The Gaia-ESO Survey: the present-day radial metallicity distribution of the Galactic disc probed by pre-main-sequence clusters
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ABSTRACT

Context. The radial metallicity distribution in the Galactic thin disc represents a crucial constraint for modelling disc formation and evolution. Open star clusters allow us to derive both the radial metallicity distribution and its evolution over time.

Aims. In this paper we perform the first investigation of the present-day radial metallicity distribution based on [Fe/H] determinations in late type members of pre-main-sequence clusters. Because of their youth, these clusters are therefore essential for tracing the current inter-stellar medium metallicity.

Methods. We used the products of the Gaia-ESO Survey analysis of 12 young regions (age<100 Myr), covering Galactocentric distances from 6.67 to 8.70 kpc. For the first time, we derived the metal content of star forming regions farther than 500 pc from the Sun. Median metallicities were determined through samples of reliable cluster members. For ten clusters the membership analysis is discussed in the present paper, while for other two clusters (i.e. Chamaeleon I and Gamma Velorum) we adopted the members identified in our previous works.

Results. All the pre-main-sequence clusters considered in this paper have close-to-solar or slightly sub-solar metallicities. The radial metallicity distribution traced by these clusters is almost flat, with the innermost star forming regions having [Fe/H] values that are 0.10-0.15 dex lower than the majority of the older clusters located at similar Galactocentric radii.

Conclusions. This homogeneous study of the present-day radial metallicity distribution in the Galactic thin disc favours models that predict a flattening of the radial gradient over time. On the other hand, the decrease of the average [Fe/H] at young ages is not easily explained by the models. Our results reveal a complex interplay of several processes (e.g. star formation activity, initial mass function, supernova yields, gas flows) that controlled the recent evolution of the Milky Way.
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1. Introduction

The chemical composition of stars and, in particular, the radial metallicity distribution in the Milky Way disc and their evolution over time, can reveal important clues to the numerous global variables (e.g. star formation activity, initial mass function, supernova yields, radial migration, gas flows) that controlled - and are still governing - the history of baryonic matter in our Galaxy, as has been shown by recent theoretical (e.g. Matteucci et al. 2009; Romano et al. 2010; Minchev et al. 2014; Snaith et al. 2015; Kubytk et al. 2015a,b; Andrews et al. 2016; Pezzulli & Fraternali 2016) and observational studies (e.g. Haywood et al. 2013, 2016; Battistini & Bensby 2016; Nissen 2015, 2016; Spina et al. 2016a,b; Anders et al. 2016; D’Orazi et al. 2016). In this context, open star clusters are excellent tracers of the radial metallicity distribution (e.g. Friel 1995; Carraro et al. 2007; Sestito et al. 2008; Yong et al. 2012; Donati et al. 2015; Cantat-Gaudin et al. 2016; Netopil et al. 2016; Reddy et al. 2016), allowing us to investigate mechanisms of disc formation and evolution (e.g. Magrini et al. 2009, 2015; Jacobson et al. 2016). Not only clusters cover large ranges of age and Galactocentric radii, but their distances and ages can be more effectively constrained than in the case of individual field stars. An important exception is represented by the Cepheid variables which are exceptional distance indicators and whose ages are limited within ~20-400 Myr (e.g. Bono et al. 2005). Nevertheless, the chemical content of open clusters can be more precisely determined than for Cepheids, thanks to the large number of members that can be observed within each association.

In the last few years an increasing number of studies have focused on the metallicity of young open clusters (YOCs) and star forming regions (SFRs) (e.g. D’Orazi & Randich 2009; D’Orazi et al. 2009, 2011; Vlana Almeida et al. 2009; Biazzo et al. 2011a,b, 2012a,b; Spina et al. 2014b, a). These studies suggest that YOCs, where star formation has ceased, generally share a metallicity close to the solar value; on the other hand, SFRs, in which the molecular gas is still present and the star formation process is still ongoing, seem to be characterised by a slightly lower iron content. In particular, no metal-rich SFRs have been discovered so far. However, these conclusions are mainly based...
both on small number statistics (typically, 1-5 stars per association), and on [Fe/H] values that are determined from different observations and methods of analysis. In addition, all the stellar associations younger than 100 Myr and with metallicity determinations are located within 500 pc from the Sun. Therefore, the question arises whether the observed metallicities reflect the initial abundance of a giant molecular cloud complex that could have given birth to most of the SFRs and YOCs in the solar vicinity in a common and widespread star formation episode (as proposed by Spina et al. 2014b) or if these metallicities are the result of a more complex process of chemical evolution that involved a much larger area in the Galactic disc.

New homogeneous studies are needed to i) enable a more homogeneous view of the metal content in nearby YOCs and SFRs; ii) better understand if this metallicity is a peculiarity of the local inter-stellar medium (ISM) or if it is a common pattern of the youngest stars in the entire Galactic disc. In turn, this would allow us to achieve a better understanding of the latest phases of the evolution of the Galactic disc, providing new constraints that theoretical models should take into account.

