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ABSTRACT

The primary aim of this review is to summarize the current literature on the effects of acute exercise and regular exercise on nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2 (Nrf2) activity and downstream targets of Nrf2 signaling. Nrf2 (encoded in humans by the NFE2L2 gene) is the master regulator of antioxidant defenses, a transcription factor that regulates expression of more than 200 cytoprotective genes. Increasing evidence indicates that Nrf2 signaling plays a key role in how oxidative stress mediates the beneficial effects of exercise. Episodic increases in oxidative stress induced through bouts of acute exercise stimulate Nrf2 activation and when applied repeatedly, as with regular exercise, leads to upregulation of endogenous antioxidant defenses and overall greater ability to counteract the damaging effects of oxidative stress. The evidence of Nrf2 activation in response to exercise across variety of tissues may be an important mechanism of how exercise exerts its well-known systemic effects that are not limited to skeletal muscle and myocardium. Additionally there are emerging data that results from animal studies translate to humans.

1. Introduction

The understanding of cell signaling induced by acute exercise resulting in physiological adaptations that accumulate after multiple bouts, has been greatly enhanced with the advancement of molecular biology and its application to exercise. Acute exercise activates primary messengers such as adenosine monophosphate (AMP), calcium, and mechanical stress and subsequent secondary messengers including AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK), calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase (CAMK), and p38 mitogen-activated protein kinases, leading to acute changes in mRNA transcription [1]. Another important primary messenger that is stimulated by acute exercise is the temporary change in redox balance (or redox potential) towards a more oxidized state through production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) [2]. While ROS were initially designed as damaging, there is now a greater understanding of the important role of ROS in cell signaling, regulation of immune function, gene transcription, and apoptosis [3–6]. A prime example is the role of ROS in activation of the transcription factor nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2 (Nrf2), the master regulator of antioxidant enzymes and cellular stress resistance [7–9].

Data from rodent studies illustrate the therapeutic potential of targeting Nrf2 activity for lowering disease risk. Targeted Nrf2 knock-out (Nrf2−/−) models exhibit substantially impaired resistance to toxic and oxidative stressors in all tissues and as a result, Nrf2−/− mice are more susceptible to chronic diseases [10]. Pharmacologic and genetic (negative regulator knockout) approaches targeting Nrf2 activation effectively ameliorate the damage from oxidative stressors and restore resistance to chronic disease [11]. Increased pathology in the presence of disrupted Nrf2 signaling, and subsequent reversal in the presence of Nrf2 activators have been reviewed elsewhere [12].

Increasing evidence indicates that the Nrf2 pathway plays a key role in how oxidative stress mediates the beneficial effects of exercise. Episodic increases in oxidative stress induced through bouts of acute exercise stimulate Nrf2 activation and when applied repeatedly, as with regular exercise, this may lead to upregulation of endogenous antioxidant defenses and overall greater ability to counteract the damaging effects of oxidation to nucleic acids, proteins, and lipids.

The primary aim of this review is to summarize the current literature on the effects of acute exercise and regular exercise or exercise interventions on Nrf2 activity and downstream targets of Nrf2 signaling. We also examine the evidence for or against using antioxidant supplementation and phytonutrient Nrf2-activators in conjunction with exercise. Because the effect of an intervention can be more readily seen when the target is impaired, we will highlight studies on aging throughout as a non-disease model of impaired cell signaling, which often manifest coordinately with age related pathologies [13–15]. We begin with a brief overview of Nrf2 regulation.

2. Nrf2: the master regulator of cellular defense

The transcription factor Nrf2, (encoded in humans by the NFE2L2 gene) is the master regulator of antioxidant defenses, regulating more
than 200 cytoprotective genes in response to oxidative stress [16]. Nrf2 is a member of the basic leucine zipper (bZIP) family of transcription factors that is repressed through binding to the homodimeric protein Kelch-like erythroid cell-derived protein with CNC homology associated protein 1 (Keap1) in the cytosol under unstressed conditions [17]. The interaction between Nrf2 and Keap1 is highly conserved across species, indicating its important regulatory role [18]. In this state, Keap1 functions as an adapter for Cul3/Rbx1-mediated degradation of Nrf2 by promoting ubiquitination and subsequent degradation of Nrf2 by the 26 s proteasome. The Keap1/Cul3/Rbx1 also exists in the nucleus as an additional negative regulator [19].

