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**Abstract:** Although the price of dye-sensitized solar cells is lower than other solar cells, they still contain some high-cost materials, such as transparent conductive substrates, dyes (ruthenium dyes, organic dyes, etc.), and platinum counter electrodes. To solve this problem, a dye-sensitized solar cell based on hibiscus leaching solution and carbon black–silver electrodes was prepared by screen printing. The prepared low-cost dye-sensitized solar cells were flexible. The open-circuit voltage \(V_{oc}\) of the obtained dye-sensitized solar cell is 0.65 V, the current density \(J_{sc}\) is 90 \(\mu\)A/cm\(^2\), and the fill factor (FF) is 0.241.
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1. Introduction

Recently, silicon-based solar cells, the most efficient type of solar cell, have been widely used and industrially produced. However, the high cost and cumbersome preparation process of pure silicon have severely restricted its practical application for civilian applications. Therefore, it is of great significance to explore new efficient and low-cost solar cells [1–3]. One of the outstanding advantages of dye-sensitized solar cells is their low price [4]. Among the common dye-sensitized cells, the most expensive materials are dyes (ruthenium dyes (N3, N719, etc.) [5,6], organic dyes (carbazole dyes and oligothiophene dyes) [7]), transparent conductive substrates (ITO (indium tin oxide), FTO (SnO\(_2\):F), etc.), and the platinum-plated counter electrode. Recently, increasing attention has been paid to the plant dye hibiscus leach solution and carbon black–silver electrodes, with its advantages of being low-cost [8]. Although there is a gap in the current between the dye-sensitized solar cell and common dye-sensitized solar cells, the cost is only a few tenths of them. The voltage is almost the same, and there are three main reasons for the lower performance: the first is that the dye has not been purified to reduce costs, the second is that the performance of the hibiscus leachate dye itself is relatively poor, and the third is that the addition of a thickener causes the performance of the solar cell to decrease. Screen printing is highly suitable for large-scale production [9–11]. To make the layer printable, a diverse range of flexible electronic devices on a transparent substrate has recently been investigated. Flexibility is now a major trend in the development of printed electronics [12,13], and the substrates used in this article are flexible materials, meaning that the obtained dye-sensitized solar cells are also flexible.

The structure of the dye-sensitized solar cell is shown in Figure 1. The dye-sensitized solar cell consists of a transparent conductive substrate, TiO\(_2\), an electrolyte, dye, and electrodes. Most of the transparent conductive substrates are glass plated with a layer of ITO or FTO. Some people may also use PEDOT: PSS as a transparent conductive material [14–16], but its performance is inferior to ITO or FTO. Since ITO-plated PET film is flexible and more suitable for printing than ITO glass, ITO-plated PET film was selected.
In this paper, two types of TiO$_2$ are used: one is the special titanium dioxide photocatalyst paste Kronoclean 7404, and the other is P25 TiO$_2$ powder. The ideal dye should have a large spectral absorption range and a high molar extinction coefficient and be rich in oxygen-containing active functional groups for better attachment to the semiconductor porous layer. It should also have an energy level that matches the conduction band of the semiconductor to achieve electron injection. Furthermore, it should have good photochemical stability [17–20]. Hibiscus is a common plant, which is often used to soak in water to drink. It also functions as a dye, and its cost is less than 5% compared with expensive dyes. In order to prevent the dye from reacting with water, the electrolyte used in common dye-sensitized solar cells is mostly an organic solvent; that is, the redox couple ($I^-/I_3^-$) is dissolved in an organic solvent such as acetonitrile [21]. Hibiscus is a natural plant, so there is no need to consider the type of solvent.

As is commonly known, the platinum-plated electrode has the best performance, good stability, and a certain catalytic effect [22]. However, the disadvantage is the high cost. As the metal with the best electrical conductivity, the price of silver is only 3% of that of platinum. Therefore, a carbon black–silver counter electrode was used, consisting of a layer of silver oriented on the bottom and then a layer of carbon black printed on the surface, which helps to protect the silver electrode from being corroded by the electrolyte and increases the durability and stability of the counter electrode [23].

