Age-Related Change in Heart Rate Variability at Resting in Thai Professional Athletes
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Abstract

Background: Although regular exercise is beneficially acknowledged in promoting general health status, the cardiovascular risk of sudden cardiac death in highly training athletes has been reported. Unfortunately, the primary key factor in inducing cardiac problems in athletic populations is still questioned.

Objectives: In the present study, we aim to determine factors that could affect the relationship between physical activity level and cardiac autonomic function in the Thai population.

Methods: Forty participants were recruited and classified into three groups based on their physical activity level and sport profession, including sedentary men, elite handball players, and professional futsal players. In all participants, we measured predicted maximal oxygen consumption, time- and frequency-domain heart rate variability (HRV) variables at rest.

Results: Results demonstrated a positive correlation between time-domain HRV and estimated maximal oxygen consumption, but not with frequency-domain HRV, in which athletes aged lower than 25 years old (18 - 25 years old) had a significantly higher in the standard deviation of normal RR intervals (SDNN) and root mean square of the successive differences (RMSSD) than that in age-matched sedentary subjects. Interestingly, athletes aged above 25 years old (25 - 32 years old) had lower SDNN and RMSSD with higher low frequency/high-frequency ratio than those athletes aged under 25. Since all athletes aged above 25 years old were world-class national futsal players, stress due to the competitive level might be another factor influencing cardiac autonomic activity in athletes.

Conclusions: In conclusion, the study suggested the importance of age and stress exposure on cardiac autonomic regulation in elite athletes. The observed correlation also reinforced HRV as a potential marker in detecting abnormal changes in cardiac health even in an athletic population.
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1. Background

Exercise is an essential part of the daily life of people around the world. The physical and mental health benefits of exercise are well established. However, Intensive physical training is known to add significant load to the cardiovascular system, particularly in individuals affected by cardiovascular disease, and may eventually increase the risk for cardiac problems (1). It has been reported in the United States that sudden death in athletes under 35 years of age is predominantly associated with inherited cardiac conditions; for instance, hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM) and anomalous origins of a coronary artery (1). Although cardiovascular disease risks are increased with age (2), recent evidence shows that young adults e.g. elite athletes are also prone to developing such cardiovascular problems (2, 3). A study from Landry et. al. summarized that sudden cardiac arrest during sport participation has accounted for 74 cases between 2009 - 2014 (4). The potential causes of sudden cardiac arrest are due to acute transient triggers, including acute myocardial ischemia, abrupt hemodynamic changes, and a significant increase in sympathetic stimulation which interact with arrhythmogenic substrates causing a ventricular fibrillation and eventually leads to cardiac arrest (5). Unfortunately, many of these diagnoses may not be clinically apparent and may first present with sudden death. Therefore, distinguishing between adaptive and maladaptive cardiovascular re-
response to training is crucial to prevent the unnecessary termination of an athlete’s career and to minimize the risk of cardiac problems.

Multiple cardiac markers have been thoroughly investigated for the purpose of preventing the onset of SCA, including QT interval dispersion, baroreflex sensitivity as well as heart rate variability analysis (HRV) (6), a non-invasive method in evaluating changes in cardiac autonomic modulation. Not only has HRV been extensively used for clinical purposes assessing cardiac abnormalities, but the method has also been applied to monitor sport performance in athletes following a training adaptation as well (7). It has been reported that HRV was increased in athletes as compared to low physical activity persons, especially in endurance athletes (8, 9). Increased HRV particularly suggests the benefit of exercise and sport training on cardiac costs. In addition, monitoring HRV might helpfully specify the cardiac health in athletes.

At rest, heart rate variability could be affected by various physiological factors, in which the effects of age and stress on cardiac autonomic activity are clearly demonstrated (8,10,11). A decrease in HRV starts from middle ages throughout the entire life (11). While the stress during competition could decrease HRV toward more sympathetic activation (10), low HRV has shown to be independently predictive of increased mortality in post-myocardial infarction patients and CHF patients (3). Since exercise and sport training could increase HRV, it is therefore interesting that a proper training program might prevent the reduction due to aging and stress exposure.

