Comparative lead adsorptions in synthetic wastewater by synthesized zeolite A of recycled industrial wastes from sugar factory and power plant
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1. Introduction

Releasing of industrial wastewaters contaminated with heavy metals such as mercury, lead, cadmium, chromium is concerned because of their toxicity, persistence, and bioaccumulation to organisms through food chains [1,2]. Especially, lead is one of top three highly toxic heavy metals because it potential damages to human functional systems of central nervous, brain function, reproductive [3]. The source of lead discharging to the environment may be from industries of batteries, steels, pigments, paints, and plastic [4,5], so their effluents are necessary to treat before discharging to the receiving water which the lead concentration is required under 0.2 mg/L following USEPA standard [6].

Although chemical precipitation, oxidation-reduction, ion-exchange, membrane filtration, reverse osmosis are commonly used for heavy metal removals in wastewater [7,8], these methods have disadvantages of high operating costs, complicated operations, high energy-requiring [8,9]. While the adsorption may be a good offer with the simple and effective method including of reasonable cost for lead removal in wastewater [10]. Various types of commercial, natural, and wastes adsorbents are generally applied for lead removal in wastewater, and some previous studies were reported in Table 1. Activated carbon, carbon foam, and zeolite A are represented as commercial adsorbents, and natural adsorbents are fava beans, natural zeolite, and cocoa pod husk. Many types of agricultural waste adsorbents of oil palm frond, watermelon rind, herbaceous weed, coconut leaves, potato peel, pineapple peel fiber, food waste adsorbents of jackfruit peel activated carbon, eggshells, orange peel and industrial waste adsorbents of paper sludge, stainless steel slag, grape stalk waste, bagasse fly ash, coal fly ash are interesting choices for reducing of waste disposal loads by using of wastes as low-cost adsorbents along with improving of the water quality. Among these waste adsorbents, the industrial wastes of bagasse fly ash (BFA) and coal fly ash (CFA) which are large amounts of solid waste of sugar factory and power plant [11] are good options because using of these industrial wastes in adsorption processes.
other benefit purposes can resolve waste disposal problems along with idea of reducing demand of raw materials.

The main chemical elements of BFA and CFA are silicon (Si), aluminum (Al), and oxygen (O), and they also have four main chemical compounds which are silicon dioxide (SiO₂), aluminum oxide (Al₂O₃), iron (III) oxide (Fe₂O₃), and calcium oxide (CaO) with weight percentage of 20.85 wt%, 4.23 wt%, 5.25 wt% and 63.49 wt%, respectively for BFA [12], and 35.43 wt%, 22.82 wt%, 11.47 wt% and 20 wt%, respectively for CFA [13]. Therefore, they are suitable raw materials for possibly synthesis of zeolite-type adsorbents for heavy metal adsorptions in wastewater [14]. In case of heavy metal adsorbents, zeolite type A is preferred because of its good characteristics of microporous and numerous cavities [15], so it may obtain a high adsorption efficiency which zeolite A was also widely applied for heavy metal removals such as lead (Pb), cadmium (Cd), zinc (Zn), calcium (Ca) and copper (Cu) in many studies reported in Table 2. In Table 2, various raw materials of kaolin, textile waste ash, fly ash, and bagasse fly ash have been used for zeolite A synthesis with different synthesized methods of hydrothermal treatment and alkaline fusion, and their results also reported high adsorptions of heavy metals more than 95%. Therefore, it is possible to use waste materials of BFA and CFA for zeolite A synthesis and apply for lead removals in this study.

Two main steps of silicon (Si) and aluminum (Al) extractions by alkaline fusion method and zeolite synthesis by hydrothermal method are commonly recommended for zeolite synthesis [16–22]. However, a raw material is a key factor affecting to the quality of synthesized zeolite

### Table 1. A summary of lead adsorptions on various types of adsorbents.

| Adsorbent          | Type of adsorbent | Conditions | Results |
|--------------------|-------------------|------------|---------|
|                    |                   | Dose (g)   | Contact time (min) | pH | Concentration (mg/ L) | Volume (mL) | qₑₑ (mg/g) | Removal (%) |
| Activated carbon   | Commercial        | 5          | 1,440     | 6  | 50                    | 250         | 1428.57     | 98          |
| Carbon foam        | Commercial        | 0.03       | 1,440     | 7.0 | 50                    | 200         | 460.50      | 73.99       |
| Zeolite A          | Commercial        | 0.15       | 40        | 4.0 | 100                   | 50          | -           | 51.60       |
| Fava Beans         | Natural           | 0.002      | 40        | 4.5 | 1.23                  | 200         | -           | 29.64       |
| Natural zeolite    | Natural           | 1,000      | 1,440     | 5.2 | 1,375                 | 50          | 14.25       | -           |
| Cocoa pod husk     | Natural           | -          | 120       | 6.0 | -                     | -           | 20.10       | -           |
| Oil palm frond     | Agricultural waste| 2          | -         | 3.0 | 100                   | 50          | 5.32        | -           |
| Watermelon rind    | Agricultural waste| -          | -         | 5.0–6.8 | -                     | -           | 98.06       | 99          |
| Herbaceous weed    | Agricultural waste| -          | 120       | 6.0 | -                     | -           | -           | 78          |
| Coconut Leaves     | Agricultural waste| 0.003      | 40        | 4.5 | 1.23                  | 200         | -           | 26.01       |
| Potato peel        | Agricultural waste| -          | -         | 6.0 | -                     | -           | -           | 92          |
| Pineapple peel fiber | Agricultural waste | -         | -         | 5.6 | -                     | -           | 70.29       | -           |
| Jackfruit peel activated carbon | Food-waste | 5          | 1,440     | 50  | 250                   | 1,000       | 1,000       | 80          |
| Eggshells          | Food-waste        | 0.3        | 50        | 9.5 | 400                   | 350         | 923         | -           |
| Orange peel        | Food-waste        | -          | -         | -   | -                     | -           | 209.80      | -           |
| Paper sludge       | Industrial waste  | 0.1        | 1,440     | 6.5 | 460                   | 10          | -           | 100         |
| Stainless steel slag | Industrial waste  | 0.1        | 240       | 9.0 | 10                    | 10          | -           | >95         |
| Grape stalk waste  | Industrial waste  | 0.1        | -         | 5.5 | 0.241                 | 15          | 0.241       | -           |
| Bagasse fly ash    | Industrial waste  | -          | -         | -   | -                     | -           | 95–96       |
| Coal fly ash       | Industrial waste  | -          | -         | -   | -                     | -           | 0.22        | -           |

