Imidazo[1,5-\textit{a}]pyridine-Based Fluorescent Probes: A Photophysical Investigation in Liposome Models
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Abstract: Imidazo[1,5-\textit{a}]pyridine is a stable scaffold, widely used for the development of emissive compounds in many application fields (e.g., optoelectronics, coordination chemistry, sensors, chemical biology). Their compact shape along with remarkable photophysical properties make them suitable candidates as cell membrane probes. The study of the membrane dynamics, hydration, and fluidity is of importance to monitor the cellular health and to explore crucial biochemical pathways. In this context, five imidazo[1,5-\textit{a}]pyridine-based fluorophores were synthesized according to a one-pot cyclization between an aromatic ketone and benzaldehyde in the presence of ammonium acetate and acetic acid. The photophysical features of prepared compounds were investigated in several organic solvents and probes 2–4 exhibited the greatest solvatochromic behavior, resulting in a higher suitability as membrane probes. Their interaction with liposomes as artificial membrane model was tested showing a successful intercalation of the probes in the lipid bilayer. Kinetic experiments were carried out and the lipidic phase influence on the photophysical features was evaluated through temperature-dependent experiments. The results herein reported encourage further investigations on the use of imidazo[1,5-\textit{a}]pyridine scaffold as fluorescent membrane probes.
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1. Introduction

Imidazo[1,5-\textit{a}]pyridine is a well-known and widely tested scaffold for the development of innovative compounds in several fields. It gained an increased attention during the last decades [1,2] as versatile moiety able to fulfill different requirements such as a compact shape, emissive properties, and photo/thermal stability among others [3]. The synthesis is straightforward and can be achieved by different approaches in an easily up-scalable manner [4–7]. Imidazo[1,5-\textit{a}]pyridine compounds can be used as (i) candidates in medicinal chemistry [8,9]; (ii) ligands for a plethora of coordination complexes [10,11]; (iii) chemical sensors [12,13]; (iv) smart materials in optoelectronics [3,14]; and (v) fluorophores for bioimaging [15–17]. In particular, the study of the membrane dynamics in living cells led to the development of many fluorescent probes based on different action strategies (e.g., solvatochromic compounds, flippers) [18–20]. The ability to discriminate between several lipid phases and to visually highlight the fluidity and hydration of the membrane is of importance to shed light on the cell health as well as on many crucial biochemical pathways. Historically, Weber and Lakowicz have pioneered the design of small probes suitable for the investigation of biological domains such as proteins and membranes by functionalizing a naphthalene core to introduce unique photophysical features in the so
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called Prodan, Laurdan, and Patman dyes [21, 22]. Nowadays many fluorescent probes, specifically designed for the investigation of lipid bilayer membrane, are available on the market and are extensively used by the scientific community. Lipophilic probes, not covalently linked to membrane building blocks, such as DPH, ANS, and DCVJ, have been used to investigate membrane fluidity [23–25] due to their fluorogenic features. More polar fluorophores such as NBD, Rho-101, and DPE have been reported to label or replace the polar head groups of phospholipids to explore the outer membrane surface [26–28]. Well-known fluorophores such as pyrene and fluorene have been covalently linked on-chain and in chain-end to investigate the interior of the membrane [29, 30]. More recently, bright dyes absorbing and emitting in the near infrared region of the spectra have been proposed to shed light on membrane dynamics [31]. Finally polarizable and planarizable oligo-aromatic probes have been developed to visualize membrane hydration and mechanical compression in living cells [32]. The design and investigation of molecules, suitable for application in bioimaging is a dynamic field in which novel probes are constantly prepared and evaluated to provide novel tools for basic and applied science. The present manuscript introduces new fluorophores based on imidazo[1,5-α]pyridine as potential probes for application in the bilayer imaging. To the best of our knowledge, any imidazo[1,5-α]pyridine had ever been tested in biological applications so far. The straightforward synthesis, the easily functionalization of the core with various groups (e.g., electron donating or withdrawing moieties, hydrophilic or lipophilic groups) suggests broad range of applicability of this family of probes in the domain of chemical biology. Therefore, the present work aims to provide a preliminary evaluation of the biocompatibility and potential as membrane fluorophores of a first generation of imidazo[1,5-α]pyridine probes rather than proposing novel dyes with feature comparable with commercially available probes.

