Characterization of the Secondary Neutron Field Produced in a Thick Aluminum Shield by 1 GeV/u $^{56}$Fe Ions Using TLD-Based Ambient Dosimeters
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The neutron ambient dose equivalent induced by galactic cosmic-ray-like (1 GeV/u $^{56}$Fe) radiation stopped in a thick aluminum shield was measured at different angles with a GSI neutron ball, the standard TLD (theroluminescent dosimeters)-based neutron dosimeter for area monitoring at the GSI facility. In order to measure reliably at large angles, a modified version of the GSI ball, including a set of three more sensitive TLD600H/700H cards, instead of one standard TLD600/700 card was used. The modified GSI balls were calibrated in neutron reference fields of $^{241}$Am-Be($\alpha$,n) available at the Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt (PTB). The neutron ambient dose equivalent was measured at five different angles (15, 40, 90, 115, and 130 degrees) with respect to the beam direction and compared to the calculated detector response and neutron ambient dose equivalent results from FLUKA simulations. The dosimeter readings were corrected for signal contributions coming from secondary charged particles. An agreement within 15% was found between the measured and calculated GSI ball response and an agreement within 30% was found between experiments and calculated neutron dose equivalents.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Long-term manned space missions to Mars and the construction of a permanent Moon base with a large crew both represent two of the most challenging new frontiers of human space flight exploration. However, the effects of space radiation on the health of astronauts is one of the most serious limiting factors to the realization of such explorations [1–3]. In order to predict the biological effects of radiation exposure and develop possible mitigation strategies, space agencies are developing complex risk models [4, 5]. However, the predictability of these models is strongly...
limited by the large uncertainties of the basic physical and radiobiological models and the limited amount of experimental data for the reaction and production cross-sections of particles from galactic cosmic radiation (GCR) [6].

When studying shielding approaches for planetary habitats, the exploitation of in-situ materials to build very thick shielding represents one of the most realistic strategies with which to maximize shielding efficiency while limiting costs. In this context, the lack of experimental data on neutron and light ion production after thick shielding material by highly energetic ion radiation represents one of these significant knowledge gaps. Recent studies demonstrated that, behind thick shielding (≥ 20 g/cm²), up to 80–90% of the total dose equivalent to various body organs comes from light secondary fragments and neutrons [7–9]. In particular, secondary neutrons—abundantly produced through all phases of a nuclear fragmentation process—represent a severe threat for the astronauts’ health due to their high penetration length and their increased biological effectiveness.

Motivated by these gaps in data, a series of experimental studies for secondary particle production in thick targets for space radiation protection applications have been performed at the HIMAC accelerator in Japan by NASA-associated research groups from the USA; more recent studies have been performed at NSRL at Brookhaven National Laboratory [10–12]. However, despite the significant experimental effort invested in these campaigns, measurement gaps are still reported [13].

Radiation transport codes represent a powerful and very important tool for radiation protection in space. However, the uncertainties regarding the nuclear physics models and the lack of necessary experimental data needed to improve these models lead to large differences among the major particle transport codes used for space radiation application [14]. Recent studies demonstrated that different Monte Carlo (MC) codes could reproduce the measured neutron dose distributions behind shielding materials irradiated with protons only with an accuracy of a factor of two [15].

In this context, an accelerator-based experimental campaign within the framework of the ESA-IBER program is currently taking place in Cave A of GSI (Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung in Darmstadt) by a collaboration with the PTB (Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt, Braunschweig), TIFPA (Trento Institute of Fundamental Physics and Application, Trento), the Radiation protection department of GSI, and the Biophysics department of GSI. This project aims at a full characterization of the neutron and light fragment field generated by galactic cosmic ray-like radiation (1 GeV/u 56Fe ions) fully stopping in a thick aluminum target. The measurement method consists of a multi-detector system including four complementary detectors and measurement techniques. In particular, the secondary neutron yield and the neutron ambient dose equivalent at different angles will be measured with the active PTB Bonner sphere spectrometer (BSS) NEMUS and the TLD-based GSI neutron ball dosimeters, respectively. The double differential yield of the secondary fragments will be measured with ToF (Time of flight) and energy loss measurements via ΔE-E telescopes while the TEPC (tissue equivalent proportional counter) will allow a microdosimetric characterization in terms of lineal energy spectra.

