RESEARCH ARTICLE

Early HAART Initiation May Not Reduce Actual Reproduction Number and Prevalence of MSM Infection: Perspectives from Coupled within- and between-Host Modelling Studies of Chinese MSM Populations

Xiaodan Sun¹, Yanni Xiao¹*, Sanyi Tang², Zhihang Peng³, Jianhong Wu⁴, Ning Wang⁵*

¹ Department of Applied Mathematics, Xi’an Jiaotong University, Xi’an, Shaanxi, China, ² College of Mathematics and Information Science, Shaanxi Normal University, Xi’an, Shaanxi, China, ³ School of Public Health, Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, Jiangsu, China, ⁴ Laboratory for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Centre for Disease Modelling, York Institute for Health Research, York University, Toronto, ON, Canada, ⁵ National Center for AIDS/STD Prevention and Control, Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Beijing, China

* yxiao@xjtu.edu.cn (YX); wangnbj@163.com (NW)

Abstract

Having a thorough understanding of the infectivity of HIV, time of initiating treatment and emergence of drug resistant virus variants is crucial in mitigating HIV infection. There are many challenges to evaluating the long-term effect of the Highly Active Antiretroviral Therapy (HAART) on disease transmission at the population level. We proposed an individual based model by coupling within-host dynamics and between-host dynamics and conduct stochastic simulation in the group of men who have sex with men (MSM). The mean actual reproduction number is estimated to be 3.6320 (95% confidence interval: [3.46, 3.80]) for MSM group without treatment. Stochastic simulations show that given relatively high (low) level of drug efficacy after emergence of drug resistant variants, early initiation of treatment leads to a less (greater) actual reproduction number, lower (higher) prevalence and less (more) incidences, compared to late initiation of treatment. This implies early initiation of HAART may not always lower the actual reproduction number and prevalence of infection, depending on the level of treatment efficacy after emergence of drug resistant virus variants, frequency of high-risk behaviors and etc. This finding strongly suggests early initiation of HAART should be implemented with great care especially in the settings where the effective drugs are limited. Coupling within-host dynamics with between-host dynamics can provide critical information about impact of HAART on disease transmission and thus help to assist treatment strategy design and HIV/AIDS prevention and control.
Introduction

Viral loads may be lowered to undetectable levels when HIV patients are under the Highly Active Antiretroviral Therapy (HAART), reducing infectivity [1–5], slowing down progression to AIDS and improving the life quality of HIV patients. The success of HAART has led to suggestion that all HIV-infected individuals should receive HAART (see [6, 7] and references herein).

Patients with early HAART were reported to survive much longer [8], showing personal health benefit from early HAART [9]. In 2009, Granich et al. [10] developed a mathematical model and analyses to show that earlier (immediate) antiviral therapy for people newly diagnosed with HIV is more effective for reducing the infection rate and death rate. Luo et al. [11] developed a mathematical model, ignoring the occurrence of drug resistant variants, to examine potential effects of test-and-treat on HIV epidemic among men who have sex with men (MSM) in China. This study concluded that the test-and-treat policy would lead to decline of the total number of HIV new infections over the period during 2013 and 2022. On the other hand, the work [12, 13] suggested that early therapy should not be implemented in resource-limited settings as early treatment in these settings inevitably induces early occurrence of drug resistance due to drug non-adherence and side-effect resulting in declining treatment efficacy. The studies [14, 15] also reported that HAART did not prevent HIV transmission in certain MSM and IDU groups, and there are other important ethical challenges related to early treatment as pointed out by Sugarman et al. [16]. All of these point out the importance of further in-depth examination of issues relevant to the feasibility and implication of early HAART among particular groups in resource limited areas/countries.

Here we address these issues using reported data from a few Chinese MSM studies. We examine the impact of key HAART specifics including time of HAART initiation, time of emergence of drug resistant virus variants and treatment efficacy on HIV new infection in order to determine whether and when early treatment policy should be recommended for MSM populations in mainland China. We conduct this impact analysis using individual based simulations of a novel within-host viral dynamics model coupled with between-host transmission dynamics model.

There are several studies on coupling together within- and between-host dynamics [17–23]. Some studies assumed within-host dynamics is at its endemic state throughout the course of infection [19], while others only described the mean level of disease progression in vivo of different individuals [21–23] or only described the primary and asymptomatic stages of the HIV progression [24]. Due to the (individual) variability of viral loads and the relatively small MSM population, we propose novel individual based models (IBMs) and simulations to couple within- and between-host dynamics. We begin with a modified classic viral dynamics model incorporating the Weibull function (to reflect the temporal variability of infectivity and viral production rate), and implement between-host transmission dynamics stochastic simulations using the viral dynamics model produced viral loads of infected individuals as inputs. We then parameterize the coupled model system using a few Chinese MSM studies to provide critical information, at both the host and population levels, to inform early HAART outcomes under different scenarios of drug resistance, drug efficacy, and drug adherence. We also use simulations to quantify the contribution at different progression stages to the HIV new infection, and to identify optimal treatment regimes and intervention strategies.
Materials and Methods

Within-host viral dynamics Model

We adopt the classic HIV viral dynamics model

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dT}{dt} &= s - dT - k(t)VT, \\
\frac{dT}{dt} &= k(t)VT - \delta T, \\
\frac{dV}{dt} &= \lambda(t)VT - cV,
\end{align*}
\]

(1)

where \(T, T^\ast\) and \(V\) are the concentrations of uninfected target T cells, productively infected cells and free virus, with the recruitment rate \(s\) of uninfected CD4+ T cells, the death rates \(d\) and \(\delta\) for uninfected and infected cells, and free virus clearance rate \(c\) [25, 26]. To characterize the temporal variation of the infection rate \(k(t)\) and the viral production rate \(\lambda(t)\), we introduce the Weibull function

\[
W(t, T_m, \beta, z) = 1 - \exp\left[-\left(\frac{T_m - t}{\beta}\right)^z\right], \quad t < T_m,
\]

parameterized by \(\alpha, \beta\) and \(T_m\), the shape, scale and location parameters [27]. Here, Weibull function, widely used to depict the ‘bathtub curve’ which is similar to the trend of development of viral loads within a host, is chosen so that the model can fully describe the whole progression of HIV disease, especially a sudden rise in viral load during AIDS stage. Thus, the infection rate as well as the viral production rate are flexible functions of time \(t\). In particular, with \(T_m\) interpreted as the maximum life span of the patient after infection, we have

\[
k(t) = \frac{k}{W(T_m, \beta_k, z_k)}, \quad \lambda(t) = \frac{\lambda}{W(T_m, \beta_k, z_k)}, \quad t < T_m,
\]

(2)

for appropriate parameters \(\beta_k, \alpha_k\) and \(\beta_\lambda, \alpha_\lambda\). In this formulation, when the location parameter \(T_m\) tends to infinity, Eq (1) reduces to the classical HIV viral dynamics model. The detailed definitions and baseline parameter values are listed in Table A in S2 File.

