Revisiting the valence stability and preparation of perovskite structure type oxides ABO\textsubscript{3} with the use of Madelung electrostatic potential energy and lattice site potential
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Valence stability of aliovalent ions is mostly correlated with lattice site potential in ionic crystals. Madelung electrostatic potential is obtained by adding all the lattice site potentials for all the ions present in a crystal structure. Therefore, valence stability and the stability of a crystal structure can be better understood with consideration of both the lattice site potential and Madelung electrostatic potential. This was first demonstrated more than four decades ago by one of the present authors. We revisit this situation by using re-calculated lattice site potential and Madelung electrostatic potential for perovskite structure type ABO\textsubscript{3} compounds using a new computer program VESTA. We show that the formation of a perovskite structure type compound with the general formula ABO\textsubscript{3} (where A and B are cations and O is an oxide ion) becomes energetically favorable when it has a higher Madelung electrostatic potential than the combined Madelung electrostatic potential of parent binary compounds AO and B\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} or BO\textsubscript{2}. It is further shown that strong lattice site potential results in stability of high valence or high valence ions can be stabilized in a lattice site with strong lattice-site potential. It further follows that certain ions experience maximum lattice site potential at the B ion lattice site of the perovskite structure when compared to other structures such as fluorite BO\textsubscript{2}, rutile BO\textsubscript{2} and corundum B\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}. Therefore, (i) the stability of an ion with a high (and uncommon) valence state at the B site being higher than that at the A site, (ii) occurrence of point defects at A or O sites with weak lattice site potentials, respectively and (iii) instability of perovskite A\textsuperscript{4+}B\textsuperscript{2+}O\textsubscript{3} and A\textsuperscript{5+}B\textsuperscript{1+}O\textsubscript{3} compounds, respectively can be rationalized by lattice site potential and Madelung electrostatic potential analysis.

Introduction

An enormous number of solids show crystallographic structural similarity to the mineral perovskite (CaTiO\textsubscript{3}) and have the general formula ABX\textsubscript{3}. Metal oxides with chemical formula ABO\textsubscript{3} are a subclass of this large family of compounds. For many years, perovskite oxides have been studied extensively in the literature of chemistry, physics and geology for a large variety of solid state phenomena associated with electric, optical, thermal and magnetic properties. A large number of oxides with perovskite type structures are possible to synthesise in a laboratory for applications in vast areas spanning from electronics to catalysis. It is of immense importance to understand the factors that may correlate with the formation and stability of perovskite structure type ABO\textsubscript{3} compounds for the design of new compounds with novel structures containing desired valence states of B cations.

The reason for why many compounds would take perovskite structure type ABX\textsubscript{3} had been explained from ion-packing & tolerance factor, by Goldschmidt in 1926.\textsuperscript{1} Even now, most of the research articles and text books on the assessment of stability of perovskite structure type ABO\textsubscript{3} oxides focus on the geometric parameters such as tolerance factor, and structure-field map.\textsuperscript{2–5} However, lattice and valence stabilities should be more related to thermodynamic energy and originate from thermodynamic principles rather than numerical geometrical parameters. Crystal chemistry and thermodynamic energy of ionic solids are reflected into Madelung electrostatic potential as shown by Van Gool and Piken in 1969.\textsuperscript{5,7} “The valence stability using lattice site-potential” of perovskite structure type ABO\textsubscript{3} was demonstrated by one of the authors of this article (M. Yoshimura) and was considered for the first time in 1974.\textsuperscript{4} This was referred by Rustam Roy in 1975 in a plenary lecture presented as the Robert B. Sosman Memorial Lecture at the 77th annual meeting of the American ceramics society and was
published in 1977. This is a novel and very less explored concept. We also note that not many researchers have been able to consider this concept perhaps due to the inaccessibility of the original article. Here, the present article is written by re-visiting Madelung lattice energy and site potentials based upon recent data calculated using VESTA\textsuperscript{10} program and recent crystallographic (structural) and thermodynamic data. We emphasize on the fact that ionic solids are stabilized due to the gain in lattice energy that is reflected in Madelung electrostatic potential ($E_{M}$). Nevertheless, recently, Hoppe demonstrated that the enthalpy of formation of solids is reflected in Madelung electrostatic potential in 1995\textsuperscript{11} and Glasser has shown that for ionic solids the lattice energy and Madelung electrostatic potential energy ($E_{M}$) has a linear relationship in 2012.\textsuperscript{12}

