Effects of topical 0.8% piroxicam and 50+ sunscreen filters on actinic keratosis in hypertensive patients treated with or without photosensitizing diuretic drugs: an observational cohort study
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Background: Photosensitizing diuretics use (especially thiazide compounds) is associated with a significantly higher risk of squamous cell carcinoma (SCC). Actinic keratosis (AK) is a precursor of SCC.

Study aim: To evaluate in a prospective cohort study the efficacy of topical piroxicam 0.8% and sunscreen 50+ (ACTX) in the treatment of AK in hypertensive subjects with or without TD treatment.

Subjects and methods: A total of 119 hypertensive subjects with multiple AK (39 under chronic TD treatment; and 80 treated with other non-TD, non-photosensitizing antihypertensive drugs) were enrolled after their informed consent in a 6-month observational cohort study. All the subjects were treated with ACTX twice daily. The primary endpoint was the evolution of AK lesions at baseline, after 3 and 6 months. The secondary endpoint was the clearance of AK target lesions and field of cancerization by dermoscopic evaluation using a score evaluating erythema, scaling, pigmentation, and follicular plugs (ESPFP score; ranging from 0 to 20). An investigator, unaware of the type of antihypertensive treatments (TD or non-TD), performed all the clinical and dermoscopy evaluations.

Results: At baseline, AK mean (SD) lesion number in TD group was 14.1(4) and 14.6(4) in the non-TD group. ESPFP mean (SD) score at baseline was 5.8(1.2) in both groups. A significant reduction of AK lesions in comparison with baseline was observed in both groups. A statistically significant greater reduction was observed in TD in comparison with the non-TD group (−54% vs −32%). ESPFP score was reduced in a higher proportion in the TD group in comparison with the non-TD group (−60% vs −37%, respectively). ACTX treatment was very well tolerated.

Conclusion: In hypertensive subjects with multiple AK, the topical use of ACTX is associated with a significant reduction of lesions count with an improvement in the field cancerization. The clinical efficacy is more pronounced in subjects under thiazide diuretics treatment.
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Introduction

Actinic keratosis (AK) are cutaneous pre-cancer skin lesions commonly diagnosed in older adults.¹ They result from the abnormal proliferation of atypical keratinocytes. AK is now considered as a precursor of squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) even if the rate of progression is difficult to establish.² AK prevalence is variable.³ AK are more common among men than women and increases steadily with age and lifetime hours spent in the sun.⁴ AK risk factors included male gender, advanced age, sun-sensitive skin,
prolonged immunosuppression, high level of sun exposure and drug medication intake. The increase in life expectancy influences lifestyle habits and increase the prevalence of chronic diseases such as hypertension which in turn results in drugs chronic intake. There is evidence that photosensitivity induced by drugs followed by sun exposure may enhance the risk of sunburns and photo-damage, increasing skin cancers risk. The photosensitizing properties of medications are due to their chemical structures that promote the absorption of ultraviolet radiation (UVR). Drugs containing chlorine substituents in their chemical composition, such as hydrochlorothiazide, furosemide, and chlorpromazine, can cause UV-mediated acute DNA damage through free radical formation.

Furthermore, many diuretics (loop diuretics, furosemide, sodium sparing diuretics like spironolactone and amiloride, and thiazides) are photosensitizing. A multicenter controlled study reported a correlation between thiazide drugs intake and an increase of AK and SCC. The intake of thiazide diuretic (TD) increases the risk of AK development with an OR of 3.18. Interestingly, diuretics use is associated with a high risk of sunburns. Skin cancer risk associated with TD intake could be related to an increase of prostaglandins (PG) production. For this reason, we decided to assess in a cohort study the clinical efficacy of topical piroxicam (PXM) 0.8%, an inhibitor of PG production, and sunscreens SPF 50 in the treatment of AK in hypertensive patients treated with and without photosensitizing diuretics and if its clinical efficacy in terms of AK absolute reduction is correlated or not with the type of antihypertensive regimen.

