Multiplex qPCR for reliable detection and differentiation of *Burkholderia mallei* and *Burkholderia pseudomallei*
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**Abstract**

**Background:** *Burkholderia mallei* and *B. pseudomallei* are two closely related species of highly virulent bacteria that can be difficult to detect. Pathogenic *Burkholderia* are endemic in many regions worldwide and cases of infection, sometimes brought by travelers from unsuspected regions, also occur elsewhere. Rapid, sensitive methods for identification of *B. mallei* and *B. pseudomallei* are urgently needed in the interests of patient treatment and epidemiological surveillance.

**Methods:** Signature sequences for sensitive, specific detection of pathogenic *Burkholderia* based on published genomes were identified and a qPCR assay was designed and validated.

**Results:** A single-reaction quadruplex qPCR assay for the detection of pathogenic *Burkholderia*, which includes a marker for internal control of DNA extraction and amplification, was developed. The assay permits differentiation of *B. mallei* and *B. pseudomallei* strains, and probit analysis showed a very low detection limit. Use of a multicopy signature sequence permits detection of less than 1 genome equivalent per reaction.

**Conclusions:** The new assay permits rapid detection of pathogenic *Burkholderia* and combines enhanced sensitivity, species differentiation, and inclusion of an internal control for both DNA extraction and PCR amplification.
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**Background**

The ubiquitous Proteobacterial genus *Burkholderia* includes several animal and plant pathogens. Two closely related *Burkholderia* species cause severe, potentially fatal disease in humans. *Burkholderia mallei* is an obligate mammalian pathogen that causes glanders, a disease that is found in much of the world apart from North America, Europe and Australia. The disease mainly affects solipeds, but transmission to humans is possible through direct contact with animals and aerosols. Naturally infected human cases are reported only sporadically, but the causative agent is highly pathogenic under laboratory conditions and there have been several reports of laboratory-acquired infections [1].

*Burkholderia pseudomallei* is present in the environment and is a facultative pathogen that causes melioidosis, a glanders-like disease. It is a disease of humans and animals in all tropical and sub-tropical regions, but particularly South and Southeast Asia and northern Australia. Cases, included those brought by travelers, also occur outside endemic regions [2,3]. Glanders and melioidosis cause diagnostic problems in endemic regions, and even more so when imported into non-endemic areas due to a lack of awareness of these diseases there.

The variety of clinical manifestations means that the diagnosis of melioidosis or glanders cannot be based on symptomatic evidence alone and currently requires cultivation of the causative agent. This is a time-consuming process, and even more time is needed to confirm the species involved by means of biochemical tests. Moreover,
misidentification due to the use of rapid biochemical methods has been reported [4,5].

Timely recognition of \textit{B. mallei} and \textit{B. pseudomallei} is vital for appropriate therapy, since both pathogens cause rapidly progressive diseases and are resistant to several antibiotics. These features, together with the relative ease with which these pathogens can be obtained and transmitted, the difficulties experienced in diagnosing the resultant diseases, and the fact that no effective protection through vaccination exists, have put them in the highest risk category of biothreat agents (classified as 'Tier 1' under the revised US select agents regulations, \url{http://www.selectagents.gov}). It is thus vital to have fast, sensitive methods for the identification of \textit{B. mallei} and \textit{B. pseudomallei}, both for patient treatment and for epidemiological surveillance and forensic investigation in the event of their deliberate release.

Several molecular tests using different detection platforms have been described for this purpose [6,7]. A real-time single-reaction assay for detection would however permit faster detection with less effort. Such assays for the detection and differentiation of \textit{B. mallei} and \textit{B. pseudomallei}, based on duplex hydrolysis probes for allelic discrimination, were recently described [6,8]. These assays did not include internal controls for DNA extraction and PCR amplification, however. Moreover, reliance on one signature sequence for detection of pathogenic \textit{Burkholderia} may not be sufficiently specific, since \textit{B. pseudomallei} and \textit{B. mallei} display considerable genomic plasticity [9,10] and emerging novel strains will continue to challenge the coverage and sensitivity of these assays.

