ISOPERIMETRIC PROFILE COMPARISONS AND YAMABE CONSTANTS

JIMMY PETEAN AND JUAN MIGUEL RUIZ

Abstract. We estimate from below the isoperimetric profile of $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ and use this information to obtain lower bounds for the Yamabe constant of $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$. This provides a lower bound for the Yamabe invariants of products $S^2 \times M^2$ for any closed Riemann surface $M$. Explicitly we show that $Y(S^2 \times M^2) > (2/3)Y(S^4)$.

1. Introduction

Given a conformal class $[g]$ of Riemannian metrics on a closed manifold $M^n$ the Yamabe constant of $[g]$ is defined as the infimum of the (normalized) total scalar curvature functional restricted to $[g]$:

$$Y(M, [g]) = \inf_{h \in [g]} \frac{\int_M s_h \, dvol_h}{\text{Vol}(M, h)^{\frac{n-2}{n}}}.$$

where $s_h$ and $dvol_h$ are the scalar curvature and volume element of $h$.

If we express metrics in the conformal class of $g$ as $f^{4/(n-2)} \, g$ then we obtain the expression

$$Y(M, [g]) = \inf_f \frac{a_n \int_M \|\nabla f\|^2 dvol(g) + \int_M s_g f^2 dvol(g)}{(\int_M f^p dvol(g))^{2/p}} = \inf_{f \in L^2_1(M)} Y_g(f).$$

Here we let $p = p_n = 2n/(n - 2)$ and we will call $Y_g$ the Yamabe functional (corresponding to $g$).

If $f$ is a critical point of $Y_g$ then the corresponding metric $f^{4/(n-2)} \, g$ has constant scalar curvature. H. Yamabe introduced these notions in [25] and gave a proof that $Y(M, [g])$ is always achieved. His proof contained a mistake which was corrected in a series of steps N. Trudinger [24], T. Aubin [4] and R. Schoen [22], proving in this way the existence of at least one metric of constant scalar curvature in $[g]$.

Later on O. Kobayashi in [11] and R. Schoen in [23] introduced what we will call the Yamabe invariant of $M$, $Y(M)$, as the supremum of the Yamabe constants of all conformal classes of Riemannian metrics on $M$:

$$Y(M) = \sup_{[g]} Y(M, [g]).$$
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By a local argument T. Aubin showed in \cite{4} that the Yamabe constant of any conformal class of metric on any $n$-dimensional manifold is bounded above by $Y(S^n, [g^0_n])$, where by $g^0_n$ we will denote from now on the round metric of sectional curvature one on $S^n$. It follows that $Y(S^n) = Y(S^n, [g^0_n])$ and for any $n$-dimensional manifold $M$, $Y(M) \leq Y(S^n)$.

In this article we will be concerned with the problem of finding lower bounds for the Yamabe constants of particular conformal classes. If the Yamabe constant of a conformal class $[g]$ is non-positive one has a good lower bound $Y(M, [g]) \geq \inf_M(s_g)\text{Vol}(M, g)^{2/n}$, as pointed out by O. Kobayashi \cite{12}. There is no similar lower bound when the Yamabe constant is positive, and this is one explanation why the positive case is much more difficult to study. For instance one can use Kobayashi’s lower bound to prove that if $M^n$ is a closed $n$-manifold and $M$ is obtained by performing surgery on a sphere of dimension $k \leq n - 3$ then $Y(M) \geq Y(M)$ \cite{18}. Certain computations of the invariant can be deduced from this result, for instance in dimension 4 it implies that if $Y(M) \leq 0$ then $Y(M\#(S^1 \times S^3)) = Y(M)$ \cite{19}. But for the above reasons studying the behavior of the invariant under surgery in the positive case becomes much more difficult and it is still unknown if the surgery result holds as in the non-positive case. Recently B. Ammann, M. Dahl and E. Humbert \cite{3} proved that there is a positive constant $\lambda_{n,k}$, which depends only on $n$ and $k$, such that $Y(M) \geq \min\{Y(M), \lambda_{n,k}\}$.

There is a good lower bound for the Yamabe constant of the conformal class of a metric of positive Ricci curvature as proved by S. Ilias in \cite{10}: if $\text{Ricci}(g) \geq kg$, then $Y(M, [g]) \geq (n-1)k\text{Vol}(M, g)^{2/n}$. To obtain this lower bound S. Ilias compares $Y_g(f)$ with $Y_{g^0}(f^*)$, where $f$ is any smooth positive function in $M$ and $f^*$ is the spherical symmetrization of $f$ (as explained below). The comparison of the $L^2$ and $L^p$ norms of the functions is immediate and to compare the $L^2$-norms of the gradients one applies the coarea formula and the comparison of the isoperimetric profiles given by the Levy-Gromov isoperimetric inequality. The same type of argument works as soon as one has lower bounds for the isoperimetric profile and the scalar curvature of a metric $g$, and this is the idea we will apply in this work.

We will denote by $I_{(M, g)} : (0, \text{Vol}(M, g)) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ the isoperimetric profile of $(M, g)$. Namely, for any $t > 0$ we consider all the regions in $M$ of volume $t$ and let $I_{(M, g)}(t)$ be the infimum of the volumes of their boundaries. If a region $U$ realizes the infimum it is called an isoperimetric region and $\partial U$ is called an isoperimetric hypersurface. For all manifolds appearing in this article isoperimetric regions are known to exit and their boundaries are smooth hypersurfaces. Note that sometimes in the definition of the isoperimetric profile there is a normalization by the volume of the manifold, but since we will be interested in Riemannian manifolds with infinite volume this is not possible.

