A generalization of Osgood’s test and a comparison criterion for integral equations with noise
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Abstract
In this work, we prove a generalization of Osgood’s test for the explosion of the solutions of initial-value problems. We also establish a comparison criterion for the solution of integral equations with noise, and provide estimations of the time of explosion of problems arising in the investigation of crack failures where the noise is the absolute value of the Brownian motion.
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1 Introduction
Let \( x_0 \) be a positive, real number, let \( b \) be a positive, real-valued function defined on \([0, \infty)\), and suppose that \( y \) is an extended-real-valued function with the same domain as \( b \). The present work is motivated by a criterion for the explosion of the solutions of ordinary differential equations of the form

\[
\begin{cases}
\frac{dy(t)}{dt} = b(y(t)), & t > 0, \\
y(0) = x_0.
\end{cases}
\]
More precisely, the time of explosion of the solution of this initial-value problem is the nonnegative, extended-real number \( t_e = \sup\{ t \geq 0 : y(t) < \infty \} \). The above-mentioned criterion is called Osgood’s test after its author [12], and it states that \( t_e \) is finite if and only if \( \int_{x_0}^{\infty} ds/b(s) < \infty \). In such case, \( t_e = \int_{x_0}^{\infty} ds/b(s) \).

A natural question readily arises about the possibility to extend Osgood’s test to more general, initial-value problems, say, to problems in which the drift function \( b \) in the ordinary differential equation of (1) is multiplied by a suitable, nonnegative function of \( t \). Another direction of investigation would be to investigate conditions under which the solutions of the integral form of such equation with a noise function added, explode in a finite time (see for example [9]). Evidently the consideration of these two problems as a single one is an interesting topic of study *per se*. In fact, the purpose of this paper is to provide a generalization of Osgood’s test to integral equations with noise, which generalize the problem presented in (1). Important, as it is in the recent literature [5, 6, 7, 11], the problem of establishing analytical conditions under which the time of explosion of the problem under investigation, is tackled here. In the way, we establish a comparison criterion for the solutions of integral equations with noise, and show some applications to the spread of cracks in rigid surfaces.

Our manuscript is divided in the following way: Section 2 introduces the integral equation with noise that motivates this manuscript, along with a convenient simplification for its study; a generalization of Osgood’s test is presented in this stage for the associated initial-value problem for both scenarios: noiseless and noisy systems. Section 3 establishes a comparison criterion for the solutions of two noiseless systems with comparable initial conditions. A necessary condition for the explosion of the solutions of the problem under investigation is provided in this section, together with an illustrative counterexample and a partial converse. In Section 4 we give upper and lower bounds for the value of the time of explosion of our integral equation. Finally, Section 5 provides estimates of probabilities associated to the time of explosion of a system in which the noise is the absolute value of the Brownian motion.

## 2 Osgood’s test

Let \( \mathbb{R} \) denote the set of extended-real numbers. Throughout, \( a, b : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R} \) will represent positive, continuous functions, while the function \( g : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R} \) will be continuous and nonnegative. For physical reasons, the function \( g \) is called a *noise*. In this work, \( x_0 \) will denote a positive, real number, and \( X : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R} \) will be a nonnegative function whose dependency on \( t \geq 0 \) is represented by \( X_t \). We are interested in establishing conditions under which the solutions of the integral equation

\[
X_t = x_0 + \int_0^t a(s)b(X_s)ds + g(t), \quad t \geq 0,
\]

are finite.
explode in finite time. More precisely, we define the time of explosion of $X$ as the nonnegative, extended-real number $T_X^e = \sup\{t \geq 0 : X_t < \infty\}$. In this manuscript, we investigate conditions under which the time of explosion of $X$ is a real number.

Letting $Y_t = X_t - g(t)$, one sees immediately that the problem under consideration is equivalent to finding the time of explosion of the solution $Y$ of the equation

$$Y_t = x_0 + \int_0^t a(s)b(Y_s + g(s))ds, \quad t \geq 0.$$  (3)

As a matter of fact, $T_X^e = T_Y^e$. From this point on, this common, extended-real number will be denoted simply by $T_e$ for the sake of briefness.

Remark 1 It is worth noticing that (3) can be presented in differential form as the equivalent, initial-value problem

$$\begin{cases}
\frac{dY_t}{dt} = a(t)b(Y_t + g(t)), \quad t > 0, \\
Y_0 = x_0,
\end{cases}$$  (4)

a problem for which the existence of solutions is guaranteed, for instance, when $b$ is locally Lipschitzian and $a$ is regulated (see (10.4.6) in [4]).

