Correlation between brain injury and dysphagia in adult patients with stroke
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SUMMARY

Introduction: In the literature, the incidence of oropharyngeal dysphagia in patients with cerebrovascular accident (AVE) ranges 20–90%. Some studies correlate the location of a stroke with dysphagia, while others do not.

Objective: To correlate brain injury with dysphagia in patients with stroke in relation to the type and location of stroke.

Method: A prospective study conducted at the Hospital de Clinicas with 30 stroke patients: 18 women and 12 men. All patients underwent clinical evaluation and swallowing nasolaryngofibroscopy (FEES®), and were divided based on the location of the injury: cerebral cortex, cerebellar cortex, subcortical areas, and type: hemorrhagic or transient ischemic.

Results: Of the 30 patients, 18 had ischemic stroke, 10 had hemorrhagic stroke, and 2 had transient stroke. Regarding the location, 10 lesions were in the cerebral cortex, 3 were in the cerebral and cerebellar cortices, 3 were in the cerebral cortex and subcortical areas, and 3 were in the cerebral and cerebellar cortices and subcortical areas. Cerebral cortex and subcortical area ischemic strokes predominated in the clinical evaluation of dysphagia. In FEES®, decreased laryngeal sensitivity persisted following cerebral cortex and ischemic strokes. Waste in the pharyngeal recesses associated with epiglottic valleculae predominated in the piriform cortex in all lesion areas and in ischemic stroke. A patient with damage to the cerebral and cerebellar cortices from an ischemic stroke exhibited laryngeal penetration and tracheal aspiration of liquid and honey.

Conclusion: Dysphagia was prevalent when a lesion was located in the cerebral cortex and was of the ischemic type.
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INTRODUCTION

It is estimated that vascular accident (CVA) is responsible for approximately 10% of all deaths worldwide, with almost 6 million deaths mostly concentrated in poor countries (1).

In practice, speech pathologists work with various neurological diseases that cause changes in swallowing. These changes, called dysphagia, can lead to the patient’s death because they lead to malnutrition, dehydration, tracheal aspiration, and recurrent pneumonia (2,3). The speech pathologist deals specifically with oropharyngeal dysphagia, disorders affecting the oral and pharyngeal phases of swallowing.

Dysphagia is a swallowing disorder with symptoms that are characterized by specific changes at any step and/or between the stages of swallowing dynamics, and can be congenital or acquired (2-5).

A follow-up of oropharyngeal dysphagia is absolutely necessary to the clinical evaluation procedure, and is carried out with videofluoroscopic assessment instruments and/or swallowing nasolaryngofibroscopy.

In the literature, the incidence of oropharyngeal dysphagia in stroke patients ranges 20–90% (6-8). Some studies have correlated the location of stroke, i.e., cortical and brainstem (9), and brainstem and supratentorial (10), with the presence of dysphagia, while others have not (8,11). The exact location of the stroke determines the extent of injury and neurological symptoms presented by each patient (12).

Identifying the location of the stroke with assistance from an audiologist will enable a more accurate pre-
diagnosis of dysphagia and assist in evaluation and speech therapy to ensure treatment appropriate to each patient.

Thus, the objective of this study was to correlate the type and location of stroke in adult patients with dysphagia.

**Method**

The sample population comprised 30 patients affected by stroke confirmed by computed tomography, of which 18 (60.0%) were female and 12 (40.0%) were male. The patients’ ages ranged 30–86 years, and the mean age and standard deviation was 60.6 (15.0) years.

Patients were divided into groups based on the location of the injury: cerebral cortex, cerebellar cortex, and subcortical areas, and the type of stroke: hemorrhagic or transient ischemic. Chart 1 details the distribution of the sample population in relation to the location and type of injury based on the variables age and sex.

The Research Ethics Committee of the Hospital de Clinicas, Federal University of Paraná (HC-UFPR), approved this study under No. 2169.06/2010-03. Subjects signed a consent form with the knowledge of the objectives, procedures, and responsibilities, as well as receiving answers to any questions regarding the survey.

Patients were evaluated within 48 hours of diagnosis of stroke and were responsive to the examination. Exclusion criteria were patients undergoing head and neck surgery or who had a previous diagnosis of structural abnormalities of the oropharyngolaryngeal tract, Glasgow Coma Scale level of consciousness (13) equal to or less than 11, and clinically unstable.

The research proceeded in 2 stages consisting of functional and clinical assessments of swallowing nasolaryngofibroscopy.

