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Abstract

N6-methyladenosine (m6A) is the most abundant RNA modification of mammalian mRNAs and plays a vital role in many diseases, especially tumours. In recent years, m6A has become the topic of intense discussion in epigenetics. M6A modification is dynamically regulated by methyltransferases, demethylases and RNA-binding proteins. Ovarian cancer (OC) is a common but highly fatal malignancy in female. Increasing evidence shows that changes in m6A levels and the dysregulation of m6A regulators are associated with the occurrence, development or prognosis of OC. In this review, the latest studies on m6A and its regulators in OC have been summarized, and we focus on the key role of m6A modification in the development and progression of OC. Additionally, we also discuss the potential use of m6A modification and its regulators in the diagnosis and treatment of OC.
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Introduction

Ovarian cancer (OC) is one of the three major malignant tumours in gynecology, with the third highest incidence, followed by cervical cancer and endometrial cancer. However, due to the lack of early diagnosis methods, its mortality rate is the highest of all gynecological malignancies. Ovarian cancer is usually diagnosed at an advanced stage, so the prognosis is poor and the recurrence rate is high [1]. Although overall survival at all stages of OC has improved with advances in surgery, chemotherapy, and new immunotherapies, reliable biomarkers and therapeutic targets for the diagnosis of OC are still lacking.

The recent emergence of the new field of “epitranscriptomics” has provided a glimpse of the future. Epigenetic dysregulation is implicated in many diseases, especially cancer, and the chemical modification of RNA has attracted growing attention in the past few years [2–4]. Among all sorts of RNA modifications, m6A is the foremost common one. At the same time, it is not only the most rich internal chemical modification in mRNA, but also has the most significant influence on its dynamic regulation [5]. M6A is present in coding RNAs and non-coding RNAs (ncRNAs). The level of m6A modification, which is dynamic and reversible, is mainly regulated by three different types of regulatory factors, respectively, methyltransferases (“writers”), demethylases (“erasers”) and RNA-binding proteins (“readers”). By affecting different stages of mRNA life, m6A modification and related regulatory proteins play key roles in gene expression [6]. In addition, m6A perturbations mediated by these regulatory factors have been shown to regulate cell death and proliferation, leading to a variety of different human diseases [7–9]. Recent studies have also reported that a variety of m6A regulators are abnormally expressed in OC, so m6A methylation plays an important role in the occurrence and progression of OC.

In this review, we discuss the relationship between m6A modification, its regulators and ovarian cancer. We illustrate the relevance of m6A modification in the occurrence and development of OC and suggest that finding...
potential diagnostic markers and therapeutic targets for OC will become a reality.

Ovarian cancer (OC)
Ovarian cancer is a common gynecological malignancy that can occur at any age. Ovarian cancer in the female malignant tumor accounts for 2.5% but accounts for 5% of cancer deaths in that population. Ovarian cancer has the highest mortality rate among gynecological malignancies due to the difficulty of early diagnosis and the lack of effective treatment in late-stage cases [1, 10].

The ovarian tumor tissue composition is very complex, and the ovary is the organ with the most primary tumor types of all organs in the body. There are great differences in histological structure and biological behaviour among different types of ovarian cancer. The main histological types of ovarian cancers are epithelial tumors, sex cord-stromal tumors, germ cell tumors and metastatic tumors, among which epithelial tumors are the foremost common histological types, accounting for approximately 50%-70%. According to tumor cell histology, epithelial ovarian carcinoma is classified as serous carcinoma (52%), endometrioid carcinoma (10%), mucinous carcinoma (6%), clear cell carcinoma (6%) and other types [1]. According to the characteristics of clinicopathology and molecular genetics, epithelial OC can be classified into type I and type II, and there are some differences between the two types. Type I tumors grow slowly, are mostly stage I tumors clinically, and have good prognosis. Type II tumors grow rapidly, most of them are in an advanced stage, and the prognosis is poor [11].

Ovarian cancer is often asymptomatic in its early stages, and when clinical symptoms appear, it is usually in an advanced stage, by which time most OC has metastasized to other sites, such as the uterus, bilateral adnexa, and even pelvic organs [12]. Screening with serum cancer antigen 125 (CA-125) and transvaginal ultrasound (TVUS) also cannot significantly reduce OC mortality [13–15]. Currently, there is no recommended screening test for ovarian cancer.

Increasing evidence suggests that OC is the consequence of the interaction of genetics, epigenetics and transcriptomics [2–4], making it difficult to find an effective screening method. Understanding the molecular mechanisms of ovarian cancer development is critical to advancing diagnostic and treatment strategies, and researches on the identification and validation of specific biomarkers and therapeutic targets for OC treatment have great clinical significance. Epigenetic regulation may change gene expression to promote the development of OC [2]. Recently, many studies have found a relationship between m6A and the occurrence and development of OC.

