Comparison of three regional anaesthetic techniques for infraorbital or maxillary nerve block in cats: a cadaveric study
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Abstract

Objectives The maxillary nerve courses very close to the globe, rendering cats – with their large eyes – at risk of globe penetration during infraorbital or maxillary nerve blocks. Therefore, the goals of the study were to compare the distribution and potential complications of three infraorbital or maxillary regional injection techniques.

Methods Twenty-three bilateral maxillae of cat cadavers were used in a randomised blinded trial. Each maxilla was injected with a 0.2 ml 1:1 mixture of lidocaine 2% and a contrast medium by one of three injection techniques: infraorbital foramen (IOF; n = 14); infraorbital canal (IOC; n = 16); or maxillary foramen (MF; transpalpebral approach; n = 16) using a 25 G 1.6 cm needle. CT imaging of each cadaver head was performed before and after injections. A radiologist scored injectate distribution (none [0], mild [1], moderate [2], large [3]) in four locations: rostral, central and caudal IOC, and at the MF, for which the distribution side was also determined. Comparisons were performed with ordinal logistic mixed effects (P <0.05).

Results The median (range) total distribution score of the IOC and MF technique were significantly higher compared with the IOF technique (6.5 [4–12], 4 [2–8] and 0 [0–10], respectively). The total IOC score was also significantly higher compared with the MF technique. Injectate distribution at the MF was significantly more central following IOC injection compared with MF injection, which distributed centrolaterally. None of the techniques resulted in intraocular injection.

Conclusions and relevance The IOC and MF techniques produced a satisfactory spread of the mixture that could result in effective maxillary anaesthesia in cats. Further studies are required to determine the effectiveness and safety of these techniques.
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Introduction

Dental nerve blocks provide excellent perioperative analgesia and have been used successfully for many years in humans and in horses under sedation.1-3 Administration of dental blocks have also been reported as adjuvants to general anaesthesia during dental procedures in cats.4-7 Four primarily dental nerve blocks have been described in cats: maxillary and infraorbital, which supply the caudal and rostral maxillae, respectively, and inferior alveolar and middle mental, which supply the caudal and rostral mandible, respectively. Blocking both the maxillary and inferior alveolar nerves results in desensitisation of the entire oral cavity.7,8

The maxillary nerve courses on the orbital floor ventral to the globe, in the pterygopalatine fossa, before...
entering the infraorbital canal (IOC) via the maxillary foramen (MF). Cats have large prominent eyes, and their IOC is only a few millimetres in length,\textsuperscript{9,10} which is much shorter than in dogs. Thus, cats are at increased risk of globe penetration while performing the maxillary nerve block via commonly used techniques. Recently, a case report described a globe penetration with catastrophic outcome (vision loss, glaucoma and eventually enucleation) following a maxillary nerve block in a cat.\textsuperscript{9} In order to avoid this potential complication, some authors recommend injecting outside the infraorbital foramen (IOF).\textsuperscript{11}

To our knowledge, no studies have investigated the local anaesthetic distribution, and potential complications of the current local anaesthetic techniques prior to maxillary dental procedures or oral surgery in cats, despite these being widely used. In this study we suggest the use of a new maxillary nerve block via the MF, using the transpalpebral approach. The objectives of this study were to evaluate injectate distribution and potential complications with three different infraorbital and maxillary nerve block techniques in cats: outside the IOC; inside the IOC; and at the MF. Our hypotheses were that injection at the MF would produce a comparable or better distribution of injectate with fewer complications compared with the infraorbital injection technique, and that both techniques would provide better distribution than an injection outside the IOC.

Materials and methods
Twenty-three cadavers of cats that died or were euthanased due to terminal illness not related to this study, and were donated for unrestricted use by their owners, were used in this study. Three injection techniques and the maxillary side to be treated (right or left) were randomly assigned using a computer-generated random list (https://www.random.org/lists/). The IOF injection was performed at the IOF opening and digital pressure was applied on the injection site (n = 14). The IOC injection was performed by advancing the needle 3–4 mm into the IOC (n = 16). The MF injection via the transpalpebral approach was performed by inserting the needle through the lower conjunctiva at the mid-inferior orbital rim, advancing it ventrally 4–5 mm to approach the MF opening, while gently pressing the globe caudally (n = 16; Figure 1). A board-certified veterinary anaesthesiologist experienced with these techniques performed all injections.

