Decolorizing Methyl Orange by Fe-Electrocoagulation Process – A Mechanistic Insight
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Abstract: The aim of this work is to study the removal of methyl orange (MO) using electrocoagulation (EC) process. An electrochemical cell consisting of two iron electrodes, with 22.5 cm² as an active surface, is used. Operating conditions are optimized such as nature and concentration of the supporting electrolyte, current density, pH, inter-electrode distance, MO concentration, and the connection mode. The decolorization degree obtained after 15 min of EC reached 83% at pH 7.25 with a current density of 64 A/m². Depending on pH, three EC process mechanisms are suggested and less or more significant removal performances are obtained in these tests. The Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) observations show that the flocs formed by the EC process have two distinct morphologies: a lumpy structure and an amorphous structure, formed by particles of various sizes. The Energy Disperses X-ray (EDX) analysis of the surface of the flocs formed by the EC process shows a spectrum with levels of major elements of iron, oxygen and chloride, as well as carbon, sodium and aluminum are detected as minor elements. As proved in terms of MO elimination through this research and due to its several advantageous, EC process would find its convenient place in wastewater treatment technology.
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1. Introduction

Textile and printing industries are considered as the main polluting sectors because of their elevated leakage bulk of dye-containing polluted water [1-3]. Included in such dyes, azo dyes are the main significant types because they form ~50-70% of the world dye production [4, 5]. Similar types of dye wastewaters are known for their acute color, elevated organic concentration and unvarying chemical configuration because of the presence of azo groups [6]. Furthermore, they are harmful and refractory to biological decay. Consequently, they have generated dangerous impacts to the nature. Therefore, the elimination of dyes during treating of waste effluents is ecologically vital. As a an azo chemical, methyl orange (MO) is frequently employed as a coloring chemical product in numerous cases, like textile, paint, ink, plastic, and cosmetic sectors. The discharge of this coloring chemical into used water definitely generates a serious ecological issue.

Many technologies [7] have been used to treat polluted waters holding dye chemicals like adsorption, precipitation, chemical degradation, photodegradation, biodegradation and chemical coagulation [8-11]. If conventional coagulation [12-17] is employed to deal with used water containing dye, more pollution may be formed by the chemical products injected at an elevated dose [18, 19]. The adsorption technique remains one of the efficient processes employed to eliminate dyes from wastewaters. Activated carbon is largely employed adsorbent for dye elimination; however, it remains highly
costly. Photooxidation using UV/H₂O₂ or UV/TiO₂ and Fenton oxidation need more chemical products [4], and consequently form more pollution [20]. Biological processes are usually economical and easy to use and are at the present day employed to eliminate organic products and color from dyed and textile polluted water. Nevertheless, biological processes are less performant due to the dyes poisoning that constitutes a restraining impact on the bacterial growth. Moreover, such colored used waters cannot be easily decomposed using biological methods, since the constitutions of most commercial dye chemicals are usually too complicated and several dyes are non-biodegradable because of their chemical nature, molecular size, which conducts to sludge bulking.

Electrochemical technique is more efficient for dye elimination [4]. As an electrochemical technique, electrocoagulation (EC) was suggested to prevail over the disadvantages of classical decolorization methods [21-24]. EC is an interesting option for dealing with textile dyes [25]. EC presents an easy, efficient and inexpensive technique for the depollution of used water without any demand for more chemical products, and therefore additional pollution [26, 27]. It as well diminishes the sludge quantity, which requires being removed [28]. EC concerns a technique in which flocs of metallic hydroxides are formed by electrochemical dissolution of sacrificial anodes within the effluent to be treated [29-31]. As sacrificial anodes, iron becomes more generally used than aluminum due to its toxicity [32-35]. The organic chemical in dye-carrying polluted water is coagulated by iron (II)/(III) and iron hydrates or hydroxides formed from the iron anode [36-39]. In a general manner, organic compounds and bacteria obtain their surface charges as a consequence of the ionization of the amino and carboxyl groups as illustrated below [20, 34]:

\[
\begin{align*}
R-\text{NH}_3^+ & \rightarrow R-\text{NH}_2^- + H^+ \quad (1) \\
R-\text{COOH} & \rightarrow R-\text{COO}^- + H^+ \quad (2)
\end{align*}
\]

