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ABSTRACT

Young, D, Malone, S, Beato, M, Mourot, L, and Coratella, G. Identification of maximal running intensities during elite hurling match-play. J Strength Cond Res 34(9): 2608–2617, 2020—The current study aimed to describe the duration-specific running intensities of elite hurling players during competition with respect to position using a rolling average method. Global positioning systems (10-Hz Viper; STATSport, Viper, Newry, Northern Ireland) were used to collect data from 36 elite hurling players across 2 seasons. Players were categorized according to playing positions (full-backs, half-backs, midfielders, half-forwards, and full-forwards). A total of 230 full match samples were obtained from 22 competitive games for analysis. The velocity-time curve was analyzed using a rolling average method, in which the maximum relative total distance (TD; m·min⁻¹), high-speed running distance (HSR; m·min⁻¹), and sprint distance (SD; m·min⁻¹) intensities were calculated across 10 different rolling time durations (1–10 minutes) within each game. There were large to very large (effect sizes [ES] = 0.66–4.33) differences between 1 minute rolling averages and all other durations for TD, HSR, and SD. However, pairwise comparisons between 6 and 10 minutes for TD, HSR, and SD were smaller and more variable (ES = 0.07, trivial to ES = 0.85, moderate). Half-backs, midfielders, and half-forwards achieved a higher maximal relative TD and HSR in all duration-specific fields when compared with full-backs and full-forwards. No positional difference was observed in 1- and 2-minute durations for SD. Because the rolling average duration increased the maximum TD, HSR and SD running intensities decreased across all positions. These data provide knowledge of the peak running intensities of elite hurling competition and can be used to design training activities to sufficiently prepare players for these “worst-case scenarios.”
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INTRODUCTION

Hurling is an intermittent stick and ball invasion team sport and one of the national sports of Ireland. It is a physically demanding and highly skillful game with periods of high-intensity efforts similar to other team sports (7,26,27). The game is 70 minutes (35 minutes per half) in duration and is played on a pitch 140 m long and 90 m wide. Two teams of 15 players (1 goalkeeper and 14 outfield players) contest for possession, and the aim is to outscore the opposing team by striking the ball through their goalpost, under or over the crossbar earning 3 points (goal) and 1 point, respectively (24). Players’ physical, tactical, and technical roles differ between the 5 distinctive positions (full-backs, half-backs, midfielders, half-forwards, and full-forwards) (7,26,27). Ten elite teams each representing a county compete for Provincial and All-Ireland senior championship, which attract large attendances of over 80,000 spectators for the final (24).

Recently, there has been an increase in the utilization of global positioning system (GPS) technology to track players’ training and match-play running demands (6,7,17). The running performance of elite senior hurling match-play was quantified using GPS (7). Results have shown that players cover a total distance (TD), high-speed running distance
(HSR), and sprint distance (SD) of approximately 7,600, 780, 500-m, respectively, during the 70 minutes of competitive play (7). Relatively, players cover 109 m·min⁻¹, with 16 and 5 m·min⁻¹ spent at HSR and SD, respectively (7). Most HSR efforts occur close to the hurling ball and may determine the outcome of crucial events in the game (24). Furthermore, there were small to moderate differences in TD, HSR, and SD between positions, with midfielders being the highest performers when compared with the remaining 4 positions (7). Full-backs undertake the least TD and HSR (7). Similar to other team sports (9,19,21), a distinct positional profile for running performance exists during hurling match-play, which coaches must consider when selecting specific training methodologies for competition.

