Varicoceles in Men With Non-obstructive Azoospermia: The Dilemma to Operate or Not
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The knowledge on male reproduction is constantly expanding, especially in treating infertility due to non-obstructive azoospermia (NOA). Varicocele is occasionally diagnosed in a subpopulation of males with NOA. Varicocele repair in NOA-men may contribute to the reappearance of spermatozoa in semen. However, spontaneous pregnancies are observed in only a small percentage of NOA-men post-varicocelectomy. Additionally, it has been reported that the repair of varicocele in NOA-men (before the performance of sperm retrieval techniques) may increase the testicular sperm recovery rate. In addition, it increases the pregnancy rate in intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) programs in NOA-men without spermatozoa in the semen post-varicocelectomy. In addition, to the improvement in Sertoli cellular secretory function, varicocelectomy may increase the secretory function of Leydig cells, which subsequently results in improved androgen production, raising the probability to negate the need for testosterone replacement therapy in cases of late-onset hypogonadism. On the other hand, the benefit of varicocelectomy in patients with NOA is still debatable. The current review study aims to provide a critical and extensive review of varicocele repair in males with NOA. This study additionally focuses on the impact of varicocele repair on sperm retrieval rates and its influence on the ICSI outcomes for those couples who remain negative for spermatozoa in their semen samples post-varicocelectomy.

Keywords: non-obstructive azoospermia, varicocele, varicocelectomy, ICSI, spermatozoa

INTRODUCTION

Male infertility treatment has significantly progressed recently. The intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) allowed overcoming difficulties in cases of a small number of functional spermatozoa in semen samples (1). Non-obstructive azoospermia (NOA) is one of the most challenging causes of male infertility to treat. It is described as the absence of spermatozoa in the microscopic evaluation of the semen sample observing the pellets of two semen samples post-centrifugation (2, 3).

Azoospermia affects 1% of the male population and 10–15% of a subpopulation of infertile men. Azoospermia is classified into obstructive azoospermia...
and NOA. Obstructive azoospermia is caused by an obstruction in any location in the seminal tract (2). On the other hand, NOA is attributed to a failure of spermatogenesis due to several pathophysiological factors such as acquired lesions (i.e., exposure to environmental toxins, taking gonadotoxic medications, trauma, hormone imbalances, varicocele; among others) or genetic disorders (i.e., Y chromosome deletion, karyotype abnormalities, X-linked genes associated with male infertility; among others), and idiopathic disorders. Varicocele is a dilation of either the pampiniform plexus or the cremasteric plexus of the testis. NOA-men with palpable varicoceles represent a percentage equal to 4–14% of the population of NOA-men (4, 5).

For the first time, a spontaneous pregnancy has been reported after surgical repair of varicocele in a couple with NOA in 1952 by Tulloch (6). The beneficial effects of varicocelectomy in NOA-men occasionally represent an improvement in spermatogenesis and the reappearance of motile spermatozoa in the ejaculate. The overall result is that couples may achieve spontaneous pregnancy without ICSI procedures and thus may avoid the need for sperm retrieval techniques from testes (7, 8).

During the last years, the impact of varicocelectomy in NOA-men with palpable varicocele on semen parameters, testicular sperm retrieval rate, and pregnancy rate, have been extensively studied. Also, research efforts demonstrated predictors of (a) reappearance of spermatozoa in the ejaculate and (b) testicular sperm recovery in NOA-men after varicocelectomy (8–11).

In the current review study, we will attempt to review and evaluate the evidence provided by the international literature concerning whether the performance of varicocelectomy in NOA-males (a) may lead to the appearance of spermatozoa in the ejaculate, or (b) may result in higher testicular sperm recovery rates post-testicular sperm extraction (TESE); or (c) may be accompanied by higher pregnancy rates or live birth rates post-TESE.

**EFFECTS OF VARICOCELE AND VARICOCELECTOMY ON SERTOLI- AND LEYDIG CELLULAR FUNCTION IN NON-OBSTRUCTED AZOOSPERMIC MEN INFLUENCING THE PROGRESSION OF SPERMATOGENESIS**

The development of left varicocele in humans increases testicular temperature (12). An increase in testicular temperature in humans is known to detrimentally affect the Leydig cellular secretory function (12–17). In fact, a subpopulation of males with left varicocele demonstrates low peripheral serum levels of testosterone (18). The first report that described improved testosterone levels after varicocelectomy was published in 1975 by Comhaire and Vermeulen (19). The authors reported that testosterone profiles in infertile males increase after varicocelectomy repair (19). It is known that androgens have an important role in (a) the regulation of the Sertoli cellular secretory function that is important for the induction of male meiosis (20), and (b) the completion of the spermiogenesis process (21). Therefore, for the subpopulation of males in whom varicocele has a severely detrimental effect on Leydig cell secretory function, the final result may be the inability of the male gamete to undergo meiosis or the inability of the early haploid male gamete to undergo elongation. In both cases, the phenotype will be azoospermia. This hypothesis is strongly supported by Cozzolino and Lipshults (22) stating that a varicocele may cause a progressive harmful effect on the testes that may finally lead to irreversible infertility if left without therapeutic management. The decrease in Leydig cellular secretory function may cause Sertoli cellular secretory function in men with varicoceles since, as we have already mentioned, the androgen stimulation of Sertoli cells is of paramount importance for their function (23). Thus males with varicoceles may demonstrate variable degrees of Sertoli cellular secretory dysfunction, occasionally resulting in azoospermia (24). Furthermore, the increase in testicular temperature may directly affect detrimentally the Sertoli cellular structure or function (25). In fact, induced increases in testicular temperature have raised the role of heating as a method of contraception.

