Two new species of Centroptilum Eaton, 1869 from North Africa (Ephemeroptera, Baetidae)
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Abstract

Based on recently collected larvae from Algeria and Morocco, the species delimitation within the genus Centroptilum Eaton, 1869 in that region is validated. Two new species are described and illustrated, one from north-eastern Algeria, and one from North Morocco, using an integrated approach with morphological and molecular evidence. A table summarising the morphological differences between the new species and Centroptilum luteolum (Müller, 1776) from Central Europe is provided. Further, molecular evidence for additional undescribed species of Centroptilum in other regions of the West Palearctic is provided and discussed.
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Introduction

Thomas (1998) provided a provisional checklist of the mayflies from the Maghreb including 69 species: 41 from Morocco, 50 from Algeria, and 29 from Tunisia. This checklist included 17 species of Baetidae, nine additional species of this family needed to be confirmed. During the last two decades, important improvements were made in the knowledge of North African mayflies. A few new species of Baetidae, Leptophlebiidae, Heptageniidae, and Prosopistomatidae were described from Tunisia, Algeria, and Morocco (Soldán et al. 2005; Zrelli et al. 2011; Benhadji et al. 2018; Kechemir et al. 2020; Dambri et al. 2022; El Alami et al. 2022b), and new reports were provided for countries or basins, especially for Tunisia (Zrelli et al. 2011, 2012, 2016), East and West Algeria (Benhadji et al. 2020; Samraoui et al. 2021a, b), and Morocco (Khadri et al. 2017; Mabrouki et al. 2017; El Alami et al. 2022a; Zerrouk et al. 2021). A few species were morphologically revised including in some cases the description of previously unknown stages (Soldán et al. 2005; Zrelli et al. 2012; Godunko et al. 2018). However, the status of several species needs confirmation, especially concerning widely distributed Palearctic species originally described from Central Europe. An integrative approach, based on multiple evidence like morphological, molecular, ecological, and biogeographical data, should be widely used to solve this riddle. Among these problematic cases are the various reports of Centroptilum luteolum (Müller, 1776) from Algeria, Morocco, and Tunisia that need to be confirmed.

The genus Centroptilum Eaton, 1869 originally encompassed only the two species distributed in Europe and North America. It was, at that time, mainly defined by imaginal characters, adults being mostly similar to Cloeon Leach, 1815, but different by the presence of narrow hindwings with a long costal process. The generic concept was rapidly broadened to encompass all Baetidae with single intercalary veins and presence of hindwings. Species from all biogeographical regions, including Australasia, were assigned to this genus with the highest diversity in the Afrotropical and Nearctic regions. The generic concept was step by step circumscribed mainly by excluding the Afrotropical species and creating new genera to accommodate them (Gillies 1990; Lugo-Ortiz and McCafferty 1998). In the Maghreb, the species Centroptilum dimorphicum (Soldán & Thomas, 1985) was assigned to the Afrotropical genus Cheleocloeon Wuiilott & Gillies, 1993 (Lugo-Ortiz and McCafferty 1997). Finally, the concept of Centroptilum was restricted to the type species C. luteolum (Kluge 2012, 2016). All species previously attributed to Centroptilum were either assigned to other genera such as Anafroptilum, Neocloeon, and Cloeon or considered as Incertae sedis (Centroptilum collendum Harker, 1957 and Centroptilum elongatum Suter, 1986 from Australia) or species inquirenda (Centroptilum pirinense Ikonomov, 1962 from the Balkans). The history and concept of the genus Centroptilum were recently summarised in detail by Martynov et al. (2022). In the same article, the authors described a new species from the South Caucasus. They provided a table with all reliable characters to securely separate the species within Centroptilum. They also gave genetic evidence that the European populations of C. luteolum are most probably diphyletic and correspond to two putative species.
The genus *Centroptilum* was reported from the whole Maghreb. In Tunisia, the genus seems to be extremely rare as Boumaïza and Thomas (1995) only reported a single larva in their extensive survey of the country; they also considered it to be the most sensitive species to ionic concentration. In Algeria, the genus has a very limited distribution as it was recently only collected in the El Kala basin (Samraoui et al. 2021a); it seems to be absent from surrounding basins in East Algeria and other parts of the country (Benhadji et al. 2020; Samraoui et al. 2021b). Its distribution is also limited in Morocco as it was only collected in the northern part of the country (El Alami et al. 2022a). As already previously stated (Samraoui et al. 2021a; El Alami et al. 2022a), the genus *Centroptilum* needs to be revised in North Africa. In the present study, we use recently collected specimens from north-eastern Algeria and North Morocco to validate the species delimitation, and to describe two new species; we use an integrative approaches combining morphological and molecular evidence.

**Materials and methods**

The specimens from Algeria were collected between 2018 and 2020 by BS, and the specimens from Morocco in 2014 and 2021 by MEA and collaborators. Comparative material from Switzerland was collected by André Wagner (MZL). The larvae were preserved in 70%–96% ethanol.

The dissection of larvae was done in Cellosolve (2-Ethoxyethanol) with subsequent mounting on slides with Euparal liquid, using an Olympus SZX7 stereomicroscope.