The Gaia-ESO Survey (Gilmore et al. 2012; Randich et al. 2013) will contribute significantly to these studies. It is a large public spectroscopic survey observing all the components of the Galaxy (bulge, thin and thick discs, and halo). The project makes use of the FLAMES spectrograph mounted at the Very Large Telescope to obtain Giraffe and UVES spectra of about 10^5 stars, including candidate members of 60-70 open clusters. This large body of observations, with homogeneous analysis techniques, will allow us to study the kinematical and chemical abundance distributions in the Milky Way and also to fully sample the age-metallicity-mass/density-Galactocentric distance parameter space within the selected open clusters.

In this paper, we make use of the advanced products internally released to the Gaia-ESO Survey consortium for five SFRs (Carina Nebula, Chamaeleon I, NGC2264, NGC6530, and Rho Ophiuchi) and seven YOCs (Gamma Velorum, IC2391, IC2602, IC4665, NGC2547, and NGC2451AB), in order to derive their metal content on a homogeneous scale. The cluster metallicities are derived on the basis of samples of reliable cluster members obtained through the Gaia-ESO dataset. The membership analysis for four SFRs and six YOCs is discussed in this paper, while for Chamaeleon I and Gamma Velorum we adopted the list of members found in our previous works. It is worth mentioning that we provide for the first time the metallicity determinations of three distant SFRs: the Carina Nebula (distance d=2.7 kpc), NGC6530 (d=1.25 kpc), and NGC2264 (d=0.76 kpc). As we show below, these determinations give new key insights into the present-day metallicity distribution in the thin disc.

In Section 2 we describe the Gaia-ESO dataset used for our analysis. Recently, Spina et al. (2014a,b) already listed the UVES members of Gamma Velorum and Chamaeleon I, while the way to identify the likely members belonging to the remaining ten clusters is presented in Section 3. The metal content of each cluster is derived in Section 4. In Section 5 we present and discuss the radial metallicity distribution traced by the YOCs and SFRs observed by the Gaia-ESO Survey. Finally, our conclusions are outlined in Section 6.

2. The Gaia-ESO Survey dataset

The metallicity determinations of the 12 young stellar associations analysed in this paper are entirely based on the parameters produced by the analysis performed by the Gaia-ESO consortium on the UVES and Giraffe spectra collected by the Gaia-ESO Survey in the period January 2012-July 2014, and on data retrieved from the ESO Archive. The analysis products from both novel and archival spectra have been released internally to the consortium in the iDR4 catalogue stored at the Wide Field Astronomy Unit at Edinburgh University. In this section, we briefly describe the target selection, the observations, the spectrum analysis, and the available data products of the Gaia-ESO Survey.

2.1. Target selection and observations

The Gaia-ESO Survey observations were performed at the Very Large Telescope using the multi-object optical spectrograph FLAMES (Pasquini et al. 2002) in Medusa feeding mode, allowing the simultaneous allocation of UVES high-resolution (R~47 000) and Giraffe intermediate-resolution (R~17 000) fibres. This system permits the simultaneous allocation of eight fibres feeding the UVES spectrograph plus 132 additional fibres feeding Giraffe.

The UVES observations of SFRs and YOCs were performed with the 580nm setup for F-,G-, and K-type stars, while the 520nm setup was employed for the observations of earlier-type targets (not considered here). The Giraffe spectra of late-type young stars (from F to M-type) were acquired with the HR15N setup. For warmer stars additional setups have been used, but these sources are not considered in this paper. We note that the 580nm and HR15N setups contain the lithium line at 6708 Å that is an important diagnostic of youth for late-type stars.

2.2. Data reduction and analysis

Both the Gaia-ESO Survey and the archival spectra, have been reduced and analysed by the Gaia-ESO consortium in a homogeneous way. UVES data reduction is carried out using the FLAMES-UVES ESO public pipeline (Modigliani et al. 2004). This procedure and the determination of the radial velocities (RVs) from UVES spectra are described in Sacco et al. (2014). A dedicated pipeline has been developed by the Cambridge Astronomical Survey Unit (CASU) to reduce the Giraffe spectra and to derive RVs and rotational velocity values (vsini). Details on this approach are reported in Gilmore et al. (2016, in prep.), but a summary of the Giraffe data reduction is given by Jeffries et al. (2014).

Different Working Groups (WGs) contributed to the spectrum analysis through the accomplishment of distinct duties. Groups WG10, WG11, and WG12 perform the analysis of the spectra of cool (FGKM-type) targets observed in the clusters discussed in this paper. WG10 is dedicated to the analysis of Giraffe spectra of stars in the Milky Way field and in intermediate-age and old cluster fields, WG11 analyses the corrispective UVES spectra observed in the same regions, while WG12 focuses on the analysis of SFRs and YOCs. The detailed analysis procedure performed by these WGs is described in Smiljanic et al. (2014) and Lanzafame et al. (2015). Parameter and abundance homogenisation across WGs is then performed by WG15. The calibration and homogenisation strategies are described in Pancino & et al. (2016) and Hourihan & et al. (in prep.). A brief description of the analysis carried out on all the spectra observed in the fields of YOCs and SFRs is also reported in Spina et al. (2014a).

