments, common molecular targets include carbohydrates, antibodies, and cell surface receptors [6]. Folate receptors are present in many cancer cells [7], such as colon, lung, prostate, ovary, breast, and brain cancer cells [8]. Therefore, targeted treatment at folate receptors can be an effective option for cancer therapy. Nanoparticles conjugated with folic acid (FA) can selectively bind to cell surface folate receptors on cancers cells and be internalized through endocytosis [6]. Since FA has a very high affinity for folate receptors, FA is a suitable ligand for targeted therapeutic receptors [9,10].

Resveratrol (RSV) (trans-3,4,5-trihydroxystilbene) is a naturally occurring polyphenol compound that possesses a variety of biological activities, such as anticancer and antioxidant activity [11-14]. Sun Young Park (2016) conducted a study employing RSV-conjugated AuNPs for breast cancer cell therapy and found that RSV acted as both a reducing agent and stabilizer for the AuNPs.

The purpose of this study was to synthesize a site-targeting drug delivery system using AuNPs stabilized by the addition of PEG and conjugated with FA-RSV which was used as a model drug compound, PEG was employed as a nanoparticle stabilizing agent, and FA was the cell-targeting ligand.

METHODS
Materials
All materials were used as received: RSV standard (Sigma-Aldrich, USA), HAuCl4 (Sigma-Aldrich, USA), PEG-bis-amine (Sigma-Aldrich, USA), FA (Sigma-Aldrich, USA), sodium citrate (Sigma-Aldrich, USA), phosphate-buffered saline (Sigma-Aldrich, USA), bovine serum albumin (BSA) (Sigma-Aldrich, USA), cysteine (Sigma-Aldrich, USA), sodium chloride (Merck, Germany), N-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-N-ethylcarbodiimide (Sigma-Aldrich, USA), N-hydroxysuccinimide (Sigma-Aldrich, USA), hydrochloric acid (Merck, Germany), methanol (Merck, Germany), ethanol (Merck, Germany), acetonitrile (Merck, Germany), distilled water; and AquaBidest (Ika Pharmindo, Indonesia)

Methods
Synthesis of PEG-FA
FA (FA: 25 mg) was conjugated to PEG-bis-amine (250 mg; 1:5:1.0 molar ratio) in the presence of EDC (300 mg) and NHS (100 mg). The reaction
was accelerated by adding HCl (2% in water, 0.5 ml) and stirred for 5 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was then centrifugated, and the top layer, containing FA conjugated to PEG (PEG-FA), was freeze-dried to obtain the powder of PEG-FA conjugates.

Synthesis of the RSV-AuNP-PEG-FA conjugate (RSV-AuNP-PEG-FA)
AuNPs were synthesized according to the Turkevich method by reducing a hydrogen tetrachloroaurate solution using sodium citrate. A sodium citrate solution (0.705 mL and 150 mM) was added to a solution of HAuCl₄·3H₂O (25 mL and 1 mM) heated to 95°C with stirring. The color of the solution changed instantly from pale yellow to colorless. Then, the solution changed to dark blue and then to a deep red burgundy after a few minutes. Stirring and heating of the solution were maintained for several minutes after the addition of sodium citrate, and the solution was stirred during cooling at room temperature. PEG-FA (250 mg) was added to the above AuNP (25 mL) solution. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 4 h to obtain AuNP-PEG-FA. Unreacted PEG-FA was removed by centrifugation. RSV solution (5.7 mL, 10 μg/mL) was added drop by drop to the continuously stirred AuNP-PEG-FA solution (150 rpm for 2 h at room temperature) to obtain RSV-AuNP-PEG-FA.

Characterization of RSV-AuNP and RSV-AuNP-PEG-FA

General observations of RSV-AuNP and RSV-AuNP-PEG-FA
Observations of RSV-AuNP and RSV-AuNP-PEG-FA were recorded qualitatively from visual inspection of the conjugates.

Absorbance and wavelength measurements
The absorbance and wavelength measurements of RSV-AuNP-PEG-FA were performed using a spectrophotometer (UV-1800, Shimadzu, Japan) in the wavelength range of 400–800 nm.

Infrared spectrum analysis
The Fourier-transform infrared (FTIR) spectra (KBr pellet) of RSV, PEG-FA, AuNP, RSV-AuNP, and RSV-AuNP-PEG-FA were recorded on FTIR-8400S spectrometer (Shimadzu, Japan) in a wavenumber region of 400–4000 cm⁻¹.

Particle size and size distribution measurements
The average particle size (x-average) and polydispersity index (PDI) of RSV-AuNP and RSV-AuNP-PEG-FA were determined through dynamic light scattering (DLS) at 25°C using a Malvern Zetasizer (Nano ZS, Worcestershire, UK).

Zeta potential measurements
The zeta potential of RSV-AuNP and RSV-AuNP-PEG-FA was measured using a Malvern Zetasizer (Nano ZS, Malvern Instruments, Worcestershire, UK).