In this context, five emissive probes were prepared from the imidazo[1,5-α]pyridine scaffold: two monomeric units, 1 and 5, and three dimeric products 2–4, as reported in Figure 1. The synthesis of the asymmetric bis-imidazo[1,5-α]pyridine 3 is herein reported for the first time along with the photophysical analyses in comparison with the corresponding symmetric analogs 2 and 4. All of the prepared compounds were optically evaluated and the dimeric species were tested as fluorescent membrane probes using two different liposomal model systems as cell membrane mimics.

![Figure 1. Structures of the synthesized compounds (1–5).](image-url)

2. Results
2.1. Synthesis

Products 1, 2, 4, and 5 were synthesized by a direct cyclization of phenyl(pyridin-2-yl)methanone (1 and 2) or 2,2′-dipyridylketone (4 and 5) with different aromatic aldehydes in the presence of ammonium acetate [33–35]. The heterocyclization was pursued in acidic conditions using the ammonium acetate as nitrogen source for the final ring closure as previously reported [5]. Varying the aromatic aldehydes in refluxing acetic acids provides easy access to a large number of both imidazo[1,5-α]pyridine and bis-imidazo[1,5-α]pyridine. Benzaldehyde reaction with diaryl ketones gives access to the mono-imidazo[1,5-α]pyridine
products 1 and 5. The replacement of the benzaldehyde with a bifunctional analogs as the terephthalaldehyde allows the preparation of the symmetric, 2 and 4, and the asymmetric 3 bis-imidazo[1,5-a]pyridine derivatives. The symmetrical bis-imidazo[1,5-a]pyridines 2 and 4 were obtained by reacting either the phenyl(pyridin-2-yl)methanone or the 2,2′-dipyridylketone with terephthalaldehyde in stoichiometric ratio 2:1 (Figure 2). To the best of our knowledge only the preparation of symmetrical bis-imidazo[1,5-a]pyridine compounds have been reported, following the above mentioned strategy or by varying the acidic environment (e.g., succinic, maleic, phthalic or trimesic acids) [36] or the dialdehydes (e.g., terephthalaldehyde and isophthalaldehyde) [37]. The so obtained products could be considered alternative to polypyridine, polypyrrole, and polyimidazole behaving as promising multidentate scaffolds or ligands for organometallic and supramolecular design.

![Previous work:](image1)
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**Figure 2.** Synthetic approach toward the prepared compounds. (a) ammonium acetate, acetic acid, 110 °C, 5 h (1: 78%, 5: 80%); (b) ammonium acetate, acetic acid, 118 °C, 12 h (2: 96%, 4: 96%); (c) 1. ammonium acetate, acetic acid, 118 °C, 6 h; 2. (phenyl[pyridin-2-yl)methanone, 118 °C, 12 h (78%).

Herein, for the first time, the synthesis of the asymmetric bis-imidazo[1,5-a]pyridine product 3 is reported, by coupling the terephthalaldehyde with two different aryl ketones in a stoichiometric ratio 1:1:1. The present single step coupling of three components allows the introduction of different chemical groups to modulate the π-system features and the functionalization with electron rich or electron poor moieties by straightforward employment of di-aldehydes and substituted aryl ketones. More in detail, the asymmetric scaffold 3 was obtained in a straightforward manner by promoting a first reaction over 6 h in acetic media between the di(2-pyridyl)ketone and terephthalaldehyde followed by the addition of the phenyl[pyridin-2-yl)methanone, providing after 12 h the final product as a yellow powder.

### 2.2. Optical Features

The absorption and emission spectra of the whole series of probes were acquired in toluene and depicted in Figure 3a. While the emission spectra of all the compounds are centered around 480 nm, the absorption maximum results batochromically shifted when moving from the monomeric species 1 and 5 to the dimeric ones 2, 3, and 4 due to an increased conjugation. The former’s absorption band is centered around 305–325 nm, while the latter’s one moves to 380 nm, gaining a more significant contribution in the visible range. As noticed by Volpi et al. [36,37], the substitution of the benzene ring of 2 with a pyridinil group in 4 increases the quantum yield along with a bare blue-shift of the emission spectra. The preparation of the asymmetrical compound 3 confirmed the above considerations and
highlights the possibility to tune the quantum yield value by modulating the number of the pyridine rings introduced in the scaffold. The solvatochromic features of all the reported probes were evaluated (Figure 3b–e) and are listed in Table 1. The highest fluorescence quantum yields (QY) for the dimeric compounds 2–4 were observed in apolar environments, such as toluene. The symmetric dipyridil analog 4 was characterized by a good QY of 0.38, which was three times more emissive than the dyphenyl analog 2 showing a QY of 0.12. The asymmetrical scaffold 3 shows an intermediate behaviour, with a QY of 0.18, in agreement with the above hypotheses. All the probes exhibited a wide Stokes shift, higher than 5000 cm$^{-1}$. In general, imidazo[1,5-a]pyridine derivatives are well known in the literature for a wide Stokes shift useful for different application such as down-shifting conversion [33,38].

