Genetic and phenotypic diversity of *Ralstonia solanacearum* biovar 2 strains obtained from Dutch waterways
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Abstract A novel set of *Ralstonia solanacearum* biovar 2 isolates was obtained, at several sampling occasions, from Dutch waterways, sediment and bittersweet plants and their genetic and phenotypic diversity was investigated. As reference strains, two previously-described strains obtained from diseased potato plants, denoted 1609 (The Netherlands) and 715 (Bangladesh), were included in the analyses. All novel isolates showed BOX and GTG5 PCR based genomic profiles similar to those of the reference strains. Also, PCR-restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis of the *phcA* and *hrp* genomic regions, as well as sequence analysis of six selected genomic loci, revealed great homogeneity across the strains. In contrast, pulsed field gel electrophoresis of restricted genomic DNA revealed the distribution of all strains across four groups, denoted pulsotypes A through D (pulsotypes C and D had one representative each). Moreover, pulsotype B, consisting of five strains, could be separated from the other pulsotypes by a divergent genomic fingerprint when hybridized to a probe specific for insertion element *ISRso3*. Representatives of pulsotypes A, B and C were selected for growth and metabolic studies. They showed similar growth rates when grown aerobically in liquid media. Assessment of their metabolic capacity using BIOLOG GN-2 revealed a reduced utilization of compounds as compared to the reference strains, with some variation between strains.
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Introduction

*Ralstonia solanacearum* biovar 2, the causal agent of wilting disease (brown rot) in potato and other plant species, is responsible for large economic losses in agriculture worldwide. The organism is thought to have its origin in tropical regions, in which it is often endemic. Its occurrence in temperate climate regions may relate to an initial introduction from infested plant material followed by spread from the infestation points. Hence, fostering our understanding of the behavior (survival, growth and diversification) of this organism in the open temperate climate environment is important, as it may eventually aid in the design of containment strategies for this organism.
A major issue in such epidemiological work is the correct identification of *R. solanacearum* isolates. Traditionally, the organism has been identified using plant infection tests (defining races), metabolism-based criteria (defining biovars) and molecular criteria. The taxonomy of *R. solanacearum* has recently undergone a major revision, and in the currently proposed scheme—mainly based on strains obtained from infested plants (Fegan and Prior 2005)—*R. solanacearum* biovar 2 (also denoted as race 3) belongs to the newly-proposed phylogroup II, sequevar 1. Given ongoing discussions on taxonomical placement, we will in this paper use the traditional classification into biovars.

Over the last decades, *R. solanacearum* biovar 2 has increasingly been observed in infested areas in soils in temperate climate regions such as in The Netherlands, Belgium, France, Sweden, Spain and the UK (Janse 1996, 1998; van Elsas et al. 2000; Caruso et al. 2005). The dissemination of the organism from such infested soil areas into surface water and sediment and the weedy species *Solanum dulcamara* (bittersweet), which grows along waterways, may have contributed to the establishment of this organism in temperate climate zones (Janse 1998; Elphinstone et al. 1998). In particular bittersweet may have catalyzed the spread of the organism, given its capacity to serve as a colonizable host (Wenneker et al. 1999; Álvarez et al. 2008a).

Ecological work in microcosms has shown that *R. solanacearum* biovar 2 can persist, for varying periods of time, in different soil and water systems, as well as in the rhizosphere, plant residues and/or inside host plants (Granada and Sequira 1983; van Elsas et al. 2000; Gorissen et al. 2004). The survival time (time to extinction) varied from weeks to months depending on the ecological conditions, offering possibilities for the organism to reach aquatic refuge niches from infested plants in soil, such as aquatic sediment or the aforementioned bittersweet.

Specifically, the survival in aquatic habitats was shown to strongly depend on temperature and to be negatively affected by light, salinity and the presence of other bacteria, lytic phages and protozoa (van Elsas et al. 2001; Álvarez et al. 2007). On the other hand, the presence of sediment in the water was shown to exert a positive effect on the survival of a population at low temperature (van Elsas et al. 2001).

The biovar 2 strain used in such experiments, strain 1609, showed highest (>100 days) survival in sterile water at 20°C (van Elsas et al. 2000), as well as in agricultural water at 12°C (van Elsas et al. 2001). The organism was also shown to be an excellent scavenger of nutrients, even multiplying in sterilized demineralized water (Wakimoto et al. 1982).

In all work published to date, it was postulated that biovar 2 strains (Hayward 1991; Janse 1996; van der Wolf et al. 1998; Timms-Wilson et al. 2001) are genetically almost clonal. However, this conclusion was based on observations of strains that were mostly derived directly from infested (potato) plants. There is a true paucity of knowledge on the level of diversity across biovar 2 strains obtained from the open environment, for the simple reason that such isolates have only been sparsely studied. Hence, the aim of this study was to determine to what extent *R. solanacearum* biovar 2, since its presumed introduction with infested plant material in The Netherlands in the 1990s, established and diversified in aquatic habitats. To achieve this aim, a set of isolates was obtained at different sampling times in two consecutive years. These were identified as true biovar 2 strains and subsequently analyzed molecularly and phenotypically. The molecular analyses consisted of GTG5 and BOX PCR based fingerprints (Versalovic et al. 1994), hybridization with probes for insertion sequence *ISRso3* (Jeong and Timmis 2000), analyses of the virulence regions *phcA* (Poussier et al. 2003) and *hrp* (Poussier et al. 1999, 2000) and pulsed field gel electrophoresis of genomic DNA (Smith et al. 1995; van der Wolf et al. 1998). Also, oligolocus sequence typing (OLST) based on six genomic loci as well as analysis of a variable tandem repeat region were applied to selected strains. The phenotypic analyses included plant (tomato) pathogenicity tests, growth rate assessments and analyses of metabolic capacities.

**Materials and methods**

**Bacterial strains: sampling, isolation and growth**

Isolates were obtained at three different occasions (1st sampling in May 2004, 2nd in June 2004 and 3rd in October 2005) on four locations (denoted KZR, A, B and C) in the Northern part of The Netherlands,
i.e. in Kommerzijlsterriet (KZR) and Reitdiep (locations A, B and C). In these regions, major outbreaks of potato brown rot had occurred in the 1990s. At each site, samples were taken from canal water, sediment and bittersweet plants. Per location, 2 × 50 ml canal water (sampled at 10–20 cm depth, approximately two meters away from the edge), one to several bittersweet plants growing at the canal side (roots in the water sediment), as well as 100–500 g canal sediment were collected and analyzed for the presence of *R. solanacearum* biovar 2. Water temperatures ranged mostly from 13 to 17°C and were occasionally as low as 6°C; an overview of the samples is provided in Table 1.

