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Abstract

Inborn errors of metabolism (IEM) are a group of disorders that are often erroneously assumed to be the playing field of pediatricians only. This misconception combined with the rare nature of IEMs explains why there is often a serious delay in the diagnosis of an adult-onset IEM, which can have detrimental consequences. This review provides potential strategies to overcome this diagnostic delay. The most promising consists of stimulating critical clinical reasoning and creating awareness of the process that leads to the diagnosis of a rare disease.
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Introduction

Inborn errors of metabolism (IEM) are a heterogeneous group of 1,450 disorders that are individually rare to ultra-rare [1], but relatively common as a group (estimated birth prevalence 1:2,000 [2]). Although genetic by definition, it is a misconception that IEMs only manifest at young age. Sometimes it takes years before a toxic metabolite accumulates (e.g., lysosomal storage disorder, Wilson’s disease) [3], or an individual is predisposed to extreme environmental stressors (e.g., marathon, pregnancy) leading to metabolic decompensation (e.g., hyperammonemia, rhabdomyolysis) [4, 5]. It has been estimated that 45% of the patients at the adult metabolic centers have been diagnosed at adult age [6]. Because of its rare character there is often a delay in the diagnosis of an IEM, which may sometimes lead to irreversible damage or even death. It is, therefore, imperative that a diagnosis is made more rapidly.

In the present paper, which will be introduced by a clinical case, potential approaches to reduce the diagnostic delay will be reviewed and tools will be offered.

Clinical Case

A 40-year-old woman was referred to the neurologist. She initially presented at the psychiatry department with confusion, apraxia and a slurred speech during a period of emotional exhaustion. The psychiatrist already performed standard laboratory workup, which did not reveal any abnormalities. Upon neurological investigation, the patient indeed exhibited mild signs of apraxia and a slurred speech. An additional electroencephalography (EEG) revealed slow wave activities, but no further abnormal signs. The patient was diagnosed with atypical psychosis and referred back to the psychiatrist.

One year later, the patient experienced a very similar episode, and again EEG showed slow wave activities. The neurologist now decided to check serum ammonia levels, which were strongly elevated (160 µmol/L). After liver failure was excluded, an IEM was suspected. Serum glutamine and urinary orotic acid levels were indeed elevated and patient was eventually diagnosed with ornithine transcarbamylase deficiency, an urea cycle disorder. She was treated with a protein-restricted diet, citrulline supplementation and a nitrogen scavenger (phenylbutyrate), which prevented further deterioration as well as new episodes of metabolic decompensation.

Epicrisis: Premature Closure

This is an illustrative case of an adult-onset IEM, which was diagnosed 1 year after first presentation. Unlike several previous case reports on adult-onset urea cycle defects [7], clinical outcome was fortunately good. To better understand what may have caused this diagnostic delay, it is important to provide some background on the process of clinical reasoning.

There are several models of clinical reasoning. The currently prevailing theory, the dual-process model, assumes there are two parallel modes of thinking, i.e., intuitive, heuristic (type 1) and reflective, analytic (type 2), as reviewed in [8]. Type 1 is fast, automatic and unconscious, whereas type 2 is slow and conscious, and requires more effort. Type 1 thinking is particularly prone to cognitive bias, which can lead to diagnostic errors [8]. Premature closure, i.e., the failure to consider reasonable alternatives after an initial diagnosis has been made, is the most frequently encountered type of cognitive bias [9], as was also the case in the current example. Although
Table 1. Bayes Theorem Illustrated in an Example of Mitochondrial Disease

|                  | Mitochondrial disease present | Mitochondrial disease absent |
|------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| **Example 1**: low *a priori* chance |                               |                               |
| Lactate positive | 8                             | 691                           | PPV: 0.01                     |
| Lactate negative | 2                             | 9,291                         | NPV: 0.99                     |
| **Sensitivity**: 0.77 | **Specificity**: 0.93       |                               | **Prevalence**: 0.001         |
| **Example 2**: high *a priori* chance |                               |                               |
| Lactate positive | 385                           | 665                           | PPV: 0.37                     |
| Lactate negative | 115                           | 8,835                         | NPV: 0.99                     |
| **Sensitivity**: 0.77 | **Specificity**: 0.93       |                               | **Prevalence**: 0.05          |

*Example 1: Screening for a mitochondrial disease by using serum lactate levels (sensitivity 77%, specificity 93% [14]) in a patient population presenting with fatigue (estimated prevalence of mitochondrial disease, assuming that all patients with mitochondrial disease are fatigued: 1:1,000 [15, 16]) yields an unacceptably low positive predictive value (PPV); the chance of having a mitochondrial disease with elevated serum lactate is still only 1:100. *Example 2: The use of serum lactate as a diagnostic test for mitochondrial disease in carefully selected patients (i.e., the presence of diabetes and hearing impairment [17]) yields a much better PPV; the chance of having a mitochondrial disease with elevated serum lactate is 1:3, modified after [18]. PPV: positive predictive value; NPV: negative predictive value.

Should We Educate? The Forgetting Curve

One immediate reflex could be that we should invest in teaching about these IEMs. This solution, however, has two drawbacks. First, as Ebbinghaus already demonstrated in 1885, almost 75% of what is taught will be forgotten after 48 hours [11]. Second, and even more problematic, as already stated in the introduction, 1,450 inborn errors of metabolism have been described. So, if there would be any memory retention on one or a handful of IEMs, it would be at the expense of all the other IEMs that equally deserve our attention.

