Induced variations of ethyl methane sulfonate mutagenized cowpea (Vigna unguiculata L. walp) plants
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Unique variants are desired in the development of genetically improved crops to meet farmer and market needs hence ethyl methane sulfonate (EMS) was used to induce genetic variability in cowpea (Vigna unguiculata cv. Asontem). The main objective of this research was to characterize induced variations in EMS chemically mutagenized population of cowpea (Vigna unguiculata L. Walp Var. Asontem) in the M1 and M2 generations. The optimum concentration (LD50) of EMS for generating the mutagenized population was determined by treating seeds with different concentrations of EMS (0.0, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, and 0.8% v/v) and observing the germination count after 5 days of planting the seeds in Petri dishes. Three thousand cowpea seeds were treated with the 0.4% EMS to generate the M1 and M2 populations that were evaluated for agronomic and morphological traits with untreated seeds serving as control. Data analysis involved distribution of qualitative and quantitative traits. Germination was significantly reduced in the mutagenized population (17.8%) and compared with that of the wild type (61.6%). Percentage survival was significantly higher in wild type (98.38%) as compared with the M1 population (78.46%). Percentage germination in the M2 population (74.03%) was lower than the wild type (80%). A wide spectrum of agro-morphological abnormalities was observed in the M2 population. Wide variations and uniquely different phenotypic classes were observed in leaf color, leaf shape, growth habit, plant pigmentation, twining tendency, pod curvature, seed shape, and seed coat color. M2 individuals were widely distributed for days to flowering, number of pods per plant, number of seeds per pod, number of locules per pods, percentage seed set, pod length and number of seeds per plant. In conclusion, the EMS mutagenesis was effective in inducing the unique variations that will be useful for breeding and development of new farmer preferred varieties.
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Introduction

Induced mutagenesis has been recognized recently as an important supplement to conventional breeding in crop improvement programs. Since the prominent discoveries by Muller (1927) and Stadler (1928a,b), large amount of genetic variability has been induced by various mutagens. The use of induced mutation over the years has contributed to modern plant breeding programs. About 3,500 varieties have been released around the globe through mutation breeding (IAEA, 2021). The majority of mutants released all over the world are food crops (IAEA, 2021). Among the varieties released, very few are pulses. About 180 mutant varieties of soybean have been released and 10 mutant varieties of cowpea released in India. In Africa no variety of cowpea has been developed through mutagenesis (IAEA, 2021). The application of mutagenesis in breeding programs in Ghana has led to the development of two mutant varieties in two crops; Manihot esculenta, Crantz (high yielding cassava mutant with 40% dry matter content), and Theobroma caacao (a cocoa swollen shoot disease resistant mutant variety) (Danso et al., 2008).

In the genetic improvement of crops, molecular plant breeders have the option of studying natural variations or induced variations. The induction of mutations involves the artificial processes of subjecting an organism (plant, animal, microbes, or any living system) to physical and chemical mutagens in other to create variations at the molecular level which can be observed as physical characters and may be stably inherited. Chemical mutagens such alkylating agents (ethyl methane sulfonate and methyl methane sulfonate), colchicine, sodium azide as well as physical mutagens, such as ionizing radiations have been used to increase the frequency of variations and mutations in organism (Predieri, 2001). Chemical mutagens are more efficient as compared to the physical mutagens. This is because chemical mutagens such alkylating agents cause point mutation which mostly results in a base pair change (GC → AT change) which are trackable and therefore useful in gene mapping and trait phenotype association studies. The change in base pair may affect expression of gene resulting to variations in characters of traits. Physical mutagens on the other hand cause deletions of part of DNA or chromosome. The random deletions caused by physical mutagen may result in deleting the gene of desirable traits thereby abolishing the function of the gene. According to Bhat et al. (2005), chemical mutagens enhance genetic variability in plants for successful breeding programs. Previous studies by Adamu and Aliyu (2007) reported that chemical mutagen induced a wide range of variation in morphological traits when compared with normal plants.

Ethyl methane sulfonate has been highly effective and efficient in producing diversity in agronomic traits of different varieties of legumes including cowpea. The efficiency of an EMS mutagen describes the rate at which the mutagen is able to induce changes in the genetic material creating a range of desirable and undesirable effects. Various mutagens including EMS are known to induce morphological mutation such as chlorophyll mutation and viable mutations in crops such as cowpea, pepper, and mung bean (Gnanamurthy and Dhanavel, 2014). Induced mutation has an effect on the lethality and germination rates, chlorophyll content, viability, and other factors adding up to the improvement on the morpho-agronomic nature of cowpea plant (Dhanavel et al., 2008; Dhanavel and Girija, 2009). Bind and Dwivedi (2014), in their study reported decrease in percentage germination, percentage plant survival and increase in pollen sterility in cowpea treated with doses of EMS.

Various concentrations of the chemical EMS produce wide range of mutations which are used to determine mutation frequency. The frequency of viable mutations will depend on the treatment conditions. The dose of EMS concentration and time taken have impact on the viability of mutations produced by EMS. In order to produce a high frequency of desirable mutations in a crop, it is very important to determine the lethal dose 50 (LD50) (Hohmann et al., 2005; Arisha et al., 2014). Nair and Mehta (2014) reported highest mutation frequency of 1.58% in cowpea treated with 0.35% EMS. LD50 is the EMS concentration that contributes to the 50% lethality of the total number of seeds or propagules that is subjected to the mutagenesis treatment.

The functional mutations in genes induced by EMS as a result of point mutation have a greater chance of being dominant or co-dominant mutations. During the M1 generation, only dominant or co-dominant mutations are easily detected by phenotypic observations (Shu et al., 2012). Some viable mutations in the M1 generation include reduction in plant height, pollen sterility, late or early flowering, leaf chlorosis, and curled leaves, occurrence of irregular leaf structure. During the M2 generation, there is segregation of alleles which leads to homozygotes for recessive and dominant alleles thereby creating the opportunity to identify the recessive mutations via phenotyping (Page and Grossniklaus, 2002). Here, the objective was to chemically induce mutations to create unique genetic variations in the cowpea cultivar, Asontem and characterize them for breeding purposes.

