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Physicochemical and Morphological Characterization of Potato Starch Modified by Bacterial Amylases for Food Industry Applications
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Two multienzyme bacterial preparations of α-amylase of Bacillus licheniformis and Amylosubtilin® were used in order to modify potato starch at various enzyme concentrations. Eight types of starch were obtained, and their morphological, functional, and physical and chemical characteristics were studied. Induction of enzyme preparations allowed obtaining starches characterized by extended solubility and water-sorption ability and also lower gelation temperatures and viscosity. It was found that studied amylolytic preparations do have different effects on starch granules, despite the identical major amylase activities. The combination of the characteristics studied in the enzymatically modified starches makes them promising for the use as a component of food systems requiring the corrections of their textural features.

1. Introduction

In the modern food industry, some functional food additives are commonly used, including modified starches that have high moisture-retention ability and provide the end product with the desired textures and consistencies. Each food additive has its own advantages and disadvantages, knowing them allow us to achieve the best results in using such additives in specific processing conditions.

Potato, corn, wheat, rice, tapioca, and some other starches are used to obtain modified starches. The starches are processed using physical, chemical, or enzymatic methods during the treatment [1, 2].

Differences in the properties of starches are manifested in accordance with the source and genotype. The starch properties mainly depend on their physical and chemical characteristics, the average granule sizes, the percentage of distribution of the differently sized groups of granules, the amylose/amylopectin ratio, and their mineral contents [3]. Starch granule’s forms and sizes are the characteristics of their botanical origin. The granules’ form may vary from absolutely spherical and rounded to oval [4, 5].

By changes taking place in native starches, five main modifications can be highlighted: pregelatinization, depolymerization, oxidation, stabilization (without cross-linking polymeric chains), and formation of cross-linked starches [1].

Potato starch is one of the most common polysaccharides used as thickeners and stabilizer in food systems. Potato starch historically has been most widely used in sausage manufacturing, mostly due to its low gelatinization temperature. Considering that pasteurizing the sausages
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Enzyme Preparations

2.1.1. Obtaining the α-Amylase Preparation of Bacillus licheniformis. We use a medium optimized for synthesis of α-amylase: corn flour: 8%; wheat flour: 8%; feeding yeast: 3%; MgSO₄·7H₂O: 0.2%; and CaCO₃: 0.25%. The pH of the medium before sterilization was set as 8.0–8.2. The strain was cultivated in 750 ml flasks with 30 ml of fermentation medium in a shaker (240 rpm) at 37–43°C for 48–144 h. The preparation was obtained by separation of biomass of the producer cells from the fermentation broth, using centrifuging at 8,000 rpm for 10 min. In the fermentation broth, the α-amylase activity was 250 U/ml at 40°C.

Amylosubtilin® (Berdsk Factory of Biological Preparations (now Sibbiofarm), Russia) is a homogenous hygroscopic, water-soluble light beige or light gray powder. Amylosubtilin G3X consists of the following enzymes: α-amylase: 1,000–1,600 U/g, glucoamylase: up to 100 U/g, β-glucanase: up to 500 U/g, cellulase: up to 30 U/g, xylanase: up to 10 U/g, and neutral protease: up to 20 U/g. Its amylolytic activity is 1,000 U/g. Optimal conditions for its activities are as follows: pH = 4.5–7.0 and temperatures of 30–50°C.

2.1.2. Detecting Amylase Activity. A volume of 0.5 ml of the substrate (1% of soluble starch in the acetate buffer) at 50°C was added into the test tube containing 0.5 ml of the enzyme (fermentation broth) for 30 minutes. Then, 450 μl of 3,5-dinitrosalicylic acid (DNSA) (an inhibitor removal buffer) was added. 0.5 g of the inhibitor removal buffer was prepared. DNSA was dissolved in 30 ml of H₂O at 50°C, adding 5 ml of the Na and K solution (8 g of NaOH + 11.2 g of KOH, dissolved in 50 ml of H₂O) and 5 ml of solution (15 g of Na, K tartrate in 50 ml of H₂O). The mixture was incubated in the boiling water bath at 100°C for 5 minutes and cooled at 0°C for 5 minutes. 4.5 ml of distilled water was added. The sample was then measured at 540 nm. The reference sample was prepared as follows: reference test tubes containing 0.5 ml of the substrate (1% of soluble starch in the acetate buffer) was incubated at 50°C for 30 minutes. 450 μl of DNSA (inhibitor removal buffer) was added. Then, 0.5 ml of fermentation broth was added, incubated on the boiling water bath at 100°C for 5 minutes, and cooled at 0°C for 5 minutes. Then, 4.5 ml of distilled H₂O was added. The result was assessed using a calibration curve of reducing sugars (glucose).

