Oestrogen and epidermal growth factor down-regulate erbB-2 oncogene protein expression in breast cancer cells by different mechanisms
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Summary Mitogen-induced mammary cell growth is often accompanied by decreased levels of expression of the p185erbb-2 protein. We have previously reported that oestrogen inhibits erbB-2 mRNA and protein expression in breast cancer cells, while epidermal growth factor (EGF) treatment has been shown to decrease p185erbb-2 levels in normal mouse mammary epithelial cells. In the present work, we studied the effect of oestrogen and EGF on erbB-2 expression in oestrogen-responsive breast cancer cells. We observed that both oestrogen and EGF comparably down-regulated p185erbb-2 levels, while stimulating growth of T47D and ZR75.1 cells. Oestrogens, but not EGF, concomitantly down-regulated erbB-2 mRNA. Run-on analysis showed a reduced erbB-2 transcription rate in the presence of oestrogens. Furthermore, the transcriptional activity of a 219 bp proximal fragment of the human erbB-2 promoter was repressed by oestrogens, whereas it was enhanced by EGF. EGF stimulated both tyrosine phosphorylation and autokinase activity of p185erbb-2.

We conclude that oestrogens, but not EGF, inhibit erbB-2 expression by transcriptional repression, while EGF down-regulates p185erbb-2 at a post-translational level. Thus, two factors converging in terms of effects on cell growth, display divergent mechanisms of regulation of erbB-2 expression.

The erbB-2 oncogene encodes a 185 kDa tyrosine kinase receptor (p185erbb-2) with homology to the EGF receptor (EGFR) (Yamamoto et al., 1986). p185erbb-2, most likely in heterodimeric arrangements with the proteins encoded by the related genes erbB-3 and erbB-4 (Plowman et al., 1993; Sliwkowski et al., 1994), may constitute a receptor for the recently described family of peptides named heregulins, NDF, GGF and ARIA (reviewed by Mudget, 1993).

erbB-2 activation is frequent in human cancer. erbB-2 is amplified in 20–25% of primary breast tumours (Slamon et al., 1987; Berger et al., 1988; Adnane et al., 1989) and overexpression of p185erbb-2 generally correlates with unfavourable clinical outcome (reviewed by Perren, 1991; Hynes, 1993). In most cases, erbB-2 overexpression is due to gene amplification; however, the amount of erbB-2 mRNA or p185erbb-2 measured in some primary tumours and breast cancer cell lines does not directly reflect erbB-2 gene copy number (Kraus et al., 1988; Hynes et al., 1989; King et al., 1989; Dati et al., 1991), implying the existence of mechanisms regulating erbB-2 expression. One of these mechanisms may be the expression of specific transcription factors. The OB2.1 factor, which footprints the human erbB-2 promoter, is found in breast cancer cells that overexpress - but not in those that do not - the erbB-2 gene (Hollywood & Hurst, 1993). Hormones may also play a role in the regulation of erbB-2 expression and function. Oestrogens and EGF are obvious candidates for such regulation, since oestrogen receptor (ER) and erbB-2 or EGFR expression are inversely correlated in breast carcinomas (Harris & Nicholson, 1988; Perren, 1991). ER+ tumours are generally well differentiated and less invasive, whereas tumours overexpressing erbB-2 and/or EGFR are less differentiated and more aggressive.

We and other groups have demonstrated that 17β-oestradiol, which is strongly mitogenic for ER+ breast cancer cells, inhibits erbB-2 expression at both the mRNA and protein level (Dati et al., 1990; Read et al., 1990; Warri et al., 1992). In developing rat mammary gland tissues, p185erbb-2 increases progressively during pregnancy up to the complete functional differentiation state (Dati et al., 1990). This can be reproduced in vitro using the mouse mammary epithelial cell line HC11, in which p185erbb-2 level increases on confluence and during hormone-induced differentiation, whereas stimulation of cell growth by EGF is accompanied by down-regulation of p185erbb-2 (Kornilova et al., 1992). The sum of these observations led us to ask whether erbB-2 down-regulation is a general effect linked to the entry of mammary cells in the replicative phase.

In the present study, we have compared the molecular mechanisms by which 17β-oestradiol and EGF regulate erbB-2 expression in ER+ breast cancer cells. Treatment with either EGF or oestradiol comparably stimulated growth and concomitantly reduced p185erbb-2 level. However, oestrogen treatment led to a repression of erbB-2 transcription, while EGF was found to act primarily at the level of erbB-2 protein.

