Urinary Bacterial Profile and Antibiotic Susceptibility in Pregnant Adolescents and Pregnant Low Obstetric Risk Adult Women
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Introduction: Significant bacteriuria is associated with clinical and obstetric complications. The existing studies on the profile of urinary pathogens in pregnant women have widely divergent results and they hardly include data on pregnant adolescents.

Methods: This observational retrospective study was conducted in a tertiary hospital in the city of São Paulo with 388 pregnant adolescents and 2547 pregnant low-risk obstetric care adults who began prenatal care between January 2010 and January 2016. They were compared in terms of urine sediment, urine culture, and antibiogram results.

Results: The prevalence of bacteriuria was 17.01% (66/388) among adolescents and 10.13% (258/2547) among adults. Adolescence was a risk factor for bacteriuria in pregnancy (OR=1.82, CI95%=1.35–2.44, p=0.08). The most frequently isolated pathogen in urine culture was *Escherichia coli*, both in adolescents (49%) and in adults (42.18%). In positive urine cultures, urinary leukocytes were present in greater numbers in adolescents than in adults (p<0.001). Resistance to quinolones in general was more frequent among adults (OR=5.86, CI95%=0.78–44.20, p<0.001), but the tendency was not statistically significant.

Conclusion: *Escherichia coli* and the less frequent *Streptococcus agalactiae* were the etiologic agents most often found in the urine cultures both of adolescents and adults. Higher rates of bacteriuria and of abnormal urine sediments prevailed among adolescents.
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Introduction

The term bacteriuria refers to the isolation of at least one bacterial species in a medium-jet urine culture. The clinical spectrum of bacteriuria ranges from lack of symptoms to a symptomatic urinary tract infection (UTI), be it in the lower urinary tract (cystitis) or in the upper urinary tract (pyelonephritis).

A diagnosis of bacteriuria is made when the growth of a species of pathogens reaches a count of at least $10^5$ colony-forming units (CFU) per milliliter (mL) in the urine culture of a woman with no urinary symptoms. This diagnosis is established in approximately 2% to 7% of pregnancies, a prevalence similar to that found in nonpregnant women. Pregnancy promotes relaxation of the ureter smooth muscle and dilation of the urinary tract, facilitating microbial ascension. When detected in pregnancy, bacteriuria has been associated with an increased risk for preterm birth and low birthweight.
Cystitis occurs in approximately 1% to 5% of pregnant women. As indicated above, there is some evidence to support a correlation between acute cystitis in pregnancy and an increase in the risk for preterm birth and low birthweight.

Pyelonephritis develops in 1% to 2% of pregnancies; however, such incidence is dependent on the frequency of occurrence and treatment of asymptomatic bacteriuria. A few studies have demonstrated that age under 20, smoking, gestational diabetes, and nulliparity are associated with a higher risk for pyelonephritis. Nevertheless, maternal morbidity and obstetric outcomes seem not to differ per trimester.

Bacterial colonization of the urinary tract tends to be more frequent in the subgroup of pregnant adolescents, with figures ranging from 3.5% in the United States to 30% in Turkey.

The chronological limits of adolescence are set by the World Health Organization (WHO) as 10 and 19 years of age. In Brazil, the Child and Adolescent Statute establishes for legal purposes that the upper limit of the age range for adolescence is 18 years of age as is the case in many other nations. Nonetheless, adolescence is a phase of higher susceptibility to certain health complications during pregnancy, such as prematurity, fetal growth restriction, and pregnancy-specific hypertensive disorders.

The most frequently isolated bacterium in the urine culture of pregnant women in general is *Escherichia coli*, and figures vary from 28.4% in Nigeria to 83% in Brazil. The other frequently isolated species are the following: *Klebsiella sp.*, coagulase-negative staphylococci, *Staphylococcus aureus*, and Lancefield group B streptococci.

The existing studies of bacteriuria and antimicrobial sensitivity profile of urinary tract pathogens have widely divergent results, which are tied to the locality where they were conducted. Furthermore, there are scarcely any data on the subgroup of pregnant adolescents. Hence, this study aimed at comparing the profile of bacterial sensitivity to antibiotics between the urinary tract pathogens of pregnant adolescents and those of pregnant low obstetric risk adults, in search of a possible difference between the two profiles.

**Methods**

This observational retrospective study was conducted in a tertiary teaching hospital, the Hospital das Clínicas da Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade de São Paulo, located in São Paulo, the most populous city in Brazil.

All pregnant female patients ranging from 10 through 18 years of age were selected by means of convenience sampling from among the patients receiving prenatal care at the obstetric clinic of the afore mentioned hospital between January 2010 and January 2016. A control group, put together for comparative purposes, was made up of pregnant, low obstetric risk adult women 19 years of age or older, who initiated prenatal care at the same time as the adolescents. Were excluded women who missed prenatal care before collection for the urine test, whose medical records were incomplete, or who lacked access to their medical records. Medical features, sociodemographic characteristics, obstetric history, and laboratory tests were obtained through access to medical files and the health service database. Gestational age was defined using the onset date of the last menstruation or the first ultrasound scan of prenatal care. According to the protocol of the base hospital of the study and taking as a reference the methodology used in other studies, a second urine culture was requested only in case of the appearance of some irritating urinary symptom in a previously asymptomatic pregnant woman or about 7 to 14 days after termination of treatment for any infectious pathology of the urinary tract.

