Soluble Melanoma Cell Adhesion Molecule (sMCAM/sCD146) Promotes Angiogenic Effects on Endothelial Progenitor Cells through Angiomotin*
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**Background:** Soluble melanoma cell adhesion molecule (sMCAM/sCD146) promotes angiogenic effects on endothelial progenitor cells (EPC).

**Results:** sCD146 binds angiostatin in EPC and triggers the activation of different signaling pathways. Silencing angiostatin prevents this activation and angiogenic effects.

**Conclusion:** Angiomotin is identified as a novel binding partner of sCD146.

**Significance:** Angiomotin mediates the angiogenic effects of sCD146.

The melanoma cell adhesion molecule (CD146) contains a circulating proteolytic variant (sCD146), which is involved in inflammation and angiogenesis. Its circulating level is modulated in different pathologies, but its intracellular transduction pathways are still largely unknown. Using peptide pulldown and mass spectrometry, we identified angiostatin as a sCD146-associated protein in endothelial progenitor cells (EPC). Interaction between angiostatin and sCD146 was confirmed by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), homogeneous time-resolved fluorescence, and binding of sCD146 on both immobilized recombinant angiostatin and angiostatin-transfected cells. Silencing angiostatin in EPC inhibited sCD146 angiogenic effects, *i.e.* EPC migration, proliferation, and capacity to form capillary-like structures in Matrigel. In addition, sCD146 effects were inhibited by the angiostatin inhibitor angiostatin and competition with recombinant angiostatin. Finally, binding of sCD146 on angiostatin triggered the activation of several transduction pathways that were identified by antibody array. These results delineate a novel signaling pathway where sCD146 binds to angiostatin to stimulate a proangiogenic response. This result is important to find novel target cells of sCD146 and for the development of therapeutic strategies based on EPC in the treatment of ischemic diseases.

CD146 is a junctional adhesion molecule belonging to the immunoglobulin superfamily, which contains a proteolytic variant detectable in the human serum (sCD146).

The level of this soluble form has been shown to be modulated in different pathologies, such as inflammatory bowel diseases (1), pathological pregnancies (2), or chronic renal failure (3). However, until recently, its physiological role was unknown. In a previous report, we have shown that sCD146 is involved in inflammation by specifically binding to monocytes and thereby stimulating transendothelial migration (4). We have further shown that sCD146 is also involved in angiogenesis (5). Thus, sCD146 exhibited chemotactic activity on different cell types involved in vessel formation, as smooth muscle cells and endothelial cells, including progenitor endothelial cells (EPC). In addition, treatment of EPC with sCD146 led to an increased migration, proliferation, and capacity to form capillary-like structures in Matrigel (5), suggesting the presence of a membrane-associated binding partner of sCD146 on endothelial cells. Finally, using an animal model of hind limb ischemia, we showed that local treatment with sCD146 led to the recruitment of EPC and to an increase in blood flow and neovessel formation (5).

Although sCD146 was described a few decades ago, its endothelial binding partner and its intracellular signaling pathways are still unknown. It has been reported that CD146 functioned as a homophilic adhesion molecule, suggesting a possible interaction between sCD146 and membrane CD146. However, to the best of our knowledge, biochemical evidence for homophilic interaction is lacking. Using different approaches, including surface plasmon resonance (4), we were unable to demonstrate an interaction between soluble and membrane CD146.
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These results led us to hypothesize that sCD146 does not interact with membrane CD146 but with an unidentified membrane-associated protein. This hypothesis was also supported by the fact that sCD146 binds monocytes (4), which do not express CD146. In this study, we have used peptide pulldown of sCD146 in EPC lysates to identify potential target proteins. We present evidence that the proangiogenic effects of sCD146 are mediated via its binding to angiomotin.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Peptide Pulldown and Mass Spectrometry—Peptide pull-down experiments were performed using recombinant sCD146 (rsCD146) as a bait in an EPC lysate under non-denaturing conditions. After electrophoresis, proteins were identified by peptide mass fingerprinting and MALDI mass spectrometry as described previously (6).

ELISA—To examine interaction between sCD146 and angiomotin, an ELISA was developed. Angiomotin (1 μg/well) capture was explored using a solid phase absorbed rsCD146 at 1 μg/well. Icos receptor (1 μg/well) was used as a control. Anti-angiomotin, anti-CD146, or anti-Icos receptor antibodies coupled to anti-HRP antibody were used to reveal the interaction.

