Mitochondrial E3 ubiquitin ligase MARCH5 controls mitochondrial fission and cell sensitivity to stress-induced apoptosis through regulation of MiD49 protein
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\textbf{ABSTRACT} Ubiquitin- and proteasome-dependent outer mitochondrial membrane (OMM)-associated degradation (OMMAD) is critical for mitochondrial and cellular homeostasis. However, the scope and molecular mechanisms of the OMMAD pathways are still not well understood. We report that the OMM-associated E3 ubiquitin ligase MARCH5 controls dynamin-related protein 1 (Drp1)-dependent mitochondrial fission and cell sensitivity to stress-induced apoptosis. MARCH5 knockout selectively inhibited ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation of MiD49, a mitochondrial receptor of Drp1, and consequently led to mitochondrial fragmentation. Mitochondrial fragmentation in MARCH5\textsuperscript{−/−} cells was not associated with inhibition of mitochondrial fusion or bioenergetic defects, supporting the possibility that MARCH5 is a negative regulator of mitochondrial fission. Both MARCH5 re-expression and MiD49 knockout in MARCH5\textsuperscript{−/−} cells reversed mitochondrial fragmentation and reduced sensitivity to stress-induced apoptosis. These findings and data showing MARCH5-depedendent degradation of MiD49 upon stress support the possibility that MARCH5 regulation of MiD49 is a novel mechanism controlling mitochondrial fission and, consequently, the cellular response to stress.

\textbf{INTRODUCTION} The outer mitochondrial membrane (OMM) plays a critical role in various mitochondrial functions, including the regulation of apoptosis (Youle and Strasser, 2008), autophagy (Hailey et al., 2010; Germain et al., 2011), and mitochondrial membrane fusion and fission (Youle and van der Bliek, 2012; Mishra and Chari, 2014). Consistent with this, stringent control of the abundance and activity of OMM-associated proteins is vital for maintaining mitochondrial and cellular function.

In eukaryotes, short-lived proteins are degraded by the ubiquitin (Ub)/proteasome system (UPS). In addition to other substrates, UPS also controls the degradation of OMM-associated proteins. Several E3 Ub ligases associated with or acting on the mitochondrial membrane have been identified, including MARCH5/MITOL (Nakamura et al., 2006; Yonashiro et al., 2006; Karbowski et al., 2007), IBRDC2 (Benard et al., 2010), Parkin (Narendra et al., 2008), ARF-BP1/Mule (Zhong et al., 2005), SCF\textsuperscript{BIR7} (Inuzuka et al., 2011), and the deubiquitinases Usp30 (Nakamura and Hirose, 2008) and Usp9x.
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FIGURE 1: Mitochondria in MARCH5−/− cells. (A) Schematic of
MARCH5 protein and MARCH5−/− cell generation. (B) Western blot
analysis of MARCH5 in wild-type, MARCH5+/−, and MARCH5−/−
HCT116 cells. (C and D) Wild-type (C) and MARCH5−/− (D) HCT116
cells were immunostained for cytochrome c to detect mitochondria;
this was followed by Airyscan superresolution imaging. Scale bars:
20 μm and 5 μm (detail images). Maximum intensity projections are
shown. (E) Mitochondrial morphology was quantified in wild-type and
MARCH5+/− HCT116 cells. Data represent mean ± SD of five
independent counts of 150 cells/condition. (F) Mitochondrial fusion
rates in wild-type and MARCH5−/− cells. mito-PAGFP fluorescence
(Schwickart et al., 2010). Collectively these proteins control critical
mitochondria-associated homoeostatic events, including stress
response, mitochondrial membrane dynamics, and mitochondrial
steps in apoptosis.

The OMM-associated E3 Ub ligase MARCH5 was initially pro-
posed to control mitochondrial fusion and/or fission. It was reported
that MARCH5 interacts with Mfn1, Mfn2, and dynamin-related pro-
tein 1 (Drp1) and controls these proteins through a Ub-dependent
but largely unspecified mechanism (Nakamura et al., 2006; Yonashiro
et al., 2006; Karbowski et al., 2007). Since the initial discovery, sev-
eral reports have suggested that various targets and pathways may
be regulated by MARCH5, including mitochondria–endoplasmic ret-
iculum interactions (Sugiura et al., 2013), cellular senescence (Park
et al., 2010), and removal of disease-causing misfolded proteins
from the mitochondria (Yonashiro et al., 2009; Sugiura et al., 2011).
This relatively broad spectrum of MARCH5-controlled pathways sug-
gests a critical role for MARCH5 in the maintenance of mitochondrial
homeostasis. Even with these insights, the scope and molecular
mechanisms of how MARCH5 monitors and influences mitochondria
are still not well understood.

In this paper, we show that MARCH5 is essential for regulation of
MiD49, an OMM-associated receptor of mitochondrial fission factor
Drp1 (Palmer et al., 2011, 2013; Loson et al., 2013). Our data also
showed that MARCH5 controls degradation of MiD49 upon stress.
Consistent with this, MARCH5-deficient cells displayed increased
sensitivity to stress-induced apoptosis. These findings highlight the
critical role of MARCH5 in the coordination of mitochondrial fission
rates with OMM-related mitochondrial stress responses.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
Role of MARCH5 in mitochondrial membrane dynamics
and bioenergetics
Using homologous recombination gene-targeting technology, we
generated a biallelic MARCH5 knockout in human colorectal carci-
noma HCT116 cells (MARCH5−/−; Figure 1, A and B). Motivated by
reports suggesting that MARCH5 plays a role in mitochondrial fu-
sion or fission (Nakamura et al., 2006; Yonashiro et al., 2006;
Karbowski et al., 2007), we sought to investigate the possible effect of
MARCH5 depletion on mitochondrial network organization. To this
end, cells were immunostained for cytochrome c, a marker of mito-
chondrial intermembrane space (Figure 1, C and D); this was fol-
lowed by Airyscan superresolution imaging. Consistent with RNA
interference (RNAi) studies (Nakamura et al., 2006; Yonashiro et al.,
2006), MARCH5 knockout led to increases in the number of cells
showing mitochondrial fragmentation (Figure 1, D and E), as com-
pared with wild-type cells (Figure 1, C and E). While MARCH5 was
suggested to regulate both mitochondrial fusion and fission (Nakamura
et al., 2006; Yonashiro et al., 2006; Karbowski et al., 2007; Fang
et al., 2013), the effect of MARCH5 depletion on mitochon-
drial fusion rates has not been reported. To determine mitochon-
drial fusion rates, we applied a mitochondrial matrix–targeted pho-
toactivatable green fluorescent protein (mito-PAGFP)-based
mitochondrial fusion assay (Karbowski et al., 2004). Quantification of
mito-PAGFP in several time-lapse experiments revealed similar mito-PAGFP fluorescence dilution rates in wild-type cells and MARCH5−/− cells, consistent with unaltered mitochondrial fusion dynamics (Figure 1F).

