Role of fluid shear stress in regulating VWF structure, function and related blood disorders

Shobhit Gogia and Sriram Neelamegham *
Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering, State University of New York, Buffalo, NY 14260, USA

Received 30 June 2015
Accepted 15 September 2015

Abstract. Von Willebrand factor (VWF) is the largest glycoprotein in blood. It plays a crucial role in primary hemostasis via its binding interaction with platelet and endothelial cell surface receptors, other blood proteins and extra-cellular matrix components. This protein is found as a series of repeat units that are disulfide bonded to form multimeric structures. Once in blood, the protein multimer distribution is dynamically regulated by fluid shear stress which has two opposing effects: it promotes the aggregation or self-association of multiple VWF units, and it simultaneously reduces multimer size by facilitating the force-dependent cleavage of the protein by various proteases, most notably ADAMTS13 (a disintegrin and metalloprotease with thrombospondin type repeats, motif 1 type 13). In addition to these effects, fluid shear also controls the solution and substrate-immobilized structure of VWF, the nature of contact between blood platelets and substrates, and the biomechanics of the GpIb–VWF bond. These features together regulate different physiological and pathological processes including normal hemostasis, arterial and venous thrombosis, von Willebrand disease, thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura and acquired von Willebrand syndrome. This article discusses current knowledge of VWF structure–function relationships with emphasis on the effects of hydrodynamic shear, including rapid methods to estimate the nature and magnitude of these forces in selected conditions. It shows that observations made by many investigators using solution and substrate-based shearing devices can be reconciled upon considering the physical size of VWF and the applied mechanical force in these different geometries.
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1. Introduction

Von Willebrand Factor (VWF) is a soluble plasma glycoprotein that is stored in the Weibel–Palade bodies of vascular endothelial cells and α-granules of megakaryocytes/platelets [1]. VWF is secreted into blood both constitutively and in response to a range of thrombotic and inflammatory stimuli [2]. Such stimuli include, but are not limited to, adrenaline, adenosine diphosphate, collagen, fibrin, histamine, thrombin and the vasopressin analog desmopressin (DDAVP). Studies with transgenic mice suggest that
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VWF constitutively secreted from endothelial cells, but not platelets, likely controls plasma VWF levels since animals lacking endothelial-VWF display negligible plasma VWF levels while those lacking platelet-VWF were normal [3]. Additionally, from a functional standpoint, mice lacking endothelial-, but not platelet-, VWF displayed reduced hemostatic potential and lower potential to correct bleeding defects. In general, the storage compartments of vascular endothelial cells release ultra-large VWF (UL-VWF) with molecular mass >10,000 kDa upon stimulation. In blood, an enzyme called ADAMTS13, proteolytically cleaves the Tyr1605–Met1606 scissile bond in the A2-domain of this protein, to generate lower molecular mass VWF multimers in the range of 500–10,000 kDa (1–20 dimer or protomer units) [4,5]. VWF is also proteolytically cleaved by other blood enzymes, notably, plasmin [6], various neutrophil released proteases [7], and possibly also ADAM10 and/or ADAM17 [8].

VWF is produced as a single polypeptide that subsequently releases a rather large 761 aa (amino acid) propeptide to form the 2050 aa mature protein (Fig. 1(A)). This mature protein contains multiple domains that have been elucidated based on bioinformatics sequence analysis, crystallography studies and electron microscopy investigations [9,10]. A key element of this protein includes the three VWF-A domains: VWF-A1 which principally recognizes the platelet GpIbα receptor under shear, VWF-A2 which is cleaved by ADAMTS13 to regulate protein size in circulation, and VWF-A3 which is the

---

**Fig. 1.** Mature VWF. (A) Mature VWF is a multi-domain protein. It contains the A1, A2 and A3 domains that are flanked by two D-domain assemblies. These D-domains include the VWD, cysteine-8(C8)-fold, trypsin inhibitor-like (TIL) structure and E-modules. Following this, the protein contains six VW C-domains followed by the C-terminal cysteine knot (CTCk). Mature VWF includes 10 O-linked glycans depicted by open circle and 13 N-linked glycans depicted by filled circles. Thirteen percent of the N-glycans carry ABO(H) blood group determinants. VWF has a number of binding partners that are shown below the schematic. The A2-domain is also cleaved by the enzyme ADAMTS13. (B) Approximate representation of VWF based on existing structural knowledge. (C) Though the carbohydrates of VWF are usually represented by small lollipops, their physical dimension can be fairly large, in particular the N-linked glycans. (Colors are visible in the online version of the article; http://dx.doi.org/10.3233/BIR-15061.)
primary binding partner for collagen on the denuded endothelium. Each of these A-domains adopts a Rossman fold with 6 parallel β-sheets at the center surrounded by a series of α-helices [10]. A disulfide bridge between the N- and C-terminus of the A1- and A3-domains locks each of these domains in a somewhat rigid configuration. The A2-domain is however susceptible to large-scale conformation changes as the disulfide bridge in this domain is located between vicinal cysteines at the C-terminus only [11]. Surrounding the A-domains are the D′D3 and D4 assemblies, which are thought to include different structural elements including the von Willebrand D-domain (VWD), cysteine-8 (C8) -fold, trypsin inhibitor-like (TIL) structure and E-modules [9]. Also included in mature VWF are six VW C-domains and the CTCK (C-terminal cysteine knot) that aids the dimerization of VWF. At low pH (6.2), the entire assembly between CTCK and VWF-A2 is thought to zip up into a dimeric bouquet. This likely provides for molecular compaction so that the large VWF multimer can be efficiently assembled into the Weibel–Palade bodies of endothelial cells [12]. Figure 1(B) presents the overall shape of the mature VWF in a dimeric form including key dimensions used in later hydrodynamic calculations.

