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Abstract

Phosphogypsum (PG) contains a lot of soluble phosphate (PO$_4^{3-}$) and fluorine ion (F$^-$), which seriously has hindered the sustainable development of phosphorous chemical industry. In this study, a new burning raw material (BRM) was used for the stabilize of PO$_4^{3-}$-P and F$^-$ in PG. The characteristics of PG and BRM, stabilize mechanism of PO$_4^{3-}$-P and F$^-$, leaching test and economic evaluation were investigated. The effect of PG and BRM weight ratio, solid to liquid ratio, reaction time and reaction temperature on the concentrations of PO$_4^{3-}$-P and F$^-$ were studied. The results showed that the concentration of F$^-$ in PG leaching solution was 8.65 mg/L and the removal efficiency of PO$_4^{3-}$-P was 99.78 %, as well as the pH of PG leaching solution was 8.12, when the weight ratio of PG and BRM was 100:2, and the solid to liquid ratio was 4:1, reacting for 24 h at the temperature of 30 °C. PO$_4^{3-}$-P and F$^-$ were mostly solidified as Ca$_2$(PO$_4$)$_3$F, CaPO$_4$(OH), Ca$_2$(PO$_4$)$_3$(OH), Ca$_2$P$_2$O$_7$·2H$_2$O, CaSO$_4$PO$_4$(OH)·4H$_2$O, CaF$_2$, and CaFPO$_2$·2H$_2$O. Leaching test results indicated that the concentrations of PO$_4^{3-}$-P, F$^-$ and heavy metals were less than the integrated wastewater discharge standard (GB8978-1996). Economic evaluation revealed that the cost of PG treatment was $0.88/ton. This study provides a new low cost and harmless treatment method for PG.

Introduction

Phosphogypsum (PG) is a industrial solid waste produced in the production of phosphate fertilizer and phosphoric acid (Zhong et al.,2020; Zhou et al.,2020; Zmenla et al.,2020). Nowadays, the world's annual PG emissions amount to 280 million tons, China has also exceeded 50 million tons (Yang et al.,2020). POFTs are mainly composed of CaSO$_4$·2H$_2$O, quartz, undecomposed apatite, soluble PO$_4^{3-}$-P (PO$_4^{3-}$, HPO$_4^{2-}$, H$_2$PO$_4$-, H$_3$PO$_4$), F$^-$, SO$_4^{2-}$, Mg$^{2+}$, silica-calcium salt, organic matters and silica-aluminum salt(Wang et al.,2020; Masmoudi et al.,2020; Zhang et al.,2020; Narloch et al.,2019), and it also contains small amounts of heavy metals (Al-Hwaiwi et al.,2019; Saueia et al.,2020). Some PG contains radium 226, thorium 232 and potassium 40 radionuclides (Ajam et al.,2020; Kuzmanovic et al.,2020; Gjibels et al.,2019). At present most PG is still used for landfill disposal.