In this work, the results obtained from GSI neutron balls within the first experimental run are presented. These dosimeters, consisting of a moderator sphere equipped with thermoluminescence dosimeters (TLDs) sensitive to thermal neutrons, have been used to measure the angular distribution of the neutron ambient dose equivalent around a thick target at different angular positions. For this experimental campaign, a modified version of the GSI ball, including a set of three sensitive TLD600H/700H cards, was used. In order to achieve the maximum accuracy, the full detector setup was calibrated in the PTB 240Am-Be(α,n) neutron reference field. After describing the calibration procedure, and the experimental setup, the measured neutron ambient dose equivalent distribution is presented. A dedicated study is carried out to quantify the signal contributions coming from secondary protons interacting with the GSI balls. Consequently, the dosimeter readings are corrected for this over-response due to secondary protons. The experimental results are finally compared with Monte Carlo simulations showing a good agreement between the measured and calculated neutron dose equivalent distribution.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. GSI Ball: A TLD-Based Neutron Dosimeter

GSI balls are the standard neutron dosimeters used at the GSI (Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung in Darmstadt) accelerator site for environmental monitoring all over the facility [16–18]. The detectors consist of a 32 cm diameter polyethylene sphere (density 0.954 g/cm³) with a 1 cm thick lead layer inside. At the sphere center, a TLD card of the Harshaw type is used as the radiation-sensitive element. The polyethylene moderates up to 10 MeV neutrons down to thermal energies so that they can be detected by the TLDs. For more energetic neutrons, for which the polyethylene moderator becomes insufﬁcient, a lead layer converts the high-energy neutrons into detectable evaporation neutrons by spallation reactions. The geometrical design of the GSI ball dosimeter was optimized through Monte Carlo transport codes (FLUKA and MCNPX) [19, 20] to reproduce the ambient dose equivalent, H*(10), (as given in the ICRP74 [21]) in a neutron energy range spanning from thermal up to GeVs. The calculated response was validated with mono-energetic neutron beams up to 19 MeV and quasi-mono-energetic neutrons of 500 and 800 MeV [22, 23]. In Figure 1, the response function of the new GSI ball dosimeters is depicted together with the response function of the standard model of GSI ball (with one card) and with the energy-dependent dose equivalent curve from [24, 25]. Within this project, five GSI balls were used to measure the neutron-ambient dose equivalent at different angles; among these, three were a new prototype able to hold up to three TLD cards, thus providing improved measurement statistics. A schematic representation of a GSI ball from a different projection and of the sensitive element consisting of a
thermal neutrons. This quantity is about a factor of 3 lower for the
considering also the difference of the relative TL efficiency to
(for the chips used in this work) to only a factor of 7 when
shown in Figure 2.

The TLD cards contain two pairs of different TLD chips based
on LiF: two TLD600 and two TLD700. The two TLD600 chips,
enriched in \(^{6}\)Li, can detect photons, charged particles and thermal
neutrons (through the \(^{6}\)Li(n,\(\alpha\))\(^{3}\)H reaction), while the two
TLD700 chips, where the \(^{6}\)Li fraction has been depleted, respond
identically to the TLD600 to gamma radiation and charged
particles but are not as sensitive to thermal neutrons. This allows
a separation of the neutron TL signal in a mixed radiation field by
subtraction of the TLD700 signal from the one measured by the
TLD600H and TLD700H in the Supplementary Material. Averaging all
irradiated crystals, it can be observed that both TLD types show
the same \(R_{n}\): an average response of 0.59 nC \(\mu\)Gy\(^{-1}\) (with
a maximum of 0.69 nC \(\mu\)Gy\(^{-1}\) and a minimum of 0.45 nC \(\mu\)Gy\(^{-1}\))
for TLD600H and 0.57 nC \(\mu\)Gy\(^{-1}\) (with a maximum of 0.66 nC
\(\mu\)Gy\(^{-1}\) and a minimum of 0.49 nC \(\mu\)Gy\(^{-1}\)) for TLD700H. A large
response variability between the crystals is observed and has to
be taken into account when performing precision measurements,
thus exhibiting the need for individual crystal calibration.

After determining the response of every single crystal to
gamma radiation, all the GSI balls used during the experiments
were calibrated in terms of the neutron ambient dose equivalent
using the neutron field generated by a \(^{241}\)Am-Be(\(\alpha\),n) source available at GSI with a nominal activity of 370 GBq. A detailed
description of the neutron calibration procedure performed can
be found in [20]. To exclude any uncertainties coming from
the dosimeter setup, the calibration was performed with the
exact same combination of cards and moderators used during
the experiment. An additional calibration for a single GSI ball
holding three cards was performed with the reference \(^{241}\)Am-
Be(\(\alpha\),n) source at PTB. On this occasion, corrections for the
scattered neutrons contributions have been made by means of
the shadow cones technique [33, 34]. A difference of 20% was
found between the average neutron response, \(R_{n}\), measured at
GSI and the ones measured at PTB, probably coming from the
missing correction for the scattered neutron contribution at GSI.
Neutron calibration results with the \(^{241}\)Am-Be(\(\alpha\),n) are reported in
the Supplementary Material.

