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1 Introduction

Calogero–Sutherland model[1] and its various generalizations[2, 3] have been extensively studied and these $1/r^2$ type models are known to describe systems with the generalized exclusion principle in $1 + 1$ dimension[4]. Calogero–Sutherland model describes a system of non–relativistic particles on a circle under the inverse square potential. Its Hamiltonian and momentum are

$$H_{CS} = \sum_{j=1}^{N_0} \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_j} \right)^2 + \frac{\pi}{L} \beta (\beta - 1) \sum_{i,j=1 \atop i < j}^{N_0} \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{L} (q_i - q_j),$$

$$P_{CS} = \sum_{j=1}^{N_0} \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_j}. \tag{1.1}$$

where $\beta$ is a coupling constant. This Calogero–Sutherland model is related to many branches of low–dimensional physics and mathematics; quantum Hall effect[5], 2D Yang-Mills theory[6, 7], matrix model[8, 9], Yangian symmetry[10, 11], Virasoro symmetry[21, 22, 23], $W_{1+\infty}$ symmetry[12], Laplace-Beltrami operator[13, 14], etc. One of the recent remarkable development was the evaluation of some dynamical correlation functions[9, 15, 16, 17, 18]. In these calculation the Jack symmetric functions[19, 20] play a central role, because they describe the excited states of the Calogero-Sutherland model. In the previous works[21, 22, 23, 24], the free field realization of the wave functions, in other words, the integral representations of the Jack symmetric functions is discussed.

Several years ago, Ruijsenaars constructed a relativistic (or lattice regularized) version of the Calogero system[25]. That model is integrable, since it has mutually commuting hermitian operators $\hat{S}_k$ ($k = 1, 2, \cdots, N_0$):

$$\hat{S}_k = \sum_{I \subseteq \{1, \ldots, N_0\} \atop |I| = k} \prod_{j \in I} h(q_j - q_i)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \exp \left( \rho \sum_{j \in I} \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_j} \right) \prod_{j \not \in I} h(q_i - q_j)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{1.2}$$

where $h(q) = (q + \mu)/\sigma(q)$ and $\rho \in \mathbb{R}, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$. Here $\sigma(z)$ denotes the Weierstrass $\sigma$-function defined by

$$\sigma(z) = \prod_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z} \atop (m,n) \neq (0,0)} \left( 1 - \frac{z}{\Omega_{m,n}} \right) \exp \left( \frac{z}{\Omega_{m,n}} + \frac{z^2}{2\Omega_{m,n}} \right),$$

where $\Omega_{m,n} = 2m\omega_1 + 2n\omega_3$ and $2\omega_1$ and $2\omega_3$ denote primitive periods. The relation to the Calogero-Sutherland model is the following:

$$\lim_{\rho \to 0} \frac{1}{\rho^2} \left( \frac{\hat{S}_1 + \hat{S}_{N_0}^{-1} \hat{S}_{N_0}^{-1} - N_0}{2} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^{N_0} \left( \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_j} \right)^2 + \beta (\beta - 1) \sum_{i,j=1 \atop i < j}^{N_0} \varphi(q_i - q_j), \tag{1.3}$$

$$\lim_{\rho \to 0} \frac{1}{\rho} \left( \frac{\hat{S}_1 - \hat{S}_{N_0}^{-1} \hat{S}_{N_0}^{-1}}{2} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^{N_0} \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_j}. \tag{1.4}$$
where we set \( \mu = i\beta \rho \) and \( \wp(z) \) is the Weierstrass \( \wp \)-function given by:

\[
\wp(z) = -\frac{4}{\pi} \zeta(z),
\]

\[
\zeta(z) = \frac{d}{dz} \sigma(z)/\sigma(z).
\]

If we consider the case \( 2\omega_1 = L, \omega_3 = i\infty \), we have:

\[
\wp(z) = \left( \frac{\pi}{L} \right)^2 \left( \frac{1}{\sin^2 \frac{\pi}{L}z} - \frac{1}{3} \right).
\]

Thus the system reduces to the model defined by (1.1).

To solve Ruijsenaars’ system, we need an explicit formula for the simultaneous eigenfunctions of \( \hat{S}_k \)’s. When the \( \wp \)-function degenerates to the trigonometric function, the commuting operators \( \hat{S}_k \)’s essentially degenerate Macdonald’s operators[19]. Therefore, the eigenfunctions are given by the Macdonald symmetric functions. (As for definitions of the Macdonald symmetric functions, see the following sections.) In this article, we construct integral representations of the Macdonald symmetric functions and construct some boson realization schemes of the integral formula. These results are considered as natural deformation theories of the previous works on the Jack symmetric functions[21, 22, 23, 24].

We hope that the general elliptic case may be treated in a similar manner.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we give a short summary of the Macdonald symmetric functions. In section 3 we derive multiple integral representation formulas for the Macdonald symmetric functions by using two types of maps. Moreover using the isomorphism between the ring of symmetric functions and the boson Fock space, we derive the integral representations of the skew Macdonald functions and the Kostka matrix. In section 4 we construct two bosonization formulas for the integral representation of the Macdonald symmetric functions obtained in the section 3. Two cases (\( \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \) and \( \beta \in \mathbb{C} \)) are discussed separately. \( A \)-type structure and finite temperature calculation method are used respectively. Section 5 is devoted to discussions.

2 Brief Review of Macdonald Symmetric Functions

In this section we review some basic facts about the Macdonald symmetric functions [19].

2.1 Notations and the scalar product \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{q,t} \)

Let \( q, t \) be independent indeterminates and \( \Lambda_{n;Q(q,t)} \) be the ring of symmetric functions in \( n \) variables \( (x_i) \) over the field of rational functions in \( q \) and \( t \). We sometimes write \( t = q^\beta \). In the limit of \( q \to 1 \) this \( \beta \) is understood as the coupling constant of the Calogero-Sutherland model. The ring of symmetric functions \( \Lambda_{Q(q,t)} \) is defined by:

\[
\Lambda_{Q(q,t)} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \Lambda_{n;Q(q,t)},
\]

where the projective limit is given by the following homomorphism:

\[
\Lambda_{n+1;Q(q,t)} \to \Lambda_{n;Q(q,t)},
\]

\[
f(x_1, \ldots, x_n, x_{n+1}) \mapsto f(x_1, \ldots, x_n, 0).
\]
There are various bases of the ring $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}(q,t)}$. They are indexed by partitions. A partition $\lambda$ is a sequence $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \cdots)$ of non-negative integers, such that $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots$ and $|\lambda| = \sum \lambda_i < \infty$. The nonzero $\lambda_i$’s are called the parts of $\lambda$, and the number of parts is the length $\ell(\lambda)$ of $\lambda$. For two partitions $\lambda, \mu$, we define $\lambda + \mu = (\lambda_1 + \mu_1, \lambda_2 + \mu_2, \cdots)$.

The natural partial ordering is defined as follows:

$$\lambda \geq \mu \iff |\lambda| = |\mu| \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_r \geq \mu_1 + \cdots + \mu_r \quad \text{for all} \ r \geq 1.$$  \hfill (2.1)

A partition is identified with the Young diagram. The conjugate partition of $\lambda$, whose diagram is obtained by interchanging rows and columns, is denoted by $\lambda^\prime$. $x^\lambda$ stands for the monomial $x^{\lambda_1}x^{\lambda_2}\cdots$.

