Case Report

Rare case of retropubic parasymphyseal cyst in a male patient
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Introduction: Retropubic parasymphyseal cysts are rare, and few cases have been reported in men.

Case presentation: A 65-year-old male patient presented with a 6-month history of pelvic and perineal pain. Magnetic resonance imaging revealed a high-intensity, irregular-shaped mass extending from the pubic symphysis to the bladder. Contrast enhancement revealed no uptake in the central part of the mass, indicating a cystic component. Computed tomography showed erosion of the pubic symphysis and pubic osteophytes. Pathological findings of biopsy specimens revealed inflammatory fibrous tissue but no malignancy. The definitive diagnosis was retropubic parasymphyseal cyst associated with inflammation. The patient was treated with cefazolin from 1 day before surgery until postsurgical day 7. Oral antibiotic therapy was then prescribed for 1 month to maximize treatment. After 2 months, the patient’s symptoms resolved.

Conclusion: Retropubic parasymphyseal cysts with inflammation and smaller asymptomatic cysts can be managed effectively with conservative or minimally invasive treatment.
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Keynote message
Retropubic parasymphyseal cyst is a rare lesion that can cause severe symptoms. Careful evaluation is warranted to differentiate these lesions from malignant tumors and abscesses. A multidisciplinary team, including urologists, pathologists, and radiologists, is crucial for diagnosing and treating atypical cases such as this one. Retropubic parasymphyseal cysts with inflammation, as well as smaller asymptomatic cysts, may be managed effectively with conservative or minimally invasive treatment. After 2 months of antibiotic treatment, our patient’s symptoms resolved, and serum C-reactive protein and alkaline phosphatase levels were normalized.

Introduction
Retropubic parasymphyseal cysts are rare, and few cases have been reported in men. Retropubic parasymphyseal cysts may be asymptomatic or cause symptoms, such as urinary tract manifestations and pelvic pain. Because of the location, these cysts may be confused with malignant tumors and abscesses. In women, these lesions are thought to be caused by postmenopausal reactive changes as a result of multiparity.1 Sometimes, these cysts cause severe pain or are large enough to obstruct the urinary tract, in which case, invasive surgery may be necessary. Retropubic parasymphyseal cysts ≤3 cm in size in men shrink spontaneously after several years.2,3 We describe the case of a man with a 5.4-cm retropubic parasymphyseal cyst associated with inflammation.
**Case presentation**

A 65-year-old man presented with a 6-month history of lower abdominal, pelvic, and perineal pain. His medical history was unremarkable. He had previously been evaluated for prostate disease by a urologist, but no abnormalities were found.

At admission, his urinalysis yielded normal findings; however, ultrasonography revealed thickening of the bladder wall. Cystoscopy demonstrated edematous regions in the anterior wall of the bladder (Fig. 1). Sagittal T2-weighted MRI showed a high-intensity, irregular-shaped mass with a maximum diameter of 5.4 cm that extended from the posteroinferior aspect of the pubic symphysis to the anterosuperior aspect of the bladder (Fig. 2a). T1-weighted imaging revealed a mass of similarly low intensity as the bladder wall. However, the central part of the mass exhibited decreased signal (Fig. 2b). On fat-suppressed T1-weighted imaging, gadolinium contrast material revealed enhancement in the majority of the mass. However, the central part of the mass showed decreased intensity on unenhanced T1-weighted imaging and lacked contrast enhancement, thereby suggesting a cystic component (Fig. 2c). CT showed degenerative changes accompanied by erosion of the pubic symphysis and pubic osteophytes (Fig. 3). His serum tumor marker levels were normal; however, serum CRP was 4.25 mg/dL (normal range: 0.0–0.3) and ALP was 745 U/L (normal range: 120–340).

After case review, the multidisciplinary team recommended drainage of the atypical cyst and pathological examination to rule out malignancy. The pelvic pain hindered the patient’s mobility; thus, prompt diagnosis and treatment were necessary. He therefore underwent exploratory laparotomy.

We reached the pelvic cavity in laparoscopic procedure. No abscess was observed in the pelvic cavity; however, a cyst with inflammatory, hard fibrous tissue was observed around the pubic symphysis. We collected tissue specimens using forceps and placed a drainage tube over the cyst as laparoscopic fenestration. Only a small amount of serous drainage flowed out, and the drainage tube was removed after a few days.

A biopsy sample of the lesion was obtained, and pathological findings revealed inflammatory fibrous tissue with lymphocytes but no malignancy (Fig. 4). The culture of the biopsy also yields negative results. The comprehensive diagnosis was retropubic parasymphyseal cyst associated with inflammation.

The patient was treated with cefazolin sodium 1 g IV q8h starting 1 day before surgery and continuing to postsurgical

---

**Fig. 1** Cystoscopy demonstrating the edematous regions in the anterior of the bladder wall.

**Fig. 2** Magnetic resonance images. (a) Sagittal T2-weighted image showing a high intensity, irregular-shaped mass with a maximum diameter of 5.4 cm, extending from the posterosuperior aspect of the pubic symphysis to the anteroinferior aspect of the bladder (red arrows). (b) T1-weighted image showing a mass of the same low intensity as the bladder wall. Notably, the central part of the mass exhibited a decreased signal (red arrows). (c) Contrast-enhanced fat-suppressed T1-weighted image showing enhancement in the majority of the mass, except the center, which corresponded to the area of decreased intensity on unenhanced T1-weighted imaging, thereby indicating a cystic component (red arrow).
day 7. The patient’s symptoms rapidly improved, with serum CRP and ALP levels improving significantly. We continued antibiotic therapy minocycline 100 mg PO q12h for 1 month for optimal results. Over the next 2 months, the patient’s symptoms resolved, and serum CRP and ALP levels normalized.

