Effects of biopsychosocial factors on the association between loneliness and mental health risks during the COVID-19 lockdown
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Abstract
Previous data suggest that loneliness is a hallmark of the mental health issues triggered by the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic. The purpose of the present study was to examine the impact of loneliness on mental health and behavioural outcomes, as well as the moderating and mediating effects of biopsychosocial variables on these relationships. The data were collected during France’s first national COVID-19 lockdown and included 556 participants (M = 30.06 years, range = 18–87) who completed well-known validated measures assessing symptom-levels of anxiety, depression, and insomnia. They also indicated their level of worry about the COVID-19 crisis, and provided sociodemographic and health status data. Responses were submitted to hierarchical linear regression and mediation analyses. In terms of prevalence of loneliness, 18.9% of participants reported severe loneliness. High levels of loneliness were significantly associated with the three mental health and behavioural outcomes. The loneliness-anxiety relationship was moderated by employment and working arrangements. The loneliness-insomnia relationship was moderated by living conditions, history of medical or psychological problems, and COVID-19-related worry. Further findings supported the indirect effects of COVID-related worry on pathways from loneliness to the three mental health and behavioural health outcomes. The mediation models accounted for 28.9%, 33.7%, and 15.0% of the variance in anxiety, depression, and insomnia. The present evidence-based findings revealed that loneliness and worry were major contributing factors of mental health and behavioural concerns during the COVID-19 lockdown. They could inform treatment recommendations for tackling prolonged-self isolation and loneliness in specific vulnerable groups.
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Introduction

On 24 January 2020, the first official COVID-19 cases were announced in France. By January 2021, France had become the third most affected European country in terms of COVID-19 cases and deaths. In response to successive outbreaks, the French Government has imposed strict public health measures, including a total national lockdown during the first outbreak, when universities, and nonessential businesses had to close, and sports, religious and cultural events were cancelled. In addition to public health measures, social distancing has become the main policy in terms of public behaviour. Research has shown that even though public health measures like these are necessary to curb the rapid worldwide spread of the virus, they have affected the psychological wellbeing and mental health of large portions of the world’s populations (Brooks et al., 2020). Meta-analytic findings have revealed high prevalence rates of severe mental health conditions, including posttraumatic stress disorder, anxiety, depressive symptoms, and sleep problems among COVID-19 survivors, healthcare workers, and the general public (Cénat et al., 2021; Marvaldi et al., 2021). The unknowns and uncertainties surrounding the timeline of the pandemic are additional sources of overwhelming stress and worry (Paluszek et al., 2021; Peng et al., 2021). Furthermore, the immediate COVID-19-induced stress during the first outbreak was found to predict subsequent development of mental health and behavioural risks (Megalakaki et al., 2021).
Loneliness and Mental Health Issues COVID-19 Pandemic

Researchers have argued that the subjective loneliness resulting from social distancing and stay-at-home working-from-home arrangements is a hallmark of the mental health problems caused by the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic (Jeste et al., 2020; Saltzman et al., 2020). Although some studies have reported stable levels of loneliness (Bu et al., 2020a; Luchetti et al., 2020), most available data show statistically significant increases in loneliness levels during national lockdowns and compared with pre-pandemic levels (Killgore et al., 2020a, 2020b, 2020c; McGinty et al., 2020).

Over the years, associations between loneliness and physical and mental health problems, as well as impaired cognitive functioning and mortality risks, have been extensively documented (Beutel et al., 2017; Hawkley & Cacioppo, 2010; Holt-Lunstad et al., 2015; Lara et al., 2020; Leigh-Hunt et al., 2017; Lim et al., 2020; Solmi et al., 2020). With a few exceptions, studies of individuals across the lifespan have shown that loneliness is particularly prevalent among females, younger and elderly people (U-shaped curve), the jobless, single people, and people with mental and chronic physical health conditions (Hawkley & Cacioppo, 2010; Solmi et al., 2020).

