Incidence and etiologic factors responsible for anovulation in infertility cases
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ABSTRACT

Background: Chronic anovulation is a common cause of infertility. Anovulation leads to a long-term problem such as hyperandrogenism. Anovulation usually associated with amenorrhoea about 20% and oligomenorrhoea of women with ovulatory dysfunction. Present study was designed to assess the incidence and etiological factors responsible for anovulation in infertility cases.

Methods: Study comprised of one hundred patients attending the outdoor clinic of the department for infertility either primary or secondary with regular and irregular menstrual cycles and patients of secondary amenorrhoea.

Results: Among the total cases Polymenorrhoea was seen in 10% of cases, oligomenorrhoea in 14% cases, secondary amenorrhoea in 3% and 73% cases had normal menstrual cycle. Cervical mucus viscosity in ovulatory group to be low in 84.6% cases, moderate in 8.5% cases and high in 38% cases. In anovulatory cycles findings were reversed with viscosity at 14th day it was moderate in 27.27% and higher in 54.54% cases.

Conclusions: Cervical mucus is a good indicator of the cyclical changes in ovarian hormonal as no false positive results were found. Endometrial biopsy is the mainstay in infertility studios as it provides information regarding the ovulating status.
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INTRODUCTION

Anovulation is the major cause of infertility which accounts for 30% of infertility and often present with Oligomenorrhea and amenorrhoea.1 Anovulatory condition can be consider if no indices of ovulation for 2-3 months to six months or longer period of secondary amenorrhoea.2 Infertility is a major health hazard in present society among worldwide. In developed countries prevalence of infertility is 3.5-16.75%, where as in developing countries prevalence is 6.9-9.3%.3,4 Treatment modalities are simple and effective for anovulation however, not all causes of anovulation are amenable to treatment by ovulation index.5 Ovulation can be detected by different parameters such as changes in cervical mucus, properties and its constituents, basal body temperature, serial vaginal smears, endometrial biopsy, essay of serum progesterone or urinary pregnediol and other hormonal measurements. Daily vaginal smears, basal body temperature charting and cervical mucus requires careful monitoring of the patients over a long period of time.6

One can arrive at a definitive conclusion by the estimation of a rapid LH assay, but from a practical point of view these are expensive and not available in most in expensive procedures for judging ovulation need to be evaluated. With the above facts the present study was
undertaken to assess the incidence and etiological factors responsible for anovulation in infertility cases.

METHODS

The present study was carried out in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology in association with Department of Pathology, Chalmeda Anand Rao Institute of Medical Sciences, Karimnagar over a period April 2016 to September 2017 for the assessment of anovulation in female infertility. The study comprised of one hundred patients attending the outdoor clinic of the department for infertility either primary or secondary with regular and irregular menstrual cycles and patients of secondary amenorrhoea.

A written informed consent was obtained from the patients. A detailed history of patients by general, systemic and local examination was taken. A complete haemogram, hormonal assay was conducted, and endometrial biopsy was collected for histopathological examination.

Samples of cervical mucus were collected during selection period of menstrual cycle i.e. in post ovulatory period to see the ferning is appearing or not appearing. Cervical mucus was studied for to assess the viscosity, spinbility, fern test.

Hormonal assay for LH, FSH, Serum prolactin and thyroid hormones was performed to detect the disorders which may leads to anovulation and infertility.

RESULTS

A total one hundred infertility and secondary amenorrhoea patients were considered to assess the incidence of anovulation in infertility women and etiological factors responsible for anovulation. Majority (76%) number of cases in this study complained primary infertility and 18% cases complained secondary sterility (Table 1).

Table 1: Distribution of cases according to chief complaints.

| Chief complaints               | No. of cases | Percentage |
|-------------------------------|--------------|------------|
| Primary infertility           | 76           | 76         |
| Secondary sterility           | 18           | 18         |
| Secondary amenorrhoea         | 6            | 6          |

Maximum number of cases belongs to 21-25 years age group. Based on economic status, 64% were belongs to middle income zone and rest were in low economy group. Among the patients 54% were residing in urban areas and 46% in rural areas.

Among the total cases Polymenorrhoea was seen in 10% of cases, oligomenorrhoea in 14% cases, secondary amenorrhoea in 3% and 73% cases had normal menstrual cycle. In the present study, 78% of cases were suffered with sterility for 1-5 years and 3% cases with suffered with 16-20 years duration.

Figure 1: Distribution of cases according to their age.

Among 73 cases having normal menstrual cycle, 52 (71.2%) suffered from primary infertility while remaining 21 (28.8%) suffered from secondary infertility. All the polymenorrhoea cases complained primary infertility (Table 2).

Table 2: Distribution of cases according to menstrual pattern and sterility.

| Menstrual pattern          | Primary sterility | Secondary sterility |
|----------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Polymenorrhoea (n = 10)    | 10                | 100                 |
| Normal (n = 73)            | 52                | 71.2                |
| Oligomenorrhoea (n = 14)   | 10                | 71.5                |
| Secondary amenorrhoea (n=3)| 2                 | 75                  |

Figure 2: Distribution of cases according to premenstrual dysmenorrhoea.

Hypoplastic uterus was found in 3% cases of polymenorrhoea, 13.6% in normal menstrual cases, 35.8% cases in oligomenorrhoea and 33.3% in secondary amenorrhoea. Both ovaries were palpable in 2.7% normal
menstrual cycle cases. Cystic ovary was observed in one normal menstrual cycle case (Table 3). Premenstrual dysmenorrhoea was present in 69.2% cases of ovulatory and 59.1% cases of anovulatory patients (Figure 2).