Many studies has focused on resource utilization of PG, such as saline-alkali soil improver (Pukalchik et al.,2019), mineral addition in cement industry (Rosales et al.,2020; Ennaciri et al.,2020), non-autoclaved aerated concrete (Wang et al.,2020), cementitious materials (Sun et al.,2020), backfill material for underground mine (Xue et al.,2020) self-leveling mortar (Yang et al.,2016; Criado et al.,2019), brick production (Turkel et al.,2012), gypsum ceiling (Kanno et al.,2016), non-autoclaved aerated concrete (Wang et al.,2020), cementitious materials (Sun et al.,2020), backfill material for underground mine (Xue et al.,2020), self-leveling mortar (Yang et al.,2016; Criado et al.,2019), brick production (Turkel et al.,2012), gypsum ceiling (Kanno et al.,2016), non-autoclaved aerated concrete (Wang et al.,2020), cementitious materials (Sun et al.,2020), backfill material for underground mine (Xue et al.,2020), self-leveling mortar (Yang et al.,2016; Criado et al.,2019), brick production (Turkel et al.,2012), gypsum ceiling (Kanno et al.,2016), non-autoclaved aerated concrete (Wang et al.,2020), cementitious materials (Sun et al.,2020), backfill material for underground mine (Xue et al.,2020), self-leveling mortar (Yang et al.,2016; Criado et al.,2019), brick production (Turkel et al.,2012), gypsum ceiling (Kanno et al.,2016), non-autoclaved aerated concrete (Wang et al.,2020), cementitious materials (Sun et al.,2020), backfill material for underground mine (Xue et al.,2020), self-leveling mortar (Yang et al.,2016; Criado et al.,2019), brick production (Turkel et al.,2012), gypsum ceiling (Kanno et al.,2016), non-autoclaved aerated concrete (Wang et al.,2020), cementitious materials (Sun et al.,2020), backfill material for underground mine (Xue et al.,2020), self-leveling mortar (Yang et al.,2016; Criado et al.,2019), brick production (Turkel et al.,2012), gypsum ceiling (Kanno et al.,2016), non-autoclaved aerated concrete (Wang et al.,2020), cementitious materials (Sun et al.,2020), backfill material for underground mine (Xue et al.,2020), self-leveling mortar (Yang et al.,2016; Criado et al.,2019), brick production (Turkel et al.,2012), gypsum ceiling (Kanno et al.,2016), non-autoclaved aerated concrete (Wang et al.,2020), cementitious materials (Sun et al.,2020), backfill material for underground mine (Xue et al.,2020), self-leveling mortar (Yang et al.,2016; Criado et al.,2019), brick production (Turkel et al.,2012), gypsum ceiling (Kanno et al.,2016), non-autoclaved aerated concrete (Wang et al.,2020), cementitious materials (Sun et al.,2020), backfill material for underground mine (Xue et al.,2020), self-leveling mortar (Yang et al.,2016; Criado et al.,2019), brick production (Turkel et al.,2012), gypsum ceiling (Kanno et al.,2016), non-autoclaved aerated concrete (Wang et al.,2020), cementitious materials (Sun et al.,2020), backfill material for underground mine (Xue et al.,2020), self-leveling mortar (Yang et al.,2016; Criado et al.,2019), brick production (Turkel et al.,2012), gypsum ceiling (Kanno et al.,2016), non-autoclaved aerated concrete (Wang et al.,2020), cementitious materials (Sun et al.,2020), backfill material for underground mine (Xue et al.,2020), self-leveling mortar (Yang et al.,2016; Criado et al.,2019), brick production (Turkel et al.,2012), gypsum ceiling (Kanno et al.,2016), non-autoclaved aerated concrete (Wang et al.,2020), cementitious materials (Sun et al.,2020), backfill materia. 

Materials And Method

2.1 Materials

The PG sample was collected from Chongqing province in China, and the water content of PG was 12 %. BRM was supplied from Guizhou province in China. Different perpendicular depth of 300 mm in the PG landfill was chosen as sampling points. BRM and stabilized samples for dried to a constant weight at 60 °C. NaOH, H$_2$SO$_4$, KNaC$_6$H$_5$O$_7$, 4H$_2$O, K$_2$O$_2$P$_2$, C$_2$H$_6$NaO$_2$·3H$_2$O were purchased from Mianyang xinjie trading co. LTD, China (Analytical grade). Water Purification System (HMCWS10) provided the deionized water in this study. The PG radioactivity in this experiment were tested (Each sample was tested in three parallel groups). As shown in Table 1 of the supporting information, both the internal and external exposure indexes (IRa, Ir) of PG were less than the standard of building materials radionuclide limit (GB 6566 – 2010. IRa ≤ 1.0, Ir ≤ 1.3). Therefore, the activity concentration of the radionuclides in PG was not measured in leaching process.

Table 1 Mean values of phosphogypsum internal and external exposure indices
2.2 Experimental process

Firstly, PG and BRM were mixed well for 30 min, and the effects of PG and BRM weight ratio (100:0.7 to 100:3) on the concentrations of PO$_4^{3-}$-P and F$^-$ in PG sample were investigated when the solid to liquid ratio was 4:1 and the temperature at 30 °C. Secondly, an appropriate amount of distilled water (weight of water to EMB) was added at the different solid to liquid ratio of BRM and PG (PGB) and water (3:1 to 6:1), when the weight ratio of PG and BRM was 4:1 and the temperature at 30 °C. The mixture of PGB and water were mixed at high speed for 30 min, and then the S/S samples was carried out at different temperature (20 °C to 40 °C), when the weight ratio of PG and BRM was 4:1, and the solid to liquid ratio of PGB and water was 4:1. Finally, the pH of S/S samples leaching solution, and the concentrations of PO$_4^{3-}$-P and F$^-$ were determined at set time.

