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Abstract. We develop and extend the theory of Mackey functors as an application of enriched category theory. We define Mackey functors on a lextensive category $\mathcal{E}$ and investigate the properties of the category of Mackey functors on $\mathcal{E}$. We show that it is a monoidal category and the monoids are Green functors. Mackey functors are seen as providing a setting in which mere numerical equations occurring in the theory of groups can be given a structural foundation. We obtain an explicit description of the objects of the Cauchy completion of a monoidal functor and apply this to examine Morita equivalence of Green functors.

1. Introduction

Groups are used to mathematically understand symmetry in nature and in mathematics itself. Classically, groups were studied either directly or via their representations. In the last 40 years, arising from the latter, groups have been studied using Mackey functors.

Let $k$ be a field. Let $\text{Rep}(G) = \text{Rep}_k(G)$ be the category of $k$-linear representations of the finite group $G$. We will study the structure of a monoidal category $\text{Mky}(G)$ where the objects are called Mackey functors. This provides an extension of ordinary representation theory. For example, $\text{Rep}(G)$ can be regarded as a full reflective sub-category of $\text{Mky}(G)$; the reflection is strong monoidal (= tensor preserving). Representations of $G$ are equally representations of the group algebra $kG$; Mackey functors can be regarded as representations of the "Mackey algebra" constructed from $G$. While $\text{Rep}(G)$ is compact closed (= autonomous monoidal), we are only able to show that $\text{Mky}(G)$ is star-autonomous in the sense of [Ba].

Mackey functors and Green functors (which are monoids in $\text{Mky}(G)$) have been studied fairly extensively. They provide a setting in which mere numerical equations occurring in group theory can be given a structural foundation. One application has been to provide relations between $\lambda$- and $\mu$-invariants in Iwasawa theory and between Mordell-Weil groups, Shafarevich-Tate groups, Selmer groups and $\zeta$ functions of elliptic curves (see [BB]).

Our purpose is to give the theory of Mackey functors a categorical simplification and generalization. We speak of Mackey functors on a compact (= rigid = autonomous) closed category $\mathcal{F}$. However, when $\mathcal{F}$ is the category $\text{Spn}(\mathcal{E})$ of spans in a lextensive category $\mathcal{E}$, we speak of Mackey functors on $\mathcal{E}$. Further, when $\mathcal{E}$ is the category (topos) of finite $G$-sets, we speak of Mackey functors on $G$.
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Sections 2 set the stage for Lindner’s result [Li1] that Mackey functors, a concept going back at least as far as [Gr], [Dr] and [Di] in group representation theory, can be regarded as functors out of the category of spans in a suitable category $\mathcal{E}$. The important property of the category of spans is that it is compact closed. So, in Section 5, we look at the category $\text{Mky}$ of additive functors from a general compact closed category $\mathcal{T}$ (with direct sums) to the category of $k$-modules. The convolution monoidal structure on $\text{Mky}$ is described; this general construction (due to Day [Da1]) agrees with the usual tensor product of Mackey functors appearing, for example, in [Bo1]. In fact, again for general reasons, $\text{Mky}$ is a closed category; the internal hom is described in Section 6. Various convolution structures have been studied by Lewis [Le] in the context of Mackey functors for compact Lie groups mainly to provide counter examples to familiar behaviour.

Green functors are introduced in Section 7 as the monoids in $\text{Mky}$. An easy construction, due to Dress [Dr], which creates new Mackey functors from a given one, is described in Section 8. We use the (lax) centre construction for monoidal categories to explain results of [Bo2] and [Bo3] about when the Dress construction yields a Green functor.

In Section 9 we apply the work of [Da4] to show that finite-dimensional Mackey functors form a $\ast$-autonomous full sub-monoidal category $\text{Mky}_{\text{fin}}$ of $\text{Mky}$.

Section 11 is rather speculative about what the correct notion of Mackey functor should be for quantum groups.

Our approach to Morita theory for Green functors involves even more serious use of enriched category theory: especially the theory of (two-sided) modules. So Section 12 reviews this theory of modules and Section 13 adapts it to our context. Two Green functors are Morita equivalent when their $\text{Mky}$-enriched categories of modules are equivalent, and this happens, by the general theory, when the $\text{Mky}$-enriched categories of Cauchy modules are equivalent. Section 14 provides a characterization of Cauchy modules.

2. The compact closed category $\text{Spn}(\mathcal{E})$

Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a finitely complete category. Then the category $\text{Spn}(\mathcal{E})$ can be defined as follows. The objects are the objects of the category $\mathcal{E}$ and morphisms $U \longrightarrow V$ are the isomorphism classes of spans from $U$ to $V$ in the bicategory of spans in $\mathcal{E}$ in the sense of [Bd]. (Some properties of this bicategory can be found in [CKS].) A span from $U$ to $V$, in the sense of [Bd], is a diagram of two morphisms with a common domain $S$, as in

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
S & \to & V \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
U & \to & S
\end{array}
$$

$$
(s_1, S, s_2)
\begin{array}{ccc}
S & \to & V \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
U & \to & S
\end{array}
$$
An isomorphism of two spans \((s_1, S, s_2) : U \rightarrow V\) and \((s'_1, S', s'_2) : U \rightarrow V\) is an invertible arrow \(h : S \rightarrow S'\) such that \(s_1 = s'_1 \circ h\) and \(s_2 = s'_2 \circ h\).

\[
\begin{array}{c}
S \\
\downarrow h \\
S' \\
\uparrow S \\
U \\
\downarrow s_1 \\
S \\
\uparrow s_1 \\
S' \\
\downarrow s'_1 \\
V \\
\downarrow s_2 \\
S \\
\uparrow s_2 \\
S' \\
\downarrow s'_2 \\
V \\
\end{array}
\]

The composite of two spans \((s_1, S, s_2) : U \rightarrow V\) and \((t_1, T, t_2) : V \rightarrow W\) is defined to be \((s_1 \circ \text{proj}_1, T \circ S, t_2 \circ \text{proj}_2) : U \rightarrow W\) using the pull-back diagram as in

\[
\begin{array}{c}
S \\
\downarrow \text{proj}_1 \\
S \\
\uparrow \text{proj}_2 \\
S \\
\downarrow S \\
U \\
\downarrow s_1 \\
S \\
\uparrow s_1 \\
S \\
\downarrow s_2 \\
T \\
\downarrow t_1 \\
S \\
\uparrow s_2 \\
S \\
\downarrow t_2 \\
W \\
\end{array}
\]

This is well defined since the pull-back is unique up to isomorphism. The identity span \((1, U, 1) : U \rightarrow U\) is defined by

\[
\begin{array}{c}
U \\
\downarrow 1 \\
U \\
\downarrow 1 \\
U \\
\end{array}
\]

since the composite of it with a span \((s_1, S, s_2) : U \rightarrow V\) is given by the following diagram and is equal to the span \((s_1, S, s_2) : U \rightarrow V\)

\[
\begin{array}{c}
S \\
\downarrow \text{proj}_1 \\
S \\
\uparrow \text{proj}_2 \\
S \\
\downarrow S \\
U \\
\downarrow s_1 \\
S \\
\uparrow s_1 \\
S \\
\downarrow s_2 \\
U \\
\end{array}
\]

This defines the category \(\text{Spn}(\mathcal{E})\). We can write \(\text{Spn}(\mathcal{E})(U, V) \cong [\mathcal{E}/(U \times V)]\) where square brackets denote the isomorphism classes of morphisms.

\(\text{Spn}(\mathcal{E})\) becomes a monoidal category under the tensor product \(\text{Spn}(\mathcal{E}) \times \text{Spn}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \text{Spn}(\mathcal{E})\) defined by

\(\begin{array}{c}
(U, V) \\
\downarrow U \times V \\
[U \times V, U \times V'] \\
\end{array}\)

\([U \xrightarrow{S} U', V \xrightarrow{T} V'][U \times V \xrightarrow{S \times T} U' \times V']\).

This uses the cartesian product in \(\mathcal{E}\) yet is not the cartesian product in \(\text{Spn}(\mathcal{E})\). It is also compact closed; in fact, we have the following isomorphisms: \(\text{Spn}(\mathcal{E})(U, V) \cong\)
\textbf{Spn}(\mathcal{E})(V, U) \text{ and } \textbf{Spn}(\mathcal{E})(U \times V, W) \cong \textbf{Spn}(\mathcal{E})(U, V \times W). \text{ The second isomorphism can be shown by the following diagram}
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\section{Direct sums in \textbf{Spn}(\mathcal{E})}

Now we assume \mathcal{E} is lextensive. References for this notion are \cite{Sc}, \cite{CLW}, and \cite{CL}. A category \mathcal{E} is called lextensive when it has finite limits and finite coproducts such that the functor

$$\mathcal{E}/A \times \mathcal{E}/B \rightarrow \mathcal{E}/A + B; \begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
X \\
Y
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
f \\
g
\end{array}
\rightarrow \begin{array}{c}
X + Y \\
A + B
\end{array}
\end{array}$$

is an equivalence of categories for all objects \(A\) and \(B\). In a lextensive category, coproducts are disjoint and universal and \(0\) is strictly initial. Also we have that the canonical morphism

\[(A \times B) + (A \times C) \rightarrow A \times (B + C)\]

is invertible. It follows that \(A \times 0 \cong 0\).

In \textbf{Spn}(\mathcal{E}) the object \(U + V\) is the direct sum of \(U\) and \(V\). This can be shown as follows (where we use lextensivity):

\[
\textbf{Spn}(\mathcal{E})(U + V, W) \cong [\mathcal{E}/((U + V) \times W)] \\
\cong [\mathcal{E}/((U \times W) + (V \times W))] \\
\cong [\mathcal{E}/(U \times W)] \times [\mathcal{E}/(V \times W)] \\
\cong \textbf{Spn}(\mathcal{E})(U, W) \times \textbf{Spn}(\mathcal{E})(V, W);
\]

and so \textbf{Spn}(\mathcal{E})(W, U + V) \cong \textbf{Spn}(\mathcal{E})(W, U) \times \textbf{Spn}(\mathcal{E})(W, V). Also in the category \textbf{Spn}(\mathcal{E}), \(0\) is the zero object (both initial and terminal):

\[
\textbf{Spn}(\mathcal{E})(0, X) \cong [\mathcal{E}/(0 \times X)] \cong [\mathcal{E}/0] \cong 1
\]

and so \textbf{Spn}(\mathcal{E})(X, 0) \cong 1. It follows that \textbf{Spn}(\mathcal{E}) is a category with homs enriched in commutative monoids.

