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Abstract

Recently, the functionalization of organic molecules with fluorine substituents has grown rapidly due to its applications in such fields as medicine, agriculture or materials sciences. The aim of this article is to review the importance of 1,1-difluorocyclopropane derivatives in synthesis. It will examine the role of the fluorine substituents in both ring-forming and ring-opening reactions, as well as methods for obtaining difluorocyclopropanes as single enantiomers. Several examples are provided to highlight the biological importance of this class of compounds.

Introduction

The chemistry of cyclopropane derivatives is one of the most intensively developing fields of organic chemistry. In the past decade there have been made many investigations to develop new chemo-, regio- and stereoselective methods for the synthesis and transformations of cyclopropane derivatives. These investigations gained a significant interest, because cyclopropane and cyclopropene fragments are present in the structures of many biologically active substances, such as antibiotics, anticancer, and antymycotic preparations, controllers of plant growth and fruit ripening, and insecticides. Geminal dihalocyclopropanes, especially the fluoro derivatives, form an important class of organic compounds, which have the ability to participate in synthetically useful reactions due to the presence of both, ring strain and of the gem-dihalomethylene fragment. Thus, they are of interest not only for the direct application as biologically active substances and functional materials but also as precursors to other fluorine-containing compounds [1,2]. Fluorine forms stable bonds to carbon and due to its high electronegativity it can profoundly modify the physicochemical properties of the parent molecules. In biologically active materials fluorine substituents can affect the charge distribution, electrostatic surface, and solubility of chemical entities, thus often leading to useful outcomes. Incorporating a fluorine group into natural compounds has been widely accepted as a powerful tool
for discovering new drugs and agrochemicals. The number of medicinal preparations containing at least one fluoride atom in the structure is now very high [3-5].

In this review we give an overview of the chemistry of 1,1-difluorocyclopropanes. First, we discuss the synthetic routes to gem-fluorocyclopropane derivatives. Then, we review the chemical transformations, emphasizing ring-opening reactions. Finally, we survey the biological activity of significant molecules that possess the 1,1-difluorocyclopropane fragment in the structure. A number of previous reviews dealing with the synthesis and applications of difluorocyclopropanes are available [2,6-8]. Here we will focus on selected synthetically and biologically useful examples.

**Review**

1 Synthesis of 1,1-difluorocyclopropanes

An early work on the synthesis and reactivity of fluorinated cyclopropanes was described by Atkinson in 1952 [9], followed by Tarrant [10], and Misani [11]. Tarrant, Lovelace and Lilyquist synthesized 1,1-difluoro-2,3-dimethylcyclopropane (2) by a reductive debromination using zinc metal (Scheme 1) [10].

![Scheme 1: Synthesis of 1,1-difluoro-2,3-dimethylcyclopropane (2).](image)

After 1960 further methods of generating difluorocarbenes became available. These methods contributed to the synthesis of a wide variety of fluorinated cyclopropanes. In 2003, two reviews by Dolbier [7] and Fedorynski [8] were published on the methods of synthesis and use of difluorocyclopropanes in organic synthesis. They discussed in detail the various approaches for the synthesis of difluorocyclopropanes, so in this review we will supplement this information by methods for the synthesis of difluorocyclopropanes, paying particular attention to the practical methods of synthesis and transformation.

Three main approaches to the preparation of difluorocyclopropane and its derivatives can be distinguished: carbene and non-carbene methods of cyclopropanation along with functional group transformations of existing cyclopropanes.

The most popular route to prepare fluorocyclopropanes is to generate fluorine-containing carbenes (or carbenoids), which then react with multiple bonds, resulting in cyclopropanation.

One of the important properties of fluorine-containing carbenes and carbenoids is their electrophilicity, which is a result of the high electronegativity of fluorine. Also, fluorine has an +M effect which tends to reduce the reactivity of the carbenes. The carbene-based methods typically give the highest yields when alkenes with electron-donating substituents are used. There are few examples in which the cyclopropanation by carbene methods of electron-deficient alkenes containing substituents with a large −M effect (for example, CO₂R, COR, CN, SO₂R) were successful. Therefore, alternative methods such as intramolecular cyclizations, exchange fluorination, and transformation of functional groups in fluorinated rings have been developed in order to provide access to fluorinated cyclopropanes with electron-withdrawing substituents.

1.1 Difluorocarbene methods with non-metal sources

Difluorocarbene chemistry was first reported by Doering in 1954 [12]. The lone electron pairs on the fluorine substituents interact with the carbene center, making the structure stabilized [13]. Difluorocyclopropanes 4 were synthesized from the reaction of halodifluoromethanes and alkenes (Scheme 2). The elimination of hydrogen halide from the halodifluoromethane under basic conditions (metal alkoxide or alkyllithium) generated difluorocarbene [14,15]. The low yields of the product have been attributed to the facile addition of the strong bases to difluorocarbene. The yields were best in the reactions with electron-rich alkenes and when a low concentration of the base was used to minimize the destruction of difluorocarbene. The use of oxirane or epichlorohydrin as hydrogen halide scavengers avoided the need for a stoichiometric amount of the strong base [16,17]. The opening of the oxirane ring by bromide ions under homogeneous conditions generated a bromoalkoxide ion which then acted as the base, leading to cyclopropanes 4 and 6 (Scheme 2). However, the harsh conditions needed (high temperatures, autoclave) limited the approach.

![Scheme 2: Cyclopropanation via dehydrohalogenation of chlorodifluoromethane.](image)
In the case of electron-rich alkenes dibromodifluoromethane is a suitable source of difluorocarbene. However, the same reagent produces low yields in the reactions with electron-deficient alkenes. Dolbier et al. reported the cyclopropanation of $\alpha$-methylstyrene (7) using dibromodifluoromethane and zinc dust in the presence of iodine (Scheme 3) [18].

The reduction of dibromodifluoromethane was also used for the approach of Burton and Naae (Scheme 4), which is again suitable for electron-rich alkenes [19]. Dibromodifluoromethane reacted with triphenylphosphine to give a phosphonium salt, which then decomposed to difluorocarbene. The yields from this method were increased when potassium fluoride and 18-crown-6 were added to the reaction mixture [20].

Dehydrohalogenation of dichlorodifluoromethane under phase-transfer catalysis: Difluorocarbene can be generated from chlorodifluoromethane by phase-transfer catalysis (PTC) through the reaction with NaOH or KOH, or a solid base, using a tetraalkylammonium salt as the catalyst. However, the resulting difluorocarbene is ineffective for the cyclopropanation of alkenes. This is because the intermediate chlorodifluoromethyl anion is very short-lived and does not move from the interfacial region to the bulk organic phase, making hydrolysis the dominant reaction pathway. However, the reaction of chlorodifluoromethane with concentrated KOH in dioxane in the presence of tetraphenylarsonium chloride as the catalyst, provided low yields (<30%) of the cyclopropanation products [9]. Therefore, another modified method was developed, especially as this method was limited to nucleophilic alkenes.