**Study design**

We performed an observational cohort study from March 2016 to December 2017 in hypertensive subjects with multiple AK lesions necessitating a field-directed treatment. The clinical setting was a third-level university center (Dermatology Unit, Tor Vergata Hospital, Rome). The antihypertensive regimen was recorded to identify subjects under treatment with thiazides (hydrochlorothiazide, chlorothiazide, chlorthalidone, alone or in combination) diuretic drugs (or other known photosensitizing compounds) (Cohort group A), or other anti-hypertensive non-photosensitizing medicines (Cohort group B). Eligibility criteria were: men or women aged ≥40 years with a history of drug-controlled essential hypertension of at least five years and the presence of at least 5 AK lesions located on the face or the scalp necessitating field-directed therapy. Exclusion criteria were: photoinduced or photo-aggravated skin diseases, recent (≤2 months) intake of non-cardiovascular photosensitizing drugs. The subjects have been followed up to 6 months with three visits (at baseline, month three, and month six). Treatment of AK lesions was performed using a cream containing PXM 0.8% and sunscreen (SPF 50+) (Actixicam®; Cantabria Labs Difa Cooper; Italy) applied twice daily on the face and the scalp. AK count and dermoscopy evaluation of a target lesion (defined at baseline visit) were performed at baseline and after three and six months. AK lesion count and dermoscopy were performed by an investigator who was unaware of the type of anti-hypertensive regimen. The results of the present observational cohort trial follow the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement checklist.

**Patients and methods**

We enrolled 119 patients older than 40 years (average of age 72 years old) with a diagnosis of AKs, treated with anti-hypertensive drugs, including photosensitizing (mainly TDs) and non-photosensitizing drugs. The study was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of Tor Vergata University Hospital in July 2016; all participants signed the informed consent. They were visited at baselines, and after three and six months. We collected personal history and physical parameters, furthermore reporting the class of anti-hypertensive drugs with the dosage and the duration of intake for each patient. Features of cohorts are resumed in Table 1. During all the visits, we collected data from each patient by dermoscopic evaluation. No other dermatological disorders were referred. All patients signed written consent before starting the therapy, and the study was conducted following the ethical guidelines of the Helsinki Declaration. The patient was addressed to use the medical device prescribed on the area indicated. PXM 0.8% and SPF 50+ sunscreen cream (ACTX) was applied on the target and perilesional field of cancerization (25 cm²). AK was evaluated at baseline T0, after three months (T2), and after six months (T3). We assessed the severity of a target lesion using a severity index.

**Table 1** Subjects characteristics at baseline

|                           | Group A (n=39) | Group B (n=80) | P-value  |
|---------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------|
| Sex, men/women            | 26/13          | 53/27          | NS       |
| Age, mean (SD)            | 77(8)          | 70(8)          | 0.005    |
| Phototype I or II, %      | 53             | 48             | NS       |
| History of sunburns %     | 43             | 30             | 0.05     |
| History of skin cancer %  | 45             | 47             | NS       |
| Number of AK lesion, mean (SD) | 14(1)      | 14.4          | NS       |
| Dermoscopy severity score of target lesion, mean (SD) | 5.8(1.2) | 5.8(1.1) | NS       |
| BSI score, mean (SD)      | 2.4(1.8)       | 2.3(1.7)       | NS       |

**Abbreviation:** BSI, baseline severity index; NS, not significant.
lesion through clinical dermoscopic scale score (from 0 to 4 for each item) evaluating Erythema, Scaling, Pigmentation, and Follicular Plugs (ESFP total score; ranging from 0 for no sign, to 20 for severe sign. An investigator, unaware of the type of antihypertensive treatment (TD or non-TD), performed both the evaluations. We also evaluated at the same visits the Baseline Severity Index (BSI). The scale used for determining BSI was as follows: 0 = no AKS visible; 1 = clearly visible lesions; 2 = many visible, small, moderately thick lesions or a few large, thick, rough, Scaly lesions; 3 = many thick, hypertrophic lesions clearly visible and palpable with well-defined borders. In comparison with baseline visit at month three and six, we also evaluated the Investigator Global Improvement Indices (IGII), using the following 7-point scale: −2 = Significantly worse, −1 = Slightly worse, 0 = No change, 1 = Slightly improved, 2 = Moderately improved, 3 = Significantly improved and 4 = Completely improved.

