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Abstract: Two carboxylate-bridged one-dimensional chain complexes, [{MnII(MeOH)}2{FeIII(L)2}]n(1) and [{MnII(DMF)}2{MnIII(L)2}2·DMF]n(2) [FeL = (2-carboxyphenyl)azo]-benzaldoxime], containing a low-spin [FeII(L)2]2− or [MnIII(L)2]2− unit were synthesized. Magnetic measurements show that the adjacent high-spin MnII and low-spin MIII ions display weak antiferromagnetic coupling via the syn–anti carboxyl bridges, with J = −0.066(2) cm−1 for complex 1 and J = −0.274(2) cm−1 for complex 2.
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1. Introduction

Low-spin (LS) MIII (M = Fe or Mn) ions have been widely used for assembling low-dimensional molecular magnets [1–6], owing to the magnetic anisotropy and spin-orbit coupling for LS MIII (M = Fe or Mn) ions, as well as zero-field splitting (ZFS) for LS MIII [6]. Moreover, LS MIII-based hetero-bimetallic complexes would exhibit a high-spin ground state due to ferromagnetic coupling between LS MIII and MII (e.g., Ni, Cu) according to the rule of strict orbital orthogonality (t2g vs. e2) [7]. According to the crystal-field theory for coordination compounds, LS MIII should be surrounded by strong-field ligands. Nevertheless, stable LS MIII-containing building blocks are still limited, most of which are cyanide complexes [2–5, 8–13]. The search for suitable strong-field ligands can help to obtain new LS MIII-containing complexes.

Carboxylate ligands possessing a variety of bridging modes, such as syn–syn, syn–anti, and anti–anti, play an important role in magnetic coupling propagation [14, 15]. Azo carboxylate oxime ligands have emerged and contributed to the formation of stable LS MIII(L)2 building units [16–18]. However, the valence of metal ions is also strongly related to the self-assembly processes of the complexes. According to our previous report, under one-pot reaction, MnIV(L)2 was formed in which aromatic azo oxime ligand H2L acts as an (L)− radical to stabilize MnIV [19]. In this paper, a complex-as-ligand method is used to obtain carboxylate-bridged MIII·MnII complexes. The precursor LS M(III) complexes are Et4N[MIII(L)2] (M = Fe or Mn) [16, 17]. The reaction of Et4N[MIII(L)2] with Mn(OAc)2 gives rise to two novel one-dimensional complexes [{MnII(MeOH)}2{FeII(L)2}2]n (1) and [{MnII(DMF)}2{MnIII(L)2}2·DMF]n (2) based on the azo carboxylate oxime ligand ((2-carboxyphenyl)azo)–benzaldoxime (H2L, Scheme 1). Magnetic susceptibility measurements show that the intrachain antiferromagnetic coupling exists between adjacent the MIII and MnII via the syn–anti carboxylate bridges.
Scheme 1. Molecular structure of H2L and [M(L)2]+ (M = Fe or Mn).

2. Results

Complexes 1 and 2 were obtained by a two-step method. Firstly, the complex Et4N[M(L)2] (M = Fe or Mn) was synthesized by the coordination of H2L and metal ion; Secondly, the obtained complex is acted as a ligand to further coordinate with the second metal ion through the carboxyl group to form the target complexes [{[MnII(MeOH)2][FeIII(L)2]}2] (1) and [{MnII(DMF)2][MnIII(L)2]}2 DMF]n (2). Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) patterns of complexes 1 and 2 are in good agreement with those simulated by Mercury software (Figure S1), indicating that the obtained crystals have high phase purity.

