Autotransfusion in low-resource settings: a scoping review

ABSTRACT

Introduction  Globally, haemorrhage is the leading cause of both maternal mortality and preventable trauma death. For patients suffering from haemorrhage, prompt blood transfusion can be life-saving; however, safe and sufficient blood is often lacking in low-resource settings (LRS). Autotransfusion (AT), in which the patient's own blood is collected and transfused back, is an established alternative to donor blood transfusions, although one that is primarily performed with advanced AT systems. Research on basic AT in LRS is scarce. Therefore, we aimed to consolidate all available information on the current use of basic AT in LRS and to identify AT techniques and devices described for use in such settings.

Design  Scoping review.

Methods  We systematically searched four key databases: PubMed, Web of Science, Global Health and Cochrane Library as well as several grey literature databases and databases of relevant organisations. The final search was conducted on 22 April 2019. We included all types of studies referring to any information on basic AT used or sought to be used in LRS, published in English and dated after 31 December 2008. We synthesised the data from the included studies, results were charted or summarised narratively.

Results  Some 370 records were reviewed, yielding 38 included documents. We found a paucity of scientific evidence as well as contradictory information on the extent of AT use and that AT use is largely undocumented. The most commonly described indications were haemoperitoneum (primarily among obstetric patients) and haemothorax. We identified three AT techniques used in LRS. Additionally, two new devices and one filter are described for potential use in LRS.

Conclusions  Basic AT is practiced for certain obstetric and trauma indications. However, context-specific studies are needed to determine the technique’s safety and effectiveness. Extent of use is difficult to assess, but our results indicate that basic AT is not a widely established practice in LRS. Future research should address the bottlenecks hampering basic AT availability. New AT devices for use in LRS are described, but their utility and cost-effectiveness remain to be assessed.

INTRODUCTION

Haemorrhage is the leading cause of both preventable trauma death and maternal mortality worldwide. These deaths may be prevented if haemorrhage is stopped and blood loss is compensated with blood transfusion. Blood transfusion is considered an essential part of any effective healthcare and saves millions of lives each year. Globally, blood transfusions have increased, but the availability of blood and safe transfusion continues to be scarce, particularly in low-income and middle-income countries (LMICs). In LMICs, an estimated 94% of the population lack access to safe, timely and affordable surgical and anaesthetic care. Improved access to surgical care and blood transfusions is key to achieving the United Nations’ Sustainable Development Goal of universal health coverage for all by 2030. Resource availability varies greatly within LMICs; those living in low-resource settings (LRS) are particularly burdened. In such settings, a combination of inter-related resource constraints, such as material, financial or human resource constraints, hampers the provision of healthcare. These settings are most often found in low-income countries, but subgroups exist within all World Bank country classifications. Even when blood is available, unsafe transfusions carry significant risks of spreading blood-borne diseases such as HIV and hepatitis. Consequently, alternative strategies are desperately needed to secure the availability of safe blood in LRS.

Autotransfusion (AT) is an established technique that may serve as an alternative to blood transfusion using donor blood. In...
AT, the patient’s shed blood is collected and reinfused into the same patient. AT has been shown to limit the need for donor blood,11–14 and studies have also demonstrated that the technique is effective,15 safe12 15 and cost-effective.11 13 16 In AT, there is no need for blood typing or cross-matching, and the risks of transfusion reaction to blood mismatch or transmission of blood-transmissible diseases are minimised.17 Risks associated with AT include coagulopathy, infection and sepsis.18 However, these complications remain theoretical, as studies have been unable to single out the autotransfused blood itself as the cause.18