Materials and methods

Experimental materials

Cowpea variety (“Asontem”) was used for the study. IT82E-16 (“Asontem”) is a cultivar that was developed by International Institute for Tropical Agriculture (IITA), and released by Council for Scientific and Industrial Research – Crops Research Institute, Ghana. “Asontem” has indeterminate growth pattern with days to maturity ranging from 70 to 76. The leaves are
narrow (hastate) and the growth habit is intermediate. The Asontem seeds were obtained from the West Africa Center for Crop Improvement, University of Ghana (WACCI) gene bank.

**Experimental procedure**

**Sensitivity test for determination of lethal dose 50**

Cowpea seeds were mutagenized with different EMS concentrations (0.0, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, and 0.8%). Each concentration had 100 “Asontem” seeds. Seeds were soaked in the solutions for 16 h. After that, the chemical reaction and activity of the EMS was terminated using sodium thiosulfate solution to neutralize and stop the reaction by inactivating the EMS. The treated seeds were plated in Petri dishes and number of seeds germinated were observed after 5 days.

**Generation of M1 seeds**

Three thousand cowpea (Asontem) seeds were treated with 0.4% EMS concentration. The viability of the seeds was generally low, however, obtaining new Asontem seeds was difficult so the available seeds were used for this experiment. The 0.4% concentration was prepared by adding 2.2 ml of EMS to 547.8 ml of distilled water. M1 seeds were obtained after soaking seeds in EMS concentration for 16 h based on the protocol for EMS mutagenesis. Five hundred seeds were soaked in 0.0% EMS concentration (distilled water) which served as control (Wild type).

**Experimental layout and field establishment**

The wild type (non-treated seeds) and mutagenized seeds were sown on the university of Ghana farms in a single row plot design. This area is coastal savannah zone of the country’s agroecological zones. Amount of rainfall in a year is about 900 mm. A total of nineteen rows were established for the study; three hundred and twenty-four plants (M2) were sown at three seeds per hill with four rows of mutant plants and one row of wild type. The rows in each block were randomized to contain the mutant plants and the wild type. A total of three hundred and nineteen rows and five rows were designated to M2 plants and control respectively. Agronomic practices such weed control, irrigation and pest control were carried out.

**Morpho-agronomic characterization of M1 and M2 generations**

**Parameters studied in M1 generation**

The morphological and agronomic characteristics studied were quantitative and qualitative traits. Quantitative and qualitative data on the following traits were collected based on the Cowpea Descriptor by International Board of Plant Genetic Resources (IBPGR) (1983).

Quantitative data collected were: plant pigmentation, growth habit, flower color, terminal leaflet shape, pod shape/curvature, pod color, seed shape and seed coat color. Plant pigmentation, growth habit, flower color and terminal leaflet shape were determined during the flowering stage and pod shape/curvature, pod color, seed shape, and seed coat color were also observed after harvesting.

Quantitative data collected comprised: chlorophyll content, days to flowering, days to first pod maturing, number of pods per plant, pod length, number of locules per pod, number of seeds per pod. Data on days to flowering was observed on the first day of flowering. Number of pods per plant, pod length, number of locules per pod, number of seeds per pod were observed after harvesting.

**Parameters studied in M2 generation**

Qualitative data collected and analyzed were: terminal leaflet shape, plant pigmentation, leaf marking, growth habit, leaf color twinning tendency growth pattern, pod shape/curvature, pod color, seed coat color, and seed shape. Data on terminal leaflet shape, plant pigmentation, leaf marking, growth habit, leaf color twinning tendency growth pattern were obtained during flowering stage. Pod shape/curvature, pod color, seed coat color, and seed shape were observed after harvesting.

Quantitative data collected and analyzed comprised: percentage germination, percentage survival, germination speed, days to flowering, number of pods per plant, pod length, number of locules per pod, number of seeds per pod, percentage seed set, and number of seeds per plant. Percentage germination data were obtained after 15 days of planting. Data on days to flowering was observed on the first day of flowering. Data on
number of pods per plant, pod length, number of locules per pod, number of seeds per pod, percentage seed set and number of seeds per plant were collected after harvesting.

Germination percentage was found by observing the emergence of the coleoptile at the surface of the soil. The total number of seeds germinated in each treatment was recorded after 21 days of planting.

Germination percentage was obtained as: %
\[
\text{Germination} = \frac{\text{number of seeds germinated}}{\text{total number of seeds planted}} \times 100\%
\]

Germination speed was also calculated as described by Maguire (1962):
\[
\text{GS} = \sum \frac{n_i}{D_i}
\]
where \( n_i \) is the number of seeds germinated on the \( i \)th day and \( D_i \) is the number of days after planting.

Percentage seed set was calculated as: % seed set = \( \frac{\text{number of seeds per pod}}{\text{number of locules per pod}} \times 100\% \)

Number of seeds per plant was estimated as: number of seeds per pod \( \times \) number of pods per plant.

Selection of putative mutants

Regarding each character evaluated, the performance of the wild type was determined. Mutants or plants differing from the wild type plants with regard to each character studied was identified by simply comparing it with that of the control or wild type character. A test of statistical significance was then carried out to highlight the difference.

Statistical analysis

Frequency distribution of qualitative variables in both the wild type and the mutagenized populations were computed using STATA statistical software version 14 (StataCorp, 2015). Distributions of quantitative traits in the populations were determined using R software version 4.0.1. Excel was used to compute estimates of percentage germination, germination speed and number of seeds per plant. Student T-test or Z-test was used to determine significant difference between the wild type and mutants with performances above the control (wild type) range.

Results

Sensitivity assay for determination of lethal dose 50

The percentage germination ranged from 0.00 to 63.00% as described in Figure 1. It was observed from our experiments that the percentage germination decreased as EMS concentration increased (\( R^2 = 0.9543 \)). The highest percentage germination was recorded in the wild type and the lowest was recorded in EMS dose 0.8%. The EMS lethal dose 50 (LD50) was estimated to be approximately 0.4% concentration of EMS solution where 34% germinated. The 34% is approximately 50% of 63 (wild type individuals that germinated). The 63% germination in the wild type was assumed as 100% germination since there was no EMS treatment. Hence, 0.4% EMS was estimated as the LD50 for Asontem since this level of EMS concentration caused 50% lethality comparatively with the wild type.

Percentage germination and survival of \( M_1 \) plants

A total of 534 seeds germinated in the \( M_1 \) population out of the 3,000 seeds sowed after application of 0.4% EMS for 16 h whereas 308 seeds germinated from the 500 wild type seeds (Table 1). The viability of the seeds was low from the sensitivity test. The percentage survivals obtained in \( M_1 \) population, and the wild type were 74.46 and 98.38%, respectively.

