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A method to compute three-dimensional (3D) left ventricle (LV) motion and its color coded visualization scheme for the qualitative analysis in SPECT images is proposed. It is used to investigate some aspects of Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy (CRT). The method was applied to 3D gated-SPECT images sets from normal subjects and patients with severe Idiopathic Heart Failure, before and after CRT. Color coded visualization maps representing the LV regional motion showed significant difference between patients and normal subjects. Moreover, they indicated a difference between the two groups. Numerical results of regional mean values representing the intensity and direction of movement in radial direction are presented. A difference of one order of magnitude in the intensity of the movement on patients in relation to the normal subjects was observed. Quantitative and qualitative parameters gave good indications of potential application of the technique to diagnosis and follow up of patients submitted to CRT.

1. Introduction

The automatic quantification of dynamic events, like the heart movement, is one of the most challenging applications in the field of medical image analysis. The normal Left Ventricle (LV) wall deformation occurring throughout the cardiac cycle may be affected by cardiac diseases. Thus, some pathological conditions could be identified by the change they produce in the expected normal movement [1].

Ventricular dyssynchrony is an example of a condition that modifies the normal behavior of the cardiac muscle [2]. Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy (CRT) is one of the procedures applied to patients with intraventricular dyssynchrony and aims to restore the normal contraction pattern by the stimulation of both right and left ventricles simultaneously [3]. Several studies have shown the effectiveness of CRT in patients with heart failure [4, 5]. However, among the patients submitted to CRT, 25–30% do not respond to the treatment [6–9] (nonresponder). For this reason, when choosing CRT for a patient, several factors have to be considered. Besides being highly complex, it is an expensive therapy [10] and implantation of CRT device is not without risks to the patient [11]. The decision of recommending CRT to a patient is therefore a balance of these risks with its potential benefits.

At present, there is a lack of specific measures to characterize the degree of synchrony [12] as well as a factor, which prior to the application of the CRT, can discriminate patients who are going to respond to the therapy from those who are not. A number of researchers have been working to reach this goal in the last years [12–14]. Recently, several studies have used gated scintigraphic images to evaluate the ventricles synchrony by means of phase and amplitude images [10]. However, these two techniques involve a global analysis and may cause a loss of important information about the regional movement of the walls.
Electrocardiographic gating of Cardiac Single-Photon-Emission Computed Tomography (gated-SPECT) provides the clinician with a temporal set of 3D images that enables the visualization of the distribution of radioactive counts within the myocardium and surrounding structures throughout the cardiac cycle. It provides the ability to determine the severity of abnormalities in wall motion and wall thickening associated with myocardial dysfunction [15]. A number of techniques have been used in order to describe and quantify the nonrigid motion of the cardiac structures. Among these techniques, Optical Flow methods are used to accurately model nonrigid motion present during the cardiac cycle so that a one-to-one mapping is found between each voxel of two gated volumes [16, 17].

In previous works, we have described cardiac motion by means of the velocity flow field. The velocity estimation for each voxel in a volume was based on Optical Flow techniques [16]. In this technique, 3D LV motion is described by a series of 3D velocity vector fields computed automatically for each voxel on the sequence of cardiac volumes. The analysis and even the visualization of the velocity field in a cardiac volume are extremely difficult tasks, due to the high amount of information presented simultaneously. To make this bunch of information useful for diagnostic purposes, it is necessary to find compact and friendly representations for it.

In this work we propose a color coded visualization scheme for the qualitative analysis of the velocity components, with the definition of three movement directions. The coded velocity information obtained from Optical Flow in SPECT images is used to assess some aspects of CRT. In particular, we investigate the ability of velocity derived measurements to assess the effectiveness of CRT and velocity patterns that might be able to distinguish responder patients from the nonresponder, before the application of CRT. The assessment is performed on sets of images from thirty normal subjects and sixteen patients with idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy.

2. Material and Methods

In this section the proposed methods to compute (Section 2.1) and analyze (Section 2.2) the left ventricle motion are described. In Section 2.3 the image acquisition protocol and data sets used for methods evaluation are presented, as well as the criteria used for classification of the patients as responders or nonresponders to the CRT.

