Prealbumin to fibrinogen ratio is closely associated with diabetic peripheral neuropathy
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Abstract

The aim of our study was to explore the diagnostic value of prealbumin to fibrinogen ratio (PFR) for predicting prognosis with the optimal cut-off value in diabetic peripheral neuropathy (DPN) patients. A total of 568 type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) patients were enrolled in this study. The values including Toronto clinical neuropathy score (TCNS), nerve conduction velocity (NCV), vibration perception threshold (VPT), blood cells count, biochemical parameters, fibrinogen and PFR were recorded. The patients were divided into tertiles based on admission PFR value. Firstly, clinical parameters were compared among the groups. Secondly, a logistic regression and ROC analysis were performed as the statistical model. The percentage of DPN, TCNS and VPT were significantly higher in the lowest PFR tertile than in the middle PFR tertile and the highest PFR tertile (P < 0.01–0.001). NCV was significantly lower in lowest PFR tertile than in the middle PFR tertile and the highest PFR tertile (P < 0.01–0.001). The Spearman correlation analysis showed that PFR was negatively correlated with TCNS and VPT (P < 0.001), while PFR was positively correlated with median motor NCV (P < 0.001), peroneal motor NCV (P < 0.001), median sensory NCV (P < 0.001), and peroneal sensory NCV (P < 0.001). After adjusting these potentially related factors, PFR was independently related to DPN (P = 0.007). The area under ROC curve was 0.627. This study finds the first evidence to suggest PFR may be the key component associated with DPN in T2DM, while PFR might underlie the pathophysiologic features of DPN.

Introduction

Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is a chronic metabolic disease, characterized by the hyperglycemia level and insulin resistance in the body. T2DM is also considered to be induced by personal lifestyle, such as high consumption of carbohydrates, and lack of physical exercises. The increasing prevalence of T2DM worldwide is a major global public health burden (1, 2, 3). According to the International Diabetes Federation, there are currently 366 million diabetes mellitus patients worldwide and it is expected to increase to 522 million by 2030 (4). Diabetic peripheral neuropathy (DPN) is one of the most complicated pathological changes occurring in T2DM with an incidence of about 50%. The status of DPN may also lead to higher morbidity and mortality, which increases the financial burden of T2DM treatment (5, 6). Furthermore, DPN is responsible for the development of various serious diseases, such as foot ulcer, infection, gangrene and non-traumatic lower limb amputation. These complications seriously affect the patient’s quality of life (7, 8). DPN has been considered as an inflammatory disease. However, its pathogenesis in T2DM has not been fully elucidated (9, 10, 11, 12).

Fibrinogen (FIB) is a biomarker of coagulation and chronic inflammation (13). And a high FIB level is correlated with systemic inflammation (14). Impairment of FIB level is also associated with microvascular disease...
in patients with T2DM (15). Albumin (ALB) is a nutrition marker and an inflammation marker (16). Li et al. showed the serum ALB was independently associated with peripheral nerve function in T2DM patients, especially in those with albuminuria (17). In recent studies, the prealbumin (PALB) is served as another important biomarker for nutritional status. It is more sensitive to malnutrition than ALB (18, 19). In addition, previous studies have confirmed that PALB values are also inversely related to CRP values in inflammation (20, 21, 22). PALB to FIB ratio (PFR) is a new inflammation marker, which is closely related to acute pancreatitis and cancer (23, 24). Therefore, we speculate that PFR may also be associated with DPN in T2DM.

PALB and FIB are useful tools in various research because they are cheap and easy to use. However, no study has assessed the prognostic role of PFR in DPN patients so far. The aim of our study was to explore the diagnostic value of PFR for predicting prognosis with the optimal cut-off value in DPN patients.

Materials and methods

Study design and patients

The study was carried out from January 2018 to December 2019. In total, 568 T2DM patients were recruited from inpatient department of endocrinology of Shanghai Fifth People’s Hospital, Fudan University. The diagnostic criteria of T2DM were referred to American Diabetes Association standards (25). Patients with alcohol abuse, vitamin deficiency, liver dysfunction (alanine aminotransferase (ALT) greater than 2.5 times the normal upper limit), renal dysfunction (estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) less than 60 mL/min/1.73 m²), acute cerebral infarction, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, Alzheimer disease, Parkinson disease, and other disorders of the CNS were excluded from this study. This study was conducted under the program of risk factors of DPN and approved by the ethics committee of Shanghai Fifth People’s Hospital, Fudan University (No. 2018-213). Consent has been obtained from each patient or subject after full explanation of the purpose and nature of all procedures used.

Data collection and laboratory assessments

The patients’ age and their medical history, age, duration, hypertension (HTN), BMI, systolic blood pressure (SBP), and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) were recorded. Toronto clinical neuropathy score (TCNS) was also accessed and documented.

