Comparison of the Clinical Characteristics of Patients with Small Bowel and Gastric Anisakiasis in Jeju Island
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Background/Aims: Anisakiasis is frequent in Jeju Island because of the people’s habit of ingesting raw fish. This study evaluated the clinical characteristics of patients with small bowel anisakiasis and compared them with those of patients with gastric anisakiasis.

Methods: We retrospectively reviewed the medical records of 109 patients diagnosed with anisakiasis between May 2003 and November 2011.

Results: Of the 109 patients diagnosed with anisakiasis, those with suspicious anisakiasis (n=38) or possible anisakiasis (n=12) were excluded. The age and gender distributions did not differ between patients with small bowel anisakiasis (n=30; age, 45±13 years) and those with gastric anisakiasis (n=29; age, 46±10 years). The mean duration of hospitalization was 5.4±4.3 days for patients with small bowel anisakiasis and 0.5±1.7 days for patients with gastric anisakiasis. Small bowel anisakiasis was accompanied by leukocytosis (76.7% vs 25.5%, p=0.003) and elevated C-reactive protein levels (3.4±3.2 mg/dL vs 0.5±0.3 mg/dL, p=0.009). Contrast-enhanced abdominopelvic computed tomography showed small bowel wall thickening with dilatation in 93.3% (28/30) of patients and a small amount of ascites in 80.0% (24/30) of patients with small bowel anisakiasis.

Conclusions: Compared with gastric anisakiasis patients, small bowel anisakiasis patients had a longer hospitalization time, higher inflammatory marker levels, and small bowel wall thickening with ascites. (Gut Liver 2013;7:23-29)
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INTRODUCTION

Anisakiasis can be caused by Anisakis simplex, Anisakis physeteris, or Pseudoterranova species, which are zoonotic round worms that have marine mammals as their natural hosts. Anisakiasis occurs in several countries but is most common in Japan, probably because of the frequent ingestion of raw fish. Gastric anisakiasis accounts for the vast majority of reported cases of anisakiasis, whereas small bowel anisakiasis occurs infrequently. Most symptoms associated with anisakiasis are caused by either an allergic reaction or direct tissue damage resulting from invasion of the gut wall. Gastric anisakiasis usually develops from 1 to 8 hours after ingestion of raw fish, whereas small bowel anisakiasis may take up to a few days. Acute abdominal pain, nausea, vomiting, and pyrexia are the major clinical symptoms, and a history of ingesting raw fish is helpful when diagnosing a complaint of acute abdominal pain after food ingestion. Gastric anisakiasis is easily diagnosed by direct gastroscopic examination of an ulcerated bleeding lesion, which may show A. simplex on the surface of the stomach, or by barium studies, which may show a thread-like filling defect suggesting the presence of a worm within the stomach.

There are only a few reports on small bowel anisakiasis in Japan and Korea. Japanese food such as sashimi and sushi is also popular in the United States, and a case of small bowel anisakiasis after ingesting raw fish has been reported in the United States. To our knowledge, there are few reports on the clinical characteristics of small bowel anisakiasis. Anisakiasis occurs more frequently in Jeju Island than in other regions in Korea because the residents of the island ingest raw fish more frequently. This study was conducted to analyze the clinical characteristics of patients with small bowel anisakiasis compared with those of gastric anisakiasis in Jeju Island.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

1. Patients

We conducted a retrospective study of eligible adult patients diagnosed with anisakiasis according to the International Classification of Diseases, ninth revision (ICD-9 code; B810) between May 2003 and November 2011. All data were collected in a single center, Jeju National University Hospital. All patients who complained of acute abdominal pain after ingesting raw fish were classified as having suspicious, possible, confirmed gastric, or small bowel anisakiasis depending on the diagnostic criteria shown in Fig. 1. Patients diagnosed with small bowel anisakiasis were included in the study if they met all the following diagnostic criteria: absence of anisakiasis in gastroscopic examination and the presence of concentric thickening of the small bowel wall with or without ascites on contrast-enhanced abdominal-pelvic computed tomography (CT) regardless of the level of serum-specific immunoglobulin E (IgE) to *A. simplex*. We analyzed the clinical characteristics, laboratory test results, and radiological findings in the small bowel and gastric anisakiasis groups but excluded patients with suspicious or possible anisakiasis because of poor medical records.