Differences in AT systems and the indication for their use are affected by resource availability.17 In high-resource settings, advanced AT systems are used in non-urgent surgery—primarily cardiac, vascular and orthopaedic surgery. These systems are closed, meaning the blood does not come into contact with the air. Suction is used to collect blood, the blood is then washed in several steps, thus producing processed blood.17 Such advanced systems are costly, technically complex and require electrical power and trained technicians to operate.16 19 In LRS, basic AT has been adopted and is used in emergency surgery, such as in ruptured ectopic pregnancy (REP) surgery,17 wherein patients often present late at the hospital and access to blood is imperative. In contrast to the advanced systems used in high-resource settings, basic AT techniques are generally open, meaning the blood is at some point exposed to the air, and the collected blood is unwashed and thus unprocessed.18 AT in LRS is typically performed by assembling available material commonly found in healthcare facilities.17–19 The technique has been described as a life-saving measure and a last resort when no other means are available.20

There is an urgent need to lower the number of deaths due to haemorrhage in LRS. However, the short supply of safe blood is a major bottleneck. Although AT has been well researched,11–16 and is an established procedure in high-resource settings, there is a paucity of studies addressing its use in LRS. A recent systematic review highlights the dearth of studies with a focus on blood transfusion therapies in LMICs.21 Therefore, all available information on basic AT, both within and outside the scientific community, must be systematically consolidated. We aimed to explore the current use (extent and indications) of basic intraoperative AT in LRS and to identify any AT devices and techniques described for use in these settings.

METHODS

We conducted a scoping review of academic and grey literature. We based the method on Arksey and O’Malley’s model22 and reported in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews.23

Inclusion criteria

We scanned English literature published after 31 December 2008 for eligibility. We included all types of material on basic AT. We defined AT as the process of collection and reinfusion of shed blood from a human patient while on the operating table. We defined basic AT as AT techniques described for use in an LRS or an AT device designed, used or tested for use in an LRS. For records lacking information on which kind of AT device or method was used, we included records if they were reported from the healthcare systems of low-income or lower-middle-income countries.24 Materials reported from upper-middle-income or high-income countries were considered if AT was aimed for use in a context indicative of an LRS. In cases of uncertainty regarding which context the AT was intended to be used for, we chose to include rather than exclude material.

Data sources and searches

Primary data sources

We conducted the primary search in the PubMed, Web of Science, Global Health, and Cochrane Library electronic databases. The search strategy for each database was developed in collaboration with a medical librarian at Karolinska Institutet. Search terms were based on the key concepts autotransfusion and low-resource setting and were further developed using synonyms of those key concepts with the help of medical subject headings, a thesaurus, and by using free word association. An iterative process was used to improve literature coverage. The last search was conducted on 22 April 2019. The full search strategy for PubMed is provided in online supplemental file 1.

Additional data sources

First, we conducted the grey literature search in the Google Scholar, Global Index Medicus, and Popline databases. Second, we searched 31 webpages from Grey Matters checklist provided by the Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health.25 Third, we searched webpages and databases of international organisations with experience in LRS: Médecins Sans Frontières (MSF), the WHO, and the International Committee of the Red Cross (ICRC). Finally, we searched six online journals considered relevant to our topic. A list of the additional data sources is provided in online supplemental file 2.

We searched each webpage, online journal, and Popline using single terms: autotransfusion, autologous transfusion, cell salvage, and blood salvage. Citation marks on search words were applied when deemed necessary. Built-in search filters were used if provided by the webpages to filter for language and year.

Study selection

After removing duplicates, the records’ titles and abstracts were screened for relevance and the remaining records were then read in full. When results yielded a chapter in a book or thesis and the books or thesis were obtained in
full, all their chapters were hand-searched. Included records were organised using the reference handling program EndNote (Clarivate, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA).

**Data extraction and synthesis**

To describe and compare the records, we extracted and charted the following data: title, publication year, study design or type of document, whether journal articles were peer-reviewed, and the setting or organisational setting. Subsequently, we extracted and charted the following: indication for AT use, level of emphasis on AT, and the type of device or technique used for AT.

Details could vary in the AT techniques described. To provide overview, we grouped AT techniques based on their similarity. Lastly, we extracted and charted characteristics of techniques or devices: description, size of device, maintenance needs, indication for use, whether the system is open or closed, and whether electrical power is required.

