A Note on Degenerate Euler and Bernoulli Polynomials of Complex Variable
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Abstract: Recently, the so-called new type Euler polynomials have been studied without considering Euler polynomials of a complex variable. Here we study degenerate versions of these new type Euler polynomials. This has been done by considering the degenerate Euler polynomials of a complex variable. We also investigate corresponding ones for Bernoulli polynomials in the same manner. We derive some properties and identities for those new polynomials. Here we note that our result gives an affirmative answer to the question raised by the reviewer of the paper.
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1. Introduction

The ordinary Bernoulli polynomials $B_n(x)$ and Euler polynomials $E_n(x)$ are respectively defined by

$$\frac{t}{e^t - 1}e^{xt} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(x) \frac{t^n}{n!},$$

and

$$\frac{2}{e^t + 1}e^{xt} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_n(x) \frac{t^n}{n!},$$

(see [1–20]).

For any nonzero $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, the degenerate exponential function is defined by

$$e^{\lambda t}(t) = (1 + \lambda t)^{\frac{t}{\lambda}}, \quad e_{\lambda}(t) = e^{\lambda t}(t),$$

(see [8]).

In [1,2], Carlitz considered the degenerate Bernoulli and Euler polynomials which are given by

$$\frac{t}{e_{\lambda}(t) - 1}e^{\lambda t}(t) = \frac{t}{(1 + \lambda t)^{\frac{t}{\lambda}} - 1} (1 + \lambda t)^{\frac{t}{\lambda}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_{n,\lambda}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!},$$

and

$$\frac{2}{e_{\lambda}(t) + 1}e^{\lambda t}(t) = \frac{2}{(1 + \lambda t)^{\frac{t}{\lambda}} + 1} (1 + \lambda t)^{\frac{t}{\lambda}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{E}_{n,\lambda}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!}.$$

Note that

$$\lim_{\lambda \to 0} \beta_{n,\lambda}(x) = B_n(x), \quad \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \mathcal{E}_{n,\lambda}(x) = E_n(x).$$
The falling factorial sequence is defined as
\[(x)_0 = 1, \quad (x)_n = x(x-1)\cdots(x-n+1), \quad (n \geq 1),\]
(see [17]).

The Stirling numbers of the first kind are defined by the coefficients in the expansion of \((x)^n\) in terms of powers of \(x\) as follows:
\[(x)^n = \sum_{l=0}^{n} S^{(1)}(n, l) x^l, \quad (n \geq 0),\]
(see, [7,11,17]).

The Stirling numbers of the second kind are defined by
\[x^n = \sum_{l=0}^{n} S^{(2)}(n, l) x^l, \quad (n \geq 0),\]
(see [9,10,17]).

In [9], the degenerate stirling numbers of the second kind are defined by
\[\frac{1}{k!} (e^{\lambda t} - 1)^k = \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} S^{(2)}_{\lambda}(n, k) \frac{t^n}{n!}, \quad (k \geq 0).\]
(see [15]).

They also considered the cosine-polynomials and sine-polynomials defined by
\[e^{pt} \cos qt = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n(p, q) \frac{t^n}{n!}, \quad (11)\]
and
\[e^{pt} \sin qt = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} S_n(p, q) \frac{t^n}{n!}, \quad (12)\]
(see [15]).

In [15], the authors deduced many interesting identities and properties for those polynomials.

It is well known that
\[e^{ix} = \cos x + i \sin x, \quad \text{where} \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad i = \sqrt{-1},\]
(see [20]).

From (1) and (2), we note that
\[\frac{t}{e^t - 1} e^{(x+iy)t} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(x + iy) \frac{t^n}{n!}, \quad (14)\]
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2. Degenerate Euler Polynomials of Complex Variable

Here we will consider the degenerate Euler polynomials of complex variable and, by treating the real and imaginary parts separately, introduce the degenerate cosine-Euler polynomials and degenerate sine-Euler polynomials. They are degenerate versions of the new type Euler polynomials studied in [15].

The degenerate sine and cosine functions are defined by

\[
\cos_{\lambda} t = \frac{e_{\lambda}^{i}(t) + e_{\lambda}^{-i}(t)}{2}, \quad \sin_{\lambda} t = \frac{e_{\lambda}^{i}(t) - e_{\lambda}^{-i}(t)}{2i}.
\] (17)

From (13), we note that

\[
\lim_{\lambda \to 0} \cos_{\lambda} t = \cos t, \quad \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \sin_{\lambda} t = \sin t.
\]

By (5), we get

\[
\frac{2}{e_{\lambda}(t) + 1} e^{x+iy}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{n,\lambda}(x + iy) \frac{t^n}{n!}.
\] (18)
and
\[
\frac{2}{\varepsilon_\lambda(t) + 1} e^{x-iy}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{E}_{n,\lambda}(x - iy) \frac{t^n}{n!}.
\] (19)

