Traffic-related air pollution associated with prevalence of asthma and COPD/chronic bronchitis. A cross-sectional study in Southern Sweden
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Abstract

Background: There is growing evidence that air pollution from traffic has adverse long-term effects on chronic respiratory disease in children, but there are few studies and more inconclusive results in adults. We examined associations between residential traffic and asthma and COPD in adults in southern Sweden. A postal questionnaire in 2000 (n = 9319, 18–77 years) provided disease status, and self-reported exposure to traffic. A Geographical Information System (GIS) was used to link geocoded residential addresses to a Swedish road database and an emission database for NOx.

Results: Living within 100 m of a road with >10 cars/minute (compared with having no heavy road within this distance) was associated with prevalence of asthma diagnoses (OR = 1.40, 95% CI = 1.04–1.89), and COPD diagnosis (OR = 1.64, 95% CI = 1.11–2.4), as well as asthma and chronic bronchitis symptoms. Self-reported traffic exposure was associated with asthma diagnosis and COPD diagnosis, and with asthma symptoms. Annual average NOx was associated with COPD diagnosis and symptoms of asthma and chronic bronchitis.

Conclusion: Living close to traffic was associated with prevalence of asthma diagnosis, COPD diagnosis, and symptoms of asthma and bronchitis. This indicates that traffic-related air pollution has both long-term and short-term effects on chronic respiratory disease in adults, even in a region with overall low levels of air pollution.

Background

Traffic-related air pollution is well known to have short-term effects on chronic respiratory disease, exacerbating symptoms and increasing hospital admissions for respiratory causes [1]. Strong effects on symptoms have also been observed in areas with relatively low background pollution [2]. Long-term effects have been disputed, but there is growing evidence that traffic-related air pollution is related, at least among children, to asthma incidence [3-7], decreased lung function development [8,9], and incidence of bronchitic symptoms [4,10].
In adults, studies of long-term effects from traffic-related air pollution have been few, and recent studies have found both positive [11-15] and negative [16-18] associations with asthma, as well as positive [16,19,20] and negative [13,14] associations with COPD. Overall, chronic respiratory disease in adults is heterogeneous and involves major exposures, such as personal smoking and occupational exposure, which do not directly affect children. This larger variety of risk factors may complicate research and contribute to inconclusive results in adults.

Self-reported living close to traffic has been associated with prevalence of asthma, but not COPD, among adults in southern Sweden [14]. However, self-reports could be severely biased if people are more aware of (and hence over-report) exposures that are known to be potentially connected to disease, and may not be trustworthy if used as the only exposure estimate [21].

One way of obtaining objective exposure estimates is the use of Geographical Information Systems (GIS) to combine geocoded population data with external traffic exposure data, such as road networks and modeled or monitored traffic pollutants. Since the concentrations of many traffic pollutants decline to background levels within 30–200 m of a road, the level of spatial aggregation may be just as important as the type of proxy when estimating exposure [22,23]. Some studies have found that adverse effects on respiratory disease are best captured with simple variables of traffic density and proximity to roads [24], rather than more complex models of specific pollutants, which are difficult to model with a high resolution. However, air pollutant models do have a number of advantages; for example, they can account for total traffic density, and can also be validated against real measurements, providing more specific estimates of the level of pollutant at which adverse effects from traffic can be seen.

In the present study, we made use of a high quality GIS-modeled pollutant database for nitrogen oxides (NOx and NO2) which has been developed and validated for southern Sweden [25]. The high spatial variability of NOx (NOx+NOy), with traffic as the dominating source, makes it a better proxy for exposure to traffic at the local level, compared with pollutants such as PM2.5 which have a more geographically homogenous spread [26]. We also used GIS-based road data and self-reported living close to heavy traffic as proxies for exposure.

**Study aim**
The aim of this study was to investigate the association between traffic-related air pollution and asthma and COPD in adults. The outcomes investigated were prevalence of; 1) asthma diagnosis 2) COPD diagnosis 3) asthma symptoms last 12 months, and 4) chronic bronchitis symptoms, in relation to residential traffic exposure.

**Methods**

**Study area**
The study area was the most southwestern part of Sweden (figure 1), the most populated part of the county of Scania. The study area contains 840 000 of Sweden’s total population of 8.9 million, and has a population density of 170 inhabitants per km² (data from 2000). The majority of the population live in six of the communities, the largest of which is Malmö, the third largest city in Sweden, with a population of 260 000. A detailed regional description has previously been given [27]. In the geographical stratification of the present study, “Malmö” refers strictly to the city boundaries of Malmö, not the larger municipality.

The climate in the region is homogenous. Although pollutant levels in the region are low in an European context, they are higher than in the remainder of Sweden [28], due to long-range transport of pollutants from the continent and extensive harbor and ferry traffic.

**Study population & questionnaire**
In 2000, a questionnaire was sent to a total of 11933 individuals aged 18–77, of whom 9319 (78%) answered. The study population originated from two different study populations, with 5039 (response rate: 71%) from a new random selection, and 4280 (response rate: 87%) constituting a follow-up group from an earlier selection [29].

The questionnaire dealt with respiratory symptoms, potential confounders such as smoking habits and occupation, and exposures such as living close to a road with heavy traffic [29]. An external exposure assessment was also obtained by geocoding the residential addresses (as of 2000) of both respondents and non-respondents. This was achieved by linking each individual’s unique 10-digit personal identity codes to a registry containing the geographical coordinates of all residential addresses.

Non-respondents had a higher mean of NOx than respondents; 14.7 μg/m³ versus 13.5 μg/m³. To a large extent this was due to a lower response rate in the more polluted city of Malmö (73% vs. 80% in the remaining region).