2.1. Crystal Structures

The crystallographic parameters for 1–2 are listed in Table 1. Complex 1 crystallizes in the tetragonal space group P4(2)/n and complex 2 crystallizes in the triclinic space group P-1. The bond lengths for the [M(L)2]+ are displayed in Table 2. Complexes 1 and 2 have a similar alternating -MnII-MnIII- [MII(L)2]+ (M = Mn or Fe) 1D chain structure with a neutral structural unit consisting of two [MIII(L)2]+ and one MnII (Figures 1 and 2 and Figures S2 and S3). The intrachain MnII–MnIII separations are 5.454(1) Å for complex 1 and 5.990(1) Å for complex 2, respectively. The MnII ion occupies the center of the structure with four [MIII(L)2]+ groups connected on opposite sides by carboxylate bridges in the syn–anti mode. Two coordinating MeOH or DMF oxygen atoms are situated at the axial coordination sites to form an octahedral MnO6 coordination sphere. The corresponding Mn1-O and Mn2-O bond lengths in complexes 1 and 2 are within the range of 2.151(3)–2.193(2) Å (Table 3), all above 2 Å, typical of the +2 oxidation state of HS Mn ions, which is further confirmed by the bond valence sum (BVS) calculation (Supplementary Materials, Table S1). The coordination geometry of MnII ion in complexes 1 and 2 calculated by the SHAPE [20] software approaches Oh, with the smallest deviation value of 1.459 and 0.085, respectively (Table S2). The presence of crystallographic disorder in the coordinating methanol molecules makes the calculated deviation value for complex 1 large, and therefore the deviation value of 1.459 should be treated with care. The difference between these two complexes is that the two [FeIII(L)2]+ units at the opposite sides of Mn(II) are orthogonal to each other in complex 1, while the two [MnIII(L)2]+ units in complex 2 are coplanar. The coordination geometry of FeIII ions in [FeIII(L)2]+ and MnIII ions in [MnIII(L)2]+ is close to Oh, calculated by the SHAPE software, with the smallest deviation values of 0.588 and 0.807, respectively (Table S2). The calculation results (Table S2) also indicate that the distortion toward trigonal prism (D3h) has been found for all metal ions with the second smallest deviation values.
Table 1. Crystallographic parameters of complexes 1–2.

|         | Formula          | C₅₈H₄₄Fe₂MnN₁₂O₁₄ | C₆₅H₅₇Mn₃N₁₅O₁₅ |
|---------|------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Fw      | 1299.69          | 1453.07            |
| T/K     | 293(2)           | 293(2)             |
| Crystal system | Tetragonal         | Triclinic          |
| Space group | P₄(2)/n            | P-1               |
| a/Å     | 20.651(3)        | 9.2247(6)          |
| b/Å     | 20.651(3)        | 13.2300(7)         |
| c/Å     | 13.641(3)        | 14.2577(8)         |
| α/°     | 90               | 82.3377(15)        |
| β/°     | 90               | 79.666(2)          |
| γ/°     | 90               | 85.466(2)          |
| V/Å³    | 5818(2)          | 1693.83(17)        |
| Z       | 4                | 1                  |
| ρcalcd/g cm⁻¹ | 1.484              | 1.496             |

Reflections collected 6637 7655
GOF on F² 1.090 1.153
R₁ [I > 2σ(I)] 0.0579 0.0485
wR² (all data) 0.1972 0.1631
CCDC 2044110 2044111

Table 2. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for the [M(L)₂]⁻ in complexes 1–2 and the previously reported Et₄N[M(L)₂] [16,17].

| Complex 1 | Et₄N[Fe(L)₂]⁻ [16] | Complex 2 | Et₄N[Mn(L)₂] [17] |
|-----------|-------------------|-----------|-------------------|
|           | (M = Fe)          | (M = Mn)  | (M = Mn)          |
| M1-O1     | 1.935(3)          | 1.919(6)  | 1.939(3)          | 1.906(7) |
| M1-O5     | 1.929(2)          | 1.879(6)  | 1.929(3)          | 1.906(7) |
| M1-N1     | 1.895(3)          | 1.892(6)  | 1.924(3)          | 1.929(6) |
| M1-N3     | 1.887(3)          | 1.907(6)  | 1.950(3)          | 1.950(7) |
| M1-N4     | 1.904(3)          | 1.888(6)  | 1.934(3)          | 1.929(6) |
| M1-N6     | 1.895(3)          | 1.899(7)  | 1.953(3)          | 1.950(7) |
| M1-O5-C15 | 127.8(2)          | 129.0(3)  | 131.3(2)          | 132.7(3) |
| M1-O1-C1  | 127.6(2)          | 130.3(3)  | 131.7(2)          | 132.7(3) |
| O1-M1-N3  | 172.54(13)        | 170.7(2)  | 170.11(12)        | 168.4(3) |
| O5-M1-N6  | 172.36(13)        | 165.9(3)  | 170.33(12)        | 168.4(3) |
| N1-M1-N4  | 178.44(13)        | 178.5(3)  | 164.92(12)        | 173.4(5) |
Figure 1. Molecular structure of complex 1. Top: thermal ellipsoids. Bottom: The 1D chain. Hydrogen atoms and solvent molecules are omitted for clarity. Fe: dark yellow; Mn: cyan; C: gray; N: blue; O: red.

Figure 2. Molecular structure of complex 2. Top: thermal ellipsoids. Bottom: The 1D chain structure. Hydrogen atoms and solvent molecules are omitted for clarity. Mn: cyan; C: gray; N: blue; O: red.

