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Dictating Nanoparticle Assembly via Systems-Level Control of Molecular Multivalency

Peter J. Santos, Zhen Cao, Jianyuan Zhang†, Alfredo Alexander-Katz, and Robert J. Macfarlane*

Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 77 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, United States

ABSTRACT: Nanoparticle assembly can be controlled by multivalent binding interactions between surface ligands, indicating that more precise control over these interactions is important to design complex nanoscale architectures. It has been well-established in natural materials that the arrangement of different molecular species in three dimensions can affect the ability of individual supramolecular units to coordinate their binding, thereby regulating the strength and specificity of their collective molecular interactions. However, in artificial systems, limited examples exist that quantitatively demonstrate how changes to nanoscale geometry can be used to rationally modulate the thermodynamics of individual molecular binding interactions. As a result, the use of nanoscale design features to regulate molecular bonding remains an underutilized design handle to control nanomaterials synthesis. Here, we demonstrate a polymer-coated nanoparticle material where supramolecular bonding and nanoscale structure are used in conjunction to dictate the thermodynamics of their multivalent interactions, resulting in emergent bundling of supramolecular binding groups that would not be expected if considering the molecular structures alone. Additionally, we show that these emergent phenomena can controllably alter superlattice symmetry by using mesoscale particle arrangement to alter the thermodynamics of supramolecular bonding behavior. The ability to rationally program molecular multivalency via a systems-level approach therefore provides a major step forward in the assembly of complex artificial structures, with implications for future designs of both nanoparticle and supramolecular-based materials.

Introduction

Nanoparticle assembly is commonly regulated by multivalent intermolecular binding events between surface ligands, where the collective interaction of multiple surface-bound molecules dictates the overall thermodynamics of interparticle bonding. A significant amount of effort has therefore been put forth in examining how alterations to these molecular interactions affect nanoparticle behavior, and many strategies have been developed to control particle arrangements by finely tuning the molecular structures that guide nanoparticle assembly. However, while the manipulation of molecular bonding has been shown to be a powerful means to control the thermodynamics of nanoscale assembly, it is also true that the nanoscale arrangement of these molecular binding groups can affect the overall strength of the bonds being formed. In other words, not only can changes to molecular geometry alter material ordering at larger length scales, but changes to these larger scale structures can also cause alterations to molecular behavior. Indeed, manipulating the relative positions of individual chemical moieties is a common design principle used in nature to control the strength and specificity of multivalent intermolecular interactions including avidity in antibody-antigen binding, substrate selectivity at the active site of catalytic enzymes, and strong yet dynamic carbohydrate-regulated cell adhesion. While synthetic materials typically try to general hierarchical ordering from small length scales upwards (e.g. changes to molecular motifs causing different nanoscale behaviors), these examples in nature demonstrate that structural hierarchy can also work in the opposite direction, where large-scale organization alters the behavior of individual building blocks (e.g. nanoscale organization affecting molecular multivalency). Methods to control hierarchical organization via interplay between structures both up and down multiple length scales simultaneously can be referred to as a “systems” approach to materials development.

In principle, it should be possible to develop a systems-level approach to nanoparticle assembly, where structural features at the length scales of individual molecular bonds, nanoscale particle geometry, and mesoscale arrangement of particles serve as integrated design handles to control the assembly process. For example, a systems-level approach to nanomaterials synthesis could use multivalent supramolecular bonding to dictate how nanoscale particles form into mesoscale lattices, but also simultaneously use the nanoparticle coordination environment within those lattices to regulate the multivalency of molecular interactions and alter the strength of these bonds. The advantage of such a complex materials synthesis method would be the ability to more finely tune both particle assembly and supramolecular bonding by design features both above and below the length scales of the nanoparticle bonds themselves. In order to realize this goal, however, it is important first to understand how nanoscale structure affects the thermodynamics of these multivalent interactions in a rational manner. In this work, the hierarchical nature of a nanoparticle building block is used to methodically modify the degree to which supramolecular groups act collectively, and therefore control the overall interaction strength between nanoparticles.
This enables a systems-level approach to materials synthesis, where nanoscale geometry influences molecular organization, and those design parameters in conjunction control mesoscale architecture, affording simultaneous control of material structure across multiple length scales in a programmable manner.

