Multivariate analysis of 102 Indian cowpea (\textit{Vigna unguiculata} (L.) Walp.) germplasm
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\begin{abstract}
Better understanding of genetic resources available in the crop is the crucial and foremost step in any breeding program. In the present study, 102 cowpea germplasm based on twelve quantitative characters were subjected to Principal Component Analysis (PCA). The PCA analysis dissected the total variation into five major principal components which accounted for 76.53 per cent of total variation. First two PC’s were used to construct the biplot in which the genotypes viz., VCP-12-008, PG-CP-1, TY 1145, ACM 0505 are scattered apart in all the four quadrates representing maximum genetic divergence. Single plant yield followed by number of clusters per plant, number of pods per plant and number of seeds per pod contributes maximum divergence in the first PC. Hence, genetic and phenotypic variations exist among 102 cowpea genotypes could be used in genetic improvement of the cowpea through simple selection and crossing potential parents.
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\section*{INTRODUCTION}

Global warming and nutritional security are the two major concern of current and future agriculture. A crop with climate resilience and high nutrition adds more value to these concerns in near future. Cowpea (\textit{Vigna unguiculata} (L.) Walp.) is one such crop, which often referred as a “vegetable meat” and highly tolerant to drought (Carvalho \textit{et al}., 2019, Fatokun \textit{et al}., 2018). Cowpea is an economically important legume crop originated from sub-Saharan Africa where the highest genetic diversity exists (Fatokun \textit{et al}., 2018, Saxena and Rukam 2020). It plays a major role in income generation of low scale farmers of Asia and Africa (Boukar \textit{et al}., 2016, Vavilapalli \textit{et al}., 2013). Cowpea is a dual purpose highly nutritive legume, consumed as a vegetable and grain by both human and animals (Avanza \textit{et al}., 2013). Its ability to fix atmospheric nitrogen with the help of symbiotic nitrogen fixing bacteria makes it as a nutritional enhancer crop in the poor and marginal soil fertility regions (Ghalmi \textit{et al}., 2010, Walle \textit{et al}., 2019). The better understanding of genetic resources available in the crop is the crucial and foremost step in any breeding program which helps in identifying the suitable parents and widening the genetic base of the crop (Prasanthi \textit{et al}., 2012, Xiong \textit{et al}., 2016). On the other hand, soaring demand to feed the growing population and development of new varieties led to the way for genetic erosion of valuable germplasm (Fang \textit{et al}., 2007). This dwindling of genetic resources in crop species possesses a huge danger to agricultural crop production (Muchero \textit{et al}., 2009). Africa and India being the primary centre of origin for the cowpea (Patel \textit{et al}., 2016), a diverse germplasm resources is available in India. Hence, with the above considerations a diversity analysis was carried out to identify the potential genotypes for the future breeding programs.
Genetic diversity being the multivariate analysis, several statistical tools like euclidean clustering and principal component analysis (PCA), are available. PCA is a technique or a mathematical algorithm helps in decomposing large number variables into fewer variables without losing much information (Abdi and Williams 2010). The dataset is reduced to fewer variables based on the eigen values by creating new uncorrelated variables called principal components (PC). These principal components helps in minimizing the data lose by maximizing the variance (Jolliffe and Cadima 2016). Principal component analysis is an adaptive data analysis technique which is effectively used to visualize the similarity and difference between the genotypes and helps in identifying the quantitative characters contributing maximum towards genetic divergence (Jindal et al., 2018, Ringnér 2008). Hence, PCA was used to estimate the genetic diversity among the cowpea germplam in the present study.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experimental materials constituted 102 genotypes (100 germplasm and two checks) which were obtained from National Pulses Research Centre (NPRC), Vamban, Tamil Nadu, India. The field experiments were carried out at Agricultural College and Research Institute, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University (TNAU), Madurai which is geographically located at of 9° 54' N latitude and 78° 54' E longitude at an elevation of 147 m above mean sea level. Annual average rainfall is about 856 mm. Randomized Completely Block Design (RCBD) with two replications was followed as an experimental design. Each germplasm line was planted in three rows of 5 m with spacing of 30 ×15 cm. The observations on twelve quantitative characters viz., plant height, days to fifty per cent flowering, days to maturity, number of primary branches, peduncle length, number of clusters per plant, number of pods per cluster, number of pods per plant, pod length, number of seeds per pod, hundred seed weight and single plant yield on 15 plants per replication were taken based on the cowpea descriptor developed by the International Board for Plant Genetic Resources (IBPGR 1983). The statistical analysis was carried out using the software R version 3.3.2 and R Studio 1.0.136.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Principal component analysis is the oldest and most admired method developed by Karl Pearson way back in 1901, but still rules the data analytics because of its recent advancements in visualization and ability to reduce the multiple variables to fewer un correlated variables (Chiquet et al., 2018). In the present study PCA was carried out with twelve quantitative characters of 102 cowpea germplasm. Similarly PCA was used as a genetic divergence and similarity measures by various researchers (Aremu et al., 2007, Fang et al., 2007, Sousa et al., 2015, Walle et al., 2019). The total variation was spilited into twelve principal components equaling to the number of variables used in the analysis. The eigen values serves as potential criteria in selection of the critical principal components that contributed maximum to the variation (Gerrano et al., 2019). Hence, in the present study first five principal components are the major contributors towards the total variation whose eigen values are more than one. Variables with eigen values less than one can be eliminated as variation caused by them will be non-significant and negligible (Walle et al., 2019). First principal component contributes 26.37 per cent of total variation, while PC 12 contributes to only 0.21 per cent of total variation. First five PC's cumulatively contributes 76.53 per cent of variation (Table 1). Gixhari et al., (2014) suggested that more than 75 per cent of total variation is acceptable for the genetic characterization of pulse crops.

