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ABSTRACT: Mineral carbonation, which is CO2 fixation through a carbonation reaction using alkaline earth metals, is being investigated as a carbon capture and utilization method to reduce CO2 atmospheric emissions. Concrete sludge is an alkali waste material from the concrete industry and contains abundant calcium components. We investigated the applicability of concrete sludge for mineral carbonation. In this study, gas containing CO2 was bubbled through the model concrete sludge solution and the effects of the solid−liquid ratio, bubbling time, gas flow rate, and the partial pressure of CO2 on the CO2 fixation ratio and fixation rate were investigated. The CO2 fixation ratio decreased with increasing CO2 bubbling time, CO2 flow rate, and CO2 partial pressure. The CO2 fixation rate increased with increasing CO2 flow rate and CO2 partial pressure. The formation of calcite, a form of calcium carbonate, was confirmed.

1. INTRODUCTION
Global warming, caused by greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, has become a serious problem. CO2 makes up most to GHG emissions, and therefore has a significant impact on global warming. The 2015 Paris Agreement aimed to constrain anthropogenic warming to 1.5−2 °C by reducing CO2 emissions, and therefore a method to reduce CO2 emissions to the atmosphere is urgently needed. One of these measures, carbon capture and storage (CCS), has been investigated to sequester CO2 from the atmosphere. The CCS method includes geological storage, ocean storage, and mineral carbonation. In geologic storage, CO2 is introduced to an aquifer or coal bed layer under high pressure.2,3 Ocean storage is generally divided into two methods: CO2 dissolution into seawater at depths shallower than 3000 m and liquid CO2 precipitation to the sea bottom at depths greater than 3000 m.4,5 In these strategies, a large amount of CO2 can be sequestered in a stable form. However, they consume energy for the separation, concentration, and liquefaction of CO2, and therefore emit further CO2 during the process. Furthermore, these storage methods may have additional environmental impacts such as contamination of groundwater or acidification of seawater.

We focused on mineral carbonation for CO2 fixation in this study. Mineral carbonation fixes CO2 as a carbonate compound by reacting CO2 with an alkaline earth metal such as calcium or magnesium.6 The main scheme of the mineral carbonation is expressed by

alkaline earth metal sources (Ca, Mg) + CO2 → carbonates (CaCO3, MgCO3, etc.)

The advantage of the mineral carbonation is that the carbonation reaction proceeds spontaneously without energy supply, and carbonate salts of alkaline earth metals are highly stable with a lower Gibbs free energy. CO2 is unlikely to be released into the atmosphere. The generated carbonate salts (calcium carbonate or magnesium carbonate) can be used in various industrial applications. However, a huge amount of raw materials containing calcium or magnesium should be necessary to make the mineral carbonation process a practical measure for CO2 emission reduction. The uses of natural rocks7−16 or waste materials17−27 have been reported for the mineral carbonation processes. Ultramafic rocks such as olivine, serpentine, and wollastonite are potential materials for mineral carbonation with large reserves of resources. However, direct carbonation reactions of these rocks are rather slow under normal temperature and pressure conditions so that acceleration of the carbonation reaction is essential to make the process practical. Several acceleration measures have been proposed so far, which can be divided into two types: direct and indirect methods. In the direct methods, pulverized ultramafic rocks are reacted directly with gaseous CO2 under higher-pressure and higher-temperature conditions to accelerate the carbonation reaction.6−13 In the indirect methods, chemicals are used for the activation of calcium or magnesium
contained in the alkaline earth metal sources, to be dissolved into water as cations, which are more easily reacted with CO2. In another approach, amine compounds are used for the CO2 absorption, which can be accelerated CO2 dissolution without CO2 purification and pressurization. Both methods, however, would lead to increase in the power consumption and cost for the carbonation process. Alkaline waste materials such as fly ash, waste concrete powder, and steel making slag are also useful resources for mineral carbonation. Mineral carbonation using alkaline waste has multiple advantages. In general, the mineralization reaction rates with wastes would be higher than those for rocks. The carbonation process can be recognized as a waste management process in addition to CO2 emission reduction.

