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Promotion of liver and kidney carcinogenesis by ethyl tertiary-butyl ether (ETBE) in male Wistar rats
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Abstract: Tumor-promoting effects of ethyl tertiary-butyl ether (ETBE) were investigated in a 2-stage carcinogenesis bioassay with regard to hepatic and renal carcinogenesis in rats. Male 6-week-old Wistar rats were given drinking water containing N-ethyl-N-(2-hydroxyethyl)nitrosamine (EHEN), as an initiator, at a dose of 500 ppm for 2 weeks. Starting one week thereafter, the animals were administered ETBE at dose levels of 0 (control), 100, 300, 500 or 1,000 mg/kg/day by gavage for 19 weeks from week 4 to 22. Necropsy of all rats was performed at week 23, and livers and kidneys were examined histopathologically. Incidences of hepatocellular adenomas, and those of combined hepatocellular adenomas and carcinomas were significantly elevated in rats given 1,000 mg/kg/day ETBE, but not 100‒500 mg/kg/day ETBE, and there was a significant increase in the average numbers of lesions. No significant differences in incidences and average numbers of renal tubule neoplasms were found in rats administered 100‒1,000 mg/kg/day ETBE. However, the average numbers of atypical tubule hyperplasias, considered to be preneoplastic lesions, were significantly increased in rats given ETBE at 1,000 mg/kg/day, but not in rats given 500 mg/kg/day or lower doses. Thus, these results imply that ETBE has hepatic and renal tumor-promoting activities that affect EHEN-induced carcinogenesis in male rats, and the no-observed-effect level is 500 mg/kg/day under the present experimental conditions. (DOI: 10.1293/tox.2015-0023; J Toxicol Pathol 2015; 28: 189–195)
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Introduction

Ethers or other oxygen-containing compounds are used in place of lead in gasoline. Oxygenates enhance the octane number and improve combustion efficiency, thereby reducing emissions. In particular, methyl tertiary-butyl ether (MTBE) is used worldwide. While it was removed from the market in the United States due to contamination of ground water, this was based on its spoilage due to taste and odor issues rather than any toxicity. Other aliphatic ethers, such as ethyl tertiary-butyl ether (ETBE), tertiary-amyl methyl ether (TAME) and alcohols are alternatives to MTBE. The technical characteristics of ETBE are comparable to those of MTBE, and its very lower water solubility is considered an advantage, because the risk of contamination of underground water is low. Another reason for the interest in ETBE is that it may serve to increase the market for biofuels, which can be manufactured from ethanol derived from agricultural and forestry feedstock.

In a toxicological review, it was concluded that ETBE was not genotoxic in vitro studies using bacterial mutagenesis tests and chromosomal aberration tests in cultured mammalian cells or in an in vivo micronucleus test. Recently, it was clearly demonstrated that ETBE is not genotoxic by detailed micronucleus assay using the bone marrow of both sexes of rats in a subchronic drinking water toxicity study and an inhalation toxicity study. However, there was equivocal evidence regarding carcinogenicity (tumor induction of the mouth epithelium, forestomach and schwannoma of the uterus and vagina) in rodents. In addition, significant increases in quantitative values of glutathione S-transferase placental form (GST-P) positive foci, considered to be pre-neoplastic lesions, and hepatocellular adenomas were found in rats given 1,000 mg/kg/day ETBE in our previous medium-term multiorgan carcinogenesis bioassay. Recently, development of hepatocellular adenoma was found in male F344 rats exposed to 5,000 ppm, but not less than 1,500 ppm, of ETBE in an inhalation carcinogenicity study.
ever, it was reported that administration of ETBE (at doses of 625–10,000 ppm) in drinking water for two years did not exert any carcinogenicity in both sexes of F344 rats7.

In the kidney, significant elevation of atypical tubule hyperplasia, also considered to be a preneoplastic lesion, was observed in rats given ETBE in our previous medium-term multiorgan carcinogenesis bioassay5. In addition, tertiary-butyl alcohol (TBA), a metabolite of ETBE, reportedly induces renal tubule adenomas associated with α2u-globulin nephropathy in male rats3, 8.

