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Abstract

Objectives: To explore the relationship of Pakistani Political Leader Imran Khan Speeches and newspapers agenda examining the coverage of electoral rigging issue which gained the momentum of a movement in Pakistan during post elections 2013 against the then sitting government of Pakistan Muslim League Nawaz's (PML-N). Method: The study takes its roots from the network agenda setting model. It aims to study the model in the context of agenda building in Pakistan. Social Network Analysis technique was used to analyze the data collected from two sources including: Live speeches of movement leader, Imran Khan and coverage of three leading Urdu dailies of Pakistan; Express, Dunya and Jang to explore the relationship among these agenda setting networks. Firstly, we performed content analysis of 128 speeches of Imran Khan, 964 news stories and 239 editorials of sample newspapers. Secondly, we turned data into matrices and applied network analysis techniques with the help of UCINet and NetDraw software. Findings: QAP Correlation indicates strong association among, Imran Khan Speeches and newspapers agenda. However, these associations are different for two issues; Electoral rigging, and PML-N rigging. Imran Khan influenced the media agenda more for shaping the image of elections-2013 as unfair and rigged. On the other hand, his speeches were found less influential in shaping media agenda about the involvement of PML-N in electoral rigging. In this way, study reveals that third level agenda building effects depend upon the political nature of issues. Keywords: Third level agenda building; political speeches; electoral rigging; Pakistan elections-2013; social network analysis
1 Introduction

Role of mass media in the presentation of political issues and objects and its perception among the audience has been central among the agenda setting scholars for last four decades starting from the ground breaking Chappell Hill study in 1968(1–3). The traditional or first level of agenda setting studied agenda as a set of objects and issues (4–8). Where, the objects are public issues, but they could be other items or topics, such as the agenda of political candidates during an election (9). Later on, this scholarship turned the focus from object agenda to attribute agenda. In other words, from first level to second level of agenda setting (10–14). For each object on the agenda, there is an agenda of attributes that influences our understanding of the object (9).

A recent development in Agenda Setting research is Network Agenda Setting Model proposed by Guo & McCombs in 2012. This model asserts that “the salience of the network relationships among objects and/or attributes, in addition to the rank-order of individual elements, can also be transferred from the media to the public”. This expanded perspective on the bundling of objects and attributes has been termed as third level of agenda setting (15–20). Network agenda setting as a third level approach need to be studied more extensively to extend this mode of scholarship as it seems more innovative. Agenda building is also an important domain of study for this emerging agenda setting research (4,12,13,21–28). Kiousis, Kim (29) studied the agenda building at network level and found that media network agenda can also be built by political actors. Therefore, this model was used to study the role of Pakistani politicians for influencing media agenda.

Present study takes roots from Agenda setting theory. Scholars in Pakistan, have also explored different aspects of agenda setting theory (30–35). However, this study is going to test Network Agenda Setting Model proposed by Guo & McCombs in 2012. To date, not a single study is found on NAS model in Pakistan. So, this study will provide opportunities for Pakistani scholars to test new dimensions of agenda setting in Pakistan.

1.1 Pakistan Elections — 2013 & Electoral Rigging Movement

Elections – 2013 were considered very important in Pakistan's democratic process. Because it was first time in history that a democratic elected government completed his tenure and power was transferred through democratic process according to constitution of Pakistan. In Elections 2013, a record voter turnout was seen. Overall voter turnout was 53-55% in which overall gain was 34% (36). The report of European Union Elections Observation Mission also declared these Elections-2013 more democratic than previous elections (37). Pakistan Muslim League Nawaz (PML-N) got supreme majority in these elections and formed government in center. On the other side, Pakistan Tehreek-i-Insaf (PTI) showed reservations on elections conduct. PTI Chairman Imran Khan blamed PML-N for rigging in elections. They continuously kept challenging elections-2013 results at different forums and staged protests against the conduct of election and calling it rigged elections of Pakistan's history. In politics of Pakistan, leaders and political parties often prefer street politics, slogans and processions to parliamentary sessions. In this context of post elections-2013, these strategies were also used to gain public opinion (38). These protests and blames against electoral rigging eventually came up with movement advanced toward Islamabad, the capital of Pakistan.