Table 3. Bond length (Å) and angles (°) of the Mn(II) ions in complexes 1–2.

|       | 1          | 2          |
|-------|------------|------------|
| Mn1-O2A | 2.183(3)   | Mn2-O2     | 2.151(3)   |
| Mn1-O2B | 2.183(3)   | Mn2-O2A    | 2.151(3)   |
For the [Fe(L)₂]⁺ units in complex 1, the Fe–N bond distances are in the range of 1.887(3)–1.904(3) Å, which are similar to those in Et₄N[Fe⁺⁺(L)₂] [17]. The Fe–O bond distances are 1.929(2) Å and 1.935(3) Å, a little longer than that (1.919 and 1.879 Å) in Et₄N[Fe⁺⁺(L)₂] (Table 2). A similar situation occurs to the [Mn(L)₂]⁺ units in complex 2: the Mn–N bond distances in the range of 1.924(3)–1.953(3) Å are similar to those in Et₄N[Mn⁺⁺(L)₂] [18], and the Mn–O bond distances (1.929(3) and 1.939(3) Å) are slightly longer. The M–O elongation should be due to the bridging coordination of the carboxylate groups [19]. M–N/O bond lengths for HS Mn(III) ions are generally longer than 2.0 Å owing to the Jahn–Teller distortion. In complex 2, all the Mn–N/O bond lengths in [Mn(L)₂]⁺ units are shorter than 2 Å, indicating that the Mn(III) ions in [M(L)₂]⁺ units are in LS state with negligible Jahn–Teller effect. This assumption is further confirmed by the BVS calculation and the cyclic voltammetry (Supplementary Materials, Figure S4). The CV curves show nearly reversible redox responses for complexes 1 (E₁/₂ = +0.016 V vs. SCE) and 2 (E₁/₂ = −0.048 V vs. SCE), consistent with that of previously reported Et₄N[Fe⁺⁺(L)₂] (−0.05 V) and Et₄N[Mn⁺⁺(L)₂] (−0.065 V), and can be attributed to the redox processes [Fe⁺⁺(L)₂]⁺ + e⁻ ⇌ [Fe⁺⁺(L)₂]²⁺ and [Mn⁺⁺(L)₂]⁺ + e⁻ ⇌ [Mn⁺⁺(L)₂]²⁺, respectively. Thus, complex 1 is a rare example of a carboxylate-bridged Mn(II)-Fe(III) chain complex, and 2 is a new mixed-valent Mn⁺⁺Mn⁺⁺⁺ complex. In complex 2, there is no obvious hydrogen bonding interaction between the DMF molecules and the ligand L− because the C–H bonds are not a good acceptor for H-bonding. In complex 1, apparent intrachain hydrogen bonding between the disordered methanol molecules and L− with the O–O separations of 2.640 Å and 2.745 Å, as shown in Figure S5. No intermolecular π–π stacking is present in complexes 1 and 2.

2.2. Magnetic Properties

The temperature-dependent magnetic susceptibilities of complexes 1 and 2 were measured under 1000 Oe external field in the range of 2–300 K. The experimental magnetic susceptibilities were corrected for the diamagnetism of the constituent atoms (Pascal’s tables). As shown in Figure 3, complexes 1 and 2 have similar magnetic susceptibility curves. The χasm values of each complex remain constant above 30 K. When the temperature was lower than 30 K, the χasm values decrease rapidly with the decrease of temperature due to the intramolecular antiferromagnetic coupling between adjacent metal ions. The data obey the Curie–Weiss law with the negative Weiss constant of θ = −2.71 K and −4.05 K, respectively, which further proves that the existence of antiferromagnetic interactions in complexes 1 and 2. The room temperature χasm values for Mn⁺⁺Mn⁺⁺⁺ (M = Fe or Mn) values were 5.317 cm³ K mol⁻¹ for complex 1 and 6.588 cm³ K mol⁻¹ for complex 2, are slightly higher than the theoretical value of 5.125 cm³ K mol⁻¹ [two LS Fe⁺⁺ ions (S = 1/2) and one HS Mn⁺⁺ ion (S = 5/2)] for 1 and 6.375 cm³ K mol⁻¹ [two LS Mn⁺⁺ ions (S = 1) and one HS Mn⁺⁺ ion (S = 5/2)] for 2. As shown in Figure 4, the field dependence (0–50 kOe) of the magnetization shows that with the increase of the field, the magnetization increases gradually and reaches the maximum values of 6.088 Nβ and 5.749 Nβ at 50 kOe for complexes 1 and 2, respectively. The experimental curves for complexes 1 and 2 lie below the Brillouin curves corresponding to non-interacting LS-SFe/SMn and S10 spins with g = 2.0, indicating the existence of overall antiferromagnetic coupling. The Brillouin function, Bₙₛ is [(S + 1)² / 2] · coth[(S + 1)² / 2] · h / kT and the magnetization M equals NβBSBₛ [21].
3. Discussion

