Computed Tomography-guided Transthoracic Core Needle Biopsy of Lung Masses: Technique, Complications and Diagnostic Yield Rate

Objective: Computed tomography-guided core needle biopsy has an important role in the accurate histopathological diagnosis of lung masses. The present study aims to share our results of computed tomography-guided percutaneous core needle biopsy of lung masses.

Methods: A total of 117 patients had computed tomography-guided percutaneous core needle biopsy for lung masses between January 2017-September 2019 in our institution. In this study, these patients' post-procedural complications, diagnostic-yield-rates and radiological-histopathological correlations were evaluated retrospectively.

Results: Complications occurred in 23 (20%) patients (20 (17%) of pneumothorax; 3 (3%) of hemorrhage). Chest-tube-drainage was needed in five (4%) of all patients. No significant difference was found between complication rates and patient gender/age, tumor volume/localization or needle-path-length (p>0.05). In 77 of the 85 (91%) primary-lung-cancer-cases radiological and pathological diagnostic results were correlated.

Conclusion: Computed tomography-guided core needle biopsy has a high diagnostic yield rate with acceptable complication rates in the diagnosis of lung masses.
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riority of core-needle-biopsy (CNB) to fine-needle-aspiration-biopsy (FNAB) in sampling with similar complication rates have been reported in several studies.\(^4, 7, 10\) In this study, we aimed to share the results of computed tomography-guided percutaneous core needle biopsy of lung masses performed in our institution.

**Methods**

This retrospective study was approved by our institution's ethical committee (Number 2329; Date: 02.04.2019). A total of 117 patients (88 (75.2%) male, 29 (24.8%) female) with a mean age of 63.7±9.8 (age range: 37-88) had CT-guided transthoracic CNB between January 2017-April 2019 in single-center. The mean volume of the tumors was 74.3±125.1 cl (min: 0.5; max: 688.0). Sixteen (13.7%) of the masses were located centrally while 101 (86.3%) of them were located peripherally. Patients were evaluated concerning biopsy-indication, lesion-localization, appropriateness for biopsy-procedure (parenchyma-capacity, hemogram-coagulation profile, antiplatelet-anticoagulant medication-use and capability for procedure). Contrast-enhanced thoracic CT and positron-emission-tomography-CT (PET-CT) images were evaluated before the biopsy. All the patients were informed about the biopsy-related-complications and informed-patient-consents were obtained. All the interventions were performed under CT-guidance (Toshiba, Alexion, Japan and Siemens, Somotom, Emotion, Germany) by two interventional-radiologists under sterile conditions. The safest and the shortest approach way and the most appropriate patient position (supine, prone or lateral decubitus) were planned before the biopsy procedure (Fig. 1). To reduce the risk of pneumothorax, the multi-puncture of the pleura was carefully avoided. All the procedures were performed under local anesthesia. The biopsy needle was placed in the most contrast-enhanced part or most FDG-avid area of the tumor to avoid to sample the necrotic-areas. CNBs were performed using an 18G semi-automatic core-biopsy-needle (adjustable to 1 cm and 2 cm specimen-notch) through a 17G coaxial-needle (Fig. 2). To obtain a greater amount of tissues, at least two needle passes were performed in each biopsy with a single pleural puncture through a coaxial system. To prevent complica-
tion risk in CNB and to provide sufficiency, we had control CT scans to confirm before firing the needle that either the tip of the needle remains within the lesion or stops in a safe place. The samples were fixed in formalin (Fig. 3). All the specimens were evaluated by the same pathologist. The biopsy result was considered as non-diagnostic if the pathological evaluation failed to identify any specific diagnosis. Just after the biopsy, a control-CT scan of the biopsy area was obtained to assess the possible complications. Patients were observed in the hospital for about 3-hours, and control chest-radiography was obtained 3-hours after the biopsy. Post-procedural complication-rates, diagnostic-yield-rates and radiological-histopathological correlation were evaluated retrospectively. Also, relationships between the complications and the gender of the patients, the volume of the tumors, localization of the tumors (upper or lower lobes peripheral or central) and needle-path-lengths were evaluated.

For statistical analysis, Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) for Windows (Version 21.0, Chicago, SPSS Inc.) program was used. Descriptive statistics were given as number and percentage for categorical variables and as mean, standard deviation, minimum, maximum and median for numerical variables. Ratios in independent groups were tested by Chi-Square Analysis. Statistical significance was defined as a p-value of ≤0.05.

**Results**

Complications occurred in 23 (20%) patients (20 (17%) of pneumothorax; 3 (3%) of hemorrhage). Chest-tube-drainage was needed in five (4%) of all patients. No statistically significant difference was found between complication rates and patient gender, patient age, tumor volume, lesion localization (upper or lower lobes, peripheral or central) and needle-path-length (p>0.05).

A total of 106 (91%) of the biopsy specimens had histopathological diagnostic adequacy for a molecular-analysis. In 77 of the 85 (91%) of primary-cancer-cases and in 9 of the 10 (90%) of metastatic-cases radiological and pathological diagnostic results were consistent (Fig. 4). The radio-pathological diagnostic yield rates of the lesions are summarized in Table 1.