On 11th May, 2014, PTI organized a political procession at D-Chowk, Islamabad and launched a movement against alleged rigging in Elections-2013. After this procession, PTI started to show his power at different cities of country. 14th August, 2014 saw unprecedented movements in Pakistani politics. Pakistan Awami Tehrik (PAT) started “Inqalab March” (Revolution March) & PTI started “Azadi March” (Independence March) towards Islamabad. A large number of public followed them. After reaching Islamabad, the parties decided to stage sit-in Islamabad, for the fulfillment of their demands. PAT ended Sit-In, on 21st October, 2014, and PTI Sit-in was ended on 17th December, 2014 after a brutal terrorist attack Army Public School, Peshawar.

This movement against electoral rigging, left serious effects on Pakistani Politics. Movement resulted in the formation of Judicial Commission for investigations alleged electoral rigging during Elections-2013. On April 03, 2015, President Mamnoon Hussain issued an ordinance for the formation of Judicial Commission. On April 08, 2015 Chief Justice Nasir-ul-Mulk formed a 3 members’ judicial commission. Commission was headed by Chief Justice Nasir-ul-Maulk, while the other two members were; Justice Ameer Hani Muslim and Justice Afzal Khan. This was unprecedented that accountability of elections happened first time in Pakistani political history. The three-member judicial commission initiated its proceedings on April 9, 2015 and concluded his final report on July 03, 2015. The report said that there were irregularities to the extent of the Election Commission but they did not constitute a reflection of a deviation from the public mandate (39).

During elections and post elections context of Pakistan, media and journalists are often used for electioneering. Politicians use media for blame gaming on rival political parties. Not only politicians but other state actors also use media to build public opinion towards elections and their outcomes (40). During this movement, Imran Khan, Chairman PTI, delivered more than 130 speeches, out of which, 128 were live broadcasted by different news channels of Pakistan. As McNair noted that “Political speeches, delivered in the pseudo-event environment, attempt to satisfy the journalists’ need for easily reportable ‘bits’ of political information, in such a way as to set the news agenda in the politicians’ favor” (41). It is also argued that information
subsidies – press releases, statements, conferences and “news commercialization” etc – have tremendous inputs into media content. During elections, political speeches are used to promote political causes and to gain public confidence. Therefore, these speeches are an important source of study the agenda building. Present study attempts to measure the influence of these speeches on media agenda about electoral rigging issue. Further, it also explores the relationship of political agenda on media agenda about PML rigging issue. Here, PML-N rigging has been taken as planned rigging by PML-N government. While, electoral rigging shows the general rigging in elections-2013.

2 Hypotheses

Agenda setting is continuously evolving from one dimension to another. Agenda building is one of them. On the contrary to agenda setting, agenda building seeks to answer, who sets media agenda? Agenda building refers to the process by which news organizations and journalists feature, emphasize, and/or select certain events, issues, or sources to cover over others. Apart from the transferal of issue or object salience to the public, scholars have studied influences on news media agendas. Scholars found different influences on media agenda such as political elites, other media sources, and norms and traditions of society. Shoemaker and Reese included media routines, organizational sociology, ideology, and individual differences among journalists has tremendous input in media agenda. Real world indicators also influence media agenda. As agenda setting is studied at object and attribute level, similarly agenda building also have been studied at object and attribute level. Other than, first and second level agenda building, there is also an evidence for third level agenda building. In sum, Agenda building is the study of factors which contributes in shaping media agenda. H1 of study takes roots from agenda-building perspective. Keeping in view with existing literature, following statements are hypothesized.

H1: Political agenda of electoral rigging in Imran Khan Speeches will positively associate with the agenda of media news stories and editorials.

H2: Political agenda of PML-N rigging in Imran Khan Speeches will positively associate with the agenda of media news stories & editorials.

3 Methods & Measures

Research design of present study was based on triangulation of methods. Present study was conducted in three stages. Firstly, PTI political agenda about electoral rigging and PML-N rigging was measured by analyzing 128 speeches of Imran Khan. Secondly, media agenda was measured by (a) analyzing news stories related to elections-2013 on front & back pages of three leading Urdu Newspapers; Daily Express, Daily Dunya and Daily Jang from 11th May, 2014 to 17th December, 2014 (N=964). (b) By analyzing editorials of these newspapers related to elections-2013 during the same period (N=239).