The ideal perovskite structure type of $\text{ABO}_3$ compound has a cubic unit cell. This structure can be constructed from corner shared $\text{BO}_6$ octahedra with A cation being present at the 12 coordinated interstitial position within the octahedral network (Fig. 1a). A and B cations are coordinated by 12 and six $\text{O}^{2-}$ ions, respectively and $\text{O}^{2-}$ ion is coordinated by four A and two B cations. Several structural modification of cubic perovskite structure has been identified such as tetragonal, orthorhombic, rhombohedral, hexagonal, and monoclinic, respectively either as polymorph of $\text{ABO}_3$ or upon chemical modification. The most important chemical fact of $\text{ABO}_3$ perovskite compound is that, apart from noble gasses and nonmetals, A or B in $\text{ABO}_3$ can be chosen from almost all elements and several aliovalent A or B ions such as $\text{A}^{1+} \text{A}^{3+}$ or $\text{B}^{1+} \text{B}^{3+}$, respectively can be combined in a single compound. Furthermore, non-ideal stoichiometry of oxygen or A such as $\text{ABO}_3$ or $\text{ABO}_3$, respectively are regularly observed. It is further interesting that many $\text{BO}_3$ compounds can adopt perovskite type structure where A cation is completely absent for example $\text{ReO}_3$. Therefore, the structural skeleton formation by $\text{BO}_3$ sub lattice is generally regarded as prerequisite for the formation of perovskite structure type compounds.\textsuperscript{2} Furthermore, the $\text{BO}_3$ sub lattice skeleton is primarily stabilized by the electrostatic potential energy gain by ionic arrangements in solid phase and additional stability of perovskite type $\text{ABO}_3$ compounds can arise from (i) the presence of large A cation within the interstitial position, (ii) crystal field splitting and (iii) hybridization of orbitals.\textsuperscript{2}

The total internal energy of ionic solids may be expressed as

$$E = E_{M} + E_{N} \quad (1)$$

where $E_{M}$ is Madelung electrostatic potential energy and $E_{N}$ is combination of all other energies (repulsive, zero point, crystal field stabilization, vibrational, van der Waals and covalent, energies respectively).\textsuperscript{13}

The analysis of Madelung electrostatic potential and lattice site potential of a large number $\text{ABO}_3$ compounds (with varying combination of A and B) led to a set of fundamental criteria for the formation of perovskite structure type $\text{ABO}_3$ with varying combination and valence states of A and B and summarized by Yoshimura \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{8,14} as follows: oxides of A can be reacted with oxides of B to form perovskite structure type $\text{ABO}_3$ compounds if: (i) B ion is smaller than A ion, (ii) valence of B ion is larger or equal to A ion and (iii) sum of valence of A and B ion is six (including mixed valence situation $\text{A}^{1+} \text{A}^{3+}$ or $\text{B}^{1+} \text{B}^{3+}$). An in depth analysis showed that the valence stability originates from gain of lattice site potential. Recently, there have been some excellent research work reported in the literature based on the use of Madelung electrostatic potentials energy for understanding the stability of perovskite structure type compounds. Shan \textit{et al.} investigated Li ion insertion in perovskite structure type $\text{SrVO}_3$, $\text{La}_2/3\text{TiO}_3$ and ($\text{La, Li})\text{TiO}_3$ from the lattice site potential analysis and found that the insertion of lithium ion is limited by the effect of lattice site potential on the valence stability of V and Ti.\textsuperscript{15} In these perovskite structure type oxides, the valence state of tetravalent V and Ti can be reduced to 3 upon insertion of monovalent Li ion at an interstitial site. It is interesting to note that for spinel structure type $\text{LiMn}_2\text{O}_4$ compounds, Ragavendran \textit{et al.} have shown that the Madelung electrostatic potential of $\text{LiMn}_2\text{O}_4$ almost linearly correlates with $x$ and therefore voltage of Li-ion battery made using $\text{LiMn}_2\text{O}_4$ can be easily estimated from the Madelung
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Fig. 1  Ideal cubic perovskite structure of $\text{ABO}_3$ (a) showing corner shared $\text{BO}_6$ octahedra and A cation at the interstitial position and (b) showing B cation and $\text{BO}_6$ octahedra at the center of the unit cell.
The degradation of these devices arise from instability of the perovskite structure type hybrid compounds and can also be understood from Madelung electrostatic potential analysis. Furthermore, it has also been shown that the stability of oxides such as Li$_4$SiO$_4$ towards the reaction Li$_4$SiO$_4$ + CO$_2$ → Li$_2$SiO$_3$ + Li$_2$CO$_3$ has direct consequence on the CO$_2$ capture and storage efficiency and recent study by Oh-ishi et al. had successfully employed lattice site potentials analysis of all Li$^+$ ions in the unit cells of Li$_4$SiO$_4$, Li$_2$SiO$_3$, and Li$_2$CO$_3$ to evaluate the extraordinary stability.

