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Molecular evolution of the crustacean hyperglycemic hormone family in ecdysozoans

Nicolas Montagné1, Yves Desdevises2, Daniel Soyez3, Jean-Yves Toullec4*

Abstract

Background: Crustacean Hyperglycemic Hormone (CHH) family peptides are neurohormones known to regulate several important functions in decapod crustaceans such as ionic and energetic metabolism, molting and reproduction. The structural conservation of these peptides, together with the variety of functions they display, led us to investigate their evolutionary history. CHH family peptides exist in insects (Ion Transport Peptides) and may be present in all ecdysozoans as well. In order to extend the evolutionary study to the entire family, CHH family peptides were thus searched in taxa outside decapods, where they have been, to date, poorly investigated.

Results: CHH family peptides were characterized by molecular cloning in a branchiopod crustacean, Daphnia magna, and in a collembolan, Folsomia candida. Genes encoding such peptides were also rebuilt in silico from genomic sequences of another branchiopod, a chelicerate and two nematodes. These sequences were included in updated datasets to build phylogenies of the CHH family in pancrustaceans. These phylogenies suggest that peptides found in Branchiopoda and Collembola are more closely related to insect ITPs than to crustacean CHHs.Datasets were also used to support a phylogenetic hypothesis about pancrustacean relationships, which, in addition to gene structures, allowed us to propose two evolutionary scenarios of this multigenic family in ecdysozoans.

Conclusions: Evolutionary scenarios suggest that CHH family genes of ecdysozoans originate from an ancestral two-exon gene, and genes of arthropods from a three-exon one. In malacostracans, the evolution of the CHH family has involved several duplication, insertion or deletion events, leading to neuropeptides with a wide variety of functions, as observed in decapods. This family could thus constitute a promising model to investigate the links between gene duplications and functional divergence.

Background

The study of the evolutionary history of arthropods is a challenging field of research, as they constitute the large majority of known metazoan species and exhibit an extreme diversity of body plans and physiology. Nowadays, evo-devo approaches largely contribute to this research area [reviewed in [1]], but the evolution of peptide hormone families directly involved in physiological adaptations remains poorly investigated in arthropods, especially when compared to vertebrates. The Crustacean Hyperglycemic Hormone (CHH) family could constitute a model of choice to address this question, as CHH family peptides are well known in decapod crustaceans, where they do play major roles in many physiological processes. Since decapods were the first invertebrates where a neuroendocrine mechanism was discovered, they have been extensively used in comparative endocrinology studies, and CHH has become the archetype of a neuropeptide family including around 150 members to date [reviewed in [2-4]]. CHH family peptides are 72 to 78 amino acids long and are, based on structural features, divided into two sub-families [5]. Type I peptides, the CHHs sensu stricto, are pleiotropic hormones involved in the regulation of energetic and ionic metabolism and, in addition, can also exert an inhibitory effect on molting and reproduction [reviewed in [6]]. By a tissue-specific alternative splicing mechanism, CHH genes produce a second peptide, devoid of hyperglycemic activity, named CHH-L (for CHH long isoform), which may be involved in osmoregulation [7-9]. Type II peptides, namely the molt-inhibiting
hormones (MIHs), the vitellogenesis-inhibiting hormones (VIHs) and the mandibular organ-inhibiting hormones (MOIHs), are functionally more specialized than CHHs. Characterized through their inhibitory actions on molting and reproduction, they never elicit hyperglycemia [reviewed in [2,10]].

The evolution of CHHs has been recently discussed in decapods [11] and we have intended here to extend the evolutionary study to the entire family, by including data from non-decapod taxa. Little is known about the CHH family outside decapods, but it may be present in the entire Arthropoda, and even in all Ecdysozoa. Indeed, a CHH and a VIH were isolated from an isopod crustacean [12,13], and neuropeptides sharing the same structural signature, the ion transport peptides (ITPs), were also characterized in several insect species [reviewed in [14]]. As for decapod CHHs, ITP genes produce an ITP-L isofrom by alternative splicing, whose function remains elusive. To go further, heterologous immunostainings revealed the occurrence of CHH-like peptides in two branchiopods [15], a myriapod [16] and a chelicerate [17], and a gene was found in the genome of the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans, which encodes a putative peptide exhibiting a CHH family structural signature [3]. To increase our knowledge on the CHH family in the taxa cited above, we have conducted an in silico study on available genomic sequences from arthropods and nematodes. Exon-intron patterns of the genes were determined and sequences of putative CHH family peptides were deciphered. In addition, CHH family sequences were obtained by molecular cloning in a collemboian and in a branchiopod, whose phylogenetic positions are currently uncertain. Indeed, the grouping of crustaceans and hexapods in a Pancrustacea clade is widely accepted but the relationships inside Pancrustacea remain controversial. Recent molecular phylogenies based on mitochondrial genes (Figure 1A) notably yield a paraphyletic Hexapoda, with Colembola separated from Insecta, and Branchiopoda as a sister-group to Malacostraca and Cephalocarida [18-20]. These phylogenies largely differ from those based on nuclear genes (Figure 1B), where Hexapoda appears monophyletic, and Branchiopoda are generally sister-group to Hexapods [21-23].

