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Molecular insights into AabZIP1-mediated regulation on artemisinin biosynthesis and drought tolerance in Artemisia annua
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Abstract Artemisia annua is the main natural source of artemisinin production. In A. annua, extended drought stress severely reduces its biomass and artemisinin production while short-term water-withholding or abscisic acid (ABA) treatment can increase artemisinin biosynthesis. ABA-responsive transcription factor AabZIP1 and JA signaling AaMYC2 have been shown in separate studies to promote artemisinin production by targeting several artemisinin biosynthesis genes. Here, we found AabZIP1 promotes the expression of multiple artemisinin biosynthesis genes including AaDBR2 and AaALDH1, which AabZIP1 does not directly activate. Subsequently, it was found that AabZIP1 up-regulates AaMYC2 expression through direct binding to its promoter, and that AaMYC2 binds to the promoter of AaALDH1 to activate its transcription. In addition, AabZIP1 directly transactivates wax biosynthesis genes AaCER1 and AaCYP86A1. The biosynthesis of artemisinin and cuticular wax and the tolerance of drought stress were significantly increased by AabZIP1 overexpression, whereas they were significantly decreased in RNAi-AabZIP1 plants. Collectively, we have uncovered the AabZIP1-AaMYC2 transcriptional module as a point of cross-talk between ABA and JA signaling in artemisinin biosynthesis, which may have...
Artemisia annua, a traditional Chinese medicinal plant, is well-known for producing anti-malarial artemisinin. Apart from its irreplaceable function in malaria treatment, artemisinin also shows great potential in the treatment of lupus erythematosus, diabetes, tuberculosis and malignant tumors. With the discovery of new uses of artemisinin, the demand for the chemical is growing worldwide. Artemisinin can be synthesized chemically, and its precursors, artemisinic acid and dihydroartemisinic acid, have also been successfully obtained in Saccharomyces cerevisiae through recombinant microbial pathways, which can realize semi-synthetic production of artemisinin. Unfortunately, these methods are difficult to use for poor Southeast Asian and African countries due to the high cost, and therefore can not be used as a staple method for production of artemisinin. Therefore, A. annua plant is still the primary source of commercial production of artemisinin now and for a long time in the future. Thus it is important to develop A. annua germplasm resources with high artemisinin content and strong tolerance to environmental stress.

Drought is one of the main environmental factors affecting plant growth and crop yield, as the metabolism and productivity are decreased in wheat, rice and maize under extended drought stress. For A. annua, previous research revealed that, while short-term water shortage could increase artemisinin production, long-term drought stress caused a decline in the levels of many metabolites (including artemisinin) and biomass, and induced a decrease in the density and size of glandular trichomes where artemisinin is produced and stored. To cope with drought stress, plants have evolved many sophisticated adaptive mechanisms to modify relevant physiological and cellular traits, such as increasing the accumulation of cuticular wax. Plant organs are covered with a layer of cuticular wax, which acts as a protective barrier to prevent excessive water loss across the primary surface, thereby making plants more tolerant to drought conditions. Cuticular waxes are mainly composed of a series of very long-chain aliphatic compounds, including acyl esters (wax esters), alkanes, aldehydes, fatty acids, alcohols and ketones. Multiple types of enzymes involved in wax biosynthesis have been reported, such as fatty acid hydroxylase (CER), ketoacyl-CoA synthase (KCS) and formate dehydrogenase (FDH), etc. Studies have shown that the expression of genes encoding these enzymes is significantly increased under drought stress, primarily through the activation by stress-induced transcription factors (TFs) in plants. Under drought conditions, drought- and abscisic acid (ABA)-inducible MYB94 and MYB96 enhanced the expression of wax biosynthesis genes, such as KCS and CER, by directly binding to their promoters in Arabidopsis. In addition, the Arabidopsis AP2/DREB transcription factor RAP2.4, which was induced by drought and ABA treatment, directly activated the expression of CER and KCS genes involved in wax biosynthesis in response to drought stress.

Moreover, SIMYB31 and WOOLLY, whose expression was upregulated by drought and ABA treatment, promoted cuticular wax biosynthesis in response to drought stress in tomato. In A. annua, AaTAR1, AaMITA1 and AaHD8 have been reported to promote cuticle wax biosynthesis by positively regulating the transcription of wax-related genes, including AaCER1, AaCYP86A1, AaKCS5 and AaFDH, etc. However, up to now, little is known about the molecular link between drought stress and cuticular wax biosynthesis in A. annua.

bZIP TFs have been reported to play important roles not only in regulating the biosynthesis of secondary metabolites, but also in response to abiotic stress such as drought. For example, drought or ABA treatments induced the transcription of AabZIP1 in A. annua, but the specific roles of AabZIP1 in drought response remains unclear. AabZIP1, a homologue to Arabidopsis AtABF1 involved in ABA signaling pathway, regulates artemisinin biosynthesis in A. annua by directly activating the transcription of two artemisinin biosynthesis genes, AaADS and AaCYP71AV1, through binding to their promoters. But it is not known whether AabZIP1 can regulate the transcription of AaDBR2 and AaALDH1, another two genes involved in artemisinin biosynthesis. Therefore, significant gaps remain in our understanding of the function and the regulatory mode of AabZIP1 in artemisinin biosynthesis as well as in drought tolerance. A. annua is the main natural source of artemisinin production, extended drought stress reduces its biomass and artemisinin production while short-term drought stress can increase artemisinin biosynthesis. Therefore, studying the potential interaction between drought stress and artemisinin biosynthesis in A. annua can provide the theoretical basis for the development of A. annua germplasm resources with strong drought tolerance and high yield of artemisinin.

