Trends in hospitalizations and emergency department visits among women with hyperglycemia in pregnancy between 2008 and 2017 in Taiwan
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Introduction: We investigated health service utilization, including hospitalizations and emergency department visits, for women with hyperglycemia in pregnancy between 2008 and 2017 in Taiwan.

Methods: Data from the Health and Welfare Data Science Center were used to conduct this nationwide population-based study. We identified pregnant women and the date of childbirth according to Birth Certificate Applications from 2007 to 2018. The study population was divided into four groups: known DM, newly diagnosed DM, GDM, and no DM/GDM. To assess quality of healthcare during the gestation period, trends in 30-day readmission rate, number of emergency department visits/hospitalizations per 100 childbirths, and length of hospital stay from 2008 to 2017 were examined.
Results: A total of 1830511 childbirths and 990569 hospitalizations were identified for analyses. Between 2008 and 2017, women with hyperglycemia in pregnancy (known DM, newly diagnosed DM, and GDM) had a higher rate of hospitalization, a longer length of hospital stay, and higher rates of various maternal and fetal outcomes, compared with women with no DM/GDM. Nevertheless, the differences between women with GDM and those with no DM/GDM in the aforementioned outcome measures were modest. Women with GDM had a modest decrease in the 30-day readmission rate (p for trend 0.046) with no significant difference in the number of emergency department visits during the study period.

Discussion: Our findings provide evidence of the quality of healthcare for women with GDM between 2008 and 2017 in Taiwan.
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Introduction

Diabetes mellitus (DM) has been associated with adverse pregnancy outcomes (1, 2). Even in women with no history of DM, hyperglycemia may be noted during the gestation period, especially in those with risk factors (3). The diagnosis of gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) is usually confirmed using an oral glucose tolerance test between 24 weeks and 28 weeks of gestation (3, 4). Similar to pregnant women with preexisting DM, women with GDM have a higher risk of adverse maternal and neonatal outcomes (5–7). Furthermore, glycemic control for GDM may improve outcomes (8, 9). Hence, screening for GDM in women at risk is recommended (3, 10).

Given the various screening tests used in clinical practice (4, 11–14), the prevalence of GDM varies widely in previous studies (15, 16). According to a recent report (17) from the International Diabetes Federation, around 21.1 million (16.7%) of live births in 2021 were to women who had hyperglycemia during the pregnancy. Among these, most (80.3%) were due to GDM. Moreover, more than half of the affected live births were in the South-East Asia and Western Pacific regions (17). In a recent study (18), the prevalence of GDM in Taiwan has increased from 7.6% in 2004 to 13.4% in 2015. Therefore, improving healthcare for women with GDM has emerged as an important issue (19).

Despite the rapid increase in the prevalence of GDM, data on healthcare resource utilization by women with GDM are scarce. Most of the healthcare costs for women with diabetes during pregnancy were attributed to hospital inpatient care, according to a recent study (20). In this study, we aimed to investigate health service use, including hospitalizations and emergency department visits, for women with hyperglycemia during pregnancy in Taiwan.

Materials and methods

Study ethics and database

Data from the Health and Welfare Data Science Center were used to conduct this population-based study. We identified pregnant women and the date of childbirth according to Birth Certificate Applications from 2007 to 2018. Relevant data (including diagnosis, treatment, outpatient clinic visits, emergency department visits, and hospitalizations) were retrieved by linking to the Registry for Beneficiaries, Ambulatory Care Expenditures by Visits, and Inpatient Expenditures by Admissions. This study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the National Health Research Institutes (EC1110505-E), and the requirement for patient consent was waived as the retrospective data were de-identified prior to analyses.

Diagnostic categories of hyperglycemia in pregnancy

The date of childbirth was defined as the end of the gestation period. The study population was divided into four groups according to the diagnosis of diabetes at an outpatient clinic visit or in a hospitalization:
1. Known DM: a diagnosis of DM (ICD-9-CM Codes 250 or ICD-10-CM Codes E08-E13) was noted within one year prior to the start of the gestation period.

2. Newly diagnosed DM: a diagnosis of DM (ICD-9-CM Codes 250 or ICD-10-CM Codes E08-E13, O24.0, O24.1, O24.3, O24.8) was noted between the start and the 24th week of the gestation period.

3. GDM: a diagnosis of DM (ICD-9-CM Codes 250, 648.00-648.04, or ICD-10-CM Codes E08-E13, O24.4, O24.9, O99.810, O99.814, O99.815) was noted between the 24th week and the end of the gestation period.

4. No DM/GDM: no DM (any of the above diagnosis codes) was noted between one year prior to the start of the gestation period and the date of childbirth.