Overview of m6A
M6A is the most prevalent internal modification of mRNA in many cell types. And its dynamic and reversible processes are regulated by m6A methyltransferases (“writers”), m6A demethylases (“erasers”), and m6A-binding proteins (“readers”). These regulators add, remove or recognize m6A-modified sites, while altering some biological processes accordingly (Fig. 1).

m6A writers
The m6A writers include methyltransferase-like 3 (METTL3), methyltransferase-like 14 (METTL14), RNA binding motif protein 15/15B (RBM15/15B), Wilm’s tumor 1-associated protein (WTAP), vir-like m6A methyltransferase-associated protein (VIRMA, also called KIAA1429) and zinc finger CCCH domain-containing protein 13 (ZC3H13), which are also know as m6A methyltransferases. METTL3 and METTL14 synergistically induce m6A. They form a steady METTL3-METTL14 heterodimer core complex [16, 17], where METTL3 acts primarily as a catalytic core, with structural support for METTL3 provided by METTL14 [18, 19]. WTAP, an adaptor protein interacting with mettl3 and mettl14, is important for the localization of METTL3 and METTL14 in nuclear speckles [17, 20]. RBM15/15B interacts with METTL3 in a WTAP-dependent way and helps recruit the complex for methylation at particular sites [21]. Moreover, other proteins, such as ZC3H13 [22] and VIRMA (KIAA1429) [23], are also required for m6A methylation and present in the m6A methyltransferase complex.

m6A “erasers”
The term “eraser” refers to the m6A demethylase, which mainly consists of fat mass and obesity-associated protein (FTO), and Alk B homolog 5 (ALKBH5). Demethylase proteins demonstrate reversible and dynamic regulation of m6A [24, 25]. Both their deficiency and overexpression alter intracellular M6A levels, and they affect some biological processes of tumour cells by selectively removing the m6A marker of targeted mRNAs through a series of complex intermediate reactions. FTO was the first m6A mRNA demethylase discovered, and both DNA and RNA are substrates for FTO-mediated demethylation [25]. In addition, studies have reported that dysregulation of FTO is also associated with obesity, growth retardation and other diseases [26–28]. Unlike the oxidative demethylation of FTO, ALKBH5 works by directly removing the methyl group from the methylated adenosine of m6A.
Furthermore, mRNA and other types of nuclear RNAs are substrates for ALBKH5 [29].

**m6A “readers”**
m6A readers usually refer to the m6A binding protein consisting of the YT521-B homology (YTH) domain family (YTHDC1, YTHDC2, YTHDF1, YTHDF2 and YTHDF3), heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein (HNRNP) family (HNRNPA2B1, HNRNPC and HNRNPG), insulin-like growth factor 2 mRNA binding proteins 1/2/3 (IGF2BP1/2/3), eukaryotic initiation factor 3 (eIF3) and so on, which play regulatory roles by binding to the m6A modification site [30].

YTHDC1, whose recognition site is mainly in the nucleus, is a unique nuclear m6A reader protein. YTHDC1 is a regulator of mRNA cleavage by recruitment and regulation of pre-mRNA splicing factors, such as arginine-rich splicing factors (SRSFs) [31]. YTHDC2 and YTHDF1/2/3 identify cytoplasmic m6A-modified mRNAs. YTHDC2 improves the translation efficiency and reduces the abundance of target mRNAs by recognizing m6A. YTHDC2 is extremely expressed in germ cells and plays a key part in spermatogenesis [32, 33]. YTHDF1 combines with translation initiation factor eIF3 and ribosomes, improves translation effectiveness by connection with translation machinery, and has been shown to regulate mRNA degradation [34]. YTHDF2 was the first to identify and bind m6A-containing RNA, which degrades m6A-modified transcripts is achieved by direct recruitment of the CCR4-NOT deadenylase complex [35, 36]. YTHDF3 synergizes with YTHDF1 to facilitate the translation of methylated RNA and simultaneously accelerates mRNA degradation by directly interacting with YTHDF2 [37, 38].

HNRNPA2B1 is capable of recognizing the m6A modification on the transcript specifically and activate the downstream variable shear events of some genes. Furthermore, it was shown that it promotes the development of mature miRNAs by interacting with DGCR8, a microRNA microprocessor complex protein, and thus HNRNPA2B1 acts as a nuclear m6A binding protein can influence microRNA biogenesis [39, 40]. HNRNPC is a rich nuclear RNA-binding protein that is in charge of recognizing m6A-modified RNA transcripts. Thus, it also has a role in regulating mRNA abundance and splicing [7].
The recognition of m6A by IGF2BPs and their corresponding influences upon gene regulation and tumour biology are dependent on their K homologous domains, and they contribute to the stabilisation and translation of target mRNAs through an m6A-dependent way. IGF2BPs can recruit HuR, an mRNA stabilizer, to keep m6A-containing mRNAs from being degraded while promoting their translation [41]. Eukaryotic initiation factor 3 (eIF3) has a vital function in the initiation of eukaryotic translation. What’s more, it promotes the translation of mRNAs by binding to the 5’UTR of m6A [42].

