Effect of Physiotherapy Treatment in Early Postpartum Period after Lower Segment Caesarean Section (LSCS)
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ABSTRACT

Background: The immediate postpartum period is more challenging for mothers who have had a caesarean delivery. The pain presented after a caesarean section makes the recovery difficult and delays the mother’s mobility. This study aimed to evaluate the effect of Physiotherapy treatment on Pain by VAS, Difference in PEFR and functional mobility by “time up and go” scale and on cadence.

Method: Mothers with lower segment caesarean section (LSCS) eligible to participate in the study were divided into two groups; routine nursing care only as to date was the practice. The experimental exercise group was given fixed physiotherapy protocol from postoperative (POD) 1 up to the day of discharge. The severity of pain was assessed with the visual analogue scale on POD 1 and the day of discharge and functional mobility was to be assessed with the “time up and go” scale and cadence on the day of discharge. Peak expiratory flow rate was taken op Pod 1 and day of discharge.

Result: There was a significant reduction in VAS at rest and movement within the group (P<0.01). There was no significant difference in VAS at rest and movement between the groups (P>0.05). There was a significant difference in mean PEFR at the day of discharge within and between the group (P<0.01), there was no significant difference in TUG and CADENCE between the group (P>0.05).

Conclusion: Early Postpartum physiotherapy was found to be beneficial in both the active patient group and also in the group where education and demonstration were given.
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INTRODUCTION

Pregnancy is a long and very special journey for women.¹ Term pregnancy has traditionally defined as a duration of 10 lunar months or 9 calendar months and 7 days since the first day of the last menstrual period.²³ There are two types of delivery for birth: vaginal delivery and caesarean delivery. With an incidence of around 25%, lower segment caesarean section (LSCS) becomes the most common abdominal surgery in the world performed on females.⁴ Births by caesarean sections, many of them unnecessary, have started to increase, globally. India has the highest annual rate for CS among all the East Asian countries which were 7.1% in 1998 and increased up to 16.7% in recent years.⁵⁶ A C-section is performed for the safety of the child and mother which might be at the risk of vaginal delivery is performed (emergency CS) or there is the chance of any danger to the baby or mother with vaginal delivery (planned CS).⁷⁸ The most common reasons for a C-section are fetal distress, prolonged labour, breech presentation, multiple gestations, previous section and CS on demand.⁴¹⁰ The lower abdominal transverse incision is adequate for the vast majority of caesarean operations. It has the advantages of cosmetic approval and minimal risk of postoperative complication.¹¹¹²
Post-natal care is necessary to ensure that no complications have developed in the woman after childbirth. The immediate postpartum period even more challenging for mothers who have had a caesarean delivery and most often occurs in the hospital setting, where the majority of women remain for approximately 2 days after a vaginal delivery and 3-5 days after caesarean delivery. The pain presented after a caesarean section makes the recovery difficult and delays the mothers’ mobility.12,13,14

Postpartum physiotherapy assessment can identify postural and structural weaknesses arising from the pregnancy, delivery, or postpartum conditions. Physiotherapy management should be comprised of ergonomics and education as the key components for women after childbirth. Exercise has been proven to be beneficial during pregnancy as well as in the post-partum period for up to 24 weeks. Postpartum exercise improves aerobic fitness, high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol levels, insulin sensitivity, and psychological well-being. Physical activity during postpartum is both a recommended and an essential contributor to maternal health. Physiotherapists instruct women in transverses abdominus, multifidus, and pelvic floor co-activation, which strengthens core stability and is beneficial in the prevention and treatment of back pain.15-18

Early ambulation is one of the very important parts of extensive postoperative care. That indicates that along with other exercises, the patient should be mobilised out of bed as soon as possible. A supervised programme within the first 24 hours is best and this should be reinforced every two hours by the team. Effective postoperative pain relief is also important to allow the patient to mobilize early.16,19

