Liver and Metformin: Lessons of a fructose diet in mice
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Abstract

Studies show that the continuous consumption of fructose can lead to nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) and steatohepatitis. We aimed to investigate the role of Metformin in an animal model of liver injury caused by fructose intake, focusing on the molecular markers of lipogenesis, beta-oxidation, and antioxidant defenses. Male three months old C57BL/6 mice were divided into control group (C) and fructose group (F, 47% fructose), maintained for ten weeks. After, the groups received Metformin or vehicle for a further eight weeks: control (C), control + Metformin (CM), fructose (F), and fructose + Metformin (FM). Fructose resulted in hepatic steatosis, insulin resistance and lower insulin sensitivity in association with higher mRNA levels of proteins linked with de novo lipogenesis and increased lipid peroxidation. Fructose diminished mRNA expression of antioxidant enzymes, and of proteins responsible for mitochondrial biogenesis. Metformin reduced de novo lipogenesis and increased the expression of proteins related to mitochondrial biogenesis, thereby increasing beta-oxidation and decreasing lipid peroxidation. Also, Metformin upregulated the expression and activity of antioxidant enzymes, providing a defense against increased reactive oxygen species generation. Therefore, a significant reduction in triglyceride accumulation in the liver, steatosis and lipid peroxidation was observed in the FM group. In conclusion, fructose increases de novo lipogenesis, reduces the antioxidant defenses, and diminishes mitochondrial biogenesis. After an extended period of fructose intake, Metformin treatment, even in continuing the fructose intake, can reverse, at least partially, the liver injury and prevents NAFLD progression to more severe states.
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1. Introduction

The fructose consumption has increased dramatically in recent years incorporated in industrial products and sugary drinks [1]. Fructose is metabolized to triose phosphates by hepatocytes, enterocytes, and kidney tubular cells. In contrast to glucose, fructose metabolism is not tightly regulated by cellular energy status and fructose consumption leads to an overflow of triose phosphates into hepatocytes and a subsequent disposal of these compounds, leading to increased lactic acid production, gluconeogenesis and de novo lipogenesis [2]. Studies have demonstrated that the continuous consumption of fructose can lead to nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) in both humans [3] and rodents [4].

NAFLD is a highly prevalent condition, as population studies indicate that 10–50% of the worldwide population possess a reversible form of hepatic steatosis [5]. However, in a small percentage of individuals, it can progress to
hepatocellular death and inflammation, a condition known as nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), and in more severe cases, cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma [6]. An increased oxidative stress (OStress) is one of the major factors that trigger liver inflammation and NAFLD progression to NASH [7]. A fructose-rich diet may lead to reactive oxygen species (ROS) generation [8], at the same time that reduced antioxidant potential [9].

The mechanisms involved in the continuum of hepatic insult are still widely investigated, but it has traditionally been thought to result from two distinct events. The first event is an increased rate of lipid influx and reduced lipid clearance, leading to fat accumulation in the liver [10]. The second event is an inflammatory process caused by increased liver ROS and cytokine activation [11], probably resulted from the exposure of hepatocytes to a greater concentration of lipids and/or carbohydrates. Metformin improves hyperglycemia mainly through the suppression of hepatic gluconeogenesis along with the improvement of insulin signaling. However, its mechanism of action remains partially understood and controversial [12].

In this study, we have focused on three main actions involved in liver injury of NAFLD: lipogenesis and biogenesis of mitochondria, beta-oxidation (BOXid), and OStress. Although much has been investigated about these measures in the liver, many questions remain unanswered. Additionally, we use an experimental model that is relevant due to increased fructose intake in beverages and soft drinks, with the consequent increase of NAFLD in the population.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Animals and diet

The Ethics Committee for the Care and Use of Experimental Animals of the State University of Rio de Janeiro approved the experimental protocol (protocol number CEUA/022/2015). The experiment was carried out in strict accordance with the recommendations in the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the National Institutes of Health (NIH Publication number 85-23, revised in 1996). The animals have been maintained in ventilated cages under controlled conditions (Nexgen system, Allentown Inc., PA, USA, 20 ± 2° C and 12 h/12 h dark/light cycle), with free access to food and water.

