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Introduction: An effective leadership is critical to the development of a safety culture within an organization. With this study, the authors wanted to assess the self-perceived level of safety culture among the employees with a leadership function in the Ljubljana Community Health Centre.

Methods: This was a cross-sectional study in the largest community health centre in Slovenia. We sent an invitation to all employees with a leadership role (N=211). The Slovenian version of the SAQ - Short Form as a measurement of a safety culture was used. The data on demographic characteristics (gender, age, role, work experience, working hours, and location of work) were also collected. An electronic survey was used.

Results: The final sample consisted of 154 (69.7%) participants, out of which 136 (88.3%) were women. The mean age and standard deviation of the sample was 46.2±10.5 years. The average scores for the safety culture domains on a scale from 1 to 5 were 4.1±0.6 for Teamwork Climate, Safety Climate, and Working Conditions and Satisfaction, 3.7±0.5 for Perception of Management, 3.6±0.4 for Communication, and 3.5±0.6 for Stress Recognition.

Conclusion: The safety culture among leaders in primary healthcare organizations in Slovenia is perceived as positive. There is also a strong organizational culture. Certain improvements are needed, especially in the field of communication and stress recognition with regards to safety culture.
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IZVLEČEK

Ključne besede: kultura varnosti, primarna zdravstvena raven, organizacijska kultura

Uvod: učinkovito vodenje je ključnega pomena za razvoj kulture varnosti v organizaciji. S to raziskavo so avtorji želeli oceniti zaznano raven kulture varnosti med zaposlenimi z vodilno funkcijo v ljubljanskem zdravstvenem domu.

Metode: to je bila presečna študija v največjem zdravstvenem domu v Sloveniji. Vsem zaposlenim z vodilno vlogo (N = 211) smo poslali povabilo. Za oceno kulture varnosti je bila uporabljena slovenska različica lestvice SAQ - Short Form. Zbrani so bili tudi podatki o demografskih značilnostih (spol, starost, vloga, delovne izkušnje, delovni čas in lokacija dela). Uporabljena je bila elektronska anketa.

Rezultati: končni vzorec je sestavljalo 154 (69,7 %) udeležencev, od tega 136 (88,3 %) žensk. Povprečna starost in standardni odklon vzorca sta bila 46,2 ± 10,5 let. Povprečne ocene za področja kulture varnosti na lestvici od 1 do 5 je bilo 4,1 ± 0,6 za timsko delo, klimo varnosti in delovne pogoje ter zadovoljstvo. Za področje dojemanja vodstva je bila ocena 3,7 ± 0,5, za področje komunikacije 3,6 ± 0,4 in za področje prepoznavanje stresa 3,5 ± 0,6 točk.

Zaključek: kultura varnosti med vodstvenim kadrom največjega zdravstvenega doma v Sloveniji je pozitivna. Obstaja tudi visoka raven organizacijske kulturo. Potrebne so nekatere izboljšave, zlasti na področju komunikacije in prepoznavanja stresa v zvezi s kulturo varnosti.

*Corresponding author: Tel. + 386 3 896 3122; E-mail: zalika.klemenc-ketis@zd-lj.si
1 INTRODUCTION

Patient safety culture is part of the patient safety concept and is defined as a product of the attitudes, values, competencies and patterns of behaviour of individuals and groups that determine healthcare in an organisation (1).

The safety of patients at the primary level of healthcare varies considerably from the safety of patients at a secondary or tertiary level. At the primary level, there is a very large amount of contact with patients, which are usually complex interactions (2), and the uncertainty that is typical of work at the primary level is very important (3). So, patient safety here should focus on accepting uncertainty, exploring probabilities, and diminishing danger. It is also important to strive for openness and transparency in the area of patient safety (4).

Although patient safety in primary care has not been explored to the same extent as in secondary and tertiary levels, recently more and more studies are emerging (5, 6). The most common theme within the topic was safety culture. Some studies used a qualitative approach followed by a survey or an audit (7, 8) while others used quantitative tools to assess safety culture (9-16). One study also assessed the effect of intervention on the safety culture (17). In general, studies showed that patient safety culture was perceived positively among primary care professionals (12). However, awareness of the safety problems was only raised after getting together and discussing patient safety, therefore, measuring safety culture alone was not enough (11). There seems to be some differences among different health professionals regarding the perception of safety culture (10, 18).

