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Abstract
Th2 immunity and allergic immune surveillance play critical roles in host responses to pathogens, parasites and allergens. Numerous studies have reported significant links between Th2 responses and cancer, including insights into the functions of IgE antibodies and associated effector cells in both antitumour immune surveillance and therapy. The interdisciplinary field of AllergoOncology was given Task Force status by the European Academy of Allergy and Clinical Immunology in 2014. Affiliated expert groups focus on the interface between allergic responses and cancer, applied to immune surveillance, immunomodulation and the functions of IgE-mediated immune responses against cancer, to derive novel insights into more effective treatments. Coincident with rapid expansion in clinical application of cancer immunotherapies, here we review the current state-of-the-art and future translational opportunities, as well as challenges in this relatively new field. Recent developments include improved understanding of Th2...
It has been recognized that tumours manipulate immune responses. On the other hand, the overall immune competence of the host could critically determine immune surveillance against cancer and the clinical course. Allergy and atopy are characterized by a systemic bias to Th2 immunity, which may exert a potential influence on cancer development. In fact, allergy and oncology may represent two opposite concepts: whereas immune tolerance is desired in allergy, it is detrimental in cancer. Hence, the establishment of a Task Force on AllergoOncology (AO) within the Immunology Section of EAACI is timely and appropriate. The aim of the Task Force is to connect basic scientists interested in Th2 immunity and cancer with clinical oncologists and to support an interdisciplinary exchange to advance knowledge and understanding of immune responses in both fields. At present, this is the first AO platform worldwide.

Previous AO activities have included a first concerted paper (1), international conferences, the book ‘IgE and Cancer’ (2) and a Symposium-in-Writing on AO (3).

A Pre-Task Force Meeting was held at the EAACI annual conference in Copenhagen in 2014, leading to the establishment of the Task Force within the Interest Group of Immunology, with its first business meeting in Barcelona in 2015. The primary objectives of the Task Force were confirmed: to serve as an interface between the disciplines of oncology and allergy, covering: (i) basic, (ii) translational, (iii) epidemiological and (iv) clinical research, including allergy problems in clinical oncology, as well as (v) mechanisms of tumour-induced immune modulation and (vi) novel vaccination and immunotherapy approaches harnessing IgE functions to target cancer.

The goal of this position paper was to provide an update on developments in the AO field since 2008 (1). We therefore aimed to review (i) clinical, mechanistic and epidemiological insights into Th2 immune responses in cancer, (ii) current immunological markers with a complementary role in allergy and cancer, (iii) correlation of these markers with the progress of malignant diseases and (iv) an update on how oncologists can manage allergic reactions to cancer therapeutics.

The different topics were drafted by subgroups of the Task Force and further discussed, developed and compiled during a meeting in Vienna in 2015. The position paper was thereafter recirculated and critically appraised, and the final version was approved by all Task Force members.

**Epidemiology**

The epidemiologic association between allergy and cancer risk has been summarized in meta-analyses, with inverse associations reported for several cancers including glioma, pancreatic cancer, and childhood leukaemia (4, 5). The majority of previous studies have relied on self-reported ascertainment of allergic status, being typically limited, retrospective, and associated with potential biases. Emerging evidence comes from prospective studies based on self-reported allergy history which have reported inverse associations in studies of colorectal (6), but not haematopoietic or prostate cancer (7, 8). A large-scale study based on hospital discharge records reported an inverse association between allergy/atopy of at least 10 years in duration and incidence of brain cancer (RR (Relative Risk) = 0.6, 95% CI (Confidence Interval) 0.4–0.9) in a cohort of 4.5 million men (9). Nested case-control studies reported inverse associations between borderline or elevated total IgE (10) or respiratory-specific IgE and glioma risk (10, 11). Serum total and allergen-specific IgE provided evidence of inverse associations with the development of melanoma, female breast cancer, gynaecological malignancies and also glioma (12). Findings at other cancer sites are unclear (13–15). One study reported an inverse trend between increasing blood eosinophil count and subsequent colorectal cancer risk (16). Another study reported that serum concentrations of soluble CD23/FcεRII (sCD23) and soluble CD30 (sCD30) were positively associated with risk of non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma (17). Several studies have examined associations with SNPs in allergy-related genes with significant associations between SNPs in FCER1A, IL10, ADAM33, NOS1 and IL4R genes and glioma risk reported in one recent study which requires further replication (18).

Further research in large-scale prospective studies using validated measures of self-reported allergy history and/or biomarkers of allergy is needed, including repeated evaluations over time, sufficient latency with respect to the
developing tumour, and detailed data on potentially confounding variables (19).

Th2-associated antibodies in cancer

Although studied for decades, our understanding of different immunoglobulin classes in cancer biology is still limited. IgG antibodies are the predominant antibody class for passive immunotherapy. Recent findings elucidated that the tumour microenvironment may specifically promote less potent immunoglobulin isotypes such as IgG4 (20). Furthermore, IgG and IgE free light chains engaging mast cells could reduce tumour development in vivo (21). Furthermore, by promoting specific phenotypes of tumour infiltrating leukocytes and through inducing a higher expression of inhibitory Fcγ receptors, malignant cells can evade humoral immune responses and counteract the antitumour effector functions of therapeutic IgG antibodies (22).

A preliminary study has reported that both IgE and IgG4 specific towards two of three cancer antigens are elevated in patients with cancer compared with healthy volunteers (23). The phenomenon that anticancer therapies, such as alkylating agents and hormone-based chemotherapies, affect circulating total and specific IgE levels has also been reported (24); however, any implications on clinical course require further investigations. A number of these studies also provide evidence in support of Th2 humoral immunity to derive new tools for malignant disease monitoring and prognosis. Interestingly, IgE antibodies isolated from patients with pancreatic cancer mediate antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity (ADCC) against cancer cells (25). Furthermore, higher levels of polyclonal IgE in nonallergic individuals are directly correlated with lower disease incidence and higher survival in multiple myeloma in a clinical study (26). Collectively, these studies point to important roles for Th2-associated antibodies and tumour immune surveillance.

In situ expression of AID and potential insights into antibody isotype expression in cancer

The enzyme cytidine deaminase (AID) which is responsible for converting cytidine to uracil and thereby induces targeted damage to DNA, is a key driver of immunoglobulin (Ig) somatic hypermutation events and class switch recombination processes that give rise to IgG, IgA or IgE. On the other hand, AID has multifaceted functions linking immunity, inflammation and cancer (27).

