Cooling and Timing Tests of the ATLAS Fast TracKer VME Boards
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Abstract—The Fast TracKer (FTK) is an ATLAS trigger upgrade built for full-event, low-latency, high-rate tracking. The FTK core, made of 9U VME boards, performs the most demanding computational task. The associative memory board (AMB) serial link processor and the auxiliary card (AUX), plugged on the front and back sides of the same VME slot, constitute the processing unit (PU), which finds tracks using hits from eight layers of the inner detector. The PU works in pipeline with the second stage board (SSB), which finds 12-layer tracks by adding extra hits to the identified tracks. In the designed configuration, 16 PUs and four SSBs are installed in a VME crate. The high power consumption of the AMB, AUX, and SSB (respectively, of about 250, 70, and 160 W per board) required the development of a custom cooling system. Even though the expected power consumption for each VME crate of the FTK system is high compared with a common VME setup, the 8 FTK core crates will use ≈60 kW, which is just a fraction of the power and the space needed for a CPU farm performing the same task. We report on the integration of 32 PUs and eight SSBs inside the FTK system, on the infrastructures needed to run and cool them, and on the tests performed to verify the system processing rate and the temperature stability at a safe value.

Index Terms—Associative memories (AM), electronics cooling, field-programmable gate array (FPGA), particle tracking, temperature control, timing.

I. INTRODUCTION

THE VME boards developed for the Fast TracKer (FTK) processor [1], an ATLAS [2] trigger upgrade, perform the most power-consuming task of the system. The FTK is able to reconstruct, with high resolution, all the tracks with transverse momentum above 1 GeV at 100-kHz input rate, within short latencies (few tens of microseconds); it is compact (four VME racks) and the power usage is low (≈60 kW) compared with a CPU farm performing the same task (≈540 kW).

The FTK is organized in a pipelined architecture. A key role is played by high-performance field-programmable gate arrays (FPGAs), while most of the computing power is provided by full-custom ASICs named associative memories (AM) [3]. The 9U VME cards inside FTK are the “associative memory board (AMB) serial link processor,” the “auxiliary card” (AUX), and the second stage board (SSB). The AMB, full of AM chips, is managed by the large Rear Transition Module named the AUX card, full of powerful FPGAs. They sit in the same VME slot and constitute (see Fig. 1) a processing unit (PU). The PU is the FTK core that solves the huge combinatorial task with pattern recognition. It is also the most power- and time-consuming part of FTK.

The AMB executes the low-resolution pattern matching (PM) [4], working on eight detector layers. It is based on the use of a large bank of pre-computed patterns of trajectory points. The whole AM system stores 1 billion AM patterns. It recognizes track candidates at low resolution to perform the demanding task of tracking at the detector readout rate. The AUX validates or rejects the track candidates found by the AMB by performing high-resolution track fitting (TF) [1]. The two functions PM and TF are executed in pipeline and interfaced by the Data Organizer, which provides reduced resolution hits to the PM and well-organized full-resolution hits to the TF. The PU output (eight-layer tracks) is sent to the SSB, which adds four extra detector layers to produce the 12-layer tracks.

The AMB is assembled with four mezzanines, the little associative memory boards (LAMBs), for a total of 64 AM chips per board. The infrastructure design for this compact and powerful system is described in [5].

Over 200 boards were produced, including 128 AUXs, 64 AMBs, and 32 SSBs. Two PUs and one SSB were included in 2018 ATLAS data taking [6]. Two full VME crates (32 PUs and 16 SSBs) were used for cooling tests.

II. TIMING TESTS OF THE INTEGRATED VME BOARDS—MONITORING TOOLS

The monitoring of FTK-board dataflow is based on circular memories in the firmware (FW) called Spy Buffers [4].
Circular memories are continuously written with the data processed by the board unless a Freeze signal is asserted. When the memory is frozen, it can be readout through VME bus for error diagnosis or standard monitoring functions. The Freeze signal has been successfully used during the tests to detect rare errors. It is activated on error conditions and propagated to Freeze all the involved spy buffers.