Results and Discussion

We have recently demonstrated a new nanoparticle-based building block capable of directed assembly via complementary hydrogen bonding motifs called the Nanocomposite Tecton (NCT).\(^\text{16}\) NCTs consist of rigid nanoparticle cores functionalized with deformable polymer chains in a dense brush architecture (Figs. 1, S1-S5, S8; NCTs examined here consist of gold nanoparticles coated in polystyrene brushes, suspended in toluene). All polymer chains on the NCTs terminate in either a diaminopyridine or thymine group (DAP and Thy, Scheme S1), which constitute a complementary supramolecular pair.\(^\text{17, 18}\) Complementary sets of NCTs can form many DAP-Thy complexes that behave as a collective nanoscale bond linking NCTs together into large superlattices. Indeed, with appropriate thermal annealing, NCTs can even form crystalline lattices with long-range ordering.

Each nanoscale NCT-NCT bond within these lattices is a multivalent structural feature, where the multivalency number \( N \) is the number of monovalent DAP-Thy complexes that act in a coordinated manner when two adjacent NCTs form an interparticle bond.\(^\text{19, 20}\) As a result, the free energy driving NCT assembly can be regulated by modifying NCT structure at either the molecular or nanometer length scales; the former controls the strength of each individual monovalent supramolecular complex, while the latter regulates how many supramolecular interactions constitute a multivalent NCT-NCT bond. These synthetically independent design handles at different size regimes make NCTs ideal for exploring how both nanoparticle assembly and the multivalency of individual NCT-NCT bonds are affected by changes in structure at both the chemical and nanometer length scales. The ability to rationally program multivalency as a function of nanoscale structural features is therefore the first step in understanding and using this systems-level approach to materials design.

Initial work has demonstrated that the collective binding enthalpy of an NCT-NCT bond is significantly greater than an individual DAP-Thy complex,\(^\text{18}\) a hallmark of a multivalent interaction. However, the calculated values of multivalency for these NCT systems are surprisingly low. For example, NCTs consisting of 26 nm particle cores functionalized with ~1000 polymer chains exhibit a multivalency value of only 10. While it is possible that each multivalent NCT-NCT bond consists of only 10 DAP-Thy complexes, the high local concentration of 100s of DAP and Thy groups between particles makes this explanation of a low multivalency number unlikely. An alternative reason for this low value of \( N \) could be that the total number of DAP-Thy complexes comprising each NCT-NCT bond is significantly greater than 10, but there exists a structural feature in between the nanoscale shape of the NCT and the individual molecular groups that regulates the number of complexes that can act in a coordinated, multivalent manner. More simply, each NCT-NCT bond consists of a large number of DAP-Thy complexes, but these complexes are arranged in tiny “bundles”, and only complexes within a single bundle could be considered to
Molecular dynamics simulations of NCT assembly. (a) The equilibrium structure of modeled NCTs shows the presence of bundles that emerge at the interface of two complementary NCTs. The dots in the inset denote positions of individual supramolecular groups at the NCT-NCT interface; the different colors denote algorithmically defined bundles that dictate the degree of multivalency (see SI for clustering analysis). (b) A pair distribution function (PDF) analysis of the distance between supramolecular groups both at and away from the NCT-NCT interface. At the interface, the supramolecular binding groups associate into bundles, resulting in a distinct spike in the PDF, which corresponds with the radius of the bundles. The absence of this peak away from the interface confirms that it is the act of forming the nanoscale NCT-NCT bond that drives formation of this emergent structural feature.