M6A and OC

As described above, methyltransferases, demethylases and reader proteins can play a role in regulating m6A modifications. And m6A methylation plays an important role in lots of biological processes through affecting the RNA metabolism, including RNA expression, translation, decay, splicing, nuclear transport and other roles [43–46]. In addition, growing evidence shows that m6A methylation regulators are associated with tumours, possibly as oncogenes and possibly as tumour suppressor genes, and are involved in both invasion, proliferation and metastasis of tumour cells [47]. Studies have shown that in glioblastoma, METTL3 promotes mRNA methylation for glioma stem-like cells maintenance and resistance to radiation [48, 49]. In pancreatic cancer, the level of m6A methylation is significantly increased. Studies have shown that the lack of METTL3 increases the sensitivity to anticancer drugs [50, 51], while the overexpression of ALKBH5 makes carcinous cells of pancreas sensitive to gemcitabine [52]. In hepatocellular carcinoma tissues, YTHDF1, KIAA1429 and WTAP are significantly upregulated. YTHDF1 plays a crucial part in the regulation of cell cycle and metabolism of hepatocellular carcinoma, while YTHDF2 exerts an inhibitory effect on hepatocellular carcinoma cells [53–55]. In breast cancer, the expression of METTL3 was positively correlated with the m6A expression level, both of which were up-regulated, which led to the upregulation of Bcl-2 expression, thus promoting tumour growth [56]. In addition, BNIP3 promotes cancer through demethylation catalyzed by FTO [55, 57], while ALKBH5 upregulates the expression of NANOG through demethylation of m6A and promotes the aggregation of breast cancer cells in the tumour microenvironment [58].

In cancers of the female reproductive system, decreased methylation of m6A has been found in endometrial cancer, which may be associated with METTL14 mutation or decreased expression of METTL3 [49]. In cervical cancer, the mRNA level of FTO was expressed at a higher level [49]. Recently, the role of m6A regulators in ovarian cancer has also been reported [59–62]. M6A regulators may play an important part in ovarian cancer. Changes in the expression of m6A regulators are associated with the malignancy and poor prognosis of OC. M6A regulators are promising as potential molecular therapeutic targets for ovarian cancer. Therefore, we summarized the main roles of some important m6A regulators in the development and progression of OC as follows (Table 1).

YTHDF1 in OC

As one of the m6A-modified reader proteins, YTHDF1 has been reported to actively promote protein synthesis by interacting with translation mechanisms, thus improving the translation efficiency of m6A-modified mRNAs [34]. Recently, it was found that YTHDF1 regulates the translation of eIF3C via an m6A-dependent way, thus affects the global protein translation in OC, enhancing protein synthesis and promoting the tumorigenesis of ovarian cancer cells [63]. eIF3C is a core subunit of eIF3, which is responsible for coordinating the interaction

| Regulators | Functions | Upregulated/ downregulated in OC | References |
|------------|-----------|---------------------------------|------------|
| YTHDF1     | YTHDF1-eIF3C axis | Uregulated | [63] |
| YTHDF2     | Inhibits the expression of miR-145 | Uregulated | [62] |
| IGF2BP1    | Affects classical oncogenes; promotes SRF expression; participates in Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway | Uregulated | [91–94, 97] |
| METTL3     | Stimulates AXL translation and EMT; participates in AKT signaling pathway | Uregulated | [103, 107, 108] |
| ALKBH5     | Activates NF-kB pathway; regulates autophagy initiation; regulates the content of metabolites | Uregulated | [61, 115, 118] |
| FTO        | Inhibits stemness characteristics; inhibits the hydrolysis of second messenger cAMP | Downregulated | [123, 126] |
| WTAP       | Associated with the worst overall survival (OS); relates to the regulation of the cell cycle and the target of MYC | Uregulated | [60] |
| FZD10      | Upregulates the Wnt/β-catenin pathway and HR DNA repair activity in BRCA1/2 mutant ovarian cancer cells | Uregulated | [142, 143] |
between initiator and ribosome for translation, and its translation regulation is involved in the development and progression of many tumours [64–67]. The change in gene expression at the translation level exert an important influence on the process of tumorigenesis [68], and translation control involves a variety of factors associated with the progression of cancer [69, 70].

