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1. Experimental Section

Synthesis of BP$_5$-Cy-B, Cy-B and N1

The intermediate compound Cy-OH$^1$ and N1$^2$ was synthesized by the established procedures from our group.

Scheme S1. Synthetic route of Cy-B and BP$_5$-Cy-B
Materials and General Methods

Unless special stated, all solvents and chemicals were purchased from commercial suppliers in analytical grade and used without further purification. Biotin-PEG$_5$-N$_3$ was supplied by Biomatrik Inc. (Jiaxing, China). The $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AM 400 spectrometer, using TMS as an internal standard. High resolution mass spectrometry data were obtained with a Waters LCT Premier XE spectrometer. Absorption spectra were collected on a Varian Cary 500 spectrophotometer, and fluorescence spectra measurements were performed on a Varian Cary Eclipse fluorescence spectrophotometer. Particle size was measured by dynamic light scattering (DLS) with a Malvern Zetasizer Nano S90. Confocal fluorescence images were taken on confocal laser scanning microscope (LEICA TCS SP8). In vivo fluorescence images were measured with a PerkinElmer IVIS Lumina Kinetic Series III imaging system.

Synthesis of pH-Sensitive Copolymer

pH-sensitive copolymer were synthesized by atom transfer radical polymerization (ATRP) method. First, 2-(diisopropylamino)ethyl methacrylate (1.71 g, 8 mmol), PMDETA (17.3 mg, 0.1 mmol), and PEG-Br (0.5 g, 0.1 mmol) were charged into a polymerization tube. Then a mixture of anhydrous DMF (2 mL) was added to dissolve the monomer and initiator. After three cycles of freeze-pump-thaw to remove oxygen, CuBr (14 mg, 0.1 mmol) was added into the reaction tube under nitrogen atmosphere, and the tube was sealed in vacuo. The polymerization was carried out at 40 °C for 8 hours. After polymerization, the reaction mixture was diluted with 10 mL THF, and passed through an Al$_2$O$_3$ column to remove the catalyst. The THF solvent was removed by rotovap. The residue was dialyzed in distilled water and lyophilized to obtain a white powder.
Synthesis of Cy-B

To a mixture of 4-(hydroxymethyl) phenylboronic acid pinacol ester (183 mg, 0.78 mmol), triphosgene (77 mg, 0.26 mmol) and dry CH₂Cl₂ (30 mL) was added N,N-Diisopropylethylamine (168 mg, 1.3 mmol) dropwise at room temperature. The resulting solution was stirred overnight at room temperature. After removal of unreacted phosgene gas by flushing argon gas, a solution of Cy-OH (200 mg, 0.26 mmol) in CHCl₃ (10 mL) was added to the mixture and the reaction mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. After removing the solvent under reduced pressure, the crude product was purified by silica gel chromatography using ethyl acetate/petroleum ether (v/v, 1:1) as the eluent to afford Cy-B as a green solid (88 mg): Yield 10%. ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, ppm) δ: 1.34 (t, 3H, J = 7 Hz, -CH₃), 2.22 (t, 3H, J = 6 Hz, -CH₂), 2.59 (d, 2H, J = 12 Hz, -CH₂), 2.71 (s, 1H, -CH=), 2.88 (m, 1H, -CH=), 3.14 (m, 2H, -CH₂), 3.18 (d, 2H, J = 4 Hz, -CH₂), 3.63 (m, 2H, -CH₂), 3.63 (m, 1H, -CH=), 4.30 (t, 1H, J = 6 Hz, -CH=), 4.56 (t, 2H, J = 4 Hz, -CH₂), 4.85 (s, 2H, -CH²), 5.12 (s, 1H, -CH=), 5.50 (d, 2H, J = 16 Hz, -CH₂), 5.95 (s, 1H, -CH=), 7.29 (d, 2H, J = 8 Hz, ph-H), 7.49 (t, 2H, J = 6 Hz, ph-H), 7.81 (m, 6H, ph-H). Mass spectrometry (ESI-MS, m/z): [M-I]⁺ calcd for C₁₅H₁₇N₄O₈S⁺, 907.4857; found, 907.4860.

