Did Parents and Teachers Struggle with Child Survivors 20 Months after the 2011 Earthquake and Tsunami in Japan? A Retrospective Observational Study
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Abstract

Background: On March 11, 2011, Japan was struck by the earthquake and tsunami. Twenty months after the disaster, we collected information on the difficulties faced by parents and teachers in dealing with the post-traumatic symptoms of child survivors. The aim of this study was to evaluate the relationship between post-traumatic symptoms in children and parents’ and teachers’ difficulties in dealing with children who survived the huge disaster.

Methods: The subjects included 12,524 children from elementary, middle, and junior high schools in Ishinomaki City. The Post Traumatic Stress Symptoms for Children 15 items (PTSSC-15), a self-rating questionnaire on post-traumatic symptoms, was distributed among the children, and Strength and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ), a self-rating questionnaire on difficulties in dealing with children, was given to their parents and teachers. With PTSSC-15, a valid response was obtained from 10,909 (89.5%) participants. With SDQ for teachers and parents, valid responses were obtained from 10,577 (86.7%) and 7052 (83.9%) participants, respectively.

Results: PTSSC-15 scores were significantly higher (P<0.001) in girls than in boys from the junior high school. These effect sizes were less than 0.30. Correlations of teachers’ SDQ scores and PTSSC-15 scores were significantly low (r<0.21, P<0.001) for both genders and all children. Likewise, correlations between SDQ scores assigned by parents (excluding ‘prosocial behavior’) and PTSSC-15 scores were significantly low (r<0.21, P<0.001) for both genders and at all school levels.

Conclusion: This study elucidated that the difficulties faced by parents and teachers while dealing with child survivors significantly low correlate with the child’s post-traumatic symptoms caused by the 2011 earthquake and tsunami. Thus, it is important that clinicians should not only evaluate post-traumatic symptoms with a self-rating questionnaire but also try to objectively evaluate whether there were day-to-day difficulties caused by the post-traumatic symptoms.

Introduction

On March 11, 2011, Japan was struck by a huge earthquake and tsunami. The tsunami caused tremendous damage and victimized many children [1,2]. There have been many studies on the children who survived disasters [1–14]. After any disaster, post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) is the psychiatric diagnosis that should be considered most carefully by health care providers [3,5,7,9–11,15–20]. Post-traumatic symptoms tend to spontaneously heal over time; thus, the morbidity of PTSD is dependent on time, the subjects, and the diagnostic methods used [5,7,9,10,15–21]. The diagnostic criteria in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th edition, (DSM-IV) specify that patients experience significant difficulties in their daily lives because of their posttraumatic symptoms.

In a previous report, 8 months after the 2011 Japanese earthquake and tsunami, we collected information on the post-traumatic symptoms, sleep duration, and environmental damage conditions of children who lived through this tremendous disaster. That study demonstrated relationships of post-traumatic symptoms with gender, age, house damage, evacuation experience, and bereavement experience [1]. Furthermore, children with house damage and/or evacuation experiences exhibited significantly shorter sleep time than children without these experiences [2].

In the present work, 20 months after the earthquake and tsunami, we collected information on the difficulties faced by
parents and teachers while dealing with the post-traumatic symptoms of child survivors. These data were gathered in the hope of a thorough investigation of the possible associations 20 months after exposure [5,16,21,22].

Children with PTSD experience significant difficulties in their daily lives because of their post-traumatic symptoms. Therefore, we have not only evaluated the traumatic symptoms of child survivors, but also evaluated the difficulties in their daily lives. The aim of this study was to evaluate the relationship between post-traumatic symptoms in children and the difficulties faced by their parents and teachers in dealing with these children 20 months after the earthquake and tsunami. The main hypothesis was that child survivor’s traumatic symptoms caused day-to-day difficulties for parents and teachers. This hypothesis indicate child survivors with severe traumatic symptoms have severe day-to-day difficulties, and they may be diagnosed with PTSD.

We also evaluated the variations in the difficulties faced by parents and teachers. This is relevant because parents take care of children at home, and teachers interact with children at school: at different times of the day. The minor hypothesis was that the difficulties with children between parents and teachers were significantly different.