Drawings were made using an Olympus BX43 microscope. To facilitate the determination of the new species and the comparison of important structures with other species, we partly used a combination of dorsal and ventral aspects in the same drawing (see Kaltenbach et al. 2020: fig. 1c).

Photographs of larvae were taken using a Canon EOS 6D camera and processed with Adobe Photoshop Lightroom (http://www.adobe.com) and Helicon Focus v. 5.3 (http://www.heliconsoft.com). Photographs of body parts of the larvae were taken with an Olympus BX51 microscope equipped with an Olympus SC50 camera and processed with Olympus (recently Evident) software Stream Basic v. 1.3. All pictures were subsequently enhanced with Adobe Photoshop Elements 13.

Distribution maps were generated with SimpleMappr (https://simplemappr.net, Shorthouse 2010). The GPS coordinates of the sample locations are given in Table 1. The terminology follows Hubbard (1995) and Kluge (2004). Table 2 of this study was partly developed based on Martynov et al. (2022: table II).

For the molecular part of the study, we first downloaded all *Centroptilum* cytochrome oxidase subunit 1 (COI) sequences available on GenBank as on 13.04.2022 using a custom script, resulting in 99 records. We then manually removed all sequences from specimens collected outside the Western Palearctic, resulting in 34 European sequences for further analyses. We also examined the sequences available on the BOLDSYSTEMS data portal as on 13.04.2022, but excluded all sequences shared
with GenBank, those from specimens collected outside the Western Palearctic, and one sequence that did not blast with *Centroptilum* (i.e., most probably resulting from a misidentification or a contamination). As a result, no additional sequence could be obtained. We also included three sequences from the European mayfly FREDIE project (unpublished; https://wp.fredie.eu/). Finally, seven specimens were newly sequenced for this study (Table 1; the nomenclature of gene sequences follows Chakrabarty et al. (2013)), for a total of 44 *Centroptilum* sequences in our molecular data set. The DNA of the sequenced specimens was extracted using non-destructive methods allowing subsequent morphological analysis (see Vuataz et al. 2011 for details). We amplified a 658 bp fragment of the COI gene using the primers LCO 1490 and HCO 2198 (Folmer et al. 1994, see Kaltenbach and Gattolliat 2020 for details). Sequencing was done with Sanger’s method (Sanger et al. 1977). Forward and reverse sequencing reads were assembled and edited in CodonCode Aligner 10.0.2 (Codon-Code Corporation, Dedham, MA), and aligned using MAFFT (Katoh et al. 2019) with default settings as implemented in Jalview 2.11.2.2 (Waterhouse et al. 2009). The best evolutionary model (HKY+Γ+I) was selected following the second-order Akaike information criterion (AICc; Hurvich and Tsai 1989) implemented in JModelTest 2.1.10 (Darriba et al. 2012) with seven substitution schemes and all other parameters set to default. In order to accommodate different substitution rates among COI codon positions, we analysed our data set in two partitions, one with first and second codon positions and one with third positions (1 + 2, 3). Bayesian inference (BI) gene tree reconstruction was conducted in MrBayes 3.2.7a (Ronquist et al. 2012). Two independent analyses of four MCMC chains run for five million generations with trees sampled every 1’000 generations were implemented, and 500’000 generations were discarded as a burn in after visually verifying run stationarity and convergence in Tracer 1.7.2 (Rambaut et al. 2018). One representative of four species belonging to the same subfamily as *Centroptilum* (i.e., Cloeoninae sensu Bauernfeind and Soldán 2012) were used as outgroup. The consensus tree was visualised and edited in iTOL 6.5.7 (Letunic and Bork 2021).

To explore COI evolutionary divergence and compare it to our morphological identifications, we applied three single-locus species delimitation methods to our CO1 data set: the distance-based ASAP (Assemble Species by Automatic Partitioning; Puillandre et al. 2020), the tree-based GMYC (General Mixed Yule-Coalescent; Pons et al. 2006; Fujisawa and Barraclough 2013), and mPTP (multi-rate Poisson Tree Processes; Kapli et al. 2017) approaches. The ASAP method, which is an improvement of the widely used ABGD (Automatic Barcode Gap Discovery; Puillandre et al. 2012) approach, has the advantage of providing a score that designates the most likely number of hypothetical species. The GMYC model, which requires a time-calibrated ultrametric tree as input, implements a Maximum Likelihood (ML) approach that defines a threshold separating the branches modelled under speciation events (Yule process) from those described by allele neutral coalescence. The mPTP approach, which is a multi-rate extension of the PTP (Poisson Tree Processes; Zhang et al. 2013), also exploits intra- and interspecies phylogenetic differences, but with the advantage of
directly using the number of substitutions from a phylogenetic tree, eliminating the need for time calibration.