**Procedures**

Urinalysis and uroculture were requested of all pregnant females at the first prenatal care visit. They were instructed to collect a sample of about 20 mL of medium-jet urine and observe the techniques for perineal asepsis.

The women were instructed to send the samples to the laboratory within 02 hours after collection or to keep the samples refrigerated at a temperature of 4 degrees Celsius, in case it was not possible to send them to the laboratory within a period of up to 02 hours. All urine cultures with at least 10^5 CFU/mL of a sole species of bacterial pathogens were included in the analysis.

**Bacterial Isolation**

Upon reaching the laboratory, the urine was homogenized, but not centrifuged. The flask was opened and a sterile calibrated handle was immersed in an upright position, only once, in the container. The plates were inoculated using a calibrated 0.001 mL loop. The culture medium used was the chromogenic agar chromID® CPS® (bioMérieux), which is a non-selective medium composed of 2 specific chromogenic substrates, which can be cleaved by the bacterial enzymes beta-glucuronidase and beta-glucosidase. The medium also allows spontaneous detection of deaminase for the Proteaceae tribe. The media were incubated aerobically at 35±2 degrees Celsius for 18 to 24 hours. After this period, the medium was
examined macroscopically for bacterial growth. The quality control strains consisted of *E. coli* ATCC® 25922, *K. pneumoniae* ATCC® 700603, *E. faecalis* ATCC® 29212, *S. epidermidis* ATCC® 12228, *P. vulgaris* ATCC® 6380, *C. albicans* ATCC® 14053, *S. aureus* ATCC® 25923 e *S. saprophyticus* ATCC® BAA-750.

### Procedures for Urinalysis

Urinalysis comprised a set of three procedures: visual examination, with assessment of physical properties (color, transparency); chemical examination, looking for abnormal elements (ph, glucose, density, urobilinogen, ketone bodies, proteins, blood); and sedimentoscopy.

The chemical examination was carried out using reagent tapes, which are plastic tapes with small absorbent papers impregnated with chemical substances, which change color when immersed in the urine. The reagent strips were read in an automated way. To perform the sedimentoscopy, ten milliliters of homogenized urine were centrifuged at 1500 to 2000 revolutions per minute, for 05 minutes. The supernatant was discarded and the pellet was suspended again. About 0.05 mL were transferred to a slide and covered by a coverslip. Sedimentoscopy was performed with the aid of a 100x objective for general observation of the sediment and for quantification of casts. The 400x objective was used to quantify leukocytes, erythrocytes, crystals, epithelia, bacterial flora and other elements that could be identified in the urine. Leukocytes and erythrocytes were observed in at least 20 fields; the final result was the average of all counts.

### Direct Bacterial Identification Using Vitek 2 or Vitek MS Systems

Bacterial identification was performed using the automated method VITEK® 2 (bioMérieux) (January 2010 to December 2014) or VITEK® MS (bioMérieux) (January 2015 to January 2016). In the VITEK® 2 system, the colonies are suspended in 0.45% sodium chloride, in a turbidity of 0.5 on the McFarland scale, and inoculated on a card for bacterial biochemical identification. The VITEK® 2 equipment database is managed by the Advanced Expert System (AES) software. Some additional information for the final microbial identification, such as the morpho-staining characteristics visualized by Gram staining and the aerotolerance tests, are entered manually by the microbiologist in the software. As quality control, strains of *S. maltophilia* ATCC® 17666 and *E. cloacae* ATCC® 700323 were used for the GN card and *E. casseliflavus* ATCC® 700327 and *S. saprophyticus* ATCC® BAA-750 for the GP card of the VITEK® 2 system. In the matrix assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry (MALD-TOF MS) (VITEK® MS), a sample of the colony is placed on a stainless steel plate and added with 1 microliter of the α-cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid (CHCA) matrix. In the mass spectrometer, some beams with pre-established laser wavelengths are emitted. The mass spectra are obtained and compared with the reference library Myla® Software 2.0 (bioMérieux). The international reference strain *Escherichia coli* ATCC® 8739 was used as a calibrator and the strains *K. aerogenes* ATCC® 13048 and *C. glabrata* ATCC® mya-2950 were used as controls.

### Antimicrobial Sensitivity Test Using the VITEK® 2 AST System

The susceptibility tests were carried out using the automated VITEK® 2 system (bioMérieux). The strains are inoculated and incubated in plastic letters that contain lyophilized antimicrobials. For gram negative microorganisms, the AST238 card was used. ESBL screening was performed by assessing bacterial growth in response to ceftazidime, cefepime and cefotaxime in combination or not with clavulanic acid. AST585 card was used for gram positive microorganisms. All results were interpreted using the Advanced Specialist System (AES) and according to the Clinical & Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) cutoff points.