![Figure 3](https://example.com/figure3.png)

**Figure 3.** Photophysical properties of the reported probes 1–5. (a) Absorption (dashed) and emission (solid) spectra of compounds 1–5 in toluene. (b–f) Absorption (dashed) and emission (solid) spectra in different solvents for compounds 1 (b), 5 (c), 2 (d), 3 (e), 4 (f). The emission spectra were normalized to 0.1 intensity at the excitation wavelengths.

The monomeric species 1 and 5 showed negligible solvent effects on their optical features, due to the low charge-transfer character of the ground state [36]. The probes 2, 3 and 4 highlighted a higher solvatochromic behaviour, as evidenced by the linear fit of the emission maximum wavenumbers against the $E_{T30}$ polarity scale (Figure S1 in Supplementary Materials). Moreover, in a polar protic solvent as methanol, a clear hypsochromic shift of the emission maxima was present for all the bis-imidazo[1,5-a]pyridine derivatives. This effect was more remarkable in presence of one or two pyridine rings in 3 and 4, most likely due to hydrogen bond interaction of the solvent with the aromatic pyridil nitrogen. These considerations highlight again the notable tunability of the optical features by simple modifications of the number of pyridine rings in the system.

Previously reported data on the imidazo[1,5-a]pyridine lifetime shows uniformity with values spanning from 2 to 8 ns [17,35]. Compounds 1 and 5 have been previously investigated, revealing lifetime values of 5.8 and 4.6 nm, respectively. Similarly, eight multiple imidazo[1,5-a]pyridine derivatives have been previously reported showing similar values in a range of 2–7 ns, thus demonstrating a stringent uniformity in the lifetime data [36]. To the best of our knowledge, imidazo[1,5-a]pyridines’ lifetime shows good
uniformity due to the similar nature of the excited state as widely previously reported for these heterocyclic scaffolds. Additionally, the possible aggregation in water was evaluated for the dimeric compounds 2–4, aiming to investigate the effects on the photophysical features. In this context, several measurements were carried out in THF with different amount of water (Figure S2). The absorption spectra show a hypochromic effect when increasing the amount of water, along with a peak broadening that becomes more significant in pure water and with the 20% of THF. All the probes still exhibit a clear absorption peak in pure water, suggesting the presence of stable aggregates, while the emission of 2 and 3 is almost completely quenched. Moreover, the shape and position of the emission peaks are characterized by a bathochromic trend while increasing the amount of THF in water for all the investigated probes. This phenomenon highlights the fluorogenic character of these compounds, making them suitable candidate for applications as probes in biological systems. The fluorogenic behavior of a membrane probe can ensure low background noise with beneficial effects on the signal/noise ratio.

Table 1. Solvatochromic properties of probes 1–5.

