Direct Production of Ti–Fe Alloy in Liquid by Electrowinning in Molten Slag
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Aiming at the direct production of Ti–Fe alloy, its direct electrowinning has been attempted in a CaF2–CaO–TiO2–FeO bath by using a direct-current electro-slag remelting unit. The experiments were carried out in the slag where the molar ratio of CaO to TiO2 was fixed as 1.5, and the influence of the molar ratio of FeO to TiO2 was mainly investigated. The experimental results proved that Ti–Fe alloy in liquid could be obtained at the limiting bath compositional range. At the molar ratio of FeO to TiO2 was 0.90, both the Ti content in the deposit and the cathodic current efficiency were the best under the conditions in this study; the Ti content reached about 50 wt%, and the cathodic current efficiency also did about 50% at best.
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1. Introduction

The addition of Ti in steel is effective in the elimination or inactivation of C, N, S and O because Ti has a strong affinity with these elements. Ferro-titanium (Ti–Fe alloy) is widely used for this purpose as well as Ti metal itself. Steel makers are one of the major users of Ti actually, and their Ti consumption must increase remarkably considering a great demand of high quality steel in future.

Titanium is not a rare element in the crust, and the natural resource of Ti is oxide ore because of its strong affinity with O. Conversely, this strong affinity limits the process of Ti metal production, and Kroll process is only the current industrial process. Kroll process which has been well developed gives Ti metal of very high quality, but includes inefficient steps. Therefore, the production cost of Ti metal is hardly lowered in the present situation, which obstructs the wider use of Ti metal. A breakthrough in Ti production has been strongly required to reduce the production cost. Actually, many well-designed processes have been proposed,1–3) but they have not been industrialized yet.

Ferro-titanium is produced by remelting of iron/steel with Ti metal from Kroll process or Ti scrap. Ferro-titanium production from primary Ti metal is restricted by the issues of Kroll process, and that from Ti scrap has to depend on uncertain supply of Ti scrap. In the latter case, the contamination of alloying elements in Ti scrap must be also taken account of. The direct production of ferro-titanium from Ti natural resource is strongly required, though it has not been actualized.

Our group has been investigated the direct electrolysis of Ti by using a DC-ESR (direct current electro-slag remelting) unit.4) In the process, a very high direct current passed through a slag bath containing TiO2, and Ti metal was electrodeposited on a cathode at the bottom of the container. In the case that the bath temperature was sufficiently high, Ti metal was deposited in liquid, and the cathodic current efficiency became rather high. Not only pure Ti metal, but also Ti–Al alloy could be directly obtained in a bath with TiO2 and Al2O3 by the same process.5) It is reported that the cathodic current efficiency of the Ti–Al electrolysis was better than that in the Ti electrolysis; it was inferred that the higher cathodic current efficiency was caused by the higher bath temperature due to the bigger consumed electric power with the change in the electric conductivity of the bath.

Electrolytic co-deposition of Ti with Fe is difficult normally in molten slag as well as in an aqueous solution considering the large difference between their redox potentials.6) However, there is a possibility of the co-deposition of Ti with Fe by the process using a DC-ESR unit because the very high cathodic reaction rate according to large direct current in the process may cause the insufficient supply of Fe ion to the cathode. Considering that major natural Ti resources are complexes of Ti and Fe oxides, the efficient process using Ti resources can be proposed. It is also regarded as the advantage of the proposed process that the process can be started and stopped easily, namely can be operated on demand.

In this study, direct electrowinning of Ti–Fe alloy was attempted in a molten CaF2–CaO–TiO2–FeO bath by using a small DC-ESR unit. From the analysis of the electrodeposits, the necessary conditions for effective Ti–Fe electrowinning were discussed. Since it has been shown that the composition of the slag bath had a remarkable influence on the Ti electrolysis7) and Ti–Al electrolysis,8) the dependence on the bath composition was investigated in detail.

2. Experimental

Figure 1 illustrates the experimental setup. A water-cooled Cu vessel (110 mm in inner diameter, 400 mm in
height) was settled on a water-cooled Cu base-mold. The base-mold was connected to the minus terminal of a DC power supply (Sansha Electric MFG, current-controlled, maximum voltage: 100 V, maximum current: 2 kA). A steel plate was set on the base-mold, and used as cathode. A graphite rod (50 mm in diameter) was suspended in the middle of the vessel, and acted as anode.