We have developed a single-reaction quadruplex qPCR assay for rapid, reliable detection of pathogenic \textit{Burkholderia}. The assay combines enhanced sensitivity based on use of a specific multicycopy sequence shared by both species, robust differentiation based on use of two different species-specific signature sequences, and enhanced reliability due to the incorporation of a marker that serves as internal control for DNA extraction and PCR amplification.

**Methods**

**Design of primers and probes for multiplex hydrolysis probe assay**

Both completed and unfinished genomes from \textit{B. mallei} (10) and \textit{B. pseudomallei} (29) available from public databases were analyzed by using the software package Kodon for management and analysis of sequences (\url{www.applied-maths.com}) and the insignia web tool (\url{http://insignia.cbcb.umd.edu}). Several potential signature sequences were identified for these organisms. The transposase ISBma2 was present in about 40–50 copies in \textit{B. mallei} and about 5 copies in \textit{B. pseudomallei}. Although this transposase has homologues in other organisms, a region of approximately 150 bp could be identified, which is present exclusively in \textit{B. mallei} and \textit{B. pseudomallei}, and not in \textit{B. oklahomensis}. In addition, several unique signature sequences for differentiation of \textit{B. mallei} and \textit{B. pseudomallei} were identified. Out of these, the longest unique sequences were selected for primer and probe design. Both signature sequences corresponded to hypothetical proteins. The \textit{B. pseudomallei} signature sequence psu corresponded to locus BPSS1387 in the published genome of strain K96243 (Genebank accession number BX571966). This gene codes for a putative acetyltransferase, which is part of the type III secretion system-associated gene cluster. \textit{B. mallei} signature sequence mau corresponded to locus BMA2524 in the published genome of strain ATCC 23344 (Genebank accession number CP000010). This gene codes for a phage integrase family protein.

The Cry1 gene of \textit{Bacillus thuringiensis} was used as a signature sequences for the detection of this organism. Addition of these highly refractory spores to the assays served as internal control for DNA isolation and amplification (see also [11,12]). The software package Visual OMP (\url{www.DNAsoftware.com}) was used to design a 4-target real-time PCR, as was described before [12]. An initial design yielded an unexpectedly high Cq for the multiplex sequence for two \textit{B. pseudomallei} isolates (NCTC 4845 and NCTC 12939 T). Sequence analysis revealed a variation at one position of the probe annealing site, and a degeneracy was introduced to cover all strain variants (Table 1).

**PCR and real-time qPCR**

Oligonucleotides were synthesized by Biolegio (Biolegio, Nijmegen, the Netherlands).

All qPCR reactions were carried out in a final volume of 20 µl containing iQ Multiplex Powermix (Bio-Rad, Veenendaal, the Netherlands), 200 nM of each primer and 100–300 nM hydrolysis probes and 3 µl of DNA template. Probe concentrations had been optimized to yield minimal spectral overlap between fluorescence level of the reporter dyes for each target in a multiplex assay and were 100, 200, 300 and 300 nM for FAM, JOE, CFR590 and Cy5 labeled probes respectively. The thermal cycling conditions were as follows: first enzyme activation at 95°C for 5 min, followed by amplification and detection by 45 thermocycles at 95°C for 5 sec and 60°C for 35 sec. Each real-time qPCR experiment included a negative (no template) control. Measurements were carried out on a LightCycler 480 (Roche, Almere, the Netherlands). Analyses were performed on the instruments software: LightCycler 480 Software release 1.5.0. SP3 and Cq values were calculated using the second derivative method. Color compensation was carried out according to the manufacturers’ guidelines.
Bacterial isolates and genomic DNA preparation
The detection limits and specificities of the assays were evaluated using genomic materials from the bacterial strains and other sources displayed in Table 2. More details about the source and handling of the materials can be found in [12]. Lysates from the clinical isolates designated BD (Table 2) were prepared by boiling colonies cultivated on blood agar plates in water for 30 min. Autopsy materials were obtained from a melioidosis patient. A QIAamp DNA Mini kit (Qiagen, Crawley, UK) was used to extract DNA from liver, spleen, lung and prostate tissue samples. Spore suspensions of *B. thuringiensis* strain ATCC 29730 (var. galleriae Heimpel) that were used as internal controls, were obtained from Raven Biological Laboratories (Omaha, Nebraska, USA). These washed spores were counted by microscopy and then aliquotted and stored at 4°C. The amount of spores that needs to be added to samples to obtain suitable Cq values for this internal control must be determined empirically for each stock spore suspension. Ten-fold serial dilutions were made from the spore stock and DNA was extracted from 50 μl portions of each dilution by using the NucliSENS Magnetic Extraction Reagents (bioMérieux). The developed real-time qPCR assays were used to determine the amount of spores required for a Cq value between 32 and 35.

