Long-term course of contrast sensitivity in eyes after laser-assisted in-situ keratomileusis for myopia

Osamu Hieda¹, Yo Nakamura¹, Koichi Wakimasu², Kiyoshi Yamamura², Shigeru Kinoshita³,⁴, Chie Sotozono¹

Purpose: To evaluate the long-term contrast sensitivity (CS) after laser in-situ keratomileusis (LASIK) for myopia. Methods: This retrospective, single-center, cohort study involved 190 eyes of 95 patients who underwent bilateral LASIK between January 2001 and October 2007. This study includes patients who underwent CS and higher-order aberration (HOA) measurements in a five-year postoperative period. For all enrolled patients, visual acuity, refractive error (RE) in diopters (D), CS at 3-, 6-, 12-, and 18-cycles per degree (cpd), and HOA in a 4 mm area of the dilated pupil were measured before surgery and 6 months, 1 year, and 5 years after it. Results: The mean RE measured before the surgery and after 6 months, 1 year, and 5 years after was -6.08 ± 2.50D, -0.26 ± 0.65D, -0.28 ± 0.65D, and -0.48 ± 0.80D, respectively. There were no clinically significant changes between preoperative results and the measures taken 6 months, 1 year, and 5 years after surgery. The slight increase in HOA had little effect on CS over the mid to long-term postoperative period. Conclusion: Our findings show that CS does not clinically change post LASIK. Although we were unable to identify the specific mechanism, we theorize that after LASIK there is a possibility for the compensation of HOA.
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The purpose of this study was to evaluate the long-term CS post LASIK for the treatment of myopia. According to our data, this is the first study investigating the long-term course of CS up until 5 years post LASIK.

Methods

This retrospective, single-center, cohort study involved 190 eyes of 95 patients who underwent bilateral myopic and myopic astigmatism LASIK between January 2001 and October 2007. In the study, we involved patients who were able to undergo repeated CS measurements until 5 years postoperative.

In each eye, CS at 3-, 6-, 12-, and 18-cpd at 4 m was measured using a CS testing instrument (CSV-1000; VectorVision, Greenville, OH) prior to surgery and 6 months, 1 year, and 5 years postoperative. Before every measurement, the refractive error was corrected when needed and CS testing was performed. In addition, VA and refractive error (RE) in diopters (D) were measured using Landolt C charts. HOA in a 4-mm area of the pupil dilated with 0.5% tropicamide/phenylephrine hydrochloride eye drops (Mydrin-P; Ophthalmic Solution; Santen Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Osaka, Japan) was measured using an optical diagnostic instrument (OPD-Scan; Nidek Co. Ltd., Gamagori, Japan). The obtained measurement data was fitted to a six-order Zernike polynomial, and total HOA, 3rd-order HOA (Z3), 4th-order HOA (Z4), and spherical aberration (SA) were then calculated.
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When the preoperative corneal topography was deemed normal, and the residual corneal bed was more than 250 µm in depth, LASIK was performed using the EC-5000 (Nidek) excimer laser, the VISX™ excimer laser, the Technolas® 217z (Bausch and Lomb, Rochester, NY) excimer laser in 84 eyes, 86 eyes, and 20 eyes, respectively. A mechanical microkeratome was used for flap creation in the LASIK procedure. The correction limit was up to -10D. From 3 days preoperatively, all patients were administered 0.5% cefmenoxime hydrochloride eye drops (Bestron®; Senju Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd., Osaka, Japan) 4-times daily and 100 mg of oral cefcapene pivoxil hydrochloride hydrate (Flomox®; Shionogi and Co., Ltd., Osaka, Japan) 3-times daily. For 1-week postoperative, all patients were initially administrated 0.1% fluorometholone eye drops (Flumetholon® Ophthalmic Suspension 0.1; Santen Pharmaceutical) and 0.3% gatifloxacin hydrate eye drops (Gatiflo® Ophthalmic Solution; Senju Pharmaceutical) 4-times daily.