In accordance with the data collection protocol, the first stage involved clinical evaluation in the institution. The subjects were observed for clinical signs of aspiration: cough, shortness of breath, and “wet” voice (14), and we evaluated their uptake of food, lip seal, preparation of the cake, subsequent extraoral exhaust, waste in the oral cavity, reflection cough, and hoarseness. For this assessment, subjects were offered 3 swallows: free drink, 10 mL, and 5 mL, for each food consistency (liquid, nectar, honey, and pudding) according to the standard ADA (15), with no interval between them. For solid food bites, the subjects were offered free saltine crackers. After clinical evaluation of swallowing function was applied to the Functional Food Scale: Functional Oral Intake Scale (FOIS®) (16), where we assessed the level of acceptance of food, which ranged from level 1 (unable to receive oral diet) to level 7 (orally without restrictions), we carried out nasolaryngofibroscopy evaluation of swallowing (FEES®) (17).

FEES® (17) was carried out according to the data protocol used in the institution. The offered consistencies followed the same methodology and standard ADA (15) used in the functional assessment of swallowing, with the addition of the inorganic dye aniline blue to contrast with the pinkish color of the mucosa.

The data collected during FEES® (17) were tested by touch laryngeal sensitivity of distal fiberoptic vocal folds, arytenoid cartilage, and vestibular folds, allowing observation of the occurrence of glottal adduction and cough reflex, which was noted as present (normal or decreased) or absent. We observed

| Chart 1. Distribution of location and type of injury in relation to age and sex. |
|-------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
|                              | 30–49 Years | 49–69 Years | More than 69 years | Total |
|                              | F          | M          | F                | M          | F                | M          | F    | M    | Total |
| **Location of Injury**        |            |            |                  |            |                  |            |      |      |       |
| Cerebral cortex               | -1         | 1          | 4                | 3          | 2                | -1         | 10   |      |       |
| Cerebral and cerebellar cortices | 1         | -          | -                | -          | 2                | -1         | 3    |      |       |
| Cerebral cortex and subcortical areas | -    | -          | 1                | 1          | -                | -1         | 3    |      |       |
| Cerebral cortex, cerebellum, and subcortical areas | -    | -          | -                | -          | 1                | -1         | 1    |      |       |
| Subcortical areas             | 1          | -          | 2                | -          | -                | -1         | 3    |      |       |
| **Total**                     | 2          | 1          | 5                | 6          | 5                | 1          | 20   |      |       |
| **Type of Injury**            |            |            |                  |            |                  |            |      |      |       |
| Hemorrhagic                   | 1          | -          | -                | -          | 1                | -1         | 2    |      |       |
| Ischemic                      | 1          | 1          | 5                | 6          | 4                | 1          | 18   |      |       |
| Transitional                  | 1          | 2          | 3                | 1          | 2                | 1          | 10   |      |       |
| **Total**                     | 3          | 3          | 8                | 7          | 7                | 2          | 30   |      |       |

Source: The author. Note: F = female, M = male.
the presence of exhaust intraoral posterior pharyngeal residue in the posterior pharyngeal wall, epiglottic valleculae, pyriform recess, pharyngeal clearance (number of swallows to clear), and laryngeal penetration or tracheal aspiration (with or without cough reflex), and applied the Severity Scale for Dysphagia: Penetration and Aspiration (18).

**RESULTS**

The findings of the clinical evaluation of swallowing function in relation to the location of the lesion in stroke patients are shown in Table 1. Of 20 patients with ischemic and hemorrhagic strokes, 17 underwent evaluation with solid food, because 3 had no teeth. There was a prevalence of abnormalities in patients with lesions in the cerebral cortex and ischemic stroke.

Based on the location of the lesion (20 patients), FOIS® (16) level 7 predominated in 14 patients; levels 1 and 5 were each recorded in 2 patients, and levels 3 and 6 were each recorded in 1 patient. Based on the type of injury (30 patients), FOIS® (16) level 7 predominated in 20 patients, followed by level 1 in 5 patients, level 5 in 3 patients, and levels 3 and 6 each in 1 patient. No patient scored levels 2 or 4.

The FEES® (17) findings on laryngeal sensitivity in relation to the location and type of lesion are shown in Table 2.

The correlation between the location of the lesion and the subsequent intraoral escape in FEES® (17) based on food consistencies persisted in patients with lesions in the cerebral cortex and subcortical stroke.

