Solution structure and stability of the DNA undecamer duplexes containing oxanine mismatch
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ABSTRACT

Solution structures of DNA duplexes containing oxanine (Oxa, O) opposite a cytosine (O:C duplex) and opposite a thymine (O:T duplex) have been solved by the combined use of 1H NMR and restrained molecular dynamics calculation. One mismatch pair was introduced into the center of the 11-mer duplex of [d(GTGACO6CACTG)/d(CAGTGX17GTCAC), X = C or T]. 1H NMR chemical shifts and nuclear Overhauser enhancement (NOE) intensities indicate that both the duplexes adopt an overall right-handed B-type conformation. Exchangeable resonances of C17 4-amino proton of the O:C duplex and of T17 imino proton of O:T duplex showed unusual chemical shifts, and disappeared with temperature increasing up to 30°C, although the melting temperatures were >50°C. The O:C mismatch takes a wobble geometry with positive shear parameter where the Oxa ring shifted toward the major groove and the paired C17 toward the minor groove, while, in the O:T mismatch pair with the negative shear, the Oxa ring slightly shifted toward the minor groove and the paired T17 toward the major groove. The Oxa mismatch pairs can be wobbled largely because of no hydrogen bonding to the O1 position of the Oxa base, and may occupy positions in the strands that optimize the stacking with adjacent bases.

INTRODUCTION

DNA polymerase makes errors by misincorporating natural DNA bases and base analogs. Because of the wide variety of possible mismatches and the varying efficiency with which they are repaired, structural studies are necessary to understand in detail how these mismatch pairs differ and can be distinguished from standard Watson–Crick base pairs. Duplex structures with various mismatch pairs of natural bases, such as A:C (1–4), G:T (5–7), have been reported and effects of the mismatch on the structures and biological implications have been extensively studied (8). And also, various unusual mismatch pairs have been studied by X-ray crystallography and NMR to explain their mutagenic properties.

Oxanosine (5-amino-3β-D-ribofuranosyl-3H-imidazo[4,5-d]oxazin-7-one) was originally isolated from Streptomyces capreolus MG265-CF3 and has antibiotic properties in both its ribo and 2′-deoxyribo forms (9). Oxanine (Oxa, O) is a unique deaminated base in which an endocyclic nitrogen atom of guanine is substituted by an oxygen atom. It was reported that 2′-deoxyoxanosine (dOxa, Figure 1A) was produced by the reaction of 2′-deoxyguanosine with nitric oxide (NO)- or nitrous acid (HNO2)-induced nitrosative oxidation (10). Since Oxa, which is a DNA lesion of guanine, could be produced in the cellular system by NO, HNO2 or other nitrosating agent, its genotoxic properties including deglycosylation susceptibility, base pairing stability and base incorporation patterns have been analyzed (11,12). Cytosine (Cyt) and thymine (Thy) were incorporated by Escherichia coli Klenow Fragment to pair with Oxa in a DNA template with similar efficiency. If Oxa is formed in DNA strands, dOxa can exist for a sufficient time since dOxa moiety is not easily hydrolyzed due to its stable N-glycosidic bond between base and sugar moieties. Therefore, the Oxa generated in cellular genomes may induce the misincorporation of incorrect nucleotides causing G:C to A:T transition.

On the basis of the chemical structure, Oxa was expected to form two hydrogen bonds with either Cyt or Thy (11). By measuring melting temperatures (Tm) and thermodynamic parameters, the base pair of O:C showed relatively high stability in DNA duplexes...
that the d(G:C) DNA: Molecular dynamics (MD) simulation showed focused on the stability of the Oxa mismatch pairs in tagenic properties of Oxa (14). The theoretical study have of theoretical methods have been used to analyze the hydrogen bonds and a wobble geometry. A wide range interaction: a Watson–Crick geometry involving two mismatch of O:C, two models have been proposed for this mismatch of O:A, O:T (14). The stability of DNA duplex, and examined the theoretical data of the O:C and O:T mismatches on the structure and stability in detail for the oligonucleotides containing Oxa. The relative contribution of these biotechnological and biomedical utilizations, it has been more important to elucidate the mismatch structural studies on DNA oligomers containing Oxa by bacterial endonuclease V, hypothetic models of Oxa-containing base pairs and deaminated base recognition mechanism were also proposed (15). However, there has not been as of yet consensus on its structure, because structural studies on DNA oligomers containing the O:C mismatch have not been carried out by NMR or X-ray crystallography so far on account of difficulties in preparing a dOxa amidite monomer. Since the monomer has been successfully synthesized and employed to the automatic DNA synthesizer (13,16), it has become possible to investigate how the mismatch of Oxa base pair affects the structure and properties of the Oxa duplexes at an atomic resolution. Recently, biotechnological utilization of the dOxa oligonucleotides has been reported. For example, T4 DNA ligase-based mismatch detection methods have been proposed as useful strategies for single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) analyses, and biomolecular response of oxanine in DNA strands to T4 polynucleotide kinase, T4 DNA ligase and restriction enzymes (17,18). In order to make further development of these biotechnological and biomedical utilizations, it has been more important to elucidate the mismatch structures in detail for the oligonucleotides containing Oxa.

In the present study, we have focused on the effects of the O:C and O:T mismatches on the structure and stability of DNA duplex, and examined the theoretical data obtained for the base pairing of the O:C and O:T (14). The mismatch pairs were introduced into the center of the same 11-mer DNA duplex. The DNA sequence was designed and chosen to avoid the signal overlapping and to obtain structural information around the mismatch pair. In order to obtain information about the base pairing of O:C, interstrand nuclear Overhauser enhancement (NOE) from the cytosine 4-amino group of the mismatch to exchangeable protons in the adjacent base pairs of O:C is significant because the H–H distances between those protons are short and sensitive to the DNA conformations (19). For the O:T duplex, the structure has been mainly compared with the G:T duplex. Based on the base pairing geometry and hydrogen bond of the O:C and O:T base pair, biological implication will be discussed.

