Preliminary 3-D geological models of Los Humeros and Acoculco geothermal fields (Mexico) – H2020 GEMex Project
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Abstract. As part of the GEMex Project, an on-going European-Mexican effort to develop geothermal energy from non-conventional sources, preliminary geological models have been constructed for two sites located in the eastern-most region of the Trans-Mexican Volcanic Belt. The first site, Los Humeros, which has produced geothermal electricity for decades, is investigated for its probable superhot geothermal resources. The second site, Acoculco, is a less known but promising area where application of an Enhanced Geothermal System is being studied. In order to have a coherent geological interpretation of both sites, preliminary 3-D models were constructed in a collaborative manner by European and Mexican partners. These models are based on data available at the start of the project, including geological maps, cross-sections and well logs. The data were mainly provided by the Comisión Federal de Electricidad (CFE), and the Mexican Centre for Innovation in Geothermal Energy (CeMIE-Geo consortium). A regional model was developed for each site and an additional local model was constructed for Los Humeros. The preliminary geological models serve as a framework for GEMex work on heat-transport and fluid-flow simulations; they will be updated and refined during the project, using new data and interpretations from ongoing and future field work on geology, geophysics, and geochemistry.

1 Introduction

The exploitation of a geothermal resource depends on the ability of carrying the energy from the underground to the surface. One of the most common ways is to access a naturally convective hydrothermal fluid, but such a vector is not always available. This is why methods are developed to make geothermal energy usable without the direct availability of a geothermal fluid. The term Enhanced Geothermal Systems (EGS) refers to techniques that allow retrieving energy when favourable conditions are not naturally met (Olasolo et al., 2016). In some cases, the available energy is highly concentrated in the near underground: here, SuperHot Geothermal Systems (SHGS) are defined by a high temperature, above \(350^\circ\)C and by specific properties of the fluid, requiring advanced techniques for drilling and exploitation (Elders et al., 2014; Reinsch et al., 2017).

An understanding of the edifice of geothermal systems, in terms of temperature variations and of the relationships between geological structures and fluid pathways, is necessary for determining the occurrence and location of exploitable geothermal resources (Cloetingh et al., 2010; Calcagno et al., 2014). One of the main difficulties lies in combining the available data to understand the geological context. 3-D geological modelling (Houlding, 1994; Mallet, 2002; Wu et al., 2005) allows merging such data in the same space to produce a consistent interpretation. In addition, a geomodel provides the geolocated geometry of a geological object that can be used as input for subsequent numerical modelling, such as...
Los Humeros and Acoculco belong to the Trans-Mexican Volcanic Belt (TMVB). This major structure runs across central Mexico, where volcanic activity is reported to have occurred since the 1990s by the Comisión Federal de Electricidad (CFE), when the first 5 MW power unit started operating. Electricity is currently produced from twenty-five production wells, mainly located in the Los Potreros caldera domain, producing around 6 million tons of steam per year to feed six power units with a combined installed capacity of 94 MW (Romo-Jones et al., 2018). Sixteen wells were available for the preliminary study described here (Fig. 2). The maximum temperature measured at approximately 2.5 km depth is around 400 °C, but no geothermal fluid at this temperature is currently being exploited by CFE. Los Humeros today is a conventional hydrothermal system, with locally super-hot fluids underground. The aim of GEMex is to obtain a better understanding of the geothermal field, especially of the location of these super-hot fluids and the way to exploit them.

The geothermal target is mostly located in the pre-caldera volcanic rocks (mainly andesite), but it is also expected to find superhot fluids in portions of the underlying carbonate-rock basement that may present secondary permeability. In Los Humeros, the ignimbrites forming the caldera seem to

---

1GEMex is a European Union “Horizon 2020” research and innovation project (2016–2020) of 24 European partners collaborating with a nine-partner Mexican consortium. The aim of GEMex is the design of reliable, efficient, and replicable methods for developing Enhanced Geothermal Systems (EGS) and SuperHot Geothermal Systems (SHGS). To this end, GEMex uses a comprehensive range of investigations: study of the geological and geothermal context, detection of deep structures, reservoir characterization, and conceptual design of EGS and SHGS development including a socio-economic approach. More information on project structure and content in Jolie et al. (2018) and http://www.gemex-h2020.eu (last access: 22 October 2018).
act as a seal-rock. From a geothermal standpoint, the geological formations of the Los Humeros area consist of four groups. In addition to the basement (first group), it is important to separate the volcanic formations into three distinct groups: pre-caldera, caldera, and post-caldera. For a more detailed interpretation of the geothermal system, these four can be split into nine units: basement, basal pre-caldera, intermediate pre-caldera, Los Humeros caldera, intermediate caldera, Los Potreros caldera, post-caldera, and undefined pyroclastic deposits.