### Table 2. A summary of heavy metal adsorptions on zeolite A synthesized by various raw materials.

| Raw material          | Synthesis method | Conditions | Results |
|-----------------------|-------------------|------------|---------|
|                       |                   | Heavy metals | Dose (g) | Contact time (min) | pH | Concentration (mg/ L) | Volume (mL) | qₑₑ (mg/g) | Removal (%) |
| Kaolin                | Hydrothermal      | Pb²⁺       | 0.40     | 30          | -  | 100                   | -           | -           | 96          |
| Kaolin                | Alkaline fusion   | Pb²⁺       | 0.07     | 60          | 6.5 | 0.05                  | 25          | 14.64       | -           |
| Kaolin                | Alkaline fusion and Hydrothermal | Pb²⁺       | 0.40     | 30          | 7.5 | -                     | -           | -           | -           |
|                       |                   | Ca²⁺       | 0.80     |             |    |                       | -           | -           | -           |
|                       |                   | Cr²⁺       | 0.80     |             |    |                       | -           | -           | -           |
|                       |                   | Zn²⁺       | 0.80     |             |    |                       | -           | -           | -           |
|                       |                   | Ni²⁺       | 0.80     |             |    |                       | -           | -           | -           |
| Textile Waste Ash     | Hydrothermal      | Pb²⁺       | 1.00     | 30          | -  | 100                   | 100         | -           | 98–90       |
| Fly ash               | Alkaline fusion and Hydrothermal | Ca²⁺       | 5.00     | 30          | -  | -                     | -           | -           | 95          |
| Bagasse fly ash       | Hydrothermal      | Cu²⁺       | -        | -           | -  | -                     | -           | 142         | -           |
because of the different chemical compositions in a raw material. Therefore, a raw material is the first factor to consider before selecting of zeolite synthesis method to achieve high purity of zeolite adsorbent. The pretreatment of raw material is another important process to eliminate contaminated elements before synthesis of zeolite, and its method also depends on a raw material. Therefore, this study proposed the method modifications of synthesis zeolite from two industrial wastes to accomplish high quality of zeolite A adsorbents with possibly perfect cubic shape for environmental applications in the wastewater treatment.

In the present study, an attempt presented the effective zeolite A adsorbents by using of industrial wastes of sugar factory and power plant as low-cost adsorbents for lead removals in the aqueous solution to achieve both the water quality and waste management. The crystalline formations, surface morphologies, chemical compositions, chemical functional groups, size of surface area, pore size, and pore volume of synthesized zeolite A adsorbents were examined. The influences of dose, contact time, pH, and concentration for lead adsorptions by zeolite A adsorbents were investigated and compared by batch experiments. The surface charge of zeolite A materials were also investigated by zeta potential experiments. The adsorption equilibrium and adsorption mechanism of adsorbed leads on zeolite A adsorbents were also studied through adsorption isotherm and kinetic experiments.

Figure 1. Flow diagrams of zeolite A synthesis from (a) BFA and (b) CFA.
2. Materials and methods

2.1. Raw materials

Bagasse fly ash (BFA) and coal fly ash (CFA) were used as raw materials for this study. BFA was collected from a sugar factory located Khon Kaen province, Thailand, and CFA was collected from Mae Moh Power Plant, Lumphang province, Thailand.

2.2. Chemicals

All reagents were analytical grades (AR) which were used without the purification. 37% Hydrochloric acid (HCl) (RCI Labscan, Thailand) was used for the pretreatment of coal fly ash. Sodium hydroxide (NaOH) (Merck, Germany) and sodium aluminate (NaAlO₂) (Sigma-Aldrich, Germany) were used for zeolite synthesis. Lead nitrate (Pb(NO₃)₂) (QReC, New Zealand) was used for the synthetic wastewater preparation. 1% Sodium hydroxide (NaOH) (RCI Labscan, Thailand) and 1% nitric acid (HNO₃) (Merck, Germany) were used for pH Adjustments. Finally, commercial zeolite A (Sigma-Aldrich, Germany) was used as representative zeolite A standard (STD).

2.3. Zeolite A synthesis

The details of zeolite A synthesis from BFA and CFA were demonstrated in Figure 1, and were clearly explained step by step below:

2.3.1. Zeolite A synthesis from BFA

Zeolite A synthesis from BFA consisted of two steps which were the raw material pretreatment and zeolite A synthesis shown in Figure 1a. The purpose of pretreatment step by calcinations at 600 °C in a furnace (Vulcan, 3-1750, Canada) was to remove the contaminated elements such as rubidium (Rb), chromium (Cr), copper (Cu), chlorine (Cl) from a raw material in order to increase the mass of silicon (Si) element for zeolite A synthesis [40]. For zeolite A synthesis, the modified method from Moisés et al., 2013 [41] by alkaline fusion method followed by hydrothermal method was applied in this study. The details of two steps were explained below:

Step 1: Raw material pretreatment, 20 g of BFA were added to 50 mL of ceramic crucible and were burned by a multistage programmable furnace (Vulcan, 3-1750, Canada) at 600 °C for 4 h with an increasing of temperature with the ratio of 20 °C/min. Then, they were kept in desiccators until use called BFAH.

Step 2: Zeolite A synthesis, the first process of zeolite A synthesis is the stage of silicon (Si) and aluminum (Al) extractions, so the silicate solution and aluminate solution are needed to prepare prior to the stage of zeolite A synthesis by the hydrothermal method.

For the preparation of silicate solution by alkaline fusion method, 15 g of BFAH and 22.5 g of NaOH were added to a nickel crucible (United Plant, Lumpang province, Thailand.) and were heated by a furnace (Carbolite, CWF, Kingdom) in the range of 2θ = 5-45° was used to identify the crystalline formations of zeolite A adsorbents. The surface morphologies of zeolite A adsorbents were studied by Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) technique which was carried out on Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy and Focus Ion Beam (FESEM-FIB) (FEI, Helios NanoLab G3 CX, USA). The X-ray fluorescence (XRF) technique which was carried out on Rigaku wavelength dispersive X-ray fluorescence (WD-XRF) (Rigaku, ZSX Primus II, Tokyo) was used to determine the element compositions in mass percentage of zeolite A adsorbents. Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Nicolet 6700, USA) was used to investigate chemical functional groups of zeolite A adsorbents. The size of surface area, pore volume, and pore size of zeolite A adsorbents were analyzed by Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) technique by isothermal nitrogen gas (N₂) adsorption in the surface area & pore size analyzer (Quantachrome instrument, QUADRASORB evo™, AUSTRIA). Finally, the zeta potentials of zeolite A adsorbents were examined by the
laser zetameter (Malvern Instruments, Zetasizer Nano ZS system, United Kingdom).