**Figure 1.** Chemical structures analyzed in the study. (A) Structures of 2-deoxyoxanosine and (B) DNA sequence contexts of the O:C duplex.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Nomenclature**

In order to avoid confusing the number of the oxanine ring atoms with those corresponding guanine, the number of the oxanine ring in the present study was changed to be the same as that of the guanine ring. The numbering system for the [d(GTGACGACTG)/d(CAGTGCAC)] is shown in Figure 1B, where O indicates an oxanosine moiety, and X is C (O:C duplex) or T (O:T duplex). The mismatch pair (O6X17) is underlined. And the same numbering system was applied to two reference DNA duplexes, [d(GTGACGACTG)/d(CAGTGCAC)] (G:C duplex) and [d(GTGACGACTG)/d(CAGTGCAC)] (G:T duplex).

**Sample preparation**

The DNA strands were synthesized on an automatic synthesizer by the phosphoramidite method and purified by gel filtration and reverse phase HPLC as previously reported (13). Each duplex was dissolved at a concentration of 100 mM NaCl and 0.2 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid. The pH of the solution was adjusted to 7.0, unless otherwise stated, by the addition of HCl and NaOH. The solution was heated at 80°C for 10 min and was gradually cooled down to room temperature immediately prior to the NMR measurements.

**NMR spectroscopy**

NMR experiments were carried out on a Bruker ARX-500 spectrometer (500.13 MHz for 1H) and JEOL JNM-ECA series-920 (920 MHz for 1H). A set of 2D NMR spectroscopy experiments [DQF-COSY (20), TOCSY (21), E-COSY (22), NOESY (23)] was obtained for the duplexes dissolved in the deuterated buffer. NOESY spectra obtained with mixing times of 50, 100 and 200 ms were measured with a 6.5 s relaxation delay. Taking the duplex stability and peak separation into account, several temperatures were used for the NMR measurements were
employed between 5–25°C for the DNA duplexes. The jump-and-return NOESY (24) by the 500 MHz NMR machine and water gated NOESY (25) by the 920 MHz NMR machine were measured for the samples dissolved in the 90% H2O/10% D2O buffer at both pH 6.0 and 7.0 to assign labile protons and evaluate NOE cross-peak intensities for the O:T and G:T duplexes, because exchange rates of the imino proton with water is the slowest at pH 6 and that of amino protons with water at pH 7 (19). 1H chemical shifts were referred to internal sodium 3-(trimethylsilyl)propionate-2,2,3,3-d4. Acquired data were processed using the program UXNMR (Bruker) and NMRpipe (LA systems, Tokyo, Japan). The NMR sample conditions for the Tm measurements were identical to those for the 2D measurements. The 1D 1H-NMR spectra were collected after the attainment of the equilibration at each temperature. The chemical shift change of well-isolated peaks was plotted as a function of temperature, and the collected data points were fitted to a sigmoidal curve by a non-linear least squares refinement procedure of ORIGIN (Microcal Software Inc.). All the Tm values were reproducible from the curves with temperature increasing and decreasing, and the standard deviations were ±0.5–1.0°C on repetitions of the experiments.

**Restrained MD**

The NOESY cross-peak intensities were obtained using a measure-volume tool of NMRpipe. The volumes were normalized against C H5-H6 and H2-H2 of NOEs, which correspond to fixed distances. All the NOE restraints between the non-exchangeable protons were derived from 50-ms NOESY spectra with a long delay (6.5 s) in D2O. The upper and lower bound corrections of the NOE restraints (a force constant of 20.0 kcal mol/Å²) were set to 15 and 10% of the obtained interproton distances, respectively. The sequential NOEs regarding exchangeable protons were converted to medium (3.0–4.2 Å) and weak (4.0–5.0 Å) restraints. The numbers of the interproton distances were 231 and 225 for O:C and O:T duplex, respectively. The initial A- and B-structures were generated using Maestro (Schrödinger, MA, USA). The structure calculations were done by XPLOR3.8 (26). Geometrical parameters and the atomic charges of the oxanosine residue were built by using those of the guanosine residue, and the no charge was employed on the O1 atom to avoid the effect of the force field parameters. These parameters were added to the database that was referred to during the structure calculation. Restrainted molecular dynamics (rMD) and energy minimization calculations included 102 backbone torsion angle restraints with a force constant of 5.0 kcal/mol/Å² and six distance restraints per Watson–Crick base pair (a force constant of 50.0 kcal/mol/Å²) to maintain Watson–Crick base pairing (27) with bounds of ±0.1 Å. For the mismatch pair, one hydrogen bond was enforced using canonical distances with bounds of ±0.1 Å between O6 NH2 and C17 N3 atom for the O:C duplex, and between O6 NH2 and T17 O2 atom for the O:T duplex. The reasons of those hydrogen bonds in the mismatch pairs will be described in the ‘Results’ section. The backbone angle restraints, keeping the range between the A- and B-form, were defined as previously described (28,29). The rMD calculations of 20 000 steps at 300 K were carried out with a simulated annealing protocol provided by Grüninger (26) to search conformational space for structures consistent with the NMR restraints. The resulting structures were submitted to a final energy minimization, giving rise to the final structures. Seventeen structures of the O:C duplex and 15 structures of the O:T duplex were selected from 100 calculations on the basis of the lowest energy values. There were no violated distance constraints by >0.25 Å and no violated dihedral angle constraints by >5°. The helical parameters were analyzed using CURVES 5.3 (30,31).

**RESULTS**

**Resonance assignment**

Proton resonances were assigned for all the duplexes (O:C, O:T, G:T and G:C) from a complete set of homonuclear 2D NMR data using established NMR techniques for nucleic acid analyses (19). The assignment of non-exchangeable DNA protons was achieved by analyzing all regions of the NOESY spectra obtained with various mixing times in combination with DQF-COSY and TOCSY data, and H5'/5' proton assignments were not assigned because of signal overlapping. For example, the 1H resonance assignments are summarized in Supplementary Table S1. All the non-exchangeable protons were sharp, indicating that no chemical exchange occurs between conformers in an NMR time scale. Intra-residue and sequential base to H1', H2', H2'' and H3' cross-peaks characteristic of right-handed DNA duplex were observed for all the duplexes. These connectivities were seen throughout the duplexes, including the lesion site and surrounding residues. The intra-residue NOE intensities of the O6 H8-H1' and paired C17 H6-H1' cross-peaks were weak, and of similar intensity as the other intraresidue aromatic-H1' cross-peaks, suggesting that those mismatch residues are anti, which excludes unusual geometries such as those found in Hoogsteen or reverse-Hoogsteen base pairs. For the O:T duplex, the mismatch residues of O6 and T17 also showed an anti conformation. The NOESY spectra of the O:C and O:T duplexes in D2O showed intranucleotide sugar–base NOE patterns of B-form DNA [H2'(i)-H8/H6(i) >> H1'(i)-H8/H6(i) > H3'(i)-H8/H6(i)]. Well-digitized E-COSY spectra were used to measure the coupling constants. The obtained J coupling data (1J1/2 and 2J1/2) were in the range of 5.4–8.0 Hz for both of the O:C and O:T duplexes. The sugar pucker and glycosidic bond conformations probably lie in the O4'-endo to C2'-endo and anti ranges, respectively. All the duplexes take an overall B-form DNA.

