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ABSTRACT

This experimental study mainly focused on investigation of the performance of diesel engine using palm oil methyl ester (POME). The engine operating conditions such as optimized IT of 27°BTDC and varied IOP with nozzle geometry and combustion chamber shapes were investigated. The better operating conditions of combustion chamber shape and nozzle geometry were reported. The operating conditions of the engine were maintained 1500 rpm and CR of 17.5. For diesel engine operation with POME, it could be revealed that IT of 27°BTDC, IOP of 240 bar, 5 holes nozzle geometry with Torroidal Re-entrant combustion chamber (TRCC) gives more improvement in brake thermal efficiency (BTE) with minimum emissions.
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INTRODUCTION

The many research work has progressed with using biodiesel fuel and various methods are used in conventional diesel engine (Murugesan et al., 2009; Atadashi et al., 2010; Banapurmath et al., 2009). The fossil fuel resources are diminishing very rapidly due to more usage of for transportation and other applications. They will empty very soon and emit more amounts of emissions and creating environmental hazards on living beings. Hence, it is very essential to switch over to other alternative renewable fuels (Naik et al., 2010). Diesel engines are run with higher flexibility in terms of performance and admirable drivability of its function. Diesel engines give higher performance, but at same time they emits enormous of oxides of nitrogen (NOx) as well as smoke emissions. Hence it has decided that to reduce the emissions with applying pollution norms laid with various agencies towards emission control is more priority in diesel engine (Mani et al., 2011). To resolve all the entire problems of diesel engine, many excellent research works has been carried out in globally and there were number of strategies were carried with biodiesel fuel combinations (Atmanli et al., 2014; Gautam and Agarwal, 2013). Biodiesel fuel has one of the considerable and higher potential fuel for usage in compression ignition (CI) engines. The many developed countries have been used the thousand numbers of edible and non edible oils in diesel engines such as palm, soybean, Jatropha curcas, pongamia pinnata, linseed etc. These oils were used to produce the biodiesel fuel to run in CI engine (Srivastava and Verma, 2008; Balat and Balat, 2008; Dixit and Rehman, 2012; Labeckas and Slavinskas, 2006; Kannan et al., 2012). The biodiesel fuel powered CI engines were helps to minimizing the emissions such as
carbon monoxide (CO), hydrocarbon (HC) and particulate matter (PM). The only usage of biodiesel fuel has not met all requirements of CI engines, there is a slight modification in engines such as combustion chamber shape, IT, IOP and nozzle geometry to operate the biodiesel fuel. The end products from tyre pyrolysis are such as oil, carbon black and pyro gas (Paul and Williams, 2013). The BTE of engine was reducing as increase in the blend of biodiesel fuel (Abhishek and Murugan, 2013). The very minute fraction of Desulfurized tyre oils were tends to reduce the pollutants from CI engine. Engine with diesel fuel was producing more pollutants except NOx as compare to neat diesel (Aydin and Ikilci, 2015). The plastic oil blends in diesel was gives more SFC, CO₂ and NOx as compared to neat diesel fuel (Pratoornyod and Laohaladanond, 2013). Many eminent research scholars have been investigated the varieties of biodiesels in the existed diesel engine by varying in IOP and IT combinations. There was possible to change the combustion parameters in engine by variation of IT was observed (Hountalas et al., 2001). There was observe that, by retarding the fuel IT leads to reduce tremendous NOx in CI engine operate with diesel and biodiesel fuels (Hountalas et al., 2001; Tao et al., 2005). The combustion parameters such as cylinder pressure and temperature were minimized in CI engine at fuel IT retarded condition (Roy, 2009). As per investigation was proceeded by researcher, CI engine showed higher efficiency at advanced fuel IT (40°CA BTDC) operated with cooking oil biodiesel fuel (Bari et al., 2004). The investigation observed that, as retarded IT had more favorable parameter to improve the performance of CI engine using Honge as a biodiesel fuel (Banapurmath et al., 2008). The engine performance can be improved by combined effect of retarding IT and 230 bar IOP operated with cotton seed oil methyl ester in CI engine (Rosli et al., 2008; Banapurmath et al., 2012). It has been experimentally observed that, the pollutants like CO, HC and PM were minimized up to 14.2%, 13.26% and 9.3% respectively at advanced IT (24.5°CA BTDC) operated with combined blends of pyrolysis oil and JOME (Sharma and Murugan, 2015). With many eminent research scholars carried out the experiments on diesel engine by using the various fuels with different combination of IOP. Especially, engine has been