In this study, we used concrete sludge as an alkaline calcium source for mineral carbonation process. Concrete sludge is waste of fresh concrete generated as excess ready-mixed concrete or washing residue of fresh concrete from concrete-using industries. A huge amount of concrete sludge has been disposed of (several million tons annually in Japan) as an industrial waste. Concrete sludge, at the present stage, is treated with acids to be neutralized, dehydrated, and disposed of in landfill. The chemical composition of concrete sludge is essentially the same as that of fresh concrete. Chemical composition of concrete sludge is reported to be water 77.1%, CaO 17.2%, SiO2 3.2%, Fe2O3 1.9%, and Al2O3 0.6%. The calcium-containing compounds in concrete sludge are clinker phases and hydrated compounds, which can be used as calcium sources for carbonation. The main components of the clinker phases are alite (3CaO·SiO2), belite (2CaO·SiO2), and C4A(3CaO·Al2O3·3H2O). The hydration of cement components generates calcium hydroxide (Ca(OH)2), hydrated calcium hydroxide (Ca(OH)2·19H2O), ettringite (3CaO·Al2O3·3CaSO4·32H2O), and AFm phase (Ca4Al2O6(SO4)·12H2O). These calcium-containing compounds would be carbonated by CO2 to produce calcium carbonate (CaCO3) and other compounds such as 3CaCO3·2SiO3·3H2O.

The direct contact of gaseous CO2 will proceed the carbonation reaction without acceleration measures such as high temperature, high pressure, or use of chemicals. The high activity of concrete sludge would lead to a simple and low-cost mineral carbonation process for CO2 emission reduction. In addition, the process is a waste management process to reduce the emission of waste concrete sludge. Although the carbonation reactions of cement components used in concrete buildings have been intensively studied as a CO2 utilization measure, no detailed information is available in the literature on the mineral carbonation of concrete sludge by direct contact with gaseous CO2. In this study, we studied the carbonation reaction of hydrated cement in water, a model concrete sludge, by bubbling gaseous CO2 under various operation conditions. The effects of operation conditions such as solid (cement)/liquid (water) S/L ratio, bubbling time, CO2 flow rate, CO2 partial pressure (balanced with nitrogen) on the CO2 fixation performances, CO2 fixation rate, and CO2 fixation ratio were investigated. Based on the experimental results, the mineral carbonation process of concrete sludge was evaluated in terms of the process feasibility as a CO2 emission reduction measure.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Figure 1 shows a schematic drawing for the experimental apparatus. The reaction vessel is made of acrylic resin (height 400 mm, inner diameter 60 mm). A mixture of commercial Portland cement (Taiheiyo Cement Co., Tokyo) and deionized water was used as a model concrete sludge. The ratio of the cement and water (solid–liquid S/L ratio) was changed in the range of 1:100 to 1:20 (solid/liquid ratio, S/L = 0.01–0.05). The mixture was introduced to the vessel and stirred with a magnetic stirrer at 300 rpm to be hydrated for a given period without gas bubbling. The prehydration time was fixed at 60 min. After prehydration, the feed gas was supplied from a gas cylinder to the vessel from the bottom through a plastic tube (inner diameter 5 mm) to be reacted with the model concrete sludge while ascending through the vessel. The gas was then discharged to the atmosphere. The CO2 partial pressure of the feed gas was changed from 0.05 (5 mol %) to 1 atm (100 mol %) balanced with nitrogen, simulating the composition of the various CO2 sources. The flow rate of the feed gas was changed in the range of 100–500 mL/min controlled by a mass flow controller. The gas bubbling was carried out for 60–480 min. All of the experiments were carried out at room temperature and atmospheric pressure. Each experiment was performed at least three times under the same condition to confirm the reproducibility. The pH of the solution was measured with a pH meter. The liquid sample was filtrated by a syringe filter (0.025 μm pore size), and the calcium concentration was measured with an inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, ICPA-6000, CA). After completion of bubbling, the sample mixture was filtered, and the solid residue was dried in a thermostatic oven at 100 °C for 24 h. The dried solid residue was analyzed by X-ray diffraction (XRD; Rigaku, Ultima IV, Tokyo, Japan). The content of calcium carbonate in the dried solid residue was determined by a thermogravimetric analyzer (TGA; Shimadzu DTG-60H, Kyoto, Japan), and the amount of CO2 fixation as CaCO3 was calculated as follows. The sample was heated from room temperature to 1000 °C at 10 °C/min. The weight loss at the temperature range between 600 and 900 °C was attributed to the decomposition of CaCO3 in the sample. Based on the results, the CO2 fixation ratio (mol %), CO2 fixation rate, and calcium conversion were calculated by the following equations.
CO₂ fixation ratio [mol %]  
= \frac{\text{CO}_2 \text{ in calcium carbonate [mol]}}{\text{CO}_2 \text{ provided in the system [mol]}} \times 100 \% 

