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1 Introduction and preparation results

The main focus of the present research is on construction of codes on function fields, which we called Generalized Hermitian function fields (GH-fields). The term follows from the fact that well-known Hermitian function fields are a special case of the considered family. We first will introduce some preparation material (notions, theorems etc.). The next section 2 is a technical core of this work, which will give us an opportunity to calculate or at least estimate parameters of codes, constructed on GH-fields (Generalized Hermitian codes; GH-codes) in section 3. These codes turn out to have nice properties similar to those of Hermitian codes, but over larger alphabet. In fact some of these codes over $F_8$ attain record values for given parameters; one code delivers a new record. Also their generator matrices can be effectively constructed. Section 4 is devoted to investigating a duality property of GH-codes. It turns out that the duality property of GH-codes is analogous to that of Hermitian codes. Section 5 gives some specific computational results. We finish with conclusions and acknowledgements in sections 6 and 7.

So, first of all recall that Hermitian function fields are from the family of elementary abelian $p$-extensions of $K(x)$, where $\text{char}K = p > 0$. The main properties of these function fields that are of importance for coding theory are collected in the following (Lemma VI.4.4,[1]):

**Proposition 1.1.** The Hermitian function field over $F_{q^2}$, $q$ is a prime power, can be defined by

$$H = F_{q^2}(x, y) \text{ with } y^q + y = x^{q+1}. \quad (1)$$

It has the following properties:

(a) The genus of $H$ is $g = q(q - 1)/2$.

(b) $H$ has $q^3 + 1$ places of degree one over $F_{q^2}$, namely

1. the common pole $Q_\infty$ of $x$ and $y$, and
2. for each $\alpha \in F_{q^2}$, there are $q$ elements $\beta \in F_{q^2}$ such that $\beta^q + \beta = \alpha^{q+1}$, and for all such pair $(\alpha, \beta)$ there is a unique place $P_{\alpha, \beta} \in \mathbb{P}_H$ of degree one with $x(P_{\alpha, \beta}) = \alpha$ and $y(P_{\alpha, \beta}) = \beta$.

(c) $H/F_{q^2}$ is a maximal function field.
(d) For \( r \geq 0 \), the elements \( x^iy^j \) with \( 0 \leq i, 0 \leq j \leq q - 1 \) and \( iq + j(q + 1) \leq r \) form a basis of \( \mathcal{L}(rQ_{\infty}) \).

Now we present a family of function fields, which we call GH-fields. The following theorem is from [2].

**Theorem 1.2.** Let \( r \geq 2 \). Then the curve
\[
y^{q^{r-1}} + \ldots + y^q + y = x^{1+q} + x^{1+q^2} + \ldots + x^{q^{r-2}+q^{r-1}}
\]
over \( \mathbb{F}_{q^r} \) is absolutely irreducible. The corresponding function field \( F/\mathbb{F}_{q^r} \) of this curve has genus
\[
g = q^{r-1}(q^{r-1} - 1)/2,
\]
and the number of rational places is
\[
N = 1 + q^{2r-1}.
\]

**Remark 1.3.** (i) Note that we can write equation (2) as \( s_r(y) = s_r(x) \), where \( s_r(y) \) and \( s_r(x) \) are the first and the second symmetric polynomials of \( (y, y^q, \ldots, y^{q^{r-1}}) \) and \( (x, x^q, \ldots, x^{q^{r-1}}) \) respectively.

(ii) For \( r = 2 \) this curve is the Hermitian curve over \( \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \), and the result is well known (Proposition 1.1).

Our aim is to present an analogue of Proposition 1.1 for GH-fields from Theorem 1.2.

Basically, (a) from Proposition 1.1 has its analogue in Theorem 1.2, (c) does not hold for GH-curves starting with \( r \geq 3 \). So, we have to find the analogue for (b) and (d). The answer to (d) is very important for construction of GH-codes and is given in Theorem 2.8 from section 2, but in order to justify the proof of this theorem we need some preparation.

**Proposition 1.4.** Let \( F/\mathbb{F}_{q^r} \) be a function field of the curve defined by (2). Then the following holds:

(a) The pole \( P_{\infty} \in \mathbb{P}_{F^q}(x) \) (by \( \mathbb{P}_F \) we denote the set of place of a function field \( F \)) of \( x \) in \( \mathbb{F}_{q^r}(x) \) has a unique extension \( Q_{\infty} \in \mathbb{P}_F \) and \( Q_{\infty}|P_{\infty} \) is totally ramified (i.e. \( e(Q_{\infty}|P_{\infty}) = q^{r-1} \)). Hence \( Q_{\infty} \) is a place of \( F/\mathbb{F}_{q^r} \) of degree one.

(b) The pole divisor of \( x \) is \( (x)_\infty = q^{r-1}Q_{\infty} \), and of \( y \) is \( (y)_\infty = (q^{r-1} + q^{r-2})Q_{\infty} \).