On the other hand, lattice site potential can be used for the estimation of the oxygen to metal charge transfer and bandgap of perovskite type ABO$_3$ oxides using an ionic model developed by Zaanen et al. and demonstrated in a large number of oxides by Torrance et al. and Arima et al. Kato et al. employed lattice site potential analysis to show that the valence band structure can be tailored by designing the stacking sequence of layers of a number of layered compounds BiOX (X = Cl, Br, I), Bi$_4$NbO$_8$X (X = Cl, Br), Bi$_4$GdO$_8$X (X = Cl, Br), and SrBiO$_3$X (X = Cl, Br, I) and therefore, lattice site potential analysis may be useful for designing new photocatalysts. Here we look back at the development of Madelung electrostatic potential and lattice site potential only for perovskite type ABO$_3$ oxides. We show that the lattice and valence stabilities of perovskite structure type ABO$_3$ compounds can be understood from simple Madelung electrostatic potential view point and valence stability is directly related to the lattice site potential. Based on the existing literatures, our hypothesis is that the stability and properties of perovskite type ABO$_3$ oxides can be rationalized better in terms of change in Madelung electrostatic potential energies rather than structural perturbation such as distortions and tolerance factors.

The Madelung electrostatic potential and lattice site potentials can be calculated using commercial first principle calculation software packages. We have used freely available software VESTA to recalculate the lattice site potentials and Madelung electrostatic potential directly from the known crystal structures. The Madelung electrostatic potential $E_M$ obtained from VESTA has the unit of MJ mol$^{-1}$ asymmetric unit. In order to compare $E_M$ per mole of each compound with different crystal symmetry (space groups), the $E_M$ output of each compound from VESTA was multiplied by the multiplicity of general Wyckoff position and divided by the number of formula units in the unit cell.

**Results and discussion**

According to Van Gool and Piken, the Madelung electrostatic potential energy $E_M$ can be expressed as

$$E_M(\text{kcal/mol}) = 332 \sum \frac{q_j p_j \phi_i}{2\kappa}$$

where, $q_j$ is total charge number of lattice point $j$, $p_j$ is frequency of occurrence of $j$ lattice point in the unit cell, $\phi_i$ is the lattice site potential ($A^{-1}$) at the lattice point $j$, and $\kappa$ is total number of molecules in the unit cell.

The Madelung constant can be expressed as

$$M_a = -\alpha \sum \frac{q_j p_j \phi_i}{2\kappa}$$

where $M_a$ is expressed in terms of the characteristic length $a$, such as the lattice parameter of unit cell. For compounds with complex structures an arbitrary characteristic length $r$ such as nearest neighbor atomic distance can be defined and the Madelung constant can be expressed as

$$M_r = \frac{r}{a} (M_a)$$

From eqn (2)-(4) the Madelung electrostatic potential can be expressed by

$$E_M = -332 \frac{M_a}{a} = -332 \frac{M_r}{r}$$

In VESTA, lattice site potential $\phi_i$ and Madelung electrostatic potential $E_M$ of a given structure is calculated using following equations.

![Fig. 2. Variation of lattice site potential and Madelung electrostatic potential energy with varying valence-pair compositions of valences of A and B of perovskite structure type ABO$_3$ oxides. It should be noted that the sign of $\phi_A$ and $\phi_B$ are negative. It was assumed that all the valence pairs have ideal cubic perovskite structure ($a = b = c = 3.881$ Å and $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 90^\circ$ and space group Pm3m (221)).](image-url)
\[ \phi_i = \sum_j \frac{Z_j}{4\pi \varepsilon_0 l_{ij}} \]  
\[ E_M = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \phi_i Z_i W_i \]

where \( Z_j \) is valence of ion \( j \), \( \varepsilon_0 \) is permittivity of vacuum and \( l_{ij} \) is distance between ions \( i \) and \( j \).