Homogeneous Time-resolved Fluorescence (HTRF) assay—The HTRF assay was performed according to a protocol from CisBio Bioassays (Codolet, France). The following reagents were added sequentially into a 96-well half-area plate: 25 ng/well of anti-GST-europium cryptate, and 25 μl anti-Myc-d2. Reactions in a final volume of 100 μl were incubated overnight at 4 °C before reading the HTRF signals using a microplate reader (Polarscan; BMG Labtech).

Cell Culture of HUVEC and EPC—Human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVEC) were prepared from human umbilical cord samples collected in compliance with French legislation as described previously (4). Late EPC were prepared from human umbilical cord blood samples collected from donors, in compliance with French legislation as described previously (5, 7). Briefly, cord blood mononuclear cells were isolated by density gradient centrifugation. They were then preplated in RPMI/10% fetal calf serum for 24 h in plastic flasks before non-adherent cells were isolated and grown in culture. Both EPC and HUVEC were plated onto collagen-coated well plates and maintained in endothelial basal medium 2 (EBM-2) supplemented with EGM-2 SingleQuots (Clonetics, Walkersville, MD). For expansion of EPC and HUVEC, colonies were trypsinized, and cells were replated on plates or Lab-Tek slides depending on the experiment. Cells were maintained under standard conditions (humidified atmosphere, 5% CO2, 37 °C) and used non-confluent (migratory phenotype) in the experiments. In stimulation experiments, cells were starved for 3 h in EBM-2 and then stimulated with either rsCD146 or recombinant human angiotatin as a function of the experiment.

Endothelial Cell Tube Formation in Matrigel—The 96-well plates were precoated with 1:1 mixture of cold Matrigel Basement Membrane (10 mg/ml, BD Biosciences); EBM-2 medium. After 45 min of polymerization at 37 °C, EPC were plated at 104 cells/well in EBM-2 supplemented or not with rsCD146. After 6 h, pictures of representative fields were taken for each condition under an inverted microscope at 400× magnification. Capillary tube formation was evaluated by measuring the total number of tubes per field with the Lucia software (Nikon).

Cell Proliferation Assay—EPC were seeded on 96-well plates (5.103/well) and cultured in EGM-2 medium for 3 days. Cells were then preincubated for 2 h in EBM-2 medium. Cell proliferation was assayed by 5-bromo-2′-deoxy-uridine (BrdU) incorporation into cellular DNA using the BrdU labeling and detection kit III (Roche Applied Science). In brief, cells were incubated 12 h with BrdU-labeling solution in EBM-2 medium in the absence or presence of rsCD146 and fixed. Cellular DNA was partially digested by nuclease treatment, and incorporated BrdU was detected with a peroxidase-conjugated anti-BrdU primary antibody. The absorbance was measured at 450 nm using a microplate reader. Results were expressed as arbitrary units. Experiments were performed in triplicates.

Wound Healing Assay—A reproducible wound was performed with a pipette tip on a confluent monolayer of EPC cultured on 24-well plates. The surface of the wound was measured at 400× magnification using an Olympus inverted microscope and acquired with the Biocom Visiolab image analysis software (Les Ulis, France). The medium was removed, and EPC were incubated for 6 h with EBM-2 medium containing or not rsCD146. Cell wound repair was calculated by subtracting the wound area measured after 6 h of incubation with rsCD146 from the area of the original wound. Results were expressed as a percentage of the area of the original wound, considered as 100%.

Immunofluorescence Experiments—EPC were fixed with 3% formaldehyde in PBS for 30 min at room temperature and permeabilized or not with 0.2% Triton X-100 as a function of the experiments. After washing with PBS and incubation with blocking reagent (5% BSA in PBS) for 15 min, cells were labeled with rsCD146-FITC, angiotatin was coupled to an anti-angiotatin Ab and secondary FITC-labeled Ab and irrelevant IgG1-FITC Ab (20 μg/ml) without permeabilization, or anti-angiotatin mAb (20 μg/ml) and secondary FITC-labeled Ab after permeabilization. The samples were mounted in Mowiol and examined with a Leica microscope.

