Mass spectrometry-based quantitative proteomic analysis of Salmonella enterica serovar Enteritidis protein expression upon exposure to hydrogen peroxide
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Abstract

Background: Salmonella enterica, a common food-borne bacterial pathogen, is believed to change its protein expression profile in the presence of different environmental stress such as that caused by the exposure to hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), which can be generated by phagocytes during infection and represents an important antibacterial mechanism of host cells. Among Salmonella proteins, the effectors of Salmonella pathogenicity island 1 and 2 (SPI-1 and SPI-2) are of particular interest since they are expressed during host infection in vivo and are important for invasion of epithelial cells and for replication in organs during systemic infection, respectively. However, the expression profiles of these proteins upon exposure to H₂O₂ or to host cells in vivo during the established phase of systemic infection have not been extensively studied.

Results: Using stable isotope labeling coupled with mass spectrometry, we performed quantitative proteomic analysis of Salmonella enterica serovar Enteritidis and identified 76 proteins whose expression is modulated upon exposure to H₂O₂. SPI-1 effector SipC was expressed about 3-fold higher and SopB was expressed approximately 2-fold lower in the presence of H₂O₂, while no significant change in the expression of another SPI-1 protein SipA was observed. The relative abundance of SipA, SipC, and SopB was confirmed by Western analyses, validating the accuracy and reproducibility of our approach for quantitative analysis of protein expression. Furthermore, immuno-detection showed substantial expression of SipA and SipC but not SopB in the late phase of infection in macrophages and in the spleen of infected mice.

Conclusions: We have identified Salmonella proteins whose expression is modulated in the presence of H₂O₂. Our results also provide the first direct evidence that SipC is highly expressed in the spleen at late stage of salmonellosis in vivo. These results suggest a possible role of SipC and other regulated proteins in supporting survival and replication of Salmonella under oxidative stress and during its systemic infection in vivo.

Background

Salmonella enterica is one of the leading causes of foodborne illnesses around the world [1,2]. There are two major serotypes of Salmonella enterica, namely Salmonella enterica serovar Enteritidis (S. Enteritidis) and Typhimurium (S. Typhimurium). In recent years, S. Enteritidis represents one of the most commonly reported serotypes associated with food poisoning illness in the United States [3]. Two hallmarks of Salmonella pathogenesis are the invasion of non-phagocytic cells such as the epithelial cells of the intestinal mucosa, and the survival inside macrophages during systemic infection. The mechanisms of both processes are linked to the functions of two type III secretion systems (T3SS) of Salmonella that are encoded and regulated by a cluster of genes at the Salmonella Pathogenicity Island 1 and 2 (SPI-1 and SPI-2), respectively. It is believed that SPI-1
T3SS is responsible for invasion of non-phagocytic cells, while SPI-2 T3SS is essential for intracellular replication and systemic infection [4,5].

In order to survive and replicate in an aerobic environment, organisms including Salmonella must cope with reactive oxygen species such as hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), which are formed in respiring cells as incomplete reduction products of molecular oxygen, and which can cause damage to DNA, RNA, protein, and lipids [6-8]. To respond to oxidative stress, bacteria activate a set of globally regulated genes, including two known stimulons: peroxide stimulons and superoxide stimulons [7,9-12].

The response of Salmonella to oxidative stress represents a key component of its pathogenesis [7,9]. Reactive oxygen species generated by the NADPH oxidase system in phagocytes play an important role in controlling Salmonella replication in macrophages and systemic infection in the spleen [13,14]. To combat the damaging effects of this oxidative stress and survive in macrophages during systemic infection such as in the spleen, it is believed that Salmonella uses unique strategies and expresses specific proteins to carry out defense and repair functions [7,9]. While little is known about the expression of SPI-1 factors upon oxidative stress, several SPI-1 factors (SipA, SopA, SopB, SopD, and SopE2 of S. Typhimurium) were found to be expressed in the spleen of infected animals at the late stages of infection when Salmonella is believed to replicate in splenic macrophages [15,16]. These results suggest that in addition to their generally recognized roles in invasion, the SPI-1 factors may also play an important role post-invasion, including a possible role in resistance to the oxidative stress generated by tissue macrophages. An understanding of the expression profiles of Salmonella SPI-1 factors and other proteins in the presence of reactive oxygen species such as H₂O₂ should provide insight into the identification of virulent determinants important for Salmonella to survive in macrophages and cause systemic infection in the spleen in vivo.

The expression of Salmonella genes (including those encoding SPI-1 factors) in vitro under various conditions has been extensively studied [17-21]. However, most of these studies were performed by examining the transcription levels of Salmonella genes either using microarray or a reporter system [17,19-23]. Recently, proteomic analysis of Salmonella protein expression in the spleen of infected animals has been reported [24]. Furthermore, Smith and co-workers have reported global protein profiles of Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium and Typhi cultured at the stationary phase, logarithmic (log) phase, or phagosome-mimicking culture conditions, and the expression profiles of proteins in infected macrophages [25-28]. However, to our knowledge, global expression profiling of Salmonella proteins upon exposure to reactive oxygen species such as H₂O₂ has not been reported, and efforts to identify proteins whose expression levels are affected by oxidative stress have been limited mostly to a few proteins at a time [9,29,30]. In addition, expression of Salmonella proteins including those of SPI-1 in vivo during the established phase of infection has not been extensively studied.

In this study, we have modified the procedure of Stable Isotope Labeling by Amino acids in Cell culture (SILAC) [31,32] to develop a mass spectrometry (MS)-based approach to carry out quantitative proteomic analysis of Salmonella. Using this procedure, we have identified 76 proteins from a strain of Salmonella enterica serovar Enteritidis that are differentially regulated upon exposure to H₂O₂. The results on selected SPI-1 proteins were confirmed by Western blot analyses, validating the accuracy and reproducibility of our approach for quantitative analyses of protein expression. The expression of several SPI-1 proteins was further analyzed in infected macrophages and in the spleen of infected mice. These results suggest a possible role for SPI-1 proteins in Salmonella infection in the presence of oxidative stress and in systemic infection in an animal host.

Results
Stable isotope labeling of Salmonella with 15N-containing growth media

We used a virulent clinical isolate of Salmonella enterica serovar Enteritidis SE2472 for this analysis. Our previous studies have shown that almost all clinical strains analyzed, including SE2472, exhibited similar levels of resistance to H₂O₂ [33]. We chose this strain to examine the expression profiles of Salmonella proteins upon exposure to H₂O₂, a stress condition relevant to what Salmonella may encounter in macrophages and in the spleen during bacterial infection in vivo. To determine protein levels in two or more different biological states (e.g. in the absence and presence of H₂O₂), we modified the SILAC procedure (Figure 1) in which the introduction of a stable isotope 15N into the protein mixture provides a means to quantitatively analyze two sets of protein mixtures simultaneously [31,32]. Stable isotope-based quantification relies on the premise that the relative signal intensity of two analytes that are chemically identical but different in stable isotope compositions can be resolved in a mass spectrometer, thus giving a true measure of the relative abundance of the analytes [31,32,34,35]. To determine the efficiency of the labeling and incorporation of the heavy isotope, SE2472 was grown in 15N-containing LB broth-like media. SE2472 appeared to grow in the normal (14N) and 15N-containing LB broth-like media as well as in the LB broth as they reach similar titers in these media (data not shown). Bacteria were harvested at different time
points and the extent of $^{15}$N-labeling of *Salmonella* proteins was examined by MS analysis in comparison to the control $^{14}$N labeled bacteria. Growth in $^{15}$N-labeled media for 6 hours or more was sufficient to label the entire *Salmonella* proteome with $^{15}$N (data not shown). The proteins examined and all the peptides of each protein appeared to have identical incorporation rate. Accordingly, all labeling experiments were carried out for at least 6 hours in this study.