Cadavers were positioned in sternal recumbency with the head levelled on a plastic surface at a height of 8–10 cm. A 25 G, 5/8 inch (1.6 cm) needle (Kendall Monoject; Covidien) was used for all techniques to inject 0.2 ml of a 1:1 volume ratio mixture of lidocaine 2% (Lidocaine 2%; B Braun) and a contrast agent (iohexol 300 mg/ml [Omnipaque; GE Healthcare]).

CT imaging (MX8000 IDT, 16-slice multidetector CT; Philips) was performed on all cadaver heads, prior to injections and 10 mins after injections, in order to establish the distribution of the combined anaesthetic–contrast mixture. All CT scans were performed using 0.8 mm thick contiguous transverse slices, which were acquired with a soft tissue reconstruction algorithm. Images were reviewed on a dedicated viewing software (Fujifilm Synapse) in bone and soft tissue windows. A radiologist, masked as to the injection techniques, scored the injectate distribution at four transverse planes: rostral, central and caudal of the IOC, and at the MF. The scoring was according to injectate volume of distribution in the IOC/MF in percentage of the canal/foramen size and was scored on a scale of 0–3, where 0 = no contrast
distribution noted; 1 = mild distribution noted (10–30%); 2 = moderate distribution noted (40–70%); and 3 = large distribution noted (80–100%) (Figure 2). A total score of distribution throughout the IOC and MF was calculated by addition of all scores. The side of contrast agent distribution at the MF was also determined and scored on a scale of 0–5, where 0 = no contrast noted; 1 = lateral distribution; 2 = centrolateral distribution; 3 = central distribution; 4 = centromedial distribution; and 5 = medial distribution.

**Statistical analysis**

The cat data are presented as mean ± SD. The scoring data are categorical and, as such, are presented as median (range). Ordinal logistic mixed effects was used to model the potential effect of injection approach on volume of distribution scores. Maximum likelihood estimation was used to compare the total scoring of each approach. For all analyses, \( P < 0.05 \) was considered significant. Data analyses were performed using Stata version 15.0.

**Results**

Forty-six maxilla of 23 cat cadavers (12 males and 11 females) were used in this study. Injections were performed \( 36 ± 32.4 \) h (range 0.6–96) after euthanasia (cadavers were kept at 2–4°C until use). The age and body weight of the cat cadavers were 7.8 ± 5.3 years (range 0.5–19) and 3.7 ± 1.2 kg (range 1.9–6.5). Seven cats were considered cachectic and one cat was brachycephalic.

The scoring distribution of the three techniques along the IOC and MF based upon imaging data are presented in Figure 3. Injectate distribution at the rostral aspect of the IOC was significantly higher with the IOC approach compared with the IOF and MF approaches (2 [0–3], 0 [0–3] and 0 [0–1]; \( P = 0.005 \) and \( P = 0.03 \), respectively). Injectate distribution at the central aspect of the IOC was significantly higher with the IOC approach compared with the IOF approach (1.5 [1–3] and 0 [0–3]; \( P = 0.005 \)). The distribution score following the MF approach at the central canal was not different than with the other two techniques (0.5 [0–2]). Injectate distribution at the caudal aspect of the IOC was significantly higher with the IOC and MF approaches compared with the IOF approach (2 [1–3], 1 [0–3] and 0 [0–3]; \( P < 0.001 \) and \( P = 0.002 \), respectively). The distribution score following the MF was significantly more central following IOC injection compared with MF injection, which gave centrolateral distribution (\( P = 0.022 \)). Both were significantly different compared with the IOF injection, which gave no distribution at the MF (\( P < 0.001 \) for both). None of the approaches resulted in intraocular injection or any other noted complication.
Discussion