We give some bases of $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}(q,t)}$:

(i) $m_\lambda = \sum_{\alpha: \text{distinct permutation of } \lambda} x^\alpha$, (monomial symmetric function).

(ii) $p_\lambda = p_{\lambda_1}p_{\lambda_2}\cdots$, (the $r$-th power sum $p_r = \sum_i x_i^r$).

(iii) $e_\lambda = e_{\lambda_1}e_{\lambda_2}\cdots$, (the $r$-th elementary symmetric function $e_r = \sum_{i_1<\cdots<i_r} x_{i_1}\cdots x_{i_r}$).

We endow $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}(q,t)}$ with the following scalar product:

$$\langle p_\lambda, p_\mu \rangle_{q,t} = \delta_{\lambda,\mu} z_\lambda(q,t),$$  \hfill (2.2)

where

$$z_\lambda(q,t) = \prod_{r \geq 1} r^{m_r} m_r! \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\lambda)} \frac{1 - q^{\lambda_i}}{1 - t^{\lambda_i}}, \quad \lambda = (1^{m_1}2^{m_2}\cdots).$$  \hfill (2.3)

with $m_r \equiv \# \{ i \mid \lambda_i = r \}$.

The Macdonald symmetric function is characterized by the following existence theorem:

**Theorem 2.1** \cite{19} For each partition $\lambda$ there is a unique symmetric function $P_\lambda = P_\lambda(x; q, t) \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}(q,t)}$ such that

(A) $P_\lambda = m_\lambda + \sum_{\mu<\lambda} u_{\lambda\mu}m_\mu, \quad u_{\lambda\mu} \in \mathbb{Q}(q, t)$,  \hfill (2.4)

(B) $\langle P_\lambda, P_\mu \rangle_{q,t} = 0 \quad \text{if} \ \lambda \neq \mu$.  \hfill (2.5)

Even though this definition is concise, it is more useful to define the Macdonald symmetric function by introducing an operator which can be regarded as a natural deformation of the Calogero-Sutherland Hamiltonian. The following operator is called as the Macdonald operator:

$$D_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{t x_i - x_j}{x_i - x_j} \right) T_{q,x_i},$$  \hfill (2.6)

where $T_{q,x_i}$ is the $q$-shift operator defined by $(T_{q,x_i}f)(x_1, \cdots, x_n) = f(x_1, \cdots, qx_i, \cdots, x_n)$. The other way to define the Macdonald symmetric functions is the following:
Theorem 2.2 [19] For each partition \( \lambda \) (of length \( \leq n \)), there is a unique symmetric function \( P_\lambda(x; q, t) \in \Lambda_n, Q(q, t) \) satisfying the two conditions:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{(A)} \quad & P_\lambda = m_\lambda + \sum_{\mu < \lambda} u_{\lambda\mu} m_\mu, \quad u_{\lambda\mu} \in Q(q, t), \\
\text{(C)} \quad & D_1 P_\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{n} t^{n-i} q^{\lambda_i} \cdot P_\lambda.
\end{align*}
\]  

(2.7)  

(2.8)

It was shown by Macdonald that \( D_1 \) can be included in a family of mutually commuting operators \( \{D_r | r = 1, \cdots, n\} \). The operator \( D_r \) is defined by

\[
D_r = \sum_{I \subset \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}} t^{r(r-1)/2} \prod_{i \in I, j \notin I} \frac{tx_i - x_j}{x_i - x_j} \cdot \prod_{i \in I} T_{q, x_i}.
\]  

(2.9)

Theorem 2.3 [19] The operators \( D_r \ (r = 1, \cdots, n) \) commute with each other and the Macdonald symmetric function \( P_\lambda \) is the simultaneous eigenvector of these operators with the eigenvalues given by the coefficient of \( X^{n-r} \) in \( \prod_{i=1}^{n} (X + t^{n-i} q^{\lambda_i}) \).

One can notice that Macdonald’s \( D_r \) and Ruijsenaars’ \( \hat{S}_k \) have similar structure.

Here we list some particular cases of the Macdonald symmetric function \( P_\lambda(q, t) \).

(i) When \( t = q \), \( P_\lambda(q, q) \) is the Schur function \( s_\lambda \).
(ii) When \( q = 0 \), \( P_\lambda(0, t) \) is the Hall-Littlewood function \( P_\lambda(t) \).
(iii) \( \lim_{q \to 1} P_\lambda(q, q^\beta) \) is the Jack symmetric function \( J_\lambda(\beta) \).
(iv) When \( t = 1 \), \( P_\lambda(q, 1) = m_\lambda \).
(v) When \( q = 1 \), \( P_\lambda(1, t) = e_\lambda \).
(vi) \( P_\lambda(q^{-1}, t^{-1}) = P_\lambda(q, t) \).

Let \( Q_\lambda \)'s be the dual basis of \( P_\lambda \)'s, that is

\[
\langle Q_\lambda(q, t), P_\mu(q, t) \rangle_{q, t} = \delta_{\lambda, \mu}.
\]  

(2.10)

The following proposition is easily proved:

**Proposition 2.4** We have the following equation:

\[
\sum_\lambda P_\lambda(x; q, t) Q_\lambda(y; q, t) = \Pi(x, y; q, t),
\]  

(2.11)

where

\[
\Pi(x, y) = \Pi(x, y; q, t) = \prod_{i,j} \frac{(tx_i y_j; q)_\infty}{(x_i y_j; q)_\infty}.
\]  

(2.12)
Here we have used the following notation:

\[(a; q)_\infty = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - aq^k) \quad \text{for} \quad a \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (2.13)\]

We remark that \(\Pi(x, y) = \Pi(y, x)\) is a Taylor series in \(x_i\) and \(y_j\) in the region \(|x_iy_j| < 1\).

If we write

\[Q_\lambda(x; q, t) = b_\lambda(q, t)P_\lambda(x; q, t), \quad (2.14)\]

then we have the explicit formula for \(b_\lambda(q, t)\). To state it we need the following notation;

For any \(s = (i, j) \in \lambda\) (\(i\)-th row, \(j\)-th column in Young diagram \(\lambda\)), let us define arm-length \(a(s)\), leg-length \(\ell(s)\), arm-colength \(a'(s)\) and leg-colength \(\ell'(s)\) as follows:

\[
\begin{cases}
  a(s) = \lambda_i - j, & a'(s) = j - 1, \\
  \ell(s) = \lambda'_j - i, & \ell'(s) = i - 1.
\end{cases} \quad (2.15)
\]

**Theorem 2.5** \([19]\) The explicit formula for the coefficient \(b_\lambda(q, t)\) is

\[b_\lambda(q, t) = \frac{1}{\langle P_\lambda, P_\lambda \rangle_{q, t}} \prod_{s \in \lambda} \frac{1 - q^{a(s)}t^{\ell(s)+1}}{1 - q^{a(s)+1}t^{\ell(s)}}. \quad (2.16)\]

**2.2 The dual transformation**

Let us define an automorphism

\[\omega_{q, t} : \Lambda^\mathbb{Q}_{(q, t)} \to \Lambda^\mathbb{Q}_{(q, t)} \quad (2.17)\]

by fixing the action on \(p_r\) as

\[\omega_{q, t}(p_r) = (-1)^{r-1} \frac{1 - q^r}{1 - t^r} p_r \quad (2.18)\]

and extending it naturally. We have the following theorem which describes the duality transformation of the Macdonald symmetric functions.