Thus, severe Sertoli cellular dysfunction leading to NOA may occur in a subpopulation of males with varicocele (Figure 1). It has been suggested (26) that a high grade varicocele may induce sufficient testicular damage to result in the most severe testicular histological architecture associated with NOA and Sertoli cell-only (SCO) syndrome. Furthermore, testicular hypoxia attributable to impairment in venous drainage of the male genital system has been suggested to occur in males with varicoceles (27). This finding, taken together with the fact that SCO syndrome may be related in some individuals to persistent, longstanding male gonad hypoxia, may allow us to suggest that varicocele leads to an SCO syndrome in a subpopulation of patients (26).

The above paragraph indicates that varicocele development in humans may result in primary testicular damage and occasionally in severe secretory dysfunction of the Leydig and Sertoli cellular subpopulations that may contribute to the development of the azoospermic phenotype. On the other hand, Wright (28) has demonstrated the efficacy of varicocelectomy in restoring testicular temperature in humans. The latter study, taken together with the conclusions of the studies by Zorgniotti (29, 30), indicating that chronic scrotal hypothermia may be a treatment for poor semen quality, allows us to suggest that performance of varicocelectomy may alter cases of severe spermatogenic arrest to an oligospermic phenotype attributable to a decrease in intratesticular temperature. Furthermore, varicocelectomy has been accompanied by an increase in Leydig cellular secretory function resulting in increased peripheral serum testosterone profiles (31–36) and subsequently a more optimal stimulation of the Sertoli cellular secretory function. The overall result a possible alteration of the azoospermic phenotype to an oligospermic phenotype. Furthermore, the performance of varicocelectomy
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has been proven to improve Sertoli cellular secretory function (i.e., markers of Sertoli cell Secretory function) (37).

Furthermore, a study by Mubasher et al. (38) indicates high production of reactive oxygen species in a number of azoospermic subjects with varicoceles. Varicocelectomy has the potential to diminish the reactive oxygen species generation and up-regulates the antioxidant activity of seminal plasma (39, 40). Therefore, it may be suggested that varicocelectomy reducing the production of reactive species may turn the phenotype of azoospermia to an oligozoospermic phenotype. The latter suggestion is further enforced by a previous report indicating very vividly that oxidative stress has a detrimental effect on Sertoli cell function (41).

PREOPERATIVE PREDICTORS OF APPEARANCE OF SPERMATOZOA IN SEMEN SAMPLES IN NOA-MEN AFTER VARICOCELECTOMY

Histology
Various prognostic factors have been evaluated to identify patients who are more likely to demonstrate a beneficial effect on sperm parameters post-varicocelectomy. Aboutaleb et al. (42) attempted to evaluate the role of testicular biopsy histopathology to identify a subpopulation of NOA-men who will demonstrate restoration of spermatogenesis after subinguinal varicocelectomy in NOA-men. In that study (20), NOA-men with palpable bilateral varicocele underwent subinguinal varicocelectomy repair and testicular biopsy at once. Spermatogenesis was restored in six men (30% of the male population of NOA-men). The outcomes of the testicular biopsy revealed the following histopathological patterns: SCO syndrome in 10 NOA-men, maturation arrest in 3 men, and hypospermatogenesis in 7 men. The restoration of spermatogenesis led to the reappearance of the sperm in the ejaculates (from 3 million to 15 million/ml) in the hypospermatogenesis-patients only (6 patients). On the other hand, patients with a histopathological pattern of maturation arrest (at the primary spermatocyte stage) or SCO syndrome did not show any significant change in semen analysis after varicocelectomy (42).

Moreover, Majzoub et al. (43) reported that motile spermatozoa in the ejaculate were observed in 11 (26.2%) of 42 NOA-men who had undergone varicocelectomy. Testicular histopathology only has a significant predictive value to predict the outcome of TESE patients who have undergone varicocelectomy, among other factors investigated. Post-varicocelectomy, (a) eight out of 11 (72.7%) patients who exhibited hypospermatogenesis pre-varicocelectomy, and (b) three out of 11 (27.3) patients with SCO regained sperm in the ejaculate (43).

A meta-analysis comparing the results of varicocelectomy in NOA-men, based on diagnostic testicular biopsy profiles, showed that there is a greater chance of successful induction of the spermatogenesis in NOA-men with maturation arrest or hypospermatogenesis compared to men with SCO (10).
Percentages of males who demonstrated spermatozoa in their semen samples post-varicocele repair were 35.3% in the subpopulation of NOA-men with maturation arrest and 56.2% in the subpopulation of NOA-males with hypospermatogenesis; these rates were significantly higher than those with the SCO (9.7%) (10). Finally, the chance of identifying motile spermatozoa in postoperative ejaculates after varicocelectomy was significantly larger in NOA-men with hypospermatogenesis in testicular biopsy compared to NOA-men with maturation arrest or SCO. Furthermore, NOA-men with maturation arrest had a larger opportunity of sperm reappearance in postoperative semen samples than those with SCO (10).

