Neural and Aneural Regions Generated by the Use of Chemical Surface Coatings
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ABSTRACT: The disordered environment found in conventional neural cultures impedes various applications where cell directionality is a key process for functionality. Neurons are highly specialized cells known to be greatly dependent on interactions with their surroundings. Therefore, when chemical cues are incorporated on the surface material, a precise control over neuronal behavior can be achieved. Here, the behavior of SH-SY5Y neurons on a variety of self-assembled monolayers (SAMs) and polymer brushes both in isolation and combination to promote cellular spatial control was determined. APTES and BIBB coatings promoted the highest cell viability, proliferation, metabolic activity, and neuronal maturation, while low cell survival was seen on PKSPMA and PMETAC surfaces. These cell-attractive and repulsive surfaces were combined to generate a binary BIBB-PKSPMA coating, whereby cellular growth was restricted to an exclusive neural region. The utility of these coatings when precisely combined could act as a bioactive/bioinert surface resulting in a biomimetic environment where control over neuronal growth and directionality can be achieved.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Conventional in vitro culture systems are often used to examine biological mechanisms underpinning nervous system physiology.1 In such systems, the simplicity of the homogeneous surfaces typically utilized results in a disorganized neuronal environment, where the lack of cellular directionality can affect cell functionality, ultimately limiting accuracy and reliability in in vitro neural models.2,3 A potential solution to increase neuronal functionality within in vitro systems is the use of chemically modified surfaces. This strategy allows the incorporation or modification of various chemical surface cues which will result in a change in cell response, ultimately contributing to specific cell behaviors and function.

Modifying surface parameters such as wettability, surface energy, surface charge, and chemical functionality have been known to govern cell processes such as adhesion, proliferation, directionality, and differentiation.4−6 For example, adhesion of osteoblasts was observed to decrease when surface hydrophobicity was increased.7 Likewise, neural stem cells were shown to be very sensitive to changes in the chemical functionality of the surface material.8 Polymer brushes and self-assembled monolayers (SAMs) have been used to obtain robust changes in the surface material properties, promoting a reproducible cell response.9,10 SAMs are based on tightly packed molecular assemblies attached to the surface by the adsorption of a surfactant.11 Their simple structure and easy formation make them attractive as a high throughput coating method.11−15 This technique has been used to control cell directionality16 and also as a potential tool to promote or inhibit cell adhesion, depending on the nature of the monolayer.17,18 Polymer brushes possess a more complex architecture based on long polymer chains attached by one end to a surface or interface.19 Their increased robustness and flexibility in the brush synthesis compared to SAMs allow the use of a wider range of chemical functionalities. This advantage has been utilized to obtain control over cell growth17 and as a potential method to generate antifouling surfaces.21,22 Such strategies have been successfully utilized in neuronal cell culture; however, every SAM and polymer brush is provided with a vast range of specific characteristics, and therefore, the need to test each surface is essential to avoid an unexpected cell response.23
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The SH-SYSY human neuroblastoma cell line has been used as a robust neuronal in vitro model,\textsuperscript{24,25} demonstrating altered behaviors such as adhesion and differentiation in response to surface modifications such as plasma treatment\textsuperscript{26} or protein surface deposition.\textsuperscript{27} Although research has been conducted to assess cell behavior in various surface properties, the response between cell lineages is variable, and thus, the mechanisms behind the cell response to specific surface cues is not fully understood.\textsuperscript{28} Therefore, there is a significant interest to show how this neuronal cell line responds to modified surfaces other than those currently used. To this end, SAMs (APTES and BIBB) and polymer brushes (PKSPMA, PMETAC, and PMMA) were used as coatings, and the effect on SH-SYSY neuronal behavior was recorded. This set of coatings was chosen to highlight the effect of different chemical properties on neuronal behavior (functional group variation, surface charges, surface wettability, etc.) as these parameters have been associated with changes in cell behavior.\textsuperscript{29,30} The knowledge gained from this study will provide further insight into neuron—surface interactions and should demonstrate a suitable combination of bioactive and bioinert surfaces that permit precise neuronal guidance.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Materials. The chemicals (3-aminopropyl)-triethoxysilane (APTES, >98%), triethylamine (TEA, >99.5%), 2-bromoisobutyl bromide (BIBB, >98%), copper(I) bromide (CuBr, 99.9%), copper(II) bromide (CuBr\textsubscript{2}, 98%), 2,2′-bipyridyl (Bpy, 99%), methyl methacrylate (MMA, contains ≥50 ppm MEHQ as inhibitor, 99%), [2-(methylcyloxy)ethyl]trimethylammonium chloride solution (MMA, 80 wt % in H\textsubscript{2}O), (±)-sodium L-ascorbate, (crystalline, ≥98%) and 3-sulfopropyl methacrylate potassium salt (KSPMA, 98%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Welwyn Garden City, Hertfordshire, UK) and used as received. Silicon wafers ((100) orientation, boron-doped, 0–100 Ω cm, ~500 μm thickness) were purchased from Compart Technology (Peterborough, Cambridgeshire, UK) and cut into ~1 cm\textsuperscript{2} pieces for further modification. Glass slides (1.0–1.2 mm thick in dimensions of 25.4 × 76.2 mm) were purchased from Scientific Glass Laboratories Ltd. (Canal lane, Tunstall, Stoke-on-Trent, UK) and were also cut in pieces of 1–2 cm\textsuperscript{2}.