After a 12-h overnight fast, the patient’s blood samples were collected for the measurements of hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c, Variant II, Bio-Rad), blood cells count (Automatic Blood Cell Analyzer, Sysmex XN9000), biochemical parameters test (Automatic Biochemical Analyzer, Roche Cobas 8000), and fibrinogen (FIB, CS5100, Sysmex Corporation) respectively. Neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio (NLR) is the ratio of neutrophil (10⁹/L) to lymphocyte (10⁹/L). Albumin to fibrinogen ratio (AFR) is the ratio of ALB (g/L) to FIB (g/L). PFR (mg/g) is the ratio of PALB (mg/L) to FIB (g/L).

Nerve conduction velocity and vibration perception threshold measurement

Peripheral nerve function is evaluated by measuring motor and sensory nerve conduction velocity (NCV). NCV was performed by a single neurologist. All nerve stimulations, including median motor nerve (MMN), peroneal motor nerve (PMN), median sensory nerve (MSN), and peroneal sensory nerve (PSN) in both limbs, were performed with an electromyography (EMG) machine (Keypoint 9033A07, Dantec Co). The local skin temperature was maintained at 32–33°C. The variables were considered abnormal when they exceeded mean ± 2 SD that were established in the authors’ laboratory. Vibration perception threshold (VPT) was measured by a trained nurse using a digital vibration threshold detector (Sensitometer A200, Beijing Blue Time’s Technology Co).

DPN diagnosis

DPN was diagnosed according to Toronto Expert Consensus (26) as follows: clear history of diabetes; peripheral neuropathy occurring at or after diagnosis of diabetes; clinical symptoms and signs consistent with performance of DPN; a neurologic symptom or symptoms (foot pain, numbness, tingling, weakness, ataxia, or upper-limb symptoms, etc.); a neurologic sign or signs (acupuncture pain, touch pressure, temperature, vibration, or ankle reflex, etc.). The presence of an abnormality of nerve conduction and a symptom or symptoms or a sign or signs of neuropathy confirm DPN.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) Version 22.0.
Normally distributed continuous variables were expressed as means ± s.d. and analyzed by using Student’s t-test. Non-normally distributed variables were expressed as median and interquartile range (IQR), and analyzed by using nonparametric test (Wilcoxon test). The categorical variables were presented as frequencies and proportions, and analyzed by using χ² test. Furthermore, the Spearman correlation analysis was performed to evaluate the association of the parameters of DPN. The binary logistic regression analysis was performed to evaluate the association of PFR and DPN after adjusting other clinical and biochemical variables. P values of less than 0.05 were regarded as statistically significant.

Results

Demographics of the study population

The clinical characteristics of the study population were shown in Table 1. Compared with non-DPN group, age (P < 0.001), duration (P < 0.001), HTN (P = 0.039), TCNS (P = 0.001), creatinine (Crea, P = 0.008) and NLR (P < 0.001) of DPN group were significantly increased, while fasting plasma glucose (FPG, P = 0.041), total cholesterol (TC, P = 0.027), AFR (P < 0.001) and PFR (P < 0.001) were significantly decreased. There were no significant differences in BMI, systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic blood pressure (DBP), HbA1c, ALT, urea nitrogen (UN), uric acid (UA), triglyceride (TG), HDL-C, and highly sensitive C-reactive protein (hs-CRP) between the two groups.

Interestingly, PFR was significantly lower in patients with DPN (Table 1, P < 0.001). Patients were divided into tertile groups based on PFR (the lowest tertile < 80.85, the middle tertile 80.85–114.85, the highest tertile ≥ 114.85). In the lowest, middle and highest tertile PFR groups, the percentages of DPN were 58.2, 39.5 and 33.3%, respectively (Fig. 1A). The percentage of DPN was significantly higher in the lowest tertile than in the middle tertile and the highest tertile (Fig. 1A, P < 0.001). We also analyzed the differences between TCNS, VPT and NCV among the tertile groups. TCNS and VPT were significantly higher in the lowest tertile than in the middle tertile and the highest tertile (Fig. 1B and C, P < 0.01–0.001). NCV was significantly lower in the lowest tertile than in the middle tertile and the highest tertile (Fig. 1D, E, F and G, P < 0.01–0.001).