Figure 2. Molecular dynamics simulations of NCT assembly. (a) Prior work has demonstrated that given an appropriate driving force, small molecule ligands on the surface of nanoparticles will indeed bundle together. Similarly, polymer brushes on nanoparticles have also been shown to engage in bundling when exposed to a strong antisolvent. Based on these prior observations, we hypothesize that the driving force behind bundling of supramolecular complexes here could be differences in the chemical potential between individual DAP and Thy groups and the surrounding solvent molecules. Nonpolar polymers modified with telechelic polar moieties are known to form reverse micelles in low polarity solvents, which would be consistent with small clusters forming at the ends of the polymer brush. If such bundling were indeed dictating the multivalency in these NCT systems, the presence of these “bundle bonds” would therefore represent a systems-level emergent phenomenon where the nanoscale sizes and shapes of the NCT could be used to regulate thermodynamics of supramolecular complexation.

Because the positions of individual chain ends on an NCT cannot be readily measured due to their small size, their poor electron density contrast with the surrounding polymer and solvent, the presence of the significantly larger and more electron dense nanoparticle cores, and the transient nature of supramolecular complexes, the bundle bond hypothesis was first examined using molecular dynamics simulations to determine the most probable arrangement of individual DAP-Thy complexes within a multivalent NCT-NCT bond (Fig. 2). A full atomistic description of NCTs would be computationally prohibitive, but a coarse-grained model with a consistent mapping of the geometry, volume fraction, and interaction parameters between experiments and simulations (see SI for details, Figs. S12, S20) is sufficient to provide insight into the configuration of the multivalent NCT-NCT bond interface.

In a typical simulation where a pair of complementary NCTs is allowed to reach equilibrium, DAP and Thy groups that are not part of a supramolecular complex are randomly positioned at the periphery of the particles. However, DAP-Thy complexes that are part of a multivalent NCT-NCT bond do indeed coalesce into “bundles” that dynamically change shape throughout the simulation (Fig. 2a, Figs. S13-S15). Thus, each multivalent NCT-NCT bond consists of multiple “bundle bonds”, where the degree of multivalency is determined by the number of DAP-Thy complexes that comprise a single bundle. Because the bundles are only found at the interface of NCTs connected via a multivalent NCT-NCT bond (Fig. 2b), these data not only confirm the presence of the bundle bonds, but also demonstrate that it is the act of forming a nanoscale NCT-NCT bond itself that drives supramolecular bundle formation. NCTs therefore present a previously unexplored opportunity to systematically study how nanoscale structure can be used to modulate molecular multivalency.

It is also important to note that this bundling behavior is distinct from previous examples of spontaneous symmetry breaking in the ligands of nanoparticles being assembled. These differences stem from the fact that the bundles of supramolecular complexes observed here are significantly smaller than the cross-section of the overall NCT-NCT bond, and any given NCT-NCT bond therefore consists of multiple bundles. Moreover, the DAP-Thy connections are dynamic, and the exact sizes and shapes of the bundles are constantly changing. As a result, the bundling phenomenon is not entirely identical to the “patchiness” observed in other systems such as those that are anisotropically functionalized or that form soft skyrmions via ligand rearrangement, as the overall surface of the NCT would still be considered to be largely isotropic even with the bundling phenomena occurring (vide infra). This distinction means that NCTs are affecting material structuring at a level in between an individual molecular bond and an overall nanoparticle structure. The obvious question that therefore arises is whether design handles at both the molecular and nanoscale can be used in conjunction to modulate the collective size and dynamic behavior of these bond bundles.

In order to properly answer this question, it is important to understand how the thermodynamics of NCT assembly change as a function of different nanoscale structural features. In a standard multivalent bond between NCTs, the strength of each supramolecular complex (each monovalent binding event) is described by the free energy equation:

\[ \Delta G = \Delta H - T \Delta S \]  

(1)
where $\Delta G_s$, $\Delta H_s$, and $\Delta S_s$ are the Gibbs free energy, enthalpy, and entropy associated with the formation of a single DAP-Thy complex. The strength of a multivalent "bundle bond" is therefore:

$$\Delta G_m = \Delta H_m - T\Delta S_m$$

(2)

where $\Delta G_m$, $\Delta H_m$, and $\Delta S_m$ are the Gibbs free energy, enthalpy, and entropy of the collective bundle. By definition, the ratio between the enthalpy values of these reactions is the value of multivalency (i.e. $N = \Delta H_m/\Delta H_s$). More simply, the multivalency number $N$ is the average number of groups within a given bundle.