2.3 Analysis methods

Leaching test was determined by Solid waste-Horizontal vibration method (China HJ557—2010). The concentration of heavy metals in BRM and PG leaching solution were test by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometer (ICP-OES; Thermo Fisher scientic, ICAP 6500, USA). PO$_4^{3-}$-P was determined by ammonium molybdate spectrophotometry, and F$^-$ was determined by fluoride ion selective electrode method. Ultra-trace sample volume-type solution were test by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometer (ICP-OES; Thermo Fisher scientic, ICAP 6500, USA). PO$_4^{3-}$-P and F$^-$ were determined at set time.

## Results And Discussion

### 3.1 Characteristics of PG and BRM

PG mainly consists of CaO, SO$_3$ and SiO$_2$, all of which accounts for about 94.57 % of the total composition (Table 2). In Fig. 1a, the X-ray powder diffraction shows that PG mainly contains CaSO$_4$·2H$_2$O and SiO$_2$, CaPO$_3$(OH)·2H$_2$O, Ca$_3$(PO$_4$)$_2$, CaPO$_4$(OH), and Ca$_3$P$_2$O$_7$·4H$_2$O. BRM mainly contains of CaO, SiO$_2$, MgO, Al$_2$O$_3$, Fe$_2$O$_3$, and SO$_3$, which accounts for about 95.59 % of the total composition. This results can be further confirmed by other study (Jin et al., 2020). X-ray powder diffraction of BRM was further confirmed that BRM mainly contains SiO$_2$, Ca(OH)$_2$, Ca$_3$(SO$_4$)$_2$, CaAl$_2$Si$_2$O$_6$·4H$_2$O, Ca$_3$(SiO$_2$OH)$_2$·4H$_2$O, FeOOH, Al$_2$O$_3$·4H$_2$O, and Al(OH)$_3$ in Fig. 1b. The above results confirmed that most Mg$^{2+}$, Ca$^{2+}$, F$^-$, and PO$_4^{3-}$-P in PG exist in stable crystalline form, and BRM can be dissolved in water as alkaline agents to adjust the pH. In Fig. 1c, SEM images of BRM also showed that relatively uniform particles with a particle size range from 300 nm to 500 nm. A high magnification SEM image of PG reveals that flake morphology with a particle size range from 50 µm to 80 µm, and the surface content of precipitate particles mainly contains of O, Ca, S, C, F, Mg, P, and Al according to EDX analysis (In Fig. 1d).

### Table 2 Chemical compositions of the PG and BRM (wt. %)

|        | MgO | SiO$_2$ | CaO | Al$_2$O$_3$ | Fe$_2$O$_3$ | SO$_3$ | TiO$_2$ | P$_2$O$_5$ | MnO | SrO | K$_2$O | F | Other |
|--------|-----|---------|-----|-------------|-------------|--------|---------|-----------|-----|-----|-------|---|-------|
| PG     | 0.35| 2.35    | 44.37| 0.48        | 0.131       | 47.85  | 0.046   | 1.67      | *   | 0.071| 0.07  | 2.42 | 0.192 |
| BRM    | 1.51| 8.42    | 75.42| 2.86        | 3.61        | 3.77   | 0.47    | 0.23      | 0.04| 0.17 | 0.99  | *  | 1.86  |

* Not detected.

