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Abstract

We study a fully back-reacted non-abelian vortex solution in an extension of the holographic superconductor setup. The thermodynamic properties of the vortex are computed. We show that, in some regime of parameters, the non-abelian vortex solution has a lower free energy than a competing abelian vortex solution. The solution is dual to a finite-temperature perturbed conformal field theory with a topological defect, on which operators related to the Goldstone modes of a spontaneously broken symmetry are localized. We compute numerically the retarded Green function of these operators and we find, in the classical approximation in the bulk, a gapless $\mathbb{CP}^1$ excitation on the vortex world line.

1 Introduction

The non-abelian vortex \cite{1, 2} is characterized by the presence of orientational internal zero modes localized on the vortex. These modes are generated by a symmetry, which is unbroken in the bulk and spontaneously broken in the core of the vortex. The dynamics of these orientational modes is described by an effective $\mathbb{CP}^N$ sigma model localized on the vortex worldsheet.

One of the most important motivations to study these objects is that they may give us precious insights on the challenging problem of quark confinement. The dual mechanism of color confinement \cite{3, 4} suggests the existence of a confining superconductor-like vortex between
quark charges. Indeed, from the major breakthrough by Seiberg and Witten [5], we know that the Abrikosov-Nielsen-Olesen (ANO) vortex in an effective dual abelian Higgs model realizes confinement in softly broken $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Super Yang-Mills theory. On the other hand, the abelian model fails to reproduce several features of realistic confinement: in particular it gives an extra multiplicity in the hadron spectrum [6, 7], which is not observed in nature. Indeed, the ANO type cofinement arises from the breaking of a $U(1)$ gauge symmetry, which would imply some degree of abelianization of $SU(3)$ QCD theory which is not necessarily realistic. It is then interesting to study alternative kinds of confining vortex strings, such as the non-abelian vortex, which realizes confinement in some vacua of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SQCD [8].

In several $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge theories the non-abelian vortex leads to a powerful correspondence between theories in different dimensions: the BPS spectrum of the two-dimensional effective sigma model coincides with the spectrum of monopoles in the four-dimensional gauge theory [9, 10, 11]. In the supersymmetric case, this gives a nice setting where one can study the physics of strongly-coupled gauge theories in a controlled way.

Non-abelian vortices have been heavily investigated in several contexts, e.g. [12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17], for reviews see [18, 19, 20, 21, 22]. In general, the worldsheet dynamics of a non-abelian vortex is itself strongly coupled at low energy (due to the asymptotic freedom of the $\mathbb{CP}^N$ sigma model) and we quickly lose analytic control outside the comfort zone of supersymmetric theories.

Holography gives us an interesting theoretical laboratory to explore strongly coupled systems in a calculable setting. Even if no explicit examples of real world materials described by a gravity dual have been found so far in a lab, gravity duals give us examples of theoretically consistent physical systems where no quasi-particle description is available. This is one of the situations where the traditional field-theoretical tools fail. Holographic superconductors [23, 24, 25] provide an interesting class of the exotic phases of matter that have been recently studied in holography. These systems are very different from the conventional superconductors described with the effective field theory framework, which are generically described by a small number of degrees of freedom, i.e. the spontaneous breaking of a $U(1)$ gauge symmetry. Holographic superconductors instead possess a large number of gapless degrees of freedom, obtained by deforming a conformal field theory (CFT) by relevant operators. The non-trivial interaction between Goldstone bosons and CFT gives a rich dynamics which has been intensively studied in the last few years. This program includes abelian vortices in holographic superconductors and superfluids as an interesting example, see [26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32].

In the quest of investigating the several possible realisations of strongly coupled systems, it is then natural to explore holographic models of non-abelian vortices. Non-abelian vortices were previously studied in gravity duals of strongly-coupled gauged theories in mass-deformed $\mathcal{N} = 4$ and ABJM [33] theories, always in the probe approximation, i.e. as probe D-brane in the background geometry [34, 35, 36]. Considering D-brane back-reaction could give a top-down realisation of non-abelian vortices in AdS/CFT, but this is a hard problem.

Here we take a pragmatical approach and we consider a bottom/up prospective, in order to build a non-abelian vortex in AdS with a minimal amount of ingredients. Inspired by the flat space vortex string construction studied in [37, 12], a holographic model of non-abelian vortex was proposed and studied in [38]. The purpose of this paper is to include the effect of the gravity back-reaction and also to further investigate the issue of non-abelian zero modes, which corresponds to Goldstone modes localized on the vortex world volume. Therefore, this
is the first realisation of a fully back-reacted non-abelian vortex in a holographic setup.

The paper is organized as follows: in section 2 we review the abelian vortex in the holographic superconductor model studied in [32]. In section 3 we introduce the non-abelian vortex set-up (which is a variant of the one studied in [38]) and we find the vortex solution, including the gravity back-reaction. A free energy calculation (which is necessary in order to establish if the non-abelian vortex solution is dynamically preferred) is performed in section 4. The orientational zero modes and the retarded two-points function of the Goldstone bosons localized on the vortex worldsheet are studied in section 5. We conclude in section 6.

2 Gravitating abelian vortex

In the context of holographic superconductivity [25], the topic of abelian vortex solutions have been studied in several papers, e.g. [26, 27, 28, 30]. In these studies, the back-reaction of the matter fields on the gravitational sector is neglected, and so only a limited parameter space of the full solution is considered. In particular they are restricted to temperatures far from $T = 0$, where the back-reaction can no longer be ignored.

A fully back-reacted gravitational system which is dual to a three dimensional theory containing a vortex of the Abrikosov type was first constructed in [32]. We dedicate this section to a lightning review of this system and its notation as it is very important for this paper. The system introduced in [32] is a gravitational Maxwell-Higgs system of the form:

$$S_{\text{ANO}} = \frac{1}{16\pi G_N} \int d^4 x \sqrt{-g} \left[ R + \frac{6}{L^2} - \frac{1}{2} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - 2(D_\mu \phi)(D^\mu \phi)^\dagger - 2V(|\phi|^2) \right],$$

where

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu, \quad D_\mu \phi = \partial_\mu \phi - iqA_\mu \phi.\quad (2)$$

In our notation $D_\mu$ denotes in general a combination of the gravity and $U(1)$ gauge covariant derivatives. This system has a $U(1)$ gauge symmetry, spontaneously broken by a quartic potential of the form

$$V(|\phi|^2) = -\frac{2}{L^2} |\phi|^2 \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} |\phi|^2 \right).\quad (3)$$

The potential has two local extremal points, with different $V$ and mass for the scalar $\phi$:

$$|\phi| = 0, \quad V = 0, \quad m_\phi^2 = -\frac{2}{L^2},$$

$$|\phi| = 1, \quad V = -\frac{1}{L^2}, \quad m_\phi^2 = \frac{4}{L^2}.\quad (4)$$

We will focus on the first of these AdS vacua:

$$ds^2 = \frac{L^2}{z^2} (-dt^2 + dz^2 + dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2),\quad (5)$$

for which at large $z$ the field $\phi$ has the following expansion

$$\phi = \alpha z^{\Delta_1} + \beta z^{\Delta_2} + \ldots, \quad \Delta_1 = 1, \quad \Delta_2 = 2.\quad (6)$$
For generic mass \( m_\phi^2 \), the dimensions \( \Delta_i \) are the solutions of \( m_\phi^2 L^2 = \Delta(\Delta - 3) \), which comes from the Klein-Gordon equation in curved AdS space.

The general setup involving a holographic phase transition is to find a phase of the gravitational system where a black-hole forms scalar hair. This usually involves the presence of a chemical potential in the dual theory. The reason being that without it the dual system is scale invariant and every non-zero temperature is equivalent. The chemical potential is introduced by using the temporal component of the gauge field \( A_0 \) in the bulk, whose asymptotic boundary condition is then dual to the chemical potential. The authors of [32] (using results from [39]) however achieve the same mechanism without using a chemical potential, instead they impose Robin boundary conditions on the scalar field at the boundary. In the usual case, following the holographic dictionary, one imposes that either \( \alpha \) or \( \beta \) vanish, as if one assumes any of them to describe the dual condensate the other can be understood as its source and one has to abandon the idea of a spontaneous phase transition. Therefore, for \( \alpha = 0 \) or \( \beta = 0 \) the scalar field \( \phi \) is dual to an operator \( \mathcal{O} \) with conformal dimension 2 or 1.

Imposing a Robin condition of the form

\[
\beta = \kappa \alpha
\]

is dual to introducing a relevant double-trace operator in the dual theory potential [40, 41] of the form

\[
\Delta V = \kappa \mathcal{O} \mathcal{O}^*,
\]

with a positive coefficient. For negative values of \( \kappa \) this term induces a condensation of the \( \mathcal{O} \) operator in the dual theory, determined by the new scale \( \kappa \).