Processing of the samples to obtain *R. solanacearum* biovar 2 was done as described elsewhere (Wenneker et al. 1999), with modifications. Briefly, for isolation from water, cells were pelleted by centrifugation (10,000g for 15 min) or collected on 0.2 μm nitrocellulose filters. The cell pellets or concentrates were resuspended in 1 or 2 ml 0.01 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) and dilution-plated on modified semi-selective SMSA medium (Elphinstone et al. 1996). For isolation of *R. solanacearum* biovar 2 from bittersweet, stem and root material was surface-sterilized (10–30 s) with 70% ethanol and homogenized in 5–10 ml phosphate buffer. Homogenized plant material or sediment was then incubated for 2 h with shaking at 28°C in 50 ml 0.01 M phosphate buffer before dilution plating on modified SMSA medium. Plates were incubated for 4–5 days at 28°C.

Screening for *R. solanacearum* biovar 2 was performed via colony PCR using the biovar 2 specific primer sets D2-B (Boudazin et al. 1999) and fliC (Schönfeld et al. 2003). This provided presumptive evidence for identity. Presumptive biovar 2 isolates were further purified on 0.1× TSBS (10% strength Trypticase Soy Broth [Becton–Dickinson and Company, Sparks, MD, USA], 0.1% sucrose; pH 7.2) or BGT (Bacto peptone 10 g, yeast extract 1 g, casamino acids 1 g, glucose 5 g; H2O 1L; pH 7.2) agar (1.5%) plates and incubated for 2 days at 28°C. They were rechecked using the same methods. The identity of positive strains was confirmed by PCR using the *R. solanacearum* race 3-specific primer pair 630/631 (Fegan et al. 1998). The confirmed new isolates (42 in total), next to the reference strains used, are listed in Table 2. All reference strains, including those kindly received from Timms-Wilson, Oxford, UK, had

| Month/year | Water temperature (°C) | Location | Number of positive samples/number of samples | Total number of Samples | Positive Isolates purified |
|------------|------------------------|----------|---------------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
|            |                        |          | Bittersweet | Water | Sediment |                          |                        |
| May 2004   | 14                     | KZR      | 1/3         | 0/4   | 0/3      | 10 | 1 | 12 |
|            |                        | NZ       | 0/1         | 0/4   | 0/1      | 6  | 0 |    |
| June 2004  | 17                     | A        | 3/3         | 1/1<sup>a</sup> | 1/2 | 6  | 5 | 13 |
|            |                        | B        | 0/2         | 1/1<sup>a</sup> | 1/2 | 5  | 2 | 3  |
|            |                        | C        | 0/2         | 1/1<sup>a</sup> | 0/2 | 5  | 1 | 2  |
| October 2005 | 13                    | A        | 1/1         | 1/2   | 0/2      | 5  | 2 | 11 |
|            |                        | B        | 1/1         | 0/2   | 0/2      | 5  | 1 | 1  |
|            |                        | C        | 0/1         | 0/2   | 0/2      | 5  | 0 |    |
| February 2005 | 6                     | A        | nd          | 0/2   | 0/2      | 4  | 0 |    |
|            |                        | B        | nd          | 0/2   | 0/2      | 4  | 0 |    |
|            |                        | C        | nd          | 0/2   | 0/4      | 6  | 0 |    |

<sup>a</sup> The water samples analyzed in June 2004 were of larger volume than the others (4 × 50 ml), which was combined before enrichment and plating on SMSA culture plates
| Strain   | Location/country | Month/year of isolation | Source | Virulence | Pulsotype | ISRso3 group | TR0578d | OLSTe |
|----------|------------------|-------------------------|--------|-----------|-----------|-------------|----------|-------|
| 1609     | The Netherlands  | 1995                    | Potato | Moderate  | A         | 1           | 5,6      | +     |
| 715      | Bangladesh       | Unknown                 | Potato | Vir       | A         | 2           | 5,5      | +     |
| KZR-1    | KZR              | May 2004                | s      | Vir       | A         | 1           | 5,7      | +     |
| KZR-2    | KZR              | May 2004                | s      | Vir       | A         | 1           | 5,7      | +     |
| KZR-3    | KZR              | May 2004                | s      | Vir       | A         | 1           | 5,7      | nd    |
| KZR-5    | KZR              | May 2004                | s      | Vir       | C         | 1           | 5,7      | +     |
| KZR-6    | KZR              | May 2004                | s      | nd        | A         | 1           | nd       | nd    |
| KZR-7    | KZR              | May 2004                | s      | nd        | A         | 1           | nd       | nd    |
| KZR-8    | KZR              | May 2004                | s      | nd        | A         | 1           | nd       | nd    |
| KZR-9    | KZR              | May 2004                | s      | nd        | A         | 1           | nd       | nd    |
| KZR-10   | KZR              | May 2004                | s      | nd        | A         | 1           | nd       | nd    |
| KZR-12   | KZR              | May 2004                | s      | nd        | A         | 1           | nd       | nd    |
| KZR-13   | KZR              | May 2004                | s      | nd        | A         | 1           | nd       | nd    |
| KZR-14   | KZR              | May 2004                | s      | nd        | A         | 1           | nd       | nd    |
| PA1      | A                | June 2004               | s      | Vir       | B         | 2           | 5,6      | +     |
| PA2      | A                | June 2004               | s      | nd        | B         | 2           | 5,6      | nd    |
| PA4      | A                | June 2004               | s      | nd        | B         | 2           | 5,6      | nd    |
| PA5      | A                | June 2004               | s      | nd        | A         | 1           | 5,6      | nd    |
| PA8      | A                | June 2004               | s      | Vir       | A         | 1           | 5,6      | nd    |
| RA9      | A                | June 2004               | r      | Vir       | B         | 2           | 5,6      | +     |
| RA12     | A                | June 2004               | r      | Vir       | A         | 2           | 5,6      | nd    |
| RA13     | A                | June 2004               | r      | nd        | A         | 1           | 5,6      | nd    |
| RA16     | A                | June 2004               | r      | nd        | A         | 1           | 5,6      | nd    |
| RA18     | A                | June 2004               | r      | nd        | A         | 1           | nd       | nd    |
| WA19     | A                | June 2004               | r      | nd        | A         | 1           | 5,6      | +     |
| WA20     | A                | June 2004               | Water  | nd        | A         | 1           | 5,6      | +     |
| SA31     | A                | June 2004               | Sediment | Vir   | A         | 1           | 5,6      | nd    |
| WB48     | B                | June 2004               | Water  | Vir       | A         | 1           | 5,7      | +     |
| WB49     | B                | June 2004               | Water  | nd        | A         | 1           | 5,6      | nd    |
| SB63     | B                | June 2004               | Sediment | Vir   | A         | 1           | 5,6      | nd    |
| WC76     | C                | June 2004               | Water  | Vir       | D         | 1           | 5,6      | nd    |
| WC78     | C                | June 2004               | Water  | nd        | A         | 1           | 5,6      | +     |
| RA05-9   | A                | October 2005            | r      | nd        | A         | 1           | 5,6      | +     |
| RA05-10  | A                | October 2005            | r      | nd        | A         | 1           | 5,6      | +     |
| RA05-11  | A                | October 2005            | r      | nd        | A         | 1           | 5,6      | +     |
| RA05-12  | A                | October 2005            | r      | nd        | A         | 1           | nd       | nd    |
| RA05-13  | A                | October 2005            | r      | nd        | A         | 1           | nd       | nd    |
| PA05-16  | A                | October 2005            | s      | nd        | A         | 1           | nd       | nd    |
| PA05-17  | A                | October 2005            | s      | nd        | A         | 1           | nd       | nd    |
| PA05-18  | A                | October 2005            | s      | nd        | A         | 1           | nd       | nd    |
| PA05-21  | A                | October 2005            | s      | nd        | A         | 1           | 5,6      | +     |
| PA05-22  | A                | October 2005            | s      | nd        | A         | 1           | nd       | nd    |
already been identified as *R. solanacearum* biovar 2 (Timms-Wilson et al. 2001).

