The Growth and Photosynthetic Characteristics of Potato (Solanum tuberosum L.) Plantlets as Affected by Hydroponic Solution pH and EC, Light, and CO₂
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ABSTRACT. In vitro nodal cuttings of potato (Solanum tuberosum L.) ‘Atlantic’ and ‘Russet Burbank’ from bioreactor culture were hydroponically cultured for 28 days using a deep flow technique (DFT) system. The response of plant growth and photosynthesis to different levels of solution electrical conductivity (EC; 0.08, 0.15, 0.22 and 0.36 S·cm⁻¹) and pH (3, 4, 5, 6 and 7) were studied. The best growth, characters of shoot length, total shoot and root fresh and dry weight, were obtained in nutrient solution of pH 6.0 and EC 0.15 S·cm⁻¹ for ‘Atlantic’, while pH 7.0 and EC 0.15 S·cm⁻¹ were found to be best for ‘Russet Burbank’. Plantlet growth was reduced by low solution pH (3.0) and high EC level (0.36 S·cm⁻¹). Photosynthetic rate, stomatal conductance, and transpiration rate were also found to be affected by EC levels. Down regulation of photosynthesis, as indicated by chlorophyll fluorescence results, were observed when potato plantlets were cultured under nutrient solution of higher EC level. Plantlet growth and photosynthetic rate increased as photosynthetic photon flux (PPF) levels increased from 50 to 250 µmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹. Particularly, increasing PPF level had a more distinctive effect on plantlet growth than CO₂ enrichment condition. It was apparent from this study that nutrient solution of pH 6.0 and 0.15 S·cm⁻¹ EC in combination with high PPF level (250 µmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹) were suitable for hydroponic culture of potato plantlets as it would maximize net photosynthetic rate, and achieve the highest growth rates.

Plant tissue culture techniques have revolutionized plant propagation. Plants are micropropagated at the commercial level but the method is still costly, and handicapped because current in vitro procedures for acclimatization are still unsatisfactory in providing quality transplants for greenhouse or field (Ziv, 1995). The structure and function of micropropagated plants, as affected by various culture conditions unique to the in vitro environment, determines their ability to make the transition to the ex vitro environment. Plants grown in small culture containers are exposed to high levels of inorganic and organic nutrients, high relative humidity, elevated carbohydrate and growth regulator levels, low irradiance and limited CO₂ and O₂ exchanges. These factors contribute to high proliferation rates, but also interfere with acclimatization and transplanting stages, and cause low survival rates ex vitro.

By modifying in vitro microenvironmental conditions (increasing photosynthetic photon flux, CO₂ enrichment, number of air exchanges, etc.), a micropropagated plant’s ability to survive after ex vitro transplant was improved (Cui et al., 2000; Hahn and Paek, 2001; Seon et al., 2000; Ziv, 1995). Modification of the in vitro production phases to more closely resemble ex vitro conditions will also contribute significantly to reduction in the cost, resources, space and energy, for micropropagation schemes. Micropropagated plants can be acclimatized simultaneous with rooting. A microponic culture system, combining micropropagation with hydroponics, has been applied to the mass production of rooting. A microponic culture system, combining micropropagation with hydroponics, has been applied to the mass production of rooting. A microponic culture system, combining micropropagation with hydroponics, has been applied to the mass production of rooting. A microponic culture system, combining micropropagation with hydroponics, has been applied to the mass production of rooting. A microponic culture system, combining micropropagation with hydroponics, has been applied to the mass production of rooting.
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**Materials and Methods**