Now, we define the degenerate cosine and degenerate sine function as
\[
\cos^{(y)}(t) = \frac{e_{\lambda}^{iy}(t) + e_{\lambda}^{-iy}(t)}{2} = \cos \left( \frac{y}{\lambda} \log(1 + \lambda t) \right),
\] (20)
\[
\sin^{(y)}(t) = \frac{e_{\lambda}^{iy}(t) - e_{\lambda}^{-iy}(t)}{2i} = \sin \left( \frac{y}{\lambda} \log(1 + \lambda t) \right).
\] (21)

Note that \( \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \cos^{(y)}(t) = \cos yt \), \( \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \sin^{(y)}(t) = \sin yt \).

From (18) and (19), we note that
\[
\frac{2}{\varepsilon_\lambda(t) + 1} e_\lambda(t) \cos^{(y)}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \mathcal{E}_{n,\lambda}(x + iy) + \mathcal{E}_{n,\lambda}(x - iy) \right) \frac{t^n}{n!}.
\] (22)

and
\[
\frac{2}{\varepsilon_\lambda(t) + 1} e_\lambda(t) \sin^{(y)}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \mathcal{E}_{n,\lambda}(x + iy) - \mathcal{E}_{n,\lambda}(x - iy) \right) \frac{t^n}{n!}.
\] (23)

In view of (9) and (10), we define the degenerate cosine-Euler polynomials and degenerate sine-Euler polynomials respectively by
\[
\frac{2}{\varepsilon_\lambda(t) + 1} e_\lambda(t) \cos^{(y)}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{E}_{n,\lambda}^{(c)}(x, y) \frac{t^n}{n!},
\] (24)
and
\[
\frac{2}{\varepsilon_\lambda(t) + 1} e_\lambda(t) \sin^{(y)}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{E}_{n,\lambda}^{(s)}(x, y) \frac{t^n}{n!}.
\] (25)

Note that \( \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \mathcal{E}_{n,\lambda}^{(c)}(x, y) = E_n^{(c)}(x, y) \), \( \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \mathcal{E}_{n,\lambda}^{(s)}(x, y) = E_n^{(s)}(x, y) \), \( (n \geq 0) \), where \( E_n^{(c)}(x, y) \) and \( E_n^{(s)}(x, y) \) are the new type of Euler polynomials of Masjed-Jamei, Beyki and Koepf (see [15]).

From (22)–(25), we note that
\[
\mathcal{E}_{n,\lambda}^{(c)}(x, y) = \mathcal{E}_{n,\lambda}(x + iy) + \mathcal{E}_{n,\lambda}(x - iy),
\] (26)
and
\[
\mathcal{E}_{n,\lambda}^{(s)}(x, y) = \frac{\mathcal{E}_{n,\lambda}(x + iy) - \mathcal{E}_{n,\lambda}(x - iy)}{2i}, \quad (n \geq 0).
\] (27)

We recall here that the generalized falling factorial sequence is defined by
\[
(x)_{0,\lambda} = 1, \quad (x)_{n,\lambda} = x(x - \lambda)(x - 2\lambda) \cdots (x - (n - 1)\lambda), \quad (n \geq 1).
\]

Note that \( \lim_{\lambda \to 1} (x)_{n,\lambda} = (x)_n \), \( \lim_{\lambda \to 0} (x)_{n,\lambda} = x^n \).
We observe that
\[ e^{iy}_\lambda(t) = (1 + \lambda t)^\frac{iy}{\lambda} = e^{\frac{iy}{\lambda}} \log(1 + \lambda t) \]  
\[ = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{iy}{\lambda} \right)^k \frac{1}{k!} (\log(1 + \lambda t))^k \]
\[ = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-k} (iy)^k \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} S^{(1)}(n,k) \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} t^n \]
\[ = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n} \lambda^{n-k} k^k y^k S^{(1)}(n,k) \right) \frac{t^n}{n!}. \]

From (20), we can derive the following equation.
\[ \cos^{(y)}_\lambda(t) = \frac{e^{iy}_\lambda(t) + e^{-iy}_\lambda(t)}{2} \]
\[ = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n} \lambda^{n-k} (j^k + (-i)^k) y^k S^{(1)}(n,k) \right) \frac{t^n}{n!} \]
\[ = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor} \lambda^{n-2k} (-1)^k y^{2k} S^{(1)}(n,2k) \right) \frac{t^n}{n!} \]
\[ = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{n=2k}^{\infty} \lambda^{n-2k} (-1)^k y^{2k} S^{(1)}(n,2k) \right) \frac{t^n}{n!}. \]

Note that
\[ \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \cos^{(y)}_\lambda(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k y^{2k} \frac{t^{2k}}{(2k)!} = \cos yt. \]