In the context of the COVID-19 crisis, research indicates that greater loneliness is positively associated with greater anxiety and depressive symptoms (Killgore et al., 2020a; Okruszek et al., 2020; Palgi et al., 2020; Tso & Park, 2020), suicidal ideation (Killgore et al., 2020a), sleep problems (Grossman et al., 2021; Kokou-Kpolou et al., 2020a), and signs of psychosis risk (Tso & Park, 2020). In adult samples in the United Kingdom, two studies (Bu et al., 2020b; Groarke et al., 2020) found high loneliness levels in young people, people on lower incomes, the economically inactive, and people with mental health conditions. Furthermore, Groarke et al. (2020) reported that living with others or in a rural area, and having more close friends or greater social support were protective factors. Findings for sex-related differences are mixed (Bu et al., 2020b; Groarke et al., 2020; Wickens et al., 2021). In a German adult sample, Buecker et al. (2020) found that daily loneliness increased more strongly for parents than for people without children. However, these studies did not examine the interactions between loneliness and these group factors in relation to mental health problems. A study conducted in Israel by Grossman et al. (2021) reported that sleep problems are associated with the loneliness-worry interaction, with lonely individuals with higher levels of worry about the pandemic reporting severe sleep problems.

Worry about COVID-19 Crisis and Mental Health Problems

A number of studies have established that worry about COVID-19, including fear about the future and fear of contracting the virus, as well as economic and health concerns, is associated with poor mental health and behavioural problems (Kämpfen et al., 2020). Worry can be conceptualized as a negatively valenced perseverative cognition or repetitive thoughts focused on sources of potential threat and oriented toward the future (Borkovec et al., 1983). According to these authors, “The worry process represents an attempt to engage in mental problem-solving on an issue whose outcome is uncertain but contains the possibility of one or more negative outcomes” (Borkovec et al., 1983, p. 10).

As process that cuts across specific clinical syndromes (Kircanski et al., 2015), worry is often associated with health problems (Brosschot et al., 2006; Clancy et al., 2020). Recent research suggests that perseverative cognition (i.e., worry and rumination) moderates the stress-sleep relationship (Tousignant et al., 2019). A longitudinal study revealed that metacognitive beliefs and worry mediate the relationship between different forms of loneliness (i.e., in family, romantic and social relationships) and both anxiety and depressive symptoms (Anyan et al., 2020). Recent evidence also suggests that worry about the COVID crisis mediates the association between perceived vulnerability to COVID-19 and posttraumatic stress (Boyraz et al., 2020). Consistent with these emerging data, we hypothesized that in addition to its moderating effect, worry about the COVID-19 crisis mediates the pathways from loneliness to mental health and behavioural problems.

Overview of the Present Study and Research Questions

Taken together, the above-mentioned studies suggest that loneliness in the unfolding pandemic situation is associated with a variety of psychopathologies, but not necessarily in a uniform way across different sociodemographic groups. However, there is still a clear lack of empirical knowledge about the interactions between loneliness and these group factors in relation to mental health problems in the current COVID-19 literature, and closing this lacuna would allow the development of evidence-based health interventions for specific vulnerable groups. Researchers have made some recommendations for tackling prolonged self-isolation and loneliness during the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic, based on previous studies conducted in a nonepidemic context (Beam & Kim, 2020). However, it is still unclear just how relevant these recommendations are for vulnerable individuals with mental health concerns induced by the pandemic. In addition, emerging data suggest that loneliness has a differential impact on adverse health outcomes in different age groups, with changes in loneliness during the pandemic accounting for much of the increase in depressive symptoms - but not anxiety - among young adults (Bu et al., 2020b; Lee et al., 2020). Therefore, it is highly worthwhile using multiple mental health outcome assessments to gain an in-depth view of potential differences.
in the health consequences of loneliness across different sociodemographic groups during the pandemic.

To address these issues, we used data collected during the first nationwide lockdown in France to examine the associations between loneliness and three mental health and behavioural outcomes, namely anxiety, depressive symptoms, and insomnia. Of utmost interest, we investigated biopsychosocial factors that might moderate or mediate these associations. Based on previous studies (Bu et al., 2020a; Buecker et al., 2020; Groarke et al., 2020; Grossman et al., 2021; Hawkley et al., 2020), we considered 10 potential biopsychosocial factors: sex, age, place of residence, working arrangements, living conditions, marital status, presence of children, preexisting physical or mental health conditions, and COVID-related worry. Three primary research questions were addressed:

1. Is loneliness associated with anxiety, depressive symptoms, and insomnia?
2. Is there any evidence that the relationships between loneliness and the three mental health and behavioural outcomes are moderated by the selected biopsychosocial factors?
3. Is there any evidence that these relationships are mediated by worry about the COVID-19 crisis?