As shown in Table 3 of the supporting information, the pH of the PG leaching solution and BRM leaching solution were 2.67 and 12.3, respectively, which did not met the integrated wastewater discharge standard (GB8978-1996). The concentrations of Se$^{4+}$, PO$_4^{3-}$-, and F$^-$ in PGL were 1.557 mg/L, 209.52 mg/L and 46.21 mg/L, respectively, all of which were higher than the integrated wastewater discharge standard (GB8978- 1996), and trace amounts of Pb$^{2+}$, Zn$^{2+}$, Ni$^{2+}$, Cu$^{2+}$, Cr$^{6+}$, Cd$^{2+}$, Co$^{2+}$, Mn$^{2+}$, and Ba$^{2+}$ also existed in PG. The concentrations of Mg$^{2+}$ and Ca$^{2+}$ were 53.77 mg/L and 602.0 mg/L in PG, respectively. The concentrations of Zn$^{2+}$ in BRM leaching solution was higher than the integrated wastewater discharge standard (GB8978-1996), and the concentrations of Mg$^{2+}$ and Ca$^{2+}$ were 6.45 mg/L and 879.1 mg/L in BRM, respectively. The results showed that BRM can be provide high concentrations of OH$^-$ and Ca$^{2+}$, when BRM used as an alkaline agent to stabilize PO$_4^{3-}$ and F$^-$ in PG.

### Table 3 Different ion concentration of the PG and BRM (mg/L)

|        | PG (mg/L) | BRM (mg/L) |
|--------|-----------|------------|
| Mg$^{2+}$ | 0.131     | 0.044      |
| Ca$^{2+}$ | 47.85     | 3.77       |
| PO$_4^{3-}$ | 1.557     | 6.45       |
| F$^-$     | 0.046     | 2.42       |
| OH$^-$    |           | 879.1      |

---

**Note:** The table values are approximate and may vary slightly due to experimental conditions.

---

**Table 3 Chemical compositions of the PG and BRM (wt. %)**

|        | MgO | SiO$_2$ | CaO | Al$_2$O$_3$ | Fe$_2$O$_3$ | SO$_3$ | TiO$_2$ | P$_2$O$_5$ | MnO | SrO | K$_2$O | F | Other |
|--------|-----|---------|-----|-------------|-------------|--------|---------|-----------|-----|-----|-------|---|-------|
| PG     | 0.35| 2.35    | 44.37| 0.48        | 0.131       | 47.85  | 0.046   | 1.67      | *   | 0.071| 0.07  | 2.42 | 0.192 |
| BRM    | 1.51| 8.42    | 75.42| 2.86        | 3.61        | 3.77   | 0.47    | 0.23      | 0.04| 0.17 | 0.99  | *  | 1.86  |

* Not detected.
3.2 Effect of PG and BRM weight ratio

In Fig. 2a, the pH of leaching solution increased with the weight ratios of PG and BRM increased at the given reaction time, due to the concentration of OH\(^-\) increased with the dosage of BRM increased; And the pH not much has changed with the reaction time was higher than 5 h, at the given PG and BRM weight ratio. The pH was less than 9.00, which meet the integrated wastewater discharge standard (GB8978-1996), when the weight ratios of PG and BRM was 100:2 and reaction time was higher than 5 h. Figure 2b showed that the removal efficiency of PO\(_4^{3-}\)-P increased as the weight ratios of PG and BRM increased from 100:0.7 to 100:3 at a given reaction time. The concentration of PO\(_4^{3-}\)-P was higher than the integrated wastewater discharge standard (GB8978-1996) when the reaction time was less than 24 h at any PG and BRM weight ratios. In Fig. 2c, the concentration of F\(^-\) decreased with the weight ratios of PG and BRM increased at a given reaction time, due to F\(^-\) was began to react with Ca\(^{2+}\) and Mg\(^{2+}\) to form fluoride precipitation with the pH of leaching solution increase (Sengupta et al.,2020; Borgohain et al.,2020); At a given PG and BRM weight ratios, the concentration of F\(^-\) decreased with the reaction time increased. Considering the concentration of F\(^-\) and the removal efficiency of PO\(_4^{3-}\)-P, as well as economic costs in the S/S system. The weight ratios of PG and BRM was 100:2 and reacting for 24 h were selected as the optimal reaction condition.

