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1. Introduction

Plant endophytic bacteria are live and thrive inside plants without causing harmful effects to their host plants [1]. The endophytic bacteria provide numerous benefits to their host plants, including promoting the growth of host plants, enhancing the resistance of host plants against diseases, and increasing the tolerance of host plants to stressful environmental conditions [2–4]. Endophytic bacteria have been found in different parts of plants, such as roots, stems, leaves, seeds, fruits, tubers, ovules and nodules; however,
roots contain the highest number of bacterial endophytes when compared to other plant parts [4,5].

The mangrove ecosystem is characterized by highly changeable environmental conditions such as salinity, temperature, nutrients, and tidal currents, making it one of the most productive ecosystems [6]. Mangrove plants growing under such frequent and sporadic environmental changes provide special ecological niches for diverse endophytic microbial communities [7]. In addition, the variable environmental conditions in mangrove ecosystems may serve as excellent selectors for structurally distinct secondary metabolites with intriguing pharmacological effects. Along with their host plants, endophytic bacteria have also been reported to be a rich source of secondary metabolites with promising pharmacological properties [8–11]. Of these, many compounds exhibit potent biological activities and may be considered as lead compounds for further drug developments.

*Rhizophora apiculata* is a “true” mangrove plant belonging to the genus *Rhizophora*, of the family Rhizophoraceae. The genus *Rhizophora* is generally found in intertidal zones and is distributed in both the Indo–West Pacific and Atlantic East Pacific regions [12]. Phytochemical investigations on *R. apiculata* reveal its structurally diverse secondary metabolites, including alkaloids, terpenoids, flavonoids, aliphatic alcohols, and phenolic derivatives [13–17]. The isolated compounds from this species have been reported to exhibit promising pharmacological properties, such as antimicrobial, antiviral, antioxidant, antidiabetic, anticancer, and hepatoprotective activities [15,18–23]. Apart from *R. apiculata*, its endophytic microorganisms produce various secondary metabolites with valuable biological properties such as antimicrobial, antiviral, and anticancer activities [11,24–26].

With long coastlines up to 3260 km, coastal mangrove forests are among the most important ecosystems in Vietnam. The Vietnamese mangrove forests cover an area of 155,290 ha with 109 mangrove plant species. “True” mangrove plants consist of 37 species belonging to 20 genera of 14 families, whereas “associate” mangrove plants consist of 72 species belonging to 36 genera of 28 families. Among them, the most dominant mangrove plants are species of the genus *Rhizophora* [27]. In the present study, we isolated endophytic bacteria from the fresh roots of *R. apiculata* collected in the mangrove forest of Bu Lu, Phu Loc district, Thua Thien Hue province, Vietnam (Figure 1), and evaluated their potential pharmacological properties. The composition of volatile organic compounds present in the high bioactive extracts was determined by gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC-MS) analyses. Additionally, the biosynthesis gene clusters of secondary metabolites were discovered by the genome analysis. The obtained results in the present study reveal that the endophytic bacteria from *Rhizophora apiculata* are potential sources of biological compounds and further investigations, e.g., isolation of active pure compounds and heterologous expression of novel biosynthesis gene clusters of secondary metabolites should be performed in order to explore and exploit the novel bioactive compounds for drug development.
2. Results

2.1. Isolation and Identification of Endophytic Bacteria

Twenty-three endophytic bacterial strains were isolated from the fresh roots of the mangrove plant *Rhizophora apiculata*. Based on their 16S rRNA gene sequences, the bacterial strains were identified as belonging to nine different genera, i.e., *Streptomyces*, *Bacillus*, *Pseudovibrio*, *Microbacterium*, *Rossellomorea*, *Brevibacterium*, *Microbulbifer*, *Micrococcus*, and *Paracoccus* (Table 1). The phylogenetic tree based on their 16S rRNA gene sequences is shown in Figure 2. All isolated strains were cultured in nutrient broth and their ethyl acetate extracts were prepared for evaluating their potential pharmacological properties.

Table 1. Identification of the endophytic bacterial strains by 16S rRNA gene sequences.

| Strain ID   | Identification by 16S rRNA Gene Sequence | NCBI BLAST Identity (%) | Accession Number |
|-------------|------------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| RAR_GA_12   | Streptomyces sp.                          | 99.8                     | MT229086         |
| RAR_GA_16   | Bacillus sp.                              | 100                      | OK649239         |
| RAR_WA_18   | Bacillus sp.                              | 99.8                     | MT229092         |
| RAR_M1_23   | Pseudovibrio sp.                          | 99.7                     | MT229094         |
| RAR_M1_26   | Bacillus sp.                              | 99.6                     | MT229093         |
| RAR_GA_31   | Microbacterium sp.                        | 99.9                     | MT229099         |
| RAR_WA_32   | Rossellomorea vietnamensis               | 100                      | OK649240         |
| RAR_M1_36   | Brevibacterium sp.                        | 99.9                     | MT229096         |
| RAR_M1_41   | Microbulbifer sp.                         | 99.8                     | MT229085         |
| RAR_GA_42   | Micrococcus sp.                           | 99.9                     | MT229088         |
| RAR_M1_44   | Bacillus sp.                              | 100                      | OK649238         |
| RAR_M1_45   | Bacillus sp.                              | 99.9                     | MT229100         |
| RAR_M1_49   | Paracoccus sp.                            | 99.9                     | MT229090         |
| RAR_WA_50   | Bacillus sp.                              | 99.8                     | MT229104         |
| RAR_M1_53   | Streptomyces sp.                          | 99.9                     | MT229089         |
| RAR_M1_54   | Streptomyces sp.                          | 100                      | MT229103         |
Table 1. Cont.

| Strain ID   | Identification by 16S rRNA Gene Sequence | NCBI BLAST Identity (%) | Accession Number |
|-------------|----------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| RAR_GA_57   | *Streptomyces* sp.                      | 99.9                     | MT229088         |
| RAR_M1_58   | *Bacillus velezensis*                   | 100                      | MT229097         |
| RAR_M1_60   | *Bacillus* sp.                          | 99.9                     | MT229102         |
| RAR_M1_61   | *Bacillus velezensis*                   | 100                      | MT229087         |
| RAR_GA_63   | *Streptomyces* sp.                      | 99.7                     | MT229095         |
| RAR_GA_64   | *Streptomyces* sp.                      | 100                      | MT229101         |
| RAR_M1_66   | *Microbacterium* sp.                    | 99.8                     | MT229091         |

Figure 2. Phylogeny of 16S rRNA gene sequences of the endophytic bacteria in our study (bold letters) and from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) GenBank. Bootstrap support values of branches greater than 75% are given above the corresponding branches.
2.2. Pharmacological Evaluation of the Ethyl Acetate Extracts

2.2.1. Antimicrobial Activity

The antimicrobial assays showed that 18 of 23 bacterial extracts exhibited antimicrobial activity against at least one of the tested microbes with minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) values from 16–256 µg/mL (Table 2). Among them, nine extracts exhibited antimicrobial activity against S. aureus, eleven extracts exhibited antimicrobial activity against E. faecalis, eight extracts exhibited antimicrobial activity against E. coli, eight extracts exhibited antimicrobial activity against P. aegurinosa, and ten extracts exhibited antimicrobial activity against C. albicans. Several extracts showed antimicrobial activity against multiple tested microbes, for example, three extracts (RAR_GA_16, RAR_WA_18, RAR_M1_44) exhibited antimicrobial activity against four tested microbes, eight extracts (RAR_GA_12, RAR_WA_32, RAR_M1_36, RAR_M1_45, RAR_WA_50, RAR_GA_57, RAR_M1_60, RAR_M1_66) exhibited antimicrobial activity against three tested microbes, three extracts (RAR_GA_31, RAR_M1_53, RAR_GA_63) exhibited antimicrobial activity against two tested microbes, and four extracts (RAR_M1_23, RAR_M1_54, RAR_M1_58, RAR_GA_64) exhibited antimicrobial activity against one tested microbe. Notably, several extracts showed significant antimicrobial activity with MIC values between 16 and 64 µg/mL.