CO₂ fixation rate [mmol/(min \cdot g - cement)]  
= \frac{\text{CO}_2 \text{ fixed as calcium carbonate [mmol]}}{\text{cement in feed [g]} \times \text{bubbling time [min]}} 

calcium conversion [mol %]  
= \frac{\text{calcium in CaCO}_3}{\text{calcium in cement feed}} \times 100 \% 

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Time Variation of Calcium Concentration and pH under CO₂ Bubbling. Figure 2 shows the changes in calcium concentration and pH over time (flow rate 300 mL/L, S/L ratio 0.05 g/g, CO₂ partial pressure 1.0 atm). The dotted line indicates the equilibrium calcium concentration, and the dashed line indicates the equilibrium pH. Error bars are drawn based on the repeated experiments.

\[ \text{Ca(OH)}_2 \rightarrow \text{Ca}^{2+} + 2\text{OH}^- \]

After bubbling started, the calcium concentration dropped sharply and kept constant at about 270 ppm between 60 and 150 min after the start of bubbling. Then, the calcium concentration increased and reached 600 ppm after 300 min. After that, the calcium concentration was almost unchanged. After bubbling started, the pH gradually decreased from 12 to 6 after 250 min; then, the pH was almost constant at about 6.

The decrease in the dissolved calcium concentration by the CO₂ bubbling can be attributed to the formation of calcium carbonate by the following reactions. The CO₂ in the feed gas dissolved into aqueous phase and hydrated to form H₂CO₃⁻.

\[ \text{CO}_2(g) + \text{H}_2\text{O} \Leftrightarrow \text{H}_2\text{CO}_3^-, \text{pK}_\text{H} = 1.47 \text{ atm}^{-1} \]

The hydrated CO₂ will be dissociated through the following steps

\[ \text{H}_2\text{CO}_3^- \Leftrightarrow \text{H}^+ + \text{HCO}_3^-, \text{pK}_\text{a1} = 6.35 \]

\[ \text{HCO}_3^- \Leftrightarrow \text{H}^+ + \text{CO}_3^{2-}, \text{pK}_\text{a2} = 10.33 \]

The proportion of [HCO₃⁻] to [CO₃²⁻] depends on pH, consequently the partial pressure of CO₂, namely, \( P_{\text{CO}_2} \), in the gas phase. When calcium ions dissolve in water, the precipitation of calcium carbonate takes place by the following reaction

\[ \text{Ca}^{2+} + \text{CO}_3^{2-} \rightarrow \text{CaCO}_3 \downarrow, \text{K}_\text{sp} = 3.8 \times 10^{-9} \text{ (mol/L)}^2 \]

Carbonate ions would be continuously provided by the bubbling of CO₂-containing feed gas, and calcium ions would be provided into aqueous phase from the calcium hydrate in the hydrated cement. Thus, calcium carbonate would be continuously generated by the bubbling of CO₂ until all of the calcium hydroxide in the hydrate cement dissolved.

Based on the thermodynamic calculation for \( P_{\text{CO}_2} = 1.0 \text{ atm} \), the equilibrium calcium concentration is 270 ppm based on the solubility product of calcite (\( K_{\text{sp}} = 3.8 \times 10^{-9} \text{ (mol/L)}^2 \)) at 25 °C, which is close to the concentration observed 60–150 min. On the other hand, the calculated pH was 5.95, which agreed with the observed pH being constant after 250 min. This result indicates that calcium carbonate was formed and precipitated at the early stage up to 150 min corresponding to the equilibrium condition. However, at a later stage, the phase of calcium carbonate would be converted with higher solubility, such as amorphous calcium carbonate (\( K_{\text{sp}} = \sim10^{-9} \text{ (mol/L)}^2 \)). This is the reason for the higher calcium concentration (600 ppm) after 300 min bubbling. The formation of amorphous calcium carbonate can be partially
confirmed by the weight loss of the solid sample for TG in the lower-temperature range.