**Theorem 2.6** \([19]\) For any partition \(\lambda\), we have

\[\omega_{q, t}P_\lambda(q, t) = Q_\lambda(t, q) \quad \text{(or equivalently} \quad \omega_{q, t}Q_\lambda(q, t) = P_{\lambda'}(t, q)) \quad (2.19)\]

It is easy to show

\[\omega_{q, t}^y \Pi(x, y; q, t) = \prod_{i, j} (1 + x_iy_j) \equiv \Pi(x, y), \quad (2.20)\]

where \(\omega_{q, t}^y\) acts on the variable \(y\). Hence we have

\[\sum_{\lambda} P_\lambda(x; q, t)P_{\lambda'}(y; t, q) = \Pi(x, y) \quad (2.21)\]
2.3 The scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle'_{n,q,t}$

Next we consider the properties of another scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle'_{n,q,t}$ that will be defined below. We shall work with a finite number of indeterminates $x = (x_1, \cdots, x_n)$. We set the parameters $q$ and $t$ as $0 < q < 1$ and $0 < t < 1$. Define

$$\Delta(x) = \Delta(x; q, t) = \prod_{i, j = 1 \atop i \neq j}^n \frac{(x_i/x_j; q)_{\infty}}{(tx_i/x_j; q)_{\infty}}. \quad (2.22)$$

In the region $t < |x_i/x_j| < t^{-1} (i \neq j)$, $\Delta$ is a Laurent series in $x_i$'s. For $f, g \in \Lambda_n, Q(q,t)$, we define

$$\langle f, g \rangle'_{n,q,t} = \frac{1}{n!} \oint \prod_{j = 1}^n \frac{dx_j}{2\pi i x_j} \cdot f(\overline{x}) g(x) \Delta(x; q, t) \quad (2.23)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n!} \left( \text{constant term in } f(\overline{x}) g(x) \Delta(x) \right).$$

The following proposition is the most fundamental one.

**Proposition 2.7** [19] The operator $D_1$ is self-adjoint with respect to this scalar product, namely,

$$\langle D_1f, g \rangle'_{n,q,t} = \langle f, D_1g \rangle'_{n,q,t}, \quad (2.24)$$

for all $f, g \in \Lambda_n, Q(q,t)$.

From this proposition we have

**Proposition 2.8** [19]

$$\langle P_\lambda(q,t), P_\mu(q,t) \rangle'_{n,q,t} = 0 \quad \text{if } \lambda \neq \mu. \quad (2.25)$$

Furthermore we have the following conjecture:

**Conjecture 2.9 (Macdonald’s constant term conjecture)** [19]

$$\langle P_\lambda(q,t), P_\lambda(q,t) \rangle'_{n,q,t} = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{(q^{\lambda_i-\lambda_j} t^{j-i}; q)_{\infty} (q^{\lambda_i-\lambda_j+1} t^{j-i}; q)_{\infty}}{(q^{\lambda_i-\lambda_j} t^{j-i+1}; q)_{\infty} (q^{\lambda_i-\lambda_j+1} t^{j-i+1}; q)_{\infty}} \cdot \prod_{i = 1}^n \frac{\Gamma_q(i\beta)}{\Gamma_q((i-1)\beta+1)} \cdot \prod_{s \in \lambda} \frac{1 - q^{\alpha'(s) n - \ell'(s)}}{1 - q^{\alpha'(s) n + \ell'(s) - 1}} \cdot b_\lambda^{-1}(q, t), \quad (2.26)$$

where $\Gamma_q(x)$ is the $q$-gamma function defined by

$$\Gamma_q(x) = \frac{(q; q)_{\infty}}{(q^x; q)_{\infty}}(1 - q)^{1-x}. \quad (2.27)$$

---

1 For $f(x) = f(x_1, x_2, \cdots)$, we define $f(\overline{x}) = f(1/x_1, 1/x_2, \cdots)$. 

---
3 Integral Representation of the Macdonald Symmetric Functions

In this section, we construct integral representation formulas for the Macdonald symmetric functions. We adopt the same idea as that of the case of Jack symmetric functions [21], [22], [23], [24].

3.1 Maps $G_s$, $N_{n,m}$ and an integral formula for $P_\lambda(x; q, t)$

Let us define the map $G_s$ and $N_{n,m}$ as follows:

$$G_s : \Lambda_r, Q(q, t) \rightarrow \Lambda_r, Q(q, t) \quad (3.1)$$

$$f(x) \mapsto (G_s f)(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{r} (x_i)^s \cdot f(x),$$

$$N_{n,m} : \Lambda_m, Q(q, t) \rightarrow \Lambda_n, Q(q, t) \quad (3.2)$$

$$f(x) \mapsto (N_{n,m} f)(x) = \oint \prod_{j=1}^{m} dy_j \cdot 2\pi i y_j \cdot \Pi(x, y; q, t) \Delta(y; q, t) f(y).$$

Here $r, m < \infty$ and $n$ can be equal to $\infty$.

**Proposition 3.1** The actions of $G_s$ and $N_{n,m}$ on the Macdonald symmetric function $P_\lambda$ are as follows:

(i) $P_{(s^r)+\lambda}(x_1, \cdots, x_r; q, t) = G_s P_\lambda(x_1, \cdots, x_r; q, t), \quad (3.3)$

(ii) $P_\lambda(x_1, \cdots, x_m; q, t) = \frac{\langle P_\lambda, P_\lambda \rangle_{q,t}}{m! \langle P_\lambda, P_\lambda \rangle_{m,q,t}^t} N_{n,m} P_\lambda(x_1, \cdots, x_m; q, t). \quad (3.4)$

**Proof** As for (i), we can easily check the conditions (A) and (C) in theorem 2.2. The statement (ii) can be proved as follows:

$$\frac{\langle P_\lambda, P_\lambda \rangle_{q,t}}{m! \langle P_\lambda, P_\lambda \rangle_{m,q,t}^t} \oint \prod_{j=1}^{m} \frac{dy_j}{2\pi i y_j} \cdot \Pi(x, y; q, t) \Delta(y; q, t) P_\lambda(y; q, t)$$

$$= \langle P_\lambda, P_\lambda \rangle_{q,t} \oint \prod_{j=1}^{m} \frac{dy_j}{2\pi i y_j} \cdot \sum_{\mu} Q_\mu(x; q, t) P_{\mu}(y; q, t) \Delta(y; q, t) P_\lambda(y; q, t)$$

$$= \langle P_\lambda, P_\lambda \rangle_{q,t} Q_\lambda(x; q, t) = P_\lambda(x; q, t). \quad Q.E.D.$$
where $r_N > \cdots > r_2 > r_1$. Therefore the partition $\lambda$ is parametrized as follows:

$$\lambda = (s^N) + \cdots + (s^2) + (s^1), \quad (3.5)$$

where $(s^r) = (s, s, \cdots, s)$. For the partition $\lambda$, we assign a set of partitions $\lambda^{(a)} (a = 1, \cdots, N)$ as follows:

$$\lambda^{(a)} = (s^a) + \cdots + (s^2) + (s^1). \quad (3.6)$$

Here we state our main theorem:

**Theorem 3.2** Let $\lambda$ be the partition given by (3.5). We have the following multiple integral representation of the Macdonald symmetric function $P_\lambda(x; q, t) \in \Lambda_{Q(q,t)}$,

$$P_\lambda(x; q, t) = C_\lambda^+ \prod_{a=1}^{N} \prod_{j=1}^{r_a} \int \frac{dx^a_j}{2\pi i x^a_j} \left( x^a_j \right)^{s^a} \cdot \prod_{a=1}^{N} \prod(x^{a+1}, x^a; q, t) \Delta(x^a; q, t), \quad (3.7)$$

where $x_i = x_i^{N+1}$, $r_{N+1} = \infty$ and

$$C_\lambda^+ = C_\lambda^+(q, t) = \prod_{a=1}^{N} \frac{(P_\lambda^{(a)}, P_\lambda^{(a)})_{q,t}}{r_a! (P_\lambda^{(a)}, P_\lambda^{(a)})'_{q,t}}. \quad (3.8)$$

**Proof** Use proposition 3.1 iteratively. $G_s$ adds a rectangle and ${\mathcal N}_{n,m}$ increases the number of variables. Q.E.D.

