The mdx Mutation in the 129/Sv Background Results in a Milder Phenotype: Transcriptome Comparative Analysis Searching for the Protective Factors
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Abstract

The mdx mouse is a good genetic and molecular murine model for Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy (DMD), a progressive and devastating muscle disease. However, this model is inappropriate for testing new therapies due to its mild phenotype. Here, we transferred the mdx mutation to the 129/Sv strain with the aim to create a more severe model for DMD. Unexpectedly, functional analysis of the first three generations of mdx129 showed a progressive amelioration of the phenotype, associated to less connective tissue replacement, and more regeneration than the original mdxC57BL. Transcriptome comparative analysis was performed to identify what is protecting this new model from the dystrophic characteristics. The mdxC57BL presents three times more differentially expressed genes (DEGs) than the mdx129 (371 and 137 DEGs respectively). However, both models present more overexpressed genes than underexpressed, indicating that the dystrophic and regenerative alterations are associated with the activation rather than repression of genes. As to functional categories, the DEGs of both mdx models showed a predominance of immune system genes. Excluding this category, the mdx129 model showed a decreased participation of the endo/exocytic pathway and homeostasis categories, and an increased participation of the extracellular matrix and enzymatic activity categories. 

Spp1 gene overexpression was the most significant DEG exclusively expressed in the mdx129 strain. This was confirmed through relative mRNA analysis and osteopontin protein quantification. The amount of the 66 kDa band of the protein, representing the post-translational product of the gene, was about 4.8 times higher on western blotting. Spp1 is a known DMD prognostic biomarker, and our data indicate that its upregulation can benefit phenotype. Modeling the expression of the DEGs involved in the mdx mutation with a benign course should be tested as a possible therapeutic target for the dystrophic process.
Introduction

Neuromuscular disorders are a heterogeneous group of genetic diseases, causing progressive loss of motor ability. More than 30 genetically defined forms are recognized and in the last decade mutations in several genes have been reported that result in deficiency or loss of function of different important muscle proteins.

Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD) is the most common and severe human muscular dystrophy, affecting 1 in 3500 male births. It is caused by mutations in the dystrophin gene which result in the absence of this important sarcolemmal protein and consequent muscle degeneration. The clinical course of DMD is severe and progressive, starting with muscle weakness at the age of five and loss of ambulation around 12 years; without special care, death occurs due to respiratory failure or cardiomyopathy in the late teens [1]. There is no effective cure for patients suffering from this type of dystrophy.

Several animal models manifesting phenotypes observed in neuromuscular diseases have been identified in nature or generated in laboratory. These models generally present physiological alterations observed in human patients and can be used as important tools for pathophysiological studies and therapy testing [2]. The C57BL/10ScSn-\textit{Dmd}\textsuperscript{mdx/J}, named here as mdx, is the most widely used animal model for DMD, bearing a non-sense point mutation in exon 23 of the dystrophin gene which causes lack of this protein in the skeletal muscle [3]. However, differently from human DMD patients, the mdx presents a mild phenotype, with normal life-span and reproductive capacity [4]. Therefore, this model is not effective in clinical trials to track possible functional benefits of tested therapies.

Studies in animal models by insertion of a human pathogenic mutation in distinct mouse backgrounds have shown differences in phenotypical manifestation according to the mouse strain. Previously, a group introduced the \textit{mg}\Delta mutation from a mouse model for Marfan syndrome in two different genetic backgrounds: C57BL and 129/Sv [5]. The animals with 129/Sv background presented a more severe and earlier phenotype than those with C57BL background.

Considering that the increased severity of muscle damage is extremely useful in assessing how effective a novel therapy might be at halting human disease and in order to obtain a more reliable animal model for DMD, we decided to transfer the mdx mutation to the 129/Sv background expecting that the resulting animals would present a more severe DMD phenotype.

Unexpectedly, the newly created mdx\textsuperscript{129} model showed a progressive amelioration of the phenotype. Thus, a functional analysis, followed by transcriptome comparative gene expression analysis, histological and protein studies were performed to identify and characterize what is protecting this new model from the dystrophic characteristics. We identified the overexpression of the \textit{Spp1} gene, which codes for the osteopontin (OPN) protein, as the most significant candidate to cause this benefit to the dystrophic phenotype.