AID is thought to be expressed predominantly by germinal centre (GC) B cells within secondary lymphoid organs. However, studies on local autoimmunity, transplant rejection, and tissues exposed to chronic inflammation point to the capacity of B lymphocytes to form GC-like ectopic structures outside of secondary lymphoid tissues (27, 28), which is now also demonstrated within benign and malignant tissues. Class switching of local GC-derived B cells to different isotypes may have a profound influence on local immune responses and on disease pathobiology. However, whether tumour microenvironments support direct class switching to IgE remains unclear, although some evidence from animal models points to IgE production at early stages of carcinogenesis (29). Remarkably, local follicle-driven B cell-attributed immune responses may be either positively or negatively associated with clinical outcomes of patients with cancer (30, 31).

IgE receptor expression on immune cells and epithelial cells

The high-affinity receptor FcεRI tetrameric form αβγ2 is expressed on mast cells and basophils. The trimeric form of the high-affinity receptor FcεRI (γ2) and the low-affinity receptor CD23/FcεRII (b form) (Fig. 1A) is expressed on human monocytes and macrophages, dendritic cells (DCs), eosinophils, platelets and neutrophils (32). The ‘a’ form of CD23/FcεRII is also expressed by subsets of B cells (33). IgE cell surface receptors FcεRI, FcεRII/CD23 (Fig. 1A) and also the soluble IgE receptors galectin-3 and galectin-9 are expressed not only by haematopoietic cells, but also by nonhaematopoietic cells including epithelia (Table 1).

Depending on the nature and distribution of IgE receptors, different functions might be envisaged. Galectin-3 is well recognized for its contribution to tumour progression and metastasis development (34), while galectin-9 seems to have antiproliferative effects (35, 36). The trimeric FcεRI(γ2) showed membranous and cytoplasmic expression in intestinal epithelial cells and a prominent FcεRI α-chain expression was also found in the Paneth cells of patients with cancer of the proximal colon. In the same study, a similar distribution could be observed in tissues from patients with gastrointestinal inflammation, whereas no expression was observed in healthy controls (37).

It is important to note that cell surface-expressed IgE-bind-}

ing structures may have different effector functions compared with their secreted forms such as soluble FcεRIα chain (38) and galectin-3 (39) in cancer, which may be of key functional importance.

Effector cells in allergy and cancer

Mast cells

Mast cells are perhaps the most classical effector cells of IgE (Fig. 1B). Their presence at the periphery, but also infiltrating tumours, argues for a role in tumour biology (40). The presence of mast cells in many tumours has been associated with poor prognosis (41), and it has been suggested that they may contribute to an immunosuppressive tumour microenvironment and thereby impede protective antitumour immunity. In addition, mast cells may promote tumour growth by inducing angiogenesis and tissue remodelling through the induction of changes in composition of the extracellular matrix (42). In contrast, in colorectal cancers, mesothelioma, breast cancer, large B-cell lymphoma, and in non-small-cell lung cancer, high mast cell density has been associated with favourable prognoses (43, 44). The observation of degranulating mast cells near dying tumour cells has suggested a
cytotoxic effect and their presence in invasive breast carcinomas correlate with better prognosis (45). In prostate cancer, peri-tumoral mast cells were shown to promote, while intratumoral mast cells may restrict angiogenesis and tumour growth (46). This apparent dichotomy in mast cell functions in cancer may be explained by (i) tumour type, (ii) tumour
### Table 1 Expression of IgE-binding structures on haematopoietic or nonhaematopoietic cells in humans

| IgE-binding structure | Receptor composition/splice variants | Expression on haematopoietic cells | Expression on nonhaematopoietic cells |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| **High-affinity IgE receptor/FcεRI** | Tetrameric receptor $\alpha_2\beta_2$ | Mast cells, basophils | Small intestinal and colonic epithelial cells |
|                       |                                      | Kraft S., Kinet JP. Nat Rev Immunol 2007 May;7(6):365–78 | Untersmayr et al. PLoS One 2010 Feb 2;5(2):e9023 |
|                       | Trimeric receptor $\alpha_2\gamma_2$ | Mast cells, basophils |                                      |
|                       |                                      | Kraft S., Kinet JP. Nat Rev Immunol 2007;7(5):365–78 |                                      |
|                       |                                      | Monocytes, macrophages |                                      |
|                       |                                      | Boltz-Nitulescu G, et al. Monogr Allergy 1983;18:160–2 |                                      |
|                       |                                      | Spiegelberg HL. Int Rev Immunol 1987;2(1):63–74 |                                      |
|                       |                                      | Dendritic cells |                                      |
|                       |                                      | Novak N, et al. J Clin Invest 2003;111(7):1047–56 |                                      |
|                       |                                      | Bieber T, et al. J Exp Med 1992;175(5):1285–90 |                                      |
|                       |                                      | Alam JP, et al. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2003;112(1):141–8 |                                      |
|                       |                                      | Bannert C, et al. PLoS One 2012;7(7):e42066 |                                      |
|                       |                                      | Yen EH, et al. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2010;51(5):584–92 |                                      |
|                       |                                      | Esinophil |                                      |
|                       |                                      | Gounni AS et al. Nature 1994, 367(6459):183–6 |                                      |
|                       |                                      | Paneth cells |                                      |
|                       |                                      | Hasegawa S et al. Blood 1999;93(8):2543–51 |                                      |
|                       | $\alpha$ chain | Neutrophils |                                      |
|                       |                                      | Dehlink et al., PLoS One 2010, 5(8):e12204 |                                      |
|                       |                                      | Alphonse MP et al. PLOS One 2008;3(4):e1921 |                                      |
| **Low-affinity IgE receptor/FcεRII/CD23** | CD23a isoform | Antigen-activated B cells | Small intestinal and colonic epithelial cells |
|                       | CD23b isoform | B cells |                                      |
|                       |                                      | Yukawa K, et al. J Immunol 1987;138(8):2576–80 |                                      |
|                       |                                      | Monocytes, macrophages |                                      |
|                       |                                      | Vercelli D et al. J Exp Med 1988;167(4):1406–18 |                                      |
|                       |                                      | Pforte A et al. J Exp Med 1990;171(4):1163–9 |                                      |
|                       |                                      | Eosinophil |                                      |
|                       |                                      | Capron M et al. Chem Immunol 1989;47:125–78 |                                      |
|                       |                                      | Paneth cells |                                      |
|                       |                                      | Capron M et al. J Exp Med 1986;164(1):72–89 |                                      |
|                       |                                      | Smooth muscle cells |                                      |
|                       |                                      | Gounni AS et al. J Immunol 2005;175(4):2613–21 |                                      |
stage, (iii) mast cell phenotypic plasticity and (iv) location of mast cells in relation to tumour cells.

