Solution speciation and human serum protein binding of indium(III) complexes of 8-hydroxyquinoline, deferiprone and maltol
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Abstract
Solution speciation and serum protein binding of selected In(III) complexes bearing O,O and O,N donor sets were studied to provide comparative data for In(III) and analogous Ga(III) complexes. Aqueous stability of the In(III) complexes of maltol, deferiprone, 8-hydroxyquinoline (HQ) and 8-hydroxyquinoline-5-sulfonate (HQS) was characterized by a combined pH-potentiometric and UV–visible spectrophotometric approach. Formation of mono, bis and tris-ligand complexes was observed. The tris-ligand complexes of HQ (InQ3) and deferiprone (InD3) are present in solution in ca. 90% at 10 µM concentration at pH = 7.4, while the tris-maltolato complex (InM3) displays insufficient stability under these conditions. Binding towards human serum albumin (HSA) and (apo)transferrin ((apo)Tf) of InQ3, InD3 and InM3 complexes and Ga(III) analogue of InQ3 (GaQ3) together with InCl3 was investigated by a panel of methods: steady-state and time-resolved spectrofluorometry, UV–visible spectrophotometry and membrane ultrafiltration. Moderate binding of InQ3 to HSA was found (log \( K' \) = 5.0–5.1). InD3 binds to HSA to a much lower extent in comparison to InQ3. ApoTf is able to displace HQ, deferiprone and maltol effectively from their In(III) complexes. Protein binding of non-dissociated InQ3 was also observed at high complex-to-apoTf ratios. Studies conducted with the InQ3/GaQ3 – HSA – Tf ternary systems revealed the more pronounced Tf binding of In(III) via ligand release, while the original GaQ3 scaffold is preferably retained upon protein interactions and significant albumin binding occurs. Significant dissociation of InQ3 was detected in human blood serum as well.
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deferiprone · 3-Hydroxy-1,2-dimethylpyridin-4(1H)-one
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GaQ3 · Tris(8-Quinolinolato)gallium(III)
HEPES · 4-(2-Hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid
HMM · High molecular mass
HQ · 8-Hydroxyquinoline
Introduction

Numerous In(III) and Ga(III) complexes are applied as pharmaceutical agents in connection with their diagnostic and therapeutic properties. Indium-based agents containing $^{113m}$In(III) or $^{111}$In(III) have been used in diagnostic nuclear medicine for decades [1–3]. A number of In(III)-chelates have been tested for the selective delivery of $^{111}$In(III) to various tissues, the tris-ligand complex of 8-hydroxyquinoline (HQ) is the only indium containing product currently approved by the FDA for the detection and diagnosis of infections and inflammatory lesions [2, 4]. This HQ complex is not directly injected in the blood, extensive serum protein binding of In(III) necessitates standardized procedures where cellular elements of the blood (principally leukocytes) are separated and labelled with the complex outside the patient’s body and then returned to the circulatory system [2, 5]. The HQ scaffold is found in various metal complexes (e.g. Cu(II), Fe(III) or $^{89}$Zr(IV)) being developed for cancer therapy or diagnostics [6, 7]. Recently anticancer activity of In(III) complexes of thiosemicarbazones and 3-hydroxy-2-methyl-4H-pyran-4-one (maltol) was reported on HepG2 (IC$_{50}$ = 3.5 μM) and MDA-MB-231 (IC$_{50}$ = 32 μM) cell lines, respectively [8, 9] and numerous In(III)-porphyrin complexes were tested for use in photodynamic therapy as well showing promising results [10, 11].

Radiopharmaceuticals containing $^{67}$Ga and $^{68}$Ga isotopes are used in imaging for some cancer types, infections and inflammatory diseases [12]. Besides, citrate stabilized salt Ga(NO$_3$)$_3$ (Ganite™) is a clinically approved formulation for the treatment of cancer-related hypercalcaemia [13]. Tris(8-hydroxyquinolinolato)Ga(III) (GaQ$_3$, often referred as KP46 in the literature) and tris(maltolato)Ga(III) (GaM$_3$) are orally active antitumor metallo drugs recently undergone clinical trials [14, 15]. According to the hypothesized mechanism of action of GaM$_3$, Tf-receptor mediated uptake of Ga(III) takes place, and it inhibits the ribonucleotide reductase enzyme [12]. In the case of GaQ$_3$, Tf-receptor independent transport process is suggested and the complex activates a variety of mechanisms and pathways resulting in apoptotic cell death [16, 17].

Transferrin (Tf) is the most significant In(III) binding protein in blood serum and it is thought to compete effectively with chelate forming ligands like citrate, nitrilotriacetic acid (NTA), thiglycolic acid or HQ for the binding of In(III) ions [1, 18–21]. Ga(III) can bind to Tf as well, at the same time in vitro investigations conducted in our research group pointed out the impact of the chelate forming ligand on the competition between the ligand an this protein for Ga(III). Tf binding of Ga(III) predominates in the case of the less stable tris-maltolato complex and the original complex dissociates, while this process is less significant for the more stable GaQ$_3$ [22]. Another difference is, that the In(III)-Tf adduct can bind to the Tf-receptors of the cell membrane, however, in contrast to Ga(III)-Tf, cannot be internalized by cells [23]. This form of In(III) is not available for cellular uptake but can reach possible use in monitoring of vascular permeability [20, 23, 24].

There are some studies dedicated to the Tf binding of In(III) complexes, while thermodynamic interpretations of the observations are scarcely provided, and interaction with other serum components (e.g. low molecular mass (LMM) constituents of blood or HSA) is rarely considered [18, 20, 23, 25, 26]. The Tf binding of In(III) ion was thoroughly investigated by Harris et al. and Kulprathipanja et al. via ligand competition studies, where the competitor ligand was NTA and EDTA, respectively [18, 26]. At the same time, the In(III)-Tf binding constants determined by the two research groups differ in more than 10 orders of magnitude. The possible albumin binding of In(III) complexes was not reported yet, although in the case of GaQ$_3$ it was found, that the non-dissociated complex preferably binds to HSA under physiological conditions [22].