**Outcome measures**
The following outcomes were investigated, as obtained by the postal questionnaires:

- *Diagnosis of asthma.* “Have you been diagnosed by a doctor as having asthma?”
Study area. Malmö is the largest city in the study region, which comprises the southwestern part of Sweden.
• **Diagnosis of COPD/CBE (Chronic Bronchitis Emphysema).** “Have you been diagnosed by a doctor as having chronic bronchitis, emphysema, or COPD?”

• **Asthma symptoms during the last 12 months.** “Have you had asthma symptoms during the last 12 months, i.e. intermittent breathlessness or attacks of breathlessness? The symptoms may exist with or without cough or wheezing.”

• **Chronic bronchitis symptoms.** “Have you had periods of at least three months where you brought up phlegm when coughing on most days?”, and if so, “Have you had such periods during at least two successive years?”

The questionnaire has been published previously [29]. No information regarding year of disease onset was available.

**Exposure assessment**

Exposure to traffic-related air pollution was assessed at each participant's residential address in 2000, using three different proxies:

1. Self-reported exposure to traffic. This was obtained from the survey. Exposure was defined as a positive answer to the question “Do you live close to a road with heavy traffic?”

2. Traffic intensity on the heaviest road within 100 m. GIS-based registers from The Swedish National Road Database [30] provided information about traffic intensity for all major roads in the county (figure 2). To assess exposure to traffic, we identified the road with the heaviest traffic intensity within 100 m of the residence. Traffic intensity was categorized as 0–1 cars/min, 2–5 cars/min, 6–10 cars/min, and >10 cars/min, based upon 24-hour mean levels.

3. Modeled exposure to NOx (figure 3). Annual mean concentrations of NOx were acquired from a pollutant database, based on the year 2001 [25,31]. Emission sources included in the model were: road traffic, shipping, aviation, railroad, industries and larger energy and heat producers, small scale heating, working machines, working vehicles, and working tools. Meteorological data were also included. A modified Gaussian dispersion model (AERMOD) was used for dispersion calculations; a flat two-dimensional model which did not adjust for effects of street canyons or other terrain, but which did take the height of the emission sources into consideration. Concentrations of NOx were modeled as annual means on a grid with a spatial resolution of 250 × 250 m. Bilinear interpolation was used to adjust individual exposure with weighted values of neighboring area concentrations. Concentrations modeled with this spatial resolution have been validated and found to have a high correlation with measured values in the region [25,31].

**Statistics**

A categorical classification of NOx was used in order to allow analysis of non-linear associations with outcomes. To determine the category limits, the subjects (n = 9274) were divided into NOx-quintiles. The five exposure groups used were 0–8 μg/m³, 8–11 μg/m³, 11–14 μg/m³, 14–19 μg/m³, and >19 μg/m³.

For all measures of exposure, subgroup analyses were made for Malmö and the remaining region. Relative risk was not estimated in exposure groups with fewer than 50 individuals. As few individuals in Malmö had a low exposure to NOx, the middle exposure group was used as the reference category for NOx in Malmö. Because of this, NOx was also used as a continuous variable for trend analysis using logistic regression. A p-value < 0.05 was regarded as evidence of a trend. Stratified analyses were performed for sex, age, smoking, geographical region (Malmö vs. remaining region), and study population (new random selection vs. follow-up group). Sensitivity analyses of the associations with traffic were also performed by restricting the groups to those with asthma but not COPD, and COPD but not asthma, to exclude confounding by comorbidity. This process was also followed for symptoms.

Relative risk was estimated using Odds Ratios (ORs) with 95% Confidence Intervals (CI). Odds Ratios and tests of trends were obtained by binary logistic regression, using version 13.0 of SPSS.

Sex, age (seven categories), and smoking (smokers/ex-smokers vs. non-smokers) are known risk factors for asthma, and were therefore adjusted for in the model. Socio-Economic Indices (SEI codes, based on occupational status [32]) and occupational exposure (ALOHA JEM [33]) were tested as confounders, using the “change-in-estimate” method [34], where a change in the OR of 10% would have motivated an inclusion in the model.

Neither occupational exposure nor Socio-Economic Indices fulfilled the predetermined confounder criteria, or had any noticeable impact on the risk estimates, and were thus not included in the model.

**Results**

A description of the study population in terms sex, age, and smoking, along with the associations with the outcomes, is presented in table 1.

**Association with self-reported living close to traffic**

Asthma diagnosis and asthma symptoms in the last 12 months were associated with self-reported traffic exposure
(table 2). These results were consistent in a geographical stratification (tables 3, 4).

COPD diagnosis was associated with self-reported traffic exposure, both for the whole region (table 5) and when geographically stratified (table 6). Chronic bronchitis symptoms were not associated with self-reported traffic exposure (tables 5, 7).

**Association with traffic intensity on heaviest road within 100 m**

Asthma diagnosis and asthma symptoms were associated with traffic intensity (table 2), with higher prevalence of
asthma symptoms among those living next to a road with at least 6 cars/minute, and higher prevalence of asthma diagnosis among those exposed to at least 10 cars/minute, compared with the group having no road within 100 m. The effects seemed consistent, although statistically non-significant, across geographical region (tables 3, 4).

COPD and chronic bronchitis symptoms were associated with traffic intensity (table 5). However, when stratified geographically, the effect estimates indicated that chronic bronchitis symptoms were not associated with traffic intensity in Malmö (table 7).

**Association with NO\textsubscript{x} at residential address**

Asthma symptoms, but not asthma diagnosis, were associated with NO\textsubscript{x} in the trend tests (table 2). However, effects were only seen in the highest quintile of >19 μg/m\textsuperscript{3}. A geographical stratification showed that it was only in Malmö that high exposure was associated with asthma; no association was found in the region outside (tables 3, 4).

