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Abstract: The type-B authentic response regulators (type-B ARRs) are positive regulators of cytokinin signaling and involved in plant growth and stress responses. In this study, we used bioinformatics, RNA-seq, and qPCR to study the phylogenetic and expression pattern of 35 type-B ARRs in Brassica napus. The BnARRs experienced gene expansion and loss during genome polyploidization and were classified into seven groups. Whole-genome duplication (WGD) and segmental duplication were the main forces driving type-B ARR expansion in B. napus. Several BnARRs with specific expression patterns during rapeseed development were identified, including BnARR12/14/18/23/33. Moreover, we found the type-B BnARRs were involved in rapeseed development and stress responses, through participating in cytokinin and ABA signaling pathways. This study revealed the origin, evolutionary history, and expression pattern of type-B ARRs in B. napus and will be helpful to the functional characterization of BnARRs.
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1. Introduction

Cytokinin (CTK) is important in regulating plant growth and development as well as plant response to various stresses [1]. In Arabidopsis, the cytokinin signaling is phosphorylation-dependent, and is achieved through histidine kinases (AHKs), phosphotransfer proteins (AHPs), and authentic response regulators (ARRs) [2–5]. The Arabidopsis AHKs (AHK2/3/4) are transmembrane receptors for cytokinin that can be autophosphorylated to transfer the cytokinin signal to AHPs (AHP1~5) with functional redundancy [3,4,6–9]. Finally, phosphorylation signal was transferred from the AHPs to the aspartate residue in ARRs, which then regulate the transcription of target genes in the nucleus [10–12].

As reported in Arabidopsis and rice, the ARRs are generally divided into two subgroups, A- and B-type ARRs, which have also been reported as A-, B-, C-type, and Arabidopsis pseudoresponse regulators (APRR) in a few reports [13–16]. The type-A ARRs are known as negative regulators in cytokinin signaling, and they contain a short C-terminal extension besides the receiver domain [15]. Hitherto, type-A ARRs in Arabidopsis have been reported in controlling circadian period (ARR3/4), regulating meristem maintenance and regeneration (ARR4/7/8/15), root growth (ARR3), seed germination, and seedling growth (ARR4/5/6/7/15/16), as well as stomatal lineage ground cell division (ARR16/17) [17–24]. Unlike the A-ARRs, type-B ARRs in Arabidopsis contain a long C-terminal extension and a receiver domain in the N-terminal. They are positive regulators of phosphorelay signal transduction and transcriptional activators for A-ARRs [11,25]. The C-terminal extensions
in B-ARRs are of diverse length, including a MYB-like binding domain (also named GARP domain) and a glutamine/proline-rich domain. The GARP domain is important in binding and activation of target genes, as well as interaction with other regulators [9,25–27]. Besides activating cytokinin signaling and cytokinin responsive genes, type-B ARRs (e.g., ARR1) may also act as negative-feedback regulators of cytokinin signaling [5,16,28–30]. The *Arabidopsis* B-ARRs are classified into three subfamilies. The members in subfamily 1 (ARR1/2/10/12/14/18) are broadly involved in cytokinin signaling [11,31,32], among which *ARR1*, *ARR10*, and *ARR12* are essential in regulating cytokinin signaling pathways [11,33]. The function of B-ARRs in subfamilies 2 (ARR13/21) and 3 (ARR19/20) remains to be characterized [12,34].

In *Arabidopsis*, the type-B ARRs are involved in plant growth and development, as well as stress responses [35–37]. Similar to other elements in the cytokinin signaling, the type-B ARRs are also functionally redundant [31,33,38]. Using the multiple mutants of *Arabidopsis*, the B-ARRs were characterized in regulating root and hypocotyl elongation, lateral root formation, shoot development, and callus induction [11,37,39,40]. In the quadruple mutant *arr1arr2arr10arr12*, nearly 78% ovules were arrested at the last stage of female gametophyte development, indicating they are necessary for megasporogenesis and megagametogenesis [41]. *ARR1, ARR10*, and *ARR12* participated in shoot apical meristem (SAM) maintenance through directly activating *WUSCHEL* (*WUS*) expression [37]. Recently, Liu et al. (2020) showed that *ARR1* inhibited shoot regeneration through competing with *ARR12*, a positive regulator of callus formation and shoot regeneration, to bind *CLAVATA3* (*CLV3*) promoter [42]. Comparative analysis of the ChIP-seq data confirmed that B-ARRs participated in various hormone responses and were important in hormone crosstalk [43]. In rice (*Oryza sativa*), mutation of type-B ARRs, *ARR21/22/23*, also resulted in defects in shoot, root, and flower growth, panicle architecture, and trichome formation [44], while *OsARR22* overexpression lines were cytokinin-hypersensitive, with significantly reduced root and flag leaf length, plant height, panicle length, and lateral root number [45]. Cattani et al. (2020) found MdoARR1/8/10 regulated the transition from endo- to ecodormancy of apple bud via binding the *MdoDAM1* promoter [46].