With this boundary condition describing a holographic phase transition the authors of [32] solved the full system of the equation of motion:

\[
G_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} + \frac{3}{L^2} g_{\mu\nu} - \left[ (D_\mu \phi)(D_\nu \phi)^\dagger + (D_\nu \phi)(D_\mu \phi)^\dagger + g_{\mu\nu} V(|\phi|^2) + F_{\mu\sigma} F_{\nu\rho} - \frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{4} F^{\rho\sigma} F_{\rho\sigma} \right] = 0,
\]

\[
D_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = i q[(D^\nu \phi)|\phi|^2 - (D^\nu \phi)^\dagger |\phi|^2],
\]

\[
g^{\mu\nu} D_\mu D_\nu \phi - V'(|\phi|^2) \phi = 0,
\]

which come from the action in eq. (1). The following ansatz, which is the most general one consistent with cylindrical symmetry, is used:

\[
ds^2 = \frac{L^2}{y^2} \left\{ -Q_1 y^2 (1 - y^3) dt^2 + \frac{Q_2}{1 - y^3} dy^2 \\
+ \frac{y^2 Q_1}{(1 - x)^4} (dx + xy^2 (1 - x)^3 Q_3 dy)^2 + \frac{y^2 Q_5 x^2}{(1 - x)^2} d\theta^2 \right\},
\]

\[
\phi = y e^{i n \theta} x^n Q_6, \quad A_\theta = L x^2 Q_7.
\]

In eq. (10) the radial AdS coordinate is \( y \in [0, 1] \), with \( y = 0 \) being the conformal boundary and \( y = 1 \) the horizon. The form of the metric in eq. (10) involves the following change of
variables from the usual cylindrical coordinate $r$ (defined from $0 \leq r \leq \infty$) transverse to the vortex axis of symmetry:

$$r = \frac{x}{1-x}, \quad x \in [0, 1].$$

(12)

Empty AdS corresponds to:

$$Q_1 = \frac{1}{1-y^3}, \quad Q_2 = 1 - y^3, \quad Q_3 = 0, \quad Q_4 = 1, \quad Q_5 = 1,$$

(13)

and the black brane solution (without any scalar field) instead corresponds to:

$$Q_1 = Q_2 = Q_4 = Q_5 = 1, \quad Q_3 = 0.$$

(14)

Using this ansatz in the equations of motion eq.(9) leads to a complicated set of coupled non-linear pdes for the $Q_i(x,y)$ fields which we choose not to display for simplicity, but which we plan to solve anyway.

The temperature is:

$$T = \frac{3y_+}{4\pi}.$$  

(15)

This definition relies on the fact that $Q_1(x,1) = Q_2(x,1)$ which is part of a boundary condition enforcement as we discuss in the next section.

As they stand however the equations are not elliptic and are difficult to solve numerically. The authors of [32] adopted the DeTurck method in order to make the equations elliptic, this method is explained in detail in [42]. In practice, one must solve a modified version of Einstein’s equations, called Einstein-DeTurck equations, of the form

$$G_{\mu\nu} - D_{(\mu} \xi_{\nu)} = 0,$$

(16)

where $G_{\mu\nu}$ is given in equation (9) and

$$\xi^\mu = g^{\sigma\rho} \left( \Gamma^\mu_{\rho\sigma}(g) - \bar{\Gamma}^\mu_{\rho\sigma}(\bar{g}) \right).$$

(17)

Here $\bar{g}$ is a reference metric chosen to have the same asymptotic conditions as the original metric eq.(10). This new system of equations is elliptic and can be solved by standard numerical methods. However, one must guarantee that the solution found has a vanishing DeTurck vector $\xi^a \xi_a = 0$, otherwise the solution is not a solution of the original Einstein Matter system, but rather a Ricci soliton. For this case the reference metric is chosen to be the same line element as in eq.(10) with

$$Q_1 = Q_4 = Q_5 = 1, \quad Q_3 = 0, \quad Q_2 = 1 - \tilde{\alpha} y(1 - y),$$

(18)

where $\tilde{\alpha}$ is a constant that we will be discussed in section 4.

2.1 Boundary Conditions

The boundary conditions on this 2d system are very important and they were discussed at length in [32]:
Here we require that metric tends to the black brane solution, therefore

\[ Q_1 = Q_2 = Q_4 = Q_5 = 1, \quad Q_3 = 0. \]  
\( (19) \)

The boundary condition on the scalar field was already discussed, this is the previously mentioned condition eq.(7). With the \( Q_i \) parameterization this amounts to a Robin boundary condition on \( Q_6 \)

\[ \partial_y Q_6(x, 0) = \frac{\kappa_1}{y_+} Q_6(x, 0), \]  
\( (20) \)

where \( \kappa_1 \) is related to \( \kappa \) and to the \( \tilde{\alpha} \) parameter in the DeTurck reference metric eq.(18), as we will make explicit later in eq. (49). The boundary condition on the gauge field correspond to \( Q_7(x, 0) = 0 \) for a superfluid, while \( \partial_y Q_7(x, 0) = 0 \) for a superconductor.

The conditions infinitely far away from the vortex core are not so simple. At this boundary we require the solutions to approach an equivalent superconducting system without the vortex. That is, we must solve the same system removing the any dependence on the spatial coordinate \( x \). The corresponding solution will be a superconducting state, and this solution must be fed as an asymptotic boundary condition infinitely far away from the vortex core. The corresponding boundary conditions for a superconducting phase are therefore

\[ Q_1(1, y) = \tilde{Q}_1(y), \quad Q_2(1, y) = \tilde{Q}_2(y), \quad Q_3(1, y) = 0, \]
\[ Q_4(1, y) = \tilde{Q}_4(y), \quad Q_5(1, y) = \tilde{Q}_5(y), \quad Q_6(1, y) = \tilde{Q}_6(y), \quad Q_7(1, y) = \frac{n}{qL}, \]  
\( (21) \)

where \( \tilde{Q}_i(y) \) are the spatially independent functions which solve the homogeneous superconducting problem. In detail, we set \( Q_7(1, y) = \frac{n}{qL} \) everywhere and solve the system of equations (9) using an ansatz for all \( Q_i \) which is independent of \( x \), with the boundary conditions at \( y = 0 \). The solutions are shown in figure 1.

With the chosen coordinate and field definitions the boundary conditions on the fields in the vortex core where derived in the appendix of [32], they are

\[ \partial_x Q_1(0, y) = \partial_x Q_2(0, y) = \partial_x Q_4(0, y) = \partial_x Q_5(0, y) = 0, \quad Q_4(0, y) = Q_5(0, y), \]
\[ \partial_x Q_3(0, y) = 2Q_3(0, y), \quad \partial_x Q_6(0, y) = nQ_6(0, y), \quad \partial_x Q_7(0, y) = 2Q_7(0, y). \]  
\( (22) \)

The reader might think that, since the system’s equations are of second order, one is forced to specify conditions at the horizon as well. However this is not the case since the reparameterization used for the fields automatically enforces the correct behaviour at the horizon. The only condition that one must satisfy is that \( Q_1(x, 1) = Q_2(x, 1) \).
Figure 1: Solutions of the homogeneous system representing the boundary conditions imposed on the fields at $x = 1$ for $q = L = n = 1$, $\kappa = -1$, $y_+ = 1/2$. 
2.2 Numerical solutions

The above system of equations (9) with the set of boundary conditions defines a system we must solve. Analytic solutions cannot be found, therefore the system is solved numerically. In this paper we adopt a similar procedure to that used in [32]. We use a spectral solver on a Chebyshev grid, coupled to a Newton-Rhapson linear solver. Once a suitable initial seed is given we expect exponential convergence to a solution, if indeed this exists. Solutions are shown in Figure 2. They describe vortices emanating from the horizon of a planar black-hole and carrying magnetic flux. The back-reaction of these vortices deforms the horizon of the black-hole, where it forms a Reissner-Nordstrom patch (corresponding to the center of the vortex carrying magnetic flux) over an AdS-Schwarzchild solution. The deformation can be best understood by plotting the Ricci scalar $R_s$ induced at the horizon. The induced metric at the horizon is

$$ds^2 = \frac{L^2 y^2}{(1-x)^2} \left[ \frac{Q_5(x, 1)dx^2}{(1-x)^2} + x^2 Q_5(x, 1)d\theta^2 \right],$$

for which we plot $R_s$ evaluated on the solution in figure 2-(d). For the remaining features of this solution, including its thermodynamical properties, we refer the reader to [32].

3 Non-abelian vortex

In this section we will study an AdS realisation of a non-abelian vortex model which, in the flat spacetime limit, reduces to the model introduced in [12]. The setup is conceptually similar to the model introduced by Witten [37] for cosmic strings, and can be generalized to monopoles [43] and skyrmions [44]. The main difference between the flat and the AdS case is that in the former case the non-abelian symmetry responsible for the orientational zero modes is global, while in the latter it is a gauged symmetry in the AdS bulk (which, by holographic dictionary, corresponds to a global symmetry in the boundary dual theory).

