Clinical characteristics of Meckel diverticulum in children
A retrospective review of a 15-year single-center experience
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Abstract

Meckel diverticulum is the most prevalent congenital abnormality of the gastrointestinal tract in children. The aim of this study was to review and analyze clinical data on the diagnosis and management of Meckel diverticulum in pediatric patients. The records of 102 pediatric patients (<14 years old) who underwent surgery for Meckel diverticulum at our institute between 2001 and 2015 were reviewed. Clinical, imaging, laboratory, surgical, and pathological data were recorded. The series comprised 65 males and 37 females with a median age of 5.6 years. Lower gastrointestinal bleeding was the most frequently identified clinical manifestation of Meckel diverticulum, and this manifestation was observed in 41 patients. Intussusception secondary to Meckel diverticulum was identified in 32 patients. Twelve patients presented clinical features of peritonitis; of these patients, 8 had perforated Meckel diverticulum and 4 had Meckel diverticulitis. In 10 patients, Meckel diverticulum was incidentally diagnosed during other surgeries, including appendectomy and neonatal enterostomy. Seven patients were diagnosed with intestinal obstruction. Technetium-99m pertechnetate imaging offered high diagnostic yield. Open surgery was performed on 59 patients, while a laparoscopic approach was employed in 35 patients. The remaining 8 patients did not undergo resection of the Meckel diverticulum. Histology revealed ectopic gastric mucosa in 42 patients (44.7%), ectopic pancreatic tissue in 35 patients (37.2%), mucosa of the small intestine in 15 patients (16.0%), and both gastric and pancreatic ectopic tissue in 2 patients (2.1%). All patients recovered uneventfully except 2 patients in whom an intestinal adhesion obstruction was identified after discharge. Meckel diverticulum had various clinical manifestations in children. Technetium-99m pertechnetate imaging may be useful for diagnosing Meckel diverticulum. Surgical excision of the Meckel diverticulum may be safe and effective in symptomatic patients, and relatively better outcomes can be achieved using this approach.

Abbreviations: CT = computed tomography, US = ultrasonography.
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1. Introduction

Meckel diverticulum is the most common congenital malformation of the gastrointestinal tract and occurs secondary to persistence of the congenital vitello-intestinal duct.[1] Embryologically, Meckel diverticulum is caused by failure of closure of the vitelline duct at the fifth week of fetal growth. This condition can cause many complications, such as hemorrhage, intussusception, intestinal obstruction and perforation, especially in children.[2] Because Meckel diverticulum is rarely observed in children, the condition remains frequently misdiagnosed during the preoperative period. It is important for pediatric surgeons to be well aware of its possible presentations. When the condition is correctly diagnosed and properly treated at an early stage, Meckel diverticulum is curable in children. However, at present, only a few studies evaluating pediatric Meckel diverticulum have been published, and these publications have mainly consisted of small case series and case reports. To better understand the clinical characteristics and management of pediatric Meckel diverticulum, we reviewed a series of 102 patients with Meckel diverticulum who were treated at our institute over the 15-year period between 2001 and 2015.

2. Patients and methods

From January 2001 to December 2015, a total of 102 patients (<14 years old) with Meckel diverticulum were treated at the Second Affiliated Hospital and Yuying Children’s Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University (Wenzhou, China). Data for all clinical, radiological, laboratory, and pathological findings were collected from the department’s database.
Clinical manifestations
Age, y
Gender
Clinical features of 102 patients with Meckel diverticulum.

| Clinical manifestations | Number | Proportion, % |
|-------------------------|--------|---------------|
| Bleeding                | 41     | 40.2          |
| Intussusception         | 32     | 31.4          |
| Peritonitis             | 12     | 11.7          |
| Incidental finding      | 10     | 9.8           |
| Intestinal obstruction  | 7      | 6.9           |

Operation type
- Open: 59 (57.8%)
- Laparoscopic: 35 (34.4%)
- No resection: 8 (7.8%)