Other quantities are monitored during data processing: 1) to understand pipeline bottlenecks the fraction of time each input FIFO is Empty or Half-full is measured; 2) the event processing rate; 3) the temperatures, I/O currents, and power consumption for each power voltage; and 4) specific tools built for the AMB. For each event, the time needed to load data into the AM chips and to send matched patterns to the output is measured for each I/O stream. These measurements provide data for the pipeline studies, which is crucial for ensuring the ability of the system to cope with the required latency.

In the AMB, clusters (Hits) are received in parallel on eight S-LINKs [7] (HIT Time) and each Hit is distributed to all patterns with maximum fan-out: each group of eight Hits reaches all patterns in a single clock cycle. The track candidates (Roads) found by the AM chips are readout in parallel on 16 S-LINKs (Road Time). While the AM chips receive an event, the previous event is readout from them in parallel. The end event (EE) word declares the end of an event download or an event readout to/from the AM chips.

Fig. 2. Sketch showing the portion of the AMB HIT and ROAD link logic where the times are measured.

The processing time is measured for all the eight HIT links and the 16 ROAD links using the same FW module, the time monitoring module (TMM). The monitoring dedicated module measures the number of clock cycles between a Start and a Stop signal.

Fig. 2 shows the signals used to generate the Start and Stop signals of the counters for both the HIT and ROAD link types. Both counters start at the activation of the Send_Road signal sent by CONTROL to both the Hit and ROAD links, to start the downloading of a new event and the readout of the previous one. In the case of HIT links, the time counter stops when the EE word is detected in all the links. The ROAD counter is stopped when the EE word has reached the OUT FIFO for each ROAD link.

The link timings have been measured with simulated random events. Figs. 3 and 4 show the results of the time measurements for data transit in the AMB on each input (see Fig. 3) and output (see Fig. 4) event. The events and the set of patterns stored in the AM chips were picked such that roads were constrained to fire in the position of the AM pipeline where the latency is maximum (worst case).

The input links have a time significantly smaller than the output links. The lowest measured time for the output links is around 500 clock cycles, corresponding to events with no matched roads. In fact, the output chip was programmed to count 500 clock cycles at the AM chip output after the last received road before declaring “Ended” the event to avoid the loss of roads delayed inside the Associative Memory pipeline [8]. The delay to get data through the daisy chain and ROAD output logic explains the extra clock cycles that separate empty and not empty events.

These timing studies allowed us to significantly decrease the conservative 500 clock cycles’ count originally set for the End of Event identification, bringing the new value to 100 clock cycles. This change significantly reduces the output link times.

In conclusion, these measurements show that the AMB latency meets the timing requirements for a 100-kHz event processing rate.
III. VME Boards Power Consumption

Since the power consumption of the board components changes based on their configuration, a direct measurement is made rather than relying on the predictions from the manufacturers. The resource utilization (in typical FPGA designs, some of the resources are not used after the configuration and thus they do not consume any power) and the switching activity (the number of signal transitions in a clock period) are the main contributors to the power variation.

The power consumption of the AM chips also requires a careful measurement. In this element, the power consumption is not related to its configuration, but to the rate and composition of the data being processed.

The power consumption of the AUX and SSB boards has been measured to be stable under the different operational conditions. Fluctuations in the switching activity have been observed, but their contribution to the final board power has been found to be negligible. The measurements have been performed by programming the two boards with the latest stable FW versions (end of 2018) and running them in standalone (not connecting them to the up-stream/down-stream boards) while processing simulated data. The AUX boards, running in loop mode on data directly loaded on the FPGAs FIFOs, have been measured to consume about 70 W. The SSB boards, fed with data coming from an emulator connected to the board, have been measured to consume about 160 W. Since no relevant changes in the FPGA resources’ utilization or in the switching activities are expected, these values can be considered to be close to the operational ones.