A very similar model for the non-abelian vortex in AdS was previously studied in [38], neglecting the vortex gravitational back-reaction. The action that we will consider is as follows:

$$S_T = S_{ANO} + S_\chi,$$

where $S_{ANO}$ is the action shown in equation (1) and

$$S_\chi = \frac{1}{16\pi G_N} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[ -\frac{1}{2g_2^2} \mathrm{Tr} \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{D}_\mu \chi^i \tilde{D}^\mu \chi_i - \hat{V}(|\phi|^2, \chi^2) \right].$$

(25)

Here, $\chi$ is a real scalar field in the adjoint representation of $SU(2)$ such that $\chi = \chi^i \frac{\sigma_i}{2}$ with $\sigma_i$ the standard Pauli matrices, and $\chi^2 = \chi^i \chi_i$. Moreover, $\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} = \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}^a \frac{\sigma^a}{2}$ is the non-abelian field strength of the $SU(2)$ gauge field $\tilde{A}_\mu = A^a_\mu \frac{\sigma^a}{2}$, i.e.

$$\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}^a = \partial_\mu \tilde{A}_\nu^a - \partial_\nu \tilde{A}_\mu^a + \epsilon_{abc} \tilde{A}_\mu^b \tilde{A}_\nu^c,$$

(26)

The symbol $\tilde{D}_\mu$ denotes in general the combination of the gravitational and $SU(2)$ gauge covariant derivatives, while $D_\mu$ denotes a partial derivative with the inclusion of only the $SU(2)$ covariant term; for scalars the two definitions coincide:

$$D_\mu \chi_a = \tilde{D}_\mu \chi_a = \partial_\mu \chi_a + \epsilon_{abc} \tilde{A}_\mu^b \chi^c,$$

(27)
(a) Scalar field profile

(b) Magnetic field profile

(c) Boundary profiles for $Q_6(x,0)$ and the magnetic field $B$ at $y = 0$. Red line is scalar field, blue line is magnetic field.

(d) Induced Ricci scalar $R_s$ at the horizon.

Figure 2: Solutions of the gravitating Abrikosov-like vortex at $q = L = n = 1$, $\kappa = -1$, $y_+ = 1/2$, plotted as a function of the physical radial coordinate $R$. 
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In contrast to [38], no additional $U(1)$ sector is needed as we follow the guideline of adding no chemical potential in the dual field theory. Furthermore, note that the $\chi$ sector is neutral with respect to the original local $U(1)$ symmetry under which $\phi$ is charged.

The extra term in the potential is chosen to be of the form:

$$\tilde{V}(|\phi|^2, \chi^2) = -\frac{1}{L^2}|\chi|^2 + \frac{\gamma}{L^2}|\phi|^2 \chi^2 + \frac{\gamma\beta}{L^2} \chi^4.$$  \hspace{1cm} (28)

The purpose of the mixed $|\phi|^2 \chi^2$ term is to make the condensation of $\chi$ energetically favorable in the region where the condensate of $\phi$ is zero, which corresponds to the center of the vortex. In this way the field $\chi$ condenses just in the center of the vortex, where the non-abelian orientational model will be localized.

The original equation of motion for the gauge sector, equation (9), is unchanged, while the equations for the scalar fields $\phi$ and $\chi$ become,

$$g^{\mu\nu} D_\mu D_\nu \phi - \left[ V'(|\phi|^2) + \frac{7\chi^2}{4L^2} \right] \phi = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (29)

$$g^{\mu\nu} \tilde{D}_\mu \tilde{D}_\nu \chi^i - \left( -2 + 2\gamma |\phi|^2 + 4\gamma \beta \chi^2 \right) \frac{L^2}{\chi^i} = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (30)

The coupled potentials for $\phi$ and $\chi$ lead to an interesting range of vacua, these are

$$
\begin{align*}
(I) & \quad \phi = 0, \quad \chi = 0, \\
(II) & \quad \phi = 0, \quad \chi^2 = \frac{1}{2\beta\gamma}, \\
(III) & \quad |\phi|^2 = 1, \quad \chi^2 = 0, \\
(IV) & \quad |\phi|^2 = \frac{4\beta - 1}{4\beta - \gamma}, \quad \chi^2 = \frac{2 - 2\gamma}{\gamma(4\beta - \gamma)}. 
\end{align*}
$$  \hspace{1cm} (31)

The asymptotic AdS vacuum that we choose corresponds to the first of these vacua. In this vacuum, the quadratic part of the potential is chosen in such a way that

$$m^2_{\chi} = m^2_{\phi} = -2/L^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (32)

The boundary expansion of the field $\phi$ in the AdS vacuum (5) is unchanged (see eq. (6)), while the boundary expansion of the adjoint field $\chi_i$ is:

$$\chi_i = \alpha_i z + \beta_i z^2 + \ldots.$$  \hspace{1cm} (33)

We will consider a Robin condition of the form $\beta_i = \eta \alpha_i$, which is dual in the boundary theory potential to a double trace deformation of the form:

$$\Delta V = \eta (\mathcal{O}_1^2 + \mathcal{O}_2^2 + \mathcal{O}_3^2),$$  \hspace{1cm} (34)

where $\mathcal{O}_i$ are the dual operators to the $\chi_i$ bulk fields.

The equations of motion for the $SU(2)$ gauge field are:

$$\frac{1}{4g^2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \hat{D}_\mu (\sqrt{-g} g^{\alpha\beta} g^{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}_{\alpha\beta}) + \epsilon^{abc} \chi^b \hat{D}_\mu \chi^c = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (35)
For the static vortex solution, we will consider a configuration where the gauge field is set to zero and the only non-vanishing component of the non-abelian scalar is $\chi^3$, so eq. (35) is automatically satisfied. This equation will be later useful in order to study zero modes localized on the vortex.

Since we are interested in including the back-reaction, the gravitational sector is also modified and becomes

$$G^{T\mu\nu} = G_{\mu\nu} - G^\chi_{\mu\nu} = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (36)

where $G_{\mu\nu}$ is given by equation (9) and

$$G^\chi_{\mu\nu} = \left[ (\tilde{D}_\mu \chi)(\tilde{D}_\nu \chi) + (\tilde{D}_\nu \chi)(\tilde{D}_\mu \chi) + g_{\mu\nu} V(|\phi|^2, \chi^2) + Tr \left( \tilde{F}_\rho \tilde{F}_{\nu\rho} - \frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{4} \tilde{F}_{\rho\sigma} \tilde{F}^{\rho\sigma} \right) \right].$$  \hspace{1cm} (37)

Therefore we look for solutions of this new system, with an extra $\chi$ field. For the vortex solution, we will use the ansatz

$$\chi^1 = \chi^2 = 0$$

and we will parametrize the profile of the field $\chi^3$ with the function $Q_8$, i.e.

$$\chi^3 = y Q_8(x, y).$$  \hspace{1cm} (38)

### 3.1 Boundary conditions of the $\chi$ field

The boundary conditions for all the matter fields and gravitational sector were discussed previously, we now discuss those for the additional field $\chi$.

- $y=0$

  To discuss the boundary conditions at the asymptotic boundary for $\chi$ we must first analyse the equation it satisfies there. First of all, in order for the $\chi$ field to have an asymptotic expansion of the form

  $$Q_8(x, 0) = \hat{\chi}_1(x) + y \hat{\chi}_2(x) + \ldots.$$  \hspace{1cm} (39)

Taking a series expansion of the equation of motion at $y = 0$ we get the following condition

$$Q_1'(x, 0) + 3Q_2'(x, 0) + Q_4'(x, 0) + Q_5'(x, 0) = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (40)

where $'$ denotes differentiation w.r.t $y$. This equation is obtained at order $y^1$ unless $Q_8(x, 0) = 0$. This equation is a non-trivial condition on the metric fields, which has to be satisfied if we want $Q_8$ to appear.

Regarding the actual condition on $Q_8$: in [38] this field was made to condense by adding a separate chemical potential for it. This in turn implied an additional $U(1)$ gauge symmetry in the bulk, under which only $\chi$ was charged. However, as we learnt from [39] and are using throughout this paper, the addition of the chemical potential can be replaced by a suitable relevant operator in the boundary theory. Therefore for the boundary variable $Q_8$, we also use a Robin like boundary condition of the form

$$\partial_y Q_8 = \frac{\kappa_2}{y_+} Q_8.$$  \hspace{1cm} (41)

In turn, this Robin condition has a holographic interpretation in term of the double trace deformation parameter $\eta$; the precise identification depends on the $\tilde{\alpha}$ parameter in the DeTurck reference metric and will be discussed in the next section, see eq. (49).
• $x=0$

At the vortex core, we require that the $\chi$ field satisfies Neumann conditions. In turn this amounts to picking Neumann conditions for $Q_8$, therefore

$$\partial_x Q_8(0, y) = 0. \quad (42)$$

• $x=1$

Infinitely far away from the vortex core, the $\chi$ field should approach its asymptotic vacuum value. This is given by the precise choice of parameters in the potential, and each choice corresponds to a specific vacuum. Therefore, we will consider general Neumann conditions of the type

$$\partial_x Q_8(1, y) = 0, \quad (43)$$

and let the parameters pick the right vacuum. In particular note that for the vacuum we are interested in, for which $Q_8 = 0$, the gravitational solutions shown in Figure 1 are still valid.

3.2 Numerical solutions

Therefore we have a full set of equations with boundary conditions which we must solve. These have to be solved numerically and we use a similar procedure to that outlined above. A solution is shown in figure 3. The remaining fields vary little from the solutions presented in figure 2 and therefore we choose not to present them here. The boundary profiles presented above are, as expected, similar to the flat space solutions obtained for example in [13] and represent a non-abelian vortex in the dual theory.

For all the solutions presented in this paper we have verified numerically that the norm of the DeTurck vector $\xi^a \xi_a = 0$ and that the condition outlined in eq.(40) are satisfied to accuracy $O(10^{-7})$.