Accordingly, in the present study, we aim to investigate the relationship between various physical activity levels and cardiac autonomic activity in elite Thai athletes in order to identify the potential adaptation of the heart. We hypothesized that high physical activity athletes have higher HRV than age-matched low physical activity persons. Persons with higher aerobic fitness might have higher HRV than those with lower aerobic fitness. Age groups between athletes might also affect the HRV quality. In addition, the effect of the competitive level on HRV has also been discussed.

2. Objectives

Intensive sport training programs can lead to either an advantage or maladaptation in cardiovascular performance depending on various factors of each individual athlete. The present study was conducted to evaluate the effect of physical activity level on cardiac autonomic modulation in male elite athletes. Resting heart rate variability was compared between national-youth handball players, world-class national futsal players, and low-activity university students.

3. Methods

3.1. Codes of Ethics

This cross-sectional research was approved by Mahidol University Central Institutional Review Board (MU-CIRB), which is in full compliance with International Guidelines for Human Research Protection such as the Declaration of Helsinki under the COA No. MU-CIRB 2019/173.2910.

3.2. Study Population

Participants were included from three different groups based on their physical activity levels and sport professionals. Low physical activity participants were randomly included from undergraduate and graduate student volunteers. Criteria for sedentary participants with low physical activity (S) were young males who had a physical activity lower than 150 minutes per week (600 METs-minute/week). Sport professional participants were included by purpose selection from the national youth handball team and national futsal team. All participants had reported no history of any cardiovascular, neurological, and metabolic diseases as well as a history of undergoing thoracic or abdominal operations. Participants also have not been under any medical prescription, which may influence the desired physiological system.

3.3. Study Tools

The general information, vital signs, and other study parameters have all been recorded on the study record form. Multiple tools were used to collect the data as followed.

International physical activity questionnaires (IPAQ)-short form Thai version translated by Rattanawiwatpong et al. (12) was used for evaluating the daily physical activity status of the participants based on metabolic equivalent (MET).

Heart rate variability measurement was performed by recording the electrocardiogram (ECG) of standard limb leads using the PowerLab data acquisition system (ADinstruments Ltd) and Labchart Pro analyzing software (ADinstruments Ltd). Both time and frequency domain variables were measured including: Standard deviation of all NN intervals (SDNN) and Root mean square of successive difference of RR intervals (RMSSD) whereas frequency domain includes low-frequency power (LF power), high-frequency power (HF power) and low frequency/high frequency (LF/HF ratio). Time-domain measurement was statistically calculated from the RR intervals and difference of
RR intervals whereas frequency domain analysis was calculated with a non-parametric method (Fast Fourier Transform; FFT) provided by the Labchart Pro analyzing software to obtain power spectral analysis as suggested in the standard of measurement of heart rate variability(15).

Astrand-Rhyming test on a cycle ergometer (Monark ergomedic 874E) was performed to predict maximal oxygen consumption (VO₂max) using Modified Astrand-Rhyming nomogram (14, 15). Heart rate was monitored during the test by ECG. The intensity level was increased every second if the target heart rate zone, between 120 and 170 bpm, was not achieved. The fifth and sixth minutes of exercise were averaged and used in the nomogram for VO₂max prediction.

Bioelectrical impedance analysis (TANITA, MC-780U) was used to measure fat free mass, fat mass, bone density and other relevant body composition parameters via transmitting electrical currents through the body.

3.4. Study Protocol

All participants were contacted and given the IPAQ short form (Thai version) to determine the physical activity level. Relevant information e.g. objective, study procedure, and study equipment was then explained before receiving consent from the participants. Participants were told to follow the guideline and prepare themselves for the appointed date. On the appointed date, body weight, height, fat mass, muscle mass, and total body water were first measured. After 5 minutes rest, baseline blood pressure and heart rate at the left arm were tested in the supine position. In order to avoid bias, the participants were identified by sequential numbering. Individual profiles and IPAQ scores were blinded from investigators during ECG and aerobic capacity measurement. The ECG electrodes were placed at T2 and T5 level, mid-clavicular line of right and left sides of chest respectively; whereas the ground electrode was placed at T2 level, mid-clavicular line of the left side of the chest. Lead II ECG was recorded for another five minutes to determine resting HRV. After ECG measurement, participants were asked to test aerobic capacity with Astrand-Rhyming cycle ergometer protocol following the ACSM’s Guideline for exercise testing and prescription (16). The HRV parameters were analyzed after the aerobic capacity test to elude predisposition.