Temporal decrements in running performance exist within team sports, with these being related to transient fatigue experienced throughout a match (23). In professional rugby union, players covered the greatest relative distance during the first 10-minute period of each half of the play (12). In soccer, players were shown to cover greater distances in the first 15 minutes (1,919 ± 125 m) of the match compared with the last 15 minutes (1,775 ± 158 m) (5). Similarly, in hurling, players’ running performance deteriorated across halves and quarters of the play (7). Data presented per predefined periods provide an understanding as to the temporal change in running performance. These global relative running distances fail to fully account for the most intense periods of play or “worst-case scenario” period that occurs during match-play. Given that relative distances are used to determine training activities, these activities may be under preparing players to complete these “worst-case scenarios” of match-play (9). Previously, quantifying the match-play demands relative to match duration understimates the most intense running performance periods during team invasion sports (9,21). The use of a rolling average method, in which distance covered in set intervals from every time point is averaged moving averages were calculated across 10 different predefined time periods (1–10 minutes) using a rolling average method. Thirty-six (n = 36) elite hurlers playing at the highest level (Provincial and All-Ireland Championship) were recruited and analyzed across 2 full competitive seasons (February 2016–August 2017) resulting in 230 individual samples being collected (n = 230). Game data were collected across 22 games during the 2016 and 2017 seasons. Data were included only if a full match (70 minutes) was completed. The players were classified according to their playing position during each match resulting in the following number of data sets per position: full-backs: n = 48, half-backs: n = 48, midfielders: n = 38, half-forwards: n = 48, and full-forwards: n = 48. All competitive matches took place between 14:00 and 18:00 hours. Temperatures ranged from 11 to 21°C. Global positioning systems were used to quantify players’ running performance during competitive games. After match-play, a customized spreadsheet was used to specifically allow for the computation of a rolling average for each player’s relative TD covered (m·min⁻¹), HSR (m·min⁻¹; ≥17–22 km·h⁻¹), and SD (m·min⁻¹; >22 km·h⁻¹) (21). To assist in the development of velocity-based movement indicators, rolling moving averages were calculated across 10 different durations (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, and 10 minutes) for each player across each match, with the maximum value for each specific duration recorded (9,21).

**Methods**

**Experimental Approach to the Problem**

The current observational study was designed to examine the duration-specific running intensities of elite hurling players during competition with respect to position across predefined time periods (1–10 minutes) using a rolling average method. Thirty-six (n = 36) elite hurlers playing at the highest level (Provincial and All-Ireland Championship) were recruited and analyzed across 2 full competitive seasons (February 2016–August 2017) resulting in 230 individual samples being collected (n = 230). Game data were collected across 22 games during the 2016 and 2017 seasons. Data were included only if a full match (70 minutes) was completed. The players were classified according to their playing position during each match resulting in the following number of data sets per position: full-backs: n = 48, half-backs: n = 48, midfielders: n = 38, half-forwards: n = 48, and full-forwards: n = 48. All competitive matches took place between 14:00 and 18:00 hours. Temperatures ranged from 11 to 21°C. Global positioning systems were used to quantify players’ running performance during competitive games. After match-play, a customized spreadsheet was used to specifically allow for the computation of a rolling average for each player’s relative TD covered (m·min⁻¹), HSR (m·min⁻¹; ≥17–22 km·h⁻¹), and SD (m·min⁻¹; >22 km·h⁻¹) (21). To assist in the development of velocity-based movement indicators, rolling moving averages were calculated across 10 different durations (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, and 10 minutes) for each player across each match, with the maximum value for each specific duration recorded (9,21).

**Subjects**

Thirty-six (n = 36) elite male hurlers (mean ± SD, age: 27 ± 4 years; mean ± SD, height: 181 ± 5 cm; mean ± SD, mass: 86 ± 4 kg) volunteered for the current investigation over 2
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The running performance of players during match-play was recorded using 10-Hz GPS units and 100-Hz triaxial accelerometer (STATSports, Viper, Newry, Northern Ireland: Firmware 2.28) (1,3,4). The validity and reliability of these GPS units were previously established (2). The GPS unit (dimensions 86 x 33 x 14 mm, mass 50 g) was placed within a pouch provided instantaneous raw velocity data at 0.10-second intervals, which was then exported and placed into a customized Microsoft Excel spreadsheet (Microsoft, Redmond, WA, USA). The spreadsheet allowed analysis of the relative distance covered (m·min⁻¹) in the following categories: TD (m·min⁻¹), high-speed distance (m·min⁻¹, 17–22 km·h⁻¹), and SD (m·min⁻¹; >22 km·h⁻¹) (26). To best allow for the development of a velocity-based running performance curve, rolling averages were analyzed across 10 specific durations (1–10 minutes) for each player, with a maximum value for each specific duration recorded.

