Characterization of the trigeminovascular actions of several adenosine A2A receptor antagonists in an in vivo rat model of migraine
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Abstract

Background: Migraine is considered a neurovascular disorder, but its pathophysiological mechanisms are not yet fully understood. Adenosine has been shown to increase in plasma during migraine attacks and to induce vasodilation in several blood vessels; however, it remains unknown whether adenosine can interact with the trigeminovascular system. Moreover, caffeine, a non-selective adenosine receptor antagonist, is included in many over the counter anti-headache/migraine treatments.

Methods: This study used the rat closed cranial window method to investigate in vivo the effects of the adenosine A2A receptor antagonists with varying selectivity over A1 receptors; JNJ-39928122, JNJ-40529749, JNJ-41942914, JNJ-40064440 or JNJ-41501798 (0.3 – 10 mg/kg) on the vasodilation of the middle meningeal artery produced by either CGS21680 (an adenosine A2A receptor agonist) or endogenous CGRP (released by periarterial electrical stimulation).

Results: Regarding the dural meningeal vasodilation produced neurogenically or pharmacologically, all JNJ antagonists: (i) did not affect neurogenic vasodilation but (ii) blocked the vasodilation produced by CGS21680, with a blocking potency directly related to their additional affinity for the adenosine A1 receptor.

Conclusions: These results suggest that vascular adenosine A2A (and, to a certain extent, also A1) receptors mediate the CGS21680-induced meningeal vasodilation. These receptors do not appear to modulate prejunctionally the sensory release of CGRP. Prevention of meningeal arterial dilation might be predictive for anti-migraine drugs, and since none of these JNJ antagonists modified per se blood pressure, selective A2A receptor antagonism may offer a novel approach to antimigraine therapy which remains to be investigated in clinical trials.
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effective in migraine treatment. Although there seem to be some full-responders, the average reduction in migraine days compared to placebo is only in the excess of 1 day per month when administering any CGRP antibody [4]. This limited efficacy resulting from inhibiting CGRP effects suggests that the pathogenesis of migraine could involve additional mechanisms.

Interestingly, adenosine (released centrally and peripherally as a breakdown product of ATP) is another neuromodulator that seems to play a role in migraine pathophysiology [5]. Indeed: (i) adenosine plasma levels have been reported to be increased during migraine attacks [6]; (ii) exogenous adenosine may trigger migraine attacks [7]; (iii) dipyridamole, an adenosine uptake inhibitor, may increase the frequency of migraine attacks [8]; and (iv) an adenosine gene haplotype has been associated with migraine with aura [9]. Accordingly, adenosine receptor antagonists may have potential therapeutic usefulness in the treatment of migraine; while caffeine, a non-selective adenosine receptor antagonist [5], is already present in several over-the-counter anti-headache/migraine medications [10].

The conjunction of structural, transductional and operational criteria has shown that adenosine can activate four subtypes of G-protein-coupled receptors [11, 12], namely adenosine: (i) A₁ and A₃ receptors (coupled to Gᵢ proteins), which mediate vascular smooth muscle constriction; and (ii) A₂A and A₂B receptors (coupled to Gₛ proteins), which mediate direct and endothelium-dependent vasodilation [13, 14]. Moreover, the A₁ receptor can also mediate endothelium-dependent vasodilation [15, 16].

Within this framework, it has been shown ex vivo that adenosine and CGS21680, a stable A₂A receptor agonist (with about 10–100-fold selectivity for A₂A receptors over A₁ and A₃ receptors and poor affinity for A₂B receptors [17]), dilate middle meningeal and cerebral arteries respectively, a response blocked by A₂A receptor antagonists [13, 18].

The above findings, coupled to the demonstration that the trigeminal ganglion expresses A₂A receptors [19] and the ability of this receptor to facilitate CGRP release in the hippocampus [20], beg the questions of whether adenosine A₂A receptors can induce meningeal vasodilation in vivo, and also whether they could be involved in neurogenic vasodilation either per se or as modulators of CGRP release in the trigeminovascular system.

