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Abstract

Tremendous technological developments have revolutionized educational practices and experiences of English as a Second Language (ESL) teachers and learners at an unprecedented rate. One such educational development is termed as Blended Learning (BL). BL is the method of teaching and learning in which traditional place-based mode of instruction is combined with online teaching and learning. The online mode or environment of BL is not just asynchronous web-enhanced method of delivery of contents rather it also involves synchronous interactions between the teachers and learners via audio and/or video conferencing and cloud-based online meeting apps. Instruction, learning materials and assessment is accessible for learners in traditional face-to-face as well as in online environments. Present study aims to explore ESL learners’ perceptions of BL. Questionnaires were used to gather data to examine 282 ESL learners’ attitudes toward BL. These ESL learners were enrolled in ESL courses in different public and private institutes of Punjab, Pakistan. The descriptive analysis of the data revealed that most of the students have positive attitude towards BL and they found it helpful in English language learning. Based on the results descriptively drawn from the responses of the subjects of the current study, conclusions are drawn, and recommendations have been offered.
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1. Introduction

Blended Learning (BL) refers to integration of traditional face-to-face (f2f) and online methods of teaching and learning. In BL the learners experience learning in classroom as well as anywhere, anytime through their laptop, mobile phone or any electronic device that supports internet connectivity and cloud-based online meeting applications and software. This mix of f2f and online environments is not a haphazard mixture of two modes, rather it is a thoughtful integration in which synchronous and asynchronous teaching and learning activities take place in both f2f and online environments. BL is also sometimes called hybrid learning. It is usually considered beneficial for English as Second Language (ESL) teaching and learning as both of the modes of this method enhance the capacities of learning by offsetting the shortcomings of each mode when used separately. Despite of a few drawbacks such as lack of peer and social interaction, BL’s popularity is proliferating because of its efficient feature of flexibility of time and place for both the teachers and learners, individualized or personalized learner centred approach and elimination of geographical barriers (Larysa & Nataliia, 2021; Myravyova et al., 2021; Owston et al., 2013; Wu et al., 2010). This wide use and integration of technology in academic fields has also impacted the way ESL is taught and learnt, BL is being extensively used for ESL classes. The ESL teachers and learners are benefiting from this combination for enhanced results in English language learning. It strives for best practices by the optimal combination of language course delivery modes to provide the learners with successful learning experiences (Sharma, 2010; Rehman et al., 2021).

BL became a necessity in Pakistan during Covid-19 pandemic. Before this pandemic there were rare instances of using this mix of two modes of instruction and delivery. Though the use of internet for distance learning programs was already being used in some institutions. This e-learning was not mixed with f2f instruction usually. However, during three distinct Covid-19 waves the country went under recurrent nationwide or partial lockdowns. During these lockdowns government of Pakistan and federal and provincial educational ministries designed and implemented different schemes which encouraged higher educational institutions to start educational activities initially online-only for a short time span and then in blended method. All public and private universities started blended teaching-learning, private colleges and schools also went online for continuity of educational processes. Similarly, the institutes, colleges and academies which were offering ESL programs and short courses to students also started online classes with combination of f2f classes. BL proved to be an effective way to continue the teaching and learning process during the pandemic. Use of e-Learning which in pre-Covid world was a part of non-formal or distance education became an integral part of conventional education system and teaching and learning of second and foreign languages (see, Aslam et al., 2020; Saeed et al., 2021).

1.1. Statement of the problem

The common belief is that technology presents ESL teachers and learners the opportunities to enhance outcomes of the learning endeavours. Many researchers, educationists, and ELTs are particularly suggesting the benefits of BL in ESL contexts. They suggested to expose learners to this technology embedded, latest and flexible method of learning English as their second language. The ESL learners in Pakistan have experienced BL lately due to the emergence of Covid-19 pandemic. Though the addition of technology for instructional purposes was a decision taken to control the spread of deadly virus and still continue the educational processes,
yet BL has opened up a new horizon for the ESL learners. It provides them with the opportunities to learn without worrying about the geographical limits. They can take part in their ESL classes without having to move to their institutions regularly as courses are divided into on-campus and online classes. So, they can complete their ESL course partially remotely, which saves their travelling time and travel related costs.