Table 2. Antimicrobial activity of the bacterial extracts (MIC, µg/mL).

| Strain ID    | S. aureus | E. faecalis | E. coli | P. aegurinosa | C. albicans |
|--------------|-----------|-------------|---------|---------------|-------------|
| RAR_GA_12    | 64        | 128         | -       | -             | 64          |
| RAR_GA_16    | 16        | 32          | 256     | -             | 32          |
| RAR_WA_18    | -         | 64          | 128     | 256           | 256         |
| RAR_M1_23    | 128       | -           | -       | -             | -           |
| RAR_M1_26    | -         | -           | -       | -             | -           |
| RAR_GA_31    | -         | -           | 64      | 128           | -           |
| RAR_WA_32    | -         | -           | 32      | 64            | 128         |
| RAR_M1_36    | 64        | 32          | 128     | -             | -           |
| RAR_M1_41    | -         | -           | -       | -             | -           |
| RAR_GA_42    | -         | -           | -       | -             | -           |
| RAR_M1_44    | 32        | 64          | 128     | -             | 64          |
| RAR_M1_45    | -         | 128         | 128     | 256           | -           |
| RAR_M1_49    | -         | -           | -       | -             | -           |
| RAR_WA_50    | 32        | -           | -       | 64            | 64          |
| RAR_M1_53    | -         | 64          | -       | 256           | -           |
| RAR_M1_54    | -         | 128         | -       | -             | -           |
| RAR_GA_57    | -         | 32          | -       | 16            | 64          |
| RAR_M1_58    | -         | 256         | -       | -             | -           |
| RAR_M1_60    | 128       | -           | 128     | -             | 32          |
| RAR_M1_61    | -         | -           | -       | -             | -           |
| RAR_GA_63    | 64        | 256         | -       | -             | -           |
| RAR_GA_64    | -         | -           | 64      | -             | -           |
| RAR_M1_66    | 128       | -           | -       | 64            | 128         |
| Ciprofloxacin| 1         | 2           | 0.5     | 0.5           | -           |
| Fluconazole  |           |             |         |               | 2           |

2.2.2. Antioxidant Activity

The antioxidant activity of the bacterial extracts was evaluated by their DPPH (1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl) and ABTS (2,2-azino-bis-3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) radical scavenging effects (Table 3). Antioxidant assays showed that nine extracts exhibited significant DPPH radical scavenging activity with IC₅₀ values from 43.52 ± 3.87 to 88.32 ± 4.13 µg/mL and eleven extracts exhibited significant ABTS radical scavenging activity with IC₅₀ values from 56.29 ± 4.61 to 81.45 ± 3.64 µg/mL. Among them, eight extracts, i.e., RAR_GA_16, RAR_M1_23, RAR_GA_31, RAR_WA_32, RAR_GA_42, RAR_M1_44, RAR_M1_58, and RAR_M1_61 exhibited both DPPH and ABTS radical scavenging activities.
Table 3. DPPH and ABTS radical scavenging activity of the bacterial extracts (IC<sub>50</sub>, µg/mL).

| Strain ID      | DPPH Radical Scavenging Activity | ABTS Radical Scavenging Activity |
|---------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| RAR_GA_12     | >100                             | >100                             |
| RAR_GA_16     | 72.24 ± 5.26                    | 56.29 ± 4.61                    |
| RAR_WA_18     | >100                             | >100                             |
| RAR_M1_23     | 61.23 ± 3.26                    | 76.32 ± 3.51                    |
| RAR_M1_26     | >100                             | >100                             |
| RAR_GA_31     | 72.36 ± 3.95                    | >100                             |
| RAR_WA_32     | 43.52 ± 3.87                    | 66.43 ± 5.25                    |
| RAR_M1_36     | 44.34 ± 2.76                    | 64.51 ± 3.26                    |
| RAR_M1_41     | >100                             | >100                             |
| RAR_GA_42     | 51.64 ± 2.68                    | 76.29 ± 3.75                    |
| RAR_M1_44     | 81.34 ± 6.18                    | 77.35 ± 5.82                    |
| RAR_M1_45     | >100                             | >100                             |
| RAR_M1_49     | >100                             | >100                             |
| RAR_WA_50     | >100                             | 81.45 ± 3.64                    |
| RAR_M1_53     | >100                             | >100                             |
| RAR_M1_54     | >100                             | >100                             |
| RAR_GA_57     | >100                             | 59.43 ± 2.68                    |
| RAR_M1_58     | 88.32 ± 4.13                    | 67.48 ± 2.46                    |
| RAR_M1_60     | >100                             | >100                             |
| RAR_M1_61     | 65.23 ± 3.23                    | 77.21 ± 3.24                    |
| RAR_GA_63     | >100                             | >100                             |
| RAR_GA_64     | >100                             | >100                             |
| RAR_M1_66     | >100                             | 71.35 ± 3.57                    |
| Ascorbic acid | 29.14 ± 4.27                    | 26.35 ± 3.24                    |

2.2.3. α-Amylase, α-Glucosidase, and Xanthine Oxidase Inhibitory Activities

The inhibitory effects of the bacterial extracts against several enzymes related to diabetes and gout, i.e., α-amylase, α-glucosidase, and xanthine oxidase, also were evaluated (Table 4). Bioassays showed that eleven extracts exhibited significant α-amylase inhibitory activity with IC<sub>50</sub> values from 33.51 ± 4.62 to 131.36 ± 5.41 µg/mL, and nine extracts exhibited significant α-glucosidase inhibitory activity with IC<sub>50</sub> values from 53.68 ± 3.12 to 193.44 ± 6.73 µg/mL. Among them, six extracts exhibited both α-amylase and α-glucosidase inhibitory activities, such as RAR_GA_16, RAR_GA_31, RAR_WA_32, RAR_M1_44, RAR_M1_49, and RAR_GA_57. Notably, several extracts exhibited better α-amylase and α-glucosidase inhibitory activities than the positive control acarbose (IC<sub>50</sub> = 89.34 ± 3.61 and 217.46 ± 6.38 for α-amylase and α-glucosidase, respectively). Regarding the XO enzyme, ten extracts exhibited significant XO inhibitory activity with IC<sub>50</sub> values from 54.57 ± 2.53 to 94.36 ± 4.74 µg/mL.

2.2.4. Cytotoxic Activity

The cytotoxic activity of the bacterial extracts against three cancer cell lines, i.e., MCF-7 (human breast carcinoma), A549 (human lung carcinoma), and HeLa (human cervix carcinoma), are shown in Table 5. Cytotoxic bioassays showed that six extracts exhibited significant cytotoxic activity against the cell line MCF-7 with IC<sub>50</sub> values from 36.48 ± 2.63 to 83.24 ± 4.51 µg/mL, four extracts exhibited significant cytotoxic activity against the cell line A549 with IC<sub>50</sub> values from 21.52 ± 3.22 to 89.53 ± 5.31 µg/mL, and three extracts exhibited significant cytotoxic activity against the cell line A549 with IC<sub>50</sub> values from 41.27 ± 3.42 to 97.53 ± 5.31 µg/mL. Of these, two extracts exhibited cytotoxic activity against two cell lines, i.e., RAR_WA_32 and RAR_WA_50, and two extracts exhibited cytotoxic activity against all three tested cell lines, i.e., RAR_GA_16 and RAR_M1_44.
Table 4. α-Amylase, α-glucosidase, and xanthine oxidase inhibitory activities of the bacterial extracts (IC$_{50}$, µg/mL).

