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Abstract The rheological behavior of hybrid hydrogels of weakly charged polyelectrolytes with aluminoxane particles (APs) formed by noncovalent interactions has been studied. This interaction observed for the polyelectrolyte Praestol-2500 is the most pronounced and is due to strong electrostatic noncovalent bonds between positively charged APs and anionic groups of the copolymer. By the methods of capillary, rotational, and vibrational rheometry, it has been ascertained that two processes compete: the compacting of coils of macromolecules and their crosslinking through APs. The latter process dominates at relatively high concentrations of reagents, and depends on ratios between polymer units and APs and the presence of a low-molecular-mass indifferent electrolyte (NaCl). Formed hybrid hydrogels relate to physical gels with the unstable nature of the network of physical bonds, and under the action of shear stress demonstrate “Weissenberg effect.” The method of dynamic mechanical analysis with the use of a micro-Fourier rheometer has shown that the ratio storage modulus – loss modulus depends on the amount of AP sol and its ratio to the quantity of copolymer in the initial mixture. A scheme for spatial structure hybrid hydrogels has been suggested.
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1. Introduction

At present, the creation of composite materials is characterized by the use of nanodisperse materials of both inorganic and organic nature. Such composites are often referred to as hybrid nanomaterials.[1] This term is often related to purely inorganic nanocrystals and semiconductors, [2],[3] organic nanocomposites,[4],[5] and mixed dispense systems. In the latter case, it is assumed that the composite includes metal or metal oxide (hydroxide) particles as the disperse phase, whereas a polymer or its solution plays the role of the continuous phase.[6-10]

Polymeric organo–inorganic composites belong to the promising class of hybrid materials due to a unique combination of magnetic, catalytic, nonlinear optical, and sensoric properties of inorganic nanoparticles with a set of properties of the polymeric matrix and its ability to stabilize inorganic clusters dispersed in it.

There are a lot of methods for obtaining nano-objects and their composites.[11-14] The interaction of linear organic macromolecules with aqueous dispersions of charged nanoparticles (hydrosols) may be regarded as a possible approach to the production of organo–inorganic nanocomposites.

This method looks attractive because (i) it makes possible the controllable arrangement of nanoparticles in a polymeric matrix, that is, via its reaction centers and (ii) the so-called polymer–colloid complexes (PCCs) (for brevity sake, named polycomplexes) that are formed in this case are generated spontaneously due to noncovalent interactions.[15-17] The formation of polycomplexes may proceed during the sol–gel synthesis of nanoparticles in the presence of polymers[18-20] or through the simplest method—via the blending of a sol with a polymer solution.[15],[21-23] Exactly this method was used to prepare polycomplexes of some types of water-soluble polymers with aluminoxane particles (APs) in the sols of superbase polyaluminium hydroxochloride (PAHC).[24-26] These complexes represent the colloidal dispersion of APs formed during the hydrolysis of some salts of aluminum as a result of the polycondensation of aluminum aqua hydroxo complexes and are distinguished by high aggregate stability at a wide range of concentrations and temperatures.[27] On the basis of small-angle X-ray scattering studies, APs may be related to physical fractals having an internal self-similar structure with a fractal dimensionality $d_f = 1.0$ and a radius of gyration $r_g = 1.6$ nm.[28] Various kinds of noncovalent interactions are
typical for APs. As a consequence, they may form polynuclear complexes both with nonionogenic polyacrylamide (PAA)\(^{[24],[26]}\) and with its cation-active\(^{[29]}\) and anion-active\(^{[30]}\) copolymers. However, soluble polynuclear complexes are obtained only at definite ratios of APs to functional groups of copolymers. Otherwise, insoluble polynuclear complexes are formed if unlikely charged reagents are used or polynuclear complexes are not formed at all because of large forces of repulsion of likely charged reagents. Polynuclear complexes of PAHC sols with polyacrylamide show promise for practice as regulators of the stability of disperse systems, for example, in the processes of purification of natural water and sewages\(^{[31-33]}\) in enhanced oil recovery systems, for example, in the processes of purification of natural water and sewages\(^{[31-33]}\) in enhanced oil recovery techniques\(^{[34],[35]}\) and as environmentally friendly binding agents for disperse materials.\(^{[36]}\) In all the above-listed cases, hybrid polynuclear complexes are used in the form of aqueous suspensions or gels, that is, fluid systems. At the same time, no data are available on the rheological behavior of these new systems. For most real liquids, irreversible deformations, which appear during flow, are characterized by the complicated dependence of different factors, and their rheological behavior is often in the intermediate region between the liquid and solid. This feature is especially pronounced for high-molecular-mass polymers, aqueous solutions of which possess viscoelastic properties. The pattern of the flow of polymer solutions often determines the possibility of their processing and application. Therefore, the rheological study of such systems is of great importance for practice. Moreover, in addition to its main direct purpose—determination of the rheological characteristic of fluid systems—rheology may serve as a structural method that relates rheological parameters and structural changes in a deformed system.\(^{[37]}\)

