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Abstract

Individuals chronically infected with HIV-1 harbor complex viral populations within their bloodstream. Recently, it has come to light that when these people infect others, the new infection is typically established by only one or a small number of virions from within this complex viral swarm. An important goal is to characterize the biological properties of HIV-1 virions that seed and exist early in new human infections because these are potentially the only viruses against which a prophylactic HIV-1 vaccine would need to elicit protection. This includes understanding how the Envelope (Env) protein of these virions interacts with the T-cell receptor CD4, which supports attachment and entry of HIV-1 into target cells. We examined early HIV-1 isolates for their ability to infect cells via the CD4 receptor of 15 different primate species. Primates were the original source of HIV-1 and now serve as valuable animal models for studying HIV-1. We find that most primary isolates of HIV-1 from the blood, including early isolates, are highly selective and enter cells through some primate CD4 receptor orthologs but not others. This phenotype is remarkably consistent, regardless of route of transmission, viral subtype, or time of isolation post infection. We show that the weak CD4 binding affinity of blood-derived HIV-1 isolates is what makes them sensitive to the small sequence differences in CD4 from one primate species to the next. To substantiate this, we engineered an early HIV-1 Env to have high, medium, or low binding affinity to CD4, and we show that it loses the ability to enter cells via the CD4 receptor of many primate species as the binding affinity gets weaker. Based on the phenotype of selective use of primate CD4, we find that weak CD4 binding appears to be a nearly universal property of HIV-1 circulating in the bloodstream. Therefore, weak binding to CD4 must be a selected and important property in the biology of HIV-1 in the body. We identify six primate species that encode CD4 receptors that fully support the entry of early HIV-1 isolates despite their low binding affinity for CD4. These findings will help inform long-standing efforts to model HIV-1 transmission and early disease in primates.
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Introduction

Individuals chronically infected with HIV-1 harbor complex viral populations within their bloodstreams. Recently, it has come to light that when these people infect others, the new infection is typically established by only one or a small number of virions from within this complex viral swarm [1]. This appears to be true for transmission mediated by all modes, including sexual transmission, mother-to-child transmission, and intravenous infection via needle sharing [1–9]. The virus populations in newly infected individuals often start off as genetically homogenous and match a strong consensus sequence during the first days and weeks after transmission [3,9]. Only over time does viral diversity begin to bloom. The critical question is whether the virions that seed and establish new infections possess unique biological properties or whether transmission is simply so inefficient that it produces an extreme (but random) genetic bottleneck. Indeed, recent studies show that virions isolated from early in infection do have some unique biological properties, and this is still an active area of research [3,5,10–15]. If it continues to be substantiated that early isolates have unique properties, prophylactic vaccines will only need to overcome this special subset of HIV-1, curtailing the significant problem that global viral diversity presents to vaccine design. This simplification of the problem has fueled new hope for the long-elusive HIV-1 vaccine.

Primates have played a critical role in the history of HIV-1 [16]. HIV-1 originated from the zoonotic transmission of a simian immunodeficiency virus (SIV) to humans [17], and HIV-1 disease and transmission is now modeled in primates in the lab [18]. The natural reservoir of SIV in African primates is ancient, with over 40 primate species being endemically infected with unique SIVs [17,19]. It has been proposed that the long-term coevolutionary history between primates and SIVs may have affected the evolutionary trajectory of the CD4 gene [20,21], which encodes the main cell surface receptor for both HIV and SIV. The CD4 gene has experienced numerous rounds of natural selection in favor of new allelic versions, specifically favoring sequence change at the virus-binding surface of the molecule [20,21]. The most rapidly evolving residues in CD4 fall at the interaction interface with the HIV-1 surface protein Envelope (Env) (Fig 1A). One model to explain this evolutionary signature is that primate individuals harboring CD4 alleles resistant to SIV infection have a selectable advantage compared to their peers. While SIV can probably mutate to bypass most receptor blocks, there will not be significant pressure to do so until a substantial number of hosts harbor CD4 alleles resistant to SIV infection. In this way, evolutionary arms races can unfold even though hosts and viruses have starkly different evolutionary rates (for a review on this topic, see [27]). Indeed, we and others have observed that many host receptors have evolved under intense selective pressure to modify virus–host interaction interfaces [16,22–26]. Despite speculation regarding the significance of the unusual evolutionary signatures in CD4 [20,21], a comprehensive functional comparison of CD4 from different primate species has not been conducted since an appreciation has been gained for the special properties of early HIV-1 isolates.

Here, we evaluate the ability of primate CD4 proteins to function as receptors for various classes of HIV-1. We are particularly interested in primary HIV-1 isolates derived from the blood of newly infected people, in light of the new appreciation for the special properties that relate to transmission [29]. One primate genus (macaques; both rhesus and pig-tailed species) has previously been evaluated for the ability of its CD4 receptor to support entry of early HIV-1 isolates. Unfortunately, the macaque CD4 receptor does not support entry of the vast majority of early HIV-1 isolates, frustrating attempts to model transmission and early HIV-1 infection in these animals [30–32]. On the other hand, we found that most allelic forms of owl monkey CD4 do support entry of early HIV-1 isolates [33]. Beyond these species, the compatibility of early HIV-1 isolates with primate CD4 receptors has not been explored. We reasoned that HIV-1...
compatibility with primate CD4 receptors might be dynamic, given that CD4 is highly diverged in its protein sequence at the interaction interface with HIV-1 Env (Fig 1A).

Results

Primate CD4 receptors are functionally diverse in supporting HIV-1 entry

We began by cloning the CD4 genes of 15 primate species, plus human CD4. Using retroviral transduction, we stably introduced these genes into Cf2Th cells (dog thymocytes) that had also been engineered to express the human C-C motif chemokine receptor 5 (CCR5) coreceptor for HIV-1 entry (S1 Fig). Expression levels of these CD4 receptors on Cf2Th cells were similar to endogenous expression levels of CD4 seen on human immortalized T cells and on primate primary T cells that we isolated directly from blood (S1 Fig). Our goal was then to infect these cells with an early HIV-1 isolate. For the sake of this study, we refer to all viruses or Env clones that were isolated \(<150\) days post infection as “early” and those isolated \(>150\) days post infection as “chronic” isolates. This nomenclature is consistent with the Fiebig scale classification, for which Fiebig stages I–V (typically lasting until 70 to 150 days post infection) capture early infection stages and Fiebig stage VI indicates progression into chronic infection [34,35]. This is also consistent with other studies on transmitted or early HIV-1, which have used viruses
isolated from human blood weeks to months after infection [5,9,31,36,37]. The properties of all of the HIV-1 Env isolates used in this study are summarized in S1 Table.