2.1. Description of Heart Movement Through Velocity Fields

2.1.1. Velocity Field Calculation. The velocity fields are obtained by using an extension to 3D of the classical 2D Optical Flow [16, 17]. In this approach, two assumptions are imposed to the model. The first is a brightness constancy assumption and it assumes that the intensity of image elements is conserved between the image frames (called the OF constraint). The second assumption consists of a “smoothness” constraint and imposes that in a neighborhood the voxels have similar velocities. The two assumptions are combined in a weighted function as follows:

$$\iiint \left[ (E_x u + E_y v + E_z w + E_t)^2 + \alpha^2 (u_x^2 + u_y^2 + u_z^2 + v_x^2 + v_y^2 + v_z^2 + w_x^2 + w_y^2 + w_z^2) \right] dx dy dz,$$

where the first term is the OF constraint, the second is a measure of the Optical Flow field smoothness, and \( \alpha \) is a weighting factor that controls the influence of the smoothness constraint. \( E_x, E_y, E_z \) and \( E_t \) are the image derivatives in the \( x, y, z \) and \( t \) directions; \( u, v \) and \( w \) are the components of the local velocity vector \( \mathbf{v} \) along the \( x, y \) and \( z \) directions, respectively.

The minimization of this function leads to a linear algebraic system, whose solution is the velocity component to each voxel and the coefficients are determined by the spatial and temporal derivatives of the images as follows:

$$u^{n+1} = \bar{u} - \frac{E_x (E_x w^n + E_y v^n + E_z w^n + E_t^n)}{\alpha^2 + E_x^2 + E_y^2 + E_z^2},$$

$$v^{n+1} = \bar{v} - \frac{E_y (E_x w^n + E_y v^n + E_z w^n + E_t^n)}{\alpha^2 + E_x^2 + E_y^2 + E_z^2},$$

$$w^{n+1} = \bar{w} - \frac{E_z (E_x w^n + E_y v^n + E_z w^n + E_t^n)}{\alpha^2 + E_x^2 + E_y^2 + E_z^2},$$

where \( \bar{u}, \bar{v}, \) and \( \bar{w} \) are the mean velocities in each direction, for the voxels in a neighborhood of a given voxel, and \( n \) is the iteration index.

2.1.2. Computational Description of the Left Ventricular Movement. Generally speaking, the heart can be described as a nonrigid object that deforms throughout the cardiac cycle and has very complex mechanical properties [16]. To simplify the analysis of the left ventricular movement, it can be described in terms of contraction/expansion and torsion. In order to qualitatively evaluate the movement of the LV, three movement directions were defined, each with two possible orientations. These directions are depicted in Figure 1. Radial movement is described as a contraction towards the center of the LV during systole and as an expansion from the center during diastole. Horizontal rotation represents the clockwise and counterclockwise movement of the cardiac walls and the vertical rotation represents the movement towards the base (upwards) during systole and towards the apex during diastole. For the apex, only the radial component, which is the major component of its movement, is analyzed.

2.2. Qualitative Analysis of the Movement: Color Coding the Velocity Field in Spherical Coordinates

2.2.1. Spherical Coordinate System. The solution to the algebraic linear system presented in (1) gives the values of
Figure 1: Motion directions defined in the cardiac cycle: (a) radial movement in terms of contraction and expansion; (b) horizontal rotation is either clockwise or counterclockwise; and (c) vertical rotation is upwards or downwards. The two orientations for each direction are colored by the defined coding scheme (see Section 2.1.1). Movements are depicted using the short axis view in (a) and (b) and the horizontal long axis view in (c). The LV walls are depicted in (a): region 1 is the anterior wall; region 2 is the inferior wall; region 3 is the septal wall; and region 4 is the lateral wall. (The nomenclatures of cardiac planes and wall segments used in this work follow the recommendations of the American Heart Association, as described in Cerqueira et al. [18].)

Figure 2: Unit vectors in spherical coordinates.