EIF3C as a direct target of YTHDF1 in OC cells, there is a positive correlation between YTHDF1 and EIF3C protein expression in OC tissues, with increased expression of both. Through knockout of the YTHDF1 gene and some specific cell experiments, it was found that the deletion of YTHDF1 could induce apoptosis and weaken the migration and invasion abilities of OC cells. Similarly, studies have shown that EIF3C is also associated with cell growth, proliferation, and tumour invasion, and knockout EIF3C gene significantly inhibits these cellular properties. However, in YTHDF1-deficient OC cells, both migration and invasion are reconstructed after EIF3C overexpression [63]. In addition, the overexpression of YTHDF1 in ovarian cancer patients is associated with poor prognosis, thus poor prognosis for patients with high YTHDF1 expression [63].

The expression of the YTHDF1 gene is increased in many cancers [53, 71, 72], suggesting that the YTHDF1 gene may be an important oncogene. Liu et al. found the YTHDF1-eIF3C axis, which is critical to the progression of ovarian cancer and therefore promises to be a target for therapy [63].

**YTHDF2 in OC**

YTHDF2 can regulate the level of m6A in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), and miR-145 participates in the regulation of m6A mRNA by targeting YTHDF2 mRNA [73]. Similarly, recent studies on the expression of YTHDF2 in OC and its mechanism have identified miR-145 directly targets YTHDF2, and the two negative feedback mechanisms regulate the progression of OC through m6A modification [62]. In addition, miR-145 expression is decreased in various tumour cell lines, including breast cancer, cervical cancer and glioma [74–76].

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are endogenous non-coding RNAs widely present in eukaryotes and are transcribed from precursors of short hairpins [77]. MiRNAs inhibit gene expression after transcription by pairing with mRNA bases. Approximately half of miRNAs are targets of miRNAs [78, 79]. MiRNAs are post-transcriptional mediators of gene expression and regulation and play a vital part in tumorigenesis and cancer metastasis [74]. The methylation modification of RNA m6A is correlated with miRNA. First, miRNA targeting sites are enriched in m6A; second, the synthesis of miRNA depends on m6A methylation modification [39, 40]. Many studies have found that microRNAs participate in the progression of OC, in which miR-145 can be involved in the progression of ovarian cancer through regulating different pathways [80–82].

Li et al. showed that the YTHDF2 expression was higher in OC tissues than in normal ovarian tissues. Moreover, YTHDF2 was also associated with clinical stage and pathological grade of ovarian cancer, and the higher expression level of YTHDF2 in metastatic OC. Studies have indicated that YTHDF2 promotes the progression of ovarian cancer. The experiments in the above studies showed that knockdown of YTHDF2 gene resulted in decreased proliferative capacity, increased apoptosis and reduced migration of ovarian cancer cells. However, after YTHDF2 overexpression, the results were opposite, the overall mRNA level of m6A decreased [62]. Verified that YTHDF2, as an m6A reader, promoted the proliferation and migration of ovarian cancer cells by reducing the overall mRNA level of m6A in ovarian cancer cells, while inhibiting their apoptosis.

Li et al. concluded that YTHDF2 inhibits the expression of miR-145; that is, YTHDF2 is a miR-145 inhibitory protein that promotes proliferation and migration of OC cells [62].

**IGF2BP1 (IMP1) in OC**

IGF2BP1 is a highly conserved protein that binds RNA and influences the fate of its transcriptional target [83]. Expression of members of the IGF2BP family has been associated with multiple cancers [84–89]. IGF2BP1 may play a role in cancer by affecting classical oncogenes such as MYC and KRAS [90]. There is evidence that IGF2BP1 expression is increased in ovarian cancer cells cultured in vitro, while MYC expression is maintained [91]. IGF2BP1 is a conserved oncogenic factor in cancer [92]. Furthermore, its association with target mRNAs can be strengthened through m6A modification [41]. In ovarian cancer, IGF2BP1 enhances the phenotype of invasive tumour cells by antagonizing the expression of miRNA-impaired genes, and the increased expression of IGF2BP1 and most of the target mRNA regulated by its miRNA is related to poor prognosis and can promote tumour cells proliferation and metastasis [92].