Besides the carbonation of dissolved calcium ions, cement clinker phases (alite and belite) and hydration products such as calcium silicate hydrate, AFm, and ettringite other than calcium hydroxide will react with CO2 to form calcium carbonate as follows:36,38–41

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{alite: } & \quad 3\text{CaO}\cdot\text{SiO}_2 + 3\text{CO}_2 + n\text{H}_2\text{O} \\
& \rightarrow \text{SiO}_2\cdot n\text{H}_2\text{O} + 3\text{CaCO}_3 \\
\text{belite: } & \quad 2\text{CaO}\cdot\text{SiO}_2 + 2\text{CO}_2 + n\text{H}_2\text{O} \\
& \rightarrow \text{SiO}_2\cdot n\text{H}_2\text{O} + 2\text{CaCO}_3 \\
\text{C – S – H: } & \quad 3\text{CaO}\cdot2\text{SiO}_2\cdot3\text{H}_2\text{O} + 3\text{CO}_2 \\
& \rightarrow 3\text{CaCO}_3\cdot2\text{SiO}_2\cdot3\text{H}_2\text{O} \text{ or } 3\text{CaCO}_3 + 2\text{SiO}_2 + 3\text{H}_2\text{O} \\
\text{AFm: } & \quad \text{Ca}_4\text{Al}_2\text{O}_6(\text{SO}_4)\cdot12\text{H}_2\text{O} + 3\text{CO}_2 \\
& \rightarrow 3\text{CaCO}_3 + \text{CaSO}_4\cdot2\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\cdot3\text{H}_2\text{O} + 7\text{H}_2\text{O} \\
\text{ettringite: } & \quad 3\text{CaO}\cdot\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\cdot3\text{CaSO}_4\cdot32\text{H}_2\text{O} + 3\text{CO}_2 \\
& \rightarrow 3\text{CaCO}_3 + 3\text{CaSO}_4\cdot2\text{H}_2\text{O} + 2\text{Al(OH)}_3 + 9\text{H}_2\text{O}
\end{align*}
\]

The main product of the carbonation process is calcium carbonate.

The CO2 fixation ratio was 2.7 mol % and the CO2 fixation rate was 0.016 mmol/(min·g) against supplied (12.3 mmol/min) concrete for 480 min bubbling. These results indicate that a very small portion of calcium in cement was unused for carbonation. Also, the proportion of calcium carbonate formed from the feed cement to calcium carbonate was 67.5% after 480 min of bubbling. Note that the conversion is calculated based on the initial amount of cement.

Figure 3 shows the XRD pattern of the solid residue after carbonation for 480 min and that of the raw Portland cement used in this study. The intensity of assigned calcium carbonate, calcite, is found to increase after carbonation reaction. Thus, the main product of the carbonation process is calcite, the most stable phase of calcium carbonate under ambient conditions. Note that amorphous carbonate cannot be detected by XRD.

3.2. Effect of Solid–Liquid (S/L) Ratio. The solid–liquid (S/L) ratio of concrete sludge depends on the source; concrete sludge with higher S/L ratios are generated from excess fresh concrete and that with lower S/L ratios are generated as washing residue. The CO2 fixation performance should depend on the S/L ratio.

Figure 4 shows the CO2 fixation ratio and the CO2 fixation rate for various S/L ratios. For all of the runs, bubbling time, flow rate, and partial pressure of CO2 were fixed per unit amount of cement is almost independent of the S/L ratio. The CO2 fixation rate at the fixed S/L ratio of 0.05 g/g.

Figure 4. Influence of the S/L ratio on the fixation rate and fixation ratio. Bubbling time, 60 min; flow rate, 300 mL/L; CO2 partial pressure, 1 atm.