To assess the extent of AT use in LRS, we collected quantitative data when available from journal articles or books, as well as descriptive reports of the extent of use. As we became familiar with the material, we noticed some additional aspects that were often described, which we therefore noted as well: concerns with AT, safety of AT, and any expressed desire for wider availability.

**Patient and public involvement**

There was no involvement of patients or the public, in either the design, conduct or reporting of this scoping review.

**RESULTS**

Following the removal of duplicates, 370 records remained for screening. Of these, 38 were included in the final analysis (figure 1). The full reference list and characteristics of the included records can be found in online supplemental file 3.

### Outline of records included

The included records were grouped into five categories: journal articles, book chapters, theses, policy documents and blog posts (table 1).

Emphasis on AT was assessed and sorted as: main theme (8 records), section (6 records) or mentioned (24 records) online supplemental file 4.

Only one journal article specifically studied the clinical use of AT in an LRS. The remaining research articles either reported on the development of an AT device for use in an LRS or did not have AT as their main theme.

AT in LRS continues to be thought of, reported as, or portrayed as a safe and life-saving technique. Five sources expressed a desire for, or encouraged wider availability of, AT. Among their authors were the WHO and Malawi’s Ministry of Health.

### AT use in various indications

Table 2 shows the different indications for AT use in our identified records.

**Haemoperitoneum**

In total, 22 records (13 mention, 4 main theme) related the use of AT to ectopic pregnancy (EP), REP, ruptured uterus, intra-abdominal haemorrhage, and blood from the peritoneal cavity.

Table 3 summarises the numerical data and descriptive comments regarding AT use identified in journal articles and one book chapter. The only record that specifically studied AT in an LRS was a prospective interventional study of AT use for 212 REP patients in West Africa. The authors reported no deaths or adverse events. Four studies did not have AT as their main theme; however, the number of cases of AT compared with the study sample sizes in these studies show that the AT usage rate is low, whereas donor blood transfusion is more common. In three of the studies, AT was discussed but not used. Most descriptive comments state that AT is poorly used or not available. Contrarily, one study and one narrative review report a frequent usage rate of AT.

The WHO had authored seven of the included records (six mention, one section). Four records referred to AT in REP or EP, while three did not state the indication for use. In 2009, during the WHO’s executive board meeting, the organisation described the importance of a wider introduction of AT and expressed an ambition to support or endorse AT as AT techniques are ‘not practised on a widespread scale (in Africa)’. The WHO was involved in the planning and endorsement of the West African clinical study described above.

A book chapter describing MSF experiences in LRS stated that AT has saved many lives and that MSF teams
who are experienced with the technique often use it.27 MSF blog posts from Sierra Leone, Pakistan, and the Central African Republic conveyed a sense of routine use of AT.35 55 56 However, education on how to perform AT was done ad hoc and not prior to mission dispatch.35 48

**Haemothorax**

We did not identify any scientific studies on AT use in haemothorax. Moreover, AT in haemothorax was generally only mentioned (seven mention, one section). Two journal articles summarise the African Federation for Emergency Medicine Consensus Conference in 2013, where supplies for AT from chest tubes were deemed necessary equipment for an advanced emergency care service.44 46 Six grey literature records addressed AT use in haemothorax.18 26 27 44 46 56–58 Two chapters from books on orthopaedic and anaesthetic care in LRS, respectively, mentioned AT in haemothorax. The second mentioned AT as a necessary technique when blood supply is limited and stated that experienced MSF teams often perform the procedure.27 A 634-page manual by the ICRC for surgeons working with limited resources devoted a whole chapter to AT and mentioned the technique several times throughout the manual in regards to haemothorax.18 AT in haemothorax was described as frequently used for patients with war wounds in Afghanistan in 1981–1984. The extent of use was not otherwise specifically addressed; however, usage was encouraged, and AT use and techniques in several indications were described in detail. A master’s thesis included a descriptive cross-sectional study of emergency care in Zambia in which none of the 23 assessed healthcare facilities were able to perform AT from chest tubes due to lack of training and supplies.57 One MSF blog described a

