Foxn1 Regulates Lineage Progression in Cortical and Medullary Thymic Epithelial Cells But Is Dispensable for Medullary Sublineage Divergence
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Abstract

The forkhead transcription factor Foxn1 is indispensable for thymus development, but the mechanisms by which it mediates thymic epithelial cell (TEC) development are poorly understood. To examine the cellular and molecular basis of Foxn1 function, we generated a novel and reversible hypomorph allele of Foxn1. By varying levels of its expression, we identified a number of features of the Foxn1 system. Here we show that Foxn1 is a powerful regulator of TEC differentiation that is required at multiple intermediate stages of TE lineage development in the fetal and adult thymus. We find no evidence for a role for Foxn1 in TEC fate-choice. Rather, we show it is required for stable entry into both the cortical and medullary TEC differentiation programmes and subsequently is needed at increasing dosage for progression through successive differentiation states in both cortical and medullary TEC. We further demonstrate regulation by Foxn1 of a suite of genes with diverse roles in thymus development and/or function, suggesting it acts as a master regulator of the core thymic epithelial programme rather than regulating a particular aspect of TEC biology. Overall, our data establish a genetics-based model of cellular hierarchies in the TE lineage and provide mechanistic insight relating titration of a single transcription factor to control of lineage progression. Our novel reversible hypomorph system may be similarly applied to analyzing other regulators of development.

Introduction

T cell development occurs in the thymus and depends on progressive interactions with the thymic stroma. Critical to this function is the thymic epithelium (TE), which comprises a diverse array of phenotypically and functionally distinct cell types broadly organized into cortical and medullary regions [1].

During development the thymus arises from the endoderm of the third pharyngeal pouches [2] which, from day 9 of embryonic development in the mouse (E9.0), contain cells specified to the TE lineage [2]. Until E11.5, this region uniformly expresses the cell surface determinant Plet-1 [3-5] and the earliest currently identified lineage progenitors during organogenesis remain unclear. However, the extent to which these progenitor activities persist in late organogenesis and the postnatal organ has not been determined and furthermore, the timing of emergence of the sub-lineage progenitors during organogenesis remains unclear.

The forkhead transcription factor Foxn1 [12], the gene mutated in the classical mouse mutant nude (nu) [13], is a pivotal regulator of TE lineage development. Nude mice are hairless and athymic [14,15]. In Foxn1 null animals, the earliest stages of thymus development appear to occur normally, but development is arrested after initial formation of the organ primordium (around E12.0 in the mouse) and the primordium is not colonized by hematopoietic precursors [16]. Nonetheless, the Foxn1 null thymic primordium separates from the parathyroid component of the common primordium and migrates to the mid-line, where a small, alymphoid cystic thymic remnant persists postnatally [14]. Null alleles of Foxn1 in mouse, rat and human all exhibit essentially the same pleiotropic phenotype [17]. Further studies indicate that a medullary sub-lineage specific progenitor cell is present at least as early as E13.5 [9,10], and suggest the existence of a cortical sub-lineage specific progenitor [11]. However, the extent to which these progenitor activities persist in late organogenesis and the postnatal organ has not been determined and furthermore, the timing of emergence of the sub-lineage progenitors during organogenesis remains unclear. The forkhead transcription factor Foxn1 [12], the gene mutated in the classical mouse mutant nude (nu) [13], is a pivotal regulator of TE lineage development. Nude mice are hairless and athymic [14,15]. In Foxn1 null animals, the earliest stages of thymus development appear to occur normally, but development is arrested after initial formation of the organ primordium (around E12.0 in the mouse) and the primordium is not colonized by hematopoietic precursors [16]. Nonetheless, the Foxn1 null thymic primordium separates from the parathyroid component of the common primordium and migrates to the mid-line, where a small, alymphoid cystic thymic remnant persists postnatally [14]. Null alleles of Foxn1 in mouse, rat and human all exhibit essentially the same pleiotropic phenotype [17].
Author Summary

The thymus is the specialized organ responsible for generating T cells, which are required to regulate and effect immune responses. The unique functions of the thymus are mediated by a diverse array of specialized epithelial cells found only within this organ. These specialized, functionally mature thymic epithelial cells are generated from immature epithelial progenitor cells present in the fetal and adult thymus through a highly regulated process, termed differentiation, that is tightly controlled by specific genes. Foxn1, a protein that is expressed in thymic epithelial cells, is a transcription factor—a protein that regulates how other genes are expressed. Here, we have investigated the role of Foxn1 in generating mature thymic epithelial cells from immature progenitors. We find that Foxn1 is required throughout this process, from the onset of differentiation in progenitor thymic epithelial cells in the developing fetus to the final differentiation steps through which thymic epithelial cells mature to acquire their full functionality. We further find that Foxn1 controls the expression of a variety of genes with different functions in thymic epithelial cells. Overall, our study defines the role of Foxn1 in thymus development at the cellular level and provides insight into how it mediates these functions.

Forkhead family members play important roles in specification and progression of a number of cell lineages, and recent evidence reveals roles for some Forkhead proteins in chromatin modification/epigenetic regulation of cell fate [18]. Analysis of postnatal nude/wild-type chimeric mice demonstrated the cell autonomous requirement for Foxn1 in development of all major TEC sublineages and suggested that TEC lineage cells unable to express functional Foxn1 might undergo maturation arrest at a common TEC stage [19]. A revertible Foxn1 null model, Foxn1<sup>SA2/SA2</sup> provided strong support for this hypothesis, as neonatal clonal reversion of this allele resulted in the generation of small units of functional thymus tissue containing both cortical and medullary compartments [8]. Further support for a role in differentiation comes from studies on keratinocytes, which implicate Foxn1 in regulating initiation of terminal differentiation [20,21], and from analysis of a hypomorphic Foxn1 allele which generates a transcript lacking exon 3 and thus the N-terminal domain of Foxn1 [22]. In mice homozygous for this allele (Foxn1<sup>-/-</sup>), the postnatal Foxn1<sup>+/+</sup> thymus was highly cystic, contained no discernable cortical or medullary regions and could sustain only highly impaired thymocyte differentiation, suggesting that Foxn1 is actively required for TEC differentiation at stages beyond initiation of the TEC programme [22]. Evidence also supports roles for Foxn1 in TEC proliferation [23] and in regulating the balance between proliferation and differentiation in skin [24]. In addition, a requirement for Foxn1 for maintenance of the postnatal thymic microenvironment has recently been demonstrated [25–27], with evidence pointing to differential sensitivity of different TEC subsets to changes in Foxn1 dosage [26]. Collectively, these studies suggest that Foxn1 plays a complex role in regulating TEC lineage development. However, precisely how Foxn1 regulates the transit from the earliest fetal thymic epithelial progenitor cell to the fully functional postnatal thymic epithelium, and at which stages in this process it is required, remains undetermined. In addition, the molecular mechanisms regulated by this transcription factor in the thymus have not yet been addressed.

In this study, we have addressed the functions of Foxn1 throughout thymus ontogeny, via generation and analysis of a novel revertible hypomorphic allele of Foxn1, Foxn1<sup>R</sup>, which expresses only low levels of Foxn1 mRNA and protein. A particular advantage of our system is the revertible nature of the allele, which affords the capacity to test the relationship of cell states identified via analysis of mutant mice to states occurring in normal ontogeny. Our studies establish Foxn1 as a powerful regulator of differentiation in both the cTEC and mTEC sublineages. We find no evidence for a role for Foxn1 in regulating cell fate choice in the cortical or medullary TEC sublineages. Rather, we find that Foxn1 is required for progression of differentiation at multiple stages in cTEC and mTEC sublineage development in both the fetal and postnatal thymus, and show that different Foxn1 dosage is required to execute its function(s) at different differentiation stages. We further establish that Foxn1 regulates, either directly or indirectly, a suite of genes known to effect TEC function – including Dll4, CCL25, Cdh2, L, CD40, Pax3, and MMP7, and that these exhibit different response patterns to changes in Foxn1 dosage. Collectively, these findings significantly advance understanding of the role of Foxn1 in TEC, demonstrating for the first time its direct involvement in generating multiple TEC sub-types in both the cortical and medullary lineages in the fetal and postnatal thymus, and suggesting it acts effectively as a master regulator of the entire TEC programme.