2.2. Experimental Setup
Two sets of five neutron dosimeters were irradiated in the
secondary radiation field generated by the nuclear interaction of
a 1 GeV/u \(^{56}\)Fe beam fully stopped in a cylindrical aluminum
target 20 cm in diameter with a height of 20 cm in GSI’s Cave A. The primary radiation and the target selection was chosen to
be relevant for space shielding applications: the 1 GeV/u \(^{56}\)Fe beam is often used as reference radiation for studying GCR,
and aluminum constitutes one of the main construction material
for spacecrafts and is typically used as reference material. The
neutron ambient dose equivalent was measured at 5 different
angles with respect to the beam axis at (15, 40, 90, 115, and
130 degrees). Two independent repetitions of the experiment
have been performed in order to guarantee the measurement
reproducibility. Each measurement set was composed by three
new GSI ball prototypes (holding three TLD600H/TLD700H
cards) and two standard GSI ball dosimeter (holding one TLD
card) respectively at angles of 15, 40, and 130 degrees and at 90
and 115 degrees. A schematic representation of the experimental

![FIGURE 1 | Calculated neutron fluence response of the standard GSI ball (scatter-line with solid blue squares) and the new GSI ball holding three TLD cards (scatter-line with black open triangles) and the fluence-to-dose conversion function for ambient dose equivalent \(H'(10)\) [24, 25] (red stars).](50x533 to 285x710)
FIGURE 2 | GSI ball layout with PE moderator, lead layer, and the cylindrical insert holding three TLD cards.

FIGURE 3 | Schematic representation of the experimental cave with its concrete walls and experimental setup and horizontal projection.

setup and of the experimental room is shown in Figure 3. The primary beam, a pencil beam (with a FWHM of about 1.2 cm), was constantly monitored during the irradiation with a parallel plate ionization chamber [35] while the beam position and focus was verified with Radiochromic films and a light screen. The beam monitor ionization chamber was calibrated in terms of primary particles by a dose measurement as described in detail in [36]. In order to reduce the contribution of back-scattered neutrons and of neutrons produced in the backwards direction—through the interaction of secondary radiation with the material present in the experimental room and with the walls—dedicated PE shielding was placed in front of the beam dump and under the target position. The optimization of the shielding installation was performed with FLUKA simulations which allowed to define the most critical areas in the experimental room and establish reasonable measures to limit the background signal coming from the cave.

2.3. Cave Geometry and FLUKA Simulations
The FLUKA Monte Carlo particle transport code (version 2011.2x.5) [37, 38], used with the rQMD-2.4 model [39] and
in combination with the graphical user interface flair [40], has been used for the planning of the experiment and for the interpretation of the results. The whole setup, including the full Cave geometry, represented in Figure 3 has been implemented in the simulation geometry and used to analyze the expected neutron and secondary particle field at the detector position and in the experimental area. All simulations have been performed with physics and transport parameters, including evaporation, coalescence, electromagnetic dissociation, and activation of the low-energy neutron optimized transport function. To compare with experimental values, the secondary neutron spectra have been scored in the detector volume, and the dosimeter response was then calculated by folding the simulated spectra with the energy-dependent response function of the GSI balls [41]. Additionally, the ambient dose equivalent calculated from ICRP74 [21] has been also scored in the volumes covered by the dosimeters.

Two-dimensional neutron ambient dose equivalent maps have been calculated in order to establish possible shielding approaches reducing the contribution of scattered neutrons at the measurement positions as much as possible. A 2D simulation of the dose equivalent distribution in the cave during the experiment is shown in Figure 4 at the height of the beamline.