Several studies evaluated the effects of physiotherapy management in early post-CS patients and found that physiotherapy can improve the well-being of females after childbirth by improving productivity and quality of life in the early stage of post caesarean section.20,21,22 In India however there are several hospitals where such services are yet to be provided. The present study was undertaken to identify the benefits of physiotherapy post LSCS.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This interventional study was approved by SVIEC. Every consecutive mother who had undergone LSCS and was willing to participate in the study was recruited with the approval of the Obstetrician. Mothers with cardiac, respiratory, musculoskeletal or neurological problems and who were suffering from major pregnancy complication like severe anaemia, pregnancy-induced hypertension, and postpartum haemorrhage were excluded from the study. Participants were explained about the study and a written informed consent form was taken. Mothers eligible to participate in the study were divided into two groups by even and odd method. One control group and one was the experimental group. A total of 29 patients were recruited in the study. Out of which 14 were in the control group and 15 were in the experiment group. The Control group was verbally educated and demonstrated physiotherapy along with routine nursing care as to date was the practice. The experimental group underwent a structured physiotherapy programme from post-operative (pod) 1 up to the day of discharge in form of Assisted active and active movements of the limbs like ankle toe movements, leg slides, movement around the bed, bottom lift techniques using crook lying, gentle exercises, such as pelvic rock, knee rolls from side to side, abdominal contraction on expiration, gluteal contractions, pelvic tilt exercises and ambulation. Each exercise was performed 5-10 times. Ergonomic training was also given such as comfortable breastfeeding positions, sitting and lying on the bed, walking, elimination of urine, excretion of bowel material, diet, self-care and attention to the newborn.23,24,25

The severity of pain was assessed with a visual analogue scale (VAS) on pod 1 and the day of discharge and functional mobility was assessed with the “time up and go scale”,26 and cadence by pedometer on the day of discharge. Peak expiratory flow rate was taken on pod 1 and the day of discharge.

Statistical analysis

To check normality assumption descriptive statistics, normality plot, and Shapiro Wilk test was obtained for all data, it was found that PEFR at baseline in the control group and VAS on movement in the experimental group did not satisfy normality assumptions whereas all other parameters satisfied the normality assumptions. Therefore non-parametric test was carried out for PEFR at baseline in control and VAS on movement in the experimental group. A parametric test was carried for all other variables. The same type of normality check was done for difference (pre to post) of PEFR and VAS between the control and experimental group. It was seen that only VAS on movement difference in the experimental group was not satisfying normality assumptions and this variable was dealt with non-parametric and the rest of all with a parametric test.

RESULT

All data were entered into a Microsoft Excel sheet. Collected data were analysed using SPSS and STATA software. Descriptive statistics including mean, standard deviation (SD), and confidence interval (CI) were obtained.

Table 1 shows VAS at rest in both the groups and VAS on movement in the control group was significantly reduced (P<0.01). Also, PEFR in the experimental group was significantly increased (P<0.01). There was also a significant
Physical activity can be restorative and aid sleep, using pillows. Pain relief can occur rapidly if the mother’s weight is advantageously redistributed. It is also theoretically possible that the muscle activity triggers the endogenous opioids, to decrease invasiveness and stimulate the production of endogenous opioids. The present study found that PEFR amongst both the control and experimental group was significantly lesser than normal values of the same age group. One study with regards to PEFR quotes support from various other studies that PEFR progressively increases with advancing gestational age. Though different measurement devices, differences in the timing of each measurement, differences in how the study is conducted, and differences in statistical methods may in part explain these differing findings and conclusions concerning changes in PEFR during pregnancy. They explain their findings on a mechanical basis, pointing out the effect of uterine enlargement and maternal weight gain and that the women in their study were of mixed ethnicity. Further, the author also quotes Puranik et al. who measured PEF with a portable flow meter in an Indian population and found PEFR to decline throughout pregnancy. They attribute their findings to inadequate nutritional status and developing muscular weakness because of poor socioeconomic status in the studied population. The observations of that study would not apply to all populations because of variations in ethnic, social, and economic conditions. Hence, further studies would be warranted in different populations.

In conclusion, there was significant pain reduction within both groups. There was a significant increase in PEFR within the group and between the groups while other parameters showed no difference between groups. There was no difference between TUG & cadence. The study confirms the benefit of physiotherapy in both the active patient group and also the experimental group (P<0.01) as compared to the control. Thus it has been seen that physiotherapy given post-partum both structured and/or education in the present study was found to be effective.
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DISCUSSION

In the present study, a total of 29 patients who underwent LSCS has recruited the study (14 in the control group and 15 in the experimental group).

The control group comprised of participants who were just verbally educated and demonstrated physiotherapy (earlier this was also not done effectively and in some case, few instructions by nurses may have been given) whereas the experimental group received a structured physiotherapy programme to help prevent venous stasis, joint stiffness and peripheral oedema have been recommended and used. Encouragement of deep breathing exercises to keep lungs well ventilated, to decrease the risk of mucus accumulation and increase the venous return also have recommended and used in the literature. Physical activity can be resumed as soon as physically and medically safe. There are no published studies to indicate that, in the absence of medical complications, rapid resumption of activities will result in adverse effects.