Along the protein backbone, VWF is extensively glycosylated with 13 N-linked and 10 O-linked glycans identified to date [13–15]. Among these, the most dominant N-glycans contain α(2, 6)sialylated and core fucosylated bi-antennary structures [14] (Fig. 1(C)). Tri- and tetra-antennary N-linked oligosaccharides sometimes containing sulfate residues are also noted, albeit at lower abundance. Approximately, 15% of the glycans were capped by α(1, 2)fucose suggesting that VWF from endothelial cells is extensively decorated by ABO blood group antigens. Besides N-glycans, a number of core-1 and core-2 O-glycans are reported on VWF including unusual distialosyl and ABH blood group decorated oligosaccharides [15]. Such glycans may control VWF function and half-life in circulation by allowing them to bind a variety of carbohydrate binding proteins including the Ashwell–Morell receptor, siglecis [16], galectins [17], selectins [18] and CLEC4M C-type lectin [19]. In addition, since the physical size of the N-glycans is rather large in the nanometer range and also due the negative charge of the terminal sialic acid, the glycans of VWF play many different roles including the protection of VWF from proteolysis by ADAMTS13 [20,21] and the prevention of spontaneous binding to platelets [22,23]. ABO blood group also influences VWF plasma levels (and consequently plasma levels of Factor VIII) since individuals with O-blood group have lower circulating VWF levels, though the precise mechanism of this regulation remains unknown [24].

The primary role of VWF is to maintain healthy hemostasis in the vasculature where high shear stress conditions are encountered [1]. It does so by acting as a mediator of platelet–subendothelium interaction, platelet activation and cell aggregation [25]. VWF is also a carrier of clotting Factor VIII and helps prolong its half-life in circulation by protecting it from proteolytic degradation, ultimately delivering it to sites of vascular damage. Since the biological function of VWF is tightly regulated by the applied hydrodynamic stress, the current review examines the relationship between VWF and shear stress, with a focus on VWF-related thrombotic and bleeding disorders. Figure 2 summarizes the different roles that shear stress plays in VWF physiology.

2. Hemodynamics in circulation

Blood flows through vessels due to a pressure gradient [26]. This results in the application of tangential forces in the direction of flow that have a ‘shearing’ effect. Tensile and circumferential stresses are also applied on the vascular walls which cause vessel distention. At low shear rates below 50–100/s, blood has a non-Newtonian, shear-thinning character with apparent viscosity decreasing upon increasing shear
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### Fig. 2. Role of shear stress in VWF related biology.

Shear stress exerts force on multimeric VWF and causes structural changes in globular A1, A2 and A3 domains, allowing them to carry out their respective functions. Shear stress also regulates the binding of VWF to various plasma proteins and surface receptors on platelets, endothelial cells and even prokaryotic cells in circulation. In a number of different blood disorders, high shear stress either causes enhanced VWF proteolysis (bleeding disorders) or the formation of VWF-rich thrombi (thrombotic disorders). Finally, shear stress is important for VWF and platelet aggregation in physiology. Abbreviations: Shiga-toxin-hemolytic uremic syndrome (stx-HUS), thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura (TTP), acquired von Willebrand syndrome (AvWS).

### Table 1

| Blood vessel     | Mean wall shear rate (s) | Mean wall shear stress (dyn/cm²) |
|------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Large arteries   | 300–800                  | 13.5–36                         |
| Arterioles       | 450–1,600                | 20.2–72                         |
| Veins            | 15–200                   | 0.7–9                           |
| Stenotic vessels | 800–10,000               | 36–450                          |

*Values presented are mean values for indicated vessels. Higher flows can be locally observed and these can also be enhanced during human pathologies.