Let $r$ be a real number such that $0 < r \leq x_0$. We define the functions $A : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ and $B_r : [x_0 - r, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$A(t) = \int_0^t a(s)ds \quad \text{and} \quad B_r(x) = \int_{x_0-r}^x \frac{ds}{b(s)}.$$  (5)

For the sake of convenience, we let $B$ be the function $B_0$. Evidently, both of these functions are nonnegative, increasing and continuous, and so are their inverses. On the other hand, if $r \geq -x_0$, we let $\bar{B}_r : [x_0 + r, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ be given by $\bar{B}_r = B_{-r}$. For every fixed $x \geq x_0$, we define $\bar{B}^x : [-x_0, x - x_0] \to \mathbb{R}$ by $\bar{B}^x(r) = \bar{B}_r(x)$; we prefer this second notation in either case. Additionally, we define $\bar{\beta} : [-x_0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ by $\bar{\beta}(t) = \bar{B}^x(t)$. All of these functions and their inverses are nonnegative, continuous and decreasing in their domains.

Lemma 2 (Generalized Osgood’s test) The initial-value problem

$$\begin{cases}
\frac{dy(t)}{dt} = a(t)b(y(t)), \quad t > 0, \\
y(0) = x_0,
\end{cases}$$  (6)

has a unique solution given by $y(t) = B^{-1}(A(t))$, for $t < A^{-1}(B(\infty))$. The solution explodes in finite time if and only if $B(\infty) < A(\infty)$, in which case, $T_y^e = A^{-1}(B(\infty))$. 
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Proof. The function \( y(t) = B^{-1}(A(t)) \) is evidently a solution of (5). Additionally, expressing the differential equation in (5) as \( y'(s)/b(y(s)) = a(s) \), integrating both sides over \([0, t] \) and performing a suitable substitution, we obtain that \( B(y(t)) = A(t) \), whence the uniqueness follows. Moreover, \( y(t) \) is real if and only if \( t < A^{-1}(B(\infty)) \).

Now, if the solution of (5) explodes at the time \( t_e < \infty \), then \( B(\infty) = A(t_e) < A(\infty) \). Conversely, the number \( A^{-1}(B(\infty)) \) is real, so that

\[
B(y(A^{-1}(B(\infty)))) = A(A^{-1}(B(\infty))) = B(\infty).
\]

This implies that \( T_e^y \leq A^{-1}(B(\infty)) \), and the opposite inequality follows from the fact that the solution of (5) exists for \( t < A^{-1}(B(\infty)) \).

As a consequence, the solution of (5) is nonnegative, continuous and increasing on \([0, T_e^y] \), and so is its inverse on \([x_0, \infty) \). Likewise, the function \( \overline{B} : [x_0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R} \), given by the formula

\[
\overline{B}(y) = \int_{x_0}^{y} \frac{ds}{b(s + g(Y^{-1}(s)))^2},
\]

is nonnegative, continuous and increasing.

Corollary 3 The solution of (4) can be expressed as \( Y_t = \overline{B}^{-1}(A(t)) \), for every \( t < A^{-1}(\overline{B}(\infty)) \).

Proof. The proof runs as in Osgood’s test.

3 A comparison theorem

Theorem 4 (Comparison criterion) Let \( 0 < x_0 \leq x_1 \), let \( b \) be non-decreasing, and assume that the functions \( u, v : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R} \) satisfy

\[
v(t) \geq x_1 + \int_0^t a(s)b(v(s))ds \quad \text{and} \quad u(t) = x_0 + \int_0^t a(s)b(u(s))ds, \quad t \geq 0.\]

Then, \( v(t) \geq u(t) \) for every \( t \geq 0 \), and \( T_e^u \leq A^{-1}(B(\infty)) \).