Exchangeable imino and amino proton resonances for each duplex were also assigned by measuring the samples in H2O and by observing NOE cross-peaks between the exchangeable and non-exchangeable protons (Figure 3). The imino and amino proton cross-peaks due to
interactions with adjacent bases establish base pair formation and normal base stacking throughout the duplex. For the G:C duplex, the inter- and intrastrand imino–imino NOEs were observed through the duplex, except for the terminal imino protons. On the other hand, for the O:C duplex, the similar NOE cross-peaks were observed between the imino protons in the regions of T2-G3-T19-G18 and G16-T15-G14-T10 because of the absence of the imino proton in the central O:C mismatch pair. Two internal and external amino proton resonances of C17 (C17-NHint and C17-NHext in Figure 3A) were separately observed at 6.43 and 9.21 ppm, respectively. The downfield resonance at 9.21 ppm should be an internal amino proton (C17-NHint). The C17-NHint resonance exhibited weak NOE cross-peaks with the G16- and G18-NH resonances, and also a strong and broad NOE cross-peak with the amino protons of O6 (O6-NH2) at 6.47 ppm, which was overlapped with the intraresidue NOE between the C17-NHint and C17-NHext. For the O:T duplex, the imino proton resonance of T17 (T17-NH) was observed at 9.21 ppm (Figure 3B), and the G:T duplex exhibited two imino proton resonances of G 6 and T 17 at 10.45 and 11.62 ppm, respectively (Figure 3C). The T17-NH of the O:T duplex was shifted to the more upfield by 2.4 ppm than that of the G:T duplex which is hydrogen bonded to the opposite guanine. NOE cross-peak pattern of the G6:T17 wobble pair of the G:T duplex coincides with that reported for self-complementary DNA duplex with the G:T mismatch pair (5,32,33): The G6-NH2 protons, observed at 5.82 ppm showed a strong NOE cross-peak with G6-NH at 10.45 ppm, and a medium NOE cross-peak with that of T17-NH at 11.62 ppm. Weak sequential NOEs were observed for the G:T duplex between the imino protons of G18-T17-G16 and G18-G6-G16. For the O:T duplex, weak sequential NOEs were also observed between imino protons of the intrastrand, G18-T17-G16. And the T17-NH proton showed a medium NOE cross-peak with the O6-NH2 resonance. The O:T and G:T duplexes resemble each other in the NOE cross-peak pattern, although the chemical shift of the T17-NH proton of the O:T duplex was different from that of the G:T duplex.

Comparison of chemical shift values between the duplexes

Chemical shift difference (|Δδ|) provides a first approximation of the structure change. In order to examine the effect of the O:C and O:T mismatch on the chemical shifts, the chemical shift differences were compared with the G:C duplex (Figure 4) or to the G:T duplex (Supplementary Figure S1) that contains the wobble G:T pair. As for the non-exchangeable protons, almost all proton resonances exhibited only a small difference (|Δδ| < 0.1 ppm). Significant chemical shift differences were observed for the proton positions of C5-O6-C7, and G18-X17-G16, indicating that the structure change caused by the O:C or O:T mismatch is restricted to its neighboring Watson–Crick base pairs. The comparison of the chemical shift between the duplexes showed that large differences in the chemical shift (|Δδ| > 0.2 ppm) were observed for C5-H2′/H2″, G16-H1′, and X17-H1′/H2″ (X = C or T). The non-exchangeable proton resonances of O6 in the O:C and O:T duplexes showed almost identical chemical shifts to those corresponding of G6 in the G:C duplex (Figure 4A and B). It is of interest that the largest differences were observed for the neighboring residue (C5) and residues of G16 and C17 in the counter strand, but not for O6 itself. This indicates that the introduction of Oxa...
influences not only base pairing with the base at the position of 17, but also the duplex structure of the adjacent residues, possibly resulting in base stacking. For the largest shifted protons, their deviations of the O:C, O:T and G:T duplexes from those of the G:C duplex are shown in Figure 5. It should be noted that the deviations of the O:C duplex from the G:C duplex is opposite to those of the O:T and G:T duplexes for the largest shifted protons. For example, C5-H2 showed a large upfield shift for O:C, but a downfield shift for O:T, while C17-H1 showed a downfield shift for O:C, but an upfield shift for O:T. Thus, the chemical shift differences between O:C and O:T (or G:T) were large (Figures 4C and 5), and the chemical shifts of O:T agreed more closely with those of G:T than those of O:C (Supplementary Figure S1B). Taking it into account that the G:C and G:T duplexes take a Watson–Crick and wobble geometry, respectively, the geometry of the O:T pair including its adjacent base pairs may take an intermediate geometry between them, while the O:C pair takes a different geometry.

Among exchangeable proton resonances, the C17-NH_int proton resonance of the O:C duplex (Figure 3A) and the T17-NH proton resonance of the O:T duplex (Figure 3B) showed outstanding differences between the duplexes. The C17-NH_int proton resonance (9.21 ppm) of the O:C duplex was shifted to the downfield as compared with single-stranded cytosine amino protons (7.0–8.0 ppm) and was even downfield relative to the hydrogen-bonded cytosine amino protons in standard Watson–Crick base pairs (8.0–8.6 ppm, in Supplementary Table S1). The corresponding C17-NH_int proton of the G:C duplex were observed at 8.28 ppm (data not shown). Therefore, the C17-NH_int proton resonance of the O:C duplex shifted to the downfield by 1 ppm, as compared with that of the G:C duplex. This downfield shift of the C17-NH_int proton resonance involved in the mismatched base pair suggests that the base pairing geometry is different from the canonical Watson–Crick of G:C. On the other hand, the imino proton of T17 of the O:T duplex was shifted to the upfield by 2.5 ppm, as compared with that of the G:T duplex. Thus, between the O:T and G:T duplexes, the large difference was only observed for T17-NH although there are small differences for all the non-exchangeable protons, indicating that the hydrogen bond pattern of O:T base pair is different from the reported wobble pattern of G:T, while the duplex structures resemble each other.