A CaF₂–CaO–TiO₂–FeO mixture was used as an electrolysis bath. A base slag was a commercial CaF₂–CaO mixture (DENKA, 80:20 in weight) or a premelted CaF₂–TiO₂ mixture (made in our lab., 80:20 in mole), and the composition was adjusted by addition of CaF₂, CaO, TiO₂ and FeO in it. In the previous study on the Ti electrolysis in a CaF₂–CaO–TiO₂ bath, it was shown that the cathodic current efficiency strongly depended on the molar ratio of CaO to TiO₂ in the slag bath, \( R_{CaO/TiO_2} \), and that the optimum ratio was 1.5. Based on this result, the molar ratio of CaO to TiO₂ was fixed as 1.5 in this study, while the molar ratio of FeO to TiO₂, \( R_{FeO/TiO_2} \), was varied.

The slag mixture was filled in the vessel, and electrolysis started by the so-called “cold-start” procedure. Electrolysis was carried out under a current-controlled condition with Ar blowing, and the distance between the graphite anode and the steel cathode plate was controlled to be about 30 mm. Applied current was 1.2 kA ordinarily, and the current and cell voltage were recorded during the operation. The cell voltage was altered with the conductivity of the bath, so that the consumed electric power changed consequently.

After the electrolysis, the electrodeposits and the solidified salt were taken out, and analyzed by EDX and XRD. The composition of the electrodeposit shown in this paper was an average at some points of the cross section of some deposits. The cathodic current efficiency was calculated from the weight of the deposit and the composition of electrodeposits with the presumption of the overall electrode reactions as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
Ti (IV) + 4e^- & = Ti \\
Fe (II) + 2e^- & = Fe
\end{align*}
\]

3. Results and Discussion

Figure 2 shows a typical change in current and cell voltage during the electrolysis. In the beginning of electrolysis by cold-start procedure, the slag mixture in solid was melted by arc discharge. Consequently, slag splashes were seen and the voltage oscillated violently. No electrodeposits was obtained under any electrolytic condition in the experiment where the electrolysis was stopped just after the voltage oscillation. After a few minutes, a molten slag bath was formed in the cell. The voltage oscillation calmed down though some slag splashes were still seen. Because a conduction current seemed to pass through the slag bath in this situation, the electrode reaction could be expected. Actually, the amount of the electrodeposits tended to increase with the electrolysis time after the subsidence of the voltage oscillation.

Metal deposit with smooth surface piled up on the cathode, and metallic pieces were also recovered from the solidified slag as shown in Figure 3. The metallic pieces have a round and smooth surface. The appearance of the electrodeposits indicates that they deposited in liquid, same as the liquid deposits were obtained in the Ti–Al electrolysis by the same process. The interface of the deposits on the cathode and the Fe base-plate was indistinct by melting, and the deposits could not be separated from the plate. The slag including water-insoluble CaF₂ was also involved in the deposit. Therefore, the accurate composition of the whole deposit was hardly analyzed.

The amount of the electrodeposits depended on not only the electrolysis time mentioned above and also the composition of the slag bath. Figure 4 shows the dependence of the cathodic current efficiency on \( R_{FeO/TiO_2} \). The cathodic
current efficiency strongly depended on $R_{\text{FeO/TiO}_2}$, having a peak, about 50%, at $R_{\text{FeO/TiO}_2} = 0.90$ under the condition in this study. The cathodic current efficiencies in the Ti electrolysis in a CaF$_2$–CaO–TiO$_2$ bath and the Ti–Al electrolysis in a CaF$_2$–CaO–TiO$_2$–Al$_2$O$_3$ bath were reported to be strongly affected by the composition of the slag bath. The maximum efficiencies in the Ti electrolysis were about 25% at the molar ratio of CaO to TiO$_2 = 1.5$,$^7$ while that in the Ti–Al electrolysis was about 50% at the molar ratio of Al$_2$O$_3$ to TiO$_2 = 1.33$.$^5$

Figure 5 shows the relationship between $R_{\text{FeO/TiO}_2}$ and the consumed electric power. The consumed electric power was strongly influenced by $R_{\text{FeO/TiO}_2}$, because the electric conductivity changed with the composition and the operation was performed under a current-controlled condition with a similar distance between the electrodes. A similar dependence of the consumed electric power on the bath composition was also seen in the Ti electrolysis in a CaF$_2$–CaO–TiO$_2$ bath$^7$ and the Ti–Al electrolysis in a CaF$_2$–CaO–TiO$_2$–Al$_2$O$_3$ bath.$^5$ In Fig. 5, the consumed electric power has a peak at $R_{\text{FeO/TiO}_2} = 0.90$. The similarity is seen in the tendencies in Fig. 5 and that in Fig. 4.