Proof. It is sufficient to show that \( v \geq u \) because, in such case, \( T_e^v = T_e^u = A^{-1}(B(\infty)) \). Assume first that \( x_0 < x_1 \), and let \( N = \{ t \geq 0 : u(s) \leq v(s), s \in [0, t] \} \). The set \( N \) is nonempty, so \( \overline{T} = \sup N \) exists in \( \mathbb{R} \). If \( \overline{T} \) were a real number, then

\[
L = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} (v(\overline{T} + \epsilon) - u(\overline{T} + \epsilon)) \geq x_1 - x_0 + \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \int_{\overline{T}}^{\overline{T} + \epsilon} a(s) [b(v(s)) - b(u(s))] ds
\]

by the fact that \( v(s) - u(s) \geq 0 \), for every \( s \in [0, \overline{T}] \). It follows that \( L \geq x_1 - x_0 \).

By definition, there exists \( \delta > 0 \) such that \( v(\overline{T} + s) - u(\overline{T} + s) > 0 \) for every \( s \in [0, \delta) \), whence it follows that \( \overline{T} + \delta \in N \), a contradiction. Consequently,
\[ u(t) \leq v(t) \text{ for every } t \geq 0. \] Now, in case that \( x_0 = x_1 \), the solution of the equation
\[ u_r(t) = x_0 - r + \int_0^t a(s)b(u_r(s))ds, \quad 0 < r < x_0, \]
satisfies \( v(t) \geq u_r(t) \), for every \( t \geq 0 \). Using Osgood’s test and the continuity of \( B_r^{-1} \), we obtain
\[ v(t) \geq \lim_{r \to 0^+} u_r(t) = \lim_{r \to 0^+} B_r^{-1}(A(t)) = B^{-1}(A(t)) = u(t). \]

**Theorem 5** Suppose that \( b \) is non-decreasing, and \( B(\infty) < A(\infty) \). Then the solution of (4) explodes in finite time. The time of explosion of \( Y \) is \( t_e = A^{-1}(B(\infty)) \).

**Proof.** The fact that \( b \) is non-decreasing yields
\[ Y_t = x_0 + \int_0^t a(s)b(Y_s + g(s))ds \geq x_0 + \int_0^t a(s)b(Y_s)ds. \]

Theorem 4 gives that \( T_e \leq A^{-1}(B(\infty)) \) when we compare \( Y \) with the solution of \( \tilde{Y}_t = x_0 + \int_0^t a(s)b(\tilde{Y}_s)ds \). On the other hand, \( A(t_e) = B(\infty) \leq B(\infty) < A(\infty) \), which implies that \( t_e \) is real. The expression of \( t_e \) and Corollary 3 yield \( A(t_e) = B(Y_{T_e}) = A(T_e) \). We conclude that \( T_e = t_e \).

Intuitively, it is not generally true that the explosion of the solutions of (4) in finite time is a sufficient condition for the inequality \( B(\infty) < A(\infty) \) to be satisfied. This assertion follows after noticing that \( A \) and \( B \) do not depend of the noise function; however, the time of explosion does. We will establish our claim precisely through the following counter-example.

**Example 6** Let \( x_0 = 1 \), and let \( a, b \) and \( g \) be given by the expressions \( a(t) = e^{-t} \), \( b(t) = \frac{1}{4}t^3 \), and \( g(t) = e^t \), for every \( t > 0 \). Expanding the expression \((Y_s + e^s)^3\) in (3), we obtain \( Y_t \geq 1 + \frac{1}{4} \int_0^t Y_s^2 ds \). Then \( Y_t \geq (1 + \frac{1}{4}t)^{-1} \), which implies that \( Y \) explodes in finite time. However, \( B(\infty) = 2 > 1 = A(\infty) \).

The following result is a partial converse of Theorem 5. We let \( \tilde{g}(t) = \sup\{g(s) : s \in [0, t]\} \), for every \( t \geq 0 \).

**Proposition 7** Suppose that \( b \) is non-decreasing, and that
\[ \tilde{g}(t) < b(x_0) \int_t^{\infty} a(s)ds. \]
If the solution \( Y \) of (3) explodes in finite time, then \( B(\infty) < A(\infty) \).
Proof. By Corollary 3, $\overline{B}(\infty) = \overline{B}(Y_{T_e}) = A(T_e)$. Since $b$ is non-decreasing and $g(Y_s^{-1}) \leq \hat{g}(T_e)$ for every $s \in [x_0, \infty)$, we obtain

$$\int_{x_0}^{\infty} \frac{ds}{b(s + \hat{g}(T_e))} \leq A(T_e).$$

Separating the integral in the definition of $\overline{B}(\infty)$ as the sum of the integrals over the intervals $[x_0, x_0 + \hat{g}(T_e)]$ and $[x_0 + \hat{g}(T_e), \infty)$, using the facts that $b$ is positive and non-decreasing, and employing the last inequality and the hypothesis, we obtain

$$B(\infty) \leq \int_{x_0}^{x_0 + \hat{g}(T_e)} \frac{ds}{b(x_0)} + \int_{x_0 + \hat{g}(T_e)}^{\infty} \frac{ds}{b(s + \hat{g}(T_e))} \leq \hat{g}(T_e) b(x_0) + A(T_e) < A(\infty).$$

\[\square\]

