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**Abstract**

Hypertension is a common comorbidity in COVID-19 patients. However, the association of hypertension with the severity and fatality of COVID-19 remain unclear. In the present meta-analysis, relevant studies reported the impacts of hypertension on SARS-CoV-2 infection were identified by searching PubMed, Elsevier Science Direct, Web of Science, Wiley Online Library, Embase and CNKI up to 20 March 2020. As the results shown, 12 publications with 2389 COVID-19 patients (674 severe cases) were included for the analysis of disease severity. The severity rate of COVID-19 in hypertensive patients was much higher than in non-hypertensive cases (37.58% vs 19.73%, pooled OR: 2.27, 95% CI: 1.80–2.86). Moreover, the pooled ORs of COVID-19 severity for hypertension vs. non-hypertension was 2.21 (95% CI: 1.58–3.10) and 2.32 (95% CI: 1.70–3.17) in age <50 years and ≥50 years patients, respectively. Additionally, six studies with 151 deaths of 2116 COVID-19 cases were included for the analysis of disease fatality. The results showed that hypertensive patients carried a nearly 3.48-fold higher risk of dying from COVID-19 (95% CI: 1.72–7.08). Meanwhile, the pooled ORs of COVID-19 fatality for hypertension vs. non-hypertension was 6.43 (95% CI: 3.40–12.17) and 2.66 (95% CI: 1.27–5.57) in age <50 years and ≥50 years patients, respectively. Neither considerable heterogeneity nor publication bias was observed in the present analysis. Therefore, our present results provided further evidence that hypertension could significantly increase the risks of severity and fatality of SARS-CoV-2 infection.

---

**Introduction**

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), a newly emerging acute respiratory disease, is caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) and mainly transmitted via respiratory droplets [1]. Compared with SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-2 is well capable of sustained community transmission, with each case estimated to infect another 2–3 uninfected persons on average [2]. As of 3 April 2020, approximate one million COVID-19 cases and 40 000 deaths have been reported in more than 200 countries/regions around the world [3]. Therefore, it is urgently needed to identify the risk factors associated with the severity and fatality of SARS-CoV-2 infection.

Recently, several clinical studies have noticed that hypertension was one of the most common comorbidities in patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection [4–6]. For example, in one cohort of 191 patients from Wuhan, China, Zhou et al. reported that about half of the patients had different comorbidities, with hypertension being the most common (30%) [7]. In a cohort of 138 hospitalised patients with COVID-19, Wang et al. observed that hypertension (31.2%), diabetes (10.1%), cardiovascular disease (14.5%) and malignancy (17.2%) were the most common coexisting medical conditions [8]. In addition, an analysis of an outpatient and inpatient cohort of 1099 patients with COVID-19 showed that 15% of the patients had a history of hypertension [9]. However, published articles with different study designs and populations usually yield different estimates and effect sizes. Therefore, a comprehensive and systematic analysis is necessary because of this variability.

As one of the most important public health problems in the 21st century, hypertension affects more than one billion individuals worldwide [10]. However, the influence of hypertension on SARS-CoV-2 infection remains unclear. Therefore, we conducted the present meta-analysis to evaluate the associations of hypertension with the severity and fatality of SARS-CoV-2 infection. This assessment might be helpful to further understand the characteristics of SARS-CoV-2 infection in hypertensive patients.
Methods

Search strategy and selection criteria

This meta-analysis was conducted in accordance with the ‘Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA)’ statement [11]. Articles published up to 20 March 2020 in PubMed, Elsevier Science Direct, Web of Science, Wiley Online Library and CNKI were considered for this review. We searched the medical subject heading (MeSH) terms of ‘2019-nCoV’, ‘NCIP’, ‘COVID-19’ or ‘SARS-CoV-2’ and ‘hypertension’. Additionally, we performed manual searches on the references of selected articles to avoid omission.

To be included in this meta-analysis, the study had to: (1) COVID-19 cases: diagnosed according to the ‘New Coronavirus Infected Pneumonia Diagnosis and Treatment Program (5th version)’ [12]. All of the included COVID-19 cases should be laboratory confirmed hospitalised patients on the basis of positive qRT-PCR results for SARS-CoV-2 in swab samples; (2) clinical features: definite disease severity or fatality according to the ‘New Coronavirus Infected Pneumonia Diagnosis and Treatment Program (5th version)’ [12]; (3) parameters: clear information about hypertension; (4) study population: at least included 10 cases. Case reports, reviews, meta-analysis articles, letters, comments, editorials, expert opinions, studies without adequate information, and the articles published in languages other than English and Chinese were excluded. The detail retrieval process was shown in Figure 1.