A wealth of evidence from human cancers and mouse models of cancer indicates that mast cells via the action of histamine on H1, H2 and H4 receptors contribute to tumour invasion and angiogenesis (44). Mast cells may also suppress the development of protective antitumour immune responses by promoting regulatory T cell (Treg)--mediated suppression in the tumour microenvironment (47).

Mast cells are attracted to the tumour microenvironment by stem cell factor (SCF) secreted by tumour cells, and secrete pro-angiogenic factors as well as matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs), which promote tumour vascularization and invasiveness. Stem cell factor is the ligand for CD117 (c-kit receptor), highly expressed by mast cells. SCF is essential in mast cell recruitment, tumour-associated inflammation, remodelling and immunosuppression (48). Stem cell factor--stimulated mast cells produce matrix metalloprotease-9 (MMP-9) that facilitates recruitment of mast cells and other cells to the tumour. MMP-9 also augments tumour-derived SCF production in an amplification feedback loop. Using mast cell-deficient (C57BL/6-KitW-sh/W-sh) mice, it was shown that mast cells (and mast cell-derived MMP-9) are necessary and sufficient to promote growth of subcutaneously engrafted prostate adenocarcinoma cells (49). Furthermore, mast cell tumour-promoting potential is augmented through costimulation with tumour-derived SCF and Toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4) ligand, inhibiting mast cell degranulation, but triggering their production and secretion of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and interleukin-10 (IL-10). In contrast, mast cell stimulation by TLR4 ligand alone induces IL-12, important regulator of T- and NK-cell responses (50).

Fibroblast growth factor-2 (FGF-2) and VEGF derived from mast cells trigger intense angiogenic responses in vivo (46). Infiltration of mast cells and activation of MMP-9 parallel the angiogenic switch in premalignant lesions and, accordingly, accumulation of mast cells is usually found in

Table 1 (Continued)

| IgE-binding structure | Receptor composition/splice variants | Expression on haematopoietic cells | Expression on nonhaematopoietic cells |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Galectins             | Galectin-3                          | Monocytes, macrophages            | Gastric cells                        |
|                       | Liu FT et al. Am J Pathol 1995; 147(4):1016–28 | Neutrophils                        | Fowler M et al. Cell Microbiol 2006;8(1):44–54 |
|                       | Neutrophils                         | T-cell                           | Small intestinal, colonic, corneal, conjunctival and opharyngeal epithelial cells, epithelial cells of kidney, lung, thymus, breast, prostate |
|                       | Truong MJ, et al. J Exp Med 1993;177(1):243–8 | Eosinophils                        | Dunic J, et al. Biochim Biophys Acta 2006;1760(4):616–35 |
|                       | Truong MJ et al. Eur J Immunol 1993;231(2):3230–5 | Basophils and mast cells           | Jensen-Jarolim E et al. Eur J Gastroenterology & Hepatol 2002;14(2):145–52 |
|                       | Craig SS et al. Anat Rec 1995; 242(2):211–9 | Dendritic cells                   | Uterine epithelial cells             |
|                       | Brustmann H. Int J Gynecol Pathol 2006;25(1):30–7 | Dendritic cells                   | von Wolff M et al. Mol Hum Reprod 2005;11(3):189–94 |
|                       | Smetana K et al. J Leukoc Biol 1999;66(4):644–9 | Mast cells                        | Fibroblasts                          |
|                       | Galectin-9                          | T cells                           | Openo KP et al. Exp Cell Res 2000;255(2):278–90 |
|                       | Chabot S et al. Glycobiology 2002 Feb;12(2):111–8 | Monocytic cells, macrophages      | Chondrocytes and osteoblasts         |
|                       | Harwood NM et al. J Leukoc Biol 2016; 99(3):495–503 | Mast cells                        | Janelle-Montclair A et al. Arthritis Res Ther 2007;9(1):R20 |
|                       | Wiener Z et al. J Invest Dermatol 2007; 127(4):906–14 | Endothelial cells                | Nakajima K et al. Cancer Res 2016;76(6):1391–402 |
|                       | Chabot S et al. Glycobiology 2002 Feb;12(2):111–8 | M cells                           | Keratinocytes                        |
|                       | Park WS et al. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2011; 411(2):259–64 | Nasal polyp fibroblasts           | Konstantinov KN et al. Exp Dermatol 1994;3(1):9–16 |
|                       | Wiener Z et al. J Invest Dermatol 2007; 127(4):906–14 | Endome...
the proximity of CD31+ cells and microvessels (51). Mast cells are a major source of interleukin-17 (IL-17) which enhances microvessel formation, being negatively prognostic in gastric cancer (52). Mast cells may also contribute to an immunosuppressive tumour microenvironment as they mobilize the infiltration of myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) into tumours and induce the production of IL-17 by MDSCs (47), which indirectly attracts Tregs, enhancing their suppressor function and IL-9 production; in turn, IL-9 strengthens the survival and pro-tumour effect of intratumoral mast cells.

There is some evidence, however, that these pro-tumoral activities of mast cells may be subverted by targeting these cells to promote tumour destruction. In a mouse allograft model, triggering of degranulation of mast cells by IgE antibody cross-linking of cell surface FcεRI resulted in Treg cell impairment and acute CD4+ and CD8+ T cell-mediated tissue destruction (53). In addition, human mast cells have been demonstrated to directly induce lymphoma tumour cell death in vitro when incubated with an anti-CD20 IgE antibody (54). These insights suggest the potential to reactivate these cells against cancer through immunotherapies.

Eosinophils

Blood and tissue eosinophilia are prominent features of allergy and also found to be associated with various cancers. Tumour-associated eosinophilia (TATE) has been reported to correlate with good or with bad prognosis. Epidemiological and clinical studies suggest evidence of intratumoral eosinophil degranulation and tumoricidal activity (55) (Fig. 1B). Human eosinophils have been reported to induce colon cancer cell death in vitro, implying mechanisms involving innate receptors (TCRγδ/CD3 complex, TLR2) and mediators such as alpha-defensins, TNF-α, granzyme A and IL-18 (56–58). Tumoricidal functions of eosinophils were target antigen-specific and differed among individuals. Additionally, tumour antigen-specific IgE has been shown to trigger eosinophil-mediated tumour cell death by cytotoxic mechanisms (59). Importantly, eosinophils from allergic donors proved more cytotoxic (56), which suggests that the allergic state favours antitumour processes.