The goal of this work was to study the rheological behavior of aqueous solutions and hydrogels of polymer–colloid complexes of APs with weakly charged polyelectrolytes. As far as particles in a polynuclear complex occur in the chemically bound via noncovalent bonds state rather than in the inert form, they naturally affect the conformational state of polymer macromolecules. Therefore, to compare the rheological properties of a polymer and its polynuclear complex, aqueous solutions of initial polymers were also investigated. As it is known, for polymer solutions, the regions of dilute and semidilute solutions exist outside the crossover region, where the elastic properties of polymer liquids begin to manifest themselves. Therefore, capillary and rotational viscometry and the method of dynamic mechanical analysis with the use of a micro-Fourier rheometer were applied as investigation procedures.

### 2. Experimental

#### 2.1. Initial Materials

A dispersion of APs was prepared from an aluminum alloy in the form of an aqueous sol containing 13.5 wt% Al\(^{3+}\) and with the atomic ratio Cl/Al\(^{3+}\) = 0.45. The technique of preparation and the characteristics of the sol as well as the dimensional and fractal characteristics of APs were described in\(^{[27],[28]}\).

As weakly charged polyelectrolytes, commercial samples with characteristics listed in Table 1 were employed; they were used without any additional purification.

An aqueous solution of polyacrylamide was prepared via the free-radical polymerization of acrylamide (99.9%; Alfa Aesar, USA) in an aqueous solution (3 wt% acrylamide) in the presence of potassium persulfate (97%; Alfa Aesar, USA) at a temperature of 60°C and was used without additional purification.

Sodium chloride of special purity grade (99.99%; Alfa Aesar, USA) was used. In all experiments, twice-distilled water was used. Polynuclear complexes were prepared by mixing aqueous solutions of polyelectrolytes of different concentrations with preset quantities of the AP sol. After shaking in a shaker, aqueous dispersions (gels) were allowed to stand at room temperature for a day.

#### 2.2. Rheological Investigations

Viscometric measurements were performed by means of a Brookfield DV-II+Pro (USA) rotary viscometer equipped with a UL (Ver. Ro4 s/s) adapter and a thermostated cell. Experimental data were processed with the use of a DVLOADER authorized program.

The yield stress was determined on a YR-1 special-purpose rheometer equipped with a set of V-71-73 vane spindles. The measurement data were processed by means of a YR-1-4AY authorized program.

Viscoelastic properties were investigated on an MFR-2000 micro-Fourier rheometer (GBS, Australia). Measurements were performed in the frequency interval 0–100 Hz with a step of 1 Hz at a temperature of 25°C. All variable parameters and calculations of measurable characteristics were enclosed in an MFR-2100 Ver. 1.1b4 authorized program.

### 3. Results and Discussion

Polymer–colloid complexes of APs with water-soluble polymers are thermodynamically stable compounds with a variable composition in the case of soluble polynuclear complexes\(^{[26]}\) or with a characteristic composition when polynuclear complexes are insoluble.\(^{[38]}\) As was shown previously, polynuclear complexes may be soluble either if nonionogenic polyelectrolytes\(^{[25]}\) or weakly charged polyelectrolytes\(^{[30]}\) are used. In both cases, polynuclear complexes are transparent homogeneous disperse systems with specific physicochemical features peculiar to every component. One of them is the aggregative stability of each of the dispersion phases. The specific feature of the given system is that the sol of PAHC is a known regulator of the stability of dispersion systems, whereas a water-soluble polymer is often used as a flocculant in the separation of dispersion systems. The rheological behavior of each of the reagents may change in
the presence of other reagents. Moreover, both reagents are polyelectrolytes; hence, they are influenced by the ionic strength of the solution. The superposition of such specific features on the properties of interacting reagents may cause inadequate dependences. Therefore, both interacting disperse systems—AP sols and aqueous solutions of polyelectrolytes—as well as polycomplexes, the products of their interaction, were investigated separately.