By (21), we get
\[ \sin^{(y)}_\lambda(t) = \frac{e^{iy}_\lambda(t) - e^{-iy}_\lambda(t)}{2i} \]
\[ = \frac{1}{2i} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n} \lambda^{n-k} (j^k - (-i)^k) y^k S^{(1)}(n,k) \right) \frac{t^n}{n!} \]
\[ = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \right\rfloor} \lambda^{n-2k-1} (-1)^k y^{2k+1} S^{(1)}(n,2k+1) \right) \frac{t^n}{n!} \]
\[ = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{n=2k+1}^{\infty} (-1)^k \lambda^{n-2k-1} y^{2k+1} S^{(1)}(n,2k+1) \frac{t^n}{n!} \right) y^{2k+1}, \]

where \([x]\) denotes the greatest integer \(\leq x\).

Note that
\[ \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \sin^{(y)}_\lambda(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k y^{2k+1} \frac{t^{2k+1}}{(2k+1)!} = \sin(yt). \]
Theorem 1. For $n \geq 0$, we have

\[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e_{n,\lambda}(x + iy)^n \frac{t^n}{n!} = \frac{2}{e_{\lambda}(t) + 1} e_{\lambda}^x(t) \cdot e_{\lambda}^y(t) \]

\[ = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} e_{i,\lambda}(x)^{\frac{i}{\pi}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (iy)^{i,\lambda} \frac{t^j}{j!} \]

\[ = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{l=0}^{n} \binom{n}{l} (iy)_{n-l,\lambda} e_{i,\lambda}(x) \right) \frac{t^n}{n!}. \tag{31} \]

On the other hand

\[ \frac{2}{e_{\lambda}(t) + 1} e_{\lambda}^x(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e_{n,\lambda}(x + iy)^n \frac{t^n}{n!} \]

\[ = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{l=0}^{n} \binom{n}{l} (x + iy)_{n-l,\lambda} \frac{t^j}{j!} \right) \]

\[ = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{l=0}^{n} \binom{n}{l} (x + iy)_{n-l,\lambda} e_{i,\lambda}(x) \right) \frac{t^n}{n!}. \tag{32} \]

Therefore, by (31) and (32), we obtain the following theorem,

Theorem 1. For $n \geq 0$, we have

\[ e_{n,\lambda}(x + iy) = \sum_{l=0}^{n} \binom{n}{l} (iy)_{n-l,\lambda} e_{l,\lambda}(x) \]

\[ = \sum_{l=0}^{n} \binom{n}{l} (x + iy)_{n-l,\lambda} e_{l,\lambda}. \]

Also, we have

\[ e_{n,\lambda}(x - iy) = \sum_{l=0}^{n} \binom{n}{l} (-1)^{n-l} (iy)_{n-l,\lambda} e_{l,\lambda}(x) \]

\[ = \sum_{l=0}^{n} \binom{n}{l} (-1)^{n-l} (iy - x)_{n-l,\lambda} e_{l,\lambda}, \]

where $\langle x \rangle_{0,\lambda} = 1$, $\langle x \rangle_{n,\lambda} = x(x + \lambda) \cdots (x + \lambda(n - 1))$, $(n \geq 1)$.

By (29), we get

\[ e_{\lambda}^x(t) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (x)_{l,\lambda} \frac{t^l}{l!} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \lambda^{m-2k} (-1)^k y^{2k} S^{(1)}(1, 2k) \frac{t^m}{m!} \]

\[ = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \binom{n}{m} \lambda^{m-2k} (-1)^k y^{2k} S^{(1)}(1, 2k)(x)_{n-m,\lambda} \frac{t^m}{m!} \right) \]

and

\[ e_{\lambda}^y(t) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (y)_{l,\lambda} \frac{t^l}{l!} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \lambda^{m-2k-1} (-1)^k y^{2k+1} S^{(1)}(1, 2k+1)(x)_{n-m,\lambda} \frac{t^m}{m!} \]

\[ = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \binom{n-1}{m} \lambda^{m-2k-1} (-1)^k y^{2k+1} S^{(1)}(1, 2k+1)(x)_{n-m,\lambda} \frac{t^m}{m!} \right). \tag{34} \]
Now, we define the degenerate cosine-polynomials and degenerate sine-polynomials respectively by

\[ e_{\lambda}^{(c)}(t) \cos(y)(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_{k,\lambda}(x, y) \frac{t^k}{k!} \]  

and

\[ e_{\lambda}^{(s)}(t) \sin(y)(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} S_{k,\lambda}(x, y) \frac{t^k}{k!}. \]  

Note that

\[ \lim_{\lambda \to 0} C_{k,\lambda}(x, y) = C_k(x, y), \quad \lim_{\lambda \to 0} S_{k,\lambda}(x, y) = S_k(x, y), \]

where \( C_k(x, y) \) and \( S_k(x, y) \) are the cosine-polynomials and sine-polynomials of Masijed-Jamei, Beyki and Koepef.

