UNRAVELING THE ORIGIN OF OVERIONIZED PLASMA IN THE GALACTIC SUPERNOVA REMNANT W49B
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ABSTRACT

Recent observations have shown several supernova remnants (SNRs) have overionized plasmas, where ions are stripped of more electrons than they would be if in equilibrium with the electron temperature. Rapid electron cooling is necessary to produce this situation, yet the physical origin of that cooling remains uncertain. To assess the cooling scenario responsible for overionization, in this paper we identify and map the overionized plasma in the Galactic SNR W49B based on a 220 ks Chandra Advanced CCD Imaging Spectrometer observation. We performed a spatially resolved spectroscopic analysis, measuring the electron temperature by modeling the continuum and comparing it to the temperature given by the flux ratio of the He-like and H-like lines of sulfur and argon. Using these results, we find that W49B is overionized in the west, with a gradient of overionization increasing from east to west. As the ejecta expansion is impeded by molecular material in the east but not in the west, our overionization maps suggest the dominant cooling mechanism is adiabatic expansion of the hot plasma.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Young supernova remnants (SNRs) offer the means to study stellar explosions and their interactions with the interstellar medium (ISM). The kinetic energy of these explosions is dissipated in collisionless shocks that heat the ejecta and ISM gas to X-ray emitting temperatures. In young SNRs, these shocks create an X-ray emitting, ionizing plasma that slowly reaches collisional ionization equilibrium (CIE). However, X-ray observations with ASCA first revealed possible evidence for “overionized” remnants, where the electron temperature $kT_e$ derived from the continuum is systematically lower than the temperature $kT_z$ given by the line ratios (Kawasaki et al. 2002, 2005). The presence of radiative recombination continuum (RRC) features, discovered recently with Suzaku observations of various SNRs, provided conclusive evidence of overionization (Yamaguchi et al. 2009; Ozawa et al. 2009; Ohnishi et al. 2011; Sawada & Koyama 2012; Uchida et al. 2012; Yamauchi et al. 2013).

In a collisional plasma (as in SNRs), this overionized plasma is one signature of rapid electron cooling and the physical origin of this cooling in SNRs remains a topic of debate. One scenario where cooling can occur is thermal conduction, in which the hot ejecta in the SNR interior may cool by exchanging heat efficiently with the exterior material (e.g., Cox et al. 1999; Shelton et al. 1999). Alternatively, another possible cooling mechanism is adiabatic expansion, where the SN blast wave expands through a dense circumstellar medium into a rarefied ISM (e.g., Itoh & Masai 1989; Moriya 2012; Shimizu et al. 2012). Kawasaki et al. (2002, 2005) interpreted their ASCA results as evidence of cooling via thermal conduction, whereas Yamaguchi et al. (2009) demonstrated the timescale for this scenario is too slow to explain the recombining plasma observed with Suzaku.

Observationally, one may distinguish which cooling scenario is responsible by mapping the ionization state across SNRs. For example, if overionized plasma is concentrated where it is in contact with colder material, it would suggest cooling via thermal conduction. Conversely, if overionized plasma is less prevalent where ejecta are confined, the plasma likely cooled through adiabatic expansion.

W49B (G43.3−0.2) is one of the first SNRs where signatures of a recombining plasma were discovered. Using integrated ASCA spectra, Kawasaki et al. (2005) measured the intensity ratios of He-like to H-like lines of Ar and Ca and they found $kT_e \sim 2.5 keV \lesssim kT_{ej} \sim 1.8 keV$, where $kT_{ej}$ is the ejecta electron temperature. Miceli et al. (2006) performed similar analyses on the global XMM-Newton spectra and they found no evidence for overionization. However, they cautioned that the temperature distribution in W49B is not uniform and noted that a spatially resolved search for overionization may yield different results. Further evidence in favor of recombining plasma in W49B was discovered by Ozawa et al. (2009) using Suzaku observations: they found an RRC feature from He-like Fe at 8.830 keV and derived $kT_{ej} \sim 2.7 keV$. More recently, Miceli et al. (2010) attempted to locate spatially the recombining plasma using the archival XMM-Newton data by mapping the hardness ratio of the pn count rate in the 8.3–12 keV band to that in the 4.4–6.2 keV band. They found that the hardness ratio is elevated in the center and west of W49B, which these authors attribute to the Fe RRC. However, the limited counts in the XMM-Newton observations precluded a spatially resolved spectral modeling of these features and thus the elevated hardness ratio could be from other sources or processes (e.g., high background, harder bremsstrahlung, non-thermal emission). Therefore, it is critical to confirm the results of Miceli et al. (2010) via another means to constrain the cooling scenario responsible for overionization.
Additionally, given that the Fe has a distinct spatial distribution from the other metals in W49B (Lopez et al. 2009, 2011), it is worthwhile to explore whether lighter elements are also overionized in the same locations.