The addition of two spans \((s_1, S, s_2) : U \rightarrow V\) and \((t_1, T, t_2) : U \rightarrow V\) is given by \((\nabla \circ (s_1 + t_1), S + T, \nabla \circ (s_2 + t_2)) : U \rightarrow V\) as in
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Summarizing, \textbf{Spn}(\mathcal{E}) is a monoidal commutative-monoid-enriched category.
There are functors $(-)_*: \mathcal{E} \to \text{Spn}(\mathcal{E})$ and $(-)^*: \mathcal{E}^{\text{op}} \to \text{Spn}(\mathcal{E})$ which are the identity on objects and take $f: U \to V$ to $f_* = (1_U, U, f)$ and $f^* = (f, U, 1_U)$, respectively.

For any two arrows $U \xrightarrow{f} V \xrightarrow{g} W$ in $\mathcal{E}$, we have $(g \circ f)_* \cong g_* \circ f_*$ as we see from the following diagram

Similarly $(g \circ f)^* \cong f^* \circ g^*$.

4. Mackey functors on $\mathcal{E}$

A Mackey functor $M$ from $\mathcal{E}$ to the category $\text{Mod}_k$ of $k$-modules consists of two functors

$$M_*: \mathcal{E} \to \text{Mod}_k, \quad M^*: \mathcal{E}^{\text{op}} \to \text{Mod}_k$$

such that:

1. $M_*(U) = M^*(U) = M(U)$ for all $U$ in $\mathcal{E}$
2. for all pullbacks

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
P & \xrightarrow{g} & V \\
p & & \downarrow s \\
U & \xrightarrow{r} & W
\end{array}
\]

in $\mathcal{E}$, the square (which we call a Mackey square)

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
M(P) & \xrightarrow{M_*(g)} & M(V) \\
M^*(p) & & \downarrow M^*(s) \\
M(U) & \xrightarrow{M_*(r)} & M(W)
\end{array}
\]

commutes, and
3. for all coproduct diagrams

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
U & \xrightarrow{i} & U + V & \xrightarrow{j} & V
\end{array}
\]

in $\mathcal{E}$, the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
M(U) & \xrightarrow{M^*(i)} & M(U + V) & \xrightarrow{M^*(j)} & M(V) \\
M_*(i) & & \downarrow & & \downarrow M_*(j)
\end{array}
\]

is a direct sum situation in $\text{Mod}_k$. (This implies $M(U + V) \cong M(U) \oplus M(V)$.)
A morphism $\theta : M \rightarrow N$ of Mackey functors is a family of morphisms $\theta_U : M(U) \rightarrow N(U)$ for $U$ in $\mathcal{E}$ which defines natural transformations $\theta_* : M_* \rightarrow N_*$ and $\theta^* : M^* \rightarrow N^*$.

**Proposition 4.1.** (Lindner [Li1]) The category $\text{Mky}(\mathcal{E}, \text{Mod}_k)$ of Mackey functors, from a lextensive category $\mathcal{E}$ to the category $\text{Mod}_k$ of $k$-modules, is equivalent to $[\text{Spn}(\mathcal{E}), \text{Mod}_k]_+$, the category of coproduct-preserving functors.

**Proof.** Let $M$ be a Mackey functor from $\mathcal{E}$ to $\text{Mod}_k$. Then we have a pair $(M_*, M^*)$ such that $M_* : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_k$, $M^* : \mathcal{E}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_k$ and $M(U) = M_*(U) = M^*(U)$. Now define a functor $M : \text{Spn}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_k$ by $M(U) = M_*(U) = M^*(U)$ and

$$
M \left( \begin{array}{cc}
S \\
\downarrow h \\
U
\end{array} \right) = \left( M(U) \xrightarrow{M^*(s_1)} M(S) \xrightarrow{M_*(s_2)} M(V) \right).
$$

We need to see that $M$ is well-defined. If $h : S \rightarrow S'$ is an isomorphism, then the following diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
S' & \xrightarrow{1} & S' \\
\downarrow h^{-1} & & \downarrow 1 \\
S & \xrightarrow{h} & S'
\end{array}
$$

is a pull back diagram. Therefore $M^*(h^{-1}) = M_*(h)$ and $M_*(h^{-1}) = M^*(h)$. This gives, $M_*(h)^{-1} = M^*(h)$. So if $h : (s_1, S, s_2) \rightarrow (s'_1, S', s'_2)$ is an isomorphism of spans, we have the following commutative diagram.

Therefore we get

$$
M_*(s_2)M^*(s_1) = M_*(s'_2)M^*(s'_1).
$$
From this definition $M$ becomes a functor, since

$$
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
M \\
\downarrow \\
U & \xrightarrow{1} & U \\
S & \xrightarrow{\text{pb}} & T \\
V & \xrightarrow{1} & W \\
\end{array}
\end{array}
\Rightarrow
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
M(U) & \xrightarrow{1} & M(U) \\
M(U) & \xrightarrow{1} & M(U) \\
\end{array}
\end{array}
\Rightarrow
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
1 : M(U) \xrightarrow{1} M(U) \\
\end{array}
\end{array}
$$

where $P = S \times T$ and $p_1$ and $p_2$ are the projections 1 and 2 respectively, so that

$$M((t_1, T, t_2) \circ (s_1, S, s_2)) = M(t_1, T, t_2) \circ M(s_1, S, s_2).$$

The value of $M$ at the identity span $(1, U, 1) : U \to U$ is given by

$$
M(U) \xrightarrow{1} M(U) \xrightarrow{1} M(U)
$$

Condition (3) on the Mackey functor clearly is equivalent to the requirement that $M : \text{Spn}(\mathcal{C}) \to \text{Mod}_k$ should preserve coproducts.

Conversely, let $M : \text{Spn}(\mathcal{C}) \to \text{Mod}_k$ be a functor. Then we can define two functors $M_*$ and $M^*$, referring to the diagram

$$
\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{(-)_*} \text{Spn}(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{M} \text{Mod}_k,
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{(-)^*} \text{Spn}(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{M} \text{Mod}_k
\end{array}
$$

by putting $M_* = M \circ (-)_*$ and $M^* = M \circ (-)^*$. The Mackey square is obtained by using the functoriality of $M$ on the composite span

$$s^* \circ r_* = (p, P, q) = q_* \circ p^*.$$  

The remaining details are routine. □

5. Tensor product of Mackey functors

We now work with a general compact closed category $\mathcal{T}$ with finite products. It follows (see [Ho]) that $\mathcal{T}$ has direct sums and therefore that $\mathcal{T}$ is enriched in the monoidal category $\mathcal{V}$ of commutative monoids. We write $\otimes$ for the tensor product in $\mathcal{T}$, write $I$ for the unit, and write $(-)^*$ for the dual. The main example we have in mind is $\text{Spn}(\mathcal{C})$ as in the last section where $\otimes = \times, I = 1$, and $V^* = V$. A Mackey functor on $\mathcal{T}$ is an additive functor $M : \mathcal{T} \to \text{Mod}_k$.

Let us review the monoidal structure on the category $\mathcal{V}$ of commutative monoids; the binary operation of the monoids will be written additively. It is monoidal closed. For $A, B \in \mathcal{V}$, the commutative monoid

$$[A, B] = \{ f : A \to B \mid f \text{ is a commutative monoid morphism} \},$$
with pointwise addition, provides the internal hom and there is a tensor product $A \otimes B$ satisfying

$$\mathcal{V}(A \otimes B, C) \cong \mathcal{V}(A, [B, C]).$$

The construction of the tensor product is as follows. The free commutative monoid $FS$ on a set $S$ is

$$FS = \{ u : S \to \mathbb{N} \mid u(s) = 0 \text{ for all but a finite number of } s \in S \} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^S.$$  
For any $A, B \in \mathcal{V}$,

$$A \otimes B = \left( F(A \times B)/(a + a', b) \sim (a, b) + (a', b), (a, b + b') \sim (a, b) + (a, b') \right).$$

We regard $\mathcal{F}$ and $\text{Mod}_k$ as $\mathcal{V}$-categories. Every $\mathcal{V}$-functor $\mathcal{F} \to \text{Mod}_k$ preserves finite direct sums. So $[\mathcal{F}, \text{Mod}_k]^+$ is the $\mathcal{V}$-category of $\mathcal{V}$-functors.

For each $V \in \mathcal{V}$ and $X$ an object of a $\mathcal{V}$-category $\mathcal{C}$, we write $V \otimes X$ for the object (when it exists) satisfying

$$\mathcal{V}(V \otimes X, Y) \cong [V, \mathcal{V}(X, Y)]$$
$\mathcal{V}$-naturally in $Y$. Also the coend we use is in the $\mathcal{V}$-enriched sense: for the functor $T : \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \otimes \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{F}$, we have a coequalizer

$$\sum_{V,W} \mathcal{C}(V, W) \otimes T(W, V) \to \sum_{V} T(V, V) \to \int^V T(V, V)$$
when the coproducts and tensors exist.

The tensor product of Mackey functors can be defined by convolution (in the sense of [Da1]) in $[\mathcal{F}, \text{Mod}_k]^+$ since $\mathcal{F}$ is a monoidal category. For Mackey functors $M$ and $N$, the tensor product $M \ast N$ can be written as follows:

$$(M \ast N)(Z) = \int^{X,Y} \mathcal{F}(X \otimes Y, Z) \otimes M(X) \otimes_k N(Y)$$

$$\cong \int^{X,Y} \mathcal{F}(Y, X^* \otimes Z) \otimes M(X) \otimes_k N(Y)$$

$$\cong \int^{X} M(X) \otimes_k N(X^* \otimes Z)$$

$$\cong \int^{Y} M(Z \otimes Y^*) \otimes_k N(Y).$$

the last two isomorphisms are given by the Yoneda lemma.

The **Burnside functor** $J$ is defined to be the Mackey functor on $\mathcal{F}$ taking an object $U$ of $\mathcal{F}$ to the free $k$-module on $\mathcal{F}(I, U)$. The Burnside functor is the unit for the tensor product of the category $\text{Mky}$.

This convolution satisfies the necessary commutative and associative conditions for a symmetric monoidal category (see [Da1]). $[\mathcal{F}, \text{Mod}_k]^+$ is also an abelian category (see [Fe]).