Our model can predict the entire HIV disease progression in vivo perfectly (Figure A in S1 File). By varying the shape, scale and location parameters for \(k(t)\) and \(\lambda(t)\), we observe different patterns of HIV progression in vivo (Figure B in S1 File). In particular, we observe that the scale parameter \(\beta_k\) determines the rate of progression to AIDS stage, the shape parameter \(\alpha_k\) determines the viral loads in the asymptomatic stage and the location parameter \(T_m\) determines the survival time. The results of 1000 simulations with shape, scale and location parameters randomly chosen according to rules outlined in Table A in S2 File are shown in Figure C in S1 File, and these results show that the maximal viral loads in the primary stage can reach \(5 \times 10^5\) per \(\mu L\), and the mean value of viral loads varies from \(1.1 \times 10^5\) to \(2 \times 10^5\) copies/\(\mu L\) [1] at the AIDS stage. We also generate the frequencies of durations of the primary stage, asymptotical stage and AIDS stage (Figure D in S1 File), from which we observe that the primary, asymptomatic and AIDS stage respectively lasts for 2.5–3.5 months, 6 to 10 years and 2 to 3.5 years and this is in good agreement with Zhou et al. [28].

Once HAART is initiated, the disease progression will be changed and the life span may be extended. Denote by \(T_i\) the HAART initiation time (the time since infection when HAART is initiated), this time is determined by the base line CD4 level \(B_{CD4}\) (the level of CD4 cell counts when the HAART is initiated, for example, \(B_{CD4} = 350\), or \(B_{CD4} = 500\)). The extended life span is denied by \(\tau(t)\) (see calculation below) so the location parameter of \(k(t)\) and \(\lambda(t)\) changes to \(T_m + \tau(t)\). This change of the disease progression and life span will be further altered after the
emergence of drug-resistant virus. To describe these changes, we modify the corresponding infection rate and viral reproduction rate, \((k^b(t), \lambda^b(t))\) and \((k^a(t), \lambda^a(t))\), as follows [29]

\[
k^b(t) = \frac{k^b}{W(T_m + \tau(t), \beta^b_1, \beta^b_2)}, \quad \lambda^b(t) = \frac{\lambda^b}{W(T_m + \tau(t), \beta^b_3, \beta^b_4)}, \quad t < T_r,
\]

and

\[
k^a(t) = \frac{k^a}{W(T_m + \tau(t), \beta^a_1, \beta^a_2)}, \quad \lambda^a(t) = \frac{\lambda^a}{W(T_m + \tau(t), \beta^a_3, \beta^a_4)}, \quad T_r \leq t < T,'
\]

where \(T_r\) is time of emergence of drug resistant virus variants, which will be treated as a stochastic variable. In what follows, we introduce \(r_i\) so that \(T_r = T_r + r_i\), so \(r_i\) measures the rate drug resistance develops. For simplification, we write \(k^b = l_1 k, \lambda^b = l_1 \lambda\) and \(k^a = l_2 k, \lambda^a = l_2 \lambda\), \(\beta^b_1 = k_1 \beta_1, \beta^b_2 = k_2 \beta_2\), where \(l_1, l_2, k_1\) and \(k_2\) are positive constants.

\(\tau(t)\) is calculated as follows:

\[
\tau(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{\tau_m - \eta^b(T_{r} - T_r)}{\eta^b(T_{r} - T_r) + \eta^a(T_{r} - T_r)}, & T_r \leq t < T_r, \\ \frac{\tau_m - \eta^a(T_{r} - T_r)}{\eta^b(T_{r} - T_r) + \eta^a(T_{r} - T_r)} + \tau_r, & t \geq T_r. \end{cases}
\]

\(\eta^b, \tau^m_0\) and \(\eta^a, \tau^m_0\) denote the drug efficacy and sensitivity before and after emergence of drug resistant variants, respectively. It is assumed that \(\tau^m_{0} = r \tau^m_0\) with \(r \geq 1\) for simplicity. \(\tau_m\) denotes the maximum life expectancy since initiating HAART. Constant \(\tau_r\) can be chosen such that the function \(\tau(t)\) is continuous at \(T_r\), i.e.

\[
\tau_r = \tau_m \left[ \frac{\eta^b(T_{r} - T_r)}{\eta^b(T_{r} - T_r) + \eta^a(T_{r} - T_r)} - \frac{\eta^a(T_{r} - T_r)}{\eta^b(T_{r} - T_r) + \eta^a(T_{r} - T_r)} \right].
\]

Obviously, function \(\tau(t) = 0\) in the absence of HAART.

Our new model allows us to examine how the baseline CD4 cell counts \(B_{CD4}\) impacts the prolonged life expectancy. **Figure E a-b in S1 File** shows that life span increases as the baseline CD4 cell count increases when other parameters are fixed. Meanwhile, **Figure E c-d in S1 File** shows that smaller \(l_1\) leads to longer life span; while **Figure E e-f in S1 File** shows people with larger \(k_1\) and \(k_2\) progress faster to the AIDS stage. Naturally, stronger drug sensitivity (smaller \(\tau_{50}\)) leads to larger life span (**Figure F in S1 File**), and higher drug efficacy (**Figure G a-d in S1 File**) as well as late emergence of drug resistant variants (**Figure G e-f in S1 File**) results in longer life span.

**Between-host transmission model**

The coupling with transmission at the population is based on the aforementioned viral dynamics model. We consider a population with individuals which are indicated by subindices. The transmission probability \(\beta(V_i)\) is an increasing function of the viral load, where \(v_i\) denotes the viral load in the \(i\)th infected individual. We shall use the function proposed by Wilson et.al [4], in which each ten-fold increment in viral load is associated with a 2.45-fold increment increase in the risk of HIV transmission per sexual contact, that is, \(\beta_1 = 2.45^{\log_{10}(V_i/V_0)} \beta_0\), where \(\beta_0\) is the transmission probability per contact for an HIV infected individual with baseline viral load \(V_0\), and \(\beta_1\) is the transmission probability when the viral load is \(V_1\), whether above or below the baseline.

For the between-host model, we assume individuals enter the high-risk group \((S(t))\) at a constant rate \(U\) and exit at rate \(\mu\). Let \(I(t)\) be the number of HIV infected individuals at time \(t\).
Suppose the infected individual was infected at time $t^i$, then the viral load of the $i$th infected individual at time $t$ is $v(t - t^i)$, associated with his infection age $t - t^i$ and determined by the within-host viral dynamics model described earlier.

Let $N(t)$ be the total number of population, i.e. $N(t) = S(t) + I(t)$, then the incidence rate induced by the $i$th infected individual is

$$\beta(v(t - t^i))c(t - t^i) (1 - \eta^p) S(t)/N(t),$$

where $p$ is the proportion of condom use and $\eta^c$ is the condom efficacy, $c(t - t^i)$ is a piecewise function which describes the frequency of high-risk behaviors, and is given by

$$c(t - t^i) = \begin{cases} c_1, & \text{Primary stage;} \\ c_2, & \text{Asymptomatic stage;} \\ c_3, & \text{AIDS stage.} \end{cases}$$

### Stochastic simulation

When an infected individual is introduced to a fully susceptible population, secondary infections will be produced and these will generate further infections in the populations. We implement the stochastic simulations using the $\tau$-leap method, where viral loads in every time step $\Delta t$ is regard as a constant (assuming of course the sufficiently small time step). A simulation is terminated with a termination condition, which in our case is when $M$ infected individuals are dead. For each newly infected individual, parameters related to the viral infection rate and production rate in vivo are generated according to the corresponding probability distributions, as Table A in S2 File shows. Then, viral loads at any infection age are governed by our within-host dynamics model. We approximate the number of new infections by the $i$th infected individual at every time step $\Delta t = 1$ day, denoted by $NI_i$, according to

$$NI_i \sim \text{poisson}(\beta(v(t - t^i))c(t - t^i) (1 - \eta^p) S(t)/N\Delta t).$$