4 Free energy

This section is devoted to the study of thermodynamic quantities in the holographic theory. In particular, we are interested in showing that the solutions in which the additional field $Q_8$ condenses are thermodynamically preferred over the solutions in which it doesn’t. In order to compute the free energy we need first to find the holographic energy momentum tensor of the boundary field theory. In order to perform the necessary holographic renormalization procedure [45], it is convenient to use Fefferman-Graham (FG) coordinates:

$$ds^2_{FG} = \frac{L^2}{z^2} dz^2 + \gamma_{MN} dw^M dw^M, \quad (44)$$

where the capital latin letter denote the boundary coordinates $w^M = (t, \tilde{x}, \theta)$ and $y \approx y_+ z$.

Near the boundary

$$\gamma_{MN} \approx \frac{h_{MN}}{z^2}, \quad h_{MN} dw^M dw^N = -dt^2 + \frac{d\tilde{x}^2}{(1 - \tilde{x})^4} + \frac{\tilde{x}^2}{(1 - \tilde{x})^2} d\theta^2, \quad (45)$$
Figure 3: Solutions of the gravitating non-abelian vortex at , $q = L = n = 1$, $\kappa = -1$, $\tilde{\kappa} = 2\kappa$, $y_+ = 1/2$, $\gamma = 3$, $\beta = 1$, plotted as a function of the physical radial coordinate $R$.

which is the flat space metric. Since we want to extract holographic quantities at the boundary, it is sufficient to perform this coordinate transformation as a series expansion nearby $y = 0$. A similar procedure was performed in [32].

It is useful to write the functions $Q_i$ as a series expansion in powers of $y$ around $y = 0$:

$$Q_i = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q_i^{(k)} y^k. \quad (46)$$

The coefficients $Q_i^{(k)}$ of the expansion can be determined recursively expanding the equations of motion as a function of $y$. We find that these coefficients can all be obtained as functions of:

$$Q_3^{(2)}, \quad Q_4^{(3)}, \quad Q_6^{(0)}, \quad Q_7^{(0)}, \quad Q_8^{(0)} \quad (47)$$

(we have checked this claim up expanding eqs up to 6th order in $y$). These series expansion coefficients depend explicitly on the value of $\tilde{\alpha}$ in the DeTurck reference metric (18).

The FG coordinates $(z, \tilde{x})$ are related to $(y, x)$ by the following expansion:

$$y = y_+ z + \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} a_i(\tilde{x}) z^i, \quad x = \tilde{x} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i(\tilde{x}) z^i. \quad (48)$$

The first coefficients $(a_i, b_i)$, found by expanding the metric close to the boundary, as well as using equations of motions for the $Q_i$ coefficients, are given in appendix A. From the change of variables, we find the match between $\kappa$ and $\kappa_1$ and $\eta$ and $\kappa_2$:

$$\kappa_1 = \kappa - \frac{5\check{\alpha}y_+}{16}, \quad \kappa_2 = \eta - \frac{5\check{\alpha}y_+}{16}. \quad (49)$$

As explained in [32], for generic values of $\check{\alpha}$ in the DeTurck reference metric (18), the series expansion in eqs. (46,48) should be generalized in order to include $\log y$ terms. In
order to avoid these logarithms, which make the holographic renormalization procedure much more complicated, one can choose to the following value of $\tilde{\alpha}$:

$$\tilde{\alpha} = 4\kappa_1/y_+,$$

(50)

which, combined with eq. (49), gives $\kappa_1 = \frac{4}{3}\kappa$. Ref. [32] indeed checked that, for this value of $\tilde{\alpha}$, there are no $y^k\log y$ terms up to tenth order in $k$. A good test of the consistency of this procedure comes from the first law of thermodynamics, as we shall see later.

In our example we have two Robin conditions for each of the fields $\phi$, $\chi^i$. In order to avoid logarithms in the series expansion in $y$, we specialize to

$$\kappa_1 = \kappa_2,$$

(51)

and we use the $\tilde{\alpha}$ given by eq. (50).

We can then use the results of [45] to extract the energy momentum tensor:

$$T_{MN} = \lim_{z \to 0} \frac{L}{8\pi G N L^2} \left( K_{MN} - \gamma_{MN} K - \frac{2}{L} \gamma_{MN} - \frac{\gamma_{MN}}{L} \left( \phi^2 + \frac{\chi^2}{2} \right) \right),$$

(52)

where $K_{MN}$, $K$ are the extrinsic curvature tensor and scalar calculated with an inward unit normal vector to the constant $z$ surfaces nearby the boundary. For later convenience, let us introduce the operator VEVs:

$$|\langle O_1 \rangle| = y_+ Q_6^{(0)} x^n, \quad |\langle O_2 \rangle| = y_+ Q_8^{(0)}.$$  

(53)

In presence of double-trace deformation, $T_{MN}$ is not covariantly conserved:

$$D^M T_{MN} = \frac{55\alpha y_+ - 112\kappa_1}{384\pi G} D_N |\langle O_1 \rangle|^2 + \frac{55\alpha y_+ - 120\kappa_1 + 8\kappa_2}{768\pi G} D_N |\langle O_2 \rangle|^2,$$

(54)

where $D_N$ denotes the boundary covariant derivative. Moreover, the trace anomaly reads:

$$h^{MN} T_{MN} = \frac{\kappa}{4\pi G} |\langle O_1 \rangle|^2 + \frac{5\kappa + 9\kappa_2}{72\pi G} |\langle O_2 \rangle|^2.$$  

(55)

Therefore, one introduces a modified $\tilde{T}_{MN}$ which is conserved [46, 47]:

$$\tilde{T}_{MN} = T_{MN} - h_{MN} \left( \frac{55\alpha y_+ - 112\kappa_1}{384\pi G} |\langle O_1 \rangle|^2 + \frac{55\alpha y_+ - 120\kappa_1 + 8\kappa_2}{768\pi G} |\langle O_2 \rangle|^2 \right).$$

(56)

The energy density can finally be extracted as

$$E = -\int d^2x \sqrt{\eta} \tilde{T}_{MN} (\partial_i)^M t^N,$$

(57)

where $\eta_{MN}$ is the induced metric on the constant $t$ surface with unit normal $t^N$. The calculated expression for the energy density is:

$$E = \frac{y_+^2}{G} \int \frac{\tilde{x}d\tilde{x}}{(1 - \tilde{x})^3} \left( -\frac{3}{8} y_+ Q_1^{(3)} - \frac{160\kappa_1 + 17\alpha y_+}{256} \tilde{x}^2 Q_6^{(0)2} - \frac{160\kappa_2 + 17\alpha y_+}{512} Q_8^{(0)2} \right),$$

(58)
from which the energy of the BH solution without vortex should be subtracted (the "vacuum"). Note that there is an expected symmetry between the two scalar fields $x^n Q_6^{(0)}$ and $Q_8^{(0)\sqrt{2}}$, which is why we chose to present these results in terms of general $\alpha$ first. Using eqs. (50,51), we find the expression of the regulated energy density difference as

$$\Delta E = \frac{y_+^2}{G} \int_0^1 \frac{\tilde{x} d\tilde{x}}{(1 - \tilde{x})^3} \left( -\frac{3}{8} y_+ (Q_1^{(3)}(\tilde{x}) - Q_1^{(3)}(1)) - \frac{57\kappa_1}{64} (\tilde{x}^2n Q_6^{(0)}(\tilde{x}) - (Q_6^{(0)}(1))^2) - \frac{57\kappa_1}{128} Q_8^{(0)}(\tilde{x})^2 \right).$$

(59)

The entropy difference between our solution and the "vacuum" is [32]

$$\Delta S = \frac{\pi y_+^2}{2G} \int_0^1 \frac{\tilde{x} d\tilde{x}}{(1 - \tilde{x})^3} \left( \sqrt{Q_4(x,1)Q_5(x,1)} - \tilde{Q}_4(x,1) \right).$$

(60)

With these thermodynamic variables we can finally compare, in the canonical ensemble, the free energy of the solution with and without $\chi$. Therefore, we look at

$$\Delta F = \Delta E - T\Delta S,$$

and in particular at $\Delta F_{\text{diff}} = \Delta F_{\chi \neq 0} - \Delta F_{\chi = 0}$, where a negative result would indicate that the solutions with $Q_8$ are preferred.

For all the temperatures and parameters we scanned, we find (see figure 4) that the phase with the $\chi$ condensate is preferred. This is analogous to what happens in flat space, where a similar model indicates non-abelian vortices to be energetically preferred over Abrikosov ones [13]. For all these solutions, we checked the first law $\Delta F = T\Delta S$ to be verified to order 0.1% accuracy. This check would have failed if one did not use the conditions eq.(50,51), due to the extra log $y$ terms in the expansion.

Figure 5 shows a comparison between the free energy between two winding $1$ vortices at very large distance and a winding $2$ vortex, which corresponds to two coincident winding $1$ vortices. We find that the state with two far away vortices has a lower free energy...
Figure 5: Check of vortex type with same parameters as above, \( qL = 1 \). For these parameters these solutions are of type II.