3.3. Effect of Bubbling Time. Next, we investigated the effect of the bubbling time on the CO2 fixation performances. Figure 5 shows the effects of bubbling time on the CO2 fixation ratio and CO2 fixation rate at the fixed S/L ratio of 0.05 g/g. Flow rate and partial pressure of CO2 were constant at 300 mL/min and 1.0 atm, respectively. The CO2 fixation ratio as well as the CO2 fixation rate decreased with increasing bubbling time, and the highest CO2 fixation ratio was 9.55 mol % and the highest CO2 fixation rate was 0.055 mmol/(min·g) at 60 min of the bubbling time. The longer bubbling time reduced both the fixation ratio and the fixation rate due to the decrease in the amount of calcium available for the fixation at the later stage. Note that for the fixed S/L ratio, the calcium provided from the hydrate cement is constant and determined by the initial amount of cement.

3.4. Effect of CO2 Flow Rate. For the practical operation, the CO2 flow rate is an important factor affecting the CO2
The CO₂ fixation ratio decreased with increasing CO₂ flow rate, while the CO₂ fixation rate increased with increasing CO₂ flow rate. The higher CO₂ flow rate would increase the portion of supplied CO₂ that discharged from without reaction in the vessel. This is the reason for the decrease in the fixation ratio with increasing flow rate. On the other hand, the higher flow rate would facilitate the mass transfer rate, presumably increase the dissolution rate of CO₂ into the aqueous phase, and the fixation rate increased with increasing CO₂ flow rate.

3.5. Effect of CO₂ Partial Pressure. Because the CO₂ partial pressure of the target flue gas depends on the source, the effect of CO₂ pressure on the CO₂ fixation performances should be clarified.

Figure 7a,b shows the effects of the CO₂ partial pressure on the CO₂ fixation rate and the CO₂ fixation ratio under the conditions of the S/L ratio at 0.05 g/g, bubbling time at 60 min, and CO₂ flow rate at 100 mL/min (a) and 500 mL/min (b). For the CO₂ flow rate at 100 mL/min, the increasing CO₂ partial pressure resulted in increasing CO₂ fixation rate almost linearly. On the other hand, the increasing CO₂ flow rate resulted in a rapid decrease in the CO₂ fixation rate at a lower CO₂ pressure, and almost unchanged at a CO₂ pressure higher than 0.5 atm. The highest CO₂ fixation ratio was 91 mol % at 0.05 atm, and the highest CO₂ fixation rate was 0.074 mmol/(min·g) at 1.0 atm for the flow rate at 500 mL/min. For the CO₂ flow rate at 500 mL/min, the increasing CO₂ partial pressure resulted in an increase in the CO₂ fixation rate more eminently, and the effect of the CO₂ partial pressure on the CO₂ fixation ratio was less remarkable than the case with a lower flow rate at 100 mL/min. The increase in the CO₂ fixation rate with increasing CO₂ partial pressure would be due to the higher CO₂ concentration dissolved in water. This effect would be accelerated by the higher flow rate due to an increase in the dissolution and mass transfer rate of CO₂. The lower CO₂ fixation ratio at higher CO₂ partial pressure is because the excess amount of CO₂ would be supplied to the system compared with the calcium available in the system under the fixed S/L ratio.
The experimental results obtained in this study are summarized in Table 1. The CO₂ fixation ratio decreased with increasing CO₂ bubbling time, CO₂ flow rate, and CO₂ partial pressure. These results indicate that when a larger amount of CO₂ is supplied to the reaction system, the smaller portion of supplied CO₂ will be used for the carbonation reaction. On the other hand, the CO₂ fixation rate increased with increasing CO₂ flow rate and CO₂ partial pressure. This trend can be explained by the increase in the mass transfer rate of CO₂ dissolution and increase in the concentration of dissolved CO₂ in water by increasing the CO₂ flow rate and CO₂ partial pressure. The decrease in the CO₂ fixation rate with increasing CO₂ bubbling time is due to the depletion of available calcium for carbonation reaction under a fixed calcium amount, i.e., a fixed S/L ratio. When the CO₂ supply is fixed, the higher amount of cement (higher S/L ratio) resulted in a higher CO₂ fixation ratio because a greater amount of calcium is available for carbonation. However, the CO₂ fixation rate seems to be mainly controlled by the CO₂ supply, which was found to be not much affected by the S/L ratio.

