Identification of a New Function of Cardiovascular Disease Drug 3-Morpholinosydnonimine Hydrochloride as an Amyloid-\(\beta\) Aggregation Inhibitor
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ABSTRACT: Cardiovascular disease (CVD) and Alzheimer’s disease (AD) have a mutual cause-and-effect relationship, and they share some common risk factors. Although numerous Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved drugs have been developed for CVD treatment, no drugs are clinically available for AD treatment. Given the common disease-causing factors and links between the two diseases and the well-demonstrated drugs for CVD, we propose to re-examine the new potential of the existing CVD drugs as amyloid-\(\beta\) (A\(\beta\)) inhibitors. 3-Morpholinosydnonimine hydrochloride (SIN-1) is an FDA-approved drug for inhibiting platelet aggregation in CVD. Herein, we examine the inhibition activity of SIN-1 on the aggregation and toxicity of A\(\beta_{1-42}\) using combined experimental and computational approaches. Collective experimental data from ThT, circular dichroism, and atomic force microscopy demonstrate that SIN-1 can effectively inhibit amyloid formation at every stage of A\(\beta\) aggregation by prolonging lag phase, slowing down aggregation rate, and reducing \(\beta\)-sheet formation. The cell viability assay also shows that SIN-1 enables the protection of SH-SYSY cells from A\(\beta\)-induced cell toxicity. Such an inhibition effect is attributed to interference with the structural transition of A\(\beta\) toward a \(\beta\)-sheet structure by SIN-1. Furthermore, molecular dynamic simulations confirm that SIN-1 preferentially binds to the C-terminal \(\beta\)-sheet grooves of an A\(\beta\) oligomer and consequently disrupts the \(\beta\)-sheet structure of A\(\beta\) and A\(\beta\)-A\(\beta\) association, explaining experimental observations. This work discovers a new function of SIN-1, making it a promising compound with dual protective roles in inhibiting both platelet and A\(\beta\) aggregations against CVD and AD.

INTRODUCTION

Growing evidence indicates that cardiovascular disease (CVD) and Alzheimer’s disease (AD) have a mutual cause-and-effect relationship, and they share some common risk factors. Although numerous Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved drugs have been developed for CVD treatment, no drugs are clinically available for AD treatment. Given the common disease-causing factors and links between the two diseases and the well-demonstrated drugs for CVD, it is possible that pharmacological intervention strategy is a very time-consuming, expensive, and laborious and tedious process. Although the exact connection between CVD and AD still remains unclear, the two diseases share some common risk factors including high blood pressure, high low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, low high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, and even diabetes. Given these common disease-causing factors and links between two different diseases, it is possible that pharmacological inter-
vention of one disease will also hold promise for reducing the risk of the other. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has approved numerous drugs for CVD treatment.12–16 These CVD drugs have demonstrated safety, biocompatibility, and blood—brain barrier (BBB) crossing and targeting abilities. To avoid reinventing the wheel, we propose to re-examine the new potential of the existing CVD drugs as Aβ inhibitors. The misfolding and aggregation of Aβ are considered to be the key pathogenic event in the onset of AD.17–19 During the early aggregation stage, Aβ forms soluble oligomers, which are the primary toxic species responsible for neuronal injury and cell death in AD. The oligomer-induced toxicity mechanisms are far more complex and still under debate, and they could link to ion-channel formation, oxidative stress, metal binding, and membrane receptor dysfunction.25–27 Regardless of the exact amyloid toxicity mechanisms, prevention of oligomer formation and further aggregation appears to be the first and important step toward therapeutic strategies for AD treatment. Previous research has proposed many inhibitors of different categories against Aβ aggregation, such as small organic molecules (epigallocatechin gallate (EGCG),28 curcumin29 gallic acid,30 and polyphenols31), nanoparticles (NiPAM/BAM,32 AuNPs,33 CdTe NPs,34 and SA-GNPs35), and peptide-based inhibitors (Aβ fragments 31–42, 39–42, 16–20, and 17–2136–40 and β-sheet breaker peptides41). Most of these inhibitors have not passed large-scale clinical trials, and only EGCG is currently undergoing phase III clinical trials against early stages of AD.33 Different hypotheses have been proposed to explain the clinical failures of these inhibitors, including the loss of specific binding between drugs and Aβ in vivo and less efficiency at phospholipid interfaces than in bulk solution.44 The major failure possibility for these inhibitors is the low permeability to the BBB.35,46

