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Abstract: Chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) is a triphasic clonal myeloproliferative disorder characterized by the presence of Philadelphia chromosome (Ph) in over 95% of cases alongside excessive accumulation of clonal myeloid cells in hematopoietic tissues. This occurs as a result of reciprocal translocation between the long arms of chromosome 9 and 22 t (9;22) (q34; q11) creating the fusion oncogene BCR–ABL1 which exhibits constitutive tyrosine kinase activity. It is one of the commonest haematological malignancies in low economies around the world including Nigeria. The clinical features of CML are often described in 3 phases namely the chronic phase (CP), accelerated phase (AP), and blastic phase (BP) while CP is the most common stage with progression to AP and BP occurring later. Despite that, prognosis of CML is dependent on phase of disease, age, and response to therapy, the only curative approach in use currently is hematopoietic stem cell transplantation with other drugs being used for cytogenetic responses. This study focuses on the aetiopathophysiology, cytogenetics, molecular biology, clinical/laboratory features and treatment options of CML. Rigorous review of literature on the study was retrieved from relevant oncology journals and textbooks abstracted and indexed in PubMed, Google Scholar, ProQuest, CINAHL, and Science Direct. The study discovered that, resistance of CML to imatinib has been reported with research having reached the advanced stage on the use of alternative drugs (e.g., Nilotinib and Desatinib). There are also potentials for these new drugs to become the treatment choice and first line drugs for the treatment of CML.
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1. Introduction

Chronic Myeloid Leukemia (CML) is a form of leukemia characterized by an increased and unregulated growth of predominantly myeloid cells in the bone marrow and the accumulation of these cells in the blood. [1]. This usually occurs as a result of reciprocal translocation between the long arms of chromosome 9 and 22 t (9;22) (q34; q11) creating the fusion oncogene BCR–ABL1. CML has 3 phases namely the chronic phase, aggressive phase, and terminal blastic phase [2]. CML has an estimated global incidence of one to two new cases per 100,000 population per year with a male to female ratio of 1.8:1 with a slight male predominance [3]. Incidence of CML rises gradually with age to reach a median of around 60 years. A global estimate of 15% of all new cases of leukemia are chronic myeloid leukemia [4]. The median age of CML patients in low-income countries including Nigeria and other African countries is 38 years compared to 67 years in developed countries [6] with a prevalence rate of 30%, Asian (36%). In Ondo State, south western Nigeria, the prevalence is 15.6% in adults and 10% in children. CML may affect any age group; the peak incidence is between 40 and 60 years with the median age at diagnosis being 53 years in the Western world [5]. CML in children still occurs rarely
constituting 2% of all leukaeasias seen in childhood, however there has been an increased incidence over the last year.

The first case of CML was discovered by Edinburgh pathologist John Hughes Bennet and Rudolf Virchow in 1845. In 1827, Ernst Neumann observed that leukemia cells originate from bone marrow. A real quantum leap was however the discovery of small G-group chromosome now called Philadelphia chromosome by Nowell and Hungerford in 1960 and by 1973, Rowley showed this to be a translocation between long arms of chromosome 9 and 22 [7].

The treatment of CML has greatly evolved over the years. Therapy developed slowly with the use of arsenic in 1865 which was followed by splenic irradiation in 1920. Effective control of blood counts became feasible in 1959 and was followed by use of hydroxyl-urea in 1969. In early 1970s, interferon-α was found to induce durable complete cytogenetic responses. However, the approval of imatinib mesylate in 2002 by the US Food Drug Agency (FDA) has changed the natural history of CML changed dramatically [8]. For the patients who are resistant to imatinib, second line inhibitors are an effective strategy.

CML has been conventionally managed in Nigeria with cyclophosphamide, busulfan, and hydroxyurea. The Max Foundation was highly instrumental to the setting up of the Novartis Pharmaceuticals-supported Glivec International Patient Assistance Program (GIPAP), which provides free access to imatinib for many patients from several developing countries, including Nigeria [9]. This article therefore focuses on the aetiopathophysiology, clinical laboratory features, and treatment of CML.

2. Methodology

The current study is a reviewed study in which rigorous literature search was conducted on the topic. A review of literature on the study was retrieved from related oncology journals and textbooks abstracted and indexed in Google Scholar, ProQuest, PubMed, CINAHL, and Science Direct as well as reputable online articles related to the study. Total of 70 (seventy) publications were consulted out of which 55 (fifty-five) were eventually used in the study. Out of this, 45 (forty-five) were sourced from online journals while, 10 (ten) were from online books.