Initially, 40 three-months old male C57BL/6 mice were randomly divided into two groups (n = 20/group) and fed control diet (C) or fructose diet (F, 47% of fructose), during ten weeks. Both diets had the same amount of total carbohydrates, but in the F diet, part of the starch was replaced by pure fructose (PragSolucoes, Jau, SP, Brazil, following the recommendations for rodents of the American Institute of Nutrition, Table 1) [13].

Table 1 Composition and energy content of the diets (control, C, and fructose, F).

| Content (g) | Diets | C  | F  |
|------------|-------|----|----|
| Casein     |       | 140| 140|
| Corn starch|       | 620.7| 146.4|
| Sucrose    |       | 100| 100|
| Fructose   |       | ---| 474.3|
| Soybean oil|       | 40 | 40 |
| Fibers     |       | 50 | 50 |
| Vitamin mix|       | 10 | 10 |
| Minerals mix|      | 35 | 35 |
| Cystine    |       | 1.8| 1.8|
| Choline    |       | 2.5| 2.5|
| Antioxidant|       | 0.008| 0.008|
| Total      |       | 1000| 1000|
| Energy (kcal) |     | 3804| 3804|
| Carbohydrates (% energy) | | 76 | 76 |
| Proteins (% energy) | | 14 | 14 |
| Lipids (% energy) | | 10 | 10 |

After the early ten weeks, the animals were randomly separated into two additional groups (n = 10/group) to include Metformin hydrochloride treatment (250 mg/kg/day, Pharma-nostra, GO, Brazil) for a further eight weeks:

a) C group: control diet for ten weeks, followed by control diet and vehicle (NaCl, orogastric gavage) for eight weeks;
b) CM group: control diet for ten weeks, followed by control diet and Metformin (orogastric gavage) for eight weeks;
c) F group: Fructose diet for ten weeks, followed by fructose diet and vehicle (NaCl, orogastric gavage) for eight weeks;
d) FM group: Fructose diet for ten weeks, followed by fructose diet and Metformin (orogastric gavage) for eight weeks.

2.2. Body mass, food and energy intake

Body mass (BM) was measured weekly. Food intake was monitored daily, determined as the difference between the food supplied and the amount of food left in the cage. The diets were renewed daily, and the remaining chow was discarded.

2.3. Oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT)

We performed OGTT one day before the administration of Metformin, and two days before euthanasia, in 6 h fasted animals that received glucose (25% in sterile 0.9% NaCl) at a dose of 1 g/kg by orogastric gavage. The glycinemia was measured at fasting (time 0) and 15, 30, 60 and 120 min after glucose administration (Glucometer Accu-Chek, Roche, SP, Brazil). We assessed glucose tolerance based on the area under the curve (AUC) (GraphPad Prism version 7.0 for Windows; La Jolla, CA, USA).

2.4. Euthanasia

The animals were food-deprived from 1 AM to 7 AM, then deeply anesthetized (sodium pentobarbital, 150 mg/kg
intraperitoneal). Blood was collected, plasma was obtained (120 g/15 min at room temperature), and stored at −20°C. The liver was dissected, weighed and fragments from all lobes were collected and fixed for 48 h (formaldehyde 4% w/v, 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.2). Alternatively, fragments were frozen at −80°C.

2.5. Plasma analysis, insulin resistance, and insulin sensitivity

Total cholesterol (TC) and triglycerides (TG) were measured by an automatic spectrophotometer using its recommended commercial kit (Bioclin System II, Quibasa, Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil). Plasma concentrations of insulin were measured using the Single Plex kit (EZRMI-13K Rat/Mouse Insulin ELISA, Millipore Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). The homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) was estimated: HOMA-IR = fasting blood glucose (mmol/L) / fasting insulin (IU/mL) [15]. As an index of lipid peroxidation, we used the thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) method for analyzing malondialdehyde (MDA). MDA levels were assessed based on its reaction with thiobarbituric acid, which forms a colored complex that can be quantified spectrophotometrically at 532 nm (Genesys 10S UV–Vis Spectrophotometer, Thermo Scientific, CA, USA). SOD activity was assayed based on its ability to inhibit pyrogallol autoxidation [18]. Catalase activity was measured by the rate of decrease in hydrogen peroxide concentration [19]. GPx activity was measured by monitoring the oxidation of NADPH at 340 nm in the presence of hydrogen peroxide [20]. The total protein content of each sample was determined by BCA protein assay kit (Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL, USA).