The most significant contribution to $\Delta H_m$ is expected to be the hydrogen bonds formed between DAP-Thy pairs, as these are by far the strongest types of interactions involved in the formation of a DAP-Thy complex. Additional enthalpic contributions such as dispersion forces or polymer mixing within the brush may also be possible, but these are expected to play a much smaller role in dictating the thermodynamics of NCT assembly due to the fact that they are significantly weaker than the hydrogen bonding interactions (additional discussion in SI). The most significant contribution to $\Delta S_m$ is expected to come from the reduction in polymer configurations upon forming a DAP-Thy complex that restricts the motion of the two adjoined polymer chains.

The value of $\Delta H_s$ for each DAP-Thy complex within a bundle bond can be assumed to be constant based on prior evidence in simpler molecular systems. Thus, $\Delta H_m$ should be $\Delta H_s$ multiplied by the number of DAP-Thy complexes that comprise a bundle bond (i.e. $\Delta H_m = N\Delta H_s$). However, $\Delta S_s$ is not equivalent for all polymers within a bundle bond, as different polymers will have different amounts of conformational confinement upon the formation of a DAP-Thy complex, depending on where they are anchored on the surface of the nanoparticle core. As a result, expressing the value of $\Delta G_m$ as a function of $N$, $\Delta H_s$, and $\Delta S_s$ becomes:

$$\Delta G_m = N(\Delta H_s) - T\left(\sum_i \Delta S_i\right)$$

(3)

where values of $\Delta S_i$ are the entropy changes associated with the different polymer linkers being tethered together via DAP-Thy complexes. As noted above, while there may be additional contributions to this simple approximation, such thermodynamic parameters are small enough so as to not significantly affect the conclusions drawn here, and these assumptions should hold for systems like the NCTs that possess long ligands and directional enthalpic interactions.

Based on these thermodynamic parameters, a “bundle bond” therefore represents a collection of DAP and Thy groups where the entropy penalty for linking any given pair of polymer chains comprising that bundle is less than the strength of the DAP-Thy complex.
complex that is formed. In other words, if a polymer chain has to stretch so much to become a part of a bundle that the $T \Delta S$ is greater than $\Delta H$, that polymer will not be a part of that multivalent bundle.

This interplay between enthalpy and entropy explains why bundles of DAP and Thy groups only emerge within an NCT-NCT bond, as the enthalpy benefit of generating a supramolecular complex is necessary to offset the entropic penalty of restricting polymer motion; the reduced chemical potential of clustering the polar head groups is not enough on its own to drive this behavior. Additionally, it indicates that changes to NCT structure at the nanoscale should affect multivalency by altering the extent to which polymer chain conformations are restricted upon formation of a bundle bond. Therefore, because the design of NCTs can be easily varied, a series of well controlled experiments can provide further support of the bundle bond hypothesis, and provide insights into the relationship between nanoscale structure and multivalency.

For example, changing the nanoscale shape of an NCT by modifying particle core diameter is observed to increase the temperature at which NCT-NCT bonds break, as well as values of $N$ and $\Delta H_m$. The thermodynamics of NCTs were studied by monitoring the dissociation of particles with UV-Vis spectroscopy, and the resulting thermal transition was fit to the Van’t Hoff equation to extrapolate relevant thermodynamics values (see supporting information, Figs S6, S7, S20 for further details). The increase in $T_m$ and $\Delta H_m$ with increasing nanoparticle core diameter can be explained as a function of changes to particle nanoscale curvature. When two curved surfaces approach one another, different sets of points on the opposing surfaces are not necessarily equidistant. Additionally, the polymer chains extending perpendicularly from the curved surface are not all initially aligned in the same direction as the NCT-NCT bond (Fig. 3a, left). As a result, polymer chains further from the NCT-NCT bond axis need to stretch in order to form a DAP-Thy complex, while polymers directly at the center of the bond will likely compress in order to allow more polymer chain ends to reach one another and form supramolecular complexes (Fig. 3a, right). The more curved the particle surface, the greater the variation in compression and stretching as a function of where the polymers are grafted to the surface. The values of $\Delta S$ resulting from tethering polymer chains via a supramolecular complex would therefore be expected to vary more as a function of lateral distance between binders when NCT particle cores have more curved surfaces. As a result, lowering particle curvature by increasing particle diameter enables a larger number of polymer chains to bundle together and act multivalently. In other words, the value of $N$ at which $\sum N_i \Delta S_i > \Delta H_m$ is higher for larger diameter cores. This larger value of $N$ correlates to larger bundle bonds and (based on equation 3) explains the fact that values of $\Delta H_m$ and $T_m$ increase with particle core size, asymptotically approaching the limit corresponding to a flat surface (Fig. 3b).