Results

Generation of a revertible hypomorphic allele of Foxn1

We set out to generate a conditionally revertible null allele of Foxn1 as a tool for generating TEPc lines. Our rationale was that lack of Foxn1 expression would impose an early block on TEC lineage differentiation, effectively trapping TEC in an undifferentiated progenitor cell state, while reversion of the allele would remove this block and allow progression to terminal differentiation. Since the extent to which Foxn1 is required for TEC proliferation is unknown, SV40 T antigen was used to uncouple potential roles of Foxn1 in proliferation and differentiation. We thus generated the revertible Foxn1 allele, Foxn1<sup>R</sup>, by inserting a LoxP flanked cassette into intron 1b of the Foxn1 locus by homologous recombination in ES cells (Figure 1A, Figure S1) and used this ES line to generate the Foxn1<sup>R</sup> mouse strain. Initial characterization of postnatal Foxn1<sup>R/+</sup> mice revealed thymus hyperplasia as expected, due to expression of SV40 Tag under the Foxn1 promoter. However, Foxn1<sup>R/+</sup> mice developed severely hypoplastic thymi rather than exhibiting the expected phenotype of complete thymic aplasia (Figure 1B). In keeping with this, immunoblotting for Foxn1 revealed low-level Foxn1 protein in Foxn1<sup>+/+</sup> thymi compared to wild-type (WT; Figure 1C) and RT-PCR analyses demonstrated that the transcripts produced from Foxn1<sup>R/+</sup> mice lacked Foxn1 expression as expected, due to expression of SV40 Tag under the Foxn1<sup>R</sup> promoter. However, Foxn1<sup>R/-</sup> mice developed severely hypoplastic thymi rather than exhibiting the expected phenotype of complete thymic aplasia (Figure 1B). In keeping with this, immunoblotting for Foxn1 revealed low-level Foxn1 protein in Foxn1<sup>+/+</sup> thymi compared to wild-type (WT; Figure 1C) and RT-PCR analyses demonstrated that the transcripts produced from the Foxn1<sup>R</sup> allele contained either Exon1a-SV40Tag-IRES-eGFP-neo elements or the full-length Foxn1 mRNA (Figure 1D). Thus some residual Foxn1 expression from Foxn1<sup>R</sup> occurred, and resulted from splicing around the targeted insertion subsequent to transcription proceeding beyond the transcriptional pause. No overt skin phenotypes were apparent in either Foxn1<sup>R/-</sup> or Foxn1<sup>/</sup> thymus. Collectively, these data establish that Foxn1<sup>R</sup> is a hypomorphic allele that expresses a low level of Foxn1 compared to WT and that expression of SV40Tag does not compensate for decreased levels of Foxn1.

The revertibility of the allele was demonstrated by crossing Foxn1<sup>R/-</sup> mice with the Q<sup>P2os</sup> deleter strain, in which Cre recombinase is expressed in oocytes [28]. Foxn1<sup>R/-</sup> Q<sup>P2os</sup>-<sup>/+</sup> mice excised the LoxP flanked cassette in most if not all cells (Figure 1E), and showed normal thymus development and function.
Foxn1 Regulation of Thymic Epithelial Cells

SV40 T antigen does not affect TEC differentiation or function in Foxn1<sup>R/R</sup> thymi

The Foxn1 null phenotype of severe athymia results from a complete early block in thymus development and has limited understanding of the role of Foxn1 later in ontogeny. We reasoned that the Foxn1<sup>R</sup> allele might be informative in this light. However, it was first necessary to test whether expression of SV40Tag under the Foxn1 promoter affected TEC differentiation or function. Therefore, we characterized the Foxn1<sup>R/R</sup> thymus phenotype, in particular seeking evidence for impaired or perturbed TEC development and/or function. The two thymic lobes in Foxn1<sup>R/R</sup> mice exhibited a greater than ten-fold increase in both TEC and thymocyte numbers compared to WT littermates (Figure 1), but were otherwise histologically normal (Figure 1B, 1F). In both fetal and postnatal Foxn1<sup>R/R</sup> thymi, TEC and thymocyte subset distributions were indistinguishable from Foxn1<sup>R/R</sup> and WT thymi and no evidence of malignant transformation could be found (Figure 1G-1I). We therefore concluded that the thymic hyperplasia observed resulted from a uniform expansion of the TEC compartment due to expression of SV40Tag under control of the Foxn1 promoter, but that this did not affect TEC differentiation or function in either the fetal or postnatal thymus. This is consistent with the action of SV40Tag in a variety of other tissues [29–31] and its known function in regulating cell proliferation. Of note is that the Foxn1 promoter drives relatively low levels of gene expression in TEC, based on QRT-PCR analyses relative to a range of housekeeping genes. This demonstrated that Foxn1 is expressed in the same range as alpha-tubulin, which is recognized to be expressed at low levels [32] (Figure S2). Furthermore, SV40Tag was not detectable by immunohistochemical analysis of thymus sections from Foxn1<sup>R</sup> mutant mice (Figure S2).
Terminal differentiation of postnatal cortical and medullary TEC is blocked in Foxn1<sup>R/R</sup> mice

Next, we tested the effect of reduced Foxn1 dosage on postnatal TEC by analyzing the Foxn1<sup>R/R</sup> phenotype. Although small, Foxn1<sup>R/R</sup> thymi were grossly histologically normal, containing clear cortical and medullary regions (Figure 2A, 2B). Postnatal Foxn1<sup>R/R</sup> thymi contained all normal thymocyte subsets (Figure 2C, 2D). However, the CD4<sup>+</sup> double positive (DP) subset was proportionally larger than in WT littermates while the CD4<sup>+</sup>CD8<sup>+</sup> single positive (SP) subsets were proportionally reduced (DP proportions: Foxn1<sup>R/R</sup> 91.2±0.85%; WT 82.9±0.21%, p = 0.0004) suggesting that the DP to SP transition and/or subsequent thymocyte maturation was perturbed in Foxn1<sup>R/R</sup> mice. The CD4<sup>+</sup> (Double negative, DN) cell subset distribution was also altered in postnatal Foxn1<sup>R/R</sup> thymi, with increased proportions of CD4<sup>+</sup>CD25<sup>-</sup> (DN1) and CD4<sup>+</sup>CD25<sup>+</sup> (DN3) cells (Figure 2D). Most DN1 cells in postnatal Foxn1<sup>R/R</sup> thymi were B cells, as shown by B220 staining (Figure 2E), suggesting impaired commitment of hematopoietic progenitors to the T cell lineage [33,34]. Furthermore, thymocyte development was delayed in Foxn1<sup>R/R</sup> mice early in ontogeny (Figure 2F). Thus, rather than simply resulting from reduced numbers of functionally normal TECs, the hypoplastic Foxn1<sup>R/R</sup> phenotype was characterized by impaired TEC functionality.

Interrogation of TEC subset distribution and marker expression in the Foxn1<sup>R/R</sup> thymus revealed apparently normal morphologies for medullary and cortical TEC (mTEC and cTEC respectively), and normal expression patterns for cytokeratin (K) 5, K8 and CD205 (Figure 2B, Figure 3A and 3Ai), indicating the presence of cortical and medullary compartments. Further analysis however revealed abnormal gene expression patterns in both compartments.

The cTEC marker CDR1 is acquired only postnatally in cTEC and thus represents a terminal differentiation marker for this TEC sub-lineage. Ly51 is another commonly used marker that is cTEC-specific within the thymus. Expression of both of these markers was severely down-regulated in Foxn1<sup>R/R</sup> thymi (Figure 3B-3G).

MHC class II, Cathepsin L and Dll4 are TEC markers with known functions in thymocyte development [11,33–37]. MHC Class II staining on Foxn1<sup>R/R</sup> cTEC was dramatically reduced compared to Foxn1<sup>R/R</sup> and WT littermates (Figure 3D and 3Dii), and similarly, Cathepsin L expression was down-regulated in Foxn1<sup>R/R</sup> cTEC (Figure S3). Dll4 expression was dramatically reduced on both fetal and postnatal Foxn1<sup>R/R</sup> TEC compared to aged-matched WT controls (Figure 3E and 3Ei, see also Figure S3). These data provide a possible explanation for the altered thymocyte subset distributions present in Foxn1<sup>R/R</sup> mice.

CD40 is expressed on TEC and thymic dendritic cells and is required for medullary development [38,39]. Expression of CD40 was also substantially down-regulated on postnatal Foxn1<sup>R/R</sup> cTEC but was largely unaffected on mTEC (Figure 3F). Expression of the recently identified cTEC-specific thymic proteasome subunit β5t [40] was affected only slightly if at all (Figure 3G and 3Gi; proportion of TEC that are β5t<sup>+</sup>: Foxn1<sup>R/R</sup> and WT, twenty four percent; WT, twenty four percent).