It has also been reported that inhibition of mitochondrial fusion in Mfn1−/−, Mfn2−/−, and Opal-depleted cells resulted in aberrant bioenergetic performance of the mitochondria. Bioenergetic dysfunctions can also induce mitochondrial fragmentation, mostly through abnormal processing of Opal1 and consequent inhibition of mitochondrial fusion (for a review, see Karbowski, 2010; Chan, 2012). We analyzed the effect of MARCH5 depletion on cellular bioenergetics by measuring cellular oxygen consumption rate (OCR) and extracellular acidification rate (ECAR). The data showed that MARCH5−/− cells did not differ from wild-type cells in basal OCR, antimycin A (AntA)-insensitive nonmitochondrial OCR, basal ECAR, OCR/ECAR ratio, uncoupled OCR, oligomycin-insensitive OCR, or oligomycin-stimulated ECAR (Figure 1G). Therefore, given the unaltered mitochondrial fusion and bioenergetics in MARCH5−/− cells, as compared with wild-type cells, the mitochondrial fragmentation observed in MARCH5−/− cells may be due to increased mitochondrial fission. Under this scenario, MARCH5 activity would be required for hindering mitochondrial fission rates.

Identification of MARCH5-controlled proteins

Taking advantage of MARCH5 deficiency in MARCH5−/− cells (Figures 1B and Supplemental Figure S1A), we analyzed the levels of an array of proteins with a focus on those associated with the OMM (Supplemental Figure S1, A and B). If MARCH5 controls turnover of certain proteins, then these proteins would be more abundant in MARCH5-depleted cells, as compared with parental HCT116 cells. Total-cell lysates obtained from wild-type and MARCH5−/− cells were subjected to Western blot analysis (Supplemental Figure S1A) followed by densitometric quantification of representative proteins from several independent experiments (Supplemental Figure S1B). The data showed relatively unaltered levels of most of the analyzed proteins (Supplemental Figure S1). Two exceptions were major increases in levels of Mc1, an antiapoptotic Bcl2 family protein (9.3 ± 0.8-fold increase over Mc1 levels in wild-type cells; Supplemental Figure S1A), and MiD49, an OMM protein proposed to participate in mitochondrial fission and perhaps fusion (Palmer et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2013; 5.7 ± 0.7-fold increase over MiD49 levels in wild-type cells; Supplemental Figure S1, A and B). Because other analyzed OMM-associated Bcl2 family and mitochondrial fusion/fission proteins, including MiD49 homologue MiD51, were not changed or were altered to a much lesser degree (Supplemental Figure S1), these data suggested a specific role for MARCH5 in regulation of MiD49 and Mc1 protein levels. However, because we found that ubiquitination of Mc1 does not require MARCH5 activity (unpublished data), these data suggest that observed changes in Mc1 might be indirect, and further studies were therefore focused on MiD49.

To verify the specificity of MARCH5 depletion on MiD49 levels, we applied short hairpin RNA (shRNA) to down-regulate MARCH5 in HeLa cells (Figure 2A). Consistent with MARCH5−/− cells, MiD49 accumulation upon MARCH5 down-regulation was detected with five independent shRNA constructs (Figure 2A). We also down-regulated p97, an AAA-ATPase required for retrotranslocation of OMM-associated proteins before their proteasomal degradation (Xu et al., 2011). The data showed an increase in MiD49 in p97 shRNA cells obtained using two verified p97 shRNA constructs (Xu et al., 2011; Figure 2A), confirming MiD49 as a substrate of OMM-associated degradation. Consistent with this notion, subcellular fractionation revealed mitochondrial accumulation of MiD49 in MARCH5−/− cells (Figure 2B).

We then tested the effects of MARCH5 re-expression on MiD49 levels in MARCH5−/− cells. Cells were transfected with MYC-tagged MARCH5 (MYC-MARCH5); this was followed by Western blot analysis. The data showed a prominent decrease of MiD49 in MYC-MARCH5-expressing MARCH5−/− cells (Figure 2C), confirming a specific role for MARCH5 in the control of MiD49 levels.

MARCH5 controls MiD49 ubiquitination and turnover

To determine the degree to which MARCH5 controls MiD49 turnover rates, we treated wild-type and MARCH5−/− cells with protein synthesis inhibitor cycloheximide (CHX); this was followed by Western blot analysis (Figure 2D). Densitometric evaluations of the Western blot data revealed an ∼2-h half-life of MiD49 in wild-type cells (Figure 2E), indicating the relatively unstable nature of this protein. On the other hand, inhibition of MiD49 degradation was observed in CHX-treated MARCH5−/− cells (Figure 2, D and E), which further validated the notion that MARCH5 controls the turnover of MiD49. Of note, it appears that MiD49 has the second-shortest half-life after Mc1 among endogenous OMM-associated proteins (both reported and from our analysis; Figure 2E and unpublished data). In comparison, the half-life of the OMM-associated protein Mff (mitochondrial fission factor) (Gandre-Babbe and van der Bliek, 2008) was estimated to be more than 8 h (Figure 2, D and F).

