Performance of Introducing Outdoor Cold Air for Cooling a Plant Production System with Artificial Light
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The commercial use of a plant production system with artificial light (PPAL) is limited by its high initial construction and operation costs. The electric-energy consumed by heat pumps, applied mainly for cooling, accounts for 15–35% of the total electric-energy used in a PPAL. To reduce the electric-energy consumption, an air exchanger with low capacity (180 W) was used for cooling by introducing outdoor cold air. In this experiment, the indoor air temperature in two PPALs (floor area: 6.2 m² each) was maintained at 25 and 20°C during photoperiod and dark period, respectively, for lettuce production. A null CO₂ balance enrichment method was used in both PPALs. In one PPAL (PPAL_e), an air exchanger (air flow rate: 250 m³·h⁻¹) was used along with a heat pump (cooling capacity: 3.2 kW) to maintain the indoor air temperature at the set-point. The other PPAL (PPAL_c) with only a heat pump (cooling capacity: 3.2 kW) was used for reference. Effects of introducing outdoor cold air on energy use efficiency, coefficient of performance (COP), electric-energy consumption for cooling and growth of lettuce were investigated. The results show that: when the air temperature difference between indoor and outdoor ranged from 20.2 to 30.0°C: (1) the average energy use efficiency of the air exchanger was 2.8 and 3.4 times greater than the COP of the heat pumps in the PPAL_e and PPAL_c, respectively; (2) hourly electric-energy consumption for cooling in the PPAL_e reduced by 15.8–73.7% compared with that in the PPAL_c; (3) daily supply of CO₂ in the PPAL_e reduced from 0.15 to 0.04 kg compared with that in the PPAL_c with the outdoor air temperature ranging from 2 to 5.6°C; (4) no significant difference in lettuce growth was observed in both PPALs. The results indicate that using air exchanger to introduce outdoor cold air should be considered as an effective way to reduce electric-energy consumption for cooling with little effects on plant growth in a PPAL.
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INTRODUCTION

Recently, plant production systems with artificial light (PPAL) have been gradually used for plant growth in Asian countries, like China and Japan, due to their incomparable advantages of year round scheduled high-quality plant production, high resources use efficiencies, little environmental pollutions, etc. (Kozai, 2012; Fang, 2013). Unlike a greenhouse, the wall of a PPAL is constructed...
with opaque thermal insulated materials and artificial lighting is the sole light source for plant growth (Kozai et al., 2006; Li et al., 2012). Heat pumps are often used to remove extra heat generated from artificial lighting to maintain an optimum air temperature. Therefore, the operation cost of a PPAL is high and the commercial use of PPAL is limited. The cost of electric-energy consumed by artificial lighting and heat pumps, accounts for more than 30% of the total operation cost in a PPAL (Fang, 2013). The electric-energy consumed by heat pumps, accounts for 15–35% of the total electric-energy consumption in a PPAL, is mainly used for cooling (Nishimura et al., 2001; Ohyama et al., 2001). Therefore, reducing the electric-energy consumption of heat pumps would be helpful for reducing the operation cost of a PPAL.

Several methods were investigated to reduce the electric-energy consumed by heat pumps for cooling: (1) employing light devices with low heat generation, such as light emitting diode (e.g., Poulet et al., 2014), for reducing heat load in a PPAL, (2) changing light intensity at different plant growth stages (e.g., Tong and Yang, 2014), (3) employing movable light systems (e.g., Li et al., 2014), and (4) introducing seasonal ice storage system for cooling (Yang et al., 2012), etc. However, few studies have been reported on reducing electric-energy consumption for cooling by improving the coefficient of performance (COP) or shortening the operation time of heat pumps working with low COP. It has been reported that high COP for cooling is usually achieved when cooling load is 60–80% of the cooling capacity of a heat pump (Tong et al., 2013). Too low/high heat load reduces the COP. Low heat load also causes frequent ON/OFF operation of heat pumps, which reduces the COP. Outdoor air, whenever its temperature is below the air temperature in the PPAL, is a potential cold source for cooling the air temperature in a PPAL. And therefore direct air exchange may be more energy-efficient than using a heat pump. The main drawback of direct air exchange is that CO$_2$ utilization efficiency would decrease when indoor CO$_2$ concentration needs to be kept at high levels (e.g., 1000 µmol mol$^{-1}$) because some of the injected CO$_2$ would be lost during the air exchange process (Tingey et al., 2000). Without CO$_2$ enrichment, CO$_2$ depletion (50–200 µmol mol$^{-1}$) could occur during photoperiod, even with natural ventilation (Sanchez-Guerrero et al., 2005). Under such conditions, CO$_2$ concentration may become a limiting environmental factor for photosynthesis (Thongbai et al., 2010). Therefore, a null CO$_2$ balance enrichment method, where CO$_2$ concentration is maintained at the same as outdoor level, is proposed to keep high utilization efficiency of supplied CO$_2$ when the outdoor cold air is introduced into a PPAL (Kozai, 2009).