Jasmonic acid (JA) also plays an important role in regulating artemisinin biosynthesis. In A. annua, AaMYC2, a key JA pathway TF, positively regulates artemisinin biosynthesis in part by directly activating the expression of artemisinin biosynthesis genes AaCYP71AV1 and AaDBR2. But it is not known whether AaMYC2 can also regulate AaADS and AaALDH1, another two genes involved in artemisinin biosynthesis. In addition, the expression of AaMYC2 can also be induced by ABA treatment as in the case of Arabidopsis. However the mechanism of ABA-induced transcriptional upregulation of MYC2 has not been clearly defined either in A. annua.

In this study, we expanded the role of AabZIP1 in A. annua. It was found that AabZIP1 can directly activate AaMYC2 expression by binding to its promoter, and AaMYC2 in turn activates the expression of AaALDH1, which results in increased artemisinin production. These new results showed that AabZIP1 not only activates several artemisinin biosynthesis genes directly, but also play a role in invoking the JA pathway to coordinately enhance the production of artemisinin. In addition, it was found that the AabZIP1-overexpressing A. annua plants exhibited higher drought general implications. We have also identified AabZIP1 as a promising candidate gene for the development of A. annua plants with high artemisinin content and drought tolerance in metabolic engineering breeding.
tolerance, due to increased cuticular wax accumulation. It is revealed that AabZIP1 directly activates the expression of two wax biosynthesis genes (AaCER1 and AaCYP86A1) through binding to their promoters, thus promoting cuticular wax accumulation and leading to higher resistance to drought stress. These results expanded the knowledge for regulatory network in artemisinin biosynthesis and response to environmental stress.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Plant cultivation and stress treatment

Seeds were harvested from wild-type A. annua grown in the experimental field of Southwest University (Chongqing, China) for this study. These seeds were surface-sterilized with 15% sodium hypochlorite solution for 20 min, and then washed three times with sterile water. Subsequently, seeds were germinated on 1/2 MS solid medium at 23 ± 2 °C under a light period of 16-h light/8-h dark. All seedlings were grown in pots with organic substrates in an artificial climate room at 23 ± 2 °C under a light period of 16-h light/8-h dark. Nicotiana benthamiana seeds were sown directly in soil and their growth conditions are consistent with that of A. annua plants. Tobacco plants grown for 4 weeks old were used for dual-luciferase assays.

2.2. Drought and ABA treatments

For drought-induced genes expression analysis shown in Fig. 1, drought stress was performed as described previously36,41,42, by removing the 30-day-old wild-type (WT) plants from water-saturated pots and placing the intact plants on dry filter papers, while the control plants were put on water-saturated filter paper. Leaves were collected at 0, 3, 6, 12 and 24 h after the treatment, and then were frozen immediately in liquid nitrogen. For drought tolerance analysis (Fig. 2), WT, transgenic OE-AabZIP1 (OE-2) and RNAi-AabZIP1 (Ri-1) seedlings were grown in the pots for 45 days under normal conditions. Then plants were water withheld for 12 days, when plants started to show signs of slight water deficiency, and was regarded as the initiation of the drought stress treatment. Plants were photographed every two days. At Day 20 of water withholding, pots were re-watered to re-hydrate the plants, and photographs were taken after 4 days of recovery.

For ABA treatment, 30 days old intact WT A. annua plants were treated with 10 μmol/L exogenous ABA solution containing 0.5% ethanol, or 0.5% ethanol solution as the mock treatment. All leaves of A. annua plants at 0, 3, 6, 12 and 24 h after exogenous ABA and mock treatment were collected, and frozen immediately in liquid nitrogen for subsequent experiments.

2.3. Artemisia annua plant transformation

For obtaining the reconstruction plasmid of pHB-AabZIP1, AabZIP1 was inserted into pHB plasmid driven by double CaMV 35S promoter through BamH1 and Pst1 sites. Meanwhile, a 351-bp fragment of AabZIP1 coding sequence was selected to construct the RNAi vector, pBIN19-AabZIP1. The constructs were separately transferred into Artemisia tumeifaciens strain EHA105 to form engineering strains. These strains were grown on YEP solid medium containing related antibiotics for 48 h. Subsequently, positive monoclonal strain was inoculated into YEP liquid medium containing related antibiotics for culture until the OD600 value of the medium reaches 0.6. The supernatant was discarded after centrifugation, and then resuspended in the 1/2 MS liquid to OD600 = 0.3—0.5, 200 rpm shaking culture at 28 °C for 30 min. Cultured engineered strains were used to transform A. annua via Agrobacterium-mediated transformation as described previously38. After that, the obtained seedlings were transplanted into pots with organic substrates and cultured in an artificial climate room at 23 ± 2 °C under a light period of 16-h light/8-h dark.

