Histopathology of Polyps and Its Clinical Correlation in Sample of Iraqi Patients Undergoing Colonoscopic Examination
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Abstract

Background /Aims: Colorectal cancer is the third most common cancer in 2018, the objective of our study was to describe the types and patterns of colorectal polyps in patients presenting to a tertiary care referral center in Baghdad. We also assessed the polyp detection rate (PDR) and adenoma detection rate (ADR).

Patients & Methods: This is single-institution, descriptive cross-sectional study of consenting 103 patients who had colonoscopy done at the Endoscopy Unit of Baghdad teaching hospital, IRAQ from the 1st of June 2018 to 31st of March 2019 after taking verbal consent. The data collected included: Age, sex, Family history of colorectal malignancies and indication for the current colonoscopy.

Results: One thousand and thirty patients were included in the study with a mean age of 44 years (SD = 16), with 560 males representing 54.4% and 470 females representing 45.6%. The polyp detection rate in colonoscopies was 19.4% and the adenoma detection rate was 13.6%. Polyps were found and removed in 200 patients, 40% of the removed polyps were tubular adenomas, tubulovillous adenomas in 20%, villous adenomas in 10%, hyperplastic polyps in 5%. The majority of the polyps were in the distal colon in 80% of patients with polyps.

Conclusions: The polyp detection rate was (19.4%) and adenoma detection rate was (13.6%). The majority of polyps were detected in distal colon.
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1. Introduction and Literature Review

According to the WHO, colorectal cancer is the third most common cancer in 2018, both sexes, all ages. Almost 55% of the cases occur in more developed regions but with less mortality than in less developed regions (The global cancer observatory, 2018).

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is one of the few diseases for which screening programs have shown to be efficacious in decreasing both the incidence as well as the mortality. Randomized controlled trials have demonstrated that repetitive fecal occult blood testing (FOBT) reduces the mortality from CRC by 16%, while once-only flexible sigmoidoscopy reduces CRC incidence and mortality by 18% and 28%, respectively (Garborg, Holme, Loberg, Kalager, Adami, & Bretthauer, 2013).

Colorectal polyp (CP) is a grossly visible protrusion from the mucosal of the large bowel. They may be classified pathologically as a nonneoplastic hamartoma (e.g. juvenile polyp), a hyperplastic mucosal proliferation (hyperplastic polyp), or an adenomatous polyp. Only adenomas are clearly premalignant, and only a minority of adenomatous polyps evolve into cancer (Anthony et al., 2018).

Clinically, the probability of an adenomatous polyp becoming a cancer depends on:

1. The gross appearance of the lesion,
2. The polyps histological features.
3. The polyp(s) size.

Polyps may be pedunculated (stalked) or sessile (flat-based) adenomatous or serrated (Figure 1).

(A) Sessile serrated adenoma   (B) pedunculated tubular adenoma

Figure 1. Gross appearance of polyp with pedunculated and sessile polyp (A and B)

Invasive cancers develop more frequently in sessile, serrated polyps. Colonic polyps may be divided into 2 major groups: neoplastic (adenomas and carcinomas) and non-neoplastic. The adenomas and carcinomas share a characteristic — cellular dysplasia — but they may be subdivided according to the relative prominence of certain microscopic features. Histologically, adenomatous polyps may be (1) tubular, (2) villous or (3) tubulovilous. The increased realization that serrated polyps also have malignant potential now permits classifying them as neoplastic polyps (Anthony et al., 2018).

Submucosal lesions also can impart a polypoid appearance to the overlying mucosa and therefore are briefly mentioned even though they are not true polyps (Feldman & Friedman, 2016).

Adenomas are often categorized into 3 size groups: smaller than 1 cm (small), 1 to 2 cm (moderate), and larger than 2 cm (large) (Muto, Bussey, & Morson, 1975)

Eighty percent of colorectal cancers (CRCs) arise from preexisting adenomas (Nouraie, Hosseinkhah, Brim, Zamanifekri, Smoot, & Ashktorab, 2010).