Macrophages

Tumour-associated macrophages (TAMs) differentiate from monocyte precursors circulating in blood (Fig. 1B) and are recruited to tumour sites by several pro-inflammatory molecules such as chemokines (C-C motif chemokine ligand) CCL2, CCL3, CCL4, CCL5, and also VEGF, transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β) and colony-stimulating factors (GM-CSF and M-CSF) (60). Even if their phenotype is under the control of specific tumour-derived chemokines and cytokines that polarize macrophages to a pro-immune ‘M1’ or immunosuppressive/pro-angiogenic ‘M2’ phenotype, their transcriptional profiles are distinct from regular M1 or M2 macrophages (61). Tumour-associated macrophages are characterized by high expression of CCL2, CCL5, and IL-10 and by MGL1, dectin-1, CD81, VEGF-A, CD163, CD68, CD206, arginase-1 (Arg-1), nitric oxide synthase 2 (NOS2), MHC-II and scavenger receptor A (62). Tumour-associated macrophages may differ considerably in terms of function and M1/M2 phenotype, depending on the type of tumour, stage of progression and location within the tumour tissue (60, 63); The M1/M2 TAM heterogeneity could explain the poor prognosis in glioma and breast cancers and better prognosis in stomach and colon, prostate and non-small-cell lung cancers (60). Tumour-associated macrophage heterogeneity depends on the localization in the tumour microenvironment: In normoxic areas, TAMs show a CD206hiMHCIIhi M1-like phenotype; in hypoxic areas TAMs show a CD206hiMHCIIlo M2-like phenotype (61, 63). The expression of Arg-1 as well as VEGF-A, Solute Carrier Family 2 members 1 and 3 (SCL2A1 and SCL2A3) and NOS2 are specifically modulated in hypoxic area in CD206hiMHCIIlo TAMs (63). Innovative drugs allow the positive effects of elevating M1/kill and other anticancer innate responses but they also increase an undesired, ‘overzealous M1/kill–Th1 cytotoxic response’ contributing to chronic inflammation (64).

New strategies aim to re-educate TAMs to exert antitumour functions. In fact, even in a Th2-M2 tumour microenvironment macrophages stimulated by IL-4 and IL-13 were able to inhibit proliferation of B16-F1 melanoma cells (65). Moreover, macrophages may via IgE and IgG binding to diverse receptors on them acquire anti-tumour-killing potency. Tumour-associated macrophages express these receptors as well, enabling therapeutic monoclonal antibodies to engage in antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity/phagocytosis (ADCC/ADCP) (66). Recent discoveries show IgG4-positive cells in several tumour environments, possibly being attracted by CCL1–CCR8 interactions. The only macrophage subtype producing CCL1 is M2b which support vascularization and promote Th2-biased tumour microenvironments (67). More research on IgG/IgE effector functions by TAMs is necessary to define new therapeutic concepts.

Dendritic cells

Antigen cross-presentation by DCs is key feature of antitumour immunity as it results in the generation of cytotoxic CD8+ T lymphocytes (CTLs) against tumour antigens. Recently, an IgE-mediated cross-presentation pathway has been discovered (68, 69) (Fig. 2), resulting in priming of CTLs to soluble antigen at unusually low dose, and independent MyD88 signals or IL-12 production by DCs. Passive immunization experiments and DC-based vaccination strategies confirmed that IgE-mediated cross-presentation significantly improves antitumour immunity and even induces memory responses in vivo. However, IL-4, a signature Th2 cytokine, efficiently blunted IgE-mediated cross-presentation indicative for a feedback mechanism that prevents overshooting CTL responses during allergy (70). Deciphering details of IgE/FcεRI-mediated cross-presentation will further provide new insights into the role of Th2 immune responses in tumour defence and improve DC-based vaccination strategies.
**T lymphocytes**

CD8+ T lymphocytes and Th1 cells play the central role in elimination of tumour cells by the immune system (Fig. 2). Th1 cells produce interferon-gamma (IFN-γ) which mediates antitumour activity by several mechanisms, including activation of macrophages, enhancement of antigen processing and presentation, and inhibition of angiogenesis (71). The role of Th2 cells in cancer is more controversial. In some cancers, including breast (72), gastric (73) and pancreatic cancer (74, 75), Th2 cells and associated cytokines [IL-4, IL-13, Thymic stromal lymphopoietin (TSLP)] contribute to tumour progression. In addition, IL-4 plays a crucial role in the survival of colon cancer stem cells (76). Therefore, IL-4 and IL-13 receptors are promising anticancer targets (77). On the other hand, Th2 cells and cytokines can also play protective roles against cancer. In Hodgkin lymphoma, high numbers of Th2 cells are associated with better survival (78). Thymic stromal lymphopoietin has been shown to block early breast carcinogenesis through the induction of Th2 cells (79). Thymic stromal lymphopoietin can inhibit colon cancer by inducing apoptosis of cancer cells (80). Thymic stromal lymphopoietin and Th2 cells also mediate resistance to carcinogenesis in mice with epidermal barrier defect (81, 82).

Further, DCs pulsed with anti-prostate-specific antigen (PSA) IgE or with anti-HER2/neu IgE antibody, complexed with antigen, induced enhanced CD4 and CD8 T-cell activation in vitro compared to antigen-complexed IgG1 (83, 84). Similar observations were made upon OVA-specific IgE/FcεRI-mediated cross-priming in DC and T-cell cocultures, where CD8 T-cell proliferation and granzyme B secretion were increased (69). Collectively, these findings support potential roles for Th2 responses in IgE immune surveillance against cancer.

**Translational strategies to target cancer**

**Tumour vaccines and adjuvants**

Different approaches to induce IgE-mediated adaptive immunity against cancer have been designed.