(c) For each \( \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^r} \), there are \( q^{r-1} \) elements \( \beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^r} \) such that \( \beta q^{r-1} + \ldots + \beta = \alpha q^{r-1} + q^{r-1} + \ldots + \alpha^{q+q} = f(\alpha) \), and for all such pairs \( (\alpha, \beta) \) there is a unique place \( P_{\alpha,\beta} \in \mathbb{P}_F \) of degree one with \( x(P_{\alpha,\beta}) = \alpha \) and \( y(P_{\alpha,\beta}) = \beta \).

**Proof.** (a) It follows from the proof of Theorem 4.1 [2] (it is Theorem 1.2 in our text).

(b) \( (x)_\infty = q^{r-1}Q_{\infty} \) follows from (a) (cf. Theorem I.4.11 [1]). By (2) \( x \) and \( y \) have the same poles, hence \( Q_{\infty} \) is the only pole of \( y \) as well. As \( q^{r-1}v_Q(y) = \)
\[v_{Q_\infty}(y^{q-1} + \ldots + y) = v_{Q_\infty}(x^{q^{r-1}+q-2} + \ldots + x^{1+q}) = q^{r-1}(q^{r-1} + q^{r-2})\]

we obtain \((y)_\infty = (q^{r-1} + q^{r-2})Q_\infty.\)

(c) For the first part of the statement see the proof of Theorem 4.1. from [2]. Now, suppose there is some \(\beta \in F_{q^r}\) such that \(\beta^{q^{r-1}} + \ldots + \beta = f(\alpha).\) It follows that \((\beta + \gamma)^{q^{r-1}} + \ldots + (\beta + \gamma) = f(\alpha)\) for all \(\gamma\) with \(\gamma^{q^{r-1}} + \ldots + \gamma = 0,\)

so

\[T^{q^{r-1}} + \ldots + T - f(\alpha) = \prod_{j=1}^{q^{r-1}} (T - \beta_j)\]

with pairwise distinct elements \(\beta_i \in F_{q^r}.\) By Corollary III.3.8(c) from [1], there exists for \(j = 1, \ldots, q^{r-1}\) a unique place \(P_j \in P_F\) such that \(P_j|P_\alpha\) and \(y - \beta_j \in P_j,\) and the degree of \(P_j\) is one, which means \(x(P_j) = \alpha, y(P_j) = \beta.\)

In the proof we have used some methods from the proof of Proposition VI.4.1 ([1]). Now using this proposition we go on to the question of the structure of vector spaces \(L(sQ_\infty)\) for given \(s.\)

2 Structure of a Weierstrass semigroup of the place at infinity

Our aim now is to determine the basis of \(L(sQ_\infty)\) for given \(s.\) This problem is closely connected with finding a Weierstrass semigroup of \(Q_\infty\) up to a given parameter \(s.\)

In the case of Hermitian curves the situation is quite simple as Weierstrass semigroup is generated by the orders at infinity of \(x\) and \(y.\) This gives rise to the fact that \(L(sP_\infty)\) is generated by functions of the form \(x^iy^j,\) where \(qi + (q+1)j \leq s,\) where \(q, (q+1)\) are orders of \(x\) and \(y\) respectively. Our situation is more complicated. We will restrict ourselves to the case \(q = 2.\) So we are considering a curve

\[y^{2^{r-1}} + \ldots + y^2 + y = x^{2^{r-1}+2^{r-2}} + \ldots + x^3\]

(3)

over \(F_{2^r}, r \geq 3.\)

Remark 2.1. Our case does not include all curves from the considered family over the field of characteristics 2. Indeed, we can consider \(F_{2^k}\) as \(F_{(2^r)^k},\) so the equation will be

\[y^{(2^r)^{k-1}} + \ldots + y^{2^r} + y = x^{(2^r)^{k-1}+(2^r)^{k-2}} + \ldots + x^{1+2^r}\]

as oppose to

\[y^{2^{rk-1}} + \ldots + y^2 + y = x^{2^{rk-1}+2^{rk-2}} + \ldots + x^3\]

in the case \(q = 2\) (constant field is \(F_{2^k}\)).

If we consider all \(q\) and \(r\) such that \(q^r\) is fixed and \(q\) is a power of 2, then
the maximal number of rational points will be when \( q = 2 \). This follows from the fact that \( N = 1 + q^{2r-1} = 1 + \frac{(q^r)^2}{q} \). So as \( q \) grows \( N \) decreases. The same argument, of course, works for arbitrary characteristics.

However, the ratio

\[
\frac{N}{g} = \frac{2(1 + q^{2r-1})}{q^{r-1}(q^{r-1} - 1)} = \frac{2(1 + (\frac{q^r}{q})^2)}{q^{r-1}(\frac{q}{q} - 1)}
\]

is the lowest when \( q = 2 \), and grows as \( q \) goes up. That is why studying the curves over \( \mathbb{F}_{q^r} \), where \( q \) is a power of a prime is of interest.

As a prelude to finding the Weierstrass semigroup of \( Q_\infty \) and corresponding basis of \( \mathcal{L}(sQ_\infty) \), let us first find orders of some functions at infinity (i.e. at \( Q_\infty \)). The following lemma will give us an opportunity to find numbers that generate the whole Weierstrass semigroup of \( Q_\infty \).