In the era of screening colonoscopy, the colorectal polyp detection rate is increasing. Colonoscopy continues to be considered as the gold standard screening tool for colon cancer prevention because it enables the removal of precancerous adenomas (Visovan, Tantau, Ciobanu, Pascu, & Tantau, 2014).

When calculating the adenoma detection rate (ADR), the numerator included all colonoscopies where at least one polyp was found to be adenomatous, whether the pathology was tubular or villous or the polyp had high-grade dysplasia or adenocarcinoma.

2. Aim of the Study

The aim of our study was to describe the types and patterns of colorectal polyps in patients presenting to a tertiary care referral center in Baghdad. We also assessed the polyp detection rate (PDR), polyps per colonoscopy and adenoma detection rate (ADR).

3. Patients and Methods
3.1 Study Design and Sample

We conducted a single-institution, descriptive cross-sectional study of 1030 patients who had colonoscopy done at the Endoscopy Unit of Baghdad teaching hospital, IRAQ from the 1st of June 2018 to the 31st of March 2019 after taking verbal consent.

The data collected included: Age, sex, Family history of colorectal malignancies and the diagnosis and indication
for the colonoscopy.

3.2 Endoscopically Procedure
Colonoscopy was carried out after bowel preparation with polyethylene glycol without sedation.
The colonoscopy was performed by the senior gastroenterologist or by a trainee under the direct supervision of
senior gastroenterologist using (Olympus LUCERA CLV-260) endoscope and endoscopic diagnosis was made on
gross visualization of the lesions.
The location, number, shape, size and histology of polyps detected and removed were documented.
The location of the polyps were defined as distal colon (up to the splenic flexure) and proximal colon (from
caecum to splenic flexure).
The polyps are classified according to their morphology to (sessile or pedunculated).
Adenomas are categorized into 3 size groups: smaller than 1 cm (small), 1 to 2 cm (moderate), and larger than 2 cm
(large).
In the event of multiple polyps, only the size of the largest was considered for the purposes of analysis.

3.3 Histopathological Examination
Biopsies were sent to Department of Pathology for histopathological examination. Histopathology was performed
as per the standard protocol of the hospital.
Inclusion criteria
A total of 1030 Iraqi adults patients who underwent a colonoscopy for common indications were selected randomly
and included in the study.
Exclusion criteria
1. History of previous colon polyp.
2. History of colorectal malignancy.
3. Hereditary polyposis syndrome.
(To avoid falsely high or low detection rate for CRC and polyps)

3.5 Statistical Analysis
Data analysis included descriptive statistics computed for continuous variables, including means, standard
deviations (SDs), minimum and maximum values, as well as 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Frequencies are used
for categorical variables.
Data was entered and analyzed using IBM SPSS Statistics 25.0

4. Results
During the study period, a total of 1030 patients met the inclusion criteria, the age ranged (17-71)+/-16 years with
a median of 44 years (SD 16), 54.4% (n=560)of the patients were males, 45.6% (n=470) were females.
Family history of colonic malignancy was positive in 2.9% (n=3) of the patients.
The indications for colonoscopy examination included Lower gastrointestinal bleeding 49.5% (n=510), Cancer
surveillance and follow up for inflammatory bowel disease 15.5% (n=160), Altered bowel motion 14.6% (n=150),
Chronic diarrhea 13.6% (n=140), Chronic abdominal pain 4.9% (n=50), and Iron deficiency anemia 1.9% (n=20),
none of the patients in our study had undergone colonoscopy for screening as an indication (Table 1).
Table 1. Indication for colonoscopy in the study sample

| Indication for colonoscopy                                           | Count | Percent |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|---------|
| Lower gastrointestinal bleeding                                     | 510   | 49.5%   |
| Cancer surveillance for inflammatory bowel disease                  | 160   | 15.5%   |
| Altered bowel motion                                                | 150   | 14.6%   |
| Chronic diarrhea                                                    | 140   | 13.6%   |
| Chronic abdominal pain                                              | 50    | 4.9%    |
| Iron deficiency anemia                                              | 20    | 1.9%    |
| Others                                                              | 0     | 0%      |
| Total                                                               | 1030  | 100%    |

Polyp detection rate was 19.4% (n=200) and the adenoma detection rate was 13.6% (n=160). 200 patients had detected polyps during colonoscopy, 70% (n=140) were pedunculated and 30% (n=60) were sessile (Figure 2).