One exception should be those treatable IEMs that can manifest as an acute, life-threatening metabolic decompensation, such as a post-partum hyperammonemia in a previously undiagnosed urea cycle defect [7].

Should We Use Diagnosis Support Systems? Will It Be Used?

An alternative solution to reduce the delay by which an IEM is diagnosed, is the use of a diagnosis support system. Currently, several support systems for the diagnosis of rare diseases have been developed, using different methodologies including artificial intelligence. The Inborn Errors of Metabolism Knowledgebase (IEMbase) is an expert-generated, web-based database that has specifically been designed for IEMs [12]. The algorithm allows input of both biochemical and clinical features and performs fairly well. Eighty-six percent of the cases is ranked within the top five of most likely diagnoses [12]. Notwithstanding the potential benefit of this diagnosis support system, it can be questioned whether this tool will solve the issue that was raised in the clinical case description. As long as the neurologist is confident of his initial diagnosis and not aware of an alternative, rare diagnosis, a diagnosis support system will not be consulted. This misalignment between the confidence in the diagnosis and the correctness of this diagnosis is not uncommon (25-41%) and independent of clinical experience [13].

Should We Screen? Bayes’ Theorem

A complete different approach, if we accept that general clinicians are not able to acquire all the knowledge on IEMs, and diagnostic support systems will not be consulted, could be that we should perform standard screening for IEMs in specific patient populations. For instance, we could measure serum ammonia levels in all patients with a psychosis to facilitate early diagnosis of urea cycle defects. However, the low *a priori* chance of an IEM in such populations will yield low and often unacceptable positive predictive values (PPV), even despite good diagnostic test characteristics. This often counterintuitive phenomenon, an example of Bayes’ theorem, is further illustrated in an example of mitochondrial disease, Table 1 [14-18]. Of note, low PPVs and consequently high false-positive rates may be accepted if the clinical consequences of missing a diagnosis are high, as is the case with urea cycle defects.

The introduction of whole exome (and genome) screening has prompted researchers to evaluate this novel tool for screening in newborns, the ultimate way to yield an early diagnosis. A recent study, however, has shown that this approach currently does not perform better than conventional metabolite screening by tandem mass spectrometry in dried blood spots [19]. Furthermore, Bayes’ theorem can also be applied to genetic screening: the *a priori* chance that a variant of unknown significance is truly pathogenic is much lower when all newborns are screened, in comparison to genetic testing in selected cases. Finally, another potential drawback of genetic screening...
Similar to metabolite screening, is that the penetrance, i.e., the clinical expression, of a pathogenic mutation is often not 100%. A genetic diagnosis does not necessarily imply a clinical diagnosis. Newborn genetic screening, may therefore, not only prevent irreversible organ damage, but also result in uncertain future perspectives in young patients and their parents.

### Clinical Reasoning: Question Marks, Plus Signs and Reflective Reasoning

The true solution for avoiding delays in diagnosing an IEM may lie in stimulating critical clinical reasoning and creating more awareness of the diagnostic process. Critical clinical reasoning implicates high alertness when there is an atypical presentation of a common clinical problem, a so-called question mark. This is the moment that an IEM should be considered. It does not require any background knowledge on IEMs, but instead, experience on typical presentations of common disorders. The presence of a question mark should prompt the clinician to search for additional signs that could guide towards a specific diagnosis, a so-called plus sign. When these principles are applied to the hitherto presented clinical case, there was a clear question mark that prompted the psychiatrist to refer the patient to the neurologist, i.e., the presence of apraxia and a slurred speech in a confused patient, in other words, an atypical presentation of a relatively common clinical problem. The abnormal EEG is an example of a plus sign.

The likelihood of correctly identifying question marks and plus signs, and thereby preventing premature closure and promoting consideration of an alternative diagnosis, can be increased by reflective reasoning, which consists of the following steps: 1) go back to the case and the initial diagnosis; 2) list the findings in favor of the initial diagnosis; 3) list the findings that are against the initial diagnosis; 4) list the findings that would be expected if the initial diagnosis would be true, but are not present [20]. Reflective reasoning, which is a method that stimulates type 2, analytic clinical reasoning, has been shown to be effective, in particular in complex cases [21].

The co-existence of a question mark and plus sign should raise high suspicion of an IEM, and hence, justifies proceeding to the next step in the diagnostic process, which could consist of: 1) consulting (online) reference works; 2) using a diagnosis support system; 3) initiating a multidisciplinary meeting; and 4) consulting an expert. The pitfall that a potential diagnosis can be refuted because of a low likelihood should be avoided, since the differential diagnosis at this stage consists of only rare or atypical diagnoses. Instead, the complete list of potential diagnoses should be scrutinized.

### Conclusions

A delay in the diagnosis of an IEM can have detrimental consequences. Currently, there is no magic bullet that can overcome this diagnostic conundrum. One solution may be that we teach about general principles that are necessary to diagnose an IEM and other rare disorders (Table 2), which should stimulate critical clinical reasoning and create awareness of the diagnostic process. These general principles should be reiterated at all stages of clinical training and are preferable to teaching about all individual IEMs.
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