The ensuing charge on the surface of such particles depends on pH. At elevated pH values or low hydrogen ion contents, Reactions (1) and (2) shift to the right and the colloidal particle becomes negatively charged. At a low pH, Reactions (1) and (2) shift to the left, the carboxylic group is not ionized, and the particle is positively charged because of the ionized amino group. When pH is at the isoelectric point, the particle is neutral, i.e., neither negatively nor positively charged.

The electrolytic dissolution of the iron anode generates Fe³⁺ ions. As a function of pH, the main reactions occurring in the anodic compartment are listed in Table 1 in the forms of three mechanisms, and the predominance-zone diagrams for Fe(II) and Fe(III) chemical species in aqueous solution are shown in Figure 1.

| Mechanism 1 (pH 2) | Mechanism 2 (pH 7) | Mechanism 3 (pH 12) |
|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Anode: 2Fe²⁺ - 4e⁻ → 2Fe³⁺ (E° = +0.447 V) 2H₂O₂ - 4e⁻ → 2H₂O + 4H⁺ (E° = -1.229 V) 2Fe²⁺ + 4OH⁻ → 2Fe(OH)₂⁺ Solution: 2Fe²⁺ (aq) + 4OH⁻ (aq) → 2Fe(OH)₂⁺ (s) Cathode: 8H⁺ (aq) + 8e⁻ → 4H₂(g) (E° = 0.000 V) Total: 2Fe²⁺ + 6H₂O₂ → O₂ (g) + 4H₂O + 2Fe(OH)₂⁺ Anode: 2Fe²⁺ - 4e⁻ → 2Fe³⁺ (E° = +0.447 V) Solution: Fe²⁺ (aq) + 2OH⁻ (aq) → Fe(OH)₂⁺ (s) Cathode: 8H₂O₂ (aq) + 8e⁻ → 4H₂(g) + 8OH⁻ (E° = -0.828 V) Total: 3Fe²⁺ + 8H₂O₂ → Fe(OH)₂⁺ + 2Fe(OH)₃⁺ + 4H₂(g) Anode: 2Fe²⁺ - 6e⁻ → 2Fe³⁺ (s) (E° = +0.037 V) Solution: 2Fe³⁺ (s) + 6OH⁻ (aq) → 2Fe(OH)₃⁺ (s) Cathode: 6H₂O₂ + 6e⁻ → 3H₂O + 6OH⁻ (E° = -0.828 V) Total: 2Fe²⁺ + 6H₂O₂ → 2Fe(OH)₂⁺ + 3H₂O |

Figure 1. Predominance-zone diagrams for (a) Fe(II) and (b) Fe(III) chemical species in aqueous solution. The straight lines represent the solubility equilibrium for insoluble Fe(OH)₂ and Fe(OH)₃, respectively, and the dotted lines represent the predominance limits between soluble chemical species [41].
With good results, EC has been experimented to decolorize MO from used water [42, 43]. With regular volte-face of the electrodes procedure, Pi et al. [43] examined the EC of MO from prepared wastewater. Various working situations like cell voltage, current density (J), and initial content were studied. Upon optimized parameters, a color reduction performance of 97% was reached [43].

The aim of this work was to evaluate the elevated performance of decolorizing MO from aqueous solution in a relatively extremely short-lived residence upon EC method. In this technique, Fe electrodes were employed as an easy tool upon exercised direct current (DC) and voltage. The influence of the supporting electrolyte (SE), NaCl concentration (C_{NaCl}), J, stirring velocity (SV), pH, inter-electrode distance (d) and MO concentration (C_{MO}) on the color elimination were examined. Flocs characterization and absorbance tracking were also performed.