Confirmation of the identity of the novel isolates was further obtained via sequence analysis of the 16S ribosomal RNA gene. For this, the representative isolates KZR-5, PA1, PA5, SA31 and WC76 were used, using primers B8-F/27F and 1492-R (GATC Biotech, Germany; Table 3).

For routine analyses, *R. solanacearum* biovar 2 strains were grown in 0.1× TSBS at 28°C. To determine the doubling times at either 16 or 28°C of the selected strains 1609, 715, KZR-1, KZR-5, PA1 and PA5, cultures were grown (in duplicate) in 0.1× TSBS at the indicated temperatures and CFU numbers were determined over time. For experiments at 28°C, the absorption values at 660 nm were measured at 40, 185, 260, 345 and 430 min and converted to CFU via a calibration curve. For experiments at 16°C, we used direct dilution plating for CFU enumerations at 0, 19, 43, 48 and 54 h.

Virulence tests

Selected *R. solanacearum* biovar 2 strains (*n* = 15) were kept without added water for 2 days and roots were slightly damaged by gently moving the plants up and down in the soil. This procedure (cell density, root wounding) offered the correct window for virulence testing with the plant/peat combination that was available. Plants were incubated in the greenhouse under a 26°C (day, 14 h)/21°C (night, 10 h) regime, and disease development in the plants was scored at regular time intervals using a disease matrix ranging from 0 (no wilting symptoms) to 4 (all leaves wilted) (Winstead and Kelman 1952).

DNA extraction

For routine analyses, total genomic DNA was extracted from 4-ml liquid 0.1× TSBS cultures grown at 28°C, using the Ultraclean™ microbial DNA extraction kit according to the manufacturer’s protocol (MoBIO Laboratories Inc. Carlsbad, UK). This consistently yielded 0.1–0.5 μg/μl DNA of high quality, as measured via agarose gel electrophoresis and staining with ethidium bromide.

Plasmid extraction was done according to Birnboim and Doly for plasmids 5–100 Kb in size (Birnboim and Doly 1979) or using the commercial Qiagen plasmid extraction kit for plasmids up to 50 Kb (Benelux B.V, Venlo, The Netherlands). Genomic DNA for use in Southern hybridisation experiments was extracted essentially as described elsewhere (Sambrook and Maniatis 1989). Genomic DNA of strain UW551 was kindly received from Caitlyn Allen (Wisconsin, Madison, USA).

| Strain | Location/country | Month/year of isolation | Sourcea | Virulence | Pulsotype Xbaibern | ISRso3 groupc | TR0578d | OLSTe |
|--------|------------------|-------------------------|---------|-----------|-------------------|--------------|---------|--------|
| WA05-6 | A October 2005   | Water                   | nd      | nd        | A                 | nd           | nd      | nd     |
| PB05-28| B October 2005   | s                       | nd      | A         | 1                 | 5, 6         | +       |        |

nd not determined, Vir virulent  

a *R. solanacearum* cells were isolated from either stems (s) or roots (r) of *S. dulcamara*  
b Pulsed field gel electrophoresis pattern (pulsotype) after analysis of XbaI digested genomic DNA  
c ISRso3 duplication was scored as a single polymorphic band. Group 2: presence of a 8 Kb hybridising band. Group 1: no 8 Kb hybridising band present  
d Tandem repeat region TR0578 as defined by Neil Parkinson, Central Science Laboratory, York, UK  
e Oligolocus sequence typing, as shown in Table 4, was performed for selected strains (+)
Use of PCR to detect and analyze specific gene regions in the *R. solanacearum* genome

**16S rRNA gene (D2-B), fliC and phcA**

PCR primers and reaction conditions used for amplification of the respective genomic regions are listed in Table 3. For PCR amplification, we used the GeneAmp PCR System 9700 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, USA). Standard PCR reaction mixtures contained 1 × PCR buffer (1.5 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM Tris·HCl and 50 mM KCl, [Roche Applied Science, Basel, Switzerland]), 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 10% DMSO, 200 µM of each deoxynucleotide, 0.2 µM of each primer and 20 U/ml Taq DNA polymerase (Roche Applied Science, Basel, Switzerland). When desired, PCR products were

| Primer | Sequence of primer (5’–3’) | PCR conditions (°C)ᵃ | References |
|--------|-----------------------------|----------------------|------------|
| D2-F   | 5’ GTC CGGAAAGAAATC GCTTC 3’ | 60                   | Boudazin et al. (1999) |
| B-R    | 5’ GGCGGGACTTAACCCAACATC 3’ | 60                   | Boudazin et al. (1999) |
| B8-F   | 5’ AGAGTTTGATCMTGCTCACG 3’  | 55                   | Lane (1991) |
| 27-F   | 5’ AGAGTTTGATCMTGCTCACG 3’  | Lane (1991)          |
| 1492-R | 5’ GGTACCTTGTACGACTT 3’     | 55                   | Lane (1991)  |
| fliC-F | 5’ GAAACCAACGGTGCGAG 3’     | 60                   | Schönfeld et al. (2003) |
| fliC-R | 5’ GGGGCGCTTCAGGGGAGTGC 3’  | 60                   | Schönfeld et al. (2003) |
| BOX A1R| 5’ CTACGGCAAGGCCGACGTACG 3’ | 50                   | Versalovic et al. (1994) |
| GTG5   | 5’ GTGTTGTTGTTGTTG 3’       | 43                   | Versalovic et al. (1994) |
| 630    | 5’ ATACAGAATTTCGACGGCAG 3’  | 58                   | Fegan et al. (1998) |
| 631    | 5’ ATACACATGCAATTCGACGGCAG 3’ | 58          | Fegan et al. (1998) |
| phcA-F | 5’ ATCAAGGTCGAGCTGGTA 3’    | 57                   | This study   |
| phcA-F2| 5’ GCAGCCAAAGGTTGCGAG 3’    | 57                   | This study   |
| phcA-R | 5’ ATCAAGGTCGAGCTGGTA 3’    | 57                   | This study   |
| phcA-R2| 5’ CAACGTACGGCGAAGCTG 3’    | 57                   | This study   |
| GMHHev-F| 5’ ATCGG TATGC CGC GCTAGT 3’ | 60          | This study   |
| GMHHev-R| 5’ TGCACCGTGTTGATGATCAG 3’ | 60               | This study   |
| pg1A-F | 5’ GCAGACCTGCACCACTTCG 3’   | 68ᵇ            | This study   |
| hrc-V2 | 5’ CGCCTCCACAACTTCCATT 3’   | 68ᵇ            | This study   |
| hrc-V2 | 5’ CGCCTCCACAACTTCCATT 3’   | 68ᵇ            | This study   |
| hrpB-R | 5’ TGGCGAGCTGCTGCTACAT 3’   | 68ᵇ            | This study   |
| cbh-A  | 5’ AGCTGGCCTCTACTAACTC 3’   | 52               | This study   |
| cbh-A  | 5’ CGCGCTGTAGTCTTTGAAAT 3’  | 52               | This study   |
| spoT-F | 5’ GAACTCGTTGGAGGCGATC3’     | 60               | This study   |
| spoT-R | 5’ TATCCCAAGAAGCAGGCTGAG 3’ | 60               | This study   |
| holC-F | 5’ CTACGGCGGTGCTGCTTCA 3’   | 59               | This study   |
| holC-R | 5’ CATAGCACCAGACGATTCT 3’   | 59               | This study   |
| mutS-F | 5’ GGGCGACTCTCACGAGCTT 3’   | 59               | This study   |
| mutS-R | 5’ CGGTTGCGACAGCAG 3’        | 59               | This study   |
| TR0578-F| 5’ CATACGCGCGCGTACGACG 3’   | 59               | Parkinson, pers. comm. |
| TR0578-R| 5’ GTGGCGATCACGATCGCTGTC 3’ | 59           | Parkinson, pers. comm. |