However, in Pakistani context, the availability of resources and technological support seems to be a big hurdle in successful implementation of BL to the fullest. These hurdles may cause unsatisfactory opinions of the ESL learners regarding the efficiency and effectiveness of BL. It is a well acknowledged fact that classroom practices and activities are influenced greatly by learners’ perceptions about them (Schunk & Meece, 2012), the success or failure of any educational innovation is heavily influenced by learners’ perceptions towards it. Keeping in view this issue, the present research aims to explore learners’ perceptions and overall satisfaction with BL in ESL programs.

1.2. Significance of the study

The BL is a relatively new concept in Pakistan. Using technology in conjunction with traditional classrooms provides a more comprehensive learning experience. Present research will assist in determining whether BL in Pakistani context is beneficial and accessible or not. This research has both theoretical and practical implications. The findings of the present study will aid in determining the future paths for public and private ESL institutions regarding incorporation of new technologies in second language teaching and learning setups. The research question of the study is do ESL learners perceive Blended Learning (BL) effective for learning English as a second language? Moreover, the research objective to explore ESL learners’ perceptions of BL.

2. Literature review

English Language Teachers (ELTs) are recognizing BL a suitable method for teaching English as second/foreign language (ESL/EFL), due to the perks it offers to ESL learners and teachers. It is enhancing educational practices and making them easy and innovative with continuously changing technologies and their adoption in instructional designs (Vallam et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2021). Along with numerous other benefits, BL’s ability to develop important skills, such as communication, digital literacy and collaboration among the learners have made it increasingly favourite to ESL teachers and researchers.

Bawawa et al. (2021) interviewed English teachers from high schools of an Indonesian district to find out the most widely adopted English language teaching methods during Covid-19. They found that teachers used various methods according to the need and situation. The mostly reported that the reason behind choosing any particular teaching method was learners’ interests and preferences. Some methods reported by the teachers are project-based method, grammar translation, Audio-Lingual method, total physical response along with most commonly talked about method namely BL. They revealed that teachers started using digital applications such as Google classroom, Google meet, and other applications offered by Google such as forms and docs etc. ELTs comment on the positive role of these digital apps and social-networking platforms in expediting teaching and learning process as well as communication between the ELTs and the learners. For communication they mostly benefited from WhatsApp. Many other
similar studies reveal that ESL teachers are favouring BL in their classes. Hence, the application of and research on BL in the field of ESL has increased markedly. Researchers are conducting multidimensional research studies to analyse different aspects, dynamics, benefits and challenges of BL.

Research studies of Adas & Bakir (2013), Akbarov et al. (2018), Graham et al. (2013), Grgurovic (2011), Wang et al. (2021), and Zhang & Zhu (2018) have focused on BL with regard to ESL context especially and assessed its efficacy and limitations experienced and reported by the stakeholders of BL. The use of latest and assorted technologies accompanying traditional classroom strategies of language teaching according to researchers Akbarov et al. (2018), Ja'ashan (2015), Tayebnik & Puteh (2013), and Zhang & Zhu (2018) make BL an efficient method of ESL teaching and learning. It provides the learners with an effective learning environment in which they become active participants (Abbacan-Tuguic, 2021) and enhance their language skills effectively.

In BL method the teacher and learners interact more than the traditional or e-learning/distance learning programs. The students get in touch with the teacher and their peers in classroom and then in online mode too. The sense of community is stronger in such classes. Other studies suggest the benefits of BL in terms of its capacity to provide better learning opportunities to ESL learners. For instance studies conducted by Adas and Bakir (2013) and Grgurovic (2011) indicated that BL is effective to teach English language skills such as reading, writing, listening and speaking. All these four core language skills can be better taught than the traditional or online-only (such as distance learning programs) methods of language teaching.