The HIV-1 Envelope clone “BG505” has risen to prominence in the study of early HIV-1. The Overbaugh group amplified BG505 Env from an infant approximately 6 weeks after delivery and showed that this Env sequence was nearly identical to the two other Env sequences amplified from this baby at the same time point [9]. This indicated that the infection probably started from a single virion and that the captured Env sequence closely resembles the Env of this transmitted virion. A cocrystal of BG505 Env has been solved in complex with CD4 (Fig 1A) [28,38–40], and the alternate folding conformations of BG505 Env have been characterized in depth [41]. We prepared HIV-1 pseudotyped with the BG505 Env by cotransfecting 293T cells with two plasmids: one expressing the BG505 Env, and another expressing an HIV-1 green fluorescent protein (GFP) reporter virus genome (Q23ΔEnv-GFP, representing a blood-derived clade A isolate from 1 year after seroconversion [30,42]). Cell lines expressing human CCR5 and different primate CD4 receptors were then infected with this BG505 virus (similar infection results were obtained in cell lines expressing matched CD4 and CCR5 from each species; S2 Fig). Forty-eight hours post infection, cells were harvested and analyzed by flow cytometry. Samples were first gated for live cells and further gated such that all samples were narrowed to the same log decade of CD4 receptor expression (S1 Fig). GFP-positive (i.e., infected) cells were then enumerated within this population. We identified three categories of CD4 receptors that support HIV-1 entry to varying degrees (Fig 1B). The CD4 receptors of some species (shown with purple bars: white-cheeked gibbon, olive baboon, gelada, black snub-nosed monkey, colobus, and owl monkey) behave similarly to human CD4 when challenged with BG505 HIV-1. These species are not our closest relatives but rather are distributed throughout the primate phylogeny (Fig 1B). A second class of species (shown with gray bars: chimpanzee, gorilla, orangutan, and sooty mangabey) encodes CD4 receptors that support entry of this virus but at a level that is approximately 2-fold lower than human CD4. A third class of species (macaques, mandrills, sabaeus monkeys, and squirrel monkeys) encodes CD4 receptors that support entry at a level 25-fold or more reduced compared to human CD4. With some of the CD4 receptors in the latter class, infection was reduced by more than 100-fold compared to human CD4. While the chimpanzee CD4 allele tested here has a semi-permissive phenotype, we have recently shown that some chimpanzee CD4 alleles are highly resistant to HIV-1 entry [48]. As a control, we determined that infection did not change the surface expression level of human or any primate CD4 receptor (S1 Fig). This allows us to conclude that the observed differences in infection are due to defects in entry and that our gating strategy did not exclude infected cells because they no longer express CD4. From this data, we can conclude that CD4 receptors are functionally variable from one primate species to the next with regards to supporting entry of an early HIV-1 isolate. Prior to this, there was only one primate species known to encode a CD4 receptor compatible with early HIV-1 isolates, owl monkeys [33], but now we can add five additional species to that list: white-cheeked gibbon, olive baboon, gelada, black snub-nosed monkey, and colobus monkey.

HIV-1 entry requires engagement of the amino-terminal “D1” domain of the CD4 receptor (residues 1–98). This is evidenced by numerous Env–CD4 cocrystals [43–45] and mapping of D1-domain residues that affect interaction with HIV-1Env [43,46–48]. For instance, the macaque CD4 receptor can be rendered functional for HIV-1 entry by introducing a single amino acid substitution in the D1 domain (isoleucine “39I” mutated to the human residue, asparagine “39N”) [31]. Likewise, owl monkey populations circulate CD4 alleles that are both non-functional (39I) and functional (39N) for HIV-1 entry [33]. From the panel of primate CD4s that we have tested, we can now build on this observation that residue 39 in CD4 is particularly important for HIV-1 engagement. In Fig 1B, the residues encoded at CD4 position 39
in each species are listed at the tips of the phylogeny, along with the total number of amino acid differences in the D1 domain compared to human CD4. From this, it appears that CD4 must encode an asparagine ("N") at position 39 in order to have any appreciable function as a receptor for BG505 HIV-1. Indeed, position 39 in CD4 has experienced positive selection during primate speciation [20,21], and this may be because mutations that replace the asparagine protect individuals against infection. However, there are clearly sequence determinants outside of position 39 that also matter because not all receptors with an asparagine at position 39 function equally well.

The selective use of primate CD4 receptors is a property of HIV-1 from the bloodstream, including early HIV-1 isolates

We next sought to determine whether the selective use of only some primate CD4 receptors is a property specific to early HIV-1 isolates. From this point forward, we focused on CD4 receptors from a subset of primate species, in particular the African primate species involved in the zoonotic transmissions of HIV-1 (chimpanzees and gorillas) and HIV-2 (sooty mangabeys) [17], and the macaque species that serve as the current laboratory model for HIV-1 (expression histograms for these cell lines are shown in S2 Fig). All of these receptors were partially or highly defective for entry mediated by BG505 Env (Fig 1B). We next tested Envs isolated from the blood of four individuals chronically infected with HIV-1 at time points more than 6 months after the original infection [49]. These Envs were pseudotyped onto Q23ΔEnv-GFP, as described above. These viruses were then used to infect stable cell lines expressing human CCR5 and CD4 from different primate species. Two of the four Envs demonstrated the specific use of human CD4 that we have described (Fig 2A, left two panels). The other two demonstrated a weakening of this selective CD4 phenotype but still a preference for human CD4 (Fig 2A, right two panels). This suggests that the phenotype of selective CD4 usage may be retained during later stages of disease and may not be unique to early HIV-1 isolates.

To address this further, we looked at how CD4 receptor usage may change over time as infection progresses from early- to late-stages of disease. To test this, we made use of sets of molecular clones that had been amplified by single-genome amplification from patient blood early after infection and then again from the same patient 6 months later [3,14,50,51]. From four such sets of molecular clones, we cloned the rev-env cassettes into a mammalian expression vector, which was then used to pseudotype each Env onto Q23ΔEnv-GFP, as described above. These viruses were tested for their ability to enter cell lines stably expressing human or primate CD4 receptors, along with human CCR5. We observed no significant difference in receptor usage between the early Env (grey bars) and its matched 6-month counterpart (black bars) (Fig 2B). In fact, for each patient, the Envs derived from the two different time points (6 months apart) behaved almost identically. Infection mediated by all of these Envs was reduced in cells expressing chimpanzee or macaque CD4 receptors when compared to human CD4. One exception was seen with virus from patient CH40, whose Env did mediate entry somewhat better via chimpanzee and macaque CD4 compared to other Envs, although still less well than with human CD4. Therefore, selective use of primate CD4 receptors appears to describe many HIV-1 isolates from the blood, including those isolated just after infection (weeks), later at 6 months (Fig 2B), or even during chronic stages of infection (Fig 2A).