3.1. Investigation of Rheological Characteristics of the Sol of Aluminoxane Particles

Figure 1 presents the experimental data on the kinematic viscosity of the AP dispersion measured at various concentrations of the disperse phase (Al\(^{3+}\)), and demonstrates the effect of a low-molecular-mass indifferent electrolyte (NaCl) on the aggregative stability of the sol.

The curve for the kinematic viscosity of the sol is composed of two regions: region I, where there is the linear dependence of viscosity on the concentration of the disperse phase corresponding to the free-disperse state of the system, and region II, where there is the exponential dependence conforming to the transition of the colloid system to the bound-dispersion state—gel.

![Figure 1](image1.png)

The flow of dispersion in the annular gap of the rotary viscometer is typical for Newtonian liquids; that is, viscosity is independent of the shear rate within the whole concentration range, and a change in shear stress follows a linear pattern (Figure 2).

![Figure 2](image2.png)

However, the flow of dispersion in the annular gap of the rotary viscometer is typical for Newtonian liquids; that is, viscosity is independent of the shear rate within the whole concentration range, and a change in shear stress follows a linear pattern (Figure 2).

The PAHC gel (the sol in a concentrated state containing 13.5 wt% Al\(^{3+}\)) features a peculiar behavior in the presence of NaCl. Upon the addition of NaCl in the form of a concentrated aqueous solution, the aggregative stability of the dispersion is preserved (Figure 1b); however, this operation leads to an adequate decrease in the dynamic viscosity of the disperse system. On the whole, the flow of this system remains similar to the flow of a Newtonian fluid (Figure 3).

![Figure 3](image3.png)

However, when NaCl is added in the dry state, the fluctuation formation of particles, nuclei of the new phase, occurs, and the coagulation structure appears to be accompanied by the transition of the whole disperse system into a solid-like state without evolution of the dispersion medium—water. This case was realized through the preparation of the solid PAHC.[39],[40]

3.2. Rheological Properties of Aqueous Solutions of Weakly Charged Polyelectrolytes and Their Complexes with Aluminoxane Particles

The behavior of polyelectrolytes in aqueous solutions is determined by the conformational state of macromolecules and their concentrations. In this study, we selected three kinds of water-soluble polymers: nonionogenic polyacrylamide, weakly anionic Praestol-2500, and weakly cationic Praestol-611 BC. Some of their characteristics are given in[29],[34]. It should be noted that the characteristics of commercial samples are tentative. Thus, Praestol-2500 relates to nonionogenic polymers. However, as follows from potentiometric analysis, 1.2 mol% COOH groups are contained in Praestol-2500. Hence, this compound may be related to weakly anionic polymers that form complexes similarly to weakly charged copolymers of acrylamide with acrylic acid.[30] This is also the reason why polyacrylamide prepared under laboratory conditions via the free radical polymerization of reactive acrylamide was used as a nonionogenic polymer. In this case, its molecular mass was significantly smaller than those of commercial Praestol-2500 and Praestol-611 BC. As evidenced by potentiometric analysis, this polymer was free of COOH groups.

Soluble polymer–colloid complexes of APs with water-soluble polymers are thermodynamically stable
compounds with variable compositions. Their behavior in aqueous solutions should evidently differ from the behavior of corresponding linear polymers. In the case of strongly diluted solutions, the intramolecular interaction of macromolecules with APs accompanied by the compaction of macromolecules may occur. As is known, under thermodynamically favorable conditions, with an increase in the polymer concentration, a network of topological entanglements appears between separate chains of macromolecules.[41] The concentration of crossover $C^*$ is a characteristic value of this state (Table 1).

| Polymer type     | $[\eta]$ | Charge | Molecular mass $\times 10^6$ | $C^*$, dl/g |
|------------------|----------|--------|-----------------------------|------------|
| Polyacrylamide   | 4.54     | 0.00   | $-1.29$                     | 0.22       |
| Praestol-2500    | 17.50    | -1.20  | $-14.01$                    | 0.06       |
| Praestol-611 BC  | 7.54     | +6.52  | $-6.01$                     | 0.13       |

