Developmental plasticity of texture discrimination following early vision loss in the marsupial Monodelphis domestica
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ABSTRACT

Behavioral strategies that depend on sensory information are not immutable; rather they can be shaped by the specific sensory context in which animals develop. This behavioral plasticity depends on the remarkable capacity for the brain to reorganize in response to alterations in the sensory environment, particularly when changes in sensory input occur at an early age. To study this phenomenon, we utilize the short-tailed opossum, a marsupial that has been a valuable animal model to study developmental plasticity due to the extremely immature state of its nervous system at birth. Previous studies in opossums have demonstrated that removal of retinal inputs early in development results in profound alterations to cortical connectivity and functional organization of visual and somatosensory cortex; however, behavioral consequences of this plasticity are not well understood. We trained early blind (EB) and sighted control (SC) opossums to perform a two-alternative forced choice texture discrimination task. Whisker trimming caused an acute deficit in discrimination accuracy for both EB and SC animals indicating that they primarily used a whisker-based strategy to guide choices based on tactile cues – though performance recovered in days, suggesting a shift to the use of other body parts when whiskers were absent. Mystacial whiskers were important for performance in both groups; however, genal whiskers only contributed to performance in EB animals. EB opossums significantly outperformed SC opossums in discrimination accuracy, being more sensitive to textural differences by ~75 µm smaller. Our results support behavioral compensation following early blindness using tactile inputs, especially the whisker system.
INTRODUCTION

The behavioral strategies that animals use to orient themselves and navigate within complex environments are highly dependent on the sensory context which they inhabit. Over the long timecourse of evolution, different species exhibit sensory adaptations to the ecological niche they occupy. For example, many subterranean mole rats that dwell in burrow systems, with little to no light, are naturally blind, with microphthalmic eyes and no functional vision (Cooper et al., 1993). Instead of vision, they rely heavily on touch and audition, accompanied by a corresponding magnification of sensory representations associated with these senses in cortical and subcortical structures (Mann et al., 1997; Bronchti et al., 2004; Park et al., 2007; Sadka et al., 2004; Kimchi et al., 2004; Kimchi et al., 2005). In fact, what would normally be visual cortex is co-opted by somatosensory and auditory systems. While evolutionary history places some constraints on the sensory-mediated behaviors that animals can engage in, over shorter timescales, behaviors can still be strongly influenced by the sensory context in which an animal develops (Montero, 1997; Arkley et al., 2014). Humans are naturally visual animals (Preuss 2003), however, individuals who experience vision loss, especially in cases of congenital deficits, can become highly effective at using tactile and auditory based strategies along with perceptual learning that manifest as heightened sensitivity to stimuli mediated by the spared senses (Goldreich and Kanics, 2003; Wong et al., 2011; Voss, 2011; for review see Bavelier and Neville, 2002; Kupers and Ptito, 2011; Merabet and Pascual-Leone, 2010; Renier et al., 2014; Ricciardi et al., 2014). Finally, even without the loss of a sensory receptor array, any given individual might rely more heavily on one sensory strategy over another in different settings, depending on the ongoing availability...
and behavioral relevance of sensory information (Montero, 1997; Lee et al., 2016); for example, touch and hearing may be prioritized over vision upon entering a dark room or at night, when visual information is not readily available.

To appreciate the extent to which early sensory context can shape tactile-mediated behavior, we experimentally altered the relative weights of different sensory inputs in short-tailed opossums through bilateral enucleation at a very early developmental stage (postnatal day 4; P4). P4 in opossums is prior to the onset of spontaneous activity in the retina, and before the formation of retinofugal and thalamocortical pathways (Taylor and Guillery, 1994; Molnar et al., 1998). Previous studies in our laboratory have shown that this manipulation results in a major functional reorganization of visual cortex and alterations in its cortical and subcortical connections (Karlen and Krubitzer, 2009; Karlen et al., 2006; Kahn and Krubitzer, 2002), as well as anatomical and physiological alterations in somatosensory cortex (Dooley and Krubitzer, 2019; Ramamurthy and Krubitzer, 2018; Karlen and Krubitzer, 2009; Karlen et al., 2006; Kahn and Krubitzer, 2002). These changes bear a strong resemblance to cortical organization observed in naturally blind animals (Halley and Krubitzer, 2019). Notably, neurons in primary somatosensory cortex (S1) of early blind opossums are more selective in their responses to single whisker stimuli and showed improved discriminability of whisker identity (Ramamurthy and Krubitzer, 2018). This could support enhanced discrimination of tactile features on a coarse spatial scale, at or above the distance between neighboring whiskers (Diamond et al., 2010). However, it is unknown if early blind opossums are better at sensing and discriminating textures on a very fine spatial scale, and critically, whether this manifests at the behavioral level.
In their natural habitat, short-tailed opossums are semi-arboreal and crepuscular 
(Eisenberg and Redford, 1989; Carvalho et al., 2011; Macrini, 2004; Jones et al., 2003), 
preferring low light conditions (Seelke et al., 2014). Under such circumstances, texture 
becomes especially crucial as a sensory cue, given the paucity of visual cues in dim light. 
Short-tailed opossums use texture cues to adjust their locomotor kinetics and footfall 
patterns as behavioral strategies to maintain balance on arboreal substrates (Lammers, 
2004; Lammers, 2007; Lammers, 2009; Lammers and Biknevicius, 2004; Lammers et al, 
2006; Lammers and Gauntner, 2008). In most small mammals, the facial whiskers are a 
major channel for gathering sensory information about position, shape and texture of 
objects in the immediate vicinity of the animal, and are essential for navigation in 
complicated and irregular settings – especially in the dark (Vincent, 1912; Russell and 
Pearce, 1970; Diamond et al., 2008; Diamond and Arabzadeh, 2013; Pocock, 1914; 
Huber, 1930a, 1930b; Lyne, 1959; Ahl, 1986; Sarko et al., 2011; Englund et al., 2020). 
When opossums are deprived of visual input at an early age, they are forced to become 
even more heavily reliant on whisker input as the primary means of exploring and 
navigating their environment (Ramamurthy and Krubitzer, 2018; Ramamurthy et al., 2018; 
Englund et al., 2020).