2.1. Aetiopathophysiology of Chronic Myeloid Leukemia

Specific genetic or environmental factors can result in the fusion of breakpoints of chromosome 9 in the ABL gene with certain breakpoints on chromosome 22 in the BCR gene. No concrete evidence has been provided for the genetic origin of CML, [10] however there is a higher risk of developing CML due to chemical exposure to benzene, organic solvents, alkylating agents and other chemotherapeutic agents and high doses of irradiation. Infectious agents or hereditary factors has not been implicated in CML development. [11]

During disease progression, Ph positive cells may acquire additional cytogenetic defects with underlying mechanisms not fully understood. Some studies have shown tumor suppression genes and leukemic oncogenes to be responsible for this. [12, 14, 15]

CML is characterized by presence of Philadelphia chromosome in different cell lines resulting from reciprocal translocation between chromosomes 9 and 22. This chromosome can also be detected in other patients with acute lymphoblastic anaemia (ALL) and in some cases acute myeloid leukemia (AML). Patients with CML usually exhibit a BCR/ABL protein of molecular mass 210kDa which differs from 190kDa found in ALL patients and 230kDa found in Chronic neutrophilic leukemia (CNL) patients. Increased tyrosine kinase activity has been connected with this disease due to the translocation of ABL and BCR chromosomes. The BCR/ABL fusion gene plays a major role in the pathogenesis of CML. This gene encodes a protein of molecular weight 210 kDa with greater tyrosine kinase activity which affects cell growth, differentiation, decreased apoptosis, and poor adherence of leukemic cells to the bone marrow. Recent data has suggested that BCR/ABL, an oncogene also contributes to an upregulated expression of angiogenic growth factors [12].

The translocation is passed by the leukemic stem cell population, such that both myeloid and lymphoid progeny may carry t (9; 22) in an affected individual. In a smaller number of cases, the Philadelphia chromosome cannot be seen under microscopic karyotypic analysis but is visible through Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) or Fluorescence in-situ Hybridization (FISH). These patients usually have some haematological features typical of myelodysplasia. Ph-negative CML behaves clinically like the Ph-positive CML but varies at a molecular level with different treatments [13].

2.2. Cytogenetics and Molecular Biology

CML diagnosis depends majorly on detection of Ph chromosome with either cytogenetic analysis or PCR. The position of the genomic breakpoint region in ABL gene is variable and most times always located in the intron between exons 1 and 2 as opposed to exons 13-15 in breakpoint region of BCR gene. The resulting fusion transcripts are named e13a2 and e14a2 transcripts, both of which may coexist in one individual due to alternate splicing. These transcripts encode for a 210 kDa protein product (p210). A breakpoint immediately downstream of the first BCR exon produces the e1a2 transcript, which encodes the p190 BCR–ABL1 protein. This is more commonly seen in Ph-positive ALL and rare in CML. Rarely, the BCR breakpoint occurs between exons 17–20, leading to the larger p230 protein from the e19a2 transcript, associated with a more prominent neutrophilia and thrombocytosis. Other fusion transcripts such as e6a2, e8a2, and e18a2 have also been seen which encode for the following rare variant proteins p195, p200, and p225. [15, 16]

BCR/ABL activates 3 major pathways through various signaling molecules namely the p21Ras, the PI-3-kinase-Akt and STAT5-pathway. These pathways exhibit several cross reactions with numerous substrates and molecules. BCR exists in a complex with SOS being a nucleotide exchange factor for Ras which in turn leads to phosphorylation and activation of MAP-kinases. In PI3-kinase-Akt-mTOR
pathway is involved in BCR/ABL-dependent expression of several critical effector molecules including angiogenic cytokine. Also, BCR/ABL phosphorylates STAT5 and that activation of STAT5 contributes to growth and viability of BCR/ABL-transformed cells. [17, 18].

Figure 1. Formation of Philadelphia chromosome and BCR/ABL fusion gene product. Mechanism of leukaemogenesis is shown on right side. (Essentials of Haematology; Shirish M. Kawthalkar).

2.3. Clinical Features of CML

The clinical features of CML are often described in 3 phases. The two commonly used classifications are the WHO classification and European Leukemia Net (ELN) classification. An important differentiation between these 2 is the higher myeloblast count (30%) as opposed to 20% in WHO classification [19]. Most countries particularly in Nigeria however use the WHO classification. WHO criteria for the diagnosis of various phases of CML is shown in Table 1 below:

2.4. Phases of CML

There are 3 phases of CML namely the chronic, accelerated, and blast crisis.

Chronic phase: this stage is the most common stage among newly diagnosed CML patients. Diagnosis during this stage is often accidental with patients often coming in for an unrelated medical problem. Patients may present with weight loss, night sweats, fatigue, and splenomegaly. Haematological abnormalities include leukocytosis ranging from 20-200 x 10⁹/l, PCV between 25-30%. Platelet number are usually increased in the range of 300-600 x 10⁹/l. Anaemia is present in virtually all patients at diagnosis and usually ranges between mild to moderate in degree [20]. Number of leucocyte count is usually directly proportional to size of spleen, basophil count, and percentage of blast cells. Nucleated red cells are occasionally present in the circulation of some patients with alkaline phosphatase in neutrophils being markedly reduced. Bone marrow examination is not compulsory to confirm CML diagnosis but is however carried out to rule out accelerated or blastic phase. Myeloid: erythroid ratio is 10:1 to 50:1 with the normal ratio being 2:1 to 4:1. Myeloblast count is usually below 10% with bone marrow aspiration revealing hypercellular marrow with markedly increased granulopoiesis [9].