3.3 Effect of solid to liquid ratio and reaction temperature

In Fig. 3a, the concentration of F\(^-\) decreased with the solid to liquid ratio decreased at a given reaction time, because more Ca\(^{2+}\) in BRM began to react with F\(^-\) to form fluoride precipitation with the solid to liquid ratio decreased (Wei et al.,2020). The concentration of F\(^-\) decreased as the increased reaction time at a given PG and BRM weight ratio. At a given solid to liquid ratio, the removal efficiency of PO\(_4^{3-}\)-P increased with as the increased of reaction time (Fig. 3b), due to PO\(_4^{3-}\)-P in the solution forms phosphate precipitate with Ca\(^{2+}\) and Mg\(^{2+}\) in BRM (Muisa et al.,2020; Wang et al.,2020); the removal efficiency of PO\(_4^{3-}\)-P increased with the solid to liquid ratio decreased from 6:1 to 3:1 at a given reaction time. The concentration of F\(^-\) was 8.65 mg/L, and the removal efficiency of PO\(_4^{3-}\)-P was 99.78 %, respectively, when the solid to liquid ratio was 4:1 and reaction for 24 h at 30°C. The above results showed that low solid to liquid ratio was favorable for F\(^-\) and PO\(_4^{3-}\)-P removal. Considering the moisture content of PG in phosphorous chemical industry. The solid to liquid ratio at 4:1 was selected as the optimal condition.

In Fig. 3c, the concentration of F\(^-\) decreased with the increased of reaction temperature at a given reaction time, because F\(^-\) began to react with Ca\(^{2+}\) and Mg\(^{2+}\) to form fluoride precipitation with the temperature increased; At a given reaction temperature, the concentration of F\(^-\) decreased as the increased reaction times. As shown in Fig. 3d, the removal efficiency of PO\(_4^{3-}\)-P increased when the reaction temperature increased from 20 °C to 40 °C, because PO\(_4^{3-}\)-P began to react with Ca\(^{2+}\) and Mg\(^{2+}\) to form phosphate precipitation (Qiu et al.,2020). According to the concentration of F\(^-\) and the removal efficiency of PO\(_4^{3-}\)-P as well as economic costs in the S/S system. The solid to liquid ratio was 4:1 and reaction temperature at 30 °C were selected as the optimal reaction condition.

3.4 Stabilize mechanism of PO\(_4^{3-}\)-P and F

The main mineralogical components of precipitate mainly were gypsum (CaSO\(_4\)·2H\(_2\)O), brushite (CaPO\(_4\)(OH)·2H\(_2\)O), SiO\(_2\), Ca\(_2\)PO\(_4\), CaPO\(_4\)(OH), Ca\(_2\)P\(_2\)O\(_7\)·4H\(_2\)O, Ca\(_5\)(PO\(_4\))\(_3\)F, CaPO\(_4\)(OH), Ca\(_5\)(PO\(_4\))\(_3\)(OH), Ca\(_2\)P\(_2\)O\(_7\)·2H\(_2\)O, CaSO\(_4\)PO\(_4\)(OH)·4H\(_2\)O, CaF\(_2\) and CaFPO\(_3\)·2H\(_2\)O (Vasconez-Maza et al.,2019), when the weight ratios of PG and BRM increased from 100:0.7 to 100:3 reacting for 24 h at 30 °C (Fig. 4). The intensity of diffraction peak of CaSO\(_4\)·2H\(_2\)O, Ca\(_5\)(PO\(_4\))\(_3\)(OH) and SiO\(_2\) decreased when the weight ratios of PG and BRM changed from 100:0.7 to 100:3, because soluble PO\(_4^{3-}\)-P and F\(^-\) react with Ca\(^{2+}\) and Mg\(^{2+}\) in BRM to forms of phosphate precipitation (Romero-Hermida et al.,2019) and fluoride precipitation, and these deposited small particles cover the surface of CaSO\(_4\)·2H\(_2\)O, Ca\(_5\)(PO\(_4\))\(_3\)(OH) and SiO\(_2\). A small number of new characteristic peaks of Ca\(_5\)(PO\(_4\))\(_3\)F, CaPO\(_4\)(OH), Ca\(_5\)(PO\(_4\))\(_3\)(OH), Ca\(_2\)P\(_2\)O\(_7\)·2H\(_2\)O, CaSO\(_4\)PO\(_4\)(OH)·4H\(_2\)O, CaF\(_2\), and CaFPO\(_3\)·2H\(_2\)O appear compare with raw PG. The above result showed that PO\(_4^{3-}\)-P and F\(^-\) in PG were mainly removed by Ca\(_5\)(PO\(_4\))\(_3\)F, CaPO\(_4\)(OH), Ca\(_5\)(PO\(_4\))\(_3\)(OH), Ca\(_2\)P\(_2\)O\(_7\)·2H\(_2\)O, CaSO\(_4\)PO\(_4\)(OH)·4H\(_2\)O, CaF\(_2\), and CaFPO\(_3\)·2H\(_2\)O, when the weight ratio of PG and BRM was 100:2 reacting for 24 h and the temperature at 30 °C.