It is possible to obtain difluorocarbene from the reaction of bromoform (or methylene bromide) with dibromodifluoromethane. Here, bromoform (or methylene bromide) is deprotonated, resulting in the formation of tribromo- or dibromomethyl carbanions. The so-obtained carbanions form lipophilic ion pairs with the catalyst cation and move into the organic phase, where they react with dibromodifluoromethane. Consequently, carbon tetrabromide (or bromoform) and the ion pair $\text{CBrF}_2^- \cdot \text{Bu}_4^+$ are formed. The ion pair decomposes into TBAB and difluorocarbene, which then can react with alkenes producing the gem-difluorocyclopropane derivatives such as 4 (Scheme 5) [21].

Chlorodifluoromethane as a source of difluorocarbene in the reaction: The advantage of using of tetraarylarsonium salts as effective phase-transfer catalysts for the two-phase reaction of chlorodifluoromethane (freon 22, 11) with $\alpha$-methylstyrene (7) was demonstrated by Barbasiewicz [22] (Scheme 6). The reaction proceeded at room temperature for 4 h with the formation of the cyclopropane derivative 8.

Chloro- and bromodifluoroacetate salts as difluorocarbene sources: The sodium salt of chlorodifluoroacetic acid
Scheme 6: The reaction of methylstyrene 7 with chlorodifluoromethane (11) in the presence of a tetraarylarsonium catalyst. (ClCF₂COONa, 12) is one of the most commonly used reagents for the difluorocyclopropanation. The first published method for the generation of gem-difluorocyclopropanes comprised the addition of sodium chlorodifluoroacetate (12) to the disubstituted alkene 13 in refluxing diglyme or triglyme at 190 °C (Scheme 7) [23].

Scheme 7: Pyrolysis of sodium chlorodifluoroacetate (12) in refluxing diglyme in the presence of alkene 13.

The method has been widely used for the difluorocyclopropanation of allylic alcohol derivatives [24], steroids [25], and N-Boc-protected enamides [26]. Boron-substituted difluorocyclopropanes 16 can be also obtained from 12. Fujikawa and Amii [27] prepared the versatile building blocks 16 by the reaction of 12 with alkenyl boronates 15 (Scheme 8).

Scheme 8: Synthesis of boron-substituted gem-difluorocyclopropanes 16.

Although this method is one of the most popular and reliable ones, it does have some drawbacks, particularly the high temperatures that are required (180–190 °C). Another disadvantage is the use of excess amounts of ClCF₂COONa (12). Thus, the reaction of 2,2-difluorostyrenes and 12 in diglyme at 180 °C gave 1-aryl-2,2,3,3-tetrafluorocyclopropane as a primary product. After prolonged reaction under these conditions, 1,1,2,2-tetrafluoroindanes were the only products isolated [28]. In addition, it is hard to work with sodium chlorodifluoroacetate, as it is highly hygroscopic and deliquescent [29]. Hence, in order to avoid these issues, sodium bromodifluoroacetate (17) may be used (Scheme 9).

Scheme 9: Addition of sodium bromodifluoroacetate (17) to alkenes.

Amii and co-workers compared the efficiency of the two reagents, ClCF₂COONa (12) and BrCF₂COONa (17), in the difluorocyclopropanation of 1,1-diphenylethenes (18) [29] and the results are summarized in Table 1. They showed that it was easier and more efficient to work with sodium bromodifluoroacetate (17). The application of the same conditions resulted in almost 100% yield, when using 17. The major advantages of 17 over 12 are that the bromo derivative 17 is stable at room temperature and requires a lower temperature than 12 to decompose to difluorocarbene.

Table 1: Comparison of halodifluoroacetates 12 and 17 in the difluorocyclopropanation of 1,1-diphenylethenes (18).

By the use of BrCF₂COONa in diglyme at 150 °C, various alkyl- and aryl-substituted alkenes, allyl alcohol esters, α,β-unsaturated esters, and alkanyl (pinacol) boranes 16 were transformed into the corresponding difluorocyclopropanes in 93–99% yields. Highly sensitive substrates such as trimethylsilylenol ethers 20 can also be used in this method in order to prepare the difluorocyclopropanes 21 with good yields (Scheme 10) [29,30].

In addition, another modification was made in order to increase the speed of the reaction of sodium halodifluoroacetates and alkenes. This was achieved by the use of microwave irradiation.
in THF solution, which allowed the reactions to be completed within 5 minutes [31].

An application of this method to targets of biological interest was provided by Csuk and Eversmann [32] who performed the synthesis of difluorinated nucleosides (Scheme 11). The difluorocyclopropane derivative 14 was prepared using sodium chlorodifluoroacetate (12) as a source of the carbene (Scheme 11). The subsequent deacetylation of 14 resulted in the formation of alcohol 22, which was then reacted with nucleoside analogs via a Mitsunobu reaction to generate the racemic difluorinated carbocyclic homonucleoside analogs 23 and 24 in good yields.

(Triphenylphosphonio)difluoroacetate (PDFA, Ph$_3$P$^+$CF$_2$CO$_2^-$) as a difluorocarbene source: PDFA is available from the reaction of triphenylphosphine with halodifluoroacetate salts such as BrCF$_2$CO$_2$K. It exists as a free-flowing white solid that is not sensitive to air or moisture [33]. Upon heating to 80 ℃ in N-methylpyrrolidone, the compound decarboxylates and acts as a source of the ylide Ph$_3$P$^+$CF$_2^-$, which was used for the Wittig olefination of aldehydes and ketones. However, heating PDFA in nonpolar solvents (e.g., xylene at 90 ℃) favors the dissociation of the ylide to release difluorocarbene which is able to effect the cyclopropanation of alkenes [34].

Trimethylsilyl fluorosulfonyldifluoroacetate (TFDA) as a difluorocarbene source: Highly efficient methods for the difluorocyclopropanation of both electron-rich and electron-deficient alkenes using FSO$_2$CF$_2$COOSiMe$_3$ (TFDA, 25) as a source of difluorocarbene were described by the Dolbier group in 2000 [13,35]. The difluorocarbene generated by this method was able to add at moderate temperatures to unreactive alkenes such as butyl acrylate (26) (Scheme 12). Fluoride ions can initiate a chain process, whereby TFDA undergoes desilylation which is followed by a subsequent decarboxylation, and loss of SO$_2$ to form difluorocarbene :CF$_2$ and F$: NaF was found to be superior to both CsF and KF as an initiator.

Difluorocarbene generated from TFDA (25) also readily reacted with propargyl esters 27 at the triple bond (Scheme 13). The
difluorocyclopropenes 28 were further converted into the difluorocyclopropyl ketones 29 by alkaline hydrolysis and isomerization [36].

Several nitrogen nucleophiles have been evaluated as catalysts to promote the difluorocarbene formation from TFDA in order to bring about the cyclopropanation of a 2-siloxybuta-1,3-diene derivative; 1,8-bis(dimethylamino)naphthalene (proton sponge) was found to be particularly effective [37].