2.4. Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR)

The qRT-PCR was performed to analyze all genes expression in this study. The total RNA of these samples from wide-type and transgenic plants were extracted using the total plant RNA Extract Kit (Tiangen, China), and then reversely transcribed into cDNA using FastKing RT Kit (with DNase) FastKing cDNA (Tiangen, China). Subsequently, the cDNA was used as the template to
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Figure 1  Expression analysis of AabZIP1 and wax biosynthesis genes in the leaves of 30-day-old wild-type A. annua under drought and abscisic acid (ABA) treatments. (A–D) Relative expression levels of AabZIP1, AaCER1, AaCYP86A1 and AaKCS5 in plants subjected to drought for 0—24 h, normally watered plants are shown as mock. (E–H) Relative expression levels of AabZIP1, AaCER1, AaCYP86A1 and AaKCS5 in plants under 10 μmol/L exogenous ABA treatment for 0—24 h, 0.5% ethanol solution was used as mock treatment. The data represents the means ± SD (n = 3), *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 in Student’s t-test.
AabZIP1 regulates artemisinin biosynthesis and drought tolerance in *Artemisia annua*

**Figure 2**  Response of wild-type (WT) and AabZIP1-overexpression (OE-AabZIP1) *A. annua* to drought stress and analysis of cuticular wax in *A. annua* leaves. (A) Representative pictures show the phenotypes of 57-day-old WT and OE-2 transgenic *A. annua* plants before drought stress, after drought stress (2, 4, 6 and 8 days) and after 4 day of water recovery while growing in soil. Bars represent 10 cm in all images. (B) SEM images of adaxial side from leaf 7 of 57-day-old WT and OE-2 transgenic *A. annua* plants, the leaf surface of OE-2 line is covered with wax crystals and is smooth, whereas WT leaf surface shows little wax deposition. The bars represent 10 µm in all images. (C) Expression levels of AabZIP1, AaCER1 and AaCYP86A1 in leaves of WT and OE-AabZIP1 transgenic *A. annua*. (D) The contents of cuticular wax components in leaves 6, 7 and 8 from the main stem of WT and OE-AabZIP1 transgenic *A. annua* were analyzed by GC-MS. OE-1, OE-2 and OE-5 are independent lines of AabZIP1-overexpressing *A. annua* plants. The data represents the means ± SD (n = 3). *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 in Student’s t-test.
detect the expression levels of AabZIP1 and wax biosynthesis genes by qRT-PCR experiment. The qRT-PCR amplification conditions were 95 °C for 3 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95 °C for 10 s, 56 °C for 20 s, and 72 °C for 20 s. The β-actin of A. annua was used as the reference gene in this study. The relative expression levels of target genes were calculated using the 2−ΔΔCT method. The primer sequences in qRT-PCR were listed in Supplementing Information Table S1.

2.5. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)

To observe the accumulation of cuticular waxes, scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was carried out using methods reported previously. Leaf-7 (the 7th leaf below the meristem) from 8 weeks old transgenic and wild-type A. annua plants were fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde fixative at room temperature for 5 h, respectively. The samples were washed three times with 0.1 mol/L phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) for 10 min each time, dehydrated for 10 min through a series of alcohol concentration gradient (30%, 40%, 50%, 60%, 70%, 80%, 95%), and then samples were dehydrated three times with 100% ethanol for 5 min each time and dried in a critical point drying device (Leica 011206, Germany). The prepared samples were coated with 20 Å gold particles, and the observation of cuticular waxes was fulfilled by SEM (Phenom-World BV, Phenom Pro010102).

2.6. Plant leaf cuticular waxes extraction and GC–MS analysis

The cuticular waxes of A. annua leaves were analyzed by GC–MS as described before with some modifications. A total of 0.5 g fresh leaves from leaves 6, 7 and 8 from the main stem of 8 weeks old transgenic and wild-type A. annua were collected and thoroughly extracted with 5 mL chloroform for 3 min at room temperature. The supernatant was filtered through a 0.22 μm-size filters and then the solvents were lyophilized through a gentle stream of nitrogen. The resulting residues were dissolved with 500 μL chloroform, and then these mixtures were transferred into 1.5 mL tube and dried again under a gentle stream of nitrogen. The resulting residues were derivatized with a mixture of 100 μL pyridine and 100 μL bis-(trimethylsilyl) tri-fluoroacetamide for 1 h at 70 °C and then 1000 μL n-heptane containing tricosane (as internal standard) was added to dilute the solution. The solution was centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 5 min. The supernatant was analyzed by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC–MS-QP2010 Ultra; Shimadzu) with the temperature program: initial temperature of 70 °C (1 min hold), increase to 160 °C at 10 °C/min, and then ramp to 240 °C at 5 °C/min. Finally, increase to 280 °C at 20 °C/min (17 min hold). Helium was used as a carrier gas and 1 μL sample was injected in split mode; split ratio, 2:1; ion source temperature, 230 °C; ionization voltage, 70 eV with scanning from m/z 33 to 500. Qualitative analysis of wax components was fulfilled by comparing with NIST (National Institute of Standards and Technology) database and Wiley libraries. Single compounds were quantified against the internal standards by automatically integrating the peak areas.

2.7. Measurement of artemisinin and dihydroartemisinic acid

For artemisinin and dihydroartemisinic acid analyses, all mature leaves of 3 months old transgenic and wild-type A. annua plants were collected and drying at 50 °C, and then used for the detection of artemisinin and dihydroartemisinic acid contents using HPLC as described previously. At least three replications were completed. Standard samples of artemisinin and dihydroartemisinic acid were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich in this study.