**Table 1** Overview of included records

| Record category | Number (peer-reviewed) | Details |
|-----------------|------------------------|---------|
| Journal articles | 16 (14) | 6 primary research articles29–31 41–43 5 narrative review articles32 36–38 44 2 brief communications33 45 1 conference abstract44 1 conference summary46 1 news article47 |
| Book chapters | 4 | 1 operation preparations for orthopaedics in LRS56 1 management and outcome of ectopic pregnancy in LRS51 1 MSF experience of anaesthesia in LRS22 1 obstetric and gynaecological surgery and blood supply in LRS59 |
| Theses | 3 | 1 master’s theses63 57 1 PhD thesis chapter28 |
| Policy documents | 10 | 3 WHO49 50 54 2 WHO (in collaboration with UN)62 53 1 WHO, UN and UNICEF50 1 MSF58 1 ICRC18 1 Malawi Ministry of Health64 1 Bangladesh Ministry of Health65 |
| Blogs posts | 5 | 3 MSF—Sierra Leone48 55 66 1 MSF—Pakistan56 1 MSF—Central African Republic56 |

ICRC, International Committee of the Red Cross; LRS, low-resource settings; MSF, Médecins Sans Frontières; UN, United Nations; UNICEF, United Nations International Children’s Emergency Fund.

**Table 2** Indications for autotransfusion use

| Indication as described by record | Number of records |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|
| Ruptured ectopic pregnancy (REP) | 12                |
| Ectopic pregnancy               | 4                 |
| Haemothorax                     | 5                 |
| Vaginal postpartum haemorrhage   | 3                 |
| Abdominal trauma and REP        | 1                 |
| Haemothorax and REP             | 1                 |
| Haemothorax and intra-abdominal haemorrhage | 1 |
| Blood from the peritoneal cavity| 1                 |
| Maternal haemorrhage            | 1                 |
| Ruptured uterus                 | 1                 |
| Thyroid surgery                 | 1                 |
| Several indications             | 2                 |
| Not specified                   | 5                 |
case of AT use in a patient with haemothorax caused by a gunshot injury.56

Other indications
In a retrospective study of the experience gained from a mobile surgical mission in rural areas in southern Sudan, AT was mentioned in regards to elective thyroid surgery.41 However, the technique or device that was used was not stated. The ICRC war surgery manual mentions the utility of AT in cases of limb fracture, ruptured spleen and substantial blood loss during surgery.18

AT techniques, devices and filter for LRS
Twenty-six records described or mentioned one or more AT techniques or devices. We identified three different techniques described for clinical use: the soup-ladle technique, the chest-bottle inversion technique, and the Tanguiesta funnel. Two devices (Hemafuse and the post-partum haemorrhage (PPH) AT device) and one filter (leucocyte depletion filter) were described as possible alternatives for use in LRS. Features of the techniques, devices, and filter are presented in table 4.

Soup-ladle technique
The soup-ladle technique is described for use in haemoperitoneum. Variations were identified for each step.

Collection
Collecting blood was done with a soup ladle, bowl, cup, gallipot, kidney dish or with laparotomy suction, sump aspiration or using syringes—either through the