In a very recent study (44), it was confirmed that testicular histology might be of value in identifying the NOA-males who are most likely to have a benefit concerning the appearance of spermatozoa in these men after varicocelectomy. There was consistently more postoperative spermatogenesis in hypospermatogenesis patients compared with SCO patients, who had very low rates of appearance of spermatozoa in the ejaculates. In a similar fashion, Ustuner et al. (60) found a certain degree of amelioration in the histological results of certain patients after varicocele repair surgery. In patients with a high probability of post-varicocele repair complications, performing TESE or microdissection-Testicular Sperm Extraction (micro-TESE) prior to varicocelectomy may be suggested. Furthermore, there is a significant probability for azoospermia relapse.

It should be mentioned that some studies do not demonstrate a beneficial effect of varicocelectomy in NOA-men on the appearance of spermatozoa in the ejaculate post-varicocelectomy in males with SCO: in fact, Kadioglu et al. (45) and Cakan et al. (46) claim that the performance of varicocelectomy in NOA-males with pure SCO is not accompanied by the appearance of spermatozoa in the ejaculate. Testicular histopathology of the patients in the former study (45) who finally demonstrated postoperative improvement revealed maturation arrest at spermatid stage (n = 3), SCO syndrome pattern with focal spermatogenesis (n = 1), and hypospermatogenesis (n = 1). In the latter study (46), induction of spermatogenesis post-varicocelectomy repair was achieved in 3 patients. Two of them had hypospermatogenesis pre-operatively, and one had late maturation arrest. No pregnancies were achieved by natural intercourse. All men with SCO syndrome or early maturation arrest remained azoospermic after surgery (46).

Thus, several studies have provided predictive histological testicular patterns indicating the subpopulation of NOA-males in whom the repair of palpable varicocele improves sperm production. In later men, the induction of spermatogenesis following varicocelectomy contributes to the reappearance of spermatozoa to the ejaculation offering a limited probability to the couple to achieve spontaneously (8).

In addition, some studies do not demonstrate a statistically significant positive effect of varicocelectomy on sperm retrieval rate (SRR) in NOA men (47, 48). For the latter subpopulations of azoospermic males, it may be suggested that the damage in the Sertoli cellular secretory function is irreversible, and the reduction in testicular temperature offered by varicocelectomy may not be sufficient to reverse the detrimental effects of varicocele on testicular exocrine function (9).

Many relevant studies have reported that the repair of palpable varicocele improves sperm production. In the later men, the induction of spermatogenesis following varicocelectomy contributes to the reappearance of spermatozoa to the ejaculation offering a limited probability to the couple to achieve spontaneously (8, 10).

**Genetic Tests**

In NOA-males with clinical varicocele, the minimal added morbidity of a diagnostic testicular biopsy at the time of varicocelectomy may be worth in order to gain significant and important information (49). However, it is of utmost importance to discover less invasive prospective indicators predicting the beneficial effects of varicocele repair. Novel molecular or genetic parameters are needed to predict high overall (50).

Comparative evaluation of 23,003 genes between subpopulations of NOA-males (with maturation arrest) positive or negative for spermatozoa in semen samples demonstrated a certain number of genes that were up-regulated, and some genes that were down-regulated in men with sperm recovery post-varicocelectomy (50).

Proliferating cell nuclear antigen expression was significantly higher in males who responded positively to varicocelectomy than in males who demonstrated a negative response (51).

**Other Parameters**

Varicocele repair in a general group of infertile males with varicoceles may restore the male reproductive potential in patients with a combined testicular volume of at least 30 mL and peripheral serum follicle stimulation hormone (FSH) profiles lower than 11.7 mIU/ml (52). No relationship was observed between varicocele repair result and pre-operative serum FSH and luteinizing hormone (LH). In addition, it has been demonstrated that testicular volume and peripheral serum FSH levels are independent predictors (52).

Research efforts have demonstrated that miR-192a in seminal plasma may have a role as a predictive factor for appears the existence of spermatozoa in the semen post-varicocele repair in NOA men with varicoceles (53). Furthermore, transcriptome investigations in males with maturation arrest disclosed a well-defined difference in certain genes between varicocele repair responded males and non-responded males.

Giannakis et al. (54) demonstrated the usefulness of the testicular tissue telomerase assay in identifying NOA-males with varicoceles who do not have testicular spermatozoa but who will become positive or negative for testicular spermatozoa (either ejaculated or testicular) after varicocelectomy.

It should be emphasized that a recent study (55) did not show any correlations between testicular volume, histopathology, hormonal treatment and varicocelectomy with favorable micro-TESE outcomes in NOA-males undergone re-TESE.
The mean, standard deviation postoperative sperm density was assistance of IVF. The mean (6%) spontaneous pregnancies and ten pregnancies with the (39.1%) men. The authors emphasized the presence of 14 ± azoospermia within 2–6 months (48). The range of findings was 20.8% to 55.0%. The mean, standard deviation postoperative sperm density was 1.82 × 10⁶ ± 1.58 × 10⁶ ml⁻¹, and the mean sperm motility was 22.9% ± 15.5% (10).

