Cross-Talk in Cell Death Signaling
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Apoptotic cell suicide can be initiated by a plethora of stimuli that generally feed into one of two known cell death signaling pathways (Fig. 1; for review see references 1 and 2). Both pathways share many features, including molecular devices that spark caspase activation by proenzyme recruitment, oligomerization, and proximity-induced autocatalytic activation. Beyond this, however, their activities are relatively (but not absolutely) distinct. The ‘intrinsic’ pathway feeds cell death signals through the mitochondrion, which appears to act as a generic damage sensor and monitor of metabolic status. With the assistance of cytochrome c, cell death is initiated by the formation of a macromolecular complex (the apoptosome), which utilizes apoptotic protease activating factor (APAF)-1 to mediate the activation of caspase-9. The ‘extrinsic’ pathway transduces the signals of extracellular ‘death ligands’ belonging to the TNF superfamily (e.g., TNF-α, Fas ligand [FasL]/Apo1L/CD95L, Trail/Apo2L, Apo3L). The binding of these ligands to preassembled receptor complexes (3, 4) triggers the activation of caspase-8 through the adapter molecule FADD/Mort1 (plus others in some cases). Once the activation of initiator caspases occurs by either of these two routes, the pathways converge on the effector caspases (caspase-3 and caspase-7), which are the proteolytic engines of cell death. Each of the two pathways is modulated, as might be expected, by regulatory polypeptides such as FLICE (FADD-like IL-1β–converting enzyme) inhibitory protein (cFLIP)/usurpin (‘extrinsic’ pathway) or Bcl-2 family members (‘intrinsic’ pathway), and these molecules have been used to examine the relationship between the two pathways in vitro, and more recently in vivo.

With the identification of these two distinct apoptotic pathways, controversy raged as reports demonstrating that Bcl-2 did not inhibit CD95-mediated apoptosis were matched by an equal number of reports demonstrating that it did (CD95, a.k.a. Fas/Apo1, being the archetypal extrinsic death receptor). Then, in 1998, the group led by Peter Krammer and Marcus Peter (Scaffidi et al., reference 5) reported the existence of two distinct cell types that utilize distinct CD95 signaling pathways: type I cells undergo CD95-mediated apoptosis without the involvement of mitochondria, whereas type II cells require release of cytochrome c from mitochondria in order for CD95 to exert its apoptotic effects. At the molecular level, these two cell types differ principally in the amount of caspase-8 recruited to CD95 via the adapter molecule FADD/Mort1 to form the death-inducing signaling complex (DISC). Whereas type I cells contain large amounts of DISC in response to anti-CD95 antibodies, type II cells do not and thus are dependent on stimulation of the intrinsic apoptotic pathway to undergo cell death. These studies thus could explain why the extrinsic apoptotic pathway was insensitive to Bcl-2 overexpression in some cells (type I) but sensitive to Bcl-2 overexpression in other cells (type II) (6). The identification of Bid as the ‘go-between,’ transmitting signals from the extrinsic to the intrinsic pathway, provided a molecular basis for the cross-talk between the two pathways (7, 8). Moreover, studies in vivo revealed that hepatocytes had all of the characteristics of the Krammer/Peter (reference 5) type II cell. Agonistic antibodies to CD95 cause massive liver apoptosis and lethality in mice due, at least in part, to liver failure. Overexpression of Bcl-2 in hepatocytes protects mice from anti-CD95–mediated liver failure (9, 10). In addition, mice nullizygous for Bid, the key protein involved in the cross-talk between the intrinsic and extrinsic pathways, are also resistant to the liver failure that ensues after injection of anti-CD95 antibodies (11). The absence of Bid, however, does not alter the sensitivity of other cell types to anti-CD95 antibodies (e.g., thymocytes and fibroblasts). Taken together, these studies support the existence of two distinct responders to CD95 stimulation, one dependent solely on the extrinsic pathway and one dependent on both the extrinsic and intrinsic pathways.

The idea that cross-talk exists between extrinsic and intrinsic apoptotic pathways in certain cell types is now widely accepted; after all, cross-talk is a common theme in the world of signal transduction. However, a small number of groups remain cautious in accepting this notion. Andreas Strasser and colleagues point out that many studies use ago-
nistic antibodies to CD95 to stimulate the extrinsic pathway. In their hands, these agonistic antibodies do not behave as the physiological form of the CD95L that, they claim, is multimeric in nature (either membrane-associated CD95L or cross-linked CD95L). While they do not observe significant hepatocyte apoptosis in the presence of anti-CD95 antibodies, these cells are sensitive to the CD95L when provided in a multimeric form (12). Moreover, the apoptotic cell death observed with the multimeric ligand is not inhibited by overexpression of Bcl-2. In response to this criticism, Schmitz et al. (Krammer group) demonstrated that the differential sensitivity to Bcl-2 in type I and type II cells is maintained when the extrinsic pathway is stimulated by the CD95L rather than agonistic antibodies to CD95 (13). It is important to note, however, that the CD95L used in this study was trimeric rather than multimeric and thus differs from that used in the studies by Strasser and colleagues (14).
Is the nature of the ligand solely responsible for the discrepant results obtained by the groups of Krammer/Peter and colleagues and Strasser and colleagues in hepatocytes and other type II cells? Moreover, and importantly, is the multimeric CD95L actually a more physiological form of the ligand? Would mice defective in Bid retain resistance to hepatocellular injury in response to a more physiological stimulus of the CD95 pathway?