3.5. Inclusion and Exclusion Criterion

Subjects with a body mass index (BMI) ranging between 18.5 to 24.9 with no history of cardiovascular, kidney, neurological, and metabolic diseases were recruited. Any volunteers with the history of abdominal and thoracic operations, or any medications related to cardiovascular, neurological and muscle functions were excluded. In the sedentary group, participants with low physical activity or insufficiently active profile (less than 600 total METs-minute/week) were included. Athletes were considered as physically active (more than 3000 total METs-minute/week). Data from participants who had reported any signs of abnormality involving the cardiorespiratory, muscular or neurologic systems during measurement, or who were unable to comply with the study protocol were excluded from the analysis.

3.6. Statistical Analysis

The results were represented as mean ± standard deviation (SD). The population distribution was tested by D’Agostino and Pearson omnibus normality test to determine the statistical parametric. All samples were assumed for unequal variances. Brown-Forsythe and Welch ANOVA tests were used to analyze the variables among the three groups. Multiple comparisons were statistically calculated using Holm-Sidak’s multiple comparisons test. Independent t-test with Welch’s correction for normal distribution and Mann-Whitney U test for non-normal distribution data were used to analyze the variables between the two groups. Notably, it has been proposed that overall cardiovascular health has its peak around the age of 25 years before it gradually declines with advancing age (16). For the statistical analysis, the statistical software SPSS version 18.0 for Windows was used. The P values of 0.05 or less were considered as statistically significant.

4. Results

All participants were able to follow the study protocol completely. However, the data of one participant from the low physical activity group was excluded from analysis due to the uninterpretable results. General characteristics of all studied groups are reported in Table 1. All athletes showed a significantly higher degree of estimated VO₂max than low physical activity persons. Maximum aerobic capacity was not different between athletes aging below 25 and above 25 years old. Body weight and BMI in both the age groups of athletes were higher than the low activity group. In regards to body composition, muscle mass was significantly higher in athletes when compared with the low activity population. No significant difference in percent body fat among experimental subjects was observed. Additionally, the IPAQ score demonstrated similar daily training activity between the two athlete groups.

The effect of physical activity on cardiac autonomic activity was evaluated by measuring heart rate and heart rate variability indices of time- and frequency-domain analysis (Table 2). As compared by age matching (Figure 1), athletes
Table 1. General Characteristics and Physical Activity Level of All Studied Groups

|                      | Sedentary, Mean ± SD | Athlete Under 25, Mean ± SD | Athlete Over 25, Mean ± SD | P Value | DF |
|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------|----|
| Age (year)           | 22.4 ± 1.9           | 21.4 ± 1.8                  | 28.4 ± 1.6<sup>a</sup>    | < 0.0001| 32.8|
| IPAQ score           | 371 ± 220            | 5200 ± 282<sup>b</sup>     | 5704 ± 1489<sup>b</sup>   | < 0.0001| 25.7|
| Weight (kg)          | 60.2 ± 7.4           | 70.4 ± 9.9<sup>a</sup>     | 68.8 ± 8.9                | 0.0102  | 32.9|
| Height (cm)          | 171 ± 5              | 171 ± 7                     | 171 ± 4                   | 0.4649  | 36.4|
| BMI                  | 20.5 ± 1.9           | 23.4 ± 2.5<sup>a</sup>     | 23.4 ± 2.5<sup>a</sup>    | 0.0555  | 30.4|
| Waist circumference (inches) | 30.8 ± 2.0         | 31.2 ± 2.4                  | 31.4 ± 3.0                | 0.8675  | 25.1|
| Abdominal fat thickness (mm) | | | | |
| Right                | 17.4 ± 6.0           | 12.8 ± 5.5                  | 12.7 ± 11.1               | 0.2690  | 17.6|
| Left                 | 17.0 ± 6.4           | 13.0 ± 5.3                  | 12.9 ± 11.3               | 0.3941  | 17.7|
| Fat mass             | 17.4 ± 5.0           | 14.5 ± 6.9                  | 15.9 ± 4.7                | 0.3627  | 36.9|
| kg                   | 10.72 ± 4.22         | 9.54 ± 4.74                 | 11.18 ± 4.37              | 0.6032  | 33.4|
| Abdominal fat (%)    | 18.5 ± 6.5           | 13.4 ± 6.0                  | 16.5 ± 5.7                | 0.0856  | 32.3|
| Muscle mass          | 78.3 ± 4.8           | 83.6 ± 5.4<sup>a</sup>     | 84.0 ± 4.7<sup>a</sup>    | 0.0095  | 34.4|
| kg                   | 46.9 ± 4.3           | 58.6 ± 6.3<sup>a</sup>     | 57.6 ± 6.4<sup>a</sup>    | < 0.0001| 28.9|
| Abdominal muscle (kg)| 24.2 ± 2.2           | 27.8 ± 3.9<sup>b</sup>     | 24.9 ± 2.7                | 0.0037  | 35.2|
| Total body water (%) | 541 ± 5.2            | 58.5 ± 8.7                  | 58.9 ± 7.1                | 0.1982  | 33.0|
| Estimated VO<sub>2</sub>max (mL/kg/min) | 44.0 ± 5.6         | 57.8 ± 9.9<sup>b</sup>     | 48.4 ± 18.4<sup>b</sup>   | 0.0002  | 31.3|

