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Abstract

Magnetic and electrical surveys were implemented in the archaeological site of Xalasco, in the state of Tlaxcala, Mexico, in order to detect archaeological structures of interest, as well as to characterize the subsoil. The analysis of the information obtained by measuring physical properties of the subsoil allowed us to identify and understand the distribution of underground features like walls, floors and platforms, aiding in the determination of potential excavation areas. The results are presented after correcting and processing the acquired data. By applying different operators to the magnetic data, primarily the analytic signal operator, more information was acquired for the identification of the buried structures. Complementing these results through a three-dimensional inversion of Electrical Resistivity Tomography, the depth of a pre-Hispanic road was estimated. The common capabilities that exist between the magnetic and electrical surveys helped us to compare, complement and link the information provided by each method, correlating the final outcome with the results of the archaeological excavations in selected areas of interest.
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Introduction

Tlaxcala was one of the most populated regions of Mesoamerica in pre-Hispanic times; almost one thousand archeological sites have been recorded in its small territory, inhabited at different times during the last three millennia [1]. Tlaxcalas remained for a long time at the forefront of Mesoamerican cultural development, having fertile soils of volcanic origin but with a climate that presents certain disadvantages for the cultivation of maize, mainly the unexpected rains and the threat of resisting the frost season. These could be one of the reasons why the first farming villages in the area emerged after 1000BC. Throughout the Preclassic period (1000BC-100AD), hundreds of villages appeared and disappeared; many settlements suffer total abandonment, perhaps because several of its inhabitants migrated to the emerging metropolis of Teotihuacan or other early cities [2]. Nowadays, this great cultural heritage is disappearing under a growing urban spot.
investigate number of areas in the state of Tlaxcala (Figure 1), due to its history and the findings belonging to ancient times. In the 1970’s, several archaeological studies were carried out, coordinated by Mexican archaeologists Ángel García Cook and Leonor Merino Carrión and supported by the German Foundation for Scientific Research–F.A.I.C. [3,4]. Other archaeological salvage projects were carried in the area of Xalasco in 2006, 2008 and 2010 [5-7]. In addition, other projects in the sites of Teteles de Ocotitla and Xalasco have been performed since 2006 [8-11]. The present paper deals with recent archaeological and geophysical studies carried out in the pre-Hispanic site of Xalasco.

The archaeological site of Xalasco was occupied in the Classic period (200-700AD.), and was the seat of multiethnic groups of elite, artisans and/or emissaries of Teotihuacan or people who shared Teotihuacan cultural baggage. The presence of at least two cultures was observed in the materials proceeding from Xalasco: a local one called Tenayecac and another with clear Teotihuacan influences, as well as Veracruz and southern Puebla [7]. This settlement, located on a plateau in the northeast portion of Tlaxcala state, is geographically situated within the routes that communicated the coast of the Gulf of Mexico and the ancient metropolis of Teotihuacan, so probably this area functioned as a center of exchange and/or redistribution of products with some type of productive specialization [5].

García Cook [4] proposed the existence of sites in Tlaxcala within the Teotihuacan corridors, which allowed traders to establish bases between their trips to the Gulf of Mexico coast and back. This theory is based on the types of archaeological materials found in the area, some of them now deposited in the local community museum of Atltzayanca. The characteristics of the archaeological materials show a constant and dynamic interaction between different areas to the east of the plateau, so it can be thought that this settlement, along with other sites in the Tlaxcala valley, had an important role in the sustainment and development of the great city of Teotihuacan by allowing the passage of resources that came from the Gulf as well as from southeastern Mexico and the south of Puebla. Xalasco was a locality for exchange and transport of raw materials and products between these regions [7].

The main objective of this research was to detect archaeological structures through the implementation of magnetic and electrical surveys in the archaeological site of Xalasco, Tlaxcala, Mexico, in order to acquire information about their location and depth. In general, geophysical methods measure different physical properties of the materials that compose the subsoil of the area of interest. The measured properties not only depend on geological processes, but also reflect the different alterations produced by the anthropogenic activity over time. The analysis of the information obtained through the application of geophysical methods allowed us to locate, identify and understand the distribution of underground features like walls, floors, voids and platforms, aiding in the determination of potential excavation areas. The results of the geophysical study carried out on the archaeological site, as well as their verification through archaeological excavations, are shown in this work.

