Analysis of the reproductive seasonality of sheep production units in Singuilucan, Hidalgo, Mexico
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ABSTRACT

Objective: To analyze the occurrence of the reproductive seasonality and its relation to the time of the year, feeding, race, and social environment of sheep in the municipality of Singuilucan, Hidalgo, Mexico.

Design/methodology/approach: Forty-one surveys with sheep breeders were carried out. The data obtained was subject to a logistic regression analysis, in order to analyze the degree to which the said variables intervene on the occurrence or absence of seasonal anestrus periods in ewes. The environment in which they are developed and the traditional handling of production units must be taken into account.

Results: Seasonal anestrus periods have been recorded in 95.1% of the production units; such variables as seasons of the year, feeding type, race, and social environment have a significant influence (P<0.05). Spring is the most influential season of the year with regard to the occurrence of anestrus periods (P<0.05). The reproductive season reaches its peak in late spring and in summer; ewes give birth in late autumn and in winter.

Study limitations/implications: Reproductive seasonality limits sheep production systems.

Findings/conclusions: Estrus take place in late spring and early summer. The season of the year, feeding type, race, and social environment are variables that influence the occurrence of seasonal anestrus in spring.
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INTRODUCTION

Reproductive handling is one of the most important aspects of sheep production systems. However, this species’ reproductive seasonality poses a serious hindrance: there is a clear seasonality in the reproductive activity of sheep located in temperate regions. This seasonality is regulated by the variation in the length of days throughout the year (González et al., 2014).

Reproductive seasonality is defined as a natural selection process included in the adaptation mechanisms; sheep and other species have developed endogenous rhythms that allow them to have reproductive and anestrus periods throughout the year. Yearly variations in the length of days result in an endocrine cue; the nocturnal secretion of melatonin (a hormone produced by the pineal gland) acts as a powerful seasonal synchronizer of the reproductive activity. The reproductive seasonality guarantees that births take place when food is available and the environmental temperature is appropriate for lambs (Gutiérrez, et al., 2011; Henningsen et al., 2016).

There is currently no full certainty about all the variables involved and to which degree they are able to explain, condition, or determine the occurrence of seasonal anestrus periods in ewes; however, several authors mention and emphasize that social signals within herds, race, and nutritional conditions are the most important variables and that they have a great impact on the herd’s reproductive behavior and consequently its reproductive level (Wade and Jones, 2004; Arroyo, 2011).

Researches about seasonality in ewes in central Mexico have been carried out under controlled environments, with precise measurements, and they have been aimed only at the analysis of seasonality per photoperiod; however, they exclude nutritional and social factors (among others) which can influence reproductive behavior (Rosa and Bryant, 2003).

Therefore, the aim of this research was to analyze the reproductive seasonality and its relation with the season of the year, feeding type, race, and social environments in the sheep production systems of Singuilucan, Hidalgo, Mexico. Hidalgo stands out as second place in the national sheep meat production: 6,736 tons out of the 64,758 tons that are produced in the whole country (INFOSIAP, 2021). Production must be increased in order to satisfy the demand; currently, Mexico imports 6,734 tons of sheep meat (SIAP, 2020).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was carried out in the municipality of Singuilucan, Hidalgo (20° 13’ and 19° 87’ N and 98° 36’ and 98° 62’ W). The average altitude is 2,645.88 masl. The weather is temperate and subhumid, with an average annual temperature ranging from 12 to 18 °C. During the driest month, rainfall falls below 40 mm. One-hundred ninety-one sheep producers are registered in Singuilucan (INEGI, 2010).

Data was gathered and analyzed using a combination of qualitative and quantitative research methods (Schalock et al., 2000). Data was obtained from primary sources: sheep breeders, as well as their relatives and employees, were subject to semi-structured interviews, which included some specific questions.

A simple random sampling for small populations was used to obtain the interviews (Bustamante, 2011). The sample size was established through the sample size for proportion
Determine and analyzing the reproductive period

The reproductive and birth period data reported by the breeders were statistically analyzed per seasons of the year, using the Kruskal-Wallis test included in the IBM SPSS Statistics software (López, 2013).