The results of this study suggest that the IOC technique provides the best overall distribution of injectate to the IOC and the MF, and that the MF technique via the transpalpebral approach provided better injectate distribution at the IOC and at the MF than the IOF technique. In order to achieve a wider anaesthetised area, the local anaesthetics should reach the caudal part of the IOC and preferably distribute further caudally to the MF to desensitise the maxillary nerve and the complete maxilla.6,12

The IOF technique, also called a ‘cranial infraorbital block’, has been described in the veterinary literature as a deposition of local anaesthetic at the entrance to the IOC, and then applying digital pressure to encourage caudal spread of the local anaesthetic into the canal.5,6 This technique is specifically recommended for use in cats and brachycephalic dogs, because it is considered to be safer than inserting a needle into the canal and risking globe puncture in animals with short-length IOCs.6,11 It has been suggested that because of the very short length of the canal in cats, deposition of the local anaesthetic at the rostral entrance of the canal facilitates caudal spread, and therefore it is not necessary to insert the needle into the canal in cats.11 However, others object to this practice, and suggest that, at this level, the sensory innervation supplies only the nose and upper lip, and does not provide dental analgesia.9,13

The IOC technique is referred to in the veterinary literature as the ‘caudal’ or ‘deep infraorbital block’, and has been described as insertion of the needle into the IOC, up to the medial canthus.5,6,11 This approach offers several advantages over other techniques used to block the maxillary nerve. First, it ensures that the needle is advanced accurately to the desired location, as was reported in a canine study;14 and, secondly, the tip of the needle advances parallel to nerves and blood vessels, potentially avoiding perpendicular contact, thus decreasing the risk of damage to these structures. A disadvantage of the infraorbital approach is the fact that it may not consistently anaesthetise the maxillary molar area if, for example, the anaesthetic agent is deposited in the IOC and does not distribute to the pterygopalatine fossa.13

In the present study, the overall best distribution score was attributed to the IOC technique and therefore these results support the use of this technique, although a further effectiveness study is advocated.

The IOC technique is frequently described in dogs, as they have a longer IOC and therefore it is safer to insert the needle without the risk of globe penetration. In the present study, there was no evidence of injury to the globe following any of the techniques. It seems that as long as the needle insertion into the canal is performed correctly (ie, only several millimetres deep), the globe should be protected. Another precaution was suggested in a study of dog cadavers, where needle insertion into the IOC was

---

**Figure 3** Number of cats according to distribution scores (see Figure 2 for scoring guidelines) at each location: (a) rostral; (b) centre and (c) caudal infraorbital canal; and (d) at the maxillary foramen. Three injection techniques were compared in 23 cat cadavers (46 maxillae): infraorbital foramen (IOF; n = 14), infraorbital canal (IOC; n = 16) and at the maxillary foramen (MF; transpalpebral approach; n = 16).
replaced with an intravenous catheter (20–22G; 25–48 mm length). However, to our knowledge, this technique has not been reported in cats.

The MF technique was first described anecdotally for dogs in a veterinary dentistry book as an ‘extraoral dorsal approach’, and recently it was investigated in 17 dog cadavers as a ‘transoral approach’. The transorbital approach was performed by retracting the globe and inserting the needle directed ventrally through the conjunctiva, with appropriate needling at the cephalic dog following an extraoral approach to the caudal maxillary nerve block. The primary disadvantage of both of these approaches is the blind insertion of a needle into the bulbar space in the direction of the globe. A case series from 2019 reported 13 cats with ocular complications (14 eyes) following dental procedures. Maxillary regional anaesthesia was used in 8/13 cats (9/14 eyes), all performed via the transoral approach. Histopathological reports were available only for six enucleated globes, and the site of needle penetration was clearly identified in three of these globes. Although a poor tooth extraction technique may also result in inadvertent penetration of the globe, the authors concluded that the transoral maxillary nerve block should probably not be used further, or only with extreme caution, in cats. An advantage of the MF approach is that the needle is directed away from the globe and is advanced in close proximity to the orbital wall, therefore reducing the risk of perforation. The reason that the intraoral/transoral approach was correlated with a high incidence of globe penetration could be attributed to the frequent use of this technique in cats, although we are not aware of any study or survey that has investigated the frequency of use of these techniques. It is also important to emphasise that the risk of globe perforation can potentially occur with all the techniques presented in the current study and that caution should be taken with all techniques.

