Coronaviruses (CoVs) are enveloped viruses with a positive-strand RNA genome, which primarily target mucosal surfaces of respiratory and intestinal tracts in a wide range of mammals and birds to establish an infection [1,2]. For many years, the prototype human CoV (HCoV) isolates 229E and OC43 were causally linked to the ‘common cold’: mild and self-limiting infections of the upper respiratory tract [3,4]. The discovery of a previously unknown CoV as the etiological agent of SARS, SARS-CoV, which caused a global outbreak of acute and often severe atypical pneumonia in 2003, clearly demonstrated the pathogenic potential of CoVs in the human host [5–9]. Subsequent identification of two other novel HCoVs: HCoV-NL63 and HCoV-HKU1 [10–12], with the ability to cause serious lower respiratory tract complications, especially in weakened patients [13], further urged the need for a profound knowledge concerning their pathogenesis. With the exception of SARS [5], present knowledge regarding HCoV-induced pathologies is primarily based on data obtained from population-based studies. HCoV-NL63 and HCoV-HKU1 are considered common cold-causing pathogens in healthy adults, and are also associated with a more severe clinical spectrum of respiratory disease in young children, elderly and immunocompromised adults [4,14]. Detailed studies needed to prove a causal relationship with a specific pathology are hampered by the lack of a suitable animal model for both HCoV-NL63 and HCoV-HKU1 viruses and absence of a cell culture system to propagate HCoV-HKU1.

Viruses critically depend on host cell-encoded proteins and corresponding mechanisms to ensure their survival and replicative success. As a consequence, many host cell proteins are important contributors to the complex process of viral pathogenesis [15]. Cell surface components that are exploited as primary receptors to mediate viral entry represent the most obvious host cell proteins involved in establishment of a viral infection. Following target cell entry, several viruses are known to induce downmodulation of receptor expression. As a result, natural physiologic functions of these host cell components may be seriously impaired, with accompanying pathogenic consequences for infected cells, organ or individual. Paradoxically, viruses strongly benefit from downregulation of receptor expression [16], since it leads to controlled and productive infectious processes. Receptor downmodulation prevents infection of cells in which viral replication is already progressing [17], and is often needed to ensure efficient release of viral particles [18]. A number of viruses are known to induce cellular receptor modulation, including HIV, measles virus, influenza C virus and human herpes virus type 6 [19–22], as well as CoVs. Two integral proteases of the renin–angiotensin system (RAS), a major physiologic regulator of the cardiovascular system, facilitate cellular entry of several HCoVs: angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) 2 and neutral aminopeptidase (aminopeptidase N [APN]) [23–26]. Here, we will discuss the interaction of SARS-CoV, HCoV-NL63 and HCoV-229E with renin–angiotensin proteases during their cellular entry, and the pathogenic consequences of HCoV-induced RAS dysregulation by receptor downmodulation at the primary site of infection.

**HCoV interactions with renin–angiotensin proteases**

To establish and maintain an infection cycle, CoVs need to fuse their lipid bilayer envelopes with membranes of susceptible cells, and
subsequently deliver their genetic material into the intracellular space. These crucial events are mediated by heavily glycosylated spike proteins present on the outer surface of the virion, which interact with appropriate host cell-surface entry structures [27]. Depending on the functional consequences of virus-host interactions, these surface molecules are of two general types. Attachment factors or co-receptors, often carbohydrate structures on the cell surface (i.e., lectins and sialic acids), probably only serve to bind coronaviral particles, and thus help to concentrate viruses on the cell surface. Often, interactions with attachment factors are not highly specific [15]. Unlike attachment factors, CoV receptors actively promote cell entry. They can do so by initiating conformational changes in the virus particle, activating signaling pathways, and promoting membrane fusion and internalization [15,28].

Although cellular receptors for HCoV-OC43 and HCoV-HKU1 remain to be elucidated, HCoV-229E, SARS-CoV and HCoV-NL63 strictly target membrane-associated proteases as their primary entry receptors.

Human coronavirus-229E, as well as related animal CoVs, including porcine-transmissible gastroenteritis virus, porcine respiratory CoV, feline infectious peritonitis virus, feline enteric CoV, canine CoV, and porcine epidemic diarrhea virus all use APN of their natural host as a functional receptor [26,29–31]. Human APN is a membrane-bound glycoprotein of 150 kDa with zinc-dependent protease activity [32], identical to the myeloid differentiation antigen, CD13, present on granulocytes, monocytes and their progenitors [33]. Structurally, APN is predicted to consist of a short amino-terminal intracellular fragment, a single transmembrane part and a large extracellular C-terminal region that holds the catalytic site [34]. Through its enzymatic activity, in which APN preferentially cleaves neutral amino acids from amino-terminal ends of oligopeptides, APN regulates activity of numerous biologically active peptides, including hormones, neuro- and vasoactive peptides, and cytokines [35]. APN participates in the removal of individual amino acids from peptides in lumen of the small intestine and processing of peptides bound to MHC-II molecules on antigen-presenting cells [36]. In addition, APN is believed to participate as a signaling molecule in angiogenesis, leukocyte adhesion and phagocytosis [35,37].

Aminopeptidase N exists as a heavily glycosylated homodimeric protease on the surface of a very broad range of cell types, including epithelial cells of kidney and intestine, endothelial cells, cells of the nervous system (i.e., cerebral pericytes at blood–brain barrier and synaptic junctions), myeloid cells (monocytes as well as antigen-presenting cells) and fibroblasts [34–36]. APN is also localized to the apical surface of polarized respiratory epithelium, the site at which HCoV-229E infection starts [38]. In addition, pulmonary dendritic cells are an important source of APN expression and have been hypothesized to capture and transmit HCoV-229E from the respiratory epithelium to susceptible cells in other parts of the body, possibly contributing to viral spread during an infection [39].

