Insm1a Regulates Motor Neuron Development in Zebrafish
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Insulinoma-associated1a (insm1a) is a zinc-finger transcription factor playing a series of functions in cell formation and differentiation of vertebrate central and peripheral nervous systems and neuroendocrine system. However, its roles on the development of motor neuron have still remained uncovered. Here, we provided evidences that insm1a was a vital regulator of motor neuron development, and provided a mechanistic understanding of how it contributes to this process. Firstly, we showed the localization of insm1a in spinal cord, and primary motor neurons (PMNs) of zebrafish embryos by in situ hybridization, and imaging analysis of transgenic reporter line Tg(insm1a: mCherry)ntu805. Then we demonstrated that the deficiency of insm1a in zebrafish larvae lead to the defects of PMNs development, including the reduction of caudal primary motor neurons (CaP), and middle primary motor neurons (MiP), the excessive branching of motor axons, and the disorganized distance between adjacent CaPs. Additionally, knockout of insm1 impaired motor neuron differentiation in the spinal cord. Locomotion analysis showed that swimming activity was significantly reduced in the insm1a-null zebrafish. Furthermore, we showed that the insm1a loss of function significantly decreased the transcript levels of both olig2 and nkx6.1. Microinjection of olig2 and nkx6.1 mRNA rescued the motor neuron defects in insm1a deficient embryos. Taken together, these data indicated that insm1a regulated the motor neuron development, at least in part, through modulation of the expressions of olig2 and nkx6.1.
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INTRODUCTION

In vertebrates, motor neurons have precise subtype identities that characterized by a number of morphological criteria, such as soma location, and shape, axon path, and target muscle innervation (Shirasaki and Pfaff, 2002; Lewis and Eisen, 2003). Meanwhile, motor neurons generally extend their axonal trajectory with a highly stereotyped manner during the nervous system development (Eisen, 1991; Palaisa and Granato, 2007). It has been reported that in chick and bullfrog, their motor neuron axons always followed the conservative pathways in order to project to appropriate regions of target musculatures (Landmesser, 1980; Farel and Bemelmans, 1985). In the embryo and larva of zebrafish, there are two different kinds of spinal motor neurons, which are called primary...
motor neurons (PMNs), and secondary motor neuron (SMNs) (Myers, 1985; Myers et al., 1986). The PMNs can be further classified into three groups, caudal primary motor neurons (CaP), middle primary motor neurons (MiP), and rostral primary motor neurons (RoP), by the positions of somata in the spinal cord, and the trajectory of neuron axons (Myers et al., 1986; Westerfield et al., 1986). CaPs, whose somata locate in the middle of each spinal cord hemisegment, can innervate ventral axial muscle, and have been well-studied because of their easy observation and distinct axon projection (Myers et al., 1986; Rodino-Klapac and Beattie, 2004). MiPs project axons to innervate the dorsal axial muscle, while RoPs project axons to control the middle muscle (Rodino-Klapac and Beattie, 2004). Although the somata of the three identifiable PMNs are localized in different position in the spinal cord, their axons pioneer to the myoseptum through a mutual exit point (Eisen et al., 1986). Due to the identifiability of the three kinds of PMNs, they have already become an excellent system to study motor axon guidance and their intraspinal navigation (Beattie et al., 2002).

The insulinoma-associated 1 (insm1) gene, which is first isolated from an subtraction cDNA library of insulinoma tumor cells, encodes a DNA-binding zinc finger transcription factor with SNAG repressor motifs in N-terminal as well as Cys2-His2 Zn finger motifs in C-terminal, and widely expresses in the developing nervous system, endocrine cells, pancreatic cells, and related neuroendocrine tumor cells (Goto et al., 1992; Xie et al., 2002; Jacob et al., 2009; Lan and Breslin, 2009; Jia et al., 2015b). Consequently, extensive studies focused on the biological function of insm1 in nervous, and endocrine cell proliferation, differentiation, and transformation have been reported in the model organisms (Farkas et al., 2008; Wildner et al., 2008; Lan and Breslin, 2009; Ramachandran et al., 2012; Jia et al., 2015a,b). For example, in the insm1 knockout mice, its endocrine progenitor in the developing pancreas were less differentiated, meanwhile hormone production, and cell migration also exhibited seriously defects (Osipovich et al., 2014). Farkas et al. reported that compared to the wild type and heterozygous mice, the number of basal progenitors in the insm1 null dorsal telencephalon (dTel) was decreased almost half, and the radial thickness of dTel cortical plate as well as the neurogenesis in the neocortex were also predominantly reduced after lacking insm1 gene (Farkas et al., 2008). In the zebrafish, insm1a can regulate a series of related genes, which are necessary for the Müller glia (MG) formation, and differentiation as well as the zone definition of injury-responsive MG to participate in the retina regeneration (Ramachandran et al., 2012). Moreover, it was also reported that during the development of zebrafish retina, insm1 could regulate cell cycle kinetics and differentiation of the progenitor cells by acting the upstream of the basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) transcription factors, and the photoreceptor specification genes (Forbes-Osborne et al., 2013). Although insm1a is widely detected in the nervous system and its necessity in the brain and retina development have been also illuminated well, little is known about the function and molecular mechanisms of insm1a on the formation and development of other neuronal types, especially in the zebrafish.