Madelung constant \( M_a \) and Madelung electrostatic potential energy \( E_M \) varies with the valence states. Fig. 2 shows the relationship between valence state and lattice site potentials \( \phi_j \) as well as Madelung electrostatic potential \( E_M \) in ideal cubic perovskite type structure of \( \text{ABO}_3 \) with fixed lattice constant of 3.881 Å. Based on eqn (5) the Madelung constant \( M_a \) also shows similar trend with valence. Fig. 2 shows that the lattice site potential at A site \( (\phi_A) \) and O site \( (\phi_O) \) increase with the valence \((0-6), (1-5), (2-4), (3-3)\) (the first number indicates valence of A and second number indicates valence of B) while the lattice site potential of B ion \( (\phi_B) \) decreases. This re-calculated trend of lattice potential with valence pairs is in excellent agreement with that obtained from method developed by Van Gool and Piken,6,7 and adapted by Yoshimura et al. in 1974.8 All calculations were performed using VESTA10 employing the radius of ionic sphere and reciprocal space range of 1.6 Å and 10 Å\(^{-1}\), respectively.

**Fig. 3** Variation of lattice site potential of different sites \( \phi_A, \phi_B \) and \( \phi_O \) and Madelung electrostatic potential \( E_M \), respectively with lattice parameter of different valence pairs \( \text{AB}^{6+}\text{O}_3 \) \( (\text{A} = \text{Na}^0, \text{B} = \text{Re}^{6+}) \) as \( \text{A}^{1+}\text{B}^{5+}\text{O}_3 \) \( (\text{A} = \text{Na}^+, \text{B} = \text{Ta}^{5+}), \text{A}^{2+}\text{B}^{4+}\text{O}_3 \) \((\text{A} = \text{Sr}^{2+}, \text{B} = \text{Ti}^{4+})\) and \( \text{A}^{3+}\text{B}^{3+}\text{O}_3 \) \((\text{A} = \text{La}^{3+} \text{ and } \text{B} = \text{Al}^{3+})\) with atomic coordinates of \( \text{A} (\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}), \text{B} (0 0 0) \) and \( \text{O} (\frac{1}{2} 0 0) \) in space group \( \text{Pm}3\text{m} \) (221). Radius of ionic sphere and reciprocal space range of 1.6 Å and 10 Å\(^{-1}\), respectively.
respectively. For the calculation of $\phi$ and $E_M$ of $A^iB^{ii}O_3$, a dummy A such as Na atom was placed at $(\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2})$ and B cation Re$^{5+}$ at $(0 \ 0 \ 0)$ atomic coordinates, respectively of space group $Pm\bar{3}m$ (221) with both valence and occupancy, respectively of A were set to zero. The calculation for valence pairs (4–2) and (5–1) assumed hypothetical $A^iB^iO_2$ and $A^iB^{ii}O_3$ perovskite structure type compounds because they rarely exist.

In Fig. 3 we show that, how the lattice site potential ($\phi$) of each ionic site and Madelung electrostatic potential ($E_M$) energy changes with lattice parameter when valence is fixed, for each valence pair in each perovskite structure type ABO$_3$. The variation observed in Fig. 3 is consistent with the observation of Sabry et al. in 2000 [ref. 24]. From Fig. 2 and 3 it is observed that lattice site potential for all sites change significantly with valence pairs. Lattice site potential for oxygen in $A^iB^{ii}O_3$ is lowest. Therefore, it can be expected that oxygen can be easily removed from the perovskite type compounds with $A^iB^{ii}O_3$ than $A^iiB^iO_3$. Indeed, the occurrence of oxygen vacancy is very common in $A^iB^{ii}O_3$ and this may be of importance during designing catalysts for the availability of active lattice oxygen or designing materials for p- or n- type conductivity.

Valence stability is related to lattice energy which mainly arises from Madelung electrostatic potential and more directly related to lattice site potential. We first consider the valence stability of B ions in oxides where both 4+ and 3+ valence state of B ion is known. Example of such B ions are Ce$^{3+/4+}$, Pr$^{3+/4+}$ and Tb$^{3+/4+}$. In order to understand the relative stability of $B^{4+}O_2$ and $B^{3+}O_3$, we consider the enthalpy change (8) of the decomposition reaction $BO_2 \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}B_2O_3 + \frac{3}{2}O_2$ and corresponding Born–Haber cycle (Scheme 1).

$$\Delta H^\circ = (UBO_2 - \frac{1}{2}UB_2O_3) - I_4 - \frac{1}{2}D + \frac{1}{2}E$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

