INTRODUCTION

The anterior communicating (A-com) artery is the most common single location for intracranial aneurysms\(^1\). However, the anatomy of this artery is very complex, with many individual hemodynamic variations.

Good visualization and understanding of the entire H complex prior to coil embolization is the key to successful neurointervention for complex aneurysms of the A-com artery. Visualization may be limited, however, by many factors including bilateral arterial supply, flow competition, and many individual anatomical variations.

To overcome these limitations, we have utilized, for selected A-com artery aneurysms, a procedure involving bilateral femoral puncture followed by placement of guiding catheters into both internal carotid arteries (ICAs). We then performed simultane-

ous bilateral internal carotid angiography during coil embolization. We describe here our experience with such 12 patients.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients

From July 2008 to December 2009, 153 patients with intracranial saccular aneurysms at our institution were managed by endovascular coil embolization. Of these, 12 had complex A-com artery aneurysms on computed tomography angiography and cerebral angiography. Nine cases showed limited anatomical information of H-complex, especially origin of contralateral A2 related to aneurismal sac, by unilateral ICA angiography. In 3 cases, we planned and did coil embolization through bilateral routes for A-com crossing neck remodeling technique with balloon. These patients were embolized under bilateral carotid angiography (Table 1). Ten patients presented with acute subarachnoid hemorrhaging due to ruptured aneurysms, and 2 had incidentally detected un-ruptured aneurysms.

Endovascular treatment

All 12 patients underwent routine cerebral angiography using...
a biplane angiomachine (Siemens Biplane, Neurostar Top, Germany). We chose the approach side for endovascular coil embolization based on the anatomical details of each patient including aneurysmal shape, dome direction and A1 segment dominancy. Usually, a 6-French guiding catheter was placed in the working-side cervical ICA as possible as distal using a coaxial method. A 5-French guiding catheter was then positioned in the contralateral proximal ICA through the other femoral route (Fig. 1).

During the entire procedure, the vessels on both sides were continuously flushed with a heparin-saline solution to prevent thromboembolic complications. All patients subsequently underwent simultaneous bilateral ICA angiography to obtain the detailed anatomy of the H-complex (Fig. 2). Coil embolization was then performed using standard procedures, although it was performed through bilateral routes in 3 cases.

**Angiographic and Clinical Evaluation**

Of the 12 patients, one died soon after embolization due to a blowout aneurysm. Immediate and 6 month follow-up angiographic results were classified using the Raymond classification system: class 1, complete occlusion; class 2, residual neck; class 3, residual sac. R: ruptured, U: unruptured, HH: Hunt-Hess SAH classification, mRS: Modified Rankin Scale, NA: not available. ACA: anterior cerebral artery

### Table 1. Summary of patients

| Case | Age/Sex | Direction of Aneurysm | Rupture | HH | Longest diameter of An. (mm) | Time for procedure (min) | Packing density (%) | Angiographic results | mRS at Discharge | 6 month, angiographic results | Consumed benefit |
|------|---------|----------------------|---------|----|-----------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|------------------|
| 1    | 55/F    | Superior             | R       | 3  | 3 (3×2.5×2.5)               | 90                      | 19                  | 3                   | 1               | 2                          | Detailed anatomic information |
| 2    | 45/M    | Superior             | R       | 3  | 2 (2.7×2.5×2.2)             | 80                      | 58                  | 1                   | 3               | 1                          | Safe remodeling |
| 3    | 63/M    | Anterosuperior       | U       | 0  | 4.8 (4.8×4.5×4.2)           | 120                     | 35                  | 2                   | 0               | 2                          | Detailed anatomic information |
| 4    | 48/M    | Anterosuperior       | R       | 2  | 7 (7×5×5)                   | 140                     | 32                  | 2                   | 1               | 2                          | Detailed anatomic information |
| 5    | 69/F    | Inferior             | U       | 0  | 5.5 (5.5×4×5)               | 160                     | 51                  | 1                   | 0               | 1                          | Effectively preserving the distal ACA |
| 6    | 28/F    | Anteroinferior       | R       | 3  | 3 (3.5×2.5×2)               | 110                     | 105                 | 1                   | 1               | 1                          | Effectively preserving the distal ACA |
| 7    | 48/F    | Anteroinferior       | R       | 3  | 3.5 (3.5×3.5×2.7)           | 120                     | 54                  | 1                   | 0               | 1                          | Effectively preserving the distal ACA |
| 8    | 39/M    | Anteroinferior       | R       | 3  | 7.5 (7×6.4×6.2)             | 170                     | 48                  | 1                   | 2               | 1                          | Effectively preserving the distal ACA |
| 9    | 50/M    | Anteroinferior       | R       | 3  | 4.2 (4×3.9×3.8)             | 130                     | 69                  | 1                   | 2               | 1                          | Safe remodeling |
| 10   | 63/M    | Anteroinferior       | R       | 5  | 5.4 (5.4×2.4×2.7)           | 160                     | 63                  | 1                   | 6               | NA                         | Detailed anatomic information |
| 11   | 46/F    | Anterosuperior       | R       | 3  | 3.4 (3.4×2.9×2.5)           | 110                     | 45                  | 1                   | 3               | 1                          | Safe remodeling |
| 12   | 42/F    | Inferior             | R       | 3  | 7.1 (7×4.7×4.1)             | 100                     | 43                  | 2                   | 1               | 2                          | Detailed anatomic information |
poor general condition. The remaining 11 patients underwent follow-up digital subtraction angiography 6 months after treatment. The degrees of angiographic occlusion immediately after treatment and 6 months later were classified using the Raymond classification system (complete, residual neck, residual aneurysm). Patient outcomes at discharge were graded using the modified Rankin Scale (mRS).

