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Abstract

The current study examines the potential of lignosulfonate to enhance the engineering behavior of two locally available expansive soils. The expansive soils were collected from Vijayawada and Amaravathi, located in the Capital Region of Andhra Pradesh, India. The soils were treated with four different percentages (0.5, 1, 2, and 4) of lignosulfonate and were allowed to interact for 7 and 28 days. A series of laboratory tests such as unconfined compressive strength, cation exchange capacity and scanning electron microscopy were carried out on the soil specimens. The results indicated that lignosulfonate has significant influence on the strength behavior of expansive soils. The amount of fines content present in soils defines the optimum percentage of lignosulfonate. Lignosulfonate treatment resulted in reduced negative surface charge of soils and formation of Polymer chain microstructure along with flocculated or aggregated particle microstructure, which may attribute to the enhanced strength of the expansive soils.
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Introduction

Nowadays, urbanization and industrialization are the two most important processes worldwide. These processes are associated with huge consumption of natural resources as well as enormous production of industrial by-products. In view of the environmental problems posed by these materials, there is an urgency to recycle and/or reuse the by-products in huge amounts. On the other hand, subgrade properties are considered to be essential pavement performance parameters. The black cotton soil deposits, cover extensive areas in India, are associated with inferior subgrade characteristics such as low strength and resistance to deformation under load. Soil stabilization is considered as an effective solution for sustainable improved performance of subgrades. The two most commonly used traditional chemical stabilization methods are lime and cement stabilization. In light of environmental and economic concerns, there is a need to upgrade traditional stabilization techniques with available waste materials. Thus, the present study focused on effective utilization of lignosulfonate, an industrial by-product, to meet the ever increasing demand for the subgrade stabilization materials.
Lignosulfonate belongs to a family of lignin based organic polymers derived as a waste by-product from the wood and paper processing industry. Currently, the total annual worldwide production of lignosulfonates is approximately 1.8 million tons [4]. Lignosulfonates are being utilized for various applications such as dispersant, concrete additive, flocculant, metal absorbant, dust suppressant in mining, anti-oxidant, fertilizer and binders in the construction etc. [3, 4, 9, 11, 18, 22, 35]. Though lignosulfonates are being utilized in many applications, being an environmental friendly, non-corrosive and non-toxic chemical; still there is a lot of scope for mass consumption in geotechnical applications [20]. In view of this, the potential of lignosulfonate as a soil stabilizer has been studied by examining shear strength, penetration resistance, erosion resistance, compaction characteristics and durability [13, 24, 26, 31, 32]. The enhancement of geotechnical characteristics of lignosulfonate treated soils have been reported by many researchers (Table 1). For example, Puppala and Hanchanloet [24] evaluated the potential of lignosulfonate along with sulfuric acid in soil stabilization. They found that it enhanced both the physical and engineering characteristics of soil which were attributed to the combined chemical reactions of lignosulfonate and sulfuric acid with soil. Santoni et al. [26] revealed the improvement of unconfined compressive strength of silty sand upon treatment with lignosulfonate. Vinod et al. [34] revealed improvement in erosional parameters such as critical shear stress and coefficient of soil erosion which were mainly ascribed to the reduction of the double layer thickness by the neutralization of surface charges of the clay particles and the formation of more stable particle clusters by polymer bridging. Indraratna et al. [14] found that the clay mineralogy plays an important role in obtaining significant enhancement of engineering behavior of lignosulfonate treated soil. Koohpeyma et al. [15] reported improvement in erosion resistance of clayey sand significantly. Ta’negonbadi and Noorzad [30] reported an increase in stiffness and