Figure 3 presents the four groups used for modelling Los Humeros at regional scale, and the nine units used at local scale.

2.2 Acoculco

The Pliocene-Pleistocene volcanic complex of Acoculco is far less known than Los Humeros. Two exploratory boreholes were drilled. The temperature is around 300°C at 2 km depth, but no exploitable fluid has been discovered (Lorenzo-Pulido et al., 2010). Preliminary geological studies consider the site a candidate for the application of EGS technology to develop the field (Lorenzo-Pulido et al., 2010; Peiffer et al., 2014, 2015; Garcia-Valles et al., 2015; Canet et al., 2015). According to these studies, the geothermal target is probably located in the basement composed of calcareous, granitic and metamorphic rocks, since the overlying volcanic rocks show intense hydrothermal alteration (López-Hernández et al., 2009; Lorenzo-Pulido et al., 2010; Peiffer et al., 2014; Canet et al., 2015). Avellán et al. (2018a) have produced a geological map of Acoculco (Fig. 4).

The Acoculco area is another caldera complex, but much older than the Los Humeros one. It is located at the intersection of the NE–SW Tenochoitlan-Apan, NW–SE Taxco-San Miguel de Allende, and E-W Chapala-Tula fault systems, within a regional extensional regime (García-Palomo et al., 2017). The complex rests upon a basement formed by granite, Cretaceous limestone and marble, the Zacatlan-Chignahuapan basalt plateau and Miocene pre-caldera domes and lavas (10–3 Ma). The caldera-forming eruption occurred 2.7 Ma ago with an explosive event that dispersed pyroclastic density currents emplacing the Acoculco andesitic ignimbrite with a volume of ∼127 km³. Volcanic activity continued with several post-caldera events, and finished around 0.06 Ma with scoria cones and lava flows of basaltic trachyandesite to basaltic andesite (Sosa-Ceballos et al., 2018). Aeromagnetic data show the presence of at least four intrusive bodies at depths of 1 to >2 km, intruded into the Cretaceous limestone, where granitoid rocks were intersected in the EAC1 and EAC2 exploratory boreholes from 1600 m down to 2000 m below ground level. In the EAC1 borehole, the presence of an aplite dyke dated 183 ± 36 ka suggests that such intrusions are related to recent heat transfer (Avellán et al., 2018b).

No geothermal fluids were identified in the two exploratory wells drilled by the CFE in the 1980s (Fig. 4). However, the high temperatures recorded and the data collected show that a geothermal target may be located in the basement where EGS development is planned. In view of this context, a differentiation of the volcanic rocks is not as critical as at Los Humeros, and the total thickness of this volcanic succession is far less (around 700 m against over 2000 m at...
Figure 3. Description of the geological formations modelled in Los Humeros at regional scale gathered into four groups and nine units.

Los Humeros. This is why in Acoculco it is more important to separate the basement into four lithological groups (Cretaceous limestone, granite, metamorphic rock such as hornfels and skarn, and marble) and group all volcanic products in a single body.

3 Methodology

The GEMex project aims at integrating all contributions from the various disciplines involved in geothermal exploration in cooperative 3-D geomodels (Calcagno, 2015). To initiate the process, preliminary geological models were developed using the data and knowledge available at the start of the project.
3.1 Workflow

Construction of the geological models followed the five main steps described below. They were completed in a collaborative manner described in Sect. 3.3.

3.1.1 Bounding box

Both the Los Humeros and Acoculco sites were modelled at a regional scale (Fig. 1). The horizontal dimensions of the regional models are those the areas of the geological maps presented above. This scale is appropriate for studying the processes driving geothermal systems. In addition, a local-scale model was constructed for Los Humeros, focusing on the existing exploitation area and dedicated to studying the geothermal reservoir. Both regional models roughly cover the same surface area. The depth extension is the same for all three models.

3.1.2 Digital Elevation Model

Digital Elevation Models (DEMs) were provided by the Instituto Nacional de Estadística y Geografía (INEGI, Mexico). They give the altitude of the topography (a.s.l.) at the nodes of a 2-D grid. The resolution, i.e. the distance between two adjacent nodes, is the same along the east-west and north-south axes, but depends on the model (see Sect. 4, hereafter). The upper part of the geological model is limited by the DEM surface.

