Temporal decorrelation by SK channels enables efficient neural coding and perception of natural stimuli
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It is commonly assumed that neural systems efficiently process natural sensory input. However, the mechanisms by which such efficient processing is achieved, and the consequences for perception and behaviour remain poorly understood. Here we show that small conductance calcium-activated potassium (SK) channels enable efficient neural processing and perception of natural stimuli. Specifically, these channels allow for the high-pass filtering of sensory input, thereby removing temporal correlations or, equivalently, whitening frequency response power. Varying the degree of adaptation through pharmacological manipulation of SK channels reduced efficiency of coding of natural stimuli, which in turn gave rise to predictable changes in behavioural responses that were no longer matched to natural stimulus statistics. Our results thus demonstrate a novel mechanism by which the nervous system can implement efficient processing and perception of natural sensory input that is likely to be shared across systems and species.
Understanding the key computations by which neurons process incoming natural sensory stimuli, thereby giving rise to perception and behaviour, remains a central problem in neuroscience. There is growing evidence that sensory systems developed coding strategies to suit a dynamic range of statistics in natural sensory stimuli. Indeed, sensory systems can efficiently process input by matching their adaptation properties to natural stimulus statistics, thereby removing redundant information and thus maximizing the information transmission in presence of noise. Specifically, efficient neural coding can be achieved by ensuring that the neural tuning function is inversely proportional to stimulus intensity as a function of frequency, thereby achieving a neural response that is decorrelated in the temporal domain or, equivalently, whose amplitude is independent of frequency. Such ‘temporal whitening’ has been observed across species and systems. However, the mechanisms giving rise to efficient neural processing and, importantly, whether, and if so how, this information is decoded downstream in order to mediate perception and behaviour remains poorly understood to this day. Here we show that SK channels, which are found ubiquitously in the brain, mediate efficient processing of natural stimuli by sensory neurons through temporal decorrelation and, importantly, how such processing ensures that perception is matched to natural stimulus statistics at the organismal level.

Gymnotiform wave-type weakly electric fish sense amplitude modulations (AM) of their self-generated quasi-sinusoidal electric organ discharge (EOD) through peripheral electroreceptors found on their skin. These electroreceptors in turn send afferents onto sensory pyramidal neurons within the electrosensory lateral line lobe (ELL) that subsequently project to higher brain areas, thereby mediating perception and behavioural responses. Natural electrosensory stimuli have complex spatiotemporal characteristics and, as in other systems, display both first and second-order attributes that vary independently of one another and whose intensity decreases as a power law as a function of temporal frequency under natural conditions. First-order stimulus attributes consist of changes in the animal’s EOD amplitude caused by objects with conductivity different than that of the surrounding water (for example, prey, plants, rocks and other fish). In contrast, the second-order stimulus attributes occur exclusively during social interactions with conspecifics. For example, when two fish come into close proximity to one another, interference between both EODs gives rise to a sinusoidal stimulus (that is, a beat or first-order) whose frequency is equal to the difference between the two EOD frequencies. The beat amplitude (that is, the envelope or second-order) then depends on the relative distance and orientation between both fish and is therefore a time-varying signal under natural conditions that carries behaviourally relevant information and elicits robust behavioural responses.

The responses of electroreceptive neurons to first-order electroreceptive stimulus attributes have been well characterized (see refs 13,14,20–21 for review). Importantly, peripheral receptor afferents display high-pass filtering characteristics of time-varying first-order attributes that oppose the strongly decaying intensity as a function of temporal frequency seen under natural conditions. These afferents are thus thought to efficiently process natural first-order electroreceptive stimulus attributes by temporal whitening. Each afferent trifurcates and makes synaptic contact onto pyramidal cells within three parallel maps (lateral segment, LS; centrolateral segment, CLS; centromedial segment, CMS) of the body surface within the ELL. Pyramidal cells are the sole output neurons of the ELL and project to higher brain areas. Parallel processing occurs at the level of the ELL as pyramidal cells within each map extract different features of first-order attributes in part through differential frequency tuning that are necessary to elicit appropriate differential behavioural responses at the organismal level.

In contrast, much less is known about coding strategies used for the processing of second-order electrosensory stimulus attributes. In particular, previous studies have shown that peripheral afferents can faithfully encode these both at the single neuron and population levels. However, because their tuning was found to be independent of temporal frequency, afferents do not efficiently process natural second-order electrosensory stimulus attributes through temporal whitening. While previous studies have shown that ELL pyramidal cells can respond to second-order electrosensory stimulus attributes, their temporal frequency tuning to these has not been investigated to date. It is therefore not known whether and, if so, how, processing of second-order electrosensory stimulus attributes by these cells is constrained by natural stimulus statistics.

