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ABSTRACT

This study aims to investigate the effects of experience and gender of the managers on the relationship between internationalization and business performance of manufacturing firms in Turkey. Based on data collected by the World Bank for 263 manufacturing enterprises in Turkey and by regression estimation, the results show that the relationship between internationalization and firm performance was enhanced when the manager had more years of experience. In contrast, this relationship will be reduced when the business has a female executive manager. The result adds to the empirical evidence and reinforces the theory of internationalization, especially in transition economies. The implications of the research are to help policymakers to promulgate appropriate policies to support and accelerate the internationalization of businesses.

1. Introduction

Internationalization and efficiency of business operations have been a matter of great concern in the field of international business by scholars around the world for more than five decades. When expanding operations to global markets, companies have growth opportunities, can accumulate knowledge from foreign markets, and help businesses reduce production costs and incurring costs due to international environmental uncertainty (Contractor et al., 2007). However, internationalization also harms firm performance. For example, businesses will face risks and may face failure when expanding internationally (Benito-Osori et al., 2016). Although there have been many previous empirical studies on internationalization and business performance, the empirical results are often inconsistent due to differences in analytical method and research period, and sometimes contradictions lead to mixed conclusions. Most of these studies are conducted in countries with developed economies, and the research subjects are multinational companies. While many other empirical studies have found a linear relationship between internationalization and business performance of multinationals in the world and Taiwan (Hsu, 2006; Lin et al., 2011) and including the positive (negative) impact of internationalization on business performance of emerging market firms (Cuervo-Cazurra et al., 2018) and the U.S. market (Tashman et al., 2019), Riahi-Belkaoui (1998) is one of the rare scholars who finds a non-linear relationship between the degree of internationalization and the business performance of multinational companies in the large-scale economy (USA).
The role of moderating variables in the relationship between internationalization and business performance has attracted interest, although quite rare since 2006, such as the study by Hsu et al. (2013). Furthermore, research on the manager's role is scarce. According to the Uppsala model, Vahlne and Johanson (2017) pointed out that managerial competence is viewed as an essential key in achieving growth and that managers play a vital role in making decisions on doing business in foreign markets. Upper-echelons theory in organizations has shown that to manage complexity from international markets and ambiguity, the role characteristically of the manager in decision-making when processing information is vital. Adequate confidence is necessary (Herrmann & Datta, 2006). Therefore, the study's question is whether or not and how the positive effect of internationalization on business performance will be enhanced or decreased when there is a moderating effect from the managers' personal characteristics.

This research will contribute to the literature review of internationalization by threefold: (1) provide additional empirical evidence for the theory of internationalization; (2) highlight a vital role of personal traits of the managers of the firms; (3) provide a particular research context, i.e., manufacturing enterprises in transition economies.

2. Literature review

Internationalization is the process by which businesses expand their business to foreign markets. Internationalization is a significant growth strategy for businesses when the domestic market is limited; internationalization helps companies grow their economic scope and scale, and at the same time, helps companies reduce input costs (Dunning, 1988). According to researchers, internationalization is also understood as the process of firms increasing their participation in foreign markets and making strategic decisions to increase international sales (George et al., 2005). When businesses participate in overseas markets, there will be many benefits, such as increasing knowledge about foreign markets, enhancing competitiveness through gaining practical experience, and exploiting local strategic assets (Ghoshal & Bartlett, 1990). Expanding business operations to a new market also creates many challenges and increases costs for businesses, particularly regarding the legal liability of "外国人" when doing business in another market (Hymer, 1976). Besides, to be successful in the international market, companies must understand the cultural characteristics of the market they want to participate in, to make product innovations suitable for the market. Therefore, for a business to be successful and limit the risks of uncertainty, the complexity and constant volatility of foreign markets depend significantly on the leading executive role. The business performance of a firm shows the firm's ability to use its resources to achieve its goals. Experimental results in the world have also demonstrated that the relationship between internationalization and business performance of enterprises in the period from 1998 to the first three months of 2020 is non-linear (shape The U, the inverted U, the S, and the W), are sometimes linear (forward, inverse) and mixed relationship. Internationalization is also explained in the direction of considering the methods of entering the international market of the business, more clarification in the Uppsala model. The Uppsala model, also known as the "internationalization process" theory, was developed from Uppsala University by Johanson and Vahlne (1977). This model explains that the internationalization of a business can be divided into four stages of development: (1) no regular exports, (2) exports through independent representatives, (3) sales branches in foreign markets, and (4) production in international markets. Over the past four decades (from 1977 to 2017), Johanson and Vahlne have repeatedly developed the Uppsala model, the 2017 Uppsala model is their newest model, and the management ability is considered as a significant bottleneck in achieving growth (Vahlne & Johanson, 2017). We argue that internationalization is a complicated business strategy and is tied to the business managers' decision or choice of doing business. A business manager is a unit of analysis. Upper-Echelon theory refers to groups of people with high social status (Hambrick, 2007) or top managers of the business, such as CEOs, senior managers, or top management team (board). Hambrick and Mason (1984) argued that firm performance is influenced by factors related to the manager's personal characteristics; personal opinions change the perceptions of the manager, and these affect the choice of a firm's business strategy (Hambrick, 2007). Many studies use Upper-Echelon theory to explain a firm's internationalization strategy related to the traits of managers such as experience (Cavusgil et al., 2012), education level, age (Herrmann & Datta, 2005), gender (Ho et al., 2015).