In response to an oxidative or electrophilic stimulus, cysteine residues are modified in a unique format, whereby structurally dissimilar inducers react with differing combinations of cysteine residues on Keap1 resulting in the same biological response – specifically the unhinging from Nrf2 and activation of the Nrf2-antioxidant response element (ARE) response, or by stabilization of the Keap1-Nrf2 complex slowing degradation at the proteasome [20–23]. Once unhinged from Keap1, Nrf2 is translocated into the nucleus where it has the capacity to heterodimerize with small MAF proteins and bind to Cis-acting AREs, effectively activating transcription of phase II detoxifying enzymes (see Fig. 1).

In terms of therapeutic potential, this cysteine code offers great interest as multiple compounds and stimuli act as potent Nrf2 inducers independent of each other [24–26]. For an example, exercise-induced ROS activation of Nrf2 likely occurs through oxidation of these cysteine residues. Similarly certain phytonutrients have been shown to activate Nrf2 and this process may occur through modifications of cysteine residues different from those targeted through exercise. If that is in fact the case, there may be potential for synergistic effects between exercise training and Nrf2 activating compounds. There are some recent data in support of this and those are presented in section 6 of this review [27,28]. For those interested in a more detailed background on mechanisms of Nrf2 activation we refer readers to an excellent recent review in this area [16].

It is worth noting that in certain conditions, such as cancer, Nrf2 activation and signaling may have detrimental effects. Under normal conditions Nrf2 signaling protects from cancer as seen with the effects of phytonutrients in cruciferous vegetables that stimulate Nrf2 activation [29–31]. However, in cancer cells Nrf2 activation may confer cellular stress resistance which can make the cells less susceptible to chemotherapeutic resistance [32,33]. Nrf2 has been shown to be upregulated in cancer tumors and using Nrf2 inhibitors during chemotherapy may be necessary to increase the efficacy of treatment [16,34].

3. Nrf2 signaling in response to acute exercise

Cell culture studies using C2C12 skeletal muscle cells provide evidence that Nrf2 is activated by ROS and this activation is suppressed when antioxidants, such as N-acetylcysteine, are applied to the culture medium [35,36]. Horie et al. [35] used an electrical pulse stimulation (EPS) to mimic acute exercise and demonstrated that Nrf2 expression was associated with both intensity and duration of the stimulus. In addition, EPS stimulated Nrf2-related antioxidant gene expression and the response was blunted when siRNA transfection was used to knock down Nrf2 in the cells [35]. Other studies have shown an increase in Nrf2 protein expression after treating myotubes or rat cardiomyocytes with H2O2 [36,37].

Translating these in vitro results to in vivo has primarily been done in animal models with one recent study in humans. These studies are listed in Table 1. It is worth noting that some of the earlier studies targeted a non-specific band for Nrf2 (57 kDa) in their immunoblots. Several groups have since identified the 90–110 kDa band as the biologically relevant Nrf2 target, despite the predicted molecular weight of Nrf2 being 57 kDa [38,39].

A single bout of acute exercise in wild-type mice has been shown to increase Nrf2 gene expression [36,40] as well as Nrf2 protein abundance in skeletal muscle [40] and Nrf2-dependent phase II enzymes [36,41]. Two additional studies that measured the effects of exercise on Nrf2 signaling in mouse myocardium are essentially in agreement with the data from skeletal muscle [42,43]. However, these studies used an “acute exercise stress” that was composed of 60-min treadmill exercise on two consecutive days. While clearly not long enough to be classified as exercise training, it is known that consecutive exercise bouts lead to cumulative responses of mRNA expression and may be necessary to observe measurable changes in protein abundance [44]. Nevertheless, Muthusamy et al. [42] provided one of the most comprehensive analyses of Nrf2-signaling in response to exercise and compared young wild-type (WT) and Nrf2−/− mice. Despite both groups experiencing