Amino acids 260–353 from APN have been identified as an essential domain for binding HCoV-229E. Within this protein region, eight residues (i.e., amino acids 288–295) are critical for virus receptor activity [40]. This HCoV-229E binding region is not identical to the active site of APN and mutations in the catalytic domain do not affect CoV infection, implying that APN protease activity is not involved in CoV entry [41]. After binding APN, HCoV-229E presumably utilizes a caveolea-mediated internalization mechanism for host cell entry [42,43]. This pathway involves endocytosis via specialized lipid rafts (caveolea), plasma membrane localized microdomains, rich in cholesterol and sphingolipids, which serve to concentrate membrane-associated proteins (e.g., viral receptors) [44]. Notably, human APN is known to be a component of lipid rafts from various cell types [42,45,46]. Initially, human APN was also suspected to be the receptor for HCoV-NL63, since HCoV-NL63 S protein harbors most amino acid sequence similarity (56%) with the spike protein of HCoV-229E [10]. Nonetheless, ACE2 acts, quite surprisingly, as the functional HCoV-NL63 receptor [25].

Before its involvement in HCoV-NL63 infection was elucidated, the membrane-bound 120 kDa glycoprotein, ACE2, had been identified as a receptor for another HCoV: SARS-CoV [23,24]. A mouse SARS-CoV infection model and ace2 knockout mice provided evidence that ACE2 is essential for natural SARS-CoV infections in vivo [24]. Furthermore, antibodies directed against ACE2 and soluble ACE2 molecules and derivatives were demonstrated to be capable of blocking a SARS-CoV infection [23,47]. Like APN, human ACE2 is a protease with a large catalytic site facing into the extracellular space, strictly dependent on zinc for its activity [48]. However, ACE2 is only distantly related to APN [49], and acts as a
carboxypeptidase, cleaving solely single carboxy-terminal residues from a number of circulating and physiologically active substrates [50,51]. Although ACE2 shares 40% amino acid similarity with the ubiquitous metalloprotease ACE, this homolog is not implicated in HCoV entry [52]. ACE2 is expressed at apical plasma membranes of epithelial cells, including those of respiratory origin [53], the primary location of SARS-CoV and HCoV-NL63 infection [7,10]. Furthermore, ACE2 is abundantly expressed by cardiovascular endothelium, epithelial cells of the kidney and testis and, to a lesser extent, by small intestine epithelia [54,55]. Accordingly, SARS-CoV has been isolated from sites of the gastrointestinal tract, kidneys and human heart tissue [56,57]. A soluble and circulating form of the ACE2 ectodomain originates after proteolytic processing by TNF-α-converting enzyme (TACE or ADAM17) or disintegrin and metalloprotease 10 (ADAM10) [58,59]. Although this soluble form of ACE2 can be detected in plasma, urine and airway surface liquid, and is catalytically active [59–61], the clinical importance of this shedding process is still elusive [62].

While the spike proteins of SARS-CoV and HCoV-NL63 are rather different [63], according to their amino acid identity of only 14%, SARS-CoV and HCoV-NL63 bind to a common region of the ACE2 protein, which is localized on the outer N-terminal lobe and not implicated in ACE2 catalytic function [64,65]. Accumulating data are, however, indicative of a different host cell entry strategy for SARS-CoV and HCoV-NL63, in spite of targeting the same receptor. During endocytosis SARS-CoV requires the endosomal protease cathepsin L to initiate and maintain a successful infection, in addition to ACE2 binding [66,67]. Cathepsin L enzymes mediate cleavage and subsequent activation of membrane fusion activity of the SARS-CoV spike protein [68]. In marked contrast to SARS-CoV, HCoV-NL63 host cell entry occurs independently of cellular cathepsin activity [67], indicating the presence of an alternative and nonproteolytic strategy for initiation of the membrane fusion processes. Following attachment of ACE2, SARS-CoV spike proteins efficiently induce TACE-dependent proteolytic release of catalytically active ACE2 ectodomains, a shedding process also coupled to induction of TNF-α production [69,70].

Besides their classification as zinc-dependent peptidases, APN and ACE2 share another important functional characteristic. Both proteins are integral components of the RAS, one of the most important regulators of human physiology. SARS-CoV-induced dysregulation of the RAS is currently postulated to contribute to generation of severe clinical signs observed during SARS-CoV infections [24]. During establishment of an infection, SARS-CoV induces a rapid downregulation of ACE2 cell surface expression, either via internalization of the receptor–ligand complex [71,72] or activation of TACE-mediated ectodomain shedding of soluble ACE2 [59,69,70], which is proposed to seriously alter physiologic functionalities of pulmonary RAS. Unpublished data from our laboratory demonstrates that HCoV-NL63 infection induces a reduction of cellular ACE2 expression [Dijkman R, van der Hoek L. Unpublished Data], but the rate at which the downregulation of ACE2 occurs is probably delayed (less than 4 days after infection of LLC-MK2 cells). Other studies did not observe HCoV-NL63-induced ACE2 downregulation, but these studies either measured during a very short period (12 h) [69] or had only low-level HCoV-NL63 infection (less than 2 log rise in viral RNA load) [70]. Shedding of ACE2 following HCoV-NL63 infection has also been noted, but the concentration of cleaved, soluble ACE2 was higher during SARS-CoV than HCoV-NL63 infection [70].

