Specificity of Processing $\alpha$-Glucosidase I Is Guided by the Substrate Conformation
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Background: The enzyme “Glul” is key to the synthesis of critical glycoproteins in the cell.

Results: We have determined the structure of Glul, and modeled binding with its unique sugar substrate.

Conclusion: The specificity of this interaction derives from a unique conformation of the substrate.

Significance: Understanding the mechanism of the enzyme is of basic importance and relevant to potential development of antiviral inhibitors.

Processing $\alpha$-glucosidase I (Glul) is a key member of the eukaryotic $N$-glycosylation processing pathway, selectively catalyzing the first glycoprotein trimming step in the endoplasmic reticulum. Inhibition of Glul activity impacts the infectivity of enveloped viruses; however, despite interest in this protein from a structural, enzymatic, and therapeutic standpoint, little is known about its structure and enzymatic mechanism in catalysis of the unique glycan substrate Glc$_3$Man$_9$GlcNAc$_2$. The first structural model of eukaryotic Glul is here presented at 2-Å resolution. Two catalytic residues are proposed, mutations of which result in catalytically inactive, properly folded protein. Using Autodocking methods with the known substrate and inhibitors as ligands, including a novel inhibitor characterized in this work, the active site of Glul was mapped. From these results, a model of substrate binding has been formulated, which is most likely conserved in mammalian Glul.

$N$-Glycosylation, the addition of a glycan to an asparagine residue, is the most common post-translational modification in eukaryotes, with over half of all eukaryotic proteins estimated to be glycosylated (1). The presence and identity of an $N$-glycan on a protein affect stability, folding, and intermolecular interactions. More broadly, $N$-glycans play critical roles in reaction kinetics modulation, intracellular protein trafficking, and cell-cell adhesion and communication. Several enveloped viruses require $N$-glycosylation of their coat proteins for successful infectivity (2); as such, the $N$-glycosylation pathway is of key antiviral therapeutic interest. Thus, structural and mechanistic investigations into enzymes that mediate $N$-glycosylation are of fundamental importance to studies of human health and physiology.

Assembly and processing of the protein-glycan conjugate takes place in the endoplasmic reticulum and Golgi in an intricate, branching, multistep system (3). At the initial stage of this pathway, the enzyme “processing $\alpha$-glucosidase I” (Glul) catalyzes the selective removal of the terminal glucose from the newly linked glycoprotein, in a co-translational process. Glul holds a key regulatory position in the $N$-glycosylation pathway by maintaining forward momentum of the glycan transfer reaction and by working in conjunction with the folding quality control system (4–6). Loss or inhibition of Glul prohibits further glycoprotein processing in the endoplasmic reticulum, and also has an impact on the resident lipid-linked and free oligosaccharide species’ populations (7–9). Of therapeutic relevance, inhibition of Glul activity results in reduced assembly and infectivity of several enveloped viruses including hepatitis B and C, influenza, HIV, and others (10–14). However, the known inhibitors are not specific, resulting in undesirable side effects. A specific inhibitor for Glul could impact viral infectivity, whereas avoiding off-target interactions. The knowledge of the structure and catalytic mechanism of Glul would greatly aid in design or discovery of such an inhibitor.

Glul is a single-pass type II transmembrane protein of ~80–110 kDa, with the bulk of the protein including the catalytic region found in the endoplasmic reticulum lumen (15–18). The biological substrate for Glul is Glc$_3$Man$_9$GlcNAc$_2$, whether dolichol-linked, protein-linked, or as a free oligosaccharide; Glul cleaves the terminal glucose-$\alpha$(1→2)glucose glycoside linkage, releasing glucose. In all eukaryotic homologs tested, Glul is specific for this linkage, and the minimum cleavable substrate is glucotriose with $\alpha$(1→2) and $\alpha$(1→3) linkages as found in the native substrate (15, 19–22). The glucose-$\alpha$(1→2)glucose disaccharide, kojibiose, inhibits Glul activity weakly (15, 23). Interestingly, the only documented biological occurrence of this glucotriose is found in the eukaryotic $N$-glycosylation pathway; thus, the relationship between this enzyme and this substrate is unique in biology.
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As a glycoside hydrolase (GH), GluI is a member of the CAZy database GH family 63 and clan GH-G whose members operate via an inverting mechanism; the catalytic acid and base are not definitively known (24, 25). A substrate binding motif in the rat and mammalian homologs has been proposed (26), but no eukaryotic structures have been determined. Two structures have been solved of prokaryotic GH63: the Escherichia coli homolog YgjK (27) (PDB code 3D3I), and the T. thermophilus homolog TTHA0978 (PDB ID 2Z07, RIKEN structural genomics). Both structures contain an (α/α)_6 toroid fold, whereas YgjK possesses an additional N-terminal super-β-sandwich domain. Neither of these structures is sufficiently similar to mammalian GluI to act as a realistic model at the atomic level.

Much of what we know about the characteristics of GluI has been learned from studying the Saccharomyces cerevisiae enzyme, Cwh41p, which we have stably purified from Pichia pastoris overexpression as a transmembrane-deletion construct, Cwht1p (28). Cwht1p and human GluI share 24% overall identity and from 34 to 59% identity in the catalytically active C-terminal domain (17), and so similar structures are expected. Yeast and human GluI share similar substrate specificity, pH optimum, and inhibitor sensitivity (19, 29). In both enzymes, arginine, tryptophan, or cysteine modification results in an inactive enzyme (26, 30, 31). Thus, the yeast enzyme serves as a good experimental model to learn more about the structure, substrate specificity, and enzymatic mechanism of human GluI.