![Fig. 1. Nrf2 signaling. Nrf2 is activated by exercise-induced ROS or phytonutrient Nrf2 activators. Antioxidant supplementation inhibits the signaling of exercise-induced ROS and thereby downstream Nrf2 signaling.](image-url)
### Table 1

| Ref | Model of n, and tissue | Gender, age, and fitness | Experiment | Ex stimulus | Model, n, and tissue | Description of study | Ex outcome | Nrf2 target expression |
|-----|------------------------|--------------------------|------------|-------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------|------------------------|
| Mokshagundam et al. [41] | Male young (2-months) untrained | Exh mechanic exercise (wrist) | Exercise to exhaustion on treadmill; 24-h post-exercise | mRNA: GR, NQO1, HO1 in SFN and ES groups; ↔ | Male young (4-months) untrained | Acute exercise induced Nrf2 signaling. | mRNA: ↑ | Protein: NR |
| Done et al. [36] | Male young (8–10 weeks) untrained | | Endurance exercise on treadmill; 24 m/min, 7% grade. | mRNA: Young - NQO1, HO1, GST, GCLM, CAT, SOD2, GSR, G6PD, GPX1, GSHPD, GSTR xenobiotic metabolizing enzyme activity | Male young (8–10 weeks) untrained | | mRNA: ↑ | Protein: ↓ |
| Muthusamy et al. [42] | Male young (2-months) untrained | | | mRNA: Wild-type and Nrf2 KO mice were exercised for two consecutive days immediately following the second bout. | Male young (4-months) untrained | | mRNA: ↔ | Protein: NR |
| Gounder et al. [43] | Male young (23 ± 1 y) and older (63 ± 1 y) recreationally active | | | mRNA: Y and O males completed one exercise bout with blood draws pre- and five timepoints post exercise spanning a 24-h period. | Male young (4-months) untrained | | mRNA: ↑ | Protein: NR |
| Merry and Ristow [38] | Male young (15–30 weeks) untrained | | | mRNA: Peripheral blood mononuclear cells, NUC nuclear, WC whole-cell | Male young (4-months) untrained | | mRNA: ↑ | Protein: NR |

NR not reported or not measured, NUC nuclear, WC whole-cell, PBMC peripheral blood mononuclear cells, NUC nuclear, WC whole-cell.
Similar increases in ROS, the exercise bouts elicited significant increases in nuclear accumulation and Nrf2-ARE binding in the myocardi um of WT mice, while no change in Nrf2 activity was observed in Nrf2−/− mice. Gene expression of nearly all measured phase II enzymes was significantly increased in the WT; the only exception being heme oxygenase-1 (HO-1). Changes in protein expression of phase II antioxidants were less consistent, with changes only observed in HO-1, glutamate-cysteine ligand modifier (GCLM), and glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD).

Data on exercise-induced Nrf2 signaling in humans are still very limited but a recent study showed for the first time that acute exercise increased Nrf2 protein abundance at the whole-cell level measured in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) in young and older men [45]. Furthermore, nuclear accumulation of Nrf2 was only observed in the young group, demonstrating that aging is associated with impairment in the nuclear import of Nrf2 (see Fig. 2). Not surprisingly, the exercise bout stimulated increases in gene expression of HO-1 and NAD(P)H quinone dehydrogenase 1 (NQO1) in the young but not the older men [45]. Age-related decreases in cytosolic and nuclear Nrf2 as well as Nrf2/ARE binding capacity were previously shown in mouse myocardi um [43] and rat liver [46] with concomitant attenuation of Nrf2-dependent antioxidant gene expression in response to acute exercise [43].

Two additional human studies, although not directly investigating Nrf2 signaling, are relevant because they included measures of Nrf2 mRNA in response to acute exercise. Gene expression of Nrf2 and target SOD2 were shown to increase significantly in skeletal muscle of young fit males following an acute bout of cycling exercise lasting 90-min in normoxic recovery conditions [47]. Interestingly, these responses were not seen when recovery was performed under hypoxic conditions [47]. Similarly Nrf2 mRNA was significantly increased at 2-h post 30-min of moderate treadmill exercise in middle-aged women who were regular exercisers; however, sedentary women showed no change in gene expression for Nrf2 or targets in response to the exercise bout [48], suggesting that fitness may play a role in maintaining the acute Nrf2 response with aging.

