Evaluation of results in intracapsular fracture neck of femur with Austin Moore’s prosthesis
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Abstract

Introduction: Fracture neck of femur remains an unsolved fracture to the orthopaedic surgeon as far as treatment and results are concerned. Present study is designed to assess the results of primary hemireplacement arthroplasty of hip for displaced femoral neck fracture in the elderly patients by Austin Moore prosthesis. To reduce the hospital stay and provide better functional results like early easy mobilization and weight bearing and to reduce the incidence of Fracture Disease. To assess the complications of primary hemireplacement arthroplasty by Austin Moore prosthesis.

Materials and Methods: In this study, primary hemireplacement arthroplasty of the hip was done in 30 patients with fracture neck of femur using Austin Moore prosthesis who were admitted in the Department of Orthopaedics in Konaseema institute of medical science amalapuram, from August 2014 to August 2016. Intra capsular fracture neck of femur in patients of age 60 years and above, Non-united fracture neck of femur, all types of fracture under Gardens classification are considered.

Result: In 43% (13) patients fracture was in right side and 57% (17) patients fracture was in left side. Garden type-4 fracture was present in 14(46.7%) patients, Garden type-3 fracture was present in 12(40%) patients. 13.3% (4) patients were having Garden type 2 fracture. Average blood loss during the procedure was less than 500ml in 20pts, between 500-700ml in eight patients, and more than 750ml in 2 patients. The final Harris hip score was excellent in 46.7% of the patients, good in 8(26.7%) patients, fair in 6(20%) patients and poor in 2 (6%) patients.

Discussion and Conclusion: We conclude that hemiarthroplasty for fracture neck of femur is a good option in elderly patients. The mortality and morbidity are not high, operative procedure is simple, complications are less disabling. Early functional results are satisfactory.
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Introduction

Fracture neck of femur remains an unsolved fracture to the orthopaedic surgeon as far as treatment and results are concerned. There is still a dilemma over internal fixation or arthroplasty in the treatment of fracture neck of femur in the elderly¹ age group. Controversy should rest at a surgical procedure which brings about earliest pre-fall status to these elderly and infirm patients with least complications.²

The first efforts on treating hip fractures concentrated on alignment of fracture fragments by traction and closed reduction. The reduction was maintained by long term traction, spica cast or internal fixation. However, despite the most accurate anatomic alignment and most rigid fragment fixation, many patients failed to regain normal use of their hips. Nonunion of the femoral neck, avascular necrosis of the femoral head and the degree of the fracture comminution precluded good results in many. Non-anatomic reduction and inadequate fixation cause prolonged disability, pain, immobility and repeated surgical procedures.³

This study includes uncemented unipolar hip arthroplasty with Austin Moore prosthesis for fracture neck of femur. The follow up of these cases were done to assess the end results, especially as related to our Indian patients in and around Amalapuram East Godavari district of Andhra Pradesh. The problem of fracture neck of femur is one of the oldest in orthopaedics. Inspite of numerous advances in osteosynthesis, the incidence of avascular necrosis and nonunion is very high. Fracture of the neck of the femur can occur at all ages and in both sexes, but they are usually sustained by elderly persons following trivial trauma. At one point of time, this fracture was thought to be a terminal event in the life of old, feeble and fragile individuals. In spite of earnest work by many in this field the problem remains far from being solved, hence rightly labelled as "Unsolved Fracture" by Dickson.⁴

Present study is designed to assess the results of primary hemireplacement arthroplasty of hip for displaced femoral neck fracture in the elderly patients by Austin Moore prosthesis. To reduce the hospital, stay and provide better functional results like early, easy mobilization and weight bearing and to reduce the incidence of Fracture Disease. To assess the complications of primary hemireplacement arthroplasty by Austin Moore prosthesis.

Materials and Methods

In this study, primary hemireplacement arthroplasty of the hip was done in 30 patients with fracture neck of femur using Austin Moore prosthesis who were admitted in the department of Orthopaedics in Konaseema institute of medical science amalapuram, from August 2014 to December 2018.

Inclusion Criteria

Intra capsular fracture neck of femur in patients of age 60 years and above, Non-united fracture neck of femur, all types of fracture under Gardens classification are...
considered. Age of injury in all cases was between 3 to 15
days.

**Exclusion Criteria**

Patients below 60 years, avascular necrosis of femoral head
with acetabular changes, Pathological fractures of neck of
femur, Patients medically unfit for surgery, Patients who
lost for follow up.

**Type of Study**

Study will be a hospital based, prospective, non-randomized
study and duration from August 2014 to August 2016.
Patients who met the inclusion and exclusion criteria were
selected from attending OPD and casualty department were
admitted.

