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ABSTRACT

Aims. We used the dual-band receiver GREAT on board the SOFIA airborne telescope to perform observations of the [C II] 158 µm fine-structure line at the positions of two giant molecular clouds (GMC) in the center of IC 342 (GMCs C and E) and compared the spectra with corresponding ground-based data for low- and mid-J CO and [C I]. We performed model calculations assuming a clumpy photo-dissociation region (PDR) environment using the KOSMA-τ PDR model code to derive physical parameters of the local medium.

Methods. We used the dual-band receiver GREAT on board the SOFIA airborne telescope to perform observations of the [C II] 158 µm fine-structure line at the positions of two giant molecular clouds (GMC) in the center of IC 342 (GMCs C and E) and compared the spectra with corresponding ground-based data for low- and mid-J CO and [C I]. We performed model calculations assuming a clumpy photo-dissociation region (PDR) environment using the KOSMA-τ PDR model code to derive physical parameters of the local medium.

Results. The [C II] 158 µm emission resembles the spectral signature of ground-based atomic and molecular lines, which indicates a common origin. The emission from GMC E can be decomposed into a cool, molecular component with weak far-ultraviolet (FUV) fields and low, mean densities of 10^5 cm^-3 and a strongly excited starburst/PDR region with higher densities of 10^6 cm^-3 and FUV intensities of 250-300 Draine fields. The emission from GMC C is consistent with gas densities of 5000 cm^-3, FUV intensities of a few Draine fields and total gas masses of 20 × 10^6 M☉. The high spectral resolution of the GREAT receiver allowed us to decompose the [C II] emission of the GMC E into a strongly excited gas component resembling a PDR/starburst environment and a quieter, less excited gas component and to analyze the different components within a single beam individually.

Conclusions. The [C II] 158 µm emission remains unknown but it appears to originate from GMC E with a common origin. The emission from GMC E can be decomposed into a cool, molecular component with weak far-ultraviolet (FUV) fields and low, mean densities of 10^5 cm^-3 and a strongly excited starburst/PDR region with higher densities of 10^6 cm^-3 and FUV intensities of 250-300 Draine fields. The emission from GMC C is consistent with gas densities of 5000 cm^-3, FUV intensities of a few Draine fields and total gas masses of 20 × 10^6 M☉.
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1. Introduction

IC 342 is a gas-rich spiral galaxy with active star formation in its nucleus. IC 342 is located behind the Galactic plane and is therefore highly obscured; the distance to the nearly face-on galaxy is still debated. Tikhonov & Galazutdinova (2010) give a distance of 3.9 ± 0.1 Mpc derived from stellar photometry. Observations of planetary nebulae (Herrmann et al. 2008) and cepheids (Saha et al. 2002) give distances of 3.4±0.2 Mpc.

Within its central 30′′ two molecular arms of a mini-spiral end in a clumpy central ring of dense gas, which surrounds a young star cluster. Downes et al. (1992) showed the presence of five giant molecular clouds, A to E, around the nucleus of IC 342 with masses of ∼ 10^6 M☉. This structure can be seen in Fig. 1 which shows a map of line-integrated CO(1-0) emission observed with BIMA (Berkeley Illinois Maryland Association). The central molecular ring surrounds a nuclear star cluster with active star formation and strong far-ultraviolet (FUV) radiation, illuminating the molecular ring and producing photo-dissociation regions (PDRs) on the side facing the central cluster. Energetic FUV photons dissociate and ionize molecules and atoms in the gas and effectively heat the gas and dust via photoelectric heating. Consequently, PDRs strongly emit radiation from species that are abundant and excited under these conditions, like [C II]. Meier & Turner (2005) find that the chemistry in the ring is a mixture of PDR gas and regions of denser, more shielded material. Especially the GMC complex B appears to show properties of PDRs produced by the surrounding starburst environment (Downes et al. 1992; Israel & Baas 2003). The spatial sizes of the central GMCs and the infrared luminosity of the inner 400 pc of IC 342 are both similar to the center of our Galaxy. Especially the relative contribution of the diffuse material to the overall [C II] emission remains unknown but it appears that the PDRs remain largely confined to the central ring.

Detailed knowledge of the H₂ column densities, excitation temperatures, and densities in IC 342 exists from CO and its isotopomers as a basis for comparison with the [C II] lines (e.g. Ishizuki et al. 1990; Downes et al. 1992; Turner & Hurt 1992; Wright et al. 1993; Turner et al. 1993; Meier et al. 2000; Meier & Turner 2001; Israel & Baas 2003; Meier & Turner 2005). Comparison with this complementary data, particularly with their spectral shape, allows us to distinguish different kinematic components from the [C II] lines and to assess the interaction of the star formation activity on the gas.