The estimation of the power consumption of the AMB relies on its two different processing components: FPGAs and AM chips. While, as for the AUX and SSB case, the AMB FPGAs are characterized by an almost fixed power consumption (for a given FW version), the AM chips show drastic variations. As already mentioned, these variations are related to the characteristics of the data undergoing processing, and in particular to the input data rate and to the bit flip (BF) number, that is, the number of bits that flip in the AM memory lines during the PM procedure. The total AMB power consumption can be, therefore, computed as

\[
\text{AMB}_{\text{power}} = \text{AMB}_{\text{core}} + 4 \cdot \text{(LAMBcore)}
\]

where AMB\textsubscript{core} represents the power consumption of the AMB mother board alone (FPGAs component), while LAMB\textsubscript{core} represents the power consumption of the LAMB boards, which is data-dependent (AM chip component). The AMB\textsubscript{core} value has been measured to be about 100 W. The LAMB\textsubscript{core} is in turn the sum of two different contributions.

The first contribution comes from the dummy hit value, a 32-bit word that is sent to the AM chip, alternated to the IDLE word, during the IDLE state to avoid a strong current reduction when no or too few real data are available. Because of the high data fan-out in the memory bank, the current on the AM chip net is not constant, but varies from a quiescent current of 20 A to a peak current of 220 A in a few hundreds of nanoseconds. A very powerful dc–dc converter is used to power each LAMB, but even with this device it is not possible to avoid the effect of the fast large peak of current, resulting in a drop in the voltage level. To mitigate this problem, a dummy word is sent to the AM chips while in IDLE, that is, while it is not processing data, helping to increase the quiescent current and, thus, reducing the voltage drop. The dummy word does not fire any pattern on the chip, but can be chosen so that the number of bits that flip in the AM lines at each clock cycle can be tuned as desired, managing the IDLE power consumption of the chip. The choice of the value of the dummy hit is finally a compromise between the increase in the quiescent current of the chip net, requiring a big BF value, and the necessity of reducing the total power consumption of the board.

The dummy hit value selected as optimal for operations corresponds to a BF value of 3.5. In Fig. 5, the result of the measurements performed to characterize the IDLE power consumption using this dummy hit is presented, showing a value of about 25 W.

The second component contributing to the LAMB power consumption is the mean number of BFs inside the data and the rate at which these data are sent to the AM chips for comparison. From the expected FTK running conditions, the
Table I: Power Consumption of the Different FTK VME Components of Each FTK Rack

| FTK VME component | Power (W) | Component number |
|-------------------|-----------|------------------|
| AUX               | 70        | 32               |
| SSB               | 160       | 8                |
| AMB               | 212-244   | 32               |
| Fan tray          | 850       | 4                |
| Full rack         | ≈ 16000   |                  |

The total VME rack power is

\[
\text{Rack power} = \left( (\text{AUX power} + \text{AMB power}) \cdot 16 + \text{SSB power} \cdot 4 \right) / 2 + \text{Fan power} / 2) / P_{\text{Seff}} \approx 16 \text{ kW}.
\]  

IV. Temperature Limits and Cooling Infrastructure

Each board component has a given temperature range in which its safe operation is guaranteed. The maximum temperature threshold is generally provided by the manufacturer of the given component. Keeping the temperatures low during operation also increases the lifetime of the boards, reducing the risk of failures. Given the high number of FPGAs (about 2000 elements) and of ASICs (about 8000 AM chips) that compose the FTK system, reducing the component failure risk is of primary importance for the project itself.

For AUX and SSB, the temperature limit is driven by the processing FPGAs. The AUX board is equipped with six commercial Altera Arria-V FPGAs [10]. This FPGA is rated to an operational temperature limit of 85 °C. No damages to the component are expected for temperatures up to 125 °C. The SSB board power consumption is driven by four Xilinx Kintex-7 FPGAs [11]. Version 4 (V4) boards use commercial FPGAs rated to 85 °C, and a second production (V5) uses industrial FPGAs rated to 100 °C. The FPGAs of both the AUX and SSB boards are equipped with heat sinks. The AMB is equipped with four FPGAs. The HIT and ROAD chips are commercial Xilinx Artix-7 FPGAs [11], rated to an operational temperature threshold of 85 °C. The AMB data control logic is instead based on two Xilinx Spartan-6 FPGAs [12], that present the same temperature thresholds. For both FPGA versions, no damages are expected for temperatures up to 125 °C. Beside the FPGA limits, the most temperature-sensitive elements are the AM chips. Being a custom element, exhaustive data sheet is not available. Estimations have rated the AM chips to be damage-safe up to 100 °C, but a conservative operational temperature threshold has been set to 80 °C.