| Soil type            | Outcome                                                                 | Remarks                          |
|----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Loess soil           | Improvement in unconfined compressive strength                          | Li et al. [17]                   |
| Expansive soil       | Reduction in swelling                                                   | Alazigha et al. [2]              |
| Dispersive clay      | Reduction in depressiveness                                             | Vakili et al. [33]               |
| Expansive clay       | Reduction in swelling and swelling pressure                             | Noorzad and Ta’negonbadi [21]   |
| Lateitic soil        | Increase in unconfined compressive strength and marginal improvement in CBR | Ravishankar et al. [25]          |
| Clayey soil          | Increase in stiffness and unconfined compressive strength               | Ta’negonbadi and Noorzad [30]   |
| Lateritic soil       | Increase in resistance to erosion                                       | Shivashankar et al. [28]         |
| Sand-clay mixture    | Reduces the permittivity and increases strength                          | Sezer et al. [27]                |
| Silty soil           | Effective to achieve optimum density at less energy                     | Blanck et al. [5]                |
| Sandy silt           | Improvement in shear strength                                           | Chen et al. [7]                  |
| Clayey sand          | Reduction in erodibility                                               | Koohpeyma et al. [15]            |
| Clayey soil          | Increase in strength whereas less moisture resilience                   | Maskell et al. [19]              |
| Clay soil            | Increase in stiffness                                                  | Indraratna et al. [14]           |
| Erodible soil        | Improvement in erosional parameters such as critical shear stress and coefficient of soil erosion | Vinod et al. [34]                |
| Silty sand           | Improvement in strength                                                | Santoni et al. [26]              |
| Clayey soil          | Increase in shear strength parameters                                   | Puppala and Hanchanloet [24]     |
unconfined compressive strength of lignosulfonate treated clayey soil and attributed this enhancement to the electrostatic reaction between the mixture of lignosulfonate-water and soil particles. Li et al. [17] testified that the reduction in the thickness of the electric double layer of particles and silica-carbonate cementations resulted in an increased strength and reduction of energy dissipation in lignosulfonate treated loess.

Although a good amount of research has been conducted in the recent past to investigate the effect of lignosulfonate on behaviour of soils, it was not until recently that Alazigha et al. [1, 2], performed systematic studies aimed at determining whether lignosulfonate can improve the engineering behavior of expansive soils. This indicates an additional research is needed to clarify the influence of lignosulfonate on the geotechnical characteristics of expansive black cotton soil. Thus, in the present study, a series of experiments including unconfined compressive strength, cation exchange capacity and scanning electron microscopy were carried out to determine the behaviour of lignosulfonate treated expansive black cotton soils.

### Materials and methods

#### Expansive soil

In this study, two expansive clayey soils were collected from Vijayawada (Latitude: 16° 31’ N & Longitude: 80° 39’ E) and Amaravathi (Latitude: 16° 32’ N & Longitude: 80° 31’ E) located in the capital region of Andhra Pradesh, India. Both the samples were predominant with montmorillonite mineral. All natural soil samples were oven dried, crushed and sieved through 425 μ sieve prior to experimentation. The physical properties of soils are given in Table 2.

#### Lignosulfonate

Calcium lignosulfonate, an amorphous light-yellow–brown powder, used in the present study was purchased from the local market. It is available in both solid and liquid forms, which contains carbon (C), oxygen (O), sulfur (S), and sodium (Na) [34]. Lignosulfonate is water soluble under any pH value but not dissolvable in organic solvents [10].

| Property                          | Vijayawada soil                      | Amaravathi soil                     |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Color                             | Black brownish clay                  | Brownish clay                       |
| Liquid limit (%)                  | 75%                                  | 46%                                 |
| Plastic limit (%)                 | 33%                                  | 25%                                 |
| Plasticity Index (%)              | 42%                                  | 21%                                 |
| Specific gravity                  | 2.6                                  | 2.61                                |
| Sand content (%)                  | 4%                                   | 13%                                 |
| Fines passing through 75 micron sieve (%) | 96%                                  | 87%                                 |
| Proctor density (kN/m³)           | 18.50                                | 19.71                               |
| Maximum dry density (kN/m³)       | 14.20                                | 16.90                               |
| Optimum moisture content (%)      | 19%                                  | 18%                                 |
| Free swell index                  | 70%                                  | 40%                                 |
| Degree of expansiveness           | Plasticity low                       | Plasticity medium                   |
lignin-based with a molar mass of 4600 to 398,000 g and a molecular weight from 800 to 100,000 [16].