Adas and Bakir (2013) conducted an experimental study in a Palestinian university to assess the efficacy of BL for teaching English writing skills specifically. After the experiment the learners who were taught ESL writing through BL performed better than those who were taught otherwise. Similarly, Grgurovic (2011) observed the ESL students enrolled in an intensive English learning program in USA. The study mainly focused on improvement in English listening and speaking skills of the learners who were taught in blended environment. The study indicated that BL is equally helpful in learning all language skills.

The perceptions of the ESL teachers and learners matter a lot in success of any instructional method. The same formula applies to BL. If they perceive BL suitable for their learning endeavours their overall satisfaction and retention enhances (Shohel et al., 2020). If the ESL teachers and learners have positive opinion and their motivation level is high about this technology-enhanced mix of the duo, the success rate is higher. Banditvilai (2016) and Nguyen (2021) found that in BL the learners feel autonomous and free to learn anywhere, anytime. The flexibility of BL is its hallmark. This sense of autonomy enhances their motivational level thus their performance enhances in language classrooms, and they score better in achievement tests. The facility of learner centricity of BL thus proves helpful for learners and ELTs, as the ultimate goal of any ESL class is to enhance learners’ performance and achievement.

Grgurovic (2011) also suggests that this blend of f2f and online learning provides learning chances to both the teacher and learners. The ESL teachers learn how to prepare the teaching materials that are suitable for teaching in f2f classroom and that are also machine friendly (i.e., suitable for teaching in online-mode of blended environment). They also must learn how to integrate all lessons to meet the requirements of the ESL course and how to deliver the contents
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...to the learners in efficient and effective way to produce retentive results. The digital skills of teachers also enhance when they engage in preparing their courseware for digital instruction. According to Grgurovic (2011) in BL cases the learners have to become autonomous. They must know how to be active learners. Since in online mode of BL, teacher is not able to physically observe each and every student, it is the intrinsic motivation of the learners that enable them to benefit from the course. When the learners lack this motivation and self-discipline they may drift away from the goals of teaching and learning process. So, the flexibility of BL also levies greater responsibility on learners.

Tayebinik and Puteh (2013) investigated the related literature available in the field of ESL in blended environment and suggested that though there are some flaws in this method of teaching, yet it is more efficient than f2f or e-learning. Another study to find the dynamics of using digital technology in the field of English language teaching and learning, was conducted by Hasnan and Mohin (2021) in Malaysian polytechnic institutions. They focused majorly on the challenges posed by rise of digital technology practices in higher and technical education. Though the inclusion of digital technology makes the process of language learning interactive hence interesting for ESL learners and teachers and it enriches the learning experiences but there are multiple factors that need attention such as the reliability of digital appliances and hardware, the availability of digitally developed learning materials, record-keeping in case of some glitch in hardware or software, time and team management problems, insufficient resources and lack of training initiatives. They rightly pointed out that if the aforementioned problems are elucidated, the acceptance of blended models of English language teaching and learning will amply boost among both, the teachers and the learners. While the technology and facility lapses cause hinderance in adoption readiness (Abbacan-Tuguic, 2021).

Wang et al. (2021) conducted a questionnaire-based survey in Chinese context to see participants’ perceptions and its correlation with their motivation and engagement in English language learning course through BL environment. The responses of the learners suggested that BL can be effectively used to get favourable results by providing the learners with autonomy and motivation.