The zebrafish has become an excellent model system to investigate the mechanisms of the neuron formation and its axonal pathfinding due to the accessible observation of motor neurons from the initial stages of embryo development (Zelenchuk and Bruses, 2011). Here, we examined the function of insm1a in the primary motor neurons development by CRISPR/Cas9-mediated knockout in the Tg(mnx1:GFP)ml2 transgenic zebrafish and investigated the possible transcriptional network during this process.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Zebrafish Line and Breeding**

The zebrafish embryos and adults were maintained in zebrafish Center of Nantong University under conditions in accordance with our previous protocols (Xu et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2016). The transgenic zebrafish line, Tg(mnx1:GFP)ml2, has been described in the previous work (Zelenchuk and Bruses, 2011).

**RNA Isolation, Reverse Transcription and Quantitative PCR**

Total RNA was extracted from zebrafish embryos by TRIzol reagent according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Invitrogen, USA). Genomic contaminations were removed by DNasel, and then 2 µg total RNA was reversely transcribed using a reversed first strand cDNA synthesis kit (Fermentas, USA) and stored at −20°C. qRT-PCR was performed using the corresponding primers (Supplementary Table 1) in a 20 µl reaction volume with 10 µl SYBR premix (Takara, Japan) and elongation factor 1a (ef1a) was used as the internal control. All samples were analyzed in triplicate.

**Whole Mount In situ Hybridization**

A 501 bp cDNA fragment of insm1a was amplified from the cDNA library that established from wild type (WT) AB embryos using the specific primers of insm1a F1 and insm1a R1 (Supplementary Table 1). DIGoxigenin-labeled sense and antisense probes were synthesized using linearized pGEM-T easy vector subcloned with this insm1a fragment by in vitro transcription with DIG-RNA labeling Kit (Roche, Switzerland). Zebrafish embryos and larvae were collected and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for one night. The fixed samples were then dehydrated through a series of increasing concentrations of methanol and stored at −20°C in 100% methanol eventually. Whole mount in situ hybridization was subsequently performed as described in the previous study (Huang et al., 2013).

**Establishment of Tg(insm1a: EGFP)ntu804 and Tg(insm1a: mCherry)ntu805 Transgenic Line**

Transgenic zebrafish were created using the Tol2kit transgenesis system and Gateway vectors. The insm1a promoter was cloned and insert into the p5E-MCS entry vector. A multiSite Gateway vector construction reaction (Invitrogen, USA) was conducted with the resulting p5E-insm1a together with pME-EGFP (or mCherry) and p3E-polyA subcloned into the
pDest Tol2pA2 to produce insm1a: EGFP or insm1a: mCherry construct. Subsequently, this construct was co-injected with tol2-transposase mRNAs into zebrafish one to two-cell-stage embryos to create the Tg(insm1a: EGFP)ntu804 and Tg(insm1a: mCherry)ntu805 transgenic line.

sgRNA/ Cas9 mRNA Synthesis and Injection
Cas9 mRNA was obtained by in vitro transcription with the linearized plasmid pX7-Cas9 according to the procedure previously described. The sgRNAs were transcribed from the DNA templates that amplified by PCR with a pIT7 plasmid as the template, a specific forward primer and a universal reverse primer (Supplementary Table 1) (Chang et al., 2013; Qi et al., 2016). Transgenic zebrafish lines Tg(mnx1-GFP)ntu82, were natural mated to obtain embryos for microinjection. One to two-cell stage zebrafish embryos were injected with 2–3 nl of a solution containing 250 ng/µl Cas9 mRNA and 15 ng/µl sgRNA. At 24 h post fertilization (hpf), zebrafish embryos were randomly raised in a 24-well-culture plate with one larva in each well-filled with 1 ml E3 medium. The 24-well-culture plate was transferred to the Zebralab Video-Track system (Zebrabox, France) equipped with a sealed opaque plastic box that kept insulated from laboratory environment, an infrared filter and a monochrome camera. After adapting for 30 min, traveled distances of the larvae were videotaped with every 5 mins forming a movement distance and trajectory by the linked software.