**Sample preparation**

**Unconfined compression strength (q_u) test**

Unconfined compression testing imposes uniaxial compression conditions on a soil sample and is used for the determination of expansive soil of the un-drained shear strength. Unconfined compression strength test was conducted on two different soil samples, one Vijayawada and the second Amravati soil according to ASTM D2166, 2013. The preparation of stabilized samples, different percentages of lignosulfonate including 0.5%, 1%, 2% and 4% of the dry mass of the soil were initially mixed with water. The soil specimens were prepared for maximum dry density and optimum moisture content which may specify the in situ moisture content based on the field requirements. The amount of water corresponding to optimum moisture content and maximum dry density for soils stabilized with predetermined lignosulfonate percentages was obtained from the standard Proctor compaction test (ASTM D698 2012). The soil and water-lignosulfonate mixture was compacted in five layers using static compaction and the prepared samples (38 mm diameter by 76 mm height) were kept in the sealed double layer plastic covers in a room with controlled temperature under different interaction periods (0, 7 and 28 days). After completion of interaction period, the sample is centered between bottom platen and upper platen of a mechanical load frame without any cover or lateral support. The loading applied in such a manner to produce an axial strain at a rate of 1% per minute. Load and deformation readings are noted until the failure of the sample or a reduction of 20%, whichever is smaller.

**Cation exchange capacity (CEC)**

Methylene blue titration (MB) method has been adopted for determining the cation exchange capacity of Vijayawada and Amravati soil. Dominijanni et al. [12] reported that the standard ammonium displacement method underestimate the CEC value to a great extent, whereas an acceptable CEC value was delivered by the MB titration method. As a result, the MB titration method, which is used in industry for routine quality controls, was used in this investigation, despite its apparently more limited accuracy. The represented soil samples collected at the end of the UCS test were oven dried at 60 °C. The dried samples were powdered and were used for carrying out MB titration method.

In this testing, about 2 g of dry soil from the 28 days tested UCS samples passing through 425 μm sieve was mixed with 10 mL of water as soil solution in a 50 mL beaker. For methylene blue solution, 10 g of methylene blue dye powder was mixed thoroughly with 1 L water. After each addition of methylene blue solution to the soil suspension it was mixed by stirrer for 1 min, and then a drop of slurry is placed on a filter paper with a pipette for visual examination. At the first appearance of the blue-green halo spot, titration is stopped and test was repeated till the results are consistent. At this point, CEC is determined by the following formula [8]:

\[
C = \frac{100}{W_m} \times Vcc \times Nmb
\]
where $C$ is the cation exchange capacity (meq/100 g clay), $W_m$ is the weight of clay specimen, g, $V_{cc}$ is the volume of methylene blue titrant, mL, and $N_{mb}$ is the normality of the methylene blue substance, meq/mL.

$$
N_{mb} = \frac{\text{weight of methylene blue (g)}}{320} \times \frac{100 - X}{100}
$$

where $X$ is the moisture content of methylene blue substance, %.

Field emission scanning electron microscopy (SEM) analysis

Field emission scanning electron microscopy tests have been done to inspect the topographical microstructural changes in the lignosulfonate treated soils. FEI Apreo LoVac microscope with unique revolutionary compound lens without beam technology was used to obtain high resolution of 1.0 nm images of soil microstructure. The representative soil samples were mounted onto the double-sided carbon tape glued to the flat surface of SEM stub and then coated with a thin layer of gold for 120 s using the Leica Ultra Microtome EM UC7 sputter coater prior to scanning. The SEM images shown in the study were selected from numerous images taken at different magnifications of the selected soil samples. The micrographs shown are those with the magnifications that best demonstrate the distinctive microstructure of the soil.

