Determining the Separation Performance of the Knelson Concentrator
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Abstract
This study was aimed at determining the separation performance of the Knelson concentrator in Zonguldak fine coal (-1+0.15 mm). The experiments were carried out in the Knelson concentrator under the following optimal parameters determined in previous studies; water flow rate of 3.14 L/min., bowl speed of 459 rpm, solids ratio of 23.87%, and feed flow rate of 1.50 L/min. A series of tests were conducted at optimal test parameters to obtain enough samples for float and sink analysis. Clean coal and tailing products were subjected to float and sink analysis. Based on this analysis, partition curve for coal cleaning process was generated. The cut-point of the separation was obtained at the specific gravity of 1.72 [g/cm³]. The probable error (Ep) value, which signifies the efficiency of the process, was calculated as 0.11 These results indicated that Knelson concentrator is very effective in separating fine coal.
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Introduction
A typical coal preparation plant may incorporate four processing flow sheets for treating run-of-mine (ROM) feed (Lutrell et al. 2000). The coarse (+12 mm) and intermediate (-12+1 mm) size particles are normally treated using heavy medium baths and jigs and heavy medium cyclones, respectively. While screens are used to dewater the coarse products, centrifugal dryers are employed to dewater smaller coals. Water-based density separators like spirals and water-only cyclones are generally utilized for upgrading fine coal (-1+0.15 mm). Its performance efficiency is lower than those obtained by heavy medium-based separators. Ultrafine coal (-0.150 mm) is cleaned using flotation (column flotation or conventional flotation) or discarded to waste pond (Zhang, 2008). These process flow circuits may differ based on various product specifications demanded by the coal market (Meyer and Craig, 2011). Fine coal separators including coal spiral separator, fine coal heavy medium cyclone, enhanced gravity separator, teetered bed separator, and reflux classifier have shown a marked improvement in recent years (Honaker and Forest, 2003; Zhang et al. 2014).

Being developed and commercialized in the 1990’s, enhanced gravity separators (EGS) are gravity concentrators operating at a centrifugal force to increase the settling rate of particles by rotating the separating vessel (Majumder and Barnwall, 2008). The EGS units work based on conventional density-based separation principles and an enhanced gravity field provided by a mechanical spinning action (Honaker and Ozsver, 2000). Today, it is possible to find a new generation of centrifugal enhanced gravity separators in the market for cleaning fine coals. Falcon Concentrator, Kelsey Jig, Knelson Concentrator, and Mozley Multi-Gravity Separator are among commercial units (Lutrell et al. 1995). The advancements in enhanced centrifugal gravity separators have led to improve treatment capabilities of gravity separating equipment for fine coal. Being one of the enhanced gravity separators, the Knelson concentrator is basically a hindered settling device, related to a hydrosizer, using centrifugal force instead of the force of gravity (Tao et al. 2006; Uslu et al. 2012).

Knelson concentrator
Being an EGS technology, the Knelson concentrator operates based on teeter-bed principles in a centrifugal field to separate fine particles (Honaker et al. 2005) Fig. 1 shows schematic illustration of a Knelson concentrator.

This concentrator is a vertical axis bowl-type centrifugal concentrator and utilizes a fluidized bed to perform its concentrating duty. After it was first introduced as a semi-batch unit in 1982, it has been subjected to several iterations of design, resulting in the invention of a continuous discharge machine (McLeavy et al. 2001; Majumder and Barnwall, 2006). The models are basically composed of a conical inner shell and several parallel “vee” shaped riffles, bolted to a rotating outer shell (Majumder and Barnwall, 2006).