**Pre-Operative Evaluation**

As soon as these patients were admitted in the hospital,
n name, age, sex, occupation, history of present illness,
personal history of the patient was recorded and detailed
clinical examination was done.

**General Examination**

Detailed clinical examination was done regarding the built,
nutrition, pallor, cyanosis, icterus, pedal edema,
lymphadenopathy, physiological age, physiological status,
intelligence, willingness to undergo surgery and post-
operative cooperation of the patient. The temperature, pulse,
blood pressure and respiratory rate were also noted.

A thorough examination of the hip was done,
deformities, weakness, and limb length discrepancies if any
were noted. A detailed systemic clinical examination of
Cardiovascular System, Respiratory System, Central
Nervous System, per abdomen and Genito-urinary system
was done and if there was anything significant, it was noted
and treatment was instituted.

Condition of the skin around the hip was noted. Height
and weight of the patient was also noted.

A detailed clinical examination of the spine, knee and
ankle was done to rule out any deformities or contractures.
(A flexion contracture of the ipsilateral knee or equinus
deformity of the foot may require correction before
hemiarthroplasty of the hip).

True hip pain must be differentiated from sacroiliac
pain, and lumbar pain, trochanteric bursitis, pubic ramus
fracture or intra-abdominal problem by clinical examination.

**Investigations**

X-ray of the pelvis with both hips, anteroposterior view was
taken with both the limbs in 15 of internal rotation.

Thickness of the cortex of the femur, width and shape of
medullary canal, bone stock, type of fracture (GARDEN’S
classification), amount of calcar present, level of femoral
neck cut to be made, pre-operative size of the head
(magnification deducted) and bone stock of acetabulum was
noted.

Chest X-ray and ECG were done. A complete blood
analysis including HB%, TC, DC, ESR, RBS, FBS, PPBS,
blood urea, Creatinine, serology, blood grouping and typing,
bleeding and clotting time were done. Urine analysis was
done and urine culture done if required. Cardiac evaluation,
Liver function tests and renal function tests were done if
required.

**Pre-Operative Treatment**

For fresh fractures skin traction with 3 - 4 kg weight was
applied to relieve the pain and muscle spasm. The part was
prepared 24 hours before surgery, taking care to prevent
abrasions. Preoperative anesthetic assessment was done.
The following training was given to the patients
preoperatively so that the same could be carried out post-
operatively like, o Deep breathing exercise. Static
Quadriceps exercise Ankle and toe movements.
A written consent of the patient and relatives was taken.
Injection Ceftriaxone 1 gm IV was administered
intravenously 20 minutes prior to surgery.

**Procedure**

With a patient in spinal or epidural anaesthesia, patient in
true lateral position, the affected hip upper most. The part
was scrubbed with 7.5% Povidone iodine scrub (betadine
scrub) and then painted with 5% povidone iodine solution
(betadine solution), surgical spirit and then draped.

Posterior approach (Southern’s) was used. Making a 10
to 15 cm curved incision centering the posterior aspect of
greater trochanter extending 6 to 8 cm above and posterior to
the posterior aspect of greater trochanter, curve the incision
across the buttock and continue down along the shaft of the
femur. Incise the fascia lata on lateral aspect of femur to
uncover the vastus lateralis. Lengthen the fascial incision
superiorly in line with skin incision bluntly split the
maximus fibers.

The sciatic nerve was then identified and retracted. The
short external rotators, viz; from below upward, the
quadricus femoris, the obturator internus; gemelli and the
piriformis were exposed. The Obturator internus and
gemelli and if necessary the piriformis we divided close to
their insertion, and reflected backwards. Thus, posterior part
of capsule was well exposed.

A T shaped incision was made in the hip capsule, in
line with the femoral neck and across its base. The capsule
was retracted and labrum was preserved.

Then the thigh and knee were flexed up to 90°,
adducted and, internally rotated thus dislocating the hip
posteriorly. The femoral head was extracted using bone
levers or corks screw. The remnant of ligamentum teres was
excised and any loose pieces of bone (of the comminuted
neck) in the acetabulum removed, the cartilage of the
acetabulum inspected for any degenerative changes.

The femoral neck was cut using a oscillating motor saw
or osteotome in such a way that enough of the calcar
(minimum 0.5 inch) remained to, support the medial aspect
of the prosthesis in all cases and cut was taken one finger
breadth from lesser trochanter.