The recommended parameters produced by this analysis, reported in the GESI4 Final catalogue and used in this work, include the stellar parameters: effective temperatures (T_{eff}), surface gravities (log g), metallicities ([Fe/H]), microturbulent velocities...
The [Fe/H] values have been derived together with the stellar parameters and are based on the Fe I lines. The GESiDR4Final catalogue also includes the equivalent widths (or upper limits) of the lithium line at 6707.8 Å (EW(Li)). In iDR4, EW(Li) measurements are provided by WG12. These values are corrected for the contamination from blending of adjacent lines (see Lanza et al. 2015). The Gaia-ESO Survey also determines the γ index (Damiani et al. 2014), which acts as a gravity indicator for late-type stars (Damiani et al. 2014; Prisinzano et al. 2016).

3. Membership analysis

The Gaia-ESO Survey provides a variety of commonly used spectroscopic tracers (e.g. lithium abundances, radial velocities, surface gravities) that can be used to assess membership to pre-main-sequence clusters, such as SFRs and YOCs (e.g. Spina et al. 2014a,b). For instance, it is well known that the strength of the lithium line at 6708 Å is an extremely reliable indicator of membership for young G and K-type stars, since they have not had time to significantly deplete the element in their atmospheres (e.g. Zapatero Osorio et al. 2002; Jeffries et al. 2003). In fact, all the members of SFRs are expected to have retained their primordial reservoirs of Li, while in YOCs only the M-type stars have partially or completely burned this element. In addition to the log g values, that are very useful to identify background giant contaminants, the Gaia-ESO Survey also derives the γ index for all Giraffe targets observed with HR15N. This index, defined from strongly gravity-sensitive lines by Damiani et al. (2014), is an efficient gravity indicator, allowing a clear separation between the low gravity giants (γ≥1) and the higher gravity main-sequence and pre-main-sequence stars (γ<1) for spectral types later than G (see also Prisinzano et al. 2016). The empirical calibration of the γ index done by Damiani et al. (2014) on the Gamma Velorum cluster members showed that a threshold value γ=1.02 quite clearly separates the M- and K-type pre-main-sequence stars from the field giant contaminants.

In this section we describe the criteria and the procedures adopted to compile a list of reliable members for ten SFRs and YOCs. The membership of Chamaeleon I and Gamma Velorum has been already analysed by Spina et al. (2014a,b). As detailed below, we adopted slightly different criteria of membership depending on the class of the cluster: SFR or YOC. We note that we generally did not use radial velocities: criteria of membership based on the kinematics, even if commonly employed for older clusters where lithium cannot be used, ensure neither the removal of all the contaminants nor the inclusion of all the members, given the complex kinematic structures that these young regions may have (e.g. Jeffries et al. 2014; Sacco et al. 2015; Cottaar et al. 2015; Sacco & et al. 2016).

We emphasise that, since the main goal of the present paper is to determine a robust value of the cluster metallicities, our membership analysis is conservative; in other words, we aim to identify the stars that have the highest probability of being members of the clusters and not to provide a complete list of candidate members. The secure members singled out through our analysis are listed in Table 1, available in electronic form, together with their fundamental parameters and the other quantities (e.g. EW(Li) and γ index) used for their identification.

3.1. Star forming regions (age ≤ 5 Myr)

In this subsection we considered an initial sample of all the stars observed in the SFR fields and with an available Teff determination in the iDR4 catalogue. This catalogue also lists log g values for a fraction of these stars. We used these determinations to identify and reject all the secure giant stars. Namely, we considered as giant contaminants all the stars having log g values that are lower than 3.5 dex, taking into account also the error bars (i.e. log g + σlog g < 3.5 dex). In addition, we used the γ index to identify additional giant stars in these fields: all the stars with Teff<5200 K and γ>1.02 are considered as giant contaminants. As for the rejection based on the log g, we took into account the uncertainties in both Teff and γ.