2.2. Starch Modification. Native potato starch (according to GOST P52791-2007 standard) was used for the experiment. Modification of this starch was based on the application of Amylosubtilin® (AM) and amylase from Bacillus licheniformis (BI) of different concentrations (Table 1). Modification was carried out in water (30 g/100 ml), pH = 7, 40°C for 1 hour. The hydrolysis reaction was stopped with the addition of concentrated sulfuric acid (pH = 2). The starch was afterwards separated from the liquid by filtration and dried at 40°C. The starches were used for further research.

2.3. Analysis of Physicochemical Properties of Starches. Amylose content was determined by the iodine binding method described by Williams et al. [14]. The amount of protein was measured using the method described by Bradford [15]. The Residual reducing sugar determination was analyzed using the 3,5-dinitrosalicylic acid (DNS) method [16]. The moisture of starch was determined by drying it at 105°C, for 2 h.

Water absorption capacity (WAC) and water solubility index (WSI) were described by Omojola et al. [17], which are used to determine the WAC and WSI. The starch sample (5% w/v) was dispersed in a preweighed centrifuge tube. The tube was placed in a vortex mixer for 2 min, left at room temperature for 30 minutes, gently stirred during this period,
and then centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 15 minutes. The supernatant was then discarded, and the weight of the tube and hydrated sample was measured. The weight was calculated and expressed as the weight of water bound by 100 g dry starch.

Water Solubility Index [17] determines the amount of polysaccharides or polysaccharide release from the granule on the addition of excess water. WSI was the weight of dry solids in the supernatant from the WAC test. The supernatant liquid was then decanted into a tared evaporating dish of known weight. The weight of dried solid after evaporation in an air-circulating oven at 103°C was recorded. The WSI (expressed as grams solids/100 g of starch) was calculated from the weight of dry solids recovered by evaporating the supernatant at 110°C for 12 h. Determinations were made in triplicate.

Gelatinization temperature was evaluated using the method of Attama et al. [18]. The starch sample (1 g) was put in a 20 ml beaker, and 10 ml of distilled water was added. The dispersion was heated on a hot plate. The gelatinization temperature was then measured with a thermometer suspended in the starch slurry.

2.3.1. Dynamic Viscosity. Viscosity was evaluated for the 1% starch solution, pregelatinized, and cooled down to 20°C, using the VNZh (Russia) glass capillary viscosity meter, tracking the starch gel flow time.

2.4. Granule Morphology and Size

2.4.1. Optical Microscopy. The morphology of the starch suspensions was studied using a light microscope Axio Imager.Z2m (Carl Zeiss). A thin layer of the paste was prepared on a slide and stained with iodine solution. The granules were photographed using a Nikon camera attached to the microscope.

2.4.2. Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM). Starch samples were mounted on metal stubs and coated with gold-palladium alloy (~15 nm thickness) using the Quorum Q150T ES vacuum coating machine. Samples were then observed using a field emission scanning electron microscope Merlin (Carl Zeiss, Germany) at an acceleration potential of 15 kV, secondary electrons detector. Pictures were captured by automatic image capturing software (Hitachi High-Technologies, Pleasanton, CA). Magnifications are as indicated at the bottom of each figure.

The microimages obtained were used to detect any damage in starch granules and to define their forms.