Morpho-agronomic characterization of \( M_1 \) plants

Frequency distribution of qualitative traits in \( M_1 \) population

Stem pigmentation

There were five categories of stem pigmentation in the wild type population and six categories in the \( M_1 \) population as depicted in Figure 2. The unique stem pigmentation described as solid was clearly absent in the natural control population but present in the induced mutant population. The frequency distribution ranged from 4.61% (very slight) to 73.03% (None) in the wild type. The highest frequency in the \( M_1 \) population was 78.91% (None) and the lowest was 2.61% (Solid).

Petiole pigmentation

Four different phenotypic classes were observed in the wild type whiles three classes were observed in the \( M_1 \) population (Figure 2). Intermediate class which was observed only in the wild type was the lowest (frequency = 3.29%) and None class was the highest frequency (77.63%) in the wild type. In the \( M_1 \) population, the frequency ranged from 4.50% (Moderate) to 84.60% (None). Intermediate class was absent in the mutant plants.

Branch pigmentation

Branch pigmentation had six different phenotypic categories in both the wild type and \( M_1 \) population. Frequency distribution based on treatment ranged from 0.66% (Solid) to 39.47% (Moderate) for the wild type, while for \( M_1 \) population the range was between 1.66% (None) and 30.09% (Moderate) (Figure 2).
\[ y = -87.5x + 64.6 \]
\[ R^2 = 0.9543 \]

FIGURE 1
Germination percent (%) and line of best fit for estimation of the LD50 in Asontem cowpea genotype when subjected to five EMS doses for 16 h. PG, percentage germination.

FIGURE 2
Growth habit
There was only one category (intermediate) identified for this trait in the wild type, while seven categories were observed in the M₁ population. The six different categories that were present in the M₁ were absent in the wild type. The frequency ranged from 2.13% (semi-erect) to 31.75% (Climbing) in the M₁ population (Figure 2).

Leaf shape
Only one phenotypic class (Hastate) was observed for this trait in both wild type and M₁ population.

Flower color
There was only one phenotypic category (violet) identified in both the wild type and M₁ population.

Pod color
Pod color had only one phenotypic class (Pale tan) in both the wild type and M₁ population.

Pod curvature
There was one category of pod curvature (slightly curved) in the wild type and three categories in the M₁ population (Figure 2). The highest frequency in the M₁ population was 37.68% (slightly curved) and the lowest was 25.12% (curved). The curved and straight categories of pod curvature were absent in the wild type.

Seed shape
Only one phenotypic class (rhomboid) was observed in the wild type whiles three classes were observed in the M₁ population as shown in Figure 2. The different seed shapes that were observed only in the mutant population were kidney and ovoid. Kidney class had the lowest frequency (0.24%) and ovoid class had the highest frequency (77.59%) in the M₁ population.

Seed coat color
There was one phenotypic category (walnut) that occurred in the wild type whiles three different categories were obtained

TABLE 1
Percentage germination and percentage survival in the M₁ generation.

| EMS (%) dose treatment | Number of seeds sowed | No of germinated seeds | Percentage germination | Percentage survival |
|------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| 0.0                    | 500                   | 308                    | 61.6                   | 98.38               |
| 0.4                    | 3,000                 | 534                    | 17.8                   | 78.46               |
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in the M₁ population. The different seed coat colors described as *cream* and *chocolate* were observed in the M₁ plants. Frequency distribution ranged from 0.48% (*cream*) to 72.05% (*walnut*) for the M₁ population.

**Distribution of quantitative traits in M₁ generation**

**Chlorophyll content**

From measurements of the chlorophyll content in the leaves of M₁ generation, the mutagenized population was widely distributed with some outliers as shown in Figure 3. The wild type plants (Asontem) had a range of 20.9–51.00 mg/l for chlorophyll content and the mutagenized population had a range of 10.40–56.90 mg/l. The median value obtained in the mutagenized population (36.45 mg/l) was slightly above the median value recorded in the wild type (35.70 mg/l).

**Plant height**

There was high phenotypic variation for plant height (PH) in the mutagenized population of the M₁ generation whereas low variation was observed in the wild type (Figure 3). The wild type had a range of 100 cm (from 100 to 200 cm) and the range of the mutagenized population was 180 cm (from 20 to 200 cm). The individuals in the M₁ population were widely dispersed with a median value of 113 cm whereas 50% of the wild type were above 160.5 cm for plant height.

**Days to flowering**

In the M₁ generation, there was low phenotypic variation observed in both the wild type and the mutagenized population for days to flowering. There were few outliers in both the treated and the wild type (Figure 3). The mutagenized population ranged from 60 to 66 days and the wild type ranged 59–65 days. A median value of 62 days was obtained in both the M₁ population and the wild type.

**Number of pods per plant**

In the M₁ generation, the mutagenized population was widely dispersed whereas the wild type was narrowly dispersed for number of pods per plant as shown in Figure 3. The wild type ranged from 18 to 46 pods per plant and the mutagenized population ranged from 1 to 80 pods per plant. Fifty percent of the mutagenized population had less than 12 pods and the median value in the wild type was 40 pods.

**Pod length**

High phenotypic variation was observed in the mutagenized population with many outliers for pod length (Figure 3). The mutagenized population ranged from 3.0 to 18.20 cm and the wild type ranged from 12.9 to 18.1 cm in the M₁ generation. The variation in the wild type was low. The median values recorded for this trait in the wild type and the mutagenized population were 15.4 and 14.3 cm, respectively.

**Number of locules per pod**

There were some outliers observed in both the wild type and mutagenized population for number of locules per pod (Figure 3). However, there was high phenotypic variation in the M₁ population as compared to the wild type. The wild type ranged from 10-14 and the mutagenized population ranged from 6 to 20. Fifty percent of the individuals within the
FIGURE 3
Distribution of quantitative traits studied into 25th percentile, 50th percentile, and 75th percentile in the wild type (control) and mutant population. Black dots represent the dispersion and frequency distribution of the phenotypic data in each treatment. PL, pod length; PH, plant height; NOLP, number of locules per pod; NOPP, number of pods per plant; CC, chlorophyll content; DTF, days to flowering; NOSP, number of seeds per pod; trt, treatment; EMS, ethyl methane sulfonate.