**3.2 Another integral formula for $P_\lambda'(x; t, q)$**

Next we consider another integral representation of the Macdonald symmetric function $P_\lambda'(x; t, q)$ that is obtained from $Q_\lambda(x; q, t)$ by applying the automorphism $\omega_{q,t}$. Let us introduce one more map defined by

$$\tilde{\mathcal N}_{n,m} : \Lambda_{m,Q(q,t)} \to \Lambda_{n,Q(q,t)} \quad (3.9)$$

$$f(x) \mapsto (\tilde{\mathcal N}_{n,m} f)(x) = \int \prod_{j=1}^{m} \frac{dy_j}{2\pi i y_j} \cdot \tilde{\Pi}(x, \overline{y}) \Delta(y; q, t) f(y).$$

We can prove the following proposition 3.3 and theorem 3.4 in the same way as proposition 3.1 and theorem 3.2, respectively.

**Proposition 3.3** The following equality holds:

$$P_\lambda'(x_1, \cdots, x_n; t, q) = \frac{1}{m! (P_\lambda, P_\lambda')_{m; q,t}} \tilde{\mathcal N}_{n,m} P_\lambda(x_1, \cdots, x_m; q, t). \quad (3.10)$$
Theorem 3.4 Let $\lambda$ be the partition given by (3.5). We have the following multiple integral representation of the Macdonald symmetric function $P_{\lambda'}(x; t, q) \in \Lambda_{Q(t)}$,

$$P_{\lambda'}(x; t, q) = C_\lambda^{-} \tilde{N}_{r_{N+1}, r_N} G_{s_N} N_{r_{N-1}, r_N} \cdots G_{s_2} N_{r_2, r_1} G_{s_1} \cdot 1$$

$$= C_\lambda^{-} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int \prod_{a=1}^{N} \frac{dx_a^a}{x_a^a} (x^a_j)^{s_a}$$

$$\times \prod_{i=1}^{N} (x^{N+1}, x^N; q, t) \prod_{a=1}^{N-1} \prod_{a=1}^{N} (x^{a+1}, x^a; q, t) \prod_{n=1}^{N} \Delta(x^a; q, t),$$

where $x_i = x_i^{N+1}$, $r_{N+1} = \infty$ and

$$C_\lambda^{-} = C_\lambda^{-}(q, t) = \frac{C_\lambda^{+}(q, t)}{\langle P_{\lambda'}, P_{\lambda} \rangle_{q, t}}.$$ (3.12)

3.3 An integral formula for the skew Macdonald functions

Now let us proceed to discuss how to obtain an integral representation of the skew Macdonald functions. To this end, let us start with introducing a boson Fock space $\mathcal{F}$ which is isomorphic to the ring of the symmetric functions $\Lambda_{Q(t)}$ [26, 21]. Define the commutation relations of the bosonic oscillators $a_n$ ($n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$) as follows:

$$[a_n, a_m] = n \frac{1 - q^{1|n|}}{1 - t^{1|n|}} \delta_{n+m, 0}.$$ (3.13)

Let $|0\rangle$ be the vacuum vector such that $a_n|0\rangle = 0$ for $n < 0$ and $\mathcal{F}$ be the Fock space defined by $\mathcal{F} = Q(q, t)[a_{-1}, a_{-2}, \cdots]|0\rangle$. Let $\langle 0|\rangle$ be the dual of $|0\rangle$ i.e., $\langle 0|0\rangle = 1$. Define $\mathcal{F}^* = \langle 0|Q(q, t)[a_1, a_2, \cdots]$.

We can construct an isomorphism $\iota$ between $\mathcal{F}$ and $\Lambda_{Q(t)}$ as follows:

$$\iota : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \Lambda_{Q(t)}$$

$$|f\rangle \mapsto f(x) = \langle 0|C(x)|f\rangle,$$ (3.15)

and an isomorphism $\iota^*$ between $\mathcal{F}^*$ and $\Lambda_{Q(t)}$ by

$$\iota^* : \mathcal{F}^* \rightarrow \Lambda_{Q(t)}$$

$$\langle f| \mapsto f(x) = \langle f|C^*(x)|0\rangle, $$ (3.17)

where

$$C(x) = \exp \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - t^n a_n}{1 - q^n n} p_n \right),$$ (3.18)

$$C^*(x) = \exp \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - t^n a_n}{1 - q^n n} p_n \right).$$ (3.19)
We recall that \( p_n \) is the power sum \( p_n = x_1^n + x_2^n + \cdots \).

We will use the following notation. For any symmetric function \( f \in \Lambda_{Q(t)} \), we assign an operator \( \hat{f} \in Q(t)[a_{-1}, a_{-2}, \cdots] \) and a vector \( |f\rangle \in F \) such that \( \iota(|\hat{f}|0) = \iota(|f\rangle) = f(x) \). In the same way, we assign an operator \( \hat{f}^* \in Q(t)[a_1, a_2, \cdots] \) and a vector \( \langle f| \in F^* \) such that \( \iota^*(|0\hat{f}^*) = \iota^*(|f\rangle) = f(x) \). For example, \( \iota(\hat{P}_\lambda(q,t)|0) = \iota(|P_\lambda(q,t)\rangle) = P_\lambda(x; q, t) \) and \( \iota^*(\langle 0|\hat{P}_\lambda(q,t)) = \iota^*(\langle P_\lambda(q,t)|) = P_\lambda(x; q, t) \). For a product \( f(x)g(x) \), the corresponding state is \( \hat{f}\hat{g}|0\rangle = \hat{f}|g\rangle = |f \cdot g\rangle \). We have the following proposition:

**Proposition 3.5**

(i) Let \( \langle f| \in F^* \) and \( |g\rangle \in F \). We have

\[
\langle f|g\rangle = \langle f(x), g(x)\rangle_{q,t}. \tag{3.20}
\]

(ii) Let \( \langle f| \in F^* \) and \( |g \cdot h\rangle \in F \). We have

\[
\langle f|g \cdot h\rangle = \langle f|C^*(x)|g\rangle, \langle 0|C(x)|h\rangle_{q,t}. \tag{3.21}
\]

**Proof.** We defined the commutation relations of \( a_n \) so that (i) holds. (ii) is proved as follows:

\[
\langle f|g \cdot h\rangle = \langle f|\hat{g}|h\rangle = \langle i|h\rangle
\]
\[
= \langle \langle i|C^*(x)|0\rangle, \langle 0|C(x)|h\rangle \rangle_{q,t} = \langle \langle f|\hat{g}C^*(x)|0\rangle, \langle 0|C(x)|h\rangle \rangle_{q,t}
\]
\[
= \langle \langle f|C^*(x)|g\rangle, \langle 0|C(x)|h\rangle \rangle_{q,t},
\]
where we have set \( \langle i| = \langle f|\hat{g} \in F^* \) (which may be 0), and used (i) and \( \hat{g}C^*(x) = C^*(x)\hat{g} \).

