Noninvasive instrumental evaluation of coenzyme Q₁₀ phytosome on endothelial reactivity in healthy nonsmoking young volunteers: A double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled crossover clinical trial
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Abstract
Coenzyme Q₁₀ (CoQ₁₀) is a natural antioxidant compound that prevents the vascular damage induced by free radicals and the activation of inflammatory signaling pathways. Supplementation with CoQ₁₀ is safe though its bioavailability is generally low, as far as variable depending on the pharmaceutical form of preparation. Recently, the development of phytosome technology has improved the bioavailability of CoQ₁₀ and definitely facilitated its effective use in clinical practice. The present double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled, crossover clinical study aimed to investigate the effect on endothelial reactivity and total antioxidant capacity (TAC) of either acute and chronic supplementation with CoQ₁₀ phytosome in a sample of 20 healthy young nonsmoking subjects. CoQ₁₀ phytosome supplementation acutely improved endothelial reactivity in comparison with baseline and placebo (+4.7% ± 0.9% vs. −0.1% ± 0.3% p < 0.05). Middle-term supplementation of the tested pharmaceutical formulation of CoQ₁₀ significantly improved mean arterial pressure (−2.2 ± 1.1 mmHg vs. 0.2 ± 0.7 mmHg, p < 0.05 vs. placebo) and TAC (+29.6% ± 3.2% vs. +1.9% ± 0.8%, p < 0.05 vs. placebo). Endothelial reactivity improved compared with baseline following middle-term dietary supplementation with CoQ₁₀ phytosome (+5.7% ± 1.1%, p < 0.05).
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Coenzyme Q₁₀ (CoQ₁₀) is a natural antioxidant compound that offer potential benefit in the management of patients affected by cardiovascular (CV) disease, preventing the damage induced by free radicals and the activation of inflammatory signaling pathways.¹,²

Supplementation with CoQ₁₀ is safe, without any known pharmacological interactions.³ However, its bioavailability is generally low, as far as variable depending on the form of preparation (i.e., tables, powder-filled capsules, or oil suspensions in soft gel capsules).¹ The development of phytosome technology has recently improved the bioavailability of CoQ₁₀, increasing it by three times compared to standard pharmaceutical formulations and definitely facilitating its effective use in clinical practice.²

CoQ₁₀ is absorbed slowly (and unpredictably) from the small intestine, because it has a high molecular weight and is not water soluble, passes into the lymphatics, and finally to the blood and tissues.⁴ For this reason, CoQ₁₀ plasma level is not clearly related with clinical outcomes, while its dosage is complex and expensive⁵; thus, the evaluation of the clinical effect of CoQ₁₀ supplementation is preferably to indirectly estimate. A method to estimate the bioavailability and efficacy of CoQ₁₀ is the evaluation of treatment-related variation in endothelial reactivity,⁶ since ubiquinol supplementation is associated with increased nitric oxide (NO) bioavailability and enhances low-density lipoprotein (LDL) antioxidant protection, both related to endothelial function.⁷,⁸

This study aimed to investigate the effect on endothelial reactivity of either acute and chronic supplementation with CoQ₁₀ phytosome in a sample of healthy young nonsmoking subjects. Moreover, we assessed the impact of chronic supplementation with CoQ₁₀ phytosome on the plasma total antioxidant capacity (TAC) that measures the amount of total antioxidants in plasma.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Study design and participants

This was a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled, crossover clinical trial aiming to assess the acute and chronic effects of supplementation with CoQ₁₀ phytosome in a group of 20 healthy nonsmoking young volunteers aged 18–40 years and consecutively enrolled in the ambulatory service of CV disease prevention of the Medical and Surgical Sciences Department of the University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy.

Enrolled volunteers did not have any serious or disabling diseases (e.g., severe organ failure, previous major CV event, active viral hepatitis, inflammatory bowel disease, malignancy, and dementia). Further exclusion criteria were body mass index (BMI) ≥ 30 kg/m², treatment with drugs, and/or dietary supplements with antioxidant/anti-inflammatory effect and known gastrointestinal disorders potentially affecting the absorption of CoQ₁₀.

Enrolled subjects were adhering to a low-fat low-sodium Mediterranean diet for 4 weeks before being randomized to receive CoQ₁₀ supplement or placebo. At baseline and on the day of crossover, the immediate acute effect of dietary supplementation with CoQ₁₀ phytosome was assessed at baseline and 2 h after receiving a double dose of either active treatment or placebo. Study's participants received adequate doses of either CoQ₁₀ phytosome or placebo to complete the one of two 4-week treatment sequences. The crossover to the second treatment was preceded by a 2-week wash-out period. Study design and timeline is described in detail in Figure 1.

At each follow-up visit, patients were evaluated for clinical status, TAC and by the execution of a physical examination and hemodynamic analyses.

