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Abstract

Background
To explore the ocular vascular variation involvement in mild cognitive impairment (MCI) and Alzheimer's disease (AD) cohorts. The measurement of the retinal vessel density was performed by spectral-domain optical coherence tomography angiography (OCTA).

Methods
This study including 24 eyes of normal cognitively individuals (NC group), 23 eyes of MCI patients (MCI group) and 11 eyes of AD patients (AD group) measured retinal vessel density with OCTA. Mean arterial pressure (MAP) and mean ocular perfusion pressure (MOPP) were calculated based on systemic blood pressure and intraocular pressure. We estimated the association between systemic and ocular vascular elements with cognitive assessment test (Mini-Mental Status Examination, MMSE) result by Pearson's correlation and linear regression model test.

Results
The retinal microvascular density were significantly lower in AD group compared to NC group while foveal avascular zone (FAZ) area were larger in AD group versus NC group. Both FAZ and vascular density parameters showed significant correlation with MMSE. After multivariate linear regression analysis, only FAZ and outer ring of optic nerve head were significantly associated MMSE. There was significant difference among three groups in MAP value while it was MOPP was found significant correlation with MMSE.

Conclusion
The OCTA parameters showed statistic difference between AD group and NC group. While only FAZ and outer ring of optic nerve head were significantly associated with estimated MMSE in multivariate linear regression analysis. So FAZ and outer ring of optic nerve head might be regarded as potential indicators for representing early sign of preclinical stage of AD.

Introduction
Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is the most common form of dementia in western countries, affecting elderly people with irreversible cognitive decline and neurodegeneration disorders[1]. The pathogenesis of AD is characterized as the deposition of misfolded β-amyloid (Aβ) and abnormal production of tau proteins in the brain[2]. Extensive evidence appears to suggest strong association between abnormal Aβ accumulation and cerebrovascular pathologies, which are both related to the progression of cognitive impairment clinically in AD patients[3]. While it may last for decades from the preclinical phases of AD to the emergence of obvious clinical symptoms, the continuum of neurocognitive decline occurs along the spectrum ranging from normal cognition to mild cognitive impairment (MCI) to dementia[4]. At present, there is no available treatment for AD; however cumulative evidence has supported the promising effect of medical intervention during the preclinical stage of AD patients[5, 6]. Therefore,
the theme of how to assess and diagnose the precursor phase of AD has gained great interest from a massive number of researchers.

In terms of diagnostic criteria for screening cognitive impairment, several studies have demonstrated cognitive screening tools such as the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) and the Mini-Mental Status Examination (MMSE) could be fundamental measurements for clinical evaluation of MCI cohorts[7]. Nevertheless, due to the inherent limitations of the MMSE and the MoCA, figuring out an alternative to detect cognitive deficits accurately is crucial. Nowadays, rapid advances in magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and positron emission tomography (PET) scanning have provided precise evidence of Aβ and tau deposition in MCI or AD patients[8]. Besides that, characteristic biomarkers in peripheral blood or cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) has provided robust protein and inflammation network associated with the pathogenesis of AD[9]. However, all these examinations discussed above have limitations for being invasive or expensive and are not practical in large-scale screening for early diagnosing MCI or AD. Thus, noninvasive and precise biomarkers are specially needed in clinical work as options for early diagnosis or therapeutic monitoring of AD patients.

The retina and optic nerve are regarded as the ‘window’ of observing the central nervous system, since the retina contains similar functional and anatomical features with the cerebral vasculature[10, 11]. For this reason, a number of researchers have proposed the hypothesis that the cerebral neurodegenerative disorders might play a pivotal role in the retinal pathological alterations. With the optical instrument including Fundus photography and spectral domain optical coherence tomography (SD-OCT), many studies have demonstrated retinal vascular morphology impairment and retinal thickness decrease in AD and MCI patients[12, 13]. With the advancement of retinal imaging techniques, optical coherence tomography angiography(OCTA) could provide high-resolution image rapidly and noninvasively and pose a tantalizing opportunity to gain more insights on retinal capillaries pathology.