Newly characterized sequences were included in CHH family sequence datasets, which were then used to build phylogenies of this family and to investigate its evolutionary history. Because of the reduced size of these datasets, a test was conducted to assess which of the two phylogenetic hypotheses presented Figure 1 was more strongly supported by our data, and could be further used as a basis to elaborate evolutionary scenarios of the CHH family genes.

Results

CHH family peptides characterized in Daphnia magna and Folsomia candida

In the branchiopod Daphnia magna, RT-PCRs conducted with degenerate primers designed from insect ITP transcripts and followed by 3’-5’RACE produced two complete cDNAs. Their length was 1053 and 1198 bp, respectively, and they encoded peptide precursors composed of a signal peptide, a precursor-related peptide and a mature hormone. The two cDNA sequences were identical except for a 145 bp stretch (position 383 to 527) present in only one of them, suggesting the
occurrence of an alternative splicing during mRNA processing. The short cDNA encoded a 72-residue peptide with a C-terminus end putatively amidated, which was named ion transport peptide (ITP) as it appeared to be related to insect ITPs (Figure 2A). On the other hand, the long cDNA encoded a non-amidated peptide of 79 amino acids, only differing from ITP after the fortith residue, which was named ITP-L (Figure 2B).

The two complete cDNA sequences obtained from the collembolan Folsomia candida led to similar results: two transcripts of different lengths (1307 and 2018 bp) encoded prepro-peptides which only differed after the fortith residue of the mature peptide. The 72 residue amidated peptide, translated from the short cDNA, was named ITP and the 82 residue non-amidated peptide, translated from the longest cDNA, was named ITP-L (Figure 2A and 2B).

Sequences characterized in silico
For the insects Anopheles gambiae, Apis mellifera, Culex pipiens, Nasonia vitripennis and Pediculus humanus, nucleotide sequences encoding for ITP or ITP-L, which have not been recovered by automatic annotation programs were deduced from the gene sequence following alternative splicing rules. A gene encoding putative ITP and ITP-L isoforms was also found in the genome of another water flea, Daphnia pulex. At the present time, both ITP (72 or 73 residue amidated peptides) and ITP-L (79 to 90 residue non-amidated peptides) sequences are available from 12 insect and two branchiopod species (Figure 2A and 2B).

In the genome of the deer tick Ixodes scapularis (Chelicerata), a gene was found that encoded only one mature ITP-like peptide. In addition, cDNA and peptide sequences of another ITP-like peptide were found,

Figure 2 Alignments of the putative ITP (ion transport peptide) and ITP-L sequences from branchiopods, collembolans, insects and chelicerates. (A) Multiple-sequence alignment of 17 ITP sequences with CHH (crustacean hyperglycemic hormone) sequence from the shore crab Carcinus maenas. (B) Multiple-sequence alignment of 16 ITP-L sequences with CHH-L sequence from Carcinus maenas. Aea: Aedes aegypti; Ang: Anopheles gambiae; Apm: Apis mellifera; Bom: Bombyx mori; Cam: Carcinus maenas; Cup: Culex pipiens; Dam: Daphnia magna; Dap: Daphnia pulex; Dev: Dermacentor variabilis; Drm: Drosophila melanogaster; Foc: Folsomia candida; Ixs: Ixodes scapularis; Lom: Locusta migratoria; Mas: Manduca sexta; Nav: Nasonia vitripennis; Peh: Pediculus humanus; Scg: Schistocerca gregaria; Trc: Tribolium castaneum. Sequence accession numbers are given in Table 2.
during database mining, in the American dog tick *Dermacentor variabilis*. These peptides are 74 and 75 residue long, respectively, and are not amidated on their C-terminal end (Figure 2A).