In this article we will concentrate in obtaining a lower bound for the Yamabe constant of $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$. First we point out that for a non-compact manifold $(W^n, g)$ of positive scalar curvature we define its Yamabe constant by
\[ Y(W, g) = \inf_{h \in L^2(W)} \frac{a_n \int_W |\nabla h|^2 d\text{vol}(g) + \int_W s_g h^2 d\text{vol}(g)}{(\int_W h^p d\text{vol}(g))^{2/p}} = \inf_{h \in L^2(W)} Y_g(h). \]

To apply the ideas mentioned above we need estimates for the isoperimetric profile. But the isoperimetric regions in \( S^k \times \mathbb{R} \) are not known when \( n \geq 2 \). The isoperimetric profiles of the cylinders \( S^k \times \mathbb{R} \) were described by R. Pedroza in [17]. In Section 2 we will use his results and the Ros Product Theorem in [21] to prove the following comparison:

**Theorem 1.1.** \( I(S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2, g_0^1 + dx^2) \geq \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{12\sqrt{e}} I(S^4, 2^{3/2}3^{1/4}g_0^3), \) where \( \epsilon = (1.047)^2 \).

Given a non-negative smooth function \( f \in L^1(S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2) \) we will build in Section 3 symmetrizations \( f_\ast \), which are nonincreasing radial function on the sphere \( S^4 \) and by using the previous theorem and the ideas mentioned above we will prove:

**Theorem 1.2.** \( Y(S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2, [g_0 + dx^2]) \geq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3^{3/4}} Y(S^4). \)

Note that \( \frac{\sqrt{2} \epsilon}{3^{3/4}} = \left( \frac{2\sqrt{2} \epsilon}{12\sqrt{e}} \right)^2 \approx 0.68 \).

Similar ideas can be applied to the products \( S^k \times \mathbb{R}^n \), for any \( k \) and \( n \). But since some non-trivial numerical computation must be carried on it seemed better to focus in the particular case of \( S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \).

Now, since for any Riemannian metric \( g \) on any 2-dimensional closed manifold \( M \) it is proven in [1] Theorem 1.1] that

\[ \lim_{r \to \infty} Y(S^2 \times M, [g_0 + rg]) = Y(S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2, [g_0 + dx^2]), \]

we obtain as a corollary that

**Theorem 1.3.** If \( M \) is a closed 2-dimensional manifold then \( Y(S^2 \times M) \geq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3^{3/4}} Y(S^4) \).

As far as we know this is the best result known about the Yamabe invariants of \( S^2 \times M^2 \) when \( M \) is a Riemann surface of genus \( g \geq 1 \). \( S^2 \times M \) admits metrics of positive scalar curvature, and so it is known that \( Y(S^2 \times M) \in (0, Y(S^4)] \). In [20] it is proved that \( Y(S^2 \times M) > 0.0006Y(S^4) \) (see [20], Theorem 2) for the explicit constant. But no other estimate is known to the best of the author’s knowledge. In the case of \( S^2 \times S^2 \) the product Einstein metric, \( g_E \), is a Yamabe metric (since it is the only unit volume metric of constant scalar curvature in its conformal class by the classical theorem of M. Obata [16]). Then one knows that \( Y(S^2 \times S^2) \geq Y(S^2 \times S^2, [g_E]) = 16\pi \approx 0.816Y(S^4) \) and it was proved by C. Böhm, M. Wang and W. Ziller in [6] that the inequality is strict. So in particular the last theorem does not give any new information for this case. We also point out that there are a few computations where the invariant falls into the interval \( (0, Y(S^n)) \), only in dimensions 3 and 4. In dimension 3 it was proved by H. Bray and A. Neves [7] that the conformal class of the
constant curvature metric on the projective space \( \mathbb{RP}^3 \) achieves the Yamabe invariant and so \( Y(\mathbb{RP}^3) = 2^{-2/3}Y(S^3) \equiv 0.63 \) \( Y(S^3) \) and it was later shown by K. Akutagawa and A. Neves that this value is also the Yamabe invariant of the connected sum of \( \mathbb{RP}^3 \) with any number of copies of \( S^2 \times S^1 \) \([2]\). In dimension 4 C. LeBrun proved that the conformal class of the Fubini-Study metric on \( \mathbb{CP}^2 \) realizes the Yamabe invariant of \( \mathbb{CP}^2 \) and so \( Y(\mathbb{CP}^2) = 12\sqrt{2}\pi \approx 0.87 \) \( Y(S^4) \) and later M. Gursky and C. LeBrun showed that this is also the value of the Yamabe invariant of the connected sum of \( \mathbb{CP}^2 \) with any number of copies of \( S^3 \times S^1 \) \([9]\).