3.1 Content Analysis of Imran Khan Speeches

Imran Khan speeches provide enormous reason to study political agenda of PTI. Systematic randomly selected ten speeches were analyzed qualitatively for defining coding categories. For this purpose, all speeches were ordered chronologically, and then 33rd speech was randomly selected, then every 12th speech was selected from 33rd speech to onward. All speeches were downloaded from internet video sites like tune.pk, & dailymotion etc. By applying Holsti’s formula, intercoder reliability (between two coders) at this stage was 0.9.

3.2 Measures

For analyzing speeches, content sheet was formed. In social network analysis, unit of analysis is dyad: A combination of two ties and their relational ties. In order to measure connections and their relational ties, a set of six elements was constructed for Elections-2013; Rigging, Accountability, Returning Officers, Corruption, Four Constituencies, & Election Commission (Annexure – I) and six elements were constructed for PML-N; Family Politics, Injustice, Go Nawaz Go, Corruption, Accountability, & Rigging (Annexure – II). Systematic randomly selected ten speeches were analyzed qualitatively for defining coding categories. For this purpose, all speeches were ordered chronologically, and then 33rd speech was randomly selected, then every 12th speech was selected from 33rd speech to onward. All speeches were downloaded from internet video sites like tune.pk, & dailymotion etc. By applying Holsti’s formula, intercoder reliability (between two coders) at this stage was 0.9.
3.3 Content Analysis of Newspapers

Firstly, in this stage, universe of the study was Urdu Newspapers of Pakistan. Three leading papers were selected; Daily Jang, Daily Dunya, Daily Express from 11th May, 2014 to 17th December, 2014 (N=654). Further, only front and back pages were selected as sample. Unit of analysis was news stories related to Elections-2013. Categories and their relational ties were coded as same as in the 1st step of content analysis. Pilot study was done on 10 newspapers. Intercoder reliability between two coders was obtained as 0.85 by using Holstí’s formula.

Secondly, the universe, sample newspapers, and time was same. However, this time, unit of analysis was editorial related to Elections-2013. Two hundred and thirty nine editorials were coded in this step with predefined categories and their relational ties scheme in 1st stage. Pilot study was conducted on 10 editorials. Intercoder reliability between two coders was 0.80 by using Holstí’s formula.

4 Results

After data collection, according to the nature of study, and predefined categories of elements, matrices containing 6 rows & 6 columns were created about Elections-2013 and 6 rows & 6 column matrices were created for PML-N government. UCInet & NetDraw was used, for data analysis. Quadratic Assignment Procedure (QAP) Correlation test was applied to symmetrical matrix data. In making results more accurate and reliable, matrices datasets were randomly permuted during QAP correlation test.

Findings show that total 128 speeches of Imran Khan were live broadcasted in mainstream news channels of Pakistan from May, 2014 to December, 2014 (3%, 2%, 2%, 16%, 23%, 23%, 21%, and 11% respectively). Most of the speeches (35%) were broadcasted for 16 to 30 minutes (Below 15 min, 27%; 31-45 min, 29%; and above 45 min, 9%). In addition to, (29%) speeches had duration 31 to 45 minutes. Furthermore, findings highlight that the issue of electoral rigging gained much attention of Pakistani print media. Three leading Urdu dailies gave high coverage to this issue. Daily Dunya presented more stories (347) than other two dailies; Express (324), Jang (293). Moreover, the issue was also much highlighted in editorials of three dailies; Dunya (93), Express (53) and Jang (93).

4.1 Network Agenda Building

This study finds empirical evidence for supporting NAB. However, the effects were only observed for electoral rigging issue. Figures 1, 2 and 3 show that the major focus of
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**Fig 1.** Imran Khan Network Agenda about Electoral Rigging (N=128)
Imran Khan, and newspapers’ stories and editorials has quite a similarity. Rigging and accountability were much emphasized by Imran Khan and media. These two elements have more ties than any other elements. However, in media agenda, it can be clearly viewed that election commission is also a prominent element. It also has considerable ties with both; rigging and accountability. Furthermore, QAP correlation values among Imran Khan Agenda and Newspapers’ news stories and editorials show significant associations among political agenda and media agenda (Table 1).