In the *Caenorhabditis elegans* genome, the gene ZC168.2 has been described as putatively encoding a CHH family peptide of 83 amino acid residues [3]. In the present study, a second gene (CO5E11.6) which may encode another putative CHH family peptide was found in the *C. elegans* genome: this gene encodes a mature peptide of 97 amino acids containing six cysteyl residues whose positions are similar to those found in CHH family hormones (Figure 3). The corresponding peptides ZC168.2 and CO5E11.6 were named ITP 1 and ITP 2, respectively, based on their similarity with insect ITPs and without predicting any physiological function. Similar ITP 1 and ITP 2 peptides were also deduced from the genomes of *Caenorhabditis briggsae* and *Caenorhabditis remanei*. Finally, single CHH family peptides were deduced from the genomes of the Malaysian filarial worm *Brugia malayi* and the whipworm *Trichinella spiralis*. These putative peptides were 102 and 60 amino acids long, respectively (Figure 3).

For each of the genes described here, exon-intron structures were determined and compared to those already described (Figure 4). These data were further used, in addition to phylogenetic information, to infer evolutionary scenarios of the CHH family.

**Phylogeny of CHH family peptides in Pancrustacea**

In the maximum-likelihood (ML) phylogeny of pancrustacean CHH family peptides (Figure 5), type I and type II peptides of decapods were both arranged in monophyletic sub-groups. Type I and II peptide clades were also found in the phylogeny built by Bayesian inference (BI) based on the DNA dataset. The “type I” clade, supported by a bootstrap value of 95% in the ML analysis (posterior probability, or pp, of 1.00 in BI), contained all CHHs from decapods, with the CHH from the isopod *Armadillidium vulgare* at their base. Similarly, the “type II” clade, supported by a bootstrap value of 100% (pp of 1.00 in BI), contained all MIHs, VIHs and MOIHs from decapods, with the isopod VIH at their base. This topology suggests that the type I and type II peptide genes constitute paralogous lineages, which have appeared by duplication of an ancestral gene before the radiation of malacostracans (taxa that contains decapods and isopods).

Inside the type I clade, the clade of *Dendrobranchiata* (peneid shrimps) CHHs encoded by three-exon genes is at the base of the other decapod CHHs, encoded by four-exon genes, found both in *Dendrobranchiata* and *Pleocyemata* (the remaining decapods). It suggests that a duplication of a CHH gene has occurred before the radiation of decapods and the split between *Dendrobranchiata* and *Pleocyemata*, but this node was strongly supported only in the BI analysis (pp of 1.00, bootstrap value of 45% in the ML analysis).

A clade supported by a bootstrap value of 76% (pp of 0.99 in BI) was positioned at the base of the type I and type II clades and contained all ITPs from insects, together with the peptides characterized in the collembolan *Folsomia candida* and the branchiopods *Daphnia magna* and *Daphnia pulex*, which were located at the base. The type III sub-family was thus created to designate peptides contained in this clade.

Since the topology of this clade was only weakly supported (notably with insects appearing paraphyletic), amino acid and DNA datasets containing less taxa but more characters were used for phylogenetic inference. When an outgroup (DNA or amino acid sequences

---

**Figure 3 Alignment of putative CHH family peptides of nematodes**. ITP-like sequences deduced from nematode genomes were aligned with the ITP sequence of *Schistocerca gregaria*. Brm: *Brugia malayi*; Cab: *Caenorhabditis briggsae*; Cae: *Caenorhabditis elegans*; Car: *Caenorhabditis remanei*; Sgc: *Schistocerca gregaria*; Trs: *Trichinella spiralis*.
Figure 4 Structure of the genes encoding CHH family peptides. Exons are represented by open boxes: untranslated regions are in grey, regions encoding signal peptides are in yellow, those encoding precursor-related peptides in light blue, those encoding mature ITPs, CHHs, MIHs and MOIHs in purple, red, green and dark blue, respectively, and alternatively spliced exons encoding ITP-L and CHH-L C-terminus regions in violet and orange, respectively. Question marks indicate untranslated regions whose precise borders are not known.
Figure 5 Phylogeny of the CHH family in Pancrustacea, based on maximum likelihood analysis of an amino acid dataset (56 taxa, 73 characters). The analysis was carried out using a WAG+I+G model of protein evolution. *Ixodes scapularis* and *Dermacentor variabilis* putative ITPs were assigned as outgroup. Numbers at nodes are bootstrap values based on 100 replicates. Sequence accession numbers are given in Table 2. For taxa in which the genes have been sequenced, the number of exons (three, four or five) is indicated in a black circle after the name of the species. A four-exon pattern was assigned for taxa in which two peptides arising by alternative splicing have been described.
from *Ixodes scapularis*) was included in these datasets, a structure of two monophyletic groups was found within the ingroup: a “type I” clade (supported by a bootstrap value of 100% in the ML analysis based on the amino acid dataset and by posterior probabilities of 1.00 in BI analyses using either amino acid or DNA dataset) and a “type III” clade (bootstrap value of 48% in the ML analysis of the amino acid dataset, posterior probabilities of 0.79 and 0.98 in BI analyses based on the amino acid and the DNA datasets, respectively). Since the four exons used in these datasets exist only in the ingroup species, the inclusion of the outgroup resulted in a loss of phylogenetic signal. Then, the outgroup was removed for subsequent analyses and the resulting trees were rooted using the structure in two clades previously found.