In the current study, we examined the role of two sets of facial whiskers – the 
mystacial whiskers (on the snout) and genal whiskers (on the cheek; Ramamurthy and 
Krubitzer, 2016) in guiding tactile-based decisions in early blind and sighted opossums, 
and measured their behavioral discrimination sensitivity to texture cues. To our 
knowledge, this is the first psychometric investigation of texture discrimination in a 
marsupial. Moreover, we compare results from sighted animals with those from bilaterally
enucleated opossums performing the same task to examine the extent to which developmental history has an impact on texture discrimination sensitivity and the role of facial whiskers in guiding behavior.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals

Behavioral experiments were performed in six adult short-tailed opossums (Monodelphis domestica; 3 M, 3 F, 4-16 months) obtained from two separate litters. Three of the six animals were bilaterally enucleated at P4 (see below) and were part of a larger study on developmental plasticity of sensory cortex. Where indicated, additional data from pilot experiments in two animals (1M, 1F) are also included. All experimental procedures were approved by UC Davis IACUC and conform to NIH guidelines.

Bilateral enucleations

Bilateral enucleations were performed at P4 using procedures that have been previously described (Ramamurthy and Krubitzer, 2018). Mothers of experimental litters were lightly anesthetized with Alfaxan (initial dose: 20 mg/kg; maintenance doses: 10-50%; IM) to facilitate enucleation of the pups, which are attached to the mother’s nipples at this developmental stage. Pups were anesthetized by hypothermia. Health of both the mother (respiration rate and body temperature) and the pups (heartbeat, coloration and mobility) was monitored throughout the procedure. An incision was made in the skin covering the eyes, the eyes were removed under microscopic guidance, and the skin was repositioned and resealed using surgical glue. Approximately 50% of each litter was
bilaterally enucleated. After complete recovery from anesthesia, mothers along with their attached litters were returned to their home cages.

Testing apparatus and procedure`

Animals were tested in a Y-maze modified to test texture discrimination capabilities using a two-alternative forced choice paradigm (2AFC) (Figure 1). A similar approach has previously been used in laboratory rodents (Smith, 1939; Finger and Frommer 1968; Finger et al., 1970; Lipp and Van der Loos, 1991; Hughes, 2007). The Y-maze (Figure 1A) was custom-built from plexiglass, and consisted of a corridor (15” long, 12” high) and two arms (12” long, 12” high). A clear plexiglass lid covered the top of the maze. The animal was required to discriminate between a baseline sandpaper (120 grit sandpaper, S+) and a range of sandpapers of different grits (test stimuli, S-). Both the baseline and test stimuli consisted of removable textured panels that could be attached to either arm of the Y-maze, and their respective sides of the corridor. Panels were made of polystyrene and covered with sandpaper of different grit sizes (corresponding to S- stimuli or S+ stimulus). The “goal arm” was defined as the arm which contained the S+ stimulus. On each trial, entry into the “goal arm” was considered a correct choice (hit), while entry into the non-goal arm was considered an incorrect choice (miss). After completion of each trial, the opossum returned to the start zone to begin a new trial. A hit was rewarded with a live cricket. The order of presentation of the different textures was pseudorandomized. 10-12 trials were collected per animal each day. The maze was cleaned with ethanol in between trials to eliminate olfactory cues. If an animal did not consume the reward within one minute following successful trial completion on >50% of hit trials, data from that day was excluded due to low reward-driven motivation for accurately performing the task. All
testing was conducted either in dim red light (660 nm – outside the expected peak sensitivity range of cone visual pigments in short-tailed opossums; Hunt et al., 2009) or in the dark, guided by a night vision camera. On a subset of trials, video recordings were captured under infrared lighting using a night vision camera (Seree Night Vision HDV-501, 1080p 60 fps). These were used for analysis of maze exploration and decision latency in opossums.