When $\mathcal{F}$ and $k$ are understood, we simply write $\text{Mky}$ for this category $[\mathcal{F}, \text{Mod}_k]^+$. 
6. The Hom functor

We now make explicit the closed structure on \( \text{Mky} \). The Hom Mackey functor is defined by taking its value at the Mackey functors \( M \) and \( N \) to be

\[
\text{Hom}(M, N)(V) = \text{Mky}(M(V^* \otimes -), N),
\]
functorially in \( V \). To see that this hom has the usual universal property with respect to tensor, notice that we have the natural bijections below (represented by horizontal lines).

\[
\begin{align*}
(L * M)(U) & \rightarrow N(U) \text{ natural in } U \\
L(V) \otimes_k M(V^* \otimes U) & \rightarrow N(U) \text{ natural in } U \text{ and dinatural in } V \\
L(V) & \rightarrow \text{Hom}_k(M(V^* \otimes U), N(U)) \text{ dinatural in } U \text{ and natural in } V \\
L(V) & \rightarrow \int_U \text{Hom}_k(M(V^* \otimes U), N(U)) \text{ natural in } V \\
L(V) & \rightarrow \text{Mky}(M(V^* \otimes -), N) \text{ natural in } V
\end{align*}
\]

We can obtain another expression for the hom using the isomorphism

\[
\mathcal{F}(V \otimes U, W) \cong \mathcal{F}(U, V^* \otimes W)
\]

which shows that we have adjoint functors

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{F} & \downarrow & \mathcal{F} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
V^* \otimes - & \rightarrow & V \otimes -
\end{array}
\]

Since \( M \) and \( N \) are Mackey functors on \( \mathcal{F} \), we obtain a diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{F} & \downarrow & \mathcal{F} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
V^* \otimes - & \rightarrow & V \otimes -
\end{array}
\]

and an equivalence of natural transformations

\[
M \Rightarrow N(V \otimes -) \\
M(V^* \otimes -) \Rightarrow N.
\]

Therefore, the Hom Mackey functor is also given by

\[
\text{Hom}(M, N)(V) = \text{Mky}(M, N(V \otimes -)).
\]

7. Green functors

A Green functor \( A \) on \( \mathcal{F} \) is a Mackey functor (that is, a coproduct preserving functor \( A : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_k \)) equipped with a monoidal structure made up of a natural transformation

\[
\mu : A(U) \otimes_k A(V) \rightarrow A(U \otimes V),
\]

for which we use the notation \( \mu(a \otimes b) = a.b \) for \( a \in A(U), \ b \in A(V) \), and a morphism

\[
\eta : k \rightarrow A(1),
\]
whose value at $1 \in k$ we denote by 1. Green functors are the monoids in $\text{Mky}$. If $A, B : \mathcal{T} \to \text{Mod}_k$ are Green functors then we have an isomorphism

$$\text{Mky}(A * B, C) \cong \text{Nat}_{U,V}(A(U) \otimes_k B(V), C(U \otimes V)).$$

Referring to the square

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{T} & \xrightarrow{\text{A} \otimes \text{B}} & \text{Mod}_k \otimes \text{Mod}_k \\
\otimes & & \downarrow \otimes_k \\
\mathcal{T} & \xrightarrow{\text{C}} & \text{Mod}_k \\
\end{array}
$$

we write this more precisely as

$$\text{Mky}(A * B, C) \cong [\mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{T}, \text{Mod}_k](\otimes_k \circ (A \otimes B), C \circ \otimes).$$

The Burnside functor $J$ and $\text{Hom}(A, A)$ (for any Mackey functor $A$) are monoids in $\text{Mky}$ and so are Green functors.

We denote by $\text{Grn}(\mathcal{T}, \text{Mod}_k)$ the category of Green functors on $\mathcal{T}$. When $\mathcal{T}$ and $k$ are understood, we simply write this as $\text{Grn}(= \text{Mon(Mky)})$ consisting of the monoids in $\text{Mky}$.

8. Dress construction

The Dress construction ([Bo2], [Bo3]) provides a family of endofunctors $D(Y, -)$ of the category $\text{Mky}$, indexed by the objects $Y$ of $\mathcal{T}$. The Mackey functor defined as the composite

$$\mathcal{T} \xrightarrow{\otimes Y} \mathcal{T} \xrightarrow{M} \text{Mod}_k$$

is denoted by $M_Y$ for $M \in \text{Mky}$; so $M_Y(U) = M(U \otimes Y)$. We then define the Dress construction

$$D : \mathcal{T} \otimes \text{Mky} \to \text{Mky}$$

by $D(Y, M) = M_Y$. The $\mathcal{V}$-category $\mathcal{T} \otimes \text{Mky}$ is monoidal via the pointwise structure:

$$(X, M) \otimes (Y, N) = (X \otimes Y, M * N).$$

**Proposition 8.1.** The Dress construction

$$D : \mathcal{T} \otimes \text{Mky} \to \text{Mky}$$

is a strong monoidal $\mathcal{V}$-functor.
Proof. We need to show that $D((X, M) \otimes (Y, N)) \cong D(X, M) \ast D(Y, N);$ that is, $M_X \ast M_Y \cong (M \ast N)_{X \otimes Y}$. For this we have the calculation

$$
(M_X \ast N_Y)(Z) \cong \int^U M_X(U) \otimes_k N_Y(U^* \otimes Z)
$$

$$
\cong \int^U M(U \otimes X) \otimes_k N(U^* \otimes Z \otimes Y)
$$

$$
\cong \int^{U, V} \mathcal{T}(V, U \otimes X) \otimes M(V) \otimes_k N(U^* \otimes Z \otimes Y)
$$

$$
\cong \int^{U, V} \mathcal{T}(V \otimes X^*, U) \otimes M(V) \otimes_k N(U^* \otimes Z \otimes Y)
$$

$$
\cong \int^V M(V) \otimes_k N(V^* \otimes X \otimes Z \otimes Y)
$$

$$
\cong (M \ast N)(Z \otimes X \otimes Y)
$$

$$
\cong (M \ast N)_{X \otimes Y}(Z).
$$

Clearly we have $D(I, J) \cong J$. The coherence conditions are readily checked. □

We shall analyse this situation more fully in Remark 8.5 below.

We are interested, after [Bo2], in when the Dress construction induces a family of endofunctors on the category $\text{Grn}$ of Green functors. That is to say, when is there a natural structure of Green functor on $A_Y = D(Y, A)$ if $A$ is a Green functor? Since $A_Y$ is the composite

$$
\mathcal{T} \otimes_Y \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow \text{Mod}_k
$$

with $A$ monoidal, what we require is that $- \otimes Y$ should be monoidal (since monoidal functors compose). For this we use Theorem 3.7 of [DPS]:

if $Y$ is a monoid in the lax centre $Z_l(\mathcal{T})$ of $\mathcal{T}$ then $- \otimes Y : \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}$ is canonically monoidal.

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a monoidal category. The lax centre $Z_l(\mathcal{C})$ of $\mathcal{C}$ is defined to have objects the pairs $(A, u)$ where $A$ is an object of $\mathcal{C}$ and $u$ is a natural family of morphisms $u_B : A \otimes B \longrightarrow B \otimes A$ such that the following two diagrams commute:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
A \otimes B \otimes C & \xrightarrow{u_B \otimes C} & B \otimes C \otimes A \\
\downarrow u_B \otimes 1_C & & \downarrow 1_B \otimes u_C \\
B \otimes A \otimes C & \xrightarrow{1_B \otimes u_C} & A
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
A \otimes I & \xrightarrow{u_I} & I \otimes A \\
\downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\
A & \cong & A
\end{array}
$$

Morphisms of $Z_l(\mathcal{C})$ are morphisms in $\mathcal{C}$ compatible with the $u$. The tensor product is defined by

$$(A, u) \otimes (B, v) = (A \otimes B, w)$$

where $w_C = (u_C \otimes 1_B) \circ (1_A \otimes v_C)$. The centre $Z(\mathcal{C})$ of $\mathcal{C}$ consists of the objects $(A, u)$ of $Z_l(\mathcal{C})$ with each $u_B$ invertible.

It is pointed out in [DPS] that, when $\mathcal{C}$ is cartesian monoidal, an object of $Z_l(\mathcal{C})$ is merely an object $A$ of $\mathcal{C}$ together with a natural family $A \times X \longrightarrow X$. Then we have the natural bijections below (represented by horizontal lines) for $\mathcal{C}$ cartesian
closed:

\[
\begin{align*}
A \times X & \longrightarrow X \text{ natural in } X \\
A & \longrightarrow [X, X] \text{ dinatural in } X \\
A & \longrightarrow \int_X [X, X] \text{ in } \mathcal{C}.
\end{align*}
\]

Therefore we obtain an equivalence \( \mathcal{Z}_l(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathcal{C} / \int_X [X, X] \).

The internal hom in \( \mathcal{C} \), the category of finite \( G \)-sets for the finite group \( G \), is \([X, Y]\) which is the set of functions \( r : X \longrightarrow Y \) with \((g.r)(x) = gr(g^{-1}x)\). The \( G \)-set \( \int_X [X, X] \) is defined by:

\[
\int_X [X, X] = \left\{ r = (r_X : X \longrightarrow X) \mid f \circ r_X = r_Y \circ f \text{ for all } G\text{-maps } f : X \longrightarrow Y \right\}
\]

with \((g.r)_X(x) = gr_X(g^{-1}x)\).

**Lemma 8.2.** The \( G \)-set \( \int_X [X, X] \) is isomorphic to \( G_c \), which is the set \( G \) made a \( G \)-set by conjugation action.

**Proof.** Take \( r \in \int_X [X, X] \). Then we have the commutative square

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
G & \xrightarrow{r_G} & G \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
X & \xrightarrow{r_X} & X
\end{array}
\]

where \( \hat{x}(g) = gx \) for \( x \in X \). So we see that \( r_X \) is determined by \( r_G(1) \) and

\[
(g.r)_G(1) = gr_G(g^{-1}1) \\
= gr_G(g^{-1}) \\
= gr_G(1)g^{-1}.
\]

\( \square \)

As a consequence of this Lemma, we have \( \mathcal{Z}_l(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathcal{C} / G_c \) where \( \mathcal{C} / G_c \) is the category of crossed \( G \)-sets of Freyd-Yetter ([FY1], [FY2]) who showed that \( \mathcal{C} / G_c \) is a braided monoidal category. Objects are pairs \((X, | |)\) where \( X \) is a \( G \)-set and \(| | : X \longrightarrow G_c \) is a \( G \)-set morphism ("equivariant function") meaning \(|gx| = g|x|g^{-1}\) for \( g \in G, x \in X \). The morphisms \( f : (X, | |) \longrightarrow (Y, | |) \) are functions \( f \) such that the following diagram commutes.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
| | & \xrightarrow{G_c} & | |
\end{array}
\]

That is, \( f(gx) = gf(x) \).