Limit of detection, efficiency, repeatability and internal control dynamic range
Characterization of qPCR performance was guided by the MIQE guidelines [13]. Validations were carried out using genomic DNA that was purified from culture lysates. Detection limits (LOD) for genomic DNA were determined by using purified DNA from cultures of *B. mallei* strain NCTC 10229 and *B. pseudomallei* strain NCTC 10276. The concentration of purified genomic DNA was measured by using the Quant-it™ PicoGreen dsDNA detection kit (Invitrogen) and a Fluoroskan Ascent Microplate fluorometer (Thermo Scientific). Serial dilutions of genomic DNA were used to calculate LODs from the proportion of positive qPCRs at each dilution. Four replicates of 10 serial dilutions of genomic DNA were measured by qPCR. Based on the results, an additional measurement was performed on 4 replicates of 10 novel serial dilutions. The measurements included at least one dilution with all replicates positive and one with all replicates negative. A probit analysis was performed using SPSS Statistics 19.0.0 to calculate the DNA concentration that could be measured with 95% probability. Efficiency and repeatability were calculated from the log-linear portion of the calibration curve, covering 6 orders of magnitude. Four replicate measurements were obtained from each dilution. Because the variation in Cqs at the lowest template concentration was relatively high, these values were excluded from the calculations.

To investigate the concentration range of internal control *B. thuringiensis* DNA that could be added to *Burkholderia* DNA without interfering with the detection of low pathogen concentrations, a dilution series of the internal control was made in the presence of a constant and low concentration of the pathogens. Genomic DNA from *Burkholderia mallei* or *B. pseudomallei* (14 and 48 fg/reaction, respectively) was mixed with serial dilutions from genomic DNA from *B. thuringiensis* (1.3·10³–1.3·10⁶ fg/reaction). These DNA mixtures were amplified in triplicate by using the developed qPCR assays and the Cq values were plotted to investigate possible inhibition.