**Training stages**

Behavior was shaped gradually over a series of training stages described below in sequence.

1. **Handling**: Handling began immediately following weaning (P56) to facilitate training and testing on the texture discrimination task in adulthood. Handling occurred 2-3 days per week, from weaning until adulthood (see Ramamurthy and Krubitzer, 2018 for developmental timeline). All animals were handled for equal amounts of time (2 minutes) per day. Animals used in pilot experiments did not undergo this initial handling phase.

2. **Acclimation to the testing room**: Animals were transported to the testing room in their home cages and allowed to acclimate there for around 10 minutes. This phase lasted for 1 day.

3. **Acclimation to the testing apparatus**: Animals were placed in an open arena in the testing room and then repeatedly moved to and from the testing apparatus (Y-maze) for a total of two minutes per day. This process was repeated 3-5 times a week for 30 days.
4. **Stimulus-Reward Pairing**: Animals were placed in the open arena, now half covered by the roughest sandpaper (P120 grit). Approach toward and contact with the sandpaper was positively reinforced using a food reward (live cricket), paired with a sound (click). This stage of training lasted for ~2 weeks.

5. **2AFC Training**: Animals were placed in the start zone (Figure 1A) and allowed to navigate the Y-maze. The roughest sandpaper (P120 grit; mean grain size of 125 µm; ISO 6344 industrial standard) was used in the goal arm during this phase. Choice to enter the goal arm was positively reinforced by a click sound, followed by delivery of a cricket as the food reward. This phase lasted until criterion (70% accuracy) was achieved.

6. **2AFC Testing**: The testing phase began once animals reached criterion performance (70% accuracy). In this phase, the smooth panels were replaced by panels covered with sandpaper of varying roughness (P2000, P600, P400, P320, P150, with mean grain sizes of 10.3 µm, 25.8 µm, 35 µm, 46.2 µm, 100 µm, respectively; ISO 6344 industrial standard). The contrast in textures between the goal arm (120 grit) and the non-goal arm (P120 – P2000) could thus be varied across trials to measure psychometric functions of texture discrimination. Further, data was also acquired on days when either the same texture was presented on both sides, or P120 vs P2000 combination was presented with the panels flipped. This allowed us to control for the possibility of choices being guided by olfactory cues from the sandpaper (Finger et al., 1970). In the flipped panel configuration, the stimulus panels were affixed such that the sandpaper-covered side faced the wall of the Y-maze and the smooth backing of the panel was instead facing
inwards, towards the animal. Thus, texture-related cues associated with the stimulus panels were obscured while any odorant cues associated with the sandpaper would be retained. For trials in which either P120 texture was presented in both arms or when flipped panels were used to control for olfactory cues, either the right or the left panel was randomly selected to be the S+ stimulus prior to the start of the session and rewarded accordingly.

**Whisker trimming experiments**

Animals were not trained to use any specific body part to perform the texture discrimination. However, the height of the maze was such that it prevented animals from rearing up and actively palpating the stimulus panels with their forepaws. Like mice and rats, short tailed opossums naturally whisk during locomotion and object exploration. The goal of these experiments was to test the extent to which these animals naturally favored the use of their whiskers for texture discrimination to guide behavior.

Following data collection across all tested stimulus combinations, we determined the contribution of the mystacial whiskers (located on the snout) and the genal whiskers (located on the cheek) in performing this task by trimming each set of whiskers separately or at the same time. Three sets of whisker trimming experiments were performed – 1) mystacial whiskers only 2) genal whiskers only 3) both mystacial and genal whiskers. Whiskers were bilaterally trimmed down to approximately 0.5 mm in length (under light isoflurane anesthesia; 1-2% for 3-5 minutes), and the acute effect on behavior was measured for 3-4 days following whisker trimming. Each set of whisker trimming experiments was separated by at least 1 month to allow complete regrowth of all whiskers.
between experiments. To maintain the stimulus-reward association, some training sessions occurred at <1 month, but these data were not included in the analysis since whisker regrowth could be partial in those cases. 3-5 sessions of data on texture discrimination performance on P120 vs. P2000 combination was acquired pre-trimming, followed by 3-5 sessions for the same stimuli post-trimming. Sham procedures mimicking whisker-trimming (brushing rather than trimming whiskers under 1-2% isoflurane for 3-5 minutes) were included prior to the pre-trimming phase. The first post-trimming behavioral session was conducted no sooner than 18 hours after the whiskers were trimmed.