The velocity components for each voxel of the cardiac volume in Cartesian coordinates. However, the spherical coordinate system is a more suited system for the description of the movements presented in the former item. For this reason, the visualization module first performs a transformation of the velocities obtained in $x$, $y$, and $z$ directions to the unit vectors in the directions $r$, $\theta$, $\phi$ in the spherical coordinate system (Figure 2).

The radial movement can be described by the unit vector for the $r$ component, the horizontal rotation by the unit vector for the $\theta$ component, and the vertical movement by the unit vector for the $\phi$ component.

The center of the spherical coordinate system is essential when representing the left ventricular motion, as the origin is the reference point for the motion. The results for the velocity components are going to be highly dependent on the choice of this point. How to choose the center of the left ventricle is not a simple task. The anatomical center or the center of mass might be used as a central point, but this choice would fail to find the center in images from patients with myocardial infarction or any disease in which the counts are decreased at certain regions of the cardiac muscle. In this work, the center is defined as the geometrical center of the LV and is selected manually by a trained physician.

2.2.2. Color Scheme. A desired feature of the visualization scheme is that all information concerning a movement direction be presented in a single image. Thus each image must present information about the orientation and the intensity of the velocity component. The color coding scheme is therefore defined as follows: for each component, the color assigned to a voxel indicates the orientation of the movement, being either positive or negative, and the strength of the color indicates the intensity of the velocity vector in this direction.

Positive and negative orientations for each movement direction are defined as follows:

(i) Radial: expansion is positive; contraction is negative,
(ii) Horizontal rotation: clockwise rotation is negative, and counterclockwise rotation is positive;
(iii) Vertical rotation: downwards motion is positive, and upwards rotation is negative.

In order to indicate velocity intensity, a discrete lookup table is used. In this table, the absence of motion is depicted as white, positive values are depicted as blue, and negative values are depicted as red. The positive and negative colors are divided into 128 steps by changing their saturation, such that strong movement is represented by a strong color, and a weaker movement has a lighter color. Figure 3 shows an example of a color scheme, in which the color variation follows a linear scale. Logarithm scales can be used for better visualization of weak movements.

2.3. Acquisition and Processing of Patient Images. The method was applied to 3D gated-SPECT (99mTc-MIBI) images obtained from sixteen patients with idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy, chronic heart failure in New York Heart Association functional class III or IV, LV Ejection Fraction $<35\%$ and left bundle branch block ($QRS \geq 120$ milliseconds), referred for implantation of a CRT device. The proposed protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee.
of the University of Sao Paulo Medical School and an informed consent was obtained from all study subjects and/or their families. The image acquisitions were performed at the Nuclear Medicine Department of the Heart Institute (InCor) HCFMUSP. All acquisitions were performed after the intravenous injection of 10 mCi of \([\text{technetium-99 m}]\) sestamibi at rest in a dual-head rotating gamma camera (ADAC Cardio-MD with a LEAP Collimator). The acquisition process is synchronized with the electrocardiogram and the cardiac cycle was divided into 8 frames/cycle. A total of 64 projections were obtained over a semicircular 180-deg orbit. All projection images were stored using a 64×64, 16-bit matrix. The transverse tomograms were reconstructed with a thickness of 1 pixel/slice (6.47 mm). The volume of transverse tomograms was reoriented, and sets of slices perpendicular to the long axis (short axis view) and of slices parallel to the long axis (vertical long axis view and horizontal long axis view) were created. For each patient the images were acquired in two different conditions: at rest and after pharmacological induced stress.

From the group of sixteen patients, eight were responders to the CRT (Group 1), and eight patients were nonresponders (Group 2). For each patient, the rest and stress data sets were analyzed before to and after CRT, respectively. This gave a total of 64 gated-SPECT data sets included in this analysis. Before the implantation of the CRT device, the clinical condition of the patients was assessed and they were subsequently scanned with three different image modalities: gated-SPECT, echocardiography, and gated blood pool imaging. The aim was to gain an estimated left ventricular Ejection Fraction (EF) from each image modality, for later use as a quantitative measure of the response. After a three-month follow-up, the patients were submitted to the same procedures as prior to CRT. The majority of patients improve immediately their EF or functional class post-CRT implant. Estimates of the EF from each image modality were acquired a second time and compared with the estimated baseline EF. A positive response to CRT was defined as an increase of at least 5 percent points in one or more of the three modalities in addition to a positive clinical assessment. Patients who showed a positive response are named responders, and the ones who did not are named nonresponders.