The Diabetes Association of the Republic of China (DAROC) (http://www.endo-dm.org.tw/dia/) recommends universal screening for all pregnant women with no history of DM. Screening for newly diagnosed DM is suggested using fasting plasma glucose (≥ 126 mg/dl) or glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) (≥ 6.5%) before gestational week 24. Screening for GDM is suggested using either one-step or two-step approach at gestational week 24-28. For one-step approach, a 75-g oral glucose tolerance test is administered and plasma glucose is measured at three time points (fasting, 1 hour, and 2 hour). The thresholds of plasma glucose for each time point are 92, 180, and 153 mg/dl, respectively (21). GDM is diagnosed if any one of the plasma glucose is higher than the threshold. For two-step approach, a 50-g glucose challenge test is conducted. Plasma glucose is measured at 1 hour, and the threshold is 130 (90% sensitivity) or 140 (80% sensitivity) mg/dl. A 100-g oral glucose tolerance test is conducted for women who have a positive 50-g glucose challenge test. Plasma glucose is measured at four time points (fasting, 1 hour, 2 hour, and 3 hour). The thresholds of plasma glucose for each time point are 95, 180, 155, and 140 mg/dl, respectively (22). GDM is diagnosed if any two of the plasma glucose is higher than the threshold. Medical care for women with hyperglycemia in pregnancy is usually provided by an obstetrician and an endocrinologist. The therapeutic targets for these women are HbA1c < 6.0-7.0% (if this can be achieved without significant hypoglycemia), fasting glucose ≤ 95 mg/dl, 1-hour postprandial glucose ≤ 140 mg/dl, and 2-hour postprandial glucose ≤ 120 mg/dl (23).

Outcomes of interest

Health service utilization, including hospitalizations and emergency department visits, within the gestation period was analyzed. We defined the first discharge diagnosis code as the primary diagnosis, and the causes of hospitalization were grouped as obstetric-related (ICD-9-CM Codes 630-639, 640-646, 651-676, 760-779, or ICD-10-CM Codes O00-O99, P00-P96), diabetes-related (ICD-9-CM Codes 250.1-250.3, 250.8, 251.0-251.2, or ICD-10-CM Codes E08.0, E09.0, E10.1, E10.62-E10.65, E10.69, E11.0, E11.6, E13.0, E13.11, E08.641, E09.641, E10.610, E10.618, E13.641), and others. Causes of emergency department visits were also grouped as obstetric-related (ICD-9-CM Codes 630-639, 640-647, 650-669, or ICD-10-CM Codes N96, O00-O04, O07-O09, O10-O16, O20, O21, O23, O26, O30-O36, O40-O48, O60-O66, O69, O70-O76, O80, O89, O98, O99.21, Z23), diabetes-related (ICD-9-CM Codes 250 or ICD-10-CM Codes E08, E11, E13), and others. Among diabetes-related causes, hyperosmolar hyperglycemic state (HHS)/diabetic ketoacidosis (DKA) (ICD-9-CM Codes 250.10, 250.12, 250.20, 250.22 or ICD-10-CM Codes E11.00, E11.01, E11.65, E11.69) and hypoglycemia (ICD-9-CM Codes 250.30, 250.32, 251.0, 251.1, 251.2 or ICD-10-CM Codes E16.0-E16.2, E11.641) were identified and reported.

To assess quality of healthcare during the gestation period, we examined the 30-day readmission rate, number of emergency department visits/hospitalizations per 100 childbirths, and length of hospital stay. The 30-day readmission rate (%) was calculated as the number of 30-day readmissions*100 divided by the number of hospitalizations. The number of emergency department visits (or hospitalizations) per 100 childbirths was calculated as the number of emergency department visits (or hospitalizations)*100 divided by the number of childbirths. Maternal (pregnancy induced hypertension, pre-eclampsia, and prolonged labor) and fetal (preterm birth [before 37 weeks of pregnancy], birth weight <10th percentile, birth weight >90th percentile, and hypoglycemia) outcomes were determined and compared among the four groups.

Statistical analysis

Data manipulation and statistical analyses were performed using SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). Data were examined according to the diagnostic categories (known DM, newly diagnosed DM, GDM, and no DM/GDM) and maternal age (<30 years, 30-<35 years, and ≥ 35 years). Statistical differences in the number of hospitalizations per 100 childbirths, length of hospital stay, and causes of hospitalizations across the diagnostic categories were examined using one-way ANOVA. Trends of 30-day readmission rate and number of emergency department visits per 100 childbirths from 2008 to 2017 were examined for statistical significance (p for trend). A p value of less than 0.05 was considered statistical significance.