The medium-term hepatic and renal carcinogenesis bioassay of rats used in the present investigation is a rapid, reliable and practical tool for the early detection of carcinogenic agents and promoters targeting the liver and kidney9-13. The objectives of the present study were to determine any tumor-promoting effects of ETBE on hepatic and renal carcinogenesis induced by N-ethyl-N-(2-hydroxyethyl)nitrosamine (EHEN) in male rats and its no-effect dose level.

Materials and Methods

The present study was performed in compliance with the Good Laboratory Practice (GLP) Standards for Non-clinical Safety Studies of Drugs (Ministry of Health and Welfare Ordinance No. 21, March 26, 1997, Japan) and also in accordance with Section 3.2 (In vivo Additional Tests for Detection of Carcinogenicity) of the Guidelines for Carcinogenicity Studies of Drugs (Ministry of Health and Welfare Notification No.1607, November 1, 1999, Japan).

Initiator

EHEN (or N-Ethyl-N-hydroxyethylnitrosamine) (Tokyo Chemical Industry Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) was used as an initiator in this bioassay, the specifications of which were as follows: lot numbers, HF2UF and IM01; CAS No., 13147-25-6; and storage condition, cool and shielded from light (in a refrigerator).

Test material

The ETBE used in the present study was manufactured by Tokyo Chemical Industry Co., Ltd. (Tokyo, Japan), and its specifications were as follows: appearance, colorless transparent liquid; boiling point, 70°C; vapor pressure, 17 Kpa (25°C); specific gravity, 0.74 (25°C/4°C); solubility, slightly soluble in water (1.2 g/100 g, 20°C); lot no., R74EE; purity, 99.3%; and storage conditions, store at room temperature and in a dark place. ETBE was stored in a steel can and kept at ambient temperature in a dark room.

Preparation of dosing solutions and analyses

The test material was accurately weighed, dissolved in olive oil (Nacalai Tesque, Inc., Kyoto, Japan), adjusted to produce 10.0 w/v%, 5.0 w/v%, 3.0 w/v% and 1.0 w/v% ETBE dosing solutions, which were prepared more than once in each 7-day period, introduced into glass bottles and stored in a refrigerator. Dosing solutions of 10.0 w/v% and 0.05 w/v% were confirmed to be homogeneous and stable for 7 days (information from the Japanese Petroleum Energy Center and Petroleum Association of Japan). Analyses of concentrations of the test material in dosing preparations were performed twice during the course of the study, and each concentration of dosing solution was confirmed to be within the acceptable range (intended value ± 10%). All concentration analyses of the test material in the dosing solution were performed by gas chromatography with a flame ionization detector (GC-FID) at Nisso Chemical Analysis Service Co., Ltd. (Odawara, Kanagawa, Japan).

Animals and husbandry

Male, 5-week-old Crl:WI (Wistar) rats (SPF animals) from Charles River Laboratories Japan Inc. (Atsugi, Kanagawa, Japan) were allowed an 8-day quarantine/acclimation period, during which health conditions and body weights were monitored. Only after confirmation of normal status were they entered into the study at the age of 6 weeks. The animals were housed 2 to a polycarbonate cage on hardwood chip bedding (Beta Chips, Northeastern Products Corp., Warrensburg, NY, USA) in an environmentally controlled room. Constant conditions of temperature (20–24°C), relative humidity (52–71%), and ventilation (more than 15 times/hr) were maintained, and the room was artificially illuminated to provide 12 hr of lighting (7:00–19:00) each day. Gamma ray irradiated (6.0 kGy) powder diet MF (Oriental Yeast Co. Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) and Ichinomiya city tap water were available ad libitum. Wistar rats were selected for this bioassay because of their high sensitivity to the initiator, EHEN, and their routine use for this bioassay10, 12, 13.

All experimental procedures were performed in accordance with the Law for the Humane Treatment and Management of Animals (Law No. 105, October 1, 1973, and amendment, December 21, 1999), Standards Relating to the Care and Management etc. of Experimental Animals (Notification No. 6, March 27, 1980, Prime Minister’s Office, Japan, and amendment, May 28, 2002) and Guideline for Animal Experimentation (May 22, 1987, Japanese Association for Laboratory Animal Science). The present study was conducted in accordance with the Standard for Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of DIMS Institute of Medical Science, Inc. (December 15, 2006).