Materials and methods

Cell culture

ZR75.1 cells were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection. The oestrogen-responsive T47D cell line was obtained from Dr Salomon (Bethesda). Cells were maintained at 37°C with a 5% carbon dioxide atmosphere, in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium (DMEM) containing 5% (T47D) or 10% (ZR75.1) heat-inactivated fetal calf serum (FCS), 4 mM L-glutamine, 20 mM N-(2-hydroxyethyl) piperazine-N’-(ethanesulphonic acid) (HEPES) buffer pH 7.4, 50 IU ml⁻¹ penicillin and streptomycin. This medium is designated ‘complete medium’ (CM). A medium devoid of oestrogenic activity (SM) was prepared by adding 5% dextran-coated charcoal (DCC)-treated FCS to Eagle minimum essential medium with no phenol red, and other supplements as in CM. Treatments were done with 17β-oestradiol from a stock solution in ethanol (maximum ethanol concentration in media 0.001%). The epidermal growth factor was human recombinant EGFR (Sigma), used at 10 ng ml⁻¹ unless otherwise specified, except for autokinase experiments, in which mouse submaxillary gland EGFR was used at 400 ng ml⁻¹.

Immunoblotting analysis of p185erbb-2

The anti-p185erbb-2 antibodies were a 21N polyclonal antiserum, raised against the cytoplasmatic C-terminal peptide of human p185erbb-2 (Gulllick et al., 1987), used at 1:500, and the OD3 monoclonal antibody, purchased from Applied Biotechnology (Cambridge, MA, USA), directed towards the external domain of human p185erbb-2. Cell pellets were lysed
on ice in lysis buffer [20 mM Tris, pH 7.4, 0.1 M sodium chloride, 5 mM magnesium chloride, 1% Nonidet P40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1 mM DTT, 2 mM Trisylol and 1 mM phenyl methylsulphonyl fluoride (PMSF)]. Lysates were centrifuged at 800 g for 20 min at 4°C and stored at −80°C. Aliquots of 40–75 μg of total proteins were electrophoresed through 8% polyacrylamide (PAA) slab gels containing 0.1% sodium dodecy1 sulphate (SDS) and transferred to nitrocellulose or PVDF filters. After saturation in 3% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in NTEN [50 mM Tris, pH 7.4, 0.15 M sodium chloride, 2 mM disodium ethylenediaminetetraacetate (EDTA), 0.1% Nonidet P40] for 3 h at 37°C, filters were incubated overnight at 4°C with the primary antibody in 3% BSA–NTEN, then washed for 10 min in NTEN twice, incubated for 1 h at room temperature in 3% BSA–NTEN containing 0.1 μCi ml−1 [32P]protein A, washed again and exposed to Hyperfilm MP autoradiographic films (Amer- sham), at −80°C for 4 days. For some experiments, blots were revealed using the ECL chemiluminescence kit (Amersham), following the manufacturer’s protocol.

Northern blot assay

Total RNA was extracted either by the guanidine–lithium chloride method, as described by Datti et al. (1990) or by the AGPC procedure (Chomczynski &Sacchi, 1987), with minor modifications. Aliquots of 10–20 μg of total RNA were electrophoresed on 1.2% agarose-formaldehyde denaturing gels, transferred on Hybond N filters and UV fixed. Hybridisation was done at 42°C overnight in 50% formamide, 5 × SSPE, 0.5% SDS, 5 × Denhart’s, 20 μg ml−1 calf thymus DNA, 8% dextran sulphate and 1–2 × 105 c.p.m. ml−1 random priming 32P-labelled probes. Stringency wash was done at 65°C for 30 min in 0.1 × SSC, 0.1% SDS; filters were exposed to Hyperfilm MP films at −80°C for 2–4 days. The erbB-2 probe was a 1.1 kbp BamHI fragment from the human c-erbB-2 pcER204 cDNA (Yamamoto et al., 1986). Loading and quality control was provided by three methods: (i) ethidium bromide staining of the gels; (ii) filter staining with methylene blue; (iii) filter rehybridisation to β-actin and glyceraldehyde phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) pro- bes.