The available literature refers to somewhat different serum speciation of Ga(III) and In(III) complexes, which we found worth to investigate in more detail. In this work, our aim is to provide a detailed study on the serum speciation of a group of tris-ligand In(III) complexes, and compare these results to that of Ga(III) analogues. Therefore, we report the solution equilibria of In(III) complexes of HQ, 8-hydroxyquinoline-5-sulfonate (HQS), 3-hydroxy-1,2-dimethylpyridin-4(1H)-one (deferiprone) and maltol (see Chart 1 for the structures). Their stability is compared to that of the analogous Ga(III) complexes. Interaction of the tris-ligand complexes with LMM serum components such as citrate, oxalate and phosphate, and binding towards serum proteins Tf and HSA is investigated as well. Protein binding of the respective complexes was followed in binary and ternary systems and in blood serum by techniques such as steady-state and time-resolved fluorometry, UV–visible (UV–vis) spectrophotometry and membrane ultrafiltration–UV–vis.
to characterize the binding events and to provide a semi-quantitative description of the systems studied.

**Results and discussion**

**Solution stability of In(III) complexes of HQ, HQS and maltol**

To characterize the solution stability of the In(III) complexes, pH-potentiometric and UV–vis spectrophotometric titrations were performed in water in the presence of 0.2 M chloride ions. However, stability constants for the HQ complexes could be obtained only by the latter method due to their much worse water solubility compared to the other compounds. HQS was involved as a water-soluble analogue of HQ bearing identical coordination mode. Stability constants of deferiprone were also determined by both methods in the present work to validate our experimental setup and data treatment, since the In(III) – deferiprone system was already characterized by Orvig and collaborators [27]. The hydrolysis of In(III) and its interaction with chloride ions were taken into consideration, and stability constants of the hydroxo and chlorido complexes [28] were utilized for our calculations. As a first step, the proton dissociation constants ($K_a$) were determined for the ligands (Table 1) showing good agreement with the previously published data (see Table 1) [29–31]. The overall stability constants obtained for the octahedral In(III)-deferiprone complexes by both methods (Table 1) are fairly similar to those reported at 150 mM NaCl [27]. As deferiprone, maltol, the other $O,O$-donor bearing ligand, also forms $O,O$, bis and $O,N$-ligand complexes; although they possess significantly lower log$\beta$ values (Table 1) as it was observed for other metal ions such as Ga(III) [27, 29], Fe(III) [33, 34] or Zn(II) [31]. Complexes with the same stoichiometry were also formed with the $O,N$-donor containing HQS (Table 1). Notably, the determined overall stability constants obtained for the In(III) complexes of deferiprone, maltol and HQS by the two methods represent acceptable agreement. Stability constants for the HQ complexes (Table 1) were determined by the deconvolution of UV–vis spectra recorded at various pH values (Fig. 1a), and the computed molar absorbance spectra for the individual ligand and complex species are shown in Fig. 1b. Due to the lack of d-d or charge transfer bands, the molar absorbance spectra of the complexes represent similar $\lambda_{max}$ values to that of the completely deprotonated form of the ligand;

**Table 1** Logarithm of overall stability constants log$\beta$ for In(III) complexes formed with deferiprone, maltol, HQ and HQS with the p$K_a$ values of the ligands. $^a$ (L is the completely deprotonated form of the ligands.) $^b$ (−log([M] + [M(OH)$_{1--4}$]))$^c$ were computed at pH 7.4 at 1 μM In(II) or Ga(III) and 10 μM ligand concentrations. (Charges of the various species are omitted for clarity.)

| Method | Deferiprone | Maltol | HQS | HQ |
|--------|-------------|--------|-----|----|
| pH-pot | 3.66 ± 0.01$^c$ | 3.89 ± 0.02 | 4.99$^d$ |
| pH-pot | 9.72 ± 0.01$^c$ | 8.47 ± 0.01 | 9.41$^d$ |
| UV–vis | 3.57 ± 0.02 | 3.70 ± 0.04$^d$ | 4.90 ± 0.02$^d$ |
| UV–vis | 9.70 ± 0.02 | 8.39 ± 0.02$^c$ | 8.27 ± 0.04$^d$ | 9.63 ± 0.02$^d$ |
| pH-pot | 13.67 ± 0.04$^d$ | 11.03 ± 0.03 | 12.64 ± 0.05 |
| pH-pot | 23.50 ± 0.06$^d$ | 18.59 ± 0.03 | 21.31 ± 0.07 |
| pH-pot | 32.66 ± 0.08$^d$ | 24.38 ± 0.05 | 28.95 ± 0.09 |
| pH-pot | 13.72 ± 0.03 | 10.77 ± 0.03 | 12.99 ± 0.06 | 13.43 ± 0.06 |
| pH-pot | 23.88 ± 0.06 | 18.78 ± 0.03 | 21.18 ± 0.06 | 22.87 ± 0.03 |
| pH-pot | 33.43 ± 0.03 | 25.04 ± 0.06 | 29.66 ± 0.06 | 32.64 ± 0.06 |
| pH-pot | 7.95 | 6.01 | 7.93 | 8.18 |
| pH-pot | 8.83$^d$ | 6.00$^b$ | 7.92$^d$ | 7.86$^d$ |

$^a$Hydrolysis constants for In(III): log$\beta$ [InH$_{4+}$] = −4.30, log$\beta$ [InH$_{3+}$] = −9.40, log$\beta$ [InH$_{2+}$] = −13.90, log$\beta$ [InH$^+$_{1+}] = −23.40 [27]. Stability constants for In(III) chloride complexes: log$\beta$ [InCl$^+$] = 2.32, log$\beta$ [InCl$_2$] = 3.62, log$\beta$ [InCl$_3$] = 4.00 [28]. $^b$Chlorido complex formation is negligible at these conditions. $^c$p$K_a$ (HL) = 3.67, p$K_a$ (HL) = 9.77, $f_l$ = 0.2 M (KCI) Ref. [31]. $^d$Taken from Ref. [29]. $^e$p$K_a$ (HL) = 8.46, $f_l$ = 0.2 M (KNO$_3$) Ref. [30]. $^f$Taken from Ref. [29]. $^g$p$K_a$ (HL) = 9.75, f$_l$ = 0.2 M (KCI) Ref. [29]. $^h$log$\beta$ [InL] = 13.60, log$\beta$ [InL$_2$] = 29.64 ± 0.06. $^i$Computed based on stability constants taken from Ref. [27]. $^j$Computed on the basis of stability constants taken from Ref. [29], hydrolysis constants for Ga(III): log$\beta$ [GaH$_{4+}$] = −2.46, log$\beta$ [GaH$_{3+}$] = −5.92, log$\beta$ [GaH$_{2+}$] = −10.63, log$\beta$ [GaH$_{1+}$] = −16.87 [32].
although, the shape of the spectra is different and is quite similar to that was found for the Ga(III) complexes [29].