**Lemma 2.2.** If we denote \( \text{ord}(f) := -v_{Q_\infty}(f), \epsilon := x^3 + y^2, \theta := \epsilon + xy \), then the following hold:

- \( \text{ord}(x) = 2r-1; \)
- \( \text{ord}(y) = 2r-1 + 2r-2; \)
- \( \text{ord}(\epsilon) = \text{ord}(xy); \)
- \( \text{ord}(\theta) = 2r + 1. \)

**Proof.** As was noted before, we have that \( x \) and \( y \) have orders at infinity respectively \( \text{ord}(x) = -v_{Q_\infty}(x) = 2r-1 \) and \( \text{ord}(y) = -v_{Q_\infty}(y) = 2r-1 + 2r-2. \)

When \( r \geq 3 \) we have that \( \text{ord}(x) \) and \( \text{ord}(y) \) are both even, so we cannot hope on them to generate the Weierstrass semigroup. So we have to search for other generator(s).

As we see \( \text{ord}(x^3) = \text{ord}(y^2) = 2r + 2r-1 = 3 \cdot 2r-1. \) If we consider their sum \( x^3 + y^2 \) we may hope that \( \text{ord}(x^3 + y^2) \) will be lower than \( \text{ord}(x^3) = \text{ord}(y^2) \) and differ from those orders that could be generated by \( \text{ord}(x) \) and \( \text{ord}(y) \). So let us put \( \epsilon := x^3 + y^2 \). By squaring both sides of (3) we have

\[
y^{2r} + \ldots + y^4 + y^2 = x^{2r+2r-1} + \ldots + x^6.
\]

Now, considering that \( \alpha = -\alpha \) in a field of characteristics 2 we have

\[
c^{2r-1} = x^32^{2r-1} + y^{2r} = x^{2r+2r-1} + y^{2r} = |\text{using (2)}| \]

\[
y^{2r-1} + \ldots + y^4 + y^2 + x^{2r+2r-2} + \ldots + x^6.
\]

We then use the fact that

\[
y^{2r-1} + \ldots + y^4 + y^2 = y + x^{2r-1} + 2r-2 + \ldots + x^3.
\]
So,
\[\epsilon^{r-1} = y + (x^{2r-1} + x^{2r-2} + \ldots + x^3) + (x^{2r} + x^{2r-2} + \ldots + x^6).\]

All summands of the form \(x^{2i+2j}, i, j > 0\) from the first bracket will be canceled, as \(x^{2i+2j} = (x^{2i-1} + x^{2i-2} + \ldots + x^3)^2\). So the first bracket reduces to \(x^{2r-1} + x^{2r-2} + \ldots + x^3\). Analogously, in the second bracket all summands of the form \(x^{2r+2j}, 0 \leq j < i \leq r - 1\) will be crossed out (as they are present in the first bracket), so the second bracket reduces to \(x^{2r+2r-2} + x^{2r+2r-3} + \ldots + x^{2r+1}\). After reducing we have
\[\epsilon^{r-1} = y + x^{2r-2r-2} + \ldots + x^{2r-1} + x^{2r-2} + \ldots + x^3.\]

Orders (at \(Q_\infty\)) of all summands here are pairwise distinct, so Strict Triangle Inequality works. Thus, \(2^{r-1} \cdot \text{ord}(\epsilon) = (2^r + 2^{r-2}) \cdot \text{ord}(x)\) (which is the highest).
So
\[\text{ord}(\epsilon) = 2^r + 2^{r-2}.\]

Now note that \(\text{ord}(xy) = \text{ord}(x) + \text{ord}(y) = 2^{r-1} + 2^{r-1} + 2^{r-2} = 2^r + 2^{r-2} = \text{ord}(\epsilon).\)

**Remark 2.3.** \(\text{ord}(xy) \neq \text{ord}(\epsilon)\) if \(q \neq 2\), so our considerations are essentially valid only for \(q = 2\).

Let us do the summing up again. Consider \(\theta := \epsilon + xy = x^3 + y^2 + xy\).
\[
\theta^{r-1} = \epsilon^{r-1} + x^{r-1} y^{r-1} = \text{using (2)} = \\
\epsilon^{r-1} + x^{r-1} (y^{r-2} + \ldots + y^2 + y + x^{2r-1} + x^{2r-2} + \ldots + x^3) = \\
y + x^{2r+2r-2} + \ldots + x^{2r+2} + x^{2r-1} + x^{2r-2} + \ldots + x^3 + x^{2r-1} y^{r-2} + \ldots + x^{2r-1} y^2 + x^{2r-1} + \\
x^{2r+2r-2} + \ldots + x^{2r+2} + x^{2r+1} + x^{2r-1} + x^{2r-2} + x^{2r-3} + \ldots + x^{2r-1} + \\
y + x^{2r-1} + x^{2r-2} + \ldots + x^3 + x^{2r-1} y^{r-2} + \ldots + x^{2r-1} y^2 + x^{2r-1} + \\
\ldots + x^{2r-1} y^2 + x^{2r-1} y + x^{2r+1} + x^{2r-1} + x^{2r-2} + x^{2r-3} + \ldots + x^{2r-1}.\]