**Wild type-like growth phenotypes of labeled bacteria**

One of our main objectives in the study was to use the expression of the labeled proteins to monitor *Salmonella* protein levels when *Salmonella* is exposed to oxidative stress. Thus, it is necessary to determine whether $^{15}$N-labeled *Salmonella* retain the growth and oxidative stress-resistant properties of the unlabeled SE2472 in *vitro*. $^{15}$N-labeled *Salmonella* appeared to grow as well as the unlabeled bacteria in LB broth (Figure 2A). No detectable difference in the colony size and morphology was observed between these two cultures. Furthermore, no difference was detected between the survival of the N$^{14}$- and N$^{15}$-labeled bacteria in either the LB broth-like labeling media or the LB broth in the presence of 5 mM $H_2O_2$, a concentration well below the minimal inhibition concentration (MIC) of SE2472 (20 mM) but substantially above the natural extracellular environment (Figure 2B).

**Quantitative proteomic analyses of Salmonella protein expression**

To investigate the expression profiles of *Salmonella* upon exposure to oxidative stress, single colonies of SE2472 were grown in $^{14}$N- or $^{15}$N-containing LB broth media and only the $^{15}$N culture was treated with 5 mM $H_2O_2$ for 2 hours to simulate the oxidative stress condition (Figure 1). Total proteins from the $^{14}$N- and $^{15}$N-labeled samples were extracted and quantified. A 1:1 (by weight) mixture of two samples was prepared and 200 μg of total proteins were separated by two-dimensional (2-D) gel electrophoresis. Visualization by silver staining revealed approximately 200 protein spots across the pH and molecular weight range of the gel, which were further investigated using quantitative proteomics (Figure 3).

Analysis with matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization-time of flight (MALDI-ToF) mass spectrometry was
performed to map tryptic fragments from the mixture of the 14N- and 15N-(unexposed and H2O2-exposed) samples, where two sets of peptide fingerprints appear on the same spectrum (Figure 4, Table 1). We distinguished the two sets of peaks by initially using the 14N peaks to identify the protein and amino acid contents of each peak (Figure 4 and Table 1), then using peak information to deduce the location of the 15N peaks. The ratio of the peak heights (15N/14N) was then used for relative quantification (Figures 1 and 4). Figure 4 shows an example taken from a protein sample, a tryptic peptide fragment FTGWYDVLSEK (MW 1459.81) from S. Enteritidis phosphoglyceromutase. A peak at m/z 1473 represents the 15N-labeled population (Figure 4, upper spectrum), which does not appear in the unlabeled population. The ratio of two peak intensities (27 and 17, respectively) represents a relative protein expression level of 0.6, or a 40% downregulation. To further increase the accuracy of our results, each set of experiments was repeated three times. Only those proteins that were detected and identified with high confidence in all three independent experiments are listed in Table 2.

Endogenous expression profiling

Predicting the location of 15N peaks for any given peptide was a two-step process. First, the protein was identified and the amino acid contents of each peak were searched using the MASCOT software. Based on the number of nitrogen atoms in the peptide, a prediction was then made as to the molecular weight of the 15N peaks. To facilitate this process, we developed a custom VBScript for Microsoft Excel that automatically predicts 15N peak locations with a simple copy and paste feature. Using this script, many sets of peak data can be processed within minutes, virtually eliminating the need to manually inspect each peak for the number of nitrogen atoms.

Using the developed program, we generated a list of 103 SE2472 proteins and their expression profiles upon exposure to H2O2 (Table 1 and 2). All these proteins were detected and identified with high confidence in all three independent experiments. We should note that the absence of a protein in our results does not necessarily mean it was not expressed and/or induced; instead its expression status is yet to be determined. The majority of protein expression was up-regulated, albeit at different levels. We further categorized proteins into different groups based on their functions, as shown in Table 3. Interestingly, SipC and SopB, which are the SPI-1 translocase and effector, were differentially expressed in the presence of H2O2. SipC was about 3-fold higher and SopB was 2-fold lower in the exposed samples, while no significant change in the expression of another SPI-1 protein SipA was observed (Table 2 and 3).

Validation of differential expression of the SPI-1 proteins

To demonstrate the validity of our proteomic results, we examined the relative abundance of SipA, SipC, and SopB by Western blot analysis. Salmonella strains SipA(HF), SipC(HF) and SopB(HF) were derived from SE2472 and contained a FLAG epitope tag sequence at the carboxyl terminus of sipA, sipC and sopB, respectively [36]. The tagged strains grew in LB broth as well as the parental strain SE2472, indicating that the insertion of the tag sequence did not significantly affect bacterial growth in vitro [36](data not shown). To study the pathogenesis of the tagged strains in oral and systemic infection, we infected BALB/c mice intragastrically and intraperitoneally with the tagged Salmonella strains and compared infected mice to those infected with the wild type SE2472. The survival of infected mice and the colonization of spleen, liver, and ileum of the infected mice by Salmonella were determined at different time points post infection. For BALB/c mice infected intragastrically with 1 × 10⁶ CFU of the tagged or the wild type strains, all infected mice died within 7 days post infection and no significant difference was observed among the wild type and the tagged strains (Figure 5A). No significant difference in the colonization of the internal organs such as spleen, liver, and ileum, was observed between the parental (wild type) SE2472 strain and the tagged strains regardless of the route of inoculation (Table 4). These results suggest that tagging of the target ORF does not impair the invasiveness, growth, and virulence of the bacteria, and that the tagged strains can be used as model strains to study infection of Salmonella in vitro and in vivo, including the expression of the SPI-1 proteins.

To determine the effect of H2O2 on the expression of the tagged ORFs, bacterial strains were grown in LB
Table 1: MALDI-ToF analysis and identification of SE2472 proteins.

