Diamond synthesis from carbon nanofibers at low temperature and low pressure
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In this article, we report a new route to synthesize diamond by converting “solid” carbon nanofibers with a Spark Plasma Sintering system under low temperature and pressure (even at atmospheric pressure). Well-crystallized diamond crystals are obtained at the tips of the carbon nanofibers after sintering at 1500 °C and atmospheric pressure. Combining with scanning electron microscopy, transmission electron microscopy, electron-energy loss spectroscopy and Raman spectroscopy observations, we propose the conversion mechanism as follows: the disorder “solid” carbon nanofibers $\rightarrow$ well crystallized carbon nanofibers $\rightarrow$ bent graphitic sheets $\rightarrow$ onion-liked rings $\rightarrow$ diamond single crystal $\rightarrow$ the bigger congregated diamond crystal. It is believed that the plasma generated by low-voltage, vacuum spark, via a pulsed DC in Spark Plasma Sintering process, plays a critical role in the low temperature and low pressure diamond formation. This Spark Plasma Sintering process may provide a new route for diamond synthesis in an economical way to a large scale.

Diamond has broad applications with its wide range of extreme properties1. Many approaches have been pursued to make diamonds, such as chemical-vapor-deposition (CVD)2, shock-wave processes3, plasma activation4, high pressure5, exotic precursors6,7 and explosive mixtures8, etc., since the first report of diamonds synthesized through high-pressure and high-temperature (HPHT) process9. The conversion of graphite into diamond is of considerable technical interest and therefore remains an exciting field in both experimental and theoretical studies. Although graphite can be converted to diamond, the high temperature and high pressure are needed or the catalysts are presence.

Carbon nanotubes (CNTs) could also transform to diamond under different conditions, e.g. laser irradiation-induced10, hydrogen plasma post treated11, chemical vapor deposition (CVD) by nanotube coating12, shock wave13, and direct transformation under HPHT14. However, the above approaches have serious limitation from low production volumes, low yield and high costs. Here we report a new route to synthesize diamond, which is converted from “solid” carbon nanofibers (CNFs) in a Spark Plasma Sintering (SPS) system under low temperature and low pressure (even at atmospheric pressure) conditions.

The as-grown “solid” CNFs were synthesized from ethanol flame following the process reported before15–17. The “solid” CNFs were then treated in SPS system under atmospheric pressure at 1500 °C in a vacuum (mechanical pump) for 5 minutes, as described in the Methods section.

The starting “solid” CNFs were checked with electron microscopy. As shown in Fig. 1a, the CNFs were entangled with each other with diameter between 20 nm and 100 nm, and length in several micrometers.
The detailed transmission electron microscopy (TEM) observations revealed that all CNFs had “solid” microstructures (Fig. 1b,c). Obviously, the CNFs were amorphous and composed of small sized and disordered graphitic sheets with short-range order but long-range disorder. The Electron energy loss spectrum (EELS) profile of as-grown CNFs (Fig. 1d) was similar to that of amorphous carbon18, which suggested the amorphous structures in the “solid” CNFs.

After SPS treatment, the morphologies of the CNFs were changed largely. The SEM images, as shown in Fig. 2, demonstrated transformation of the pristine tangled CNFs bundles (Fig. 1a) to melting and clustering CNFs (Fig. 2a), agglomerated particles (Fig. 2b), diamond crystals (Fig. 2c), and nanoscale size plates (Fig. 2d). The nanoscale plates, as shown in Fig. 2d, particularly resembled those previously observed for the O- and T-phased phases of the HTHP-polymerized C6019 and the morphology of the HTHP-polymerized single-wall carbon nanotubes (SWCNTs)20. The agglomerated particles and melting
CNFs shown in Fig. 2a,b were presumably sintered and coalesced from single crystals during the SPS process. Actually, Zhang and Shen et al. obtained the same structures when used CNTs as starting material\textsuperscript{21,22}. They showed that the SPS process caused the bonding in CNTs to transform from vander Waals in a rope or yarn, to robust sp\textsuperscript{2}, then to sp\textsuperscript{3} in micro-diamonds. It was significant to note that there were not only diamond single crystals, but also agglomerated particles in their sintered compacts. The largest single diamond crystal was seen to be about 70\,\mu m; and the largest congregated diamond crystal was about 100\,\mu m. The agglomerated diamonds shown in their experiment were presumably sintered, coalesced, and bonded from single crystals during the SPS process, as the SPS promoted the agglomeration of single crystal diamonds. Similar to their work, the present SPS treatment would also promote the agglomeration of crystal diamond in our experiment.