Similar to the cell culture studies, some of the animal studies have demonstrated that the increases in Nrf2 signaling are dependent on the duration of exercise. Treadmill exercise lasting less than one hour elicited no change in Nrf2 mRNA, or protein expression [40,49,50]. As duration was increased to 90-min or more, Nrf2 activation became apparent in both skeletal muscle tissue and in brain homogenate with significant increases in Nrf2 protein expression in skeletal muscle and gene expression increasing in both tissues [40,49]. In an extreme example of endurance exercise, Li and colleagues [50] demonstrated no difference in Nrf2 activity in mice running for 1-h on the treadmill, but robust increases in Nrf2 nuclear accumulation and Nrf2-ARE binding following a 6-h session on the treadmill. In addition, gene expression of several phase II enzymes was significantly increased over non-exercising controls and the 1-h group [50]. In contrast to these results, other studies have reported increases in Nrf2 protein abundance after 1-h of treadmill running [36] and 30-min of moderate intensity cycling [45]. The differences observed between these studies are likely a result of differences in protocol intensities (summarized in Table 1).

None of the existing studies have investigated the effects of exercise intensity on the Nrf2 signaling response. However, Wang et al. [40] found a positive correlation between exercise-induced mitochondrial H2O2 content and Nrf2 gene expression and Nrf2 protein expression (measured in response to exercise of different duration). However, under the assumption that higher intensity induces greater oxidative stress; these data along with the results from the electrical pulse stimulation in myotubes suggest that intensity may affect Nrf2 signaling. There may be an upper limit though to the stimulatory effects Nrf2 signal-

Fig. 2. Exercise induces increased Nrf2 at the whole-cell level, but nuclear accumulation is attenuated in older adults. Nrf2 response to exercise in the whole cell [A] and nuclear fraction [B] of PBMCs. Values are means ± SEM. Representative blots of changes in Nrf2 and loading control in young (Y) and older (O) are provided above the corresponding graphs. There was a significant main effect of time in fold change of whole-cell Nrf2 (P=0.003). Nuclear accumulation only increased significantly in the young, but not the older group (main effect of age, P=0.031). Reprinted from [45] with permission from Elsevier.
Table 2

| Ex program | Description of study | Model, n, and tissue | Ex program | Description of study | Model, n, and tissue |
|------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| NUC: ↑NUC: ↑ | | | NUC: ↑NUC: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| Male young (4 weeks) untrained | | | Male young (8 weeks) untrained | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| Male young (3 months) and old ( > 23 months) untrained | | | Male young (13 weeks at start) untrained | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| Male LL (2 months) vs old ( > 23 months) untrained | | | Male young (6 weeks) untrained | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| Male age NR untrained | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
| mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | | mRNA: NR Protein: ↑ | | |
important in stimulating Phase II antioxidant expression as the frequency of import/export of Nrf2 into and out of the nucleus. In their model, the rate and amplitude of Nrf2 cycling determined the intensity of downstream signaling – and while it is difficult to translate these in vitro data, the concept is intriguing. Mode, intensity, and duration of exercise could each impact the rate and amplitude of Nrf2 cycling in vivo. For example, maximal bouts of exercise even as short as 30–seconds in duration induce systemic changes in redox balance; and as intensity and duration increase, the magnitude of the shift in redox balance also increases [40,50,53]. Thus, delivering repeated shifts in redox balance through high-intensity interval training may differentially affect the frequency of import/export of Nrf2 as compared to traditional aerobic exercise. Determining the optimal “dose” or delivery of exercise for Nrf2 activation offers great opportunity for future research.

### 4. Nrf2 signaling in response to regular exercise

The effect of regular exercise training on the Nrf2 response has been studied more extensively than acute exercise. A comparison of these studies is outlined in Table 2. Regardless of duration (4–24 weeks) or training regimen (traditional moderate intensity or high-intensity interval training), regular aerobic exercise in rodent models has consistently been shown to activate Nrf2 signaling across multiple tissues including skeletal muscle, kidney, brain, liver, testes, prostate, and myocardium [27,42,43,54–63].