On the other hand, NAB effects are quite limited in case of PML-N rigging. Imran Khan excessively focused on corruption and resign of Prime Minister (Figure 4).
However, media agenda in news stories and editorials seems different. Although, news coverage of three leading papers (Figure 5), shows some similarity with Imran Khan agenda, yet editorials policy of three papers is quite different from Imran Khan agenda (Figure 6).

Insignificant correlations among agenda of Imran Khan, news stories and editorials provide evidence for limited effects of political agenda on media agenda (Table 1).

Fig 4. Imran Khan Network Agenda about PML-N Rigging (N=128)

Fig 5. Media Network Agenda about PML-N Rigging based on News Stories of three Urdu Dailies (N=964)
5 Discussion

Pakistan is a country, where democracy is in its infancy. In its 68 years of independence, it observed three long martial law rules. However, now democracy roots are becoming strong in Pakistan. After 2002 general elections, power is being transferred only through electoral system. General elections- 2013 were considered very important for the political history of the country. In these elections PML-N got supreme majority in federal and Punjab province. On the other side, PTI emerged as second largest political party in the country. Soon after the conductions of elections, PTI blamed PML-N for electoral rigging and theft of public mandate. PTI strongly protested against electoral rigging in elections tribunals, Supreme Court and finally in street protests.

During this political movement against electoral rigging, media coverage was seemed very helpful for promoting PTI cause. All newspapers, and mainstream media gave excessive coverage to PTI processions, conferences and tweets. Issue of electoral rigging was observed to discuss in columns, editorials and media talk shows very much. Present study was based to examine the role of political speeches in promoting electoral rigging issue among media agenda. For examining this role of media in political communication, framework of agenda setting theory was used. The recent work of Guo & McCombs\(^\text{15,16}\) has turned the focus of agenda setting research towards third level of theory, Netwrok Agenda Setting Model\(^\text{17}\).

Findings of the study (Table 1) demonstrate strong influence of Imran Khan’s network agenda about electoral rigging over editorials’ agenda of three dailies \((r=0.655, p=0.027)\). Furthermore, QAP correlation value of 0.666 shows strong association between elements associations in Imran Khan Speeches and news stories of three dailies. This correlation is significant at 0.03 level (Table 1). These results support Kiousis, Kim\(^\text{29}\) findings about network agenda building. They found Campaign blog posts, press releases, and issue platforms appeared to be the most effective agenda-building tools at network level. This study further adds political speeches as an effective element in media agenda building at network level, supporting the argument that political speeches are influential for building media agenda\(^\text{24,41}\). These findings also relates with the previous works on agenda building at object level\(^\text{4,22,24,25,27,48,49}\) and attribute level\(^\text{12,13,23,48}\). Hence, findings provide support for H1 that political agenda of electoral rigging in Imran Khan Speeches positively associates with the agenda of media news stories and editorials. These findings encouraged researcher to argue that politicians can build media agenda in Pakistan. Political speeches, processions and conferences, which are broadcasted live on mainstream media are an effective tool for gaining media attention towards specific political cause.

On the other hand, Imran Khan agenda about PML-N rigging don’t relate with newspapers’ editorials, nor with news stories’ agenda (Table 1).

---

Fig 6. Media Network Agenda about PML-N Rigging based on Editorials of three Urdu Dailies \((N=239)\)
Note: In Figure 1-6, Symbol size represents, the frequency of element and line thickness represents, ties between two connected elements.