3.1.3 Faults

A selection of the faults to be modelled was made to keep only those that are representative of the area at the scale of the model, and considering the geothermal objective of the project. They were combined into fault networks to manage their topology, i.e. to decide which one is the master fault where two faults intersect. Faults and networks are described in further detail in Sect. 4.1 and 4.2.

Almost no data are available for the fault geometry at depth. To give them a realistic geological shape, it was thus assumed that their vertical extension depends upon their surface extension, i.e. the longer a fault extends on surface, the deeper it will be. Considering the measured geothermal gradient (about 100 °C km−1, López-Hernández et al., 2009; Lorenzo-Pulido et al., 2010) and assuming a continental crust mechanically controlled by the rheological behaviour of quartz, a brittle/ductile transition was interpreted to occur at 4 to 4.5 km below ground level for both sites. Faults are only modelled in the brittle zone and are thus limited at depth by the brittle/ductile transition.

3.1.4 Formations

The geological formations were selected to be representative of the area at the scale of the model, considering the geothermal objective of the project. The four regional groups and nine local units considered in Los Humeros, and the five groups in Acoculco are described in Sect. 2, above.

3.1.5 Geological data

Three types of data describing the geology were used for constraining the 3-D models presented here. They come from the literature available at the start of the project and some preliminary fieldwork at Acoculco. Geological maps, cross sections and well logs were redrawn for providing coherent data on the faults and formations selected for the modelling.

The geological maps of the sites were the main references for creating the preliminary models (Figs. 2 and 4). The re-interpreted geological maps for Los Humeros are shown on Figs. 5 and 6, respectively at regional and local scales, and on Fig. 7 for Acoculco at a regional scale.

For Los Humeros, existing cross sections were used to constrain the models at depth (Fig. 8). As for the geological maps, the cross sections were re-interpreted to fit the selected faults and formations to be modelled.

The last sources of data are the well logs provided by the CFE, sixteen for Los Humeros (locations on Fig. 2) and two for Acoculco (locations on Fig. 4). They were reinterpreted in terms of the geological formations of the model, and provided valuable information at depth for constraining the 3-D models.

3.2 3-D Modelling methodology

The interpolation method uses two types of data: contact points and orientation data. The contact points are 3-D points located on the geological interface to be modelled, and orientation data are 3-D vectors showing the dip of this geological interface. Such an interface can be either a boundary between formations, or a fault surface. Contact points and orientation data were derived from the geological maps, cross sections, and well logs available for Los Humeros and Acoculco, before interpolation via a co-kriging geostatistical method (Lajaunie et al., 1997). The result of the interpolation is a 3-D scalar potential field where isovales represent geological interfaces (Lajaunie et al., 1997). A geological pile describes the chronological and topological relations between the geological formations. It allows automatic management of the contacts between formations (gradual or erosional). The links between faults and formations are also described in the modelling process, to compute automatically how faults affect formations. When faults interact with each other, they are combined in a fault network for describing their relations.
This methodology is fully described in Calcagno et al. (2008) and implemented in the 3-D GeoModeller\textsuperscript{2} package.

### 3.3 Collaborative working method

One of the main outcomes of constructing a geological model is a coherent interpretation in three dimensions. Merging the data in the same 3-D space allows checking and correcting possible inconsistencies. Moreover, being able to visualize and to easily interact with the modelled geological objects is a powerful way of sharing a common view of the geology among a group of persons. The modelling process can then be used as a collaborative platform for exchange and debate, and for agreeing upon the geological interpretation.

Usually, a geomodel cannot be properly constructed by a single person, as such work not only relies on the merging of data, but also on integrating multiple knowledge sources and interpretations. The Los Humeros and Acoculco geomodels thus were constructed as a collaborative effort. Two teams, one dedicated to Los Humeros and the other to Acoculco, were created by scientists from Europe and Mexico. Team members included volcanologists, structural geologists and modellers; one geologist, a specialist of the area, acted as consultant and advisor for interpretation by each team.

The geological and geothermal settings of Los Humeros and Acoculco are quite different as described above. The rationale behind the construction of the geological models considered these different contexts. In addition, particular attention was paid to ensure a coherent geological interpretation of both areas, especially when similar geological objects were present in both sites.

Considering the international mix of scientists in the teams, a critical issue of the collaboration resides in communication. In order to render the collaborative process as efficient as possible, regular tele-workshops dedicated to working sessions based on interactive exchanges were organized. Furthermore, protocols such as the use of pdf 3-D files were set up for exchanging the 3-D models, allowing the team members to visualize and check the steps of the construction.