In this work we present velocity-resolved spectra of ionized atomic ([C II] 158 µm) gas, with spatial resolutions of ~15′′. The observations were performed with the German Receiver for Astronomy at Terahertz Frequencies (GREAT),...
In Sect. 2 we describe the observations. The spectra are presented in Sect. 3. The analysis of the data and ambient conditions are discussed in Sect. 4, and conclusions are drawn in Sect. 5.

2. Observations

We used the dual-channel receiver GREAT on SOFIA in September 2011 to perform pointed observations of the [C ii] 158 μm fine-structure transition of C⁺ at 1900.536900 GHz and the 12CO(11-10) transition at 1496.922909 GHz near the center of IC 342. The observations were performed in dual beam-switch mode (chop rate 1 Hz) toward selected positions, see Tab. 1 for details. The chopper offset was 100″ with a chancher angle of 110 degrees against north (counter-clockwise). The observations were taken during the transfer flight from the US to Europe. Owing to unknown technical errors on the flight we had to discard one of the observed positions.

The center position is RA,DEC (J2000) 03:46:48.5 68:05:47. We observed 2 GMCs at the following offsets in arcsecond: GMC C (+3.6, +3.6), and GMC E (-5.5, -3.7) using the designations by Downes et al. (1992) (see also Fig. 1). The integration times were 9.3, and 3.7 minutes, respectively. [C ii] emission was detected at both positions. We did not detect any 12CO(11-10) emission. The rms of the baseline for position C and E was 0.10 and 0.21 K km s⁻¹, respectively, with a channel width of 4 km s⁻¹.

We used a fast Fourier transform spectrometer (FFTS), with 8192 channels providing 1.5 GHz bandwidth and about 212 kHz of spectral resolution. Calibration was performed with the standard pipeline (Guan et al. 2012). Using the beam efficiency η₀ ≈ 0.51 and the forward efficiency (η₁) of 0.95 (Heyminck et al. 2012), we converted all data to line brightness temperature scale, $T_B = \eta_1 \times T_A^* / \eta_0$. The reduction of these calibrated data, as well as the maps shown throughout the paper, were made with the GILDAS package CLASS90. The pointing was established with the optical guide cameras to about 1″ precision, and was found to have deviated by about 3-5″ after 20 minutes.

3. Results

In Fig. 2 we show the [C ii] emission observed with GREAT (dark gray, filled) for both positions. On each spectrum we overlay 12CO(1-0) data from BIMA-SONG (Helfer et al. 2003), as well as 12CO(2-1), 12CO(3-2), 12CO(4-3), 13CO(2-1), 13CO(3-2), and [C i] $P_1 - P_0$ spectra from Israel & Baas (2003). Because of the different beam sizes, gridding, and map coverage it was not always possible to regrid and convolve all spectra to the positions and beam sizes of the GREAT observations. Where possible, we smoothed the other data sets to the 15″ resolution of our [C ii] line observations. We kept the 12CO(2-1) and 12CO(2-1) spectra on their native resolution of 22″ and 23″. We kept the 12CO(3-2) and 13CO(3-2) on their native resolution of 15″, 12CO(1-0), 13CO(4-3), and [C i]$P_1 - P_0$ spectra were smoothed to the resolution of our [C ii] data and interpolated at the two positions C and E. For the remaining ground-based spectra at the positions C and E we averaged the data points closest to our [C ii] observations.

The [C ii] emission is strongest at position E. At both positions the different transitions show a good correlation of the central line velocities and line widths. The spectra at position C exhibit a consistent central velocity of 40 km s⁻¹ and similar line widths of about 50 km s⁻¹. Only the [C ii] line has a broader line width and is blueshifted by a few km s⁻¹. Position E shows slight variations in the different spectral lines. All lines have a

\[
\text{Offset: } 3.6^\circ, 3.6^\circ C
\]

\[
\text{Offset: } -5.5^\circ, -3.7^\circ E
\]

\[
\text{Fig. 1. } \text{Line-integrated map of the } ^{12}\text{CO}(1-0) \text{ transition from the BIMA-SONG sample. The black dots denote the positions of our two [C ii] detections in IC 342. The positions correspond to giant molecular clouds C and E. The (0,0) position corresponds to (RA,DEC) (J2000) (03:46:48.5 68:05:47).}
\]

\[
\text{Fig. 2. } \text{Averaged [C ii] spectra (dark gray, filled) toward the GMC C (top), and GMC E (bottom) positions. The } ^{12}\text{CO}(2-1) \text{ spectra are divided by 2, the [C i] } P_1 - P_0 \text{ and } ^{13}\text{CO} \text{ spectra are multiplied with 2.}
\]