Eqn (8) suggests that high valence of B in oxide $BO_2$ can be stable if its lattice energy is enhanced by other energy terms. Since, the lattice energy is enthalpy at $T = 0 \text{K}$ ($\Delta H^\circ = \Delta U^\circ = \Delta G^\circ$; the energy terms such as $\Delta S$ and $RT$ do not contribute at $T = 0 \text{K}$), one can postulate that the stability of high valence ions is enhanced in crystal structure with large lattice energies. A similar analogy can be drawn for the valence stability in complex oxides where multiple ions are present such as in perovskite type ABO$_3$ compounds. Furthermore, cations provide large electrostatic potential contribution to the total Madelung electrostatic potential in crystal structure as shown in the plots of $\phi_A$ and $\phi_B$ in Fig. 3. This is in excellent with the trend of cation site potentials observed by Sabry et al.\textsuperscript{24} Therefore, the valence stability of cation is rather directly related to the lattice-site potential of the cation site in a crystal structure and the stability enhances when they are present in lattice site with strong lattice site potential such as B site in perovskite structure type ABO$_3$ oxides.

A number of experimental study has indicated that high valence of some B cation is stable in perovskite type $A^iB^{ii}O_3$ oxides but not in fluorite type $B^{iv}O_2$. For example, investigation on the synthesis of perovskite type ABO$_3$ compounds had revealed that several stable perovskite type $A^iB^{ii}O_3$ compounds (with $A = \text{Ba}, \text{Sr}$ and $B = \text{Ce}, \text{Tb}, \text{Pr}$) can be synthesized and are stable at room temperatures in spite of the poor ambient condition stability of $\text{Pr}^{4+}O_2$ and $\text{Tb}^{4+}O_2$ and existence of both $\text{Ce}^{2+}O_3$ and $\text{Ce}^{3+}O_2$.\textsuperscript{24} In order to explain this experimental fact, an in depth analysis of lattice site potential and Madelung site potential was presented in.\textsuperscript{24} Here, we have recalculated the lattice site potential and Madelung electrostatic potential using VESTA\textsuperscript{28} and presented in Table 1. Our recently calculated values show excellent matches with that using the procedure of Van Gool and Piken.\textsuperscript{24} In Table 1 the lattice site potential of A ion in all perovskite structure type compounds appear to be close to those of AO but for B ion, the perovskite structure provides significant lattice site potential gain than that in fluorite structure. Except for BaTbO$_3$ which we believe due to not so accurate estimation of lattice parameter for TbO$_2$.

Therefore, the experimental observation that $B^{iv}$ was not stable in fluorite structure type $B^{iv}O_2$ but stable in perovskite structure type $A^iB^{ii}O_3$ is in accordance with the data presented in Table 1. The strong lattice site potential at B site results in large total Madelung electrostatic potential of perovskite structure. In general, Fig. 4 shows that lattice site potential of B site is stronger than A or O site in perovskite type ABO$_3$ in each valence state situation. Therefore, we find that the formation of these perovskite type oxides is associated with significant gain in Madelung electrostatic potential ($\Delta E_M$) calculated by subtracting the combined $E_M$ of rock salt structure type AO and fluorite structure type BO$_2$ from the $E_M$ of perovskite crystal structure type of final ABO$_3$ (Table 1). For example, one can reasonably expect that $\text{Ba}^{2+}O + \text{Ce}^{4+}O_2 \rightarrow \text{Ba}^{3+}\text{Ce}^{4+}O_3$ reaction may proceed as there is gain in Madelung electrostatic potential $\Delta E_M$ of $\sim -43 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$. It should be noted that this discussion of lattice site potential and Madelung electrostatic potential is first approximation but still can be useful to explain experimental observations. For the calculation of the $E_M$ and $\phi$, an ideal cubic structure for ABO$_3$ has been assumed and all the ions have been considered as point charges. In real crystals however, ions will have certain degree of polarization in their site, and various structural distortion generally leads to the deviation from cubic symmetry of the crystal structure of the perovskites. High structural distortion can lead to the decomposition of ABO$_3$ to component oxides. Therefore, the practical stability of these perovskite structure type ABO$_3$ oxides may not be very high.
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**Scheme 1** The Born–Haber cycle of dissociation of $BO_2$ to $B_2O_3$. Here, $UBO_2$ and $UB_2O_3$ are lattice energies of $BO_2$ and $B_2O_3$, respectively. $I_4$ is ionization energy of $B^{3+}$ to $B^{4+}$. $D$ and $E$, respectively are dissociation energy and electron affinity of oxygen.
Table 1  Madelung electrostatic potential energy of some different structured oxides, fluorite (AO2) rock salt (AO) and perovskite (A²⁺B⁴⁺O₃) types. \( \phi_A, \phi_B \) and \( \phi_O \) are lattice site potentials of A, B and oxygen sites, respectively (see text). The data were calculated using VESTA employing radius of ionic sphere and reciprocal space range of 1.6 Å and 10 Å⁻¹, respectively.