F forward primer, R reverse primer

ᵃ Annealing temperatures. Standard PCR reactions were proceeded by a 5-min denaturation step at 96°C, followed by a final extension step for 5 min at 72°C

ᵇ Denaturation was for 45 s at 96°C, cycling of 20 s at 96°C, followed by annealing and extension for 15 min at 68°C (34 cycles) and a final extension step for 13 min at 72°C
digested with 10 U of the appropriate enzyme according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Fermentas Life Sciences, St. Leon-Rot, Germany) and analyzed on 1.5% agarose (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany) (Sambrook and Maniatis 1989).

**BOX and GTG5**

For BOX and GTG5 genomic fingerprintings, we used a twofold concentrated PCR buffer, 500 μM of each deoxynucleotide, 2 mg/ml bovine serum albumin (BSA), 0.4 μM primer and 80 U/ml Taq DNA polymerase (Roche Applied Science, Basel, Switzerland). Amplicons were analyzed by electrophoresis on 1.5% agarose gels.

Development of a PCR-based hrp fingerprinting system

For amplification of the hrp gene region (partial) we used a forward primer localized in the pgIA gene (PglA-F2) and a reverse primer in the hrcV gene (HrcV-R2), which were both based on the sequences of these genes in strain 1609. To obtain these sequences, we determined the hrcV sequence for biovar 2 by cloning a strain 1609 derived 300-bp PCR product obtained using PCR primers GMIHrcV-F and GMIHrcV-R, based on the GMI1000 genome sequence (Salanoubat et al. 2002). Sequencing of this insert and comparison with hrcV sequences in the NCBI database indeed revealed a partial hrcV gene, on the basis of which a second, presumably biovar 2 specific, reverse primer was designed. The sequence of the biovar 2 pgIA gene was kindly received from Christian Boucher (INRA, Toulouse, France).

For amplification of the genomic region between the pgIA and hrcV primer target sites (28.2 Kb on the basis of information from biovar 2 strain UW551), we used the TaKaRa La Taq polymerase kit (code RR020AG, Takara BIO Inc., Shiga, Japan), which is suitable for amplification of large DNA regions including high G + C content DNA. For amplification, the 2x GC buffer I supplied in the kit was used, and the supplied dNTP mixture (final concentration 400 μM of each nucleotide) following the manufacturer’s instructions. The cycling program was as follows: denaturing at 96°C for 3 min; 30 cycles (96°C for 20 s, 68°C for 15 min) and a final extension step of 72°C for 15 min. For comparison with GMI1000, we used two additional primers, hrpB-F2 (based on the hrpB sequence of strain 1609) and hrpB-R (based on the hrpB sequence of strain GMI1000 (Table 3).

For fifteen selected strains (OLST) and analysis of tandem repeat region

For comparison with GMI1000, we used two additional primers, hrpB-F2 (based on the hrpB sequence of strain 1609) and hrpB-R (based on the hrpB sequence of strain GMI1000 (Table 3).

Oligolocus sequence typing (OLST) and analysis of tandem repeat region

For fifteen selected strains (OLST), we applied OLST. PCR conditions were as described in the section “Use of PCR to detect and analyze specific gene regions in R. solanacearum”. We analyzed the partial sequences of the following six genes: phcA (global virulence regulator), mutS (DNA mismatch repair protein), holC (DNA polymerase III halo enzyme subunit), cbhA (cellobiohydrolase), relA/spoT (stress response related hypothetical protein) and fliC (flagellar protein) by PCR amplification and sequencing. We also analyzed tandem repeat region TR0578 (N. Parkinson, unpublished data) which is present in hypothetical protein RRSL_04153 (strain UW551—[Gabriel et al. 2006]) for a selected 27 strains (Table 2). Sets of primers were designed based on sequence information derived from either strain UW551 or 1609, to amplify internal fragments for all genes except phcA. For the latter, we designed a primer 349 bp upstream of the start codon (phcA-F2, Table 3). For all sequencing reactions, extension from the forward primer was used, with the exception of cbhA, for which we used the reverse primer (Table 4).

DNA sequencing was performed in Applied Biosystems 3130 or 3730XL sequencers. Sequences were aligned using the program MEGA4 (Tamura et al. 2007) and trimmed to obtain sequences of identical length. The length of the sequences used for comparison of strains is shown in Table 4.

Cloning procedures

For cloning of the PCR products, we used the pGEM-T easy vector system (A1360) according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Promega Corporation, Madison, WI, USA). For transformation we used competent E. coli MM294 cells (Sylphium Life Sciences, Groningen, The Netherlands).

**ISRso3 detection via Southern hybridization**

For preparation of an ISRso3 DNA probe, we used plasmid ePST001 that was obtained by cloning of the
PCR product obtained with PCR primers PhcA-F2 and R2 on genomic DNA of a spontaneous phcA mutant of strain 1609. This plasmid (containing ISRso3 and part of the phcA gene) was restricted with the restriction enzymes AvaI and RsaI. The resulting 610-bp fragment—corresponding to position 296–905 of the ISRso3 sequence—was excised from agarose gel and purified using the Qiaex II gel extraction kit (Qiagen Benelux B.V., Venlo, The Netherlands). The purified 610-bp fragment was used for labeling by the digoxigenin (DIG) DNA labelling kit (Roche Applied Science, Penzberg, Germany).