Hence, this study used the rat closed cranial window method, a model predictive of antimigraine action [21], to investigate the effects of five novel adenosine A₂A receptor antagonists (Fig. 1) on the vasodilation of the middle meningeal artery produced by either CGS21680 or endogenous CGRP (released by periarterial electrical stimulation). These antagonists (JNJ-41942914, JNJ-39928122, JNJ-40529749, JNJ-40064440 and JNJ-41501798) were developed as described by Shook et al. [22] and display a varying degree of selectivity for A₂A over A₁ receptors (Table 1).

**Methods**

**Intravital microscopy experiments**

**Animals**

Fifty seven normotensive male Sprague-Dawley rats (300–400 g), purchased from Harlan (Horst, The Netherlands),
were maintained at a 12/12-h light-dark cycle (with light beginning at 7 a.m.) and housed at a constant temperature (22 ± 2°C) and humidity (50%), with food and water ad libitum. Only male rats were used to avoid crosstalk between CGRP and hormonal fluctuations during the female oestrus cycle [23]. The animals were anaesthetized with an intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection of sodium pentobarbital (60 mg/kg, followed by 18 mg/kg i.v. per hour when necessary). The adequacy of anaesthesia was judged by a negative tail flick test and the absence of ocular reflexes, amongst others. All experimental protocols of this study were approved by our Institutional Ethics Committee [Erasmus MC; permission protocol number EMC 1931 (118–09-04)], in accordance with the NIH guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals in U.S.A. and the ARRIVE guidelines for reporting experiments in animals [24]. All rats were randomly assigned into the different experimental protocols (see experimental protocol section).

**General methods**

After anesthesia, the trachea was cannulated and connected to a pressure ventilator (small animal ventilator SAR-830 series, CWE Inc., Ardmore, PA, U.S.A.). End-tidal PCO₂ was monitored (Capstar-100 CWE Inc., PA, U.S.A.) and kept between 35 and 48 mmHg. The left femoral vein and artery were cannulated for intravenous (i.v.) administration of drugs and continuous monitoring of blood pressure, respectively. Two or three samples of blood (at the beginning and at the end of the experiment) were withdrawn via the femoral artery to monitor blood gases and other parameters, which were kept between normal values (pH: 7.35–7.48; pCO₂: 35–48 mmHg; PO₂: 100–120 mmHg). The body temperature of each rat was monitored via a rectal thermometer and maintained throughout the experiment (36.5 °C–37.5 °C) by a homeothermic blanket system for rodents (Harvard Instruments, Edenbridge, Kent, U.K.). The rats were placed in a stereotaxic frame and the parietal bone overlying a segment of the dural meningeal artery was carefully drilled thin, applying cold saline (4 °C) until the artery was visible. Since skull drilling induces vasodilation, we allowed the animal to recover for 1 h before the experimental protocol. The drilled area was covered with mineral oil to prevent drying and to facilitate visualization of the meningeal artery. The artery was captured with an intravital microscope (model MZ 16; Leica microscope Ltd., Heerbrugg, Switzerland) using a cyan blue filter on a cold source of light. A zoom lens (80–450 × magnification) and a camera was used to display images with the blood vessel diameter (30–40 μm at baseline) being continuously monitored and measured with a video dimension analyser (Living Systems Instrumentation Inc., Burlington, VT, U.S.A.). In rats where periarterial electrical stimulation was used to evoke dural vasodilation, a bipolar stimulating electrode (NE 200X, Clark Electromedical, Edenbridge, Kent, U.K.) was placed on the surface of the cranial window approximately within 200 μm from the vessel of interest. The cranial window surface was stimulated at 5 Hz, 1 ms for 10 s (Stimulator model S88, Grass Instruments, West Warwick, RI, U.S.A.). For neurogenic dural vasodilation, we initially started with a current intensity (monitored on an oscilloscope, model 54601A, Hewlett Packard, Palo Alto, CA, U.S.A.) of 100 μA and increased with 50 μA steps until a maximal level of dilatation was achieved, usually at 200 μA. The resulting data were displayed and recorded using a WINDAQ data acquisition system (Version 2.54; DataQ Instruments Inc., Akron, OH, U.S.A.).