For Strict Triangle Inequality to work we need that the highest orders are not duplicated (if some lower orders are duplicated, we can sum corresponding functions and a resulting function will have either the same order, i.e. duplication is removed, or the lower order, so we can repeat our procedure, and so on). In order to find the highest order among orders of our summands we need to compare the orders of \(x^{2r+1}\) and \(x^{2r-1} y^{r-2}\) : \(\text{ord}(x^{2r+1}) = (2^r + 1)2^{r-1}, \text{ord}(x^{2r-1} y^{r-2}) = 2^{r-1} \cdot 2^{r-1} + 2^{r-2}(2^{r-1} + 2^{r-2}) = 2^{r-2}(2^r + 2^{r-2})\).
Now \(2^{r-1}(2^r + 1) = 2^{r-2}(2^r + 2^{r-1} + 2^{r-1} + 2^{r-1} + 2) > 2^{r-2}(2^r + 2^{r-2})\).
So \(\text{ord}(\theta^{r-1}) = (2^r + 1) \cdot 2^{r-1} \Rightarrow \text{ord}(\theta) = 2^r + 1.\)

The question of calculating orders of functions was also studied in [11]. Obviously, \(\text{ord}(\theta) = 2^r + 1\) is not a linear combination of \(2^r-1\) and \(2^{r-1} + 2^{r-2}\). It turns out that this is all what we need in order to construct the Weierstrass semigroup of \(Q_\infty\) (we denote it as \(WS(Q_\infty)\)). Namely, the following holds
Theorem 2.4. 

\[ WS(Q_{\infty}) = N \cdot 2^{r-1} + N \cdot (2^{r-1} + 2^{r-2}) + N \cdot (2^r + 1). \]

This theorem can be proven via direct computations (cf. [10]). But we will use so-called telescopic semigroups, which will yield a short and elegant proof of the theorem. First, let us define what a telescopic semigroup is and give a result that we will use in the proof.

Definition 2.5. (Definition 5.31, [6]) Let \((a_1, \ldots, a_k)\) be a sequence of positive integers with greatest common divisor 1. Define

\[ d_i = gcd(a_1, \ldots, a_i) \] and \( A_i = \{a_1/d_i, \ldots, a_i/d_i\} \)

for \(i = 1, \ldots, k\). Let \(d_0 = 0\). Let \(\Lambda_i\) be the semigroup generated by \(A_i\). If \(a_i/d_i \in \Lambda_{i-1}\) for \(i = 2, \ldots, k\), then the sequence \((a_1, \ldots, a_k)\) is called telescopic. A semigroup is called telescopic if it is generated by a telescopic sequence.

Definition 2.6. (Section 5.1, [6]) Let \(\Lambda\) be a semigroup. The number of gaps is denoted by \(g = g(\Lambda)\). If \(g < \infty\), then there exists an \(n \in \Lambda\) such that if \(x \in N_0\) and \(x \geq n\), then \(x \in \Lambda\). The conductor of \(\Lambda\) is the smallest \(n \in \Lambda\) such that \(\{x \in N_0 | x \geq n\}\) is contained in \(\Lambda\), denoted by \(c = c(\Lambda)\). So \(c - 1\) is the largest gap of \(\Lambda\) if \(g > 0\). A semigroup is called symmetric if \(c = 2g\).

Now we are ready to give the result.

Proposition 2.7. (Proposition 5.35, [6]) Let \(\Lambda_k\) be the semigroup generated by the telescopic sequence \((a_1, \ldots, a_k)\). Then

\[ c(\Lambda_k) - 1 = d_{k-1}(c(\Lambda_{k-1}) - 1) + (d_{k-1} - 1)a_k = \sum_{i=1}^{k} (d_{i-1}/d_i - 1)a_i, \]

\[ g(\Lambda_k) = d_{k-1}g(\Lambda_{k-1}) + (d_{k-1} - 1)(a_k - 1)/2 = c(\Lambda_k)/2. \]

So telescopic semigroups are symmetric. Here we put \(d_0 = 0\).

Proof. (of Theorem 2.4) Let \(\Lambda(r) = < 2^{r-1}, 2^{r-1} + 2^{r-2}, 2^r + 1 >, r \geq 3\) be a semigroup generated by \(2^{r-1} =: a_1, 2^{r-1} + 2^{r-2} =: a_2, \) and \(2^r + 1 =: a_3\) for given \(r \geq 3\). It is clear that \(gcd(a_1, a_2, a_3) = 1\). Let us check the definition of a telescopic semigroup:

\[ d_1 = gcd(a_1) = 2^{r-1}, A_1 = \{1\}, \Lambda_1 = N; \]

\[ d_2 = gcd(a_1, a_2) = 2^{r-2}, A_2 = \{2, 3\}, A_2 = < 2, 3 >; \]

\[ d_3 = gcd(a_1, a_2, a_3) = 1, A_3 = \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}, \Lambda_3 = \Lambda(r); \]