Procedures
The running performance of players during match-play was recorded using 10-Hz GPS units and 100-Hz triaxial accelerometer (STATSports, Viper, Newry, Northern Ireland: Firmware 2.28) (1,3,4). The validity and reliability of these GPS units were previously established (2). The GPS unit (dimensions 86 x 33 x 14 mm, mass 50 g) was placed within a pouch provided instantaneous raw velocity data at 0.10-second intervals, which was then exported and placed into a customized Microsoft Excel spreadsheet (Microsoft, Redmond, WA, USA). The spreadsheet allowed analysis of the relative distance covered (m·min⁻¹) in the following categories: TD (m·min⁻¹), high-speed distance (m·min⁻¹, 17–22 km·h⁻¹), and SD (m·min⁻¹; >22 km·h⁻¹) (26). To best allow for the development of a velocity-based running performance curve, rolling averages were analyzed across 10 specific durations (1–10 minutes) for each player, with a maximum value for each specific duration recorded.

Table 1. Comparisons between maximal relative total distance (m·min⁻¹) for rolling averages of different durations (mean ± 90% confidence intervals).*

|    | 1   | 2   | 3   | 4   | 5   | 6   | 7   | 8   | 9   |
|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 2  | 25 ± 27 | Likely | Very likely | Very likely | Large | Large | Large | Large | Large |
| 3  | 36 ± 26 | 11 ± 23 | Large | Large | Large | Large | Large | Large | Large |
| 4  | 42 ± 26 | 17 ± 23 | 6 ± 21 | Very likely | Very likely | Large | Large | Large | Large |
| 5  | 47 ± 26 | 22 ± 23 | 11 ± 21 | 5 ± 21 | Very likely | Likely | Likely | Likely | Likely |
| 6  | 50 ± 25 | 25 ± 23 | 14 ± 20 | 8 ± 20 | 3 ± 20 | Most likely | Most likely | Most likely | Most likely |
| 7  | 52 ± 25 | 27 ± 23 | 16 ± 20 | 10 ± 20 | 5 ± 20 | 2 ± 20 | Most likely | Most likely | Most likely |
| 8  | 54 ± 25 | 29 ± 23 | 18 ± 20 | 12 ± 20 | 7 ± 20 | 2 ± 20 | Most likely | Most likely | Most likely |
| 9  | 56 ± 25 | 31 ± 23 | 20 ± 20 | 14 ± 20 | 9 ± 20 | 6 ± 20 | 4 ± 20 | 2 ± 20 | Most likely |
| 10 | 57 ± 24 | 32 ± 22 | 21 ± 20 | 15 ± 6 | 10 ± 20 | 7 ± 19 | 5 ± 19 | 3 ± 19 | 1 ± 19 | Most likely |

*Data are presented as mean 90% CI, likelihood of effect, size and direction of effect ↑ or ↓.
Statistical Analyses

Data are presented as mean ± SD and 90% confidence intervals. Descriptive analysis and assumptions of normality were verified before parametric statistical analysis. Multivariate analysis of variance was used to compare differences in maximal running performance rolling averages between positions and time duration. A Bonferroni post hoc test was used to analyze significant between-position differences. Statistical significance was set at α ≤ 0.05. Cohen’s effect size (d) was used to describe the differences in running performance between rolling average lengths. Standardized effect sizes (ES) were calculated with d < 0.20, 0.20–0.59, 0.60–1.19, 1.20–1.99, and ≥2.00 and interpreted as follows: trivial, small, moderate, large, and very large differences, respectively, as recommended by Hopkins (10). Furthermore, a customized spreadsheet (Microsoft Excel; Microsoft) was used to calculate the chance that the observed difference between rolling averages was practically significant (10), using thresholds of 10 m·min⁻¹ (TD) (8), 6 m·min⁻¹ (HSR), and 2 m·min⁻¹ (SD) (21). These thresholds represent the smallest worthwhile change in the specific variable of analysis that would practically have an application in the prescription and monitoring of hurling training (8,9). Quantitative chances of real differences in variables were assessed qualitatively as follows: <0.5%, most unlikely; 0.5–5%, very unlikely; 5.1–25%, unlikely; 25.1–75%, possibly; 75.1–95%, likely; 95.1–99.5%, very likely; and >99.5%, most likely (10). Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 22.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

RESULTS

Independent of the position, the average match-play demands of elite hurling were 7,358 ± 1,085, 759 ± 206, and 486 ± 127 m for TD, HSR, and SD, respectively. Furthermore, the maximal relative demands for TD, HSR, and SD were 184 ± 21, 51 ± 13, and 42 ± 10 m·min⁻¹, respectively.