The rheological behavior of aqueous solutions of the polymers under study should be determined by concentration intervals. As was shown in ([29],[30]), in the region of diluted aqueous solutions (0.005–0.05 g/dl), there is the linear dependence of specific viscosity on the polymer concentration. In this case, the polyelectrolyte effect is omitted due to the presence of NaCl in solution. However, the peculiarity of this system is that during the formation of polycomplexes, the sol of PAHC is added to the aqueous solution of the polyelectrolyte. This sol is a dispersion of APs carrying a positive surface charge and surrounded by Cl$^-$ ions. The distribution of Cl$^-$ ions between the dense and diffuse portions of the electric double layer is unknown. However, with consideration for high aggregative and sedimentation stability of PAHC sols,[27] it may be suggested that the charge of a colloid particle is rather high. As for the influence of the AP sol on a decrease in the specific viscosity of the Praestol-611 BC solution, two causes may be suggested. The first cause is that the ionic strength of the solution changes due to the dissociation of the high-basicity aluminum salt accompanied by the evolution of Cl$^-$ ions into the solution. The second cause is related to the intramolecular interaction of APs with polymer macromolecules, which is accompanied by the compaction of macromolecular coils. As can be seen from Figure 5, a decay in the specific viscosity of the solution takes place until a certain amount of introduced NaCl is achieved and the further decrease in viscosity upon introduction of the AP sol into the solution of the polymer should be indicative of the formation of polycomplexes, as was shown for the diluted solutions of these polymers.[26],[29] Another rheological behavior should be observed for semiconcentrated solutions of polymers, where polymer coils begin to overlap.[44] In the transition state, which correlates with the so-called crossover concentration $C^*$, the intermolecular interaction of APs with two or a greater amount of macromolecules may occur. However, at the macroscopic level, the related

NaCl and the sol of APs at equal concentrations. The concentration of the polymer in solution is lower than the crossover concentration.

The above data show that the effects of both salts are almost the same and are accompanied by the compaction of macromolecular coils. In the case of NaCl, compaction is caused by the shielding of charged functional groups in the polymer by counterions of the low-molecular-mass salt.[42] The degree of such a shielding is determined by the nature of counterions and the charge of the functional group of the polymer.

Figure 5. Dependence of specific viscosity of diluted solutions of polymers (0.05 g/dl) on the concentration of NaCl in solution (mol/l): (1) polyacrylamide, (2) Praestol-2500, and (3) Praestol-611 BC.

As is seen from Figure 5, for nonionogenic PAA, the presence of NaCl in solution exerts almost no effect on the value of specific viscosity. To a small extent, this phenomenon is observed for the solution of low-anionic Praestol-2500 and sharply manifests itself for Praestol-611 BC polycationite.

This phenomenon is probably related to a marked increase in the concentration of Cl$^-$ ions in solution of the polymer having counterions of the same type as Praestol-611 BC, which contains dissociating functional $N^+(CH_3)_3Cl^-$ groups. As for the influence of the AP sol on a decrease in the specific viscosity of the Praestol-611 BC solution, two causes may be suggested. The first cause is that the ionic strength of the solution changes due to the dissociation of the high-basicity aluminum salt accompanied by the evolution of Cl$^-$ ions into the solution. The second cause is related to the intramolecular interaction of APs with polymer macromolecules, which is accompanied by the compaction of macromolecular coils. As can be seen from Figure 5, a decay in the specific viscosity of the solution takes place until a certain amount of introduced NaCl is achieved and the further decrease in viscosity upon introduction of the AP sol into the solution of the polymer should be indicative of the formation of the polycomplex, as was shown for the diluted solutions of these polymers.[26],[29] Another rheological behavior should be observed for semiconcentrated solutions of polymers, where polymer coils begin to overlap.[44] In the transition state, which correlates with the so-called crossover concentration $C^*$, the intermolecular interaction of APs with two or a greater amount of macromolecules may occur. However, at the macroscopic level, the related
structuring of a polymer solution manifests itself at polymer concentrations significantly higher than $C^*$. For disperse systems similar to the system under consideration (aqueous solution of polymer–sol of inorganic particles), the deviation of the concentration dependence of viscosity from the linear pattern (the Einstein law) provides evidence for this phenomenon.[43],[44] In fact, the capillary viscometry study of mixed solutions of polymer mixtures with APs showed that the deviation of the concentration dependence of viscosity on the concentration of the solution from the linear pattern begins at a polymer concentration almost twice higher than $C^*$ (Figure 6).