| Compound | Solvent   | λ_{abs} [nm] | ε [M⁻¹ cm⁻¹] | λ_{em} [nm] | Stokes Shift [cm⁻¹ (nm)] | QY a |
|----------|-----------|--------------|--------------|-------------|--------------------------|------|
| 1 Dioxane | 300       | 17,430       | 482          | 12,586 (182) | 0.10                     |
| DMF      | 288       | 16,280       | 480          | 13,889 (192) | 0.13                     |
| Ethyl Acetate | 302 | 12,980       | 480          | 12,279 (178) | 0.14                     |
| Methanol | 293       | 12,770       | 481          | 13,340 (188) | 0.13                     |
| THF      | 301       | 10,580       | 479          | 12,346 (178) | 0.17                     |
| Toluene  | 305       | 12,315       | 482          | 12,040 (177) | 0.20                     |
| 2 Dioxane | 377       | 29,066       | 488          | 6033 (111)   | 0.11                     |
| DMF      | 379       | 26,470       | 479          | 5508 (100)   | 0.08                     |
| Ethyl Acetate | 375 | 30,205       | 484          | 6006 (109)   | 0.08                     |
| Methanol | 370       | 23,960       | 474          | 5930 (104)   | 0.10                     |
| THF      | 379       | 23,910       | 484          | 5724 (105)   | 0.11                     |
| Toluene  | 382       | 23,810       | 486          | 5602 (104)   | 0.12                     |
| 3 Dioxane | 376       | 23,760       | 483          | 5892 (107)   | 0.13                     |
| DMF      | 377       | 17,430       | 471          | 5294 (94)    | 0.12                     |
| Ethyl Acetate | 375 | 22,130       | 478          | 5746 (103)   | 0.11                     |
| Methanol | 368       | 16,305       | 464          | 5622 (96)    | 0.08                     |
| THF      | 374       | 13,180       | 470          | 5461 (96)    | 0.09                     |
| Toluene  | 378       | 16,820       | 480          | 5622 (102)   | 0.18                     |
| 4 Dioxane | 380       | 33,950       | 478          | 5395 (98)    | 0.29                     |
| DMF      | 384       | 28,660       | 451          | 3869 (67)    | 0.17                     |
| Ethyl Acetate | 379 | 33,795       | 472          | 5199 (93)    | 0.24                     |
| Methanol | 369       | 27,475       | 460          | 5361 (93)    | 0.15                     |
| THF      | 384       | 30,248       | 482          | 5295 (98)    | 0.26                     |
| Toluene  | 383       | 28,922       | 470          | 4833 (87)    | 0.38                     |
| 5 Dioxane | 322       | 28,980       | 460          | 9317 (138)   | 0.13                     |
| DMF      | 322       | 19,240       | 461          | 9364 (139)   | 0.18                     |
| Ethyl Acetate | 326 | 24,707       | 459          | 8888 (133)   | 0.16                     |
| Methanol | 313       | 18,270       | 457          | 10,067 (144) | 0.16                     |
| THF      | 319       | 18,138       | 460          | 9609 (141)   | 0.19                     |
| Toluene  | 325       | 20,745       | 461          | 9077 (136)   | 0.17                     |

All photophysical values reflect the average of three independent measurements. a Φ_F was measured referring to Quinine sulfate as standard (Φ_F = 0.546 in H_2SO_4 0.5 M, λ_ex 366 nm).

2.3. Liposomes

Dimeric species 2–4 have been investigated as suitable candidates as fluorescent membrane probes due to their distinguished solvatochromic behavior and good QY in apolar environment compared to 1 and 5. Several experiments were carried out on 2, 3 and 4 to verify this hypothesis, evaluating their emissive features upon the interaction
with a lipidic model membrane. 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DOPC) and 1,2-dipalmitoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DPPC) large unilamellar vesicles (LUVs) were used to simulate two differently ordered lipid phases at 25 °C, a liquid disordered (L_d) and a solid ordered (S_o) one, respectively. Intercalation kinetic experiments were run, at first to evaluate the probes fluorescence upon interaction with the liposome bilayer membrane over time (Figure 4).

![Figure 4. Kinetic experiments in DOPC (a–c) and DPPC (d–f) for compounds 2 (a,d), 3 (b,e), 4 (c,f). Probes concentration during the measurement: 7.28 × 10^−7 M for 2, 3.7 × 10^−6 M for 3 and 3.4 × 10^−6 M for 4.](image)

The quenched fluorescence signal in buffer media rapidly increases as soon as the probe intercalates in the lipidic bilayer, highlighting a successful and immediate response to the environmental change. Upon an initial remarkable increase of the emission signal due to the probe intercalation, the system equilibrates displaying a lower emission intensity for all probes (Figure 5a–c). This might be due to the lack of a polar or charged anchoring group on the probes able to stabilize the fluorophores inside the membrane by additional electrostatic or hydrogen bond interactions with the hydrophilic head of the outer layer. The interaction of each probe with the vesicles is almost immediate, allowing a strong fluorescence turn-on. The maximum emission wavelength is not affected by the lipid phase for probes, due to the probe intercalation, the system equilibrates displaying a lower emission intensity for all probes (Figure 5d–e). This might be due to the lack of a polar or charged anchoring group on the probes able to stabilize the fluorophores inside the membrane by additional electrostatic or hydrogen bond interactions with the hydrophilic head of the outer layer. The interaction of each probe with the vesicles is almost immediate, allowing a strong fluorescence turn-on.