Figure 6: Check of vortex type with same parameters as above, \( qL = 2 \). For these parameters these solutions are of type I.
(this is true both for the abelian vortex state and for the non-abelian one, which has a $\chi$ condensate inside). So we conclude that for $qL = 1$ the non-abelian vortices are of type II. Similarly, we find that these non-abelian vortices are of type I for $qL = 2$, as shown in figure 6.

A complete analysis of the phase diagram of these kind of vortices in flat space was performed in [48], where explicit solutions for lattice configurations of non-abelian vortices were presented. A similar analysis would be interesting here and we leave this to future work.

5 Vortex orientational zero modes

The $SU(2)$ gauge symmetry is unbroken far away from the core of the non-abelian vortex discussed in section 3, but it is spontaneously broken nearby the center of the vortex at $x \to 0$. In the boundary theory, this gives rise to classical Goldstone modes localized on the vortex world line. Holographic Goldstone modes have been studied by several authors, e.g. [49, 50, 51, 52, 53]. The situation that we study in this section is different from these cases, because our symmetry breaking modes give rise to a degree of freedom which is localized on a topological defect.

In principle, for a one-dimensional system, these modes should be gapped from the Coleman-Mermin-Wagner theorem [54, 55]. As described in [51], this kind of quantum effects in AdS are subleading in the large $N_c$ expansion. The classical physics in the bulk gives a classical massless Goldstone in the boundary; the description of the dynamics of the quantum system requires a one-loop calculation in the bulk. In this section we will study just the classical dynamics in the bulk, and we leave the quantum aspects for further work.

In order to study generic perturbations around the symmetry-breaking non-abelian vortex solution, we introduce a unit 3-component vector $S^a$, which is an arbitrary function of the bulk coordinates, which parameterize the $SU(2)$ orientation of the field $\chi^a$:

$$\chi^a = S^a \chi_0, \quad S^a S^a = 1,$$

where we denote by $\chi_0$ the static non-abelian vortex profile, determined in section 3. The profile function $\chi_0$ is a function of $(y, x)$ if we use the coordinated of the metric (10) or $(z, \tilde{x})$ if we use FG coordinates. Replacing this ansatz in the action of the non-abelian sector eq. (25), we find the following effective lagrangian which describes perturbations from the non-abelian vortex solution:

$$S_{\text{eff}} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left( \frac{\chi_0^2}{2} \tilde{D}_\mu S^c \tilde{D}^\mu S^c - \frac{1}{4g_2^2} \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}^a \tilde{F}^{a\mu\nu} \right).$$

If we consider small deviations from the non-abelian vortex solutions with $\chi^1 = \chi^2 = 0$ discussed in section 3, it is useful to introduce the Goldstone fields $\pi_{1,2}$:

$$S^c = \exp \left( i \pi^a T^a \right) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \approx \begin{pmatrix} \pi^1 \\ \pi^2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},$$
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where $T_a$ are the generators of the adjoint representation of $SU(2)$. At quadratic order, the effective action is:

$$S^q_{\text{eff}} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left( -\frac{1}{4g_2^2} \tilde{F}^a_{\mu
u} \tilde{F}^{a\mu\nu} + \frac{\lambda_0^2}{2} (\partial_{\mu} \pi^1 - \tilde{A}_\mu^2)(\partial_{\nu} \pi^1 - \tilde{A}_\nu^2) + \frac{\lambda_0^{\text{0}}}{2}(\partial_{\mu} \pi^2 - \tilde{A}_\mu^1)(\partial_{\nu} \pi^2 - \tilde{A}_\nu^1) \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (65)

At linear order, the equations of the scalar $\pi^1$ and gauge field $\tilde{A}_\nu^2$ then are

$$\partial_{\mu} \left( \chi_0^2 \sqrt{-g} g^{\mu\nu} (\partial_{\nu} \pi^1 - \tilde{A}_\nu^2) \right) = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (66)

$$\frac{1}{4g_2^2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \partial_{\mu} \left( \sqrt{-g} g^{\mu\alpha} g^{\nu\beta} \tilde{F}_{\alpha\beta}^2 \right) + \frac{\lambda_0^2}{2} g^{\mu\nu}(\partial_{\mu} \pi^1 - \tilde{A}_\mu^2) = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (67)

Analog equations can be written for $(\pi^2, \tilde{A}_\nu^1)$. In the following we will solve the linear system in eqs. (66,67); for simplicity we will use the following variables:

$$\pi = \pi^1, \quad H_\nu = \tilde{A}_\nu^2, \quad H_{\mu\nu} = \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (68)

Note that at linear order the non-abelian nature of the fields is not important, because at this order $\pi$ and $H_\mu$ behave as abelian degrees of freedom. In particular, in the asymptotic region where $\chi_0 \to 0$, the gauge field $H_\nu$ behaves in the linear approximation as an abelian gauge field in asymptotically AdS spacetime, without symmetry breaking.

Solving the scalar equation (66) in the AdS background (5) and using the asymptotic behavior of $\chi$ in eq. (33), which gives $\chi_0 \approx \alpha_3 z$ nearby the boundary in the non-abelian vortex static solution, we find that for $z \to 0$, the Goldstone field satisfies $\partial_z^2 \pi = 0$. This gives the asymptotic behavior of the field $\pi$ as:

$$\pi = B + A z + \ldots.$$  \hspace{1cm} (69)

The asymptotic behavior of $\pi$ is then characterized by the two allowed values of $\Delta_\pi = 0, 1$; the condition for them to be normalizable is:

$$\Delta_\pi + \Delta_\chi \geq d - \frac{2}{2}, \quad d = 3, \quad \Delta_\chi = 1,$$  \hspace{1cm} (70)

where the equality corresponds to the unitarity bound. Both the solutions $\Delta_\pi = 0, 1$ are normalizable; however, in order to enforce the Robin condition for $\chi$ (which in the boundary theory is dual to the double trace deformation for the corresponding operator), we are forced to take $A$ as source and $B$ as a VEV.

The asymptotics of the gauge field $H_\nu$ is:

$$H_\mu = H_\mu^0 + H_\mu^1 z + \ldots,$$  \hspace{1cm} (71)

where in the following we will set the source $H_\mu^0 = 0$, and $H_\mu^1$ instead is proportional to the expectation value of the current $J_\mu$. 
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5.1 Consistency of equations

Let us consider eqs. (66,67) more explicitly. Before considering the Goldstone mode equations in the fully back-reacted non-abelian vortex background, it is useful to consider eqs. (66,67) in a probe limit, with the following diagonal metric:

\[ ds^2 = g_{tt} dt^2 + g_{zz} dz^2 + g_{zz}(d\tilde{x}^2 + \tilde{x}^2 d\theta^2), \tag{72} \]

where the diagonal metric coefficients are functions just of \( z, \tilde{x} \). A black brane solution can be recovered as a particular case, with the metric coefficients depending only on \( z \). By gauge choice, we can set \( H_z = 0 \); moreover, \( H_\theta = 0 \) due to cylindrical symmetry. We consider a perturbation of the following form for the remaining fields:

\[ \pi = e^{-i\omega t} \hat{\pi}(z, \tilde{x}), \quad H_t = e^{-i\omega t} \hat{H}_t(z, \tilde{x}), \quad H_x = e^{-i\omega t} \hat{H}_x(z, \tilde{x}). \tag{73} \]

Eq. (66) reads:

\[ \lambda_0^2 \sqrt{-g} g^{tt} (-\omega^2 \hat{\pi} - i\omega \hat{H}_t) + \partial_z \left( \lambda_0^2 \sqrt{-g} g^{zz} \partial_z \hat{\pi} \right) + \partial_{\tilde{x}} \left( \lambda_0^2 \sqrt{-g} g^{\tilde{x}\tilde{x}} \left( \partial_{\tilde{x}} \hat{\pi} - \hat{H}_{\tilde{x}} \right) \right) = 0 \] (74)

The \( \nu = z, t, \tilde{x} \) components of eq. (67) give respectively the following equations:

\[ i\omega g^{tt} g^{zz} \partial_z \hat{H}_t - \frac{\partial_z(\sqrt{-g} g^{\tilde{x}\tilde{x}} g^{zz} \partial_z \hat{H}_{\tilde{x}})}{\sqrt{-g}} + 4g_0^2 \frac{\lambda_0^2}{2} g^{zz} \partial_z \hat{\pi} = 0, \tag{75} \]

\[ \frac{\partial_z(\sqrt{-g} g^{\tilde{x}\tilde{x}} g^{tt} \partial_z \hat{H}_t)}{\sqrt{-g}} + \partial_{\tilde{x}}(\sqrt{-g} g^{\tilde{x}\tilde{x}} g^{tt} (\partial_{\tilde{x}} \hat{H}_t + i\omega \hat{H}_{\tilde{x}})) + 4g_0^2 \frac{\lambda_0^2}{2} g^{\tilde{x}\tilde{x}} (\partial_{\tilde{x}} \hat{\pi} - \hat{H}_{\tilde{x}}) = 0, \tag{76} \]

\[ \frac{\partial_z(\sqrt{-g} g^{zz} g^{tt} \partial_z \hat{H}_t)}{\sqrt{-g}} - i\omega g^{tt} g^{\tilde{x}\tilde{x}} (-\partial_{\tilde{x}} \hat{H}_t - i\omega \hat{H}_{\tilde{x}}) + 4g_0^2 \frac{\lambda_0^2}{2} g^{\tilde{x}\tilde{x}} (\partial_{\tilde{x}} \hat{\pi} - \hat{H}_{\tilde{x}}) = 0, \tag{77} \]

The \( \nu = \theta \) component of (67) gives instead a trivial equation. If one solves eqs. (75,77) for \((\partial_{\tilde{x}} \hat{\pi}, \partial_{\tilde{x}} \hat{H}_t)\) and replaces it in eq. (74), one finds eq. (76). So eq. (76) is redundant, and indeed in this way we have three independent differential equations for the three unknown functions \((\hat{\pi}, \hat{H}_t, \hat{H}_x)\).