Alternative techniques used in cats is referred to in the veterinary literature as the ‘intraoral’ or ‘transoral’ technique. The needle is inserted through the mucosa caudal to the last molar tooth and directed dorso-caudally towards the MF. The needle should be inserted no more than 2–4 mm into the tissue. A bend of 45° to the needle was described as assisting with directing the tip towards the MF. Although this technique seems to be commonly used in cats, and to our knowledge there are no published prospective studies reporting its efficacy and complications.

Globe perforation, which is one of the complications described in the literature, and can be easily detected with CT imaging, was not observed in the present study with any of the approaches. However, owing to the small number of maxillae used for each approach, the probability of globe perforation cannot be completely ruled out. Previous reports of globe penetration include a case in a cat following intraoral/transoral approach to the caudal maxillary nerve block, and a case in a brachycephalic dog following an extraoral approach to the caudal maxillary nerve block. The primary disadvantage of both of these approaches is the blind insertion of a needle into the bulbar space in the direction of the globe. A case series from 2019 included 13 cats with ocular complications (14 eyes) following dental procedures. Maxillary regional anaesthesia was used in 8/13 cats (9/14 eyes), all performed via the transoral approach. Histopathological reports were available only for six enucleated globes, and the site of needle penetration was clearly identified in three of these globes. Although a poor tooth extraction technique may also result in inadvertent penetration of the globe, the authors concluded that the transoral maxillary nerve block should probably not be used further, or only with extreme caution, in cats. An advantage of the MF approach is that the needle is directed away from the globe and is advanced in close proximity to the orbital wall, therefore reducing the risk of perforation. The reason that the intraoral/transoral approach was correlated with a high incidence of globe penetration could be attributed to the frequent use of this technique in cats, although we are not aware of any study or survey that has investigated the frequency of use of these techniques. It is also important to emphasise that the risk of globe perforation can potentially occur with all the techniques presented in the current study and that caution should be taken with all techniques.

Globe perforation, which is one of the complications described in the literature, and can be easily detected with CT imaging, was not observed in the present study with any of the approaches. However, owing to the small number of maxillae used for each approach, the probability of globe perforation cannot be completely ruled out. Previous reports of globe penetration include a case in a cat following intraoral/transoral approach to the caudal maxillary nerve block, and a case in a brachycephalic dog following an extraoral approach to the caudal maxillary nerve block. The primary disadvantage of both of these approaches is the blind insertion of a needle into the bulbar space in the direction of the globe. A case series from 2019 included 13 cats with ocular complications (14 eyes) following dental procedures. Maxillary regional anaesthesia was used in 8/13 cats (9/14 eyes), all performed via the transoral approach. Histopathological reports were available only for six enucleated globes, and the site of needle penetration was clearly identified in three of these globes. Although a poor tooth extraction technique may also result in inadvertent penetration of the globe, the authors concluded that the transoral maxillary nerve block should probably not be used further, or only with extreme caution, in cats. An advantage of the MF approach is that the needle is directed away from the globe and is advanced in close proximity to the orbital wall, therefore reducing the risk of perforation. The reason that the intraoral/transoral approach was correlated with a high incidence of globe penetration could be attributed to the frequent use of this technique in cats, although we are not aware of any study or survey that has investigated the frequency of use of these techniques. It is also important to emphasise that the risk of globe perforation can potentially occur with all the techniques presented in the current study and that caution should be taken with all techniques.