2.4.3. Granule Size. The effect of enzymatic treatment on the size of starch was studied by dynamic light scattering (DLS) with a method using Zetasizer Nano-ZS series particle size and zeta potential analyzer (Malvern, the United Kingdom) at 25°C. For this purpose, we prepared 0.5 g·L⁻¹ solutions of starch in dimethylsulfoxide. We determined the hydrodynamic radius $D_h$ of the particles after 24 h of exposition at ambient temperature.

2.5. Thermal Gravimetric Analysis (TGA) and Differential Thermal Analysis (DTA). TG and DT curves were recorded using a simultaneous TG 60 system (Shimazu) under an air flow at 100 mL·min⁻¹ and at a heating rate of 10°C·min⁻¹. The initial sample mass was about 9 mg. Alumina crucibles were used for the TG and DTA experiments.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Properties of Fermented Modified Potato Starches. The amylose percentage in the starch under the effect of the enzyme Amylosubtilin® decreases by 6–11%, and the largest decrease is found for the samples treated with the highest or with the lowest enzyme concentration (Table 2). After treating with the amylase Bacillus licheniformis in starches, the relative amylose content increased by 1–3% in three samples and decreased by 6% in the starch treated with the highest concentration of the enzyme (Bl-1).

The content of free reducing sugars in all the test samples was higher than in the native starch. At the same time, this value was higher in the amylase-treated samples than in the samples treated with the amylase of B. licheniformis.

The protein contents in test samples were lower than in the source starch, which may have been resulted from the presence of proteases within the compositions of enzyme preparations. The residual moisture contents in the samples varied within the range of 9–11%.

As a result of modification, the water absorption capacity practically doubled in amylase-treated starches, while the increase thereof in the Bl starches was lower. At the same time, after modification, the solubility of starches (WSI) increased, the highest WSI was for starches AM-0.1 and Bl-1 (Table 3).

Modification led to a significant decrease of the gelatinization temperature. In the AM starches, the increase of the enzyme concentration at the treatment led to obtaining of the starch with a lower gelatinization temperature. In case of increase of the concentration of the amylase of B. licheniformis when treated from the lowest to the highest, the increase of the gelatinization temperature was found in the starches obtained.

| Table 1: Code of fermented modified starches. |
|----------------------------------------------|
| Starches | Amylase activity (U/g starch) | Amylosubthilin (g/100 ml reaction mixture) | Amylase Bacillus licheniformis (ml/100 ml reaction mixture) |
| AM-0.05 | 0.415 | 0.01 | — |
| AM-0.1 | 0.83 | 0.0201 | — |
| AM-0.05 | 4.15 | 0.0005 | — |
| AM-1 | 8.3 | 0.201 | — |
| Bl-0.05 | 0.415 | — | 0.05 |
| Bl-0.1 | 0.83 | — | 0.1 |
| Bl-0.5 | 4.15 | — | 0.5 |
| Bl-1 | 8.3 | — | 1 |
3.2. Granule Morphology

3.2.1. Optic Microscopy. The native starch had kernels of a round regular shape with the small particles of starch granules with even, nondappled edges (Figure 2(a)). The loss of clean external boarders and the presence of grooves and notches are characteristics for the starch modified with the lowest concentration of amylase (AM-0.05). Doubling the concentration (sample AM-0.1) led to the increase of the number of fission-induced irregular particles with multifaceted edges and to the decrease of the number of large granules, while the rest had uneven edges (Figure 2).

Gelatinization of kernels and breaking large granules into smaller ones with irregular shape with dappled edges and grooves are characteristics for samples AM-0.5 and AM-1.

In the starch, modified with the B. licheniformis amylase, with the concentration of 0.05 (the lowest one), the external layers became rough, the shape of granules did not change, and no visible grooves were observed. In sample Bl-0.1, the increase of the number of smaller granules with uneven edges and the cracks on the surfaces was visible.

Further increase of concentration (Bl-0.5) led to the gelatinization, and the granules having uneven dappled edges became prevailing. The hydrolysis of external layers can be seen (cracks and grooves). In sample Bl-1, the granules have irregular shape with uneven edges; in some granules, splitting into layers and deshaping were observed (Figure 2).