2.8. Dual-LUC assay

Dual-LUC assays were performed using methods reported previously. The promoter sequences of AaCER1 (MF144191), AaCYP86A1 (MF144190), AaADS (DQ448294), AaDBR2 (KC118523.1), AaALDH1 (KC118525.1) and AaMYC2 (Supporting Information Fig. S4) were cloned and inserted into pGreenII 0800-LUC plasmid to generate pAaCER1:LUC, pAaCYP86A1:LUC, pAaADS:LUC, pAaDBR2:LUC, pAaALDH1:-LUC and pAaMYC2:LUC constructs as reporter vectors, respectively. Subsequently, these reporter vectors were transferred into A. tumefaciens strain GV3101 together with the pSoup plasmid. The AabZIP1 and AaMYC2 were inserted into the pHB plasmid driven by double CaMV 35S promoter as the effector vector and also transferred into A. tumefaciens strain GV3101. Meanwhile, the pHB-YFP (a yellow fluorescent protein construct driven by double 35S promoter) plasmid was transferred into GV3101 as a negative control. All engineering and control strains were inoculated into YEP liquid select medium and cultured overnight at 28 °C. The agrobacterium cells were collected by centrifuge at 4500 rpm for 10 min and resuspended in the MS liquid to OD600 = 0.6 ± 0.05. The acetylsyringone (As, 100 mmol/L, 1:500, v/v) and 2-(N-morpholino) ethanesulfonic acid (MES, 0.5 mmol/L (pH = 5.7), 1:50, v/v) were added to the resuspension and then were injected into tobacco leaves after being placed for 4 h at room temperature. Tobacco plants injected with agrobacterium cells were exposed to weak light for 48 h. A small piece of tobacco leaves (about 2 cm in diameter) was collected to 1.5 mL tube and immediately was ground in liquid nitrogen. The relative LUC/REN activity was tested using Dual-Luciferase® Reporter Assay System 10-Pack (Promega) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

2.9. Yeast one-hybrid assay

To investigate how AabZIP1 regulates the expression of AaCER1, AaCYP86A1 and AaMYC2, yeast one-hybrid assays were fulfilled. The AabZIP1 coding sequence was inserted into pB42AD plasmid containing the GAL activation domain (AD) through EcoRI and XhoI sites to generate pB42AD-AabZIP1 constructs as the prey. The 45 bp fragments containing one ABRE cis-element from AaCER1, AaCYP86A1 and AaMYC2 promoters, named pAaCER1-R1 (~987 to ~943), pAaCER1-R2 (~440 to ~396), pAaCER1-R3 (~147 to ~103), pAaCYP86A1-R1 (~1707 to ~1663), pAaCYP86A1-R2 (~1676 to ~1632), pAaMYC2-R1 (~1034 to ~1027), pAaMYC2-R2 (~998 to ~982), pAaMYC2-R3 (~678 to ~664) and pAaMYC2-R4 (~412 to ~393), were inserted into pLacZ plasmids through KpnI and XhoI sites as the bait, respectively. The pB42AD-AabZIP1 plasmid was co-transformed into yeast strain EGY48 with the above bait constructs, respectively. Similarly, the 45 bp fragments containing one G-box element from AaADS and AaALDH1 promoters, named pAaADS-G1 (~1384 to ~1339), pAaADS-G2 (~474 to ~249), pAaALDH1-G1 (~987 to ~943) and pAaALDH1-G2 (~440 to ~396), were inserted into pLacZ plasmids as the bait, respectively. The pB42AD-AaMYC2 plasmid was co-transformed into yeast strain EGY48.
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3. Results

3.1. Drought stress and ABA treatment induced the expression of AabZIP1 and wax biosynthesis genes

To investigate the role of AabZIP1 in drought and ABA response in *A. annua*, wild type plants were subjected to drought stress or ABA treatment as described in Material and Methods. qPCR analysis showed that AabZIP1 expression was significantly and rapidly upregulated under drought stress or ABA treatment (Fig. 1A and E). In both cases the expression levels of AabZIP1 were induced as early as 3 h after the treatments, and were largely sustained to 12 h, then began to decline. This result was consistent with the previous report\(^6\), further indicating that AabZIP1 is involved in drought and ABA response in *A. annua*.

Several studies have shown that the expression of genes involved in wax biosynthesis is significantly increased under drought stress\(^{16,23}\). In this study, it was found that two wax biosynthesis genes, *AaCER1* and *AaCYP86A1*, were markedly induced under drought stress or ABA treatment, and the highest expression levels of *AaCER1* and *AaCYP86A1* were detected at 6 or 12 h after drought stress and ABA treatment (Fig. 1). These results suggest that drought stress and ABA treatment can increase the expression of wax biosynthesis genes in *A. annua*.

3.2. AabZIP1 promotes tolerance to drought stress and cuticular wax accumulation in *A. annua*

To further explore the function of AabZIP1 in drought response in *A. annua*, transgenic plants overexpressing and suppressing AabZIP1 were respectively generated (Supporting Information Fig. S1). qRT-PCR analysis showed the transcription level of AabZIP1 was dramatically enhanced in AabZIP1-overexpression (OE-AabZIP1) transgenic *A. annua* plants by 9.05–15.64 times, compared to that in wild-type (Fig. 2C). Notably, the expression levels of *AaCER1* and *AaCYP86A1* were also significantly increased by about 9.3–12.4 and 2.5–4.0 times, respectively, in the three OE-AabZIP1 lines when compared with the wild-type plants (Fig. 2C). In the RNAi transgenic lines, the transcript levels of AabZIP1 were decreased by 40%–87%, compared with the control level, whereas the expression of *AaCER1* and *AaCYP86A1* were 51%–83% and 34%–65% lower, respectively (Supporting Information Fig. S2C). For AaKCS5 and AaFDH, overexpression or suppression of AabZIP1 did not significantly alter their transcription levels (Supporting Information Fig. S3).

