Pandora’s box and retrorectal tumors in laparoscopy: A case report and review of the literature
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A B S T R A C T

INTRODUCTION: Retrorectal tumors are uncommon and the etiology diverse. Literature to define the preoperative diagnosis and plan the intraoperative management are uncommon.

PRESENTATION OF CASE: We describe a case of a 44 year old patient with a laparoscopic approach for the removal of a retrorectal tumor and emphasize on the preoperative diagnostics and the intraoperative, minimal invasive approach.

DISCUSSION: Especially because these tumors are rare and often an incidental finding in gynecologic surgery, it is important to know the various differential diagnoses and its consequences with the laparoscopic approach.

CONCLUSION: We suggest the laparoscopic approach in cases of retroperitoneal cysts of unknown origin is ideal also because anatomic structures, mostly nerves, can be easily spared.

© 2014 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. on behalf of Surgical Associates Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/).

1. Introduction

Retrorectal tumors are uncommon with an incidence of about 1 in 40,000 patients [1,2]. This small group of tumors may present with various histological findings. The etiology of retrorectal tumors can be divided into five groups: congenital, inflammatory, osseous, neurogenic and others [3,4]. 60% of retrorectal tumors arise from embryologic tissues [5,6]. Depending on the cell layer of origin, these cysts can be divided into the following types: epidermoid cysts, dermoid cysts, enterogenous cysts, tailgut cysts, and teratomas [7]. Histological findings of these cysts commonly confirm inflammatory signs or abscess formation potentially due to microtrauma [8]. A malignant transformation is very rare but has been described in the literature [2,9]. 81% of patients with a retrorectal tumor are middle-aged women and often these cysts are falsely identified preoperatively as adnexal masses resulting in gynecologists treating these patients. Preoperative diagnostics of these tumors are of great importance because of the wide variety of origin. We present a case of a laparoscopic approach for the removal of a retrorectal and review the literature emphasizing the laparoscopic approach and preoperative diagnostics.

2. Case report

Due to the feeling of pelvic pressure and dyschezia, a 44 year old patient was diagnosed with a 6 cm × 5 cm adnexal mass, which was detected by vaginal examination and confirmed by ultrasonography. After a three-month treatment with oral gestagens, the mass grew to a size of 6 cm × 7 cm and laparoscopic removal was suggested. Intraoperatively, both ovaries were surprisingly normal. A retroperitoneal cystic mass was seen on the left side of the pelvis and the surgeon decided to admit the patient to the university clinic for further treatment.

Preoperative MRI (magnet resonance imaging) (Fig. 1) showed a mostly retrorectal tumor measuring 6 cm × 7 cm. Tumor markers (CA-125, CEA, alpha-fetoprotein, HCG) were normal. Because a Tarlov cyst could not be excluded, a myelography was performed, this was normal. We decided to approach this retrorectal tumor by laparoscopic surgery.

After identifying the ureter, the peritoneum was opened longitudinally (Fig. 2). The cystic mass was identified lying retrorectally (Fig. 3). Whilst sparing the splanchic nerves (Fig. 4), the cyst could be dissected and removed without rupturing the capsule. Operating time was 90 min. Blood loss of less than 100 ml was measured. No intraoperative complications occurred. Histology showed an
Table 1
Retrorectal tumors published in the surgical literature.