2. Clinical characteristics

The ingested raw fish were classified into three categories: sashimi, sushi, and others. The time interval between ingesting the raw fish and the onset of clinical symptom was assessed. The season was classified as spring (March to May), summer (June to August), autumn (September to November), and winter (December to February). Clinical symptoms including abdominal pain, vomiting, diarrhea, and fever were investigated. The mean duration of hospitalization was recorded for all patients.

3. Laboratory findings

The number of leukocytes and eosinophils, and C-reactive protein (CRP) level were measured. Leukocytosis was defined as a white blood cell count ≥10,000/mm³. Eosinophilia was defined as an eosinophil count ≥500/mm³ at admission. The level of serum-specific IgE to *A. simplex* was measured using the ImmunoCAP assay (Phadia AB, Uppsala, Sweden). Levels >0.35 kU/L were considered positive. Immunological test results were graded as follows: 0+ (<0.35 kU/L), 1+ (0.35 to 0.69 kU/L), 2+ (0.70 to 3.49 kU/L), 3+ (3.50 to 17.49 kU/L), 4+ (17.50 to 49.99 kU/L), 5+ (50.00 to 100 kU/L), and 6+ (>100 kU/L).

4. Radiological findings

Plain abdominal radiography and contrast-enhanced abdominal-pelvic CT were performed. We investigated the presence of ileus in plain abdominal radiographs and the presence of concentric thickening of the small bowel wall, ascites, and/or strangulation shown by contrast-enhanced abdominal-pelvic CT.

5. Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using Student’s t-test, chi-square test, and linear-by-linear association. Continuous variables were expressed as the mean±standard deviation. We analyzed age, sex, season, kinds of ingested food, clinical symptoms, and time variables including the interval from ingestion of raw fish to the onset of clinical symptoms and the duration of hospitalization. The data were analyzed using SPSS version...
of patients with gastric and small bowel anisakiasis are shown in Table 1. Age and sex distribution did not differ between the small bowel anisakiasis (n=30; age, 45±13 years) and gastric anisakiasis (n=29; age, 46±10 years) groups. However, the time interval between ingestion of raw fish and the onset of clinical symptoms was longer in the small bowel anisakiasis group than in the gastric anisakiasis group (54.5±67.3 hours vs 17.5±12.4 hours, p=0.018). Most patients ate sashimi (93.3% in the small bowel anisakiasis group vs. 79.3% in the gastric anisakiasis group). There was no seasonal variability. The most common manifestation of small bowel anisakiasis was abdominal pain (100.0%), followed by vomiting (46.7%), diarrhea (36.7%), and fever (16.7%). Diarrhea was the predominant symptom of small bowel anisakiasis compared with the prevalence of diarrhea in gastric anisakiasis (36.7% vs 3.4%, respectively; p=0.002). The mean duration of hospitalization for small bowel anisakiasis and gastric anisakiasis was 5.4±4.3 and 0.5±1.7 days, respectively.

2. Laboratory findings
The laboratory and CT findings are shown in Table 2. Com-

| Table 1. Comparison of Clinical Characteristics of Patients with Gastric versus Those of Patients with Small Bowel Anisakiasis |
|-----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Characteristic   | Gastric anisakiasis (n=29) | Small bowel anisakiasis (n=30) | p-value |
| Age, yr          | 46±10             | 45±13             | 0.537           |
| Male:Female      | 22 (75.9): 7 (24.1) | 21 (70.0): 9 (30.0) | 0.771           |
| Time interval between ingestion of raw fish and onset of clinical symptoms, hr | 17.5±12.4 | 54.5±67.3 | 0.018 |
| Food history     |                   |                   |                 |
| Sashimi          | 23 (79.3)         | 28 (93.3)         | 0.046           |
| Sushi            | 0 (0)             | 1 (3.3)           |                 |
| Unknown          | 6 (20.7)          | 1 (3.3)           |                 |
| Season           |                   |                   | 0.619           |
| Spring           | 10 (52.6)         | 9 (47.4)          |                 |
| Summer           | 6 (42.6)          | 7 (53.8)          |                 |
| Autumn           | 5 (35.7)          | 9 (64.3)          |                 |
| Winter           | 8 (61.5)          | 5 (38.5)          |                 |
| Clinical symptoms |                   |                   |                 |
| Abdominal pain   | 27 (93.1)         | 30 (100.0)        | 0.237           |
| Vomiting         | 8 (27.6)          | 14 (46.7)         | 0.180           |
| Diarrhea         | 1 (3.4)           | 11 (36.7)         | 0.002           |
| Fever*           | 1 (3.4)           | 5 (16.7)          | 0.195           |
| Mean hospitalization duration, day | 0.5±1.7 | 5.2±4.3 | 0.001 |