### Data Management and Statistical Analysis

Microsoft Excel software for Office 365 was used for building the database, and the IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows 20.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY) was used for statistical calculations.

The qualitative data were described through measures of absolute and relative frequencies (percentages) and were compared by means of the chi-square test and the Fisher exact test. The quantitative data were described with measures of central tendency (mean, median) and measures of dispersion (standard deviation), and they were tested for normality of distribution using the Shapiro–Wilks and the Kolmogorov–Smirnov tests. The means of the variables with a normal distribution were
compared with the Student t tests. The medians of the nonparametric data were compared through the Mann–Whitney tests (bivariate analyses). The odds ratios (ORs) were also calculated. If a cell was expressed as 0 (zero), 0.5 was added to each cell and the odds ratio was calculated with the adjusted values (Haldane-Anscombe correction). Associations with p<0.05 were deemed statistically significant.

**Ethics**

The present study was approved by the institutional board review of the Hospital das Clínicas da Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade de São Paulo (Project CAAE 65511617.0.0000.0068). An informed consent form was waived because the project was a retrospective study with data retrieved from the patients’ medical histories, with no direct contact with the patients. All precautions were taken to protect the privacy of the research subjects and the confidentiality of personal information. The hospital’s database identifies patients by numbers. Soon, the names of the women became anonymous to the researchers. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013).

**Results**

Throughout the study period, 388 pregnant adolescents and 2547 pregnant adult women receiving low-risk prenatal care were selected. Among the adolescents, a total of 100 urine cultures, corresponding to 66 patients, tested positive. Among the adult women, 358 urine cultures, corresponding to 258 patients, tested positive. The prevalence of bacteriuria among the pregnant females younger than 19 years of age was 17% (66/388), while that of the pregnant women aged 19 years or older was 10.1% (258/2547), a statistically significant difference (p=0.0001, OR=1.82, CI 1.35–2.44) (Table 1).

Table 1. Association Between Pregnant Female’s Age and Occurrence of Bacteriuria

| Characteristics | Bacteriuria |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------|------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                  | Positive (%) | Negative (%) | Total (%) | OR* (CI* 95%) | p value         |
| Adolescent - No | 258 (10.13) | 2289 (89.87) | 2547 (100) | 1.82 (1.35–2.44) | 0.0001*         |
| - Yes           | 66 (17.01)  | 322 (82.99)   | 388 (100)  |                 |                 |

Notes: *p value, statistically significant. *Person Chi-Square.
Abbreviations: *OR, odds ratio; *CI, confidence interval.
Table 2 Sociodemographic Characteristics and Obstetric History of Pregnant Adolescents and Adults Diagnosed with Bacteriuriaa

| Characteristics                          | Adolescents       | Adults          | p value |
|-----------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------|
|                                         | Mean or n±SD (%)  | Média ou n±SD (%) |         |
| Age                                     | 15.29± 1.28       | 28.93± 6.06     | <0.001* |
| Number of previous pregnancies          | 1.09± 0.34        | 2.25 ± 1.39     | <0.001* |
| Number of previous deliveries           | 0.06± 0.30        | 0.95 ± 1.11     | <0.001* |
| Number of previous abortions/miscarriages | 0.03± 0.17       | 0.27 ± 0.674    | <0.001* |
| Marital status                          |                   |                 |         |
| - married/ stable relationship/ single with partner | 54 (81.82%)      | 142 (55%)       | <0.001* |
| - single without a partner/ separated   | 12 (18.18%)       | 116 (45%)       |         |
| Smoking                                 |                   |                 |         |
| - yes                                   | 3 (4.6%)          | 17 (6.6%)       | 0.775d  |
| Gestational age at urine culture        | 23.30± 9.41       | 23.64 ± 9.28    | 0.752   |

Notes: *The numbers do not always add up to the total due to absent values. †Person Chi-Square. ‡p value, statistically significant.
Abbreviations: “n,” number of individuals; “SD,” standard deviation.

Table 3 Main Pathogens Involved in the Occurrence of Bacteriuria Among the Pregnant Adolescents and Adults