We have conducted high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) observations on a single CNF, as shown in Fig. 3. As shown in Fig. 3(a), the SPS-treated CNFs could be divided into four sections according to the differences of morphologies: A) the section of well graphited, B) the section of strip-shaped, C) the section of onion-liked, and D) the section of diamond-liked.

The A section was defined as that the CNFs could not have remarkable changes, but the graphitic layers became continuous and orderly arranged, the degree of graphitization were improved (Fig. 3b). The B section (Fig. 3c) was quite different from the A section and as-grown CNFs. The B section was the strip-shaped crystalline segment that composed of continuous and stiff graphitic layer structure. The crystalline segment was not parallel to the central axis of CNF but had an angle along the axis, as shown in Fig. 3a. The EELS profile of the B sections (Fig. 3c inset) showed that the $\pi$-electron plasmon peak at 285\,eV was intensified and the core-loss spectrum was very similar to that of graphite, which implied that the B section has well-crystallized graphite structure.

The structure of the C section (Fig. 3d) was onion-liked, wherein the graphitic layers bended greatly and formed a ring-shaped structure. The D section (Fig. 3e,f) was a well-crystallized particle, which was enveloped by the graphitic shells. The electron diffraction pattern of the crystalline particle (Fig. 3e inset) can be indexed as the face-centered cubic (FCC) structure, with lattice parameter 0.3575\,nm, which was very close to the diamond (FCC structure with lattice parameter 0.3566\,nm). Meanwhile, the HRTEM image showed that the lattice spacing between neighboring planes of the crystal was about 0.18\,nm (Fig. 3f), very close to the (002) plane lattice spacing of FCC diamond (0.178\,nm).

Figure 4a showed a comparison of X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of the as-grown CNFs and the SPS-treated CNFs. Remarkable differences arose from these data included that: 1) the peaks of iron oxide (Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} and Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}) disappeared after SPS treatment, instead, the body-centered cubic (BCC) phase of pure iron appeared; 2) three strong diamond peaks [(111), (220) and (311)] were observed after SPS
treatment clearly; 3) the noisy background was diminished after treatment. The first feature possibly resulted from the reduction effect of carbon on iron oxide. And the latter features showed that the strong effect of SPS treatment on the as-grown “solid” CNFs which resulted in more graphitized structures and diamond formation.

Further Raman spectra measurements confirmed the XRD results and revealed the graphitizing of CNFs and the formation of diamond structure. By comparing the Raman spectra (Fig. 4b) of the as-grown CNFs and that of SPS-treated CNFs, it was found that the characteristic Raman shift of diamond phase appeared at 1332 cm\(^{-1}\) while as-grown CNFs had the D band peak at 1325 cm\(^{-1}\). This result further confirmed that the “solid” CNFs were converted to the diamond. The G band peak of Raman shift, corresponding to an E\(_2g\) mode of graphite and relating to vibration of the sp\(^2\) banded carbon atoms in a two-dimensional graphitic hexagonal lattice, also increased from 1572 cm\(^{-1}\) to 1583 cm\(^{-1}\), implied that the graphite phase also formed from the SPS-sintered CNFs.

For the above experimental results, we can confirm that the diamond grains were successfully synthesized from the “solid” CNFs in SPS. The SEM, TEM and HRTEM observations directly revealed the microstructure changes and the converting processes of the CNFs to diamond during the SPS treatment. Meanwhile, besides the four sections with different morphologies as described above, there were other interesting finding, such as: 1) the microstructure transformation was usually happened at the tip of CNFs; 2) the graphitic layers of CNFs broke by using an axial pressure in SPS, and the length of CNFs decreased with the increase of pressure; 3) there were sometimes several smaller diamond particles formed in one CNF, while for most cases only one diamond particle was formed in a CNF.

Based on the above microstructure observations, we suggested the conversion processes from the “solid” CNFs to diamond as follows: the disorder “solid” CNFs \(\rightarrow\) well crystallined CNFs \(\rightarrow\) bent graphitic sheets \(\rightarrow\) onion-liked rings \(\rightarrow\) diamond single crystal \(\rightarrow\) the bigger congregated diamond crystal.

We proposed a model for elucidating the conversion of the “solid” CNFs to diamond. SPS technique is a pressure-assisted method based on a high-temperature plasma (spark plasma) momentarily generated in the gap between powder material by electrical discharge during on-off d.c. pulse. It has been suggested that the d.c. pulse could generate several effects, such as: 1) the microstructure transformation was usually happened at the tip of CNFs; 2) the graphitic layers of CNFs broke by using an axial pressure in SPS, and the length of CNFs decreased with the increase of pressure; 3) there were sometimes several smaller diamond particles formed in one CNF, while for most cases only one diamond particle was formed in a CNF.