Phylogenies built from these 23-taxon datasets using ML and BI methods were similar (Figure 6). Insects appeared monophyletic, albeit with moderate support, and the relationships within the insect clade were not resolved. Yet, the collembolan *Folsomia candida* was positioned at the base of the insects, thus forming a moderately supported monophyletic hexapod clade, and branchiopods were a sister group to hexapods, with high support.

**Evolutionary scenarios of the CHH family genes**

Using the 23-taxon sequence datasets, a KH test was conducted to decide which of the two competing phylogenetic hypotheses for arthropods presented in Figure 1 could be used to build an evolutionary scenario of the CHH family. This test clearly supported (P < 0.0001) the tree based on nuclear genes, with a monophyletic Hexapoda sister-group to Branchiopoda (Figure 1B), versus the tree based on mitochondrial genes (Figure 1A). Then, the ML phylogeny of CHH family peptides (Figure 5) was superimposed on a species tree in which Branchiopoda were sister-group to Hexapoda, to create a topology accounting for gene duplications in Pancrustacea (Figure 7A). In nematodes, a gene duplication was also inferred in the *Caenorhabditis* phy- lum, as two paralogs were found in genomes of *Caenorhabditis* species. In the most parsimonious scenario of

![Figure 6 Phylogeny based on Bayesian analysis of the amino acid dataset including CHH-L and ITP-L sequences (23 taxa, 109 characters)](http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2148/10/62)

Trees obtained by maximum likelihood analysis of the amino acid dataset and by Bayesian analysis of the DNA dataset were fully congruent. Numbers above branches are posterior probabilities and bootstrap values (based on 100 replicates) obtained from the analysis of the amino acid dataset, and numbers below branches are posterior probabilities obtained from the analysis of the DNA dataset. Sequence accession numbers are given in Table 2.
Figure 7 Evolutionary scenarios of the CHH family genes in Ecdysozoa. (A) Hypothesis accounting for two independent exon duplications originating the four-exon genes, which may have occurred on one side in a common ancestor of decapods and on the other side in a common ancestor of insects and branchiopods. (B) Hypothesis accounting for a single exon duplication originating the four-exon genes of insects, branchiopods and decapods.
gene structure evolution based on this topology, CHH family genes of Ecdysozoa evolved from an ancestral two-exon gene, with nine partial insertion or deletion events (Figure 7A). In this scenario, CHH family genes of arthropods have all evolved from a three-exon gene, and the four-exon gene structure would have appeared independently twice, in a common ancestor of decapods and in a common ancestor of insects and branchiopods.

Because of the weak support, in the ML phylogeny (Figure 5), at the node indicating the duplication which led to the two paralogous CHH genes found in Dendrobranchiata (with three or four exons), an alternative topology was considered for Pancrustacean CHH family genes, where the CHH gene duplication would have occurred only in the Dendrobranchiata phylum (Figure 7B). In that case, the most parsimonious scenario implied eight intron or exon insertion/deletion events, and the exon duplication leading to the four-exon gene structure would have occurred only once.

Regardless of the evolutionary scenario considered, the putative ancestral gene was likely composed of two exons and a phase 2 intron interrupting the codon of the amino acid residue following the fourth cysteine of the mature peptide. Such an intron was found in every known CHH family gene, except the ITP2 genes in Caenorhabditis species and the ITP gene of Trichinella spiralis (Figure 4).

Dibasic processing sites used for precursor maturation by prohormone convertase then likely appeared independently twice, in the common ancestor to arthropods on one hand and in the common ancestor to the Caenorhabditis genus on the other hand, leading to the occurrence in these lineages of precursor related peptides (blue boxes in Figures 4 and 7), such as the CHH-precursor related peptides (CPRPs) of decapods.