In Pakistan, alike many other countries of the world, BL emerged as a result of Covid-19 pandemic (Shah et al., 2021). But due to the technical and technological lapses most of the students were not satisfied with online-only method of instruction. Shah et al. (2021) suggested that the use of e-learning or online-only mode of instruction is not suitable in Pakistani situation as learners do not have highly sophisticated digital and smart devices and access to fast internet. Computer and internet literacy rate is also not very high thus e-learning should only be opted in emergency cases. But other researchers studying the perceptions of ESL learners about the effectiveness of BL observed a positive outlook of ESL learners towards Aurangzeb (2018) and Kumar and Syed (2021), for instance, studied the perceptions of learners about potential benefits of BL and the findings reveal that learners preferred BL over e-learning or f2f separately. Learners commented that they found BL a better option for learning English language than f2f classes.

3. Theoretical framework of study

The Technology Acceptance Model (TAM), developed by Davis (1989) provides theoretical underpinning for the present study. TAM is an information system theory about users’
acceptance of technology or IT. This model has now become one of the most widely cited in the research of technology diffusion. User acceptance of technology is a vital factor of success or failure of any technology. Similarly, acceptance of the latest technology in educational setup by all stakeholders holds a very importance position. However, as the teachers and learners are the two main participants of teaching and learning environment, their attitude towards accepting or rejecting a new technology holds high grounds and it determines the success or failure of a new educational technology.

Figure 1: The Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) adapted from Davis (1989)

Incorporation of TAM in educational research can be witnessed in the studies of Zhang (2013), Masrom (2007) and Granić and Marangunić (2019). Similarly, in the field of English language teaching and learning Alfadda and Mahdi (2021) found a great correlation between learners’ positive attitude towards technology and their success in language learning programs. Rentler and Apple (2020) and Alotumi (2020) also explored positive reception of technology in ESL and EFL contexts. It is evident from research studies, that the constructs of TAM are considered very critical to understand and comprehend students’ attitudes towards using technology in educational setups (see Ahmed et al., 2021). For the present study, the researcher found the constructs of TAM very important to examine Pakistani ESL learners’ opinions of BL.

4. Research methodology

This descriptive study aims to explore the ESL learners’ perceptions and overall satisfaction with the BL for which structured questionnaires were used to collect data from respondents.

4.1. Participants

The population of the study were the students enrolled in different public and private institute for learning English as their second language through BL environment which incorporated both physical f2f and online modes of instruction and delivery of ESL learning materials. The sample of the present study were 282 ESL learners from different cities of Punjab, Pakistan. The researcher took help from her colleagues teaching in different English language teaching institutes across the province. Google survey forms were used to collect data from different
cities while printed questionnaires were used to gather data from the ESL students of different institutes enrolled in ESL programs in Bahawalpur.

4.2. Data collection tool

The data was collected from the respondents using close-ended questionnaire. The researcher designed the questionnaire. For designing the questionnaire, the researcher took help and guidance from Sagarra and Zapata (2008). The piloting of the questionnaire to check its reliability was done prior to actually using the questionnaire to gather data from the sample of the study. The alpha value of the questionnaire was .927, which indicates that the questionnaire was a reliable and internally consistent instrument for data collection for the present study. The adapted questionnaire consisted of 21 questions. These questions were divided into 5 sections namely, learners’ preferences, convenience, institutional support and teachers’ attitude, suitable for ESL programs and overall satisfaction. The first section contains only 1 question requiring the respondents to choose from different options regarding their preferred mode of ESL instruction i.e., only f2f learning, only online learning, BL or none of these. The remaining 4 sections of the questionnaire have questions related to each label and the learners were required to answer on 5-point Likert scale.

4.3. Data analysis

The data collected through Google survey forms and printed questionnaires was entered into SPSS (v20) and analysed descriptively.