| Bacteria                                      | Number of Urine Cultures (%) - Adolescents | Number of Urine Cultures (%) - Adults |
|----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Escherichia coli                             | 49 (49)                                    | 151 (42.18)                           |
| Streptococcus agalactiae                     | 18 (18)                                    | 72 (20.11)                            |
| Klebsiella pneumoniae                        | 7 (7)                                      | 13 (3.63)                             |
| Proteus mirabilis                            | 5 (5)                                      | 15 (4.19)                             |
| Staphylococcus saprophyticus                 | 5 (5)                                      | 16 (4.47)                             |
| Enterococcus fecalis                         | 4 (4)                                      | 47 (13.13)                            |
| Coagulase-negative staphylococci             | 3 (3)                                      | 20 (5.9)                              |
| Enterobacter sp                              | 3 (3)                                      | 7 (2)                                 |
| Staphylococcus aureus                        | 2 (2)                                      | 6 (1.68)                              |
| Acinetobacter calcoaceticus-baumannii complex| 1 (1)                                      | -                                     |
| Citrobacter koseri                           | 1 (1)                                      | -                                     |
| Morganella morgani                           | 1 (1)                                      | -                                     |
| Streptococcus viridans                       | 1 (1)                                      | 1 (0.28)                              |
| Serratia marcescens                          | -                                          | 7 (2.0)                               |
| Corynebacterium sp                           | -                                          | 1 (0.28)                              |
| Alcaligenes sp                               | -                                          | 1 (0.28)                              |
| Pseudomonas shigelloides                     | -                                          | 1 (0.28)                              |

species were isolated only in the urine cultures of adolescents, including Acinetobacter calcoaceticus-baumannii complex (1/100), Citrobacter koseri (1/100) and Morganella morgani (1/100) (Table 3).

In positive urine cultures, the leukocytes in the urine of pregnant adults were less numerous than those in the urine of pregnant adolescents (p<0.001) (Table 4).

Also, in positive urine cultures, adult women tended to have higher levels of calcium oxalate crystals (p = 0.064, OR = 3.20, CI = 0.93–10.9) and a lower frequency of proteinuria (p = 0.084, OR = 0.51, 95% CI = 0.24–1.10) compared to adolescents, although the differences were not statistically significant (Table 4).

As for the other characteristics of urine tests in the presence of positive urine culture, pregnant women younger than 19 years old tended to have a higher urinary ph value (p = 0.169), but the results were not statistically significant. There was no difference between pregnant adolescents and adults regarding the following parameters of urinalysis: blood (p = 0.374), casts (p = 0.564) and other urinary elements (fungi or bacteria) (Table 4).
The pregnant adolescents were more likely to have a higher percentage of enterobacteria or gram-negative bacteria and a smaller percentage of enterococci in the urine culture than the pregnant adults ($p = 0.022$) (Table 4).

Each bacterial species was evaluated separately for the profile of sensitivity to antibiotics (Tables 5 and 6). When we analyzed *Escherichia coli* strains, isolated from adult pregnant women, regarding the sensitivity profile to beta-lactams, we observed a 42.4% resistance to ampicillin, 12.6% to amoxicillin-clavulanate, 47.7% to cephalothin, 4.6% to cefuroxime, 2% to ceftriaxone and 2% to cefepime. The strains of *Escherichia coli* isolated from adolescents showed a resistance of 44.9% to ampicillin, 18.4% to amoxicillin-clavulanate, 38.8% to cephalothin, 8.2% to cefuroxime, 2% to ceftriaxone and 4.1% to cefepime. Almost all strains of

Table 4 Characteristics of the Urine Test of Adolescents and Adults When the Urine Culture Tested Positive

| Characteristics of the Urine Test When the Urine Culture Tested Positive | Adolescents ($n = 100$) | Adults ($n = 358$) | OR $d$ (CI $e$ 95%) | $p$ value |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Mean or $nb$ $\pm$ SD$c$ or % | Mean or $nb$ $\pm$ SD$c$ or % | | | |
| $ph$ | $6.40 \pm 0.79$ | $6.29 \pm 0.74$ | | $0.169$ |
| Density | $1.017 \pm 0.006$ | $1.018 \pm 0.006$ | | $0.478$ |
| Blood | | | | |
| - absent | 35 (62.5%) | 110 (35.8%) | | |
| - present | 21 (37.5%) | 87 (44.2%) | 1.31 (0.72–2.43) | $0.374^{f}$ |
| Proteins | | | | |
| - absent | 44 (78.6%) | 173 (87.8%) | | |
| - present | 12 (21.4%) | 24 (12.2%) | 0.51 (0.24–1.10) | $0.084^{f}$ |
| Leukocytes | | | | |
| | $44.43 \pm 40.45$ | $22.74 \pm 31.36$ | | $<0.001^{a}$ |
| Erythrocytes | | | | |
| | $11.52 \pm 25.27$ | $6.40 \pm 16.64$ | | $0.698$ |
| Casts | | | | |
| - absent | 53 (94.6%) | 182 (92.4%) | | |
| - present | 3 (5.4%) | 15 (7.6%) | 1.46 (0.41–5.22) | $0.564^{f}$ |
| Crystals | | | | |
| - absent | 42 (75%) | 140 (71.1%) | | |
| - phosphate crystals | 10 (17.9%) | 18 (9.1%) | 0.54 (0.23–1.26) | 0.15 |
| - calcium oxalate crystals | 3 (5.4%) | 32 (16.2%) | 3.20 (0.93–10.9) | 0.064 |
| - urate crystals | 1 (1.8%) | 7 (3.6%) | 2.10 (0.25–17.6) | 0.49 |
| Other elements | | | | |
| - absent | 10 (17.9%) | 39 (19.8%) | | |
| - numerous bacteria | 36 (64.3%) | 107 (54.3%) | 0.76 (0.35–1.68) | 0.50 |
| - rare bacteria | 7 (12.5%) | 41 (20.8%) | 1.50 (0.52–4.34) | 0.45 |
| - fungi | 3 (5.4%) | 10 (5.1%) | 0.85 (0.20–3.70) | 0.83 |
| Etiological agent | | | | |
| - cocci or gram-positive bacilli$^{f}$ | 27 (27%) | 110 (30.7%) | | |
| - Enterobacteria/gram-negative bacteria | | | | |
| - Enterococcus sp | 4 (4%) | 47 (13.1%) | | |
| - *Staphylococcus aureus* | 2 (2%) | 6 (1.7%) | | |

