Experimental and Virtual Studies in Mechanical Applications of PP-RCT Pipes with Armored Materials
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The new generation of newly developed materials, namely the high-crystallinity and high temperature polypropylene random copolymer, called PP-RCT, increases the crystalline capability of polypropylene, which allows pipes produced from this material to operate at higher pressures at elevated temperatures. Pressure tests on pipes made of PP-RCT materials show a resistance over a period of 50 years at 700°C (1580 F) 5 MPa (725 psi) compared with 3.2 MPa (464 psi) for standard materials PPR. By reinforcing PP-RCT with aluminum, glass and basalt composite results in a more resistant structure. As a result of the traction tests, it was found that in all three samples the tensile strength exceeds the manufacturer’s limit (10 - 12.7 MPa), the values obtained being: \( \sigma_{t1} = 8 \) MPa - sample no. 1; \( \sigma_{t2} = 13 \) MPa - sample no. 2; \( \sigma_{t3} = 11 \) MPa - sample no. 3. The fiber glass insert is less resistant, the best variant being the basal insect sample (\( \sigma_{t3} = 13 \) MPa). Elongation at break for specimen no. 3 was recorded at 0.027 mm / mm. Tests on these material combinations were performed for stretching and flexing requests and compared to 3D created virtual models. Simulations of internal pressure behavior were also performed for different material configurations and the results were compared with the experimental data and the data supplied by the manufacturers.
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Plastic materials quickly replace copper pipes and fittings in sanitary and heating systems around the world. Over the past 20 years, pressure pipe systems made from random polypropylene copolymer (PPR) have been widely adopted by the sanitary and heating industry in many countries around the world. However, while the components of the system have been progressively improved during this period, the base plastic (polypropylene) used has remained essentially the same [1-4].

PP-RCT is a polypropylene-random copolymer that possesses a unique morphology. Compared to the standard PPR, which shows only the monoclinic form (\( \alpha \)-form), the crystalline structure of PP-RCT consists of a high degree of hexagonal form (\( \beta \) form) and to a small extent of the monoclinic form.

The presence of both crystalline forms can be easily seen in the melting behavior of PP-RCT because it shows two distinct peaks in the DSC plot (fig. 1) of the second heating curve.

The formation of the hexagonal crystal structure is performed using a special nucleation technology (for crystals \( \beta \)). The addition of a nuclear agent provides crystallization nuclei which greatly increase the growth rate of \( \beta \) crystals and thus favor the formation of a stable hexagonal crystalline structure [4-6].

The special \( \beta \)-nuclear agent not only promotes the development of the hexagonal structure but also leads to a fine crystal structure and a homogeneous distribution of crystallite size (fig. 2), attributes that positively affect the mechanical characteristics of a material.

Figure 2 Nucleation \( \beta \) results in very small crystals, predominantly hexagonal structures, and a uniform distribution of crystalline (left) compared to the non-nucleated monoclinic structure of PP (right)

One of the most important properties of a polymeric material used for hot and cold water is resistance to internal pressure for different temperatures. The internal pressure behavior assessment method is standardized and described in ISO 9080 and was performed on Beta-PPR RA7050 polypropylene produced by Dynatherm PIPE UPG. The Beta-PPR RA7050 material is part of the new class of materials.

This investigation was carried out at the accredited Swedish Bodycote Polymer AB and tested 150 pipelines at 5 different temperatures. The highest test temperature was 110°C (230 F) and test times were greater than one year [7-10].

Experimental part
Materials and methods

PP-RCT pipelines provide a better flow ability of all exhaust points around the building and are highly appreciated by installers and designers in the field due to the thin-walled construction.
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![Fig. 1. DSC Diagram of the Second PP-RCT Heat Curve (measured on Beta-PP-R RA7050)](http://www.revmaterialeplastice.ro)
The paper presents mechanical tests on PP-RCT pipelines with different configurations (types of inserts). Samples have the following configurations:
1. PPR-RCT reinforced with composite glass fiber;
2. PPR-RCT reinforced with basaltic fiber;
3. PPR-RCT reinforced with aluminum insert.

