Effects of blue light on the circadian system and eye physiology
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Light-emitting diodes (LEDs) have been used to provide illumination in industrial and commercial environments. LEDs are also used in TVs, computers, smart phones, and tablets. Although the light emitted by most LEDs appears white, LEDs have peak emission in the blue light range (400–490 nm). The accumulating experimental evidence has indicated that exposure to blue light can affect many physiologic functions, and it can be used to treat circadian and sleep dysfunctions. However, blue light can also induce photoreceptor damage. Thus, it is important to consider the spectral output of LED-based light sources to minimize the danger that may be associated with blue light exposure. In this review, we summarize the current knowledge of the effects of blue light on the regulation of physiologic functions and the possible effects of blue light exposure on ocular health.

Lighting sources and technology have experienced a revolution in the last 15–20 years. Lighting sources and technology, especially in non-commercial or industrial illumination applications, have traditionally been slow to change [1]. In most homes, the incandescent bulb and Edison socket have been omnipresent. In the past 10 years, we have seen significant use of other technologies, such as compact fluorescent lamps (CFLs), replacing incandescent sources. However, this transition has often been driven by legislation, which has focused on energy-efficient sources instead of consumer desire for different light sources. The general user quickly noted the difference in the quality of CFL source but not necessarily in the specifics of its power spectrum. Simultaneously, the development and performance of high brightness light-emitting diodes (LEDs) have experienced tremendous advances [2]. The coupling of a blue-light LED with a phosphor has also been used to produce a white light source, the white-light LED. This solid-state fluorescent analog has become known as solid-state lighting (SSL). This approach is now considered the next generation of illumination due to the many inherent and potential advantages over current technologies.

In addition to use for general illumination, LEDs quickly became the choice for mobile devices, such as smart phones [3]. The small size of LEDs and the limited screen size make them ideal for these applications. The potential for the use of LEDs for backlit liquid crystal displays (LCDs) in laptop computers was also quickly realized. This transition was driven by the fragility of the microfluorescent lamps used for illumination and consumer desire for thinner screens. LEDs have now become the dominant technology for backlit tablet displays, such as iPads and e-readers, and large LCD television sets. This now means that blue light prevails in red, green, and blue (RGB) and SSL illumination systems that did not exist a decade ago. The ways in which people read have also changed. Light is now being used directly for illumination in smart phones, tablets, and readers instead of for reflection, which is typical for reading from paper.

The white-light LED (i.e., the most common type of LED) is essentially a bichromatic source that couples the emission from a blue LED (peak of emission around 450–470 nm with a full width at half max of 30–40 nm) [4] with a yellow phosphor (peak of emission around 580 nm with a full width at half max of 160 nm) that appears white to the eye when viewed directly [5]. The specific pump wavelength of the phosphor in the range 450–470 nm depends critically on the absorption properties of the phosphor. Although the white-light LED can be considered the SSL analog of the fluorescent source, the power spectrum of the white-light LED is considerably different from traditional, fluorescent, or incandescent white light sources [6] (Figure 1).

Early commercial devices lacked sophistication, adopting the currently available LED technology that was small, 350×350 mm², and operated at low drive currents, typically 20 mA, producing 1–16 mW of power. The last decade has seen the scaling of LEDs to larger areas, 1×1 μm², and higher drive currents of >350 mA with significantly increased power output >1,000 mW [2]. During this period, LED devices were also optimized for use in illumination applications, and reflected from a surface instead of emitted directly.
In addition, white-light LEDs degrade over time primarily through bleaching of phosphors so that they no longer efficiently absorb blue light [7]. This shifts the color temperature of the device over time, with a corresponding change in the color-rendering index but, more importantly, an increasing blue emission from the device with time.

In this review, we summarize the current knowledge of the effects of blue light on the regulation of physiologic function and the effects of blue light exposure on ocular health. Finally, we discuss the available data to determine whether long-term exposure to blue light is safe or whether additional studies are needed to fully understand the effects of blue light exposure on ocular health.

Non-image-forming photoreception: In mammals, photoreception occurs only in the retina [8] by three types of photoreceptor: cones, rods, and the intrinsically photosensitive retinal ganglion cells (ipRGCs). The classical photoreceptors (e.g., rods and cones) are mostly responsible for the image-forming vision, whereas the ipRGCs play a major role in non-image-forming photoreception, that is, the photoreceptive system that regulates circadian photic entrainment, pupillary light response, and other important biologic functions (Figure 2).