2. Experimental

2.1. Experimental Apparatus

The device employed in EC experiments was made of Plexiglas with the dimensions of 60 mm × 80 mm (Figure 2). There were two identical Fe electrodes employed, each one with dimensions of 50 mm × 25 mm × 2 mm. The electrodes were connected to a DC power supply (Elektrolyser, type Elyn1) with an ammeter and voltmeter used to control the electric current and the applied voltage during the EC process, respectively. The organic solution in the set-up was stirred on a magnetic stirrer type VWR. Before every each test, the electrode plates were cleaned manually by abrasion with sand paper and by treatment with 15% HCl acid followed by washing with distilled water.

![Figure 2. Photo of the apparatus used in the EC tests.](image)

2.2. Experimental Procedure

The dye Orange III (abbreviated as methyl orange, MO) is employed for making wastewater solution by dissolving this organic matter in distilled water. The organic solution conductivity levels were set through introducing SE solution to the 200 mL solution of the synthetic wastewater. The pH of the examined solutions was determined using Hanna pH-meter and regulated by adding HCL or NaOH 0.05 N. At the end of the EC tests, all samples were filtered through a 0.45 μm pore size syringe filter. The MO concentration (C_{MO}) was determined employing a UV/Vis spectrophotometer (SHIMADZU UV-1700 pharma Spec) at a wavelength corresponding to the maximum absorbance of the MO (465 nm). The color removal efficiency R (%) was calculated using Eq. (3):

\[
R(\%) = \left(1 - \frac{Abs_f}{Abs_i}\right) \times 100
\]

where Abs_i and Abs_f are initial and final absorbance, respectively.

2.3. Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) and Energy Disperses X-ray (EDX) Analysis

The surface morphology of the formed flocs through EC process was examined under Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM), using QUANTA 400 from FEI Company. The elemental composition was revealed employing Energy Disperses X-ray (EDX) analysis detector from EDAX system (a division of AMETEK). The flocs samples were separated through decantation, then dried at the ambient air and ground to fine powder.

3. Results and Discussion

The impacts of SE concentration (C_{SE}), C_{MO}, J, and pH of the MO solution were examined in order to determine the optimum operating conditions for the MO maximum removal performance.

3.1. Effect of the Supporting Electrolyte (SE)

The SE presence in the solution, in which the EC process is carried out, makes it possible to transport the electroactive species between the solution and the electrodes. Figure 3(a) presents the effect of the SE nature on the MO R (%) and Figure 3(b) illustrates the influence of C_{NaCl} on the elimination performance of MO.

![Figure 3. Effect of the Supporting Electrolyte (SE).](image)
As shown in Figure 3(a), the obtained results show clearly that the SE nature has a significant influence on the degree of MO decolorization. In decreasing order, $R(\%)$ was: 98.47%, 86.88%, 82.34%, 77.95% and 24.44% for CaCl$_2$, KCl, NaCl, Na$_2$SO$_4$ and NaNO$_3$, respectively (Figure 3(a)). The effects of CaCl$_2$, KCl, NaCl, Na$_2$SO$_4$ seem to play a significant role in the distribution of the electric species through the solution while NaNO$_3$ appears to be an inhibitor of the dissolution of iron anode [44].

Moreover, the presence of Ca$^{2+}$ in solution causes the formation of a deposit of scale at the Fe cathode. To avoid this adverse effect, it would be appropriate to use sodium chloride (NaCl) as SE because the chloride ions can significantly reduce the adverse effects of other anions such as Ca$^{2+}$ [45, 46]. This is why NaCl is widely used as SE through electrochemical processes applications.

The energy consumption ($E$) during the EC process is calculated using Eq. (4) [36]:

$$E(\text{kWh/kg of MO}) = \frac{I \times t_{\text{EC}} \times U}{C_{\text{MO}} \times V \times 10^3}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $I$ is the current intensity (A), $t_{\text{EC}}$ is the EC time (h), $U$ is the cell voltage (V), $V$ is the volume of solution (m$^3$) and $C_{\text{MO}}$ is the initial pollutant concentration (kg/m$^3$). Figure 4(a) presents the effect of the SE type on both $R(\%)$ and $E$ ($C_{\text{SE}} = 1$ g/L) and Figure 4(b) illustrates the effect of $C_{\text{NaCl}}$ on both $R(\%)$ and $E$.