| Locus Tag | Description         | Tag | Mass (KDa) | pI   | Coverage |
|-----------|---------------------|-----|------------|------|----------|
| PSLT011   | Dlp (SrgA)          | srgA| 24.74      | 8.58 | 38%      |
| STM0007   | Transaldolase B     | talB| 35.15      | 5.09 | 19%      |
| STM0012   | Chaperone protein dnaK (Heat shock protein 70) | dnaK | 69.2 | 4.84 | 22% |
| STM0013   | Chaperone protein dnaJ | dnaJ | 41.31 | 8.41 | 25% |
| STM0093   | Organic solvent tolerance protein | lmp | 89.8 | 5.21 | 23% |
| STM0102   | L-arabinose isomerase | araA | 55.89 | 5.88 | 23% |
| STM0158   | Aconitate hydratase 2 | acnB | 82.2 | 5.35 | 29% |
| STM0217   | Elongation factor Ts | tsf | 33.18 | 5.16 | 41% |
| STM0316   | Aminoacyl-histidine dipeptidase | pepD | 52.69 | 5.17 | 15% |
| STM0432   | Phosphonoacetaldehyde hydrolase | phnX | 28.57 | 5.58 | 41% |
| STM0435   | Nucleotide-binding protein | yajQ | 18.31 | 5.6 | 52% |
| STM0447   | Trigger factor | tig | 48.02 | 4.84 | 23% |
| STM0488   | Adenylate kinase | adk | 23.49 | 5.53 | 51% |
| STM0536   | Peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase B | ppiB | 18.13 | 5.52 | 45% |
| STM0608   | Chain T, crystal structure of Ahpc | ahpC | 20.64 | 5.03 | 24% |
| STM0730   | Citrate synthase | gltA | 48.11 | 6.35 | 24% |
| STM0772   | Phosphoglyceromutase | gpmA | 28.48 | 5.78 | 19% |
| STM0776   | UDP-galactose 4-epimerase | galE | 37.28 | 5.79 | 31% |
| STM0781   | Molybdate transporter periplasmic protein | modA | 27.5 | 6.53 | 67% |
| STM0794   | Biotin synthase | bioB | 38.8 | 5.42 | 53% |
| STM0830   | Glutamine-binding periplasmic protein precursor | glmH | 27.23 | 8.74 | 67% |
| STM0877   | Putrescine-binding periplasmic protein precursor | potF | 41 | 6.02 | 35% |
| STM0999   | Outer membrane protein F precursor | ompF | 40.05 | 4.73 | 28% |
| STM1091   | Secretory Effector Protein | sopB | 61.93 | 9.27 | 42% |
| STM1120   | N-acetyl-D-glucosamine kinase | nagK | 33.06 | 5.09 | 29% |
| STM1123   | DNA-binding response regulator in PhoQ system | phoP | 25.61 | 5.28 | 33% |
| STM1290   | Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase | gapA | 36.1 | 6.33 | 29% |
| STM1296   | Putative oxidoreductase | ydjA | 20.13 | 6.75 | 29% |
| STM1302   | Exonuclease III | xthA | 30.79 | 6.19 | 23% |
| STM1303   | Succinylornithine transaminase | astC | 43.72 | 6.13 | 34% |
| STM1310   | NAD synthetase | nadE | 30.57 | 5.36 | 27% |
| STM1378   | Pyruvate kinase I | pykF | 50.66 | 5.66 | 31% |
| STM1431   | Superoxide dismutase | sodB | 21.35 | 5.58 | 35% |
| STM1544   | PhoPQ-regulated protein | pqaA | 59.27 | 6.87 | 20% |
| STM1567   | Alcohol dehydrogenase | adhP | 35.49 | 5.8 | 42% |
| STM1589   | Putative NADP-dependent oxidoreductase | yncB | 39.2 | 5.6 | 23% |
| STM1641   | ATP-dependent helicase | hpaA | 148.71 | 8.22 | 15% |
| STM1661   | Putative universal stress protein | ydaA | 35.62 | 5.17 | 66% |
| STM1682   | Thiol peroxidase | tpx | 18.19 | 4.93 | 54% |
| STM1714   | DNA topoisomerase I | topA | 97.03 | 8.56 | 26% |
| STM1727   | Tryptophan synthase | trpA | 28.65 | 5.28 | 20% |
| STM1746.S | Chain A, structural basis of multispecificity in Oppa | oppA | 58.77 | 5.85 | 29% |
| STM1796   | Trehalase, periplasmic | treA | 63.6 | 5.19 | 63% |
| Protein ID | Protein Name                                      | Expression Ratio | Identity (%) |
|-----------|--------------------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| STM1886   | Glucose-6-phosphate 1-dehydrogenase zwf          | 55.92           | 26%          |
| STM1923   | Chemotaxis protein motA                         | 32.08           | 31%          |
| STM1954   | Cystine-binding periplasmic protein precursor    | 28.79           | 23%          |
| STM1959   | Flagellin                                        | 51.62           | 56%          |
| STM2104   | Phosphomannomutase in colanic acid gene cluster cpsG | 50.02           | 20%          |
| STM2167   | NADH independent D-lactate dehydrogenase       | 65.05           | 31%          |
| STM2190   | D-galactose binding periplasmic protein mgIB    | 35.81           | 31%          |
| STM2203   | Endonuclease IV                                 | 31.2            | 45%          |
| STM2205   | Fructose-1-phosphate kinase                     | 33.71           | 39%          |
| STM2282   | Glycerophosphodiester phosphodiesterase        | 40.42           | 24%          |
| STM2337   | Acetate kinase                                   | 43.26           | 21%          |
| STM2347   | Putative phosphoesterase yfcE                   | 19.91           | 43%          |
| STM2362   | Amidophosphoribosyltransferase                   | 56.56           | 23%          |
| STM2501   | Polyphosphate kinase                             | 80.46           | 30%          |
| STM2549   | Anaerobic sulfide reductase asrB                | 30.61           | 28%          |
| STM2647   | Uracil-DNA glycosylase                          | 25.48           | 67%          |
| STM2829   | DNA strand exchange and recombinant protein recA | 37.94           | 28%          |
| STM2864   | Iron transporter protein, fur regulated sitD    | 33.7            | 41%          |
| STM2882   | Secretary Effector Protein sipA                 | 73.94           | 35%          |
| STM2884   | Translocation Machinery Component                | 42.98           | 38%          |
| STM2924   | RNA polymerase sigma factor rpoS                | 37.93           | 29%          |
| STM2952   | Enolase                                          | 36.24           | 30%          |
| STM2976   | L-fucose isomerase                               | 64.77           | 31%          |
| STM2993   | Exonuclease V, alpha chain recD                 | 67.05           | 36%          |
| STM3068   | Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase                  | 39.3            | 25%          |
| STM3069   | Phosphoglycerate kinase                         | 41.28           | 38%          |
| STM3186   | Outer membrane channel protein                  | 53.39           | 31%          |
| STM3219   | 2,4-dieonyl-CoA reductase                        | 73.13           | 35%          |
| STM3225   | Serine/threonine transporter sttT                | 43.41           | 33%          |
| STM3294   | Phosphoglucomamine mutase                       | 47.44           | 32%          |
| STM3342   | Stringent starvation protein A ssbA              | 32.05           | 19%          |
| STM3359   | Malate dehydrogenase                            | 32.63           | 22%          |
| STM3380   | Acetyl CoA carboxylase                           | 49.26           | 28%          |
| STM3401   | Shikimate dehydrogenase                         | 29.29           | 51%          |
| STM3445   | Elongation factor Tu                            | 43.26           | 32%          |
| STM3446   | Elongation factor G                             | 77.72           | 23%          |
| STM3484   | DNA adenine methylase                            | 32.03           | 26%          |
| STM3496   | Putative hydrolase                              | 72.4            | 19%          |
| STM3500   | Phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase               | 59.9            | 28%          |
| STM3502   | Osmolarity response regulator                   | 27.35           | 31%          |
| STM3557   | Glycerol-3-phosphatase transporter binding protein ugpB | 48.49           | 15%          |
| STM3612   | 2-dehydro-3-deoxygluconokinase                  | 34.35           | 17%          |
| STM3884   | D-ribose periplasmic binding protein rbsB        | 30.9            | 38%          |
| STM3968   | Uridine phosphorylase                           | 27.38           | 34%          |
| STM3997   | Thiol-disulfide interchange protein dsbA         | 22.9            | 54%          |
| STM4029   | Putative acetyltransferase                      | 36.92           | 34%          |
broth in the absence and presence of H2O2. Western blot analyses were used to determine the expression of the tagged proteins with an anti-FLAG antibody (Figure 5B, top panel). The expression of bacterial FliC protein, which was not significantly altered in the presence of 5 mM H2O2 (Table 2), was used as the internal control (Figure 5B, lower panel). Normalization of samples was also carried out by loading total proteins extracted from the same CFU (e.g. 5 × 10⁷ CFU) of bacteria in each lane. Consistent with the results from our proteomic analyses (Table 2 and 3), the levels of SipC and SopB were about 3-fold higher and 2-fold lower in the presence of H2O2, respectively, while no change in the expression of SipA was detected (Figure 5B-C).