Tensor product is defined by

\[
(X, | |) \otimes (Y, | |) = (X \times Y, ||),
\]

where \(||(x, y)|| = |x||y|\).
Proposition 8.3. \cite{DPS} Theorem 4.5] The centre $Z(\mathcal{E})$ of the category $\mathcal{E}$ is equivalent to the category $\mathcal{E}/G_c$ of crossed $G$-sets.

Proof. We have a fully faithful functor $Z(\mathcal{E}) \to Z_0(\mathcal{E})$ and so $Z(\mathcal{E}) \to \mathcal{E}/G_c$. On the other hand, let $|\cdot|: A \to G_c$ be an object of $\mathcal{E}/G_c$; so $|g|a = g|a|$. Then the corresponding object of $Z_0(\mathcal{E})$ is $(A, u)$ where $u_X: A \times X \to X \times A$

with $u_X(a, x) = (|a|x, a)$.

However this $u$ is invertible since we see that $u^{-1}_X(x, a) = (a, |a|^{-1}x)$.

This proves the proposition. □

Theorem 8.4. \cite{Bo3, Bo2} If $Y$ is a monoid in $\mathcal{E}/G_c$ and $A$ is a Green functor for $\mathcal{E}$ over $k$ then $A_Y$ is a Green functor for $\mathcal{E}$ over $k$, where $A_Y(\cdot) = A(\cdot \times Y)$.

Proof. We have $Z(\mathcal{E}) \simeq \mathcal{E}/G_c$, so $Y$ is a monoid in $Z(\mathcal{E})$. This implies $-\times Y : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{E}$ is a monoidal functor (see Theorem 3.7 of \cite{DPS}). It also preserves pullbacks. So $-\times Y : \text{Spn}(\mathcal{E}) \to \text{Spn}(\mathcal{E})$ is a monoidal functor. If $A$ is a Green functor for $\mathcal{E}$ over $k$ then $A : \text{Spn}(\mathcal{E}) \to \text{Mod}_k$ is monoidal. Then we get $A_Y = A \circ (-\times Y) : \text{Spn}(\mathcal{E}) \to \text{Mod}_k$ is monoidal and $A_Y$ is indeed a Green functor for $\mathcal{E}$ over $k$. □

Remark 8.5. The reader may have noted that Proposition 8.1 implies that $D$ takes monoids to monoids. A monoid in $\mathcal{T} \otimes \text{Mky}$ is a pair $(Y, A)$ where $Y$ is a monoid in $\mathcal{T}$ and $A$ is a Green functor; so in this case, we have that $A_Y$ is a Green functor.

A monoid $Y$ in $\mathcal{E}$ is certainly a monoid in $\mathcal{T}$. Since $\mathcal{E}$ is cartesian monoidal (and so symmetric), each monoid in $\mathcal{E}$ gives one in the centre. However, not every monoid in the centre arises in this way. The full result behind Proposition 8.1 and the centre situation is: the Dress construction

$$D : Z(\mathcal{T}) \otimes \text{Mky} \to \text{Mky}$$

is a strong monoidal $\mathcal{V}$-functor; it is merely monoidal when the centre is replaced by the lax centre.

It follows that $A_Y$ is a Green functor whenever $A$ is a Green functor and $Y$ is a monoid in the lax centre of $\mathcal{T}$.

9. Finite dimensional Mackey functors

We make the following further assumptions on the symmetric compact closed category $\mathcal{T}$ with finite direct sums:

- there is a finite set $\mathcal{C}$ of objects of $\mathcal{T}$ such that every object $X$ of $\mathcal{T}$ can be written as a direct sum

$$X \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n C_i$$

with $C_i$ in $\mathcal{C}$; and

- each hom $\mathcal{T}(X,Y)$ is a finitely generated commutative monoid.
Notice that these assumptions hold when $\mathcal{F} = \text{Spn}(\mathcal{E})$ where $\mathcal{E}$ is the category of finite $G$-sets for a finite group $G$. In this case we can take $\mathcal{C}$ to consist of a representative set of connected (transitive) $G$-sets. Moreover, the spans $S : X \to Y$ with $S \in \mathcal{C}$ generate the monoid $\mathcal{T}(X, Y)$.

We also fix $k$ to be a field and write $\text{Vect}$ in place of $\text{Mod}_k$.

A Mackey functor $M : \mathcal{F} \to \text{Vect}$ is called finite dimensional when each $M(X)$ is a finite-dimensional vector space. Write $\text{Mky}_\text{fin}$ for the full subcategory of $\text{Mky}$ consisting of these.

We regard $\mathcal{C}$ as a full subcategory of $\mathcal{F}$. The inclusion functor $\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{F}$ is dense and the density colimit presentation is preserved by all additive $M : \mathcal{F} \to \text{Vect}$. This is shown as follows:

\[
\int^C \mathcal{F}(C, X) \otimes M(C) \cong \int^C \mathcal{F}(C, \bigoplus_{i=1}^n C_i) \otimes M(C) \\
\cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \int^C \mathcal{F}(C, C_i) \otimes M(C) \\
\cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{E}(C, C_i) \otimes M(C) \\
\cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n M(C_i) \\
\cong M(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n C_i) \\
\cong M(X).
\]

That is,

\[
M \cong \int^C \mathcal{F}(C, -) \otimes M(C).
\]

**Proposition 9.1.** The tensor product of finite-dimensional Mackey functors is finite dimensional.

**Proof.** Using the last isomorphism, we have

\[
(M \ast N)(Z) = \int^{X,Y} \mathcal{F}(X \otimes Y, Z) \otimes M(X) \otimes_k N(Y) \\
\cong \int^{X,Y,C,D} \mathcal{F}(X \otimes Y, Z) \otimes \mathcal{F}(C, X) \otimes \mathcal{F}(D, Y) \otimes M(C) \otimes_k N(D) \\
\cong \int^{C,D} \mathcal{F}(C \otimes D, Z) \otimes M(C) \otimes_k N(D).
\]

If $M$ and $N$ are finite dimensional then so is the vector space $\mathcal{F}(C \otimes D, Z) \otimes M(C) \otimes_k N(D)$ (since $\mathcal{F}(C \otimes D, Z)$ is finitely generated). Also the coend is a quotient of a finite direct sum. So $M \ast N$ is finite dimensional. □

It follows that $\text{Mky}_\text{fin}$ is a monoidal subcategory of $\text{Mky}$ (since the Burnside functor $J$ is certainly finite dimensional under our assumptions on $\mathcal{F}$).
The promonoidal structure on $\text{Mky}_{\text{fin}}$ represented by this monoidal structure can be expressed in many ways:

$$P(M, N; L) = \text{Mky}_{\text{fin}}(M \ast N, L)$$

$$\cong \text{Nat}_{X,Y,Z}(T(X \otimes Y, Z) \otimes_k N(Y), L(Z))$$

$$\cong \text{Nat}_{X,Y}(M(X) \otimes_k N(Y), L(X \otimes Y))$$

$$\cong \text{Nat}_{X,Z}(M(X) \otimes_k N(X^* \otimes Z), L(Z))$$

$$\cong \text{Nat}_{Y,Z}(M(Z \otimes Y^*), L(Z)).$$

Following the terminology of [DS1], we say that a promonoidal category $\mathcal{M}$ is $\ast$-autonomous when it is equipped with an equivalence $S : \mathcal{M}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ and a natural isomorphism

$$P(M, N; S(L)) \cong P(N, L; S^{-1}(M)).$$

A monoidal category is $\ast$-autonomous when the associated promonoidal category is.

As an application of the work of Day [Da4] we obtain that $\text{Mky}_{\text{fin}}$ is $\ast$-autonomous. We shall give the details.

For $M \in \text{Mky}_{\text{fin}}$, define $S(M)(X) = M(X^*)^\ast$ so that $S : \text{Mky}_{\text{fin}}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Mky}_{\text{fin}}$ is its own inverse equivalence.

**Theorem 9.2.** The monoidal category $\text{Mky}_{\text{fin}}$ of finite-dimensional Mackey functors on $\mathcal{T}$ is $\ast$-autonomous.

**Proof.** With $S$ defined as above, we have the calculation:

$$P(M, N; S(L)) \cong \text{Nat}_{X,Y}(M(X) \otimes_k N(Y), L(X^* \otimes Y^*)^\ast)$$

$$\cong \text{Nat}_{X,Y}(N(Y) \otimes_k L(X^* \otimes Y^*), M(X^*))$$

$$\cong \text{Nat}_{Z,Y}(N(Y) \otimes_k L(Z \otimes Y^*), M(Z^*))$$

$$\cong \text{Nat}_{Z,Y}(N(Y) \otimes_k L(Z \otimes Y^*), S(M)(Z))$$

$$\cong P(N, L; S(M)).$$

\[\square\]

10. **Cohomological Mackey functors**

Let $k$ be a field and $G$ be a finite group. We are interested in the relationship between ordinary $k$-linear representations of $G$ and Mackey functors on $G$.

Write $\mathcal{E}$ for the category of finite $G$-sets as usual. Write $\mathcal{R}$ for the category $\text{Rep}_k(G)$ of finite-dimensional $k$-linear representations of $G$.

Each $G$-set $X$ determines a $k$-linear representation $kX$ of $G$ by extending the action of $G$ linearly on $X$. This gives a functor

$$k : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}.$$

We extend this to a functor

$$k_* : \mathcal{T}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{R},$$

where $\mathcal{T} = \text{Spn}(\mathcal{E})$, as follows. On objects $X \in \mathcal{T}$, define

$$k_*X = kX.$$
For a span \((u, S, v) : X \longrightarrow Y\) in \(\mathcal{E}\), the linear function \(k_*(S) : kY \longrightarrow kX\) is defined by

\[
k_*(S)(y) = \sum_{v(s) = y} u(s);
\]

this preserves the \(G\)-actions since

\[
k_*(S)(gy) = \sum_{v(s) = gy} u(s) = \sum_{v(g^{-1}s) = y} gu(g^{-1}s) = gk_*(S)(y).
\]

Clearly \(k_*\) preserves coproducts.