Running Performance Based on Rolling Average Duration

The pairwise comparisons between rolling average durations and running performance variables for relative TD are displayed in Table 1. The difference in TD between the highest 4 rolling averages (6–10 minutes) was trivial

| TABLE 2. Comparisons between maximal high-speed running distance (m·min⁻¹) for rolling averages of different durations (mean ± 90% confidence intervals).* |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| 1    | 2          | 3          | 4          | 5          | 6          | 7          | 8          | 9          |
|------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| 2    | 15 ± 16    |            |            |            |            |            |            |            |
|      | Likely     |            |            |            |            |            |            |            |
|      | Large ↑    |            |            |            |            |            |            |            |
| 3    | 22 ± 15    | 7 ± 11     |            |            |            |            |            |            |
|      | Very likely|            |            |            |            |            |            |            |
|      | Possibly   |            |            |            |            |            |            |            |
|      |            |            |            |            |            |            |            |            |
| 4    | 26 ± 14    | 11 ± 11    | 4 ± 9      |            |            |            |            |            |
|      | Very likely|            |            |            |            |            |            |            |
|      | Possibly   |            |            |            |            |            |            |            |
|      |            |            |            |            |            |            |            |            |
| 5    | 28 ± 14    | 13 ± 11    | 6 ± 9      | 2 ± 8      |            |            |            |            |
|      | Very likely|            |            |            |            |            |            |            |
|      | Likely     |            |            |            |            |            |            |            |
|      | Possibly   |            |            |            |            |            |            |            |
|      |            |            |            |            |            |            |            |            |
| 6    | 29 ± 14    | 14 ± 10    | 7 ± 9      | 3 ± 8      | 1 ± 8      |            |            |            |
|      | Most likely|            |            |            |            |            |            |            |
|      | Likely     |            |            |            |            |            |            |            |
|      | Possibly   |            |            |            |            |            |            |            |
|      |            |            |            |            |            |            |            |            |
| 7    | 31 ± 14    | 16 ± 10    | 9 ± 9      | 5 ± 8      | 3 ± 8      | 2 ± 7      |            |            |
|      | Most likely|            |            |            |            |            |            |            |
|      | Likely     |            |            |            |            |            |            |            |
|      | Possibly   |            |            |            |            |            |            |            |
|      |            |            |            |            |            |            |            |            |
| 8    | 31 ± 14    | 16 ± 10    | 9 ± 9      | 5 ± 8      | 3 ± 8      | 2 ± 7      | 0 ± 7      |            |
|      | Most likely|            |            |            |            |            |            |            |
|      | Likely     |            |            |            |            |            |            |            |
|      | Possibly   |            |            |            |            |            |            |            |
|      |            |            |            |            |            |            |            |            |
| 9    | 32 ± 14    | 17 ± 10    | 10 ± 9     | 6 ± 8      | 4 ± 8      | 3 ± 7      | 1 ± 7      | 1 ± 7      |
|      | Most likely|            |            |            |            |            |            |            |
|      | Likely     |            |            |            |            |            |            |            |
|      | Possibly   |            |            |            |            |            |            |            |
|      |            |            |            |            |            |            |            |            |
| 10   | 33 ± 14    | 18 ± 10    | 11 ± 9     | 7 ± 8      | 5 ± 8      | 4 ± 7      | 2 ± 7      | 2 ± 7      | 1 ± 7      |
|      | Most likely|            |            |            |            |            |            |            |            |
|      | Likely     |            |            |            |            |            |            |            |            |
|      | Possibly   |            |            |            |            |            |            |            |            |
|      |            |            |            |            |            |            |            |            |            |

*Data are presented as mean 90% CI, likelihood of effect, size and direction of effect ↑ or ↓.
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Figure 1 illustrates the difference in maximal relative TD, HSR, and SD across each time-dependent period. As the time-dependent period decreases relative to the maximal period (10 minutes) there was an increase in maximal relative TD, HSR, and SD covered. There were most likely very large differences between 1- and 2-minute rolling averages compared with the longest window (10 minutes) in TD (ES = 2.04–3.26) and HSR (ES = 2.47–3.35). The magnitude of these differences decreased in TD (ES = 3.26, very large to ES = 0.07, trivial), HSR (ES = 3.35, very large to ES = 0.20, trivial), and SD (ES = 4.33, very large to ES = 0.34, small), as the length of the rolling averages increased.

