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Abstract

Background

unmet need for family planning is a common cause of unintended pregnancy which mostly end up with abortion. Many fragmented studies were conducted on unmet need in Ethiopia but no single evidence was present. So this meta analysis was established to estimate the pooled prevalence of unmet need for family planning in Ethiopia.

Methods

articles were retrieved through search engines: PubMed/MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL, Google Scholar, HINARI portal, and Cochrane Library using the preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses Protocols (PRISMA) checklist guidelines. Joanna Briggs Institute Meta-Analysis of Statistics Assessment and Review Instrument (JBI-MAStARI) was applied for critical appraisal of included articles. Random effect model meta analysis was done to estimate the pooled prevalence of unmet need for family planning with their respective Odds Ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI). Cochran’s Q statistic, Egger’s and Begg’s test and meta regression were carried out to assess heterogeneity, publication bias and to identify associated factors respectively.

Results

15 articles and 17,585 reproductive age women were included to estimate the pooled prevalence of unmet need for family planning in Ethiopia. The pooled prevalence of unmet need for family planning in Ethiopia was 31.45% (95%CI: 26.52, 36.39). Age at first marriage <18yrs with OR=2.3 (95% CI: 1.08, 4.87), being illiterate women with OR= 0.9 (95%CI: 1.19, 3.04), illiterate partner with OR=1.78 (95%CI: 1.18, 2.68) and absence of discussion with their partner with OR=3.52 (95%CI: 2.56, 4.87) were the associated factors.

Conclusion
This meta analysis revealed the prevalence of unmet need for family planning in Ethiopia was high. Early marriage, illiteracy and absence of open discussion were factors affecting the prevalence of unmet need for family planning. Therefore, the responsible body including family health guidance should strength women empowerment in terms of education (equal accessible education for all), avoid early marriage (before 18 yrs) and facilitate open partners discussion within household.

Background

Unmet need for family planning is the percentage of women of reproductive age, either married or in a union, who have an unmet need for family planning to stop or delay childbearing[1]. It shows the gap between childbearing desires and contraception use and taken as useful indicator towards the target of achieving universal access to reproductive health[2]. Early family planning was planned as a program to alleviate environmental, economic and societal impact of rapid population growth. But later run to help women and men to achieve their preferences and have their children when they want them as well play major role in maternal mortality reduction[3].

The Food and Drug Administration has approved a wide range of modern contraceptive including emergency contraceptives methods for preventing unintended pregnancy. But unintended or unplanned pregnancy is still the major incapacitating problem which affects million women and their families worldwide particularly in developing countries[4]. In 2017, around 800 million reproductive age pregnant women in developing countries wanted to avoid pregnancy from which an estimated 214 million women have an unmet need for family planning services. Globally 43% of unintended pregnancy occurred in developing world and 74% of them were related to unmet need for family planning while in East Africa unmet need for family planning responsible 86% unintended pregnancy[5].
Abortion is a frequent consequence of unintended pregnancy, an estimated 18 million unsafe abortions take place each year in the developing world and, can result in serious, long-term negative health effects including infertility and maternal death[6]. Fully meeting the unmet need for modern contraception would result in an estimated 76,000 fewer maternal deaths each year[7].

Although women are the primary focus of most of the services offered, it has impact on individual, interpersonal, familial and societal at large as well it has ramifications for healthy births and babies. Women with unmet need for spacing and limiting has elevated risk of under-five mortality for children[8]. Although unmet need are related to complex factors, range of constraints can prevent women from family planning service like demographic, socioeconomic factors and proximate factors[9-11]. Sub Saharan Africa has women with the highest number of unmet need for family planning as evidenced by 25% of reproductive age married or union women has unmet need for family planning[12]. So this is the reason why it share the highest burden of maternal mortality related to unwanted pregnancy and unsafe abortion than other regions.

Modern contraceptive use by currently married Ethiopian women has steadily increased. But still 22% of currently married women have an unmet need for family planning[13]. In Ethiopia different studies were conducted to assess prevalence of unmet need and associated factors [14-28]. The reports of these fragmented revealed a wide variability of prevalence of unmet need for family planning with the highest prevalence reported in South Nation and Nationality People region(SNNPR) (52.4%)[23] while the lowest reported in Amhara region (17.4%)[21]. Those studies also addressed some factors associated with the prevalence of unmet need for family planning; age, age at marriage, female and male
education, discussion with partner and health care provider on family planning and occupation were considered as a common contributor to unmet need.