3.4. Granule Size. Distribution of the macromolecules of the native starch in DMSO has bimodal nature, with the maximums of $R_h$ 28 and 68 nm. At the same time, 67% of the total area under the peaks of the light scattering intensity distribution falls within the fraction of large particles (50–141 nm). Such two fractions’ distribution corresponds with the amyllose-based and amylopectin-based parts of starch, the last one playing a key role in light scattering of starch solution (Figure 3).

Distribution of the hydrodynamic radii of the molecules of starches AM-0.05, Bl-0.05, and Bl-0.1 is also bimodal. At the same time, the modal values of the radii of starches Bl-0.05 and Bl-0.1 for the amyllose fraction became lower: 4–7 nm and 5–8 nm, respectively, while for the amylopectin fraction, it increased up to 80–182 nm and 91–209 nm, respectively. The particle radii’ values of the AM-0.05 starch are practically the same as the molecule sizes of the native starch: 29–50 nm for amyllose and 66–100 nm for amylopectin. Moreover, the total content of this fraction significantly decreased. The molecule sizes of the amylopectin of AM-0.05 starch, as compared to the native one, became

### Table 3: Functional properties of native and fermented modified potato starches.

| Starch samples | WAC (g H$_2$O/g starch) | WSI (g solids/100 g starch) | Gelatinization temperature (°C) | Dynamic viscosity (sec) |
|----------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|
| Native         | 1.37 ± 0.11             | 1.22 ± 0.030                | 69.0 ± 0.9                      | 28.03 ± 1.21           |
| AM-0.05        | 2.14 ± 0.12             | 6.97 ± 0.58                 | 61.5 ± 1.2                      | 4.58 ± 1.39            |
| AM-0.1         | 2.27 ± 0.28             | 8.64 ± 0.24                 | 57.9 ± 0.6                      | 3.44 ± 1.38            |
| AM-0.5         | 2.18 ± 0.22             | 5.30 ± 0.59                 | 59.8 ± 1.0                      | 3.96 ± 1.66            |
| AM-1           | 2.05 ± 0.30             | 2.79 ± 0.64                 | 54.7 ± 1.5                      | 4.55 ± 1.82            |
| Bl-0.05        | 2.08 ± 0.14             | 4.30 ± 0.51                 | 55.1 ± 2.1                      | 4.24 ± 1.53            |
| Bl-0.1         | 2.15 ± 0.12             | 3.38 ± 0.25                 | 56.7 ± 1.7                      | 4.11 ± 0.98            |
| Bl-0.5         | 1.97 ± 0.08             | 4.40 ± 0.65                 | 57.6 ± 1.3                      | 5.22 ± 2.02            |
| Bl-1           | 1.68 ± 0.14             | 7.39 ± 0.29                 | 61.0 ± 1.9                      | 4.69 ± 1.09            |
Figure 1: Optical microphotographs of native (a) and fermented modified potato starches (bar is 20 μm): (b) AM-0.05, (c) AM-0.1, (d) AM-0.5, (e) AM-1, (f) Bl-0.05, (g) Bl-0.1, (h) Bl-0.5, and (i) Bl-1.

Figure 2: SEM microphotographs of native (a) and fermented modified potato starches (bar is 2 μm): (b) AM-0.05, (c) AM-0.1, (d) AM-0.5, (e) AM-1, (f) Bl-0.05, (g) Bl-0.1, (h) Bl-0.5, and (i) Bl-1.
slightly lower, and the highest values of radii were 100 and 141 nm, respectively (Figure 3).

Distribution of the hydrodynamic radii of the macromolecules of AM-0.1, AM-0.5, A-1, Bl-0.5 starches, and Bl-1 was monomodal. Particle radii, where the light scattering intensity peaks were observed are 76–263 nm in AM-0.1 starch, 50–115 nm in AM-0.5, 44–115 nm in AM-1, 138–479 nm in Bl-0.5, and 138–240 nm in Bl-1.

3.5. TG and DTA Characteristics. Heating of the starch is widely used in food industry and in manufacturing of starch-based products. Thermal degradation and thermal stability of starch being heated are the key problems in terms of both research and practice. Dehydration and degradation of starch molecules are two basic processes of the starch degradation mechanisms.