**Materials and Methods**

**Study Design and Settings**

This study involved observation of statistical associations of post-traumatic symptoms among children after the 2011 Japanese earthquake and tsunami. Ishinomaki City is the second largest city (population, 162,822) in Miyagi Prefecture, Japan. As of February 15, 2012, the death toll in Ishinomaki City was 3182, and 557 people were missing. The total number of collapsed houses and buildings, including half-collapsed houses, was 33,378, and 7298 temporary houses had been constructed.

**Recruitment and Participants**

This survey was conducted as a part of the school education program conducted by the Board of Education of Ishinomaki City, Miyagi Prefecture. Survey sheets were distributed among all children who attended 43 elementary schools, and 21 junior high schools in Ishinomaki City. The survey was carried out in November 2012 (20 months after the 2011 disaster), after temporary housing had been provided for all evacuees in need in Ishinomaki City and after all evacuation centers had been closed.

First, the survey method was explained to the principals of all of the schools by the Education Committee of Ishinomaki City. Then teachers distributed a letter explaining the survey, which had been designed by the Education Committee, to all children and their parents. The letter clearly stated that if a student filled the questionnaire, it would be considered consent to the survey by both the parents and students. The letter also mentioned that the survey results would be used to provide children with psychological care to facilitate their education at school and that the results would be published as a scientific article. Informed consent was obtained when the students filled out the questionnaire.

This study was designed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Ethics Committee of the National Center for Global Health and Medicine. All participants gave written informed assent and their parents gave written informed consent after the procedure had been explained to them.

The Post Traumatic Stress Symptoms for Children 15 items (PTSSC-15), a self-rating questionnaire on post-traumatic symptoms, was distributed among 12,193 children registered at

| Gender | 1st-3rd grade | 4th-6th grade | 7th-9th grade |
|--------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Male M | 15.0          | 16.0          | 18.0          |
| Female | 5.0           | 6.0           | 8.0           |
| N      | 2600          | 2800          | 2900          |

**Table 1. Average PTSSC-15 scores of the children (by school level and gender).**

Legend: M, median; IR, interquartile range; N, number of cases.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0096459.t001
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Table 2. Relationship between SDQ scores of parents based on school level and gender of children.

| SDQ score of parent | Grade   | Boys | Girls | F   | P   |
|---------------------|---------|------|-------|-----|-----|
|                     | M       | SD   | N     | M   | SD  | N     | Gender > School level | School level | Gender | School level | Gender > School level | School level |
| Emotional problems  | 4th–6th | 1.9  | 1.9   | 1777| 2.1 | 2.2  | 1795 | 9.618  **          | 26.72     *** | 52.37  *** | 6.661  **          | 26.72     *** |
|                     | 7th–9th | 1.5  | 1.8   | 1655| 2.0 | 2.1  | 1682 |                    |           |       |              |                        |
| Conduct problems    | 4th–6th | 2.2  | 1.7   | 1771| 2.0 | 1.5  | 1795 | 0.000  ns          | 29.37     *** | 0.000  ns | 29.37     *** |                                     |
|                     | 7th–9th | 1.9  | 1.5   | 1838| 1.7 | 1.5  | 1682 |                    |           |       |              |                        |
| Hyperactivity/inattention | 4th–6th | 3.8  | 2.3   | 1771| 3.8 | 2.1  | 1795 | 0.0    ns          | 66.09     *** | 0.0    ns | 66.09     *** |                                     |
|                     | 7th–9th | 3.4  | 2.2   | 1838| 3.4 | 2.0  | 1682 |                    |           |       |              |                        |
| Peer relationship problems | 4th–6th | 2.0  | 1.8   | 1771| 2.0 | 1.7  | 1795 | 0.0    ns          | 60.97     *** | 0.0    ns | 60.97     *** |                                     |
|                     | 7th–9th | 2.0  | 1.7   | 1838| 2.0 | 1.7  | 1682 |                    |           |       |              |                        |
| Total difficulty score | 4th–6th | 9.8  | 5.5   | 1771| 9.0 | 5.5  | 1795 | 3.862  *           | 18.69     *** | 3.862  * | 18.69     *** |                                     |
|                     | 7th–9th | 8.7  | 5.1   | 1838| 8.4 | 5.3  | 1682 |                    |           |       |              |                        |
| Prosocial behavior  | 4th–6th | 5.9  | 2.0   | 1771| 6.4 | 2.0  | 1795 | 4.309  *           | 44.65     *** | 0.0    ns | 44.65     *** |                                     |
|                     | 7th–9th | 5.8  | 2.1   | 1838| 6.3 | 2.0  | 1682 |                    |           |       |              |                        |

