Abstract— In this work, we tackle the problem of hyperspectral (HS) unmixing by departing from the usual linear model and focusing on a Linear-Quadratic (LQ) one. The proposed algorithm, referred to as Successive Nonnegative Projection Algorithm for Linear Quadratic mixtures (SNPALQ), extends the Successive Nonnegative Projection Algorithm (SNPA), designed to address the unmixing problem under a linear model. By explicitly modeling the product terms inherent to the LQ model along the iterations of the SNPA scheme, the nonlinear contributions in the mixing are mitigated, thus improving the separation quality. The approach is shown to be relevant in a realistic numerical experiment.

1 Introduction

HS imaging is a powerful tool in a wide range of fields: remote sensing [1], biomedical and pharmaceutical imaging [2], astronomy [3], to only name a few. While the datasets are composed of a high number of spectral bands, HS images usually suffer from a limited spatial resolution. Therefore, several materials generally contribute to the measurements associated with each pixel and the acquired spectra correspond to mixtures of pure material spectra, called endmembers.

Many works on HS imaging [4] have focused on the linear mixing model (LMM) which states that the spectral signature of the $i$th observed pixel $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $i \in [1, n]$, can be written as

$$x_i = \sum_{k=1}^{r} h_{ik} w_k + n_i ,$$

where $w_k, k \in [1, r]$, corresponds to the spectral signature of the $k$th endmember, $h_{ik}$ is the spatial contribution (abundance) of the $k$th endmember in the $i$th pixel and $n_i$ accounts for any additive noise in the $i$th pixel. In a matrix form, the LMM can thus be rewritten as $X = WH + N$, with $X \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $W \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times r}$, $H \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times n}$ and $N \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$.

Recovering $W$ and $H$ from the sole knowledge of $X$ is referred to as spectral unmixing in the HS literature and can be cast as a Blind Source Separation (BSS) problem [5,7]. As the problem is generally ill-posed, additional physical non-negativity constraints are imposed on the unknown matrices $W$ and $H$, akin to nonnegative matrix factorization (NMF) [8].

In various applicative contexts, LMM may however suffer from some limitations and only consists in a 1st-order approximation. In particular, when the light arriving on the sensor interacts with several materials, nonlinear mixing effects may occur [9,10]. To take into account multiple scatterings, bilinear or LQ models include termwise products of the endmembers [11-12]: for all $i$,

$$x_i = \sum_{k=1}^{r} h_{ik} w_k + \sum_{p=1}^{r} \sum_{l=p+1}^{r} \beta_{ipl} (w_p \odot w_l) + n_i ,$$

where the $\odot$ denotes the Hadamard product and $\beta_{ipl}$ is the contribution of the quadratic term $w_p \odot w_l$ in the $i$th pixel. Despite source identifiability issues in the general context of non-linear BSS problem [5,13-14], it was recently showed that in LQ mixtures the non-linearity leads to a so-called essentially unique solution [9,12] provided that products of the sources up to order four are linearly independent [15]. In HS imaging, such an assumption thus requires the family

$$(w_i, w_j \odot w_j, w_i \odot w_j \odot w_k, w_i \odot w_j \odot w_k \odot w_l)_{i,j,k,l \in [1, r]}, l<k<j<i$$

whose scale sizes in $O(r^4)$, to be linearly independent. This requirement might not be fulfilled in real-world scenario since the number of spectral bands $r$ should then also increase at least as $O(r^4)$. To overcome this issue, we tackle [2] under an NMF paradigm. The rationale is to convert the linear independence condition on $5$ into a non-negative independence condition, which is significantly less restrictive in general. Specifically, we focus on the so-called Nascimento model defined as [11,16]

$$X = \Pi(\odot(W)H) + N,$$

where $\Pi_c(\odot(W)) = [w_i, w_i \odot w_j, h_{ij}]_{i,j \in [1, r]} \subset \mathbb{R}^{r(r+1) \times n}$ is the matrix containing the endmembers $W$ and their second-order products (referred to as the “virtual” endmembers), and $H \in \mathbb{R}^{c(r+1) \times n}$ is the matrix of mixing coefficients associated with the linear and nonlinear contributions, $h_{ik}$ and $\beta_{ipl}$ in $2$, respectively. This model is accompanied by the following constraints

$$\forall i \in [1, n], \forall k \in [1, r(r+1)/2], h_{ki} \geq 0,$$

$$\forall i \in [1, n], \sum_{k=1}^{c(r+1)} h_{ki} \leq 1 .$$

Concerning $W$, no endmember must lie within the convex hull formed by the other (virtual) ones and the origin. Lastly, the mixing is assumed to be LQ near-separable, which generalizes the pure pixel assumption [7,18]:

In the absence of noise and under the additional assumption that $\text{rowrank}(X) = \frac{c(r+1)}{2}$, if $W$ and $H$ can be found such that $X = \Pi_c(\odot(W)H)$, then $W = W$ and $H = H$ up to a scaling and permutation indeterminacy.
Assumption 1.1. \( X \) is said \( r-LQ \) near-separable if it can be written as:

\[
X = \Pi_{\mathcal{S}}(W) \left[ \begin{array}{c} I_r \\ 0_{(r-1)\times r} \end{array} \right] H' \mathcal{P} + \mathcal{N},
\]

where \( W \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times r}, I_r \) is the \( r \)-by-\( r \) identity matrix, \( 0_{p \times q} \) the \( p \)-by-\( q \) matrix of zeros, \( \mathcal{P} \) a permutation matrix and \( H' \) satisfying the two first conditions of (5).