The method was also applied to image sets of thirty normal subjects (The normal subjects whose images were used in this work were part of a Research protocol approved by the Ethics comitée of the University of Sao Paulo Medical School.), whose acquisition protocol is the same as the one described for the patient images.

### 3. Results: Application of the Method to Investigate Some Aspects of CRT

#### 3.1. Results for Normal Subjects.

By analyzing normal left ventricles, the resulting visualization of the motion patterns can be compared with the motion expected from the heart physiology (seen in Figure 1).

As an example, Figure 4 shows the results obtained for one normal subject using the velocity color coding scheme. The Figure depicts the velocity images for the three movement directions in a slice from the midcavity portion at both diastole (line 1) and systole (line 2). Column (a) presents the images of the radial component, column (b) presents the images of the horizontal rotation component, and column (c) presents the images of the vertical component. The color table used in Figure 4 (as in the remaining figures of this text) is adjusted to the maximum value of each map.

#### 3.1.1. Radial Movement.

During systole, as the left ventricle ejects blood, the myocardium contracts starting at the apex and moving upwards to the base. Simultaneously, the septal and lateral walls move towards the center of the left ventricle. Therefore, the expected result in systole is the contraction which is presented in the Figure 4, line 2, column a. In this image, the contraction movement is represented by different tones of red, indicating the contraction with varying intensities. After ejection the heart enters the diastole, where the overall motion is opposite of the contraction. The expected colors are therefore also the opposite of the ones observed in systole. The results of a normal wall behavior can be observed in Figure 4, line 1, column a, where the expansion movement is depicted as different tones of blue.

#### 3.1.2. Horizontal Rotation.

The analysis of this movement is quite complicated. If one studies the anatomy and physiology of the subepicardial and subendocardial myofibres during both systole and diastole, it would be expected that images would show opposite rotations in the outer and inner sides of the myocardium. This could not be seen in any of the slices of any subjects. Instead, it seems like different rotary motions govern at different parts of the myocardium. The results in the midcavity slices form four corners, where opposing corners have movements with the same direction (see Figure 4, lines 1 and 2, column b). This pattern was similar in all normal left ventricles, hence it was assumed as the normal pattern in the horizontal motion. The results obtained show the expected opposite relationship between systole and diastole.

#### 3.1.3. Vertical Rotation.

In the ejection phase, the apex is pressed upwards during contraction to force the blood out through the aortic valve. The expected result of the vertical rotation in systole is therefore an upwards rotation, which is coded as red, this is also seen in Figure 4, line 2, column c. In diastole, the images show movement in the opposite direction.
3.2. Results for Patients

3.2.1. Radial Movement. In both groups of patients, the dyssynchrony of the movement can be observed in the radial movement. After CRT, Group 1 should achieve an improved synchronization in the radial motion. This can be seen in most of the analyzed patients, where improvement in synchrony between septal and lateral wall is detected in both systole and diastole. Figure 5 shows an example of such a patient.

Prior to CRT a dyssynchrony is present in form of a blue (expanding) septal wall and a red (contracting) lateral wall. In the image after CRT, an improved synchrony is visible; here the blue color in the circle is replaced by a weak red color. During diastole in Figure 5, only the lateral wall is expanding before CRT, as the septal wall was expanding in systole. After CRT a more synchronous expansion is detected. It is seen that the overall intensity of the movement is weaker when compared to the normal subjects. The analysis of synchrony in the Group 2 showed that there was no improvement in most of patients, as expected.

3.2.2. Horizontal Rotation. The images obtained before CRT present patterns quite different from the one assumed as the normal pattern. However, most of the results for Group 1 after CRT are like the pattern found for the normal left ventricles; an example is shown in Figure 6. The example in Figure 6 further shows that the desired opposite relationship between systole and diastole is present.