In this study, we investigated air exchanging aided by a heat pump as a primary cooling method. The performance of the cooling method was compared with the cooling method that depended solely on a heat pump. To avoid CO$_2$ depletion and keep a high CO$_2$ utilization efficiency, the null CO$_2$ balance enrichment method was used. Two PPALs employed each of the two cooling methods. The objectives of this study are: (1) to analyze the feasibility of saving electric-energy by exchanging air with outdoor cool air in comparison with using only the heat pump; (2) to examine whether air exchanging would impact the amount of supplied CO$_2$ if null CO$_2$ balance enrichment method is used; and (3) to determine whether introducing outdoor air would have any negative effects on plant growth.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plant Production System with Artificial Light (PPAL)**

Two PPALs were used in this experiment. Each PPAL with a volume of 11.7 m$^3$ (2.2 m wide, 2.8 m long and 1.9 m high) was built in 2012 and located in China Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Beijing. The Plan view of the PPALs is shown in Figure 1. Each PPAL was installed two plant cultivation modules with three layers. The dimension of the plant cultivation modules was 2.1 × 0.7 × 1.8 m. Each layer was equipped with a cultivation bed (2.0 m long, 0.6 m wide, and 0.06 m deep) and fluorescent lamps (24 W, NYC-YZ24-T5, Guangdong, China), 0.4 m above the cultivation bed. In the culture beds, nutrient solution was circulated continuously by water pumps. Styrofoam boards were floated on the nutrient solution to support lettuce plants. Each PPAL was equipped with an air source heat pump for cooling (cooling capacity: 3.2 kW, FTXN32KV2C, Daikin, Industries, Ltd, Japan) and a CO$_2$ gas cylinder for CO$_2$ enrichment. On the ceiling of the experimental PPAL (PPAL$_c$), one air exchanger (capacity: 180 W, air flow rate: 250 m$^3$.h$^{-1}$, FY-25LD2C, Guangdong, China) and an air filter (FY-BFG062C, Panasonic Ecology Systems Guangdong Co., Ltd) was installed for exchanging indoor warm and humid air with outdoor cold and dry air. Another PPAL (PPAL$_e$) with only the heat pump was used as reference.

**Plant Materials and Growth Conditions**

Lettuce plants (Lactuca sativa var.) were grown in the PPALs during the experiment. Lettuce seeds were sown in a plastic tray under LED light (80 µmol·m$^{-2}$·s$^{-1}$) and irrigated with tap water once a day. Fifteen days after sowing, uniform seedlings were transplanted into the styrofoam boards with a density of 25 plants·m$^{-2}$ and grown hydroponically using modified
Hoagland’s solution, whose chemical composition was as follows (mg L\(^{-1}\)): Ca(NO\(_3\))\(_2\)-4H\(_2\)O, 945; KNO\(_3\), 607; NH\(_4\)H\(_2\)PO\(_4\), 115; MgSO\(_4\)-7H\(_2\)O, 495; Na\(_2\)Fe-EDTA, 30; MnSO\(_4\)-H\(_2\)O, 1.61; CuSO\(_4\)-5H\(_2\)O, 0.08; ZnSO\(_4\)-7H\(_2\)O, 0.22; (NH\(_4\))\(_6\)Mo\(_7\)O\(_24\)-4H\(_2\)O, 0.02; H\(_2\)BO\(_3\), 2.86. The nutrient solution was renewed once a week and the electrical conductivity of the solution was adjusted to 2.0 dS m\(^{-1}\). Nutrient solution pH was maintained at 6.0 to 6.5. During the experiment, indoor air temperature was set at 25 and 20°C during photoperiod and dark period, respectively. Photosynthetic photon flux (PPF) measured at a distance of 10 cm up from cultivation beds was 150 \(\mu\text{mol}\text{m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}\) with a photoperiod of 12 h d\(^{-1}\) (dark period: 21:00—09:00). Indoor CO\(_2\) concentration was always kept at the same as outdoor level.