Similarly, newly recruited susceptibles and individuals who leave the high-risk population at every time step $\Delta t = 1$ day are simulated by

$$\text{Recruit} \sim \text{poisson}(U\Delta t),$$
$$\text{Exit} \sim \text{poisson}(\mu S\Delta t).$$

The simulation process can be described as follows.

$$S(t + \Delta t) \leftarrow S(t) - \sum_{i \in A} NI_i + \text{Recruit} - \text{Exit},$$
$$I(t + \Delta t) \leftarrow I(t) + \sum_{i \in A} NI_i - \sum_{i \in A} \text{sgn}(t + \Delta t - t^i) - T_m(i),$$

where $A$ is the set of people living with HIV at time $t$, and

$$\text{sgn}(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \geq 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } x < 0. \end{cases}$$

Suppose there are $\tilde{N}$ individuals already infected with HIV when the simulation is terminated. For these $\tilde{N}$ individuals, we record critical information for each individual such as by whom he was infected, when he got infected, and at which infection age an infected individual infected others.
In this study we employ some parameter values from literature and others are chosen as follows. In particular, we consider a MSM population of gay men between age 15 and 64. Since the natural death rate is 1/70 [30], we have $\mu = 1/(49 \times 365) + 1/(70 \times 365)$. Assume that the population has reached a steady state before HIV is introduced, the constant recruitment rate for susceptibles is then $U = \mu \times S(0)$. A study, in which MSMs who have not been infected with HIV was recruited and then followed by a year, was implemented in Mianyang city of China [31]. From this study we we can get that the mean number of acts of insertive anal intercourse per week is 1.77 for MSM population. Since most individuals infected with HIV had not been diagnosed in their primary stage, we assume that the frequency of high-risk behavior in the primary stage is also 1.77 per week. The research conducted by He et al. [32] showed that the frequency of high-risk behavior in the asymptomatic stage was not affected by the CD4 level and was with a mean of 0.9643 acts per week. During the AIDS stage, the high-risk behaviors reduced significantly, so we will start by assuming that the frequency of high-risk behaviors during the AIDS stage reduced by half compared to the asymptomatic stage, and we will then conduct a sensitive analysis to see how our simulations are affected by this assumption.

We infer the mean condom use rate as 40% from a series of studies [31–34], which leads to $p = 0.4$. The condom efficacy is 0.9 as used by Lou et al in [35]. For the transmission probability, we choose $\beta_0 = 0.01$ and $v_0 = 10^{4.5}$ according to the value of $\beta_0$ for MSM group estimated by Wilson et al. [4], The definitions and used values of all parameters involved in our simulations are summarized in Table 1.

We use the reference [10] for the maximum survival time $T_m$ obeying Weibull distribution with mean 11(std = 0.5) years. In [36], Ghys et al pointed out that the average net survival in most low and middle income countries has been changed from 9 years to 11 years. A six-year cohort study was implemented in rural areas of Henan by Li et al [37], in which the occurrence time of resistance for 75 individuals with treatment are recorded. Using these data, we estimate the the drug resistance rate using the Least Square Method. It turns out that $r_t$ follows exponen- tial distribution with mean value 2.23. Infected individuals with higher baseline CD4 level of $BCD_4$ may have a poorer adherence because of the side effect and other reasons [38]. There are lots of studies demonstrated that poor adherence may speed up the emergence of drug resistance [39–42]. Thus, we assume that the earlier treatment is initiated the quicker the drug resistance emerges. We further assume that $r_t$ follows a exponential distribution with mean value 2

### Table 1. Parameters and initial data for between host model.

| Parameters | Definition | Value | Source |
|------------|------------|-------|--------|
| $S(0)$     | Initial value of susceptible population | 100000 | see text |
| $\mu$      | Exit rate | $1/(49 \times 365) + 1/(70 \times 365)$ day$^{-1}$ | see text |
| $U$        | Constant recruitment rate | $\mu S(0)$ day$^{-1}$ | see text |
| $\beta_0$  | The probability of HIV transmission from a person with baseline viral load $V_0$ | $1e-2$ | [4] |
| $V_0$      | The baseline viral load | $10^{4.5}$ copies/ml | [4] |
| $c_1$      | Frequency of high risk behavior, Primary stage | 1.77/7 day$^{-1}$ | [31] |
| $c_2$      | Frequency of high risk behavior, Asymptotical stage | 0.9643/7 day$^{-1}$ | [32] |
| $c_3$      | Frequency of high risk behavior, AIDS stage | 0.9643/14 day$^{-1}$ | see text |
| $p$        | Intervention measure use rate | 0.4 | [31–34] |
| $\eta^c$   | Condom efficacy | 0.9 | [35] |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0150513.t001
if treatment is initiated early. Following the study conducted by Tang et al. [43], we get the value of parameters $s, d, \delta, c$. Parameters related to $k(t)$ and $\lambda(t)$ for the within-host model vary individually, and all values or ranges of parameters of the within-host model are described in Table A in S2 File.

**Results**

We initially introduce a single HIV-infected individual into the population with $S_0$ susceptibles (here we use $S_0 = 100,000$), and we carry out stochastic simulations based on the individual based model. In the simulations we reported in Fig 1, 50 runs are carried out with the terminal condition of $t = 20$ years. The simulations show the graduate increase of the ratio of HIV-infected individuals, and repeating this procedure with more initial infected individuals gives similar patterns but with greater proportions of infected individuals in the given population.

**Distribution of infection ages**

Several studies have indicated that contribution to HIV transmission at the primary stage is relatively high [1, 44, 45]. However, there are also studies suggesting that the contribution to HIV transmission at the primary stage may be overestimated [46–48]. Furthermore, HIV-positive individuals under HAART may live longer due to alleviated illness [49–53], and hence it is important to see how HARRT affects the contribution to HIV transmission at different stages to inform treatment and practical infection control strategies.

In our results reported here, the simulation is suspended once $M$ infected individuals are dead. The IBM and stochastic simulations allow us to capture such important information as: who affects whom, and when. Let $I_i(D_i, a_{ij})$ be the number of HIV-positive individual infected by the $i$th HIV-positive $D_i$ at his infection age $a_{ij} \in [0, T_m]$, where $T_m$ is the maximum survival
time). and its value is 1. Then

\[ \sum_{a_{ij} \in [0, T_{m}]} I_{i}(D_{i}, a_{ij}) = \hat{N}_{i} \]

represents the total number of HIV-positive individuals infected by the \( i \)-th case (\( D_{i} \)) during his life span. The histogram of the probability distribution of infection age when one infects the others is assembled in Fig 2 (based on 50 runs with the stated terminal condition (\( T_{C,m} \)) when \( M = 10 \). The frequency at the primary stage is the highest, this frequency drops suddenly at the asymptomatic stage and grows gradually with time, and then drops again at the AIDS stage. However, the number of individuals infected by infected individuals at the asymptomatic stage is the greatest due to the long duration of this stage. More precisely, 3.66% of individuals are infected at the primary stage and 81.99% of individuals are infected at the asymptomatic stage.