RESULTS

The total aneurysm volume ranged from 0.008 cc to 0.156 cc (mean, 0.042 cc), and the calculated packing density using AngioCalc (http://www.angiocalc.com) ranged from 19% to 105% (mean, 52%). The average time for the entire procedure was 124.2 minutes (range, 80 to 170 minutes).

Angiograms performed immediately after treatment showed occlusion in 11 of the 12 (91.7%) aneurysms (class 1 or 2 on the Raymond classification system). Angiograms performed 6 months later showed no evidence of recanalization in the 11 remaining patients.

At discharge, 9 patients were independent, with mRS scores of 0-2, whereas 2 were dependent, with mRS scores of 3-6. One patient died because of poor clinical status at admission.

Simultaneous bilateral ICA angiography was successful in obtaining more detailed and complete anatomical information of the H complex in all 12 patients. This approach resulted in safe remodeling through the A-com artery in 3 patients due to full visualization of the H complex (Fig. 3), and in 4 patients we were able to place another microcatheter on contralateral A2 for effective preservation of distal anterior cerebral arteries (Fig. 4, 5).

There were no complications related to bilateral angiography.

DISCUSSION

A-com artery aneurysms are complex due to frequent anatomical variations. Full visualization of the major arterial trunks and perforating arteries in this area is critical for successful outcomes of both clipping and coiling procedures.

The International Subarachnoid Aneurysm Trial showed that endovascular treatment of intracranial aneurysms is an effective and safe alternative management strategy. However, although procedural techniques and technology have improved, the rate of aneurysmal total occlusion remains suboptimal.

Coil embolization procedures are frequently more difficult for A-com artery than for other intracranial aneurysms due to anatomical complexity at the midline and occasional flow competition around the A-com artery due to a dual arterial supply. One study found that the rate of complete endovascular occlusion of A-com artery aneurysms was 45.5%.

Sometimes procedural limitations may occur during typical coil embolization of A-com artery aneurysms under the guidance of unilateral ICA angiography (Fig. 6). Moreover, flow competition around the A-com artery may prevent full visualization of the H-complex. Greater anatomical detail, including details of the entire H-complex, can sometimes be obtained by cross neck compression during unilateral ICA angiography, but misregistration may occur due to motion artifacts.

Simultaneous dual vessel cerebral angiography has been used for gamma knife planning in 7 patients with arteriovenous malformations. And, simultaneous bilateral internal carotid artery 3D rotational angiography was previously shown effective in a patient with a ruptured A-com artery aneurysm. This patient was similar to the 12 patients described here, who underwent bilateral ICA angiography for coil embolization of A-com artery aneurysms. This procedure, which provided greater anatomical information and understanding, resulted in more effective treatment.
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tive and safer aneurysm embolization in all patients. Moreover, bilateral ICA angiography enabled the performance of more complex procedures, including A-com artery crossing, neck remodeling or the use of more devices through bilateral routes to the A-com artery.

This study had several limitations. First, the enrolled number of cases was small. However, indications for this approach are very limited because most of A-com artery aneurysms could be embolized through unilateral ICA route thus most patients with A-com aneurysms are not indicated for such bilateral approach. Second, this approach may have shortcomings, including the additional risks associated with access to a second femoral artery and the use of more contrast material. However, fewer than 4% of patients experienced adverse events following catheterization of the femoral artery, with the most common adverse event being local bleeding with hematoma.\(^{11}\) None of our patients had morbidities related to access to the femoral arteries. Moreover, since bilateral angiography is performed only occasionally, few patients require higher amounts of contrast material compared with the quantities used during most coil embolization procedures.

CONCLUSION

Bilateral ICA angiography resulted in more effective and safer coil embolization for selected complex A-com aneurysms due to greater anatomical understanding. The procedure was relatively simple and not time-consuming compared with routine coil embolization procedures for A-com artery aneurysms through unilateral ICA angiography. This procedure may be more effective method in coil embolization of selected A-com artery aneurysms, especially in cases of limited anatomical details for H-complex through unilateral ICA angiography or for more complex procedures.
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Fig. 6. Typical coil embolization of an A-com artery aneurysm through a unilateral ICA. A: Frontal working projection image from a digital subtraction angiogram of the left ICA showing the coil on the aneurismal sac and a well-preserved bilateral distal ACA. B: Following additional coil packing on the aneurismal sac, the contralateral distal ACA flow was diminished. C: Frontal working projection image of a digital subtraction angiogram of the contralateral (right) ICA after removal of the microcatheter and guiding catheter from the left ICA showing preservation of the contralateral distal ACA flow. ICA: internal carotid artery. ACA: anterior cerebral artery.
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