Moreover, with the presence of mass plasmas in the gap between the tips of neighborhood CNFs, the onion-liked rings could be converted to diamond with the assistant of the energy from SPS. The role of plasmas to the diamond conversion from CNFs might be similar to that using electron beam by Banhart.
et al. In addition, the high localized temperature at the tip and the remarkable stress gradient, which were created by the thermal gradient occurring in the tip zone, might play a key role to the conversion. As a result, the onion-like ring could be transform to the diamond single crystal.

SPS process has been commonly used to consolidate refractory metals and functionally graded materials which are hard to densify by conventional sintering methods. For the melting, clustering and agglomerating of the CNFs in SPS system, the diamond single crystal could be coalesced and bonded to form bigger diamond crystal. However, we have to point out that we do not know whether the iron catalyst particles played a catalysis role on the conversion from the CNFs to diamond during SPS treatment. Unfortunately, we failed to synthesize diamond by using pure CVD carbon nanotubes with the same treated techniques. These indicated that the “solid” CNFs with amorphous microstructure were of a stronger intension to convert to diamond than the “hollow” CNTs with well-crystallized microstructure. Zhang et al. successfully synthesized diamond from CVD-prepared CNTs in SPS, and however, their experiment did not provide the transformation procedure. In the present work, the conversion process of the CNFs to diamond was systematically revealed by TEM and HRTEM, and we believe that our finding could provide a clear guideline for synthesizing diamond from CNFs and CNTs.

In conclusion, we described the conversion of "solid" CNFs to diamond at low temperature and pressure in a SPS system. We also put forward a new model for the conversion. As a newly developed low-temperature and pressure assisted rapid sintering technique, SPS was originally designed for simple, cost effective, rapid, routine synthesis of new materials, it may provide a new route for diamond synthesis on a large scale.

Methods

We have previously shown that the process of synthesizing solid carbon nanofibers (CNFs) from ethanol flames. The experiment utilized the common combustion apparatus for ethanol flames. The fuel was absolute ethanol (C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{5}OH). The size of flame was about 25 mm in diameter in the middle and 80 mm in height with 15 mm wick. Carbon steels and low alloy steels, such as Q235 (commercial pure Fe), 45# (0.45%C steel), T8 (0.80%C steel), 40Cr, 16Mn, 65Mn, were used as the substrates. First, the sampling surface of the substrates was mechanically polished to certain roughness by using different grade of abrasive papers. Then, the surfaces were pretreated by dipping in a solution of 5 ml pure nitric acid (HNO\textsubscript{3}) and 100 ml absolute ethanol (C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{5}OH) for several seconds. The purpose of this process was to generate tiny particles on the surface for catalyzing growth of CNFs. The total synthesizing conditions was not strictly limited. It means that the present CNFs may very easily be synthesized on substrate in an ethanol flame. At last, the modified surface was faced down against the flame when it was supposed to generate tiny particles on the surface for catalyzing growth of CNFs. The total synthesizing time was maintained around 760 °C.

The as-grown CNFs were treated according to the following process: 1) CNFs were heat-treated at temperature 600 °C for 2 hours. 2) Then the CNFs were treated in a spark plasma sintering system (SPS-3.20MK-II, Sumitomo Heavy Industries, Japan) under atmospheric pressure at 1500 °C in a vacuum (1 atm) for 5 min. The temperature was monitored with an optical pyrometer focused on a hole (1.5 mm in depth and 0.3 mm in diameter) in the graphite die. The heating rate was maintained at 100 K min\textsuperscript{-1} and the applied direct current was about 1000 A (voltage < 5 V), with a pulse duration of 12 ms and a pulse interval of 2 ms.

The morphology and microstructure of the samples were characterized using a scanning electron microscope (SEM) (FEG-SEM, SIRION, FEI, Netherlands) and high resolution transmission electron microscope (HRTEM) (FEG-HRTEM, JEM-2100F, JEOL, Japan) with an electron-energy loss spectroscopy (EELS) accessory. Raman measurement was conducted in a Raman spectroscopy (LabRAM HR 800 UV, HPIRA JOBIN YVON, France) with a He-Ne laser excited at 632.8 nm. The structure of the samples were analyzed using X-ray Diffractometer (XRD, D8 ADVANCE, Bruker AXS, Germany) using Cu Ka radiation (λ = 0.15406 nm) at a scanning rate of 0.02° s\textsuperscript{-1}.
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