The surface of the large sheet structure is covered with a small amount of non-uniform impurity particles were found when the weight ratio of PG and BRM was 100:0.7 (Fig. 5a). The surface content of precipitate particles mainly contains O, Ca, S, C, F, Si, P, Al and Fe according to EDX analysis. Combined with XRD analysis results, it can be further proved that the sheet structure mainly was CaSO\(_4\)·2H\(_2\)O (Lu et al.,2019; Li et al.,2019), and non-uniform impurity particles mainly was newly formed phosphate and fluoride precipitate. The surface of the sheet structure is covered with more and more non-uniform particles with the weight ratio of PG and BRM increased from 100:1 to 100:1.5 (Fig. 5b-Fig. 5c). The results showed that more Ca\(^{2+}\) and Mg\(^{2+}\) form a precipitate with PO\(_4^{3-}\)-P and F\(^-\). Spicules of small particles with 0.4 μm diameters appeared on the surface of the sheet particles in Fig. 5. Spicules particles appeared in Fig. 5e when the weight ratio of PG and BRM was 100:3. The surface of spicules particles structure mainly contains Ca, O, S, C, F, Si, P, Al and Fe.
according to EDX analysis. Spicules particles mainly were newly formed CaSO₄·2H₂O Ca₃(PO₄)₂F, CaPO₃(OH), Ca₃(PO₄)₂(OH), Ca₂P₂O₇·2H₂O, CaSO₄PO₃(OH)·4H₂O, CaF₂, and CaFPPO₃·2H₂O according to XRD analysis.

In Fig. 6, the main peak position of the infrared spectra of the S/S samples were very similar when the weight ratios of PG and BRM increased from 100:0.7 to 100:3. Peaks at 3551 cm⁻¹, 3415 cm⁻¹ and 1618 cm⁻¹ could be ascribed to the stretching vibration of -O-H and O-H, respectively (Shu et al., 2019). The result indicated that the S/S sample and raw PG mainly contains a large number of crystal water. The peak at 603 cm⁻¹ and 476 cm⁻¹ was caused by the stretching vibration of the SO₄²⁻. XRD further confirmed that crystalline water in S/S samples mainly was CaSO₄·2H₂O (Shu et al., 2016). While those at 1116 cm⁻¹ and 670 cm⁻¹ could be assigned to the stretching vibration of PO₄³⁻, and the peaks at 2244 cm⁻¹ could be ascribed to the bending vibration of H₂PO₄⁻ (Haque et al., 2020). The result showed that the sample contain a large number of phosphate precipitation. This above results can be further confirmed by XRD.

According to XRD, SEM-EDS and FT-IR analysis, and combining with the concentrations of Mg²⁺, Ca²⁺, PO₄³⁻, P and F. The main reaction equations are as follows:

CaO + H₂O→Ca(OH)⁺(surface) + OH⁻ (2)

SiO₂ + 2OH⁻→SiO₃²⁻+2H₂O (3)

SiO₃²⁻+Ca₂⁺→CaSiO₃↓ (4)

Ca²⁺+SO₄²⁻+2H₂O→CaSO₄·2H₂O↓ (5)

Ca²⁺+2F⁻→CaF₂↓ (6)

3Ca²⁺+3PO₄³⁻+4H₂O→Ca₃P₂O₇·2H₂O↓ +CaPO₃(OH)↓+3OH⁻ (7)