| Compound | Crystal structure | Lattice constant \( a \) (Å) | Madelung electrostatic potential \( E_M \) (kcal mol⁻¹) | Difference \( \Delta E_M \) (kcal mol⁻¹) | Lattice site potential \( \phi \) (Å⁻¹) |
|----------|------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|
| CeO₂     | Fluorite         | 5.411³                      | -2855.431                                        | -2.801                           | 1.504           |
| PrO₂     |                  | 5.393³                      | -2864.947                                        | -2.811                           | 1.509           |
| TbO₂     |                  | 5.22²                       | -2959.725                                        | -2.904                           | 1.559           |
| BaO      | NaCl             | 5.523³                      | -840.791                                         | -1.269                           | 1.269           |
| SrO      |                  | 5.160²                      | -899.696                                         | -1.358                           | 1.358           |
| BaCeO₃   | Perovskite       | 4.396²                      | -3738.779                                        | -42.6                            |                 |
| BaPrO₂   |                  | 4.37²                       | -3759.255                                        | -53.5                            |                 |
| SrCeO₃   |                  | 4.291²                      | -3839.885                                        | -39.4                            |                 |
| SrPrO₂   |                  | 4.28⁰                       | -3830.060                                        | -75.2                            |                 |
| SrTbO₃   |                  | 4.18⁰                       | -3925.916                                        | -66.5                            |                 |

³ Pseudocubic lattice parameters from a: ref. 31 and b: ref. 27. ² \( \Delta E_M = E_M(ABO_3) - [E_M(AO) + E_M(BO_2)] \). ²

Takayama-Muromachi et al. experimentally obtained change in heat of formation (\( \Delta H_f \)) for the reaction \( A^2O + B^4O \rightarrow A^2B^4O_3 \) of several oxides \((A = Ca, Sr, Ba, Pb and Cd; B = Ti and Zr)\). They also calculated change in Madelung electrostatic potential \( (\Delta E_M) \) from the \( E_M \) of \( A^2B^4O_3 \), AO and BO₂ and showed that heat of formation of perovskite structure type compounds can be written as

\[
\Delta H_f = \Delta E = \Delta E_M + \Delta E_N
\]

It has also been shown that \( \Delta E_M \) correlates well with Goldschmidt tolerance factor.

Further detailed discussion on the characteristic length and Madelung electrostatic potential can be found in. It is further interesting to note that some of these perovskite-type oxides are so stable that, they are not easily reduced under hydrogen atmosphere at moderate temperatures. From above examples, it can be reasonably hypothesized that the gain in lattice site potential of B site and hence the Madelung electrostatic potential can promote the formation of perovskite structure type ABO₃ compounds. Furthermore, from the lattice site potential consideration, it can be shown that Ca²⁺Mn⁴⁺O₃ and A²⁺Pb⁴⁺O₃ \((A = Ba, Sr)\) are stable under conditions where the corresponding MnO₂ or PbO₂ decomposes to lower valence oxides.

