Abstract

Hope is considered significant for the well-being, recuperation and restoration of human life by health professionals. Hope speech reflects the belief that one can discover pathways to their desired objectives and become roused to utilise those pathways. Hope speech offers support, reassurance, suggestions, inspiration and insight. Hate speech is a prevalent practice that society has to struggle with everyday. The freedom of speech and ease of anonymity granted by social media has also resulted in incitement to hatred. In this paper, we work to identify and promote positive and supportive content on these platforms. We work with several machine learning models to classify social media comments as hope speech or non-hope speech in English. This paper portrays our work for the Shared Task on Hope Speech Detection for Equality, Diversity, and Inclusion at LT-EDI-ACL 2022.

1 Introduction

Nowadays, social media has become a significant part of our lives and just like everything it has its pros and cons. Various benefits of social media come with several challenges including hate speech, offensive and profane content getting published targeting an individual, a group or a society. Social media has become a breeding ground for hate speech and cyberbullying (Chakravarthi, 2020; Chakravarthi and Muralidaran, 2021). Offensive content in online socialization has seriously affected daily life of people (Priyadharshini et al., 2021; Kuamashran et al., 2021; Chakravarthi et al., 2021). Social media companies such as, YouTube, Facebook, and Twitter have their own approaches to eliminate the hate speech content or anything which negatively affects the society. However, detecting such objectionable content at the earliest to curb the menace of spreading such news online is still a major challenge faced by social media companies and researchers (Chakravarthi et al., 2021). It is very essential to detect such behaviour. The amount of data generated on social media sites can be estimated from the fact that, every second, on average, around 6,000 tweets are generated (Sakuntharaj and Mahesan, 2021, 2017, 2016; Thavareesan and Mahesan, 2019, 2020a,b, 2021). Content moderation of such a huge data is difficult to achieve exclusively through man power. Social networking sites are struggling with content moderation (Sampath et al., 2022; Ravikiran et al., 2022; Chakravarthi et al., 2022; Bharathi et al., 2022; Priyadharshini et al., 2022). Our work aims to change the prevalent way of thinking by moving away from a preoccupation with discrimination, loneliness or the worst things in life to building the confidence, support and good qualities based on comments by individuals.

In this paper, we have explored several machine learning models for classification of social media comments as hope speech or non-hope speech in English.

2 Related Works

Several works have been proposed to detect hope speech across social platforms. (Puranik et al., 2021) proposed a work with several transformer-based models to classify social media comments as hope speech or not hope speech in English, Malayalam and Tamil languages. (Ghanghor et al., 2021) have used the transformer-based pretrained models along with the customized versions of those models for detecting hope and not hope speech for equality, diversity and inclusion in Dravidian languages. (Upadhyay et al., 2021) experimented with two approaches. They used contextual embeddings to train classifiers using logistic regression, random forest, SVM, and LSTM based models. They also used a majority voting ensemble of 11 models which were obtained by fine-tuning pre-trained transformer models (BERT, ALBERT, RoBERTa, IndicBERT) after adding an output layer.
(Saumya and Mishra, 2021) proposed various machine learning and deep learning-based models (such as support vector machine, logistics regression, convolutional neural network, recurrent neural network) are employed to identify the hope speech in the given YouTube comments. The YouTube comments are available in English, Tamil, and Malayalam languages.

(Vijayaraghavan et al., 2021) proposed a deep neural multi-modal model that can: (a) detect hate speech by effectively capturing the semantics of the text along with socio-cultural context in which a particular hate expression is made, and (b) provide interpretable insights into decisions of their model. (Gomez et al., 2020) target the problem of hate speech detection in multimodal publications formed by a text and an image. They gather and annotate a large scale dataset from Twitter, MMHS150K, and propose different models that jointly analyze textual and visual information for hate speech detection, comparing them with unimodal detection.

(Chang, 1998) shows the influence of high versus low hope on problem-solving ability of college students. It shows that high-hope students were found to have greater problem-solving abilities than low-hope students. (Youssef and Luthans, 2007) shows the impact of hope, optimism, and resilience in the workplace. The outcomes of their work include performance, job satisfaction, work happiness, and organizational commitment. (Snyder and P) shows development and validation of an individual-differences measure of hope.