We next examined the transmission bottleneck directly by testing matched donor–recipient HIV-1 Env pairs. HIV-1 was pseudotyped with Envs from mother–infant pairs, of which the mother was chronically infected and her baby was newly infected (<6 weeks) [9]. For seven such pairs, we found that mother HIV-1 Envs (black bars) were not different from infant HIV-1 Envs (grey bars), in that all demonstrated the selective use of human CD4 (Fig 2C). In fact,
corresponding mother and baby Envs behaved very similarly. When the seven pairs were grouped, no significant differences were noted between maternal (chronic) and infant (early) Envs in terms of their ability to infect cells bearing chimpanzee or rhesus macaque CD4 receptors (Mann–Whitney test, \( P > 0.05 \)). The tested pairs represented most major HIV-1 group M subtypes, suggesting that selective use of CD4 may describe all or most globally circulating forms of HIV-1. We next verified this by challenging cell lines expressing different CD4

Fig 2. Selective use of primate CD4 receptors is not a result of the transmission bottleneck and instead is seen in many isolates of HIV-1 taken from human blood. Cells stably expressing human CCR5 and human or primate CD4 (x-axis) were infected with Q23ΔEnv-GFP bearing (A) chronic Envelopes (Envs) [49], (B) early (newly infected; grey bars) and chronic (6 months post infection; black bars) Env pairs derived from the same patient [3,14,50,51], shown for one patient in each panel, or (C) Envs derived from maternal (chronic; black bars)/infant (newly infected; grey bars) transmission pairs [9], with one mother–baby pair shown in each panel. The percent cells infected (GFP-positive) was measured by flow cytometry and normalized to the percent infected with human CD4. Error bars represent the mean + SEM from two independent experiments, each with two to three technical replicates. Data associated with this figure can be found in the supplemental data file (S2 Data). CCR5, C-C motif chemokine receptor 5; GFP, green fluorescent protein.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3000304.g002
receptors with early HIV-1 isolates representing each of the four major group M (pandemic) HIV-1 subtypes. These four Envs, isolated from patient blood shortly after initial infection \[36,52,53\], were pseudotyped onto the Q23ΔEnv-GFP virus, as described before. While human CD4 supported entry of all of these viruses, primate CD4 orthologs supported levels of HIV-1 entry that ranged from 2- to 58-fold lower (Fig 3A). Collectively, our study has explored a breadth of HIV-1 isolates taken from patient blood, representing all of the major subtypes found globally, and has found that they can all be phenotypically described by their selective use of only certain primate CD4 receptors.

Selective use of only certain primate CD4 receptors results from weak CD4 binding affinity

Next, we wanted to understand more about why blood-derived HIV-1 Env isolates demonstrate this selective use of only some primate CD4 receptors. Thus far, all of the Envs that we have tested are from CCR5-tropic viruses isolated from the blood. There are three types of HIV-1 in the body of an infected person: CCR5 T cell-tropic (the most abundant form in the blood, utilizing the CCR5 coreceptor), CXCR4 T cell-tropic (in the blood but using an alternate coreceptor, C-X-C chemokine receptor type 4 [CXCR4]), and CCR5 macrophage-tropic (rare in the blood, usually found in the central nervous system) \[29,54–56\]. Only the first of these transmits to new individuals, whereas the latter two types arise in special evolutionary niches within the human body during the course of chronic infection and rarely transmit \[57–63\]. In this study, we did not consider or test CXCR4-utilizing viruses. We next tested HIV-1 pseudotyped with Envs from four macrophage-tropic viruses \[31,64–72\] for their ability to infect cells bearing primate CD4 receptors (Fig 3B). In stark contrast to the blood-derived isolates tested above (Figs 1, 2 and 3A), macrophage-tropic viruses were promiscuous in their use of all CD4 receptors tested. For each primate CD4 tested, the median relative infection for all early HIV-1 Envs (Fig 3A) was significantly lower than macrophage-tropic Envs (Fig 3B; \(P < 0.05;\) Mann–Whitney U test).

Macrophage-tropic HIV-1 Env is known to bind CD4 with higher affinity compared to other forms of HIV-1 Env \[73–75\]. This is a selected property that these virions possess because macrophages have lower densities of CD4 molecules on their surface \[74\]. Based on this, we hypothesized that weak CD4 binding affinity is what makes most blood-derived HIV-1 Envs sensitive to small sequence differences in CD4 receptors from different primates. To test this, we first purified a soluble version of the human CD4 receptor for use in neutralization assays (sCD4, consisting of the D1 and D2 domains of CD4) (S3 Fig). When sCD4 is preincubated with HIV-1, it is known to competitively inhibit virus interaction with the CD4 expressed on the cell surface and block virus entry \[76\]. Our purified human sCD4 behaved identically to a commercially available version (S3 Fig). HIV-1 pseudotyped with early or macrophage-tropic Envs was preincubated with increasing concentrations of sCD4 and then used to infect TZM-bl indicator cells (HeLa CD4/CCR5 cells that express luciferase in response to HIV-1 tat expression). HIV-1 pseudotyped with either of two different early Envs (BG505 or AC10.0.29) was neutralized in a dose-dependent manner by human sCD4 (Fig 3C). As expected, HIV-1 pseudotyped with either of two macrophage-tropic Envs (BaL or SF162) was neutralized by human sCD4 to an even greater extent, consistent with these viruses having a higher affinity for CD4 (Fig 3D). The IC\(_{50}\) values are shown within each panel and are approximately 4-fold higher for early Envs than for macrophage-tropic Envs. In conclusion, the broadened ability to enter cells through the CD4 receptors encoded by all primates tested (Fig 3A and 3B) correlates with tighter CD4 binding (Fig 3C and 3D).
Fig 3. Macrophage-tropic HIV-1 isolates, which are known to bind human CD4 more tightly, are promiscuous in their use of primate CD4 receptors. (A, B) Cells stably expressing various primate CD4 receptors (x-axis), along with human CCR5, were infected with Q23ΔEnv-GFP pseudotyped with (A) early HIV-1 Envelopes (Env) or (B) Env from common macrophage-tropic HIV-1 isolates. The percent cells infected (GFP-positive) was measured by flow cytometry and normalized to the percent infected with human CD4. Error bars represent the mean + SEM from two independent experiments, each with two to three technical replicates. Values above error bars represent fold decrease in viral entry relative to human CD4, only indicated for those samples that passed significance thresholds (one-way ANOVA for repeated measures effect, Dunnett’s test; P < 0.05). (C and D) Infection of TZM-bl cells with Q23ΔEnv-GFP pseudotyped with early (C) or macrophage-tropic (D) Env. Each virus was preincubated with increasing concentrations of human soluble CD4 (sCD4) as a competitive inhibitor. TZM-bl cells are HeLa CD4/CCR5 cells that express luciferase in response to HIV-1 tat expression, read in relative light units (RLUs). Error bars represent the mean + SD from one biological replicate (n = 3 to 4 technical replicates), representative of two independent experiments. Error bars are not plotted in instances in which the size of the error bar is less than the symbol size. IC_{50} values from the soluble CD4 neutralization assays are shown within each panel, for which the mean and SD values reflect the variation of the IC_{50} calculations from two independent experiments. (E and F) Same as C and D, only neutralization assays were performed with chimpanzee and rhesus macaque sCD4 instead of human sCD4. Data associated with this figure can be found in the supplemental data file (S3 Data). CCR5, C-C motif chemokine receptor 5; GFP, green fluorescent protein.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3000304.g003
We next wanted to confirm that weak binding to human CD4 receptors results in a loss of binding to primate CD4 receptors. We purified chimpanzee and rhesus macaque sCD4 (S3 Fig) and tested the ability of these proteins to neutralize different classes of HIV-1. Viruses bearing macrophage-tropic Envs (Bal or SF162) were neutralized by chimpanzee and macaque sCD4 (Fig 3F), while viruses bearing Envs from early HIV-1 isolates (BG505 or AC10.0.29) were not neutralized to an appreciable degree (Fig 3E). Collectively, these data suggest that most early HIV-1 isolates from the blood, which have lower affinity for human CD4 compared to macrophage-tropic viruses, do not bind well to chimpanzee and macaque CD4. This shows that our entry assay for selective versus promiscuous use of primate CD4s is essentially a readout of CD4 binding affinity.