We note that to date, W49B is the only ejecta-dominated SNR and possibly the youngest source where these features have been observed. The age estimate for W49B is uncertain, ranging from \(\sim 1000\) years (Pye et al. 1984) to \(\sim 6000\) years (Smith et al. 1985). Other SNRs identified to have recombining plasmas are more dynamically mature and have ages from \(\sim 4000\) to \(20,000\) years (see Section 10.3 of the review by Vink 2012). Thus, the overionized state of W49B, a dynamically young SNR, suggests the physical origin of the recombining plasma can occur in the initial phases of SNR evolution.

In this paper, we identify and map the overionized plasma in W49B in order to constrain the physical origin of the rapid cooling. For this analysis, we employ the recent 220 ks Chandra ACIS X-ray Observatory Advanced CCD Imaging Spectrometer (ACIS) observation of W49B (Lopez et al. 2013). Although Chandra is dominated by background above \(\sim 8\) keV and thus is not capable of discerning the Fe RRC, we can identify the overionized plasma another way. In particular, we measure the ejecta electron temperature \(kT_{ej}\) (from the bremsstrahlung continuum) and \(kT_{2}\) (from line flux ratios) across many regions to assess how the ionization state varies across the SNR. In Section 2, we detail the observations, the procedure we employ to estimate the temperatures, and the results. In Section 3, we discuss the implications regarding the role of the two cooling mechanisms in the SNR evolution and we consider alternate interpretations of the results. In Section 4, we present a brief summary of this work and outline future observations that could further elucidate the physical origin of rapid cooling in W49B.

2. MAPPING OVERIONIZATION IN W49B WITH CHANDRA

W49B was observed for 220 ks with Chandra ACIS on 2011 August 18–22 with the backside-illuminated S3 chip in the Timed-Exposure Faint Mode (ObsIDs 13440 and 13441).

Reprocessed data were downloaded from the Chandra archive and analyses were performed using the Chandra Interactive Analysis of Observations (CIAO) Version 4.3. Lopez et al. (2013) reported recently a spatially resolved spectroscopic analysis on these data; in the central 2/9, a total of \(\sim 10^6\) net counts (after background subtraction) were recorded in the 0.5–8.0 keV band during the observation. The integrated X-ray spectrum of W49B (see Figure 2 of Lopez et al. 2013) includes prominent He-like and H-like lines of silicon (Si xiii and Si xiv), sulfur (S xv and S xvi), argon (Ar xvii and Ar xviii), and calcium (Ca xix and Ca xx).

For the analyses, we extracted spectra from 13 regions of area \(59'' \times 59''\), as shown in Figure 1. These regions each have \(\geq 25,000\) net full-band counts, with \(\geq 5000\) and \(\geq 2500\) net counts in the S and Ar bands, respectively, sufficient to fit these features successfully. We found that smaller regions did not give us enough counts to distinguish the Ar xviii line (the weakest line we include in our analysis) above the continuum. We modeled spectra using XSPEC Version 12.7.0 (Arnaud 1996), and we adopt the solar abundance values of Asplund et al. (2009). The choice of solar abundance values affects the best-fit absorbing column \(N_H\), since X-rays are attenuated by ISM metals (see Wilms et al. 2000 for a detailed discussion).

We obtain \(kT_{ej}\) and \(kT_{2}\) of the ejecta using the following procedure. First, to determine \(N_H\) toward each region, we modeled the spectra as two absorbed CIE plasmas using the XSPEC components phabs and vabs ciao v2.0.2 (Smith et al. 2001; Foster et al. 2012); one cooler ISM component with solar abundances and one hotter ejecta component with super-solar abundances of \(3\)–\(10\) times the solar values of Si, S, Ar, Ca, and Fe by number (Hwang et al. 2000; Lopez et al. 2009). We have selected this two-component model as previous X-ray studies of W49B have shown that a solar abundance ISM plus a ejecta plasma with super-solar abundances adequately fit the spectrum (Hwang et al. 2000; Miceli et al. 2006; Lopez et al. 2009). In our fits, \(N_H\), the cool and the hot component temperatures (\(kT_{ISM}\) and \(kT_{ej}\), respectively) and the abundances of elements with prominent emission lines (Si, S, Ar, Ca, and Fe) were allowed to vary freely. We found best-fit \(N_H\) of the 13 regions...
To reduce the number of free parameters, we froze the plasma electron temperatures while letting the two plasma electron temperatures to the values derived from the two CIE plasma fits described ranging 7.0–8.9 keV, according to the sulfur line ratios 7 See http://www.atomdb.org/noline.php.