COPD diagnosis and chronic bronchitis symptoms were associated with NO\textsubscript{x} (table 5). After geographical stratification, associations were seen only in Malmö, and not in the region outside (tables 6, 7).

**Stratification by smoking, sex, age, response group, and restricted analysis**

In a stratified analysis, the effects of traffic exposure were more pronounced for smokers than for non-smokers, for both COPD (table 8) and bronchitis symptoms (data not shown). A test for interaction, however, showed no significance except for the interaction between smoking and road within 100 m for chronic bronchitis symptoms (p =
0.023). Asthma showed no indications of effect modification by smoking.

No effect modifications were seen when the data were stratified by sex, age, or sample group (new participants vs. follow-up group). Restriction of analysis to asthmatics without COPD, and to those with COPD without asthma, was performed for both diagnoses and symptoms. The results showed similar effects in the restricted and non-restricted groups. The overlaps between the different disease outcome definitions are presented in table 9.

Discussion

Overall, residential traffic was associated with a higher prevalence of both asthma diagnosis and asthma symptoms in the last 12 months, as well as COPD diagnosis and chronic bronchitis symptoms. Traffic intensity on the heaviest road within 100 m showed effects at a traffic intensity of >6 cars/min. Effects from NOx were seen in the highest exposure quintile of >19 μg/m³, but only in Malmö, not in the region outside.

Discussion of exposure assessment

The major strength of this study was the use of three different proxies of exposure, which may have different intrinsic strengths and weaknesses. The strengths of the NOx model are firstly that it reflects total traffic density in the area, and secondly the fact that the dispersion model has been validated, with a resolution of 250 × 250 m showing a high correlation with measured background concentrations [25]. Nevertheless, street-level concentrations may vary on a much smaller scale. High peak concentrations are often found in so-called "street canyons" in urban areas, where pollutants are trapped between high buildings [23]. Since the dispersion model did not take account of this kind of accumulation effect, the true exposure assessment may have underestimated peak traffic NOx concentrations.

Table 1: Description of study population. Disease prevalence in relation to sex, age, and smoking.

|       | n  | Diagnosed asthma | Asthma symptoms | Diagnosed COPD | Chronic b. symptoms |
|-------|----|------------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Sex   |    |                  |                 |                |                     |
| Men   | 4341 | 258(5.9%) | 429(9.9%) | 172(4.0%) | 308(7.1%) |
| Women | 4975 | 428(8.6%) | 686(13.8%) | 243(4.9%) | 327(6.6%) |
| Ever smoker |    |                  |                 |                |                     |
| No    | 4306 | 291(6.8%) | 431(10.0%) | 118(2.7%) | 217(5.0%) |
| Yes   | 5010 | 395(7.9%) | 684(13.7%) | 297(5.9%) | 418(8.3%) |
| Age   |    |                  |                 |                |                     |
| 18–19 | 135  | 15(11.1%) | 23(17%) | 3(2.2%) | 9(6.7%) |
| 20–29 | 1062 | 110(10.4%) | 141(13.3%) | 19(1.8%) | 41(3.9%) |
| 30–39 | 2045 | 158(7.7%) | 246(12.0%) | 61(3.0%) | 108(5.3%) |
| 40–49 | 1887 | 112(5.9%) | 217(11.5%) | 69(3.7%) | 101(5.4%) |
| 50–59 | 2123 | 142(6.7%) | 237(11.2%) | 106(5.0%) | 185(8.7%) |
| 60–69 | 1586 | 113(7.1%) | 178(11.2%) | 115(7.3%) | 139(8.8%) |
| 70–77 | 478  | 36(7.5%) | 73(15.3%) | 42(8.8%) | 52(10.9%) |

Table 2: Asthma diagnosis and asthma symptoms in relation to traffic.

|                   | Asthma Diagnosis |                        |                       |                     |
|-------------------|------------------|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
|                   | n     | n (%)     | OR                        | n     | n (%)     | OR                        |
| Heavy traffic     |       |           |                          |       |           |                          |
| No                | 6041  | 400(6.6%) | 1.00                     | 6041  | 668(11.1%)| 1.00                     |
| Yes               | 3275  | 286(8.7%) | 1.28(1.09–1.50)           | 3275  | 447(13.6%)| 1.22(1.07–1.39)           |
| Heaviest road within <100 m |       |           |                          |       |           |                          |
| no heavy road     | 3755  | 269(7.2%) | 1.00                     | 3755  | 419(11.2%)| 1.00                     |
| <2 cars/min       | 2235  | 149(6.7%) | 0.92(0.75–1.13)           | 2235  | 263(11.8%)| 1.05(0.89–1.24)           |
| 2–5 cars/min      | 1820  | 134(7.4%) | 1.00(0.81–1.25)           | 1820  | 216(11.9%)| 1.06(0.89–1.26)           |
| 6–10 cars/min     | 886   | 69(7.8%)  | 1.05(0.79–1.38)           | 886   | 126(14.2%)| 1.25(1.01–1.55)           |
| >10 cars/min      | 578   | 61(10.6%) | 1.40(1.04–1.89)           | 578   | 85(14.7%) | 1.29(1.00–1.67)           |
| NOx (μg/m³)       |       |           |                          |       |           |                          |
| 0–8               | 1855  | 140(7.5%) | 1.00                     | 1855  | 217(11.7%)| 1.00                     |
| 8–11              | 1855  | 146(7.9%) | 1.04(0.82–1.32)           | 1855  | 213(11.5%)| 0.97(0.80–1.19)           |
| 11–14             | 1855  | 124(6.7%) | 0.85(0.66–1.09)           | 1855  | 208(11.2%)| 0.94(0.77–1.15)           |
| 14–19             | 1858  | 158(6.2%) | 0.77(0.60–1.00)           | 1858  | 206(11.1%)| 0.90(0.74–1.11)           |
| >19               | 1851  | 157(8.5%) | 1.05(0.83–1.34)           | 1851  | 265(14.3%)| 1.21(0.99–1.46)           |

* Adjusted for age, sex, and smoking. [OR(95%CI)].
sure among people living in these surroundings might have been underestimated. This may partly explain why effects from NOx were seen in the urban city of Malmö but not in the surrounding area.