The highest CO₂ fixation ratio was 91.5% that was obtained for the following conditions: CO₂ partial pressure, 0.05 atm; S/L ratio, 0.05; CO₂ flow rate, 100 mL/min; and bubbling time, 60 min, as shown in Table 2. The CO₂ fixation rate under these conditions was 0.0092 mmol/(min·g). In this case, the calcium conversion was 4.76%. On the other hand, the highest CO₂ fixation rate of 0.085 mmol/(min·g) was obtained for the conditions of CO₂ partial pressure, 1.0 atm; S/L ratio, 0.0075 or 0.025; CO₂ flow rate, 300 mL/min; and bubbling time, 60 min. The CO₂ fixation ratios under these conditions were 2.2 and 7.2%, respectively, for the S/L ratios of 0.0075 and 0.025. The calcium conversions were 43.8 and 43.9% for S/L = 0.0075 and 0.025, respectively.

From the viewpoint of the process design, when a higher CO₂ fixation ratio is required, higher S/L ratio, lower bubbling rate, and shorter bubbling time are favorable. On the contrary, when a higher CO₂ fixation ratio is required, which leads to a higher-ratio cement used for carbonation, shorter bubbling time and higher bubbling rate are favorable. The CO₂ partial pressure in the feed gas affects the CO₂ fixation performances, but that is a given condition depending on the CO₂ emission source and uncontrollable. The bubbling method of CO₂ would affect the dissolution rate of CO₂ into the water phase; the use of a gas diffuser would increase the gas dissolution rate of CO₂ into the water phase.

### Table 1. Summary of the Effects of Operation Parameters on the CO₂ Fixation Performances

| parameter to be increased | range tested | CO₂ fixation ratio | CO₂ fixation rate |
|---------------------------|--------------|--------------------|------------------|
| S/L ratio (cement/water)  | 0.005–0.05   | 9.1% at 0.05       | 0.085 at 0.025 and 0.0075 |
| CO₂ bubbling time (min)   | 60–480       | 9.6% at 60 min     | 0.055 at 60 min   |
| CO₂ flow rate (mL/min)    | 100–500      | 18.0% at 100 mL/min| 0.074 at 500 mL/min|
| CO₂ partial pressure (atm) for 100 mL/min | 0.05–1.0 | 91.5% at 0.05 atm | 0.036 at 1 atm |
| CO₂ partial pressure (atm) for 500 mL/min | 0.05–1.0 | 33.8% at 0.05 atm | 0.074 at 1 atm |

### Table 2. Summary of the Highest CO₂ Fixation Performances and Operation Parameters

| parameter                  | range tested | highest fixation ratio (%) | highest fixation rate (mmol/(min·g)) |
|----------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| S/L ratio (cement/water)   | 0.005–0.05   | 9.1% at 0.05                | 0.085 at 0.025 and 0.0075           |
| CO₂ bubbling time (min)    | 60–480       | 9.6% at 60 min              | 0.055 at 60 min                     |
| CO₂ flow rate (mL/min)     | 100–500      | 18.0% at 100 mL/min         | 0.074 at 500 mL/min                 |
| CO₂ partial pressure (atm) for 100 mL/min | 0.05–1.0 | 91.5% at 0.05 atm | 0.036 at 1 atm |
| CO₂ partial pressure (atm) for 500 mL/min | 0.05–1.0 | 33.8% at 0.05 atm | 0.074 at 1 atm |

### 4. CONCLUSIONS

Mineral carbonation by bubbling to model concrete sludge was investigated under various experimental conditions. The CO₂ fixation ratio decreased with increasing CO₂ bubbling time, CO₂ flow rate, and CO₂ partial pressure. The CO₂ fixation rate increased with increasing CO₂ flow rate and CO₂ partial pressure. The highest CO₂ fixation ratio of 91.5% was obtained at a CO₂ partial pressure of 0.05 atm, S/L ratio of 0.05, CO₂ flow rate of 100 mL/min, and bubbling time of 60 min, with the CO₂ fixation rate of 0.0092 mmol/(min·g-cement). The highest CO₂ fixation rate of 0.085 mmol/(min·g) was obtained at a CO₂ partial pressure of 1.0 atm, S/L ratio of 0.0075 or 0.025, CO₂ flow rate of 300 mL/min, and bubbling time of 60 min. The CO₂ fixation ratio under these conditions were 2.2 and 7.2%, respectively, for the S/L ratios of 0.0075 and 0.025. The formation of calcite, a form of calcium carbonate, was confirmed.
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