**Experimental protocols**

First, 6 animals were used to determine the effect of i.v. adenosine and caffeine on the middle meningeal artery diameter. The doses of adenosine (1 mg/kg) and caffeine (40 mg/kg) were based on previously published work [15, 25]. Further, 51 animals were divided into two groups which received, respectively, periarterial electrical stimulation (150–250 μA; n = 27) and the adenosine A₂ receptor agonist CGS21680 (10 μg/kg, i.v., n = 24; the optimal dose as determined in 7 pilot experiments, data not shown). Dural vasodilator responses remained unchanged after repeated treatment for 4 times (data not shown) and in the presence of the vehicle captisol, which was used for dissolving most of the antagonists. Thirty min were allowed between each of these treatments for recovery to the baseline diameter. Subsequently, each of these groups was subdivided into five subgroups (n = 3–6 each) which were given (after 30 min) i.v. bolus injections of, respectively, the adenosine A₂ receptor antagonists JNJ-41942914 (0.3, 1, and 3 mg/kg), JNJ-39928122, JNJ-40529749. JNJ-40064440 and JNJ-41501798 (all 1, 3 and up to 10 mg/kg). Based on their binding affinities (see Table 1), only doses up until significant blockade, were tested for the CGS21580 response. Each antagonist dose was administered 5 min before

**Table 1** Affinity constants indicated as IC₅₀ in nM (and the corresponding pIC₅₀) for the compounds used in the present study.

| Compound        | A₂α | A₁ | Fold selectivity | Selectivity A₂α vs. A₁ |
|-----------------|-----|----|-----------------|------------------------|
| CGS21680        | 22 nM (7.6) | 3100 nM (5.5) | 141           |
| Caffeine        | 8100 nM (5.1) | 20000 nM (4.7) | 2.5                     |
| JNJ-39928122    | 7.9 nM (8.1) | 55.1 nM (7.3) | 7                        |
| JNJ-40529749    | 4.9 nM (8.3) | 89.1 nM (7.1) | 18                        |
| JNJ-41942914    | 8.3 nM (8.1) | 1093 nM (6.0) | 132                       |
| JNJ-40064440    | 8.2 nM (8.1) | 1240 nM (5.9) | 151                       |
| JNJ-41501798    | 11.5 nM (7.9) | 7997 nM (5.1) | 695                       |

The JNJ antagonists were developed by Johnson & Johnson Pharmaceutical Research & Development, LLC. Hutchison et al. 1989 [33]; Fredholm et al. 1999 [28]; a, Paul Jackson (Janssen Research & Development, personal communication); indicates Kᵢ values.
periarterial electrical stimulation or CGS21680, except for caffeine (15 min) as previously reported [25]. The duration of each experiment was approximately 2.5 h after stabilization.

Data presentation and statistical evaluation
All data are presented as mean ± SEM. The peak increases in dural meningeal artery diameter are expressed as percent change from baseline. Changes in mean arterial blood pressure (MAP) were expressed as absolute values (mm Hg). The difference between the variables within one group was compared by using a one-way repeated measures analysis of variance followed by Dunnet’s test. Dunnet’s test does not give individual P-values, hence statistical significance was accepted at \( P < 0.05 \). When there was only one dose applied (for caffeine), two-tailed paired Student’s T-test was used.

Drugs
The compounds used in this study were: sodium pentobarbital (Nembutal; Ceva Sante Animale B.V., Maassluis, The Netherlands); caffeine, adenosine and CGS21680 hydrochloride hydrate (2-p-(2-carboxyethyl)phenoxyethylamino-5’-N-ethylcarboxamido adenosine hydrochloride hydrate) (Sigma Chemicals Co., Steinheim, Germany); JNJ-41942914, JNJ-39928122, JNJ-40064440, JNJ-40529749 and JNJ-41501798 (gift courtesy from Janssen Research & Development, L.L.C., Raritan, NJ, U.S.A.). Caffeine, adenosine, CGS21680 and JNJ-40064440 were dissolved in distilled water, whereas JNJ-39928122, JNJ-41942914, JNJ-40529749 and JNJ-41501798 were dissolved in captisol (sulfobutylether B-cyclodextrin; Ligand Pharmaceuticals, San Diego, U.S.A.). The suspensions of JNJ-40529749 and JNJ-41501798 were sonicated and filtrated. All solutions were further diluted in saline.