Discussion
Evolution of the CHH family in decapods and functional implications
Since the discovery of neuroendocrine factors within the eyestalks of decapods seventy years ago [24-26], structural and functional data about CHH family peptides in these crustaceans have been accumulated. While our knowledge increased, it became more and more difficult to propose a model of endocrine regulation applying to all decapods. Indeed, CHH family peptides are not identical in all decapod groups, and their function varies with the species. This diversity prompted us to investigate the evolutionary history of these peptides.

In decapods, many gene duplications have occurred, leading to two main paralogous lineages (type I and type II peptides) and to a large polymorphism of CHH family peptides inside these lineages (Figure 7). For example, two clusters containing each at least 7 different CHH genes were identified in the shrimp Metapenaeus ensis [27]. A paradigm in evolutionary biology is that gene duplication represents the major mechanism for emergence of new functions, as one of the two copies is potentially freed from selective pressure and will accumulate more mutations during evolution [28,29]. In the CHH family, the functional divergence observed between the two paralogous lineages could result from a subfunctionalization [30,31], rather than from a neofunctionalization. In fact, the ancestral gene may have encoded a pleiotropic hormone, like current CHHs, and after duplication of this gene the type II paralogous lineage may have evolved faster to peptides with a CHH-like structure, but devoid of hyperglycemic activity and conversely exhibiting more specialized activities, such as inhibition of molting or vitellogenesis (for MIHs and VIHs, respectively). The faster evolution rate of type II peptide genes may explain the loss of phylogenetic signal observed in MIHs, VIHs and MOIHs sequences. Indeed, the topology of the “type II” clade (Figure 5) is not congruent with the phylogeny of decapods, whereas it is for the “type I” clade, as shown in earlier work [11]. This clearly impedes the elucidation of evolutionary relationships between type II peptides. The only paralogous lineages clearly identified so far are the MIHs and MOIHs of Cancer crabs, as the MOIH genes seem to have appeared from a duplicated MIH gene only in this genus [32]. In lobsters, there is no MIH identified so far but a VIH instead, whose gene may have evolved from a MIH gene subjected to a reduced selective pressure, as molt inhibition is exerted in this taxa by a CHH isoform [33].

Evolutionary history “outside decapods”
A high number of gene duplications were observed in decapods only. So far, the only paralogous lineages identified outside decapods are the “ITP1” and “ITP2” genes found in Caenorhabditis species (Figure 7), and only one CHH family peptide gene could be found in genomes of the other nematodes, the insects and the deer tick. In the water flea Daphnia pulex, one ITP gene was also rebuilt from whole genome shotgun (WGS) sequences but other WGS sequences sharing sequence identity with the ITP gene have been found. However, it is unclear whether these sequences correspond to another CHH family peptide coding gene or to a pseudo-gene: this gene would have a peculiar structure, with exon III lacking, and the propeptide putatively encoded would lack the dibasic processing site found in every CHH or ITP precursors and the 6 following residues of the mature peptide as well. Yet, although only one ITP and one ITP-L cDNA have been cloned in Daphnia magna, recently published EST data suggest that both genes may be expressed in Daphnia pulex [34], but EST and genomic sequences do not match.
(only 85% identity between deduced amino acid sequences). To include these data in an evolutionary scenario, genomic and mRNA sequences should be characterized by molecular cloning.

This apparent lack of paralogous lineages outside decapods raises the question of a possible conservation of function between the ITPs of insects and the related peptides found in branchiopods, chelicerates and nematodes. Yet, functional studies are too scarce to address this question. In insects, the effect of ITP on ionic and water balance was only demonstrated in locusts [35,36] and nothing is known about the function of ITP-like peptides in chelicerates or nematodes. In branchiopods, only one gene expression study on sex determination in Daphnia magna has indicated that CHH family peptides might inhibit methyl farnesoate synthesis by the mandibular organ [37]. It is noteworthy that several CHH family peptides are known to play such a role in decapods [38-40]. It will be informative to determine whether Daphnia peptides exhibit other typical “decapod” functions such as hyperglycemia or ecdysteroid synthesis inhibition, or if they also possess an anti-diuretic activity, as shown for insect ITPs. A similar question about the CHH family, the putative RPCH (red pigment-concentrating hormone) of another neuropeptide family, the RPCH/AKH family, may inhibit methyl farnesoate synthesis by the mandibular organ [37]. It is noteworthy that several CHH family peptides are known to play such a role in decapods [38-40]. It will be informative to determine whether Daphnia peptides exhibit other typical “decapod” functions such as hyperglycemia or ecdysteroid synthesis inhibition, or if they also possess an anti-diuretic activity, as shown for insect ITPs. A similar question about the CHH family, the putative RPCH (red pigment-concentrating hormone) of another neuropeptide family, the RPCH/AKH family, has recently found a partial answer. Like for the CHH family, the putative RPCH (red pigment-concentrating hormone) of Daphnia magna shares more sequence similarity with AKHs (adipo-kinetic hormones) of insects than with RPCH of decapod crustaceans [41]. In a heterologous bioassay, this peptide was able to trigger a mobilization of lipid reserves when injected into the green shield bug Nezara viridula whereas it did not provoke pigment migration when injected into the shrimp Palaemon pacificus [42]. Although heterologous bioassays must be considered cautiously, such results suggest that this peptide could have an adipokinetic function in water fleas (like in insects) rather than a pigment-concentration function (like in decapods).