**Differential expression of SPI-1 factors in cultured macrophages and the spleen of infected animals**

Immunodetection of the SPI-1 proteins in cultured media in the absence and presence of H₂O₂ validated the proteomic observations. To evaluate the presence of these proteins in an environment more relevant to infection, the tagged *Salmonella* strains were used to infect macrophages and mice, and the expression of the tagged proteins was determined by immunodetection at different time points following infection. The expression of the tagged proteins in the bacterial strains isolated from the macrophages and the spleen of infected mice was detected using Western blot analysis with an anti-FLAG antibody and normalized using the expression of bacterial protein DnaK as the internal control (Figure 6A-B). Normalization of protein samples was also carried out by loading total proteins extracted from the same CFU (e.g. 5 × 10⁷ CFU) of bacteria in each lane. The protein level of DnaK did not appear to be significantly different in bacteria recovered from macrophages [26], and from the spleen of infected animals as similar amount of the DnaK protein was detected from 5 × 10⁷ CFU of each bacterial strain regardless of infection route (intraperitoneally or intragastrically) or time point postinfection (12-24 hours or 5-7 days)[16](data not shown).

In cultured macrophages, SipA, SipC, and SopB were all expressed at the early phase (e.g. 0.2 h) of infections. However, by 5 hr post infection, the levels of the three SPI-1 proteins diverged, with the SipC level increased, the SopB level decreased while SipA level remained unchanged (Figure 6A and 6C). To determine the relative abundance of these proteins in the spleen during systemic infection, BALB/c mice were infected intraperitoneally. *Salmonella* was recovered from the spleen at different time points postinfection, and the expression levels of the tagged proteins were determined. Similar to the results of macrophage infection, all three proteins were detected during the early stage of infection (i.e. 0.5 days). However, at a later stage of systemic infection (i.e. 5 days), the level of SipC increased and the level of SopB decreased while the level of SipA remained unchanged (Figure 6B and 6D). These results correlated with those observed in the proteomic analyses and in the macrophage experiments. Furthermore, these data strongly suggest that different SPI-1 factors are specifically expressed at late stage of *Salmonella* infection, and highlight a possible role of SipC in late phase of macrophage and *in vivo* infections of *Salmonella*.

**Discussion**

Stable isotope labeling procedure coupled with MS-based analysis for quantitative proteomic study of bacterial protein expression

In the postgenomic era, new methodologies are needed that can quantitatively, globally, and accurately measure
protein expression in cells and tissues [37]. In this study, we have modified the SILAC method to develop a stable isotope labeling procedure coupled with MS analysis to carry out quantitative proteomic analysis of *Salmonella*. As a "proof of principle" pilot study, a total of 103 SE2472 proteins were monitored for their expression profiles upon exposure to H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}.

At least seventy six proteins have been found to be modulated in the presence of H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}. For example, the expression of SPI-1 proteins SipC and SopB was found to be differentially regulated in the presence of H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}, while the expression of SipA remained unchanged. The level of SipC increased with H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} exposure, and the level of SopB decreased. These results were confirmed using Western blot analyses of protein expressions from FLAG-tagged *Salmonella* strains incubated with H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}, validating the accuracy and reproducibility of our system for quantitative analyses of protein expression.

**Modulation of Salmonella protein expressions upon exposure to oxidative stress**

Many *Salmonella* proteins we analyzed showed a moderate amount of up-regulation upon exposure to oxidative stress (Table 2 and 3), consistent with earlier studies involving *E. coli*’s response to oxidative stress [9-11,38]. For example, RecA (DNA strand exchange and recombinant protein) has been shown to be induced along with members of heat shock proteins [39]. The expression of superoxide dismutase SodB, which is a part of the SoxRS system [6,7,9], increased by 110%. When categorized by protein functions, we observed several patterns (Table 3). First, many enzymes involved in glycolysis and the TCA cycle were upregulated, showing up to a 330% increase. Consistent with the increase in general metabolism, amino acid biosynthesis was also affected in a positive fashion. Considering that intermediates from the glycolytic pathway are used in amino acid biosynthesis, the overall upregulation in downstream pathways is expected. This is consistent with our previous observations that amino acid supplementation increased the resistance of *E. coli* to H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} [38]. Interestingly, the pentose phosphate pathway was relatively unaffected in the presence of H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}. Since one of the primary functions of the pathway is to generate ribose-5-phosphate for the synthesis of nucleotides and nucleic acids, other enzymes involved in nucleotide biosynthesis should show little change either. As expected, three such enzymes detected in this study (i.e. amidophosphoribosyltransferase, thymidine phosphorylase, and uridine phosphorylase) showed a varied response, ranging from a minor upregulation to a downregulation (Table 3). Further investigation of additional enzymes involved in the process should reveal the nature of this response.

We have noted that different proteins within the same operon may exhibit different expression levels in our results. Differential expression of proteins within the same operon has been reported [40] and may represent a regulatory mechanism for the expression of functional protein complexes. We have also noted that in some instances one protein was detected while another within the same operon was not. For example, redundant hydrogen peroxide scavenger systems have been reported to be present in *Salmonella* [41]. In our results, AhpC was not regulated while the other scavengers (KatE, KatG, KatN and TsaA) were not detected. One of the reasons for the divergence from expected protein level could be the limitation of the methodology we used in the study.

We used two-dimensional (2-D) gel electrophoresis coupled with peptide fingerprinting by mass spectrometry which allowed us to perform global protein profiling quantitatively. However, our methodology is limited to proteins that can be detected by 2-D gel electrophoresis and identified by peptide fingerprinting. Proteins with low abundance or could not be identified by peptide fingerprinting for various reasons (e.g. post-translational modifications, resistance to trypsin digestion, or poor ionization of peptides) were not included in our analysis. Thus, our study by no means encompasses all the possible proteins expressed by SE2472 and we are presenting only the proteins we were able to successfully identify by peptide fingerprinting with high confidence in all three independent experiments. The absence of a protein in our results does not necessarily mean it was not expressed and/or induced; instead its expression status is yet to be determined. Our results are consistent with the notion that current proteomic approaches, including liquid chromatography mass spectrometry (LC-MS) and MALDI-ToF procedures, do not have the capacity to detect the entire proteomes of *Salmonella* [25-28]. Each approach has been shown to detect a distinct set of *Salmonella* proteins that exhibited limited overlap of protein coverage, and these complementary approaches should be carried out independently to generate a complete and full coverage of bacterial proteomes.