2.5. Batch adsorption experiments

Batch adsorption experiments were designed to investigate the effects of dose, contact time, pH and concentration on lead removal efficiencies of ZBG and ZCF. Atomic Adsorption Spectrophotometer (AAS) (PerkinElmer, PinAAcle 900F, USA) was used for analyzing lead concentrations in all samples. The details of batch adsorption experiments were explained below:

2.5.1. Effect of dose

Lead removal efficiencies of ZBG and ZCF were investigated with seven different dosages of 0.005 g, 0.010 g, 0.015 g, 0.020 g, 0.025 g, 0.030 g and 0.035 g and the control condition of sample volume of 200 mL, lead concentration of 50 mg/L, shaking speed of 200 rpm, contact time for 5 h, and pH 5 to find the optimum adsorbent dosage of ZBG and ZCF. The lowest adsorbent dosages of ZBG and ZCF with the highest lead removal efficiencies were chosen as the optimum dose and were used to study the effect of contact time.

2.5.2. Effect of contact time

Lead removal efficiencies of ZBG and ZCF were studied with five different contact time values of 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 h and the control condition of sample volume of 200 mL, lead concentration of 50 mg/L, shaking speed of 200 rpm, pH 5, and the optimum doses of ZBG and ZCF from 2.5.1 to investigate the optimum contact time of ZBG and ZCF. The lowest contact time of ZBG and ZCF with the highest lead removal efficiencies were chosen as the optimum contact time and were used to study the effect of pH.

2.5.3. Effect of pH

Lead removal efficiencies of ZBG and ZCF were studied with six pH values of 1, 3, 5, 7, 9 and 11 which were representative acid, neutral, and base conditions and the control condition of sample volume of 200 mL, lead concentration of 50 mg/L, shaking speed of 200 rpm, and the optimum doses and contact time of ZBG and ZCF from 2.5.1 and 2.5.2 to examine the optimum pH of ZBG and ZCF. Although an acid condition is normally preferred for lead adsorption of many adsorbents [44], zeolite A adsorbents also need to investigate possibly lead adsorption in a strong acid or base condition of real wastewater applications such as battery industry wastewater for a strong acid condition of pH 1-2 [45,46] or textile industrial wastewater for a strong base condition of pH 9-11 [47]. The pH values of ZBG and ZCF with the highest lead removal efficiencies were chosen as the optimum pH and were used to study the effect of concentration.

2.5.4. Effect of concentration

Lead removal efficiencies of ZBG and ZCF were studied with seven concentrations of 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60 and 70 mg/L and the control condition of sample volume of 200 mL, shaking speed of 200 rpm, and the optimum dose, contact time, and pH from 2.5.1, 2.5.2, and 2.5.3 to explore the optimum concentrations of ZBG and ZCF. The concentrations of ZBG and ZCF with the highest lead removal efficiencies were chosen as the optimum concentration.

The percentage of lead removal efficiency and lead adsorption capacity of zeolite A adsorbent were calculated by Eqs. (1) and (2): [48, 49, 50].

Lead removal efficiency (%): %Removal = (C₀-Cₜ)/C₀ X 100 (1)

Lead adsorption capacity: qₑ = (C₀-Cₜ)V/m (2)

where C₀ is the equilibrium of lead concentration in the solution (mg/L), and Cₜ is the initial lead concentration (mg/L), qₑ is the amount of adsorbed lead on zeolite A adsorbent (mg/g), V is the sample volume (L), and m is the amount of zeolite A adsorbent (g).

2.6. Adsorption isotherms

Adsorption isotherm can be used to describe the interaction of lead solution with zeolite A adsorbent which is normally analyzed by using Langmuir and Freundlich isotherms following Eqs. (3) and (4) [50].

Langmuir isotherm: \( \frac{C_e}{q_e} = \frac{1}{q_m b} + \frac{C_e}{q_m} \) (3)

Freundlich isotherm: \( \log q_e = \log K_F + \frac{1}{n} \log C_e \) (4)

where \( C_e \) is the equilibrium of lead concentration (mg/L), \( q_e \) is the amount of lead adsorbed on zeolite A adsorbent (mg/g), \( b \) is the maximum amount of lead adsorption on zeolite A adsorbent (mg/g), \( K_F \) is the adsorption constant (L/mg), and \( 1/n \) is the constant depicting of the adsorption intensity.

For adsorption isotherm experiments, 0.02 g of ZBG or ZCF were added to 250 mL Erlenmeyer flasks with lead concentrations from 10 mg/L to 70 mg/L. The control conditions of ZBG and ZCF were the sample volume of 200 mL, contact time for 2 h of ZBG and 1 h of ZCF, pH 5, and shaking speed of 200 rpm.

2.7. Adsorption kinetics

The adsorption mechanism of lead adsorption on zeolite A adsorbent can be described by adsorption kinetics. Characteristic constants of adsorbent materials were investigated by using pseudo-first order (PFO) and pseudo-second order (PSO) kinetic models following Eqs. (5) and (6), respectively [27,51].

Pseudo-first-order kinetic model (PFO): \( \ln (q_e - q_t) = \ln q_e - k_1 t \) (5)

Pseudo-second-order kinetic model (PSO): \( \frac{t}{q_t} = \frac{t}{q_e} + \frac{1}{k_2 q_e^2} \) (6)

where qₑ is the amount of adsorbed lead on zeolite A adsorbents (mg/g), qₑ is the amount of adsorbed lead at time t (mg/g), k₁ and k₂ are pseudo-first order rate constant (min⁻¹), and pseudo-second order rate constant ((g/mg min), respectively.

For adsorption kinetic experiments, 0.1 g of ZBG or ZCF were added to 1000 mL of Breaker with lead concentration of 50 mg/L. The control condition of ZBG and ZCF was the sample volume of 1000 mL, contact time for 5 h, pH 5, and shaking speed of 200 rpm.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Characterizations of zeolite A adsorbents

3.1.1. The physical characterization of materials

The physical characterizations of raw materials (BFA and CFA), raw materials with pretreatment (BAFH and CFAA), synthesized zeolite A adsorbents (ZBG and ZCF), and zeolite A standard (STD) were represented in Figure 2a-g. For raw materials, BFA was fine black color powder shown in Figure 2a which a black color indicated a high content of carbon compounds [52] whereas CFA was fine brown color powder shown in Figure 2b which a brown color demonstrated iron and carbon cannot completely be burned from coal fly ash [53]. For raw materials with pretreatment, BFAH was changed to brown color powder shown in Figure 2c which might be from the calcination process resulted in the decreasing of carbon content in a raw material [54]. CFAA was changed to gray color powder shown in Figure 2d which might result from the removing of pollutants in a coal fly ash out after the pretreatment process [54,55]. For synthesized zeolite A adsorbents, ZBG was fine cream color powder shown in Figure 2e whereas ZCF was fine white powder shown in Figure 2f. Finally, only physical characteristic of ZCF was similarly to STD shown in Figure 2g with white color powder.
3.1.2. Physiochemical properties