| Strain ID      | α-Amylase Inhibition | α-Glucosidase Inhibition | XO Inhibition |
|---------------|----------------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| RAR_GA_12     | >200                 | 74.26 ± 4.12             | >100         |
| RAR_GA_16     | 73.27 ± 3.45         | 85.73 ± 5.26             | 94.36 ± 4.74 |
| RAR_WA_18     | >200                 | >200                     | 54.57 ± 2.53 |
| RAR_M1_23     | 131.36 ± 5.41        | >200                     | >100         |
| RAR_M1_26     | >200                 | 73.64 ± 3.78             | >100         |
| RAR_GA_31     | 64.42 ± 3.35         | 81.32 ± 4.42             | >100         |
| RAR_WA_32     | 33.51 ± 4.62         | 53.68 ± 3.12             | 74.38 ± 3.81 |
| RAR_M1_36     | 51.67 ± 2.98         | >200                     | 75.36 ± 3.76 |
| RAR_M1_41     | >200                 | 0>200                    | >100         |
| RAR_GA_42     | >200                 | >200                     | 82.35 ± 4.13 |
| RAR_M1_44     | 86.38 ± 5.39         | 94.14 ± 5.28             | 64.43 ± 4.56 |
| RAR_M1_45     | >200                 | >200                     | >100         |
| RAR_M1_49     | 58.34 ± 2.47         | 55.16 ± 4.39             | >100         |
| RAR_WA_50     | 77.64 ± 3.68         | >200                     | 68.46 ± 3.47 |
| RAR_M1_53     | >200                 | >200                     | >100         |
| RAR_M1_54     | >200                 | >200                     | 74.38 ± 3.81 |
| RAR_GA_57     | 83.45 ± 4.25         | 57.38 ± 3.42             | >100         |
| RAR_M1_58     | 68.34 ± 3.42         | >200                     | >100         |
| RAR_M1_60     | >200                 | >200                     | 71.44 ± 3.67 |
| RAR_M1_61     | >200                 | 193.44 ± 6.73            | >100         |
| RAR_GA_63     | 128.34 ± 6.32        | >200                     | >100         |
| RAR_GA_64     | >200                 | >200                     | 55.29 ± 3.27 |
| RAR_M1_66     | >200                 | >200                     | >100         |
| Acarbose      | 89.34 ± 3.61         | 217.46 ± 6.38            | -            |
| Allopurinol   | -                    | -                        | 6.12 ± 0.61  |

Table 5. Cytotoxic activity of bacterial extracts against cancer cell lines (IC$_{50}$, µg/mL).

| Strain ID      | MCF-7     | A549      | Hela      |
|---------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| RAR_GA_12     | >100      | >100      | >100      |
| RAR_GA_16     | 36.48 ± 2.63 | 89.53 ± 5.31 | 41.27 ± 3.42 |
| RAR_WA_18     | > 100     | >100      | >100      |
| RAR_M1_23     | >100      | >100      | >100      |
| RAR_M1_26     | >100      | >100      | >100      |
| RAR_GA_31     | >100      | >100      | >100      |
| RAR_WA_32     | >100      | 21.52 ± 3.22 | 57.67 ± 4.75 |
| RAR_M1_36     | 83.24 ± 4.51 | >100      | >100      |
| RAR_M1_41     | >100      | >100      | >100      |
| RAR_GA_42     | 53.17 ± 2.84 | >100      | >100      |
| RAR_M1_44     | 61.32 ± 3.22 | 51.32 ± 4.21 | 97.53 ± 5.31 |
| RAR_M1_45     | >100      | >100      | >100      |
| RAR_M1_49     | >100      | >100      | >100      |
| RAR_WA_50     | 61.32 ± 3.22 | 36.48 ± 2.32 | >100      |
| RAR_M1_53     | >100      | >100      | >100      |
| RAR_M1_54     | >100      | >100      | >100      |
| RAR_GA_57     | 77.36 ± 2.31 | >100      | >100      |
| RAR_M1_58     | >100      | >100      | >100      |
| RAR_M1_60     | >100      | >100      | >100      |
| RAR_M1_61     | >100      | >100      | >100      |
| RAR_GA_63     | >100      | >100      | >100      |
| RAR_GA_64     | >100      | >100      | >100      |
| RAR_M1_66     | >100      | >100      | >100      |
| Camptothecin  | 4.75 ± 0.41 | 2.47 ± 0.36 | 3.56 ± 0.62 |
2.3. Volatile Chemical Composition of the Endophytic Bacterial Extracts by GC-MS

The bioassays revealed that the ethyl acetate extracts of the three endophytic strains Bacillus sp. RAR_GA_16, Rossellomorea vietnamensis RAR_WA_32, and Bacillus sp. RAR_M1_44 displayed the most potential pharmacological properties. Thus, the composition of volatile organic compounds present in their ethyl acetate extracts was investigated by GC-MS analyses. The GC-MS analysis identified 29, 78, and 42 peaks of VOCs from the extract of the bacterial strains RAR_GA_16, RAR_WA_32, and RAR_M1_44, respectively (Supplementary Tables S1–S3). By comparing with the spectra databases of the known compounds, 7 compounds were identified in the extract of Bacillus sp. RAR_GA_16 (Table 6) including hexahydro-pyrrolo[1,2-a]pyrazine-1,4-dione (13.15%), 3-isobutylhexahydropyrrolo[1,2-a]pyrazine-1,4-dione (8.15%), palmitic acid (2.09%), diisooctyl phthalate (6.90%), linoleic acid (1.57%), 9(E)-octadecenoic acid (9.17%), and stearic acid (3.02%), 26 compounds were identified from the extract of Rossellomorea vietnamensis RAR_WA_32 with the major components including diocyl phthalate (58.09%), palmitic acid (5.56%), 3-isobutylhexahydropyrrolo[1,2-a]pyrazine-1,4-dione (4.56%) stearic acid (3.55%), benzeneacetic acid (1.92%), and 3-benzyl-hexahydro-pyrrolo[1,2-a]pyrazine-1,4-dione (1.87%), and 6 compounds were identified from the extract of Bacillus sp. RAR_M1_44 including palmitic acid (0.51%), (Z,Z)-9,12-octadecadienoic acid (0.33%), 6-octadecenoic acid (1.93%), stearic acid (0.34%), and 1,2-benzendicarboxylic acid (0.99%) (Table 6). Interestingly, several compounds were found in the extracts of all three bacterial strains Bacillus sp. RAR_GA_16, Rossellomorea vietnamensis RAR_WA_32, and Bacillus sp. RAR_M1_44 (i.e., palmitic acid and stearic acid); however, the quantities of the compounds varied among the extracts.

Table 6. The volatile chemical composition of the bacterial extracts.

| No. | Composition | RT/min | Matching Quality | Quantity (%) | Biological Activity | Refs. |
|-----|-------------|--------|------------------|--------------|---------------------|-------|
|     | **Bacillus sp. RAR_GA_16** |        |                  |              |                     |       |
| 1   | Hexahydro-pyrrolo[1,2-a]pyrazine-1,4-dione | 18.830 | 96               | 13.15        | Antimicrobial, antioxidant, antimicrobial | [28,29] |
| 2   | 3-Isobutylhexahydropyrrolo[1,2-a]pyrazine-1,4-dione | 21.125 | 91               | 8.15         | Nematicidal, anti-mutagenic | [30–32] |
| 3   | Palmitic acid | 21.413 | 98               | 2.09         | Antibacterial       | [33]  |
| 4   | Diisooctyl phthalate | 22.022 | 95               | 6.90         | Antifungal         | [34,35] |
| 5   | Linoleic acid | 23.454 | 99               | 1.57         | Antibacterial       | [33,36] |
| 6   | 9(E)-Octadecenoic acid | 23.517 | 99               | 9.17         | Antibacterial       | [37]  |
| 7   | Stearic acid | 23.763 | 98               | 3.02         | Antibacterial       | [33]  |
|     | **Rossellomorea vietnamensis RAR_WA_32** |        |                  |              |                     |       |
| 1   | Benzyl alcohol | 8.045 | 96               | 0.12         | Antibacterial       | [38,39] |
| 2   | 2,3,5,6-Tetramethylpyrazine | 9.494 | 91               | 0.16         | Antioxidant, anticancer, anti-inflammatory | [40–42] |
| 3   | Phenylethyl alcohol | 10.225 | 97               | 0.16         | Antibacterial       | [43,44] |
| 4   | Benzoic acid | 11.783 | 94               | 0.25         | Antimicrobial       | [45]  |
| 5   | Methyl phenylacetate | 12.022 | 94               | 0.19         | Antimicrobial       | [46,47] |
| 6   | Benzeneacetic acid | 14.193 | 94               | 1.92         | Antimicrobial       | [48,49] |
| 7   | Benzenepropanoic acid | 16.367 | 96               | 0.38         | Antimicrobial       | [50]  |
### Table 6. Cont.