**Data drug collection**

We examined a cohort of 119 patients receiving treatment with TDs alone or in association with other antihypertensive drugs, assessing the correlation with the onset of the AKs and other non-melanoma skin cancers. Through self-administrated questionnaire, we collected data regarding the type and duration of anti-hypertensive treatment, checking the presence of photosensitizing compounds. Photosensitizing drugs were considered compounds such as TDs, loop diuretics, and sodium-sparing diuretics. In this observational trial, we did not consider as “definitive” photosensitizing drug compounds such as beta-blockers, calcium channel blockers, angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors and angiotensin receptor blockers.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad statistical software ver 13.0 (La Jolla, CA, USA). Continuous variables were expressed as mean ± SD. The primary endpoint of the trial was the evolution of AK mean number and the dermoscopy score from baseline and after treatment in the target lesion. The paired t-test and the Wilcoxon test were used for the analysis of the study outcomes. A P-value of <0.05 was considered significant.

**Results**

The total of the screened patient was 119; of which, 74 male and 45 female were >40 years old. Thirty-five patients were under treatment with TDs or other known photosensitizing diuretics (Cohort Group A) and another group with a different class of anti-hypertensive drugs (ACE inhibitors, calcium channel blockers) (Cohort group B). All subjects concluded the study period. Table 1 summarizes the main demographic and clinical characteristics of the two groups. Group A subjects were significantly older than subjects of group B (77 years vs 70), and had a positive history of sunburn in a higher percentage of subjects in comparison with group B. However, there was no statistically significant difference in the two groups in the proportion of subjects with low phototype (I or II) or positive history for non-melanoma skin cancer. At baseline, AK mean (SD) lesion number was 14.1(4) in group A and 14.6(4) in the group B. ESPFP mean (SD) score at baseline was 5.8(1.2) in both groups. After three and six-month treatment with PXM 0.8% and sunscreen filter 50+, a significant reduction of AK lesions in comparison with baseline (P=0.001) was observed in both groups; however, a statistically significant greater reduction was observed in group A in comparison with group B (~54% vs ~32%; P=0.05 between groups) (Figure 1). Also, the ESPFP score was reduced in a higher proportion in group A in comparison with group B (~60% vs ~37%) vs baseline values (Figure 2).

**Discussion**

Gandini et al recently conducted a meta-analysis involving observational and experimental epidemiological studies published until February 2018 confirming the increased risk of correlation between use of TD use and SCC. TD remain one of the principal therapeutic indication in the treatment of hypertension. The American Agency for Cancer Research considered TD as “possibly carcinogenic to humans” because of their marked phototoxic activity. A recent Italian Drug use report underlines that TD are the third most used drug class after anti-platelet drugs and vitamin D supplements. TD are well known photosensitizing compounds: the photoionization of chlorine substituents contained in TD activated by UV irradiation generated a cation radical with keratinocyte DNA instability, oxidized radicals production, and metalloprotease activation. The common feature of most photoactive drugs is an aromatic chlorine substituent. Photo-ionization represents the intermediate process responsible for phototoxic damage UV induced in chlorine-containing drugs. Furosemide and hydrochlorothiazide are the most widely used diuretic agents
involved in photosensitivity reaction, but epidemiologic
remain limited.23 In a population-based case–control study,
Jensen et al10 found that the use of amiloride and hydrochloro-
thiazide increase the risk of SCC and melanoma, providing
evidence that the use of photosensitizing agents is associ-
ated with skin cancer. These data have been confirmed in
another observational study conducted in more than 5,000
subjects showing that the use of photosensitizing medication
increased the risk of SCC, especially in subjects with lower
phototypes.9 This observation underlines the relevance of
sunscreen procedures in subjects at risk or suffering from
skin cancers.24 AK is considered a precursor of SCC.25 AK
treatment encompasses a variety of lesion-directed destruc-
tion and field-directed therapies, or a combination of both,
in conjunction with additional therapies tailored to patient’s
needs.26 Lesion-directed therapies, such as cryotherapy, are
chosen for patients with few well-defined lesions. Field-
directed therapies are recommended for any surface with
multiple (more than four to eight) AKs, for example, the
face, scalp, and forearm, with the appropriateness of field-
directed therapy increasing further when the AKs occur
in photo-exposed areas, and the patient has a history of
significant sun exposure, recurrent AKs, history of chronic
immunosuppression, and history of non-melanoma skin
cancer.27 Topical therapies are non-invasive forms of field
cancerization therapy. Several topical therapies are available
for the treatment of AK,28 including 5-FU cream (5%, 1%,
0.5%), imiquimod cream29 (5% and 3.75%), diclofenac 3%
gel, PXM 0.8% and sun filters30,31 and ingenol mebutate gel
(5% and 3.75%). Topical therapies in preparations, including
sunscreen, are advantageous given the importance of regular
sunscreen use in AKs management.32 Among the latter novel
therapies, PXM, a member of the class of non-steroidal anti-
flammatory drugs, is indicated for the treatment of AK
grade I–II and field of cancerization.33,34 This topical product
has been shown to be very effective in reducing AK lesions