As shown in Figure 4(a), $E$ increases considerably with the type of electrolyte used. The energy consumed during the treatment of MO in the presence of CaCl$_2$, KCl and Na$_2$SO$_4$ is greater than 35 kWh/kg of MO (Figure 4(a)). Really, the EC process is more meaningful using NaCl as SE since the treatment requires about 28.46 kWh/kg of MO.

### 3.2. Effect of NaCl Concentration

As seen above, NaCl is proved to be the best SE for MO removal. The concentration of the SE obviously exerts a primary influence on the conductivity of the solution and the voltage applied between the electrodes at a constant $J$ [47].

In order to study the influence of the electric conductivity of the solution on the evolution of the dye removal, $C_{\text{NaCl}}$ was varied from 0.3 to 2 g/L. As shown in Figure 3(b), the level of MO elimination by EC increases during the first 15 min, up to the plateau of 19 to 30 min, for $C_{\text{NaCl}} = 1.6$ g/L. The improvement in the EC effectiveness is obtained after 12 min with a 69.27% rate for the EC. After 15 min of EC treatment, the removal rate increases to 89.87%. The result of EC treatment for 15 min with a dose of 0.3 g/L reveals that the elimination performance decreases to 74% (Figure 3(b)). An additional salt injection has a negative effect on the EC effectiveness. With a salinity of 1.6 g/L, it is found that the maximum rate of removal reaches 82.84% at 15 min. As shown in Figure 4(b), for EC treatment after 15 min, the elimination rate increases from 74% to 89.87% and $E$ decreases from 96 to 20 kWh/kg of MO for $C_{\text{NaCl}}$ of 0.3 to 1.6 g/L, respectively.

### 3.3. Effect of Current Density ($J$)

In the electrochemical processes, the most significant parameters are $J$ and $t_{\text{EC}}$. These key parameters make it easy to regulate the rate of reaction in the reactor, which determines the production rate of the coagulant (from anode) and the hydrogen bubbles generation (from cathode). Figure 5(a) presents the effect of $J$ on MO $R(\%)$ and Figure 5(b) illustrates the impact of $J$ on both $R(\%)$ and $E$. 

---

**Figure 3.** (a) Effect of the SE type on the MO $R(\%)$ ($C_{\text{SE}} = 1$ g/L). (b) Effect of $C_{\text{NaCl}}$ on $R(\%)$ ($C_{\text{MO}} = 15$ mg/L, $J = 64$ A/m$^2$, $d = 1$ cm).

**Figure 4.** (a) Effect of the SE type on $R(\%)$ and $E$ ($C_{\text{SE}} = 1$ g/L). (b) Effect of $C_{\text{NaCl}}$ on $R(\%)$ and $E$ ($C_{\text{MO}} = 15$ mg/L, $J = 64$ A/m$^2$, $d = 1$ cm, $t_{\text{EC}} = 15$ min).

---
Figure 5. (a) Effect of J on MO R (%). (b) Effect of J on R (%) and E (C_{MO} = 15 mg/L, C_{NaCl} = 1.6 g/L, d = 1 cm).

As shown in Figure 5(a), the experimental results achieved by the EC process illustrate that when J decreases from 56 to 32 A/m^2, the removal percentage observed for 15 min is less than 60%. As J increases from 64 to 72 A/m^2, the color elimination is above 80% (Figure 5(a)).

The results obtained show clearly that the EC efficiency increases as a function of the intensity of the current. Moreover, as J augments t_{EC} decreases leading to an increase in cations release. When J is greater than 64 A/m^2, the dye removal did not change significantly. The judicious choice of the initial conditions (i.e., t_{EC} and J) will make it easy to limit the excessive release of hydrogen bubbles with the increase of J, and E decreases [43, 48, 49].