improved in its performance parameters by increasing in IOP was observed (Roy, 2009; Banapurmath et al., 2008; Rosli et al., 2008; Sukumar et al., 2009; Suresh et al., 2013). The various research works have been carried out on CI engine with modification of nozzle holes geometry operated with biodiesel fuel. Ten holes nozzle geometry performed well in terms of better atomization and reduction of NOx emission in diesel engine at full load condition (Karra and Kong, 2010). Suggested that by varying number holes in nozzle injector reducing the oxides of nitrogen in biodiesel fueled diesel engine and minimize the unburnt hydrocarbon, carbon monoxide and brake specific fuel consumption (Lahe and Subramanian, 2014). By varying the injection timing, injection pressure, CR and nozzle holes leads to enhanced the performance parameters like brake thermal efficiency, mean while reduced the emission characteristics in biodiesel fueled diesel engine but however oxides of nitrogen emission has been increases as number of holes increase in injector nozzle (Khandal et al., 2015). Suggested that, retarded IT of 19°BTDC, IOP of 230 bar and four-hole nozzle injector were optimized injection parameters for higher BTE with lower emissions operated with HOME, HnOME and COME fules in CI engine (Wategave et al., 2014). Many research works has been carried out by modification in both combustion chamber shapes and injection strategies. The experimental results were observed in CI engine operated with Pongamia biodiesel fuel, the BTE of engine has improved as compared to baseline HCC shape (Jaichandar and Annamalai, 2012). The experimental work has been reported that, both BTE and SFC were improved in engine operated with Pongamia biodiesel fuel for combination of TRCC shape and higher injection pressure (Jaichandar and Annamalai, 2013). Torroidal combustion chamber shape showed higher performance parameters with reduced emission characteristics compared to Cylindrical, trapezoidal combustion chamber shapes in diesel engine (Banapurmath et al., 2015). The combined effect of cylindrical combustion chamber shape and nozzle geometry reduced the NOx emission up to 45% as compare to baseline geometry, but reduced slightly in BTE parameter was observed in biodiesel fueled diesel engine (Shivashimpi et al., 2017). Cylindrical combustion chamber shape performed better BTE up to B60 blend and 40% reduction of NOx was observed as compared to base line geometry in biodiesel fueled diesel engine (Shivashimpi et al., 2016). By the modification combustion chamber shape led to the reduced emission characteristics but performance parameters remain same was observed in diesel engine (Raouf and Peng, 2013). By using combine effect of multi-chambered combustion chamber shape and 200 bar nozzle injection pressure showed better performance and reduced emissions in diesel engine operated with Jatropha biodiesel fuel (Rajashkekar et al., 2012). TRCC shape resulted higher BTE, reduction of SFC and emission characteristics at retarded injection timing compared to baseline combustion chamber shape when diesel engine operated with Ultra sulphur diesel fuel (Jaichandar et al., 2012). The engine operated with HOME – producer gas resulted increase in 4-5% of BTE and reduced emissions with TRCC shape, 230 bar IOP and 4 hole nozzle geometry (Yaliwal et al., 2016). The BTE of Torroidal combustion shape found higher in performance but slightly lower in emissions parameters as compared with spherical and Toroidal reentrant combustion shape operated with 20% JTME in diesel engine (Mamilla et al., 2013). The BTE has improved by Torroidal combustion chamber with tangential cut on circumference of the piston crown and reduced emissions were observed at 200 bar IOP 25°BTDC IT as compared with base line shape in diesel engine (Kumar, 2017). Since the presence of heavier molecular structure in the biodiesel fuel, it is very essential priority
to modification in both injection strategies and combustion chamber shapes in CI engine operate with biodiesel as a fuel. By modification of injection strategies and combustion chamber shapes including nozzle geometry has taken challenging work to operate with biodiesel fuel in CI engine. The objective of the research work has to control emissions, improve the performance and combustion characteristics by suitable modification of combustion chamber shape with nozzle geometry. To match combined effect of combustion chamber shape and nozzle geometry with optimized injection strategies in CI engine to operate with biodiesel fuel is very essential. Since optimization of injection strategies with respect to combustion chamber shape and nozzle geometry for biodiesel fuel operation in diesel engine has done research work very scantily. Hence the experiments were conducted for diesel engine operated with POME biodiesel fuel for optimization of IOP and nozzle geometry at different load engine condition keeping constant 27°BTDC.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