By the usual argument (going back to Kan, and the geometric realization and singular functor adjunction), we obtain a functor

\[
\tilde{k}_* : \mathcal{R} \longrightarrow \text{Mky}(G)_{\text{fin}}
\]

defined by

\[
\tilde{k}_*(R) = \mathcal{R}(k_*-, R)
\]

which we shall write as \(R^- : T \longrightarrow \text{Vect}_k\). So

\[
R^X = \mathcal{R}(k_*X, R) \cong G\text{-Set}(X, R)
\]

with the effect on the span \((u, S, v) : X \longrightarrow Y\) transporting to the linear function

\[
G\text{-Set}(X, R) \longrightarrow G\text{-Set}(Y, R)
\]

which takes \(\tau : X \longrightarrow R\) to \(\tau_S : Y \longrightarrow R\) where

\[
\tau_S(y) = \sum_{v(s) = y} \tau(u(s)).
\]

The functor \(\tilde{k}_*\) has a left adjoint

\[
\text{colim}(-, k_*): \text{Mky}(G)_{\text{fin}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}
\]

defined by

\[
\text{colim}(M, k_*) = \int^C M(C) \otimes_k k_* C
\]

where \(C\) runs over a full subcategory \(\mathcal{E}\) of \(T\) consisting of a representative set of connected \(G\)-sets.

**Proposition 10.1.** The functor \(\tilde{k}_* : \text{Rep}_k(G) \longrightarrow \text{Mky}(G)\) is fully faithful.

**Proof.** For \(R_1, R_2 \in \mathcal{R}\), a morphism \(\theta : R_1^- \longrightarrow R_2^-\) in \(\text{Mky}(G)\) is a family of linear functions \(\theta_X\) such that the following square commutes for all spans \((u, S, v) : X \longrightarrow Y\) in \(\mathcal{E}\).

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
G\text{-Set}(X, R_1) & \xrightarrow{\theta_X} & G\text{-Set}(X, R_2) \\
\downarrow (-)_S & & \downarrow (-)_S \\
G\text{-Set}(Y, R_1) & \xrightarrow{\theta_Y} & G\text{-Set}(Y, R_2)
\end{array}
\]

Since \(G\) (with multiplication action) forms a full dense subcategory of \(G\text{-Set}\), it follows that we obtain a unique morphism \(f : R_1 \longrightarrow R_2\) in \(G\text{-Set}\) such that

\[
f(r) = \theta_G(r)(1)
\]
Yoneda’s Lemma. Clearly $f$ is linear since $\theta_X$ is. By taking $Y = G, S = G$ and $v = 1_G : G \to G$, commutativity of the above square yields

$$\theta_X(\tau)(x) = f(\tau(x));$$

that is, $\theta_X = \tilde{k}_*(f)_X$. \hfill \Box

An important property of Mackey functors in the image of $\tilde{k}_*$ is that they are cohomological in the sense of [We], [Bo4] and [TW]. First we recall some classical terminology associated with a Mackey functor $M$ on a group $G$.

For subgroups $K \leq H$ of $G$, we have the canonical $G$-set morphism $\sigma^H_K : G/K \to G/H$ defined on the connected $G$-sets of left cosets by $\sigma^H_K(gK) = gH$.

The linear functions

$$r^H_K = M_*(\sigma^H_K) : M(G/H) \to M(G/K) \quad \text{and} \quad t^H_K = M^*(\sigma^H_K) : M(G/K) \to M(G/H)$$

are called restriction and transfer (or trace or induction).

A Mackey functor $M$ on $G$ is called cohomological when each composite $t^H_K \circ r^H_K : M(G/H) \to M(G/H)$ is equal to multiplication by the index $[H : K]$ of $K$ in $H$. We supply a proof of the following known example.

**Proposition 10.2.** For each $k$-linear representation $R$ of $G$, the Mackey functor $\tilde{k}_*(R) = R^-$ is cohomological.

**Proof.** With $M = R^-$ and $\sigma = \sigma^H_K$, notice that the function

$$t^H_K r^H_K = M^*(\sigma) M_*(\sigma) = M(\sigma, G/K, 1) M(1, G/K, \sigma) = M(\sigma, G/K, \sigma)$$

takes $\tau \in \mathcal{E}(G/H, R)$ to $\tau_{G/K} \in \mathcal{E}(G/H, R)$ where

$$\tau_{G/K}(H) = \sum_{\sigma(s) = H} \tau(\sigma(s)) = \sum_{\sigma(s) = H} \tau(H) = (\sum_{\sigma(s) = H} 1) \tau(H)$$

and $s$ runs over the distinct $gK$ with $\sigma(s) = gH = H$; the number of distinct $gK$ with $g \in H$ is of course $[H : K]$. So $\tau_{G/K}(xH) = [H : K] \tau(xH)$. \hfill \Box

**Lemma 10.3.** The functor $k_* : \mathcal{F}^{op} \to \mathcal{B}$ is strong monoidal.

**Proof.** Clearly the canonical isomorphisms

$$k(X_1 \times X_2) \cong kX_1 \otimes kX_2, \quad k1 \cong k$$

show that $k : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{B}$ is strong monoidal. All that remains to be seen is that these isomorphisms are natural with respect to spans $(u_1, S_1, v_1) : X_1 \to Y_1, (u_2, S_2, v_2) : X_2 \to Y_2$. This comes down to the bilinearity of tensor product:

$$\sum_{v_1(s_1) = y_1} u_1(s_1) \otimes u_2(s_2) = \sum_{v_1(s_1) = y_1} u_1(y_1) \otimes \sum_{v_2(s_2) = y_2} u_2(y_2).$$

\hfill \Box

We can now see that the adjunction

$$\text{colim}(-, k_*) \to \tilde{k}_*$$
fits the situation of Day’s Reflection Theorem \cite{Da2} and \cite{Da3} pages 24 and 25. For this, recall that a fully faithful functor $\Phi : A \to X$ into a closed category $X$ is said to be closed under exponentiation when, for all $A$ in $A$ and $X$ in $X$, the internal hom $[X, \Phi A]$ is isomorphic to an object of the form $\Phi B$ for some $B$ in $A$.

**Theorem 10.4.** The functor $\text{colim}(-, k_*) : \text{Mky}(G)_{\text{fin}} \to R$ is strong monoidal. Consequently, $\tilde{k}_* : R \to \text{Mky}(G)_{\text{fin}}$ is monoidal and closed under exponentiation.

**Proof.** The first sentence follows quite formally from Lemma 10.3 and the theory of Day convolution; the main calculation is:

$$\text{colim}(M \ast N, k_*)(Z) = \int^C (M \ast N)(C) \otimes_k k_* C$$

$$= \int^{C, X, Y} \mathcal{F}(X \times Y, C) \otimes M(X) \otimes_k N(Y) \otimes_k k_* C$$

$$\cong \int^{X, Y} M(X) \otimes_k N(Y) \otimes_k k_*(X \times Y)$$

$$\cong \int^{X, Y} M(X) \otimes_k N(Y) \otimes_k k_* X \otimes k_* Y$$

$$\cong \text{colim}(M, k_*) \otimes \text{colim}(N, k_*) .$$

The second sentence then follows from \cite{Da2} Reflection Theorem. \qed

In fancier words, the adjunction

$$\text{colim}(-, k_*) \dashv \tilde{k}_*$$

lives in the 2-category of monoidal categories, monoidal functors and monoidal natural transformations (all enriched over $\mathcal{F}$).

**11. Mackey functors for Hopf algebras**

In this section we provide another example of a compact closed category $\mathcal{F}$ constructed from a Hopf algebra $H$ (or quantum group). We speculate that Mackey functors on this $\mathcal{F}$ will prove as useful for Hopf algebras as usual Mackey functors have for groups.

Let $H$ be a braided (semisimple) Hopf algebra (over $k$). Let $\mathcal{R}$ denote the category of left $H$-modules which are finite dimensional as vector spaces (over $k$). This is a compact closed braided monoidal category.

We write $\text{Comod}(\mathcal{R})$ for the category obtained from the bicategory of that name in \cite{DMS} by taking isomorphisms classes of morphisms. Explicitly, the objects are comonoids $C$ in $\mathcal{R}$. The morphisms are isomorphism classes of comodules $S : C \to D$ from $C$ to $D$; such an $S$ is equipped with a coaction $\delta : S \to C \otimes S \otimes D$ satisfying the coassociativity and counity conditions; we can break the two-sided coaction $\delta$ into a left coaction $\delta_l : S \to C \otimes S$ and a right coaction $\delta_r : S \to S \otimes D$ connected by the bicomodule condition. Composition of comodules $S : C \to D$ and $T : D \to E$ is defined by the (coreflexive) equalizer

$$S \otimes_D T \longrightarrow S \otimes T \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \delta_l} S \otimes D \otimes T .$$
The identity comodule of \( C \) is \( C \xrightarrow{\Delta} C \). The category \( \text{Comod}(\mathcal{R}) \) is compact closed: the tensor product is just that for vector spaces equipped with the extra structure. Direct sums in \( \text{Comod}(\mathcal{R}) \) are given by direct sum as vector spaces. Consequently, \( \text{Comod}(\mathcal{R}) \) is enriched in the monoidal category \( \mathcal{V} \) of commutative monoids: to add comodules \( S_1 : C \xrightarrow{\Delta} D \) and \( S_2 : C \xrightarrow{\Delta} D \), we take the direct sum \( S_1 \oplus S_2 \) with coaction defined as the composite

\[
S_1 \oplus S_2 \xrightarrow{\Delta_1 \oplus \Delta_2} C \otimes S_1 \oplus D \oplus C \otimes S_2 \oplus D \cong C \otimes (S_1 \oplus S_2) \otimes D.
\]

We can now apply our earlier theory to the example \( \mathcal{T} = \text{Comod}(\mathcal{R}) \). In particular, we call a \( \mathcal{V} \)-enriched functor \( M : \text{Comod}(\mathcal{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \) a Mackey functor on \( H \).

In the case where \( H \) is the group algebra \( kG \) (made Hopf by means of the diagonal \( kG \rightarrow k(G \times G) \cong kG \otimes_k kG \)), a Mackey functor on \( H \) is not the same as a Mackey functor on \( G \). However, there is a strong relationship that we shall now explain.