Flow Cytometry Experiments—The level of membrane expression of CD146 was determined on HUVEC and EPC as described previously (5). All detached endothelial cells were labeled for 1 h at 4 °C with rsCD146-FITC (1.5 μM), angiotatin (1.5 μM) coupled to an anti-angiotatin Ab, and secondary FITC-labeled Ab and/or irrelevant IgG1-FITC Ab (1.5 μM). In some experiments, HUVEC or EPC were labeled in the presence of 1.5 μM angiotatin. After washing, samples were analyzed by flow cytometry on a Beckman Coulter apparatus (FC 500). Flow cytometry experiments were also performed on a construct consisting of magnetic beads (Dynabeads; Invitrogen) coupled to an anti-GST antibody (Sigma-Aldrich) and recombinant (rAmot) coupled through its GST tag. The binding of rsCD146-FITC (1.5 μM) was evaluated as described above.

Protein Expression Profiling—Total cell lysates were prepared from cultured late EPC treated or not for 24 h with 50 ng/ml rsCD146. Antibody arrays were performed according to
the manufacturer’s instructions (Tebu-Bio; Le Perray en Yvelines; France). Spot signals were quantified using the Tebu-Bio software. Subtraction of background was done for the signal mean intensities in both test and reference spots.

**Quantitative RT-PCR**—Total cellular RNA was isolated from late EPC using the RNeasy kit (Qiagen GmbH, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions, including the DNase digestion step. 5 μg of total RNA were reverse-transcribed into cDNA in a 50-μl reaction containing 40 units of RNaseOUT (Invitrogen), 150 ng of random hexamers (Roche Applied Science), 10 mM dNTPs (Invitrogen), and 200 units of Superscript II (Invitrogen). The resulting cDNA was diluted 1:80 and was subjected to quantitative PCR using primer sets specific for the gene or control gene at an optimized oligonucleotide concentration of 200 nM. Forward and reverse specific primer sequences for eNOS and GAPDH were as follows: forward, 5′-CTCATGGGCAAGGTGATG-3′ and reverse, 5′-ACCACGTATACCTATCCATAC-3′; forward, 5′-GGTGCTCTCTCGACTCATA-3′ and reverse, 5′-GGTTGCTAGCCTAATATTAC-3′, respectively. Reactions were performed in a total volume of 20 μl using the Full Velocity hot start SYBR Green Quantitative PCR Mastermix according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Stratagene). Amplification cycles were as follows: one denaturation cycle of 5 min at 95 °C, followed by 40 amplification cycles of 10 s at 95 °C and 30 s at 60 °C. Amplification, data acquisition, and analysis were performed using the Mx3000P instrument and the Mx300P software (version 2.0, Stratagene). The threshold cycle (Ct) for each gene was normalized to that of GAPDH.

**Western Blot Experiments**—Western blot analysis was performed as described previously (4, 5). Briefly, cells were grown on plates treated or not for 24 h with rsCD146 50 ng/ml and then washed in PBS, scraped off of the plates, and extracted with 300 μl of ice-cold lysis buffer (150 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris HCl (pH 7.4), 2.4 mM EDTA, 1% Nonidet P40, 0.5 mM phenyl-dodecylsulfate sample buffer (Invitrogen), 150 ng of random hexamers (Roche kit, Pierce). 50 μg of protein were mixed with NuPAGE lithium dodecylsulfate sample buffer (Invitrogen) and NuPAGE sample-reducing agent (Invitrogen). Samples were then subjected to NuPAGE using 4–12% Novex Bis-Tris gels (Invitrogen), and separated proteins were transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes (Invitrogen). Membranes were probed with specific primary antibodies (anti-p-Fak, anti-p-Jnk, anti-p-Akt, anti-Akt, anti-p-p38, anti-p38 diluted 1/1000 or anti-actin diluted 1/5000) followed by secondary antibodies coupled to peroxidase. Blots were revealed with the ECL substrate (Pierce). Membranes probed with various antibodies were stripped between antibodies.

In a particular experiment, plasma membranes were isolated from EPC or HUVEC using the Mem-Per eukaryotic membrane protein extraction kit (Perbio; Brebières, France). Western blot experiments were then performed as described above with anti-angiomotin (1/500), anti-pecam-1 (1/1000), antilamin A/C (1/1000), and anti-grasp55 (1/500) antibodies.