A protection system has been put in place to prevent possible damage from overheating. This system relies on two different components.

maximum value for the data word undergoing matching in the chips is represented by a 13-bit word. Considering continuous data with random data words distributed flat between 0 and 8191 (using 13 bits), the power consumption of the LAMB has been measured to be about 48 W. This value has been measured feeding the AMB with continuous simulated data, ensuring no dummy hit contribution to the power consumption value.

To have a conservative estimation of the rate, the worst case scenario for the FTK running condition has been considered. From simulations, considering a pile-up value of \( \mu = 57 \), the maximum number of clusters among the different FTK regions and detector layers is expected to be 340 clusters/layer. This value was used for the computation of power consumption, giving a 22% margin over the mean value on the different detector layers. It is important to note that the cluster number does not depend on the set of patterns stored in the AM chips, but only on the detector geometry and pile-up. Using a linear approximation for the scaling of the number of clusters with the pile-up, a number of 360 clusters/layer are considered for the \( \mu = 60 \) operation case, considered the detector operational upper limit [9].

Assuming a mean number of 360 clusters to be processed every 10 \( \mu s \) at \( \mu = 60 \), and given a 100-MHz clock cycle for the AM chips, 36% of the cycles are spent processing data with a power consumption of 48 W. The remaining cycles are spent in the IDLE mode with the board consuming the 25 W given by the dummy hit. The resulting total AMB power consumption at a pile-up \( \mu = 60 \) is

\[
\text{AMB power} = 100 \text{ W} + 4 \cdot (48 \text{ W} \cdot 0.36 + 25 \text{ W} \cdot 0.64) \approx 233 \text{ W} \quad (2)
\]

with a mean LAMB power consumption of about 33 W. The total VME rack power can be computed as the sum of the different board’s powers, plus the custom fan tray system measured to consume 3.4-kW per VME rack. In Table I, a summary of the various VME components’ power consumption is shown. Considering a 90% efficiency for the power supply (\( P_{\text{Seff}} \)), the total VME rack power is

\[
\text{Rack power} = \left( (\text{AUX power} + \text{AMB power}) \cdot 16 + \text{SSB power} \cdot 4 \right) / 2 + \text{Fan power} / 2) / P_{\text{Seff}} \approx 16 \text{ kW}.
\]  

The standard VME cooling, coupled with the standard rack water cooling system, is not powerful enough to dissipate a total power of \( \approx 16 \text{ kW} \). A custom cooling system based on powerful fan trays has therefore been adopted.

![DH 3.5 LAMB power consumption](image)

Fig. 5. LAMB power consumption for a value of dummy hit of \( 0 \times 7a007500 \) (corresponding to a BF of 3.5). During this measurement, no data were sent to the AM chips, and the resulting power consumption is only due to the dummy hit.
The first component is an FW protection, able to immediately stop the board dataflow in case the measured temperature is found to surpass a given threshold. While the FPGA temperatures are directly measured by the components themselves, the AM chips’ measurement relies on temperature sensors placed around the AM chips, on the LAMBs. This protection stops the data sent to the AM chips in a whole LAMB, letting the temperature decrease.

In addition to the FW component, the second one is a software (SW) protection, managed by the ATLAS detector control system (DCS) [13] and able to shutdown a VME crate in case of problems. In general, the DCS is a highly distributed system that is used to supervise and monitor the ATLAS experiment infrastructure. The FTK DCS is used to control and monitor the FTK infrastructure, retrieving data such as the board temperature, the air temperature in the crate, and in the fan trays, the fan speeds and the PS parameters.

In addition, the FTK DCS manages the cooling system in response to the retrieved data, as well as manages the PS emergency interlocks. Exploiting this, the DCS has been programmed to read the rack air temperature and to trigger the PS interlock in case the read value surpasses a given threshold. The interlock shuts down the power to the crate, keeping only the power to the cooling system (the fan trays). The rack air temperature threshold at which the DCS triggers the shutdown was preliminarily set to 32 °C but, as a result of the cooling tests, described later, was finally increased to 38 °C.