In this work, we have determined the structure of Cwht1p to 2 Å. Based on structural similarity, the active site residues are proposed to be a glutamate (Glu771) and an aspartate (Asp568) in the center of the (α/α)_6 barrel that forms the catalytic C-terminal region. The crystal packing prohibits experimental active-site investigations due to occlusion of the active site by a His_6 purification tag from a crystal contact. Therefore, the active site was investigated by in silico methods using small ligands. These analyses indicate a basis for the substrate specificity of GluI and features important for inhibitor development.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

*Data Collection, Structure Phasing, and Refinement*—The growth of diffracting Cwht1p crystals was described in our previous work (28). A heavy-atom approach to phasing was taken with a panel of heavy atoms first screened using a gel-shift assay to prioritize the compounds (32). The successful phasing signal was obtained from ethyl mercuric phosphate, which was soaked into the crystal at 1 mM for 16 h prior to freezing.

Cwht1p crystals were looped into 1:4 paratone:mineral oil (Hampton) as cryoprotectant and flash-frozen in a nitrogen stream at 100 K. Diffraction data for the native crystals were collected on an ADSC Quantum-4 CCD detector at the Cornell High Energy Synchrotron Source (CHESS), F-1 beamline. Data from the mercury-derivative crystals were collected on the ADSC Quantum-315 CCD detector at the Advanced Photon Source (APS), BioCARS, 14-BM-C beamline, at the Argonne National Laboratories.

All diffraction data were processed using HKL-2000 (33). Single anomalous diffraction phasing was performed with AutoSol within the PHENIX (Python-based Hierarchical Environment for Integrated Xtallography) program package (34). GluI crystallized in space group P2_1_2_1, with one molecule in the asymmetric unit. The Matthews coefficient is 2.6 Å^3/Da, corresponding to 49.5% solvent. Single anomalous diffraction phasing with the mercury-derivatized dataset gave eight heavy atom sites with a phasing figure of merit 0.493 and Bayes correlation coefficient of 53.3. Following phasing and density modification, an initial model was auto-built using the automated program Autobuild in PHENIX (34), containing 588 residues, with R/R_{free} of 0.341/0.366. This initial model was manually built in Coot and refined with Refmac in CCP4 against the native data set, giving a final model containing 788 (of 813) residues, with an R/R_{free} of 0.204/0.178 (35–37). The Molprobity web server was used to evaluate the structure quality during and after refinement (38). As calculated by Molprobity, there were no Ramachandran outliers, no Cβ deviations, and an acceptable value (<1%) of poor rotamers (0.57%) in this structure. The coordinates of the final refined model have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank (39) with code 4J5T.

*Cwht1p Structure Analysis, Comparison, and Prediction Methods*—A variety of software packages and web servers were used to evaluate and analyze the Cwht1p structure. Crystal packing interfaces were evaluated using the PDBePISA (Protein Interfaces, Surfaces, and Assemblies) web server (40). Cwht1p was queried using the DALI Lite version 3 server to determine similar structures in the Protein Data Bank for comparative analysis (41). When querying based on domains, the Cwht1p model was split into the N-domain (residues including linker region; residues 1–386) and the C-domain (excluding the non-native C-terminal tag; residues 287–800). Pairwise structural comparisons and r.m.s. deviation calculations were performed using the Dali Pairwise server. Structure-based sequence alignments were performed using the PROMA3D server (42). Electrostatic surface calculations were performed with CHARMm using the PBEO server (43). Structural figures were generated using the PyMol program. Two-dimensional interaction diagrams were adapted from the PoseView server output (44).

*Construction, Expression, Activity, and Crystallization of Cwht1p Mutants*—Catalytic residues were proposed based upon structural similarity with other glycoside hydrolases. These residues (Asp568 and Glu771) were mutated using site-directed mutagenesis of Cwht1-pPICZaA/XhoI (plasmid described previously (28). The primers used for the single (DN, DA, EQ, EA) mutations are as follows (5’ to 3’ forward and reverse primers for each): DN, GCGAAGCGGTATAGAAGCACGAGCCTACTCTAGGGCAGAC; GTTGTGCTTCACTAGATGCTTGGCGATAT; DA, GCGAAGCGGTATAGAAGCACGAGCCTACTCTAGGGCAGAC; GTTGTGCTTCACTAGATGCTTGGCGATAT; EQ, GGGAAGAACAAGGTTATTGTGCTTTGCTATTGCTATTGCTTGGCGATAT; EA, GGGAAGAACAAGGTTATTGTGCTTTGCTATTGCTTGGCGATAT. Double mutants (DAEA, DNEQ) were constructed sequentially, using the single mutants; all mutations were confirmed by sequencing. The plasmid PCR products using these primers were digested by DpnI to remove non-mutated clones, and...
transformed into *P. pastoris* X33 using the PEG transformation method as described for native Cwht1p (28). The six single and double mutant proteins were purified and their activity tested using the same protocol as the native Cwht1p (28).

Note that the residue numbering in this work is consistent with our previous Cwht1p publication (28), and begins after the 33 additional residues present in the full-length Cwht41p. Thus, residue 1 is methionine, and the Cwht1p construct expressed here from pPICZαA/XhOl contains 4 residues prior from the expression vector (Glu –3 to Phe 0). Both the N and C termini are non-native in this protein, being a N-terminal transmembrane–deletion construct with a C-terminal His6 tag.

For low-resolution structural characterization of the mutants, circular dichroism (CD) was performed. The CD spectra of native and mutant proteins were measured on an Aviv model 62 DSA spectrometer. Samples were prepared with 3.5 μM protein in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 6.8, 100 mM NaCl. Spectra were collected from 320 to 205 nm with a 1.0-mm bandwidth and 1-mm path length.