**siRNA and Transfection Experiments**—Specific small interfering RNA (siRNA) experiments were performed as described previously (8) to suppress expression of angiomotin. The Amaxa nucleofection kit (HUVEC old nucleofactor kit; Lonza; Cologne, Germany) was used as described by the manufacturer. Approximately 85–90% of the EPC were transfected as attested by control experiments with a plasmid encoding GFP (data not shown). EPC were plated in Petri dishes after transfection, and adherent living cells were used 48 h after transfection for in vitro experiments. Efficiency of siRNA transfection was tested by Western blot (see “Results”).

A plasmid encoding the angiogenic isoform of angiomotin (p80) in a pcDNA3 expression vector was also transfected into HeLa cells, which do not express the protein, using the Amaxa nucleofection kit. Transfected cells were used 48 h after transfection for in vitro studies. Efficiency of CD146 overexpression was verified by Western blot (see “Results”).

**Peptides, Antibodies, Inhibitors, and siRNA**—Recombinant human forms of soluble CD146 (Myc-tagged), recombinant angiomotin (p80 isoform; GST-tagged), angiotatin, and Icos receptor were obtained from Biocytex, Abnova, and Cell Sciences, respectively. Anti-angiomotin (Abnova), anti-angiostatin (Sigma Aldrich), anti-pecam 1 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), anti-lamin A/C (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), and anti-grasp55 (Abnova) antibodies were used. Anti-p-Fak, anti-p-Jnk, anti-p-Akt, anti-Akt, anti-p-p38, and anti-p38 antibodies were from Cell Signaling. siRNA specific for angiomotin were used (Invitrogen) (GAGAACACCCGUAGAGAGACUUG/UCAAGU-CUCUCUCUCAGGGUGUUCUC). Fak inhibitor 14 and Akt inhibitor (GSK690693) were from Santa Cruz Biotechnology.

**Statistical Analysis**—Data were expressed as mean ± S.E. Statistical analysis and curve fits and analysis were performed with Prism software (GraphPad Software, Inc., San Diego, CA).

**RESULTS**

**Interacting Partners of Soluble CD146**—Proteins obtained by peptide pulldown using rsCD146 as a bait in a non-denaturing lysate of EPC were analyzed by mass spectrometry. Five proteins were identified: β-actin, filamin β2, HSP70, HSP90, and angiomotin. We focused on angiomotin because of its documented role in angiogenesis and because it was the only membrane-associated protein identified.

**Interaction between sCD146 and Angiomotin**—A series of experiments were performed to confirm the interaction between angiomotin and soluble CD146. ELISA revealed that rAmot was effectively able to bind rsCD146, whereas an irrelevant protein (Icos Receptor) was not (Fig. 1A).

These results were confirmed by HTRF assay. A dose-dependent binding of rsCD146 was observed on angiomotin (Fig. 1B). The estimated dissociation constant obtained from the Scatchard plot (Fig. 1, inset) was 1.05 × 10^-7 M. This binding was specific because it was completely displaced by an excess of non-Myc-tagged rsCD146 (Fig. 1C). Finally, this binding was displaced by another binding partner of angiomotin, angio- 