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; DF, degree of freedom; IPAQ, international physical activity questionnaire; VO<sub>2</sub>max, maximal oxygen consumption

<sup>a</sup>P < 0.05 compared to sedentary
<sup>b</sup>P < 0.05 compared to Athlete under 25 using Brown-Forsythe and Welch ANOVA test

Aged below 25 years old showed significantly higher average RR interval, RMSSD, and SDNN than low physical activity persons (sedentary), without any significant difference in the frequency domain (LF & HF). Interestingly, SDNN and RMSSD of athletes aged above 25 years were significantly lower than that of athletes aged below 25 and became similar to low activity persons. Additionally, the resting LF/HF ratio in athletes aged over 25 years was increased when compared to both sedentary and athletes aged below 25 years.

By comparing two groups of athletes, results demonstrated that handball players had a significantly higher RMSSD than futsal players (Figure 2B). While SDNN showed no difference between two athlete groups (Figure 2A), LF/HF was significantly increased in futsal players (Figure 2C). Data suggested that specific sports or stress due to competitive levels potentially affect time- and frequency-domain of HRV. In order to clarify the major factors that influence HRV quality in athletes, the HRV parameters of futsal players aged under 25 years (n = 5) were compared with that of futsal players aged above 25 years (n = 10). Results showed that RMSSD of futsal players aged above 25 years became lower than the RMSSD of futsal players aged under 25 years (Figure 3B). However, there was no significant difference in SDNN and LF/HF between these two age groups of futsal teams (Figure 3A and C).

Furthermore, the relationship between cardiorespiratory fitness and heart rate variability at rest was determined from all participants together (Figure 4). The relationships between estimated oxygen consumption level and time-domain HRV, either SDNN or RMSSD, showed a moderate positive correlation (r = 0.53 and 0.43, respectively). On the other hand, the relationship between the fitness level and LF/HF was very low (r = 0.046). When the data of age over 25 years old were excluded, the correlations between the aerobic fitness and either SDNN or RMSSD were proportionally decreased (r = 0.41 and 0.26, respectively). These results implied that age has no significant impact on cardiac autonomic activation even in well-trained athletes.
HRV is a non-invasive measurement tool that has been widely used in clinical settings and sport-related fields. Each HRV variable elicits a distinct function, for example, high SDNN and RMSSD, and time-domain measurement, indicate higher parasympathetic regulation (17, 18) representing less cardiac work. In regards to the frequency-domain, high frequency reflects parasympathetic activity, whereas low frequency is shown to be associated with sympathetic activity (17). It has been mostly believed that regular exercise training helps increasing parasympathetic modulation (or lower sympathetic activity) at rest (19).