The equivalent width of the lithium line at 6708 Å is an excellent youth indicator for G and K-type stars and it can be reliably used to identify the members of SFRs from a sample contaminated by field stars. The graphs in Fig. 1 show the EW(Li) of the remaining candidate members plotted as a function of their stellar Teff. Together with the Gaia-ESO data, we overplotted the measurements of the Pleiades (age~125-130 Myr, Stauffer et al. 1998) obtained from the literature (Soderblom et al. 1993; Jones et al. 1996). Typically, Pleiades members have a slightly lower amount of Li in their atmospheres relative to stars younger than 5 Myr. Thus, we used the upper envelope of the Pleiades distribution (black line) to assess the membership of the stars in the SFR samples with Teff ranging from 3800 to 6200 K: all the stars in this Teff range and lying above the Pleiades distribution are very likely younger than ~100 Myr; therefore they have been considered as cluster members. Outside of this range of Teff, the membership based on Li is more uncertain, since lithium depletions have a different dependence on age (e.g. brown dwarfs below 0.065 M⊙ do not burn lithium, while hotter stars take much longer timescales for a significant depletion of the element). For this reason, we discarded all the stars cooler than 3800 K and hotter than 6200 K. Therefore, all the stars lying above the black lines in the plots of Fig. 1 have been flagged as highly probable members of the SFRs.

3.2. Young open clusters (30 ≤ age ≤ 80 Myr)

Here we discuss the membership analysis of the young open clusters IC2391, IC2602, IC4665, NGC2547, and NGC2451 that have ages ranging approximately from 30 to 80 Myr. It is well known that NGC2451 is composed of two clusters located at different distances along the same line of sight: NGC2451A and NGC2451B (Maitzen & Catalano 1986; Röser & Bastian 1994; Platais et al. 1996). The two populations are distinguishable by different radial velocity distributions: based on Gaia-ESO data, Franciosini & et al. (in prep.) found that the distribution of NGC2451A is centred at RV=23.41±0.12 km s⁻¹ with a dispersion σRV=0.45±0.28 km s⁻¹, while that of NGC2451B is at RV=15.22±0.06 km s⁻¹ with a dispersion σRV=0.15±0.10 km s⁻¹. We employed the RV values used by Franciosini et al. and their results to separate the stars observed in the NGC2451AB fields into two samples associated to the two clusters. Namely, all the stars with RV > 17.27 km s⁻¹ are considered as NGC2451A candidate members, while the others could belong to NGC2451B.

Similarly to the SFRs, we initially considered for this analysis all the stars whose UVES and Giraffe spectra have been analysed by the Gaia-ESO consortium and that have Teff determinations. As a first step, we rejected all the possible giant field stars using the same methods adopted for the SFRs based on the log g values and the γ index.

In Fig. 2 we show the EW(Li) at 6708 Å as a function of Teff for all the objects that have not been rejected as contamination.
Fig. 1: Lithium equivalent widths (EWs) as a function of the $T_{\text{eff}}$ for the candidate members of Carina Nebula, NGC2264, NGC6530, and Rho Ophiuchi. The red symbols identify the UVES targets. Most of the Li detections in UVES spectra have uncertainties associated to their EWs smaller than the data points. The Giraffe targets are represented by the blue symbols. The solid line, that in the range between 3800 and 6200 K corresponds to the upper-envelope of the Pleiades distribution (yellow stars), denotes the boundary between the locus of the cluster members (above the line) and contaminants (below the line). The dotted lines delimit the temperature range considered for the membership analysis based on Li. Since all the clusters in Fig. 2 are older than 30 Myr, their cooler members might have significantly depleted the lithium element. This implies that the strength of the lithium line is not a secure indicator of membership for late-type stars (i.e. $\lesssim 4200$ K; e.g., Barrado y Navascués et al. 2004; Jeffries & Oliveira 2005; Manzi et al. 2008). In addition, as discussed above, the membership based on Li is unreliable for stars with spectral types hotter than F. For this reason, our membership analysis for the YOCs is limited only to stars with $T_{\text{eff}}$ ranging from 4200 to 6200 K in order to avoid possible contaminations from field stars.

All the members of the clusters discussed in this section and the parameters used for the membership analysis are listed in Table 1. A subsample of the secure members has been considered for the metallicity determination of each cluster, as detailed in the next section.
Fig. 2: As Fig. 1, but for the YOC candidate members. The clusters with ages ≤50 Myr are compared with literature data from IC2391 (Randich et al. 2001), IC2602 (Randich et al. 1997, 2001), and IC4665 (Martin & Montes 1997; Jeffries et al. 2009a), while the NGC2451A cluster has been compared with data from Alpha Persei (Balachandran et al. 2011) and from NGC2451A itself (Hünsch et al. 2004).