2. Estimating the isoperimetric profile of \( S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \)

In this section we will prove Theorem 1.1. First we discuss a comparison between the isoperimetric profiles of \((S^2 \times \mathbb{R}, g_0^n + dt^2)\) and \((S^3, \lambda_1 g_0^n)\), and between those of \((S^3 \times \mathbb{R}, \lambda_1 g_0^3 + dt^2)\) and \((S^4, \lambda_2 g_0^4)\), where \( g_0^n \) is the round metric for \( S^n \), and \( \lambda_1 = 2, \lambda_2 = (2)^{3/2}(3)^{(1/4)} \epsilon \), (where \( \epsilon = (1.047)^2 \)). We picked \( \lambda_1 = 2 \) to match the maximums of the isoperimetric profiles \( I_{(S^3, \lambda_1 g_0^3)} \) and \( I_{(S^2 \times \mathbb{R}, g_0^n + dt^2)} \) and we will prove in Subsection 2.1 that \( I(S^2 \times \mathbb{R}, g_2 + dt^2) \geq I_{(S^3, 2g_0^3)} \). To obtain our lower bound on the Yamabe constant of \( S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \) we will need to compare the isoperimetric profile of \( S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \) with one of a 4-sphere \( \lambda_2 g_0^4 \). As we increase \( \lambda_2 \) the scalar curvature decreases and this improves the lower bound. But the isoperimetric profile also increases and this makes our lower bound smaller. The value \( \lambda_2 = (2)^{3/2}(3)^{(1/4)} \epsilon \) is the one for which one obtains the best lower bound for the Yamabe constant. This should become clear in Section 3.

We will denote by \( S^n(k) \) the round n-sphere of scalar curvature \( k \). Note that according to this notation \((S^3, 2g_3) = S^3(3) \) and \((S^4, (2)^{3/2}(3)^{(1/4)} \epsilon g_0^4) = S^4(12^{3/4}/(2\epsilon)) \).

The isoperimetric profile for the spherical cylinder \((S^n \times \mathbb{R}, g_0^n + dt^2)\), \( n \geq 2 \), was recently studied by R. Pedrosa \([17]\). He shows that isoperimetric regions are either a cylindrical section or congruent to a ball type region and gives explicit formulae for the volumes and areas of the isoperimetric regions and their boundaries. The cylindrical section \((S^n \times (a, b))\) has volume \((b - a)V_n\), where \( V_n = Vol(S^n g_0^n) \), and its boundary has area \( 2V_n \). Let us recall the values of \( V_n \) that we will use: \( V_2 = 4\pi \) and \( V_3 = 2\pi^2 \).

The ball type regions \( \Omega^m_h \) are balls whose boundary is a smooth sphere of constant mean curvature \( h \). The sections of \( \Omega^m_h \), namely \( \Omega^m_h \cap (S^n \times \{a\}) \), are geodesics in \( S^n \) centered at some fixed point. If we let \( \eta \in (0, \pi) \) be the maximum of the radius of those balls then \( h = h_{n-1}(\eta) = \frac{(\sin(\eta))^{n-1}}{I_n'(\sin(\eta))^{n-1}ds} \). The formulas for the volumes of \( \Omega_h \) and its boundary obtained by Pedroza are

\[
\begin{align*}
(1) \quad Vol(\partial \Omega^m_h) &= 2V_{n-1} \int_0^\eta \frac{(\sin(y))^{n-1}}{\sqrt{1 - u_{n-1}(\eta, y)^2}} dy, \\
(2) \quad Vol(\Omega^m_h) &= 2V_{n-1} \int_0^\eta \frac{\int_0^y (\sin(s))^{n-1}ds \ u_{n-1}(\eta, y)}{\sqrt{1 - u_{n-1}(\eta, y)^2}} dy,
\end{align*}
\]
where 
\[
u_{n-1}(\eta, y) = \frac{(\sin(\eta))^{n-1}}{(\sin(y))^{n-1}} \frac{\int_0^{\eta} (\sin(s))^{n-1} ds}{\int_0^{\gamma} (\sin(s))^{n-1} ds}.
\]

Moreover, for \( n = 2 \), one obtains the formulas

\[
Vol(\partial \Omega_h^2) = 4\pi \left( \frac{2}{1 + h^2} + \frac{h^2}{(1 + h^2)^{3/2}} \log \frac{\sqrt{1 + h^2 + 1}}{\sqrt{1 + h^2} - 1} \right),
\]

\[
Vol(\Omega_h^2) = 4\pi h \left( \frac{2}{1 + h^2} + \frac{h^2}{(1 + h^2)^{3/2}} \log \frac{\sqrt{1 + h^2 + 1}}{\sqrt{1 + h^2} - 1} - \frac{2}{1 + h^2} \right),
\]

with \( h = h_1(\eta) = \frac{\sin(\eta)}{\int_0^\eta \sin(s) ds} \).

\( Vol(\partial \Omega_h^2) \) is an increasing function of \( \eta \) until it reaches the value \( 8\pi = 2V_2 \). This value is achieved for \( \eta_0 \approx 1.97 \), \( h_0 \approx 0.66 \). Then for \( \eta \leq \eta_0 \) we have \( I_{S^2 \times \mathbb{R}}(Vol(\Omega_h^2)) = Vol(\partial \Omega_h^2) \). And for any \( v > Vol(\Omega_{h_0}^2) \) we have \( I(v) = 8\pi \) (and the isoperimetric region is the corresponding spherical cylinder). As we mentioned before we picked \( \lambda_1 \) so that the maximum of \( I_{S^3(3)} \) is \( 8\pi \). Therefore to make the comparison of the isoperimetric profiles we only need to consider the \( \Omega_h^2 \) regions and volumes \( v \leq Vol(\Omega_{h_0}^2) \).

