Implication of Active Learning Techniques in Learning Thermodynamics Energy Conversion using BLOSSOMS Thermodynamics Energy Conversion Video towards Engineering Undergraduates Performance
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Abstract—Utilization of BLOSSOMS Thermodynamics Energy Conversion video to properly incorporate active learning techniques had been shown to increase the students’ performance in learning introductory Thermodynamics topics. In this study, the effectiveness of using BLOSSOMS Thermodynamics Energy Conversion video towards students’ learning in a classroom when adopted by lecturers who is not trained in active learning is investigated. Two groups of undergraduate engineering students from a control class and a treatment class were involved in this study. The students from the treatment class were taught by a lecturer using the BLOSSOMS Thermodynamics Energy Conversion video, while the students from control class underwent conventional lecture style. The students were given pre and post inventory tests and their results were analysed using SPSS. It was found that BLOSSOMS Thermodynamics Energy Conversion video without the proper implementation of active learning technique does not have a significant effect on the individual learning gain of the inventory test among students. The utilization of the video could be more effective if the instructor is well-trained in active learning techniques.
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1 Introduction

1.1 Background of study

Thermodynamics is known as one of the toughest fundamental subjects in engineering courses. Mastering the topic requires the students to understand the abstract concepts, long equations, and tedious processes [1 - 4]. Various computer or multimedia applications have been developed to address the difficulty in learning thermodynamics [1]. They are preferred as they are more flexible where illustrative anima-
tions, simulations, or further explanation with visualizations can be encompassed that could benefit in assisting students to develop their thinking.

To develop students’ thinking in the 21st Century, students must be educated using the student-centered approach [5]. Active learning is one of the student-centred learning approaches that engages students in learning activities rather than passively listening to lectures. The activities include discussing, reading, higher-level thinking, reflecting, etc. Active learning has been shown to enhance learning among students [5, 6]. In fact, active learning can be twice as effective as lecturing if it is properly implemented [8]. Thus, the integration of the right implementation of active learning and technology could be effective in students learning, especially for tough courses.

However, not all lecturers have the skill to conduct active learning. Even after undergoing training, some lecturers have difficulties to change and accept active learning. To assist lecturers to teach Thermodynamics using active learning, BLOSSOMS Thermodynamics Energy Conversion was designed [9]. BLOSSOMS or Blended Learning Open Source Science and Math Studies, is an initiative of LINC (Learning International Networks Consortium) of Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), a global consortium of educators interested in using distance and e-learning technologies to increase access to quality education worldwide. It is an “Open Educational Resource,” a web-based collection of materials offered freely and openly for re-use in teaching, learning and research that supports and promotes blended learning and prepare a variety of teaching model especially for math and science subjects [10].

BLOSSOMS Thermodynamics Energy Conversion in Generating Electricity video was designed as an approach for assisting the teaching and learning of thermodynamics. It was designed based on the How People Learn (HPL) framework, which gave 4 overlapping criteria to have an effective learning environment, i.e. knowledge centered, learner centered, assessment centered, and community centered [11]. The video lesson was divided into four segments, which are Segment 1: Concept of energy; Segment 2: Process of energy conversion; Segment 3: Site visit; and the last segment is Teacher’s guide, which is arranged for assisting lecturers in using the video in class. Figure 1(a) until Figure 1(d) shows the snapshots taken from the video, which encompasses explanation, animation, and application. The segmentation allows the class lecturer to conduct activities through active learning exercise after each segment.