Obtaining and analyzing the seasonal anestrus

The seasonal anestrus period was obtained dividing the period without reproductive activity per type of natural anestrus, based on the months in which births and estrus periods are recorded for the herds. A 5-month gestational anestrus period (ewe gestation) and a 3-month lactational anestrus were taken into account. The latter period was obtained from the answers provided by the breeders regarding the age at which lambs are weaned (90 days), which is similar to the sheep’s lactation (75-80 days) (Partida et al., 2013).

Therefore, seasonal anestrus was classified as a dependent variable, while the seasons of the year, feeding type, race, and the sheep’s social environment were classified as independent variables. The IBM SPSS Statistics software for research methodologies (López and Fanchelli, 2015) was used to analyze the abovementioned variables, subjecting them to bivariate and multivariate logistic regressions: the significance’s percentage value was obtained by R-squared.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Occurrence of the reproductive period

Based on the 41 production units, the estrus takes place in late spring and early summer and births take place in late autumn and early winter (P<0.05; Table 1).

Occurrence of the seasonal anestrus

Thirty-nine production units (95.1%) reported seasonal anestrus periods, while two production units (4.8%) reported reproductive activity throughout the year (Table 2). Out of the two production units where animals were provided concentrated animal feeding, one had hair sheep without seasonal anestrus and other had wool sheep with seasonal anestrus.

In temperate regions, there is a close relationship throughout the year with the variation in the daylight hours of each season (Urviola and Riveros, 2017; Juárez et...
Table 1. Sheep reproductive activity reported by sheep breeders from Sunguilucan, Hidalgo, México.

| Months of the year | Estrus | Births |  |
|--------------------|--------|--------|---|
|                    | By month of the year | By season of the year | By month of the year | By season of the year |
| January            | 4.88   | Wintera | 63.41 | Wintera |
| February           | 4.88   | Wintera | 36.59 | Wintera |
| March              | 7.32   | Springb | 19.51 | Springb |
| April              | 9.76   | Springb | 4.88  | Springb |
| May                | 19.51  | Summerb | 4.88  | Summerb |
| June               | 80.49  | Falla   | 2.44  | Falla   |
| July               | 78.05  | 2.44    | 2.44  | 2.44    |
| August             | 36.59  | Summerb | 2.44  | Summerb |
| September          | 17.07  | 2.44    | 2.44  | 2.44    |
| October            | 7.32   | Falla   | 7.32  | Falla   |
| November           | 4.88   | 48.78   | 90.24 | 90.24   |
| December           | 4.88   |         |       |         |

\(^{ab}\) Different letters in the same column indicate a difference (P<0.05).

Table 2. Bivariate logistic regression analysis of the influence of the study variables on the occurrence of seasonal anestrus.

| Variable                      | Production units per variable | Production units with seasonal anestrum |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Season*                       | 41                            | 39\(^a\)                               |
| Spring (April-June) **        | 37                            | 37\(^a\)                               |
| Summer (July-September) **    | 2                             | 2                                       |
| Feeding type *                | 41                            | 39\(^a\)                               |
| Grazing feed **               | 33                            | 33\(^a\)                               |
| Concentrated animal feeding **| 2                             | 1\(^a\)                                |
| Mixed feeding **              | 6                             | 5                                       |
| Race type**                   | 41                            | 39\(^a\)                               |
| Wool sheep                    | 39                            | 39\(^a\)                               |
| Hair sheep                    | 2                             | 0\(^a\)                                |
| Sheep’s social environment**  | 41                            | 39\(^a\)                               |
| Herds in the presence of males| 31                            | 31\(^a\)                               |
| Herds in the absence of males | 10                            | 8\(^a\)                                |

\(^a\) Independent polytomous variables; ** independent dichotomous variables.

\(^a\) The letter above the number of production units with seasonal anestrus in relation with its number of production units per variable indicates a difference (P<0.05).