This would be a valuable tool in exploring the cerebral neurodegenerative disorders[14]. Based on vascular alterations disclosed by using OCTA in patients with AD, several studies have reported that the retinal capillary vessel density is reduced while the foveal avascular zone (FAZ) is enlarged in comparison to the control group[15, 16].

Except for the detection of OCTA signals in AD, there has been an increase body of research on the relationship between systematic blood flow variability and AD. Till now, it remains unknown as to whether the effect of increased blood pressure(BP) contributes to the pathogenesis of AD in vivo[17]. While there has been evidence of systemic hypertension can cause damage to the microvascular net of the optic disk[18]. And mean ocular perfusion pressure (MOPP), which directly related to blood pressure (BP) and intraocular pressure(IOP) level has been found increased in hypertension patients compared to the controls. So there are several reasons to explore the search for MOPP changes in AD pathological changes.

Herein, the focus of this study is to provide further evidence and evaluate the effect of OCTA predictors and blood flow indicators including mean arterial pressure (MAP) and mean ocular perfusion pressure (MOPP) as biomarkers and screening modalities for early definition of clinical AD.

Methods

Study participants and Recruitments
For this cross-sectional study, we evaluated 24 normal cognitively individuals (NC group), 23 MCI patients (MCI group), 11 AD patients (AD group). The study was conducted from September 2019 to October 2020. All the subjects were diagnosed and recruited from the department of neurology in Nanjing Drum Tower Hospital. All cases underwent MoCA and MMSE tests for assessment of neuropsychological state, MRI scanning for excluding other brain diseases. All cases underwent ophthalmological examinations including best corrected visual acuity (BCVA), intraocular pressure (IOP), slit-lamp biomicroscopy and dilated fundus examination. Exclusion criteria included (1) refusal or unable to give informed consent; (2) metabolic disorders such as diabetes with uncontrollable glucose levels or obesity; (3) hypertension or hypotension with uncontrollable blood pressure level; (4) other neurodegenerative or optic nerve degenerative diseases such as Parkinson's disease, multiple sclerosis and non-arteritic anterior ischemic optic neuropathy (NA-AION); (5) other ocular diseases such as to significant media opacities, serious refractive errors with poor corrected vision glaucoma, macular disorders; poor fixation; any history of retinal surgery or treatment.

All the subjects were taken systolic blood pressure (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) measurements, based on SBP and DBP levels, mean arterial pressure (MAP) and mean ocular perfusion pressure (MOPP) were calculated respectively by using the formula[19]:

\[ MAP = \frac{1}{3} \times SBP + \frac{2}{3} \times DBP; \quad MOPP = \frac{2}{3} \times MAP - IOP \]

**Optical coherence tomography angiography (OCTA)**

OCTA image was selected from the right eye of every patient and the control. Once the right eye image quality was not up to the standard, then the left eye image was chosen. The chosen OCTA image should meet the signal quality criteria on a 10-degree scale and each measurement image with signal quality (SQ) of 6 or more.

The macular and the optic disc microvasculature images was carried out with the Cirrus HD-October 5000 instrument with AngioPlex (Carl Zeiss Meditec; 10.0 software version). For macular scope, a 4.5-4.5mm² area vessel densities centered on macular region was automated chosen and measured one value for the inner ring (1-3 mm around the fovea), the outer ring (3-6 mm around the fovea), and the center of the fovea (1 mm around the fovea) as well as the value of FAZ. For optic disc scope, a 3-6 mm ring around the optic nerve head (ONH) region was automated measured the radial peripapillary capillary (RPC) vessels density and documented as the inner ring, the outer ring and the center ring.

Other parameters related to ONH including retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) thickness; rim /disc area; average cup-to-disc ratio (C/D); vertical C/D and cup volume were calculated automatically (Figure 1).