Therefore, by (33)–(36), we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** For \( n \geq 0 \), we have

\[ C_{n,\lambda}(x, y) = \sum_{m=0}^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{ \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor } \left( \begin{array}{c} n \\ m \end{array} \right) \lambda^{m-2k} (-1)^k y^{2k} s^{(1)}(m, 2k)(x)_{n-m,\lambda} \]

\[ = \sum_{k=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor} \sum_{m=2k}^{n} \left( \begin{array}{c} n \\ m \end{array} \right) \lambda^{m-2k} (-1)^k y^{2k} s^{(1)}(m, 2k)(x)_{n-m,\lambda}. \]

Also, for \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), we have

\[ S_{n,\lambda}(x, y) = \sum_{m=1}^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{ \left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \right\rfloor } \left( \begin{array}{c} n \\ m \end{array} \right) \lambda^{m-2k-1} (-1)^k y^{2k+1} s^{(1)}(m, 2k+1)(x)_{n-m,\lambda} \]

\[ = \sum_{k=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \right\rfloor} \sum_{m=2k+1}^{n} \left( \begin{array}{c} n \\ m \end{array} \right) \lambda^{m-2k-1} (-1)^k y^{2k+1} s^{(1)}(m, 2k+1)(x)_{n-m,\lambda}. \]

and \( S_{0,\lambda}(x, y) = 0 \).

From (24), we note that

\[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e_{n,\lambda}^{(c)}(x, y) \frac{t^n}{n!} = \frac{2}{e_{\lambda}(t) + 1} e_{\lambda}^{(s)}(t) \cos(y)(t) \]

\[ = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} E_{m,\lambda} \frac{t^m}{m!} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} C_{l,\lambda}(x, y) \frac{t^l}{l!} \]

\[ = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{m=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor} \left( \begin{array}{c} n \\ m \end{array} \right) E_{m,\lambda} C_{n-m,\lambda}(x, y) \right) \frac{t^n}{n!}. \]

On the other hand,

\[ \frac{2}{e_{\lambda}(t) + 1} e_{\lambda}^{(s)}(t) \cos(y)(t) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} E_{m,\lambda}(x) \frac{t^m}{m!} \sum_{l=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{l-2k} (-1)^k y^{2k} s^{(1)}(l, 2k) \frac{t^l}{l!} \]

\[ = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor} \sum_{l=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor} \left( \begin{array}{c} n \\ l \end{array} \right) \lambda^{l-2k} (-1)^k y^{2k} s^{(1)}(l, 2k) \frac{t^l}{l!} \right) \frac{t^n}{n!}. \]
By (30), we get
\[
\frac{2}{e_\lambda(t) + 1} e^x(t) \sin^y(t) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} E_{m,\lambda}(x) \frac{t^m}{m!} \sum_{l=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{l}{2} \right\rfloor} \sum_{k=0}^{l} (-1)^k \lambda^{l-2k-1} y^{2k+1} S^{(1)}(l, 2k + 1) \frac{t^l}{l!} \tag{39}
\]
\[
= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{l=1}^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{l} \left( \begin{array}{c} n \cr l \end{array} \right) \lambda^{l-2k-1} (-1)^k y^{2k+1} S^{(1)}(l, 2k + 1) E_{n-\lambda,\lambda}(x) \right) \frac{t^n}{n!}
\]
\[
= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{l} \left( \begin{array}{c} n \cr l \end{array} \right) \lambda^{l-2k-1} (-1)^k y^{2k+1} S^{(1)}(l, 2k + 1) E_{n-\lambda,\lambda}(x) \right) \frac{t^n}{n!}.
\]

Therefore, by (24), (25), and (37)–(39), we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.** For \( n \geq 0 \), we have
\[
E_{n,\lambda}(x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \left( \begin{array}{c} n \cr k \end{array} \right) E_{k,\lambda} C_{n-k,\lambda}(x, y)
\]
\[
= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{l=0}^{2k} \left( \begin{array}{c} n \cr l \end{array} \right) \lambda^{l-2k-1} (-1)^k y^{2k+1} S^{(1)}(l, 2k + 1) E_{n-l,\lambda}(x).
\]

Also, for \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), we obtain
\[
E_{n,\lambda}(x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \left( \begin{array}{c} n \cr k \end{array} \right) E_{k,\lambda} S_{n-k,\lambda}(x, y)
\]
\[
= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{l=2k+1}^{\infty} \left( \begin{array}{c} n \cr l \end{array} \right) \lambda^{l-2k-1} (-1)^k y^{2k+1} S^{(1)}(l, 2k + 1) E_{n-l,\lambda}(x).
\]