### Running Performance Based on Position

The maximum relative TD, HSR, and SD were 195 ± 21 m·min⁻¹ (half-backs), 59 ± 12 m·min⁻¹ (half-backs), and 45 ± 9 m·min⁻¹ (half-forwards), respectively. Descriptive results across all positions for relative TD, HSR, and SD are presented in Table 4. TD was higher (p < 0.05) in half-backs, midfielders, and half-forwards compared with the full-backs and full-forwards. In addition, the half-backs, midfielders, and half-forwards performed higher (p < 0.05)

| Table 3. Comparisons between maximal sprint distance (m·min⁻¹) for rolling averages of different durations (mean ± 90% confidence intervals).* |
|-------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 1     | 2    | 3    | 4    | 5    | 6    | 7    | 8    | 9    |
| 2     | 16 ± 13 | Very likely | Large ↑ |
| 3     | 22 ± 12 | 6 ± 10 | Most likely | Possibly |
| 4     | 25 ± 11 | 9 ± 9 | 3 ± 8 | Most likely | 2.11–2.58 |
| 5     | 27 ± 11 | 11 ± 9 | 5 ± 7 | Most likely | 2 ± 6 |
| 6     | 29 ± 11 | 13 ± 9 | 7 ± 7 | 4 ± 6 | 2 ± 6 |
| 7     | 30 ± 11 | 14 ± 9 | 8 ± 7 | 5 ± 6 | 1 ± 6 |
| 8     | 30 ± 10 | 14 ± 9 | 8 ± 7 | 5 ± 6 | 3 ± 5 | 1 ± 5 | 0 ± 5 |
| 9     | 31 ± 10 | 15 ± 9 | 9 ± 7 | 6 ± 6 | 4 ± 5 | 2 ± 5 | 1 ± 5 | 1 ± 4 |
| 10    | 32 ± 10 | 16 ± 9 | 10 ± 7 | 9 ± 9 | 7 ± 6 | 5 ± 5 | 3 ± 5 | 2 ± 5 | 2 ± 4 | 1 ± 4 |

*Data are presented as mean 90% CI, likelihood of effect, size and direction of effect ↑ or ↓.

(ES = 0.07) to small (ES = 0.22). On the contrary, moderate (ES = 0.66) to very large (ES = 3.26) differences were found when 1- and 2-minute rolling average durations were compared with all other durations (3–10 minutes).

Table 2 reports the pairwise comparisons between rolling average durations and HSR running performance. The distance covered at HSR at 1 minute was very likely to be very large (ES = 2.11–2.58) when compared with 2–5 minute durations. The distances were most likely to be very large (ES = 2.80–3.26) when 1 minute was compared with durations greater than 5 minutes. Further analysis showed that the magnitude of the difference in 2 consecutive rolling average durations decreased as the duration of the rolling average increased.

The pairwise comparisons between rolling average durations and running performance variables for SD are displayed in Table 3. Sprint distance was most likely to be very large (ES = 2.67–4.33) when 1 minute was compared with 3–10 minute rolling average durations. All comparisons among the longest 4 rolling average durations (6–10 minutes) except for 6 vs. 10 minutes (ES = 0.85, moderate), 7 vs. 8 minutes (ES = 0.14, trivial), and 8 vs. 10 minutes (ES = 0.67, moderate) were possibly small (ES = 0.25–0.34).
Figure 1. Difference in relative total distance (A), high-speed running distance (B), and sprint distance (C) (m·min⁻¹) compared with 10-minute rolling average duration. Data are presented as mean (±SD). ^Very large difference from 10 minutes. #Large difference from 10 minutes.
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TABLE 4. Maximum relative, high-speed running distance and sprint distances (m·min⁻¹) of elite senior hurling players by position for each rolling average duration (± SD).