These considerations extend in a direct way to the case with back-reaction. In this case, using the coordinates \((t, y, x, \theta)\) one can consider the general metric with cylindrical symmetry

\[ g_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} g_{tt} & g_{ty} & g_{tx} & g_{t\theta} \\ g_{yt} & g_{yy} & g_{yx} & g_{y\theta} \\ g_{xt} & g_{xy} & g_{xx} & g_{x\theta} \\ g_{\theta t} & g_{\theta y} & g_{\theta x} & g_{\theta\theta} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{78} \]

where each of the metric coefficient is a function of \( y, x \). The metric (10) used in numerical calculations is just a different parameterization of (78). By gauge choice, we can set \( H_y = 0 \); moreover, \( H_\theta = 0 \) due to cylindrical symmetry. We consider the following perturbation for the remaining fields:

\[ \pi = e^{-i\omega t} \hat{\pi}(y, x), \quad H_t = e^{-i\omega t} \hat{H}_t(y, x), \quad H_x = e^{-i\omega t} \hat{H}_x(y, x). \tag{79} \]
The $\theta$ component of eq. (67) gives again a trivial equation. One can solve for $(\partial_y \hat{\pi}^\omega, \partial_x \hat{\pi}^\omega)$ using the $(z, x)$ components of eq. (67). If we replace these expression in eq. (66), one finds (after cumbersome calculations) an equation which is equivalent to $t$ component of eq. (67). So again we have three independent equations for the three unknown functions $(\hat{\pi}^\omega, \hat{H}_x^\omega, \hat{H}_t^\omega)$, which is again a well-posed problem.

### 5.2 Two-point functions

We will study the two-point functions for the Goldstone field $\pi$ and we will ignore the boundary terms coming from the gauge fields. These Goldstone fields correspond to the gapless orientational moduli of the dual vortex solution. After integration by parts, and using the equations of motion, the action (65) has a contribution coming from a boundary term:

$$S^q_b = - \int d^3w \sqrt{-h} \chi_0^2 \pi n^\mu \partial_\mu \pi = - \int d^3w \sqrt{-h} \chi_0^2 \pi n^\mu \partial_\mu \pi,$$

(80)

where $w^A = (t, \tilde{x}, \theta)$ are the boundary FG coordinates, $n^\mu = (z/L, 0, 0, 0)$ is the unit normal to the boundary and we have fixed the gauge so that $H_z = 0$.

Nearby the boundary, the profile function $\chi_0$ has the following form:

$$\chi_0 = \alpha_0 z + \beta_0 z^2 + \ldots, \quad \chi_0^2 = \alpha_0^2 z^2 + 2\alpha_0\beta_0 z^3 + \ldots,$$

(81)

where $\alpha_0$ and $\beta_0$ are functions of $\tilde{x}$, with $\beta_0 = \eta \alpha_0$, due to the Robin condition. The bulk Goldstone field $\pi$ instead, near the boundary has the form given in eq. (69), where $B$ and $A$ are functions of $(\tilde{x}, t)$.

Expanding the action around the boundary we get

$$S^q_b = - \int d^3w \frac{L^2}{z^2} \chi_0^2 \pi \partial_\pi \pi = - \int d^3w L^2 \left( \alpha_0^2 A^2 + z \left( \alpha_0^2 A^2 + 2\alpha_0\beta_0 AB \right) + O(z^2) \right).$$

(82)

In order to enforce the Robin condition for the field $\chi$, in the boundary we are forced to treat $A$ as a source and $B$ has a VEV.

We expand the time dependence in Fourier series as in eq. (73) and we consider the profiles near the boundary:

$$\hat{\pi}^\omega = B_\omega(\tilde{x}) + A_\omega(\tilde{x}) z + \ldots.$$

(83)

We will consider situations where the source $A_\omega$ is independent of the radial boundary coordinate $\tilde{x}$, this can always be enforced by a suitable boundary condition.

Solving for the equations of motion (66,67), and differentiating $S^q_b$ with respect to $A_\omega$, we obtain a VEV which is a function of $\tilde{x}$; normalizing with respect to the source, we obtain the two point function of the operator:

$$\langle O_B(\tilde{x}, \omega)O_B(0, \omega) \rangle = - \frac{B_\omega(\tilde{x})(\alpha_0(\tilde{x}))^2}{A_\omega} = \xi_B(\tilde{x}, \omega).$$

(84)

Integrating this in the spatial coordinate $\tilde{x}$, we obtain the averaged two-point function $\hat{\xi}_B$, defined on the vortex world line:

$$\hat{\xi}_B(\omega) = \int_0^1 \frac{\tilde{x}}{(1 - \tilde{x})^3} \xi_B(\tilde{x}, \omega)d\tilde{x}.$$

(85)
The energy dissipated by the source per unit of time is proportional to:

\[
\frac{dE}{dt} \propto \omega A_\omega^2 \text{Im} \hat{\xi}_B(\omega). \tag{86}
\]

### 5.3 Small \(\omega\) limit

For \(\omega = 0\) eqs. (66,67) are all solved by a constant \(\hat{\pi}^\omega(y, x) = \hat{\pi}_0\) and a zero \(\hat{H}_\mu^\omega\). By gauge invariance, one can perform a gauge transformation \(\pi \to e^{-i\omega t} \pi\), but this condition induces a non zero value for the source of the symmetry current \(\hat{H}_\mu^0\), and so it is dual to a non zero chemical potential on the boundary theory. In order to study non-zero \(\omega\), we need then to solve the coupled system of differential equations (66,67). Let us consider the small \(\omega\) limit of eqs. (75-77) in the probe limit (the back-reacted case is qualitatively similar). It turns out that the following expansion in \(\omega\) is consistent with the equations of motion:

\[
\hat{\pi}^\omega = \hat{\pi}_0 + \omega^2 \hat{\pi}^{\omega, 2}, \quad \hat{H}_t^{\omega, 1} = \omega \hat{H}_t^{\omega, 1}, \quad \hat{H}_x^{\omega} = \omega^2 \hat{H}_x^{\omega, 2}. \tag{87}
\]

The function \(\hat{H}_t^{\omega, 1}\) can be found solving eq. (76), which in the small \(\omega\) limit reads:

\[
\partial_z (\sqrt{-g} g^{zz} g^t \partial_z \hat{H}_t^{\omega, 1}) + \partial_z (\sqrt{-g} \tilde{z} z g^t (\partial_z \hat{H}_t^{\omega, 1})) + 2g_2^2 \chi_0^2 g^t (-i\hat{\pi}_0 - \hat{H}_t^{\omega, 1}) = 0. \tag{88}
\]

Taking \(\hat{\pi}_0\) real, this gives an imaginary \(\hat{H}_t^{\omega, 1}\). The functions \(\hat{\pi}^{\omega, 2}, \hat{H}_t^{\omega, 2}\) can be found from eqs. (75,77), which in the small \(\omega\) limit give:

\[
i g^t g^{zz} \partial_z \hat{H}_t^{\omega, 1} - \frac{\partial_z (\sqrt{-g} g^{zz} g^t \partial_z \hat{H}_x^{\omega, 2})}{\sqrt{-g}} + 2g_2^2 \chi_0^2 g^{\tilde{z} \tilde{z}} \partial_z \hat{\pi}^{\omega, 2} = 0, \tag{89}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial_z (\sqrt{-g} g^{zz} \tilde{z} z g^t \partial_z \hat{H}_x^{\omega, 2})}{\sqrt{-g}} + i g^t g^{\tilde{z} \tilde{z}} \partial_z \hat{H}_t^{\omega, 1} + 2g_2^2 \chi_0^2 g^{\tilde{z} \tilde{z}} (\partial_z \hat{\pi}^{\omega, 2} - \hat{H}_x^{\omega, 2}) = 0, \tag{90}
\]

This equations give a real \(\hat{\pi}^{\omega, 2}\) and \(\hat{H}_x^{\omega, 2}\), while the imaginary part of \(\hat{\pi}^\omega\) starts at order \(\omega^3\).