Managerial experience. Management experience is measured by the number of years that the manager is working in the current position. As the number of years working increases also means that the number of managers' experience increases, knowledge accumulation during the working time will create experience and motivation for international business expansion. (Johanson & Vahlne, 1977). A manager with knowledge accumulated increasingly after years of working in a leadership position creates valuable experiences in dealing with the complexities and uncertainties of international markets while overcoming the psychic distance associated with doing business in global markets (Johanson & Vahlne, 2009). These experiences values motivate them to develop strategies and expand their business to new international markets (Tihayi et al., 2000). At the same time, managers' experience also directly affects the business results of firms in global markets (Athanassiou & Nigh, 2000). Along with the expertise, knowledge of foreign cultures, as well as the selection of business methods of managers, will help businesses eliminate barriers of cultural differences through innovation and innovation product policies, brand promotion to suit the culture of each country.

Hypothesis H1: Managerial experience will positively moderate the relationship between the degree of internationalization and firm performance.
**Managers' gender.** Turkey is a country with a prosperous transition economy, and the Turkish government is always supportive of corporate business; it is trying to reinforce Islamic values, which could hinder the advancement of women in society (Burke, 2012). Some studies show that Men and Women in Turkey consider women as houseworkers, suitable for the role of motherhood (Kabasakal et al., 2004). Men are represented in the majority of the leadership roles in Turkey (Kabasakal et al., 2011), and women are not represented in the senior leadership ranks or the committee director of a business (Broadbridge, 2010). The empirical studies have shown that male managers bring more benefits to businesses than women (Fairlie & Robb, 2009). Firms headed by women do not have the majority of the financial resources to be led by men (Williams, 2013). Since internationalization is a cost-effective strategy to maintain international relations and strengthen its position in the market, a business with a South manager is more suitable to engage in the process. Next, male managers face less discriminatory barriers and barriers to entry into international markets than Female managers (Brush et al., 2004). At the same time, ideological stereotypes against women also create doubts from new clients about women's performance and their ability to deliver quality products to international markets on time (Fernando, 2005). All of these make such a significant obstacle to the internationalization process if the manager is a female.

Hypothesis H2: The female manager will negatively moderate the relationship between the degree of internationalization and firm performance.

**3. Research method**

**Data**

This study uses secondary data sources on Turkey surveyed by the European Bank for Reconstruction and Development (EBRD), the European Investment Bank (EIB), and the World Bank (W.B.) on the business environment and business performance of 4,159 enterprises. The panel data set used in this study are firms are operating in the manufacturing and manufacturing sector of Turkey in 3 survey periods in 2018, January 2013 - December 2014 and September 9. 2018 - May 2019. The final sample used for analysis has 789 observations, including 263 processing and manufacturing enterprises.