2.6. Liver

Liver fragments were embedded in Paraplast Plus (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), sectioned (5-µm-thick), and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. Digital images of the sections were analyzed (Leica DMRBE microscope, Wetzlar, Germany; Lumenera Infinity 1-5c camera, Ottawa, Canada). Five fields per animal, 36-test-points per field, were sufficient to estimate the volume density of hepatic steatosis by point-counting with a standard error of 5% [16]: Vv [steatosis, liver] = Pp [steatosis, liver]/PT (Pp is the number of points that hit the fat drops, PT is the total test-points) [17]. In frozen fragments, we measured hepatic TG (Bioclin System II, Quibasa, BH, Brazil).

2.7. RT-qPCR

Total RNA was extracted from approximately 50 mg of liver tissue using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen, CA, USA). RNA amount was determined using Nanovue spectroscopy (GE Life Sciences), and 1 mg of RNA was treated with DNase I (Invitrogen, CA, USA). Synthesis of the first strand cDNA was performed using Oligo (dT) primers for mRNA and Superscript III reverse-transcriptase (both Invitrogen). Quantitative real-time PCR (RT-qPCR) used a BioRad CFX96 cycler and the SYBR Green mix (Invitrogen, CA, USA). Endogenous control beta-actin normalized the selected gene expressions. Efficiencies of RT-qPCR for the target gene and the endogenous control were approximately equal, calculated through dilution series of cDNA. After a pre-denaturation and polymerase-activation program (4 min at 95°C), 44 cycles (each one consisting of 95°C for 10 s and 60°C for 15 s) were followed by a melting curve program (60–95°C with a heating rate of 0.1°C/s). Negative controls consisted of wells in which cDNA was substituted for deionized water. The relative expression ratio of mRNA was calculated by the equation 2−ΔΔCT, in which −ΔCT expresses the difference between the number of cycles (CT) of the target genes and the endogenous control. The sequences of the sense and antisense primers used for amplification are detailed in Table 2. We analyze the following gene expressions: CAT, catalase; CHREBP, carbohydrate response element-binding protein; FAT/CD36, fatty acid translocase; GPx, glutathione peroxidase; GR, glutathione reductase; PGC1alpha, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma coactivator 1-alpha; Plin2, lipid droplet protein Perilipin 2; PPARgamma, peroxisome proliferator activator receptor gamma; SOD2, superoxide dismutase 2; SREBP-1c, sterol regulatory element-binding protein-1c. The sequences of the sense and antisense primers used for amplification are detailed in Table 2.

2.8. Antioxidant enzyme activity assays

SOD, Catalase and GPx activity were determined in liver homogenate by spectrophotometry (Genesys 10S UV–Vis Spectrophotometer, Thermo Scientific, CA, USA). SOD activity was assayed based on its ability to inhibit pyrogallol autoxidation [18]. Catalase activity was measured by the rate of decrease in hydrogen peroxide concentration [19]. GPx activity was measured by monitoring the oxidation of NADPH at 340 nm in the presence of hydrogen peroxide [20]. The total protein content of each sample was determined by BCA protein assay kit (Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL, USA).

2.9. Malondialdehyde assay

As an index of lipid peroxidation, we used the thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) method for analyzing malondialdehyde (MDA). MDA levels were assessed based on its reaction with thiobarbituric acid, which forms a colored complex that can be quantified spectrophotometrically at 532 nm (Genesys 10S UV–Vis Spectrophotometer, Thermo Scientific, CA, USA). 1,1,3,3-tetramethoxypropane was used as a standard, and the results are expressed as MDA equivalents (nmol/mg protein) [21].

2.10. Western blot

Liver fragments (100 mg) were added to a lysis buffer containing protease inhibitors, homogenized and centrifuged (4500 rpm during 20 min at 4°C), and supernatants were collected. Protein concentration was then determined using the BCA protein assay kit (Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL, USA). After denaturation, proteins were separated by electrophoresis on a polyacrylamide gel (SDS-PAGE) and transferred to a PVDF membrane. Membranes were then blotted with primary antibodies for AMPK (adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase) and phospho-AMPK followed by incubation with secondary antibodies. Bands were detected by chemiluminescence (ECL Prime, Amersham, UK) using the Chemi-Doc system (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). The intensity of the bands was quantified using ImageJ software (NIH,
The expression of the structural protein β-actin was used to correct the blot data. Both primary and secondary antibodies were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, CA, USA.