Aminopeptidase N is targeted as an entry receptor by HCoV-229E. Following binding of HCoV-229E, APN aggregates and translocates to caveolin-enriched membrane domains, leading to endocytosis and virus internalization [42]. Receptor-mediated endocytosis of viral particles generally results in simultaneous internalization of the entry receptor [28,73]. Indeed, sequestration of porcine APN molecules into intracellular vesicles has been visualized during endocytosis of porcine CoV strain transmissible gastroenteritis virus [74]. HCoV-229E-induced downregulation of APN expression is likely to occur, yet strong evidence is currently lacking. Similar to ACE2 downmodulation, HCoV-229E-induced abrogation of cellular APN expression may impair its normal physiologic function within the RAS and contribute to development of clinical symptoms, raising the interesting possibility that the capacity of several HCoVs to provoke clinical manifestations might be (in part) explained by specific interactions with the RAS.
and cardiac function. Moreover, RAS classically regulates tissue remodeling processes, in particular cell proliferation, hypertrophy, angiogenesis and apoptosis [75]. In addition to its involvement in normal physiological processes, the system has been connected to numerous pathophysiological processes [76]. Abnormal activated RAS has well established roles in development of cardiovascular diseases (ranging from hypertension to heart failure), renal diseases and diabetes [77–79]. Within the RAS, various angiotensin peptides are synthesized and degraded from the large precursor angiotensinogen, by means of a complex series of enzymatic reactions (Figure 1). While some RAS components are generated at specific sites of the body (e.g., renin from kidneys, lung-derived ACE and angiotensinogen from the liver), actual angiotensin synthesis is believed to occur in every organ [80].

Renin–angiotensin system activity is initiated by the kidney, through release of renin from juxtaglomerular cells [81]. Renin is an aspartic protease that enzymatically cleaves its substrate angiotensinogen, which is produced by the liver, to form an inactive peptide: angiotensin (Ang) I or Ang (1–10). Ang I is subsequently converted into the major RAS effector peptide Ang II or Ang (1–8), through activity of the zinc-dependent protease ACE, which hydrolyzes two amino acids from the carboxy terminus of Ang I [82]. ACE is expressed in high concentrations on surfaces of vascular endothelial cells, particularly in lung tissue [75]. Moreover, Ang II can be generated from Ang I through the activity of non-ACE-related enzymes, including the serine protease chymase [83]. Although ACE is regarded as the primary Ang II-converting enzyme, in certain vascular pathological conditions the majority of Ang II is most likely generated by chymase [84]. Moreover, in pulmonary membranes, chymase activity has been detected, making an import contribution to the conversion of Ang I into Ang II in lung tissue [85]. Ang II initiates most of the RAS-attributed physiologic effects through selective interactions with G-protein-coupled Ang II type 1 (AT1) or type 2 (AT2) receptors and subsequent activation of distinct intracellular signaling pathways [84,86]. In principle, activated AT1 and AT2 receptors mediate opposing functions. AT1 receptors predominantly orchestrate most of the well-known and classical physiological actions of Ang II, and are abundantly expressed in a variety of organs, including liver, kidney, brain, lung, heart and vascular system [87]. Activated AT1 receptors are able to regulate arterial pressure through vasoconstriction, fluid and sodium balance by means of activation of antidiuretic hormone and aldosterone secretion, fibrosis, cellular growth and migration [75,84]. More recently, Ang II binding to AT1 receptors has been implicated in inflammatory responses [88–91]. When improperly counterbalanced, AT1 receptors might induce potentially harmful actions and contribute to pathogenesis. Activation of this Ang II–AT1 receptor-dependent pathway is widely accepted to lead to organ damage and fibrosis [84]. In contrast to the extensively characterized AT1 receptor responses, physiological consequences of AT2 receptor activation are still not completely unraveled. AT2 receptor activation by RAS components is generally assumed to result in more protective clinical consequences, partially by counteracting AT1 receptor responses [92,93]. AT2 receptor stimulation has been associated, for instance, with protection of the brain against ischemia [94]. In essence, AT1 receptors are linked to vasodilatation, release of nitric oxide, tissue development and remodeling, by stimulating apoptosis and inhibition of cell growth [95].

**Emerging aspects of the RAS**

The aforementioned enzymatic reactions, which lead to Ang II formation, together constitute the ‘classical’ or ‘renal’ RAS cascade, initially described in 1940 (depicted in the middle of Figure 1) [96]. Ever since, additional RAS components have been discovered that make this classical pathway more complex than previously thought. Clearly, Ang II is not a unique RAS end product and the multiple-mediator system operates far beyond relative simple regulation of fluid and blood pressure homeostasis [75,97–99].

Angiotensin-converting enzyme has been regarded as the key regulating enzyme within the RAS for a long time [100,101]. Currently, it is well established that various additional enzymes target both Ang I and II to induce formation of alternative angiotensin peptide fragments, in particular Ang (1–7), Ang III or Ang (2–8) and Ang IV or Ang (3–8) [84]. These proteins are known to function as unique, physiologically active RAS components, although initially identified as inactive breakdown products. Ang (1–7), Ang III and IV exert their own specific biological actions via individual receptors, and are, in addition, believed to modulate the classical effects induced by Ang II. The Ang I-derived heptapeptide Ang (1–7) can be generated directly
through action of several tissue endopeptidases, including neutral endopeptidase (or neprilysin) and prolyl endopeptidase [102]. After discovery of a novel RAS enzyme homologous to ACE (the carboxypeptidase ACE2) [48,49], two additional pathways leading to Ang (1–7) formation were introduced. At first, ACE2 has been demonstrated to induce conversion of Ang I into Ang (1–7) by means of intermediate production of Ang (1–9), a fragment with unknown function [49,98]. Ang (1–9) is subsequently further metabolized into Ang (1–7) through enzymatic activity of ACE [103]. Second, ACE2 is able to hydrolyze Ang (1–7) directly from Ang II [104]. Of these two ACE2-mediated enzymatic steps, direct conversion of Ang II into Ang (1–7) is kinetically most favorable [50,105]. Alternatively, immediate generation of Ang (1–7) from Ang II can be orchestrated through activity of several other enzymes, including prolyl carboxypeptidase [106], which is predominantly expressed in vascular endothelial cells [102]. However, ACE2 is by far the most potent enzyme in hydrolyzing Ang II into Ang (1–7) [50,107]. Once synthesized, Ang (1–7) exerts its functions through association with the G-protein-coupled receptor Mas (MAS R), initially misidentified as an Ang II receptor [98,108]. There is, however, also evidence supporting MAS R-independent Ang (1–7) activity [109]. Ang (1–7) induces multiple actions (i.e., vasodilation and cellular growth inhibition) that appear to counterbalance most of the physiologic responses initiated by Ang II [82,105,110].
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The ACE2–Ang (1–7)–MAS R axis is regarded as a putative ‘pressor’ or counter-regulatory arm of the RAS, producing effects that oppose those of the main ACE–Ang II–AT_1 receptor axis (Figure 1; blue and red pathways, respectively). In this concept, RAS is envisioned mainly as a dual function system, in which actions (i.e., vasoconstriction/vasodilation and proliferative/anti-proliferative) are primarily driven by the ACE/ACE2 balance [78,102,111]. A higher level of ACE will lead to an increased Ang II generation and decreased catabolism of Ang (1–7), while an opposite ratio (i.e., higher ACE2 levels) will contribute to reduced Ang II and elevated Ang (1–7) levels. Ang (1–7) that directly antagonizes many of the Ang II-mediated actions and provides an additional level of counter regulation [111]. Genetic ACE2 inactivation experiments confirmed an important role for ACE2 in regulating Ang II levels in vivo. To date, all strains of ace2 knockout mice are reported to possess increased plasma and tissue levels of Ang II [112–114].