Locomotion Analysis in Zebrafish Larvae
To determine whether the deficiency of insm1a affect spontaneous movement, knockout, and normal larvae were raised in a 24-well-culture plate with one larva in each well-filled with 1 ml E3 medium. The 24-well-culture plate was transferred to the Zebralab Video-Track system (Zebrabox, France) equipped with a sealed opaque plastic box that kept insulated from laboratory environment, an infrared filter and a monochrome camera. After adapting for 30 min, traveled distances of the larvae were videotaped with every 5 mins forming a movement distance and trajectory by the linked software.

Microscopy and Statistical Analysis
Zebrafish embryos were anesthetized with E3/0.16 mg/mL tricaine/1% 1-phenyl-2-thiourea (Sigma, USA) and embedded in 0.8% low melt agarose, and then were examined with a Leica TCS-SP5 LSM confocal imaging system. For the results of in situ hybridization, Photographs were taken using an Olympus stereomicroscope MVX10. Statistical comparisons of the data were carried out by student’s t-test or one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Duncan's test, and P < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. All statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS 13.0 software (SPSS, USA).

RESULT
Ins1a is Expressed in Spinal Cord and PMNs of Zebrafish
To analyze the expression of insm1a in zebrafish nervous system, we performed the whole amount in situ hybridization (WISH) analysis with a digoxigenin-labeled insm1a probe. Similar to the previous study (Lukowski et al., 2006), at late somitogenesis (24 hpf) insm1a transcripts were apparently localized in ventral part of the neurons in the spinal cord, where most of the motor neurons located at this stage (Figure 1A).

To further determine the localization of insm1a, we generated the Tg(insm1a: EGFP)ntu804 and Tg(insm1a: mCherry)ntu805 transgenic zebrafish lines, in which the insm1a promoter directed expression of EGFP or mCherry respectively. It was shown that at 30 hpf the insm1a-mCherry and insm1a:EGFP expression was observed in the spinal cord, retina and brain, which was similar with the results of in situ hybridization (Figures 1B,B’; Supplementary Figures 1A,A’). (Lukowski et al., 2006). In addition, we found that insm1a:EGFP expression was highly activated in Müller glia of injury sites in adult zebrafish retina (Supplementary Figure 1B), which was consistent with the ISH data carried out by other researchers (Ramachandran et al., 2012). These results suggested that the transgenes recapitulated the endogenous insm1a expression.

To investigate whether insm1a is expressed in motor neurons, we outcrossed Tg(insm1a: mCherry)ntu805 transgenic line with Tg(mnx1-GFP)ntu82 line, in which motor neurons were labeled with GFP (Zelenchuk and Bruses, 2011). We found that the GFP+ motor neurons were also labeled with mCherry fluorescence (Figures 1C–C”), suggesting insm1a was expressed in motor neurons.

Knockout of insm1a Caused Primary Motor Neurons Developmental Defect
In order to examine whether insm1a is required for the development of motor neuron, the CRISPR/Cas9 system was utilized to knockout insm1a in Tg(mnx1-GFP)ntu82 transgenic zebrafish line. To ensure complete disruption of functional proteins, we chose the target sites near the translation start codon (ATG) in the exon1 of zebrafish insm1a (Supplementary Figure 2A). The selected gRNA-Cas9 system efficiently induced mutations in the targeting site with four types of mutations were identified (Supplementary Figure 2B). The mutated alleles included a 5-bp deletion, an 8-bp deletion and two 10-bp deletions, which all resulted in reading frame shift and premature translation termination (Supplementary Figure 2C). In addition,
Insm1a Regulates Motor Neuron Development

These lines showed the same phenotypes and the 8-bp deletion mutant line was used for the following experiments.