Materials and Methods

Animals

The 129/Sv male mice were obtained from the ICB USP experimentation housing facility, while C57BL and the mdx females were obtained from the Center for Human Genome and Stem Cell Researches (IB USP) experimentation housing facility. The animals were kept under controlled temperature and light conditions and were fed with pellets and water ad libitum. All experimental procedures were analyzed and approved by the Institute of Biosciences Ethics Commission in the Use of Animals (Permit Number: CEUA/IBUSP 201/2014).
Transferring the mdx mutation to the 129/Sv phenotype

The first breeding pairs consisted of 129/Sv males and mdx females. Their offspring (generation mdx129 F1) consisted of affected males and carrier females. The carrier females were backcrossed with the 129/Sv males, and their offspring (generation mdx129 F2) consisted of wild-type males and females, affected males and carrier females, according to Mendelian proportions (Fig 1A). From this generation on, the litters were genotyped for the mdx mutation to select only the affected males and carrier females (Fig 1B). These carrier females were then backcrossed with the 129/Sv male, generating mdx129 F3.

Genotyping

DNA was extracted from a 0.5cm piece of tail using Proteinase K (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) as described [6]. The genotyping was done by PCR competitive reaction, using specific primers for the exon 23 of the murine dystrophin gene. The product was applied in 10% acrylamide gel where different band patterns can be identified for wild type (134 pb), heterozygous (134 and 117 pb) and affected animals (117 pb) (Fig 1B), according to a previously described protocol [7]. Dystrophin analysis confirmed the deficiency of the protein in F3 males, carrying the pathogenic mutation in the dystrophin gene (Fig 1C).

Functional evaluations

All mdx129 male mice obtained in the three generations were monthly evaluated during the period of six months, using mdxC57BL mice as controls. The number of studied male animals was: F1 = 9, F2 = 13, F3 = 14.

The used tests were previously described [8] and validated in our colonies of neuromuscular disease mice models. In addition, the same researcher performed the analysis in all mice, avoiding intra-personal variability. Briefly:

1. The animals capacity of hanging from a bar by their fore limbs and by all four limbs—the animal is positioned hanging from a 3mm metal bar by its fore limbs or by all four limbs and the time it keeps hanging is counted. We consider 60 seconds to be the maximum time and the test is successively repeated for three times, after which we calculate the average.

2. Fore limbs and hind limbs grip strength—the animal is positioned so that it will grip the grid attached to a dynamometer with its fore limbs or with its hind limbs and then is pulled by the tail until it releases it. This procedure is successively repeated for five times and the mean is calculated.

Histological and immunohistochemical analyses

For these analyses, three male animals from each group were used, all in the age of six months. After animals were euthanized, muscles of the posterior portion of the leg (mainly encompassing the gastrocnemius muscle) and the diaphragm were dissected, fixed in cork blocks with Tissue-Tek® O.C.T Compound (Sakura Finetek USA, Torrance, CA, USA), cryoprotected with talc and frozen in liquid nitrogen.

For histopathology analysis, the following parameters were used: percentage of centronucleated fibers (evaluated using hematoxilin/eosin (HE) staining), fibrosis (evaluated using the quantification of picrossirius staining) and regenerating fibers (quantified using immunofluorescence staining for mouse monoclonal myosin heavy chain (developmental) antibody (VP-M664, Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA, USA), in a double reaction with antibody to
muscle laminin (rat monoclonal anti-laminin gamma 1 antibody, ab80580, Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA). Additionally, a mouse monoclonal anti-dystrophin DYS2 antibody was used to identify this protein (VP-D505, Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA, USA). The slides were examined and photographed using a Zeiss AxioImager.Z1 microscope.

For positive developmental myosin fibers quantification, fiber numbers from at least five different fields in the cross-sections from each animal were measured, and the total number of positive fibers was compared to the total number of counted fibers. The number of counted fibers was in a total of 600 to 2000 fibers per animal. The area of fibrotic tissue using picrossirius-stained sections was relatively measured using ImageJ software (http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/) and using area of control mouse as 1.