**Shear stress \( \tau \) = viscosity \( \mu \) \cdot shear rate \( \gamma \) with blood viscosity = 0.045 poise.

Here, the applied shear stress \( \tau \) versus shear rate \( \gamma \) relationship can be described using the Casson equation:

\[
\tau = \tau_y + (\mu \gamma) \gamma^{\frac{1}{2}},
\]

where \( \tau_y \) is a yield stress required for shear flow and \( \mu \) is the asymptotic Newtonian viscosity at high shear stress. \( \tau_y \) and \( \mu \) are strong functions of the blood hematocrit. At higher shear rates that are typical in large vessels (Table 1), blood exhibits a Newtonian character with the wall shear stress varying in proportion to the local shear rate:

\[
\tau = \mu \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}.
\]

In this regard, at the nominal hematocrit of 45–50%, normal whole blood can be considered to be a Newtonian fluid with viscosity of 0.045–0.05 poise (0.0045–0.005 Pa \( \cdot \) s) under typical conditions observed in different sections of the vasculature [27,28]. While this remains the case, it is important to note that the continuum assumption fails in the smallest arterioles, venules and capillaries of the microcirculation where vessel size approaches the size of blood erythrocytes. Multiphase flow must be considered under these conditions. Special considerations are also necessary when accounting for the pulsatile nature of
blood flow in the arterial tree, regions of recirculation at sites of vessel branching and sudden curvature, and at sites of stenosis where jetting and turbulence may occur locally.

Nevertheless since the shear stress is highest in the arterioles where the effects of VWF are most prominent, under most conditions, it is appropriate to consider blood as a Newtonian fluid when studying VWF biophysics. Additionally, in capillaries and small vessels, the physical dimension of single VWF multimers is far less than the vessel diameter and thus one would expect VWF to respond to the local shear environment rather than vessel dimensions. Experiments where media viscosity have been varied also demonstrate that VWF function is regulated by fluid shear stress which controls the applied mechanical force rather than shear rate which controls protein–protein contact [29,30]. Thus, ideally, different results of VWF structure–function relationship would be better compared upon considering the magnitude of applied shear stress, and even better by considering the nature of the mechanical force applied on the protein or molecular bond in different shearing assays/conditions.

3. Common shearing devices used to study platelet-VWF function

The cone and plate viscometer and the parallel-plate flow chamber represent the two most common fluid shearing devices used to study the response of VWF and platelets to hydrodynamic forces. In this regard, the viscometer is used to shear small volumes of cells/proteins in confined volumes. Since all cells in this device are subjected to identical shear stresses, multi-parametric flow cytometry analysis of withdrawn samples is possible [31–33]. Such a device is ideal when studying VWF structure–function relationships in solution [29,31,34], for example in the context of analyzing the effects of mechanical circulatory support devices on VWF self-association and acquired von Willebrand syndrome (AVWS). The parallel plate flow chamber system, on the other hand, is an open-system where platelet adhesion to immobilized VWF and platelet thrombus formation on substrates bearing collagen can be studied. This flow geometry mimics the geometry of cellular interaction with the vessel wall.

3.1. Cone and plate viscometer

The viscometer is a shearing device containing a rotating cone with angle α and radius R, placed over a stationary plate. Fluid flow is initiated by imparting an angular velocity of \( \Omega \) (rad/s) to the cone in the azimuthal or \( \phi \) direction. At low angular velocities, the flow is one dimensional with only a rotational component, i.e. only the fluid velocity in the \( \phi \)-direction (\( w \)) is non-zero [35,36]. The corresponding flow in the vertical/\( \theta \)-direction (\( v \)) and radial/\( r \)-direction (\( u \)) are zero. Thus the velocity gradient tensor \( G \) at a radial distance of \( r \) and angle \( \beta \) is given by:

\[
G = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -(1/r)(\partial w/\partial \beta) \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

This is called primary flow. For small cone angles, the single non-zero component can be written as \( G_{23} = -(1/r)(\partial w/\partial \beta) = \Omega/\alpha \). Thus, the flow is approximated as a simple shear flow with a shear rate \( (G) \) of \( \Omega/\alpha \) (s). The cone–plate viscometer is the preferred geometry in many biorheology studies due to the uniformity and simplicity of flow, as opposed to parallel-plate or couette type devices where the applied shear rate varies with position in the sample [37]. Nevertheless, when the angular velocity is high and/or cone
angle is large, non-uniform flow occurs due to the higher centrifugal forces which push the fluid radially out near the cone surface. The requirement of continuity then causes a radial inward motion near the plate and this sets up fluid circulation or secondary flow [35,36]. The consequence of secondary flow is that it causes the applied shear rate/stress to vary with position within the viscometer. The average shear stress in this device is however close to the primary flow and time-varying shear stresses have negligible effects on platelet-VWF function likely because these effects are volume-averaged [29].

3.2. Parallel-plate flow cell

Parallel-plate flow chambers are nowadays commonly made using optically transparent polydimethylsiloxane, with a rectangular cross-section using standard photolithography methods. Here, for a microfluidic flow cell with length \( L \) (along \( x \)-axis), height \( h \) (along \( z \)-axis) and width \( w \) (\( y \)-axis), such that \( w > h \). The Navier–Stokes equation and boundary conditions for pressure driven flow is written as [38]:

\[
\left( \partial_y^2 + \partial_z^2 \right) v_x(y, z) = -\frac{\Delta P}{\mu L} \quad \text{for } -\frac{1}{2}w < y < \frac{1}{2}w, \ 0 < z < h,
\]

\[ v_x(y, z) = 0 \quad \text{for } y = \pm\frac{1}{2}w, \ z = 0, h. \]