2.1. **Proof that** \( I_{S^2 \times \mathbb{R}, g_2 + dt^2} \geq I_{S^3(3)} \). The isoperimetric regions in \((S^3, g_0^3)\) are geodesic balls, and we have the formula:

\[
I_{S^3(3)}(2^{5/2}\pi(r - \sin(r))\cos(r)) = 8\pi \sin^2(r).
\]

And as we mentioned above

\[
I_{S^2 \times \mathbb{R}}(Vol(\Omega_h^2)) = Vol(\partial \Omega_h^2).
\]

These formulas are explicit and the only problem to prove the desired inequalities is that one cannot find the inverse of the functions which give the volumes of the regions. Nevertheless it is very easy (and we hope this is clear to the reader) to prove numerically the desired inequality for values of the volume away from 0. The problem at 0 is that the isoperimetric profiles are very close and have a singularity at 0 (the derivatives of the functions which give the volume vanish at 0). Since the scalar curvature of \( S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \) is smaller than 3 it is known from a result of O. Druet [K] that the desired inequality holds for small values of the volume, but there is no lower bound for how small the volume has to be. We will prove explicitly that \( I_{(S^2 \times \mathbb{R}, g_2 + dt^2)}(t) \geq I_{(S^3(3))}(t) \) for \( t < 0.2 \) by going through the numerical estimates. This is of course a very elementary and probably uninteresting job; the reader might want to skip this part and go directly to the end of this subsection.

In order to prove the required inequality for small values of the volume we need to look at the Taylor expansion of the formulas for the volumes of the isoperimetric regions and their boundaries. Let \( x = 1/h \) and call \( A(x) = Vol(\partial \Omega_{1/x}^2) \) and \( V(x) = Vol(\Omega_{1/x}^2) \). Then we have (by a explicit computation)
\[ A(x) = 16\pi x^2 - (64/3)\pi x^4 + (128/5)\pi x^6 - (1024/35)\pi x^8 + o(x^9), \]
\[ V(x) = (32/3)\pi x^3 - (256/15)\pi x^5 + (768/35)\pi x^7 - (8192/315)\pi x^9 + o(x^{10}). \]

Moreover, one can easily estimate that for \( 0 < x < 0.2 \), \( A^{(9)}(x) > 0 \) and \( V^{(10)}(x) < 3 \times 10^8 \) and therefore we have

\[ A(x) > 16\pi x^2 - (64/3)\pi x^4 + (128/5)\pi x^6 - (1024/35)\pi x^8, \]

and

\[ |V(x) - ((32/3)\pi x^3 - (256/15)\pi x^5 + (768/35)\pi x^7 - (8192/315)\pi x^9)| < 83x^{10}. \]

The isoperimetric regions in \( S^3(3) \) are geodesic balls, and we have the formula:

\[ I_{S^3(3)}(2^{5/2}\pi(r - \sin(r) \cos(r))) = 8\pi \sin^2(r). \]

Then we let

\[ v(r) = 2^{5/2}\pi(r - \sin(r) \cos(r)) \]

and

\[ a(r) = 8\pi \sin^2(r). \]

We see

\[ v(r) = 2^{7/2}/3\pi r^3 - (2^{7/2}/15)\pi r^5 + (16/315)\sqrt{2}\pi r^7 - (8/2835)\sqrt{2}\pi r^9 + o(r^{10}), \]

and \( a(r) = 8\pi r^2 - (8/3)\pi r^4 + (16/45)\pi r^6 - (8/315)\pi r^8 + o(r^9). \)

Now set \( r = \sqrt{2}x - (2/5)\sqrt{2}x^3 + (11/10)x^5. \) Then

\[ v(x) = \frac{32}{3}\pi x^3 - \frac{256}{15}\pi x^5 + (\frac{22784}{1575} + \frac{88}{5}\sqrt{2})\pi x^7 - (\frac{839904}{70875} + \frac{1936}{75}\sqrt{2})\pi x^9 + o(x^{10}) \]

\[ a(x) = 16\pi x^2 - \frac{352}{15}\pi x^4 + (\frac{5056}{75} + \frac{88}{5}\sqrt{2})\pi x^6 - (\frac{5504}{315} + \frac{2288}{75}\sqrt{2})\pi x^8 + o(x^9). \]

Moreover, one can easily check that for \( 0 < x < 0.2 \), \( a^{(9)}(x) < 7 \times 10^7 \) and \( v^{(10)}(x) > 0 \). Therefore we have

\[ |a(x) - (16\pi x^2 - \frac{352}{15}\pi x^4 + (\frac{5056}{225} + \frac{88}{5}\sqrt{2})\pi x^6 - (\frac{5504}{315} + \frac{2288}{75}\sqrt{2})\pi x^8)| < 710^{7/9}x^9 < 193x^9 \]

and

\[ v(x) > \frac{32}{3}\pi x^3 - \frac{256}{15}\pi x^5 + (\frac{22784}{1575} + \frac{88}{5}\sqrt{2})\pi x^7 - (\frac{839904}{70875} + \frac{1936}{75}\sqrt{2})\pi x^9. \]