Data are presented as mean±SD or number (%).
*Fever was defined as a body temperature >37.8°C measured from the tympanic membrane.
pared with gastric anisakiasis, a higher percentage of patients with small bowel anisakiasis exhibited leukocytosis (76.7% vs 25.5%, p=0.003), eosinophilia (86.7% vs 16.7%, p=0.099), and elevated CRP level (3.4±3.2 mg/dL vs 0.5±0.3 mg/dL, p=0.009). The findings of the Anisakis-specific antibody tests are shown in Table 2.

3. Radiological findings

Plain supine and erect abdomen X-rays showed mild small bowel ileus, including dilatated small bowel loops with air-fluid levels, which was more common in small bowel anisakiasis than in gastric anisakiasis (73.3% vs 7.4%, p=0.001) (Table 2). Contrast-enhanced abdominopelvic CT imaging showed diffuse small bowel wall thickening with proximal bowel dilatation in 28 (93.3%) of all patients, and small amounts of ascites were observed in 24 (80.0%) of patients with small bowel anisakiasis (Table 2). There was a long segmental concentric wall thickening of the proximal ileum with dilatation of the jejunum, which

**Fig. 2.** Small bowel anisakiasis involving the right lower quadrant of the ileum. (A) A contrast-enhanced abdominal-pelvic computed tomography (CT) image through the mid-abdominal level showing diffuse concentric small bowel wall thickening with a target sign (arrow) and proximal small bowel dilatation. (B) A contrast-enhanced abdominal-pelvic CT image through the pelvis showing a small amount of ascites in the pelvic cavity.

**Fig. 3.** Small bowel segmental resection in a patient with small bowel anisakiasis. (A, B) The resected small bowel specimen (approximately 30 cm) showing the penetration of an Anisakis larva into the bowel wall (yellow arrow). (C) H&E-stained cross section showing two larvae (black arrows) surrounded by a thick cuff of acute inflammatory cells with numerous eosinophils (×200). (D) High-power view of a cross section through a larva (H&E stain, ×400). M, muscle layer; LC, lateral chord; I, intestine.
raised suspicion of small bowel anisakiasis in contrast-enhanced abdominal-pelvic CT (Fig. 2).

4. Pathological findings

Only one patient underwent surgery because of small bowel obstruction, and this patient had no definite history of eating raw fish. This patient was diagnosed pathologically with small bowel anisakiasis after surgery, and the whole body of a live A. simplex was found in the excised small bowel specimen. The gross examinations of the specimens from the diseased bowel revealed the penetration of A. simplex into the bowel wall (Fig. 3A and B). Histopathological examination revealed two larvae surrounded by a thick cuff of acute inflammatory cells with numerous eosinophils in cross sections (Fig. 3C). High magnification of a cross section of a larva revealed a thin external cuticle with no lateral alae, celomyarian and polymyarian muscle layers, prominent Y-shaped lateral chords, an intestine with a single layer of columnar epithelium, and no apparent reproductive system (Fig. 3D). These internal structures of the larvae are pathognomonic for A. simplex.13

DISCUSSION

A. simplex can infest humans and can cause anisakiasis through the ingestion of raw fish. Acute anisakiasis is probably caused by a type I and/or type III allergic reaction to digestive tract mucus and presents as abdominal pain and eosinophilic infiltration.14 Chronic anisakiasis can cause abscess or eosinophilic granulomas.14The first case of anisakiasis was reported in the Netherlands by van Thiel et al.15 in 1960. The incidence of anisakiasis has increased recently because of the frequent ingestion of raw fish. Anisakiasis is most common in Japan, where >2,000 cases occur every year, accounting for 95% of the total worldwide cases.4,6 In Europe and the United States, the number of clinical reports has increased rapidly because of increasing popularity of ingesting raw fish. The number of reported cases is increasing although the true number of cases of enteric anisakiasis is probably greater than that reported.