For Southern hybridization analysis, 5–10 l of PstI-digested genomic DNA of all strains listed in Table 2 was transferred to a Hybond-N nitrocellulose membrane (Amersham Biosciences Benelux, Roesendaal, The Netherlands). Hybridization (at 48°C) was done using the DIG DNA detection kit (Roche, Molecular Biochemicals, Mannheim, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. First, the plugs were washed for 1 h in 500 µl 0.5 × T10E10 at room temperature. After pre-incubation of 1 h in restriction buffer, the buffer was refreshed by restriction buffer containing 60U of XbaI. Plugs were incubated overnight at 37°C and the reaction was stopped by adding 1/10 volume of 0.5 M EDTA, pH 8.0.

Analysis of the samples was performed using a CHIEF-DR II pulsed field gel electrophoresis system. For separation of Xbal-digested genomic DNA, we used 1% agarose in 0.5 × TAE at 14°C. Electrophoresis was conducted for 22 h with a switch time of 1–80 s, angle of 120° and voltage of 6 V/cm. A phage lambda size marker (New England Biolabs Inc., Beverly, MA, USA) was used to estimate band sizes.

BIOLOG assay

To test the selected strains for their ability to utilize different carbon sources, we used BIOLOG GN2...
plates (Biolog Hayward, CA, USA) in duplicate or triplicate experiments. Cells were grown overnight in 0.1 × TSBS (OD$_{660}$ 0.5–1.0), washed twice in 0.85% NaCl and diluted to OD$_{660}$ 0.10 in 0.85% NaCl. About 100 µl of washed cells was transferred to each well of the 96-well GN2 plates and the plates were incubated at 28°C. Each well was scored daily (up to 5 days) for colour formation (visually). Wells that showed weak colour formation were scored as ±. Because not all strains scored positive for the wells containing d-cellobiose, d, t-lactic acid and malonic acid (which are known to be utilized by biovar 2 strains; OEPP/EPPO 2004), we tested our strains for growth on these substrates in liquid M63 medium (Amresco, USA) which contained 2.0 g/l ammonium sulfate, 13.6 g/l potassium phosphate, 0.5 mg ferrous sulphate × 7H$_2$O, 1 mM MgSO$_4$ and was supplemented with 0.5% of the respective carbon source. Incubation was at 28°C, with shaking, and growth was monitored every 2 days by plating on 0.1 × TSBS agar plates for up to 10 days.

Statistical analyses

The banding patterns obtained in the PFGE analysis (XbaI-fragmented DNA) and the ISRSo3 hybridization fingerprints was used to generate a matrix indicating the presence or absence (scored as 0 or 1, respectively) of the bands detected using these tools. A total of 40 bands was scored (20 for PFGE and 20 for ISRSo3 detection). A combined dendrogram was constructed using the unweighted pair group method with mathematical averages (UPGMA) and Euclidean distances. For this analysis, we used the software package Statistica 8.0.

Growth rates (doubling times) of strains were compared across strains using Student’s t-test applied on the replicates. These were judged to be significant at $P < 0.05$.

Nucleotide sequence accession numbers

The obtained sequences were deposited in the Genbank data library as follows: 16S rRNA gene sequences of selected strains: accession numbers GQ266171 to GQ266175 and GQ266265 to GQ266269, the strain 1609 hrcV partial sequence: accession number GQ266272, OLST-generated sequences: accession numbers GQ266176 to GQ266264 and GQ266273 to GQ266299 and the sequence of plasmid ePST001 containing ISRSo3 inserted in the phcA region: accession numbers GQ266270 and GQ266271.

Results

Isolation and identification of R. solanacearum biovar 2 strains from water, sediment and bittersweet

For detection of R. solanacearum biovar 2 in Dutch local waterways, we analyzed 30 samples obtained at four sampling events from water, sediment and bittersweet plants. Five different locations were involved (Table 1). Overall, 12 out of 61 samples were positive for R. solanacearum biovar 2 (20%). Specifically, R. solanacearum isolates were not found in February 2005, when the water temperature was relatively low, i.e. 6°C (six samples from water and eight from sediment, Table 1). When the water temperature was higher, isolation was successful; for instance, at e.g. 17°C, putative R. solanacearum biovar 2 colonies were obtained from 50% of the samples (8 out of 16 samples analyzed, Table 1). These colonies, when sufficiently separated from other colonies, showed typical fluid irregular morphologies on SMSA. PCR screening with the biovar 2 specific primer sets D2-B and fliC (Boudazin et al. 1999; Schönfeld et al. 2003) identified all such irregularly-shaped colonies as presumptive R. solanacearum biovar 2 strains.

Following streaking to purity, a total of 42 new presumptive biovar 2 strains, encompassing all isolates from 2004 and 2005, were obtained. They thus originated from canal water, sediment and bittersweet stems and roots (Table 2). In the 1st sampling, twelve R. solanacearum biovar 2 strains were obtained exclusively from bittersweet (Table 2). In a 2nd sampling we obtained six canal water strains (locations A, B and C), two sediment strains (locations B and C) and 10 strains from bittersweet. In the 3rd sampling, another set of strains was obtained from canal water as well as bittersweet at locations A and B (Table 2).

Confirmation of identity

To confirm that the 42 novel strains belonged to R. solanacearum biovar 2, we successfully applied PCR 630/631, which has been shown to be specific
for biovar 2 strains (race 3—potato-infective) (Fegan et al. 1998; Table 3).

In addition, the almost complete 16S rRNA gene sequences were determined (using primers 27-F and 1492-R) for a random selection of novel strains, i.e. KZR-5, PA1, PA5, SA31 and WC76. Analyses of the sequences showed that they were all internally consistent and 100% identical to those of the reference biovar 2 strains 1609 and 715. Also, an expected similarity to biovar 3 strain GMI1000 was noted, with just 7 in 1,387 nucleotides different. The new strains were also convincingly identified and distinguished from other biovars by a biovar 2 specific triplet (TTC) that is typically present at positions 458–460 (Escherichia coli numbering system; Boudazin et al. 1999).

To identify the strains as pathogens that are typically able to cause wilting disease in susceptible plants, virulence tests on tomato were performed. All selected strains tested (15 out of 42, Table 2) caused wilting of the tomato plants. Specifically, 75–100% wilting was found within 21 days after inoculation. This level of virulence was similar to that exhibited by reference strain 715 in the same test.

Genomic fingerprintings

BOX and GTG5 fingerprintings were used to compare the genomic fingerprints of the new environmental strains with those of two reference potato strains, i.e. 1609 and 715, as well as with that of biovar 3 strain GMI1000. For both systems, the patterns of all 42 strains were similar to each other, as well as to those of reference strains 1609 (van Elsas et al. 2000) and 715 (Timms-Wilson et al. 2001). Biovar 3 strain GMI1000 (Salanoubat et al. 2002) produced divergent patterns (data not shown). In both the BOX and GTG5 patterns, a few (1–3) bands were shown to vary in intensity, but these different band intensities were not consistent across separate PCR reactions even on the same template DNA. This method-related variation, often seen in genomic fingerprinting, was not further taken into account (Svec et al. 2005).