Results
Three signature sequences were developed to permit sensitive detection and differentiation of *B. mallei* and *B. pseudomallei*. ISBma2 transposase is present in multiple copies in both species, thus enabling sensitive detection. Although homologs of this transposase occur in related organisms, a portion of this sequence was identified that is unique for *B. mallei* and *B. pseudomallei*. Two unique signature sequences (designated mau and
| Species          | Strain          | Strain details | Targets$^b$ |
|------------------|-----------------|----------------|------------|
|                  |                 |                | BuMC | psu  | mau  | cry1 |
| **Burkholderia** |                 |                |      |      |      |      |
| *mallei*         | NCTC 10229      | Bird, 1961     | 14.5 | -    | 19.2 | -    |
|                  | NCTC 10230      | Horse, 1961    | 14.6 | -    | 19.3 | -    |
|                  | NCTC 10245      | Horse, 1972    | 14.1 | -    | 18.8 | -    |
|                  | NCTC 10247      | Turkey, 1960   | 15.2 | -    | 19.8 | -    |
|                  | NCTC 10248      | Clinical isolate, Turkey, 1950 | 12.2 | - | 17.4 | - |
|                  | NCTC 10260      | Clinical isolate, Turkey, 1949 | 16.2 | - | 20.8 | - |
|                  | NCTC 120        | 1920           | 14.8 | -    | 19.4 | -    |
|                  | NCTC 3708       | Mule, India, 1932 | 14.8 | - 19.4 | - |
|                  | NCTC 3709       | Horse, India, 1932 | 15.0 | - 19.3 | - |
|                  | NCTC 12938 T    | Clinical isolate | 15.6 | - | 20.0 | - |
|                  |                 |                |      |      |      |      |
| **Burkholderia** |                 |                |      |      |      |      |
| *pseudomallei*   | NCTC 10274      | Clinical isolate, Kuala Lumpur, 1962 | 16.3 | 18.5 | - | - |
|                  | NCTC 10276      | Clinical isolate, 1962 | 16.5 | 19.0 | - | - |
|                  | NCTC 11642      | 14.7 | 18.2 | - | - |
|                  | NCTC 1688       | Rat, Malaysia, 1923 | 16.9 | 19.2 | - | - |
|                  | NCTC 4845       | infected laboratory monkey, Singapore, 1935 | - | 18.8 | - | - |
|                  | NCTC 4846       | infected laboratory monkey, Singapore, 1935 | 15.9 | 18.3 | - | - |
|                  | NCTC 6700       | Clinical isolate, 1942 | 15.9 | 18.7 | - | - |
|                  | NCTC 7383       | 1948           | 16.0 | 18.7 | - | - |
|                  | NCTC 7431       | 1948           | 16.0 | 18.3 | - | - |
|                  | NCTC 8016       | Sheep, Queensland, 1949 | 17.0 | 18.8 | - | - |
|                  | NCTC 8707       | Jordan, 1946   | 16.6 | 18.8 | - | - |
|                  | NCTC 8708       | Jordan, 1946   | 17.8 | 19.9 | - | - |
|                  | NCTC 12939 T    | Clinical isolate, USA, 1953 | - | 18.4 | - | - |
|                  | BD08-00100      | Clinical isolate, Netherlands, 2008$^c$ | 17.0 | 18.3 | - | - |
|                  | BD08-00103      | Clinical isolate, Netherlands, 2008 | 18.8 | 20.2 | - | - |
|                  | BD08-00268      | Clinical isolate, Netherlands, 2008 | 16.2 | 19.0 | - | - |
|                  | BD10-00211      | Clinical isolate, Netherlands, 2010 | - | 18.6 | - | - |
|                  | BD12-00016      | Clinical isolate, Netherlands, 2012 | 18.5 | 21.1 | - | - |
|                  | BD12-00217      | Clinical isolate, Netherlands, 2012 | 19.6 | 22.2 | - | - |
| **Burkholderia** |                 |                |      |      |      |      |
| *thailandensis* | DSM 13276       | Environmental sample, Thailand | - | - | - | - |
|                  | CIP 106301      | Soil, Thailand, 1994 | - | - | - | - |
|                  | CIP 106302      | - | - | - | - |
| **Bacillus anthracis** | NCTC 8234 | Weybridge, 1951 (Sterne) | - | - | - | - |
|                  | NCTC 10340      | Cow, Edinburgh, 1963 (Vollum) | - | - | - | - |
| **Francisella tularensis** | BD07-537 | Clinical isolate, Netherlands, 2007 | - | - | - | - |
| subsp. holarctica (B) |                |                |      |      |      |      |
| **Yersinia pestis** | Kenya 164 | Bovicar antiqua, Kenya, <1952 | - | - | - | - |
|                  | Harbin         | Bovicar mediaevalis, China, <1948 | - | - | - | - |
|                  | Madagascar 34-94 | Bovicar orientalis, Madagascar | - | - | - | - |
| **Bacillus atrophaeus** | ATCC 9372 | - | - | - | - |
| **Bacillus cereus** | ATCC 11778 | NCIB Aberdeen, 1962 | - | - | - | - |
| **Bacillus coagulans** | - | Purchased at Raven Labs, USA | - | - | - | - |
| **Bacillus megatentenium** | ATCC 8245 | - | - | - | - |
| **Bacillus pumilus** | ATCC 27142 | - | - | - | - |
| **Bacillus subtilis** | ATCC 6633 | - | - | - | - |
| **Bacillus thuringiensis** | ATCC 29730 | var. galleriae Heimpel | - | - | 20.4 | - |
| **Enterobacter cloacae** | NCTC 13168 | - | - | - | - |
| **Escherichia coli** | ATCC 25922 | Clinical isolate, 1946 | - | - | - | - |
| **Pseudomonas aeruginosa** | ATCC 15442 | - | - | - | - |
|                  | ATCC 27853 | Blood culture, 1969 | - | - | - | - |
| **Klebsiella pneumoniae** | BD05-258 | Clinical isolate, 2005 | - | - | - | - |
| **Salmonella enterica** | ATCC 14028 | Serovar Typhimurium. liver, 1987 | - | - | - | - |
| subsp. enterica | ATCC 13076 | Serovar Enteritidis | - | - | - | - |
| **Bos taurus** | 0469 | Netherlands, 2009 | - | - | - | - |
The multicopy sequence could not be detected in three of 12939 T and BD10-00211.