In an interesting and clinically significant meta-analysis, Weedin et al. reported the appearance of motile sperm in post-varicocelectomy repair collected semen samples in 91 of 233 (39.1%) men. The authors emphasized the presence of 14 (6%) spontaneous pregnancies and ten pregnancies with the assistance of IVF. The mean ± SD postoperative sperm count, and motility were 1.6 × 10⁶ ± 1.2 × 10⁶ and 20.1% ± 18.5%, respectively. Eleven (4.6%) patients of the NOA group presenting motile sperm in the ejaculate postoperatively had relapsed into azoospermia within 2–6 months (8).

A significant percentage of NOA-men with clinically palpable varicocele has acquired biological offspring with the natural selection process avoiding assisted reproductive techniques (57). Despite these initial encouraging results, varicocelectomy in NOA-men with clinical varicocele is not recommended as a routine treatment. Some studies have presented that NOA-men with clinical varicocele rarely have enough spermatozoa in their ejaculate after varicocelectomy to avoid TESE. In fact, Schlegel and Kaufmann published those seven out of 31 patients (22%) had demonstrated spermatozoa in their ejaculates after surgery, with an average follow-up of 14.7 months. Among them, a percentage equal to 9.6% only had sufficient motile spermatozoa in ejaculation to participate in the ICSI technique and avoid TESE (48).

The appearance of motile sperm in the semen analysis of NOA-men who have undergone varicocelectomy repair may not be permanent. Over time, spermatozoa may not be detected in the semen, and subsequently, azoospermia is relapsing (5, 7). In a study by Pasqualotto et al. (58), 27 NOA-men underwent microsurgical varicocelectomy, and 6 months after the surgery, semen samples were evaluated. According to the findings of this study, nine patients had spermatozoa in their ejaculate post-varicocelectomy. However, 12 months after surgery, five of those patients (55.6%) relapsed to azoospermia. The researchers have hypothesized that the appearance of spermatozoa in the ejaculate following surgery could be a temporary effect of the surgery’s induction of spermatogenesis. Consequently, some researchers suggest as mandatory the cryopreservation of motile sperm samples after the repair of varicocele in NOA-men (5, 7, 58).

Although not indicated in many studies, the period between varicocele repair and sperm reappearance in the ejaculate varies from 4.5 months to 11 months. Unfortunately, sometimes the female age does not permit waiting for the beneficial effects of varicocelectomy (49) (Figure 2).

It should be emphasized that the studies published in PubMed basis concerning the outcome of varicocelectomy in NOA-males are highly variable. Looking through Table 1, it is obvious that the outcome in the percentage of males with motile spermatozoa in the ejaculates ranges from 5% (59) to 69% (60).

There were evidence of the appearance of spermatozoa in the postoperative semen analysis in 43.9% (151/344) of patients in a systematic review and meta-analysis that included 16 studies with 344 NOA-men (10). The range of findings was 20.8% to 55.0%. The mean, standard deviation postoperative sperm density was 1.82 × 10⁶ ± 1.58 × 10⁶ ml⁻¹, and the mean sperm motility was 22.9% ± 15.5% (10).

In an interesting and clinically significant meta-analysis, Weedin et al. reported the appearance of motile sperm in post-varicocelectomy repair collected semen samples in 91 of 233 (39.1%) men. The authors emphasized the presence of 14 (6%) spontaneous pregnancies and ten pregnancies with the assistance of IVF. The mean ± SD postoperative sperm count, and motility were 1.6 × 10⁶ ± 1.2 × 10⁶ and 20.1% ± 18.5%, respectively. Eleven (4.6%) patients of the NOA group presenting motile sperm in the ejaculate postoperatively had relapsed into azoospermia within 2–6 months (8).

A significant percentage of NOA-men with clinically palpable varicocele has acquired biological offspring with the natural selection process avoiding assisted reproductive techniques (57). Despite these initial encouraging results, varicocelectomy in NOA-men with clinical varicocele is not recommended as a routine treatment. Some studies have presented that NOA-men with clinical varicocele rarely have enough spermatozoa in their ejaculate after varicocelectomy to avoid TESE. In fact, Schlegel and Kaufmann published those seven out of 31 patients (22%) had demonstrated spermatozoa in their ejaculates after surgery, with an average follow-up of 14.7 months. Among them, a percentage equal to 9.6% only had sufficient motile spermatozoa in ejaculation to participate in the ICSI technique and avoid TESE (48).

The appearance of motile sperm in the semen analysis of NOA-men who have undergone varicocelectomy repair may not be permanent. Over time, spermatozoa may not be detected in the semen, and subsequently, azoospermia is relapsing (5, 7). In a study by Pasqualotto et al. (58), 27 NOA-men underwent microsurgical varicocelectomy, and 6 months after the surgery, semen samples were evaluated. According to the findings of this study, nine patients had spermatozoa in their ejaculate post-varicocelectomy. However, 12 months after surgery, five of those patients (55.6%) relapsed to azoospermia. The researchers have hypothesized that the appearance of spermatozoa in the ejaculate following surgery could be a temporary effect of the surgery’s induction of spermatogenesis. Consequently, some researchers suggest as mandatory the cryopreservation of motile sperm samples after the repair of varicocele in NOA-men (5, 7, 58).