Furthermore, type-B ARRs are also involved in plant response to biotic and abiotic stress processes [35]. The *Arabidopsis arr1arr10arr12* triple mutant was more resistant to aluminum (Al) stress than double mutants, while *ARR1* and *ARR12* overexpression plants were sensitive to Al, with inhibited root growth than the wild type, double, and triple mutants. This study confirmed the function of B-ARRs in Al-induced root development that is mediated by cytokinin and auxin [47]. *ARR2* interacted with a salicylic acid (SA) response factor TGA2 and bound to the promoters of SA-responsive genes *PR1* and *PR2* to induce *Arabidopsis* resistance to *Pseudomonas syringae* in an SA-dependent way [48]. Besides *PR1* and *PR2*, the SA biosynthetic genes *SID1* and *SID2* were also induced in *ARR2* overexpression plants inoculated with *P. syringae* [48]. Nguyen et al. (2016) showed that the *arr1arr10arr12* mutant was drought-tolerant with improved membrane integrity, anthocyanin biosynthesis, abscisic acid (ABA) sensitivity, and reduced stomatal size [49]. *ARR1* is a positive regulator of freezing tolerance in *Arabidopsis*, through regulating A-ARRs’ expression. Additionally, the amino-terminal receiver domain of *ARR1* was necessary for cold-responsive expression of A-ARRs (*ARR5/6/7/15*) [50]. It was also revealed that *ARR2*, *10*, and 12 formed a complex to regulate photoperiod stress signaling in *Arabidopsis* [51].

Recently, genome-wide identification, expressional analysis, and functional prediction of type B-ARRs in rice, tobacco, tomato, and peach have been reported [52–55]. However, the ARRs in *Brassicas* have not been studied. Gene duplication is important to the genome expansion and plant evolution [56,57]. Oilseed rape (*Brassica napus* L., AACC, 2n = 38) is an important oil crop experienced whole-genome duplication (WGD) and triplication (WGT) during the evolution ~7500 years ago [58]. Its genomic complexity makes the gene functional analysis more challenging [59]. A detailed analysis of BnARRs would be helpful to know how they are involved in plant development and stress responses via cytokinin signaling and other putative signaling pathways. Here, we analyzed the
evolution, structure, and expression profile of 35 type-B ARRs in *B. napus*. It is valuable for functional analysis of *BnARRs* in regulating rapeseed development and stress responses in the future.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Identification of Type-B ARRs in *B. napus*

The DNA and protein sequences were extracted from the *B. napus* genome database (http://www.genoscope.cns.fr/brassicanapus, accessed on 5 June 2022) [58]. Hidden Markov Model (HMM) profiles of the conserved response regulator (PF00072) and MYB DNA-binding domain (PF00249) from Pfam (http://pfam.xfam.org/, accessed on 5 June 2022) were used to characterize the *BnARRs* with HMMER version 3.1 (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/hmmer/, accessed on 5 June 2022) [60,61]. ExPASy (https://web.expasy.org/protparam/, accessed on 5 June 2022) and IPC (http://isoelectric.org/index.html, accessed on 5 June 2022) were applied to acknowledge the protein length, molecular weight (Mw), and theoretical isoelectric point (pI) of *BnARRs* [62,63]. TargetP (version 2.0, http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/TargetP/, accessed on 5 June 2022) and Softberry (http://linux1.softberry.com/, accessed on 5 June 2022) were used to predict the subcellular localization of *BnARRs* [64].