The idea that the mammalian retina is capable of non-image-forming photoreception emerged during the 1990s when a series of studies indicated that mice lacking rod photoreceptors (rd/rd) have a normal phase response curve (PRC) to light [9], with an action spectrum that peaks around 480 nm [10]. This result suggested that a photo pigment different from rhodopsin (λ_{max} 498 nm), short wavelength sensitive opsin (λ_{max} 460 nm), and middle wavelength sensitive opsin (λ_{max} 508 nm) [11] was responsible for the entrainment of circadian rhythms. Additional studies reported that mice lacking rods and cones were still capable of synchronizing their circadian rhythms to light-dark cycles [12], thus demonstrating that an undiscovered photo pigment/photoreceptor in the mammalian retina was responsible for the photoentrainment of circadian rhythms.

The most likely candidate to emerge as the circadian retinal photo pigment is a mammalian homolog of *Xenopus* melanopsin (aka Opn4) [13-15]. In mammals, melanopsin mRNA (and protein) is expressed only in a small population (about 3–5%) of the RGCs [14,16] that are directly photosensitive and have an absorption peak around 470–480 nm [17-19]. These RGCs express pituitary adenylate cyclase-activating polypeptide (PACAP) [20] and form the retinohypothalamic

![Figure 1. A comparison of the power spectrum of a standard white-light LED, a tricolor fluorescent lamp, and an incandescent source. The radically different power spectrums can look similar when viewed directly by the eye, irrespective of how much blue emission is present.](image-url)
The RHT is responsible for conveying the light information from RGCs to the part of the brain that controls circadian rhythms within the whole body [22, 23]. The RGCs that express melanopsin were named intrinsically photosensitive RGCs (ipRGCs), and these cells were no longer intrinsically photosensitive in melanopsin knockout (KO) mice, although the cell number, morphology, and projections remained unchanged [24]. Additional studies have also shown that melanopsin KO mice entrained to light-dark photoperiods, albeit the response to light was attenuated in the KO animals as the magnitude of the phase-shift is about half (40%) of that of wild-type mice at each of the three non-saturating irradiance levels [25]. A saturating white light pulse also produced a diminished phase shift in the KO animals [26]. The length of the free-running period that follows the exposure to constant light is reduced (to about 55–65% of that of controls) in melanopsin KO animals [25, 26].

Melanopsin has also been implicated in regulation of the pupillary light reflex (PLR). Transgenic mice lacking rod and cone photoreceptors (rdcl) retain a PLR, and this response is driven by a photo pigment with peak sensitivity of around 479 nm [27]. Melanopsin KO animals showed a PLR indistinguishable from that of the wild-type mice at low irradiances, but at high irradiances, the reflex was incomplete. This result suggests that the melanopsin-associated system and the classical rod/cone system are complementary functions [28, 29]. Thus, the current view is that no single photoreceptor type is necessary for the synchronization of circadian rhythms with external light-dark cycles [30, 31].

Finally, mice with the melanopsin gene ablated only in ipRGCs have normal outer retinal function but lack non-image-forming visual responses, such as circadian photentrainment, light modulation of activity, and PLR [32]. Thus, the ipRGCs represent the site of integration of non-image-forming photo responses in mammals.

Further studies have also shown that melanopsin-based photoreception is involved in the modulation of sleep [33-36] and mood and learning [37], and recent data have also indicated that melanopsin-based photoreception may be involved in the regulation of metabolism [38]. Finally, it has been reported that loss of the melanopsin gene abolishes circadian control in some parameters of cone electroretinogram, causing significant attenuation of the diurnal variation in cone...
vision [39]. Melanopsin signaling may influence intraretinal signaling by acting on dopaminergic neurons [40]. Therefore, these data suggest melanopsin-dependent regulation of visual processing within the retina.