**Expression of SPI-1 proteins in post-invasion and late phase of Salmonella infection**

Our proteomic results on SPI-1 proteins SipA, SipC, and SopB suggest that the expression of these proteins may be differentially modulated during infection under biologically relevant environments that resemble the oxidative stress condition. Efficient expression of SipA at late stage of infection in macrophages and in the spleen, as shown in our results, has been observed in *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium [15,16]. This is consistent with its functions in modulating actin dynamics and bacterial localization in infected macrophages [42-44] and in
### Table 2: Quantitative analysis of the expression of SE2472 proteins upon exposure to H₂O₂.

| Locus Tag | Description | Gene | % Change |
|-----------|-------------|------|----------|
| PSLT011   | Dlp (SrgA)  | srgA | 12 ± 2%  |
| STM0007   | Transaldolase B | talB | 0% |
| STM0012   | Chaperone protein dnaK (Heat shock protein 70) | dnaK | 56 ± 7% |
| STM0013   | Chaperone protein dnaJ | dnaJ | 38 ± 3% |
| STM0093   | Organic solvent tolerance protein | imp | 210 ± 30% |
| STM0102   | L-arabinose isomerase | araA | 26 ± 2% |
| STM0158   | Bifunctionalaconitase hydratase | acnB | 25 ± 5% |
| STM0217   | Elongation factor Ts | tsf | 21 ± 4% |
| STM0316   | Aminoacyl-histidine dipeptidase | pepD | 9 ± 1% |
| STM0432   | Phosphonoacetalddehyde hydrolase | phnX | 31 ± 3% |
| STM0435   | Nucleotide-binding protein | yajQ | 0% |
| STM0447   | Trigger factor | tig | 11 ± 2% |
| STM0488   | Adenylate kinase | adk | 0% |
| STM0536   | Peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase B | ppiB | 0% |
| STM0608   | Chain T, crystal structure of Ahpc | ahpc | 0% |
| STM0730   | Citrate synthase | citA | 42 ± 5% |
| STM0772   | Phosphoglyceromutase | gpmA | -40 ± 10% |
| STM0776   | UDP-galactose 4-epimerase | galE | 23 ± 2% |
| STM0781   | Molybdate transporter periplasmic protein | modA | 11 ± 2% |
| STM0794   | Biotin synthase | biob | 0% |
| STM0830   | Glutamine-binding periplasmic protein precursor | glnH | 10 ± 3% |
| STM0877   | Putrescine-binding periplasmic protein precursor | potF | 11 ± 2% |
| STM0999   | Outer membrane protein F precursor | ompF | 0% |
| STM1091   | Secretory Effector Protein | sopB | -55% ± 7% |
| STM1220   | N-acetyl-D-glucosamine kinase | nagK | 12 ± 3% |
| STM1231   | DNA-binding response regulator in PhoQ system | phoP | 20 ± 6% |
| STM1290   | Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase | gapA | 31 ± 3% |
| STM1296   | Putative oxidoreductase | ydiA | -30 ± 5% |
| STM1302   | Exonuclease III | xthA | 0% |
| STM1303   | Succinylornithine transaminase | astC | 41 ± 7% |
| STM1310   | NAD synthetase | nadE | 9 ± 1% |
| STM1378   | Pyruvate kinase I | pykF | 87 ± 12% |
| STM1431   | Superoxide dismutase | sodB | 110 ± 20% |
| STM1544   | PhoPQ-regulated protein | pqaA | 19 ± 2% |
| STM1567   | Alcohol dehydrogenase | adhP | 9 ± 2% |
| STM1589   | Putative NADP-dependent oxidoreductase | yncB | 12 ± 2% |
| STM1641   | ATP-dependent helicase | hrpA | 20 ± 3% |
| STM1661   | Putative universal stress protein | ydaA | 140 ± 20% |
| STM1682   | Probable peroxidase | tpx | 19 ± 2% |
| STM1714   | DNA topoisomerase I | topA | 17 ± 4% |
| STM1727   | Tryptophan synthase | trpA | 37 ± 9% |
| STM1745S  | Chain A, structural basis of multispecificity in Oppa | oppA | 0% |
| STM1796   | Trehalase, periplasmic | treA | 25 ± 3% |
| STM1886   | Glucose-6-phosphate 1-dehydrogenase | zwf | 0% |
Table 2: Quantitative analysis of the expression of SE2472 proteins upon exposure to H₂O₂. (Continued)

| Protein ID | Protein Description | Expression Change |
|------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| STM1923    | Chemotaxis protein motA | motA 14 ± 3% |
| STM1954    | Cystine-binding periplasmic protein precursor | fltY 9 ± 2% |
| STM1959    | Flagellin | flIC 0% |
| STM2104    | Phosphomannomutase in colanic acid gene cluster | cpsG 23 ± 6% |
| STM2167    | NADH independent D-lactate dehydrogenase | ddl 16 ± 5% |
| STM2190    | D-galactose binding periplasmic protein | mglB 34 ± 3% |
| STM2203    | Endonuclease IV | nfo 0% |
| STM2205    | Fructose-1-phosphate kinase | fruK 35 ± 3% |
| STM2282    | Glycerophosphodiester phosphodiesterase | glpQ 15 ± 3% |
| STM2337    | Acetate kinase | ackA 23 ± 3% |
| STM2347    | Putative phosphoesterase | yfcE 0% |
| STM2362    | Amidophosphoribosyltransferase | purF 10 ± 4% |
| STM2501    | Polyphosphate kinase | ppk 7 ± 3% |
| STM2549    | Anaerobic sulfide reductase | asrB 0% |
| STM2647    | Uracl-DNA glycosylase | ung 27 ± 2% |
| STM2829    | DNA strand exchange and recombinant protein | recA 24 ± 2% |
| STM2864    | Iron transporter protein, fur regulated | sitD -45 ± 8% |
| STM2882    | Secretory Effector Protein | sipA 0% |
| STM2884    | Translocation Machinery Component | sipC 301 ± 30% |
| STM2924    | RNA polymerase sigma factor rpoS | rpoS 13 ± 2% |
| STM2952    | Enolase | eno 23 ± 4% |
| STM2976    | L-fucose isomerase | fucI 0% |
| STM2993    | Exonuclease V, alpha chain | recD 0% |
| STM3068    | Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase | fba 52 ± 7% |
| STM3069    | Phosphoglycerate kinase | pgk 20 ± 3% |
| STM3186    | Outer membrane channel protein | tolC 0% |
| STM3219    | 2,4-dieonyl-CoA reductase | fadH 24 ± 3% |
| STM3225    | Serine/threonine transporter | sstT 23 ± 3% |
| STM3294    | Phosphoglucomutase | glmM 18 ± 2% |
| STM3342    | Stringent starvation protein A | ssPA -20 ± 4% |
| STM3359    | Malate dehydrogenase | mdh 36 ± 6% |
| STM3380    | Acetyl CoA carboxylase | accC 11 ± 2% |
| STM3401    | Shikimate dehydrogenase | aroE 12 ± 3% |
| STM3445    | Elongation factor Tu | tuf 0% |
| STM3446    | Elongation factor G | fusA 9 ± 2% |
| STM3484    | DNA adenine methylase | dam 26 ± 3% |
| STM3496    | Putative hydrolase | yrfG 0% |
| STM3500    | Phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase | pckA 330 ± 40% |
| STM3502    | Osmolarity response regulator | ompR 12 ± 3% |
| STM3557    | Glycerol-3-phosphate transporter binding protein | ugpB 0% |
| STM3612    | 2-dehydro-3-deoxygluconokinase | kdgK 9 ± 2% |
| STM3884    | D-ribose periplasmic binding protein | rbsB 31 ± 3% |
| STM3968    | Uridine phosphorylase | udp 11 ± 5% |
| STM3997    | Thioldisulfide interchange protein | dsbA 10 ± 5% |
| STM4029    | Putative acetyltransferase | yiiD 0% |
inducing inflammatory response for supporting Salmonella infection [45,46]. Our results of SopB protein expression are consistent with recent proteomic analysis results that Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium (strain 14028) reduced SopB protein expression by more than 2-fold within 4 hours of infection of RAW264.7-like macrophages [47]. SopB encodes a phosphoinositide phosphatase and is a multifunctional protein important for bacterial infection [48]. It facilitates bacterial invasion by inducing membrane ruffling and modulating actin polymerization [49-51], and stimulates inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) production long after invasion and participates in the formation of the Salmonella-containing vacuole in macrophages [52-54]. Recently, SopB has been shown to carry out its diverse functions by localizing to different cellular compartments in a ubiquitin-dependent manner [48]. The reduced expression of SopB in the presence of H2O2 and at later time points of infection in macrophages and in the spleen in our study is consistent with the notion that SopB negatively regulates expression of sorting nexin 6 (SNX6), a protein involved in intracellular transport [47].