RNA elongation assay

Run-on assays were carried out essentially as previously described (Greenberg et al., 1986). Cells were homogenised in Dounce in buffer H (0.25 M sucrose, 10 mM magnesium chloride, 2 mM DTT, 0.1% Nonidet P40 and 10 mM HEPES, pH 8.0) on ice. Lysate was centrifuged at 600 g for 5 min and the pellet washed once. The pellet was resuspended gently in buffer H containing 0.15 M NaCl, 0.5 mM MgCl2, 0.01% NP-40 and 200 μM ATP, gassed with 5% CO2 and 95% O2 and centrifuged as above. Nuclei were finally resuspended in buffer F [40% glycerol, 5 mM magnesium chloride, 0.1 mM EDTA, 2 mM diethiothreitol (DTT), 50 mM HEPES pH 8.0] and frozen in liquid nitrogen. For the labelling reaction, 200 μl of nuclei was added to 200 μl of solution R (1 mM each ATP, CTP, GTP, 5 mM magnesium chloride, 0.3 mM potassium chloride, 10 mM Tris pH 8.0) and 100 μCi [α-32P]UTP. Elongation was allowed for 30°C. Reactions were stopped by adding 40 μg of yeast RNA as carrier, then treated with 70 U of DNase I and 50 μg of proteinase K for 20 min at 37°C, and lysed by addition of 15 mM EDTA and 0.5% SDS, with an additional 20 min at 37°C. RNA was phenol–chloroform extracted and ethanol precipitated. Digestions, extraction and precipitation were repeated once. After chloroform extrac- tion, RNA were treated for 10 min on ice with 0.1% sodium hydroxide, neutralised and finally resuspended in 5 mM DTT containing 0.8 U ml−1 RNAseA. Approximately 1 × 105 c.p.m. of incorporated radioactivity was hybridised to nitrocellulose strips to which 20 μg of the appropriate linearised and denatured plasmids had been transferred with a slot-blot apparatus. Hybridisation was done at 42°C in 50% formamide for 46 h. Strips were washed twice for 30 min in 2 × SSC at 65°C, then digested with 10 μg ml−1 RNase A for 30 min at 37°C, washed again in 2 × SSC, 0.1% SDS, for 1 h at 37°C and finally exposed to Kodak XAR films for 3–7 days at −80°C. Proteins used were human erbB-2 cDNA in two different vectors, pSV2 and pLTR (DiFiore et al., 1987), the human c-MYC fragment pRyc7.4 (Nishikura et al., 1983), the ribosomal protein rpL7a cDNA, obtained from Dr S. Kozma (FMI, Basle, Switzerland) and sheared total genomic DNA from human placenta.

Reporter plasmids and chloramphenicol acetyl transferase (CAT) assay

The human erbB-2 promoter fragment was derived from a genomic clone spanning more than 8 kbp on the 5’ end of the gene. Cloning and characterisation of this clone, as well as construction of CAT reporter vectors, are to be published elsewhere. Part of the clone was sequenced and found to correspond to the erbB-2 promoter sequence previously published (Hudson et al., 1990). The construct used here (pE2P.PP.CAT) was composed of a 219 bp fragment, extend- ing from the PstI site, located at position −397, to the major and most proximal transcriptional starting site, located at position −178, relative to the initiator codon ATG (Tal et al., 1987). Here, a BamHI site was introduced to allow cloning between the PstI and BamHI sites of plasmid pBLCAT3 (Luckow & Schutz, 1987). A human ER expression vector (pHEO) was obtained from Dr Chambon, Stras- bourg, France (Green et al., 1986). Transient transfections were performed with 15 μg of pE2P.PP.CAT and 2 μg of pHEO, by the standard calcium-phosphate co-precipitation procedure. As a control for promoter specificity, parallel experiments were run with pRSV.CAT (Gorman et al., 1982). Treatments were directly included in the medium used for transfections and renewed after 24 h. Cells were harvested 40 h after transfection by scraping on ice in PBS. Evaluation of CAT activity was performed by the thin-layer chromatography (TLC) method as previously described (Sambrook et al., 1989). Individual spots were cut and β-counter. A control for transfection efficiency was provided by co-transfecting 3 μg of the β-galactosidase expression vector pCH110. β- Galactosidase was evaluated in cell lysates by the colourimetric method (Sambrook et al., 1989).

In vitro kinase assay

T47D cells were lysed in PT buffer [50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 5 mM EDTA, 50 mM sodium ethylene glycol-bis(b- aminoethyl ether)N,N,N′,N′-tetraacetate (EGTA), 1% Triton X-100, 150 mM sodium chloride, 3 mM PMSF, 8 mg ml−1 aprotinin, 50 μg ml−1 leupeptin, 4 mg ml−1 pepstatin, 1 mM sodium orthovanadate, 20 μM phenyl arsenic oxide] and centrifuged at 12,000 g for 10 min. p185erb-2 was immunoprecipitated with the FRPS MAb, which recognises an epitope on the external domain of the human erbB-2 protein (Harwerth et al., 1992). Immunocomplexes were col- lected with anti-mouse IgG-coated protein A–Sepharose, washed and incubated with kinase buffer (20 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 10 mM magnesium chloride, 10 mM manganese chloride, 0.1% Triton X-100, 0.1 mM sodium orthovanadate), 10 μCi of [γ-32P]ATP and 10 mM ATP for 15 min at room temperature. Beads were washed, boiled in loading buffer for 5 min and proteins resolved on 8% polyacrylamide (PAA) gels. The autophosphorylation of p185erb-2 was quantitated using a phosphoimager.