Aire is a key regulator of thymus function, and within the thymus is specifically expressed in a subset of mTEC. Significantly fewer Aire<sup>+</sup> mTEC were present in Foxn1<sup>R/R</sup> compared to WT thymi (Figure 3H and 3Hi).

Collectively, these data established that differentiation of both cortical and medullary TEC was impaired in the postnatal thymus of Foxn1<sup>R/R</sup> mutants. In particular, since cTEC morphology appeared normal but several cTEC-specific markers were severely down-regulated in Foxn1<sup>R/R</sup> thymi, they strongly suggested the hypothesis that terminal differentiation was blocked in the cTEC sub-lineage.

Reversion to wild-type Foxn1 expression levels in Foxn1<sup>R/R</sup> mice leads to rapid acquisition of terminal differentiation phenotypes in cTEC and mTEC

To test whether this hypothesis was correct, or alternatively whether the postnatal Foxn1<sup>R/R</sup> phenotype reflected the absence of a normal cTEC population and/or overgrowth of a normally minor TEC population, we bred a constitutively expressed tamoxifen inducible Cre recombinase allele, ROSA26<sup>CreERt2</sup> [41], onto the Foxn1<sup>R/R</sup> background. We then tested the outcome of reverting the Foxn1<sup>R/R</sup> allele via Cre-mediated excision of the LoxP-flanked cassette, such that WT levels of Foxn1 mRNA expression were restored. Thus, adult Foxn1<sup>R/R</sup> ROSA26<sup>CreERt2</sup> mice were injected with 4-hydroxy...
Figure 3. Reduced Foxn1 expression results in impaired development of both medullary and cortical TEC in postnatal Foxn1<sup>R/R</sup> thymi. (A-G, Ai-Hi) Immunohistochemical (A-E,G,H) and/or flow cytometric (Ai-Hi, F) analysis of postnatal Foxn1<sup>R/R</sup> thymi showing anti-CD205, Ly51, CDR1, anti-Aire, anti-K14, anti-MHC Class II (MHCII), anti-Dll4, anti-β5t, anti-PanK and anti-CD40 staining. (A–E,G,H) Right panels show co-stains of left panels, right Aire panel shows detail from left panel. Scale bars 150 μm except for anti-CD205 and Ly51, which are 75 μm and Aire right panel which is 11.9 μm. (Ai-Hi, F) Plots show data after gating against CD45<sup>+</sup> and Ter119<sup>+</sup> and for EpCam<sup>+</sup> cells. Lower panel in Ei shows data after gating on CD45<sup>+</sup>EpCam<sup>+</sup> cells (i.e. cTEC). Red lines, Foxn1<sup>R/R</sup>; blue lines, WT (Foxn1<sup>R/+</sup> rather than WT is shown for Ly51, and is indistinguishable from WT); grey lines, isotype control. All analyses are on 6 week old thymi except E and Ei which are on postnatal day 10-11 thymi. Data shown are representative of at least three independent experiments, except (F), n = 1. (I) 4 week old Foxn1<sup>R/R</sup>;ROSA26CreER<sub>2</sub> mice were injected intraperitoneally with 1.5 mg 4-hydroxy tamoxifen (4OHT) and sacrificed 2 days later; bottom panels show un-injected littermate controls. Images show immunostaining with CDR1, anti-pancytokeratin, Ly51, anti-MHC Class II and anti-Aire. Data are representative of at least 3 mice for each condition. (J) 6 week old Foxn1<sup>R/R</sup>;ROSA26CreER<sub>2</sub> (R/R) thymi were injected with 1.5 mg 4OHT or carrier only and sacrificed 2 days later. Controls were provided by uninjected Foxn1<sup>R/+</sup>;ROSA26CreER<sub>2</sub> (R+/+) littermates. Frozen sections were stained with anti-Aire and anti-PanK, as in (I), and the numbers of Aire<sup>+</sup> TEC per mm<sup>2</sup> of medullary area were determined by counting for three medullary areas from two sections from each of three mice for each condition. Untreated R/R versus R+/+, p = 0.022; 4OHT-treated R/R versus R+/+, p = 0.28; 4OHT-treated R/R versus untreated R/R, p = 0.025. (K) Mature and immature postnatal cTEC (EpCam<sup>+</sup>Ly51<sup>+</sup>MHC Class II<sup>hi</sup> and EpCam<sup>+</sup>Ly51<sup>+</sup>MHC Class II<sup>lo</sup> cells respectively) and mTEC (EpCam<sup>+</sup>Ly51<sup>-</sup>MHC Class II<sup>hi</sup> and EpCam<sup>+</sup>Ly51<sup>-</sup>MHC Class II<sup>lo</sup> cells respectively) were purified from 4-8 week old WT C57BL/6 mice by flow cytometry and the relative Foxn1 mRNA expression levels in each cell population determined by QRT-PCR. Foxn1 is expressed at higher levels in WT cTEC than WT mTEC, and at higher levels in mature than in immature TEC in both compartments. Foxn1 expression levels are shown relative to α-tubulin and are normalized to MHC Class II<sup>hi</sup> cTEC. Data shown are the mean of two technical replicates and are representative of three independent experiments. Error bars show SD. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1002348.g003
tamoxifen (4OHT) to induce Cre recombinase activity. Two days after 4OHT injection, Foxn1 mRNA expression was restored to at least WT levels (Figure S3) and Ly51, CDR1 and MHC Class II staining was observed in a high proportion of cTEC (Figure S3; also see Figure S3). Dih4 mRNA expression was also up-regulated by two days post-injection, as was expression of both CCL25 and Cathepsin L mRNA (Figure S3). Since the half-life of cTEC has been established as 10-14 days [42] these data cannot be explained by proliferation of a previously inhibited cell type followed by differentiation, but rather must reflect phenotypic conversion of existing cells. They are therefore consistent with release of a block in terminal differentiation. The proportions of Aire+ mTEC were also restored to WT levels in this experiment (Figure 3I, 3J).

Collectively, these data reveal two important and previously unreported roles of Foxn1. First, although the requirement for Foxn1 for postnatal TEC maintenance has recently been demonstrated [26], this is the first report of a role for Foxn1 in regulating the differentiation of postnatal TEC. Our data establish the requirement for this transcription factor for terminal differentiation of both cTEC and Aire+ mTEC in the postnatal thymus. Since the Foxn1R/R phenotype is most severe in the cortical compartment, they further suggest differential requirements for Foxn1 in the postnatal cortical and medullary TEC compartments. Consistent with this idea, QRT-PCR analysis indicated higher levels of Foxn1 mRNA in cortical than medullary TEC in the normal postnatal thymus (Figure 3K). Second, they also establish that Foxn1 regulates TEC-specific expression of MHC Class II, Dih4, CD40 and Cathepsin L, which are all critically required in TEC for execution of the T cell development programme. While this regulation may be either direct or indirect, it appears to be lymphocyte-independent, as all thymocyte subsets are present in Foxn1R/R thymi.

Generation of an allelic series expressing graded levels of Foxn1 mRNA

We next crossed Foxn1+/- mice with mice carrying the null allele, Foxn1LacZ [13]. Foxn1LacZ phenocopies the original nude mutation and is referred to as Foxn1 herein. Postnatal Foxn1R/+ mice had small, lymphoid thymic rudiments that were devoid of any cortical or medullary organisation, and lacked peripheral T cells (Figure 4A); no hematopoietic colonization of the Foxn1R/+ thymus was seen at any stage analyzed (Figure S4). Similar to Foxn1LacZ TEC [19] postnatal Foxn1R/+ TEC were predominantly Plet-1- and co-expressed Keratin 5 and Keratin 8, with occasional cells expressing the cortical and medullary TEC markers CDR1 and UEA1 respectively (Figure 4B). However, unlike the hairless nu/nu or Foxn1+/- mice, Foxn1R/+ mice produced an overtly normal coat of hair up to six weeks of age, after which they showed sporadic hair loss. Reactivation of Foxn1 by tamoxifen-mediated induction of Cre recombinase activity in postnatal Foxn1R+/-; Rosa26CreER(T2) mice up to at least four months old resulted in development of an organized, functional thymus containing all major cortical and medullary TEC sub-types (Figure 4C), indicating that thymic epithelial progenitor cells can persist for prolonged periods in vivo in the absence of wild-type levels of Foxn1, and demonstrating that expression of SV40Tag in these cells did not affect their differentiative capacity.