Table 1: Stellar parameters, EWs of the lithium line at 6707.8 Å and γ indexes of the cluster members. Values from the Gaia-ESO Survey iDR4 catalogue.

| CNAME        | RA       | DEC      | Cluster | Grating | T$_{\text{eff}}$ [K] | log g [dex] | [Fe/H] [dex] | $\xi$ [km s$^{-1}$] | EW(Li) [mÅ] | $\gamma$ index |
|--------------|----------|----------|---------|---------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|---------------|
| 06392506+0942515 | 06 39 25.06 | +09 42 51.5 | NGC2264 | HR15N  | 4704 ± 267            | —           | —           | —              | 479.8 ± 11.4 | 0.916 ± 0.011 |
| 06392550+0931394 | 06 39 25.50 | +09 31 39.4 | NGC2264 | HR15N  | 4272 ± 140            | —           | —           | —              | 487.7 ± 7.9  | 0.881 ± 0.005 |

Note: The full version is available at the CDS.
4. Metallicity determinations

The metallicity determinations for the clusters analysed in this paper are performed considering a fraction of the UVES and Giraffe secure members identified as detailed in Section 3. Specifically, we only considered stars in the 4200–6200 K temperature range, the [Fe/H] values of which should be highly reliable. This further selection is necessary mainly because of the intrinsic difficulties in the spectral analysis of cooler stars and because of the uncertainties in the membership of the hotter targets. By doing so we ensure a homogeneity in the metallicity determination of all the clusters, also because the choice of this range can be the same for both SFRs and YOCs.

The metallicity distributions of the SFRs and YOCs are plotted in Figs. 3 and 4, respectively. The metallicity determinations of pre-main-sequence stars could be affected by several issues, such as high stellar rotation or strong emission lines, that can complicate the spectral analysis. Due to the young age of these clusters, it is also possible that some of these stars have been slightly enriched in metals by a recent episode of planetary engulfment (see Laughlin & Adams 1997; Spina et al. 2015). Furthermore, a fraction of the members observed by the Survey may be components of binary systems and their spectra could be contaminated by a secondary star. All these aspects may explain the presence in Figs. 3 and 4 of some stars with [Fe/H] values that are discrepant (>0.10 dex) relative to the peak metallicity distributions. For this reason, in order to reduce the impact of these outliers on our analysis, hereafter we adopt as cluster metallicities the median of the [Fe/H] values of the total distribution that includes both the UVES and Giraffe targets (i.e. [Fe/H]_{U} in the last column in Table 2). Similarly, the uncertainty associated to the [Fe/H] values is the standard error of the median. The results are listed in Table 2 together with other parameters (i.e. age, distance from the Sun, Galactocentric radius) that characterise each cluster. We noted that the median metallicity values obtained through the UVES and Giraffe targets separately are consistent within the uncertainties, as shown in Table 2. In the last columns we reported in brackets the final number of stars for each cluster and each spectrograph that we used for the metallicity determinations.

The stars observed in the Carina and NGC2264 fields are part of different sub-clusters: Trumpler 14 and Trumpler 16 in Carina (Hur et al. 2012) and S MON and CONE in NGC2264 (Sung et al. 2009). Based on the spatial distribution of these targets, we explored the possibility that these clusters within the same regions have dissimilar metallicities. However, we did not find any significant difference or spatial heterogeneities in their metal contents.

The first set of data internally released by the Gaia-ESO Survey included parameters for the stars observed in Chamaeleon I (age~3 Myr) and Gamma Velorum (age~10-20 Myr). This dataset has been employed by Spina et al. (2014a,b) to identify the members of these associations and to determine the mean cluster metallicities. We derived the median metallicities of the two regions using iron abundances recommended in iDR4 for the members with T_{eff}>4200 K already identified in our previous papers. The atmospheric parameters of these stars are reported in Table 3. The new median metallicity found for Chamaeleon I is –0.07±0.04 dex, while for Gamma Velorum it is –0.03±0.02 dex. These values are consistent with those provided by Spina et al. (2014a,b): –0.08±0.04 and –0.057±0.018 dex for Chamaeleon I and Gamma Velorum, respectively. The median metallicities of these two clusters are reported in Table 4 together with the cluster ages and Galactocentric radii.
In the next Section we will compare the metal content of SFRs and YOCs with the metallicities of all the older clusters (1000 ≤ age ≤ 3.0 Gyr) observed by the Gaia-ESO Survey and analysed in iDR4. All the iDR4 atmospheric parameters of the members of these intermediate age clusters have been published by Jacobson et al. (2016). We used the [Fe/H] values listed in that paper to calculate their median metallicities. These values are also reported in Table 4.