The maximum emission wavelength is not affected by the lipid phase for probes, while a bathochromic shift can be observed comparing the emission of probe 2 in DOPC with the one in DPPC (Figure 5a–c). The tightly packed organization of the lipid molecules in the DPPC S_o phase allows the probe to experience a more hydrophobic environment when compared to the interaction with DOPC LUVs. The L_d mobility of DOPC makes the probes surrounding more polar, bathochromically shifting the emission spectrum. Upon the initial incorporation in the bilayer membrane, driven by hydrophobic interaction, the decrease in the fluorescence signal, observed in the initial 20 min, suggested a slow partial release of the probes from the bilayer membrane. This might be explained considering a destabilization of the supramolecular lipidic environment by the deplanarized molecular conformation of the dimeric probes 2–4 (Figure 5d,e). Nevertheless, after 20 min, stable fluorescence signals were present for all the probes, indicating a positive staining of the membranes. It is worth noting that among the three investigated molecules, the asymmetric...
scaffold of 3 was the best performing since it had higher intensity, compared to the poorly soluble 2, and higher signal to noise (I/I<sub>0</sub>) when compared to the brighter 4.

Upon the equilibration, the intercalated probes into the liposome membranes were subjected to several heating-cooling cycles, since DPPC undergo to a phase transition at 41 °C [39]. The probes were warmed up to 55 °C and then cooled down to 25 °C several times to assess their response to the change of membrane fluidity. DOPC liposomes were also tested as negative control, since they exhibit no transition phase in the explored temperature range. Probes 2 was showing the most promising results to monitor the membrane phases (Figure 6). The DPPC phase transition, driven by temperature, is characterized by higher system fluidity and higher hydration of the membrane which led to a more polar micro-environment reflected in a bathochromic shift of the emission signal. The complete reversibility of this phenomenon allows to exclude any chemical transformations driven by the higher thermal energy given to the probe. The emission profile of 2 was not affected by any heating-cooling cycle in DOPC since no phase transition occurs between 25 °C and 55 °C confirming the central role of the lipidic phase in the probe emissive response. The lack of changes in the emission profiles of probes 3 and 4 during the warm-cool cycles might be related to the presence of the pyridyl substituents on the imidazopyridine core. As known by literature [40], the pyridine group might reduce the degree of deplanarization via a hydrogen-bonds based pattern, while the presence of two pending phenyl rings might confer to 2 higher sensitivity to the variation lateral pressure inside the membrane which should drive the probe planarization.

Figure 5. (a–c) Normalized emission profile in DOPC (solid line) and DPPC (dashed line) for compounds 2 (a), 3 (b), 4 (c). (d) Emission intensity vs. time in DOPC for 2 (red), 3 (magenta), 4 (purple). (d,e) Emission intensity vs. time DPPC for 2 (red), 3 (magenta), 4 (purple).
Figure 6. Normalized emission spectra of compounds 2 (a,d), 3 (b,e), 4 (c,f) in DOPC (a–c) and DPPC (d–f) over the heating cycles.

Further support for this explanation can be found observing the normalized excitation spectra (Figure 7). While no significant differences occurred for probes 3 and 4, 2 showed a variation in the relative intensities of two main contributions upon the temperature change. The blue-shifted band became more intense at 55°C and decreased at 25°C due to a greater contribution of the red-shifted planarized band in the S₀ phase. The reduced Stokes shift of 2 in DPPC at low temperature, originating from a more conjugated ground-state and a blue-shifted emission might be explained considering the presence, at the same time, of the planarization effect induced by the membrane lateral pressure and less polar microenvironment arising from a lower hydration of the membrane bilayer. On the other hand, 2 did not highlight any variation either on the emission or on the excitation spectra recorded in DOPC where no significant variation of the fluidity or the hydration was expected. Additionally, the partition coefficient between the two explored lipid phases (DOPC and DPPC at rt) and water was evaluated for the asymmetrical probe 3. The fluorescence enhancement by titration with increasing amounts of liposomes was monitored (Figures S3 and S4) and elaborated providing the partition coefficient value according to a procedure reported in literature for commercial fluorescent membrane probes [41]. In particular, the intensities of the emission spectra maxima were fitted versus the liposome’s concentration (Figure S4) according to Equation (1) reported in the material and method section. Finally, the partition coefficient Kᵣ was obtained as the slope of the double reciprocal plot of the fluorescence intensity versus the liposome concentration (Figure S3 inset). The partition coefficient Kᵣ in DOPC (9.6 × 10⁵) resulted to be slightly higher than the one in DPPC (6.0 × 10⁵). The tightly packed organization of phospholipids in DPPC makes less favorable the 3 partition in lipid phase compared to the liquid disordered DOPC phase. A comparison with partition coefficient of commercial probes (1,6-Diphenyl-1,3,5-hexatrien-DPH, 4-(4-didecylaminostyryl)-N-methylpyridiniumiodide–4-di-10-ASP) exhibiting Kᵣ in DPPC around 1–3 × 10⁶ [41] values highlights the remarkable partitioning effect of the imidazo[1,5-a]pyridine in the lipidic bilayer, strongly encouraging further studies on these family of fluorophores.
with a Mini-Extruder from Avanti Polar Lipids (pore size 100 nm). All the photophysical
measurements were carried out in a 1 cm four-sided quartz cuvette from Hellma Analitics.
Absorption spectra were measured on a Shimadzu UV-1900i UV-Vis Spectrophotometer,
using a resolution of 0.5 nm. Steady state emission spectra were measured with a Thermo Orbitrap Fusion mass spectrometer. Lipid Vesicles were prepared with a Mini-Extruder from Avanti Polar Lipids (pore size 100 nm). All the photophysical measurements were carried out in a 1 cm four-sided quartz cuvette from Hellma Analitics.