Table 3 shows the correlations between the location of the lesion and the locations of waste in pharyngeal FEES® (17) based on food consistencies. There was a predominance of pharyngeal residue in epiglottic valleculae associated with pyriform recesses for all food consistencies, which did not occur with lesions located in the cerebral cortex, subcortical and cerebral cortices, and cerebellar cortex and subcortical areas.

Bleaching of pharyngeal residue in relation to the location and type of lesion with food consistencies is shown in Table 4.

The findings of laryngeal penetration and tracheal aspiration on FEES® (17) in relation to lesion location and food consistency are shown in Table 5 and that related with the type of injury in Table 6.

Regarding Severity Scale: Penetration and Aspiration (18) scoring based on the location of the lesion, 14 patients scored 1 point, while 3 patients scored 7 points, 2 patients scored 8 points, and 1 patient scored 2 points. When the type of stroke was considered, 19 patients scored 1 point, 5 patients scored 8 points, 4 patients scored 7 points, and 1 patient each scored 4 and 2 points on the Scale.

Severity Scale: Penetration and Aspiration (18) scores of 3, 5, and 6 points were not observed in this study. Only one patient presented with a TIA score of 4.

**DISCUSSION**

All patients had good awareness levels, presenting Glasgow Coma Scale (13) levels greater than or equal to 12. Other authors have pointed out that preserved cognition decreases the risk of aspiration pneumonia (19,20).

In this study, there were cases of TIA-related functional dysphagia in clinical and instrumental assessments of swallowing, which was not found in the literature.

In the TAC results, 10 patients presented with TIA within normal limits. Other authors have stressed that TIAs last less than 24 hours and lead to symptoms that usually resolve within hours (21). Therefore, patients with TIA who underwent the clinical and instrumental functional swallowing evaluation before 48 hours should not develop dysphagia. In this study, patients were assessed 24 hours after stroke because the HC-UFPR is not an open-door hospital, and a patient is referred from a clinic.

The change in swallowing after a TIA may be related to “transient dysphagia” occurring in the acute phase and during the regression of symptoms in this type of stroke.

It is essential that the interdisciplinary team focuses on the risks of dysphagia in patients after TIA and the importance of speech therapy referral for clinical evaluation of swallowing function before the patient is discharged. The post-TIA patients in this study only remained under observation in the emergency room without requiring hospitalization.

There were no changes in both oral and pharyngeal phases in the clinical evaluation of swallowing function in patients with AVEH, stroke, and TIA. Dysphagia was observed in all food consistencies, i.e., liquid, nectar, honey, pudding, and solids. In 1997, it was found that 96% of adults with stroke exhibited disorders in the oral phase and 80% of adults with stroke exhibited disorders in the...
Table 1. Distribution of clinical evaluation of swallowing test findings in relation to location of injury.

| Location of Injury | Liquid | Nectar | Honey | Pudding | Solid |
|--------------------|--------|--------|--------|---------|-------|
|                    | Capture of Cake |        |        |         |       |
|                    | E | NE | E | NE | E | NE | E | NE | E | NE |
| Cerebral cortex    | 10 | - | 10 | - | 10 | - | 11 | - | 10 | - |
| Cerebral and cerebellar cortices | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Cerebral cortex and subcortical areas | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Cerebral cortex, cerebellum, and subcortical areas | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - |
| Subcortical area   | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 |

| Lip Seal |        |        |        |         |       |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|---------|-------|
|          | E | NE | E | NE | E | NE | E | NE | E | NE |
| Cerebral cortex | 10 | - | 10 | - | 10 | - | 11 | - | 10 | - |
| Cerebral and cerebellar cortices | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Cerebral cortex and subcortical areas | - | 3 | - | 3 | - | 3 | - | 2 | - | 1 |
| Cerebral cortex, cerebellum, and subcortical areas | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 |
| Subcortical areas | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 |

| Preparation of Cake |        |        |        |         |       |
|---------------------|--------|--------|--------|---------|-------|
|                     | E | NE | E | NE | E | NE | E | NE | E | NE |
| Cerebral cortex     | 10 | - | 10 | - | 10 | - | 11 | - | 9 | 1 |
| Cerebral and cerebellar cortices | 3 | - | 3 | - | 3 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - |
| Cerebral cortex and subcortical areas | - | 3 | - | 3 | - | 3 | - | 2 | - | 1 |
| Cerebral cortex, cerebellum, and subcortical areas | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - |
| Subcortical areas   | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 |