To further test this premise, we next created a panel of Envs engineered to have high, medium, or low binding affinity for CD4. BG505 Env, the prototypic early Env discussed above, was engineered to have two different point mutations, one at position 281 and the other at position 375. These mutations in Env have been previously shown to increase binding affinity to CD4, although only one of them has been characterized within the BG505 Env background [77,78]. Consistent with these previous reports, the A281V mutation subtly increased binding to human sCD4 relative to wild type (demonstrated by increased neutralization by sCD4), while the S375Y mutation resulted in a more substantial increase (Fig 4A). While both of these mutations were originally characterized because they increase entry via macaque CD4 [77,78], we found that both mutations also improve entry via all of the primate CD4 receptors tested, with increasing improvement as the binding affinity for CD4 increases (left to right in Fig 4B). This pattern of increased binding affinity for human CD4 and increased ability to enter cells via primate CD4s also correlated to increased binding affinity for primate CD4 receptors, as read in a neutralization assay with purified chimpanzee and rhesus macaque sCD4 proteins (left to right in Fig 4C). Finally, we engineered two additional mutations into HIV-1 BG505 Env that have been shown to increase CD4 binding and to increase entry via macaque CD4 [77]. These mutations also make Env able to more efficiently mediate entry via all primate CD4s tested, not just that of macaques (Fig 4D). These data support the general premise that promiscuous use of primate CD4s can be created by mutations in Env that increase CD4 binding affinity. Further, the promiscuous use of primate CD4 receptors is not just a property of macrophage-tropic viruses but instead may be a common property of viruses engineered or adapted to bind CD4 more tightly. The fact that virtually no blood-derived HIV-1 isolate that we have tested has this phenotype (promiscuous use of primate CD4 receptors) suggests that this phenotype is strongly selected against in the human body. The reasons for this are unknown.

We then employed a virus-free approach to confirm that the mechanism of selective use of certain primate CD4 receptors stems from weak CD4 binding, as opposed to other interactions between HIV-1 and CD4 that may occur during the course of an infection. Cells expressing HIV-1 Env on their surface were mixed with cells expressing human CCR5 and various primate CD4 receptors (Fig 5A). Cellular fusion was assessed using a split luciferase reporter system in which, upon cell–cell fusion, the two halves of luciferase merge and produce a functional enzyme [79]. We used this assay to test the interactions between four different Envs and six different CD4 receptors, as shown in Fig 5B. In this system, the phenotypes seen in our previous infection assays were largely recapitulated despite the fact that this assay is virus-free and only requires three proteins (Env and CD4/CCR5). The early Envs mediated fusion most efficiently with cells expressing human CD4, while the macrophage-tropic Envs broadly engaged and fused with diverse primate CD4 receptors. This assay, when taken together with the experiments employing purified CD4 described above, reveal that weak binding to CD4 is
Fig 4. An early Env engineered to have weak, medium, or tight binding to CD4 becomes progressively more promiscuous in its use of primate CD4 receptors. (A–C) Amino acid substitutions were introduced into the BG505 Envelope (Env) at position 281 and 375. The headers at the top describing these mutations pertain to panels A, B, and C. In each column, the indicted Env was pseudotyped onto Q23ΔEnv-GFP. (A) Each of the three viruses was preincubated with increasing concentrations of human soluble CD4 (sCD4) and then used to infect TZM-bl cells, which are HeLa CD4/CCR5 cells that express luciferase in response to HIV-1 infection and tat expression, read in relative light units (RLUs). Error bars represent the mean + SD from one biological replicate, representative of two independent experiments. Error bars are not plotted in instances in which the size of the error bar is less than the symbol size. IC₅₀ values are listed on each panel, for which the mean and SD values reflect the variation of the IC₅₀ calculations from two independent experiments. (B) Cells stably expressing the indicated CD4s (x-axis) and human CCR5 were infected with each virus. The percent cells infected (GFP-positive) was measured by flow cytometry and normalized to the percent infected with human CD4. Error bars represent the mean + SEM from two independent experiments, each with three technical replicates. Values above error bars represent fold decrease relative to human CD4 for those samples that passed significance thresholds (one-way ANOVA for repeated measures effect, Dunnett’s test; P < 0.05). (C) Same as panel A but with chimpanzee and rhesus macaque sCD4 instead of human sCD4. (D) Two additional single point mutations were introduced into the BG505 Env, which have also been shown to increase binding affinity for CD4 [77]. These Env were pseudotyped onto Q23ΔEnv-GFP and used to infect cells stably expressing the indicated CD4 (top of each graph) and human CCR5. The percent cells infected (GFP-positive) was measured by flow cytometry and normalized to the percent infected with human CD4. Error bars represent the mean + SEM from two independent experiments, each with two to three technical replicates. Values above error bars represent fold increase relative...
what makes most blood-derived HIV-1 isolates (i.e., those fueling the global pandemic) selective in their use of only certain primate CD4 receptors.

**Early HIV-1 isolates are blocked from entry by multiple allelic versions of macaque CD4**

Interestingly, macaques (both rhesus and pig-tailed) encode a CD4 receptor that is not permissive for entry of most blood-derived HIV-1 isolates (Figs 1, 2, 3 and 4), consistent with previous reports [30,77,78]. Since macaques (predominantly rhesus macaques) serve as the current animal model for HIV-1, we sought to determine whether some allelic variants of rhesus macaque CD4 might encode receptors that better support HIV-1 infection. We sequenced the CD4 gene from 52 captive Indian-origin rhesus macaques and identified alleles encoding five unique CD4 protein variants (Fig 6A). All of these allelic versions of rhesus macaque CD4 behaved identically and were nonfunctional for entry of virus pseudotyped with BG505 Env to wildtype BG505 Env for those samples that passed significance thresholds (one-way ANOVA for repeated measures effect, Dunnett’s test; \( P < 0.01 \)). Data associated with this figure can be found in the supplemental data file (S4 Data). CCR5, C-C motif chemokine receptor 5; GFP, green fluorescent protein.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3000304.g004

**Fig 5. Early isolates of HIV-1 from the blood are deficient in binding and/or fusion with CD4 from some primate species.**

(A) 293T cells transiently expressing various Envelope proteins (Envs), and dog thymocytes (Cf2Th cells) stably expressing various primate CD4 receptors and human CCR5, were each transfected with plasmids encoding half of a split Renilla luciferase [79]. These two cell types were then cocultured to induce membrane fusion, which was detected by a luminescent product following the addition of the Renilla luciferase substrate. (B) The percentage of fusion was calculated relative to what was observed with human CD4. Error bars represent the mean ± SEM from three to four independent experiments, each with four technical replicates. Values above error bars represent fold decrease relative to human CD4 for those samples that passed significance thresholds (one-way ANOVA for repeated measures effect, Dunnett’s test; \( P < 0.05 \)). Data associated with this figure can be found in the supplemental data file (S5 Data). CCR5, C-C motif chemokine receptor 5.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3000304.g005
and also with Env from another early HIV-1 isolate, CAP210.2.00.E8 (Fig 6B). Therefore, while owl monkey and chimpanzee populations circulate CD4 alleles with dramatically different functions as receptors for HIV/SIV entry [33,48,80], it appears that the function of macaque CD4 is identical from one allele to the next.