By (24), we get
\[
2e^x(t) \cos^y(t) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} E_{l,\lambda}(x, y) \frac{t^l}{l!} (e_\lambda(t) + 1) \tag{40}
\]
\[
= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} E_{l,\lambda}(x, y) \frac{t^l}{l!} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (1)_{m,\lambda} \frac{t^m}{m!} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{n,\lambda}(x, y) \frac{t^n}{n!}
\]
\[
= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{l=0}^{n} \left( \begin{array}{c} n \cr l \end{array} \right) (1)_{n-l,\lambda} E_{l,\lambda}(x, y) + E_{n,\lambda}(x, y) \right) \frac{t^n}{n!}.
\]

Therefore by comparing the coefficients on both sides of (35) and (40), we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.** For \( n \geq 0 \), we have
\[
C_{n,\lambda}(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{l=0}^{n} \left( \begin{array}{c} n \cr l \end{array} \right) (1)_{n-l,\lambda} E_{l,\lambda}(x, y) + E_{n,\lambda}(x, y) \right),
\]
and
\[
S_{n,\lambda}(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{l=0}^{n} \left( \begin{array}{c} n \cr l \end{array} \right) (1)_{n-l,\lambda} E_{l,\lambda}(x, y) + E_{n,\lambda}(x, y) \right).
\]
From (24), we have
\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{n,\lambda}^{(c)}(x + r, y) \frac{t^n}{n!} = \frac{2}{e_\lambda(t) + 1} e_\lambda^{x+r}(t) \cos(y)(t) \tag{41}
\]
\[
= \frac{2}{e_\lambda(t) + 1} e_\lambda^{x}(t) \cos(y)(t)
\]
\[
= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{n,\lambda}^{(c)}(x, y) \frac{t^n}{n!} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (r)_{m,\lambda} \frac{t^m}{m!}
\]
\[
= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{l=0}^{n} \binom{n}{l} E_{l,\lambda}^{(c)}(x, y) (r)_{n-l,\lambda} \right) \frac{t^n}{n!}.
\]

Therefore, by comparing the coefficients on both sides of (41), we obtain the following proposition.

**Proposition 1.** For \( n \geq 0 \), we have
\[
E_{n,\lambda}^{(c)}(x + r, y) = \sum_{l=0}^{n} \binom{n}{l} E_{l,\lambda}^{(c)}(x, y) (r)_{n-l,\lambda},
\]
and
\[
E_{n,\lambda}^{(s)}(x + r, y) = \sum_{l=0}^{n} \binom{n}{l} E_{l,\lambda}^{(s)}(x, y) (r)_{n-l,\lambda},
\]
where \( r \) is a fixed real (or complex) number.

Now, we consider the reflection symmetric identities for the degenerate cosine-Euler polynomials. By (24), we get
\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{n,\lambda}^{(c)}(1 - x, y) \frac{t^n}{n!} = \frac{2}{e_\lambda(t) + 1} e_\lambda^{1-x}(t) \cos(y)(t) \tag{42}
\]
\[
= \frac{2}{1 + e_\lambda^{-1}(t)} e_\lambda^{-x}(t) \cos(y)(t)
\]
\[
= \frac{2}{e_\lambda(-t) + 1} e_\lambda^{x}(-t) \cos(y)(-t)
\]
\[
= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{n,-\lambda}^{(c)}(x, y) \frac{(-1)^n t^n}{n!},
\]
and
\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{n,\lambda}^{(s)}(1 - x, y) \frac{t^n}{n!} = \frac{2}{e_\lambda(t) + 1} e_\lambda^{1-x}(t) \sin(y)(t) \tag{43}
\]
\[
= \frac{2}{1 + e_\lambda^{-1}(t)} e_\lambda^{-x}(t) \sin(y)(t)
\]
\[
= \frac{2}{e_\lambda(-t) + 1} e_\lambda^{x}(-t) \sin(y)(-t)
\]
\[
= - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{n,-\lambda}^{(s)}(x, y) \frac{(-1)^n t^n}{n!}.
\]

Therefore, by (42) and (43), we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.** For \( n \geq 0 \), we have
\[
E_{n,\lambda}^{(c)}(1 - x, y) = (-1)^n E_{n,-\lambda}^{(c)}(x, y),
\]
and
\[ E_{n,\lambda}^{(s)}(1-x,y) = (-1)^{n+1} E_{n-\lambda}^{(s)}(x,y), \]