We found that ELL pyramidal neurons efficiently process natural second-order electrosensory stimulus attributes through temporal whitening. Indeed, neural responses were characterized by weak correlations and by constant power for envelope frequencies spanning three orders of magnitude. Further experimentation and modelling revealed that such temporal whitening is achieved because pyramidal neurons display time-scale-invariant adaptation to envelope stimuli. This adaptation enables high-pass adaptation of the input through a fractional derivative operation whose exponent is matched to natural stimulus statistics. We further show that small conductance calcium-activated potassium (SK) channels mediate adaptation to envelopes in pyramidal neurons. Indeed, both pharmacological activation and inactivation of these channels altered the degree of fractional differentiation and tuning to envelope stimuli, thereby reducing efficiency of processing of natural stimuli. Importantly, these manipulations caused predictable changes in behavioural responses to natural stimuli by inducing a mismatch between behavioural sensitivity and natural stimulus statistics. Our results therefore reveal a general mechanism by which SK channels can enable efficient processing and perception of natural stimuli through scale-invariant adaptation.

Results

Fractional differentiation enables temporal whitening. We recorded ELL pyramidal neuron responses to stimuli ($n = 14$) in awake and behaving animals (Fig. 1a). Our stimuli consisted of a fast time-varying waveform (first-order) with a slow time-varying amplitude (that is, the envelope or second-order) as encountered under natural conditions. Figure 1a shows an example AM waveform (magenta), its envelope (blue), as well as the full signal received by the animal (green) with respective frequency content. It is important to realize that the animal’s unmodulated EOD is a carrier and that the meaningful stimulus here is the EOD AM. Thus, we note that the first- and second-order features of the stimulus actually correspond to the second- and third-order features of the full signal received by the animal, respectively.

We considered envelope waveforms that either varied sinusoidally or whose timecourse mimicked of that seen under natural conditions (Fig. 1b, see Methods). Specifically, for the latter case, the envelope autocorrelation decayed over a time window of 400 ms (Fig. 1c, inset) while the envelope power decayed as a power law with exponent $\alpha_{\text{stim}} = -0.8$ (Fig. 1c). We found that pyramidal neurons displayed robust responses to such stimuli (Fig. 1b, bottom). Interestingly, further analysis revealed that pyramidal neurons perform temporal decorrelation of natural
How is temporal whitening of natural stimuli by pyramidal neurons achieved? Theory posits that such whitening is achieved by ensuring that the neuron’s tuning curve is matched to the statistics of natural input. Neural sensitivity should then be highest for frequencies at which stimulus power is lowest. A simple derivation (see Methods) predicts that, in order to achieve temporal whitening of stimuli whose power decreases with exponent $\alpha_{\text{stim}} = -0.8$, neural sensitivity should increase as a power law with exponent $\alpha_{\text{neuron}} = -\alpha_{\text{stim}}/2 = 0.4$ (Fig. 2a).

To verify this prediction, we recorded pyramidal neuron responses ($n = 14$) to sinusoidal envelope stimuli with frequencies spanning the behaviourally relevant range (0.05–1 Hz). We found that pyramidal neurons responded to such stimuli through sinusoidal modulations in firing rate that increased in amplitude as a function of frequency (Fig. 2b). We then used linear systems identification and plotted the sensitivity and phase relationships between stimulus and neural response as a function of frequency (Fig. 2c). Our results show that sensitivity indeed increased as a power law as a function of frequency with exponent 0.4 (Fig. 2c, top), while the phase remained constant (Fig. 2c, bottom). Such phase constancy is typical of fractional differentiation, a mathematical operation that is thought to be advantageous for coding. Fractional differentiation in the time domain is equivalent to linearly filtering by a transfer function with gain $(2\pi f)^a$ and phase $2\pi \alpha/2$ (see Methods), where $f$ is the frequency and $\alpha$ is the order of differentiation. We thus fitted a fractional derivative model with $\alpha = 0.4$ to our data (see Methods) and found an excellent fit (Fig. 2c). Importantly, this simple model correctly predicted temporal decorrelation and whitening seen in response to naturalistic envelope stimuli (Fig. 2d) as quantified by both correlation time (Fig. 2e) and whitening index (Fig. 2f). We conclude that temporal whitening of natural envelopes occurs because pyramidal neurons high-pass filter the input stimulus through fractional differentiation whose exponent is precisely matched to natural stimulus statistics.