These results suggested that MARCH5 might function as a MiD49-ubiquitinating E3 Ub ligase that regulates proteasomal degradation of this protein. For testing this possibility, MiD49 was immunoprecipitated from denatured wild-type and MARCH5−/− cell lysates. To stabilize MiD49 ubiquitination, we treated cells for 8 h with the proteasome inhibitor MG132. Our attempts to immunoprecipitate sufficient amounts of endogenous MiD49 using commercially available antibodies were unsuccessful (unpublished data). Therefore, for MiD49 immunoprecipitation, wild-type and MARCH5−/− cells were transfected with MYC-tagged MiD49 (MYC-MiD49) followed by treatments described above. Untransfected cells were used as a control (Figure 2, G and H). Higher levels of Ub were detected in MYC-MiD49 immunoprecipitates from HCT116 cells, as compared with MARCH5−/− cells and control untransfected cells (Figure 2, G and H, red rectangle in H). Consistent with the role of MARCH5-mediated ubiquitination in proteasomal degradation of MiD49, a substantial increase of poly-Ub in MYC-MiD49 immunoprecipitates was detected in MG132-treated wild-type but not in MG132-treated MARCH5−/− cells and MG132-treated untransfected cells (Figure 2, G and H). Thus our findings reveal that ubiquitination of MiD49 depends on MARCH5. This conclusion was further verified by data showing rescue of MG132-stabilized MiD49 ubiquitination in samples immunoprecipitated from MARCH5−/− cells cotransfected with MYC-MiD49 and YFP-tagged wild-type MARCH5 (YFP-MARCH5; Figure 2I). Supporting the importance of MARCH5 RING-domain activity for MiD49 ubiquitination, YFP-tagged RING domain activity-deficient MARCH5 mutant (YFP-MARCH5RRW; Yonashiro et al., 2006; Karbowski et al., 2007) had a less pronounced effect (Figure 2I).

MARCH5 controls the mitochondrial network through an MiD49-dependent mechanism

We tested the role of MiD49 in mitochondrial fragmentation observed in MARCH5−/− cells (Figure 1, D and E). CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing was used to knock out MiD49 in wild-type (MiD49−/−) and MARCH5−/− HCT116 cells (DKO). MiD49 knockout was confirmed by PCR (unpublished data) and Western blot (Figure 3G). Considering the reported role for MiD49 in the control of the cellular distribution of Drp1 (Palmer et al., 2011), cells were immunostained for Drp1 together with Tom20, a marker of the
MARCH5 controls ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation of MiD49. (A) Total cell lysates obtained from HeLa cells transfected with five different MARCH5 shRNA constructs (1–5; lanes 4–8), two different p97 shRNA constructs (lanes 2 and 3), or a GFP shRNA construct (Control; lane 1) were analyzed by Western blot as indicated. (B) Wild-type and MARCH5−/− cells were subjected to cell fractionation to obtain total-cell lysates (T), mitochondria-enriched heavy membrane fractions (M), and postmitochondrial cytosolic fractions (C), followed by Western blot as indicated. ∗, an x-reactive band detectable with anti-MARCH5 antibody. (C) Western blot analysis of vector (Control)-and MYC-MARCH5 (MARCH5)-transfected MARCH5−/− cells. Cells were analyzed for the levels of MiD49 and MYC-MARCH5. Tom20 was used as a loading control. (D) Wild-type and MARCH−/− cells were treated with CHX as indicated, followed by Western blot to detect MiD49 (two exposures of the same MiD49 blot are shown), Drp1 and Mff. Tom20 served as a loading control. (E and F) Relative MiD49 (E) and Mff (F) protein levels in wild-type and MARCH−/− cells were quantified and plotted as a function of time of CHX treatment. Protein levels detected in untreated samples (0 min) were set at 1. (G and H) Control or MG132-treated (8 h) wild-type and MARCH5−/− cells transfected with MYC-MiD49 were subjected to MYC immunoprecipitation under denatured conditions. Samples were analyzed by Western blot for MYC-tag (to detect MYC-MiD49; G) and Ub (H) as indicated (top panels in G and H). Inputs (1% of lysates used for immunoprecipitation) are shown in the bottom panels in G and H. (I) MARCH5−/− cells transfected with MYC-MiD49 alone, cotransfected with MYC-MiD49 and YFP-MARCH5 or MYC-MiD49 and YFP-MARCH5H43W, or MYC-MiD49 and YFP-vector were treated with MG132 for 8 h, followed by MYC immunoprecipitation under denatured conditions and then Western blot as indicated. ∗, antibody heavy chain.

OMM; this was followed by Airyscan superresolution imaging (Figure 3, A–F). The data showed complete reversal of mitochondrial fragmentation in DKO cells (Figure 3, F and H). While ~70% of MARCH5−/− cells displayed a fragmented mitochondrial network (Figure 3, B and H), fragmented mitochondria were detected in only ~1% of DKO cells (Figure 3, F and H). Because similar results were observed in two DKO clones generated with CRISPR targeting MiD49 exon2 and two DKO clones generated with CRISPR
dria (Figure 3, C and H). Thus mitochondrial fragmentation in MARCH5−/− cells was likely the result of abnormal MiD49 accumulation. However, as reported earlier (Palmer et al., 2011), further MiD49 overexpression induced mitochondrial elongation/interconnection in ∼100% of wild-type (unpublished data) and MARCH5−/− (Figure 3, D and H) cells. Thus it is possible that, while high levels of MiD49 could interfere with mitochondrial fission, less pronounced MiD49 increases could accelerate mitochondrial fission leading to mitochondrial fragmentation. Indeed, in MiD49−/− cells, low levels of ectopic MYC-MiD49 induced mitochondrial elongation was apparent in cells expressing high levels of MYC-MiD49 (unpublished data).