**Estimation model**

The regression method with Feasible Generalized Least Squares (FGLS) is used to estimate the moderating effects on the relationship between the independent and dependent variables. The multicollinearity phenomenon is not a concern in this study (Hair et al., 2006) because the correlation coefficients in the Pearson correlation matrix of the variables are all less than 0.8, and the VIF index is below the "threshold" value 10.0. To choose between REM and FEM models, we conducted a Hausman test. Accordingly, with Prob> chi2 = 0.0714 and greater than P-value = 0.05 (accept H0 hypothesis), the REM model is more suitable than the FEM model. Then, the Lagrange test is used to check the heteroskedasticity of the REM model. As a result, it obtains Prob> chibar2 = 0.0000 smaller than P-value = 0.05, so rejecting hypothesis H0 (homogeneous variance), the model exists the heteroscedasticity phenomena with significance level 0.05. To cope with this issue, we use the Feasible Generalized Least Squares (FGLS) method as a method to correct this issue and thus increase the effectiveness of the estimation model.

The estimation model is constructed as follows:
ROS\textsubscript{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1doi\textsubscript{it} + \beta_2firmsize\textsubscript{it} + \beta_3femalesown\textsubscript{it} + \beta_4applyloans\textsubscript{it} + \beta_5laborreg\textsubscript{it} + \beta_6eduwork\textsubscript{it} + \beta_7expertm\textsubscript{it} + \beta_8gendertm\textsubscript{it} + \beta_9(doiex)\textsubscript{it} + \beta_10(doige)\textsubscript{it} + \epsilon\textsubscript{it}

**Dependent variable:** ROS\textsubscript{it} is the Return on sales of the business performance of Turkish processing and manufacturing firms at time t.

**Independent variable:** doi\textsubscript{it}; degree of internationalization of the firms.

**Control variable:** firmsize\textsubscript{it}, femalesown\textsubscript{it}, applyloans\textsubscript{it}, laborreg\textsubscript{it}; labor regulation, eduwork\textsubscript{it}; labor’s education

**Moderator variables:** exttm\textsubscript{it}; managerial experience, gendertm\textsubscript{it}; lmanager gender

**Interaction terms:**

- doiex: the interaction between the degree of internationalization and management experience (measured by multiplying the doi variable and the extm variable),
- doige: the interaction between the degree of internationalization and the gender of the leader (measured by multiplying the doi variable and the variable gendertm together).

\( \beta_0 \): intercept (constant)
\( \beta_i \): are the coefficients representing the marginal impact of factor i in the model, 
\( i=1, \ldots, N \), where N is the number of firms in the sample; \( t = 1, \ldots, T \), where T is the research period.
and \( \epsilon\textsubscript{it} \) is the random error of the model.

### Table 1

| Define | Symbol | Measure | Expected |
|--------|--------|---------|----------|
| Dependent variable | | | |
| Return on Sales | ROS | The rate of profit on total sales (Xiao et al., 2013) | |
| Independent variables | | | |
| Degree of internationalization | doi | Percentage of export revenue over total revenue: \( \frac{\text{direct export sales}}{\text{total revenue}} + \frac{\text{indirect export revenue}}{\text{total revenue}} \) (Xiao et al., 2013; Gashi et al., 2014) | (-) |
| Moderator variables | | | |
| Managerial experience | expertm | Years of experience = years of managers in the manufacturing and processing industry (Johanson & Vahlne, 1990) | (+) |
| Manager gender | gendertm | Manager’s gender, 1 = Female, 0 = Male (Bathula, 2008) | (+) |
| Control variables | | | |
| Firm size | firm size | Number of employees in the firms 0 is small and medium-sized enterprise (\( \geq 5 \) to \( \leq 99 \) employees), 1 is a large-scale enterprise (\( \geq 100 \) people) (Cerrato & Piva, 2012) | (+) |
| Females business owners | femalesown | Whether women own the business or not Dummy variable (1 = yes, 0 = no) (Fairtl & Robb, 2009) | (-) |
| Loans | applyloans | Business with or without a loan Dummy variable (1 = Yes, 0 = no) (Hibbert, 2000; Erdogan, 2015) | (-) |
| Labor regulations | laborreg | Likert-5 levels: "To what extent, labor regulations are an obstacle to business operations." 0: no obstacles 4: extremely obstacles (Baykal & Gunes, 2004; Almeida & Carneiro, 2009) | (+) |
| Education level of labor | eduwork | Likert-5 levels: "At what level, the education level of labor is an obstacle to the operation of the business." 0: no obstacles till 4: extremely obstacle (Baykal & Gunes, 2004) | (-) |

### 4. Results and discussion

Table 2 shows the results of the descriptive statistics of the variables in the research model. The average value of the return on sales of the business (ROS) is 43.412%, the maximum value is 100%, and the smallest amount is -1994.94%. The degree of
internationalization, on average, reaches 0.293%, with the highest value being 100% and the lowest 0%. Moreover, the average of the managers' experience is about 23 years. Meanwhile, most of the managers are male rather than female (see Table 2).