2.11. Data analysis

Data were tested for normality and homoscedasticity of the variances and then expressed as the mean and standard deviation (SD). We tested the differences between the groups in the pre-treatment period with t-test (C and F groups). We tested the contribution of diet and Metformin in the post-treatment period with a two-way ANOVA (posthoc test of Tukey–HSD test). The expression of the structural protein Perilipin, PPAR, Peroxisome proliferator–activated receptor coactivator-1α, Glutathione peroxidase, Glutathione reductase, PGC, Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor, SOD, Superoxide dismutase, and SREBP1c was determined by Real Time qPCR. The primers used in RTq-PCR and their sequence for the evaluation of gene expression is shown in Table 2.

### Table 2

| Gene      | Sequence forward 5′ → 3′ | Sequence reverse 5′ → 3′ |
|-----------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| beta-actin| TGTATCACTGGACGAGCA      | GGGGTGTAGGTTTGGTCAAA    |
| CAT       | TTGACAGACGGCGATCTCCT    | TCTGTTGATATCGGGTTTGA    |
| CD36      | CTCCTCAGATCCAGACCAA     | TGCAATGGAATTTGCCACAT    |
| ChREBP    | CACCCTGGAATACAGCCCT    | ATCCTGTTAGGCTCTCGAG    |
| GPI       | CGGTTGGGAGTCCAGGACAT    | CACCCTGGAATTTGCCACAT    |
| PKCα      | ACCACACCAGGATACAGGA     | TCTGGTTATTTGCTCCATGA    |
| PLIN2     | ATATGCACTGGCCAAACA      | CAGGCTCTTCTCCACTCC      |
| PPARα     | CAAGGCTTCAAGTGACTACCTAC| GCCGAATAGTTCCACAAA      |
| PPARγ     | CCAATGCTCATACGTGGTGG    | GCTGGCGATACCTGGAGATC    |
| SOD       | CAGAACCAGTGAACAGAAA     | GTGCTCCACAGTCAATCC      |
| SREBP1c   | AGCAGCCCCGAGAAACAAA     | TCTGGCTTGGAGAATTTG      |

Abbreviations: CAT, Catalase; CD36, Cluster of differentiation 36; ChREBP, Carbohydrate responsive element binding protein; GPI, Glutathione peroxidase; GR, Glutathione reductase; PGC, Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor coactivator-1α; PLIN, lipid droplet protein Perilipin; PPAR, Peroxisome proliferator activator receptor; SOD, Superoxide dismutase; SREBP, Sterol regulatory element binding protein.

Fructose increased HOMA-IR and reduced QUICKI in the F group (HOMA-IR, +165%, P < 0.0001; QUICK, −10% compared to the C group, P < 0.0001). Metformin diminished HOMA-IR and increased QUICKI in the FM group (HOMA-IR, −57%, P < 0.0001; QUICKI, +10% than the F group, P < 0.0001). HOMA-IR was still high in the FM group (+18% compared to the CM group, P = 0.0446).

3.2. Fructose diet and Metformin on plasma determinations

Fructose elevated TC levels in the F group (+19% compared to the C group; P < 0.0001, Table 3). Metformin reduced TC in the FM group (−12% compared to the F group; P < 0.0001). The FM group had the TC level still higher than the CM group (+12%; P = 0.0006, Table 3). Diet and Metformin had interaction affecting TC levels (two-way ANOVA; P = 0.022).

Fructose augmented TG concentration in the F group (+8% compared to the C group; P = 0.001, Table 3). Metformin diminished TG level in the FM group (−10% than the F group; P < 0.0001, Table 3). Diet and Metformin had interaction affecting TG levels (two-way ANOVA; P = 0.038).

Fructose elevated plasma insulin in the F group (+47% compared to the C group; P < 0.0001). Metformin diminished plasma insulin in the FM group (−11% compared to the F group; P < 0.0001). Diet and Metformin had interaction in plasma insulin (two-way ANOVA; P < 0.0001, Table 3).