---

**Table 3** The use of autotransfusion in ectopic pregnancy (EP)

| Title, including setting, and record category | Study design/article type | Study sample and indication | Number of AT cases | Donor blood units received (median units received) | Descriptive comment from the articles on the use of AT |
|----------------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| Multicentre experience with a simple blood salvage technique in patients with ruptured ectopic pregnancy in sub-Saharan West Africa,29 journal article | Prospective interventional study | 212 REP | 212 | 22 (1) | AT in some tertiary centres was underused or not used at all. AT should be encouraged and considered as primary choice in the management of tubal pregnancy with haemoperitoneum. |
| A five-year review of ectopic pregnancy at Federal Medical Centre, Owerri, south east Nigeria,42 journal article | Retrospective review | 382 EP | 0 | 248 (1) | AT was not available at the hospital. |
| The impact of tubal ectopic pregnancy in Papua New Guinea—a retrospective case review—provincial referral hospital,44 journal article | Retrospective review | 73 tubal EP | 0 | 49 (2) | AT has an important role in EP management in settings where transfusion services are not optimal. |
| Comparative analysis of morbidity and mortality due to ectopic pregnancy at a tertiary care hospital in Nigeria over two study periods,45 journal article | Retrospective review | 367 EP | 54 | 234 (N/A) | AT is done in most rural centres. |
| Management and outcome of ectopic pregnancy in developing countries, Nigeria,51 book chapter | Prospective cohort study | 1 EP, 12 REP | 0 | N/A* (0) | Poor utilization and practice of AT. AT needs to be encouraged. |
| Blood transfusion safety; current status and challenges in Nigeria,36 journal article | Narrative review | N/A | N/A | N/A | There is a long history and frequent use of AT in areas with minimal resources. |
| The use of blood in obstetrics and gynecology in the developing world,38 journal article | Narrative review | N/A | N/A | N/A | |

*It was stated that the 12 patients presenting with REP received whole blood from other individuals, as blood products were not available at the centre. Whole blood was not further defined in the book. AT, autotransfusion; N/A, not available; REP, ruptured ectopic pregnancy.
### Table 4  Techniques, devices, and filter identified for autotransfusion (AT)

| Name (number of records that mention the technique/device/filter) | Description | Indication for use | Electrical power | Open or closed system | Filter | Maintenance | Size |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------|-------------|------|
| **Techniques in use**                                        |             |                   |                 |                      |       |             |      |
| Soup-ladle technique (14)                                    | Shed blood is scooped from abdomen using a soup ladle. Blood is filtered through gauze, added to anticoagulant and reinfused via transfusion kit. | REP            | None            | Open                 | Layers of gauze | Ladle and collection object may be autoclavable. Tubing and transfusion sets are disposable. | N/A  |
| Chest-bottle inversion technique (6)                         | Sterile chest bottle/ glass jar containing 100 mL of normal saline collects the blood and is then disconnected and inverted to become the administration set. | Haemothorax     | None            | Closed or open       | Preferably through layers of gauze before collection in bottle, then through ‘standard transfusion set’ | Glass jars are autoclavable. Tubing and transfusion sets are disposable. | N/A  |
| Tangueta funnel (7)                                           | Funnel of surgical steel with perforations removing clots> 1 mm. Two large syringes, two clamps for tubing, prefilled 450 mL blood bags with 63 mL CPDA solution. Funnel lowered into pools of blood. Blood aspirated with syringes connected to tubing of blood bag. Transfused back via blood bag with a filter. | REP            | None            | Open                 | 200 µm filter | N/A         | High 24 cm, diameter 6.7 cm |
| **Devices and filter not yet in use**                        |             |                   |                 |                      |       |             |      |
| Hemafuse (2)                                                  | Resembling an oversize syringe, blood is sucked in through nozzle and filter, pooling blood into barrel. Pushing back on plunger leads blood via one-way valve into standard blood bag. Clots accumulate in nozzle. | REP            | Mechanical      | Closed              | 170 µm filter | Disposable filter, the rest autoclavable. | 33×11×7.5 cm |
| PPH AT device (3)                                             | Collection drape placed under buttock funnels blood into a circuit, pumped through filter to a reservoir standard transfusion bag. Vinyl tubing between. Retransfused via intravenous line. Meshing within the drape removes large clots. | PPH            | Peristaltic blood pump with 12 V, 400 mA DC motor | Open     | 3 Pall LeukoGuard filters arranged one after the other | Disposable drape and tubes. Pump is reusable and not in contact with blood; sterilisation therefore not needed. | N/A  |
| Leucocyte depletion filter (1)                                | Leucocyte depletion filter alone without centrifuge and cell washing process. No further information provided on collection, reservoir or reinfusion. | Maternal haemorrhage | None            | Open                 | The leucocyte filter itself | Disposable | N/A  |

CPDA, citrate-phosphate-dextrose-adrenaline anticoagulant; DC, direct current; N/A, not available; PPH, postpartum haemorrhage; REP, ruptured ectopic pregnancy.
abdomen wall prior to opening the abdomen or after opening the abdomen.