At the first stage (Table 4), the starch molecules lose their masses due to dehydration that was finished at the temperature of about 100°C for all samples (Figures 4(a) and 4(b)). This process is related to the endothermic peak on the DTA curve (Figures 4(c) and 4(d)). As the dehydration of starch samples was finished, each of them was stable up to the certain temperature: 290°C for native starch, 190°C for AM-0.05 and Bl-0.05, 200°C for AM-0.1 and Bl-0.1, 230°C for AM-0.5, 250°C for Bl-0.5, 270°C for AM-1, and 280°C for Bl-1.

The lower temperatures of the destruction of the samples of modified starches at the second stage are probably related to their partly destructed structure and lower molecular mass, compared to the native starch.

The temperature of ending of the second stage of the starch dehyrdation was different for all of the samples: 330°C for native starch, 350°C for A-0.05, Bl-0.05, and A-0.1, 370°C for Bl-0.1, 390°C for AM-0.5, Bl-0.5, and Bl-1, and 430°C for AM-1. The second stage of mass loss can be explained by the degradation of the organic substance with the relevant exothermic peak visible on the DTA curve. The second stage of chemical dehydration and chemical degradation has higher values in modified starches than in the native starch. This effect of enzyme modification can be related to more aerated structures of starch kernels and to the deeper and stronger penetration of water molecules in the polymer structure. This causes a longer and gradual dehydration and a smoother course of the TG curve (mass loss).

The exothermic peak on the DTA curve is related to the heat energy released during the combustion of the starch derivatives. Whereas in native starch, the highest energy release peaks were at the level of 5 and 6 W/g of starch; in the enzyme-modified, it exceeded 8 W/g of starch. Thus, these starches contain the molecules of both simple and more complex structure compared to the native starch. This is confirmed by the temperatures of the beginning and the ending of the second stage of starch destruction, which are lower and higher than in the native starch, respectively. Further heating of relatively large conjugated structures, including aromatic structures formed at a temperature of about 600°C, leads to the formation of amorphous carbon. As a result of the third stage of degradation, ash residues were formed. As a result of the enzyme dehyrdation, the second stage of chemical dehydration and chemical degradation has higher values in modified starches than in the native starch. This effect of enzyme modification can be related to more aerated structures of starch kernels and to the deeper and stronger penetration of water molecules in the polymer structure. This causes a longer and gradual dehydration and a smoother course of the TG curve (mass loss).
modification, the percentage of mass loss at temperatures above 500°C increased, which may indirectly indicate an increase in the share of high molecular weight polysaccharide residues (Table 4). This correlates with the results of the measurement of hydrodynamic radius of the particles, which indicates an increase in the proportion of larger starch particles after enzymatic processing (Figure 3).

Enzyme modification is becoming one of the most popular methods which allow obtaining a harmless starch with the predefined properties [19–22]. The combined results of the analysis of the percentage of amylose/amylopectin, the size of dynamic radii, and thermogravimetric characteristics have shown that the amylouibtiltin treatment is basically related to the hydrolysis of the potato starch amylose fraction (Figures 3 and 4 and Table 2). It is natural that the hydrolysis process is more intensive for higher concentration of the enzyme involved in modification.

Modification with the *Bacillus licheniformis* amylase causes changes in the starch molecule sizes and the amylose/amylopectin ratio which provide evidence of both amylose fraction and amylopectin fraction. At the same time, when low doses of the enzyme are used, the amylopectin fraction exposes to more significant changes, and its percentage decreases. Bl-0.05 and Bl-0.1 starches contain smaller molecules of amylose and larger molecules of amylopectin compared to the native starch. The increase of the enzyme concentration during modification results in the elimination of small granules, while the amylopectin fraction of Bl-0.5 and Bl-1.0 starches turned out to be larger compared to the native starch. The increase of the amylopectin molecule sizes in starches Bl-0.5 and Bl-1 is probably related to the effect provided by the enzyme of a complex nature, with its aerating capability, internal bonds breaking, and change of the architecture of the granule structures. Moreover, it can be assumed that, as a result of hydrolyzing the endo-bonds of amylopectin, the amylopectin fraction is aerated easier in the DMSO medium.

