Nanospace Decoration with Uranyl-Specific “Hooks” for Selective Uranium Extraction from Seawater with Ultrahigh Enrichment Index
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ABSTRACT: Mining uranium from seawater is highly desirable for sustaining the increasing demand for nuclear fuel; however, access to this unparalleled reserve has been limited by competitive adsorption of a wide variety of concentrated competitors, especially vanadium. Herein, we report the creation of a series of uranyl-specific “hooks” and the decoration of them into the nanospace of porous organic polymers to afford uranium nanotraps for seawater uranium extraction. Manipulating the relative distances and angles of amidoxime moieties in the ligands enabled the creation of uranyl-specific “hooks” that feature ultrahigh affinity and selective sequestration of uranium with a distribution coefficient threefold higher compared to that of vanadium, overcoming the long-term challenge of the competing adsorption of vanadium for uranium extraction from seawater. The optimized uranium nanotrap (2.5 mg) can extract more than one-third of the uranium in seawater (5 gallons), affording an enrichment index of 3836 and thus presenting a new benchmark for uranium adsorbent. Moreover, with improved selectivity, the uranium nanotraps could be regenerated using a mild base treatment. The synergistic combination of experimental and theoretical analyses in this study provides a mechanistic approach for optimizing the selectivity of chelators toward analytes of interest.

INTRODUCTION

The realization of net-zero CO$_2$ emissions by 2050, as advocated by the Paris Agreement, can help in stabilizing global warming below 2 °C to avoid pervasive climate damage. Significant efforts must be made in this regard to enable an energy revolution.$^{1-3}$ Among the numerous potential energy technologies, nuclear energy has proven to be a valid alternative to fossil fuels.$^{4-11}$ Uranium mining is essential to ensure long-term viability of nuclear power. Oceans are the Earth’s largest reservoirs of uranium, which is more abundant in seawater than on land by several orders of magnitude; extracting this uranium can help in sustaining nuclear power production for millennia.$^{12-21}$ Efficient extraction of the potential uranium resources in seawater requires the use of highly selective sorbent materials for accumulating uranium. Although the development of efficient uranium adsorbents has been an elusive quest since the 1960s, its pace has slowed. Current adsorbents are generally insufficient to provide the selectivity required for recovering trace uranium species from numerous concentrated competing ions.$^{22-26}$

Traditionally, the optimization of the selectivity of adsorbents has been mostly accomplished by designing new chelating sites, which are often accompanied by cumbersome synthetic procedures that hinder their practical applica-

$^{27-29}$ The selective recognition and sequestration of specific ions occur efficiently in nature; this regulates the extreme selectivity for specific ions by manipulating the cooperation of binding sites. Therefore, approaches involving biomimetic designs have offered inspiration for designing sophisticated artificial materials.$^{30-36}$ Inspired by the reorganization of binding sites employed by nature, we previously demonstrated that the affinity of the chelating group in adsorbents toward uranium could be significantly improved by engineering their spatial distribution to facilitate cooperative binding.$^{37}$ Intrigued by this study, we sought to advance this strategy by creating uranyl-specific ligand “hooks” via precisely manipulating the relative distances and angles of chelators at the molecular level to alter the binding mode for enhanced metal selectivity. Given that amidoximes have been extensively studied and remain the premier adsorbents for uranium extraction from seawater, they were selected as the chelating
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sites in the present study. However, the following constraints had to be considered: (i) the selectivity of amidoximes and their structurally related adsorbents toward uranium are hampered by their greater affinity toward vanadium, which is known to compete against uranium in the seawater extraction process; (ii) the binding between vanadium and the amidoxime moiety is strong, and separating them can cause irreversible damage during recycling, limiting their real-life implementation. These deficiencies necessitate new strategies for improving the binding affinity of the amidoxime moiety toward uranyl ions.