As shown in Figure 5(b), the energy consumed during EC process is less than 32 kWh/kg of MO when J decreases from 72 to 40 A/m^2. The energy consumed reaches a maximum value when the density decreases to 32 A/m^2 (Figure 5(b)). In order to satisfy the energy consumption, the treatment should be carried out with J = 64 A/m^2.

3.4. Effect of Stirring Velocity (SV)

The main function of agitation is to ensure a good homogenization of the mixture dispersing the coagulant species in the reactor; thus, causing efficient contact between the neutralized molecules and destabilized colloids and the cationic metallic species, which explains a positive effect [50]. The flocculation process allows the aggregation of colloidal particles into flocs [51, 52]. Any shearing of formed flocs could hinder the removal process, which is the negative effect of increased agitation [50]. To evaluate the effect of SV on the dye removal process, a series of tests with different SV, namely: SV = 217 rpm, 279 rpm, 450 rpm, 990 rpm was done. Figure 5(a) presents the effect of number of turns on R (%) and Figure 5(b) illustrates the effect of number of turns on R (%) and E.

Figure 6. (a) Effect of number of turns on R (%). (b) Effect of number of turns on R (%) and E (C_{MO} = 15 mg/L, C_{NaCl} = 1.6 g/L, J = 64 A/m^2, d = 1 cm).

A similar evolution of R (%) is recorded when SV is between 217 and 450 rpm. The highest decolorization is 89%; it is reached at 278 rpm after 15 min. It is found that the decolorization efficiency decreases to 28% when SV is greater than 450 rpm, because excessive SV breaks the formed flocs. The non-agitated EC process leads to a decrease in decolorization until 18% (Figure 6(a)). The increase of the speed of the agitation causes greater E since it reaches up to 87 kWh/kg of MO. However, E is close to 20 kWh/kg of MO in
the case where the EC process has a speed of 278 rpm (Figure 6 (b)).

3.5. Effect of pH

The initial pH is one of the most important parameters that control the process performance of EC [53-55]. Figure 7(a) presents the effect of pH on \( R(\%) \) and Figure 7(b) shows the effect of pH on both \( R(\%) \) and \( E \).

A decolorization rate is more rapid and more pronounced after 10 min by the EC treatment at pH 3 in comparison with the other pH values studied (Figure 7(a)). The discoloration rate by EC treatment increases rapidly and reaches 96% after 12 min. In the pH range 4 to 7.25, the results show that the increase in the decolorization efficiency starts after 12 min and the recorded rate is higher than 70% and the maximum rate is reached around 19 min. In the pH range 9 to 12, the effectiveness of the treatment decreases and the decolorization rates are below 72% (Figure 7 (a)). An initial pH optimum was found between 5 and 8, although a slight difference was noted in the decolorization rate. The value of the decolorization rate is particularly important at pH 7.25 since it is of the order of 70.48% (see Mechanism 2 (Table 1) and Figure 1(b)). When pH is low, the protons are reduced to gaseous hydrogen at the cathode and the hydroxide ions cannot be produced [56]. When pH is low, the iron species (Fe(OH))\(_2^+\), Fe(OH))\(_3^+\) formed are advantageous for the coagulation of MO [57, 58]. When pH is between 6 and 8, the tendency for the formation of iron hydroxide (Fe(OH))\(_{3\text{c}}\) increases [57, 59]. An additional increase in pH (> 8) can lead to the formation of the following species: Fe(OH))\(_{3}^+\), Fe(OH))\(_{3}^2\), Fe(OH))\(_{3}^3\) and Fe(OH))\(_{3}^4\) [57] which conduct to the decrease of the decolorization efficiency. In matter of energy, the results show that the EC treatment contributes to the increase of \( E \) through the increase of pH to 11. This increase is of the order of 28 kWh/kg of MO. The energy consumed at pH 7.25 reaches 23 kWh/kg of MO (Figure 7(b)).

