Impaired Performance on the Reading the Mind in the Eyes Test in First-Episode Psychosis and Clinical High Risk for Psychosis
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Objective Although previous studies have reported impaired performance in the reading the mind in the eyes test (RMET), which measures complex emotion recognition abilities, in patients with schizophrenia, reports regarding individuals at clinical high risk (CHR) for psychosis have been inconsistent, mainly due to the interacting confounding effects of general cognitive abilities and age. We compared RMET performances across first-episode psychosis (FEP) patients, CHR individuals, and healthy controls (HCs) while controlling for the effects of both general cognitive abilities and age.

Methods A total of 25 FEP, 41 CHR, and 44 HC subjects matched for age participated in this study. RMET performance scores were compared across the groups using analysis of variance with sex and intelligence quotient as covariates. Exploratory Pearson’s correlation analyses were performed to reveal the potential relationships of RMET scores with clinical symptom severity in the FEP and CHR groups.

Results RMET performance scores were significantly lower among FEP and CHR participants than among HCs. FEP patients and CHR subjects showed comparable RMET performance scores. RMET scores were negatively correlated with Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS) positive symptom subscale scores in the FEP patients. No significant correlation was identified between RMET scores and other clinical scale scores.

Conclusion Impaired RMET performance is present from the risk stage of psychosis, which might be related to positive symptom severity in early psychosis. Longitudinal studies are necessary to confirm the stability of complex emotion recognition impairments and their relationship with social functioning in early psychosis patients.
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ported in various clinical stages of psychotic disorders ranging from chronic schizophrenia to first-episode psychosis (FEP) and clinical high risk (CHR) for psychosis.3,10,11 However, non-social cognitive components such as the intelligence quotient (IQ), working memory, and executive function might compensate for actual reasoning ToM performance, especially in CHR subjects.12–14

The representative task in the complex emotion recognition category of ToM is the reading the mind in the eyes test (RMET), which assesses complex emotion recognition abilities to perceive others’ emotions through the eyes.8,15 Contrary to basic emotions (e.g., joy, sadness, fear, anger, disgust), complex emotions (e.g., shame, pride) are more subtle and essential to forming sophisticated social relationships throughout life.16,17 Although both cognitive and perceptual processes occur during RMET performance,5,18 perceptive aspects are thought to play a vital role because RMET performance mainly depends on the ability to perceive imminent, observable information from facial expressions.8,19 Therefore, RMET performance may be less affected by general cognitive abilities and more representative of real-world social cognitive functioning in psychosis patients.8,19,20

Impaired RMET performance has been consistently reported in chronic schizophrenia and FEP patients.3,10,21 However, previous study results regarding CHR individuals have not reached a clear conclusion in that three studies that matched IQ across the groups reported intact RMET performance,22–24 whereas two studies from the same research group that did not assess IQ scores reported impairments in CHR subjects.12,25 Such inconsistencies may be explained by the fact that social cognitive performance in CHR individuals is affected by two important interacting confounders, namely, general cognitive ability and age.26,27 The influence of general cognitive abilities on social cognitive performance has been suggested to be larger during adolescence than during adulthood.28–30 However, previous studies comparing RMET performance between CHR subjects, who are mostly in adolescence and early adulthood when general cognitive abilities affect social cognition, and schizophrenia patients and healthy controls (HCs), who are mostly in adulthood when general cognitive abilities have less effect on social cognition, did not simultaneously control for age and IQ.12,22–25 Furthermore, little is known about the associations between RMET performance and psychotic symptom severity in early psychosis patients. Two previous studies reported no significant relationship between RMET performance and symptom severity in FEP patients31 and CHR subjects,32 whereas another study reported an association between RMET performance and psychotic symptom severity in help-seeking individuals.32

In the current study, we compared RMET performance between age-matched patients with FEP, subjects at CHR for psychosis, and HCs to confirm whether impairments in complex emotion recognition abilities measured by the RMET are present from the CHR stage after controlling for the interacting effects of general cognitive abilities and age. We hypothesized that age-matched FEP and CHR participants would show comparably poorer RMET performance than HCs when IQ was used as a covariate to control for the effect of general cognitive abilities. In addition, to reveal possible relationships between complex emotion recognition abilities and psychiatric symptoms in these clinical populations, exploratory correlation analyses between RMET performance and symptom severity were performed in the CHR and FEP groups.