Western blotting analysis

Total proteins were extracted from the gastrocnemius muscle and separated by 13% SDS-PAGE polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and were transferred onto a nitrocellulose membrane (GE Healthcare Biosciences, Pittsburgh, PA, USA) for 60 min at 0.35A at 4°C. Membranes were then pre-stained in 0.2% Ponceau S, to ensure protein transfer and equal protein loading of the lanes. Membranes were blocked with 5% non fat milk in PBS, 0.1% Tween 20 (TBS-T) for 1 h and probed using the primary antibodies against OPN sc-21742 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., Dallas, TX, USA). After an overnight period of incubation, membranes were washed three times with TBS-T for 10 min. The blots were then immunostained with Pierce® Anti-mouse IgG (HRP) Polyclonal antibody (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and posterior detection of protein was done using Novex® ECL Chemiluminescent Substrate Reagent Kit (Invitrogen, Waltham, MA, USA).
Quantitative analysis of mouse OPN present in total protein extracted was performed using the ImageJ software (http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/), with myosin at the Ponceau staining as a protein loading control. The value of each animal was normalized to the normal control within the same blot.

**RNA extraction and purification**

For RNA extraction, frozen gastrocnemius muscle of three animals from each strain was used, at the age of six months. Frozen muscles were finely powdered using a mortar and total RNA was extracted using RNeasy Microarray Tissue Mini Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA) according to manufacturer instructions. RNA contamination by DNA was verified in 1% agarose gel.

**Hybridization and Microarray data analysis**

Samples for hybridization were prepared using Ambion® WT Expression and GeneChip® WT Terminal Labeling (Life Technologies, Waltham, MA, USA) and hybridized in GeneChip® Mouse Gene 1.0 ST Array (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA, USA) chips, all according to instructions provided by the manufacturer. Pre-analysis and data normalization were performed in the Expression Console™ (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA, USA) software using the RMA (Robust Multi-array Average) algorithm. Normalized data were uploaded to the MeV software where differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were determined by the SAM algorithm. To study functional networks among the identified DEGs, we used the IPA software (Ingenuity® Systems, www.ingenuity.com). Gene ontology function enrichment analysis was performed with the DAVID tool (Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrate Discovery http://david.abcc.ncifcrf.gov/). Raw data has been deposited at Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database (accession number GSE77126).

**qPCR analysis**

RNA was quantified and normalized for cDNA synthesis using oligo dT and random primers, and MMLV enzyme (Invitrogen, Waltham, MA, USA), in a quick 3-step protocol of incubation in 65°C or 37°C. For qPCR, we used the protocol previously described [9]. Samples of cDNA of each animal were applied in triplicate in 96 wells plates. At each sample was added the pair of primers of the gene of interest and Sybr Green Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA, USA) in a total volume of 25μL. Each plate was run in the 7500 Fast Applied Biosystems thermocycler for real-time PCR.

The relative expression of the following genes was measured:

- **Pathway of muscle regeneration:** *MyoD, Myf5* and *Myogenin* (*Myog*);
- **Validation of microarray data:** *Spp1* and *Col5a2*.

The used primers are described in Table 1: we used previously described primers for *Spp1* [10] and the others were selected from a real-time primer database with validation results (Primerbank). The chosen gene for use as an endogenous control was *Gapdh*.

As the analysis uses one unique calibrator control, it was necessary to select one strain, for this purpose. We decided to use the wild type mouse 129/Sv sample with the nearest expression of the most genes in all of them.

**Statistical Analysis**

Functional evaluations were statistically analyzed using Mann-Whitney test. For the other parameters, due to the small size of the samples, Mann-Whitney and Kruskal Wallis corrected
with Dunn-Bonferroni non parametric tests were performed, but with no statistically significant differences detected. All calculations were performed using the Minitab 17 software.

**Results**

**Evidences from functional evaluations**

As shown in Fig 2, in the first generation of mdx$^{129}$ (F1) forelimbs bar test, the animals already demonstrated more resistance than mdxC$^{CS7BL}$ animals, yet, the results were significant merely in the ages two, four and five months. In the grip strength test, F1 animals were stronger than mdxC$^{CS7BL}$ mice, but the results were only significant at 30 days, three and four months. The second mdx$^{129}$ generation (F2) showed improved performance in all tests compared to mdxC$^{CS7BL}$. In both bar tests, F2 mice hang on the bar for the whole time of the test (60 seconds), and the values were statistically significant at all ages. The strength was also increased in this group. Noticing the results from the third mdx$^{129}$ generation (F3), we could confirm the progressive increase both in resistance and strength of mdx$^{129}$ animals. The F3 mice hang on the bar for 60 seconds with no sign of fatigue, and grip strength results were better than in generations F1 and F2. The same results were observed in the test applied for the four limbs resistance and hind limb strength.