It is clear that \(A_2 = \{2, 3\} \subseteq N = \Lambda_1\). Also \(2^{r-1} \in \Lambda_2 = < 2, 3 >, \) and \(2^{r-1} + 2^{r-2} \in \Lambda_2\). Finally, \(2^r + 1 = 2 \cdot (2^{r-1} - 1) + 3 \cdot 1 \in < 2, 3 >\). This means that \(\Lambda(r)\) is a telescopic semigroup. Let us apply Proposition 2.7 to \(\Lambda(r)\). We obtain:

\[ c(\Lambda(r)) = (d_0/d_1 - 1)a_1 + (d_1/d_2 - 1)a_2 + (d_2/d_3 - 1)a_3 + 1. \]
So that 
\[ c(\Lambda(r)) = -a_1 + a_2 + (2r^{-2} - 1)a_3 + 1 = 2^{2r-2} - 2r^{-1}. \]
As telescopic semigroups are symmetric, we have:
\[ g(\Lambda(r)) = c(\Lambda(r))/2 = 2^{2r-3} - 2r^{-2}. \]
Note, that \( g(\Lambda(r)) = g(WS(Q_\infty)) \) per Theorem 1.2. Considering the fact that \( \Lambda(r) \subseteq WS(Q_\infty) \) we conclude that \( \Lambda(r) = WS(Q_\infty) \).

As a straightforward, but very important corollary, we have

**Theorem 2.8.** \( L(sQ_\infty) = \langle x^iy^j\theta^k \rangle_{i,j,k} \), where \( \theta = x^3 + y^2 + xy \) and \( i \cdot 2r^{-1} + j(2r^{-1} + 2r^{-2}) + k \cdot (2r^{-1} + 1) \leq s \); \( i, k \geq 0, j \in \{0, 1\} \).

**Proof.** This is easily seen as \( \dim L(sQ_\infty) = |WS(Q_\infty) \cap \{0, 1, \ldots, s\}| \), and functions of the form \( x^iy^j\theta^k \) as above are linearly independent, because they have different orders at \( Q_\infty \).

In the next section we are going to show how this theorem applies to codes.

**Remark 2.9.** It can be shown (cf. [10], proof of Theorem 1.3) that the numbers \( i \cdot 2r^{-1} + j(2r^{-1} + 2r^{-2}) + k \cdot (2r^{-1} + 1) \) are all different provided that \( i, k \geq 0, j \in \{0, 1\} \).

## 3 Application to GH-codes

In coding theory ([1], [3]) Hermitian codes have taken a special place, as this class of codes provides interesting and non-trivial examples of Goppa codes. These codes are over \( F_{q^2} \), they are not too short compared with the size of the alphabet, and their parameters \( k \) (dimension) and \( d \) (minimum distance) are fairly good. In addition there is an efficient way to produce generator matrices for these codes.

**Definition 3.1.** ([1], Definition VII.4.1) For \( s \in \mathbb{N} \) we define
\[ H_s := C_L(D, sQ_\infty), \]
where
\[ D := \sum_{\beta r + \beta = \alpha r + 1} P_{\alpha, \beta} \]
is the sum of all places of degree one except \( Q_\infty \) of the Hermitian function field \( H/\mathbb{F}_{q^2} \) (cf. Proposition 1.1). The codes \( H_s \) are called Hermitian codes.

All the basic facts on performance of Hermitian code can be found in [1]. We will now treat the Generalized Hermitian codes.
Definition 3.2. For $s \in \mathbb{N}$ we define

$$GH_s := C_L(D, sQ_\infty),$$

where

$$D := \sum_{\beta^{q^{r-1}}+\ldots+\beta=\alpha^{q^r-1}+q^{-r}+\ldots+\alpha^1} P_{\alpha,\beta}$$

is the sum of all places of degree one except $Q_\infty$ of the Generalized Hermitian function field $GH/F_q$. We call the codes $GH_s$ Generalized Hermitian codes. They are Hermitian codes for $r = 2$.

GH-codes are codes of length $n = q^{2r-1}$ over $F_q$. For $t \leq s$ we have $GH_t \subseteq GH_s$. Now if $s - q^{2r-1} > 2g - 2 \Rightarrow s > q^{2r-1} + q^{2r-2} - q^{r-1} - 2$. Riemann-Roch Theorem and Theorem II.2.2 from [1] yield $\dim GH_s = \dim(sQ_\infty) - \dim(sQ_\infty - D) = (s + 1 - g) - (s + 1 - g - q^{r-1}) = q^{2r-1} = n$, which is trivial. So GH-codes are interesting for $0 < s \leq q^{2r-1} + q^{2r-2} - q^{r-1} - 2$.