In complex 1, the low-spin octahedral Fe\textsuperscript{iii} ion has an electronic configuration of t_{2g}^5, and there is an unpaired electron on the degenerate π-orbital of d_{xy}, d_{xz}, and d_{yz}. The high-spin octahedral Mn\textsuperscript{ii} ion with the t_{2g}^3e_g^2 configuration has three unpaired electrons on the degenerate t_{2g} π-orbitals, as well as two unpaired electrons on the degenerate σ-orbitals d_{x^2−y^2} and d_{z^2}. A similar situation occurs in the t_{2g}^4−t_{2g}^6e_g^2 between low-spin Mn\textsuperscript{ii} ion and high-spin Mn\textsuperscript{iii} ion in complex 2. According to the theory of the strict orthogonality of magnetic orbitals, the configuration of the above two sets of magnetic orbitals enables both ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic coupling in complexes 1 and 2, and usually, the latter contribution is dominant. Thus, together with the syn–anti bridged carboxyl group that tends to transfer antiferromagnetic coupling [22], overall antiferromagnetic interaction was observed in the two complexes.

To study the strength of magnetic coupling between metal ions, it is necessary to fit the temperature-dependence magnetic susceptibility. For the [M\textsuperscript{iii}]-Mn\textsuperscript{ii} chain system in complexes 1 and 2, the magnetic susceptibility data (5–300 K) can be fitted by the Fisher model for uniform 1D chains with $\hat{H} = -J\sum_{i=1}^{n} S_i S_{i+1}$ [23] (Equation (1)). A rough approach similar to that previously used for 2D and quasi-2D complexes [24–26] was used.
on the basis of the crystal data, i.e., the 1D chain can be treated as alternating uniform MnMn trimers (Figure 5) with the identical intra-trimeric and intrachain exchange constants \( J = J' \) on the basis of the Hamiltonian \( H = -J_1 \hat{S}_{Mn1} (\hat{S}_{Fe1} + \hat{S}_{Fe1A}) \) for complex 1 and \( H = -J_1 \hat{S}_{Mn2} (\hat{S}_{Mn1} + \hat{S}_{Mn1A}) \) for complex 2, respectively. The corresponding fit equations for MnMn trimers are shown in Equations (2) and (3).

\[
\chi_m = \frac{N g^2 \beta^2 (1+u)}{3kT} \times S_t (S_t + 1),
\]

where \( u = \coth(JS + 1)/kT - kT/JS \).

For complex 1,

\[
\chi_t = \frac{N g^2 \beta^2}{4kT} \left[ \frac{10x^7 + 35x^2 + 35 + 84x^{-5}}{2x^7 + 3x^2 + 3 + 4x^{-5}} \right] = \frac{N g^2 \beta^2}{3kT} S_t (S_t + 1),
\]

\[
x = \exp(-J_t/kT).
\]

For complex 2,

\[
\chi_t = \frac{N g^2 \beta^2}{4kT} \left[ \frac{1}{\beta} \right] = \frac{N g^2 \beta^2}{3kT} S_t (S_t + 1).
\]

\[
A = 330 \exp(10K) + 168 \exp(K) + 70 \exp(-6K) + 20 \exp(-11K) + 2 \exp(-14K) + 168 \exp(5K) + 70 \exp(-2K) + 20 \exp(-7K) + 70,
\]

\[
B = 10 \exp(10K) + 8 \exp(K) + 6 \exp(-6K) + 4 \exp(-11K) + 2 \exp(-14K) + 8 \exp(5K) + 6 \exp(-2K) + 4 \exp(-7K) + 6,
\]

\[
K = J_t/2kT.
\]

**Figure 5.** The MnMn-based 1D model for the fit to the magnetic susceptibility of complexes 1 and 2 (M = Fe or Mn).

The best-fit parameters are \( g = 2.017(8) \) and \( J = -0.066(2) \) cm\(^{-1}\) for complex 1, and \( g = 2.053(4), J = -0.274(2) \) for complex 2. The calculated curves based on the above parameters are well consistent with the experimental data, and the negative \( J \) values are in accordance with the prediction that the carboxyl group in syn–anti bridging mode tends to transmit antiferromagnetic coupling [22]. The absolute \( J \) values are very small, precluding any possibility of a single-chain magnet for the present two complexes. The measurements on AC magnetic susceptibility show that under zero external dc field, the imaginary part of the magnetic susceptibility of complexes 1 and 2 has no signals and maintains zero.
4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Materials

All reagents were purchased from commercial sources and used without further purification. H₂L, Et₅N[Fe(L)₂]₃ and Et₅N[Mn(L)₂] were prepared according to the literature methods [16,17].