CS and VA were subjected to a logarithmic transformation and analyzed as a continuous variable with log CS and logMAR. The mixed-effect model was used to analyze the CS shift between measurements obtained preoperatively and 6 months, 1 year, and 5 years postoperatively. By using identification (ID) number as a random effect, we used both eyes of the same person for analysis. The confidence interval was 95%.

For stability, the mixed-effect model was also used to analyze the postoperative study variables (i.e., VA, RE, and HOA) between measurements obtained 6 months, 1 year, and 5 years postoperatively. The relationship between the change in pre- and post-LASIK CS, and change in HOA was examined by linear correlation analysis.

For outlier cases where the contrast sensitivity decreased by 2 standard deviation (SD) or more from the average value after 6 months after the operation. Outlier cases in 6 postoperative months defined that comparing to the preoperative level the contrast sensitivity has decreased from the average by 2 or more SD. Individual changes for outlier cases in 1 year and 5 years postoperatively were evaluated.

Statistical analysis was performed with JMP pro version 14 software for Windows (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC). Written consent was obtained from all the participants. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the institutional ethics committee.

### Results

This study involved 190 eyes (110 male eyes and 80 female eyes; mean patient age: 35.8 ± 8.6 years; range: 20-57 years). Average logMAR uncorrected distance visual acuity (UDVA) was 1.30 ± 0.27 (mean ± SD range: 0.30 - 2.00). The mean preoperative spherical equivalent (SE) RE was -0.08 ± 2.50D (range: -1.38 - -15.88D). The mean preoperative spherical refractive error and astigmatism was -5.6 ± 2.48D (range: -0.25 - -15.00D) and -1.00 ± 0.72D (range: 0 – -4.50D), respectively. In all eyes, VA and RE were measured before surgery and at each postoperative period. CS and HOA measurements were obtained in 154 eyes at 6 months postoperative and only 188 eyes at 1-year postoperative.

Our findings showed no clinically significant change between preoperative and 6 months, 1 year, and 5 years postoperative CSs [Fig. 1]. The difference of log CS between preoperative and 6 months, 1 year, and 5 years postoperative results was less than -0.087 [Table 1]. The maximum confidence interval (CI) (95%) was from -0.087 to 0.0008 at 18 cpd in 6 months from preoperative.

Post LASIK surgery, the UDVA improved and the RE decreased. Six months postoperatively logMAR UDVA was -0.07 ± 0.20 (range: 1 – -0.30) and SE was -0.16 ± 0.36D (range: -1.75 – 0.5D). In the time interval from 6 months post-op to 5 years post-op, UDVA decreased significantly and mean logMAR change was 0.040 [95% confidence interval (CI) -0.009 to -0.070]. During that same period, myopia progressed, and the mean SE refractive change was 0.311D [95% CI 0.527 to 0.095] [Table 2].

### Table 1: Average Difference of contrast sensitivity (CS)

| Log CS shift | 95% CI | Lower limit | Upper limit |
|--------------|--------|-------------|-------------|
| 3 cycles/deg |        |             |             |
| 6M-Pre       | 0.007  | -0.027      | 0.042       |
| 1Y-Pre       | -0.017 | -0.049      | 0.016       |
| 5Y-Pre       | -0.033 | -0.065      | -0.0004     |
| 6 cycles/deg |        |             |             |
| 6M-Pre       | -0.025 | -0.060      | 0.010       |
| 1Y-Pre       | -0.037 | -0.070      | -0.004      |
| 5Y-Pre       | -0.026 | -0.058      | 0.007       |
| 12 cycles/deg|        |             |             |
| 6M-Pre       | 0.0006 | -0.048      | 0.050       |
| 1Y-Pre       | 0.004  | -0.042      | 0.050       |
| 5Y-Pre       | -0.016 | -0.061      | 0.030       |
| 18 cycles/deg|        |             |             |
| 6M-Pre       | -0.044 | -0.087      | 0.00008     |
| 1Y-Pre       | -0.011 | -0.052      | 0.0308      |
| 5Y-Pre       | -0.018 | -0.059      | 0.023       |