![Fig. 1. (a) An instructor guiding the viewers throughout the video](image1)

![Fig. 1. (b) A shadow play performance shown at the beginning of the video](image2)
A previous study [9] on the use of the video in a Thermodynamics class has shown that students who had learned through a class conducted using the BLOSSOMS Thermodynamics video made significant improvement compared to students who have taught using conventional lectures. In the study, both lecturers in the control and treatment classes are good lecturers for the course. The lecturer who taught using the BLOSSOMS video had received training on how to conduct active learning were thus comfortable to implement the approach in class. This shows that the BLOSSOMS video with active learning activities properly implemented was able to effectively enhance students’ understanding in the Introduction to Thermodynamics topic [9, 12]. The scores incremental of Thermodynamics Energy Conversion inventory test between pre-test and post-test among students in the treatment class who used the Energy Conversion BLOSSOMS video gave significant result. The percentage of learning gains of treatment class was higher than that in the control class, in which conventional lecture style was utilized [9]. Thus, the blended learning approach with the implementation of active learning could increase students’ performance.

1.2 Research objectives

Since the BLOSSOMS Thermodynamics video have been shown to be effective in helping students’ understanding of introductory Thermodynamics, further investigation is needed to see if it can be used to assist lecturers to conduct Thermodynamics course even though the lecturer has not been trained in active learning. Therefore, the purpose of the paper is to study the effectiveness of using the video in a first year Thermodynamics course taught by a lecturer who have not been trained in conducting active learning. The research questions are:

1. What are the students’ pre-test and post-test performance in the Thermodynamics Energy Conversion topic utilizing the BLOSSOMS video and without utilizing BLOSSOMS video?
2. To what extent is the effectiveness of the BLOSSOMS video when adopted by lecturers who have not been trained in active learning?
2 Method

The sample involved a total of 63 first year engineering students taking Thermodynamics course from two classes in a public university in Malaysia, where one class was the treatment class and another class was the control class. From the treatment class students, 24 students scored 3.5-4.0, and 5 students scored 3.0-3.49 in their last semester CPA. Meanwhile, from the control class students, 16 students scored 3.5-4.0, 9 students scored 3.0-3.49, 3 scored 2.0-2.99 in their last semester CGPA, and 6 students who did not indicate their CGPA.

Table 1. Demographic data of Treatment and Control class according to race and CGPA

| CGPA       | Class |
|------------|-------|
|            | Treatment | Control |
| 3.50–4.00  | 24      | 16      |
| 3.00–3.49  | 5       | 9       |
| 2.00–2.99  | 0       | 3       |
| No information | 0 | 6 |
| Total      | 29      | 34      |

The students from the treatment class were taught by the class lecturer using the BLOSSOMS Thermodynamics Energy Conversion video, while the students from control class underwent conventional lecture on Introduction to Thermodynamics. Both lecturers are experienced lecturer in teaching Thermodynamics course and have not attended any active learning training. This study used pre-test and post-test questionnaires to measure the students’ achievement in Thermodynamics Energy Conversion topic. A set of pre-test was distributed to each student from both classes before starting the lecture on Introduction to Thermodynamics. The test consists of 14 questions assessing the students’ existing knowledge on the current topic. At the end of their class, they were asked to answer the post-test which contain the same questions measuring their development of knowledge after the class. The data were then analysed using SPSS.

3 Results

From classroom observation, both lecturers are subject matter experts and know the material well. The lecturers in both classes are comfortable in using teacher centered approaches in their classes. The lecturer in the treatment class was given the BLOSSOMS Thermodynamics video several weeks before the start of the trial and were asked to conduct the topic using the BLOSSOMS video.

Table 2 shows the percentage of the average scores of each pre and post test scores, and the learning gains for control and treatment class. Before undergoing the lecture, the students scored 39.38% and 47% in the inventory test for control and treatment class respectively. After the class, they scored 44.69% and 52.87% respectively, making the developed knowledge are 5.31% for control class and 5.87% for treatment.
class. This indicates that the students in treatment class has developed knowledge slightly higher than the control class.

**Table 2.** Percentage of pre-test score, post-test score, and learning gain for control class and treatment class

|                      | Control  | Treatment |
|----------------------|----------|-----------|
| Pre-test score (%)   | 39.38    | 47        |
| Post-test score (%)  | 44.69    | 52.87     |
| Learning gain (%)    | 5.31     | 5.87      |

Table 3 shows the descriptive statistics of the mean score of students’ achievement for pre-test and post-test in control and treatment class generated using SPSS software. Higher mean scores in post-test shown in both classes reflects that students have improved their knowledge after the class session, with slightly larger difference seen in treatment class in comparison to control class (M_{treatment}=9.52>M_{control}=8.04>7.09).