We did not detect marked differences in Drp1 localization in analyzed cells (Figures 2B and 3, A–F and I), suggesting that either mitochondrial accumulation of Drp1 is not detectably affected by changes in MiD49 expression or that other Drp1 receptors compensate for MiD49 depletion. While it has been shown that Drp1 accumulated on mitochondria in MiD49- and MiD51-overexpressing cells (Palmer et al., 2011), an approximately sixfold increase of MiD49 protein in MARCH5−/− cells, as shown here (Supplemental Figure S1), may not be sufficient to affect Drp1 recruitment to the mitochondria. However, further MiD49 overexpression induced abnormal mitochondrial assembly of Drp1 in MARCH5−/− cells (Figure 3, D and I).

Role of MARCH5 in stress-induced degradation of MiD49

Considering the relatively unstable nature of MiD49 (Figure 2, D and E), which suggests a signaling role for this protein, we sought to determine whether MARCH5 controls MiD49 stability under stress (Figure 4). Wild-type and MARCH5−/− cells were treated with two unrelated inducers of mitochondria-dependent apoptosis, actinomycin D (ActD; Figure 4, A–D) and staurosporine (STS; Figure 4, E–H) for 0, 2, 4, and 8 h and were analyzed by Western blot as indicated in Figure 4, A–H. While treatments with ActD and STS led to a gradual reduction of MiD49 protein levels in wild-type cells, degradation of MiD49 was not detectable in MARCH5−/− cells (Figure 4, A, D, E, and H). Thus MARCH5 appears to control apoptosis-induced MiD49 degradation. Notably, the proteasome inhibitor MG132 hindered ActD- and STS-induced MiD49 degradation in a manner similar to degradation of Mcl1, an established proteasome substrate (Figure 4, I and J), indicating that MARCH5- and proteasome-dependent degradation of MiD49 targetting MiD49 exon4 (unpublished data), the effect of MiD49 knockout on mitochondrial morphology appears to be specific. Furthermore, re-expression of MARCH5 in MARCH5−/− cells reduced MiD49 protein levels (Figure 2C) and rescued mitochondrial fragmentation, leading to formation of normal tubular mitochondria. (Figure 3, C and H). Thus mitochondrial fragmentation in MARCH5−/− cells was likely the result of abnormal MiD49 accumulation. However, as reported earlier (Palmer et al., 2011), further MiD49 overexpression induced mitochondrial elongation/interconnection in ∼100% of wild-type (unpublished data) and MARCH5−/− (Figure 3, D and H) cells. Thus it is possible that, while high levels of MiD49 could interfere with mitochondrial fission, less pronounced MiD49 increases could accelerate mitochondrial fission leading to mitochondrial fragmentation. Indeed, in MiD49−/− cells, low levels of ectopic MYC-MiD49 induced mitochondrial elongation was apparent in cells expressing high levels of MYC-MiD49 (unpublished data).

We did not detect marked differences in Drp1 localization in analyzed cells (Figures 2B and 3, A–F and I), suggesting that either mitochondrial accumulation of Drp1 is not detectably affected by changes in MiD49 expression or that other Drp1 receptors compensate for MiD49 depletion. While it has been shown that Drp1 accumulated on mitochondria in MiD49- and MiD51-overexpressing cells (Palmer et al., 2011), an approximately sixfold increase of MiD49 protein in MARCH5−/− cells, as shown here (Supplemental Figure S1), may not be sufficient to affect Drp1 recruitment to the mitochondria. However, further MiD49 overexpression induced abnormal mitochondrial assembly of Drp1 in MARCH5−/− cells (Figure 3, D and I).

Role of MARCH5 in stress-induced degradation of MiD49

Considering the relatively unstable nature of MiD49 (Figure 2, D and E), which suggests a signaling role for this protein, we sought to determine whether MARCH5 controls MiD49 stability under stress (Figure 4). Wild-type and MARCH5−/− cells were treated with two unrelated inducers of mitochondria-dependent apoptosis, actinomycin D (ActD; Figure 4, A–D) and staurosporine (STS; Figure 4, E–H) for 0, 2, 4, and 8 h and were analyzed by Western blot as indicated in Figure 4, A–H. While treatments with ActD and STS led to a gradual reduction of MiD49 protein levels in wild-type cells, degradation of MiD49 was not detectable in MARCH5−/− cells (Figure 4, A, D, E, and H). Thus MARCH5 appears to control apoptosis-induced MiD49 degradation. Notably, the proteasome inhibitor MG132 hindered ActD- and STS-induced MiD49 degradation in a manner similar to degradation of Mcl1, an established proteasome substrate (Figure 4, I and J), indicating that MARCH5- and proteasome-dependent degradation of MiD49 targeting MiD49 exon4 (unpublished data), the effect of MiD49 knockout on mitochondrial morphology appears to be specific. Furthermore, re-expression of MARCH5 in MARCH5−/− cells reduced MiD49 protein levels (Figure 2C) and rescued mitochondrial fragmentation, leading to formation of normal tubular mitochondria. (Figure 3, C and H). Thus mitochondrial fragmentation in MARCH5−/− cells was likely the result of abnormal MiD49 accumulation. However, as reported earlier (Palmer et al., 2011), further MiD49 overexpression induced mitochondrial elongation/interconnection in ∼100% of wild-type (unpublished data) and MARCH5−/− (Figure 3, D and H) cells. Thus it is possible that, while high levels of MiD49 could interfere with mitochondrial fission, less pronounced MiD49 increases could accelerate mitochondrial fission leading to mitochondrial fragmentation. Indeed, in MiD49−/− cells, low levels of ectopic MYC-MiD49 induced mitochondrial elongation was apparent in cells expressing high levels of MYC-MiD49 (unpublished data).