For cell culture experiments, Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) GlutaMAX, heat inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS), trypan blue, and penicillin/streptomycin (PenStrep: 10 000 units per ml and 10 000 μg/ml) were purchased from Thermo Scientific. DAPI nuclei counterstain and Alexa Fluor 488 goat antimouse IgG were obtained from Life Technologies (Thermo Scientific).

2.2. Methods. 2.3.1. Sample Modification and Surface Characterization. The formation of hydroxyl groups on the surface, BIBB-APTES attachment, and the synthesis of PMMA, PKSPMA, and PMETAC have been previously reported.\textsuperscript{31–33} The polymerization time was 24 h, and the brushes were prepared by surface-initiated atom transfer radical polymerisation (SI-ATRP) and surface-initiated activators regenerated by electron transfer (SI-ARGET-ATRP, Figure S1).

For X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), a Thermo Advantage software in a Thermo Scientific Kr with a monochromated Al Kα X-ray source (1486.6 eV) was used. The peaks were fitted using a Shirley background.\textsuperscript{34}

Infrared spectra were measured with a PerkinElmer Spectrum 100 Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) (Cambridge, Cambridgeshire, UK) spectrophotometer. A cleaned silicon wafer was used to determine the background signal. Spectra were taken averaging a total of 128 scans per sample run.

Ellipsometry studies were conducted using a Gaertner Scientific (Skokie, Illinois, United States) L116-B rotating analyzer ellipsometer equipped with a 1 mW 632.8 nm helium–neon laser at an angle of incidence of 70°. Three different points were measured for each sample, and the mean (±SD) of three independent samples were calculated.

Static water contact angle was measured using 2 μL drop of ultrapure water (Sigma-Aldrich) with a DataPhysics OCA 20 using a SCA 20 software. Four different samples were measured, and five values were taken from different parts of the sample. Results are expressed as mean (±SD).

2.3.2. SH-SYSY Neuronal Cell Culture. The SH-SYSY neuroblastoma cell line (European Collection of Cell Cultures, ECACC) was subcultured in growth media (GM) consisting of DMEM GlutaMAX supplemented with 10% v/v heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% v/v penicillin/streptomycin in an humidified 5% CO\textsubscript{2} atmosphere at 37 °C until 80% confluent, at which point cells were detached using trypsin EDTA, counted, and plated onto chemically modified glass slides or noncoated glass slides (control). Prior to experimentation, glass slides were sterilized by incubation in 70% ethanol for at least an hour and then left to dry under sterile conditions in a biological safety cabinet.

2.3.3. Cell Viability. Cell viability was assessed using the LIVE/DEAD assay following 1, 3, 5, and 7 days of culture. A cell density in 5000 cells/cm\textsuperscript{2} was used, and for each time point, samples were washed twice with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and incubated in 200 μL of dye solution containing 0.5 μM calcein AM and 0.5 μM ethidium homodimer\textsuperscript{−} in PBS. The samples were then incubated in the dark at room temperature for 45 min. Thereafter, the solution was pipetted away, and the samples were mounted onto a glass coverslips using Fluoromount Aqueous Mounting Medium. Five random fields of each sample were imaged on both green and red channels, and the number of live and dead cells was counted with Image J (1.50a) cell counter plugin. The number of live cells divided by the total number of live and dead cells was defined as the fractional viability.