Table 1. Eigen values and contribution of twelve quantitative characters towards divergence.

| Principal components | eigenvalue | Variance per cent towards divergence | Cumulative per cent variance towards divergence |
|----------------------|-----------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| PC 1                 | 3.16      | 26.37                                | 26.37                                         |
| PC 2                 | 2.12      | 17.67                                | 44.03                                         |
| PC 3                 | 1.81      | 15.09                                | 59.12                                         |
| PC 4                 | 1.12      | 9.30                                 | 68.43                                         |
| PC 5                 | 1.01      | 8.40                                 | 76.83                                         |
| PC 6                 | 0.79      | 6.54                                 | 83.37                                         |
| PC 7                 | 0.67      | 5.62                                 | 88.99                                         |
| PC 8                 | 0.53      | 4.41                                 | 93.40                                         |
| PC 9                 | 0.42      | 3.49                                 | 96.89                                         |
| PC 10                | 0.29      | 2.40                                 | 99.30                                         |
| PC 11                | 0.06      | 0.50                                 | 99.79                                         |
| PC 12                | 0.02      | 0.21                                 | 100.00                                        |
First two PC’s were used to construct the biplot in which 102 genotypes are scattering apart (Fig. 1). Genotypes which are closer to the origin and closer to each other are said to have more similarity and genotypes apart from each other are more divergent (Sharma et al., 2016). In the present study, genotypes viz., VCP-12-008, PG-CP-1, TY 1145, ACM 0505 are scattered apart in all the four quadrates of the biplot representing maximum genetic divergence among the genotypes. Genotypes like ACM 008, CP 30, CP 211 were closer to the origin and closer to each other indicates that low genetic divergence among them. Contribution of various PC towards total variation was portrayed in the Fig. 2.

Interaction between the two variables can be well studied using the squared cosine values (Balestriero, 2017). The cosine values helps in capturing the common variables based on correlation and covariance and represent it geometrically (Shi et al., 2018). In the present study, squared cosine variables based on twelve quantitative characters and five major principal components are presented in the Fig. 3. Single plant yield posses the highest absolute value in the first principal component depicts genetic divergence among the genotypes was mainly based on single plant yield. Higher the absolute value in the principal components higher the contribution of characters towards the divergence (Singh et al., 2017). Single plant yield followed by number of clusters per plant, number of pods per plant, number of seeds per pod, pod length, plant height, hundred seed weight, days to maturity contributes maximum towards divergence in the first PC (Arora 2018, Walle et al., 2019). Second PC which accounted for 17.7 per cent of variation and it was contributed by characters like number of clusters per plant, pod length, hundred seed weight, number of pods per plant and days to fifty per cent flowering. Third PC accounted for 15.1 per cent of total variation and contributed by characters like peduncle length, days to fifty per cent flowering and number of primary branches. Fourth and fifth PC accounted for 9.3 and 8.4 per cent of variation and contributed maximum by number of primary branches and number of seeds per pod respectively. Per
Fig. 2. scree plot showing contribution of various principal components towards divergence.