Tyrosine phosphorylation assay

Cells were lysed on ice in PT buffer containing 20 mM sodium molybdate and 20 mM sodium fluoride and the lysate cleared by centrifugation at 2,000 g for 10 min at 4°C. p185erb-2 was immunoprecipitated at 4°C with the 21N antiserum and collected on protein G–Sepharose. Beads were washed in ice-cold PT buffer, boiled for 5 min in SDS-PAGE buffer, the denatured proteins resolved on 8% PAA gels and gels blotted onto PVDF filters. Filters were incubated with
the 4G10 anti-phosphotyrosine MAb (UBI) and revealed by the ECL method as described above. To provide a control for the amount of p185 in the lysate, filters were stripped and reprobed with the 21N antiserum.

Results

Effects of oestrogen and EGF on erbB-2 mRNA and protein expression

The effects of oestrogen and EGF on erbB-2 expression were studied using the two ER+ breast cancer cell lines T47D and ZR75.1, in which erbB-2 expression and regulation was previously characterised (Dati et al., 1990; Antoniotti et al., 1992; Taverna et al., 1994). T47D and ZR75.1 express moderate levels of both p185erbB-2 and EGFR as compared with other breast cancer cell lines. They show 5- to 10-fold less p185erbB-2 than cells with amplified erbB-2, such as SKBR3 (Kraus et al., 1988; Hynes et al., 1989) and express 5–10 x 10^5 EGFRI sites per cell (Koga et al., 1990; M. De Bortoli, unpublished results). Moreover, they show comparable sensitivity to 17β-oestradiol in terms of cell growth.

The effects of 17β-oestradiol or EGF treatment on the expression of erbB-2 mRNA and p185erbB-2 were evaluated by, respectively, Northern and Western blotting. Figure 1 shows a comparison between the levels of p185erbB-2 and of erbB-2 mRNA in ZR75.1 cells after 2 days of treatment with the effectors. In these conditions, cell growth in the presence of 17β-oestradiol and EGF was, respectively, 281 ± 64% and 216 ± 108% of the growth in the absence of the factors, as determined by direct counting of viable cells. Both 17β-oestradiol and EGF induced a dramatic decrease in p185erbB-2. However, a parallel decrease in erbB-2 mRNA was observed only in 17β-oestradiol-treated cells. EGF-treated cells contained 30–40% more erbB-2 mRNA than control cells, as determined by densitometric evaluation of the blots. Down-regulation of p185erbB-2 by EGF was roughly equivalent whether the treatment was carried out in complete medium (CM) or in medium with charcoal-treated FCS (SM). The effects of oestrogens on both growth and erbB-2 expression are evidenced only when cells are cultured in SM, i.e. in the absence of oestrogen. Culture of the cells in SM brings about a progressive increase in erbB-2 protein level as well as promoter activity during 2 weeks of culture (Dati et al., 1990; Taverna et al., 1994).

Reprobing of the protein blots with the OD3 monoclonal antibody, which recognises the external domain of human p185erbB-2, gave similar results (not shown). This ruled out the possibility that EGF effect might result from masking of the C-terminus of p185erbB-2, the epitope recognised by the 21N antibody used throughout this study.

The effects of 17β-oestradiol and EGF on p185erbB-2 were dose dependent. As shown in Figure 2, after culturing T47D cells in SM for 4 days and treating for additional 4 days, maximal effect was seen with 1 x 10^-9 M and 1 x 10^-8 M 17β-oestradiol; the EGF effect was clearly seen at concentrations as low as 1 ng ml^-1, corresponding to 1.7 x 10^-8 M. These values are consistent with receptor-mediated effects: the affinities of ER for 17β-oestradiol and of the EGFR for EGF in T47D cells are respectively: Kd = 0.5 x 10^-9 and Kd = 1 x 10^-8 M (Koga et al., 1990; M. De Bortoli, unpublished).

p185erbB-2 down-regulation by both 17β-oestradiol and EGF was relatively slow. Reduction of p185erbB-2 was seen after 5 h of treatment (Figure 2, right), but a time-course analysis showed that the maximal response to both factors occurred after 4–5 days of treatment (not shown). However, it is important that cells do not reach confluence during this time, since up-regulation of erbB-2 expression by cell confluence takes place (Taverna et al., 1994). For this reason, in all the experiments described here, conditions were set in order to avoid reaching confluence degrees higher than 60–70%. Similar dose and time dependence were measured on ZR75.1 cells (not shown).