An effective leadership is critical to the development of a safety culture within an organization (19). Competent and thoughtful leaders contribute to improvements in safety and organisational culture. They understand that systemic flaws exist and that each step in a care process has the potential for failure simply because humans make mistakes (20-22). This commitment is needed from all organisational leaders (governing boards and clinical leaders) as well as management. Also, leadership commitment must be expressed through actions observable to employees (23).

To establish a positive safety culture in primary care, the first step is to evaluate the current patient safety culture, which will provide basic understanding to safety-related perceptions of the healthcare providers (5). Therefore, we wanted to assess the self-perceived level of safety culture among the employees in the Ljubljana Community Health Centre. We focused only on the employees with a leadership function and hypothesized that the perception of safety culture would be perceived as positive.

2 METHODS

2.1 Research Design and Setting

This was a cross-sectional study in the largest community health centre in Slovenia - Community Health Centre Ljubljana. This health centre provides healthcare services for the municipality of Ljubljana, which comprises of around 280,000 people. It consists of eight units, which are located in separate buildings in different parts of Ljubljana. It employs around 1,500 employees of different medical and non-medical backgrounds and has around 2.5 million of patient visits per year.

2.2 Participants

We sent an invitation to all employees with a leadership role (N=211). They come from different professional backgrounds (i.e. physicians, dentists, registered nurses, nurse assistants, administrative staff etc.). According to the governance rules in the Community Health Centre Ljubljana, a certain number of employees with a leadership function is appointed in all eight units, such as chief of nurses, chief of physicians, chief of whole units, director of health centre etc. They work mostly within their professional fields, but have a certain amount of their working time dedicated to their leadership tasks.

2.3 Instruments

We used the SAQ - Short Form (24), which consists of 36 items that need to be answered on a 5-point Likert scale (1 - disagree strongly, 5 - agree strongly). We were granted permission to use this questionnaire by the University of Texas at Houston-Memorial Hermann, Centre for Health Care Quality and Safety. The permission was given on June 3, 2016. There are six domains in the original SAQ - Short Form: Teamwork Climate (items 1-6), Safety Climate (items 7-13), Job Satisfaction (items 15-19) Stress Recognition (items 20-23), Perceptions of Management (items 24-28), and Working Conditions (items 29-32). Items 14 and 33-36 are not included in any of the factors. A Slovenian version of the SAQ - Short Form showed good reliability and validity characteristics, but with slightly different domains: 1) Perceptions of Management (items 1, 9, 12-14, 24-29, 31); 2) Stress recognition (items 20-23); 3) Teamwork Climate (items 6, 33-35); 4) Communication (2-4, 11, 30, 36); 5) Safety Climate (items 5, 7, 8, 10); 6) Working Conditions and Satisfaction (items 15-19, 32) (25).

We also collected data on demographic characteristics (gender, age, profile, work experience, working period at this location, and location of work).
2.4 Data Collection
We collected the data through an electronic survey. The link to the survey was sent to the email addresses of the participants in February 2017. The first reminder was sent after two weeks, and the second two weeks after the first. Participation was confidential, as possible identifiers such as e-mail and IP addresses were removed by the administrative coordinator in the project. It was not possible for the researchers to link the participants to their responses.

2.5 Statistical Analysis
In the analysis, the scores of negatively worded items were reversed so that higher scores always indicated a more positive evaluation of the safety culture. For each domain, we calculated its mean score, which ranged from a minimum 1 to a maximum 5 points. The observed variables were safety culture scores on each domain. The explanatory variables were demographic and other characteristics of the participants. Dummy explanatory variables were created for statistical analysis. To detect any significant differences, we used an independent t-test for categorical (dummy) explanatory variables and a Pearson correlation for continuous explanatory variables. A p value of < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

3 RESULTS
3.1 Demographic Characteristics
The final sample consisted of 154 (69.7%) participants, out of which 136 (88.3%) were women. The mean age and standard deviation (SD) of the sample was 46.2±10.5 years. Participants have been working in the current location for an average of 13.6±9.8 years, and their overall working period was 21.9±10.5 years. Other characteristics are presented in Table 1.

3.2 Safety Culture
The domains “Teamwork Climate”, “Safety Climate”, and “Working Conditions and Satisfaction” scored highest and the domain “Stress recognition” scored lowest (Table 2).