### Inhibitor Screening

—Michaels-Menten kinetic parameters have been determined for Cwht1p with synthetic trisaccharide and tetrasaccharide substrates, subregions of the Glc3Man9GlcNAc2 biological substrate (28, 45). The same assay was used here to screen a panel of compounds for their inhibition of Cwht1p. Briefly, Cwht1p is incubated with the tetrasaccharide substrate for 10 min, and the reaction subsequently quenched. Product concentration is then determined using the colorimetric Glucose Oxidase assay (Sigma). Dimethyl sulfoxide-dissolved compounds (supplemental Fig. S1) were screened for inhibition by preincubating the compound with the enzyme for 10 min prior to substrate addition. Enzymatic activity was calculated as a relative to the control. The compounds screened for inhibition were initially assayed at 1 mM final concentration; compounds showing substantial inhibition were subsequently tested at a range of concentrations.

### Intrinsic Fluorescence of Cwht1p with Glucose

—Glucose-Cwht1p binding was investigated using tryptophan fluorescence experiments. Fluorescence spectra were measured with a Shimadzu Scientific Instruments RF-5301PC Spectrofluorometer. Samples were excited at 295 nm and the fluorescence emission observed from 290 to 420 nm. The slit width was set to provide a band pass of 10 nm for excitation and 3 nm for emission. The cuvette was thermostatted at 20 °C. Samples were prepared with 15 μM Cwht1p, 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 6.8, 100 mM NaCl, and a range of glucose concentrations (35 mM to 3.5 μM).

### Docking Sugars and Inhibitors into the Active Site

—Ligands were docked *in silico* into the proposed active site of Cwht1p. The ligands (glucose, miglitol, deoxynojirimycin, kojibiose, and glucotriose) used in these docking runs include sugars that form part of the biological substrate, as well as known inhibitors. All docking runs were performed using AutoDock Vina (46). Energy-minimized oligosaccharide PDB files were generated using the GLYCAM Carbohydrate Builder. Cwht1p and ligand pdbqt files were prepared for docking input in the AutoDock Tools GUI, which calculates surface grids with partial atomic charges. All non-ring bonds in the ligands were set as rotatable. AutoDock Vina was used to run the docking in a 36 × 36 × 36 Å3 box around the active site. The exhaustiveness was set to 150, and the top output poses were ranked by their calculated binding affinities.

### RESULTS

#### Cwht1p Structure

—The structure of Cwht1p was solved to 2.04 Å (structure and topology in Fig. 1 and supplemental Fig. S2; crystallographic statistics in supplemental Table S1 and “Experimental Procedures,” PDB code 4J5T). Cwht1p is a globular protein, with dimensions roughly 95 × 45 × 55 Å, consisting of two domains. The N-domain (residues 2 to 278) consists of an N-terminal α-helix (NH1), a 13-strand super-β-sandwich (NS1-NS13), and two α-helices (NH1,2) between NS11 and NS12. The N- and C-domains are connected by 42 residues, which includes one linker α-helix, LH1. The C-domain (residues 320 to 808) consists of 12 helices (CH1-CH12) in an (α/α)6 toroid bundle, with an extra structural unit, the C*-region, containing two α-helices (C*H1,2) and eight β strands (C*51–8). Density was missing for one amino acid at the N terminus, and for two loops (residues 226–231 between NH2 and NH3, and residues 474–492 between CH4 and CH6). Two N-glycans, consisting of two GlcNAc residues each, were visible in the electron density, linked to asparagines 9 and 89 in the N-domain of the structure. One disulfide bond is present between cysteines 636 and 652. The final refined model contains 284 water molecules.

Cwht1p expressed here in *P. pastoris* is an N-glycoprotein, as previously determined through PNGase treatment and a band shift on SDS-PAGE (28). Based on the 2–4-kDa band shift upon deglycosylation, and assuming identical composition of both glycans (N9 and N89 in the structure), roughly 3–8 more residues would be likely present on each glycan, but are disordered in the crystal. Neither glycan site is located near a crystal packing interface, and both glycans point away from the protein, with no major protein–sugar contacts seen in the modeled residues. There was no modelled density at nearby symmetry-related proteins in the crystal to suggest that glycans were participating in the crystal packing at the distal end.

#### Crystal Packing and Interfaces

—There are two major crystal packing interfaces (Fig. 2A), with a calculated free energy of solvation of −14.5 and −5.1 kcal/mol, for the large (1456 Å²) and small (747 Å²) interfaces, respectively, with negative values indicating thermodynamic favorability. Contained within the large interface is the His61 tag of a symmetry-related mate in the crystal (Fig. 2, B and C), which itself contributes 491 Å² of the buried surface area, and −5.4 kcal/mol of the solvation free energy of that interface.

### Similar Structures

—A DALI search of the PDB gave several hits with high Z-scores and good structural similarities to Cwht1p (supplemental Table S2). Within the list of hits, the shorter-length proteins aligned only to the C-domain. The longer proteins possessed regions similar to both the N- and C-domains of Cwht1p, with variability in the interdomain orientation. Notably, the top two DALI hits were YgjK and TTHA00978, the two bacterial GH Family 63 structures. A structure-based multiple sequence alignment of Cwht1p, YgjK, and TTHA00978 was performed in conjunction with human
and rat primary sequences, with the C-terminal alignment presented in supplemental Fig. S3.