4.3.1. Reliability of the questionnaire

The questionnaire consisted of two types of questions, i.e., one question was a multiple-choice type of question while other twenty questions were on 5-point Likert scale. Therefore, the reliability coefficient was calculated for 20 questions of the questionnaire excluding the one multiple-choice type of question. The questionnaire was distributed among 20 ESL students, who were not included in the sample of the study, and the results were analysed using SPSS (v-20). The Cronbach alpha value of 20 questions was .927, which indicates that the questionnaire was reliable.

| Cronbach’s Alpha | No of questions |
|------------------|----------------|
| 0.927            | 20             |

4.3.2. Gender of the respondents

The data shows that 53.2% of the respondents of the questionnaires were females while 46.8% were male ESL students.

| Valid | Frequency | Percent |
|-------|-----------|---------|
| Female| 150       | 53.2    |
| Male  | 132       | 46.8    |
| Total | 282       | 100.0   |
5. Data and results

5.1. Learners’ preference

The first section of the questionnaire was about ESL learners’ preferences regarding mode of instruction and delivery of contents. This section had only one question i.e., “which English language learning method do you prefer?”. The responses of the ESL learners to this question (in table-3) indicate that most of the learners are in favour of BL i.e., 61.7% of the ESL learners responded that they prefer BL over other modes of instruction and delivery. Whereas 30.5% respondents preferred only f2f learning mode of ESL and while only a small number of respondents i.e., 7.1% of ESL learners are in favour of only online method of learning. There are 0.7% of ESL learners who indicated that they had no interest in any method of learning for their ESL classes.

Table-3: Learners’ preferences

| Description                                           | Only face to face learning % | Only online learning % | Blended learning % | Neither face to face nor online % |
|-------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|
| Which English language learning method do you prefer? | 30.5%                        | 7.1%                   | 61.7%             | 0.7%                             |

5.2. Convenience

The second section of the questionnaire consisted of 8 questions. These questions were related to the convenience factor of BL i.e., how convenient was BL for ESL learners? The responses of the ESL learners to these inquires indicate that majority of the learners found BL convenient and easy to use for ESL classes. It offered them flexibility of time and place. It reduced their traveling time and related expenses. Moreover, ESL learners could easily get access to their LMS or online platforms for learning materials and they found online quizzes and assignments easy. But the question 7 i.e. I did not face any technological problem has different responses. We can see in the table-4, that 31.2% respondents have disagreed while 11.0% have strongly disagreed to the statement. Thus, a total 42.2% people found themselves facing technological problems while using online mode of BL.

Table-4: Convenience

| Description                                                                 | Strongly Disagree | Disagree | Neutral | Agree  | Strongly Agree |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|----------|---------|--------|----------------|
| My blended learning ESL class offered me flexibility of time.               | 3.5%              | 3.5%     | 30.5%   | 54.6%  | 7.8%           |
| My blended learning English class offered me flexibility of place.          | 2.1%              | 2.1%     | 22.0%   | 63.1%  | 10.6%          |
| Blended learning reduced my travel time.                                   | 2.1%              | 7.8%     | 23.4%   | 50.4%  | 16.3%          |
| Blended learning reduced my travel expenses.                               | 0.7%              | 8.5%     | 23.4%   | 53.9%  | 13.5%          |
| I was able to easily obtain learning resources online (on LMS, WhatsApp groups, Microsoft Teams etc.) | 4.3%              | 14.9%    | 21.3%   | 42.6%  | 17.0%          |
| I had no problem in using online platforms for classes (LMS, WhatsApp, Google meet, MS Teams) | 8.5%              | 17.0%    | 26.2%   | 33.3%  | 14.9%          |
| I didn't face any technological problems.                                  | 11.0%             | 31.2%    | 19.1%   | 28.4%  | 10.3%          |
| Online quizzes and assignments were easy.                                  | 2.8%              | 7.8%     | 22.0%   | 50.4%  | 17.0%          |
5.3. Institutional support and instructors’ attitude

The third section of the questionnaire asked the ESL learners about their experience with BL with regard to institutional support and teachers’ attitude. BL cannot become a successful approach of English language teaching if the course/program offering institution lacks basic facilities and doesn’t provide necessary support to its students. In addition to institutional support, ESL teachers’ attitude is also very important in making a BL program successful. In this section the learners were asked about their experience with their ESL institution and teacher during their BL ESL course. This section consisted of 4 questions. The results to different questions in this section, evident in table-5, suggest that the ESL learners from different cities of Punjab, Pakistan found their institutions very supportive and ESL teachers very cooperative in BL environment.