The number of polyps detected during colonoscopy ranged (1 to 7) with 65% (n=130) of patients had single polyp. The majority of the detected polyps were in the distal colon 80% (n=160), and 20% (n=40) were in the proximal colon (Figure 3).
Polyps were small in size in 45% (n=90), moderate in size in 30% (n=60), and large in size in 25% (n=50).

Histopathologically, of the polyps were neoplastic in 75% (n=150), adenomatous in 70% (n=140), the most common type of adenomatous polyps was tubular adenoma 40% (n=40), followed by tubulovillous adenoma 20% (n=40) and the least common was villous adenoma 10% (n=20).

Carcinoma was detected in 5% (n=10) of the patients.

Of the 200 patients with detected polyps 25% (n=50) had nonneoplastic polyps, the most common was inflammatory polyps 15% (n=30), followed by juvenile polyps 5% (n=10) and hyperplastic polyps 5% (n=10), (Table 2).

Table 2. Histopathology of colorectal polyps

| Histopathology of polyps | Count | Percent |
|--------------------------|-------|---------|
| Tubular adenoma          | 80    | 40%     |
| Tubulovillous adenoma    | 40    | 20%     |
| Inflammatory polyps      | 30    | 15%     |
| Villous adenoma          | 20    | 10%     |
| Juvenile polyps          | 10    | 5%      |
| Hyperplastic polyps      | 10    | 5%      |
| Carcinoma                | 10    | 5%      |
| Total                    | 200   | 100%    |

Regarding the degree of dysplasia 50% (n=100) of the polyps had no dysplasia, 20% (n=40) had low grade dysplasia, 5% (n=10) had moderate grade dysplasia and 25% (n=50) had high grade dysplasia (Figure 4).
The polyp rate were equal in males and females, the most common indication for colonoscopy associated with polyps detection was lower gastrointestinal bleeding 130(65%), followed by chronic diarrhea 30(15%) (Table 3).

Table 3. Indications for colonoscopy in patients with detected polyps

| Indication for colonoscopy in patients with detected polyp | Number | Percent % |
|------------------------------------------------------------|--------|-----------|
| Lower gastrointestinal bleeding                             | 130    | 65%       |
| Chronic diarrhea                                            | 30     | 15%       |
| Cancer surveillance for inflammatory bowel disease          | 20     | 10%       |
| Altered bowel motion                                        | 20     | 10%       |
| Others                                                      | 0      | 0%        |
| Total                                                       | 200    | 100%      |

The association of the morphology of polyp with histopathological classification was statically significant P-value (0.0001), all the villous adenoma, tubulovillous adenoma, and carcinoma and hyperplastic polyp were pedunculated in morphology.

The entire detected inflammatory polyp were sessile in morphology, the tubular adenomas were mixed in morphology with both pedunculated and sessile morphology (Table 4).
Table 4. The association of the morphology of polyps with its histopathology

| Histopathological classification | TA | VA | TVA | CA | JP | HPP | IP |
|----------------------------------|----|----|-----|----|----|-----|----|
| Pedunculated polyp               | 50 | 20 | 40  | 10 | 10 | 10  | 0  |
| count                            | 4.9%| 1.9%| 4%  | 1% | 1% | 1%  | 0% |
| %                                | 30 | 0  | 0   | 0  | 0  | 0   | 30 |
| count                            | 2.9%| 0% | 0%  | 0% | 0% | 0%  | 2.9%|
| Sessile polyp                    | 80 | 20 | 40  | 10 | 10 | 10  | 30 |
| Total                            | 7.8%| 1.9%| 4%  | 1% | 1% | 1%  | 2.9%|
| P-value                          | 0.0001|

The neoplastic polyps were distributed over the proximal and distal colon, while all the nonneoplastic polyps were found in the distal colon (Table 5) (P-value 0.001).