We did not detect marked differences in Drp1 localization in analyzed cells (Figures 2B and 3, A–F and I), suggesting that either mitochondrial accumulation of Drp1 is not detectably affected by changes in MiD49 expression or that other Drp1 receptors compensate for MiD49 depletion. While it has been shown that Drp1 accumulated on mitochondria in MiD49- and MiD51-overexpressing cells (Palmer et al., 2011), an approximately sixfold increase of MiD49 protein in MARCH5−/− cells, as shown here (Supplemental Figure S1), may not be sufficient to affect Drp1 recruitment to the mitochondria. However, further MiD49 overexpression induced abnormal mitochondrial assembly of Drp1 in MARCH5−/− cells (Figure 3, D and I).

Role of MARCH5 in stress-induced degradation of MiD49

Considering the relatively unstable nature of MiD49 (Figure 2, D and E), which suggests a signaling role for this protein, we sought to determine whether MARCH5 controls MiD49 stability under stress (Figure 4). Wild-type and MARCH5−/− cells were treated with two unrelated inducers of mitochondria-dependent apoptosis, actinomycin D (ActD; Figure 4, A–D) and staurosporine (STS; Figure 4, E–H) for 0, 2, 4, and 8 h and were analyzed by Western blot as indicated in Figure 4, A–H. While treatments with ActD and STS led to a gradual reduction of MiD49 protein levels in wild-type cells, degradation of MiD49 was not detectable in MARCH5−/− cells (Figure 4, A, D, E, and H). Thus MARCH5 appears to control apoptosis-induced MiD49 degradation. Notably, the proteasome inhibitor MG132 hindered ActD- and STS-induced MiD49 degradation in a manner similar to degradation of Mcl1, an established proteasome substrate (Figure 4, I and J), indicating that MARCH5- and proteasome-dependent degradation of MiD49 targeting MiD49 exon4 (unpublished data), the effect of MiD49 knockout on mitochondrial morphology appears to be specific. Furthermore, re-expression of MARCH5 in MARCH5−/− cells reduced MiD49 protein levels (Figure 2C) and rescued mitochondrial fragmentation, leading to formation of normal tubular mitochondria. (Figure 3, C and H). Thus mitochondrial fragmentation in MARCH5−/− cells was likely the result of abnormal MiD49 accumu-
ments, both FCCP (Figure 4, K–N), and to a lesser degree AntA (Supplemental Figure S2A), induced MARCH5-dependent reduction in MiD49. These data suggested that the specificity of MARCH5 for MiD49 could be controlled by the bioenergetic status of the mitochondria.

MARCH5 depletion sensitizes cells to stress-induced cell death
While MARCH5 depletion did not substantially affect mitochondrial fusion and bioenergetics under normal growth conditions (Figure 1), could contribute to the mitochondrial response to stress. These data also support the notion that not synthesis but degradation of MiD49 is altered by stress.

We also investigated MiD49 turnover in cells treated with agents directly affecting mitochondrial activity. To this end, wild-type and MARCH5−/− cells were treated with an uncoupling agent, carbonyl cyanide 4-(trifluoromethoxy) phenylhydrazone (FCCP), and the OXPHOS complex III inhibitor AntA for 0, 2, 4, and 8 h and analyzed by Western blot (Figure 4, K–N, and Supplemental Figure S2A). As in the cases of ActD and STS treatments, both FCCP (Figure 4, K–N), and to a lesser degree AntA (Supplemental Figure S2A), induced MARCH5-dependent reduction in MiD49. These data suggested that the specificity of MARCH5 for MiD49 could be controlled by the bioenergetic status of the mitochondria.
the data (Figure 4) supported the possibility that MARCH5 could control mitochondrial response to stress. We analyzed the viability of wild-type and MARCH5−/− cells that were treated with an array of agents, including apoptosis inducers and mitochondrial toxins as indicated (Figure 5, A and B). The data showed significantly increased sensitivity of MARCH5−/− cells, as compared with wild-type cells, to cell death induced by several independent treatments (Figure 5A), suggesting a critical role for MARCH5 in mitochondrial and cellular stress responses.

Considering the high levels of Mcl1 in MARCH5−/− cells (Supplemental Figure S1, A and B), we also investigated the role of MARCH5 in Bcl2 family-regulated apoptotic cell death. To this end, we applied ABT737 and MIM1 compounds (Figure 5A and Supplemental Figure S2, B and C). While ABT737 selectively binds and inhibits Bcl2, Bcl-xL, and Bcl-w, it displays poor affinity for Mcl1 (Oltersdorf et al., 2005). MIM1 binds to and specifically inhibits Mcl1 (Cohen et al., 2012). The data showed that while MARCH5−/− cells were more sensitive to 2 μM ABT737-induced cell death, there was little or no effect with 10 μM MIM1 treatment, as compared with wild-type cells (Figure 5A). Considering the minor protective effects of higher MIM1 concentrations apparent in MARCH5−/− cells (Supplemental Figure S2C) and the fact that 10 μM MIM1 did not further increase MARCH5−/− cells’ sensitivity to stress-induced apoptosis, it appears that Mcl1 accumulation is not sufficient to inhibit apoptosis in MARCH5-depleted cells. Western blot analysis of cleavage of the caspase substrate PARP (Figure 5B), a well-established apoptosis marker, confirmed the higher sensitivity of MARCH5−/− cells to stress-induced apoptosis. In addition, we applied imaging of the ΔΨm-sensitive fluorescent probe tetramethylrhodamine methyl ester (TMRM). TMRM can be used both to produce reactive oxygen species and mitochondrial damage and to monitor self-inflicted changes in ΔΨm (Boyman et al., 2014). Wild-type (Figure 5C) and MARCH5−/− (Figure 5D) cells labeled with TMRM were subjected to time-lapse imaging with 10-s intervals and 10-ms exposure time set for all of the imaging. While wild-type and MARCH5−/− cells showed similar initial values of ΔΨm (Figure 5E), MARCH5−/− cells displayed higher sensitivity to TMRM imaging–induced mitochondrial depolarization, as compared with wild-type cells (Figure 5F). While wild-type cells displayed a 50% reduction in TMRM fluorescence (TMRM t1/2) at ~500 s of imaging, the same reduction in TMRM occurred ~100 s earlier in MARCH5−/− cells (Figure 5F). Furthermore, MARCH5−/− cells showed higher sensitivity to OMM permeabilization, as assessed by cytochrome c translocation to the cytosol, compared with wild-type HCT116 cells (Figure 5G and H).