3.3. Metformin decreases fructose-induced steatosis and improves hepatic biochemistry

Fructose augmented the liver mass in the F group (+18% compared to the C group, P < 0.0001). Metformin reduced liver mass in the FM group (−10% compared to the F group, P = 0.0002), but the liver mass was still greater in the FM group (+8% compared to the CM group; P = 0.0024, Table 3). Diet and Metformin had an interaction affecting liver mass (two-way ANOVA; P = 0.0006).
Table 3
Data from the experimental groups. Data are expressed as the mean and SD (n = 10/group). P < 0.05 when compared to the † C group; § F group; ‡ CM group (t-test in the pre-treatment period, and two-way ANOVA and the posthoc test of Holm–Sidak in post-treatment period). AUC, the area under the curve; C, control group; F, fructose group; OGTT, oral glucose tolerance test.

|                      | C            | C            | F            | F            |
|----------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| **Pre-treatment**    |              |              |              |              |
| Initial body mass (g) | 25.8 ± 1.34  | 25.7 ± 1.32  | 25.1 ± 1.32  | 25.1 ± 1.32  |
| Final body mass (g)  | 29.7 ± 1.12  | 29.6 ± 1.30  | 29.6 ± 1.30  | 29.6 ± 1.30  |
| Fasting glucose (mmol/L) | 6.4 ± 0.26  | 6.5 ± 0.26  | 6.5 ± 0.26  | 6.5 ± 0.26  |
| OGTT (AUC; mmol/L/min) | 710.8 ± 11.41 | 710.8 ± 11.41 | 710.8 ± 11.41 | 710.8 ± 11.41 |
| **Post-treatment**   |              |              |              |              |
| Final body mass (g)  | 31.9 ± 0.44  | 31.3 ± 1.34  | 31.1 ± 1.77  | 31.1 ± 1.77  |
| Food intake (g/day/mouse) | 2.8 ± 0.33  | 2.7 ± 0.33  | 2.6 ± 0.28  | 2.6 ± 0.28  |
| Liver mass/Tibia length (g/cm) | 0.5 ± 0.01 | 0.5 ± 0.01  | 0.5 ± 0.01  | 0.5 ± 0.01  |
| Fasting glucose (mmol/L) | 7.5 ± 0.79  | 7.3 ± 0.61  | 9.5 ± 0.13  | 9.5 ± 0.13  |
| Insulin (pmol/L)     | 89.2 ± 9.25  | 89.6 ± 4.86  | 187.9 ± 9.41 | 187.9 ± 9.41 |
| HOMA-IR              | 4.37 ± 0.65  | 4.25 ± 0.29  | 11.59 ± 0.51 | 11.59 ± 0.51 |
| QUICKI               | 0.195 ± 0.003 | 0.194 ± 0.001 | 0.179 ± 0.001 | 0.179 ± 0.001 |
| Total cholesterol (mmol/L) | 2.9 ± 0.09  | 2.8 ± 0.12  | 3.5 ± 0.07  | 3.1 ± 0.16  |
| Plasma triglyceride (mmol/L) | 4.65 ± 0.11 | 4.59 ± 0.20  | 5.05 ± 0.31  | 4.50 ± 0.05  |
| Hepatic triglyceride (mmol/L) | 0.72 ± 0.10 | 0.75 ± 0.12  | 1.91 ± 0.13  | 1.36 ± 0.07  |

3.4. **Metformin improves beta-oxidation and decreases liver lipogenesis**

Fructose elevated hepatic TG in the F group (+165% compared to the C group; P < 0.0001). Metformin reduced hepatic TG in the FM group (−29% compared to the F group; P < 0.0001, Table 3), but it remained higher in the FM group (+80% compared to the CM group; P < 0.0001). Diet and Metformin showed an interaction affecting hepatic TG (two-way ANOVA; P < 0.0001).

Fructose worsened steatosis in the F group (+532% compared to the C group; P < 0.0001, Fig. 2). Metformin reduced steatosis in the FM group (−55% compared to the F group; P < 0.0001). However, steatosis was still greater in the FM group (+187% than the CM group; P < 0.0001). Diet and Metformin showed interaction, but also affected hepatic steatosis independently (two-way ANOVA; P < 0.0001).