Besides formation of Ang (1–7), Ang II can be degraded further into two distinct bioactive peptides (i.e., Ang III and Ang IV) through activity of several membrane-bound aminopeptidases [92,115], which are still confusingly identified by several different names (Box 1). Ang III is readily synthesized from Ang II through enzymatic involvement of aminopeptidase A, which hydrolyzes acidic amino acids [116,117]. Similar to Ang II, Ang III orchestrates its physiologic functions through binding and activation of AT_1 and AT_2 receptors [92,98]. Ang III is, however, less potent compared with Ang II in mediating biological responses via these receptors [115]. Most likely, this is attributable to the high catabolic rate of Ang III formation, which occurs three-times faster than that of Ang II due to broad peripheral distribution of APN [118]. Like Ang II, Ang III participates in cardiovascular and renal functions, stimulating production of aldosterone and decreasing renal blood flow and renin secretion [84,92,119]. In specific AT_1-mediated actions Ang III might be even more important than Ang II (e.g., vasopressin release in the brain) [84]. Ang III also plays a major role in brain physiology, regulating water homeostasis, blood pressure and norepinephrine release [119].

Angiotensin III can be degraded into a third alternative component, designated Ang IV, through action of two additional aminopeptidases: APN and aminopeptidase B (APB) [98,120,121]. Whereas APN cleaves neutral amino acids, APB preferentially hydrolyzes basic residues from the N-terminal side of proteins [116]. The affinity of Ang IV to bind classical RAS receptors AT_1 and AT_2 is very low [92,120]. Instead, Ang IV possesses the potential to bind and signal through the recently identified angiotensin type 4 (AT_4) receptor [120,122]. Ang IV is linked to several important physiologic functions, including blood flow regulation, processes underlying learning and memory formation, and activation of proinflammatory genes [123–125]. This Ang IV-mediated activation of transcription factor NF-κB leads to increased expression of platelet activator inhibitor-1, monococyte chemoattractant protein-1, IL-6 and TNF-α [84,126].

Box 1. Terminology of renin–angiotensin system-involved aminopeptidases.

Aminopeptidases constitute a diverse set of peptidases, and serve to proteolytically process amino acid residues from the N-terminus of protein and bioactive peptides. Since these enzymes have been identified using several different characteristics, including number of removed amino acids; residue preference; cellular location; metal ion content and pH at which maximum activity is observed, a particularly complex labyrinth of aminopeptidase classification systems currently exists [116,189]. Aminopeptidase N (EC3.4.11.2) shows a preference for cleavage of neutral amino acid residues. Since Ala is the amino acid most efficiently broken down by this peptidase, it is also named alanyl aminopeptidase. Furthermore, the enzyme is known as microsomal leucine aminopeptidase or aminopeptidase M, reflecting its close association with microsomal membrane fractions in pig kidney from which it was purified [116]. Sequence comparisons revealed that aminopeptidase N is identical to CD13, a cell surface glycoprotein originally defined on subsets of normal and malignant myeloid cells [33]. Aminopeptidase B (EC3.4.11.6) preferentially cleaves N-terminal basic amino acids. Since many enzymatic assays have been performed using Arg-naphthylamide, the enzyme is also known as arginine aminopeptidase. In addition, aminopeptidase B is indicated as alylamidase II or cystosol aminopeptidase IV. Aminopeptidase A (EC3.4.11.7) hydrolyzes N-terminal acidic amino acids. Since glutamyl derivatives are most efficiently hydrolyzed, the enzyme is also designated glutamyl aminopeptidase. Alternative names include angiotensinase A, aspartate aminopeptidase, glutamyl peptidase and membrane aminopeptidase II [116].

Local RAS at primary site of HCoV infections

Traditionally, the RAS has been described predominantly as a circulating and endocrine system. It is now well established that besides a peripheral RAS, tissue-specific or local systems exist as well. In many organ systems, including the heart, brain, lung, kidney, pancreas, liver and vasculature, as well as the nervous, reproductive and digestive systems, RAS components necessary for biosynthesis of active angiotensin fragments have been detected [82]. As a result, these organs are thought to possess the capacity to generate RAS effector proteins locally. Tissue-specific systems exhibit diverse paracrine and/or autocrine mechanisms, which have been
functionally correlated to several cell-specific effects, including cell growth, proliferation and metabolism. While independent operation of tissue RAS is reported in some organs (e.g., the brain), other systems (from heart and kidney) function in close cooperation with circulating RAS.

