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ABSTRACT
The popularity of learning approach such flipped learning have been growing widely over the world. In science education, flipped learning has been described as a useful tool in enhancing students' skills such learning, personal, social and cognitive. This study, therefore, investigate the contributions of flipped learning in science education and related fields as well as identify the implications and challenges facing flipped learning in science education. The results generally reported that flipped learning positively impacted science education environment. Additionally, recommendations were also highlighted.
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Literature highlighted several determinations of the individual success regardless their individual, social and academic factors (Jdaitawi, Almutawa, Musallam, & Talafha, 2014; Al-Mutawa et al., 2014; Maya Panoraman & Malek, 2011; Ismael et al., 2015; Jdaitawi et al., 2013). Reforms in higher education sector have led to the emphasis on the capability of the science educational model in equipping higher education students with the needed skills for the development of their future professional careers. Studying science entails different complex problem identification, problem investigation, formulation of hypotheses, collection of data, planning of methods, testing of hypotheses, obtaining results and reaching conclusions (Saidin et al., 2015; Meerah, 1998). According to Saidin et al. (2015), the participation of the students in the mentioned processes could enhance their critical thinking in every phase for optimum learning outcomes. Students often believe and perceive science subjects to be difficult which is why only a few of them choose to be in the science field, with some, later on, hindered by study issues including, disengagement and negative attitudes towards the courses (Howard, 2017). Cagande and Jugar (2018) mentioned that the reason behind this conundrum may be linked to the teaching approach that does not meet the time demands of students. In relation to this, the present adopted teaching methods have been shown to improve learning of general courses, but investigations about learning in science courses continue to present inconclusive and mixed findings (Kellems et al., 2020; Savelsbergh et al., 2016; Jdaitawi, 2020).

Educators have sought to change the learning environment by shifting the focus from instructor to student participation (Bansal et al., 2020). Presently, there are different learning methods that have been introduced to keep abreast with the dynamic ongoing societal changes and scientific and technological developments (Sojayapan & Khlaisang, 2018). However, Mc Laughlin, et. (2016) stated that one of the more popular learning methods resulting from the developments in technology and pedagogy is flipped learning. In the world of learning, flipped learning is considered to be of valuable contribution towards teaching and learning, while at the same time enhancing successful learning outcomes of students (Jdaitawi, 2020; Rathner & Schier, 2020; Akcayir & Akcayir, 2018). In the context of science teaching-learning, the flipped-classroom approach has been proved to enhance student metacognition and collaborative learning (Van Vliet et al., 2015) and to improve student outcomes (Fatemah & Jamebozorg, 2020; Gross et
al., 2015) while others reported positive results of flipped learning in supporting students and engage them by increasing their motivation and managing cognitive load more effectively (Barrat et al., 2018; Abeysekera & Dawson, 2014).

Flipped learning can be defined as the platform that deliver the passive teaching activities online (or through another medium), which the student accesses in his/her own time (homework), whereas active (student centered) learning activities are completed in the classroom (Rathner & Schier, 2020; Akçayır & Akçayır, 2018; Vliet, Winnips, & Brouwer, 2015). Through flipped learning, the teacher is no longer the “speaker” on the podium; instead, the teacher becomes a coordinator for the students (He et al., 2019). Some scholars have described the nature of the flipped teaching mode as follows: by blending the strengths of internet-driven instruction outside the classroom (e.g., digital videos, self-regulated learning, online discussions) and face-to-face inside the classroom (e.g., collaborative study, applied problem-solving, instructor and peer engagement), the flipped learning model can effectively increase student engagement, improve student performance and strengthen the development of creative thinking (Eddy & Hogan, 2014; McLaughlin et al., 2014; He et al., 2019; Persky, & Pollack, 2010; Persky, & Pollack, 2011). In the flipped learning context, students can not only selectively watch online videos based on their knowledge levels but also freely replay core and difficult content. In the classroom, teachers and students have sufficient time to conduct face-to-face discussions. Meanwhile, to enhance knowledge integration and application, students are asked to use in-class time for group discussion which can play an important role in improving students’ understanding of the key points of the course (He et al., 2019; Vliet, Winnips, & Brouwer, 2015).