![Fig. 1. Oral glucose tolerance test (A) and area under the curve (B) in the post-treatment period. Data are expressed as the mean and SD (n = 10 each group). P < 0.05 when: † compared to the C group; † compared to the CM group (two-way ANOVA and the posthoc test of Holm–Sidak). Groups: C, control diet; F, fructose diet; M, Metformin.](image-url)
reduced SREBP-1c in the FM group (−157% in relation to the F group, \( P < 0.0001 \)). Metformin restored the SREBP-1c with no difference to the CM group (\( P = 0.90 \)). Diet and Metformin showed interaction in the findings (two-way ANOVA; \( P = 0.0003 \)).

Fructose enhanced ChREBP in the F group (162% compared to the C group, \( P < 0.0001 \), Fig. 4). Metformin diminished ChREBP in the FM group (−440% in relation to the F group, \( P < 0.0001 \)), and no difference remains between the groups CM and FM (\( P = 0.10 \)). Metformin affected the result (two-way ANOVA; \( P < 0.0001 \)).

Fructose elevated FAT/CD36 expression in the F group (150% compared to the C group, \( P = 0.009 \)). Metformin reduced FAT/CD36 expression in the FM group (−52% compared to the F group; \( P = 0.02 \), Fig. 4), the FM group and the CM group were equivalent (\( P = 0.64 \)). There was not a significant interaction between diet and treatment (two-way ANOVA; \( P = 0.07 \)). Diet and Metformin affected independently FAT/CD36 expression; diet (\( P = 0.003 \)), Metformin (two-way ANOVA; \( P = 0.01 \)).

Perilipin-2 (PLIN2) was upregulated after the fructose intake in the F group (94% compared to the C group; \( P = 0.002 \), Fig. 4). Metformin reduced PLIN2 expression in the FM group (−48%, compared to the F group, \( P = 0.002 \)). No difference in PLIN2 was observed between the groups FM and CM (\( P = 0.9 \)). Diet and Metformin showed an interaction in the results (two-way ANOVA; \( P = 0.005 \)).

### 3.5. Metformin increases hepatic antioxidant defense and reduces lipid peroxidation

Fructose diminished SOD2 expression (−35%) and its enzymatic activity in the F group (−53%) compared to the C group (\( P = 0.0159 \), Figs. 5 and 6). Metformin had increased...
SOD2 expression (+47%) and its enzymatic activity in the FM group (+76%) compared to the F group \((P = 0.0349)\). SOD2 expression and its enzymatic activity were not different between the groups FM and CM \((P = 0.31)\). Diet and Metformin showed interaction in the results (two-way ANOVA; \(P = 0.002\)).

Fructose only reduced CAT expression in the F group \((-52\%)\) compared to the C group \((P = 0.003, \text{Fig. 5})\). Metformin increased CAT expression \((+134\%)\) and its enzymatic activity in the FM group \((+59\%)\) compared to the F group \((P = 0.02)\). CAT enzymatic expression was also increased in the CM group \((+151\%\) compared to the group C; \(P = 0.001)\).

CAT expression and its enzymatic activity were not different in the groups FM and CM \((P = 0.5060)\). Fructose reduced GPx expression and its enzymatic activity in the F group \((-54\%)\) compared to the C group \((P = 0.0009, \text{Figs. 5 and 6})\). Metformin increased GPx expression \((+146\%)\) and its enzymatic activity in the FM group \((+106\%)\) compared to the F group \((P < 0.0001)\). The groups FM and CM did not show differences in GPx. Diet and Metformin interacted with the results (two-way, \(P < 0.0001\)).

Fructose diminished GR expression in the F group \((-60\%)\) compared to the C group; \(P = 0.03\). Metformin had increased GR expression in the FM group \((+187\%)\) compared to the F group, \(P = 0.03, \text{Fig. 5}\). GR expression was not different between the groups FM and CM \((P = 0.68)\). Metformin affected the result (two-way ANOVA; \(P = 0.002)\).

Regarding lipid peroxidation in the liver, Fructose increased MDA levels in the F group \((+21\%)\) compared to C group \((P < 0.0001, \text{Fig. 6})\). Metformin changed MDA concentrations in the FM group \((-7\%)\) compared to the F group, \(P = 0.03; +11\%\) in relation to the CM group, \(P = 0.007\).