Although speculated for a long time, actual existence of a local airway RAS and the capacity to generate intrapulmonary Ang II has been confirmed only recently. The lungs have been demonstrated to possess a local system that is not driven by kidney-derived renin from the circulation. Instead, alveolar mast cells, which populate the upper and lower respiratory tract, express renin, which triggers pulmonary Ang II formation. Other classical components of the RAS have been detected abundantly in rodent and human airway tissue, including angiotensinogen and ACE, the pulmonary epithelium being the primary source for circulating ACE. Ang II receptors are expressed in lungs as well, with the AT₁ subtype found in bronchial smooth muscle cells, and AT₂ receptors detected at bronchial epithelial brush borders. ACE2 expression has been confirmed in alveolar and bronchiolar epithelial cells, as well as pulmonary endothelial cells. Chymase is present in lung mast cells as well, and may be a major Ang II-generating enzyme in the lung.

Local pulmonary RAS is shown to contribute to several tissue remodeling processes, including regulation of alveolar epithelial cell apoptosis, enhancement of fibroblast proliferation and lung collagen production. However, inappropriate activation and regulation of local airway renin–angiotensin components, in particular ACE2, might also initiate development of lung-associated pathophysiological conditions.

ACE2 & its role in acute lung injury
Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 is thought to be a key player in maintenance of RAS homeostasis, preferably through its ability to convert Ang II into Ang (1–7), and to activate the putative ‘pressor’ pathway within the RAS (blue pathway in Figure 1). By doing so, ACE2 may well be able to regulate the net level of Ang II present in tissues, and to antagonize hypertrophic and fibrotic effects resulting from Ang II binding to the AT₁ receptor (red pathway in Figure 1). This counter-regulatory role of ACE2 might also be crucial in maintenance of lung RAS homeostasis. Improperly regulated and increased ACE and Ang II levels have been specifically associated with pathogenesis of different forms of lung diseases, including pulmonary hypertension, sarcoidosis, pulmonary fibrosis and acute respiratory stress syndrome (ARDS).

Most notably, in vivo studies confirmed a functional association between pulmonary RAS and ARDS severity and outcome. ARDS is regarded as the most severe form of acute lung injury, characterized by pulmonary edema, accumulation of inflammatory cells and severe hypoxia. Multiple pathogenic conditions can trigger development of ARDS, including sepsis, gastric juice aspiration, pancreatitis and trauma. Several studies in the past have been pointing towards the importance of pulmonary RAS during the pathogenesis of this type of acute lung injury. Increased levels of ACE are detected in bronchoalveolar fluid of individuals suffering from ARDS. Furthermore, ACE antagonists (i.e., AT₁ receptor blockers) have been demonstrated to delay the onset of ARDS in a rat model with acute lung injury. ACE is thought to negatively contribute to ARDS pathogenesis via a number of mechanisms, including an increase in vascular permeability and reduction of pneumocyte survival.

A recent study performed with an ARDS mouse model established an opposing and protective role for the pulmonary RAS component ACE2. Namely, mutant mice with an abrogated ACE2 expression developed a more severe disease pattern after acid aspiration-induced acute lung injury compared with control mice. These ace2 knockout mice exhibited enhanced vascular permeability, increased lung edema, neutrophil accumulation and a worsened overall lung function. Importantly, systemic treatment of both knockout and wild-type mice with recombinant ACE2 improved ARDS symptoms. ACE and AT₁ receptor, on the contrary, are negatively involved in ARDS pathogenesis, since loss of ACE or AT₁ receptor expression correlates to a far less severe ARDS phenotype. Thus, ACE2 protects mice from severe acute lung injury/ARDS, preferably by negative regulation of Ang II levels. As opposed to ACE2, several other RAS components, including Ang II, ACE and AT₁ receptor elevate disease pathogenesis, induce lung edemas and impair lung function. Apparently, enzymes that may facilitate alternative Ang II degradation and subsequent production of Ang (1–7), are not sufficient to protect against deterioration of lung injury by neutralizing an abrogated ACE2 expression. Indeed, prolyl carboxypeptidase and prolyl endopeptidase are
known to be unspecific in their enzymatic activity, and possess a 10–600-fold lower catalytic efficiency compared with ACE2 for the generation of Ang (1–7) \[107\]. Still, absence or inadequate expression of both these Ang II-converting enzymes in the pulmonary system might explain a lack of putative counter-regulatory pathways.

The major role of pulmonary RAS during lung failure is emphasized further by clinical studies performed in humans. A significant association between an ACE insertion/deletion polymorphism that affects ACE activity and susceptibility and outcome in ARDS has been found \[147,148\]. First, it has been demonstrated that ARDS patients carrying the insertion/insertion genotype, associated with lower ACE activity, have a survival rate that is significantly increased \[148\]. Second, the deletion/deletion allele has been observed significantly more in ARDS patients compared with a control group \[147\]. Most intriguingly, the discovery of ACE2-mediated protection in acute lung injury/ARDS simultaneously provided a mechanistic explanation for SARS-CoV pathogenesis \[24\].

**Role of the RAS in SARS-CoV & HCoV-NL63 infection**

The high mortality rate (approaching 10%) following the SARS-CoV epidemic in 2003 is primarily attributable to respiratory failure caused by development of ARDS \[136,149\]. To infect its target host cells, SARS-CoV utilizes ACE2, the RAS component now known to orchestrate protection from acute lung failure/ARDS \[23,24,150\]. Notably, after engagement of viral spike proteins with ACE2, the amount of cell surface-expressed ACE2 is reduced \[24,151\]. This phenomenon of ACE2 receptor downregulation has been shown to provoke a worsening of lung failure in a SARS-CoV-infected mouse model \[145\]. By possessing a remarkable higher level of systemic Ang II, SARS-CoV-treated wild-type mice resemble the phenotype observed in ace2 knockout mice, once again emphasizing the key role of ACE2 during ARDS \[150\]. The worsened ARDS symptoms observed in infected mice could be partially reversed by AT\(_1\) receptor blocker treatment, proving that continuous Ang II binding to AT\(_1\) receptors promotes exacerbation of lung injury during SARS-CoV infection \[24\]. Thus, SARS-CoV can deteriorate acute lung failure through dysregulation of pulmonary RAS activities. It is worth noting that studies analyzing the role of human ace2 gene polymorphisms in progression of lung injury during SARS-CoV infections did not confirm such a correlation \[152,153\]. Other unknown factors are possibly involved in the overall mechanism of lung damage induced by SARS-CoV \[154\].