TABLE 2
Percentage germination, percentage survival and germination speed of the wild type and M2 population.

| Seeds sown     | Germination count | Percentage germination | Number of surviving plants | Percentage survival | Germination speed |
|----------------|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| M2 population  | 6,380             | 4,723                  | 74.03                     | 4,526              | 95.8             | 1.43             |
| Wild type      | 100               | 80                     | 80                        | 80                 | 100              | 1.56             |

The mutagenized population had 11 locules and above whiles the median value for the wild type was 12.

Number of seeds per pod
In the M1 generation, there was high phenotypic variation in the mutagenized population whereas low variation was observed in the wild type for number of seeds per pod (Figure 3). The range of the wild type was 5 (from 7 to 13) and the range of the mutagenized population was 13 (from 1 to 14). The individuals in the mutagenized population are widely spread with a median value of nine whiles the individuals in the wild type were clustered around the median (11).

Phenotyping of M2 generation

Percentage seed germination and germination speed of M2 generation
Percentage seed germination ranged from 5 to 100% among the M2 plants. Total percentage seed germination recorded in the M2 population was 74.03% and the wild type had 80% (Table 2). Percentage survival recorded in the M2 population was 95.80% whiles 100% survival was observed in the wild type. Germination speed decreased in the M2 population as compared with the wild type. The values recorded were 1.43 and 1.56 in the mutagenized population and the wild type, respectively (Table 2).

Morphological mutations in M2 population
A wide range of morphological mutations were observed in the M2 population (Figure 4). There were thirty (30) individuals that showed chromosomal mutations in 4,526 individuals observed in the M2 population (Table 3). There were eighteen plants that showed variegated leaves (frequency = 0.04), three plants were albino (frequency = 0.07). There were six plants that showed yellow single leaf (0.13) and three plants were xantha (frequency = 0.07).

A wide spectrum of leaf mutations was observed in the mutant population with noticeable variation in size, shape, number and arrangement of leaflets (Table 3). Some mutants have irregular leaves. These mutants were described by the
FIGURE 4
Different morphological mutations in the M$_2$ population. (A) Variegated leaf plant, (B) irregular leaf, (C) tetrafoliolate leaf, (D) bifoliate leaf, (E) hexafoliolate leaf. (F) pentafoliolate, (G) septafoliolate. (H) monofoliolate, (I) sub-hastate leaf, (J) solid pigmented plant, (K) monopinnate leaf, (L) violet flower, (M) xantha seedling, (N) tripininate leaf, (O) variegated leaf.

TABLE 3 Frequency of individuals with morphological abnormalities in the mutagenized population (M$_2$ generation).

| Abnormalities     | Number of plants | Frequency (%) |
|-------------------|------------------|---------------|
| Monofoliolate     | 3                | 0.07          |
| Bifoliolate       | 39               | 0.86          |
| Tetrafoliolate    | 22               | 0.49          |
| Pentafoliolate    | 6                | 0.13          |
| Hexafoliolate     | 1                | 0.02          |
| Septafoliolate    | 1                | 0.02          |
| Variegated leaf   | 18               | 0.40          |
| Albino            | 3                | 0.07          |
| Xantha            | 3                | 0.07          |
| Irregular leaves  | 24               | 0.53          |
| Single globose leaf| 1               | 0.02          |
| Single yellow leaf| 6                | 0.13          |
| Single pinnate leaf| 1              | 0.02          |
| Triple pinnate leaves | 5          | 0.11          |

Frequency distribution of qualitative traits among wild type and M$_2$ population

Leaf color

There was one phenotypic class (dark green) of leaf color in the wild type and three categories in the M$_2$ population (Figure 5). Pale green and intermediate green leaf colors were the different classes that were observed only in M$_2$ population (Figure 6). The frequency ranged from 1.13% (pale green) to 78.12% (dark green) in the M$_2$ population.

Leaf shape

One phenotypic class (Hastate) was observed in the wild type whiles two classes were observed in the M$_2$ population (Figure 5). Sub-hastate class which was clearly absent from the wild type plants had the lowest frequency (7.12%) and Hastate class had the highest frequency (92.88%) in the M$_2$ population.

Plant pigmentation

There were four different phenotypic categories in the wild type and six different classes in the M$_2$ population as shown in Figure 5. The unique class (solid pigmentation) which was only present in the M$_1$ plants was also observed in the M$_2$ plants. The different category described as none was present in the M$_2$ plants but it was clearly absent in the wild types. The highest frequencies recorded based on treatment were 61.25% (Moderate) and 40.41% (Very slight)
for the wild type and M₂ population respectively. The lowest frequency observed in the wild type was 2.5% (Extensive) whiles 0.33% (Solid) was the lowest frequency observed in the M₂ population.

Growth habit
This trait had only one phenotypic class (Intermediate) in the wild type and seven categories in the M₂ population which is depicted in Figure 5. Same classes of growth habit that were observed in the M₁ plants were observed in the M₂ plants. The frequency ranged from 2.69% (Climbing) to 38.33% (Semi-prostrate) in the M₂ population.

Leaf marking
There was only one phenotypic class (present) identified in both the wild type and M₂ population.

Growth pattern
Indeterminate growth pattern was the only phenotypic class observed in both the wild type and M₂ population.

Flower color
There was only one phenotypic class observed for these traits in the wild type and the M₂ population.

Pod color
There was only one phenotypic class (pale tan) observed in both the wild type and M₂ population.

Twinning tendency
There were two different phenotypic categories in the wild type and four different classes in the M₂ population (Figure 5). The categories; none and pronounced were absent from the wild
FIGURE 7
Distribution of quantitative traits studied in M<sub>2</sub> generation into 25th percentile, 50th percentile and 75th percentile in the wild type (control) and mutant population. Black dots represent the dispersion and frequency distribution of the phenotypic data in each treatment. (A) Boxplot for percentage seed set (PSST), (B) Boxplot for pod length (PL), (C) Boxplot for number of pods per plant (NOPP), (D) Boxplot for number of locules per (NOLP), (E) Boxplot for Days to flowering (DTF), (F) Number of seeds per plant, (G) Number of seeds per pod (NOSP), trt, treatment, EMS, ethyl methane sulfonate.

type. The highest frequencies recorded based on treatment were 82.50% (*intermediate*) and 53.89% (*None*) for the wild type and M<sub>2</sub> population respectively. The lowest frequency observed in the wild type was 17.50% (*Slight*) whiles 2.69% (*Pronounced*) was the lowest frequency observed in the M<sub>2</sub> population.