Although not indicated in many studies, the period between varicocele repair and sperm reappearance in the ejaculate varies from 4.5 months to 11 months. Unfortunately, sometimes the female age does not permit waiting for the beneficial effects of varicocelectomy (49) (Figure 2).

It should be emphasized that the studies published in PubMed basis concerning the outcome of varicocelectomy in NOA-males are highly variable. Looking through Table 1, it is obvious that the outcome in the percentage of males with motile spermatozoa in the ejaculates ranges from 5% (59) to 69% (60).

**Sperm Retrieval Rate in Males With Non-obstructive Azoospermia After Varicocelectomy and Outcome of ICSI**

Furthermore, in NOA-men who did not demonstrate spermatozoa in ejaculates post-varicocelectomy, it has been reported that varicocelectomy increases the SRR and increases the pregnancy rate post-ICSI. In addition to the effects on male reproductive potential, varicocelectomy improves the secretory function of Leydig cells, which results in an improvement of androgen production, negating the need for testosterone replacement therapy (61).

Although spermatogenesis after varicocelectomy improves in 39.1% of a population of NOA-males and may lead to the appearance of sperm in the seminal fluid, TESE is inevitably in some patients, post-varicocelectomy, due to insufficient motile sperm count in ejaculation and due to recurrence of azoospermia in other patients (8, 10, 48, 58).

There are few studies evaluating the influence of varicocelectomy on the outcome of TESE. A meta-analysis of three studies evaluating the SRR in 241 NOA-men with clinical varicocele demonstrated significantly (2.65-fold) higher SRR in NOA-men who underwent varicocelectomy compared to NOA-men with untreated varicocele (10).

Inci et al. evaluated SRR in specimens recovered by micro-TESE and the subsequent results of ICSI techniques in 96 NOA-men. This study included 66 NOA-men with clinical varicocele who had previously undergone varicocelectomy and 30 NOA-men with untreated clinical varicocele. This study has demonstrated that varicocelectomy significantly increases SRR in NOA-men with clinical palpable varicocele (53 vs. 30%) (62).

Haydardedeoglu et al. also compared outcomes of SRR and ICSI in two groups, the varicocele repair group vs. the non-repair group. They reported that SRR was higher in the group of NOA-men with varicocelectomy (60.81 and 38.46%, p = 0.01, respectively) (63). The clinical pregnancy rate and the live birth rate, as well, were significantly larger in the males undergone varicocelectomy (74.2 vs. 52.3% and 64.5 vs. 41.5%, respectively).

Zampieri et al. (64) also reported significantly higher SRR equal to 57.8% in men treated with varicocelectomy compared with 27% in untreated NOA-men with clinical varicocele. The optimal time for sperm retrieval after varicocelectomy repair is under investigation. It has been recommended that an interval of 3
months or longer between varicocele repair and sperm retrieval surgical procedure is recommended (65).

In contrast, Schlegel et al. (48) and Althakafi et al. (66) have suggested that varicocele repair may not be significant associates of success in NOA-men undergoing microsurgery.

**MECHANISMS OF DEVELOPMENT OF TESTICULAR DAMAGE IN VARICOCELIZED EXPERIMENTAL ANIMAL MODELS AND THERAPEUTIC/PROTECTIVE EFFECTS OF VARICOCELECTOMY**

Development of a left varicocele model in the rat (18, 67, 68), rabbit (69), monkey (70), and dog (71) demonstrated testicular endocrine and exocrine damage. Performance of varicocelectomy in varicocelized rats (72, 73) or rabbits (74) improved testicular exocrine function.

The detrimental effect of left varicocele on testicular endocrine and exocrine function has been proven in experimental animals with left varicocele (i.e., the rat model, rabbit model, dog model, or guinea pig model) (18). Taking into consideration the results of studies in experimental animal models of left varicocele, Sofikitis et al. (18) explained why a subpopulation of males with left varicocele remain fertile. Very briefly, according to this hypothesis, the latter subpopulation of fertile males with left varicocele has a very good development of the lymphatic drainage system in the testis bilaterally; therefore, the increase in the testicular extracellular fluid pressure caused by varicocele can be compensated due to the extended development of the testicular lymphatics. The above mechanism is strongly supported by studies in the monkey model of varicocele developed by Harrison et al. (75). In fact, Harrison has demonstrated that if testicular parenchymal lymphatics can carry the extra fluid load alterations caused by varicocele, no detrimental alterations in testicular function would be observed. Harrison and co-workers have demonstrated that in monkeys with varicoceles, the lymphatics cannot remove the extra fluid load fast enough. In that case, the result will be a slight increase in testicular tissue fluid pressure. Subsequently, the movement of fluid and nutrients from the circulation into the tissue beds will decrease, and the testicular tissue may become more ischemic, and cellular damage may occur. However, if there is an extensive development of lymphatics in the testicular tissue, the extracellular testicular fluid pressure will decrease with an overall result improvement in testicular function (18, 75). Furthermore, fertile males with left varicoceles may become at a later age (when they do not desire children) infertile (because of the pathophysiology of progressive testicular damage) without having understood it.