Definitions

The types of COVID-19 severity was determined according to the ‘New Coronavirus Infected Pneumonia Diagnosis and Treatment Program (5th version)’ [12]. Briefly, the criteria for disease severity determination were as follows: (1) Mild cases: the clinical symptoms were mild, and there was no sign of pneumonia on imaging; (2) Moderate cases: only showing fever and respiratory symptoms with radiological findings of pneumonia; (3) Severe cases (for adults): ① Respiratory distress $\geq$ 30 breaths/min; ② Oxygen saturation $\leq$ 93% at rest; ③ Arterial partial pressure of oxygen (PaO$_2$)/fraction of inspired oxygen...
In this study, hypertension was defined as systolic blood pressure greater than 140 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure greater than 90 mmHg, or use of antihypertensive medications, or a self-reported history of hypertension [13].

**Data extraction**

Titles, abstracts and full texts were screened independently by two reviewers in the first step (Jingqi Zhang and Jing Wu). Discrepancies in data interpretation were resolved by discussion with a third author (Chen Dong) when necessary. Data extracted from the selected studies included the first author’s name, publication date, average age, type of study design and severity of COVID-19 in hypertensive and non-hypertensive patients. All reviewers performed a quality control check between the final data used in the meta-analysis and the original publications to prevent extraction errors.

**Quality assessment**

The quality of each included article was assessed using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) based on the following dimensions [14]: COVID-19 cases selection, comparability and outcomes of disease severity or fatality. The cases selection contained four items: (1) the representativeness of the cases; (2) the appropriate determination of severe cases; (3) the appropriate determination of non-severe cases; (4) the appropriate determination of hypertensive cases; and (5) the representativeness of the comparison group. Comparability contained one item: which was to consider the comparability between patients with and without hypertension when designing and statistical analysis. The lowest quality of the literature was 0 and the highest quality was 9 stars. Assessment scores of 0–3 points, 4–6 points and 7–9 points were considered as poor, fair and good studies, respectively. Simultaneously, the quality of each included study was further evaluated using the STROBE (2007 version) checklist in this study.

**Statistical analysis**

In this study, the mild/moderate and severe/critical COVID-19 cases were classified into non-severe and severe groups, respectively. Forest plots were used to illustrate the severity and fatality of SARS-CoV-2 infection in hypertensive and non-hypertensive patients, which were described by pooled odds ratio (pooled OR, 95% confidence intervals (CI)). The magnitude of heterogeneity between-study was tested using $I^2$ statistics. $I^2$ values of 25%, 50% and 75% represented low, moderate and high degrees of heterogeneity, respectively. If there was no evidence of between-studies heterogeneity ($I^2 \leq 50\%$), a fixed-effects model was used to calculate the combined OR. Otherwise, a random-effects model was selected [15]. Sensitivity analysis was performed by excluding studies successively. Furthermore, subgroup analysis was performed according to age (<50 or ≥50 years). In this study, the possibility of publication bias was evaluated by using the visual inspection of a funnel plot and Egger’s test. A $P$ value of Egger’s test <0.10 was considered as an indication of potential publication bias. All analysis was performed using the Package ‘meta’ in R software (Version 3.6.3), and significance was defined as $P < 0.05$ with the use of a two-sided test unless otherwise specified.

**Results**

**Study selection**

A total of 929 articles were obtained by the electronic and manual search. Of these, duplicated articles were excluded at the first stage ($n = 314$). Then, 175 studies were removed after screening titles and abstracts. In addition, 17 studies were excluded after thoroughly reviewing the full texts due to the following reasons: studies without available data ($n = 6$), patients were not classified into severe (severe/critical) and non-severe (mild/moderate) groups ($n = 6$), and case reports or other study design ($n = 5$). Finally, 18 studies recorded with 4505 patients were included in this analysis. Figure 1 summarised the flow diagram of the studies selection.