**Calculations**

**Coefficient Of Performance (COP) for Cooling of the Heat Pump**

COP of the heat pump for cooling a PPAL was defined as:

\[
COP = \frac{Q_{hp}}{P}
\]

where \(Q_{hp}\): heat energy removed rate by the heat pump (W), \(P\): electric-energy consumption rate of the heat pump (W). Heat energy removed rate by the heat pump was calculated by the general known equation:

\[
Q_{hp} = q \cdot \rho \cdot (i_i - i_d)
\]

where \(q\): air flow rate of the heat pump (m\(^3\)·s\(^{-1}\)); \(\rho\): density of dry air (kg (D.A.)·m\(^{-3}\)); \(i_i\): enthalpy at the air suction of the internal unit of the heat pump (J·kg\(^{-1}\) (D.A.)); \(i_d\): enthalpy at the discharge parts of the internal unit of the heat pump (J·kg\(^{-1}\) (D.A.)).

The sensible heat factor of the heat pump (\(\text{SHF}_{hp}\)) was defined as:

\[
\text{SHF}_{hp} = 1 - \frac{Q_{l-hp}}{Q_{hp}}
\]

where

\[
Q_{l-hp} = \lambda \cdot q \cdot \rho \cdot (x_i - x_d)
\]

is the latent heat load (W), with \(\lambda\): latent heat of water vaporization (2.5 \times 10^6 J·kg\(^{-1}\) at 20°C; ASHRAE, 2001); \(x_i, x_d\): absolute humidity at the air suction and discharge ports of the internal unit of the heat pump (kg·kg\(^{-1}\) (D.A.)).
Electric-Energy Use Efficiency of the Air Exchanger

The electric-energy use efficiency of air exchanger (EUE) was defined as:

\[ EUE = \frac{Q_{ax}}{E} \]  

(5)

where \( Q_{ax} \): heat energy removed rate by the air exchanger (W), \( E \): electricity consumption rate of the air exchanger (W).

Heat energy removed rate by the air exchanger was calculated similar to Equation (2):

\[ Q_{ax} = q \cdot \rho \cdot (i_i - i_o) \]  

(6)

where \( i_i \): enthalpy of the indoor air (J·kg\(^{-1}\)·(D.A.)); \( i_o \): enthalpy of the outdoor air(J·kg\(^{-1}\)·(D.A.)).

The sensible heat factor of air exchanger (\( SHF_{ax} \)) can be estimated by:

\[ SHF_{ax} = 1 - \frac{Q_{l-ax}}{Q_{ax}} \]  

(7)

Where

\[ Q_{l-ax} = \lambda \cdot q \cdot \rho \cdot (x_i - x_o) \]  

(8)

is the latent heat load (W); \( x_i \): absolute humidity of the air expelled from the PPAL\(_e\) (kg·kg\(^{-1}\)·(D.A.)); \( x_o \): absolute humidity of the outdoor air entering the PPAL\(_e\) (kg·kg\(^{-1}\)·(D.A.)).

Reduction in the Amount of Supplied CO\(_2\)

The reduction in the amount of supplied CO\(_2\) (R) was calculated by:

\[ R = C_c - C_e \]  

(9)

Where \( C_c \): daily amount of CO\(_2\) supplemented to the PPAL\(_c\) (kg); and \( C_e \): daily amount of CO\(_2\) supplemented to the PPAL\(_e\) (kg).