Notes: $^{a}$The numbers do not always add up to the total due to absent values.

$^{b}$Except *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Enterococcus sp*.

$^{c}$Person Chi-Square.

$^{d}$Fisher’s Exact Test.

$^{e}$p value, statistically significant.

Abbreviations: $^{n}$number of individuals; $^{S}D$, standard deviation; $^{O}R$, odds ratio; $^{C}I$, confidence interval.
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Table 5 Microbial Resistance Profile of Gram-Negative Bacteria and Enterococcus Sp Isolated in the Urine of Pregnant Adolescents and Adults

| Resistance in the Antibiogram | Enterobacter sp | Enterococcus faecalis | Escherichia coli | Klebsiella pneumoniae | Proteus mirabilis |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|------------------|----------------------|------------------|
|                               | ADO<sup>a</sup> | ADU<sup>b</sup>      | ADO<sup>a</sup>  | ADU<sup>b</sup>      | ADO<sup>a</sup>  |
|                               | n<sup>c</sup> (%) | n<sup>c</sup> (%)   | n<sup>c</sup> (%) | n<sup>c</sup> (%)   | n<sup>c</sup> (%) |
| Nalidixic acid                | 0 (0)           | 0 (0)                 | 0 (0)            | 4 (8.2)              | 16 (10.6)        |
| Ampicillin                    | 3 (100)         | 7 (100)               | 0 (0)            | 22 (44.9)            | 64 (42.4)        |
| Penicillin                    | 0 (0)           | 0 (0)                 | 0 (0)            | 0 (0)                | 0 (0)            |
| AMC<sup>d</sup>               | 2 (66.7)        | 5 (71.4)              | 0 (0)            | 9 (18.4)             | 19 (12.6)        |
| Cephalothin                   | 3 (100)         | 5 (71.4)              | 0 (0)            | 19 (38.8)            | 72 (47.7)        |
| Cefoxitin                     | 1 (33.3)        | 4 (57.1)              | 0 (0)            | 0 (0)                | 7 (4.6)          |
| Cefuroxime                    | 2 (66.7)        | 5 (71.4)              | 0 (0)            | 4 (8.2)              | 7 (4.6)          |
| Cefotaxime                    | 0 (0)           | 0 (0)                 | 0 (0)            | 1 (2)                | 0 (0)            |
| Ceftazidime                   | 0 (0)           | 0 (0)                 | 0 (0)            | 1 (2)                | 0 (0)            |
| Ceftriaxone                   | 0 (0)           | 0 (0)                 | 0 (0)            | 2 (4.1)              | 3 (2.0)          |
| Ceftipime                     | 0 (0)           | 0 (0)                 | 0 (0)            | 6 (12.8)             | 8 (5.3)          |
| Nitrofurantoin                | 0 (0)           | 0 (0)                 | 0 (0)            | 9 (18.4)             | 27 (17.9)        |
| SMZ/TMP<sup>e</sup>           | 0 (0)           | 0 (0)                 | 0 (0)            | 6 (12.8)             | 8 (5.3)          |
| Norfloxacin                   | 0 (0)           | 0 (0)                 | 0 (0)            | 9 (18.4)             | 27 (17.9)        |
| Ciprofloxacin                 | 0 (0)           | 0 (0)                 | 0 (0)            | 6 (12.8)             | 8 (5.3)          |
| Levofloxacin                  | 0 (0)           | 0 (0)                 | 0 (0)            | 7 (13.1)             | 1 (2)            |
| Gentamicin                    | 2 (66.7)        | 1 (14.3)              | 6 (12.8)         | 7 (13.1)             | 1 (2)            |
| Streptomycin                  | 0 (0)           | 0 (0)                 | 0 (0)            | 5 (10.2)             | 1 (2)            |
| Multiresistance               | 0 (0)           | 0 (0)                 | 0 (0)            | 2 (4.1)              | 3 (2.0)          |
| ESBL                          | 0 (0)           | 0 (0)                 | 0 (0)            | 2 (4.1)              | 3 (2.0)          |

Abbreviations: <sup>a</sup>ADO, adolescents; <sup>b</sup>ADU, adults; <sup>c</sup>n, number of individuals; <sup>d</sup>AMC, amoxicillin/clavulanate; <sup>e</sup>SMZ/TMP, sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim.