It was observed that insm1a knockout caused obvious developmental defect of motor neurons (Figure 2). Firstly, the number of MiPs and CaPs were significantly reduced in the insm1a mutants (Figure 2A). We counted the number of Caps and classified the zebrafish embryo into three categories by its defective degree: severe group with over 80% loss of Caps, moderate group with <80% loss and normal group with <20% loss (In the following statistical analysis, the zebrafish with <20% loss was defined as normal, whereas, it was abnormal). These results revealed that 48.1% severe and 32.1% moderate defect were found in the insm1a mutants, while there was only 7.9% moderate defect in the control group (Figure 2B). Similarly, the MiPs were also obviously impaired in insm1a knockout embryos (Figures 2A,F). Importantly, we found that these abnormal phenotypes of motor neurons could not recover at later stages we checked (Supplementary Figure 3).

Moreover, the morphology of motor neurons was significantly affected in the insm1a mutants (Figures 2A,D,E). The axons of Caps in insm1a mutants were shorter and failed to reach the ventral musculatures. The branches density of the Caps in insm1a mutants was higher than that in control. For example, in the insm1a mutants, there were around 34 branch points of per 1 mm CaP axon at 48 hpf, while only 31 in control embryos (Figure 2E). With the larvae development, the excess branching became more, and more pronounced (Supplementary Figure 3). In addition, statistical analysis revealed that the average length of each CaP axon in the insm1a mutants was 707.9 µm at 48 hpf, while in control embryos it increased to 1367.9 µm (Figure 2D). Interestingly, we also found that the distances between adjacent CaPs were significantly variant in insm1a mutants (Figure 2C). In order to validate the developmental defects of motor neuron was specifically caused by the insm1a inactivation, further experiments were carried out. The embryos that injected with an insm1a translation blocking morpholino displayed the similar motor neuron with that observed in the insm1a mutants (Supplementary Figure 4). To confirm phenotypic specificity induced by the insm1a MO injection, we performed rescue experiment by co-injection of 50 ng of insm1a mRNA with...
Primary motor neuron morphogenesis defects in the insm1a mutant zebrafish embryos. (A) Confocal imaging analysis of primary motor neuron in control group and insm1a mutant groups at 30 hpf and 48 hpf Tg(mnx1:GFP)ml2. Caps in dash line are showed in diagrams. Scale bar = 50 μm. (B) Quantification of zebrafish embryos with abnormal Caps. The zebrafish embryos are classified into three categories according to its loss degree: severe group with over 80% loss of Caps, moderate group with 50% to 80% loss of Caps, and normal group.

(Continued)
**Ins1a Deficiency Suppressed Neuronal Cells Differentiation**

The confocal imaging analysis discovered that there were a number of round and not well-differentiated GFP positive cells in Tg(mm1::GFP)m2 insm1a mutants (Figure 3A). Statistical analysis showed that at 30 and 48 hpf the number of these undifferentiated cell in the insm1a deficiency zebrafish was significantly higher than that in the control fish (Figure 3B). We also observed these undifferentiated cells in insm1a morphants, however the number was less than that in mutants (Figures 3A,B).

To further investigate the cellular mechanism underlying the motor neuronal defects in insm1a deficient embryos, we performed confocal time-lapse imaging analysis. It was found that in control embryos the axon of CaP sprouted from the spinal cord, and extended toward to the ventral muscle (Figure 3C). In control embryos the axon of CaP started to branch when it passed through the midline, while in insm1a mutants the axon initiated to branch once it came out from the spinal cord (Figures 3A,C, Supplementary Movies 1, 2). In addition, we found that those round GFP positive cells did not develop neuronal projections (Figures 3A,C, Supplementary Movie 1).

**Knockout of insm1a Reduced the Zebrafish Swimming Activity**

In order to investigate whether the motor neuron defects affects the motor ability, insm1a mutant zebrafish larvae were further performed for 40-min free-swimming activity test independent of any stimuli at 7 and 10 dpf. It demonstrated that the movement trajectory and swimming distance per 5 mins, which could reflect the swimming speed, of insm1a mutant zebrafish larvae were significantly decreased compared to that in the control (Figure 4). The movie in the Supplementary Material showed that swimming behavior could be easily discovered in the control group, while the zebrafish in mutant group kept involuntomotory (Supplementary Movie 3). Additionally, we also discovered that under the stereoscopic microscope the mutant zebrafish become insensitive to the touch stimuli (data not shown).