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS for Windows statistical software (ver. 17.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Data are presented as mean ±SD for continuous variables and as percentages for categorical variables. ANOVA test was performed to determine the differences among categorical variables. Mean values data did not show a Gaussian distribution, so it was compared by the Kruskal-Wallis test and Mann-Whitney u test. Pearson’s coefficient was used to check correlation between retinal morphologic parameters (FAZ, macular and ONH region) and cognitive assessment test (MMSE) and ocular perfusion pressure (MOPP), respectively. And then a univariate linear regression model and a multiple linear regression model was used to analyze the strength of the association.
of MMSE and OCTA parameters; MMSE and blood perfusion parameters. P<0.05 was interpreted as statistically significant.

Results

Baseline demographics and MMSE/ MoCA scores of the three groups

Our study initially recruited eighty participants. Two subjects were excluded for diagnosed glaucoma; Eight subjects were excluded for undiagnosed epi-retinal membrane; Twelve subjects were excluded for poor fixation or SQ<6 with incomplete OCTA results. Finally, 24 eyes of 24 healthy controls, 23 eyes of MCI patients and 11 AD patients were enrolled in the present study. The baseline characteristics of participants recruited in the study are summarized in Table 1. There was no statistically significant difference in gender, age, BCVA, IOP, SBP and DBP among the three groups (P >0.05). Both the AD group and MCI group had lower scores than the NC group in MMSE and MoCA test (P <0.05). Interestingly, there was no statistically significant difference in MOPP while the distribution of MAP was higher in the AD group and MCI group than the NC group (P <0.05).

Table1. Baseline demographics and MMSE/ MoCA scores of the three groups

| Parameter      | NC(n=24)       | MCI(n=23)      | AD(n=11)      | P value |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|---------|
| Gender(M/F)    | 9/159          | 7/16           | 4/7           | 0.97    |
| Age(years)     | 62.96±5.81     | 67.61±9.34     | 66.91±8.17    | 0.11    |
| BCVA(logMAR)   | 0.22±0.08      | 0.26±0.1       | 0.26±0.09     | 0.29    |
| IOP(mmHg)      | 14.29±3.06     | 14.78±2.37     | 15.82±3.06    | 0.23    |
| SBP(mmHg)      | 133.1±7.66     | 136.5±6.40     | 136.6±4.59    | 0.17    |
| DBP(mmHg)      | 87.79±6.41     | 90.96±3.76     | 91.45±5.80    | 0.07    |
| MAP(mmHg)      | 102.9±4.44     | 106.1±3.07     | 106.5±3.98    | 0.01*   |
| MOPP(mmHg)     | 54.39±4.07     | 55.62±2.92     | 54.01±3.76    | 0.37    |
| MMSE(score)    | 28.79±0.98     | 26.87±2.16     | 17.82±4.90    | <0.00*  |
| MoCA(score)    | 28.04(25.25,28)| 21.61(20,23)   | 11.42(8.25,14.25) | <0.00* |

*p<0.05

Comparison of peripapillary perfusion density and microvascular density among the three groups

On OCTA examination, comparison of mean value of peripapillary perfusion density and microvascular density in each group are listed in Table 2. Both peripapillary perfusion density and microvascular density decreased significantly in AD group than in NC group (P <0.05). The comparison of peripapillary perfusion density and microvascular density analyses revealed a significant loss in sections including outer ring of macula, central of optic nerve head, inner ring of optic nerve head, outer ring of optic nerve head in MCI group than in NC group (P <0.05). The value of microvascular density in sections including central of macula, inner ring of macula and FAZ showed no statistically difference between MCI group
and NC group (P >0.05). The section of inner ring/ outer ring of macula and inner ring/ outer ring of optic nerve head density decreased significantly in AD group than in MCI group (P <0.05). In terms of FAZ, the FAZ area in AD group was the largest than the other two groups. Besides that, there was no statistical differences between MCI group and NC group in FAZ area comparison.