A separation process is realized as follows; feed is introduced in the form of slurry at the bottom of the unit through a central tube. A theoretical centrifugal force of around 60G leads the feed solids to fill the inter-riffle spaces completely. After solids fill in these spaces, the further feed starts to introduce in the sorting stages. At this point, heavy minerals replace with light minerals and are trapped in the inter-riffle spaces; on the other hand, the lighter counterparts are carried by water toward the top of the unit. Thus, fluidized water is given through the multiple fluidization holes in the inner chamber in order to keep the bed of heavy minerals (Honaker and Ozsver, 2000). This fluidization water force is expected to be strong enough to prevent severe compaction of the heavy mineral bed due to the strong centrifugal force (Banisi, 1990; Majumder and Barnwall, 2006). The concentration mechanism of a Knelson concentrator may thus be attached to a hindered settling classifier (Burt 1992; Laplante 1993; Majumder and Barnwall, 2006).
Material and Method

The raw slurry sample was obtained from the flotation feeding unit of Amasra Mine Coal Washing Plant. This sample was screened from 0.150 mm and divided into two parts; fine coal (-1+0.150 mm) and ultrafine coal (-0.150 mm). Table 1 shows screen analysis of fine coal enriched with Knelson concentrator.

The ash content of the feed varied from 19.65% to 41.78% for each size fraction with total ash content of 24.78%. Ash content increased rapidly with decreasing particle size. The finest fraction of the fine coal has more ash content.

Feed sample was subjected to float and sink analysis. Table 2 shows float and sink analysis results of the feed material is given in.

The washability curves were plotted as cumulative float curve, cumulative sink curve ± 0.1 relative density curve and density and ash characteristic curve (Figure 2).

Several tests were performed with a laboratory type KC- MD3 Knelson concentrator to obtain sufficient overflow (clean coal) and underflow (waste material) products for float and sink analysis. For this purpose, the experiments were carried out at optimal operating conditions determined in previous studies (Oney et al. article in press; http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/19392699.2017.1397641). The results of this study revealed that the optimal predicted values were obtained at 79.33% γC, 12.52% ϑ and 91.95% ε in the operating parameters of the fluidization water flow rate, bowl speed, solids ratio and feed flow rate by using response surface methodology. It should be noted that these parameters significantly affect performance of Knelson concentrator. In the tests, a laboratory type Knelson unit, a slurry feed unit with a stirrer, and a peristaltic pump were used. Concentration of feed solids was maintained at 23.87% by weight. Fluidization water flow rate was kept constant at 3.14 L/min. and the speed of the bowl was adjusted to 459 rpm. The slurry was agitated continuously so that the solids were kept in suspension and the material was fed regularly. Flow rate of the peristaltic pump was adjusted to 1.50 L/min.

The clean coal and waste material were subjected to the float and sink analysis. For this purpose, liquids of different specific gravity varying from 1.3 to 1.9 with typical step in intervals of 0.1 were prepared using ZnCl₂. Firstly, the sample was placed in the liquid with lowest specific gravity. The fraction higher than the liquid floats and heavier part sinks. Sink fraction refers to the portion floating with a particular specific gravity and the portion sinking. Then, the sinks were placed with next higher specific gravity so that the float and sink fractions were separated. Weight and ash content of sink and float products were gathered, dried, and examined at each bath.

Results and Discussion

It was found based on the results of float and sink analysis that the clean coal had a total ash content of 12.51% (Table 3 and Figure 3).

Based on the Table 3 it was observed that while the weight content of the lightest coal fraction was 33.67%, its ash content was 3.93%. This may be associated with being vitrain. Clean coal is mainly floated at a specific gravity between 1.30–1.50. The cumulative ash content of clean coal at the density of 1.50 was 7.16% and its total weight was 87.10%. Table 3 also shows that the amount of clean coal material at the specific gravities between 1.5 and 1.9 was very low which corresponded to a small amount of locked particles.
Table 4 and Figure 4 show the float and sink analysis of the tailing. Total ash content of the tailing product was 70.56%. There was no floated material at 1.30 specific gravity, which signified that coal particles were collected in the clean coal product.

The cumulative ash content at 1.40 specific gravity was found to be 2.10% and total weight was 16.79%. After the 1.80 specific gravity, weight of the waste product and its ash content increased rapidly. Weight of sink material at 1.90 specific gravity was 48.8% and its ash content was 84.19%.