3F⁻+Ca₅(PO₄)₃OH→3OH⁻+Ca₃(PO₄)₂F↓ (8)

5Ca²⁺+F⁻·3PO₄³⁻→Ca₅(PO₄)₃F↓ (9)

Ca²⁺+PO₄³⁻+2H₂O→CaPO₃(OH)↓+OH⁻ (10)

2Ca²⁺+SO₄²⁻+PO₄³⁻+5H₂O→CaSO₄Ca(PO₃OH)·4H₂O↓+OH⁻ (11)

### 3.5 Leaching test and economic analysis

Table 4 Leaching test results at different of PG and BRM weight ratio and reaction time (mg/L).

|     | Pb²⁺ | Zn²⁺ | Cu²⁺ | Ni²⁺ | Fe²⁺ | Mg²⁺ | Se⁴⁺ | Ca²⁺ | Cr³⁺ | Cd²⁺ | Ba²⁺ | Mn²⁺ | PO₄³⁻/ F | pH |
|-----|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|---------|----|
| PG:BRM (100:0.7) 24h | 0.256 | 2.125 | 0.154 | 0.284 | 0.045 | 52.32 | 0.564 | 763.25 | *    | 0.015 | 0.134 | 0.456 | 0.034   | 19.65 | 13.54 | 5.56 |
| PG:BRM (100:1) 24h  | 0.235 | 1.345 | 0.136 | 0.282 | 0.025 | 43.24 | 0.498 | 654.52 | *    | 0.011 | 0.021 | 0.356 | 0.024   | 17.65 | 10.21 | 7.66 |
| PG:BRM (100:2) 12h | 0.233 | 1.864 | 0.168 | 0.252 | *     | 32.21 | 0.324 | 689.12 | *    | *     | *     | 0.354 * | 1.12   | 9.54  | 8.12 |
| PG:BRM (100:2) 24h | 0.153 | 1.534 | 0.116 | 0.252 | *     | 23.54 | 0.331 | 666.32 | *    | *     | *     | 0.321 * | 0.45   | 8.65  | 8.12 |
| PG:BRM (100:2) 48h | 0.231 | 1.084 | 0.117 | 0.232 | *     | 22.65 | 0.312 | 645.21 | *    | *     | *     | 0.278 * | 0.42   | 6.35  | 8.05 |
| PG:BRM (100:3) 24h | 0.124 | 0.958 | 0.086 | 0.181 | *     | 26.32 | 0.235 | 777.35 | *    | *     | *     | *     | 0.34   | 6.57  | 9.25 |
| GB8978-1996 | 1.0   | 2.0   | 0.5   | 1.0   | /     | /     | 0.2  | 0.5   | 1.0  | 2     | 0.5   | 10    |

* Not detected.
The concentrations of Pb$^{2+}$, Zn$^{2+}$, Cu$^{2+}$, Ni$^{2+}$, Fe$^{2+}$, Se$^{4+}$, Cr$^{6+}$, Cd$^{2+}$, Co$^{2+}$, Ba$^{2+}$, and Mn$^{2+}$ were less than the integrated wastewater discharge standard (GB8978-1996) in Table 4. The concentrations of Mg$^{2+}$, PO$_4^{3-}$ and F$^-$ decreased when the weight ratios of PG and BRM changed from 100:0.7 to 100:3 reacting for 24 h and the temperature at 30 $^\circ$C. The concentrations of Mg$^{2+}$, Ca$^{2+}$, PO$_4^{3-}$-P, F$^-$, and other heavy metals decreased with the reaction time increased from 12 h to 48 h. The concentration of Mg$^{2+}$ decreased from 52.32 mg/L to 22.65 mg/L, and Ca$^{2+}$ decreased from 662.39 mg/L to 645.21 mg/L when the weight ratios of PG and BRM changed from 100:0.7 to 100:3 reacting for 48 h and the temperature at 30 $^\circ$C. The results showed that Mg$^{2+}$ can be removed by BRM. The concentrations of Mg$^{2+}$, Ca$^{2+}$, PO$_4^{3-}$-P, and F$^-$ were 23.54 mg/L, 666.32 mg/L, 0.45 mg/L and 8.65 mg/L, respectively, and the pH of PGB leaching solution was 8.12, which met the integrated wastewater discharge standard (GB8978-1996).