The proportion of NOx that originates from traffic is also dependent on geographical area. In urban areas of southern Sweden, local traffic contributes approximately 50–60% of total NOx, while in the countryside such traffic is responsible for only 10–30% of total NOx (S. Gustafsson, personal communication, 2007-10-17). This difference was also reported by the SAPALDIA study, which found that local traffic accounted for the majority of NOx in urban but not rural areas [35]. This indicates that our model of NOx is a good proxy for exposure to traffic-related air pollution in an urban area, but may not be sensitive enough to capture individual risk in the countryside, where traffic contributes to a lower proportion of total concentrations.

Self-reported living close to a road with heavy traffic, and traffic intensity on the heaviest road within 100 m, are simple proxies; they do not reflect, for example, whether someone lives at a junction. Still, they have the advantage that they are less limited by aggregation in space than the NOx model. In the present study, both of these variables

### Table 3: Geographical stratification. Asthma diagnosis in the city of Malmö and the area outside.

|             | Malmö Region outside Malmö |
|-------------|-----------------------------|
|             | n  | Asthma diagnosis | OR * | n  | Asthma diagnosis | OR * |
| Heavy traffic No | 1767 | 109(6.2%) | 1.00 | 4178 | 283(6.8%) | 1.00 |
|             | Yes | 1877 | 161(8.6%) | 1.35(1.05–1.75) | 1343 | 119(8.9%) | 1.28(1.02–1.61) |
| Heaviest road within <100 m No heavy road | 586 | 40(6.8%) | 1.00 | 3124 | 224(7.2%) | 1.00 |
|             | <2 cars/min | 1021 | 66(6.5%) | 0.95(0.63–1.43) | 1193 | 82(6.9%) | 0.95(0.73–1.23) |
|             | 2–5 cars/min | 837 | 57(6.8%) | 0.99(0.65–1.51) | 961 | 75(7.8%) | 1.07(0.81–1.40) |
|             | 6–10 cars/min | 663 | 50(7.5%) | 1.12(0.72–1.72) | 212 | 19(9.0%) | 1.21(0.74–1.99) |
|             | >10 cars/min | 537 | 57(10.6%) | 1.50(0.98–2.31) | 31 | 2 - |
| NOx (μg/m3) 0–8 | 13 | 1 - | 1824 | 138(7.6%) | 1.00 |
|             | 8–11 | 46 | 5 - | 1792 | 138(7.7%) | 1.01(0.79–1.30) |
|             | 11–14 | 562 | 39(6.9%) | 1.00 | 1268 | 83(6.5%) | 0.81(0.61–1.08) |
|             | 14–19 | 1325 | 76(5.7%) | 0.79(0.53–1.18) | 510 | 37(7.3%) | 0.93(0.64–1.36) |
|             | >19 | 1698 | 149(8.8%) | 1.18(0.81–1.71) | 127 | 6(4.7%) | 0.58(0.25–1.34) |
| p-trend | 0.044 | p-trend | 0.079 |

* Adjusted for age, sex, and smoking. [OR(95%CI)].

### Table 4: Geographical stratification. Asthma symptoms in the city of Malmö and the area outside.

|             | Malmö Region outside Malmö |
|-------------|-----------------------------|
|             | n  | Asthma symptoms | OR * | n  | Asthma symptoms | OR * |
| Heavy traffic No | 1767 | 209(11.8%) | 1.00 | 4178 | 449(10.7%) | 1.00 |
|             | Yes | 1877 | 263(14.0%) | 1.17(0.96–1.43) | 1343 | 187(13.3%) | 1.23(1.02–1.49) |
| Heaviest road within <100 m No heavy road | 586 | 74(12.6%) | 1.00 | 3124 | 342(10.9%) | 1.00 |
|             | <2 cars/min | 1021 | 119(11.7%) | 0.93(0.68–1.26) | 1193 | 142(11.9%) | 1.09(0.88–1.34) |
|             | 2–5 cars/min | 837 | 101(12.1%) | 0.97(0.70–1.33) | 961 | 112(11.7%) | 1.06(0.84–1.33) |
|             | 6–10 cars/min | 663 | 97(14.6%) | 1.17(0.85–1.63) | 212 | 29(13.7%) | 1.24(0.82–1.87) |
|             | >10 cars/min | 537 | 81(15.1%) | 1.19(0.84–1.68) | 31 | 2 - |
| NOx (μg/m3) 0–8 | 13 | 1 - | 1824 | 215(11.8%) | 1.00 |
|             | 8–11 | 46 | 6 - | 1792 | 205(11.4%) | 0.96(0.79–1.18) |
|             | 11–14 | 562 | 65(11.6%) | 1.00 | 1268 | 142(11.2%) | 0.93(0.74–1.16) |
|             | 14–19 | 1325 | 146(11.0%) | 0.90(0.66–1.23) | 510 | 57(11.2%) | 0.95(0.69–1.29) |
|             | >19 | 1698 | 254(15.0%) | 1.28(0.95–1.72) | 127 | 8(6.3%) | 0.50(0.24–1.04) |
| p-trend | 0.002 | p-trend | 0.344 |

* Adjusted for age, sex, and smoking. [OR(95%CI)].
showed fairly consistent associations, at least with asthma, despite large differences in the level of NO\textsubscript{x} that they corresponded to in Malmö and the region outside (table 10); this may indicate that adverse effects from traffic pollutants are mainly seen in close proximity to traffic. High traffic intensity, however, may not only correlate with high total number of vehicles, but also with a higher proportion of heavy vehicles, an additional factor which could affect the outcome, since diesel exhaust from heavy vehicles might have more adverse respiratory effects [36]. It should be noted that the distribution of exposure is not comparable between the proxies. While NO\textsubscript{x} was divided into quintiles, with 20% in the highest exposure category, only 6% of the population lay in the highest traffic intensity category. Thus, the different proxies are complementary rather than comparable in this study.