Based on the determined overall stability constants, concentration distribution curves were computed for the In(III) – HQ system (Fig. 1c) representing the predominant formation of [InL₃] complex (where L is the completely deprotonated form of the ligand) between pH 6 and 9.5. The same phenomenon was found for the analogous Ga(III) complexes [29]. Both metal ions form the tris-ligand complex at physiological pH, however, different isomers are present in solution, mer-isomers are predominant for Ga(III) while fac-complexes form with In(III) [35, 36]. The equilibrium concentration of the two tris-ligand complexes strongly depends on their total concentration as represented in Fig. 2. The fraction of the tris-ligand complex decreases somewhat more significantly with decreasing total concentration in the case of Ga(III). Despite the higher overall stability constants of Ga(III) complexes over the In(III) species, the stronger tendency of Ga(III) ions to hydrolysis results in a somewhat lower conditional stability at pH 7.4.

To compare the In(III) binding ability of the studied four ligands, pIn* (= negative decadic logarithm of the equilibrium concentration of the unbound metal species) values were calculated at pH 7.4 using the determined stability constants (Table 1). These values take into account the hydrolysis of the metal ions (Table 1, see Table S1 for pIn values) and can be compared to each other, which reveal the following stability trend for In(III): HQ > deferiprone, HQS > > maltol. Similarly, pGa* values were also calculated (Table 1) representing a somewhat different stability order: deferiprone > HQS, HQ > > maltol. The affinity of the studied ligands towards In(III) and Ga(III) do not differ considerably.

**Interaction of tris-ligand complexes with low molecular mass serum components**

As a first step, interaction of the tris-ligand In(III) complexes with various LMM blood serum components was investigated and compared to that of GaQ₃ studied formerly in our research group [22, 29]. The LMM compounds are considered to be more mobile than the high molecular mass components (HMM) such as proteins, and generally their reaction is characterized by faster kinetics. The role of serum
compounds might have influence on the distribution of the In(III) complexes since they may be responsible for (partial) displacement of the originally coordinated ligand and they can also form mixed-ligand complexes. The complexes of In(III) and Ga(III) formed with HQ (InQ3, GaQ3), deferiprone (InD3) and maltol (InM3) were prepared in an aqueous solution by mixing the respective ligand and the metal ion in 3:1 ratio. The interaction of the In(III) complexes with serum components was followed at pH 7.40 in 10 mM HEPES containing 100 mM NaCl and 25 mM NaHCO3. All the measurements in this work were performed at 25 °C as most of the stability constants used for the modeling calculations published in the literature were determined at this temperature. UV–vis spectra recorded for the tris-ligand complexes at 10 μM concentration (see Fig. S1a for InQ3) in this buffer show 94%, 90% and < 20% non-dissociated InQ3, InD3 and InM3, respectively, which corresponds well to the fractions obtained using the stability constants determined at 0.20 M KCl: 90% (InQ3), 86% (InD3) and 9% InM3 and 6% InM2+. While InQ3 is somewhat more stable in comparison to GaQ3 (86%) [29]. InM3 is not stable enough at physiologically relevant low micromolar concentrations; therefore, no detailed investigations were conducted with this complex.

In(III) ion, as a hard Lewis acid, favors oxygen-donor chelating ligands, therefore, the effect of phosphate (1.1 mM), citrate (98 uM), and oxalate (9.3 uM), on the stability of InQ3 was investigated which are present in the blood plasma in considerable concentrations [37]. It was found that the UV–vis spectra of InQ3 were moderately affected by the addition of the mixture containing citrate, phosphate and oxalate in physiological concentration, and ca. 80% of the tris-ligand complex is present in its original form (Fig. S1), while the addition of tenfold excess of these LMM ligands resulted in significant dissociation of the complex (ca. 34% complex remained). In comparison, the Ga(III) complexes GaQ3 and GaM3 were practically not affected by the addition of the same LMM competitors in tenfold excess; although, the effect of the LMM components was investigated separately and not via the use of their mixture [22].

### Interaction of InQ3 and InD3 with human serum albumin

HSA is a universal transporter and the most abundant plasma protein with a concentration of ca. 630 μM in human blood plasma. It possesses three hydrophobic binding sites located in subdomains II A, III A and II B, which are referred as the site I, II and III, respectively [38, 39]. There are metal-binding sites on HSA as well, e.g. multi-metal binding site, cysteine-34, and N-terminal site, however, these can provide coordination sites for metal ions of soft and borderline Lewis acid character (e.g. Hg(II), Pt(II), Cu(II), Zn(II)) [40, 41]. The binding of hard metal ions such as Al(III), Ga(III) and In(III) ions towards HSA are scarcely reported [20, 40]. This way, binding of the intact metal complexes on HSA via non-covalent bonds is more likely.