**Video coding**

All video data was manually scored by two independent observers using BORIS (Behavioral Observation Research Interactive) software (version 4.1.4). The parameters scored were: 1) total time spent in the start zone 2) total time spent in the choice zone until the animal left the choice zone and entered one of the arms. The time point of entry into a zone was recorded as the time at which the tip of the snout first entered the zone, while the time point of exit (**Figure 1A**) was recorded as the time at which the whole body of the animal (except the tail) left that zone.

**Data analysis and statistics**

All data analyses and statistics were performed in MATLAB version 9.4.0 (MathWorks, Natick, MA) and R version 3.5.2 (R Development Core Team 2018). Texture discrimination performance across different sandpaper combinations was primarily assessed using the metric of accuracy (percent correct trials). Statistical significance of accuracy levels, relative to chance performance was assessed using the binomial exact
test. Psychometric functions were plotted for individual animals across different sandpaper combinations. These were used to obtain average psychometric functions, and texture discrimination threshold values across animals in each experimental group and testing condition. Texture discrimination threshold was defined as the smallest difference in sandpaper grit size for which animals showed above chance performance. Mean texture discrimination functions and choice latencies were compared between different experimental groups (SC – sighted controls, and EB – early blind) and experimental conditions (pre-trimming vs. post-trimming; mystacial/genal/both) using two-way ANOVAs. Hit Rate was defined as the proportion of times the animal chose the left arm of the Y-maze, and the S+ stimulus was on the left (Figure 1B). The False Alarm rate is the proportion of times the animal chose the left arm, and the S- stimulus was on the left. The percentage of correct responses was computed as the average of the Hit rate and Correct Rejection rate.

\[ \% \text{ correct} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \text{Hit Rate} + \text{Correct Rejection Rate} \right) \]

Signal detection theory (Green and Swets, 1966; Carandini and Churchland, 2013) was applied to calculate \( d' \) as a measure of discriminability. \( d' \) was calculated from the z-score transform of the 2AFC percentage correct (National Research Council Committee on Vision, 1985) as follows:

\[ d' = \sqrt{2} \times Z(\% \text{ correct}) \]

Response bias (\( b \)) was calculated using the equation:

\[ b = \left| \frac{1}{2} \left[ Z(\text{Hit Rate}) + Z(\text{False Alarm Rate}) \right] \right| \]
RESULTS

We trained sighted and early blind short-tailed opossums to perform a two-alternative forced choice (2AFC) texture discrimination task, in which choosing a rougher texture led to a food reward. By varying the difference in roughness between the textures presented for the choice, we generated psychometric functions for texture discrimination in short-tailed opossums and made comparisons of tactile perceptual sensitivity between sighted and early blind experimental groups.

Sighted and early blind opossums successfully learned to base choices on texture cues to acquire a food reward

In initial training sessions, opossums were presented with only one possible stimulus combination – the S+ stimulus consisting of a P120 sandpaper panel, the choice of which was positively reinforced, and the single S- stimulus consisting of a smooth panel. This was done to benefit task learning by maximizing the textural contrast between S+ and S- stimuli. Animals from both experimental groups achieved criterion-level performance accuracy in choosing the S+ stimulus in ~5 days (Figure 2A-B; SC: 5.33 ± 0.27 days; EB: 5.00 ± 0.47 days) after training started (stage 5 of the gradual behavioral shaping process; see Materials and Methods). Once the task was learned, both groups of animals reliably spent much more time per unit area exploring in the choice zone of the Y-maze, compared to the start zone (Figure 2C; pre-whisker trimming, start zone vs. choice zone – SC: p = 1.26x10^{-4}, EB: p = 3.12x10^{-4}; paired t-test) before entering into an arm of the maze and terminating the trial, indicating that the animals were engaged in the
task, and making choices based on evidence accumulated from sensory cues in the maze. After criterion was attained, on average, performance remained stable for individual animals, and was similar across experimental groups (Figure 2D; p = 0.246, 1-way ANOVA; group means: SC: 75.5 ± 2.26%, EB: 77.2 ± 2.19%). To confirm that animals were not guided by possible odorant cues associated with the sandpaper panel, we included a subset of trials where the P120 vs. smooth panel combination was presented with the panels flipped such that texture cues associated with the S+ stimulus were eliminated (see Materials and Methods; Figure 2E). This caused performance to drop to chance levels in all animals, and was not significantly different from performance when the same texture (P120) was presented on both sides (p = 0.795, 1-way ANOVA; group means, flipped panels – SC: 46.6 ± 3.85%, EB: 51.3 ± 4.12%; group means, both P120 – SC: 45.7 ± 2.75%, EB: 47.8 ± 4.09%). Additionally, we confirmed that no cues associated with the dim red light were guiding choices in sighted animals (Figure 2F) by conducting a subset of testing sessions in the dark, under the guidance of only an infrared-enabled camera. Performance was not significantly different under dim red light and dark conditions (p = 0.8917, 1-way ANOVA; group means, red light – SC: 75.2 ± 2.36%, EB: 76.2 ± 1.89%; group means, dark – SC: 75.5 ± 2.34%, EB: 78.1 ± 2.88%). Thus, tactile cues, and not odorant or light cues were responsible for successful task performance in both sighted and blind animals.