| No. | Composition | RT/min | Matching Quality | Quantity (%) | Biological Activity | Refs. |
|-----|-------------|--------|------------------|--------------|---------------------|-------|
| 8   | Docosane    | 20.327 | 91               | 0.09         | -                   | -     |
| 9   | 2,4-Di-tert-butylphenol | 20.775 | 97               | 0.3          | Antifungal, antioxidant | [51,52] |
| 10  | Hexahydropyrrolo[1,2-al]pyrazine-1,4-dione | 26.144 | 96               | 0.38         | Antibacterial, antioxidant | [28,29] |
| 11  | Myristic acid | 26.351 | 94               | 0.08         | Antibacterial        | [53,54] |
| 12  | Methyl 13-methylmyristate | 26.911 | 97               | 0.12         | -                   | -     |
| 13  | 12-Methyltetradecanoic acid | 27.078 | 94               | 0.23         | Antifungal, anticancer | [55,56] |
| 14  | Pentadecanoic acid | 27.717 | 98               | 0.64         | -                   | -     |
| 15  | Methyl palmitate | 29.702 | 95               | 0.76         | Antibacterial, anti-inflammatory, Antimicrobial, nematicidal, and anti-mutagenic | [30–32] |
| 16  | 3-Isobutylhexahydropyrrolo[1,2-al]pyrazine-1,4-dione | 29.836 | 95               | 4.56         | -                   | -     |
| 17  | Palmitic acid | 30.482 | 99               | 5.56         | Antibacterial        | [33]  |
| 18  | Methyl 14-methylhexadecanoate | 31.084 | 92               | 0.18         | -                   | -     |
| 19  | 11-Octadecenoic methyl ester | 33.090 | 95               | 0.08         | -                   | -     |
| 20  | Methyl stearate | 33.458 | 96               | 0.14         | -                   | -     |
| 21  | 9-Octadecenoic acid | 33.755 | 98               | 0.79         | Antibacterial        | [59]  |
| 22  | Stearic acid | 34.135 | 99               | 3.55         | Antibacterial        | [33]  |
| 23  | 1,3,3a,6,7,9a-Hexahydro-cis-cycloocta[c]furan | 35.701 | 90               | 0.23         | -                   | -     |
| 24  | Cyclo(phe-pro) | 36.941 | 90               | 0.15         | Antimicrobial, anticancer | [60–62] |
| 25  | 3-Benzylhexahydropyrrolo[1,2-al]pyrazine-1,4-dione | 37.704 | 95               | 1.87         | Anti-biofilm, anti-quorum sensing, Antimicrobial, tyrosinase inhibitory | [63]  |
| 26  | Dioctyl phthalate | 40.507 | 91               | 58.09        | -                   | -     |

Compounds with minimum match quality of 90%.

#### 2.4. Genome Sequencing, Assembly, and Annotation of Biosynthesis Gene Clusters of Secondary Metabolites

In order to discover the biosynthesis gene clusters (BGCs) of secondary metabolites of the isolated bacteria, we sequenced their genomes and annotated BGCs of secondary metabolites from the genome data. The genomic features of three bacterial strains Bacillus sp. RAR_GA_16, Rossellomorea vietnamensis RAR_WA_32, and Bacillus sp. RAR_M1_44 are shown in Table 7. The size of the bacterial genomes was from 3,768,026 to 4,494,267 bp with GC contents from 40.69 to 44.09%. Assembly results showed that completion...
of the genomes was from 96.6 to 99.8%, with the maximum contig length from 770,470 to 2,585,281 bp and N50 contig length from 501,856 to 2,582,281 bp. Annotation of the genomes by Prokka showed that the genomes contain 3807–4610 coding sequences (CDSs), 22–27 rRNA, 81–111 tRNA, and 0–1 tmRNA.

The genomes were analyzed for the presence of secondary metabolite biosynthetic gene clusters (BGCs) using antiSMASH. Annotation results showed that the genome of Bacillus sp. RAR_GA_16 contains 22 BGCs, including clusters related to the biosynthesis of linear azol(in)e-containing peptide (LAP), bacteriocin, lassopeptide, siderophore, type III polyketide (T3PKS), terpene, and several unknown compounds. Several BGCs shared their identity to the biosynthesis clusters of known compounds in Minimum Information about a Biosynthetic Gene cluster (MIBiG) database, such as paeninodin, butirosin A, butirosin B, carotenoid, thaxteramide C, and fengycin with the identities of 4–80% (Table 8).

Table 7. Genomic features of the bacterial endophytes.

| Genomic Features                  | RAR_GA_16     | RAR_WA_32    | RAR_M1_44    |
|----------------------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|
| Size of the genome assembly (bp) | 4,394,636    | 4,494,267   | 3,768,026   |
| GC content (%)                   | 40.69        | 44.09       | 41.38       |
| Contigs                          | 22           | 4           | 5           |
| Maximum Contig Length (bp)       | 770,470      | 2,474,194   | 2,582,281   |
| N50 Contig Length (bp)           | 501,856      | 2,474,194   | 2,582,281   |
| CDS                              | 4610         | 4529        | 3807        |
| rRNA                             | 27           | 22          | 24          |
| tRNA                             | 89           | 111         | 81          |
| tmRNA                            | 1            | 0           | 1           |
| Complete genome (%)              | 96.6         | 99.5        | 99.8        |

Table 8. Predicted biosynthesis gene clusters of secondary metabolites from the bacterial genomes.

| Cluster | Length | Types               | Most Similar Known Cluster | MIBiG BGC-ID      | Similarity |
|---------|--------|---------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|------------|
| Cluster 1 | 21,787 | LAP, bacteriocin    | -                          | -                 | -          |
| Cluster 2 | 14,207 | Lassopeptide        | Paeninodin                 | BGC0001356        | 80%        |
| Cluster 3 | 28,959 | Siderophore         | -                          | -                 | -          |
| Cluster 4 | 37,598 | T3PKS               | -                          | -                 | -          |
| Cluster 5 | 21,821 | Terpene             | Butirosin A/butirosin B    | BGC0000693        | 7%         |
| Cluster 6 | 20,837 | Terpene             | Carotenoid                 | BGC0000645        | 50%        |
| Cluster 7 | 24,479 | -                   | S-layer glycan             | BGC0000796        | 14%        |
| Cluster 8 | 15,240 | -                   | Thaxteramide C             | BGC0002025        | 7%         |
| Cluster 9 | 4858   | -                   | Fengycin                   | BGC0001095        | 20%        |
| Cluster 10 | 8288  | -                   | Capsular polysaccharide    | BGC0000758        | 4%         |
| Cluster 11 | 11,638 | -                   | -                          | -                 | -          |
| Cluster 12 | 6299   | -                   | -                          | -                 | -          |
| Cluster 13 | 3468   | -                   | -                          | -                 | -          |
| Cluster 14 | 15,194 | -                   | -                          | -                 | -          |
| Cluster 15 | 9297   | -                   | -                          | -                 | -          |
| Cluster 16 | 15,779 | -                   | -                          | -                 | -          |
| Cluster 17 | 11,263 | -                   | -                          | -                 | -          |
| Cluster 18 | 9795   | -                   | -                          | -                 | -          |
| Cluster 19 | 9448   | -                   | -                          | -                 | -          |
| Cluster 20 | 16,830 | -                   | -                          | -                 | -          |
| Cluster 21 | 7027   | -                   | -                          | -                 | -          |
| Cluster 22 | 5280   | -                   | -                          | -                 | -          |
## Table 8. Cont.