### 4 The 3-D Geomodels

The preliminary models presented in this paper are a coherent geometrical interpretation of the main geological objects, modelled from all available data and knowledge. They were developed following the methodology described in Sect. 3

#### 4.1 Los Humeros

A 90 and 30 m-resolution DEM were used for the regional and local models, respectively. The geological map was re-interpreted from Carrasco-Núñez et al. (2017b); see Fig. 2 for the original map, and Figs. 5 and 6 for the re-interpreted...
Regional scale

The Los Humeros regional-scale model covers a block of $56 \times 36 \times 12$ km (i.e. down to 7 km b.s.l.). Twelve faults were modelled inside the Los Humeros caldera rim, the four other faults outside deriving from López-Hernández et al. (1995) (Fig. 9). The Los Humeros caldera rim was modelled as a single closed shape delimiting the main volcanic structure.

The modelled regional faults are: (1) Alchichica, (2) AntiguaFault, (3) CuevaAhumada, (4) Cuyuaco, (5) ElLimonFault, (6) LHCaldRim, (7) LHumerosFault, (8) LPoterosCald, (9) LaCuesta, (10) LasCruces, (11) LasPapas, (12) LasViboras, (13) LosConejos, (14) MastaloyaCrater, (15) MastaloyaFault, (16) Totolcingo. They belong to a fault network where: (3), (7), (9), (12), and (15) stop on (2).

The four groups of formations: pre-volcanic basement, pre-caldera volcanism, caldera stage, and post-caldera volcanism, were added to the fault model to complete the geological model (Fig. 10). In addition to the existing data (map, two reference cross-sections, sixteen well logs) and where needed, eleven more cross-sections were interpreted for input into the model (see traces on Fig. 5). These were drawn according to the two reference cross-sections cited above, to ensure a coherent 3-D interpretation, especially regarding formation thickness.

Local scale

The local scale focuses on the exploited area within the Los Humeros caldera rim. The model was developed over a block of $9.5 \times 12.5 \times 12$ km (i.e. down to 7 km b.s.l.) block. At this scale, structures and geological formations are more detailed than in the regional area. In particular, a network of seventeen faults structures the area (Fig. 11).

The modelled faults at the local scale are: (2) AntiguaFault, (3) CuevaAhumada, (6) LHCaldRim, (7) LHumerosFault, (8) LPoterosCald, (9) LaCuesta, (10) LasCruces, (11) LasPapas, (12) LasViboras, (13) LosConejos, (14) MastaloyaCrater, (15) MastaloyaFault, (17) ArroyoGrande, (18) LPoterosFault, (19) LasLomas, (20) LomaBlanca, (21) Pedernal. They belong to a fault network where: (7), (9), and (15) stop on (2); (13) stops on (3); (17) stops on (12); (2) stops on (14).

The area is located where the two reference cross-sections intersect. In addition, the sixteen wells are all located within the local frame. The data are quite well distributed in the area of the Los Humeros local geological model. Only one complementary cross section (see trace on Fig. 6) provides extra interpretation data for constraining the 3-D model. The geometry of the selected nine units is presented on Fig. 12.
Figure 7. Acoculco geological map reinterpreted from Avellán et al. (2018a) using the five groups selected for the modelling. Blue triangles: location of the two wells used for modelling. Fault traces: normal faults (red), strike to oblique slip movement (blue), faults developed during the caldera collapse evolution (orange). AA’ and BB’: two main interpreted cross-sections (see Fig. 13). Dark grey trace: additional cross-section interpreted to constrain the model. Coordinate system is WGS84/UTM zone 14N.

Figure 8. The two main cross-sections used for modelling the Los Humeros area. AA’ from Carrasco-Núñez et al. (2017b). BB’ from Norini et al. (2015). See Fig. 2 for location.
The main reference for the Acoculco preliminary regional geomodel is the geological map by Avellán et al. (2018a) (Fig. 4); Fig. 7 shows the re-interpreted map. In addition, two geological cross-sections were drawn for highlighting the deep structures down to the brittle/ductile transition zone, estimated at about 4 km depth (below ground level) based on the thermal gradient (Fig. 13). Relevant data from the two wells available on the site were also taken into account, as were the available GEMex fieldwork data. A 15 m resolution DEM was used for the modelling. Nine supplementary cross-sections (see traces on Fig. 7) were interpreted to better constrain and guarantee a consistent 3-D understanding of the relationship between faults and formations.

The model covers a $56 \times 37 \times 10.5$ km block (i.e. down to 7 km b.s.l.). Twenty-six fault segments were introduced into the geological model, the fault network being based on the recent geological map by Avellán et al. (2018a) and the fieldwork done during the first stage of GEMex project (Fig. 14).