Results
General considerations
In order to facilitate the interpretation of the following results, the five JNJ antagonists (Table 1) were sub-divided, a priori, into 3 groups (indicated in different grey-tones): (i) JNJ-39928122 and JNJ-40529749 have ~10 fold selectivity for A\(_{2A}\) over A\(_{1}\) receptors; (ii) JNJ-41942914 and JNJ-40064440 are ~100 fold selective for A\(_{2A}\) over A\(_{1}\) receptors; and (iii) JNJ-41501798 is ~700 fold selective for A\(_{2A}\) over A\(_{1}\) receptors. It is also worth mentioning that caffeine has ~2.5 fold selectivity for the rat and ~5 fold selectivity for the human A\(_{2A}\) vs. A\(_{1}\) receptors \([K_D\text{ values}, \text{[26]}]\); however, caffeine also inhibits A\(_{2B}\) receptor with similar affinity as for A\(_{1}\), which is not the case for the JNJ antagonists.

Effects of i.v. adenosine and caffeine on dural diameter and MAP
We initially set out to determine the effect of adenosine on the dural diameter in vivo. Figure 2 shows that (i) 1 mg/kg adenosine caused a dural artery dilation of 50 ± 6% and a drop in blood pressure to 53 ± 4 mmHg; (ii) 40 mg/kg caffeine caused a non-significant dural artery dilation of 12 ± 5%, while blood pressure was increased significantly by 14 ± 3 mmHg; (iii) after a stabilizing period post-caffeine, the second dural artery dilation produced by adenosine was reduced to 25 ± 6% (\( n = 6, \, p = 0.003 \), which was accompanied by a significantly attenuated drop in blood pressure, to 69 ± 5 mmHg (\( p = 0.004 \)).

Effect of the JNJ antagonists on the dural dilatation by periarterial electrical stimulation
In order to investigate whether the dural dilation induced by periarterial electrical stimulation could be in part dependent on adenosine release, either as direct activation of vascular adenosine receptors or prejunctional modulation

![Fig. 2](image-url) The effect of caffeine on adenosine-induced dural vasodilation. Adenosine (1 mg/kg) was injected i.v. after a recovery period of 30 min. Then, caffeine (40 mg/kg) was injected slowly, and a second adenosine injection (1 mg/kg) was injected 15 min after the caffeine injection (adenosine after caffeine). Left panel illustrates increase in diameter and right panel changes in mean arterial blood pressure, in response to adenosine. Data are ± SEM, \( n = 6, \, ** p < 0.01 \) compared to the control. Open circles represent baseline measurements before injections, B=Baseline.
of trigeminal CGRP release, the JNJ antagonists (given i.v.) were investigated in their capability to modify the dural vasodilation produced by electrical stimulation. As shown in Fig. 3 (left panels), neurogenic stimulation induced, overall, an immediate increase in dural artery diameter of $83 \pm 7\%$ ($n = 27$). Surprisingly, none of the JNJ antagonists affected this neurogenic vasodilation (left panels). Suggesting that neither $A_1$ nor $A_{2A}$ receptors are involved.

Fig. 3 Effect of $A_{2A}$ antagonists on perivascular electrical stimulation of the dural artery. Perivascular electrical stimulation (150–250 μA) in the absence or presence of vehicle, or varying doses of JNJ-39928122 (A, $n = 4$), JNJ-40529749 (B, $n = 4–5$), JNJ-41942914 (C, $n = 6$), JNJ-40064440 (D, $n = 4$), or JNJ-41501798 (E, $n = 7–8$). Data are presented as percentage of increase in diameter (left panels) and changes in mean arterial blood pressure (mm Hg, right panels) induced by perivascular electrical stimulation (ES). Note that none of the treatments produced any significant changes ($p > 0.05$ compared to the vehicle). Open circles represent baseline measurements before injections/ES. JNJ-40064440 was dissolved in water, so vehicle measurements equal control.
The effect of the JNJ antagonists on MAP before and during neurogenic dural stimulation

As shown in Fig. 3 (right panels), both periarterial electrical stimulation and the JNJ antagonists were devoid of any effect per se on MAP.