Table 1

| Mucosa type              | Number | Proportion, % |
|--------------------------|--------|---------------|
| Gastric                  | 42     | 44.7          |
| Pancreatic               | 35     | 37.2          |
| Small intestine          | 15     | 16.0          |
| Gastric and pancreatic   | 2      | 2.1           |

Table 2

3. Results

From 2001 to 2015, 65 male and 37 female pediatric patients were diagnosed with Meckel diverticulum based on surgical findings. The clinical characteristics of these Meckel diverticulum patients are shown in Table 1. The ages of the patients ranged from 1 month to 14 years, with a median age of 5.6 years. Of the cases, 52.0% were ≤4 years of age, 35.3% were between 5 and 9 years of age, and 12.7% were between 10 and 14 years of age.

The clinical manifestations of Meckel diverticulum were various in nature. The most common presentation of Meckel diverticulum was lower gastrointestinal bleeding, which was observed in 41 patients (40.2%). Second, Meckel diverticulum also served as a pathological lead point for intussusception in 32 patients. Twelve patients presented clinical features of peritonitis; of these patients, 8 had perforated Meckel diverticulum and 4 had Meckel diverticulitis. Ten patients with Meckel diverticulum were incidentally diagnosed during other surgeries including 8 appendectomies and 2 neonatal enterostomies. Seven patients were diagnosed with intestinal obstruction.

Ultrasonography (US) was performed on all patients, but none displayed evidence of Meckel diverticulum. Forty-eight patients had undergone a computed tomography (CT) scan, but only 3 patients had suspected Meckel diverticulum revealed using this imaging approach. All 41 patients with lower gastrointestinal bleeding were examined by technetium-99m pertechnetate imaging. Among these patients, 34 (82.9%) had positive results. Routine blood tests were also performed on all patients, with elevated white blood cell counts identified in 59 (57.8%) patients.

Resection of the Meckel diverticulum and intestinal anastomosis were offered to 94 patients. Fifty-nine patients were subjected to open surgery using an abdominal approach, while a laparoscopic approach was used for surgical resection in 35 patients. Ten patients had incidentally diagnosed Meckel diverticulum. Resection of the Meckel diverticulum was performed on 2 patients. The size of the Meckel diverticulum ranged from 1.5 cm to 0.5 cm to 2 cm × 3 cm. All of the Meckel diverticulum were located in the distal ileum and within 100 cm of the ileocecal valve. Of the 94 patients who underwent resection of the Meckel diverticulum, histology revealed ectopic gastric mucosa in 42 patients (44.7%), ectopic pancreatic tissue in 35 patients (37.2%), mucosa of the small intestine in 15 patients (16.0%), and both gastric and pancreatic ectopic tissue in 2 patients (2.1%) (Table 2).

All patients recovered uneventfully except 2 patients in whom an intestinal adhesion obstruction was identified approximately 2 and 7 months after discharge, both of whom were cured after conservative treatment. Eight patients who did not undergo resection of the Meckel diverticulum also had no symptoms identified during long-time follow-up.

4. Discussion

Meckel diverticulum was first described in a paper published in 1809 by Johann Friedrich Meckel, who described this congenital abnormality as a remnant of the omphalomesenteric duct. The incidence of Meckel diverticulum in the general population has been estimated at approximately 2%, but reports from autopsy and retrospective studies range from 0.14% to 4.5%. Meckel diverticulum is more common in males than females, with the previously reported male to female ratio ranging from 2:1 to 4:1. In children, large proportion of Meckel diverticulum cases occur in those younger than 2 years of age, with the most common presentation in patients below the age of 10 years, and bleeding was commonly observed in patients below the age of 20 years. Park et al.[11] found that clinical presentation differed between older and younger patients, and the most common presentation in a child was obstruction, while bleeding in an adult. Blevrakis et al.[12] reported peritonitis to be the most common symptomatic presentation of Meckel diverticulum in children. Rattan et al.[13] showed intestinal obstruction to be the most common complication of this abnormality in their study. However, in our series, the most common presentation of Meckel diverticulum was lower gastrointestinal bleeding, followed by intussusception which was rare in adult patients. Peritonitis and intestinal obstruction were observed in 12 and 7 patients, respectively. Patients who presented clinical features of peritonitis and intestinal obstruction were more likely to be preoperatively misdiagnosed. Some studies have shown that symptomatic Meckel diverticulum, defined as cases in which the surgeon believed that the diverticulum was the main contributing factor to preoperative diagnosis, was only observed in 4% to 6% of patients.[8,14] However, in our series, 10 patients with Meckel diverticulum were incidentally diagnosed during operations.
including 8 appendectomy and 2 neonatal enterostomy. We also found that Meckel diverticulum was not associated with the preoperative diagnosis in these patients.