**Figure 1** Evolution of AK lesion count in subjects under treatment with TD drugs and in subjects not under treatment with TD drugs, at baseline and after three and six
months of ACTX treatment.

**Notes:** *P*<0.001 vs baseline; *#*P*<0.05 vs Non-TD group.

**Abbreviations:** ACTX, 0.8% piroxicam and sunscreen 50+; AK, actinic keratosis; TD, thiazide diuretic.

**Figure 2** Evolution of dermoscopy severity ESFP score of a target lesion in subject
under treatment with TD drugs and in subjects no under treatment with TD drugs
at baseline and after six months of ACTX treatment.

**Notes:** *P*<0.005 vs baseline; *P*<0.05 vs Non-TD group.

**Abbreviations:** ACTX, 0.8% piroxicam and sunscreen 50+; ESFP, erythema,
scaling, pigmentation, and follicular plugs; TD, thiazide diuretic.
in a high-risk group like solid transplant organ subjects. Its therapeutic efficacy is probably due to the reduction of PGs and thromboxane synthesis. Also, PXM is able to inhibit ornithine decarboxylase (ODC) synthesis. ODC is the main enzyme responsible for the increase of cutaneous polyamines after ultraviolet irradiation and phototoxic effect by TD. PGs and polyamines are both involved in skin carcinogenesis. PXM belongs to the class of inhibitor of cyclooxygenase, the initial step enzyme in PG biosynthesis cascade. PG is involved in ODC induction, with subsequent increased levels of polyamines is responsible for skin tumor development. Accumulating reports in the literature suggest a strong link between oncogene activation and increased polyamine biosynthesis in skin tumorigenesis. PXM is an inhibitor of polyamines synthesis, and it has been shown to suppress skin tumor in UV-mediated damage. In this observational study in hypertensive subjects with AK, we observed that the clinical efficacy, evaluated as absolute lesion count reduction and lesion target dermoscopy evaluation after PXM and sunscreen 50+, seems to be greater in the group of subjects treated with photosensitizing diuretics in comparison with the hypertensive subjects treated with no-photosensitizing anti-hypertensive agents. These results could be attributed to a dual effect of this topical product. First, the biochemical and molecular mechanisms of action of PXM, in particular, the indirect inhibition of ODC production, could block some mechanisms underlying the specific thiazide-mediated phototoxicity. Second, this product is also an effective sunscreen (50+ SPF) and therefore could reduce the phototoxicity processes induced by UV exposure in subjects taking photosensitizing drugs. Some study limitations could be taken into account evaluating our results. First, this was an observational cohort trial, not an interventional controlled study. The results we obtained could be useful in planning future studies for assessing different topical treatment strategies in AK subjects with or without concomitant photosensitizing drug treatments. To improve the internal validity of our observational data, the primary end-point evaluation of our study (AK count lesion reduction) was performed by investigators unaware of the type of anti-hypertensive treatments.

Conclusion

In hypertensive subjects with multiple AK, the topical use of ACTX (PXM 0.8% and 50+ sunscreen) is associated with a significant reduction of lesion count and an improvement in the field of cancerization evaluated with dermoscopy. The clinical efficacy is more pronounced in subjects under photosensitizing (mainly thiazides) diuretics treatment in comparison with the hypertensive subject not under treatment with photosensitizing diuretic drugs.
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