Anastomosing hemangioma of the kidney: radiologic and pathologic distinctions of a kidney cancer mimic
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ABSTRACT
Anastomosing hemangioma (AH) is a rare subtype of primary vascular tumour that can, clinically and radiologically, present similarly to malignant renal tumours such as renal cell carcinoma (RCC) and angiosarcoma. Rarely seen in the genitourinary system, the AH we report here occurred in a 40-year-old male patient diagnosed initially with RCC based on imaging and successfully treated by laparoscopic left radical nephrectomy, with adrenal sparing and perihilar lymph node dissection. The pathologic diagnosis of AH can be challenging on small biopsy specimens; we therefore opine that it is appropriate to excise these lesions to facilitate diagnosis and definitively exclude common renal cancers. However, in this review, we describe some radiologic and pathologic distinctions between AH and malignant tumours.
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INTRODUCTION
Vascular tumours of the kidney are rare1,2 and range from benign (hemangioma) to malignant (angiosarcoma). A recent review noted that the world literature contains approximately 200 reports of renal hemangioma and 40 of renal angiosarcoma3.

In 2009, Montgomery and Epstein4 described a series of vascular tumours—dubbed “anastomosing hemangioma” (AH)—with a complex architecture characterized by anastomosing vessels, rare hobnailing, and benign behaviour. Anastomosing hemangiomas have been reported to occur most commonly in the kidney, but also in other sites such as the testes, thigh, abdominal wall, ovaries, adrenal gland, liver, and gastrointestinal tract5.

CASE DESCRIPTION
Our 40-year-old male patient underwent magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) to investigate right leg pain 9 months after a motor vehicle accident. An incidental 4.6 cm T2 hyperintense mass was seen in the left kidney. The mass was only partially imaged on MRI, but on T2-weighted images, it had the appearance of a large parapelvic cyst.

The patient subsequently underwent dedicated renal-protocol computed tomography (CT) imaging and renal ultrasonography. He denied left-sided flank pain and had no constitutional symptoms. He reported some irritative voiding symptoms, and his wife suspected he was having hematuria. Although there was family history of metastatic lung cancer, no family history of renal cell carcinoma (RCC) or a genetic syndrome predisposing to RCC was present.

The CT imaging revealed a heterogeneously enhancing solid left renal mass centred within the renal sinus and extending into the parenchyma in the interpolar region, measuring approximately 5.3 cm (Figures 1 and 2). The mass was well-defined and less dense than kidney parenchyma on the unenhanced images (21 HU). No fat or calcification was evident within the lesion. It demonstrated avid peripheral nodular enhancement in the corticomedullary phase (up to 260 HU). In images from the nephrographic phase, centripetal filling of the enhancing portion of the tumour was observed (132 HU). No invasion of the collecting system or renal veins was demonstrated on imaging.

The imaging was felt to be most representative of a centrally located conventional RCC, as discussed with radiology and staff urology. Biopsy was felt not to be necessary, given a greater than 90% likelihood of the tumour being RCC. There was no evidence of metastatic disease.

The patient subsequently underwent successful laparoscopic left radical nephrectomy, with adrenal sparing.
and perihilar lymph node dissection. Initial pathology reported angiosarcoma, but on review of the pathology, the presence of anastomosing channels and the lack of marked atypia, pleomorphism, and mitosis revealed an. Five resected periaortic lymph nodes were all negative for malignancy.

The patient recovered well postoperatively and had no complications at his 1-month clinical follow-up. At the time of the present report, the patient has undergone follow-up CT imaging of chest, abdomen, and pelvis, and abdominal ultrasonography, at 6-month intervals—all negative for disease recurrence.

**RADIOLOGY REVIEW**

With only 64 cases of renal an described in the literature, there is a paucity of information about its imaging appearance. Here, we present a unique case of multimodality imaging of this unusual tumour.

O’Neill et al. described the CT appearance of a 6 cm renal an as a well-circumscribed mass at 22 HU before contrast and avid heterogeneous enhancement up to 147 HU. They described discontinuous peripheral nodular enhancement in both adrenal and renal ans.

Our case of renal an shares CT imaging features with those described by O’Neill et al. We also identified avid peripheral nodular enhancement and marked arterial enhancement up to 260 HU (Figures 1 and 2). Centripetal filling in the enhancement was also seen in our example, but has not been described in prior reports. In fact, the behavior of the lesion is reminiscent of benign hepatic hemangioma. Unfortunately, our dynamic renal-mass protocol does not include an excretory phase to determine whether the hemangioma continued to fill centrally with time.

The MRI appearance of a renal an has not previously been described. In our case, the mass was incidentally detected on an MRI of the spine and was imaged only with T2 weighting (Figure 3). The marked T2 hyperintensity of the lesion in our example mimicked a parapelvic cyst. That feature is also seen in MRI images of hepatic hemangioma.