Synthesis of BP₅-Cy-OH

Cy-OH (200 mg, 0.26 mmol) and Biotin-PEG₅-N₂⁺ (276 mg, 0.52 mmol) were dissolved in dry DMF (10 mL) with CuI (99 mg, 0.52 mmol). Then the reaction mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature under an argon atmosphere. The solution was added with CH₂Cl₂ (50 mL) and washed with water (50 mL x 3), dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and evaporated to BP₅-Cy-OH, and then the crude product was purified by silica gel chromatography using dichloromethane/methyl alcohol (v/v, 50:1) as the eluent to afford BP₅-Cy-OH as a red solid (350 mg): Yield 73%. ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, ppm) δ: 1.34 (t, 6H, J = 8 Hz, -CH₃), 1.43 (m, 4H, -CH₂), 2.00 (s, 12H, -CH₂), 2.22 (t, 3H, J = 6 Hz), 2.59 (d, 2H, J = 12 Hz, -CH₂), 2.71 (s, 1H, -CH=), 2.88 (m, 1H, -CH=), 3.14 (m, 2H, -CH₂), 3.18 (d, 1H, J = 4 Hz, -CH₂), 3.53 (t, 3H, J = 6 Hz), 3.63 (m, 2H, -CH₂), 4.30 (t, 1H, J = 6 Hz, -CH=), 4.56 (t, 2H, J = 4 Hz, -CH₂), 4.85 (s, 2H, -CH²), 5.12 (s, 1H, -CH=), 5.50 (d, 2H, J = 16 Hz, -CH₂), 5.95 (s, 1H, -CH=), 7.29 (d, 2H, J = 8 Hz, ph-H), 7.49 (t, 2H, J = 6 Hz, ph-H), 7.81 (m, 6H, ph-H), 8.05 (d, 2H, J = 12 Hz, ph-H), 8.36 (d, 2H, J = 16 Hz, -CH=). ^13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, ppm): δ 173.41, 164.62, 140.92, 134.58, 130.03, 129.77, 129.51, 128.97, 126.87, 122.64, 122.42, 121.83, 109.13, 91.62, 74.45, 70.48, 70.02, 69.45, 62.07, 61.70, 50.37, 48.72, 39.13, 37.26, 35.86, 31.72, 29.71, 27.92, 25.62, 11.65. Mass spectrometry (ESI-MS, m/z): Δ[M-I]⁺ calcd for C₁₅H₁₇N₄O₈S⁺, 1179.6317; found, 1179.6322.