**Pod curvature**

One phenotypic class (*Slightly curved*) was observed in the wild type whiles three classes were observed in the M<sub>2</sub> population (*Figure 5*). *Curved* class had the lowest frequency (0.61%) and *Straight* class had the highest frequency (65.39%) in the M<sub>2</sub> population.

**Seed shape**

Only one phenotypic class (*rhomboid*) was observed in the wild type whiles three classes were observed in the M<sub>2</sub> population as shown in *Figure 5*. The different seed shapes that were observed only in the mutant population were *kidney*, *globose* and *ovoid*. *Globose* class had the lowest frequency (0.05%) and *rhomboid* class had the highest frequency (50.07%) in the M<sub>2</sub> population.

**Seed coat color**

There was one phenotypic category (*walnut*) that occurred in the wild type whiles three different categories were obtained in the M<sub>2</sub> population as shown in *Figure 5*. The different seed coat colors described as *coffee* and *chocolate* were observed in the M<sub>1</sub> plants and as well as the M<sub>2</sub> plants. Frequency distribution ranged from 0.09% (*coffee*) to 85.48% (*walnut*) for the M<sub>2</sub> population.

**Distribution of yield and sub-yield characters of the M<sub>2</sub> generation**

Data was collected on a total of 2,201 individual plants at the M<sub>2</sub> generation; 2,121 mutagenized individuals and 80 individuals in the wild type.

**Days to flowering**

In the M<sub>2</sub> generation, the wild type and M<sub>2</sub> population showed continuous phenotypic variation for days to flowering (*Figure 7*). The wild type ranged from 40 to 62 days and the mutagenized population ranged from 38 to 63 days. The median value obtained in the wild type was 54 and the mutagenized population’s median value was 44. Fifty percent of the individuals in the mutant population flowered within 44 days.

**Number of pods per plant**

There was high phenotypic variation in the mutagenized population with some outliers whereas low variation was observed in the wild type for number of pods per plant in the M<sub>2</sub> generation (*Figure 7*). The range of the mutagenized population was 70 pods (from 1 to 71 pods) and the range of the wild type was 14 pods (from 10 to 34 pods). The median value obtained in the wild type was 28 and the median value recorded
in the M$_2$ population was 12. Fifty percent of the mutagenized population had 1–12 pods.

Number of seeds per pod

The mutagenized population in the M$_2$ generation was widely distributed whereas the wild type was narrowly distributed for number of seeds per pod as shown in Figure 7. There were outliers observed in both the wild type and mutant population. The wild type ranged from 9 to 17 seeds per pod and the mutagenized population ranged from 0 to 19 seeds per pod. The median value obtained in both the wild type and the M$_2$ population was 14 seeds per pod.

Number of locules per pod

In the M$_2$ generation, there were some outliers observed in both the wild type and mutagenized population for number of locules per pod (Figure 7). However, there was high phenotypic variation in the mutagenized population as compared to the wild type for this trait. The wild type ranged from 10–17 locules per pod and the mutagenized population recorded a range of 4–20 locules per pod. The median value obtained in the M$_2$ population (median = 16) was higher as compared to the wild type (median = 15). Fifty percent of the mutant population had 16 and more locules in a pod.

Percentage seed set per pod

The mutagenized population had high phenotypic variation with many outliers for percentage seed set per pod (Figure 7). A range of 81.82–100% was observed in the wild type and the mutagenized population had a range of 0.00–100%. The median value obtained for both the wild type and the M$_2$ population was 93.33%. Fifty percent of the mutagenized individuals in the M$_2$ generation had 0 to 93.33% seed set.

Pod length

The M$_2$ population was widely distributed with many outliers for pod length as shown in Figure 7. The wild type was however narrowly distributed with a range of 12.1–18.3 cm. The mutagenized population had a range of 8.3–20.4 cm. Fifty percent of the individuals in the M$_2$ population had pod length of 17.1 cm and above. The median value recorded in the wild type was 15.7 cm.

Number of seeds per plant

In the M$_2$ generation, high phenotypic variation was observed in the mutagenized population with many outliers for number of seeds per plant (Figure 7). The mutagenized population ranged from 0 to 1,156 and the wild type ranged from 150 to 544. The variation in the wild type was low. However, the median value obtained in the wild type was higher than median value recorded for the mutant population. Fifty percent of individuals in the M$_2$ population had 0 to 165 numbers of seeds.

Putative mutants that showed high performance above the wild type in the M$_2$ generation

Individuals in the M$_2$ population that showed higher performance for the quantitative traits than the wild type were selected as putative mutants. Days to flowering had the highest number of individuals that showed high performance above the wild type (z = 19.82, p < 0.05) with frequency of 27.06% (Table 4). There were eighty-five (85) individuals in the mutagenized population that exhibited higher performance above the wild type (z = 16.88, p < 0.05) with the least frequency (4.01%) for number of seeds per plant.

Putative mutants that showed lower performance than the wild type in the M$_2$ generation

There were 797 individuals out of the 2,121 individuals observed that exhibited significantly lower performance below the wild type (z = 15.58, p < 0.05) for number of pods per plant (Table 5). The highest frequency of putative mutants was observed in number of pods per plant. Days to flowering had the least frequency (0.80%) of individuals that performed significantly lower than the wild type (z = 23.39, p < 0.05).

Contrast analysis

Some of the selected individuals in the mutagenized population showed significant difference (p < 0.01) for seven (7) quantitative traits observed when compared with wild type mean. For individuals that performed higher than the wild type, there were 55 that were significantly different from the wild type for number of pods per plant; 8 were significantly different from wild type for number of seeds per pod (Table 6). There was no individual that showed significant different from the wild type mean for percentage seed set.