To investigate whether AabZIP1 conferred the drought resistance in *A. annua* plants, the OE-AabZIP1 (OE-2) and wild-type *A. annua* plants were subjected to drought tolerance test. After 12 days of the last watering, plants were considered under drought stress, and were monitored and photographed as day-0 (Fig. 2A). After 2 days of drought stress, wild-type *A. annua* leaves exhibited slight wilting, while the leaves of the OE-2 *A. annua* maintained flourishing. After 4 days of drought stress, wild-type leaves exhibited moderate wilting, while the leaves of OE-2 plants remained green and flourishing. After 6 and 8 days, wild-type plants were severely withered, while OE-2 plants showed only moderate wilting. Plants were re-watered at this point (day-8 of drought stress), and after 4 days of re-hydration, the OE-2 plants recovered to normal condition, while the wild-type plants failed to recover and died from severe water deficiency. By contrast, the Ri-1 plants exhibited significantly lower drought tolerance than the wild-type plants (Fig. S2A).

The morphology of cuticular waxes on the transgenic and wild-type *A. annua* leaves was examined by SEM. As shown in Fig. 2B, wax crystals deposition was observed in OE-2 leaves, compared with wild-type plants. By contrast, the Ri-1 plants exhibited much less wax deposition than the wild-type plants (Fig. S2B). Moreover, the contents of cuticular waxes in transgenic and wild-type plants were analyzed by GC–MS, OE-AabZIP1 transgenic lines produced cuticular wax compounds at significantly higher levels than wild-type plants (Fig. 2D). Compared with wild-type plants, the hexacosanoic acid product was increased by 43%–119%, heptacosanoic product was increased by 39%–88%, hexacosanol product was increased by 45%–56%, octacosanol product was increased by 43%–63% in the three transgenic lines (Fig. 2D). By contrast, in the RNAi lines, the production of hexacosanoic acid, heptacosanoic, hexacosanol, and octacosanol were decreased by 28%–47%, 33%–71%, 30%–84% and 65%–93% respectively, compared with the wild type (Fig. S2D). The above results indicate that AabZIP1 promotes the accumulation of cuticular wax, which would contribute to the enhanced drought tolerance in the OE-AabZIP1 transgenic *A. annua* plants.
3.3. AabZIP1 transcriptionally activates wax biosynthesis genes AaCER1 and AaCYP86A1 by binding to their promoters

To further study how AabZIP1 regulates the expression of wax biosynthesis genes in A. annua, dual-LUC assays were performed. The promoter sequences of AaCER1 (2040 bp) and AaCYP86A1 (1604 bp) were used to drive the reporter gene in pAaCER1:LUC and pAaCYP86A1:LUC vectors, respectively, while the pHB-YFP (yellow fluorescent protein) plasmid was used as a negative control. When AabZIP1-YFP was co-expressed in N. benthamiana leaves, the promoter activities of AaCER1 and AaCYP86A1 were significantly increased, with the LUC/REN value of pAaCER1:LUC and pAaCYP86A1:LUC increased 7.4- and 2.1-fold than the YFP control (Fig. 3B), respectively. The result indicated AabZIP1 can significantly enhance transcriptional activity of AaCER1 and AaCYP86A1 promoters in tobacco leaves.

It was previously reported that bZIP TFs can directly bind to ABRE (ACGTG) cis-elements in the target gene promoters. Since multiple ABRE cis-elements exist in AaCER1 and AaCYP86A1 promoters (Fig. 3C and D), we evaluate whether AabZIP1 can directly bind to these ABRE cis-elements by yeast one-hybrid (Y1H) assay. As shown in Fig. 3E and F, the results indicated that AabZIP1 can directly bind to pAaCER1-R2 and pAaCYP86A1-R1 ABRE-containing fragments, but not the pAaCER1-R1, pAaCER1-R3 and pAaCYP86A1-R2 fragments. When the ABRE cis-elements in the pAaCER1-R2 and pAaCYP86A1-R1 fragments were mutated to the TTTTG sequences resulting in pAaCER1-m2 and pAaCYP86A1-m1, respectively, the binding signals of AabZIP1 and pAaCER1-m2 and pAaCYP86A1-m1 were markedly weakened or disappeared, validating the specificity of the binding (Fig. 3E and F).

Next, to further confirm the binding of AabZIP1 to ABRE-containing pAaCER1-R2 and pAaCYP86A1-R1 fragments, EMSAs were conducted. The 45-bp fragments containing ABRE cis-elements from pAaCER1-R2 and pAaCYP86A1-R1 were used as probes. The GST-AabZIP1 protein was expressed and purified from E. coli, and GST protein was used as a negative control. Different amounts of unlabeled probes served as competitors to confirm the DNA binding specificity. The results showed that AabZIP1 can bind to the pAaCER1-R2 and pAaCYP86A1-R1 fragments in vitro, as indicated by the shift of the labeled probes (Fig. 3G and H). Moreover, AabZIP1 bound to the ABRE cis-element were markedly weakened and by unlabeled competitor probes in a concentration-dependent manner. Taken together, these results demonstrate that AabZIP1 enhances AaCER1 and AaCYP86A1 transcription most likely by directly binding to the specific ABRE motifs in their promoters.