Reasons of this contradiction might be considered the political communications of PML-N. During content analysis, heavy political advertising by PML-N was observed. So, it might be a reason for this contradiction. Moreover, Imran Khan clearly blames Chief Editor of Daily Jang, Mir Shakeel-ur-Rahman for electoral rigging. So, Daily Jang agenda was totally opposite to Imran Khan Agenda. It might be a factor for this contradiction. As Shoemaker and Reese (46) argued that media routines, organizational sociology (both internal and external to news organizations), and ideology, all in addition to individual differences among journalists effects news agenda. Similarly, there is also an evidence that media agenda building by politicians depends on different conditions, including nature of issues (5). Therefore, H2 that political agenda of PML-N rigging in Imran Khan Speeches will positively associate with the agenda of media news stories and editorials is disapproved. So, it is argued that although politicians can divert media agenda towards their interests, yet the media is not much passive. Media also has its own agenda. On political issues, it exerts its own influence, rather than simply conveying politicians’ agenda.

These findings make a claim that media in Pakistan is very active and has significant influence on public perceptions of issues. It can be used for gaining positive political objectives for democratic development of country. However, it also shows the negative aspect of the picture, that media can also be used for negative political purposes. And for attaining negative aims, live speeches can exert significant influence on public minds. Therefore, state should work actively to monitor live speeches. Otherwise, it may harm national sovereignty. These speeches and media influence should not be underestimated.

Present study shows although media disagreed or against the views of specific political party, yet the news coverage of political activities can be gained through staging political events and live political speeches. These speeches and events increase newsworthiness and then media has to report it due to respect of news values. Media gave coverage to Imran Khan Speeches. It influenced public perception towards electoral rigging, however, media did not support the PTI cause of PML-N rigging and PM resignation.

That’s why, PTI demand for judicial investigations of electoral rigging was fulfilled with the formation of judicial commission headed by Chief Justice Nasir-ul-Mulk. To date of writing, this Judicial Commission (2015) has published his final inquiry report. This report rejected all three allegations of PTI about electoral rigging. These three main allegations of the PTI were included; organized rigging in the 2013 general elections, non-transparent polling and theft of the public mandate. It is also noteworthy, that soon after the elections-2013, Gallup Pakistan Report (36) and EU Elections Observation Mission Report (37) declared Elections-2013 free and fair and more democratic than previous elections. Despite the accuracy, elections-2013 were made suspected and rigged in the media agenda and public agenda by PTI. It shows the power of live political speeches of PTI chairman, Imran Khan. Media widely associated element of rigging with elections-2013. It can be viewed as the success of Political communication of Imran Khan.

On the other hand, PTI had to withdraw from the demand of Prime Minister Resignation, because he failed to influence media agenda about PML-N government. Media did not follow Imran Khan agenda against PML-N government. Hence, public perception about PML-N rigging did not change. PML-N is the major political party of country. It has millions of workers and voters in the country. That’s why, people rejected the Imran Khan agenda about PML-N. This study explores that importance of political speeches in political events cannot be undermined in Network Agenda Building process. However, these alone are not sufficient to influence media agenda against political parties. Further, it explores that Network Agenda Building is found valid in Pakistan, however, effects depend on nature of issues.

6 Conclusion

It is concluded that Imran Khan’s live speeches played vital role in influencing media agenda about electoral rigging issue. These speeches made elections-2013 suspected and rigged in the agenda of media. However, these speeches could not influence media agenda about PML-N, due to other factors. These factors include political communication of PML-N for encountering PTI propaganda.

Finally, it is argued, although network agenda building was found valid in Pakistan, yet effects are larger for national issue
of electoral rigging, and minimal in case of political party, PML-N rigging. Political speeches are very effective in Pakistani context for influencing media agenda. But, these effects depend on other factors including issue nature. On a national issue like electoral rigging, media agenda can be formed by staging political events and speeches. On the other hand, it is very difficult to influence media agenda against a major political party of country.

7 Limitations of Study

Although this study attempted to test network agenda building effects in Pakistan. But it should be viewed with several limitations. Firstly, due to time limits, less categories were made about network elements. Secondly, due to theoretical boundaries of Network Agenda Setting, this study don’t address the factors of political advertising on media coverage respectively.

For Further Research

Despite the several limitations, present study provides enormous opportunities for media scholars in Pakistan. It expands network agenda setting research in Pakistan. First of all, future researchers should test this model with more categories of objects, attributes and issues. Furthermore, it should be tested with other related concepts like political advertising for examining a comprehensive picture of Network Agenda Building factors.
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