Filtering
Blood was primarily filtered through gauze. Two records specified the number of layers of gauze (5–8 and 6–8 layers). One MSF blog post mentioned filtering with the use of cheesecloth, while two MSF blog posts did not specify what was used to filter the blood.

Adding anticoagulant
Sodium citrate, citrate-phosphate-dextrose-adrenaline (CPDA) solution, heparin, or ‘anticoagulant’ (not further specified) was added to the blood either before or after filtering. Three records were more specific: half of a normal dose CPDA or 500–1000 units of heparin per unit blood.18 10 mL of sodium citrate added to 90 mL of blood.40 or 60 mL of 3.8% sodium citrate.37 MSF blog posts did not discuss the use of anticoagulant.

Reinfusion
Reinfusion was used with a transfusion kit, donor bag, sterile bottle, blood bag, intravenous bag or a glass bottle with a rubber top.

Two records provided instructions on how to perform AT in EP: the ICRC manual and a guideline for midwives published by the WHO, United Nations and United Nations International Children’s Emergency Fund (UNICEF).18 41 In the latter, shed blood was not to be used ‘in the later stages of pregnancy’.41 Only one additional record, the West African study, cited a limit for the use of shed blood for AT: if the woman was more than 3 months pregnant.29

Chest-bottle inversion technique
The chest-bottle inversion technique is described for use in haemothorax. In this technique, blood is collected from the chest cavity via chest tubes. Two records, the ICRC manual18 and an MSF manual for doctors, nurses, and laboratory technicians working in resource-limited health facilities,18 58 described the technique in detail. MSF promoted blood collection into a blood bag by inserting a needle into a Heimlich valve, followed by immediate retransfusion. The ICRC described blood from the chest tubes being filtered through gauze and collected into a chest bottle with 100 mL saline solution, followed by its inversion into an infusion set. The ICRC also suggested that blood could be collected directly into a standard transfusion set (similar to MSF) or into a urine bag without saline. In haemothorax, anticoagulant is preferably not used as the blood is already defibrinogenated by the movement of the lungs.18 The use of shed blood for AT was limited to 6 and 2 hours by the ICRC and MSF, respectively.

Tanguieta funnel
The Tanguieta funnel is described for use in patients with REP. The technique involves a cone-shaped steel funnel with 1 mm holes punctured in the lower two-thirds being lowered into pools of blood immediately removing blood clots larger than 1 mm. Blood within the funnel is then collected with syringes and transferred to blood bags. The Tanguieta funnel was the only AT technique for which we were able to identify a clinical scientific study. In a prospective interventional study carried out in three hospitals in Benin and Burkina Faso, 212 patients with REP were treated using the funnel.29 No deaths or adverse effects associated with AT were observed. The authors concluded that postoperative infection rates were comparable to those of previous reports of EP from Niger and Senegal.

Hemafuse
The Hemafuse is a hand-held mechanical device developed and designed for AT in patients with REP in LRS.33 The device is shaped like an oversized syringe. Shed blood is collected via suction, passed through built-in filters and then pushed out via an outlet valve to a standard blood bag. According to the authors of a brief communications journal article, the Hemafuse is less labour intensive and requires fewer disposables than the soup-ladle technique and the Tanguieta funnel.33 The article briefly described preclinical trials where simulated blood was used to confirm processing rates, and tests of reconstituted human blood indicated that the device had no negative effect on haematocrit and plasma haemoglobin levels.33 Conflict of interest was reported, as five of the authors had filed a patent for the device.33

PPH AT device
The PPH AT device was designed to salvage blood for AT in LRS from vaginal delivery. The technique includes placing a collection drape under the buttock and pooling the blood toward a device where blood is pumped through filters and collected in a standard transfusion bag. The developers present the development process and technical aspects of the device in three journal articles.30 32 34 These articles described how bacterial reduction was tested with two types of filters and several configurations of the filters on heparinised porcine blood to optimise filter function. Preliminary testing demonstrated that up to 97% of the bacterial load was reduced.30 32 However, filtration of amniotic fluid markers was not tested. Conflict of interest was reported, as two of the authors had filed a patent for the device.32