3.4. AabZIP1 enhanced the transcription of AaDBR2 and AaALDH1 by directly activating AaMYC2 expression

It has been established that AabZIP1 mediates ABA-induced artemisinin biosynthesis in part by directly activating the transcription of AaADS and AaCYP71AV1. Artemisinin biosynthesis also requires two downstream enzymes encoded by AaALDH2 and AaALDH1. In this study, we examined the expression levels of all four genes involved in artemisinin biosynthesis in transgenic and wild-type plants. qPCR results showed the expression levels of AaDBR2 and AaALDH1, in addition to AaADS and AaCYP71AV1, were significantly increased in OE-AabZIP1 lines compared to that in wild-type plants (Fig. 4A). By contrast, the expression levels of AaADS, AaCYP71AV1, AaDBR2 and AaALDH1 were significantly suppressed in the RNAi-AabZIP1 lines (Fig. S4A). Furthermore, compared with the wild-type, the artemisinin and dihydroartemisinic acid contents were significantly increased in OE-AabZIP1 and decreased in RNAi-AabZIP1 lines (Fig. 4B and Fig. S4B), respectively. The effect of AabZIP1 on the transcriptional activity of AaDBR2 and AaALDH1 promoters was further evaluated in tobacco leaves by dual-LUC assays. As expected, the transcriptional activity of AaDBR2 and AaALDH1 promoters were enhanced by AabZIP1, and their activities are increased by 3.5- and 6.7-fold, respectively (Fig. 4C and D).

As revealed by sequence analysis, there are four ABRE cis-elements in AaDBR2 and two in AaALDH1 promoters (Fig. 4E and F). The fragments containing these individual ABRE cis-elements were separately inserted into pLacZ vectors for Y1H assays. Unexpectedly, Y1H results indicated AabZIP1 did not bind to any of the ABRE cis-elements from AaDBR2 and AaALDH1 promoters (Fig. 4G and H). Based on these results, we infer that the regulatory mode in which AabZIP1 upregulates AaDBR2 and AaALDH1 expression may be different from how it regulates AaADS and AaCYP71AV1 or the wax biosynthesis genes AaCER1 and AaCYP86A1.

AaMYC2 is a key JA responsive TF that has been shown to regulate artemisinin biosynthesis. AaMYC2 is known to be transcriptionally induced by ABA; furthermore, previous studies and our data given by Y1H and Dual-LUC revealed that AabZIP1 indirectly upregulated the expression of AaDBR2, and AaDBR2 was directly transactivated by AaMYC2. These results suggested AaMYC2 might be a regulating target of AabZIP1, the key ABA-responsive TF in A. annua. So it is interesting whether AaMYC2 is regulated by AabZIP1. Then, the promoter sequence of AaMYC2 was isolated and analyzed, and four ABRE cis-elements in AaMYC2 promoter were identified through promoter prediction software (Supporting Information Fig. S5). It was found that OE-AabZIP1 transgenic lines exhibited 3.1-5.1-fold higher expression level of AaMYC2 compared to the wild-type by qRT-PCR (Fig. 5A). By contrast, the transcript levels of AaMYC2 were significantly suppressed in RNAi-AabZIP1 lines (Fig. S4A). These results prompted us to hypothesize that AabZIP1 may be the transactivator of AaMYC2, which would in turn activate the expression of AaDBR2 and AaALDH1. Dual-LUC assays in tobacco leaves showed that the transcriptional activity of AaMYC2 promoter was activated by AabZIP1, resulting in an increase of 3.7-fold compared with the control (Fig. 5B and C). Y1H results showed that AabZIP1 did not bind to the AaMYC2-R2 fragment but not the other three ABRE motifs in the AaMYC2 promoter, and that the mutations in the R2 element (pAaMYC2-mR2) abolished the binding of AabZIP1 (Fig. 5D and E). The EMSA assay further confirmed that AabZIP1 could specifically bind to the ABRE cis-element of pAaMYC2-R2 in vitro (Fig. 5F). Together these results indicate that AabZIP1 directly activates the transcription of AaMYC2 by binding to its promoter.

It has been reported that AaMYC2 upregulates the expression of AaCYP71AV1 and AaDBR2 by binding to their promoters, thus promoting artemisinin biosynthesis. Although the expression of AaADS and AaALDH1 are also markedly increased in AaMYC2-overexpressing A. annua lines, how these genes are regulated by AaMYC2 is unclear. Herein, Dual-LUC assays were used to investigate the mechanism of how AaMYC2 regulated the expression of AaADS and AaALDH1. The effectors (35S::YFP and 35S::AaMYC2) along with the reporters (pAaADS:LUC and pAaALDH1:LUC) (Fig. 6A) were transiently co-expressed in the N. benthamiana leaf cells. The results showed that AaMYC2...
activated the transcriptional activity of \textit{AaALDH1} promoter, but not the \textit{AaADS} promoter in tobacco leaves (Fig. 6B and C). Correspondingly, Y1H assays showed \textit{AaMYC2} was able to specifically bind to the G-box motif of p\textit{AaALDH1-G2} fragment from the \textit{AaALDH1} promoter (Fig. 6G), but was unable to bind to the G-box-containing p\textit{AaADS-G1} and p\textit{AaADS-G2} fragments from the \textit{AaADS} promoter in yeast cells (Fig. 6F). The EMSA assay further confirmed that \textit{AaMYC2} could specifically bind to the G-box motif of p\textit{AaALDH1-G2} \textit{in vitro} (Fig. 6H). These results strongly suggest that \textit{AaALDH1} is also a direct
transcriptional target of AaMYC2, in addition to AaCYP71AV1 and AaDBR2. Taken together, the above results indicate that AabZIP1 could directly activate the transcription of AaMYC2 by binding to its promoter, thus enhancing the expression of artemisinin biosynthesis genes.