A simple model reproduces experimental data. To gain insight into the mechanism which enables pyramidal neurons to efficiently process natural stimuli through fractional differentiation, we built a simple model based on the leaky integrate-and-fire formalism that included a spike-activated adaptation current that decayed as a power law in the absence of firing (Fig. 3a). The output model spike train was analysed in the same way as our experimental data. Numerical simulation revealed that this simple model accurately reproduced our experimental data (compare Figs 2b and 3b). Indeed, the model neuron’s sensitivity and phase closely matched those obtained experimentally.
Figure 2 | Fractional differentiation by electrosensory pyramidal neurons achieves temporal decorrelation. (a) Schematic representation showing that the neural tuning function (middle) must oppose the decay in the stimulus power (left) in order to achieve a neural response that is constant (right). (b) Phase histograms showing the firing rate modulation in response to the stimulus (blue) for low (dashed red) and high (solid red) envelope frequencies. The bands and vertical arrows show the amplitudes of the best sinusoidal fits (not shown for clarity) for both frequencies, which are used to compute gain. The horizontal arrows show the phase shift between the stimulus and the firing rate modulation signal. (c) Population-averaged (brown) sensitivity (top) and phase (bottom) obtained from sinusoidal stimuli (N = 14). The solid orange lines show the gain and phase of the best-fit fractional derivative. (d) Predicted (orange) and actual (red) response power spectra to natural stimuli (N = 14). The grey band shows 1 s.e.m. Inset: predicted (orange) and actual (red) response autocorrelation function. The grey band shows the 95% confidence interval around zero. (e,f) Predicted as a function of actual correlation time and white index, respectively.

To understand how adaptation can lead to efficient processing of natural stimuli, we next systematically varied the strength of adaptation in our model. We found that, without adaptation, our model displayed constant sensitivity and no phase lead in response to envelope stimuli (light green curves in Fig. 4a,b). Increasing the adaptation strength led to sensitivity curves which increased more steeply as a function of frequency and furthermore increased phase lead (compare light and dark green curves Fig. 4a,b), consistent with increases in the neural exponent \( x_{\text{neuron}} \) (Fig. 4c). These results have important implications as they predict that, for a given adaptation strength, our model can only achieve temporal decorrelation/whitening of stimuli whose power decays with a given exponent. This was verified by plotting the whitening index for naturalistic envelope stimuli (that is, \( x_{\text{stim}} = -0.8 \)) as a function of the adaptation strength. Indeed, both lower and higher adaptation strength led to tuning curves that were not matched to natural stimulus statistics and lowered coding efficiency as quantified by lower white index values (Fig. 4d).

Our model therefore makes two important predictions. The first is that, in order to observe temporal whitening of scale-invariant natural stimuli through fractional differentiation,
neurons must display adaptation that is also scale invariant (that is, decay as a power law). The second is that temporal whitening is only achieved for a given adaptation strength. Thus, increases or decreases in the adaptation strength will alter neural tuning and lead to sub-optimal processing of natural stimuli.

Pyramidal neurons display power law adaptation. To test whether pyramidal neurons display scale-invariant adaptation, we recorded their responses to step changes in envelope (Fig. 5a). We found that pyramidal neurons responded to such stimuli by a rapid increase in firing rate followed by a slower decay following the step onset, which is characteristic of spike frequency
adaptation (Fig. 5a). If adaptation displays a characteristic time-scale (that is, not scale invariant), then we expect that the peristimulus time histogram (PSTH) responses to step onset with different duration will all be well-fit by an exponential curve with the same time constant, whereas a power law will instead give a poor fit. If adaptation is instead scale invariant, then we expect that PSTH responses to step onset with different duration will all be well fit by a power law curve with the same exponent. The apparent decay time constant of adaptation as quantified by fitting an exponential will then be inversely proportional to the step duration.38

To test our hypothesis, we plotted the time-dependent firing rate in response to steps with different durations. The curves obtained did not overlap and were each well fit by exponentials but with different time constants (Fig. 5b). Rescaling both the firing rate and time led to strong overlap between the curves that were all well fit by power laws with the same exponent (Fig. 5c). We note that rescaling both firing rate and time will not alter the power law exponent. Thus, our results suggest that the timescale of adaptation in ELL pyramidal cells follows a power law rather than an exponential. We next systematically varied the step duration and found that, while the exponential time constant varied strongly as a function of step duration (Fig. 5d, left), the power law exponent was instead relatively independent of step duration (Fig. 5d, right). We conclude that pyramidal neurons indeed display scale invariant (that is, power law) adaptation in response to envelopes as predicted by our model.