The aim of this work is to introduce an algorithm which, given a \( r \)-LQ near separable mixture, recovers the factors \( W \) and \( H \), up to a permutation. To do so, we generalize the SNPA [19] by explicitely modeling the bilinear products along the greedy search process.

We denote matrices as \( A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times r} \), a column indexed by \( i \in [1, r] \) as \( a_i \), and a row indexed by \( j \in [1, m] \) as \( a_j^T \). The quantity \( |K| \) is the number of elements in the set \( K \). We define the set \( \Delta^r = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^r | x \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^{r} x_i \leq 1 \} \).

### 2 Proposed SNPALQ algorithm

The proposed SNPALQ (see Algo. 1) is an extension of SNPA [19], which is an algorithm designed for linear near-separable NMF. Similarly to SNPA, SNPALQ is a greedy algorithm. At each iteration, the column of the data matrix \( X \) with the largest \( \ell_2 \) norm is selected. SNPALQ and SNPA however differ by their respective projection steps:

- SNPA projects each column of \( X \) onto the convex hull formed by the origin and all the columns extracted so far;
- In SNPALQ, we propose to perform the projection of each column of \( X \) on the convex hull formed by the origin, the columns extracted so far and their second order products.

Therefore, if two endmembers \( w_i, i \in [1, r] \) and \( w_j, j \neq i \) have been extracted during the iterative process of SNPALQ, the contribution of the quadratic term \( w_i \otimes w_j \) is cancelled. As such, the non-linear part of the mixing is reduced, giving more weight to the linear contribution. Thus the endmembers are expected to be more easily extracted.

### 3 Numerical results

The experiments are conducted on a noiseless realistic dataset \( X \) of the form (6). Up to 20 spectral signatures are extracted from the USGS database\(^4\) to build \( W \) with \( m = 20 \) and \( r \in \{2, 20\} \). The matrix \( H \) has dimension \( \frac{r(r+1)}{2} \times 1000 \), and the columns of \( H' \) in (6) are generated randomly using a Dirichlet distribution \( D(\alpha, \ldots, \alpha) \) with \( \alpha = 0.5 \). The results are averaged over 100 Monte-Carlo experiments.

Given a set of indices \( K \) extracted by and algorithm, the separation quality is assessed using

\[
\theta = \min_{\varepsilon \in [1, r]} \text{diag}(W^T X_K),
\]

Note that the virtual endmembers are not required to appear as pure pixels, prohibiting the mere use of linear near-separable NMF algorithms.

The results are similar when some noise is added, but the study of SNPALQ for various noise level is omitted in this paper due to lack of space.

### Algorithm 1 SNPALQ: Successive Nonnegative Projection Algorithm for Linear Quadratic mixtures.

**Input:** A \( r \)-LQ \( r \)-near-separable matrix \( X \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \) satisfying constraints (5), the number \( r \) of endmembers.

**Initialization:** \( R = X, K = \emptyset \)

**% Greedy search**

while \(|K| \leq r \) do

\[ p = \arg\max_{j \in [1, n]} \| r_j \|_2 \]

\[ K = K \cup \{ p \} \]

for \( j \in [1, n] \) do

\[ h_j = \arg\min_{b \in \Delta^{|K|+1}} \| x_j - \Pi_{\mathcal{S}}(X_K) b \|_2 \]

\[ r_j = x_j - \Pi_{\mathcal{S}}(X_K) h_j \]

end for

end while

**Output:** Set \( K \) of indices such that \( X_K \approx W \uparrow \) up to a permutation.

where \( \text{diag}(A) \) is contains the diagonal elements of the matrix \( A \). We consider perfect separation is achieved if \( \theta > 0.999 \).

The probability of obtaining a perfect separation using several algorithms is displayed in Fig. 1 as a function of the number \( r \) of endmembers. SNPALQ obtains significantly better results than SNPA [19] or SPA [17], especially for large \( r \). It achieves a perfect separation in more than 90\% of the experiments. The initial improvement when \( r \) increases is linked to the use of a Dirichlet distribution with \( \alpha = 0.5 \) when randomly generating the mixing coefficient matrix \( H' \). When \( r \) is small, the data points are more spread within the convex hull formed by the origin and the (virtual) endmembers, leading to a higher probability for a virtual endmember to be extracted.

SNPA and SPA results deteriorate quickly when \( r \) increases. SPA becomes worse than SNPA when \( r \approx m \), which is expected since SNPA has an interest mainly when the endmember matrix \( W \) is either rank-deficient or ill-conditioned [19].

### Conclusion

To tackle the problem of linear-quadratic hyperspectral unmixing, we introduced SNPALQ, an extension of SNPA which explicitely includes the quadratic terms into the projection step. The approach was shown to obtain good results on non-linear realistic datasets. More results, both empirical and theoretical, will be given at the conference, including a study of the proposed algorithm SNPALQ with respect to noise.
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