Melanopsin also plays an important role in mediating human circadian rhythms. Several studies have reported that in humans, the action spectra for melatonin suppression has a lambda max (λ_max) of around 460 nm, suggesting that melanopsin is a key player in the photic regulation of melatonin levels [41-43]. Additional studies have also shown that blue light in the range of 460–480 nm is more effective compared to monochromatic light of 555 nm in phase-shifting the human circadian clock [44,45]. Finally, a recent study expanded these previous results by showing that light in the 555 nm range may significantly affect the synchronization of the circadian system to light exposure of short duration or to low irradiance, whereas light in the 460 nm range is more effective in phase-shifting the circadian system than exposure to light of longer duration and higher irradiance [46]. Additional studies have also shown that exposure to blue light can increase alertness [47-50] and stimulate cognitive functions [51-53]. A recent study reported that exposure to light-emitting e-readers at bedtime may negatively affect sleep and the circadian system [54]. Finally, blue light may also be used to treat seasonal affective disorders [55], and mutations in the melanopsin gene may increase the susceptibility to developing seasonal affective disorders [56,57]. However, another study reported that exposure to blue-enriched light was less effective compared to full-spectrum light in the treatment of seasonal affective disorder [58].

With age, the lens becomes more yellowish, and thus, the spectrum of blue light transmission dramatically decreases through the years. It is suspected that one reason older individuals experience sleep problems is the lack of blue light during the daytime. Ayaki et al. [59] reported that after cataract extraction, sleep quality improved dramatically because more blue light could pass through the intraocular lens. In addition, there has been a discussion on whether a clear or yellow lens is preferable [60]. Of course, the yellow lens may protect the retina, but the clear lens provides more blue light during the day, providing better quality of sleep [61]. Consistent with this result, Sletten et al. [62] reported that in older people, acute exposure to blue light, but not to green light, significantly decreased their alertness and suppressed their sleep and melatonin production compared to young people. However, another study reported that in older patients with decreased lens transmittance, melatonin was not significantly suppressed following blue light exposure [43]. Thus, whether the yellowing of the lens associated with aging really affects the non-image-forming photoreception is still a matter of debate.

Light-induced damage to the retina: Several investigations have shown that exposure to light of specific wavelengths or intensity may induce severe damage to the retina [63,64]. This type of damage is called light-induced damage. Light can induce damage via three mechanisms: photomechanical, photothermal, and photochemical. Photomechanical damage is due to a rapid increase in the amount of energy captured by the RPE, which may cause irreversible damage to the RPE and lead to photoreceptor damage. This type of retinal damage depends on the amount of energy absorbed and not on the spectral composition of the light. Photothermal damage occurs when the retina and the RPE are exposed to brief (100 ms to 1 s) but intense light that induce a significant increase in the temperature of these tissues [63,64].

A more common type of retinal/RPE damage is photochemical damage, which occurs when the eyes are exposed to light of high intensity in the visible range (390–600 nm). The current view suggests that there are two distinct types of photochemical damage. The first type is associated with short but intense exposure to light affecting the RPE, and the second type is associated with longer but less intense light exposure, affecting the outer segment of the photoreceptors. Short (up to 12 h) exposure to blue light may induce damage in the RPE of the rhesus monkey [65], and a clear relationship has been found between the extent of the damage and the oxygen concentration [66,67]. The fact that many different antioxidants can reduce the damage suggests that this type of damage is associated with oxidative processes [68,69]. Experimental data suggest that lipofuscin is the chromophore involved in the mediation of light-induced retinal damage following the exposure to blue light [70-73].

The second type of light-induced photochemical damage occurs with longer (12–48 h) but less intense light exposure. This type of damage was initially observed in albino rats [74] but has also been observed in other species. The cones seem to be more vulnerable compared to the rods [75]. Several lines of evidence suggest that the visual photo pigments (e.g., rhodopsin and cone opsins) are involved in this type of damage. Early studies [76-78] also provided evidence that the action spectrum for light-induced photoreceptor damage is similar to the absorption spectrum of rhodopsin, but later studies indicated that blue light (400–440 nm) might be more damaging [79-81]. Grimm et al. [82] provided an explanation for this phenomenon, demonstrating that in vivo bleached rhodopsin may be regenerated not only via a metabolic pathway (e.g., via the visual cycle) but also via a photochemical reaction called photoreversal of bleaching.
that is most effective with blue light. Photoreversal of bleaching augments the capability of rhodopsin molecules to absorb photons by several orders of magnitude, thus allowing the molecules to reach the critical number of photons required to induce damage in the retinal cells [84]. This process can further increase the potential production of reactive oxygen species (ROS); thus, the oxidative damage can lead to the accumulation and build-up of lipofuscin in the RPE. The build-up of lipofuscin in the RPE can affect the ability of the RPE to provide nutrients to the photoreceptors, affecting photoreceptor viability [85]. Moreover, when lipofuscin absorbs blue light, the material becomes phototoxic, which can lead to further damage in the RPE and in the photoreceptors [70]. The data from our laboratory indicate that in albino rats, exposure to blue light (λ_{max} 474 nm, 1×10^{-1} μW/cm^{2}) acutely suppressed melatonin levels [6] while exposure to blue light for 4 h/day for 30 days did not produce significant effects on photoreceptor viability (Figure 3). However, this treatment produced a small (10–20%) but significant reduction in the levels of melanopsin and short wavelength opsin mRNAs in rats exposed to white or green (λ_{max} 513 nm) light (Figure 4).