The common sealing material used for glass substrates of dye-sensitized solar cells is the expensive sarin film. The adaptability of flexible substrates to glue is much better than that of glass, and the printable hot melt glue could be used as the sealing material. The sealing layer is printed after the other layers are printed. The glue is more uniform via printing than manual coating, and the amount is also easy to control.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

The following materials were used: potassium iodide (KI, >99.5%, Carl Roth Gmbh & Co. KG, Karlsruhe, Germany); sodium chloride (NaCl, >99.5%, Carl Roth Gmbh & Co. KG, Karlsruhe, Germany); iodine (I$_2$, >99.5%, Carl Roth Gmbh & Co. KG, Karlsruhe, Germany); acetonitrile (ACN, AR, Carl Roth Gmbh & Co. KG, Karlsruhe, Germany); carboxymethylcellulose sodium (NaCMC, >99.5%, Carl Roth Gmbh & Co. KG, Karlsruhe, Germany); polyvinyl pyrrolidone (PVP, >99.5%, Carl Roth Gmbh & Co. KG, Karlsruhe, Germany); polyethylene glycol 600 (PEG600, >99.5%, Carl Roth Gmbh & Co. KG, Karlsruhe, Germany); terpineol (AR, Carl Roth Gmbh & Co. KG, Karlsruhe, Germany); ethanol (AR, Carl Roth Gmbh & Co. KG, Karlsruhe, Germany); butanediol (AR, Carl Roth Gmbh & Co. KG, Karlsruhe, Germany);...
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2.2. Preparation Process

2.2.1. Pretreatment of Transparent Conductive Substrate

The substrate cannot be heated with high temperature; titanium dioxide can only be sintered at low temperature, which will inevitably lead to a decrease in performance [24]. The substrate was ultrasonically cleaned with ethanol, then dried with nitrogen, and heated at 125 °C for 30 min. This is because the binder in the TiO₂ paste needs to be heated to 120 °C for consolidation. Preheating the substrate at high temperature can prevent shrinkage of the substrate. The ITO surface of the substrate was then treated with plasma to increase the surface energy, which can increase its adsorption capacity for the paste.

After the various treatments, the square resistance of the substrate was measured, which should be between 30–40 Ω/□; substrates above this range were discarded to control variables.

2.2.2. Preparation of TiO₂ Layer

In order to enhance the water resistance of the Kronoclean 7404 and prevent the titanium dioxide layer from being damaged after the printing of dye and electrolyte, it was necessary to add a binder to the paste. The binder Encor 1230s was selected. Since both the TiO₂ and the binder were liquid, there was no need to add a solvent. The mass fraction of the Encor 1230s was 30 wt.%. The formula of the prepared P25 TiO₂ paste was 2.0 g P25 TiO₂ powder, 8.9 g terpineol, 0.4 g PEG600 and 0.6 g ethyl cellulose.

A small amount of butylene glycol (less than 5 wt.%) was added into the slurry to slow down the drying speed and make the screen easy to clean after printing.

The TiO₂ layer was printed first, and the thickness of the printed layer was about 10–15 µm. After printing, it was heated at 120 °C for 30 min for the bindings of the TiO₂.

2.2.3. Preparation of Dye Layer

In this paper, the dyes were hibiscus leachate with water and hibiscus leachate with ethanol. The dye layer was printed on the top of the TiO₂. This layer then needed to be printed three times after drying. The color was light due to the amount of the dye being insufficient for one time of printing. In fact, the color did not get significantly darker even after three or more times printing.

For the printing of the counter electrode, a PET film with a thickness of 100 µm was used as the substrate. The size of the PET film was the same as that of the transparent conductive substrate. Similarly, since the carbon black layer and the silver layer needed to be heated, the PET film also needed to be preheated. However, the heat resistance of PET film is relatively poor, and so if the temperature is too high, it will cause the carbon black and silver to shrink and deform the electrode. The preheating temperature was therefore chosen as 110 °C. After 30 min preheating and cooling, the silver was printed, and then it was heated at 105 °C for 30 min. The carbon black was printed after cooling and then heated at 100 °C for 30 min. Next, an insulating layer needed to be printed to prevent the counter electrode from contacting the ITO and causing a short circuit. The insulating
layer was printed with UV light curing ink and completed by a UV light curing machine after printing.

2.2.4. Preparation of Electrolyte Layer

The electrolyte layer was prepared by screen printing. After printing a layer of electrolyte on the dye layer, a separator with a non-woven material of the same size as the TiO$_2$ layer was added, and then a second layer of electrolyte was printed on the separator. The purpose of this process was to increase the amount of electrolyte in the dye-sensitized solar cell in order to avoid the problem of poor contact of the photoanode and the counter electrode. This process also serves to mitigate electrolyte volatilization, thereby reducing the degradation of battery performance. Because the electrolyte needed to be kept wet, the thickness of the electrolyte layer could not be increased if the second layer was printed directly. After adding a separation layer, the thickness of the electrolyte remained unchanged during printing after drying. This greatly improved the performance of the solar cells.