The effect of HSA on the intrinsic fluorescence of InQ3 and InD3 was studied. Similarly to GaQ3 and tris(8-hydroxyquinolinato)Al(III) [22, 42], InQ3 and InD3 are also fluorescent metal complexes (see Table 2 for λex values). We have found that the fluorescence of InQ3 does not overlap with that of HSA, which makes the interpretation of the measured emission spectra (Fig. 3) easier. The fluorescence intensity of InQ3 increased in the presence of HSA, and emission intensity values measured at 530 nm show a saturation character plotted against the added HSA equivalents. The interaction was found to be rapid as it took place within a few seconds. At the same time, UV–vis absorbance spectra of InQ3 are only slightly altered by the binding interaction. Enhanced fluorescence of InQ3, and fast binding kinetics confirm the binding of the non-dissociated metal complex to the protein via intermolecular interactions. Fluorimetric data can be excellently fitted with the computer program PSEQUAD [43] assuming a single site model (see Fig. 3), and a conditional binding constant of log K′ = 5.0 ± 0.1 was calculated for the HSA – InQ3 adduct. This constant indicates a moderate affinity of the complex on HSA. GaQ3 itself binds to HSA, but a considerably lower binding constant was determined for this complex (logK′ = 4.04), while GaM3 showed no interaction with this protein [22]. The fluorescence spectra of InD3 and HSA overlap significantly (see Fig. S2); although the intensities are additive, therefore, no considerable binding of InD3 on HSA is suggested. Ultrafiltration experiments revealed that only ca. 8% InD3 complex was bound to 2.5 equiv. protein and interestingly small

| Table 2 Excitation (λex) and emission maxima (λem) of InQ3 and GaQ3 complexes and fluorescence lifetime (τ) and amplitude (α) values alone and in the presence of HSA in various concentrations at pH = 7.40. {ccomplex = 10 μM; chsa = 2–10 μM; T = 25.0 °C; in 10 mM HEPES, 25 mM NaHCO3, 100 mM NaCl buffer}a |
|----------------------------------|------------|------------|
| InQ3                             | GaQ3       |
| λex (nm)                         | 254, 370   | 367c       |
| λem (nm)                         | 540        | 532c       |
| Fluorescence lifetime parameters of the complexes alone  |
| r1 (ns)                          | 0.80 ± 0.03| 0.54 ± 0.04|
| r2 (ns)                          | 1.41 ± 0.07| 1.23 ± 0.03|
| α1 (%)                           | 75 ± 4     | 57 ± 5     |
| α2 (%)                           | 25 ± 4     | 43 ± 5     |
| Two additional lifetime components in the presence of HSA |
| τ3 (ns)                          | 5.7 ± 0.3  | 5.0 ± 0.3  |
| τ4 (ns)                          | 13.8 ± 0.6 | 14.0 ± 0.2 |
| a Values are the average of at least three measurements. bData for InD3: λex = 295 nm, λem = 354 nm. cData taken from Refs. [22, 29] |
deferiprone liberation refers to the binding of ca. 7% In(III) ion to the protein in addition [20].

Binding at the site I hydrophobic pocket of HSA can be followed via the selective excitation ($\lambda_{EX} = 295$ nm) of the single Trp (Trp-214) located close to this site. Trp-214 is sensitive to the binding events taking place at or near this site. The InQ3 complex quenched effectively the fluorescence of HSA, and a quenching constant ($K'_Q$) could be computed based on the measured spectra: $\log K'_Q = 5.1 \pm 0.1$. This quenching constant is rather similar to the constant obtained from spectral data collected at $\lambda_{EX} = 370$ nm (vide supra), which strongly suggests the existence of a single binding site of InQ3 on HSA at the site I. The quenching of Trp-214 fluorescence most probably originates from (fluorescence) resonance energy transfer (FRET, or RET) mechanism, more details on this phenomenon can be found in Fig. S3 [44].

The fluorescence lifetime of InQ3 was determined in the absence and presence of HSA. InQ3 possesses a bi-exponential fluorescence decay characterized by $\tau_1 = 0.79 \pm 0.03$ ns and $\tau_2 = 1.39 \pm 0.05$ ns; and the amplitudes ($\alpha_i$) of the two components practically did not depend on the applied concentration of the complex in the $5-20$ μM range. GaQ3 behaves similarly (Table 2), while lifetimes of InD3 were too short ($\tau \leq 0.2$ ns) for accurate determination with our instrument. By the addition of HSA to the complex, two additional lifetime components appeared for InQ3: $\tau_3 = 5.7 \pm 0.3$ ns and $\tau_4 = 13.8 \pm 0.6$ ns, which correspond to the HSA bound forms. The two ‘bound’ lifetimes do not consequently mean the presence of two distinct binding sites, but possibly reflects the bi-exponential decay of the complex [45]. The GaQ3 - HSA system could be characterized with similar lifetime parameters (Table 2).

**Interaction of InQ3 and InD3 with human transferrin**

Transferrin is the major iron transporter in blood and consists of two domains each possessing an iron-binding site called C- and N-terminal sites. Tf is not completely saturated with Fe(III) under physiological conditions; and approximately 70% of the binding sites are available for various metal ions. The binding of several metal ions to apo-transferrin (apoTf, iron-free Tf) has been investigated and trivalent metal ions, e.g. Al(III), Ga(III), In(III) or lanthanide ions, can bind with high affinity at the iron-binding sites [19, 23, 37, 46, 47]. Interaction of metal complexes with (apo)Tf, if it takes place, is most likely competition between the original ligand(s) and (apo)Tf for the metal ion.

Firstly, the kinetics of the reaction between apoTf and InCl3 and the complexes was studied by UV–vis spectrophotometry. The reaction of 2 equiv. InCl3 with apoTf took ca. 2 h, and the presence of excess citrate (10 equiv. to InCl3) did not considerably increase the reaction rate (Fig. S4). These observations and spectral changes displayed by apoTf in the presence of In(III) ions (Fig. S4) agree well with the findings of Battistuzzi et al. [25]. At the same time Harris et al. have observed slow equilibration with InCl3, that was accelerated (to 6 h) in the presence of NTA [18]. In the case of InQ3 and InD3, the progress of apoTf binding could be followed through the ligand bands of the complexes. The equilibrium was reached in ca. 3–4 h and 0.5 h for InQ3 and InD3, respectively. The Ga(III)-analogue GaQ3 interacted within 1 h with apoTf under similar conditions [22].

Fluorescence quenching is a convenient technique to study the binding of metal ions at the iron-binding sites of apoTf since it results in reduced fluorescence intensity of Tf. Batch samples containing 1 μM apoTf and various amounts of In(III) compounds were prepared. As Fig. 4a shows, the addition of InD3 quenched the fluorescence intensity of apoTf, the emission maximum was slightly red shifted and the emission stabilized at ca. 83% of the initial intensity. The quenching curve shown at $\lambda_{EM} = 330$ nm (inset of Fig. 4a) possesses a definite breakpoint at complex-to-Tf ratio of ca. 2, which suggests the competitiveness of Tf with deferiprone for the binding of In(III). Rather similar behaviour was observed for InCl3 and InM3, which later is almost completely dissociated at this concentration (Fig. 4b). The partial (and not complete) quenching of apoTf can be explained by the presence of numerous Tyr (26) and Trp (8) residues in the protein among which only a few are affected by the binding of In(III) at the iron-binding sites [48]. Upon addition of InQ3, the quenching curve is more stretched and aims to lower intensities (Fig. 4b). Interaction of the non-dissociated
complex InQ₃ with apoTf cannot be excluded, the latter proposed interaction is realized via intermolecular binding mode and more likely takes place on site(s) other than the iron-binding pockets (vide infra).