Herein, we proposed a design strategy of Aβ aggregation inhibitors by searching potential candidates among FDA-approved CVD drugs simply because these CVD drugs have already been extensively tested for their excellent low toxicity and BBB permeability. We have simple selection criteria for potential Aβ inhibitors: the inhibitor candidates should be commercially available, demonstrate their safety through an FDA approval, have a structure similar to the existing Aβ inhibitors (e.g., EGCG) with a balance between aromatic rings and hydrophilic groups, and possess biological functions that are potentially linked to some aspects of Aβ aggregation. Among these CVD drugs, 3-morpholinosydnonimine hydrochloride (namely, linsidomine or SIN-1, is a vasodilator that can release NO, superoxide, and peroxynitrite under physiological conditions. It is a mesoionic heterocyclic aromatic chemical compound, with a sydonimine group and a morpholine group connected by the nitrogen—nitrogen bond. First, ThT fluorescence assays were used to examine the ability of SIN-1 to modulate Aβ aggregation kinetics. Figure 1 shows the Aβ42 (25 μM) aggregation profiles in the absence (control) and presence of SIN-1 at different molar ratios of Aβ/SIN-1 (1:1, 1:2, and 1:5). Error bars represent the average of three replicate experiments.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**SIN-1 Inhibits Aβ42 Fibrillization and Modulates Its Structural Transition.** 3-Morpholinosydnonimine hydrochloride (Scheme 1), also termed as linsidomine or SIN-1, is a vasodilator for inhibiting platelet aggregation.47 Platelet activation was also found to contribute to Aβ overproduction and aggregation.49 Therefore, it is expected that targeting blood platelets may provide a new avenue for anti-AD therapy. As compared with other small organic molecules as Aβ inhibitors, we envision that the planar portion of SIN-1 and its aromatic ring should be able to interfere with the formation of the β-sheet structures of Aβ41-42 through intercalation and π–π interactions, thus inhibiting Aβ aggregation. To test this hypothesis, we conducted thioflavin T (ThT) fluorescence, atomic force microscopy (AFM), circular dichroism (CD), cell viability assays, and molecular dynamics (MD) simulations to examine SIN-1-induced Aβ aggregation kinetics, fibril morphologies, secondary-structure transition, cell toxicity, and SIN-1/Aβ interactions. Our collective data showed that SIN-1 can effectively inhibit the Aβ fibrillation process by changing the fibrillogenesis pathways to form many innocuous amorphous aggregates, which in turn reduce Aβ-induced cell toxicity. MD simulations further confirmed that SIN-1 can strongly bind to C-terminal residues of Aβ, and such a binding tended to peel off the edge peptide from Aβ oligomers and thus greatly disrupted the ordered β-sheet structures of Aβ. Both computational and experimental findings not only support the inhibitory effect of SIN-1 on Aβ aggregation but also imply that SIN-1 possesses dual functions for inhibiting both platelet and Aβ aggregation in CVD and AD.

**Scheme 1. Structure of SIN-1**

![Scheme 1. Structure of SIN-1](image)

**Figure 1.** Time-dependent ThT fluorescence profiles for Aβ aggregation of 25 μM in the absence (control) and presence of SIN-1 at different molar ratios of Aβ/SIN-1 (1:1, 1:2, and 1:5). Error bars represent the average of three replicate experiments.
increased as the SIN-1 concentration increased, but when SIN-1 concentrations reached or crossed 125 μM, the Aβ inhibition effect was similar, indicating that saturation of the inhibitory effect was achieved.