**PLANT MATERIAL AND CULTURE SYSTEM.** Plantlets of Solanum tuberosum L. ‘Atlantic’ and ‘Russet Burbank’ from bioreactor culture (Piao, 2002) were cut into 4 to 5 cm pieces (shoot apex including two nodes), eight of which were planted in a square plastic box (29 × 23.5 × 17.5 cm, Daekwang Ind. Co., Yongin, Korea). They were grown in nutrient solution (Yamazakis, 1984) for 30 d using deep flow technique (DFT) system. The nutrient solution contained NH₄⁺-N, NO₃⁻-N, P, K, Ca, and Mg at 1, 6, 2, 4, 2, and 2 mg·L⁻¹, respectively as macronutrients. Micronutrients of the solution were composed of Fe, B, Mn, Zn, Cu and Mo at 2, 0.5, 0.5, 0.5, 0.5, and 0.1 mg·L⁻¹, respectively. The environment in the growth chamber was 25 ± 2 °C air temperature, 70% relative humidity, 150 µmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ PPF with a 16-h photoperiod using metal halide and high-pressure sodium lamps, air 0.5vvm (air volume/culture volume, min) was supplied into the nutrient solution and the whole nutrient solution was exchanged after every 2 d.

**EXPERIMENT ON SOLUTION pH.** pH of the nutrient solution in each plastic container was adjusted to 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7, respectively, while EC was maintained at 0.15 S·cm⁻¹ in all treatments. pH was monitored every second day with a pH controller (Accumet 50, Fisher Scientific). The pH of the nutrient solution was adjusted with 0.1 N H₂SO₄ and NaOH.
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**Experiment on Solution Electrical Conductivity (EC).** EC of the nutrient solution was adjusted to 0.08, 0.15, 0.22 and 0.36 S·cm⁻¹. pH was maintained at 6.0 which was determined to be optimum level in the previous experiments. EC was maintained by replacing the nutrient solution with fresh solution. For the EC adjustment, an equal amount of macronutrients and micronutrients were mixed in water by using a syringe (10 mL). The pH and the EC of the nutrient solution were measured using a pH and EC controller (HM-20E CM-20E; TOA, Tokyo, Japan). The nutrient solution was changed for a new one every other day.

**Experiment on PPF.** The environments in the growth chamber were maintained at 25 ± 2 °C air temperature and 70% relative humidity. Cultures were maintained at three different levels of PPF (50, 150 and 250 µmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹) with a 16-h photoperiod using metal halide and high pressure sodium lamps. PPF was measured (top of the plant canopy) with a data logger (LI-6400; LI-COR, Lincoln, Nebr.). CO₂ concentration inside the growth chamber was adjusted to either 350 (non-enrichment) or 1000 (CO₂ enrichment) µmol·mol⁻¹. The flow of gas to each chamber was metered using glass flow meters and individual rates were maintained daily. The CO₂ concentration inside the chamber was monitored just above crop canopy using an Infra red CO₂ Analyzer (LI-COR, Inc, Lincoln, Nebr.). The CO₂ monitored was calibrated using CO₂ standard gas supplied by LICOR, Inc. pH and EC were maintained at 6.0 and 0.15 S·cm⁻¹, respectively, which were determined to be the optimum levels in the previous experiments.

**Growth and Photosynthesis.** Growth responses were measured after 28 d of culture in terms of fresh weight and dry weight of shoots and roots. The dry weight was determined after drying for 48 h at 70 °C. Photosynthetic characteristics (rate of photosynthesis, stomatal conductance, transpiration and intercellular CO₂ concentration) were measured in situ at 28 d after planting.

### Table 1. Growth characteristics of ‘Atlantic’ and ‘Russet Burbank’ potato as affected by pH and EC of the nutrient solution 28 d after planting in the hydroponic culture system.