Taken together, the data from these animal studies demonstrate that regular exercise upregulates Nrf2 protein abundance and phase II enzyme amounts and/or enzyme activity. To date there have been no exercise intervention studies conducted on Nrf2 signaling in humans. However, a cross-sectional study comparing Nrf2 and Keap1 protein content from a single muscle biopsy in sedentary and active older adults showed that the physically active individuals had significantly greater Nrf2 protein content and higher Nrf2-to-Keap1 ratio [64], suggesting that regular exercise may attenuate age-related changes in Nrf2 signaling. The question still remains whether an exercise intervention can restore redox balance in individuals who already have impairment in Nrf2 signaling. Exercise intervention data from older animals support that there is a potential of restoring Nrf2 signaling in older age [43]. Another interesting question is whether it matters when an individual starts exercising during their life time. Two recent studies, although not measuring Nrf2 signaling, demonstrated that lifelong training in older individuals was associated with compensatory adaptations to the age-related changes of a more oxidized redox balance in skeletal muscle [65,66]. This question was more directly investigated by Zhao et al. [62] in a mouse model of accelerated senescence (SAMP8). They had 3 groups of animals that were subjected to swimming exercise, either lifelong or starting early versus late in life span. These groups were also compared to a non-exercising control group. Overall, the late group still had some benefits over the sedentary group supporting the old adage that it is never too late to start exercising. However, the lifelong and the early group showed significantly greater benefits over the late group suggesting that starting earlier provides greater protection against age-related deficits in exercise-induced signaling. Importantly, regular exercise has been shown to increase the resilience to subsequent insults. For an example, rats that had either undergone treadmill training or remained sedentary, received an intracerebral infusion of a drug to destroy dopamine receptors to mimic Parkinson’s disease [67]. The exercise training protected the rats from neurodegeneration, oxidative stress, and lowering of Nrf2 in response to the drug. Furthermore, the protective effects of exercise were not seen when Nrf2 was blocked, supporting the role of Nrf2 in the exercise-mediated neuroprotection [67].

To our knowledge there have not been any studies conducted to date investigating Nrf2 signaling in response to traditional resistance training. Gomes et al. [68] used a jump protocol with weighted vests as

| Nrf2 | Target expression | Nrf2 | Target expression |
|------|-------------------|------|-------------------|
|      |                    |      |                    |

Table 2 (continued)
a form of resistance training in Sprague-Dawley rats. No changes in Nrf2 expression were observed in young animals, while older animals exposed to the same training regimen showed a decrease in Nrf2 [68]. Baseline levels of Nrf2 expression were significantly higher in the aged animals than in the young, which may explain in part the observed decrease in Nrf2 in response to exercise training. It is possible that older animals upregulated Nrf2 to counteract the increasingly pro-oxidant environment. Exercise training could then improve the Nrf2-inducible response, resulting in lower baseline values. Measuring the response to acute exercise before and after the exercise intervention may be needed to elucidate these observed differences in Nrf2 expression. A similar scenario has been observed in humans who were subjected to bed rest, with or without concomitant resistance training [69]. Bed rest controls (no exercise) displayed elevated levels of Nrf2 mRNA in skeletal muscle; however, bed rest coupled with resistance training elicited no change in Nrf2 expression when compared to pre-bed rest levels, suggesting maintenance of the redox balance with resistance exercise. Both of these studies did not focus on Nrf2 signaling per se, and only included measures of changes in Nrf2 gene expression. Further studies are needed to elucidate whether resistance training can induce Nrf2 signaling.

5. Effects of antioxidant supplementation on Nrf2 signaling

While the evidence clearly support exercise as a viable method of inducing endogenous antioxidant defenses through activation of Nrf2, there is always interest in whether the same can be achieved through exogenous supplementation or pharmaceuticals. Given the premise of the role of oxidative stress in aging, exogenous antioxidant supplementation should slow aging, or at minimum slow the rate of ROS derived cellular damage and age-related pathology by reducing free radicals prior to contact with cellular components and promoting a more balanced cellular redox environment. This assumption has led to a tremendous number of studies looking at the relationship between exogenous supplementation and successful aging and/or lifespan extension. In fact, a PubMed search of “antioxidants and aging” yields over 13,000 returns. Despite the overwhelming body of literature, no consensus has been reached regarding any benefit of antioxidant supplementation. Many studies have shown no impact, and some studies have even shown negative impacts of antioxidant supplements [70]. Currently over 40% of the adult population in the United States consumes vitamin supplements equivalent at minimum to a daily multi-vitamin, generating a $32 billion per year industry in the US alone [71]. Two of the most common vitamins studied are Vitamins C and E.