Experimental design

An outline of the experimental design for the present study is shown in Fig. 1. A randomized block design (BreXNote Net, Yukms Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) was used to allocate 150 rats to 5 groups (30/group). The animals were given drinking water containing 500 ppm EHEN from the commencement of the experiment to week 29-11 and maintained without treatment for 1 week9, 11. Reallocation was performed before the commencement of ETBE treatment, since the mean body weights were significantly different among the groups. Beginning in week 4, they were administered ETBE at dose levels of 0 mg/kg/day in the control group (group 1), or 100, 300, 500 or 1,000 mg/kg/day.
Statistical analysis

The significance of differences for each parameter was analyzed and evaluated at $P<0.05$ or $P<0.01$. Statistical comparisons between group 1 and groups 2–5 for numerical data obtained for body weights, food consumption, water consumption, organ weights, mean numbers of gross lesions for the liver and kidney and mean numbers of hyperplastic and neoplastic lesions for the liver and kidney were assessed using the Bartlett's test. If homogeneous, the data were analyzed with Dunnett's multiple comparison test (two sided), and if not, they were analyzed with Steel's test (two sided). The significance of intergroup differences (between group 1 and groups 2 to 5) in incidences of findings from gross pathology and histopathology was analyzed using the Fisher's exact probability test (two sided), and comparison of the grade of lesions was performed using the Mann-Whitney U-test (two sided). In addition, the Cochran-Armitage test was applied to analyze the increasing trend of incidences for hyperplastic and neoplastic lesions of the liver and kidney.

Results

Antemortem investigations

As no animals died during the experiment, the survival rates with 0 (group 1) and 100, 300, 500 and 1,000 mg/kg/day ETBE (groups 2–5) were 100% at the end of the experiment. No abnormality in general condition was found in any animal during the EHEN treatment period (weeks 1 and 2) or nontreatment period (week 3). During the test material treatment period (weeks 4–22), no clinical signs related to ETBE treatment were found in any ETBE-treated animal. The mean body weights with 100, 300, 500 and 1,000 mg/kg/day ETBE (groups 2–5) were comparable to the control values throughout the experimental period. Significant increases in food consumption were observed with 1,000 mg/kg/day ETBE (group 5) at weeks 5 and 7–22 as compared with the control values. During the ETBE treatment period, tendencies for increases in mean water consumption were noted with 1,000 mg/kg/day ETBE (group 5) at weeks 4, 5 and 22 when compared with the control values (group 1).

During the EHEN treatment period (weeks 1 and 2), the average EHEN intake, calculated from the nominal concentrations of EHEN, mean body weights and water consumption values, was 65 mg/kg/day.

Gross pathology and relative organ weights

A significantly increased incidence of discolored nodules of the liver was found in rats given 1,000 mg/kg/day ETBE (group 5) (data not shown). Cysts, discolored spots and discolored areas of the liver were sporadically observed in both the control and ETBE-treated groups. In the kidneys, cysts, discolored spots/areas and discolored nodules were also observed in each group, but there were no treatment-related increases.

Final body weight and relative organ weight data are summarized in Table 1. Statistically significant increases in relative liver weights were noted with 300, 500 and 1,000 mg/kg/day ETBE (groups 3–5). Significant increases in relative kidney weights were found with 100–1,000 mg/kg/day ETBE (groups 2–5). These increases in relative liver and kidney weights were dose dependent.

Histopathology

In the liver, foci (areas) of cellular alterations (Fig. 2A) were observed in all animals in each group, including the control group (data not shown). The incidence of hepatocellular adenomas (Fig. 2B) and combined incidence of hepatocellular adenomas and carcinomas were significantly increased with 1,000 mg/kg/day ETBE (group 5) (Table 2). However, the incidence of hepatocellular carcinomas (Fig. 2C) in this group was comparable to the control (group 1) value. The Cochran-Armitage test indicated a significant positive trend in the incidences of animals with hepatocellular adenoma and hepatocellular carcinoma and in the
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The combined incidence of hepatocellular adenoma and carcinoma. The average numbers of hepatocellular carcinomas and neoplastic lesions (hepatocellular adenomas plus carcinomas) were significantly increased with 1,000 mg/kg/day ETBE (group 5), but not 100–500 mg/kg/day ETBE (groups 2–4), when compared with the control (group 1) values.