ASAP was applied to our COI alignment using the ASAP webserver available at https://bioinfo.mnhn.fr/abi/public/asap/asapweb.html, computing the genetic distances under the Kimura 2-parameter substitution model (K2P; Kimura 1980) with all other settings set to default. Input BI ultra-metric tree for GMYC was generated in BEAST 1.10.4. (Suchard et al. 2018). To avoid potential biases in threshold estimation, the outgroups were removed, and identical CO1 haplotypes were pruned (see Talavera et al. 2013) using Collapsetypes 4.6 (Chesters 2013). Input BEAST file was created in BEAUTi (Suchard et al. 2018), implementing the best model of evolution and the partition scheme specified above, and selecting a relaxed molecular clock (uncorrelated lognormal) model, a coalescent (constant size) prior (see Monaghan et al. 2009) and a UPGMA starting tree. Two independent MCMC chains were run for 50 million generations, sampling trees every 1’000 generations. Run convergence was visually verified in Tracer and the independent log and tree files were combined using LogCombiner 1.10.4 (Suchard et al. 2018) after discarding 10% of the trees as burn-in. The maximum clade credibility tree, generated in TreeAnnotator 1.10.4 (Suchard et al. 2018) with all options set to default, was used as input for GMYC, which was run in R 4.2.0 (R Core Team 2022) using the SPLITS package 1.0-20 (Ezard et al. 2009). We favoured the single-threshold version of the GMYC model because it was shown to outperform the multiple-threshold version (Fujisawa and Barraclough 2013). Input ML tree for mPTP was generated in RAxML-NG 1.1.0 (Kozlov et al. 2019) from our CO1 alignment (outgroup included), selecting the all-in-one (ML search + bootstrapping) option and MRE-based bootstrap convergence criterion. The best model of evolution and the partition scheme specified above, as well as 50 random and 50 parsimony starting trees were implemented. mPTP was conducted on the web service available at https://mptp.h-its.org. Finally, the number of parsimony-informative sites and the mean COI genetic distances between and within species were calculated in MegaX (Kumar et al. 2018; Stecher et al. 2020) under the K2P model.

**Table 1.** Examined and sequenced specimens.

| Species          | Country | Location            | Coordinates                  | Specimen catalogue # | GenBank #(CO1) | GenSeq | Nomenclature |
|------------------|---------|---------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|--------|--------------|
| Centroptilum     | Algeria | Louar inf.          | 36°37’03”N, 08°22’49”E       | GBIFCH00763735       | OP113123       | genseq-2 COI |
| samraouii sp.    |         | Guita sup.          | 36°36’42”N, 08°21’19”E       | GBIFCH00895417       | OP113124       | genseq-2 COI |
| nov.             |         |                     |                              | GBIFCH00895418       | OP113125       | genseq-2 COI |
|                  |         |                     |                              | GBIFCH00654969       | OP113126       | genseq-2 COI |
|                  | Morocco | Guita inf.          | 36°37’05”N, 08°20’47”E       | GBIFCH00975621       | OP113127       | n/a    | genseq-2 COI |
| alamaiae sp.     |         | Oued Kelâa          | 35°14’32”N, 05°10’10”W       | GBIFCH00980875       | OP113128       | genseq-2 COI |
| nov.             |         |                     |                              | GBIFCH00980876       |                | n/a    |              |
|                  | Iran    | Oued Jnane Niche    | 35°15’29”N, 04°52’42”W       | GBIFCH00975647       |                | n/a    |              |
| sp.              |         | Javarem             | 36°13’43”N, 52°54’32”E       | GBIFCH00763741       | OP113129       | genseq-4 COI |
Abbreviations:

MZL  Musée de Zoologie Lausanne (Switzerland);
LESCB Laboratoire Ecologie, Systématique, Conservation de la Biodiversité, Tétouan (Morocco).

Results

Taxonomy

Centroptilum samraouii Kaltenbach, Vuataz & Gattolliat, sp. nov.
https://zoobank.org/C04FC672-92F6-4E55-8B48-FB4D5BDD93BD
Figs 1–3, 4a, d, 5a, 6

Differential diagnosis to other species of Centroptilum. Larva. Following combination of characters: A) labrum with anterior margin nearly straight; ratio width vs. length ca. 1.6× (Fig. 1a); B) maxillary palp ca. 1.9× as long as galea-lacinia, segment III apically pointed; segment III ca. 1.3× as long as segment II (Fig. 1g); C) inner distal margin of labial palp segment III concave (Fig. 1j); D) dorsal margin of fore femur with occasional short, spine-like setae (Fig. 2a); E) fore tarsus slightly longer than tibia (1.1×; Fig. 2a) F) claw with two rows of denticles, each with ca. 20 small to minute denticles (Fig. 2b); G) paraproct with 17–23 pointed spines, plus some additional submarginal spines (Fig. 2j).

Description. Larva (Figs 1–3, 4a, d, 5a). Body length 3.8–4.2 mm. Cerci: ca. 2/3 of body length. Paracercus: nearly as long as cerci. Antennae reaching apex of fore proptera.

Colouration (Fig. 3a, b). Head, thorax and abdomen dorsally brown, with dark grey-brown pattern as in Fig. 3a. Head and thorax ventrally brown, with dark grey-brown lateral marks on thorax (Fig. 3b). Abdomen ventrally light brown. Legs light brown, apex of femur and claw darker. Caudalii ecru, brown annulated.

Labrum (Fig. 1a). Rectangular, width ca. 1.6× maximum length. Distal margin with broad, angulated, medial emargination. Anterior margin nearly straight. Dorsal surface scattered with long, medium and short, simple setae; setae not arranged in a submarginal arc. Ventrally with marginal row of setae composed of anterolateral long, simple, pointed setae and medial long, apically blunt, pectinate setae; ventral surface with ca. seven short, stout setae near lateral and anterolateral margin.