For individuals that performed lower below the wild type range, there were 795 that showed significant difference from the wild type mean for number of pods per plant; 3 were significantly different from the wild type mean for pod length. There was no individual in the mutagenized population that showed low significant difference for days to flowering (Table 7).

| Traits   | Number of individuals | Frequency (%) | z-statistic |
|----------|-----------------------|---------------|-------------|
| NOPPP    | 101                   | 4.8           | 15.58       |
| NOSPP    | 86                    | 4.05          | 20.3        |
| NOLP     | 290                   | 13.67         | 18.64       |
| PL       | 231                   | 10.89         | 17.31       |
| DTF      | 574                   | 27.06         | 19.85       |
| NSPP     | 85                    | 4.01          | 16.88       |
Discussion

Sensitivity test

Determination of mutagenic sensitivity of germinating seeds constitutes an important aspect in mutation breeding, since healthy crop growth and yield depends upon the seedling establishment (Nair and Mehta, 2014). The selection of an effective and efficient mutagen concentration and growth condition is essential to produce a high frequency of desirable mutations in mutation breeding programs (Wani, 2009; Arisha et al., 2014). The current study showed that percentage seed germination decreased as concentration of ethyl methane sulfonate increased for the determination of LD50. The wild type had the highest percentage seed germination (63.0%) whereas mutagenic treatment 0.8% had the lowest percentage seed germination (0.0%). The decrease in percentage germination in the treated could be due to the effect of EMS. The LD50 for this study was 0.4%. This is in contrast with the report by Sahrish et al. (2019) in cowpea and Arisha et al. (2015) in pepper. LD50 is genotype specific and it also depends on the treatment time. The different results obtained in this study could be due to the treatment time and the genotype. Alcantara et al. (1996) reported similar results in pepper. The 63% germination obtained in the 0.0% treatment could be as a result of decrease in seed viability of the Asontem seeds. This could be due to over storage or seeds not properly stored.

In comparison to the wild type, the percent germination was low in all mutant populations (M1 and M2 populations). Similar results were reported by Ramya et al. (2014), Bind and Dwivedi (2014), and Uma and Salimath (2001). Significantly reduced germination percentage due to EMS treatment has also been reported in study by Shah et al. (2008) in chick pea. Reduction in percentage seed germination in EMS treated M1 seeds in Cucumber (Cucumis sativus L.) was reported by Wang et al. (2014). The percentage seed germination decrease in EMS treated populations may be resulted from physiological and acute chromosomal damage (Gaur et al., 2003; Nawale et al., 2006). According to Bind and Dwivedi (2014), delay in the one set of mitosis and chromosomal aberration induced enzyme activity such as catalase, lipase and hormonal activity results in reduced germination. Also, the reduction in germination may be due to the seeds absorbing the mutagen, which subsequently reaches the meristem region and affects the germ cell (Serrat et al., 2014).

Germination speed is very important in cowpea cultivation. Germination speed decreased in the mutagenized population in this study. Ariramana et al. (2014) reported similar results in pigeon pea. This result is in agreement with earlier report by Baghery et al. (2016) in okra. The EMS cause random point mutations as Sikora et al. (2011) proposed. As much as the concentration of EMS increases, the probability of point mutation induction would be increased. These mutations may lead to defects in the synthesis of essential compounds (hormones) for the plant. The EMS might have caused changes in the synthesis and regulation of abscisic acid (ABS) and gibberellic acid (GA); hormones responsible for seed dormancy and germination. The decrease in germination speed may be due to decrease in the production of GA and increase in ABS. According to Baghery et al. (2016), the higher doses of EMS

### TABLE 5

| Traits | Number of individuals | Frequency (%) | z-Statistic |
|--------|-----------------------|---------------|-------------|
| NOPPP  | 797                   | 37.58         | 42.84       |
| NOSPP  | 125                   | 5.89          | 26.59       |
| NOLP   | 38                    | 1.79          | 22.47       |
| PSST   | 426                   | 20.08         | 24.57       |
| PL     | 25                    | 1.18          | 15.62       |
| DTF    | 17                    | 0.80          | 23.39       |

NOPPP, number of pod per plant; NSPP, number of seeds per plant; PL, pod length; NOLP, number of locules per pods; NOSPP, number of seeds per pod; PSST, percentage seed set; DTF, days to flowering.

### TABLE 6

| Traits | Number of plants | Frequency | P-value |
|--------|------------------|-----------|---------|
| NOPPP  | 55               | 2.59      | <0.01   |
| NOSPP  | 8                | 0.38      | <0.01   |
| NSPP   | 61               | 2.88      | <0.01   |
| NOLP   | 38               | 1.79      | <0.01   |
| PSST   | 0                | 0         | <0.01   |
| PL     | 11               | 0.52      | <0.01   |
| DTF    | 251              | 11.83     | <0.01   |

NOPPP, number of pod per plant; NSPP, number of seeds per plant; PL, pod length; NOLP, number of locules per pods; NOSPP, number of seeds per pod; PSST, percentage seed set; DTF, days to flowering.

### TABLE 7

| Traits | Number of plants | Frequency | P-value |
|--------|------------------|-----------|---------|
| NOPPP  | 795              | 37.48     | <0.01   |
| NOSPP  | 125              | 5.89      | <0.01   |
| NSPP   | 950              | 44.79     | <0.01   |
| NOLP   | 7                | 0.33      | <0.01   |
| PSST   | 296              | 13.96     | <0.01   |
| PL     | 3                | 0.14      | <0.01   |
| DTF    | 0                | 0.00      | <0.01   |

NOPPP, number of pod per plant; NSPP, number of seeds per plant; PL, pod length; NOLP, number of locules per pods; NOSPP, number of seeds per pod; PSST, percentage seed set; DTF, days to flowering.
probably would cause more genetic injuries on treated plants which may explain why survival rates and germination speed are lower among them. Baghery et al. (2016) reported lowest percentage germination (55.67) in the highest dose (1.05%) and the highest percentage germination (97.33) in the control and the lowest dose (0.55%).

Percentage plant survival (at flowering) in the M1 and M2 generations varied within the wild type and the mutagenized populations. The wild type had the highest percentage plant survival and the M1 population had the lowest. These outcomes are in close agreement with the earlier reports of Nawale et al. (2006), Ugurji et al. (2012), and Dhanavel and Girija (2009), Bind and Dwivedi (2014) also reported similar findings in cowpea. The decrease in plant survival within the M1 population may be due to the effect of the EMS. The chemical mutagen might have caused damages in the genes responsible for growth hormone synthesis pathways.