In this study, we have shown that Envs from over 30 early and chronic HIV-1 isolates from human blood (mother–infant pairs, etc.) all demonstrate selective entry via only some primate CD4 receptors. We next tested 29 additional Envs (n = 33 total, four of which were previously tested in infection assays herein; S1 Table) using the cell–cell fusion assay described above. These Envs were universally poor at mediating fusion with cells expressing rhesus macaque CD4 and CCR5 proteins (Fig 6C). Similar data have been generated in other large screens of early HIV-1 Envs [31,32]. Collectively, it seems that virtually no HIV-1 isolated from the bloodstream is compatible with the macaque version of CD4. If we now understand the inability of HIV-1 to use macaque CD4 to be a reflection of weak CD4 binding, this leads us to understand that virtually all early- and late-stage HIV-1 isolates in the human bloodstream experience continual selection to retain weak CD4 binding.

---

**Table 1. Unique CD4 Alleles Identified**

| Allele | aa Change | Allele Frequency |
|--------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1      | I144L     | 46/104 (44.2%)  |
| 2      | L324A     | 1/104 (1.0%)    |
| 3      | L398Q     | 41/104 (39.4%)  |
| 4      | I144L     | 13/104 (12.5%)  |
| 5      | I144L     | 3/104 (2.9%)    |

**Fig 6. Rhesus macaque CD4 alleles are universally defective for HIV-1 entry.** (A) Total RNA was isolated from the blood of 52 rhesus macaque individuals. CD4 was amplified from cDNA, and PCR products were sequenced to yield genotype information for each individual (104 chromosomes). Genotype information was used to phase SNPs using Phase 2.1 [81,82] in DNAsp v5 [83]. The table summarizes the unique CD4 alleles identified. For each allele, the residue encoded at each variable amino acid positions is noted. Unique alleles were cloned and further verified by Sanger sequencing. (B) Dog thymocytes (Cf2Th cells) stably expressing human CCR5 and the indicated rhesus macaque CD4 alleles (x-axis) were infected with HIV-1 (Q23ΔEnv-GFP) bearing a subtype A (BG505) or subtype C (CAP210.2.00.E8) Envelope (Env). The percent cells infected (GFP-positive) was measured by flow cytometry 48 hours post infection. Error bars represent the mean + SEM from two independent experiments, each with three technical replicates. Values above error bars represent fold decrease relative to human CD4 for those samples that passed significance thresholds (one-way ANOVA for repeated measures effect, Dunnett’s test; P < 0.05). (C) 293T cells transiently expressing diverse HIV-1 Envs (see S1 Table) and Cf2Th cells stably expressing the indicated CD4/CCR5 receptors were each transfected with plasmids encoding half of a split Renilla luciferase [79]. These two cell types were then cocultured to induce membrane fusion, which was detected by a luminescent product following the addition of the renilla luciferase substrate. A total of 33 Envs were tested from the following major HIV-1 subtypes: subtype A (n = 12), subtype B (n = 7), subtype C (n = 6), subtype D (n = 6), and subtype A/D (n = 2). The percentage of fusion was calculated relative to what was observed with human CD4/CCR5. Error bars represent the mean + SD, for which n = 2 (two technical replicates from one experiment). Data associated with this figure can be found in the supplemental data file (S6 Data). nt, nucleotide; aa, amino acid; CCR5, C-C motif chemokine receptor 5; GFP, green fluorescent protein.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3000304.g006
Discussion

We find that many HIV-1 isolates from the blood, including early HIV-1 isolates, enter cells poorly through a subset of primate CD4 receptors. This finding is highly analogous to what has been observed with primate restriction factors that block HIV-1 infection, in that they too are highly species-specific in their interaction with HIV-1 [84,85]. Like CD4, most restriction factors are also evolving under intense positive selection in primates [86–89], explaining why these proteins vary in protein sequence on virus-binding surfaces from one host species to the next. It appears that SIVs, and probably other viruses as well, have put intense selective pressure on these genes to evolve in a way that changes the protein sequence on virus-binding surfaces. The selection and retention of new CD4 alleles that block virus entry could protect a species from infection, at least until the virus population counter adapts. When this type of arms race is playing out in multiple host species independently, it drives divergence in protein sequence at host–virus binding interfaces and has the long-term effect of making it difficult for viruses to move between species [16]. Indeed, a prevailing theme that has emerged in recent years is that receptor sequence divergence serves as a potent barrier to the movement of viruses between species [16,90–94]. Likewise, this study suggests that HIV-1 entry is blocked by the CD4 receptor of some primate species that it might encounter, whether that be in the wild or in the lab. On the other hand, we identify several primate species that encode CD4 receptors compatible with unmodified isolates of HIV-1 from the human bloodstream, including early isolates. These species could possibly be useful as animal models of HIV-1 transmission and infection, assuming that restriction factor blocks can be overcome in these species.

We show that selective use of only certain primate CD4 receptors occurs when HIV-1 Env has weak binding affinity for CD4. This weak affinity makes HIV-1 Env sensitive to sequence differences in the CD4 molecule. The strongest evidence in favor of this model is that viruses that have been selected or engineered to have tight CD4 binding affinity become agnostic to sequence differences in primate CD4 and can more efficiently enter cells using all CD4 orthologs that we have tested. While neutralization assays to assess the CD4 binding affinity of a given virus are laborious, our assay for selective or promiscuous primate receptor usage allowed us to screen a large number of HIV-1 isolates from human blood. Based on this, we show that weak CD4 binding is a nearly constant property of HIV-1 circulating in the human bloodstream, including but not specific to early-stage virions. This underscores the need to further understand the significance of CD4 binding affinity to HIV biology. While it has long been known that different HIV types have different affinities for CD4, the functional consequences of this are unclear. This is important to understand because weak CD4 binding seems to be selected for in the human bloodstream, yet some of our current primate models use Envs that are engineered for tight CD4 binding affinity so that they more efficiently engage the non-permissive macaque CD4 receptor. For example, mutations at HIV-1 Env 375, when engineered into SHIVs, render virions compatible with macaque CD4 [77]. However, mutations at this position do not emerge in vivo when HIV-1 Envs are adapting to macaque CD4, whereas mutations at Env 281 do [78]. Based on our data, we can now speculate that Envs with high binding affinity to CD4 have a fitness penalty in vivo.