The size of the femoral head removed from the
acetabulum was measured using a head gauge and trial or
definitive prosthesis was checked for fit. The head size
should be neither too loose nor too tight. Awl or straight
curate was inserted in line with the femoral shaft to aid in
entering the diaphyseal medullary canal. An appropriate broach or rasp, medullary canal was enlarged in valgus and 10°-15° of anteversion relative to the plane in which the knee joint axis lies. Appropriate size of the prosthesis was seated in the prepared medullary canal with 10-15° of anteversion and a valgus position. The prosthesis was impacted with gentle blows into the medullary canal, prosthesis was reduced gently into the acetabulum.

Muller noted that the center of the head of the prosthesis should be slightly superior to the level of the upper edge of the greater trochanter. If it is too high riding, some more neck should be osteotomised to enable easy reduction of prosthesis and prevent post-operative limb lengthening.

The hip was tested for full range of movements and stability intra operatively. Short external rotators were repaired with anchoring technique.

The wound was closed meticulously in layers over a suction drain in situ and sterile dressing was applied. Blood loss was assessed and blood transfusion carried out if required.

**Result**

After average 11 months follow up of elderly patients who had undergone hemi arthroplasty using Austin Moore prosthesis, following observations were made from collected data.

| Table 1: Demography and clinical presentation of patient |
|---------------------------------------------------------|
| **Variables**          | **Number** | **Percentage** |
| Age                   |            |                |
| 60-69                 | 13         | 43%            |
| 70-79                 | 14         | 47%            |
| >80                   | 03         | 10%            |
| Sex                   |            |                |
| Male                  | 14         | 47%            |
| Female                | 16         | 53%            |
| Side of fracture      |            |                |
| Right                 | 13         | 43%            |
| Left                  | 17         | 57%            |
| Type of Graden         |            |                |
| classification        |            |                |
| Graden type of 1      | 0          | 0              |
| Graden type of 2      | 4          | 13.3           |
| Graden type of 3      | 12         | 40             |
| Graden type of 4      | 14         | 46.7           |
| Mode of injury        |            |                |
| Fall                  | 22         | 73.4%          |
| Fall from moderate height | 4   | 13.3%          |
| RTA                   | 4          | 13.3%          |

As per table 1 regarding age of the patients, 13(43%) patient were between 60-69yrs of age, 14(47%) patients were between 70 to 79yrs of age and rest were above 80yrs of age. Out of 30 patients 14(47%) were male and 16 (53%) were female. In 43% (13) patients fracture was in right side and 57%(17) patients fracture was in left side. Garden type-4 fracture was present in 14(46.7%) patients, Garden type -3 fracture was present in 12(40%) patients. 13.3% (4) patients were having Garden type 2 fracture.

Fall was mode of injury in 73.3%(22) patients, fall from moderate height was mode of injury in (13.3%) 4 patients 13.3% (4) patients mode of injury was road traffic accidents.

| Associated disease | Frequency | Present |
|--------------------|-----------|---------|
| Nil                | 15        | 50%     |
| HTN                | 6         | 20%     |
| DM                 | 5         | 16.7%   |
| DM+HTN             | 3         | 10%     |
| IHD                | 1         | 3.3%    |

Regarding associated disorders with the patients, 15(50%) were having no symptoms, 6 patients (20%) have hypertension. DM was present in 16.7% patient and 10% patients were presented with both (diabetes and hypertension). Ischemic heart disease was found in one patient.

| Table 3: Size of prosthesis used |
|-------------------------------|
| **Head Size in mm.** | **Number** | **Percentage** |
| 39               | 2           | 6.7          |
| 41               | 4           | 13.3         |
| 43               | 6           | 20           |
| 45               | 7           | 23.5         |
| 47               | 10          | 35           |
| 55               | 1           | 3.5          |

As per table 3 various size of prosthesis was used for patients. Prosthesis with head size 39mm was used in 2 (6.7%) patients, 41 mm head size prosthesis was used in 4 (13.3%) patient. In 6(20%) patients 43mm head size prosthesis was used, 45mm head size prosthesis was used in 7 (23.5%) patients. In 10 (35%) patients we have used 47 mm head size prosthesis. 55mm head size prosthesis was used in only one subject.

| Table 4: Complications |
|------------------------|
| **Variables**          | **Number of patients** | **Percentage** |
| None                   | 26                     | 87%          |
| Bed sore               | 2                      | 6.5%         |
| Sup. Infections        | 2                      | 6.5%         |
| Posterior dislocation  | 0                      | 0            |
| death                  | 0                      | 0            |

There was no complication in 87% patients, two patients developed superficial infection and two patients developed bed sore.
Table 5: Average blood loss during the procedure

| Quantity  | Number of patients | Percentage |
|-----------|--------------------|------------|
| <500ml.   | 20                 | 66.6       |
| 500-750ml | 8                  | 26.7       |
| >750ml.   | 2                  | 6.7        |

Average blood loss during the procedure was less than 500ml in 20pts, between 500-700ml in eight patients, and more than 750ml in 2 patients.