Based on the CIE spectral fits of the 13 regions performed at each location, we consider lines that originate from the hotter plasma. Based on the CIE spectral fits of the 13 regions performed above, we find that the fraction of the flux from the hot ejecta component in the Si xiii, S xv, and Ar xvii lines is 55%, 93%, and 99%, respectively. Therefore, in our estimates of the ionization temperature \( kT_e \), below, we consider the He-like and H-like lines of S and Ar. We did not include Ca as we determined that for the plasma temperatures of W49B, the systematic errors in the estimate of \( kT_e \) from the flux ratio of Ca xix to Ca xx were too large (as discussed below). We have divided the SNR into several regions and used the procedure outlined below to estimate \( kT_{ej} \) and \( kT_e \) at each location.

We remediated the spectra of each region phenomenologically using two absorbed continuum components (one for the cool ISM plasma and one for the hot super-solar plasma) plus Gaussian functions to account for the line emission. To characterize the continuum of the two plasmas, we employed the AtomDB NoLine model\(^7\), which removes the line emission from an apec plasma. The NoLine model includes three processes in modeling the continuum: bremsstrahlung, RRCs, and two photon emission from low-lying H- and H-like levels. Furthermore, we added Gaussian functions for 15 emission lines with the following initial guesses for centroid energy: Mg xi (\( \approx 1.45 \) keV), Si xiii (\( \approx 1.85 \) keV), Si xiv (\( \approx 2.00 \) keV), Si K\( \beta \) (\( \approx 2.19 \) keV), S xv (\( \approx 2.45 \) keV), S xvi (\( \approx 2.60 \) keV), S K\( \beta \) (\( \approx 2.88 \) keV), Ar xvii (\( \approx 3.11 \) keV), Ar xviii (\( \approx 3.31 \) keV), Ar K\( \beta \) (\( \approx 3.69 \) keV), Ca xix (\( \approx 3.89 \) keV), Ca xx (\( \approx 4.13 \) keV), Ca K\( \beta \) (\( \approx 4.58 \) keV), Fe xxv (\( \approx 6.64 \) keV), and Fe K\( \beta \) (\( \approx 6.97 \) keV). To reduce the number of free parameters, we froze the \( N_H \) to the values derived from the two CIE plasma fits described above. We performed an initial fit by freezing the line centroid energies while letting the two plasma electron temperatures (\( kT_{ej} \) and \( kT_e \)), plasma normalizations, and line fluxes vary. Subsequently, we thawed the line centroid energies and refit the spectra.

Using this procedure, we obtained the two plasma electron temperatures (the hot ejecta temperature, \( kT_{ej} \), and the cool ISM temperature, \( kT_{ISM} \)) and the line fluxes for the 13 regions listed in Table 1. We found best-fit temperatures of the cool component ranging from \( kT_{ISM} \approx 0.14 \pm 0.01 \) keV to \( kT_{ISM} \approx 0.29 \pm 0.01 \) keV across the SNR. The best-fit ejecta temperatures also vary (see the map of \( kT_{ej} \) in the right panel of Figure 1), with \( kT_{ej} \approx 1.13 \pm 0.01 \) keV up to \( kT_{ej} \approx 1.84 \pm 0.07 \) keV, with 90% confidence errors. Our results suggest there is a temperature gradient, with rising \( kT_{ISM} \) and \( kT_{ej} \) toward the east of the SNR. The expansion is thought to be impeded in the east by dense molecular material there (Keohane et al. 2007). The values we derive for \( kT_{ISM} \) and \( kT_{ej} \) in many regions of W49B are slightly lower than those estimated by Kawasaki et al. (2005), who found \( kT_{ISM} \approx 0.24^{+0.04}_{-0.02} \) keV and \( kT_{ej} \approx 1.72^{+0.02}_{-0.04} \) keV from the integrated ASCA spectrum. We note that these authors assumed the continuum purely consisted of bremsstrahlung emission, whereas the NoLine model we have employed accounts for RRCs and two photon emission as well. The inclusion of these processes reduces the measured \( kT_{ej} \) and also affects the derived \( kT_e \) from the line fluxes. Furthermore, our estimates of \( kT_{ej} \) are lower than those estimated for the hot plasma component by Miceli et al. (2006) and Lopez et al. (2009), who find \( kT_{ej} \approx 1.77–3.0 \) keV and \( kT_{ej} \approx 1.80–3.68 \) keV, respectively. The reason is that the CIE fits performed by these authors use both the line ratios and the bremsstrahlung continuum to estimate \( kT_{ej} \). Thus, the fact that the derived \( kT_{ej} \) in this previous work is greater than when \( kT_{ej} \) is modeled from bremsstrahlung alone proves the overionized state of the plasma.