Vitamin C (ascorbic acid/ascorbate) is a potent hydrophilic anti-oxidant capable of directly neutralizing ROS although at a very slow rate constant [72]. Vitamin E (α-tocopherol) is the primary hydrophobic antioxidant in cell membranes and a powerful inhibitor of lipid peroxidation in vivo. Because of its hydrophobic nature it is stored in cell membranes where it can scavenge peroxyl radicals much faster than they can react with the membrane itself. In addition, α-tocopherol can directly scavenge ROS in the mitochondrial membrane. Given its antioxidant properties, Vitamin E has been touted for its atherosclero-sis preventing potential by inhibition of oxidative modification to circulating lipoproteins [73]. Based on these reactions Vitamins C and E should yield increased cell survival, however, a recent meta-analysis demonstrated that supplementation with antioxidant vitamins had no effect, and may even increase risk for morbidity [70]. The interaction between exercise and antioxidant vitamin supplementation further complicates the picture [74,75]. Exogenous antioxidants may attenuate signal transduction by reducing ROS prior to the initiation of cell-signaling (see Fig. 1).

As a result, many of the beneficial roles of ROS are disrupted, which is in direct contrast to the controlled reduction of ROS by endogenous antioxidant defenses mediated through Nrf2. In a double-blind, placebo controlled Vitamin C and E supplementation study, Morrison et al. [76] clearly demonstrated no benefit of supplementation for reducing oxidative stress as measured by F2-isoprostanes in response to an acute exercise challenge. Additionally, they demonstrated attenuated SOD1 and SOD2 protein expression following a 4-week exercise intervention in supplemented adults, while the placebo group showed significant increases in these proteins. The maladaptive response to exercise with concurrent supplementation has also been shown at the functional level, with supplementation attenuating improvements in time to exhaustion tests, blood pressure control, and glucose tolerance [74,75]. At the muscle level, antioxidant supplementation represses several markers of mitochondrial adaptations to endurance training; although it is unclear whether supplementation dampens endurance exercise performance in humans [77].

6. Are there synergistic effects of exercise and phytounutrient Nrf2 activators?

A thorough discussion of the effects of phytounutrients or phytochemicals on Nrf2 signaling is beyond the scope of this review (see the following references for review: [78,79]). Rather we will focus on recent work that has demonstrated the potential synergistic effect of combining exercise with known Nrf2 activators to further improve redox balance. Pala et al. [28] demonstrated a synergistic effect between Coenzyme Q10 (CoQ10) supplementation and exercise training in male Wistar rats. Independent of each other, exercise and CoQ10 supplementation significantly increased Nrf2 expression in heart, liver, and skeletal muscle, over sedentary controls. The combined effect of supplementation and exercise was significantly greater in all tissues for Nrf2 expression with similar patterns observed for inducible HO-1. Sprague-Dawley rats supplemented with a known Nrf2 activator, Cordyceps sinensis [80], during a 15-day swimming program increased Nrf2 protein expression and phase II enzyme expression to levels significantly higher than those measured with exercise alone [27]. This area warrants further research. Fig. 3 shows data adapted from earlier studies demonstrating how the effects of exercise are inhibited by concomitant supplementation of antioxidants and enhanced by concomitant use of phytounutrient Nrf2 activator.

Another possible mechanism of activating Nrf2 and the phase II response is through caloric restriction [81–83]. To our knowledge there are no published randomized controlled studies on the effects of combining caloric restriction and exercise on Nrf2 and downstream signaling.

7. Conclusion and future directions

In conclusion, the data are convincing that exercise exerts many of its benefits through redox activation of Nrf2 signaling. The evidence of Nrf2 activation across variety of tissues including brain, kidney, and testes may be an important mechanism of how exercise results its well-known systemic effects that are not just limited to skeletal muscle and myocardium. Additionally there are emerging data that these results do in fact translate to humans. Clearly more studies are needed, in particular randomized controlled trials of exercise intervention studies in humans. Both the animal studies and the human studies have been almost exclusively limited to male subjects. Results need to be extended to females and possible gender differences need to be elucidated.

The use of Nrf2 knockout mice has been extremely valuable in enhancing our understanding of the important role of this transcription factor in health and disease. Nrf2−/− exhibit phenotypes that are remarkably similar to aged mice suggesting disruption of Nrf2-Keap1 signaling as a contributor to biological aging [84] making Nrf2 a promising target especially for treating biological aging in the pursuit of prevention of age-related diseases.

One caveat of the animal studies is that there are often only two time points available (pre/post acute exercise or pre/post exercise...
intervention). Many of the Nrf2-regulated genes as well as proteins may have different time course of response so that multiple time points may be needed to capture the overall change. These need to be further clarified in future studies.
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