**Materials and Methods**

**Data Collection and Ethics**

To answer the primary research questions, this study used the cross-sectional and observational data which were collected in France between three and 16 May 2020. The details on the data source and methodology can be found in the previous article by Kokou-Kpolou et al. (2020b) which aimed at examining the prevalence and correlates of loneliness. To administer a survey through SurveyMonkey®, a secure online survey platform, the study used a nonprobability sampling strategy. Potential participants aged 18 years and above were invited to take part via social media, online advertising, community websites, and students’ online newsletters. The study protocol adhered to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki and its later revisions, and was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Psychotherapy and the Faculty of Psychology, Sigmund Freud University-Paris (LBP2CFYKAO1BEX87902). Accordingly, after being informed about the purpose and nature of the study, participants gave their electronic informed consent as a condition for taking part. They were also informed about their right to withdraw from the study at any time without any justification. They all participated on a voluntary basis and did not receive any financial compensation.

Given the unknown targeted population size and the convenience sampling method used, the minimum required sample was set at 384 participants (Cochran, 1977). However, following the recommendation by Cohen et al. (2014), we expected a minimum sample size of 403 for computing power for regression coefficients and detecting the mediated effects (Fritz & MacKinnon, 2007).

**Participants**

A total of 559 participants registered to take part, but three were excluded owing to spurious data (i.e., straight-line responses to closed measures). The final sample therefore comprised 556 adults (75.5% female; $M_{\text{age}} = 30.06$ years, $SD = 14.38$) and was considered adequate for the present analyses. The majority of participants (88.7%) had a university education. The sample was composed of 52% university students, 38.1% full- or part-time employees, and 9.9% unemployed or retired. Regarding marital status, 46.2% were single, 50.2% were married or in a couple, and 3.6% were divorced or widowed, while 78.1% had no children, and 21.9% had one or more children. Nearly 17% reported medical or psychological antecedents. The majority of the participants (80%) lived in an urban environment (town of more than 10,000 inhabitants). See Table 1 for detail of participants characteristics.

**Assessment Instruments**

**Outcome Measures**

**Anxiety and Depressive Symptoms** We used the Generalized Anxiety Disorder-7 (GAD-7; Spitzer et al., 2006) and the Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9; Kroeker & Spitzer, 2002) to measure anxiety and depressive symptoms experienced over the previous 2 weeks. In both measures, each item was rated on a 4-point Likert scale ranging from 0 (Not at all) to 3 (Almost every day). Possible scores ranged from 0 to 21 for GAD-7, and 0 to 27 for PHQ-9, with higher scores indicating more severe anxiety or depressive symptoms. In the present study, GAD-7 and PHQ-9 both had good internal reliability ($\alpha = 0.81$ and $\alpha = 0.85$).

**Insomnia:** We used the Insomnia Severity Index (ISI) a 7-item self-report questionnaire, to assess seven aspects of insomnia (Morin et al., 2011). These included sleep onset, sleep maintenance, early morning awakening, interference with daily functioning, perceived prominence of impairment attributed to the sleep problem, concerns about sleep problems, and satisfaction with sleep patterns. Perceived severity of each item was rated on a 0–4 scale. The seven ratings were summed to obtain a total score ranging from 0 to 28. Previous studies had reported good psychometric properties for both the English- and French-language versions (Bastien, 2001; Blais et al., 1997).
Loneliness was assessed using the UCLA 3-Item Loneliness Scale (Hughes et al., 2004). This scale measures three different aspects of loneliness: social connectedness, relational connectedness, and self-perceived connectedness. Each item is rated on a 3-point scale: 1 (Hardly ever), 2 (Some of the time), and 3 (Often). Higher summed scores indicate greater degrees of loneliness. The cut-off point for loneliness was 6, in line with previous studies. The scale exhibited acceptable internal reliability in our sample ($\alpha = 0.71$).