For Group 2 no such pattern in the horizontal rotation was detected. One patient showed a pattern similar to a normal pattern in systole, but a worsening in diastole, while others showed the opposite or a mixture of rotations. None of the patients had a similar pattern of improvement or deterioration in synchrony. The intensity of motion was similar prior to and after CRT in all patients in systole, but in diastole half of the patients had a high intensity in horizontal rotation before CRT, which decreased after CRT. This behavior of noticeable decreased velocity intensity values was not detected in the Group 1.

3.2.3. Vertical Rotation. A dyssynchrony in the vertical rotation is present in varying degrees in the results, which is expected for heart failure patients. Most of Group 1 patients showed an improvement after CRT. For Group 2, however, the normal pattern was hardly obtained even after CRT. In the case of horizontal rotation mentioned in the former section, some Group 2 patients had a high intensity in diastole. The same patients had a high intensity in the vertical rotation in diastole. As it was seen in the horizontal rotation, the intensity of the vertical rotation also decreased after CRT. Figure 7 presents the results for a Group 1 patient.
Figure 5: Radial motion of a Group1 patient. A slice from the midcavity is shown in systole and diastole, before and after CRT. In systole before CRT, the arrows indicate the septal and lateral walls. It can be noticed that in the septal wall, pixels present positive values—blue color—while in the lateral wall they present negative values—red color. The expected normal movement would be an overall contraction of the walls, represented by red color. Such movement can be seen in systole after CRT. The arrows in the figure indicate the global contraction movement depicted in tones of reds. The color scale is shown at the top right of the figure.

Figure 6: Horizontal motion of a Group1 patient. A slice from the midcavity is shown in systole and diastole, and before and after CRT. A movement pattern similar to the normal is seen after CRT in both systole and diastole. The color scale is shown at the top right of the figure.
3.3. Analysis of Radial Movement—Normal and Patient.

Table 1 presents the normalized mean velocity values for the radial motion of the anterior, inferior, septal, and lateral walls (Figure 1(a)) for the LV midcavity portion. It presents the values found for one normal subject and one patient of each group (responder and nonresponder).

The comparison between patients (Group1 and Group2) and normal subjects shows that not only the synchrony of the movement is compromised, but the intensity is seriously decreased in this set of patients, which reflects the impaired heart function. The numerical values representing the quantity of movement of the normal subjects are ten times higher than the patients. Although this quantity does not change considerably before and after the CRT, the responder patient presents an overall increase in the clinical conditions due to the fact that the synchrony of the movement has been restored. This fact can be seen in Table 1 by the change in the expected sign for the measurement. Patients from the Group2 did not present this improvement in synchrony.

4. Discussion and Conclusions

The analysis of the velocity field from cardiac volumes can give important clues about the dynamic events occurring during the cardiac cycle, which may help to understand how some treatments improve heart function. In this work, the results were presented in a slice of the short axis view and we proposed a scheme for displaying the wall movements which are displayed using a compressive color code that integrates orientation and intensity of the velocity vector at each voxel.

The most important feature of this method is its capability to evaluate LV motion in a more comprehensive way since it allows a regional analysis by assessing the movement in three predefined directions. Other techniques (like echocardiography and phase images derived from Fourier transform of radionuclide ventriculography or even gated single photon emission computed tomography) use previously defined points (or regions) and establish a comparison between them or evaluate indices that characterize global LV synchrony [2, 7, 10, 19].

In this study, the results from the normal subjects were used as the reference for normality in each of the directions. The representation of the velocity components in a color coded image has shown to be an effective tool for regional inspection of the LV wall movement that could improve the optimal site of LV electrode implant. Actually, the method allows a local analysis, since the results are obtained for each voxel of the volume. This is an important advantage of this method when compared to other global techniques such as phase and amplitude.