So these few findings in Ethiopia about prevalence of unmet need for family planning and associated factors indicated inconsistent and inconclusive results. Therefore, this meta-analysis and systematic review was conducted to estimate the pooled prevalence of unmet need for family planning and associated factors in Ethiopia.

Methods

Search strategies

This systematic review and meta-analysis was reported using the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses Protocols (PRISMA) checklist guidelines[29]. Published available studies were searched using international data bases; PubMed/MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL, Google Scholar, HINARI portal (which includes the SCOPUS, African Index Medicus, and African Journals Online databases), and Cochrane Library. All studies conducted till June 10, 2019 were included in this review. “Prevalence of unmet need for family planning OR factors associated with unmet need for family planning AND Ethiopia” were used as a key word to search. Additionally articles found on local shelves and institutional repositories were considered. The references of already identified articles were also checked to dig out additional articles for this systematic review. Endnote citation manager software version X7 for Windows was utilized to collect and organize search outcomes and for removal duplicate articles.

Inclusion criteria

Population: studies conducted on reproductive age women were included.

Study area: the review considered only studies conducted in Ethiopia.

Language: articles reported in English language were eligible for this meta-analysis.

Observational studies (cross sectional, case control and cohort) which has clear outcome
about unmet need for family planning were considered for final review. In addition, family planning was taken as an exposure and unmet need for family planning as final outcome.

**Exclusion criteria**

After careful reviewing those searched articles, irrelevant studies and those didn’t report outcome of interest were excluded from this meta-analysis.

**Quality assessment**

Joanna Briggs Institute Meta-Analysis of Statistics Assessment and Review Instrument (JBI-MAStARI)\[30\] was applied for critical appraisal of included studies before data extraction. Random selection of the study sample, clear definition of the criteria for the inclusion of the sample in the study, identification and addressing for confounding factors, use of objective criteria to assess the outcome of interest, reliable measurement of outcome variable and use of appropriate statistical analysis method \[30\] were included in the appraisal tool.

**Data extraction**

Two authors (TG and AN) extract the data independently using the extraction tool developed according to Joanna Briggs Institute Reviewers’ Manual 2014\[30\] based on potentially eligible criteria already settled. Authors, Region, study setting, study year, study design, sample size, response rate, participant’s age, prevalence and common factors associated with unmet need for family planning were included in the extraction tool. Discrepancies between authors were discussed to reach consensus. For final analysis the authors considered articles which fulfilled the already settled criteria.

**Outcome of interest**

The primary outcome of this systematic and meta-analysis was the prevalence of unmet need for family planning. Unmet need for family planning is the percentage of women of reproductive age, either married or in a union, who have an unmet need for family
planning to stop or delay childbearing[1]. The second outcome was factors associated with unmet need for family planning. Those factors extracted from included studies were; age of women (<25 years \( V_S \geq 25 \) years), age at marriage (<18 years \( V_S \geq 18 \) years), education (illiterate \( V_S \) educated), occupation (house wife \( V_S \) others) and discussion with partner (no discussion \( V_S \) open partner discussions).

**Heterogeneity and publication bias**

Cochran’s Q statistic and inverse variance \( (i^2) \) for quantification with its corresponding p-value using random effect model of analysis were considered to check heterogeneity. \( i^2 \) statistics of 25%, 50% and 75% was used to declare low, moderate and high heterogeneity respectively [31]. Heterogeneity was considered when p-value less than 0.05. In addition Egger’s and Begg’s tests were done to assess the presence of publication bias, and a p-value less than 0.05 were considered as statistically significance [32, 33].

**Statistical analysis**

Microsoft Excel spread sheet format was used to extract the selected articles. Then, extracted data were exported to STATA version 14 software for meta-analysis. For heterogeneity and publication bias assessment, Cochran’s Q statistic and \( i^2 \) (for quantification) and Egger’s and Begg’s tests and its corresponding p-value with random effect model (since it minimizes heterogeneity of the included studies [31] were considered. Comparison of original articles using tabulation was computed to assess some clinical or methodological heterogeneity even though always considered to be present (Table-1). The pooled prevalence of unmet need for family planning and its 95% CI were presented using forest plots. Factors associated with unmet need for family planning were computed and presented using forest plots with their respect of OR and 95%CI. Subgroup analysis was also conducted by region of the study, study year, sample size and study
setting of studies.