At present, there are some indications that downregulation of myocardial ACE2 expression by SARS-CoV induces symptoms of cardiac damage and dysfunction in some SARS patients, including arrhythmias, sudden cardiac death and systolic as well as diastolic dysfunction. Similarly to respiratory infection, SARS-CoV infection of the heart induces a downregulation of cellular ACE2 expression \[57\]. As an essential regulator of heart function, ACE2 has well-established roles in the development of cardiovascular diseases \[77\]. A reduction in cardiac ACE2 expression most likely results in locally elevated levels of Ang II and might, therefore, also account for these pathophysiological processes, by Ang II-mediated activation of AT\(_1\) receptors and loss of the protective effects of Ang (1–7) \[57,112\]. However, direct evidence for this hypothesis is still lacking.

Although a possible molecular explanation for the severe clinical outcome during SARS-CoV infections is now available, questions remain about the precise pathogenic mechanisms of HCoV-NL63, which also utilizes ACE2 to infect human respiratory cells \[25\]. In contrast to SARS-CoV, clinical symptoms during HCoV-NL63 infections are usually mild to moderate and alveolar damage is rarely seen. More severe respiratory disease is only observed in immunocompromised patients, the elderly and children \[4\]. Several scenarios might explain this discrepancy of ACE2 utilization with absence of ARDS symptoms. As discussed, this might be, in part, caused by different cell entry strategies of both CoVs after ACE2 binding \[151\]. Furthermore, varying binding efficiency to ACE2 could be a feasible explanation \[25\]. HCoV-NL63 seems to bind ACE2 with a lower affinity compared with SARS-CoV \[78,155,156\]. Moreover, HCoV-NL63 might lack a pathogenicity factor that is present in SARS-CoV. This factor could possibly be encoded by an accessory gene of the SARS-CoV genome \[25\]. While SARS-CoV possesses an unusually high number of these genes (eight) with a still unknown function, only one is detected in the HCoV-NL63 genome \[14,157\].

The performance of the RAS is well known to decrease during normal aging processes, exemplified by a progressive decrease in circulating renin and plasma renin activity \[158,159\]. In this respect, it is important to note that a recent study...
In post-mortem lung tissue of deaths attributed to chest infection or pneumonia described a high percentage of HCoV-NL63 infection (5.3%), most of whom were elderly [160], indicating that with increasing age, HCoV-NL63 might lead to significant mortality. To date, only one HCoV-NL63-related death has been described in the literature, namely a 92-year-old man [161]. Aging seems to be involved in SARS-CoV infections as well, since the strongest predictor of poor disease outcome appears to be an advanced age (>60 years) [162]. Thus, a HCoV infection may cause more RAS-related damage in the elderly because of an impaired overall RAS activity.

**Involvement of the RAS in HCoV-229E infection**

Aminopeptidase N, which converts Ang III into Ang IV within the RAS cascade (Figure 1), functions as a receptor for HCoV-229E [26]. Similar to ACE2 downregulation, an abrogation of APN expression could also give rise to potential pathophysiological consequences. Unfortunately, knowledge about APN and its putative role in HCoV-229E pathogenesis is insufficient and far from complete. This is probably, in part, attributable to the absence of severe symptoms during HCoV-229E infections in healthy adults, which are generally associated with mild and self-limiting upper respiratory tract diseases or ‘common colds’ [4,163]. An increasing body of evidence is, however, pointing towards more severe upper and lower respiratory tract illnesses, such as pneumonia, in young children, elderly and immunocompromised individuals [4].

Moreover, certain studies advocate for a putative neuroinvasive and neurovirulent capacity for HCoV-229E [164,165]. Unraveling a possible contribution of RAS in HCoV-229E infections, in particular through functioning of its enzymatic component APN, might therefore still provide important novel insights in pathogenic mechanisms of this human pathogen. Putative physiological consequences after APN-mediated RAS deregulation might be explained by several distinct scenarios. Here, some of the most interesting theories are discussed, with an emphasis on possible mechanisms evoking or dampening respiratory tract pathologies.

**Increased levels of Ang III**

Within the RAS, APN is involved in conversion of Ang III to Ang IV [98,120]. This process can be blocked using a specific APN inhibitor (PC-18), which increases the half-life of Ang III by 3.9-fold [166]. Similarly, APN downregulation during HCoV-229E infections will most probably contribute to an elevated Ang III expression level at sites of HCoV-229E infection. It is tempting to speculate that, like Ang II upregulation, increased Ang III levels might imbalance RAS and subsequently initiate pathological processes. Most of the current knowledge involving RAS-mediated harmful processes, however, only provide specific evidence for Ang II as a key player and not much is demonstrated for Ang III thus far. Ang II is considered to be a growth factor that regulates cell proliferation/apoptosis and fibrosis, as well as a proinflammatory mediator that attracts inflammatory cells to sites of tissue injury [167]. Since it shares many of its physiological properties with Ang II [92,98], Ang III is currently postulated to participate in certain harmful processes as well. In particular, Ang III has been reported to participate in initiation and progression of kidney injury [168]. In mesangial and renal interstitial fibroblast, Ang III binding to AT1 receptors is associated with overexpression of growth-related, profibrotic and proinflammatory genes, a prominent one being TGF-β [169]. TGF-β is known to be a key player in development of morphological alterations, including fibrosis and atrophy, by stimulating synthesis of extracellular matrix components and reducing collagenase production [168,170]. Also, at sites of human lung tissue injury, TGF-β expression is detected and inhibition of TGF-β in animal models attenuates development of fibrosis [171].