GH Family 63 Structures and Alignment—The two domains in Cwht1p are found in numerous other solved protein structures (supplemental Table S2). Cwht1p shares the highest overall architecture with the two-domain protein YgjK (E. coli GH 63 member); in an overall superposition, with C-domains of YgjK and Cwht1p matched, the N-domains are rotated ∼15° relative to each other along the major axis of the protein (supplemental Fig. S4A). When comparing individual domains, the N- and C-domains share backbone r.m.s. deviations of 2.6 and 2.5 Å, respectively. TTHA0978 (the Thermus thermophilus GH 63 member) has a single domain, structurally similar to the C-domain of Cwht1p (backbone r.m.s. deviations 2.7 Å). No other GH 63 structures have been solved to date. Trehalase (Tre37), the third hit, is a known glycoside hydrolase in family 37, and shares the GH-G fold architecture with GH 63 proteins. Subsequent neighbors are glycoside hydrolase members of the GH-L clan, containing similar (α/α)6 barrel and super-β-sandwich domains. Both TTHA00978 and Tre37 contain only the (α/α)6 toroid domain, whereas the remainder of the hits listed additionally contain the super-β-sandwich domain. Alignment at the known active site between the top four similar structures and the Cwht1p structure is indicated in Table 1 and supplemental Fig. S4C.

Mutant Protein Purification and Characterization—Based upon proposed active site residues suggested by the structure, six point mutants of Cwht1p were expressed in P. pastoris and purified using nickel-nitrilotriacetic acid IMAC and gel filtration chromatography (supplemental Fig. S5, A–C). All mutants show no catalytic activity against the tetrasaccharide substrate (supplemental Fig. S5D). Circular dichroism data of the mutants and native protein (supplemental Fig. S5E) indicate no gross structural changes between the mutant and native proteins.

Glucose and Inhibitor Binding Experiments—Prior to in silico docking experiments, we examined Cwht1p binding with glucose (the reaction product) and candidate inhibitors. Tryptophan fluorescence of Cwht1p in the absence or presence of glucose was performed, with the spectra presented in Fig. 3A. No shift in the peak wavelength was seen upon the addition of glucose; λmax was observed at 330 nm in all spectra. Increasing the glucose concentration results in increased intensity of tryptophan fluorescence, suggesting stable binding of glucose at tryptophan-rich sites. Of the 18 tryptophan residues in Cwht1p, eight are exposed to the solvent, and four are found in

FIGURE 1. Structure of Cwht1p. α-Helices are shown in red; β-sheets in purple; random coil in green; glycans shown in orange. In the center view, the N and C termini are at the back of the structure, pointing into the page. Rotated views at left are not to scale with the center view. The two loops that could not be modeled due to lack of density are indicated with a single asterisk (residues 226–231) and double asterisks (residues 474–492). Top right shows a stick model of GlcNAC linked to each of asparagine residues 89 and 9, modeled into electron density map. At bottom right, a larger view of the C-domain center, with secondary structure elements labeled as discussed under “Results” (C or C’ for C-domain or C’-region, respectively; H or S for the helix or strand secondary structure, respectively, numbered within those regions); side chains of residues Asp750 and Glu771 are shown in orange sticks. (Note: Fig. 4 is in the same reference orientation.)
the proposed active site pocket (Trp381, Trp710, Trp715, and Trp789). The presence of 18 tryptophans prevents conclusions about which particular residues may be interacting with the glucose molecules: multiple binding locations are possible, and glucose interaction could cause conformational changes potentially altering the local chemical environment around tryptophan residue(s). However, given the fact that half of the solvent-exposed tryptophans are found in the active site, and that this enzyme is active upon glucose-oligosaccharides giving glucose as a product, it is reasonable to expect that there is likely glucose binding to the active site, supported by the tryptophan fluorescence results. Thus, these data encourage further in silico docking to the Cwht1p active site for investigation of substrate/ligand binding modes.

Six known glycoside hydrolase inhibitors (supplemental Fig. S1) were screened for inhibition of Cwht1p activity, with results shown in Fig. 3B. Of the six, only miglitol was an effective inhibitor at 1 mM; a dose-response curve indicated that miglitol inhibits with an IC50 of 22 μM (Fig. 3C). Due to constraints on the tetrasaccharide substrate availability, a full Ki could not be determined; however, this IC50 is in the same range as the Ki value of the parent compound deoxynojirimycin (DNJM) of miglitol (50 μM). As a glucose analog, it is likely binding in the active site of the enzyme, as has been seen in other inhibitor-bound glycosidase structures (47–50).

In an attempt to displace the histidine tag from the active site, the structures of Cwht1p soaked with inhibitor (DNJM and...
miglitol) were solved to 2.1 Å (supplemental Table S3). Despite high concentrations of inhibitor, the histidine tag was not displaced from the active site of the symmetry-related mate (supplemental Fig. S6). Extensive efforts to crystallize the protein following enzymatic cleavage of the histidine tag were unsuccessful.

**Glucose and Inhibitor Docking in Silico**—The compounds H9251-D-glucose, miglitol, DNJM, and kojibiose (H9251-D-glucose-(1→2)-H9251-D-glucose) were independently docked to a single molecule of Cwht1p (that is, with no His tag in the active site) in a box around the active site, and the top binding results (poses) were modeled. The top poses for each are overlaid in the Cwht1p structure in Fig. 4A. The single-ring ligands all docked into two locations: the pocket containing the proposed catalytic residues (“site A”), and a second pocket roughly 12 Å away formed by residues 419–455 in the C’ region (“site B”). Site A is the proposed active site pocket, and the single-ring ligands docked here (including the top pose) make polar contacts with Cwht1p residues Trp391, Asp392, Arg428, Gly566, Asp568, Trp710, and Glu771. The single-ring ligands binding to site B make polar contacts with Cwht1p residues Glu361, Glu443, Arg429, Glu429, Phe444, Val446, Gln447, and Asn448. No stacking interactions were seen between these ligands and Cwht1p aromatic residues. The calculated binding affinities for glucose, DNJM, and miglitol ranged from 5.0 to 5.5 kcal/mol, and 5.2 to 5.5 kcal/mol, respectively.