Complex InQ₃ has a characteristic absorbance band at 370 nm and it is fluorescent as well, while ligand HQ absorbs light at shorter wavelengths (λ_max = 305 nm) at pH 7.4 and displays negligible fluorescence. Therefore, UV–vis and fluorescence emission spectra of InQ₃ were recorded in the presence of increasing amounts of apoTf (Fig. 5a, b). After a short initial phase, the absorbance band of InQ₃ is reduced gradually by the addition of the protein, while the development of the new band at lower wavelengths (at ca. 310 nm) refers to the liberation of HQ. Namely, there is a competition for In(III) ion between the ligand and the iron-binding sites of apoTf. Absorbance values depicted at 370 nm and 310 nm (Fig. 5c) saturate roughly to the corresponding values of the free ligand and outline a sigmooidal shape. Fluorescence intensity of the same sample set (Fig. 5b, d) was enhanced and moderately blue shifted (from λ_max = 540 nm to 532 nm) until the addition of ca. 0.2 equiv. apoTf. Similar tendency was observed for InQ₃ when it was plotted against the ratio c_{compound} / c_{apoTf}. {c_{apoTf} = 1 μM; c_{compound} = 0 – ca. 30 μM; λ_{EX} = 280 nm; pH 7.40 equilibration time: 1 h (InD₃), 3 h (InCl₃, InM₃), 6 h (InQ₃)}

Fig. 4  a Fluorescence emission spectra of apoTf in the presence of various amounts of InD₃, inset shows the intensity changes at 330 nm. b Comparison of the fluorescence intensities of apoTf by addition of InCl₃ (■), InM₃ (●) InD₃ (♦) and InQ₃ (▲) at 330 nm plotted against the ratio c_{compound} / c_{apoTf}. {c_{apoTf} = 1 μM, c_{compound} = 0 – ca. 30 μM; λ_{EX} = 280 nm; pH 7.40 equilibration time: 1 h (InD₃), 3 h (InCl₃, InM₃), 6 h (InQ₃)}

Fig. 5  a UV–vis absorbance and b fluorescence emission spectra recorded for InQ₃ in the absence and presence of various amounts of apoTf, the spectrum of free HQ (red dashed line) is plotted as well. c Changes of the absorbance at 310 nm (■) and 370 nm (▲) (red dashed and blue dotted lines denote the absorbance belonging to free HQ at 310 nm and 370 nm, respectively). d Fluorescence intensity at λ_{EM} = 530 nm of the same system (♦) (while the green dashed line shows the emission intensity of HQ). All the absorbance spectra are subtracted by the spectrum of apoTf. {c_{complex} = 19 μM; c_{apoTf} = 0 – 30 μM; c_{HQ} = 57 μM; λ_{EX} = 367 nm (c); ℓ = 2 cm (a, c), 1 × 1 cm (b, d); pH = 7.40, equilibration time: 6 h}
titrated by HSA (vide supra, Fig. 3). Then, by the addition of more apoTf, intensities decreased and λ\textsubscript{max} shifted further to shorter wavelengths (527 nm). The interaction between apoTf and dissociated HQ can be excluded since no this kind of spectral changes could be observed for HQ – apoTf samples. Based on this and the results of apoTf quenching (vide supra) protein binding of the non-dissociated complex is presumed. This is further supported by fluorescence lifetime measurements; where new lifetime components τ\textsubscript{3} = 4.7 ± 0.2 ns and τ\textsubscript{4} = 13.8 ± 0.4 ns appeared for InQ\textsubscript{3} in the presence of 0.1 – 0.3 equiv. apoTf (after 1 min waiting time). These values are fairly similar to those observed for the InQ\textsubscript{3} – HSA system (cf. τ\textsubscript{3} = 5.7 ns, τ\textsubscript{4} = 13.8 ns). In all, binding of the intact complex to apoTf is apparent at high complex excess preventing partly the coordination of In(III) at the iron-binding sites, then the latter process becomes more pronounced at higher apoTf concentrations. Samples were prepared also with Tf (loaded with iron in ca. 20 – 40%). Here, the sigmoidal shape of the UV–vis absorbance was seen again (Fig. S5) and the shifted inflection point in comparison to the titration with apoTf underlines the lower In(III) binding capacity of Tf. Interestingly, the fluorescence of these samples was practically unaltered till the addition of ca. 0.3 equiv. Tf. Binding of GaQ\textsubscript{3} via intermolecular interactions to apoTf and Tf was also investigated for comparative reasons in this work, however, no such binding was observed.

In the case of InD\textsubscript{3}, the absorbance bands of the metal complex and apoTf overlap in great extent, however, spectra can be deconvoluted to the spectra of InD\textsubscript{3}, deferiprone and apoTf (see Fig S6 for details), and molar fractions of the respective species can be calculated (Fig. 6). Ultrafiltration experiments were carried out as well for the InD\textsubscript{3} – apoTf system and the non-protein bound LMM fractions were analyzed by UV–vis spectrophotometry (Fig. 6). These ultrafiltration measurements corroborate the findings of the spectrophotometric assay. The complex dissociated in line with the addition of apoTf and a practically quantitative displacement of deferiprone seems to take place by the addition of ca. 0.5 equiv. apoTf. Namely, both of the iron-binding sites participate in the binding of the In(III) ion.

Noteworthy, the competitiveness of apoTf for In(III) with the ligands deferiprone and HQ seems to be more significant as it would be assumed on the basis of stability constants reported for the In(III) – Tf system by Harris et al. (log K\textsubscript{In-Tf} = 18.74 and log K\textsubscript{In\textsubscript{2}-Tf} = 16.86) [18]. Our results suggest about 2–3 orders of magnitude higher stability constants for the latter system under the conditions applied for the studies.