Analysis of the phcA and hrp gene regions

To test whether the phcA and hrp gene regions of the novel environmental isolates showed polymorphisms as compared to the reference strains, we analyzed the entire strain set by PCR/RFLP analysis of the phcA and hrp genomic regions (Table 2) and compared these with the reference strains.

phcA gene region

For phcA, all novel strains produced amplicons of the expected 2.1 Kb, which were similar to the products obtained with reference biovar 2 strains 1609 and 715. In contrast, biovar 3 strain GMI1000 showed, as expected, a product of approximately 1.9 Kb. Indeed, alignment of the GM11000 sequence with biovar 2 sequence data (strain 1609; kindly received from Christian Boucher, INRA, Toulouse, France) showed the presence of an additional 183 nucleotides in biovar 2 in the region upstream of the phcA gene (included in the amplicons). Digestion of all biovar 2 strain amplicons with either PstI or EcoRV resulted in bands that corresponded with the expected sizes of 282, 878 and 999 bp (PstI) and 136, 877 and 1,149 bp (EcoRV), totalling about 2.1 Kb. In this respect, no difference was detected between any of the novel strains and reference strains 1609 and 715.

hrp gene region

To compare the hrp gene regions of the 42 novel biovar 2 strains with those of reference strains 1609 and 715, we investigated the region between the pglA and hrcV genes, of approximately 28 Kb, by PCR/RFLP. Amplification (pglA-F2/hrcV-R2 primers; Table 3) of genomic DNA of all new strains consistently yielded amplicons of sizes similar to those of reference strains 1609 and 715. To detect differences that might eventually have occurred due to small deletions/insertions or inversions, the amplicons were restricted with BsrBI, HinfI or Rsal in separate and analyzed by gel electrophoresis. Overall, 20–25 bands were produced per strain, depending on the restriction enzyme used. Again, no differences were detected between the patterns generated with any of the strains. The patterns of all new strains also closely resembled those of strains 1609 and 715. As a way of example, Fig. 1 shows the restriction patterns produced with strain KZR-5 in comparison to those of strain 1609.
To estimate genome sizes, we selected three novel isolates, i.e. strains KZR-5, PA2 and PA5, next to the reference strains 1609 and 715, for analysis by PFGE of unrestricted genomic DNA. Given that the genomic make-up of \textit{R. solanacearum} biovar 3 is known, reference biovar 3 strain GMI1000 was also used (Fig. 2a).

The analysis showed that the genomic make-up of the novel isolates was internally consistent and similar to that of the reference strains 1609 and 715. Specifically and akin to strain GMI1000, all biovar 2 strain genomes showed the presence of two large replicons, estimated to be approximately 2 and 3.5 Mb in size, for a total genome size of about 5.5 Mb. This is in the range of the sizes of the two replicons of strain GMI1000, i.e. respectively 2.1 and 3.7 Mb, for a total of 5.8 Mb (Fig. 2a). Using the plasmid extraction method of Birnboim and Doly...
(Birnboim and Doly 1979), we found no evidence for the presence of smaller plasmids (about 5–100 Kb range) in our novel isolates. This corroborated the lack of small plasmids in reference strains 1609 and 715 (data not shown).

Genomic variation between strains observed using PFGE of restricted genomic DNA

To detect putative differences between the genomes of the novel biovar 2 strains and compare these to the reference strains, XbaI-digested genomic DNA was analyzed via PFGE together with that of strains 1609 and 715. Replicate PFGE analyses revealed consistent patterns for each strain (not shown). A few bands that were polymorphic between several strains were apparent (Fig. 2b). Overall, four different banding patterns, hereafter denoted as pulsotypes A through D, were found. Specifically, the patterns of most novel strains (35 out of 42) were identical to those of reference strains 1609 and 715, giving rise to a large group, denoted as pulsotype A (Table 2). Pulsotype B differed from pulsotype A by two bands, of approximately 85 and 110 Kb in size (arrows 1 and 2, Fig. 2b). These were apparently correlated, as they were either both present or absent. This pulsotype encompassed five strains that had all been obtained from location A (Fig. 2b, lanes 7–9 and 11). Two other pulsotypes, denoted C and D, were detected in single strains obtained from locations KZR and C. Specifically, pulsotype C consisted of one strain (out of twelve) from location KZR that differed from pulsotype A in that it showed an additional band of approximately 280 Kb, while a 110 Kb band was lacking (Fig. 2b, lane 4). Pulsotype D referred to one strain from location C (of two) that showed another divergent PFGE pattern (Fig. 2b, lane 12).

Screening for ISRso3

On the assumption that insertion element movement rather than mutation may have been a driver for the short-term genetic diversification in R. solanacearum biovar 2 in the open environment, we assessed the distribution of the ISRso3 element—an element typically found in R. solanacearum genomes—in the genomes of the 42 new and two reference strains. In all patterns generated by Southern hybridization with the ISRso3 probe, >20 bands were visualized, which ranged in size from 0.5 to 8 Kb. Overall, the patterns were quite similar across most strains, defining ISRso3 group 1. However, a conspicuous single band, of approximately 8 Kb (Fig. 3), was detected in six novel strains, all from location A (Fig. 3, lanes 5 and 6). This band was also found in reference strain 715 but not in 1609 (data not shown). The group of strains that harbored this extra ISRso3 copy was denoted ISRso3 group 2.

Ralstonia solanacearum biovar 2 populations occur as different ‘genomotypes’ in aquatic habitats in The Netherlands

To depict the genomic diversity found across the novel environmental biovar 2 strains, we decided to use the banding patterns obtained in the PFGE analysis of XbaI-digested DNA and the ISRso3 analysis to generate a combined dendrogram (Fig. 4). The analysis showed that the 42 new biovar 2 strains—along with the reference strains 1609 and 715—fall into five groups, here denoted as genomotypes A1, A2, B2, C1 and D1 (Fig. 4). The majority of the strains, i.e. 37 (including strains 1609 and 715) fell in genomotype A1. Two strains, i.e. reference strain 715 and strain WA20, revealed the presence of an extra copy of ISRso3, defining genomotype A2. The five pulsotype B strains also revealed the extra ISRso3 copy, defining genomotype B2. Two strains, i.e. pulsotype C strain KZR-5 and pulsotype D strain WC76 (both of ISRso3 group 1), formed separate genomatypes, i.e. C1 and D1, respectively.

Fig. 3 Southern blot analysis of R. solanacearum genomic DNA after restriction with PstI and hybridisation with an ISRso3-Aval/RsaI (610 bp) fragment as DNA probe. Lane M: Kb+ molecular size marker, lane 1: 1609, lane 2: KZR-2, lane 3: KZR-5, lane 4: KZR-1, lane 5: PA1, lane 6: PA2, lane 7: RA18, lane 8: SA31, lane 9: SB63, lane 10: WB48, lane 11: WC76
Oligolocus sequence typing (OLST) and analysis of tandem repeat region

To assess whether strains belonging to different genotypes could still be shown to be diverse at the nucleotide sequence level, we sequenced six genomic loci of fifteen selected strains and cross-compared these to the sequences of reference strains 1609 and 715 (Tables 2, 4). However, for none of the genomic regions phcA, mutS, holC, cbhA, relA/spoT and fliC single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were found between the strains analyzed. The sequences were also identical to those of reference strains 1609 and 715, thus revealing clonality across all strains.