Table 1  Characteristics of the study population.

| Variables   | Total (N male/female) | Non-DPN (N male/female) | DPN (N male/female) | P-value |
|-------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|---------|
| N (male/female) | 568 (263:305)         | 320 (144:176)           | 248 (119:129)       | 0.498   |
| Age (years)  | 60.3 ± 11.5           | 56.4 ± 11.9             | 65.3 ± 8.6          | < 0.001 |
| Duration (years) | 9.0 ± 7.2               | 7.2 ± 6.6            | 11.2 ± 7.3          | < 0.001 |
| HTN, n (%)   | 336 (59.2)            | 177 (55.3)             | 159 (64.1)          | 0.039   |
| BMI (kg/m²)  | 24.8 ± 3.7            | 25.1 ± 4.0             | 24.5 ± 3.2          | 0.054   |
| SBP (mmHg)   | 131 ± 18              | 131 ± 18               | 132 ± 18            | 0.666   |
| DBP (mmHg)   | 78 ± 10               | 79 ± 10                | 77 ± 10             | 0.074   |
| TCNS         | 5 (1, 9)              | 2 (0, 3)               | 10 (7, 12)          | < 0.001 |
| HbA1c (%)    | 9.3 ± 2.1             | 9.3 ± 2.2              | 9.3 ± 2.0           | 0.891   |
| FPG (mmol/L) | 8.7 ± 3.4             | 8.9 ± 3.4              | 8.3 ± 3.3           | 0.041   |
| ALT (U/L)    | 25.7 ± 25.7           | 26.0 ± 19.8            | 25.2 ± 31.7         | 0.727   |
| Crea (µmol/L)| 63.3 ± 15.6           | 61.8 ± 14.9            | 65.3 ± 16.2         | 0.008   |
| UN (mmol/L)  | 5.25 ± 1.49           | 5.17 ± 1.42            | 5.35 ± 1.58         | 0.142   |
| UA (mmol/L)  | 292 ± 89              | 294 ± 92               | 288 ± 84.8          | 0.399   |
| TC (mmol/L)  | 4.67 ± 1.27           | 4.78 ± 1.28            | 4.54 ± 1.24         | 0.027   |
| TG (mmol/L)  | 1.91 ± 2.34           | 1.97 ± 2.33            | 1.84 ± 2.37         | 0.535   |
| HDL-C (mmol/L)| 1.15 ± 0.36           | 1.15 ± 0.34            | 1.16 ± 0.38         | 0.596   |
| hs-CRP (mg/L)| 3.6 ± 5.4             | 3.5 ± 5.0              | 3.7 ± 5.9           | 0.757   |
| NLR          | 2.37 ± 1.68           | 2.15 ± 1.11            | 2.64 ± 2.17         | 0.001   |
| AFR          | 16.3 ± 4.7            | 17.1 ± 4.5             | 15.3 ± 4.8          | < 0.001 |
| PFR (mg/g)   | 99.1 ± 37.6           | 106.5 ± 38.4           | 89.5 ± 34.4         | < 0.001 |

Data are presented as means ± s.d. Data of normal distribution were expressed as means ± s.d., and analyzed by using Student’s t-test. Data of non-normal distribution was expressed as median and interquartile range (IQR), and analyzed by using nonparametric test (Wilcoxon test). The categorical variables were expressed as frequencies and proportions, and analyzed by using χ² test. Bold indicates statistical significance (P < 0.05).

AFR, albumin to fibrinogen ratio; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; BMI, body mass index; Crea, creatinine; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; FPG, fasting plasma glucose; HbA1c, hemoglobin A1c; HDL-C, high density lipoprotein cholesterol; hs-CRP, highly sensitive C-reactive protein; HTN, hypertension; NLR, neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio; PFR, prealbumin to fibrinogen ratio; SBP, systolic blood pressure; TC, total cholesterol; TCNS, Toronto clinical neuropathy score; TG, triacylglycerol; UA, uric acid; UN, urea nitrogen.
Association between PFR and DPN

Spearman correlation analysis showed that PFR was negatively correlated with TCNS and VPT (Table 2), while PFR was positively correlated with median motor NCV ($P < 0.001$), peroneal motor NCV ($P < 0.001$), median sensory NCV ($P < 0.001$), and peroneal sensory NCV ($P < 0.001$, Table 2).

Binary logistic regression analysis

The relationship between FPR and DPN was evaluated by using logistic regression analysis (enter method). To this end, five models were fitted: Model 1 only included FPR ($P < 0.001$); Model 2 adds age, duration and HTN to the predictors of Model 1 ($P=0.004$); Model 3 added FPG and TC to the predictors of Model 2 ($P=0.006$); Model 4 added Crea to the predictors of Model 3 ($P=0.003$); Model 5 added NLR and AFR to the predictors of Model 4 ($P=0.007$). After adjusting these potentially related factors, PFR was independently related to DPN (Table 3).

Sensitivity, specificity analysis and ROC

The influence of PFR on the diagnosis of DPN was analyzed by ROC curve (Fig. 2). Area under ROC curve was 0.627 ($P=0.001$). The cut-off with the biggest Yonden index of PFR was 83.31 mg/g with the sensitivity of 74.4% and specificity of 48.8%.