**GUIDELINES AND ISOLATED SERIES OF STUDIES RECOMMENDING OR REJECTING THE NEED FOR VARICOCELECTOMY IN NOA-MEN WITH CLINICAL VARICOCELES**

Guidelines by the European Association of Urology

In NOA-men who are treated with varicocele repair, SRRs are higher than those without varicocelectomy (76). In 43.9% of the

![Algorithm for the therapeutic management of NOA-men with varicoceles.](image-url)
| References | No. of patients | Procedure approach | Presence of sperm in postoperative ejaculate | Azoospermia relapse | Sperm retrieval | Pregnancy rates |
|------------|----------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|
|            |                |                    | No. (%) of patients with motile sperm        | Total no. of motile sperm (x 10^6) | SRR | Histopathology | Natural | With ART |
| Matthew et al. (7) | 22 | Microsurgical varicocelectomy | 12/22 (55%) | 2.2 ± 1.1 | – | – | 2/22 (9%) | 1/22 (5%) |
| Kim et al. (96) | 28 | Varicocelectomy inguinal | 12/28 (43%) | 1.2 ± 3.6 | 2/12 (17%) | – | HYPO: 18, MA: 13, SCO: 7 | | 2/28 (7%) |
| Kadioglu et al. (45) | 24 | Microsurgical varicocelectomy | 5/24 (21%) | 0.04±0.03 | – | – | HYPO:3, MA: 14, SCO: 7 | | 0/24 (0%) |
| Schlegel et al. (48) | 31 | Microsurgical varicocelectomy | 7/31 (22%) | – | 4/7 (57%) | – | – | | 0/31 (0%) |
| Giannakiset al. (54) | 22 | Microsurgery subinguinal | 6/22 (27%) | 2 | – | – | – | | |
| Cakanet al. (46) | 13 | Varicocelectomy inguinal | 3/13 (23%) | 0.7 | 0/13 (0%) | – | HYPO: 5, MA: 3, SCO: | | 2/28 (7%) |
| Esteves et al. (97) | 17 | Microsurgery inguinal | 6/17 (35%) | 0.8 | – | 4/9 | HYPO: 6, MA: 5, SCO: | 1/17 (6%) |
| Gat et al. (98) | 32 | Percutaneous embolization | 18/32 (56%) | 3.8 | 7/18 (39%) | – | – | 4/32 (13%) | 5/32 (16%) |
| Pasqualotto et al. (58) | 27 | Microsurgery subinguinal | 9/27 (33%) | 0.67 ± 1.74 | 7/9 (78%) | – | HYPO: 9, MA: 8, SCO: 10 | | 1/9 (11%) |
| Poulakis et al. (49) | 14 | Anterograde sclerotherapy | 7/14 (50%) | 3.1 ± 1.2 | – | – | HYPO: 4, MA: 5, SCO: 3 | | 2/14 (14%) |
| Lee et al. (5) | 19 | Microsurgery subinguinal | 7/19 (37%) | 0.36 | 2/7 (29%) | – | HYPO: 3, MA: 6, SCO: 10 | | 1/19 (5%) |
| Ishikawa et al. (100) | 6 | Microsurgery inguinal | 2/6 (33%) | 0.2 | – | – | – | 0/6 (0%) |
| Youssif et al. (101) | 54 | High ligation | 14/54 (26%) | 3.56 ± 4.8 | – | – | – | |
| Cocuzza et al. (102) | 10 | Microsurgery subinguinal | 3/10 (30%) | 5.5 | – | – | HYPO: 2, MA: 4, SCO: 4 | | – |
| Inciet al. (62) | 66 | Microsurgical varicocelectomy | – | – | – | – | – | 11/66 (17%) |
| Haydardedeoglu et al. (63) | 74 | Macrosurgical varicocelectomy | – | – | – | – | – | 23/74 (31%) |
| Abdel-Meguid et al. (75) | 31 | Microsurgery subinguinal | 9/31 (29%) | 2.3 ± 1.7 | 2/9 (22%) | – | HYPO: 13, MA: 6, SCO: 2 | | – |
| Kirac et al. (103) | 23 | Microsurgery subinguinal | 7/23 (30%) | 1.34 | – | – | – | 1/23 (4%) | 2/23 (9%) |
| Zampieriet al. (64) | 35 | Varicocelectomy | 17/35 (49%) | 0.6 | – | – | 15/35 (43%) | | 0/35 (0%) | 0/35 (0%) |
| Aboutaleb et al. (42) | 20 | Loupe-assisted subinguinal | 6/20 (30%) | 2 | – | – | HYPO: 7, MA: 3, SCO: 10 | | – |
| D’Andrea et al. (104) | 23 | Embolization | 11/23 (48%) | 1.3 | – | – | – | | |
| Ustuneret al. (65) | 19 | Microsurgery subinguinal | 1/19 (6%) | – | – | 8/19 (42%) | HYPO: 2, MA: 3, SCO: 14 | | – |
| Shraishiet al. (51) | 83 | Microsurgery inguinal | 20/83 (24%) | 7.8 | – | – | 30/83 (36%) | HYPO: 13, MA: 27, SCO: 43 | | 5/83 (6%) |
| Sajadiet al. (56) | 57 | Microsurgical varicocelectomy | 8/57 (14%) | – | – | 14/38 (37%) | HYPO: 3, MA: 8, SCO: 3 | 1/57 (2%) | 1/57 (2%) |
| Elbardiset al. (105) | 11 | Microsurgical varicocelectomy | 11/42 (26%) | 1.98 ± 5.4 | 0/11 (0%) | – | HYPO: 8, MA: 0, SCO: 3 | | – |
| Birowoet al. (60) | 42 | Microsurgery subinguinal | 29/42 (69%) | – | – | – | HYPO: 0, MA: 42, SCO: 0 | | – |
patients, spermatozoa have been found in semen samples after varicocele repair. These results suggest that varicocele repair in NOA men with clinical varicocele is associated with higher SRR. In addition, 44% of the NOA-males demonstrate the appearance of spermatozoa in the ejaculate. Thus, the latter males may avoid surgical sperm recovery (76). On the other hand, the quality of evidence available is low. Appropriate information of the NOA-man should be given concerning the risks and benefits of varicocele repair prior to the performance of surgery (76).