This section discusses the materials and methodology adopted in the current study.

**The Fuel Properties in Current Experiment Study**

POME fuel properties are tested in our laboratory and are enumerated in Table 1.

**Experimental Set-up and Methodology**

Experimental setup has been used in the present work is depicted in Figure 1. The engine was always operated at 1500 rpm. The readings recorded as engine attained stable condition only. As per manufacturer standards, the engine set up always maintained to operate at IOP 205 bar and 23°BTDC IT with diesel fuel mode only. In the first phase of work, the various IOP were selected for the study such as 200, 210, 220, 230, 240 and 250 bars. Also the different nozzle holes geometries were selected for the study such as 3, 4 and 5-holes of nozzle injectors, each are in 0.3 mm orifice diameter. The experiments were conducted on CI engine operated with POME as a biodiesel fuel for optimization of IOP and nozzle geometry at different load engine condition keeping constant 27°BTDC.

### Table 1. Properties of POME fuel

| Sl.No. | Properties          | Diesel | PALM OIL | POME |
|--------|---------------------|--------|----------|------|
| 1      | Density (kg/m³)     | 840    | 890      | 880  |
| 2      | Energy density (kJ/kg) | 43,000 | 36,400   | 38,400 |
| 3      | Viscosity at 40°C (cSt) | 2.5    | 43.28    | 3.94 |
| 4      | Flash Point (°C)    | 75     | 280.5    | 160  |
| 5      | Cetane Number       | 45-55  | -        | -    |
| 6      | Carbon Residue (%)  | 0.1    | -        | -    |
| 7      | Pour point (°C)     | -5     | -        | -    |

Figure 1. Experimental setup
In the second phase of work, the various combustion chamber shapes were selected for the study such as Hemispherical (HCC), Cylindrical (CCC) Toroidal (TCC) and Toroidal Re-entrant combustion chamber (TRCC). The experiments were conducted at optimized IOP and nozzle geometry for various combustion chamber shapes keeping constant 27°BTDC IT operated with POME as biodiesel fuel in CI engine. At the end of experiment achieved that for higher efficiency and lower emissions of engine with optimized parameters of IOP, nozzle geometry for combustion chamber shape with keeping constant fuel IT for POME. The specifications for experimental test rig have shown in Table 2. The engine cooling was maintained by supplying the water through circular pipe via water jacket of engine. To measure the inline cylinder gas pressure a piezoelectric transducer (Make: PCB Piezotronics, Model: HSM 111A22, Resolution: 0.145 mV/kPa) has been connected to the cylinder head. The engine always maintained at steady state condition during harmful pollutants measurements. The measurement devices are used such as Hartridge smoke meter and 5-gas analyzer (A DELTA 1600 S-non-dispersive infrared analyzer) are shown in Figure 2. Mainly smoke meter used to measure the smoke level of engine, exhaust has analyzer used to measure the exhaust emissions like CO, NOx, UBHC, O2 and CO2. The specifications of both smoke and emissions measurement devices are shown in Table 3. The details of combustion chamber shapes and nozzle geometries have been utilized for current experiments are shown in Figure 3 and Figure 4 respectively.