**Discussion and analysis**

Retropubic parasymphyseal cysts, are rare lesions that develop in the pubic symphysis, were first reported in 1996. The pubic symphysis is a hemiarthrotic joint comprising a fibrocartilaginous interpubic disc sandwiched between thin layers of hyaline cartilage. It is commonly affected by inflammatory arthropathy, infection, trauma, and degenerative changes. The typical clinical symptoms of retropubic parasymphyseal cysts include painful or painless vulvar mass, abdominal pain, urinary dysfunction, pain in the base of the penis, and sexual dysfunction. Signal characteristics on MRI are hypointense relative to muscle on T1-weighted sequences, heterogeneously hyperintense on T2-weighted sequences, and with a thin enhancing wall with no internal enhancement. Pathological findings of biopsy sampling or surgical resection are fibrocartilage or hyaline cartilage.

In a literature review of 18 patients with retropubic parasymphyseal cysts, including 2 men and 16 multiparous women, Taniguchi et al. reported that the cyst size ranged from 1.5 to 7.2 cm (Table 1). Of the 16 women, 6 had a painless mass, whereas the others reported vulvar pain, urinary symptoms, difficulty with micturition, and acute urinary retention. Retropubic parasymphyseal cysts sometimes had to be distinguished from malignant tumors on ultrasonography-guided needle biopsy, CT-guided aspiration of the cyst, or open biopsy. Despite the benign results (fibrous connective tissue), surgical resection was necessary because of the size of the lesions and urinary tract symptoms.

In multiparous women, retropubic parasymphyseal cysts are thought to result from postmenopausal reactive changes. In men, however, several reports indicated that cysts ≤3 cm in size shrink spontaneously after several years. In their case reports, Wylie et al. suggested that in retropubic parasymphyseal cyst cases involving pain in the base of the penis and scrotum, the cyst reduction might have led to improvement in symptoms after 4 years. Conversely, in a patient for whom an asymptomatic retropubic parasymphyseal cyst shrank after 6 months, Martel and Spouge hypothesized that gas within the parasymphyseal cystic mass developed from a vacuum phenomenon in which gas patterns occurred transiently by negative pressure in the degenerated disc. In contrast, complete spontaneous regression was reported in a woman with a 1.5-cm subpubic cartilaginous cyst. The authors speculated that the smallness of the cyst might have contributed to spontaneous resolution. The mass in our patient, in contrast, was large and associated with inflammation. Moreover, most retropubic parasymphyseal cysts are round, whereas the mass in our patient was irregular in shape, and the cyst was in the center of the mass.

We did not perform needle biopsy because the mass could not be identified by ultrasonography, and CT-guided aspiration from an anatomically difficult location may cause tumor contamination, as reported previously. Consequently, we were able to obtain information on the mass in laparoscopy. The enhancement of most of the mass surrounding the cyst indicated subacute inflammation and spread to the bladder. This case was associated with inflammation and involved a larger cyst size than the previous cases of spontaneous contraction. We prescribed antibiotic therapy according to the general treatment for osteomyelitis and laparoscopic fenestration. The patient was treated with intravenous cefazolin sodium during the perioperative period and placed on minocycline for 1 month for optimal treatment. Rifampicin was not used in combination due to side effects.

Exploratory laparotomy enabled fenestration of the mass, and antibiotic treatment was effective, as evident from the lack of symptoms at the 2-month follow-up. Communication among the multidisciplinary team, which included urologists, pathologists, and radiologists, was crucial for diagnosis and treatment in this atypical case.

**Conclusion**

In rare retropubic parasymphyseal cyst cases, invasive surgery might be necessary when symptoms are severe, but...
conservative treatment or minimally invasive therapy may be effective and should be considered, as in this case report.
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Editorial Comment

Editorial Comment to Rare case of retropubic parasympathetic cyst in a male patient

A retropubic parasympathetic cyst (RPC) was first reported in 1996 by Alguacil-Garcia and Littman.1 Eighteen cases of RPC have been reported to date, two of which were males.2–4 The common symptoms of RPC include urinary tract dysfunction, abdominal pain, dyspareunia, and a painful vulvar mass, although there are asymptomatic cases. As Arase et al. summarize in the present article,5 a male with a RPC >50 mm in diameter is quite rare and a standard treatment has not been established for RPC.

In the present article, Arase et al.5 reported a male with RPC 54 mm in diameter who underwent successful conservative treatment. The patient was a 65-year-old male with pelvic and perineal pain. Laboratory testing and imaging studies (ultrasonography, CT, and contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging) were performed to rule out a malignancy, after which he was thought to have a benign inflammatory mass. A specimen was obtained laparoscopically, sent for pathologic examination, and the mass was diagnosed as an RPC. The patient was successfully treated by laparoscopic fenestration, placement of a drainage tube, and antibiotics (an osteomyelitis treatment regimen).

Wylie et al.3 and Martel et al.4 reported RPCs (25 and 30 mm, respectively) in symptomatic and asymptomatic males, respectively. Neither surgery nor cyst aspiration was performed because the cysts spontaneously decreased in size in both patients and the symptoms improved. In the present case, it is thought that additional or alternative examinations, such as urine cytology, serum tumor markers, CT or US-guided needle biopsy, and/or aspiration for cytology and culture, were effective for evaluating malignant intrapelvic diseases, such as prostate cancer, bladder cancer, urachal cancer, osteosarcoma, and soft tissue sarcoma. Furthermore, we cannot conclude which treatments were effective in this patient (surgical procedure or antimicrobial treatment). With respect to RPCs, additional pathophysiologic and bacteriologic considerations are also warranted.

This article will be helpful for clinicians to consider the differential diagnosis and make treatment strategies for RPCs. Thus, we recommend this article to be published by IJU Case Reports.
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