Periaxin and AHNAK Nucleoprotein 2 Form Intertwined Homodimers through Domain Swapping*
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The significant contributions of complexing interactions in PRX were mainly mediated by a 90–90 amino acid residues normally fold into six β strands and two α helices. Peptide ligands bind into a pocket lined by helix α2, strand β2, and the β1–β2 loop, leading to the formation of an antiparallel β sheet between the ligand and the PDZ domain (1–3). Usually, the C-terminal carboxylate group of the ligand interacts directly with the backbone of the β1–β2 loop, containing a conserved ‘GΦΦ’ sequence (also called the GILGF motif): Φ denotes any amino acid and Φ is a hydrophobic residue. Based on binding specificity, PDZ domains can be divided into 3 classes; class I PDZ domains bind to the C-terminal sequence (S/T)-X-Φ-COOH, class II PDZ domains to Φ-X-Φ-COOH, and class III PDZ domains to (D/E)-X-Φ-COOH (4).

Periaxin (PRX) plays a significant role in myelination of the peripheral nervous system (5, 6), comprising 16% of peripheral nervous system myelin protein by weight (7). Genetic defects in PRX result in demyelinating peripheral neuropathies, such as Charcot-Marie-Tooth and Dejerine-Sottas diseases, indicating a crucial role for PRX in the normal development of the peripheral nervous system (8–10). PRX is also a member of cytoskeletal complexes in lens fibers, and it is considered to function in maturation, packing, and membrane organization of lens fiber cells (11).

Two major isoforms of PRX, L-PRX and S-PRX, of 1461 and 147 amino acids, respectively, are expressed by myelinating Schwann cells. During myelination, L-PRX is first localized in the adaxonal plasma membrane and later, in the abaxonal plasma membrane (5, 6). S-PRX is uniformly distributed in the cytoplasm and the nucleus of the Schwann cell, and a function for S-PRX in regulating mRNA splicing has been suggested (12).

Both PRX isoforms share their 127 N-terminal residues, including a predicted PDZ domain (13). The function of the PRX PDZ domain is unknown, but it is essential for PRX dimerization (14). Through its interaction with dystrophin-related protein 2 (Drp2), L-PRX is a member of the periaxin-Drp2-dystroglycan complex. The PRX PDZ domain is apparently not required in this interaction (14). Except for Drp2, no other binding partners for PRX have been reported, and the ligand of the PRX PDZ domain is, hence, also unknown.

The homology of PRX to other proteins is very low; essentially, the only conserved domain is the PDZ domain (15). Even this domain is poorly conserved, and the only homologues with sequence identity >30% in this region are the giant AHNAK proteins. The PDZ domain of PRX has the highest sequence identity, 57%, with AHNAK2. PRX and the AHNAK proteins...
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form a unique subfamily of PDZ proteins that are likely to have similar functions in complexes linking the extracellular matrix to the cytoskeleton (12).

AHNAKs are giant proteins (molecular mass >600 kDa) expressed in all muscular cells (16). AHNAK1 (also called desmoyokin) is involved in cytoarchitecture and calcium signaling by directly interacting with several proteins, such as dysferlin, S100B, and calpain3 (17–19). It is assumed that AHNAK2 localizes at similar sites as AHNAK1 and has similar functions (20). Both AHNAK1 and AHNAK2 can be divided into 3 regions, i.e., an N-terminal PDZ-like region and a C-terminal region with a nuclear localization signal, separated by a large central repeat region (21). Dimerization of AHNAK1 has been reported (12). For both AHNAK1 and PRX, splicing produces two isoforms, i.e., L-AHNAK1/S-AHNAK1 and L-PRX/S-PRX (12).

Here, we report the first crystal structures for PRX and AHNAK2. Their PDZ domains exhibit uniquely intertwined dimers, with extensive three-dimensional domain swapping. Longer constructs of PRX were also characterized in solution and observed to be mainly disordered outside the PDZ domain. The structures of the PRX and AHNAK2 PDZ domains implicate an intriguing mechanism for the formation of stable homodimers. Based on strong interactions in the crystal state, similar dimerization of both proteins is likely to occur also in vivo.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Protein Expression and Purification—The production of recombinant PRX PDZ domain variants has been described (22). Briefly, the constructs were expressed as His-tagged variants in Escherichia coli and purified using nickel affinity chromatography. The affinity tag was removed, and the final purification step consisted of size exclusion chromatography. The constructs that were produced include residue ranges 1–147 (full-length S-PRX), 1–127 (the region common to S- and L-PRX), 14–127, 14–117, and 14–107.