Results

Article selection

From electronic data base searching, 350 articles related to unmet need for family planning and associated factors were retrieved. From those records, 60 studies were removed after reviewing their titles due to duplication. Then after reviewing titles and abstracts of the remaining articles, 271 articles excluded because of irrelevance. Fifteen articles were assessed for eligibility and considered for the final review after 4 articles [34-37] removed due to inaccessibility of full articles even after email request for the full document till three times (Figure-1).

Characteristics of included articles

A total of 17,585 reproductive age women were included in this meta-analysis to estimate the pooled prevalence of unmet need for family planning and associated factors in Ethiopia. All studies included were cross sectional and community based except two articles done at institution level [15, 27]. The majority of the included studies were conducted in Amhara region [14, 16, 18, 21, 25, 27] and the largest sample size was recorded in SNNPR[23](5746) whereas the smallest in Amhara region[27](337). From those studies the lowest prevalence of unmet need for family planning was reported in Amhara region[21] while the highest reported in SNNPR[23]. All included articles were conducted from 2009-2018 except one study manipulated in 2005[22]. In addition, almost all studies had a good response rate (≥97%) (Table-1).

Prevalence of unmet need for family planning in Ethiopia 2005-2018

From those included studies (15 articles), the estimated pooled prevalence of unmet need for family planning in Ethiopia was 31.45% (95%CI: 26.52, 36.39). The lowest prevalence
was observed in Amhara region[21] (17.4%, 95% CI: 11.80, 23.00) while the largest recorded in SNNPR[23] (52.4%, 95% CI: 44.64, 60.16). The included studies showed substantial heterogeneity \( (I^2 = 88, P=0.000) \) indicated to compute random effect meta-analysis. So, to identify possible source of heterogeneity univariate meta regression model analysis using different factors like Region, study setting and study year was computed, but none of them were significant. However, publication bias was non-significant using Begg’s and Egger’s test \( (p=0.458 \text{ and } p=0.258 \text{ respectively}) \) (Figure-2).

**Sub group analysis**

Subgroup analysis was performed to compare the prevalence of unmet need for family planning using study year, sample size, region and study setting. The subgroup analysis by region showed the highest prevalence of unmet need for family planning in SNNPR, 39.5% (95% CI: 28.15, 50.85) and the lowest in others (include Oromo and AA), 27.40% (95% CI: 16.34, 38.47). The prevalence of unmet need for family planning before the year 2014 was 34.49% (95% CI: 24.92, 44.06) and it reduces to 29.03% (95% CI: 23.44, 34.62) after 2014. The prevalence of unmet need for family planning conducted on community based was 32.09% (95% CI: 24.50, 39.68) which was almost in line with studies conducted at institution based, 31.65% (95% CI: 19.94, 37.58). In relation to sample size the pooled prevalence of unmet need for family planning with sample size <614 was 30.5% (95% CI: 22.73, 38.28) whereas sample size 614 and above the polled prevalence was 32.6% (95% CI: 22.73, 42.47) (Table-2).

**Meta regression**

In order to identify factors associated with source of heterogeneity of the pooled prevalence of unmet need for family planning, meta regression was undertaken by considering both continuous and categorical data. Sample size, study year, study setting and region for each individual studies were considered in the meta-regression. But the
meta regression showed that the pooled prevalence of unmet need for family planning was not associated with sample