4. Discussion

Our study reported that AabZIP1 plays a dual role in response to drought: enhancing wax production to tolerate drought conditions, and increasing artemisinin biosynthesis. We have identified...
several new transcriptional targets of AabZIP1 in these two pathways. In particular, AabZIP1 is capable of directly binding to AaMYC2 promoter and stimulating its transcription, thereby activating the JA pathway to coordinate the artemisinin production. This finding identifies AabZIP1 as a key player in mediating the cross talk between ABA and JA pathways in *A. annua*. It remains to be seen whether ABF1, the *Arabidopsis* homolog to AabZIP1 plays a similar role in ABA-JA cross-talk in *Arabidopsis* and what physiological consequences it may cause.

4.1. AabZIP1 improves drought resistance of *A. annua* by promoting cuticular wax biosynthesis

While interacting with the external environment, plants respond to stress cues through various regulatory mechanisms to help them better cope with the stress. The accumulation of cuticular wax across the surface of plant organs is an important strategy for plants to reduce non-stomatal water loss. The expression of wax biosynthesis genes and cuticular wax content are increased...
markedly under drought stress in order to prevent water loss. In *A. annua*, *AaCER1*, *AaCYP86A1*, *AaKCS5* and *AaFDH* are closely correlated with wax biosynthesis, but the connection between these wax-related genes and drought stress is far from clear. The expression of *AtCER1* and *AtKCS* were induced by drought stress in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Similarly, we found that the expression of multiple wax biosynthesis genes (*AaCER1*, *AaKCS5* and *AaCYP86A1*) in wild type *A. annua* were significantly up-regulated under drought stress at a slower rate than that the induction of *AabZIP1* (Fig. 1). However, the expression of *AaFDH* was not induced by drought stress (Supporting Information Fig. S6). This was not unexpected, given the complexity for wax component involved in drought response.

The ABA signaling is induced in the response of plants to drought stress. In *A. annua*, *AaCER1*, *AaCYP86A1*, *AaKCS5* and *AaFDH* are closely correlated with wax biosynthesis, but the connection between these wax-related genes and drought stress is far from clear. The expression of *AtCER1* and *AtKCS* were induced by drought stress in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Similarly, we found that the expression of multiple wax biosynthesis genes (*AaCER1*, *AaKCS5* and *AaCYP86A1*) in wild type *A. annua* were significantly up-regulated under drought stress at a slower rate than that the induction of *AabZIP1* (Fig. 1). However, the expression of *AaFDH* was not induced by drought stress (Supporting Information Fig. S6). This was not unexpected, given the complexity for wax component involved in drought response.

The ABA signaling is induced in the response of plants to drought stress. In *A. annua*, *AaCER1*, *AaCYP86A1*, *AaKCS5* and *AaFDH* are closely correlated with wax biosynthesis, but the connection between these wax-related genes and drought stress is far from clear. The expression of *AtCER1* and *AtKCS* were induced by drought stress in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Similarly, we found that the expression of multiple wax biosynthesis genes (*AaCER1*, *AaKCS5* and *AaCYP86A1*) in wild type *A. annua* were significantly up-regulated under drought stress at a slower rate than that the induction of *AabZIP1* (Fig. 1). However, the expression of *AaFDH* was not induced by drought stress (Supporting Information Fig. S6). This was not unexpected, given the complexity for wax component involved in drought response.

The ABA signaling is induced in the response of plants to drought stress.

---

**Figure 6**  
*AaMYC2* directly and positively activates the transcription of *AaALDH1* rather than *AaADS*. (A) Diagrams of reporter and effector constructs in transient dual-luciferase assays (REN, renilla luciferase; LUC, firefly luciferase). (B–C) Effects of *AaMYC2* on activities of the *AaADS* and *AaALDH1* promoters in *N. benthamiana* cells using the constructs shown in (A). The YFP effector was used as a negative control, and the LUC/REN ratios of YFP were set as 1, the data represents the means ± SD (*n* = 3), **P < 0.01 in Student’s *t*-test. (D–E) Schematic diagrams of the *AaADS* and *AaALDH1* promoters. The positions of potential G-box elements (MYC binding site) are shown as black circles and are numbered based on their distance from the translational start site (ATG), which is set as +1. (F–G) Y1H assay showed that *AaMYC2* binds to the *pAaALDH1*-G2 of the *AaALDH1* promoter. The G-box (CACGTA) motifs in the *pAaALDH1*-G2 fragment were mutated to the TTTTTA sequences resulting in *pAaALDH1*-mG2. Blue plaques indicate protein-DNA interactions. The Y1H assays were repeated three times, and representative results are shown. (H) EMSA assays showing that *AaMYC2* binds to the *pAaALDH1*-G2 sequence from *AaALDH1* promoter. The GST-*AaMYC2* fusion protein and labeled probe were used. The GST protein was used as a negative control. Unlabeled *pAaMYC2*-R2 sequence was used as the competitor DNA at molar ratios of 1×; 10× and 20×.
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and AaCYP86A1 were markedly up-regulated under ABA treatment (Fig. 1). In *Arabidopsis*, a number of TFs, such as the AP2/EREBP, MYB, and WRKY types, have been implicated in wax biosynthesis in response to drought. In ABA-induced genes expression analysis, we noted that the time points of the highest expression of AabZIP1 occurred earlier than those of AaCER1 and AaCYP86A1, which indicated that AabZIP1 has the potential to regulate the expression of AaCER1 and AaCYP86A1. Indeed, AabZIP1 can recognize and bind to the specific ABRE motifs in the promoters of AaCER1 and AaCYP86A1, and activate their gene expression (Fig. 3). This conclusion is further supported by the AabZIP1 over-expression studies, as the expression of AaCER1 and AaCYP86A1 were significantly up-regulated, and the accumulations of cuticular wax compounds, including alkanes, fatty acids and alcohols, increased markedly in OE-AabZIP1 transgenic lines. OE-AabZIP1 lines showed stronger drought tolerance than the wild-type plants (Fig. 2), which was probably resulted from increased cuticle wax biosynthesis and wax deposition on the leave surface. Meanwhile, the results given by suppression of AabZIP1 also supported the above conclusion. Our findings identify AabZIP1 as, to our knowledge, the first bZIP TF that directly binds and targets cuticle wax biosynthesis genes and confers drought tolerance in plants.