The differences observed between Foxn1+/+ and Foxn1+/- mice suggested that further functions of Foxn1 might be revealed by analysis of an allelic series. We therefore established a series of six strains comprising all possible combinations of Foxn1-, Foxn1R/R and WT alleles. Precise determination of Foxn1 mRNA expression levels across this series relative to wild type was achieved by analysis of a defined TEC population, E12.5 Plet-1+ TEC (Figure 4D). In E12.5 Plet-1+ TEC, the Foxn1R/- allele expressed approximately twenty percent of WT Foxn1 mRNA levels (Figure 4D).

Foxn1 is not required for initial divergence of the medullary TEC sub-lineage

The postnatal Foxn1 null phenotype is characterized by the presence of linear aggregates of cells that express markers associated with the earliest thymus-restricted epithelial progenitor cells found in ontogeny [19], suggesting that, in the absence of Foxn1, TE lineage progression might be blocked at the earliest progenitor stage [19,13]. This idea was supported by the recent demonstration of a persisting common TEPc in postnatal Foxn1-/- mice [8]. However, the possibility remains that more restricted precursors arise in the absence of Foxn1 but are not maintained later in ontogeny.

To determine the stages in early thymus ontogeny at which Foxn1 is required, we analyzed all strains of the allelic series (Figure 5) using marker combinations that identify early cortex (CD205 and K5hi) and early medulla (Claudin [Cldn] 4, UEA1, K5hi and MTS10) [10,11,14,44]. Plet-1 [5] was also included as an indicator of founder/early progenitor cell status. We focussed on the E13.5 and E15.5 time points since at these stages the TE is undergoing active patterning into cortical and medullary compartments [45]. Notably, functional analyses have shown that the Cldn4hi cells present at E13.5 in the WT thymic primordium are medullary TE lineage-restricted precursors [10].

By E13.5 WT, Foxn1+/- and Foxn1R/+ thymi contained central K5hiCldn4hi clusters surrounded by K5lo/K8hiCldn4+/- regions (Figure 5A); as previously reported for WT thymi [3,10,45]; this central Cldn4hi region has previously been demonstrated to correspond to prospective medulla [10]. These data thus confirmed the normal progression of TEC lineage development in Foxn1R/+ mice.

K5hiCldn4hi and K5 Cldn4+/- regions were also present in the thymic primordia of Foxn1-/- mice at both E13.5 and E15.5 (Figure 5A; Figure S5). These data establish that divergence of the mTEC lineage from the common TEPC in postnatal Foxn1R/- mice.

By E13.5 WT, Foxn1+/- and Foxn1R/+ thymi contained central K5hiCldn4hi clusters surrounded by K5lo/K8hiCldn4+/- regions (Figure 5A); as previously reported for WT thymi [3,10,45]; this central Cldn4hi region has previously been demonstrated to correspond to prospective medulla [10]. These data thus confirmed the normal progression of TEC lineage development in Foxn1R/+ mice. However, a CD205+ population was detectable by E15.5 in all other strains tested, including Foxn1R/- mice, demonstrating that only low levels of Foxn1 mRNA expression are required to promote the early stages of cTEC development (Figure 5B).

By E15.5, UEA1+ and MTS10+ mTEC were present in all strains in the allelic series that express ≥50% WT levels of Foxn1 mRNA (Foxn1+/-, Foxn1R/+ and WT thymi). However, while strains that expressed ≤20% WT Foxn1 mRNA all contained some UEA1+ TEC, MTS10 expression was not detected (shown for Foxn1+/-, Foxn1R/R and Foxn1+/-, Figure 5C). We note that UEA1 staining was present only on rare cells in the Foxn1+/- and Foxn1R/+ thymic primordium, but that this staining was consistent and above background. Since MTS10 staining was detected as normal on postnatal mTEC in Foxn1R/- mutants, but was only observed on very rare cells in postnatal
and Foxn1-/- thymi, we conclude that mTEC differentiation beyond the earliest mTEC sub-lineage progenitor state is blocked in the absence, and delayed at intermediate levels, of Foxn1 expression.

Collectively, the data described above indicate that Foxn1 is not required for divergence of the mTEC sub-lineage from the common TEPC but is required subsequently, in a dosage dependent manner, to promote TEC differentiation. Of note is that high level Plet-1 expression is maintained in Foxn1-/- TECs, supporting the conclusion that these cells remain blocked in the earliest differentiation state despite having undergone lineage divergence (Figure S5).

Figure 4. Quantitative analysis of the Foxn1R allele. (A) Flow cytometric analysis of thymocyte development in neonatal Foxn1R/+ mice. Representative plots are shown for anti-CD45, anti-CD4 versus anti-CD8 and anti-CD44 versus anti-CD25, after gating on CD45+ cells. Foxn1R-/- thymi fail to support the maturation of immature thymocyte progenitors. Note that the tissue dissected in Foxn1R/+ mice contains non-thymic tissue as well as the thymic rudiment due to the difficulty of identifying the thymic rudiment in postnatal Foxn1R/+ mice. (B) Immunohistochemical analysis of postnatal Foxn1R/ and Foxn1+/+ thymi showing Plet-1, CDR1, K14, K5, K8 and UEA-1 and PanK staining. Images shown are representative of at least three individual mice for each genotype. Scale bars 47.62 μm for Plet-1/PanK and 150 μm for K5/K8, K14/CDR1 and UEA1/PanK. (C) 2-4 month old Foxn1R+/ROSA26CreERT2 mice were injected intraperitoneally with 1.5 mg 4-hydroxy tamoxifen (4OHT) or carrier, and sacrificed 7 weeks later. Thymi were analyzed with the markers shown. MHCII, MHC Class II. (D) Relative Foxn1 expression levels were determined by QRT-PCR analysis of E12.5 Plet-1+ TEC using the Sybr-Green method. Foxn1 expression was normalized to the geometric mean of three housekeeping genes. Numbers show mean expression level relative to WT. (A-C) Data shown are representative of at least three independent experiments. (D) WT, Foxn1R/+ and Foxn1R/R, data are representative of at least three independent experiments; Foxn1R- and Foxn1-/-, n = 1.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1002348.g004

Foxn1R+/ and Foxn1+/- thymi, we conclude that mTEC differentiation beyond the earliest mTEC sub-lineage progenitor state is blocked in the absence, and delayed at intermediate levels, of Foxn1 expression.
Low-level Foxn1 expression enables exit from the earliest sub-lineage TEPC states in the fetal thymus but is unable to sustain lineage progression

The above analysis suggested that specific intermediate states of TEC development are dependent on discrete levels of Foxn1 expression. To further test this notion, we used flow cytometry to provide quantitative evaluation of TEC differentiation status in the different allelic variants at defined time-points. For this we analyzed representative markers of the founder TE cell-state (Plet-1 [3,5]) and functional maturation (MHC Class II [47]); TEC were positively identified using EpCam in all analyses.

In order to identify intermediate phenotypes that occur during normal TEC lineage progression we initially analyzed expression of these markers in WT mice at E12.5, E13.5 and E15.5 (Figure 5D). This demonstrated that normal TEC lineage progression is characterized by the down-regulation of Plet-1 coupled with acquisition of MHC Class II expression. All plots show data obtained after gating on EpCAM+ cells. The data shown for Foxn1+/+, Foxn1+/-, and E13.5 Foxn1-/- mice are representative analyses of individual thymic primordia, all other plots show analyses on pooled samples from several embryos. n=3 for all genotypes. Relative proportions of EpCam+Plet-1+ cells in Foxn1+/+ and Foxn1-/- mice: E13.5: Foxn1+/-, 70.8±16.0%; Foxn1-/-, 74.3±7.6%; E15.5: Foxn1+/-, 59±7.9%; Foxn1-/-, 73.8±13.3%; Foxn1-/- versus Foxn1+/- p = 0.74 (E13.5), p = 0.06 (E15.5).