**Speciation of InQ\textsubscript{3} and GaQ\textsubscript{3} in the presence of both serum proteins and in serum**

The reliability of UV–vis and fluorescence spectroscopic techniques in the characterization of the mode of interaction of In(III) complexes with HSA and (apo)Tf was proven in the former sections. Therefore, as a continuation three-dimensional fluorescence and UV–vis spectra were recorded for InQ\textsubscript{3} and GaQ\textsubscript{3} in the presence of both HSA and Tf (Figs. 7 and S7).

In these experiments, the applied HSA-to-Tf ratio corresponds to their physiological ratio in blood serum (630:38). Figure 8 depicts the intensity changes of the ternary system (complex and both proteins) for InQ\textsubscript{3} at λ\textsubscript{EX} = 367 nm and λ\textsubscript{EM} = 530 nm in comparison to the respective binary systems (complex and only one type of protein). Apparently, albumin binding of the complex is indeed achieved in the ternary system, although to a lower extent compared to the InQ\textsubscript{3} – HSA binary system, and the Tf binding of In(III) becomes more significant at higher protein concentrations. Consequently, the measured intensity reduces parallel with the decreasing (free and albumin bound) InQ\textsubscript{3} concentration. As Fig. 7 shows, GaQ\textsubscript{3} and InQ\textsubscript{3} complexes behave similarly at smaller protein concentrations added, and the moderate emission intensity of both complexes (10 μM) is increased by the addition of a mixture of HSA (5.2 equiv.) and Tf (0.32 equiv.). Based on these spectra, InQ\textsubscript{3} and GaQ\textsubscript{3} are at least partly HSA-bound. However, minor dissociation of the complexes is evidenced by the UV–vis spectra (Fig. S7) even at this ratio that is more advanced for InQ\textsubscript{3} than for GaQ\textsubscript{3} (ca. 30% and 10% metal ion is Tf bound, respectively). The differences between the two complexes become more pronounced by getting closer to the physiologically relevant protein concentrations. In the presence of 212 μM HSA and 12.8 μM Tf (ratio: 1:21.2:1.28), the fluorescence of InQ\textsubscript{3} is diminished, as most of the complex decomposes and In(III) is bound to Tf. On the other hand, the GaQ\textsubscript{3} containing sample is still highly fluorescent revealing significant albumin binding of the non-dissociated complex. UV–vis spectra confirmed the more moderate (25%) dissociation of GaQ\textsubscript{3} at
this ratio compared to the practically completely dissociated \( \text{InQ}_3 \) (Fig. S7). To mimic the blood protein concentrations, three-times higher values would be needed; however, under that conditions fluorometric detection becomes inadequate due to the too high absorbance and light scattering of the samples.

With this knowledge, samples prepared in blood serum were studied by UV–vis spectrometry to count the significance of HMM and LMM serum components in the speciation of the complexes (Figs. 9 and S8). Unfortunately, fluorescence spectra could not be recorded due to the significant light scattering of the samples. Interestingly, the chemical equilibrium was reached within 10 min between 10 \( \mu \)M \( \text{GaQ}_3 \) and threefold diluted serum based on the recorded UV–vis spectra, while 4 h was needed when \( \text{InQ}_3 \) was studied under the same conditions (Fig. S8). UV–vis spectra

---

**Fig. 7** Three-dimensional fluorescence spectra recorded for \( \text{InQ}_3 \)–HSA–Tf and \( \text{GaQ}_3 \)–HSA–Tf ternary systems at the indicated compositions. Numbers indicate the complex-to-HSA-to-Tf ratios. The ratio of the two proteins corresponds to their physiological ratio in the blood serum. \( \{c_{\text{InQ}_3}=c_{\text{GaQ}_3}=10 \, \mu \text{M}, \, c_{\text{HSA}}=0–212 \, \mu \text{M}; \, c_{\text{Tf}}=0–12.8 \, \mu \text{M}; \, \text{pH}=7.40, \, \text{equilibration time: } 6 \, \text{h}; \, \text{spectra are corrected by self-absorbance and inner filter effect}\} 

**Fig. 8** Changes of fluorescence intensity in the \( \text{InQ}_3 \)–HSA–Tf (■), \( \text{InQ}_3 \)–Tf (●) and \( \text{InQ}_3 \)–HSA (♦,−−) ternary systems normalized for the emission intensity of the complex at \( \lambda_{\text{Em}}=550 \, \text{nm} \). Plotted values are derived from Fig. 7 (ternary system), Figure S5 (Tf) and Fig. 3 and the computed binding constant \( (\log K'=5.1) \) calculated for the \( \text{InQ}_3 \)–HSA system. \( \{c_{\text{InQ}_3}=10 \, \mu \text{M}; \, \text{pH}=7.40; \, \lambda_{\text{Ex}}=367 \, \text{nm}; \, \text{equilibration time: } 6 \, \text{h}; \, \text{spectra are corrected by self-absorbance and inner filter effect}\} 

**Fig. 9** UV–vis absorbance spectra recorded for \( \text{InQ}_3 \) (a) and \( \text{GaQ}_3 \) (b) in the absence (black lines) and presence of threefold diluted blood serum (blue lines) plotted together with the spectrum of free HQ (grey dotted line). Absorbance spectra of the mixtures are subtracted by the spectrum of blood serum. \( \{c_{\text{complexes}}=10 \, \mu \text{M}; \, \text{blood serum: filtered on } 1.2 \, \mu \text{m filter and threefold diluted with buffer}; \, \ell=1 \, \text{cm}; \, \text{pH}=7.40, \, \text{equilibration time: } 6 \, \text{h}\} 