Therefore, the observed results were the opposite of what was previously described [5] and which we were expecting. Considering the amelioration of the mdx phenotype in the 129/Sv background, we hypothesized that factors in this strain could act protecting the mdx$^{129}$ from the dystrophic effect. Consequently, the study was directed to identify these factors as possible positive modifiers of the dystrophic phenotype.

**Muscle Analysis**

**Evidence from histological analysis.** Histological analysis using HE stain showed that the degenerative/regenerative processes were similar in all mdx$^{CS7BL}$ mice as compared to the three generations of mdx$^{129}$ mice, both in gastrocnemius and diaphragm muscles (Fig 3). In all strains, degenerated fibers, regenerating fibers and connective tissue infiltration were identified, with almost 100% of centrally nucleated fibers.

**Evidence of reduced degeneration.** The quantification of connective tissue replacement, measured by picrossirius staining, demonstrated a reduction of the amount of endomysial and perimysial connective tissues in mdx$^{129}$ in relation to mdx$^{CS7BL}$, both in gastrocnemius (27%) as well as in the diaphragm (44%) muscles (Fig 4).

**Evidence of regeneration.** The regeneration findings obtained for gastrocnemius samples of normal (129/Sv) and the two mdx models are shown in Fig 5. The average relative expression for regenerative genes (Myf5, MyoD and Myog) was obtained in qPCR analysis (Fig 5A). As to myogenic factors related to early regeneration, Myf5 expression was higher in mdx$^{129}$

| Gene   | Forward                | Reverse               |
|--------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| MyoD   | TACAGTGGCAGCTCAGATGC   | TAGTAGCGGTTGCTCAGATGC |
| Myf5   | CTGTCCTGGTCCAGAAAGAC  | GACCTGATCGCATCGAGAAATG |
| Myogenin | CAGTACATTGAGCC CGTACAG | GACCCGAACCTCCAGTAGAT |
| Spp1   | AGCAAGAAGACTCTCCAAGCAA | GTGAGATTGTCAGATTATCC |
| Col5a2 | TTTGAACCTTCTCCATGCAGA | TCCCCAGTGCTGTATAGGG |
| Gapdh  | AGGTGCTGGTGACGGATTGG  | TGTAACCATGTTGAGCTGA  |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0150748.t001
mice versus control, while MyoD expression was higher in mdx_{C57BL}. However, for the late stage of myogenic differentiation, Myog expression presented higher expression in the two mdx models when compared to control. Meanwhile, immunohistochemical analysis for developmental myosin in gastrocnemius muscle of the two affected mice models showed that
Fig 4. Quantification of connective tissue. (A) Representation of picrosirius staining of gastrocnemius and diaphragm muscles from mdx\textsuperscript{C57Bl} and mdx\textsuperscript{129} models. (B) Graphic representing the quantitative comparison between the two mdx strains.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0150748.g004
mdx\textsuperscript{129} presented an increase of about 50\% in the number of these fibers in relation to the mdx\textsuperscript{C57BL}, suggesting a more active regeneration in mdx\textsuperscript{129} (Fig 5B and 5C).

Evidence from transcriptome analysis. We used expression microarray to investigate mdx\textsuperscript{129} transcriptome comparing it to mdx\textsuperscript{C57BL} and each of these models to their same background wild type.

C57BL and 129/Sv background comparison. First, we compared both wild types to verify the difference between them. In the comparison of C57BL/\times 129/Sv we found 44 differentially expressed genes (DEGs), and 13 DEGs among the muscle expressed genes. The majority of these DEGs were downregulated in 129/Sv in comparison to C57BL (Table 2).

Comparing both mdx models. Comparing C57BL and mdx\textsuperscript{C57BL}, we found 371 DEGs: 320 upregulated and 51 downregulated in mdx\textsuperscript{C57BL}. When comparing 129/Sv with mdx\textsuperscript{129} F3, we found 137 DEGs: 130 upregulated and 7 downregulated in mdx\textsuperscript{129} F3 mice. In both backgrounds, the number of upregulated genes was higher than the downregulated ones (Table 2).

The DEGs were classified according to their functional categories and we observed that the immune system category was the most significant, gathering 60\% of the genes in C57BL/\times mdx\textsuperscript{C57BL} and 80\% of the genes in 129/Sv/\times mdx\textsuperscript{129}.