The CS shift and the 95% confidence interval (CI) were obtained by subtracting the preoperative value from the postoperative value of log CS. The data for 3, 6, 12, and 18 cycles/degree are shown. *P<0.05

### Table 2: Stability of the postoperative value

|                  | Average difference | 95% CI | Upper limit | Lower limit |
|------------------|--------------------|--------|-------------|-------------|
| UDVA (logMAR)    |                    |        |             |             |
| 6M-1Y            | 0.001              | 0.030  | -0.032      |
| 6M-5Y            | -0.040             | -0.009 | -0.070      |
| SE (D)           |                    |        |             |             |
| 6M-1Y            | 0.116              | 0.332  | -0.100      |
| 6M-5Y            | 0.311              | 0.527  | 0.095       |
| HOA (µm)         |                    |        |             |             |
| 6M-1Y            | 0.004              | 0.023  | -0.014      |
| 6M-5Y            | -0.005             | 0.013  | -0.023      |

The average value and the 95% confidence interval (CI) obtained by subtracting the 1- or 5-year post LASIK value from the 6-months postoperative value of logMAR, spherical equivalent error (SE), total higher-order aberration (HOA) in 4 mm pupils. D: Dioptries
Six months post LASIK, mean HOA slightly increased, and the average value of total HOA before and 6 months post-op LASIK were 0.155 ± 0.076 µm (range: 0.05 – 0.545) and 0.217 ± 0.093 µm (range: 0.083 – 0.623), respectively. No significant change in total HOA was observed later in the 5-year postoperative period [Table 2].

The correlation coefficient was calculated by using the 6 months, 1 year, and 5 years of CS shift and HOA shift. The change of correlation coefficient in CS and HOA is as follows: at 6 months, 3 cpd was −0.08, 6 cpd was −0.076, 12 cpd was −0.12 and 18 cpd was −0.1. At 1 year, 3 cpd was −0.08, 6 cpd was −0.076, 12 cpd was −0.12 and 18 cpd was −0.1. At 5 years, 3 cpd was −0.079, 6 cpd was −0.061, 12 cpd was −0.145 and 18 cpd was −0.151.

For outlier cases where the contrast sensitivity decreased by 2 SD or more from the average value after 6 months after the operation, 3 cpd 1 case 2 eyes, 12 cpd 3 cases 3 eyes, 18 cpd 1 case 1 eye were observed. There was one eye in which 3, 12, and 18 cpd was decreasing, so in total it was 4 eyes. All eyes improved in either cycle, after 1 year or 5 years period [Table 3].

**Discussion**

The findings of this study showed that in all frequencies, CS at 1-year and 5-years post LASIK were not lower than before surgery and that the slight increase in HOA had little effect on CS over the mid to long-term postoperative period.

It has been previously reported that post LASIK there is a possibility for a decrease in CS due to changes in the shape of the cornea and an increase in HOA. Holladay et al. reported that in myopia cases, CS decreases until 6 months post LASIK surgery due to the oblate corneal shape that occurs. [13] Yamane et al. reported that CS decreases at all frequencies at 1-month postsurgery, and that this change correlates with an increase in HOA. [12] In this study, the CS at 1 year and 5 years postoperative was significantly reduced by 6-cpd and 3-cpd. Although this change was statistically significant \((P < 0.05)\), it was not clinically significant. CS remained nearly constant throughout the study period. The lasers used in this study had a wider ablation diameter than that in the previous report, and thus may have had less effect on visual function. [15] In this study, we provide a more profound overview compared to previous papers due to the longer observation period.