Porous organic polymers (POPs) are highly topical classes of porous materials that are constructed by functional organic linkers; they feature large surface areas, functional diversity, structural flexibility, and exceptional stability. Therefore, POPs have shown tremendous promise for use in numerous applications and have exhibited encouraging outcomes. Owing to their tunability, numerous strategies have been developed to enhance the binding of host–guest interactions, such as the introduction of noncovalent interactions and the manipulation of spatial distribution of chelators, which have been successful for designing various complexes with target selectivity. Previous crystallographic investigations have revealed that the modification of the R group in amidoxime-functionalized molecules can affect the coordination modes of U and V, thereby offering an opportunity to discriminate between the two and other competing ions in seawater. Based on the promising results from this study, a series of amidoxime ligands with various R groups were rationally designed as uranyl-specific “hooks” and decorated within the nanospace of porous organic polymers to afford uranium nanotraps (Scheme 1). In the present study, we focused on the evaluation of the extraction of uranium over these uranium nanotraps with an emphasis on comparing their selectivity toward uranium and vanadium. Varying the R group in amidoxime was found to influence the binding affinity of amidoxime moieties to uranium and vanadium ions (Figure 1). These results open a new avenue for future research on improving the ion recognition ability of adsorbents.

Scheme 1. Schematic Illustration of Nanospace Decoration of Uranium Nanotrap with Uranyl-Specific “Hooks” for Selective Capture of Uranium from Seawater
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**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Materials Synthesis and Characterization.** To prepare amidoxime-functionalized POPs, vinyl-functionalized cyano compounds were first synthesized. The resulting compounds subsequently underwent free-radical polymerization with the yielded materials denoted as POP$_1$−CN, POP$_2$−CN, and POP$_3$−CN, which were further reacted with hydroxylamine to transform the cyano group into amidoxime (AO), yielding POP$_1$−AO, POP$_2$−AO, and POP$_3$−AO, respectively (Figure 1). Successful polymerization was indicated by the appearance of a strong peak at approximately 40 ppm in the solid-state $^{13}$C nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra, which was attributed to the polymerized vinyl groups (Figures S1–S3). The resulting POPs exhibited a combination of micro- and mesopores, as revealed by the N$_2$ sorption isotherms collected at 77 K, which showed a steep uptake at a relative pressure ($P/P_0$) of less than 0.1, accompanied by a hysteresis loop at relatively high pressures. The Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) surface areas were calculated to be 585, 504, and 682 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ for POP$_1$−AO, POP$_2$−AO, and POP$_3$−AO, respectively (Figures S4–S6). Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images revealed that these POPs contained aggregations of 50–100 nm-sized small particles, which further accumulated into macropores (Figures S7–S9). The meso- and macropores facilitated mass transfer, whereas the micropores served as traps that concentrate the chelating sites to enable a superior adsorption performance. The appearance of the −C=≡N (1620 cm$^{-1}$), C−N (1370 cm$^{-1}$), and N−O (920 cm$^{-1}$) peaks, along with the disappearance of the −CN stretch (2220 cm$^{-1}$, Figures S10–S12), in the Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR) profiles verified the transformation of −CN into amidoxime. Furthermore, the diminishing intensity of the −CN peak at ~110 ppm and the concomitant appearance of the characteristic peak of the amidoxime group at 170 ppm in the solid-state $^{13}$C NMR spectra confirmed the high conversion efficiency enabled by the postsynthetic modification (Figures S1–S3).

**Uranium Sorption Studies.** Upon the successful synthesis of amidoxime functionalized POPs with various R groups, we first evaluated their uranium uptake capacities via batch
adsorption experiments. Equilibrium uranium uptake capacity as a function of equilibrium uranium concentration by varying the initial uranyl concentrations from 20 to 700 ppm at pH ~ 6 (Figure S13) is displayed in Figure 2a. Both POP1-AO and POP2-AO exhibited higher uranium uptake capacities than POP3-AO, which is reasonable given their higher density of amidoxime moieties compared to that in POP1-AO. The equilibrium adsorption data were fit using the Langmuir model, providing an excellent description of the experimental values (Figures S14). The maximum uranium uptake capacities were estimated to be 857, 504, and 1070 mg uranium per gram of adsorbents for POP1-AO, POP2-AO, and POP3-AO, respectively, at the equilibrium concentration of ~120 ppm.

Assuming that two amidoxime moieties coordinated with one UO2²⁺ ion, the theoretical uranium uptake capacities for the POPs were calculated to be 875, 498, and 967 mg g⁻¹ for OP1-AO, OP2-AO, and OP3-AO, respectively. The comparable values between theoretical values and the experimental data indicated the full accessibility of amidoxime groups in the POPs. Notably, POP1-AO exhibited steeper adsorption at low uranium concentrations than POP2-AO and POP3-AO, indicative of a stronger binding affinity of POP1-AO toward uranium. Additionally, a dramatic color change from light yellow to red-orange was observed for POP1-AO and POP2-AO, which validated differences in complexing ability. The binding moieties in POP1-AO toward uranium were rationalized by the constraint that is imposed by the highly cross-linked polymer chains, which impedes the synergistic coordination of chelators from different monomers. This is further supported by the maximum uptake capacity values, which indicate that the amidoxime moieties in POP1-AO bind to uranyl in a ratio of 1:1. Moreover, the EXAFS data of uranium including POP1-AO (U@POP1-AO) did not agree with the η⁷-model fit, which validated different uranium binding modes in U@POP1-AO compared to those in U@ POP2-AO and U@POP3-AO.