Results

A total of 1830511 childbirths and 990569 hospitalizations were identified for analyses. Table 1 shows the number of
Table 1: Number of childbirths, hospitalizations, and length of hospital stay during gestation period from 2008 to 2017.

| Year | Number of Childbirths | Maternal age <30 years | Maternal age 30 to <35 years | Maternal age ≥35 years | Number of Hospitalizations | Maternal age <30 years | Maternal age 30 to <35 years | Maternal age ≥35 years | Length of Hospital Stay, Days | Maternal age <30 years | Maternal age 30 to <35 years | Maternal age ≥35 years |
|------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| 2008 | 179881                | 47.07%                 | 37.15%                      | 15.78%                 | 96108                    | 46.0%                  | 37.6%                       | 16.4%                  | 4.69                     | 4.61                   | 5.56                   | 5.78                   |
| 2009 | 175302                | 43.44%                 | 40.37%                      | 16.92%                 | 94302                    | 42.6%                  | 39.8%                       | 17.6%                  | 4.77                     | 4.65                   | 6.85                   | 6.76                   |
| 2010 | 151306                | 39.51%                 | 42.05%                      | 20.12%                 | 84084                    | 38.8%                  | 40.3%                       | 20.9%                  | 4.91                     | 4.92                   | 6.67                   | 6.67                   |
| 2011 | 179607                | 36.78%                 | 43.19%                      | 21.17%                 | 98293                    | 35.9%                  | 41.2%                       | 22.0%                  | 4.92                     | 4.82                   | 6.21                   | 6.21                   |
| 2012 | 211768                | 34.90%                 | 41.86%                      | 21.91%                 | 113872                   | 34.1%                  | 42.3%                       | 22.7%                  | 4.89                     | 4.81                   | 6.09                   | 6.09                   |
| 2013 | 176768                | 32.71%                 | 42.17%                      | 25.43%                 | 96034                    | 32.0%                  | 41.6%                       | 26.4%                  | 4.90                     | 4.84                   | 6.70                   | 6.70                   |
| 2014 | 192206                | 31.45%                 | 40.96%                      | 26.38%                 | 103869                   | 30.7%                  | 42.2%                       | 27.1%                  | 4.87                     | 4.83                   | 6.89                   | 6.89                   |
| 2015 | 195612                | 30.90%                 | 38.68%                      | 28.15%                 | 106543                   | 30.4%                  | 40.6%                       | 29.0%                  | 4.18                     | 4.14                   | 4.97                   | 4.97                   |
| 2016 | 189580                | 30.89%                 | 36.04%                      | 30.42%                 | 99754                    | 30.4%                  | 38.2%                       | 31.4%                  | 4.18                     | 4.14                   | 4.97                   | 4.97                   |
| 2017 | 178473                | 30.97%                 | 36.13%                      | 32.13%                 | 97710                    | 30.4%                  | 36.1%                       | 33.2%                  | 4.18                     | 4.14                   | 4.97                   | 4.97                   |

Data are presented as number or %. DM, diabetes mellitus; GDM, gestational diabetes mellitus.

Table 2: Number of hospitalizations, length of hospital stay, and causes of hospitalization during the gestation period, 2008-2017.

| Category | Known DM | Newly diagnosed DM | GDM | No DM/GDM |
|----------|----------|-------------------|-----|-----------|
| Maternal age, years | 32.97 ± 4.95* | 32.81 ± 5.04* | 32.29 ± 4.69* | 32.79 ± 4.89 |
| Number of hospitalizations per 100 childbirths | 67.16* | 75.90* | 56.74* | 53.49 |
| Maternal age <30 years | 61.57* | 64.22 | 52.14 | 48.98 |
| Maternal age 30 to <35 years | 59.70* | 65.12* | 51.78* | 49.43 |
| Maternal age ≥35 years | 61.08* | 66.41* | 52.14* | 48.98 |
| Length of hospital stay, days | 4.69 ± 8.92* | 5.89 ± 7.30* | 5.89 ± 7.88* | 5.89 ± 7.88* |
| Maternal age <30 years | 6.47 ± 4.99 | 5.99 ± 4.99 | 6.49 ± 4.99 | 6.49 ± 4.99 |
| Maternal age 30 to <35 years | 6.35 ± 8.59 | 5.89 ± 7.88* | 6.49 ± 10.12* | 6.49 ± 10.12* |
| Maternal age ≥35 years | 7.00 ± 10.28* | 6.49 ± 10.12* | 7.00 ± 10.28* | 7.00 ± 10.28* |
| Causes of hospitalization, % | Obstetric related | 71.90% | 71.76% | 69.81% | 67.55% |
| Diabetes related | 0.66% | 0.52% | 0.01% | — |
| Renal disease | 0.54% | 0.64% | 0.19%* | 0.22% |
| Respiratory disease | 0.32%* | 0.67% | 0.18%* | 0.20% |
| Pneumonia | 0.28% | 0.26%* | 0.06%* | 0.04% |