| Cluster | Length  | Types                          | Most Similar Known Cluster | MIBiG BGC-ID       | Similarity |
|---------|---------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|------------|
|         |         | **Rossellomurea vietnamensis RAR_WA_32** |                             |                    |            |
| Cluster 1 | 21,869  | Terpene                        | Pyxidicycline A/pyxidicycline B | BGC0001940        | 6%         |
| Cluster 2 | 20,443  | Saccharide                     | A40926                      | BGC0000289        | 3%         |
| Cluster 3 | 22,620  | Saccharide                     | Carotenoid                   | BGC0000645        | 33%        |
| Cluster 4 | 121,332 | Saccharide                     | S-layer glycan               | BGC0000796        | 14%        |
| Cluster 5 | 50,793  | Fatty_acid, saccharide, terpene | Carotenoid                   | BGC0000645        | 50%        |
| Cluster 6 | 19,105  | LAP, RiPP-like                 |                             |                    |            |
| Cluster 7 | 20,392  | Saccharide                     |                             |                    |            |
| Cluster 8 | 70,095  | Saccharide, T3PKS              |                             |                    |            |
| Cluster 9 | 29,497  | Saccharide                     |                             |                    |            |
| Cluster 10 | 21,005  | Fatty_acid                     |                             |                    |            |
| Cluster 11 | 30,306  | Saccharide                     |                             |                    |            |
| Cluster 12 | 34,473  | Saccharide                     |                             |                    |            |
| Cluster 13 | 21,368  | Saccharide                     |                             |                    |            |
| Cluster 14 | 24,846  | Saccharide                     |                             |                    |            |
| Cluster 15 | 30,807  | Saccharide                     |                             |                    |            |
| Cluster 16 | 35,649  | Saccharide                     |                             |                    |            |
|         |         | **Bacillus sp. RAR_M1_44**     |                             |                    |            |
| Cluster 1 | 28,756  | Siderophore, terpene           | Carotenoid                   | BGC0000645        | 50%        |
| Cluster 2 | 28,411  | Betalactone                    | Fengycin                     | BGC0001095        | 53%        |
| Cluster 3 | 83,725  | NRPS                           | Lichenysin                   | BGC0000868        | 85%        |
| Cluster 4 | 80,13   | Other                          | Teichuronic acid             | BGC0001184        | 75%        |
| Cluster 5 | 41,421  | Other                          | Bacilysin                    | BGC0000381        | 85%        |
| Cluster 6 | 21,877  | Terpene                        |                             |                    |            |
| Cluster 7 | 41,097  | T3PKS                          |                             |                    |            |
| Cluster 8 | 26,707  | Bacteriocin                    |                             |                    |            |
| Cluster 9 | 32,416  | Betalactone                    |                             |                    |            |
| Cluster 10 | 15,922  | -                              | -                           |                    |            |
| Cluster 11 | 5255    | -                              | -                           |                    |            |
| Cluster 12 | 13,700  | -                              | -                           |                    |            |
| Cluster 13 | 8838    | -                              | -                           |                    |            |
| Cluster 14 | 16,010  | -                              | -                           |                    |            |
| Cluster 15 | 3876    | -                              | -                           |                    |            |
| Cluster 16 | 9767    | -                              | -                           |                    |            |

Regarding the genome of Rossellomurea vietnamensis RAR_WA_32, annotation results showed that the genome contains 16 BGCs, including clusters related to the biosynthesis of terpene, LAP, type III polyketide, fatty acid, other unspecified ribosomally synthesised and post-translationally modified peptide product (RiPP-like), and saccharide (likely from primary metabolism). Several BGCs shared their identity to known biosynthesis clusters in the MIBiG database, such as pyxidicycline A, pyxidicycline B, A40926, and carotenoid with the identities of 3–50% (Table 8).

In the case of the genome of Bacillus sp. RAR_M1_44, it contains 16 BGCs, including clusters related to the biosynthesis of terpene, siderophore, betalactone, nonribosomal peptide (NRP), type III polyketide, bacteriocin, others and unknown compounds. Several BGCs shared their identity to the biosynthesis clusters of known compounds in the MIBiG database, such as carotenoid, fengycin, lichenysin, teichuronic acid, and bacilysin with the identities of 50–85% (Table 8). The gene structures of BGCs having the identities > 70% with known compound clusters in the MIBiG database are shown in Figure 3.
Figure 3. Similarity of predicted BGCs from genomes and the MIBiG database.

The BGCs predicted from the genomes were analyzed by the Biosynthetic Genes Similarity Clustering and Prospecting Engine (BIG-SCAPE) to group the homologous BGCs into gene cluster families (GCFs), which can be responsible for the production of the same compound or similar compounds. Interestingly, no GCF was found between the BGCs from the genomes as well as between the BGCs from the genomes and the BGCs from the MIBiG database.

The ketide synthase (KS) and condensation (C) domains are the most conserved catalytic domains of polyketide synthase (PKS) and non-ribosomal peptide synthetase (NRPS) genes, respectively. Therefore, in order to predict the secondary metabolites of polyketides and non-ribosomal peptides, KS- and C- domains from the genomes were analyzed by the Natural Product Domain Seeker (NapDos). Analyses showed that the genomes of *Rossellomorpha vietnamensis* RAR_WA_32 and *Bacillus* sp. RAR_M1_44 contain only KS domains without C domains (Table 9). These KS sequences shared low identities with the KS sequences of the known products such as kirromycin, mycinamicin, rifamycin, amphotericin, spinosad, and dynemicin (26–45% identity), except for fatty acids with 71–76% identity. Regarding the genome of *Bacillus* sp. RAR_GA_16, three KS domains and eleven C domains were found in its genome (Table 9). These sequences shared low identities with the domain sequences of the known products such as mycocerosic acid, lychenicin, surfactin, and actinomycin (22–58%), except for fatty acid (84%).

Table 9. Predicted pathway products of KS and C domains from the bacterial genomes.

| KS/C Domain ID | Database Match ID | Percent Identity (%) | E-Value | Pathway Product |
|----------------|-------------------|----------------------|---------|-----------------|
| Bacillus sp. RAR_GA_16 | KS1 | AmphI_Q93NX9_1mod | 42 | 4e-7 | Amphotericin |
|                  | KS2 | SpnB_Q9ALM5_1KSB  | 35 | 2e-7 | Spinosad |
|                  |                  |                      |         |                 |
Table 9. Predicted pathway products of KS and C domains from the bacterial genomes.

| KS/C Domain ID | Database Match ID | Percent Identity (%) | E-Value | Pathway Product |
|----------------|-------------------|----------------------|---------|-----------------|
| Bacillus sp. RAR_GA_16 | AmphI_Q93NX9_1mod | 42 | 4e-7 | Amphotericin |
| Bacillus sp. RAR_WA_32 | KirAI_CAN89631_2T | 40 | 5e-7 | Kirromycin |
| Bacillus sp. RAR_M1_44 | Myca_YP881572_1KSB | 40 | 2e-6 | Mycocerosic acid |
| Rossellomera vietnamensis | FabB_Streptomyces_FAS | 41 | 3e-6 | Fatty acid |
| MycAIII_Q83WE8_2KSB | 44 | 1e-6 | Fatty acid |
| FabF_Bacillus_FAS | 71 | 0 | Fatty acid |
| FabF_Bacillus_FAS | 84 | 0 | Fatty acid |
| RifB_O52545_1mod | 26 | 1e-6 | Rifamycin |
| Bacillus sp. RAR_M1_44 | Myca_YP881572_1KSB | 48 | 1e-108 | Fatty acid |
| FabF_Bacillus_FAS | 84 | 0 | Fatty acid |
| Liche1_C1_start | 51 | 3e-135 | Lychenicin |
| FabF_Bacillus_FAS | 24 | 4e-25 | Lychenicin |
| Liche1_C1_start | 22 | 6e-18 | Lychenicin |
| Surfa5_C1_DCL | 57 | 5e-151 | Surfactin |
| Surfa5_C1_DCL | 24 | 2e-24 | Surfactin |
| Surfa5_C1_DCL | 22 | 3e-12 | Surfactin |
| Surfa5_C1_DCL | 24 | 3e-8 | Surfactin |
| Liche3_C1_DCL | 58 | 5e-151 | Lychenicin |
| Act3_C3_LCL | 39 | 6e-86 | Actinomycin |
| Act3_C3_LCL | 23 | 2e-20 | Actinomycin |
| Liche1_C3_LCL | 38 | 2e-77 | Lychenicin |