Melting profile of the O:C and O:T duplex

In order to examine whether the stability of the duplexes could correlate with the structural differences, thermal melting profile was investigated for all the duplexes by
The duplex stability is also considered to be important for the estimation of mismatch DNA. Based on the temperature dependence of chemical shift change of isolated non-exchangeable proton resonances (A4 H8, T15 H6), these isolated protons were cooperatively shifted with the temperature change, and the $T_m$ values were determined. The $T_m$ values for the O:C and O:T duplexes were 58 and 52°C, respectively, while those for the G:C and G:T duplexes were 63 and 57°C, respectively. The O:C duplex was less stable than the G:C duplex by 5°C, and as stable as the G:T duplex. The O:T duplex was less stable than the G:T duplex. The determined orders of $T_m$ were G:C > O:C = G:T > O:T, which coincides with the previous report by using UV melting profile of Oxa mismatch DNAs (13). The base pair of O:C shows relatively high stability in DNA duplex compared with other base combinations. These results demonstrate that the introduction of the Oxa mismatch causes rather small change in the $T_m$ values as previously reported (13).

We also observed the temperature dependence of the exchangeable proton resonances to obtain the information about the duplex stability and fluctuation. The imino protons at the terminal and penultimate base pairs disappeared at <40°C, much lower than the $T_m$ values, determined by the chemical shift change of the non-exchangeable protons, because the line broadening of the imino protons would depend on the fast exchange between the imino proton and water or the fluctuation of the base pairs. For the mismatch DNA duplexes (O:C, O:T, and G:T), unique temperature dependences of the exchangeable protons were observed in the temperature range between 5 and 30°C. The C17-NHint resonance of the O:C duplex was broader than those of C5,C 7,C 9 and C20, which are involved in the internal of the duplex. With elevating temperature, the C 17-NHint resonance unchanged below 15°C, but with a further increase of the temperature, disappeared like the imino proton resonances of T2 and T10 located in the peripheral of the duplex (Supplementary Figure S2). This exchange rate was considerably faster than those of the other G:C pairs, except for the terminal G:C pairs. Since the non-exchangeable protons involved in the mismatch pair did not show any

**Figure 4.** $^1$H chemical shift differences between the DNA duplexes for H8/H6 (black), T CH3/A H2/C H5 (white), H1' (red), H2' (gray), H2'' (blue): (A) $\delta$(O:C duplex) – $\delta$(G:C duplex), (B) $\delta$(O:T duplex) – $\delta$(G:C duplex), (C) $\delta$(O:C duplex) – $\delta$(O:T duplex). The protons which showed the large differences between the O:C and O:T duplexes are indicated by the names and sequential numbers.

**Figure 5.** $^1$H chemical shift deviations of the largely shifted protons of the mismatched DNA duplexes (O:C, O:T and G:T) from the G:C duplex. Those protons are indicated in the Figure 4: C5-H2' (closed circle), C5-H2'' (open circle), G16-H1' (closed triangle), X17-H1' (closed square) and X17-H2'' (open square) (X = C for O:C and X = T for O:T and G:T).
broadening in this temperature range, the broadening of the C\textsubscript{17}-NH\textsubscript{int} resonance would be caused by the exchange with bulk water. NMR spectrum of the O:T duplex at 5°C showed that the T\textsubscript{17}-NH resonance at 9.21 ppm was as sharp as those of T\textsubscript{15} and T\textsubscript{19} involved in the center of the duplex. With temperature increasing from 5 to 25°C, the T\textsubscript{17}-NH resonance became broad and completely disappeared ~30°C as well as the imino protons of T\textsubscript{2} and T\textsubscript{10} located in the peripheral of the duplex. The exchange rate was faster than those of T\textsubscript{15} and T\textsubscript{19} involved in the A:T pairs (Supplementary Figure S2). The broadening of the T\textsubscript{17}-NH proton would also be caused by the exchange with bulk water. The same phenomenon was observed for the imino protons of G\textsubscript{6} and T\textsubscript{17} in the G:T duplex: The T\textsubscript{17}-NH resonance of the G:T duplex was broadened and disappeared ~30°C, indicating that relatively rapid exchange of the imino protons with the solvent also occurs for the wobble pair of the G:T mismatch as well.