Morphological variations in qualitative traits of mutant populations

Mutation induction with chemical mutagen like EMS produces functional mutations with a high probability of producing dominant traits in the M1 generation which can be inherited in the next mutant generation (Shu et al., 2012; Arisha et al., 2015). Induced mutation has shown impressive results in crop improvement of diverse crops. Mutation induction is the possible means to provide increased levels of variability among crop species thus obtaining variability in limited traits of a particular genotype (Nair and Mehta, 2014). In the study, variations in qualitative and quantitative traits were observed in the mutant populations.

According to Khan et al. (2015), the use of morphological markers plays an important role in germplasm characterization and evaluation, though some morphological traits are influenced by environmental conditions and variation in development stages. Morphological markers can be grouped into two categories; qualitative and quantitative markers. Many cowpea accessions and varieties have been characterized by most researchers by using these markers. In this current research, the qualitative morphological markers used were leaf marking, leaf shape, leaf color, flower color, twinning tendency, growth habit, growth pattern, plant pigmentation, pod color, pod curvature, seed shape, and seed coat color.

Morphological mutants are very important in mutation breeding programs. They play a crucial role in changing the features of a cultivar to improve on it. In the present study some individuals in the M1 and M2 populations showed morphological abnormalities in growth habit, leaf, flower, pod and seed coat and seed shape. This research had one category of leaf color (dark green) in both the wild type and mutant population in the M1 generation; however, three categories were present in the M2 population. Whilst all the wild type plants (wild type) had dark green leaves in the second generation, there were individuals with dark green leaves, intermediate green leaves and some individuals with pale green leaves in the M2 population. Similar results were observed in the studies conducted by Arisha et al. (2015) when pepper was treated with chemical mutagen (EMS). This may be that the chemical mutagen induced mutation in the DNA sequences of the genes responsible for this trait which did not express at the first generation. Transposable elements could be the reason for the expression and visibility of this variability in leaf color in the M2 population.

Leaf v-markings were present in all individuals in both the wild type and mutagenized population. This may due to the fact that the chemical did not cause changes in the genes of this trait.

From the results obtained in the current study, all the plants in the wild type and mutagenized population in the M1 generation had hastate leaf shapes. However, some individuals in the M2 population had different leaf shapes (sub-hastate). This is incongruent with the report by Arisha et al. (2015) in pepper. Nair and Mehta (2014) also reported similar results in cowpea treated with EMS. This could be as results of EMS induced mutations at the M2 population.

During the M1 generation, there was no physiological changes in flower color and pod color. This is in disagreement with the reports by Gnanamurthy and Dhanavel (2014) and Nair and Mehta (2014) in cowpea. Similar observations also occurred in the M2 generation. Genetically, during the M1 generation the probability of the occurrence of phenotypic mutation is extremely low and only dominant mutations can be identified (Roychowdhury and Tah, 2013). The results obtained in this research may be due to the fact that the genes responsible for the synthesis of flower and pod pigments may be dominant or oligogene and that EMS did not induce mutations in these genes in this case.

According to Egbadzor et al. (2017), growth habit exhibited by a plant would help identify the suitable planting system to use during production. The current investigation showed variations in growth habit in the M1 population with climbing occurring the most and semi-erect occurring the least. During the M2 generation too, there was variability in growth habit. Similar results were reported by Nair and Mehta (2014) in cowpea, Arisha et al. (2015) in pepper. According to Matos Filho et al. (2014), Ribeiro et al. (2014), and Lackyan and Dalvi (2015), growth habit in cowpea is monogenic. The results from this study indicates that EMS might have induced mutation in M0 seeds when subjected to the chemical mutagen. Mutation induction with chemical mutagen like EMS produces functional mutations with a high probability of producing dominant traits in the M1 generation which can be inherited.
in the next mutant generation (Shu et al., 2012; Arisha et al., 2015).

Although the breeder may not be interested in traits such as plant pigmentation, morphological markers like this play important role in the characterization and evaluation of cowpea germplasm. From the results obtained in the current investigation, varying forms of plant pigmentation were observed in both the wild type and the mutagenized populations. However, there were only five classes of this trait observed in the wild type and all the phenotypic classes were observed in the mutant population. The frequency ranged from 2.5% (extensive plant pigmentation) to 61.25% (moderate pigmentation) in the wild type whiles phenotypic class solid had 0.32% (lowest) and very slight had 40.41% (highest) in the mutant population. Solid pigmented plant had occurred in both mutant generations whiles absent in the wild type. Padi (2003) studied pigmentation in cowpea and reported that the presence of pigment is dominant over the absence of pigment. According to Asante (1991), pigmentation on plant part is found to be a monogenic character. This research also showed different classes of twinning tendency in the wild type and the mutagenized population during the M$_2$ generation. All the phenotypic classes for twinning tendency were observed in the mutant population whereas only two classes were observed in the wild type. The occurrence of different phenotypic classes in the mutagenized population and the difference in frequencies may be due to the effect of the chemical mutagen. Alkylating agents such as EMS induce chemical modification of nucleotides, which result in mispairing and base changes which leads to the alteration of gene codons thus, expressing some physical changes.

In the current work, there were different forms of pod curvature observed in the M$_1$ generation which continued in the M$_2$ generation. This is in concordance with the earlier report by Nair and Mehta (2014) in cowpea. This may be as a result of EMS causing mutations in gene responsible in this trait. The mutation induced in this trait may be dominant mutation.

Seed coat color and seed coat pattern are consumer traits which are consciously or unconsciously under selection by either farmers or consumers. Moh (1971) reported that people in different locations have different preferences in relation to seed coat color of legumes thus, seed coat color is seen as a very essential agronomic character that determines the marketability of a grain legume including cowpea. In the present study, it was observed that different forms of seed coat color were observed in the M$_1$ and M$_2$ populations, walnut, chocolate, cream, and coffee seed coats were isolated in the mutant populations. Gnanamurthy and Dhanavel (2014) reported similar results by isolating brown and white seed coat colors in cowpea treated with EMS. This may be due to the effect of the chemical mutagen causing mutation in the gene resulting in the changes from dominant pigmentation factor to its recessive form.

During the M$_1$ generation, varying forms of seed shapes (kidney and ovoid) were observed. This mutation was also observed in the M$_2$ population with kidney, ovoid and globose seed shapes observed. A study performed earlier affirms that different forms of seed shape were found in chick pea mutagenized with EMS (Wani and Anis, 2008). Chemical mutagens induce physiological damages, gene mutations and chromosomal aberration in mutagenized individuals which can be observed and measured from seed germination or emergence of seedlings, survival reduction, plant height reduction and fertility reduction or sterility (reduction in pod and seed formation) (Kumar et al., 2009).