As usual, let \( \mathcal{E} \) denote the cartesian monoidal category of finite \( G \)-sets. The functor \( k : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{R} \) is strong monoidal and preserves coreflexive equalizers. There is a monoidal equivalence

\[
\text{Comod}(\mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Spn}(\mathcal{E}),
\]

so \( k : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{R} \) induces a strong monoidal \( \mathcal{V} \)-functor

\[
\hat{k} : \text{Spn}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \text{Comod}(\mathcal{R}).
\]

With \( \text{Mky}(G) = [\text{Spn}(\mathcal{E}), \mathcal{V}]_+ \), as usual and with \( \text{Mky}(kG) = [\text{Comod}(\mathcal{R}), \mathcal{V}]_+ \), we obtain a functor

\[
[k, 1] : \text{Mky}(kG) \rightarrow \text{Mky}(G)
\]

defined by pre-composition with \( \hat{k} \). Proposition 1 of [DS2] applies to yield:

**Theorem 11.1.** The functor \([\hat{k}, 1]\) has a strong monoidal left adjoint

\[
\exists_k : \text{Mky}(G) \rightarrow \text{Mky}(kG).
\]

The adjunction is monoidal.

The formula for \( \exists_k \) is

\[
\exists_k(M)(R) = \int_{X \in \text{Spn}(\mathcal{E})} \text{Comod}(\mathcal{R})(\hat{k}X, R) \otimes M(X).
\]

On the other hand, we already have the compact closed category \( \mathcal{R} \) of finite-dimensional representations of \( G \) and the strong monoidal functor

\[
k_* : \text{Spn}(\mathcal{E})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}.
\]

Perhaps \( \mathcal{R}^{\text{op}}(\simeq \mathcal{R}) \) should be our candidate for \( \mathcal{T} \) rather than the more complicated \( \text{Comod}(\mathcal{R}) \). The result of [DS2] applies also to \( k_* \) to yield a monoidal adjunction

\[
[\mathcal{R}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V}] \xrightarrow{\exists_{k_*}} \text{Mky}(G).
\]

Perhaps then, additive functors \( \mathcal{R}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \) would provide a suitable generalization of Mackey functors in the case of a Hopf algebra \( H \). These matters require investigation at a later time.
12. Review of some enriched category theory

The basic references are [Ke], [La] and [St].

Let \( \mathbf{COCT}_\mathcal{V} \) denote the 2-category whose objects are cocomplete \( \mathcal{V} \)-categories and whose morphisms are (weighted-) colimit-preserving \( \mathcal{V} \)-functors; the 2-cells are \( \mathcal{V} \)-natural transformations.

Every small \( \mathcal{V} \)-category \( \mathcal{C} \) determines an object \( [\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{V}] \) of \( \mathbf{COCT}_\mathcal{V} \). Let

\[ Y : \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \to [\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{V}] \]

denote the Yoneda embedding: \( YU = \mathcal{C}(U, -) \).

For any object \( \mathcal{X} \) of \( \mathbf{COCT}_\mathcal{V} \), we have an equivalence of categories

\[ \mathbf{COCT}_\mathcal{V}([\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{V}], \mathcal{X}) \simeq [\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{X}] \]

defined by restriction along \( Y \). This is expressing the fact that \( [\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{V}] \) is the free cocompletion of \( \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \). It follows that, for small \( \mathcal{V} \)-categories \( \mathcal{C} \) and \( \mathcal{D} \), we have

\[ \mathbf{COCT}_\mathcal{V}([\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{V}], [\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{V}]) \simeq [\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, [\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{V}]] \simeq [\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \otimes \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{V}] \]

The way this works is as follows. Suppose \( F : \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \otimes \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{V} \) is a \( \mathcal{V} \)-functor. We obtain a colimit-preserving functor

\[ \hat{F} : [\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{V}] \to [\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{V}] \]

by the formula

\[ \hat{F}(M)V = \int_{U \in \mathcal{C}} \mathcal{C}(U, -) \otimes M(U) \]

where \( M \in [\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{V}] \) and \( V \in \mathcal{D} \). Conversely, given \( G : [\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{V}] \to [\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{V}] \), define

\[ \check{G} : \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \otimes \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{V} \]

by

\[ \check{G}(U, V) = G(\mathcal{C}(U, -))V \]

The main calculations proving the equivalence are as follows:

\[ \check{\hat{F}}(U, V) = \hat{F}(\mathcal{C}(U, -))V \]
\[ \simeq \int_{U'} \mathcal{C}(U', -) \otimes \mathcal{C}(U, U') \]
\[ \simeq F(U, V) \quad \text{by Yoneda;} \]

and,

\[ \check{\hat{G}}(M)V = \int_{U} \check{G}(U, V) \otimes MU \]
\[ \simeq \left( \int_{U} G(\mathcal{C}(U, -)) \otimes MU \right)V \]
\[ \simeq G(\mathcal{C}(U, -) \otimes MU)V \quad \text{since } G \text{ preserves weighted colimits} \]
\[ \simeq G(M)V \quad \text{by Yoneda again.} \]
Next we look how composition of $G$s is transported to the $F$s. Take

$$F_1: \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \otimes \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{Y}, \quad F_2: \mathcal{D}^{\text{op}} \otimes \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{Y}$$

so that $\hat{F}_1$ and $\hat{F}_2$ are composable:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{Y} \\
\hat{F}_1
\end{array} & 
\xrightarrow{\hat{F}_1 \circ \hat{F}_1} & 
\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{Y} \\
\hat{F}_2
\end{array}
\end{array}$$

Notice that

$$(\hat{F}_2 \circ \hat{F}_1)(M) = \hat{F}_2(\hat{F}_1(M))$$

$$= \int_{V \in \mathcal{D}} F_2(V, -) \otimes \hat{F}_1(M)V$$

$$\cong \int_{U, V} F_2(V, -) \otimes F_1(U, V) \otimes MU$$

$$\cong \int^U \left( \int^V F_2(V, -) \otimes F_1(U, V) \right) \otimes MU.$$

So we define $F_2 \circ F_1: \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \otimes \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{Y}$ by

$$F_2 \circ F_1(U, W) = \int^V F_2(V, W) \otimes F_1(U, V);$$

the last calculation then yields

$$\hat{F}_2 \circ \hat{F}_1 \cong \hat{F}_2 \circ \hat{F}_1.$$

The identity functor $1_{[\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{Y}]}: [\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{Y}] \to [\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{Y}]$ corresponds to the hom functor of $\mathcal{E}$; that is,

$$1_{[\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{Y}]}(U, V) = \mathcal{E}(U, V).$$

This gives us the bicategory $\mathcal{Y} \text{-Mod}$. The objects are (small) $\mathcal{Y}$-categories $\mathcal{C}$. A morphism $F: \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ is a $\mathcal{Y}$-functor $F: \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \otimes \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{Y}$; we call this a module from $\mathcal{C}$ to $\mathcal{D}$ (others call it a left $\mathcal{D}$-, right $\mathcal{C}$-bimodule). Composition of modules is defined by (1) above.

We can sum up now by saying that

$$\hat{\_}: \mathcal{Y} \text{-Mod} \to \text{COCT}_\mathcal{Y}$$

is a pseudofunctor (= homomorphism of bicategories) taking $\mathcal{C}$ to $[\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{Y}]$, taking $F: \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ to $\hat{F}$, and defined on 2-cells in the obvious way; moreover, this pseudofunctor is a local equivalence (that is, it is an equivalence on hom-categories):

$$\hat{\_}: \mathcal{Y} \text{-Mod}([\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}], [\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{Y}]) \simeq \text{COCT}_\mathcal{Y}([\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{Y}], [\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{Y}]).$$

A monad $T$ on an object $\mathcal{C}$ of $\mathcal{Y} \text{-Mod}$ is called a promonad on $\mathcal{C}$. It is the same as giving a colimit-preserving monad $\hat{T}$ on the $\mathcal{Y}$-category $[\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{Y}]$. One way that promonads arise is from monoids $A$ for some convolution monoidal structure on $[\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{Y}]$; then

$$\hat{T}(M) = A \ast M.$$
That is, $\mathcal{C}$ is a promonoidal $\mathcal{V}$-category \cite{Da1}:
\[ P : \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \otimes \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \otimes \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{V} \]
\[ J : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{V} \]
so that
\[ \hat{T}(M) = A \ast M = \int^{U,V} P(U,V;-) \otimes AU \otimes MV. \]
This means that the module $T : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ is defined by
\[ T(U,V) = \hat{T}(\mathcal{C}(U,-))V \]
\[ = \int^{U',V'} P(U',V';V) \otimes AU' \otimes \mathcal{C}(U,V') \]
\[ \cong \int^{U'} P(U',U;V) \otimes AU'. \]

A promonad $T$ on $\mathcal{C}$ has a unit $\eta : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ with components
\[ \eta_{U,V} : \mathcal{C}(U,V) \to T(U,V) \]
and so is determined by
\[ \eta_{U,V}(1_U) : I \to T(U,U), \]
and has a multiplication $\mu : T \circ T \to T$ with components
\[ \mu_{U,W} : \int^{V} T(V,W) \otimes T(U,V) \to T(U,W) \]
and so is determined by a natural family
\[ \mu'_{U,V,W} : T(V,W) \otimes T(U,V) \to T(U,W). \]

The Kleisli category $\mathcal{C}_T$ for the promonad $T$ on $\mathcal{C}$ has the same objects as $\mathcal{C}$ and has homs defined by
\[ \mathcal{C}_T(U,V) = T(U,V); \]
the identities are the $\eta_{U,V}(1_U)$ and the composition is the $\mu'_{U,V,W}$.

**Proposition 12.1.** $[\mathcal{C}_T, \mathcal{V}] \simeq [\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{V}]^{\hat{T}}$. That is, the functor category $[\mathcal{C}_T, \mathcal{V}]$ is equivalent to the category of Eilenberg-Moore algebras for the monad $\hat{T}$ on $[\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{V}]$.

**Proof.** (sketch) To give a $\hat{T}$-algebra structure on $M \in [\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{V}]$ is to give a morphism $\alpha : \hat{T}(M) \to M$ satisfying the two axioms for an action. This is to give a natural family of morphisms
\[ T(U,V) \otimes MU \to MV; \]
but that is to give
\[ T(U,V) \to [MU,MV]; \]
but that is to give
\[ (2) \quad \mathcal{C}_T(U,V) \to \mathcal{V}(MU,MV). \]
Thus we can define a $\mathcal{V}$-functor
\[ \overline{M} : \mathcal{C}_T \to \mathcal{V} \]
which agrees with $M$ on objects and is defined by \cite{2} on homs; the action axioms are just what is needed for $\overline{M}$ to be a functor. This process can be reversed. \qed
13. Modules over a Green functor

In this section, we present work inspired by Chapters 2, 3 and 4 of [Bo1], casting it in a more categorical framework.