To dissipate a power of more than ≈16 kW, six 1U heat exchangers are mounted in each VME rack. The heat exchangers are cooled by means of a cold water flow injected at 14 °C, with a pressure ΔP = 4.5 bar. These heat exchangers, in the given configuration, are proven to be able to dissipate 3.8 kW of power each [14]. The high number of heat exchangers needed increases the air resistance in the rack. Together with the high board density (increasing the air flow resistance as well), this poses the requirement of powerful fans, able to help the cooling system by increasing the air flow and its uniformity between the different crate slots.

Four custom-made fan trays, composed of nine powerful fans (able to run with a speed above 10.5 krpm), have been developed and produced. The choice of custom fan trays is driven by the fact that the commercially available ones do not provide enough air flow through the rack components, as demonstrated in studies performed during the development phase of the FTK VME cooling infrastructure [5].

In the final FTK VME cooling system composition, each VME rack is equipped with six heat exchangers, standard rack turbine, and with four of the custom fan trays, placed just under/above the crates. Fig. 6 shows a sketch of the final VME rack configuration, together with a real picture of a fully populated rack.

For the tests, the AUX cards ran a loop over simulated data directly loaded in the input FIFOs. The power consumption of this board was about 70 W. The two versions of SSB boards were used. However, only one V4 SSB was available for the tests. All the SSBs were running on data provided by an
Fig. 7. Sketch of the VME crates used during the cooling tests. The slots of each crate in which the 16 PUs and the four SSBs are placed are shown. Only one V4 SSB was used during the tests, placed in slot 16 of the bottom crate.

Fig. 8. Distribution of the LAMB power consumption for the BF = 6 simulated data.

Fig. 9. Temperature profile of the hottest LAMB of each AMB for the top VME crate (a) and bottom VME crate (b). AMB operational temperature limit is 80 °C. In this configuration, all the temperatures are well under control.

At the time of the tests, the board’s temperature information was only accessible through custom command line tools, not integrated in the FTK online software. A python wrapper of these tools has been developed and used for measurements. This wrapper was able to read directly from the boards’ FPGAs the temperature registers and to perform the conversions required. Each measurement was performed in intervals of about 300 s. To have a direct measurement of the AMB power during the tests, the AMB dc/dc converters’ values of current and voltage were also measured. This was not required for AUX and SSB, which show stable power consumption.

The temperature of the fan trays and the rack air was also measured. The data in this case were directly retrieved from the DCS. The DCS was also used to provide the values of the power provided during the tests by the rack PS. This information was useful to perform a cross check between the power expected from the AUX and SSB boards and the measured power consumed by the AMBs.

Moreover, during the tests both the DCS safety system and the automatic fan speed control were disabled. The former was due to a temperature threshold initially set too low for the most demanding tests. The latter was triggered to study the temperature profile of the boards on different values of the fan speeds, which were set manually to a different value on each test.

Fig. 9 shows the temperature profile of the hottest LAMB of each crate slot, for the upper (a) and lower (b) crates. From both plots, it is possible to note an initial rapid increase in the
maximum temperatures, due to the heating up of the boards. This increase stops just after the second measurement, where the plateau is reached. In this configuration, the temperatures are well under the operational temperature limit. From the comparison of the two crate results, the upper crate temperatures are slightly lower than the lower crate ones. This is expected due to the position of the heat exchangers inside the rack. As can be seen in Fig. 6, only two heat exchangers will cool down the air coming from the upper VME crate, while four of them are placed between the lower and the upper crates. A solution to this problem would be to move one of the heat exchangers that is surrounding the PS to the very bottom of the rack, just after the air deflector. Since the difference in the temperatures between the two crates is small, this is not considered an issue.

Fig. 10 shows the same measurements performed on the AUX cards (a) and on the SSB boards (b). For the sake of brevity, only the lower crate measurements (the hottest crate) are presented. Due to the low power consumption of this kind of boards, the temperatures are very stable. No increase is seen in the AUX temperatures because the first measurement is only made after the AMBs, so only the plateau is seen. The AUX cards are in the back of the crates, and therefore do not directly see the heat from the AMB and SSB.