Right mandible (Fig. 1b, c). Incisor and kinetodontium separated. Incisor with three denticles; kinetodontium with two denticles. Prostheca stick-like, distally with two denticles. Margin between prostheca and mola almost straight, with two tufts of long setae. Tuft of setae at apex of mola present.

Left mandible (Fig. 1d, e). Incisor and kinetodontium separated. Incisor with four denticles; kinetodontium with three denticles. Prostheca stick-like, distally denticulate. Margin between prostheca and mola straight, with large brush-like tuft of long setae.
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Figure 1. *Centroptilum samraouii* sp. nov., larva morphology

- **a** labrum (left: ventral view; right: dorsal view)
- **b** right mandible
- **c** right prostheca
- **d** left mandible
- **e** left prostheca
- **f** hypopharynx and superlinguae
- **g** maxilla
- **h** seta, ventrolateral
- **i** glossa and paraglossa (left: ventral view; right: dorsal view)
- **j** labial palp (left: ventral view; right: dorsal view).
Subtriangular process short, on level of area between prostheca and mola. Tuft of setae at apex of mola absent.

**Hypopharynx and superlinguae** (Fig. 1f). Lingua as long as superlinguae. Lingua longer than broad; distal half laterally not expanded; distal margin with short, fine setae, tuft of stout setae short. Superlinguae distally rounded; lateral margins rounded; fine, short to long, simple setae along distal margin.

**Maxilla** (Fig. 1g, h). Galea-lacinia ventrally with 3–5 simple, apical setae under canines. Canines long and slender. With three denti-setae, distal denti-seta canine-like, middle and proximal denti-setae slender, bifid and pectinate. Medially with one pectinate, spine-like seta and two simple, spine-like setae (dorsolateral insertions); and ca. eight long setae with bifurcated tips (bifurcation often difficult to see; ventrolateral insertions). Maxillary palp 3-segmented, ca. 1.9× as long as length of galea-lacinia; palp segment III ca. 1.3× length of segment II; setae on maxillary palp fine, simple, scattered over surface of segments I, II, and III; apex of last segment pointed.

**Labium** (Fig. 1i, j). Glossa nearly as broad and slightly shorter than paraglossa; inner and outer margins with many short, spine-like setae; apex with two medium, robust setae; dorsal surface with long, fine, simple, scattered setae. Paraglossa curved inward; ventrally with many long setae along outer lateral and apical margin, and row of long, stout, pointed, simple setae along inner lateral margin; dorsal surface with long, fine, simple, scattered setae. Labial palp 3-segmented. Segment III nearly trapezoidal with rounded distal corners, distal margin concave; outer lateral margin with short to medium, fine, simple setae, distal margin with short, spine-like and short, fine, simple setae; ventral surface with medium, fine, simple, scattered setae. Segment II with medium, fine, simple, scattered setae along outer lateral margin and on ventral surface; dorsally with 5–7 short, spine-like setae along distal margin. Segment I with medium, fine, simple setae scattered on ventral surface.

**Hind protoptera** well developed.

**Foreleg** (Fig. 2a, b) very slender. Ratio of foreleg segments 1.6:1.0:1.1:0.4. **Femur.** Length ca. 5× maximum width. Dorsal margin with occasional short, spine-like setae. Apex slightly rounded. Short, stout, pointed setae scattered along ventral margin; femoral patch absent. **Tibia.** Dorsal margin bare. Ventral margin with row of short, curved, spine-like setae and additional stout, pointed setae along margin. Anterior surface scattered with few stout, pointed, and partly serrate setae along ventral margin. Patellatibial suture present in basal ¼ area. **Tarsus.** Dorsal margin bare. Ventral margin with dense row of short, curved, serrate, spine-like setae. **Claw** with two rows of 17–20 minute denticles each, in basal ca. 1/3 area, increasing in size distally; subapical setae absent.

**Terga** (Figs 4a, d, 5a). Posterior margin of terga: I smooth, without spines; II with rudimentary spines; III with small, triangular spines; IV–IX with triangular spines.

**Sterna.** Posterior margin of sterna VII–VIII smooth, without spines. Posterior margin of sterna VII–VIII with small, triangular spines.

**Tergali** (Figs 2c–i, 3c). Present on segments I–VII. Costal margins with minute denticles and short, fine, simple setae, anal margins almost smooth. Tracheae extending from main trunk to inner and outer margins. Tergalius I as long as length of segments
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**Figure 2.** *Centroptilum samraouii* sp. nov., larva morphology a foreleg b fore claw c tergalius I d tergalius II e tergalius III f tergalius IV g tergalius V h tergalius VI i tergalius VII j paraproct k caudalii, spines on posterior margin of segments.
II–IV combined; tergalius IV as long as length of segments V and VI combined; tergalius VII as long as length of segments VIII and IX combined.

**Paraproct** (Fig. 2j). With 17–23 pointed marginal spines of different size, and some additional spines in second row. Cercotractor with minute, irregular, marginal spines.

**Caudalii** (Fig. 2k). Spines at posterior margins of segments elongated triangular with long points.

**Subimago.** Judging from subimaginal tarsomeres developing under cuticle of last instar female larvae, all tarsomeres of all legs of female subimago have pointed microlepids on surface (see Kluge 2022).