The influences of $R_{\text{FeO/TiO}_2}$ on the cathodic current efficiency shown in Fig. 4 and on the consumed electric power shown in Fig. 5 suggest the correlation between the consumed electric power and the cathodic current efficiency. The relationship between the consumed electric power and the cathodic current efficiency in the Ti–Fe electrolysis is shown in Fig. 6, where those in the Ti electrolysis and the Ti–Al electrolysis are also plotted. The cathodic current efficiency enlarged with the increase in the consumed electric power remarkably, and the results seem to be induced to the same relation regardless of the types of deposited metal. This relation can be explained by the indirect effect of the bath composition; the bath temperature was dominated by the consumed electric power, and the formation of a metal-pool on the cathode at a high temperature was important to capture the deposit efficiently. This inference suggests that the dominant factor in the proposed process is not a high consumed power itself but a sufficient temperature. The operation with smaller energy can be expected by controlling the cooling of the system.

Figure 7 shows the Ti content in the deposit obtained in the various slag baths. The deposit usually consisted of Fe mainly, and the Ti content was very low. Only in the bath around $R_{\text{FeO/TiO}_2} = 0.90$, the deposit containing Ti was obtained, and the Ti content shows a peak of 50 wt% at $R_{\text{FeO/TiO}_2} = 0.90$. Figure 8 shows the typical XRD patterns of the deposits. Only the peaks of Fe were observed in the deposit obtained in the bath of $R_{\text{FeO/TiO}_2} = 0.70$. Contrastively, a Ti–Fe compound was detected in the deposit from the bath.
of \( R_{\text{FeO/TiO}_2} = 0.90 \), though the diffraction peaks of low-valent Ti oxide and unidentifiable peaks were found. These results are consistent with the results shown in Fig. 7.

The dependence of the cathodic current efficiency and the Ti content in the deposit on the applied current observed in the bath of \( R_{\text{FeO/TiO}_2} = 0.90 \) was shown in Fig. 9. Both the current efficiency and the Ti content seemed to increase slightly with the increase with the current, but the correlations were unobvious. The applied current was considered not to be a dominant factor of the electrolysis under the condition in this study. However, because the applied current could not be changed so much to perform the electrolysis stably in this study, the further study on the influence of the applied current should be necessary.

The deposit containing Ti was obtained only in the bath around \( R_{\text{FeO/TiO}_2} = 0.90 \) in the Ti–Fe electrolysis, whereas Ti–Al alloy was obtained in the bath of wider composition in the Ti–Al electrolysis.8) Since the redox potentials of Al and Ti are close in various fused salt systems,6) the co-deposition of Ti with Al should not be difficult in the proposed process. Meanwhile, Ti is hardly reduced in various baths as compared with Fe,6) so the co-deposition cannot be explained from the view of equilibrium. From the result that the deposit containing Ti was obtained by the electrolysis with good cathodic current efficiency, it was suggested that the large cathodic overpotential and electrolysis current enabled the co-deposition of Ti with Fe kinetically. Even by the electrolysis in the bath of \( R_{\text{FeO/TiO}_2} = 0.90 \), the Ti content fluctuated. Other conditions, such as the bath temperature and other balances of the bath composition except \( R_{\text{FeO/TiO}_2} \), seemed to affect the electrolysis kinetically.

In the previous research on the Ti electrolysis in a CaF\(_2\)–CaO–TiO\(_2\) bath,7) it was inferred that the change in the bath composition caused the change in the Ti ion state in the bath and affected the electrode reaction consequently. In this study, the molar ratio of CaO to TiO\(_2\) in the electrolytic bath, \( R_{\text{CaO/TiO}_2} \), was fixed as 1.5 based on this result. However, CaO should be an O donor, while TiO\(_2\) and FeO should act as acceptor. More efficient electrolysis of Ti–Fe by the process may be achieved by optimizing \( R_{\text{CaO/TiO}_2} \) and \( R_{\text{FeO/TiO}_2} \) in the bath simultaneously. To clarify the influence of the bath composition on the electrode reaction itself, the detailed electrochemical measurements is needed.

4. Conclusion

It was shown that Ti–Fe alloy in liquid was directly obtained in a CaF\(_2\)–CaO–TiO\(_2\)–FeO bath by the process using a DC-ESR unit, and the necessary condition was discussed.

The metal electrodeposit usually consisted of Fe, and the Ti content was very low. Only in the slag bath of the particular composition, the deposit contained Ti. The bath where the molar ratio of FeO to TiO\(_2\), \( R_{\text{FeO/TiO}_2} \), was 0.90 was optimum from the Ti content in the deposit as well as from the cathodic current efficiency under the conditions in this study. The Ti content reached about 50 wt\%, and the cathodic current efficiency also did about 50% at best. These results are still insufficient for the actual production process, but the more efficient direct production of Ti–Fe alloy can be expected by further investigation to optimize the bath composition and the heat control more accurately.
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