Our results also provide the first direct evidence that SipC is expressed in the spleen at late stages of Salmonella enterica serovar Enteritidis infection in mice. SipC is a Salmonella invasion protein (Sip) that is central for the initiation of the bacterial entry process. SipC and SipB form an extracellular complex following their secretion through the SPI-1 T3SS, and they are thought to assemble into a plasma membrane-integral structure (translocon) that mediates effector delivery [55-57]. Furthermore, SipC has been reported to promote actin nucleation and contribute to Salmonella-induced inflammation [58]. While the expression of SipC has been studied in vitro, its expression in the spleen has not been extensively investigated. The induced expression of SipC in Salmonella in the presence of oxidative stress and at late stages of infection in macrophages and in the spleen suggests that the level of this protein is highly regulated in vivo and that appropriate level of expression may contribute to the pathogenesis of Salmonella. This is consistent with recent observations that the translocase activity of SipC is important for the delivery of effector proteins and attachment of Salmonella to non-phagocytic cells; however, in the context of systemic infection, its actin-binding activity may facilitate bacterial infection of

| STM4166 | NADH pyrophosphatase | nudC | 10 ± 2% |
| STM4256 | Single-strand DNA-binding protein | ssb | 19 ± 2% |
| STM4329 | Co-chaperonin groES | groES | 51 ± 3% |
| STM4330 | Chaperonin groEL | groEL | 43 ± 2% |
| STM4343 | Fumarate reductase | frdA | 40 ± 2% |
| STM4359 | DNA mismatch repair protein mutL | mutL | 41 ± 3% |
| STM4414 | Inorganic pyrophosphatase | ppa | 0% |
| STM4513 | Putative permease | yjiG | -78 ± 15% |
| STM4567 | Deoxyribose-phosphate aldolase | deoC | 0% |
| STM4568 | Thymidine phosphorylase | deoA | -9 ± 2% |
| STM4569 | Phosphopentomutase | deoB | 0% |
| STM4598 | Two-component response regulator | arcA | 10 ± 4% |
| STY2300 | CDP-6-deoxy-D-xylo-4-hexulose-3-dehydrase | rfbH | 0% |
| STY2300 | CDP-4-keto-6-deoxy-D-glucose-3-dehydrase | ddhC | 0% |

Relative expression level in the presence of H2O2 compared against control (in the absence of H2O2) is shown. An average of 10 peaks was used to calculate the mean intensity ratios and the error percentage of each protein spot. The results were the average from triplicate experiments. The limit of detection was arbitrarily set to 5% and any proteins that showed less than 5% change were classified as 0%.

Table 2: Quantitative analysis of the expression of SE2472 proteins upon exposure to H2O2. (Continued)
phagocytes [5,58,59]. Thus, examination of the expression of SipC and other SPI-1 factors both in vitro and in vivo in the context of infection, as reported in our study, is crucial to ultimately understand the actual functions and actions of these factors.

Using a different quantitative proteomic analysis approach without stable isotope labeling, Smith and coworkers have recently reported the protein expression of Salmonella enterica serovars Typhimurium and Typhi that grew in different culture conditions (e.g. stationary, log, and phagosome-mimicking conditions) and in macrophages [25-28]. Proteomic analysis of Salmonella protein expression in the spleen of infected animals has also been reported [24]. In these studies, the protein expression of the S. Typhimurium homologs of many of the oxidative-stress-responsive proteins identified in our study were found to be modulated under phagosome-mimicking conditions and in macrophages, further validating our analysis as an accurate and reproducible approach for quantitative proteomic analysis. Some of our protein expression results may not be consistent with those of messenger RNA expression that have been recently published [19-23] as the expression of many Salmonella genes is tightly controlled both transcriptionally and post-transcriptionally [18,60]. Our results of protein expression in vivo may not necessarily correlate with the previous observations in vitro because of the different environments Salmonella was exposed to. The difference between our results and previously published reports may also be due to the difference in the serovars and strains used for the studies, and the coverage of the proteins due to different methodologies used for the studies [25-28,33]. None of these previous studies has reported the differential expression of SipA, SipC, and SopB in hydrogen peroxide-treated Salmonella, as described in our study. Our results complemented and further extended previous proteomic analysis of Salmonella, and furthermore, demonstrated the importance of examining the expression of Salmonella proteins, including SPI-1 proteins, in vitro using different quantitative proteomic analyses and in vivo in the context of infection.

Each of the currently-available proteomic approaches, including LC-MS and MALDI-ToF procedures, can only detect a subset of Salmonella proteins and may exhibit limited overlap of protein coverage with other methods [25-28]. It is suggested that these complementary approaches should be carried out independently to generate a comprehensive coverage of bacterial proteomes. Further investigation with our quantitative proteomic approach, in combination with examination and confirmation of the expression of these proteins in vivo, should provide significant insights into the role of these proteins in pathogenesis during Salmonella infection.

Conclusion
We have employed stable isotope labeling coupled with mass spectrometry to carry out a quantitative proteomic analysis of Salmonella enterica serovar Enteritidis. Seventy-six proteins whose expression is differentially modulated upon exposure to H₂O₂ have been identified. SPI-1 effector SipC was expressed approximately 3-fold higher and SopB was expressed approximately 2-fold lower in the presence of H₂O₂, while no significant change in the expression of another SPI-1 protein SipA was observed. The expression of these SPI-1 factors was confirmed by Western blot analyses, validating the accuracy and reproducibility of our approach for quantitative analyses of protein expression. Furthermore, substantial expression of SipA and SipC but not SopB was found in the late phase of infection in macrophages and in the spleen of infected mice. This study provides the first direct evidence that SipC is highly expressed in the spleen at late stage of salmonellosis in vivo. Our results also suggest a possible role of the identified proteins, including SipC, in supporting the survival and replication of Salmonella under oxidative stress and during its systemic infection in vivo.