COVID-19 Related Worry This was assessed with a single item, rated on a scale ranging from 1 (Not at all) to 5 (Extremely). Participants were asked to report their level of concern about the COVID-19 crisis.

### Table 1 Characteristics of the study sample

| Sample (N=556) |     |   |
|----------------|-----|---|
|                | n   | % |
| Age. M(SD)     | 30.06 (14.38) |   |
| Gender         |     |   |
| Male           | 136 | 24.5 |
| Female         | 420 | 75.5 |
| Marital status |     |   |
| Single         | 257 | 46.2 |
| In relationship| 108 | 19.4 |
| Married        | 171 | 30.8 |
| Separated/divorced/widowed | 20 | 3.6 |
| Working arrangements |     |   |
| Work at service| 73  | 13.1 |
| Work from home | 289 | 52.0 |
| At service and from home | 111 | 20.0 |
| Loss of job    | 17  | 3.1 |
| Number of children |     |   |
| 0              | 434 | 78.1 |
| 1 and more     | 122 | 21.9 |
| Living conditions during Covid-19 |     |   |
| Alone          | 103 | 18.5 |
| With family or other relatives | 453 | 81.5 |
| Residence area |     |   |
| Capital        | 99  | 17.8 |
| Big town       | 255 | 45.9 |
| Small town     | 91  | 16.4 |
| Rural area     | 111 | 20.0 |
| Pre-existing mental/health problems |     |   |
| No             | 463 | 83.3 |
| Yes            | 93  | 16.7 |

### Data Analysis

Data were analysed using Mplus v. 8 (Muthen & Muthen, 2017). Preliminary analyses consisted in checking whether the timing of our data collection influenced the assessment of our primary variables (i.e., loneliness, anxiety, depressive symptoms, and insomnia). The first national lockdown in France lasted from 17 March to 11 May 2020. We therefore verified whether participants who responded to the survey before the end of lockdown was announced ($n = 462$) differed from those who responded after the announcement ($n = 94$) on any primary variables. As no significant differences were observed ($p \geq 0.22$), we pooled the data of these two subgroups.

Following this step, we carried out descriptive statistics (frequency, mean, standard deviation) to summarize the data. The assumption of normal distribution of the data was also verified via skewness and kurtosis tests. No violations were observed, as all skewness values ranged between 0.58 and 1.27, and all and kurtosis values ranged between $-0.27$ and $0.96$. Next, Pearson product-moment correlations were calculated out to verify the associations (and the directionality of these associations) of the main continuous variables. We then ran a series of moderation analyses through hierarchical linear regression models. Loneliness scores were entered in the model in the first step, followed by a multiplicative term representing the interaction between loneliness and each selected moderator in the second step, while controlling for other covariates in the third step. To reduce occurrence of multicollinearity among variables and aid in the interpretation of interactions, continuous variables were mean centered. The level of significance was set at .05; however, the marginally significant statistics for interactive effects were also considered (Kennedy-Shaffer, 2019).

Finally, we performed three mediation analyses considering loneliness as a predictor variable, anxiety, depression and insomnia as outcome variables, respectively, and COVID-19 related worry as a potential mediator. The indirect effects were examined using bootstrapping methods, as recommended by Preacher and Hayes (2008). We used 5000 bootstrap resamples from the original dataset ($N = 556$) to calculate the bias-corrected and accelerated 95% confidence interval (95% BCa CI). If the CI of the outcome of the mediation effect did not contain zero, then the mediation effect would be significant at the .05 level.

### Predictors and Moderators

**Loneliness** Loneliness was assessed using the UCLA 3-Item Loneliness Scale (Hughes et al., 2004). This scale measures three different aspects of loneliness: social connectedness, relational connectedness, and self-perceived connectedness. Each item is rated on a 3-point scale: 1 (Hardly ever), 2 (Some of the time), and 3 (Often). Higher summed scores indicate greater degrees of loneliness. The cut-off point for loneliness was 6, in line with previous studies. The scale exhibited acceptable internal reliability in our sample ($\alpha = 0.71$).