**Study characteristics and methodological quality**

As the results shown in Table S1, all included patients were from China, including Hubei [7, 8, 16–23], Jiangxi [24], Beijing [25], Henan [26], Chongqing [27, 28], Anhui [29] and China CDC [5, 9]. Among of them, 12 studies analysed the severity of COVID-19 in hypertensive patients [8, 9, 16–20, 24–28]. Significant associations between hypertension and COVID-19 severity were reported in five studies [8, 9, 18, 19, 24]. Additionally, among studies analysed the fatality of SARS-CoV-2 infection in hypertensive patients [5, 7, 21–23, 29], three studies reported that hypertensive patients carried higher risk of COVID-19 fatality [5, 7, 22].

All included studies were retrospective studies. As the results shown in Table S1, the methodological qualities of the included studies were similar. Nine studies had a moderate risk of participants comparability and confounding variables [8, 9, 16–19, 22, 23, 25]. Six, seven and five studies had 6, 7 and 8 points of assessment score in this analysis, respectively. In addition, no significant difference was observed between the results obtained from NOS and STROBE analysis (Table S2).

**Associations between hypertension and COVID-19 severity**

Twelve studies with 2389 COVID-19 patients (674 severe cases) were included for the disease severity analysis. As shown in Table 1 and Figure 2a, the severity rate of SARS-CoV-2 infection in hypertensive patients was much higher than in non-hypertensive cases (37.58% vs 19.73%, pooled OR: 2.27, 95% CI: 1.80–2.86). There was no considerable heterogeneity observed between study ($I^2 = 8\%$, $P$-heterogeneity = 0.36). When the individual study was excluded from the analysis one-at-a-time, the pooled ORs ranged from 2.11 (95% CI: 1.66–2.69) when the study by Wang DW et al. [8] was excluded to 2.53 (95% CI: 1.94–3.31) when the study by Cheng KB et al. [18] was included (Fig. 2b). There was neither evidence of publication bias with Egger’s test ($P = 0.13$), nor asymmetry indicated by the funnel plot (Fig. S1a).

As severity of illness was significantly correlated to age in COVID-19 cases, we performed a subgroup analysis to evaluate the effects of hypertension on the COVID-19 severity in different age groups. As the results shown, the pooled ORs of COVID-19 severity for hypertensive patients vs. non-hypertensive cases was 2.21 (95% CI: 1.58–3.10) and 2.32 (95% CI: 1.70–3.17) in age <50 years and ≥50 years patients, respectively (Fig. 3). No considerable heterogeneity was detected between studies within each group.
Associations between hypertension and fatality of COVID-19

Six studies with 151 deaths among 2116 COVID-19 patients were included in this meta-analysis. As shown in Table 2 and Figure 4a, the fatality rate of SARS-CoV-2 infection in hypertensive patients was 17.72%, which was much higher than in non-hypertensive cases (4.22%). Pooled analysis showed that hypertensive patients carried a nearly 3.48-fold higher risk of dying from COVID-19 (95% CI: 1.72–7.08), with a moderate heterogeneity between-study ($I^2 = 56\%$, $P$-heterogeneity = 0.04). Sensitivity analysis showed that the exclusion of any single study one-at-a-time did not alter the direction or statistical difference (Fig. 4b).

Similarly, Egger’s test did not suggest the existence of potential publication bias ($P = 0.67$) (Fig. S1b).

Similarly, stratified analysis results further suggested the pooled ORs of COVID-19 mortality for hypertensive patients vs. non-hypertensive cases were 6.43 (95% CI: 3.40–12.17) and 2.66 (95% CI: 1.27–5.57) in age <50 years and ≥50 years patients, respectively (Fig. 5). No significant heterogeneity was presented between studies within each subgroup.

Discussion

In this study, we reported that the overall rates of severity and fatality were 28.21% and 7.14% in the COVID-19 patients from the included literatures, respectively. Moreover, hypertensive patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection were shown to have 2.27- and 3.48-fold higher risks of severity and fatality compared to the COVID-19 cases without hypertension, respectively. Sensitivity analysis with exclusion of studies one by one did not change the results materially, suggesting that each included study might not have a particularly strong influence on the results. Indeed, the chronic conditions influencing the severity of SARS-CoV-2 such as hypertension, diabetes and obesity have also been addressed in other respiratory illnesses such as MERS, influenza and etc. For example, Alqahtani et al. reported that hypertension and diabetes mellitus were prevalent in about 50% of cases of MERS. Moreover, hypertension showed significant association with fatality from MERS-CoV infection (OR: 3.7, 95% CI: 2.02–6.9) [30]. In addition, compared to subjects without hypertension, severe pandemic influenza occurred two- to three-fold higher in those with hypertension [29].