It follows that for \( 0 < x < 0.2 \) we have

\[ A(x) - a(x) > \frac{32}{15}\pi x^4 + \left( \frac{704}{225} - \frac{88}{5}\sqrt{2} \right)\pi x^6 + \left( -\frac{3712}{315} + \frac{2288}{75}\sqrt{2} \right)\pi x^8 - 193x^9 \]

\[ > \left( \frac{32}{15} + \left( \frac{704}{225} - \frac{88}{5}\sqrt{2} \right)\pi (0.2)^2 - 193(0.2)^5 \right) x^4 > 3x^4 > 0, \]

and

\[ v(x) - V(x) > -\left( \frac{11776}{1575} + \frac{88}{5}\sqrt{2} \right)\pi x^7 + \left( \frac{163328}{10125} - \frac{1936}{75}\sqrt{2} \right)\pi x^9 - 83x^{10}. \]
Now, for $0 < x < 0.2$ we have $I_{S^2 \times \mathbb{R}}(V(x)) = A(x) > a(x) = I_{S^3(3)}(v(x)) > I_{S^3(3)}(V(x))$ (since $I_{S^3(3)}$ is increasing). And so, we have that if $0 < x < 0.2$ then $I_{S^2 \times \mathbb{R}}(V(x)) > I_{S^3(3)}(V(x))$. Since $V(0.2) > 0.25$ we have proved that $I_{S^2 \times \mathbb{R}}(t) > I_{S^3(3)}(t)$ for $t < 0.25$.

Once we dealt with sufficiently small values of the volume checking the desired inequality for the isoperimetric functions is a completely standard numerical argument. Instead of going through it, we will simply provide with the graphics for $x > 0.5$ (Figure 1a), for $0.3 < x < 0.5$ (Figure 1b) and for $0.2 < x < 0.3$ (Figure 1c).
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**Figure 1.** Comparison of the isoperimetric profiles $I_{S^2 \times \mathbb{R}}$ and $I_{S^3(3)}$.

### 2.2. Proof that $I_{S^3(3) \times \mathbb{R}}(t) \geq \frac{2\sqrt{7}}{12\sqrt{\pi}}I_{S^4(\frac{123}{4})}(t)$ for $t \leq 100$, $\epsilon = (1.047)^2$.

The situation is similar to the one in the previous subsection. $S^3(3) \times \mathbb{R}$ is isometric to $(S^3 \times \mathbb{R}, 2(g_0^3 + dt^2))$. Then we consider the formulas (1) and (2) and let $x = \eta$. Then if we call $V(x) = Vol(\Omega^3_{h(x)})$ and $A(x) = Vol(\partial \Omega^3_{h(x)})$ it follows that $I_{S^3(3) \times \mathbb{R}}(4V(x)) = 2^{3/2}A(x)$ for small values of $x$. This holds until $x = x_0 \approx 1.9$ when $2^{3/2}A(x_0) = 8\sqrt{2}\pi^2 = 2Vol(S^3(3))$. Let $v_0 = 4V(x_0)$. Then for $v \geq v_0$ we have that $I_{S^3(3) \times \mathbb{R}}(v) = 8\sqrt{2}\pi^2$. The only problem to verify the inequality is for small values of the volumes. In this case the problem becomes simpler because of the factor $rac{2\sqrt{7}}{12\sqrt{\pi}} \approx 0.825 < 1$.

By a direct computation we see that $4V(1) < 15$ and $2^{3/2}A(1) > 39$. It follows that $I_{S^3(3) \times \mathbb{R}}(15)/15^{3/4} > 39/15^{3/4} > 5$.

But it was proved by V. Bayle [5, Page 52] that the function $I_{S^3(3) \times \mathbb{R}}(v)/v^{3/4}$ is decreasing. So for any $v < 15$ we have that $I_{S^3(3) \times \mathbb{R}}(v) > 5v^{3/4}$.
Of course, \( S^4(123/4^{2/3}) = (S^4, 2^{3/2}3^{1/4}g_0^4) \) and so the isoperimetric profile is given by

\[
I_{S^4(123/4^{2/3})} \left( \epsilon^2 \frac{64 \pi^2}{\sqrt{3}} (2 + \cos(r)) \sin^4(r/2) \right) = 8^{1/4} 3^{3/8} \pi^{3/2} \epsilon^{3/2} \sin^3(r).
\]

Let us call \( I_1 = I_{S^4(123/4^{2/3})} \). Then one can trivially check that \( \lim_{v \to 0} I_1(v) / v^{3/4} = 2^{7/4} \sqrt{\pi} < 6 \). Since the function \( I_1(v) / v^{3/4} \) is decreasing by the Theorem of Bayle we have that

\[
I_{S^4(123/4^{2/3})}(v) < 6v^{3/4}.
\]

And so for \( v < 15 \), \( I_{S^3(3) \times \mathbb{R}^3}(v) > 5v^{3/4} > (0.83)6v^{3/4} > \frac{2\sqrt{\pi}}{12^{3/4}} I_{S^4(123/2^{2/3})}(v) \).

For bigger values \( (15 \leq v \leq 100) \), we simply check the inequality of the isoperimetric functions through a completely standard numerical argument. We provide with the graphic for \( 5 \leq t \leq 100 \) (Figure 2).
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2.3. Proof of Theorem 1.1.