Methyl 2,2-difluorosulfonyldifluoroacetate as a source of difluorocarbene: Eusterwienmann et al. devised a method for the generation of difluorocyclopropanes using methyl 2,2-difluoro-2-(fluorosulfonyl)acetate (MDFA, 30) as a source of difluorocarbene (Scheme 14) [38]. The difluorocyclopropanation of α-methylstyrene (7) by MDFA gave the corresponding difluorocyclopropane 8 in 82% NMR yield.

The conditions used with MDFA were similar to those for TFDA. Minimal amounts of solvent were applied, keeping the concentrations high. The fluoride trap TMSCl which is both corrosive and volatile, could be replaced by hexamethyldisiloxane (HMDSO), however, then, the reaction required a longer time to complete. When HMDSO was used in the cyclopropanation of 7 the yield of 8 was decreased to 73% [38]. TFDA (25) and MDFA (30) have comparable reactivity; however, 30 is a better choice of difluorocarbene source in terms of safety, cost, preparation, and ease of storage.

The generation of difluorocarbene from trimethyl(trifluoromethyl) silane: One more modified method, which also increases the rate of the reaction, is the generation of difluorocarbene from TMSCF₃ (31), which is also known as the Ruppert–Prakash reagent [39]. The advantages of this reagent are its safety, low cost, and commercial availability. The reagent is compatible with a range of functionalized substrates for the gem-difluorocyclopropanation when using NaI as an initiator (Table 2). Both, electron-rich and comparatively electron-poor examples have been described. Flow reaction conditions were also applied to this reaction (Scheme 15). The reagents were premixed in THF at room temperature and injected into a heated reactor fitted with a back pressure regulator to allow operation at temperatures that exceeded the boiling point of the solvent. In this flow chemistry setup there was an opportunity to control the temperature, pressure, and to make the heat transfer more efficient [40]. The separate injection of a solution of the carbene precursor and of the alkene premixed with the activator did not lead to any improvement. Rullière et al. also tested this method on simple alkenes, electron-rich styrenes, and styrenes with electron-withdrawing substituents in the structure [40]. The yields of the gem-difluorocyclopropanes from the styrene derivatives were almost all excellent. On the other hand, simple alkenes gave lower yields.
| entry | substrate | reagents and conditions | compound (yield) | reference |
|-------|-----------|------------------------|------------------|-----------|
| 1     | ![Structure](R.png) | TMSCF₃ (31) NaI THF 55 °C, 20 h | ![Structure](34.png) (53–93%) | [41] |
| 2     | ![Structure](O.png) | TMSCF₃ (31, 2.5 equiv) NaI (0.5 equiv) THF 65 °C , 12 h | ![Structure](35.png) (86%) | [42] |
| 3     | ![Structure](Br.png) | TMSCF₃ (31, 3 equiv) NaI (0.3 equiv) THF 65 °C , 17 h | ![Structure](36.png) (78%) | [43] |
| 4     | ![Structure](Boc.png) | TMSCF₃ (31, 5 equiv) NaI (0.4 equiv) THF 65 °C, 30 min | ![Structure](37.png) (70%) | [44] |
| 5     | ![Structure](C₃H₇.png) | TMSCF₃ (31, 5 equiv) NaI (0.2 equiv) THF 60 °C, 5 h | ![Structure](38a.png) (a:b ratio, 5:1) | [45] |
| 6     | ![Structure](Boc.png) | 1) TMSCF₃ (31, 2.5 equiv), NaI (0.5 equiv) THF, 65 °C, 4 h 2) MeOH, HCl, 0 °C | ![Structure](39.png) (64%) | [46] |
| 7     | ![Structure](SET.png) | TMSCF₃ (31, 5 equiv) NaI (0.2 equiv) THF 60 °C, 2 h sealed tube | ![Structure](40.png) (83%) | [47] |

The synthesis of gem-difluorocyclopropanes using TMSCF₃ (31) as the carbene source in combination with sodium iodide as initiator.

The difluorocyclopropagation of protected cyclohexenone yielded cyclopropane 35 [42]. Difluorocyclopropane 36 was formed in high yield from the α-bromopyridine-substituted N-Boc-3,4-dehydropiperidine. When the same reaction was attempted on the bromine-free analog, the yield was only 22% [43].
tion of an alkenyl trifluoroborate using the TMSCF$_3$–NaI system afforded the boronate derivative 37 [44]. The reagent was also used for the synthesis of organic spiro compounds, containing selectively fluorinated cyclopropanes 38a,b [45] for the preparation of 6,6-difluoro-3-azabicyclo[3.1.0]hexane (39) (on a 10 g scale) [46], and of the epothilone B analog 40 [47] (Table 2).

The reagents (chlorodifluoromethyl)trimethylsilane (ClCF$_2$SiMe$_3$ [48]) and (bromodifluoromethyl)trimethylsilane (ClCF$_2$SiMe$_3$ [49]) have both been used for the difluorocyclopropanation and gave good yields in reactions with electron-rich alkenes. The formation of difluorocarbene was effected by heating the precursors in the presence of catalytic amounts of halide sources (e.g., tetramethylammonium chloride or tetrabutylammonium bromide). Compared with the difluoromethylation protocols using TFDA (25), MDFA (30), or TMSCF$_3$ (31), the application of BrCF$_2$SiMe$_3$ has been claimed to be safer and more convenient for large-scale application because of the avoidance of gaseous byproducts [49]. Other mild sources of difluorocarbene include trifluoro(trifluoromethyl)silane (CF$_3$SiF$_3$ [50]) and difluorotris(trifluoromethyl)phosphorane ((CF$_3$)$_3$PF$_2$ [51]).

Difluorocarbene generation through the decomposition of hexafluoropropylene oxide upon heating: Hexafluoropropylene oxide (HFPO, 41) is an effective and cheap reagent for the difluorocyclopropanation of simple alkyl- and aryl-substituted alkenes [52]. It undergoes decomposition to form difluorocarbene (Scheme 16) at temperatures above 170 °C either under autoclave conditions or by gas-phase co-pyrolysis [53].

Photolytic generation of difluorocarbene: Difluorodiazirine (44) is a convenient photophysical source of difluorocarbene (Scheme 17). The compound readily produces difluorocarbene upon photolysis. N$_2$ is the leaving group and it is good for LFP studies [54].

Furthermore, pyrolysis is also suitable for difluorocarbene generation from this reagent. Consequently, difluorocarbene is generated, when diazirine 44 is heated above 165 °C. Moreover, the reactions using 44 as a carbene source produce the difluorocyclopropanes in good yields [55]. As for the disadvantages, difluorodiazirine (44) is quite explosive.

1.2 Difluorocarbene methods with organometallic sources

Decomposition of phenyl(trifluoromethyl)mercury in the presence of sodium iodide: The preparation of difluorocyclopropanes using phenyl(trifluoromethyl)mercury (PhHgCF$_3$, 45, Seyferth’s reagent) as a source of difluorocarbene, results in good yields of the products from both electron-rich and electron-poor alkenes [56]. The required decomposition of PhHgCF$_3$ (45) can be achieved by refluxing in benzene in the presence of NaI (Scheme 18).