METHODS

Participants and clinical assessments

A total of 25 FEP patients, 41 CHR individuals, and 45 HCs matched for age participated in this study (the age range of all three groups was 18 to 32 years). FEP and CHR participants were recruited from the prospective and longitudinal high-risk cohort study conducted at the Seoul Youth Clinic (http://www.youthclinic.org) in Seoul National University Hospital (SNUH).33 HCs were recruited through an Internet advertisement and screened for axis I diagnoses with the SCID-I Non-Patient Edition (SCID-NP). HCs with a family history of psychotic disorder were excluded from the study. The abbreviated version of the Korean-Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale was used to measure IQ in all participants.34 The common exclusion criteria included intellectual disability (IQ <70), a history of substance use disorder, neurological disease, head trauma with loss of consciousness, seizure, or any other significant medical illnesses.

FEP patients were defined when they met the diagnostic criteria for schizophreniform disorder, schizophrenia, or schizoaffective disorder according to the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition (DSM-IV) and the duration of illness was less than 2 years. The Positive and Negative Symptom Scale (PANSS) was administered to assess psychotic symptom severity. The CHR subjects were assessed using the validated Korean version of the Structured Interview for Prodromal Symptoms (SIPS).35,36 Prodromal status was confirmed if the participants met at least one of the following three criteria: 1) attenuated positive symptoms (APSs), 2) the presence of brief intermittent psychotic symptoms (BIPSSs), and 3) a genetic risk with deterioration (GRD). The severity of prodromal psychotic symptoms was assessed using the Scale of Prodromal Symptoms (SOPS).35,36 Intensive clinical interviews were conducted by experienced psychiatrists using the Structured Clinical Interview for the Diagnostic and Statisti-
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Olmsted Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition Axis I disorders (SCID-I) to identify past and current psychiatric illnesses. To define general functional status, the global assessment of functioning (GAF) was administered to both the CHR and FEP groups. Medication prescriptions at the time of enrollment were reviewed through electronic medical records. At the time of assessment, 88% (n=22) of FEP patients were receiving atypical antipsychotic medications, a mood stabilizer was prescribed for 1 patient, antidepressants were prescribed for 3 patients, and anxiolytics were prescribed for 14 patients. At the time of enrollment, 17% (n=7) of the CHR subjects were receiving antipsychotic medications, mood stabilizers were prescribed for 3 patients, antidepressants were prescribed for 11 patients, and anxiolytics were prescribed for 12 patients. The dose of antipsychotic medication prescribed was calculated as the mean olanzapine equivalent dose.37 The mean daily dose for the FEP patients calculated by the olanzapine equivalent dose was 11.9±10.3 mg, and that for the CHR subjects was 0.6±2.2 mg.

This study was conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Institutional Review Board of SNUH (IRB no. H-1912-108-108). Each subject received a complete description of the study and provided written informed consent before participation in the previous prospective cohort study (IRB no. H-1201-008-392). For the minors who participated in this study, informed consent was obtained from both the participants and their parents.

Reading the mind in the eyes test

The validated Korean version of the RMET was used to assess complex emotion recognition abilities in all participants,38 which included 36 photographs of the eyes of Korean actors and actresses from movies with various expressions. All photos in the study were black and white, and each photo was 15×6 cm in size (Figure 1). For each item, the participants were asked to choose one of four words that best described the expression in the eyes. No time limits were imposed, and all emotional words conformed to the original task described by Baron-Cohen et al.15 RMET performance scores were calculated as the sum of the number of correct answers (i.e., a higher score depicts better performance on the RMET).

Statistical analysis

The statistical analyses were performed using SPSS v.25.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Statistical significance was set at p<0.05. Demographic characteristics were compared using analysis of variance (ANOVA) across the FEP, CHR, and HC groups for continuous variables. Chi-square tests were used for categorical variables. Clinical characteristics, including symptom severity and the olanzapine equivalent dose of antipsychotics, were compared using independent samples t-tests in the FEP and CHR groups. Univariate analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was conducted to examine group differences in RMET performance. The covariate included in the ANCOVA was sex, which was significantly different across the groups. IQ was also used as a covariate because the RMET is known to have a correlation with general intelligence in the normal population39 and to control the possible confounding effect of general cognitive abilities in youth with early psychosis.26 Bonferroni corrections were applied for post hoc analyses. Exploratory Pearson’s correlation analyses were performed to reveal the potential relationships between RMET performance scores and symptom severity and general functional status in the FEP and CHR groups.

RESULTS

Demographic and clinical characteristics of the subjects

Table 1 summarizes the demographic and clinical characteristics of the subjects. A significant group difference in sex was noted, with more females in the FEP group than in the CHR and HC groups (χ²=11.871, p=0.003). No significant difference in age or education years was found across all groups. General functional status measured by the GAF did not differ between the FEP and CHR participants (t=0.816, p=0.417). In addition, the FEP patients received a larger olanzapine equivalent dose of antipsychotic medications than the CHR subjects (t=6.793, p<0.001).