**Characterization of the mismatch pair of O:C duplex**

The chemical shift values (6.43 and 9.21 ppm) and its separation (2.78 ppm) of the C\textsubscript{17}-NH\textsubscript{2} protons provide indications that the base pair of O\textsubscript{6}:C\textsubscript{17} is formed and stacked in the duplex. However, the downfield shift and broadness of the C\textsubscript{17}-NH\textsubscript{2} protons suggest that the base pair does not take a Watson–Crick geometry, and a relatively rapid exchange occurs with water molecules. Similar results were reported for a 2-aminopruine(AP:cytosine mismatch in DNA duplex (34,35). The internal 4-amino proton in the AP:C mismatch of CAP/GCG resonated at 8.94 ppm, and the resonance was broadened into the base line at 25°C but sharpened with decreasing temperature (34). They pointed that the C-NH\textsubscript{int} proton resonance in the AP:C mismatch may shift to the further downfield than usual, due to an aromatic ring current shift, because the C-NH\textsubscript{int} proton is likely to be 1.7–2.1 Å from the edge of the 2-AP base, and in a G:C pair, the C-NH\textsubscript{int} proton is ~2.6 Å from the edge of the guanine base, leading to a smaller ring current shift. The AP:C mismatch pair was considered to take a wobble pair: The C-NH\textsubscript{int} proton of AP:C is hydrogen bonded to the AP N1 atom, and the AP 2-amino group to the C N3 atom. The unusual downfield shift of the C\textsubscript{17}-NH\textsubscript{int} proton resonance may be caused by the short distance from the C\textsubscript{17}-NH\textsubscript{int} proton to the Oxa aromatic ring in the same wobble pair as the AP:C mismatch. According to previous theoretical calculation, oxanine and guanine show very similar electrostatic potential distribution on the aromatic plane (14), so the short distance to the aromatic ring could result in the more downfield shift. Strong NOE cross-peak of C\textsubscript{17}-NH\textsubscript{int} to O\textsubscript{6}-NH\textsubscript{2} supports that the O:C base pair takes the wobble geometry similar to the AP:C mismatch, where the O\textsubscript{6}-NH\textsubscript{2} proton resonance probably forms a hydrogen bond to the C\textsubscript{17} N3 atom, and the C\textsubscript{17}-NH\textsubscript{int} and O\textsubscript{6}-NH\textsubscript{2} protons are adjoining each other. The broadness of the O\textsubscript{6}-NH\textsubscript{2} resonance also implies the hydrogen bond of O\textsubscript{6}-NH\textsubscript{2} proton to the C\textsubscript{17} N3 atom. In general, guanine and adenine amino groups often rotate at or near intermediate exchange on the NMR time scale, causing severe broadening of the amino proton resonances and making assignment of these protons difficult. The O\textsubscript{6}-NH\textsubscript{2} resonance was broad, which were more likely to behave similarly to guanine and adenine amino protons involved in the hydrogen bond. The broad line shape of the C\textsubscript{17}-NH\textsubscript{int} proton resonance at 5°C and its disappearance with elevating the temperature suggest that the C\textsubscript{17}-NH\textsubscript{int} proton is likely located in the center of the wobble geometry, but no or very weak hydrogen bond is formed with the O\textsubscript{6} O1 atom. The pH dependence of the exchangeable protons of the O:C duplex showed the broadening of the C\textsubscript{17}-NH\textsubscript{int} proton resonance below pH 6 (data not shown). No resonance originated from the protonated cytosine hydrogen bonded to the Oxa moiety was observed at slightly acidic pH. The pH dependence of NMR spectra of the O:C duplex indicate that protonation of the C\textsubscript{17} N3 position does not occur in the mismatch pair. These results of the pH titration also support the wobble geometry of the O:C mismatch.

In order to determine the geometry of the mismatch region, we focused on NOE cross-peaks from C\textsubscript{17}-NH\textsubscript{int} to G\textsubscript{16}\textsubscript{t} and G\textsubscript{18}\textsubscript{m} imino protons and to C\textsubscript{2} and C\textsubscript{7}-NH\textsubscript{int} protons. These NOE cross-peaks provide us with significant information for base pairing of O\textsubscript{6}:C\textsubscript{17} and its mutual position to the neighbor base pairs of C\textsubscript{5}:G\textsubscript{18} and C\textsubscript{7}:G\textsubscript{16}, although the NOEs are influenced by spin-diffusion effect and rapid exchange with water. The NOE cross-peaks from C\textsubscript{17}-NH\textsubscript{int} to G\textsubscript{16}\textsubscript{t} and G\textsubscript{18}\textsubscript{m} NH protons were observed, indicating the base pair formation and stacking of O\textsubscript{6}:C\textsubscript{17} in the duplex. However, no NOE cross-peak from C\textsubscript{17}-NH\textsubscript{int} to C\textsubscript{3}-NH\textsubscript{int} or C\textsubscript{7}-NH\textsubscript{int} proton was observed in the NOESY spectra even with a long mixing time (Figure 3A). Assuming that three consecutive base pairs of C\textsubscript{6}:O\textsubscript{6}:C\textsubscript{7}/G\textsubscript{16}\textsubscript{t}/G\textsubscript{17} take a Watson–Crick geometry in regular B- or A-type DNA conformation, the NOE from C\textsubscript{17}-NH\textsubscript{int} to C\textsubscript{7}-NH\textsubscript{int} should be observable: in the regular B-type DNA, the distance from C\textsubscript{17}-NH\textsubscript{int} to C\textsubscript{7}-NH\textsubscript{int} is 2.9 Å, and that from C\textsubscript{17}-NH\textsubscript{int} to C\textsubscript{7}-NH\textsubscript{int} is >5 Å. On the other hand, in the regular A-type DNA conformation, the distances from C\textsubscript{17}-NH\textsubscript{int} to C\textsubscript{5}-NH\textsubscript{int} and to C\textsubscript{7}-NH\textsubscript{int} are comparable (3.5 Å). Judging from no NOE cross-peak between C\textsubscript{17}-NH\textsubscript{int} and C\textsubscript{4}-NH\textsubscript{int}, the base pair of O\textsubscript{6}:C\textsubscript{17} does not take a typical Watson–Crick geometry in the regular B-type DNA. Shortly afterward it will be discussed in detail on the basis of the determined structures.