Given that nearly all HIV-1 in the human bloodstream seems to be selected to bind CD4 weakly, why would this be preferable? Although the reasons for this are currently unclear, there have been numerous speculations put forward [29]. It is possible that more efficient use of CD4 requires changes in Env conformation that would increase susceptibility to antibody neutralization. Indeed, Arrildt and colleagues have shown that when compared to patient matched T-cell tropic isolates from the blood, macrophage-tropic isolates appear to be more sensitive to certain CD4 binding site antibodies [95]. Thus, any conformational change that
increases antibody neutralization sensitivity would likely be purged from the viral population. Alternatively, tighter CD4 binding is known to enhance HIV-1 tropism for cells of the monocyte–macrophage lineage [73, 95, 96]. However, replication of HIV-1 in these cells appears to be slowed due to cell type–specific blocks, including the restriction factors SAMHD1 and APOBEC3A [97, 98], delayed reverse transcription and integration [99, 100], and transcriptional repression [101]. These blocks to replication in macrophages may put high-affinity CD4 binders at an evolutionary disadvantage during early stages of infection. Finally, highly efficient macrophage infection may directly promote disease via inflammatory processes. HIV-1 infection of central nervous system macrophages coincides with severe inflammation and neuronal impairment [102–105]. Additionally, respiratory and cardiac dysfunction during late-stage HIV-1 infection has been associated with chronic inflammation, of which macrophages may be playing a central role [106, 107]. Viral infection is a delicate balance between replicating efficiently enough to transmit, yet limiting disease to maintain the health of the host. Altering cellular tropism via high-affinity CD4 binding may be disadvantageous to the virus, disproportionately skewing infection toward a more diseased state.

Herein, as in many other studies, comparative genetics between humans and primates has revealed to us new lessons about HIV’s interaction with its host. This has been a tremendously powerful tool in the HIV field, having led to the original identification of, and mechanistic insights into, many of the host factors that control HIV-1 biology (for only a few examples, see [86, 108–112]). As primate research becomes more tenuous [113], we need to keep in mind how powerful these comparative approaches have been in the study of HIV-1 and in the study of other viruses as well (for only a few examples, see [114–117]).

Materials and methods

Cells

HEK 293T (ATCC CRL-11268), TZM-bl (NIH ARP # 8129), and canine thymocytes (Cf2Th) (ATCC CRL-1430) were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium (Invitrogen) with 10% FBS, 2 mM L-glutamine, and 1% Pen Strep (complete medium). All cells were maintained at 37˚C and 5% CO₂.

Isolation of primate CD4+ T cells from whole blood

Whole blood from owl monkey (Aotus vociferans) or rhesus macaque (Macaca mulatta) donors was received in plastic BD Vacutainer Plus K2EDTA tubes (Cat#366643, lavender cap). The blood samples were obtained from animals housed at the Keeling Center for Comparative Medicine and Research (KCCMR) in Bastrop, TX. Blood was diluted in an equal volume of balanced salt solution (one part solution A [5.5 x 10⁻³ M Anhydrous D-glucose, 5.0 x 10⁻⁵ M CaCl₂·2H₂O, 9.8 x 10⁻⁴ M MgCl₂·6H₂O, 5.4 x 10⁻³ M KCl, 0.145 M TRIS] to nine parts solution B [0.145 M NaCl]). Then, diluted blood was separated in density gradient media (Ficoll-Pacque PLUS GE17-1440-02) by 400 x g centrifugation for 40 minutes. The peripheral blood mononuclear cell layer (i.e., PBMCs or buffy coat) was removed and washed twice in three volumes of balanced salt solution per sample. Each donors’ PBMCs were then immediately subjected to a CD4-positive selection kit (EasySep Human CD4 Positive Selection Kit II Cat #17852) to isolate CD4+ T cells. Rhesus macaque and owl monkey CD4+ T cells were then activated for three days in RPMI supplemented with 100 U IL2/ml (recombinant human-IL2; Sigma #11011456001) and 5 μg/ml or 0.5 μg/ml PHA-P (Sigma L1668), respectively. CD4+ T-cell cultures were subsequently maintained in RPMI + 100 U IL2/ml media.
Detection of CD4 receptor expression on T cells by flow cytometry

CD4+ T cells isolated from rhesus macaque or owl monkey whole blood (as described above) or human T cells (Hut78 cells) were analyzed for CD4 expression by flow cytometry (Beckman Coulter CyAn ADP High-Performance Flow Cytometer). Cells were first incubated in Fc receptor (Cd16 monoclonal antibody (3G8), eBioscience Cat #16-0166-82) blocking buffer (PBS + 2% FCS + 1mM EDTA + 0.5% BSA) on ice for 1 hour. These cells were then fixed in 1% PFA and stained for cell surface expression of CD4 (BD CD4 mouse anti-human, APC, clone: L200). On the cytometer, only live cells were gated and histograms of CD4 expression determined by unstained and isotype (BD Mouse IgG1, , Cat #555751) controls.

Envelope clones and mutagenesis

The following Env clones were obtained from the NIH AIDS Reagent Program, Division of AIDS, NIAID, NIH: Env clones from early infection (QF495.23M.Env.A1 #11890 and QB857.23I.Env.B3 #11915 [52,118] [Dr. Julie Overbaugh], AC10.0.29 #11024 [33] [Drs. David Montefiori and Dr. Feng Gao], CAP210.2.00.E8 #11317 [36] [Drs. L. Morris, K. Mlisana, and D. Montefiori]), chronic/lab-adapted Env clones commonly used in SHIV's (SF162 #10463 [64–66] [Drs. L. Stamatatos and C. Cheng-Mayer], BaL.26 #11446 [69] [Dr. Mascola], and 89.6 #12485 [71] [Drs. Kathleen Collins and Ronald Collman]), Env clones from chronic infections #12670 (CNE55, CH119, CNE8, X1632 #12670 [49] [Dr. David Montefiori]), and Env clones from maternal and infant/baby transmission pairs #11674 (M/B535, M/5BG05, M/B163, M/BL274, M/BK184, M/B1412, M/B1206 [9] [Dr. Julie Overbaugh]). BG505.W6M.B1 [9] and YU2 [31,68] Envvs were gifts from Dr. Julie Overbaugh. Single-genome amplification-derived molecular clones of T/F and 6-month chronic viruses (CH40, CH58, CH77, CH470 [3,14,50,51]) were gifts from Dr. Beatrice Hahn. We amplified the Rev-Env cassettes from these by PCR, TA-cloned them into pCR8 (Clontech), and then used Gateway cloning to move them into a gateway converted pCDNA3.1 (Invitrogen) mammalian expression vector. Further description of these Env clones, and those used in the fusion assay screens, are described in S1 Table. Nucleotide changes producing S375Y/W/H and A281V were introduced into BG505.W6M.B1 by site directed mutagenesis using overlapping PCR primers containing the mutation of interest, following standard methods.