Solving the above equations, an analytical expression for velocity profile is obtained:

\[
v_x(y, z) = \frac{4h^2\Delta P}{\pi^3\mu L} \sum_{n=\text{odd}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^3} \left[ 1 - \frac{\cosh(n\pi y/h)}{\cosh(n\pi w/2h)} \right] \sinh\left( \frac{n\pi z}{h} \right).
\]

The flow rate \( Q \) in this device can then be integrated as:

\[
Q = \frac{h^3 w \Delta P}{12 \mu L} \left[ 1 - \sum_{n=\text{odd}}^{\infty} \frac{192h}{(n\pi)^3 w \tanh\left( \frac{n\pi w}{2h} \right)} \right].
\]

When the width of a flow chamber is finite, the wall shear stress varies with position across the cross-section with shear stress falling to zero at the edges. Thus, it is best to design flow chambers with \( w/h \geq 4 \) whenever possible and to ignore regions where edge effects may be prominent. When \( w \gg h \) as in older flow chamber devices, the above expression simplifies to \( Q = h^3 w \Delta P/(12\mu L) \) and under these conditions the wall shear stress \( \tau = 6Q\mu/(h^2 w) \).

When studying the effects of fluid shear in typical flow chambers, in addition to the applied force, the applied shear rate/stress also affects the flux of cells in the microscope’s region of interest depending on cell trajectory, the geometry of the flow inlet and the distance from the inlet [39].

4. Nature of mechanical forces applied on VWF and platelet receptor GpIbα

Cells like platelets and biomolecules like VWF are constantly exposed to hydrodynamic forces in circulation. These forces are important regulators of normal human physiology and pathophysiology. The nature of these fluid forces vary depending on the flow geometry since VWF can interact with platelets both in solution and after it is immobilized on substrates.
In solution (i.e. conditions resembling the cone–plate viscometer geometry). VWF and platelets, free in blood, experience periodic variations in normal forces that attempt to either pull them apart (i.e. tensile forces) or push them together (i.e. compressive forces). These biological entities are also subjected to shear forces that act in a tangential direction \([35,40–42]\). Such force oscillations rapidly change directions in blood flow with cycles of tensile/compressive/shear forces reoccurring every \(\sim 10–20\) ms when the local shear rate is 1000/s, with force-cycle times varying inversely with \(G\). In addition, proteins like free VWF in solution are also subjected to Brownian motion that can affect the nature of force loading \([41]\). Regardless of the above complexity, it would be helpful to obtain estimates of peak forces applied on various biological entities subjected to flow since this can provide bounds on the magnitude of mechanical stresses applied under a variety of experimental and physiological conditions. Such estimations require simplifying assumptions like the idealization that VWF and platelets behave either as simple spheres or spheres connected by string(s).

When VWF is subjected to stretching under flow, the maximum force applied is tensile in nature. Shear forces are of smaller magnitude. These tensile forces have a tendency to unfold the protein \([41]\). If VWF is modeled to behave as a doublet of spheres of radii \(a_1\) and \(a_2\) (units of \(\mu\)m) tethered by a string of length \(d\) (i.e. like a dumbbell, Fig. 3), the peak force applied on this protein would occur at its center and it would be described by the equation:

\[
F_n = \alpha_n \mu G a_1^2,
\]

where \(\alpha_n\) is a coefficient based on the particle geometry. Using this equation and size dimensions for a single VWF protomer estimated based on electron microscopy (\(R = 13\) nm; separation distance between spheres = 94 nm) (Fig. 1(B), \([43]\)), the peak force experienced by a VWF-protomer at a wall shear rate of 10,000/s (\(\tau = 100\) dyn/cm\(^2\)) in human blood would be quite small, \(\sim 0.1–0.2\) pN (Table 2). Even if the force were applied on a typical multimeric VWF protein that were in a compacted state (ellipsoid with dimensions/radius of 200 \(\times\) 40 nm) based on both light \([29,43]\] and small angle neutron scattering \([44]\), the applied force would be no more than a few pN. For a single large 40-mer VWF unit which has

![Fig. 3. Similarity of geometry in biological particles of interest: (A)–(D) Biological particles of interest can be approximated as rigid dumbbells composed of two (un)equal spheres linked by a thin tether in many cases. (E)–(F) In other cases, these entities can be modeled as beads or disks attached to a wall by a string.](image-url)
Table 2

Typical magnitude of force

| Parameter | VWF protomer | VWF multimer | Platelet doublet | GpIbα on platelet (no VWF) | GpIbα on platelet (with VWF) |
|-----------|--------------|--------------|------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Dumbbell radius, $a_1$ (µm) | 0.013 | – | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Dumbbell radius, $a_2$ (µm) | 0.013 | – | 1.5 | 0.0035 | 0.1 |
| Separation distance, $d$ (µm) | 0.094 | – | 4.03 | 0.0315 | 0.0315 |
| Radius ratio, $a_2/a_1$ | 1 | – | 1 | 0.0023 | 0.067 |
| Dimensionless separation distance, $d/a_1$ | 7.23 | – | 2.68 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| Force coefficient (αn or αs) | 60 | – | 20 | 0.005 | 0.35 |
| Peak force, $F/\mu G$ (units pN/(Pa s s$^{-1}$)) | 0.01 | – | 45 | 0.011 | 0.79 |
| Peak force ($F$)' (pN) | 0.1–0.2 | 20–40** | 450 | 0.1–0.2 | ~8 |

*Assumes $\mu = 0.001$ Pa s (i.e. aqueous media) and $G = 10,000$ s (shear stress = 100 dyn/cm$^2$).

