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Abstract
We report results from a domain adaptation task for statistical machine translation (SMT) using cache-based adaptive language and translation models. We apply an exponential decay factor and integrate the cache models in a standard phrase-based SMT decoder. Without the need for any domain-specific resources we obtain a 2.6% relative improvement on average in BLEU scores using our dynamic adaptation procedure.

1 Introduction
Most data-driven approaches to natural language processing (NLP) are subject to the well-known problem of lack of portability to new domains/genres. Usually there is a substantial drop in performance when testing on data from a domain different to the training data. Statistical machine translation is no exception. Despite its popularity, standard SMT approaches fail to provide a framework for general application across domains unless appropriate training data is available and used in parameter estimation and tuning.

The main problem is the general assumption of independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) variables in machine learning approaches applied in the estimation of static global models. Recently, there has been quite some attention to the problem of domain switching in SMT (Zhao et al., 2004; Ueffing et al., 2007; Civera and Juan, 2007; Bertoldi and Federico, 2009) but ground breaking success is still missing. In this paper we report our findings in dynamic model adaptation using cache-based techniques when applying a standard model to the task of translating documents from a very different domain.

The remaining part of the paper is organized as follows: First, we will motivate the chosen approach by reviewing the general phenomenon of repetition and consistency in natural language text. Thereafter, we will briefly discuss the dynamic extensions to language and translation models applied in the experiments presented in the second last section followed by some final conclusions.

2 Motivation
Domain adaptation can be tackled in various ways. An obvious choice for empirical systems is to apply supervised techniques in case domain-specific training data is available. It has been shown that small(er) amounts of in-domain data are sufficient for such an approach (Koehn and Schroeder, 2007). However, this is not really a useful alternative for truly open-domain systems, which will be confronted with changing domains all the time including many new, previously unknown ones among them.

There are also some interesting approaches to dynamic domain adaptation mainly using flexible mixture models or techniques for the automatic selection of appropriate resources (Hildebrand et al., 2005; Foster and Kuhn, 2007; Finch and Sumita, 2008). Ideally, a system would adjust itself to the current context (and thus to the current domain) without the need of explicit topic mixtures. Therefore, we like to investigate techniques for general context adaptation and their use in out-of-domain translation.

There are two types of properties in natural language and translation that we like to explore. First of all, repetition is very common – much more than standard stochastic language models would predict. This is especially true for content words. See, for instance, the sample of a medical document shown in figure 1. Many content words are repeated in close context. Hence, appropriate language models should incorporate changing occurrence likelihoods to account for these very common repetitions. This is exactly what adaptive language models try to do (Bellegarda, 2004).
They may also have episodes of depression. Abilify is used to treat moderate to severe manic episodes and to prevent manic episodes in patients who have responded to the medicine in the past. The solution for injection is used for the rapid control of agitation or disturbed behaviour when taking the medicine by mouth is not appropriate. The medicine can only be obtained with a prescription.

Another known fact about natural language is consistency which is also often ignored in statistical models. A main problem in most NLP applications is ambiguity. However, ambiguity is largely removed within specific domains and contexts in which ambiguous items have a well-defined and consistent meaning. This effect of “meaning consistency” also known as the principle of “one sense per discourse” has been applied in word sense disambiguation with quite some success (Gale et al., 1992). For machine translation this means that adapting to the local domain and sticking to consistent translation choices within a discourse seems to be better than using a global static model and context independent translations of sentences in isolation. For an illustration, look at the examples in figure 2 taken from translated movie subtitles. Interesting is not only the consistent meaning of “honey” within each discourse but also the consistent choice among equivalent translations (synonyms “alskling” och “gumman”). Here, the distinction between “honey” and “sweetheart” has been transferred to Swedish using consistent translations.