The conserved target mRNA of IGF2BP1 encodes serum response factor (SRF) [93], a highly conserved and widely expressed transcription factor that participates in cellular properties such as tumour cell growth and migration in a signal- and cytoskeleton-dependent manner [94–96]. IGF2BP1 can inhibit miRNA-mediated degradation of target mRNA [92], that is, IGF2BP1 advances the expression of SRF in an m6A-dependent way through inhibiting miRNA-mediated down-regulation of SRF mRNA [93]. IGF2BP1 and SRF exert synergistic effects
in promoting tumour invasiveness. The abundance of SRF mRNA and protein decreased greatly after IGF2BP1 gene knockout in all cell lines. As a result, the deletion of IGF2BP1 in OC cells reduces the expression of SRF. In agreement, there is a significant and conservative correlation between IGF2BP1 and SRF expression in ovarian cancer. IGF2BP1 deletion disrupts with SRF/TCF- and SRF/MRTF-dependent transcriptional regulation in tumour cells through decreasing the richness of intracellular SRF [93]. TCFs and MRTFs are cofactors of signal regulation in coordination with SRF to regulate gene expression. Therefore, the enhanced gene expression of SRF/IGF2BP1 is the driving factor of tumorigenesis and promotes tumour growth and metastasis [92, 94]. SRF/IGF2BP1-dependent gene expression holds promise as a new cancer treatment strategy.

Furthermore, other studies have suggested that IGF2BP1 is a direct target of Wnt/β-catenin signalling and plays a crucial part in the progression of tumours [97]. IGF2BP3 (also known as IMP3), also expressed in ovarian cancer cells, is a part of the IGF2BP family, which is thought to be carcinogenic in many cancers [104–106]. METTL3 expression is upregulated in OC and is related to low overall survival [103]. Huang et al. found that METTL3 in influencing OC development, progression and prognosis of ovarian cancer [85, 87].

METTL3 (Methyltransferase-like 3) in OC
Abnormal regulation of METTL3 is associated with many aspects of tumour development. METTL3 regulates specific expression of tumour cells and even induces drug resistance through m6A modification. These effects are coordinated by stem cell self-renewal, miRNA processing, PI3K/AKT pathway and other ways [98]. Zhou et al. found METTL3 was abnormally expressed in renal carcinoma, and its expression was higher than that of normal tissue [99], while Deng et al. showed METTL3 has a tumour suppressive effect in colorectal cancer [100]. That said, whether METTL3 is cancer-inhibiting or cancer-promoting remains controversial.

Epithelial to mesenchymal transition (EMT) allows cells to acquire characteristics that make them susceptible to invasion and metastasis, and EMT is now seen as a pathological process leading to tumour progression [101, 102]. Huang et al. found that METTL3 in influencing OC development was achieved by stimulating AXL translation and EMT [103]. The receptor tyrosine kinase AXL is thought to be carcinogenic in many cancers [104–106]. METTL3 expression is upregulated in OC and is related to low overall survival [103].

As one of the “writers”, METTL3 participated in the initiation of the m6A modification process. Ma et al. indicated that METTL3 expresses highly is one of the reasons for the abnormally elevated m6A level, which is associated with degree of malignancy and survival time of OC patients [107]. Their study found that the overall m6A level of OC is appreciably higher than in neighbouring tissues and that METTL3 was strongly expressed in OC compared to METTL14 or WTAP. In OC cell lines, after METTL3 was knocked out, the proliferation, invasion and migration of cells in the METTL3-deficient group were significantly reduced, and cell apoptosis was accelerated. At the same time, METTL3 effectively down-regulated the overall m6A level, suggesting that METTL3 plays an oncogenic part in ovarian cancer [107].

A study by Liang et al. also showed that METTL3 gene knockout could significantly inhibit the development and progression of OC cells. METTL3 may play a carcinogenic part in OC cells through the AKT signalling pathway, and downregulation of METTL3 expression leads to reduced activation of the AKT signaling pathway in ovarian cancer cells [108]. AKT signalling promotes cell proliferation and survival, and it can regulate many biological processes [109].

Researchers have developed the small molecule STM2457, a bioavailable inhibitor of the m6A writer METTL3, to study the enzyme activity after targeting METTL3 in the context of the therapeutic potential of anti-leukemia strategy. The use of STM2457 can reduce the growth of acute myeloid leukaemia, revealing the inhibitory effect of METTL3 as a potential therapeutic strategy for tumours [110].

ALKBH5 (Human AlkB homolog H5) in OC
ALKBH5 is a kind of m6A demethylase. The effect of ALKBH5 in a variety of biological processes has been confirmed recently, including proliferation, invasion and metastasis [111–113]. Zhang et al. found that ALKBH5 expression is high in glioblastoma stem-like cells (GSCs), which maintain its tumorigenicity by maintaining the expression of FOXM1 and the cell proliferation [114]. In addition, ALKBH5 is also involved in the biological regulation of many cancers, such as ovarian cancer [61, 115], colon cancer [116], pancreatic cancer [52], and gastric cancer [117]. However, its specific mechanism is not very clear.