**SK channels promote efficient coding of natural stimuli.** So far, we have shown that ELL pyramidal neurons can efficiently process natural stimuli through temporal decorrelation because of fractional differentiation, which ensures that the neural tuning increases as a power law with exponent $\alpha_{\text{neuron}}$ that is precisely related to the power law exponent of the stimulus $\alpha_{\text{stim}}$. Our model predicted that such fractional differentiation can be explained by including an adaptation current whose timescale follows a power law which was confirmed experimentally. Importantly, our model also predicted that changing the level of adaptation can strongly affect $\alpha_{\text{neuron}}$, which should decrease coding efficiency. Thus, we next tested experimentally whether modifying adaptation in pyramidal neurons will alter their tuning exponent $\alpha_{\text{neuron}}$, and whether this will decrease coding efficiency as quantified by the white index.

We focused on small conductance calcium-activated potassium (SK) channels. This is because previous results have shown that pharmacologically activating and inactivating these currents will increase and decrease adaptation in ELL pyramidal neurons, respectively.39,40 We thus hypothesized that pharmacological activation and inactivation of SK channels will increase and decrease fractional differentiation by pyramidal neurons, respectively, thereby altering tuning. Both manipulations are then predicted to decrease efficient coding of natural stimuli by temporal whitening. We thus micro-injected the SK channel antagonist UCL-1684 (UCL) as well as the SK channel agonist 1-EBIO (EBIO) in the ELL using well-established methodology (Bastian41; Deemyad et al.42; Supplementary Fig. 2A, see Methods) (Fig. 6a). We note that previous studies have shown that injection of saline alone using this methodology does not alter pyramidal neuron activity41,42. Consistent with previous results,39,43 we found that UCL and EBIO application both strongly altered pyramidal neuron activity in the absence of stimulation (Supplementary Figs 2B–D).

If our hypothesis is true, then we expect that UCL application will decrease the neural tuning exponent $\alpha_{\text{neuron}}$ as neural sensitivity should then increase less steeply as a function of frequency when...
using sinusoidal stimuli. In contrast, we expect that EBIO application will increase the neural tuning exponent \( \alpha_{\text{neuron}} \) as neural sensitivity should then increase more steeply as a function of frequency. Consistent with these predictions, neural sensitivity indeed became relatively independent of frequency following UCL application as quantified by a decrease in \( \alpha_{\text{neuron}} \) (Fig. 6b, compare red and purple). Neural sensitivity increased more steeply as a function of frequency after EBIO application as quantified by an increase in \( \alpha_{\text{neuron}} \) (Fig. 6b, compare red and cyan).

We next tested whether changes in neural tuning do indeed decrease coding efficiency when instead using natural stimuli. To do so, we next plotted the response power spectra before and after application of either UCL or EBIO. We found that, after UCL application, the response power spectrum decayed as a function of frequency (Fig. 6c, compare red and purple). In contrast, the response power spectrum increased as a function of frequency after EBIO application (Fig. 6c, compare red and cyan). The changes in power spectra observed were in agreement with predictions from our simple model (Fig. 6c, compare dashed and solid curves) that were based solely on the changes in \( \alpha_{\text{neuron}} \) (Fig. 6d). Importantly, confirming our prediction; UCL and EBIO application both significantly reduced coding efficiency as quantified by the white index (Fig. 6e).

**SK channels in ELL determine behavioural responses.** Information transmitted by neurons is only useful to an organism if it is actually decoded downstream. Thus, we next investigated how efficient coding of natural stimuli by ELL pyramidal neurons mediates perception. To do so, we took advantage of the fact that weakly electric fish display robust behavioural responses to envelope stimuli \(^{18,31} \) (Fig. 7a). These consist of changes in the animal’s EOD frequency that follows the stimulus’ detailed timecourse but whose magnitude decreases with increasing frequency (Fig. 7b). Behavioural response sensitivity is matched to natural stimulus power (Fig. 7c). Indeed, both curves decreased as a power law with exponents \( \alpha_{\text{behaviour}} \) and \( \alpha_{\text{stim}} \) that were not significantly different from one another (Fig. 7c, inset). This matching ensures that behavioural sensitivity is greatest for stimulus frequencies that tend to occur most frequently in the natural environment \(^{14-18} \).