Effects of CGS21680 on dural artery diameter and MAP

Although adenosine A2A or A1 receptors did not appear to be important in the vasodilation observed after neurogenic dural stimulation, adenosine vasodilates dural arteries in vivo (Fig. 2), most likely via both A2A and A2B receptors as previously reported ex vivo [13]. Since our study set out to study specifically the role of the adenosine A2A receptor, we continued our study using CGS21680, which is a more biologically stable, highly selective for A2A over A2B receptor agonist [17].

As shown in Fig. 4, CGS21680 (10 μg/kg before administration of JNJ antagonists; n = 24) mimicked adenosine in its capability to produce: (i) a marked dilation of the dural artery diameter (66 ± 9%; left panels); and (ii) a drop in blood pressure (53 ± 9 mmHg; right panels); and (ii) a drop in blood pressure (53 ± 9 mmHg; right panels) and hence excluding the involvement of A2B receptors.

The lower the selectivity (A2A over A1 receptors) the higher the potency of JNJ antagonists to block CGS21680-induced dural vasodilation

To further uncover the nature of the adenosine receptors in the dural vasculature, we explored the effect of the JNJ antagonist with varying selectivity (A2A over A1 receptors). Figure 4 (left panels) also shows that all JNJ antagonists significantly blocked the CGS21680-induced dural vasodilation with varying degrees of potency. Specifically, the vasodilation to CGS21680 was: (i) abolished by 1 mg/kg (~1 ± 2%) of JNJ-39928122 (Fig. 4a); (ii) abolished at 1 mg/kg (~2 ± 1%) of JNJ-40529749 (Fig. 4b); (iii) significantly attenuated (but not abolished) by 3 mg/kg (21 ± 11%) of JNJ-41942914 (Fig. 4c); (iv) significantly attenuated by 3 mg/kg (23 ± 15%) and abolished (1 ± 3%) by 10 mg/kg of JNJ-40064440 (Fig. 4d); and (v) dose-dependently blocked, and practically abolished by 10 mg/kg (5 ± 4%) of JNJ-41501798 (Fig. 4e). Clearly, the lower the selectivity of A2A vs. A1 (Table 1) the higher the potency of JNJ antagonists to block CGS21680-induced dural vasodilation.

Effect of JNJ antagonists on CGS21680-induced vasodepressor responses

Similarly, the vasodepressor responses to CGS21680 were blocked by the JNJ antagonists as follows: (i) very potently by the less selective antagonists JNJ-39928122 and JNJ-40529749; and (ii) less potently by the highest doses of the more selective antagonists JNJ-41942914, JNJ-40064440 and JNJ-41501798, which display from low to very low affinity for the A1 receptor (Table 1).

Discussion

Comparison between in vivo and in vitro vascular responses to adenosine

The adenosine receptor antagonists SCH58261 (478-fold A2A over A1 selective [27]) and caffeine (non-selective A1/2A/2B [28]) have been shown to block the ex vivo adenosine-induced dilation of endothelium-denuded middle meningeal arteries [18]. In these experiments, not only did caffeine (50 μM) or SCH58261 (1 μM) prevent the dural dilation, but a vasoconstriction to adenosine was unmasked. Interestingly, this effect was not observed in vivo, which could be due to the fact that the artery used for the myograph (outer diameter ~ 100 μm) had a larger diameter than in this study (outer diameter ~ 35 μm) and that there potentially are less A3 receptors expressed in smaller vessels, as we see no indirect involvement of A3 (i.e. vasoconstriction) in the current experiments. These differences require further investigation, but it is known that receptor expression changes along different vascular beds [29].