**Cell surface expression of angiomotin was observed by Western blot after plasma membrane extraction on both HUVEC and EPC (Fig. 2A). These results were confirmed by immunofluorescence experiments on migrating EPC (Fig. 2B). A membrane and intracellular labeling of angiomotin was observed as**
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 FIGURE 1. Interaction between soluble CD146 and angiomotin. A, association between rsCD146 and angiomotin in ELISA. Coating was performed with rsCD146 (1 µg/well) or angiomotin (Amot) (1 µg/well), and interaction was tested with Amot, anti-Amot, or an irrelevant protein (Icos receptor). Results are mean values of three experiments. *, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01, experimental versus rsCD146/Amot condition. B, interaction between rsCD146-Myc and angiomotin-GST by HTRF assay. 4 × 10⁻⁹ M angiomotin-GST was incubated overnight at 4 °C with different concentrations of rsCD146-Myc (5.5 × 10⁻¹⁰-5.5 × 10⁻⁷ M) and second antibodies (anti-GST coupled to europium cryptate, 1.6 × 10⁻⁹ M, and anti-Myc coupled to d2, 2.4 × 10⁻⁸ M). Fluorescence intensity (HTRF ΔF) was then measured using a microplate reader. A representative experiment of three different experiments is given with a nonlinear fit corresponding to one binding site (r² = 0.9988). The Scatchard plot is also given. The regression line corresponding to this Scatchard plot is y = -0.9515 × 10⁷ + 7.2 × 10⁸. The estimated dissociation constant is 1.05 × 10⁻⁷ M. C, displacement of rsCD146-Myc/angiomotin-GST interaction by rsCD146 in HTRF assay. 4 × 10⁻⁹ M angiomotin-GST was incubated overnight at 4 °C with 1.4 × 10⁻⁷ M rsCD146-Myc in the presence of different concentrations of non-tagged rsCD146 (1.4 × 10⁻⁶-7 × 10⁻⁶ M) and second antibodies coupled to europium cryptate or d2 (see B). Fluorescence intensity (HTRF ΔF) was then measured using a microplate reader. A representative experiment of three different experiments is given with a nonlinear fit corresponding to competition on one binding site (r² = 0.9950). D, specificity of rsCD146-Myc/angiomotin-GST interaction in HTRF assay. 4 × 10⁻⁹ M angiomotin-GST (Amot-gst) or GST was incubated overnight at 4 °C with or without 1.4 × 10⁻⁷ M rsCD146-Myc in the presence or absence of 3.3 × 10⁻⁷ M angiostatin and second antibodies coupled to europium cryptate or d2 (see B). Fluorescence intensity (HTRF ΔF) was then measured using a microplate reader. Results are the mean value of four different experiments. *, p < 0.05, experimental versus control.

Described previously (9). Surprisingly, angiostatin labeling was also observed in the nucleus, and this labeling was specific (see Fig. 2C). Both angiomotin and angiostatin localized at the cell surface of migrating EPC, and a similar localization was observed for rsCD146 (Fig. 2B). When angiostatin was silenced, the cell surface binding of both angiostatin and rsCD146 was abolished (Fig. 2C).

Using rAmot coupled to magnetic beads, flow cytometry experiments demonstrated that rsCD146, as angiostatin, was able to bind rAmot (Fig. 3A), whereas binding was lacking on magnetic beads in the absence of rAmot (data not shown). Recombinant sCD146 was also able to bind both HUVEC and EPC (Fig. 3B). In both cell types, the binding of rsCD146-FITC was significantly displaced by an equimolar concentration of angiostatin (Fig. 3B). In EPC in which angiostatin was silenced, binding of rsCD146 and angiostatin was significantly reduced (Fig. 3C). Finally, rsCD146 was able to bind HeLa cells transfected with the angiogenic isoform of angiostatin, whereas it was unable to bind wild-type HeLa cells, which do not express the protein (Fig. 3D).

Functional Consequences of the Binding of Soluble CD146 on Angiomotin—To analyze the functional implication of angiostatin in sCD146 effects, we investigated whether angiostatin silencing was able to prevent angiogenesis induced by sCD146 in EPC. To this end, we evaluated the effects of 50 ng/ml rsCD146 on EPC after angiostatin siRNA transfection. When EPC were seeded on Matrigel plugs (Fig. 4A), rsCD146 addition led to a significant increase in tube number. In contrast, when EPC were silenced for angiostatin and treated with rsCD146, no increase was observed. The absence of effect of rsCD146 after angiostatin silencing was also observed in migration and proliferation experiments (Fig. 4A). Incubation of the cells with an excess of exogenous rAmot or with angiostatin (1 µg/ml) blocked the effect of rsCD146 on EPC proliferation (Fig. 4B).
We previously described that an important effect of rsCD146 was the induction of eNOS transcription (5). This effect was blocked both in angiomotin-silenced EPC (Fig. 2C) and in EPC pretreated with 1 μg/ml angiostatin (Fig. 4D).