Globe perforation, which is one of the complications described in the literature, and can be easily detected with CT imaging, was not observed in the present study with any of the approaches. However, owing to the small number of maxillae used for each approach, the probability of globe perforation cannot be completely ruled out. Previous reports of globe penetration include a case in a cat following intraoral/transoral approach to the caudal maxillary nerve block, and a case in a brachycephalic dog following an extraoral approach to the caudal maxillary nerve block. The primary disadvantage of both of these approaches is the blind insertion of a needle into the bulbar space in the direction of the globe. A case series from 2019 included 13 cats with ocular complications (14 eyes) following dental procedures. Maxillary regional anaesthesia was used in 8/13 cats (9/14 eyes), all performed via the transoral approach. Histopathological reports were available only for six enucleated globes, and the site of needle penetration was clearly identified in three of these globes. Although a poor tooth extraction technique may also result in inadvertent penetration of the globe, the authors concluded that the transoral maxillary nerve block should probably not be used further, or only with extreme caution, in cats. An advantage of the MF approach is that the needle is directed away from the globe and is advanced in close proximity to the orbital wall, therefore reducing the risk of perforation. The reason that the intraoral/transoral approach was correlated with a high incidence of globe penetration could be attributed to the frequent use of this technique in cats, although we are not aware of any study or survey that has investigated the frequency of use of these techniques. It is also important to emphasise that the risk of globe perforation can potentially occur with all the techniques presented in the current study and that caution should be taken with all techniques.
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Globe perforation, which is one of the complications described in the literature, and can be easily detected with CT imaging, was not observed in the present study with any of the approaches. However, owing to the small number of maxillae used for each approach, the probability of globe perforation cannot be completely ruled out. Previous reports of globe penetration include a case in a cat following intraoral/transoral approach to the caudal maxillary nerve block, and a case in a brachycephalic dog following an extraoral approach to the caudal maxillary nerve block. The primary disadvantage of both of these approaches is the blind insertion of a needle into the bulbar space in the direction of the globe. A case series from 2019 included 13 cats with ocular complications (14 eyes) following dental procedures. Maxillary regional anaesthesia was used in 8/13 cats (9/14 eyes), all performed via the transoral approach. Histopathological reports were available only for six enucleated globes, and the site of needle penetration was clearly identified in three of these globes. Although a poor tooth extraction technique may also result in inadvertent penetration of the globe, the authors concluded that the transoral maxillary nerve block should probably not be used further, or only with extreme caution, in cats. An advantage of the MF approach is that the needle is directed away from the globe and is advanced in close proximity to the orbital wall, therefore reducing the risk of perforation. The reason that the intraoral/transoral approach was correlated with a high incidence of globe penetration could be attributed to the frequent use of this technique in cats, although we are not aware of any study or survey that has investigated the frequency of use of these techniques. It is also important to emphasise that the risk of globe perforation can potentially occur with all the techniques presented in the current study and that caution should be taken with all techniques.
There are at least five different approaches to the maxillary nerve. It would have been interesting to compare injectate distribution following the intraoral/transoral and extraoral injection techniques to the IOF, IOC and MF techniques, and to evaluate their complications. Unfortunately, these comparisons were not performed in the present study owing to budget constraints and cadaver specimen availability. Another limitation is that CT resolution is not sufficient to detect small nerves, such as the maxillary and infraorbital nerves in cats. Therefore, it is only assumed that if there is more injected material in the IOC and at the MF, then the injectate had a better diffusion around the nerves and will most likely provide better local anaesthetic effect.

Conclusions
According to the findings of the present study, injection at the IOF, without entering the canal (IOF), did not seem to be effective with regard to caudal injectate distribution, and is probably less likely to produce a good local anaesthetic effect at the maxillary nerve. The mid-IOC will most likely produce the best distribution of injectate to the maxillary nerve and therefore is estimated to achieve a regional anaesthetic effect in live cats; although this technique should be used with caution in order not to accidentally insert the needle too deep and penetrate the globe. The transpalpebral approach (MF) might be a good alternative to the IOF approach in cases where ocular penetration is a concern, such as in brachycephalic cats. The clinical use of these approaches requires further investigation in live cats to determine their safety and efficacy.
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