Therefore, the rheological studies of semiconcentrated solutions of polymers and their mixtures with the AP sol were conducted at polymer concentrations above 0.3 g/dl. The main goal of this study was to compare the rheological behavior of polymers and their polycomplexes; therefore, it was necessary to ensure equal conditions for rheometry measurements and to use the same measuring system. In this work, a Searle rheometer equipped with a UL-1 adapter with coaxial cylinders was used. This adapter with a thermostated jacket was rigidly fastened to the body of the viscometer, thereby providing the reproduction of equal experimental parameters (depth of emergence of spindle, eccentricity, and gap in cylinders) and minimizing the impact of the so-called apparatus effects on the results of measurements.[37]

Figures 7 and 8 plot dynamic viscosity against shear rate for solutions of PAA and Pr-2500 polycomplexes with AP at various molar ratios of reagents.

As follows from these data, the pattern of curves is indicative of the viscoelastic properties of polymer fluids. Note that with an increase in the amount of APs in the polycomplexes, the contribution of elastic properties, especially in the case of Pr-2500, noticeably grows. This suggests the occurrence of structuring processes in the polymer solution upon introduction of the AP sol.

Similar experiments were conducted with Pr-611. Taking into account its dependence on NaCl, the experiments were performed in aqueous and aqueous–salt solutions. The quantitative results of these rheometric measurements are shown in Figure 9 in the form of column diagrams.

The above rheometric data show that the addition of both NaCl and AP sol to the aqueous solution of Pr-611 leads to a strong drop in the viscosity of the solution and in the shear stress (Figure 9a).
The pattern of flow curves points to the Newtonian flow of polymer fluids; an increase in the amount of the added AP sol is accompanied by further worsening of rheological characteristics.

This behavior of aqueous solutions of Pr-611 is observed for a wide range of polymer concentrations from 0.3 g/dl to 1.2 g/dl. Obviously, the reason behind this phenomenon is the compaction of macromolecular coils with an increase in the concentration of Cl\(^{-}\) counterions in solution. Upon addition of the AP sol, the solutions of Pr-611 in 1% NaCl behave in a different way (Figure 9b): the viscosity and shear stress increase up to the equimolar ratio of reagents. During the flow, the elastic properties of the polymer–colloid system become more and more pronounced. This is indicative of the formation of a network of interchain bonds due to the crosslinking of macromolecules by gel particles. With an increase in the concentration of the polymer in solution, in the flow of the polymer fluid in the annular gap of the rheometer cell, normal shear stresses arise in the fluid, and as a result, the Weissenberg effect appears.

The difference in the behavior of aqueous and water–salt solutions of weakly cationic Pr-611 is apparently associated with the above-mentioned effect of Cl\(^{-}\) counterions. In an aqueous solution, the dissociation of functional groups of the polymer leads to the appearance of a positive charge on a macromolecular chain that hinders its interaction with the positively charged APs. In a saline solution, the dissociation of cationic groups is suppressed; as a result, favorable conditions for appearance of other noncovalent interactions between polymer macromolecules and APs are created. However, the volume fraction of macromolecular coils should be sufficiently high and gels are formed at a Pr-611 concentration of 0.6 g/dl or above.

A quite different behavior is observed in the case of solutions of low-anionic Pr-2500. As is known, among noncovalent interactions, electrostatic interactions are the strongest and longest range.[17],[45] In this case, these interactions turn out to be dominant, and as was noted in[30], an increase in the content of anionic groups in the polymer chain brings about the formation of insoluble stoichiometric polycomplexes.

![Figure 10](image1.png)

**Figure 10.** The picture of the Weissenberg effect arising under the action of shear stress in the gels of polyelectrolyte crosslinked by aluminoxane particles

In this case, the interaction of sol particles with Pr-2500 macromolecules is so pronounced that even at a polymer concentration of 0.1 g/dl and a minimum AP:Pr-2500 unit ratio of 0.1:1 (mol/mol), a transparent gel appears in the polymer–colloid solution, whose rheological characteristics cannot be measured by rotational rheometry because of the Weissenberg effect (Figure 10).