Facial whiskers made a primary contribution in guiding texture-based choices with differential effects of trimming in sighted vs. early blind opossums

We assessed the contribution of facial whiskers to texture discrimination in sighted and early blind short-tailed opossums by trimming the mystacial set of whiskers (located
on the snout) and the genal set of whiskers (located on the cheek), either separately or in tandem. Following trimming of all facial whiskers, opossums continued to show similar patterns of exploration in the Y-maze as when whiskers were intact, but they spent significantly greater time per unit area in the choice zone compared to the start zone (Figure 3A; post-whisker trimming, start zone vs. choice zone – SC: p = 3.20 x 10⁻³; EB: p = 4.00 x 10⁻⁴; paired t-test). For each experimental group, time spent within any particular zone was not significantly different between pre- and post-whisker trimming conditions (pre- vs. post-whisker trimming, start zone – SC: p = 0.896, EB: p = 0.986; choice zone – SC: p = 0.259, EB: p = 0.905; 1-way ANOVA with post-hoc Tukey’s HSD test).

Thus, whisker trimming did not cause opossums to cease being engaged in task performance. Trimming of the mystacial whiskers led to an acute reduction in texture discrimination accuracy on the first day post-trimming and performance dropped to the level of chance in both experimental groups (Figure 3B; SC: p = 7.65 x 10⁻³; EB: p = 4.88 x 10⁻³; repeated measures ANOVA with post-hoc Tukey’s HSD test). This was followed by a steady recovery in discrimination accuracy back to criterion levels over the next three days, suggesting that opossums rapidly shifted strategies and relied on other body parts to perform texture discrimination, given that whisker regrowth would be minimal in 1-4 days post-trimming. Notably, trimming of the genal whiskers caused performance to significantly drop on the first day post-trimming only in early blind opossums but not in controls (SC: p = 0.964; EB: p = 4.08 x 10⁻⁷; repeated measures ANOVA followed by post-hoc Tukey’s HSD test), and remained higher than chance even for the early blind group. By the second day following trimming of the genal whiskers,
discrimination performance had fully recovered and stayed as such for the next two post-trim days.

Simultaneous trimming of both mystacial and genal whiskers in the third trimming experiment once again caused an acute deficit in whisker discrimination performance on the first day in both groups, similar to what was seen when mystacial whiskers alone were trimmed (SC: $p = 1.01 \times 10^{-2}$; EB: $p = 7.23 \times 10^{-3}$; repeated measures ANOVA followed by post-hoc Tukey’s HSD test). Importantly, sham procedures mimicking whisker trimming (see Materials and Methods), that occurred during the pre-trim phase for each trimming experiment did not cause a dip in performance for either group (Figure 3B), indicating that poor performance on the first day after whisker trimming could not be attributed to non-sensory effects such as stress. Thus, when whisker input was present (especially from the mystacial whiskers), it was preferentially used to perform texture-based discriminations. Genal whiskers contributed to performance in early blind animals, but not in sighted animals. When whisker input was removed by trimming, performance recovered for both SC and EB opossums over the time course of a few days, likely through an altered strategy which relied on tactile inputs from other body parts for texture discrimination.

**Early blind opossums showed enhanced texture discrimination accuracy and sensitivity relative to sighted controls**

We varied the contrast between the textures of the S+ and S- stimuli in order to generate psychometric functions for texture discrimination in short-tailed opossums. Texture discrimination accuracy dropped with decreases in the difference between two
presented textures, quantified as the difference in average grit size between sandpapers (Δ 0-125 µm grit size). For individual animals in both SC and EB experimental groups performance was at chance level for small differences in grit size and increased to criterion level for larger grit size differences (Figure 4A). The average texture discrimination curve showed the same generally increasing relationship between textural contrast (Δ sandpaper grit size) and discrimination accuracy for both groups. Importantly, there was a leftward shift in the EB curve relative to the SC curve (Figure 4B). There was a significant main effect of grit size difference as well as experimental group on discrimination accuracy (grit size difference: p = 2.19 x10^{-10}; experimental group: p = 5.72 x 10^{-4}; 2-way ANOVA). On average, early blind opossums could discriminate differences in texture as low as 25 µm (P120 vs. P150; p<0.05, binomial exact test) while sighted controls did no better than chance for differences below Δ 100 µm (P120 vs. P600, p<0.05, binomial exact test). Thus, early blind animals displayed an improved ability to discriminate smaller textural differences, compared to sighted controls under the same conditions.