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1002348.g005

The two functionally athymic strains (Foxn1+/+ and Foxn1-/-) each exhibited TEC subset profiles consistent with a severe early block in TEC differentiation. Unexpectedly, the severity of this block differed between these two strains. In Foxn1-/- thymi, the ratio of Plet-1+ to Plet-1lo/- TEC was maintained between E13.5 and E15.5 and was similar to that observed at E12.5 during normal development (determined based on comparison of the mean percentage of Plet-1+ and Plet-1lo/- cells as shown in Figure 5E, 5F legend). However, in Foxn1+/- thymi, the proportion of Plet-1lo/- TEC increased from twenty six percent at E13.5, comparable to E13.5 Foxn1-/- thymi, to forty one percent at E15.5 (see mean percentages provided in Figure 5E, 5F legend). This suggested that by E15.5, at least some Foxn1-/- TEC had been able to exit from the earliest progenitor state and enter the TEC differentiation programme, but that Foxn1-/- TEC could not make this transition. This conclusion was supported by analysis of the differentiation marker MHC Class II, which was expressed by a small population of Plet-1+ and Plet-1lo/- cells in Foxn1-/- mice at E15.5, but was virtually undetectable in Foxn1+/+ mice (Figure 5F). Importantly, induction of MHC class II expression occurred in the absence of colonizing haematopoietic cells (Figure S4). Thus, while TECs in the Foxn1-/- thymus remained in an undifferentiated state apparently equivalent to the earliest progenitor stages of each TEC sub-lineage, Foxn1+/+ TECs could initiate the very early events associated with TEC
lineage differentiation but could not progress beyond this initial differentiation step.

**Foxn1 controls allocation into or maintenance of the differentiated TEC compartment**

Collectively, the above data establish that Foxn1 is required for progression through successive stages of both cTEC and mTEC differentiation. To test whether Foxn1 might also regulate TEC proliferation and/or survival, we also quantified both founder/early progenitor cells of the lineage (Plet-1^{-}/MHC Class II TEC) and cells that were further into the TE differentiation programme (Plet-1^{-} TEC) for each allelic variant.

At both E13.5 and E15.5, the absolute numbers of Plet-1^{-} cells correlated with Foxn1 dosage (Figure 6A), consistent with a role for Foxn1 in regulating proliferation of Plet-1^{-} TEC and thus potentially overall thymus size [48]. However, the most marked effect was on the numbers of Plet-1^{-} TEC present at different levels of Foxn1. At intermediate and low Foxn1 levels (i.e. ≤20% of WT mRNA levels; Foxn1^{R/R}, Foxn1^{R/-} and Foxn1^{/-/-}) the numbers of Plet-1^{-} TEC were decreased by four-fold compared to Foxn1^{+/+} thymi at both E13.5 and E15.5. Notably, Foxn1 dosage was more important in determining Plet-1^{-} and Plet-1^{+} cell numbers than the presence or absence of SV40Tag (Figure 6A). These data establish that a threshold level of Foxn1 mRNA between that found in Foxn1^{R/R} and Foxn1^{+/+} TEC is required for generation/expansion of the Plet-1^{-} TEC population with normal kinetics, indicating a role for Foxn1 in regulating thymus size.

The above data could be consistent with roles for Foxn1 in the production, proliferation and/or maintenance of Plet-1^{-} TEC. To begin to discriminate between these possibilities we performed cell cycle analysis on TEC in all of the allelic variants at E13.5. Both Foxn1^{R/-} and Foxn1^{+/+} TEC exhibited slower cell cycle times than WT TEC (Figure 6B; note the proportions of cells in the G1/G0 and S/G2/M fractions). However, no differences in cell cycle were observed between E13.5 WT, Foxn1^{R+/+} and Foxn1^{R/-} TEC (Figure 6B; note the proportions of cells in G0/G1, S and G2/M), establishing that the reduced Plet-1^{-} cell numbers in fetal Foxn1^{R/R} thymi could not be explained by differences in proliferation rate. We therefore conclude that in the fetal thymus, Foxn1 regulates allocation of cells into the differentiating Plet-1^{-} TEC compartment and/or the maintenance of Plet-1^{-} TEC. At present, we cannot distinguish between direct and indirect effects of Foxn1 in regulating fetal Plet-1^{-} cell numbers, as we also observed a significant delay in maturation of the thymic mesenchyme and immigration of mesenchymal cells into the thymic epithelium in Foxn1^{R/-} mice (Figure 6B), raising the possibility that the deficit in Plet-1^{-} TEC in these mutants at E15.5 might result from impaired mesenchymal-epithelial cross talk.

**Foxn1 regulates effector genes with diverse roles in thymic epithelial cell development and function**

In order to relate our findings to molecular control of TEC differentiation and function, we investigated the response of a suite of genes with diverse functions in thymus biology — i.e. that are known to regulate particular aspects of thymus development or are required in TEC to support T cell development - to changes in Foxn1 dosage. In this panel, we included genes known to be down-regulated or absent from the Foxn1 null thymic primordium or identified in our analysis as being regulated by Foxn1 (Dll4 [34] and CCL25 [49]), or with defined roles in TEC development (Pax1 [50–52]). We also included Fg2, to date the only gene verified by chromatin immunoprecipitation in keratinocytes as a direct Foxn1 target [53]. Expression of each gene was analyzed at two time points. Initially, we analyzed expression in EpCam^{+} cells purified from the thymic primordium at E13.5. QRT-PCR analysis revealed that all of the genes tested except Fg2 showed changes in expression in response to Foxn1 levels (Figure 6C-6D; see also Figure S3). In this population, Dll4, CCL25 and Pax1 were each expressed in proportion to Foxn1 mRNA levels, though titering to different threshold levels (Figure 6C, 6D). Our data indicate considerable heterogeneity among E13.5 TEC, raising the possibility that the observed expression patterns reflected altered TEC subset balances between the different allelic variants. Therefore, we also analyzed expression of these genes in a defined cell population, E12.5 Plet-1^{-} TEC. The expression levels of all three genes were also proportional to Foxn1 expression in this population (Figure 6C’ and D’), indicating that, in TEC, Foxn1 is genetically upstream of all three genes. In contrast, EpCam was expressed at comparable levels in the E12.5 Plet-1^{-} TEC population in all of the allelic variants. Surprisingly Fg2 expression was detected in thymic mesenchyme but not in TEC, indicating that Foxn1 regulates different targets in TEC and keratinocytes (Figure 6F). To test whether Foxn1 regulation of Dll4 and CCL25 was direct or indirect, we over-expressed either the full-length Foxn1 cDNA or GFP cDNA in a cell population that does not normally express Foxn1 or either Dll4 or CCL25. Both genes were significantly up-regulated in cells transfected with Foxn1 but not in control samples (Figure 6G). These data thus strongly suggest that Foxn1 directly regulates both Dll4 and CCL25.

In conjunction with the data presented above showing Foxn1 regulates multiple intermediate TEC states, these data suggest that Foxn1 is a master regulator of the overall ‘thymus programme’ rather than regulating a particular aspect of TEC biology.

**Discussion**

We have investigated the role of Foxn1 in the TE lineage via analysis of an allelic series that expresses defined levels of Foxn1 mRNA relative to WT. We have demonstrated that, in early ontogeny, Foxn1 is not required for divergence of the medullary TE sub-lineage from the common thymic epithelial progenitor cell; indeed we found no evidence for Foxn1 regulation of the choice between two alternative cell fates at any point in TE lineage progression. We have further demonstrated the requirement for Foxn1 for stable initiation of differentiation in the earliest TEC progenitors present in ontogeny, for subsequent progression through intermediate progenitor stages in both the cortical and medullary TEC sub-lineages, and for terminal differentiation of both cTEC and mTEC in the postnatal thymus. Taken together, these data establish that Foxn1 is required to execute the TE lineage programme at multiple stages in both the cortical and medullary TEC sub-lineages, but does not appear to regulate cell fate choice in TE lineage development. A model for Foxn1 regulation of cellular hierarchies during TE lineage development, based on the genetic analyses presented herein, is proposed in Figure 7.