Measurements

Environmental Conditions Inside and Outside the PPALs

The inside air temperature and relative humidity of both the PPAL\(_e\) and PPAL\(_c\) were measured by sensors (TR-72WF, T&D, Co. Japan; precision: air temperature ±0.3°C, relative humidity ±3%). Three sensors were set at a height of 1.5 m from the ground at three measuring points in the middle of each PPAL. The air temperature and relative humidity at the air suction and air discharge ports of the internal unit of each heat pump were measured manually once every second using an air flow meter (Model 6533, Kanomax, Japan).

The outdoor air temperature, relative humidity (TR-72WF, T&D, Co. Japan; precision: air temperature ±0.3°C, relative humidity ±3%) and CO\(_2\) concentration (GMT 222, Vaisala Oyj, Helsinki, Finland) were recorded every minute in a small weather station 5 m away from the experimental PPAL. All the data were recorded every minute.

Energy Consumption in Both PPALs

The electric-energy consumption rates of heat pumps and air exchanger were measured by wattmeters (KWm8115, Panasonic Electric Works, Japan) and recorded every minute.

Daily Use of CO\(_2\) Supplemented to Both PPALs

Daily use of CO\(_2\) supplemented to both PPALs were determined from continuously measurement of the weight of the CO\(_2\) cylinders, using electronic scales (FZ-TCS50, Julin, Instruments, Co., Xiamen, China).

Measurement of Lettuce Plant Growth and Chlorophyll Concentration

At the 25 and 35 days after transplanting, lettuce plants were randomly sampled to measure their growth. Fifteen plants from each PPAL were harvested each time to measure their fresh weights. Dry weights were measured after being dried at 80°C for 72 h.

Samples were excised from the leaves of 10 plants at a similar position for each treatment. Leaves were weighed out in 0.1–0.2 g (fresh weight). The extractions were performed using 10 ml (V) of 80% acetone until the leaf turned white. The optical density was measured with UV-1800 spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, Japan) at 663 nm (OD663) and at 645 nm (OD645) for chlorophyll a (Chl a) and chlorophyll b (Chl b). The chlorophyll concentrations (Chl) were determined as the method described in Lichtenenthal and Wellburn (1983).

Statistical analysis

Statistical differences among the treatments were analyzed by the least significant difference (LSD) test (\( p < 0.05 \)) when analysis of variance (ANOVA) by SPSS software (SPSS for Windows, SPSS Inc., USA) indicated treatment significance.

RESULTS

Energy Use Efficiencies of Air Exchanger and Heat Pumps

Both the EUE of air exchanger and the COP of heat pumps for cooling increased with the increase in air temperature difference between indoor and outdoor. When the air temperature difference between indoor and outdoor ranged from 20.2 to 30.0°C, the EUE of the air exchanger for cooling the PPAL\(_e\) ranged from 18.6 to 32.9 with an average of 25.6, the COP of the heat pump in the PPAL\(_e\) ranged from 4.2 to 18.2 with an average of 9.0, and the COP of the heat pump in the PPAL\(_c\) ranged from 1.6 to 16.8 with an average of 7.5 (Figure 2). The average EUE of
The air temperature difference between indoor and outdoor ranged from 0.7 to 0.9, the SHF of the heat pump in the PPAL\textsubscript{e} ranged from 0.5 to 0.7, while the SHF of the heat pump in the PPAL\textsubscript{c} ranged from 0.4 to 0.8 (Figure 3). The high fluctuation of air temperature inside the PPAL\textsubscript{e} was observed especially during lower outdoor air temperature (Figure 6A).

The VPD in the PPAL\textsubscript{e} ranged from 0.5 to 1.8 kPa during photoperiod and from 0.2 to 1.0 kPa during dark period. While the VPD in the PPAL\textsubscript{c} ranged from 0.2 to 1.8 kPa during photoperiod and from 0.2 to 1.0 kPa during dark period (Figure 6B).

CO\textsubscript{2} concentration during photoperiod in both PPALs could be maintained at around outdoor level in this experiment. Average CO\textsubscript{2} concentration during photoperiod

Reduction in the Use of Supplemental CO\textsubscript{2}

The PPAL\textsubscript{e} required 0.04–0.15 kg less CO\textsubscript{2} per day to replenish the CO\textsubscript{2} depletion than the PPAL\textsubscript{c}, when the daily average outdoor air temperature ranged from −5.6 to 2.7°C (Figure 5).