Since this category is so predominant, we decided to remove it in order to verify the significance of the participation of other categories in the two mdx models (Fig 6).
Both models showed corresponding categories, except homeostasis, which only appear in C57BL x mdxC57BL. Otherwise, the proportion in which these categories appear is different. Genes related to endo/exocytic pathways demonstrated a decreased expression in 129/Sv x mdx129, while an increased expression was found in genes of other categories, such as extracellular matrix, binding to molecules/cells and enzymatic activity.

Comparing both mdx models for genes expressed in muscle. To better visualize the changes in mdx129 expression profile, we filtered the lists C57BL x mdxC57BL, 129/Sv x mdx129 and mdxC57BL x mdx129 for genes that, according to the literature, act in skeletal muscle. We found 85 DEGs that were exclusive to C57BL x mdxC57BL, 13 DEGs unique to 129/Sv x mdx129 and 12 DEGs exclusive to mdxC57BL x mdx129 (Fig 7).

Of the 13 genes exclusively expressed in 129/Sv x mdx129 (Table 3), all of them presented an upregulated expression, and two important DEGs were identified: Spp1 and Ilrn. Comparing mdxC57BL x mdx129 we found 12 exclusive genes (Table 3), where Klk3 showed the most upregulated expression and Mup1, the most downregulated expression. qPCR validated the results observed for Col5a2 and Spp1.

The most highly induced transcript in the mdx129 data set was Spp1, which is a strong indicator of muscle injury [11] and an important gene for the dystrophic process. Based on this, Spp1 was also studied through qPCR for mRNA relative quantification and protein quantification through western blotting (Fig 8). An elevation of ~200X in mRNA expression was observed in mdx129 as compared to 129/Sv (Fig 8A). At the protein level, the used OPN antibody recognized both the full-length protein (66 kDa band) and one fragment of 32 kDa corresponding to a cleaved product. Those findings revealed the presence of the same concentration of cleaved product in all mdx models, but a stronger concentration of the full protein (4.8 times higher) in mdx129 (Fig 8B and 8C), showing a direct correlation with transcript findings.

Table 2. Comparative transcriptome analysis.

| Tested groups                  | Total DEGs | Skeletal muscle filter DEGs |
|--------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|
| C57BL x 129/Sv                 | 44         | 11                          | 13                      |
|                                |            | 33                          | 12                      |
| C57BL x mdxC57BL               | 371        | 320                         | 135                     |
|                                |            | 51                          | 107                     |
| 129/Sv x mdx129 F3             | 137        | 130                         | 59                      |
|                                |            | 7                           | 58                      |
| mdxC57BL x mdx129 F3           | 36         | 19                          | 14                      |
|                                |            | 17                          | 7                       |
| mdx129 F1 x mdx129 F2          | 3          | 3                           | 1                       |
|                                |            | 17                          | 7                       |
| mdx129 F1 x mdx129 F3          | 5          | 1                           | 2                       |
|                                |            | 4                           | 1                       |
| mdx129 F2 x mdx129 F3          | 0          | 0                           | 0                       |

These data showed the number of identified differentially expressed genes (DEGs), and the proportion of up and downregulated genes in each comparison. The analysis was done in total transcripts and also using a filter selecting genes expressed in the muscle.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0150748.t002

Both models showed corresponding categories, except homeostasis, which only appear in C57BL x mdxC57BL. Otherwise, the proportion in which these categories appear is different. Genes related to endo/exocytic pathways demonstrated a decreased expression in 129/Sv x mdx129, while an increased expression was found in genes of other categories, such as extracellular matrix, binding to molecules/cells and enzymatic activity.

Comparing both mdx models for genes expressed in muscle. To better visualize the changes in mdx129 expression profile, we filtered the lists C57BL x mdxC57BL, 129/Sv x mdx129 and mdxC57BL x mdx129 for genes that, according to the literature, act in skeletal muscle. We found 85 DEGs that were exclusive to C57BL x mdxC57BL, 13 DEGs unique to 129/Sv x mdx129 and 12 DEGs exclusive to mdxC57BL x mdx129 (Fig 7).

Of the 13 genes exclusively expressed in 129/Sv x mdx129 (Table 3), all of them presented an upregulated expression, and two important DEGs were identified: Spp1 and Ilrn. Comparing mdxC57BL x mdx129 we found 12 exclusive genes (Table 3), where Klk3 showed the most upregulated expression and Mup1, the most downregulated expression. qPCR validated the results observed for Col5α2 and Spp1.