Table 5: Ambulation after surgery

| Variables                              | Number | Percentage |
|----------------------------------------|--------|------------|
| Patient who ambulated 3 days after surgery | 21     | 70%        |
| Patients who ambulated 5 days after surgery | 9      | 30%        |

21 patients (70%) were ambulated after 3 days, but 9 (30%) patients were ambulated after 5 days of sensory.

Table 6: Final Harris Hip score and clinical right

| Grade | Harris hip score | Number | P value |
|-------|------------------|--------|---------|
| Excellent | 90-100          | 14     | 46.7    |
| Good    | 80-89            | 8      | 26.7    |
| Fair    | 70-79            | 6      | 20      |
| Poor    | >70              | 2      | 6.0     |

The final Harris hip score was excellent in 46.7% of the patients, good in 8(26.7%) patients, fair in 6(20%) patients and poor in 2 (6%) patients.

Fig. 1

Fig. 2
Fig. 3: Functional result after surgery

Fig. 4
Evaluation of results in intracapsular fracture neck of femur with Austin Moore’s

Discussion
We have observed that mean age of the patient was 69.43yrs, which is supported by the work Saxena & Saraf, that is 66yrs, mean age of the patients by various author was as per table

| Author                          | Percentage |
|---------------------------------|------------|
| Saxena & Saraf et al           | 66 yrs     |
| Mukarjee & Puri et al          | 65 yrs     |
| Arwade et al                   | 72 yrs     |
| Bavadekar and Manelkar et al   | 75 yrs     |
| Our studies                    | 69.43 yrs  |

There was female predominance in our study which corroborates with the finding Choudary & Mohite et al, Moor et al, Sikroski & Barrington et al and D Acry and Devas et al. In this study 53% presented with left side hip fracture, which was 55% in the study of Boyd and Salvatore et al. D Acry et al has found it to be 55.4% which supports our study.

In our study most of the fracture were displaced and belong to Garden type III and Garden type IV. This finding corroborate with the study of G.S Kulkarni et al and Mukherjee and Puri et al. In most of the cases (74%) trivial trauma was the nature of injury, this finding is supported by the work of Gyepe et al, Evarts et al and Ingalhalikar et al. The common associated problem was diabetes, hypertension and IHD which is supported by the findings of Hinchey and Day et al. Mean duration of stay in hospital was 14 days, but Stinchfield and cooperman et al reported 31.5 days and A.A savastano reported it to be 21 days, which does not corroborates with over finding. In present series there was no operative deaths but Wai Hee Lo et al and scroll D et al has respond 4% mortality due to sepsis. In our study two patient who were diabetic developed superficial wound infection. Salvatti et al and Moore and Whittaker et al have respond high mortality following infection of prosthesis.

In present series, there was no case of posterior dislocation of the prosthesis. Salvatti et al believed that excessive postoperative flexion or rotation with hip adducted is the main cause for dislocation of the prosthesis and they also observed that dislocation was commonly caused while shifting the patients from the operation theatre to the ward.

John E. Kenzora et al noted that all six dislocations in their series followed posterior approach. Dislocation is a well-known complication of posterior approach. However, in present series number of dislocations are not great enough to reach statistical significance.

The results at average of 11 months after hemiarthroplasty in present series was analysed by modified Harris hip scoring system. 70% patient were mobilised after 3 days and 30% were mobilised after 5 days. The results are compared with the available western and Indian series where hemiarthroplasty was done for the treatment of...
fracture neck of femur in elderly patients. The differences between excellent and good results are minimal and therefore they can be grouped together as good results. Which is comparable to the work of Hinchey and Day et al that is 72.8%. 20

Conclusion
We conclude that hemiarthroplasty for fracture neck of femur is a good option in elderly patients. The mortality and morbidity are not high, operative procedure is simple, complications are less disabling. Early functional results are satisfactory. The complications are less disabling, weight bearing is early, early functional results are satisfactory and second operation is less frequently required. This study included 30 patients which may be a small number to give a statistically significant opinion. The cases were studied with a follow-up ranging from 3 weeks to 6 months only.

Our early and short term results are encouraging and promising, long term results will be studied in future and compared with other long term follow up studies.
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