Table 2. Comparison of PPD and MVD among the three groups

| Parameter          | NC       | MCI      | AD       | P value |
|--------------------|----------|----------|----------|---------|
| Central of macula  | 8.04±3.28| 6.6±2.13 | 5.43±1.96| 0.02*   |
| Inner ring of macula| 15.9±2.75| 15.83±2.16| 9.08±2.30| <0.00* |
| Outer ring of macula| 17.98(17.28,18.83)| 14.9(12.9,16.4)| 11.15(9.7,12.7)| <0.00* |
| Central of ONH     | 2.33±1.26| 1.45±1.15| 1.05±0.79| 0.01*   |
| Inner ring of ONH  | 18.38(17.85,18.9)| 15.96(14.4,16.9)| 10.37(8.9,13)| <0.00* |
| Outer ring of ONH  | 18.83±0.65| 17.31±1.10| 11.09±3.04| <0.00* |
| FAZ                | 0.21±0.09| 0.26±0.10| 0.38±0.11| 0.00*   |

*p<0.05; ONH: optic nerve head; PPD: peripapillary perfusion density; MVD: microvascular density

Correlation of perfusion density and vascular density with blood flow indicators and MMSE

Peripapillary perfusion density and microvascular density showed a significant correlation with MMSE in section including inner ring/ outer ring of macula and inner ring/ outer ring of optic nerve head, but not in central of macula and central of optic nerve head (Table 3). FAZ also exhibited a significant correlation with MMSE (r=-0.53, p<0.0001) (Figure 2). As to the correlation between blood flow indicators and MMSE, MOPP presented a statistically significant result (r=-0.27, p=0.04) while MAP might not correlate with MMSE.

Table 3. Correlation of perfusion density and vascular density with blood flow indicators and MMSE
The strength of the association between risk indicators and MMSE

Nine parameters were analyzed by using univariate and multivariate linear regression model of MMSE (table 4). Results from the univariate linear regression model suggested that FAZ (95% CI -50.4 to -19.16, p<0.00), inner ring of macula (95% CI 0.51 to 1.07, p<0.00), outer ring of macula (95% CI 1.07 to 2.14, p<0.00), inner ring of ONH (95% CI 0.74 to 1.27, p<0.00), outer ring of ONH (95% CI 0.87 to 1.39, p<0.00) and MOPP (95% CI -0.4 to -0.01, p=0.04) were significantly associated MMSE. In multivariable linear regression model, only FAZ (β=0.24, p=0.02) and outer ring of ONH (β=0.33, p=0.03) were significantly associated with MMSE.

Table 4. Linear regression analysis for association between risk indicators and MMSE

| Parameter     | Univariate | Multivariate |
|---------------|------------|--------------|
|               | 95% CI     | P value      | Beta | P value |
| FAZ           | -50.4 to -19.16 | <0.00*     | 0.24 | 0.02*   |
| Central of macula | -0.03 to 0.86  | 0.07        | 0.01 | 0.96    |
| Inner ring of macula | 0.51 to 1.07  | <0.00*     | 0.15 | 0.22    |
| Outer ring of macula | 1.07 to 2.14  | <0.00*     | 0.08 | 0.61    |
| Central of ONH | -0.09 to 1.92 | 0.07        | 0.02 | 0.85    |
| Inner ring of ONH | 0.74 to 1.27  | <0.00*     | 0.22 | 0.16    |
| Outer ring of ONH | 0.87 to 1.39  | <0.00*     | 0.33 | 0.03*   |
| MOPP          | -0.54 to 0.01 | 0.04*       | 0.05 | 0.59    |
| MAP           | -0.59 to 0.01 | 0.06        | 0.01 | 0.89    |