Receptor expression constructs and generation of stable cell lines

CD4 and/or CCR5 was amplified from RNA isolated from the following sources: Jurkat T cells (human CD4, Genbank MK170450), immortalized B-lymphocytes (rhesus macaque CCR5, Genbank NM_001309402.1), whole blood (rhesus macaque CD4 alleles 1–5, Genbank MF632286-MF632290; owl monkey CD4, Genbank MK205145), and fibroblasts (gorilla CD4, Genbank MK170451). Pig-tailed macaque CD4 and CCR5 were subcloned into the pCR8/GW/TOPO TA plasmid from pBabe retroviral vectors provided by Dr. Julie Overbaugh [30]. Human CCR5 was obtained through the NIH AIDS Reagent Program, Division of AIDS, NIAID, NIH (Human CCR5 expression vector pcCCR5 #3325 from Dr. Nathaniel Landau) and subcloned into the pCR8/GW/TOPO TA plasmid. The remaining constructs were purchased as gene block fragments (IDT), PCR amplified (Phusion HiFi Master Mix; Thermo Fisher), and TA cloned into the pCR8/GW/TOPO TA plasmid (Thermo Fisher). The Genbank sequences used for gene block synthesis are as follows: chimpanzee CD4 (NM_001009043.1) and CCR5 (U89797.1), sooty mangabey CD4 (NM_001319342.1) and CCR5 (XM_012033360.1), gorilla CCR5 (AF177901.1), sabaeus CD4 (XM_007967415.1), gelada CD4 (XM_025401282.1), mandrill CD4 (XM_011982990.1), colorbus CD4 (XM_011952099.1), baboon CD4 (XM_003905871.3), black snub-nosed monkey CD4 (XM_017891844.1), white-cheeked gibbon CD4 (XM_004092147.1),
squirrel monkey CD4 (AF452617.1), marmoset CD4 (AF452616.1), and orangutan CD4 (XM_024256502.1; incomplete CDS, missing 3' sequence (15 nucleotides) was substituted with human CD4 residues). Following TA cloning, an LR Clonase II reaction (Invitrogen) was used to shuttle inserts into Gateway-converted pLPCX (CD4) or pLHCX (CCR5) retroviral packaging vectors (Clontech).

To produce retroviruses for transduction, HEK293T cells plated in antibiotic free media (1 x 10^6 cells/well in a 6-well plate) were transfected with a 2 μg of transfer vector (pLPCX [CD4] or pLHCX [CCR5] retroviral vector), 1 μg pCS2-mGP (MLV gag/pol), and 0.2 μg pC-VSVG (VSV-G envelope) using a 3:1 ratio of TransIT 293 (Mirus) transfection reagent to micrograms of DNA, according to manufacturer’s directions. Forty-eight hours post transfection, retrovirus VLPs were collected, filtered through 0.22-μm cellulose acetate filters, and stored at −80°C in single-use aliquots. Cf2Th cells were plated at 3 x 10^4 cells/well of a 12-well dish (approximately 15% confluent) and 24 hours later, transduced with 500 μl of retroviral supernatant by spinoculation at 1,200 x g for 75 minutes. The following day, the cells were placed in complete medium containing antibiotic (3 μg/ml puromycin for pLPCX or 250 μg/ml hygromycin-B for pLHCX) and cultured until stable outgrowth was noted (>1 week). Stable cell lines were maintained indefinitely in selection media.

**Single-cycle HIV-1 infections**

To produce HIV-1 reporter viruses, 13 x 10^6 HEK293T cells were seeded into a 15-cm dish in antibiotic free media and 24 hours later transfected with 5.3 μg of Q23ΔEnv-GFP and 2.7 μg of Env plasmid. Q23ΔEnv-GFP, encoding an approximately 400-bp deletion in Env, and with GFP inserted into the nef gene (therefore destroying the function of nef), was obtained from Dr. Julie Overbaugh and described previously [30]. Forty-eight hours post transfection, the cell supernatant was harvested, concentrated (approximately 100-fold) using Amicon Ultracell 100K filters (Millipore), and stored at −80°C in single use aliquots. Virus titers were determined by FACS analysis for GFP-positive cells, using a range of virus dilutions on Cf2Th cells stably expressing human CD4 and CCR5. For infection assays, Cf2Th cells stably expressing CD4 and CCR5 were plated at 3 x 10^4 cells/well of a 48-well plate (approximately 60% confluent) 24 hours prior to infection. The cells were then infected with HIV-1 pseudoviruses at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of approximately 0.6 in the presence of 5 μg/ml polybrene by spinoculation at 1,200 x g for 75 minutes. Forty-eight hours post infection, the cells were harvested from the plate and fixed in 2% paraformaldehyde for 10 minutes. The fixed cells were washed three times with PBS and resuspended in 50 μl FACS buffer (1X PBS buffer containing 2% FBS and 1 mM EDTA) antibody cocktail. All antibody pairs were used at 1:200 dilutions, and incubated at 4°C with cells for 30 minutes as follows: for primate CD4/primate CCR5 (S2 Fig), PeCy7 mouse α-human CD4 clone L200 (BD #560644) and APC mouse α-human CD195 (CCR5) clone 3A9 (BD #560748); for all other studies, the combination of APC mouse α-human CD4 clone L200 (BD #551980) and PeCy7 mouse α-human CD195 (CCR5) 2D7 (BD #557752) was used. Stained cells were analyzed on a CyAn ADP (Beckman Coulter) flow cytometer. Following live-cell gating, CD4 and CCR5 expressing gates were drawn and then the percent GFP positive cells was enumerated within the double positive population. The data from approximately 1 x 10^4 live cells was analyzed using FlowJo version 10.

**Genotype and allele determination of Indian-origin macaque CD4**

PAXgene (BD #762165) preserved whole blood was obtained from animals housed at the KCCMR per blood collection protocols approved by the University of Texas, MD Anderson Cancer Center IACUC. Total DNA/RNA was extracted using the AllPrep DNA/RNA mini kit.
Extracted RNA was used as a template for oligo (dt) primed reverse transcription (SuperScript III RT; Thermo Fisher). PCR amplification of CD4 was performed using PCR Supermix HiFi (Thermo Fisher) containing 1–10 ng of cDNA and 0.2 μM final concentration of each PCR primer CD4-Forward 5’T AAGCAGCGGGCAAGAAAG ACG 3’ and CD4-Reverse 5’T CAAGTTCTGTCCCTCTGTG G 3’ in a final volume of 25 μl. PCR cleanup was performed using Exonuclease-I and Shrimp Alkaline Phosphatase treatment (Affymetrix) for 15 minutes at 37˚C, and then the cleaned-up products were Sanger sequenced using the following CD4-Forward 5’T GGAAGTTCAAATCTG GCG 3’ and CD4-Reverse 5’T CAGACACTTCCT TGTTCTTC 3’ sequencing primers. The resulting genotype information was used to phase SNPs using Phase 2.1 [81,82] in DNAsp v5 [83]. Five unique rhesus macaque CD4 alleles were identified, TA cloned into the pCR8 gateway Topo TA cloning vector (Thermo Fisher), and then subcloned into the gateway converted pLPCX retroviral vector (Clontech). All constructs were further verified by Sanger sequencing. Allele 1 represented the major allele circulating in this population and was used in all experiments except where designated otherwise.