The top binding affinities for glucose, DNJM, and miglitol from the docking results were 5.9, 5.5, and 5.2 kcal/mol, which equate to calculated Ki values at 37 °C of 69, 132, and 215 μM, respectively. Glucose as an inhibitor has not been directly tested in the activity assay used here, as it is a substrate for the secondary/reporter glucose oxidase reaction. An indirect evaluation of glucose inhibition can come from its presence as a product of the Cwht1p catalytic reaction. In the activity assay, the reaction rate first decreases slightly at the longest time point tested (120 min), which contains 120 μM glucose product (38). This activity decrease is likely due to product inhibition of Cwht1p and is seen to be in the same order of magnitude as the calculated glucose Ki value of 69 μM.

The disaccharide kojibiose contains the structure of the two terminal α(1→2)-linked glucoses in the natural substrate, GlcManGlcNAc. As a larger molecule, kojibiose had a more complex set of results, with the top nine poses overlaid in the bottom panel of Fig. 4A. The top kojibiose poses ranged in calculated affinity from −6.5 to −7.1 kcal/mol. Four poses were found within site A, and overlaid well (maximum r.m.s. deviations 0.5 Å from top pose in this site). They are oriented with their non-reducing glucose in site A and their reducing end situated under the loop containing helix C’H1. Five poses were found in site B, four of which were situated with the non-reducing glucose of kojibiose in the site B pocket. These poses are loosely in the same position (maximum r.m.s. deviations 1.9 Å
between poses), all oriented with the non-reducing glucose in the pocket, and the reducing end pointing outward in a direction away from site A. The outlying pose found in site B has an opposite orientation: the reducing glucose is in the site B pocket, with the non-reducing glucose pointing outwards. No stacking interactions between kojibiose residues and Cwht1p aromatic residues were seen in any binding modes.

**Glucotriose Docking in Silico**—Glucotriose (\(\alpha\)-D-glucosyl-\((1\rightarrow2)\)-\(\alpha\)-D-glucosyl-\((1\rightarrow3)\)-[1-methyl-\(\alpha\)-D-glucosyl]) is the minimum substrate cleaved by GluI. In this trisaccharide, glucoses 1, 2, and 3 are numbered from the non-reducing end. In docking results with respect to Cwht1p, the location of Glc1 is termed subsite +1, and Glc2 is found in subsite +1; Cwht1p cleaves the bond between these sugar residues. The electrophile of the glycoside hydrolysis reaction is carbon 1 of Glc1.

Glucotriose docking was performed to investigate potential catalytic binding sites of the minimum substrate of Cwht1p, and the subsites occupied by its sugar residues. The top nine docked poses were investigated, showing binding affinity ranging from \(-6.5\) to \(-7.6\) kcal/mol. These poses were manually sorted into sets of binding modes from the roughly common orientations between poses (Fig. 4B), giving four major binding modes: A1, A2, A3, and B, based upon the site (A or B) occupied by the trisaccharide. The Cwht1p contacts with each sugar residue are listed in Fig. 4B.

**Determination of the Substrate-binding Model**—In evaluation of the binding modes to determine the likeliest substrate-binding model, we investigated the glucotriose conformation. GluI enzymes from yeast and mammalian sources do not cleave...
simple sugar substrates such as p-nitrophenyl-α-glucose; they require a minimum trisaccharide of three non-reducing terminal sugars from the 14-mer oligosaccharide substrate (22, 28, 38). This linkage is unique in biology; no other reports of such a glucotriose are found in the literature. As a result of Glc2 having two glycoside bonds at neighboring carbons (1 and 2), this glucotriose has a unique shape: it is non-linear, and bent back on itself, with an expected intra-chain interaction between Glc1 and Glc3. Glul is highly specific for this sugar, and does not cleave other linear glucose chains, indicating that this bent-back shape may be important for interaction with the active site, and may be a selectivity determinant for binding and/or catalysis.

The sugar conformation in binding modes A1 and A2 have similar shapes: they have an 80° angle along the chain, in comparison to the much more acute A3 mode (Fig. 4C). Furthermore, there is an intra-saccharide stacking interaction in A3, between Glc1 and Glc3, which is not seen in the other modes. This stacking interaction lines up well with Tyr709 in the active site. This evidence, with the highly specific and unique relationship between Cwht1p and its substrate, places binding mode A3 as the likeliest binding mode.

Residue Conservation Supports Mode A3 as the Substrate-binding Model—All eukaryotic Glul homologs share similar substrate specificity to the glucotriose discussed here. This substrate has not been tested with prokaryotic Glul homologs, which are active against other oligosaccharides; however, prokaryotic glycans have not been shown to contain the glucotriose oligosaccharide with the α(1→2) and α(1→3) linkages (51). Therefore, residue conservation in GH 63 enzymes can be considered in light of the binding model proposed here. Using the structure-based sequence alignment shown in supplemental Fig. S3, supplemental Table S5 presents the conservation of the key residues involved in mode A3, the likeliest binding model.

DISCUSSION

We have determined the first eukaryotic structure of Glul, a large glycosylated GH 63 enzyme responsible for the first step in the N-glycosylation trimming pathway. The catalytic residues are identified from the structure to be Asp568 and Glu771, mutations of which abolish activity. Using docking methods with inhibitors and the substrate as ligands, we have mapped mutations of which abolish activity. Using docking methods with inhibitors and the substrate as ligands, we have mapped mutations of which abolish activity. Using docking methods with inhibitors and the substrate as ligands, we have mapped mutations of which abolish activity. Using docking methods with inhibitors and the substrate as ligands, we have mapped mutations of which abolish activity. Using docking methods with inhibitors and the substrate as ligands, we have mapped mutations of which abolish activity. Using docking methods with inhibitors and the substrate as ligands, we have mapped mutations of which abolish activity.