Synthesis of BP₅-Cy-B

To a mixture of 4-(hydroxymethyl) phenylboronic acid pinacol ester (35 mg, 0.45 mmol), triphosgene (44 mg, 0.15 mmol) and dry CH₂Cl₂ (30 mL) was added N,N-diisopropylethylamine (161 mg, 1.25 mmol) dropwise at room temperature. The resulting solution was stirred overnight at room temperature. After removal of unreacted phosgene gas by flushing argon gas, a solution of BP₅-Cy-OH (200 mg, 0.15 mmol) in CHCl₃ (10 mL) was added to the mixture and the reaction mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. After removing the solvent under reduced pressure, the crude product was purified by silica gel chromatography using ethyl acetate/petroleum ether (v/v, 1:1) as the eluent to afford BP₅-Cy-B as a green solid (80 mg): Yield 8%. ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, ppm) δ: 1.22 (s, 12H, -CH₃), 1.32 (s, 2H, -CH₂), 1.49 (m, 16H, -CH₂), 1.77 (s, 6H, -CH₃), 2.25 (t, 2H, J = 8 Hz, -CH₂), 2.73 (m, 3H, -CH₃), 2.90 (m, 1H, -CH=), 3.12 (m, 2H, -CH₂), 3.28 (d, 2H, J = 12 Hz, -CH₂), 3.43 (d, 2H, J = 4 Hz, -CH₂), 3.62 (m, 24H, -CH₂), 3.95 (s, 2H, -CH₂), 4.08 (s, 1H, -CH=), 4.63 (d, 2H, J = 4 Hz, -CH₂), 4.99 (s, 2H, -CH₂), 5.42 (s, 2H, -CH₂), 6.31 (d, 2H, J = 12 Hz, -CH=), 7.43 (d, 2H, J = 8 Hz, -CH=), 8.05 (d, 2H, J = 12 Hz, ph-H), 8.10 (d, 2H, J = 8 Hz, ph-H).
= 8 Hz, ph-H), 7.56 (d, 2H, J = 8 Hz, ph-H), 7.66 (t, 2H, J = 12 Hz, -CH-), 7.96 (m, 10H, ph-H). $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$, ppm): δ173.02, 139.49, 139.19, 137.23, 135.28, 134.06, 131.93, 130.82, 128.09, 127.85, 125.05, 122.06, 119.20, 110.66, 100.64, 84.03, 77.24, 71.19, 70.87, 70.57, 70.18, 69.79, 69.52, 61.77, 60.09, 55.38, 53.61, 53.45, 50.87, 50.12, 41.89, 40.64, 40.08, 39.11, 35.75, 30.26, 29.71, 28.07, 27.47, 25.48, 24.76, 18.66, 17.40, 12.94, 12.03. Mass spectrometry (ESI-MS, m/z): [M-I]$^+$ calcd for C$_{81}$H$_{104}$BN$_8$O$_{13}$S$_8$, 1439.7533; found, 1439.7537.

**Preparation of BN**

In a typical procedure for the preparation of BN nanoparticles: 2 mg BP$_5$-Cy-B and 20 mg N1 was dissolved in 1.0 mL of DMSO and stirred at room temperature (25 ºC) for 10 min. Then the mixture was slowly added into 9.0 mL of deionized water and stirred at room temperature (25 ºC) for 10 min. Subsequently, the solution was dialyzed against deionized water for 24 h (molecular weight cutoff = 8,000 g mol$^{-1}$) and the deionized water was exchanged for 4 times.

**Preparation of BNG**

In a typical procedure for the preparation of BNG nanoparticles: 2 mg BP$_5$-Cy-B and 20 mg N1 was dissolved in 1.0 mL of DMSO and stirred at room temperature (25 ºC) for 10 min (mixture 1). 2 mg GOD was dissolved in 9.0 mL of deionized water and stirred at room temperature (25 ºC) for 10 min (mixture 2). Then the mixture 1 was slowly added into mixture 2 and stirred slightly for another 10 min. Subsequently, the solution was dialyzed against deionized water for 24 h (molecular weight cutoff = 8,000 g mol$^{-1}$) and the deionized water was exchanged for 4 times.

**Cell Experiment**

**Cell Lines**

The A549 cell line were purchased from the Institute of Cell Biology (Shanghai, China). Cells were all propagated in T-75 flasks cultured at 37 ºC under a humidified 5% CO$_2$ atmosphere in RPMI-1640 medium or DMEM medium (GIBCO/Invitrogen, Camarillo, CA, USA), which were supplemented with 10 % fetal bovine serum (FBS, Biological Industry, Kibbutz Beit Haemek, Israel) and 1 % penicillin-streptomycin (10,000 U mL$^{-1}$ penicillin and 10 mg mL$^{-1}$ streptomycin, Solarbio life science, Beijing, China).