**Lemma 2.1.** \( I_{(S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2, g_0^3 + dt^2)} \geq I_{S^3(3) \times \mathbb{R}^3} \)

*Proof.* Consider an isoperimetric region \( U \subset S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \). Consider any \( t \in \mathbb{R} \) and let \( U_t = U \cap (S^2 \times \mathbb{R} \times \{t\}) \). If \( Vol(U_t) \leq Vol(S^3(3)) \) we let \( W_t \) be the geodesic sphere in \( S^3(3) \) around the south pole with volume \( Vol(U_t) \). If \( Vol(U_t) > Vol(S^3(3)) \) we let \( W_t = S^3(3) \).

If \( Vol(U_t) \leq Vol S^3(3) \) for all \( t \) then we consider the region \( W \subset S^3(3) \times \mathbb{R} \) such that \( W \cap (S^3 \times \{t\}) = W_t \). Then \( Vol(W) = Vol(U) \). But since \( I(S^2 \times \mathbb{R}, g_2 + dt^2) \geq I(S^3(3)) \)
from Subsection 1 we can apply Ros Product Theorem (see [21 Proposition 3.6] or [14 Section 3]) to see that $Vol(\partial W) \leq Vol(\partial U)$.

In case $Vol(U_t) > Vol(S^3(3))$ for some $t$ then there is some interval $(-a, a)$ where this happens, and $Vol(U_a) = Vol(S^3(3))$. In the same way as before we construct a region $W \subset S^3(3) \times \mathbb{R}$. As before $Vol(\partial W) \leq Vol(\partial U)$ by Subsection 1 and Ros Product Theorem, but in this case $Vol(W) < Vol(U)$. But we can replace $W$ by a region $\overline{W}$ which has a thicker cylindrical part (a region $(-a, B) \times S^3(3)$ with $B > A$) such that $Vol(\partial \overline{W}) = Vol(\partial W)$ and $Vol(\overline{W}) = Vol(U)$.

This proves the Lemma.

\[ \Box \]

**Lemma 2.2.** The isoperimetric profile of $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$, $I_{S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2}(v)$, is bounded below by $\frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{v}$, for $v \geq 16$.

**Proof.** Consider the isoperimetric profile of $\mathbb{R}^2$, $f_1(v) = 2\sqrt{\pi} \sqrt{v}$, and the isoperimetric profile of $S^2$, $f_2(v) = \sqrt{v(4\pi - v)}$ ($f_2$ is defined on $[0, 4\pi]$). Let

$$I_P(v) = \inf \{v_1 f_2(v_2) + v_2 f_1(v_1)|v_1 v_2 = v\}$$

be the lower bound on the isoperimetric profile of $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ for regions which are products. Then, since $f_1$ and $f_2$ are concave, it follows by Theorem 2.1 in [15] that

$$I_{S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2}(v) \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} I_P(v). \tag{5}$$

To compute $I_P(v)$, let $x = v_2 \in (0, 4\pi)$ and $v_1 = v/x$. Consider

$$f_v(x) = (v/x) \sqrt{x(4\pi - x)} + 2\sqrt{\pi x} \sqrt{v/x} = (v/\sqrt{x}) \sqrt{4\pi - x} + 2\sqrt{\pi} \sqrt{x} \sqrt{v}.$$

One can find the infimum of $f_v$ explicitly, but it is a little cumbersome. For our purposes it is enough to consider the case $v \geq 16$. Then

$$f_v(x) \geq (4\sqrt{(4\pi/x) - 1} + 2\sqrt{\pi} \sqrt{x}) \sqrt{v}.$$

But it is easy to check that for $x \in (0, 4\pi)$, $4\sqrt{(4\pi/x) - 1} + 2\sqrt{\pi} \sqrt{x} \geq 4\pi$. Then $I_P(v) \geq 4\pi \sqrt{v}$ for $v \geq 16$ and the lemma follows.

\[ \Box \]

We are now ready to give the proof of Theorem 1.1:

**Proof.** It follows from Subsection 2.2 and Lemma 2.1 that the theorem holds for $v \leq 100$. On the other hand, since the Ricci curvature of $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ is non-negative, it follows from Corollary 2.2.8 in [3], page 52, that the isoperimetric profile of $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ is concave. In turn, this concavity of $I_{S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2}(v)$ implies that $I_{S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2}(v)$ is also bounded from below by $l(v)$ (for $v_1 \leq v \leq v_2$, $v_2 \geq 16$), where $l(v)$ is the straight line joining the two points $(v_1, I_{S^2(3) \times \mathbb{R}}(v_1))$ and $(v_2, 4\pi \sqrt{v_2})$, of the graphs of $I_{S^2(3) \times \mathbb{R}}(v)$ and $4\pi \sqrt{v}$. In particular by choosing $v_1 = 83.5$ and $v_2 = 450$, we get the line $l(v) =$
0.209642(v - 83.5) + (8\sqrt{2}\pi^2), as a lower bound for \( I_{S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2}(v) \), for 83.5 \leq v \leq 450. Again, using standard numerical computations, we show that this line \( l(v) \), in turn, bounds \( \sqrt{\frac{2}{3\pi^2}} I_{S^4(\frac{123}{2\epsilon})}(v) \) from above, for \( v \geq 83.5 \), Figure 3. We provide the graphics.