Environmental Conditions in Both PPALs

The air temperature inside both PPALs could be controlled within the acceptable ranges (23 to 27°C during photoperiod and 18 to 22°C during dark period, respectively). The air temperature inside the PPAL\textsubscript{e} was lower than that of PPAL\textsubscript{c} with a highest different value of 4.7°C. The high fluctuation of air temperature inside the PPAL\textsubscript{e} was observed especially during lower outdoor air temperature (Figure 6A).

The VP in the PPAL\textsubscript{e} ranged from 0.5 to 1.8 kPa during photoperiod and from 0.2 to 1.0 kPa during dark period. While the VP in the PPAL\textsubscript{c} ranged from 0.2 to 1.8 kPa during photoperiod and from 0.2 to 1.0 kPa during dark period (Figure 6B).

CO\textsubscript{2} concentration during photoperiod in both PPALs could be maintained at around outdoor level in this experiment. Average CO\textsubscript{2} concentration during photoperiod

### SHF of Air Exchanger and Heat Pumps

When the air temperature difference between indoor and outdoor ranged from 0.2 to 30.0°C, the SHF of the air exchanger ranged from 0.7 to 0.9, the SHF of the heat pump in the PPAL\textsubscript{e} ranged from 0.5 to 0.7, while the SHF of the heat pump in the PPAL\textsubscript{c} ranged from 0.4 to 0.8 (Figure 3).

### Electric-Energy Consumption of Air Exchanger and Heat Pumps

When the air temperature difference between indoor and outdoor ranged from 20.2 to 35.7°C, the hourly electric-energy consumption of the air exchanger ranged from 0.11 MJ to 0.58 MJ, while that of the heat pump in the PPAL\textsubscript{e} ranged from 0.32 to 0.04 MJ, and that of the heat pump in the PPAL\textsubscript{c} ranged from 0.86 to 0.47 MJ (Figure 4). The hourly electric-energy consumption in the PPAL\textsubscript{e} was 15.8–73.7% lower than that in the PPAL\textsubscript{c}.
was 493 and 510 μmol mol⁻¹ in the PPALₑ and PPALₑ, respectively, while the average outdoor CO₂ concentration was 498 μmol mol⁻¹ (Figure 6C). During dark period, CO₂ concentration in the PPALₑ increased from 472 to 769 μmol-mol⁻¹, while that in the PPALₑ increased from 522 to 841 μmol-mol⁻¹. CO₂ concentration during dark period was lower in the PPALₑ than that in the PPALₑ during this experiment.

**DISCUSSION**

**Feasibility of Saving Electric-Energy by Air Exchanging with Outdoor Air for Cooling**

In the present experiment, the high EUE of air exchanger indicates that air exchanger with a low capacity is more energy-efficient for cooling in a PPAL than the heat pump with a high capacity under the experimental conditions. In the present experiment, both the EUE of air exchanger and the COP of heat pumps for cooling increased with decreasing outdoor air temperature when the indoor air temperature could be maintained at around the set point, which agrees with previous reports (e.g., Tong et al., 2013). The reason of higher EUE of the air exchanger in comparison with the COP of the heat pumps probably was that the outdoor air with low temperature and humidity was introduced into indoor directly by using air exchanger with low capacity. The COP of the heat pump in the PPALₑ was slightly higher than the COP of heat pump in the PPALₑ, probably because the operation time of the heat pump in the PPALₑ in low heat load was reduced by introducing outdoor cold air.

In Figure 2, the scattered data for EUE of the air exchanger and COP of both heat pumps were probably because the EUE and COP were not only affected by the air temperature but also affected by the relative humidity of indoor and outdoor air (Tong et al., 2010). Thus, the air exchanger and heat pump not only extracted sensible heat but also latent heat from the PPALs. The SHF value represents the ratio of the sensible heat energy to the total heat energy removed from the PPALs by air exchanger and heat pumps. The higher SHF value of the air exchanger indicated that mainly sensible heat contributed to the total heat energy extracted from the PPALₑ, because introducing outdoor dry air reduced indoor-outdoor relative humidity difference. The SHF of the heat pump in the PPALₑ was slightly lower than that in the PPALₑ (Figure 3), probably because the higher indoor relative humidity increased the ratio of latent heat energy to the total heat energy extracted from the PPALₑ.