Accelerated phase: this phase is characterized by increased resistant of blood cell counts and organomegaly to chemotherapy and impaired differentiation. According to WHO, there may be increased percentage of blast cells (10-19%) as well as increasing leukocytosis, basophilia (>20%), and splenomegaly. Persistence of thrombocytopenia (<100 x 10⁹/l) unrelated to therapy also occurs alongside cytogenetic evidence of clonal evolution such as duplication of Ph chromosome, trisomy 8 and 19 [21].

Blast crisis: this phase is characterized by a rapid increase in blast counts alongside marrow failure as a result due to uninhibited growth of myeloid precursors with absence of maturation or differentiation. The blastic phase of CML is majorly indistinguishable from other cases of acute leukemic transformation. According to WHO classification, it is diagnosed by any of the following: Blast in peripheral blood or bone marrow > 20%, blast proliferation at a site other than bone marrow, focal clustering of blasts in bone marrow. Blast crisis in CML may either be myeloid (70%) or lymphoid (30%) resulting in AML (Acute Myeloid Leukemia) or ALL (Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia) [22].

However, cases of misdiagnosis of chronic stage of CML is common in developing countries like Nigeria where there is a shortage of expertise in Haematology. Most primary health care workers tend to confuse the clinical symptoms of this stage of CML with sepsis (Lukaemoid reaction). Lukaemoid reaction can occur in infections, inflammation, and malignancy showing leukocytosis from left shift usually metamyelocyte and myelocyte stage. Occasionally, blasts may occur but usually less than 2% with the major features of leukaemoid reaction being absence of splenomegaly, or basophilia, presence of toxic granules in neutrophils normal or increased NAP score, and absence of Ph’ chromosome [23]. Differential diagnosis of CML and leukaemic reaction
is shown in the table 2 below:

Some biochemical changes that can be seen in diagnosis of CML patients include: increase in serum alkaline phosphatase, LDH, Potassium level, vitamin B12 and B12 binding capacity. Serum uric acid may also be raised alongside hypercalcemia due to bone destruction [24].

### Table 1. WHO criteria for the diagnosis of various phases of CML

| Parameter | CML chronic phase | CML accelerated phase | CML blast phase |
|-----------|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| Granulocytosis in the presence of Ph-chromosome and/or BCR/ABL. | Increasing spleen size and WBC unresponsive to therapy. | Cyto genetic evidence of clonal evolution. | Blasts ≥ 20% in pb or bm. Extradendullary blast proliferation. |
| No signs of CML-AP or –BP | Peripheral blood basophil ≥ 20% | Persistent thrombocytopenia (<100 x 10^5/L) unrelated to therapy or | Large foci or clusters of blasts in the bone marrow biopsy. |
| | Persistent thrombocytosis (>1000 x 10^5/L) unresponsive to therapy | | |

Shillaber et al., 2003

### Table 2. Differences between chronic myeloid leukemia and leukaemoid reaction.

| Parameter | Chronic myeloid leukemia | Leukaemoid reaction |
|-----------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| Clinical features | Splenomegaly | As per underlying disease |
| Peripheral blood | Usually > 100,000/cmm | Usually < 50,000/cmm |
| a. Leucocyte count | Present | Absent |
| b. Myelocyte and neutrophil peak | Present | Absent |
| c. Basophilia, eosinophilia, monocytes | Present | Present |
| d. ‘Toxic’ granules | Absent | Normal or increased |
| NAP score | Low | Myeloid hyperplasia |
| Bone marrow examination | Trilineage hyperplasia | Normal |
| Genetic analysis | Ph’ chromosome or BCR/ABL gene rearrangement | |

Shirish M. Essentials of Haematology, 2013

### 2.5. Other variants of Chronic Myeloid Leukemia

A couple of other variants of CML include:

- Ph-negative chronic myeloid leukemia: this occurs in about 6% of CML patients. These patients could either have the BCR/ABL gene on chromosome 9q or no BCR/ABL gene at all. They may lack basophilia, blasts, and myelocyte peaks in leucocyte differential. These patients respond poorly to Imatinib, IFN-a, or hydroxyurea and overall their survival is inferior to that of Ph-positive patients [25].