The physiochemical properties of raw materials (BFA, CFA), raw materials with pretreatment (BFAH, CFAA), and the synthesized zeolite A adsorbents (ZBG, ZCF) and zeolite A standard (STD) were reported in Table 3. Three physical properties of all materials in size of surface area, pore volume, and pore size were determined by using Brunauer-Emmet and Teller technique with N\textsubscript{2} adsorption-desorption isotherm at 77.3 K and degas temperature of 80 °C for 6 h, and the results realized the significant changing values of three physical properties after the pretreatment process. The size of surface area, pore volume and pore size were reported by multipoint BET, total pore volume for pore with Radius, and average pore radius, respectively. For BFA and BFAH, size of surface

![Figure 2. The physical characterizations of (a) BFA, (b) CFA, (c) BFAH, (d) CFAA, (e) ZBG, (f) ZCF, and (g) STD.](image)
area, pore volume, and pore size of BFA were 36.870 m²/g, 0.067 cc/g, and 36.800 Å, respectively whereas size of surface area, pore volume, and pore size of BFAH were 10.230 m²/g, 0.052 cc/g, and 102.000 Å, respectively. As a result, the pretreatment process of BFA by calcinations affected to decrease the surface area and pore volume whereas pore size was increased which the decreasing of surface area might result from eliminated carbon by BFA [52]. As a result, zeolitic minerals were formed after eliminated carbon by the calcination process [39]. For CFA and CFAA, size of surface area, pore volume, and pore size of CFA were 1.390 m²/g, 0.006 cc/g, and 79.690 Å, respectively whereas size of surface area, pore volume, and pore size of CFAA were 97.680 m²/g, 0.086 cc/g, and 17.540 Å, respectively. As a result, the pretreatment process of BFAH by calcinations affected to decrease the surface area and pore volume whereas pore size of BFAH were 10.230 m²/g, 0.052 cc/g, and 102.000 Å, respectively whereas size of surface area, pore volume, and pore size of CFA were 1.390 m²/g, 0.006 cc/g, and 79.690 Å, respectively whereas size of surface area, pore volume, and pore size of CFAA were 97.680 m²/g, 0.086 cc/g, and 17.540 Å, respectively. As a result, the pretreatment process of BFA by calcinations affected to decrease the surface area and pore volume whereas pore size was increased which the decreasing of surface area might result from eliminated carbon by BFA [52]. As a result, zeolitic minerals were formed after eliminated carbon by the calcination process [39]. For CFA and CFAA, size of surface area, pore volume, and pore size of CFA were 1.390 m²/g, 0.006 cc/g, and 79.690 Å, respectively whereas size of surface area, pore volume, and pore size of CFAA were 97.680 m²/g, 0.086 cc/g, and 17.540 Å, respectively. As a result, the pretreatment process of CFA affected to highly increase the surface area and pore volume whereas pore size was decreased because of eliminated contaminants and organic compounds from the outer layer surface of CFA by HCl [56,57]. Therefore, the pretreatment process is an important step to purify raw material before zeolite A synthesis.

For zeolite A adsorbents (ZBG and ZCF), size of surface area, pore volume, and pore size of ZBG were 1.548 m²/g, 0.005 cc/g, and 61.140 Å, respectively whereas size of surface area, pore volume, and pore size of ZCF were 10.440 m²/g, 0.030 cc/g, and 55.750 Å, respectively. As a result, size of surface area and pore volume of ZCF had higher than ZBG whereas the pore size of ZBG had larger than ZCF. The previous studies reported that zeolite A materials exhibited the high surface area and pore volume with a small pore size resulted to the high adsorption capability [58,59], so it was possible that ZCF was higher lead adsorption than ZBG. For STD, size of surface area, pore volume, and pore size of STD were 10.460 m²/g, 0.030 cc/g, and 49.020 Å, respectively. As a result, size of surface area and pore volume of ZCF represented closely to STD whereas ZBG used short aging time and low temperature which were better conditons than ZCF used long aging time and high temperature resulted to less cubic shape with small crystallite size whereas ZCF used short aging time and low temperature which were better conditions than ZCF used long aging time and high temperature resulted to less cubic shape with small crystallite size [58-70,71] at magnification of 1,500X with 50 μm. After pretreatment of raw materials, BFAH was also an irregular and rough surface shown in Figure 4c whereas CFAA was an evidently spherical shape and macroporous were

### Table 3. Physicochemical property of BFA, BFAH, ZBG, CFA, CFAA, ZCF and STD by BET analysis.

| Physical properties | BFA   | BFAH  | ZBG   | CFA   | CFAA  | ZCF   | STD   |
|---------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Surface area (m²/g) | 36.870| 10.230| 1.548 | 1.390 | 97.680| 10.440| 10.460|
| Pore volume (cc/g) | 0.067 | 0.052 | 0.005 | 0.006 | 0.086 | 0.030 | 0.030 |
| Pore size (Å)      | 36.800| 102.000| 61.140| 79.690| 17.540| 55.750| 49.020|

| Chemical properties in chemical composition (wt% by mass) |
|----------------------------------------------------------|
| SiO₂  | 72.80  | 74.50  | 47.20  | 22.10  | 96.20  | 52.80  | 42.50  |
| Al₂O₃ | 9.16   | 9.41   | 38.40  | 12.10  | 12.10  | 6.01   | 33.80  |
| Fe₂O₃ | 5.05   | 4.57   | 0.08   | 32.60  | 17.20  | 0.13   | 0.02   |
| CaO   | 3.34   | 2.99   | 0.09   | 2.23   | 0.09   | 55.75  | 49.02  |
| MgO   | 1.47   | 1.50   | 0.23   | 1.99   | 1.69   | 0.00   | 0.00   |
| Na₂O  | 1.72   | 1.62   | 0.16   | 0.38   | 0.12   | 0.08   | 0.10   |
| K₂O   | 0.00   | 0.00   | 0.00   | 0.00   | 0.00   | 0.00   | 0.00   |
| SO₃   | 0.27   | 0.25   | 0.05   | 0.19   | 0.07   | 0.00   | 0.00   |

3.1.3. XRD analysis

The XRD diffraction patterns of ZBG and ZCF were demonstrated in Figure 3a-c with comparing to STD. ZBG and ZCF illustrated the crystalline phases at 2θ of 7.2, 10.3, 12.6, 16.2, 21.8, 24, 26.2, 27.2, 28, 30, 30.9, 31.1, 32.6, and 33.4 which represented the specific peaks of zeolite A characteristic. The results were correctly matched to the crystalline phases of STD and zeolite A standard pattern of JCPDS 39-222 [63] which confirmed that ZBG and ZCF were zeolite A [64].