Leukocyte depletion filter
The leucocyte depletion filter is typically used together with advanced centrifugation and cell washing devices.31 One study explored the possibility of using the filter alone to remove amniotic particulates and molecular components from blood salvaged from caesarean sections.31 The authors of the journal article found that the filter was efficient for the removal of lamellar bodies, foetal squames and hair, but that it could not remove α-fetoprotein, tissue factor or endothelin-1 concentrations, meconium.
or vernix. The authors concluded that in cases where no alternative exists, the filter alone may prove useful.

DISCUSSION

This scoping review identified only one clinical study of AT in LRS,\(^\text{20}\) highlighting the dearth of context-specific research in this area. In addition, experience with the technique and the extent of AT use are poorly described in both peer-reviewed and grey literature. The included journal articles were mainly narrative reviews or focused on the development of new commercial AT devices for use in LRS. The utility of these devices remains to be assessed.

We derived three main research gaps. First, our findings indicate that knowledge of AT in LRS exists, but that the use of the technique remains largely undocumented. Large non-governmental organisations (NGOs) provide protocols on how to perform the technique.\(^\text{40, 56}\) In addition to these protocols, the WHO’s interest in the Tanguieta funnel, as well as the African Federation for Emergency Medicine’s decision to regard supplies for AT in haemothorax as essential, clearly demonstrate that AT is known and is considered advantageous. However, our results also suggest that common knowledge and know-how of AT in LRS is largely absent. For example, in Zambia, AT in haemothorax was not practiced due to lack of training and shortage of supplies.\(^\text{57}\) MSF blog posts indicated that basic AT was learnt \textit{ad hoc}.\(^\text{35, 48}\) These examples may be key reasons for limited usage and are in agreement with a recent interview study by Sjöholm \textit{et al} on the use of basic AT within NGOs.\(^\text{20}\) In that study, insufficient knowledge and experience with basic AT, as well as insufficient dissemination of protocols, were outlined as the main bottlenecks to wider usage of the technique. The underdocumentation of emergency indications is a critical barrier to wider availability of emergency care.\(^\text{46}\)

The emergency situation itself poses challenges to appropriate data collection and research,\(^\text{59}\) and in contrast to AT use in high-resource settings, AT in LRS is a treatment used in emergency situations. Our findings suggest that focus should be directed at improved data collection to facilitate better understanding of the extent of AT use in LRS. Ultimately, we have shown that the extent of knowledge on and use of AT is not assessable, as the quantitative data and descriptive reports are meagre and contradictory.

Second, although basic AT is used and advocated as an important life-saving procedure in LRS, the safety and effectiveness of the technique and its different variations remain to be assessed. This point was emphasised in one thesis included in our study.\(^\text{58}\) Large institutions (African Federation for Emergency Medicine, the WHO and Malawi’s Ministry of Health) promote wider availability of AT. The WHO states that AT has ‘undisputed advantages in regard to safety’.\(^\text{34}\) However, it is unclear whether that knowledge of AT is based on research from high-resource settings or on experiences from LRS. Although the included record by Priuli \textit{et al}\(^\text{20}\) demonstrated no adverse outcomes connected to the use of the Tanguieta funnel in 212 cases of REP, we were unable to validate basic AT as a safe procedure. These findings are in line with the 2003 review by Selo-Ojeme \textit{et al}, which claims that although the safety of basic AT in REP is not established, desperate circumstances justify its use.\(^\text{17}\) Evidence to support contra-indications such as infection, sepsis and embolism are said to be few, and these concerns are claimed to be theoretical.\(^\text{18, 38}\)