In this study, PO$_4^{3-}$-P and F$^-$ S/S process was analyzed by economic viewpoint. The costs of the chemicals reagents were only considered. The price of BRM was $ 44.0/ton according to cement industry in China, and the dosage of BRM was 20 kg when treatment per ton PG. Therefore, the total cost of harmless treatment treatment PG by BRM was $ 0.88/ton. In addition, the price of quicklime was $ 92.86 /ton in China, and the dosage of quicklime was 20 kg when treatment per ton PG, and the total cost of harmless treatment PG by quicklime was $ 1.86/ton. Moreover, the concentration of PO$_4^{3-}$-P in PG can only be reduced to 10 mg/L when quicklime as the stabilizing agent. The concentration of PO$_4^{3-}$-P in PG can be less than 0.5 mg/L when BRM as the stabilizing agent. The results indicated that the removal efficiency of PO$_4^{3-}$-P and the cost of PG treatment was better than that of quicklime.

**Conclusion**

In this study, BRM was used for PO$_4^{3-}$-P and F$^-$ stabilize in PG. The result indicated that the pH of S/S samples leaching solution was 8.12, and the concentration of F$^-$ was 8.65 mg/L, as well as the removal efficiency of PO$_4^{3-}$-P was 99.78 %, when the weight ratio of PG and BRM was 100:2, and the solid to liquid ratio was 4:1, reacting for 24 h at the temperature of 30 $^\circ$C. PO$_4^{3-}$-P and F$^-$ were mostly solidified as Ca$_5$(PO$_4$)$_3$F, CaPO$_3$(OH), Ca$_5$(PO$_4$)$_3$(OH)$_2$, CaP$_2$O$_7$·2H$_2$O, CaSO$_4$PO$_3$(OH)·4H$_2$O, CaF$_2$, and CaFPO$_3$·2H$_2$O. Leaching test results indicated that the concentrations of PO$_4^{3-}$-P, F$^-$, heavy metals and the pH of S/S samples leaching solution met the integrated wastewater discharge standard (GB8978-1996). Economic evaluation revealed that the cost of PG treatment was $ 0.88/ton. This study provides a new low cost and harmless treatment method for PG.
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Figures
Figure 1

(a) X-ray diffraction of PG; (b) X-ray diffraction of BRM; (c) SEM analysis of BRM; (d) SEM and matching EDS analysis of PG.

Figure 2

The effects of PG and BRM weight ratios on the concentrations of F-, PO₄³⁻-P and the pH of leaching solution when the solid to liquid ratio was 4:1 and reaction for 24 h at 30℃: (a) pH; (b) PO₄³⁻-P; (c) F⁻.
Figure 3

The effects of reaction temperature on the concentrations of F- and PO$_4^{3-}$-P at the different reaction time and the solid to liquid ratio was 4:1: (a) F-; (b) PO$_4^{3-}$-P; the effects of solid to liquid ratio on the concentrations of F- and PO$_4^{3-}$-P at the different reaction time when the temperature at 30 °C: (c) F-; (d) PO$_4^{3-}$-P.

Figure 4

XRD patterns analysis at the different weight ratio of PG and BRM when the solid to liquid ratio was 4:1 and the temperature at 30 °C reacting for 24 h.
Figure 5
SEM and matching EDX data analysis of precipitate at the different weight ratio of PG and BRM, when the solid to liquid ratio was 4:1 reacting for 24 h and the temperature at 30 °C. (a) 100:0.7; (b)100:1.0; (c)100:1.5; (d)100:2.0; (e)100:3.0.
Figure 6

FT-IR spectra of different samples at the different weight ratio of PG and BRM when the solid to liquid ratio was 4:1 reacting for 24 h and the temperature at 30 °C. (1#) Raw PG; (2#)100:0.7; (3#)100:1.0; (4#)100:1.5; (5#)100:2.0; (6#)100:3.0.