Denote $S(s) := W S(Q_\infty) \cap \{0, 1, \ldots, s\}$. We have $|S(s)| = s + 1 - g = s + 1 - 2^{r-1}(q^{-r+1})$ for $s \geq 2g - 1 = q^{-r+1}(q^{r-1} - 1) - 1$. As before, we will restrict ourselves to the case $q = 2$. From section 2 we have

$$S(s) = \{l \leq s | l = i \cdot 2^{r-1} + j \cdot (2^{r-1} + 2^{r-2}) + k \cdot (2^{r-1} + 1); i, k \geq 0, j = 0, 1\}.$$

Some insight on parameters of the code $GH_s$ over $F_2$. gives the following:

**Proposition 3.3.** Suppose $0 < s \leq 2^{2r-1}$. Then

(a) The dimension of $GH_s$ is given by

$$\dim GH_s = |S(s)|.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

For $2^{2r-2} - 2^{r-1} - 1 < s < 2^{2r-1}$, we have

$$\dim GH_s = s + 1 - 2^{r-2}(2^{r-1} - 2).$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

(b) The minimum distance $d$ of $GH_s$ satisfies

$$d \geq 2^{2r-1} - s.$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

**Proof.** (a) For $0 < s < 2^{2r-1}$, Corollary II.2.3 (II) gives $\dim GH_s = \dim L(sQ_\infty) = |S(s)|$. The formula (II) is straightforward.

(b) Inequality (II) follows from Theorem II.2.2 (II). \hfill $\square$

Of course, this proposition remains valid for arbitrary $q$, but for $s \leq 2^{2r-2} - 2^{r-1} - 1$ the description of $|S(s)|$, which we obtained in section 2, is crucial.

Let us now present a generator matrix for the GH-codes over $F_2$. We fix an ordering of the set $T := \{(\alpha, \beta) \in F_2 \times F_2 | \beta^{2^{r-1}} + \ldots + \beta = \alpha^{2^{r-1} + 2^{r-2} + \ldots + \alpha^3}\}$. For $l = i \cdot 2^{r-1} + j (2^{r-1} + 2^{r-2}) + k \cdot (2^{r-1} + 1); i, k \geq 0, j = 0, 1$ we define a vector

$$u_l := (\alpha^i \beta^j \alpha^3 + \beta^2 + \alpha \beta^k)_{(\alpha, \beta) \in T} \in (F_2)^{2^{2r-1}}.$$

As a corollary of Theorem 2.8 and Corollary II.2.3 (II) we have:
Proposition 3.4. Suppose that $0 < s < 2^{2r-1}$ and let $k := |\mathcal{S}(s)|$. Then the $k \times 2^{2r-1}$ matrix
\[ GH_M := (u_i)_{i \in \mathcal{S}(s)} \] (8)
is a generator matrix for $GH_s$.

Now we will show how an estimate from Proposition 3.3 can be improved by applying results from [9]. For this we define
\[ C'_s = (C_L(D, \rho_s Q^\infty))^\perp = C_{\Omega}(D, \rho_s Q^\infty), \] (9)
where $WS(Q^\infty) = (\rho_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a non-gap sequence of $Q^\infty$.

For these codes a designed Feng-Rao distance $\delta_{FR}(s)$ can be defined (for definition cf. [9]). Without going deeply into details we only state that:
\[ d(C'_s) \geq \delta_{FR}(s) \]
(Theorem 2.5, [9]), and
\[ \delta_{FR}(s) \geq \delta_{F}(s) \]
where $\delta_{F}(s)$ is a Goppa designed distance of $C'_s$ (Corollary 3.9, [9]). Note that the estimate in Proposition 3.3 is given via this designed distance.

In [9] C.Kirfel and R.Pellikaan give some estimates on $\delta_{FR}$ for the case when a Weierstrass semigroup is telescopic. As this is the case in our situation we can apply these results. First we quote:

Theorem 3.5. (Theorem 6.10, [9]) Let the semigroup of non-gaps at $P$ ($P = Q^\infty$ in [9]) be generated by the telescopic sequence $(a_1, \ldots, a_k)$. Suppose $a_k = \max(A_k)$ and $d_k = \gcd(a_1, \ldots, a_k-1) > 1$. Let $(\rho_i)$ be the non-gap sequence at $P$. For codes $C(r) = C_{\Omega}(D, \rho_r P)$ we have
\[ \delta_{FR}(r) = \min\{\rho_t | \rho_t \geq r + 1 - g\}, \]
if $3g - 2 - (d_k - 1)a_k < r \leq 3g - 2$ and $g \leq r$.

Theorem 3.6. (Theorem 6.11, [9]) Let the semigroup of non-gaps at $P$ be generated by the telescopic sequence $(a_1, \ldots, a_k)$. Suppose $a_k = \max(A_k)$. If
\[ (j - 1)a_k < \rho_{r+1} \leq ja_k \leq (d_k - 1)a_k \]
then
\[ \delta_{FR}(r) = j + 1. \]

A direct application to our situation yields:

Proposition 3.7. Let $C'_s$ be defined as above. The the following holds:
\[ \delta_{FR}(s) = \min\{\rho_t | \rho_t \geq s + 1 - g\}, \]
if $3g - 2 - (2^{r-2} - 1)(2^r + 1) < s \leq 3g - 2$ and $g \leq s$, where $g = 2^{r-2}(2^{r-1} - 1), r \geq 3$.  
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Proof. We have (cf. the proof of Theorem 2.4): \( k = 3, d_k - 1 = d_2 = 2^{r-2} > 1, a_3 = \max(A_3) = 2^r + 1. \)