In addition to 45, two other organomercury compounds which have been shown to act as sources of difluorocarbene are iodo(trifluoromethyl)mercury (IHgCF$_3$) and bis(trifluoromethyl)mercury (Hg(CF$_3$)$_2$) [57]. However, despite good synthetic conversions having been obtained with Seyferth’s reagent [58] and the general insensitivity of organomercurials to air and moisture, the presence of mercury in all of these structures is a major drawback because organomercury compounds are extremely toxic and environmentally persistent.

Decomposition of trimethyl(trifluoromethyl)tin in the presence of sodium iodide: It is also possible to prepare difluorocyclopropanes from olefins and trifluoromethyl derivatives of tin such as trimethyl(trifluoromethyl)tin (48). There are two possible ways to obtain difluorocarbene from 48: thermal (at 140–150 °C, 20–44 h) [59] and iodide ion induced (at 85 °C,
The trapping with alkenes gave the expected cyclopropanes. The reaction of \((\mathrm{CH}_3)_3\mathrm{SnCF}_3\) (48) with NaI (1 equiv) occurred in 1,2-dimethoxyethane (Scheme 20) [60]. The difluorocarbene then added to cyclohexene (49) to form difluoronorcarane (50) with good yield. Under similar conditions tetramethylethylene afforded 1,1-difluorotetramethylcyclopropane (4). A bis(trifluoromethyl)zinc reagent was employed as the difluorocarbene source for the gem-difluorocyclopropanation of alkenes or alkynes via thermal decomposition [64]. The reagent was generated from trifluoromethyl iodide (CF\(_3\)I) and Zn dust (or ZnEt\(_2\)) in 1,3-dimethyl-3,4,5,6-tetrahydro-2(1H)-pyrimidinone (DMPU) [65] and later isolated [66]. The reaction of Zn(CF\(_3\))\(_2\)(DMPU)\(_2\) (2 equiv) with styrenes proceeded efficiently in toluene to provide the difluorocyclopropanes 56 in 53–93% yields (Scheme 23) [64].

Fürstner et al. [67] showed that (trifluoromethyl)gold(I)triphenyolphosphine in dichloromethane can be used for the production of difluorocyclopropanes at low temperatures. The advantage of the method is its stereoselectivity. The disadvantages include the stoichiometric use of gold, low temperatures, process length (17 hours), and the low yields of products (12–45%).

1.3 Non-carbene methods

Although the generation of difluorocyclopropanes often involved difluorocarbene, several non-carbene methods have also been developed. Taguchi and Okada developed a protocol for the preparation of 2,2-difluorocyclopropanecarboxylic acid derivatives 58 by the Michael addition of ester and amide enolates to 2,4,6-trimethylphenyl 4-bromo-4,4-difluorocrotonate (57) followed by an Et\(_3\)B-initiated radical cyclization (Scheme 24) [68].
Furthermore, when the sodium salt of dimethyl malonate was used as the Michael donor the cyclopropane formation did not require Et₃B (Scheme 25).

The work was extended to include boron-free, diastereoselective versions incorporating N-acylimidazolidinone chiral auxiliaries (Scheme 26).

The gem-difluorocyclopropanes 65 were synthesized from the reaction of gem-difluoroolefins 64 and chloroform in an aqueous 40% NaOH solution using the phase-transfer catalyst benzyltriethylammonium chloride (Scheme 28) [70,71]. Although difluorocarbene is not involved in the cyclopropanation step, this approach does employ dichlorocarbene.

1.4 Transformation of functional groups

Gem-Difluorocyclopropanes easily undergo various transformations leading to the formation of a diversity of useful materials. Although gem-difluorocyclopropanes contain a strained ring, they are kinetically stable under the conditions employed for many synthetically important reactions. These include the catalytic hydrogenolysis of benzyl ethers (H₂, Pd) [72], DIBAL-H reduction of esters to form alcohols [73], oxidative cleavage of vinyl groups to form carboxylic acids (KMnO₄) [74], and the conversion of the acids into amines using the Curtius rearrangement (SOCl₂, followed by Me₃SiN₃, thermolysis, and acid hydrolysis of the intermediate isocyanate, Scheme 29) [74]. Such transformations proceed with the conservation of the difluorocyclopropane unit and complement the methods for the cyclopropyl-ring synthesis discussed in the previous sections.

Generation of fluorinated methylenecyclopropanes: Fluorinated methylenecyclopropanes are of interest as Michael acceptors and as substrates for thermal rearrangements. As they are...
not readily available by difluorocarbene addition to allene derivatives, Taguchi et al. developed an alternative route to these compounds by selenoxide elimination (Scheme 30) [75]. Later, this approach was modified by Wang and co-workers [76].

It is also possible to remove the fluorine substituents from difluorocyclopropanes while preserving the three-membered ring. The reductive defluorination of the difluorocyclopropane derivative 75 by the treatment with excess NaBH₄ in hot DMSO (Scheme 31) gave the corresponding cyclopropane 76 [77]. Caution is advised in view of a recent report that NaBH₄ lowers the onset temperature for the thermal decomposition of DMSO [78].

The asymmetric difluorocyclopropanation has not yet been developed to the extent achieved for the epoxidation. Consequently, the enantioselective functional group interconversions on prochiral or racemic difluorocyclopropane and difluorocyclopropene derivatives have provided important ways of obtaining enantiomerically pure cyclopropanes. The key reactions in this context are the enzyme-catalyzed formation and hydrolysis of esters and the hydrogenation of difluorocyclopropanes [73,79].

**Enzymatic hydrolysis or esterification:** The first example of the enzymatic resolution of gem-difluorocyclopropanes was reported by Itoh et al. [80]. The prochiral diacetate of cis-1,2-bis-(hydroxymethyl)-3,3-difluorocyclopropane was converted into the corresponding monoacetate through Alcaligenes sp. lipase-catalyzed hydrolysis with >99% enantiomeric excess.

Kirihara et al. have reported the synthesis of the separate enantiomers of 2,2-difluoro-1-aminocyclopropanecarboxylic acid, which are analogs of the naturally occurring 1-aminocyclopropanecarboxylic acid [81]. The authors obtained the chiral monoacetate intermediates (R)-78 and (S)-80 by lipase-catalyzed methods. The lipase-catalyzed asymmetric transesterification of prochiral diol 77 and the deacetylation of the prochiral diacetate 79 resulted in the formation of the (R)-monoacetate (R)-78 and (S)-monoacetate (S)-80, respectively (Scheme 32).

As for the transesterification, a high yield (96.5%) and enantioselectivity (91.3% ee) were obtained using lipase PS in benzene. In the case of the deacetylation, the use of Amano PS lipase in acetone gave a high yield (86.2%), enantioselectivity (91.7% ee), and smooth hydrolysis.