Methods
Reagents and preparation of protein samples for proteomic analysis
All reagents were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich unless otherwise specified. Salmonella enterica serovar Enteritidis (clinical isolate SE2472) [33] was cultured in LB broth-like normal (¹⁴N) and ¹⁵N-labeled media (Silantes GmbH, München, Germany), which are identical in chemical composition. The percentage of ¹⁵N in the labeled media is more than 98% (Silantes GmbH, München, Germany). The cultures were inoculated with a starter culture grown in normal (¹⁴N) or ¹⁵N-labeled media until mid-log phase. Two hundred fifty milliliter culture medium was inoculated with each starter culture and grown at 37°C with shaking at 225 rpm for 4 h. ¹⁵N-labeled culture was treated with 5 mM H₂O₂, which is well below the minimal inhibition concentration (MIC) of SE2472 (20 mM), and both cultures were grown for 2 h following the addition of H₂O₂. Protein extraction was performed with B-PER® bacterial protein extraction reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Rockford, IL) and quantified with Dc Protein Assay Kit (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA), which has an error rate of 2.5% in our experiments. We took this error rate into consideration by classifying...
any protein that had a 5% change or less as unchanged (having a 0% change).

**Two-dimensional gel electrophoresis and visualization of bacterial proteins**

Protein samples were further solubilized in rehydration buffer (8 M urea, 2% CHAPS, 50 mM DTT, 0.2% Bio-Lyte 3/10 ampholytes [Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA] and trace amount of Bromophenol Blue). ReadyStrip™ IPG strips (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) were loaded with 200 μg of protein samples (either normal or 1:1 mixture of normal and 15N-labeled samples) for preparative 2 D gels, and allowed to rehydrate for 18-22 h. Isoelectric focusing (IEF) was performed at 20°C using PROTEAN™ IEF cell (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). A 3-step protocol (250 V-20 min/8,000 V-2.5 h/8,000 V-10,000 V h) was used for the IEF procedure following manufacturer’s recommendations (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA).

After the IEF procedure, the IPG strips were reduced in Equilibration Buffer I (6 M urea, 2% SDS, 0.375 M Tris-HCl [pH 8.8], 20% glycerol, 2% DTT) and alkylated in Equilibration Buffer II (6 M urea, 2% SDS, 0.375 M Tris-HCl [pH 8.8], 20% glycerol, 0.25% iodoacetamide). Strips were loaded onto 8-16% Criterion™ Tris-HCl SDS gel (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) and electrophoresed at 200 V for 65 min. Gels were visualized using Coomassie Brilliant Blue R-250 or silver staining (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA).

**Mass spectrometric identification of proteins**

Gels were scanned and protein spots of interest were excised using the Xcise automated gel processor (Proteome Systems, North Ryde, Australia). Gel spots were destained and washed, followed by in-gel tryptic digestion using proteomic grade trypsin (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO). Peptide fragments were collected and purified using ZipTip™ C18 reverse-phase prepacked resin (Millipore, Billerica, MA) and mixed with an equal volume of 10 mg/ml α-cyano-4-hydroxy-trans-cinnamic acid (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) in 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA)/50% acetonitrile solution and directly spotted onto a stainless steel target plate for mass analysis. Axima-CFR™ Plus (Shimadzu Biotech, Columbia, MD) was used for MALDI-ToF MS analysis, and 50-100 profiles were obtained for each sample, ensuring sufficient peak data for database interrogation. Probability-based scoring method with MASCOT database search engine (Matrix Science, Boston, MA) was used to identify each protein, based on the likelihood of search results being a random match. We used the following parameters for our protein identification: Database: NCBI NR, MASCOT value cut off: greater than 62 (p < 0.05), Taxonomy: Salmonella, Missed cleavage: 1, Peptide Tolerance: +/- 0.75 Da, Variable modification: none, Fixed modification: none, Enzyme: Trypsin, Mass Values: Monoisotopic.

**Quantitative analysis**

Tryptic peak data from MASCOT database searches was tabulated and elemental composition of each peptide fragment was determined using an in-house data analysis software. The process was further automated using a custom VBScript written for Microsoft data analysis software. A comparison was made between aliquots of uninoculated normal broth and SAL broth grown in 15N-labeled media as well as in LB broth (data not shown). To study the survival of Salmonella after exposure to H2O2, 20 μl of the overnight culture grown in normal (14N) or 15N-labeled media, or LB broth were added to 2 ml of fresh normal (14N) or 15N-labeled media, or LB broth containing 5 mM H2O2. At different time points of incubation, 100 μl of bacterial culture were collected to determine their colony forming unit (CFU)/ml by plating. Salmonella grew in normal (14N) or 15N-labeled media as well as in LB broth (data not shown), To study the survival of Salmonella after exposure to H2O2, 20 μl of the overnight culture grown in normal (14N) or 15N-labeled media, or LB broth were added to 2 ml of fresh normal (14N) or 15N-labeled media, or LB broth containing 5 mM H2O2. At different time points of incubation, 100 μl of bacterial culture were collected, diluted, and plated onto LB agar plates to determine their CFU/ml [16,36]. Each sample was analyzed in triplicates and the analysis was repeated at least three times.

**In vitro studies of the expression of the tagged SPI-1 proteins**

Colonies of tagged strains were inoculated in 1 ml of LB broth and cultured at 37°C with shaking at 225 RPM for
To study the effect of H$_2$O$_2$ on the protein expression in vitro, 20 μl of overnight bacterial cultures were inoculated into 1 ml of antibiotic-free LB and shaken at 225 RPM at 37°C for 4 hours. The bacterial cultures were centrifuged at 5,000 × g for 5 minutes. The pelleted bacteria were re-suspended in 1 ml of fresh LB broth (control) or 1 ml of LB broth with 5 mM H$_2$O$_2$ and shaken at 225 RPM at 37°C for an additional 2 hours, and then collected.

To prepare protein samples from Salmonella, bacterial cultures (1 ml) were centrifuged at 5,000 × g and 4°C for 16 hours. To study the effect of H$_2$O$_2$ on the protein expression in vitro, 20 μl of overnight bacterial cultures were inoculated into 1 ml of antibiotic-free LB and shaken at 225 RPM at 37°C for 4 hours. The bacterial cultures were centrifuged at 5,000 × g for 5 minutes. The pelleted bacteria were re-suspended in 1 ml of fresh LB broth (control) or 1 ml of LB broth with 5 mM H$_2$O$_2$ and shaken at 225 RPM at 37°C for an additional 2 hours, and then collected.