In addition, we have established that Foxn1 is genetically upstream of a number of genes with known roles in TE lineage development or TEC function, specifically Pax1, Dll4, CD40, Cathepsin L and MHC Class II, demonstrating that Foxn1 regulates these genes either directly or indirectly. Finally, we have confirmed and extended observations that Foxn1 is required indirectly to regulate thymic mesenchymal development [54]. These findings significantly extend understanding of regulation of thymus
Figure 6. Foxn1 is required for exit from the earliest TEC progenitor states and regulates the size of the differentiated TEC compartment during ontogeny. (A) Absolute numbers of Plet-1\(^{+}\)EpCam\(^{+}\)MHC Class II \(\text{(MHCII)}\) (open bars) and Plet-1 EpCam\(^{+}\) (black bars) cells per thymus at E13.5 and E15.5 for each genotype. Data shown are representative of at least three independent experiments. Error bars show SD. (B) Cell cycle analysis of Foxn1 allelic variants. Images show flow cytometric analysis of E15.5 WT, Foxn1\(^{R/+}\), Foxn1\(^{R/R}\), Foxn1\(^{R/-}\) and Foxn1\(^{-/-}\) thymi using anti-BrdU-APC and 7-AAD 1 hour after injection with BrdU. Plots are representative plots for each genotype after gating on EpCam\(^{+}\) cells; pairs of plots show data collected on the same day. (C,D,F) QRT-PCR analysis of E13.5 TEC (EpCam\(^{+}\) cells) or E13.5 thymic mesenchymal cells (PDGFRalpha\(^{+}\) cells) for the genes shown. Data are normalized to \(\alpha\)-tubulin and shown relative to E13.5 WT EpCam\(^{+}\) cells (C,D) or E13.5 WT PDGFRalpha\(^{+}\) cells (F) and...
show the means from two (Pax1) or three (Dll4, CCL25, Fgf2) biological replicates for each gene. Data for all genes are representative of at least three biological replicates performed using either the Lightcycler or Fluidigm platforms. Error bars show SD. (C,D,E) QRT-PCR analysis of E12.5 Ppel1-TEC for the genes shown. Data are normalized to Eva [64] and shown relative to E12.5 WOps cells. Data show mean of three technical replicates from one experiment on RNA from pooled thymi for each genotype. CCL25 and Dll4, data are representative of two independent analyses using different analysis platforms. (G) QRT-PCR analysis of mouse embryonic stem cells after transient transfection with either Foxn1 or GFP. Data show Foxn1, Dll4 and CCL25 expression levels relative to β-actin and show means of two (Foxn1) or three (Dll4, CCL25) biological repeats. Error bars show SD; Dll4, p = 0.009 (Foxn1 over-expression versus GFP over-expression); CCL25 p = 0.038 (Foxn1 over-expression versus GFP over-expression). (H) Cartoon shows regulatory network indicated by data shown.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1002348.g006

Role of Foxn1 in TE lineage progression

With respect to TE lineage development during thymus organogenesis, our data (Figure 3) establish that Foxn1 is not required for divergence of the medullary TE sub-lineage from the common TEPc that is currently thought to exist at the basis of the TEC hierarchy [8]. This finding was unexpected, particularly given the recent demonstration of a persisting common TEPc in Foxn1 null mice [8]. Taken together with those of Bleul and colleagues, our data strongly suggest that only the common TEPc can persist in the postnatal Foxn1 null thymus and thus that Foxn1 is required directly or indirectly for TEC survival at stages subsequent to the common TEPc (including sub-lineage restricted progenitors). However, further work is required to explore this hypothesis, as an alternative possibility is that the activity of sub-lineage progenitors could not be detected in the clonal assay employed by Bleul.

Our data further establish that, subsequent to lineage divergence, Foxn1 is required in the fetal thymus for stable exit from the earliest progenitor cell state/entry into the differentiation programme leading to generation of functional TEC in both the cortical and medullary sub-lineages. Strikingly, low-level expression of Foxn1 (as found in Foxn1R/− TEC) is sufficient to initiate or stabilize entry into the TEC differentiation programme, as evidenced by acquisition of MHC Class II staining (see Figure 6), but is not sufficient to promote differentiation to a stage at which TEC in either sub-lineage are able to support T cell development. These data collectively establish that entry into the TEC differentiation programme can be genetically separated from execution of the full programme (i.e. the programme leading to TEC that are functionally competent to support T cell development) based on different threshold expression levels of Foxn1. This indicates the requirement for Foxn1 for mediating both processes, and will enable dissection of the molecular role of Foxn1 at early and late differentiation stages.

We have also demonstrated, to our knowledge for the first time, that Foxn1 regulates differentiation of both cTEC and mTEC sub-lineages in the postnatal thymus. Specifically, we have shown that at intermediate levels of Foxn1 expression (as found in Foxn1R/R TEC) differentiation of cTEC is blocked at a CD205⁺CDRF1⁺ developmental stage and in addition, the number of Aire⁺ mTEC are significantly decreased. That the block in cTEC differentiation is relieved within two days of reversion to WT Foxn1 levels provides genetic evidence for the existence of the CD205⁺CDRF1⁻ intermediate stage in cortical TEC differentiation previously suggested by indirect analyses [11] and extends those findings from the fetal to the postnatal thymus. That the number of Aire⁺ mTEC is also rapidly restored to WT levels upon reversion of the Foxn1R⁺ allele suggests that differentiation of mTEC is also blocked at intermediate Foxn1 levels. From these data, we conclude that Foxn1 regulates similar mechanisms in each of the major TEC sub-lineages.

Our data further indicate MHC Class II as a direct or indirect target of Foxn1 in both fetal and postnatal TEC. Notably, TEC are unique outside the hematopoietic system in constitutively expressing MHC Class II, and the link between Foxn1 and MHC Class II expression is therefore significant.

Foxn1 regulation of genes required for TEC development or function

Finally, we have demonstrated that Foxn1 directly or indirectly regulates a panel of genes that mediate diverse aspects of thymus development or function. These include Pax1, an essential mediator of TEC differentiation/survival [52], Dll4 is expressed in the third pharyngeal pouch from E9.5 and remains expressed throughout thymus ontogeny, being restricted to cTEC in the postnatal thymus [50]. Although regulation of this Pax gene is only poorly understood, from E11.0 sustained expression of Paxl requires Hoxa3 [55]. Our data show, to our knowledge for the first time, that expression of Pax1 in the thymic primordium is Foxn1-dependent. They thus place Foxn1 and Hoxa3 together in a network or cascade that regulates Pax1 expression, providing the first demonstration of a genetic interaction between Hoxa3 and Foxn1 (Figure 6H). In this regard, it is striking that Hoxa3⁻⁻⁻Pax1⁻⁻⁻ compound mutant mice share some phenotype characteristics with Foxn1R/R/R mice; both mutants have hypomorphic postnatal thymus and reduced levels of MHC class II expression on TEC [51]. As Hoxa3 itself is expressed in TEC in all of the Foxn1 mutants at E13.5 (data not shown), our data could support either of two alternative explanations: Hoxa3 may regulate Foxn1, which in turn regulates Pax1 expression in the thymic primordium, such that Hoxa3 regulation of Pax1 is Foxn1-dependent (Figure 6G), or Hoxa3 and Foxn1 may be required independently to maintain Pax1 expression in the third pharyngeal pouch/early thymus primordium (Figure 6G). We note that since Hoxa3 is expressed both in TEC and neural crest-derived mesenchymal cells in the thymic primordium, its regulation of Pax1 could be either direct or indirect.

We further show that Foxn1 regulates CCL25 and Dll4, which each play critical roles in thymocyte development – CCL25 regulates colonization of the fetal thymus [49] while Dll4 is the obligate Notch ligand controlling commitment of haematopoietic progenitors to the T cell lineage [34] respectively. It has previously been suggested, based on antibody staining, that although Dll4 and CCL25 are absent from the Foxn1 null thymus [56] their expression is Foxn1-independent in TEC [57]. However, this view has been challenged in a recent report, which placed Foxn1 upstream of dll4b and ccl25a expression in medaka fish [58]. Our data show that in both early fetal TEC and in the postnatal thymus Dll4 expression is proportional to Foxn1 expression, and further demonstrate that over-expression of Foxn1 in embryonic stem cells results in expression of both Dll4 and CCL25. They therefore extend the findings in medaka to demonstrate Foxn1 regulation of Dll4 and CCL25 in the mammalian thymus, and provide strong evidence that Foxn1 regulation of both of these target genes is direct. Reduced expression of Dll4 in Foxn1R/+R/+ mutants also correlates with a proportional increase in intrathymic B cells, indicating that Foxn1 expression within the normal range is necessary to sustain full TEC functionality. Taken together, these data provide mechanistic insight into our findings that Foxn1
regulates multiple aspects of TEC development and function. As they demonstrate Foxn1 regulation of genes required for many different aspects of TEC biology, they suggest that this transcription factor functions as a master regulator of the core TEC lineage programme. Furthermore, the finding that different Foxn1-regulated genes show distinct response patterns to changes in Foxn1 dosage may explain why different levels of Foxn1 are required for different functions in TEC.