Regional setting

The state of Tlaxcala, located in Central Mexico highlands, is the smallest state in the national territory, with an extension of 3,997km². It borders to the north, east and south with the state of Puebla, to the northwest with the state of Hidalgo and to the west with the state of Mexico. From a physiographic point of view, it is located at the junction of the Sierra Madre Oriental with the transverse volcanic system. The archaeological site of Xalasco (Figure 2) is located in the municipality of Atltzayanca. It lies between the parallels 19°19’ and 19°30’ north and the meridians 97°42’ and 97°53’ west, with altitudes ranging between 2400 and 4400m.a.s.l. Atltzayanca borders to the north with the municipality of Terrenate and the state of Puebla, to the east with the state of Puebla and the municipalities of El Carmen Tequexquitla and Cuapiaxtla, to the south with the municipality of Cuapiaxtla, and to the west with the municipalities of Cuapiaxtla, Huamantla and Terrenate.

The local geology of the municipality of Atltzayanca (Figure 3) belongs to the Quaternary (79%) and Neogene periods (18%), being the rocks that compose it of the following types:

a. Volcanic rocks: andesites=18%, acidic tuffs=50%;

b. Sedimentary: sedimentary gap=26%, alluvial soils=3%.
The flat and lower parts of the municipality of Atltzayanca are composed of tuffs and ashes, derived from the volcanic activity of La Malinche volcano in the Lower Pliocene, which are interrupted to the south by the presence of fluvial sediments. The pedology of the area of Xalasco is characterized by poor, sandy, light-gray or yellowish soils. These soils originated from tuffs and other pyroclastic materials, which are fragmented volcanic materials constituted of a large variety of sizes, colors, minerals and deposit forms. Pumice fragments of acid and intermediate composition, andesite, trachyte and some basalt may also be found.

**Material and Methods**

**Magneto metric prospection**

Magnetometry aims to detect local variations in the intensity of the Earth’s magnetic field, also called "magnetic anomalies". For this reason, the instruments for conducting magneto metric prospections are based on the fundamental natural properties of the Earth. The application of this potential method in Archaeology is directed towards the detection of magnetic anomalies of anthropic origin. These alterations are the result of two different magnetic phenomena [12].

**Induced magnetism**: The materials present in the subsoil have different capabilities of being magnetized by the local magnetic field (magnetic susceptibility), mainly due to the amount of the ferromagnetic elements they contain.

**Remnant thermo-magnetization**: It is one of the most well-known magnetic phenomena and one of the first studied in archeology. Kilns, pottery and burned floors are usually made of clay which contain, in a greater or lesser extent, iron-oxide particles that upon heating converts to magnetite (578 °C) or maghaemite (578 °C-675 °C). Both minerals, with a high degree of magnetization, can be registered by a magnetometer, observing a strong contrast of them with respect to the magnetic field of its immediate surroundings. The remnant magnetism is a product of the composition of an object and its thermal, depositional and digenetic history.
During the magnetometric study in the site of Xalasco, two areas were selected to be surveyed. Such spaces possessed archaeological potential, according to previous reports of investigations carried out in the surrounding areas [6-7] and the great amount of surface materials. Total Field and Vertical Gradient observations were acquired from both areas with the purpose of obtaining a series of magnetic measurements. Figure 4 depict the location of the investigated areas; the blue marks belong to the first mesh, denominated M₁, and the orange marks belong to the second mesh, denominated M₂. The meshes covered an area of 44m x 35m (for M₁) and of 31 m x 50m (for M₂), and were separated approximately 50m from each other.