Repeating the simulation with half infectivity at the primary, asymptomatic or AIDS stage

---

**Fig 2. Histogram of the infection age when one infects others.** The transmission coefficient is \( \beta_{0} = 1 e^{-2} \). (a). Baseline parameter values listed in Table A in S2 File; (b). The infectivity decreased by 1/2 at the primary stage; (c). The infectivity decreased by 1/2 at the asymptomatic stage; (d). The infectivity decreased by 1/2 at the AIDS stage.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0150513.g002
gives the subplots Fig 2b, 2c and 2d respectively where the proportions of individuals infected are 1.15%, 85.10%, 13.75% for Fig 2b; 5.67%, 71.00%, 23.30% for Fig 2c; and 4.27%, 88.53%, 7.19% for Fig 2d, respectively.

Actual reproduction number

The reproduction number provides information on the potential for growth or decline of an epidemic. We here examine the actual reproduction number $R_a$ [54] for HIV transmission that has taken place. This number can be estimated as the average number of secondary cases per infected individual to which the infection was actually transmitted in a population during the infectious period. The estimation of $R_a$ can thus be given as

$$ R_a = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{a_i} I_i(D_i, a_{i})}{M} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{M} N_{i}}{M}. $$

Here we choose $M = 100$, and the histogram of the number of secondary cases induced by a single infected individual is described in Fig 3 From this we conclude that an infected individual can induce 3.67 secondary cases on average with at most 9 secondary cases during the entire life span. On a close examination, we see that the number of secondary cases induced by an infected individual depends on the survival time of the infected individual, the frequency of high risk behaviors, the infectivity and the level of interventions involved.

In order to reduce the random error and obtain the mean value of the actual reproduction number $R_a$, 50 simulations with $M = 10$ for each simulation are carried out. We also estimate the mean value of $R_a$ to be 3.6320 with standard deviation of 1.92 (95% confidence interval
Varying the population size, we can investigate whether the estimated actual reproduction number is sensitive to the population size, and our simulations give the actual reproduction number to be $3.56$ (95% CI: [3.40, 3.72]) for $S_0 = 10,000$, $3.66$ (95% CI: [3.49, 3.83]) for $S_0 = 50,000$ and $3.69$ (95% CI: [3.51, 3.87]) for $S_0 = 200,000$. In conclusion, the actual reproduction number is not sensitive to the population size. Hence, throughout the paper we fix $S_0 = 100,000$.

There are some evidences showing that progression to AIDS is faster among MSMs than among heterosexuals or IDUs [55]. To verify the variations in $R_a$ with the between-host transmission coefficient and progression to AIDS stage in vivo, we vary the transmission coefficients by multiplying a factor $\epsilon$, which denote the product of changes of the transmission probability per high risk behavior $\beta_0$, the frequency of high-risk behavior $c$ and the intensity of interventions $p$. Thus, incidence rate changes to $\epsilon \beta(t - t_0^i)c(t - t_0^i)(1 - n^p)S(t)/N(t)$.

The rate of progression (survival time $T_m$) is also varied in stochastic simulations. The mean values of actual reproduction number are listed in Table 2. As anticipated, we observe that the longer survival time is, or the greater transmission coefficients are, the greater actual reproduction number $R_a$ is. Note that an infected individual with a short survival time may reproduce more secondary cases, depending on the frequency of high-risk behaviors and the intensity of implemented interventions. Moreover, interventions implemented at different stages may result in difference in efficacy. In particular, we observe that decreasing infectivity at the primary stage (or the AIDS state) by half leads to the mean value of actual reproduction number to be $3.61$ (95% CI: [3.45, 3.77]) (3.39 (95% CI: [3.22, 3.55])). However, if the infection rate is reduced by half at the asymptomatic stage, the actual reproduction number can be reduced to $2.09$ (95% CI: [1.96, 2.23]). Therefore, we report that infections at the asymptomatic stage contribute most to the total secondary infections since this stage takes up almost 90% of the survival time.

### Effect of HAART on HIV infection

We now consider the effect of different HAART initiation timings and drug efficacy on the actual reproduction number, prevalence, incidence and mortality. In our simulations, HAART may begin when CD4+ T cell counts drop to 500 copies/µl (denoted by $B_{CD4} = 500$) or 350 copies/µl (i.e. $B_{CD4} = 350$). Note that infected individuals with higher CD4+ T cell counts may have poorer adherence for a number of reasons including side effects [38]. There are lots of studies demonstrating that poor adherence may speed up the emergence of drug resistant virus variants [39–42]. Therefore, individuals with higher CD4+ T cell counts, if treated early, may develop drug resistance more quickly. For the same reason, individuals with early treatment may progress to AIDS stage quicker after the emergence of drug resistance. On the other hand, individuals with higher CD4+ T cell counts with early HAART may have a better health status.
and hence better drug sensitivity compared with late HAART. All of these considerations (drug resistance emergence rate, progression rate to AIDS, drug sensitivity) can be incorporated in our within-host model with relevant parameters, and the simulations with these considerations are shown in Figure E-G in S1 File.

Note that in mainland China only first and second line drugs are available and individualized treatment regime has not been implemented. Therefore, an individual patient may still be under the first-line drugs (but with different combinations) even if drug-resistant virus variants emerge. Consequently drug efficacy may either keep at a high level or decline after the emergence of drug resistant variants. We consider these two situations in the following, where relevant parameters are described in Table B in S2 File.

Situation 1. Drug efficacy keeps at a high level after emergence of drug resistant virus variants. We first investigate the effect of various HAART initiation times, represented by the baseline CD4+ T cell counts $B_{CD4}$, on the actual reproduction number. We focus on two cases: $B_{CD4} = 500$ (representing relatively early treatment) and $B_{CD4} = 350$ (representing late treatment). It is assumed that individuals with early treatment have better drug sensitivity but progress to AIDS stage at a much quicker speed. Drug resistance is supposed to turn up at a mean of $1/b$ years after treatment is initiated and follow exponential distribution, that is $r_t \sim \text{Exp}(b)$. 200 runs with terminal condition ($TC_{in, M = 1}$) are carried out and the following four combinations are considered: early/late HAART initiation with quick/slow emergence of drug resistant virus variants. The estimated actual reproduction numbers are listed in Table 3 Situation 1. It shows that in the case where $B_{CD4} = 500$ the estimated actual reproduction numbers are consistently less than those without treatment. While in the case where $B_{CD4} = 350$ the values of actual reproduction numbers are greater than those without treatment. Therefore, early treatment indeed leads to less $R_a$ and hence less new infections compared to later treatment no matter when the drug resistant variants emerge.