*p<0.05,  **p<0.001,  ***p<0.0001.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0096459.t002
Table 3. Relationship between SDQ scores of teachers based on school level and gender.

| SDQ score of teacher          | Grade | Boys | Girls | Gender | School level | F     | P     |
|-------------------------------|-------|------|-------|--------|--------------|-------|-------|
| Emotional problems            | 4th–6th | M    | SD    | N      | M    | SD    | N      | Gender×School level | F     | P     |
|                               |       | 1.0  | 1.6   | 1865   | 1.0  | 1.7   | 1848   | Gender              | 1.494 | ns    |
|                               | 7th–9th | 1.1  | 1.8   | 1828   | 1.2  | 1.9   | 1800   | Gender              | 1.494 | ns    |
| Conduct problems              | 4th–6th | 1.5  | 1.8   | 1865   | 0.8  | 1.3   | 1848   | Gender×School level | 16.28 | ***   |
|                               | 7th–9th | 1.4  | 1.8   | 1828   | 1.0  | 1.4   | 1800   | Gender              | 218.9 | ***   |
| Hyperactivity/inattention      | 4th–6th | 3.6  | 2.8   | 1865   | 1.8  | 1.9   | 1848   | Gender×School level | 19.78 | ***   |
|                               | 7th–9th | 3.3  | 2.7   | 1828   | 2.0  | 2.1   | 1800   | Gender              | 760.4 | ***   |
| Peer relationship problems    | 4th–6th | 1.6  | 1.8   | 1865   | 1.4  | 1.6   | 1848   | Gender×School level | 1.538 | ns    |
|                               | 7th–9th | 1.6  | 1.8   | 1828   | 1.5  | 1.7   | 1800   | Gender              | 13.84 | ***   |
| Total difficulty score        | 4th–6th | 7.7  | 5.9   | 1865   | 5.0  | 4.9   | 1848   | Gender×School level | 9.551 | **    |
|                               | 7th–9th | 7.4  | 6.0   | 1828   | 5.5  | 5.3   | 1800   | Gender              | 315.8 | ***   |
| Prosocial behavior            | 4th–6th | 5.2  | 2.6   | 1865   | 6.3  | 2.5   | 1848   | Gender×School level | 2.713 | ns    |
|                               | 7th–9th | 5.0  | 2.7   | 1828   | 5.9  | 2.6   | 1800   | Gender              | 271.3 | ***   |

*p<0.05,  **p<0.001,  ***p<0.0001.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0096459.t003
municipal schools in Ishinomaki City. The Questionnaire on Daily Life, a self-rating questionnaire that covers parameters such as time of waking and sleep onset, and eating/omission of breakfast.

A total of 12,193 copies of the Strength and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) for teachers were distributed among the teachers of the same elementary, middle, and junior high school students in Ishinomaki City. The SDQ for parents was distributed to 8404 parents of elementary school (fourth to sixth grade) students and junior high school (seventh to ninth grade) students in Ishinomaki City.

The PTSSC-15 questionnaire and the Questionnaire on Daily Life were completed by 11,101 (91.0%) children. A valid response was obtained from 10,909 (89.5%) children. A valid response was obtained from 10,577 (88.4%) teachers. A valid response was obtained from 11,101 (91.0%) children. A valid response was obtained from 7308 (87.0%) parents. A valid response was obtained from 7052 (83.9%) parents.

Measures

A paper-based survey was conducted, asking questions regarding post-traumatic symptoms using a self-report form. The self-report form consisted of the PTSSC-15. The difficulties faced by parents and teachers were assessed using the SDQ score.

PTSSC-15

PTSSC-15 is a self-rating questionnaire on stress reactions of children after a disaster. Post-traumatic Stress Symptoms 10 (PTSS10) [11,22] had fewer questions and was used as a screening test after the Hanshin Great Earthquake; this instrument is widespread in Japan [1,2,11,23]. In 105 Norwegian children (6–17 years old) devastated by the 2004 South East Asia Tsunami, PTSS10 was administered 10 and 30 months after the disaster [23,24].