A codon-optimized synthetic gene of human AHNAK2 (residues 108–203) (accession number NM_138420; locus tag NM_138420), encoding a hexahistidine tag and a tobacco etch virus protease cleavage site at the N terminus of the predicted AHNAK2 PDZ domain, subcloned into pETM11, was purchased from MWG Eurofins. The protein was overexpressed in E. coli Rosetta (DE3) cells in ZYM-5052 autoinduction medium (23), containing 50 μg/ml of kanamycin and 34 μg/ml of chloramphenicol, at 37 °C for 7 h. Protein purification was done as for the PRX PDZ domain (22).

Small-angle X-ray Scattering—Synchrotron small-angle x-ray scattering (SAXS) data for the PRX constructs were collected on beamline P12 of EMBL/DESY at PETRA III (Hamburg, Germany). Samples were prepared at 2–20 mg/ml in 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 100 mM NaCl. Data processing and analysis were performed with the ATSAS package (24). PRIMUS (25) was used for processing and GNOM (26) for estimating the distance distribution. DAMMIN (27), DAMMIF (28), and GASBOR (29) were used for ab initio model building with either dummy residues or chain-like assemblies. BUNCH (30) was used to build hybrid models based on the crystal structure and ab initio built N- and C-terminal extensions. Different models were superimposed using SUPCOMB (31). CRYSOL (32) was used to compare the crystal structure with SAXS data. The molecular weight of the samples was calculated by comparing the forward scattering intensity, I(0), to that of freshly prepared BSA.

Crystallography and Structure Determination—Crystallographic data for the PRX PDZ domain (residues 14–107) has been described (22). Briefly, crystals were obtained in 30% PEG 2000MME, 0.15 M KBr after 1 day at 4 °C. New higher resolution native data were collected at the P13 EMBL/DESY beamline at PETRA III (Hamburg, Germany). A tungsten-derivatized PRX PDZ crystal was prepared by soaking in 5 mM (NH4)2WS4 for 2 days. The preparation of a xenon-derivatized crystal was executed at BESSY (Berlin, Germany). A crystal was picked and incubated in the xenon chamber at 200 p.s.i. for 8 min. Diffraction data for the derivatized crystals were collected on beamline BL14.1 at BESSY.

Crystals of the AHNAK2 PDZ domain were similarly obtained with sitting-drop vapor diffusion using a 35 mg/ml protein stock in 50 mM Tris (pH 7.5), 100 mM NaCl, with a well solution consisting of 10% PEG8000 and 50 mM KH2PO4. X-ray diffraction data were collected on the EMBL/DESY beamline P13 at PETRA III (Hamburg).

All data were processed using XDS (33). The phasing of PRX, which was solved first, did not work either by molecular replacement or by the bromide single-wavelength anomalous dispersion method. Thus, various derivatization experiments were performed, and data from a crystal soaked with tungsten showed a strong anomalous signal. In addition, crystals incubated in the xenon chamber also exhibited an anomalous signal from xenon. Combining these two datasets with native diffraction data, phasing was successful. Phasing by MIRAS, followed by automatic model building, was done with AutoSol in Phenix (34). The structure solution of the AHNAK2 PDZ domain was done by molecular replacement using the partially refined PRX PDZ structure as a search model in Phaser (35). Model building was done using COOT (36) and refinement using phenix.refine (37). Molprobity (38) was used for structure validation.

Static Light Scattering—The oligomeric state of the PRX and AHNAK2 constructs in solution (50 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl) was studied using static light scattering. Samples of the protein variants used for crystal structure determination were run through a size exclusion column with an Äkta Purifier (GE Healthcare), and refractive index and light scattering were subsequently measured online using the Optilab rEX and miniDAWN TREOS instruments (Wyatt). Data were analyzed with Astra software (Wyatt). The run was similarly performed in the presence of 6 M urea.