Table 3: Expression proteomics of SE2472 upon exposure to H$_2$O$_2$, categorized by protein functions.

| Description             | Change  |
|-------------------------|---------|
| Glycolysis/Gluconeogenesis |         |
| Enolase                 | 23 ± 4% |
| Fructose-1-phosphate kinase | 35 ± 3% |
| Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase | 52 ± 7% |
| Phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase | 330 ± 40% |
| Phosphoglycerate kinase | 20 ± 3% |
| Phosphoglyceromutase    | -40 ± 10% |
| Phosphopyruvate hydratase | 12 ± 2% |
| Pyruvate kinase I       | 87 ± 12% |
| TCA Cycle               |         |
| Aconitate hydratase 2   | 18 ± 2% |
| Bifunctional aconitate hydratase | 25 ± 5% |
| Citrate synthase        | 42 ± 5% |
| Malate dehydrogenase    | 36 ± 6% |
| Transcription/Translation |       |
| Elongation factor G     | 9 ± 2%  |
| Elongation factor Ts    | 21 ± 4% |
| Elongation factor Tu    | 0%      |
| Endonuclease IV         | 0%      |
| RNA polymerase sigma factor rpoS | 13 ± 2% |
| DNA Replication/Repair  |         |
| ATP-dependent helicase  | 20 ± 3% |
| DNA adenine methylase   | 26 ± 3% |
| DNA mismatch repair protein mutL | 41 ± 3% |
| Single-strand DNA-binding protein | 19 ± 2% |
| Uracil-DNA glycosylase  | 27 ± 2% |
| Type III Secretion System |     |
| Secretory Effector Protein (SipA) | 0% |
| Translocation Machinery Component (SipC) | 301 ± 30% |
| Secretory Effector Protein (SopB) | -55% ± 7% |
| Pentose Phosphate Pathway |        |
| Deoxyribose-phosphate aldolase | 0% |
| Glucose-6-phosphate 1-dehydrogenase | 0% |
| Phosphopentomutase      | 0%      |
| 2-dehydro-3-deoxygluconokinase | 9 ± 2% |

Table 3: Expression proteomics of SE2472 upon exposure to H$_2$O$_2$, categorized by protein functions. (Continued)

| Nucleotide synthesis and metabolism |         |
|------------------------------------|---------|
| Amidophosphoribosyltransferase     | 10 ± 4% |
| Thymidine phosphorylase            | -9 ± 2% |
| Uridine phosphorylase              | 11 ± 5% |
| Amino acid synthesis and metabolism |       |
| Shikimate dehydrogenase            | 12 ± 3% |
| Succinylomithine transaminase      | 41 ± 7% |
| Tryptophan synthase                | 37 ± 9% |

Representative proteins are shown.

Table 4: The numbers of bacteria (CFU) in different organs from animals.

| Salmonella strains | Colonization (i.p.) | Colonization (i.g.) |
|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
|                   | log CFU per organ   | log CFU per organ   |
|                   | Liver       | Spleen     | Liver       | Ileum       |
| SE2472            | 9.0 ± 0.5   | 8.3 ± 0.5  | 9.1 ± 0.5   | 8.2 ± 0.5   |
| SipA(HF)          | 9.1 ± 0.5   | 8.2 ± 0.5  | 8.9 ± 0.5   | 8.3 ± 0.5   |
| SipC(HF)          | 9.2 ± 0.5   | 8.4 ± 0.5  | 9.0 ± 0.5   | 8.2 ± 0.5   |
| SopB(HF)          | 9.0 ± 0.5   | 8.4 ± 0.5  | 9.2 ± 0.5   | 8.1 ± 0.5   |

* BALB/c mice were either infected intraperitoneally (i.p.) with 1 × 10$^4$ CFU or intragastrically (i.g.) with 1 × 10$^6$ CFU bacteria. A group of 5 mice was infected and the organs were harvested at 4 (for i.p. infection) or 6 days (for i.g. inoculation) post infection. Each sample was analyzed in triplicate and the analysis was repeated at least three times. The CFU of the sample was expressed as the average of the values obtained. The concentrations of bacteria were recorded as CFU/ml of organ homogenate. The limit of bacteria detection in the organ homogenates was 10 CFU/ml.
10 minutes. The pellets were re-suspended in 200 μl of bacterial lysis buffer (8 M urea, 2% CHAPS, and 10 mM Tris, pH8.0), sonicated for 15 seconds three times with an interval of 30 seconds, centrifuged at 5,000 × g and 4°C for 10 minutes, and then transferred into fresh tubes for Western blot analysis.

**Infection of cultured macrophages**

RAW264.7 macrophage-like cells (ATCC, Manassas, VA) were infected with stationary phase bacteria at a multiplicity of infection of 50. After incubation for 30 mins, infected cells were washed twice with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and incubated in DMEM medium supplemented with gentamicin (100 μg/ml) for 1 hour to eliminate extracellular bacteria. Then the cells were again washed twice with PBS, and incubated in DMEM supplemented with gentamicin (20 μg/ml). At various times postinfection, the cells were collected and resuspended in lysis buffer (120 mM NaCl, 4 mM MgCl₂, 20 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.5], 1%, Triton X-100) supplemented with protease inhibitors (complete EDTA-free cocktail, Roche Applied Science, Indianapolis, IN), incubated at 4°C for 1 hour, and centrifuged at 18,000 × g and 4°C for 10 minutes. The pellets that contained bacterial proteins were resuspended in PBS for Western blot analyses.

**In vivo studies**

BALB/c mice (6-8 weeks old) were obtained from Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME). Overnight bacterial cultures were serially diluted to suitable CFU/ml in PBS before infection. To assess the virulence of the tested strains, groups of five mice were either inoculated intragastrically with 1 × 10⁶ CFU per mouse or intraperitoneally with 1 × 10² CFU per mouse. Mice were monitored during the course of infection, and those animals that exhibited extreme stress or became moribund were euthanized. For organ colonization experiments, groups of five mice were inoculated intraperitoneally with 1 × 10⁴ or 1 × 10⁶ CFU per BALB/c mouse of the bacterial strains, and were euthanized at 4 days or 12 hours after inoculation, respectively. Mice were also intragastrically infected with 1 × 10⁶ CFU per BALB/c mouse of the bacterial strains, and were euthanized at 6 days after inoculation. Organs were collected and homogenized in PBS at 4°C. An aliquot of each homogenate was used to determine its CFU/ml by serial dilution with PBS and plating onto LB agar plates. Each sample was analyzed in triplicate and the analysis was repeated at least three times. The CFU of the sample was expressed as the average of the values obtained. The concentrations of bacteria were recorded as CFU/ml of organ homogenate. The limit of bacteria detection in the organ homogenates was 10 CFU/ml. To
prepare protein extracts for Western blot analyses, the homogenates of the spleen samples were centrifuged and the pellets that contained the bacteria were resuspended in PBS, following the procedures described previously [16]. All the experimental procedures with animals were in compliance with the guidelines and policies of the Animal Care and Use Committee (ACUC) of the University of California at Berkeley, and have been approved by the ACUC.

Western blot analyses

The denatured polypeptides from bacterial lysates were separated on SDS-containing 10-12% polyacrylamide gels cross-linked with N, N’-methylenebisacrylamide (0.05%), transferred electrically to nitrocellulose membranes (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA), and reacted in an enzyme-linked immunoblot assay with a monoclonal anti-FLAG antibody (Sigma, St Louis, MO) and antibodies against *Salmonella* FliC (BioLegend, San Diego, CA) and DnaK (StressGen, Victoria, British Columbia, Canada), followed by an anti-mouse IgG conjugated with alkaline phosphatase [16,36]. The membranes were subsequently stained with a chemiluminescent substrate with the aid of a Western chemiluminescent substrate kit (Amersham Inc, GE Healthcare) and quantified with a STORM840 phosphorimager. Normalization of samples was also carried out by loading total proteins extracted from the same CFU (e.g. 5 × 10^7 CFU) of bacteria in each lane.
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