General considerations

In addition to the implications discussed below, the present study shows that: (i) both adenosine and CGS21680 produced rat dural vasodilation in vivo; and (ii) for JNJ antagonists, the lower the selectivity (A2A over A1 receptors) the higher the potency to block the dural vasodilation and vasodepressor responses induced by CGS21680 (implying that blockade of A1 receptors is also necessary to completely block the dural vasodilation in vivo). The latter finding is most likely due to endothelial A1 receptors, as the main difference between the in vivo (present study) and the ex vivo studies [18] is the absence of endothelium. Indeed, Honey et al. [21] have shown the presence of adenosine A1 receptors mediating vasodilation in the rat middle meningeal artery in vivo.

The potential role of A2A and A1 receptors in the dural vasodilation as prejunctional modulators of neurogenic dural vasodilation or produced by CGS21680

The simplest interpretation of the fact that the JNJ antagonists had no effect on neurogenic dural vasodilation (Fig. 3), which involves CGRP release [1], implies that: (i) adenosine is not released by periarterial electrical stimulation; (ii) A2A receptors do not constitute a positive feedback mechanism for CGRP release, as expected from its transductional properties (positive coupling to Gs proteins; [11]); or (iii) cAMP increase, induced by CGRP, is so high that this could have masked the small increase in cAMP levels mediated by A2A receptors [26]. Interestingly, adenosine A1 receptors [coupled to Gi, Gi-σ, Gαi and Gs] have been shown to block the ex vivo adenosine-induced dilation of endothelium-denuded middle meningeal arteries [18]. In these experiments, not only did caffeine (50 μM) or SCH58261 (1 μM) prevent the dural dilation, but a vasoconstriction to adenosine was unmasked. Interestingly, this effect was not observed in vivo, which could be due to the fact that the artery used for the myograph (outer diameter ~ 100 μm) had a larger diameter than in this study (outer diameter ~ 35 μm) and that there potentially are less A3 receptors expressed in smaller vessels, as we see no indirect involvement of A3 (i.e. vasoconstriction) in the current experiments. These differences require further investigation, but it is known that receptor expression changes along different vascular beds [29].
proteins; [11]) can produce a prejunctional inhibition of the neurogenic dural vasodilation in rats [21]. However, the weakly selective JNJ antagonists (JNJ-39928122 and JNJ-40529749), which would be theoretically expected to block (at least in part) this mechanism, did not increase neurogenic dural vasodilation (Fig. 3).

Several lines of evidence have previously shown in other systems that: (i) the vasodilation produced by adenosine and related agonists is mainly mediated by vascular and endothelial A2A receptors [13, 14] as well as by endothelial A1 receptors [16]; and (ii) the trigemino-vascular system expresses A2A receptors [19]. In keeping
with these findings, our results further demonstrate that the JNJ antagonists blocked CGS21680-induced dural vasodilation (Fig. 4), with a different profile of blockade (dependent on A2A vs. A1 selectivity; see below). This reinforces the involvement of adenosine A2A and, probably to a lesser extent, of A1 receptors. In addition, based on the poor affinity of CGS21680 for the A2B receptors [17] and similar responses to adenosine, our data did not show any strong involvement of the A2B receptors.

**Systemic effects of JNJ antagonists on A2A and A1 receptors**

Caffeine is a non-selective adenosine A1, A2A and A2B receptor antagonist that does not affect A3 receptors at the doses used [28]. Accordingly, caffeine produced a slight increase in blood pressure (Fig. 2), as previously reported [25]. Interestingly, the fact that none of the JNJ antagonists increased blood pressure (Fig. 4, right panel), even at doses that blocked the dural vasodilation to CGS21680 (Fig. 4, left panel) suggests that there is no strong “adenosine vascular tone”. In addition, it is worth emphasizing that A2B receptors are involved in the blood pressure effects of adenosine [30], which would explain the minor difference between caffeine and the JNJ antagonists in our study.