AFM and far-UV CD spectroscopy were performed in conjunction with ThT fluorescence measurements to confirm the SIN-1-induced structural transition and fibrillar inhibition of Aβ42. Figure 2 shows the AFM images during the time course of Aβ (25 μM) aggregation in the absence and presence of SIN-1 at the Aβ/SIN-1 molar ratios of 1:1, 1:2, and 1:5, respectively. As the control, pure Aβ quickly formed large, globular aggregates and short, thick protofibrils after 5 h incubation, consistent with the lag phase as shown in Figure 1. After 5 h, denser and thicker protofibrils were produced, and after 24 h, mature Aβ fibrils dominated. However, upon the addition of SIN-1 to Aβ solution, the morphologies of Aβ42 aggregates (Figure 2, second to fourth row) were dramatically different from those of pure Aβ42 (Figure 2, first row). In all cases of Aβ/SIN-1 mixtures, within 10 h, spherical aggregates with sizes of 3–5 nm were predominant, and after 20 h, only a few thin fibrils were detected. Consistent with ThT data, higher SIN-1 concentrations significantly slowed down Aβ fibrillation at the three different aggregation stages and eventually produced much less fibrils. Further AFM characterization of Aβ fibrils in the absence and presence of SIN-1 showed that the SIN-1-mediated Aβ fibrils showed the average width of 41.0 ± 1.65 nm and height of 4.5 ± 0.66 nm, which were much thinner than pure Aβ fibrils with the average width of 87.3 ± 5.25 nm and height of 13.4 ± 1.41 nm (Figure 3). This observation suggests that SIN-1 molecules incorporate Aβ oligomers to prevent them from further growing into mature fibrils.

Next, we used CD spectroscopy to monitor conformational changes in Aβ solution during 20 h of incubation without and with SIN-1 at different concentrations. Figure 4, all CD data were recorded at 0, 5, 10, and 20 h, the same time points used in ThT and AFM measurements, and such a timescale should be able to cover the lag, growth, and equilibrium phases of the entire Aβ aggregation process. In all tested samples, CD profiles did not show any characteristic peak at the beginning of incubation (i.e., 0 h), suggesting that (i) Aβ42 did not adopt any structured conformation and (ii) SIN-1 did not affect the initial conformation of Aβ42. As a control, pure Aβ peptides started to misfold into certain secondary structures at 5 h, as signified by the appearance of the two peaks at 195 and 215 nm, both of
which corresponds to the β-sheet structure. As incubation increased to 10 and 20 h, these two peaks continued to increase in height, indicating that β-sheet-rich oligomers and fibrils were produced. The final secondary structure content of pure Aβ at 20 h was 55% of β-sheet, 25% of α-helix, and 20% of random coils, respectively, indicating that pure Aβ experiences a typical structural transition from the initial random coil to the β-sheet structure. In contrast to pure Aβ aggregation, addition of SIN-1 molecules into Aβ greatly interfered with the structural transition of Aβ to the β-sheet-rich structure. During 20 h of incubation, all sample mixtures presented only a single peak at 215 nm, without observing any peak at 195 nm. Moreover, the peak heights at 215 nm were greatly reduced by ~39 and 60% at Aβ/SIN-1 = 1:2 and 1:5, respectively. So, the final β-sheet contents for Aβ with SIN-1 at the molar ratios of 1:1, 1:2, and 1:5 were reduced to 33, 30, and 28%, respectively. CD data are again consistent with ThT and AFM results, confirming that SIN-1 is effective in inhibiting Aβ fibrillation by preventing its structural transition toward the β-sheet structures.