Circular Dichroism Spectroscopy—Synchrotron radiation circular dichroism spectra were measured on beamline CD1 at ISA, University of Århus (Denmark). The buffer was 20 mM sodium phosphate (pH 7.5). Each sample was scanned from 280 to 170 nm in 100-μm quartz cuvettes at a concentration of 1 mg/ml. Each spectrum was measured 3 times, and the corresponding buffer spectrum was subtracted. Deconvolution was carried out on the Dichroweb server (39).
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Urea titration of both proteins was done using a Chirascan Plus (Applied Photophysics) instrument, in a 0.5-mm cuvette. PRX (0.6 mg/ml) was in 10 mM Tris (pH 7.5), 20 mM NaCl, and AHNAK2 (0.2 mg/ml) in H2O. Spectra were also measured in 107 and 21.8 kDa for AHNAK2, indicate homodimer formation, whereas mild denaturants by native PAGE.

Native PAGE—The native PAGE samples of PRX and AHNAK2 PDZ domains were prepared at different concentrations of SDS and urea in 50 mM Tris (pH 7.5), 100 mM NaCl. The gel was run in 25 mM Tris, 195 mM glycine (pH 8.5) at 4 °C for 2 h and Coomassie stained.

RESULTS

Dimerization of PRX and AHNAK2 N-terminal Domains in Solution—The recombinant PRX PDZ domain was a folded dimer, as evidenced by CD spectroscopy, size exclusion chromatography, and static light scattering (Fig. 1). The PDZ domain of AHNAK2 was also homodimeric in solution (Fig. 1D). CD spectroscopy indicated both proteins were stable in 1 M urea, but started unfolding in 3 M urea (Fig. 1B). Static light scattering proved that the dimers observed in solution were dissociated into unfolded monomers at 6 M urea (Fig. 1C, D). Native gel electrophoresis further showed that the mobility of neither protein was affected by urea concentrations up to 1 M (Fig. 1E), whereas changes in mobility were seen with SDS. Hence, the dimers are stable in solution at relatively high concentrations of urea.

Three-dimensional Domain-swapped Dimeric Structure of the PRX PDZ Domain—The crystal structure of the PRX PDZ domain was solved at 2.7-Å resolution (Table 1). Three monomers exist in one asymmetric unit, and the structure presents unique dimeric folding, involving a high degree of domain swapping and intertwining between the two monomers (Fig. 2).

The PRX PDZ domain displays 5 major \( \beta \) strands and 2 \( \alpha \) helices in one monomer (Fig. 2B). Compared with other PDZ domains, which generally have 6 \( \beta \) strands, the PRX PDZ domain does not have separate strands \( \beta 4 \) and \( \beta 5 \), but a long \( \beta 4/5 \) strand, and the \( \beta 4/5 \) loop is missing. The loss of this loop results in the change of direction of \( \alpha 2 \) and \( \beta 6 \) in the overall monomer structure. These units further intertwine with the neighboring monomer and vice versa, and two monomers form a domain-swapped homodimer (Fig. 2).

Homo- and heterodimerization of PDZ domains have been observed. However, only the ZO-1 PDZ2 domain was reported to be a domain-swapped homodimer (40), whereas the PDZ domains from Shank1 and GRIP1 (glutamate receptor interacting protein 1) form homodimers without domain swapping (41, 42). The PRX PDZ domain exhibits a dimerization mode distinct from any other known structure (Fig. 2C). Although in ZO-1 PDZ2, a domain-swapped homodimer is formed through swapping the N-terminal \( \beta 1 \) and \( \beta 2 \) strands with the neighboring molecule, much more extensive domain swapping is present in PRX, via the interchange of \( \alpha 2 \) and \( \beta 6 \) between two monomers (Fig. 2).

In the PRX dimer, a 6-stranded antiparallel \( \beta \) sheet is formed by the two chains, with the two \( \beta 4/5 \) strands in the center (Fig. 3). Every second \( \beta \) strand comes from a different chain, resulting in a highly intertwined structure for the homodimer. This sheet is formed of strands \( \beta 1 \), \( \beta 4/5 \), and \( \beta 6 \) of the A and B chains, in the order \( \beta 1(A)-\beta 6(B)-\beta 4/5(A)-\beta 4/5(B)-\beta 6(A)-\beta 1(B) \). In addition, the \( \alpha 2 \) helices are side by side in the dimer structure.