Percentage contributions of twelve quantitative characters are presented in the table 2 which represented the contribution of characters towards the divergence. Loadings score above ± 0.3 are considered as the significant contributors towards the divergence (Walle et al., 2019).

| PC1  | PC2  | PC3  | PC4  | PC5  | PC6  | PC7  | PC8  | PC9  | PC10 | PC11 | PC12 |
|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| PH   | 0.979| -0.185| -0.072| 0.029| -0.019| 0.033| 0.000| 0.016| 0.013| -0.005| 0.018| -0.002|
| NPB  | -0.016| 0.010| -0.037| 0.034| -0.048| -0.001| -0.133| -0.032| 0.148| -0.907| 0.363| 0.001|
| DF   | 0.067| -0.006| 0.453| -0.186| 0.119| -0.843| 0.065| 0.145| -0.041| -0.059| -0.013| 0.011|
| DM   | 0.076| 0.190| 0.841| 0.172| -0.286| 0.365| 0.000| -0.079| -0.010| -0.006| 0.014| -0.002|
| NC   | 0.041| 0.236| -0.037| -0.019| -0.026| -0.079| 0.287| -0.237| 0.868| 0.057| -0.137| 0.142|
| NPC  | 0.006| 0.015| -0.014| -0.029| -0.032| 0.015| -0.009| 0.047| -0.156| -0.031| -0.034| 0.985|
| NPP  | 0.105| 0.533| -0.187| -0.410| -0.425| -0.058| 0.176| -0.220| -0.325| -0.175| -0.305| 0.096|
| PeL  | -0.015| 0.103| -0.192| 0.784| -0.466| -0.331| 0.049| 0.049| -0.069| 0.041| -0.007| 0.001|
| PoL  | 0.033| 0.069| 0.036| 0.238| 0.430| -0.085| 0.165| -0.793| -0.257| 0.005| 0.146| -0.004|
| NAP  | 0.026| 0.061| 0.021| 0.083| 0.083| -0.087| -0.810| -0.213| 0.117| -0.058| -0.504| -0.027|
| HSW  | 0.020| 0.083| 0.043| 0.280| 0.434| 0.142| 0.366| 0.309| -0.096| -0.332| -0.596| -0.014|
| SPY  | 0.130| 0.750| -0.066| 0.092| 0.350| 0.019| -0.209| 0.306| -0.002| 0.154| 0.352| 0.008|

PH- Plant height, DF- Days to fifty per cent flowering, DM- days to maturity, NPB- number of primary branches, PeL- peduncle length, NC- number of clusters per plant, NPC- number of pods per cluster, NPP- number of pods per plant, PL- pod length, NSP- number of seeds per pod, HSW- hundred seed weight and SPY- single plant yield
Extend of variation and relation among the quantitative characters are represented in the Fig. 4. Characters like peduncle length and number of primary branches are closer to the origin considered to have lower loading score with least contribution towards divergence and characters away from origin (single plant yield and number of pods per plant) are considered to have the highest loading score with maximum contribution towards the divergence. Characters placed in the opposite quadrants are considered to have opposite association and characters placed in the same quadrants said to have positive association (Molosiwa et al., 2016). In the present study, twelve quantitative characters are placed only in three quadrants and number of primary branches had negative association with days to fifty per cent flowering which lies in opposite quadrant.

Genotypes placed in the first quadrant were similar for days to fifty per cent flowering, hundred seed weight, pod length, days to maturity, plant height and number of seeds per pod as they were placed in the same quadrant. Genotypes in the second quadrants are different from each other for all the characters. Genotypes present in the third quadrant are similar for number of primary branches alone. Genotypes present in the fourth quadrant were similar for single plant yield, number of clusters per plant, number of pods per plant, number of pods per cluster and peduncle length. Similar findings was obtained by (Lazaridi et al., 2017).

Hence, the present investigation proved the existence of genetic and phenotypic variation among 102 cowpea genotypes obtained from NPRC, Vamban. This genetic
variation promotes plenty opportunities for the genetic improvement of the cowpea through simple selection based on the novel traits and crossing potential parents.
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