Group comparison of RMET performance

Group comparison results for RMET performance scores are presented in Table 2 and Figure 2. ANOVA with sex and IQ as covariates revealed a significant group difference in RMET performance scores across the FEP, CHR, and HC groups (F₂,105=5.174, p=0.007). Post hoc Bonferroni correction showed that both FEP patients (p=0.013) and CHR individuals (p=...
0.049) had significantly lower RMET performance scores than HCs.

**Exploratory correlation analysis results**

Exploratory Pearson's correlation analyses were conducted to investigate the potential relationships between RMET performance scores and clinical symptom severity in the FEP and CHR groups. These results are summarized in Table 3. PANSS positive symptom subscale scores were negatively correlated with RMET performance scores ($r=-0.419$, $p=0.037$) in the FEP patients (Figure 3). In the CHR group, no significant correlation was found between RMET performance scores and SOPS subscale scores. Furthermore, we found no significant correlation between GAF scores and RMET performance scores in both clinical groups (FEP, $p=0.141$; CHR, $p=0.306$).
These inconsistencies may be partly due to the interacting meaningful features of interpersonal relationships. While requiring little semantic or reasoning abilities and engaging in recognition, with its study characteristics being intuitive (i.e., eye gaze and face recognition) and less semantic reasoning, might have contributed to the similarly impaired RMET performance in the CHR group.

Notably, the RMET performance impairments observed in the CHR individuals were comparable to those in the FEP patients after controlling for IQ. The nature of the RMET, which requires instant perceptive abilities (i.e., eye gaze and face recognition) and less semantic reasoning, might have contributed to the comparably impaired RMET performance in the CHR subjects and FEP patients. Previous studies have demonstrated that CHR individuals have dysfunctional visual and facial perception abilities comparable to those of FEP and chronic schizophrenia patients. Therefore, the comparably impaired RMET performance in CHR and FEP individuals might represent significant perceptual disturbances not fully offset by general cognitive abilities such as IQ. In addition, this result indicates that traces of social dysfunction as measured by the RMET are present from the psychosis risk stage and may not be a result of the onset of psychosis. Therefore, the RMET can be useful tool both for early detection of individuals at CHR for psychosis and the development of a treatment tool for social cognitive functioning.

We found a significant negative association between RMET performance and positive symptom severity in the FEP patients, which is in line with the mechanism of sustained psychotic symptoms reported by a previous study suggesting that distortion in emotion recognition may contribute to the maintenance of faulty attribution or delusions. On the other hand, Vohs et al. reported a significant association between RMET performance and negative symptoms in FEP patients, which was not found in our study. However, according to the study by Guastella et al., while RMET performance was related to both positive and negative symptoms, it significantly predict-
ed only positive symptom severity when IQ and other neurocognitive abilities, such as verbal learning and sustained attention, were considered. In our study, no significant difference in IQ was found across the groups, indicating that the general intelligence of our FEP group was relatively intact, which may account for the efeaced association between negative symptoms and RMET scores. In addition, since our FEP group patients were mostly stabilized after acute treatment, we can infer that targeting remnant positive symptoms may be more specifically useful for improving complex emotion recognition rather than other symptom dimensions in these patients.

Several limitations exist in this study. First, a relatively small number of participants were included in the FEP group compared to the CHR and HC groups to match age. Second, significantly more females were included in the FEP group than in the other two groups, and most of the patients with FEP were taking antipsychotic medications at the time of participation in the experiment. However, previous meta-analyses demonstrated that sex and antipsychotic medication were not factors explaining impaired social cognition in schizophrenia patients. Although we used sex as a covariate in the group comparisons, the results of the current study should be interpreted with caution considering the potential confounding effects of sex and antipsychotic medication on RMET performance. Third, because of the cross-sectional study design, we could not address longitudinal changes in RMET performance across the different stages of early psychosis.

In conclusion, this study first demonstrated similarly impaired complex emotion recognition abilities in the age-matched FEP and CHR groups after controlling for the potential confounding effect of IQ compared to the HC group. In addition, the impairment in RMET performance did not differ between the CHR and FEP groups, suggesting that substantial impairment in recognizing complex emotions through the eyes begins to emerge at the prodromal stage of illness. Furthermore, RMET performance in the FEP group was specifically associated with the positive symptom dimension, suggesting that appropriate treatment to allay positive symptoms may facilitate the perception of subtle interpersonal emotions in this group. Identification and correction of individual attribution errors through individualized therapy (e.g., cognitive therapy) may also be helpful. Future longitudinal studies with age-, sex-, and IQ-matched, medication-naive participants are warranted to confirm the current study results.
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