**Factors associated with unmet need for family planning**

As shown below in figure-3, we tried to investigate factors associated with unmet need for family planning. Age of the women, age at first marriage, education level of both women and their partner, discussion with partner and occupation were factors assessed for association. But only age at first marriage, education level (both) and discussion were significantly associated with unmet need for family planning. Age at first marriage was reported in three articles [16, 18, 20]. Women with age at first marriage <18yrs were 2.3 times more likely to have unmet need for family planning than women marriage at 18yrs and above, OR=2.3 and (95% CI: 1.08, 4.87). Level of female education was another factor recorded in eight articles [14, 16-18, 20, 21, 24, 27]. The odds of unmet need for family planning was 1.9 times higher in illiterate women than literate women (read and write, primary and above educational level), OR= 0.9 and (95%CI: 1.19, 3.04). The association between male partner education and unmet need for family planning was reported in four articles [16, 18, 23]. The likely hood of unmet need for family planning in women with illiterate male partner was 1.78 times higher than women having literate male partner, OR=1.78 and (95%CI: 1.18, 2.68). The last but not the least factor, which was associated with unmet need for family planning was discussion, reported in six original articles[14, 16-18, 24, 25]. Women with no discussion with her partner about family planning were 3.52 times more likely to have unmet need for family planning when compared with women with pertinent discussion with partner, OR=3.52 and (95%CI: 2.56, 4.87). The other two factors (age less than 25yrs and occupation of women-being house wife) were not significantly associated with unmet need for family planning, OR=1.16 and (95%CI: 0.65, 2.08) and OR=2.07 and (95%CI: 0.78, 5.52) respectively (figure-3).
Discussion

This systematic review and meta-analysis was computed to estimate the pooled prevalence of unmet need for family planning and associated factors in Ethiopia. We found higher prevalence of unmet need for family planning in Ethiopia with overall prevalence of 31.45% (95%CI: 26.52, 36.39). This higher prevalence of unmet need in Ethiopia is due to fertility related reasons (breast feeding and postpartum amenorrhea), lack of knowledge on family planning (women had no knowledge of a source for method and didn’t know of a method), opposition to use (either from respondents, husbands or religious prohibition) and health concerns (including side effects)[18, 21, 38]. To address the issue of unmet need promptly and to identify program options, it is imperative to examine this issues that are responsible for the nonuse of family planning methods among women.

This finding is in line with research conducted in Saudi Arabia, which reported the prevalence of unmet need for family planning as 32.6%[39]. In the other hand our finding was lower than studies conducted in India and Eastern Sudan, which showed the prevalence of unmet need for family planning as 40.6% and 44.8% respectively [40, 41]. However this result is much higher than studies conducted in Egypt and Cameroon, which reported the prevalence of unmet need for family planning as 11.2% and 20.4%[42, 43].

Our meta-analysis also tried to investigate factors associated with unmet need for family planning. Age at marriage <18yrs, illiteracy and absence of discussion between partners were factors significantly affecting the prevalence of unmet need for family planning. Those women married before 18yrs were 2.3 times more likely to have unmet need for family planning than women married after 18yrs. This may be due to as age increase independency and decision to family size increase in line with maturity and awareness.

Age is the major determinant for acquiring knowledge and gathering information through different contacting and communication ways (lower age group have restricted
communication issues). So it affects the woman's ability to make her own decision regarding the reproductive health.

The odds of unmet need for family planning among illiterate women was 1.9 times higher when compared with women having education or literate ones. This finding is in agreement with study conducted in Saudi Arabia and Nigeria, reported as women with no education had higher odds of unmet need[39, 44]. In addition to this, those women with partner illiterate was 1.78 times more likely to have unmet need for family planning than women with educated partners. This is also consistent with finding in Eastern Sudan, lower level of husband’s education negatively affect utilization of family planning[41]. Education is the major tool to increase awareness level and better access for information in family planning so as desire for post-pone fertility. Women should be adequately empowered in education so as to improve knowledge and awareness of methods and sources of contraceptives which has been found as a major barrier to contraceptive use.

This review also showed that discussion with sexual partner was significantly associated with unmet need for family planning. Women who didn’t have discussion with their sexual partner about family planning were 3.52 times more likely to have unmet need for family planning when compared with women having discussion with their sexual partner on family planning service. This association is line with finding reported in Cameroon, discussion about family planning within the couple had highly statistically significant protective association with unmet need[43]. This may be due to that women who had partner support and clear decision on family planning will have good attitude and initiative to contraceptive. Therefore women involvement in decision particularly that affect their health status is very important. As this review reported both the pooled prevalence unmet need for family planning and associated factors, it is important for policy makers to
investigate the gaps on those factors.

Limitations

This review includes articles reported only in four regions and one administrative city, so didn’t include all regions and administrative cities. Those articles included in this systematic review and meta-analysis were conducted in cross sectional study design in which the finding might potentially affected by confounding variables. In addition the review analyzed only studies reported in English language which might restrict our findings. Finally we like to recommend for researchers to conduct country based studies to assess other confounding factors related to health policy and service factors for the prevalent unmet need for family planning in Ethiopia.