Several uncooked seafood dishes can be sources of the pathogen including Japanese sashimi and sushi, Peruvian ceviche, and Hawaiian lomi-lomi. Other potential sources are insufficiently salted or pickled fish such as Dutch or German green herring, Spanish boquerones en vinagre, and Italian alici marinado.17 Anisakiasis is a relatively common infectious disease in areas where such foods are consumed. In this study, 52/59 (88.1%) of patients with gastric or small bowel anisakiasis ingested raw fish, but because of the limitations of a retrospective study it was uncertain whether the other seven (11.9%) had ingested raw fish. Anisakiasis is most common in Jeju Island in Korea because most people in this area eat raw fish frequently. Clinical manifestations include sudden and violent abdominal pain, vomiting, diarrhea, and fever, similar to those of acute abdominal syndrome, as exhibited by our patients. It is important for the clinician to suspect anisakiasis in patients with such symptoms to avoid misdiagnosis.

Endoscopic diagnosis of small bowel anisakiasis can be difficult, but the diagnosis can be established using barium series, abdominal ultrasonography, enhanced abdomino-pelvic CT, serum IgE levels, and skin tests using A. simplex extracts.14,18,19 Leukocytosis without eosinophilia is a common laboratory finding in this condition and may lead to an incorrect diagnosis of acute appendicitis or regional enteritis.15 In our study, leukocytosis, eosinophilia, and CRP levels were significantly greater in patients with small bowel anisakiasis than in those with gastric anisakiasis, although the data were limited in gastric anisakiasis patients. Our findings suggest that both leukocytosis and eosinophilia may be helpful in diagnosing small bowel anisakiasis. However, a previous report showed that testing for eosinophilia alone may not help to diagnose or rule out small bowel anisakiasis.17

An elevated titer of anti-Anisakis antibodies in blood is sensitive (100%) but not very specific (50%) because of cross-reactions with proteins in other parasites (Ascaris, Toxocara, and Echinococcus), microorganisms, insects, and plants.23 The measurement of anti-Anisakis antibody titer may take a long time and the titer may not be elevated in the early phase after the onset of symptoms.17 Healthy individuals who eat raw fish regularly may exhibit a pseudopositive reaction. Therefore, the diagnosis of anisakiasis is difficult to make solely on the basis of the anti-Anisakis antibody titer in emergency situations.10,17 In this study, four of 20 patients (20.0%) with small bowel anisakiasis had a negative anti-Anisakis antibody titer probably because they were in the acute phase. When an accurate diagnosis must be established quickly, serological testing is important for chronic anisakiasis, although the test is not useful in the acute state.18 We did not measure total IgE titer, but A. simplex-specific IgE has been shown to be helpful in the diagnosis of anisakiasis.

Radiological examination is important in the diagnosis of acute small bowel anisakiasis. In our study, plain abdominal radiographs revealed findings consistent with ileus, such as dilated small bowel loops with air-fluid levels in 7.4% of patients with gastric anisakiasis and in 73.3% of patients with small bowel anisakiasis. The presence of ileus differs significantly, and this may provide a clue in the diagnosis of small bowel anisakiasis.19 As reported previously,5,8,24 transient and segmental thickening of the small bowel wall and the presence of ascites suggest the possibility of intestinal anisakiasis in patients who complain of abdominal pain. Contrast-enhanced abdominopelvic CT provides more accurate information about the bowel involvement including the location, length, severity, mesenteric changes, and severity of small bowel obstruction. Contrast-enhanced abdominal-pelvic CT in combination with the detection of serum Anisakis-specific IgE is useful for the diagnosis of enteric
pelvic CT scan and serum specific IgE to A. simplex.  

Secondly, the studies needed for inclusion were incomplete due to retrospective design of this study. Ideally, all the patients should undergo upper GI endoscopy with histology, abdominopelvic CT scan and serum specific IgE to A. simplex.

In conclusion, this study has value as the first study to compare the clinical characteristics of small bowel and gastric anisakiasis in Jeju Island. These two conditions differ in terms of the interval between the ingestion of raw fish and the appearance of symptoms, main symptoms, inflammatory marker levels, and length of hospitalization.
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