Each question is scored at 6 levels: 0 = completely disagree, 1 = mostly disagree, 2 = partially disagree, 3 = partially agree, 4 = mostly agree, and 5 = completely agree. Higher scores indicate more severe post-traumatic and depressive symptoms. Tomita et al. demonstrated the reliability and validity of PTSSC-15 in Japanese children and adolescents [24].

A previous study showed that PTSSC-15 scores are associated with the environmental damage caused by the 2011 Japanese tsunami [1].

SDQ

The SDQ is a brief behavioral questionnaire for adults about 3- to 16-year-olds [25]. It exists in several versions: for researchers, clinicians, and educators. Each question is scored at 3 levels: 0 = not true, 1 = somewhat true, and 2 = certainly true.

SDQ tests 25 attributes, some of them positive and others negative. These 25 items are divided among 5 scales: emotional problems (5 items), conduct problems (5 items), hyperactivity/inattention (5 items), peer relationship problems (5 items), and prosocial behavior (5 items). The scores from the 4 problematic scales—emotional problems, conduct problems, hyperactivity/inattention, and peer relationship problems—are added up to produce a total difficulty score (based on 20 items).

Higher scores on emotional problems, conduct problems, hyperactivity/inattention, and peer relationship problems, and a higher total difficulty score indicate a more serious burden for parents or teachers. On the other hand, a higher score on prosocial behavior indicates better sociability. Matushii and coworkers demonstrated the reliability and validity of SDQ scores in Japanese children and adolescents [26].

Statistical Analysis

Distribution of the PTSSC-15 and SDQ scores of parents and teachers. Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests were used to test the hypothesis that the distribution of the PTSSC-15 and SDQ scores of parents and teachers were normal. Parametric test was used when normal distribution was assumed and nonparametric test was used when the distribution differed significantly from normality.

PTSSC-15 score, school level, and gender. A previous study showed that the following factors influence the relationship between environmental damage conditions and the PTSSC-15 scores: gender, age, house damage, evacuation experience, and bereavement experience. Therefore, children were divided into 3 grade groups: elementary school students (first to third grade), elementary school (fourth to sixth grade) students, and junior high school students (seventh to ninth grade). At each school level and in each gender, the median PTSSC-15 score and an interquartile range were determined.

SDQ scores of parents, school level, and gender. At 2 school levels (middle and junior high) and for both genders of the children, the parents' SDQ median scores were determined (a child's emotional problems, conduct problems, hyperactivity/inattention, peer relationship problems, the total difficulty score, and prosocial behavior).

SDQ scores of teachers, school level, and gender. At 2 school levels (middle and junior high) and for all children, the teachers' SDQ median scores were determined (a child's emotional problems, conduct problems, hyperactivity/inattention, peer relationship problems, the total difficulty score, and prosocial behavior).

Comparison between the parents' and teachers' SDQ scores. This was done separately for boys and girls by means of 2-way analysis of variance.

Correlations of the children’s PTSSC-15 scores with the SDQ scores of parents and teachers. Spearman's correlation coefficients were calculated to assess if the self-rated problems (PTSSC-15 scores of the children) correlated with other-rated problems (the SDQ scores assigned by parents and teachers). In all tests, the significance level was 0.05 with 2-tailed analysis. All calculations were performed using PASW 18.0 and Prism 5 for Mac.

Results

PTSSC-15 Scores Based on School Level and Gender

Table 1 shows the PTSSC-15 scores after 20 months for each school level and gender. The PTSSC-15 score was significantly higher (P<0.001) in girls than in boys in junior high schools. These effect sizes were less than 0.30.