| Extraoral Escape |        |        |        |         |       |
|------------------|--------|--------|--------|---------|-------|
|                   | A | P | A | P | A | P | A | P | A | P |
| Cerebral cortex   | 9 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 9 | 1 |
| Cerebral and cerebellar cortices | 2 | - | 3 | - | 3 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - |
| Cerebral cortex and subcortical areas | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Cerebral cortex, cerebellum, and subcortical areas | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - |
| Subcortical areas | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 |

| Waste in Oral Cavity |        |        |        |         |       |
|----------------------|--------|--------|--------|---------|-------|
|                      | A | P | A | P | A | P | A | P | A | P |
| Cerebral cortex      | 10 | - | 10 | - | 10 | - | 11 | - | 10 | - |
| Cerebral and cerebellar cortices | 2 | - | 3 | - | 3 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - |
| Cerebral cortex and subcortical areas | - | 3 | - | 3 | - | 3 | - | 2 | - | 1 |
| Cerebral cortex, cerebellum, and subcortical areas | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - |
| Subcortical areas    | 3 | - | 3 | - | 3 | - | 3 | - | 3 | - |

| Cough Reflex |        |        |        |         |       |
|--------------|--------|--------|--------|---------|-------|
|               | A | P | A | P | A | P | A | P | A | P |
| Cerebral cortex | 10 | - | 10 | - | 10 | - | 11 | - | 10 | - |
| Cerebral and cerebellar cortices | 2 | - | 3 | - | 3 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - |
| Cerebral cortex and subcortical areas | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Cerebral cortex, cerebellum, and subcortical areas | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - |
| Subcortical areas | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 |

| Hawk |        |        |        |         |       |
|------|--------|--------|--------|---------|-------|
|      | A | P | A | P | A | P | A | P | A | P |
| Cerebral cortex | 10 | - | 10 | - | 10 | - | 11 | - | 10 | - |
| Cerebral and cerebellar cortices | 3 | - | 3 | - | 3 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - |
| Cerebral cortex and subcortical areas | 3 | - | 3 | - | 3 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - |
| Cerebral cortex, cerebellum, and subcortical areas | 3 | - | 3 | - | 3 | - | 3 | - | 3 | - |

Source: The author. Note: NE = not effective, E = efficient, A = absent, P = present.
### Table 2. Distribution of laryngeal sensitivity in relation to location and type of injury.

| Location of Injury                  | Sensitivity       | Total |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|-------|
|                                     | Normal | Decreased | Absent |
| Cerebral cortex                     | 4      | 6        | 0     |
| Cerebral and cerebellar cortices    | 2      | 1        | 3     |
| Cerebral cortex and subcortical areas | 1      | 1        | 1     |
| Cerebral cortex, cerebellum, and subcortical areas | - | 1 | 1 |
| Subcortical areas                   | -      | 1        | 2     |
| Total                               | 7      | 10       | 3     |

| Type of Injury                      | Present | Absent |
|-------------------------------------|---------|--------|
| Hemorrhagic                         | 1       | 1      |
| Ischemic                            | 6       | 9      | 3     |
| Transitional                        | 3       | 5      | 2     |
| Total                               | 10      | 15     | 5     | 30   |

Source: The author

### Table 3. Pharyngeal waste distribution in relation with food consistency and location of second injury.

| Waste Pharyngealliquid               | Location of Injury                  |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Absent                               | Cerebral cortex | Cerebral and cerebellar cortices | Cerebral cortex and subcortical areas | Cerebral cortex, cerebellum, and subcortical areas | Subcortical areas |
| Epiglottic valleculae                | 5 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| Pyriform recesses                    | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Epiglottic valleculae + pyriform recesses | 4 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Pyriform recesses + posterior pharyngeal wall | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| Epiglottic valleculae + pyriform recesses + posterior pharyngeal wall | - | - | - | - | - |

| Waste Pharyngealnectar               | Location of Injury                  |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Absent                               | Cerebral cortex | Cerebral and cerebellar cortices | Cerebral cortex and subcortical areas | Cerebral cortex, cerebellum, and subcortical areas | Subcortical areas |
| Epiglottic valleculae                | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 | - |
| Pyriform recesses                    | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Epiglottic valleculae + pyriform recesses | 4 | 1 | - | - | 3 |
| Pyriform recesses + posterior pharyngeal wall | - | - | - | - | - |
| Epiglottic valleculae + pyriform recesses + posterior pharyngeal wall | - | - | - | - | - |