3.1.4. FESEM-FIB analysis

FESEM-FIB micrographs of raw materials (BFA and CFA), raw materials with pretreatment (BFAH and CFAA), synthesized zeolite A adsorbents (ZBG and ZCF), and zeolite A standard (STD) demonstrated in Figure 4a-g. For raw materials, BFA was an irregular and rough surface shown in Figure 4a agreed to Zhang et al, 2020 which reported an irregular surface of prismatic, or fibrous with different sizes in the sugarcane bagasse ash (SCBA) [65] while CFA was a spherical shape and smooth surface shown in Figure 4b which was similarly found in other articles [66,67] at magnification of 1,500X with 50 μm. After pretreatment of raw materials, BFAH was also an irregular and rough surface shown in Figure 4c whereas CFAA was an evidently spherical shape and clearly other particle on surface area shown in Figure 4d at magnification of 1,500X with 50 μm. For zeolite A adsorbents (ZBG and ZCF), and zeolite A standard (STD) demonstrated in Figure 4e-g. For raw materials, BFA was an irregular and rough surface shown in Figure 4a-c whereas CFA was an evident spherical shape and clearly other particle on surface area shown in Figure 4d at magnification of 1,500X with 50 μm because of impurities removed by an acid pre-treatment process [68]. ZBG and ZCF represented the cubic shapes shown in Figure 4e-f which magnification 800X with 100 μm which were matched to the specific shape of zeolite A similarly to STD in Figure 4g at magnification of 800X with 100 μm [68]. However, ZBG was more cubic shape and large crystallite size than ZCF which might cause from the different of zeolite synthesis method, temperature, and aging time for crystallization which they might affect to crystallite size of synthesized zeolite A [69]. ZBG used long aging time and low temperature which were better conditions to nucleate metastable phases of crystallization resulted to clear cubic shape with large crystallite size whereas ZCF used short aging time and high temperature resulted to less cubic shape with small crystallite size [69, 70, 71]. Moreover, a raw material might be another factor for zeolite A synthesis because the high silica source of raw material affects to the pretreatment process which might result from the acid washing method promoted the increasing of SiO₂ and dealuminated of Al₂O₃ [62]. In addition, the deceasing of CaO was a good advantage for zeolite A synthesis because CaO might interfere or inhibit the zeolite crystal nucleation in the synthesis process, and the reducing of CaO also helped to preserve the essential chemical elements for zeolite A synthesis [55].
3.1.5. XRF analysis

The chemical compositions of raw materials (BFA and CFA), raw materials with pretreatment (BFAH and CFAA), synthesized zeolite A adsorbents (ZBG and ZCF), and zeolite A standard (STD) were reported in Table 4. Six main chemical elements which were silicon (Si), aluminum (Al), iron (Fe), potassium (K), calcium (Ca), and phosphorus (P) were found in all materials, and Si was the highest mass in weight percentage (%wt). The mass percentages of Si in BFAH and CFAA were increased after the pretreatment process, so the pretreatment step is a necessary process to eliminate contaminated elements in order to increasing the mass of Si for zeolite synthesis. Especially, the acid wash treatment of CFA promoted highly increasing of Si and might affect to the changing of surface structure of fly ash. The acid solution caused a spherical smooth surface of fly ash to be a rough surface resulted in the increasing of the surface area of fly ash, and helped to enhance the quantity of Si source dissolved from fly ash for a good zeolite A synthesis [43]. The ratios of Si/Al of ZBG and ZCF were approximately 1.48 and 1.87 which ZBG was close to STD of 1.37 than ZCF. The theorical ratio of Si/Al of zeolite A should be equal to 1 [74]; however, the synthetic zeolite A might not meet this point because of the effects of various synthetic methods and the purity of silicon forms [94,95]. Not only ZBG and ZCF did not come to this perfect ratio of Si/Al but also the commercial zeolite A (STD). As a result, the ratio of Si/Al of ZBG and ZCF could be acceptance as zeolite A in a low silica-zeolite [77].

3.1.6. FTIR analysis

The chemical functional groups of ZBG, ZCF and STD were analyzed by FTIR, and their results demonstrated in Figure 5a-c. The main functional groups of ZBG and ZCF shown in Figure 5a-b were observed through the internal vibration of (Si, Al)–O asymmetric stretching at 1002.5 and 1000 cm$^{-1}$, the H$_2$O at 1666 and 1633 cm$^{-1}$, and OH (hydroxyl group) at 3455.6 and 3452 cm$^{-1}$, respectively. Moreover, the internal vibration of (Si, Al)–O bending, the external vibration of double four-rings (D4R) and the internal vibration of (Si, Al)–O symmetric stretching were found at 463, 554, and 667 cm$^{-1}$ of ZBG and 464.1, 553.6, and 668.3 cm$^{-1}$ of ZCF, respectively which was closely main chemical functional groups of STD shown in Figure 5c and corresponded to the specific characteristic of zeolite A [78,79]. The specific characteristic of zeolite A was the internal vibration of (Si, Al)–O asymmetric stretching expresses in the frequency from 1091 to 1001 cm$^{-1}$, and the band at 567.4 cm$^{-1}$ showed the external vibration of double four rings (D$_4$R) [80] similarly reported by other studies [79]. Normally, D$_4$R peak represents the occurrence of zeolite A, and is also an evidence for cubic prism structure in synthesized materials corresponding to specific zeolite.
Figure 4. FESEM-FIB micrographs of (a) BFA, (b) CFA, (c) BFAH, (d) CFAA, (e) ZBG, (f) ZCF, and (g) STD.
Table 4. Chemical components of BFA, BFAH, ZBG, CFA, CFAA, ZCF, and STD by WD-XRF analysis.