We hypothesized that behavioural sensitivity is directly related to ELL pyramidal neuron tuning. Thus, changing the neural tuning exponent \( \alpha_{\text{neuron}} \) should cause changes in the behavioural exponent \( \alpha_{\text{behaviour}} \) (Fig. 8a) and a simple model predicts that \( \Delta\alpha_{\text{behaviour}} = - \Delta\alpha_{\text{neuron}} \) (see Methods). To test our hypothesis, we injected UCL and EBIO bilaterally into the ELL (Fig. 8b)\(^{32,44} \) (see Methods). As a control, injection of saline alone had no significant effect on behavioural responses (Supplementary Fig. 3). In contrast, UCL and EBIO injection both strongly altered behavioural sensitivity (Fig. 8c). Indeed, behavioural sensitivity decreased more steeply following UCL application as quantified by a greater behavioural exponent \( \alpha_{\text{behaviour}} \) (Fig. 8c, compare red and purple, Fig. 8c, inset). In contrast, behavioural sensitivity decreased less steeply after EBIO application as quantified by a lesser behavioural exponent \( \alpha_{\text{behaviour}} \) (Fig. 8c, compare red and cyan, Fig. 8c, inset). Importantly, behavioural sensitivity was no longer matched to natural stimulus statistics after both UCL and EBIO application (Fig. 8d). Consistent with our simple model, changes in behavioural tuning \( \alpha_{\text{behaviour}} \) following the UCL and the EBIO applications were consistent with predictions made from changes in \( \alpha_{\text{neuron}} \) (Fig. 8e). Thus, we conclude that efficient processing of natural envelope stimuli by ELL pyramidal neurons does indeed ensure that behavioural sensitivity at the organismal level is matched to natural stimulus statistics.

**Figure 7 | Weakly electric fish display behavioral responses that are matched to natural stimulus statistics.** (a) Schematic representation showing the behavioural setup in which the animal’s behavioural responses to stimuli are recorded by continuously monitoring its EOD, whose spectrogram indicates the time-varying frequency. (b) Stimulus (blue) and time-varying EOD frequency responses (green) to 0.05 Hz (middle) and 0.75 Hz (bottom) sinusoidal stimuli. Note the smaller changes in EOD frequency in response to the 0.75 Hz stimulus (vertical green arrows). (c) Behavioural response sensitivity (green) is matched to the power spectrum (blue) of natural envelope stimuli. Inset: population-averaged power-law exponents from behavioural sensitivity (green) and from natural envelope stimuli (blue).

**Discussion**

Envelopes constitute a critical component of the natural electrosonery environment as they carry information about the relative positions between conspecifics as well as their identities \(^{15,17} \). In particular, envelopes can arise during movement between two conspecifics as well as from the static interactions between the electric fields of three or more fish. While the former movement envelopes generally tend to contain low (\(<1 \) Hz) temporal frequencies \(^{15,17,18} \), the latter ‘social’ envelopes tend to instead contain higher (\(>1 \) Hz) temporal frequencies \(^{15,17} \). Behavioural studies have shown that weakly electric fish can perceive both categories of envelopes \(^{18,19} \). While it is known that electrosonery neurons respond to mimics of social envelope stimuli \(^{32,34,45} \), little is known about the coding of movement envelope stimuli.

Here we have shown that ELL pyramidal neurons receiving direct synaptic input from peripheral afferents optimally process natural movement envelope stimuli because of scale-invariant adaptation. Such adaptation leads to high-pass filtering of...
envelopes through fractional differentiation whose exponent is matched to natural stimulus statistics, thereby removing temporal correlations in the response or, equivalently, whitening the response power across frequencies. By whitening the response power across frequencies, the brain should be able to encode the most important information in natural sensory stimuli while discarding any redundancies, most often found in the high-power, low-frequencies range. This agrees with efficient coding theory, which states that optimality is achieved by adapting to the natural stimulus statistics, and by completely removing any correlations which are potentially present in the signals to be encoded. This process was shown to critically depend on SK channels. It was previously shown that SK2 channels are located on the somata of ON-type pyramidal neurons, while SK1 channels are instead located on the apical dendrites of both OFF and ON-type pyramidal neurons. Despite these differences, even when we segregated pyramidal neurons into ON and OFF types, the temporal whitening of natural second-order stimulus statistics did not differ significantly. Furthermore, when we applied the SK channel antagonist and agonist in the apical dendritic tree, we observed that each of their effects were similar in ON and OFF-type pyramidal neurons. We therefore hypothesize that SK1 channels are sufficient to give rise to optimized envelope processing and perception. Pyramidal neurons receive large amounts of feedback on their apical dendrites that help refine responses to electrosensory stimuli. It is therefore likely that SK1 channels strongly disrupt responses to first-order electrosensory stimuli. We also note that, while our results make it clear that disrupting pyramidal neuron responses to envelopes leads to predictable changes in behaviour, further studies are needed to understand how downstream targets of pyramidal neurons will respond to this behaviourally relevant stimulus feature.