**PATHOLOGY REVIEW**

The pathology report located and described the tumour at the mid-pole renal sinus extending into the parenchyma. No invasion of the renal vein or artery had occurred, but the tumour appeared to focally invade the sinus fat. A smooth cyst was identified in the capsule, but otherwise, it was tan brown and unremarkable. The medulla was well defined. The renal sinus was distorted by the mass, and the calyces had a pale tan, unremarkable appearance. Some distortion in the calyces and pelvis had occurred because of shifting from the tumour, but they were otherwise grossly unremarkable. Lobulation was appreciated in the perinephric fat.

Interestingly, the tumour showed a trabecular arrangement of plump cells, with a prominent vascular channel-type pattern, moderate atypia, and pleomorphism without appreciable mitosis. On further review, a vascular neoplasm composed of complex anastomosing capillary vascular channels lined by hobnail-like endothelial cells was revealed (Figure 4).

Immunohistochemistry was negative for cytokeratins (AE1/AE3, CAM5.2, CK7), epithelial membrane antigen, S100, human herpes virus 8, and HMB-45. The lesional cells were positive for ER, CD34, CD31, actin, and factor VIII, supporting vascular differentiation (Figure 5). Podoplanin,
calretinin, WT1, and desmin were negative. Staining for Ki-67 was seen in approximately 15% of the tumour cells.

Given the above findings, angiosarcoma was initially considered in the differential diagnosis. However, the presence of vascular neoplasm composed of complex anastomosing capillary vascular channels and a lack of marked cytologic atypia, pleomorphism, and mitotic activity were in keeping with the characteristics of anh described in current literature. External consultation confirmed that diagnosis.

**DISCUSSION**

Although anh is uncommon, its recognition and differentiation from malignant entities such as RCC and angiosarcoma would be helpful in its management. Accurate diagnosis can allow for a conservative treatment approach or selective embolization rather than radical nephrectomy, which has been identified as the mainstay of treatment in a review of 64 cases of renal anh.

The under-recognition of anh before nephrectomy occurs because of similarities to malignant tumours in its clinical and radiographic features; the diagnosis is made primarily on histology and immunochemistry. More frequently seen in patients with end-stage renal disease and compromised renal function, anh can often mimic the symptoms of RCC. Hematuria, hypertension, and flank pain are nonspecific features seen in anh, angiosarcoma, and RCC. All of those entities can demonstrate echogenicity on ultrasonography and can appear as a well-demarcated, solid, heterogeneous avidly-enhancing lesion on contrast-enhanced CT. Hypervascular conventional RCC is much more frequently encountered than anh in the kidney, and those lesions have a large overlap in imaging appearance, making a confident prospective imaging diagnosis of a renal vascular tumour unlikely.

However, certain features could lead the radiologist to consider the diagnosis. A solid renal mass with marked T2 hyperintensity, almost akin to a cyst, could be a clue. Centripetal filling of avid contrast enhancement from the periphery toward the centre on dynamic CT or MRI could be another clue that might prompt percutaneous biopsy rather than nephrectomy. A renal mass that behaves similarly to a hepatic hemangioma on CT or MRI could in fact be a vascular tumour, such as an anh, rather than the much more common RCC.

Histologically, anh can mimic angiosarcoma (hyaline globules, anastomosing vascular pattern, and hobnailing endothelial cells), but lacks features of malignancy such as mitotic activity, multilayering, and atypia of endothelial cells. Given a lack of clinical or radiologic findings that
are specific to AH, and the serious morbidity associated with a misdiagnosed RCC or angiosarcoma, nephrectomy appears to be the safest management option. A recent case report by Burton et al.⁹ also demonstrated the possibility of multifocal disease or recurrence of AH in a patient 14 years after partial nephrectomy for papillary renal carcinoma.

Current Canadian Urological Association guidelines on small renal masses, defined as masses less than 4 cm in greatest dimension, recommend management with partial nephrectomy—or radical nephrectomy for masses not amenable to the former approach. Alternative treatment with probe ablation is possible, usually for lesions less than 3 cm. Although core-needle biopsies are increasingly performed, they are not yet the standard of care in Canada for the histologic characterization of small renal masses. Consideration of active surveillance is limited to the elderly and infirm.¹¹ Interestingly, in the recent review by Perdiki et al.⁵ describing 64 cases of renal AH, most of which were smaller than 4 cm, almost all cases were treated with radical nephrectomy before diagnosis (only 2% underwent excision). In the present report, we describe a large renal mass suspicious for RCC, treated according to current best practice with radical nephrectomy, which was eventually revealed to be a benign AH. This case raises the question of whether it might be possible to spare patients an unnecessary surgery if a secure diagnosis of AH could be established with imaging or biopsy. Future research could investigate radiologic differentiation of these tumours and the increased role of core-needle biopsy.

**SUMMARY**

Anastomosing hemangiomas are rare benign vascular tumours of the kidney that can present similarly to malignant renal tumours such as RCC and angiosarcoma clinically and on imaging. Definitive diagnosis requires a pathologic assessment, including histology and immunohistochemistry. Identifying ways to characterize AH before surgical treatment would be ideal; however, given the high probability of a solid renal mass being malignant and the rarity of benign tumours, the current management guideline of first-line surgical resection appears reasonable.
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