Finally, new low-tech devices aimed at LRS may soon be available, but their utility, safety, effectiveness, and cost-effectiveness remain to be studied. In contrast to an advanced AT system, which can be used across several indications, the newer systems outlined in this article are aimed at specific indications. A low-tech device suitable for LRS should be simple, safe, inexpensive, not dependent on a power grid, and demand minimal human resources.\(^\text{18}\) The soup-ladle technique has been perceived as a technically challenging technique.\(^\text{18, 29, 35}\) In a journal article, the WHO went as far as describing the technique as a crude measure that reflects global health authorities’ failure to provide adequate healthcare for LMICs.\(^\text{67}\) The Tanguieta funnel, the Hemafuse, and the PPH AT device are all claimed to be simple or intuitive. Such characteristics would involve less training, minimise human resource dependency and circumvent some of the bottlenecks pointed out by Sjöholm \textit{et al}.\(^\text{20}\) The PPH AT device was the only identified device that requires electrical power. In LRS, such requirements tend to limit the utility outside of health facilities. The high rate of PPH mortality in LRS can in part be explained by the high proportion of home births.\(^\text{60}\) Consequently, AT devices and know-how at a health facility may not reach and benefit women in their homes. As much as 70% of donated medical devices from high-resource settings have been left unused in LRS because they do not suit the environment.\(^\text{63}\) The utility of AT devices must be evaluated in context-specific studies. Likewise, research comparing newer devices to existing techniques should be performed in LRS. Additionally, cost is of particular interest. One can assume that the cost for patented devices (eg, the Hemafuse and the PPH AT device) is greater than that of assembling already present and common material in the hospital.

There are several limitations to this scoping review. First, by including variations of ‘LRS’ in our search strategy, AT techniques used in high-resource settings relevant for use in LRS might have been missed. Second, our searches were restricted to records published in English and dated later than 2008. Consequently, older relevant studies may have been missed, as well as non-English insight, for example, from parts of Africa or the South American continent where French or Spanish are spoken. To compensate for these search restrictions, our grey literature search was conducted in a large number of databases and we believe that our review provides a comprehensive mapping of the body of knowledge of AT use in LRS. Third, the screening process for record inclusion was
conducted by only one reviewer, which may have led to the introduction of selection bias. To minimise this risk, we chose a liberal approach, tending to include rather than exclude material. Finally, although the method of a scoping review accommodates all types of literature, it does not assess the quality of included records. Compared with scientific records, non-scientific records are presumably more likely to report positive results than adverse outcomes. The associated risk of publication bias emphasises the need for research on AT in LRS. However, we aimed to transparently describe where information was derived to give the reader a chance to assess the credibility of the data. The scoping review method allowed a broad assessment of basic AT use in LRS. Had a systematic review been performed instead, 22 of the included documents would have been excluded. Consequently, NGO use of and interest in AT would have been overlooked.

 Globally, the leading cause of maternal death and preventable trauma death is haemorrhage. Together with the provision of safe and sufficient blood supply, access to essential health technologies, including medical devices, is a cornerstone of universal healthcare coverage. Basic AT is considered a life-saving technique in LRS and its wider use is encouraged, suggesting that AT in LRS may not have reached its full potential. However, the under-documentation and contradicting reports on its use, differences in contraindications, and variations on how to perform the technique—as revealed in our results—indicate that basic AT is neither a standardised nor an established practice in LRS. In light of the scant body of evidence, continuous promotion of the technique is concerning. Likewise, introducing advanced AT devices to LRS has been deemed inappropriate. Since the WHO began publishing the annual *Compendium of Innovative Health Technologies for Low-Resource Settings* in 2010, the report has not yet featured an AT device. Our study provides a collected platform of knowledge of basic AT use, available techniques and devices.

CONCLUSIONS

AT is practiced in LRS for certain obstetric and trauma indications, but the extent of its use is difficult to assess. Our findings suggest that knowledge and use of the technique are not widely established in the medical community of LRS. New low-tech AT devices are being developed, but evaluations of these devices’ safety, effectiveness and cost-effectiveness are needed. Our results may prove useful to inform the planning of future studies that aim to address the evidence deficit regarding basic AT use in LRS.
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