4 Approximation of the explosion time

It is important to notice that the time of explosion of $Y$, as given by the Theorem 4, presents the disadvantage of depending on the solution $Y$ itself. In this section, we will derive some approximations to $T_e$ which do not present this shortcoming. For the remainder of this manuscript and for the sake of convenience, we let $T = A^{-1}(B(\infty))$. Throughout this section, $b$ will be a non-decreasing function.

The Comparison criterion and Osgood’s test yield that the time of explosion of the solution $Y$ of (3) satisfies $T_e \leq T$. On the other hand,

$$Y_t \leq x_0 + \int_0^t a(s)b(Y_s + \hat{g}(T))ds,$$

and the Comparison criterion leads us to conclude that

$$A^{-1}(\beta(\hat{g}(T))) \leq T_e \leq T. \quad (6)$$

In general, the function $b : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is sub-multiplicative if there exists a positive constant $c$ such that $b(xy) \leq cb(x)b(y)$, for every $x, y \geq 0$. Evidently, exponential and power functions are sub-multiplicative.

Suppose that $b$ is a sub-multiplicative function, and let $c$ be the positive number provided by the definition of sub-multiplicativity. In the following, it will be convenient to define the function $\bar{A} : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\bar{A}(t) = c \int_0^t a(s)b \left(\frac{1}{x_0^\prime} g(s) + 1\right) ds.$$ 

This function is nonnegative, continuous and increasing and, thus, it is invertible, and has a continuous and increasing inverse.
Proposition 8 Let $b$ be a sub-multiplicative function. Then $T_e \geq A^{-1}(B(\infty))$.

Proof. Since $Y_s \geq x_0$ for every $s \geq 0$, we obtain

$$g(s) + Y_s = Y_s g(s) \left( \frac{1}{Y_s} + \frac{1}{g(s)} \right) \leq Y_s g(s) \left( \frac{1}{x_0} + \frac{1}{g(s)} \right) = Y_s \left( \frac{1}{x_0} g(s) + 1 \right).$$

Monotonicity and sub-multiplicativity of $b$, along with (3), yield

$$Y_t \leq x_0 + c \int_0^t a(s) b \left( \frac{1}{x_0} g(s) + 1 \right) b(Y_s) ds.$$ 

The conclusion of the proposition follows now from the Comparison criterion and Osgood’s test.

5 An application

Throughout this section, we consider the stochastic differential equation (2) with noise function $|W_t|$, where $W$ is the Brownian motion. The noise function is taken in absolute value in view of physical considerations on the dynamics of cracks growth under fatigue loading. In fact, it has been established experimentally that cracks in the subcritical stage grow with a velocity that increases with the crack length [13]. The governing equation is called Paris’ equation, and it is a power law (which is a sub-multiplicative function) in which the exponent is determined empirically. As a matter of fact, it has been established that Paris’ law is valid for a wide range of materials [1, 2, 10, 14].

For the remainder of this work, we let $\Phi(x)$ represent the probability that a random variable with standard normal distribution assumes values in $[0, x]$, for every $x \geq 0$.

Proposition 9 Let $0 \leq t < T$. Then

$$P(T_e \leq t) \leq 1 - \Phi \left( \frac{\beta^{-1}(A(t))}{\sqrt{T}} \right). \quad (7)$$

Proof. We use here the first inequality of (6). Notice that

$$P(T_e \leq t) \leq P \left( A^{-1}(\beta(|\hat{W}_T|)) \leq t \right) = P \left( |\hat{W}_T| \geq \beta^{-1}(A(t)) \right).$$

Equation (8.4) in [8] completes the proof.