E. coli isolated from adolescents were sensitive to the fluoroquinolones tested, with only one (2%) being resistant to ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin. Also, few adult strains showed some level of resistance to fluoroquinolones: 4% to norfloxacin, 5.3% to ciprofloxacin and 0.7% to levofloxacin. Considering an antibiotic of frequent use in the treatment of infectious pathways of the urinary tract during pregnancy, such as nitrofurantoin, we observed a resistance frequency of 7.3% among adults and 6.1% among adolescents. The frequency of multisensitivity reached 40.8% among adolescents and 33.1% among adults (Table 5).

Of a total of 100 positive urine cultures among pregnant women under the age of 19, in only 4 (4%) the isolated bacterium was Enterococcus faecalis. Among women aged 19 years or over, of a total of 358 positive urine cultures, 47 (13.13%) were from Enterococcus faecalis. All strains found among adolescents were sensitive to quinolones, unlike adults, who had the following resistance profile: 21.3% (10/47) to norfloxacin and 4.3% (2/47) to ciprofloxacin. Regarding aminoglycosides, E. faecalis strains found in pregnant teenagers showed a resistance of 25% (1/4) to streptomycin, while among adults resistance to streptomycin was 21.3% (10/47) (Table 5).

Of all 458 positive urine cultures, only 20 (4.4%) isolated Klebsiella pneumoniae. Among these bacterial isolates, all were resistant to ampicillin (20/20) and more than half (60%) were resistant to nitrofurantoin (12/20). All Klebsiella pneumoniae strains found in adults were sensitive to first generation cephalosporins (13/13); a fact not observed among the adolescents, who presented a resistance of 28.6% (2/7) (Table 5).

Enterobacter sp. was isolated in only 2.2% (10/458) of urine cultures of pregnant women. All were resistant to ampicillin and sensitive to third and fourth generation cephalosporins. The strains also showed a high degree of resistance to first and second generation cephalosporins: 80% to cephalexin, 50% to cefoxitin and 70% to cefuroxime. Among adolescents, no isolate was resistant to nitrofurantoin (0/3); unlike adults, who had a frequency of resistance to nitrofurantoin around 71.4% (5/7). Of all 10 strains of Enterobacter sp. found, 3 (30%) were resistant to gentamicin (Table 5).
**Table 6** Microbial Resistance Profile of Gram-Positive and All Bacteria Isolated in the Urine of Pregnant Adolescents and Adults

| Resistance in the Antibiogram | Staphylococcus aureus | Staphylococcus saprophyticus | Streptococcus agalactiae | All Bacterial Species Isolated in Urine |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|
|                              | ADOb | ADUb | ADOb | ADUb | ADOb | ADUb | ADOb | ADUb | OR (CI* 95%) | p value |
| Nalidixic acid               | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 4 (4) | 18 (5) | 1.27 (0.42–3.84) | 0.454 |
| Ampicillin                   | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 35 (35) | 98 (27.4) | 0.70 (0.44–1.12) | 0.143 |
| Penicillin                   | 2 (100) | 5 (83.3) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 2 (2) | 5 (1.4) | 0.69 (0.13–3.63) | 0.474 |
| AMCc                        | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 11 (11) | 30 (8.4) | 0.74 (0.36–1.53) | 0.428 |
| Cephalothin                  | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 24 (24) | 82 (22.9) | 0.94 (0.56–1.58) | 0.819 |
| Cefuroxime                   | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 7 (7) | 17 (4.7) | 0.66 (0.27–1.64) | 0.388 |
| Cefotaxime                   | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 1 (1) | 0 (0) | 0.74 (0.36–1.53) | 0.389 |
| Cefazidine                   | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 1 (1) | 0 (0) | 0.09 (0.004–2.29) | 0.218 |
| Ceftriazone                  | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 2 (2) | 3 (0.8) | 0.41 (0.07–2.51) | 0.300 |
| Cefepime                     | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 2 (2) | 3 (0.8) | 0.37 (0.08–1.66) | 0.180 |
| Nitrofurantoin              | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 12 (12) | 34 (9.5) | 0.41 (0.25–0.71) | 0.470 |
| SMZ/TMP                     | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 13 (13) | 33 (9.2) | 0.68 (0.34–1.35) | 0.279 |
| Norfloxacin                 | 0 (0) | 1 (16.7) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 17 (4.7) | 10.30 (0.6–172.8) | 0.105 |
| Ciprofloxacin               | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 1 (1) | 11 (3.1) | 3.13 (0.40–24.60) | 0.223 |
| Levofloxacin                | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 1 (1) | 0 (0) | 0.28 (0.017–4.47) | 0.389 |
| Gentamicin                  | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 5 (5) | 15 (4.2) | 1.24 (0.46–3.37) | 0.451 |
| Streptomycin                | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 1 (1) | 10 (2.8) | 2.94 (0.36–22.49) | 0.268 |
| Multiresistance             | 0 (0) | 1 (16.7) | 7 (100) | 16 (100) | 18 (100) | 72 (100) | 1.05 (0.68–1.65) | 0.811 |
| ESBL                        | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 2 (2) | 3 (0.8) | 0.41 (0.068–2.51) | 0.300 |

Abbreviations: ADOb, adolescents; ADUb, adults; n, number of individuals; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; AMC, amoxicillin/clavulanate; SMZ/TMP, sulfamethoxazole-trimethoprim.