**COVID-19 Related Worry** This was assessed with a single item, rated on a scale ranging from 1 (Not at all) to 5 (Extremely). Participants were asked to report their level of concern about the COVID-19 crisis.
Results

Descriptive and Correlational Analyses

In our sample, the mean score on the UCLA Loneliness scale was 4.31 (SD = 1.60), and 18.9% (95% CI [15.7, 22.4]) of participants reported severe loneliness during the first COVID-19 lockdown in France. As can be seen in Table 2, Pearson product moment correlations showed that higher levels of loneliness correlated significantly with higher levels of COVID-19-related worry (r = .18), greater anxiety (r = .42), more depressive symptoms (r = .46), and greater insomnia (r = .27), all ps < .001.

Tests for Moderation Models

In all the hierarchical linear regression models, after controlling for covariates, high loneliness scores were still significantly associated with anxiety and depressive symptoms. See Supplementary Material for Tables (1–4). High loneliness scores were also significantly associated with insomnia, except for models where we entered the multiplicative terms Loneliness * Place of residence and Loneliness * Marital status. In total, we found four significant interactions: one between loneliness and anxiety, and three between loneliness and insomnia. First, working arrangements were found to moderate the relationship between loneliness and anxiety (ß = 1.75, SE = 0.57, p < .001). As depicted in Fig. 1, when we plotted this interaction, we found that while higher levels of loneliness were associated with greater anxiety, this association was stronger among individuals who had lost their job because of the pandemic. Of note, the Loneliness * Working arrangement interaction almost significantly predicted insomnia symptoms, such that feelings of loneliness among individuals who worked from home (ß = −0.87, SE = 0.50, p = .08), followed by those who still went out to work (ß = −0.71, SE = 0.38, p = .06), tended to be associated with greater insomnia. Second, living conditions moderated the loneliness - insomnia relationship (ß = −1.02, SE = 0.37, p < .001). Visual inspection of Fig. 2 showed that participants with higher loneliness scores and who lived without family or other close relatives reported more severe insomnia. Third, the association between loneliness and insomnia varied according to health antecedents (ß = −0.80, SE = 0.40, p < .01). As can be seen in Fig. 3, individuals with preexisting health problems who experienced greater feelings of loneliness also had an increased likelihood of reporting insomnia. Fourthly, loneliness and COVID-19-related worry interacted with each other in predicting insomnia (ß = 0.30, SE = 0.13, p < .05). More specifically, the loneliness - insomnia relationship was stronger among individuals with higher levels of COVID-19-related worry than those who reported lower levels of COVID-19-related worry (see Fig. 4).

Tests for Mediation Models

Figures 5, 6, and 7 summarize the results of the bootstrapped regression and mediation models for the effects of loneliness on anxiety, depressive symptoms, and insomnia through COVID-19-related worry, after controlling for all covariates. All three models were significant, and accounted for 28.9, 33.7, and 15.0% of the variance in anxiety, depressive symptoms, and insomnia. Loneliness was significantly associated with COVID-19 related worry (ß = 0.09, SE = .03, p < .001), and had direct effects on anxiety (ß = 1.20, SE = .05, p < .001), depressive symptoms (ß = 1.37, SE = .13, p < .001), and insomnia (ß = 0.88, SE = .10, p < .001). The 95% BCa CI for the mediated effects of COVID-19-related worry for all models did not contain 0, suggesting that COVID-19 related worry was a significant mediator in the relationship between loneliness and the three mental health and behavioural outcomes. Moreover, for all the mediation paths we tested, results revealed that the direct effects of COVID-19 related worry on the three outcomes remained significant, suggesting partial mediation.

Discussion

Main Findings of the Present Study

The overarching aim of this study was to examine the impact of loneliness on anxiety, depressive symptoms and insomnia, and to explore whether some selected biopsychosocial

Table 2 Means, standard deviations (SD), range, and Pearson product moment correlations between the primary variables

|                | Mean | SD  | Range | 1.    | 2.    | 3.    | 4.    | 5.    |
|----------------|------|-----|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 1. UCLA scores| 4.31 | 1.60| [2–9]  | 1.00  |       |       |       |       |
| 2. Covid-19-related worry | 3.18 | 1.08| [1–5]  | .183**| 1.00  |       |       |       |
| 3. GAD-7 scores | 7.16 | 5.25| [0–27] | .274**| .184**| 1.00  |       |       |
| 4. PHG-9 scores | 9.02 | 5.66| [0–28] | .458**| .191**| .653**| 1.00  |       |
| 5. ISI scores | 9.02 | 5.66| [0–28] | .274**| .184**| .940**| .653**| 1.00  |