A cellular vaccine based on tumour cells infected with modified vaccinia virus Ankara (MVA) and loaded with IgE conferred protection in mice upon tumour challenge, slower
| IgE species            | IgE specificity | Nomenclature | Technology used for production | Expression system | In vitro results | Route of IgE in vivo administration | Targeted cancer cells (route of cell inoculation) | Mouse model | References                                                                 |
|-----------------------|-----------------|--------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Mouse                 | gp36 of MMTV    | Clone A8 and H11 | Murine hybridoma | Fusion of spleen cells with P3X20 myeloma cells | NR               | i.p.                          | H2712 mouse mammary carcinoma (s.c. and i.p.) | C3H/HeJ     | Nagy E et al., Cancer Immunol Immunother. 1991;34(1):63–69.              |
| Rat/human chimaeric   | Mouse Ly-2      | YTS169.4     | Genetic engineering          | Murine hybridoma YTS169.4L | ADCC mediated by murine T cells expressing chimaeric FcR1 | s.c.                          | E3 mouse thymoma (s.c.) | C57BL/6     | Kershaw MH et al., J Leukoc Biol. 1996;60(6):721–728.                   |
| Mouse and mouse/human chimaeric | mIgE 30.6 and chIgE 30.6 | Genetic engineering | Murine myeloma (Sp2/0) | Antigen binding affinity | i.v.                          | Human COLO 205 (s.c.) | SCID         | Kershaw MH et al., Oncol Res. 1998;10(3):133–142.                        |
| Rat/human chimaeric   | Mouse Ly-2      | YTS169.4     | Genetic engineering          | Murine hybridoma YTS169.4L | ADCC mediated by human T cells expressing chimaeric FcR1 | i.p.                          | E3 mouse thymoma (i.p.) | NOD-SCID    | Teng MW et al., Hum Gene Ther. 2006;17(11):1134–1143.                    |
| Mouse/human chimaeric | FBP             | MOv18 IgE    | Genetic engineering          | Murine myeloma (Sp2/0-Ag14) | Degranulation and ADCC cytotoxicity mediated by platelets | i.v.                          | IGROV1 human ovarian carcinoma cells (s.c.) | C.B-17       | Gould HJ et al., Eur J Immunol. 1999;29(11):3527–3537.                  |
| Humanized             | HER2/neu        | Trastuzumab IgE | Genetic engineering          | HEK293            | Antigen binding affinity, degranulation, ADCC, interaction with human monocytes, and direct cytotoxicity in human breast cancer cells | NR                           | NR                         | NR           | Karagiannis et al. (59)                                                   |
| IgE species | IgE specificity | Nomenclature | Technology used for production | Expression system | In vitro results | Route of IgE in vivo administration | Targeted cancer cells (route of cell inoculation) | Mouse model | References |
|-------------|----------------|--------------|---------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------|------------|
| Human       | HER2/neu       | C6MH3-B1 IgE | Genetic engineering             | Murine myeloma (P3X63Ag8.653) | Degranulation and IgE-facilitated antigen stimulation | i.p. | D2F2/E2 mouse mammary carcinoma cells expressing human HER2/neu (i.p.)* | Human FcεRIx Tg BALB/c | Daniels et al. (83, 106) |
| Mouse/human chimaeric | EGFR | 425 IgE and 225IgE | Genetic engineering | HEK293 | Direct cytotoxicity induced by 425 IgE, ADCC mediated by 225 IgE and human monocytes | NR | NR | NR | Spiiller et al. (100) |
| Mouse/human chimaeric | MUC1 | 3C6.hlgE | Genetic engineering | CHO-K1 | NR | s.c. | 4T1 tumour cells expressing human MUC1 (s.c.) | Human FcεRIx Tg BALB/c | Teo et al. (54) |
| Mouse/human chimaeric | CD20 | 1F5.hlgE | Genetic engineering | CHO-K1 | ADCC using human mast cells and eosinophils as effector cells | NR | NR | NR | Teo et al. (54) |
| Vaccination studies | Mouse | DNP | mAb SP6 | Murine hybridoma | Murine hybridoma | NT | i.p. | MC38 mouse colon carcinoma cells expressing human CEA (s.c.)* | C57BL/6 | Reali E et al., Cancer Res. 2001 15;61(14): 5517-5522. |
| Mouse | DNP | SPE7 | Murine hybridoma | Murine hybridoma | Degranulation of haptenized cells | s.c. | TS/A-LACK mouse mammary carcinoma cells coated with DNP (s.c.) | BALB/c | Nigro et al. (85) |
| Mouse/human chimaeric | NIP | Anti-NIP IgE | Genetic engineering | J558L murine myeloma | Degranulation of haptenized cells | s.c. | TS/A-LACK mouse mammary carcinoma cells coated with NIP (s.c.) | Human FcεRIx Tg BALB/c | Nigro et al. (85) |
| Human (truncated) | N/A | tmIgE | Genetic engineering | Chicken embryo fibroblasts | Degranulation | s.c. | TS/A-LACK mouse mammary carcinoma cells coated with truncated IgE (s.c.) | Human FcεRIx Tg BALB/c | Nigro et al. (86) |
tumour growth and increased survival (85). This antitumour adjuvant effect may depend on the interaction of IgE with FcεRI as it was lost in FcεRIα−/− mice, but not in CD23−/− mice. In parallel, using a humanized hFcεRIα mouse model expressing the human FcεRIα chain, human IgE could exert antitumour adjuvant effects (86). When a human truncated mIgE (tmIgE) which retained binding to FcεRI and triggering immune cell activation was inserted into a rMVA, the resulting rMVA-tmIgE showed a protective effect in the above humanized FcεRIα mouse model (86).

Other anticancer vaccine approaches are based on specific tumour antigens and tumour antigenic mimotopes which have shown promise in restricting tumour growth (87). When using evolutionarily conserved cancer antigens, such as HER2/neu or EGFR, a vaccine may be used across different species (88). Furthermore, the formulation with adjuvants like aluminium hydroxide, orally sucralfate or proton pump inhibitors, may help to direct induction of protective IgE antibody and merit careful study (89).

Recombinant IgE anticancer antibodies

Engineering antibodies with Fc regions of the IgE class specific for cancer antigens is designed to (i) harness the high affinity of this antibody isotype for its cognate Fcε receptors on tissue-resident and potentially tumour-resident immune effector cells and (ii) utilize the properties of IgE to exert immune surveillance in Th2 conditions such as in tumour microenvironments. Recombinant antibody technologies and approaches to recombinant IgE (rIgE) production have advanced significantly with a number of antibodies already engineered and tested in vitro and in vivo (see Table 2). Recombinant IgE can be generated by different cloning strategies (Fig. 3). While classical restriction enzyme-based cloning requires the presence of specific restriction sites flanking the gene of interest, expression of IgE by insect cells requires a recombinant baculovirus stock containing the antibody expression cassette. Novel protocols enable site-specific transposition of the coding sequence using bacmid-containing E. coli as intermediate hosts. Polymerase Incomplete Primer Extension cloning, independent of restriction or other recombination sites, facilitates rapid cloning with the option of site-specific mutagenesis at the same time. Human/mouse chimaeric IgEs were also generated by hybridoma technology from a knock-in mouse strain (90), and more efficient cloning strategies using mammalian expression vectors are available (91). In future fully human IgE antibodies could be generated from synthetic human antibody repertoire libraries (92), or cloned directly from the B cells of patients (93).