**Estimates peak force on fully extended 40-mer VWF with 20 protomer units.

20 protomer subunits that is completely stretched out, the peak force estimated is 20–40 pN. This last estimate assumes that forces applied on the multimeric protein ($F_{n,m}$) varies as a function of the number of protomer units in the mutimer ($N_p$) and the force on a single protomer $F_n$ as [11]:

$$F_{n,m} = F_n \times N_p^2/2.$$ 

Extending the above force arguments to other cases, an estimate of force applied between two platelets bridged by a multimeric VWF can be estimated. In this case, the relevant dumbbell radius to consider is the dimension of the platelet and the separation distance corresponds to the length of the putative membrane extension plus VWF that links two cells. Here, the force applied on a VWF at a given shear rate would be 2–3 orders of magnitude greater compared to the force applied on free VWF in solution. Thus, for VWF bridging two platelets at 10,000/s, the force applied on both VWF and the binding receptor on platelets GpIbα would be $\sim 450$ pN. Since the strength of the VWF–GpIbα bond lies in the range of 10–20 pN and due to the low binding constants of this interaction, doublets with a single bridging VWF may not be a common occurrence in blood, and even if formed they would not survive a full force oscillation cycle. Perhaps due to this, platelet-platelet collision interactions are not the primary driver of shear induced platelet activation [29].

Unlike VWF, the peak force applied on platelet GpIbα would be a ‘shear force’ since the size of the two spheres linked by the tether are highly unequal (i.e. $F_s = \alpha_s \mu G a_1^2$, Table 2) [41]. The magnitude of this force would be small, in the order of $\sim 0.1–0.2$ pN at 10,000/s, for the single receptor without bound VWF since the hydrodynamic radius of the protein receptor itself is small. The attachment of VWF to this receptor enhances the effective radius of the GpIbα receptor to $\sim 100$ nm. This then increases the applied drag. Due to this, the peak applied force on a single GpIbα receptor would be in the order of 5–10 pN at 10,000/s. The self-association of VWF on this receptor can further enhance the magnitude of this applied force [31].

**Immobilized on substrate (i.e. conditions resembling the flow chamber geometry).** When immobilized on substrates like the surface of endothelial cells or exposed sub-cellular matrix proteins, the drag force on VWF can be substantial and this can lead to the formation of elongated strings [45] and fiber meshes [46,47]. The drag force applied on a particle subjected to hydrodynamic force is $F_D = \frac{1}{2} C_D \rho U^2 A$, where $C_D$ is the drag coefficient, $\rho$ is the fluid density, $U$ is the relative velocity of the fluid with respect to the particle and $A$ is the cross sectional area. The precise form of $C_D$ depends on the flow regime.
which is dictated by the Reynolds number $Re = \frac{dU \rho}{\mu}$; $d$ is particle diameter and $\mu$ is fluid viscosity) and particle geometry. In this regard, the $Re$ in most biorheology experiments is typically small $\ll 1$. For a spherical particle at low $Re$ ($<1$), $C_D = 24/Re$. Thus, the drag force $F_D = 3\pi \mu U d$. If we consider a single VWF monomer ($d = 26$ nm) to have a single point of attachment on a substrate like endothelial cell, the applied drag force at 10,000/s would thus only be $\sim 0.05$ pN.

Resting platelets can be modeled as rigid disks with dimensions, radius $a = 1.8$ µm and height $H = 0.7$ µm, based on electron micrographs. This gives an effective diameter $d = (6a^2 H)^{1/3} \sim 2.4$ µm. At low $Re$ ($\leq 0.01$), disk shaped objects have $C_D = 64/(\pi \cdot Re) \times (1 + Re/2\pi)$ [48]. To estimate the force applied on VWF ($F_b$) when the attached platelet/disk is in contact with a substrate, a multiplication factor of 1.7 is also included as in previous studies [49]. Thus, if the bond angle between the substrate-contacted platelet and VWF is set to 55°, the bond force applied by a spherical particle with equivalent diameter as platelets at a wall shear stress of $\tau$ (in dyn/cm²) is $\sim 8.04\tau$ pN. Applying the same assumptions for disk shaped platelets, the estimated force on a VWF-platelet string would be $\sim 7.6\tau$ pN. Thus, the attachment of a platelet to a VWF string will increase the applied drag force by orders of magnitude. At a nominal wall shear stress of 20 dyn/cm², the magnitude of force applied would be $\sim 150$ pN. This may then facilitate ADAMTS13 mediated proteolysis.