For every nonnegative, real number $r$, we let $T_r = \inf\{t > 0 : |W_t| = r\}$. Evidently, $|W_s| \leq r$, for every $s \in [0, T_r]$. 
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Proposition 10 Let $0 \leq t \leq T$. For every $r \geq 0$,
\[
P(T \leq t | T < T) \leq \frac{1 - \Phi \left( r / \sqrt{A^{-1}(B(B^{-1}(A(t))))} \right)}{1 - \Phi \left( r / \sqrt{T} \right)}.
\] (8)

Proof. Notice that $|\hat{W}_T| \geq r$ whenever $T < T$. Moreover, Osgood’s test and the Comparison criterion imply that $Y \geq B^{-1}(A(t))$, for every $t \geq 0$. Using (6), we obtain 
\[
P(T \leq t | T < T) \leq \frac{P(\hat{B}_{B^{-1}(A(T)))} \leq A(t))}{P(\hat{B}_{B^{-1}(A(T)))} \leq A(t))}.
\]
The conclusion follows now from (8) as in Proposition 10.

On physical grounds, the function $Y$ may represent the temporal behavior of the transversal length of a crack failure on some material. In this context, the parameter $x_0$ represents the initial, transversal length of the crack, and $L$ is the transversal length of the material. For practical purposes, one may think of the wing of an airplane which has a fixed transversal length, on which there is a crack with known initial length. In such case, one investigates the dynamics of the length of the crack with respect to time, in order to conduct preventive maintenance on the wing and avoid possible accidents.

Proposition 11 If $L > x_0$, then
\[
P(Y_{L^{-1}} \leq t) \leq 1 - \Phi \left( \frac{B_{B^{-1}(A(t)))} \leq A(t))} \right).
\]

Proof. Let $\tilde{Y}$ the solution of $\tilde{Y}_t = x_0 + \int_0^t a(s)\tilde{Y}_s + |\hat{W}_T|ds$, for every $0 \leq t < T$. By Osgood’s test and the Comparison criterion, $B_{B^{-1}(A(t)))} = \tilde{Y}_t \geq Y_t$. Once again, the conclusion is reached using (8) in the right-most end of the chain of identities and inequalities
\[
P(Y_{L^{-1}} \leq t) \leq P(\tilde{Y}_{L^{-1}} \leq t) \leq P(\tilde{B}_{|\hat{W}_T|} \leq A(t)) \leq 1 - P(\hat{W}_T \leq B_{B^{-1}(A(t)))}.
\]
**Example 12** Let \( x_0, a_0 \) and \( \alpha \) be positive numbers, and let \( a(t) = a_0 \) and \( b(t) = t^{1+\alpha} \), for every \( t \geq 0 \). Observe that \( A(t) = a_0 t \) and, for every \( r \in [0, x_0] \) and every \( x \geq x_0 \),

\[
B_r(x) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \left[ \frac{1}{(x_0 - r)^\alpha} - \frac{1}{x^\alpha} \right],
\]

so that \( T = (a_0 x_0^{\alpha})^{-1} \). By [7],

\[
P(T_e \leq t) \leq 1 - \Phi \left( \frac{(a_0 t)^{-1/\alpha} - x_0}{\sqrt{T}} \right),
\]

for every \( 0 \leq t < T \). In order to estimate the value of \( t \) for which \( T_e \leq t \) with a probability of at most 0.05, Equation [9] yields

\[
1 - \Phi \left( \frac{(a_0 t)^{-1/\alpha} - x_0}{\sqrt{T}} \right) \leq 0.05
\]

whence it follows that \( t = \frac{1}{a_0} [x_0 + \sqrt{T \Phi^{-1}(0.95)}]^{-\alpha} \). Proposition [8] and monotonicity on the integrand imply that

\[
P(T_e \leq t) \leq P\left( B(\infty) \leq \tilde{A}(t) \right) \\
\leq P\left( \frac{1}{a_0 x_0} \leq \int_0^t a_0 \left( \frac{1}{x_0} |\tilde{W}_t| + 1 \right)^{1+\alpha} dt \right) \\
\leq P\left( \frac{1}{a_0 x_0^{\alpha}} \leq a_0 t \left( \frac{1}{x_0} |\tilde{W}_t| + 1 \right)^{1+\alpha} \right) \\
= 1 - \Phi \left( \frac{a_0}{\sqrt{T}} \left( (a_0 x_0^{\alpha})^{1/(1+\alpha)} - 1 \right) \right).
\]

This last estimate of \( P(T_e \leq t) \) is better than that given by [9], in view of the fact that \( a_0 x_0^{\alpha} t > 1 \).
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