Figure 1: A short example from a document from the European Medicines Agency (EMEA)

The main idea behind cache-based language models (Kuhn and Mori, 1990) is to mix a large global (static) language model with a small local (dynamic) model estimated from recent items in the history of the input stream. It is common to use simple linear interpolations and fixed cache sizes $k$ (100-5000 words) to achieve this: $P(w_n|\text{history}) = (1 - \lambda)P_{n-\text{gram}}(w_n|\text{history}) + \lambda P_{\text{cache}}(w_n|\text{history})$

Due to data sparseness one is usually restricted to simple cache models. However, unigram models are often sufficient and smoothing is not necessary due to the interpolation with the smoothed background model. From the language modeling literature we know that caching is an efficient way to reduce perplexity (usually leading to modest improvements on in-domain data and large improvements on out-of-domain data). Table 1 shows this effect yielding 53% reduction of perplexity on our out-of-domain data.

Table 1: Perplexity of medical texts (EMEA) using a language model estimated on Europarl and a unigram cache component

Even though a simple unigram cache is quite effective it now requires a careful optimization of its size. In order to avoid the dependence on cache size and to account for recency a decaying factor can be introduced (Clarkson and Robinson, 1997):

$$P_{\text{cache}}(w_n|w_{n-k}, \ldots, w_{n-1}) \approx \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{i=n-k}^{n-1} I(w_n = w_i)e^{-\alpha(n-i)}$$

Here, $I(A) = 1$ if $A$ is true and 0 otherwise. $Z$ is a normalizing constant. Figure 3 illustrates the effect of cache decay on our data yielding another significant reduction in perplexity (even though
The improvement is much less impressive than the one obtained by introducing the cache).

The motivation of using these successful techniques in SMT is obvious. Language models play a crucial role in fluency ranking and a better fit to real data (supporting the tendency of repetition) should be preferred. This, of course, assumes correct translation decisions in the history in our SMT setting which will almost never be the case. Furthermore, simple cache models like the unigram model may wrongly push forward certain expressions without considering local context when using language models to discriminate between various translation candidates. Therefore, successfully applying these adaptive language models in SMT is surprisingly difficult (Raab, 2007) especially due to the risk of adding noise (leading to error propagation) and corrupting local dependencies.

In SMT another type of adaptation can be applied: cache-based adaptation of the translation model. Here, not only the repetition of content words is supported but also the consistency of translations as discussed earlier. This technique has already been tried in the context of interactive machine translation (Nepveu et al., 2004) in which cache features are introduced to adapt both the language model and the translation model. However, in their model they require an automatic alignment of words in the user edited translation and the source language input. In our experiments we investigate a close integration of the caching procedure into the decoding process of fully automatic translation. For this, we fill our cache with translation options used in the best (final) translation hypothesis of previous sentences. In our implementation of the translation model cache we use again a decaying factor in order to account for recency. For known source language items \( (f_n) \) for which translation options exist in the cache) the following formula is used to compute the cache translation score:

\[
\phi_{\text{cache}}(e_n|f_n) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{K} I((e_n, f_n) = (e_i, f_i)) \cdot e^{-\alpha i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{K} I(f_n = f_i)}
\]

Unknown items receive a score of zero. This score is then used as an additional feature in the standard log-linear model of phrase-based SMT\(^1\).

4 Experiments

Our experiments are focused on the unsupervised dynamic adaptation of language and translation models to a new domain using the cache-based mixture models as described above. We apply these techniques to a standard task of translating French to English using a model trained on the publicly available Europarl corpus (Koehn, 2005) using standard settings and tools such as the Moses toolkit (Koehn et al., 2007), GIZA++ (Och and Ney, 2003) and SRILM (Stolcke, 2002). The log-linear model is then tuned as usual with minimum error rate training (Och, 2003) on a separate development set coming from the same domain (Europarl). We modified SRILM to include a decaying cache model and implemented the phrase translation cache within the Moses decoder. Furthermore, we added the caching procedures and other features for testing the adaptive approach. Now we can simply switch the cache models on or off using additional command-line arguments when running Moses as usual.

4.1 Experimental Setup

For testing we chose to use documents from the medical domain coming from the EMEA corpus that is part of the freely available collection of parallel corpora OPUS\(^2\) (Tiedemann, 2009). The reason for selecting this domain is that these documents include very consistent instructions and repetitive texts which ought to favor our caching techniques. Furthermore, they are very different

\(^1\)Logarithmic values are used in the actual implementation which are floored to a low constant in case of zero \( \phi \) scores.