We applied signal detection theory to separate the contributions of sensitivity (d') and bias (b) to differences in behavioral performance between SC and EB animals (Figure 4C). We computed sensitivity (d') and bias (b) when the difference in grit sizes was maximal (125 µm), minimal (0 µm) or in the intermediate range (25-115 µm). Improved texture discrimination accuracy in early blind animals for textural differences in the range of 25-115 µm was accompanied by significant improvements in sensitivity (d') for those stimuli (p = 9.3 x 10^{-3}; two sample t-test), but not when the textural difference was maximal (125 µm – initially trained combination, P120 vs. smooth; p = 0.696, two
sample t-test) or minimal (0 µm – P120 on both sides, or P120 vs. P2000 flipped panels; p = 0.850, two sample t-test). Response bias (b) was inversely related to grit size differences and sensitivity, being high for low Δ grit size stimulus combinations (where sensitivity was low) and low for high Δ grit size stimulus combinations (where sensitivity was high). Response bias did not differ significantly between experimental groups when grit size differences were maximal (p = 0.319; two sample t-test), minimal (p = 0.996; two sample t-test) or in the intermediate range (p = 0.513; two sample t-test). Thus, improved texture discrimination performance for smaller textural differences in early blind opossums can be attributed to improved perceptual sensitivity rather than differences in response bias between the two groups.

**DISCUSSION**

**Fine texture discrimination in mammals**

Textures are comprised of surface tactile features that can range in scale from coarsely spaced (hundreds of microns to a few millimeters) to finely spaced (<200 microns; Diamond et al., 2010). The discrimination of textures on these different spatial scales involve different neural coding mechanisms, the specifics of which depend on features of any given tactile sensory apparatus – these include the spacing of peripheral receptors, the size of their receptive fields, as well as active touch strategies used (Diamond et al., 2010; Grant et al., 2013). Coarse texture discrimination has been described in a number of mammals that rely on texture for important sensory mediated behaviors using a variety of body parts such as the fingertips in humans (Lamb, 1983; Morley et al., 1983) and non-human primates (squirrel monkey – Hille et al., 2001), the
trunk in elephants (Dehnhardt et al., 1997), the forepaws and whiskers in sea otters (Strobel et al., 2018), and the whiskers in harbor seals (Dehnhardt et al., 1998), sea lions (Dehnhardt, 1994; Dehnhardt and Düler, 1996), manatees (Bachteler and Dehnhardt, 1999; Bauer et al., 2012), and laboratory rodents (Carvell and Simons, 1990). However, fine texture discrimination (Diamond 2010), has only been extensively characterized for the whisker system in laboratory rodents and fingertips in primates (Connor et al., 1990; Connor and Johnson, 1992; Arabzadeh et al., 2005; Hollins and Bensmaia, 2007; von Heimendahl et al., 2007; Wolfe et al., 2008; Diamond 2010; Jadhav and Feldman, 2010; Pacchiarini et al., 2017).

Short-tailed opossums have been shown to use surface texture as a cue to modify their locomotion (Lammers 2009). Here, we found that sighted short-tailed opossums could discriminate between surfaces that differ in roughness by at least ~100 µm (125 µm vs. 25.8 µm mean grit size) while in the dark. Thus, short-tailed opossums are capable of using fine textural differences to guide behavior, as previously reported in rodents (Guic-Robles et al., 1989, Cybulska-Klosowicz and Kossut, 2001, Aggestam and Cahusac, 2007; Bourgeon et al., 2008). However, the smallest textural difference discriminated by sighted opossums in our study is considerably larger than that of rodents. Rats have been reported to discriminate between textures that differ by as low as ~10-20 µm mean grit size (Morita et al., 2011). Mice can discriminate between novel and familiar textures separated by 25 µm in mean grit size (Wu et al., 2013; Wu and Dyck, 2018). It is important to note that the texture difference threshold measured is dependent on the roughness of the base stimulus used, as per Weber’s law – when rats were tested relative to a rougher baseline sandpaper (P150, 100 µm mean grit size), the smallest differences in texture
they could discriminate was up to 60 µm larger than when they were tested with a smooth (P1500, 12.6 mm mean grit size) baseline sandpaper (Morita et al., 2011). In our study, test comparisons were made with a relatively rough baseline texture (P120, mean grit size 125 µm); therefore opossums could be capable of discriminating smaller differences in texture than reported here, if a finer grit sandpaper is used as the baseline stimulus.

Role of the facial whiskers in texture discrimination

Short-tailed opossums have two prominent sets of facial whiskers – the mystacial and genal whiskers, both of which are involved in tactile exploration through active whisking (Grant et al., 2013). We examined the role of these whiskers in the discrimination of textures through whisker-trimming experiments. Both sighted and blind opossums showed diminished performance on the texture discrimination task immediately following trimming of all facial whiskers. This could not be attributed to effects of anesthesia (Lipp and Van der Loos, 1991) or handling procedures associated with trimming because the same procedures minus whisker trimming did not yield a deficit in performance during the pre-trim phase for each set of trimming experiments. Thus, short-tailed opossums used facial whiskers for texture discrimination in this task.