5. Discussion

In Fig. 5 we show the radial distribution of our sample targets along with Chamaeleon I and the Gamma Vel clusters, as well as the older clusters from the Gaia-ESO Survey iDR4 presented by Jacobson et al. (2016). All the clusters shown in the plot are on the same metallicity scale, since homogenisation of metallicities has been performed by Gaia-ESO (Pancino & et al. 2016; Houarihane & et al. in prep.). The figure shows two main features. First, although some dispersion is present at the solar radius, the five star forming regions and the seven young open clusters (age ≤ 100 Myr) have very similar average metallicities [Fe/H]SFR = −0.056 ± 0.018 and [Fe/H]YOC = −0.020 ± 0.016. In particular, none of these associations appear to be metal rich. This result confirms and extends, both to a larger number of clusters (and cluster members) and to larger distances from the Sun, the early findings by Bizio et al. (2011a) and Spina et al. (2014b). Both studies noted that, while open clusters in the solar vicinity (≤500 pc) cover a large range in metallicity values (i.e. −0.2 ≤ [Fe/H] ≤ 0.3 dex), the youngest stars (≤100 Myr) within that volume are restricted to the lowest metallicities (i.e. −0.2 ≤ [Fe/H] ≤ 0.0 dex). In particular, Spina et al. (2014b) hypothesised that the low-metallicity nature of the SFRs in the solar neighbourhood may reflect the composition of the giant molecular cloud complex that gave birth to all these associations in a common and wide spread star formation episode. In fact, most of the nearby and young associations are likely to be part of a complex of stars called the Gould Belt (Poppel 1997; de Zeeuw et al. 1999; Elias et al. 2009), thus they may share the same origin (but see Bou & Alves 2015 for a different interpretation of this structure). However, the present sample includes three star forming regions at larger distances than the Sun and with no relationship with the Gould Belt. Figure 5 indicates that these three regions, in particular the two innermost ones, have [Fe/H] content 0.10–0.15 dex lower than the locus of most of the older clusters at similar Galactocentric distances. This suggests that the lack of metal-rich young clusters may be related to a more general process working on a Galactic scale.

The second feature regards the evolution of the radial metallicity gradient over time. Whilst the old cluster metallicity distribution clearly shows the presence of a negative gradient of −0.10±0.02 dex kpc−1 between ~5.5 and 8 kpc, as discussed by Jacobson et al. (2016), the distribution of the younger objects suggests a much shallower gradient: we derive slopes equal to −0.010 ± 0.006 and −0.011 ± 0.012 dex kpc−1 for the SFRs and all the associations younger than 100 Myr (i.e. SFRs + YOCs), respectively, through a linear fit based on the orthogonal distance regression method and taking into account the error bars on both scales.

1 We note, however, the position of the 500 Myr old NGC4815, the metallicity of which is lower than that of the other intermediate age clusters and more in agreement with the younger clusters. As mentioned by Jacobson et al., this is the youngest among the intermediate-age clusters at that Galactocentric distance. Hence, its lower [Fe/H] may also support an inverse age-metallicity relationship (see also Section 5.2).
the axes. In other words, comparison with the old clusters analysed by Jacobson et al. suggests a flattening of the gradient at very young ages.

The results on the evolution of the Galactic metallicity distribution is still based on a small number of metallicity determinations and limited to clusters covering a range of ~2 kpc in $R_{gal}$ around the Solar location, thus they cannot be taken as conclusive. However, it is in agreement with and, crucially, extends to younger ages, previous estimates of the evolution of the radial metallicity gradient based on the study of open clusters (Carrao et al. 1998; Friel et al. 2002; Magrini et al. 2009; Andreuzzi et al. 2011; Cunha et al. 2016). On the other hand, this result is at variance with other studies based on observations of field stars (e.g. Nordström et al. 2004; Casagrande et al. 2011; Anders et al. 2016). As mentioned already, however, the main difficulty of using field stars to trace the chemical pattern of the Galaxy and its evolution over time is that their ages and distances are not as well constrained as for open clusters, and that field star samples are normally older than 1 Gyr, thus not allowing the study of the latest phases of disc evolution. Whilst the Gaia mission (Perryman et al. 2001; Gaia Collaboration 2016) and asteroseismology are allowing and will continue to allow a big step forward in the determination of the distances and ages of field stars, the second problem still remains a major and intrinsic limitation.

5.1. Comparison with literature results and the metallicity distribution at young ages from other tracers

In a recent paper Netopil et al. (2016) investigated the metallicity distribution of a sample of 172 clusters, using a homogenised compilation from the literature. They suggested that young clusters (defined in their paper as those younger than 500 Myr) may be characterized by lower metallicities than the older ones, at least in the region between 7 and 9 kpc from the Galactic centre; at the same time, they confirmed the presence of a negative gradient for these clusters. We mention, however, that their sample did not include any clusters younger than ~100 Myr located in the inner Galaxy.