3.6. Effect of the Inter-Electrode Distance (d)

The distance separating the electrodes (\( d \)) is an important parameter involved in the design of the electrochemical cell [60]. Optimizing \( d \) contributes to the regulation of the process. To study the influence of \( d \) on \( R(\%) \), \( d \) was varied between 0.8 and 3.1 cm. Figure 8(a) presents the effect of \( d \) on \( R(\%) \) and Figure 8(b) illustrates the effect of \( d \) on \( R(\%) \) and \( E \).

![Figure 7](image-url)  
**Figure 7.** (a) Effect of pH on \( R(\%) \). (b) Effect of pH on \( R(\%) \) and \( E \) (\( C_{\text{MO}} = 15 \text{ mg/L}, C_{\text{NaCl}} = 1.6 \text{ g/L}, J = 64 \text{ A/m}^2, d = 1 \text{ cm, SV = 270 rpm} \)).

![Figure 8](image-url)  
**Figure 8.** (a) Effect of \( d \) on \( R(\%) \) (\( C_{\text{MO}} = 15 \text{ mg/L}, C_{\text{NaCl}} = 1.6 \text{ g/L}, J = 64 \text{ A/m}^2, \text{pH = 7.25, SV = 270 rpm} \)). (b) Effect of \( d \) on \( R(\%) \) and \( E \) (\( C_{\text{MO}} = 15 \text{ mg/L}, C_{\text{NaCl}} = 1.6 \text{ g/L}, J = 64 \text{ A/m}^2, \text{t}_{\text{EC}} = 12 \text{ min} \)).
The results obtained with the EC treatment showed that $R$ (%) after 12 min reaches 86% and 80% for $d = 0.8$ cm and 3.1 cm, respectively (Figure 8(a)). It seems that the removal performance is relatively low for $d$ comprised between 1 and 2 cm. When $d$ is maintained at 2 cm, the EC treatment recorded an efficiency of 70% for 12 min. Short circuits may occur when $d$ is small [61]. The value of $d = 2$ cm is recommended by several authors [50, 61, 62]. EC is relatively more energy consuming at $d = 2$ cm, representing 30 kWh/kg of MO; and, when the electrodes are kept at $d$ comprised between 0.8 and 2 cm, $E$ is less than 24 kWh/kg of MO (Figure 8(b)).

3.7. Effect of the MO Concentration ($C_{MO}$)

The initial concentration assessment from 15 to 55 mg/L was further tested by keeping the other optimized parameters constant. Figure 8(a) presents the effect of $C_{MO}$ on $R$ (%) and Figure 9(b) illustrates the effect of $C_{MO}$ on $R$ (%) and $E$.

Based on the obtained results of the EC treatment, $R$ (%) decreases as the concentration of MO augments. After 12 min of EC treatment, decolorization efficiencies of 71.11% and 60% are observed for the initial concentrations 25 and 55 mg/L; these rates increase gradually to 86.89% and 73.81% for a duration of 15 min, respectively (Figure 9(a)). The energies consumed during the EC treatment of MO increase up to 31 and 33 kWh/kg, respectively (Figure 9(b)).

3.8. Flocs Characterization

The precipitates formed during the EC treatment were subjected to SEM visualization to observe the surface condition of the different kinds of generated textures. Figure 10 presents SEM images of the flocs generated by EC process at the optimum conditions.

The SEM observations show that the flocs formed by the treatment of the EC have two distinct morphologies: a lumpy structure and an amorphous structure, formed by particles of various sizes (Figure 10(a) and (b)). Small particles promote the condensation of flocs and thus the increase of the surface of the colloids.

Figure 11 presents the EDX of the flocs generated by EC process at the optimum conditions. Table 2 lists the quantitative composition for atomic ($At$) and weight ($Wt$) percentage of the elements present in the floc generated by EC.
Figure 11. EDX of the flocs generated by EC process at the optimum conditions (\(C_{\text{MO}} = 15\) mg/L, \(C_{\text{NaCl}} = 1.6\) g/L, \(J = 64\) A/m\(^2\), \(d = 2\) cm, \(t_{\text{EC}} = 30\) min, \(pH = 7.25\), \(SV = 270\) rpm).