\(^2\)The OPUS corpus is available at this URL: http://www.let.rug.nl/tiedeman/OPUS/.
from the training data and, thus, domain adaptation is very important for proper translations. We randomly selected 102 pairs of documents with altogether 5,478 sentences. Sentences have an average length of about 19 tokens with a lot of variation among them. Documents are compiled from the European Public Assessment Reports (EPAR) which reflect scientific conclusions at the end of a centralized evaluation procedure for medical products. They include a lot of domain-specific terminology, short facts, lists and tables but also detailed textual descriptions of medicines and their use. The overall lowercased type/token ratio in the English part of our test collection is about 0.045 which indicates quite substantial repetitions in the text. This ratio is, however, much higher for individual documents.

In the experiment each document is processed individually in order to apply appropriate discourse breaks. The baseline score for applying a standard phrase-based SMT model yields an average score of 28.67 BLEU per document (28.60 per sentence) which is quite reasonable for an out-of-domain test. Intuitively, the baseline performance should be crucial for the adaptation. As discussed earlier the cache-based approach assumes correct history and better baseline performance should increase the chance of adding appropriate items to the cache.

4.2 Applying the LM Cache

In our first experiment we applied a decaying unigram cache in the language model. We performed a simple linear search on a separate development set for optimizing the interpolation weight which gave as a value of $\lambda = 0.001$. The size of the cache was set to 10,000 and the decay factor was set to $\alpha = 0.0005$ (according to our findings in figure 3). The results on our test data compared to the standard model are illustrated (with white boxes) in figure 4.

There is quite some variation in the effect of the cache LM on our test documents. The translations of most EMEA documents could be improved according to BLEU scores, some of them substantially, whereas others degraded slightly. Note that the documents differ in size and some of them are very short which makes it a bit difficult to interpret and directly compare these scores. On average the BLEU score is improved by 0.43 points per document and 0.39 points per sentence. This might be not as impressive as we were hoping for after the tremendous perplexity reduction presented earlier. However, considering the simplicity of the approach that does not require any additional resources nor training it is still a valuable achievement.

4.3 Applying the TM Cache

In the next experiment we tested the effect of the TM cache on translation quality. Using our hypothesis of translation consistency we expected another gain on our test set. In order to reduce problems of noise we added two additional constraints: We only cache phrases that contain at least one word longer than 4 characters (a simplistic attempt to focus on content words rather than function words) and we only cache translation options for which the transition costs (of adding this option to the current hypothesis) in the global decoding model is larger than a given threshold (an attempt to use some notion of confidence for the current phrase pair; in our experiments we used a log score of -4). Using this setup and applying the phrase cache in decoding we obtained the results illustrated with filled boxes in the figure 4 above.

Again, we can observe a varied outcome but mostly improvements. The impact of the phrase translation cache (with a size of 5,000 items) is not as strong as for the language model cache which might be due to the rather conservative settings ($\lambda = 0.001$, $\alpha = 0.001$) and the fact that matching phrase pairs are less likely to appear than matching target words. On average the gain is about 0.275
4.4 Combining the Cache Models

Finally, we applied both types of cache in one common system using the same settings from the individual runs. The differences to the baseline model are shown in figure 5.

In most cases, applying the two types of cache together has a positive effect on the final BLEU score. Now, we see only a few documents with a drop in translation performance. On average the gain has increased to about 0.78 BLEU points per document (0.74 per sentence) which is about 2.7% relative improvement compared to the baseline (2.6% per sentence).

5 Discussion

Our experiments seem to suggest that caching could be a way to improve translation quality on a new domain. However, the differences are small and the assumption that previous translation hypotheses are good enough to be cached is risky. One obvious question is if the approach is robust enough to be helpful in general. If that is the case we should also see positive effects on in-domain data where a cache model could adjust to topical shifts within that domain. In order to test this ability we ran an experiment with the 2006 test data from the workshop on statistical machine translation (Koehn and Monz, 2006) using the same models and settings as above. This resulted in the following scores (lowercased BLEU):

\[ \text{BLEU}_{\text{baseline}} = 32.46 \ (65.0/38.3/25.4/17.6, \ BP=0.999) \]
\[ \text{BLEU}_{\text{cache}} = 31.91 \ (65.1/38.1/25.1/17.3, \ BP=0.991) \]

Clearly, the cache models failed on this test even though the difference between the two runs is not large. There is a slight improvement in unigram matches (first value in brackets) but a drop on larger n-gram scores and also a stronger brevity penalty (BP). This could be an effect of the simplicity of the LM cache (a simple unigram model) which may improve the choice of individual lexical items but without respecting contextual dependencies.