2.3.4. Assessment of Metabolic Activity. alamarBlue was used to study the metabolic activity of SH-SYSY on chemically modified surfaces during 1, 3, 5, and 7 days in cell culture. SH-SYSY were seeded at 10 000 cells/cm\textsuperscript{2}, and for every time point, the medium from the samples was aspirated off and the substrates were washed with PBS twice. A solution of 10% v/v alamarBlue in serum free DMEM GlutaMAX was added into each well and incubated for 4 h at 37 °C. Thereafter, 100 μL of the supernatant was pipetted into a black opaque 96-well plate, and fluorescence was measured in a Thermo scientific Varioskan Flash plate reader with excitation/emission set at 560/590 nm. The fluorescence intensity was correlated with the cell metabolic activity.\textsuperscript{36,37}

2.3.5. Assessment of Proliferation. Quant-IT PicoGreen dsDNA assay was used to assess proliferation at day 1, 3, 5, and 7. A cell density of 10 000 cells/cm\textsuperscript{2} was seeded and lysed with 0.1% Triton X-100 in pure water and collected in nucleic-acid free vials after each time point. Vials were vortexed, and 100 μL of lysate was pipetted into black flat-bottomed 96-well plates along with 100 μL of Quant-IT

Table 1. Primer Sequences Used for RT-qPCR To Analyze the Level of Neuronal Maturation on Chemical Modified Substrates

| target gene | sequence 5′−3′ | ref. seq. number |
|-------------|----------------|-----------------|
| MAP1        | GAAAAATAGGCTTCGGTTAG | NG_007398.1     |
| R           | CTTGAGTTATCTCGTCCTTTG |               |
| RPIIβ       | AAGGCTTGGTGGACAACAG   | NM_009382.2     |
| R           | TACGTTGCGGGTTCTCTCA  |               |

DOI: 10.1021/acsbiomaterials.7b00663
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reaction was carried out as follows: 50 μL of forward primer and 0.1 μL of reverse primer, and followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 10 min, 95°C for 5 min, and 72°C for 1 min. Data were quantified by using QuantiFast SYBR Green one step kit (Qiagen) on a ViiA7 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Life Technologies) and expressed relative to a single APTES sample. Differences in MAPT gene expression between control, APTES, and BIBB were analyzed using one-way ANOVA. For MAPT gene expression of BIBB and APTES, an unpaired t test was used to detect differences between conditions. Differences were considered statistically significant when p ≤0.05.

### 3. RESULTS

#### 3.1. Surface Preparation and Characterization

Surface modification began with the oxidation of the glass surface via UV/ozone treatment, which removed the majority of the organic contaminants as well as promoting the formation of a uniform hydroxyl (—OH) layer on the surface (indicated as SiOH, see Figure 1A). Thereafter, APTES attachment was carried out by the formation of Si—O—Si bonds on the surface, followed by the anchoring of BIBB initiator, which would in turn allow the synthesis of the polymer brushes (PMMA, PKSPMA, and PMETAC) by surface initiated polymerization (SIP). After each step, XPS was used to analyze the chemical surface composition of each coating.

XPS analysis of the SiOH layer showed the silicon (Si 2p) and oxygen (O 1s) peaks as the most predominant elements on the surface. This was anticipated as these two atoms are the characteristic elements of glass surfaces (Figure 1A, SiOH clean glass slide). APTES attachment showed an increase in the carbon percentage (C 1s) along with the presence of a new oxygen (O 1s) peak as the result of the amine and propyl groups onto the surface. In addition, a more hydrophobic behavior was found after APTES anchoring, indicating a clear change in the surface chemistry of the substrate (Figure S2). The introduction of BIBB onto the APTES surface was confirmed by the presence of a bromide group (Br 3d), along with an increase in C 1s content (Figure 1A, initiator). Furthermore, a more hydrophobic surface was obtained after BIBB deposition (Figure S2). It is noteworthy to comment that there was an increase in oxygen content (O 1s) compared to APTES, probably due to the introduction of C=O groups in this structure.