From these small \(\omega\) solutions, we find:

\[
B_\omega \propto \omega^0, \quad A_\omega \propto \omega^2 + i\Upsilon \omega^3, \tag{91}
\]

where the leading parts in \(\omega\) are real and the imaginary part, whose coefficient is denoted by \(\Upsilon\), is subleading. These considerations give that at small \(\omega\):

\[
\text{Re} \hat{\xi}_B \propto 1/\omega^2, \quad \text{Im} \hat{\xi}_B \propto 1/\omega, \tag{92}
\]

which gives the pole for the Goldstone mode in the dual boundary theory. We will now solve these equations numerically to find these modes explicitly and verify these behaviours.
5.4 Numerical calculations

In order to solve eqs. (66, 67) numerically, we use Eddington-Finkelstein (EF) coordinates, which are the natural coordinates to describe infalling boundary conditions on the black hole horizon. In order to pass the metric (10) to EF coordinates, we define a shifted time $v$ such that

$$dt = dv - \frac{dy}{1 - y^3} \sqrt{Q_2 \frac{1}{Q_1 y^+}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (93)

which can be obtained from radial null geodesics. In these coordinates then the metric is:

$$ds^2_{\text{EF}} = \frac{L^2}{y^2} \left\{ -Q_1 y^2 (1 - y^3) dv^2 + 2dvdy \left(y_+ \sqrt{Q_1 Q_2}\right) + \frac{y^2 Q_4}{(1 - x)^2} (dx + xy^2 (1 - x)^3 Q_3 dy)^2 + \frac{y^2 Q_5 x^2}{(1 - x)^2} d\theta^2 \right\} ,$$ \hspace{1cm} (94)

which is smooth at $y = 1$.

The boundary condition for the field $\hat{H}_\omega^x, \hat{H}_\omega^t$ are set us follows:

- The sources for the $SU(2)$ symmetry are set to zero, i.e. at $y \rightarrow 0$, we set $\hat{H}_x^\omega = \hat{H}_t^\omega = 0$.
- At $x \rightarrow 1$, we should impose that the gauge field $H_\mu$ is zero far away the vortex core (where $\chi_0 = 0$). This can be imposed setting

$$\hat{H}_x^\omega = \hat{H}_t^\omega = 0, \quad \partial_x \hat{H}_x^\omega = \partial_x \hat{H}_t^\omega = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (95)

for every $y$ at $x \rightarrow 1$.
- At $y \rightarrow 1$ we set that the gauge field is smooth in EF coordinates
- At $x \rightarrow 0$, due to cylindrical symmetry, we should have: $\partial_x \hat{H}_t^\omega = \partial_x \hat{H}_x^\omega = 0$.

Then we can solve eqs. (66, 67) in the background of the back-reacted non-abelian vortex solution that we have found in sect 3. Therefore we input the $Q_i$ and the $\chi_0$ solutions we have obtained before and solve for $\pi$ and $H_\mu$ to extract the two-point function of $\pi$. The ansatz for the fields $\pi, H_\mu$ is taken as in eq. (79). We fix a constant value of the source $A_\omega = 1$ at the boundary, which correspond to a value of $\partial_y \pi$ independent from $x$.

We compute the function $\xi_B(x)$ solving the equations of motion; the dependence of the solution from $x$ is rather weak. Solutions for the averaged $\xi_B$ are shown in figure 7 for varying temperatures (the plot for $\xi_B(x = 0)$ is almost identical). The real part $\text{Re} \xi_B$ scales as $1/\omega^2$ at small $\omega$ and the imaginary part $\text{Im} \xi_B$ scales as $1/\omega$: this is consistent with the discussion in section 5.3. Therefore, we have determined the presence of classically gapless degrees of freedom on the dual vortex, corresponding to its orientational moduli.

6 Conclusions

In this paper we studied a model of non-abelian vortex in an asymptotically AdS$_4$ spacetime. This system is dual to a deformed CFT in $2 + 1$ dimensions at finite temperature in the
presence of a vortex point-like defect. We computed the back-reaction of the vortex solution on the geometry, and we found a regime of parameters in which the free energy of the non-abelian vortex is lower than the free energy of the $U(1)$ vortex. This provides the first explicit realisation of a non-abelian vortex in a gravity dual which includes the vortex gravity back-reaction. We found that, depending on charge of the abelian field $q$, these vortices can be type I or type II.

In the example that we studied, the non-abelian vortex has a $\mathbb{CP}^1$ zero mode which, from the bulk point of view, is localized on a string worldsheet; in the boundary dual field theory it corresponds to a $\mathbb{CP}^1$ quantum rotor interacting with a strongly coupled CFT at finite temperature. At the classical level in the bulk, which corresponds to leading order in the number of CFT degrees of freedom (e.g. number of colors $N_c$), the zero modes give Goldstone bosons localized on the soliton world line. We have computed the two-point functions of these zero modes and we found a pole corresponding to a classically massless degree of freedom.

The spectrum of excitations localized on the vortex should be gapped due to the Coleman-Mermin-Wagner theorem [54, 55]. This is a subleading effect in the number of colours $N_c$ and should be described by bulk quantum corrections, in analogy to the case of Goldstone zero-modes localized in the full boundary theory [51]. Note that the large $N$ is not from the point of view of the vortex worldsheet, which is always described by a $\mathbb{CP}^1$ sigma model, but rather the large $N_c$ limit is in the CFT to which the defect is coupled. There is no decoupling between the infrared of the worldsheet vortex theory and the CFT degrees of freedom, because there is no mass gap in the field theory. This feature should give a suppression of the mass gap on the vortex world volume, which somehow disappears as a leading order effect in the gravity dual. It would be interesting to generalise the analysis of [51] to the case of Goldstone bosons localized on a topological defect. We leave this problem for future investigation.

Non-abelian vortices can be realised as a probe D-brane in the Polchinski-Strassler [56] gravity dual of $\mathcal{N} = 1^*$ theory [34] and of mass-deformed ABJM theory [36]. In these cases, as in the present one, the mass gap on top of the non-abelian vortex world volume theory (which is a $\mathbb{CP}^1$ sigma model for every number of colors) does not come directly from the

---

**Figure 7:** Solutions of the integrated two point function $\hat{\xi}_B(\omega)$ of the orientational perturbations at varying temperatures. These are $y_+ = 2$ (red line), $3/2$ (solid blue line), $1$ (dashed line).
gravity dual, but requires quantization of the worldsheet theory (which comes from the D-brane Dirac-Born-Infeld action). In this paper we focused on a simpler bottom-up realization of a non-abelian CP\(^1\) vortex in holographic dual; the outcome is still that the mass gap on the vortex worldsheet is suppressed in the \(1/N_c\) expansion of the boundary CFT, and so it corresponds to quantum effects in the bulk.
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Appendix A

The following series expansions of \(Q_k\) are fixed by the equation of motion:

\[
Q_1^{(1)} = -Q_2^{(1)} = Q_4^{(1)} = Q_5^{(1)} = \frac{5\tilde{\alpha}}{8},
\]

\[
Q_3^{(1)} = -\frac{(x - 1)\left(2nx^{2n}Q_6^{(0)}(x)^2 + 2x^{2n+1}Q_6^{(0)}(x)Q_6^{(0)'}(x) + xQ_8^{(0)}(x)Q_8^{(0)'}(x)\right)}{4x^2y_+^2},
\]

\[
Q_1^{(2)} = Q_4^{(2)} = Q_5^{(2)} = \frac{1}{512}\left(215\tilde{\alpha}^2 - 256\tilde{\alpha} - 256x^{2n}Q_6(x)^2 - 128Q_8(x)^2\right),
\]

\[
Q_2^{(2)} = \frac{1}{128}\left(128\tilde{\alpha} - 45\tilde{\alpha}^2\right),
\] (96)

\[
Q_2^{(3)} = \frac{26880y_+\tilde{\alpha}^2 - 7725y_+\tilde{\alpha}^3 + x^{2n}Q_6^{(0)2}\left(7936y_+\tilde{\alpha} + 32768\kappa_1\right) + Q_8^{(0)2}\left(3968y_+\tilde{\alpha} + 16384\kappa_2\right)}{24576y_+},
\] (97)

\[
Q_1^{(3)} + Q_4^{(3)} + Q_5^{(3)} = \frac{75\tilde{\alpha}^2(109\tilde{\alpha} - 256) - 2x^{2n}(37y_+\tilde{\alpha} + 128\kappa_1)Q_6^{(0)2} + (37y_+\tilde{\alpha} + 128\kappa_2)Q_8^{(0)2}}{64y_+},
\] (98)

\[
Q_5^{(3)} - Q_4^{(3)} = \frac{(1 - x)x}{96y_+}\left((160\kappa_1 + 17\tilde{\alpha}y_+)\left[x^2Q_6^{(0)}\right]' + (160\kappa_2 + 17\tilde{\alpha}y_+)\left[\frac{(Q_8^{(0)})^2}'\right] + 96y_+(Q_4^{(3)})'\right)
\] (99)

These coefficients are symmetric under exchange of \(x^nQ_6^{(0)}\) and \(Q_2^{(0)}\sqrt{2}\), exchanging also \(\kappa_1\) and \(\kappa_2\).

The coefficients of the expansion for the transformation of the metric to FG coordinates
are:

\[
\begin{align*}
a_2 &= \frac{5\tilde{\alpha}y_+^2}{16}, \\
a_3 &= \frac{\tilde{\alpha}(265\tilde{\alpha} - 256)y_+^2}{1024}, \\
a_4 &= \frac{y_+^4 \left( 6025\tilde{\alpha}^3 - 8960\tilde{\alpha}^2 - 4096Q_3^{(3)} - 4096 \right)}{24576}, \\
b_1 &= b_2 = b_3 = 0, \\
b_4 &= -\frac{1}{4}(1-x)^3xy_+^4Q_3^{(1)},
\end{align*}
\]

(100)

References

[1] A. Hanany and D. Tong, JHEP 0307, 037 (2003) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2003/07/037 [hep-th/0306150].