**Characterization of the mismatch pair of O:T duplex**

There are two possibilities in the geometry of the O:T mismatch pair: a Watson–Crick geometry like G:C and a wobble geometry like G:T. In order to determine the geometry, NOE cross-peaks related to the imino proton of T\textsubscript{17} (T\textsubscript{17}-NH) were analyzed by NOESY spectra and compared between the O:T and G:T duplexes. The T\textsubscript{17}-NH proton resonance of the O:T duplex was as sharp as that of the G:T duplex at 5°C, and resonated at 9.2 ppm. As compared to the chemical shift value of 11.62 ppm of the G:T duplex, the upfield shift by >2 ppm indicates that the T\textsubscript{17}-NH proton of the O:T duplex is not involved in the hydrogen bond of the wobble geometry like G:T.
However, in terms of the NOE cross-peaks and their intensities involved in T17-NH, the NOE pattern of the O:T duplex was almost identical to that of the G:T duplex, although those NOEs contain some spin diffusion effects because of the long mixing time (200 ms). In particular, the NOE intensity between O6-NH2 and T17-NH of the O:T duplex was almost equal to that between G6-NH2 and T17-NH of the O:T duplex. Both the NOEs were much weaker than that between G6-NH2 and G6-NH of the G:T duplex. These NOEs indicate that, in the O:T mismatch pair, O6-NH2 and T17-NH protons are not adjoining each other, denying the Watson–Crick geometry. The NOE cross-peaks from T17-NH to G16-NH, G18-NH and to C5-NHint of the O:T and G:T duplexes (Figure 3B and C) exhibited the almost identical pattern with some spin diffusion effects. The conclusion that the O:T duplex takes an intermediate structure between the G:C and G:T duplexes is supported by following observation of the line width of the O6-NH2. The O6-NH2 resonance of the O:T duplex was much sharper than that of the O:C duplex, and was almost identical to that of the G:T duplex. As mentioned before, the line width of the G-NH2 proton resonance involved in the hydrogen bond of the Watson–Crick pair is broad, because of its rotation at or near intermediate exchange on the NMR time scale. The G-NH2 resonance of the G:T mismatch pair is known to be sharp, meaning the fast rotation of the amino group, because the G:T mismatch pair usually has two hydrogen bonds (N–H⋯O=C) in the wobble base pair, where the G-NH2 is not involved in the hydrogen bonds (6). Therefore, the sharpness of the O6-NH2 of the O:T duplex also suggests that the O6-NH2 protons are not involved in the strong hydrogen bond of the Watson–Crick pairing. Consequently, the O:T mismatch likely takes an intermediate base pairing between G:T (wobble) and G:C (Watson–Crick), and a hydrogen bond may exist between O6-NH2 and T17 O2 atom. The chemical shift deviations of the mismatch DNAs from the G:C duplex (Figure 5) agree with the intermediate structure of the O:T duplex.

Structure calculation and helical parameters

The investigation on the helical parameters provides us with the information about the local conformation of the O:C and O:T mismatches in detail. The rMD calculations were performed with the distance restraints derived from well-resolved NOE cross-peak intensities, and good convergence has been reached: The 17 final structures of the O:C duplex showed that the mismatch pairs (Figure 7). Most of the conformational parameters calculated by Curves 5.3 are interrelated as shown previously (30,31). Selected helical parameters (shear, opening and helical twist) are given in Figure 8, and the complete list is given as Supplementary Figure S3. The shear parameter at the O6:C17 pair reached a large positive value for the O:C duplex and a large negative value for the O:T duplex (Figure 8A), indicating that the bases in the base pair of O6:C17 and the O6:T17 moved oppositely. The helical twist showed symmetrical pattern at the base steps of 5 and 6 for the two duplexes (Figure 8C). The helical twists in the steps 5–6 and 6–7 were compensated each other. The common feature of the helical parameter of the two duplexes is large positive opening parameter (Figure 8B). The both base pairs of O6:C17 and the O6:T17 are opened toward major groove, as compared with typical Watson–Crick geometry.

The obtained structures of the O:T duplex showed that the distance between T17-NH and O6 O6 atom was 2.21 ± 0.13 Å (Figure 9), suggesting that a weak hydrogen bond exists between them. On the other hand, for the O:C duplex, the distance between C17-NHint and O6 O6 atoms was 3.39 ± 0.19 Å, indicating that there is no direct hydrogen bond between them. The obtained structures of the O:C duplex showed that the short distance (d < 3.0 Å) between C17-NHint and C5-NHint which did not show any NOE cross-peak (Figure 3A). It was reported for C:T mismatch pairs that exchangeable protons involved in the hydrogen bond via water

| Table 1. NMR constraints and structural statistics for the O:C and O:T duplexes |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                                 | O:C duplex      | O:T duplex      |
| NMR constraints                 |                 |                 |
| Distance constraints            | 231             | 225             |
| Intraresidue                    | 141             | 150             |
| Interresidue                    | 90              | 75              |
| Dihedral angles                 | 102             | 102             |
| Hydrogen bonds                  | 62              | 62              |
| Structural statistics for       |                 |                 |
| final structures (kcal/mol)     |                 |                 |
| $E_{\text{total}}$              | 297 ± 11        | 281 ± 13        |
| $E_{\text{bond}}$               | 36 ± 1          | 36 ± 1          |
| $E_{\text{angle}}$              | 370 ± 3         | 342 ± 2         |
| $E_{\text{improper}}$           | 60 ± 1          | 60 ± 1          |
| $E_{\text{vdw}}$                | −309 ± 3        | −311 ± 3        |
| $E_{\text{cyc}}$                | 17 ± 1          | 12 ± 1          |
| $E_{\text{NOE}}$                | 0 ± 0           | 0 ± 0           |
| RMSD from idealized geometry    |                 |                 |
| Bond length (Å)                 | 0.007 ± 0       | 0.007 ± 0       |
| Bond angle (°)                  | 1.34 ± 0.004    | 1.29 ± 0.003    |
| Improper (°)                    | 0.9 ± 0.003     | 0.9 ± 0.004     |
| Number of NOE violations        |                 |                 |
| d > 0.25 Å                     | 0               | 0               |
| 0.25 d > 0.15 Å                 | 7               | 4               |
| RMSD of violation (Å)           | 0.05 ± 0.001    | 0.04 ± 0.002    |
| Dihedral violation              |                 |                 |
| Number of violation (>5°)       | 0               | 0               |
| Pairwise RMSD for all heavy atoms (Å) | 0.81 ± 0.14 | 0.85 ± 0.10 |
molecule did not show any observable NOEs (36,37). Since the line width of C_{17}-NH$_{int}$ was broad and it disappeared with elevating the temperature as above mentioned, no NOE cross-peak between C_{17}-NH$_{int}$ and C$_{5'}$-NH$_{int}$ is probably caused by the rapid exchange of the amino protons with water. Taking account of the chemical shift values (6.43 and 9.21 ppm), its separation (2.78 ppm), the broad line width of the C_{17}-NH$_2$ protons, and rather high melting temperature of the O:C duplex, there may be a hydrogen bond from the C$_{17}$-NH$_{int}$ to O$_6$ atom ($d = 3.4\,\text{Å}$) via water molecule (Figure 9). Since such a water molecule involved in the hydrogen bond is known to make the exchangeable NH proton, such as imino and amino proton, exchange faster with water (36–38), the proximity of C$_{17}$ and C$_{5'}$-NH$_{int}$ to the water molecule hydrogen bonded to the O$_6$, O$_6$ atom may contribute to diminishing the NOE cross-peak.