Figure 7. Normalized excitation spectra of compounds 2 (a,d), 3 (b,e), 4 (c,f) in DOPC (a–c), and DPPC (d–f) over the heating cycles.

3. Materials and Methods
3.1. General Methods
Reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and TCI Chemicals and were used without further purification. 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DOPC) and 1,2-dipalmitoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DPPC) were purchased from Avanti Polar Lipids. Solvents were purchased from VWR and Sigma-Aldrich and used as received. NMR solvents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and Euriso-top. H2O was purified with a Millipore RiOs 3 Water System. All reactions were monitored with analytical TLC (Merck Kieselgel 60 F254). Column chromatography was carried out with Biotage Isolera and Buchi Pure C-850 FlashPrep with silica gel particle size 60 μm. NMR spectra were obtained on a 600 MHz at Department of Drug Science and Technologies at the University of Turin and are reported as chemical shifts (δ) in ppm relative to TMS (δ = 0). Spin multiplicities are reported as a singlet (s), broad singlet (bs), doublet (d), doublet of triplets (dt), triplet (t), triplet of doublets (td), quartet (q) and quintet (quint) with coupling constants (J) given in Hz, or multiplet (m). ESI-HRMS electrospray ionization high-resolution mass experiments were obtained with a Thermo Orbitrap Fusion mass spectrometer. Lipid Vesicles were prepared with a Mini-Extruder from Avanti Polar Lipids (pore size 100 nm). All the photophysical measurements were carried out in a 1 cm four-sided quartz cuvette from Hellma Analitics. Absorption spectra were measured on a Shimadzu UV-1900i UV-Vis Spectrophotometer, using a resolution of 0.5 nm. Steady state emission spectra were measured on a Shimadzu RF-6000. The excitation and the emission slits were set at 5 and 10 nm, respectively, while the resolution at 1 nm.

3.2. Synthetic Procedures

Products 1, 2, 4, and 5 were prepared as previously reported (1 [20], 2 [35], 4 [35] and 5 [35]).

Bis-imidazo[1,5-alpyridin-3-yl]benzene (3). A mixture consisting of di(2-pyridyl)ketone (0.73 mmol, 1 eq.), terephthalaldehyde (1.46 mmol, 2 eq.), and ammonium acetate (21.85 mmol) in 25 mL of glacial acetic acid was stirred at 118 ºC. After 6 h, the reaction mixture was added with (phenyl(pyridin-2-yl)methanone (0.73 mmol, 1 eq.). After 12 h, the reaction...
mixture was cooled to room temperature and the acetic acid was removed by evaporation under vacuum. The solid was dissolved in a saturated aqueous solution of Na₂CO₃ (40 mL) and the mixture was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 30 mL). The organic layer was separated and the solvent evaporated under vacuum. The yellow formed solid was washed several times with diethyl ether (3 × 30 mL) and dried under vacuum. The obtained crude product was purified via column chromatography on silica gel (CH₂Cl₂-CH₃OH 98:2) to get 3 as a yellow solid (0.51 g, 78%).