### Table 2
Descriptive statistics

| # | Variable name                        | Symbol | Number of observations | Mean    | Standard deviation | Minimum | Maximum |
|---|--------------------------------------|--------|------------------------|---------|--------------------|---------|---------|
| 1 | Return on Sales (ROS) (%)            | ros    | 789                    | 43,412  | 34,278             | -199,94 | 100     |
| 2 | Degree of Internationalization (DOI) | doi    | 789                    | 0,293   | 0,368              | 0       | 100     |
| 3 | Managerial experience                | expertm| 789                    | 23,099  | 12,047             | 2       | 70      |
| 4 | Manager’s gender                     | genderm| 789                    | 0,074   | 0,261              | 0       | 1       |
| 5 | Firm size                           | firmsize| 789                  | 0,274   | 0,446              | 0       | 1       |
| 6 | Females own businesses               | femalesown| 789             | 0,313   | 0,464              | 0       | 1       |
| 7 | Loans                                | applyloans| 789                | 0,398   | 0,490              | 0       | 1       |
| 8 | Labor regulation                     | laborregu| 789               | 1,137   | 1,280              | 0       | 4       |
| 9 | Education level of labor             | eduwork| 789                    | 1,572   | 1,418              | 0       | 4       |

Source: World Bank Enterprises Survey (2019)

Next, Table 3 presents the correlation matrix between pairs of variables in the model, Table 4 presents the regression results of 3 models Pooled OLS, REM, and FEM. Regression results with FGLS estimates are shown in Table 5.

### Table 3
Description of the statistics and correlation table (n = 789)

| Variables | Mean | S.D  | VIF  | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | 8  | 9  |
|-----------|------|------|------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| 1. Return on Sales | 43,412 | 34,278 | 1   |  |  |  |  |  |  | |  |
| 2. Degree of Internationalization | 0,293 | 0,368 | 5,03 | -0,021** | 1 |  |  | |  | | | |
| 3. Managerial experience | 23,099 | 12,047 | 1,68 | 0,011** | 0,012** | 1 | | | | | |
| 4. Manager’s gender | 0,074 | 0,261 | 2,05 | -0,031** | 0,078* | -0,069* | 1 | | | | | |
| 5. Firm size | 0,274 | 0,446 | 1,11 | 0,010** | 0,257*** | 0,069* | 0,012** | 1 | | | | |
| 6. Female business owner | 0,313 | 0,464 | 1,16 | -0,114** | 0,119*** | 0,058** | 0,312*** | 0,143*** | 1 | | |
| 7. Loans | 0,398 | 0,490 | 1,07 | -0,165*** | 0,153*** | 0,033** | -0,011** | 0,134*** | 0,104** | 1 | |
| 8. Labor regulations | 1,137 | 1,280 | 1,73 | 0,082* | -0,087* | -0,089* | 0,027* | -0,032* | -0,087* | -0,121*** | 1 |
| 9. Education level of labor | 1,572 | 1,418 | 1,70 | -0,012** | -0,048** | -0,024** | 0,065* | 0,037** | -0,047** | 0,012** | 0,628*** | 1 |

Note: (***) p <0.001; (**) p <0.01; (*) p <0.05; (ns) p > 0.10 (non-significant);