| Window length ± minutes | Full-backs | Half-backs | Midfielders | Half-forwards | Full-forwards |
|--------------------------|------------|------------|-------------|---------------|---------------|
| Relative distance (m·min⁻¹) |            |            |             |               |               |
| 1 minute                 | 174 ± 16   | 195 ± 21*  | 194 ± 14*   | 167 ± 15*     | 167 ± 15†§   |
| 2 minutes                | 152 ± 16   | 168 ± 13*  | 171 ± 11*   | 166 ± 16*     | 142 ± 13†‡§  |
| 3 minutes                | 141 ± 14   | 157 ± 11*  | 160 ± 10*   | 152 ± 11*     | 132 ± 11†‡§  |
| 4 minutes                | 135 ± 14   | 150 ± 9*   | 153 ± 12*   | 146 ± 10*     | 126 ± 13†‡§  |
| 5 minutes                | 131 ± 13   | 146 ± 8*   | 147 ± 9*    | 143 ± 10*     | 121 ± 13†‡§  |
| 6 minutes                | 129 ± 11   | 143 ± 8*   | 144 ± 7*    | 138 ± 10*     | 118 ± 12†‡§  |
| 7 minutes                | 126 ± 12   | 141 ± 8*   | 141 ± 8*    | 136 ± 8*      | 116 ± 12†‡§  |
| 8 minutes                | 125 ± 11   | 140 ± 9*   | 140 ± 10*   | 135 ± 10*     | 114 ± 11†‡§  |
| 9 minutes                | 123 ± 10   | 137 ± 9*   | 137 ± 8*    | 133 ± 9*      | 112 ± 11†‡§  |
| 10 minutes               | 121 ± 10   | 135 ± 8*   | 135 ± 13*   | 132 ± 8*      | 110 ± 11†‡§  |

| High-speed running distance (m·min⁻¹) |            |            |             |               |               |
| 1 minute                         | 45 ± 13    | 59 ± 12    | 53 ± 13     | 53 ± 12*      | 46 ± 11†     |
| 2 minutes                        | 31 ± 9     | 41 ± 7*    | 37 ± 13*    | 38 ± 6*       | 31 ± 8†‡     |
| 3 minutes                        | 24 ± 7     | 33 ± 5*    | 31 ± 8*     | 29 ± 4*       | 26 ± 6†‡     |
| 4 minutes                        | 21 ± 6     | 29 ± 6*    | 28 ± 6*     | 25 ± 4*†      | 22 ± 6†‡     |
| 5 minutes                        | 20 ± 5     | 27 ± 6*    | 25 ± 5*     | 24 ± 3*       | 20 ± 5†‡     |
| 6 minutes                        | 18 ± 5     | 25 ± 5*    | 23 ± 5*     | 23 ± 3†       | 19 ± 4†‡     |
| 7 minutes                        | 17 ± 5     | 24 ± 4*    | 22 ± 4*     | 21 ± 3†       | 18 ± 4†‡     |
| 8 minutes                        | 17 ± 5     | 24 ± 4*    | 21 ± 4*     | 21 ± 3†       | 17 ± 4†‡     |
| 9 minutes                        | 16 ± 5     | 23 ± 4*    | 20 ± 4*     | 20 ± 3†       | 16 ± 4†‡     |
| 10 minutes                       | 15 ± 4     | 22 ± 4*    | 19 ± 3*†    | 19 ± 3†       | 16 ± 4†‡     |