After the covalent attachment of a BIBB initiator, the grafting of the brushes was carried out to obtain PMETAC, PKSPMA, and PMMA layers. XPS analysis of the brushes indicated a drastic reduction of the Si 2p signal when compared with the percentage of Si 2p showed in the clean glass slides (SiOH), suggesting that the glass surface was being covered by a new polymer brush.
polymer layer, which resulted in the attenuation of the main surface signal (Figure 1B). This was further confirmed when thick polymer layers with heights ranging between the 60–100 nm were obtained by ellipsometry (Figure S3). The formation of the polymer brushes also showed different wettabilities, with PMETAC and PKSPMA surfaces rendering a very hydrophilic behavior, whereas PMMA brushes gave a slight increase in water contact angle toward hydrophobicity (Figure S2).

Further surface chemical analysis of the polymer was also analyzed by FT-IR (Figure S4). The spectra showed various peaks found around 3000–2800 cm⁻¹ for PKSPMA, PMMA, and PMETAC attributed to the stretching of C–H groups. Furthermore, the characteristic C=O stretching peak of acrylate polymers appeared at ~1780–1720 cm⁻¹ for all the brushes, suggesting that the polymerization was successful (see Figure S4). In PKSPMA samples, strong peaks were found at a range of ~1050 and ~1190 cm⁻¹. These corresponded to the asymmetric and symmetric stretching of the sulfonate group, respectively. In PMMA, the peak ~1150 cm⁻¹ was assigned to the C–O of the ester. In PMETAC, peaks arising at ~1200 cm⁻¹ were assigned to the C–O ester groups. The different peaks found in these spectra indicate the main functional groups of the brushes, suggesting the successful formation of the brushes. The black spectrum corresponded to a noncoated silicon wafer, which main peak corresponds to the Si–O asymmetric stretching mode of the silicon. Overall, all these analytical techniques together supported the presence of these coatings on the surface.

3.2. Effect of Chemical Surface Modifications on Cellular Viability and Proliferation of SH-SY5Y Neurons

Cellular viability was tested on chemically modified glass at days 1, 3, 5, and 7 (Figures 2 and S5). While cell viability was high on the majority of chemical surfaces through all the culture period, neurons cultured on PMETAC showed a reduced viability through all time points investigated, suggesting that the coating may have a degree of toxicity, an effect which became more pronounced over time (p < 0.05 after 3 days in culture, Figure 3). Furthermore, although cell viability was similar for all the coatings with the exception of PMETAC, the amount of cells adhered to these substrates appeared different (see Figure S6 for cell adhesion data on these surfaces). This was the case especially for the PKSPMA surface, where viability seemed as high as in the other coatings; however, SH-SY5Y cells did not appear to have a preference for this surface, avoiding adhesion. Additionally, PKSPMA showed a slightly reduction in viability at day 7; however, this was mainly due to the poor cell attachment on the surface, which made the quantification of cell viability for this coating very dependent on the amount of cells presented on the surface (Figure S6).

Metabolic activity and cellular proliferation were quantified on these surfaces via alamarBlue (Figure S7) and Quant-iT PicoGreen assays (Figure 4), respectively. Using alamarBlue, the fluorescence intensity showed a nonsignificant trend where fluorescence was low in PKSPMA and PMETAC coatings when compared to the other coatings after 24 h. This was followed by a noticeable decrease in fluorescence through the entire cell culture period, indicating that the metabolic activity of the cells on these coatings was reduced (p > 0.05 for all the time points but day 7 when compared to noncoated glass). Metabolic activity continued to rise on BIBB and noncoated glass during the time course (3, 5, and 7 days), while a plateau in fluorescence intensity during 3–5 days occurred for the APTES coatings, followed by an increase in fluorescence toward the end of day 7 (see Figure S7, p > 0.05). The metabolic activity of cells seeded on PMMA samples did not seem to increase significantly during the course of the experiment. This tendency was also noticed when examining phase contrast micrographs (Figure S8), where a similar area covered by cells on noncoated glass, APTES, and BIBB was observed, while detached cells and clumps could be observed in cells cultured on PKSPMA and PMETAC surfaces. Overall, a trend with a reduced metabolic activity was found for PKSPMA, PMETAC, and PMMA, whereas APTES and BIBB showed a metabolic activity similar to the one found on noncoated glass. Similarly, data presented from Quant-iT PicoGreen assay showed that APTES, BIBB, and noncoated glass exhibited the highest proliferation rates over the 7 day culture period, increasing from day 1 until the end of the experiment (Figure 4). There seemed to be an increase in proliferation on cells cultured on PMETAC and PKSPMA; however, the rates remained low compared to those on noncoated glass (p < 0.05 from day 3 to 7). The degree of proliferation on PMMA samples increased exponentially until day 5 and then remained stable until day 7 (Figure 4).