Although HOA was found to have slightly increased post LASIK, the CS was mostly constant. The mean spherical RE was 0.06 µm, which is equivalent to 0.1D. [16] Thus, this HOA change may be too little to produce a change in CS. [17,18] Due to the few changes observed in HOA, we hypothesize the change in susceptibility to aberration occurs in the central

![Figure 1: Comparison of log contrast sensitivity (CS) pre and post laser in-situ keratomileusis (LASIK) surgery: Pre LASIK and 6 months post LASIK (a), pre LASIK and 1-year post LASIK (b), and pre LASIK and 5 years post LASIK (c). In this current study, the CS at 1Y and 5Y postoperative was significantly reduced at 6-cpd and 3-cpd, respectively, compared with preoperative data. Although this change was statistically significant, it was not clinically significant. log CS: Log Contrast Sensitivity; C/D: Cycles/degree](image)

**Table 3: Subsequent process of outlier cases**

| No | eye | 6M-Pre (logCS) 1Y-Pre (logCS) 5Y-Pre (logCS) |
|----|-----|---------------------------------------------|
|    | 3 cpd | 6 cpd | 12 cpd | 18 cpd | 3 cpd | 6 cpd | 12 cpd | 18 cpd | 3 cpd | 6 cpd | 12 cpd | 18 cpd |
| 40 | L | −0.29 | −0.29 | −0.64* | −0.27 | −0.46 | −0.62* | −0.46 | −0.14 | −0.45 | −0.79* | −0.46 | −0.41 |
| 98 | R | 0 | 0 | −0.64* | −0.14 | −0.44 | −0.94* | −0.46 | −0.74* | −0.14 | −0.44 | −0.46 | −0.55 |
| 107 | R | −0.75* | −0.32 | −0.94* | −0.94* | −0.75* | −0.32 | −0.94* | −0.46 | −0.14 | −0.14 | −0.15 | −0.14 |
| L | 0 | 0 | 0.14 | −0.3 | −0.14 | −0.15 | −0.13 | −0.75* | 0 | −0.15 | 0.14 |

Table 3 shows the outlier cases observed after 5 years, where the contrast sensitivity (CS) decreased by 2 or more standard deviations from the average change 6 months post LASIK (marked with *). The change of log CS for all eyes improved after 1Y post LASIK or 5Y post LASIK.
nervous system (neural adaptation).\textsuperscript{[19]} In most changed cases, 6 months post-op one eye experienced over 2 SD decrease in log CS at 18 cpd, 1 year and 5 years post-op the CS improved dramatically [Table 3]. In this eye, the total HOA that was 0.27 μm before surgery, has increased to 0.42 μm in six months after surgery, then decreased to 0.35 μm in 1 year after surgery and 0.22 μm in 5 years after surgery. In each case, there are various patterns of increase of HOA and change of contrast sensitivity. Therefore, further study is required in the future.

One of the limitations of this study was that the CS measurements were obtained only in a brightly-lit place. Since there is more influence of HOA on the visual function in a dark light, we intend to carry out future studies assessing CS in the dark.\textsuperscript{[20,21]} Another limitation was the type of equipment used to perform the LASIK operation, since the surgeries were performed 10 years ago. Today, the microkeratome has been replaced by the laser keratome,\textsuperscript{[22]} and the laser ablation is mainly sophisticated wavefront-guided ablation.\textsuperscript{[23]} The pupil diameter and the ablation diameter may affect the contrast sensitivity results, and the type of microkeratome and flap size may affect the change of HOAs. This study was not enough because these elements were not included. Because of the retrospective study, we have not been able to study these details. We continue to observe the long-term postoperative outcomes at our clinic, and we plan to conduct a prospective study using modern LASIK techniques and equipment with these details. We will compare the outcomes between this study and the modern refractive surgeries in the future.

**Conclusion**

The findings of this study show that CS does not significantly change post LASIK. We theorize that the small changes observed in the HOAs post LASIK could have minimal or no impact on the changes in CS. We also attribute the changes in CS to the possible compensatory role of the central nervous system over HOAs.
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