**Discussion**

In clinical practice, CT-gTTNB is used very often in the diagnosis of lung cancer with a procedure sensitivity of nearly 90%.[1] CT-gTTNB is a safe procedure with a mortality rate of lower than 0.05%.[2] Due to development in personal-

---

**Table 1.** The yield rates of the radiological diagnoses

| Pathological diagnoses | Non-diagnostic | Lung cancer | Metastasis | Benign | Total number of the patients |
|------------------------|---------------|-------------|------------|--------|-----------------------------|
| Radiological diagnoses, n (%) | 12 (100.0) | 85 (100.0) | 10 (100.0) | 10 (100.0) | 117 (100.0) |

---

**Figure 3.** (a, b) The samples were checked for macroscopic adequacy (a) and were fixed in formalin (b).

**Figure 4.** (a, b) A 58 years-old female with breast cancer history in the background. A 12 mm nodular lesion in the right lung was found suspicious for a primary lung cancer radiologically (a, b). Core-needle biopsy result revealed primary lung adenocarcinoma in this patient. Assessment of the radiological morphology of the masses is important in the differential diagnosis.
ized cancer therapy, to identify actionable mutations with greater amounts of tissue has an important role in the current molecular profiling era.\[^9\] In NSCLC, searching for EGFR-mutations and ALK-gene-rearrangements is essential.\[^7-9\] Thus, obtaining sufficient tissue with CT-gTTNB is crucial. Although sampling and diagnosis can be made either by CNB or FNAB, CNB is superior in molecular-analysis because it provides more cellular tissue of samples than FNAB for genomic testing.\[^10\]

Since it has an advantage due to the lightweight of the gun and giving an option of advancing the specimen-notch into the mass before firing the biopsy needle, a semi-automatic-needle was preferred for core-biopsy.\[^11\] To prevent complication risk in CNB and to provide sufficiency, a control-CT-scan was performed to confirm the tip of the needle before firing that either it was remaining within the lesion or stops in a safe place.\[^11\] In this point of view, using a semi-automatic-needle was more advantageous compared to full-automatic-needle that full-automatic needle did not allow to have control CT-scan before firing the needle due to its high-weight. Also, another reason was that the tissue around the sampling lesion might have a trauma effect due to the vibration of the needle at the time of firing due to the bigger power of full-automatic-needle.

During FNAB, an on-site cytologist may be required to improve the diagnostic-quality and reduce the number of passes. As we did not have an on-site cytologist in the clinic, CNB was preferred in all of the cases without minding the size of the masses due to its superiority in definite pathological-diagnosis. The overall diagnostic yield rate was 91%, which was consistent with the literature.\[^12\] All the samples (out of non-diagnostic 11 (9%) cases) that were obtained by CNB were adequate for the analysis of molecular-subtypes. Accurate radiologic-pathologic correlation can lower the risk of over or under-diagnosis and can aid in optimal patient selection for CT-gTTNB.\[^13, 14\] The radiological diagnosis had high positive-predictive-values (PPV) for primary-lung-cancer and metastasis with rates of 91% and 90%, respectively, in the current study.

The overall complication-ratio was 20%, which was consistent with the literature.\[^2, 15, 16\] The most common procedure-related complications were pneumothorax and pulmonary-hemorrhage (as perilesional ground-glass-opacity) with the incidence of 17% and 3%, respectively. In five (25%) of all pneumothorax cases (4% of all cases), a percutaneous drainage-catheter or chest-tube placement was required, while none of the hemorrhage cases needed therapeutic consequences. There was no hemothorax, air-embolism or patient mortality. In a meta-analysis, complication rates for CNB and FNA were reported as 39% and 24%, respectively.\[^12\] In our study, complication-rates for CNB were not higher than the rates for either CNB or FNAB that have been reported in the literature.\[^2, 11, 15-17\] Although it is reported that the incidence of pneumothorax significantly increases with the decreasing size of the nodule,\[^13\] in this study, we found no significant correlation between the lesion volume and the complication-rates.

To prevent pneumothorax risk, reducing the number of crosses of pleural-surface and avoiding fissure or bullae, plugging of the needle tract, positioning the patient with the puncture-side down after the biopsy have been recommended by some authors as intraprocedural techniques in the literature.\[^2\] We used single pleural-puncture, avoided crossing pleural-fissure or bullae and positioned the patient on intervened-side down to prevent complications, too. Although we did not perform plugging of the needle-tract, our pneumothorax complication-rate was not higher than the literature.

As a shortcoming of this study, this is a retrospective study designed in a single center with a small patient group. The experience of the two radiologists in interventional radiology was different (4 years to 13 years), which may be an effect on complication rates. Comparative analysis (regarding the complication rates and diagnostic adequacy) could not be performed between the two radiologists because there was a large difference between the number of biopsies performed by two interventional radiologists. This study can be improved with a wider patient group designed as multicentric.

## Conclusion
CT-guided core needle biopsy is a reliable and efficient method with acceptable complication rates in the differential diagnosis of the lung-masses. The radiological diagnosis had a high PPV in this study. Thus, CNB should be preferred in the diagnosis of lung masses due to its high diagnostic-yield-rate and acceptable complication rates.
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