One limitation of all three proxies of exposure was that traffic-related air pollution was only estimated by residential address. Lack of individual data about work address and time spent commuting could have biased the expo-

### Table 5: COPD diagnosis and chronic bronchitis symptoms in relation to traffic.

|                      | COPD Diagnosis | Chronic bronchitis symptoms |
|----------------------|----------------|----------------------------|
|                      | n   | n, (%) | OR \textsuperscript{a} | n   | n, (%) | OR \textsuperscript{a} |
| Heavy traffic        |     |        |                        |     |        |                        |
| No                   | 6041| 243(4.0%) | 1.00                  | 6041| 401(6.6%) | 1.00                  |
| Yes                  | 3275| 172(5.3%) | 1.36(1.10–1.67)       | 3275| 234(7.1%) | 1.11(0.94–1.31)       |
| Heaviest road within <100 m | |        |                        |     |        |                        |
| no heavy road        | 3755| 153(4.1%) | 1.00                  | 3755| 222(5.9%) | 1.00                  |
| <2 cars/min          | 2235| 95(4.3%)  | 1.04(0.80–1.35)       | 2235| 159(7.1%) | 1.21(0.98–1.50)       |
| 2–5 cars/min         | 1820| 71(3.9%)  | 0.96(0.72–1.28)       | 1820| 137(7.5%) | 1.30(1.04–1.62)       |
| 6–10 cars/min        | 886 | 60(6.8%)  | 1.57(1.15–2.14)       | 886 | 67(7.6%)  | 1.24(0.93–1.65)       |
| >10 cars/min         | 578 | 34(5.9%)  | 1.64(1.11–2.41)       | 578 | 48(8.3%)  | 1.53(1.10–2.13)       |
| NO\textsubscript{x} (\textmu g/m\textsuperscript{3}) |     |        |                        |     |        |                        |
| 0–8                  | 1855| 74(4.0%)  | 1.00                  | 1855| 110(5.9%) | 1.00                  |
| 8–11                 | 1855| 68(3.7%)  | 0.89(0.63–1.24)       | 1855| 118(6.4%) | 1.05(0.81–1.38)       |
| 11–14                | 1855| 87(4.7%)  | 1.19(0.86–1.64)       | 1855| 121(6.5%) | 1.12(0.86–1.46)       |
| 14–19                | 1858| 83(4.5%)  | 1.03(0.74–1.42)       | 1858| 122(6.6%) | 1.06(0.81–1.39)       |
| >19                  | 1851| 101(5.5%) | 1.43(1.04–1.95)       | 1851| 162(8.8%) | 1.55(1.21–2.00)       |
| p-trend              |    | 0.010    |                        |    | <0.0001  |                        |

\textsuperscript{a} Adjusted for age, sex, and smoking. [OR(95%CI)].

### Table 6: Geographical stratification. COPD diagnosis in Malmö and the region outside.

|                      | Malmö | Region outside Malmö |
|----------------------|-------|----------------------|
|                      | n      | COPD | OR \textsuperscript{a} | n   | COPD | OR \textsuperscript{a} |
| Heavy traffic        |       |      |                        |     |      |                        |
| No                   | 1767  | 85(4.8%) | 1.00                  | 4178| 152(3.6%) | 1.00                  |
| Yes                  | 1877  | 103(5.5%) | 1.24(0.92–1.67)       | 1343| 69(5.1%)  | 1.47(1.09–1.97)       |
| Heaviest road within <100 m | |        |                        |     |        |                        |
| no heavy road        | 586   | 28(4.8%)  | 1.00                  | 3124| 124(4.0%) | 1.00                  |
| <2 cars/min          | 1021  | 44(4.3%)  | 0.89(0.55–1.46)       | 1193| 49(4.1%)  | 1.06(0.75–1.49)       |
| 2–5 cars/min         | 837   | 35(4.2%)  | 0.89(0.53–1.48)       | 961 | 35(3.6%)  | 0.93(0.64–1.37)       |
| 6–10 cars/min        | 663   | 50(7.5%)  | 1.53(0.95–2.48)       | 212 | 10(4.7%)  | 1.20(0.62–2.35)       |
| >10 cars/min         | 537   | 31(5.8%)  | 1.34(0.79–2.28)       | 31  | 3 -       | -                     |
| NO\textsubscript{x} (\textmu g/m\textsuperscript{3}) |     |        |                        |     |        |                        |
| 0–8                  | 13    | 0      | -                      | 1824| 72(3.9%) | 1.00                  |
| 8–11                 | 46    | 2      | -                      | 1792| 66(3.7%) | 0.90(0.64–1.27)       |
| 11–14                | 562   | 27(4.8%) | 1.00                  | 1268| 60(4.7%) | 1.26(0.89–1.80)       |
| 14–19                | 1325  | 64(4.8%) | 0.94(0.59–1.49)       | 510 | 18(3.5%) | 0.91(0.54–1.55)       |
| >19                  | 1698  | 95(5.6%) | 1.23(0.79–1.92)       | 127 | 5(3.9%)  | 1.19(0.47–3.02)       |
| p-trend              | 0.142 | p-trend | <0.0001               | 0.421 |       | -                     |

\textsuperscript{a} Adjusted for age, sex, and smoking. [OR (95%CI)].
sure assessments, particularly for people living in areas with low exposure to traffic-related air pollution, where individual differences in daily activities outside the residential area translate to a large proportion of total exposure [37]. In Los Angeles, 1 h commuting/day contributes approximately 10–50% of people’s daily exposure to ultrafine particles from traffic [38]. While only 20% of the working population living in Malmö commute to work outside Malmö, the majority of the population in smaller municipalities in the remaining region commute to work outside their own municipality [39].