However, trimming of subsets of facial whiskers led to differential effects in EB vs. SC animals. Patterns of performance following mystacial vs. genal whisker trimming indicated that SC animals used only mystacial whiskers for texture discrimination while EB animals used a strategy that integrated sensory information across both mystacial and genal whiskers. This suggests that even under normal circumstances mystacial whiskers are involved in fine texture discrimination but genal whiskers may perform different sensory functions than mystacial whiskers, as has been reported for other groups of
whiskers in other mammals (for example, the whisker trident in rats; Thé et al., 2013; Chorev et al., 2016). The differential contribution of mystacial and genal whiskers to performance could be due to differences in active sampling strategies engaged during whisking and locomotion in blind vs. sighted animals (Arkley et al., 2014) developed to compensate for the lack of vision. With the loss of a major sensory system (vision) genal whiskers appear to be recruited for making fine texture discriminations, indicating that the strategy employed for adaptive sensory mediated behaviors is highly flexible and dependent on available inputs from the different sensory systems.

There is evidence for behavioral flexibility in sighted animals as well. In all trimming experiments for both experimental groups, texture discrimination performance recovered over the next three days when the trimmed whiskers had still not grown back. Given that we verified that olfactory and visual cues were not used to perform the discrimination task (Figure 2E-F), it appears that both SC and EB animals utilized strategies mediated by other body parts for making tactile discriminations. This could have involved the use of microvibrissae (Kerekes et al., 2017; Kuruppath et al., 2014; Morita et al., 2011), skin on the snout (Kerekes et al., 2017; Morita et al., 2011) or possibly even skin or fur on the trunk (Kerekes et al., 2017). Quick recovery of performance in the post-trim phase has also been reported in rats allowed to freely run while discriminating fine tactile patterns (Kerekes et al., 2017). Notably, once whiskers had fully grown back, opossums returned to using a whisker-based strategy during the task – as was evident from the reduction in performance seen once again in the post-trim phase following the last trimming experiment, when both sets of facial whiskers were trimmed. In the absence of visual information either temporarily (in the case of SC animals) or over the course of
development (in the case of EB animals), opossums favored a whisker-based strategy to discriminate between textures. Thus, while opossums were predisposed to using their whiskers for texture discrimination, they could flexibly recruit alternative strategies based on available sensory inputs, over the course of their lifetime. Such behavioral flexibility, which is likely cortically mediated, is a common feature in mammals (Krubitzer and Prescott, 2018).

Enhanced tactile behavioral sensitivity following vision loss

In the current study, we demonstrate that texture discrimination performance in short-tailed opossums can be altered by developmental history – for the same sandpaper combinations, early blind opossums discriminated differences in textures by as much as ~75 µm lower than the smallest discrimination made by sighted controls (EB: 25 µm vs. SC: 100 µm). Several studies have reported enhanced tactile perception in early blind human subjects (Walker and Moylan, 1993; Goldreich & Kanics, 2003; Goldreich & Kanics, 2006; Legge et al., 2008; Alary et al., 2009; Wong et al., 2011), although this was not demonstrated in all studies (Heller 1989; Alary et al., 2009; Gurtubay-Antolin and Rodríguez-Fornells, 2017). Studies comparing different touch-based tasks revealed enhancement in sensory performance for some tasks but not others (Alary et al., 2009; Gurtubay-Antolin and Rodríguez-Fornells, 2017). Further, other studies have shown that even for tasks in which early blind subjects showed superior tactile performance, this was seen for some body parts, but not others (Wong et al., 2011). Specifically, tactile spatial acuity was greater for the preferred reading finger of Braille readers compared to a non-preferred fingers or other body parts, and was correlated with the level of use. These
findings support the contribution of use-dependent mechanisms to the development of heightened performance via the spared senses.

Thus, whether or not a specific aspect of tactile performance is enhanced in blind individuals could depend on the behavioral strategies that they used to engage with their environment (Arkley et al. 2014; Schinazi et al., 2016), among other factors. This can be especially impactful over the course of development when experience can have a major influence on plasticity in the nervous system. Given that even sighted opossums were found to use a primarily mystacial whisker-dependent strategy to solve the texture discrimination task in the dark, it follows that increased reliance on the whiskers to perform this function in the absence of vision from an early age could result in use-dependent plasticity. Our study shows that opossums that are blind from a very early stage in development are capable of enhanced discrimination of finely scaled textures using a primarily whisker-dependent strategy. These findings add to our previous results from recordings in primary somatosensory cortex of early blind short-tailed opossums which provided evidence of enhanced neural discrimination at a coarser spatial scale, for tactile stimuli applied to different whiskers on the face (Ramamurthy and Krubitzer, 2018). In that study we found that S1 neurons in EB animals were more selective in their responses to the deflection of individual whiskers, especially along the rostrocaudal axis of the snout, in alignment with the primary axis of natural whisker motion. Together, these studies provide support for enhancement of the representation of tactile information across multiple spatial scales in short-tailed opossums following the loss of vision early in development.
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FIGURES AND FIGURE LEGENDS