The equipment used for the prospection was a Cesium magnetometer (G-858 model) with a resolution of 0.0015nT. The exact position of the lectures was attained through a GPS module adapted to the magnetometer. A second magnetometer, which served as a fixed base station, was installed in the surrounding area in order to correct the diurnal variations of the primary magnetic field. The data processing was carried out using Oasis Montaj Software (© 2013 Geosoft Inc.). It is important to mention that preliminary results obtained with the magnetic method were employed to select the area for the three-dimensional Electrical Resistivity Tomography. The objective was to correlate zones with relevant magnetic anomalies with the electrical study.

Processing and creation of maps

After correcting the data for diurnal variation, the original space-domain signal was transformed into the wave-domain in order to apply suitable digital filters for obtaining a more revealing output signal, in terms of interpretation and analysis of the collected information. The analysis applied to the corrected magnetic data consisted of several types of treatments based on mathematical algorithms, making possible to remove noise and sections of the original signal that were not essential. As a result of this type of process, a “noise-free” total magnetic field anomaly could be recognized [13]. The maps in the following sections are the result of the processing performed and applied to the data of the magnetometric study.

Analytical Signal

The Analytical Signal method was first applied by Nabighian [14] in magnetic data profiles. The importance of this method is that it is theoretically independent of the Earth’s magnetic field and the magnetization vector; presenting it in the form of an acute bell where the maximum is located directly on the edges of the bodies that cause the anomalies, in addition to being proportional to their depth of burial. This process allows restricting the depth and dimensions of the causal sources.

The 3D Analytical Signal of the anomaly of a potential field can be denoted as:

\[ A(x, y) = \left( \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} \right) \left( \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \right) \]

Where, \( \phi \) is the amplitude of the analytical signal in \((x,y)\) and \( \phi \) is the observed magnetic field.

Electrical survey

A three-dimensional Electrical Resistivity Tomography (3D-ERT) was implemented to obtain information regarding the variations of the apparent resistivity of the materials in the subsoil. ERT is a method that allows investigating the variation in the resistivity of the soil, laterally and in depth, performing measurements over the surface of the ground [15]. ERT (2D and 3D) methods are based on modeling the resistivity values of the subsoil by applying numerical techniques. With the observed resistivities, a two-dimensional section or a three-dimensional model is created, depending on the technique used [15]. Subsequently, an inversion method is applied to the data to generate a mathematical model representative of the resistivity values measured on the field [16]. The final resistivity model can be visualized as an image of a geoelectric profile or a three-dimensional representation, picturing the relationship between the physical properties of the materials that characterize the subsoil.

In Xalasco, an area of 15mx47m, located within mesh 1 \((M_1)\) of the magnetic survey, was chosen to perform the 3D-ERT survey (Figure 5). Four parallel profiles of 47m of length running approximately in a north-south direction were deployed, with a separation of 5m between profiles and 2m between electrodes. The depth of investigation reached 6.7m. The equipment used was a SyscalPro48 with a switch box which allowed the employment of 48 additional electrodes, making possible to collect data from two profiles simultaneously. Copper electrodes with a ¾-inch diameter and 0.30m in length were utilized, hydrated with a solution of copper sulfate (CuSO₄). The software used for numerical data processing was Earth Imager 3D.

A combination of a Wenner-Schlumberger array (W-S) and an Equatorial array (Eq) was proposed for this investigation [16-19]. The W-S array was conducted in each of the physical lines and the Eq array was applied between parallel lines. The combination of both arrays was chosen for their high sensitivity.
to lateral and vertical changes in resistivity and because they present a high signal/noise ratio [20]. Data collected with these arrays allowed us to carry out a three-dimensional investigation of the distribution of the resistivity in the subsoil.

Figure 5: ERT survey coincident with the magnetometric study (grid M1): the red lines represent the four ERT profiles performed with a Wenner-Schlumberger array; the orange lines indicate the location of the three profiles performed with the Equatorial array.

Results and Discussion

Total magnetic field maps

Figure 6: Total-field magnetic anomalies map of grids M1 and M2.