**Proposition 3.8.** In the notation as above, if

\[
(j - 1)(2^r + 1) < \rho_{s+1} \leq j(2^r + 1) \leq (2^{r-2} - 1)(2^r + 1)
\]

then

\[
\delta_{FR}(s) = j + 1.
\]

**Example 3.9.** Let us consider the case \( q = 2, r = 3, \) then \( g = 6. \) From Proposition 3.7 we have \( \delta_{FR}(s) = \min\{\rho_t | \rho_t \geq s - 5\}, \) if \( 7 < s \leq 16. \) The following table lists \( s, \delta_{FR}(s), \) and \( \delta_{T}(s) \) for \( s = 8, \ldots, 16. \) Where \( \delta_{FR}(s) > \delta_{T}(s) \) a bold font is used.

| \( s \) | \( \delta_{FR}(s) \) | \( \delta_{T}(s) \) |
|---|---|---|
| 8  | 4  | 3  |
| 9  | 4  | 4  |
| 10 | 6  | 5  |
| 11 | 6  | 6  |
| 12 | 9  | 7  |
| 13 | 9  | 8  |
| 14 | 9  | 9  |
| 15 | 10 | 10 |
| 16 | 12 | 11 |

The case \( s = 16 \) is of particular interest, see Section 5.

### 4 Duality property

In this section we want to establish a duality property for GHC, which turns out to generalize the one of HC. First of all, let us recall the corresponding result for Hermitian codes.

**Proposition 4.1.** The dual code of \( H_s \) is

\[
H_s^\perp = H_{q^3 + q^2 - q - 2 - s}.
\]

Hence \( H_s \) is self-orthogonal if \( 2s \leq q^3 + q^2 - q - 2, \) and \( H_s \) is self-dual if and only if \( s = (q^3 + q^2 - q - 2)/2. \)

Now we will formulate an analogous result in a more general setting and then apply it to GHC.

Consider a curve \( \mathcal{G} \) over \( \mathbb{F}_q^r \) given by an equation

\[
(f(y))^q + y = g(x),
\]

\( f(T), g(T) \in \mathbb{F}_q[T]. \) Suppose that \( \mathcal{G} \) is absolutely irreducible. Denote a function field of \( \mathcal{G} \) by \( \mathcal{F}. \) Let \( N = N(\mathcal{F}) \) and \( g = g(\mathcal{F}) \) denote the number of rational points and the genus of \( \mathcal{F} \) resp. Suppose further that the pole \( P_\infty \) of \( x \) in \( \mathbb{F}_q^r(x) \)
has a unique extension \( Q_\infty \in \mathbb{P}_x \), and \( Q_\infty | P_\infty \) is totally ramified. From this it follows that \( Q_\infty \) is a place of \( \mathcal{F} / \mathbb{F}_q \) of degree one and \( (x)_\infty = q \cdot \deg f(T) \) (cf. the proof of Proposition 1.4). Finally, assume that for each \( \alpha \) curve \( \varphi \) follows that \( Q_\infty \cdot \varphi \).

The divisor of the differential \( \text{Diff} \) (for an analogous result for Hermitian codes cf. Lemma VI.4.4(d), [1])

From Remark IV.3.7(c), [1] we have \( \text{Diff} \)

where \( D = \sum_{(\beta)_{\infty} = g(\alpha)} P_{\alpha, \beta} \). We will be interested in the case, when \( 0 \leq l \leq N + 2g - 3 \). Note that HC and GHC are \( C_l \)-codes for a special choice of the curve \( G \).

**Theorem 4.2.** The dual code of \( C_l \) is

\[
C_l^\perp = C_{N + 2g - 3 - l}.
\]

**Proof.** First of all we will need the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.3.** The divisor of the differential \( dx \) is

\[
(dx) = (2g - 2)Q_\infty.
\]

(for an analogous result for Hermitian codes cf. Lemma VI.4.4(d), [1])

**Proof.** From Remark IV.3.7(c), [1] we have

\[
(dx) = -2(x)_\infty + \text{Diff} \mathcal{F} / \mathbb{F}_q \mathcal{F}(x),
\]

where \( \text{Diff} \mathcal{F} / \mathbb{F}_q \mathcal{F}(x) \) is a different of \( \mathcal{F} / \mathbb{F}_q \mathcal{F}(x) \). We know that \( (x)_\infty = q \deg f(T) \cdot Q_\infty \), so we have to calculate the different. We need another lemma (Theorem III.5.10(a), [1]):

**Lemma 4.4.** Suppose \( F' = F(y) \) is a finite separable extension of a function field \( F \) of degree \([F' : F] = n\). Let \( P \in \mathbb{P}_F \) be such that the minimal polynomial \( \phi(T) \) of \( y \) over \( F \) has coefficients in the valuation ring \( \mathcal{O}_P \) of \( P \) (i.e. \( y \) is integral over \( \mathcal{O}_P \)), and let \( P_1, \ldots, P_m \in \mathbb{P}_F \) be all places of \( F' \) lying over \( P \). Then

\[
d(P_i | P) \leq v_{P_i}(\phi'(y)) \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq m,
\]

where \( d(P_i | P) \) is a different exponent of \( P_i \) over \( P \).