**Saving Electric-Energy by Using the Air Exchanger**

Hourly electric-energy consumption of the air exchanger and heat pumps as affected by air temperature difference between indoor and outdoor is shown in Figure 4. The electric-energy consumption of the heat pump both in the PPALₑ and the PPALₑ decreased with increasing air temperature difference between indoor and outdoor due to the increased COP. The hourly electric-energy consumption of the heat pump in the PPALₑ was much lower than that of the heat pump in the PPALₑ. Compared with the heat pump in the PPALₑ, saving-energy of the heat pump in the PPALₑ became more pronounced with increasing air temperature difference between indoor and outdoor. The above results indicated that the operation time of the heat pump in the PPALₑ was significantly shortened, particularly under large air temperature difference. The hourly electric-energy consumption of air exchanger increased with increasing air temperature difference between indoor and outdoor although the EUE increased. This was because with decreasing outdoor air...
temperature, operation time of the air exchanger increased since the indoor air temperature could be controlled at the set point using only air exchanger for cooling.

**Reduction in the Use of Supplemental CO$_2$**

In the experiment, to avoid high CO$_2$ depletion and low CO$_2$ utilization efficiency, CO$_2$ concentration was replenished to keep the concentration at outside level. The reduction in daily supply of CO$_2$ in the PPAL$_e$ was because CO$_2$ gas from outdoor air was supplied to the indoor air when the air exchanger was used and the pure CO$_2$ was used whenever the indoor CO$_2$ concentration could not be kept at outside level, while in the PPAL$_c$, only pure CO$_2$ was used to keep the indoor CO$_2$ concentration at outside level. Increasing operation time of the air exchanger for introducing outdoor cold air could save CO$_2$ supplied into a PPAL with the null CO$_2$ balance enrichment method. With increasing outdoor air temperature the operation time of the air exchanger decreased as shown in Figure 4, so then more pure CO$_2$ was needed.

**Environmental Conditions in Both PPALS**

Time course of air temperatures inside both PPALs shown in Figure 6A indicates that the air exchanger could be used for cooling in most of the time since the heat pump was operated for cooling when the indoor air temperature could not be controlled below 27 and 22°C during photoperiod and dark period, respectively. The fluctuation of air temperature inside the PPAL$_e$ was because different control strategies of the air exchanger and heat pumps were employed in this experiment. Heat pumps employed a proportional-integral-derivative (PID) control method, while the air exchanger employed a simple ON/OFF control method.

CO$_2$ concentration during photoperiod in both PPALs could be maintained at around outdoor level in this experiment, with the reasonable fluctuations of CO$_2$ concentration in both PPALs caused by ON/OFF operation of the CO$_2$ supplemental devices. To reduce the fluctuations in CO$_2$ concentration, PID control method should be employed instead of ON/OFF control method. Compared to CO$_2$ concentration in the PPAL$_c$, lower CO$_2$ concentration in the PPAL$_e$ during dark period was caused by the amount of CO$_2$ leakage increasing with increasing air exchange rate due to the operation of the air exchanger.

**Lettuce Growth in Both PPALS**

No significant differences of fresh/dry weights and photosynthetic pigments of lettuce plants were found despite of...
the differences in environmental conditions of the two PPALs. The air temperatures inside both PPALs were controlled within the optimum ranges recommended (Li et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2014; Tong and Yang, 2014), but the air temperature inside the PPALc was slightly higher than that inside the PPALe. High air temperature during photoperiod significantly improved plant growth, while high air temperature during dark period had adverse effects on plant growth because it would enhance dark respiration (Peet and Bartholomew, 1996; Morales et al., 2003).