Increasing the height of the polymer brush can also use nanoscale geometric influence to modify NCT bonding thermodynamics, but would be expected to have more complex effects on multivalency and bundle bond formation. As polymer length increases, the number of conformations (and thus entropy) of each polymer chain should also increase. This means that tethering two longer polymer chains together should result in a larger entropy penalty, indicating that the value of $N$ at which $\sum \Delta S_i > \Delta H_m$ should decrease, resulting in lower $T_m$. Experiments demonstrate that $T_m$ does indeed decrease with increasing polymer length for all systems studied (Fig. 3c). However, while NCTs with larger particle diameters (26, 36, 50 nm) also show a corresponding decrease in $N$, NCTs with smaller particle diameters (12 and 16 nm) exhibit values of $N$ that instead increase with increasing polymer length.

These data indicate that the number of DAP-Thy complexes that comprise a bundle bond is actually increasing, which can be explained as a function of polymer packing density. Short polymers grafted onto particles form a dense concentrated brush, but longer polymers extend further off of the particle surface into a semidilute brush regime. The transition from the concentrated to semidilute regimes is dependent on the degree of particle curvature, with larger spheres requiring longer polymer lengths to become semidilute. Polymer chain ends in this semidilute regime can occupy a greater number of conformations, which in turn allows a greater number of DAP groups to bind to complementary Thy groups on adjacent particles (Fig. 3a). However, this would also mean that, when comparing two NCT systems with the same bundle bond size, smaller particle cores would require greater amounts of polymer chain confinement in order to form the bundles. These opposing thermodynamic effects explain the contrasting trends in $\Delta H_m$ as a function of polymer length on small and large nanoparticle cores. The greater surface curvature of the small cores inherently allows for more polymer flexibility and enables the formation of larger bundle bonds with increasing polymer length (increasing $\Delta H_m$ and $N$), but also requires greater polymer deformation to form these bundles (larger values of $\Delta S$, which results in a decreased $T_m$ despite the larger $N$).

In order to further show that the multivalent behavior observed in NCTs is an effect of nanostructure-induced bundle bonds and not just an increased local concentration of DAP and Thy groups at the particle surface, NCTs were co-loaded with controlled ratios of “active” polymers terminating in a supramolecular binding group and “inert” polymers without any such functionality (Fig. S9-S10). In conventional model systems that explain multivalency solely due to increased local concentration of binding groups, reducing the number of active chains would be predicted to lower the degree of multivalency. However, in the NCT system, the values of $N$ and $\Delta H_m$ did not exhibit any significant change as a function of density of DAP and Thy groups (Fig. 4a, Figure S10). These unexpected results imply that, above a critical fraction of active polymer chains, the local bonding structure of an NCT-NCT connection must be constant, meaning that the size and the shapes of the bundle bonds do not change as a function of the number of “active” polymers within the range studied. The lower melting temperatures for the coloaded samples must therefore arise from a greater polymer entropy penalty for NCT bonding, as greater deformation would be required to maintain a constant multivalency. The importance of this finding is to reiterate the initial discovery that the act of forming a nanoscale bond results in an emergent structure (the bundle bond), where this new structural motif is the determinant factor of the entire system’s multivalency. The data and simulations presented above therefore indicate that the degree of multivalency in an NCT-NCT bond is indeed a complex interplay of building block structure across length scales, and
that nanoscale structure can be used to modulate bundle bond behavior.