The differences in the effects provided on the structure of potato starch granules by amylouibtiltin and by the *Bacillus licheniformis* amylase are shown in SEM microimages (Figure 2). The effect of amylouibtiltin leads to the occurrence of granules having uneven edges, notches, and grooves that becomes worse as the enzyme concentration grows. As to the *Bacillus licheniformis* amylase, it breaks the external

---

**Figure 4: TGA (a, b) and DTA (c, d) curves of native and treated starches.**

---

![Figure 4](image-url)
layers that become rough, but the form of granules does not change for the low doses of the drug. Increasing the dose of this amylase results in gelatinizing of the granules; while the swelling and holes are visualized, this provides evidence of the starting breakage of the internal layers of the starch granules. We can make a conclusion that, with the identical amylase activity in amylosubtilin and the Bacillus licheniformis enzyme, their effects do not coincide, which can be determined by the minor activities of multienzyme preparations of low purification degree.

Partial processing with bacterial drugs enhances the solubility, which is important in terms of use of the starches in technologies that do not require critical temperatures. Enhancing the water-sorption activities of enzymatically modified potato starches is also important, which can be explained by both the increased dose of amylpectin and the changes in the architecture of starch granules. Lowering and smoothing the TGA profile, as well as reducing temperature ranges and gelatinization temperature, have shown that there were significant modifications in the internal granulated organization of the native and enzymatically modified starches. It is found that the temperature and structural properties of different starches are directly influenced by the presence of amylose/amylpectin [23].

4. Conclusion

Enzyme treatment can reduce the viscosity of potato starch, while increasing the WAC and its solubility at room temperature (WSI). The changes are due to morphological alterations, loosening of starch granules, respectively, an increase in the total area of contact. The most appropriate treatment with the enzyme Bacillus licheniformis in low doses, since the starch grains have a fine-cored structure, optimal for uniform penetration of water molecules with subsequent gelation.

The combination of functional, processing, thermal, and structural characteristics of the starches obtained from the modification shows their suitability for becoming materials for food systems’ component that require the correction of their textural features. Such starches are promising for the techniques of correcting the structural and mechanical properties of low-fat fermented milk products, such as puddings or fat-free milkshakes. The use of low purification bacterial amylolytic preparations may be a cost-effective and efficient method of obtaining starches having modified properties without any nonbiological components or affected by high temperatures or pressures.

Data Availability

The data used to support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

Acknowledgments

This work was financially supported by the Youth Scientific Grants and Awards of the Republic of Tatarstan (Russia) (No. 10-79-xG/2017) and the Program of Competitive Growth of Kazan Federal University.