Cwht1p Structural Features and Key Residues—Cwht1p consists of two domains joined by a linker helix; the N-domain is a super-β-sandwich, and the C-domain is an (α/α)6 toroid with additional structural units, termed the C’-region, on one face. The two closest structural neighbors (YgkK and TTHA00978) are GH Family 63 members with Cwht1p, validating the CAZY sequence-based classification for these proteins. Like Cwht1p, all of the characterized closest structural neighbors are inverting glycosidases (supplemental Table S2); the active sites of four of these nearest neighbors have been characterized. These enzymes vary in substrate specificity, and are similar to either both or one (the (α/α)6 toroid) domain of Cwht1p. Catalytic activity is found in the center of the (α/α)6 barrel. The substrates can access the active site on the same face as the C’ region; thus this region, which varies greatly between structural neighbors, likely provides substrate selectivity.

The role(s) of the super-β-sandwich domains in Cwht1p and its structural neighbors is unclear; this fold resembles a family of carbohydrate-binding molecules (52). It may be involved in protein-protein interaction with neighboring enzymes (oligosaccharide transferase or α-glycosidase II) along the N-glycosylation trimming pathway, or in interaction with substrate N-glycoproteins. The glycans present on the N-domain of Cwht1p may or may not be found in the native enzyme; host cell and expression conditions often result in altered glycosylation patterns (53–57). The glycosylated status of Cwht1p does not affect catalytic activity in vitro (58). Thus, no specific conclusion can be made about the role or presence of these glycans in Glul across species.

Cwht1p is the soluble C-terminal construct of Cwht41p, a type II membrane protein. In the model of Cwht1p, the N terminus protrudes from the convex face of the protein. In Cwht41p, this would be the location of the 33-residue transmembrane pass across the endoplasmic reticulum membrane. There is no clear electrostatic or hydrophobic patch on this face of the protein to indicate interaction with the membrane. In previous overexpression studies of the full-length Cwht41p in S. cerevisiae, both the membrane-bound and a soluble truncated form were isolated, despite the presence of a range of protease inhibitors during purification (23). This evidence of proteolytic cleavage of the protein in its native host could indicate that a portion of Cwht41p is present and active in its soluble form in the endoplasmic reticulum, without being tethered to the membrane. Similar proteolytic release of transmembrane proteins has been seen for glycosyltransferases in the Golgi (59–60).

Previous studies have shown the in vivo and in vitro effects of mutations within α-glucosidase I. From the structure-based sequence alignment, residues associated with these mutations were aligned with the Cwht1p structure. The results are summarized in Table 2. In general, any mutations interfering with the active site have been shown to reduce activity, as expected. No N-domain mutations, nor any benign mutations, have been reported in these studies. Aside from Cwht1p, two smaller constructs of Cwht41p (Cwht2p and Cwht3p) were cloned and their expression attempted in S. cerevisiae by others (58) and in P. pastoris by ourselves (28). Analysis of the structure of Cwht1p sheds light on their lack of expression in the two different hosts (Table 2).

Catalytic Residues of Glul—Prior biochemical studies have proposed two pairs of possible catalytic residues in Cwht41p: Asp584 and Glu580 of Cwht1p (from primary sequence alignment with prokaryotic Glul, YgkK (27)); and Asp584 and Glu580 (from primary sequence alignment with proposed mammalian Glul substrate binding motif (26)). Alanine mutations of Asp584 and Glu580 have shown a loss of Cwht1p activity (58). Within the structure solved here, these two residues are found at the N terminus of helix CH6. They face the interior of the structure, and make polar contacts with several residues in the loop following CH2. Given the solvent-inaccessibility of these amino acids, they are not likely to be catalytic residues. However, mutation of these residues to alanine, a small nonpolar resi-
due, would disrupt the contacts with the C'-region, possibly leading to misfolding. This is consistent with the decreased expression levels and aborted catalytic activity of the D584A and E580A constructs. No studies have been published documenting the mutation of Glu771 or its corresponding residue in other homologs. In the Cwht1p structure, Glu771 is solvent-accessible.

Alignment of the C-domain of Cwht1p with the top-ranked structural homologs reveals tight structural conservation with GH-G and GH-L fold clans, particularly of the (α/α)6 barrel, despite the relatively low sequence identity (supplemental Table S2). The C-domain is much more variable between structural homologs, consistent with their variable substrate specificities. Within the GH-G and GH-L clans, the active site is found at the center of the (α/α)6 bundle. Hydrolysis proceeds via an acid-base mechanism, utilizing a pair of carboxylic acidic residues to catalyze the reaction. The catalytic residues (glutamate and aspartate) of the characterized structural neighbors align with Glu771 and Asp568 of Cwht1p, at the core of the bundle (Table 1 and supplemental Fig. S4). Thus, these two amino acids are proposed to be the catalytic residues for glycoside hydrolysis of Cwht1p. Cwht1p single and double point mutants at Asp568 and Glu771 were expressed and purified (supplemental Fig. S5). They share similar expression and purification properties to the native Cwht1p, and circular dichroism data indicates that the mutations have not induced large structural deviations from the native state. However, they are unable to cleave the tetrasaccharide substrate. Thus we have obtained properly folded, non-catalytic mutants of Cwht1p for use in active site investigations.