**FIGURE 5:** MARCH5 and stress-induced apoptosis. (A) Wild-type and MARCH5−/− cells were treated for 20 h with the compounds indicated in the figure, followed by cell viability assessment. Values obtained with untreated cells were set as 100%. Data represent mean ± SD of four measurements/condition from two to three experiments. (B) Total-cell lysates obtained from cells treated as indicated in the figure were analyzed by Western blot for cleavage of caspase substrate PARP. Cropped blots shown for specific treatments of wild-type and MARCH5−/− cells are indicated (Figure 5A). Considering the high levels of Mcl1 in MARCH5−/− cells (Supplemental Figure S1, A and B), we also investigated the role of MARCH5 in Bcl2 family-regulated apoptotic cell death. To this end, we applied ABT737 and MIM1 compounds (Figure 5A) and the fact that 10 μM MIM1 did not further increase MARCH5−/− cells’ sensitivity to stress-induced apoptosis, it appears that Mcl1 accumulation is not sufficient to inhibit apoptosis in MARCH5-depleted cells. Western blot analysis of cleavage of the caspase substrate PARP (Figure 5B), a well-established apoptosis marker, confirmed the higher sensitivity of MARCH5−/− cells to stress-induced apoptosis. In addition, we applied imaging of the ΔΨm-sensitive fluorescent probe tetramethylrhodamine methyl ester (TMRM). TMRM can be used both to produce reactive oxygen species and mitochondrial damage and to monitor self-inflicted changes in ΔΨm (Boyman et al., 2014). Wild-type (Figure 5C) and MARCH5−/− (Figure 5D) cells labeled with TMRM were subjected to time-lapse imaging with 10-s intervals and 10-ms exposure time set for all of the imaging. While wild-type and MARCH5−/− cells showed similar initial values of ΔΨm (Figure 5E), MARCH5−/− cells displayed higher sensitivity to TMRM imaging–induced mitochondrial depolarization, as compared with wild-type cells (Figure 5F). While wild-type cells displayed a 50% reduction in TMRM fluorescence (TMRM t1/2) at ~500 s of imaging, the same reduction in TMRM occurred ~100 s earlier in MARCH5−/− cells (Figure 5F). Furthermore, MARCH5−/− cells showed higher sensitivity to OMM permeabilization, as assessed by cytochrome c translocation to the cytosol, compared with wild-type HCT116 cells (Figure 5G and H).
were RNA1 (gRNA1): 5′-TGTTGCTGACACTGCAAGAGG-3′; and gRNA3: 5′-TGTGTCTGACACTGCAAGAGG-3′. gRNA sequences were cloned by PCR with the following primers: F1: 5′-TTTCTCTTCTTATATCTTAGGGAAGAACCCGGAGGTGTCTGACACTGCAGGAGAGG-3′; R1: 5′-GACAGGTGTCTTCTCAGAATGAGG-3′; F2: 5′-TTTCTTCTTCTTATATCTTAGGGAAGAACCCGGAGGTGTCTGACACTGCAGGAGAGG-3′; R3: 5′-GACAGGTGTCTTCTCAGAATGAGG-3′; and F3: 5′-TTTCTTCTTCTTATATCTTAGGGAAGAACCCGGAGGTGTCTGACACTGCAGGAGAGG-3′. The PCR products were incorporated into AffiLinized gRNA cloning vector (www.addgene.org/41824) using Gibson assembly, as previously described (Mali et al., 2013). The resulting plasmids were verified by sequence analysis. Each pair of gRNA plasmids was cotransfected with Cas9 into HCT116 wild-type cells and MARCH5−/− HCT116 cells. Single-cell MARCH5−/− clones with biallelic MARCH5 knockout were identified with PCR and Western blot.

Expression constructs and shRNA
MYC-MARCH5, YFP-MARCH5, and YFP-MARCH5K428W were generated and described earlier (Karbovetski et al., 2007). pcDNA3.1(−) MDI49 4×MYC His6× (Lison et al., 2013) was a gift from David Chan (California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA; Addgene plasmid #4459). PCR amplifications were performed using 5′-CTCAGGAAATTCGATATGCGGAGCAAAGCCCTACAG-3′ as the forward primer and 5′-ATCGAATAATGCTTATCTTGTTGGAAGATATTAAATCTTAC-3′ as the reverse primer. The resulting PCR products were assembled into pCMV3Tag7 mammalian expression vector (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA) using Gibson assembly to generate the MYC-tagged MARCH5-truncated mutants, M5ΔC and M5H43W/ΔC. All RNAi experiments were performed using MISSION shRNA vectors purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). p97 RNAis (RNAi 1 [Sigma plasmid #TRCN0000004250] and RNAi 2 [Sigma plasmid #TRCN0000004252]) were described previously (Xu et al., 2011), MARCH5 RNAi 1 [Sigma plasmid #TRCN0000037014], RNAi 2 [Sigma plasmid #TRCN0000037015], RNAi 3 [Sigma plasmid #TRCN0000037016], RNA 4 [Sigma plasmid #TRCN0000037017], and RNA 5 [Sigma plasmid #TRCN0000037018]) was down-regulated with the above-mentioned shRNA vectors. An eGFP-targeting shRNA construct was used as a control (Sigma plasmid #SHC005; Xu et al., 2011). Cells were transfected with respective shRNA constructs and at ~24 h posttransfection were incubated with 3 μg/ml puromycin for an additional 4–5 d to select transfected cells.