Gels, which appear in this case, relate to physical gels. In contrast to the chemically crosslinked gels, they are distinguished by the unstable nature of the network of physical bonds that brings about an uncertainty in the description of their properties. For such gels, determination of the gel point or of the value m, presents a problem.[46] However, for a comparative evaluation of the strength of the physical network in such gels, the yield stress or shear stress, at which the destruction of physical bonds occurs and the material becomes fluid as a liquid, may be used. The tests were conducted on a Yield Rheometer-1 special-purpose instrument (Brookfield) without preliminary shear. The shear rate was varied for different systems within the interval from 1.5 to 4 rpm. Various vane spindles (V-71-73) were applied in the experiments. Figure 11 demonstrates typical flow curves for the gels of polycomplexes of APs with (a) Pr-2500 and (b) Pr-611.

![Figure 11](image2.png)

**Figure 11.** Dependence of shear stress on the deformation of gel based on (a) Pr-2500 and (b) Pr-611. The concentration of copolymers in water–salt solution is 1.2 g/dl. The ratio of copolymer unit:Al\(^{3+}\) = 2:1 (mol/mol). The shear rates are 1.5 rpm and 3.0 rpm for Pr-2500 and Pr-611, respectively

The gels based on PAA featured a very small strength; hence, we failed to register this parameter.

These data are in agreement with the rheometric results of rotational viscometry measurements; that is, the gels based on Pr-2500 significantly surpass AP gels with Pr-611 with respect to strength. The yield stress, as expected, depends on the concentration of the polymer in solution and on the amount of the AP sol, that is, on the density of the network of intermolecular crosslinks (Table 2).

| Copolymer | Concentration, g/dl | Ratio Al\(^{3+}\) copolymer unit, (mol/mol) | Yield stress, Pa |
|-----------|---------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Pr-2500   | 0.6                 | 1:1                                      | 13.3            |
|           | 0.9                 | 1:1                                      | 29.4            |
|           | 1.2                 | 3:1                                      | 43.5            |
|           | 1.2                 | 1:1                                      | 72.2            |
|           | 1.2                 | 2:1                                      | 75.0            |
|           | 1.2                 | 4:1                                      | 26.8            |
| Pr-611    | 0.9                 | 2:1                                      | 11.8            |
|           | 0.9                 | 2:1                                      | 5.5             |
As is seen from these data, the gels based on Pr-2500 are considerably stronger and more rigid than Pr-611-based gels. If for the former gels, deformation up to the yield stress is small (2–6 rad), then deformation for the Pr-611-based gels it is significantly higher (450 rad). Another specific feature of the Pr-2500 gels with APs is that they are sensitive to the composition of the polycomplex. Figure 12 shows that an increase in the content of APs evidently leads to a gain in the density of the network of interjunction bonds accompanied by strengthening of the gel and detachment of its whole mass from cell walls. Moreover, the appearing Weissenberg effect (Figure 10) makes it impossible to achieve the shear stress corresponding to the yield stress (Figure 12, curves 3 and 4).

As follows from these data, the viscoelastic characteristics of solutions grow with an increase in the strain rate throughout the concentration range from 0.3 to 2.0 g/dl. In this case, the absolute value of $G^*$ for polycomplexes is always significantly higher than that for the corresponding initial copolymer. The structuring is accompanied by an increase in the elastic component of shear modulus ($G'$) (Figure 14) and exerts virtually no effect on the loss modulus $G''$ (Figure 15).

Structuring due to the crosslinking of polymeric chains of APs is also confirmed by an increase in the storage modulus $G'$ with a rise in the AP-polymer unit. In this case, the viscosity component remains invariable (Figure 16).

| Figure 12. Dependence of shear stress on the strain of gel based on Pr-2500 at different ratios Pr-2500 unit:Al$^{3+}$ (mol/mol): (1) 1:0.1, (2) 1:0.5, (3) 1:1, and (4) 4:1. The shear rate is 1.5 rpm |
| Figure 13. Dependence of complex modulus ($G'$) on frequency ($f$) for water-salt solutions of (a) Pr-2500 and (b) its PCC at polymer concentrations of (1) 0.3, (2) 0.6, (3) 0.9, (4) 1.2, (5) 1.5, (6) 1.8, and (7) 2.0 |
| Figure 14. Storage modulus ($G'$) vs. concentration of (1) Pr-2500 and (2) its polycomplex |
| Figure 15. Loss modulus ($G''$) vs. concentration of (1) Pr-2500 and (2) its polycomplex |
| Figure 16. Storage modulus ($G'$) and loss modulus ($G''$) vs. AP (Al$^{3+}$):Pr-2500 (mol/mol) in the initial mixture. The concentration of Pr-2500 is 0.9 g/dl |

The influence of the amount of the AP sol in the initial mixture on the value of mechanical loss tangent is the specific feature of the system (Figure 17).
For the polycomplex based on low-basicity Pr-2500, the crosslinking of the polymer with APs begins at low ratios of reagents.