SDQ Scores of Parents Based on School Level and Gender

The average SDQ scores of parents were compared among school levels and between genders of the children (Table 2). The “emotional symptoms” score in girls was significantly higher than that in boys [F(1, 6905) = 52.37, P<0.001]. The “conduct problems” score and “total difficulty score” in boys were significantly higher than those in girls [F(1, 7082) = 29.37, P<0.001; F(1, 7082) = 18.69, P<0.001, respectively]. The “prosocial behavior” score in girls was significantly higher than that in boys [F(1, 7082) = 107.7, P<0.001]. Four subscores (excluding “peer relationship problems”) in elementary school (fourth to sixth grade) students were significantly higher than those in junior high school [F(1, 6905) = 26.72, P<0.001; F(1, 7082) = 66.09, P<0.001; F(1, 7082) = 60.97, P<0.001; F(1,
Table 4. Differences between SDQ scores by raters and by gender.

| SDQ                          | Gender       | Boys                  | Girls                 | F     | P      |
|------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------|--------|
|                              |              | M        | SD     | N     | M        | SD     | N     | Gender × Rater | P       |
| 4th–6th Emotional problems   | Parent       | 1.9     | 1.9    | 1777  | 2.1     | 2.2    | 1795 | Gender × Rater | 5.29    | *      |
|                              | Teacher      | 1.0     | 1.6    | 1865  | 1.0     | 1.7    | 1848 | Gender         | 5.29    | *      |
| 4th–6th Conduct problems     | Parent       | 2.2     | 1.7    | 1771  | 2.0     | 1.5    | 1795 | Gender × Rater | 45.16   | ***    |
|                              | Teacher      | 1.5     | 1.8    | 1865  | 0.8     | 1.3    | 1848 | Gender         | 146.3   | ***    |
| 4th–6th Hyperactivity/inattention | Parent    | 3.8     | 2.3    | 1771  | 3.8     | 2.1    | 1795 | Gender × Rater | 278.0   | ***    |
|                              | Teacher      | 3.6     | 2.8    | 1865  | 1.8     | 1.9    | 1848 | Gender         | 278.0   | ***    |
| 4th–6th Peer relationship problems | Parent     | 2.0     | 1.8    | 1771  | 2.0     | 1.7    | 1795 | Gender × Rater | 6.102   | *      |
|                              | Teacher      | 1.6     | 1.8    | 1865  | 1.4     | 1.6    | 1848 | Gender         | 6.102   | *      |
| 4th–6th Total difficulty score | Parent       | 9.8     | 5.5    | 1771  | 9.0     | 5.5    | 1795 | Gender × Rater | 55.02   | ***    |
|                              | Teacher      | 7.7     | 5.9    | 1865  | 5.0     | 4.9    | 1848 | Gender         | 186.7   | ***    |
| 4th–6th Prosocial behavior   | Parent       | 5.9     | 2.0    | 1771  | 6.4     | 2.0    | 1795 | Gender × Rater | 31.01   | ***    |
|                              | Teacher      | 5.2     | 2.6    | 1865  | 6.3     | 2.5    | 1848 | Gender         | 220.5   | ***    |
| 7th–9th Emotional problems   | Parent       | 1.5     | 1.8    | 1655  | 2.0     | 2.1    | 1682 | Gender × Rater | 19.22   | ***    |
|                              | Teacher      | 1.1     | 1.8    | 1828  | 1.2     | 1.9    | 1800 | Gender         | 43.23   | ***    |
| 7th–9th Conduct problems     | Parent       | 1.9     | 1.3    | 1838  | 1.7     | 1.5    | 1682 | Gender × Rater | 7.344   | **     |
|                              | Teacher      | 1.4     | 1.8    | 1828  | 1.0     | 1.4    | 1800 | Gender         | 66.10   | ***    |
| 7th–9th Hyperactivity/inattention | Parent    | 3.4     | 2.2    | 1838  | 3.4     | 2.0    | 1682 | Gender × Rater | 146.1   | ***    |
|                              | Teacher      | 3.3     | 2.7    | 1828  | 2.0     | 2.1    | 1800 | Gender         | 146.1   | ***    |
| 7th–9th Peer relationship problems | Parent     | 2.0     | 1.7    | 1838  | 2.0     | 1.7    | 1682 | Gender × Rater | 1.498   | ns     |
|                              | Teacher      | 1.6     | 1.8    | 1828  | 1.5     | 1.7    | 1800 | Gender         | 1.498   | ns     |
| 7th–9th Total difficulty score | Parent       | 8.7     | 5.1    | 1838  | 8.4     | 5.3    | 1682 | Gender × Rater | 38.82   | ***    |
|                              | Teacher      | 7.4     | 6.0    | 1828  | 5.5     | 5.3    | 1800 | Gender         | 73.01   | ***    |
SDQ Scores of Teachers Based on School Level and Gender