| Sprint distance (m·min⁻¹)        |            |            |             |               |               |
| 1 minute                         | 41 ± 9     | 41 ± 10    | 43 ± 12     | 45 ± 9        | 39 ± 12      |
| 2 minutes                        | 24 ± 5     | 25 ± 8     | 25 ± 7      | 25 ± 11       | 25 ± 9       |
| 3 minutes                        | 18 ± 5     | 20 ± 6     | 20 ± 5      | 23 ± 8*       | 19 ± 6       |
| 4 minutes                        | 15 ± 4     | 16 ± 5     | 16 ± 5      | 19 ± 5*       | 16 ± 5       |
| 5 minutes                        | 13 ± 3     | 15 ± 5     | 14 ± 4      | 17 ± 4*       | 15 ± 4       |
| 6 minutes                        | 12 ± 3     | 13 ± 5     | 14 ± 4      | 15 ± 4*       | 13 ± 3       |
| 7 minutes                        | 11 ± 3     | 12 ± 4     | 12 ± 3      | 14 ± 4*       | 12 ± 4       |
| 8 minutes                        | 11 ± 3     | 11 ± 4     | 12 ± 3      | 13 ± 4*       | 11 ± 3§      |
| 9 minutes                        | 10 ± 3     | 11 ± 3     | 11 ± 3      | 12 ± 3†       | 11 ± 3       |
| 10 minutes                       | 9 ± 3      | 10 ± 3     | 10 ± 2      | 12 ± 3†‡      | 10 ± 3       |

*Significantly different from = full-backs.
†Significantly different from = half-backs.
‡Significantly different from = midfielders.
§Significantly different from = half-forwards.

HSR compared with the full-backs (1–10 minutes) and full-forwards (4–10 minutes). Except for the 2-minute rolling average, the half-forwards were the highest performers in SD at each rolling average duration compared with all other positions.

**DISCUSSION**

The current study aimed to describe the duration-specific running intensities of elite hurling players during competition with respect to position using a rolling average method. The main findings of the current study were that the match-play running performance of hurlers was higher than that previously reported (7). Previous research has presented the velocity-based intensity of hurling for the full game and per quarter of the play (7). Although these methods have provided valuable information about the match-play running performances, they under-report the true match-play intensities experienced by players during subtle periods of play, as such our data report for the first time the duration-specific running intensities of hurling match-play. We observed that during match-play, hurlers can attain a relative running intensity of 184 ± 21 m·min⁻¹ when compared with the previously reported 109 ± 17 m·min⁻¹ (7). Furthermore, depending on the rolling average time period, selected large to very large differences can be observed for relative TD, HSR, and SD. Higher distances were covered in 1-minute; 1- and 2-minute; and 1-, 2-, and 3-minute durations for relative TD, HSR, and SD, respectively, when compared with the 10-minute rolling average duration. Similar to previous studies in hurling, positional differences were found here.

*Copyright © 2020 National Strength and Conditioning Association. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.*
In the current study, half-backs, midfielders, and half-forwards achieved a higher maximal relative TD compared with full-backs and full-forwards. Interestingly, the present results showed that as the time duration increased, the maximum relative TD, HSR, and SD running intensities decreased across all positions.

Previously, the relative running performance for hurlers was reported as $109 \pm 17 \text{ m}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ during competitive match-play. The results from the current study show that the maximal relative running intensity was $184 \pm 21 \text{ m}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$. The present findings are similar to Gaelic football and highlight that running match-play intensities were underestimated based on previous methodologies used (21). Our data suggest that conditioning for hurlers should include specific periods where these maximal running requirements are attained. However, it must be noted that these values refer to the maximal running performances, which theoretically occur only once during the game. Consequently, conditioning for these “worse-case scenarios” should be part of the overall periodized program (14). The highest relative TD, HSR, and SD values were found in the 1-minute rolling average duration and decreased with each rolling average duration thereafter. The differences in running intensity distance between rolling average durations were small at HSR and SD. For example, for the 3-minute rolling average duration, HSR peak intensity ranged from 4 to 11 m\cdot\text{min}^{-1}. For practical use, differences between rolling average durations were assessed using different thresholds for relative TD, HSR, and SD. These thresholds were selected because it was previously suggested that it would be unlikely that coaches would design training activities so specific that minimal differences in relative distances would need to be identified (8,21). Therefore, these thresholds represent the smallest difference that would have any practical application in the prescription of training content. The analysis showed that the rolling average of 4 to 10 minutes, 5 to 10 minutes, and 6 to 10 minutes in duration for relative TD, HSR, and SD, respectively, produced smaller differences. The rolling average method used in this study was sensitive enough to identify differences between the shorter rolling average windows of a similar duration. This information provides coaches with a valuable structure to facilitate the design of specific hurling training activities, indicating that a change in the duration of just 1 minute in all drills under 4, 5, and 6 minutes could generate large to very large differences in running intensities for TD, HSR, and SD, respectively. Similar findings were observed in the rugby league in which small changes in duration under 6 minutes were proposed to have substantial effects on running performances (8).