The study fully complied with the ethical guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki and with The International Council for Harmonization of Technical Requirements for Registration of Pharmaceuticals for Human Use (ICH) Harmonized Tripartite Guideline for Good Clinical Practice (GCP). The study protocol was approved by the Ethical Committee of the University of Bologna. All patients signed a written informed consent to participate.

2.2 | Treatment

After 4-week period of diet standardization, enrolled subjects were randomized to receive either indistinguishable pills of placebo or 150 mg CoQ₁₀ phytosome (equivalent to 30 mg CoQ₁₀, Ubiqsome®, Indena S.p.A., Milan). For the entire duration of the study, patients were instructed to take a pill of the assigned treatment once daily (except on acute evaluation, when they received a double dose of the treatment).

Randomization was performed centrally, by computer-generated codes. Participants and investigators were blinded to the group assignment. Randomization codes were kept in a sealed envelope that was opened after study completion and data analysis.

At the end of the clinical trial, all unused pills were retrieved for inventory. Treatment compliance was assessed by counting the number of returned pills.
2.3 | Assessments

2.3.1 | Clinical data and other measurements

Information gathered in the patients history included presence of CV disease and other systemic diseases, allergies, and medications. Validated semi-quantitative questionnaires including food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) were used to assess demographic variables, recreational physical activity, and dietary and smoking habits. Plasma TAC was measured using a commercial kit as per the manufacturer’s instructions (Biovision Company, Milpitas, CA).

2.3.2 | Blood pressure measurements

Blood pressure (BP) was measured in accordance with the recommendations of the International Guidelines for the management of arterial hypertension. Resting systolic (SBP) and diastolic BP (DBP) were measured with a validated oscillometric device and a cuff of the appropriate size applied on the right upper arm. To improve detection accuracy, three BP readings were sequentially obtained at 1-min intervals. The first reading was discarded, and the average between the second and the third reading was recorded as study variable.

Pulse pressure (PP) was calculated as the difference between SBP and DBP (PP = SBP − DBP). Mean arterial pressure (MAP) was obtained by adding one-third of PP to DBP (MAP = 1/3PP + DBP).

2.3.3 | Endothelial reactivity

Following the current guidelines, during the clinical study endothelial reactivity (ER) was evaluated through Endocheck® (BC Biomedical Laboratories Ltd, Vancouver, BC, Canada), a method embedded within the Vicorder® device which guarantees a very good intra- and inter-operator reliability. The measurement was carried out with patients in supine position and in abstinence from cigarette smoking and caffeinated beverages for at least 12 h. After a 10-min rest, the brachial pulse volume (PV) waveforms were recorded at baseline for 10 s and during reactive hyperemia. The percent PV displacement was calculated as the percentage change from baseline to peak dilatation. All of the hemodynamic measurements were performed by a trained physician who was blinded to the treatment groups.

2.3.4 | Assessment of safety and tolerability

Safety and tolerability were evaluated through a continuous monitoring during the study, in order to detect any adverse event, clinical safety, laboratory findings, vital sign measurements, and physical examinations. A blinded, independent expert clinical event committee was appointed by the principal investigator in order to categorize the adverse events that could possibly be experienced during the trial as not related, unlikely related, possibly related, probably related, or definitely related to the tested treatment.
2.4 | Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed using intention to treat by means of the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 25.0 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY) for Windows.

Efficacy analyses were performed considering the intention-to-treat (ITT) population. A sensitivity analysis of the primary variable was also planned in the per-protocol population (PPP).

A full descriptive analysis of the collected parameters was carried out. Categorical variables were expressed as absolute number and percentage and compared with the Fisher corrected chi-square test (for nominal variables) and the Wilcoxon-Rank test (for ordinal variables). The Duncan test was always carried out to exclude extreme values. As they were all normally distributed, continuous variables were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD) and compared by two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) for crossover design followed by Tukey’s post hoc test.

The minimum level of statistical significance was set to \( p < 0.05 \) two-tailed.

3 | RESULTS

All enrolled subjects (mean age 26.8 ± 1.3 years old; Male: 12, Women: 8) completed the clinical trial according to the study design (dropout rate = 0%). No protocol violations were reported.

Compliance to treatment was 100% either in the active treated group and in the placebo group.

CoQ\(_{10}\) phytosome supplementation acutely improved endothelial reactivity in comparison with placebo and baseline (Table 1).

The tested pharmaceutical formulation of CoQ\(_{10}\) significantly improved MAP and TAC compared to placebo and baseline values. PV improved than baseline following dietary supplementation with CoQ\(_{10}\) phytosome (Table 2).