Production of sCD4 and virus neutralization assays

Human, chimpanzee, and rhesus macaque CD4 cloned into the pCR8 plasmid (described above) served as a template for soluble CD4 (sCD4) PCR. The D1/D2 domain (nucleotide positions 75–603, minus signal peptide) was amplified by PCR and cloned into pHL-sec [119] (Addgene #99845), which has been optimized for protein production in mammalian cells. 13 x 10^6 293T cells (three 15-cm dishes) were transfected with 25 μg of sCD4 expression plasmids using TransIT-293 (Mirus), and cell supernatant containing secreted sCD4 was harvested at days three and six post transfection. Cell supernatant was spun down at 1,200 x g for 5 minutes to remove cell debris, filtered using a 0.45-μm cellulose acetate membrane, and mixed 1:1 with wash buffer (25 mM Na_3PO_4 pH 7.4, 500 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole). Cleared cell supernatant was then incubated with 1-ml bed volume of Ni-NTA agarose beads (Qiagen, #30210) equilibrated in wash buffer for 2 hours at 4˚C. The mixture was then added to a gravity flow chromatography column and the flow-through was passed through the column a second time. The Ni-NTA beads were washed with 50 ml of wash buffer. Bound protein was eluted with wash buffer containing 250 mM imidazole in 1-ml fractions. Fractions containing sCD4 were pooled, washed three times with PBS, and concentrated to 500 μl using an Amicon Ultra-15 ml centrifugal filter with a 10 kDa molecular weight cutoff (EMD Millipore, #UFC901008). The sample was purified to homogeneity on a Superdex 75 Increase 10/300 GL (GE Healthcare, #29-1487-21) in PBS. Purified samples were concentrated to 1 mg/ml using an Amicon Ultra 0.5-ml centrifugal filter with a 10-kDa molecular weight cutoff, aliquoted for single use, and flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen.

For the neutralization assays, each virus (normalized by equivalent TDU/ml) was incubated with serial 2-fold dilutions of sCD4 (range 30 μM to approximately 1 μM final concentration) at 37˚C for 1 hour. Human, chimpanzee, and rhesus sCD4 were produced in this study (methods above), and commercially available human sCD4 was obtained through the National Institutes of Health AIDS Reagent Program: sCD4-183 #7356 (from Pharmacia, Inc.). Following incubation, the sCD4 treated and untreated viruses were spinoculated (1,200 x g for 75 minutes) onto 1 x 10^4 TZM-bl cells plated in 96-well plates, in the presence of 5 μg/ml of polybrene. Following spinoculation, the cells were washed three times with PBS and given fresh media. At 48 hours post infection, infectivity was measured by firefly luciferase assays following the manufacturers protocol (Promega). Luminescence was determined using the BMG Clariostar plate reader.
Cell-cell fusion assay

Cf2Th cells stably expressing human or primate CD4 and human CCR5, and 293T cells, were plated at 3 x 10^5 and 1 x 10^6 cells/well of a 6-well dish, respectively. The next day, 1) Cf2Th cells were transfected with 2.5 μg of DNA (1.25 μg of ½ renilla luciferase [79] and 1.25 μg of pCDNA3.1 filler DNA) using Lipofectamine 3000 (Invitrogen) and 2) 293T cells were transfected with 2.5 μg of DNA (1.25 μg of ½ renilla luciferase and 1.25 μg of the Env expression plasmid) using TransIT 293 (Mirus). Twenty-four hours post transfection, the cells were removed from the plate using an enzyme free 1X citric saline solution (10X solution, 1.35M KCl, 0.15M Sodium Citrate) diluted in PBS, counted, and resuspended to a final volume of 1x10^5 cells/ml. 100 μl of transfected cells in quadruplic (1 x 10^4 293T and Cf2Th cells) were mixed 1:1 and incubated for 6 hours in 96-well flat bottom plates. Following incubation, fusion was assessed using a Renilla luciferase assay (Promega). Luminescence was determined using the BMG Clariostar plate reader.

Data presentation and analysis

All data were plotted, and one-way ANOVA and Mann–Whitney U tests were performed where indicated, using Prism version 7.0a for mac (GraphPad). IC_{50} values were determined by first normalizing the relative luminescence units as follows: 100% and 0% inhibition was defined by wells receiving the highest concentration of sCD4 and absence of sCD4, respectively. A nonlinear regression curve was fit to the normalized data, and IC_{50} values were calculated using Prism (GraphPad) software.

Ethics statement

The blood collections performed on the animals in this study were approved as protocol number 00000451-RN02 by the University of Texas, MD Anderson Cancer Center, Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (MDACC-IACUC). The protocol approved by the MDACC-IACUC adhered to the recommendations provided in the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals [120] and also adhered to the blood collection volumes recommended by the Guidance Document of the Association of Primate Veterinarians (https://www.primatevets.org/guidance-documents) entitled Guidelines for Blood Sampling in Nonhuman Primates (also known as Blood Sampling Guidelines for Nonhuman Primates in Biomedical Research).

Supporting information

S1 Fig. Stable expression of human and primate CD4 receptors. (A) Histograms of CD4 expression levels in Cf2Th cell lines engineered to stably express each primate CD4 receptor with human CCR5. (B) Gating strategy for enumerating the GFP+ (infected) cell population. Single stains of human CD4 (blue) and human CCR5 (red) as well as unstained cells (black) were used to denote receptor expression quadrants. A CD4 window was drawn such that it would capture equivalent CD4/CCR5 receptor expression across all stable cell lines shown in (A). GFP+ cells were enumerated within this window. (C) Surface expression of CD4 from human T cells (Hut-78 cells) and primate T cells that we isolated directly from primate blood. (D) Histograms of CD4 expression levels in Cf2Th cell lines with (red line) and without (black line) HIV-1 infection. Shaded histograms are from cells transduced with an empty vector control and denote the CD4-negative population. Data associated with this figure can be found in the supplemental data file (S7 Data). CCR5, C-C motif chemokine receptor 5; GFP, green fluorescent protein. (TIF)
S2 Fig. Stable expression of primate CD4 and CCR5 receptors. (A) Histograms of CD4 and CCR5 expression levels in Cf2Th cell lines made to stably express primate CD4/CCR5 receptor pairs from each primate species (top) or each primate CD4 paired with human CCR5 (bottom). (B) Cf2Th cell lines stably expressing primate CD4/CCR5 receptor pairs from each primate species (black bars) and each primate CD4 paired with human CCR5 (gray bars) were infected with HIV-1 GFP pseudotyped with a subtype A Envelope (BG505). Error bars represent the mean + SEM from two independent experiments, each with three technical replicates. Data associated with this figure can be found in the supplemental data file (S8 and S9 Datas). CCR5, C-C motif chemokine receptor 5; GFP, green fluorescent protein.

(TIF)

S3 Fig. Production of human and primate sCD4 receptors. (A, B, C) Size-exclusion profiles of (A) human, (B) chimpanzee, and (C) rhesus macaque soluble CD4 proteins (sCD4). The input sample is made from combined fractions eluted from the Ni-NTA column. Total protein was visualized using the TGX stain-free system (Bio-Rad). Fractions collected from the Superdex 75 column are each 1 ml. Fractions indicated with a red box eluted at a volume consistent with the molecular weight of sCD4 monomers and were combined for use in downstream experiments. Plots below the gels are A280 absorbance readings from the FPLC spectrophotometer. (D) Total protein stain of purified sCD4 molecules. Human, chimpanzee, and rhesus macaque CD4 are all differentially glycosylated, explaining the differences in migration [48]. (E) HIV-1 pseudotyped with the indicated Envs (top of graphs), was preincubated with increasing concentrations of human sCD4 produced in this study (solid line; see panel A) or a commercially available sCD4 obtained from National Institutes of Health AIDS Reagent Program (#7356) (dashed line), and then used to infect TZM-bl cells. Error bars represent the SD from n = 4 technical replicates. Data associated with this figure can be found in the supplemental data file (S10 Data). FPLC, fast protein liquid chromatography; TGX, Tris-Glycine eXtended

(TIF)
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