Our results suggest a novel mechanism by which neural responses can be adaptively optimized to process natural stimuli. Indeed, our modelling and pharmacological manipulations suggest that SK channel conductance is critical for optimizing processing of natural stimuli with given statistics. If true, then regulating SK channel conductances could serve as a dynamic control for adaptive optimized processing of stimuli following changes in the environment. In particular, we predict that exposing the animals to envelope stimuli whose power law exponents differ from those seen in the natural environment will give rise to changes in SK channel conductance, thereby altering ELL pyramidal neuron tuning in order to optimize processing of these new stimuli through temporal decorrelation/whitening, thus altering and optimizing perception and behaviour. Dynamic regulation of SK channel conductance could come from serotonergic modulation as previous studies have shown that elevating serotonin levels inhibits SK channels in ELL pyramidal neurons. Finally, it should be noted that our simplistic model predicts a direct link between the ELL pyramidal neurons and behaviour. These behavioural responses are likely to result from further processing of ELL by several downstream areas possibly including forebrain. In this context, the observed match between changes in ELL neural and behavioural responses induced by pharmacologically manipulating SK might thus appear surprising. This match should not, however, be taken as evidence that these are involved in other aspects of behavioural responses to envelopes that were not considered in the current study such as the

Figure 8 | Changes in neural sensitivity caused by pharmacologically manipulating SK channels cause predictable changes in behavioural responses. (a) Schematic representation showing how changes in the neural tuning characterized by exponent $\zeta_{\text{neuron}}$ are predicted to cause changes in behavioural sensitivity characterized by exponent $\zeta_{\text{behaviour}}$. (b) Schematic representation of the bilateral ELL drug injection setup by which UCL or EBIO is injected simultaneously in both ELL’s on each side of the brain via two electrodes. (c) Population-averaged normalized behavioural sensitivities under control (red), after UCL application (purple) and after EBIO application (cyan). The circles show the experimental data and the dashed lines the best power law fits with exponents $\zeta_{\text{behaviour}}$ given in the figure. Inset: population-averaged $\zeta_{\text{behaviour}}$ Values under control (red), after UCL application (purple) and after EBIO application (cyan). (d) Population-averaged matching index between behavioural response and natural stimulus statistics under control (red), after UCL application (purple) ($N = 6$), and after EBIO application (cyan) ($N = 6$). Both drugs significantly decreased the matching index value. (e) Actual (solid) and predicted (striped) changes in exponent $\zeta_{\text{behaviour}}$ Caused by UCL (purple) and EBIO (cyan) application. The changes were predicted solely from the changes in neural tuning exponent $\zeta_{\text{neuron}}$ shown in Fig. 6d. ‘‘+’’ and ‘‘−’’ indicate statistical significance a using a one-way ANOVA with post hoc Bonferroni correction at the $P = 0.01$ and 0.05 levels, respectively.
neurons in higher order areas. However, both attributes must be processed in parallel by different subsets of neurons in higher order areas. Despite this, both attributes are processed in parallel by different subsets of neurons in higher order areas. However, both attributes must be processed by different subsets of neurons in higher order areas. Indeed, while afferents are preferentially tuned to higher temporal frequencies for first-order attributes, their tuning to second-order attributes is independent of temporal frequency. Indeed, while afferents are preferentially tuned to higher temporal frequencies for first-order attributes, their tuning to second-order attributes is independent of temporal frequency. However, no such match was observed for second-order attributes as the sensitivity does not increase as a function of temporal frequency in order to oppose the rate at which envelope power decays as a function of frequency. Thus, peripheral afferents do not efficiently process natural second-order electrosensory stimulus attributes through temporal whitening.

Our results show that efficient processing instead emerges at the level of the ELL and requires SK channels. It is important to note that LS pyramidal cells, which were the focus of the current study, do not solely process second-order electrosensory stimulus attributes. Indeed, previous results have shown that these cells respond to natural communication calls consisting of high-frequency transients. Since SK channels are major determinants of frequency tuning in LS pyramidal cells, it is likely that these will also contribute to shaping responses to natural communication stimuli. It is then conceivable that SK channel expression would be not only constrained to optimally process second-order electrosensory stimulus attributes as shown here but might also be constrained to optimally process natural communication stimuli as well.