EGF induces tyrosine phosphorylation of p185erbB-2 in ER+ breast cancer cells

It has been reported that EGF induces p185erbB-2 phosphorylation (King et al., 1988; Stern & Kamps, 1988). We examined this in ER+ breast cancer cells that express moderate levels of both p185erbB-2 and EGFR. First, we studied the in vitro kinase activity of p185erbB-2 following EGF treatment. Treatment of T47D cells with EGF at 37°C for 10 min caused a 3.3-fold increase in the kinase activity that co-immunoprecipitated with p185erbB-2, as determined by quantitation with a phosphomager (Figure 3). A second, lower band is visible in EGF-stimulated cells. Since heterodimerisation of the EGFR with p185erbB-2 has been reported in SKBR3 breast cancer cells (Goldman et al., 1990), this band may be due to co-immunoprecipitated EGFR.

Tyrosine phosphorylation of p185erbB-2 after EGF treatment of T47D cells was studied by blotting with an anti-phosphotyrosine antibody. Immunoprecipitated p185erbB-2 from EGF-treated cells, but not from control cells, contained phosphotyrosine, as shown in Figure 4. EGF-induced p185erbB-2 phosphorylation was transient, being maximal at 5 min and decreasing thereafter. Reprophobing of the blot with the 21N anti-p185erbB-2 antibody provided a control for the amount of p185erbB-2 in each lane (Figure 4, bottom). As in Figure 3, the lower tyrosine-phosphorylated protein which co-immunoprecipitated with p185erbB-2 may represent EGFR.

Figure 1 Immunoblotting analysis of p185erbB-2 and Northern blot analysis of erbB-2 mRNA in ZR75.1 cells treated with 17β-oestradiol or EGF. Approximately 1 x 10^6 cells were plated in 10 cm dishes and grown for 3 days in complete medium (CM), then treated for 2 days in CM or stripped medium (SM) with 1 x 10^-8 M 17β-oestradiol or 10 ng ml^-1 EGF, as indicated.

Figure 2 Immunoblotting analysis of p185erbB-2 level in T47D cells treated with different concentrations of 17β-oestradiol and EGF. Approximately 1.5 x 10^6 cells were plated in 10 cm dishes and grown in SM for 4 days, then treated as indicated in SM for 4 additional days. Right: Cells treated with 1 x 10^-8 M 17β-oestradiol or 10 ng ml^-1 EGF or nothing for 5 h.
Similar results were obtained on ZR75.1 cells (not shown).

When this work was in progress, it was reported that in fibroblasts not expressing the ER and stably transfected with human erbB-2 there was a rapid and transient activation of p185^{onc} by 1 × 10^{-8} M 17β-oestradiol (Matsuda et al., 1993). For this reason, we examined the effects of either a physiological concentration (1 × 10^{-4} M) or a non-physiological concentration (1 × 10^{-3} M) of 17β-oestradiol on erbB-2 tyrosine phosphorylation in T47D cells. Figure 4 shows that a 10 min treatment with both doses of 17β-oestradiol led to a slight increase in p185^{onc} phosphoryrosine, as compared with cells cultured in SM without oestrogens. The effect of oestrogen was more than 10-fold less than that evoked by EGF in similar conditions. These data demonstrate that in ER⁺ breast cancer cells with low levels of both receptors EGF induces tyrosine phosphorylation of p185^{onc}, most likely through its own receptor, while 17β-oestradiol, even at very high concentration, does not lead to the same extent of p185^{onc} phosphorylation as that induced by EGF.

**Oestrogens inhibit erbB-2 expression at the transcriptional level**

We investigated whether the oestrogen effect on erbB-2 mRNA might be due to inhibition of erbB-2 gene transcript-ion. The numbers of transcripts initiated in the presence and in the absence of 17β-oestradiol by nuclei of ZR75.1 cells were evaluated by a nuclear run-on assay. Figure 5 shows the results obtained on cells treated with or without 17β-oestradiol for 48 h. Quantitation of hybridised slots showed that oestrogen-treated cells possess about 50% of the initiated transcripts of erbB-2 compared with control cells. No change in c-MYC gene transcription following oestrogen treatment was seen, in keeping with the fact that long-term enhancement of c-MYC expression by oestrogen in breast cancer cells is due to stabilisation of the c-MYC mRNA (Santos et al., 1988). The effect of EGF on erbB-2 transcription was not studied.

Since this experiment demonstrated transcriptional inhibition of erbB-2 by oestrogens, we asked whether the elements mediating transcriptional repression were located within the promoter of the erbB-2 gene or were located in more distal regions of the gene. In fact, examination of the published sequence of the first 1.2 kbp of the human erbB-2 promoter (Hudson et al., 1990) did not reveal any oestrogen-responsive consensus element. A CAT reporter plasmid, containing the most proximal 219 bp fragment of the human erbB-2 promoter, extending from the PstI site at −397 to the major transcriptional starting site at −178 relative to the initiator ATG, was derived from a human genomic clone. This construct, called pE2P.PP.CAT, was transiently transfected in T47D cells and expression of CAT after treatment with 17β-oestradiol and EGF evaluated.