**SIN-1 Reduced Aβ42-Induced Cytotoxicity.** We further investigated whether SIN-1 can also protect neuronal cells from Aβ-induced toxicity using both 3-[4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl]-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay (Figure 5a) and lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) assay (Figure 5b) with SH-SY5Y cell lines. To set up a baseline, absorbance of the cell media containing SH-SY5Y cells was measured, and the value was regarded as 100% of cells being viable. Then, two control experiments were conducted: pure SIN-1 (125 μM) presented very low cytotoxicity to cells, as evidenced by ~92% cell viability during 48 h of cell culture using MTT assay and ~7% cell apoptosis using LDH assay. SIN-1 is a precursor of vincristine whose side effects have been noted in cancer chemotherapy. As a control, pure Aβ42 (25 μM) presented high toxicity to the cells. MTT assay showed that Aβ-induced cell viability was greatly reduced to 69% at 24 h and 57% at 48 h (Figure 5a). Consistently, LDH assay showed that the Aβ-induced apoptosis rate was increased to 30% at 24 h and 43% at 48 h (Figure 5b). However, when Aβ (25 μM) was coincubated with SIN-1 in the cultured cell media for 24 h, the cell viability was 72, 75, and 93% at Aβ/SIN-1 ratios of 1:1, 1:2, and 1:5, all of which were higher than 69% of cell viability induced by Aβ alone. Consistent with MTT results, cell apoptosis was 22, 20, and 5% at Aβ/SIN-1 ratios of 1:1, 1:2, and 1:5, respectively, all of which were much lower than 30% of cell apoptosis induced by Aβ alone. Further increase in incubation time to 48 h led to minor decreases in cell viability (70, 72, and 90% at 1:1, 1:2, and 1:5 Aβ/SIN-1 ratios, respectively) and minor increases in cell apoptosis (30, 25, and 10% at 1:1, 1:2, and 1:5 Aβ/SIN-1 ratios, respectively), suggesting that SIN-1 can retain its long-term neuroprotection against Aβ-induced toxicity in SH-SY5Y cells. In line with the data from aggregation kinetics (ThT, Figure 1) and structural characterizations (AFM and CD, Figures 2–34), Aβ/SIN-1 mixtures at 1:5 molar ratio provide the best cell protection effects from Aβ-induced toxicity.

**Binding Modes of SIN-1 to Aβ Oligomers.** To better understand the underlying Aβ inhibition mechanism by SIN-1, we performed all-atom MD simulations to study the interactions between SIN-1 and Aβ42 pentamer. The Aβ42 pentamer was selected as a binding target simply because Aβ42 pentamers are one of the most abundant soluble oligomers and their toxicity is one of the highest. Two independent 100 ns MD simulations were conducted to study the interaction of Aβ42 pentamers with and without SIN-1 molecules in explicit solvent environments. For the Aβ/SIN-1 system, 10 SIN-1 molecules were randomly placed around the Aβ pentamer at the beginning of MD simulations, with a separation distance to ensure no initial interactions between SIN-1 and Aβ. The initial Aβ pentamer adopts a U-bend conformation with both N- and C-terminal β-strands being well-packed together in a register way. Figure 6a,b shows the final snapshot for pure Aβ42 pentamer alone and Aβ42 pentamer in the presence of SIN-1 molecules. A visual inspection clearly showed that upon SIN-1 binding to Aβ pentamers, the Aβ pentamer essentially lost its initial structural integrity, particularly its β-sheet structure. The comparison of root-mean-square deviation (RMSD) profiles of Aβ pentamers in the absence and presence of SIN-1 also confirmed the SIN-1-induced structural instability of Aβ pentamers. Without SIN-1, Aβ pentamers exhibited very high structural stability, with the RMSD values fluctuating around ~4.6 Å (Figure 6c). The parallel in-register β-strands and the U-shaped peptide topology in Aβ pentamers were well-maintained, with a typical twist between adjacent β-strands. However, when SIN-1 bound to the Aβ pentamer, the parallel, in-registered β-sheets of Aβ pentamers were disrupted greatly, as evidenced by the large and continuously increased RMSD values (~8.8 Å). We also calculated RMSD values for three different domains of C-terminal β-sheet, U-turn, and N-terminal β-sheet for both pure Aβ pentamer and Aβ/SIN-1 systems. It can be clearly seen that for pure Aβ pentamer, N-terminal β-sheet region had smaller RMSD values than C-terminal β-sheet region and U-turn regions, indicating that the N-terminal β-sheet region is more stable than the other two regions (Figure 6d). However, when SIN-1 molecules were introduced to an Aβ pentamer, strong SIN-1/Aβ interactions disrupted the structural integrity of Aβ, leading to larger RMSD values in all three regions. Particularly, C-terminal β-sheet region suffered from the larger SIN-1-induced structural deviation (Figure 6e).