Literature supported that by using flipped learning model, the students are engaged in more complex activities while they can obtain teachers feedback in a timely manner (Thai, De Wever, & Valcke, 2017). Flipped learning enables teachers to encourage critical thinking in their students, building the capacity for lifelong learning and preparing future graduates for their work-place contexts (Akçayır & Akçayır, 2018; O’Flaherty & Phillips, 2015). The flipped classroom pedagogical approach encourages students to work independently to learn basic facts and concepts outside the classroom through varied methods, including reading, completing online education modules, and watching recorded lectures (Connor et al., 2019; Bergmann, Overmyer, & Wille, 2018; Davies, Dean, & Ball, 2013). In the current times, the students’ interaction and engagement with learning activities have been enhanced by using flipped learning (Thai et al., 2017; He et al., 2019). Based on the flipped learning studies, flipped learning is able to promote teaching and learning results in terms of attitude, critical thinking, independent learning, interest, motivation, engagement, achievement and eventually, the students’ satisfaction (Jdaitawi, 2020; Akcayır & Akcayır, 2018; Connor et al., 2019; Eddy & Hogan, 2014; McLaughlin et al., 2014; He et al., 2019; Yacout & Shoha, 2016). Evidence from literature shows that flipped learning strategy is capable of enhancing learning experiences and learning activities (Bansal, et al., 2020; Barrat et al., 2018; Helgevold & Moen, 2015), and although such teaching approaches are clearly invaluable, studies dedicated to them are still lacking and what few there are reported mixed findings as to the intervention’s effectiveness (Vliet et al., 2015; Jdaitawi, 2019; Gross et al., 2015; Adams et al., 2016; Brewer & Movahedazarhouligh, 2018; Evans et al., 2019; Jdaitawi, 2020). Therefore, more studies are required to examine the flipped learning effectiveness in learning science courses in order to establish a systematic literature review on technology use in education fields (Esen & Karagol, 2018; Chen et al., 2018; Cheng et al., 2018). Such systematic reviews are lacking despite their need to determine flipped learning trends effectiveness, particularly in science education (Lag & Saele, 2019; Chen et al., 2018;
Cheng et al., 2018). Thus, in the present study, literature on knowledge-based flipped learning interventions is extended through the analysis of science education-dedicated studies using different databases.

**Purpose of the Study**

Literature generally focused on the determinant effectiveness of flipped learning strategy in science courses, with studies presenting an extensive review of flipped learning factors remaining few and far between. In this regard, this study conducts a systematic literature review to identify the flipped learning status in the field of science education and it examines categories of analysis for this purpose and flipped learning advantages, limitations, effectiveness in the same context. The analysis of the different categories would stress on the emerging trends, opportunities, challenges and literature extension and visions towards the objectives and outcomes of its future usage. Flipped learning in science education is examined on the basis of the following research questions.

1. What are the skills achieved through flipped learning use in the science education?
2. What are the most education levels used in flipped learning science studies?
3. What are the advantages and challenges related to flipped learning in science studies?

**Methodology**

The study adopted Arksey and O’Malley (2005) and Kitchenham’s (2004) four-tiered systematic review of studies involving the following phases: Identification of research questions – in the first phase, the trends in educational flipped learning studies conducted from 2015 to 2020 were identified; flipped learning research dedicated to engineering education, 19 studies were selected from the databases and were deemed appropriate for the objectives of the study and the last phrase is required considering the research questions, which read, 1) What are the skills achieved through flipped learning use in the engineering education? (2) At which education level is flipped learning technology most frequently used for engineering students? and 3) What are the advantages and challenges related with flipped learning in engineering education?

**Results and Discussion**

Under this heading, the first question posed for the study states, “what are the skills achieved through flipped learning and in science courses? Accordingly, this study coded and tabulated the literature science education studies (refer to Table 1). The use of flipped learning in the science education field, according to Table 1, enhanced the science students’ skills particularly their learning outcomes, their performance, attitudes, and both personal and cognitive skills (Matthew et al., 2019; Mabrouk & Genedy, 2018; Sirota, 2017; Su & Chen, 2018; Tomas, Evans & Skamp, 2019; Cagande & Jugar, 2018; Joseph, Rathner, Mark & Schier, 2020). More specifically, the table lists 18 academic skills in most of the reviewed studies (10 studies, constituting 55.55%), supporting the contention that flipped learning is effective in improving students’ science learning. Flipped learning’s contribution to cognitive skills was revealed by 4 studies (22.25%) and occupied the second position on the list, while enhancement of personal skills was evidenced by 2 studies (11.10%). Similarly, 2 studies (constituting 11.10%) evidenced enhancement of social skills of students who were exposed to flipped learning approach – specifically revealing that the approach brought about students’ interaction and collaborative learning, enabling their extensive engagement with their peers.