Table 1 shows a quantitative comparison of one data set obtained in normal controls, and two patients, one who responded to CRT and one nonresponder. A difference of one order of magnitude in the intensity of the movement on patients in relation to the normal subjects was observed. The evaluation of radial motion before CRT in a nonresponder patient (group 2) showed a movement pattern different from normal in both phases of the cardiac cycle. The responder (group 1) showed motion in the opposite direction from normal controls only in inferior and septal walls. This fact could suggest that responders are different from nonresponders...
before therapy. After therapy, the direction of the motion of inferior and lateral walls of the nonresponder became similar to normal controls, but not the direction of anterior and septal walls. The group 1 patient showed a normal motion pattern except in inferior wall after therapy. The qualitative and quantitative parameters obtained with this method could add information to a better selection of patients who would respond to TRC and provide a measurable tool to the follow-up in this population.

**Limitations**, the spherical coordinate system was chosen for calculating the orientation and intensity of the left ventricular motion. A key issue to the proposed scheme is the center of the spherical coordinate system since it is the reference point for the motions and therefore essential in the visualization of the velocity components. A change in center will influence both the intensity and orientation of the left ventricular motion. Choosing the center is difficult as it should be the exact point or axis from which the motion starts and ends. In the present work, the center was determined manually by a trained observer as the geometrical center of the LV.

Another limitation is the poor resolution of SPECT images that sometimes makes it difficult to analyze the movements. It must be added, however, that the proposed method is not applicable to nuclear medicine imaging only and can be extended to other modalities.

**Future Perspectives and Conclusions.** The results are preliminary indications obtained via a qualitative assessment. Quantitative indexes can be created based on these images that would be able to quantitatively assess both the effectiveness and prediction of CRT response. These indexes could be based on the creation of normal distributions of the velocity field for each direction. An alternative and elegant approach for defining quantitative tools for the analysis of the movement patterns is the creation of a functional bull’s eye [20–23]. Once the bull’s eyes of the described movement patterns have been built, many studies can be performed for the assessment of the patient’s condition. In order to find an index to predict response to CRT therapy, extensive clinical studies must be performed and involve the acquisition of a statistically significant number of images from normal subjects and patients.

In this study, the left ventricular three-wall movements were studied using a compressive color code that characterizes the integration of orientation and intensity of the velocity vector at each voxel. This new technique of myocardial synchronization assessment might be able to distinguish responder patients from the nonresponders and improve the follow up of patients who underwent CRT.
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Preliminary call for papers

The 2011 European Signal Processing Conference (EUSIPCO-2011) is the nineteenth in a series of conferences promoted by the European Association for Signal Processing (EURASIP, www.eurasip.org). This year edition will take place in Barcelona, capital city of Catalonia (Spain), and will be jointly organized by the Centre Tecnològic de Telecomunicacions de Catalunya (CTTC) and the Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya (UPC).

EUSIPCO-2011 will focus on key aspects of signal processing theory and applications as listed below. Acceptance of submissions will be based on quality, relevance and originality. Accepted papers will be published in the EUSIPCO proceedings and presented during the conference. Paper submissions, proposals for tutorials and proposals for special sessions are invited in, but not limited to, the following areas of interest.

Areas of Interest

- Audio and electro-acoustics.
- Design, implementation, and applications of signal processing systems.
- Multimedia signal processing and coding.
- Image and multidimensional signal processing.
- Sensor detection and estimation.
- Sensor array and multi-channel signal processing.
- Sensor fusion in networked systems.
- Signal processing for communications.
- Medical imaging and image analysis.
- Non-stationary, non-linear and non-Gaussian signal processing.

Submissions

Procedures to submit a paper and proposals for special sessions and tutorials will be detailed at www.eusipco2011.org. Submitted papers must be camera-ready, no more than 5 pages long, and conforming to the standard specified on the EUSIPCO 2011 web site. First authors who are registered students can participate in the best student paper competition.

Important Deadlines:

| Type of Submission                        | Deadline      |
|------------------------------------------|---------------|
| Proposals for special sessions           | 15 Dec 2010   |
| Proposals for tutorials                  | 18 Feb 2011   |
| Electronic submission of full papers     | 21 Feb 2011   |
| Notification of acceptance               | 23 May 2011   |
| Submission of camera-ready papers        | 6 Jun 2011    |

Webpage: www.eusipco2011.org