*Q.E.D.*

We remark that in this boson language, for example, proposition 2.4 is a consequence of the completeness condition \( \sum_\lambda |P_\lambda\rangle\langle Q_\lambda| = 1 \).

By theorems 3.2 and 3.4, we have the following bosonization formulas for the Macdonald symmetric function \( P_\lambda(x; q, t) \):

**Proposition 3.6** Let \( \lambda \) be the partition given by (3.5). We have

\[
\hat{P}_\lambda(q,t) = \oint \prod_{j=1}^{r_N} \frac{dx_j}{2\pi i x_j} \cdot F_\lambda^+(x; q, t) \prod_{j=1}^{r_N} \exp \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1-t^n}{1-q^n} \frac{a_n^{-n}}{n} (x_j)^{-n} \right) \tag{3.22}
\]
\[
= \oint \prod_{j=1}^{r_N} \frac{dx_j}{2\pi i x_j} \cdot F_\lambda^-(x; q, t) \prod_{j=1}^{r_N} \exp \left( -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n^{-n}}{n} (-x_j)^{-n} \right), \tag{3.23}
\]
\[
\hat{P}_\lambda^*(q,t) = \oint \prod_{j=1}^{r_N} \frac{dx_j}{2\pi i x_j} \cdot F_\lambda^+(x; q, t) \prod_{j=1}^{r_N} \exp \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1-t^n}{1-q^n} \frac{a_n}{n} (x_j)^{-n} \right) \tag{3.24}
\]
\[
= \oint \prod_{j=1}^{r_N} \frac{dx_j}{2\pi i x_j} \cdot F_\lambda^-(x; q, t) \prod_{j=1}^{r_N} \exp \left( -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n} (-x_j)^{-n} \right). \tag{3.25}
\]
Namely, \( \langle 0 | C(x) \hat{P}_\lambda(q, t) | 0 \rangle = \langle 0 | \hat{P}^*_\lambda(q, t) C^*(x) | 0 \rangle = P_\lambda(x; q, t) \). Here \( F^\pm_\lambda \) is defined by

\[
F^+_\lambda(x^N; q, t) = C^+_\lambda(q, t) \Delta(x^N; q, t) \prod_{j=1}^{r_N}(x_j^N)^{s_N} \times \oint \prod_{a=1}^{N-1} \prod_{j=1}^{r_a} \frac{dx_a^j}{2\pi i x_a^j} (x_a^j)^{s_a} \cdot \prod_{a=1}^{N-1} \prod_{j=1}^{r_a} \prod_{a=1}^{N-1} \Pi(x_{a+1}; x_j^N, q, t) \Delta(x_a^N; q, t), \tag{3.26}
\]

\[
F^-_\lambda(x^N; q, t) = C^-_\lambda(t, q) \Delta(x^N; t, q) \prod_{j=1}^{r_N}(x_j^N)^{s_N} \times \oint \prod_{a=1}^{N-1} \prod_{j=1}^{r_a} \frac{dx_a^j}{2\pi i x_a^j} (x_a^j)^{s_a} \cdot \prod_{a=1}^{N-1} \prod_{j=1}^{r_a} \prod_{a=1}^{N-1} \Pi(x_{a+1}; x_j^N, t, q) \Delta(x_a^N; t, q). \tag{3.27}
\]

Let \( \mu \) and \( \nu \) be two partitions. We define the structure constants \( f^\lambda_{\mu\nu} \) of the ring \( \Lambda_{Q(q, t)} \) by

\[
P_\mu(x; q, t) P_\nu(x; q, t) = \sum_{\lambda} f^\lambda_{\mu\nu}(q, t) P_\lambda(x; q, t), \tag{3.28}
\]

or equivalently,

\[
f^\lambda_{\mu\nu} = f^\lambda_{\nu\mu}(q, t) = \langle Q_\lambda, P_\mu P_\nu \rangle_{q, t} \in Q(q, t). \tag{3.29}
\]

The skew \( Q \)-function is defined by

\[
Q_{\lambda/\mu}(x; q, t) = \sum_{\nu} f^\lambda_{\mu\nu}(q, t) Q_\nu(x; q, t). \tag{3.30}
\]

This is equivalent to the following condition:

\[
\langle Q_{\lambda/\mu}, P_\nu \rangle_{q, t} = \langle Q_\lambda, P_\mu P_\nu \rangle_{q, t}. \tag{3.31}
\]

The skew \( P \)-function is given by \( P_{\lambda/\mu} = b^\lambda b_\mu Q_{\lambda/\mu} \).

Now we are ready to state the boson representation of the skew \( Q \)-function.

**Theorem 3.7** We have the following boson realization of the skew \( Q \)-function.

\[
Q_{\lambda/\mu}(x; q, t) = \langle Q_\lambda | C^*(x) | P_\mu \rangle = \langle P_\mu | C(x) | Q_\lambda \rangle. \tag{3.32}
\]

**Proof.** From propositions 3.5 and 3.6 we have the following:

\[
\langle \langle Q_\lambda | C^*(x) | P_\mu \rangle, P_\nu \rangle_{q, t} = \langle \langle Q_\lambda | C^*(x) | P_\mu \rangle, \langle 0 | C(x) | P_\nu \rangle \rangle_{q, t} = \langle Q_\lambda, P_\mu P_\nu \rangle_{q, t}.
\]

This proves the first equality. The second equality can be proved in the same way. Q.E.D.

As a corollary of this theorem and proposition 3.6, we obtain integral representation formulas for the skew \( Q \)-function.
Corollary 3.8  Let $\lambda$ be the partition given by \( (3.5) \) and $\mu$ be another partition $\mu = (\sigma_{\nu}^{(n)} + \cdots + \sigma_{1}^{(n)})$. We have the integral representation formulas for $Q_{\lambda/\mu}(x; q, t) \in \Lambda_{(q,t)}$ as follows:

\[
b(q, t)^{-1}Q_{\lambda/\mu}(x; q, t) = \oint \frac{dz_j}{2\pi iz_j} \prod_{j=1}^{\nu} \frac{dw_j}{2\pi iw_j} \cdot F^+_\chi(z; q, t)F^+_{\mu}(w; q, t)\Pi(\xi, \eta; q, t) \times \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\Pi(x, \bar{\xi}; q, t) \\
\Pi(x, \bar{\eta}; q, t)
\end{array} \right. \tag{3.33}
\]

\[
= \oint \frac{dz_j}{2\pi iz_j} \prod_{j=1}^{\nu} \frac{dw_j}{2\pi iw_j} \cdot F^-_{\chi}(z; q, t)F^+_{\mu}(w; q, t)\tilde{\Pi}(\xi, \eta) \times \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\tilde{\Pi}(x, \bar{\xi}) \\
\tilde{\Pi}(x, \bar{\eta})
\end{array} \right. \tag{3.34}
\]

\[
= \oint \frac{dz_j}{2\pi iz_j} \prod_{j=1}^{\nu} \frac{dw_j}{2\pi iw_j} \cdot F^+_\chi(z; q, t)F^-_{\mu}(w; q, t)\tilde{\Pi}(\xi, \eta; t, q) \times \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\tilde{\Pi}(x, \bar{\xi}) \\
\tilde{\Pi}(x, \bar{\eta})
\end{array} \right. \tag{3.35}
\]

\[
= \oint \frac{dz_j}{2\pi iz_j} \prod_{j=1}^{\nu} \frac{dw_j}{2\pi iw_j} \cdot F^-_{\chi}(z; q, t)F^-_{\mu}(w; q, t)\Pi(\xi, \eta; t, q) \times \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\tilde{\Pi}(x, \bar{\xi}) \\
\tilde{\Pi}(x, \bar{\eta})
\end{array} \right. \tag{3.36}
\]