It is further interesting to note that some of these perovskite-type oxides are so stable that, they are not easily reduced under hydrogen atmosphere at moderate temperatures. From above examples, it can be reasonably hypothesized that the gain in lattice site potential of B site and hence the Madelung electrostatic potential can promote the formation of perovskite structure type ABO₃ compounds. Furthermore, from the lattice site potential consideration, it can be shown that Ca²⁺Mn⁴⁺O₃ and A²⁺Pb⁴⁺O₃ \((A = Ba, Sr)\) are stable under conditions where the corresponding MnO₂ or PbO₂ decomposes to lower valence oxides.
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potentials were obtained using VESTA\textsuperscript{18} that uses eqn (6) and (7) for lattice site potential and Madelung electrostatic potential and presented in Tables 1 and 2. The lattice site potential obtained from compounds with general formula LaB\textsuperscript{3+}O\textsubscript{3} (B = Al, Co, Cr, Fe, Ni, Ti and V) and B\textsuperscript{2+}O\textsubscript{3} (B = Al, Co, Cr, Fe, Ti and V), are given in Table 2. Fig. 4 shows the gain in lattice site potentials of all the sites in La\textsuperscript{3+}B\textsuperscript{3+}O\textsubscript{3}. It can be clearly seen that there is significant enhancement of lattice site potential for B site for all La\textsuperscript{3+}B\textsuperscript{3+}O\textsubscript{3} from that of corundum structure B\textsuperscript{2+}O\textsubscript{3}. Thus, high valence state of Ni and Co is stable in La\textsuperscript{3+}B\textsuperscript{3+}O\textsubscript{3} due to this large lattice site potential at B site and consistent with similar high valence stability situation of A\textsuperscript{2+}B\textsuperscript{4+}O\textsubscript{3} as discussed in the previous section. Furthermore, from Fig. 4 it can be stated that the lattice site potential for oxygen is much lower in perovskite structure than corundum structure and therefore, corundum structure of B\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} is more difficult to form oxygen related point defects than perovskite structure type La\textsuperscript{3+}B\textsuperscript{3+}O\textsubscript{3}. Indeed, a similar analysis has been performed for rutile BO\textsubscript{3} structure as-well\textsuperscript{a} and therefore it may be understandable that why oxygen vacancy is rarely seen in rutile or corundum structure although plenty of vacancy present in perovskite structure type A\textsuperscript{2+}B\textsuperscript{4+}O\textsubscript{3} compounds. Therefore, lattice site potential analysis provides reasonable understanding of the defect formation in perovskite structure ABO\textsubscript{3} compounds and preparation of many perovskite structure type ABO\textsubscript{3} phases from constituent binary oxides could be understood due to the strong B site potential and gain of Madelung electrostatic potential $\Delta E_M$.

The perovskite structure type compound A\textsuperscript{4+}B\textsuperscript{2+}O\textsubscript{3} with valence pair (4–2) cannot be prepared and rarely exist. This can be rationalized from Madelung electrostatic potential view point as well. For example, the calculation of $\Delta E_M$ of a hypothetical chemical reaction ThO\textsubscript{2} + MgO → Th\textsuperscript{4+}Mg\textsuperscript{2+}O\textsubscript{3} revealed that a net Madelung electrostatic potential loss of 184 kcal mol$^{-1}$ (calculated assuming lattice the parameter of ThMgO\textsubscript{3} as $a = 4.008$ Å, equivalent to CaZrO\textsubscript{3} due to similarity of ionic radius of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} and Th\textsuperscript{4+} as well as Mg\textsuperscript{2+} and Zr\textsuperscript{4+} since the lattice parameter of ThMgO\textsubscript{3} is not available) would occur for the formation of perovskite structure type Th\textsuperscript{4+}Mg\textsuperscript{2+}O\textsubscript{3}. Thus this reaction will not proceed because there is no Madelung electrostatic potential or lattice energy gain in this perovskite type A\textsuperscript{4+}B\textsuperscript{2+}O\textsubscript{3} compound and the same hypothesis is applicable to A\textsuperscript{5+}B\textsuperscript{1+}O\textsubscript{3}. We also note that very recently A\textsuperscript{4+}B\textsuperscript{2+}O\textsubscript{3} compounds Bi\textsuperscript{5+}Ni\textsuperscript{2+}O\textsubscript{3} and Pb\textsuperscript{4+}Ni\textsuperscript{2+}O\textsubscript{3} have been reported to be made only under very high pressure conditions and these can be considered as very special valence combinations where stability is perhaps favored due to other energy factors such as covalency due to hybridization.\textsuperscript{29,30}

In Scheme 2 we show similar (see Table 2) calculation of the difference in Madelung electrostatic potential $\Delta E_M$ in the preparation of perovskite structure type EuTiO\textsubscript{3} from EuO or Eu\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} and TiO\textsubscript{2} or Ti\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}. The calculated $\Delta E_M$ in Scheme 2