Conclusions

The prevalence of unmet need for family planning in Ethiopia was high. Age at marriage <18yrs, illiteracy (both women and their partner) and absence of discussion with their partner were factors significantly associated with unmet need for family planning. Therefore, Minister of Health and family guidance with their stake holders should emphasis on community and based programs to alleviate those factors leading to prevalent unmet need for family planning.
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**Tables**

Table 1; Descriptive summary of eleven studies included in the meta-analysis of unmet need for family planning and associated factors in Ethiopia 2005-2018

| Author name       | Study year | Region   | Study setting | Sample size | Prevalence (%) |
|-------------------|------------|----------|---------------|-------------|----------------|
| Biadgie et al[16] | 2015       | Amhara   | Community     | 614         | 23.8           |
| Gebre et al[20]   | 2015       | Tigray   | Community     | 510         | 21.4           |
| Dejenu et al[18]  | 2013       | Amhara   | Community     | 755         | 25.6           |
| Shifa et al[24]   | 2010       | SNNPR    | Community     | 809         | 41.5           |
| Mota et al[15]    | 2012       | Oromo    | Institution   | 366         | 33.3           |
| Deyessa et al[19] | 2016       | AA       | Community     | 2810        | 22             |
| Worku et al[25]   | 2018       | Amhara   | Community     | 411         | 30.9           |
| Mekonnen et al[23]| 2009       | SNNPR    | Community     | 5746        | 52.4           |
| Yibrah et al[26]  | 2014       | Tigray   | Community     | 1240        | 31.5           |
| Tessema et al[27] | 2013       | Amhara   | Institution   | 337         | 24.3           |
| Genet et al[21]   | 2014       | Amhara   | Community     | 556         | 17.4           |
| Chafo et al[17]   | 2013       | SNNPR    | Community     | 660         | 26.5           |
| Tegegn et al[14]  | 2014       | Amhara   | Community     | 383         | 45.2           |
| Hailemariam et al[22]| 2005   | SNNPR    | Community     | 1988        | 37.4           |
| Gebrechekos et al[28]| 2016 | Tigray   | Community     | 400         | 41.8           |
Table 2: Sub group analysis which describes pooled prevalence of unmet need for family planning and its predictors in Ethiopia from 2005-2018

| Subgroup | No of studies | Prevalence (95%ci) | Heterogeneity statistics | I² |
|----------|---------------|--------------------|--------------------------|----|
| Region   |               |                    |                          |    |
| Amhara   | 6             | 27.71(21,34)       | 92.23                    | 94.6|
| Tigray   | 3             | 31.44(21,41)       | 46.58                    | 95.7|
| SNNPR    | 4             | 39.50(28,50)       | 292.64                   | 99.0|
| Others   | 2             | 27.40(16,38)       | 19.12                    | 94.8|
| Study year|              |                    |                          |    |
| Before 2104 | 7         | 34.49(24,44)       | 522.50                   | 98.9|
| 2014 & above | 8          | 29.03(23,34)       | 181.45                   | 96.1|
| Study setting |            |                    |                          |    |
| community | 13           | 32.09(24,39)       | 1304.73                  | 99.1|
| Institution | 2           | 28.76(19,37)       | 7.03                     | 85.8|
| Sample size |            |                    |                          |    |
| <614      | 7            | 30.50(22,38)       | 142.37                   | 95.8|
| ≥614      | 8            | 32.60(22,42)       | 1085.64                  | 99.4|

Table 3: Meta regression for the included studies to identify source of heterogeneity for the prevalence of unmet need for family planning in Ethiopia from 2005 up to 2018.
| Variables          | Coefficients | p-value |
|-------------------|--------------|---------|
| Study year        | -1.415       | 0.093   |
| Sample size       | 0.0032       | 0.084   |
| Study setting     |              |         |
| Institution based | -3.292       | 0.689   |
| Community based   | Reference    | Reference|
| Region            |              |         |
| Amhara            | Reference    | Reference|
| SNNPR             | 11.768       | 0.090   |
| Tigray            | 3.711        | 0.605   |
| Others            | -0.268       | 0.974   |

**Figures**
Figure 1

PRISMA flow diagram of included studies to estimate the pooled prevalence of unmet need for family planning and associated factors in Ethiopia 2005-2018
Figure 2