**The insm1a Deficiency Caused Alteration of Gene Expression Involved in Motor Neuron Development**

Since insm1a is a transcription factor, we supposed that motor neuron developmental defects in insm1a deficient embryos were associated with altered expression of downstream genes of insm1a or the genes participating in the motor neuron development. Based on the previous studies, NNR2a, NNR2b, islet2, Asci1a, Asci1b, shh, Ngn2, Nkx6.1, and olig2 were selected to do the qRT-PCR analysis in wild-type (WT) and insm1a deficiency zebrafish embryos (Park et al., 2002; Hutchinson et al., 2007; Davis-Dusenbery et al., 2014; Barreiro-Iglesias et al., 2015). The results showed that expressions of NNR2a, NNR2b, islet2, Asci1a, and Asci1b were significantly influenced in the insm1a deficiency zebrafish compared to the control (Supplementary Figure 5). We also found that the expression of shh was obviously elevated in insm1a mutants at 19, 24, and 36 hpf (Supplementary Figure 5). Interestingly, olig2 and nksx.1 transcripts dramatically decreased in insm1a deficient embryos (Figures 5A,B).

**Olig2 and nksx6.1 Over Expression Rescued the Motor Neuron Defects in insm1a Deficient Embryos**

As the downregulation of olig2 and nksx6.1 in insm1a loss of function embryos, we reasoned that insm1a might bind the transcriptional regulatory elements of these two genes. Based on the JASPAR 2016 database (Mathelier et al., 2016) analysis, we found that both olig2 and nksx6.1 contained the putative binding sites of Ins1a, suggesting Ins1a directly regulates the expression of olig2 and nksx6.1 during PMNs development. To investigate whether the motor neuronal defects in insm1a deficient embryos were caused by reduced expression of olig2 and nksx6.1, we tried to rescue the phenotype with olig2 and nksx6.1 overexpression in insm1a deficient embryos. It was shown that co-injection both olig2 and nksx6.1 mRNA respectively with insm1a MO significantly reduced the motor neuronal defects caused by loss of insm1a (Figures 5C,D). 69.6% zebrafish embryos injected with insm1a MO at 48 hpf had the motor neuron developmental defects, while only 42.1% had the motor neuronal phenotype in the olig2 mRNA and insm1a MO co-injection group (Figure 5D). Similarly, after nksx6.1 mRNA and insm1a MO co-injection, the ratio of motor neuronal phenotype decreased to 38.6% (Figure 5D).

**DISCUSSION**

As one of the most conserved zinc-finger transcriptional factor, insm1a plays important roles in various biological processes in vertebrates (Wildner et al., 2008; Jacob et al., 2009; Forbes-Osborne et al., 2013; Osipovich et al., 2014; Jia et al., 2015b; Lorenzen et al., 2015). Previous studies have identified its role in regulating the endocrine cells divisions of the pancreas, the neuroendocrine development, the differentiation of retina progenitors and neurogenesis of nervous system (Gierl et al., 2006; Duggan et al., 2008; Farkas et al., 2008; Jacob et al., 2009;
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FIGURE 3 | Insm1a deficiency suppressed neuronal cells differentiation. (A) Confocal imaging analysis of primary motor neuron in control group, insm1a mutant group and morphant group at 30 and 48 hpf Tg(mnx1:GFP)ml2. Phenotypes of neuronal cells in the spinal cord in control group, morphant group, and insm1a mutant groups at 30 hpf and 48 hpf. Asterisks indicate undifferentiated neuronal cells. Scale bar = 50 μm. (B) Quantification of the undifferentiated neuronal cell in the insm1a different treatment zebrafish. Asterisks above the bars indicate significant differences (* P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01). (C) Time-lapse imaging analysis of the primary motor neuron in control group and insm1a mutant groups. Asterisks represent undifferentiated neuronal cells. Scale bar = 50 μm

Lan and Breslin, 2009; Ramachandran et al., 2012). Currently, our data in this study provided with new insights into the role of insm1a in motor neuron development.

Our WISH data and previous study (Ramachandran et al., 2012) demonstrated that insm1a transcripts were detected in retina and spinal cord at 24 hpf. Furthermore, imaging analysis of our established transgenic reporter line Tg(insm1a: EGFP)ntu804 and Tg(insm1a: mCherry)ntu805 verified the results of in situ hybridization, and revealed the expression of EGFP or mCherry that were driven by insm1a promoter in the PMNs. It is well-known that the spinal cord contains PMNs which project their axons out of the spinal cord to the terminal musculature with the embryo development (Davis-Dusenbery et al., 2014). Taken together, the localization data of insm1a from both in situ hybridization analysis and the study based on transgenic reporter line suggested that insm1a might participate in the regulation of PMNs development.