**Table 3.** Mean score and standard deviation for control class and treatment class (analysis of descriptive statistic).

| Class          | Control | Treatment |
|----------------|---------|-----------|
| Number of Students (N) | 34      | 29        |
| Test           | Pre     | Post      | Pre     | Post    |
| Mean (M)       | 7.09    | 8.04      | 8.38    | 9.52    |
| Standard Deviation (SD) | 2.28    | 2.48      | 1.52    | 1.66    |

Independent sample t-test on students’ achievement between treatment class and control class for pre-test score and post-test score are shown in Table 4 and Table 5.

**Table 4.** Independent sample t-test on students’ achievement in inventory pre-test score in control class and treatment class

| Class       | N  | M    | SD   | t    | df | p    |
|-------------|----|------|------|------|----|------|
| Treatment   | 29 | 8.38 | 1.522| 2.594| 61 | 0.012|
| Control     | 34 | 7.09 | 2.281|      |    |      |

**Table 5.** Independent sample t-test on students’ achievement in inventory post-test score in treatment class and control class

| Class       | N  | M    | SD   | t    | df | p    |
|-------------|----|------|------|------|----|------|
| Treatment   | 29 | 9.52 | 1.661| 2.723| 61 | 0.008|
| Control     | 34 | 8.04 | 2.475|      |    |      |

From Table 4, it was found that there was a significant difference in the pre-test scores for treatment class (M=8.38, SD=1.522) and control class (M=7.09, SD=2.281) conditions t(61)=2.594, p=0.012. While for post-test score according to Table 5, there was also significant difference for treatment class (M=9.52, SD=1.67) and control class (M=8.04, SD=2.48) conditions t(61)=2.723, p=0.008. The result also
shows that students in treatment class achieve higher than the students in control class in both pre-test and post-test.

The investigation on the performance is furthered studied based on the paired sample t-test between the control pre and post-test score and treatment pre and post-test score, as shown in Table 6 and 7.

Table 6. Paired sample statistics of pre-test and post-test scores of students in Control class and Treatment class

| Pair  | Control_Pre | M    | N  | SD    | Control_Post | M    | N  | SD    |
|-------|-------------|------|----|-------|-------------|------|----|-------|
| 1     | 7.0882      | 34   | 2.28125 |
| 2     | 8.0441      | 34   | 2.47523 |
|       | 8.3793      | 29   | 1.52160 |
|       | 9.5172      | 29   | 1.66091 |

Table 7. Paired sample t-test on pre-test and post-test scores of students in Control class and Treatment class

| Pair  | Control_Pre & Control_Post | M       | SD  | t     | df | p   |
|-------|----------------------------|---------|-----|-------|----|-----|
| 1     | -0.956                     | 2.172   | -2.566 | 33  | 0.015 |
| 2     | -1.138                     | 1.903   | -3.219 | 28  | 0.003 |

For control class, it was found that there was a significant difference in the scores for pre-test (M=7.09, SD= 2.28) and post-test (M=8.04, SD=2.48) conditions; t(33)=-2.57, p=0.015). While for treatment class, there was also a significant difference in the scores for pre-test (M=8.38, SD=1.52 and post-test (M=9.52, SD=1.67) conditions; t(28)=-3.22, p=0.003).

These results suggest that the students’ achievements in inventory test score has increased with BLOSSOMS video. However, the students’ achievements in inventory test score has also increase even without using the video.