The heavily glycosylated structure of the IgE antibody class has to be considered. IgE has seven glycosylation sites, six of which are occupied mainly by complex N-glycans including terminal galactose, sialic acid and fucose structures (94). Oligomannosidic structures are only identified at position Asn394 (94), and some evidence suggests that they may be involved in binding to IgE Fc receptors and in some biological activities of IgE (95). On the other hand, complex N-
glycosylation of IgE is not thought to have a direct impact on its ability to bind to FcεRI or CD23. The contribution of glycosylation on IgE binding to galectin-3 and galectin-9 (96) remains unclear. There is increasing evidence that the glycosylation of IgEs in healthy and different disease states may vary, prompting the need for further research on the importance of glycans on IgE functions against cancer.

In vitro effector functions of IgE antibodies in the cancer context

Eosinophil, monocyte and macrophage-mediated ADCC/ADCP, antigen presentation by DCs and degranulation of mast cells and basophils, have been identified as potent mechanisms of IgE-mediated anticancer functions in vitro (69, 97, 98) (Fig. 1B). The ADCC/ADCP functions against cancer of the anti-folate receptor-alpha (FRα) IgE, MOv18, have been previously described (59). Recently, the therapeutic anti-HER2/neu and anti-EGFR IgG1 antibodies, trastuzumab (Herceptin®), Roche Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland) and cetuximab (Erbitux®, Merck Biopharma, Darmstadt, Germany), respectively, have been cloned and engineered recombinantly as humanized and chimaeric IgG1 antibodies (99, 100). Using U937 monocytic effector cells (101), trastuzumab IgG1 mediated killing of HER2-overexpressing CT26 murine as well as of SKBR3 human mammary carcinoma cells mainly by ADCP, whereas trastuzumab IgE mediated killing via ADCC (99). Similarly, cetuximab IgG1 and IgE mediated comparable levels of phagocytosis of EGFR-overexpressing A431 human tumour cells by purified human monocytes, but cetuximab IgE triggered significantly higher levels of ADCP than IgG1 (100). Eosinophil ADCC killing has also been demonstrated in vitro using an anti-human CD20 IgE antibody against OCI-Ly7 lymphoma cells (54). Incubation with this anti-CD20 IgE stimulated cord blood-derived mast cells to release IL-8 and kill CD20+ tumour cells. Similarly, trastuzumab IgE, cetuximab IgE, anti-PSA IgE, and MOv18 IgE activated RBL SX-38 rat basophilic leukaemia cells when cross-linked with anti-IgE antibody engaged with multimeric antigen, or when incubated with target cells overexpressing specific tumour-associated antigen (84, 99, 100, 102). In contrast, monomeric soluble tumour antigen did not trigger degranulation (84, 100, 102). Accordingly, incubation of MOv18 IgE-sensitized RBL SX-38 cells with patient sera containing elevated levels of soluble FRα did not lead to mast cell degranulation. Furthermore, MOv18 IgE did not trigger the basophil activation in the presence of soluble FRα, which is highly elevated in the sera of subsets of ovarian carcinoma and mesothelioma patients (102). This suggests that in tumours, mast cells may release potent inflammatory mediators in the presence of tumour-specific IgE and overexpressed tumour antigen, while IgE in the absence of multimeric antigen is not expected to trigger anaphylactic responses. Notably, antigenic epitopes also need to be displayed in a rigid spacing to lead to productive triggering (103). Given that the potent anticancer functions of IgE antibodies in vitro, it is important to consider these results in the patient context (104). The impact of soluble tumour antigen in the circulation has been considered from a safety perspective (97, 102). However, the possible inhibitory activity of soluble tumour antigen sequestering IgE and preventing tumour cell engagement with effector cells needs to be elucidated.

Furthermore, the ability of patient immune effector cells to eradicate malignant cells must be evaluated to consider: (i) the impact of treatments as chemotherapy or steroid intake on effector cell functions, (ii) the effect of the tumour microenvironment on IgE receptor expression and on killing properties of these effector cells, and (iii) whether IgE immunotherapy may itself re-educate effector cells to enhance their antitumour functions (105).

In vivo models in AllergoOncology

Various animal models have been successfully employed to study the in vivo efficacy of IgE antibodies against cancer (106), with different limitations. Direct application of human IgE in tumour bearing mice is not applicable as human IgE does not bind rodent Fcε receptors (Table 3). Next, humans express FcεRI on a broad range of cells including monocytes, mast cells, basophils, eosinophils, platelets, Langerhans cells and DCs (Table 1), whereas murine FcεRI expression has only been confirmed on mast cells and basophils (Table 4). Furthermore, also CD23 can be found on numerous human cells, while mice express CD23 on only B cells and certain T cells (106).

Despite these differences, a xenograft model with severe combined immunodeficient (SCID) mice, and a patient-derived xenograft model of ovarian carcinoma both reconstituted with human peripheral blood mononuclear cells, were successfully used to demonstrate the superior tumour-killing potential of MOv18 IgE over IgG1 via both CD23 and FcεRI (59, 107). More human-relevant models have been established using transgenic mice strains that express human FcεRIα, which complexes with endogenous murine FcεRIβ and FcεRIγ subunits, forming fully functional tetrameric FcεRI on mast cells and possibly trimeric receptors on macrophages, Langerhans cells and eosinophils, with a tissue distribution like in humans (108–110). A human anti-HER2/neu (C6MH3-B1 IgE) IgE tested in this model significantly prolonged the survival of immunocompetent mice bearing HER2/neu-expressing tumours (83). A constraint of these
**A** Recombinant IgE design

Recombination of $V_H$ and $V_L$

$V_H$ - Cy1, $C_H$, Cy2, $C_L$, Cy3, $C_L$, Cy4

$V_L$ - Cy1, $C_L$, Cy2, $C_L$, Cy3, $C_L$, Cy4

IgG  IgE

**B** Cloning with restriction enzymes

1. Digestion with specific restriction enzymes
2. Purification of DNA fragments
3. Plasmid assembly with DNA ligase

**C** Baculovirus expression system

1. Donor vector with gene of interest
2. Transformation into bacmid containing E. coli
3. Bacmid vector
4. Helper vector encoding a transposase
5. Transposition at attTn7 site
6. Recombinant bacmid
7. Isolation of recombinant baculovirus DNA
8. Transfection of insect cells
9. Isolation of recombinant baculovirus stock

**D** PIPE cloning

1. Gene of interest
2. Expression vector
3. Primers with 15–25 nt 5’ overhang complementary to joining sequence
4. PCR with shorter extension times in order to linearize vector and create sticky ends on both fragments
5. Polymerase incomplete primer extension
6. Mixing PCR products 1:1
7. Fragment annealing without DNA ligase
8. Covalent ligation and gap repair in vivo
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transgenic models is the lack of CD23 expression, precluding evaluation of IgE-triggered CD23-mediated phagocytosis (111). A surrogate immunocompetent model system of syngeneic carcinoma in rats aims to better recapitulate the human IgE immune system (105), as FcεRI and CD23 expression and cellular distribution in rat cells, including monocytes and macrophages, mirrors that of humans.