*p<0.05
Discussion

In this study, we compared retinal peripapillary perfusion density and microvascular density alterations by OCTA in AD and MCI patients with normal cognitively subjects. Besides that, we also estimated the effect of MAP and MOPP to interpret the progression of AD, which could be considered as confounding factors. Our data indicated that macular parameters (inner ring of macula, outer ring of macula), ONH parameters (inner ring of ONH, outer ring of ONH) and FAZ presented significant correlation with MMSE while the central section of macular and ONH presented no significant correlation with MMSE. In terms of MOPP and MAP, although the value of MAP showed significant difference among three groups, MAP showed no significant correlation with MMSE in Pearson's and linear regression analyses. On the other hand, the value of MOPP showed no significant difference among three groups, which showed significant correlation with MMSE in Pearson's and univariate linear regression analyses.

Studies from the association between elevated blood pressure (BP) and AD pathology indicated that the impact of BP has been still controversial. A number of evidence conducted that there was no association between late life hypertension and brain Aβ deposition[20–22]. However, some conflictual findings revealed that hypertension was associated with accelerated Aβ accumulation[23]. Those variable results might be explained by findings from the following aspects: some data came from normal cognitively people and some research studied patients with reduced cognitive ability. Besides that, the diagnosis and measurement of hypertension also differed in those studies. Given the heterogeneity between studies, it should be difficult to make a meaningful analysis for the association between blood pressure and AD. The present study was partly consistent with previous research, reporting that the statistical difference in MAP did not markedly affect the association between MMSE and MAP. While the value of MOPP has shown different results: MOPP showed apparent relevance with MMSE although no significant difference was reached in primary values. One explanation for changes in MAP was that MAP depended on SBP and DBP regulation while MOPP depended on measurement of MAP and IOP, so MOPP might be more ideal than MAP to estimate the relevance between ocular vascular perfusion and the progression of AD. To the best of our knowledge, there has been few studies on changes of MOPP in patients with worsen cognitive decline. The majority of those research focused on the relationship between MOPP fluctuation and ocular ischemic disease with the optic nerve defect including diabetic retinopathy, glaucoma, non-arteritic anterior ischemic optic neuropathy (NAION) [24–26]. MOPP has been shown strong association with the prevalence of those ocular disease. Thus MOPP might offer additional information to estimate the risk of cognitive decline and prediction of rates of neurodegeneration worsening.

A number of studies have also found that the presence of OCTA changes in patients developing neurodegenerative damage. Previous analysis has reported that the area of the FAZ was much larger in AD or MCI patients than the controls[15, 16, 27]. Our OCTA data demonstrated a markedly enlargement of the FAZ in AD cohorts, which is in line with previous reports. Besides, we noted that the area of FAZ was much greater in AD group than the MCI group, while we found no significant difference in FAZ area between MCI group and NC group. The enlargement of the FAZ has been regarded as an important signal for retinal capillary occlusion and retinal ischemia[28]. Furthermore, we exhibited a significant correlation between FAZ and MMSE, so our data suggested that FAZ size might be an indicator for progression of cognitive impairment. Whereas Wu et al found significant difference in FAZ area in MCI patients comparing with control group, which is inconsistent with our findings[27]. There may be various possible explanations for this discrepancy. First, the differences may be attributable to the small sample size, and the area of FAZ varies considerably between individuals in the study. Second, data obtained by different OCTA devices may not have good consistency due to various algorithms.
In terms of other OCTA data, previous studies demonstrated a decrease in retinal vessel density and increase in FAZ area in AD patients[15, 29]. Our observation exhibited similar differences in vessel densities centered on macular region in the dementia phase of MCI and AD patients. Based on our findings, we found the obvious decrease of vessel density in all retinal areas (center/inner/outer ring of the macula, center/inner/outer around the ONH) in AD group compared with the NC group. In contrast to the reduction of vessel density in all retinal areas, some researcher indicated the opposite result: they found vessel density in all retinal areas statistically significant higher in AD patients than the control[27]. We assessed the explanation for those unexpected alterations might lie in the inflammatory reaction in the development of AD accompanied with A\textsubscript{\textbeta} accumulation. Supposing that inflammatory process in the onset stage of AD with ischemic and hypoxic damage might result in retinal vasoconstriction increasing[30], which would be detected by OCTA and documented as visible retinal vessel density. With the progress of AD, chronic inflammation and A\textsubscript{\textbeta} accumulation might cause loss of retinal microvasculature and the decrease in vessel density[31]. Considering the significant differences in vessel density around macular and ONH between the cognitive impairment and the normal cognitive individuals, we also found correlations between MMSE and OCTA parameters, including FAZ, the inner/outer ring of the macula and the inner/outer ring of ONH, while no significant correlation was found in center of macula and center of ONH area. The univariate linear regression analysis was similar with the Pearson's correlation data, except the center of macula and center of ONH area showed no association with MMSE, other OCTA parameters were correlated with MMSE significantly. However, only FAZ and outer ring of ONH showed statistical association with MMSE and the other OCTA parameters lost associations after multiple linear regression analysis. The possible explanations for the lack of this association might attribute to the distribution of the value of MMSE. Outcomes on calculation in MMSE and MoCA varied across individuals and placed a high load on personal abilities including language, education stratification[32]. Although MoCA test seemed to fare better than MMSE in detecting cognitive impairment clinically[33], the MoCA score in our study exhibited obvious fluctuations and hardly normalization, so we chose MMSE score to represent the dementia phrase.