- Chronic myelomonocytic leukemia: this is a rare myeloproliferative condition usually predominant in elderly men. It is characterized by enlarged spleen, lack of Ph’ chromosome, prominence of blood mononcytosis with high monocyte numbers (50 x 10^9/L). Thrombocytopenia is common with basophilia and eosinophilia being absent. It is usually treated using hypomethylating agents such as 5-azacitidine and decitabine [26].

- Chronic neutrophilic leukemia: this is an extremely rare incidentally diagnosed disorder. Patients usually present with raised blood neutrophil count without immature granulocytes, basophilia, or eosinophilia. The marrow is hypercellular but cytogenetic studies are usually normal. Treatment is usually done using hydroxyurea and oral chemotherapy agents [27].

- Eosinophilic leukemia: this is a rare condition characterized by pure proliferation of mature cells. Most patients with idiopathic hypereosinophilia have an interstitial deletion of chromosome 4 that results in a FIP1L1-PDGFRα fusion gene. These patients are often responsive to low doses of imatinib. In cases with no fusion gene, the number of immature cells in blood and marrow is increased and finding of clonal cytogenetic abnormality is a strong indication of eosinophilic leukemia [28].

- Juvenile chronic myeloid leukemia: this is a rare condition affecting children under the ages of 12 years with clinical features such as skin rashes, lymphadenopathy, hepatosplenomegaly and recurrent infections. Leucocyte numbers are increased with variable number of blast cells in the peripheral blood. Such cells are hypersensitive to GM-CSF in vitro. The blood film shows monocytes with elevated levels of haemoglobin F. Neutrophil alkaline phosphatase score is normal and no Ph’ chromosome is detected. The marrow is hypercellular but usually lacks chromosomal abnormalities. The disease responds poorly to standard cytotoxic drugs but patient may benefit from allogenic SCT [29, 30].

### 2.6. Prognostic Factors, Survival, and Scoring Systems

The prognosis of CML patients has continuously changed over the years with development of new drugs. Survival rate or outcome of CML depends solely on the phase of the disease at diagnosis which also varies from person to person, with reduction in survival rates as the disease further advances if left untreated. Prognosis of CML usually occurs in the chronic phase of the disease running a stable course with a median survival time of patients ranging between 3 and 8 years. CML in the blastic phase has the shortest survival rate time (less than 6 months) [31].

Apart from the phase of disease, a number of independent factors also serve as prognostic factors such as age, spleen...
size, liver size, and basophils count. Sokal et al in 1984 and Hashford et al in 1999 also identified some additional factors that affect survival rates in CML [32].

These 2 methods utilize mathematical models derived from similar clinical and laboratory parameters measured at diagnosis to identify 3 risk groups namely the low, intermediate, and high-risk group. The sokal scoring system was developed using patients treated with busulphan and hydroxyurea while taking into account the patient’s age, blast count, spleen size, and platelet count at diagnosis [33]. The Hashford scoring system on the other hand was developed using patients treated with IFN-α while taking into consideration eosinophil, and basophil count in addition to values included in the sokal system [34]. Below are the mathematical expressions for both scores.

Sokal Score = \exp [0.0116 \text{ (age - 43.4)} + 0.0345 \text{ (spleen size - 7.51)} + 0.188 \text{ [(platelet count ÷ 700) - 0.563] + 0.0887 \text{ (percentage blasts - 2.10)}}]

Low risk = < 0.8; Intermediate risk = 0.8 – 1.2; High risk = > 1.2 [33]

Hasford/Euro Prognostic Score = (0.6666 x age [0 when age <50 years; 1, otherwise] + 0.0420 x spleen size [cm below costal margin] + 0.0584 x blasts [%] + 0.0413 x eosinophils [%] + 0.2039 x basophils [0 when basophils <3%; 1, otherwise] +1.0956 x platelet count [0 when platelets <1500 x 10^9/L; 1, otherwise]) x 1000.

Low Risk = < 780; Intermediate Risk = 780 - 1480; High Risk = >1480 [34]

The sokal scoring system also identified other significant prognostic indicators such as sex, eosinophil, and basophil count, serum lactate dehydrogenase activity, haematocrit and nucleated red blood cells in the peripheral blood. A new sokal score was devised by sokal and his colleagues in 1985 for young patients (lesser than 45 years) however this study was shown to be inadequate in discriminating between the intermediate and high-risk groups of survival by Hashford [35].

Hashford subsequently developed a new scoring system that showed better discrimination between risk groups with patients in the lowest risk group having a median survival time of 98 months, in the intermediate risk group it was 65 months and in the highest risk group, it was about 42 months [36]. This score has however not been used many times since its development due to it being replaced by the “Euro score” [37]. Euro score was the result of international project focused on the development of score valid specifically for CML patients treated with interferon. Another prognostic score was developed in an attempt to develop a score more suitable for patients treated with tyrosine kinase inhibitor called “EUTOS score” [38]. This was based on the end-point of achievement of complete cytogenetic response at 18 months of treatment with TKI. This end-point will most times predict progression-free survival of TKI-treated patients [39].