2.2. Phylogenetic Analysis and Characterization of Type-B ARRs in *B. napus*

The *BnARR* proteins were aligned using clustalX (http://www.clustal.org/clustal2/, accessed on 7 June 2022) and edited by Jalview (http://www.jalview.org/, accessed on 7 June 2022). The sequence logos were visualized by WebLogo 3 (http://weblogo.threeplusone.com/, accessed on 7 June 2022). The B-ARRs of *B. rapa* and *B. oleracea* were obtained as mentioned above, from the database of *Brassicas* (https://www.brassica.info/, accessed on 7 June 2022). Eleven *Arabidopsis* B-ARRs were obtained from TAIR (https://www.arabidopsis.org/, accessed on 7 June 2022). The phylogenetic tree of B-ARRs in *A. thaliana*, *B. rapa*, *B. oleracea*, and *B. napus* was constructed with the neighbor-joining of MEGA 7.0 (https://www.megasoftware.net/, accessed on 7 June 2022), using the parameters as Xie et al. [65,66]. The gene structure of *BnARRs* were obtained from the genome data of *B. napus* (http://www.genoscope.cns.fr/brassicanapus, accessed on 5 June 2022) [58]. The conserved motif of *BnARRs* were identified by Multiple Em for Motif Elicitation (MEME, http://meme-suite.org/, accessed on 7 June 2022) [67]. The Amazing Optional Gene Viewer function of TBtools (https://github.com/CJ-Chen/TBtools, accessed on 7 June 2022) was used for visualization of gene feature and conserved motifs [68].

2.3. Chromosomal Location and Duplication Analysis of Type-B ARRs in *B. napus*

The chromosomal location of type-B ARRs were extracted from the genome annotation file of *B. napus*, and visualized using the MG2C (http://mg2c.iask.in/mg2c_v2.0/, accessed on 8 June 2022) [69]. The genome-wide protein sequence file of *A. thaliana* (https://www.arabidopsis.org/, accessed on 5 June 2022), *B. rapa* (http://brassicadb.org/brad/, accessed on 5 June 2022), *B. oleracea* (http://brassicadb.org/brad/, accessed on 5 June 2022), and *B. napus* were retrieved for alignment of homologs and orthologs. The synteny of B-ARRs among *B. napus* and other species were analyzed using Multiple Collinearity Scan toolkit (MCScanX, http://chibba.pglml.uga.edu/mcscan2/, accessed on 8 June 2022), and visualized by the Dual Synteny Plotter function in TBtools [70]. The gene duplication was analyzed with duplicat_gene_classifier program and MCScanX and classified into whole-genome duplication/segmental (collinear genes in collinear blocks), tandem (coherent repeat), proximal (nearby but not adjacent to chromosomal region), and dispersed (other type except for segmental, tandem, and proximal).

2.4. Analysis of Cis-Acting Elements in Promoters

The 2 kp upstream sequences of *BnARRs* were extracted by fadix command in SAMtools (version 1.4, http://samtools.sourceforge.net/, accessed on 8 June 2022) and analyzed
in plant cis-acting regulatory DNA elements databases (PlantCARE, http://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/webtools/plantcare/html, accessed on 8 June 2022; New PLACE, https://www.dna.affrc.go.jp/PLACE/?action=newplace, accessed on 8 June 2022) [71–73]. Finally, the elements were visualized with the Simple BioSequence Viewer function in TBtools.

2.5. Gene Ontology (GO) Analysis

The GO annotation of type-B ARRs were obtained from the rapeseed genome database. The three GO categorization of BnARRs, molecular function (MF), biological process (BP), and cellular component (CC), were analyzed by Omicshare (http://www.omicshare.com/tools/, accessed on 9 June 2022) with a corrected p (FDR) < 0.05.

2.6. Plant Materials and Stress Treatments

To investigate the BnARR expression pattern throughout B. napus development, three replicates of root, cotyledon, hypocotyl, leaf, stem, shoot apical meristem (SAM), bud, flower, endosperm, silique at 14 days after pollination (DAP), seeds at five developmental stages (21, 28, 35, 42, 50 DAP) of B. napus line ‘J9712’ grown in field conditions were sampled for RNA-seq. The BnARR expression was normalized with log10 FPKM values and plotted by Pretty Heatmaps in R (version 3.6.1, https://www.r-project.org/, accessed on 10 June 2022). For hormone treatment, five-week-old seedlings of B. napus line ‘J9712’, grown in a light incubator with 22 °C, 16 h light/8 h dark photocycle, were treated with 100 µM ABA, 100 µM kinetin (KT), 500 µM gibberellin (GA), 50 µM indoleacetic acid (IAA), and 10 µM strigolactone (SL). Three replicates of leaves were pooled respectively after 0 h, 1 h, 3 h, 6 h, and 12 h of treatment [74,75]. For osmotic, salt, and drought stresses, the ‘J9712’ seeds were germinated on 1/2 MS medium containing 150 mM mannitol, 150 mM NaCl, or 15% PEG. The 14-day-old seedlings were pooled after growing in a climate chamber under a photoperiod of 16 h light/8 h dark, 22 °C. For cold stress, the 12-day-old seedlings grown at 22 °C were transferred to 4 °C for 2 days. Three biological replicates of 10 seedlings were pooled for each treatment [76,77]. The BnARR expression under abiotic and hormone treatments were normalized with log2(FPKM ratio) compared with the control group.