In this context, two recent studies on the effect of blue light exposure on the RPE and cone-like cells (661W, murine photoreceptor-derived cells [86]) should be mentioned. In the first study, Arnault et al. [87] reported that in the primary porcine RPE, exposure to light with irradiance similar to that of natural sunlight, that is, light in the range of 415–455 nm, was the most effective in reducing cell viability.
In the second study, Kuse et al. [88] reported that 661W cells are more sensitive to light-induced damage when exposed to light emitted by blue (464 nm) LEDs than when exposed to green (522 nm) or white LEDs (wavelength peak at 456 and 553 nm) of the same intensity (0.38 mW/cm²). The exposure to blue light, unlike the exposure to white and green LEDs, also produced a significant increase in ROS and induced cell damage. Similar results were also observed in primary retinal cells [88]. These data support the idea that exposure to blue light in the range of 400–470 nm (even at low levels) may damage photoreceptors and retinal pigment epithelium cells.

Although most studies have focused on the acute effect of light exposure, several have also investigated the cumulative effect of light. For example, Noell [89] reported that a single 5 min exposure to light did not induce significant damage in photoreceptor cells, whereas a series of 5 min exposures led to significant photoreceptor damage. Furthermore, the time between exposures affects the cumulative effect of light [90-92]. In some cases, intermittent light exposure may produce even more pronounced damage than an equivalent amount of light in a single exposure [93]. In addition, the type of illumination to which the animals had been exposed before the experimental treatment influenced...
of blue light received during an individual’s entire lifespan. For example, rats raised in complete darkness showed greater susceptibility to light-induced retinal damage [89], and rats raised in an 800 lux light-dark cycle were more resistant to light-induced retinal damage compared to animals raised in a 5 lux light-dark cycle [94]. Finally, light-induced damage to photoreceptors increases with age. The exposure to light that might affect adult animals might not induce retinal damage in young animals [95]. In this context, with age, superoxide dismutase 1 (SOD1) and protective enzymes do not function as well due to zinc deficiency. SOD1 does not function well because the enzyme activity is controlled by zinc. Imamura et al. have shown that even with normal light that contains some blue light, fluorescent light damaged the retina tremendously in the SOD1 knockout mouse, which is similar to an aging mouse [96]. However, nothing happened in the normal mouse.

The protective mechanism of the retina is important. From that point of view, the protective function of lutein, or blue-blocking pigment, on the retina is also considered. Ozawa et al. published research showing that when lutein was given, retina photodamage was alleviated [97].

Finally, the severity of light-induced retinal damage changes with the time of the day [98-102]. For example, rats are three to four times more susceptible to light damage at night (01:00) than during the day (09:00 and 17:00). The circadian dependency of light-induced photoreceptor damage appears to involve mechanisms that control cAMP and c-fos levels (see [63] for a review), both of which are under the control of the retinal circadian clock [103,104]. Exposure to blue light during the night might have more negative effects compared to the same exposure during the daytime. However, in this case, this assumption is based on the experimental data obtained from nocturnal rodents. Thus, it is difficult to determine whether light-induced retinal damage has a daily rhythm in humans, and further studies on diurnal animal models (e.g., non-human primates) are required to address this important point.

Experimental evidence indicates that wavelengths in the blue part of the spectrum (400–490 nm) can induce damage in the retina, and although the initial damage following exposure to blue light may be confined to the RPE, a damaged RPE eventually leads to photoreceptor death. Although most studies on the effects of blue light have focused on the mechanisms responsible for the damage to the photoreceptors following an acute exposure to high intensity light, some studies have reported that sub-threshold exposure to blue light can also induce damage in photoreceptors [105-107]. In addition, several authors have proposed that the amount of blue light received during an individual’s entire lifespan can be an important factor in the development of age-related macular degeneration (AMD). The use of lenses (intra- and extraocular) that block blue light (“blue-blockers”) may provide some protection against the development of AMD [60,108].