The infection ages when those 200 infected individuals (200 simulations with each simulation 1 individual) induce new infections in multiple simulations are recorded and the distribution of infection ages are shown in Fig 4. For the case where $B_{CD4} = 500$, infected individuals can keep at a relatively low level of infectivity for about 5 years due to effective HAART, and hence the tracked infected individuals barely induce secondary cases (Fig 4a and 4b). However, the frequency displays a peak during 10 to 20 years after infection. This is because viral loads rebound due to emergence of drug resistant variants. Note that few individuals can survive more than 25 years as we assume only first-line drugs are available. It follows from Fig 4c and 4d for the case where $B_{CD4} = 350$ HAART can only effectively suppress viral loads for about one year. Comparing Fig 4a with 4b implies that quick emergence of drug resistant variants leads to higher actual reproduction number when $B_{CD4} = 500$ (early treatment), while Fig 4c

| $B_{CD4}$ | Situation 1 |        |         | Situation 2 |        |
|----------|-------------|--------|--------|-------------|--------|
|          | $r_t$       | $R_a$  | $r_t$  | $R_a$       |
| 500      | Exp(2)      | 2.98   | Exp(2) | 4.00        |
| 500      | Exp(0.4482) | 2.30   | Exp(0.4482) | 3.83 |
| 350      | Exp(2)      | 3.63   | Exp(2) | 3.81        |
| 350      | Exp(0.4482) | 3.63   | Exp(0.4482) | 3.47 |

Parameter values for situation 1 and situation 2 are chosen from Table B in S2 File Situation 1 and Situation 2, respectively.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0150513.t003
and 4d implies the timing of emergence of drug resistant variants has little impact on the new infections when $B_{CD4} = 350$ (late treatment). Moreover, it follows from Fig 4a and 4c (Fig 4b and 4d) that early treatment induces low actual reproduction number and hence less new infections no matter how quick the drug resistant variants emerge.

We now consider the effect of HAART on transmission at the population level. We start with the case where we assume that individuals with early treatment have better drug sensitivity but progress to AIDS stage at a much quicker speed, and the drug resistance turns up at a much quicker rate. We introduce 10 infected individual to a fully susceptible population ($S_0$), and then perform simulations for 20 years. 50 runs are carried out and the mean prevalence, cumulative incidence, cumulative deaths and ratio of individuals with resistant virus are shown.
in Fig 5a, 5b, 5c and 5d respectively. The black and red curves denote the simulation results for $B_{CD4} = 500$ and $B_{CD4} = 350$, respectively. Here, we assume that drug resistance turns up at a mean of 1/2 and 1/0.4482 years after treatment is initiated for $B_{CD4} = 500$ and $B_{CD4} = 350$, respectively. Fig 5 indicates that early treatment leads to a lower prevalence, less new infections (incidence) and fewer deaths. It is worthy noting that the proportion of infected individuals with drug resistant virus increases more rapidly under early treatment than late treatment.

We mention that in the case when individuals with early or late treatment have the same sensitivity and progress to AIDS stage at the same speed, we observe similar results (not shown here): early treatment leads to less $R_m$, lower prevalence, less new infections (incidence) and fewer deaths compared to later treatment.

**Situation 2: Drug efficacy drops substantially after emergence of drug resistant virus variants.** Similarly, basing on the assumption that individuals with early treatment have
better drug sensitivity but progress to AIDS stage at a quicker speed, we carry out four sets of simulations for different initiation times and different times of emergence of drug resistant virus variants. The simulated actual reproduction numbers are listed in Table 3 situation 2. It is interesting to observe that the actual reproduction numbers for $B_{\text{CD4}} = 500$ are greater than those for $B_{\text{CD4}} = 350$ under a given rate of emergence of drug resistant variants. That is because individuals with early treatment may lead to lower infectivity during earlier and shorter duration, but can result in longer survival, compared with those with late treatment. This conclusion is also validated by comparing Fig 6a and 6c (or Fig 6b and 6d) that early treatment induces greater actual reproduction number and hence more new infections no matter how quickly the drug resistant variants emerge. It is interesting to notice that this conclusion is in contrast to Situation 1.

It is easy to understand that the values of actual reproduction number for situation 2 (Fig 6a–6c) are greater than those for situation 1 (Fig 4a–4c), since the drug efficacy drops substantially after emergence of drug resistant virus variants for situation 2. However, it is important to mention that for case $B_{\text{CD4}} = 350$ with $r_t \sim \text{Exp}(0.4482)$ the actual reproduction number of situation 1 (Fig 4d) is larger than that for situation 2 (Fig 6d). Compared with Fig 6d with Fig 4d, we notice that people can survive for a much longer period in situation 1. That is, people in situation 1 can decrease their infectivity much more significantly but live for a much longer time. People in situation 2, in contrast, can decrease their infectivity much lower but live for a much shorter period. Consequently, there is a trade-off between lowered infectivity and extended survival time for an individual with treatment.

With 50 runs for 25 years where 5 infected individuals are introduced into a fully susceptible group ($S_0$), we produce the mean prevalence, cumulative incidence, cumulative deaths and ratio of infected individuals with resistant virus, as shown in Fig 7a, 7b, 7c and 7d respectively. We emphasize that during the first several years after the initiation of treatment, early treatment leads to lower prevalence, less cumulative incidences, while after the transition years, early treatment leads to higher prevalence and greater cumulative incidences. Similarly, the proportion of individuals infected with drug resistant type virus increases more rapidly than late treatment.

**Remark.** If individuals with early or late treatment have the same sensitivities and progress to AIDS stage at the same speed, we can get that early treatment and late treatment lead to very similar actual reproduction number, prevalence and cumulative incidence.

### Discussions and conclusions

HIV epidemiological models of population dynamics focus on the temporal variation of the numbers of susceptible and infected individuals at the population level, whereas viral dynamics models concentrate on the within-host dynamics at the individual level. In this study, we proposed an individual based model that explicitly links the within-host dynamics to between-host transmission in order to examine the comprehensive impact of early HAART on HIV infection at the population level. Our viral dynamics simulation model produces viral dynamics mimicking the observed progression during the entire life span [25, 26, 56–58]. There are some models formulated at both within- and between-host levels [17–23]. Coombs and Gilchrist [17, 18] evaluated the direction of natural selection (in the study of evolution of virulence) by using a nested model. Feng et al. [23] linked the epidemiological and immunological dynamics through an environmental component, and hence their model is more appropriate for an environmentally-driven infectious disease. However, our simulation models are appropriate to many infectious diseases and the individual base simulations allow us to track any HIV infected individual and record detailed information on who infected whom and when.
Using stochastic simulations, we investigated contributions at different stages - primary, asymptomatic, and AIDS stage- to HIV new infections. Simulation results showed that 3.55% of individuals are infected at the primary stage, which is much lower than the estimation obtained by Brenner et al. and Wawer et al. [1, 45, 46]. Note that here the primary stage is determined by the within-host model, i.e. between initial infection and the first time when the viral load decreases to the lowest value. The mean duration of the primary stage is around 3 months, which agrees with that determined by Zhou et al. [28]. However, Brenner et al. [45] and Wawer et al. [1] defined the early stage as less than 6 months after seroconversion, which is much longer than we obtained here. That is why less contribution at primary stage was obtained in our simulations. When we introduced 5 infected individuals in a fully susceptible

Fig 6. Histogram of the infection age when one infects others for various baseline CD4 level. Parameter values are chosen from Table B in S2 File situation 2. (a). Treatment started when CD4 cell count is less than 500, $r_t$ follows the exponential distribution with mean 0.5, the basic reproduction number is 4.0000. (b). Treatment started when CD4 cell count is less than 500, $r_t$ follows the exponential distribution with mean 2.23, the basic reproduction number is 3.8250. (c). Treatment started when CD4 cell count is less than 350, $r_t$ follows the exponential distribution with mean 0.5, the basic reproduction number is 3.8150. (d). Treatment started when CD4 cell count is less than 350, $r_t$ follows the exponential distribution with mean 2.23, the basic reproduction number is 3.4650.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0150513.g006
population ($S_0 = 100,000$), about 4% of the total population is HIV-positive 20 years later. We note that the first AIDS patient among MSM was diagnosed in 1989 in mainland China (several HIV-positive individuals had already been there with only one diagnosed) and the prevalence of infection in MSM was 3.4% in 2009 based on the surveillance data [59].