Jiang et al.’s study showed that ALKBH5 expression is positively related to the expression level of Toll-like receptor (TLR4). TLR4 is a kind of molecule that plays a part in the tumour microenvironment. High expression of TLR4 activated the NF-κB pathway, upregulated the ALKBH5 expression, and increased the level or expression of m6A and NANOG. NANO5 is a target of ALKBH5-mediated m6A modification. ALKBH5 may promote the development of ovarian cancer by demethylating NANOG [61]. These results showed that after the ALKBH5 gene was knocked out, OC cells showed a significant decrease in proliferative capacity and an increase in apoptosis. Therefore, ALKBH5 can accelerate
than in normal ovarian cancer tissues. FTO can inhibit self-renewal of ovarian CSCs and inhibit the occurrence of tumours in vivo, both of which depend on the activity of FTO demethylase. At the same time, the m6A RNA levels were elevated in OCSCs compared with non-OCSCs. In addition, overexpression of FTO led to upregulation of gene sets related to the immune response and RNA transcription pathways and downregulation of DNA repair pathways, stem cell signals and mRNA splicing. FTO overexpression inhibited the proliferation and tumorigenesis of OCSCs, and the deletion of FTO in ovarian cancer cells led to an increase in m6A levels, which induced cancer cells proliferation and colonies formation and promoted a CSC phenotype [123]. Experimentation confirmed that FTO inhibits the hydrolysis of second messenger cAMP mediated by two phosphodiesterase genes, PDE4B and PDE1C, which play key roles in maintaining the stemness phenotype of OC cells through reducing m6A level and the stability of mRNA transcripts, thus inducing an increase in second messenger cAMP levels. The cAMP pathway, as a target of FTO, is related to tumour inhibition. Changes in FTO and m6A expression are related to multiple pathways, including stem cell signalling and RNA transcription [123].

Sun et al. found that FTO expression levels differed between age groups, with the elderly group showing lower levels of FTO expression than the younger group. At the same time, they investigated the relationship between FTO and ovarian function and found that FTO decreased and m6A increased in the group with reduced ovarian reserve function, which was consistent with the trend of decreasing FTO levels in the older group [126]. Therefore, FTO is not only a tumour suppressor but also a potential indicator of ovarian function.

**FTO (fat mass and obesity-associated protein) in OC**

FTO, one of the m6A demethylases [119], links to a predisposition to obesity in children and adults [27]. Previous studies on FTO in cancer suggested that FTO plays a carcinogenic part in glioblastoma and leukemia. In glioblastoma and leukemia, FTO is overexpressed and promotes the occurrence and development of tumours [120–122]. However, FTO is a tumour suppressor in ovarian cancer.

Huang et al. found FTO is a tumour suppressor that inhibits the stemness characteristics of ovarian cancer stem cells (OCSCs) [123]. Normal tissue stem cells constitute a life-long cell reservoir with an active mechanism of self-renewal. CSCs are stem cell-like cancer cells with self-replication ability and multicellular differentiation, which can rebuild their original tumour grade in vivo and establish stable malignant phenotypes [124, 125].

Experiments have revealed that the expression of FTO was appreciably lower in OC cells and OCSCs than in normal ovarian cancer tissues. FTO can inhibit the proliferation and invasion and suppress the apoptosis of OC cells. The same results were obtained through in vivo experiments. ALKBH5 significantly promoted the occurrence of ovarian cancer in vivo [61]. Moreover, the analysis of Han et al. found that ALKBH5 had the highest occurrence frequency of copy number variants events [60].

Zhu et al. found that the expression of ALKBH5 is overexpression in OC, and that the ALKBH5 expression differ between early and advanced cancer tissues, with the latter being significantly higher than the former. Their study confirmed that ALKBH5 regulates autophagy initiation in ovarian cancer and that ALKBH5 knock-out activates autophagy. ALKBH5 is dependent on HuR to inhibit miR-7 and promote the expression of EGFR, and ALKBH5 regulates cell proliferation, metastasis and autophagy by EGFR-PI3K-AKT-mTOR pathway. In addition, ALKBH5 regulates Bcl-2 expression through modifying Bcl-2 mRNA with m6A, however, Bcl-2 binds to Beclin1 can inhibit autophagy [115].

Moreover, previous studies demonstrated that ALKBH5 participates in regulating contents of metabolites (such as lactic acid) in the tumour microenvironment, similarly tumour infiltration of myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) and T-regulatory cells (Tregs) through Mct4/Slc16a3. The absence of ALKBH5 allows for significant efficacy of anti-PD-1 therapy [118]. Anti-PD-1 therapy blocks negative signals and enhances the response of T cells to tumour antigens, which can make tumours sensitive to cancer immunotherapy. Therefore, ALKBH5 may be a promising therapeutic target to help improve cancer immunotherapy outcomes.