In Figure 6 an example of a CAT assay on T47D cells treated with 17β-oestradiol or EGF is shown. Averaging three independent transfections in the same conditions, and normalising the activities on the basis of the co-transfected β-galactosidase, it was possible to calculate a ≥60% repression by 17β-oestradiol and a 4- to 5-fold stimulation by EGF. Calculated values with standard deviations are shown in Figure 6. In order to observe transcriptional repression by 17β-oestradiol, it was necessary to co-transfect the ER expression plasmid pHE0. In its absence, the transcriptional response was 3- to 4-fold less. No effects were seen on the transcriptional activity of the control plasmid containing the Rous sarcoma virus (RSV) long terminal repeat (LTR) driv-
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**Figure 3** Kinase activity of p185^{onc} following EGF treatment of T47D cells. Cells were preconditioned in 1% FCS-DMEM for 24 h, then treated or not with 400 ng ml⁻¹ mouse EGF for 10 min at 37°C. p185^{onc} was immunoprecipitated with either a preimmune (PI) or the FRP5 anti-p185^{onc} monoclonal antibody on anti-mouse IgG-coated protein A-Sepharose. Beads were incubated with [γ-32P]ATP for 10 min at room temperature, then proteins were solubilised and separated on 8% polyacrylamide-SDS gels.
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**Figure 4** Phosphotyrosine immunoblotting of T47D cells treated or not with 100 ng ml⁻¹ EGF for the indicated time at 37°C or with the indicated concentration of 17β-oestradiol for 10 min. Approximately 1.5 × 10⁶ cells were plated in 6 cm dishes, grown in CM, then cultured for 24 h in medium containing 1% DCC-stripped FCS prior to treatment. Bottom: Blot reprobed with the anti-p185^{onc} 21N antibody.
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**Figure 5** Run-on analysis of transcripts initiated by ZR75.1 cells in the presence or absence of 17β-oestradiol. Cells were grown in SM and treatment with 1 × 10⁻⁸ M 17β-oestradiol performed for 48 h in SM. Nuclear transcript elongation was continued in vitro in the presence of [α-32P]UTP and labelled RNA was then extracted and hybridised to nitrocellulose-fixed plasmids.
Figure 6 Transcriptional activity of the erbB-2 promoter [−219; +1]-CAT construct (E2P.PP.CAT) in transient transfection on T47D cells. Cells were conditioned in SM for 24 h, then transfected with E2P.PP.CAT plus the ER expression vector pHEO. Treatments with 1×10⁻⁴ M 17β-oestradiol in SM or 10 ng ml⁻¹ EGF, in either SM or CM, were started just after DNA precipitate addition. The picture shows one representative CAT assay. CAT activity values are expressed as nmol h⁻¹ mg⁻¹ and were calculating averaged three independent experiments.

Figure 7 Northern blot analysis of erbB-2 mRNA in ZR75.1 cells treated or not with 1×10⁻⁴ M 17β-oestradiol in the presence of absence of cycloheximide. Approximately 3×10⁶ cells were plated in T150 flasks and grown in SM for 4 days, then treated as indicated. Cycloheximide (50 μM) was added 1 h before adding 17β-oestradiol.

Discussion

This study shows that oestrogen and EGF, two agents which stimulate growth of ER⁺ human breast cancer cells, cause a down-regulation of p185erbB-2. The mechanisms leading to a decrease in p185erbB-2 expression are discussed. Despite the fact that EGF has a negative effect on erbB-2 mRNA levels, its effects on the kinase activity and tyrosine phosphorylation of p185erbB-2 lead to decreased protein levels. Conversely, p185erbB-2 down-regulation by oestrogens is accompanied by a parallel decrease in erbB-2 mRNA, transcription rate and promoter activity. It is known that EGF can induce p185erbB-2 phosphorylation on tyrosine (Stern & Kamps, 1988; King et al., 1988). The mechanism of transphosphorylation involves receptor heterodimerisation (Wada et al., 1990) and heterodimers of p185erbB-2 and EGFR have been detected in the SKBR3 breast cancer cells, which carry several copies of the erbB-2 gene and express high levels of p185erbB-2 (Goldman et al., 1990). Heterodimeric forms have higher affinity for EGF than EGFR homodimers and possibly activate separate transduction pathways (Wada et al., 1990). It is likely that heterodimerisation of EGFR and p185erbB-2 also takes place in T47D cells, since in the in vitro kinase assays and in the phosphotyrosine blot a second band of lower molecular weight is visible, indicating the coimmunoprecipitation, with p185erbB-2, of a phosphorylated ≈ 170 kDa protein. In these cells it was not possible to obtain direct evidence of heterodimer formation, probably because of the low level of expression of both receptors. EGF treatment of H111 mouse mammary cells led to a down-regulation of p185erbB-2, by increasing its phosphorylation and accelerating the rate of its internalisation and degradation (Kornilova et al., 1992). It is possible that in T47D cells a similar mechanism takes place. Further studies may elucidate this possibility.