In Figure 6b, SIN-1 shows some preferential binding positions around Aβ pentamers. To better identify the possible binding sites of Aβ pentamers by SIN-1, we calculated the averaged contact probabilities between each Aβ residue and SIN-1 (Figure 7), where a residue contact is defined as a residue within 6.5 Å of SIN-1 molecules. Heterogeneous contact probability between SIN-1 and Aβ residues clearly indicates that SIN-1 has more favorable interactions with C-terminal residues (Ile11–Ala16) than with N-terminal residues (Leu1–Val20). Using 5% of contact probability as a threshold value, SIN-1 exhibited strong preferential interactions with...
isoleucine\textsuperscript{31} (7.7%), isoleucine\textsuperscript{32} (6.6%), glycine\textsuperscript{33} (7.2%), leucine\textsuperscript{34} (7.0%), methionine\textsuperscript{35} (8.3%), and valine\textsuperscript{39} (6.9%), and most of these residues were hydrophobic residues initially located in the C-terminal $\beta$-strand region. Such a strong binding not only peels off the C-terminal strand of chain A from the A$\beta$ pentamer but also folds the extended $\beta$-strand conformation into the disordered one. Ile\textsuperscript{31}–Met\textsuperscript{35} residues in the middle of C-terminal $\beta$-sheet form a wide hydrophobic groove, which acts as a basic motif for amyloid growth via either monomer attachment for elongation or lateral stacking. Thus, disruption of this $\beta$-sheet region via strong SIN-1 binding enables the prevention of the lateral association of A$\beta$ aggregates and thus inhibition of the fibril growth, explaining the experimentally observed inhibition effect of SIN-1. This work not only demonstrates a new function of SIN-1 as an A$\beta$ inhibitor but also hint that pharmacological interventions of CVD may also hold promise for reducing the risk of AD.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Materials.** A$\beta$ peptides (A$\beta_{1-42}$) with more than 95% purity were purchased from Bachem AG (Bubendorf Switzerland). 3-Morpholinosydnonimine hydrochloride (SIN-1) with purity 98%, 10 mM phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) buffer (pH = 7.4), 1,1,1,3,3,3-hexafluoro-2-propanol (HFIP) with purity $\geq$ 99.9%, dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) with purity $\geq$ 99.9%, and ThT with more than 98% purity were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). A human neuroblastoma SH-SY5Y cell line and Eagle’s minimum essential medium (EMEM) were purchased from ATCC (Manassas, VA). All chemicals used in this work were of analytical grade.

**Peptide Preparation.** A$\beta_{1-42}$ peptide was stored at $-20$ °C immediately after arrival, following the manufacturer’s instructions. The A$\beta_{1-42}$ peptide monomer was prepared by dissolving 1.0 mg of the prepackaged peptide into 1 mL of
HFIP (1 mg/mL) followed by 30 min of ultrasonic treatment and 30 min of centrifugation at 14,000 rpm and 4 °C to remove the pre-existing aggregates and seeds. Eighty percentage of the supernatant was extracted, subpackaged, frozen in the refrigerator at −80 °C, and then lyophilized using freeze dryer. DMSO (30 μL) was used to dissolve 0.2 mg of the subpackaged Aβ peptide. The aggregation of the Aβ (25 μM) peptide was induced by mixing 30 μL of DMSO–Aβ solution with 2 mL of PBS buffer of 10 mM.

**ThT Fluorescence Assay.** ThT powder (0.033 g) was first dissolved into 50 mL of DI water to a concentration of 2 mM and then stored in dark place at room temperature. The stock solution was then diluted in Tris-buffer to the concentration of 10 μM. The ThT assay was performed by mixing 60 μL of Aβ142/SIN-1/Aβ142-3MH solutions with 3 mL of 10 μM ThT–Tris solution. An LS-55 fluorescence spectrometer (Perkin-Elmer Corp., Waltham, MA) was used to obtain the fluorescence spectra. An excitation wavelength of 450 nm was applied, and the emission wavelengths were recorded between 470 and 500 nm. All of the ThT fluorescence experiments were repeated at least three times.

**CD Spectroscopy.** CD spectroscopy with a J-1500 spectropolarimeter (Jasco Inc, Japan) using a continuous scanning mode at room temperature was applied to measure the conformation changes associated with fibril formation. Solutions (150 μL each) of Aβ142/SIN-1/Aβ142−3MH that were incubated for 0, 5, 10, and 20 h were individually extracted and placed in a 1 mm quartz cuvette for measurements. The spectra were scanned between 190 and 250 nm at a 0.5 nm resolution and 50 nm/min scan rate. The obtained spectra were corrected by subtracting only the buffer and/or the absorbance and/or the absorbance and/or the absorbance of SIN-1 without Aβ. The secondary structure contents were calculated from the CD spectra using the self-consistent method (CDSSTR program) in the CDPro analysis software.