Fiber-reinforced samples are taken from pipes having this insert positioned at half the wall thicknesses, as well as arched basalt insert. Samples taken from aluminum reinforced pipes have this insert positioned on the exterior of the pipe and are covered with a thin layer of polypropylene [9-11].

The mechanical tests performed were three-point bending and traction.

Measurement of mechanical properties as a result of bending and traction applications was carried out at the Prototyping and eco-design in renewable energy systems laboratory at the Institute of Multi-disciplinary Scientific and Technological Research at VALAHIA University in Targoviste (ICSTM-UVT) multifunctional MTS Bionix, equipped with the necessary kits for testing the three-point bending strength and testing the tensile strength.

**Determination of bending strength**

Determination of the bending strength [1-16] of the sample (σi) in the software of the Bionix MTS system is based on the following equations:

\[
\sigma_i = \frac{M}{W} \quad \text{(1)}
\]

\[
M = \frac{F \cdot L}{4} \quad \text{[Nmm]} \quad \text{and} \quad W = \pi \cdot \frac{(D^4 - d^4)}{32 \cdot D} \quad \text{[mm}^3]\quad \text{(2)}
\]

\[
R_i = \frac{8 \cdot F \cdot L}{\pi \cdot (D^4 - d^4)} \quad \text{[MPa]} \quad \text{(3)}
\]

where:
- \(M\) - bending strength [Nmm];
- \(W\) - resistance module of the sample section [mm³];
- \(F\) - is the applied force [N];
- \(L\) - the distance between the sample points [mm];
- \(D\) - the outside diameter of the sample [mm];
- \(d\) - the inner diameter of the sample [mm].

And the modulus of elasticity of the sample material, with the equation:

\[
E = \frac{F \cdot L^3}{48 \cdot y \cdot I_z} = \frac{64 \cdot F \cdot L^3}{48 \cdot y \cdot \pi \cdot (D^4 - d^4)} \quad \text{[MPa]} \quad \text{(4)}
\]

with:
\[
I_z = \frac{\pi \cdot (D^4 - d^4)}{64} \quad \text{[mm}^4]\quad \text{(5)}
\]

where:
- \(y\) - the arrow that the test makes under the force of the machine [mm].

The value of the arrow (fig. 3) is determined from the chart generated by the BIONix multifunctional system workbook following the test bending test.

**Results and discussions**

**Flexural bending**

The MTS Bionix multifunction system features the standard three-point bending resistance system. The calculation device for determining the maximum flexural
tension, maximum force and maximum arrow is based on formulas 1-5. Various graphical results (tensile - applied force, tensile-arrow, applied force - arrow, etc.) can be obtained. What is important is that for a specimen of material with some dimensional characteristics the variation of the arrow (displacement) can be determined depending on the maximum force applied and the maximum flexural tension [17,20,21].

In the figures (5-10), the results obtained for the bending test of the three types of specimens are presented.

The graphs of figures 5 to 10 generated by the measurement system have some particularities. The measuring device consists of the two supports mounted on the fixed (bottom) part of the system on which the sample is placed and the active, movable part mounted on the upper crosspiece. The measuring system establishes a zero point of the position of the force application device, point where, if the movement is upward (traction, for example) the displacements and forces values will be with the plus sign and if the movement has them down (bending, compression) then the values of displacements, applied forces and voltages will be displayed minus [18-24].

Also, the shift values (arrow) on the abscissa are ordered from right to left starting from the zero point and the ordered values of applied force and tensions will be ordered from top to bottom. Both graphs (force-displacement and tension-displacement) have a linear portion parallel to the abscissa, the portion corresponding to the empty distance traveled from the zero point until the roll of the force applicator reaches the specimen. The second portion represents the applied force-displacement and tension-displacement force curve. The values recorded in the graphs in figures 5-10 are for the specimens of the above dimensions and are tabulated (tables 1-3).