### Table 4
Pooled OLS, REM, FEM models

| Variables | Pooled OLS | REM | FEM |
|-----------|------------|-----|-----|
| Constant  | 50.51*** (4.011) | 49.04*** (3.837) | 43.60*** (4.547) |
| Control variables |
| Firm size | 2.913** (2.834) | 3.057** (2.880) | 4.514** (3.857) |
| Female business owner | -8.170** (3.138) | -7.529** (2.723) | -4.954** (3.201) |
| Loans | -10.19*** (2.665) | -9.378*** (2.513) | -6.213** (3.104) |
| Labor regulations | 2.859* (1.188) | 2.190* (1.225) | -0.0262* (1.533) |
| Education level of labor | -1.937* (0.984) | -1.251* (0.995) | 0.864* (1.353) |
| Main effect |
| Degree of internationalization | -12.78** (8.368) | -10.23** (7.167) | -1.285* (8.513) |
| Managerial experience | -0.117** (0.127) | -0.092** (0.126) | -0.016** (0.146) |
| Manager’s gender | 10.21** (5.860) | 11.15** (6.400) | 14.11** (7.428) |
| Moderator effect |
| Degree of internationalization × Managerial experience | 0.727* (0.316) | 0.621* (0.279) | 0.296* (0.323) |
| Degree of internationalization × manager’s gender | -25.78** (13.998) | -28.43** (10.965) | -35.61** (12.880) |

Note: (***) p <0.001, (**) p <0.01, (*) p <0.05, (n.s) p > 0.1 (non-significant), the values in parentheses are standard errors.
Table 5
Feasible Generalized Least Squares (FGLS)

| Variables                          | Return on sales: ROS |                      |                      |                      |                      |
|------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Constant                           | -48.93*** (1.391)    | 47.73*** (2.104)     | 52.61*** (2.325)     | 47.72*** (2.077)     | 51.51*** (2.397)     |
| Control variables                  |                      |                      |                      |                      |                      |
| Firm size                          | 5.959*** (1.445)     | 5.647*** (1.501)     | 4.106*** (1.632)     | 4.887*** (1.556)     | 3.443* (1.691)       |
| Female business owners             | -6.749*** (1.349)    | -6.616*** (1.461)    | -6.614*** (1.533)    | -6.106*** (1.537)    | -6.888*** (1.666)    |
| Loans                              | -10.22*** (1.256)    | -9.354*** (1.502)    | -8.897*** (1.523)    | -9.901*** (1.544)    | -9.560*** (1.613)    |
| Labor regulation                   | 3.460*** (0.643)     | 3.770*** (0.686)     | 3.835*** (0.686)     | 3.422*** (0.683)     | 3.401*** (0.728)     |
| Education level of labor           | -2.347*** (0.530)    | -2.605*** (0.555)    | -2.436*** (0.598)    | -2.376*** (0.554)    | -2.245*** (0.629)    |
| Model 1                            |                      |                      |                      |                      |                      |
| Model 2                            |                      |                      |                      |                      |                      |
| Model 3                            |                      |                      |                      |                      |                      |
| Model 4                            |                      |                      |                      |                      |                      |
| Model 5                            |                      |                      |                      |                      |                      |

Main effects

- Degree of internationalization
  -1.534*** (1.900)
-16.54*** (4.202)
-1.729*** (2.087)
-9.588*** (4.632)

- Managerial experience
  0.064*** (0.579)
-0.138*** (0.077)
0.057*** (0.058)
-0.096*** (0.079)

- Manager's gender
  -0.933*** (3.144)
-0.885*** (2.855)
10.75 (4.981)
9.963 (4.899)

Moderator effects

- Degree of internationalization x Managerial experience
  0.647*** (0.167)
0.476*** (0.177)

- Degree of internationalization x Manager's gender
  -24.65*** (6.616)
-21.21*** (6.837)

- Labor regulations
-2.347*** (0.530)

- Loans
-10.22*** (1.256)

- Labor level
-3.460*** (0.643)

- Education level of labor
-2.347*** (0.530)

- Return on sales: ROS
-48.93*** (1.391)

Note: (***) p < 0.001, (**) p < 0.01, (*) p < 0.05, (ns) p > 0.10 (non. significant), the value in parentheses is standard error.