Although regular exercise has been recommended for improving cardiovascular performance, many individual factors need to be considered. In the present study, we primarily hypothesized that intensive exercise and sports training may not deliver a healthy benefit to the heart. Results support this idea by which age and/or competitive stress become important factors. Similar to our findings, Melo et al. (20) studied the HRV in the active, non-athlete population. They demonstrated a significantly higher time-domain HRV e.g. RMSSD in active young men compared to those in age-matched sedentary men. Likewise, they also reported that active elderly people had a higher LF/HF ratio (low HRV) than active young men. Correspondingly, our results added more knowledge that it is not only the elderly effect. Age-dependent alteration in HRV is also observed in elite athletes from young adults to middle ages. Besides age dependence, mode of exercise training might also influence cardiac autonomic adaptation. It has been demonstrated that cardiac autonomic nervous response was dependant on the amount of volume-load in marathon runners (21). On the other hand, the response to resistance training was substantially con-

Table 2. Resting Heart Rate, Blood Pressure and Heart Rate Variability Indices of Time- and Frequency-Domain Analysis of all Studied Groups

|                          | Sedentary, Mean ± SD | Athlete Under 25, Mean ± SD | Athlete Over 25, Mean ± SD | P Value | DF  |
|--------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|---------|-----|
| Resting SBP (mmHg)       | 123 ± 6              | 122 ± 7                     | 124 ± 5                  | 0.7291  | 36.4|
| Resting DBP (mmHg)       | 71.6 ± 5.6           | 66.2 ± 6.4                  | 68.6 ± 4.3               | 0.0329  | 35.9|
| Average RR (ms)          | 881 ± 103            | 1017 ± 118                  | 951 ± 157                | 0.0314  | 24.2|
| SDNN (ms)                | 51.2 ± 13.5          | 90.5 ± 24.6<sup>a</sup>     | 58.7 ± 21.5<sup>b</sup>  | < 0.0001 | 30.0|
| Average rate (bpm)       | 69.2 ± 7.5           | 60.2 ± 6.4<sup>a</sup>      | 65.1 ± 11.8              | 0.0478  | 20.0|
| RMSSD (ms)               | 40.0 ± 12.9          | 80.2 ± 26.8<sup>a</sup>     | 38.13 ± 19.1<sup>b</sup> | < 0.0001 | 32.9|
| Total frequency (ms<sup>2</sup>) | 2524 ± 1402         | 8171 ± 433<sup>a</sup>     | 3787 ± 339<sup>b</sup>   | 0.0001  | 27.5|
| LF (ms<sup>2</sup>)      | 742 ± 500            | 1855 ± 1136<sup>a</sup>     | 1130 ± 112<sup>b</sup>   | 0.0137  | 25.6|
| HF (ms<sup>2</sup>)      | 745 ± 533            | 3050 ± 2317<sup>a</sup>    | 727 ± 760<sup>b</sup>    | < 0.0001 | 23.9|
| LF (%)                   | 29.8 ± 11.4          | 23.0 ± 11.2                 | 25.6 ± 11.7              | 0.3023  | 31.2|
| HF (%)                   | 34.5 ± 18.9          | 31.6 ± 11.5                 | 17.2 ± 8.1<sup>a</sup>   | 0.0155  | 22.2|
| LF/HF (%)                | 0.86 ± 0.78          | 0.82 ± 0.54                 | 1.41 ± 0.63<sup>a</sup>  | 0.1041  | 23.0|
| LFnu (%)                 | 48.7 ± 22.9          | 39.9 ± 15.5                 | 57.1 ± 17.4<sup>a</sup>  | 0.0612  | 26.5|
| HFnu (%)                 | 51.1 ± 21.5          | 57.2 ± 14.0                 | 40.8 ± 11.6<sup>b</sup>  | 0.0551  | 25.1|

Abbreviations: DBP, diastolic blood pressure; DF, degree of freedom; SBP, systolic blood pressure; HF, high frequency power; HFnu, high frequency normalized unit; LF, low frequency power; LF/HF ratio, low frequency/high frequency; LFnu, low frequency normalized unit; RMSSD, root mean square of successive difference of RR intervals; RR, beat-to-beat interval; SDNN, standard deviation of all NN intervals

<sup>a</sup>P < 0.05 compared to sedentary  
<sup>b</sup>P < 0.05 compared to Athlete under 25 using Brown-Forsythe and Welch ANOVA test
Figure 1. Time- and frequency-domain heart rate variability of sedentary (n = 12), athlete under 25 (n = 18) and over 25 years old (n = 10). (A) The standard deviation of NN intervals (SDNN), (B) root mean square of the successive differences (RMSSD) and (C) low frequency/high frequency (LF/HF ratio). *Significantly different (P < 0.05) between two groups using Brown-Forsythe and Welch ANOVA test.