**All correlation coefficients are significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed)
variables moderated or mediated these relationships. Taken together, findings indicated that greater loneliness was associated with greater anxiety, depressive symptoms, and insomnia in our sample during the first COVID-19 lockdown in France. In almost all the hierarchical linear regression models, these relationships remained statistically significant after accounting for covariates. This indicates that some sociodemographic factors, preexisting health status and COVID-19-related worry may contribute to anxiety, depressive symptoms, and insomnia. Across all regression models, employment and working arrangements modified the relationship between loneliness and anxiety. Furthermore, living conditions, history of medical or psychological conditions, and COVID-19-related worry moderated the relationship.
Comparison with Other Studies and Explanation of Findings

The weighted prevalence of severe loneliness was nearly 19% in our sample, which is similar to that reported in American (McGinty et al., 2020; Rosenberg et al., 2021) and Dutch (van der Velden et al., 2020, 2021) adult samples, but...
approximately two to three times lower than that reported in British (Groarke et al., 2020; Li & Wang, 2020) and Japanese (Stickley et al., 2020) adult samples. There are two possible explanations for these differences. First, there are cross-regional and cultural variations in loneliness and social isolation, as reported by D’Hombres et al. (2018), based on Joint Research Centre reports across European countries. Second, there are methodological issues, in that some studies used a single item to measure loneliness (e.g., Li & Wang, 2020). Nevertheless, our finding demonstrates the robustness of loneliness as a predictor of poor mental health and behavioural problems, including anxiety, depressive symptoms, and insomnia. This is consistent with previous reports of a causal relationship between loneliness and physical and mental health problems (Beutel et al., 2017; Hawkley & Cacioppo, 2010; Lara et al., 2020; Leigh-Hunt et al., 2017; Holt-Lunstad et al., 2015; Lim et al., 2020; Solmi et al., 2020). Our results also reinforce a growing body of research showing a significant association between loneliness during the pandemic and greater anxiety and depressive symptoms (Killgore et al., 2020a; Okruszek et al., 2020; Palgi et al., 2020; Tso & Park, 2020) and sleep problems (Grossman et al., 2021; Kokou-Kpolou et al., 2020a).

Furthermore, although a number of studies (Bu et al., 2020b; Buecker et al., 2020; Groarke et al., 2020; Hawkley et al., 2020; Solmi et al., 2020; Wickens et al., 2021) have found that high levels of loneliness during the ongoing pandemic vary according to sex, age, marital status, place of residence, and parenthood, we did not find that these variations predicted the severity of mental health and behavioural symptoms. Nevertheless, our findings showed that employment and working arrangements had a moderating effect on the relationship between loneliness and anxiety. Lonely feelings among individuals who had lost their job because of the pandemic were predictive of a severe risk of anxiety. In addition, individuals working from home who felt lonely tended to report more sleep problems. These results reflect the socioeconomic consequences of the pandemic on psychosocial wellbeing. The COVID-19 crisis has affected many business sectors, and tens of millions of individuals have lost or at risk to lose their jobs across the world. These socioeconomic consequences are found to translate in different forms of psychological distress including intolerance of uncertainty, anxiety, and social identity disturbance (Godinic et al., 2019).

Our findings also showed that preexisting health problems amplified the relationship between loneliness and insomnia. A large number of studies on COVID-19 have shown, albeit separately, that people with preexisting health problems report greater prevalence of loneliness (Bu et al., 2020b; Groarke et al., 2020), and experience poor mental health and behavioural problems (for reviews, see Wang et al., 2020; Xiong et al., 2020). Our findings reinforce these data and shed light on how the interaction between loneliness and preexisting physical or mental conditions exacerbates the risk of insomnia. It is somewhat surprising that this interaction was not associated with a higher likelihood of current anxiety and depressive symptoms. This may partially be explained by the shared mechanisms between previous medical and psychological conditions and current mental health status exacerbated by the COVID-19 crisis.