2.7. Quantitative Real-time PCR (qPCR) Analysis

Total RNA from above samples were extracted with the RNAprep Pure Plant kit (TIANGEN BIOTECH, Beijing, China) and used for cDNA synthesis with HiScript® II 1st Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (+gDNA wiper) (Vazyme, Nanjing, China). qPCR primers of BnARRs listed in Table S1 were designed by Primer Premier 5.0 and synthesized by TSINKE Biotech (Beijing, China). BnActin7 was the internal control. qPCR analysis was performed on a StepOnePlus™ Real-time PCR system (Thermo, Waltham, MA, USA) using PowerUp SYBR Green Master Mixes (Thermo, USA). The BnARR expression level was calculated using $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method [78].

2.8. Statistical Analysis

One-way ANOVA or t-test of SPSS version 19.0 (IBM, New York, NY, USA) was used for significant difference analysis of multiple samples or two samples at p < 0.05, respectively.

3. Results

3.1. Identification of Type-B ARRs in B. napus

In rapeseed, we identified 157 and 1046 proteins with the response regulator domain and MYB_DNA-binding domain, respectively. Only 45 proteins contained both response regulator and MYB binding domain, including ten orthologs of AtAPRRs that were excluded. Thus, a total of 35 B. napus proteins were taken as type-B ARRs for further analysis. Meanwhile, a total of 11, 15, and 18 type-B ARRs with both domains were identified in A. thaliana, B. rapa and B. oleracea. Interestingly, most type-B AtARRs were identified with orthologs in B. napus, except for AtARR13. The type-B ARRs (BnARR1–BnARR35) in rapeseed were named in serial numbers according to their chromosomal locations (Table S2). Accord-
ing to the triplication and duplication history of *B. napus*, we found ~47% B-ARRs were lost or rearranged during rapeseed evolution. Furthermore, we found BnARRs ranged from 187 (BnARR17) to 748 (BnARR18) amino acids (AA), the Mw ranged from 21.09 (BnARR17) to 83.04 (BnARR18) kDa, and the pI ranged from 4.84 (BnARR13) to 10.2 (BnARR2). Most BnARRs were acidic proteins since 80% of them were predicted with pI <7. All the BnARRs were predicted with nuclear localization (Table S2).

3.2. Sequence Alignment and Evolution Analysis of Type-B ARRs in *B. napus*

Multi-protein sequence alignment confirmed that B-ARRs in rapeseed contained two main conserved domains (Figure 1). The 120 AA receiver domain (responsive regulator) in the N-terminal has a phosphorylated Asp residue in the center (Figure 1A). The DNA binding domain (~60 AA), also named B motif, was similar to the MYB_DNA-binding motif and is distinguished from other types of ARRs (Figure 1B).

![Figure 1](image_url) The conserved domains in type-B ARRs of *B. napus*. (A) The responsive regulator domain. (B) MYB_DNA-binding domain. Multiple sequence alignment of BnARRs were analyzed with Clustal X, and sequence logos were visualized by WebLogo 3.

The phylogenetic tree of type-B ARRs in *B. napus*, *B. rapa*, *B. oleracea*, and *A. thaliana* classified them into seven groups (Class I–VII), each group contained 5, 3, 4, 7, 3, 6, and 7 BnARRs, respectively (Figure 2). In Class IV, 16 ARRs from *Brassicas* were orthologs of AtARR1 and AtARR2. The nine ARRs clustered in Class I were orthologs of AtARR10 and AtARR12. These BnARRs might play important roles in cytokinin response processes since AtARR1/2/10/12 have been reported with multiple functions in cytokinin signaling and plant development [3,4,32,35,37,79,80].

3.3. Gene Structure and Conserved Motifs of Type-B ARRs in *B. napus*

Gene structure is correlated with expression and function divergence, the coding regions responsible for various gene functions may be due to the alterations in exon-intron structure and/or amino acid substitutions [81,82]. We found the intron number of BnARRs ranged from 2 to 11, of which 23 BnARRs contained four or five introns. The exon number ranged from 3 to 12 (Figure 3). Furthermore, the exon number in Class IV and VII was 3–12 and 9–12, respectively. The exon length and number was more consistent in Class I, II, III, VI, and V. In general, BnARRs in the same phylogenetic branch showed similar structures, but the intron length varied in some groups, such as BnARR4/BnARR24, which may contribute to the functional differentiation of duplicated ARRs.