| Parameter | Cultivar                | Shoot length (cm) | Shoot dry wt/ plant (mg) | Fresh wt to dry wt ratio |
|-----------|-------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| **pH**    | **Cultivar**            |                   |                          |                         |
| 3         | Atlantic                | ---               | ---                      | ---                     |
| 4         | 16.4 c                  | 63.4 d            | 15.8                     |
| 5         | 17.7 b                  | 115.5 c           | 13.9                     |
| 6         | 20.9 a                  | 225.4 a           | 15.5                     |
| 7         | 17.8 b                  | 113.0 c           | 15.9                     |
| Linear relation | y = -0.78x² + 9.82x - 10.84 | y = -28.56x² + 359.65x - 932.12 | R² = 0.808 | R² = 0.834 |
| **EC**    | **Cultivar**            |                   |                          |                         |
| 0.08      | Atlantic                | 24.3 cd           | 248.7 d                  | 16.5                    |
| 0.15      | 25.1 c                  | 312.4 c           | 17.0                     |
| 0.22      | 20.4 e                  | 183.6 c           | 16.3                     |
| 0.36      | ---                     | ---               | ---                      |
| Linear relation | y = -2.75x² + 25.55x - 33.9 | y = -96.25x² + 929.95x - 1931.1 | R² = 1 | R² = 1 |
| **Significance** | **Cultivar (C)** | **pH** | **C × pH** | ns                        |
| **EC**    | **Significance**         |                   |                          |                         |
| 0.08      | Atlantic                | 26.4 b            | 385.2 b                  | 18.2                    |
| 0.15      | 30.1 a                  | 520.8 a           | 19.0                     |
| 0.22      | 23.7 d                  | 386.6 b           | 15.3                     |
| 0.36      | ---                     | ---               | ---                      |
| Linear relation | y = -5.05x² + 49.15x - 89.4 | y = -134.9x² + 1349.7x - 2855.2 | R² = 1 | R² = 1 |

*Not determined due to death of plantlets.

*Values within columns followed by the same letter are not different according to Duncan’s multiple range test at 5% level.

**ns, *,** **Nonsignificant or significant at P ≤ 0.05 or 0.01, respectively.**
Plantlet growth response (shoot length, total shoot and root fresh and dry weight) was greatest in nutrient solution of pH 6.0 in case of 'Atlantic', while pH 7.0 was found to be best for 'Russet Burbank' (Table 1). All plantlets of both cultivars died in solutions at pH 3.0. Water content, represented by the ratio of fresh weight to dry weight, was constant in all the treatments. In general, optimum pH varied depending on plant species. It has been reported that the proper pH of the nutrient solution for most nutrient film technique (NFT) crops is 6.0 to 6.5 and 5.4 to 6.0 for substrate culture (Cooper, 1979; Fonteno, 1996; Schwarz, 1995). Similarly in chrysanthemum in microponic culture, pH 6.0 resulted in highest growth rate (Hahn et al., 2000). Koyama et al. (2001) reported that root elongation of *Arabidopsis thaliana* is severely inhibited by low pH (4.5 to 4.8) and the growing root apex showed low viability. They suggested that the primary target of proton toxicity may be linked to a disturbance of the stability in the pectic polysaccharide network, where Ca plays a key role in plant roots, but the mechanism is still unclear. The effect of pH on cation and anion uptake are well known phenomena. At high pH, a shift in the buffer system CO$_2$/H$_2$CO$_3$/HCO$_3$– in favor of HCO$_3$– impairs the efficiency of the proton-anion cotransport by consumption of protons in the apoplasm (Toulon et al., 1989). In our experiments, pH 6.0 to 7.0 proved to be the optimum level for potato plantlet growth in hydroponic culture.
Plantlet shoot length, total shoot and root fresh and dry weight were highest at EC 0.15 S·cm–1 for both cultivars, while EC 0.36 S·cm–1 was found to be lethal as all the plantlets died after 12 d of culture (Table 1).

Photosynthetic rate, stomatal conductance, and transpiration rate were also found to be affected by EC levels. An EC of 0.15 S·cm–1 resulted in the highest photosynthetic rate, stomatal conductance and transpiration rate, compared to other EC levels (Fig. 1). It appears that EC also affects internal CO2 concentrations and thus photosynthesis. The optimum EC of a balanced nutrient solution is generally known to be 0.15 S·cm–1 (Holder and Cristensen, 1988); however, optimum EC level was found to vary with plant species, season, growth stages and the quality of water (Hahn et al., 2000). Schwarz (1995) reported that physiological disorders such as Ca deficiency could occur at high EC level. Growth depression may also originate from inhibited nutrient uptake, transport and use in the plants at high EC (Marschner 1995).