3. Discussion

Mangrove plants harbour diverse endophytic bacteria and fungi. Reviews on mangrove plant-associated microbial secondary metabolites revealed that the secondary metabolites possess promising pharmacological properties [8–11]. Unsurprisingly, mangrove endophytic microbes have been attracting the considerable attention of pharmacological investigators because of their bioactive secondary metabolites, especially when their host plants are also used as native traditional/folk medicines [69]. Over the last decade, almost 1000 new natural products have been reported from mangrove associated microbes, of these, ~850 are derived from fungi and ~120 are derived from bacteria (the majority of them derived from endophytes) [10].

In the present study, twenty-three endophytic bacteria belonging to nine genera (i.e., Microbulbifer, Streptomyces, Bacillus, Rossellomera, Micrococcus, Paracoccus, Microbacterium, Pseudovibrio, and Brevibacterium) were isolated from the fresh roots of R. apiculata. Previous investigations revealed that the genera Bacillus, Streptomyces, and Pseudovibrio have been isolated frequently from mangrove plants, whereas Microbulbifer, Micrococcus, Paracoccus, Microbacterium, and Brevibacterium have been isolated less frequently [10,70–73]. Genome analyses of the genera Bacillus, Streptomyces, and Pseudovibrio have reported that genomes of these genera contain versatile and diverse genes of metabolic pathways as well as genes linked to symbiosis and lifestyles, allowing for host switching and adapting to various environments [74–80].

The endophytic bacterial ethyl acetate extracts in the present study were evaluated for their potential pharmacological properties. The bioassays revealed that these endophytic bacteria may be promising sources of bioactive secondary metabolites because their extracts exhibited antimicrobial, cytotoxic, antioxidant, and enzyme inhibitory effects (i.e., α-amylase, α-glucosidase, and xanthine oxidase). These results are consistent with previous investigations of bioactive natural products from endophytic microorganisms...
with the mangrove plant *R. apiculata*. Rukachaisirikul et al. [81] isolated nineteen secondary compounds from the endophytic fungus *Acremonium* sp. PSU-MA70 from the plant *R. apiculata*. Among them, the two compounds 8-deoxytrichothecin and trichodermin exhibited antifungal activity against *C. albicans* and *Cryptococcus neoformans* with MIC values of 16–64 µg/mL. Klaiklay et al. [82] reported the isolation of 7 antifungal compounds from the endophytic fungus *Pestalotiopsis* sp. PSU-MA69 from the plant *R. apiculata*, including pestalolide, (s)-penipratynolene, pestalotether A–B, pesthetic acid, chloroisosulochrin dehydrate, and chloroisosulochrin, with MICs of 128–200 µg/mL. Zhou et al. [26] isolated three antimicrobial compounds, fusulanolone A–B and fusaric acid, from the endophytic fungus *Fusarium solani* HDN15-410 from the plant *R. apiculata*. These compounds exhibited good antimicrobial activity against a wide range of pathogenic microbes, i.e., *P. aeruginosa*, *Monilia albican*, *B. subtilis*, and *Vibrio para-haemo-lyticus*, with MICs of 6.25–50 µg/mL. Fan et al. [24] isolated nine anti-H1N1 viral indole-diterpenoids from the fungus *Penicillium canemberti* OUCMDZ-1492 around roots of *R. apiculata* with IC₅₀ values of 6.6–77.9 µM. Chaepsrasert et al. [25] isolated 2192 fungal endophytic strains from 10 mangrove plant species *R. apiculata*, *R. mucronata*, *Ceriops decandra*, *Sonomeria alba*, *Lumnitzera littorea*, *Avicennia alba*, *Acanthus ilicifolius*, *Xylocarpus granatum*, *X. moluccens*, and *Thespesia populneoides*. Among them, the ethyl acetate extract of 22 strains exhibited antimicrobial activity against *B. subtilis*, *E. coli*, *P. aeruginosa*, and *S. aureus* with zone inhibition diameters of 10–40 mm, and the ethyl acetate extract of 26 strains exhibited cytotoxic activity against the cancer cell lines A375 (malignant melanoma), HepG2 (liver hepatoblastoma), SW620 (colorectal adenocarcinoma), Jurkat (acute T cell leukemia), and KatoIII (gastric carcinoma). Most extracts inhibited the cancer cell lines with growth inhibition of >60%. Klaiklay et al. [83] isolated cytotoxic and antibacterial compounds from the endophytic fungus *Phomopsis* sp. PSU-MA214 from the plant *R. apiculata*. Compound (2R,3S)-7-ethyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-2,3,8-trihydroxy-6-methoxy-3-methyl-9,10-anthracendione exhibited cytotoxicity against the cancer cell line MCF-7 with MIC₅₀ of 27 µg/mL and antibacterial activity against *S. aureus* and methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* with MICs of 128 µg/mL and 64 µg/mL, respectively, whereas compound phomonitroester exhibited cytotoxicity against the cancer cell line KB with MIC₅₀ of 43 µg/mL. Klaiklay et al. [84] isolated an antimicrobial compound, tremuleno, from the endophytic fungus *Flaxodon fuscus* PSU-MA201 from the plant *R. apiculata*. The compound exhibited antimicrobial activity against *S. aureus* and *C. neoformans* with MICs of 128 µg/mL.

The ethyl acetate extracts of three endophytic bacteria with the most potential biological properties, i.e., *Bacillus* sp. RAR_GA_16, *Rossellomorea vietnamensis* RAR_WA_32, and *Bacillus* sp. RAR_M1_44, were investigated for their volatile chemical components by GC-MS analyses. Interestingly, many compounds identified in the extracts of three endophytic bacteria have been reported to have biological activities (e.g., antibacterial, antifungal, antivirus, anticancer, anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, nematocidal, anti-quorum sensing, tyrosinase inhibitory, antibiofilm, and anti-mutagenic activities) by previous studies (Table 6). These GC-MS analyses support the biological activity evaluation results of the ethyl acetate extracts. Additionally, it is noted that the identified VOCs from the extracts only accounted for 44.05%, 80.98%, and 4.10% of the total amount of VOCs in the extracts of *Bacillus* sp. RAR_GA_16, *Rossellomorea vietnamensis* RAR_WA_32, and *Bacillus* sp. RAR_M1_44, respectively, whereas many VOCs in the extracts were not identified by their low match quality with the spectra data of known compounds (Supplementary Tables S1–S3). This implies that many VOCs in the extracts may be undescribed or novel compounds.