Some other scoring systems aside Hashford and Sokal have been developed such as “Tura score”, “Cervantes score”, Kantaranjian score” and “Grathwohl/EMBT score”. Using these scoring systems, responses to therapy, survival, and risk of transformation, can be estimated. Grathwohl / EMBT score has been used to estimate the probability of a successful allogeneic Stem Cell Transplantation (SCT) in individual patients with CML. This score includes a number of variables known to be indicative of a higher risk to die from SCT related complications. Most important ‘risk-indicators’ in this regard appear to be age, type and sex of donor, and time from disease-onset. Pictorial representation of the scores and their factors is shown below [31].

Table 3. Overview of all prognostic scores historically used for assessment of CML patients [31].
2.7. Treatment Options of CML

The only curative treatment of CML today is stem cell transplantation with all other forms of therapy being non-curative although some of them may result in prolonged cytogenetic remission [40]. Monotherapy with a tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI) that targets the ABL1 kinase is currently regarded as standard treatment for the chronic and advanced phases of CML. Antimetabolites (such as cytarabine, hydroxyurea), alkylating agents, interferon alfa 2b, and steroids were earlier used for treating CML-CP, but these drugs have been replaced by imatinib. The blastic phase of CML may be treated either with a TKI alone or in combination with chemotherapy [40]. Prior to the introduction of tyrosine kinase inhibitors, the following treatment options were used against CML.

Busulphan is an oral alkylating agent generally used for conditioning before transplant procedures. Patients occasionally develop severe pancytopenia with bone marrow hypoplasia on standard dose. Other toxic effects include cutaneous pigmentation, pulmonary fibrosis and a wasting syndrome resembling hypo-adrenalism [41].

Hydroxyurea is a ribonucleotide reductase inhibitor that works by targeting relatively mature myeloid progenitors in a proliferative cycle. A few side effects of hydroxyurea at high dosage includes nausea, ulcer of the buccal cavity, skin rashes, diarrhea, and megaloblastic changes in the marrow [41].

Interferon-alpha (IFN-α) is a member of a large family of glycoproteins with a much higher survival rate compared to hydroxyurea. Toxicity of IFN-α is common in older patients with side effects ranging from fevers, shivers, muscle aches etc. Patients might also develop intolerance to the drug on account of weight loss, lethargy, and anorexia [41].

With the adverse side effects related to the above stated treatment options, the approval of imatinib mesylate in December, 2002 by the US FDA has changed the natural history of CML changed dramatically.

2.7.1. Imatinib

Imatinib was the first tyrosine kinase inhibitor to be licensed by the United State FDA in 2001 for use in treatment of CML due to its ability to specifically target BCR-ABL caused by the Philadelphia chromosome translocation.

Imatinib is an oral 2 phenylaminopyrimidine derivative that acts as a tyrosine kinase inhibitor with selectivity for the ABL kinase. It is a potent competitive inhibitor of ATP binding to ABL kinase, as well as to the c-Kit and PDGF receptor tyrosine kinases. As a result, it specifically blocks the BCR-ABL fusion kinase, as well as activated c-Kit mutants in gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GIST), and preventing activation of mutations of the PDGF receptor tyrosine kinase in metastatic dermatofibrosarcoma protuberans. [42, 43]. Its effect on other cancers resulting from activation of ABL, c-kit, or PDGF (e.g., small cell lung cancer, prostate cancer, stromal and vascular tumors) is currently being evaluated. A few adverse effects of Imatinib are edema, nausea and vomiting, muscle cramps, musculoskeletal pain, diarrhea, and rash (occurring more frequently) with dry skin, alopecia, and abdominal distension occurring less frequently. Imatinib is known to be metabolized by cytochrome-P450, mostly the CYP3A4 and CYP3A5 isofroms, and to some extent CYP2D6, CYP2C9, CYP1A2 and CYP2C9. The active uptake of imatinib into the cells is mediated mainly by OCT1, OCTN2 transporters while its efflux is mediated by P-GP.

A study done by Hashford et al in 2004 revealed the estimated 10-year survival probability of imatinib to be 51% compared to 26% for IFN-a [19, 20] with another study carried out at Ile-Ife, Nigeria, by Durosimi et al. showing a complete cytogenetic response (CCR) rate of 59% and major cytogenetic response (MCR) of 35%, achieved in six months in a median follow up of 25 months [44]. Another study also conducted in Italy in patients with CP CML who have failed IFN-a showed imatinib mesylate to effectively induce high CHR and cytogenetic response rates with relatively few side effects with CHR being 95%, MCR 60%, and complete cytogenetic remission 46% [45]. A study by Adeagbo et al. showed ABCB1 C3435T had higher frequencies of complete haematological response (CHR), complete cytogenetic response (CCR) and major molecular response (MMR) [46].