Heyminck et al. (2012) on board the Stratospheric Observatory For Infrared Astronomy (SOFIA).

\[\text{http://www.iram.fr/IRAMFR/GILDAS}\]

\[\text{http://ned.ipac.caltech.edu/level5/March02/SONG/SONG.html}\]
comparable line width of slightly less than 50 km s$^{-1}$. Most lines appear to be centered around 24 km s$^{-1}$, except for $^{12}$CO(2-1) and (3-2) with slightly redshifted central velocities.

We performed a Gaussian fit for all lines at the two positions to determine their respective line parameters such as peak and line-integrated intensity $F_{\text{peak}}$ and $\int T_{\text{mb}} \, dv$, line width $AV$, and line center velocity $v_0$. For position C we fitted a single-component Gaussian to the data without any additional constraints. The results are given in Table 1. At position E we already noted the slight asymmetry in several of the CO lines, possibly resulting from two different, spatially and kinematically unrelated gas components. We used the optically thinnest lines from our data set, the $^{13}$CO lines (Israel & Baas 2003), to specify the line center velocity of 24 km s$^{-1}$ of the main component by fitting a single Gaussian to their profiles. To quantify the contributions of two separate components to the spectra, we fitted two separate Gaussian components to the spectra while keeping the line center velocity of the main component fixed to 24 km s$^{-1}$. Only for $^{12}$CO(4-3) and [C II] it was not possible to find a second line-component, therefore we performed an unconstrained single-component fit that we can attribute to the main component because of the comparable velocities. For $^{12}$CO(1-0) we fixed the velocity and width of the high-velocity component to 53 and 47 km s$^{-1}$, respectively, and derived the line parameters for the low-velocity component. The decomposition into two different components is also supported by the BIMA $^{12}$CO(1-0) data at 5.5$''$ resolution, which shows a line center velocity $v_0 \approx$ 50 km s$^{-1}$ at our center position. Therefore, emission from the PDR-dominated gas close to the central cluster is expected at $v_0 \approx$ 50 km s$^{-1}$.

As an independent method to derive the [C II] line parameters, we also calculated the zeroth, first and second moment of the spectra, i.e. the integrated intensity, mean velocity and line width. The derived emission line parameters are summarized in Table 1 (moments are given as italic numbers).

The GMC E and B are both located southwest of the central position of IC 342 with an angular separation of only 3.5$''$. Accordingly, the 15$''$ [C II] beam will always pick up emission of both GMCs. The [C II] spectrum of position E shows the strongest emission from both positions most likely because of the already known PDR/starburst contribution from GMC B (Meier & Turner 2005). Position C is situated in the molecular ring, closest to the central cluster and will most likely contain contributions from dense molecular gas as well as from PDRs. Israel & Baas (2003) showed the existence of strong velocity gradients in the central region of IC 342. To quantify what pointing shift could alternatively mimic the observed line shift, we convolved the BIMA $^{12}$CO (1-0) data to 15$''$. We found that a pointing offset of 7$''$, significantly higher than the quoted accumulative pointing accuracy after 20 minutes, exactly in the direction of the central cluster could explain the [C II] line profile. Therefore, it is unlikely, though it cannot be fully excluded, that a systematic pointing error may have caused the observed velocity shift.

4. Discussion

Table 2 lists line ratios of [C II] and [C I] to $^{12}$CO(1-0) and $^{12}$CO(2-1) and $^{12}$CO(4-3)/$^{12}$CO(1-0) (if available, the numbers for position E are given in the order high/low velocity component). Stronger PDR emission will be reflected in a higher ratio of the fine structure lines to the molecular lines. Apparently, GMC E shows the strongest PDR contribution. Stacey et al. (1991) give a [C II]/$^{12}$CO(1-0) ratio of 5000 (corrected for main beam efficiency and assuming unity beam filling). They cite [C II] intensities (beam size 55$''$) of $3 \times 10^{-4}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ sr$^{-1}$, but only 40 K km s$^{-1}$ for the $^{12}$CO(1-0) line intensity in a 60$''$ beam. The [C II] and $^{12}$CO(1-0) data were taken using the Kuiper Airborne Observatory (KAO) and the Owens Valley Radio Observatory (OVRO), respectively. Given the larger beam sizes and unknown filling factors, their values are consistent with our data.