The most highly induced transcript in the mdx129 data set was Spp1, which is a strong indicator of muscle injury [11] and an important gene for the dystrophic process. Based on this, Spp1 was also studied through qPCR for mRNA relative quantification and protein quantification through western blotting (Fig 8). An elevation of ~200X in mRNA expression was observed in mdx129 as compared to 129/Sv (Fig 8A). At the protein level, the used OPN antibody recognized both the full-length protein (66 kDa band) and one fragment of 32 kDa corresponding to a cleaved product. Those findings revealed the presence of the same concentration of cleaved product in all mdx models, but a stronger concentration of the full protein (4.8 times higher) in mdx129 (Fig 8B and 8C), showing a direct correlation with transcript findings.

Discussion
Studies in animal models are essential for testing therapies, mainly in diseases still with no effective cure, such as Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy (DMD). Considering that the genetic
background can significantly affect phenotype in mouse models of human diseases, and that the most common animal model for DMD, the mdx mouse in the C57BL background, shows a very mild phenotype, we aimed to create a mdx model with a different genetic background, expecting that the resulting animals would present a more severe DMD phenotype. Surprisingly, the opposite results were obtained, with mdx129 mice presenting a significantly amelioration of phenotype in all functional tests, in successive generations, when compared to mdxC57BL. We could attribute this crescent improvement of the phenotype to the increasing proportion of the 129/Sv background.

We speculated if in the same background of the mouse, primary mutations in different genes could affect phenotype in a different way. Mainly because the mgΔ mutation for Marfan syndrome resulted in a more severe phenotype in the 129/Sv than in the C57BL background [5], while with the mdx mutation the result was inverse: a better phenotype in the 129/Sv than in the C57BL background.

Fig 6. Graphic representation of the distribution in functional categories. (A) Total identified DEGs in the comparisons C57BL x mdxC57BL and 129/Sv x mdx129 F3. (B) Categories excluding the immune system.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0150748.g006
Fig 7. Venn diagram showing genes in common and exclusive DEGs in each of compared lists.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0150748.g007

Table 3. List of DEGs exclusive of the mdx129.

| Gene   | Entrez Gene Name | Fold Change | Gene   | Entrez Gene Name | Fold Change |
|--------|------------------|-------------|--------|------------------|-------------|
| Col5a2 | collagen, type V, alpha 2 | -3,621 | Mup1   | major urinary protein 1 | -1,207 |
| Maged2 | melanoma antigen family D, 2 | -2,461 | Clec4m | C-type lectin domain family 4, member M | -1,154 |
| Thbs4  | thrombospondin 4   | -1,36 | Hla-a  | major histocompatibility complex, class I, A | -1,28 |
| Dcstamp| dendrocyte expressed seven transmembrane protein | -1,28 | Dbp    | D site of albumin promoter (albumin D-box) binding protein | -1,099 |
| Hist2h3a| histone cluster 2, H3a | -1,207 | Nxe4   | neurexophilin and PC-esterase domain family, member 4 | -1,132 |
| Il2rg  | interleukin 2 receptor, gamma | -1,154 | Hspa8  | heat shock 70kDa protein 8 | -988 |
| P4ha3  | prolyl 4-hydroxylase, alpha polypeptide III | -1,099 | S330426p16rik | RIKEN cDNA 5330426P16 gene | -1,099 |
| Top2a  | topoisomerase (DNA) II alpha 170kDa | -1,132 | Rhobtb3 | Rho-related BTB domain containing 3 | -1,099 |
| Il1m   | interleukin 1 receptor antagonist | -1,65 | Ppp1r3c | protein phosphatase 1, regulatory subunit 3C | -1,099 |
| Tnc    | tenascin C         | -1,099 | Esco1  | establishment of sister chromatid cohesion N-acetyltransferase 1 | -1,099 |
| Plek   | Pleckstrin         | -1,837 | Ilf202b | interferon activated gene 202 B | -1,099 |
| Cd52   | CD52 antigen       | -3,547 | Kik3   | kallikrein-related peptidase 3 | -1,099 |
| Spp1   | secreted phosphoprotein 1 | -1,099 |        |                    | -1,099 |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0150748.t003
Histological analysis was not informative to differentiate the two mdx strains, since both mdx models presented a similar histopathological pattern in hind limbs as well as in diaphragm muscles. However, a lower proportion of connective tissue and an intense regeneration were observed in mdx129 strain, as revealed by higher expression of muscle myogenesis factors and the presence of a higher number of developmental myosin positive fibers in this model.