We measured \( kT_e \) for the 13 regions based on the line flux ratios of the He-like and H-like lines of S and Ar, since these features are easily discernible at the moderate spectral resolution of ACIS. In Table 1, we list the fluxes (corrected for absorption) obtained from the Gaussian fits; from these, we derived \( kT_e \) using current atomic data for a CIE plasma (Foster et al. 2012). In Figure 2 (left panel), we plot the model predictions for \( kT_e \) as a function of line flux ratio for S and Ar and we superimpose our measured ratios on the respective curves. For S, we find He-like

\( ^{7} \) See http://www.atomdb.org/noline.php.

### Table 1

Best-fit Temperatures and Line Fluxes

| Region\(^a\) | \( kT_{ISM} \) (keV) | \( kT_{ej} \) (keV) | S xv Flux\(^b\) | S xvi Flux\(^b\) | Ar xvii Flux\(^b\) | Ar xviii Flux\(^b\) | \( kT_{z,S} \) (keV) | \( kT_{z,Ar} \) (keV) |
|-------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 1           | 0.25 ± 0.03      | 1.84 ± 0.07     | 0.90          | 0.53            | 1.67          | 0.22          | 0.08           | 2.07           |
| 2           | 0.24 ± 0.01      | 1.50 ± 0.03     | 1.12          | 0.63            | 1.65          | 0.26          | 0.09           | 2.05           |
| 3           | 0.20 ± 0.01      | 1.25 ± 0.02     | 2.83          | 1.37            | 1.58          | 0.46          | 0.11           | 1.86           |
| 4           | 0.17 ± 0.01      | 1.20 ± 0.02     | 2.65          | 1.37            | 1.61          | 0.49          | 0.12           | 1.89           |
| 5           | 0.29 ± 0.01      | 1.83 ± 0.04     | 2.57          | 1.46            | 1.66          | 0.60          | 0.23           | 2.10           |
| 6           | 0.21 ± 0.01      | 1.59 ± 0.02     | 5.81          | 3.60            | 1.70          | 1.22          | 0.44           | 2.07           |
| 7           | 0.18 ± 0.01      | 1.36 ± 0.02     | 4.55          | 2.48            | 1.64          | 0.87          | 0.27           | 2.01           |
| 8           | 0.16 ± 0.02      | 1.13 ± 0.01     | 3.07          | 1.29            | 1.50          | 0.45          | 0.14           | 1.99           |
| 9           | 0.26 ± 0.01      | 1.59 ± 0.03     | 2.95          | 1.43            | 1.58          | 0.62          | 0.18           | 1.96           |
| 10          | 0.23 ± 0.01      | 1.27 ± 0.03     | 2.04          | 0.85            | 1.49          | 0.37          | 0.11           | 1.97           |
| 11          | 0.20 ± 0.03      | 1.16 ± 0.02     | 1.78          | 0.74            | 1.49          | 0.31          | 0.08           | 1.86           |
| 12          | 0.14 ± 0.01      | 1.20 ± 0.02     | 1.61          | 0.74            | 1.55          | 0.32          | 0.10           | 2.00           |
| 13          | 0.28 ± 0.01      | 1.18 ± 0.05     | 0.95          | 0.22            | 1.26          | 0.13          | 0.01           | 1.51           |

**Notes.**

\(^a\) Regions are numbered sequentially, as shown in Figure 1.

\(^b\) Fluxes are in units of \( 10^{-2} \) photons cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\).