A number of other factors contribute to the survival of patients such as patient’s response to initial treatment, the time duration between the diagnosis and onset of Glivec therapy and also the use of conventional chemotherapy before the start of Glivec therapy.

However, despite these promising results obtained in imatinib, several CML patients in Nigeria have developed resistance to the drug most probably due to increased

| Score (reference) | Factors and their values; equation | Risk groups |
|-------------------|------------------------------------|-------------|
| Sokal             | Exp [(0.0116 (age - 43.4) + 0.0345 (spleen size below costal margin (cm) - 7.51) + 0.188 ([platelet count: 700]2 –0.563) + 0.0887 (blasts in peripheral blood (%2 – 2.1)] | Low risk: < 0.8 |
|                   |                                    | Intermediate risk: 0.8 - 1.2 |
|                   |                                    | High risk: > 1.2 |
|                   |                                    | Low risk: < 0.8 |
|                   |                                    | Intermediate risk: 0.8 - 1.2 |
|                   |                                    | High risk: > 1.2 |
|                   |                                    | Low risk: < 780 |
|                   |                                    | Intermediate risk: > 780 ≤ 1480 |
|                   |                                    | High risk: > 1480 |
|                   |                                    | Low risk: ≤ 87 |
|                   |                                    | High risk: > 87 |
| Hasford           | 0.011 x age + 0.035 x spleen size below costal margin (cm) + 0.101 x erythroblasts in peripheral blood (%2 + 0.11 x % eosinophils in peripheral blood (%2 + 0.35 x gender (male = 2, female = 1) | Low risk: < 0.8 |
|                   |                                    | Intermediate risk: 0.8 - 1.2 |
|                   |                                    | High risk: > 1.2 |
|                   |                                    | Low risk: < 780 |
|                   |                                    | Intermediate risk: > 780 ≤ 1480 |
|                   |                                    | High risk: > 1480 |
|                   |                                    | Low risk: ≤ 87 |
|                   |                                    | High risk: > 87 |
| Euro              | (0.666 x age [ if age < 50 years otherwise 1]) + 0.042 x spleen size below costal margin (cm) + 0.0584 x blasts in peripheral blood (%2 + 0.0413 x eosinophils in peripheral blood (%2 + 0.2039 x basophils in peripheral blood (%2 [if basophils < 3% otherwise 1]) + 1.0956 x platelet count [if platelet count < 1500 x 109/l otherwise 1]) x1000 | Low risk: < 0.8 |
|                   |                                    | Intermediate risk: 0.8 - 1.2 |
|                   |                                    | High risk: > 1.2 |
|                   |                                    | Low risk: < 780 |
|                   |                                    | Intermediate risk: > 780 ≤ 1480 |
|                   |                                    | High risk: > 1480 |
|                   |                                    | Low risk: ≤ 87 |
|                   |                                    | High risk: > 87 |
| EUTOS             | 7 x basophils in peripheral blood (% + 4 x spleen size below costal margin (cm) | Low risk: < 0.8 |
|                   |                                    | Intermediate risk: 0.8 - 1.2 |
|                   |                                    | High risk: > 1.2 |

Factors and their values; equation

Risk groups

- High risk: > 1.2
- Intermediate risk: 0.8 - 1.2
- Low risk: < 1.2
genomic instability causing CML progenitor cells to acquire a number of genetic alterations. Identification of the molecular basis of resistance is important, because it could provide insight into disease progression and into the design of novel therapeutic strategies to prevent and overcome treatment resistance. CML patients with imatinib mesylate resistance can be divided into those with primary refractory disease, which frequently occurs in accelerated or blastic phase, and those who relapse after initial response, common in chronic phase patients. Due to the difficulty in measuring BCR/ABL enzymatic activity in the blood, the level of phosphorylation of the BCR/ABL substrate protein called CRKL, is used to determine the endpoint of tyrosine kinase activity. Patients with high levels of CRKL phosphorylation despite treatment with imatinib usually show resistance based on 3 different mechanisms namely: BCR/ABL gene amplification, BCR/ABL mutations, and high plasma levels of α1-acid glycoprotein (AGP). In BCR/ABL gene amplification, an increase in BCR/ABL expression caused by double Ph+ chromosome for this resistance. Consequently, BCR/ABL mutation arises from mutation in the amino acid of ATP binding sites of the tyrosine kinase domain preventing imatinib from binding to BCR/ABL thereby causing lack of inhibition to tyrosine kinase activity. The 3rd mechanism of resistance involves high plasma levels of AGP which has been shown to bind imatinib at physiological concentrations thereby blocking its ability to inhibit BCR/ABL kinase activity in a dose dependent manner [47]. In the long-term, only 70% of CML patients taking imatinib maintain a perfect response. Development of resistance to imatinib over the years has led to the development of new tyrosine kinase inhibitor drugs with higher survival rate for CML patients.