**Morphological abnormalities and chlorophyll mutations in M$_2$ population**

Leaf abnormalities and chlorophyll mutations were observed in the present research. There were mutants with abnormal leaflet number, irregular leaves and leaf variegation. Leaf variegation is a common mutation which can be either a nuclear or cytoplasmic mutation. EMS may have a high specificity for mitochondrial and plastid genomes (Miller et al., 1984). Redei et al. (1984) reported that many plastid mutations interfere with the development of the photosynthetic apparatus. Dhanavel and Girija (2009) and Nair and Mehta (2014) reported leaf mutant such as tetrafoliate leaf and pentafoilate leaf in cowpea treated with EMS. Tetrafoilate leaf was also observed in studies conducted by Auti and Apparao (2009) in mung bean. Gunckel and Sparrow (1961) explained that the leaf abnormalities might be attributed to chromosomal breakage, disrupted auxin synthesis and transport, disruption of mineral metabolism.

Chlorophyll mutations usually show different forms of leaf coloration at seedling stage, also referred to as leaf coloration. It serves as the point of reference for measuring how effective and efficient a mutagen is capable of inducing different forms of mutations resulting in the formation of either desirable or undesirable traits in a particular plant (Devmani et al., 2016). In the current investigation, both lethal and non-lethal chromosome mutations were observed. This is in concordance with earlier reports by Nair and Mehta (2014), Gnanamurthy and Dhanavel (2014), and Sahrish et al. (2019) in cowpea treated with EMS. Similar results were obtained in the studies conducted by Arisha et al. (2015) when pepper was subjected to EMS treatment and Thilagavathi and Mullainathan (2009) in black gram. Previous studies reported that chlorophyll development seems to be wild type led by many genes that are located on different chromosomes (Larkin and Scowcroft, 1981; Wang et al., 2013). EMS may have caused mutations in these genes. Mutations affecting the production of chlorophyll are important for identifying gene function and the clarification of chlorophyll metabolism and its regulation (Wu et al., 2007).
Putative mutants of quantitative traits

Quantitative traits vary in degree and can be attributed to polygenic effects (product of two or more genes), and their environment. Hence, individuals with varying forms of these traits can be regarded as putative mutants (not necessarily true mutants) (Forster and Shu, 2011).

The application of a mutagen may cause genetic variations through breaking of linkage present in the genetic material resulting in the production of useful traits in crop species (Shah et al., 2008). Induction of mutation with EMS has resulted in the acquisition of important morpho-agronic traits in cowpea production. Selection of putative mutants for quantitative traits is an important step for mutant development. Early mutants with 38–41 DTF were isolated in the M2 population in the present investigation. Early maturing mutants are very important. This is because they are able to escape drought or tolerate insect or pest damage because of their short duration of reproductive phase. Previous studies by Gnanamurthy and Dhanavel (2014) and Nair and Mehta (2014) isolated early maturing mutants from cowpea mutagenized with EMS. This indicates that EMS caused mutation in the gene responsible for this trait.

The current research revealed plants with high yield and low yield performance in the M2 population. Highly significant mutants that showed high performance for number of pods per plant, number of seeds per pod per plant, number of seeds per plant, number of locules per pod per plant and pod length were obtained in the M2 population. Top twenty mutants with high yield performance in number of seeds per plant were selected. Traits including higher number of pods per plant, increased protein content, plant height and high seed weights have been obtained in earlier works by Odeigah et al. (1998) and Singh et al. (2006) in cowpea mutagenesis with EMS. High number of pods per plant was reported by Gnanamurthy and Dhanavel (2014) in cowpea. The high yield performance may be as result of EMS altering the gene(s) for this trait. The higher performance in other yield traits exhibited by mutants may be as a result of pleiotropy and mutation in one trait affected the other.

There were highly significant mutants that showed lower performance for number of pods per plant, number of seeds per pod per plant, number of seeds per plant, number of locules per pod per plant and pod length compared to the wildtype. Reduction of number of yield characters were observed in the earlier studies by Jagajanantham et al. (2013) in Abelmoschus esculentus L. Moench. Previous studies by Berenschot et al. (2009) reported a reduction in number of seeds per pod and plant in Petunia hybrid. According to Alan (2007), induced mutations play prominent role in altering the genetic make-up of genotypes not only at a chromosomal but even at a molecular level. This alteration may improve on economically important trait. However, frequency of desirable mutations was very low, about 0.1 per cent of the total mutations. Mutations in quantitative traits are normally in the direction away from the selection history of the parent variety, example yield.

Conclusion

In the current study, EMS was used to induce genetic variability in the “Asontem” cultivar of Vigna unguiculata. The EMS induced mutations were observed in the cultivar as significant differences when putative mutants are compared with the wildtype. Based on germination data, the lethal dose 50% was estimated to be at 0.4% concentration of EMS solution. There was decrease in percentage germination and survival due to the EMS mutagenesis. During the M1 generation there were differences between the mutant and the wild type populations. Visible mutations of the morphological traits were observed in the M1 populations. There were differences in growth habit, plant pigmentation, pod curvature, seed shape and seed coat color. Distribution of quantitative traits studied showed wide ranges in the mutant population as compared with the wild type. High frequency was observed for farmer preferred traits: erect growth habit and low frequency was observed for consumer preferred trait: cream seed coat color. During the M2 generation, the variations in the mutant populations continued. There was decrease in percentage germination and survival. A chlorophyll defect was observed at the seedling stage; albino, xantha and pale green plants were observed in the M2 population. There were visible mutations observed in leaf architecture in which some plants had different leaflet arrangement and number and irregular leaves structure. There were different levels of variation among the cowpea morphological traits observed. There were variations in leaf color, leaf shape, plant pigmentation, growth habit, twinning tendency, pod curvature, seed shape and seed coat color. There was high frequency for erect growth habit in the mutant population as compared with the wild type. There were wider distributions of quantitative traits in the mutant population. In order to genetically improve “Asontem” cultivar of cowpea, molecular work is required to analyse mutants that showed distinctive features to determine the genetic reasons underlying the changes observed. The EMS mutagenesis was effective in inducing the variations that will be useful for breeding and development of new farmer preferred varieties.
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