Nine conserved motifs of BnARRs were identified, ranging from 15 to 50 AA (Figures 3 and S1). Motifs 2, 3, and 5 were located in the receiver domain, and motifs 1 and 4 were located in the DNA-binding domain. In addition, motif 6 was presented in 32 BnARRs (80%) in seven groups, and motif 7 was existed in 26 BnARRs. Motif 9 was mainly identified in Class II and VII, and also found in two members of Class III and IV. Motif 8 was specific to Class VII. The specific motif patterns may also lead to functional divergence of BnARRs in different groups.
**Figure 2.** Phylogenetic tree of type-B ARRs in B. napus, B. rapa, B. oleracea, and A. thaliana. The numbers on branches represent the reliability percent of bootstrap values.

### 3.3. Gene Structure and Conserved Motifs of Type-B ARRs in B. napus

Gene structure is correlated with expression and function divergence, the coding regions responsible for various gene functions may be due to the alterations in exon-intron structure and/or amino acid substitutions [81,82]. We found the intron number of BnARRs ranged from 2 to 11, of which 23 BnARRs contained four or five introns. The exon number ranged from 3 to 12 (Figure 3). Furthermore, the exon number in Class IV and VII was 3~12 and 9~12, respectively. The exon length and number was more consistent in Class I, II, III, VI, and V. In general, BnARRs in the same phylogenetic branch showed similar structures, but the intron length varied in some groups, such as BnARR4/BnARR24, which may contribute to the functional differentiation of duplicated ARRs.

Nine conserved motifs of BnARRs were identified, ranging from 15 to 50 AA (Figures 3 and S1). Motif 2, 3, and 5 were located in the receiver domain, and motif 1 and 4 were located in the DNA-binding domain. In addition, motif 6 was presented in 32 BnARRs (80%) in seven groups, and motif 7 was existed in 26 BnARRs. Motif 9 was mainly identified in Class II and VII, and also found in two members of Class III and IV. Motif 8 was specific to Class VII. The specific motif patterns may also lead to functional divergence of BnARRs in different groups.

### 3.4. Chromosomal Location and Synteny of BnARRs

A total of 31 BnARRs (19 genes on A subgenome and 16 on C subgenome) were physically localized on rapeseed chromosomes, except for four members located on Ann_random and Cnn_random due to the incomplete B. napus genome (Figure 4). We found all the BnARRs with two to seven homologs on A and C subgenomes, such as BnARR2/21/22 and BnARR10/11/29/30.

Duplication is important to plant evolution and adaptation [83]. Based on the synteny analysis, we found the BnARRs have experienced different types of duplication events. Twenty-eight BnARRs (80%) were derived from WGD/segmental events, only one BnARR derived from a tandem event, two BnARRs derived from proximal events, and four genes resulted from dispersed events (Figure 5, Table S3). In addition, 26 paralogous gene pairs were identified, indicating gene duplication, especially WGD/segmental events, was the main force driving type-B ARR expansion in B. napus.

The expansion and evolution of ARRs in Brassicaceae was revealed by synteny analysis among B. napus, A. thaliana, B. rapa, and B. oleracea (Figure 6, Table S4). About 82.9% (29/35) of BnARRs had syntenic relationship to ARRs in other species. Specifically, 27, 27, and 22 BnARRs were predicted with synteny to B. rapa, B. oleracea, and A. thaliana, respectively. We found 29 BnARRs were inherited from B. rapa or B. oleracea, while the remaining six BnARRs were novel members after genome duplication.
Figure 3. Gene structure and motif composition of type-B ARRs in B. napus. (A) Phylogenetic tree of BnARRs. (B) Conserved motifs in BnARRs. Motifs 1~10 are displayed with different colored boxes. The detailed motif structure is showed in Figure S1. (C) Gene structure of BnARRs. UTR, untranslated region; CDS, coding sequence.

Figure 4. The distribution of type-B ARRs on rapeseed chromosomes. Mb, megabase.
Figure 5. Synteny of type-B ARRs in B. napus. The gray lines represent synteny blocks in rapeseed genome, and red lines represent BnARR gene pairs.

Figure 6. The collinearity of type-B ARRs in B. napus and three ancestral species. Gray lines represent collinear blocks among B. napus, B. rapa, B. oleracea, and A. thaliana; red lines indicate the syntenic type-B ARR pairs.
Based on the Ka (non-synonymous substitutions per site), Ks (synonymous substitutions per site), and Ka/Ks ratio, we predicted the selective pressure of ARR gene pairs in B. napus, B. rapa, B. oleracea, and A. thaliana (Table S4, Figure S2). The Ka/Ks ratio of gene pairs in B. napus–B. rapa, B. napus–B. oleracea, and B. napus–A. thaliana were 0.4476, 0.4588, 0.4465, and 0.3370, respectively. As reported, Ka/Ks ratio >1, =1, and <1 represented positive selection, neutral mutation, and purifying selection, respectively [84]. This indicated that most BnARR pairs experienced strong purifying selection. Furthermore, the type-B ARR gene pairs between B. napus–B. rapa, B. napus–B. oleracea, and B. napus–A. thaliana were diverged 0.1596, 0.0979, 0.1031, and 0.2671 million years ago (Mya), respectively. Thus, the ARRs in B. napus–A. thaliana were diverged earlier than in other comparisons.