Table 7: Geographical stratification. Chronic bronchitis symptoms in the city of Malmö and the area outside.

|                      | Malmö Region outside Malmö |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|
|                      | n   | Chronic b. symptoms | OR * | n   | Chronic b. symptoms | OR * |
| Heavy traffic        |     |                   |      |     |                   |      |
| No                   | 1767 | 150(8.5%)       | 1.00 | 4178 | 246(5.9%)       | 1.00 |
| Yes                  | 1877 | 140(7.5%)       | 0.91(0.71–1.16) | 1343 | 92(6.9%)       | 1.20(0.94–1.54) |
| Heaviest road within <100 m |     |                   |      |     |                   |      |
| no heavy road        | 586  | 43(7.3%)        | 1.00 | 3124 | 179(5.7%)        | 1.00 |
| <2 cars/min          | 1021 | 89(8.7%)        | 1.21(0.83–1.77) | 1193 | 68(5.7%)        | 1.00(0.75–1.34) |
| 2–5 cars/min         | 837  | 66(7.9%)        | 1.10(0.73–1.64) | 961  | 69(7.2%)        | 1.30(0.98–1.74) |
| 6–10 cars/min        | 663  | 47(7.1%)        | 0.94(0.61–1.45) | 212  | 19(9.0%)        | 1.63(0.99–2.69) |
| >10 cars/min         | 537  | 45(8.4%)        | 1.22(0.78–1.89) | 31   | 3               |      |
| NOx (μg/m³)          |     |                   |      |     |                   |      |
| 0–8                  | 13   | 0               | -    | 1824 | 109(6.0%)       | 1.00 |
| 8–11                 | 46   | 4               | -    | 1792 | 113(6.3%)       | 1.04(0.79–1.37) |
| 11–14                | 56   | 35(6.2%)        | 1.00 | 1268 | 84(6.6%)        | 1.17(0.87–1.57) |
| 14–19                | 1325 | 96(7.2%)        | 1.13(0.76–1.70) | 510  | 26(5.1%)        | 0.88(0.57–1.37) |
| >19                  | 1698 | 155(9.1%)       | 1.57(1.06–2.30) | 127  | 6(4.7%)         | 0.86(0.37–2.01) |
| p-trend              | 0.017|                   |      | 0.541|                   |      |

* Adjusted for age, sex, and smoking. [OR(95%CI)].

Another limitation was the cross-sectional nature of the study; we had no information about disease onset or years living at current address, making it hard to establish a temporal relationship between cause and effect. However, since asthma and COPD are known to be exacerbated by traffic-related air pollution, subjects with disease may have been more likely to move away from traffic, rather than towards it, and so a migrational bias would mainly be expected to dilute the effects.

Table 8: Stratification on smoking. COPD diagnosis in relation to traffic among smokers/ex-smokers and non-smokers.

|                      | Smokers/ex-smokers | Non-smokers |
|----------------------|--------------------|-------------|
|                      | n     | COPD D | OR * | n     | COPD D | OR * |
| Heavy traffic        |       |        |      |       |        |      |
| No                   | 3149  | 167(5.3%) | 1.00 | 2892  | 76(2.6%) | 1.00 |
| Yes                  | 1861  | 130(7.0%) | 1.43(1.13–1.82) | 1414 | 42(3.0%) | 1.19(0.81–1.76) |
| Heaviest road within <100 m |     |        |      |       |        |      |
| no heavy road        | 1951  | 104(5.3%) | 1.00 | 1804  | 49(2.7%) | 1.00 |
| <2 cars/min          | 1185  | 67(5.7%) | 1.06(0.77–1.45) | 1050 | 28(2.7%) | 0.99(0.62–1.59) |
| 2–5 cars/min         | 992   | 52(5.2%) | 0.99(0.70–1.40) | 828  | 19(2.3%) | 0.88(0.51–1.51) |
| 6–10 cars/min        | 522   | 44(8.4%) | 1.56(1.08–2.26) | 364  | 16(4.4%) | 1.64(0.92–2.94) |
| >10 cars/min         | 344   | 28(8.1%) | 1.84(1.18–2.86) | 234  | 6(2.6%)  | 1.15(0.48–2.75) |
| NOx (μg/m³)          |       |        |      |       |        |      |
| 0–8                  | 969   | 47(4.9%) | 1.00 | 886   | 27(3.0%) | 1.00 |
| 8–11                 | 971   | 47(4.8%) | 0.96(0.63–1.46) | 884  | 21(2.4%) | 0.77(0.43–1.37) |
| 11–14                | 945   | 63(6.7%) | 1.35(0.92–2.00) | 910  | 24(2.6%) | 0.92(0.52–1.61) |
| 14–19                | 1037  | 60(5.8%) | 1.14(0.92–2.00) | 821  | 23(2.8%) | 0.85(0.48–1.50) |
| >19                  | 1072  | 78(7.3%) | 1.61(1.11–2.35) | 779  | 23(3.0%) | 1.12(0.63–1.98) |
| p-trend              |        | 0.47   |      |        | 0.89   |      |

* Adjusted for age and sex. [OR(95%CI)].
Discussion of potential confounding

Areas with high levels of exposure to traffic-related air pollution were mainly located in the city of Malmö (table 4 and figure 2), while low exposure was found in more sparsely populated areas. It is a well-recognized problem that the different exposure levels in rural and urban environments are also accompanied by large differences in lifestyle factors, and even if confounders are controlled for, unmeasured factors may remain. Since NO$_x$ was limited by its spatial resolution, it is also the measure that was most susceptible to ecological bias. The lack of association seen with NO$_x$ in the region outside Malmö might thus reflect that the individual risk from traffic is being overridden by some other factor correlating with low exposure levels. The existence of independent risk factors correlating with low exposure is given some support by a Swedish study which found a tendency to higher adult asthma incidence in rural areas, after adjustment for exposure to traffic [11].