**Imago.** Unknown.

**Etymology.** Dedicated to Prof. Boudjéma Samraoui, committed researcher on aquatic insects in Algeria, and collector of the new species; in recognition to his substantial contribution to the knowledge of the ecology and distribution of Algerian mayflies.

![Figure 3. Centroptilum samraouii sp. nov., larva a habitus, dorsal view b habitus, ventral view c tergalius IV. Scale bars: 1 mm (a, b); 0.1 mm (c).](image-url)
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Biological aspects. *Centroptilum samraouii* sp. nov. occupies the headwaters of steep, narrow and intermittent streams (Fig. 6c, d; Samraoui et al. 2021b, c).

Distribution (Fig. 6e). Algeria.

Type-material. **Holotype.** *Algeria* • larva; Guitna sup., Ghora; 36°36'42"N, 08°21'19"E; 22.01.2020; leg. B. Samraoui; on slides; GBIFCH00592552, GBIFCH00592551, GBIFCH00592622; MZL. **Paratypes.** *Algeria* • 2 larvae; Guitna sup., Ghora; 36°36'42"N, 08°21'19"E; 05.11.2019; leg. B. Samraoui; on slides; GBIFCH00895417, GBIFCH00895418; MZL • 3 larvae; Guitna sup.; 36°36'42"N, 08°21'19"E; 09.10.2019; leg. B. Samraoui; on slide; GBIFCH00592553; 2 in alcohol; GBIFCH00975620, GBIFCH00975623; MZL • larva; Louar inf., Ghora; 36°37'03"N, 08°22'49"E; 05.11.2019; leg. B. Samraoui; on slide; GBIFCH00592555; MZL • larva; Algeria; Guitna inf.; 07.11.2018; leg. B. Samraoui; in alcohol; GBIFCH00975621; MZL.

*Centroptilum alamiae* Kaltenbach, Vuataz & Gattolliat, sp. nov.

https://zoobank.org/0468CE29-CFF8-4DF7-ABB9-562D1C9B099F

Figs 4b, e, 5b, 6–9

Differential diagnosis to other species of *Centroptilum*. Larva. Following combination of characters: A) labrum with anterior margin slightly concave; ratio width vs. length ca. 1.5× (Fig. 7a); B) maxillary palp ca. 1.7× as long as galea-lacinia, segment III apically rounded; segment III ca. 1.6× as long as segment II (Fig. 7g); C) inner distal margin of labial palp segment III slightly concave (Fig. 7k); D) dorsal margin of fore femur with occasional short, spine-like setae; row of stout, pointed setae near margin (Fig. 8a); E) tarsus approx. as long as tibia (Fig. 8a); F) claw with two rows of denticles, each row with ca. 20 small to minute denticles (Fig. 8b); G) paraproc with 30–45 pointed spines, sometimes with split tips, few additional, submarginal spines (Fig. 8j).

Description. Larva (Figs 4b, e, 5b, 7–9). Body length 5.6–7.0 mm. Caudal ii broken. Antennae reaching apex of fore protoptera.

Colouration (Fig. 9a–c). Head, thorax and abdomen dorsally brown, with dark grey-brown pattern as in Fig. 9a. Head, thorax and abdomen ventrally light brown, with dark grey-brown lateral marks on thorax (Fig. 9c). Legs light brown, femur distomedially slightly darker, tarsus basally and distally slightly darker, claw basally darker. Caudal ii light brown, darker annulated.

Labrum (Fig. 7a). Rectangular, width ca. 1.5× maximum length. Distal margin with broad, angulated, medial emargination. Anterior margin slightly concave. Dorsal surface scattered with long, medium and short, simple setae; setae not arranged in a submarginal arc. Ventral with marginal row of setae composed of anterolateral long, simple, pointed setae and medial long, apically blunt, pectinate setae; ventral surface with ca. nine short, stout setae near lateral and anterolateral margin.

Right mandible (Fig. 7b, c). Incisor and kinetodontium separated. Incisor with three denticles; kinetodontium with two denticles. Prostheca stick-like, distally with three denticles. Margin between prostheca and mola almost straight, with two tufts of long setae. Tuft of setae at apex of mola present.
Figure 4. Larvae, posterior margins of terga. *Centroptilum samraouii* sp. nov. a tergum III d tergum IV; *Centroptilum alamiae* sp. nov. b tergum III e tergum IV; *Centroptilum luteolum* c tergum III f tergum IV.
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**Left mandible** (Fig. 7d, e). Incisor and kinetodontium separated. Incisor with four denticles; kinetodontium with three denticles. Prostheca stick-like, distolaterally denticulate. Margin between prostheca and mola straight, with large brush-like tuft of long setae. Subtriangular process short, on level of area between prostheca and mola. Tuft of setae at apex of mola absent.

**Hypopharynx and superlinguae** (Fig. 7f). Lingua as long as superlinguae. Lingua longer than broad; distal half laterally not expanded; distal margin with short, fine setae, tuft of stout setae short. Superlinguae distally rounded; lateral margins rounded; fine, short to long, simple setae along distal margin.