Collectively, the data presented herein establish Foxn1 as a powerful regulator of TEC differentiation in the fetal and adult thymus. Furthermore, they demonstrate that different threshold levels of Foxn1 mRNA are required for different functions. Together with the recent finding that reduction of Foxn1 expression postnatally causes premature thymic involution characterized by loss of TEC [25-27], this suggests that Foxn1 may be required in TEC from initiation of differentiation throughout the cell’s lifetime. Further work is required to determine whether it is a maintenance factor for differentiated TEC as well as having roles in proliferation and differentiation. Improved understanding of the regulation of Foxn1 in thymic development and homeostasis, and of Foxn1 regulation of its targets in different TEC sub-types, is thus likely to become a priority for strategies aimed at protecting or regenerating the thymus for therapeutic ends.

Materials and Methods

Ethics statement
All animal work was conducted according to UK Home Office guidelines, as established in the ANIMALS (SCIENTIFIC PROCEDURES) ACT 1986.

Mice
Rosa26CreERt2/+ [41] and ZP3-Cre [28] mice were maintained as homozygotes and crossed with Foxn1R/R mice as described. Foxn1−/− mice [59] were maintained as heterozygotes on a C57BL/6 background. Foxn1R mice were backcrossed onto the C57BL/6 background for at least 5 generations and subsequently maintained via intercrossing. For timed matings, noon of the day of the vaginal plug was taken as day 0.5.

Generation of Foxn1 targeting vector
A construct containing the targeting cassette shown in Figure S1 was generated by standard molecular biology techniques and verified by sequencing. Conventional subcloning was used for the majority of cloning steps. PCR cloning using a proof-reading Taq polymerase (Roche) and TOPO-TA cloning vector (Invitrogen) was employed to insert the SV40 T antigen cassette. RecET cloning [60] was used to isolate 4 kb of the mouse Foxn1 locus from PAC367b19.
Southern blotting

Genomic DNA was processed for Southern Blotting as described [61].

Gene targeting and blastocyst injection

Mouse sv129/ola ES cells (line E14tg2a) were electroporated with linearized targeting vector and grown under Blasticidin selection. Three correctly targeted clones, identified by Southern analysis (Figure S1), were expanded and transfected with a Cre recombine expression plasmid to induce deletion of the BSD cassette. Clones in which this cassette had been deleted, but which retained the rest of the targeting construct including the two remaining LoxP sites, were identified by Southern analysis, verified by sequencing and injected into C57BL/6 blastocysts to generate chimeric mice. Germ-line transmission was confirmed by genotyping for two independently targeted ES cell clones.

Transient transfection

E14tg2a cells were cultured in GMEM containing FCS and LIF. Transfection was carried out in 12 well plates, at a cell density of 90-95% confluence, using GenJuice transfection reagent (Novagen). The ratio of transfection reagent to DNA was 5:1 and 1 μg of DNA was used for each well. The medium was changed 24 hrs after transfection and 1.5 μg/ml Puromycin was added to the new medium to select for transfected cells. Cells were harvested 48 hours after transfection.

Primers

The sequences of primers used for conventional PCR and QRT-PCR are shown in Table 1 and Table 2 respectively.

Genotyping

Foxn1F and Foxn1R were used to detect the wild-type Foxn1 allele. TF and TR were used to detect the SV40 T antigen cDNA.

Antibodies

MTS20 (IgM) and MTS24 (IgG2a), rat mAbs that recognise Plet-1 [5], and MTS10, a rat mAb that recognises mediulary TEC; were kind gifts from R.L. Boyd; anti-EpCAM (G8.8, rat IgG2a, DSHB); anti-Cytokeratin 5 (AF138, rabbit IgG polyclonal, Covance); anti-Claudin 4 (rabbit IgG, Santa Cruz); anti-Dll4 (AP-5, rat IgG2a); anti-SV40 T antigen (PAb100, mouse IgG1(all Pharmingen)); EPIC (rat IgG2a); anti-CD45-APC (30-F11, rat IgG2b); anti-PDGFRalpha (AP-5, rat IgG2a); anti-SV40 T antigen [PAI100, mouse IgG1(all Pharmingen)]; ERK7 (rat IgG2a was a kind gift from W. van Ewijk); anti-Cytokeratin (rabbit IgG polyclonal, DAKO); CDR1 (rat IgG2a, was a kind gift from B Kyewski); anti-Foxn1 C-terminal (IM-3744, polyclonal, Immunex); Ly51 (Clone 6C3, Rat IgG2a, Biolend); anti-Bst (Rabbit polyclonal, purified, IgG, MBL International); biotinated UEA-1 (Vector Laboratories). For detection of unconjugated primaries the following secondary antibodies were used; goat anti-rabbit IgG-alexa488; goat anti-rat IgG-alexa647; donkey anti-rat IgG-alexa488; Streptavidin-alexa647 (all Molecular Probes); mouse anti-rat IgM-PE (G53-238, Pharmingen).

Flow cytometry

Analysis. Mouse fetal thymi were processed for flow cytometric analysis as described [3]. Adult TEC were enzymatically digested to single cell suspensions as described for fetal thymi, and processed for flow cytometric analysis without further enrichment. Adult thymocytes were isolated by mechanical disruption of 5–6 week old thymi and stained with the appropriate antibodies. All staining was for 20 minutes on ice in PBS/5%FCS/5μl DNAseI. Data were acquired using a FACS Calibur (BD San Jose, Ca) or Cyan (Beckman Coulter, Miami, Fl) cytometers and analyzed using Flowjo version 6.4.6 (Tree Star, Inc) software.

Sorting. For isolation of fetal TEC, MTS20CD45+Ter119CD31+PDGFRalpha+ and MTS20CD45+Ter119CD31+PDGFR alpha+ populations were purified; anti-EpCam was also used to positively identify TEC in some sorts (as indicated). For isolation of adult TEC, TEC were enriched as described [62] and EpCAM+Ly5+MHC Class II+, EpCAM+Ly5+MHC Class II+, EpCAM+Ly5+MHC Class II+, and EpCAM+Ly5+MHC Class.

Table 1. Primer sequences used for conventional PCR.

| Gene name     | Primer sequence                  |
|---------------|----------------------------------|
| Foxn1 forward | 5’ CATAAATCCAGCAGCTGGG 3’         |
| Foxn1 reverse | 5’ GGGCGCTGAGAGTGAAAGTGG 3’       |
| Foxn1 Exon 1A forward (Ex1AF) | 5’ GACGGTCGAGACTTCTGGC 3’     |
| Foxn1 Exon 3 reverse (Ex3R) | 5’ CTCTGCGGAGACTTCTGGC 3’       |
| SV40Tag forward (TF) | 5’ TGCCCTAAACACCTGAGCAGC 3’ |
| SV40Tag reverse (TR) | 5’ TGAATTTCTGCAGTATTCTGC 3’   |
| Ø actin forward | 5’ GCCCAGAGAAGAGAGGTATCC 3’     |
| Ø actin reverse | 5’ ACGCAGATTTCTCCTGACG 3’        |

Table 2. Primer sequences used for QRT-PCR.

| Gene name     | Primer sequence                  |
|---------------|----------------------------------|
| alpha-tubulin forward | 5’ CGGACCACTCAAGGACTAAA 3’     |
| alpha-tubulin reverse | 5’ ATTGCCGACTTGGACACC 3’      |
| Foxn1 forward | 5’ TGAGGGACACCTCGGCTTCA 3’       |
| Foxn1 reverse | 5’ GACAGGTTTGGGGAACAGAA 3’       |
| Dll4 forward | 5’ AGGGACCACTCGGTTTAC 3’         |
| Dll4 reverse | 5’ GGGGACGAAATGGCTGATA 3’         |
| CCL25 forward | 5’ GAGTGCCACCTGATTGCTAC 3’       |
| CCL25 reverse | 5’ CCAGCTGCTGTCATCTG 3’           |
| Pax1 forward | 5’ CTCCGCAATTAGCTACACG 3’         |
| Pax1 reverse | 5’ TTTCCTCAAGCTTGATGAGG 3’         |
| Fgfl2 forward | 5’ CGGCTCTGACTGAAAGACG 3’         |
| Fgfl2 reverse | 5’ TGCTGGATGGTGATTTGACG 3’         |
| Cathepsin L forward | 5’ CAAATAGGATATAATTTGCTGCTA 3’   |
| Cathepsin L reverse | 5’ TGAGCTGGCTATTCCCATC 3’       |
| EpCam forward | 5’ AGAATACCTGCTATTGCTCCAACACT 3’   |
| EpCam reverse | 5’ GCTCTGAGATGCCCTC 3’            |
| EVA forward | 5’ TGTCCTCCTACCTCGCTGAC 3’        |
| EVA reverse | 5’ TCCACAGCTTCTGAGGACAA 3’        |

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1002348.t002
II" populations purified after gating against CD45^+ Ter119^- cells. Cell sorting was performed using MoFlo (Dakocytomation) and FACSAria (BD) cell sorters, to a purity of >95% for each sample. For all samples, compensations were determined using antibody capture beads (made in house by S. Monard) and Fluorescence Minus One (FMO) staining was used to determine positivity for each antibody. 7AAD was used to identify dead cells in all samples.