Interestingly, in a structural overlay, the proposed catalytic residues Asp568 and Glu771 in Cwht1p align, respectively, with Asp501 and Glu727 of YgjK (Table 1 and supplemental Fig. S4C). Thus, these two amino acids are proposed to be the catalytic residues for glycoside hydrolysis of Cwht1p. Cwht1p single and double point mutants at Asp568 and Glu771 were expressed and purified (supplemental Fig. S5). They share similar expression and purification properties to the native Cwht1p, and circular dichroism data indicates that the mutations have not induced large structural deviations from the native state. However, they are unable to cleave the tetrasaccharide substrate. Thus, we have obtained properly folded, non-catalytic mutants of Cwht1p for use in active site investigations.

The large interface contains many interactions between the C-terminal His9 tag of one monomer and the interior of the (α/α)6 bundle of a crystallographic symmetry-related monomer (Fig. 2, B and C). These interactions contribute approximately one-fourth of the buried surface area and solvation free energy of that interface, a significant contribution from this non-native tag. Notably, His484 is hydrogen-bonded to Glu771, a proposed catalytic residue. His486–488 are also interacting with several highly conserved residues (Asp392, Phe389, Phe385, and Phe444) and one moderately conserved residue (Arg428).

Following inhibitor (DNJM and miglitol) soaks at a high inhibitor concentration (10–100-fold K_i or IC_50), the histidine tag, rather than the inhibitor, was still clearly seen in the electron density of the active site (supplemental Fig. S6). Soaking

**TABLE 2**

Proposed effects of known α-glucosidase I mutations or truncations

Non-yeast mutations have been aligned to Cwht1p using the structure-based sequence alignment, shown in Figure S4. Structural terminology is consistent with Fig. 1 and supplemental Fig. S2.

| Source | Mutation | Known effect | Aligned Cwht1p residue | Location on Cwht1p structure | Proposed explanation for mutation effect |
|--------|----------|--------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Human, CDGiib | R486T | No binding to Sepharose; no catalytic activity | Arg478 | Active site; in between sites A and B. | Improper binding pocket shape and hydrogen bonding atoms; reduction of substrate binding. |
| Human, CDGiib | F652L | No catalytic activity; reduced binding to sepharose. | Tyr655 | Loop between CH7 and C'S5 | Restructuring of loop at active site; possible interference with substrate binding. |
| Yeast | G725R | Loss of activity | Gly692 | | Large volume of arginine causes steric clashing and loop rearrangement. |
| Yeast, Der7–1 mutant | S440F | Loss of activity | Ser778 | | Exposure of LH1 hydrophobic patch, prone to aggregation. |
| Yeast, laboratory construct Cwht2p | C-terminal construct beginning at Arg587 | No expression | | | Construct begins at random coil linker region prior to LH1. |
| Yeast, laboratory construct Cwht3p | C-terminal construct beginning at Met592 | No expression | | | Construct begins at long disordered loop between CH4 and CH5. |

Cwht1p 580-ELNVDALAW 588; this is found in the C'-region of the Cwht1p structure. This loop does not align well with the prokaryotic structures (YgjK and TTHA00978) and varies largely between similar structures. The mammalian binding sequence was proposed based upon chemical modification studies supporting the presence of Arg, Trp, Tyr, and Cys in the active site. However, there are several Arg, Trp, Tyr, and Cys residues in the three-dimensional Cwht1p structure that are conserved distant in primary sequence. In particular, Arg387, Trp391, Tyr709, Trp710, Arg711, and Trp789 line the proposed binding pocket, and are conserved between the yeast and mammalian sequences in the structure-based alignment. Thus, the chemical modification experiments proposed the mammalian binding motif can be re-interpreted in light of the solved Cwht1p structure, to support the catalytic site surrounding Asp568 and Glu771.

**Crystal Packing and Utility of this Model for More Active Site Investigations**—The crystal form used here (28) was the only reproducible form found from screening and optimizing sparse matrix screens. Packing analysis of this form shows that Cwht1p crystallized with two main interfaces and a total buried surface area of 2203 Å². Each of the interfaces is much larger and more thermodynamically favorable than average in crystallization (61, 62). The thermodynamic favorability of this crystal form could explain why it was seen in multiple distinct conditions, and why no other reproducible forms were seen with this construct across many conditions.

The large interface contains many interactions between the C-terminal His9 tag of one monomer and the interior of the (α/α)6 bundle of a crystallographic symmetry-related monomer (Fig. 2, B and C). These interactions contribute approximately one-fourth of the buried surface area and solvation free energy of that interface, a significant contribution from this non-native tag. Notably, His484 is hydrogen-bonded to Glu771, a proposed catalytic residue. His486–488 are also interacting with several highly conserved residues (Asp392, Phe389, Phe385, and Phe444) and one moderately conserved residue (Arg428).
higher inhibitor concentrations resulted in crystal damage; it is likely that displacement of the histidine tag disrupts this major interface. As a result, this crystal form is not optimal for crystal soaks and active site mapping, and so we proceeded with an in silico approach to address these investigations.