Participants from Unit Center scored significantly higher in the domain “Communication” than participants from other units (3.7±0.2 vs. 3.5±0.4, p<0.001). Participants from Administrative Unit scored significantly lower in the domain “Teamwork climate” than participants from other units (3.8±1.8 vs. 4.1±0.6, p=0.001). Other significant differences were not observed.

4 DISCUSSION
This study showed that patient safety culture was, on average, perceived positively by the employees with a leadership function in the largest community health centre in Slovenia.

Previous studies in patient safety culture at the primary healthcare level in Slovenia showed that it was perceived positively but there was still a lot of room for improvement (16). For example, more attention should be devoted to improving team collaboration with a clearer description of professional team roles (18). A study on safety culture in Slovenian hospitals using a different instrument showed that the unit-level dimensions of patient safety were perceived better than the dimensions at the hospital-
level. This study also showed a raising awareness of problems of patient safety among staff (26). This was also confirmed in our study demonstrating that patient safety is universal.

In primary care, providers with different professional backgrounds are involved in the management of patients. A good team leader is very important, not only for an effective team management and function but also for a safety culture. Namely, the role of leadership is critical to facilitate or constrain a positive safety culture. They can crucially affect a positive interdisciplinary action team, and a positive learning culture but, on the other hand, diminish a punitive culture (27). Leaders are in a position to enable a culture of safety (28, 29). Therefore, our study focused only on the employees with a leadership function.

The finding that the participants with a leadership function perceived a safety culture positively can be a good sign, indicating an actual positive safety culture in the organization. However, studies showed that there were differences in the perception of a safety culture between healthcare leaders and staff. Probably, frontline staff may be more aware of actual safety challenges than the leaders (30) and it is possible that, if frontline staff were included in the study, the safety culture would have been perceived less positively.

The perceptions of different domains were relatively homogenous. Some domains, such as Teamwork Climate, Safety Climate, and Working Conditions and Satisfaction were scored slightly higher and some, such as Stress Recognition, slightly lower. Teamwork Climate was perceived positively also by other studies in Slovenia and abroad (10, 16, 18). The domain Stress Recognition includes items through which the employees indicate that they are aware of the fact that fatigue and high workload affect a safety culture in a negative way. In Slovenia, workloads at the primary care level are high (31). But, according to the results of the present study, this is only partly recognized by the leaders as an issue that can affect a safety culture.

The second domain that scored lowest was Communication. This domain covers the items such as the effect of communication on the management of patients and communication about safety issues. This domain was also perceived as low by other studies in Slovenian primary care (16). It seems that this safety culture domain needs improvement.

There were no large differences in a safety culture perception regarding the characteristics of the participants. It is especially important that no differences were observed between different units. The degree to which staff share the perceptions within the same unit is a validity criterion for measurements of organisational climate (32). The degree of consensus amongst staff in a unit is a measure of the organisational climate’s strength (1, 32). Organizational climates with diverging perceptions amongst staff are regarded as weak, with limited power to predict staff practices (33). Since no significant variations were found in the present study, we can say that organisational climate is strong in the Community Health Centre Ljubljana. It also can indicate that leaders act as a unified community with common goals and leadership methods.

Our study has some methodological consideration that we should mention. The response rate was considerably high but we have no information on the non-respondents so this could be a source of a bias. Also, we used a Slovenian version of the SAQ-AV - Short Form, in which domains are slightly different than in the original version so a direct comparison to other studies that used the same questionnaire is limited. We studied only the leaders in one (albeit the largest) primary health organization in Slovenia, so the results cannot be generalized to the whole population. Also, the questionnaire was self-administered so a certain level of social desirability by the respondents must be taken into account, i.e. answering the questions in such a way that would show the situation in a desirable way, not in an actual way.

5 CONCLUSIONS

The safety culture among leaders in primary healthcare organizations in Slovenia is perceived as positive. There is also a strong organizational culture. Certain improvements are needed, especially in the field of communication and stress recognition with regards to safety culture. The results could help the management of the healthcare centres to introduce a system approach to patient safety, to tackle the weak points and improve them, to initiate a continuous assessment of safety culture, and to increase awareness of a no-blame culture. Additional studies are needed to determine a safety culture in all employees of the primary healthcare organizations in Slovenia, with a special emphasis on the differences between leaders and other staff.
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