Thus, it is likely that electrosensory-coding strategies are constrained to efficiently process natural stimuli. However, these will differ depending on the subset of natural stimuli considered and are likely to involve multiple sensory modalities. A complete understanding of these will require further studies and is clearly beyond the scope of this paper that only considered second-order electrosensory stimulus attributes. It is very likely that our results will be applicable to other systems. First, we note that SK channels found in weakly electric fish display ~86% sequence identity with those found in mammals. SK channels are furthermore expressed ubiquitously in the brain and are key determinants of spike frequency adaptation. Second, natural stimuli have been shown to also exhibit power spectra that decay as a power law in the visual and auditory systems and also display first- and second-order attributes. Third, growing evidence suggests that neural coding strategies are adapted to natural stimulus statistics by optimizing neural responses via temporal decorrelation/whitening across systems and species. In particular, adaptation to second-order stimulus attributes is widely observed. Further, our proposed mechanisms underlying temporal decorrelation/whitening, namely high-pass filtering by fractional differentiation as mediated by scale-invariant adaptation, are also generic and have been observed in other systems including cortex. Thus, our results provide a general mechanism by which SK channels can optimize neural responses to natural stimuli through temporal decorrelation/whitening, which in turn optimizes behavioural responses by making them best tuned to stimuli that occur most frequently in the natural environment. Optimized coding and perception of natural stimuli mediated by SK channels is thus likely to be a universal feature of sensory processing that is shared amongst systems and species.

Methods

Animals. The weakly electric fish *Apteronotus leptorhynchus* was used exclusively in this study. Animals were purchased from tropical fish suppliers and were acclimated to laboratory conditions according to published guidelines. All procedures were approved by McGill University’s animal care committee.

Surgery. A total of 0.1–0.5 mg of tubocurarine (Sigma) was injected intramuscularly in order to immobilize the fish for experiments. The fish was resired through a mouth tube at a flow rate of ~10 ml min⁻¹ when placed in the recording tank. To stabilize the head during recording, a metal post was glued to the exposed area of the skull. A small hole of ~2 mm² was drilled over the caudal lobe of the cerebellum above the ELL in order to gain access to the pyramidal neurons.

Electrophysiology. We used well-established techniques to make extracellular recordings with Woods metal electrodes from pyramidal cells within the LS of the ELL. We used CED 1401 plus hardware and Spike II software to record the resulting signal with resolution 0.1 ms.

Pharmacology. The composition of the vehicle/control saline is as follows (all chemicals were obtained from Sigma): 111 mM NaCl, 2 mM KCl, 2 mM CaCl₂, 1 mM MgSO₄, 1 mM NaHCO₃, and 0.5 mM NaH₂PO₄. The pH of the saline solution was 6.8. Glutamate (Sigma), UCL-1684 Ditrifluoroacetate hydrate (Sigma) was used exclusively in this study. Animals were purchased from tropical fish suppliers and were acclimated to laboratory conditions according to published guidelines. All procedures were approved by McGill University’s animal care committee.
and 1-EBIO 1-Ethyl-2-benzimidazolinone (Sigma) were dissolved in saline for application as before.21 Drug application electrodes were two-barrel KG-33 glass micropipettes (OD 1.5 mm, ID = 0.86 mm, A-M Systems) pulled by a vertical micropipette puller (Stoelting Co.) to a fine tip and subsequently broken to attain a tip diameter of ~10 μm. The two barrels were used for separate application of either UCL-1684 (100 μM) or 1-EBIO (2.5 mM) and glutamate (1 mM). During recordings, we first used excitatory responses to glutamate application via PicoSpritzer to confirm that we were within proximity of the pyramidal neuron we were recording from as done previously.42 UCL-1684 and 1-EBIO were then applied as done previously.13

**Behaviour.** Animals were immobilized and set up in the recording tank similarly to the method described above. However, both ELLs were exposed and two glass micropipettes loaded with saline control solution, UCL-1684 (100 μM), or 1-EBIO (2.5 mM) solution were inserted into the LS segment using previously established techniques.42,44 Simultaneous bilateral injection of either saline, UCL-1684, or 1-EBIO into the LS region of the ELL molecular were delivered via a PicoSpritzer (pressure = 10 psi, pulse duration = 140 ms). Sinusoidal waveforms with frequency of 4 Hz below the animal’s baseline EOD frequency and with intensity of 2 mV cm⁻¹ with duration of 50 s were presented. Previous studies have shown that such stimuli will reliably elicit a jamming avoidance response and/or transient EOD frequency excursion (that is, chirp) responses in A. leporinthenchus 42. The jamming avoidance response magnitude was defined as the maximum frequency elicited during stimulation minus the baseline (that is, without stimulation) value and was used as a positive control to confirm that UCL-1684 had an effect. Envelope stimuli were then subsequently played and saline or UCL injected two or three times before each stimulus presentation. Behavioural sensitivity was measured as the ratio between the amplitude of the envelope stimulus as extracted by the dipole, and the response, which was quantified by the average extracted change in EOD frequency over the course of the stimulus. The phase relationship was quantified by determining the difference between the phase at which the maximum peak of the envelope stimulus occurred and the phase at which the maximum peak of the average extracted change in EOD frequency.