Wang et al. reported the enantioselective biotransformations of geminally difluorinated cyclopropanecarbonitriles and amides.
in 2004 [77]. They transformed gem-difluorocyclopropane derivatives with the help of a soil microorganism, Rhodococcus sp. AJ270, which provided a very effective nitrile hydratase–amidase-containing biocatalytic system and showed a high chemo-, regio-, and enantioselectivity in the hydrolysis of nitriles and dinitriles.

The biocatalytic transformations of nitrile \( \text{81} \) (Scheme 33) supplied an effective route to optically active 2,2-difluorosubstituted 3-phenylcyclopropanecarboxylic acid \( \text{82} \) and amide \( \text{83} \) in both enantiomeric forms (Scheme 33). The biotransformation of the gem-difluorocyclopropane 81 produced good results for both the rate and yield. The \((1\,S,3\,S)\)-acid 82 and \((1\,R,3\,R)\)-amide \( \text{83} \) were synthesized in 52% yield with 53% ee and 32% yield with >99% ee, respectively.

The biotransformation of gem-difluorocyclopropanecarboxamide \((\pm)\)-83 (Scheme 34) occurred rapidly and under mild conditions to give \((1\,R,3\,R)\)-amide \( \text{83} \) (46% yield, >99% ee) and \((1\,S,3\,S)\)-acid \( \text{82} \) (51% yield, 87 % ee).

Enantioselective hydrogenation of difluorocyclopropenes: Recently, Mikami and co-workers reported the enantioselective hydrocupration of difluorocyclopropenes in the presence of chiral diphosphine ligands using stoichiometric hydride sources that included polymethylhydrosiloxane (PMHS) and organoboranes (Scheme 35) [79].

Cossy and co-workers have achieved the catalytic asymmetric transfer hydrogenation with isopropanol as reductant, in conjunction with a Noyori–Ikariya ruthenium-based homogeneous catalyst (Scheme 36) [73].

2 Reactions of difluorocyclopropane and its derivatives
Difluorocyclopropanes are synthetically useful substrates for a variety of reactions such as thermal rearrangements, carbocation, carbanion, and radical chemistry. Furthermore, gem-difluorocyclopropanes readily go through carbonylation, dehalogenation, and annulation, resulting in various useful materials.
2.1 Thermal rearrangements

The substitution of hydrogen with fluorine in cyclopropane leads to a significant weakening of the C–C bond opposite to the fluorine atom. A consequence of this is the tendency of fluoro-cyclopropanes, and in particular gem-difluorocyclopropanes, to undergo various transformations initiated by a homolytic C–C bond breaking.

Thermal stereomutation: In 1975, Staricco and co-workers described the thermal isomerization of trans-1,2-dichloro-3,3-difluorocyclopropane (84) (Scheme 37) [82].

Further research in this area was performed by the groups of Jefford [83] and Dolbier [84], who studied the 1,1-difluoro-2,3-dimethylcyclopropanes 86 and 87 (Scheme 38).

Vinylcyclopropane rearrangements: O’Neal and Benson examined the influence of fluorine substituents on the kinetics of the vinylcyclopropane-to-cyclopentene rearrangement [87]. They noted the effect of an additional strain (approximately 5 kcal/mol per fluorine atom) in raising the kinetic reactivity of difluorocyclopropanes and lowering the temperature required for the rearrangement. Furthermore, another effect of the geminal substitution was a weakening of the bond opposite to the CF₂ fragment by 8–10 kcal/mol.

Dolbier et al. studied the thermal rearrangements of 2,2-difluoro-1-alkenylcyclopropanes 90–92 (Scheme 41) [88]. All three compounds underwent a highly regioselective cleavage of the C1–C3 bond. Hence, the major products of all rearrangements were produced via [1,3]-sigmatropic shifts (Scheme 41). The products were the result of the breaking of the C–C bond opposite to the CF₂ moiety, which was followed by the recyc- lization of the intermediate diradical (Scheme 42). The activation energy for the rearrangement of 90 was lower by 9.4 kcal/mol than for the parent hydrocarbon system 92. The activation energy of the trans-isomer 91 was greater than that of cis-isomer 91 (>6 kcal/mol), because of the need to attain a cisoid conformation prior to the rearrangement.

The thermal vinylcyclopropane rearrangement of ethyl trans-3-(2,2-difluoro-3-phenylcyclopropyl)acrylate (93) proceeded at 100 °C, resulting in the difluorinated cyclopentene 94 with the substituents oriented trans to each other (Scheme 43) [89]. The cis-isomer 95 was unable to rearrange directly to a cyclopen- tene and first isomerized to give 93. Alkenyldifluorocyclo-
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![Scheme 43: Ring opening of stereoisomers 88 and 89.](image7)
propanes that were derived from 2-siloxybutadienes underwent analogous rearrangements to afford 1-siloxy-5,5-difluorocyclopentenes [90].

The radical ring-opening polymerization (RROP) provides a synthetic route to fluoropolymers, which are useful materials [91]. The RROP of gem-difluorovinylcyclopropane (90) gave mainly the polymer with an unsymmetrical repeating unit, by the cleavage of the C2–C3 bond in the ring (Scheme 44, path a).

However, 10% of the symmetrical product originating from a C1–C2 bond cleavage (path b) were also observed.

Methylenecyclopropane rearrangements: Although gem-difluoromethylenecyclopropanes (F₂MCPs) have poor accessibility, there has been much interest in their thermal rearrangements.

Dolbier examined the rearrangement of 1,1-difluoro-2-methylenecyclopropane (96) (Scheme 45) [92]. At 210 °C the rate of cleavage of the proximal bond was only 3.8 times faster than for the analogous hydrocarbon. It was also observed that the equilibrium lay significantly in favor of the rearranged product 97, which was by 1.9 kcal/mol more stable.

The thermal ring opening of the tosyl-substituted 1,1-difluoro-2,2-dimethyl-3-methylenecyclopropane 98 led to the thermodynamically more stable products 99 and 100, respectively (Scheme 46) [93].

Spiropentane rearrangements: Gajewsky found that the rearrangement of the hydrocarbon spiropentane to form methylenecyclobutane occurred with the cleavage of the C1–C2 bond.

The radical ring-opening polymerization (RROP) provides a synthetic route to fluoropolymers, which are useful materials [91]. The RROP of gem-difluorovinylcyclopropane (90) gave mainly the polymer with an unsymmetrical repeating unit, by the cleavage of the C2–C3 bond in the ring (Scheme 44, path a).
Dolbier then used deuterium labeling to study the analogous reaction of 1,1-difluorospirpentane (101) (Scheme 47) [95]. The cleavage of the C1–C2 bond that is proximal to the fluorine resulted in the formation of two isomeric methylenecyclobutane derivatives 102 and 103 by a radical cyclization (Scheme 47). The minor product 102 underwent a fast rearrangement to produce the major product 103. An alternative pathway, that involved the cleavage of the C4–C5 bond in 101, also led to the product 103.

Cleavage of the distal bond. Ring opening of gem-difluorocyclopropyl ketones: The gem-difluorocyclopropyl ketones such as 106 and 108 underwent nucleophilic ring-opening reactions induced by thiolate nucleophiles. A distal bond cleavage occurred regioselectively via difluorenolate intermediates that could participate in subsequent elimination and substitution of fluoride, leading to good yields of the fluorine-free products 107 and 109 (Scheme 49) [97,98].