In contrast, three allelic forms of a tandem repeat region in hypothetical gene RRSL_04153 were detected across the novel biovar 2 strains. For the majority of strains (21), the region contained five stretches of CCCAAG and six of TCCGAG/C (thus denoted tandem repeat TR5,6). For five other strains, i.e. KZR-1, KZR-2, KZR-3, KZR-4 and WB48, we found TR5,7. Reference strain 715 was classed as TR5,5. This analysis thus showed a further subgrouping of the strains of pulsotype A. Specifically, one set (from location KZR) plus one from site B, was of the TR5,7 type, while all other strains were TR5,5 or TR5,6. The analysis places, for instance, strain KZR-5 closer to the main cluster (genotype A1) which comprised the majority of strains (Fig. 4).

Fig. 4 Dendrogram obtained by cluster analysis with UPGA and Euclidean distance, using the PFGE and ISRso3 patterns of all R. solanacearum strains used in this study. Bands were scored as either present or absent. Genotype defined by pulsotype (A–D) and ISRso3 group (1 or 2)

Fig. 5 Doubling times in 0.1 x TSBS of selected R. solanacearum strains in hours (h) at a 28°C and b 16°C. Statistical classes (a, ab, b and x) are indicated. P < 0.05

TR5,5 or TR5,6. The analysis places, for instance, strain KZR-5 closer to the main cluster (genotype A1) which comprised the majority of strains (Fig. 4).
Growth rates of selected *R. solanacearum* strains at 28°C and 16°C

To assess whether any correlation existed between genetic type and growth rate under aerobic conditions in liquid, we compared the growth rates of selected strains of genomotypes A1, B2 and C1, i.e. KZR-1 and PA5 (A1), PA1 (B2) and KZR-5 (C1) with those of reference strains 1609 and 715 at high (28°C) and low (16°C) temperatures in 0.1TSBS. The low temperature was selected as the lowest temperature at which the organism shows reasonable growth in liquid.

At 16°C, the doubling times \( T_d \) of the selected environmental strains were similar to each other. They also resembled those of both reference strains. Average doubling time thus was 13.9 h; range 13.2–14.8 h (Fig. 5b). At 28°C, the \( T_d \) of all strains varied from 1.8 to 2.3 h. Conspicuously, strain PA5 (similar to reference strain 715) was the fastest grower (\( T_d \) for both strains was 1.8 h). Strains KZR-1 and PA1 (together with 1609), were the slowest \( (T_d \) between 2.2 and 2.3 h) (Fig. 5a). The growth rates of strains PA1 and 715 on the one hand, and of strains KZR-1 and PA1 (and 1609) on the other hand, were indeed similar (Student’s \( t \)-test). Values were, when different, at the threshold of significance (\( P \)-values between 0.01 and 0.05).

**Determination of metabolic capacities**

We applied BIOLOG GN-2 analysis to selected strains of all genomotypes (based on PFGE and *ISRso3* distribution), to monitor the development of metabolic activity (response to substrate availability) over time. Thus, the novel environmental strains KZR-1, KZR-5, PA1, PA5 and WC76, next to reference strains 1609 and 715, were analyzed. In addition, tests for the utilization of lactose, cellobiose and maltose were performed in separate. First, reference strains 1609 and 715 were shown to utilize the same 36 (of 95) carbon sources (Table 5). In contrast, all new strains consistently showed a metabolic response to a subset of only 29 of these 36 carbon sources. Thus, 7 of the 36 substrates did not induce a metabolic response in the new strains. For three of these seven substrates, we confirmed this observation by direct substrate utilization tests. The substrates consisted of the following organic acids, amino acids and other compounds: l-histidine, bromosuccinic acid, d, l-lactic acid, l-pyroglutamic acid, propionic acid, succinic acid and γ-aminobutyric acid.

**Discussion**

In this study, we assessed the genetic and phenotypic diversity of a set of new *R. solanacearum* biovar 2 strains obtained from Dutch local waterways as well as from bittersweet plants more than ten years after a major outbreak of bacterial wilt in potato (Janse 1998). We hypothesized that, following the infestation of fields from diseased potato, the organism may have spread to local waterways and survived. Ecological theory dictates that under such conditions, genomic adaptations might have occurred in the local populations, giving rise to fitter forms. Major ecological conditions that may have selected fitter forms include water temperatures ranging from about 16 to as low as 5–10°C (ditch bottoms) in large part of the year coming up to \( 20°C \) in summer. We thus successfully isolated a set of 42 presumed *R. solanacearum* biovar 2 strains and subjected these to molecular and phenotype analyses. The identity of the presumed biovar 2 strains was then confirmed by biovar 2 (race 3) specific PCR, and corroborated by 16S rRNA gene sequencing and virulence testing on tomato. The successful isolation, in different years, of the organism from different local waterways in The Netherlands indicates the capacity of *R. solanacearum* biovar 2 to survive for long periods of time under local conditions, including the low temperature regime as sketched above. Several molecular tools were employed to look at the genetic make-up of these strains, with a focus on (1) regions involved in pathogenicity and (2) the overall genome.

The BOX and GTG5 PCR fingerprints as well as the analysis of the *phcA* and *hrp* regions revealed genomic homogeneity across the 42 novel environmental strains, and the fingerprints were also akin to those of the reference strains 1609 and 715. With respect to the *hrp* gene region, low diversity across strains has previously also been observed in another set of 47 (in this case, plant-derived) *R. solanacearum* biovar 2 strains (Poussier et al. 1999, 2000). This in spite of the fact that the *hrp* gene cluster can also be a target for genome reorganization or modification.
Table 5  Carbon sources utilized by seven selected *R. solanacearum* strains using BIOLOG GN-2 plates

| C sourcea | Strain   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|-----------|----------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|           | 1609     | 715| KZR-1 | KZR-5 | PA1 | PA5 | WC76 |
| Cellobioseb | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| cis-Aconitic acid | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Citric acid | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| D-Fructose | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| D-Galacturonic acid | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| D-Gluconic acid | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| D-Gluconic acid | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| D-Saccharic acid | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Glucuronamide | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Lactic acidb | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| L-Alaninamine | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| L-Alanine | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| L-Asparagine | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| L-Aspartic acid | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| L-Glutamic acid | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| L-Serine | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| L-Threonine | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Malonic acidb | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Pyruvic acid methyl ester | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Sucrose | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| α-d-Glucose | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| α-Ketoglutaric acid | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| β-Hydroxybutyric acid | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| L-Proline | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| D-Alanine | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| m-Inositol | + | + | ± | ± | ± | ± | ± |
| Quinic acid | + | + | ± | ± | ± | ± | ± |
| Tween 40 | + | + | ± | ± | ± | ± | ± |
| Tween 80 | + | + | ± | ± | ± | ± | ± |
| L-Histidine | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| D,L-Lactic acid | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Bromosuccinic acid | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| L-Pyroglutamic acid | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Succinic acid | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Propionic acid | ± | ± | ± | ± | ± | ± | ± |
| Y-Aminobutyric acid | ± | ± | ± | ± | ± | ± | ± |
| Total | 36 | 36 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 |

a Utilization of carbon source was determined visually and scored as (+) if a clear color formation was seen at least twice out of 3 replicate experiments or (±) when the color formation was weak

b Utilization of these carbon sources was determined in liquid M63+ 0.5% cellobiose, lactose or maltose