Preoperative diagnosis of Meckel diverticulum may be difficult. The diagnosis of this condition cannot be made by plain radiographs or US. CT scans have been found to be often nonspecific but occasionally helpful.\[15,16\] The most useful method for Meckel diverticulum detection has been identified as technetium-99m pertechnetate imaging, which relies upon the determination of technetium-99m uptake by the ectopic gastric mucosa. Data suggest that this method has a sensitivity of 80% to 90%, a specificity of 95% and an accuracy of 90% in children.\[17\]

In our series, 41 patients with lower gastrointestinal bleeding were examined by technetium-99m pertechnetate imaging, and 34 patients (82.9%) had positive results. However, there is no routine technetium-99m pertechnetate imaging protocol for emergent patients who do not present with lower gastrointestinal bleeding. It is necessary to improve auxiliary examinations before the operation. This can not only preoperatively identify the etiology but also avoid intraoperative omission.

In our study, surgical resection played a central role in the management of symptomatic Meckel diverticulum. The traditional procedure is open diverticulectomy or segmental bowel resection and anastomosis, depending on the length of the Meckel diverticulum and the location of the ectopic mucosa. Common ectopic mucosa locations include the gastric and pancreatic tissues. Other less common locations include the colonic, duodenal, and biliary tissues.\[18,19\] In our series, postoperative histology revealed the presence of ectopic gastric mucosa in 42 patients (44.7%), ectopic pancreatic tissue in 35 patients (37.2%), mucosa of the small intestine in 15 patients, and both gastric and pancreatic ectopic tissue in 2 patients. In recent years, laparoscopic surgery has also been recognized as a safe and minimally invasive surgical technique associated with short hospital stays and minimal complication rates.\[20–22\] Laparoscopy is not only a useful diagnostic method but also a therapeutic tool, especially in cases of bleeding Meckel diverticulum. It remains controversial whether all incidentally diagnosed Meckel diverticula should be resected. Some authors have promoted the removal of all asymptomatic Meckel diverticulum because of the high risk of subsequent complications and low risk associated with resection.\[23,24\] Some authors have advocated resection only in selected cases of Meckel diverticulum, such as who are suspected of having ectopic gastric mucosa or forming adhesive bands.\[25,26\] In our series, open diverticulectomy was performed on 2 patients who were incidentally diagnosed with Meckel diverticulum in accordance with the patients’ requests. Both of these patients recovered well without any postoperative complications. The remaining 8 patients who had not undergone resection of the Meckel diverticulum also had no symptoms identified during long-time follow-up. Of the other patients, 2 patients were diagnosed with an intestinal adhesion obstruction approximately 2 and 7 months after discharge, both of whom were cured after conservative treatment.

This study is associated with some limitations. It is a retrospective review, and some patients’ records are incomplete, including some auxiliary examinations. Multicenter studies are needed to fully assess the safe and effective management of Meckel diverticulum in future.

5. Conclusion
Meckel diverticulum presented various clinical manifestations in children. Technetium-99m pertechnetate imaging may be useful for diagnosing Meckel diverticulum. Surgical excision of the Meckel diverticulum may be safe and effective in symptomatic patients, and relatively better outcomes can be achieved by using this approach. Surgery may serve as an alternative treatment for asymptomatic Meckel diverticulum in children.
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