One difference is that the in-domain data was translated in one step without clearing the cache at topical shifts. EMEA documents were translated one by one with empty caches at the beginning. It is now the question if proper initialization is essential and if there is a correlation between document length and the effect of caching. How much data is actually needed to take advantage of cached items and is there a point where a positive effect degrades because of topical shifts within the document? Let us, therefore, have a look at the relation between document length and BLEU score gain in our test collection (figure 6).

Figure 6: Correlation between document lengths (in number of tokens) and BLEU score gains with caching.

Again, no immediate correlation can be seen.
The baseline performance does not seem to give any clues for a possible success of caching. This comes as a surprise as our intuitions suggested that good baseline performance should be essential for the adaptive approach.

Another reason for their success should be the amount of repetition (especially among content words) in the documents to be translated. An indication for this can be given by type/token ratios assuming that documents with lower ratios contain a larger amount of repetitive text. Figure 8 plots the type/token ratios of all test documents in comparison with the BLEU score gains obtained with caching.

Further experiments are needed to see the effect on different data sets and document types.

Note that some results may also be an artifact of the automatic evaluation metrics applied. Qualitative evaluations using manual inspection could probably reveal important aspects of the caching approach. However, tracing changes caused by caching is rather difficult due to the interaction with other factors in the global decoding process. Some typical cases may still be identified. Figure 9 shows an example of a translation that has been improved in the cached model by making the translation more consistent (this is from a document that actually got a lower BLEU score in the end with caching).

Once again there does not seem to be any obvious correlation. So far we could not identify any particular property of documents that might help to reliably predict the success of caching. The answer is probably a combination of various factors.

Other improvements may not be recognized by automatic evaluation metrics and certain acceptable differences may be penalized. Look, for instance, at the examples in figure 10.

This is, of course, not a general claim that cache-based translations are more affected by this problem than, for example, the baseline system. However, this could be a direction for further investigations to quantify these issues.

6 Conclusions

In this paper we presented adaptive language and translation models that use an exponentially decaying cache. We applied these models to a domain adaptation task translating medical documents with a standard model trained on Europarl. On average the dynamic adaptation approach led to a gain of about 2.6% relative BLEU points per sentence. The main advantage of this approach is that it does not require any domain-specific train-
baseline: the medication is issued on orders.
cache: the medication is issued on prescription-only.
reference: the medicine can only be obtained with a prescription.

baseline: benefix is a powder keg, and a solvent to dissolve the injection for.
cache: benefix consists of a powder and a solvent to dissolve the injection for.
reference: benefix is a powder and solvent that are mixed together for injection.

baseline: the principle of active benefix is the nonacog alfa (ix coagulation factor of recombinant) which favours the coagulation blood.
cache: the principle of benefix is the nonacog alfa (ix coagulation factor of recombinant) which favours the coagulation blood.
reference: benefix contains the active ingredient nonacog alfa (recombinant coagulation factor ix, which helps blood to clot).

baseline: in any case, it is benefix used?
cache: in which case it is benefix used?
reference: what is benefix used for?

baseline: benefix is used for the treatment and prevention of saignements among patients with haemophilia b (a disorder hémorragique hereditary due to a deficiency in factor ix).
cache: benefix is used for the treatment and prevention of saignements among patients suffering haemophilia b (a disorder hémorragique hereditary due to a lack factor in ix).
reference: benefix is used for the treatment and prevention of bleeding in patients with haemophilia b (an inherited bleeding disorder caused by lack of factor ix).

baseline: benefix can be used for adults and children over 6 years.
cache: benefix can be used for adults and children of more than 6 years.
reference: benefix can be used in adults and children over the age of 6.

Figure 10: Examples translations with and without caching.
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