| No | Element | BFA   | BFAH  | ZBG   | CFA   | CFAA  | ZCF   | STD   |
|----|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 1  | Si      | 61.60 | 64.10 | 51.00 | 15.20 | 55.40 | 56.30 | 47.00 |
| 2  | Al      | 7.62  | 7.87  | 34.50 | 8.95  | 5.05  | 30.10 | 34.40 |
| 3  | Fe      | 10.10 | 9.38  | 0.19  | 21.00 | 28.90 | 0.29  | 0.04  |
| 4  | K       | 7.68  | 7.13  | 1.71  | 2.41  | 2.90  | 1.65  | 0.18  |
| 5  | Ca      | 6.13  | 5.64  | 0.19  | 40.00 | 3.34  | 0.21  | 0.13  |
| 6  | P       | 1.74  | 1.69  | 0.20  | 0.26  | 0.10  | 0.11  | 0.13  |
| 7  | Mg      | 1.29  | 1.32  | 0.21  | 1.64  | 1.54  | -     | 0.26  |
| 8  | S       | 1.26  | 0.99  | 0.03  | 7.07  | 0.47  | -     | 0.02  |
| 9  | Ti      | 1.07  | 0.88  | -     | 0.44  | 0.36  | -     | -     |
| 10 | Mn      | 0.59  | 0.56  | 0.12  | 0.29  | 0.13  | -     | -     |
| 11 | Cl      | 0.42  | 0.19  | -     | -     | -     | 0.07  | -     |
| 12 | Ba      | 0.21  | -     | -     | 0.38  | 1.54  | -     | -     |
| 13 | Zn      | 0.08  | 0.07  | -     | 0.03  | 0.03  | 0.01  | -     |
| 14 | Sr      | 0.05  | 0.05  | -     | 0.32  | 0.10  | -     | -     |
| 15 | Cr      | 0.03  | 0.03  | -     | -     | -     | -     | -     |
| 16 | Cu      | 0.03  | 0.03  | -     | 0.01  | -     | -     | -     |
| 17 | Rb      | 0.03  | -     | -     | 0.03  | 0.05  | -     | -     |
| 18 | Ni      | -     | 0.02  | 0.02  | 0.02  | 0.03  | -     | -     |
| 19 | Na      | -     | -     | 11.70 | 1.80  | -     | 11.10 | 17.80 |
| 20 | Pd      | -     | -     | 0.19  | -     | -     | 0.10  | 0.11  |
| 21 | As      | -     | -     | -     | 0.06  | -     | -     | -     |
| 22 | Zr      | -     | -     | -     | 0.03  | 0.09  | 0.01  | 0.01  |
| Total |       | 100  | 100   | 100   | 100   | 100   | 100   | 100   |

Figure 5. Chemical functional groups of (a) ZBG, (b) ZCF, and (c) STD.
A structure [80]. Moreover, the structure of zeolite A is also confirmed by a β-cage which is the basic structure interconnected with D4R [56]. In addition, the band at 485.8 cm\(^{-1}\) was related to the internal vibration of (Si, Al)–O bending.

### 3.2. Batch adsorption studies

#### 3.2.1. The effect of dosage

The adsorbent dosages of ZBG and ZCF from 0.005 g to 0.035 g were investigated for the influence of the amount of adsorbent on lead removal efficiencies of ZBG and ZCF shown in Figure 6a. The highest lead removal efficiencies of ZBG and ZCF of 100% were found at 0.02 g. Lead removal efficiencies were increased with the increasing of the adsorbent dosage from 0.005 g to 0.035 g because the negatively charged exchange sites were incremented corresponding to the increasing of adsorbent dosage [81]. However, the adsorbent dosages were higher than 0.02 g demonstrated constant lead removal efficiencies of 100%. Therefore, the optimum adsorbent dosages of ZBF and ZCF were 0.02 g and were used to study the effect of contact time.

#### 3.2.2. The effect of contact time

The contact time of ZBG and ZCF from 1 h to 5 h were selected to investigate the optimum contact time on lead removal efficiencies of ZBG and ZCF shown in Figure 6b. ZBG showed the highest lead removal efficiency of 100 % for 2 h whereas ZCF showed the highest lead removal efficiency of 100 % for 1 h. Lead removal efficiencies of both zeolite A adsorbents were increased with the increasing of contact time from 1 to 5 h; however, lead removal efficiencies were constant after 2 h for ZBG and 1 h for ZCF. Therefore, the conditions of ZBG and ZCF were 0.02 g for 2 h and 0.02 g for 1 h, respectively which they were used for the effect of pH.

#### 3.2.3. The effect of pH

The effects of pH at 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, and 11 of ZBG and ZCF were investigated the optimum pH on lead removal efficiencies shown in Figure 6c. ZBG showed the highest lead removal efficiencies of 100 % in pH range of 5–9 whereas ZCF showed the highest lead removal efficiencies of 100 % in pH range of 5–11. The results were similar pH range for lead adsorption reported by other studies [36,82]. As a result, both zeolite adsorbents could be applied for lead adsorption in wastewater with various pH conditions of acid, neutral, and base. However, pH 5 was preferred because hydroxyl groups of zeolites (Si–OH and Al–OH) can be ionized and adsorbed lead in the water with weak acid condition [83], and other previous studies also reported pH of 5 was appropriate for lead adsorption in wastewater [50,84]. This result also matched to the point of zero charge (pH\(_{pzc}\)) of zeolite A on metal (II) ions of other studies at pH of 5.4 [85,86]. Moreover, the solution at pH < 3 was strong acid and highly hydrogen ions (H\(^+\)) combined with zeolite A better than lead ions (Pb\(^{2+}\)), thus it might create the competition between H\(^+\) and Pb\(^{2+}\) onto the zeolite A adsorption [87]. For pH > 3, H\(^+\) decreased meant the increasing of Pb\(^{2+}\) adsorption by the negatively charged sites on the zeolite A [88]. Therefore, the optimum pH of ZBG and ZCF was pH 5, and the optimum conditions of ZBG and ZCF were 0.02 g, 2 h, pH 5 and 0.02
g, 1 h, pH 5, respectively which they were used for the effect of initial lead concentration.

3.2.4. The effect of initial lead concentration

The effect of initial concentration from 10 mg/L to 70 mg/L of ZBG and ZCF were investigated the influence of concentration on lead removal efficiencies showed in Figure 6d. ZBG represented the lead removal efficiencies of 100% for all concentrations while ZCF represented the lead removal efficiencies of 100% for the concentrations from 10 mg/L to 50 mg/L. Therefore, ZBG and ZCF were possible applied for lead removal in wastewater.

3.3. Zeta potential analysis

The surface charge of adsorbents might help to describe the ability of lead adsorptions of ZBG and ZCF, so the zeta potential studies were conducted with various pH values. Figure 7 demonstrated the results of the zeta potential analysis of ZBG, ZCF, and STD which they were separated to 3 zones, and all zeolite A adsorbents represented the same trend. For zone I, the zeta potential values of ZBG, ZCF and STD were loss of adsorption at pH < 3 because of an overabundance of protons resulted to inhibit lead adsorptions with severe competition between hydrogen (H+) and lead ions (Pb2+), and the surface charges of ZBG and ZCF were positively charged in this zone. For zone II, the surface charges of all zeolite A adsorbents represented negatively charged at pH values of 3–9 due to the dissociation of the hydroxyl groups (OH-) which zeolite surfaces were easily de-protonated to a form of Si–O throughout a wide pH range and led to more negatively charged on the surface [89]. Moreover, the negatively charged had a greater coordinative affinity towards lead cations by the electrostatic forces of attraction to capture lead ions via surface complexation resulting in the formation of chelate complexes of lead adsorption [90]. However, pH 5 demonstrated the highest negatively charged in all zeolite A adsorbents which agreed to the result of effect of pH that pH 5 demonstrated the highest lead removal efficiencies of ZBG and ZCF. For zone III, all zeolite A adsorbents represented the reduction of the negative charged at pH > 9 which they lead adsorptions of zeolite A adsorbents might be decreased.