Emergence of the four-exon genes
One important step in the evolution of the CHH family is the emergence of the four-exon genes found in decapods, branchiopods and insects. In these genes, exons III and IV encode peptide sequences of similar lengths, with two cystein residues in the same positions, thus suggesting that the supplementary exon has appeared by tandem duplication of another exon. At first glance, it seems more likely that this duplication has occurred only once, in a common ancestor of Pancrustacea (Figure 7B). In all these taxa, two peptides are produced by alternative splicing: one peptide (CHH or ITP) is 72 or 73 amino acids long and is always amidated on its C-terminal end, and the other peptide (CHH-L or ITP-L) is slightly longer and is never amidated. Moreover, the expression of these two isoforms seems to be similar in decapods and insects; in the crab Carcinus maenas and the caridean shrimp Macrobrachium rosenbergii, CHH transcripts were mainly found in neurons of the central nervous system (more precisely in the X-organ located in the eyestalk) whereas CHH-L transcripts were found in neurons of the peripheral nervous system [7,8]; in the moths Manduca sexta and Bombyx mori and the locust Schistocerca americana, ITP-immunoreactive neurons were only found in the brain, whereas ITP-L-immunoreactive ones were found along the ventral nervous chain. ITP-L immunoreactivity was also detected in the brain, but was weak and restricted to cell bodies, thus suggesting that ITP-L was not secreted from this tissue [43].

Yet, even if the hypothesis of an independent emergence of the fourth exon (Figure 7A) appears unlikely, it should not be rejected, as a similar exon duplication also occurred in a Drosophila ancestor, leading to the five-exon gene found in Drosophila melanogaster [44].

Controversy about pancrustacean phylogeny
Given the relative short length of the sequences used, the objective of this study was not to build a phylogeny of pancrustaceans using CHH family peptides, but to choose the appropriate phylogeny to infer evolutionary scenarios of the CHH family. The KH test carried out with our datasets indicated a congruence of the data with recent molecular phylogenies of pancrustaceans based on nuclear gene sequences [21-23] and not with those based on mitochondrial genes [18-20]. Moreover, the robustness and quality of the signal contained in mitochondrial gene sequences for arthropod phylogenetics have recently been questioned [45,46]. Supplementary taxa will have to be represented within CHH family datasets to confirm this result, but studying the evolutionary history of this family may help to select synapomorphies to support concurrent phylogenetic hypotheses about pancrustacean relationships. For example, the presence of paralogous type I and type II peptides could constitute a trait shared by malacostracans and their sister-groups.

Conclusion
The evolutionary scenarios proposed in this study suggest that genes encoding Crustacean Hyperglycemic Hormone family peptides of Ecdysozoa evolved from an ancestral two-exon gene. In the malacostracan lineage (including decapods), the evolution of the CHH family has involved numerous duplications, insertions and deletions of exons or entire genes, leading to the wide variety of functions displayed by the encoded neurohormones in decapods. This neuropeptide family could thus constitute a promising model to investigate the evolutionary forces at the root of the functional divergence of duplicated genes.
genes. Outside malacostracans, the number of gene duplications seems to have been lower, which may reflect different evolutionary pathways. At present, CHH family peptides characterized outside decapods are still scarce, and this work constitutes a first step in a wider quest. In the future, the evolutionary scenarios elaborated here will be completed and amended, as CHH family peptides will probably be characterized in new taxa. The 38 genome sequencing projects currently under way in ecdyszoan species will be of valuable help as soon as they are completed, to enlarge our view on the evolution of this multigenic family.

