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Abstract— This paper studies the effect of Device-to-Device interference in cellular networks. The focus of this study is the underlay inband interference resulting from sharing the same frequency. We propose a new mechanism that alleviates the effect of the additional interference in this hybrid system. Utilizing this interference mitigation method does not violate the frequency allocation of the cellular network. The quality of the studied network is evaluated using signal to interference plus noise ratio (SINR). The proposed approach reduces the interference levels in the uplink mode by 55%.

Index Terms—Cellular network; Device-to-Device; Hybrid System; Interference; SINR; Underlay inband.

I. INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, the major challenge that faces both telecom vendors and operators is to satisfy the increasingly high demand of the mobile users. However, the up-to-date technologies such as 4G are very efficient and pushed to their limits, yet to some point, these technologies cannot undertake the users’ drastic need. Accordingly, the scholars and researchers are continuously in a hunt for new communication techniques to evolve the conventional cellular network. Device-to-Device (D2D) communication can enhance the performance of today’s cellular network and this paradigm is expected to play a vital role in the upcoming 5G generation. [1]

The Devise-to-Devise communication (D2D) as the name implies, it is the paradigm where the source of information can directly communicate with the destination, without relying on any third party or intermediate node. WiFi and Bluetooth can be considered as examples for D2D communication networks. However, in the case of the cellular and mobile network, D2D means that the User Equipment will directly communicate with each other without the intervention of the base station if the users are close enough to each other.

Generally, many papers proposed different categorizations for the ways by which the hybrid D2D - Cellular system is set. The authors in [2] pointed out four setups; based on the presence and absence of the BS control, and also based on whether the device is directly communicating with another devise, or just relaying on it. Hence the types are Device relaying with device controlled link establishment (DR-DC), Direct D2D communication with device controlled link establishment (DC-DC). Furthermore, the authors in [1], categorized the hybrid system upon the way how the frequency is shared between the D2D and the cellular network and the types are mainly Inband and Outband and the Inband is further divided into Overlay Inband and Underlay Inband as shown obviously in Fig 1. According to the specified categories in this paper we will focus on a system where the devices are directly communicating with other, and link control is from the base station (i.e. DC – OC) as shown in Fig. 2, and the spectrum is shared between the cellular and D2D (i.e. Inband Underlay).

Exploiting direct communication between nearby mobile devices will improve the spectrum utilization, overall throughput and energy efficiency. On the other hand, D2D will also give rise to major challenges such as device design, security issues, mobility management and interference management. The effect of the interference is more noticeable in the underlay Inband.

To reduce the Interference Brett Kaufman and Behnaam...
Aazhang [3] proposed an approach by which the D2D devices receive a signal from the BS through the downlink control channel, and then make an estimate for the path loss between the D2D transmitter and the BS. Using this estimate the power of the D2D transmitter will always be below some threshold so that the interference to the cellular uplink is reduced as the power of the D2D transmitter is reduced.

The authors in [4] consider the Interference underlay Inband mode and focus on the Uplink LTE scenario and propose two mechanisms to mitigate the interference between the D2D and the cellular network. One mechanism is the Interference tracking approach by avoiding the interference coming from the cellular network to the D2D network and the other mechanism is the Interference broadcasting approach, to avoid the interference coming from the D2D network to the cellular network.

In this paper, we will introduce a mechanism similar to method used in [3] and the Interference broadcasting approach used in [4]; where we focus on mitigating the interference coming from the D2D users to the cellular network.

The next sections of this paper will introduce our proposed approach in section II which describes our model in general, and shows the measure of the interference in three different scenarios. Section III shows the results and comparisons for the three cases and section IV concludes our work.

II. PROPOSED APPROACH

In this section we will propose three different scenarios; Scenario I only cellular users were considered, Scenario II hybrid system (i.e. Cellular + D2D users) with a random frequency allocation and distribution for both cellular and D2D devices, and Scenario III hybrid system with random frequency allocation and controlled distribution for D2D devices. In each scenario we will compute the Signal to Interference plus Noise Ratio (SINR).

The channel model used for both cellular and D2D links is a general Rayleigh faded and Additive White Gaussian Noise AWGN. This model can be expressed with a received signal (r) and Rayleigh RV (h) and transmitted signal (s) and Gaussian RV (n) as the following:

\[ r = h \cdot s + n \]

A. Scenario I

In this scenario we assumed a seven-cells cluster for our cellular network. Mobile users were scattered randomly with a uniform distribution. Generally, the users from the cells that are using the same frequency band used by our cell of interest will cause interference as shown in Fig. 3.

The SINR for each link in the uplink model is then to be computed according to the channel model using the Rayleigh RV, UE power (p), distance from the BS (d), distance from the interfering user i to the BS (di), path loss exponent (L) noise variance (N0) and a channel bandwidth (B) as follows:

\[ \text{SINR} = \frac{h \cdot p \cdot d^{-L}}{B \cdot N_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{6} h \cdot p \cdot d_i^{-L} + \sum_{i=1}^{6} n_i} \]  

B. Scenario II

We will add D2D devices to the previous plain cellular network conditioning that the number of D2D users is twice the number of the cellular network users, which gives a worst case situation; when all the channels used for the cellular network will be reused by the D2D users, since each two D2D users will share only one channel. The D2D will also be distributed randomly, as illustrated in Fig. 4 same previous equation but it is important to address the interference resulting from the D2D devices with a distance (Dd) between the D2D transmitter and the BS and distance (Ddi) between the D2D transmitter in the interfering cells, and hence the SINR will be as follows:

\[ \text{SINRD} = \frac{h \cdot p \cdot d^{-L}}{B \cdot N_0 + h \cdot p \cdot Dd^{-L} + \sum_{i=1}^{6} h \cdot p \cdot Ddi^{-L} + \sum_{i=1}^{6} n_i} \]  

C. Scenario III

Here we proposed a controlled distribution for the D2D devices within the network. This new distribution governs the D2D devise to be scattered outside a circle with some radius r to ensure that their contribution in the interference equation is always less than the desired signal power (i.e.
Dd > d). Such a kind of controlled distribution is shown in Fig. 5.

SINR equation is the similar to the equation in the second scenario, but for sure the distances measures will change.

To obtain numerical results for the SINR, we made assumptions for the values used in the equation. Table I shows these values.

As long as in our approach random variables were used, therefore, we applied Monte-Carlo computational algorithm for many iterations to give an estimate for the average SINR value.

III. RESULTS

In this section we will view the results for the three scenarios; plain cellular network, Hybrid System with random distribution and results for the Hybrid System with controlled distribution for the D2D users. Table II shows the average SINR for the three scenarios and the controlled distribution enhanced the SINR by 55%.

C. Scenario III

This scenario as expected increased the SINR values when limiting the D2D users to be active within predefined region. The results in Fig. 8. shows this case.

Furthermore, as a comprehensive comparison in Fig. 9 where it is obvious that the SINR profiles for both controlling the distribution as can be noticed from the graph in Fig. 7 the values of the SINR are relatively low when compared with the cellular system.
controlled and non-controlled distribution are similar to the SINR profile for the cellular network before adding the D2D network. This observation implies that if the cellular network is insusceptible to interference it will give a better performance after adding the D2D regardless the allocation of the D2D and vice versa.

Fig. 9. The SINR for the three scenarios VS the Iterations

IV. CONCLUSION

The interference from the D2D to cellular network in the underlay inband is impossible to eliminate, but, however a method to reduce its effect is efficiently proposed by this paper.
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