**Table 1**

| Results                      |                  |
|------------------------------|------------------|
| Maximum load                 | 0.991 kN         |
| Maximum Strength             | 0.436 kN/mm²     |
| Strain At Break              | #NUM! mm/mm      |
| Modulus                      | 226.23 MPa       |
| Offset Yield Strength (0.2%) | #NUM! kN/mm²     |

**Table 2**

| Results                      |                  |
|------------------------------|------------------|
| Maximum load                 | 0.993 kN         |
| Maximum Strength             | 0.496 kN/mm²     |
| Strain At Break              | #NUM! mm/mm      |
| Modulus                      | 252.645 MPa      |
| Offset Yield Strength (0.2%) | #NUM! kN/mm²     |

**Table 3**

| Results                      |                  |
|------------------------------|------------------|
| Maximum load                 | 0.995 kN         |
| Maximum Strength             | 0.21 kN/mm²      |
| Strain At Break              | #NUM! mm/mm      |
| Modulus                      | 128.849 MPa      |
| Offset Yield Strength (0.2%) | #NUM! kN/mm²     |
Determination of tensile strength

The tensile strength of the sample ($\sigma_t$) was tested on the Bionix MTS system and calculated with the soft equations:

- conventional characteristic curve:
  
  \[
  \sigma = \frac{F}{A_0} \quad [\text{MPa}]
  \]  
  
  \[
  \varepsilon = \frac{\Delta L}{L_0} \quad [\text{mm}]
  \]  
  
- real characteristic curve

  \[
  \sigma = \frac{F}{A_r} \quad [\text{MPa}]
  \]  
  
  \[
  \varepsilon = \frac{\Delta L}{L_0} \quad [\text{mm}]
  \]

where:

- $A_0$ - the area of the initial section of the specimen; $L_0$ - the initial length between the two cross sections of the specimen; $A_r$ - the area of the real momentary section of the specimen;
- $\sigma_p$ - Conventional proportionality limit; represents the voltage for which the deviation between the current elastic modulus $E_0$ and the initial elastic modulus $E_0$ is 10%. Deviation is calculated with the formula:

  \[
  \sigma_{10} = \frac{E_0 - E_\varepsilon}{E_0} \quad [\text{MPa}]
  \]  
  
  For materials that have no apparent flow limitation, it is conventional:

- $R_{p0.2}$ - the conventional flow limit for a non-proportional elongation prescribed by 0.2%;
- $R_{r0.2}$ - the residual flow limit for a residual elongation prescribed 0.2%.
- $R \rightarrow \sigma (R_\sigma)$ the breaking strength is the ratio between the maximum force to be achieved in the point $R$ and the area of the initial cross section of the specimen:

  \[
  \sigma_r = \frac{F_{\text{max}}}{A_0} \quad [\text{MPa}]
  \]

The specimens for measuring the mechanical properties of traction are three.

The dimensions of specimens:
- specimen no.1: $L_{\text{sample}} \times D \times d = 150 \times 20 \times 13.4 \text{ mm}$.
- specimen no 2: $L_{\text{sample}} \times D \times d = 150 \times 20 \times 13.4 \text{ mm}$.
- specimen no 3: $L_{\text{sample}} \times D \times d = 150 \times 25 \times 16.2 \text{ mm}$.

---

Results of Tensile Strength for the Specimen no.1

| Property                  | Value                        |
|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| Tensile load              | 2.360 kN                     |
| Tensile Strength          | 0.031 kN/mm²                 |
| Strain At Break           | 0.126 mm/mm                  |
| Modulus                   | 759.613 MPa                  |
| Offset Yield Strength     | 0.008 kN/mm²                 |

Table 4

Results of Tensile Strength for the Specimen no.2

| Property                  | Value                        |
|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| Tensile load              | 1.752 kN                     |
| Tensile Strength          | 0.029 kN/mm²                 |
| Strain At Break           | #NUM! mm/mm                  |
| Modulus                   | 1468.138 MPa                 |
| Offset Yield Strength     | 0.013 kN/mm²                 |

Table 5
The figures show the results obtained for the traction test of the three specimens.