Methods

Biological material and RNA extraction

*Daphnia magna* and *Folsomia candida* (strain TO) specimens were supplied by the BIOEMCO laboratory and the Ecology & Evolution laboratory, respectively, both located at the Ecole Normale Supérieure (Paris, France). Total RNA was extracted from 10 mg of whole animals, using *SV Total RNA Isolation System* (Promega, Madison, WI). cDNA was synthesized from 300 ng of total RNA using *cDNA Synthesis Kit (Clontech, Mountain View, CA)*. For PCR amplification and cloning

PCR amplification and cloning

First, a central region of putative ITP precursor cDNAs was amplified using degenerate primers DgnITP-Up (5’-CTTCCGAYATCMKGTGYAARGG-3’) and DgnITP-Down (5’-RAGRCAWCTTTGAGAWTG-3’) designed from the alignment of known insect ITP cDNAs. The reaction mixture contained 1.5 U of GoTaq® DNA Polymerase (Promega), 5 pmoles of each dNTP and 10 pmole of each oligo(dT) primer. This synthesis was performed at 42°C for 1 hour, followed by an inactivation step at 70°C for 15 min.

**3’ and 5’RACE**

To create cDNAs which contain a synthetic adaptor either at the 5’ or 3’ end, total RNA was reverse-transcribed using the SMART™ RACE cDNA Amplification Kit (Clontech, Mountain View, CA). For PCR amplifications, two sets of primers, specific of *Daphnia magna* and *Folsomia candida*, were designed from the partial cDNA sequences obtained by RT-PCR. These primers are listed in Table 1. First amplifications were performed using the adaptor primer supplied with the kit (Universal Primer Mix) and specific primers Up1 (for 3’RACE) or Down1 (for 5’RACE). Then, nested PCRs were performed on 1/150th of the first PCR products, between the Nested Universal Primer from the kit and specific primers Up2 or Down2. All reverse-transcription and PCR amplification steps were carried out following the protocol supplied in the kit. RACE products were cloned and sequenced as described above.

Database mining

A search for CHH family peptide sequences from arthropods and nematodes was performed with the BLAST program at the NCBI website http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi. The non-redundant protein sequences (nr) database was mined by blastp algorithm using *Schistocerca gregaria* ITP or the putative peptide ZC168.2 of *Caenorhabditis elegans* as query sequences for arthropods and for nematodes, respectively. Corresponding mRNA sequences were also collected, and aligned with genome sequences to determine the exon-intron structure of the genes. Sequences of genes encoding putative CHH family peptides of *Daphnia pulex* (Branchiopoda), *Ixodes scapularis* (Chelicerata), *Caenorhabditis remanei* and *Brugia malayi* (Nematoda) were assembled from whole genome shotgun (WGS) sequences available in the NCBI Trace Archives database, by assembling trace reads with CLC Genomics Workbench software (CLCbio, Aarhus, Denmark).

Phylogenetic analyses

Datasets containing mature CHH family peptide sequences and the corresponding DNA sequences

| Primer      | Species         | Sequence (5’ to 3’) | Tm (°C) |
|------------|----------------|--------------------|---------|
| DamITP-Up1 | *Daphnia magna* | TCAGGCAGTTCATCCGTCGCC | 71      |
| DamITP-Up2 | *Daphnia magna* | CCTGCGAGGGTTGGCTGCTG | 71      |
| DamITP-Down1 | *Daphnia magna* | GGACAGCAGCGGTTGGCCAGCG | 71      |
| DamITP-Down2 | *Daphnia magna* | ACTGGAACGAGCTGAGGCGAGAAAACC | 72      |
| FocITP-Up1 | *Folsomia candida* | GCCAACGCTGGAGAATTTTGGCGAGG | 73      |
| FocITP-Up2 | *Folsomia candida* | ACAATCCACCTCGACTGAGCTGAGCG | 73      |
| FocITP-Down1 | *Folsomia candida* | TCCGACCTGATAGGGATGAGGTGGTGT | 73      |
| FocITP-Down2 | *Folsomia candida* | ATCGTGCAAAATTCTGTCAGCTTGGC | 73      |
(when available) from Pancrustacea were created. In the amino acid dataset, 37 sequences from decapods (22 CHH and 15 MIH, VIH or MOIH) were selected among the 90 available sequences, and added to the 17 sequences from hexapods and branchiopods. ITP-like sequences of the ticks *Ixodes scapularis* and *Dermacentor variabilis* were included to be used as outgroup (for sequence references, see Table 2). Alignments were performed manually with Se-Al v2.0a11 http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/seal/, and after removal of N-terminal and C-terminal unconserved residues, the dataset contained 56 taxa and 73 characters. The DNA dataset contained 49 taxa and 219 characters.