Table 5. The association between the site of polyps and its histopathology

| Histopathological classification | *TA | *VA | *TVA | *CA | *JV | *HPP | *IP |
|----------------------------------|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|------|-----|
| Proximal colon                   | 30  | 0   | 10   | 0   | 0   | 0    | 40  |
| %                                | 2.9%| 0%  | 1%   | 0%  | 0%  | 0%   | 3.9%|
| Count                            | 50  | 20  | 30   | 10  | 10  | 30   | 160 |
| %                                | 4.9%| 1.9%| 2.9% | 1%  | 1%  | 1%   | 2.9%|
| Distal colon                     | 80  | 20  | 40   | 10  | 10  | 30   | 1030|
| Total                            | 7.8%| 1.9%| 3.9% | 1%  | 1%  | 2.9% | 100.0%|
| P-value                          | 0.001|

5. Discussion

Colorectal polyp prevalence differs between different countries.

The importance of knowing the distribution of colorectal polyp in each country is because it may affect the efficacy of screening programs and also the prevalence of adenomas that roughly equivalent to the risk of colorectal malignancies (Patel K & Hoffman NE.2001), (Johannsen, Momsen, & Jacobsen, 1989).

In our study the polyp detection rate (PDR) was (19.4%) and the adenoma detection rate (ADR) was (13.6%).

These results were compared with other countries, in Saudi Arabia the PDR was 20.8% and ADR was 8.1% (Majid, Othman, Nahla, Nazia, & Abdulrahman, 2014).

The PDR was pretty close in our study but the ADR was higher than Saudi Arabia.

In Oman the ADR was (12.1%) (Ashktorab, Brim, Al-Riyami, & Date, 2008) while in Iran the ADR was (33%) (Alireza Delavari, Faraz Bishehsari & Hamideh Salimzadeh, 2014) and in Kuwait the ADR was (10%) (Al-Enezi & Alsurayei, 2010).

In western countries the ADR was much higher, a retrospective chart review from Mayo Clinic, Arizona, found that the ADR reached up to 42% for some gastroenterologists (Boroff, Gurudu, & Hentz, 2013), while in Germany was 31.7% (Schramm, Mbaya, & Franklin, 2015), This association between adenoma detection rate reflects the incidence of colon cancer in these geographical areas.

The polyps were detected equally in both sexes 50% (n=10) for each.

The most common indication for colonoscopy in our population sample was lower gastrointestinal bleeding 49.5% (n=51), and it's the most common indication in patients with detected polyps 65% (n=13), of note screening colonoscopy as an indication for colonoscopy was absent in our sample.
The most common type of detected adenoma in our study was tubular adenoma 40% (n=80), followed by tubulovillous 20% (n=40) and villous adenoma 20% (n=40).

A similar study was done in gastroenterology and Hepatology teaching hospital-Baghdad- Iraq shows that tubulovillous adenoma was the most common type (62.4%) which was inconsistent with our study (Kerbala Journal of Medicine, 2006).

While a study in Saudi Arabia shows similar results with the most common type of adenoma was tubular adenoma (56.6%) (Almadi, Allehibi, Aljebreen, & Alharbi, 2019).

In a study in USA Tubular adenomas represent ~75% to 85% of adenomatous polyps (Amersi & Agustin, 2005).

In our study 80% (n=16) of the detected polyps were in the distal colon, a similar studies in Saudi Arabia (Almadi, Allehibi, & Aljebreen, 2019), Iran (Geramizadeh & Keshkhar-Jahromi, 2013), Nigeria (Alatise, Arigbabu, & Agbakwuru, 2014) and China (Zhou & Zhang, 2017) show similar results.

The association between the age, type of poly, its size, number and the degree of dysplasia was statistically not significant, probably due to small size of the study sample.

6. Conclusion
The polyp detection rate was (19.4%) and adenoma detection rate was (13.6%).

The most common type of adenoma detected during colonoscopy was tubular adenoma.

The majority of polyps were detected in distal colon.

The association between the age, type of poly, its size, number and the degree of dysplasia was statistically not significant, probably due to small size of the study sample.
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