\(^c\) Temperature derived from the sulfur line ratios \( kT_{z,S} \) and from the argon line ratios \( kT_{z,Ar} \).
to H-like ratios of 1.6–4.4, corresponding to \( kT \approx 1.3–1.7 \text{ keV} \). We derive ratios of 2.6–9.2 for Ar; these values give \( kT \approx 1.5–2.1 \text{ keV} \). To estimate the uncertainty in the line ratios, we refit the spectra while freezing the \( kT_z \) to the upper and lower limits and calculated the line ratios in these cases. In all 13 regions, the derived line ratios changed by \( \sim 1\% \). Therefore, we anticipate that any uncertainties are dominated by systematic errors, as discussed below.

To identify regions of overionization, we map the ratio \( kT_z/kT_{ej} \) across the 13 regions in Figure 2. Regions with \( kT_z/kT_{ej} > 1 \) are overionized, while ratios of order unity indicate ionization consistent with a CIE plasma. The degree of ionization appears to vary from east to west, with more ionized plasma toward the west of the SNR. Figure 3 gives an example spectrum of an overionized region and a synthetic spectrum for comparison of how the data would appear if the plasma in these cases were in CIE. These two spectra have remarkable differences, including a much greater \( S\ xv \) flux and much lower \( Ar\ xvii \) flux in the CIE plasma spectrum than observed in the overionized spectrum. Our localization of the overionized plasma toward the west of W49B is consistent with the findings of Miceli et al. (2010), who contended the central and western regions of W49B are overionized based on larger hardness ratio there (which they attribute to the presence of Fe RRCs).

To assess our ability to measure \( kT_{ej} \) and \( kT_z \), we performed the same analyses on synthetic ACIS spectra of two-temperature CIE plasmas. In particular, we produced 28 spectra over a grid of temperatures: \( kT_{ISM} = 0.25, 0.50, 0.75, \) and \( 1.00 \text{ keV} \) and \( kT_Z = 1.00, 1.25, 1.50, 1.75, 2.00, 2.25, \) and \( 2.50 \text{ keV} \) (i.e., we considered every combination of these two temperatures). To produce these 28 synthetic spectra, we assumed \( N_H = 8 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2} \), solar abundances for the cool component, and \( 5 \times \) solar abundances for the hot component (values consistent with the integrated properties of W49B; Hwang et al. 2000; Miceli et al. 2006; Lopez et al. 2009). We analyzed the 28 synthetic spectra using the same procedure as outlined above: we modeled the spectra as absorbed, two NoLine APEC components plus Gaussian functions for the emission lines. Then, we measured the \( S, Ar, \) and \( Ca \) line ratios and derived \( kT_{ej} \) and \( kT_z \) in each spectrum. We compared the input temperatures of the synthetic spectra to our derived temperatures and we used the deviations from CIE (where \( kT_{ej} \) should equal \( kT_z \)) to evaluate the systematic errors in our measurement of \( kT_z \). We found that for the temperatures \( kT_{ISM} \) and \( kT_{ej} \) of W49B, \( kT_z \) derived from the sulfur lines was within \( \sim 5\% \) of \( kT_{ej} \). For argon at W49B temperatures, we obtained \( kT_z \) values that were systematically above \( kT_{ej} \) by 10%–20%, with less accuracy with lower \( kT_{ej} \).

We also performed the same synthetic analyses on the He-like to H-like line flux ratios of calcium to assess whether to include these lines in our study of W49B. We found that \( kT_z \) derived from calcium was more than 0.5 keV greater than \( kT_{ej} \) at the temperatures of W49B. We note that hotter ejecta
temperatures of $kT_{ej} = 2.5$ keV, $kT_e$ is reliable and within 5% of $kT_{ej}$. However, given that $kT_{ej}$ values of the 13 regions of W49B are $\sim 1-2$ keV, we opted to exclude calcium from our analyses.

The systematic errors partly explain why we find a larger degree of overionization in argon than in sulfur. From our synthetic spectral analyses, we anticipate that the $kT_e$ obtained from argon is $\sim 0.2$ keV above the true $kT_e$, yet our W49B results showed that the $kT_e$ from argon is $\sim 0.2-0.5$ keV above that of sulfur. The origin of this difference is unlikely to be physical given that the recombination timescales of sulfur are longer than argon (Smith & Hughes 2010). Although these elements yielded different magnitudes of overionization, both show the same trend of increasing ionization to the west and the changes in ionization state we find across W49B are larger than the systematic errors. Our results broadly indicate that the accuracy in measuring $kT_e$, using particular line ratios is dependent on the plasma’s electron temperature and thus one should consider $kT_{ej}$ when selecting which line ratios to employ to assess overionization of the plasma. We note that we have considered the case of W49B, where the cool plasma has ISM (solar) abundances. If one has two temperature plasmas and each component has super-solar abundances, the emission lines that give the most accurate measure of $kT_{ej}$ may be different than our findings above.