| Waste Pharyngealhoney                | Location of Injury                  |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Absent                               | Cerebral cortex | Cerebral and cerebellar cortices | Cerebral cortex and subcortical areas | Cerebral cortex, cerebellum, and subcortical areas | Subcortical areas |
| Epiglottic valleculae                | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 | - |
| Pyriform recesses                    | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Epiglottic valleculae + pyriform recesses | 5 | 1 | - | - | 3 |
| Pyriform recesses + posterior pharyngeal wall | - | - | - | - | - |
| Epiglottic valleculae + pyriform recesses + posterior pharyngeal wall | - | - | - | - | - |

| Waste Pharyngealpudding              | Location of Injury                  |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Absent                               | Cerebral cortex | Cerebral and cerebellar cortices | Cerebral cortex and subcortical areas | Cerebral cortex, cerebellum, and subcortical areas | Subcortical areas |
| Epiglottic valleculae                | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| Pyriform recesses                    | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Epiglottic valleculae + pyriform recesses | 4 | 2 | - | - | 2 |
| Pyriform recesses + posterior pharyngeal wall | - | - | - | - | - |
| Epiglottic valleculae + pyriform recesses + posterior pharyngeal wall | - | - | - | - | - |

Source: The author
Table 4. Whitening pharyngeal waste according to location and type of injury and food consistency.

| LOCATION OF INJURY | Liquid | Nectar | Honey | Pudding |
|-------------------|--------|--------|-------|---------|
|                    | Yes    | No     | Yes   | No      | Yes     | No     |
| Cerebral cortex    | 5      | -      | 6     | -       | 5       | 1      |
| Cerebral and cerebellar cortices | 2 | - | 2 | - | 3 - |
| Cerebral cortex and subcortical areas | - | - | - | - | - |
| Cerebral cortex, cerebellum, and subcortical areas | - | - | - | - | - |
| Subcortical areas | - | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 |

| TYPE OF INJURY | Liquid | Nectar | Honey | Pudding |
|----------------|--------|--------|-------|---------|
| Hemorrhagic    | 1      | -      | 1     | -       | 2       | -     |
| Ischemic       | 6      | 2      | 8     | 2       | 7       | 3     |
| Transitional   | 4      | 2      | 5     | 1       | 4       | 2     |

P 0.7778 0.8182 0.7273 0.5091

Source: The author. Fisher’s test at significance level of 0.05.

Table 5. Laryngeal penetration and tracheal aspiration in relation to location of injury and food consistency.

| LOCATION OF INJURY | Liquid | Nectar | Honey | Pudding |
|-------------------|--------|--------|-------|---------|
|                    | Yes    | No     | Yes   | No      | Yes     | No     |
| Cerebral cortex    | 1      | 9      | -     | 10      | 2       | 8      |
| Cerebral and cerebellar cortices | 1 | 2 | - | 3 | - |
| Cerebral cortex and subcortical areas | - | 3 | - | 3 | - |
| Cerebral cortex, cerebellum, and subcortical areas | - | 1 | - | 1 | - |
| Subcortical areas | - | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | 2 |

Laryngeal Penetration

| LOCATION OF INJURY | Liquid | Nectar | Honey | Pudding |
|-------------------|--------|--------|-------|---------|
|                    | Yes    | No     | Yes   | No      | Yes     | No     |
| Cerebral cortex    | -      | 10     | -     | 10      | 1       | 9      |
| Cerebral and cerebellar cortices | - | 2 | - | 3 | - |
| Cerebral cortex and subcortical areas | - | 3 | - | 3 | - |
| Cerebral cortex, cerebellum, and subcortical areas | - | 1 | - | 1 | - |
| Subcortical areas | - | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | 2 |

Tracheal Aspiration

Source: The author. Note: CR = cough reflex, NR = no cough reflex

Table 6. Laryngeal penetration and tracheal aspiration regarding types of injury and FEES® food consistencies second in patients stroke.

| TYPE OF STROKE | Liquid | Nectar | Honey | Pudding |
|----------------|--------|--------|-------|---------|
|                 | Yes    | No     | Yes   | No      | Yes     | No     |
| Hemorrhagic stroke | 1      | 1      | -     | 2       | -       | 2     |
| Ischemic stroke   | 1      | 16     | -     | 17      | 2       | 15     |
| Transitional      | 2      | 3      | 5     | -       | 2       | 8      |

Laryngeal Penetration

| TYPE OF STROKE | Liquid | Nectar | Honey | Pudding |
|----------------|--------|--------|-------|---------|
|                 | Yes    | No     | Yes   | No      | Yes     | No     |
| Hemorrhagic stroke | -      | 2      | -     | 2       | 1       | 1     |
| Ischemic stroke   | 1      | 16     | -     | 17      | 1       | 16     |
| Transitional      | 1      | 3      | 6     | -       | 2       | 8      |

Tracheal Aspiration

P 0.2982 0.8947 0.7158 0.7158

Source: The author. Note: CR = cough reflex, NR = no cough reflex Fisher’s test at significance level of 0.05.
oral and pharyngeal phases of swallowing and dysphagia (22); another author reported oral phase disorders and pharyngeal dysphagia for solid and liquid consistencies (23).