DNA and amino acid datasets including CHH-L and ITP-L sequences were also created. For the 23 taxa in which such peptides are known, the amino acid sequence of the mature CHH-L or ITP-L (encoded by exons II and III of the gene) was concatenated with the C-terminal sequence of CHH or ITP (encoded by exon IV of the gene). Alignments were performed manually and 109 unambiguously aligned residues were conserved in the dataset. The DNA dataset contained 327 characters.

At first, DNA and translated amino acid sequences of *Ixodes scapularis* ITP-like were included in these datasets, to be used as an outgroup in order to determine the global branching order in the ingroup. Since the gene encoding this peptide lacks exon III in the outgroup taxon, corresponding characters were replaced with “?” symbols in the alignments. Because of the loss of phylogenetic signal brought by the inclusion of the outgroup, it was removed from datasets for subsequent phylogenetic analyses, but the global structure previously found was kept.

Phylogenetic reconstructions were carried out using Bayesian inference and maximum likelihood. Bayesian analyses were performed with MrBayes 3.1.2 with four chains of 10^6 generations, trees sampled every 100 generations and burn-in value set to 20% of the sampled trees. We checked that standard deviation of the split frequencies fell below 0.01 to insure convergence in tree search. Protein sequences were analyzed with a mixed amino acid model [47], and DNA sequences were considered with an evolutionary model designed for coding sequences and taking the genetic code into account [48-50].

Maximum likelihood reconstructions were carried out only on amino acid sequences. For both datasets, the WAG+I+G substitution model [51] was determined as the best-fit model of protein evolution by ProtTest 1.3 [52]http://darwin.uvigo.es/software/prottest_server.html, following Akaike Information Criterion. Rate heterogeneity was set at four categories. The gamma distribution parameter and the proportion of invariable sites were

| Table 2 Amino acid sequences used for phylogenetic inference. |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Species** | **Peptide** | **Accession number** |
|------------|-------------|---------------------|
| **Chelicerata** | | |
| *Arachnida* | | |
| *Dermacentor variabilis* | ITP | ACC99599 |
| *Ixodes scapularis* | ITP | |
| **Hexapoda** | | |
| *Collembola* | | |
| *Folsomia candida* | ITP | ACF15252 |
| *ITP-L* | ACJ01668 |
| **Insecta** | | |
| *Aedes aegypti* | ITP | AAY29661 |
| *Anopheles gambiæ* | ITP | AAY29663 |
| *Apis mellifera* | ITP | XP_001120062 |
| *Bombyx mori* | ITP | AAY29659 |
| *Culex pipiæ* | ITP | |
| *Drosophila melanogaster* | ITP | ABZ88142 |
| *Locustia migratoria* | ITP | AAD20820 |
| *Manduca sexta* | ITP | AAY29658 |
| *Nasonia vitripennis* | ITP | |
| *Pediculus humanus* | ITP | XP_001604056 |
| *Schistocerca gregaria* | ITP | AAB16822 |
| *Tribolium castaneum* | ITP | ABN79658 |
| | ITP-L | ABN79657 |
| **Branchiopoda** | | |
| *Daphnia magna* | ITP | ABO43963 |
| | ITP-L | ABO43964 |
| **Malacostraca** | | |
| **Isopoda** | | |
| *Armadillidium vulgare* | CHH | P30814 |
| | VIH | P83627 |
| **Decapoda** | | |
| *Astacus leptodactylus* | CHH | AAX09331 |
| *Bythograea thermydron* | CHH | AAK28329 |
estimated from the datasets. Tree reconstructions were performed using PhyML 3.0 [53]http://www.atgc-monpellier.fr/phyml/ and validated with 100 bootstrap replicates.

**Evolutionary scenarios**

The two phylogenetic trees shown in Figure 1 were used as competing hypotheses to assess if one was more strongly supported by the 23-taxon sequence datasets. This was done via a KH test using a maximum likelihood criterion [54]. This allowed us to choose a "species tree" on which the "gene tree" could be super-imposed.

The evolution of CHH family gene structures was analyzed using Mesquite 2.6 [55], under a parsimony framework. For this analysis, two alternate topologies depicting the evolution of the CHH family genes were created. All the known intron locations within CHH family genes were considered as characters and the presence/absence of intron in each location was coded 0 (absence) or 1 (presence) to create a character matrix.
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