Table 2. Quantitative composition for atomic (At) and weight (Wt) percentage of the elements present in the floc generated by EC.

| Elements | Wt (%) | At (%) |
|----------|--------|--------|
| Fe       | 45.12  | 21.68  |
| O        | 28.33  | 47.52  |
| Cl       | 12.54  | 9.49   |
| Na       | 8.90   | 10.38  |
| C        | 4.71   | 10.52  |
| Al       | 0.40   | 0.40   |

The EDX analysis of the surface of the flocs formed after the treatment with EC shows a spectrum (Figure 11) with levels of major elements of iron, oxygen and chloride, as well as carbon, sodium and aluminium are detected as minor elements (Table 2). Similar results are obtained by Garg and Prasad [63].

3.9. Absorbance Tracking

Figure 12 presents the evolution of the absorbance of MO and degradation products as a function of \(t_{\text{EC}}\) (\(C_{\text{MO}} = 15\) mg/L, \(C_{\text{NaCl}} = 1.6\) g/L, \(J = 64\) A/m\(^2\), \(d = 2\) cm, \(pH = 7.25\)) and Figure 12 presents the mechanism of the degradation of MO.

Figure 13. Mechanism of the degradation of MO (\(C_{\text{MO}} = 15\) mg/L, \(C_{\text{NaCl}} = 1.6\) g/L, \(J = 64\) A/m\(^2\), \(d = 2\) cm, \(t_{\text{EC}} = 30\) min, \(pH = 7.25\), \(SV = 270\) rpm).
The monitoring of the evolution of the MO reduction has been carried out particularly in the visible range but it can be usefully observed the by-products in the ultraviolet domain. As shown in Figure 13, the shape of the curve illustrates that the absorbance of the MO at 465 nm decreases until 0.39. Following the evolution of the by-product absorbance (N, N-dimethylaniline) at 248 nm during the treatment of MO, the absorbance increases progressively from 6 to 10 min to reach a value 0.21 for the EC after 10 min and stabilizes after 12 min. This stabilization of the absorbance corresponds to the accumulation of degradation by-products (Figure 13).

4. Conclusions

The main important points drawn from this review may be listed as:

The study highlighted the influence of the nature of SE and its concentration. Indeed, NaCl seems to be the most advantageous because chloride ions can significantly reduce the adverse effects of other anions. The favorable concentration is 1.6 g/L, beyond which any increase leads to a decrease in efficiency. The intensity of the current appears as a determining factor. Indeed, the increase of J is favorable for the EC process. The judicious choice of the initial conditions (\(t_{EC}\) and J) will make it possible to limit the excessive release of the hydrogen. The efficiency of the EC process is also favored over a wide range of initial pH ranging from 3 to 8. The treatment of the synthetic solution is preferably carried out at pH 7.25. The kinetics of discoloration is affected by the distance between the two electrodes. Indeed, it is found that during the treatment that the rate during the treatment with the EC reaches 83% with \(J = 64\ A/m^2\). EC process is once again confirmed as interesting and valuable technology in the perspective of industrial scale implementations.
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Abbreviations

| Abbreviation | Description |
|--------------|-------------|
| \(C_{NaCl}\) | NaCl concentration (g/L) |
| \(C_{SE}\) | SE concentration (g/L) |
| \(C_{MO}\) | MO concentration (mg/L) |
| d | Inter-electrode distance (cm) |
| E | Energy consumption (kWh/kg of MO) |
| EC | Electrocoagulation |
| EDX | Energy disperses X-ray |
| J | Current density (A/m²) |
| MO | Methyl orange |
| R (%) | Removal efficiency |
| SE | Supporting electrolyte |
| SEM | Scanning electron microscopy |
| SV | Stirring velocity (rpm) |
| \(t_{EC}\) | EC time (min) |
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