Breast tenderness was present in 26.9% cases of ovulatory as against 9.09% cases of anovulatory patients. Abdominal fullness was experienced by 23.07% cases of ovulatory and 4.5% anovulatory cases (Table 4).

Overall incidence of ovulation as seen by endometrial biopsy in polymenorrhoea showed 70% in ovulatory cases and 30% in anovulatory cases. In normal menstrual cases, 71.24% in ovulatory cases and 28.76% in anovulatory cases (Table 5).

Viscosity in premenstrual period was mild in 84.6%, moderate in 11.5% and high in 3.8% of ovulatory premenstrual cases. Whereas in anovulatory premenstrual cases viscosity was mild in 18.18%, moderate in 27.27% and high in 54.54% (Table 6). Ferning was high graded (Grade 4 and 3) in anovulatory infertility cases and in ovulatory infertility it was gradually high in grade 1 and 2 (Figure 3).

**Table 3: Distribution of cases according to menstrual pattern and ovulation as seen by endometrial biopsy.**

| Menstrual pattern       | Hypo uterus | Normal uterus | Palpable ovary | Cystic ovary |
|-------------------------|-------------|---------------|----------------|--------------|
|                         | No. (%)     | No. (%)       | No. (%)        | No. (%)      |
| Normal (n=73)           | 10 13.6     | 54 73.9       | 6 8.2          | 2 2.7        |
| Polymenorrhoea (n=10)   | 3 3         | 7 7           | - -            | - -          |
| Oligomenorrhoea (n=14)  | 5 35.8      | 8 57.1        | 1 7.1          | - -          |
| Secondary amenorrhoea (n=3) | 1 33.3 | 1 33.3       | 1 33.3         | - -          |

**Table 4: Distribution of cases according to premenstrual molimina.**

| Ovulatory pattern       | Breast tenderness | Abdominal fullness | Headache |
|-------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|----------|
|                         | No. %             | No. %              | No. %    |
| Ovulatory (n = 78)      | 21 26.9           | 18 23.07           | 2 2.56   |
| Anovulatory (n = 22)    | 2 9.09            | 1 4.5              | - -      |

**Table 5: Distribution of cases according to menstrual pattern and ovulation as seen by endometrial biopsy.**

| Menstrual pattern       | Ovulatory        | Anovulatory       |
|-------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
|                         | No. %            | No. %             |
| Normal (n=73)           | 52 71.24         | 21 28.76          |
| Polymenorrhoea (n=10)   | 7 70             | 3 30              |
| Oligomenorrhoea (n=14)  | 9 64.2           | 5 35.7            |
| Secondary amenorrhoea (n=3) | 1 33.3 | 2 666         |

**Table 6: Distribution of cases according to cervical mucus viscosity in relation to anovulation.**

| Ovulatory pattern       | Viscosity | mild | Moderate | High |
|-------------------------|-----------|------|----------|------|
|                         | No. %     | No. %| No. %    | No. %|
| Ovulatory premenstrual (78) | 66    | 84.6 | 9 11.5   | 3 3.8|
| Anovulatory premenstrual (22) | 4 18.18 | 6 27.27 | 12 54.54 |
71.2% cases with normal menstrual cycles had primary infertility this may be due to failure to anovulate or by other factors. Premenstrual dysmenorrhoea was seen in 69.20% cases of ovulatory cycles and 40.09% in anovulatory cycles which are correlating with the findings of Lamb et al, who found it in up to 75% of cases. Molimina symptoms such as breast tenderness, headache, oedema and dysmenorrhoea are generally believed to occur in ovulatory cycles.8,9

In the present study cervical mucus viscosity in ovulatory group to be low in 84.6% cases, moderate in 8.5% cases and high in 38% cases. In anovulatory cycles findings were reversed with viscosity at 14th day it was moderate in 27.27% and higher in 54.54% cases. Changes in cervical mucus is quite reliable with the findings of Gates W et al.10 In anovulatory group, ferning was +1 to +4 in 4.50%, 9.10%, 31.80% and 54.54% respectively. This indicates the continuous unopposed action of oestrogen on cervical mucus due to persistence of Graafian follicle and failure of ovulation to occur.

In view of endometrial biopsy, anovulation was found in 30% cases of polymenorrhoea (n = 10), 35.7% cases of oligomenorrhoea (n = 14) and 66.6% of secondary amenorrhoea (n = 3) with lowest incidence in cases with normal menstrual cycle (n = 73) (28.76%). Endometrial biopsy is the best single test for ovulation detection.11

The ultrasonography findings showed that detection of ovulation by ultrasonography in comparison with endometrial biopsy is almost the same. Ultrasonographic findings showed that ovulation was detected in 92.3% cases with secretary endometrium and only 7.69% cases has no ovulation with secretary endometrium.

CONCLUSION

Premenstrual molimina are associated with more commonly but not always with ovulatory cycles. Cervical mucus is a good indicator of the cyclical changes in ovarian hormonal as no false positive results were found. Endometrial biopsy is the mainstay in infertility studios as it provides information regarding the ovulating status. Serial ultrasonography for detection of ovulation is the confirmatory investigation, but it was very expensive for our poor population and result with endometrial biopsy and ultrasonography were almost same.
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