**Maxilla** (Fig. 7g). Galea-lacinia ventrally with four or five simple, apical setae under canines. Canines long and slender. With three denti-setae, distal denti-seta canine-like, middle and proximal denti-setae slender, bifid and pectinate. Medially with one pectinate, spine-like seta and three simple, spine-like setae (dorsolateral insertions); and ca. six long setae, partly with bifurcated tips (bifurcation often difficult to see; ventrolateral insertions). Maxillary palp 3-segmented, ca. 1.7× as long as length of galea-lacinia; palp segment III ca. 1.6× length of segment II; setae on maxillary palp fine, simple, scattered over surface of segments I, II, and III; apex of last segment rounded.

**Figure 5.** Larvae, posterior margins of terga VII a *Centroptilum samraouii* sp. nov. b *Centroptilum alamiae* sp. nov. c *Centroptilum luteolum*. 
Figure 6. Habitats and distribution of the new species **a, b** Centroptilum alamiae sp. nov., habitats **a** Oued Kelâa (type locality) **b** Oued Jnane Niche, **c, d** Centroptilum samraouii sp. nov., habitats **c** Guitna sup. (type locality) **d** Louar inf. **e** distribution map.
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Labium (Fig. 7h–k). Glossa nearly as broad and slightly shorter than paraglossa; inner and outer margins with many short, spine-like setae; apex with two medium, robust setae; dorsal surface with long, fine, simple, scattered setae. Paraglossa curved inward; ventrally with many long setae along outer lateral and apical margin, and row of long, stout, pointed, simple setae along inner lateral margin; dorsal surface with long, fine, simple, scattered setae. Labial palp 3-segmented. Segment III nearly trapezoidal with rounded distal corners, distal margin slightly concave; outer lateral margin with short to medium, fine, simple setae, distal margin with short, spine-like and short, fine, simple setae; ventral surface with medium, fine, simple, scattered setae. Segment II with medium, fine, simple, scattered setae along outer lateral margin and on ventral surface; dorsally with seven or eight short, spine-like setae along distal margin. Segment I with medium, fine, simple setae scattered on ventral surface and on outer lateral margin.

Hind proptera well developed.

Foreleg (Fig. 8a, b) very slender. Ratio of foreleg segments 1.6:1.0:1.0:0.4. Femur. Length ca. 5× maximum width. Dorsal margin with occasional short, spine-like setae, row of short, pointed setae near margin. Apex slightly rounded. Short, stout, pointed setae scattered along ventral margin; femoral patch absent. Tibia. Dorsal margin bare. Ventral margin with row of short, curved, spine-like setae and some aditional stout, pointed setae along margin. Anterior surface scattered with short, stout, pointed, and partly serrate setae along ventral margin. Patellatibial suture present in basal 1/3 area. Tarsus. Dorsal margin bare. Ventral margin with dense row of short, curved, serrate, spine-like setae. Claw with two rows of 17–20 minute denticles each, in basal ca. 1/3 area, increasing in size distally; subapical setae absent.

Terga (Figs 4b, e, 5b). Posterior margin of terga: I smooth, without spines; II–VI (VII) with small triangular spines; VII–IX with triangular, pointed spines.

Sterna. Posterior margin of sterna I–VI smooth, without spines. Posterior margin of sterna VII–VIII with small, triangular spines.

Tergali (Figs 8c–i, 9d). Present on segments I–VII. Costal margins with minute denticles and short, fine, simple setae, anal margins almost smooth. Tracheae extending from main trunk to inner and outer margins. Tergalus I as long as length of segments II and III combined; tergus IV as long as length of segments V and VI combined; terga VII as long as length of segments VIII and IX combined.

Paraproct (Fig. 8j). With irregular row of 30–45 pointed marginal spines of different size, some with split tips, and few additional spines in second row. Cercotractor with minute, irregular, marginal spines.

Caudalii (Fig. 8k). Spines at posterior margins of segments short triangular, pointed.

Subimago. Judging from subimaginal tarsomeres developing under cuticle of last instar female larvae, all tarsomeres of all legs of female subimago have pointed microlepids on surface (see Kluge 2022).

Imago. Unknown.

Etymology. Dedicated to Prof. Majida El Alami, committed researcher on aquatic insects in Morocco, and collector of some of the specimens; in recognition of her substantial contribution to the knowledge of the systematics, ecology, and distribution of Moroccan mayflies.
Figure 7. *Centroptilum alamiae* sp. nov., larva morphology a labrum (left: ventral view; right: dorsal view) b right mandible c right prostheca d left mandible e left prostheca f hypopharynx and superlinguae g maxilla h glossa and paraglossa (ventral view) i glossa and paraglossa (ventral view) j glossa and paraglossa (dorsal view) k labial palp (left: ventral view; right: dorsal view).
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**Figure 8.** *Centroptilum alamiae* sp. nov., larva morphology. 
- a: foreleg
- b: fore claw
- c: tergalius I
- d: tergalius II
- e: tergalius III
- f: tergalius IV
- g: tergalius V
- h: tergalius VI
- i: tergalius VII
- j: paraproct
- k: caudalii, spines on posterior margins of segments.
Figure 9. Centroptilum alamiae sp. nov., larva a habitus, dorsal view b habitus, lateral view c habitus, ventral view d tergialis IV. Scale bars: 1 mm (a–c); 0.1 mm (d).
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Biological aspects. The specimens were collected in calm edge waters, loose sub-
strate, low to moderate current, high temperatures, and sites rich in filamentous algae
and mosses (Fig. 6a, b; El Alami et al. 2022a).