| Authors            | Cases | Sex | Diagnosis                        | Approach                      | Size (cm)          | Preoperative diagnostics | Complications intra-OP comments | Removal of tumor                                                                 |
|--------------------|-------|-----|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Sharpe 1995        | 1     | F   | Dermoid cyst                     | Laparoscopy                   | 5 × 3 × 2          | MRI, CT                 | None                             | Exzision in toto                                                |
| Melvin 1996        | 1     | F   | Schwannoma                       | Laparoscopy                   | 2.2 × 2.5          | MRI, CT                 | None                             | Exzision in toto                                                |
| Salameh 2002       | 1     | F   | Rectal duplication cyst           | Laparoscopy                   | 5 × 5.3 × 6        | MRI, CT                 | None                             | Exzision in toto function intraoperative with suction of the fluid |
| Köhler 2003        | 1     | F   | Ganglioneurofibroma               | Laparoscopy                   | 10 × 8.5 × 7       | US, MRI                 | None                             | Exzision in toto                                                |
| Bax 2003           | 5     | F   | Sacrococcygeal teratomas         | Laparoscopy                   | NA                 | –                        | None                             | All Exzision in toto removed all also over posterior path, The main goal was mobilization of the cystic structures and lig. of the sacral artery |
| Lukish 2004        | 2     | F   | Sacrococcygeal teratomas         | Laparoscopy and post sacral   | 10 × 5 × 4.15 × 15 × 10 | MRI                  | None                             | Both Exzision in toto via sacral incision, LSC ligation of the spinal artery |
| Konstandtidinis 2005 | 2     | F   | Schwannomas                      | Laparoscopy                   | 2.5 × 4.3 × 6      | CT, MRI                 | None                             | Exzision in toto                                                |
| Gunkova 2008       | 1     | F   | Tuboendometrial metaplasia cyst   | Laparoscopy                   | 10 × 8 × 6         | CT                      | None                             | Exzision in toto                                                |
| Chen 2008          | 1     | F   | Epidermoid cyst                  | Laparoscopy                   | 10 × 5.5 × 5       | CT                      | None                             | Exzision in toto                                                |
| Palanivelu 2008    | 1     | F   | Teratoma                          | Laparoscopy                   | 10 × 8.5 × 8       | CT                      | None                             | Cyst first functioned in LSC, then Exzision in toto perineal          |
| Bon 2011           | 15    | F   | 4 teratoma, 4 neurilemmoma 1 chondrosarcoma | Laparoscopy and post sacral | 16 cm × 10 cm      | US, CT                  | All LSC Exzision in toto without capsule rupture |
| Lim 2011           | 1     | F   | Tailgut cyst                      | Laparoscopy                   | 3.9 mm × 3.3 mm    | CT, MRI                 | None                             | Exzision in toto                                                |
| Rao 2010           | 1     | F   | Schwannoma                        | Laparoscopy                   | 90 mm              | MRI                    | None                             | Exzision in toto                                                |
| Lu 2010            | 1     | F   | Tailgut cyst                      | Laparoscopy                   | 12 cm × 10 cm      | US, CT                  | None                             | Tumor ruptured intraoperative, Exzision in toto |
| Nishi 2000         | 1     | F   | Neurogenic tumor                  | Laparoscopy                   | –                  | –                      | None                             | Exzision in toto                                                |
| Asuquo 2011        | 1     | F   | Myelolipoma                       | Laparoscopy                   | 3.5 × 1.7          | PET CT                 | None                             | Subtotal excision because histology in frozen section benign         |
| Marinello 2011     | 4     | F   | Teratoma                          | Laparoscopy                   | 11 × 5.5 × 3.5     | CT                     | None                             | Exzision in toto                                                |
|                     |       | M   | Solitary fibrous tumor            | Laparoscopy                   | 7.5 × 4.4 × 4.4    | US, MRI                | None                             |                                                                 |
|                     |       | M   | Schwannoma                        | Laparoscopy                   | 10 × 6 × 1.5       | MRI                    | Wound infection                  |                                                                 |
|                     |       | M   | Schwannoma                        | Laparoscopy                   | 6.5 × 6 × 4        | MRI                    | Residual collection              |                                                                 |
|                     |       | M   | Schwannoma                        | Laparoscopy                   | Mean size of the tumor 6.8 cm (range 3–11.5) | All MRI | 1 conversion | Exzision in toto |
|                     |       | M   | 1 para ganglioma                  |                              |                     |                        |                                  |                                                                |
|                     |       |     | 2 tailgut cyst                    |                              |                     |                        |                                  |                                                                |
|                     |       |     | 1 meningocele                     |                              |                     |                        |                                  |                                                                |
|                     |       |     | Ganglioneuroma                    |                              |                     |                        |                                  |                                                                |
epidermoid cyst with no signs of malignancy. The patient had an uncomplicated recovery.

3. Discussion and conclusion

Despite retrorectal tumors being published in the surgical literature (Table 1) they are almost absent in the gynecological journals. Few complications are described and all but one of the tumors could be removed totally, thus showing the feasibility of the laparoscopic approach.

In all cases, a preoperative MRI and/or CT scan were performed. There were no cases with ultrasound diagnostics only.

If a retroperitoneal cyst is seen intraoperatively the operation should be adjourned and as next step an adequate diagnostic performed. An opening of a Tarlov cyst can have lethal consequences. Tarlov cysts are perineural cysts of the lumbosacral nerves, which can extend deeply in the pelvic region, looking like normal retroperitoneal cysts [10]. The incidence of Tarlov cysts is 4.6% in the general population: they are mostly asymptomatic [11,12]. Because the patients are operated in Trendelenburg position, when the cysts are opened the patient may not present any symptoms before the cerebrospinal liquid leaks intra-abdominally when the patient’s position is changed, this being possibly lethal.

For the diagnosis of retroperitoneal tumors in the pelvis, an MRI is the most helpful method [13]. If a Tarlov cyst cannot be excluded, a myelography should be performed. A function of a retrorectal tumor should be avoided in case of malignancy. After careful preoperative diagnostics, most retroperitoneal and retrorectal tumors can be removed by laparoscopic approach. The goal is to remove the tumor entirely to avoid malignant cell spillage or abscess formation [5,14–16].

In conclusion, we suggest the laparoscopic approach in cases of retroperitoneal cysts of unknown origin because direct visualization deeply into the pelvis is ideal and anatomic structures, mostly nerves, can be easily spared.
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