**Table 2. Specifications of the CI engine**

| Sl No | Parameter                      | Specifications                           |
|-------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| 1     | Type                           | TV1 (Kirlosker make)                     |
| 2     | Software used                  | Engine soft                              |
| 3     | Nozzle opening pressure        | 200-225 bar                              |
| 4     | Governor type                  | Mechanical centrifugal type              |
| 5     | No. of cylinders               | Single cylinder                          |
| 6     | No. of strokes                 | Four stroke                              |
| 7     | Fuel                           | H. S. Diesel                             |
| 8     | Rated power                    | 5.2 kW (7 HP at 1500 RPM)                |
| 9     | Cylinder diameter (Bore)       | 0.0875 m                                 |
| 10    | Stroke length                  | 0.11 m                                   |
| 11    | Compression ratio              | 17.5 : 1                                 |

**Air measurement manometer**

| Sl No | Made                             | MX 201                                   |
|-------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| 12    | Type                             | U-Type                                   |
| 13    | Range                            | 100-0-100 mm                             |

**Eddy current dynamometer**

| Sl No | Model                           | AG-10                                    |
|-------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| 14    | Type                             | Eddy current                            |
| 17    | Maximum                         | 7.5 (kW at 1500-3000 RPM)                |
| 18    | Eddy current arm length         | 0.180m                                   |
| 19    | Fuel measuring unit - Range     | 0-50 ml                                  |

**Figure 2. Exhaust Gas Analyzer and Smoke meter**
Uncertainty Parameter Analysis

The uncertainty parameters most common in the measurements of engine experiment, the most important uncertainties are enlisted in the Table 4.
EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND THEIR DISCUSSION

Effect of Injector Nozzle Holes Geometry and Injection Opening Pressure

The experiment was conducted and analyzed the performance, combustion and emission characteristics for CI Engine operating with fuel as a POME biodiesel at various IOP. The IOP varied from 210 bar to 250 bar with respect to 3, 4 and 5 holes nozzle geometries at constant IT 27°BTDC in engine. Finally, optimized IOP and nozzle holes geometry was found for higher BTE and reduced emissions with POME as a fuel operation in CI engine at 80% load and 27°BTDC. The Fuel IT 27°BTDC kept constant throughout the experiment with varied IOP's, nozzle holes for POME. We have optimized the fuel IT as 27°BTDC in our previous research work; hence to keep the fuel IT was constant throughout the experiment. The load was also varied from 80% to 100% in engine for diesel and POME fuels. The minimum BTE was obtained in engine for 100% load as compared with 80% load due to negating effect. Hence the results and discussions of diesel engine were explained under constant IT 27°BTDC and 80% load only.

![Figure 5. IOP and nozzle geometry effect on BTE](image)

**Table 4.** List of measured variables and their accuracy

| Measured variable          | Accuracy (±) |
|----------------------------|--------------|
| Load (N)                   | 0.1          |
| Engine speed (rpm)         | 1            |
| Temperature (°C)           | 1            |
| Fuel consumption (g)       | 0.1          |

| Measured variable          | Uncertainty (%) |
|----------------------------|-----------------|
| HC                         | ±1.2            |
| CO                         | ±2.5            |
| NOx                        | ±2.3            |
| Smoke                      | ±2.0            |

Calculated parameters

| Measured variable          | Uncertainty (%) |
|----------------------------|-----------------|
| BTE (%)                    | ±1.2            |
| HRR (J/°CA)                | ±1.3            |

![Diagram](image)

**Effect of Nozzle Holes Geometries and IOP's on BTE**

The effect of nozzle holes geometries and various IOP on BTE with BP for POME and diesel fuels are shown in **Figure 5.** The standard diesel fuel has showed higher BTE (31.25%) than POME fuel for all IOP's and nozzle holes in engine due to higher calorific value could be the reason. The POME fuel showed higher BTE (28%) at 240 bar and 5 holes injector due to proper atomization, injection pressure and spray characteristics of fuel. The other reason for higher BTE with respect to POME fuel was due to improved mixing of POME fuel and air in combustion chamber at higher injection pressure with more high quantity of fuel injection. The 3 and 4 holes resulted the BTE in engine were 27.25%, 27.6% respectively at 240 bar and 80% load. The configuration of 5 holes and IOP 240 bar was given higher BTE in diesel engine with POME fuel operation due to improved spray...
characteristics of fuel and more amount of fuel penetration in combustion chamber. At IOP (250 bar) of POME biodiesel fuel has resulted to lower BTE due to delayed injection strategy condition of engine.