Distribution (Fig. 6e). Morocco.

Type-material. Holotype. Morocco • larva; Oued Kelâa, Akchour; 35°14’32”N,
05°10’10”W; 13.03.2021; leg. S. El Yaagoubi; on slide; GBIFCH00592619, GBIF-
CH00592620, GBIFCH00592621; MZL. Paratypes. Morocco • 6 larvae; same
data as holotype; 2 on slides; GBIFCH00980875, GBIFCH00980876; 4 in alcohol;
GBIFCH00975645, GBIFCH00975646; MZL • 7 larvae; Oued Jnane Niche (sup.);
16.03.2014; leg. M. El Alami; in alcohol; GBIFCH00975647; MZL • 12 larvae; Oued
Jnane Niche (sup.); 17.05.2015; leg. M. El Alami; 1 on slide; 11 in alcohol; LESC.

Genetics

The COI ingroup data set was 98% complete and included 34% of parsimony informa-
tive sites. The missing data almost exclusively resulted from nine GenBank sequences that
lacked 5’ and/or 3’ end. All main CO1 gene tree relationships were resolved and well
supported, except for the placement of the three clades Centroptilum sp. 1, C. sp. 2, and
C. luteolum 1 (Fig. 10). The four sequences of C. samraoui sp. nov. were grouped in a
well-supported monophyletic clade, supported as a distinct species in the ASAP, GMYC
and mPTP species delimitation analyses (Fig. 10). Similarly, the two sequences of C.
alamiae sp. nov. were grouped in a well-supported monophyletic clade, supported as a
distinct species in all species delimitation analyses. The K2P mean genetic distance within
the four C. samraoui sp. nov. and the two C. alamiae sp. nov. sequences were 0.08% and
0%, respectively. The K2P mean genetic distance between C. samraoui sp. nov. and the
other six species (or putative species) ranged from 22.1% (mean distance to C. alamiae
sp. nov.) to 25.2% (mean distance to C. sp. 1), whereas it ranged from 9.2% (mean dis-
tance to C. luteolum 1) to 25.7% (mean distance to C. volodymyri) for C. alamiae sp. nov.
The three species delimitation methods were congruent, except for one slightly divergent
sequence within the C. luteolum 1 cluster that was isolated by the GMYC, and the three
C. volodymyri sequences that were all considered as distinct putative species according to
ASAP and GMYC.

Discussion

Differentiating characters between species of Centroptilum

The characters differentiating the geographically relatively close species Centroptilum
luteolum, C. samraoui sp. nov. and C. alamiae sp. nov. are summarised in Table
2. Most important are the spines on posterior margin of abdominal terga and the
spines on paraproct margin (see Table 2). Further reliable characters to differentiate both new species from North Africa are the distal margin of the labrum (straight in *C. samraouii* sp. nov., slightly concave in *C. alamiae* sp. nov.); the distal margin of labial palp segment III (concave in *C. samraouii* sp. nov., slightly concave in *C. alamiae* sp. nov.); the relative length of maxillary palp segment III vs. segment II (1.3× in *C. samraouii* sp. nov., 1.6× in *C. alamiae* sp. nov.); and the setation on dorsal margin of femur (only occasional setae in *C. samraouii* sp. nov., additional row of short, pointed setae near margin in *C. alamiae* sp. nov.) (see Table 2).

The recently described species *C. volodymyri* (Georgia, Turkey, Iran) differs from *C. samraouii* sp. nov. and *C. alamiae* sp. nov. by several distinct characters: maxillary palp much

---

**Figure 10.** Bayesian majority-rule consensus tree reconstructed from the CO1 data set. Coloured vertical boxes indicate species delimitation hypothesis according to the ASAP, GMYC and mPTP methods. Tips labelled with GBIF codes indicate newly sequenced specimens, CH007_SR codes designate sequences from the FREDIE project, and other codes correspond to previously published GenBank sequences. For each mPTP species hypothesis, the corresponding species names (where available) and the country of origin is provided. Circles on branches indicate Bayesian posterior probabilities > 0.95. Outgroup branches, tips labels, and species names are presented in grey.
Table 2. Differentiating characters of new species of Centroptilum and C. luteolum (Switzerland, VD, Le Chenit, 18 Aug 2001, leg. A. Wagner) (M: 11B and M: 11F refer to figures in Martynov et al. 2022: fig. 11B, F).