1H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.78 (1H, d, 3J(H,H) = 9.2 Hz), 8.67 (1H, d, 4J(H,H) = 4.9 Hz), 8.34 (2H, dd, 3J(H,H) = 7.1 Hz, J(H,H) = 10.98 Hz), 8.30 (1H, d, 3J(H,H) = 6.9 Hz), 8.06 (m, 4H), 7.96 (2H, d, 3J(H,H) = 6.9 Hz), 7.89 (1H, d, 3J(H,H) = 9.3 Hz), 7.77 (1H, t, 3J(H,H) = 7.6 Hz), 7.49 (2H, t, 3J(H,H) = 7.5 Hz), 7.33 (1H, 3J(H,H) = 7.8 Hz), 7.15 (1H, dd, 3J(H,H) = 6.6 Hz, 4J(H,H) = 4.2 Hz), 7.00 (1H, dd, 3J(H,H) = 9.3 Hz, 4J(H,H) = 6.3 Hz), 6.85 (1H, dd, 3J(H,H) = 9.3 Hz, 4J(H,H) = 5.3 Hz), 6.74 (1H, t, 3J(H,H) = 6.7 Hz), 6.67 (1H, t, 3J(H,H) = 6.0 Hz) ppm; 13C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 149.03, 149.02, 149.00, 137.51, 137.47, 134.91, 132.59, 130.65, 130.56, 130.33, 128.87, 128.84, 128.80, 128.15, 126.96, 126.79, 121.84, 121.71, 120.69, 120.12, 120.06, 119.40, 114.43, 113.76. HRMS (ESI): m/z calculated for C₃₁H₅₂N₅ [(M + H⁺)] 464.1877; found 464.1870. Decomposition at 300 °C before melting.

3.3. Optical Features

Absorption and fluorescence spectra. Stock solutions were prepared in DMSO with a concentration between 0.5 × 10⁻³ M and 2.3 × 10⁻³ M for probes, while probe 2 was dissolved in CHCl₃ (0.7 × 10⁻² M). The samples concentration was adjusted to have an absorbance between 0.1 and 1 at the λ_{abs max} to evaluate the general photophysical properties in several organic solvents (molar extinction coefficient, λ_{abs max} and λ_{em max}). All the absorption and steady state emission spectra were corrected for their respective blank.

Fluorescence quantum yield evaluation. The sample concentrations were adapted to have an absorbance lower than 0.1 at the excitation wavelength (λ_{ex}) using the above-mentioned stock solutions. The fluorescence quantum yields (Φ) were evaluated compared on an external standard, quinine sulfate (Φ = 0.546 in H₂SO₄ 0.5 M, λ_{ex} 366 nm) by applying the following equation.

$$\Phi = \Phi_{STD} \frac{I}{I_{STD}} \frac{Abs_{STD}}{Abs} \frac{n^2}{n_{STD}^2}$$

where Φ_{STD} is the fluorescence quantum yield of the standard, I and I_{STD} are the integrated area of the emission band of the sample and the standard, respectively. Abs and Abs_{STD} are the absorbance at the excitation wavelength for the sample and the standard, respectively. n and n_{STD} are the solvent refractive indexes of the sample and the standard solutions, respectively.

3.4. Experiments with Liposomes

DOPC-LUVs preparation. A fine lipid film was prepared by a slow rotary evaporation (30 °C) of a DOPC (25.0 mg, 0.03 mmol) solution in MeOH/CHCl₃ 1:1 (2.0 mL), followed by a final draining (5 h) in vacuo. The prepared film was hydrated with 1.0 mL buffer (10 mM phosphate, 100 mM NaCl, pH 7.4) for 30 min at rt, subjected to freeze-melt cycles (7 ×, liquid N₂, 40 °C water bath) and extrusions (17 ×) through a polycarbonate membrane (pore size, 100 nm) at rt. Final conditions: ≈32 mM DOPC; 10 mM phosphate, 100 mM NaCl, pH 7.4. The vesicles were used by seven days from the extrusion.