The most important risk factors from a validity standpoint, however, are factors that could correlate with high exposure to traffic-related air pollution, and thus cause a false positive relationship, such as socio-economic and occupational risk factors. However, the present study, which used individual-level data, found no confounding effects for either socio-economic status or occupational exposure. A recently developed and validated JEM was used to adjust for occupational exposure [33]. In a JEM, people are assigned the statistically average level of exposure in their occupation; this is an aggregated form of exposure assessment that can suffer from misclassification bias, although non-differential to disease. Since we only had information on the participants' current occupations, we cannot rule out the possibility of a "healthy worker effect". Lack of information about occupational history may be a limitation, especially in relation to the prevalence of COPD/chronic bronchitis.

Results discussion

Although asthma and COPD have many risk factors in common and often coexist in clinical settings, and there is some evidence that asthma may be a risk factor for the development of COPD [40], they are distinct conditions, with differing clinical course and pathological features. Thus, inconsistencies between studies in the relation between air pollution and asthma/COPD could depend both on the presence of different competing risk factors, occupational risk factors, and effects due to confounding by other factors.

Table 9: Description of overlap between the different reported disease outcomes. Percentage within row. The first row shows that 70% of those with asthma diagnosis had asthma symptoms, 20% of those with asthma diagnosis had COPD diagnosis, and 21% of those with asthma diagnosis had chronic bronchitis symptoms.

| Total n | Asthma diagnosis n (%) | Asthma symptoms n (%) | COPD diagnosis n (%) | Chronic b. Symptoms n (%) |
|---------|------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|
| 686     | 483 (70%)              | 139 (20%)             | 145 (21%)            |
| 1115    | 483 (43%)              | -                     | 219 (20%)            |
| 415     | 139 (34%)              | -                     | -                    |
| 635     | 145 (23%)              | 277 (44%)             | 152 (24%)            |

Table 10: Relation between the exposure proxies and modeled NO$_x$ ($\mu$g/m$^3$) as a continuous variable.

|                      | Malmö NO$_x$ | Region outside Malmö NO$_x$ |
|----------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|
|                      | n Mean SD Median | n Mean SD Median |
| Heavy traffic        |              |                            |
| No                   | 1507 18.0 3.1 17.4 | 4502 10.2 3.5 9.6 |
| Yes                  | 1772 19.6 3.2 19.6 | 1459 12.1 4.5 11.4 |
| Heaviest road within <100 m |              |                            |
| no heavy road        | 488 17.6 3.4 17.2 | 3267 10.1 3.4 9.6 |
| <2 cars/min          | 855 18.0 2.9 17.8 | 1380 9.8 4.3 8.1 |
| 2–5 cars/min         | 746 18.9 3.3 19.4 | 1074 12.6 3.8 11.5 |
| 6–10 cars/min        | 627 18.1 2.8 17.4 | 259 13.8 2.3 14.03 |
| >10 cars/min         | 561 21.9 2.0 22.0 | 17 19.2 4.4 21.6 |
| NO$_x$ ($\mu$g/m$^3$) |              |                            |
| 0–8                  | 13 6.8 1.3 6.8 | 1824 6.7 1.1 6.8 |
| 8–11                 | 46 10.4 0.8 9.6 | 1792 9.9 0.8 10.0 |
| 11–14                | 562 13.5 0.7 13.7 | 1268 12.8 1.0 12.7 |
| 14–19                | 1325 16.7 1.3 15.9 | 510 15.7 1.2 15.3 |
| >19                  | 1698 21.7 1.3 21.5 | 127 21.9 3.8 21.2 |
| Total                | 3644 18.4 3.6 18.5 | 5521 10.31 3.6 10.04 |
and on geographically different pollution mixtures acting on different regions of the respiratory tract. It is therefore important to consider local pollution characteristics as thoroughly as possible (tables 11, 12). When using traffic intensity or self-reported traffic exposure as a proxy, there is a lack of knowledge of the exact pollution compounds that this exposure represents. One known characteristic of traffic-related pollution in the study region is a large amount of wear particles from road-tire interaction. These particles have been shown to be potent inducers of local inflammation [41,42], and their levels are high in the Scandinavian countries due to the use of traction sand and studded tires.

Although levels of traffic pollution in Sweden are lower than those found in most other countries, the results for asthma are basically supported by some European studies with higher exposure levels. An Italian study reported an association between symptom exaggeration of adult asthma and NO$_2$ exposure levels [12], and the Swiss SAPALDIA study observed an increase of asthma-related symptoms, although not current asthma, in relation to NO$_2$ [43]. The European ECRHS study found a positive association between NO$_2$ (modeled with a resolution of 1 km) and asthma incidence, but effect estimates seemed very heterogenous among the Swedish centers (although overall heterogeneity tested was non-significant). [15]. Most relevantly, a Swedish study found a non-significant tendency to increased asthma incidence among adults living close to traffic flows, and measured NO$_2$ levels comparable to those found in the present study [11]. Another study of asthma symptoms in Sweden found a significant but weak relation to NO$_2$ [44], although a stronger relation was found with self-reported measures of traffic. The findings in the present study, support the existence of a relation between exposure to traffic-related air pollution and asthma in adults at relatively low levels of traffic-related air pollution.