**Signaling Cascade Elicited by the Binding of Soluble CD146 on Angiomotin**—To gain insight into the signaling cascade elicited by the binding of soluble CD146 on angiomotin, we performed antibody arrays on EPC-treated or not with rsCD146. Results show that numerous proteins involved in different signaling pathways were either up- or down-regulated after rsCD146 treatment (Fig. 5A). Among the up-regulated proteins, we observed p-Fak (×3.6), p-Akt (×2.6), and p-Jnk (×1.6). Western blot experiments confirmed that these proteins were effectively up-regulated 24 h after rsCD146 treatment (Fig. 5B). To confirm the involvement of angiomotin in the mechanism of action of soluble CD146, we examined the effect of rsCD146 on the induction of these proteins in angiomotin-silenced EPC. As expected, the effect was absent when angiomotin was silenced (Fig. 5B). Finally, as p-p38 has been reported to be increased in CD146-transfected endothelial cells (10), we also tested the effect of rsCD146 on this protein. Likewise, it was up-regulated, and the effect was abolished in angiomotin-silenced EPC.
omotin-silenced cells (Fig. 5B). In view of the major roles of the Fak and Akt pathways in cell migration and proliferation, respectively, we tested their involvement in these cellular functions elicited by rsCD146 by using specific inhibitors of these proteins. Results show that the Fak inhibitor 14 prevented the rsCD146-induced increase in EPC migration and that the Akt inhibitor GSK 690693 blocked the rsCD146-induced effect on EPC proliferation (Fig. 5B, inset).

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we identified angiomotin as an interacting partner of soluble CD146, mediating its angiogenic effects in EPC. Initially, angiomotin has been identified in a yeast two-hybrid screen by its ability to bind angiostatin, a circulating inhibitor of blood vessels formation (9). Angiomotin is a membrane-associated protein expressed on human endothelium that promotes angiogenesis by controlling directional migration (11, 12). Accordingly, therapeutic antibodies targeting angiomotin have been shown to inhibit angiogenesis both in vitro and in vivo (13). At the structural level, angiomotin presents conserved coiled-coil and PDZ binding domains (14). Taken together, the data suggest that angiomotin could be constitutive of an intracellular molecular scaffold network regulated by both the circulating angiostatin and sCD146.

Using different approaches, we have shown that sCD146 is able to bind angiomotin. This binding is specific and can be partially displaced by an equimolar amount of angiostatin. HTRF experiments with recombinant proteins allowed to estimate the dissociation constant of the interaction between sCD146 and angiomotin. The strength of the interaction between sCD146 and angiomotin is comparable or slightly less than those reported for the interaction between receptors and ligands (17) or antigens and antibodies (18) and corresponds to that described for general
protein-protein interactions with a $K_d$ value in the range of $10^{-6}$–$10^{-7}$ M (19).

Two isoforms of angiomotin, generated by alternate splicing, have been described (20). They display differential roles in the switch between migration and stabilization of endothelial cells. One isoform (80 kDa) is responsible for the migratory and angiogenic functions of the protein whereas the second (130 kDa), localized at the tight junction of confluent cells, is involved in the stabilization and maturation functions (20). The relative expression levels of p80 and p130 isoforms regulate the switch between the migratory and mature cell phenotypes. In migratory cells, the p80 isoform is the mainly expressed isoform. In this study, we focused on angiogenic functions of sCD146 in migrating EPC and observed effects that are mediated through the angiogenic 80-kDa isoform. However, as the extracellular part of the molecule, which contains the angiostatin binding domain, is common to the two isoforms, we can assume that sCD146 is able to interact with both proteins. In the future, it will be interesting to differentiate the selective effects of sCD146 on the two isoforms as the function of the phenotype of the cells, migratory or stabilized in an endothelial monolayer.

Angiostatin has been shown to potently inhibit angiogenesis and metastasis in mice (21). In vitro studies have shown that angiostatin can inhibit endothelial cell proliferation (21) and migration (22) and induces apoptosis (23, 24). In a recent study, we have described opposite properties for sCD146 (5). Because angiomotin is able to interact with both molecules, angiostatin and sCD146 could constitute negative and positive regulators of angiomotin, respectively. The angiogenic activity of the cell could thus be modulated as a function of the physiological need by the balance between both circulating molecules. Because angiostatin was able to displace sCD146 binding in our experiments, it will be of interest to examine whether the binding domains of angiostatin and sCD146 on the extracellular part of angiomotin are different or overlapping.