Model 5 in Table 5 includes all the main variables in the research model. the value Prob> chi2 = 0.000 shows the suitability of the model with actual data at 99% confidence level. All variables in model 5 are statistically significant, except for the variable of managerial experience (β = -0.096). There are 4 variables positively correlated with the return on sale (ROS) including: firm size (β1 = 3.443), labor regulations (β3 = 3.401), manager's gender (βs = 9.963), the interaction between the degree of internationalization and managerial experience (β7 = 0.476). They are statistically significant at 5%, 0.1%, 5%, and 1%. Nevertheless, the remaining variables have a negatively correlated with the return on sales (ROS): business owner is Female (β2 = -6.888), loan (β3 = -9.560), labor level (β5 = -2.245), the degree of internationalization (β6 = -9.588), the interaction between the degree of internationalization and the manager’s gender (β10 = -21.21) and all have statistical significance at 0.1%, 5%, and 1% level. According to model 5, there is a negative relationship between the degree of internationalization and firm performance, but it is insignificant. This connection is contrary to expectation. It is because internationalization is a risky process, and firms have to burden additional costs in which these costs exceed the benefits that the business achieves, leading to a decline in the firm performance. In the early stage of internationalization, the costs incurred will also increase because firms often focus on market exploration, enhancing knowledge learning, and experience in international markets (Johanson, & Vahlne, 1977). At the same time, companies have to deal with obstacles and cost barriers such as the cost of the liability of "foreigners" (Hymer, 1976); the costs of adapting to cultures and institutions in different countries (Contractor et al., 2007); corporate governance and administration costs (Contractor et al., 2003); shipping costs and tariffs (Contractor et al., 2007).

Model 5 in Table 5 shows the interaction of internationalization level and leader experience (variable doiex) positively correlated. This result implies that the relationship between the degree of internationalization and the firm's business performance is strengthened as the managers' years of working experience increase. Therefore, hypothesis H2 has been accepted. Experience in management positions helps leaders gain knowledge and confidence in managing and managing businesses. Those things create the motivation for leaders to have strategies to develop products and expand business activities to international markets; the manager's experience also assists them in coping with the complexities and uncertainties of global markets; and directly affects the business performance of firms in international markets. Also, managers can use their experience to interact with partners in foreign markets better, contribute to building trust and enhancing the reputation and image of the business in mind and thereby providing to the elimination of barriers of distance (cultural, social and geographical) in the process of expanding cross-border business activities.

Besides, model 5 shows that the interaction between the degree of internationalization and manager's gender is negatively correlated with firm performance. The hypothesis H2 is hence supported. Hence, the relationship between the degree of internationalization and the firm's business performance will decline when the firm has a female manager, in contrast, a male manager will contribute to enhancing the positive effects of internationalization on the business performance. It can be explained that male managers are more successful than female leaders because, unlike women, men are not typically more focused on aspects such as risk reduction and risk aversion, and resilience, and higher risk tolerance (Maden, 2015).
5. Conclusion

Internationalization plays a vital role as an increasingly necessary and valuable strategy for businesses (Hsu et al., 2013), and in particular, this strategy is even more relevant and essential for firms in a transition economy like Turkey. This study used Moderated Multiple Regression analysis (MMR) with the feasible general least-squares estimation (FGLS) method to find empirical evidence to support the hypotheses. Namely, the degree of internationalization has a more substantial positive influence on firm performance if the managers are males and have more managerial experience. The relationship between the degree of internationalization and firm performance may vary depending on the managerial characteristics of the firms. Therefore, firms may consider the personal traits of the managers of the firms carefully before they decide to expand the market in the global context. The results show several essential governance implications for corporate boards and managers who aspire to become senior managers in an international environment. First, to have a better performance, firms, or managerial boards of the firms may be better able to choose males and who have much experience than females and who have less experience in operating the internationalization process. Second, female managers may better improve their international management experience in order to cope with additional transaction costs in foreign countries such as the “newness” and the “liability of foreignness”.

This study goes without limitations. First, this result is limited to manufacturing firms, so that it is difficult to generalize to different business sectors. Second, the number of female managers may depend on a particular culture, region, and the whole country, but the data shows inadequate. Future research should extend the scope of research space and time (phases of internationalization); consider further personal characteristics of the managers such as functional experience, education, age, marital status, concurrent rights in the business, foreign language ability, cultural contexts. Third, research in the future may pay attention to the influence of top managers' characteristics without considering how other members of the corporate board of directors affect the relationship between the degree of internationalization and business performance. Finally, scholars may use diversified indices to measure firm performance.
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