To test whether insm1a was required for formation of PMNs, we generated CRISPR/Cas9-mediated insm1a mutants, and showed that obvious motor neuron loss and defects of the PMNs axons. Moreover, we also performed insm1a knockdown, and the results showed similar PMNs defects as the ones produced by the insm1a knockout. In wild embryos, exuberant side branches developed at around 72 hpf, and then invaded into myotome to form distributed neuromuscular synapses (Liu and Westerfield, 1990; Downes and Granato, 2004). These results suggested that insm1a was pivotal for the primary motor axon development to block precociously extending into muscle territories. Additionally, locomotion analysis displayed a typical low activity swimming behavior in insm1a mutant zebrafish. It was known motor neuron was a major kind of cell type that regulated swimming behavior in zebrafish during early development (Brustein et al., 2003). Previous studies also showed a significant involvement of motor neuron in the overall locomotor behavior (Flanagan-Steeet et al., 2005; Levin et al., 2009). Currently, the decrease of swimming activity in this study was consistent with the motor neuron defects in the insm1a knockout zebrafish.
Another prominent phenotype in the insm1a deficiency zebrafish was the disorganized distance between adjacent Caps, which might be caused by the ectopic departure of motor axons from the spinal cord (Palaisa and Granato, 2007). During the zebrafish PMNs development, the three kinds of PMN axons firstly longitudinally migrated toward a segmental spinal cord exit point, and then diverged to individual-specific trajectories (Eisen et al., 1986; Myers et al., 1986). It has been reported that axonal exit sites at the spinal cord might be restricted and conserved (Niederlander and Lumsden, 1996). However, the change of distance between adjacent motor axon and the formation of abnormal axons in our study suggested that the motor axons could form exit points at any positions along entire length of spinal cord in insm1a mutants. The similar phenotypes were also showed in plexin A3 and semaphorin 3A morphants (Feldner et al., 2007; Palaisa and Granato, 2007; Tanaka et al., 2007). Additionally, Birely et al. reported the phenotype that motor axons departed from the spinal cord at the ectopic points accompanied with defects in slow muscle fiber development (Birely et al., 2005). These studies suggested that the low activity swimming behavior in insm1a mutant zebrafish might be involved in the ectopic departure of motor axons from the spinal cord.

In this study we also found that loss function of Insm1a obviously impaired the motor neuronal differentiation. Similarly, it was shown that Insm1a regulated cell differentiation and migration in zebrafish retinal development and regeneration (Ramachandran et al., 2012; Forbes-Osborne et al., 2013). In vertebrates, Insml stimulates cell cycle exit by suppressing expression of cell proliferation related genes and relieving repression of p57kip2, a cyclin kinase inhibitor that along with p27kip1 drives cell cycle exit (Dyer and Cepko, 2001). One consequence of insm1a driven cell cycle exit is progenitor differentiation (Ramachandran et al., 2012). The undifferentiated cells in the spinal cord of insm1a mutants confirmed the role of this transcriptional factor in cell differentiation in more cell types.

A series of genes have been identified to contribute to motor neuron formation and development (Park et al., 2002; Cheesman et al., 2004). It has been reported that nkx6.1 and olig2 were dynamically expressed in zebrafish motor neuron and required for motor neuron development. Downregulation of the two genes lead to developmental defect of motor neuron, which was similar with that in insm1a mutant (Park et al., 2002; Cheesman et al., 2004; Hutchinson et al., 2007). Conversely, overexpression of nkx6.1 or olig2 by mRNA injection could significantly promote the development of the PMNs (Park et al., 2002; Hutchinson et al., 2007). Current study revealed that the inactivation of insm1a resulted in the significant decrease of nkx6.1 and olig2 expression levels. Furthermore, olig2 and nkx6.1 overexpression rescued the motor neuron defects in insm1a deficient embryos. These data suggested that insm1a regulated the motor neuron development, at least in part, by regulating the expressions of olig2, and nkx6.1.
Gong et al. Insm1a Regulates Motor Neuron Development

FIGURE 5 | Over expressions of nkx6.1 and olig2 rescued the motor neuron defects in insm1a deficient embryos. (A,B). Effects of insm1a knockdown on the expressions of nkx6.1 and olig2 at 19, 24, 36, and 48 hpf. Asterisks indicate significant differences (* P < 0.05). (C) Abnormal Caps in insm1a knockdown zebrafish embryos were restored by co-injection of nkx6.1 or olig2 mRNA. Diagrams of Caps in dash line are displayed near the corresponding confocal image. Scale bar = 50 µm. (D) Quantification of zebrafish embryos with abnormal Cap primary motor neuron. Asterisks indicate significant differences (** P < 0.01).
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