2.3.1. Secondary Proton Correction
As described in section 2.1, the subtraction of the TLD700H signal from the TLD600H signal allows the removal of contributions from particles other than neutrons. However, with this technique, enhancements of the TLD600H signal caused by tertiary neutrons produced within the GSI ball, for instance by secondary charged fragments, cannot be distinguished from real neutron dose. At small angles, close to the incident beam direction, projectile fragments with high energies are present and might lead to a significant contribution especially for the 15° measurements. In particular, dose readings are expected to be perturbed by energetic protons that are able to reach the lead layer in the center of the GSI ball and produce spallation neutrons. The neutron ambient dose equivalents measured in the $^{56}$Fe ion experiments described in this work were, thus, corrected for the contributions of secondary protons. The secondary proton spectra at the measurement positions were obtained from the FLUKA simulations of the experiment, see Figure 5A. However, as planned in the original IBER 17 project, secondary particle spectra were measured with ToF and energy loss measurements via ΔE-E telescopes. Those spectra were then used as the source in another FLUKA simulation where the GSI ball geometry is irradiated with protons, which is similar to the simulations performed to obtain the neutron response function of the dosimeter [41]. After normalizing the simulation results to the calibration field ($^{241}$Am-Be neutrons) and subtracting the TLD600H signal contributions induced directly by the incident protons (these doses are also measured by the TLD700H chips), the neutron dose readings obtained during the measurements can be corrected for the influence of secondary protons.

Projectile fragments heavier than protons (e.g. deuterons, tritons, or helium) were neglected in the correction of the dose readings since the perturbation due to those fragments can be considered as a second-order effect. Their fluences at the measurement positions were also scored...
3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Results for the neutron ambient dose equivalent measured by the TLDs at the different positions normalized per primary particle are reported in Table 1 together with the distances between the detector and the target center. For the two smallest angles, the contribution to the measured neutron dose equivalent coming from neutrons produced by the interaction of secondary protons with the moderator, and in particular with the lead layer included in the GSI ball, has been calculated with FLUKA simulations, and its effect on the measured values is also reported in Table 1. The simulated proton spectra in the detector volumes are shown in Figure 5A while Figure 6 depicts 2D fluence maps of secondary protons and tertiary neutrons in the GSI ball. Despite the strong directionality of the secondary proton field, it can be observed that the generated neutron field at the TLD card position is rather isotropic, thus justifying the use of an average correction on the reading of the three cards inside the detector. An effect of up to about 30% was found for the 15-degree position while a much lower contribution, of approximately 5%, is obtained at 40 degrees. A 30% uncertainty was estimated for the applied secondary proton corrections. Lower contributions are expected at larger angles justifying the application of the correction only at the two most forwarded angles. For kinematic reasons, indeed, the higher energy proton projectile fragments are produced in forward direction and are also visible from the simulated secondary proton spectra in Figure 5A. Experimental results have been compared to FLUKA predictions of the neutron ambient dose equivalent at the different measuring positions. A comparison of the calculated neutron ambient dose equivalent, $H^*(10)$ with the experimental measurements—with and without the neutron contribution coming from the secondary proton field—is shown in Figure 7. Even though the data analysis and simulation have been performed for the exact detector positions, for better visualization and interpretation, the data presented in Figure 7 have been re-normalized to a 1 m distance.

| Detector position/deg | Distance from the target center / m | Neutron ambient dose equivalent / $\mu$Sv/p.p. | Neutron ambient dose equivalent (with proton correction) / $\mu$Sv/p.p. |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 15                     | 3.14                                | $1.5 \cdot 10^{-7} \pm 7\%$                   | $1.1 \cdot 10^{-7} \pm 20\%$                                     |
| 40                     | 3.14                                | $5.4 \cdot 10^{-8} \pm 6\%$                   | $5.1 \cdot 10^{-8} \pm 8\%$                                     |
| 90                     | 2.27                                | $3.9 \cdot 10^{-8} \pm 7\%$                   | $3.9 \cdot 10^{-8} \pm 7\%$                                     |
| 115                    | 3.82                                | $1.0 \cdot 10^{-8} \pm 16\%$                  | $1.0 \cdot 10^{-8} \pm 16\%$                                    |
| 130                    | 1.43                                | $4.6 \cdot 10^{-9} \pm 9\%$                   | $4.6 \cdot 10^{-9} \pm 9\%$                                     |