3.4. Adsorption isotherms

Figure 8a-d demonstrated the adsorption isotherms studies of ZBG and ZCF with plotting of Langmuir and Freundlich models, and the adsorption parameters were reported in Table 5.

For Langmuir model, the maximum adsorption capacities (qm) of ZBG and ZCF were 625 and 556 mg/g, respectively which ZBG had higher maximum adsorption capacity than ZCF. Moreover, Langmuir adsorption constants (b) of ZBG and ZCF were 0.036 and 0.015, respectively. For Freundlich model, the constant depicting of the adsorption intensity (1/n) is an important parameter to explain how the lead concentration were adsorbed by ZBG and ZCF (1/n) of ZBG and ZCF were 0.135 and 0.074, respectively, and they were assigned to pseudo-irreversible adsorption of ZCF for 1/n < 0.1 and favorable adsorption for 0.1 < 1/n < 0.5 by Tseng, Ru-Ling and wu, Feng-Chin, 2008 [91]. Freundlich adsorption constants (Kf) were 902.820 mg/g and 515.470 mg/g for ZBG and ZCF, respectively. Generally, the fitting isotherm model is decided by higher R², so the adsorption isotherms of ZBG and ZCF were well explained by Langmuir model with higher R² than Freundlich model, and the adsorption patterns of both zeolite A adsorbents involved chemisorption with relating physiochemical interaction [95]. In Table 8, this study was similar with adsorption mechanisms of both zeolite A adsorbents involved chemisorption with relating to physiochemical interaction [95]. In Figure 8e-f, and the rates of lead adsorption of ZBG and ZCF were 10 min and 80 min, respectively. Therefore, ZBG represented the higher rate of lead adsorption with fast kinetic reaction than ZCF.

3.5. Adsorption kinetics

The adsorption kinetic is an important study to explain an adsorption mechanism including rate of adsorption to time by adsorbent [94]. The adsorption kinetics of ZBG and ZCF were plotted following to a pseudo-first-order kinetic model (PF0) and a pseudo-second-order kinetic model (PSO) shown in Figure 9a-d, and the adsorption equilibrium of lead removal on ZBG and ZCF represented in Figure 9e-f. Moreover, the kinetic parameters were reported in Table 7.

In Table 7, the adsorption kinetics of ZBG and ZCF were corresponded PSO at R² equal to 1 and 0.995, respectively. The adsorption capacities of ZBG and ZCF were 500 and 454.55 mg/g, respectively, and k2 of ZBG and ZCF were 0.00015 and 0.00020 g/mg min, respectively. As a result, ZBG demonstrated higher lead adsorption capacity with a little lower adsorption constant rate than ZCF. Therefore, the adsorption mechanisms of both zeolite A adsorbents involved chemisorption with relating to physiochemical interaction [95]. In Table 8, this study was similar adsorption mechanism to other studies, and the maximum adsorption capacities (qm) of ZBG and ZCF were higher than other studies. Finally, the adsorption (qm) of ZBG and ZCF were higher than all other studies. Finally, the adsorption equilibriums of ZBG and ZCF were demonstrated in Figure 8e-f, and the rates of lead adsorption of ZBG and ZCF were 10 min and 80 min, respectively. Therefore, ZBG represented the higher rate of lead adsorption with fast kinetic reaction than ZCF.

3.6. The possible mechanism of lead adsorptions on ZBG and ZCF

The possible mechanism of lead adsorptions on ZBG and ZCF modified from X. Fan et al, 2021 [101] demonstrated in Figure 10. The oxygen (O) skeleton in silica and alumina tetrahedron structures of zeolite A is a negatively charged. Lead ions are acidic and can accept electrons following to Lewis acid-base theory [101], so O in the zeolite A structure can create coordination with lead ions. The stronger electronnegativity of the lead ions is more easily to attract electron clouds of negatively charged oxygen, and the stronger coordinate bonds establish with O. Furthermore, the ionization and hydrolysis processes show silanol (≡Si–OH) and aluminoils (≡Al–OH) sites on the surface of zeolite A [83, 102]. Therefore, the surface of ZBG and ZCF might be found aluminosilicates of lead complexes or other coordination compounds after lead adsorption [103]. In addition, zeolite A might have an ion-exchange property for heavy metal adsorptions [104,105].

3.7. The economic view for the application of zeolite A adsorbents

BFA is a solid waste from sugar mills that includes a significant quantity of unburned carbon and potential harm to humans, plants, and animals via the air, water, and soil [106]. Moreover, bagasse fly ash is
extremely tiny particle sizes and lightweight, so it is easily airborne and contributes to air pollution and respiratory issues of human [107].

Generally, BFA is disposed in landfill, and the increasing of BFA creates the waste management problem with finding new landfills. For CFA, it is a solid waste produced by power stations which approximately 500 million tons per year of fly ash are globally discharged [108]. Due to its fine structure and hazardous ingredients, coal fly ash continuously represents the growing threat to the environment. Moreover, due to the enormous amount of coal combustion waste produced in comparison to use, the disposal facilities running out of storage capacity is not enough for a landfill. As a result, the new waste management of BFA and CFA is an interesting option especially recycled them for other purpose for reducing waste volumes.

The recycling of wastes is one of sustainable development based on the circular economy which directly corresponds to economic and technological justification in 5 R principles of reduce, replacement, recovery, reuse and recycling [109]. Therefore, uses of BFA and CFA as affordable raw materials for synthesis of zeolite A adsorbents for lead removal in wastewater are an interesting choice to obtain two benefits of waste management and water quality. In addition, the recycle of these wastes might increase waste value in the economic point.

| Sample | Langmuir constants | Freundlich constants |
|--------|---------------------|----------------------|
|        | $q_m$ (mg/g)        | $b$ (L/mg)           | $R^2$ | $K_F$ (mg/g) | $1/n$ | $R^2$ |
| ZBG    | 625                 | 0.036                | 0.995 | 902.820      | 0.135 | 0.415 |
| ZCF    | 556                 | 0.015                | 1     | 515.470      | 0.074 | 0.353 |

Table 5. Langmuir and Freundlich isotherm parameters for lead adsorptions on ZBG and ZCF.

| Raw material | Type of zeolite | Langmuir constants | Freundlich constants |
|--------------|-----------------|--------------------|----------------------|
|              | $q_m$ (mg/g)    | $b$ (L/mg)        | $R^2$ | $K_F$ (mg/g) | $1/n$ | $R^2$ |
| CFA          | K               | 98                 | 0.24 | 0.987 | 1.51 | 0.30 | 0.997 |
| BFA          | P               | 625                | 0.036 | 0.995 | 902.820 | 0.135 | 0.415 |
| CFA          | NaX             | 556                | 0.015 | 1     | 515.470 | 0.074 | 0.353 |

Table 6. Comparison of Langmuir and Freundlich isotherm parameters for lead adsorption on zeolite adsorbents.