Between the \( \alpha 2 \) helices from the two chains, \( \pi-\pi \) stacking is present between the side chains of Phe75 and Tyr90. This stacking also includes Pro62 and Phe72; in addition, there is a buried hydrophobic core (Fig. 4A). Another unique conformation is present on the \( \beta 1 \) strand. In PRX, \( \beta 1 \) ends at Glu22, and a bulge is observed from Thr23 to Ala25. Gln26–Gly28 acquire an additional small \( \beta \) strand conformation, \( \beta 1’ \), by backbone hydrogen bonding with Tyr80–Val92 on strand \( \beta 6 \) of the neighboring monomer. This bulge is formed due to the insertion of one residue into the \( \beta 1 \) strand in PRX (Fig. 4B). The side chain of Thr23 makes the missing hydrogen bond to the neighboring strand.
The two peptide binding sites of the PRX homodimer are composed between helix α2 and strand β2 from different chains. Because the first residue of the α2 helix is Tyr, the PRX PDZ domain can be categorized into class III and may specifically bind to a C-terminal (D/E)-X-Φ-COOH motif on the ligand protein (43). A backbone peptide group between Val29 and Ser30, expected to recognize the target ligand C terminus, is flipped compared with earlier PDZ domain structures (Fig. 4C). As a result, the carbonyl moiety points toward the expected position of the ligand peptide carboxyl terminus.

PRX, this loop is 2–6 amino acids shorter than in other PDZ structures. Also loop β2–β3 is shorter than in most other PDZ structures (Fig. 4D). In addition, the C-terminal end of helix α2 in PRX leans slightly toward β2. Due to these factors, the apparent volume of the peptide binding pocket of the PRX PDZ domain is small compared with other PDZ domains. However, it is possible that the peptide binding pocket opens up when a binding partner enters.

Solution Structure—The solution structures of several constructs of the PRX PDZ domain were modeled based on SAXS data (Fig. 5). The forward scattering intensity indicated quantitative homodimer formation by each construct in solution (Fig. 4D). The data for PRX14–107, which was also successfully

| Radiation source | PRX PDZ | AHNAK2 PDZ |
|------------------|---------|------------|
| P13 EMBL PETRAIII | BL14.1 | BL14.1 |
| BL14.1 BESSY | BESSY | BESSY |
| P13 EMBL PETRAIII | | |

| Wavelength (Å) | 0.915 | 0.918 | 1.215 | 1.700 | 0.967 |
|----------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|

| Space group | P3_21 | P3_21 |
|-------------|-------|-------|

| Unit cell dimensions (Å) | a,b = 79.8 c = 81.1 | a,b = 77.3 c = 80.4 | a,b = 77.7 c = 80.2 | a,b = 77.2 c = 81.3 | a,b = 81.7 c = 65.3 |
|--------------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|

| Resolution (Å) | 15.2-70 (2.77-2.70) | 15.3-2.30 (3.28-3.36) | 20.3-3.30 (3.35-3.30) | 20.3-3.30 (3.39-3.30) | 20-1.75 (1.80-1.75) |
|----------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|

| R_{mean} (%) | 4.4 (91.9) | 12.4 (131) | 10.1 (166.0) | 20.6 (225.9) | 5.1 (150.0) |
|---------------|------------|------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|

| <I/i> | 20.9 (1.8) | 11.6 (1.7) | 12.9 (1.3) | 11.3 (1.3) | 20.2 (1.3) |
|-------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|

| CC_{1/2} (%) | 100 (59.5) | 99.8 (53.3) | 99.9 (50.4) | 99.9 (51.5) | 100 (69.8) |
|---------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|

| Completeness (%) | 99.6 (100) | 99.5 (99.8) | 99.8 (98.9) | 99.5 (100) | 99.6 (99.3) |
|-------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|

| Redundancy | 5.2 (5.2) | 5.6 (5.7) | 7.2 (7.5) | 13.8 (13.2) | 10.0 (10.5) |
|-------------|-----------|-----------|----------|------------|-----------|