We noticed a slight positive effect of EGF on the steady-state erbB-2 mRNA level and a strong positive effect on erbB-2 promoter activity in T47D cells. EGF has also been shown to stimulate an erbB-2 promoter–luciferase reporter gene in HeLa cells (Hudson et al., 1990). The effect of EGF on erbB-2 expression is much stronger than its effect on the steady-state level of erbB-2 mRNA. This may reflect either post-transcriptional regulation or the presence of more distal repressors in the erbB-2 regulatory sequences. Indeed, an erbB-2 promoter construct extending to position −1,398 showed a very small response to EGF in both transient transfection on T47D and in stable T47D transfectants (Taverna et al., 1994). Studies are under way to localise the element(s) modulating these transcriptional responses to EGF in mammary cells.

Down-regulation of erbB-2 by oestrogens appears generally stronger at the protein level than at the mRNA level, a finding noted by others (Russel & Hung, 1992). In the experiments reported here we observed a 50–70% inhibition of erbB-2 transcriptional activity, which appears lower than the decrease in p185erbB-2 seen in immunoblots. As mentioned

Figure 2 Northern blot analysis of erbB2 mRNA in ZR75.1 cells treated or not with 1×10⁻⁴ M 17β-oestradiol in the presence or absence of cycloheximide. Approximately 3×10⁶ cells were plated in T150 flasks and grown in SM for 4 days, then treated as indicated. Cycloheximide (50 μM) was added 1 h before adding 17β-oestradiol.

This study shows that oestrogen and EGF, two agents which stimulate growth of ER⁺ human breast cancer cells, cause a down-regulation of p185erbB-2. The mechanisms leading to a decrease in p185erbB-2 expression are discussed. Despite the fact that EGF has a negative effect on erbB-2 mRNA levels, its effects on the kinase activity and tyrosine phosphorylation of p185erbB-2 lead to decreased protein levels. Conversely, p185erbB-2 down-regulation by oestrogens is accompanied by a parallel decrease in erbB-2 mRNA, transcription rate and promoter activity. It is known that EGF can induce p185erbB-2 phosphorylation on tyrosine (Stern & Kamps, 1988; King et al., 1988). The mechanism of transphosphorylation involves receptor heterodimerisation (Wada et al., 1990) and heterodimers of p185erbB-2 and EGFR have been detected in the SKBR3 breast cancer cells, which carry several copies of the erbB-2 gene and express high levels of p185erbB-2 (Goldman et al., 1990). Heterodimeric forms have higher affinity for EGF than EGFR homodimers and possibly activate separate transduction pathways (Wada et al., 1990). It is likely that heterodimerisation of EGFR and p185erbB-2 also takes place in T47D cells, since in the in vitro kinase assays and in the phosphotyrosine blot a second band of lower molecular weight is visible, indicating the coimmunoprecipitation, with p185erbB-2, of a phosphorylated ≈ 170 kDa protein. In these cells it was not possible to obtain direct evidence of heterodimer formation, probably because of the low level of expression of both receptors. EGF treatment of H111 mouse mammary cells led to a down-regulation of p185erbB-2, by increasing its phosphorylation and accelerating the rate of its internalisation and degradation (Kornilova et al., 1992). It is possible that in T47D cells a similar mechanism takes place. Further studies may elucidate this possibility.

We noticed a slight positive effect of EGF on the steady-state erbB-2 mRNA level and a strong positive effect on erbB-2 promoter activity in T47D cells. EGF has also been shown to stimulate an erbB-2 promoter–luciferase reporter gene in HeLa cells (Hudson et al., 1990). The effect of EGF on erbB-2 expression is much stronger than its effect on the steady-state level of erbB-2 mRNA. This may reflect either post-transcriptional regulation or the presence of more distal repressors in the erbB-2 regulatory sequences. Indeed, an erbB-2 promoter construct extending to position −1,398 showed a very small response to EGF in both transient transfection on T47D and in stable T47D transfectants (Taverna et al., 1994). Studies are under way to localise the element(s) modulating these transcriptional responses to EGF in mammary cells.