Examples of these drugs are: Nilotinib, Dasatinib, Bosutinib, and Ponatinib.

### 2.7.2. Nilotinib

This is a second-generation TKI effective against imatinib resistant patients. In addition to BCR–ABL1, it also inhibits PDGFR and c-kit. It is active against a range of imatinib-resistant kinase domain mutations, except for T315I, F359V/C, E255K/V and Y253H/F. Common associated biochemical abnormalities include elevations in serum liver enzymes, lipase and amylase, and hyperbilirubinaemia with pancreatitis occurring less frequently. Incidence of cross intolerance with imatinib is low. Although all TKIs can increase survival rate of patients, the effect of nilotinib is perhaps the best documented. Nilotinib is currently indicated for second-line treatment of CML-CP and CML-AP in patients who have resistance or intolerance to at least one TKI at a dose of 400 mg BID. There is currently inadequate clinical evidence to recommend its use in Ph+ ALL or CML-AP. The data suggesting that treatment resistance commonly occurs in accelerated or blastic phase. The data surrounding its frontline use came from the ENESTnd study, which showed CML-CP patients treated with frontline nilotinib (at either 300 mg BID or 400 mg BID) have decreased risk of disease transformation to AP/BP, reduced risk of kinase domain mutation acquisition, and higher achievement of MMR/MR when compared to imatinib treated patients.

### 2.7.3. Dasatinib

Dasatinib is a second-generation TKI and a dual SRC/ABL inhibitor, though it also inhibits a number of other tyrosine kinases. It is approved for frontline treatment of CML-CP, as well as cases resistant or intolerant to at least one prior TKI in all phases, and for second-line use in Philadelphia positive ALL. It has the greatest in vitro activity against BCR–ABL1 amongst the three TKIs approved for frontline treatment and, unlike imatinib, dasatinib can bind to BCR–ABL1 in active conformation. The dosage for Dasatinib is 100 mg for CP and 140 mg for advanced phases taken with or without food. In the Phase III DASISION study, dasatinib-treated patients had superior achievement of MMR (34%) and CR (77%) in 24 months [48, 49] compared to imatinib treatment, though no significant difference in overall survival and progression-free survival was demonstrated. Interestingly, the number of patients who developed kinase domain mutations was similar in both arms, with a higher number of T315I cases in dasatinib treated patients.

### 2.7.4. Bosutinib

Bosutinib is a second generation TKI indicated for the treatment of CML patients with intolerance or resistance to at least one other TKI, for all disease phases. It is administered as a single daily dose of 500 mg daily. In the second-line setting, approximately 50% of CML-CP patients who switch from imatinib to bosutinib will achieve CCyR. This fall to less than one-third for patients who have failed two previous TKIs, and is 25% and 20% in accelerated and blastic phases, respectively. Clinical evidence suggests inferior responses for patients with the V299L, L248V, F359C (and perhaps G250E) mutations. The T315I mutant clone is totally resistant to bosutinib. Evaluation of bosutinib’s efficacy in the frontline setting is ongoing. Gastrointestinal side effects, including diarrhoea, nausea, abdominal pain and vomiting are uncommon and experienced by up to 80% of patients. Other common non-haematological toxicities include rash, headache and liver enzyme elevations [50].

### 2.7.5. Ponatinib

Ponatinib is a third-generation TKI, and is the only drug with activity against the T315I mutation. Cases with compound mutations (where more than one kinase domain point mutation is present in the same BCR–ABL1 transcript), especially those involving the E255K/V mutation, are potentially resistant to this drug. Ponatinib is effective in patients with resistance or intolerance to at least one previous line of treatment in all phases of CML disease, as well as Ph+–ALL, especially in patients with T315I as the only known mechanism of resistance. Rash, elevated lipase,
pancreatitis and headaches seem to be common non-
haematological toxicities of the drug. Of significant concern,
27% of patients who participated in early clinical studies
reported having had either arterial or venous thrombotic
events. In light of emerging toxicities, a Phase III study
comparing imatinib to ponatinib as frontline therapy was
prematurely terminated, though ponatinib retains marketing
approval in the EU and US for Ph+ leukaemias with the
T315I mutation, and in cases where other TKIs have no real
prospect of therapeutic success. This drug should be used
with extreme caution and at the lowest effective dose in
patients with risk factors for vascular disease, and patients
with a history of vascular events may not be suitable
candidates for this drug [51].

The only “curative” treatment of CML in the world today
is stem cell transplantation.