3.5. GO Enrichment and Expression Profiles of Type-B ARRs in B. napus

To acknowledge the putative function of type-B ARRs in B. napus, we enriched these genes with the GO terms, and the top 20 enriched terms included biological process of cytokinin-activated signaling pathway (GO: 0009736), cellular response to cytokinin stimulus (GO: 0071368), and response to cytokinin (GO: 0009735). This indicated that type-B ARRs participated in the cytokinin signaling process of B. napus. Moreover, ~42.22% of BnARRs were enriched in the molecular function of phosphorelay response regulator activity (GO: 0000156), agreeing with the function of the receiver domain in BnARRs (Figure 7A, Table S5).

Figure 7. GO enrichment and spatiotemporal expression pattern of type-B ARRs in B. napus. (A) The top 20 GO terms enriched by BnARRs. Rich factor means the ratio of BnARR gene number to transcript number in each term. (B) Heatmap of BnARRs expression with log_{10} FPKM. DAP, days after pollination; SAM, shoot apical meristem.

Based on the RNA-seq data of different tissues and organs representing B. napus development, we found ~74.29% of the type-B ARRs were expressed in one or more tissues/organisms with FPKM value > 1, while the rest BnARRs (e.g., BnARR13, BnARR16, and BnARR17) were non-expressed genes (Figure 7B, Table S6). BnARR2, BnARR4, BnARR9, and BnARR24 were expressed in more than 12 tissues/organisms. Eight BnARRs were expressed in three or fewer tissues/organisms, such as BnARR14/18 expressed in 28 DAP seed, BnARR12/23/33 expressed in 14 DAP silique and 21 DAP seed. The different BnARR expression pattern might be related to their functional diversification, and the ARRs with high expression level in specific tissue/organ may take part in the processes of plant development.

We analyzed the BnARR expression under abiotic stresses and hormone treatments, but not all the members could respond to these treatments. Most BnARRs with re-
response to abiotic stresses were down-regulated under cold, mannitol, salt, and PEG treatments, such as BnARR1/4/7/8/9/20/24/28/31/34. However, BnARR20 was up-regulated by salt stress, BnARR13 and 27 were up-regulated by cold stress, and BnARR23 was up-regulated by drought stress (Figure 8A). Furthermore, the members in class III were down-regulated under IAA and SL treatments, such as BnARR10/11/29/30. BnARR2/21/22 in class VI were up-regulated under GA treatment. BnARR7/26 in class I, BnARR1/19/20 in class II, and BnARR4/9/24/28/31 in class IV were up-regulated under GA, IAA, and SL treatments (Figure 8B).

Figure 8. Type-B BnARR expression in response to abiotic and hormone treatments. (A) BnARR expression under abiotic stresses. (B) BnARR expression under hormone treatments.

3.6. Cis-Acting Elements in Type-B BnARR Promoters

Gene promoters contain a large number of cis-acting elements that can specifically bind to proteins involved in the initiation and regulation of gene transcription [85]. Here, we analyzed the type-B BnARR promoters, and classified the cis-acting elements into four types, abiotic responsiveness, hormones responsiveness, plant growth and development, and other basic promoter elements like TATA-box (Figure 9, Table S7). The circadian control (circadian motif), zein metabolism regulation (O2-site motif), meristem expression (CAT-box), endosperm expression (GCN4 motif), phytochrome down-regulation expression, seed-specific regulation (RY element), endosperm-specific negative expression (AACA motif), root-specific elements (motif I), differentiation of the palisade mesophyll cells (HD-Zip 1 motif), and light-responsive elements (TCT motif, G-box, GT1 motif, and AE-box) were related to plant growth and development. Furthermore, the light-responsive elements were ubiquitous in the BnARR promoters, indicating that BnARRs may be involved in the light-regulated plant development. As to the hormone-responsive elements, we found the cytokinin and abscisic acid responsive elements were enriched in BnARR promoters. The existence of anaerobic induction (ARE motif), defense and stress responsiveness (TC-rich motif), low-temperature responsiveness (LTR motif), MYB binding site involved in drought-inducibility (MBS motif), anoxic-specific inducibility (GC motif), and wound responsiveness (WUN motif) indicated that BnARRs may also regulate plant response to abiotic stresses.
3.6. Cis-acting Elements in Type-B BnARR Promoters