Table 8. Independent sample t-test on the individual gain of students in control class and Treatment class

| Class  | N  | M      | SD | t    | df   | p   |
|--------|----|--------|----|------|------|-----|
| Treatment | 29 | 1.14   | 1.9 | 0.351 | 61   | 0.727  |
| Control | 34 | 0.96   | 2.17 |      |      |      |

An independent sample t-test was conducted to compare the individual gain of students in control class and treatment class. It was found that there was no significant difference in the individual gain for treatment class (M= 1.14, SD= 1.9) and control class (M= 0.96, SD= 2.17) conditions; t(61)= 0.351, p=0.727).

The result suggests that the BLOSSOMS Thermodynamics video does not have a significant effect on the individual gain of the inventory test among students. Specifically, the results suggest that the students’ achievement in Thermodynamics Energy Conversion in Generating Electricity does not increase significantly by undergoing learning from the class that used the video.
4 Discussion

The effectiveness of utilizing the Thermodynamics BLOSSOMS video in the Introduction to Thermodynamics can be perceived through the percentage of learning gain, mean scores and t-test results on the students’ performance as measured through the energy conversion inventory test score. The results were summarized as follows:

1. The learning gain percentage in the treatment class is slightly higher than the control class.
2. The mean scores of students in treatment class and control class have increased after their class session. Slightly higher results were found in treatment class compared to control class.
3. The independent sample t-test result in pre-test in control and treatment classes was found significant. It was also significant in post-test in both classes.
4. Paired sample t-test between the control class’ pre and post-test score and treatment class’ pre and post-test score also showed significant results.
5. The independent sample t-test on the learning gain of control and treatment classes showed no significant result.

These results demonstrate that students from both the control and treatment classes improved their knowledge after learning the topic. Students in treatment class scored slightly higher in the post-test than the control class, but they had also scored slightly higher in the pre-test. These results show that at the end of the class, the treatment group have a better understanding of the Introduction to Thermodynamics topic, which could also be influenced by the higher percentage of high achieving students in the treatment class compared to the control class.

Based on the results of independent sample t-test on the learning gain, there was no significant difference in the scores for treatment class and control class. This indicates that the video does not have a significant effect on the individual learning gain of the inventory test among students. Thus, the students’ achievement in Thermodynamics Energy Conversion does not increase significantly by the utilization of the video. This is in contrast to an earlier study conducted where there was a significant difference in the individual gain (previously named as score incremental) of students in the treatment and control classes. This could be due to the difference in the skills to conduct active learning of the lecturer in this study compared to the lecturer in the previous study. In the previous study, the class was taught using the same Thermodynamics BLOSSOMS video by a lecturer who had been trained in active learning, resulting in a significant learning gain compared to the students in the control class. The lecturer in the previous study was observed to engage students in the activities guided in the video and were able to conduct the activities well. However, the lecturer in this study was observed to be awkward in conducting the activities given in the video, and were unable to engage many of the students in the active learning sessions.

Thus, even though the Thermodynamics BLOSSOMS video can assist in teaching and learning of the class, the lecturer conducting the class must have the skills for conducting active learning for it to be impactful. Active learning techniques can help
students to engage in learning by actively participating in the learning process through brainstorming, sharing, reflecting, thinking, etc, rather than listening to lecture. It is well known that students learn and retain more as they become more engaged to the lesson. This is where the instructor plays important role in conducting the activities to engage students in classroom.

5 Conclusion

Utilizing BLOSSOMS Thermodynamics Energy Conversion video could increase the students’ performance in learning Introduction to Thermodynamics topic when implemented with active learning techniques [9]. This study shows that using the BLOSSOMS video without the implementation of active learning activities could also enhance students’ performance in Thermodynamics Energy Conversion topic. As it could not be proven that the video has effect on the individual gain on the topic, thus, it can be concluded that the students’ achievement in Thermodynamics Energy Conversion in Generating Electricity does not increase by the utilization of BLOSSOMS video without the proper implementation of active learning techniques. Even though BLOSSOMS can assist Thermodynamics teaching, the lecturer still need skills for conducting active learning for it to be impactful. The utilization of the video could be more effective if the instructor is well-trained in active learning techniques.
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