Now, we observe that
\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{n,\lambda}^{(c)}(x,y) \frac{t^n}{n!} = \frac{2}{e_\lambda(t) + 1} (e_\lambda(t) - 1 + 1)^y \cos_\lambda(x)(t)
\]
\[ = \frac{2}{e_\lambda(t) + 1} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \binom{x}{l} (e_\lambda(t) - 1)^l \cos_\lambda(x)(t)
\]
\[ = \frac{2}{e_\lambda(t) + 1} \cos_\lambda(x)(t) \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (x)_l \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} S_{\lambda}^{(2)}(k,l) \frac{t^k}{k!}
\]
\[ = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} E_{j,\lambda}^{(c)}(y) \frac{t^j}{j!} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{l=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} (x)_l S_{\lambda}^{(2)}(k,l) E_{n-k}(y) \right) \frac{t^n}{n!}
\]

Therefore, by (44), we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 6.** For \( n \geq 0 \), we have
\[ E_{n,\lambda}^{(c)}(x,y) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{l=0}^{k} \binom{n}{k} (x)_l S_{\lambda}^{(2)}(k,l) E_{n-k}(y). \]

Also, for \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), we have
\[ E_{n,\lambda}^{(s)}(x,y) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{l=0}^{k} \binom{n}{k} (x)_l S_{\lambda}^{(2)}(k,l) E_{n-k}(y). \]

3. Degenerate Bernoulli Polynomials of Complex Variable

In this section, we will consider the degenerate Bernoulli polynomials of complex variable and, by treating the real and imaginary parts separately, introduce the degenerate cosine-Bernoulli polynomials and degenerate sine-Bernoulli polynomials.

From (4), we have
\[ \frac{t}{e_\lambda(t) - 1} e_\lambda(x+iy) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_{n,\lambda}(x+iy) \frac{t^n}{n!}, \]
and
\[ \frac{t}{e_\lambda(t) - 1} e_\lambda(x-iy) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_{n,\lambda}(x-iy) \frac{t^n}{n!}. \]

Thus, by (45) and (46), we get
\[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \beta_{n,\lambda}(x+iy) + \beta_{n,\lambda}(x-iy) \right) \frac{t^n}{n!} = 2 \frac{t}{e_\lambda(t) - 1} e_\lambda(x) \cos_\lambda(y)(t), \]
and
\[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \beta_{n,\lambda}(x+iy) - \beta_{n,\lambda}(x-iy) \right) \frac{t^n}{n!} = 2i \frac{t}{e_\lambda(t) - 1} e_\lambda(x) \sin_\lambda(y)(t). \]
In view of \((24)\) and \((25)\), we define the degenerate cosine-Bernoulli polynomials and degenerate sine-Bernoulli polynomials respectively by

\[
\frac{t}{e_\lambda(t) - 1} e_\lambda^x(t) \cos^y(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_{n,\lambda}^{(c)}(x, y) \frac{t^n}{n!},
\]

and

\[
\frac{t}{e_\lambda(t) - 1} e_\lambda^x(t) \sin^y(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_{n,\lambda}^{(s)}(x, y) \frac{t^n}{n!}.
\]

Note that \(\beta_{0,\lambda}^{(s)}(x, y) = 0\).

From \((47)-(50)\), we have

\[
\beta_{n,\lambda}^{(c)}(x, y) = \beta_{n,\lambda}(x + iy) + \beta_{n,\lambda}(x - iy),
\]

and

\[
\beta_{n,\lambda}^{(s)}(x, y) = \beta_{n,\lambda}(x + iy) - \beta_{n,\lambda}(x - iy), \quad (n \geq 0).
\]

Note that

\[
\lim_{\lambda \to 0} \beta_{n,\lambda}^{(c)}(x, y) = B_n^{(c)}(x, y), \quad \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \beta_{n,\lambda}^{(s)}(x, y) = B_n^{(s)}(x, y),
\]

where \(B_n^{(c)}(x, y), B_n^{(s)}(x, y)\) are cosine-Bernoulli polynomials, and sine-Bernoulli polynomials (see \([12,16]\)).

By \((49)\), we get

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_{n,\lambda}^{(c)}(x, y) \frac{t^n}{n!} = \frac{t}{e_\lambda(t) - 1} e_\lambda^x(t) \cos^y(t)
\]

\[
= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \beta_l \frac{t^l}{l!} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_{m,\lambda}(x, y) t^m}{m!}
\]

\[
= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{l=0}^{n} \binom{n}{l} \beta_l C_{n-l,\lambda}(x, y) \right) \frac{t^n}{n!}.
\]