| Refinement | 20.3/24.1 | 18.1/20.6 |
|-------------|-----------|-----------|

| RMS deviations from ideal values |
|----------------------------------|

| Bond lengths (Å) | 0.005 | 0.007 |
|------------------|-------|-------|

| Bond angles (°) | 1.0 | 1.1 |
|-----------------|-----|-----|

| Average B factor/Wilson B (Å^2) | 91.6/85.5 | 51.7/44.6 |
|-----------------------------|----------|----------|

| Ramachandran plot (%) |
|------------------------|

| Preferred regions | 96.2 | 97.1 |
|-------------------|------|-----|

| Allowed regions | 3.8 | 2.9 |
|-----------------|-----|-----|

| Outliers | 0 | 0 |
|----------|---|---|

| Molprobity (38) score (percentile) | 2.01 (98th) | 1.48 (94th) |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
used for crystallization, suggested compact folding, whereas the longer constructs exhibited more extended structures. The latter was also exemplified by normalized Kratyk plots (Fig. 5C) (44).

The crystal structure fit the SAXS data very well (Fig. 5D), indicating highly similar conformations in the crystal state and in solution. The N- and C-terminal extensions gradually increased the dimensions of PRX (Table 2), suggesting they are elongated. Three-dimensional models of the various constructs confirmed the above findings, and N- and C-terminal extensions can be distinguished in the models (Fig. 5, E and F).

The AHNAK2 PDZ Domain Structure and Peptide Binding—To shed light on the uniqueness of the PRX PDZ domain homodimer structure, we also determined the structure of its closest homologue. The crystal structure of the human AHNAK2 PDZ domain was refined at 1.75-Å resolution, with a closest homologue. The crystal structure fit the SAXS data very well (Fig. 5D), displaying two intact monomers forming homodimer, whereas domain PDZ2 of ZO-1 (right) (40) swaps strands $\beta_1$ and $\beta_2$.

An interesting conformation in the peptide binding site of AHNAK2 is found in the peptide bond between Ala$^{123}$ and Ser$^{124}$. In most other peptide-bound PDZ domain structures, the corresponding peptide bond is oriented so that the NH group interacts with the C-terminal carboxylate of the ligand. This interaction is missing in AHNAK2 (Fig. 6, C and E). The same backbone conformation is also found in the unliganded PRX PDZ domain (Fig. 4C). Thus, this unexpected conformation is a common property of these homologous PDZ domains, and it is not affected by ligand binding. This segment is in the $\beta_1$–$\beta_2$ loop, at the conserved GLGF motif (GVSG1 in PRX, GASGY in AHNAK2) for carboxylate binding. The Ser side chain in this motif in AHNAK2 makes a hydrogen bond to the ligand C terminus (Fig. 6C); this residue is also conserved in PRX and may be involved in ligand recognition by both proteins.

To investigate conformational changes induced by ligand peptide binding, the structures of the two AHNAK2 monomers were superimposed (Fig. 6B). The two binding sites are nearly identical; some differences are found in temperature factors. The peptide-bound pocket, formed of strand $\beta_2$ from chain A and helix $\alpha_2$ from chain B, has lower B factors than the empty pocket (Fig. 6D). The ligand–PDZ domain interaction decreases the dynamics of $\alpha_2$, $\beta_2$, and $\beta_3$, although it does not affect the binding site conformation.

DISCUSSION

Both PRX and the AHNAK proteins participate in large protein complexes at the plasma membrane, linking the extracellular matrix to the cytoskeleton. Although evidence exists for dimerization in these proteins (12, 14), the molecular details have been missing. We provide here a structural basis for the dimeric assembly of PRX/AHNAK, and the surprising inter-
Intertwined folding of these two PDZ domains is likely to be relevant to the overall architecture and stability of the respective multiprotein complexes, including the EPPD (ezrin, periplakin, periaxin, and desmoyokin/AHNAK)) complex in lens fibers and the dystrophin glycoprotein complex in Schwann cells.