3.6. Metformin improves AMPK activation

Fructose decreased the AMPK phosphorylation in the F group \((-36\%)\) compared to C group; \(P = 0.0044, \text{Fig. 7})\). Metformin elevated the AMPK phosphorylation in the FM group \((+56\%)\) compared to the F group, \(P = 0.004)\). However, the AMPK phosphorylation has not been completely restored in the FM group \((-22\%\) than the CM group; \(P = 0.02\). Metformin had an action increasing the AMPK phosphorylation in the CM group \((+28\%)\) compared to the C group, \(P = 0.0217)\). Thus, Metformin affected the result (two-way ANOVA; \(P < 0.001)\).

4. Discussion

We illustrated our conception of the Metformin action in the fructose model in Fig. 8. The study identified three major events related to the fructose diet: a) liver injury (NAFLD, with steatosis, an increase in lipogenesis and lipid peroxidation and reduced BOXid), b) impaired carbohydrate metabolism (IR, reduced IS, augmented TG), c) increased OStress (impairment of enzymes). Metformin improved IR, despite a
continuously elevated intake of fructose. Metformin decreased lipogenesis, steatosis and lipid peroxidation, made more efficient BOxid and increased the antioxidant defenses.

It is imperative to start commenting on Metformin’s actions on health in general and then move on to the analysis of our findings related to NAFLD. Metformin has a beneficial action, not only for the promotion of healthy aging, but also on the prevention of sedentariness damages [22]. Epidemiological studies have identified an association between Metformin use and a beneficial effect on cancer prevention and treatment [23]. Likely, Metformin enhances the Forkhead box O3 (FOXO3), transcription factors involved in protection from OStress by upregulating antioxidants such as catalase and SOD, and associated with longevity in humans [24]. Also, Metformin attenuates hepatic OStress in fructose-fed rats [25], which might be associated with enhancement of the catalase [26] and/ or mitochondrial activity [27].

At a molecular level, besides Metformin increases AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) activity and increases antioxidant protection, resulting in reductions in both oxidative damage accumulation and chronic inflammation is beneficial on Healthspan and Lifespan [28].

However, Metformin, besides being the most widely used oral anti-diabetic drug worldwide, has its action only partially understood and controversial, perhaps because the studies were different about the pharmacological concentrations (doses) of Metformin, sometimes much higher than maximally achievable therapeutic doses [29]. In the current study, we are concerned with the problem of a high dose of Metformin, and so we used an average of the prescriptions found in the literature for rodents, 250 mg/kg of body mass per day, which was lower than we used previously [30].

Our panel two is easy-to-read. The photomicrographs of liver tissue in animals clearly demonstrate the effects of fructose intake causing hepatic steatosis, and metformin decreasing steatosis. Everything was analyzed and confirmed with the use of techniques of quantitative morphology (stereology).

The balance between insulin resistance/sensitivity to insulin is central in NAFLD. In the fructose diet model, Metformin improved insulin sensitivity, which agrees with previous reports [31], although multiple and not well-known signaling pathways are defined [32]. For instance, Metformin upregulates the insulin receptor (IR) beta expression and downstream IRS2/ phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate 3-kinase/protein kinase B (PI3K/Akt) signaling transduction, enhancing hepatic glycogen storage and improving insulin resistance [33].

Also, besides the direct effects on hepatic insulin signaling increasing FOXO3 phosphorylation [34], Metformin protects...
the liver from the onset of fructose-induced NAFLD through altering intestinal permeability and subsequently the endotoxin-dependent activation of hepatic Kupffer cells [35].

In panel three we indicated how the gene expression of two transcription factors related to the biogenesis of mitochondria and lipid regulation behave in the fructose animals and the Metformin animals. We can clearly see that the fructose diet was responsible for depressing the expression of PGC1alpha and that Metformin was in charge of enhancing both factors, even in animals continuing to receive the fructose diet. PGC-1alpha is a transcription factor that increases the number and function of mitochondria in steatotic livers [36], a known pivotal regulator of mitochondrial BOxid and liver lipid metabolism. A selective modulation of hepatic PGC-1alpha functions might be a novel mechanism involved in the therapeutic action of Metformin [37]. Also, PPARalpha is a transcription factor and major regulator of lipid metabolism in the liver. PPARalpha is associated with BOxid that is activated under conditions of energy deprivation, being necessary for the process of ketogenesis, a key adaptive response to prolonged fasting [38]. Our findings are in line with these reports. Recently, a dual activation of PPARgamma and PPARalpha was seen with effect in ameliorating NASH by modulation of some hepatic and adipose tissue gene expressions [39].