The average SDQ scores of teachers were compared among school levels and between genders of the children (Table 3). “Conduct problems,” “hyperactivity/inattention,” “peer relationship problems,” and “total difficulty score” in boys were significantly higher than those in girls [F(1, 7337) = 278.0, P < 0.001; F(1, 7337) = 6.102, P < 0.05; F(1, 7337) = 146.3, P < 0.001; and F(1, 7337) = 186.7, P < 0.001, respectively]. The “prosocial behavior” score in girls was significantly higher than those in boys [F(1, 7337) = 220.5, P < 0.001]. “Emotional problems” in junior high school students were significantly more pronounced than those in elementary school (fourth to sixth grade) students [F(1, 7337) = 155.0, P < 0.001]. “Prosocial behavior” in elementary school (fourth to sixth grade) students was significantly better than that in junior high school students [F(1, 7337) = 24.42, P < 0.001].

SDQ Scores of Parents and Teachers Based on the Child’s Gender

The average SDQ scores assigned by parents and teachers were compared by rater and based on the child’s gender (Table 4). In elementary school (fourth to sixth grade) students, “emotional problems,” “conduct problems,” “hyperactivity/inattention,” and “total difficulty score” in boys were significantly higher than those in girls [F(1, 7281) = 5.259, P < 0.05; F(1, 7281) = 146.3, P < 0.001; F(1, 7281) = 278.0, P < 0.001; F(1, 7281) = 146.3, P < 0.001; and F(1, 7281) = 186.7, P < 0.001, respectively]. “Prosocial behavior” in girls was higher than that in boys [F(1, 7281) = 220.5, P < 0.001]. In junior high school students, “emotional problems,” “conduct problems,” “hyperactivity/inattention,” and “total difficulty score” in boys were significantly higher than those in girls [F(1, 7281) = 43.23, P < 0.001; F(1, 7281) = 66.10, P < 0.001; F(1, 7281) = 146.1, P < 0.001; and F(1, 7281) = 73.01, P < 0.001, respectively]. “Prosocial behavior” score in girls was significantly higher than that in boys [F(1, 7281) = 155.0, P < 0.001]. At all school levels, “emotional problems,” “conduct problems,” “hyperactivity/inattention,” and “total difficulty score” assigned by parents were significantly higher than those assigned by teachers (all F(1, 7281) > 55.14, P for all < 0.001).

Correlations between PTSSC-15 Scores and SDQ Scores Assigned by Parents and Teachers

Correlations between SDQ scores assigned by parents and teachers and the PTSSC-15 scores are shown in Table 5. The SDQ scores assigned by teachers were significantly low correlated with the PTSSC-15 scores in both genders and at all school levels (all r < 0.21, P for all < 0.001). Similarly, the SDQ scores assigned by parents (excluding “prosocial behavior”) were significantly low correlated with the PTSSC-15 scores in both genders and at all school levels (all r < 0.31, P for all < 0.001). The “prosocial behavior” scores assigned by parents were not correlated with the PTSSC-15 scores in both gender and at all school levels (r = −0.00, r = −0.02, r = −0.02, and r = −0.00, respectively).

Discussion

This study explored the associations between post-traumatic symptoms of children and the difficulties faced by their parents and teachers 20 months after the 2011 earthquake and tsunami. This study elucidated that the burden faced by parents and
Table 5. Relationships between SDQ scores of teachers and children’s PTSSC-15 scores.