It has been assumed that the PRX PDZ domain is canonical (45, 46). A new category of homodimeric PDZ domain structures is now provided by PRX and AHNAK2, which have a distinct domain-swapped fold compared with other known PDZ domain structures. By default, the dimerization also dictates that there are two peptide binding sites, with opposite orientations, next to each other in this PDZ assembly, which may be relevant for building large ordered protein complexes involving PRX/AHNAK. Experimental evidence has been presented for PDZ domain-mediated dimerization of both proteins before; PRX dimerization was shown by yeast two-hybrid experiments, pulldowns from nerve lysates, affinity chromatography, and co-immunoprecipitations from mammalian cells overexpressing PRX constructs (14). On the other hand, AHNAK was previously shown to form detergent-resistant

FIGURE 3. Secondary structure topology. Topology diagram of the PRX PDZ domain dimer is shown, and the two chains are labeled in black and blue. The turn between strands β4 and β5 in canonical, monomeric PDZ domains is indicated with a dashed arrow. The topology of AHNAK2 is identical.

FIGURE 4. Structural details of PRX. A, arrangement of the two α2 helices involves hydrophobic interactions and aromatic stacking. Inset, an aromatic stacking network is found at both ends of the two α2 helices. B, hydrogen bonding at the bulge between strands β1 and β1'. The bond between the Thr23 side chain and the neighboring strand is in magenta. C, the peptide binding site of PRX. The backbone carbonyl of Val29 points toward the binding site (arrow), and Tyr77 defines the other end of the binding pocket. D, comparison (in stereo) of loop conformations near the peptide binding site. Blue, PRX; yellow, synaptojanin-2-binding protein (SYNJ2BP) (Protein Data Bank entry 2JIN); pink, ZO-1 PDZ3 (58).
homo- and heterodimers (between S- and L-AHNAK) (12). Hence, the tight homodimers observed here are likely to be of relevance in a cellular environment.

Despite the low homology to even the closest relatives (Fig. 7A), a comparison of sequences pinpoints unique features of PRX and AHNAK2, which correlate with their structures. The insertion of one residue into strand H9252 1 in both proteins is related to the formation of a bulge and division of H9252 1 into two short strands. On the other hand, most PDZ domains have a glycine residue in the H9252 4–H9252 5 turn; in PRX and AHNAK2, this residue is deleted, and a long continuous H9252 4/H9252 5 strand is formed. In general, shortening hinge loops in domain-swapped proteins tends to lead to oligomerization (47, 48), and making such loops longer may generate monomers instead of domain-swapped dimers (48, 49). The folding pathways of PDZ domains have been studied before, and strands H9252 1, H9252 4, and H9252 6 were shown to comprise a folding nucleus for the PTP-BL second PDZ domain (50). The corresponding strands in PRX and AHNAK2 form the 6-stranded antiparallel H9252 sheet between the two intertwined chains. It is possible that interactions within this H9252 sheet act as determinants for homodimeric folding.

Although limited data exist on domain swapping in PDZ domains, it is relatively common in the protein universe. Interwinded structures, on the other hand, are much more rare; domain swapping normally would involve the swap of a single secondary structure element. One example of an intertwined domain-swapped protein is the dimeric Ig domain of RelB (51). Usually, domain swapping involves secondary structure elements at the N or C terminus of the protein (48), and the case of

| TABLE 2 |
| SAXS analysis of PRX PDZ domain constructs |
| Construct | 14-107 (crystal) | 14-107 | 14-117 | 14-127 | 1-127 | 1-147 |
| Monomer molecular mass (kDa) | 10.3 | 10.3 | 11.3 | 12.4 | 14.0 | 15.9 |
| \( R_g \) (nm) (Guinier) | 1.80 | 2.01 | 2.29 | 2.55 | 3.31 | 3.39 |
| \( D_{\text{max}} \) (nm) | 6.3 | 6.5 | 9.0 | 10.0 | 13.0 | 14.0 |
| Mass from I(0) (kDa) | 18 | 21 | 25 | 34 | 41 |
| Porod volume (nm\(^3\)) | 38 | 54 | 56 | 82 | 89 |

\( \text{Chi, model vs data} \)  
| Dammfin | 1.05 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.78 | 0.75 |
| Dammfin | 1.05 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.78 | 0.75 |
| Gasbor | 1.12 | 1.06 | 1.05 | 1.19 | 1.12 |
| Bunch\(^a\) | 1.24 | 1.53 |
| Crysol | 1.30 |