Immunofluorescence
Immunofluorescence labeling was performed as previously described (Benard et al., 2010; Li et al., 2015). Briefly, cells grown in 2-well chamber slides (model 1 German borosilicate; Labtec, Waltham, MA) were fixed with prewarmed 37°C 4% paraformaldehyde in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) solution for 20 min at room temperature (RT), then permeabilized with 0.15% Triton X-100 in PBS for 20 min at RT. After being blocked with 7.5% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in PBS for 45 min, samples were incubated with primary antibodies in 7.5% BSA in PBS for 90 min at RT; this was followed by three washes with 7.5% BSA in PBS and incubation with secondary antibodies diluted in blocking buffer for 45 min at RT. Samples were washed with PBS at RT and imaged directly in PBS.
within 2 d after immunofluorescence processing. The primary antibodies were: anti-Tom20 polyclonal antibody (Santa Cruz, Dallas, TX), anti-MiD49 polyclonal antibody (ProteinTech, Tucson, AZ), anti-Mcl1 monoclonal antibody (mAb; Santa Cruz), anti-Drp1 mAb (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ), anti cytochrome c mAb (BD Biosciences), anti-hemagglutinin tag mAb (Abcam, Cambridge, UK), anti-MYC tag mAb (Roche), and anti-MYC tag polyclonal antibody (provided by Mervyn Monteiro, University of Maryland School of Medicine). Secondary antibodies were anti-mouse or anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 488 (Life Technologies), anti-mouse or anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 546 (Life Technologies), and anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 637 (Life Technologies).

**Image acquisition and analysis**

Images were acquired with a Zeiss LSM 880 confocal microscope equipped with Airyscan superresolution imaging module, using a 63x/1.40 NA Plan-Apochromat Oil DIC M27 objective lens (Zeiss Microlmaging, Jena, Germany). Z-stacks covering the whole depth of cells with the interval of 0.018 μm were acquired, followed by Airyscan image processing (set at 7) and analyses using ZEN image acquisition and processing software (Zeiss Microlmaging). Maximum intensity projections shown in the figures were also obtained using ZEN software. Image cropping and global adjustments to brightness and contrast were performed using Adobe Photoshop CS6 software (Adobe Systems, San Jose, CA). Live-cell imaging was performed using Zeiss Axio Observer Z1 fluorescence microscope equipped with a 100×/1.45 NA a-Plan-FLUAR objective lens (Zeiss Microlmaging), an ApoTome unit (enabling high-resolution, structured-illumination image acquisition), a Definitive Focus module, and a charge-coupled device camera (QuantEM 512SC, Photometrics) at RT, as previously described (Benard et al., 2010; Xu et al., 2011; Li et al., 2015). The software used for image acquisition was AxiosVision 4.8 (Zeiss Microlmaging). Cells were grown in two-well chamber slides (model 1 German borosilicate; Labtec) and imaged in Phenol Red-free DMEM, supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated FBS, 2 mM Glutamax, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, MEM nonessential amino acids, 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 mg/ml streptomycin and 25 mM HEPES (pH 7.4) at RT.

**Cell lysates, Western blot, and immunoprecipitation**

Cells were harvested, and total-cell protein lysates and subcellular fractions were prepared as previously described (Benard et al., 2010; Xu et al., 2011; Li et al., 2015). For total-cell lysates, cells were collected, washed with ice-cold PBS, suspended in SDS–PAGE sample buffer, and incubated at 100°C for 10 min. Mitochondria-enriched heavy membrane fractions were generated as previously described (Benard et al., 2010; Xu et al., 2011). Briefly, cells were washed once with ice-cold PBS and scraped into 15-m1 tubes in ice-cold PBS; this was followed by centrifugation at 500 × g for 5 min. The cell pellets were resuspended in ∼3 volumes of fractionation buffer (10 mM HEPES, 10 mM NaCl, 1.5 mM MgCl2, protease inhibitors [Roche]). Cells were then passed 12 times through a 25-G needle attached to a 1-ml syringe to disrupt cell membranes. This suspension was centrifuged at 2500 × g at 4°C for 5 min to remove unbroken cells and cell debris. The supernatant was centrifuged at 6000 × g at 4°C for 10 min to pellet the heavy membrane (HM) fraction. The supernatants were used as postmitochondrial cytosolic fractions. For reduction of cytosolic contamination, the HM fractions were washed in ice-cold PBS and recentrifuged at 8000 × g. Protein concentrations were measured directly in the samples using a NanoDrop 1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA). Proteins were separated on 4–20% gradient Tris-glycine polyacryl-