Data are presented as mean ± SD, number, or %. DM, diabetes mellitus; GDM, gestational diabetes mellitus. *p < 0.05 vs. No DM/GDM.
newly diagnosed DM (75.90), and GDM (56.74), compared with no DM/GDM (53.49). The findings were similar across the maternal age subgroups. The length of hospital stay was longer in women with known DM (6.47 ± 8.92), newly diagnosed DM (6.03 ± 8.66), and GDM (4.84 ± 5.42), compared with no DM/GDM (4.71 ± 6.32). The main causes of hospitalizations were obstetric-related (~70%), while few hospitalizations were diabetes-related (<1%).

Figure 1 shows the 30-day readmission rates according to the diagnostic categories. Women with known DM and newly diagnosed DM had a higher 30-day readmission rate than those with GDM and no DM/GDM. The trends were similar and the changes were modest during the study period (there was a modest decrease in women with GDM, p for trend 0.046).

Figure 2 shows the number of emergency department visits per 100 childbirths according to the diagnostic categories. Women with known DM and newly diagnosed DM were more likely to have an emergency department visit than those with GDM and no DM/GDM. The number significantly increased from 2008 to 2017 in women with known DM and no DM/GDM (both p for trend <0.001), but not in those with newly diagnosed DM and GDM.

Table 3 shows the most frequent causes of emergency department visits according to the diagnostic categories. Causes other than obstetric-related and diabetes-related constituted 60-65% of the emergency department visits. Among these, the most frequent cause was unspecified abdominal pain. Around 35% were obstetric-related, among which the most frequent cause was hemorrhage in early pregnancy, followed by early or threatened labor. Among the diabetes-related emergency department visits, the rate of hypoglycemia was higher than that of acute hyperglycemia (HHS or DKA).

Maternal and fetal outcomes among the four groups are shown in Table 4. There were significant differences between women with hyperglycemia in pregnancy (known DM, newly diagnosed DM, and GDM) and those with no DM/GDM in these outcomes. Nevertheless, the differences between women with GDM and those with no DM/GDM were modest. For example, the rates of preterm birth (before 37 weeks of pregnancy) were 23.18%, 20.01%, 9.92%, and 9.76%, respectively.

**Discussion**

In this study, we examined health service utilization in women with various glucose regulation states (known DM, newly diagnosed DM, GDM, and no DM/GDM) during the gestation period from 2008 to 2017. We found that the rate of maternal age ≥ 35 years progressively increased during the study period (from 15.78% in 2008 to 32.13% in 2017, Table 1). Women with hyperglycemia in pregnancy (known DM, newly diagnosed DM, and GDM) had a higher rate of hospitalization, a longer length of hospital stay, and higher rates of various maternal and fetal outcomes, compared with women with no DM/GDM (Tables 2, 4). Nevertheless, the differences between women with GDM and those with no DM/GDM in the aforementioned outcome measures were modest. Furthermore, women with GDM had a modest decrease in the 30-day readmission rate (p for trend 0.046, Figure 1), with no significant difference in the number of emergency department visits (Figure 2) between 2008 and 2017. Our findings provide evidence of the quality of healthcare for women with GDM in Taiwan.

Preexisting DM and GDM in women have been associated with adverse maternal and neonatal outcomes (1, 24–26). However, there are few data on health service utilization with respect to hospitalizations and emergency department visits in women with known DM and GDM during the gestation period. In a recent report (20), women with diabetes had a higher...
healthcare expenditure during pregnancy than those without diabetes, and most of the expenditure was attributed to hospital inpatient cost. Our findings showing that women with known DM and newly diagnosed DM had a higher number of hospitalizations and a longer length of hospital stay than women with no DM/GDM during the gestation period (Table 2) are in line with the aforementioned results (20). The differences were modest (number of hospitalizations per 100 childbirths 56.74 vs. 53.49, length of hospital stay 4.84 ± 5.42 vs. 4.72 ± 6.32) between women with GDM and those with no DM/GDM. Similar findings were noted regarding the maternal and fetal outcomes among the four groups (Table 4). Since the prevalence of GDM significantly increased from 2004 (7.6%) to 2015 (13.4%) in Taiwan (18), our findings provide an important reference for healthcare quality in women with GDM.