The basic reproduction number $R_0$, defined as the average number of secondary cases induced by a typical infectious individual during its average infectious period in a wholly susceptible [60], is the most widely used epidemiological measurement of the transmission potential in a situation where all persons are susceptible. In contrast, the actual reproduction number for an epidemic that has occurred, is defined as the average number of secondary cases per infected individual to which the infection is actually transmitted during the infectious period in a population. This was proposed for HIV/AIDS [54]. In the actual spread of HIV, it has been practically impossible to establish actual chains of who infected whom. However, our individual based simulation model provide information including not only the number of

Fig 7. Effect of times of initiation of treatment. (a). prevalence, (b). incidence, (c). cumulative deaths and (d). the proportion of resistant strain. Black and red curves denote treatment initiated when CD4+ T cell counts drop to 500 and 350, respectively. Parameters are chosen from Table B in S2 File situation 2.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0150513.g007
persons to whom one infected individual spreads the disease, but also at what age the infection takes place. Therefore, we could estimate the mean actual reproduction number for HIV epidemic as 3.63 (95% CI [3.46, 3.80]) for MSM in China. This estimation is in agreement with that \( R_0 = 3.9296 \) for the MSM group using the deterministic differential equations \([35, 61]\). It is also in agreeable range with those obtained in a published study of the data among homosexual/bisexual men from European countries: France (3.38—3.81), Western Germany (3.43—4.08) and UK (3.38—3.96) \([62]\). Moreover, this actual reproduction number is sensitive to the transmission coefficient at population level, as the transmission model predicted \([63–65]\), and the mean survival time at the individual level. It needs to be mentioned that we studied the HIV transmission among MSM in mainland China as a whole. We further note that the actual reproduction numbers for MSM groups of other areas may be various but the proposed method in this study can be used to estimate actual reproduction number for MSM group of any other areas provided other parameter values being available.

We examined the effects of time of initiating treatment, time of emergence of drug resistant virus variants and drug efficacy on the actual reproduction number \( R_a \), prevalence, cumulative incidences, cumulative deaths and proportion of individuals infected with drug-resistant virus. We considered the case where drug efficacy is at a relatively high level after emergence of drug resistant variants, and we concluded that earlier treatment leads to a less actual reproduction number, lower prevalence, less incidences and fewer deaths. Hence early initiation of treatment is beneficial to controlling HIV new infections, cumulative incidence and hence curbing disease transmission in this case. However, our simulations also show that if drug efficacy after the emergence of drug resistant virus variants is relatively low, then earlier initiation of treatment may lead to greater actual reproduction number, higher prevalence and larger incidences and deaths. In such a scenario early initiating treatment may not result in the HIV new infections decline but may actually lead to higher new infections, prevalence and cumulative incidence.

We noticed a similar conclusion that HAART has a limited effect on HIV incidence in MSM \([15]\).

In both situations, we observed that the proportion of individuals infected with drug resistant virus grows very rapidly. This inevitably yields that the third or successive generation cases are with intrinsic resistant variants. Then the HIV infection control would be a huge public health challenge if effective drugs for the resistant strain are not available in time. Therefore, early initiation of HAART as prevention should be adopted with great care, especially in the settings where effective drugs are not available or not sufficient. Whether treatment can keep at a high efficacy level or not is uncertain, thus the decision for initiating treatment is crucial \([12, 13]\). In particular, in mainland China where only second-line drugs are available, the strategy of treatment as prevention should not be implemented incautiously.

We caution that in reaching the observations, we assumed that high-risk behavior is unchanged after HAART is initiated. The implications of our simulations should also be interpreted with care. Note that in the epidemiological process we chosen parameter values associated with MSMs. We believe our model approach and simulation strategies are applicable to other high-risk population groups. Note also that we did not consider the rate of diagnose or coverage of treatment, which may affect the actual reproduction numbers. We noted that there are some opinions \([55]\) that disease progression in the MSM group may be quicker than other high-risk groups, however we could not find definition information about the survival time for MSMs in mainland China. We were forced to take the mean survival time for the MSMs without treatment as 11 years, which was estimated for other high-risk groups \([10]\). This assumption of the mean survival time does not affect the distribution of infection ages or the comprehensive effect of treatment but may lead to under or over-estimated actual reproduction number. Despite these caveats, our simulation results suggest early initiation of HAART...
may lead to higher number of secondary cases, depending on the level of drug efficacy after emergence of drug resistant virus variants, the frequency of high-risk behaviors after initiation of HAART and etc, hence early HAART should be implemented with great caution.

**Supporting Information**

**S1 File. Effect of parameter values on HIV progression in vivo.** Figure A, A simulation of typical course of human immunodeficiency virus infection. Figure B, Simulations of HIV disease progressions with different shape, scale and location parameters. Figure C, 1000 simulations of typical course of human immunodeficiency virus infection without ART. Figure D, Duration of different infection stages. Figure E, Effects of key factors on the HIV disease progression. Figure F, Effects of key factors on the HIV disease progression. Figure G, Effects of key factors on the HIV disease progression.

(PDF)

**S2 File. Parameter values for within-host model.** Table A, Parameter values for within-host model. Table B, Parameter values in situation 1&2.

(PDF)

**Author Contributions**

Conceived and designed the experiments: XS YX ST ZP JW NW. Performed the experiments: XS ST. Analyzed the data: XS ST. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: XS ZP. Wrote the paper: XS YX JW NW. Approved the manuscript: XS YX ST ZP JW NW.

**References**

1. Wawer MJ, Gray RH, Sewankambo NK, Serwadda D, Li X, Laeyendecker O, et al. Rates of HIV-1 transmission per coital act, by stage of HIV-1 infection, in Rakai, Uganda. J. Infect. Dis., 2005; 191, 1403–1409. doi: 10.1086/429411 PMID: 15809897

2. Quinn TC, Wawer MJ, Sewankambo N, Serwadda D, Li C, Wabwire-Mangen F, et al. Viral load and heterosexual transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1. N. Engl. J. Med., 2000; 342, 921–929. doi: 10.1056/NEJM200003303421303 PMID: 10738050

3. Vernazza PL, Gilliam BL, Flepp M, Dyer JR, Frank AC, Fiscus SA, et al. Effect of antiviral treatment on the shedding of HIV—1 in semen. AIDS, 1997; 11(10), 1249–1254. doi: 10.1097/00002030-199710000-00008 PMID: 9256943

4. Wilson DP, Law MG, Grulich AE, Cooper DA, Kaldor JM. Relation between HIV viral load and infectiousness: a model-based analysis. The Lancet, 2008; 372 (9652), 314–320. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(07)6115-0

5. Fang G, Burger H, Grimson R, Tropper P, Nachman S, Mayers D, et al. Maternal plasma human immunodeficiency virus type 1 RNA level: a determinant and projected threshold for mother-to-child transmission. P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 1995; 92(26), 12100–12104. doi: 10.1073/pnas.92.26.12100

6. Department of Health and Human Services. Panel on Antiretroviral Guidelines for Adults and Adolescents. Guidelines for the use of antiretroviral agents in HIV-1 infected adults and adolescents.