Partition curve is used for performance evaluation of any gravity concentration unit. Once the partition coefficient is plotted based on mean of its density range, the partition curve or Tromp curve (introduced by Tromp in 1937) is obtained (Gupta and Yan, 2018). Distribution curve for each test is plotted based on sink-float data of the overflow and underflow products. Normally, distribution curve is relatively dependent on the float and sink properties of the coal based on the particle size distribution as well as the type of separating unit. Data obtained from float and sink analysis on the raw coal, the clean coal and residue is used in following equation to determine the partition coefficients (Gupta and Yan, 2016):

$$\text{Partition Coefficient} = \frac{(\text{mass of coal reporting in any SG range})}{(\text{mass of feed coal present in that SG range})} \times 100 \quad (1)$$

The Tromp partition curve was plotted to evaluate the knelson concentrator based on the float and sink analysis data of the clean coal and waste products from Amasra fine coal. Table 5 and Figure 5 show the results.

The two important performance factors were measured from Tromp curve; cut density (SG₅₀) and Ecart probable error (Ep). The specific gravity cut point, SG₅₀, refers to the specific gravity of those particles in the feed that has a 50% possibility of moving to the overflow or the underflow in separator (Zhang, 2008). Conventional fine coal cleaning technologies such as single-pass spiral concentrator circuits typically provide relatively high separation density values of 1.8 and greater (Osborne, 1988; Honaker and Ozsever, 2000). In this study, SG₅₀ was found to be 1.72.

The Ecart probable error, Ep (1) was used as the efficiency criterion. Ep refers to the deviation of actual curve from the ideal curve. It is estimated from the following equation (Zhang, 2008)

$$E_p = \frac{(\text{SG}_{25} - \text{SG}_{75})}{2} \quad (2)$$

Where SG₂₅ and SG₇₅ are 25% and 75% of feed material representing to the clean coal. Probable error value should be zero for ideal separation and higher probable error values signify poorer separations (Rao, 2000). Probable error values in the range of 0.15 to 0.20 indicates moderate separation efficiency compared to the values realized from the process cleaning the coarse fractions with values of 0.05 and lower (Osborne, 1988; Honaker and Ozsever, 2000). A low Ep (0.02) indicates a very precise separation and a high Ep (0.20) indicates a very imprecise separation (URL 1). In this study, Ep was calculated for Amasra fine coal using following formula 2.
Tab. 3. Float and sink test results of the clean coal product
Tab. 3. Wyniki testów densymetrycznych dla czystego węgla

| Specific gravity range | Mean Sp. gr. | Weight (%) | Ash (%) | Cumulative weight (%) | Cumulative ash (%) |
|------------------------|-------------|------------|---------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| Float                  | 1.30        | 1.25       | 33.67   | 3.93                  | 33.67              |
| 1.30                   | 1.40        | 1.35       | 37.65   | 5.85                  | 71.32              |
| 1.40                   | 1.50        | 1.45       | 15.78   | 17.17                 | 87.10              |
| 1.50                   | 1.60        | 1.55       | 5.31    | 34.56                 | 92.41              |
| 1.60                   | 1.70        | 1.65       | 2.65    | 46.69                 | 95.06              |
| 1.70                   | 1.80        | 1.75       | 1.80    | 55.93                 | 96.86              |
| 1.80                   | 1.90        | 1.85       | 1.94    | 64.45                 | 98.80              |
| Sink                   | 1.90        | 2.25       | 1.20    | 78.89                 | 100.00             |
| Total                  |             | 100.00     | 12.51   |                       |                    |

Fig. 3. Weight (%) and ash content (%) of clean coal according to float and sink analysis
Rys. 3. Zawartość masowa (%) i popiołu (%) w czystym węglu według analizy densymetrycznej

Fig. 4. Weight (%) and ash content (%) of the tailing according to float and sink analysis
Rys. 4. Zawartość masowa (%) i popiołu (%) w odpadzie według analizy densymetrycznej