Total Magnetic Field surveys were carried out over the surface of the terrain of the areas of interest to detect anomalies with high magnetic values related to bury structures of archaeological importance. To produce the magnetic anomaly map, collected data was corrected by taking into account the diurnal variation and the latitude effect [21]. In Figure 6 are presented the Total Field maps of both meshes showing the principal magnetic anomalies. The most remarkable anomalous contrasts are delimited by rectangles. M1 and M2 maps display several dipolar anomalies. The anomalies corresponding to the strongest contrasts between magnetic values are located in rectangles I of both maps, while the rectangles marked as II (in M1 and M2), III and IV (only in M2) include elongated anomalies with high magnetic values (between 50.55 and 69.59 nT). Visually comparing the anomalies, the high magnetic anomaly inside rectangle I of M1 is the largest in size. Its area and high magnetic values pointed out the possibility of finding an archaeological structure of important dimensions.

This hypothesis was also supported by the structures found during the archaeological excavations performed in an area located 50 m to the northeast of M1 [20]. Other important anomalies were registered in several sections of the map, surely belonging to smaller structures located in the area of study. M2 map also presents dipolar anomalies aligned in a NE-SW direction.

Analytical signal maps

The anomalies observed in the analytical signal maps (Figure 7), delimited by rectangles, and were better defined than in the Total Magnetic Field maps. The contribution of the applied process to the residual anomalies was of great importance in the characterization of zones with archaeological potential. In the Analytical Signal map of M2 within the area delimited by rectangle I-II, can be clearly distinguished an elongated anomaly (with magnetic values > 8.0 nT) than the one suggested by the Total Field map, which could indicate the presence of a larger structure, like a buried floor or platform.
In $M_1$ map, the sections delimited by rectangles II and III enclose high magnetic value anomalies (ranging from 8 to 21.7nT/m) surrounded by contrasting low magnetic values (from 1.54 to 3.05nT/m). These sections were of interest since the geometries formed by the contrasts between the magnetic values could provide evidence of buried structures. By visualizing and correlating section (II-b) of $M_1$ map with section III of $M_2$ map, it is observed that both anomalies have similar tendencies (orientated in a NW direction) and values ranging from 12 to 22.89nT/m, suggesting associated features. Therefore, it is recommended to carry out a future magneto metric study in the area between both sections, which could provide us more information about the distribution of the archaeological structures in this portion. Due to time and financial issues, this could not be accomplished in this phase of the study.

**Magnetic vertical gradient maps**

To obtain the Vertical Gradient map, the magnetic data were filtered in order to eliminate noise. Furthermore, a low pass filter was applied in addition to a directional cosine filter. This process helped to perform a better analysis and an adequate interpretation of the results obtained from the study. In Figure 8, some relevant anomalies are delimited by rectangles. This map displays dipolar anomalies with vertical gradient values ranging from -6 to 16nT/m, aligned in a NE–SW direction. These could be related to the magnetization contrasts between possible magnetic archaeological features and the surrounding soil [22].

Archaeological excavations were planned in the areas marked by rectangles I and II in order to verify the source of the anomalies in $M_2$. The anomaly present in rectangle I, at the top left corner of $M_2$ map with high magnetic values ranging from 6.46 to 13nT/m, corresponded to a compact wall made of thin andesitic stone slabs surrounded by a floor, also composed of stone slabs (Figure 9), that can be interpreted as part of a high-rank habitation or administrative complex. A visual analysis of the magnetic anomaly displayed in rectangle I-b of $M_2$ map (Figure 8) indicates the presence of remnant magnetic effects, since the anomaly is not oriented in the direction of the Earth’s magnetic field [23]. During the excavation process in this section, burned charcoal and several pieces of phalanges belonging to human skeletons were found at a depth of 60cm (Figure 10).

In rectangle II of $M_2$ map (Figure 8), an anomaly with high magnetic values ranging from 10 to 15.86nT/m is outlined. This anomaly corresponded to the first two steps of a stairway made of compact andesitic stone slabs placed over a platform covered by a floor of thin large polished stone slabs (Figure 11). The floor of the platform was encountered at a depth of 80cm.
Figure 9: Stone-slabs floor and wall registered during the excavation of M2.