Determination of RSV entrapment efficiency (%EE)
A 1000 ppm RSV solution in methanol (1 mg/mL) was serially diluted (1, 5, 10, 15, 20, and 25 ppm) to obtain a calibration curve. RSV-AuNP-PEG-FA solution (2 mL) was ultracentrifuged (12,000 rpm at 27°C) for 1 h, and the supernatant was syringe filtered (0.22 μm pore size filter) and analyzed by HPLC. The amount of RSV trapped in the RSV-AuNP-PEG-FA was determined indirectly using HPLC by subtracting the quantity in the supernatant from the total amount added. The analyses were performed in triplicate. The %EE of RSV was calculated using the following equation:

\[
\%EE = \frac{C_{\text{total}} - C_{\text{free}}}{C_{\text{total}}} \times 100\%
\]

Results and discussion

Synthesis of RSV-AuNP-PEG-FA
A brief optimization of the reaction time for AuNP synthesis after the addition of sodium citrate was performed (Table 1). As shown, an increase in absorbance was observed from 5 to 15 min. However, when the reaction time was increased to 25 min, the absorbance decreased to 0.305. The decrease in absorbance indicated aggregate formation. Therefore, 15 min of heating and stirring after sodium citrate addition was deemed optimal, as was also reported in the literature [15,16].

Characterization of RSV-AuNP and RSV-AuNP-PEG-FA
General observations of RSV-AuNP and RSV-AuNP-PEG-FA
The RSV-AuNP-PEG-FA solution was observed to have a red burgundy color. A red burgundy solution is characteristic of non-aggregated nanoparticles due to a rather narrow absorption wavelength at 520 nm. When the solution becomes blue, a AuNP solution is indicated to be partially aggregated [17]. The RSV-AuNP-PEG-FA has a clearer red burgundy color than RSV-AuNP. The RSV-AuNP-PEG-FA has a relatively stable red burgundy color after 7 days, while the RSV-AuNP became more purple by the 3rd day. Thus, the PEG coating was observed to improve nanoparticle stability to prevent AuNP aggregation [4].

Absorbance and wavelength measurements
Fig. 1 presents the UV-visible absorbance spectra of PEG-FA (0.256 at 538.50 nm) and PEG-FA (0.233 at 519.7 nm) and AuNP (0.480 at 528.00 nm). RSV-AuNP (0.694 at 528.00 nm), and RSV-AuNP-PEG-FA (0.890 at 533 nm and 1.989 at 279 nm). As shown in Fig. 1, the RSV-AuNP-PEG-FA curve was sharper than RSV-AuNP. According to Turkevich, the increase in particle size can result in a marked change in the width of spectral. It is possible that the particle size of RSV-AuNP-PEG-FA was smaller than that of RSV-AuNP. Moreover, in RSV-AuNP, there was no absorbance at 279 nm, whereas in RSV-AuNP-PEG-FA, there was an absorbance at 279 nm, indicative of a successful conjugation between PEG-FA and AuNP.

Table 1: Optimization of reaction time after sodium citrate addition

| No. | Duration of stirring and warming (min) | λ (nm) | Absorbance |
|-----|-------------------------------------|-------|------------|
| 1.  | 5                                   | 528.00| 0.404      |
| 2.  | 15                                  | 528.50| 0.480      |
| 3.  | 25                                  | 538.50| 0.305      |

Table 2: Selected parameters for RSV-AuNP and RSV-AuNP-PEG-FA

|                | RSV-AuNP | RSV-AuNP-PEG-FA |
|----------------|----------|-----------------|
| Particle size  | 5.197    | 2.04            |
| PDI            | 0.694    | 0.233           |
| Zeta potential | -24.6    | -21.1           |

AuNP: Gold nanoparticle, RSV: Resveratrol, PDI: Polydispersity, FA: Folic acid, PEG: Polyethylene glycol
Infrared spectrum analysis

Fig. 2 presents the FTIR spectra of RSV, PEG-FA, AuNP, RSV-AuNP, and RSV-AuNP-PEG-FA. The characteristic peaks of RSV-AuNP-PEG-FA are 3444.96 and 3284.88 cm$^{-1}$ (OH), 1728.28 cm$^{-1}$ (C=O), 1647.26 cm$^{-1}$ (C=C), 1546.96 and 1512.24 cm$^{-1}$ (C=C aromatic), and 2357.09 cm$^{-1}$. The same functional groups present on PEG-FA and RSV-AuNP-PEG-FA, such as O-H, C=O, and C=C, indicated that PEG-FA could be found on RSV-AuNP-PEG-FA. The peak intensity at 2357.09 cm$^{-1}$ in RSV-AuNP-PEG-FA was stronger than that of PEG-FA, AuNP, and RSV-AuNP because the PEG-FA and AuNP bond add to this peak. In contrast, the peak intensity of RSV at 833.28 cm$^{-1}$ (para-substituted) disappeared in RSV-AuNP-PEG-FA, indicative of RSV being absorbed into the AuNP.