---
in Fig. 9 resemble the behaviour observed for the complex – HSA – Tf ternary systems (Fig. 7) with some important differences. First, the $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ of GaQ$_3$ not only decreased slightly but also shifted to 382 nm in blood serum, while the absorption at 310 nm (that corresponds to the free ligand) did not increase in line with the decrease of the former band. This refers to the altered coordination sphere of the metal complex. With InQ$_3$ the complex dissociation is more pronounced (see increasing absorption at 310 nm); at the same time, the highly reduced complex band also shifts to 385 nm. Keeping in mind the difficulties of interpretation of UV–vis spectroscopic data obtained in complex matrices, some assumptions can be made relying on the results of UV–vis spectroscopic data obtained in complex matrices. Significant Tf binding of In(III) in blood serum is likely, while GaQ$_3$ interacts less with this protein. The complex band was shifted only in serum samples; the presence of HQ – Fe(II)/(III) or Cu(II) complexes can be excluded on the basis of the obtained spectra [49, 50]. Formation of HQ – Mg(II) complex is not feasible even at physiological Mg(II) ion levels (0.7–1.0 mM) based on the available stability constants [51]. Zn(II) ions form higher stability complexes with HQ as Mg(II) [51, 52] and could compete for HQ with In(III) or Ga(III) if its total blood plasma concentration (10–28 µM) would be freely available. Although Zn(II) ions form complexes rapidly in general [53], the vast majority of this metal ion is bound to HMM (α-1 antitrypsin, HSA) and LMM serum components (histidine) in blood [54], therefore, the formation of Zn(II) – HQ complexes is not likely. The presence of mixed-ligand In(III)/Ga(III) – HQ complexes is assumed with e.g. amino acids or small peptides.

Noteworthy, In(III) – Tf binding constants are smaller than those of Ga(III), at the same time, the stronger hydrolysis of Ga(III) ions at pH 7.4 in comparison to In(III) ions leads to a reversal in apparent stability trend under the conditions applied. Our results suggest somewhat higher In(III)/Tf binding constants under the present conditions in comparison to the values reported by Harris et al. [18]. This way it is no surprise that complex InQ$_3$ seems to be more prone to dissociate upon interaction with Tf (even in blood serum) in comparison to GaQ$_3$.

Conclusions

Solution speciation of In(III) complexes of four bidentate ligands (maltol, deferiprone, HQ and HQS) was studied in pure water in the presence of 0.20 M chloride ions. On the basis of the determined stability constants, tris-ligand complexes predominate at pH 7.4 in most cases and their stability follows the trend of HQ > deferiprone, HQS > > maltol. Despite the higher overall stability constants of the tris-HQ complex formed with Ga(III) over In(III), the stronger hydrolysis tendency of Ga(III) ions results in a somewhat lower conditional stability at pH 7.4. Directly measured and calculated fractions of the tris-ligand complexes at 10 µM concentration and at pH 7.4 reveal very low stability for the tris-maltolato complex (<20%), while non-dissociated InQ$_3$ and InD$_3$ are present in solution in ca. 90%. InQ$_3$ displays reasonable stability (80% tris-ligand complex) in the presence of low molecular mass chelate forming ligands of blood plasma such as citrate, oxalate and phosphate.

Binding of InCl$_3$ and the tris-ligand complexes InD$_3$, InM$_3$, InQ$_3$ and its Ga(III) analogue GaQ$_3$ to human serum albumin and human transferrin was monitored by steady-state and time-resolved spectrofluorometry, UV–vis spectrophotometry and membrane ultrafiltration. Our results revealed the moderate binding of InQ$_3$ to HSA (log$K'$ = 5.0–5.1). This binding constant is one order of magnitude higher than that reported for the GaQ$_3$ – HSA interaction. The fluorescence lifetimes of InQ$_3$ and GaQ$_3$ were determined and bi-exponential decays for the free and albumin-bound forms were found, respectively. The more hydrophilic InD$_3$ can bind in a much lower extent to HSA. ApoTf can displace HQ, deferiprone and maltol effectively from their In(III) complexes. Substitution of deferiprone and maltol occurs to a much higher extent compared to that of HQ, while Tf binding of non-dissociated InQ$_3$ was also observed at high complex-to-Tf ratios, which could not be detected for GaQ$_3$. Studies conducted with the InQ$_3$/GaQ$_3$ – HSA – Tf ternary systems reveal the more pronounced Tf binding of In(III), while Ga(III) remains preferably in the GaQ$_3$ complex and binds to HSA to a greater extent. In human blood serum, a very similar role of Tf is presumed, and the remaining complexes may interact partly with LMM serum constituents. This behavior explains why $^{111}$InQ$_3$ cannot be administered into the blood directly and a previous blood cell labelling procedure is necessary, while circulating GaQ$_3$, at least partly, can reach the target cells.

Experimental

Chemicals

InCl$_3$, deferiprone, HQ, HQS, maltol, citric acid, oxalic acid, 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid (HEPES), HSA (product Nr.: A8763, essentially globulin free), apoTf (iron-free form, T2036), Tf containing physiological amount of iron (product Nr.: T3309, loaded with Fe(III) in 20–40%) and human serum (from male AB plasma, H4522) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Inorganic chemicals such as KOH, KCl, HCl, NaCl, NaH$_2$PO$_4$, NaHCO$_3$ were products of Molar Chemicals or Reanal.
Stock solutions and sample preparation

For the preparation of stock solutions and samples, Milli-Q water was used. Exact concentration of ligand stock solutions was determined via pH-potentiometric titrations (vide infra). InCl₃ and GaCl₃ stock solutions were prepared by the dissolution of the anhydrous salts in a known amount of HCl, and the concentration was determined by complexometry via the EDTA complexes. Stock solutions of the tris-ligand In(III) and Ga(III) complexes were prepared by mixing the metal ion and the corresponding ligand in a 1:3 ratio in water, $c_{\text{complex}} = 30–200 \mu$M. Protein stock solutions were prepared in 10 mM HEPES buffer containing 100 mM NaCl and 25 mM NaHCO₃ (pH = 7.40) and their concentration was calculated on the basis of their UV–Vis absorbance:

$$
\varepsilon_{280 \text{ nm}}(\text{HSA}) = 36,850 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1},
\varepsilon_{278 \text{ nm}}(\text{apoTf}) = 92,300 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1} \quad [55, 56].
$$

The concentration of Tf stock solutions was determined based on the average molar weight reported by the producer and calculated molar absorbance at 280 nm fall between the reported values of apoTf and holoTf [57]. Serum was filtered on 1.2 μm polyethersulfone syringe filters (OlimPeak, Teknokroma) and diluted with the buffer. The same buffer was used for sample preparation in protein binding studies. Samples containing the complexes InQ₃, InD₃ or InCl₃ and apoTf or Tf were incubated for 6 h, 1 h and 3 h, respectively. All samples were incubated at room temperature.