Table 1. Characteristics of COVID-19 severity in the hypertension and non-hypertension groups in identified studies

| Author                    | Age (Range) | Gender Male (%) | Hypertension (n) | Non-hypertension (n) | Non-severe | Severe | Non-severe | Severe |
|---------------------------|-------------|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|------------|--------|------------|--------|
| Xiang TX et al.           | 42.9 (18.0–78.0) | 33 (67.3%)       | 6                | 43                  | 2 (33.33)  | 4 (66.67)| 38 (88.37) | 5 (11.63)|
| Chen G et al.             | 56.3 (42.0–70.6) | 17 (81.0%)       | 5                | 16                  | 1 (20.00)  | 4 (80.00)| 9 (56.25)  | 7 (43.75)|
| Wan Q et al.              | 46.0 (10.0–74.0) | 77 (50.3%)       | 20               | 133                 | 15 (75.00) | 5 (25.00)| 117 (87.97)| 16 (12.03)|
| Wang DW et al.            | 56.0 (42.0–68.0) | 75 (54.3%)       | 43               | 95                  | 22 (51.16) | 21 (48.84)| 80 (84.21) | 15 (15.79)|
| Zhang JJ et al.           | 57.0 (25.0–87.0) | 71 (50.7%)       | 42               | 98                  | 20 (47.62) | 22 (52.38)| 62 (63.27) | 36 (36.73)|
| Liu L et al.              | 45.0 (34.0–51.0) | 32 (62.7%)       | 4                | 47                  | 3 (75.00)  | 1 (25.00)| 41 (87.23) | 6 (12.77)|
| Guan WJ et al.            | 47.0 (35.0–58.0) | 637 (58.1%)      | 165              | 934                 | 124 (75.15)| 41 (24.85)| 802 (85.87)| 132 (14.13)|
| Huang C et al.            | 49.0 (41.0–58.0) | 30 (73.0%)       | 6                | 35                  | 6 (66.67)  | 2 (33.33)| 24 (68.57) | 11 (31.43)|
| Liu JY et.al              | 40.0 (10.0–86.0) | 31 (50.8%)       | 12               | 49                  | 6 (50.00)  | 6 (50.00)| 38 (77.55) | 11 (22.45)|
| Xu M et al.               | 46.0 (40.5–52.0) | 15 (65.2%)       | 4                | 19                  | 3 (75.00)  | 1 (25.00)| 16 (84.21) | 3 (15.79)|
| Cheng KB et al.           | 51.0 (43.0–60.0) | 244 (52.7%)      | 107              | 356                 | 54 (50.47) | 53 (49.53)| 228 (64.04) | 128 (35.96)|
| Chen C et al.             | 59.0 (43.0–75.0) | 84 (56.0%)       | 49               | 101                 | 35 (71.43) | 14 (28.57)| 91 (90.10) | 10 (9.90)|

Fig. 2. Meta-analysis of the associations between hypertension and COVID-19 severity. (a) Forest plot of the COVID-19 severity for comparison between hypertensive and non-hypertensive patients; (b) Sensitivity analysis of the COVID-19 severity for comparison between hypertensive and non-hypertensive patients after excluding any single study one-at-a-time.
significantly more often in those who had hypertension (OR: 1.49, 95% CI: 1.10–2.01) [31].

Currently, the exact mechanism of hypertension involvement in severe COVID-19 remains unclear. One potential explanation is the direct injury mediated via angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2). Similar to SARS-CoV, a Chinese study recently indicated that SARS-CoV-2 infection was caused by binding of the viral surface spike protein to ACE2 receptor following activation of the spike protein [32]. ACE2 is a monocarboxy peptidase best known for cleaving several peptides within the renin–angiotensin system [33]. Since its discovery in 2000, ACE2 has been considered as a protective factor against increases in blood

---

**Figure 3.** Subgroup analysis of the associations between hypertension and risk of COVID-19 severity (age <50 years and age ≥50 years).

**Figure 4.** Meta-analysis of the associations between hypertension and COVID-19 fatality. (a) Forest plot of the COVID-19 fatality for comparison between hypertensive and non-hypertensive patients; (b) Sensitivity analysis of the COVID-19 fatality for comparison between hypertensive and non-hypertensive patients after excluding any single study one-at-a-time.