The nature of the stimulus of the CD95 pathway does not explain the fact that Strasser and colleagues do not observe significant cell death when type II cells are treated with anti-CD95 antibodies or trimeric CD95L, whereas Krammer and colleagues and several other groups do. These discrepant results might be due to differences in the 'tone' of the intrinsic pathway (i.e., the sensitivity of the intrinsic pathway to apoptotic stimuli) and the tone of the intrinsic pathway might be influenced by the array of growth factors and cytokines to which the cells are exposed. Villunger et al. elegantly illustrate this concept in the September 4 issue of this journal (15). They demonstrate that the proinflammatory cytokine G-CSF stimulates the extracellular signal–regulated kinase (ERK) pathway and inhibits spontaneous apoptosis of granulocytes as well as apoptosis induced by stimuli of the intrinsic pathway (etoposide and doxorubicin) but not apoptosis induced by stimulation of the extrinsic pathway with multimerized CD95L (granulo-
cytopathies and increase the resistance of mitochondria to the (CD95, FADD/MORT1, caspase-8), irrespective of the appear to support productive formation of the DISC pathways in type II cells using anti-CD95 antibodies or trimerization of proapoptotic Bcl-2 family members, stimulating either the overexpression of proapoptotic Bcl-2 family members or the downregulation of proapoptotic Bcl-2 family members (although Western blot analyses failed to detect significant differences in the expression of either pro- or antiapoptotic Bcl-2 family members in response to G-CSF). This hypothesis is supported by the observation that Bcl-2 acts like G-CSF to protect granulocytes from spontaneous apoptosis as well as apoptosis stimulated by doxorubicin, cisplatin, and p38 MAPK inhibitors but not from multimeric CD95.

Although the mechanism by which the complex interplay between the signal transduction pathways and the intrinsic apoptotic pathway remains ill defined, this interplay is no less important and might be responsible for the differences in sensitivity of cells to anti-CD95 antibodies observed by Strasser and colleagues and Krammer and colleagues, as illustrated in Fig. 2. Alterations in the tone of the intrinsic apoptotic pathway may affect the ability of cells to respond to suboptimal stimulation of the extrinsic pathway in type II cells using anti-CD95 antibodies or trimeric CD95L (type I cells, for reasons that remain obscure, appear to support productive formation of the DISC (CD95, FADD/MORT1, caspase-8), irrespective of the strength of the stimulus). Type II cells, exposed to cytokines or growth factors that activate the signal transduction pathways and increase the resistance of mitochondria to the cytochrome c-releasing effects caused by cleavage of Bid, might behave as reported by Huang et al. (Strasser group; reference 12). These cells survive a weak CD95 stimulus (anti-CD95 antibodies or trimeric CD95L) that is dependent on the intrinsic pathway but die in the presence of a strong CD95 stimulus (e.g., multimeric CD95L) that is not dependent on the intrinsic pathway. In contrast, cells maintained in an environment impoverished in cytokines/growth factors might behave as reported by Scaffidi et al. (Krammer group; reference 5). These cells exhibit a proapoptotic response to a weak CD95 stimulus that is inhibitable by Bcl-2 overexpression or Bid deficiency.

Do distinct apoptotic pathways exist when the tone of the intrinsic pathway is shifted toward an antiapoptotic state, whereas cross-talk exists between intrinsic and extrinsic pathways when the tone of the intrinsic pathway is shifted toward a proapoptotic state? This hypothesis would certainly reconcile the discrepancies observed between the groups of Krammer/Peter and colleagues and Strasser and colleagues. There are, however, other explanations as well that will no doubt emerge as the literature in this area evolves. But at least some things are clear. The existence of at least two distinguishable cell death–signaling pathways has been well established (extrinsic and intrinsic), and the identification of the major molecular components of these pathways provides a framework for predicting the circumstances under which each becomes engaged to initiate apoptosis. Similarly, and more recently, the discovery of molecules that betray the activities of one pathway to the other (such as Bid) account for the apparent cross-talk that many laboratories have observed, thus providing other obvious experiments to perform. Next comes context, and it is the in vivo circumstances that may largely dictate whether the two pathways remain isolated or cooperate in their tasks (e.g., cell type, signal type and strength, endogenous apoptotic tone, etc.). As with many biochemical pathways before it, ambiguity will reign supreme in apoptosis signaling before clarity emerges.
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