**WTAP (Wilms' tumor 1-associating protein) in OC**

The Wilms's tumor 1 (WT1) shows high levels of expression in leukemia and a variety of solid tumours, and is related to poor disease prognosis [127]. In addition, WTAP is a protein which is paired with WT1 [128]. In recent years, WTAP has been found to have an important effect in the occurrence or development of many malignancies. For example, WTAP is overexpressed in glioblastoma and regulates glioblastoma cell migration and invasion [129]. WTAP expression was also higher than normal in acute myeloid leukemia cells, supporting abnormal proliferation of leukemia cells and inducing differentiation arrest [130]. Similarly, WTAP also plays an important role in OC.

Han et al. showed that WTAP expression levels were significantly increased in OC tissues, and that OC patients had a very high frequency of copy number variants (CNVs) of m6A-regulated genes. The mRNA expression of m6A-related genes was markedly and positively
related to the CNV expression pattern, among which ALKBH5 ranked first and WTAP second in the frequency of CNV events. In terms of the prognosis of OC patients, the analysis found that the high expression levels of WTAP were markedly related to the worst overall survival (OS), and the m6A regulators expression levels, including FTO, ALKBH5, and YTHD1 domain family were also associated with the prognosis of OC [60]. Therefore, WTAP is highly likely to be a potential indicator of the prognosis of OC.

The WTAP highly expression is associated with the regulation of the cell cycle and the target of MYC [60]. It has been found that WTAP is an integral part of the processing mechanism of RNA and plays an important role in tumour development, such as in cell cycle regulation [131, 132]. The pairing gene Wilm's tumor 1 of WTAP is also considered to be an oncogene that induces MYC expression [133, 134].

FZD10 in OC
People who carry harmful heterozygous BRCA1 and BRCA2 gene mutations have a significantly increased risk of OC [135]. Both BRCA1 and BRCA2 proteins are required for the repair of DNA double-strand breaks by homologous recombination repair (HRR) [136, 137]. A lack of HRR often results in DNA changes, including the loss of genetic material [138, 139]. These mutations may contribute to the development or progression of cancer. PARP inhibitors (PARPi) are a kind of cancer therapy with synthetic lethality against BRCA1/2 mutant cells [137, 140, 141].

The results of Fukumoto et al. suggested that m6A level in the 3’UTR of FZD10 mRNA was significantly increased in PARPi-resistant cells, while FZD10 was upregulated. The increase in FZD10 modified by m6A correlates with the increase in FZD10 mRNA in drug-resistant cells. In PARPi-resistant cells, FZD10 is associated with increased Wnt/β-catenin target genes expression. What’s more, FZD10 is a typical receptor for Wnt/β-catenin signaling [142]. Inhibition of FZD10 can inhibit Wnt/β-catenin signal transduction and make PARPi-resistant cells sensitive to PARPi. Homologous recombination (HR) activity was appreciably elevated in PARPi-resistant cells, and FZD10 knockdown reduced Wnt/β-catenin target genes expression in PARPi-resistant cells and significantly reduced HR activity. The Wnt pathway regulated by FZD10 contributes to the increase in HR activity [143]. It was demonstrated that the m6A-modified FZD10 mRNA promoted PARPi resistance in BRCA1/2 mutant OC cells through upregulation of the Wnt/β-catenin pathway and HR DNA repair activity. Wnt signaling inhibitor synergistically inhibited PARPi-resistant cells with olaparib. In other words, m6A modification can be a novel mechanism of PARPi resistance, and inhibition of the Wnt/β-catenin pathway will be the key to overcoming PARPi resistance.

The expression of m6A demethylases FTO and ALKBH5 was also found to be downregulated in PARPi-resistant cells, that the knockout of ALKBH5 or FTO increased the expression of FZD10, and that the combined knockout of ALKBH5 and FTO further increased the expression of FZD10. Therefore, downregulation of FTO and ALKBH5 is involved in the upregulation of FZD10 mRNA [143].

Other m6A methylation regulators in OC: VIRMA, ZC3H13, RBM15, HNRNPC and so on
A previous study demonstrated that expression of m6A regulators are differential in OC and normal tissue, among which IGF2BP1, ZC3H13 and VIRMA are the most valuable in predicting prognosis in OC. All these three regulators are related to tumour pathways and Wnt signalling pathways [144].

Among the interactions between regulators and proteins, ZC3H13 has the strongest interaction with other proteins, and mainly interacts with the RNA polymerase II (POLR2) family, cyclin-dependent kinases (CDKs) family and mediator complex family. In addition, the IGF2BP1 and ZC3H13 expression showed an increase with age. Beyond that, in ovarian cancer, VIRMA expression was higher in OC staged as grade 3 than grade 2, which was statistically significant [144]. As elucidated by Wang et al., the YTHDF1 and RBM15 expression levels in the related dataset were also significantly correlated with pathological stages. Down-regulation of YTHDC1 expression and up-regulation of RBM15 expression are related to OC cells metastasis. HNRNPC is a predictor of paclitaxel resistance [145].