**Figure 1.** Experimental design A. Behavioral testing apparatus. A Y-maze was modified to test texture discrimination capabilities using a two-alternative forced choice paradigm (2AFC). Stimulus panels were attached to the inner walls of the Y-maze (thick black lines). Opossums were required to discriminate between a baseline sandpaper (120 grit sandpaper, S+) and a range of sandpapers of different grits (test stimuli, S-). Choice of the S+ stimulus was rewarded with a live cricket. The start zone and choice zone were designated as shown for the quantification of texture discrimination latency. B. Contingency table showing trial types, response types and trial outcomes that result from all possible combinations of trial type and response type. On any given trial, the S+ texture can be presented on the left or right side of the maze (Left/Right trial types) and the animal can respond by choosing either the left or the right arm (Left/Right Choice) in the 2AFC texture discrimination task paradigm. When S+ was presented on the right, choice of the right arm was counted as a hit, while choice of the left arm was a miss. When S+ was presented on the left, choice of the right arm counted as a false alarm, while choice of the left arm was a correct rejection. C. Training and testing timeline. Following initial training and testing across all stimulus combinations, the contribution of the facial whiskers to performing this task was determined by trimming (a) mystacial whiskers (b) genal whiskers (c) mystacial and genal whiskers.
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**Figure 2.** Training results for short-tailed opossums performing the 2AFC texture discrimination task. **A.** Learning curves for four sighted control (SC, blue), and four early blind (EB, red) opossums, for discrimination of the S+ stimulus (P120 sandpaper) from the S- stimulus with maximal difference in texture (smooth panel). Learning curves show moving averages of performance over three days. Animals were considered to reach criterion (triangular markers) after two consecutive training days at ≥70%. **B.** Both sighted and early blind opossums attained criterion in ~5 training sessions. **C.** Latency for texture discrimination during performance at criterion. On average, both SC and EB spent significantly more time in the decision zone vs. the initial zone while performing the task, consistent with an active choice of the goal arm rather than selection by chance. **D.** After criterion was reached, P120 vs. smooth discrimination performance was not significantly different between SC and EB groups. **E.** Control for any possible olfactory cues from sandpaper. Presentation of the same texture on both sides (either P120 on both sides or the P120 vs. P2000 combination with flipped panels, smooth side facing in) caused accuracy to drop to chance in both groups. **F.** Control for any possible light cues due to the use of dim red light. Performance in the dark under infrared guidance was not significantly different from performance under dim red light for either group.
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**Figure 3.** Effects of whisker trimming on texture discrimination task performance. **A.** Latency for texture discrimination after trimming of all facial whiskers. Consistent with their initial training, sighted and early blind animals spent more time in the decision zone vs. the initial zone even after whisker trimming. **B.** Average texture discrimination accuracy across four consecutive testing sessions pre- and post- trimming of the facial whiskers: (a) mystacial whiskers (circles), (b) genal whiskers (triangles), (c) mystacial and genal whiskers (squares). Whisker trimming acutely impaired performance on texture discrimination in both sighted and early blind opossums, which then gradually recovered over the next few sessions. Sham procedures in the pre-trimming phase did not induce a similar drop in performance. Mystacial whisker trimming (either alone or together with genal whisker trimming) diminished texture discrimination accuracy in both experimental groups, while genal whisker trimming affected only the early blind group.
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Figure 4. Texture discrimination curves in sighted and early blind opossums A. Psychometric functions for three individual sighted control opossums (top row) and three individual early blind opossums (bottom row). All animals have increased discrimination performance as differences in texture increased (Δ sandpaper grit size). B. Average psychometric functions for texture discrimination in early blind versus sighted control opossums. Discrimination accuracy increased with texture difference in both SC and EB animals, but the performance curves were shifted to the left for the EB group compared to the SC group, indicating lower texture discrimination thresholds in these animals. Early blind opossums could discriminate differences in texture of as small as 25 µm while sighted controls did no better than chance for < Δ 100 µm differences. C. Signal detection theory measures of texture discrimination performance. The scatterplot shows the relationship between mean d-prime and response bias measures for maximal (Δ 125 µm), minimal (Δ 0 µm) and intermediate (Δ 25-115 µm) differences in texture. Only the sensitivity index, d-prime, was significantly different between SC and EB groups, for texture differences of 25-115 µm.
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