Remark. More generally, one can directly prove that the skew Macdonald polynomial can be written in the integral transformation $N_{n,m}$ of eq. (3.2) or in the power-sums $p_n$ as follows:

\[
Q_{\lambda/\mu}(x; q, t) = \frac{(Q_{\lambda}, Q_\lambda)_{q,t}}{m!(Q_{\lambda}, Q_\lambda)_{m,q,t}} \left(N_{n,m}Q_\lambda \mathcal{P}_\mu\right)(x; q, t),
\]

\[
Q_{\lambda/\mu}(p; q, t) = P_\mu(\bar{p}; q, t) Q_\lambda(p; q, t) \cdot 1, \tag{3.37}
\]

for all $m \geq \ell(\lambda)$. Here $\overline{P_\mu(x)} = P_\mu\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$ and $\overline{p_n} = n^{-1}q^\frac{n-1}{1-q} \partial_q$.

3.4 The Kostka matrix

As another application of the bosonization constructed in the last subsection, we will give integral representations of the Kostka matrix $K_{\lambda\mu}(q, t)$. Let

\[
h_{\lambda}(q, t) = \prod_{s \in \lambda}(1 - q^{a(s)}t^{\ell(s)+1}), \tag{3.38}
\]

\[
h_{\lambda}'(q, t) = \prod_{s \in \lambda}(1 - q^{a(s)+1}t^{\ell(s)}) = h_{\lambda}(t, q). \tag{3.39}
\]

So, we have

\[
b_{\lambda}(q, t) = h_{\lambda}(q, t)/h_{\lambda}'(q, t). \tag{3.40}
\]

Let us define

\[
M_{\lambda}(x; q, t) = h_{\lambda}(q, t)P_\chi(x; q, t) = h_{\lambda}'(q, t)Q_\lambda(x; q, t). \tag{3.41}
\]

The $q$-analogue of the Kostka-Foulks polynomial $K_{\lambda\mu}(q, t)$ introduced by Macdonald is defined by

\[
M_\mu(x; q, t) = \sum_{\lambda} K_{\lambda\mu}(q, t)S_\lambda(x; t), \tag{3.42}
\]
where $S_\lambda(x;t)$ is the dual base of the Schur function $s_\lambda(x)$ with respect to the scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{0,t}$. Further let us define the dual base of $S_\lambda(x;t)$ with respect to the scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{q,t}$ by $S_\lambda(x;q,t)$.

We have the following:

**Proposition 3.9** For a partition $\lambda$, we have

$$|s_\lambda\rangle = \oint \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{dx_j}{2\pi i x_j} x_j^{\lambda_j} \cdot \prod_{i<j}(1-x_i/x_j) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{n} \exp \left( \sum_{n>0} \frac{a_n x_j^{-n}}{n} \right) |0\rangle,$$  
(3.43)

$$|S_\lambda(t)\rangle = \oint \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{dx_j}{2\pi i x_j} x_j^{\lambda_j} \cdot \prod_{i<j}(1-x_i/x_j) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{n} \exp \left( \sum_{n>0} \frac{1-t^n a_n x_j^{-n}}{1} \right) |0\rangle,$$  
(3.44)

$$|S_\lambda(q,t)\rangle = \oint \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{dx_j}{2\pi i x_j} x_j^{\lambda_j} \cdot \prod_{i<j}(1-x_i/x_j) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{n} \exp \left( \sum_{n>0} \frac{1-q^n a_n x_j^{-n}}{1} \right) |0\rangle,$$  
(3.45)

$$\langle s_\lambda | = \oint \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{dy_j}{2\pi i y_j} y_j^{\lambda_j} \cdot \prod_{i<j}(1-y_i/y_j) \cdot \prod_{i,j}(1-x_i/y_j)^{-1} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{n} \exp \left( \sum_{n>0} \frac{a_n y_j^{n}}{n} \right) \langle 0 |,$$  
(3.46)

$$\langle S_\lambda(t) | = \oint \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{dy_j}{2\pi i y_j} y_j^{\lambda_j} \cdot \prod_{i<j}(1-y_i/y_j) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{n} \exp \left( \sum_{n>0} \frac{1-t^n a_n y_j^{n}}{1} \right) \langle 0 |,$$  
(3.47)

$$\langle S_\lambda(q,t) | = \oint \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{dy_j}{2\pi i y_j} y_j^{\lambda_j} \cdot \prod_{i<j}(1-y_i/y_j) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{n} \exp \left( \sum_{n>0} \frac{1-q^n a_n y_j^{n}}{1} \right) \langle 0 |.$$  
(3.48)

**Proof.** An integral representation of the Schur function is well known\cite{26}:

$$s_\lambda(x) = \oint \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{dy_j}{2\pi i y_j} y_j^{\lambda_j} \cdot \prod_{i<j}(1-y_i/y_j) \cdot \prod_{i,j}(1-x_i/y_j)^{-1}.$$  
(3.49)

Therefore (3.43) and (3.46) are correct states. Since $\langle s_\lambda | s_\mu \rangle_{t=q} = \delta_{\lambda,\mu}$, we have

$$\oint \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{dx_j}{2\pi i x_j} x_j^{-\lambda_j} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{dy_j}{2\pi i y_j} y_j^{\mu_j} \cdot \prod_{i<j}(1-x_j/x_i) \cdot \prod_{i,j} \frac{1}{1-x_i/y_j} \cdot \prod_{i,j} \frac{1}{1-x_i/y_j} = \delta_{\lambda,\mu}.$$  
(3.50)

Note that $S_\lambda(x;t)$ is independent of $q$. Using above identity and proposition 3.5, we can show the following:

$$\langle S_\lambda(t) , s_\mu \rangle_{0,t} = \langle S_\lambda(t) | s_\mu \rangle_{q=0} = \delta_{\lambda,\mu},$$

$$\langle S_\lambda(q,t) , S_\mu(t) \rangle_{q,t} = \langle S_\lambda(q,t) | S_\mu(t) \rangle = \delta_{\lambda,\mu}. \quad Q.E.D.$$

We remark that we obtain another expressions of these states by using another integral representation of the Schur function\cite{26},

$$s_{\lambda'}(x) = (-1)^{|\lambda|} \oint \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{dy_j}{2\pi i y_j} y_j^{\lambda'} \cdot \prod_{i<j}(1-y_i/y_j) \cdot \prod_{i,j} (1-x_i/y_j).$$  
(3.51)
Since $K_{\lambda \mu}(q,t) = \langle S_{\lambda}(q,t), M_{\mu}(q,t) \rangle_{q,t}$, by using propositions 3.5, 3.6 and 3.9, we can show the following theorem:

**Theorem 3.10** Let $\lambda, \mu$ be partitions; $\mu = (\sigma_{M}^{\rho}) + \cdots + (\sigma_{N}^{\rho})$. Kostka matrix $K_{\lambda \mu}(q,t)$ is represented as follows:

$$K_{\lambda \mu}(q,t) = \langle S_{\lambda}(q,t)|M_{\mu}(q,t)\rangle$$

$$= h_{\mu}(q,t) \oint \prod_{j=1}^{\ell(\lambda)} \frac{dx_{j}}{2\pi i x_{j}} x_{j}^{-\lambda_{j}} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{\rho_{M}} \frac{dy_{j}}{2\pi i y_{j}} (1 - x_{j}/x_{i}) \cdot \prod_{i,j} \frac{1}{(x_{i}/y_{j}; q)_{\infty}} \cdot F_{\mu}^{+}(y; q,t)$$

$$= h_{\mu}(q,t) \oint \prod_{j=1}^{\ell(\lambda)} \frac{dx_{j}}{2\pi i x_{j}} x_{j}^{-\lambda_{j}} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{\rho_{M}} \frac{dy_{j}}{2\pi i y_{j}} (1 - x_{j}/x_{i}) \cdot \prod_{i,j} (-x_{i}/y_{j}; t)_{\infty} \cdot F_{\mu}^{-}(y; q,t).$$

4 **Bosonizations of the Integral Formula**

In the last section, we introduced the Fock space of the boson field to discuss how to obtain integral formulas for the skew Macdonald functions. One may notice, however, the bosonization is something partial compared with the case of the Schur functions [26] and the Hall-Littlewood functions [27], because we only bosonized the variable $x = x^{N+1}$. We consider some total bosonization schemes of the integral representation formula for the Macdonald symmetric functions which was obtained in the last section.

4.1 Firstly we treat the case of $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. In this case, we can bosonize the integral formula by using a similar method to the Jack symmetric function's case discussed in our previous paper [24]. Let us introduce the following bosonic oscillators having $A$-type like symmetry:

$$[a_{n}^{a}, a_{m}^{b}] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } A^{ab} = 0, \\ -n \frac{1 - t^{n}}{1 - q^{n}} \delta_{n+m,0} & \text{for } A^{ab} = -1, \\ n \left(1 - \frac{1 - t^{n}}{1 - q^{n}}\right) \delta_{n+m,0} & \text{for } A^{ab} = 2, \end{cases}$$

and $[a_{0}^{a}, Q^{b}] = \beta A^{ab}$, where $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a, b \in \{1, \cdots, N+1\}$. Here, $A^{ab} = 2\delta^{a,b} - \delta^{a,b+1} - \delta^{a,b-1}$ is the Cartan matrix of $A_{N+1}$ type. Let us define $A$-type boson fields as follows:

$$\phi^{a}(z) = \phi_{\leq 0}^{a}(z) + \phi_{\geq 0}^{a}(z),$$

$$\phi_{\leq 0}^{a}(z) = \sum_{n>0} \frac{a_{n}^{a}}{n} z^{n} + Q^{a},$$

$$\phi_{\geq 0}^{a}(z) = -\sum_{n>0} \frac{a_{n}^{a}}{n} z^{-n} + a_{0}^{a} \log z.$$

The normal ordering $: \phi_{\geq 0}^{a} :$ is defined as moving $\phi_{\geq 0}^{a}$ to the right of $\phi_{\leq 0}^{a}$. The operator
product expansion (in the region $|w/z| < q^{\beta-1}$) is given as follows:

$$\phi^a(z)\phi^b(w) \sim \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{for } A^{ab} = 0, \\
\log\left(z^{-\beta} \prod_{k=0}^{\beta-1} (1 - q^k w/z)^{-1}\right) & \text{for } A^{ab} = -1, \\
\log\left((-z w)^{\beta} q^{-\frac{1}{2}\beta(\beta-1)} \prod_{k=0}^{\beta-1} (1 - q^k w/z) (1 - q^k z/w)\right) & \text{for } A^{ab} = 2.
\end{cases} \quad (4.3)$$

For $\alpha = (\alpha^1, \cdots, \alpha^{N+1})$, let $|\alpha\rangle = \exp\left(\frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{a,b=1}^{N+1} \alpha^a (A^{-1})_{ab} Q^b\right)|0\rangle$, where $|0\rangle$ satisfies $a^a_n|0\rangle = 0$ ($a = 1, \cdots, N+1$ and $n \geq 0$). This $|\alpha\rangle$ satisfies $a^a_n|\alpha\rangle = 0$ ($a = 1, \cdots, N+1$ and $n > 0$) and $a^a_0|\alpha\rangle = \alpha^a|\alpha\rangle$. We also introduce $\langle \alpha|$ as the dual of $|\alpha\rangle$, i.e. $\langle \alpha|\alpha'\rangle = \delta_{\alpha,\alpha'}$.

We can state our result as follows:

**Proposition 4.1** Let $\lambda$ be defined by (3.3). We have the following $A$-type bosonic realization of the Macdonald symmetric function for $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$:

$$P_{\lambda}(x; q, t) = C^{+}_{\lambda}(q, t) \left(-q^{\frac{1}{2}(\beta-1)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=1}^{N} r_a(r_a-1)} \times \int \prod_{a=1}^{N} \prod_{i,j=1}^{r_a} \frac{dx^a}{2\pi i x^a} \cdot \langle \tilde{\alpha}\rangle \prod_{a=1}^{N} \prod_{i,j=1}^{r_a} e^{\phi^a(x^a)} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{r_{N+1}} e^{\phi^{N+1}(x^{N+1})}|\alpha\rangle, \quad (4.4)$$

where $\alpha^a = (r_{a+1} - r_a + 1) + s_a$, $(r_{N+2} = 0)$, $\tilde{\alpha}^a = \alpha^a + \beta \sum_{b=1}^{N+1} A^{ab} r_b$, and $x_i = x_i^{N+1}, r_{N+1} = \infty$ (after calculation).

**Proof** First we remark that for $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ ($t = q^{\beta}$),

$$\Delta(x; q, t) = \prod_{i \neq j}^{\beta-1} (1 - q^k x_i/x_j), \quad \Pi(x, y; q, t) = \prod_{i,j}^{\beta-1} \frac{1}{1 - q^k x_i y_j}. \quad (4.5)$$

A straightforward calculation of the operator product expansion shows that this integrand agrees with that of theorem 3.1. $\quad Q.E.D.$

**4.2**

Next we construct another bosonization scheme which is applicable for the case of $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$. We utilize Jing’s boson field which was introduced to consider the Hall-Littlewood symmetric function $P(x; t)$ having one parameter $t$ [27]. Notice that in this case we will not utilize $A_N$ structure but derive a bosonization formula for $P(x; q, t)$ using finite temperature calculation regarding the parameter $q$ as playing the role of temperature. Let us introduce $N$ copy of boson oscillators $a^a_n$ ($a = 1, 2, \cdots, N$), whose commutation relations are given as follows:

$$[a^a_n, a^b_m] = n \frac{1}{1 - t^{|n|}} \delta_{n+m,0} \delta^{ab}. \quad (4.6)$$

We use the convention $\prod_{i=1}^{n} O_i = O_1 O_2 \cdots O_n$ for non-commuting $O_i$’s.
Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the Fock space of these boson fields: $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{Q}(q, t)[a^a_1, a^a_2, \ldots]|0\rangle$. Normal ordering : $a$ is defined as moving $a^a_n$ to the right of $a^\ast_n (n > 0)$. We define the grading operator $L_0$ as

$$L_0 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - t^n) a^a_n a^\ast_n, \quad n > 0$$

which satisfies $[L_0, a^a_n] = -na^a_n$. We introduce boson fields as follows:

$$\phi^a(z) = -\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}, n > 0} (1 - t^n) \frac{a^a_n}{n} z^{-n}, \quad \phi^\ast_a(z) = \sum_{n > 0} (1 - t^n) \frac{a^\ast_n}{n} z^n. \quad (4.8)$$

Here we state another bosonization formula:

**Proposition 4.2** Let $\lambda$ be defined by (3.3), and let $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$. We have the following bosonization formula for the Macdonald symmetric function:

$$P_\lambda(x; q, t) = C_\lambda^+ (q, t)(q; q) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - t^n) a^a_n a^\ast_n,$$

$$\times \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \Pi_{j=1}^{N} \frac{dx^a_i}{2\pi i x^a_i} \left( x^a_i \right)^s \cdot \text{Tr}_F \left( e^{\phi^a(x^a_i)} : e^{\phi^\ast_a(x^a_i+1)} \right),$$

where $x_i = x_i^{N+1}$ and $r_{N+1} = \infty$.