It should be emphasized that the European Association of Urology (EAU) Guidelines recommend TESE procedures for the therapeutic management of NOA-men (76). EAU Guidelines suggest if a diagnostic testicular biopsy is desired, the testicular biopsy must be combined with TESE for sperm cryopreservation. However, in the case of the presence of factor that may play a causative role in the development of NOA (i.e., left varicocele), the EAU Guidelines do not take a position against the therapeutic effort to retrace such a causative/contributing factor. Adopting this approach, the overall objective may be the appearance of spermatozoa in the ejaculate after removal of the factor that contributes to/causes NOA (i.e., the performance of varicocelectomy in NOA-males with varicocele or the administration of GnRH/gonadotropins to hypogonadotropic hypogonadism) (76). This therapeutic approach is absolutely consistent with the principle of The Hippokratical Medicine to remove the cause of pathophysiology (i.e., varicocele) in order to treat the consequences (i.e., azoospermia) of that pathophysiology.

Guidelines by the American Urological Association and American Society for Reproductive Medicine
There are few studies, including a small number of men with NOA that have elucidated the influence of varicocele repair on the appearance of sperm in the ejaculate post-operatively. There are no high-quality data to recommend varicocelectomy in NOA males. In addition, varicocele repair delays ART employment for at least 6 months. When varicocelectomy is suggested prior to employment of TESE combined with ART, couples should be adequately informed of the limited evidence recommending this approach (77).

Difficulties to Design RCTs in Reproductive Medicine
It should be mentioned that almost all of the studies that evaluate the effects of varicocelectomy in NOA-men are non-randomized controlled studies or non-controlled studies. Definitely, this issue has been taken into serious consideration in the generation of Guidelines on Varicocele Repair by Scientific Societies. However, the scientific community is aware of the difficulties to perform randomized studies comparing one surgical procedure with another therapeutic mode. Definitely, it is very difficult and probably non-ethical to design a randomized study in which one arm will include a surgical therapeutic procedure, whereas the other arm of the study will not receive any treatment/or will not receive the most appropriate treatment. Patients may claim that the physicians are non-ethical violating Hippocrates Oath if they deprive a new promising treatment from a subpopulation of patients. On the other hand, looking through the international literature only one randomized controlled study was noted on the importance of performing varicocelectomy (78). The latter study demonstrated a significant and positive effect of varicocele in oligoasthenoteratospermic males. However, in that study NOA- males were not included. In subpopulations of azoospermic males, varicocele may be a contributing factor to the development of the azoospermic phenotype. Thus, in a subpopulation of azoospermic males who demonstrated the appearance of sperm in the ejaculate post-varicocele repair, the probability that another harmful contributing factor had been additionally removed/neutralized cannot be ruled out. In fact, several studies indicate the importance of discovering additional pathophysiologies to the varicocele pathophysiology that contribute to a certain degree to the phenotype of progressive testicular damage in NOA-males with varicoceles (i.e., among other contributing factors, the literature discusses the importance of smoking and obesity) (79, 80).

It should be emphasized that, in some studies dealing with the effect of varicocelectomy in NOA-men, the methodology followed for the differential diagnosis between non-obstructive azoospermia and obstructive azoospermia has not been described adequately. However, in several studies, it is clearly mentioned that varicocele was diagnosed both by clinical examination and ultrasonographical evaluation. In addition, in several studies the pellet of more than one semen samples post-centrifugation was evaluated, and the presence of genetic anomalies was investigated prior to the performance of varicocelectomy (48, 56, 81).

SURGICAL REPAIR OF VARICOCELE
Conventional open non-magnified varicocelectomy, laparoscopic/robotic varicocelectomy, and microsurgical varicocelectomy are varicocele’s most common surgical treatment methods. There are no long-term results concerning pregnancy rates. Differences in the types and frequency of complications are the main reasons for choosing between subinguinal and supra-inguinal surgical techniques. According to the literature, failure or recurrence rates for varicocele surgery vary from 1.05% for magnified repair approach to 14.97% for high inguinal canal approaches (82).