A negative gradient (i.e. $-0.060\pm0.002$ dex kpc$^{-1}$) is also found by Genovali et al. (2014) from Cepheids with ages ranging between 20 and 400 Myr and covering a region between 4 and 19 kpc from the Galactic centre. Similar metallicity distributions have been found by other recent investigations based on Cepheids, such as Andrievsky et al. (2016, 2004); Luck et al. (2011); Pedicelli et al. (2009); Lemasse et al. (2008). The slopes found by these authors (i.e. $-0.06 \leq \frac{\delta\left[\text{Fe/H}\right]}{\delta R_{gal}} \leq -0.05$ dex kpc$^{-1}$) are shallower than that found by Jacobson et al. for older clusters (i.e. $-0.10\pm0.02$ dex kpc$^{-1}$), but still not consistent with the values that we obtained for the young associations (i.e. $-0.011\pm0.012$ dex kpc$^{-1}$). However, it should be noted that an important parameter is the range in Galactocentric distances that they cover. For instance, the Cepheids analysed by Genovali et al. cover a range of Galactocentric radii (typically from 5 to 15 kpc) that is significantly larger than that of the young associations considered in this work, therefore a strict comparison between the slopes obtained through the two tracers is not entirely appropriate. Interestingly, when considering only the Cepheids lying between 6 and 9 kpc from the Galactic centre, as in Fig. 6, we observe that their metallicity distribution is in good agreement with the slope found for the young associations. We also note that the SFRs and YOCs are located close to the lower envelope in iron abundance could be the consequence of different choices in the methods of analysis, tools (linelist, atmospheric models),
Fig. 5: Radial metallicity distribution of all the clusters included in the iDR4 catalogue. Their Galactocentric radii and metallicities are reported in Tables 2 and 4. Red circles indicate star forming regions, blue circles denote young clusters in the age range between 10 and 100 Myr, while intermediate age clusters are shown as black symbols.

and abundance zeropoints. However, this difference could also be a real effect related to the age, since the variable stars are, in average, older than the young associations considered here.

Interestingly, the youngest populations in the inner part of the Galaxy, including O and B-type stars (Daflon & Cunha 2004; Nieva & Simón-Díaz 2011; Nieva & Przybilla 2012), red supergiants in clusters and in the Galactic centre (Davies et al. 2009b,a; Martins et al. 2008; Najarro et al. 2009; Origlia et al. 2013, 2016), and H ii regions (Rudolph et al. 2006; Esteban et al. 2014, 2015), show flatter distributions and close-to-solar metallicities even at small Galactocentric distances. Our results confirm these findings based on later type stars in open clusters, the metallicities of which are in principle easier to constrain than for hot stars or H ii regions. In Fig. 7 we plot the distribution of metallicity as a function of Galactocentric distance for the merged sample of different very young tracers, including hot stars, red supergiants, H ii regions, and the SFRs in our sample. The datapoints show some scatter, due to the different methods and different abundance scales. However, all tracers consistently show that (i) the inner parts of the Milky Way disc have not undergone a global metal enrichment, but, rather, the innermost young objects appear to share the same metallicity as the others with $R_{gal} \gtrsim 6$ kpc; (ii) there is no evidence for any negative metallicity gradient between about 3 and 9 kpc since the distribution in that region is consistent with being flat. This is at variance with HII regions and planetary nebulae observed in nearby spiral galaxies (e.g. Magrini et al. 2016, and references therein) for which a higher metallicity is observed in the younger populations, but might be similar to what is observed in massive spirals in the CALIFA sample for which, in the inner regions, the metallicity does not increase monotonically but has an inversion assuming a lower value for the youngest stellar populations (S. Sanchez, private communication).

5.2. Comparison with the models

Several chemical and chemo-dynamical evolutionary models have been developed in the past decade making different predictions on the metallicity distribution at different Galactocentric radii, on the slope of the metallicity gradient in the Solar vicinity, and on the evolution of this distribution with age. Indeed, depending on the assumptions about infall and star formation efficiency, and considering or not a gas density threshold to allow star formation, some of the models predict a flattening of the gra-
Fig. 7: Comparison between the metallicity values obtained for the SFRs by our analysis (in red) and other very young tracers of the present-day radial metallicity gradient: O and B-type stars (black symbols), red supergiants (RSGs; blue and green symbols), and HII regions (yellow symbols). For the RSGs and SFRs we assumed the [Fe/H] values as metallicity determinations, while for the O and B-type stars and HII regions we adopted the log(O)\[+\]12 scaled by 8.66 dex, the mean solar abundance of oxygen taken from Grevesse et al. (2007).

Fig. 8: Radial metallicity distribution of regions younger and older than 100 Myr compared with the model of Minchev et al. (2014) for the age interval between 0 and 2 Gyr including radial migration (blue line).

for the youngest population and conversely it is much more important for the oldest and hottest stellar populations. As already pointed by Jacobson et al. (2016), both an offset and slope problems are present when comparing our results with the observations: the model predicts slightly higher abundances and a flatter slope than what is observed. For instance, at the solar Galactocentric distance, where several cluster metallicity measurements are available, there are no clusters with [Fe/H] = 0.10 dex as expected by the model, but they all have metallicities ranging from −0.1 to 0.0 dex.