**Proof** To calculate the trace, we apply the Clavelli-Shapiro’s trace technique [28]. We introduce the boson oscillators $b^a_n$, which satisfy the same commutation relation as $a^a_n$, and commute with $a^\ast_n$, and take the following combinations $(n > 0)$:

$$\tilde{a}^a_n = \frac{a^a_n}{1 - q^n} + b^a_n, \quad \tilde{a}^\ast_n = a^\ast_n + \frac{q^n b^a_n}{1 - q^n}. \quad (4.10)$$

Clavelli and Shapiro’s argument tells us that

$$\text{Tr}_F(q^{L_0} \mathcal{O}) = \frac{\langle 0 | \tilde{O} | 0 \rangle}{\Pi_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^k)^N}, \quad (4.11)$$

where $\mathcal{O}$ is an operator in $a^a_n$, and $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is defined as the operator obtained from $\mathcal{O}$ by replacing $a^a_n$ with $\tilde{a}^a_n$. Then we obtain

$$: e^{\phi^a(z)} : = \left( \frac{q; q}{qt; q} \right)_\infty \exp \left( \sum_{n > 0} (1 - t^n) \frac{a^a_n}{n} z^n \right) \exp \left( \sum_{n > 0} \frac{1 - t^n}{1 - q^n} \frac{a^a_n}{n} z^n \right) \times \exp \left( \sum_{n > 0} (1 - t^n) \frac{b^a_n}{n} z^{-n} \right) \exp \left( \sum_{n > 0} \frac{(1 - t^n) q^n}{1 - q^n} \frac{b^a_n}{n} z^{-n} \right), \quad (4.12)$$

and

$$: e^{-\phi^a(z)} : = \exp \left( \sum_{n > 0} (1 - t^n) \frac{a^a_n}{n} z^n \right) \exp \left( \sum_{n > 0} \frac{(1 - t^n) q^n}{1 - q^n} \frac{b^a_n}{n} z^n \right). \quad (4.13)$$
We have the following OPE’s; in the region $q < |z_2/z_1| < 1$,
\[
:e^{\tilde{\phi}(z_1)} : e^{\tilde{\phi}(z_2)} = \begin{cases} 
:e^{\phi(z_1)+\tilde{\phi}(z_2)} : & (z_2/z_1; q)\infty (qz_1/z_2; q)\infty 
&e^{\phi(z_1)+\tilde{\phi}(z_2)} : & (t/z_2/z_1; q)\infty (qtz_1/z_2; q)\infty
\end{cases}
\]
for $a = b$, \hspace{1cm} (4.14)
and in the region $|w/z| < 1$,
\[
:e^{\tilde{\phi}(z)} : e^{-\tilde{\phi}(w)} = \begin{cases} 
:e^{\phi(z)-\tilde{\phi}(w)} : & (tw/z; q)\infty 
&e^{\phi(z)-\tilde{\phi}(w)} : & (w/z; q)\infty
\end{cases}
\]
for $a = b$, \hspace{1cm} (4.15)

By using these equations, \((q; q)^N - \sum_{a=1}^N r_a (tq; q)^N r_a \text{Tr}_F(\cdots)\) in (4.9) becomes
\[
\prod_{a=1}^N r_a \prod_{i<j} \left( \frac{x^{a_i}/x^{a_j}; q}{(tx)^{a_i}/x^{a_j}; q}\right) \infty \left( \frac{qx^{a_i}/x^{a_j}; q}{(qtx)^{a_i}/x^{a_j}; q}\right) \infty \prod_{a=1}^N r_a \prod_{i=j}^{n+1} \left( \frac{x^{a_i+1}/x^{a_j}; q}{(x^{a_i+1}/x^{a_j}; q)} \infty \right.
\]
\[
= \prod_{a=1}^N \Delta(x^a; q,t) \prod_{a=1}^N r_a \prod_{i<j} \left( \frac{1-tx^a/x^a}{(1-x^a/x^a)} \right).
\] (4.16)

For each $a$ and a permutation $\sigma$, we change the integration variables $x^a_i \to x^a_{\sigma(i)}$. Then by using the identity [19]
\[
\sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{x_{\sigma(i)} - tx_{\sigma(j)} - x_{\sigma(i)} - x_{\sigma(j)} = \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1 - t^k}{1 - t}}.
\] (4.17)

where $S_n$ is the $n$-th symmetric group, the integrand agrees with that of theorem 3.1. Q.E.D.

5 Discussion

In this paper we have obtained integral representations of the (skew-)Macdonald symmetric functions (theorems 3.2, 3.4 and corollary 3.8) and their boson realizations (propositions 4.1, 4.2 and theorem 3.7). The two maps in the proposition 3.1 have played an essential role in our derivation.

Our first physical motivation for this study is calculation of the correlation functions of the Calogero-Sutherland model. The results obtained in this paper and ref. 21, 22, 23, 24 will help us to do it. In particular skew Jack symmetric functions will be useful for higher point correlation functions. Of course, concerning the analysis for the Calogero-Sutherland model, the Macdonald symmetric functions are unnecessary, but sometimes calculation for $q$-deformed quantities is more transparent than the original ones. We have also constructed free boson realizations for the integral representations. These realizations
will also help us in calculation for correlation functions. However, in comparison with the
case of the Jack symmetric functions, these free field expressions are ad hoc in the sense
that they merely give the desired integrands of the integral representations (see the next
paragraph). Another motivation is to solve the Ruijsenaars model, i.e. model with elliptic
potential. At present this problem seems to be difficult yet.

For mathematical interest, we would like to mention the relation between free field
realizations and symmetry algebras. In the case of the Jack symmetric function\cite{21, 22, 21, 24}, this function is realized on the boson Fock space as the state obtained by the
action of screening currents $e^{\alpha \pm \phi_a(z)}$: on the vacuum. This state is the singular vector
of the $W_N$ algebra. On the other hand, in the free boson realization, the $W_N$ algebra is
the commutant of these screening currents $e^{\alpha \pm \phi_a(z)}$: So we have the following natural
question; in the case of the Macdonald symmetric functions, what algebra appears as the
commutant of the vertex operators $e^{\phi_a(x)}$: used in section 4?

After finishing this work, we knew that Frenkel and Reshetikhin constructed certain
$q$-deformations of the Virasoro and $W$-algebras\cite{29} by utilizing the free boson realization
of the quantum affine algebra $U_q(\widehat{sl}_N)$ studied in ref.\cite{30}. It seems interesting to clarify
the connection between our vertex operators introduced in section 4 and the $q$-deformed
algebras.
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