On the other hand,

\[
\frac{t}{e_\lambda(t) - 1} e_\lambda^x(t) \cos^y(t)
\]

\[
= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_{m,\lambda}(x) \frac{t^m}{m!} \sum_{l=0}^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{l}{2} \right\rfloor} \lambda^{l-2k} (-1)^k y^{2k} \frac{s^{(1)}(1, 2k)}{l!} \frac{t^l}{l!}
\]

\[
= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{l=0}^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{l}{2} \right\rfloor} \binom{n}{l} \lambda^{l-2k} (-1)^k y^{2k} \frac{s^{(1)}(1, 2k) \beta_{n-l,\lambda}(x)}{l!} \right) \frac{t^n}{n!}
\]

\[
= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{l=2k}^{n} \binom{n}{l} \lambda^{l-2k} (-1)^k y^{2k} \frac{s^{(1)}(1, 2k) \beta_{n-l,\lambda}(x)}{l!} \right) \frac{t^n}{n!}.
\]

Therefore, by \((53)\) and \((54)\), we obtain the following theorem.
Theorem 7. For $n \geq 0$, we have
\[
\beta_{n,\lambda}^{(c)}(x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \beta_{k,\lambda} C_{n-k,\lambda}(x, y)
\]
\[
= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{l=2k}^{n} \binom{n}{l} \lambda^{l-2k}(-1)^{k}y^{2k} S^{(1)}(l, 2k) \beta_{n-l,\lambda}(x).
\]

Also, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have
\[
\beta_{n,\lambda}^{(s)}(x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \beta_{k,\lambda} S_{n-k,\lambda}(x, y)
\]
\[
= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{l=k+1}^{n} \binom{n}{l} \lambda^{l-2k-1}(-1)^{k}y^{2k+1} S^{(1)}(l, 2k+1) \beta_{n-l,\lambda}(x).
\]
and
\[
\beta_{0,\lambda}^{(s)}(x, y) = 0.
\]

From (49), we have
\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\beta_{n,\lambda}^{(c)}(1-x, y)}{n!} t^n = \frac{t}{1-e^{-t}} e^{-t} \cos\lambda(t)
\]
\[
= \frac{-t}{e^{-t} - 1} e^{-t} \cos\lambda(-t)
\]
\[
= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_{n,\lambda}^{(c)}(x, y) \frac{(-1)^n t^n}{n!}.
\]

Therefore, by (55), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 8. For $n \geq 0$, we have
\[
\beta_{n,\lambda}^{(c)}(1-x, y) = (-1)^n \beta_{n-k,\lambda}^{(c)}(x, y),
\]
and
\[
\beta_{n,\lambda}^{(s)}(1-x, y) = (-1)^{n+1} \beta_{n-k,\lambda}^{(s)}(x, y).
\]

By (49), we easily get
\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\beta_{n,\lambda}^{(c)}(x+r, y)}{n!} t^n = \frac{t}{e_{\lambda}(t) - 1} e_{\lambda}^{x+r}(t) \cos\lambda(t)
\]
\[
= \frac{t}{e_{\lambda}(t) - 1} e_{\lambda}^{x+r}(t) e_{\lambda}(t)
\]
\[
= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \beta_{l,\lambda}^{(c)}(x, y) \frac{t^l}{l!} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (r)_{m,\lambda} \frac{t^m}{m!}
\]
\[
= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{l=0}^{n} \binom{n}{l} \beta_{l,\lambda}^{(c)}(x, y)(r)_{n-l,\lambda} \right) \frac{t^n}{n!}.
\]
By comparing the coefficients on both sides of (56), we get

\[ \beta_{n,l}(x + r, y) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{n}{j} \beta_{j,l}(x, y)(r)^{n-j-l}, \]  
\[ (57) \]
and

\[ \beta_{n,l}^{(s)}(x + r, y) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{n}{j} \beta_{j,l}^{(s)}(x, y)(r)^{n-j-l}, \]  
\[ (58) \]
where \( r \) is a fixed real (or complex) number.

From (49), we note that

\[ \lambda_c + \lambda_r \beta_{n,l}(x, y) = \lambda_c + \lambda_r \beta_{n,l}(x, y), \]

\[ (59) \]
and

\[ \beta_{n+1,l}(x + 1, y) - \beta_{n+1,l}(x, y) = \frac{\frac{\alpha}{\lambda} \lambda_c + (\lambda_r - \lambda_c) \beta_{n+1,l}(x, y)}{n+1}, \]

\[ (60) \]

Therefore, by comparing the coefficients on both sides of (60), we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 9.** For \( n \geq 0 \), we have

\[ C_{n,l}(x, y) = \frac{1}{n+1} \left\{ \beta_{n+1,l}(x + 1, y) - \beta_{n+1,l}(x, y) \right\}, \]

and

\[ S_{n,l}(x, y) = \frac{1}{n+1} \left\{ \beta_{n+1,l}^{(s)}(x + 1, y) - \beta_{n+1,l}^{(s)}(x, y) \right\}. \]

**Corollary 1.** For \( n \geq 1 \), we have

\[ C_{n,l}(x, y) = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \binom{n+1}{i} \beta_{i,l}(x, y)(1)^{n+1-l}, \]

and

\[ S_{n,l}(x, y) = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \binom{n+1}{i} \beta_{i,l}^{(s)}(x, y)(1)^{n+1-l}. \]