Discussion

The inflammation, coagulation and nutrition are associated with DPN occurrence and development (9, 10, 11, 12, 15, 17). The present study finds the first evidence in suggesting that PFR is closely related with DPN in T2DM. We innovatively analyzed the relationship between PFR and DPN. From this study, the major finding we observed is that PFR is negatively associated with DPN, so it would be used as a predictor for DPN diagnosis.

In this study, we use a novel approach to demonstrate the relationship between PFR and DPN. First, FIB is a protein

Table 2  Association of PFR with parameters of TCNS, VPT and NCV.

| Parameter   | rs   | P-value |
|-------------|------|---------|
| TCNS        | −0.183 | < 0.001 |
| VPT         | −0.245 | < 0.001 |
| MMN-NCV     | 0.239  | < 0.001 |
| PMN-NCV     | 0.313  | < 0.001 |
| MSN-NCV     | 0.274  | < 0.001 |
| PSN-NCV     | 0.291  | < 0.001 |

The Spearman correlation analysis was used to evaluate the association of PFR with parameters of TCNS, VPT and NCV. Bold indicates statistical significance ($P < 0.05$).

MMN, median motor nerve; MSN, median sensory nerve; NCV, nerve conduction velocity; FPR, prealbumin to fibrinogen ratio; PMN, peroneal motor nerve; PSN, peroneal sensory nerve; TCNS, Toronto clinical neuropathy score; VPT, vibration perception threshold.

Table 3  PFR associated with the presence of DPN in logistic regression (enter method).

| Model | $\beta$ (s.e.) | OR (95% CI) | P-value |
|-------|----------------|-------------|---------|
| M1    | −0.517 (0.107) | 0.596 (0.483–0.736) | < 0.001 |
| M2    | −0.336 (0.117) | 0.715 (0.568–0.899) | 0.004   |
| M3    | −0.328 (0.120) | 0.720 (0.570–0.911) | 0.006   |
| M4    | −0.359 (0.121) | 0.698 (0.550–0.886) | 0.003   |
| M5    | −0.478 (0.178) | 0.620 (0.437–0.879) | 0.007   |

Data are presented as regression coefficient (standard error), odds ratio (95% CI) and P-value. Logistic regression analysis (enter method) was used to evaluate the association of PFR and DPN after adjusting other clinical and biochemical variables. Bold indicates statistical significance ($P < 0.05$).

M1 is a regression model including just PFR; M2 adds age, duration and HTN to the predictors of M1; M3 adds FPG and TC to the predictors of M2; M4 adds Crea to the predictors of M3; M5 adds NLR and AFR to the predictors of M4.
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In our study, the differences among NLR, CRP, AFR and DPN were monitored separately. In the DPN group, NLR increased significantly, while AFR and PFR decreased significantly. Our research results partially supported other previous research results. After adjusting NLR and AFR, our regression analysis found that PFR was still an independent risk factor for DPN.

On the other hand, this study has experienced some limitations. For example, the cross-sectional method has limited us to explore the causal relationship between PFR and DPN. In future, longitudinal studies may provide better information on these relationships.

Conclusions

This study finds the first evidence to suggest PFR may be the key component associated with DPN in T2DM, while PFR might underlie the pathophysiologic features of DPN.

Declaration of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest that could be perceived as prejudicing the impartiality of the research reported.

Funding

This study was supported by Science Foundation of Shanghai Fifth People’s Hospital, Fudan University (No. 2018WYZT03), Health Profession Clinical Foundation of Shanghai Municipal Health Commission (No. 201840119), and Medical Key Faculty Foundation of Shanghai (No. ZK2019B15).

Author contribution statement

Heyuan Ding designed the research. Lei Shi and Jinying Zhao performed the experiments. Min Yang analyzed the data. Shufei Zang wrote the manuscript. Jun Liu revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors appreciate the time and effort contributed by all participants.

References

1 Spiller S, Frolov A & Hoffmann R. Quantification of specific glycation sites in human serum albumin as prospective type 2 diabetes mellitus biomarkers. Protein and Peptide Letters 2017 24 887–896. (https://doi.org/10.2174/0929866524666170202124120)
2 Kubisz P, Stančíková L, Staško J, Galajda P & Mokáň M. Endothelial and platelet markers in diabetes mellitus. World Journal of Diabetes 2015 6 423–431. (https://doi.org/10.4239/wjd.v6.i3.423)
3 Razak MKA, Akif AM, Nakeeb N & Rasheed JI. The relationship between mean platelet volume and albuminuria in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Diabetology and Metabolic Syndrome 2019 13 2633–2639. (https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsx.2019.07.021)
PFR is closely associated with DPN...