The current study expands the literature by suggesting that worrying about the COVID-19 crisis has both moderating and mediating effects on pathways from loneliness to anxiety, depressive symptoms, and insomnia. This pattern of findings is consistent with a study by Grossman et al. (2021), who found that sleep problems were associated with the loneliness – worry interaction during the pandemic. It also supports new studies of the mediating effects of worry on the relationships
between different forms of loneliness (Anyan et al., 2020), perceived vulnerability to COVID-19 (Boyraz et al., 2020), and psychological distress, including anxiety, depressive symptoms, and posttraumatic stress.

**Implications**

Regarding the practical implications of the present study, our findings support the urgent need to pay attention to individuals with pre-existing health conditions. The pandemic crisis with its consequent increase in fear, anxiety, etc., may have exacerbated their vulnerabilities and put them in heightened fragility (Megalakaki et al., 2021). More so, the overemphasis on the pandemic may have translated into less attention to other diseases thereby leaving these categories of persons with less access to health care. A particular attention should be also paid to those who have lost their job because of the pandemic. These individuals have a greater risk of becoming disconnected from the professional and social networks that previously protected them. They are vulnerable to concerns and negative repetitive thoughts about their future prospects, which may increase their anxiety. Even though governments in many Western countries have implemented programmes to assist those who have lost their job because of the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic, together with other socioeconomically disadvantaged people, these may prove inadequate over the long term, in terms of meeting their basic psychosocial needs (e.g., self-utility, self-accomplishment) (Cénat et al., 2020). Hence, these programmes should be accompanied by targeted mental health interventions. Attention should also be also paid to those who work from home, as abrupt transitions in work organization can lead to family and personal upheavals, which can affect sleep habits and homeostasis.

Furthermore, our results on the dual moderating and mediating effects of COVID-19-related worry indicate that interventions aimed at slowing down perseverative cognition linked to the COVID-19 crisis and reducing the negative valence of its content would help people feeling lonely to improve their mental health and wellbeing. Loneliness is a modifiable factor, such that feelings of loneliness to improve their mental health and behavioural outcomes. The negative valence of its content would help people feeling lonely to improve their mental health and wellbeing. Loneliness is a modifiable factor, such that feelings of loneliness can be generalized. First, the self-reported nature of the study may have some peculiar responder biases which were not controlled for. Second, the cross-sectional nature of the data, coupled with the nonprobability sampling strategy we used, prevented us from establishing causal relationships between variables. A third limitation is related to the use of a single item to assess COVID-19-related worry. This may have promoted under-reporting of feelings of loneliness, which may disproportionately affect certain groups.

**Conclusion, Recommendation, and Future Direction**

In sum, the present findings indicate that loneliness was a major mental health concern during the first COVID-19 lockdown in France. It was associated with three mental health and behavioural outcomes, namely anxiety, depressive symptoms, and insomnia. These health consequences of loneliness varied across sociodemographic groups. Employment and working arrangements, living conditions, preexisting medical or psychological problems, and COVID-19-related worry were found to moderate relationships between loneliness and the three mental and behavioural outcomes. Both moderating and mediating effects were observed for COVID-19-related worry. This robust evidence-based knowledge could inform treatment recommendations for tackling prolonged self-isolation and loneliness during the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic for specific vulnerable groups. Future investigations could be extended to other vulnerable groups such as individuals who lost their loved ones during the pandemic and may be of particular concern for increased feelings of loneliness (Kokou-Kpolou et al., 2020b). We encourage future research, if possible with longitudinal design, to use multiple-item scales in order to assess the multidimensional facets of loneliness (Anyan et al., 2020). Given that our mediation models suggested partial mediation, future research could build on the current study’s findings by investigating other cognitive vulnerability mechanisms that may account for stronger relationships between feelings of loneliness and mental health and behavioural outcomes. Furthermore, for more in-depth understanding of these mechanisms, quantitative methods may be complemented with qualitative methods such as online photovoice which is a contextually appropriate method for exploring biopsychosocial well-being and concerns in the context of disasters (e.g., COVID-19 pandemic) (Tanhan, 2020; Tanhan & Strack, 2020).
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