Table-5: Institutional support and instructors’ attitude

| Description                                                                 | Strongly Disagree | Disagree | Neutral | Agree | Strongly Agree |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|----------|---------|-------|----------------|
| Blended learning methods were adequately explained by my institution.        | 3.5%              | 7.8%     | 25.5%   | 55.3% | 7.8%           |
| My institute provided help in any technical problem                          | 3.5%              | 14.9%    | 22.0%   | 49.6% | 9.9%           |
| Contacting my English language teacher was easy in online mode of blended learning. | 0.7%              | 9.2%     | 23.4%   | 48.9% | 17.7%          |
| My English language teacher was very cooperative in blended learning course. | 0.7%              | 3.5%     | 18.4%   | 46.8% | 30.5%          |

5.4. Suitable for ESL programs

This section of the questionnaire aimed to find out learners’ opinion regarding BL being suitable for ESL programs. We know that not all teaching methods are equally suitable for each and every course. The learners to responses to 6 questions of this section of questionnaire indicate that ESL learners found BL a good method of teaching and learning of all English language skills i.e., listening, speaking, reading and writing. Table-6 presents a summary of the responses of the ESL learners. We can see that learners found BL an easy and interesting way to learn English language. Moreover, the percentage of the responses that show the positive perceptions of ESL learners towards suitability of BL for all four skills of English language his high. Thus, we can say that BL is suitable for all language skills.

Table-6: Suitability

| Description                                      | Strongly Disagree | Disagree | Neutral | Agree  | Strongly Agree |
|--------------------------------------------------|-------------------|----------|---------|--------|----------------|
| BL makes English language learning easy          | 2.8%              | 10.6%    | 22.7%   | 49.6%  | 14.2%          |
| BL makes English language learning interesting   | 0.0%              | 8.5%     | 24.1%   | 51.1%  | 16.3%          |
| BL helped me improve English language listening skills | 0.7%              | 7.1%     | 22.7%   | 51.1%  | 18.4%          |
| BL helped me improve English language speaking skills | 0.7%              | 11.3%    | 21.3%   | 53.2%  | 13.5%          |
| BL helped me improve English language reading skills | 1.4%              | 9.2%     | 21.3%   | 50.4%  | 17.7%          |
| BL helped me improve my English language writing skills | 0.7%              | 8.5%     | 25.5%   | 48.2%  | 17.0%          |
5.5. Overall satisfaction

This is the final section of the questionnaire used for this study. It consisted of two questions regarding overall satisfaction of the ESL learners. The positive responses to the both questions of this section, as can be seen in table-7, are indication of the overall satisfaction of ESL learners with BL. We see that majority of the ESL learners who experienced BL for learning English language have responded that they enjoyed blended learning course and they would like to take part in more BL courses in future. This means that the ESL learners have positive perceptions about BL.

| Description                                                                 | Strongly Disagree | Disagree | Neutral | Agree  | Strongly Agree |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|----------|---------|--------|---------------|
| I enjoyed blended learning English language course                         | 2.1%              | 3.5%     | 24.8%   | 54.6%  | 14.9%         |
| Given opportunity, I would like to take part in more blended learning courses in future. | 2.8%              | 8.5%     | 19.1%   | 49.6%  | 19.9%         |

6. Analysis and discussion

The partial employment of technology in ESL classes seems to be beneficial for language learners. ESL learners’ responses from the questionnaire-based survey were analysed using SPSS (v-20) and are presented in results section of this study. In table-3, the findings of the part of questionnaire which was intended to collected data regarding ESL learners’ preferences of mode of instruction and delivery of content are presented. It can be observed that the most preferred mode of the majority is BL, as 61.7% of the respondents esteemed BL as the best mode of instruction for ESL classes. Similarly, when the learners were asked about their experience of BL in terms of convenience (table-4). Only a small fragment of the participants said that they found BL difficult while, most of the learners found it easy and convenient. Institutional support and instructor or teachers’ attitude have a great importance in making any teaching-learning program either successful or unsuccessful.