Gene promoters contain a large number of cis-acting elements that can specifically bind to proteins involved in the initiation and regulation of gene transcription [85]. Here, we analyzed the type-B BnARR promoters, and classified the cis-acting elements into four types, abiotic responsiveness, hormones responsiveness, plant growth and development, and other basic promoter elements like TATA-box (Figure 9, Table S7). The circadian control (circadian motif), zein metabolism regulation (O2-site motif), meristem expression (CAT-box), endosperm expression (GCN4 motif), phytochrome down-regulation expression, seed-specific regulation (RY element), endosperm-specific negative expression (AACA motif), root-specific elements (motif I), differentiation of the palisade mesophyll cells (HD-Zip 1 motif), and light-responsive elements (TCT motif, G-box, GT1 motif, and AE-box) were related to plant growth and development. Furthermore, the light-responsive elements were ubiquitous in the BnARR promoters, indicating that BnARRs may be involved in the light-regulated plant development. As to the hormone-responsive elements, we found the cytokinin and abscisic acid responsive elements were enriched in BnARR promoters. The existence of anaerobic induction (ARE motif), defense and stress responsiveness (TC-rich motif), low-temperature responsiveness (LTR motif), MYB binding site involved in drought-inducibility (MBS motif), anoxic-specific inducibility (GC motif), and wound responsiveness (WUN motif) indicated that BnARRs may also regulate plant response to abiotic stresses.

Figure 9. Cis-acting elements in the type-B BnARR promoters.

3.7. The Expression Pattern of Type-B BnARRs under Cytokinin and ABA Treatments

As mentioned above, the cis-acting elements associated with ABA and CTK responses were enriched in BnARR promoters. Thus, we used qPCR to analyze the BnARR expression pattern under different time of ABA and CTK treatments. The BnARR4/5/30 were down-regulated under CTK treatment, while BnARR18/19/23 were strongly up-regulated. BnARR18 and BnARR23 were obviously up-regulated after 12 h and 1 h of CTK treatment, respectively. BnARR19 was consistently up-regulated during 1~6 h of CTK treatment. In addition, 12 BnARRs were slightly induced or repressed by CTK (Figure 10). Under ABA treatment, BnARR2/9/15/28/35 were up-regulated, but with the highest expression level at different hours after ABA treatment. Moreover, ABA repressed the expression level of BnARR4 and BnARR5 with the minimum expression at 12 h of ABA treatment. We found eight BnARRs were slightly up-regulated (e.g., BnARR6 and BnARR7) or down-regulated (e.g., BnARR30) after ABA treatment (Figure 11). These results indicated the BnARRs might be involved in CTK and ABA signaling pathways.
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As mentioned above, the cis-acting elements associated with ABA and CTK responses were enriched in BnARR promoters. Thus, we used qPCR to analyze the BnARR expression pattern under different time of ABA and CTK treatments. The BnARR4/5/30 were down-regulated under CTK treatment, while BnARR18/19/23 were strongly up-regulated. BnARR18 and BnARR23 were obviously up-regulated after 12 h and 1 h of CTK treatment, respectively. BnARR19 was consistently up-regulated during 1~6 h of CTK treatment. In addition, 12 BnARRs were slightly induced or repressed by CTK (Figure 10).

Under ABA treatment, BnARR2/9/15/28/35 were up-regulated, but with the highest expression level at different hours after ABA treatment. Moreover, ABA repressed the expression level of BnARR4 and BnARR5 with the minimum expression at 12 h of ABA treatment. We found eight BnARRs were slightly up-regulated (e.g., BnARR6 and BnARR7) or down-regulated (e.g., BnARR30) after ABA treatment (Figure 11). These results indicated the BnARRs might be involved in CTK and ABA signaling pathways.

Figure 10. Type-B BnARRs expression in response to CTK treatment. The data was represented as mean ± standard deviation (n = 3).
4. Discussion

Cytokinin is important in regulating plant development and response to biotic and abiotic stresses [1]. Among the two main types of ARRs (A- and B-ARRs) involved in cytokinin signaling [37], type-B ARRs are transcription factors that can be activated by phosphorylation of the Asp residue in the receiver domain [1]. Hitherto, the B-ARRs have been studied in Arabidopsis, rice, tomato, tobacco, and peach, but not in oil crop B. napus [11,52–55]. In this study, 35 B-ARRs were identified in rapeseed based on the two conserved domains. The gene structure, chromosomal location, duplication event, cis-acting element, and expression patterns of these BnARRs were analyzed. The type-B BnARRs were divided into seven classes, which were consistent with that in Arabidopsis [86]. The gene structure and conserved motifs of BnARRs in the same class were similar but differed among different groups. The different intron-exon structure of BnARRs might be due to chromosome rearrangement and translocation during polyploidization. Recently, introns have been proved with important functions in regulating gene expression [87,88].
We found the intron number varied a lot among BnARRs, which might be valuable to BnARR evolution. 