**Effect of Nozzle Holes Geometry and IOP on Smoke Opacity**

The below Figure 6 showed that effect of nozzle holes geometries and different IOP on smoke opacity with BP for POME and diesel fuels. Standard diesel fuel has showed lower smoke level (46 HSU) than POME due to lower viscosity of diesel fuel. The smoke level quantity decreases as increase in the injection opening pressure with POME biodiesel in engine due to better atomized spray characteristics of POME. Hence POME exhibits lower smoke intensity at 240 bar and 5 holes injector due to higher vaporization characteristics of POME at higher injection pressure. The smoke level has been increased trend in engine operated with POME beyond the 240 bar due to delayed injection strategy. The smoke level has also decreased trend as number of nozzle holes increases in engine with POME operation due to more involvement of POME fuel at higher injection pressure. The smoke level quantity of POME in CI engine for 3, 4 and 5 holes was 49 HSU, 47 HSU and 46 HSU respectively at 240 bar. Among of 5 hole nozzle geometry showed lower smoke quantity than other two due to rich air and fuel mixer.

**IOP and Nozzle Geometry Effect on HC and CO Emissions**

From the Figures 7 and 8 showed the effect of various IOP and nozzle geometries on HC and CO emissions with BP for POME and diesel fuels. The standard diesel has showed HC, CO emissions were 36 ppm and 0.1% respectively. There was decreasing trend of emissions in both HC and CO emissions by increase in both injection pressure and number of nozzle holes operate with POME biodiesel in engine. The minimum emissions were obtained up to 240 bar only with 5 holes nozzle due to improved combustion in engine operated with POME fuel leads to lower emissions in both HC and CO. At same time both pollutants has been increased trend for POME at 25 MPa IOP in diesel engine due to lower BTE. The reason for reducing in both pollutants in engine operates with POME at 240 bar and 5 holes was due to lower ignition delay and diffused combustion phase. The HC results for POME were obtained 41, 40 and 39 ppm for 3, 4 and 5 holes injectors respectively at 240 bar. Similarly CO results for POME were obtained 0.14, 0.135 and 0.13% for 3, 4 and 5 holes injectors respectively at 240 bar. The configurations of 5 holes injector and 240 bar was depicted to reduced in both emissions due to proper combustion and reduced viscosity of POME fuel at higher injection pressure.

**IOP and Nozzle Geometry Effect on NOx Emissions**

As observed from Figure 9 showed the variation of NOx with BP for both varied IOP and nozzle holes operated with POME and diesel fuels. The oxides of nitrogen emissions were increased due to peak temperature generated in combustion chamber. The standard mineral fuel has showed 1090 ppm NOx due to enhanced pre combustion phase in engine. Usually NOx emission was increases for POME operation in engine as increase in both IOP and number of injector holes due to proper combustion. The higher NOx obtained in engine operated with POME at 240 bar with 5 holes due to raised peak temperature leads to reduced viscosity of POME. The
obtained NOx readings of POME were 1080, 1084 and 1088 ppm for 3, 4 and 5 holes injectors respectively at 240 bar. As per above data, 5 holes nozzle geometry with 240 bar showed higher NOx leads to higher BTE and improved premixed combustion phase in CI engine operate with POME.

Figure 7. IOP and nozzle geometry effect on Unburned Hydrocarbon Emissions

Figure 8. IOP and nozzle geometry effect on Carbon Monoxide Emissions

Figure 9. IOP and nozzle geometry effect on NOx Emissions

IOP and Nozzle Geometry Effect on Peak Pressure

By observed Figure 10 depicts that the variation of peak pressure with BP for 5 holes injector and varied IOP’s with POME and diesel fuels. Very stringent high peak pressure was observed for diesel (74 bar) at 80% load as
compared to POME operation due to high energy content in diesel fuel. The POME was showing increased trend in peak pressure as increase in injection pressure with 5 holes injector due to improved atomization of fuel characteristics at higher pressure of fuel. The peak pressure was reducing trend beyond the 240 bar in engine for POME due to negating effect of the engine. By experimental records for POME results obtained in engine were 71, 72, 74 and 73 bar for 220, 230, 240 and 250 bar respectively for 5 holes injector. The 5 holes injector has showed with higher peak pressure in engine operated with POME fuel due to lower ignition delay, higher adiabatic temperature and improved burning effect at 240 bar.