Stacey et al. (1991) showed that starburst galaxies have a high [C II]/$^{12}$CO(1-0) ratio of 4100 while cooler, less active galaxies show much weaker ratios. Decomposition of the $^{12}$CO(1-0) data into a low- and high-velocity component at 24 and 53 km s$^{-1}$, respectively, gives [C II]/$^{12}$CO(1-0) ratios of 600 and 4000, indicating contributions from quiescent, weakly excited gas plus a strong, starburst like contribution from the local PDRs.

Table 1. IC 342 line parameters derived from Gaussian fits. One Gaussian component was fitted to all spectra at position C. At position E we fitted two Gaussian components to the spectra with a line center velocity of component I fixed to 24 km s$^{-1}$ as derived from the single-component fit to the $^{12}$CO(2-1) spectrum. The line parameters for [C II] are additionally calculated from their moments between -30 and 100 km s$^{-1}$ (numbers in italics).

| Transition | $\int T_{\text{mb}} \, dv$ [K km s$^{-1}$] | $v_0$ [km s$^{-1}$] | $\Delta v$ [km s$^{-1}$] | $T_{\text{mb}}$ [K] |
|------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| [C II]     | 24.3 ± 2.7                       | 33.1 ± 4.5      | 78 ± 9          | 0.3            |
| [C II]     | 23.5                             | 31.8            | 67.5            |                |
| $^{12}$CO(1-0)$^a$ | 307 ± 2                       | 41.5 ± 0.2      | 50 ± 1          | 5.83           |
| $^{12}$CO(2-1) | 131 ± 3                      | 38.2 ± 0.5      | 55 ± 1          | 2.21           |
| $^{12}$CO(3-2) | 134 ± 1                      | 39.4 ± 2.2      | 53 ± 1          | 2.37           |
| $^{12}$CO(4-3) | 98 ± 3                       | 39.7 ± 0.9      | 54 ± 2          | 1.71           |
| [C I] $P_a$/$P_b$ | 25.6 ± 2.2                  | 38.3 ± 3.2      | 47 ± 5          | 0.51           |

Notes. (a) Results from calculating the moments of the line. (b) To convert from flux density Jy beam$^{-1}$ km s$^{-1}$ to brightness temperatures we applied a factor of 4.4 assuming an effective beam size of 5.5$''$. (c) The line center velocity was set to 24 km s$^{-1}$. (d) The line center velocity was set to 53 km s$^{-1}$. (e) The line width was set to 47 km s$^{-1}$. (f) Results from calculating the moments of the line.
Table 2. Line ratios and estimated column densities of the observed GMCs. For GMC E we give the values for the high/low velocity components. The PDR fit assumes a distance of 3.9 Mpc.

|                  | GMC C       | GMC E       |
|------------------|-------------|-------------|
| \( [\text{C} II]^{12}\text{CO}(1-0) \) | 482         | 4236/692    |
| \( [\text{C} II]^{13}\text{CO}(2-1) \) | 142         | 1223/150    |
| \( [\text{C} II]^{13}\text{CO}(1-0) \) | 6.5         | -9.4        |
| \( [\text{C} II]^{13}\text{CO}(2-1) \) | 1.9         | -2.4        |
| \( \chi^{12}\text{CO}(4-3)\text{CO}(1-0) \) | 20.7        | 21.1(-)     |
| \( N_{\text{cm}}/[10^{17}\text{cm}^{-2}] \) | 1.4         | 0.9/1.6     |

PDR model results

|                  |            |
|------------------|------------|
| \( \langle n \rangle [10^3 \text{cm}^{-3}] \) | 5.0        |
| \( M_{\text{tot}} [10^5 \text{M}_\odot] \) | 20         |
| \( \chi [\text{Draine}] \) | 7          |

Notes. The line ratios were calculated from line-integrated intensities in units of erg s\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\) sr\(^{-1}\).