These results indicate that APTES and BIBB are comparable to control glass in terms of cellular proliferation and metabolic activity, while substrates such as PKSPMA and PMETAC were not able to obtain viable cells to adhere and proliferate.

3.3. Effect of Surface Coatings on Neurite Outgrowth and Differentiation of SH-SY5Y Cells

Neurite outgrowth was measured in cells cultured on both SAMs and polymer
brushes to study whether the presence of these coatings could trigger intracellular signals leading to neurite extension. Thus, neurite length was measured on the different surfaces before the differentiating agent all-trans retinoic acid was added (PRE RA) and then after 24 h in DM containing RA. Results indicate that neurons cultured on APTES and BIBB surfaces had, on average, neurites of significantly greater length than those cultured on noncoated glass before the differentiating agent was added. This suggests a major level of neuronal differentiation on these modified substrates, even prior to the addition of RA (Figure 5A, $p < 0.05$).

An overall increase in neurite length on all modified surfaces was observed with a greater neurite outgrowth in neurons cultured on APTES and BIBB (Figure 5A, $p < 0.05$ for BIBB and $p = 0.06$ for APTES). Measures on PKSPMA and PMETAC samples could not be assessed due to the lack of cell survival, adhesion, and therefore differentiation, supporting previous observations. PMMA remained similar to noncoated glass with no significant differences before and after addition of RA ($p > 0.05$). To identify the single, optimum coating for neuronal survival and maturation, the expression of MAPT by cells cultured on noncoated glass (CTRL), APTES, and BIBB was determined. There was an increase in MAPT expression for noncoated glass compared to APTES and BIBB, although the differences did not reach significance. MAPT mRNA expression was higher in neurons cultured on BIBB compared to APTES (Figure 5B, $p = 0.06$), which may indicate a greater presence of neuronal maturation in neurites presented on BIBB vs APTES, although with nonsignificant differences.

**3.4. Effect of Binary Coating on SH-SY5Y Cells.** The differentiation, viability, and proliferation data commented on above clearly demonstrated that APTES and BIBB coatings promoted cellular growth and differentiation, while PKSPMA appeared to inhibit these parameters without inducing any toxic effect. As such, it was investigated whether fabricating a binary-coated surface (half BIBB/half PKSPMA) would lead to tightly controlled growth without any visible negative effects. A binary-coated sample was achieved by submerging half of a BIBB initiator sample (necessary for the formation of the brush) into the PKSPMA monomer solution under nitrogen overnight.

XPS analysis showed a difference in the percentage composition of Si 2p between BIBB and PKSPMA, suggesting...
that PKSPMA had been successfully coated on that side of the sample because the Si 2p signal from the glass surface was more attenuated compared to that of BIBB, indicating the presence of a thicker layer on the PKSPMA area (Figure S9). The PKSPMA zone had ~27% more C 1s content than BIBB, which was comprehensible due to the presence of more C–C, C–O, and C=O bonds in the polymer brush. In addition, the characteristic peak of S 2p presented on PKSPMA brushes was found only on the side where the brush had been intentionally grown (Figure S9, XPS graph). Furthermore, the peak of Br 3d was present only in the BIBB zone (Figure S9, XPS graph), indicating that the initiator was covering that zone of the sample. These results together indicated that PKSPMA was present on the desired part of the sample, while the remaining part contained the functionalized BIBB initiator. Furthermore, the change on the surface chemistry was also demonstrated by the different wettability presented on both sides of the samples (Figure 6), representing the hydrophobic BIBB initiator and the hydrophilic PKSPMA brush.