Let $\mathcal{C}$ denote a lextensive category and $\textbf{CMon}$ denote the category of commutative monoids; this latter is what we called $\mathcal{V}$ in earlier sections. The functor $U : \textbf{Mod}_k \to \textbf{CMon}$ (which forgets the action of $k$ on the $k$-module and retains only the additive monoid structure) has a left adjoint $K : \textbf{CMon} \to \textbf{Mod}_k$ which is strong monoidal for the obvious tensor products on $\textbf{CMon}$ and $\textbf{Mod}_k$. So each category $\mathcal{A}$ enriched in $\textbf{CMon}$ determines a category $K_\mathcal{A}$ enriched in $\textbf{Mod}_k$: the objects of $K_\mathcal{A}$ are those of $\mathcal{A}$ and the homs are defined by

$$(K_\mathcal{A})(A, B) = K\mathcal{A}(A, B)$$

since $\mathcal{A}(A, B)$ is a commutative monoid. The point is that a $\textbf{CMon}$-functor $K_\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ is the same as a $\textbf{CMon}$-functor $\mathcal{A} \to \textbf{U}_*\mathcal{B}$.

We know that $\textbf{Spn}(\mathcal{C})$ is a $\textbf{CMon}$-category; so we obtain a monoidal $\textbf{Mod}_k$-category

$$\mathcal{C} = K_\mathcal{C}\textbf{Spn}(\mathcal{C}).$$

The $\textbf{Mod}_k$-category of Mackey functors on $\mathcal{C}$ is $\textbf{Mkcy}_k(\mathcal{C}) = [\mathcal{C}, \textbf{Mod}_k]$; it becomes monoidal using convolution with the monoidal structure on $\mathcal{C}$ (see Section 5). The $\textbf{Mod}_k$-category of Green functors on $\mathcal{C}$ is $\textbf{Grn}_k(\mathcal{C}) = \textbf{Mon}[\mathcal{C}, \textbf{Mod}_k]$ consisting of the monoids in $[\mathcal{C}, \textbf{Mod}_k]$ for the convolution.

Let $A$ be a Green functor. A module $M$ over the Green functor $A$, or $A$-module means $A$ acts on $M$ via the convolution $. The monoidal action $\alpha^M : A \ast M \to M$ is defined by a family of morphisms

$$\bar{\alpha}^{M}_{U, V} : A(U) \otimes_k M(V) \to M(U \times V),$$

where we put $\bar{\alpha}^{M}_{U, V}(a \otimes m) = a.m$ for $a \in A(U)$, $m \in M(V)$, satisfying the following commutative diagrams for morphisms $f : U \to U'$ and $g : V \to V'$ in $\mathcal{C}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A(U) \otimes_k M(V) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\alpha}^{M}_{U, V}} & M(U \times V) \\
\downarrow{\bar{\alpha}^{M}_{U', V'}} & & \downarrow{\bar{\alpha}^{M}_{U', V'}} \\
A(U') \otimes_k M(V') & \xrightarrow{\bar{\alpha}^{M}_{U', V'}} & M(U' \times V')
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
M(U) & \xrightarrow{\eta \otimes 1} & A(1) \otimes_k M(U) \\
\downarrow{\cong} & & \downarrow{\bar{\alpha}^{M}} \\
M(1 \times U) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\alpha}^{M}} & M(U \times V)
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A(U) \otimes_k A(V) \otimes_k M(W) & \xrightarrow{\mu \otimes 1} & A(U \times V) \otimes_k M(W) \\
\downarrow{\bar{\alpha}^{M}} & & \downarrow{\bar{\alpha}^{M}} \\
A(U \times V \times_k M(W) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\alpha}^{M}} & M(U \times V \times W)
\end{array}$$

If $M$ is an $A$-module, then $M$ is in particular a Mackey functor.

**Lemma 13.1.** Let $A$ be a Green functor and $M$ be an $A$-module. Then $M_U$ is an $A$-module for each $U$ of $\mathcal{C}$, where $M_U(X) = M(X \times U)$.

**Proof.** Simply define $\bar{\alpha}^{M}_{U, W} = \bar{\alpha}^{M}_{V, W \times U}$. \(\square\)
Let $\text{Mod}(A)$ denote the category of left $A$-modules for a Green functor $A$. The objects are $A$-modules and morphisms are $A$-module morphisms $\theta : M \rightarrow N$ (that is, morphisms of Mackey functors) satisfying the following commutative diagram.

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
A(U) \otimes_k M(V) & \xrightarrow{\partial^M_{U,V}} & M(U \times V) \\
1 \otimes_k \theta(U) & & \theta(U \times V) \\
A(U) \otimes_k N(V) & \xrightarrow{\partial^N_{U,V}} & N(U \times V)
\end{array}
$$

The category $\text{Mod}(A)$ is enriched in $\text{Mky}$. The homs are given by the equalizer

$$
\text{Mod}(A)(M, N) \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}(\alpha^M, 1)} \text{Hom}(A \ast M, N) \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}(\alpha^N, 1)} \text{Hom}(A \ast M, A \ast N).
$$

Then we see that $\text{Mod}(A)(M, N)$ is the sub-Mackey functor of $\text{Hom}(M, N)$ defined by

$$
\text{Mod}(A)(M, N)(U) = \{ \theta \in \text{Mky}(M(- \times U), N-) \mid \theta_{V \times W}(a.m) = a.\theta_W(m) \text{ for all } V, W, \text{ and } a \in A(V), m \in M(W \times U) \}.
$$

In particular, if $A = J$ (Burnside functor) then $\text{Mod}(A)$ is the category of Mackey functors and $\text{Mod}(A)(M, N) = \text{Hom}(M, N)$.

The Green functor $A$ is itself an $A$-module. Then by the Lemma [13.1] we see that $A_U$ is an $A$-module for each $U$ in $\mathcal{E}$. Define a category $\mathcal{C}_A$ consisting of the objects of the form $A_U$ for each $U$ in $\mathcal{E}$. This is a full subcategory of $\text{Mod}(A)$ and we have the following equivalences

$$
\mathcal{C}_A(U, V) \simeq \text{Mod}(A)(A_U, A_V) \simeq A(U \times V).
$$

In other words, the category $\text{Mod}(A)$ of left $A$-modules is the category of Eilenberg-Moore algebras for the monad $T = A \ast -$ on $[\mathcal{E}, \text{Mod}_k]$; it preserves colimits since it has a right adjoint (as usual with convolution tensor products). By the above, the $\text{Mod}_k$-category $\mathcal{C}_A$ (technically it is the Kleisli category $\mathcal{C}_A^\vee$ for the promonad $\vee$ on $\mathcal{E}$; see Proposition [12.1]) satisfies an equivalence

$$
[\mathcal{C}_A, \text{Mod}_k] \simeq \text{Mod}(A).
$$

Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a $\text{Mod}_k$-category with finite direct sums and $\Omega$ be a finite set of objects of $\mathcal{E}$ such that every object of $\mathcal{E}$ is a direct sum of objects from $\Omega$.

Let $W$ be the algebra of $\Omega \times \Omega$-matrices whose $(X,Y)$ - entry is a morphism $X \rightarrow Y$ in $\mathcal{E}$. Then

$$
W = \{(f_{XY})_{X,Y \in \Omega} \mid f_{XY} \in \mathcal{E}(X,Y)\}
$$

is a vector space over $k$, and the product is defined by

$$(g_{XY})_{X,Y \in \Omega}(f_{XY})_{X,Y \in \Omega} = \left( \sum_{Y \in \Omega} g_{YZ} \circ f_{XY} \right)_{X,Z \in \Omega}. $$

Proposition 13.2. $[\mathcal{E}, \text{Mod}_k] \simeq \text{Mod}_k^W$ (= the category of left $W$-modules).
Proof. Put

\[ P = \bigoplus_{X \in \Omega} \mathcal{C}(X, -). \]

This is a small projective generator so Exercise F (page 106) of [FT] applies and \( W \) is identified as \( \text{End}(P) \).

In particular; this applies to the category \( \mathcal{C}_A \) to obtain the Green algebra \( W_A \) of a Green functor \( A \); the point being that \( A \) and \( W_A \) have the same modules.

14. Morita equivalence of Green functors

In this section, we look at the Morita theory of Green functors making use of adjoint two-sided modules rather than Morita contexts as in [Bo1].

As for any symmetric cocomplete closed monoidal category \( \mathcal{W} \), we have the monoidal bicategory \( \text{Mod}(\mathcal{W}) \) defined as follows, where we take \( \mathcal{W} = \text{Mky} \). Objects are monoids \( A \) in \( \mathcal{W} \) (that is, \( A : \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \text{Mod}_k \) are Green functors) and morphisms are modules \( M : A \longrightarrow B \) (that is, algebras for the monad \( A * - * B \) on \( \text{Mky} \)) with a two-sided action

\[ \alpha^M : A * M * B \longrightarrow M \]

\[ \bar{\alpha}^{M}_{U,V,W} : A(U) \otimes_k M(V) \otimes_k B(W) \longrightarrow M(U \times V \times W). \]

Composition of morphisms \( M : A \longrightarrow B \) and \( N : B \longrightarrow C \) is \( M *_B N \) and it is defined via the coequalizer

\[ M * B * N \xrightarrow{\alpha^M * 1_N} M * N \xrightarrow{1_M * \alpha^N} M * B N = N \circ M \]

that is,

\[ (M * B N)(U) = \sum_{X,Y} \text{Spn}(\mathcal{E})(X \times Y, U) \otimes M(X) \otimes_k N(Y) / \sim_B. \]

The identity morphism is given by \( A : A \longrightarrow A \).

The 2-cells are natural transformations \( \theta : M \longrightarrow M' \) which respect the actions

\[ A(U) \otimes_k M(V) \otimes_k B(W) \xrightarrow{\alpha^M_{U,V,W}} M(U \times V \times W) \]

\[ \xrightarrow{1 \otimes_k \theta \otimes_k 1} \]

\[ A(U) \otimes_k M'(V) \otimes_k B(W) \xrightarrow{\alpha^{M'}_{U,V,W}} M'(U \times V \times W). \]

The tensor product on \( \text{Mod}(\mathcal{W}) \) is the convolution \( * \). The tensor product of the modules \( M : A \longrightarrow B \) and \( N : C \longrightarrow D \) is \( M * N : A * C \longrightarrow B * D \).