**FIGURE 6** | (A) Secondary proton field impinging on the dosimeter and (B) simulated neutron field generated by the proton interaction with the dosimeter moderator.
from the target center by applying the inverse square law. This normalization is only an approximation because it assumes idealized conditions with a point source and a constant neutron energy distribution at each angle scaling only with the distance from the target. However, it is useful to get an idea of the angular distribution of the neutron ambient dose equivalent because the distances between target and detectors were not equal for all measurement positions. For the experimental data, the uncertainty reported is the maximum between the statistical error on the detector reading and the standard deviation between the readings of the three cards held in a GSI ball. For the most forward angle, the calculated ambient dose equivalent is around 30% lower with respect to the measured value. However, after applying the correction for the secondary proton contribution this difference reduces significantly to a 2% difference. For large angles (40 to 130 degrees), the simulated neutron ambient dose equivalent results are always larger than the experimental values, showing an agreement within 25%. A larger deviation of about 40% is observed for the 115-degree angle. This significant difference is mainly due to larger uncertainties on the detector position introduced by the complexity of the Cave geometry and by the fact that this detector, different from the others, was not exactly at the beam height. These uncertainties can affect both the experimental results and the simulated contribution of scattered neutron from the walls and equipment present in the cave at the time of the experiment. Even though the detector readings are a good estimate for the neutron ambient dose equivalent, the expected detector response at the different measurement position has also been calculated by folding the simulated neutron spectra with the GSI ball response function. The simulated neutron spectra (fluence per unit lethargy) at the different dosimeter positions are shown in Figure 5B. For the small angles (forward direction with respect to the beam), the spectra are dominated by a pronounced peak at about 560 MeV followed by two other smaller peaks: one at 1 MeV (evaporation neutrons) and one in the thermal neutron region. At larger angles, the high-energy peak becomes less important and disappears in the backward direction. This is because the higher-energy neutron projectile fragments show a pronounced forward direction due to the reaction kinematics while the evaporation neutrons are rather isotropic and the thermalized neutrons fill the cave homogeneously. The greater number of events reported in the 130 degree spectrum of this specific dosimeter was because it was positioned significantly closer to the target compared to the other detectors (only 1.43 m), see Table 1 and Figure 3. The simulated detector responses, re-normalized to a 1 m distance from the target center, are also reported in Figure 7. At the larger angles, except for a deviation of 20% at 115 degrees, the measurements agree with the calculated detector response within 10%. However, at the 15 degree angle, an agreement of only 15% between the experimental data (including secondary fragment corrections) and the expected TLD response can be observed. Several factors might contribute to this deviation, including the contribution of additional neutrons generated by other secondary fragments here neglected and small inaccuracies in the geometry or material description in the FLUKA calculations (in a region where the neutron dose contribution has a large gradient).

For all investigated detector positions, the calculated $H^*(10)$ results always turned out to be larger than the measured and calculated GSI Ball responses. This can be explained because, in the neutron energy range between 0.1 and 500 MeV, the GSI ball response function underestimates the neutron ambient dose equivalent, see Figure 1.

4. CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK

The work presented here is part of a larger experimental campaign aiming at the establishment of a multi-detector setup for the characterization of the neutron and light fragment field generated by galactic cosmic-ray-like radiation in thick shielding material. The mixed radiation field, generated by 1 GeV/u $^{56}$Fe ions fully stopped in a thick aluminum target, has been characterized in terms of neutron ambient dose equivalent with GSI ball neutron dosimeters, the standard neutron dosimeter for area monitoring at the GSI accelerator facility. The neutron ambient dose has been measured at five different positions, and FLUKA calculations were carried out to predict the GSI ball response and the neutron ambient dose equivalent at the detector positions. Corrections at the more forward positions have been applied in order to exclude the contribution of tertiary (and higher-order) neutrons produced by the interaction of secondary protons with the detector moderator. The good agreement between the measured and calculated values—within a well-defined irradiation condition and using a standard reference ion beam and target material—demonstrated the validity of the approach and open up the possibility of investigating more complex irradiation scenarios. Different and less studied radiation qualities and more complex shielding materials could indeed be tested in future experiments with the presented
approach. Moreover, secondary fragment spectra measured with different experimental setups can be used for more accurate secondary proton and light fragment correction, as planned in future experiments. The capability of the GSI balls to give a good estimation of the neutron ambient dose equivalent over a range of neutron energies ranging from thermal to several GeVs makes these detectors particularly interesting for space radiation protection application. They allow us to get directly biologically relevant quantities also in complex radiation fields and for space relevant energies. They provide interesting data for benchmarking and validation of radiation transport codes and risk models. Additionally, thanks to the large range of energy covered, the possibility of measuring at very high intensities (being a passive detector it does not suffer from dead time effects) make this detector very suitable for ground based accelerator studies, especially for upcoming facilities like FAIR (Facility for Antiproton and Ion Research, Darmstadt, Germany) where ion beams of intensities up to $10^{11}$ particles/s (and protons up to $5 \times 10^{12}$ particles/s) and energies up to 10 GeV/u (29 GeV for protons) will be reached.
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