In the kidneys, atypical tubule hyperplasia (Fig. 2D) was observed in all animals of each group, including the control group. The incidences of renal tubule adenoma (Fig. 2E) were significantly increased with 500 and 1,000 mg/kg/day ETBE (groups 4 and 5) (Table 3). The incidence of renal tubule carcinoma (Fig. 2F) was also significantly elevated with 300 mg/kg/day ETBE (group 3). The Cochran-Armitage test indicated a significant positive trend in the incidences of renal tubule adenoma and renal tubule adenoma plus carcinoma. However, the incidences of renal tubule adenomas plus carcinomas with 100, 300, 500 and 1,000 mg/kg/day ETBE (groups 2–5) were comparable to the control (group 1) value.

The average number of atypical tubule hyperplasias was significantly increased with 1,000 mg/kg/day ETBE (group 5), but not 100, 300 and 500 mg/kg/day ETBE (groups 2–4), when compared with the control value (Table 3). However, the average numbers of renal tubule adenomas, renal tubule carcinomas and renal tubule adenomas plus carcinomas were comparable to the control values.

Increased incidences of hyaline droplets (accumulation of α2u-globulin) were also noted with 100–1,000 mg/kg/day ETBE (groups 2–5) in a dose-dependent manner (data not shown).

Table 1. Final Body Weight and Relative Organ Weight Data for Rats Initiated with EHEN and then Given ETBE

| Group | ETBE (mg/kg/day) | No. of rats examined | Final body weight (g) | Liver (%) | Kidneys (%) |
|-------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-------------|
| 1     | 0                | 30                   | 573 ± 65              | 2.42 ± 0.18 | 0.50 ± 0.05 |
| 2     | 100              | 30                   | 560 ± 66              | 2.51 ± 0.17 | 0.55 ± 0.07* |
| 3     | 300              | 30                   | 560 ± 57              | 2.73 ± 0.17** | 0.61 ± 0.10*** |
| 4     | 500              | 30                   | 552 ± 56              | 2.77 ± 0.17** | 0.61 ± 0.04** |
| 5     | 1,000            | 30                   | 563 ± 54              | 3.22 ± 0.25** | 0.67 ± 0.07*** |

*Significant difference vs. group 1 (P<0.05). **Significant difference vs. group 1 (P<0.01).

Table 2. Incidences and Multiplicities of Neoplastic Lesions of the Liver in Rats Initiated with EHEN and then Given ETBE

| Group | ETBE (mg/kg/day) | No. of rats | Hepatocellular adenoma | Hepatocellular carcinoma | Hepatocellular adenoma or carcinoma |
|-------|------------------|-------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|
|       |                  | 30          | Cases (%)              | No./rat                 | Cases (%)                        |
| 1     | 0                | 30          | 4 (13)***              | 0.2 ± 0.8*              | 0 (0)***                          |
| 2     | 100              | 30          | 5 (17)                 | 0.2 ± 0.6               | 2 (7)                             |
| 3     | 300              | 30          | 8 (27)                 | 0.5 ± 0.9               | 0 (0)                             |
| 4     | 500              | 30          | 8 (27)                 | 0.4 ± 0.7               | 3 (10)                            |
| 5     | 1,000            | 30          | 15 (50)**              | 0.6 ± 0.7               | 5 (17)                            |

*Average no. of lesions (multiplicity) ± SD. **Significant difference vs. group 1 (P<0.05). ***Significant difference vs. group 1 (P<0.01). **Significant trend by Cochran-Armitage test (P<0.01).