5. VWF conformation change in response to fluid shear stress

A number of studies have determined that VWF can unfold upon the application of fluid shear both in solution and when immobilized on substrates. The studies performed in solution have utilized fluorescence microscopy [50], small-angle neutron scattering (SANS) [51] and fluorescence spectroscopy [52]. The substrate based assays utilized atomic force microscopy (AFM) [53] and noted the formation of long, oriented bundles or meshes of VWF-strings on endothelial cell [45] and collagen substrates [46].

In solution, studies employing single molecule fluorescence microscopy have suggested the sharp, well-defined, large scale extension of VWF with end-to-end lengths up to 10 µm at shear rates $>5000$/s (shear stress $\sim 40$–50 dyn/cm²) [50]. The structural transitions reported by others in water-glycerol buffers subjected to Poiseuille flow are smaller with a maximum extension up to 2 µm being measured at 104 dyn/cm² [54]. Only a small fraction (10–15%) of VWF in solution was found to exhibit elongation in this study. Other studies that employ SANS also report only relatively small changes in VWF conformation under hydrodynamic shear at an applied shear stress of 30 dyn/cm² [51]. Larger structural transitions in VWF were noted above 60 dyn/cm², when the binding of the fluorescent probe bis-ANS to nonpolar cavities exposed upon shearing VWF was used to quantify structural transitions [52]. In this study, VWF was observed to gradually hide the exposed hydrophobic pockets over the time course of minutes to hours. A similar shear stress of 60 dyn/cm² was also observed to be necessary for efficient VWF self-association in solution as measured using dynamic and static light scattering [29].

On substrates, using AFM, Siedlecki et al. demonstrated the unfolding of VWF bound to a hydrophobic surface (OTS-SAM, octadecyltrichlorosilane) above a critical shear stress of 35 dyn/cm² [53]. This result is different from observations by others who show that VWF under shear or in the presence of fixed platelets does not undergo conformation changes upon immobilization on collagen type-I [55]. Thus, immobilized-VWF response to fluid shear may be highly-substrate dependent, and this is evident upon comparing VWF conformation on hydrophobic OTS versus hydrophilic mica substrates [56]. Here, VWF only rarely displays extended structures on OTS-glass with more extended conformations being
noted on mica. In addition to these biophysical studies, the formation of VWF-platelet strings under shear flow on endothelial cells has been demonstrated by Dong et al. [45]. Further, the formation of extended bundles of VWF on collagen under shear is reported [46,47]. Whereas VWF string formation on endothelial cells occurs at physiological shear stresses <5 dyn/cm², VWF bundles on collagen require higher stresses of ~35–100 dyn/cm². Overall shear stresses above 60 dyn/cm² was typically necessary to initiate VWF structural changes both when the protein was bound to substrates and when it was sheared in solution, except for the studies on endothelial cells. Based on hydrodynamic calculations, the force for the pure protein under these conditions is very small in the order of 0.1–0.5 pN. This suggests two possibilities: (i) Very small forces themselves are sufficient to support VWF structural changes. (ii) The very small forces are necessary nucleating events that trigger larger structural changes due to the self-association of VWF both in solution [29] and on substrates [46,47].

6. VWF self-association regulated by hydrodynamic shear

The homotypic interaction between VWF in solution and VWF immobilized on substrates, a process called VWF self-association, was shown to contribute to thrombus formation in a parallel plate flow chamber based model of vascular injury [57]. Studies performed in the cone–plate viscometer demonstrated a similar VWF self-association process using purified human proteins, and presented evidence that this is a fluid shear dependent phenomenon that is optimally triggered in solution above 50–60 dyn/cm² [29]. VWF self-association also takes place on platelet GpIbα under similar shears, since VWF variants lacking an A1-domain can bind platelet GpIbα only when shear-mixed with wild-type multimeric human protein [31]. This functional self-association contributes to shear induced platelet activation. Similar observations have been reported by others who demonstrate the formation of bundles or networks of VWF both on collagen substrates [46] and endothelial cells possibly via binding αvβ3 integrins [45,58]. Thus, VWF self-association may represent a physiological mechanism that regulates protein size in circulation and that fine tunes the avidity property of this biomolecule.