The ovarian cancer microenvironment sometimes determines the fate of OC cells and is also associated with the treatment and prognosis of OC [146]. The interaction between m6A regulators expression and the tumour microenvironment may affect the development and progression of OC. In terms of immune cell infiltration, IGF2BP1 and YTHDF1 expression were negatively related to B cells, dendritic cells, CD8+ T cells, and neutrophils infiltration. Likewise, ZC3H13. In addition, ZC3H13 expression was negatively related to CD4+ T cells and macrophages infiltration. And RBM15B expression was negatively related to the infiltration by dendritic cells, neutrophils, CD8+ T cells, and macrophages [145].

Conclusions and perspectives
Cancer is a threat to public health, and both developed and developing countries are very concerned about the high mortality rate of this disease. The treatment of cancer is still a challenge for researchers. Microtubules
are considered ideal targets for the development of anticancer drugs [147, 148]. Benzyldiene indoles have been designed and synthesized, and their anticancer activity is achieved through microtubule destabilization [149, 150]. 2-Methoxyestradiol (2ME2) association with microtubules has also been the focus of clinical trials focused on ovarian cancer [151]. In addition, many researchers are studying other anticancer drugs [152–154]. Recently, research in the field of epigenetics has become the topic of intense discussion [155], especially in tumour treatment. Expression of epigenetic regulators, namely, m6A regulators, is associated with the progression and prognosis of many tumours [156, 157]. Currently, accumulating studies have focused on m6A regulators expression in tumours. In this review, we found that many m6A regulators are abnormally expressed in OC (Table 1). In clinical applications, m6A methylation can be a promising target for cancer diagnosis or treatment as well as a prognostic of cancer. Therefore, m6A regulators may become a new biomarker for OC diagnosis and prognosis evaluation. The upregulation or downregulation of specific m6A methylation regulators is related to the occurrence of different tumours. For example, FTO mutation is associated with a high incidence of endometrial cancer, breast cancer, gastric cancer and so on [158–160]. In addition, the same m6A methyltransferase may not play the same role in different tumours [161, 162]. M6A methyltransferases, demethylases, and RNA-binding proteins are collectively known as m6A regulators. The study found that the m6A regulator was linked to the malignant degree and prognosis of ovarian cancer. OC is a common but deadly gynecological malignancy, and most patients are already in the advanced stage at diagnosis, with poor prognosis and high mortality [163]. OC mortality has fallen by more than 30% since the mid-1970s due to reduced incidence and improved treatment in recent decades, but because there are no specific early symptoms and no effective early detection strategies, survival rates beyond five years after diagnosis are less than 50% [1]. The study of m6A modifications and their regulatory expression in OC will allow us to better understand the association between m6A and OC and to develop a strategy for the treatment of OC by targeting m6A, its upstream regulators, or its downstream targets. Further research can also be conducted on signaling pathways [164, 165]. In this review, we discuss the abnormally expressed m6A regulators in OC, and describe their expression alterations, roles and prognostic value in OC. The expression of several specific m6A regulators is associated with tumour-related pathways, tumour metastasis and chemotherapy resistance [145]. This review hopes to provide new biomarkers for the occurrence, development or progression of OC and provide a new molecular therapeutic approach for the treatment of OC.

Targeting epigenetic mechanisms has become a promising new therapeutic strategy. Targeting the m6A RNA modification pathways has been shown to block the occurrence and progression of disease [110, 166]. Many RNA-modifying enzymes have been demonstrated to have a certain function in the occurrence or maintenance of different types of cancer, mainly depending on their catalytic activity [167]. The development of inhibitors of RNA-modifying enzymes will open an important and novel avenue for the treatment of tumours or other diseases. In addition, m6A is also associated with chromatin, and this interaction suggests a promising therapeutic approach. M6A has been shown to promote or disrupt the development or maintenance of tumour phenotypes [167].

In the future, m6A regulators could be used as diagnostic or prognostic targets for OC. However, the study of m6A in OC is still in its early beginnings. At present, in spite of the significant progress made in the field of m6A biology, many unknowns and challenges remain. The upstream regulators and downstream targets of some m6A regulators, as well as their carcinogenic or tumour suppressive mechanisms, remain unclear and need to be further studied. Further work is required to illuminate the underlying mechanisms of OC with respect to the causal association between mRNA m6A methylation and the development of OC. The upstream regulators of m6A regulators, or their downstream targets, will provide novel strategies for the treatment of OC, and there is still a long way to go before m6A-based cancer therapy can be applied clinically. In general, research progress in the field of m6A is helpful for the diagnosis, treatment and prognosis of OC.
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