amide gels (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), transferred onto polyvinylidene fluoride membranes (Immobilon-P; Millipore, Billerica, MA), and incubated with primary antibodies followed by horseradish peroxidase–conjugated anti-mouse (Roche) or anti-rabbit (Roche) secondary antibodies. Blots were detected with Super Signal West Pico ECL reagent (Thermo Scientific Biosciences) using an ImageQuant LAS4000 chemiluminescence imager (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Marlborough, MA). Antibodies used for Western blotting were: anti-MARCH5 polyclonal antibody (Millipore), anti-MiD49 polyclonal antibody (Sigma), anti-MiD51 polyclonal antibody (ProteinTech), anti-Mff polyclonal antibody (ProteinTech), anti-Drp1 mAb (Dip1; BD Biosciences), anti-Fis1 polyclonal antibody (Abcam), anti-endophilin B1 mAb (Bi1; ImageX), anti-p97 polyclonal antibody (Cell Signaling, Danvers, MA), anti-Mcl1 mAb (Santa Cruz), anti-Tom20 polyclonal antibody (Santa Cruz), anti-Tom22 polyclonal antibody (ProteinTech), anti-Tom40 polyclonal antibody (Santa Cruz), anti-Tom70 polyclonal antibody (ProteinTech), anti-Tim23 mAb (BD Biosciences), anti-Complex II-70 kDa mAb (Mitosciences); anti-OXPHOS human WB antibody cocktail (Abcam); anti-MYC tag mAb (Roche), anti-Bcl2 mAb (BD Biosciences), anti-Bcl-xL mAb (Cell Signaling), anti-Bak polyclonal antibody (Upstate), anti-Bax polyclonal antibody (Santa Cruz), anti-Bid mAb (BD Biosciences), anti-PUMA polyclonal antibody (Abcam), anti-VDAC1 polyclonal antibody (Cell Signaling), anti-p62 mAb (BD Biosciences), anti-LC3A mAb (Cell Signaling), anti-GAPDH mAb (BD Biosciences), anti-MARCH5 polyclonal antibody (Millipore), anti-MiD49 polyclonal antibody (ProteinTech), anti-Fis1 polyclonal antibody (Abcam), anti-p97 polyclonal antibody (Santa Cruz), anti-Mcl1 mAb (Abcam), anti-ubiquitin (Santa Cruz), anti-K48 Ub mAb (Millipore), anti p62 mAb (BD Biosciences), anti-LC3A mAb (Cell Signaling), anti-GAPDH mAb (BD Biosciences), and anti-MARCH5 polyclonal antibody (Abcam). Immunoprecipitation under denaturing conditions was performed as previously described (Tanaka et al., 2010; Xu et al., 2011). Briefly, cells were collected and suspended in denaturing buffer (1% SDS, 5 mM EDTA, 10 mM β-mercaptoethanol). Samples were incubated at 100°C for 10 min, centrifuged to remove insolubilized material, and diluted 10 times with ice-cold IP buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5% NP-40, 5 mM N-ethylmaleimide, and protease inhibitors). Proteins were immunoprecipitated using either MYC-tagged agarose beads or incubation with anti-Mcl1 mAb (Santa Cruz), followed by protein A/G agarose beads. For quantification of protein levels, intensities of specific proteins were analyzed using ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD).

**Mitochondrial fusion assay**

A mito-PAGFP–based mitochondrial fusion assay (Karbowiak et al., 2004, 2014) was performed using a Zeiss LSM 510 META confocal microscope (Zeiss Microlmaging) equipped with Plan-Apochromat 100×/1.4 NA oil DIC M27 objective lens (Zeiss Microlmaging) as previously described (Li et al., 2015). Briefly, after acquisition of a preactivation image, a ~5-μm-diameter circular region of interest was photoactivated by brief irradiation with 351/364-nm light (Coherent Enterprise Ion Laser 80.0 mW); this was followed by time-lapse imaging using a 488-nm excitation light (488-nm Argon Ion Laser 25.0 mW set at 0.3%). Fifteen postactivation images were collected, with the interval between images set to ~2 min. To avoid z-section shift, focus was maintained using “Multi-time Macro” and the autofocusing system (which utilizes line scans to detect the reflection off the coverglass). Images were acquired and analyzed using ZEN 2009 image acquisition software (Zeiss Microlmaging). For quantification of the dynamics of mitochondrial fusion, the time-lapse images of each cell were analyzed using ImageJ software. Briefly, after setting a threshold, 17 time-lapse images of each single-cell time-lapse experiment were converted to binary images using the brightest pixels from the first image (preactivated). Pixels in the consecutive 16 images (postactivation images) that exceeded...
this fluorescence intensity threshold were taken as containing activated mito-PAGFP signal and were assigned a value of 1; other pixels were taken as background and assigned with a value of 0. The pixels with mito-PAGFP signal were summed as a measure of the cellular area with activated mito-PAGFP. The time-dependent mito-PAGFP-containing area obtained for each cell was normalized with respect to its maximal and minimal values. The results from multiple cells were aligned with respect to the time at which each cell reached the maximally activated area. The time-dependent results were averaged together.

**Bioenergetics**

Cellular oxygen consumption was measured using the Seahorse XF24 Extracellular Flux Analyzer at 24 h postplating of 3 × 10⁴ cells/well, as previously described (Clerc et al., 2012). Experiments were performed in XF24 medium consisting of 120 mM NaCl, 3.5 mM KCl, 1.3 mM CaCl₂, 0.4 mM KH₂PO₄, 1 mM MgCl₂, 4 mg/ml fatty acid-free BSA, 5 mM 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid (HEPES), and 15 mM glucose (pH 7.4) at 37°C (Clerc et al., 2012). Drug treatments were titrated and optimized for each cell type; they included 0.2–0.5 μg/ml oligomycin, 1–2 μM FCCP, and 1 μM AntA. Pyruvate (10 mM) was included with the addition of FCCP to ensure endogenous substrate supply was not rate limiting during the measurement of maximal respiration.

**Cell viability assay**

HCT116 cells were seeded in 96-well opaque plates (3 × 10⁴ cells/well) and incubated with vehicle (0.2% dimethyl sulfoxide), STS (0.2 μM), actinomycin D (10 μM), FCCP (50 μM), AntA (50 μM), paraquat (2 mM), rotenone (50 μM), KCl, 1.3 mM CaCl₂, 0.4 mM KH₂PO₄, 1 mM MgCl₂, 4 mg/ml fatty acid-free BSA, 5 mM 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid (HEPES), and 15 mM glucose (pH 7.4) at 37°C (Clerc et al., 2012). Drug treatments were titrated and optimized for each cell type; they included 0.2–0.5 μg/ml oligomycin, 1–2 μM FCCP, and 1 μM AntA. Pyruvate (10 mM) was included with the addition of FCCP to ensure endogenous substrate supply was not rate limiting during the measurement of maximal respiration.
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