**Figure 10.** IOP and nozzle geometry effect on Peak Pressure

**Figure 11.** IOP and nozzle geometry effect on Ignition Delay period

**IOP and Nozzle Geometry Effect on Ignition Delay**

Figure 11 showed the variation of ID with BP for various IOP and 5 holes nozzle operated with POME and diesel fuels. The ID has been calculated based on injection timing at static condition. Due to proper combustion for 5 holes nozzle geometry, The mineral diesel has showed the lower ID (9.8 °CA) at 80% load than POME for all IOP due to higher calorific value of diesel fuel. The ID was longer for POME than the diesel due to presence of higher viscosity. POME was showing the decreased trend of ID when increased in IOP with 5 holes nozzle due to combustion rate was fast at higher in engine injection pressure. The ID was increased trend beyond the 240 bar
in engine for POME due to negating effect of the engine. By experimental report for POME results obtained in engine were 10.1, 10.9, 9.8 and 9.9 °CA for 220, 230, 240 and 250 bar respectively for 5 holes injector. The 5 holes injector has showed with lower ID in engine operated with POME fuel due to improved burning rate of POME at 240 bar.

**IOP and Nozzle Geometry Effect on Combustion Duration**

By the observed Figure 12 showed that the variation of combustion duration with BP for all IOP and 5 holes nozzle with diesel and POME. The CD was calculated with respect to start of combustion and heat release rate of 90%. The mineral diesel was showing the CD as 38 °CA, it is lower than POME due to lower viscosity and higher diffused combustion could be the reason. CD was higher with POME than the diesel due to higher viscosity and improper mixing strength. The CD was decreases as increased in injection pressure when operated with POME as a fuel in combustion chamber in engine due to reduced in ignition lag, high peak pressure and faster combustion process in the combustion chamber with 5 holes nozzle. The CD was showing higher duration for lower IOP operated with POME due to longer diffusion combustion phase. But CD was increased trend beyond the 240 bar pressure due to negating effect of engine. By experimental report for POME results obtained in engine were 43, 42, 40 and 41 °CA for 220, 230, 240 and 250 bar respectively for 5 holes injector. The 5 holes injector has showed with lower CD in engine operated with POME fuel due to optimum diffusion combustion phase in POME at 240 bar.

**Combustion Chamber Shape Optimization for POME**

The IOP 240 bar and 5 holes nozzle geometry were optimized for POME fuel in first phase of research work under diesel engine conditions of 27°BTDC and 80% load. The combustion has not only improved by modification of injection strategies for POME operation in engine. The combustion parameters are also greatly influence on the modification of combustion shape as well as injection strategies in diesel engine operated with POME. Hence there are various combustion chambers shapes such as Hemispherical (HCC), Cylindrical (CCC) Toroidal (TCC) and Toroidal Re-entrant combustion chamber (TRCC) shapes selected for the study in diesel engine fuelled with POME. The experiments were carried on the diesel engine operated with POME as a fuel with HCC, CCC, TCC and TRCC shapes under the engine conditions of 27°BTDC, 240 bar, 5 hole geometry and 80% load. The experiments were carried on the diesel engine operated with diesel as a fuel with HCC shape under manufacturer engine conditions of 23°BTDC, 205 bar, 3 holes geometry and 80% load. The comparisons has made with respect to standard HCC shape of engine keeping the CR same in all the shapes. The experiments were carried out for diesel engine and analyzed performance, combustion and emission characteristics for all combustion chamber shapes and also found efficient combustion shape for POME in engine under the above optimized parameters. The results and discussions of POME operated CCS analyzed with keeping constant parameters were 27°BTDC, 240 bar, 5 hole geometry and 80% load. Similarly, for diesel operated HCC shape analyzed with keeping constant parameters were 23°BTDC, 205 bar, 3 holes geometry and 80% load.
Combustion Chamber Shape Effect on Brake Thermal Efficiency