It is interesting to note that the number of emergency department visits per 100 childbirths increased from 2008 to 2017 (p for trend <0.001 for known DM and no DM/GDM), while there was no significant increase in 30-day readmission rates (a modest decrease in GDM group, p for trend 0.046) during the same period. The increase in emergency department utilization in pregnant women merits further investigation. We found that less than 40% of the emergency department visits were due to obstetric or diabetes-related causes (Table 3). In contrast, most of the hospitalizations (~70%) during the same period were due to obstetric-related causes (Table 2). It seems

TABLE 3 Most frequent causes for emergency department visits during gestation period, 2008-2017.

|                     | Known DM | Newly diagnosed DM | GDM   | No DM/GDM |
|---------------------|----------|--------------------|-------|-----------|
| Obstetric related, %| 33.67    | 35.23              | 39.16 | 33.89     |
| Diabetes related, % | 5.16     | 1.29               | 0.14  | —         |
| Others, %           | 61.17    | 63.48              | 60.70 | 66.10     |
| Obstetric related, %|          |                    |       |           |
| Hemorrhage in early pregnancy | 37.03    | 35.07              | 35.07 | 32.62     |
| Early or threatened labor | 28.29    | 26.98              | 26.98 | 28.85     |
| False labor         | 2.41     | 1.44               | 1.44  | 2.10      |
| Other complications of pregnancy | 4.08    | 1.35               | 1.35  | 4.41      |
| Diabetes related, % |          |                    |       |           |
| HHS or DKA          | 4.37     | 3.03               | 2.04  | —         |
| Hypoglycemia        | 23.91    | 3.03               | 6.80  | —         |
| Others              | 71.72    | 93.94              | 91.16 | —         |
| Others, %           |          |                    |       |           |
| Unspecified abdominal pain | 15.01    | 17.13              | 17.27 | 16.16     |
| Noninfective gastroenteritis and colitis | 4.99     | 5.03               | 5.94  | 5.85      |
| Fever               | 4.56     | 4.78               | 4.73  | 4.74      |

Data are presented as %. DKA, diabetic ketoacidosis; DM, diabetes mellitus; GDM, gestational diabetes mellitus; HHS, hyperosmolar hyperglycemic state.
that hospitalization was usually not required for emergency department visits that were unrelated to obstetric-causes. With regard to diabetes-related emergency department visits, the rate of hypoglycemia was higher than acute hyperglycemia (HHS or DKA) (Table 3). As insulin therapy is recommended as the first-line pharmacologic treatment for preexisting diabetes (27) and GDM (4), our findings suggest that interventions to decrease emergency department utilization due to hypoglycemia should be considered to improve healthcare quality for women with hyperglycemia during pregnancy.

The main strengths of this study were the use of a nationwide, population-based dataset and a large study population. Nevertheless, there were several limitations in this study. First, we did not have data on maternal body mass index. Second, laboratory data related to glycemic control (such as fasting plasma glucose or HbA1c) were not available. Third, some confounding factors, such as nulliparous, urbanization of residence city (which may affect healthcare accessibility), primary or tertiary care, and socioeconomic status were not addressed. All of the aforementioned factors (28–30) may influence healthcare resource utilization during the gestation period. Final, we cannot be sure if universal screening for GDM was carried out in all medical institution since our analyses were based on retrospectively collected data. The prevalence of GDM in Taiwan increased from 7.6% in 2004 to 13.4% in 2015 (18). In a recent pragmatic, randomized clinical trial of GDM screening (31), the prevalence of GDM was 16.5% based on one-step approach (maternal age 29.4 ± 5.5 years) and 8.5% based on two-step approach (maternal age 29.3 ± 5.5 years). Thus we suggest that the screening for GDM in Taiwan should have been conducted in an efficient manner to disclose an increase in the prevalence of GDM. Despite these limitations, our results based on 10-year nationwide data still have important implications for the medical care of women with hyperglycemia in pregnancy.

In conclusion, the rate of maternal age ≥ 35 years significantly increased from 2008 (15.78%) to 2017 (32.13%) in Taiwan. Among women with GDM, there was a modest increase in the number of hospitalizations per 100 childbirths (56.74 vs. 53.49) and length of hospital stay (4.84 ± 5.42 vs. 4.72 ± 6.32) compared with those with no DM/GDM. From 2008 to 2017, women with GDM had a modest decrease in the 30-day readmission rate (p for trend 0.046) with no significant difference in the number of emergency department visits. Our findings provide evidence of healthcare quality for women with GDM in Taiwan.
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