Relevant models to address toxicity of human IgE antibodies are nonhuman primates (NHP) such as cynomolgus (Macaca fascicularis) and rhesus (Macaca mulatta) monkeys, as they have been shown to mediate anaphylaxis induced by human IgE (112). Cynomolgus monkeys have been routinely used to evaluate the safety of IgG therapeutic antibodies currently used in the clinic. A fully human IgE antibody targeting HER2/neu (C6MH3-B1 IgE), administered systemically, was also well tolerated in cynomolgus monkeys (83). However, while recent studies confirmed the cross-reactivity of human IgE with cynomolgus monkey peripheral blood leukocytes (PBLs) with comparable binding kinetics, human IgE dissociates faster from cynomolgus monkey PBLs and triggers a different cytokine release profile (113). This is important in toxicity studies using IgE in this species. Further, also differences in the interaction of FcγRs with the various human IgG isotypes have been found (114). Thus, while NHP are meaningful models for toxicity studies of human antibodies, they must be used with caution.

The dog (Canis lupus familiaris) is another potential model, as dogs suffer from spontaneous cancer and atopic diseases, making them a relevant clinical experimental model (115). For instance, the canine counterparts to HER2/neu and canine EGFR expression are highly homologous to the human molecules, and can be targeted by trastuzumab and cetuximab (88). An additional advantage is the remarkable similarity of the human and dog immune systems in terms of immunoglobulin classes, IgE and FcεRI expression and functional homology (116). Thus, canine anti-EGFR IgG has been generated (117) and IgE is currently being generated for comparative studies in canine cancer patients.

Table 3 Cross-reactivity of IgE and Fcε receptors of different species, with the equilibrium association constant (Kd) or equilibrium dissociation constant (Kd), where available, described exactly as in the original references

| Species | Human FcεRI | NHP* FcεRI | Mouse FcεRI | Rat FcεRI | Dog FcεRI |
|---------|-------------|------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|
| Human IgE | Kd = 0.5–2.7 × 10^9 M⁻¹ | Kd = 1.876 × 10⁻¹⁵ M⁻¹ | No binding | No binding | No binding |
| Ishizaka T, Soto CS, Ishizaka K. Mechanisms of passive sensitization. Ill. J Immunol 1973; 111(2):500–11 | Saul L et al. Mabs 2014; 6(2):509–22 | Fung-Leung WP et al. J Exp Med 1996;183:49–56 | Fung-Leung WP et al. J Exp Med 1996;183:49–56 | Lowenthal M, Patterson R, Harris KE. Ann Allergy 1993; 71(5):481–4 |
| NHP IgE | Kd = 3 × 10⁻¹⁰ M | N.D. | N.D. | N.D. | No binding |
| Meng YG, Singh N, Wong WL. Mol Immunol 1996; 33:17–8:635–42 | N.D. | N.D. | N.D. | Lowenthal M, Patterson R, Harris KE. Ann Allergy 1993; 71(5):481–4 |
| Mouse IgE | Kd = 4.4 × 10⁸ M⁻¹ | N.D. | Kd = 1.75–3.57† × 10⁸ M⁻¹ | Kd = 2.49–5.06§ × 10³ M⁻¹ | N.D. |
| Mallamaci MA et al. J Biol Chem 1993; 268(29):22076–83 | Sterk AR, Ishizaka T. J Immunol 1982; 128(2):838–43 | Sterk AR, Ishizaka T. J Immunol 1982; 128(2):838–43 | Sterk AR, Ishizaka T. J Immunol 1982; 128(2):838–43 | N.D. |
| Rat IgE | Kd = 1.58 × 10⁻⁸ M | N.D. | Kd = 1.46–2.68† × 10⁹ M⁻¹ | Kd = 7.84–8.06§ × 10³ M⁻¹ | N.D. |
| Mallamaci MA et al. J Biol Chem 1993; 268(29):22076–83 | Sterk AR, Ishizaka T. J Immunol 1982; 128(2):838–43 | Sterk AR, Ishizaka T. J Immunol 1982; 128(2):838–43 | N.D. |
| Dog IgE | Kd = 9.2 × 10⁻⁸ M | Confirmed binding | N.D. | N.D. | Kd = 2.1 × 10⁻⁸ M |
| Fung-Leung WP et al. J Exp Med 1996;183:49–56 | Ye H et al. Mol Immunol 2014; 57(2):151–9 | Fung-Leung WP et al. J Exp Med 1996;183:49–56 | Ye H et al. Mol Immunol 2014; 57(2):151–9 |

N.D., not determined; NHP, non-human primates.
†Affinity determination based on cells, not receptor subunits; therefore, also CD23 binding might contribute to the denoted values.
‡Depending on mouse strain used as a source of mast cells.
§Depending on mast cell source (rat or RBL cell line).
The described animal models are informative for preclinical testing, but clinical trials in human patients are required to fully understand the therapeutic potential and risks associated with IgE anticancer antibodies.

**Allergy in clinical oncology: a cross-disciplinary field**

Allergic reactions to anticancer drugs are a common clinical problem, seen especially with platinum drugs, taxanes, anthracyclines (118) and monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) (119). In some cases, it is the excipient rather than the drug itself that is responsible for the hypersensitivity reaction. The administration of some of these drugs is routinely preceded by premedication with steroids.