For COPD, a German study restricted to women found that COPD as defined by the GOLD criteria was 1.79 times more likely (95% CI 1.06–3.02) for those living less than 100 m from a road with 10 000 cars/day, than for those living farther away [19]. This is in agreement with our results, which found effects for living less than 100 m from a road with 6 cars/min (8 640 cars/day).

The European ECRHS study found that new onset of chronic bronchitis, as defined by chronic phlegm, was related among females to both self-reported traffic inten-

### Table 11: Urban background. Descriptive data of regional air pollution at a monitoring station in Malmö. Annual mean concentrations of traffic-related pollutants measured at Rådhuset Malmö 1980–2006. Data source: IVL Swedish Environmental Research Institute Ltd. [http://www.ivl.se/miljo/](http://www.ivl.se/miljo/)

| Year | SO$_2$ ($\mu$g/m$^3$) | NO$_2$ ($\mu$g/m$^3$) | O$_3$ ($\mu$g/m$^3$) | PM$_{10}$ ($\mu$g/m$^3$) | PM$_{2.5}$ ($\mu$g/m$^3$) |
|------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 1980 | 49              |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| 1981 | 50              |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| 1982 | 43              |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| 1983 | 33.1            |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| 1984 | 22.9            | 42              |                 |                 |                 |
| 1985 | 20.3            | 39              |                 |                 |                 |
| 1986 | 16.7            | 31              |                 |                 |                 |
| 1987 | 20.3            | 32              |                 |                 |                 |
| 1988 | 13              | 30.5            |                 |                 |                 |
| 1989 | 12              | 26.9            | 46              |                 |                 |
| 1990 | 9               | 21.3            | 39              |                 |                 |
| 1991 | 8               | 19.6            | 41              |                 |                 |
| 1992 | 7               | 22.4            | 43              |                 |                 |
| 1993 | 8               | 25.6            | 40              |                 |                 |
| 1994 | 6               | 21.4            | 43              |                 |                 |
| 1995 | 6               | 22              | 50              |                 |                 |
| 1996 | 8               | 24.6            | 50              | 17.4            |                 |
| 1997 | 5               | 26.2            | 48              | 17.6            |                 |
| 1998 | 4               | 21.8            | 47              | 15.2            |                 |
| 1999 | 4               | 23.5            | 50              | 15.8            | 12.6            |
| 2000 | 2               | 22.9            | 49              | 16.5            | 13.5            |
| 2001 | 2               | 21.1            | 46              | 18.7            | 12              |
| 2002 | 2               | 20.3            | 52              | 18.1            | 11.5            |
| 2003 | 3               | 20.8            | 49              | 21.6            | 13.7            |
| 2004 | 3               | 19.5            | 54              | 15.9            | 10              |
| 2005 | 4               | 20.6            | 49              | 17.5            | 11.1            |
| 2006 | 3               | 19.3            | 52              | 18.2            | 12.3            |
Table 12: Rural background. Descriptive data of regional air pollution at a monitoring station in a rural area. Annual mean concentrations of traffic-related pollutants measured at Yavilhill 1985–2006. Data source: IVL Swedish Environmental Research Institute Ltd. http://www.ivl.se/miljo/

| Year | SO₂ (μg/m³) | NO₂ (μg/m³) | O₃’ (μg/m³) | PM₁₀ (μg/m³) | PM₂.5 (μg/m³) |
|------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|
| 1985 | 5.14        | 2.36        | 60.2        |              |              |
| 1986 | 2.27        | 59.9        |             |              |              |
| 1987 | 5.47        | 2.11        | 55.1        |              |              |
| 1988 | 3.90        | 1.84        | 57.7        |              |              |
| 1989 | 3.93        | 2.66        | 56.5        |              |              |
| 1990 | 2.98        | 2.36        | 55.0        |              |              |
| 1991 | 2.64        | 2.08        | 51.3        |              |              |
| 1992 | 2.06        | 1.72        | 56.0        |              |              |
| 1993 | 1.70        | 1.98        | 57.4        |              |              |
| 1994 | 1.17        | 1.78        | 58.6        |              |              |
| 1995 | 1.35        | 1.92        | 59.3        |              |              |
| 1996 | 1.31        | 1.77        | 63.0        |              |              |
| 1997 | 0.67        | 2.05        | 58.8        |              |              |
| 1998 | 0.74        | 1.87        | 54.6        |              |              |
| 1999 | 0.55        | 1.66        | 59.1        |              |              |
| 2000 | 0.45        | 1.70        | 57.6        | 16.0         |              |
| 2001 | 0.42        | 1.37        | 60.2        | 15.4         |              |
| 2002 | 0.37        | 1.39        | 66.6        | 16.3         |              |
| 2003 | 0.52        | 1.54        | 62.9        | 18.6         |              |
| 2004 | 0.37        | 1.48        | 58.5        | 13.8         |              |
| 2005 | 0.49        | 1.47        | 61.0        | 15.2         |              |
| 2006 | 0.50        | 1.59        | 64.3        | 17.3         |              |

Overall, our results show that traffic-related air pollution is associated with the prevalence of COPD/chronic bronchitis in adults, but there is still a need for further investigation of the reasons behind the inconsistencies seen when the data were stratified by region.

Conclusion
Residential traffic is associated with both current symptoms and prevalence of diagnosis of asthma and COPD/chronic bronchitis, among adults in southern Sweden. This may indicate that traffic has not only short-term but also long-term effects on adult chronic respiratory disease, even in a region with low overall levels of traffic pollution.
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