Selection of Outstanding Lecturers with Simple Additive Weighting Method
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Abstract— Lecturers who excel have the right to be selected and get promotions and awards according to their academic performance. However, Sriwijaya State Buddhist College in Tangerang still uses manual assessment so it requires a lot of time to process the assessment data. Therefore, we need a method of calculation of SAW (Simple Additive Weighting) that can be used as a media for performance appraisal of outstanding lecturers who can facilitate an objective assessment. The SAW calculation aims to assist in the calculation of several criteria in the assessment of the right achieving lecturer. This assessment includes performance appraisals that include commitment, integrity, service orientation, discipline, cooperation, and leadership. In addition to the criteria, the assessment also includes the Employee Performance Target (SKP) assessment. The Employee Performance Target is in the form of a total assessment of lecturer performance. Two criteria, namely performance and SKP will be used as a guide in calculating the selection of outstanding lecturers. Samples were taken as many as 20 lecturers at Sriwijaya State Buddhist College in Tangerang. The simple additive weighting method is effectively used in the selection of outstanding lecturers with an assessment limit of more than 0.88. Of the many candidates, there are three lecturers with adequate performance and SKP, with grades 0.922, 0.88, 0.94. So that the highest achieving lecturer with the highest score is 0.94.
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1. INTRODUCTION

One element in the administration of higher education is lecturers. Lecturers are academic staff who are tasked with implementing Tridharma Higher Education, namely teaching, research and community service. Lecturers are entitled to get promotions and awards according to their work assignments and achievements. Promotions and awards will be obtained based on the performance evaluation of lecturers. Lecturer Performance Assessment is important in managing employee performance. This is intended to find out how the quality of performance possessed by the lecturers, because lecturers are an important part in the development of an educational institution. Performance appraisal of lecturer staff has several assessment factors namely education, implementation of education, research, implementation of community service, and supporting academic activities of lecturers. By assessing the performance of lecturers, it can be seen that lecturers are high-achieving and qualified. The award will be given to qualified lecturers as a thank
Decision Support System (DSS) for Lecturer Achievement Selection at STMIK Tasikmalaya using the SAW method produces a list of outstanding lecturers with the addition of criteria so as to reduce the level of subjectivity (Mufizar, 2015).

The decision support system for the selection of outstanding lecturers using the SAW Method has been successfully built in the STMIK Budi Darma Medan environment. It can be implemented as an alternative in the objective decision making process (Rajagukguk & Limbong, 2017).

Decision Support System in the selection of outstanding lecturers at the Djadadjat Maritime Academy Jakarta using the method namely Simple Additive Weighting (SAW) method can speed up the process of determining the selection of outstanding lecturers with accurate calculations with the results of research that the outstanding lecturers are given to A13 with 14.4 results (Fiqih & Kusnadi, 2017).

B. Decision Support System

According to Holzinger (2011), Decision Support System (DSS) is an intelligent system that includes knowledge-based systems to support decision making activities quickly and accurately (Gustriansyah, 2016). According to Tariq and Rafi (2012), DSS uses data, provides an easy-to-use interface, and allows decision makers to use their own insights (Gustriansyah, 2016). According to Faqih and Irrigation (2014), in other words, a Decision Support System is a computer-based information system that produces various alternative decisions to assist management in dealing with various structured problems using data and models (Windarto, 2017). According to Nofriansyah (2014), Decision support systems (DSS) are usually built to support solutions to a problem or to an opportunity (Badrl, Rusdiansyah, & Budihartanti, 2019).

C. Outstanding Lecturer

In the Law of the Republic of Indonesia Number 14 of 2005 Concerning Teachers and Lecturers, Lecturers with high achievements are lecturers who in the past three years have had useful achievements and can be proud of their origin Universities, and are recognized on a national or international scale (Puspitasari & Ilmi, 2016).

D. Simple Additive Weighting (SAW)

The Simple Additive Weighting (SAW) method is often also known as the weighted sum method. The basic concept of the SAW method is to find a weighted sum of the performance ratings for each
alternative on all attributes. According to Jayanti (2015), the SAW Method requires the process of normalizing the decision matrix (X) to a scale that can be compared with all existing alternative ratings (Windarto, 2017).