Xu and Chen studied the acid-catalyzed hydrolysis of gem-difluorocyclopropyl acetals 110 to form 2-aryl-3-fluorofurans 112 (Scheme 50) [99]. The reaction could proceed either via the intermediacy of the gem-difluorocyclopropyl ketone 111 (path a) or by the direct rearrangement of the protonated acetal (path b). Recently, the group of Amii has reported the conversion of 1-benzoyl-2,2-difluoro-3-phenylcyclopropane and its derivatives into 3-fluoro-2,5-diphenylfuran derivatives following the brief exposure to triflic acid (2 equiv) in cold dichloromethane [100].

Dolbier et al. described the ring opening of 2,2-difluorocyclopropyl ketones 113 (Scheme 51) [101]. The reactions were mediated by acids and an ionic liquid. 3-Bromo-2,2-difluoropropyl ketones 114 were formed in good to excellent yields by an overall addition of HBr accompanied by a distal bond cleavage.

Dolbier et al. also studied the MgI₂-facilitated reactions of aryl-2,2-difluorocyclopropyl ketones 113 with imines 115, which led to alkylidenazetidines 116 (Scheme 52) [102]. The MgI₂ acted as a Lewis acid and reducing agent, effecting the distal C–C bond cleavage in 113a to form an allenyl ketone, or an equivalent fluoro,iodo-ene species, either of which could then have added to the imine 115 and led to the observed product. Only

The ring-opening reactions of (2,2-difluorocyclopropyl)methyl systems: Dolbier investigated the acetolysis of tosylates 104 and 105 (Scheme 48) [96]. The difference between compounds 104 and 105 is the presence of a methyl substituent in 105, which is associated with a difference in the regioselectivity of the C–C bond cleavage. The dissociation of the tosylate 104 to generate a cyclopropylmethyl carbocation A was accompanied by the cleavage of the proximal bond to form homoallylic products. The regioselectivity of the ring opening was attributed to the stabilization of the developing cationic center by the +M effect of the fluorine atoms. The formation of the 2,2-difluorohomoallyl cation or 3,3-difluorocyclobutyl cation did not occur as a result of the strong destabilization by the −I effect of the fluorine atoms [96]. On the other hand, the principal ring-opened product of 105 derives from the cleavage of the distal bond. In this case, the methyl substituent was superior to the two fluorine atoms in stabilizing an adjacent cationic center in B. Therefore, the ring opening proceeded via the disruption of the C–C bond opposite to the CF₂ fragment and the formation of a 2,2-difluorohomoallyl cation.

2.2 Ring opening of gem-difluorocyclopropanes by external reagents
gem-Difluorocyclopropanes have unique properties that arise from the strain of the cyclopropane ring combined with the electronic properties of fluorine. Many of the reactions involve ring-opening processes, the course of which can be controlled by the selection of the reagents and catalysts. These have an influence on the mechanism and regioselectivity of the C–C bond cleavage. Although there are several different mechanisms for ring-opening reactions, in most cases there is a cleavage of the most weakened C–C bond due to the fluorine effect. This C–C bond is opposite to the fluorinated fragment (the distal bond) [2].
diarylimines were utilized in this study, largely because of their ease of preparation and stability.

**Ring-opening reaction of gem-difluorocyclopropylstannanes:** Konno and co-workers reported the conversion of cyclopropylstannanes 117 into monofluoro derivatives of allylic alcohols, ethers, esters, and amines (121, Scheme 53) [103]. They proposed that an initial tin–lithium exchange was followed by a β-elimination of LiF to form the intermediate cyclopropenes 119. The ring opening of the latter then generated the vinylcar-
1,1-Difluoro-2-siloxy-2-vinylcyclopropane (122) was subjected to a fluoride-catalyzed ring opening to afford 1-fluorovinyl vinyl ketones such as 123. These compounds underwent a Lewis acid-catalyzed Nazarov cyclization with the strong silylating agent Me₃Si⁺B(OTf)₄⁻ to afford the corresponding 2-fluorocyclopentenone derivatives, e.g., compound 124 (Scheme 54) [37,90].

Radical-mediated ring-opening reaction: The photochemical iodine atom-transfer ring opening of 1,1-difluoro-2-(1-iodoalkyl)cyclopropanes 125a–c was initiated by hexabutylditin (Scheme 55) [104]. The (E)-difluorohomoallyl iodides 128a–c were isolated in yields ranging from 52 to 60%. The proposed reaction pathway involved the formation of the cyclopropylmethyl radical 126, which rapidly underwent ring opening to give the homoallyl radical 127.

Itoh et al. discovered the generation of 1,6-dienes 129 via the ring opening of bromomethyl-bearing gem-difluorocyclopropanes 130 due to the reaction with allyltributylstannane in vinyl ketones such as 123. These compounds underwent a Lewis acid-catalyzed Nazarov cyclization with the strong silylating agent Me₃Si⁺B(OTf)₄⁻ to afford the corresponding 2-fluorocyclopentenone derivatives, e.g., compound 124 (Scheme 54) [37,90].
the presence of AIBN (Scheme 56) [105]. The ring opening of the intermediate cyclopropylmethyl radical occurred with a cleavage of the distal C–C bond. The reaction proceeded regioselectively and in high yields. There was no difference observed between cis and trans-isomers in terms of the reactivity and yields. The resultant dienes 129 were used in ring-closing metathesis reactions to furnish gem-difluorocyclopentenes 131 in good to excellent yields [106].

A convenient route to 2,2-difluoro-homoallylic alcohols 133 occurred by photo-irradiative aerobic oxidation (Scheme 57) [107]. The reaction proceeded by the light-mediated ring-opening reaction of gem-difluorocyclopropane 132 in the presence of an organic dye and the subsequent aerobic oxidation by an amine.

Single-electron oxidants such as cerium ammonium nitrate or K_{2}S_{2}O_{8} were used for the regiospecific ring opening of the simple gem-difluorocyclopropanes 134 (Scheme 58). The brominative ring-opening reactions of compounds 134 gave good yields of the dibromo derivatives 135 when KBr was em-
employed in a DCM/H₂O 1:1 (v/v) mixed solvent. Alternatively, the bromohydroxylation and bromoamidation were also achieved simply by changing the solvent system [108].

Xiao et al. studied the ring-opening reactions of difluoro(methylene)cyclopropane 139 with halogens and amines [110,111]. A number of fluorine-containing compounds were synthesized in this way. The reaction with bromine proceeded through the breaking of the distal bond of the cyclopropyl ring affording the final fluorine-containing compound 140 (Scheme 60) [111].

Xiao et al. described a direct synthesis of 2-fluoropyrroles 142 (Scheme 62) [113]. The reaction involved the gem-difluorocyclopropyl ketones 143 and nitriles 144. It was proposed that the protonation of the ketone with triflic acid led to a partial ring opening of the gem-difluorocyclopropyl ketone to generate a carbocation-like center that was stabilized by the two attached fluorine atoms. The nucleophilic attack of the nitrile, followed by cyclization and aromatization could then give the pyrrole derivatives 142.