*Staphylococcus aureus* was found in 1.75% (8/458) of pregnant women. Only one strain (12.5%) was not resistant to penicillin and only one strain, isolated from adult pregnant women, was resistant to norfloxacin (Table 6). When we assessed the antibiotic sensitivity profile of the strains of *Staphylococcus saprophyticus* (21/458) and *Streptococcus agalactiae* (90/458), they were all multiresistant (Table 6).

When evaluating all classes of bacteria together, a greater resistance to norfloxacin (p = 0.10, OR=10.30, CI= 0.61–172.80), ciprofloxacin (p = 0.28, OR = 3.13, CI = 0.40–24.60), and any quinolone (p = 0.08, OR = 5.86, CI = 0.78–44.20) was found among the adults than among the adolescents; however, the results had no statistical significance (Table 6).

**Discussion**

This study has reported a prevalence of 17% of bacteriuria among the pregnant adolescents; such a rate lies within the range of international studies, from 3.5% in the United States to 30% in Turkey. Among the adults with low-risk obstetric care, the frequency of bacteriuria was 10.1%, in consonance with the existing literature on bacterial colonization of the urinary tract during pregnancy, whose range for adults is even wider than that for adolescents, from 1.4% in the United States to 60% in Zambia.

Adolescence has presented itself as a risk factor for bacteriuria in pregnancy. A study undertaken in Saudi Arabia and another carried out in Turkey reached similar conclusions. This greater frequency of bacterial colonization of the urinary tract among adolescents may be related to inadequate habits of perineal hygiene after urination, especially among the younger ones.

Analysis of the bacterial profile of the urine culture of the pregnant females in this study reveals that *Escherichia coli* was the most frequently isolated microorganism both in adolescents (49%) and in adults (42.18%). Similar percentages have been reported in Ethiopia (45.7%), Nigeria (50.8%), India (43.9%), and Kenya (38.9%). There are, however, studies which report even higher percentages of *Escherichia coli* among the isolated urinary tract pathogens, such as studies conducted in Brazil (83%), Ireland (74.4%), Portugal (71.4%), and the Netherlands (71%).

The second most often isolated bacterial species was *Streptococcus agalactiae* both in adolescents (18%) and in...
adults (20.11%). These percentages are much superior to the results found in the international literature, which range from 0% to 10%, and they point to an increased risk for pregnant females and a higher risk of streptococcal disease for the newborn.29,32,33

Among the adults provided with low-risk obstetric prenatal care, the third most frequently isolated pathogen was *Enterococcus faecalis* (13.13%), at a rate superior to the rates found in other studies in Brazil (2.1% and 7%) or abroad, as in a Dutch study, in which the pathogen rate reached approximately 8%.17,31,34 There are studies indicating a relationship between an infection caused by *Enterococcus faecalis* and a life in close proximity to poultry and/or the consumption of poultry.35,36 We could raise the hypothesis that adults have different eating habits from adolescents.

Taking pregnant adults and adolescents together, when the antimicrobial sensitivity profile of *Escherichia coli* strains were evaluated, 43% (86/200) showed resistance to ampicillin, 45.5% (91/200) to cephalothin, 14% (28/200) to amoxicillin/clavulanate, and 7% (14/200) to nitrofurantoin. Our review of international studies of the sensitivity profile of urinary tract *Escherichia coli* among pregnant females showed that resistance to ampicillin is in the 41% to 100% range; resistance to 1st-generation cephalosporins is in the 55.8% to 66.67% range; resistance to amoxicillin/clavulanate is in the 30% to 83.3% range; and resistance to nitrofurantoin is in the 5.1% to 70.88% range.30,37-41 The enterobacteria in our study appeared to be more highly sensitive to beta-lactam antibiotics than the *Escherichia coli* strains in international studies. The strains of this bacterial species evaluated in our study also showed a frequency of resistance to sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim of 18% (36/200), to ciprofloxacin of 4.5% (9/200) and to gentamicin of 5% (10/200) and a frequency of ESBL strains of 2.5% (5/200). International studies demonstrate resistance to sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim ranging from 16.5% to 99.2%, to ciprofloxacin ranging from 0% to 41.4% and to some aminoglycoside ranging from 0 to 94.93%.24,26,37,41,42 This reinforces the trend towards greater sensitivity to sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim among the strains isolated in our study compared to the world literature.