SH-SY5Y cells were then evenly seeded across the binary coating. After a week in GM, the cells in the BIBB zone were confluent, whereas no cells were observed in the PKSPMA region, resulting in clear cellular partitioning and restricted cell growth (Figure 7). The presence of a PKSPMA region did not appear to negatively affect the cells in the BIBB region, further supporting the previous results indicating that PSSPMMA restricts cell growth without any toxic side effects.

4. DISCUSSION

Parameters involved in cell–substrate interactions such as film architecture, surface charge, wettability, chemical functionality, and protein adsorption have been known to alter cell response. Therefore, there is a wide range of factors involved in triggering cell response when using chemically modified surfaces.

In neuronal cultures, surface wettability has been related to optimum neural cell adhesion with a greater neurite formation.
on surfaces with a moderate hydrophilicity.\textsuperscript{39} The requirement of moderate hydrophilicity to obtain a positive neuronal response could explain why very hydrophilic surfaces such as PMETAC and PKSPMA did not succeed in obtaining neuronal adhesion, whereas APTES was shown to promote cell adhesion and proliferation. This parameter was also associated with the greater formation of neurites with increasing hydrophilicity,\textsuperscript{39} which is in agreement with the greater neurite extension found on APTES samples. However, this trend cannot be connected with cell behavior on BIBB samples, as this surface is hydrophobic. In addition, the different wettability is also related to the ability of the surface to adsorb proteins from the serum,\textsuperscript{7,29} in turn facilitating cell adhesion. This parameter is therefore another factor to consider when assessing why different substrates inhibit or favor neuronal behavior.\textsuperscript{39} Electrostatic interactions, not only between these adsorbed proteins and the coatings but also between the coatings and the cell membrane, will likely contribute as well to the different cell behavior.\textsuperscript{40,41} This could perhaps explain why the charged surfaces of PKSPMA and PMETAC inhibited cell adhesion. Particularly for PMETAC, the positively charged substrates presented on this brush have been known to induce toxicity by interaction with charges in the cell membrane.\textsuperscript{42,43}

Although it is not clear what the exact mechanisms that control the neuron—chemical—substrate interactions are,\textsuperscript{6,44} the attractive and inhibitory responses that these can achieve are of great importance for the development of novel biodrives by the manipulation of both bioactive and bioinert surfaces. In this work, both responses were accomplished in a single system, attaining control of neuronal growth in a desired area of the system and therefore showing clear cellular affects. With the appropriate engineering techniques, both substrates could be incorporated into a more complex system whereby neurons can be positioned in specific areas to study cell—cell connections for underpinning neuronal interfaces.

5. CONCLUSIONS

Use of polymer brushes and self-assembled monolayers in cell culture applications displays a wide range of advantages, as their properties can be tuned to promote or even inhibit cellular differentiation, proliferation, and survival.\textsuperscript{45} In this current work, SH-SYSY cells were cultured on a wide range of polymer coatings, resulting in contrasting cellular responses. Cells cultured on APTES and BIBB displayed a greater neurite outgrowth, cell proliferation, and survival, whereas there was a lack of cell adhesion and impaired growth on PKSPMA and PMETAC brushes. Nonapparent toxic effects were shown on PKSPMA; however, a certain degree of toxicity was observed on PMETAC. When both BIBB and PKSPMA were coated on a single slide, cells adhered and grew only on the BIBB half of the slide with no apparent toxic effects of the PKSPMA. The permissive and nonpermissive cell coatings identified in these results could be incorporated into a more complex structure by using appropriate engineering techniques, resulting in a more accurate study of different cell interactions for systems such as neurons and astrocytes in the brain and also neurons and their interaction with innervating targets. Overall, this report indicates that neuronal behavior in vitro is at least partly dependent on the interaction between the cell and the chemical features of the surface. Furthermore, there is a wide range of applications for these promising coatings to be included in the biomaterial world, presenting significant progress in the control of the cell—material crosstalk.
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