Mapping Active Site with Inhibitors and Glucose—Intrinsic tryptophan fluorescence experiments here provide preliminary qualitative support for glucose binding (Fig. 3A). Additionally, three GluI inhibitors have been characterized to date: miglitol and DNJM, both single-ring glucose analogues, and the disaccharide kojibiose, α(1→2) linked glucose. In this work, docking studies with these ligands were used to map the catalytic site. All the single-ring ligands docked to two sites in the center of the Cwht1p catalytic domain: the proposed active site pocket (site A) and a nearby pocket, roughly 12 Å away (site B) (Fig. 4A). All top hits were found in site A. Within both sites, the ligands made polar contacts with several Cwht1p residues. Notably among the site A contacts, the ligands interact with two tryptophan residues (Trp710 and Trp391), and are blocking solvent accessibility to two others (Trp715 and Trp789); this result is consistent with our experimental tryptophan fluorescence data. The docked ligand molecules also interact with Asp568 and/or Glu771 (variable between poses), the proposed catalytic residues. Blocking substrate accessibility to these residues would certainly abrogate catalytic activity, and has been structurally seen in other glycoside hydrolases inhibited by monosaccharide analogs (47–50). Notably among the site A contacts, the ligands interact with two tryptophan residues (Trp710 and Trp391), and are blocking solvent accessibility to two others (Trp715 and Trp789); this result is consistent with our experimental tryptophan fluorescence data. The docked ligand molecules also interact with Asp568 and/or Glu771 (variable between poses), the proposed catalytic residues. Blocking substrate accessibility to these residues would certainly abrogate catalytic activity, and has been structurally seen in other glycoside hydrolases inhibited by monosaccharide analogs (47–50). Site B does not possess any carboxylic acid residues necessary for glycoside hydrolysis, and so is unlikely to be the active site pocket for cleavage of the terminal glucose from the 14-mer oligosaccharide substrate.

Interestingly, the top binding mode found kojibiose with the non-reducing glucose in site B, distal to the catalytic residues in the active site pocket. Kojibiose makes several polar contacts in site B; this pocket contains few hydrophobic residues, and so could be involved in binding the hydroxyl groups of non-terminal residues in the 14-mer biological substrate, Glc3Man9GlcNAc2. Cwht1p mutagenesis experiments, kinetic evaluation, and co-crystallization are required to experimentally investigate the potential role of this pocket in inhibitor binding.

Glucotriose Structure in Determining the Substrate-binding Model—Based upon catalytic residue accessibility, GluI substrate selectivity, and glucotriose conformation, we evaluated the docked binding modes to propose a substrate binding model, as shown in Fig. 5. Subsite −1 is found under the loop between CH9 and CH10, with Glc1 stabilized by polar contact with Glu707 and a stacking interaction with Tyr709. Subsite +1 is the "active site pocket" where Glc2 makes specific polar contacts with Trp710 and Asp392, and Trp391, and Trp789 in this other hydrophobic cleft. Subsite +2 contains Glc3, under the loop containing helix C’H1; Arg428 makes a polar contact with the sugar. Another sugar-protein contact could take place following a small conformational change from this pose: residue Phe444 is found in the C’H1 loop, and could interact with the Tyr709-Glc1-Glc3 stacking. The anomeric carbon of this Glc3 points toward site B; the remainder of the 14-mer sugar could be found in this cleft or instead could protrude outwards from Cwht1p, making minimal protein contact. The latter is supported by the unchanged kinetic parameters between the trisaccharide and tetrasaccharide substrates (28, 45).

Cwht1p is expected to be a suitable model for other eukaryotic homologs, all of which share similar substrate specificity to the glucotriose oligosaccharide containing α(1→2) and α(1→3) linkages. Conserved residues involved in the proposed model are listed in supplemental Table S3. The catalytic residues Asp568 and Glu771 are conserved across all species investigated; similarly, all residues interacting with Glc2 are conserved. This is not surprising, as this is the binding site found in many (α/α)ε-barrel glycosidases with various oligosaccharide substrates, and the polar contacts here orient the sugar ring to place the anomeric carbon in place for glycoside bond cleavage by the catalytic residues (63–65). In prokaryotes, Tyr709 is highly conserved, but the residues interacting with Glc3 are not; in the prokaryotic structures, the area analogous to site B is occluded. Therefore, the unknown sugar substrate for the pro-
karyotic GH 63 members does not bind in a similar fashion as Glc3 in Cwh1p. However, eukaryotic conservation at both Glc1 and Glc3 sites supports the model proposed above in recognition of the glycan substrate by the yeast enzyme.

Validation of in Silico Work—Despite the use of non-flexible structure docking, the calculated \( K_m \) values for the single-residue ligands show reasonable agreement with the micromolar range of values determined experimentally, supporting the binding modes seen. Similarly, the kojibiose binding affinities (top mode 9.9 \( \mu M \)) were only roughly within the same order of magnitude as those determined experimentally (55 \( \mu M \) with membrane-bound Cwh1p (15)). However, in glucotriose docking, the calculated binding energy ranged from 52 to 71 \( \mu M \) affinity. This is 20-fold smaller than the experimental \( K_m \) value for the trisaccharide substrate with a hydrocarbon tail of 1.28 nm with Cwh1p (45). This deviation is not unexpected as the binding energies are more poorly predicted as the ligand size increases (66).

The precise structural location of inhibitors binding Cwh1p is not definitively known. However, the docked results here are in good agreement with single-ring ligands binding the active sites of other inverting glycosidases (47–50). In addition, inhibitor soak damage of this crystal form, heavily dependent on active site contacts, supports their binding at the active site, in accordance with the docking results. These observations regarding the accuracy of binding modes and affinities are in accordance with what is seen in the literature. The scored affinities are not highly accurate in general; the strength of docking methods lies largely in the accurate predictions of the pose orientations, and less so in energetic calculations (66, 67).

Conclusions—The structure presented here at 2-Å resolution, and its proposed substrate-binding model, establish the underlying basis for the high substrate specificity of eukaryotic Glul. Furthermore, these results demonstrate the use of in silico modeling as a method complementary to experimental work. The structure and model will inform further research into the relationship of Glul with its unique glucotriose substrate, and pave the way for investigation into structure-based drug design toward specific N-glycosylation inhibitors.
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