Assuming a high-temperature and high-density limit and optical thin emission.

will be accordingly higher for a compact source, lower than the beam: a beam filling factor of 1/10, not unlikely given the small size of the cores visible in the interferometric \(^{12}\text{CO}(1-0) \) map, will give a column density that is a factor of 10 higher. Column densities of \(1 \times 10^{17} \text{cm}^{-2}\) and a [C] / [H] ratio of \(10^4 \) gives a total mass in the 15" beam of \(5 \times 10^3 \text{M}_\odot \) at a distance of 3.9 Mpc. Israel & Buss (2003) give comparable central masses of IC 342 of \(5 \times 10^3 \text{M}_\odot \), given the uncertainties in the relative abundance of ionized carbon, filling factors, and distance.

To confine the local gas parameters we used the KOSMA PDR model code (Störzer et al. 1996, Röllig et al. 2006) to model the emission of an ensemble of clumpy PDRs in a beam of 15" (Cubick et al. 2008). We fitted the model to the absolute intensities therefore making the total model gas sensitive to the distance of the PDR. As a result from the fit we receive the mean gas density in the beam, the total gas mass, and the FUV intensity, that illuminates the PDRs in units of the Draine field (Draine 1978). The derived parameters for all positions are given in Tab. 2 assuming a distance of 3.9 Mpc. Employing a shorter distance will reduce the total gas mass estimates accordingly.

To perform a consistent fit across both positions we excluded the \(^{13}\text{CO} \) lines from the fitting. Note that the \(^{13}\text{CO} \) lines show relative weak emission, which requires lower model densities of \(\sim 1000 \text{cm}^{-3}\) for both positions. Without fitting to the \(^{13}\text{CO} \) lines the densities are higher by a factor of a few. Furthermore, the [C\( II \)] and [C\( I \)] line intensities relative to the CO emission can only be explained by densities below \(10^4 \text{cm}^{-3}\) and low FUV fields. Note that the model computations assume solar metallicities, while Engelbracht et al. (2008) give somewhat higher values for IC 342. Higher metallicities will lead to lower [C\( II \)]/CO ratios.

The fit results from GMC E support the decomposition into two separate gas components. The lower velocity of 24 km s\(^{-1}\) would be consistent with assuming that the emission originates in the trailing, spiral arm region, which is connected to the molecular ring. The emission of the high-velocity component resembles the PDR signature of a starburst/PDR environment with mean FUV fields of 250-300 (in units of the Draine field) and densities of \(10^4 \text{cm}^{-3}\). If we assume that the observations at position E were mispointed (see discussion in the previous section), we derive the following PDR model parameters:

\[
\langle n \rangle = 1000 \text{cm}^{-3}, \quad M_{\text{tot}} = 19 \times 10^6 \text{M}_\odot, \quad \chi = 5.
\]

These gas parameters are in conflict with a scenario where a PDR/starburst dominates at the center of the galaxy.

5. Conclusions

We used the dual-band heterodyne receiver GREAT on board the airborne telescope SOFIA to observe two giant molecular clouds situated around the nucleus of IC 342 in the \(^{12}\text{CO} J = 11 \rightarrow 10 \) transition and the \([\text{C} II] 158 \mu\text{m}\) fine-structure line. We detected \([\text{C} II] \) emission at both positions but could not detect any \(^{12}\text{CO} J = 11 \rightarrow 10 \) emission.

The new SOFIA/GREAT spectra reveal a spectral distribution of the \([\text{C} II] \) emission that follows the distribution of the neutral and molecular gas, \([\text{C} II] \) and CO. The \([\text{C} II] \) spectrum observed at the position of GMC E shows two velocity components, a high-velocity component that we attribute to emission from a PDR/starburst region in the molecular ring close to the central cluster with densities of \(10^4 \text{cm}^{-3}\), FUV field of 250-300 and a total mass of \(2 \times 10^3 \text{M}_\odot \) and a cooler, low-velocity component with densities of \(2 \times 10^3 \text{cm}^{-3}\), FUV fields of a few and a total mass 7-8 times higher than the starburst component. The model for GMC C gives model parameters of densities of \(5 \times 10^3 \text{cm}^{-3}\), FUV field of 7 and a total mass of \(2 \times 10^4 \text{M}_\odot \).

Despite the challenges that one might expect in an early transfer flight of SOFIA to Germany, we were able to deduce important astrophysical results primarily owing to the high spectral resolution available with the GREAT receiver. These data demonstrate the promise of the GREAT/SOFIA facility for future work, such as detailed mapping of the \([\text{C} II] \) emission from the central regions of IC 342 and other nearby galaxies.
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