In contrast to a possible role for Ang III overexpression in kidney fibrotic processes, lung tissue injury exacerbation is assumed to be predominantly controlled by Ang II, again by activation of AT1 receptors [172–174]. Ang II is thought to exert its fibrotic effects by inducing TGF-β1 production, and triggering fibroblast proliferation and differentiation into collagen-secreting cells [175]. AT1 receptor binding by Ang III might be an organ-specific event and in some organs, including the pulmonary system, Ang II is more important in activating AT1 receptors. Results of several studies are indeed pointing towards Ang II as a main effector peptide in lung tissue. Locally produced Ang II in the lung, for example, has recently been demonstrated to be a critical factor in governing bronchial smooth muscle contraction through activation of AT1 receptors [127]. Moreover, Ang II is involved in exacerbation of acute lung injury [141]. However, research regarding Ang III function is still in its infancy and a putative role for Ang III-mediated pathophysiological processes in the...
respiratory tract is currently unknown and yet to be defined. As a consequence, a role for Ang III in HCoV-229E pathogenesis is far from confirmed.

Reduced levels of Ang IV
Besides a putative elevated expression of Ang III, downregulation of APN may equally result in a reduced formation of Ang IV proteins. In this scenario, AT₁ receptors are insufficiently activated. Presence of Ang IV-specific binding sites has been identified in various tissues and cells, including brain, spinal cord, colon, heart, kidney and vascular endothelial cells [176,177]. With regard to the pulmonary system, Ang IV plays a role in the regulation of blood flow by stimulating release of nitric oxide, a potent vasoconstrictor [178]. Furthermore, Ang IV seems to contribute to proliferation of lung vascular endothelial cells [177]. Most likely, these effects are attributable to systemic Ang IV, which is produced by the ‘peripheral RAS’ and released into the vascular system. Data regarding local pulmonary production of Ang IV and AT₁ receptor expression are unfortunately unavailable. Therefore, uncertainty remains as to what extent Ang IV is an actual pulmonary RAS effector peptide, and whether it could be involved in HCoV-229E pathogenesis.

APN-null mice
With respect to apn knockout mice, nothing relevant for the mechanism of HCoV-229E pathogenesis has been reported thus far [179]. Despite the broad range of APN functions, mice deficient in APN expression are not severely attenuated, and support only a role for APN in regulation of arterial blood pressure and the pathogenesis of hypertension [179,180]. This does certainly not exclude a role for APN in HCoV-229E pathogenesis, since initial investigations regarding ace2⁻/⁻ mice also did not reveal the present knowledge regarding ACE2 and acute lung injury exacerbation [112–114]. As a consequence, simultaneous induction of acute lung injury/ARDS in this animal model is necessary to elucidate the actual involvement of pulmonary APN in HCoV-229E pathogenesis.

Beneficial effects
In fact, severe clinical symptoms are generally not observed during HCoV-229E infection and this does not correspond to the previously described potential harmful consequences following modulation of APN expression. With respect to this, an attenuated APN expression at sites of HCoV-229E entrance might also give rise to effects that are beneficial for the host. Most striking is the recent suggestion that APN might actually be involved in regulation of chronic inflammation in the lung, by initiating chemokine production [181]. A continuous influx of inflammatory cells into the lung is not always beneficial for the host and might initiate fibrotic processes and organ-damaging processes. Systemic application of a specific APN inhibitor (actinin) in a silica-induced murine model of lung fibrosis reduced chemokine secretion (e.g., IL-6 and monocyte chemoattractant protein-1) in lung and bronchoalveolar lavage fluid, and resulted in a decreased level of pulmonary fibrosis [181]. Other systemic effects during these APN inhibitor treatment experiments were not observed. In addition, reduced chemokine secretion after APN inhibitor treatment has been confirmed in vitro, in cultured human lung epithelial cells, advocating for an important role for APN in orchestrating pulmonary chemokine production [181]. A putative involvement of APN in chronic lung inflammation has also been suggested by another study. The activity of APN in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid was significantly higher in patients with sarcoidosis compared with control individuals, correlating to the number of infiltrating T lymphocytes [182]. APN is therefore thought to participate in inflammatory processes by orchestrating lymphocyte chemotaxis [35].

The rapid accumulation of proinflammatory cytokines (hypercytokinemia or ‘cytokine storm’) and chemokines in the respiratory tract is regarded as a prominent mediator in the pathogenesis of viral infections [183]. Concentrations of IL-6 and -8 in nasal secretions, for instance, correlate with the severity of symptoms observed during upper respiratory tract infections [184,185]. SARS-CoV, murine hepatitis virus and feline infectious peritonitis virus infections are also characterized by excessive and local invasion of activated immune cells and cytokines [9,162,186]. Therefore, it is interesting to speculate that APN is a contributor in the host response against coronaviral infections of nasal mucosa, and that its downregulation might also be accompanied by a dampening of disease pathogenesis. On the contrary, a stark reduction of cytokine and chemokine production is certainly not at all beneficial for the host and will give rise to serious adverse effects, including failure to efficiently combat the viral infection. Maintenance of a balance between host defenses and respiratory tract injury (e.g., fibrosis) is therefore essential [187].
It is debatable to what extent these putative APN-mediated inflammatory effects (reduction of chemokine production and lymphocyte chemotaxis) are attributable to an imbalance within pulmonary RAS pathways, since a definite mechanistic explanation is not provided by these preliminary studies. Hypothetically, APN may exert its inflammatory potential through formation of the RAS effector peptide Ang IV (Figure 1). On the contrary, it might also be a result of initiation of intracellular signaling and subsequent gene activation by APN, a RAS-independent activity [35]. When APN-mediated intracellular signaling mechanisms are indeed essential in these putative lung protective processes, triggering of such cascades upon HCoV-229E binding should happen as well. It is unknown whether HCoV-229E possesses the capacity to activate intracellular signaling pathways after association with APN [35]. Nonetheless, an abolished APN expression level seems to attenuate development of chronic lung inflammation and pulmonary fibrosis, which is in direct contrast to ACE2 downregulation, which results in exacerbation of lung disease [141]. Notwithstanding the fact that more evidence is definitely needed to demonstrate this APN-induced chemokine production and enhancement of pulmonary disease pathogenesis, it might provide an explanation for the lack of severe clinical symptoms during most HCoV-229E infections. A reduced level of APN expression following HCoV-229E binding would in fact decrease the amount of pulmonary damage after initiation of infection. However, this theory encompassing a major role of APN in pulmonary inflammation might very well be over simplistic since inflammatory responses are generally very complex.