Figure 13 presents the variation of BTE with BP for various combustion chambers shapes (CCS) operated with POME and diesel fuels. The diesel fuel operated HCC shape was showing higher BTE (31.25%) as compared with POME fuel operated combustion chamber shapes. This difference of BTE was due to variations of fuel properties such as viscosity, calorific value, rating numbers and flash point etc. The BTE has increases as increase in BP for the all shapes. The POME operated TRCC shape showed increased thermal efficiency than other CCS. The flame prevention from spreading on the squish region of chamber may result into proper formation of mixture of air with POME leads to enhance the flame propagation. Hence BTE has been improved in diesel engine for TRCC shape. The POME operated HCC and CCC shape were undergone unnecessary compression work by initiating the combustion process before TDC, hence BTE of these shapes decline in nature as compared to TRCC shape. The ignition delay and combustion duration were higher in POME operated HCC and CCC shapes, it leads to decreased in BTE might be the reason. However, both POME operated with TCC shape and TRCC shape have given marginally same BTE. Use of TCC and TRCC shapes witnessed in enhanced combustion during the expansion stroke. The POME operated BTE results were obtained as 28.5%, 28.75%, 29.1% and 29.3% for HCC, CCC, TCC and TRCC respectively in diesel engine under the engine conditions of 27°BTDC, 240 bar, 5 hole geometry and 80% load.

Combustion Chamber Configuration Effect on Smoke Opacity

Figure 14 presents smoke opacity variation with BP for different CCS operated with POME and diesel fuels. The diesel operated HCC shape in engine shown lower smoke level (46 HSU) as compared with POME operated combustion chamber shapes. The POME operated TRCC shape showed lower smoke intensity in engine as compared to remaining CCS due to improved air-fuel mixing leads to better turbulence occurred in combustion core with
reduced soot particles. The POME operated TCC shape has given similar results as that of TRCC shape in engine. The POME operated TRCC and TCC shapes were produced enhanced turbulent kinetic energy in their combustion chamber shapes leads to lower the smoke level as compared to POME operated HCC and CCC shapes in engine. Smoke levels were observed that, 49 HSU, 48 HSU, 47 HSU and 47 HSU for HCC, CCC, TCC and TRCC respectively for diesel engine.

**Combustion Chamber Shape Effect on HC and CO Emissions**

Figures 15 and 16 depicted that the variation of HC and CO emissions with BP for various combustion chamber shapes as operated with POME and diesel fuels. The diesel operated HCC shape shown in engine lower emissions of HC (36 ppm) and CO (0.1%) as compared with POME operated combustion chamber shapes due to difference in fuel properties. Minimum and lower M.E.P. was responsible for rise of HC and CO pollutants with POME operation in engine. By experimentally observed that POME operated TRCC shape resulted very low HC and CO emissions as compared to other POME operated shapes. The POME operated TRCC shape was ensured proper combustion of POME due to improved swirl and squish motion resulting in increased BTE. The TCC shape also resulted into similar trends with that of TRCC with POME operation in engine. For POME operation of HCC/CCC may not be resulted in correct air-fuel mixing associated with unusual swirl existence with high viscous fuel leading to partial combustion. The POME operated HC levels were 43 ppm, 41 ppm, 39 ppm and 38 ppm for HCC, CCC, TCC and TRCC shapes respectively in diesel engine. Similarly for POME operation of CO emissions were 0.15%, 0.145%, 0.142% and 0.14% ppm, for HCC, CCC, TCC and TRCC shapes respectively in diesel engine.