The angle formed by the purine N9 or pyrimidine N1 and C1'-C1' intrabase pair vector defines $\lambda$. Each base pair may be characterized by two $\lambda$ values, relating to each strand of the duplex (5,8). The values of $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2$ (the subscript 1 corresponds to residues 1–11 and 2 designates residues 12–22) were determined for the O:C and O:T duplexes. For all the base pairs except the O:C and O:T mismatch pairs, a high degree of symmetry was observed in the $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2$ values in the range of 51–57°. However, the O:C mismatch pair showed asymmetry of the $\lambda_1$ (67.4° ± 1.1°) and $\lambda_2$ (55.4° ± 1.6°) values, and for the O:T mismatch pair, the values of $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2$ were 50.0 ± 1.0° and 68.8 ± 0.6°, respectively. In B-DNA containing a G:T mismatched base pair, the values of $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2$ were reported to be ~40° and 70°, respectively (5,8). The $\lambda_2$ value of the O:T mismatch pair is as large as that of the reported G:T wobble pair, although its $\lambda_1$ value is in

Figure 6. Superposition of overlapped final structures of (A) O:C duplex and (B) O:T duplex. View of the major groove of the superposition of the heavy atoms of the duplexes. The O$_6$ position is indicated by an arrow.
the range expected for the Watson–Crick pair. On the other hand, the $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2$ values of the O:C duplex are reversed; the large $\lambda_1$ like $\lambda_2$ of G:T, and the moderate $\lambda_2$ in the range expected for the Watson–Crick pair.

**DISCUSSION**

We have presented that the DNA duplexes containing one dOxa residue take two kinds of wobble geometries, depending on the opposite bases (Figure 9). The two wobble geometries are characterized by the mutual position of the Oxa moiety to the pyrimidine base (C or T) in the counter strand. The O:C duplex exhibits that the Oxa moiety shifted toward the major groove and the paired cytosine of C$_{17}$ shifted toward the minor groove, where the O$_6$-NH$_2$ is hydrogen bonded to the C$_{17}$-N3 atom. On the other hand, the O:T duplex exhibits that the Oxa moiety shifted toward the minor groove and the paired T$_{17}$ shifted toward the major groove, where the internal proton of O$_6$-NH$_2$ is partially hydrogen bonded.
to the T$_{17}$-O2 atom. The obtained geometries of the O:C and O:T mismatches fairly coincide with those derived from theoretical MD calculations of the duplex DNA with O:C and O:T pairs (14). The relative position and the direction of the displacement of the pyrimidine:purine pair in the present study agreed with those in the theoretical calculation. In terms of the helical parameters, the most prominent change exists in the value of shear parameter. The shear value is around zero in Watson–Crick geometry, where imino protons of G and T are hydrogen bonded to C N3 and A N1 atoms, respectively. The shear values of the O:C and O:T duplexes were positive and negative, respectively, so hereafter, we call the wobble geometry with the positive shear value, ‘positive-wobble’ geometry, and that with the negative shear, ‘negative-wobble’ geometry. The negative-wobble geometries have been reported for many mismatch pairs, such as G:T and A:C (or A$:C$). In particular, the G:T mismatch has been
studied extensively and shown to take the negative-wobble geometry (7,39). Previous studies of A:C mismatch pair in DNA suggested that the A:C mismatch also existed in the negative-wobble geometry, in which the exocyclic amino group of mispaired Ade hydrogen bonded with Cyt N3 imino nitrogen (1–4). In the negative-wobble geometries of the G:T and C:A mismatch pairs, the purine base projects in the minor groove and pyrimidine into the major groove. The characteristics of the negative-wobble geometry are a rather short distance between the C1’ protons of the mismatch pair and a large difference between the bond angles (\(\lambda_1\) and \(\lambda_2\)) of the pyrimidine and purine residues (8). NMR spectra of the negative-wobble geometry of typical G:T in the B-DNA duplex are characterized by two hydrogen bonds where the G-NH resonates at 10–11 ppm and T-NH at 11–12 ppm (5,32,33). In the case of partially distorted G:T mismatch where the G-NH and G-NH2 are hydrogen bonded to T O2 atom, the T-NH proton resonance was shifted to the upfield in the range of 9–10 ppm (40). According to the chemical shift value (9.2 ppm) of the T17-NH proton of the O:T duplex, the O:T mismatch pair takes an intermediate geometry between the negative-wobble and Watson–Crick geometries.

Recently, non-hydrogen bonding guanine–difluorotoluene (G:F) pair was reported to take also an intermediate geometry between the negative-wobble of G:T and the Watson–Crick geometries (41). The G:F mismatch pair stacks relatively well into the helix without any hydrogen bond between the mismatch pair, suggesting that the intermediate geometry is likely stabilized by the base stacking.

On the other hand, the O:C mismatch pair takes the positive-wobble geometry differing in the mutual geometry of the purine:pyrimidine pair from the G:T and A:C mismatch pairs. Not many positive-wobble geometries of mismatch DNA have been reported, and it is remarkable that in the AP:C mismatch in DNA duplex, the purine 2-amino group is hydrogen bonded to the pyrimidine N3 (34,35). In the positive-wobble geometry of the O:C mismatch, the O6-NH2 group is hydrogen bonded to the C17 N3 atom. Addition to the chemical shift values and the relatively rapid exchange of the C17-NH_int protons of the O:C duplex, its unusual NOE pattern around the C17-NH_int proton indicate that the O:C mismatch pair takes the positive-wobble geometry but not Watson–Crick geometry, and that the expected hydrogen bond between O6 O1 and C17-NH_int should be weak or could not be formed. It is possibly because the O1 atom of Oxa has an sp\(^3\) hybrid orbital and the lone pairs of electrons exist out of the plane. Detailed NOE analyses revealed that there are some differences in the positive-wobble geometries between the O:C and AP:C duplexes.