4.2. Physical Measurements

The C, H, and N elemental analyses were performed on a Cario Erballo elemental analyzer. IR spectra were recorded on a WQF-510A Fourier transform infrared spectrometer using KBr pellets. Magnetic susceptibility measurements were measured by a Quantum Design MPMS-XL5 SQUID magnetometer. Cyclic voltammetry measurements were tested on a CHI660E electrochemical workstation, using a platinum plate as the working electrode, platinum wire as the counter electrode, Ag/AgCl electrode (Sat. KCl) as the reference electrode, and n-Bu₄NCIO₄ (0.1 M) as support electrolyte in acetonitrile.

4.3. X-Ray Crystallography

The single-crystal X-ray diffraction measurements were tested on a Rigaku R-AXIS RAPID IP diffractometer by using Mo Kα radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å). The structures were solved by direct methods using the SHELXTL-97 program package and refined with full-matrix least squares on F².

4.4. The Preparation of Complexes 1 and 2

{[(Mn⁴(MeOH)₂)][Fe³(μ-L)₃]₃}_n (1): A methanol solution (10 mL) of Mn(OAc)₂:4H₂O (0.2 mmol) was slowly added into a methanol solution (10 mL) of Et₅N[Fe(L)₂] (0.2 mmol). The obtained dark purple solution was heated and stirred for about 30 min and then cooled, filtered, and evaporated for about 1 week to obtain dark purple block crystals. Yield: 40%. Anal. Calcd (%) for C₅₈H₄₄Fe₂Mn₃N₁₅O₁₅: C, 53.60; H, 3.41; N, 12.93. Found: C, 53.59; H, 3.49; N, 12.70. IR (cm⁻¹) ν(C=O): 1599, 1385.

{[(Mn⁴(DMF)₆)][(Mn⁴(MeOH)₂)][Mn³(μ-L)₃]₆}_n (2): A DMF solution (10 mL) of Mn(OAc)₂:4H₂O (0.2 mmol) was slowly added into Et₅N[Mn(L)₂] (0.2 mmol) in DMF (10 mL). The resultant dark purple solution was diffused by ether in an H-tube for about 1 week to obtain reddish-brown block crystals. Yield: 40%. Anal. Calcd (%) for C₂₅H₂₂Mn₅N₂₁O₁₅: C, 53.73; H, 3.95; N, 14.46. Found: C, 53.71; H, 4.07; N, 14.42. IR (cm⁻¹) ν(C=O): 1591, 1382.

5. Conclusions

We used a ‘complex as ligand’ method to create two one-dimensional chain complexes 1 and 2 based on low-spin [M⁴³(L)₃⁻]⁻ units (M = Mn or Fe). The syn–anti carboxyl bridges transmit weak antiferromagnetic coupling between adjacent Mn⁴⁺M³⁺ ions. Complex 1 possesses a novel deflecting arrangement of [Fe(L)₃]⁺ units and is a rare example of carboxylate-bridged hetero-metallic complexes [18,27]. Further work involves the construction of carboxylate- or oxime-bridged binuclear/low-dimensional magnets based on similar low-spin azo carboxylate oxime ligands.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at www.mdpi.com/2312-7481/7/7/105/s1, Figure S1: PXRD patterns for complexes 1 and 2 in the range of 5–50 degrees, Figure S2: (top) Side view of complex 1 along c axis; (bottom) View of the 1D skeleton of complex 1, Figure S3: Side view of complex 2 along a axis; (bottom) View of the 1D skeleton of complex 2, Figure S4: Cyclic voltammograms (scan rate 50 mV s⁻¹) of 10–3 M acetonitrile solutions of complexes 1 and 2 at 298 K, Figure S5: The intrachain hydrogen bonding interaction between the disordered methanol oxygen and the carboxylate oxygen atoms of L²⁻ in complex 1. Table S1: The n values of some metal-ligand (M-L) bonds in HS metal ions, Table S2: The results calculated by SHAPE software.
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