Results and discussions

Unconfined compressive strength results

Results of unconfined compressive strength testing on untreated and treated expansive soils at different LS contents and interaction periods were presented in Fig. 1. In general, the $q_u$ of montmorillonitic soils mainly arises from the viscous resistance generated by the viscous diffuse double-layer water to the shear deformation [29]. The figure depicts that the LS treatment enhanced the $q_u$ of both the soils at all interaction periods. In case of VJS, an increase in the amount of LS resulted in an increase in $q_u$. In case of AMS, an
increase in $q_u$ was observed with increasing percentages of LS from 0 to 1 whereas further addition of LS percentage more than 1 lead to reduction in $q_u$. The improvement of $q_u$ of LS treated soils can be attributed to the reduced negative surface area of clay minerals and subsequent formation of stable aggregation. The variations in terms of strength with the addition of strength gain can be explained in terms of amount of fines. The VJS consists of higher amount of fines (96%) which required higher amount of lignosulfonate polymer chains to bind clay particles. The AMS consists of relatively lower amount of fines (87%), which may require fewer amounts of lignosulfonate polymer chains. The extra polymer chains create reciprocal repulsive forces between the charged parts of the admixture [1] which may resulted in reduction in $q_u$. Results of cation exchange capacity of LS treated soils supports the enhancement of strength (Fig. 2).

Cation exchange capacity test results
Cation exchange capacity defines the ability of a soil to absorb and exchange cations [23]. Figure 3 depicts the changes in CEC of soils due to the addition of LS. It was evident from the graphs that the CEC of LS stabilized soils are lower than those of untreated soils. In case of VJS, CEC value of soil decreased linearly with the increments of LS from 0.5 to 4. However, the CEC decreased considerably up to 1% LS in case of AMS, thereafter, the reduction in CEC found to be negligible. The positively charged LS is adsorbed on the surface of negatively charged clay particles during the interaction between soil and LS and subsequently resulting in the reduction of CEC as well as double layer thickness [34].

Field emission scanning electron microscopy results
Microstructure characteristics of untreated and treated expansive soils at different LS contents and interaction periods were presented in Figs. 3 and 4. Undulating filmy particle like microstructure can be seen from the micrograph of natural VJS. Figure 2a depicts that the microstructure of VJS remained same after the treatment with 0.5% LS. Higher amounts of lignosulfonate treatment significantly modified the microstructure of the VJS. Untreated VJS clearly reflected more void spaces whereas lignosulfonate treated VJS showed more dense and flocculated microstructure. This can be possibly attributed...
to the reduced thickness of double layer. In addition to that, Fig. 3c–e depicts the formation of polymeric chain microstructure with the increase in percentages of lignosulfonate. It can be seen that the formation of polymer chains increased in VJS with increase in the amount of lignosulfonate.

Micrographs of natural and lignosulfonate treated AMS shown microstructure features similar to VJS. Both the soils consist of montmorillonite as predominant clay mineral, which can be characterized by the presence of undulating filmy particle microstructure [6]. Flocculated or aggregated particle microstructure along with polymeric chains can be evidenced at higher percentages of LS treatment in LS treated AMS as with the case of VJS. Polymer chain breakage can also be evidenced from the Fig. 4d. Lignosulfonate polymer chains bind the soil particles together resulting in the formation of aggregated particles. A similar observation of formation of polymeric chains during the interaction between LS and soil was illustrated by Indraratna et al. [13].
Conclusions
In this study, the characteristics of expansive soils treated with lignosulfonate were assessed. The unconfined compressive strength of soils increased upon treatment with LS. The optimum amount of LS required for treatment of soil as well as the rate of improvement after treatment found to be relying on the amount of fines that soil contains. The CEC of both the expansive soils reduced upon the LS treatment as the negatively charged clay surface area decreased due to presence of positively charged LS solution. SEM micrographs depicted the formation of polymeric chains upon LS treatment. The reduction of negatively charges clay surface by positively charged LS solution followed by formation of polymer chain formations were the possible mechanisms responsible for the improvement in LS treated soils.
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