The mechanism for VWF self-association is not well established since specific inhibitors remain unavailable. The strongest, current mechanistic model to explain this phenomenon is based on the concept that the lateral association of VWF under shear is driven by disulfide exchange [59,60]. According to this, unpaired cysteines are present in native VWF but absent when the protein is subjected to shear when it self-associates [60,61]. Thus, blocking free-thiols on VWF impairs the binding of VWF to platelets [60]. Similarly, the addition of N-ethylmaleimide (NEM) inhibits VWF string formation on endothelial cells [61]. By performing mass spectrometry analysis, these authors suggest that the exchangeable Cys-residues in VWF include either some or all 9 Cys in VWF-D3 and C-domains: Cys889, Cys908, Cys2448, Cys2451, Cys2490, Cys2491, Cys2528 and Cys2533. Extending these observations, Ganderton et al. [59] showed that the VWF-C2 domain forms di- and tri-mers upon expression in HEK cells. Mutation of Cys2431 or Cys2451 to alanine in this recombinant fragment resulted in the production of monomers. This led the investigators to conclude that disulfide exchange involves the formation of Cys2431–Cys2431 and Cys2451–Cys2451 bonds between adjacent VWF multimers. Additional mechanisms for the alteration of VWF size based on cysteine modifications have also proposed based on the potential roles for thrombospondin-1 [62], ADAMTS13 [63] and N-acetylcysteine/NAC [64]. Interestingly, Bao et al. [63] show that VWF string formation on endothelial substrates and platelet adhesion can be inhibited by truncated, proteolytically inactive forms of ADAMTS13 that only contain the C-terminal domains.
Fig. 4. Domain level organization of ADAMTS-13 showing potential binding interactions with VWF. ADAMTS-13, like VWF, contains multiple subunits including a divalent-ion dependent metalloprotease domain (M) followed by a disintegrin-like (D), thrombospondin-1 repeat (TSP-1), cysteine-rich (C), spacer (S), seven more TSPs and two CUB domains. The protease has several VWF-binding exosites that bind both VWF-A2 and the D4-CK segment of VWF as indicated.

stretching from TSP5 to CUB2 [63] (Fig. 4). This inhibition function is released upon addition of NEM, thus implying a free-thio dependent cell adhesion mechanism.

7. VWF proteolysis in solution, on platelets and on the endothelium

Fluid shear augments the proteolysis of the cryptic Tyr\textsuperscript{1605}–Met\textsuperscript{1606} bond located within the VWF A2-domain using the metalloprotease ADAMTS13. This protease is partially active in blood plasma and it is chiefly secreted from hepatic stellate cells residing in the liver [5,65,66]. It is also secreted by endothelial cells [67–69] and platelets [70,71]. The importance of this cleavage process is highlighted by observations that VWF is currently the only known substrate for ADAMTS13. The absence of this enzyme activity results in enhanced VWF multimer size in circulation and a relatively rare, but life-threatening, bleeding disorder called thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura (TTP). Whereas, VWF coexists with active ADAMTS13 in plasma it remains uncleaved unless the Tyr–Met scissile bond is exposed by mechanical forces or biomolecular binding to platelet GPIb\α, collagen or endothelial cells.

Several unique structural features within the VWF-A2 domain and on the larger multimeric VWF are considered to regulate its proteolysis by ADAMTS13 [72]. Most significantly, unlike the other globular VWF A-domains (A1 and A3) which contain a disulfide-bond bridging two cysteines located at the N- and C-termini, VWF-A2 contains vicinal cysteines at Cys\textsuperscript{1669} and Cys\textsuperscript{1670}. In this regard, while the absence of the disulfide bond across the domain allows the unfolding of the VWF-A2 domain, the vicinal cysteine acts as a force regulatable barrier for this process. In support of this, VWF-A2 domain constructs containing mutations in Cys\textsuperscript{1669} and/or Cys\textsuperscript{1670} display enhanced ADAMTS13 mediated proteolysis, compared to molecules with the intact vicinal cysteines [73]. Additional features that are thought to regulate the VWF-A2 folding–unfolding transition, based on crystal structure data [72], include: (i) The lack of well-formed $\alpha$-helix. Due to this, the extensive $\alpha$-4-associated hydrogen bond network that is observed in the VWF A1- and A3-domains is missing in VWF-A2. This may promote domain unfolding and proteolysis. (ii) The poor packing of the $\beta$4 strand and presence of a buried water molecule hydrogen-bonded to Ser1517 in a hydrophobic environment. (iii) The presence of a proline at position 1645 in its cis conformation. In this regard, proline has a much higher probability to form a cis peptide bond with the preceding amino acid residues compared to other amino acids [74,75]. Mechanical loading of the VWF-A2 domain may promote the rapid transition from cis to trans during domain unfolding, and the slower transition back to cis during refolding may impeded protein refolding and allow sufficient time for ADAMTS13 mediated proteolysis of the Tyr–Met bond [76,77]. (iv) VWF-A2 domain
calcium-ion coordinates site which include several residues, Asp1596, Arg1597, Ala1600 and Asn1602 within the VWF α3β4-loop, Asp1498 in the β1 strand and a water molecule [78,79]. In this regard, studies employing force probe MD simulations, optical tweezers and western blotting demonstrate that the coordination of Ca²⁺ to this site reduces VWF-A2 proteolysis by ADAMTS13 [78,79].