\[ \begin{align*}
(a) \text{ The line } l(v) \text{ (dashed), joining } I_{S^3(3)} \times \mathbb{R} \text{ and } \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{v}}, \text{ is a lower bound for } I_{S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2}(v), \text{ for } 83.5 \leq v \leq 450. \\
(b) \text{ The line } l(v) \text{ (dashed) is an upper bound for } \sqrt{\frac{2}{3\pi^2}} I_{S^4(\frac{123}{2\epsilon})}(v) \text{ (continuous).}
\end{align*} \]

\textbf{Figure 3.} The line \( l(v) \) is a lower bound for \( I_{S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2} \), and an upper bound for \( \sqrt{\frac{2}{3\pi^2}} I_{S^4(\frac{123}{2\epsilon})}(v) \), for 83.5 \leq v \leq 450.

\( \square \)

\textbf{3. Proof of Theorem 1.2}

\textit{Proof.} Let \( f : S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \) be any smooth compactly supported function. Recall that we denote by \( g_0^n \) the metric on \( S^n \) of constant sectional curvature one and by \( g_0^k(k) \) the round metric on \( S^n \) of scalar curvature \( k \). So \( g_0^n(k) = n(n - 1)/k \ g_0^n \). By \( S^n(k) \) we mean the Riemannian manifold \( (S^n, g_0^n(k)) \).

In case \( Vol(\{ f > 0 \}) \leq Vol(S^4(\frac{123}{2\epsilon})/(2\epsilon)) \) we let \( f_* : S^4(\frac{123}{2\epsilon})/(2\epsilon) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \) be the spherical symmetrization of \( f \): \( f_* \) is a radial (with respect to the axis through some fixed point \( S \)), non-increasing function on the sphere such that for any \( t > 0 \), \( Vol(\{ f > t \}) = Vol(\{ f_* > t \}) \). Then for any \( q > 0 \), \( \|f\|_q = \|f_*\|_q \).

Now, by the coarea formula

\[ \int \|\nabla f\|^2 dvol(g_0^n) + dt^2 = \int_0^\infty \left( \int_{f^{-1}(t)} \|\nabla f\| d\sigma_t \right) dt, \]
where \(d\sigma_t\) denotes the volume element of the induced metric on \(f^{-1}(t)\). By Hölder’s inequality
\[
\int_0^\infty \left( \int_{f^{-1}(t)} \|\nabla f\|\,d\sigma_t \right) \,dt \geq \int_0^\infty (Vol(f^{-1}(t)))^2 \left( \int_{f^{-1}(t)} \|\nabla f\|^{-1}\,d\sigma_t \right)^{-1} \,dt.
\]
But
\[
\int_{f^{-1}(t)} \|\nabla f\|^{-1}\,d\sigma_t = \frac{d}{dt}(\{f > t\}) = \frac{d}{dt}(Vol(\{f_* > t\})) = \int_{f_*^{-1}(t)} \|\nabla f_*\|^{-1}\,d\sigma_t.
\]
Now \(f^{-1}(t)\) contains the boundary of \(\{f > t\}\) and \(Vol(\{f > t\}) = Vol(\{f_* > t\})\) (which is an isoperimetric region in the sphere). Then Theorem 1.1 tells us that \(Vol(f^{-1}(t)) \geq Vol(\partial(\{f > t\}) \geq \frac{2\sqrt{\epsilon}}{12^{3/8}} Vol(f_*^{-1}(t))\), and so
\[
\int \|\nabla f\|^2dvol(g_0^2 + dt^2) \geq \left( \frac{2\sqrt{\epsilon}}{12^{3/8}} \right)^2 \int_0^\infty (Vol(f_*^{-1}(t)))^2 \left( \int_{f_*^{-1}(t)} \|\nabla f_*\|^{-1}\,d\sigma_t \right)^{-1} \,dt
\]
\[
= (\sqrt{2\epsilon}/3^{3/4}) \int_0^\infty Vol(f_*^{-1}(t))\|\nabla f_*\|dt = (\sqrt{2\epsilon}/3^{3/4}) \int_0^\infty \left( \int_{f_*^{-1}(t)} \|\nabla f_*\|\,d\sigma_t \right) dt
\]
\[
= (\sqrt{2\epsilon}/3^{3/4}) \int \|\nabla f_*\|^2dvol(g_0^4(12^{3/4}/(2\epsilon)))
\]
(we are using that \(\|\nabla f_*\|\) is constant along level surfaces of \(f_*\), since it is a radial function). It follows that
\[
Y_{g_0^2 + dt^2}(f) = \frac{6 \int_{S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2} \|\nabla f\|^2 \,dvol(g_0^2 + dt^2) + \int_{S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2} 2f^2 \,dvol(g_0^2 + dt^2)}{(\int_{S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2} f^4 \,dvol(g_0^2 + dt^2))^{1/2}}
\]
\[
\geq \frac{6(\sqrt{2\epsilon}/3^{3/4}) \int_{S^4} \|\nabla f_*\|^2 \,dvol(g_0^4(12^{3/4}/(2\epsilon))) + \int_{S^4} 2f_*^2 \,dvol(g_0^4(12^{3/4}/(2\epsilon)))}{(\int_{S^4} f_*^4 \,dvol(g_0^4(12^{3/4}/(2\epsilon))))^{1/2}}
\]
\[
\geq \frac{\sqrt{2\epsilon}}{3^{3/4}} \frac{6 \int_{S^4} \|\nabla f_*\|^2 \,dvol(g_0^4(12^{3/4}/(2\epsilon))) + \int_{S^4} 12^{3/4}/(2\epsilon) f_*^2 \,dvol(g_0^4(12^{3/4}/(2\epsilon)))}{(\int_{S^4} f_*^4 \,dvol(g_0^4(12^{3/4}/(2\epsilon))))^{1/2}}
\]
\[
= \frac{\sqrt{2\epsilon}}{3^{3/4}} \frac{Y_{g_0^4(12^{3/4}/(2\epsilon))}(f_*)}.
\]
Now if \(Vol(\{f > 0\}) > Vol(S^4(12^{3/4}/(2\epsilon)))\) there are values \(t_0 = \max(f) \geq t_1 \geq t_2 \geq \ldots \geq t_N = 0\) such that \(Vol(f^{-1}(t_i, t_{i-1})) = Vol(S^4(12^{3/4}/(2\epsilon)))\), for \(i = 1, \ldots, N - 1\) and \(Vol(f^{-1}(0, t_N)) \leq Vol(S^4(12^{3/4}/(2\epsilon)))\). Let \(f_i\) be the restriction of \(f\) to \(f^{-1}(t_i, t_{i-1})\), for \(i = 1, 2, \ldots, N\), and let \(f_*\) be the spherical symmetrization of \(f_i\). Therefore \(f_* : S^4(12^{3/4}/(2\epsilon)) \to [t_i, t_{i-1}]\) is radial (with respect to some chosen point
S, the south pole), non-increasing and $Vol\{f_i > t\} = Vol\{f_i > t\}$ (for all $t > 0$).