**Tapping-Mode AFM.** The morphology changes in Aβ142−42 peptides mediated by SIN-1 molecules at different incubation periods over 20 h were evaluated using a Nanoscope III multimode scanning probe microscope (Veeco Corp., Santa Barbara, CA). Aliquots (20 μL) from each incubated sample at different time points was deposited on a piece of freshly cleaved mica for 1 min, rinsed three times with 50 mL of DI water to remove salts and loosely bound peptides, dried using compressed air for 5 min, and imaged using AFM. All images were recorded at the S12 × S12 pixel resolution at a typical scan rate of 1.0−2.0 Hz and the vertical tip oscillation frequency of 250−350 kHz. At least six different locations on the mica surface were scanned and recorded. The representative images were selected for comparisons.

**Cell Culture.** SH-SYSY human neuroblastoma cells were cultured in the medium prepared by mixing the sterile-filtered EMEM with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin at 37 °C with 5% CO2. The cells were cultured in a T75 flask until they covered the available surface area. Before carrying out the MTT experiment, the cells were harvested using 3 mL of trypsin and then resuspended in 7 mL of PBS. The cells were then plated in a 96-well cell culture plate with a density of 104 cells per well.

**MTT Assay.** Cell viability was determined using the MTT assay. SH-SYSY cells were incubated in a 96-well plate at 37 °C with a density of 104 cells per well. After removing the medium, the cells were washed using PBS two times. Then, Aβ142−42/SIN-1, and Aβ142−42−SIN-1 solutions were individually added into the wells, which were then incubated for another 24 and 48 h. In the procedure of MTT assay, the culture medium was removed followed by adding 100 μL of a fresh medium and 20 μL of the MTT solution (5 mg/mL) and incubated for 4 h. After that, the culture medium was removed, and the formazan crystals were dissolved in 150 μL of DMSO. The absorbance intensity was measured using a microplate reader (Bio-Rad 680, USA) at the wavelength of 570 nm. All experiments were performed in sextuplicate, and the relative cell viability was normalized by the control (cells cultured alone).

**LDH Assay.** Neuronal apoptosis induced by Aβ and mediated by SIN-1 was quantitatively assessed using the LDH release assay. SH-SYSY cells were incubated in a 96-well plate at 37 °C for 24 h with a density of 104 cells per well. After replacing the culture medium with an FBS-free medium, 10 μL of Aβ, SIN-1, and Aβ/SIN-1 solutions were added into different wells. For the spontaneous LDH activity control group, 10 μL of sterile and ultrapure water was added into the wells. The plates were then incubated at 37 °C for 24 and 48 h. Before the assay, 10 μL of lysis buffer (10x) was added to the maximum LDH activity control group for an additional incubation of 45 min. Extracellular LDH leakage was evaluated using the assay kit (Thermo, USA). The absorbance intensity was measured using a microplate reader (Bio-Rad 680, USA) at the wavelength of 490 and 680 nm. All experiments were performed in sextuplicate.

**MD Simulation.** The initial structure of Aβ pentamers was obtained from the protein data bank (PDBID: 2BEG). The coordinate of SIN-1 molecules was generated using the GaussView program, whose force fields were developed in a CHARMM-CgenFF compatible manner. Each system was solvated in the explicit solvent box with a minimal margin of 15 Å from any edge of water box to any solute atom. The simulated systems were neutralized and mimicked ~150 mM ion strength using Na+ and Cl− ions. The simulations were conducted with the NAMD program using the CHARMM27 force field. Langevin method was used to maintain the temperature of 300 K and pressure of 1 atm in the NPT simulation systems. Long- and short-range nonbond interactions were described using the force-shifted method with 14 Å cutoff and the switch method with 12 and 14 Å cutoffs. The trajectories were saved every 2 ps for the analysis. All analyses were performed using the CHARMM scripts, VMD, and in-house Tcl codes.
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