Nevertheless, because each bundle bond still consists of multiple individual supramolecular complexes, it is also important to consider the effects of altering the molecular composition of the system. While this can be achieved via chemical alterations to the DAP or Thy complexes (as has been examined in previous molecular models), it is also possible to modify the overall structure and chemical behavior of an NCT via alterations to the solvent environment. Individual hydrogen bonding interactions typically weaken in more polar solvents, which would be expected to reduce the value of $\Delta H_m$. However, the chemical potential between DAP and Thy groups and their local environment would also decrease when increasing solvent polarity, reducing the driving force for forming the bundles, which could lead to larger bundle bonds and greater multivalency.

Variable temperature NMR experiments performed on small molecule DAP and Thy analogues demonstrate that adding a more polar solvent (1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane, TCE) does indeed decrease $\Delta H_s$ for individual DAP-Thy complexes. This enthalpy change reaches a minimum value at ~20% TCE, due to preferential solvation of the moderately polar DAP-Thy complex (Fig. S18-19). However, although $\Delta H_s$ decreases with increasing solvent polarity, the collective $\Delta H_m$ of an NCT bond actually increases when TCE is added (Fig. 4b). Because each individual hydrogen bond is weaker, the increase in $\Delta H_m$ indicates that $N$ must dramatically increase in the more polar solvent: bundles in the more polar solvents exhibit multivalencies up to ~250% of their value in pure toluene ($N = 10$ in toluene but 25 in 20% TCE for the system in fig. 4b). Simulations confirm that adding TCE increases both average bundle bond size and the rate at which DAP-Thy complexes exchange between adjacent bundles (Fig. S16-S17). This enhanced mobility affords each supramolecular group a greater number of counterparts on a neighboring particle with which it can complex, enhancing NCT multivalency (Figs. 4c, 4d). Thus, although each individual hydrogen bond weakens with increased solvent polarity, the increased multivalency results in higher collective binding strength between NCTs. As a result, the hierarchical ordering of an NCT-NCT bond is dictated (and can be controlled) by a complex and integrated set of design variables across multiple size regimes. Alterations to molecular composition of the solvent change the enthalpic driving force for bundle bond formation, while alterations to NCT nanoscale structure alter its associated entropic penalty. NCTs therefore represent a multivalent supramolecular system that, just like natural systems, can only be regulated and understood by considering material structure at the molecular and nanoscale simultaneously.

Importantly, the fundamental understanding of NCT bond behavior from the experiments above potentially allows for the development of new design principles for systems-level control of assembly as a function of these integrated molecular and nanoscale handles. Prior work has shown that NCTs with symmetric particle sizes and polymer lengths form ordered, crystalline CsCl-type lattices upon thermal annealing. A crystalline lattice is hypothesized to be the thermodynamically favored state because it maximizes the number of NCT bonds that can form and minimizes the entropic penalty associated with polymer confinement upon binding. This implies that manipulating the overall morphology of the “bundled” bonds could allow new phases to be achieved, as these enthalpic and entropic factors...
that determine which crystal phase is thermodynamically preferred are inherently linked to the sizes and shapes of the bundles. As a result, alterations to nanoscale NCT architecture could be used to regulate both the thermodynamics of supramolecular complex formation and the mesoscale coordination environment of particles within a lattice.