To identify the protective factors that could be involved, we used an expression microarray analysis to investigate mdx129 transcriptome comparing it to mdxC57BL, in six-month old animals, when the disease is fully established.

A first remarkable observation was the similarity of C57BL versus 129/Sv wild backgrounds, presenting a small number of DEGs among the mapped genes. Besides, we did not find among the DEGs expressed in the muscle anything which could be, somehow, associated to a directly related muscular function that could eventually provide protection against dystrophy.

As to the comparison between the complete transcriptome of the two mdx strains, we verified that DEGs number is about three times higher in mdxC57BL than in mdx129 when compared to wild type from the same background animals. Nevertheless, in both backgrounds the dystrophin gene mutation causes more upregulation than downregulation of genes expression. These results suggest that, in the new background, the dystrophin mutation clearly induced fewer mechanisms of action that could be responsible for the installation and maintenance of the dystrophic process.

The comparison of the three generations of mdx129 exhibited a small number of DEGs, and we could therefore conclude that in the expression level, the background effect is present since the first generation, and it does not increase with 129/Sv background (Table 2).

In both models, the participation of genes involved in immune system was clearly predominant, which was expected, once chronic inflammation is a dystrophic muscle characteristic [12]. Nevertheless, when excluding this category, some differences were noted between the two deficient dystrophin models, including a reduction in endo/exocytic pathway but an increase in the participation of the extracellular matrix, binding to molecules/cells and enzymatic activity.

**Fig 8. Quantification of Spp1 transcript and OPN protein expression.** (A) Fold changes in qPCR relative expression levels of osteopontin mRNA, as compared to the control group (129/Sv). (B) Western blotting analysis for OPN protein showing both the full length protein (66 kDa band) and one fragment of 32 kDa (cleaved product) in mdxC57BL and mdx129 as compared to normal control 129/Sv (con); M—myosin band. (C) Western blotting quantification showing the mean of each group for the band of 32 kDa and 66 kDa. An increase of 4.8 times of the 66 kDa band is observed in the mdx129 group using myosin band as a protein loading control.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0150748.g008
pathways in mdx129. Vesicle trafficking is a necessary process for membrane repair [13] and several studies have shown its importance in the dystrophic phenotype [14, 15]. Our findings suggest that the decreased participation of vesicle-related genes in mdx129 animals is due to a more stable membrane, which can present, consequently, better fiber conservation and functional performance. The membrane stability can also explain why the homeostasis category disappeared, since repair mechanism is calcium dependent [16]. Among the functional categories that increased in mdx129, the most remarkable was the extracellular matrix. As seen on histological slides, regeneration is present in these animals up to six months; consequently, matrix remodeling is probably occurring, which can explain the increased participation of genes related to this process [17].

When the analysis was focused on genes expressed in muscle, we identified DEGs that were exclusive for some of the compared strains. Among the exclusive DEGs in the comparison of mdxC57BL x mdx129 the more upregulated gene was Klk3 and the more downregulated was Mup1. Klk3 codes for PSA (prostate-specific antigen) which is a prostate cancer biomarker [18]. That being, we could not find a correlation between this gene and mdx129 phenotype. Mup1 is part of a gene family involved in chemical communication among animals (mice and rats) [19] and few studies were conducted about its metabolic functions. A group [20] observed that the skeletal muscle was a major target for Mup1. In their study with obese mice, which present lower levels of the protein, partial level corrections of the protein alleviated insulin resistance and glucose intolerance, ameliorating skeletal muscle mitochondrial function. In our study, mdx129 presented a significant decrease of Mup1 expression than mdxC57BL, suggesting a beneficial effect of this lower expression in their phenotype. More studies will be needed to explain Klk3 and Mup1 roles in muscle function.

Of the 13 genes exclusively expressed in 129/Sv x mdx129, two deserve to be highlighted: the upregulated Spp1 and Il1rn. Il1rn gene codes for IL-1Ra, an interleukin-1 antagonist. Considering interleukins pro-fibrotic and pro-inflammatory effects, the increased expression of its antagonist suggests that mdx129 animals could be more protected from the inflammatory process generated by these molecules.