**In Vitro Cytotoxicity Assay**

The cell cytotoxicity of BN, N1 & GOD, BNG and BNG & GSH to A549 cells, A549 cells were measured by 3-(4, 5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2, 5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay. The cytotoxicity was evaluated by Cell Counting Kit-8 (Dojindo, Tokyo, Japan) according to the factory’s instruction. Cells were plated in 96-well plates in 0.1 mL volume of DMEM or RPMI-1640 medium with 10 % FBS, at a density of 1×10$^4$ cells/well and added with desired concentrations (μM) of BN, N1 & GOD, BNG and BNG & GSH. After incubation for 48 h, absorbance was measured at 410 nm with a Tecan GENios Pro multifunction reader (Tecan Group Ltd., Maennedorf, Switzerland). Each concentration was measured in triplicate and used in three independent experiments. The relative cell viability was calculated by the equation: cell viability (%) = (OD$_{treated}$/OD$_{control}$) × 100%

**In Vitro Cellular Imaging**
The A549 cells at $1 \times 10^5$ cells/well were seeded onto glass-bottom petri dishes with complete medium (1.5 mL) for 12 h. To explore the effect of H$_2$O$_2$ in vitro, A549 cells were incubated with BP$\_5$-Cy-B (10 μM) for 30 min, then the probe treated cells were incubated with H$_2$O$_2$ (10 μM) for another 10 min. For the endogenous H$_2$O$_2$ detection, cells were successively incubated with TEMPO (2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine-1-oxyl, ROS scavenger) (10 μM) at 37 °C for 30 min, BP$\_5$-Cy-B (10 μM) for another 30 min and PMA (phorbol myristate acetate, trigger production of ROS in mitochondria via activating of protein kinase C) for 30 min. For the effect of PEG5 segment, cells were successively incubated with TEMPO (10 μM) at 37 °C for 30 min, BP$\_5$-Cy-B (10 μM) and Cy-B (10 μM) for another 30 min, respectively. After washing the culture dishes three times with PBS, the images were then photographed by using a Confocal laser scanning microscope Leica TCS SP8 (63 × oil lens) with 540 nm, 740 nm as the excitation wavelength and 650 nm and 825 nm as the emission wavelength.

Colocalization
For the co-staining experiment, A549 cells were incubated with the mixture of probe BP$\_5$-Cy-B (10 μM) and Mito-Tracker Green FM (200 nM) for 2 h. Fluorescence images were acquired with a LEICA TCS SP8 laser confocal fluorescence microscope.

Animals
The 3-4-week-old female BALB/cA nude mice were produced from East China Normal University, and maintained under standard conditions. The animals were housed in sterile cages within laminar airflow hoods in a specific pathogen-free room with a 12-h light/12-h dark schedule and fed autoclaved chow and water ad libitum.

Real-time in vivo imaging in tumor-bearing mice
The nude mice were inoculated with A549 cell on their right flanks by injecting 106 cells subcutaneously. When the tumors grew up to 10 mm in diameter, BN and BNG (administered at a BP$\_5$-Cy-B-equivalent dose of 0.1 mg kg$^{-1}$) in PBS were intravenously injected via tail vein into the A549 cell tumor-bearing nude mice. The real-time in vivo imaging was recorded at different time internals after BN and BNG injection. In vivo fluorescence images were measured with IVIS Spectrum CT imaging system, respectively. After injection, the mice were sacrificed at 12 h. The grafted tumor tissues and major organs, including kidney, lung, spleen, liver, heart, were excised and washed with 0.9% saline. The optical images of the organs and tissues were taken using a PE in vivo Professional Imaging System as described above.
2. ACQ of BP$_5$-Cy-B

Fig. S1. (A) The fluorescence spectra of BP$_5$-Cy-B ($\lambda_{ex} = 740$ nm) in THF/water mixtures with different fractions of water. (B) Fluorescence intensity at 825 nm, showing typical ACQ (Aggregation-Caused Quenching) effects at 25 °C.