Clinical and eukaryote strains (Table 2). The assay was able to discriminate correctly between the two species. Clinical isolates from the melioidosis patient from whom B. pseudomallei strain BD10-00211 had been isolated, a number of close relatives of these organisms, and several other bacterial species differ between the two species [6,14]. Identification of and differentiation between the two pathogens could thus help healthcare workers to choose the right antibiotics to treat infected patients.

In regions where these species are endemic, infection with Burkholderia mallei or B. pseudomallei can often be pinpointed by consideration of prevalences and infection risk factors. The presence of the pathogens is less evident in non-endemic areas. Numerous cases of imported melioidosis have been reported [3,6], and are likely to continue to occur as travel and trade increase. Fast diagnostic methods are therefore essential for use in

### Table 2 Panel of organisms used for coverage and specificity analysis (Continued)

| Organism          | Tissue, Netherlands, 2009 | Blood, Netherlands, 2009 | Blood, Netherlands, 2009 | Tissue, Netherlands, 2010 | NCTC 4845 | NCTC 12939 T | NCTC10-00211 |
|-------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|------------|-------------|--------------|
| Chrysops relictus | I                          |                          |                          | -                          | -          | -           | -            |
| Homo sapiens      | Volunteer 8                | Blood, Netherlands, 2009 | -                        | -                          | -          | -           | -            |
|                   | Volunteer 10               | Blood, Netherlands, 2009 | -                        | -                          | -          | -           | -            |
| Equus ferus caballus |                          |                          |                          | -                          | -          | -           | -            |
| Mus musculus      |                            |                          |                          |                             | -          | -           | -            |
| Ovis aries        | Twello 67                  | Slaughterhouse, Netherlands, 2009 | -                        | -                          | -          | -           | -            |
| Rattus norvegicus | 08604                      | NCTC 12939 T | -                        | -                          | -          | -           | -            |
| Rattus rattus     | 08402                      | NCTC 12939 T | -                        | -                          | -          | -           | -            |
| Sus scrofa        | 566                        | Slaughterhouse, Netherlands, 2009 | -                        | -                          | -          | -           | -            |

### Table 3 Assay performance

| Organisma       | Target | Efficiency (%) | Linear range (fg/reaction) | Repeatability (SD of Cq)b | LOD gDNA (fg/reaction) |
|----------------|--------|----------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|
| B. mallei       | BuMC   | 99.0           | 1.4x10^5 – 1.4x10^6       | 0.072                     | 0.2                    |
|                 | mau    | 98.7           | 1.4x10^5 – 1.4x10^6       | 0.080                     | 4.5                    |
| B. pseudomallei | BuMC   | 96.6           | 1.1x10^5 – 1.1x10^6       | 0.085                     | 3.7                    |
|                 | psu    | 99.2           | 1.1x10^5 – 1.1x10^6       | 0.077                     | 57                     |

a Organism from which genomic DNA was used for assessment of assay performance.

b Values represent the average from the standard deviations calculated at 6 different dilutions from 4 replicate Cq measurements.

c Values displayed represent the lowest DNA concentration at which 95% of the positive samples are detected, as calculated by using probit analysis.
non-endemic areas, not only to support appropriate patient treatment but also to ensure the safety of laboratory workers culturing unknown organisms for diagnostic purposes. Another scenario in which rapid identification of pathogenic *Burkholderia* including species distinction is a challenging but essential task is that of deliberate release of these biothreat agents, where appropriate assay methods may be needed e.g. for forensic tracking.