The modelled faults are: (a) NF_1a, (b) NF_1b, (c) NF_2, (d) NF_3b, (e) NF_4a, (f) NF_4b, (g) NF_4c, (h) NF_5, (i) NF_6, (j) OF_1a, (k) OF_1b, (l) OF_1c, (m) OF_1d, (n) OF_1e, (o) OF_1f, (p) OF_1g, (q) OF_1h, (r) OF_2a, (s) OF_2b, (t) OF_2c, (u) OF_3, (v) OF_4, (w) OF_5,
5 Discussion

The work described here initially was mainly based on existing bibliographic and map data. This meant that some geological objects were weakly constrained, especially far from the wells and at depth. The preliminary results presented here are thus partly based on the interpretations by experts of the modelled areas. However, the closely collaborative work between the European and Mexican partners enhanced the robustness and reliability of these interpretations.

5.1 Los Humeros

CFE (Comisión Federal de Electricidad, Mexico) provided detailed lithological descriptions of the rocks intersected by each of the 16 geothermal wells used for constructing the local 3-D model of Los Humeros. Most of those descriptions were made by CFE geologists from cuttings obtained during well drilling, which were further analysed under the microscope. This produced a very detailed and varying lithology that was useful for CFE, but impractical for modelling purposes. Thus, it was necessary to regroup all lithological variations into nine lithological units for the local model.

However, in some wells it was difficult to assign some parts of the lithological columns defined by the experts from CFE to one of those nine lithological units. That led to uncertain lithological correlations in the local model between some wells. This issue will be re-examined in the next stages of the project, especially when data from 35 additional wells will be provided by CFE.

Isotopic studies, carried out for the GEMex project to compare geothermal fluids and water from springs around Los Humeros, suggest that the recharge area of the geothermal system is of regional scale, in addition to local recharge inside the caldera area. This implies that regional structures channelling meteoric water are necessary for maintaining the geothermal system. However, the preliminary Los Humeros models show no connection between regional and local structures, and more work will be necessary for ascertaining that pathways exist between the outer and inner parts of the Los Humeros caldera.

In Los Humeros, the regional and the local models were dedicated to investigating scale-related processes. Large structures or the recharge of the geothermal system were evaluated at a regional scale, while understanding of the exploited reservoir requires a local-scale examination. In addition, the two scales are complementary; studies carried out at the regional scale provide boundary conditions for the local-scale work. For instance, local-scale reservoir modelling, benefits from the hydrogeological simulation at a regional scale.

5.2 Acoculco

The preliminary model is the basis for further work around the two existing boreholes, i.e. the evaluation of EGS feasibility. In Acoculco, the volcanic formations range from Late Miocene to Present. Their evolution took place within the same stress field, unchanged over time (Avellán et al., 2018a). This also provided the setting that created the volcano and caldera.

The Acoculco area is intersected by NNW-SSE and NE-SW to ENE-WSW fault systems in mutual cross-cut relations, which suggest their contemporaneity. The faults belong to three different groups in terms of geometry and kinematics (see Fig. 7). The first group includes mainly ENE-WSW oriented normal faults. The second group comprises NNW-SSE faults with a typical strike- to oblique-slip movement. The last group concerns the caldera-rim faults, developed during caldera collapse.

The two exploration boreholes in Acoculco intersected a granite intrusion at about 1600 m depth, whose extension is unknown and whose geometry in the 3-D model must be considered as indicative only. However, the existing thermal anomaly cannot be related to such an intrusion, indicating that the magmatic source should be much deeper.

The Acoculco preliminary model will be refined with the help of new data obtained from the reprocessing of old geophysical Vertical Electrical Sounding (VES) and Transient Electromagnetic (TEM) data, the new planned fieldwork, and from the ongoing geophysical Magnetotelluric (MT) and gravity surveys.

6 Conclusions

As part of the GEMex project, three preliminary geological models were constructed: a regional and a local one for Los Humeros, and a regional one for Acoculco. These models consist in a primary 3-D interpretation of the main geological objects, based on existing data and knowledge. Each model was set up – considering the scale it represents and the specific context of the site – to provide a continuous and coherent geometry of the selected faults and geological formations.

The numeric versions of the preliminary models are used as input for computations that require a quantified geometrical framework. The 3-D geological models are meshed.
The terms of the H2020 GEMex Grant Agreement restrict the use of the preliminary models to the partners of the project. Consequently, the data and results described in this paper are not available outside the GEMex consortium.
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