| SDQ                      | Grade  | PTSSC-15 of parent | PTSSC-15 of teacher |
|--------------------------|--------|--------------------|---------------------|
|                          |        | Boys               | Girls               | Boys               | Girls               |
| Emotional problems       | 1th–3th| 0.13 ***           | 0.13 ***            | 0.13 ***           | 0.13 ***            |
|                          | 4th–6th| 0.31 ***           | 0.27 ***            | 0.17 ***           | 0.17 ***            |
|                          | 7th–9th| 0.25 ***           | 0.31 ***            | 0.21 ***           | 0.21 ***            |
| Conduct problems         | 1th–3th| 0.12 ***           | 0.12 ***            | 0.12 ***           | 0.12 ***            |
|                          | 4th–6th| 0.22 ***           | 0.21 ***            | 0.09 ***           | 0.09 ***            |
|                          | 7th–9th| 0.15 ***           | 0.19 ***            | 0.05 ***           | 0.05 ***            |
| Hyperactivity/inattention| 1th–3th| 0.15 ***           | 0.15 ***            | 0.15 ***           | 0.15 ***            |
|                          | 4th–6th| 0.22 ***           | 0.21 ***            | 0.15 ***           | 0.15 ***            |
|                          | 7th–9th| 0.15 ***           | 0.19 ***            | 0.11 ***           | 0.11 ***            |
| Peer relationship problems| 1th–3th| 0.13 ***           | 0.13 ***            | 0.13 ***           | 0.13 ***            |
|                          | 4th–6th| 0.23 ***           | 0.17 ***            | 0.13 ***           | 0.13 ***            |
|                          | 7th–9th| 0.17 ***           | 0.17 ***            | 0.14 ***           | 0.14 ***            |
| Total difficulty score   | 1th–3th| 0.18 ***           | 0.18 ***            | 0.18 ***           | 0.18 ***            |
|                          | 4th–6th| 0.34 ***           | 0.29 ***            | 0.19 ***           | 0.19 ***            |
|                          | 7th–9th| 0.24 ***           | 0.30 ***            | 0.16 ***           | 0.16 ***            |
| Prosocial behavior       | 1th–3th| – 0.08 *           | – 0.08 *            | – 0.08 *           | – 0.08 *            |
|                          | 4th–6th| 0.00 *             | – 0.02 *            | – 0.04 *           | – 0.04 *            |
|                          | 7th–9th| – 0.02 *           | – 0.00 *            | – 0.06 *           | – 0.06 *            |

*p < 0.05, **p < 0.001, ***p < 0.0001.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0096459.t005
teachers while handling child survivors significantly low correlate with the child’s post-traumatic symptoms. The main hypothesis (that the above associations exist) was rejected after a careful analysis of the data. Our results imply that after a major disaster, relying on a self-rating questionnaire as the only screening tool for PTSD may result in an inflated number of children who appear to be at high risk of PTSD. However, most children who appear to be at a high risk of PTSD may not experience problems in their daily activities, according to our findings. The diagnostic criteria of PTSD in DSM-IV include substantial difficulties in day-to-day activities as a result of the post-traumatic symptoms. Thus, despite the large number of children who experience post-traumatic symptoms and stressful experiences after a big natural disaster, only a few of them may qualify for a diagnosis of PTSD.

The minor hypothesis that the difficulties with children between parents and teachers were significantly different was confirmed. The difficulties faced by parents were significantly more than those faced by teachers. This result may be explained by the fact that parents take care of children at home whereas teachers interact with children only at school: different times of the day and different duration of the interactions. We also uncovered substantial differences in post-traumatic symptoms and in adult’s difficulties that are related to the gender and age of the children. The adult’s difficulties faced by parents and teachers while handling child survivors did not correlate with post-traumatic symptoms of these children. Therefore, these children would not have diagnosis of PTSD. Our findings are expected to improve the diagnosis of PTSD in pediatric population, especially those affected by natural disasters.

Limitations

This study was a survey with a self-rating questionnaire carried out in only 1 district in Japan and it is therefore impossible to calculate the morbidity of PTSD in children after the 2011 Japanese earthquake and tsunami based on the results of this survey. Therefore, this study is insufficient as an epidemiological survey for psychiatric diagnosis. Examinations by child psychiatrists using operational diagnostic criteria and structured interviews are necessary for accurate psychiatric diagnosis. In addition, the results of this study on children in Ishinomaki City do not reflect all characteristics of children who experienced the 2011 Japanese earthquake and tsunami.

Conclusion

This study elucidated that the burden faced by parents and teachers while handling child survivors did significantly low correlate with post-traumatic symptoms of these children. The difficulties experienced while handling these children were significantly more for the parents than for the teachers. This indicates that clinicians should not only evaluate post-traumatic symptoms with a self-rating questionnaire but also try to objectively evaluate whether there were day-to-day difficulties caused by the post-traumatic symptoms.
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