In the present study, the genomes of three potential endophytic bacteria, i.e., *Bacillus* sp. RAR_GA_16, *Rossellomorea vietnamensis* RAR_WA_32, and *Bacillus* sp. RAR_M1_44, were also sequenced and the presence of BGCs of secondary metabolites in their genomes was discovered. Annotations using the antiSMASH found 16–22 BGCs, 3–5 KS domains, and 11 C domains in the bacterial genomes. However, the majority of BGCs, KS- and C- domains shared low identity with BGC, KS- and C- sequences in the known product database. Additionally, the BIG-SCAPE analysis also indicated that no BGCs predicted
from the genomes were grouped in GCFs of known products in the MIBiG database. These findings suggest that the BGCs of the endophytic bacteria may biosynthesize novel compounds or compounds for which their BGCs have not been known. Interestingly, no GCF was found between the BGCs predicted from the genomes, implying that the BGCs of the endophytic bacteria may biosynthesize dissimilar compounds. The obtained results in the present study indicate that these endophytic bacteria may be potential sources for the discovery of novel bioactive metabolites, and further investigations, e.g., fermentation and isolation of pure bioactive compounds, as well as heterologous expression of novel BGCs in appropriate expression hosts, will allow exploring and exploiting novel bioactive compounds as well as demonstrating their biosynthesis pathways.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Plant Collection

The mangrove plant *Rhizophora apiculata* (Figure 1) was collected from the mangrove forest of Bu Lu, Phu Loc district, Thua Thien Hue province, Vietnam, in March 2019. The plant sample was transported to the laboratory of the Department of Biotechnology, Mientrung Institute for Scientific Research, for the isolation of the endophytic bacteria.

4.2. Isolation and Identification of the Endophytic Bacteria

The fresh plant root systems were washed thoroughly with running water to remove soil particles. The root surfaces were then washed in sterile distilled water six times, soaked in 70% ethanol for 5 min followed by 5% sodium hypochlorite for 10 min, and again in 70% ethanol for 60 s before washing in distilled water three times.

The root samples (5 g) were crushed in 5 mL of sterile distilled water. A total of 100 µL of root solution was spread on M1 medium (1.0% soluble starch, 0.4% yeast extract, 0.2% peptone, 1.5% agar), Gause no. 1 medium (2.0% soluble starch, 0.1% KNO₃, 0.05% NaCl, 0.05% K₂HPO₄, 0.05% MgSO₄, 0.001% FeSO₄, 1.5% agar), and water agar (1.5% agar in mangrove water). The plates were incubated at 30 °C for 3–5 days. After incubation, colonies with different morphological characteristics (e.g., color, shape, and consistency) were streaked on nutrient agar plates (NA, Himedia, Mumbai, India) to obtain the pure isolates [70].

The representative isolates with different morphotypes were identified by colony PCR [85]. In brief, for cell lysis, the culture broths (2 mL) were centrifuged at 14,000 × g for 10 min and the obtained pellets were suspended in 50 µL nuclease-free water. Subsequently, the cell suspension was stored at −20 °C for 2 h, followed by incubation at 98 °C for 10 min. The 16S rRNA gene of the isolates was directly amplified with universal primers 27f (5′-AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG-3′) and 1492r (5′-GGTTACCTTGTTACGACTT-3′) [86] with the following PCR program: an initial denaturation at 94 °C for 5 min, followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 1 min, annealing at 56 °C for 30 s, amplification at 72 °C for 1.5 min, and a final extension at 72 °C for 7 min. The 16S rRNA gene sequencing was carried by the ABI PRISM 3100® Genetic Analyzer (Applied Bioscience and Hitachi, Foster City, CA, USA). The sequences were quality checked, and low-quality regions were removed from the sequence ends using BioEdit software v.7.2.6.1. The quality-checked sequences of the isolates were compared to available sequences in the NCBI GenBank using the BLAST searching program with the megablast algorithm and the database nt. The sequences were aligned using the ClustalW algorithm and the phylogenetic tree of 16S rRNA sequences was created by the neighbor joining algorithm with 1000 bootstraps using MEGA v.7.0.0.

4.3. Preparation of Ethyl Acetate Extracts from the Culture Broths

The endophytic bacterial strains were cultured in 500 mL nutrient broth (NB, Himedia, Mumbai, India) for 7 days at 37 °C under the shaking condition at 150 rpm, and the cultures were then centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 10 min. The cell-free supernatants were extracted with ethyl acetate (1:1 v/v, 5 times) overnight at room temperature, and the ethyl acetate
extractions were then evaporated under the reduced pressure for 12–24 h at 50 °C) to remove ethyl acetate and obtain the crude extracts.

4.4. Pharmacological Properties of Bacterial Crude Extracts

4.4.1. Antimicrobial Activity

Antimicrobial activity of the bacterial extracts was tested against five reference microbes obtained from Mientrung Institute for Scientific Research, Thua Thien Hue province, Vietnam, i.e., Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 25923, Enterococcus faecalis ATCC 29212, Escherichia coli ATCC 25922, Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATCC 27853, and Candida albicans ATCC 10231.

Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of the extracts against the reference microorganisms were determined using the broth microdilution method as described by Dat et al. [85]. Briefly, 100 µL of the bacterial inoculum (1 × 10⁶ CFU/mL) was added to wells containing 100 µL of the extracts at a range of different concentrations in 96-well plates. The plate was incubated at 37 °C for 24 h, the absorbance at 630 nm was then measured using ELx800 absorbance microplate reader (BioTek Instruments, Winooski, VT, USA). MICs of the antibacterial extracts were determined as the lowest concentration, at which there was no growth of the bacteria. For the yeast, 100 µL of the inoculum (2 to 5 × 10⁵ CFU/mL for yeast) was added to wells containing 100 µL of the extracts at a range of different concentrations in 96-well plates. The plate was incubated at 28 °C for 48 h. MICs of the anti-yeast extracts were determined as the lowest concentration, at which there was no growth of the yeast by the absorbance at 530 nm using an ELx800 absorbance microplate reader (BioTek Instruments, Winooski, VT, USA). The antibiotics ciprofloxacin and fluconazole were used as positive controls for the tested bacteria and yeast, respectively.

4.4.2. Antioxidant Activity

The antioxidant effect of the extracts was determined by DPPH and ABTS radical scavenging assays [70].

DPPH radical scavenging effect of the extracts was determined by measuring the decrease in absorbance of DPPH radical solution in the presence of the extracts. In brief, 10 µL of extracts was added to 190 µL of DPPH (0.1 mg/mL) in 96-well plates. The solution was mixed for 1 min and incubated at room temperature for 30 min, and the absorbance of the reaction mixture was then recorded at 517 nm using an ELx800 absorbance microplate reader (BioTek Instruments, Winooski, VT, USA). Ascorbic acid was used as a positive control. The DPPH radical scavenging activity was calculated as follows: DPPH scavenging activity (%) = 100 × [Ac − (As − Asb)/Ac]. Where: Ac is the absorbance of the control (only DPPH solution), As is absorbance of sample (extract with DPPH), and Asb is the absorbance of the sample blank (extract without DPPH).

ABTS radical scavenging effect of the extracts was determined by measuring the decrease in absorbance of ABTS radical solution in the presence of the extracts. In brief, two solutions (ABTS 7 mM and potassium persulfate 2.45 mM) were mixed and allowed to stand in the dark at room temperature for 16 h before use in order to produce ABTS radical solution. The ABTS radical solution was then diluted with ethanol to give an absorbance of 0.700 ± 0.02 at 734 nm. Ten microliters of the extracts was added to 190 µL of ABTS radical solution in 96-well plates. The mixture was incubated at room temperature for 10 min, and the absorbance of the reaction was then recorded at 734 nm using ELx800 absorbance microplate reader (BioTek Instruments, Winooski, VT, USA). Ascorbic acid was used as a positive control. The ABTS radical scavenging activity was calculated as follows: ABTS scavenging activity (%) = 100 × [Ac − (As − Asb)/Ac]. Where: Ac is the absorbance of the control (only ABTS solution), As is absorbance of sample (extract with ABTS), and Asb is the absorbance of the sample blank (extract without ABTS).
4.4.3. α-Amylase and α-Glucosidase Activities

The α-amylase (A8220, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) enzyme inhibitory effect of the extracts was determined according to the described method by Dat et al. [70]. In brief, starch azure was suspended in 0.05 M Tris-HCl buffer (pH 6.9) containing 0.01 M CaCl$_2$, and the substrate solution was then boiled for 5 min and pre-incubated at 37 °C for 5 min. The reaction mixture consisting of 50 µL of the extract was incubated with 50 µL of the substrate solution and 25 µL of α-amylase solution in Tris-HCl buffer (2 U/mL) in 96-well plates at 37 °C for 10 min. The reaction was stopped by adding 75 µL of acetic acid 50%, and the reaction solution was then centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 5 min at 4 °C. The absorbance of the supernatant was recorded at 650 nm using an ELx800 absorbance microplate reader (BioTek Instruments, Winooski, VT, USA). The inhibition activity was calculated as follows: inhibition (%) = 100 × [1 − (As − Abs)/(Ac − Acb)]. Where: As is the absorbance of the sample (extract with enzyme), Asb is the absorbance of the sample blank (extract without enzyme), Ac is the absorbance of the control (100% enzyme activity, only solvent with enzyme), and Acb is the absorbance of the control blank (0% enzyme activity, only solvent without enzyme). Acarbose was used as a positive control.