In Fig. 9, we show the metallicities of the clusters as a function of their age, and again we compare with the results of the model. The data are divided into three panels according to the Galactocentric distance bin: $R_{\text{Gal}} < 6.5$ kpc, $6.5$ kpc $< R_{\text{Gal}} < 7.5$ kpc, and $R_{\text{Gal}} > 7.5$ kpc. We note that the model does not extend to ages below 60 Myr. However, we might expect a continuous behaviour for the model curves and a constant flat trend from 100 Myr to the present time, starting from the metallicity reached at 100 Myr. While for the oldest populations the model and the observations might be reconciled with a rescaling of the slope and with an offset in metallicity, for the youngest populations the model curve and the observations are hardly compatible. There is no possibility to explain in the framework of the model the lower metallicity of the youngest population with respect to the oldest one. Clearly, radial migration is not the reason why very young clusters in the inner Galaxy have metallicities below those of older ones, as claimed by Anders et al. (2016). The distribution of metallicity of low-mass stars in very young clusters, along with that of other tracers of the present-day metallicity, instead implies a decrease of the metal content in the inner part of the thin disc in the last few hundreds of Myr; this is likely due to a significantly more complex combination of star formation, accretion history and inflows, radial gas flows, supernova feedback, and other factors. In particular, very recent accretion events may have had a role in shaping the latest evolution of the inner disc. Most obviously these results could be used as additional constraints within existing modelings.

6. Summary and conclusions

In this paper we employed the analysis products internally released to the Gaia-ESO consortium in the iDR4 catalogue to identify a sample of secure members of ten SFRs and YOCs targeted by the Survey. Members of an additional SFR
G. K-type cluster members; (ii) the [Fe/H] determinations are the result of a homogeneous analysis that allows a meaningful comparison of different populations on the same scale; (iii) for the first time we determined the metal content of three distant SFRs (distance from the Sun > 500 pc), two of which are located in the inner part of the disc.

Our main findings can be summarised as follows:

- all the YOCs and SFRs analysed in this paper have close-to-solar or slightly sub-solar metallicities. Strikingly, none of them appear to be metal rich. Since our sample of SFRs spans different Galactocentric radii, from ~6.5 kpc to 8.70 kpc, the obvious implication is that the low metal content that characterises these associations is not a peculiarity of the local ISM, as has been previously hypothesised by Spina et al. (2014b); on the contrary, it may be the result of a process of chemical evolution that involved a wide area of the Galactic disc and that influenced the chemical content of the youngest stars regardless of their position within the disc.

- The comparison with older clusters (Fig. 5) suggests that the innermost SFRs at \( R_{\text{Gal}} \sim 7 \) kpc have [Fe/H] values that are 0.10-0.15 dex lower than the majority of intermediate-age clusters located at similar Galactocentric radii. In addition, while the older clusters clearly trace a negative gradient (i.e. \(-0.10 \pm 0.02 \) dex kpc\(^{-1}\)), the distribution of the youngest objects seems much flatter: \(-0.010 \pm 0.006 \) and \(-0.011 \pm 0.012 \) dex kpc\(^{-1}\) considering SFRs only and all clusters younger than 100 Myr, respectively. Therefore, this comparison between the older and younger stars suggests that the Galactic thin disc experienced further evolution in the last Gyr and a flattening of its metallicity gradient at very young age. However, since these results are based on a small number of young clusters and associations located within 6 and 9 kpc from the Galactic centre, additional metallicity determinations for distant SFRs and YOCs are required to corroborate (or rule out) this scenario.

- The Cepheids are excellent distance indicators whose ages range between 20 and 400 Myr. The metallicity gradient determined through these variable stars lying between 5 and 15 Gyr from the Galactic centre (e.g. \(-0.060 \pm 0.002 \) dex kpc\(^{-1}\); Genovali et al. 2014) is shallower than that obtained through old and intermediate-age clusters, but still steeper than that found from our sample of young associations. However, as shown in Fig. 6, if we consider only the Cepheids located over the same range of Galactocentric distances as the SFRs (i.e. \( 6 \leq R_{\text{Gal}} \leq 9 \) kpc), we observe that their metallicity distribution is in good agreement with the slope found for the young associations. Many observational studies that used different young populations (e.g. O and B-type stars, red supergiants, HII regions) as metallicity tracers in the inner part of the Galaxy are in agreement with our results (Fig. 7). The flattening of the metallicity gradient is also predicted by some of the models of the chemical evolution of galaxies. On the other hand, other chemo-dynamical models are not able to reproduce the observed feature of the present-day metallicity distribution (see Figs. 8 and 9). To our knowledge, current models do not predict a decrease of the average metallicity in the inner part of the disc, with young clusters being generally more metal-poor than older ones.

The chemical composition of the youngest associations in our Galaxy are likely to be the result of a balance of different processes, such as star formation activity and gas flows, that resulted in the chemical evolution of the Milky Way. For this

Fig. 9: [Fe/H] variation with age at different Galactic radii. The clusters observed by the Gaia-ESO Survey and the model of Minchev et al. (2014) are represented. The colour code is the same as Fig. 8.

(Chamaeleon I) and a YOC (Gamma Velorum) were previously identified by Spina et al. (2014a,b) using previous releases of the Gaia-ESO catalogues. We homogeneously used the [Fe/H] values listed in the iDR4 to determine the median metallicity for each cluster. The main key advantages of the present study are that (i) the median metallicities are based on large samples of