DPPC-LUVs preparation. A fine lipid film was prepared by a slow rotary evaporation (40 °C) of a DPPC (22.5 mg, 0.03 mmol) solution in MeOH/CHCl₃ 1:1 (2.0 mL), followed by a final draining (5 h) in vacuo. The prepared film was hydrated with 1.0 mL buffer (10 mM phosphate, 100 mM NaCl, pH 7.4) for 30 min at 55 °C, subjected to freeze-melt cycles (7 ×, liquid N₂, 55 °C water bath) and extrusions (21 ×) through a polycarbonate membrane (pore size, 100 nm) at 55°C. Final conditions: ≈31 mM DPPC; 10 mM phosphate, 100 mM NaCl, pH 7.4. The vesicles were used by seven days from the extrusion.
Kinetic experiments. In a typical procedure, to a 2900 µL buffer (10 mM phosphate, 100 mM NaCl, pH 7.4 at rt) in a quartz cuvette, DOPC LUVs (100 µL, 1.1 mM DOPC final) or DPPC LUVs (100 µL, 1.02 mM DPPC final) all the tested probes (20 µL of the DMSO stock solution used for the photophysical measurements. A solution of 2 was appositely prepared in DMSO with a concentration of 0.11 × 10⁻³ M) were added in separated experiments. Each solution was mixed at rt and monitored acquiring the emission spectra every 1 min in the 20 min immediately after the sample preparation.

Temperature-dependent experiments. In a typical procedure, to a 2900 µL buffer (10 mM phosphate, 100 mM NaCl, pH 7.4 at rt) in a quartz cuvette, DOPC LUVs (100 µL, 1.1 mM DOPC final) or DPPC LUVs (100 µL, 1.02 mM DPPC final) and all the tested probes (20 µL of the DMSO stock solution). A solution of 2 was appositely prepared in DMSO with a concentration of 0.11 × 10⁻³ M) were added in separated experiments. The solution was mixed at rt, then the emission and excitation spectra were acquired after the respective equilibration time at rt calculated by the time dependence measurements. The solution was kept at 25 ± 1 °C for 15 min before the spectra acquisition, the cuvette was then warmed to 55 ± 1 °C using a hot plate and a sand bath, the solution was kept at this temperature for 15 min before the spectra acquisition. Then the temperature was lowered down to 25 ± 1 °C and the spectra were acquired after 15 min. The here described temperature cycle was repeated a second time.

Partition coefficient experiments. In a typical procedure, different DOPC or DPPC LUVs (32 mM and 31 mM, respectively) aliquots were added to a solution of 3 (3 µM, 2.92 mL) in buffer (10 mM phosphate, 100 mM NaCl, pH 7.4 at rt). The fluorescence spectra were recorded at equilibrium after addition of lipids (30 min for both vesicles). Then, the maximum intensity (F) was plotted against the lipid concentration (L) for each prepared solution, according to the Equation (1), reported in [41]:

\[ F = \frac{F_0 L}{55.6 + L} \]  

where \( F_0 \) is the maximum fluorescence resulting from the total probe incorporation into membrane and \( K_{rip} \) is the partition coefficient. The double reciprocal plot of the fluorescence (F) and the lipid concentration (L) should give a linear fit, according to the following Equation (2):

\[ \frac{1}{F} = \left( \frac{55.6}{K_{rip} F_0} \right) \frac{1}{L} + \frac{1}{F_0} \]  

Finally, \( K_{rip} \) can be calculated from the slope of the linear fit reported in Equation (2), using the \( F_0 \) value calculated from the intercept of the fit.

4. Conclusions

In this work, we described the synthesis of a series of fluorescent probes based on the imidazo[1,5-a]pyridine core. Both monomeric 1 and 5 and extended structures 2–4 were prepared and an asymmetric version, 3, was herein reported for the first time. The obtained probes were optically characterized in several organic solvents highlighting the wide Stokes shift and the peculiar features of the imidazo[1,5-a]pyridine based compounds. The presence of a pyridinil substituent in 2–4 enhances the quantum yield from 0.12 of 2 up to 0.38 of 4, passing through 0.18 for 3 in apolar environment. The solvatochromic response of the most extended probes made them suitable candidate for the application as membrane bilayer probe. DOPC and DPPC LUVs were used to simulate the biological membrane: although all the tested compounds successfully interact with liposomes, probe 2 can discriminate between different lipid phases according to an emission profile shift. Nevertheless, the reported preliminary investigation in liposomes have suggested that the probe scaffolds require molecular modifications such as the introduction of polar or charge moieties to enhance the interaction and stabilization inside the membrane layers. Moreover, stronger push-pull character along the probe scaffold might be suitable to improve
the membrane phases discrimination considering the different polarity and hydration degree among the membrane phases. This preliminary study encourages further detailed investigation of these class of compounds as fluorescent membrane probes, evaluating the electronic effects of functional groups on the core and exploring several heterogeneous liposomal model systems.
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