Therefore, excessive volume-load of aerobic training induced a higher sympathetic activation, while high training load in resistance training activated a progressive parasympathetic predominance. Unfortunately, both studies were performed within the same age range. It is therefore interesting to see whether age difference could affect cardiac autonomic adaptation in different modes of exercise. Nevertheless, since handball and futsal players are trained for aerobic and resistance together in a program, the response should not be similar to a marathon runner or weightlifter.

Most athletes were typically exposed to physiological and psychological stress especially during pre-competitive and competitive phases (23). The great amount of stress could remarkably affect athletes’ performance as well as the cardiac health status. Studies have reported a high LF/HF ratio when faced with stressful situations such as the pre-training period describing the greater sympathetic modulation (10). From our results, handball players had a lower HRV than futsal players, whereas a higher LF/HF ratio was found in futsal players. At the time of study, the Thailand national futsal team was in the top-twenty world rankings. An elevated psychological stress was then expected. Interestingly, when deeply focusing on futsal players, the athletes with an age under 25 years demonstrated higher SDNN and RMSSD than that of athletes above 25 years. However, it is well-accepted that younger athletes mostly have higher levels of competitive anxiety than older athletes.
Thus, it could not conclude that competitive stress is a main factor in decreasing HRV in futsal athletes. Besides psychological stress, physiological stress of overtraining and injury might affect HRV adaptation. Mourot et. al. have demonstrated the decreased HRV in athletes suffering from overtraining syndrome when compared with normally trained participants (25). Injury and overreaching in older athletes might then be another mechanism of high sympathetic activity. This finding raises the concern towards sufficient training programs and well-managed emotional status among athletes in order to avoid overtraining syndrome. Unfortunately, we did not evaluate the psychological stress and overreaching state of participants in the present study. We assumed that the stress level of all futsal players in the team should not be much different.

Besides the cardiac autonomic activation, heart rate variability might be a predictor of aerobic capability. Many previous studies have proposed the correlation between HRV and cardiorespiratory fitness (26, 27). Phoem-sapthawee et. al. showed the positive correlation of HRV and peak oxygen consumption in sedentary and exercise-trained young obese participants (26). Similarly, Leite et. al. also concluded that HRV is a predictive measurement tool in estimating peak oxygen uptake in COPD patients (27). Our present finding in athletes also supports the positive correlation between time-domain HRV and maximal oxygen consumption. From this evidence, it is undoubted that HRV could be a reliable predictive tool for monitoring the aerobic fitness profile in athletes. Numerous studies have also reported the effectiveness of HRV in monitoring training adaptation, maladaptation as well as the biofeedback during training sessions (7, 28). The primary aim is to determine optimal training load, which subsequently leads to performance improvement. Moreover, reduction in HRV

Figure 2. Time- and frequency-domain heart rate variability of handball players (n = 13) and futsal players (n = 15). (A) The standard deviation of NN intervals (SDNN), (B) root mean square of the successive differences (RMSSD) and (C) low frequency/high frequency (LF/HF ratio). *Significantly different (P < 0.05) between two groups using the Student unpaired t-test.
5.1. Conclusion

From the results, it can be concluded that physical activity and age following training are important factors influencing heart rate variability and cardiac autonomic regulation. The involvement of competitive stress in cardiac autonomic regulation was also proposed. Although an exercise training program is beneficial, a sufficient exercise program should be cautiously executed in order to avoid maladaptive cardiac functions which could consequently result in athletes’ career termination or cardiac problems. In addition, as the correlation between HRV and maximal oxygen consumption is demonstrated, HRV may be a potential marker in detecting abnormal changes of cardiac health in the general population and professional athletes.
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