Define Green functors \( A \) and \( B \) to be Morita equivalent when they are equivalent in \( \text{Mod}(\mathcal{W}) \).

Proposition 14.1. If \( A \) and \( B \) are equivalent in \( \text{Mod}(\mathcal{W}) \) then \( \text{Mod}(A) \simeq \text{Mod}(B) \) as categories.

Proof. \( \text{Mod}(\mathcal{W})(- , J) : \text{Mod}(\mathcal{W})^{op} \longrightarrow \text{CAT} \) is a pseudofunctor and so takes equivalences to equivalences.
Now we will look at the Cauchy completion of a monoid \( A \) in a monoidal category \( \mathcal{W} \) with the unit \( J \). The \( \mathcal{W} \)-category \( \mathcal{P}A \) has underlying category \( \text{Mod}(\mathcal{W})(J, A) = \text{Mod}(A^{op}) \) where \( A^{op} \) is the monoid \( A \) with commuted multiplication. The objects are modules \( M : J \longrightarrow A \); that is, right \( A \)-modules. The homs of \( \mathcal{P}A \) are defined by \( (\mathcal{P}A)(M, N) = \text{Mod}(A^{op})(M, N) \) (see the equalizer of Section 13).

The Cauchy completion \( \mathcal{Q}A \) of \( A \) is the full sub-\( \mathcal{W} \)-category of \( \mathcal{P}A \) consisting of the modules \( M : J \longrightarrow A \) with right adjoints \( N : A \longrightarrow J \). We will examine what the objects of \( \mathcal{Q}A \) are in more explicit terms.

For motivation and preparation we will look at the monoidal category \( \mathcal{W} = [\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{S}] \) where \( (\mathcal{C}, \otimes, I) \) is a monoidal category and \( \mathcal{S} \) is the Cartesian monoidal category of sets. Then \( [\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{S}] \) becomes a monoidal category by convolution. The tensor product \( * \) and the unit \( J \) are defined by

\[
\begin{align*}
(M * N)(U) &= \int^{X,Y} \mathcal{C}(X \otimes Y, U) \times M(X) \times N(Y) \\
J(U) &= \mathcal{C}(I, U).
\end{align*}
\]

Write \( \text{Mod}[\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{S}] \) for the bicategory whose objects are monoids \( A \) in \( [\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{S}] \) and whose morphisms are modules \( M : A \longrightarrow B \). These modules have two-sided action

\[
\alpha^{M} : A \ast M * B \longrightarrow M
\]

\[
\bar{\alpha}^{M}_{X,Y,Z} : A(X) \times M(Y) \times B(Z) \longrightarrow M(X \otimes Y \otimes Z).
\]

Composition of morphisms \( M : A \longrightarrow B \) and \( N : B \longrightarrow C \) is given by the coequalizer

\[
\begin{align*}
M \ast B \ast N &\xrightarrow{\alpha^{M} + 1_{N}} M \ast N \xrightarrow{1_{M} \ast \alpha^{N}} M \ast B \ast N
\end{align*}
\]

that is,

\[
(M \ast B \ast N)(U) = \sum_{X,Z} \mathcal{C}(X \otimes Z, U) \times M(X) \times N(Z) / \sim_{B}
\]

where

\[
(u, m \circ b, n) \sim_{B} (u, m, b \circ n)
\]

\[
(t \circ (r \otimes s), m, n) \sim_{B} (t, (Mr)m, (Ns)n)
\]

for \( u : X \otimes Y \otimes Z \longrightarrow U, m \in M(X), b \in B(Y), n \in N(Z), t : X' \otimes Z' \longrightarrow U, r : X \longrightarrow X', s : Z \longrightarrow Z' \).

For each \( K \in \mathcal{C} \), we obtain a module \( A(K \ominus -) : J \longrightarrow A \). The action

\[
A(K \ominus U) \otimes A(V) \longrightarrow A(K \ominus U \otimes V)
\]

is defined by the monoid structure on \( A \).

**Proposition 14.2.** Every object of the Cauchy completion \( \mathcal{Q}A \) of the monoid \( A \) in \( [\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{S}] \) is a retract of a module of the form \( A(K \ominus -) \) for some \( K \in \mathcal{C} \).

**Proof.** Take a module \( M : J \longrightarrow A \) in \( \text{Mod}[\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{S}] \). Suppose that \( M \) has a right adjoint \( N : A \longrightarrow J \). Then we have the following actions: \( A(V) \times A(W) \longrightarrow A(V \ominus W), M(V) \times A(W) \longrightarrow M(V \ominus W), A(V) \times N(W) \longrightarrow N(V \ominus W) \) since \( A \) is a monoid, \( M \) is a right \( A \)-module, and \( N \) is a left \( A \)-module respectively.
We have a unit $\eta : J \to M \ast_A N$ and a counit $\epsilon : N \ast M \to A$ for the adjunction. The component $\eta_U : \mathcal{C}(I, U) \to (M \ast_A N)(U)$ of the unit $\eta$ is determined by
\[
\eta_U = \eta_U(1_I) \in \sum_{X,Z} \mathcal{C}(X \otimes Z, I) \times M(X) \times N(Z) / \sim_A;
\]
so there exist $u : H \otimes K \to I$, $p \in M(H)$, $q \in N(K)$ such that $\eta_U = [u, p, q]_A$. Then
\[
\eta_U(f : I \to U) = [fu : H \otimes K \to U, p, q].
\]

We also have $\overline{\epsilon}_{Y,Z} : NY \times MZ \to A(Y \otimes Z)$ coming from $\epsilon$. The commutative diagram
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
M(U) & \xrightarrow{\eta_U} & \sum_{X,Y,Z} \mathcal{C}(X \otimes Y \otimes Z, U) \times M(X) \times N(Y) \times M(Z)/\sim \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow 1*\epsilon_U \\
M(U) & & \end{array}
\]
yields the equations
\[
m = (1 * \epsilon_U)(\eta_U * 1)(m) \\
= (1 * \epsilon_U)(u \otimes 1_U, p, q, m)_A \\
= M(u \otimes 1_U)(p, q, m)
\]
for all $m \in M(U)$.

Define
\[
M(U) \xrightarrow{i_U} A(K \otimes U) \xleftarrow{r_U} M(U)
\]
by $i_U(m) = \epsilon_K,U(q, m)$, $r_U(a) = M(u \otimes 1_U)(p, a)$. These are easily seen to be natural in $U$. Equation (3) says that $r \circ i = 1_M$. So $M$ is a retract of $A(K \otimes -)$. $\square$

Now we will look at what are the objects of $QA$ when $\mathcal{W} = \text{Mky}$ which is a symmetric monoidal closed, complete and cocomplete category.

**Theorem 14.3.** The Cauchy completion $QA$ of the monoid $A$ in $\text{Mky}$ consists of all the retracts of modules of the form
\[
\bigoplus_{i=1}^k A(Y_i \times -)
\]
for some $Y_i \in \text{Spn}(\mathcal{E})$, $i = 1, \ldots, k$.

**Proof.** Take a module $M : J \to A$ in $\text{Mod}(\mathcal{W})$ and suppose that $M$ has a right adjoint $N : \to A$. For the adjunction, we have a unit $\eta : J \to M \ast_A N$ and a counit $\epsilon : N \ast M \to A$. We write $\eta_U : \text{Spn}(\mathcal{E})(1, U) \to (M \ast_A N)(U)$ is the component of the unit $\eta$ and it is determined by
\[
\eta_U(1_I) \in \sum_{i=1}^k \text{Spn}(\mathcal{E})(X \times Y, 1) \otimes M(X) \otimes N(Y) / \sim_A.
\]
Put \[ \eta' = \eta_1(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} [(S_i : X_i \times Y_i \to 1) \otimes m_i \otimes n_i]_A \]
where \( m_i \in M(X_i) \) and \( n_i \in N(Y_i) \). Then
\[ \eta_U(T : 1 \to U) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} [(S_i \times T) \otimes m_i \otimes n_i]_A. \]

We also have \( \bar{\epsilon}_{Y,Z} : NY \otimes MZ \to A(Y \times Z) \) coming from \( \epsilon \). The commutative diagram

\[ \begin{array}{ccc}
M(U) & \xrightarrow{\eta_U \times 1} & \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} \text{Spn}(\mathcal{E})(X_i \times Y_i \times U, U) \otimes M(X_i) \otimes N(Y_i) \otimes M(U)/ \sim_A \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \text{1} \circ \epsilon_U \\
M(U) & & M(U)
\end{array} \]

yields
\[ m = \sum_{i=1}^{k} [M(P_i \times U) \otimes m_i \otimes \epsilon(n_i \otimes m)] \]
where \( m \in M(U) \) and \( P_i : X_i \times Y_i \to U \).

Define a natural retraction
\[ M(U) \xrightarrow{r_U} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} A(Y_i \times U) \]
by
\[ r_U(a_i) = M(P_{i} \times U)(m_i, a_i), \quad i_U(m) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \bar{\epsilon}_{Y_i, U}(n_i \otimes m). \]

So \( M \) is a retract of \( \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} A(Y_i \times -) \).

It remains to check that each module \( A(Y \times -) \) has a right adjoint since retracts and direct sums of modules with right adjoints have right adjoints.

In \( \mathcal{C} = \text{Spn}(\mathcal{E}) \) each object \( Y \) has a dual (in fact it is its own dual). This implies that the module \( \mathcal{C}(Y, -) : J \to J \) has a right dual (in fact it is \( \mathcal{C}(Y, -) \) itself) since the Yoneda embedding \( \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \to [\mathcal{C}, \text{Mod}_k] \) is a strong monoidal functor. Moreover, the unit \( \eta : J \to A \) induces a module \( \eta_* = A : J \to A \) with a right adjoint \( \eta^* : A \to J \). Therefore, the composite
\[ J \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}(Y,-)} J \xrightarrow{\eta_*} A, \]
which is \( A(Y \times -) \), has a right adjoint. \( \square \)

**Theorem 14.4.** Green functors \( A \) and \( B \) are Morita equivalent if and only if \( QA \simeq QB \) as \( \mathcal{W} \)-categories.

**Proof.** See [Li2] and [St]. \( \square \)
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