Other studies evidenced the positive influence of flipped learning on the students’ various skills (e.g., Barral et al., 2019; Mabrouk & Genedy, 2018; Nwosisi et al., 2016; Cgande et al., 2018; Mikael et al., 2018). This result may be attributed to the role of technology in the student’s university life, particularly the flipped learning model that is extensively adopted for the teaching of science education. Students appear to perceive...
flipped learning model as an attractive learning strategy, holding their interests and encouraging their interaction with the contexts and their contribution to the learning sessions, understanding of content and achievement of optimum outcome (Mikael et al., 2018; Tutrang & Schnke, 2017; Cagande & Jugar, 2018). Moreover, this result supports the preference of flipped learning over other methods of teaching-learning, considering its positive effect on the skills of the students. Other advantages were mentioned by some of the examined studies – specifically, Gopalan et al. (2017) revealed the experience of smooth knowledge-transfer and knowledge-sharing among learners with the assistance of media technology. According to the authors, the functions of multiple media contribute to the learning process and enhance long-term memory for storing information and knowledge. The result may also be linked to the use of scientific learning procedures in flipped learning in order to push achievements and understanding of abstract concepts to heights using practices and material engagement (Tutrang & Schnke, 2017), which in turn, leads to skills gaining. According to Barral et al. (2019), flipping the classroom is a key strategy that effectively maximizes active learning methods in the classroom while providing individualized student’s support. Also, flipped learning helps students’ problem-solving engagement during classes (Tutrang & Schnke, 2017).

Studies that examined non-learning outcomes in the form of social, cognitive and personal skills outcomes have been carried out adopting similar study designs from those that looked into learning outcomes. For instance, Cagande and Jugar (2018) conducted a comparison of students’ readiness and motivation between flipped learning students and non-flipped learning students and found those exposed to flipped learning to have enhanced problem-solving skills. In the same line of study, Mikael et al. (2018) made use of two teaching models and revealed flipped learning to be capable of enhancing the engagement of students. Majority of similar studies showed that frequent utilization of flipped learning as a tool in science learning and as among the current technologies that can be integrated into learning to provide higher information and to extend access to knowledge (Tutrang & Schnke, 2017; Abeysekera & Dawson, 2015; Barral et al., 2019; Mabrouk & Genedy, 2018; Nwosisi et al., 2016; Cgande et al., 2018; Mikael et al., 2018), while increasing the motivation and cognitive skills of students (Tutrang & Schnke, 2017; Abeysekera & Dawson, 2015).

### Table 1: A Summary of the Studies Applying Flipped Learning in Science Education

| Skills          | Authors                        | Variable                             | Finding                                           |
|-----------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Academic        | Tutrang & Schenke (2017)       | Interest and study skills            | Enhanced students’ interest and study skills      |
|                 | Cronhjort, Filipsson and Weurlander (2018) | Engagement and learning               | Improved students’ engagement and learning        |
|                 | Serry (2015)                   | Active learning and engagement       | Enhanced students learning and their engagement   |
|                 | Jafarkhani & Jamebozorg        | Academic performance                 | Cooperative flipped learning enhanced students' academic |
With regards to the second research question that asks the education level that is mostly sampled in science education when it comes to flipped learning, Table 2 shows that majority of the studies were conducted among bachelor level students (17 studies, 89.50%), followed by master

| Research Question | Education Level | Performance | Result |
|-------------------|----------------|-------------|--------|
| Improved students' performance | Klann 2017 | Students performance | Improved students’ performance |
| Flipped learning approach impacted students' performance | Romero-Garcia, Buzon-Garcia and Touron (2018) | Students performance | |
| Enhanced students’ performance and their perception | Gopalan (2019) | Performance and perception | |
| Flipped learning enhanced students learning and their understanding | Cagande & Jugar (2018) | Motivation and understanding | |
| Improved students' performance | Plazquez et al. 2019 | Academic performance | |
| Improved students learning outcomes | Andirini, Setyosari, Zubaidah, & Ulfa (2017) | Learning outcomes | |
| Enhanced students' engagement | Mikael 2018 | Engagement | |
| Enhanced students learning outcomes and interest | Jdaitawi 2019 | Learning outcomes | |
| Improved Students study habits | Nwosisi, Ferreira, Rosenberg, & Walsh (2016) | Students learning skills | |
| Flipped learning improved students work and their attitudes | Mabrouk, H. & Genedy, G. (2018) | Work skills and attitudes | |
| Improved students' motivation and engagement | Su 2018 | Learning motivation and engagement | |
| Enhanced students' motivation and attitudes | Chung and Lee (2018) | Motivation and attitude | |
| Flipped learning enhanced students learning | Barral, Pastores & Simmons (2018) | Learning environment | |
| Flipped learning promote positive emotions | Jdaitawi (2020) | Positive Emotions | |
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level students (2 studies, 10.50%) and none among PhD level students in the science field. The flipped learning approach mainly motivates the students, clarifies topics to them and improves their experiences and engagement in learning. In fact, majority of the teaching-learning sessions in flipped learning had their basis on practical instruction and thus, computer-assisted instruction is a method that can effectively be used in higher education. Moreover, masters and PhD sample students in flipped learning studies have yet to be extended due to their scarcity in literature – such scarcity may be related to their hour loads and maturity level of education. Contrastingly, those taking their bachelor’s degree need to be encouraged and to be taught how to be self-directed as learners in comparison to their higher-level counterparts. Therefore, this study makes a call to examine the effectiveness of flipped learning model among master and PhD students in science education field.