References

[1] P. Roger, R. A. Bello-Perez, and P. Collona, “Contribution of amylose and amylpectin to the light scattering behavior of starches in aqueous solution,” Polymer, vol. 40, no. 25, pp. 6897–6909, 1999.
[2] S. Radosta, M. Haberer, and W. Vorwerg, “Molecular characteristics of amylose and starch in dimethyl sulfoxide,” Biomacromolecules, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 970–978, 2001.
[3] J. N. Bemiller, “Starch modification: challenges and prospects,” Starch-Starke, vol. 49, no. 4, pp. 127–131, 1997.
[4] O. B. Wurzburg, “Modified starches,” in Food Polysaccharides and Their Applications, A. M. Stephen, Ed., pp. 83–85, Marcel Dekker, New York, NY, USA, 1995.
[5] M. H. Madsen and D. H. Christensen, “Change in viscosity properties of potato starch during gelization,” Starch-Starke, vol. 48, no. 7-8, pp. 245–249, 1996.
[6] A. Buleon, P. Colonna, V. Planchot, and S. Ball, “Starch granules: structure and biosynthesis,” International Journal of Biological Macromolecules, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 85–112, 1998.
[7] J. L. Jane, T. Kasemswan, S. Leas, H. Zobel, and J. F. Robyt, “Anthology of starch granule morphology by scanning electron microscopy,” Starch-Starke, vol. 46, no. 4, pp. 121–129, 1994.
[8] R. Amini Sarteshnizi, H. Hosseini, A. Mousavi Khameneh, and N. Karimi, “A review on application of hydrocolloids in meat and poultry products,” International Food Research Journal, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 872–887, 2015.
[9] R. Hoover, “Composition, molecular structure, and physicochemical properties of tuber and root starches: a review,” Carbohydrate Polymers, vol. 45, no. 3, pp. 253–267, 2001.
[10] L. Kaur, J. Singh, O. J. McCarthy, and H. Singh, “Physicochemical, rheological and structural properties of fractioned potato starches,” Journal of Food Engineering, vol. 82, no. 3, pp. 383–394, 2007.
[11] N. Singh and L. Kaur, “Morphological, thermal, rheological and retrogradation properties of potato starch fractions varying in granule size,” Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, vol. 84, no. 10, pp. 1241–1252, 2004.
[12] Z. Chen, H. A. Schols, and A. G. J. Voragen, “Starch granule size strongly determines starch noodle processing and noodle quality,” Journal of Food Science, vol. 68, no. 5, pp. 1584–1589, 2003.
[13] J. Singh and N. Singh, “Studies on the morphological, thermal and rheological properties of starch from some Indian potato cultivars,” Food Chemistry, vol. 75, no. 1, pp. 67–77, 2001.
[14] P. C. Williams, F. D. Kuzina, and I. Hlynka, “A rapid col-ourimetric procedure for estimating the amylose content of starches and starch,” Cereal Chemistry, vol. 47, no. 4, pp. 411–413, 1970.
[15] M. Bradford, “A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding,” Analytical Biochemistry, vol. 72, no. 1-2, pp. 248–254, 1976.
[16] G. L. Miller, “Use of dinitrosalicylic acid reagent for determination of reducing sugar,” Analytical Chemistry, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 426–428, 1959.
[17] M. O. Omojola, Y. O. Akinkunmi, K. O. Olufunsho, H. O. Egharevba, and E. O. Martins, “Isolation and physico-chemical characterization of cola starch,” African Journal of Food, Agriculture, Nutrition and Development, vol. 10, no. 7, pp. 2884–2900, 2010.

[18] A. A. Attama, P. O. Nnamani, I. K. Mbonu, and M. U. Adiku, “Effect of hypochlorite oxidation on the physicochemical properties of gladiolus starch,” Journal of Pharmaceutical and Allied Sciences, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 28–35, 2003.

[19] M. G. Casarrubias-Castillo, B. R. Hamaker, S. L. Rodriguez-Ambriz, and L. A. Bello-Perez, “Physicochemical, structural, and digestibility properties of enzymatic modified plantain and mango starches,” Starch/Starke, vol. 64, no. 4, pp. 304–312, 2012.

[20] M. M. Kasprzak, H. N. Lærke, F. H. Larsen, K. E. B. Knudsen, S. Pedersen, and A. S. Jørgensen, “Effect of enzymatic treatment of different starch sources on the in vitro rate and extent of starch digestion,” International Journal of Molecular Sciences, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 929–942, 2012.

[21] B.-S. Kim, H.-S. Kim, and S.-H. Yoo, “Characterization of enzymatically-modified rice and barley starches with amyllosucrase at scale-up production,” Carbohydrate Polymers, vol. 125, pp. 61–68, 2015.

[22] S. H. Park, Y. Na, S. D. Kim, J. Kang, and K.-H. Park, “Properties and applications of starch modifying enzymes for use in the baking industry,” Food Science and Biotechnology, vol. 27, no. 2, pp. 299–312, 2018.

[23] M. Schirmer, A. Höchstötter, M. Jekle, E. Arendt, and T. Becker, “Physicochemical and morphological characterization of different starches with variable amylose/amyllopectin ratio,” Food Hydrocolloids, vol. 32, no. 1, pp. 52–63, 2013.