The results of the current study were the first to provide position-specific velocity profile for hurling players during competitive match-play. Positional differences were found across rolling averages in relative TD, HSR, and SD. An interesting finding was that as the duration of the rolling average increased, the TD, HSR, and SD continued to decrease for all positions. This reduction in running performance shows that players have difficulty in maintaining the velocity curve maybe due to contextual match-play factors (22). The highest maximal running performances were found during the 1-minute rolling average for all positions. Specifically, full-backs and full-forwards performed the lowest maximal TD and HSR intensities compared with half-backs, midfielders, and half-forwards. These differences in positions may be explained by the differences in specific positional tactical roles. For example, the full-forwards typically are located close to the goal to provide a target to receive possession and try to score. By contrast, the full-backs’ role is to remain close to their goal, to man-mark the full-forwards, and to limit the goal scoring chances. However, half-backs, midfielders, and half-forwards have more dynamic tactical roles. They typically tend to move up and down the field depending on where the ball is located. In addition, they retreat toward their own goal when the opposition has possession to prevent scores and move toward the opposition goal in attack to create scoring chances. In addition, half-backs, midfielders, and half-forwards aid the transition of the ball from defense to attack when in possession. Thus, they can accumulate increased running intensities compared with full-backs and full-forwards. Interestingly, fewer differences between positions were observed in maximal SD intensity. As the rolling average duration increased, positional differences appear, with half-forwards completing more SD than full-backs (1–10 minutes), half-backs (9–10 minutes), and midfielders (10 minutes). However, no positional difference in SD was observed during the 1- and 2-minute rolling average durations. It is possible to argue that, although positional differences were significant when distances are presented in meters covered per min, differences ranged between 2 and 5 m\cdot\text{min}^{-1}. Such small differences in SD intensities may not be of practical use for differentiating positions within training sessions. However, these results show that all players need to be able to complete the peak SD intensity (1-minute rolling average) found in the competition.

A limitation of the current study was that only 1 senior hurling team was analyzed. Although the number of subjects used in the present investigation was similar to previously published studies using the rolling average method (8,21), the specific style of play of this team and individual differences in each player’s ability to read the game while attacking and defending may have influenced the results. Future research should include additional teams across multiple seasons to provide a holistic representation of match-play running intensities. In addition, the level of opposition, match outcome, and stage of the season was not accounted for, with these known to impact running performances (13,15,22). Future research that compares these variables may provide valuable information on how
each impact the players’ running performance. Finally, because only the maximal distance in each rolling average was analyzed in the current study, adding the number of runs completed would allow coaches to design specific activities to replicate these “worst-case scenarios” in training. Therefore, future research should investigate whether small-sided games or linear drills best replicate these maximal running periods of competition.

**Practical Applications**

The current study was the first to describe the duration-specific running intensities of elite hurling players during competition with respect to position using a rolling average method. This methodology provides coaches with valuable information about the players’ peak running performance for a range of durations. These results will allow coaches to develop and monitor training activities to ensure that the peak running intensities of match-play can be replicated within different training methodologies. Furthermore, the data provided can be used to identify specific durations, which can be applied to specific training methodologies such as small-sided games while including technical and tactical practice. Practitioners should be aware that increasing the duration of analysis by 1 minute will have large to very large differences on the running intensity in under 4-, 5-, and 6-minute rolling average durations for TD, HSR, and SD, respectively. In addition, the specific positional peak running intensities of competition have been captured and can now be used to ensure that players are sufficiently prepared for the “worst-case scenarios” during match-play. The results of this study showed that the half-backs, midfielders, and half-forwards completed higher peak TD and HSR running intensities compared with full-backs and full-forwards, but no such differences exist for SD. Given the observed data, it would seem prudent to condition the half-backs, midfielders, and half-forwards toward higher TD and HSR when compared with full-backs and full-forwards, whereas all positions can be combined when SD is the specific target within training.
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