As a result of these traction tests it was found that not all three samples withstand the tensile strength exceeding the manufacturer’s limit (10 - 12.7 MPa), the values obtained being: $\sigma_t = 8$ MPa - specimen no.1; $\sigma_t = 13$ MPa - specimen no. 2; $\sigma_t = 11$ MPa - specimen no.3.

The glass fiber insert test is less resistant. The best variant is the specimen with basaltic insertion ($\sigma_t = 13$ MPa).

Elongation at break for specimen no. 3 was recorded at 0.027 mm / mm.

**Virtual Pressure Internal Pressure Tests for PP-RCT Pipes**

Pressure tests on pipes made of PP-RCT materials demonstrate resistance over a period of 50 years at 700°C (1580°F) of 5 MPa (725 psi) compared with 3.2 MPa (464 psi) for standard PP-R materials.

Virtual samples were designed for virtual analysis:
- PP-RCT sample with glass fiber insert;
- PP-RCT sample with aluminum insert.

For the basalt insertion sample, it was not possible to establish the mechanical properties of the basalt.

**Virtual analysis of the composite glass fiber insert sample**

The PP-RCT sample with glass fiber [18,19] insert is made up of three layers: PP-glass fiber-PPR with identical thicknesses of the actual pipe (fig. 20).

For this sample, an internal pressure of 5 MPa was applied. The results obtained are shown in figures (21-23).

The maximum stress (with $\sigma_{von M} = 14.0$ MPa) is located inside the pipe where the stress was applied and is less than the material flow that was determined experimentally ($\sigma_c = 17$ MPa).[8]

Equivalent displacements (deformations) are small ($\delta = 0.037$ mm). The FOS safety factor is above the optimum value (3).

**Virtual analysis of the aluminum insert sample**

The PP-RCT Aluminum Injection Sample is made up of three layers: PP-Aluminum-PPR with identical thicknesses to the actual pipe (fig. 24)
For this sample, an internal pressure of 5 MPa was applied. The results obtained are presented in figures (25-27).

The maximum stress (with $M = 109.3$ MPa) lies inside the pipe where the stress was applied and is high in relation to the flow rate of the material from which the experiment was determined ($\sigma_c = 17$ MPa).

However, the equivalent displacements (deformations) are small ($\delta = 0.018$ mm), which means a calculation error because the FOS safety factor is also above the optimal value (3).

Conclusions

As a result of the traction tests, it was found that in all three samples the tensile strength exceeds the manufacturer’s limit (10 - 12.7 MPa), the values obtained being: $\sigma_t = 8$ MPa - sample no. 1; $\sigma_t = 13$ MPa - sample no. 2; $\sigma_t = 11$ MPa - sample no. 3. The fiber glass insert is less resistant, the best variant being the basal insect sample ($\sigma_t = 13$ MPa). Elongation at break for specimen no. 3 was recorded at 0.027 mm / mm.

Clearly, the PP-RCT pipeline systems offer a number of important benefits. This can be illustrated by an example from the Middle East, where it is common practice to install the water tank on the roof and use gravity to distribute water around the building. The use of PPR-RCT thin-walled pipes ensures better flowability of all exhaust points around the building and is highly appreciated by installers and designers in the industry.

PP-RCT pipeline pressure tests demonstrate a resistance over a period of 50 years at 70° C (1580 F) of 5 MPa (725 psi) compared with 3.2 MPa (464 psi) for standard materials PPR.

Offering an improvement of over 50% over the long term, PP-RCT allows designers to build thinner pipes, and smaller pipe sizes can also be used in some situations. This results in a superior hydraulic capacity of the pipe or the possibility of applying a higher pressure than with the standard PPR.
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