The formula used to normalize is as follows:

\[ R_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{x_{ij}}{\text{Max } x_{ij}} & \text{jika } j \text{ adalah atribut keuntungan (benefit)} \\ \frac{x_{ij}}{\text{Min } x_{ij}} & \text{jika } j \text{ adalah atribut keuntungan (cost) } X_{ij} \end{cases} \]

Figure 1. Normalize Formula

Information:
Rij = The normalized performance rating from alternative Ai on attribute Cj: i = 1, 2, ..., m and j = 1, 2, ..., n
Max Xij = The biggest value of each criterion i
Min Xij = The smallest value of each criterion i
Benefit = If the biggest value is the best
Cost = If the smallest value is the best

The preference value for each alternative (Vi) is given the following formula:

\[ V_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} W_j R_{ij} \]

Figure 2. Preference Formula

Information:
Vi = Ranking for each alternative
Wj = Value of ranking weight (of each alternative)
rij = Normalized performance rating value

A greater value of Vi indicates that the alternative Ai is preferred.

III. PROPOSED METHOD

A. Data Collection

Data collection is done by interviewing the selection of high achieving lecturers and collecting files or documents related to the assessment. After all the data has been collected, the next step is to determine the criteria and minimum value limits for lecturer achievement.

B. Data Analysis

Data analysis using simple additive weighting (SAW) method. SAW by using the formula that has been explained in the literature review chapter. Based on the current document, the assessment of outstanding lecturers uses two criteria, namely performance and the Employee Performance Target (SKP). Each criterion has a weight of 40% and 60%. Based on the specified weight, the assessment of lecturer achievement has a value limit that is more than 0.88.

IV. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

A. Calculation of SAW

The study was conducted at Sriwijaya State Buddhist High School in Tangerang with stages beginning with data collection by interviewing the assessment team and collecting documents in the form of a list of lecturers' values in which there were various performance criteria and the amount of their grades. The overall assessment is the lecturers who teach at Sriwijaya State Buddhist College. Samples of lecturers taken were 20 people, with the criteria of performance and SKP. Performance includes several sub-criteria, namely commitment, integrity, service orientation, discipline, cooperation, and leadership. Whereas meant by SKP is employee performance target.

Because performance appraisal there are six types of assessment (commitment, integrity, service orientation, discipline, cooperation and leadership). The six types of performance will be calculated on average then will be divided by the maximum value of performance.

The next step is normalization using a predetermined formula. After that, calculate the preferences of each criterion using the preference calculation formula. A lecturer with a preference value of more than 0.88 is an outstanding lecturer. The final results of calculations using the SAW method can be seen in the following figure 3.
Table 2 shows the six types of performance will be calculated on average. Calculation of the SAW formula starts with normalization for all criteria.

- Performance Normalization

\[
\begin{align*}
C &: \frac{79.33}{91} = 0.871 \\
B &: \frac{76.33}{91} = 0.838 \\
P &: \frac{77.5}{91} = 0.851
\end{align*}
\]

- SKP Normalization

\[
\begin{align*}
C &: \frac{84.34}{88} = 0.958 \\
B &: \frac{90}{88} = 0.909 \\
P &: \frac{90}{88} = 1
\end{align*}
\]

Table 3. Normalization Results

| Lecture | C1 | C2 |
|---------|----|----|
| C       | 0.871 | 0.958 |
| B       | 0.838 | 0.909 |
| P       | 0.851 | 1.000 |

After the normalization results are obtained, the next step is to calculate using the preference formula.

Weight of each criterion:

C1 = 0.4 (40%) and C2 = 0.6 (60%)

- Performance Preference

\[
\begin{align*}
C &: 0.4 \times 0.871 = 0.348 \\
B &: 0.4 \times 0.838 = 0.335 \\
P &: 0.4 \times 0.851 = 0.340
\end{align*}
\]

- SKP Preference

\[
\begin{align*}
C &: 0.6 \times 0.958 = 0.574 \\
B &: 0.6 \times 0.909 = 0.545 \\
P &: 0.6 \times 1 = 0.6
\end{align*}
\]

Table 4. Preference Calculation Results

| Lecture | C1 | C2 | Results |
|---------|----|----|---------|
| C       | 0.348 | 0.574 | 0.922 |
| B       | 0.335 | 0.545 | 0.88 |
| P       | 0.340 | 0.600 | 0.94 |

The final calculation result is using the preference formula, it can be concluded that the highest value is 0.94 achieved by lecturer P.

B. Interface and Class Diagram Produced

The interface generated from the achievement lecturer assessment system using the Simple Additive Weighting (SAW) method can be seen in the following pictures:

Figure 4. Performance Interface

Figure 5. SKP Interface
VI. CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION

Assessment of outstanding lecturers with two criteria, namely performance and SKP can be used as a reference because it is objective with the final value that can be known and given a ranking. Value is also certain with complete data from these two criteria. One criterion is that performance can represent many assessments because there are 6 factors that influence it, namely commitment, integrity, service orientation, discipline, cooperation, and leadership. Six factors are searched for the average value then calculated using SAW to produce a final grade of 20 lecturers with the lowest value of 0.7 and the highest value of 0.94.
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