Note that according to our notations for $t \in [t_i, t_{i-1}]$, we have

$$Vol\{f_{i^*} > t\} = Vol\{f_i > t\} = Vol(f_i^{-1}(t, t_i)) \leq Vol\{f > t\}.$$ 

By a result of V. Bayle [5, Page 52] the isoperimetric profile $I_{S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2}$ is concave and therefore increasing. Then it follows from Theorem 1.1 that for any $t > 0$ we have that $Vol(f_i^{-1}(t)) \geq 2\frac{2\sqrt{\epsilon}}{12^{3/8}} Vol(f_{i^*}^{-1}(t))$. As before we apply the coarea formula to obtain

$$\int_{f^{-1}(t_i, t_{i-1})} \|\nabla f_i\|^2 dvol(g_0^2 + dt^2) \geq \left(\frac{2\sqrt{\epsilon}}{12^{3/8}}\right)^2 \int_{S^4} \|\nabla f_{i^*}\|^2 dvol(g_0^3(12^{3/4}/(2\epsilon))).$$

Therefore we have that for any $q > 0$,

$$\|f\|_q^q = \Sigma_{i=1}^N \|f_i\|^q_q = \Sigma_{i=1}^N \|f_{i^*}\|^q_q,$$

and

$$\int_{S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2} \|\nabla f\|^2 dvol(g_0^2 + dt^2) \geq \left(\frac{2\sqrt{\epsilon}}{12^{3/8}}\right)^2 \Sigma_{i=1}^N \int_{S^4} \|\nabla f_{i^*}\|^2 dvol(g_0^3(12^{3/4}/(2\epsilon))).$$

Then

$$Y_{g_0^2 + dt^2}(f) = \int_{S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2} 6\|\nabla f\|^2 + 2f^2 dvol(g_0^2 + dt^2) \bigg/(\int_{S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2} f^4 dvol(g_0^2 + dt^2) \bigg)^{1/2}$$

$$\geq \frac{\Sigma_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{2\sqrt{\epsilon}}{12^{3/8}}\right)^2 \int_{S^4} 6\|\nabla f_{i^*}\|^2 + 2f_{i^*}^2 dvol(g_0^3(12^{3/4}/(2\epsilon)))}{(\Sigma_{i=1}^N \int f_{i^*}^4)^{1/2}}$$

and since for any $i$

$$\int_{S^4} 6\|\nabla f_{i^*}\|^2 + (12^{3/4}/(2\epsilon)) f_{i^*}^2 dvol(g_0(12^{3/4}/(2\epsilon))) \geq Y_4 \left(\int_{S^4} f_{i^*}^4 dvol(g_0^3(12^{3/4}/(2\epsilon))) \right)^{1/2},$$

we have

$$Y_{g_0^2 + dt^2}(f) \geq \frac{\sqrt{2\epsilon}}{3^{3/4}} Y_4 \frac{\Sigma_{i=1}^N \left(\int_{S^4} f_{i^*}^4 \right)^{1/2}}{(\Sigma_{i=1}^N \int f_{i^*}^4)^{1/2}} \geq \frac{\sqrt{2\epsilon}}{3^{3/4}} Y_4.$$

And therefore

$$Y(S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2, [g_0^2 + dt^2]) = \inf_f Y_{g_0^2 + dt^2}(f) \geq \frac{\sqrt{2\epsilon}}{3^{3/4}} Y_4.$$
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