**Combustion Chamber Shape Effect on NOx Emission**

Figures 17 depicted that the variation of NOx emissions with BP for various combustion chamber shapes as operated with POME and diesel fuels. The diesel operated HCC shape given highest NOx (1090 ppm) emissions as compared to other CCS due to attainment of higher peak temperature in combustion chamber shape. The POME operated combustion chamber shapes showed lower NOx emissions due to improper combustion process during premixed combustion phase and higher viscosity of POME may be the reason. The POME operation with TRCC shape shown higher NOx emissions due to better utilization of oxygen during combustion process. Hence improved swirl and turbulence characteristics were generated in TRCC shape as compared to HCC/CCC/ TCC shapes when POME operated in engine. The POME operated NOx levels were found to 1070, 1075, 1081 and 1085 ppm for HCC, CCC, TCC and TRCC shapes respectively in diesel engine.
Combustion Chamber Shape Effect on Peak Pressure

Figure 18 shows peak pressure variation with brake power on diesel engine operated with POME for various combustion chamber configurations selected. The diesel operated with HCC shape has given peak pressure of 74 bar, it lower than remaining CCS due to high content energy level of diesel fuel. POME pressure rise in the combustion chamber less than diesel due to improper combustion. However, Lower calorific value and incomplete combustion caused by the large and heavier molecular weight fatty acids of POME may be responsible for these trends of the result. The POME operated TRCC shape results into higher peak pressure as it provides swirl associated with turbulence this leads to improved air-fuel mixture during combustion process in engine. However, POME operated TCC shows marginally similar trends as that of TRCC followed by CCC and HCC shapes. The POME operated PP levels were found to be 70, 71, 72 and 72 bar for HCC, CCC, TCC and TRCC shapes respectively in diesel engine.

Combustion Chamber Shape Effect on Ignition Delay

Figure 19 depicts that the variation of ID with BP for various combustion chamber shapes operated with POME and diesel fuels. The diesel operated HCC shape has shown the ID 9.9 °CA lower than other CCS due to variation of fuel properties. As the engine power output increased the amount of fuel consumed also increased.
Subsequently it leads to increased in-cylinder gas temperature. Differences in viscosity and volatility of injected biodiesel may be responsible for increased ignition delay in comparison to diesel operation. Higher viscosity of biodiesel demands more time to burn the fuel at no load and part loads as well. But at higher loads the delay period of biodiesels were lowered due to increased combustion temperatures in the engine cylinder. It may be caused by the proper air-fuel mixing and higher combustion temperature. The POME operated TRCC shape shows lower IDs as it provides better air-fuel mixing with induced swirl leads to enhanced combustion temperature in the combustion chamber. The POME operated PP levels were found to be 10.2 (°CA), 10.1 (°CA), 10 (°CA) and 10 (°CA) for HCC, CCC, TCC and TRCC shapes respectively in diesel engine.

**Combustion Chamber Shape Effect on Combustion Duration**

Figure 20 presents the combustion duration variation with brake power of diesel engine fuelled with POME and diesel fuels for the selected combustion chamber shapes. The diesel operated HCC shape has given the ID (38 °CA) lower than other CCS. Combustion duration was higher for POME operation of CCS as compared to Diesel operated HCC shape. However, the combustion duration for POME was found to be slightly lower when the engine was operated with TRCC and TCC. POME showed higher premixed combustion phase associated with higher BTE. TRCC and TCC provide better mixing of fuel due to increased tumbling swirl ensuring reduced combustion duration. The POME operated PP levels were found to be 42 (°CA), 41 (°CA), 41 (°CA) and 40 (°CA) for HCC, CCC, TCC and TRCC shapes respectively in diesel engine.
CONCLUSIONS

From the exhaustive experimental tests conducted with POME powered diesel engine, the following final conclusions could be enlisted:

- The maximum BTE and lower emissions were obtained at 240 bar, 5 holes nozzle geometry at IT of 27°BTDC, when CI engine was operated with POME as a fuel.
- The maximum BTE and lower emissions were reported for Toroidal re-entrant combustion chamber shape, when diesel engine was operated with POME as a fuel at IT of 27°BTDC, 240 bar, 5 holes nozzle geometry.

On overall, the maximum BTE and lower emissions were reported at IT of 27°BTDC, IOP of 240 bar and 5 holes nozzle geometry with Toroidal re-entrant combustion chamber shape, when POME as alternative fuel used in CI engine. By this experiment, there was enhanced mixing of air and POME in Toroidal re-entrant combustion chamber shape to meet the global requirement of pollution norms and also save the global requirement of fuel for transportation.
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