| Characters | No. in Martynov et al. 2022 | C. luteolum Figs | C. samraouii sp. nov. Figs | C. alamiae sp. nov. Figs |
|------------|-----------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| Larva      |                             |                |                           |                          |
| Head, mouthparts |                         |                |                           |                          |
| Labrum, width/length ratio | II.1 | 1.4–1.6 | ca. 1.6 | 1a | ca. 1.5 | 7a |
| Labrum, anterior margin | II.3 | nearly straight, medial emargination angular | nearly straight, medial emargination angular | 1a | slightly concave, medial emargination angular | 7a |
| Maxillary palp, segment III | II.5 | (bluntly) pointed apex | pointed apex | 1g | bluntly pointed/rounded apex | 7g |
| Maxillary palp, length | II.6 | ca. 1.8× as long as segment II | ca. 1.9× as long as galea-lacinia | 1g | ca. 1.7× as long as galea-lacinia | 7g |
| Right mandible, denticles | II.7 | 3 + 2 | 3 + 2 | 1b | 3 + 2 | 7b |
| Left mandible, denticles | II.12 | Distal (inner) margin concave | Distal (inner) margin concave | 1j | Distal (inner) margin slightly concave | 7k |
| Thorax, legs |                         |                |                           |                          |
| Legs, colour pattern | III.4 | femur with brown band distally; tibia proximally darker | legs light brown; claw darker | 3b | femur distomedially darker, tarsus basally and distally darker; claw basally darker | 9a–c |
| Fore femur, dorsal margin | III.6 | occasional short, pointed setae on margin | occasional short, pointed setae on margin | 2a | occasional short, pointed setae on margin; row of short, pointed, setae near margin | 8a |
| Fore tibia, length vs. tarsus | II.12 | ca. equal length | slightly longer (ca. 1.1×) | 2a | ca. equal length | 7a |
| Abdomen |                         |                |                           |                          |
| Terga, posterior margin (spines) | IV.5, 6 | I: no spines II–IX: long, narrow triangular, pointed | 4c, f 5c | I: no spines II–III: small triangular IV–IX: medium triangular | 4a, d 5a | I: no spines II–VI (VII): small triangular VII–IX: medium triangular | 4b, e 5b |
| Terga VII–IX, posterolateral part | IV.7 | VII: no spines VIII: ca. 3 spines EX: 10–13 spines | VII: no spines VIII: ca. 5 spines EX: ca. 8 spines | VII: no spines VIII: ca. 4 spines EX: ca. 12 spines |
| Sterna, posterior margin (spines) | IV.10 | I–IV: no spines V: rudimentary spines VI–IX: medium triangular | I–IV: no spines VII–IX: very small triangular | I–V: no spines VII: rudimentary VII–IX: very small triangular |
| Paraproct, distal margin | IV.14 | 23–30 pointed spines plus some spines in 2nd row M: 11B | 17–23 pointed spines plus fewer smaller in 2nd row 2j | 30–45 pointed spines partly split tips plus few in 2nd row 7j |
| Caudalii, posterior margin of segments (spines) | IV.17 | elongated, triangular spines M: 11F | elongated, triangular spines with long points 2k | triangular spines with short points 8k |

longer than galea-lacinia (ca. 2.3×); maxillary palp segment I distinctly wider than segment II (only slightly wider in all other species); labrum much wider than long (1.8–2.0×); claw with more than 60 minute denticles in two rows (ca. 30 per row) (Martynov et al. 2022; for respective character states of C. samraouii sp. nov. and C. alamiae sp. nov. see Table 2).

The poorly known species C. pirinense (Pirin Mountains, Bulgaria) differs from C. samraouii sp. nov. and C. alamiae sp. nov. at least in the very wide labrum (ca. 2.0×
wider than long; Martynov et al. 2022: table II), whereas in *C. samraouii* sp. nov. it is ca. 1.6× and in *C. alamiae* sp. nov. ca. 1.5× (see Table 2).

**Microlepids of subimagos**

Judging from tarsomeres of subimagos developing under cuticle of female last instar larvae, at least female subimagos of both new species of *Centroptilum* have all their tarsomeres of all legs covered with pointed microlepids. This is in line with *C. luteolum*, which has pointed microlepids on all tarsomeres of all legs of male and female subimagos (Kluge 2022).

**Genetics and biogeography**

The two new North African species described here are highly supported by our CO1-based analyses. First, the minimum mean genetic distance of 9.2% (mean distance between *Centroptilum alamiae* sp. nov. to *C. luteolum* 1) is much higher than the generally accepted intra-/interspecific threshold value of ca. 3% divergence for mayflies (e.g., Ball et al. 2005; Kjærstad et al. 2012; Gattolliat et al. 2015). Second, both new species are well supported in their own monophyletic clade, and third, all three species delimitation analyses are congruent and support the morphological results. Interestingly, the two new species are not supported as closely related, despite their geographical proximity, suggesting a distinct origin. Rather, *C. alamiae* sp. nov., and the European species *C*. sp. 1, *C*. sp. 2, and *C. luteolum* 1 are included in the same well-supported clade sister to the others, which possibly indicates a more recent colonisation event from Europe to Morocco. This hypothesis is supported by the presence of *C. luteolum* 1 in the Pyrenees and in the south of Spain (unpublished sequences from the project FREDIE; not shown in Fig. 10). The type locality of *C. alamiae* sp. nov. in Morocco is geographically closer to the south of Spain than to the type locality of *C. samraouii* sp. nov. in Algeria. All examined specimens of *Centroptilum* in Morocco and Algeria belong to one of the new species and not to *C. luteolum* or any other species of *Centroptilum*. The genus *Centroptilum* seems to be extremely rare in Tunisia, no specimen from this country could be investigated in this study. In conclusion, we cannot formally exclude the presence of *C. luteolum* in the Maghreb at this point in time, but it seems unlikely.
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