### Table 2: Lattice site potentials and Madelung electrostatic potentials of LaB\textsuperscript{3+}O\textsubscript{3} and B\textsuperscript{2+}O\textsubscript{3} compounds calculated using VESTA\textsuperscript{18}

| Compound | Structure | Lattice parameter (Å) | Ref$^b$ | Madelung electrostatic potential $E_M$ (kcal mol$^{-1}$) | Difference $\Delta E_M$ (kcal mol$^{-1}$) | Lattice site potential (Å$^{-1}$) |
|----------|-----------|-----------------------|--------|-------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|------------------|
| La\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} | Hexagonal | $a = b = 3.938, c = 6.180$, $a = \beta = 90^\circ$, $\gamma = 120^\circ$ | i | $-$3381 | $-$2.0095 | 1.3945, 1.3687 |
| Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} | Rhombohedral | $a = b = 4.761, c = 12.996$, $a = \beta = 90^\circ$, $\gamma = 120^\circ$ | ii | $-$4352 | $-$2.5402 | 1.8320 |
| Cr\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} | | $a = b = 5.07, c = 13.872$, $a = \beta = 90^\circ$, $\gamma = 120^\circ$ | iii | $-$4081 | $-$2.3757 | 1.7241 |
| Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} | | $a = b = 5.105, c = 13.913$, $a = \beta = 90^\circ$, $\gamma = 120^\circ$ | iv | $-$4064 | $-$2.3754 | 1.7070 |
| Ti\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} | | $a = b = 5.113, c = 13.984$, $a = \beta = 90^\circ$, $\gamma = 120^\circ$ | v | $-$4031 | $-$2.3392 | 1.7097 |
| V\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} | | $a = b = 5.126, c = 14.134$, $a = \beta = 90^\circ$, $\gamma = 120^\circ$ | vi | $-$4030 | $-$2.3510 | 1.6972 |
| LaAl\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} | Pseudocubic | 3.788 | vii | $-$3904 | $-$37 | $-$1.9630 | $-$2.7337 | 1.5765 |
| LaCoO\textsubscript{3} | | 3.824 | | $-$3867 | — | $-$1.9446 | $-$2.7081 | 1.5616 |
| LaNiO\textsubscript{3} | | 3.838 | | $-$3853 | — | $-$1.9375 | $-$2.6982 | 1.5593 |
| LaCrO\textsubscript{3} | | 3.883 | | $-$3808 | $-$77 | $-$1.9152 | $-$2.6670 | 1.5379 |
| LaTiO\textsubscript{3} | | 3.92 | | $-$3772 | $-$67 | $-$1.8971 | $-$2.6419 | 1.5234 |
| LaFeO\textsubscript{3} | | 3.932 | | $-$3761 | $-$39 | $-$1.8914 | $-$2.6339 | 1.5188 |
| LaV\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5} | | 3.99 | | $-$3706 | $-$1 | $-$1.8640 | $-$2.5957 | 1.4967 |

$^a$ $\phi_A$, $\phi_B$, and $\phi_O$ are lattice site potentials for A, B and oxygen sites, respectively. $^b$ References for lattice parameters (i) mp-1968; (ii) COD1000032; (iii) mp-19399; (iv) mp-19770; (v) mp-458; (vi) mp-18937; (vii) F. S. Galasso “Structure, properties and preparation of perovskite type compounds”, Pergamon Press, New York (1969); mp stands for materials project, and COD stands for crystallography open database.
suggested that Eu$^{2+}$Ti$^{4+}$O$_3$ will be more stable than Eu$^{3+}$Ti$^{4+}$O$_3$ and usually any preparation will lead to the formation of Eu$^{2+}$Ti$^{4+}$O$_3$. This analysis may be expanded to other compounds which are of importance for stabilizing Eu$^{2+}$ at specific ionic sites for the development of visible light emitting phosphor materials.

In conclusion, we have revisited some fundamental aspects of synthesis, stability and properties of perovskite type ABO$_3$. With the use of lattice site potential and Madelung electrostatic potential we have been able to demonstrate that (1) perovskite structure type ABO$_3$ compounds can be synthesized from their parent binary oxides because of gain in Madelung electrostatic potential which directly correlates with lattice energy, (2) Madelung electrostatic potential and lattice site potentials dictates the stability of perovskite structure and valence stability of cation in perovskite structure is direct consequence of strong cation lattice site potential and (3) the formation of defects such as oxygen vacancy or excess is driven by intrinsic lower lattice site potential of oxygen site. These results (1)–(3) can be seen various experimental data$^{13,32–34}$ however, have not been explained in terms of lattice site potential by the authors. Thus, the present article will contribute to understanding of synthesis, structures and properties of functional perovskite type oxides for various areas of applications.
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