Later, Xiao et al. performed another ring-opening reaction of gem-difluorocyclopropyl ketones 143, this time mediated by BX₃ (X = F, Cl, Br, Scheme 63) [114]. In this transformation,
BX₃ played a dual role as both a Lewis acid catalyst and a source of the halide ion nucleophile. This reaction resulted in the generation of the trifluoromethyl ketones 145 and halodifluoromethyl ketones 146 and 147 in high yields. As in the previous reaction, a cleavage of the proximal bond accompanied the nucleophilic ring opening. The authors concluded that reactions mediated by weak acids resulted in the cleavage of the distal bond. This occurred by an S_N2 attack at the less hindered carbon of the cyclopropyl group. In contrast to this, reactions mediated by strong acids led to the cleavage of the proximal bond by the generation of fluorine-stabilized carbocations (S_N1 mechanism) [114].

The Friedel–Crafts reaction of 2,2-difluorocyclopropanecarbonyl chloride (148) with arenes 149a–c was accompanied by a proximal bond scission promoted by the strong Lewis acid AlCl₃. This led to the formation of aryl 3-chloro-3,3-difluoropropyl ketones 150a–c (Scheme 64) [115].

The gem-difluorocyclopropyl ketone 106 underwent a proximal bond cleavage in the reaction with methanolic KOH and methyl 4-oxo-2-phenylpentanoate was obtained in 85% yield after acid workup (Scheme 65) [97,98]. This contrasts with the previously discussed (Scheme 49) distal bond cleavage of ketone 106 in reactions with thiolate nucleophiles.

It is likely that an elimination of HF from 106 to form a mono-fluorocyclopropene intermediate took place under the more strongly basic conditions. This would facilitate the substitution of both fluorine atoms by methoxy groups prior to the ring opening, with the +M effect of the two MeO groups facilitating heterolysis of the proximal C–C bond.
Transition metal-catalyzed ring-opening reactions: Recently, the possibilities of using gem-difluorocyclopropanes in the synthesis of fluoroalkenyl-substituted compounds (monofluoroalkenes) have been actively studied. Great opportunities exist for the use of transition metal catalysis.

The catalytic hydrogenolysis of 1,1-difluoro-3-methyl-2-phenylcyclopropane (151) led to the regioselective C2–C3 distal bond cleavage by the use of either palladium(II) oxide or Raney nickel as the catalyst (Scheme 66) [116]. Butylbenzene (152) and 2-fluoro-1-phenylbutane (153) were the main products, although the unsaturated intermediates 154 and 155 were also detected. The contribution of the fluorine substituents to the lengthening and weakening of the C2–C3 bond of the cyclopropane ring appeared to dictate the regioselectivity.

Monofluoroalkenes 157 were formed from the reductive ring opening of gem-difluorocyclopropanes 156 with dimethylamine·borane and catalyzed by nickel(II) fluorido complexes (Scheme 67) [117].

1-Trimethylsiloxy-2,2-difluorocyclopropanes 158 underwent a silver-promoted ring opening by the nucleophilic heteroaromatic 1,2-dimethylindole (159) to give aryl-substituted 2-fluoroalkenyl compounds 160a,b (Scheme 68) [118]. An initial fluoride abstraction by Ag⁺ triggered the distal C–C bond cleavage to form an intermediate allylic cation which was the electrophile in a Friedel–Crafts reaction with 159. The subsequent desilylation of the Friedel–Crafts product gave an α-fluorinated ketone intermediate which then reacted with a second equivalent of 159 in a (Z)-stereoselective, chelation-controlled process.

Fu et al. presented a practical method to synthesize monofluorinated allylic scaffolds via a Pd-catalyzed C–C activation/C–F cleavage (Scheme 69) [119]. This ring opening of the gem-difluorocyclopropanes 161 occurred with both O- and N-nucleophiles. The resulting 2-fluorinated allylic products 162 were obtained in good yields and with high (Z)-selectivity. The proposed mechanism involved the oxidative addition of the distal C–C bond to palladium, followed by a nucleophilic attack at the less hindered carbon atom of a 2-fluorinated palladium–π-allyl complex.

Other examples of Pd-catalyzed ring-opening reactions of gem-difluorocyclopropanes 161 are presented in Scheme 70. The first approach involved a Suzuki cross-coupling of the gem-
fluorinated cyclopropanes \(161\) with boronic acids which afforded the mono-fluoroalkenes \(163\) \([120]\). Very recently, the groups by Gong and Fu \([121]\) studied the Pd-catalyzed alkylation of cyclopropanes \(161\) with terminal alkynes that led to the formation of the isomeric fluorinated enynes \(164\) and \(165\).

Shortly before, a Pd-catalyzed ring-opening sulfonylation of \(1,1\)-difluorocyclopropanes with the formation of 2-fluoroallylic sulfones \(166\) has been reported (Scheme 71) \([122]\). The reaction of 2-(2,2-difluorocyclopropyl)naphthalene \(167\) with sodium arylsulfonates \(168\) under palladium catalysis afforded the 2-fluoroallylic sulfones \(166\) in moderate to good yields with \((Z)\)-selectivity. This method showed a good compatibility with a broad range of substrates and substituents.

As highlighted by these pioneering works \([119-122]\), the direct Pd-catalyzed transformation of \(1,1\)-difluorocyclopropanes to mono-fluoroalkenes is a promising approach towards the synthesis of fluorinated alkenes.

As is evident from the examples presented above, the ring opening of \(1,1\)-difluorocyclopropanes occurs quite commonly under a variety of mild reaction conditions that are compatible with the presence of additional functionalities. The main reason for the ring opening is its inherent strain, with bond angles close to 60° instead of 109° that is normal for \(sp^3\) hybridized carbon atoms. The mechanisms of the ring opening that are favored in particular examples are determined by the additional substituents present on the cyclopropane ring, as well as the choice of reagents, catalysts, and conditions. The carbon atom 1 of the \(1,1\)-difluorocyclopropane system, being attached directly to the fluorne atoms, has a significant partial positive charge and can be a site for nucleophilic attack. The neighboring carbon atoms also possess partial positive charges, albeit less pronounced. The combination of ring strain and the deficit of the electronic density leads to the possibility of ring opening by nucleophiles.

As is the case for the nucleophilic opening of epoxides, the regiochemistry of this process is often controlled very effectively by the combined steric and electronic effects of the substituents attached to the ring, with a spectrum of \(S_N1\) and \(S_N2\)-like reactivity possible.

Several different types of catalysts have proved effective in facilitating the ring opening of difluorocyclopropane derivatives. Lewis acids (e.g., group 13 halides and silver ions) can polarize carbonyl substituents and assist the loss of halide ions, leading to the formation of carbocation intermediates. Low-valent transition metals such as Pd(0) also have a valuable catalytic role, particularly because of their ability to participate in oxidative addition reactions and to form π-allyl complexes.