**Immunohistochemistry**

Whole E13.5 – E15.5 embryos or adult thymus were processed for immunohistochemistry as described [2]. Isotype controls (not shown) were included in all experiments. Staining was analyzed using a Leica AOBs confocal microscope (Leica Microsystems GmbH). The images presented are either single optical sections or projected focus stacks of serial optical sections. The number of Aire^+ TEC per mm medullary area was established by analyzing three medullary areas on each of two non-sequential sections from each of three individual mice (i.e. the number of Aire^+ cells was counted for six different medullary areas for each of three individual mice per condition). The size of each medullary area was calculated using Adobe Photoshop and the number of Aire^+ cells per square mm was then calculated. Statistical analysis was on the average number of Aire^+ cells per condition.

**Tamoxifen injection**

Mice were treated with a single intraperitoneal injection of 1.5 mg 4-hydroxy tamoxifen (4OHT) prepared in ethanol and diluted appropriately in Cremophor (Sigma)/PBS.

**RNA isolation**

RNA was prepared using TRI-reagent and RNAeasy (both Qiagen) according to manufacturer’s instructions. All samples were DNase treated.

**QRT-PCR**

cDNA was prepared using the Superscript II first strand synthesis kit (Invitrogen) with Oligo-dT primers, according to manufacturer’s instructions. For data shown in Figure 4, the IQ SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad) was used for quantification and the relative expression level of the target genes was normalized to the geometric mean of three control genes (Hprt, Ywhaz, Hmbs). For data shown in Figure 3, Figure 6C, 6D, 6F and Figure S2 and Figure S3, relative expression levels were determined using the Roche Universal Probe Library (Foxn1, Probe 68; SV40Tag, Probe 32; alpha-tubulin, probe 58; D114, probe 106; CCL25, probe 9; Pax1, probe 105; Fgf2, probe 4; EpCam, probe 52; EVA, probe 100) with the Roche Lightcycler 480. Relative expression levels are shown after normalization to alpha-tubulin expression using Roche LC480 Relative Quantification software. Technical duplicates or triplicates were run for all samples and no RT and no template controls were included in all experiments. For data shown in Figure 6C, 6D and 6E, relative expression levels were determined using the Roche Universal Probe Library (as above) using microfluidic QRT-PCR (Fluidigm). Pre-amplification was carried out using target specific primer pairs according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Samples were loaded onto a BioMark 48.48 Dynamic Array (Fluidigm) and thermal cycling was performed using a BioMark instrument (Fluidigm) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Data analysis was carried out using BioMark Real-Time PCR Analysis Software v2.0 (Fluidigm) and the ΔΔt method [63]. Expression levels are shown relative to WT after normalization to Epithelial V-like Antigen (EVA)[64].

**Cell cycle analysis**

Pregnant female mice were injected with 1mg BrdU at E15.5 and embryos were collected 1 hour after injection. Thymi were immediately microdissected, dissociated and stained with 4i8 (Pharmingen), anti-CD45-PE, anti-BrdU-APC and 7-AAD using the Pharmingen APC BrdU Flow Kit according to manufacturer’s instructions.

**Western blotting**

Nuclear protein fractions were prepared using the Active Motif Nuclear Extract Kit according to manufacturer's instructions, separated by electrophoresis on a SDS-PAGE gel (Novex, Invitrogen), and processed for Western Blotting as described [5].

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using the one-way ANOVA test (two tailed), as appropriate for normally distributed data (normal distribution was tested using Chi^2 goodness of fit). The alpha level is taken as 0.05. Errors shown are standard deviations throughout. Sample sizes of at least n = 5 were used for statistical analyses.

**Supporting Information**

**Figure S1** Generation of Foxn1^R^ mice, related to Figure 1. (A) A LoxP flanked cassette containing the following elements: the 5’ engrafted 2 splice acceptor site (SA), the SV40TAg coding region, and internal ribosome entry site coupled to an enhanced green fluorescent protein/neomycin resistance fusion protein (RES-EFGPNeo), the CMAZ transcriptional pause and a LoxP flanked Blasticidin-S deaminase (BSD) resistance gene was inserted into intron 1b of the Foxn1 locus of mouse ES cells by conventional gene targeting methods. E, exon. (B) Blasticidin resistant colonies were isolated and screened by Southern blotting of Nde1 digested genomic DNA using 5’ and 3’ flanking probes as indicated. Correctly targeted clones yielded 7.5kb and 3.9kb bands corresponding to the wild-type and targeted alleles respectively when screened with the 5’ probe, and 7.5kb and 9.3kb bands corresponding to the wild-type and targeted alleles respectively when screened with the 3’ probe. (C, D) To remove the BSD selectable marker targeted ES cell clones were electrophoresed with a Cre expression vector to allow the transient expression of Cre recombinase and thus excision of the floxed BSD cassette. Following transfection, cells were plated at clonal density, expanded and transferred to master and duplicate plates. Blasticidin sensitive colonies were screened by Southern blotting of Nde1 digested genomic DNA using 5’ and 3’ flanking probes to identify clones in which only the BSD cassette had been removed. Clones in which this event had occurred yielded an 8.3kb band in addition to the 7.5kb band corresponding to the wild-type allele. Three independent clones were identified and subsequently injected into C57BL/6 blastocysts to generate chimeras. Of four high contribution chimeras tested two produced agouti coloured mice. wt, wild-type; R/+ , Foxn1^R/+ ; R/R, Foxn1^R/R. (TIF)

**Figure S2** SV40Tag is expressed at low levels from the Foxn1 locus in Foxn1^R/R TEC in vivo. (A) Image shows staining for SV40Tag (Tag) and pancytokeratin (PanK) in the MC2x3a thymus.
Figure S3 Relative mRNA expression in postnatal WT and Foxn1R/R UEA1+EpCam-R TEC and in Foxn1R/R, R26CreER TEC 2 days after 4OHT injection. Thymi from three 4-week-old mice from each genotype (WT, Foxn1R/R and 4OHT-treated Foxn1R/R, R26CreER) were dissected and processed for flow cytometric cell sorting after enrichment for CD45 cells. PDGFRß after gating on EpCam-CD45- cells. PDGFRalpha and anti-PanK (green) for each genotype as indicated. Data shown are representative of at least three independent experiments. (TIF)

Figure S4 Lack of colonisation of the fetal Foxn1R/R typhic primordium by hematopoietic cells. Images show immunohistochemical analysis of E13.5 thymi showing anti-CD45 (red) and anti-PanK (green) for each genotype as indicated. CD45+ cells can be seen within the thyemical epithelium in Foxn1R/R mice but are reduced in number compared to Foxn1R/+ controls. CD45+ cells in Foxn1R mice remain localized to peri-thymic areas and fail to migrate into the thyemical epithelium. Scale bars 47.62 μm. Representative of at least three separate analyses. (TIF)

Figure S5 Effect of Foxn1 dosage on TEC development during ontogeny. Images show representative sections from E13.5 or E15.5 thymi primordia after staining for (A) K5 and K8, and (B) Plet1 (MTS24) and PanK. Scale bars 47.62 μm. Genotypes are as indicated. Data shown are representative of at least three independent experiments. (TIF)

Figure S6 Regulation of thymic mesenchymal development by Foxn1. A) Images show transverse sections of E15.5 thymi stained with α-PDGFRα, ERTR7 and PanK as indicated. Genotypes are as shown. Immigration of ERT7+ mesenchyme into the thyemical primordium is impaired in Foxn1R/R, Foxn1+/R and Foxn1-/ mice. Scale bars, 47.62 μm. (B) Flow cytometric analysis of whole E15.5 thymi. Plots show staining with α-PDGFRα and anti-PDGFR111 after gating on EpCam+CD45+ cells. PDGFRα cells represent an increased proportion of total thyemical mesenchyme in the Foxn1 hypomorphic mutants compared to Foxn1R/+ controls and the hypomorphic mutants also express higher levels of PDGFRα. Data shown are representative of at least three independent experiments. (TIF)
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