For example, an asymmetric NCT system consisting of complementary large (20 nm diameter core and 12 kDa polymer) and small (12.5 nm core and 7 kDa polymer) NCTs was found to assemble into a lattice isosstructural with Th$_3$P$_4$ after thermal annealing. The formation of this lattice symmetry is surprising, since it has a lower nanoparticle valency and thus fewer interparticle connections than CsCl-type lattices. It has been postulated in other nanoparticle assembly methods$^{36,37}$ that the Th$_3$P$_4$ phase is favored over CsCl because it alleviates strain in linkers that are sterically limited in their mobility. This strain on the ligands induced by assembly also connects to the previously described bundling behavior, as increasing polymer strain correlates negatively with the amount of multivalency. In toluene, the strong driving force for segregation of the DAP and Thy groups drives the formation of small bundles ($N \sim 10$ as shown above), which restrict the motion of the supramolecular binding groups. Increasing the solvent polarity would be expected to increase molecular bond mobility and soften nanoscale polymer coronae, expanding the size of the supramolecular bundle bonds and increasing the multivalency of the NCT. In more polar solvents, the higher enthalpic driving force from the collective supramolecular interaction should be able to overcome the entropy penalty of straining the polymers, and favor an alternate superlattice structure. To clarify, the collective supramolecular interaction of multiple molecular binding groups arranged around a nanoscale scaffold would result in a mesoscale superlattice architecture, but this mesoscale organization would also control the amount of nanoscale polymer reorganization needed to maintain the lattice, thereby affecting the supramolecular bundle sizes and thus collective molecular thermodynamics—a true “systems” approach to material design (Figure 1).

Adding TCE to NCT solutions during thermal annealing does indeed favor the formation of the 4% denser CsCl lattice (Fig. 5), demonstrating that a single set of NCT building blocks can be driven down two different assembly pathways using solely changes to solvent composition. The significance of this result is that the hierarchical ordering in this system cannot be predetermined by simply summing up the interactions of individual molecular binding groups, or considering the larger lattices and NCT bonds to be just a consequence of the behavior of individual molecules. Rather, these structures are indeed an example of a systems-level design process, where the morphology at each length scale (molecular, nano, and meso) is both an effect and a cause of behavior at the others.

It is important to again note that this system-derived change in NCT coordination environment is different than prior particle assembly schemes that use “patchy” particles$^{23,25,38}$. These methods typically control particle coordination numbers by breaking the overall symmetry of the ligand shell around a particle. Here, the overall ligand shell surrounding the particles remains largely isotropic at the nanoscale, as each NCT-NCT bond consists of multiple emergent bundle bonds that are smaller than the length scale of the collective NCT-NCT interactions. Instead of altering the nanoscale symmetry of the particle building blocks, these bundles regulate the degree of multivalency to dictate the equilibrium lattice symmetry. The formation of these two unique superlattices and the ability to drive a single set of NCT building blocks to either crystallographic symmetry therefore demonstrates the potential for materials design using a combination of integrated handles across multiple size regimes.

**Conclusion**

In order to fully mimic the complexity of naturally occurring materials made via directed assembly, it is imperative to understand how the interactions between design features at multiple length scales dictate material structure. The systems-level design methodology presented here represents a unique platform for exploring the thermodynamics of multivalent behavior in a manner that is more similar to a natural system than a simple molecular model. While this work focuses on the first steps of controlling material structure and molecular assembly in a systems-level approach, the modularity of the NCT design potentially allows future exploration of different design handles (e.g. polymer compositions, supramolecular binding group structures, particle shapes) to further imitate and explore many different types of multivalent natural systems that use nanoscale structure to modulate their behavior and hierarchical ordering across multiple length scales. The understanding gained from the experiments performed here and these future investigations is critical for multiple fields where materials require sophisti-

---

**Figure 5.** Solvent composition manipulates NCT superlattice crystal structure. (a) Schematic diagram showing the unit cell of a Th$_3$P$_4$-type NCT superlattice and 8 unit cells of CsCl-Type lattices, which occupy a similar volume. (b) Small angle x-ray scattering measurements of NCT superlattices in toluene with 0 to 10 volume percent 1,1,2,2 tetrachloroethane (TCE) as a cosolvent. The top and bottom dashed patterns are simulated. Increasing TCE modulates the bundle bond size, which then alters the resulting mesoscale structure of the NCTs.
cated structures and interactions between features at the molecular, nano-, and macroscopic length scales, including catalysis, interface science, and biomimetics. Studying the interplay between molecular makeup and nanoscale spatial organization therefore presents a powerful opportunity for programming hierarchical ordering and material behavior beyond the limitations of current reductionist design approaches.
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