Crystallographic and Density Functional Theory Calculation Studies. To provide more detailed structural insights into the molecular recognition behavior of these adsorbents, the corresponding small molecular ligands, 1, 2, and 3 for POP1-AO, POP2-AO, and POP3-AO, respectively, were employed for complexation with uranyl. Single crystals of 2(UO₂) and 3(UO₂) were obtained via slow evaporation of the aqueous solutions of UO₂(NO₃)₂·6H₂O and the ligand, respectively; however, attempts to crystallize 1(UO₂) suitable for X-ray crystallographic studies were unsuccessful. Notably, an immediate color change from yellow green to dark red-orange was observed upon the introduction of 2 to the aqueous uranyl solution. Single crystals of 2(UO₂) were obtained in a dark reddish color, similar to that observed in the

Figure 2. Uranium sorption performance evaluation and coordination environment investigation. (a) Uranium sorption isotherms for POP-based adsorbents. (b) The kinetics of uranium adsorption from aqueous solution with an initial concentration (5 ppm, 200 mL), at pH ~ 6, and sorbent material (5 mg). (c) A comparison of EXAFS spectra for U@POP1-AO, U@POP2-AO, and U@POP3-AO.
color change of the adsorbents upon exposure to the uranium solution. X-ray structures of 2(UO₂) revealed that the ligand was di-anionic. Both amidoxime and –OH groups were deprotonated and coordinated with uranyl in the η⁶ fashion to yield a 1:1 complex with uranyl, which validated the EXAFS results. A zwitterionic tautomer containing four oxygen atoms from four oxime groups was found to bind to each uranyl in the 1:1 fashion, confirming the divergent binding modes in these adsorbents (Table S1). This difference was unanticipated; however, this emergent behavior that occurred due to the influence of the uranyl complexing mode could be a key contributor to the observed discrepancy in uranium sorption performance (Figure 3). To further uncover the reasons behind the distinctive uranium sorption performance, density functional theory (DFT) calculations were performed. The geometry-optimized structures are consistent with the experimentally determined structures, with complexation energies of 23.193, 22.261, and 18.253 eV for 1(UO₂), 2(UO₂), and 3(UO₂), respectively, revealing a compact complex of 1 and uranyl ions. Based on the identical coordination modes in 1(UO₂) and 2(UO₂), the higher binding affinity of 1 toward uranium indicates the suitability of the binding pocket of 1 for uranyl ions, which is consistent with the experimental results (Figure S17). The present result could therefore point to a new approach involving the enhancement of the binding affinity, rather than designing new binding sites.

Selective Uranium Adsorption. Based on these characterization results, we subsequently focused on the selectivity of these adsorbents toward uranium in the presence of competitors prevalent in seawater. Given that the most important competitor in seawater uranium mining is vanadium, competitive adsorption experiments were performed by exposing the POPs to a binary mixture of uranium and vanadium solutions. Various adsorbents (5 mg) were stirred in a 200 mL solution with equal concentrations (50 ppm) of U and V for 2 h. A reduced uranium concentration from 50 to 36.1 ppm was detected for the solution treated with POP1-AO, whereas the uranium concentrations leftover upon treatment with POP2-AO and POP3-AO were 43.6 and 44.1 ppm, respectively. To quantify the impact of vanadium on uranium adsorption, the reduction rate of uranium uptake capacity was evaluated, which revealed that 65, 51, and 22% uptake capacities were exhibited by POP1-AO, POP2-AO, and POP3-AO, respectively (Figure 4a). Based on these results, POP1-AO was confirmed to possess superior binding characteristics for uranium over vanadium. Calculation of the $K_d$ values for uranium and vanadium revealed that the $K_d$ value of POP1-AO for uranium was more than three times greater than that for vanadium (Figure 4b). By contrast, POP2-AO showed comparable $K_d$ values for uranium and vanadium, and POP3-AO exhibited a higher binding affinity toward vanadium than that for uranium (see detailed summary in Table S2). To further support the high affinity of POP1-AO toward uranium than that of vanadium, we evaluated its sorption performance in the presence of equal molar concentrations of vanadium (0.22 mM, 11 ppm) and uranium (0.22 mM, 50 ppm), showing a uranium uptake capacity of 2.966 mmol g⁻¹ (or 706 mg g⁻¹), which is substantially larger than that of V (0.628 mmol g⁻¹ or 32 mg g⁻¹).