3. Selectivity of BP$_5$-Cy-B and Real-time Targeting GOD Bio-catalysis

Fig. S2. Selectivity of BP$_5$-Cy-B toward various potential reactive species.

Fig. S3. Normalized fluorescence intensity with $\lambda_{ex} = 825$ nm (A) and $\lambda_{ex} = 650$ nm (B) of BP$_5$-Cy-B in the presence of glucose (5 mg mL$^{-1}$) and GOD (20 U mL$^{-1}$) in mixed solution (DMSO/H$_2$O, v/v= 1/3, pH = 7.4, 37 °C).
4. Proposed Mechanism of BP$_5$-Cy-B Reaction with H$_2$O$_2$

Scheme S3. Proposed Mechanism of BP$_5$-Cy-B Reaction with H$_2$O$_2$

Fig. S4. Sensing mechanism were further confirmed by ESI-MS analyses
5. The Stability of BNG

![Graph of stability](image)

**Fig. S5** Time dependent diameter (a) and fluorescence intensity (b) at 825 nm of BNG in PBS buffer solution at 37 °C.

**Note:** The diameter and fluorescence intensity at 825 nm remained stable within 80 h, which suggested BNG did not dissociate with time. Those results confirmed that BNG exhibited excellent stability for long storage.

6. Targeting Mitochondria Ability

![Fluorescence images](image)

**Fig. S6.** Fluorescence images of mitochondria co-localized experiment in HeLa cells. The cells were incubated with the mixture of BP5-Cy-B and Mito-Tracker Green for 2 h. (b) Mito-Tracker Green (200 nM, λ\text{ex} = 488 nm, λ\text{em} = 500 - 550 nm). (c) BP5-Cy-B (10 µM, λ\text{ex} = 740 nm, λ\text{em} = 750 - 800 nm). (d) Overlay of (b) and (c). (e) Intensity correlation plot. **Note:** BP5-Cy-B display site-specifically internalized in mitochondria in living cells.

7. Cancer Cells Targeting of BP5-Cy-B

![Normalized intensity](image)

**Fig. S7.** Normalized intensity from Fig. 4D\textsubscript{3} and Fig. 4E\textsubscript{3}
8. Cancer Cells Imaging of GSH Depletion

Fig. S8 (A) The reaction mechanism of DCM-S with GSH. (B) Confocal laser scanning microscopy images of A549 cells only co-cultured with DCM-S (20 μM). Images were taken from 600-620 nm (λ_{ex} = 430 nm; two parallel experiments). (C) Confocal laser scanning microscopy images of A549 cells incubation firstly with BNG (2 hours) and then DCM-S (20 μM, 40 min). Images were taken from 600 - 620 nm (λ_{ex} = 430 nm) and 640 - 690 nm (λ_{ex} = 540 nm).

DCM-S could display a fluorescence light-up signal (λ_{ex} = 430 nm) after reaction with GSH in cancer cells.³ (Note: BNG did not display fluorescence signal when excited in 430 nm. So there is no fluorescence interference between DCM-S and BNG.) As expected, cells pretreated with DCM-S and BNG displayed much weaker fluorescence signal (λ_{ex} = 430 nm). These cell imaging results strongly supported the released quinone methide could deplete cellular GSH.
9. Characterization of Intermediate Compounds and BP$_5$-Cy-B

Fig. S9. $^1$H NMR spectrum of Cy-B in DMSO

Fig. S10. HRMS spectrum of Cy-B
Fig. S11. $^1$H NMR spectrum of BP$_3$-Cy-OH in CDCl$_3$.

Fig. S12. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of BP$_3$-Cy-OH in CDCl$_3$. 
Fig. S13. HRMS spectrum of BP₂-Cy-OH

Fig. S14. ^1^H NMR spectrum of BP₂-Cy-B in CDCl₃
Fig. S15. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of BP$_5$-Cy-B in CDCl$_3$.

Fig. S16. HRMS spectrum of BP$_5$-Cy-B.
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