Our review of Brazilian studies with pregnant females in general have led to the observation that the *Escherichia coli* strains have a rate of resistance to ampicillin ranging from 29.62% to 53.4% (38.39), to 1st-generation cephalosporins ranging from 7% to 39.2%, to amoxicillin/clavulanate ranging from 0% to 15.3%, and to nitrofurantoin ranging from 0% to 15.3%.43-46 Thus, the enterobacteria in our study are prone to a greater resistance to beta-lactam antibiotics and to nitrofurantoin than the *Escherichia coli* strains in other Brazilian research data.34,43,46

The *Enterococcus sp* strains analyzed in our research showed a rate of resistance to quinolones of 27.45% (14/51). The international data are in agreement with our findings, with values in the 25% to 33.42% range.26,47

Analysis of *Staphylococcus aureus* in our research yielded a rate of resistance to penicillin of 87.5% (7/8) and to norfloxacin of 12.5% (1/8). The international data are compatible with our findings: one study demonstrated resistance to penicillin of 71.4% and other studies demonstrated resistance to norfloxacin of 0% to 75%.26,27,48

The bacteria isolated in the urine culture of the pregnant adults tended to be less sensitive to quinolones than those from the pregnant adolescents, although the difference had no statistical significance. There are animal studies showing that quinolone use increases the risk for arthropathy in growth cartilages, which limits the use of this class of antibiotics in the pediatric and obstetric populations. This shorter exposure of the child population to quinolones may explain the finding that there was greater sensitivity to quinolones among adolescents.

There are studies that provide evidence of the association between the previous prescription of antibiotics and the identification of bacterial resistance to antimicrobials. This association tends to be stronger in the first month after prescription, but it can be detected for at least 12 months after prescription.49 The recommendations of some guidelines for the use of fluoroquinolones as an empirical treatment option for bacterial colonization of the urinary tract, as well as the prescription of quinolones for the treatment of other clinical conditions, may contribute to the development of bacterial resistance to this class of antimicrobials.49 Resistance to fluoroquinolones can be observed in studies carried out in several parts of the world, especially in Asia.50-52

The strains of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolated from the urine cultures of pregnant women included in our study showed 100% resistance to ampicillin (20/20), 10% to cephalothin (2/20) and 60% to nitrofurantoin (12/20). These data are in correspondence with the values reported in the international literature.24,34,38,41

Ninety strains of Streptococcus agalactiae (GBS) were isolated from a total of 458 positive urine cultures.
strains were sensitive to the main antibiotics used in clinical practice, mainly penicillin, clindamycin and vancomycin. This finding is important, since GBS is the main cause of early neonatal sepsis in newborns. However, other studies demonstrate the emergence of resistance to penicillin, reaching figures of 77.3% in Ethiopia, and resistance to clindamycin, reaching figures of 21% in Palestine.

In short, as was observed, adolescents have a narrower spectrum of pathogens involved in the bacterial colonization of the urinary tract with an overall lower resistance and with more abnormal urine sediment exams than adults. Adding the fact that pregnant adolescents have nearly a twofold risk of preterm births compared to adults, the outcome is extremely favorable for a diagnosis of bacteriuria and for their treatment, even those which are asymptomatic.

On the other hand, it must not be forgotten that this research was carried out at a teaching referral hospital in the largest city in Brazil. That is, care is required in interpreting the results, given that they may not be able to be generalized to other health care realities. Notwithstanding the fact that São Paulo is a pole of wealth and development within the country, the hospital which is source of this study assists women of an underprivileged socioeconomic status who have an urban lifestyle. Thus, the sample which was evaluated may not reliably correspond to other populations.

Even though the novelty of this piece of work is commendable, it ends up being somewhat self-limiting in that assessment of the importance of its findings is curtailed by the few studies in the literature for comparison, be it in the sense of corroborating or even refuting possible inferences.

Conclusion
Pregnancy in adolescence was shown to be a factor of risk for the occurrence of bacterial colonization of the urinary tract. Bacteriuria among pregnant females is a frequent laboratory finding; it is more usual among adolescents, who tend to present a larger number of leukocytes in urine when a urine culture tests positive. Escherichia coli was the most often found etiological agent in the urine culture of adolescents and adults, with Streptococcus agalactiae coming in second. Among adults, the range of pathogens was wider and bacterial resistance tended to be greater. Bacteria isolated from the urine cultures of adolescent pregnant women tended to have a greater sensitivity in vitro to quinolones when compared to adult pregnant women. However, this finding was not statistically significant.

Escherichia coli strains tended to have a good sensitivity profile to beta-lactams and trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole when compared to the literature. The profile of antibiotic resistance among the other enterobacteria was similar to that reported in international studies. All strains of Streptococcus agalactiae were sensitive to the main antimicrobials used in clinical practice, including penicillin, ampicillin, clindamycin and vancomycin.

The antibiotics analyzed in our study and which are recommended during pregnancy were the beta-lactam antibiotics, nitrofurantoin and sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim. These drugs were offered the least resistance overall by the most common urinary tract bacteria, and recommendation of their use should thus be prioritized in our environment. Furthermore, it is worth highlighting that it is important for pregnant females to be routinely checked with a urine culture at the end of the first trimester.
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