7. Thompson MA, Aberg JA, Hoy JF, Telenti A, Benson C, Cahn P, et al. Antiretroviral treatment of adult HIV infection: 2012 recommendations of the International Antiviral Society—USA panel. JAMA—J. AM. MED. ASSOC., 2012; 308(4), 387–402.

8. Kitahata MM, Gang SJ, Abraham AG, Merriman B, Saag MS, Justice AC, et al. Effect of early versus deferred antiretroviral therapy for HIV on survival. N. Engl. J. Med., 2009, 360(18), 1815–1826. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa0807252 PMID: 19339714

9. Cohen MS, Chen YQ, McCauley M, Gamble T, Hosseinipour MC, Kumarasamy N, et al. Prevention of HIV-1 infection with early antiretroviral therapy. N. Engl. J. Med., 2011; 365(6), 493–505. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1105243 PMID: 21767103

10. Granich RM, Gilks CF, Dye C, De Cock KM, Williams BG. Universal voluntary HIV testing with immediate antiretroviral therapy as a strategy for elimination of HIV transmission: a mathematical model. Lancet, 2009; 373 (9657), 48–57. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(08)61697-9 PMID: 19038438
11. Luo S, Han L, Lu H, Dou Z, Tao Q, Khoshnood K, et al. Evaluating the impact of test-and-treat on the HIV epidemic among MSM in China using a mathematical model. Plos one, 2015; 10(6), e0126893. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0126893 PMID: 26039075

12. Gallant JE, Mehta SH, Sugarman J. Universal antiretroviral therapy for HIV infection: should US treatment guidelines be applied to resource-limited settings?. Clin. Infect. Dis., 2013; 57(6), 884–887. doi: 10.1093/cid/cit382 PMID: 23793945

13. Lundgren JD, Wood R. Editorial commentary: Universal Antiretroviral Therapy for HIV Infection?. Clin. Infect. Dis., 2013; cit381 doi: 10.1093/cid/cit381

14. Cohen MS, Smith MK, Muessig KE, Hallett TB, Powers KA, Kashuba AD. Antiretroviral treatment of HIV-1 prevents transmission of HIV-1: where do we go from here?. The Lancet, 2013; 382 (9903), 1515–1524. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(13)61998-4

15. Phillips AN, Cambiano V, Nakagawa F, Brown AE, Lampe F, Rodger A, et al. Increased HIV incidence in men who have sex with men despite high levels of HAART-induced viral suppression: analysis of an extensively documented epidemic. PloS one, 2013; 8(2), e55312. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0055312 PMID: 23457467

16. Sugarman J. Bioethical Challenges With HIV Treatment as Prevention. Clin. Infect. Dis., 2014; 59 (Suppl), S32–S34. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciu246 PMID: 24926031

17. Coombs D, Gilchrist MA, Ball CL. Evaluating the importance of within-and between-host selection pressures on the evolution of chronic pathogens. Theor. Popul. Biol., 2007; 72(4), 576–591. doi: 10.1016/j.tpb.2007.08.005 PMID: 17900643

18. Gilchrist MA, Coombs D. Evolution of virulence: interdependence, constraints, and selection using nested models. Theor. Popul. Biol., 2006; 69(2), 145–153. doi: 10.1016/j.tpb.2005.07.002 PMID: 16198387

19. Gilchrist MA, Sasaki A. Modeling host-parasite coevolution: a nested approach based on mechanistic models. J. Theor. Biol., 2002; 218(3), 289–308. doi: 10.1016/s0022-5193(02)00276-1

20. Medley GF. The epidemiological consequences of optimisation of the individual host immune response. Parasitology, 2012; 139(Suppl), S61–S70.

21. Martcheva M, Li XZ. Linking immunological and epidemiological dynamics of HIV: the case of super-infection. J. Biol. Dyn., 2011; 7, 161–182. doi: 10.1080/17513758.2011.602023

22. F. Zhou Y, Shao Y, Ruan Y, Xu J, Ma Z, Mei C, Wu J. Modelling and prediction of HIV in China: transmission rates structured by infection ages. Math. Biosci., 2013; 241(1), 49–55. doi: 10.1016/j.mbs.2012.09.004 PMID: 23044178

23. Yeghiazarian L, Cumberland WG, Yang OO. A stochastic multi-scale model of HIV-1 transmission for decision-making: application to a MSM population. PloS one, 2013; 8(11), e70578. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0070578 PMID: 24302983

24. Feng Z, Velasco-Hernandez J, Tapia-Santos B, Leite MCA. A model for coupling within-host and between-host dynamics in an infectious disease. Nonlinear Dynam., 2012; 68, 401–411. doi: 10.1007/s11071-011-1092-x

25. F. Zhou Y, Shao Y, Ruan Y, Xu J, Ma Z, Mei C, Wu J. Modelling and prediction of HIV in China: transmission rates structured by infection ages. Math. Biosci., 2013; 241(1), 49–55. doi: 10.1016/j.mbs.2012.09.004 PMID: 23044178

26. Rong L, Feng Z, Perelson AS. Emergence of HIV-1 drug resistance during antiretroviral treatment. Bull. Math. Biol., 2007; 69(6), 2027–2060. doi: 10.1007/s11538-007-9203-3 PMID: 17450401

27. Li J, Luo C, De Klerk N. Trends in infant/child mortality and life expectancy in Indigenous populations in Yunnan Province, China. Aust. N. Z. J. Public Health., 2008; 32(3), 216–223. doi: 10.1111/j.1755-2608.2008.00219.x PMID: 18578818

28. Wang Y, Zhang G, Li L, Fan J, Zhao X, Jia SH, et al. Cohort study of knowledge about aids and related behavioral changes among msm. Prac. Prev. Med., 2012; 19, 161–165.

29. He H, Wang M, Zhang HB, Song DD, She M, et al. The unprotected sexual behaviors and its influencing factors among HIV-infected men who have sex with men in Shanghai, China. Chin. J. Prev. Med., 2012; 46(11), 976–981.
33. Luo Y, Bao QG, Zhang XH. Survey on the AIDS-related high risk behaviors and associated factors of men who have sex with men in Huainan city. Anhui. J. Prev. Med., 2013; 19, 83–86.

34. Bao YG, Zhang YH, Zhao JK, Sun JP, Tan HZ, et al. HIV infection and KAP status among men who have sex with men in 14 Chinese cities. Chin. J. Prev. Med., 2009; 43(11), 981–983.

35. Lou J, Wu J, Chen L, Ruan Y, Shao Y. A sex-role-preference model for HIV transmission among men who have sex with men in China. BMC Public Health, 2009; 9, S10. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-9-S1-S10 PMID: 19922680

36. Ghys PD, Zaba B, Prins M. Survival and mortality of people infected with hiv in low and middle income countries: results from the extended alpha network. AIDS, 2007; 21(Suppl), S1–S4. doi: 10.1097/01.aids.0000299404.99033 bf PMID: 18032932

37. Li H, Guo W, Li H, Wang Z, Liu Y, et al. Occurrence of human immunodeficiency virus-1 resistance through a six-year surveillance in rural areas in Henan. Natl. Med. J. China, 2011; 92(21), 1443–1447.