A similar VPD ranged from 0.2 to 1.0 kPa was observed in both PPALs during dark period. Grange and Hand (1987) quoted that a VPD in the range of 0.2–1.0 kPa has little effect on the physiology and development of crops, while during photoperiod, the VPD in the PPALe was much higher than that in the PPALc, because the very dry outdoor air was introduced into the PPALc when the air exchanger was used. According to Hoffman (1979), an increase in VPD from 1 to 1.8 kPa determines the major reduction in plant growth on several crops, and this could be probably due to the depression of photosynthesis (Xu et al., 1991), related to the reduction of stomatal conductance (Grange and Hand, 1987). In contrast, some studies reported that low VPD positively affects dry matter accumulation and can also promote the incidence of calcium related physiological disorders in leaves (Janse and Welles, 1984; Holder and Cockshull, 1990; Kreij, 1996; Dorais et al., 2004).

The average CO2 concentration in both PPALs was maintained at approximately the same as outdoor level during photoperiod, although CO2 concentration in the PPALc was slightly higher than that in the PPALe during dark period. Based on above discussion, the lettuce plants growth in this experiment might be affected by different environmental conditions, but positive effects because of higher air temperature during photoperiod were all offset by negative effects of higher air temperature during dark period and lower VPD in the PPALc.

**Economic Benefit Analysis of Introducing Outdoor Cold Air for Cooling Together with Null CO2 Balance Enrichment Method**

Costs for electric-energy consumed by the air exchanger and heat pumps were analyzed based on an industrial electricity cost of 0.81 RMB-kWh−1 and data in Figure 4. Electric-energy cost for cooling in the PPALe ranged from 0.10 to 1.89 RMB-h−1, with an average of 1.14 RMB-h−1, while the electric-energy cost for cooling in the PPALc ranged from 1.36 to 2.52 RMB-h−1, with an average of 2.04 RMB-h−1, when the air temperature difference between indoor and outdoor ranged from 20.2 to 35.7°C. The above results indicated that about 0.9 RMB-h−1 on average could be saved in the PPALe than that in the PPALc under the experimental conditions.

Cost for reduction in CO2 supplied in both PPALs was analyzed based on CO2 (purity of 99.9%) cost of 0.71 RMB-kg−1 and data in Figure 5. Reduction in CO2 cost ranged from 0.03 to 0.11 RMB-d−1, with an average of 0.08 RMB-d−1, when the daily average outdoor air temperature ranged from −5.6 to 2.7°C.

As discussed in sections of “Saving electric-energy by using the air exchanger” and “Reduction in the use of supplemental CO2,” the economic benefit of introducing outdoor cold air for cooling together with null CO2 balance enrichment method was significantly affected by the indoor and outdoor environmental conditions. Greater economic benefit can be achieved with increasing operation time of the air exchanger. Furthermore, the present experiment was conducted in a model PPAL with a small volume of 11.7 m3, while the volume of a commercial used PPAL is usually larger than 1000 m3. Thus, in a commercial used PPAL with a volume of 1000 m3, the saved electric energy and CO2 cost can be 76.92 RMB-h−1 and 6.84 RMB-d−1, respectively. However, the actual economic benefit in a commercial PPAL should be further confirmed in future researches.
CONCLUSION

To reduce the electric-energy consumption for cooling in a PPAL, an air exchanger was employed aided by a heat pump for cooling by introducing outdoor air whenever the indoor air temperature was lower than outdoor air temperature. To avoid a high depletion of CO₂ concentration and keep a high supplied CO₂ utilization efficiency, a null CO₂ balance enrichment method was used in this experiment. Experimental results showed that when the air temperature difference between indoor and outdoor ranged from 20.2 to 30.0°C: (1) the average EUE of the air exchanger was 2.8 and 3.4 times greater than the COP of the heat pumps in the PPALₑ and PPALₓ, respectively; (2) the hourly electric-energy consumption in the PPALₑ was reduced by 15.8–73.7% compared with that in the PPALₓ; (3) the daily use of supplemental CO₂ in the PPALₑ was greatly reduced from 0.15 to 0.04 kg compared with that in the PPALₓ. Operating the air exchanger did not affect the growth of lettuce. Overall, introducing cold air by air exchanger proved to be an effective cooling method for PPALs, however, auxiliary cooling devices are needed (such as heat pump) whenever air exchanger could not meet the cooling demand.
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