Treatment of allergic anticancer drug reactions is, as for other episodes of hypersensitivity, using intravenous fluids, antihistamines, steroids and antipyretics, depending on the risk evaluation (Fig. 4A). Desensitization algorithms can be used in cases of established drug allergy, in which escalating small doses of the drug are administered in a controlled environment with ready access to critical care facilities (120). Desensitization has a particular role to play in clinical scenarios where repeated rechallenge with an active drug may be required, as in the management of ovarian cancer, but also in allergy to anticancer mAbs (121). Due to the increased utilization of chemotherapies and targeted mAbs hypersensitivity reactions to these medications have increased dramatically worldwide, preventing the use of first-line therapies, with consequent impact in patient’s survival and quality of life (122). These reactions can range from mild cutaneous reactions to life-threatening symptoms including anaphylactic shock with IgE and/or mast cell/
basophil involvement, and occur during or within one h of the drug infusion or hours–days, as these patients have extensive premedications, including steroids (123). The symptoms are associated with the release of tryptase and other mediators such as histamine, leukotrienes and prostaglandins, implicated in the cutaneous, respiratory, gastrointestinal and cardiovascular symptoms (124). Other systemic symptoms such as chills and fever are thought to be due to the release of IL-1 and IL-6 among others (125). Atypical symptoms such as pain have been associated with taxanes and some monoclonal antibodies (126). Deaths have been reported when re-exposing patients to chemotherapy drugs to which they have presented immediate hypersensitivity reactions (127). Delayed reactions can present as either mast cell/basophil-mediated symptoms or as delayed cell-mediated-type intravenous (i.v.) hypersensitivity (128).

There is increasing evidence that patients with immediate and delayed hypersensitivity to chemotherapy and monoclonal antibodies can be safely re-exposed to these medications through rapid drug desensitization (RDD) (129), in which diluted amounts of drug are reintroduced through a multistep protocol, achieving the target dose in few hours (Fig. 4B). Thereby, inhibitory mast cell mechanisms protect the patients against anaphylaxis (130). Most patients with hypersensitivity reactions are candidates for RDD, except for patients with Steven Johnsons syndrome (SJS), toxic epidermal necrolysis, drug reaction with eosinophilia and systemic symptoms (DRESS), and acute eczematous generalized pustulosis. The success of RDD relies on personalized protocols (131). Platins including carboplatin, cisplatin and oxaliplatin, taxanes including paclitaxel and docetaxel, and monoclonal antibodies such as rituximab, trastuzumab and cetuximab have been successfully desensitized (132). The largest desensitization study worldwide reported that 370 highly allergic patients received 2177 successful desensitizations to 15 drugs, three of which (bevacizumab, tocilizumab and gemcitabine) were unprecedented and in which 93% of the procedures had no or mild symptoms.
reactions, 7% moderate to severe reactions which did not preclude the completion of the treatment, and there were no deaths (Fig. 4C) (133). The study indicates that the overall health costs were not increased over standard treatment. Most importantly, a group of women with ovarian cancer sensitized to carboplatin had a non-statistically significant lifespan advantage over nonallergic controls.

Therefore, IgE- and non-IgE-mediated chemotherapy hypersensitivity reactions can be managed by RDD, enabling sensitized patients to receive the full treatment safely, thus representing an important advance in the patient’s treatment and prognosis.

**Conclusions**

This position paper summarizes current knowledge and developments in the field of AO since (1). Novel insights gained highlight the merits of studying the nature of Th2 immune responses in cancer, much of which remains insufficiently understood. Epidemiologic analyses support associations between allergies, allergen-specific and total IgE levels with lower risk of cancer development, to date only shown with regard to specific malignancies. Whether these associations relate to antigen- or allergen-specific responses or whether they represent protective effects of IgE through recognition of specific tumour antigens remains unclear. Understanding these associations and the contributions of IgE and Th2 immunity in protection from cancer growth would also contribute to understanding whether patients with allergic asthma who receive anti-IgE treatment may be at risk of developing cancer. Short-term follow-up findings have not revealed any enhanced risk of cancer development to date (134); however, further longer follow-up studies and novel functional insights will be informative.

Emerging studies further support the study of the prototypic Th2 isotype, IgE as a means to combat tumours when directed against cancer antigens through promoting the interaction between effector and cancer cells, and stimulating CTLs via

---

**Table 5** Brigham and Women’s Hospital three-bag 12-step desensitization protocol for paclitaxel 300 mg

| Step | Solution | Rate (ml/h) | Time (min) | Volume infused per step (ml) | Dose administered with this step (mg) | Cumulative dose (mg) |
|------|----------|-------------|------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------|
| 1    | 1        | 2.5         | 15         | 0.63                       | 0.0075                                 | 0.0075              |
| 2    | 1        | 5           | 15         | 1.25                       | 0.015                                  | 0.0225              |
| 3    | 1        | 10          | 15         | 2.5                        | 0.03                                   | 0.0552              |
| 4    | 1        | 20          | 15         | 5                          | 0.06                                   | 0.1125              |
| 5    | 2        | 5           | 15         | 2.5                        | 0.15                                   | 0.2625              |
| 6    | 2        | 10          | 15         | 5                          | 0.3                                    | 0.5625              |
| 7    | 2        | 20          | 15         | 5                          | 0.6                                    | 1.1625              |
| 8    | 2        | 40          | 15         | 10                         | 1.2                                    | 2.3625              |
| 9    | 3        | 10          | 15         | 2.5                        | 2.9764                                 | 5.3389              |
| 10   | 3        | 20          | 15         | 5                          | 5.9528                                 | 11.2916             |
| 11   | 3        | 40          | 15         | 10                         | 11.9055                                | 23.1971             |
| 12   | 3        | 80          | 174.375    | 232.5                      | 276.8029                               | 300                 |

Total time: 5.66 h

Note: The total volume and dose dispensed are more than the final dose given to patient because many of the solutions are not completely infused.
antigen cross-presentation. Collectively, these findings support the unique properties of IgE to activate anticancer immune responses in passive and active immunotherapy of cancer and provide evidence of safety. Whereas cell-fixed tumour antigen can trigger cross-linking of IgE on its Fc receptors expressed on effector cells, monovalent soluble antigen does not. Some in vivo models relevant to IgE biology have been designed with careful consideration of species-specific IgE receptor expression profile. Mouse models have been used most often, whereas rats, dogs and NHP may offer new alternatives to address specific questions of potency, safety and function. A couple of recombinant anticancer IgE antibodies are in the pipeline, and parallel interrogation of the same antibody immunotherapies in clinical oncology will determine the predictive value of in vivo models.

Recent findings shed light into the alternative Th2 antibody isotype IgG4 and its expression and functions in melanoma and other cancers (135). The mechanisms of this humoral immune bias in oncology merit further in-depth study. Finally, it has to be emphasized that allergic reactions to anticancer agents, chemotherapy and biologics represent important challenges in daily clinical oncology practice, which can be dealt with by desensitization protocols analogous to those used in allergen immunotherapy.

In summary, the AO field represents an open interdisciplinary science forum where different aspects of the interface between allergy and cancer are systematically addressed and discussed, gaining thereby previously unappreciated insights for cancer immunotherapy.
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