3 Task and Dataset Description

Here we have described the dataset and task provided by Hope Speech Detection for Equality, Diversity, and Inclusion challenge. This is a comment / post level classification task. In this, YouTube comments are given and the systems submitted by us should classify it into ‘Hope speech’ and ‘Not hope speech’. (shown in Table 1).

Here training, development and test data is given in English. Distributions of these data is shown in Table 2. The distributions of imbalanced classes in training data is shown in Table 3.

- Hope Speech (HS): Posts that offer support, reassurance, suggestions, inspiration and insight.
- Non Hope Speech (NHS): Posts that explicitly seeks violence and uses gender-based insults.

4 Methodology

4.1 Data Preprocessing

We have performed following steps in data preprocessing :-

- Punctuation, links and numbers removal.
- Lower the letter case.
- Tokenization.
- Turning the texts into sequences.
- Pad the sequences to have the same size.
- Balancing the given imbalanced dataset.

We have used Tokenizer class in TensorFlow for handling above process. The unknown token (UNK) is used when what remains of the token is not in the vocabulary, or if the token is too long. We have used post padding to pad the sequences. We have balanced the imbalanced classes of training data using Synthetic Minority Oversampling Technique (SMOTE) (Chawla et al., 2002) which uses KNN for balancing minority classes. Balanced training data is shown in Table 4.

4.2 Models Proposed

We have used various machine learning algorithms, namely- Logistic Regression (Wright, 1995), Multinomial Naive Bayes classifier (Kibriya et al., 2004), Random forest classifier (Liaw et al., 2002) and XGBoost (Ren et al., 2017). We have used the scikit-learn library for logistic regression, MultinomialNB and Random forest classifier. We have used the following values of the parameter:

- In Random Forest, we have used n estimators=1000 and random state=42.
- In XGBoost, we have used learning rate=0.01, max depth=50 and n estimators=300.

All the models have used balanced pre-processed training data for training and we have tested the models on the test data provided in challenge.
| Text                                                                 | Category                     |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| @Champions Again He got killed for using false money                  | Non hope speech              |
| It’s not that all lives don’t matter                                  | Non hope speech              |
| she is not 60. He is 60                                               | Non hope speech              |
| I’m still hiding my gender to my parents and they don’t know I’m dating someone. | Hope speech                  |
| Sasha Dumse God accepts everyone.                                     | Hope speech                  |
| all lives matter .without that we never have peace so to me forever all lives matter. | Hope speech                  |

Table 1: Examples of hope speech or not hope speech

| Type       | English   | Classes | Counts |
|------------|-----------|---------|--------|
| Training   | 22739     | Non hope Speech | 20777  |
| Development| 2841      | Hope Speech  | 1962   |
| Test       | 2843      |          |        |
| Total      | 28423     |          |        |

Table 2: Train-Development-Test Data Distribution

| Classes          | Counts |
|------------------|--------|
| Non hope Speech  | 20777  |
| Hope Speech      | 1962   |
| Total            | 41554  |

Table 3: Imbalanced classes distribution in training data

5 Result and Discussions

The results of task are represented in terms of Accuracy, Macro-F1, Micro-F1 and Weighted-F1 (shown in Table 5). The best score as Macro-F1 for the task we get is 0.6130. The XGBoost system have performed better than all other models. There is imbalance between the classes of test data due to which there is more differences between accuracy and Macro-F1 score of each system.

6 Conclusions and Future Work

We have completed the task using various classification algorithms and evaluated the performance of different classification algorithms for Hope Speech Detection for Equality, Diversity, and Inclusion shared task. Our overall best score is 0.6130. We look forward to experimenting with different advance algorithm or neural network models. We are also looking forward to work on random multi model classification algorithm for better accuracy and classification. Also, fine tuning the parameters of the algorithm can help in improvement of the overall performance. We shall be exploring these tasks in the coming days.
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