4 | DISCUSSION

Endothelial function of the arterial vasculature is an important early marker of atherosclerosis, reflecting the ability of the endothelial layer to release NO, modulating smooth muscle tone in the arterial wall of the conduit arteries.\(^\text{16}\) The effect of CoQ\(_{10}\) supplementation on the modulation of endothelial function has been previously evaluated in patients with Type 2 diabetes mellitus, coronary artery disease, and in elderly people.\(^\text{17}\) These studies had already showed that flow-mediated dilation, or nitroglycerin-mediated dilation and the extracellular superoxide dismutase activity increased in most of the subjects treated with CoQ\(_{10}\), and this effect had been attributed to the antioxidant and anti-inflammatory

| TABLE 1 | CoQ10 acute effect (2 h after ingestion) on PV |
|---|---|---|---|
| | Placebo | CoQ\(_{10}\) phytosome | |
| | Baseline | 2-h follow-up | Baseline | 2-h follow-up |
| Endothelial reactivity (%) | 64.9 ± 5.4 | 64.8 ± 5.3 | 64.6 ± 5.7 | 69.3 ± 6.1* ** |
| Note: *\( p < 0.05 \) versus baseline; **\( p < 0.05 \) versus placebo.

| TABLE 2 | Effect of CoQ10 on blood pressure, pulse volume, and total antioxidant capacity |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Baseline/end wash-out | | End of treatment | |
| | Placebo \( \rightarrow \) CoQ\(_{10}\) phytosome | CoQ\(_{10}\) phytosome \( \rightarrow \) placebo | Placebo \( \rightarrow \) CoQ\(_{10}\) phytosome | CoQ\(_{10}\) phytosome \( \rightarrow \) placebo |
| SBP (mmHg) | 122.8 ± 4.1 | 122.0 ± 5.5 | 121.5 ± 3.3 | 123.1 ± 2.4 |
| DBP (mmHg) | 82.8 ± 1.9 | 82.3 ± 1.6 | 83.9 ± 1.7 | 83.2 ± 1.4 |
| PP (mmHg) | 39.5 ± 1.6 | 39.4 ± 1.8 | 37.9 ± 1.1 | 40.2 ± 1.6 |
| MAP (mmHg) | 96.4 ± 3.7 | 96.2 ± 3.6 | 96.5 ± 3.6 | 94.3 ± 3.0*** |
| Endothelial reactivity (%) | 64.7 ± 5.6 | 64.1 ± 5.4 | 64.8 ± 5.8 | 68.5 ± 6.2* |
| TAC (pg/ml) | 10.1 ± 1.3 | 10.3 ± 1.4 | 10.4 ± 1.5 | 13.2 ± 1.4*** |

Note: *\( p < 0.05 \) versus baseline; **\( p < 0.05 \) versus placebo.

Abbreviations: CoQ\(_{10}\), coenzyme Q\(_{10}\); DBP, diastolic blood pressure; MAP, mean arterial pressure; PP, pulse pressure; SBP, systolic blood pressure; TAC, total antioxidant capacity.
activity of this compound.\textsuperscript{18–20} Indeed, endothelial dysfunction is primarily driven by increased bioavailability of oxidizing reactive oxygen species (ROS) as a consequence of NO deficiency and, then, increased vascular smooth muscle growth within the endothelium.\textsuperscript{21,22}

In our study, either acute and chronic supplementation with CoQ\textsubscript{10} phytosome was effective in improving plasma TAC and endothelial reactivity in healthy young not smoking subjects. The observed result was enhanced by the use of a specific phytosome delivery formulation (patented as Ubiqsome\textsuperscript{®}) that has already been previously showed improve the oral absorption of coenzyme CoQ\textsubscript{10} and optimize the physiological plasma levels of CoQ\textsubscript{10} after just a single dose.\textsuperscript{23,24}

The relevance of these findings cannot be underestimated, since they collectively support the use of Ubiqsome\textsuperscript{®} in clinical practice, even in the short term and in the absence of known vascular damage. In particular, a meta-analysis of 41 studies involving more than 18000 participants showed that an even small improvement in endothelial function is associated to a significant reduction in CV disease risk.\textsuperscript{25}

Despite the relevant findings and the practical implications, this study is not without limitations. We acknowledge the small sample size and the relatively short follow-up that does not clarify on the possible occurrence of adaptation phenomena (which however have never been documented for CoQ\textsubscript{10} before). Furthermore, possible changes of signaling molecules such as bradykinin, adenosine, vascular endothelial growth factor, serotonin, or NO synthase—also related to endothelial function—were not investigated, but our observation was limited to the instrumental assessment of ER. Finally, as per study’s design, the effect of supplementation with a nonphytosome formulation of CoQ\textsubscript{10} was not assessed in the context of the present clinical trial. Further research directions should also explore whether the positive effect of CoQ\textsubscript{10} supplementation we observed is demonstrable in individuals with endothelial dysfunction \textit{a priori}.

In conclusion, CoQ\textsubscript{10} phytosome exerts beneficial effects on endothelial reactivity in healthy young subjects. Further studies are needed that confirm our observations in the long term by directly comparing different CoQ\textsubscript{10} pharmaceutical formulations.
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