Particle size and size distribution measurements

The particle size, particle size distribution, and PDI values of RSV-AuNP-PEG-FA were obtained using a particle size analyzer with the DLS method. The DLS inner diameter is a value that refers to how the particle diffuses in a liquid and is referred to as the hydrodynamic diameter. This diameter is the spherical diameter and has the same translation diffusion coefficient as the particle [18]. The translation diffusion coefficient depends not only on the core particle size but also on each surface structure [19]. The average particle size formed from RSV-AuNP-PEG-FA was 195.6 nm, while that of RSV-AuNP was 51.97 nm. This difference in size results from PEG coating the surface of the AuNP. The particle-size distribution values based on the volume of the RSV-AuNP-PEG-FA show that as many as 10% of the particles formed were <19.0 nm, 50% of the particles formed were <26.4 nm, and 90% of the particles formed were <88.1 nm. PDI is a value to determine the particle-size distribution and ranges from 0 to 1. If the PDI value is <0.7, then the system is considered monodispersed. However, if the PDI value is >0.7, then the system is considered polydisperse [20]. The PDI value of RSV-AuNP-PEG-FA was 0.233; therefore, this is a monodisperse system.

Zeta potential measurements

The zeta potential of RSV-AuNP-PEG-FA was −21.1 mV, while that of RSV-AuNP was −24.6 mV. According to the American Filtration and Separations Society, RSV-AuNP-PEG-FA belongs within the “fine dispersion threshold.” The zeta potential value of RSV-AuNP-PEG-FA was smaller than that of RSV-AuNP. This can be attributed to PEGylation. PEGylation is known to reduce the zeta potential value in accordance with the theory of steric stability in nanoparticles [8]. By surrounding the metal center with a steric material, a repulsive force is created between the metal nanoparticles [21]. Table 2 shows the comparison of RSV-AuNPs conjugate parameters with RSV-AuNP-PEG-FA conjugates.

Determination of RSV%EE

The calibration curve equation was $y=92620x−28703$ with a correlation coefficient value $r=0.997$. The retention time of the RSV in RSV-AuNP-PEG-FA was 15.181 min, while in the standard solution, this was 15.396 min. The amount of RSV trapped in the nanoparticles (analysis in triplicate) was 57.67% (average of 57.74%, 57.36%, and 57.90%). These results show that RSV was entrapped in RSV-AuNP-PEG-FA.

Stability tests of RSV-AuNP and RSV-AuNP-PEG-FA

The results of the stability test showed that RSV-AuNP-PEG-FA was more stable than RSV-AuNP (Fig. 3). This was expected as PEG can improve the stability of nanoparticles according to the steric stability theory (shear dispersion around the metal center by steric materials) [21]. In buffer pH 4, both RSV-AuNP and RSV-AuNP-PEG-FA were not stable because changes in pH change can cause AuNPs to be aggregate. The acidic pH four buffer medium was intended to simulate the condition of cancer cells. In the RSV-AuNP-PEG-FA drug delivery system, FA would interact with folate receptors expressed on the cancer cell surface. After endocytosis of the nanoparticle into an acidic environment, the model drug (RSV) could be released from nanoparticle destabilizations. In medium buffer pH 7.4 and BSA 2%, both RSV-AuNP and RSV-AuNP-PEG-FA were stable. Buffer pH 7.4 has an osmolarity and ion concentration isotonic or equivalent to the condition of human body fluids, and BSA 2% simulated protein in plasma (human plasma can contain up to 60% total protein). Stability in these media suggests that the nanoparticle
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Fig. 2: Fourier-transform infrared spectra of resveratrol (RSV) (purple), polyethylene glycol (PEG)-folic acid (FA) (green), gold nanoparticle (AuNP) (blue), RSV-AuNP (red), and RSV-AuNP-PEG-FA (black).

The drug delivery system could stay intact in human plasma for several weeks and extend the drug release period. In cysteine 1% and NaCl 0.9%, both RSV-AuNP and RSV-AuNP-PEG-FA were not stable (Fig. 3). The thiol groups in cysteine 1% interact with the gold and cause nanoparticle aggregation after breaking through the PEG coat. In NaCl 0.9%, the PEG coat could not protect against the presence of additional electrolytes (cations and anions); moreover, aggregation to larger particles was observed [22].

Fig. 3: Stability tests of resveratrol (RSV)-gold nanoparticle (AuNP) and RSV-AuNP-polyethylene glycol-folic acid based on absorbance in (a) without medium, (b) buffer pH 4, (c) buffer pH 7.4, (d) bovine serum albumin 2%, (e) cysteine 1%, and (f) NaCl 0.9%.
CONCLUSION

RSV-AuNP-PEG-FA was successfully synthesized. The resulting particle solution was red burgundy in color and the average particle size was 195.6 nm (PDI=0.233, zeta potential=−21.1 mV). The %EE of RSV in RSV-AuNP-PEG-FA was 57.67%. Stability tests showed that RSV-AuNP-PEG-FA was more stable than RSV-AuNP and the stability of RSV-AuNP-PEG-FA and RSV-AuNP in buffer pH 7.4 and BSA 2% was better than that in buffer pH 4, cysteine 1%, and NaCl 0.9%. From this research, we can conclude that the stabilization imparted by PEG-FA to AuNPs can improve the overall stability of the RSV-AuNP drug delivery system.
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