Matching response sensitivity to stimulus statistics in order to ensure temporal decorrelation. Linear response theory posits that the response power spectrum is related to the gain function and the stimulus power spectrum given by the following equation:

\[ P_s(f) \approx G^2(f) P_s(f) \]

Thus, if the stimulus power spectrum decays as a power law with exponent \( \alpha_{\text{stim}} \) and if the neural gain increases as a power law with exponent \( \alpha_{\text{neuron}} \) then we have

\[ P_s(f) \approx f^{\alpha_{\text{stim}} + \alpha_{\text{neuron}}} \]

The response power spectrum will then be independent of frequency if \( \alpha_{\text{neuron}} = -\alpha_{\text{stim}} \), or, equivalently, if

\[ \Delta \text{behaviour} = -\Delta \text{neuron} \]

where \( \Delta \) is the change in exponent \( \alpha \) resulting from pharmacological manipulation of SK channels.

**Neuron model.** To model the responses of the pyramidal neurons to the stimuli used in this study, we implemented a leaky integrate-and-fire model with power-law adaptation

\[ C \frac{dV}{dt} = -g_{\text{ leak}}(V - E_{\text{ leak}}) - z(t) + I + \sigma \text{stim} \text{e}^{\sigma \text{stim} \text{e}}(t) + \sigma \text{stim} \text{e}^{\sigma \text{stim} \text{e}}(t) + \sigma \text{stim} \text{e}^{\sigma \text{stim} \text{e}}(t) \]

where \( C \) is the membrane capacitance, \( g_{\text{ leak}} \) is the leak conductance, \( E_{\text{ leak}} \) is the leak reversal potential, \( I \) is a constant bias current, \( \sigma(t) \) is gaussian white noise with zero mean and s.d. unity, \( \sigma_{\text{stim}} \) is the noise intensity, \( \sigma(t) \) is the stimulus which was taken to have the same statistics as for the data, \( \alpha_{\text{stim}} \) is the stimulus intensity, \( V \) is the membrane potential and \( \sigma(t) \) is the adaptation current. Each time the membrane potential reaches the threshold, 90–100 mV is reset to \( V_{\text{reset}} \) and an action potential is said to have occurred at that time. The adaptation current is then incremented.

We approximated the power law adaptation using \( N \) variables \( z_1 \ldots z_N \) that obeyed the following system of differential equations:

\[ \frac{dz_N}{dt} = -z_N(t) + b \gamma^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \delta(t-i) \]

where \( z_N \) are the spikes times, \( \delta(t) \) is the delta function, and \( b \) and \( \gamma \) are constants that determine the strength and power law exponent \( \alpha_{\text{neuron}} \) of the neural sensitivity, respectively. The model was simulated using an Euler–Maruyama integration with timestep \( dt = 0.025 \text{ ms} \). We used parameter values \( C = 1 \mu \text{F cm}^{-2}, g_{\text{ leak}} = 0.36 \mu \text{S cm}^{-2}, E_{\text{ leak}} = -70 \text{ mV}, I = 0 \mu \text{A cm}^{-2}, \sigma_{\text{stim}} = \mu \text{A cm}^{-2}, N = 40, b = 0.2, \gamma = 1.1253, \theta = -50 \text{ mV}, V_{\text{reset}} = -70 \text{ mV} \) and \( C = 1 \mu \text{F cm}^{-2} \). For these parameter values, we obtained \( \alpha_{\text{model}} = 0.4 \).

Data quantification. We used several methods in order to quantify our experimental data. Correlation time was measured as the duration of time it took to decay to 5% of maximum autocorrelation value. White index was measured by taking the normalized area under the power spectrum curve using a trapezoidal method and dividing by the maximum normalized area to achieve a value between 0 and 1. The match between behaviour and natural stimulus statistics was obtained as 1 - \[ \frac{\alpha_{\text{stim}} - \alpha_{\text{behaviour}}}{\alpha_{\text{stim}}} \] and thus is maximum when the two power-law exponents match. This method was used in order to quantify the optimality of the animal’s behaviour during the pharmacological experiments. For step envelope stimuli, we constructed PSTHs by averaging over each step onset and offset and typically used 50 bins for a given step duration.
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