Chlorophyll fluorescence (Fv/Fm) reflects the maximal efficiency of excitation energy capture by open PSII reaction centers; a decrease in this parameter indicates down regulation of photosynthesis or photoinhibition (Maxwell and Johnson, 2000). Leaves of potato plantlets of both cultivars grown under higher EC levels showed a large decrease in this variable (Fig. 2). Both cultivars grown in nutrient solution with 0.15 S·cm–1 EC showed a higher photochemical quenching (qP) and non-photochemical quenching (qN) than other treatments. qP indicates the proportion of PSII reaction centers that are open. Thus, qP and Fv/Fm provide information about the underlying processes which have altered efficiency. A change in qP is due to closure of reaction centers, resulting from a saturation of photosynthesis by light. Changes in qN measure a change in the efficiency of heat dissipation, relative to the dark adapted state. Broadly, such an increase can occur as a result of processes that protect leaf from light-induced damage (Carvalho et al., 2001; Maxwell and Johnson, 2000). Therefore, the increase in qN in plants grown in nutrient solution of 0.15 S·cm–1 EC may indicate an increase in the thermal dissipation in the PSII antennae related to an increased pH gradient, i.e., high energy state under the steady state of photosynthesis. Chlorophyll fluorescence measurements are extensively used now as a noninvasive method to investigate plant stress induced by high photon flux, heat, drought or various agents which affect the efficiency of the photosynthetic apparatus (Buschmann and Lichtenthaler, 1988). According to the modern formulation of this hypothesis, the intensity of chlorophyll fluorescence is affected by the redox state of the electron carrier Qa, a plastoquinone that undergoes a one-electron photoreduction in the PSII reaction center. In light, the energy of antenna chlorophyll excited states is trapped by the P680 chlorophyll special pair of reaction centers and Qa is reduced by excited P680 via a pheophytin molecule. Photochemical reactions in the reaction centers, leading to Qa reduction, compete with the dissipation of chlorophyll excited state energy through fluorescence emission. Therefore, reaction centers with oxidized Qa quench efficiently the fluorescence of PSII chlorophylls, whereas those with reduced Qa do not (Buschmann and Lichtenthaler, 1988). Our results indicate that higher susceptibility to photoinhibition in the plants grown under the higher EC levels was associated with more PSII reaction centers being inactivated due to higher proportion of the non-Qa-reducing PSII reaction centers. It has been shown that the key characteristics of these non-Qa-reducing centers is the inhibition of electron transport from Qa to Qb (Franck et al., 2002). Greater accumulation of such non-Qa-reducing centers in the plants grown under higher EC level inevitably leads to an increase in the fraction...
Table 2. Growth characteristics of ‘Atlantic’ and ‘Russet Burbank’ potato as affected by PPF 28 d after planting in the hydroponic culture system.