To the best of our knowledge, few studies have investigated OCTA features and ocular perfusion pressure in cognitive impairment patients simultaneously. Our study characterized the thorough OCTA descriptive indicators in participants with early and development stage of cognitive impairment. We explored vascular involvement in AD development extensively by analyzing MOPP and MAP.

We acknowledge some limitations to this study. This was a single-center, cross-sectional trial with a limited number of samples. It is necessary to conduct a larger number of subjects and follow-up study to confirm our findings. And due to the algorithms of OCTA, artifacts cannot be avoided. Furthermore, this only measure the central foveal area of 4.5×4.5mm, which may be limited in detecting early microvascular changes in outer region. PET scanning provided rather sensitive visualization of A\textsubscript{\textbeta} accumulation in vivo, which might be compared with OCTA parameters and MOPP in the future.

In summary, we found a significant lower retinal vessel density around macular and ONH regions in AD versus NC participants. We also discovered FAZ and outer ring of ONH showed strong association with MMSE score in multiple linear regression analysis, suggesting those two parameters could be possible biomarker for predicting the onset of AD clinically. No significant association was found between ocular perfusion indicators(MOPP/MAP) and MMSE in multiple linear regression analysis, however, we confirmed the significant difference among three groups and MOPP showed moderate association with MMSE in Pearson correlation and univariate linear regression analysis. Further in vivo studies are needed to understand the pathologic mechanistic insights into ocular vascular involvement in AD clinical process.
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**Figures**

**Figure 1**

OCTA scans A: vascular density analysis of the macular region in NC group; the green area was automated FAZ measurement; a: between two red lines was corresponding to Figure 1A showing the location of internal limiting membrane-inner plexiform layer; B: vascular density analysis of the macular region in AD group; the green area was automated FAZ measurement; b: corresponding to Figure 1B showing the location of internal limiting membrane-inner plexiform layer; C: vascular density analysis of the ONH region in NC group; c: corresponding to Figure 1C showing the location of ONH; D: vascular density analysis of the ONH region in AD group; d: corresponding to Figure 1D showing the location of ONH
Figure 2

Correlation of perfusion density and vascular density with blood flow indicators and MMSE (A) inner ring of macular; (B) outer ring of macula; (C) inner ring of ONH; (D) outer ring of ONH; (E) FAZ; (F) MOPP