(Gabriel et al. 2006). Specifically, in biovar 2 strain UW551—and potentially in other biovar 2 strains—the *hrp* region contains nine novel ORFs that were inserted between the *popA/B/C* and *hrcC* gene regions, as compared to that of biovar 3 strain GMI1000 (Gabriel et al. 2006). Next to transcriptional regulators
RRSL_03103 and 03100 and hypothetical proteins RRSL_03102, 03101, 02446, 02447, 02445 and 02444, this included insertion sequence ISRso3, which points to a role of this genetic element in genome flexibility. We compared the RsaI- and BamHI-generated fingerprinting patterns of the pglA-to-hrpB region of strain UW551 with those of biovar 2 strains 1609 and KZR-5 and biovar 3 strain GMI1000, and indeed found consistency among the biovar 2 strains and clearly different amplicon sizes between the biovar 2 and biovar 3 strains (not shown).

Although the phcA region can be genetically flexible (Poussier et al. 2003), we did not detect variation across our new biovar 2 strains. In addition, OLST analysis of the six loci (2,282 scanned nucleotides, Table 4) showed no SNPs across the novel strains and consistency with the two reference strains. In contrast, the variable tandem repeat region TR0578 of hypothetical protein RRSL_04153 showed allelic versions TR5,5, TR5,6 and TR5,7 across the novel strains as well as reference strains. Variation in tandem repeat sequences is usually the result of slipped strand replication. This stands in contrast to point mutations, which are dependent on the adequacy of proofreading activity. Possibly, proofreading and DNA repair is tightly controlled in R. solanacearum biovar 2, while slipped strand replication is under less tight control or, alternatively, specifically controlled.

We thus concluded, on the basis of this still limited analyses, that the new environmental biovar 2 strains had a virtually clonal appearance of the analyzed regions and were similar to the two reference strains. Several previous studies showed virtual clonality across R. solanacearum biovar 2 strains (van der Wolf et al. 1998; Poussier et al. 1999, 2000; Timms-Wilson et al. 2001; Castillo and Greenberg 2007), but these strains had mostly been isolated from infested plant material. For instance, in a recent multilocus sequence typing study (Castillo and Greenberg 2007), plant-derived biovar 2 strains showed low heterogeneity when compared to other R. solanacearum types.

However, both PFGE and ISRso3 hybridization fingerprinting revealed clear genomic differences among the novel environmental strains in our strain set. This even extended to strains obtained from single bittersweet plants. The differences were conspicuous in that a number of specific bands were either absent or present, thus indicating major genomic reshufflings, e.g. due to transpositions, deletions or insertions. Considering the co-existence of types, of 12 strains obtained at location A, five belonged to pulsotype B whereas the other seven were of pulsotype A (clustering with reference strains 1609 and 715). The five pulsotype B strains also revealed the presence of an extra copy of ISRso3, thus forming genomotype B2 (Fig. 3). Transposition of ISRso3 alone, however, does not fully explain the observed pulsotype B, as ISRso3 type 2 was also detected in pulsotype A strains 715 and WA20 (data not shown). Hence, combining the XbaI-PFGE and ISRso3 hybridization fingerprinting was a valid approach, as the events leading the changed PFGE patterns and this ISRso3 transposition are likely independent. Different pulsotypes have been described previously among biovar 2 strains (Smith et al. 1995; van der Wolf et al. 1998). Amplified fragment length polymorphism (van der Wolf et al. 1998; Poussier et al. 2000) has also revealed a glimpse of minor genomic heterogeneity among the (mainly) plant-associated biovar 2 strains. From a comparison with the former data, our pulsotype A was probably similar to the previously-determined dominant pulsotype (Smith et al. 1995), whereas B, C and D were different and novel.

Although the presumed IS element driven genomic changes may be at the basis of the diversification of R. solanacearum biovar 2 in Dutch water systems, we currently ignore the true extent of diversity across extant biovar 2 strains. This is due to the rather low sample size analyzed. It has been cogitated that South American habitats, which allow good survival of biovar 2 strains, may incite more variation than that found in other continents (Poussier et al. 2000). Clearly, the generally observed homogeneity of biovar 2 strains may be due to a generalized dissemination of pulsotype A from plant sources. It thus also relates to the bias in the analyses towards plant-derived strains. This is in contrast with the situation in other bacterial plant pathogens. For instance, in Erwinia carotovora subsp. carotovora, a pathogen of potato, considerable genetic heterogeneity was observed between strains from a single field in a single season and from a single potato plant (Yap et al. 2004).

At the level of phenotype, our limited analysis did not allow a clear conclusion in respect of ecological fitness or adaptation. Thus, we did not observe a conspicuous difference in the virulence of the novel isolates towards tomato. Also, the growth rates at
16°C in 0.1 × TSBS were similar across genomotypes or when compared to the reference strains. Similarly, growth at 28°C was not significantly different. Any putative differences in growth rates might simply have been too small to be detected with the method and the level of replication used, or growth conditions under which differences might become apparent were not used. The strategies used by the organism to survive in environmental waters might include reductions in cell size, entry into the viable-but-nonculturable (VBNC) state and/or the formation of filamentous cells and cell aggregation (Álvarez et al. 2008b), however these aspects were not part of this study. In contrast, the analysis of the selected strains with BIOLOG GN2 plates did show some phenotypic variation. A striking apparent loss of the capacity to give an instantaneous metabolic response to particular substrates was found in all new environmental strains as opposed to the two reference strains. All new strains were able to utilize the same “core” set of carbon sources as the reference strains, but seven other compounds were not used. This phenotypic difference with the reference strains, which both originated from (wilted) potato, might hint at different selective forces under which the environmental strains have survived. Whereas in the BIOLOG assays the two reference strains may show the full complement of functions needed in relation to their ecological success in potato, such functions may be less essential for strains that survived for prolonged periods in water, sediment or bittersweet. For instance, γ-aminobutyric acid, l-histidine, lactic acid and succinic acid, all carbon sources known to be present in a crop plant like tomato, were utilized by the reference strains but not so by any of the novel environmental strains. The differential phenotypes of the new strains might be the result of differences in gene expression.

The heterogeneous *R. solanacearum* biovar 2 populations encountered by us on two occasions in the same habitat—including bittersweet—might reflect mechanisms that ensure optimal adaptive capabilities. Bittersweet plants under temperate conditions usually do not show symptoms of disease in the presence of *R. solanacearum*. Thus, a commensalistic relationship might have evolved in which *R. solanacearum* may behave as an endophyte (Hardoim et al. 2008), whereas it may also have had to persist in water, allowing colonization of a next plant host. The novel *R. solanacearum* pulsotypes B, C and D could represent classes that are potentially better equipped for plant-associated and open survival in temperate climates.
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