Pore-scale tomography and imaging: applications, techniques and recommended practice
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1 Introduction to this special issue

Three- and four-dimensional pore-scale imaging techniques have become an essential part in geosciences in the past years. The probably most commonly used techniques sum up to X-ray micro-computed tomography (µ-CT), synchrotron-computed tomography (Sr-CT), neutron tomography (NT), positron emission tomography (PET) and focused ion beam scanning electron microscopy (FIB-SEM).

With these imaging techniques, structures and processes can be visualized, evaluated, compared, modeled and understood on the basis of first physical principles on a large variety of scales (from nanometer to centimeters and decimeters). Many of the investigated phenomena are of interdisciplinary interest or can add substantial new knowledge to many common assumptions and models. Besides, modern imaging methods enable the performance of numerical experiments on more or less in situ morphological information of the investigated specimen. This significantly increases the understanding of pore-scale processes as well as physics and pore–matrix interactions.

The number of peer-reviewed publications may act as an indicator for the importance of modern imaging techniques for geoscientific applications. Figure 1 gives an impression about the number of publications found by using a simple keyword search (here: method + geoscience) with the SCOPUS search engine, hosted by Elsevier (other platforms from other publishing services deliver very similar results).

According to this keyword search, FIB-SEM and µ-CT feature by far the most publications. In addition, the average increase over the last five years is roughly about 45 % for both µ-CT- and FIB-SEM-related publications. In contrast, the number of papers related to the other techniques remains remarkably constant during this period. These numbers also reflect the increased availability of bench-top X-ray µ-CT and FIB-SEM systems within the geoscience community, due to new technical developments and reasonably cheap and hence affordable systems. Nevertheless, µ-CT and FIB-SEM cannot cover the full range of scientific needs. Hence, we use this timely opportunity to showcase a broad range of all methods and of state-of-the-art applications within this issue.

The aim of this special issue is to highlight different techniques, methods and applications of pore-scale tomography and pore-scale imaging of natural rocks and soils, providing a comprehensive perspective on recent and future developments (technical, numerical and methodical) and applications.

2 Content and highlights of this special issue

Contributions from all fields of applied geosciences (e.g., geophysics, geology, rock mechanics, soil science, civil and environmental engineering, hydrology, volcanology, and many others), using different 3-D/4-D imaging techniques on different scales, are presented. This includes qualitative as well as quantitative case studies, new technical and scientific applications, recommended (best) practice and original research papers. Special emphasis has been given to contributions from interdisciplinary geo-scientific imaging for pore-scale-related research. In total, this special issue features 19...
articles, covering a wide range of applications for modern pore-scale imaging methods.

Kaestner et al. (2015) provide a very comprehensive highlight paper about recent developments and applications in neutron imaging that enable investigation of the fluid distribution in porous samples. Here, the time-lapse series of the liquid ingress in the porous media allows the quantification of the liquid volumes (Fig. 2).

Schlüter et al. (2016) showcase the application of µ-CT imaging for the analysis soil structure deformation, whereas Schmitt et al. (2016) present new research on the classification and quantification of pore shapes of reservoir rocks. Within the paper of Schoesser et al. (2016), research of the penetration behavior of bentonite suspensions into non-cohesive media is presented. Saenger et al. (2016) present recommendations for digital carbonate rock physics performed on imaging data sets, and Kaufhold et al. (2016) investigated structures in Opalinus Clay on multiple scales after mechanical testing for the long-term storage of radioactive waste.

Kling et al. (2016) showcase a new approach of fluid flow modeling in fractured rocks, whereas Grathoff et al. (2016) modeled porosity and permeability of organic-rich Posidonia shales from FIB-SEM data sets. Kuhlenkampff et al. (2016a, b) provide an introduction to the method and application of Positron Emission Tomography.

The second highlight paper of this issue is from Dobson et al. (2016), who have pushed the limits of 4-D imaging of sub-second dynamics by using synchrotron imaging to the edge. Aiming to describe wetting processes and the formation of rivulet networks, they evidence rapid changes in both the inter- and intra-grain fluid distribution (Fig. 3).

Followed by Luquot et al. (2016), who calculated structural and geometrical features from a limestone sample before and after a dissolution experiment, Sell et al. (2016) present a case study of processing Sr-CT data sets of gas-hydrate-bearing sediments.

Khan et al. (2016) and Chauhan et al. (2016) support the field of imaging data processing by presenting new research on single- and multi-phase segmentation and classification. Kahl et al. (2016) showcase with their paper new technical developments of a new X-ray transparent flow-through reaction cell for the surveillance of hydrothermal mineral–fluid interactions.

The paper by Peche et al. (2016) and Henkel et al. (2016) present a novel workflow for the determination of the interfacial surface area of a CO₂–brine system as a case study on Heletz sandstone, and additionally a comparison of modeling results with an experimental CO₂ study. Last but not least, Mukunoki et al. (2016) present research of CT investigations of structures in unconsolidated sand, relevant for environmental engineering.
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