Although it has been reported that CD146 acts as a homophilic adhesion molecule, we (4) and others (25) have not been able to show a direct interaction between sCD146 and membrane CD146 or between membrane CD146 and itself. Along this line, two different heterophilic ligands of membrane CD146 have been recently described. Thus, Flanagan et al. (25) have shown that laminin-411 binds membrane CD146 to facilitate TH17 cell entry into the tissues and promote inflammation. Jouve et al. (26) have recently reported that galectin-1 directly binds membrane CD146 and that this interaction is involved in the control of endothelial cell apoptosis. In the present study, we also showed that soluble CD146 acts as a hetero-
philic ligand binding to angiometin. Altogether, these results suggest that both soluble and membrane CD146 are able to bind different proteins as a function of the cellular and physiopathological context but that heterophilic interactions are favored. Of interest, we found angiometin mRNA expression (data not shown) not only in endothelial cells as HUVEC and EPC but also in the trophoblastic cell line HTR-8/SVneo, in L929 fibroblastic cells and in the monocytic cell line THP1, which does not express membrane CD146 but was activated by sCD146 during transmigration (4). Identifying angiometin expressing cells will presumably provide new target cells for sCD146.

Using antibody array, we examined the signaling cascade elicited by angiometin following sCD146 binding. We observed that p-Fak, p-Akt, and p-Jnk were up-regulated by sCD146 binding and that the effect was mediated through angiometin. Likewise, p-p38 was also up-regulated. Of interest, the sCD146-induced increase in EPC migration and proliferation were prevented by the Fak inhibitor 14 and the Akt inhibitor GSK 690693 on the sCD146-induced increase in EPC migration and proliferation, respectively. *, $p < 0.05$; **, $p < 0.01$, experimental versus control (C).

FIGURE 5. Involvement of angiometin in the signaling cascade elicited by soluble CD146 in endothelial progenitor cells. A, analysis of signal transduction proteins modulated in response to sCD146. Proteins that were up- or down-regulated in response to sCD146 were characterized by antibody array (see “Experimental Procedures”). Proteins were classified as a function of the induction factor ($>2$ or $>1.5$) or the inhibition factor ($<0.66$ or $<0.5$), as compared with control condition. B, induction of p-Fak, p-Jnk, p-Akt, and p-p38 in response to sCD146. The effect of sCD146 was analyzed by Western blot and quantified. The effect of angiometin silencing was also tested. A representative experiment is presented for each protein, and the average of three to six experiments is given. In the inset are shown the inhibitory effects of the Fak inhibitor 14 and of the Akt inhibitor GSK 690693 on the sCD146-induced increase in EPC migration and proliferation, respectively. *, $p < 0.05$; **, $p < 0.01$, experimental versus control (C).
plex with a corresponding surface receptor to regulate signaling and/or as a scaffold protein interacting, in particular through its PDZ binding domain, with other proteins transducing the signal. Two studies have shown that angiomotin is able to participate to protein complexes involved in the transduction of intracellular signals. Thus, angiomotin was reported to bind Rich1, a Rho-GTPase-activating protein, and to a complex containing the PDZ-domain proteins Pals1, Patj, and Par-3 (30). Likewise, the angiomotin-Patj-Syx signaling complex was shown to spatially control RhoA-GTPase activity in migrating endothelial cells (31). Finally, a merlin-angiomotin complex was identified that mediates the regulation of mitogenic signaling (32). The finding of the different proteins constituting, in association with angiomotin, the signalosome activated by sCD146 will be the aim of future studies. Of interest, Jiang et al. (33) reported in a recent study that CD146 is a co-receptor for VEGFR-2 in tumor angiogenesis. Indeed, CD146 directly interacts with VEGFR-2 on endothelial cells as well as at the molecular level. In addition, they show that CD146 is required in the regulation of mitogenic signaling (32). The finding of the different proteins constituting, in association with angiomotin, the signalosome activated by sCD146 will be the aim of future studies. Of interest, Jiang et al. (33) reported in a recent study that CD146 is a co-receptor for VEGFR-2 in tumor angiogenesis. Indeed, CD146 directly interacts with VEGFR-2 on endothelial cells as well as at the molecular level. In addition, they show that CD146 is required in the regulation of mitogenic signaling (32).
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