Figure 8. Adsorption isotherms of (a) Langmuir and (b) Freundlich isotherm models for lead adsorptions on ZBG (c) Langmuir and (d) Freundlich isotherm models for lead adsorptions on ZCF.
For ZBG, 20 g of BFA got about 17 g of BFAH, and 15 g of BFAH obtained approximately 8 g of ZBG. If the sugarcane factory has 1 ton of BFA wastes per year, it can produce approximately 400 kg of ZBG. If the price of commercial zeolite A (AR grade) is about 4,000 THB/100g, 400 kg of ZBG is equal to 1.6 million THB. Then, this benefit value is reduced by the cost of zeolite synthesis approximately a half of selling price. Finally, the net profit is about 800,000 THB per year. For ZCF, 90 g of CFA got about 9 g of CFAA, and 9 g of CFAA obtained approximately 5 g of ZCF. If the power plant has 1 ton of BFA wastes per year, it can produce approximately 2,400 kg of ZCF.

Table 7. Kinetic parameters for lead adsorptions on ZBG and ZCF.

| Sample | Pseudo-first-order kinetic model | Pseudo-second-order kinetic model |
|--------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
|        | $q_e$ (mg/g) | $k_1$ (1/min.) | $R^2$ | $q_e$ (mg/g) | $k_2$ (g/mg min.) | $R^2$ |
| ZBG    | 9.563          | 0.0062          | 0.118 | 500          | 0.00015          | 1     |
| ZCF    | 274.293        | 0.0077          | 0.872 | 454.55       | 0.00020          | 0.995 |

Figure 9. Kinetic models of (a) pseudo-first-order kinetic model (b) pseudo-second-order kinetic model for lead adsorptions on ZBG (c) pseudo-first-order kinetic model (d) pseudo-second-order kinetic model for lead adsorptions on ZCF, and adsorption equilibrium of lead removals on ZBG and ZCF in a range of (e) 0-220 min, and (f) 0-80 min.
approximately 56 kg of ZCF. If the price of commercial zeolite A (AR grade) is about 4,000 THB/100g, 56 kg of ZBG is equal to 224,000 THB. Then, this benefit value is reduced by the cost of zeolite synthesis approximately a half of selling price. Finally, the net profit is about 112,000 THB per year. Therefore, the recycling of BFA and CFA are one of sustainable solid waste management, and ZBG and ZCF are potential adsorbents for lead adsorptions as inexpensive adsorbents for the wastewater treatment in the future.

4. Conclusions

The present study was successfully synthesized zeolite A adsorbents from bagasse fly ash (BFA) and coal fly ash (CFA). The method modifications for zeolite A synthesis were suitable to raw materials from industrial wastes (BFA and CFA), so the specific characteristics of synthesized zeolite A adsorbents were close to zeolite A standard (STD) through various characterization techniques. For a series of batch experiments, both zeolite A adsorbents demonstrated high lead removal efficiencies of 100% with the optimum conditions of 0.02 g, 2 h, pH 5, 10-70 mg/L of lead concentrations for ZBG, and 0.02 g, 1 h, pH 5, 10-50 mg/L of lead concentrations for ZCF. The zeta potential studies of ZBG and ZCF were the highest negatively charged at pH 5 corresponded to the highest lead adsorption of both zeolite A adsorbents at pH of 5. For adsorption isotherms, ZBG and ZCF were corresponded to Langmuir isotherm which correlated to the physical adsorption process at $R^2$ of 0.995 and 1, respectively. For adsorption kinetics, ZBG and ZCF were corresponded a pseudo-second-order kinetic model which correlated to the physiochemical interaction at $R^2$ of 1 and 0.995, respectively. As a result, this study achieved two purposes of waste management by use of industrial waste recycling as low-cost adsorbents and improving of water quality by applying for lead removals in wastewater. Therefore, ZBG and ZCF are high potential zeolite A adsorbents to apply for lead removal in real industrial wastewater in future. In the future work, the continuous flow system, adsorbent regeneration, and competing other ions for real.

Table 8. Comparison of pseudo-first order and pseudo-second order of kinetic parameters for lead adsorptions on adsorbents.

| Adsorbent                          | Pseudo-first-order kinetic model | Pseudo-second-order kinetic model | Reference |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------|
|                                   | $q_e$ (mg/g) | $k_1$ (1/min) | $R^2$ | $q_e$ (mg/g) | $k_2$ (g/mg min) | $R^2$ |             |
| Natural zeolite                   | 23.30      | 2.22          | 0.999 | 23.30      | 0.43            | 1    | [96]       |
| Zeolite (Faujasite and Zancrinite)| 14.87      | 0.31          | 0.997 | 15.83      | 0.04            | 0.999| [97]       |
| BFA                               | 17.75      | $1.07 \times 10^{-2}$ | 0.952 | 33.83      | $1.31 \times 10^{-3}$ | 0.999| [98]       |
| CFA                               | 48.54      | 1.24          | 0.826 | 50.23      | 0.04            | 0.998| [98]       |
| CFA (Faujasite)                   | 21.04      | $20.54 \times 10^{-3}$ | 0.918 | 75.76      | $13.5 \times 10^{-4}$ | 0.999| [92]       |
| Linde F(K) zeolite                | 3.16       | 0.004         | 0.956 | 46.51      | 0.05            | 0.997| [98]       |
| Clinoptilolite (Modified zeolite) | 19.86      | 0.03          | 0.884 | 49.50      | $1.63 \times 10^{-3}$ | 0.991| [99]       |
| Clinoptilolite modified with NZVI (zeolite A) | 123.10 | 0.29          | 0.953 | 137.90    | $3.561 \times 10^{-3}$ | 0.977| [100]      |
| ZBG                               | 9.563      | 0.0062        | 0.118 | 500        | 0.00015         | 1    | This study |
| ZCF                               | 274.293    | 0.0077        | 0.872 | 454.55     | 0.00020         | 0.995| This study |

Figure 10. The possible mechanism of lead adsorptions on ZBG and ZCF.
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