When \( x = 0 \), let \( \beta_{n,l}^{(c)}(0, y) = \beta_{n,l}(y), \beta_{n,l}^{(s)}(0, y) = \beta_{n,l}^{(s)}(y), C_{n,l}(0, y) = C_{n,l}(y), \) and \( \mathcal{E}_{n,l}(0, y) = \mathcal{E}_{n,l}(y). \)
For \( n \geq 0 \), we have
\[
\beta_{n,\lambda}^{(c)}(y) = \sum_{k=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor} \sum_{l=2k}^{n} \binom{n}{l} \lambda^{l-2k}(-1)^{k} y^{2k} S^{(1)}(l, 2k) \beta_{n-l,\lambda}. \tag{61}
\]

Also, for \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), we get
\[
\beta_{n,\lambda}^{(s)}(y) = \sum_{k=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \right\rfloor} \sum_{l=2k+1}^{n} \binom{n}{l} \lambda^{l-2k-1}(-1)^{k} y^{2k+1} S^{(1)}(l, 2k+1) \beta_{n-l,\lambda}. \tag{62}
\]

By (49), we get
\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_{n,\lambda}^{(c)}(x, y) \frac{t^{n}}{n!} = \frac{t}{e_{\lambda}(t)-1} \cos_{\lambda}^{(y)}(t) \left( e_{\lambda}(t) - 1 + 1 \right)^{x} \tag{63}
\]
\[
= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \beta_{m,\lambda}^{(c)}(y) \frac{t^{m}}{m!} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{k}{l!} \left( \frac{c_{\lambda}^{(2)}(k, l)}{S_{\lambda}^{(2)}(k, l)} \right)^{t^{n}}
\]
\[
= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{n}{k} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{k}{l!} \left( x_{l} S_{\lambda}^{(2)}(k, l) \beta_{n-k,\lambda}^{(c)}(y) \right) \frac{t^{n}}{n!}.
\]

Comparing the coefficients on both sides of (63), we have
\[
\beta_{n,\lambda}^{(c)}(x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \binom{n}{k} \beta_{n-k,\lambda}^{(c)}(y).
\]

Also, for \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), we get
\[
\beta_{n,\lambda}^{(s)}(x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \binom{n}{k} \beta_{n-k,\lambda}^{(s)}(y),
\]
and
\[
\beta_{0,\lambda}^{(s)}(x, y) = 0.
\]

4. Conclusions

In [15], the authors introduced the so-called the new type Euler polynomials by means of generating functions (see (9) and (10)) and deduced several properties and identities for these polynomials. Hacène Belbachir, the reviewer of the paper [15], asked the following question in Mathematical Reviews (MR3808565) of the American Mathematical Society: Is it possible to obtain their results by considering the classical Euler polynomials of complex variable \( z \), and treating the real part and the imaginary part separately?

Our result gives an affirmative answer to the question (see (16)). In this paper, we considered the degenerate Euler and Bernoulli polynomials of a complex variable and, by treating the real and imaginary parts separately, were able to introduce degenerate cosine-Euler polynomials, degenerate sine-Euler polynomials, degenerate cosine-Bernoulli polynomials, and degenerate sine-Bernoulli polynomials. They are degenerate versions of the new type Euler polynomials studied by Masjed–Jamei, Beyki and Koepf [15] and of the ‘new type Bernoulli polynomials.’
In Section 2, the degenerate cosine-polynomials and degenerate sine-polynomials were introduced and their explicit expressions were derived. The degenerate cosine-Euler polynomials and degenerate sine-Euler polynomials were expressed in terms of degenerate cosine-polynomials and degenerate sine-polynomials and vice versa. Further, some reflection identities were found for the degenerate cosine-Euler polynomials and degenerate sine-Euler polynomials. In Section 3, the degenerate cosine-Bernoulli polynomials and degenerate sine-Bernoulli polynomials were introduced. They were expressed in terms of degenerate cosine-polynomials and degenerate sine-polynomials and vice versa. Reflection symmetries were deduced for the degenerate cosine-Bernoulli polynomials and degenerate sine-Bernoulli polynomials. Further, some expressions involving the degenerate Stirling numbers of the second kind were derived for them.

It was Carlitz [1,2] who initiated the study of degenerate versions of some special polynomials, namely the degenerate Bernoulli and Euler polynomials. Studying degenerate versions of some special polynomials and numbers have turned out to be very fruitful and promising (see [3,5–11,13,14,19] and references therein). In fact, this idea of considering degenerate versions of some special polynomials are not limited just to polynomials but can be extended even to transcendental functions like gamma functions [8].
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