3. Mutations to Tyrosine Kinase
Inhibitors in CML

Mutations in the BCR/ABL kinase domain may cause
resistance to tyrosine kinase inhibitors in CML patients.
Since the first imatinib-resistant cases, point mutations in
the kinase domain (KD) of BCR/ABL have been identified. A
study conducted by Willis et al showed T315I as the most
frequent mutation among imatinib treated patients, followed
by Y253H, Q252H, and F359V being less frequent. Nilotinib
is active against a range of imatinib-resistant kinase domain
mutations, except for T315I, F359V/C, E255K/V and
Y253H/F. Dasatinib and nilotinib when used as a second line
treatment of imatinib-intolerant or -resistant patients, leads to
the emergence of mutations [T315I, V299L, T315A,
F317L/V/I/C] for Dasatinib or nilotinib [E255K/V, Y253H,
F359V/C/I]. However, their use as first line drugs yields
fewer mutations in T315I and E255K respectively [52].
Clinical evidence has shown Bosutinib to have inferior
responses for patients with the V299L, L248V, F359C and
Q252H mutations while being totally resistant to T315I
mutation. Ponatinib is a third-generation TKI, and is the only
drug with activity against the T315I mutation. Therefore, —
In case of a T315I mutation, which is highly resistant to
imatinib, dasatinib, and nilotinib, there are no pharmacologic
opportunities other than investigational compounds in phase
1/2 clinical development. —In case of V299L, T315A, or
F317L/V/I/C mutations, nilotinib is probably more effective
than dasatinib. —In case of Y253H, E255K/V, or F359V/C/I
mutations, dasatinib is probably more effective than nilotinib.
—In case of any other mutation, dasatinib and nilotinib are
likely to be similarly effective [53].

4. Blood Transfusion for Leukemia
Patients

In addition to cancer treatments such as chemotherapy and
stem cell transplants, leukemia patients may receive
supportive treatments to help them cope with the illness.
Since both the illness and chemotherapy can disrupt red
blood cell development, causing anaemia, thrombocytopenia,
or neutropenia, people with leukemia often receive whole
blood or blood products to supplement their treatment.
Similarly, patients undergoing stem cell transplantation are
given heavy doses of chemotherapy, which depletes natural
red blood cell reserves. This can be counteracted with
transfusing the patients with red blood cells [56]. Some
typical examples of blood products transfused to leukemia
patients are: red cell transfusion, platelet transfusion,
granulocyte transfusion, plasma transfusion, albumin
transfusion and intravenous gamma globulin. Red cell
transfusion is given to anaemic patients to prevent shortness
of breath and rapid heartbeat. Platelet transfusion is given to
prevent excessive bleeding. Granulocytes are transfused to
help patients with low white blood cells fight off antibiotic
resistant infections. Fresh frozen plasma and cryoprecipitate
may be transfused to patients with low level of blood clotting
factors. Albumin is mainly given to patients with severe liver
malfucion. Gamma globulins are usually given to patients
undergoing stem cell transplant to reduce the risk of
cytomegalovirus infection [57].

5. Prospects and Challenges

Although, there has been significant improvement in the
treatment outcome of CML patients in the last decade,
several improvements can still be made. In many developing
countries such as Nigeria, antimetabolites (hydroxyurea) are
still being used in many centres for the initial cytoreduction of CML to a complete haematological response. This is due to the fact that only one Imatinib centre is available in the country at no cost to patient’s courtesy of Novartis, Max foundation and Axios International. Propolis lecithin has shown to be promising and is currently under research.

Nigeria being the most populous black nation with a population of over 200 million people is exceptionally placed to be a corner stone for HSCT in the Africa in the coming years [54]. However, to achieve this, some actions have to be taken one of which includes development of more HSCT centres in the country with only a few in private health facilities being currently functional. This alongside other challenges such as limited expertise, erratic power supply, poor infrastructure, maintenance capabilities, and poor political support have limited its advancement in Nigeria. There is therefore a need to invest more resources to train more skilled personnel, acquire more equipment and maintain them better. It is equally important to improve on health insurance scheme, and sensitize policy makers on the need for these services and improved healthcare funding.

6. Conclusion and Recommendation

Conclusively, chronic myeloid leukemia is a curable disease with a high survival rate if detected early, but becomes increasingly aggressive and resistant to therapy as it progresses to accelerated and blastic phase. This has led to improvements in methods used for accurate diagnosis of the disease.

However, developing countries that have low resource economy like Nigeria do not have enough technical expertise and equipment to facilitate this. Treatment options should be delivered on a person to person basis based on their clinical symptoms displayed by the patient. However, hematopoietic stem cell transplantation remains the only curative treatment approach, and should be considered as long as the patient can afford it.

Development of resistance to TKI’s over the years has led experts to look for additional targeted and conventional anti-leukemic drugs.

We therefore recommend that the Federal Government of Nigeria provide more support in the form of funding, legislative policies, opening more centres for Imatinib treatment of CML in the country. Also, improving capacity building and power generation will help health facilities performing these procedures, thereby improving the survival rate of CML patients and reduce medical tourism to other developed countries.
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