4.2. AabZIP1 promotes artemisinin biosynthesis in part by stimulating the expression of JA responsive transcription factor AaMYC2

Artemisinin and its derivatives can rapidly kill Plasmodium parasites, thereby effectively treating malaria, but the current production of artemisinin is insufficient to meet the global demand. Previous research has shown that ABA enhances artemisinin content by promoting the expression of four key enzyme genes, AaADS, AaCYP71AV1, AaDBR2 and AaALDH1, involved in artemisinin biosynthesis, but our understanding on the mechanisms of their gene regulation is incomplete. TFs play important roles in plants by controlling the expression of genes involved in secondary metabolic pathways. AabZIP1 is a critical regulator of ABA-induced artemisinin biosynthesis. Herein, we found that the expression levels of artemisinin biosynthesis genes, AaADS, AaCYP71AV1, AaDBR2 and AaALDH1, were all significantly increased in OE-AabZIP1 and decreased in RNAi-AabZIP1 lines (Fig. 4 and Fig. S4), respectively. However, the exact mechanism by which AabZIP1 regulates these genes is different.

Previous research showed that AabZIP1 directly regulates the transcription of AaADS and AaCYP71AV1 by binding to the ABRE cis-elements on their promoters. In this study, we found that AabZIP1 does not bind to the ABRE cis-elements on AaDBR2 and AaALDH1 promoters (Fig. 4), which indicates that AabZIP1 upregulates AaDBR2 and AaALDH1 expression may be different from how it regulates AaADS and AaCYP71AV1. It is possible that the flanking sequences near ABRE cis-element on AaDBR2 and AaALDH1 promoters are not optimal for TF binding, and therefore AabZIP1 could not directly activate their expression.

Beside AabZIP1, the JA signaling master transcription factor AaMYC2 also plays a key role in promoting ABA- and JA-induced artemisinin biosynthesis, and it acts in part by directly regulating the expression of AaCYP71AV1 and AaDBR2 on their promoters. AaMYC2 is an integrator and regulator for ABA and JA signaling pathways in plants. The induction of AaMYC2 by ABA

---

**Figure 7** Proposed working model illustrating how the AabZIP1 enhances drought resistance and regulates artemisinin biosynthesis in response to ABA signaling. AabZIP1 and AaMYC2 TFs are positive regulators of artemisinin biosynthesis, which are positively activated by ABA or JA. AabZIP1 can bind to and activates the AaADS and AaCYP71AV1 promoters. AaMYC2 promotes artemisinin biosynthesis by positively regulating AaCYP71AV1 and AaDBR2 transcription, and AaMYC2 directly activate the expression of AaALDH1. AabZIP1 and AaMYC2 directly activate the expression of their common target gene AaCYP71AV1. Meanwhile, AabZIP1 can activate the expression of AaDBR2 and AaALDH1 indirectly via AaMYC2 to promote artemisinin production. In addition, the transcription of AabZIP1 is positively activated by drought stress and ABA, and AabZIP1 can directly transactivate AaCER1 and AaCYP86A1 to promote cuticular wax biosynthesis, which would contribute to the enhanced drought tolerance in the OE-AabZIP1 transgenic *A. annua* plants.
indirectly regulates the transcription of AaCYP71AV1 and AaALDH1 via the activation of AaMYC2. In an apparently parallel pathway, AabZIP1 has also been shown to promote AaDBR2 and AaALDH1 expression by regulating the transcription of AaTCP14 and AaTCP15. It seems that both the AabZIP-AaMYC2 pathway or the AabZIP1-AaTCP14/15 pathway may result in the activation of AaDBR2 and AaALDH1. The relationship and circumstances of these two pathways remain to be investigated. In view of the fact that the expression of AaMYC2 was up-regulated after ABA treatment, our study uncovered the AabZIP1-AaMYC2-CYP71AV1/DBR2/ALDH1 transcriptional regulatory module that is activated by ABA and connected to the JA pathway, enabling the AaMYC2-mediated transcription network part of the ABA responses in artemisinin biosynthesis. While this study revealed that AabZIP1 activation of AaMYC2 is a key point of the cross-talk in the ABA and JA signaling pathways involving artemisinin biosynthesis, it reasonable to suggest that the bZIP-MYC2 transcriptional module may have a general role in the ABA-JA signaling cross-talk in other plants or physiological responses.

5. Conclusions

In this study, it was found that AabZIP1 up-regulates AaMYC2 expression through direct binding to its promoter, and AaMYC2 binds to the promoter of AaALDH1 to activate its transcription, suggesting that AabZIP1 could positively regulates the transcription of artemisinin biosynthesis genes indirectly via AaMYC2. In addition, in response to drought stress or ABA, AabZIP1 transcriptionally activates wax biosynthesis genes to promote the accumulation of cuticular wax, resulting in enhanced drought tolerance in A. annua. Taken together previous knowledge and results from this study, we illustrate the roles of AabZIP1 in regulating artemisinin biosynthesis and wax biosynthesis in response to drought stress (Fig. 7).
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