*B. napus* is a crop derived from natural hybridization of diploid parents, *B. rapa* and *B. oleracea*. It has undergone 72 × genome multiplication compared with the basal angiosperm *Amborella trichopoda* [58]. The 35 BnARRs, 15 BrARRs, 18 BoARRs, and 11 AtARRs were identified with collinearity among different species. WGD/segmental and tandem play prominent roles in the evolution of many eukaryotic species [89,90]. In *B. napus*, 80% B-ARRs (28/35) experienced WGD/segmental duplication, 11.43% BnARRs (4/35) resulted from dispersed duplication, only one BnARR and two BnARRs were originated from tandem and proximal duplication, respectively. Other gene families in rapeseed have also experienced a WGD/segmental duplication event, including 73.81% BnLBDs, 92.3% BnKCSs, 70.98% BnNPFs, 96.96% BnATGs, and 73.4% BnMATEs. Thus, WGD/segmental duplication is a main force for gene family expansion in rapeseed [66,91–94]. However, not all the duplicated genes were retained; some genes were quickly erased to maintain the genome stability [95]. The genome and synteny analysis confirmed that *B. rapa* and *B. oleracea* endured WGT 20 to 40 Mya [58,96]. Theoretically, about 47% of B-ARRs were lost during rapeseed evolution, and this might be due to the purifying selection. Furthermore, the AtARR13 ortholog was not found in rapeseed, which might be redundant during rapeseed genome evolution.

Based on the expression profile of B-ARRs during rapeseed development, we found three putative pseudogenes (BnARR13/16/17) that were not expressed in all analyzed tissues/organisms. Ten BnARRs were expressed throughout rapeseed development and might be important to rapeseed growth. The different expression pattern of BnARRs might be caused by the neo-, sub-, and non-functionalization after gene duplication. Moreover, we identified a few BnARRs (e.g., BnARR4/7/8/9/24/28/31) that were down-regulated under cold, mannitol, salt, and PEG stresses, while BnARR13/20/23/27 were up-regulated by salt, cold, or drought stress. Furthermore, the BnARRs in class III were down-regulated by IAA and SL, the members of class VI were up-regulated by GA. A few members in class I (BnARR7/26), class II (BnARR1/19/20), and class IV (BnARR4/8/24/28/31) were up-regulated by GA, IAA, and SL. Cis-acting elements are important in regulating gene expression [85]. The abiotic responsive elements, hormone-responsive elements, plant growth-related elements, and development-related elements were enriched in the type-B BnARR promoters. We confirmed that 17 and 16 BnARRs were induced or repressed by CTK and ABA, respectively. These genes may participate in hormone-regulated plant development and stress responses, since ABA and CTK are important endogenous messengers in plants and play vital roles in regulating plant development and adaptation [1,97]. However, only six BnARRs were significantly up- or down-regulated by cytokinin. In Arabidopsis, A-ARR expression was more induced by cytokinin than the B-ARRs [49]. GO analysis also enriched the type-B BnARRs in CTK-related terms, such as CTK-activated signaling pathway, cellular response to CTK stimulus, and response to CTK. As reported, B-ARRs were activated through phosphorylation in the receiver domain after CTK treatment and bound to the target genes in a CTK-dependent manner [35]. AtARR1/10/12 were negative regulators in plant response to drought stress; the triple mutants were drought-tolerant compared with the wild type [49]. In plants, ABA regulates numerous biological processes, including seed dormancy and germination, lateral root formation, and stress responses. It could broadly regulate the expression of stress-responsive genes [98]. In this study, the BnARRs (e.g., BnARR2/9/15/28/35) with significant expressional changes under ABA treatment may also be involved in plant response to abiotic stresses.

5. Conclusions

We comprehensively analyzed the 35 type-B ARRs in *B. napus*. This gene family experienced expansion and loss during rapeseed polyploidization, and these BnARRs were grouped into seven classes. The GO enrichment, temporospatial expression pattern, and response to abiotic and hormone treatments suggest that type-B BnARRs played important
roles in rapeseed growth, development, and stress responses, especially via ABA and cytokinin signaling pathways. In general, these findings will be helpful to the further functional investigation of type-B BnARRs.
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