Fig. 5. Tromp partition curve
Rys. 5. Krzywa rozdziału Trompa
Conclusion

Modern gravity techniques have been used effectively in recent years for treating fine and ultrafine particles. In this study, Knelson concentrator developed to make use of centrifugal force was used for cleaning of Amasra fine coal. Several tests were performed in optimal operating conditions after that operating cut point and efficiency of the separation were determined based on the results of the float and sink analysis. The Tromp partition curve indicated that separation performance of Knelson concentrator was effective for -1+0.150 mm Amasra fine coal.

| Specific gravity range | Mean Sp. gr. | Weight (%) | Ash (%) | Cumulative weight (%) | Cumulative ash (%) |
|------------------------|-------------|------------|---------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| Float                  | -1.30       | 1.25       | 0       | 0.00                  | 0.00              |
|                        | 1.30        | 1.35       | 2.1     | 16.79                 | 2.10              |
|                        | 1.40        | 1.45       | 3.8     | 26.87                 | 5.90              |
|                        | 1.50        | 1.55       | 3.6     | 38.56                 | 9.50              |
|                        | 1.60        | 1.65       | 4.7     | 56.19                 | 14.20             |
|                        | 1.70        | 1.75       | 8.9     | 58.68                 | 23.10             |
|                        | 1.80        | 1.85       | 28.1    | 67.08                 | 51.20             |
| Sink                   | -1.90       | 2.25       | 48.8    | 84.19                 | 100.00            |
| Total                  | 100.00      | 70.56      |         |                       |                   |

| Specific gravity range | Mean Sp. gr. | Clean coal | Tailing | Clean coal% | Tailing % | Calculated | Partition C for clean coal |
|------------------------|-------------|------------|---------|-------------|-----------|------------|----------------------------|
| A                      | B           | C          | D       | E           | F         | G          | H                          |
| Float                  | -1.30       | 1.25       | 33.67   | 0.00        | 26.55     | 100.00     |                            |
| 1.30                   | 1.40        | 1.35       | 37.65   | 2.10        | 29.69     | 30.13      | 98.53                      |
| 1.40                   | 1.50        | 1.45       | 15.78   | 2.10        | 29.69     | 30.13      | 98.53                      |
| 1.50                   | 1.60        | 1.55       | 5.31    | 3.60        | 4.19      | 76.00      | 4.95                       |
| 1.60                   | 1.70        | 1.65       | 2.65    | 4.70        | 4.95      | 84.62      |                            |
| 1.70                   | 1.80        | 1.75       | 8.90    | 1.42        | 1.88      | 43.00      |                            |
| 1.80                   | 1.90        | 1.85       | 28.10   | 1.53        | 5.94      | 7.47       | 20.48                      |
| Sink                   | 1.90        | 2.25       | 48.80   | 8.95        | 10.32     | 11.26      | 8.40                       |
| Total                  | 100.00      | 100.00     | 78.86   | 21.14       |           |            |                            |

\[ E_p = \frac{(1.84 - 1.62)}{2} = 0.11 \]
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Określenie jakości rozdziału w separatorze Knelsong

Celem tego badania było określenie jakości rozdziału materiału w separatorze Knelsong dla drobnouziarnionego węgla z Zonguldak (-1+0,15 mm). Doświadczenia przeprowadzono w separatorze typu Knelsong przy zastosowaniu optymalnych wartości parametrów, które otrzymano w poprzednich badaniach, tj. przepływ wody 3,14 l/min, prędkość misy 459 rpm, zawartość fazy stałej 23,87% oraz przepływ nadawy 1,50 l/min. Przeprowadzono serię testów przy optymalnych parametrach testowych w otrzymania odpowiedniej ilości dla analizy densymetrycznej. Czysty węgiel oraz odpady poddano takiej analizie, na podstawie której wygenerowano krzywą rozdziału dla procesu czyszczenia. Ziarno podziałowe rozdziału otrzymano przy gęstości właściwej 1,72 [g/cm³]. Wartość rozproszenia prawdopodobne (Ep), która oznacza skuteczność procesu została określona jako 0,11. Wyniki te wskazują, że separator Knelsong jest bardzo efektywny przy rozdziale drobnouziarnionego węgła.
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