Forest plot of the pooled prevalence of unmet need for family planning in Ethiopia

2005-2018
| Study ID          | ES (95% CI) | % Weight |
|------------------|-------------|----------|
| Age              |             |          |
| Biadgie et al (2015) | 2.23 (1.51, 3.31) | 3.33     |
| Shifa et al (2010) | 0.53 (0.30, 0.77)  | 3.35     |
| Gebre et al (2015) | 0.68 (0.39, 1.19)  | 3.04     |
| Tessema et al (2013) | 0.75 (0.42, 1.36) | 2.97     |
| Tegegn et al (2014) | 2.26 (1.41, 3.61) | 3.20     |
| Dejenu et al (2013) | 1.83 (0.92, 3.64) | 2.79     |
| Subtotal (I-squared = 88.2%, p = 0.000) | 1.16 (0.65, 2.08) | 18.68    |
| Age at marriage   |             |          |
| Gebre et al (2015) | 1.17 (0.77, 1.79) | 3.27     |
| Dejenu et al (2013) | 5.16 (2.28, 11.66)| 2.54     |
| Biadgie et al (2015) | 2.43 (1.55, 3.81) | 3.23     |
| Subtotal (I-squared = 83.2%, p = 0.003) | 2.30 (1.08, 4.87) | 9.04     |
| Female education  |             |          |
| Biadgie et al (2015) | 1.86 (1.01, 3.40) | 2.95     |
| Tegegn et al (2014) | 3.52 (1.86, 6.66) | 2.88     |
| Shifa et al (2010) | 2.04 (1.44, 2.88) | 3.39     |
| Gebre et al (2015) | 1.44 (0.81, 2.33) | 3.21     |
| Tessema et al (2013) | 1.76 (0.99, 3.13) | 3.00     |
| Genet et al (2014) | 2.71 (1.73, 4.25) | 3.22     |
| Chafo et al (2013) | 0.56 (0.39, 0.81) | 3.36     |
| Dejenu et al (2013) | 4.14 (2.08, 8.19) | 2.80     |
| Subtotal (I-squared = 86.5%, p = 0.000) | 1.90 (1.19, 3.04) | 24.82    |
| Male partner education |             |          |
| Biadgie et al (2015) | 2.16 (1.41, 3.32) | 3.27     |
| Shifa et al (2010) | 1.41 (1.03, 1.83) | 3.44     |
| Dejenu et al (2013) | 1.10 (0.84, 1.88) | 3.08     |
| Mekonnen et al (2009) | 2.61 (2.27, 2.99) | 3.62     |
| Subtotal (I-squared = 84.8%, p = 0.000) | 1.78 (1.18, 2.68) | 13.41    |
| Discussion        |             |          |
| Chafo et al (2013) | 6.05 (4.02, 9.11) | 3.30     |
| Biadgie et al (2015) | 4.35 (2.70, 7.00) | 3.19     |
| Shifa et al (2010) | 2.84 (2.04, 3.65) | 3.42     |
| Tegegn et al (2014) | 2.72 (1.51, 4.92) | 2.97     |
| Dejenu et al (2013) | 3.83 (2.19, 6.68) | 3.04     |
| Worku et al (2018) | 1.85 (0.87, 3.95) | 2.65     |
| Subtotal (I-squared = 60.3%, p = 0.028) | 3.52 (2.56, 4.85) | 18.56    |
| Occupation        |             |          |
| Shifa et al (2010) | 1.17 (0.62, 2.19) | 2.90     |
| Gebre et al (2015) | 1.03 (0.67, 1.57) | 3.27     |
| Dejenu et al (2013) | 22.39 (11.78, 42.57) | 2.87     |
| Worku et al (2018) | 0.94 (0.59, 1.50) | 3.21     |
| Genet et al (2014) | 1.65 (1.05, 2.57) | 3.24     |
| Subtotal (I-squared = 94.7%, p = 0.000) | 2.07 (0.78, 5.52) | 15.49    |
| Overall (I-squared = 89.4%, p = 0.000) | 1.97 (1.56, 2.48) | 100.00   |

**NOTE:** Weights are from random effects analysis

---

**Figure 3**

Forest plots which describe factors associated with unmet need for family planning in Ethiopia 2005-2018