---

**Table 2.** Characteristics of fatality of COVID-19 in the hypertension and non-hypertension groups in identified studies

| Author      | Age (Mean, Range) | Male (%) | Hypertension (n) | Non-Hypertension (n) | Hypertension/COVID-19 n (%) | Non-hypertension/COVID-19 n (%) |
|-------------|-------------------|----------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Guan WJ et al. | 48.9 (32.6–65.2)  | 904 (57.3%) | 269              | 1321                 | 28 (10.41)                  | 241 (89.59)                     |
| Zhou F et al.  | 56.0 (46.0–67.0)  | 119 (62.0%) | 58               | 133                  | 26 (44.83)                  | 32 (55.17)                     |
| Yuan ML et al. | 60.0 (47.0–69.0)  | 12 (45.0%)  | 5                | 22                   | 5 (100.00)                  | 0 (0)                           |
| Fu L et al.    | 59.6 (50.2–68.1)  | 99 (49.3%)  | 101              | 99                   | 22 (21.78)                  | 79 (78.22)                     |
| Chen L et al.  | 56.0 (26.0–79.0)  | 21 (72.0%)  | 8                | 21                   | 0 (0)                       | 8 (100.00)                     |
| Fang XW et al. | 45.1 (28.5–61.7)  | 45 (56.9%)  | 16               | 63                   | 0 (0)                       | 16 (100.00)                    |

---
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pressure. Therefore, it is rational to hypothesise that the binding of SARS-CoV-2 to ACE2 can reduce the physiological function of ACE2, and then lead to acute adverse outcomes of hypertension such as multi-organ dysfunction [34]. Besides, several studies have indicated that ACE2 played a critical role in acute lung disease, especially acute respiratory distress syndrome [35–37]. In addition to the heart and lung, ACE2 could be expressed in the intestinal epithelium, vascular endothelium, brain, liver and kidneys [38], providing a potential mechanism for the severity symptoms and multi-organ dysfunction that can be seen with severe SARS-CoV-2 infection.

The epidemiological data of SARS-CoV-2 infection suggested that severe COVID-19 cases were more likely to be older patients with underlying comorbidities (such as diabetes mellitus and hypertension) [5, 7, 9], indicating that age was an important risk factor of severity and fatality of COVID-19. For example, the China CDC recently reported that patients aged ≥80 years had the highest case fatality rate, 14.8%, among different age groups [39]. Herein, we observed that hypertension was significantly associated with the severity and fatality in SARS-CoV-2 infection in both age <50 years and ≥50 years groups, suggesting that hypertension could independently increase the risk of disease severity and predict poor outcomes of SARS-CoV-2 infection. Although our present results implied that patients aged <50 years had more fatalities of COVID-19 compared to those patients ≥50 years, we could not conclude that younger patients are more likely to die of hypertension than older patients because of the paucity of related data. Indeed, further subgroup analysis did not show a significant difference in COVID-19 fatality between patients with and without hypertension in the group consisted of studies with small sample size (references 21, 23 and 29) (pooled OR: 2.82, 95% CI: 0.20–39.15) (Fig S3). However, these results should be carefully interpreted because of the wide confidence interval. Therefore, more studies are needed to characterize the impacts of hypertension on the COVID-19 severity and fatality in future.

Our study has several limitations. Firstly, publication bias is inevitable in any meta-analysis, and some relevant articles might be missed as we only included English- and Chinese-written studies. Secondly, we could not address the potential impact of anti-hypertension medicines although most hypertensive cases might be treated. Thus, it would underestimate the true difference in severity and fatality of SARS-CoV-2 infection between patients with and without hypertension. Thirdly, the estimate found in this study was derived from hospitalised cases. This might introduce a bias in disease severity and fatality. In addition, the overlap of cases could not be completely avoided because the patients in references 5 and 9 were collected from the China CDC, which did not provide the exact hospital information. For this reason, the pooled estimate would never represent the actual pooled outcome data. However, remove references 5 and 9 from our analysis, which had the largest sample size, did not alter the direction or statistical difference of the present results. Fourthly, the sample size included in this meta-analysis was relatively small, thus it would introduce bias in the results. Last, due to most of the included studies were retrospective studies, there may be a bias regarding collecting medical information in a retrospective manner. Therefore, caution should be considered to interpret findings.

In summary, the current results provided further evidence that hypertension could significantly increase the risks of severity and fatality of SARS-CoV-2 infection. Therefore, it is necessary to establish awareness programmes, implement effective preventive policies to improve the outcomes of SARS-CoV-2 infection in persons with hypertension, which should be helpful for the reduction in the global burden of the disease.
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