Table 3. Incidences and Multiplicities of Preneoplastic and Neoplastic Lesions of the Kidney in Rats Initiated with EHEN and then Given ETBE

| Group | ETBE (mg/kg/day) | No. of rats | Atypical tubule hyperplasia | Renal tubule adenoma | Renal tubule carcinoma | Renal tubule adenoma or carcinoma |
|-------|------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|
|       |                  | 30          | Cases (%)                   | No./rat              | Cases (%)              | No./rat                           | Cases (%)                        |
| 1     | 0                | 30          | 30 (100) 8.3 ± 5.3*         | 17 (57)***           | 2.0 ± 2.8              | 5 (17)                            | 0.3 ± 0.7                         |
| 2     | 100              | 30          | 30 (100) 6.5 ± 4.5          | 22 (73)              | 1.9 ± 1.8              | 10 (33)                           | 0.5 ± 0.9                         |
| 3     | 300              | 30          | 30 (100) 8.0 ± 4.9          | 25 (83)*             | 2.2 ± 1.6              | 8 (27)                            | 0.4 ± 0.7                         |
| 4     | 500              | 30          | 30 (100) 16.4 ± 9.5**       | 26 (87)*             | 3.4 ± 4.0              | 7 (23)                            | 0.4 ± 0.8                         |
| 5     | 1,000            | 30          | 30 (100) 16.4 ± 9.5**       | 26 (87)              | 3.4 ± 4.0              | 26 (87)                           | 3.8 ± 4.3                         |

*Average no. of lesions (multiplicity) ± SD. **Significant difference vs. group 1 (P<0.05). ***Significant difference vs. group 1 (P<0.01). **Significant trend by Cochran-Armitage test (P<0.01).
Discussion

The present study was conducted to determine whether no-effect levels exist regarding the hepatic and renal tumor-promoting effects of ETBE on EHEN-induced carcinogenesis using male Wistar rats\(^{10, 12, 13}\). As end points, hyperplastic and neoplastic lesions in the liver and kidney were employed in this 2-stage carcinogenesis bioassay. As a result, the test material was judged as positive for tumor-promoting activity when significantly increased incidences and/or multiplicities (average numbers/rat) of preneoplastic and neoplastic lesions were detected in the liver or kidney.

Fig. 2. Representative hyperplastic and neoplastic lesions. Focus (area) of cellular alteration (A), hepatocellular adenoma (B) and carcinoma (C) of the liver noted in rats initiated with EHEN and then treated with 1,000 mg/kg/day ETBE. Atypical tubule hyperplasia (D), renal tubule adenoma (E) and carcinoma (F) of the kidney found in rats in the same group. A–F: H&E staining.
Regarding liver carcinogenesis, liver tumor-promoting effects of ETBE observed in a medium-term multiorgan carcinogenesis bioassay were reconfirmed by the significant increased incidences of hepatocellular adenomas and hepatocellular carcinomas or carcinomas and significantly elevated average numbers of hepatocellular carcinomas and hepatocellular adenomas or carcinomas in rats given 1,000 mg/kg/day ETBE in the present study. However, there were no increased incidences of hyperplastic and neoplastic lesions of the liver in rats given ETBE at doses of 500 mg/kg/day or less. It has been reported that ETBE is a non-genotoxic substance that induces liver microsome enzymes. In addition, 5-bromo-2'-deoxy-uridine labeling indices in hepatocytes were found to be significantly increased in rats exposed to ETBE in an inhalation toxicity study. Recently, the possible mode of action (MOA) for ETBE hepatotumorigenicity in rats was investigated, and the results indicated that liver tumor development could be related to induction of cell proliferation due to induction of oxidative stress and DNA modifications, which depend on activation of constitutive androstane receptor, pregnane-X-receptor and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors. The available evidence thus strongly indicates that stimulation of cell proliferation due to induction of oxidative stress and DNA modifications, which depend on activation of constitutive androstane receptor, pregnane-X-receptor and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors can be considered related to accumulation of α2u-globulin and a consequent increase of DNA synthesis, which is specific to male rats. Thus, the renal tumor-promoting effects of ETBE cannot be extrapolated to humans. Furthermore, renal cell tumor induction was not evident in 2 carcinogenicity studies (inhalation study and drinking water study) published recently.

In conclusion, the present investigation clearly demonstrated tumor-promoting effects on hepatic and renal carcinogenesis at 1,000 mg/kg/day. It was decided that the no-observed-effect level for tumor-promoting effects of ETBE on hepatic and renal carcinogenesis was 500 mg/kg/day, taking account of the non-genotoxicity of ETBE. However, the tumor-promoting effect of ETBE on renal carcinogenesis is not relevant to humans, since it is related to accumulation of α2u-globulin in renal tubules, which is a species-specific response.
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