Figure 10: Burned charcoal and several pieces of phalanges belonging to human skeletons found during the excavation.

Figure 11: Steps of a stairway made of compact andesitic stone slabs placed over a platform covered by a floor of thin large polished stone slabs, found in excavation of rectangle II of M2.
3D-ERT images

Figure 12: Side view of the inverted model obtained from the ERT data in Xalasco. The model shows the distribution of resistivities in the subsoil. The rectangles A and B delimit the depth of the anomalies with high resistivity values that were analyzed and correlated with the structures found during the excavations.

By means of the 3D-ERT inverted model (Figure 12), it was possible to visualize the presence of interesting electrical anomalies, whose pattern could be compared with the information provided by the magnetic method. This image shows a sort of platform that runs from north to south, approximately, towards the main ceremonial center of the site [24]. This feature has an average depth of 1m beneath the surface. Its width (E-W) is approximately 7m and its total length (N-S) cannot be specified as it runs along the entire electrical image. There are signs of being partially destroyed.

Figure 13: Superposition of the Analytical Signal map and a horizontal section taken from the 3D-ERT inversion model. High magnetic values coincide with high resistivity values of the ERT model. Rectangles I and II were excavated.

By superposing the analytical signal map with the ERT model (Figure 13), it is possible to observe the coincidence of higher resistivity values (>400ohms-m) with the high magnetic values of M1, detecting the same feature in a matching position. In addition, the magnetic map registered several minor anomalies distributed in the upper left quadrant (northwest of the mesh), which could correspond to rooms or habitation units. Based on the results obtained in the geophysical surveys, where the presence of possible architectural structures at relatively shallow depths was evidenced, an excavation was realized in the rectangles marked as I and II in Figure 13.

During the excavations executed in this portion, a large floor made of compacted dirt and cooked clay was found at a depth of 80cm, limited by stone blocks and stone slab walls in the western flank (Figure 14). The floor was around 8m width and had an N-S orientation towards the highest mound of the site; however, its total extension could not be determined. This floor can be inferred as part of a road that gave access to the main ceremonial center of the settlement. Unfortunately, it was destroyed in several parts due to modern agricultural activities [25].

With the results obtained in this research, it is possible to emphasize that the information provided by the magneto metric prospection was complemented by the ERT survey, demonstrating that the combination of both geophysical methods applied in archeological exploration provide satisfactory results, which lead to successful excavations.

Conclusion

Geophysical methods have turned out to be efficient and fast tools in the exploration of an archaeological site, representing non-invasive and non-destructive means for inferring the internal complexity of buried features of archaeological interest [26]. Therefore, their application is suitable in order to plan
systematic excavations based on the construction of maps and 3D models of individual anomalies, providing a high-success rate. Another benefit is the reduction of time and cost invested in the research. The use of geophysical methods depends entirely on the objective to be investigated and on the characteristics of the environment, in which a study of the subsoil is requested. In this research, the magnetic and electrical methods provided an optimal visualization of the information of the subsoil in the archaeological site of Xalasco, delimiting potential areas to perform systematic excavations according to the position of the anomalies, dimensions and minimum and maximum depth. The excavations revealed important pre-Hispanic features like walls, staircases and floors. The ERT method resolved the location of archaeological structures like roadways and walls, while the magnetometric method allowed us to identify many other potential targets (including collapsed structures). The verification of the anomalies through archaeological excavations supplied new insights about the internal variability of the site, registering in M1 the presence of a possible roadway that gave access to the main ceremonial area, surrounded by high-rank habitation or administrative units. The depth estimated by the ERT model coincided with the depth to which these structures were found. In M2, we were able to identify also high-rank habitation or civic units conforming by walls and floors made of fine-worked andesitic stone slabs. The overall results indicate us a relevant use of the space in this area, highly linked to the main ceremonial center and where administrative or ceremonial activities were carried out.
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