Now, \( \forall P \in \mathbb{P}_F(x) \ni P \neq P_\infty : g(x) \in \mathcal{O}_P, \text{ so } \phi(T) = (f(T))^q + T - g(x) \in \mathcal{O}_P[T] \).

Next, \( \phi'(y) = 1 \). So \( \forall P \ni P : d(P_i | P) \leq v_{P_i}(1) = 0 \). By definition of \( d(P_i | P) \) we have that \( d(P_i | P) \geq 0 \). It follows that \( d(P_i | P) = 0 \). As \( P_\infty \) is totally ramified, we obtain that \( \text{Diff} \mathcal{F} / \mathbb{F}_q \mathcal{F}(x) = a \cdot Q_\infty \). By Hurwitz genus formula (Theorem III.4.12, [1])

\[
a = \deg \text{Diff} \mathcal{F} / \mathbb{F}_q \mathcal{F}(x) = 2g - 2 + 2q \cdot \deg f(T).
\]

Collecting all the above, [10] yields:

\[
(dx) = (-2q \cdot \deg f(T) + 2q \cdot \deg f(T) + 2g - 2)Q_\infty = (2g - 2)Q_\infty.
\]

\[\square\]
Now we can rewrite the proof of Proposition VII.4.2, \[1\] for our situation. Consider the element 
\[ z := \prod_{\alpha \in F_{qr}} (x - \alpha) = x^{q^r} - x. \]

\( z \) is a prime element for all places \( P_{\alpha, \beta} \leq D \), and its principal divisor is \((z) = D - (N - 1)Q_\infty\). Since \( dz = d(x^{q^r} - x) = -dx \), the differential \( dz \) has the divisor \((dz) = (dx) = (2g - 2)Q_\infty\) due to Lemma 4.3. Now Theorem II.2.8 and Proposition VII.1.2, \[1\] imply

\[ C_{\perp}^l = C_{\Omega}(D, lQ_\infty) = C_{L}(D, D - lQ_\infty + (dz) - (z)) = C_{L}(D, ((N - 1) + 2g - 2 - lQ_\infty) = C_{N+2g-3-1}. \]

\[ \square \]

It is clear the Proposition 4.1 is a corollary of Theorem 4.2. A result for GHC looks as follows:

**Corollary 4.5.** The dual code of \( GH_s \) is

\[ GH_s^\perp = GH_{q^{2r-1}+2g-2-s} = GH_{q^{2r-1}+q^{r-1}(q^{r-1}-1)-2-s}. \]

Hence \( GH_s \) is self-orthogonal if \( 2s \leq q^{2r-1} + q^{r-1}(q^{r-1}-1) - 2 \), and \( GH_s \) is self-dual (this case can only occur if \( q \) is a power of 2) if and only if \( s = (q^{2r-1} + q^{r-1}(q^{r-1}-1) - 2)/2. \)

## 5 Computational results

Here we demonstrate some computational results on GH-codes over \( F_{23} \). The codes (their generator matrices) were computed using SINGULAR computer algebra system \[4,7\] the minimum distance was computed in GAP computer algebra system \[5\].

In the table below \( d_{rec} \) is a record value for \( d \) for given \( n = 32 \) and \( k \). These are taken from Brouwer’s table (\[6\]) for the linear codes over \( F_8 \).

| \( k \) | \( d_{rec} \) | \( d \) |
|---|---|---|
| 6  | 22 | 22 |
| 7  | 20 | 20 |
| 8  | 20 | 19 |
| 9  | 18 | 18 |
| 10 | 17 | 17 |
| 11 | 16 | 16 |

When discussing estimates on Feng-Rao designed distance in section 3, we saw for \( k=16 \), \( \delta_{FR}(16) \geq 12 \). Thus we obtained \([32, 16, \geq 12]\)-code over \( F_8 \) (in a view of the duality property). This yields a new record, which is cited in Brouwer’s table.
6 Conclusion

In this paper we studied generalization of Hermitian function field proposed by A.Garcia and H.Stichtenoth. We calculated a Weierstrass semigroup of the point at infinity for the case \( q = 2, r \geq 3 \). It turned out that unlike Hermitian case, we have already three generators for the semigroup. We then applied this result to codes, constructed on generalized Hermitian function fields. Further, we applied results of C.Kirfel and R.Pellikaan to estimating a Feng-Rao designed distance for GH-codes, which improved on Goppa designed distance. Next, we studied the question of codes dual to GH-codes. We identified that the duals are also GH-codes and gave an explicit formula. We concluded with some computational results. In particular, a new record-giving \([32,16,\geq 12]\)-code over \( \mathbb{F}_8 \) was presented. As a further work we see studying a structure of the Weierstrass semigroup for other values of \( q \). It could also be interesting to apply a theory of generalized weights to GH-codes.
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