In the AP:C wobble pair of C4AP:C6/G12C14G15, the C14-NH_int proton was hydrogen bonded to the AP3 N1 atom, and showed NOE cross-peaks with the C4-NH2 protons (34). As mentioned in the ‘Results’ section, the NOE pattern in the region of C9O,C7/G1C17G18 was different from that of the typical B-DNA and the base pair dynamics of the O:C was also changed. The O:C mismatch pair takes an intermediate geometry between positive-wobble (AP:C) and Watson–Crick (G:C) geometries, and the C17-NH_int proton is likely hydrogen bonded to O6 O6 atom of oxanine moiety via water molecule. As U:C mismatch pair which form only a single base–base hydrogen bond are stabilized by a water molecule, which bridges between the ring nitrogens (42), the hydrogen bond via the water molecule probably contributes to the high thermodynamic stability of the O:C duplex. The mismatch pair of the O:C duplex should be more locally fluctuated, as compared with that of the AP:C duplex. Consequently, the difference between the two mismatch duplexes is not only the geometry but the base pair dynamics. It was reported for the AP:C mismatch pair that protonation of the mismatched AP N1 or C N3 positions allowed formation of a second hydrogen bond and stabilization of the AP:C pair, and that the stability of the AP:C mismatch was dependent upon pH (34,35). The protonation of C17 in the O:C mismatch was not observed, possibly because the hydrogen bond of protonated cytosine to the oxanine O1 does not stabilize the duplex structure due to the sp\(^3\) hybrid orbital of the O1 atom.

G:T mismatch pair is among the most commonly observed mismatches in genomic DNA (43). It might be expected that the more stable the mismatch, the less efficient is its repair. However, the stable G:T mismatch is among the most efficiently repaired mismatches in DNA, which may suggest that its repair is based on recognition of structure (44–46). While thermodynamics may play a major role in the frequency of the occurrences of different mismatches, it is more likely that the enzymatic recognition and repair of mismatches are influenced by the geometry and 3D structure of the mismatch (7,8,47,48). Repair enzymes that recognize and excise G:T mismatches may recognize subtle backbone perturbations such as in the torsion angle perturbations or the base pair parameters (5). It has been proposed that mismatch repair enzymes may directly recognize the base pair parameters \(\lambda_1\) and \(\lambda_2\), which are approximately the same in canonical G:C and A:T pairs but are highly asymmetric for G:T mismatches (\(\lambda_1 = 40^\circ\) and \(\lambda_2 = 70^\circ\)) (5,8). In the present study, the positive-wobble geometry of the O:C mismatch showed the large \(\lambda_1\) value (67.4°) of the O6 purine base, indicating that the O:C wobble geometry is reversely asymmetric, as compared with the G:T mismatch. The negative-wobble geometry of the O:T mismatch showed the large \(\lambda_2\) value (68.8°) of the T17 pyrimidine base, but the moderate \(\lambda_1\) value (50.0°) of the O6 base. Similar tendency of the \(\lambda\) values was reported for the non-hydrogen bonding G:F pair (\(\lambda_1 = 53.5^\circ\) and \(\lambda_2 = 70.0^\circ\)) (41), indicating that the Oxa mismatch pairs with no hydrogen bond to the O1 position of the Oxa base can be wobbled widely, and may occupy some positions in the double strands that optimize the stacking with adjacent bases. The asymmetric patterns of the \(\lambda_1\) and \(\lambda_2\) values of the O:C and O:T duplexes may be one of the reasons for not recognized by the G:T mismatch Repairing enzyme.

The determined structures exhibit that the wobble geometries of the O:C and O:T mismatches make some influence on only neighboring base pairs in the helical parameters, such as helical twist. Aside from the lesion site, the duplex structure, including the flanking base pair, are not highly perturbed by the presence of the
lesion. In the previous studies of the mismatches of G:T, G:A and A:C, the perturbations caused by the mismatches also extend only to its neighboring Watson–Crick base pair, thus providing a structural basis for the applicability of the nearest-neighbor model to the thermodynamics of internal mismatches (5,49,50). The flanking wobble base pairs of O:C and O:T seem quite stable in terms of the thermodynamic property, and do not perturb the cooperative feature of the duplex stability, so that the nearest-neighbor model could also be applicable to the mismatch containing Oxa. The large increases in the exchange rate of the exchangeable protons in the mismatch pairs with water indicate that the local base pair fluctuations exist in the mismatches of the O:C and O:T, and the fluctuations may be as large as those at the terminus of the duplex. Previous theoretical calculation (14) indicates that the G:C → O:C substitution reduces the stability of the duplex, but probably less than would be expected from the existence of repulsive interactions in the O:C dimer between oxanine O1 and cytosine N3 atoms, and that the G:C → O:C mutation was expected to increase the flexibility of the hydrogen bonded dimer, which fluctuates between binding modes. Oxa forms relatively stable base pairing with C compared with other matches with T, G and A. The O:C mismatch would maintain thermodynamically stable interaction without severe influence on the local structure of DNA duplex, but from a dynamic point of view, the mismatch pair has been largely changed by the substitution of Oxa with G. Similar local fluctuations in the base pairing were reported for DNA duplex containing 6-thioguanine (51,52). They pointed that the fast exchange of the imino proton with water may be caused by a faster apparent opening rate. The base pairing geometry of Oxa to C or T could largely change between the positive- and negative-wobble geometries, and the formed mismatched base pairs themselves are fluctuating. In the case of the base excision repair (BER) system, bacterial AlkA (3-methyladenine DNA glycosylase II) and endonuclease VIII was found to possess repair activities on Oxa in oligodeoxynucleotides (53). Cao and co-workers (15,54) also reported that bacterial endonuclease V and human AAG alkyladenine glycosylase shows BER activity on Oxa. The uniqueness of the Oxa base paring may be one of the reasons for the difficulties in finding the specific repairing enzyme for Oxa. In addition, Oxa mediates a novel genotoxic mechanism related to the formation of DNA–protein cross-link (DPC) (55). It was demonstrated that in the case of Oxa-related genotoxicity (Oxa-mediated DPC formation and its relevant events), the nucleotide excision and recombination repair system would play a more efficient role than BER system (56). The structures solved in this work indicate that the presence of the O:C or O:T mismatches causes the localized distortion and fluctuation of the DNA duplexes. The fluctuation of the O:C or O:T base pair may possibly cause the reaction of DPC, leading to the cytotoxicity. The static structure and local fluctuation of the O:C and O:T base pair would be related to the recognition and repair of Oxa mismatch sites in DNA.
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