2.2.5. Preparation of the Dye-Sensitized Solar Cells

First, the sealing layer was printed on both the transparent conductive substrate and the counter electrode. This was left to dry naturally.

The transparent conductive substrate was then printed with each functional layer and the counter electrode joined together. The sealing part was heated to melt and bond, as shown in Figure 2. The prepared dye-sensitized solar cells were then complete.

![Figure 2. Manufactured dye-sensitized solar cells.](image)

3. Characterizations

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was achieved using a field emission scanning electron microscope (SU-8000, Hitachi Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). SEM images characterized the surface of the material and indirectly reflected the quality (flatness and continuity) of printing, the magnification of which was 8000 and 50,000 times, respectively. The accelerating voltage was 3 kV, and the samples were sputter-coated with gold prior to the test.

The JV curve (one of the most important performance indicators of solar cells) was measured by Electrochemical Workstation (BioLogic BCS-810, BioLogic Sciences Instruments, Seyssinet-Pariset, France).

The square resistance of the transparent conductive substrate and the counter electrode were measured with a four-point resistance meter (FT331, Rooko Instrument Co., Ltd., Ningbo, China).

The conductivity of the electrolyte was measured with a conductivity meter (Kondurometer 702, Knick Elektronische Messgeräte GmbH & Co. KG, Berlin, Germany).

The absorbance and transmittance of ultraviolet and visible light were measured by UV–Vis spectrophotometer (UV1800, Shimadzu Corporation, Kyoto, Japan).
4. Results

Since this paper is the realization of the full printing of a dye-sensitized solar cell, the results and discussion are also analyzed from the structure of each layer.

4.1. TiO$_2$ Layer

The $V_{oc}$ and $J_{sc}$ of the dye-sensitized solar cells with Kronoclean 7404 paste are higher than dye-sensitized solar cells with P25 TiO$_2$ paste. As shown in Figures 3 and 4 (the SEM images of the TiO$_2$ layer) the TiO$_2$ layer prepared by Kronoclean 7404 paste has more holes after low-temperature sintering, suggesting that it could absorb more dyes and perform better. Dyes are the heart of dye-sensitized solar cells, and their quantity and performance directly affect the performance of solar cells. Therefore, the performance of dye-sensitized solar cells with Kronoclean 7404 paste is better.

4.2. Dye Layer

The absorbance and transmittance of the dyes are important parameters to characterize its performance. Since natural dyes do not require organic solvents, water and ethanol are used to extract the hibiscus separately. It can be seen from Figure 5 that when the wavelengths are 0–350 nm and 500–550 nm, the transmittance and absorbance of the leachate with water and the leachate with ethanol are similar, while at other wavelengths, the transmittance of the leachate with ethanol is higher than that of the leachate with water. The absorbance is lower than that of the leachate with water. This proves that the hibiscus leachate with water can absorb more sunlight. It is also confirmed by subsequent experiments (JV curve) that the performance of the leachate with water is better than that of the leachate with ethanol.

It is undeniable that after adding any kind of thickener, the performance of the obtained dye-sensitized solar cell decreases. As per Figure 6, the JV curves of different thickeners in dye layer indicate that the performance of Simulgel with 7 wt.% is the best (the thickener of the electrolyte is 5 wt.% xanthan gum). $V_{oc}$, $J_{sc}$, and FF for Figure 6 are in Table 1.

Figure 3. SEM images of the TiO$_2$ layer prepared by Kronoclean 7404: (a,c) are the surface with a magnification of 50,000 times and 8000 times, respectively; (b,d) are the cross-section with a magnification of 50,000 times and 8000 times, respectively.
Figure 4. SEM images of the TiO$_2$ layer prepared by P25 TiO$_2$ powder: (a,c) are the surface with a magnification of 50,000 times and 8000 times, respectively; (b,d) are the cross-section with a magnification of 50,000 times and 8000 times, respectively.

Figure 5. Ultraviolet-visible light transmittance (a) and absorbance (b) of the leachate with ethanol of dried hibiscus flower and the visible light transmittance (c) and absorbance (d) of the leachate with water.
Figure 5. Ultraviolet-visible light transmittance (a) and absorbance (b) of the leachate with ethanol of dried hibiscus flower and the visible light transmittance (c) and absorbance (d) of the leachate with water.

It is undeniable that after adding any kind of thickener, the performance of the obtained dye-sensitized solar cell decreases. As per Figure 6, the JV curves of different thickeners in dye layer indicate that the performance of Simulgel with 7 wt.% is the best (the thickener of the electrolyte is 5 wt.% xanthan gum). $V_{oc}$, $J_{sc}$, and FF for Figure 6 are in Table 1.