The observation that the proteolysis of the isolated VWF-A2 domain proceeds more efficiently at physiological pH compared to the multimeric VWF protein, suggests that other structural features beyond VWF-A2 may regulate its cleavage kinetics [80]. In this regard, VWF and ADAMTS13 make extensive molecular interactions at a variety of exosite regions located both within and outside the A2-domain [81] (Fig. 4). First, VWF domains D4–CK interact with TSP5–TSP8 domains of ADAMTS13, while its CUB domain partially masks the proteolytic cleavage by binding to the ADAMTS13 spacer segment [82,83]. The binding of the VWF-D4 domain to ADAMTS13 releases this allosteric inhibition. Then, when the VWF-A2 domain unravels on the application of shear, additional exosite regions on VWF-A2 recognize the spacer and disintegrin-like domains of ADAMTS13. Finally, proteolysis occurs following the correct positioning of the catalytic residue Glu225 of ADAMTS13 over the Y1605–M1606 cleavage site [81]. In addition to protein based interactions, the carbohydrates of VWF also regulate proteolysis. For example, the removal of N-glycans [20] or mutation of O-linked glycosylations at the A1–A2 region [21] renders VWF more prone to ADAMTS13-mediated cleavage. Finally, the structural features of VWF and ADAMTS13, a variety of other blood proteins also control VWF proteolysis rates including Thrombospondin-1/TSP-1 which reduces proteolysis by acting as a competitive inhibitor of VWF binding, and proteases such as thrombin and plasmin which inactivate ADAMTS13 [84–86].

VWF proteolysis by ADAMTS13 upon shear application is reported to occur in solution [87], on the platelet surface [88] and on VWF bound to the endothelium [45]. The force required for such cleavage lies in the range of 7–14 pN, based on single molecule spectroscopy studies that assayed single VWF-A2 domain unfolding [11,79]. This force is larger in the case of the A1–A3 tri-domain complex [89]. Based on the hydrodynamic force estimation concepts described earlier [41], we estimate that the forces applied on VWF in solution would rarely exceed 10 pN. Consistent with this, VWF structure changes while occurring in solution may not be so pervasive as to account for all the loss of high molecular weight VWF multimers [51,54]. While forces of the same magnitude as in solution are applied on VWF bound to platelets, the applied force easily exceeds 50 pN when VWF is strung between two platelets at a local shear stress of 10 dyn/cm² [41]. At this shear stress, however, the direction and nature of force changes rapidly as individual force oscillation cycles last <10 milliseconds [41]. Extended VWF strings on the endothelium can also experiences forces greater than 10 pN when bound with platelets and thus ADAMTS13 dependent cleavage on the vascular endothelium is reported both in vitro [45] and in vivo [90]. Overall, VWF string cleavage could occur on VWF bound to platelets and the vascular endothelium though the relative importance of these mechanisms and the features accounting for the exquisite protein multimer distribution in blood remains to be determined.

8. VWF–GpIbα binding regulated by fluid shear

The binding of the VWF A1-domain to platelet GpIbα controls platelet translocation/rolling interactions during primary hemostasis. This allows for cell–substrate contact, platelet activation via collagen receptors, and stable cell adhesion (reviewed by [91]). Adherent and activated platelets with exposed phosphatidylserine then provide the substrate for the coagulation cascade. This molecular interaction is unique in that the number of platelets recruited by immobilized VWF increases with the magnitude of
applied fluid shear stress from 0.5 to 5 dyn/cm² before decreasing at higher shear stresses [25]. Mean platelet translocation velocity is also invariant at higher shears between ∼15–60 dyn/cm². A number of structural features at the N-terminus of the VWF-A1 domain may control such binding to platelet GpIbα. Most notably, the D′D3-domain sterically regulates VWF-A1 binding to GpIbα [92,93]. Further, the binding of the VWF propeptide (VWFpp) to this D′D3-domain further reduces A1-GpIbα binding interactions [94]. The A2-domain of VWF is also thought to affect GpIbα-A1 binding [95], though its relative contribution with respect to the N-terminal flanking structural features like D′D3 remains unknown.

The A1–GpIbα bond strength is in the range of ∼10–20 pN, with applied mechanical force affecting both the on- and off-rate of this molecular interaction [96]. Thus, the force required for unbinding A1–GpIbα binding is approximately the same as that required for A2-domain unfolding. Using different measurement methods, the force dependent binding-unbinding data for A1–GpIbα has been interpreted to describe both a slip-bond [96] and also as a catch-bond [30]. In this regard, a slip bond is a conventional bond where the lifetime of a molecular bond, or the length of time that the bond will withstand mechanical force loading prior to rupture, decreases continuously upon increasing force. On the other hand, a catch bond results in an increase in bond lifetime with force. Besides this molecular biophysics, additional factors like VWF avidity due to multimer formation, domain–domain interactions and platelet deformation also likely influence the nature of platelet adhesion at sites of arterial thrombosis.

9. Conclusion

In summary, this review presents a perspective on how hydrodynamic shear forces regulate VWF function during hemostasis and thrombosis. In doing so, it demonstrates how theoretical estimates regarding the nature and magnitude of applied hydrodynamic forces can explain and unify a variety of biological observations under different experimental scenarios. As new biological knowledge emerges, this framework may be enhanced to allow the design of new therapies that are fluid shear responsive as opposed to current approaches that only focus on perturbing specific biochemical pathways.
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