[2] R. Auzzi, S. Bolognesi, J. Evslin, K. Konishi and A. Yung, Nucl. Phys. B 673, 187 (2003) doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2003.09.029 [hep-th/0307287].

[3] G. ‘t Hooft, Nucl. Phys. B 190, 455 (1981). doi:10.1016/0550-3213(81)90442-9

[4] S. Mandelstam, Phys. Rept. 23, 245 (1976). doi:10.1016/0370-1573(76)90043-0

[5] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B 426 (1994) 19 Erratum: [Nucl. Phys. B 430 (1994) 485] doi:10.1016/0550-3213(94)90124-4, 10.1016/0550-3213(94)00449-8 [hep-th/9407087].

[6] A. I. Vainshtein and A. Yung, Nucl. Phys. B 614 (2001) 3 doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(01)00394-7 [hep-th/0012250].

[7] A. Yung, In *Shifman, M. (ed.): At the frontier of particle physics, vol. 3* 1827-1857

[8] G. Carlino, K. Konishi and H. Murayama, Nucl. Phys. B 590 (2000) 37 doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(00)00482-X [hep-th/0005076].

[9] N. Dorey, T. J. Hollowood and D. Tong, JHEP 9905 (1999) 006 doi:10.1088/1126-6708/1999/05/006 [hep-th/9902134].

[10] A. Hanany and D. Tong, JHEP 0404, 066 (2004) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2004/04/066 [hep-th/0403158].

[11] M. Shifman and A. Yung, Phys. Rev. D 70, 045004 (2004) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.70.045004 [hep-th/0403149].

[12] M. Shifman, Phys. Rev. D 87, no. 2, 025025 (2013) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.87.025025 [arXiv:1212.4823 [hep-th]].

[13] M. Shifman, G. Tallarita and A. Yung, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 29, 1450062 (2014) doi:10.1142/S0217751X14500626 [arXiv:1402.0733 [hep-th]].
[14] A. Peterson, M. Shifman and G. Tallarita, Annals Phys. **353**, 48 (2014) doi:10.1016/j.aop.2014.11.001 [arXiv:1409.1508 [hep-th]].

[15] A. J. Peterson, M. Shifman and G. Tallarita, Annals Phys. **363**, 515 (2015) doi:10.1016/j.aop.2015.10.012 [arXiv:1508.01490 [hep-th]].

[16] M. Eto, L. Ferretti, K. Konishi, G. Marmorini, M. Nitta, K. Ohashi, W. Vinci and N. Yokoi, Nucl. Phys. B **780**, 161 (2007) doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2007.03.040 [hep-th/0611313].

[17] P. Forgacs and A. Lukacs, Phys. Rev. D **95**, no. 3, 035003 (2017) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.95.035003 [arXiv:1612.03151 [hep-th]].

[18] D. Tong, hep-th/0509216.

[19] M. Eto, Y. Isozumi, M. Nitta, K. Ohashi and N. Sakai, J. Phys. A **39** (2006) R315 doi:10.1088/0305-4470/39/26/R01 [hep-th/0602170].

[20] K. Konishi, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **177**, 83 (2009) doi:10.1143/PTPS.177.83 [arXiv:0809.1370 [hep-th]].

[21] M. Shifman, “Advanced topics in quantum field theory. : A lecture course”. CUP

[22] M. Shifman, A. Yung, "Supersymmetric Solitons" Cambridge University Press, (2009).

[23] S. S. Gubser, Phys. Rev. D **78** (2008) 065034 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.78.065034 [arXiv:0801.2977 [hep-th]].

[24] S. A. Hartnoll, C. P. Herzog and G. T. Horowitz, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101** (2008) 031601 doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.031601 [arXiv:0803.3295 [hep-th]].

[25] S. A. Hartnoll, C. P. Herzog and G. T. Horowitz, JHEP **0812**, 015 (2008) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2008/12/015 [arXiv:0810.1563 [hep-th]].

[26] T. Albash and C. V. Johnson, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 126009 (2009) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.80.126009 [arXiv:0906.1795 [hep-th]].

[27] M. Montull, A. Pomarol and P. J. Silva, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 091601 (2009) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.091601 [arXiv:0906.2396 [hep-th]].

[28] K. Maeda, M. Natsuume and T. Okamura, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 026002 (2010) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.81.026002 [arXiv:0910.4475 [hep-th]].

[29] V. Keranen, E. Keski-Vakkuri, S. Nowling and K. P. Yogendran, Phys. Rev. D **81** (2010) 126012 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.81.126012 [arXiv:0912.4280 [hep-th]].

[30] G. Tallarita and S. Thomas, JHEP **1012**, 090 (2010) doi:10.1007/JHEP12(2010)090 [arXiv:1007.4163 [hep-th]].

[31] N. Iqbal and H. Liu, Class. Quant. Grav. **29** (2012) 194004 doi:10.1088/0264-9381/29/19/194004 [arXiv:1112.3671 [hep-th]].
[32] O. J. C. Dias, G. T. Horowitz, N. Iqbal and J. E. Santos, JHEP 1404, 096 (2014) doi:10.1007/JHEP04(2014)096 [arXiv:1311.3673 [hep-th]].

[33] O. Aharony, O. Bergman, D. L. Jafferis and J. Maldacena, JHEP 0810 (2008) 091 doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2008/10/091 [arXiv:0806.1218 [hep-th]].

[34] R. Auzzi and S. P. Kumar, JHEP 0812 (2008) 077 doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2008/12/077 [arXiv:0810.3201 [hep-th]].

[35] R. Auzzi and S. P. Kumar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103 (2009) 231601 doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.231601 [arXiv:0908.4278 [hep-th]].

[36] R. Auzzi and S. P. Kumar, JHEP 0910 (2009) 071 doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2009/10/071 [arXiv:0906.2366 [hep-th]].

[37] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B 249, 557 (1985). doi:10.1016/0550-3213(85)90022-7

[38] G. Tallarita, Phys. Rev. D 93, no. 6, 066011 (2016) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.93.066011 [arXiv:1510.06719 [hep-th]].

[39] T. Faulkner, G. T. Horowitz and M. M. Roberts, JHEP 1104, 051 (2011) doi:10.1007/JHEP04(2011)051 [arXiv:1008.1581 [hep-th]].

[40] E. Witten, hep-th/0112258.

[41] M. Berkooz, A. Sever and A. Shomer, JHEP 0205 (2002) 034 doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2002/05/034 [hep-th/0112264].

[42] O. J. C. Dias, J. E. Santos and B. Way, Class. Quant. Grav. 33, no. 13, 133001 (2016) doi:10.1088/0264-9381/33/13/133001 [arXiv:1510.02804 [hep-th]].

[43] M. Shifman, G. Tallarita and A. Yung, Phys. Rev. D 91, no. 10, 105026 (2015) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.91.105026 [arXiv:1503.08684 [hep-th]].

[44] F. Canfora and G. Tallarita, Phys. Rev. D 94, no. 2, 025037 (2016) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.94.025037 [arXiv:1607.04140 [hep-th]].

[45] V. Balasubramanian and P. Kraus, Commun. Math. Phys. 208 (1999) 413 doi:10.1007/s002200050764 [hep-th/9902121].

[46] I. Papadimitriou, JHEP 0705 (2007) 075 doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2007/05/075 [hep-th/0703152].

[47] M. M. Caldarelli, A. Christodoulou, I. Papadimitriou and K. Skenderis, JHEP 1704 (2017) 001 doi:10.1007/JHEP04(2017)001 [arXiv:1612.07214 [hep-th]].

[48] G. Tallarita and A. Peterson, Phys. Rev. D 97, no. 7, 076003 (2018) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.97.076003 [arXiv:1710.07806 [hep-th]].

[49] I. Amado, M. Kaminski and K. Landsteiner, JHEP 0905 (2009) 021 doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2009/05/021 [arXiv:0903.2209 [hep-th]].
[50] N. Iqbal, H. Liu, M. Mezei and Q. Si, Phys. Rev. D 82 (2010) 045002 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.82.045002 [arXiv:1003.0010 [hep-th]].

[51] D. Anninos, S. A. Hartnoll and N. Iqbal, Phys. Rev. D 82 (2010) 066008 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.82.066008 [arXiv:1005.1973 [hep-th]].

[52] I. Amado, D. Arean, A. Jimenez-Alba, K. Landsteiner, L. Melgar and I. S. Landea, JHEP 1307 (2013) 108 doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2013)108 [arXiv:1302.5641 [hep-th]].

[53] R. Argurio, A. Marzolla, A. Mezzalira and D. Naegels, Phys. Rev. D 92 (2015) no.6, 066009 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.92.066009 [arXiv:1507.00211 [hep-th]].

[54] S. R. Coleman, Commun. Math. Phys. 31 (1973) 259. doi:10.1007/BF01646487

[55] N. D. Mermin and H. Wagner, Phys. Rev. Lett. 17 (1966) 1133. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.17.1133

[56] J. Polchinski and M. J. Strassler, hep-th/0003136.