The assay presented in this paper permits sensitive, reliable detection of pathogenic *Burkholderia*, thanks to the use of qPCR (real-time polymerase chain reaction). It reduces false-negative measurements, thanks to the inclusion of an internal control and the high sensitivity that was achieved.

The idea of adding spores from *B. thuringiensis* as internal controls was based on their properties as highly refractory biological structures. The detection of the *B. thuringiensis* signature sequence cry1 guarantees successful DNA extraction and amplification from any microorganism in the sample [11,12]. The target BuMC was used as a sensitive, specific indicator for the presence of pathogenic *Burkholderia* strains. The selection of this target based on its presence in multiple copies in all 21 publicly available genomes and its usefulness for sensitive detection was evidenced by the in vitro validation showing lower Cq values (Table 2). However, the benefit of more sensitive detection did not hold for all strains, since BuMC could not be amplified from three of the *B. pseudomallei* strains tested (Table 2). The

![Image](http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2334/13/86)

**Figure 1** Effect of increasing amounts of internal control on qPCR detection. A concentration range of genomic DNA from *B. thuringiensis* was mixed with a constant and low concentration of 14 fg *B. mallei* gDNA (A) or 48 *B. pseudomallei* DNA (B), and measured by using the developed multiplex qPCR.
absence of this target from the clinical samples is congruent with its absence from strain BD10-00211, which had been isolated from the patient from which the clinical samples were taken. It is possible that the rather diverse species *B. pseudomallei* [9] contains a phylogenetic cluster that has the absence of the targeted ISBma2 transposase gene as a common feature. Further research is required to substantiate this assumption, however.

We did not find any strains that were not detected by the species-specific signature sequences we developed. However, probe design is always limited by the available sequences and strains. Hence, the possibility that some strain exists or could arise which does not possess one of the signature sequences used in this assay cannot be excluded. This is true of any assay, however, and the multiplex qPCR assay described here has the advantage of possessing two signatures for each strain, thus reducing the risk that some strains will escape detection.

The measured linearity and efficiency show that the qPCR assay is very suitable for quantitative measurement. The calculated LODs were very low, particularly when based on multicopy target sequence BuMC. The detection limits of 0.2 fg per reaction for *B. mallei* and 3.7 fg per reaction for *B. pseudomallei* (Table 3) correspond to approximately 0.03 and 0.5 genomic equivalents respectively. The LODs were lower than or similar to those reported for other assays [6,8,14-19]. However, it is difficult to make a direct comparison between reported LODs due to the differences between the methods used to measure and calculate them, and to measure the DNA concentration of the standards. We used the DNA intercalating dye picogreen for accurate determination of the concentration of double-stranded DNA in our stocks and probit analysis as a basis for robust calculation of the concentration at which the probability of detecting the target is 95% [11].

The high reliability and sensitivity of the qPCR assay described here make it very useful for screening of samples containing few organisms and potential inhibitors, as is the case in many environmental and clinical samples. It can furthermore be used to supplement other assays, including molecular assays based on other signature sequences, for definitive identification. *Burkholderiaceae* are highly recombining organisms [6,9,10,18] and emerging novel strains will continue to challenge the coverage and sensitivity of detection assays. This qPCR assay offers the potential of continuing to meet this challenge effectively in the foreseeable future.

**Conclusions**

We designed an assay for rapid and reliable detection of pathogenic *Burkholderia spp*. The qPCR assay reduces false-negative measurements, due to the inclusion of an internal control and to the high sensitivity that was achieved. Based on a multicopy signature sequence, detection of less than 1 genome equivalent per reaction was possible. Species could be differentiated based on two species-specific signature sequences. The multiplex format limits sample handling, labor and cost per sample. This makes the assay very useful for reliable screening of environmental and clinical samples.
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