| Cultivar         | CO₂ (µmol·mol⁻¹) | PPF (µmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹) | Shoot length (cm) | Dry wt (mg) | Fresh wt to dry wt ratio |
|------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------|--------------------------|
| Atlantic         |                   |                    |                   |             |                          |
| 300              | 50                | 0                   | 20.5 d             | 95.3 f      | 18.8 a                   |
|                  | 150               | 0.5                | 25.4 c             | 611.1 cd    | 18.6 a                   |
|                  | 250               | 1                  | 30.2 a             | 2023.0 a    | 17.7 a                   |
|                  | Linear relation   |                    |                   |             |                          |
|                  | y = 4.85x + 1.12  | y = 963.85x – 3090.50 | y = –0.55x + 19.47 |
|                  | R² = 1            | R² = 0.93           | R² = 0.88         |
|                  | 1000              | 0.5                | 12.5 g             | 118.3 f     | 16.3 ab                   |
|                  | 150               | 1                  | 13.8 g             | 362.1 c     | 10.1 c                    |
|                  | 250               | 1.5                | 16.6 ef            | 513.0 de    | 9.4 c                     |
|                  | Linear relation   |                    |                   |             |                          |
|                  | y = 2.05x + 4.05  | y = 197.35x – 655.62 | y = –3.45x + 18.83 |
|                  | R² = 0.96         | R² = 0.98           | R² = 0.83         |
| Russet Burbank   | 300               | 0.5                | 18.9 de            | 97.2 f      | 20.9 a                    |
|                  | 150               | 1                  | 27.4 bc            | 840.1 bc    | 17.5 a                    |
|                  | 250               | 1.5                | 29.8 ab            | 1829.4 a    | 17.2 a                    |
|                  | Linear relation   |                    |                   |             |                          |
|                  | y = 5.45x – 1.88  | y = 306.1x – 3408.30 | y = –1.85x + 22.23 |
|                  | R² = 0.91         | R² = 0.99           | R² = 0.81         |
|                  | 1000              | 0.5                | 9.8 h              | 305.6 ef    | 11.9 bc                   |
|                  | 150               | 1                  | 12.4 g             | 610.7 cd    | 11.5 bc                   |
|                  | 250               | 1.5                | 14.3 fg            | 922.8 b     | 9.7 c                     |
|                  | Linear relation   |                    |                   |             |                          |
|                  | y = 2.25x + 0.92  | y = 308.6x – 929.97 | y = –1.1x + 13.23 |
|                  | R² = 0.99         | R² = 1              | R² = 0.88         |

Significance

- Cultivar (C)
  - * NS
- CO₂
  - ** NS
- PPF
  - ** NS
- C × CO₂
  - * NS
- C × PPF
  - NS NS
- CO₂ × PPF
  - ** NS
- C × CO₂ × PPF
  - NS NS

*Mean separation within columns followed by the same letter are not different according to Duncan’s multiple range test at 5% level.
**Nonsignificant or significant at P ≤ 0.05 or 0.01, respectively.

of reducing state of QA, thus, resulting in a lower qₑ as observed during photoinhibition. This increased fraction in the reducing state of QA suggests that these plants were subjected to a higher pressure of excess excitation energy, which could potentially increase the probability of generating reactive radicals which can damage membrane components of PSII.

Increased growth and photosynthetic rates of potato plantlets of both cultivars were also observed under increasing PPF. Among the treatments, a PPF of 250 µmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ resulted in the greatest increase in plant height, total shoot and root fresh weight, and dry weight (Table 2). Plantlets grown under these conditions also exhibited higher net photosynthetic rates regardless of the cultivars (Figs 3 and 4). However, an elevated CO₂ concentration of 1000 µmol·mol⁻¹ significantly decreased the growth rate of the two potato cultivars, when they were grown under high PPF conditions. In contrast, several researchers (Heo et al., 1996; Jeong et al., 1996; Kozai et al., 1992; Ni and Kozai; 1997; Seko and Kozai; 1996) concluded that high PPF and CO₂ enrichment drastically enhanced in vitro growth of carnation, cymbidium, turfgrass, and potato plantlets. The present study demonstrated that the CO₂ enrichment condition with high PPF did not increase growth rate of potato plantlets as compared with that at a PPF of 50 µmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ even under non-CO₂ conditions. Although under optimal conditions, elevated CO₂ increases photochemi-

Fig. 3. ‘Atlantic’ and ‘Russet Burbank’ potato plantlets as affected by PPF levels 28 d after planting in the hydroponic culture system.
Fig 4. Photosynthetic rate in 'Atlantic' and 'Russet Burbank' potato plantlets as affected by PPF levels 28 d after planting in the hydroponic culture system.
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