The SSB measurements were performed after the AMB ones, and thus, only the plateau can be observed. The single V4 SSB used in the test is placed in slot 16 of the lower crate. The SSB placed in slot 21 shows temperatures of about 6 °C higher than the other boards. This is due to a non-perfect distribution of the air flow inside the crate.

To better understand this problem, Fig. 11 shows the same test measurements, but including the temperatures of all four SSB FPGAs rather than only the hottest one. In all the tests performed, the hottest FPGA of each crate has always been number 2 of slot 21. This FPGA is placed on the top back corner of the SSB, the one most difficult to be reached by the air flow produced by the fans. The FPGAs numbered 2 and 3 are usually hotter because they are directly above the two lower FPGAs, numbered 0 and 1. Between the lower FPGAs, the one placed in the back of the board is usually hotter than the front one. These results inform the final position of the boards in the crate as not much can be done to improve the airflow. A V4 SSB, for example, will not be placed in slot 21, where 80 °C measured is very close to the operational temperature limit for that board. In summary, all the measured temperatures are well under the operational temperature limit, for all the board types.

Since all the tests were performed in a single rack, a second set of tests has been performed to study for possible rack-to-rack variations. Due to the lack of available boards, the test was performed with only eight PUs and one SSB per crate. To have coherent results, the same rack configuration was used performing the measurements on two different racks. Fig. 12 shows the distribution of the LAMB temperatures for the two different racks tested. The measured mean LAMB temperature of the new rack is 2 °C higher than the one of the standard rack. To be conservative, a variation of 5 °C has been considered as a rack-to-rack variation for the final considerations on the cooling test results.

As a concurrent step to the cooling tests, optimization studies for both the best fan speeds configuration and the rack air temperature thresholds have been performed.
Varying the fan speed can cause differences in the air flux inside the racks, and it can increase/decrease turbulence. Moreover, reducing the speed of the fans can increase their lifetime. Tests, changing each time the settings of the fan speeds, have been performed to study the best configuration. The tests were performed in the rack used for the cooling tests, using 16 PUs and four SSBs in each crate and using the speed configurations (fans at the top-bottom of the crate) 100%–100%, 80%–100%, 60%–100%, 100%–80%, and 100%–60%. The tests were also repeated for different AMB power consumption levels.

In all the tests performed, the lowest temperatures were measured in the fan speed configuration 100%–60%. Running the bottom fan tray at a reduced speed with respect to the top one helps avoid the creation of turbulences and to increase the uniformity of the air flux in the crate volume. Moreover, reducing the fan speed would significantly increase its lifetime. For this reason, the final fan speed of the FTK lower fans has been fixed to 60%, leaving the speed of the top fans to be adjusted automatically by the DCS. In this case, its speed will vary from the lower 60% level to the maximum 100% level as function of the temperatures read.

Finally, for the rack air temperature threshold optimization, tests running the boards above the expected power consumption levels (to get closer to the board temperature thresholds) have been performed. For these tests, the fully populated rack used in the cooling test has been used, running the AMB with BF = 7 simulated data, corresponding to a power consumption of 38 W per LAMB. The maximum value of the air temperature obtained in this tests was 36 °C. The maximum corresponding temperature for the LAMBS was 70 °C. Since the test running condition is expected to be higher than the maximum condition expected for the FTK operation, the selected threshold for the SW rack safety system is 38 °C. This would leave some margin over the maximum air temperature measured, still keeping the boards in a safe working condition.

VI. CONCLUSION

Two FTK VME crates full of 16 PUs and four SSBs each have been successfully installed, and the system performance has been tested using simulated data. Even though the complete FTK processor’s power consumption (~60 kW) is a fraction of its CPU equivalent, its power density is much higher. This results in an unusually large power consumption per VME crate and the need for a complex custom solution to ensure sufficient cooling. Extensive studies have been performed to investigate the system power consumption in real running conditions and the ability of the developed cooling infrastructure to cope with the big power densities to be dissipated. Thanks to these studies and optimizations, the cooling infrastructure is proven to sufficiently cool the FTK processors under the worst case scenario. Moreover, the processing latencies were reduced based on the timing studies performed. The measurements performed demonstrate the timing requirements for a 100-kHz event processing rate are met.
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