The ability to completely recover and recycle adsorbents is crucial for their real-world application, which also justifies the high costs of sorbent materials. Therefore, the reacted adsorbents were eluted using a 0.1 M Na₂CO₃ solution to probe this aspect. The performance of POP1-AO was maintained for ten consecutive cycles, demonstrating its excellent stability and reusability. However, the treatment of
reacted POP2-AO and POP3-AO with Na₂CO₃ failed to fully release the bound metal species, as inferred from the decreased uptake performance (Figure 4c). To rationalize this phenomenon, the complexation of uranium and vanadium with the Na₂CO₃-treated loaded POP2-AO and POP3-AO was investigated using XPS (Figure S18). Discernible vanadium peaks were observed for the loaded POP2-AO and POP3-AO, suggesting the ineffectiveness of the regeneration process and insufficiency of the selectivity. The effective regeneration of POP2-AO and POP3-AO was achieved by stirring in HNO₃ (1 M). However, consecutive cycles revealed that the regenerated samples showed noticeably decreased performance, and only 87 and 77% of their initial capacities were retained by POP2-AO and POP3-AO, respectively. These experiments revealed that the modification of the R group enabled the selective discrimination of amidoxime moieties toward uranium compared to other metal species.

To further evaluate the reliability of the proposed strategy, uranium adsorption was investigated using a large excess of Na⁺, K⁺, Mg²⁺, Zn²⁺, Cu²⁺, Fe³⁺, and Ca²⁺ (100 ppm each); these ions were selected to facilitate competition against uranium in these extraction experiments and because of their abundance in natural seawater streams. Under competitive adsorption conditions, POP1-AO almost completely removed uranium, and reduced the uranium content from 5 ppm to 0.43 ppm, while more than 98.4% of other ions remained in the solution (Tables S3 and S4). Meanwhile, POP2-AO and POP3-AO exhibited a decreased capacity and reduced the concentration to only 4.8 and 11.5 ppb, respectively; this confirmed that POP1-AO formed a more stable complex with uranium.

**Uranium Capture from Natural Seawater.** To assess the potential utility of these adsorbents for seawater uranium extraction, large-scale adsorption experiments were conducted in an effort to accurately depict the uranium uptake capacity by ensuring that an excess of uranium was present. Experiments were conducted by introducing 2.5 mg of sorbent materials into 5 gallons of seawater collected from the coast of Broad Key, United States. After 56 days of contact, the loaded sorbent materials were collected and the enriched uranium species was eluted with aqua regia, affording values of 8.4, 5.5, and 3.1 mg of uranium per gram of adsorbent for POP1-AO, POP2-AO, and POP3-AO, respectively, indicating that more than one-third of the uranium dissolved in the seawater can be enriched by POP1-AO. Notably, the enrichment index of the uranium for POP1-AO was calculated to be 3836, which is considerably close to the all-time seawater uranium accumulation record (see the summary of the reported representative adsorbents in uranium uptake capacity, selectivity of uranium and vanadium, seawater uranium uptake capacity, and the enrichment index in Tables S5 and S6).

**CONCLUSION**

A family of uranyl-specific diamidoxime ligand “hooks” was constructed into porous frameworks and systematically investigated to evaluate their uranium-capturing properties and to overcome the challenge involving competitive adsorption of vanadium. The strategy essentially involved modification of the R group to manipulate the cooperation of binding sites and to eventually enhance the selective recognition of the resulting adsorbents toward uranium against other ions. Experimental evidence confirmed that the actual active binding sites for the sequestration of uranium from seawater involved the amidoxime groups located at appropriate positions, which enabled cooperative binding in the adsorbents and increased the density of adjacent amidoxime groups. This strategy can possibly constitute a general design principle for the further development of efficient adsorbents. More broadly, this study can be a valuable starting point to guide further development of efficient adsorbents to extract useful resources from seawater.
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