Spp1 gene codes for osteopontin (OPN), which can be found as an extracellular matrix component and a soluble molecule with cytokine properties [21]. OPN is a multifunctional molecule that is involved in both physiological and pathological processes, including tissue repair, inflammation and fibrosis [22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30], and its effects in muscular dystrophy are not yet clear. OPN alterations have been described as a dystrophic and injured muscle component [11, 12, 31].

Our study showed a higher expression of Spp1 gene in mdx129 model in the transcriptomic analysis, and this data was confirmed through mRNA relative quantification and protein quantification through western blotting. OPN overexpression could, therefore, be considered a good candidate to explain the better phenotype of this model. A 100-fold increase in the expression of osteopontin mRNA in regenerating muscle of mice with muscle induced damage, lead Hoffman et al. [32] to suggest that OPN is involved in the inflammatory, degenerative and regenerative events that occur in early skeletal muscle regeneration. Our results strongly support this hypothesis, once we found differences of expression of early regenerative genes (Myod and Myf5) between the mdx129 mice and the other mice strains, suggesting that OPN contributes with muscle regeneration. Additionally, according to the model proposed by Pagel et al. in 2014 [33], at later stages in muscle regeneration, osteopontin may be increasingly incorporated into the remodeled extracellular matrix and support their terminal differentiation into new muscle fibers [34]. As OPN is immobilized by incorporation into the extracellular matrix in terminal differentiation, the fusion of myoblasts is favored, thereby promoting the formation of myotubes. In our study, among the functional categories that increased in mdx129, the most remarkable was the extracellular matrix. Also, differences in Myog expression and the higher
number of positive developmental myosin fibers in the mdx\textsuperscript{129} model support a stimulus for a better terminal differentiation into new muscle fibers in this model. Hence, it is quite likely that the enhancement in OPN expression might play a part in improving the dystrophic pathology in mdx\textsuperscript{129} mice stimulating late regeneration. These findings points to a potential value of OPN as a skeletal muscle disease progression biomarker.

Phenotypic variability due to genetic modifiers that regulate disease process acting in the regeneration process has been demonstrated recently in the DBA/2J background. The mdx mutation in this background confers a more severe muscular dystrophy phenotype than the original strain, demonstrating the presence of genetic modifier loci in the DBA/2J background [35]. Interestingly, self-renewal efficiency of satellite cells in this background is lower than that of C57BL strain [36], demonstrating a direct effect of modifier genes in the capacity of muscle regeneration, an important factor in muscular dystrophy prognosis.

At the molecular level, \textit{Spp1} gene is expressed by a single copy gene as a 34 kDa nascent OPN protein composed of 300 amino acids residues. In mammalian cells, the final size of OPN can ranges from 44 to 75 kDa after the post-translational modifications (glycosylation, sulphonation and phosphorylation), which under certain circumstances influence its function [37, 38]. Western blotting results in our mdx models showed that the full length form of OPN (66 kDa) was present in a higher quantity in mdx\textsuperscript{129} mice suggesting also a more effective post-translational process in this model. On the other hand, OPN is also a substrate for some matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) [39] and the cleavage by these MMPs occurs at a limited number of sites. It has been reported that OPN proteolytic fragmentation is a biological process having physiological importance [40] and the fragments possess greater activity than the full-length forms [41]. The small ~32 kDa fragment observed in all animals in the same intensity could reflect this proteolytic fragment, and in this case, it would be similar in both models. The larger band would be a repository for this protein in the muscle.

To date, studies on the role of osteopontin in skeletal muscle pose almost as many questions as they answer. In dystrophic mouse muscles chronic overexpression of osteopontin appears to be related to decreased muscle strength and fibrosis in mice [33, 42], whereas in muscles from patients with Duchenne muscular dystrophy a SNP associated with osteopontin overexpression \textit{in vitro} has been found to be a significant positive modifier of the disease [43]. Therefore, one possible explanation for the apparently contradictory observations is that osteopontin plays many roles, some of them antagonistic to others in injured muscle, and that post-translational modification, processing, timing, as well as absolute level of osteopontin expression influence the role that it plays.

The results observed in our more benign mdx\textsuperscript{129} model, expressing higher levels of \textit{Spp1} than the mdx\textit{C57BL}, are suggestive of a positive role of this gene as a prognostic biomarker in humans, since it is more expressed in the mildly affected model.

In conclusion, modeling the expression of these differentially expressed genes involved in the benign course of the mdx mutation, in particular \textit{Spp1} gene, should be tested as possible therapeutic targets for the dystrophic process.
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