Table 1. $V_{oc}$, $J_{sc}$, and FF for Figure 6.

|   | 1   | 2   | 3   | 4   | 5   | 6   |
|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| $V_{oc}$ | 0.65 V | 0.60 V | 0.50 V | 0.40 V | 0.30 V | 0.35 V |
| $J_{sc}$ | 90 $\mu$A/cm$^2$ | 80 $\mu$A/cm | 50 $\mu$A/cm | 40 $\mu$A/cm | 30 $\mu$A/cm | 22 $\mu$A/cm |
| FF   | 0.241  | 0.239  | 0.256  | 0.262  | 0.413  | 0.344  |

4.3. Electrolyte Layer

As shown in Table 2, the conductivity of the NaCl aqueous solution is the highest in the electrolyte when the mass fraction is the same. Furthermore, the amount of NaCl dissolved in water is much lower than that of KI. The conductivity of the KI aqueous solution is nearly twice that of NaCl aqueous solution. The performance of the prepared dye-sensitized solar cell is very poor due to the poor conductivity of the electrolyte in acetonitrile.

Table 2. Conductivity of the electrolyte in NaCl or KI solution.

| Concentration | NaCl (Water) | KI (Water) | KI (Acetonitrile) |
|---------------|--------------|------------|------------------|
| 5%            | 79           | 51         | /                |
| 10%           | 138          | 99         | /                |
| 15%           | 191.5        | 143        | /                |
| 20%           | 225          | 185        | /                |
| 30%           | /            | 268        | /                |
| 40%           | /            | 314        | /                |
| 50%           | /            | 404        | /                |
| Saturated     | 251          | 482        | 12.6             |

Therefore, saturated KI aqueous solution is used as an electrolyte, and $0.1$ mol/L I$_2$ is added to form a redox couple (I$^-$/I$_3^-$).

The electrolyte also needs a thickener added to make it printable. Due to the poor performance of acetonitrile solvent, the water solvent thickener is considered. Figure 7 shows the performance of different thickeners in the electrolyte layer, where the best of
the different thickeners is 5 wt.% xanthan gum (the thickener of the dye is about 7 wt.% Simulgel). $V_{oc}$, $J_{sc}$, and FF for Figure 7 are in Table 3.

![Figure 7](image)

**Figure 7.** Performance of different thickeners in electrolyte layer (1:6 wt.% xanthan gum with water; 2:5 wt.% Simulgel with water; 3:6 wt.% NaCMC with water; 4:3 wt.% Stellmittel with water; 5:20 wt.% PVP10000 with water; 6:25 wt.% Luvogel with water).

**Table 3.** $V_{oc}$, $J_{sc}$, and FF for Figure 7.

|     | 1    | 2    | 3    | 4    | 5    | 6    |
|-----|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| $V_{oc}$ | 0.65 V | 0.60 V | 0.55 V | 0.45 V | 0.35 V | 0.30 V |
| $J_{sc}$ | 90 $\mu$A/cm$^2$ | 60 $\mu$A/cm | 40 $\mu$A/cm | 30 $\mu$A/cm | 20 $\mu$A/cm | 10 $\mu$A/cm |
| FF    | 0.241 | 0.283 | 0.247 | 0.265 | 0.302 | 0.276 |

### 4.4. Counter Electrode

From the SEM images of the counter electrode (Figure 8), it can be found that the carbon particles and the scaly silver particles of the counter electrode are well connected. Using the four-point resistance meter for measurement, the square resistance was about 10–12 $\Omega/\Box$. Therefore, the carbon black–silver counter electrode prepared by screen printing has good performance.
Figure 8. SEM images of carbon black layer and the silver layer: (a,c) are the surface of carbon black layer with a magnification of 50,000 times and 8000 times, respectively; (b,d) are the surface of silver layer with a magnification of 50,000 times and 8000 times, respectively.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, we report a low-cost, fully printed, and flexible dye-sensitized solar cell. The dye-sensitized solar cell consists of transparent conductive substrate (ITO) plated PET film, a Kronoclean 7404 TiO₂ layer (Kronoclean 7404), the dye (hibiscus leachate with water), the electrolyte (saturated KI aqueous solution with 0.1 mol/L I₂), and the counter electrode (carbon black–silver electrode). The maximum V_{oc} of the dye-sensitized solar cell obtained is 0.65 V, the I_{sc} is 90 µA/cm² and the FF is 0.241. The prepared dye-sensitized solar cells are not only low-cost but also use a straightforward screen-printing process suitable for industrial production. We believe that our methodology encourages the development of a series of dye-sensitized solar cells that can be widely used for future flexible devices.
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