In wool sheep, the reproductive activity period takes place in autumn and early winter, without ovarian activity in spring and summer. However, anestrus periods have not been defined, since there are no reports about the influence of social factors (e.g., the presence of males or lactation periods). In this sense, the results of this study...
show a close relationship ($P<0.05$): seasonal anestrus periods take place from April to June (spring) and most births take place from December to January (autumn-winter). Therefore, reproductive activity (estrus) occurs during the summer (July, August, and September). The difference between the occurrence of the estrus (peak reported in June) and the moment when the ewes gave birth (peak reported in December) recorded in this study might be explained by a low fertility percentage when the ovarian activity was renewed after the anestrus. Martin et al. (1986) mention that this behavior is mainly affected by such social factors as the incorporation of an active male. They also mention that anovulatory prepubertal, seasonal, or lactating ewes can start to leave the anestrus when they are in contact with males; physiologically, this means that LH pulses and ovulation begin to increase. Subsequently, new follicular development waves appear; a short and infertile estrus cycle usually takes place. A new normal estrus cycle then takes place, 17 to 30 days after the females have contact with the male.

Arroyo et al. (2007) and Macías et al. (2015) carried out studies in central Mexico and found out that the race of the animals has a great influence on the occurrence of seasonal anestrus. They determined that the native wool or mixed-race livestock —crossed with black-faced British races, such as Suffolk and Hampshire— has a marked seasonality, unlike hair races —such as Katahdin and Dorper which were included in two of this study’s production units—, which are bred all year long (González et al. (2014).

Similarly to the findings of Partida et al. (2013) and Vázquez et al. (2018), three sheep production system types were identified in Singuilucan, Hidalgo: grazing feed, concentrated animal feeding, and mixed. Likewise, the feeding type is directly related to the production system; therefore, under the grazing feed system used in their production units, the ewes had a seasonal anestrus. In this sense, Arroyo (2011) mentions that this situation is closely related to food availability in certain moments of the year, which guarantees a higher birth survival rate among lambs. However, Arroyo et al. (2009) and González et al. (2014) mention that lambing periods for wool ewes take place in springtime, when the rain leads to new sprouts of the native grasses which this livestock eats under grazing feed systems. This study establishes that the lambing period took place in late autumn and winter (November, December, January, and February), when the weather is cold; the seasonal frost (heladas) diminishes food availability on dry season pastures (agostaderos), from December to February, and even March, until the rains begin in May (INAFED, 2010). However, in the Singuilucan region, cereals (e.g., maize and barley) are usually harvested during this season; however, during the last years, some producers have taken a risk growing oats and wheat. Sheep herd graze on the residues of the harvest (both fodder and grain) that can be found in the plots. The food availability required during the sheep’s lactation period could explain the births that take place during this season (Inforural, 2020).

On their part, both concentrated animal feeding production system units did not have seasonal anestrus; one had hair sheep and the other had wool sheep. Therefore, in this case, both variables can be said to have been altered by the race variable. There was no relation between variables in the mixed production system.

Another study variable was the social environment; it is closely related to the occurrence of seasonal anestrus periods, both in the presence and the absence of a male. These
results match the findings of Delgadillo et al. (2008) who mention that male-female social communication modifies the ewe’s reproductive cycle, as a result of the male’s effect on the ewes’ reproductive system (pheromones).

On general terms, the reproductive seasonality of sheep in Singuilucan, Hidalgo, is not only determined by the photoperiod —as has been previously reported—, but also by other closely-related variables. In this sense, photoperiods are known to be responsible for the synchronization of the ewe’s reproductive period, but not for the generation of a circannual reproductive rhythm and there is even an endogenous physiological rhythm in the absence of light stimuli (Rosa and Bryant, 2003). However, further research is required in this area.

CONCLUSIONS

In the handling conditions that are typical of the sheep herds in the study region, estrus takes place in late spring and early summer. Variables such as season of the year, feeding type, race, and social environment have a significant influence on the occurrence of the seasonal anestrus during the spring.
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