As was noted above (Table 2), crosslinking becomes more pronounced with an increase in this ratio. In this case, the curve exhibits a break in the range 0.5–1.0 mol of Al\(^{3+}\) per mole of the polymer. A somewhat different behavior is observed for the gels based on a weakly cationic Pr-611.

![Figure 17](image1.png) The value of \(\tan \theta(\alpha)\) vs. AP \((\text{Al}^{3+})\):Pr-2500 unit molar ratio. The polymer concentration is 0.9 g/dl

![Figure 18](image2.png) The value of \(\tan \theta(\alpha)\) vs. AP \((\text{Al}^{3+})\):Pr-611 BC unit molar ratio. The polymer concentration is 0.9 g/dl

The dependence of \(\tan \theta(\alpha)\) on the ratio of reagents shows a complicated pattern (Figure 8). At small amounts of AP, the elasticity of the gels declines; however, at ratios above 1:1, the elastic reaction increases abruptly to 4:1, and then its monotonic growth persists. This specific feature of cationic polyelectrolyte was also noted during the rotational viscometry experiments (Figure 9). This phenomenon is associated with the competition of processes in the compaction of Pr-611 macromolecules at low concentrations of AP as well as with the predominance of crosslinking processes at higher concentrations of the polymer and a high amount of AP. To provide effective contact of APs with polymer macromolecules, a considerable volume fraction of the polymer in the water–salt solution and a sufficient amount of the crosslinking agent (AP) are evidently required.

4. Conclusions

Aluminoxane nanosized particles form stable bonds with polymer chains due to noncovalent interactions that lead to the formation of an extended three-dimensional network rather than form another stable phase in a mixture with aqueous solutions of polyelectrolytes. Aluminoxane nanosized particles in the sol PAHC carry out a part of polyelectrolytes in the initial mixture with acrylamide copolymers and cause the compacting of coils of macromolecules. At that time, noncovalent interactions of APs with units of macromolecules result in their crosslinking. A domination that or another process depends on the type of ionic groups and the presence of a low-molecular-mass indifferent electrolyte (NaCl). As a result, “soft” nanocomposite materials appear in the form of optically transparent gels, in which organic and inorganic components interact at the molecular level. Such organo–inorganic hydrogels lack their own shape, and their yield stress is determined by the nature of the three-dimensional network that appears through the interactions of positively charged aluminoxane clusters with the functional groups of polymers. Structurization takes place under the conditions of a single-phase state of the disperse system stabilized by stable hydrogen bonds in an aqueous solution.

Formed hybrid hydrogels possess viscoelastic characteristics and under the action of shear stress show the “Weissenberg effect.” The ratio storage modulus – loss modulus depends on the amount of the AP sol and its ratio to the quantity of the copolymer in the initial mixture. Inorganic aluminoxane particles under consideration are structurally similar to silica particles formed during the sol–gel synthesis from tetramethoxysilane; however, they differ in the sign of the surface charge, which is negative for silica particles and positive for aluminoxane particles.

![Figure 19](image3.png) Scattering particles in sol: a) silica (rd = 4–6 nm, df = 2.5\(^{[47]}\)); b) aluminoxane particles (rd = 2.2 nm and df = 1.0)\(^{[28]}\)

With due account for this similarity and results of\(^{[47]}\), a similar scheme for the spatial structure of hybrid hydrogels may be suggested.

In such a scheme, aluminoxane particles play the role of network junctions that bind polyelectrolyte macromolecules into a common spatial network. It is clear that the density of such a network is determined by the amount of particles, and its strength depends on the nature of noncovalent bonds of particles with polymer chain units, which are the most pronounced for column interactions typical for weakly

\[ \text{Figure 19. Scattering particles in sol: a) silica (rd = 4–6 nm, df = 2.5\(^{[47]}\)); b) aluminoxane particles (rd = 2.2 nm and df = 1.0)\(^{[28]}\)} \]
anionic Pr-2500.
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