\( ^a \text{Mass in solution was calculated compared to BSA.} \)

\( ^b \text{Bunch was run with the simultaneous fitting of two datasets.} \)
PRX/AHNAK2 is unique, due to the fact that the two chains for a large part wrap around each other. The protein-ligand backbone interactions in the liganded AHNAK2 structure are weaker than in other liganded PDZ structures, i.e. only 3 hydrogen bonds are present, whereas in general, more than 4 hydrogen bonds exist in other complexes. The conformation of the carboxylate-binding loop in PRX/AHNAK2 suggests it may not be optimal for recognizing the C terminus of a ligand, because a backbone carbonyl group points toward the ligand carboxyl group. It is possible that this arrangement is more suitable for internal peptide motif binding, in which case the flipped carbonyl group could interact with a backbone amide from a ligand. The available structures of non-C-terminal peptide complexes of PDZ domains (Fig. 7B), on the other hand, each imply different mechanisms of recognition (52–54). It should be mentioned, however, that structural information on PDZ domains binding non-C-terminal sequences is very scarce.

PRX and AHNAK2 are likely to regulate the organization of multimolecular complexes. The liganded AHNAK2 PDZ structure shows that the PDZ domains with this intertwined homodimeric folding can interact with target sequences similarly to canonical PDZ domains. Intriguingly, the volume of the peptide binding pocket in PRX and AHNAK2 differs 2-fold, whereas the corresponding volume for a canonical PDZ domain lies between these two (Fig. 7C). The fact that both binding sites in the AHNAK2 dimer are very similar suggests that no large-scale changes occur upon peptide binding; hence, it is possible that the binding determinants for PRX and AHNAK2 are different. Ligands for these PDZ domains have not been discovered yet. The PRX and AHNAK2 PDZ domain structures provide starting points in the search for their binding partners. Likely possibilities include recognition of class III target sequences or internal peptide motifs, or combinations of both.

The significance of the homodimerization of PRX and AHNAK2 may lie in assembling the matrix lining the intracellular side of membrane; i.e. the PRX basic domain binds to the Drp2 spectrin-like domain. Then, the spectrin-like, WW, and ZZ domains of Drp2 interact with other proteins, such as dystroglycan, utrophin, or Dp116, to make a large complex. The stable homodimerization of two domain-swapped PDZ monomers certainly would be one of the secure points in such a large complex, and the symmetry of the dimer would assist to arrange the complex. A corresponding scenario is likely to occur for AHNAKs, which are involved in similar complexes (20, 55). In fact, both PRX and AHNAK are members of the EPPD complex in lens fiber cells (56), where they may even function together.

FIGURE 6. AHNAK2 PDZ domain structure. A, superposition of AHNAK2 (pink) and PRX (gray) PDZ domains. The C terminus of a symmetry related molecule is found in one binding site of AHNAK2 (blue). The binding site is more open in AHNAK2 than in PRX, also in the unliganded site (arrow). B, superposition of the two chains in the AHNAK2 dimer. C, details of peptide binding by the AHNAK2 PDZ domain. Hydrogen bonds are indicated by green dashed lines. D, B factor plot of the two chains indicates increased flexibility in the binding site without the peptide. Largest differences are seen in helix α2 from one chain and strands β2 and β3 from the other chain (arrows). E, the peptide binding site between α2 and β2 in AHNAK2 (pink) and PAR-6 (green) (59). Note the distinct conformations of the β1–β2 loop. The bound peptide ligands are shown in orange and gray, respectively, and the hydrogen bonds between the terminal carboxyl group and backbone amide groups as dashed lines (AHNAK-2, magenta; PAR-6, green). The arrow points to the carbonyl group of Ala123.
Interestingly, PRX is also required for the hexagonal packing of lens fibers (11). The domain organization of PRX and AHNAKs, as well as the structures of their dimerization domains, may be signs of these proteins being involved in macromolecular complexes with similar assembly pathways (57).

Taken together, the structures of PRX and AHNAK2 PDZ domains reveal unique folding properties, with extensive three-dimensional domain swapping in an intertwined manner. Our data provide insights into identifying binding partners for these domains, which are unknown to date, and thus, will...
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lead to a better understanding of the cellular functions of PRX and the AHNAK proteins.
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