Subinguinal/Inguinal Microsurgical Varicocelectomy
The current gold standard therapy for varicoceles is the subinguinal microsurgical varicocelectomy. The subinguinal microscopic method indeed has several benefits, including (a) saving of the artery and the lymphatics, (b) a high success rate in terms of improving some of the standard parameters of semen analysis, and (c) a minimal risk of hydrocele.

The following arguments represent the four most significant advantages of microsurgical subinguinal varicocelectomy (in contrast to inguinal or retroperitoneal varicocelectomy): (1) a subinguinal incision offers the opportunity to expose the
spermatic cord without dividing any abdominal muscles or fascia; the overall result is the development of a smaller degree of post-operative pain and a more rapid return to the normal activities of a given patient, (2) clear identification of all of the dilated veins offers the opportunity to reduce the possibility for varicocele recurrence, (3) accurate identification of the internal, external and vassal arteries removes the risk for ligation of the testicular arteries, and (4) testicular veins can be clearly identified.

The venous drainage of the testis additionally to the pampiniform plexus-internal spermatic vein system, includes the cremasteric veins, the periarterial venous plexus, extra-spermatic collaterals, and gubernacular collaterals. If these minor venous collaterals are not identified/ligated, their diameter will increase post-varicocele; the overall result may be varicocele recurrence having subsequently harmful effects on testicular endocrine and exocrine function. Hopps and colleagues suggest blockage of any veins larger than 2.0 mm in diameter, including the gubernacular veins, which may be identified by delivering the testis above the incision and exposing the gubernaculum. It should be emphasized that the existence of significant structural polymorphisms in the veno-spermatic area (7% of cases) makes radiological therapy contraindicated, with conventional surgical procedures being chosen in these instances.

**Retroperitoneal Approach (Palomo)**
The Palomo surgical procedure was historically first employed. It involves retroperitoneal ligation of the left internal spermatic vein without magnification. Disadvantages of this surgical procedure involve (a) the inability to ligate the external spermatic vein system, (b) the inability to secure the integrity of testicular arteries, and (c) the inability to avoid damaging the testicular lymphatic venous drainage system. Another major disadvantage of the Palomo technique is that it may not be possible to ligate all the left internal spermatic veins. A large number of internal spermatic veins has been identified occasionally in the lumbar level.

**Laparoscopic/Open Suprainguinal Varicocelectomy**
During an open or laparoscopic operation, the suprainguinal approach with spermatic vein ligation can be performed easily and with a subsequent high success rate. However, even with the increased magnification provided by laparoscopy, it may be difficult to identify and preserve lymphatics and arteries.

**Sclerotherapy/Embolotherapy**
Sclerosing agents, tissue adhesives, or detachable metallic coils may be used to selectively catheterize and embolize the gonadal veins as an alternative to surgical varicocele repair. Antegrade or retrograde injection of sclerosant or embolizing substance injections have been utilized for many years as treatments alternative to surgery.

Compared to open or laparoscopic surgery, retrograde and antegrade techniques have a lower success rate and need radiation exposure. An intravascular coil or balloon with or without 3% sodium tetradecyl sulfate or polidocanol may be used retrogradely to occlude the vein. It has been stated that the procedure is technically impossible in 5–22% of cases and that rates of persistence or recurrence range from 6 to 35%. Pain, epididymo-orchitis, phlebitis, and scrotal oedema are all self-limiting consequences; testicular atrophy or hydrocele are not usually observed. Antegrade techniques with or without fluoroscopic vein identification procedure involve the isolation of a vein or veins that are susceptible to cannulation, followed by injecting a sclerosant to ligate the vein. The success rate is 4 to 12% lower than the respective rate gained by retrograde sclerotherapy, but the consequences are the same.

**CONCLUSIONS**
Varicocelectomy in NOA-men has a beneficial effect on spermatogenesis and the reappearance of motile spermatozoa in the ejaculate. In addition, it increases SRR in men who remain azoospermic post-varicocelectomy. However, there is a possibility that NOA-men who are positive for spermatozoa in the semen samples post-varicocele repair will relapse into azoospermia. As a result, NOA-men should be advised to freeze spermatozoa appearing the semen post-varicocelectomy. In addition, performance of varicocelectomy in NOA-men and subsequent ICSI procedures using testicular spermatozoa may increase pregnancy and live birth rates in couples without female infertility factors.

Testicular histopathology is a reliable predictor of the success of varicocelectomy in NOA-men. Consequently, NOA-men with hypospermatogenesis in the testicular biopsy are more likely to develop motile spermatozoa on postoperative ejaculate after varicocelectomy than NOA-men with early maturation arrest and SCO. In addition, NOA-men with early or late maturation arrest are more likely to develop motile spermatozoa in postoperative ejaculates compared with SCO.

It should be emphasized that the level of evidence for the above beneficial effects of varicocelectomy in NOA-men is low, and the beneficial or detrimental effects of varicocelectomy should be discussed with these men.
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