The respondents of the present study i.e., the ESL learners found that their institutions were cooperative. Their institution provided them guidance and help regarding using the BL platforms and provided technical assistance to the ESL learners, the results can be observed in table-5. Likewise, the ESL teachers were readily accessible to the learners through f2f and online modes and they were cooperative in their f2f as well as online classes. It is very correctly said that one size doesn’t fit all, similarly, no single teaching methodology is suitable for all types of the teaching and learning process. Different academic disciplines have different requirements. The researcher of the present study was cognizant of this fact thus was interested in knowing learners’ perceptions about BL’s suitability for ESL environment. For the said purpose, the researcher had designed 6 questions in the questionnaire (table-6) to which the learners responded. Their responses reveal that they think BL is suitable way of learning English as a second language. This method of teaching and learning helps them improve their language skills in easy and interesting ways. Finally, the overall satisfaction of the ESL learners with BL was the probed in the questionnaire the table-7 of the results section divulge that BL had a distinguished importance for ESL learners and the participants of the present study were highly satisfied with this approach and method of language teaching.
7. **Conclusion**

The perceptions of learners, regarding any course, program or method, influence and are influenced by the instructional events and activities (Schunk & Meece, 2012) and success of a course or program is profoundly affected by their perceptions. The present descriptive study probed into the ESL learners’ perceptions of BL. BL, though a well-known method of teaching and learning in developed parts of the world, has emerged in Pakistan as a befitting method in Covid-19 pandemic. With its manifold benefits and flexibilities, BL provided a magnificent blending of Information and Communication Technology (ICT) into all teaching and learning disciplines. It has promising ways of improving English language teaching and learning experiences of both the teachers and the learners.

The researcher of the present study was interested in knowing the point of view of ESL learners about the practices of BL in Pakistani ESL context and the findings of the study reveal that ESL learners have positive outlook on BL. They found it flexible, convenient, and a learner centred approach of language learning. Majority of the participants preferred BL over only f2f or only online method of language learning. It is because of the faculty of the BL to accrue the strengths of both the modes, i.e., the f2f and the online and vindicating their shortcomings by their strengths.

The BL is deemed to be one of the most convenient methods of language learning as it offers flexibility of time and place along with easy access to learning materials. It is also cost effective as the learners’ travel expenses reduce. Now a days, almost every student knows how to operate a computer or smart phone and internet has become a common utility for everyday social-networking or entertainment purposes. Usually, the learners find using the online platforms easy for their educational purposes too. However, it is also revealed from the findings of the present study that the learners found their ESL institutions and teachers very supportive in the technical matters. They were properly guided and provided with the technical support in case of any difficulties. As far as, the matter of suitability of the BL for the ESL programs is concerned, majority of the ESL learners reported that their ESL skills enhanced in the BL classes. Hence, all the advantages and conveniences of the BL have added to their overall satisfaction with the program.

The findings of the present study are consistent with other studies such as Aurangzeb (2018) and Kumar and Syed (2021), which suggest that BL is perceived as a remunerative learning method by Pakistani students. They understand the value of technology and its incorporation in their educational system. The researcher of present study deems that this positive attitude and perception of ESL learners towards BL is due to its superior features such as integration of latest digital technologies into educational paradigm, flexibility of self-paced learning, learners’ autonomy and opportunities of interaction and communication with teachers and peers. Thus, the findings of the present study suggest that BL has remarkable scope for ESL teachers and learners.
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