Lígia de Sousa et al., “concluded Post caesarean section pain commonly rated as moderate leads to limitations of physical activities for sitting down, standing up, and walking. In the present study also the women rated their pain as moderate (mean VAS movement at 1st day in the control group 4.450, and in the experimental group 8.660) in activities like sitting down, standing up, walking.

In the present study, VAS at rest and movement of the control group on day 1 and discharge was significantly reduced (P<0.01). VAS at the rest of the experimental group on day 1 and discharge were significantly reduced (P<0.01). VAS on the movement of the experimental group on day 1 and at discharge shows a significant decrease in VAS (P<0.001). Several studies also conclude that the physiotherapy program in the early post-caesarean period reduces incisional pain.

It has been recommended that women must be helped to experiment to find comfortable positions for feeding, relaxation and sleep, using pillows. Pain relief can occur rapidly if the mother’s weight is advantageously redistributed. It is also theoretically possible that the muscle activity triggers the pain gait mechanism and may also stimulate the production of endogenous opioids.
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Table 1: Comparison within groups on day 1 and at discharge using Sharpio-Wilk test

| Group               | Outcome Measure          | Mean difference | SD    | T    | P-value* |
|---------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|-------|------|----------|
| Control group       | Difference of VAS on rest| 1.8214          | 1.1477| 5.938| 0        |
| Control group       | Difference of VAS on movement| 4.45500      | 2.0986| 7.934| 0        |
| Experimental group  | Difference of VAS on rest| 2.0133          | .9819 | 7.941| 0        |
| Experimental group  | Difference in PEFR       | -85.333         | 31.366| -10.537| 0        |

P value <0.05 is considered statistically significant, SD= standard deviation

Table 2: Comparison within groups on day 1 and at discharge using Wilcoxon signed ranks test

| Group                | Outcome Measure           | Mean difference | SD             | Z     | P value* |
|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|----------------|-------|----------|
| Experimental group   | Difference of VAS on movement| 8.6600         | 12.91200       | -3.423| 0.001    |
| Control group        | Difference in PEFR        | 27.8571         | 16.72335       | -3.207| 0.001    |

P value <0.05 is considered statistically significant, SD= standard deviation

Table 3: Comparison between groups for normally distributed data

| Outcome Measure        | Group        | Mean   | SD    | Mean difference | T    | P value* |
|------------------------|--------------|--------|-------|-----------------|------|----------|
| VAS on rest            | Control      | 1.821  | 1.1477| -0.1919         | -0.485| 0.632    |
|                        | Experimental | 2.013  | .9819 |                 |      |          |
| PEFR at discharge      | Control      | 149.29 | 26.736| -63.381         | -5.923| 0        |
|                        | Experimental | 212.67 | 30.582|                 |      |          |
| Difference in PEFR     | Control      | 27.8571| 16.72335| 57.476619     | -6.091| 0        |
|                        | Experimental | 85.3333| 31.36574|            |      |          |
| Cadence                | Control      | 94.71  | 8.398 | -5.286          | -1.688| 0.103    |
|                        | Experimental | 100.00 | 8.452 |                 |      |          |
| TUG                    | Control      | 9.60   | 1.117 | 0.377           | 0.894| 0.379    |
|                        | Experimental | 9.22   | 1.152 |                 |      |          |

P value <0.05 is considered statistically significant, SD= standard deviation

Table 4: Comparison between groups for data which were not normally distributed using data Mann Whitney U Test

| Outcome Measure         | Group        | Mean   | SD    | Z     | P value* |
|-------------------------|--------------|--------|-------|-------|----------|
| VAS on movement at baseline | Control | 4.450  | 2.0986| -1.369| 0.171    |
|                        | Experimental | 8.660  | 12.9120|      |          |
| VAS on movement at the discharge | Control | 0      | 0     | 0     | 1.000    |
|                        | Experimental | 0      | 0     |      |          |
| PEFR at baseline       | Control      | 121.43 | 31.344| -0.617| 0.537    |
|                        | Experimental | 127.33 | 31.502|      |          |

P value <0.05 is considered statistically significant, SD= standard deviation