α-Glucosidase (G0660, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) enzyme inhibitory effect of the extracts was determined according to the described method by Dat et al. (2021). In brief, the reaction mixture consisting of 50 µL of the extract was incubated with 100 µL of 0.1 M potassium phosphate buffer (pH 6.8) containing α-glucosidase solution (0.5 U/mL) in 96-well plates at 37 °C for 10 min. The reaction was started by adding 50 µL of 5 mM 4-Nitrophenyl β-D-glucopyranoside (pNPG), followed by incubation at 37 °C for 30 min. The absorbance of released p-nitrophenol was recorded at 405 nm using an ELx800 absorbance microplate reader (BioTek Instruments, Winooski, VT, USA). The inhibition activity was calculated as follows: inhibition (%) = 100 × [1 − (As − Asb)/(Ac − Acb)]. Where: As is the absorbance of the sample (extract with enzyme), and Asb is the absorbance of the sample blank (extract without enzyme), Ac is the absorbance of the control (100% enzyme activity, only solvent with enzyme), and Acb is the absorbance of the control blank (0% enzyme activity, only solvent without enzyme). Acarbose was used as a positive control.

4.4.4. Xanthine Oxidase Inhibitory Activity

The xanthine oxidase (XO) inhibitory effect of the extracts was determined according to the method described by Nguyen et al. [87] with minor modifications. In brief, the reaction mixture consisting of 50 µL of the extract, 35 µL of 70 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.5), and 30 µL of enzyme solution (0.01 units/mL in 70 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.5) was prepared immediately before use. Subsequently, the reaction mixture was preincubated at 25 °C for 15 min, and the reaction was then initiated by adding 60 µL of substrate solution (150 mM xanthine in 70 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.5). The reaction mixture was incubated at 25 °C for 30 min, and the reaction was then stopped by adding 25 µL of 1 N HCl. The absorbance of the mixture was measured at 290 nm using an ELx800 absorbance microplate reader (BioTek Instruments, Winooski, VT, USA). The XO inhibition activity was calculated as follows: inhibition (%) = 100 × [1 − (As − Asb)/(Ac − Acb)]. Where: As is the absorbance of the sample (extract with enzyme), Asb is the absorbance of the sample blank (extract without enzyme), Ac is the absorbance of the control (100% enzyme activity, only solvent with enzyme), and Acb is the absorbance of the control blank (0% enzyme activity, only solvent without enzyme). Allopurinol was used as a positive control.

4.4.5. Cytotoxic Activity

Cytotoxic activity of the extracts against three cancer cell lines A549 (human lung carcinoma), MCF-7 (human breast carcinoma), and HeLa (human cervix carcinoma) was determined by Sulforhodamine B (SRB) assay as previously described by Skehan et al. [88]. Camptothecin was used as a positive control.
4.5. Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) Analysis

GC–MS analyses were conducted using the Agilent 7890B gas chromatograph-assisted Agilent 5977A mass detector (Agilent Technologies, Stanta Clara, CA, USA). An HP-5MS capillary column (30 m \( \times \) 0.250 mm \( \times \) 0.25 \( \mu \)M film thickness; Agilent Technologies, Stanta Clara, CA, USA) was used for separation. The samples were diluted in hexane (1:10) and volumes of 1 \( \mu \)L were injected (splitless mode) into the GC system at a flow rate of 1 mL/min. Helium (99.999% of purity) was the carrier gas with a flow rate of 1 mL/min. The oven temperature was set at 60 \( ^\circ \)C and held for 2 min, then increased to 260 \( ^\circ \)C at a rate of 5 \( ^\circ \)C/min and held at this temperature for 1 min. The inlet temperature was 260 \( ^\circ \)C and the ionization source temperature was 280 \( ^\circ \)C. The solvent delay was 3.00 min. The MS detector was operated in the EI mode at 70 eV, in the range of \( m/z \) 50–550, full scan mode. Data handling was performed using the Agilent ChemStation software C.01.10 (Agilent Technologies, Stanta Clara, CA, USA). The compounds were identified by comparing the spectra with a stored MS library (W8N08 and NIST08) with minimum matching quality of 90%. The relative percent of individual components was calculated based on GC peak areas.

4.6. Genome Sequencing, Assembly and Annotation of Biosynthesis Gene Clusters of Secondary Metabolites

The endophytic bacterial strains were cultured in nutrient broth (NB, Himedia, Mumbai, India) overnight at 37 \( ^\circ \)C under the shaking condition at 150 rpm. Cells were harvested by centrifugation at 10,000 \( \times \) g for 10 min, and genomic DNA was isolated using the QIAamp DNA Mini Kit according to the manufacturer’s protocol (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany). The genome of the bacterial strains was sequenced by PacBio Sequel technology (PacBio, Menlo Park, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The PacBio reads were assembled using HGA4 (SMRT Link v.6.0.0.47841) [89]. The completeness of the genome assembly was estimated by Quality Assessment Tool for Genome Assemblies (QUAST v4.6.3; http://bioinf.spbau.ru/quast/; accessed on 5 September 2021) [90] and Benchmarking Universal Single-Copy Orthologs (BUSCO v5.2.2, https://busco.ezlab.org/; accessed on 5 September 2021) [91]. Gene prediction for the complete genome sequence was performed using Prokka (v1.13) [92]. Genes involved in secondary metabolic pathways were predicted using secondary metabolite analysis shell (antiSMASH bacterial version v5.1.2, http://antismash.secondarymetabolites.org/; accessed on 10 September 2021) [93]. The predicted biosynthetic gene clusters were also searched for previously known biosynthetic pathways using the Natural Product Domain Seeker (NaPdoS) (http://napdos.ucsd.edu/; accessed on 10 September 2021) [94]. Biosynthetic gene cluster similarity networks and gene cluster families were generated using BiG-SCAPE (https://bigscape-corason.secondarymetabolites.org/; accessed on 10 September 2021) with default settings [95].

5. Conclusions

In the present study, twenty-three endophytic bacteria belonging to nine genera Streptomyces, Bacillus, Pseudovibrio, Microbacterium, Brevibacterium, Microbulbifer, Micrococcus, Rossellomorea, and Paracoccus were isolated from the fresh roots of the mangrove plant *R. apiculata*. The ethyl acetate extracts of the endophytic bacteria were evaluated for their pharmacological properties including antimicrobial, antioxidant, \( \alpha \)-amylase and \( \alpha \)-glucosidase inhibitory, xanthine oxidase inhibitory and cytotoxic activities. GC-MS analyses identified major volatile organic compounds from the ethyl acetate extract of the most potential strains *Bacillus* sp. RAR GA_16, *Rossellomorea vietnamensis* RAR WA_32, and *Bacillus* sp. RAR_M1_44. Genome studies found gene clusters related to the biosynthesis of secondary metabolites from the bacteria endophytes. Further investigations of the high bioactive strains—such as fermentation and isolation of pure bioactive compounds, and heterologous expression of novel BGCs in appropriate expression hosts—may allow exploring and exploiting the promising bioactive compounds for future drug development.
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