In the variables studied, such as the uptake of cake, lip seal, cake preparation, escape of extroral waste in the oral cavity, throat clearing, and cough reflex, efficiency predominated when correlating all food consistencies and isolated locations in the cerebral cortex; cerebellar and cerebral cortices; the cerebellum, cerebral cortex, and subcortical areas; cerebral cortex, subcortical areas, and cerebellar cortices; and subcortical areas. No citation was found for clinical evaluation of swallowing in relation to functional food consistencies correlating with the location of the lesion in the cerebral cortex, cerebellar cortex, and subcortical areas.

In the correlation between the type of injury with stroke, a similar FOIS® (16) score in the literature was identified only in a case study (24) of post-stroke patients, which recorded that FOIS® (16) score before speech therapy.

The diagnosis of dysphagia in this study increased after FEES® (17), agreeing with the findings of other authors (20). During the functional clinical evaluation of swallowing, we observed silent aspiration in some patients, and the speech therapist evaluator found that it was merely due to the instrumental assessment of swallowing.

There are no records in the literature that correlate laryngeal sensitivity to the location and type of lesion in patients with stroke, and authors have only reported reduced oropharyngeal sensitivity (25,26).

There was a predominance of decreased laryngeal sensitivity in stroke in the cerebral cortex and in ischemic and transient strokes, as well as a lack of sensitivity without laryngeal cough reflex, i.e., silent aspiration was predominant in subcortical area strokes. Regardless of the location and type of injury, there was a predominance of decreased or absent laryngeal sensitivity, compared to normal levels (Table 2).

Importantly, the reduction or absence in laryngeal sensitivity may lead to laryngeal penetration and/or tracheal silent aspiration. It has been reported that stroke patients exhibited reduced sensitivity contributing to laryngeal or tracheal aspiration (27).

Pharyngeal residue and epiglottic valleculae associated with pyriform recesses occurred in the present study, with the incidence being highest in cerebral cortex lesions for all food consistencies. These data differ from a report of a lower incidence of pharyngeal residue in epiglottic valleculae and/or pyriform recesses in cortical brain injury (9).

No relationship between waste and pharyngeal clearance of food waste based on consistency and type and location of injury was found in the literature.

There was no laryngeal penetration and tracheal aspiration in most stroke patients, independent of injury location and type in all food consistencies.

Subcortical stroke was the only injury location in which there was laryngeal penetration and tracheal silent aspiration for all food consistencies. Thus, we stress the importance of instrumental assessment of swallowing in such cases. Aspiration has been reported in 52.3% of subcortical stroke patients, but the author did not describe the food consistency evaluated (28).

Throat clearing occurred in all patients in cases of laryngeal penetration and tracheal aspiration, and did not persist in AVEH, widening cases of stroke, and TIA.

**Conclusions**

This study correlated brain injury with dysphagia in stroke patients, which persisted in ischemic and cerebral cortex strokes.

In the clinical evaluation of swallowing function, oral dysphagia was predominant in patients with lesions in the cerebral cortex and subcortical areas and ischemic stroke. An FOIS® (16) score of 7 was predominant for lesions in the cerebral cortex and ischemic stroke.

In FEES® (17), decreased laryngeal sensitivity predominated in patients with lesions in the cerebral cortex and ischemic stroke. Intraoral posterior escape persisted for all food consistencies when lesions were located in the cerebral cortex and subcortical areas. Pharyngeal residue in epiglottic valleculae associated with pyriform recesses predominated in the cerebral cortex for all food consistencies and in ischemic stroke.

In subcortical strokes, there was no reduction of pharyngeal residue, which was prevalent in ischemic stroke; laryngeal penetration and tracheal aspiration, and silent aspiration with no laryngeal sensitivity occurred.

A Severity Scale: Penetration and Aspiration (18) score of ___ predominated in patients with lesions in the cerebral cortex and ischemic stroke.
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