pH-potentiometry

The pH-potentiometric measurements for the determination of the proton dissociation constants of the ligands (HQS, maltol, deferiprone) and overall stability constants of their In(III) complexes were carried out at 25.0 ± 0.1 °C in water at an ionic strength of 0.20 M (KCl, Sigma-Aldrich). The titrations were performed with a carbonate-free KOH solution of known concentration (0.20 M). An Orion 710A pH-meter equipped with a Metrohm combined electrode (type 6.0234.100) and a Metrohm 665 Dosimat burette were used for the pH-potentiometric measurements. The electrode system was calibrated to the pH = −log[H⁺] scale using the method suggested by Irving et al. [58]. The average water ionization constant, $pK_w$, is 13.76 ± 0.01, which corresponds well to literature data [59]. The reproducibility of the titration points included in the calculations was within 0.005 pH units. The pH-potentiometric titrations were performed in the pH range 2.0–11.5. The initial volume of the samples was 10.0 mL, and the ligand concentration was 3 mM and metal ion-to-ligand ratios of 1:1 to 1:4 were used. The fitting of the titration curves was less than 0.01 mL. (The fitting parameter is the average difference between the experimental and calculated titration curves expressed in the volume of the titrant.) Samples were deoxygenated by bubbling purified argon through them for ca. 10 min prior to the measurements. The proton dissociation constants of the ligands, the stoichiometry and the overall stability constants of the complexes were determined with the computer program HYPERQUAD [60]. Overall stability constants of the complexes (β(MₘLₙHₜ)) is defined for the general equilibrium $\text{pM} + q\text{L} + r\text{H} = \text{M} \text{nL}_q \text{H}_r$ as $\beta = [\text{M}_n \text{L}_q \text{H}_r]/[\text{M}^q][\text{L}]^n[H]^r$ where M denotes the metal ion and L the completely deprotonated ligand. Hydrolysis constants for In(III) and stability constants for the In(III)-chlorido complexes (Table 1) are taken from references [27, 28].

UV – visible spectrophotometry

An Agilent Cary 8454 diode array spectrophotometer was used to obtain UV–visible (UV–vis) spectra in the interval 190–1100 nm. The path length (l) was 1 or 2 cm. Proton dissociation of the ligands (HQ, HQS, maltol, deferiprone) and overall stability constants and the individual spectra of their complexes were calculated with the computer program PSEQUAD [43]. The spectrophotometric titrations were performed on samples of the ligands with or without In(III); the concentration of the ligands was 0.10 mM, and the metal-to-ligand ratios were 1:1, 1:2, 1:3 and 1:4 over the pH range used in pH-potentiometric measurements at 25.0 ± 0.1 °C at an ionic strength of 0.20 M (KCl).

For (apo)Tf containing samples, complex concentrations were 20 μM and 0–2.5 equiv. protein was added. Reference spectra for the complexes, ligands and protein were recorded as well. Samples prepared with blood serum contained three-fold diluted serum and 10 μM metal complexes. UV–vis spectra presented in this paper for complexes InD₃ or InQ₃ are subtracted by the spectrum of reference protein (HSA (apo)Tf or serum) sample in all cases in favour of the better interpretation of the results.

Spectrofluorometry

Fluorescence studies were implemented by a Fluoromax (Horiba Jobin Yvon) fluorometer in 1 cm quartz cells. Samples contained 1 μM HSA or (apo)Tf and various protein-to-metal complex (or InCl₃) ratios (up to complex/ HSA = 1:30) were used. The excitation wavelength was 295 nm and 280 nm for HSA and Tf binding studies, respectively; emission intensity was registered between 300 and 450 nm. Intrinsic fluorescence of complex InQ₃ was also followed at $\lambda_{EX} = 367$ nm, and $\lambda_{EM} = 450–650$ nm. In this setup, the complex concentration was ca. 10 or 20 μM, and protein (HSA, (apo)Tf) concentration was varied between 0 and 130 μM, and residual fluorescence of the proteins were
subtracted if it was necessary. Corrections for self-absorbance and inner filter effect were done as described in our former work using the formula suggested by Lakowicz [22, 44].

Computer program PSEQUAD [43] was utilized for the calculation of binding constants ($K'$) for HSA – InQ3 adducts similar to the approach described in our former works [22, 61]. Calculations were always based on data obtained from at least two independent measurements.

Three-dimensional spectra were recorded for ternary systems containing InQ3 or GaQ3, HSA and Tf in the wavelength range $\lambda_{EX} = 250 – 450$ nm and $\lambda_{EM} = 300 – 700$ nm. All three-dimensional spectra were corrected by residual fluorescence of the proteins, inner filter effect and self-absorbance.

Fluorescence lifetime was measured on the same fluorometer equipped with a DeltaHub time-correlated single photon counting (TCSPC) controller applying NanoLED light sources N-300 ($\lambda_{max} = 300$ nm) and N-350 ($\lambda_{max} = 355$ nm) (Horiba, Jobin Yvon). Further instrumental settings are listed in Table S2. The background (obtained with blank samples) was subtracted from the decay of the samples. The program DAS6 (version 6.6.; Horiba, Jobin Yvon) was used for the analysis of the experimental fluorescence decays. The fluorescence intensity decay over time is described by a sum of exponentials,

$$ I(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \exp\left(\frac{-t}{\tau_i}\right) \tag{1} $$

where $\alpha_i$ and $\tau_i$ are the normalized amplitude and lifetime of component $i$ respectively [44]. The quality of the fit was judged from a $\chi^2_R$ value close to 1.0 and a random distribution of weighted residuals.

Ultrafiltration

Samples containing InD3 and apoTf were separated by ultrafiltration through 10 kDa membrane filters (Millipore, Amicon Ultra-0.5) into low and high molecular mass (LMM and HMM) fractions as described in our former works [22, 61]. Samples contained 20 μM complex and 0–33 μM apoTf. The concentration of the non-bound complex and ligand in the LMM fractions was determined by UV–vis spectrophotometry by comparing the recorded spectra to those of reference samples without the protein.
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