3. DISCUSSION

As noted above, two scenarios may lead to the rapid cooling associated with overionization: adiabatic expansion (e.g., Itoh & Masai 1989; Moriya 2012; Shimizu et al. 2012) or thermal conduction (e.g., Cox et al. 1999; Shelton et al. 1999). The maps of the ionization state across W49B in Figure 2 can aid in distinguishing which of these mechanisms may dominate. In particular, if the cooling originates from adiabatic expansion, overionization should be most prevalent where the blast wave is expanding through a rarefied ISM. Conversely, if the plasma cools via thermal conduction, the overionized hot gas should be concentrated where it is in contact with cold, dense material.

We find a gradient of increasing overionization toward the west of W49B, while the east is at or near CIE. Given the location of molecular material and the plasma temperatures across W49B, the distribution of the overionized plasma is most consistent with the adiabatic cooling scenario. In particular, the ejecta are impacting nearby molecular material (see the blue contours in Figure 1, left) in the east and the plasma is the hottest there (with $kT_{ej} \sim 1.8$ keV; Figure 1, right). In the west, the ejecta are expanding relatively unimpeded (particularly in the northwest) and the plasma is the coolest there (with $kT_{ej} \lesssim 1.2$ keV). Although molecular material is seen in the southwest, this gas may be seen in projection: low-frequency radio observations have shown intervening absorbing material there (Lacey et al. 2001) and the $N_\text{H}$ is enhanced in that area of the SNR (with $N_\text{H} \gtrsim 8 \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$; Lopez et al. 2013). Our results are consistent with the recent hydrodynamical simulations performed by Zhou et al. (2011), who showed that the spatial distribution of overionized ejecta can be attributed to the rapid free expansion of the plasma following heating by an inhomogeneous medium (although their models focus principally on the central region of the SNR).

We are unable to confirm the expansion rates of the two sides of W49B using proper motion: the 11 year baseline between Chandra observations is not long enough to observe expansion with the spatial resolution of ACIS. At the off-axis position of the SNR rim, the ACIS point-spread function is $\sim 4$ pixels. Thus, a velocity of $\gtrsim 6800$ km s$^{-1}$ would be necessary to detect the expansion, assuming a distance $D = 8$ kpc to W49B (Moffett & Reynolds 1994; Hwang et al. 2000).

However, the surface brightness profiles across the SNR boundaries are consistent with impeded expansion in the east and faster expansion in the west (see Figure 4). For this analysis, we computed the net full-band surface brightness $\mu$ (in counts arcsec$^{-2}$) across 19 profiles each composed of 68 narrow rectangles with area $2 \times 40$ pixel$^2$ (Figure 4, left). We oriented the rectangles such that they were parallel to the X-ray full-band contours, and we averaged the profiles of the east and the west (after translating the maxima of the profiles so they were aligned). Figure 4 (right) gives the resulting mean $\mu$ value as a function of position across the east and west edge of W49B. The eastern profile has a steep slope, with a factor of $\sim 10$ increase in $\mu$ over $\sim 15\arcsec$. By contrast, the change in $\mu$ in the west is much more gradual, with a factor of $\sim 3$ increase in $\mu$ over $\sim 35\arcsec$. The sharp boundary in the east is consistent with the ejecta being inhibited by the molecular material there, whereas the emission in the west is more diffuse.

4. SUMMARY

We have presented maps of the overionized plasma in W49B using data from the recent 220 ks Chandra ACIS observation. By comparing the temperatures derived from the bremsstrahlung continuum to those from the He-like to H-like line ratios of

---

8 The radius where 90% of encircled energy of a source is detected at 1.49 keV. 

http://cxc.harvard.edu/proposer/POG/html/index.html.
sulfur and argon, we found that the SNR plasma is overionized in the west and has a gradient of increasing ionization from east to west. Based on the impeded expansion of the ejecta in the east and relatively lower inferred density ISM in the west, we suggest that the overionization maps are consistent with adiabatic expansion being the dominant cooling mechanism. In the future, these results can be confirmed by performing spatially resolved spectroscopic analysis of the Fe RRC in a deeper XMM-Newton observation of W49B and by directly measuring the expansion velocities of the SNR through proper motion or spectroscopy studies.
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