Down-regulation of erbB-2 by oestrogens appears generally stronger at the protein level than at the mRNA level, a finding noted by others (Russel & Hung, 1992). In the experiments reported here we observed a 50–70% inhibition of erbB-2 transcriptional activity, which appears lower than the decrease in p185erbB-2 seen in immunoblots. As mentioned
above, a rapid activation of p185erbB-2 kinase by high concentrations of 17β-oestradiol, was observed in ER- mouse fibroblasts expressing high levels of human erbB-2 (Matsuda et al., 1993). The authors suggest that 17β-oestradiol may directly bind to p185erbB-2, leading to an increase in its kinase activity and its internalisation. These provocative findings await further experimental proof. However, a rapid and transient ER-dependent increase in the phosphotyrosine content of several cellular proteins, following oestrogen treatment of MCF7 breast cancer cells has also been reported (Migliaccio et al., 1993). Our data show that the extent of p185erbB-2 tyrosine phosphorylation induced by oestrogens in T47D cells is slight, yet it may account for the different amplitude of response to oestrogens observed between erbB-2 protein and mRNA or transcription.

Oestrogens clearly inhibit erbB-2 expression at the transcriptional level. Oestrogen decreased both the number of erbB-2 transcripts which can be elongated in vitro and transcription of the human erbB-2 promoter–CAT reporter gene. The degree of such inhibition is probably dependent upon the level of ER present in the cell, since co-transfected an ER expression vector resulted in a much larger transcriptional repression (50–70% vs 20–30%). However, the endogenous ER present in T47D cells is sufficient to mediate a 60% repression of a stably integrated 1.2 kbp erbB-2 promoter–CAT construct (Taverna et al., 1994).

Transcriptional repression of the rat neu gene by oestrogen-activated ER has been reported (Russel & Hung, 1992). The responsive region is contained within a 144 bp fragment near, but not contiguous, to the transcriptional starting sites. The promoters of rat neu and human erbB-2 are nearly identical in their proximal portion (White & Hung, 1992); the oestrogen-repressible rat neu 144 bp fragment corresponds to positions −354 to −210 of the human erbB-2 promoter, and thus it is contained entirely in the construct used here. Importantly, this fragment contains the two most proximal of the three footprints revealed in T47D and ZR75.1 cells (Hollywood & Hurst, 1993). Sequence analysis of this fragment reveals several potential regulatory elements, including OTF1, MYR, Sp1, K-enhancer, which are contained in the footprinted sequences. In addition, this fragment was shown to be repressed by the MYC and E1A oncogenes (Suen & Hung, 1991). Repression of erbB-2 promoter–CAT constructs by co-transfection of a c-MYB expression vector has also been observed (P. Maggiora et al., in preparation). Both c-MYC and c-MYB expression is stimulated by oestrogens in breast cancer cells (Santeros et al., 1988; Dati et al., 1990; Collyn d’Hooge et al., 1991), which leads to the hypothesis that the c-myc and/or c-myb proteins may mediate the oestrogen-induced repression of erbB-2 transcription.

The question of whether oestrogen may require protein synthesis to inhibit erbB-2 was approached by using cycloheximide. It must be emphasised that, given the slow kinetics of erbB-2 regulation and the short half-life of the oestrogen receptor (see below), it is extremely difficult to interpret these results. As already mentioned, during the growth of T47D or ZR75.1 cells in SM, i.e. in medium deprived of steroids, the expression of both erbB-2 mRNA and p185erbB-2 increases for several days (Dati et al., 1990; Read et al., 1990; Russel & Hung, 1992; Taverna et al., 1994). The treatment with CHX indeed blocked not only the inhibitory effect of oestrogen but also the increase in erbB-2 expression in SM. One explanation for this is that the increasing expression of erbB-2 in SM may reflect an increased synthesis of trans-activator(s), and that inhibition of erbB-2 expression by oestrogen may be due to trans-repressor(s) synthesis, e.g. c-MYB or c-MYC, as discussed above. However, it is clear that, in the absence of protein synthesis, the amount of oestrogen receptor itself is significantly reduced, as a half-life of 3–5 h has been reported in MCF7 breast cancer cells in either the presence or absence of oestrogen (Eckert et al., 1994). Reduction of oestrogen response by CHX may then simply reflect the progressive disappearance of oestrogen receptor. Direct repression of gene expression by members of the steroid receptor family may be conferred by transactivation of positive co-factors or by competition with positive factors (for review, see Beato, 1991). Such a mechanism would be compatible with our observations. Further studies are under way to understand the exact molecular mechanism of erbB-2 repression by oestrogen.

In conclusion, the data presented here show that there is an important difference between oestrogen- and EGF-stimulated breast cancer cell growth. Since oestrogens lead to a loss of p185erbB-2, signal transduction through p185erbB-2 homodimers or heterodimers with other members of the EGFR family is abrogated. In contrast, EGF treatment leads to the activation of p185erbB-2. These differences may be very significant and partially explain the different behaviour of ER+ and EGFR+ breast tumours.
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