Table 2: A summary of the Sample used in flipped learning for Science Education

| Sample | No. | Percentage |
|--------|-----|------------|
| Bachelor | 17 | 89.50% |
| Master  | 2  | 10.50% |
| PhD     | 0  | 00%       |

The study’s third question is concerned with the advantages, limitations and challenges of flipped learning in science education. In this regard, flipped learning usage in science education has been evidenced to lead to enhance learning skills, learning outcomes, performance, attitudes, as well as enhanced personal and cognitive skills of students (see Matthew et al., 2019; Mabrouk & Genedy, 2018; Sirota, 2017; Su & Chen, 2018; Tomas, Evans & Skamp, 2019; Cagande & Jugar, 2018; Joseph, Rathner, Mark & Schier, 2020). To begin with, flipped learning advantages highlighted by Tutrang and Schnke (2017) included facilitating of experimentation to support learning and changing study practices of students. In a study conducted by Barral et al. (2019), the author stated that flipped classroom is an effective strategy that increases the active learning approaches within the classroom, while at the same time providing individualized support to students. Flipped learning also helps students to tackle problem-solving activities in their classes (Tutrang & Schnke, 2017).

In addition to the above studies, Fridhi et al. (2018) and Lin et al. (2016) evidenced the improvement of the students’ learning motivation, their positive interest, behaviors, learning outcomes and their cognitive skills - this result was also supported by other prior studies (Matthew et al., 2019; Mabrouk & Genedy, 2018; Sirota, 2017; Su & Chen, 2018; Tomas, Evans & Skamp, 2019; Cagande & Jugar, 2018; Joseph, Rathner, Mark & Schier, 2020). This result may be because of the flipped learning model is attractive to science students (Cagande & Jugar, 2018), which explains their positive response towards it. Mikael et al. (2018) revealed that flipped learning enhances social skills of students along with their motivation, while Tutrang and Schnke (2017), Abeysekera and Dawson (2015) and Fridhi et al. (2018) stated that peer and teacher interactions in flipped learning motivates the students, heightens their engagement and enhances their skills development. Lastly, flipped learning boosts the personal skills, social interactions and motivation of students (Matthew et al., 2019; Christopher et al., 2016; Su & Chen, 2018).

**Conclusion**

The extensive use of flipped learning only began in the last few decades in providing science information and curricula to science students. In this study, the focus is laid on the effectiveness of flipped learning in affecting the outcomes of...
students in science courses. The study carried out a systematic review to determine the use of flipped learning strategy from the perspective of students, highlighting the strengths and weaknesses of approaches, and providing data to comprehend the way flipped learning can minimize the different limitations and challenges that students of science courses face when it comes to using flipped learning. The literature review on the use of flipped learning technique showed a total of 19 implementations with the studies agreeing on the positive role of flipped learning technique in science courses in different levels (bachelor, master, and PhD level students). The studies also showed different technologies utilized including computer, laptop, and mobile devices. They revealed that flipped learning mode was successful in enhancing the student’s skills and positive learning outcomes.

**Limitations and suggestions**

This study has some limitations like other studies, in which the majority of the studies did not examine the influence of students’ characteristics, which needs to be examined in future studies. Majority of them also used small-sized samples of participants, and thus, future studies should consider bigger sized samples to validate the findings. Another limitation relates to data collection methods, which future studies should consider, so that they can adopt other approaches. This systematic review presented information concerning ideas and solutions as to how science achievement among students can be improved through flipped learning strategy implemented.
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