The mechanism through which long-term exposure to blue light may induce photoreceptor damage is mostly unknown. Several studies have indicated lipofuscin (absorption peak around 450 nm) is a possible mediator of the risk associated with long-term exposure to blue light–induced retinal damage [109,110]. Lipofuscin accumulates in the RPE in the form of granules located in the lysosomes of the RPE. The formation of lipofuscin begins in photoreceptors’ outer segments as a byproduct of the degradation of rod photoreceptor discs [105]. When lipofuscin absorbs blue light, ROS are produced, and these free radicals are responsible for the oxidative damage that occurs in the retina. The number of reactive oxygen species produced by lipofuscin is directly related to the spectral composition of the light, and it steadily decreases from 400 to 490 nm [73]. The accumulation of lipofuscin in the RPE, particularly in the macula, has been linked to photoreceptor death and to AMD [109]. Furthermore, the amount of lipofuscin present in the RPE increases with age (i.e., the amount of lipofuscin is low in young and high in old animals); thus, the potential for blue light to damage the retina may increase with age [111]. Finally, it has been reported that chronic exposure to blue light may accelerate photoreceptor degeneration in an animal model in the study of retinal degeneration [112].

Thus, experimental evidence obtained from different experimental models indicates that exposure to blue light in the 470–490 nm range may be less damaging to the eye compared to blue light in the 400–460 nm range. We believe that the development of LEDs with a peak emission of around 470–490 nm may represent an important advancement in the safety of LEDs for ocular health [6] (Figure 3).

**Light exposure and age-related macular degeneration in humans:** A series of studies in many animal models have shown that exposure to blue light may represent a risk for the development of AMD or other retinal pathologies [113,114]. However, the real risk from artificial light (white or blue) exposure in humans is difficult to assess, since light therapy has been in use for only a few years and in a small number of individuals. In addition, individual susceptibility to blue light damage varies significantly among individuals, making the assessment of the risk associated with repeated exposure to blue light in the etiology of AMD difficult.

Previous epidemiological studies have indicated that chronic exposure to visible and blue light may be a cofactor
in the development of AMD [115-117]. However, Darzin et al. [118] found no significant relationships between blue light and the development of AMD. Okuno et al. [119] evaluated the blue-light hazards from many different light sources and reported that the exposure (even for less than a minute) to blue light from the sun, arc-welding lamps, and the arc of discharge lamps is hazardous to the retina, whereas the exposure to blue light from fluorescent lamps or LEDs does not pose a significant hazard.

Thus, it is clear that many different factors are involved in the pathogenesis of AMD. This observation, together with the limited data in terms of number of subjects or length of treatment, makes it difficult to predict the association between blue light exposure and the development of AMD.

Finally, ultraviolet (UV) light is a risk factor for age-related macular degeneration. UV is mostly blocked by the cornea or lens; therefore, only visible light can penetrate the eye and reach the retina. A recent study by Narimatsu et al. [120] conducted with an animal model reported that blocking UV light and blue light with yellow-tinted intraocular lenses materials (400–450 nm) could protect the retina [120]. Thus, reducing the amount of blue light reaching the retina in the range 400–450 nm may also be important for the protection of the retina.

Conclusions: The use of blue light is becoming increasingly prominent in our society, and a large segment of the world population is now subjected to daily exposure (from a few minutes to several hours) of artificial light at an unusual time of the day (night). Because light has a cumulative effect and many different characteristics (e.g., wavelength, intensity, duration of the exposure, time of day), it is important to consider the spectral output of the light source to minimize the danger that may be associated with blue light exposure. Thus, LEDs with an emission peak of around 470–480 nm should be preferred to LEDs that have an emission peak below 450 nm. Although we are convinced that exposure to blue light from LEDs in the range 470–480 nm for a short to medium period (days to a few weeks) should not significantly increase the risk of development of ocular pathologies, this conclusion cannot be generalized to a long-term exposure (months to years). Finally, we believe that additional studies on the safety of long-term exposure to low levels of blue light are needed to determine the effects of blue light on the eye.
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