**RAS as a highly dynamic system**

Besides a potential beneficial effect of downregulation of APN expression, there are several alternative explanations for the general absence of severe clinical complications during HCoV-229E infections. APN is not unique in its ability to convert Ang III into Ang IV. APB is involved in this process as well [98,121]. This raises the possibility that APB might compensate for an abolished activity of APN, at the same time implicating that Ang III degradation is not severely attenuated. This theory is supported by the notion that RAS is a highly dynamic and multilayered system [84]. For instance, genetically engineered mice that do not express endothelial ACE and therefore lack ACE within the lung, are still capable of maintaining normal physiology. Lung chymase or an increased generation of Ang II by nonendothelial ACE may counterbalance the lack of local ACE expression, revealing a compensatory mechanism within the RAS [88]. It is, therefore, not unlikely that besides APB, additional bypass mechanisms exist that maintain normal physiology after virus-induced APN internalization.

**Conclusion**

Increasing evidence is pointing towards an important role for the RAS during HCoV pathogenesis. Here, we addressed the specific interplay of three HCoVs with the RAS, in order to obtain novel clues regarding their pathogenicity in the human host. Clearly, pulmonary RAS negatively affects severe acute lung injury during SARS-CoV infection. Nevertheless, a lot of issues regarding ACE2 involvement in CoV pathogenesis still remain unsolved. Particularly, the lack of severe lung injury after infection with the newly identified HCoV-NL63, which also targets ACE2 as its primary entry receptor, is remarkable. The absence of a compensatory mechanism within RAS for the abolished pulmonary ACE2 expression is also highly interesting and will require further investigation.

Although far from confirmed, an interesting and putative role for a second RAS component, APN, in HCoV infection is reviewed as well. Preliminary data provide an attractive explanation for the general absence of severe clinical symptoms during infections with HCoV-229E. Disturbances in APN expression levels might protect from an overactivated inflammatory response (‘cytokine storm’), a process linked to initiation of organ fibrosis and failure, by orchestrating lymphocyte chemotaxis into HCoV-229E-infected lung tissue. Important clues might be obtained by lung injury induction studies with animal models lacking APN expression, since current knowledge is strictly based on APN inhibitor experiments.

Coronaviral pathogenesis is a highly complex process in which interactions between host and pathogen determine the outcome of virus-induced disease. It is becoming evident that the RAS is involved in HCoV infections, and presumably of high importance for their pathogenicity. In addition, these findings once more confirm the hypothesis that severe imbalances within the tightly regulated RAS provoke a broad spectrum of pathologies. Elucidation of the exact pathophysiological roles of the
pulmonary RAS certainly contributes to clarification of the strategies used by HCoVs to elicit specific diseases, and might provide a definitive demonstration of their etiology. Eventually, a better understanding of HCoV pathogenesis might lead to development of new therapeutic strategies and/or vaccination protocols.

**Future perspective**

Deregulation of the RAS may very well be a part of the pathogenicity of HCoV infections. A therapy aimed at restoring the RAS equilibrium provides the opportunity to treat the symptoms of an infection. Especially in elderly patients, this treatment might be beneficial as the aged population is most vulnerable to deregulation of the RAS. ACE inhibitors and AT1 receptor antagonists are in use to decrease high blood pressure. Future research may elucidate the benefit of local administration of these drugs to neutralize the effects of HCoV-NL63- or SARS-CoV-induced ACE2 downregulation in the lungs.
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**Executive summary**

**Coronaviruses are considered important human pathogens**

- Human coronaviruses (HCoVs) initially seemed to be associated primarily with self-limiting upper respiratory tract infections in healthy adults, characterized by mild clinical symptoms.
- SARS-CoV has been proven to cause severe lower respiratory tract infections, causing high morbidity and mortality during the SARS epidemic in 2003.
- At present, all HCoV isolates are being recognized as causative agents of more severe respiratory tract complications, including pneumonia, especially in weakened patients: infants, elderly and immunocompromised individuals.

**Precise pathogenic potential of HCoV species remains largely unconfirmed**

- Since studies needed to unravel a causal link with a specific disease are hampered by a lack of a suitable animal model and/or cell culture system, current knowledge has been primarily obtained through population-based studies.
- Elucidation of the physiologic consequences following virus–host interaction processes might provide alternative insights into the complex process of HCoV pathogenesis.

**The renin–angiotensin system is involved in human coronavirus pathogenesis**

- Two integral proteases of the renin–angiotensin system (RAS) are entry receptors for HCoVs: neutral aminopeptidase and angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE)2.
- By means of downmodulation of cellular ACE2 expression, SARS-CoV directly impairs normal physiologic function of ACE2 within the RAS. As ACE2 is a prominent negative regulator and protects from worsening of acute lung injury, a loss of ACE2 expression is thought to provoke the severe symptoms observed during infection with SARS-CoV. The potency of HCoV-NL63 to unbalance the RAS needs further investigation.
- Although unconfirmed, abolished cellular expression of aminopeptidase N might prevent over-activation of pulmonary inflammation and organ damage, which might explain the general absence of severe clinical symptoms during HCoV-229E infections.

---
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