In the absence of nucleophiles, homolysis of the distal C–C bond takes place under the effect of high temperature. Such
selectivity is caused by the possibility of the resonance stabilization of the biradical that is formed. If other reagents are absent, the biradical can rearrange and recombine, leading to isomerization of the starting material as was observed in the case of 1,1-difluoro-2,3-dialkylcyclopropanes. Further applications of free radical chemistry have developed through the use of radical initiators under comparatively mild conditions to form cyclopropylmethyl radicals, which can readily release their strain by opening to give homoallyl radicals.

gem-Difluorocyclopropanes, because of their ability to participate in such a diverse collection of ring-opening reactions and act as precursors for multifunctional products, both with and without fluorine, can play an exceptional role as intermediates for organic synthesis.

3 Biological activity of difluorocyclopropane derivatives

A further reason of interest in gem-difluorocyclopropanes stems from the unique influence of the fluorine substituents not only on the physicochemical properties, but also on the biological properties. Approximately one quarter of medicinal preparations, such as antibiotics, anticancer, and antymycotic preparations, contain at least one fluorine atom in their structure. The cyclopropane ring is a particularly attractive scaffold for incorporation in the design of pharmaceuticals because of its compactness, conformational rigidity, and the ability to support substituents in well-defined regions of three-dimensional space.

There is a high risk of failure of cancer chemotherapy due to the development of multidrug resistance which can arise by an overexpression of P-glycoprotein, an energy-dependent drug efflux pump. In this case increased dosages of therapeutics are required, leading to dangerous toxicity and high risk of death. Therefore, modulators have been developed in order to restore the sensitivity to chemotherapy. One such modulator is the gem-difluorocyclopropyl carbocyclic nucleosides for use against HIV.

Furthermore, Wang et al. reported the biological activity of the methylene-gem-difluorocyclopropane analogs of nucleosides 169a, 169b, 170a, and 170b that were obtained from methylene-gem-difluorocyclopropane 74 (Scheme 72) [76]. Compound 169a was active against human cytomegalovirus (HCMV) in human foreskin fibroblast cells. Both compounds 169a and 170a had antitumor activity, but derivative 169a was found to be more selective in comparison to its isomer 170a.

PF-06700841 (Figure 1) is a dual protein kinase inhibitor that targets cytokine signaling pathways associated with autoimmune disorders such as plaque psoriasis [124,125]. The non-covalent binding between this inhibitor and the target proteins has been characterized by single crystal X-ray diffraction; the difluoromethylene unit was found to project into the phosphate-binding loops of the kinases’ ATP binding sites.

Another use of gem-difluorocyclopropanes has been in the preparation of nucleoside analogs, which can act as chemotherapeutic agents. Carbocyclic nucleosides can have antiviral activity. Therefore, Csuk and Eversmann [32] studied the synthesis of gem-difluorocyclopropyl carbocyclic nucleosides for use against HIV.

Recently, there has been much interest in the synthesis of organic compounds that can cleave DNA following photorradiation. Ninomiya et al. described anthracene–difluorocyclopropane hybrids, which were modified in order to maximize DNA cleavage [126]. DNA damage was induced due to the radical decomposition of the cyclopropane ring. The active derivatives included compounds (S,S)-171, (S,S)-172, and (R,R)-172 (Figure 2).

Further examples highlighting the importance of gem-difluorocyclopropanes in modern drug discovery are shown in Figure 3. Compound 173 was selected as a selective agonist for the
Figure 2: Anthracene-difluorocyclopropane hybrid derivatives.

Figure 3: Further examples of difluorocyclopropanes in modern drug discovery.

metabotropic glutamate receptor 2 with antiepileptogenic effects [127]. Compound 174 is a discoidin domain receptor 1 inhibitor with the potential of being applied for the treatment of cancer and inflammation related disorders [128]. Compound 175 is an extracellular signal-regulated kinase 2 inhibitor with potential as an anticancer drug [129]. Compound 176 has potential for the treatment of neurological and psychiatric disorders [130] and compound 177 is an FXIa inhibitor with anticoagulant activity [131].

Another reason for the great interest in difluorocyclopropane derivatives arises also from the compounds’ ability to control the plant growth and fruit ripening. Ethylene is a plant hormone, which controls fruit ripening [132], seed germination, and leaf senescence. It is biosynthesized from 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid (ACC) and under stress conditions, ethylene can be produced in excess amounts, leading to senescence, chlorosis, and abscission. The ACC analog 1-amino-2,2-difluorocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid can inhibit the enzyme ACC deaminase, which stops fruits from ripening, prevents the loss of leaves, etc. With the help of this substance, the shelf life of vegetables and fruits can be increased.

In addition, gem-difluorocyclopropanes can be used in agriculture against spider mite (Tetanychus urticae), diamondback moth (Plutella xylostella), worm (Spodoptera littoralis) and Mexican caryopsis (Epilachna varivestis) [133].

Conclusion

gem-Difluorocyclopropanes were discovered to be effective substrates for the generation of medicinal and bioactive materials. Lately, more studies have been made regarding the inclusion of this motif into drug structures. The presence of the geminal fluorine atoms was associated with the increase of the lipophilicity, bioavailability, metabolic stability, and the binding affinity of biologically active materials. For instance, gem-difluorocyclopropanes have been incorporated into nucleoside analogs, which act as antiviral agents and where one of the
roles of the fluorine is to act as a hydrogen-bond acceptor. Antimicrobial agents, amino acids, and drugs used for the control of chemotherapy sensitivity also include difluorocyclopropane derivatives.

Therefore, the synthesis of difluorocyclopropanes on a large scale and in safe conditions is a subject of great importance and relevance. This review considered numerous preparation methods for gem-difluorocyclopropanes. However, the most popular approach is based on the use of the reactive intermediate difluorocarbene, which can participate in stereospecific [2 + 1]-cycloadditions with alkenes. Difluorocarbene addition reactions have been complemented by other synthetic methods, some of which have provided optically active difluorocyclopropanes, e.g., by functional group interconversions involving enzyme-catalyzed esterifications and catalytic asymmetric hydrogenation reactions of difluorocyclopropanes.

Thermal rearrangements and ring-opening reactions place difluorocyclopropanes at the crossroads in the synthesis of useful compounds. These processes often lead to the cleavage of the distal C–C bond and the cleavage of the proximal bond has also been observed but the electronic and steric factors determining the regioselectivity are now well appreciated.

Recent strategies including the transition metal-catalyzed transformations of highly substituted difluorocyclopropanes opened the door for the development of asymmetric approaches with the use of chiral ligands and chiral reagents. This is of great importance for the discovery and the development of new bioactive compounds. There have been made many discoveries regarding the synthesis and reactivity of difluorocyclopropanes in the last 60 years. However, more work is needed to develop catalytic enantioselective processes, both, for the cyclopropane ring formation and ring opening. These compounds have yet to be observed but the electronic and steric factors determining the regioselectivity are now well appreciated.
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