38. Zhu H, Napravnik S, Eron J, Cole S, Ma Y, Wohl D, et al. Attrition among human immunodeficiency virus(HIV)-infected patients initiating antiretroviral therapy in China, 2003–2010. Plos One, 2012; 7, e39414. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0039414 PMID: 22761787

39. Bangsberg DR, Perry S, Charlebois ED, Clark RA, Roberson M, Zolopa AR, et al. Non-adherence to highly active antiretroviral therapy predicts progression to AIDS. AIDS, 2001; 15(9), 1181–1183. doi: 10.1097/00002030-200106150-00015 PMID: 11416722

40. Friedland GH, Williams A. Attaining higher goals in HIV treatment: The central importance of adherence. AIDS (London, England), 1999; 13(Suppl), S61–72.

41. Sethi AK, Celentano DD, Gange SJ, Moore RD, Gallant JE. Association between adherence to antiretroviral therapy and human immunodeficiency virus drug resistance. Clin. Infect. Dis., 2003; 37, 1112–1118. doi: 10.1086/378301 PMID: 14523777

42. Wahl LM, Nowak MA. Adherence and drug resistance: predictions for therapy outcome. P. Roy. Soc. B-Biol. Sci., 2000; 267 (1445), 835–843. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2000.1079

43. Tang S, Xiao Y, Wang N, Wu H. Piecewise HIV virus dynamic model with CD4+ T cell count-guided therapy: I. J. Theor. Biol., 2012; 308, 123–134. doi: 10.1016/j.jtbi.2012.05.022 PMID: 2269043

44. Cohen MS, Pitlcher CD. Amplified HIV transmission and new approaches to HIV prevention. J. Infect. Dis., 2005; 191(9), 1391–1393. doi: 10.1086/429414 PMID: 15809893

45. Brenner BG, Roger M, Routy JP, Moisi D, Ntemgwa M, Matte C, et al. High rates of forward transmission events after acute/early HIV-1 infection. J. Infect. Dis., 2007; 195(7), 951–959. doi: 10.1086/512086 PMID: 17350784

46. Hayes RJ, White RG. Amplified HIV transmission during early-stage infection. J. Infect. Dis., 2006; 193(4), 604–605. doi: 10.1086/499606 PMID: 16425144

47. Deirdre H, Anderson R, Fraser C. Has the role of primary infection in the epidemiology of HIV been overstated? In: Program and abstracts of the 13th conference on retroviruses and opportunistic infections. 2006.

48. Pitlcher C. Sexual transmission risk and rapid public health intervention in acute infection. In: Program and abstracts of the 13th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections (Denver). 2006.

49. Mills EJ, Bakanda C, Birungi J, Chan K, Ford N, Cooper CL, et al. Life expectancy of persons receiving combination antiretroviral therapy in low-income countries: a cohort analysis from Uganda. Ann. Intern. Med. 2011; 155(4), 209–216. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-155-4-201108160-00358 PMID: 21768555

50. Antiretroviral Therapy Cohort Collaboration, Life expectancy of individuals on combination antiretroviral therapy in high-income countries: a collaborative analysis of 14 cohort studies. Lancet, 2008; 372 (9635), 293–299. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(08)61137-7 PMID: 18657708

51. Johnson LF, Mossong J, Dorrington RE, Schomaker M, Hoffmann CJ, Keiser O, et al. Life expectancies of South African adults starting antiretroviral treatment: collaborative analysis of cohort studies. PLoS Med. 2013; 10, e1001418. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1001418 PMID: 23585736

52. May M, Gompels M, Delpech V, Porter K, Post F, Johnson M, et al. Impact of late diagnosis and treatment on life expectancy in people with HIV-1: UK Collaborative HIV Cohort (UK CHIC) Study. BMJ, 2011; 343, d6016. doi: 10.1136/bmj.d6016 PMID: 21990260

53. Harrison KM, Song R, Zhang X. Life expectancy after HIV diagnosis based on national HIV surveillance data from 25states, United States. J. Acquir. Immune Defic. Syndr. 2010; 53(1), 124–130. doi: 10.1097/QAI.0b013e3181b663e7 PMID: 19730109

54. Amundsen EJ, Stigum H, Rettingen JA, Aalen OO. Definition and estimation of an actual reproduction number describing past infectious disease transmission: application to HIV epidemics among homosexual men in Denmark, Norway and Sweden. Epidemiol. Infect., 2004; 132(86), 1139–1149. doi: 10.1017/S0950268804002997 PMID: 15635973
55. Yan H, Yang H, Li J, Wei C, Xu J, Liu X, et al. Emerging disparity in HIV/AIDS disease progression and mortality for men who have sex with men, Jiangsu Province, China. AIDS Behav., 2014; 18(Suppl 1), 5–10. doi: 10.1007/s10461-013-0520-2
56. Kramer A, Keller BA, Luhrmann R. HIV-1 evolution and disease progression. Science, 1996; 274, 1008–1011. doi: 10.1126/science.274.5289.1008
57. Nowak MA, May RM. Virus Dynamics: Mathematical Principles of Immunology and Virology. Oxford University Press, 2000.
58. Xiao Y, Miao H, Tang S, et al. Modeling antiretroviral drug responses for HIV-1 infected patients using differential equation models. Adv. Drug. Deliv. Rev., 2013; 65(7), 940–953. doi: 10.1016/j.addr.2013.04.005 PMID: 23603208
59. Hei FX, Wang L, Qin QQ, Guo W, Li DM, Ding ZW. Epidemic characteristics of HIV/AIDS among men who have sex with men from 2006 to 2010 in China. Zhonghua liu xing bing xue za zhi, 2012; 33, 67–70. PMID: 22575114
60. Diekmann O, Heesterbeek JAP, Metz JA. On the definition and the computation of the basic reproduction ratio R 0 in models for infectious diseases in heterogeneous populations. J. Math. Biol., 1990; 28(4), 365–382. doi: 10.1007/BF00178324 PMID: 2117040
61. Sun X, Xiao Y, Peng Z, Wang N. Modelling HIV/AIDS epidemic among men who have sex with men in China. BioMed Res. Int. 2013. (2013: ), 413260. doi: 10.1155/2013/413260 PMID: 24195071
62. Nishiura H. Correcting the actual reproduction number: a simple method to estimate R0 from early epidemic growth data. Int. J. Env. Res. Pub. He., 2010; 7(1), 291–302. doi: 10.3390/ijerph7010291
63. Lou J, Blevins m, Ruan Y, Vermund SH, Tang S, Webb GF, et al. Modeling the impact on HIV incidence of combination prevention strategies among men who have sex with men in Beijing, China. PloS one, 2014; 9(3), e90985. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0090985 PMID: 24626165
64. Xiao Y, Tang S, Zhou Y, Smith RJ, Wu J, Wang N. Predicting the HIV/AIDS epidemic and measuring the effect of mobility in mainland China. J. Theor. Biol., 2013, 317, 271–285. doi: 10.1016/j.jtbi.2012.09.037 PMID: 23063617
65. Xu X, Xiao Y, Wang N. Modeling sexual transmission of HIV/AIDS in Jiangsu province, China. Math. Method. Appl. Sci., 2013; 36, 234–248. doi: 10.1002/mma.2599