It is well established that A2A receptor agonists lower blood pressure [12, 31]. The A1 receptor agonists GR79236 and N6-cyclopentyladenosine (CPA), although less studied, also decrease blood pressure with higher potency than CGS21680, and both cause direct production of endothelial NO [15, 16, 31]. Hence, the vasodepressor response to adenosine in A1−/− mice is reduced [32]. In the present study, the less selective (JNJ-39928122 and JNJ-40529749) A2A vs. A1 antagonists potently blocked the decrease in blood pressure, whereas the more selective (JNJ-40064440 and JNJ-41501798) A2A antagonists were less potent, and only effective at 10 mg/kg. These high doses of JNJ-40064440 and JNJ-41501798 also induced inhibition of A1 receptors. Blockade of the adenosine A2A and A1 receptors prevents systemic vasodilation in response to adenosine, and therefore the block in blood pressure.

**In vivo effects of CGS21680**

In binding affinity studies, CGS21680 is 141-fold selective for A2A over A1 receptors [33]. However, our study raises the concern whether CGS21680 is a specific A2A receptor agonist in vivo in rats, as it appears that higher blocking affinities for the A1 receptor causes a more potent blockade of the vasodepressor and dural vasodilator responses. For the human adenosine receptors, the selectivity for A2A over A1 receptors is minimal [34].

The most obvious explanation for the apparent discrepancy between the binding affinity selectively and the in vivo effects, is the location of adenosine receptors, as A1 receptors are on the endothelium, whereas the A2A receptors are mainly located on vascular smooth muscle [12]; hence the endothelium will be directly exposed to an apparently higher concentration. In addition, there are opposing findings on the selectivity of CGS21680. For example CGS21680 binds with high affinity (around 1 nM) to A1 receptors in the hippocampus of A2A−/− mice [35], in contrast, in the same mice CGS21680 had no effect on blood pressure [36].

Comparing our findings with previous studies in rats, the vasodepressor response to CGS21680 (10 μg/kg) was completely blocked by 3 mg/kg of the A2A receptor antagonists ZM241385 [319-fold A2A over A1; [15, 27]] or CGS15943 [9-fold A2A over A1; [37]]. Clearly, ZM241385 has a higher A2A over A1 selectivity, but its Kᵣ for A1 receptors is 255 nM. Since these binding data are similar to those of our less selective compounds, A2A and also A1 receptors would be blocked in these studies.

**Possible clinical implications**

On the basis of the above lines of evidence, the antimigraine potential of selective adenosine A2A receptor antagonists would be of particular relevance in those patients whose adenosine plasma levels are markedly increased during a migraine attack. Although our findings indicate that adenosine is not released by perivascular electrical stimulation, inhibition of dural vasodilation is a shared mechanism of current (ergots and triptans) and prospective (CGRP receptor antagonists and antibodies) antimigraine drugs [1, 38]. Whether this (antimigraine) mechanism alone is sufficient to attenuate the trigeminal nociceptive transmission associated with migraine headache, remains to be determined. Additionally, other studies have shown that: (i) activation of A2A receptors facilitates the action of CGRP and VIP in the rat hippocampus [20]; (ii) A2A receptor knockout mice are hypoalgesic [36]; and (iii) A2A receptors are expressed in the rat trigeminovascular system [19] as well as in the rat trigeminal ganglion, together with A1, A3B and A3 receptors [18]. Furthermore, intra-articular administration of adenosine and N6-cyclohexyladenosine (CHA, an adenosine A1 receptor agonist), but not CGS21680, significantly increased ketorolac antinociception [39]. These findings, taken together: (i) argue in favor of selective blockade of adenosine A2 receptors as a potential antimigraine strategy; and (ii) imply that blockade of A1 receptors would be a disadvantage in antimigraine treatment. Obviously, further clinical studies should evaluate the JNJ antagonist(s) with the optimal oral bioavailability based on their pharmacokinetic properties.

**Conclusions**

In conclusion, all the JNJ antagonists were capable of blocking CGS21680-induced dural vasodilation without
affecting neurogenic dural vasodilation (suggesting no modulation of trigeminal CGRP release). This blockade was more potent when showing lower A2A over A1 selectivity, and that both these receptors are involved in the dural artery vasodilation. On this basis, and considering that the JNJ antagonist were devoid of any effect per se on blood pressure, selective A2A receptor antagonism may offer a novel approach to antimigraine therapy that remains to be determined in clinical trials.
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