In panel 4 we can see that all the data are significantly increased in the fructose animals, and Metformin reduced all the data, sometimes to the control levels. PPARgamma is related to lipogenesis [40]. SREBP-1c regulates the genes required for glucose metabolism and fatty acid synthesis, and this is a relation between OStress and NAFLD via SREBP-1c [41]. In this study, an increase in AMPK phosphorylation promoted by Metformin suppresses SREBP-1c expression,
justifying the action of Metformin in regulating lipid and glucose metabolism [42]. The fatty acid translocase (FAT/CD36) belongs to the class B scavenger receptor family, which is used by the hepatocytes to take up free fatty acids via transport proteins [43]. Hepatic FAT/CD36 upregulation is significantly associated with insulin resistance, hyperinsulinemia and increased steatosis in patients with NASH and hepatitis C virus with fatty liver. Translocation of FAT/CD36 to the plasma membrane of hepatocytes may contribute to liver fat accumulation in patients with NAFLD [44]. ChREBP is another important transcription factor in the hepatic response to excess dietary carbohydrate and targeting ChREBP may prevent fructose-induced hypertriglyceridaemia but without the improvements in hepatic steatosis and hepatic insulin responsiveness [45]. Perilipin-2 (PLIN2) is an abundant lipid droplet protein in the liver. The expression pattern of PLIN2 in NASH livers varies with the size of lipid droplets and is closely associated with oxidative damage [46].

In panel five we illustrated hepatic gene expression and activity of enzymes related to OStress. The findings are homogeneous indicating that most enzymes are reduced in the liver of the fructose-fed animals, but recovered with Metformin, even when the animals were continued to be fed with fructose diet. In this context, SOD is an important antioxidant enzyme, as fructose leads to superoxide anion generation at the complex I of mitochondria and by the activation of NADPH oxidase [8]. It is well known that SOD is the first line of defense against ROS production since it dismutase superoxide anion into hydrogen peroxide and molecular oxygen. Therefore, increased SOD levels may result in a reduction in the oxidative burden caused by a high fructose intake. Our findings agree with the literature that reported reduced SOD activity in the liver of the fructose-fed animals [47]. Catalase (in the liver peroxisome) is also an important antioxidant enzyme. Interestingly, the fructose-fed group had lower catalase gene expression, with the proper activity of this enzyme (compared to the C group). This fact may represent an attempt to attenuate the oxidative stress generated by fructose. A previous study has demonstrated that the activity of catalase varies depending on the substrate in which it is found [48]. Also, we analyzed the GPx and GR, both much diminished in the current study with fructose diet, but restored by Metformin. The main biological role of GPx is to protect the organism from oxidative damage, reducing lipid hydroperoxides to their corresponding alcohols and free hydrogen peroxide to water. GR catalyzes the reduction of glutathione disulfide to the sulfhydryl form glutathione, which is a critical molecule in resisting OStress and maintaining the reducing environment of the cell [49].

In agreement, the fructose diet used in our study induced a rise in hepatic MDA levels, denoting lipid peroxidation. The lipid oxidation in cytoplasmic membranes interferes in their selective permeability, releasing ROS. Chronic imbalance in ROS production may impair the ability of the antioxidant system to reduce the levels of these radicals, reducing their protective function [50]. On the other hand, Metformin attenuates lipid peroxidation in liver, improving the antioxidant system.
5. Conclusion

Our findings are a significant contribution to unraveling the molecular mechanisms involved in the changes caused by fructose diet in the liver and by treatment with Metformin. Our data clearly showed that fructose had increased de novo lipogenesis and lipid peroxidation, reduced the antioxidant defenses, and diminished mitochondrial biogenesis, which has devastating effects on the liver in the long term. After an extended period of fructose intake, Metformin treatment, even in continuing the fructose intake, reversed, at least partially, the liver injury and prevented NAFLD progression to more severe stages of liver disease.
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