Multi-Biomarker Assessment in Common Carp (Cyprinus carpio, Linnaeus 1758) Liver after Acute Chlorpyrifos Exposure
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Abstract: The excessive use of pesticides at different stages of crop production can pose a great danger to the aquatic environment, and particularly to fish. The purpose of the present work was to assess the negative effects of chlorpyrifos (CPF) on the liver histological architecture and the activities of marker enzymes in common carp (Cyprinus carpio Linnaeus, 1758), by applying a multi-biomarker technique. The tested insecticide is categorized as a priority pollutant in surface waters in terms of Directive 2013/39/EU. The carps were exposed to different and environmentally relevant CPF concentrations for 72 h (a short-term acute experiment). The results showed that the tested insecticide alters the liver histological structure, causing degenerative lesions, such as granular and vacuolar degeneration; necrobiotic alterations and necrosis, as well as changes in the circulatory system. In addition, CPF induces changes in the enzymatic activity of lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), aspartate aminotransferase (ASAT), alanine aminotransferase (ALAT), cholinesterase (ChE), glutathione peroxidase (GPx) and catalase (CAT). The results from such experimental set ups could be successfully used in the legislation related to the protection of water bodies from contamination, in areas with intensive application of plant protection products used in agricultural practices, and also in implementing the Water Frame Directive by using multi-biomarker approaches.
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1. Introduction

Modern agricultural practices lead to the indiscriminate use of various pesticides that will eventually enter the aquatic environment [1]. Chlorpyrifos (CPF, O,O-diethyl O-3,5,6-trichloro-2-pyridyl-phosphorothioate) is a broad spectrum organophosphorus insecticide (OP) applied to control agricultural and domestic pests [2,3]. In addition, CPF is one of the most widely used agricultural insecticides throughout the world, accounting for 50% of global insecticidal use [4,5].
There are many pathways by which CPF can be distributed throughout aquatic ecosystems [4]. CPF can enter to aquatic ecosystems through rainfall, runoff and air-drift [5]. Wood and Stark [6] explain that areas treated with CPF can result in concentrations of up to 4.3 µg/L in watercourses and lakes. For example, CPF is one of the most widely used organophosphorus insecticides in Argentina, and it is reported that concentrations of CPF have reached 10.8 µg/L in the surface waters of Pampa Ondulada, Argentina [7]. CPF usage has the potential to change the aquatic environment, affecting the boundary of tolerance of the aquatic ecosystems. Furthermore, CPF is one of the most common pesticides found in fishery products in China [8].

Pesticide resistance, as well as bioaccumulative and toxic characteristics, cause severe short- and long-term effects, even in low concentrations, and most of these toxic compounds could also accumulate significantly in aquatic organisms [9]. Among all species of aquatic organisms, fish are regarded as good indicators for assessing the quality of aquatic ecosystems [10]. Acute toxicity tests involving fish species have proven to be useful for ecotoxicological evaluation to assess the potential hazards of various chemical contaminants, e.g., pesticides [11–13]. Common carp (Cyprinus carpio Linnaeus, 1758) is one of the most widespread fish species in the temperate regions of the world [14]. Common carp is very popular in aquaculture industries, due to several specific features e.g., its feeding habits, high growth rate, reproduction in captivity, adaptation to commercial diets and density. Moreover, common carp is relatively insensitive, and can survive and accumulate contaminants at heavily polluted sites, which is why it is used as a freshwater bioindicator in environmental toxicology [15]. However, anthropogenic activities and environmental pollutants can be harmful for the physiology of common carp [16–21].

CPF can cause acute poisoning, and is reported to be involved in multiple mechanisms which cause hepatic dysfunction, genotoxicity, and neurobehavioral and neurochemical changes [3,22,23]. CPF can also inhibit the acetylcholinesterase enzyme causing accumulation of acetylcholine in the cholinergic receptors of nervous systems [3]. According to Banaee [24], under conditions of stress, fish need extra energy, thus lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) is then involved in energy production via anaerobic metabolism. In addition, as stated by Gupta et al. [25] and Ghorpade et al. [26], under conditions such as those caused by pesticides, the changes in aspartate aminotransferase (ASAT) and alanine aminotransferase (ALAT) enzymatic activity stimulate the process of gluconeogenesis and the mobilization of L-amino acids.

Furthermore, oxidative stress is a general molecular mechanism in OP-induced toxicity [27]. However, fish have several defensive mechanisms to neutralize the impact of reactive oxygen species (ROS) caused by OP-induced toxicity [3]. These include various antioxidant defense enzymes, e.g., catalase (CAT) and glutathione peroxidase (GPx), whose activities can be decreased significantly by CPF intoxication. ROS which are not neutralized by the antioxidant defense system can cause changes in the fish organism at the cellular, tissue and organ level [28,29]. Therefore, in recent years, considerable research has focused on pesticide induced oxidative stress as a possible mechanism of toxicity [3,30].

The liver is an organ that is widely used in biomarker approach studies on fish health assessment, and is associated with detoxification processes, due to its function, position and blood supply. It is also one of the most affected organs in contaminated waters, but also plays an important role in fish physiology, i.e., in anabolism (proteins, lipids, carbohydrates) and catabolism (glycogenolysis, detoxification), and is a storage center for different substances, mainly glycogen [31]. In addition, the parenchymal liver tissue in fish has important physiological functions, such as the detoxification of chemical contaminants (e.g., pesticides) [32].

Histology is a non-specific, relatively low-cost and valuable ecological risk assessment method [33]. Furthermore, changes in the activity of antioxidant enzymes can also be considered a reliable biomarker for toxic damage [34,35]. Generally, biochemical alterations occur before the presence of the pathological changes in the tissue [36]. In addition, the inhibition of enzymatic activity is also used to indicate tissue damage [37]. Pesticides can produce free oxygen radicals via several mechanisms, i.e., interference with electronic transport of reactive intermediates, the depletion of non-enzymatic antioxidants, inactivation
of antioxidant enzymes and membrane lipid peroxidation [38,39]. The activity of the enzymes varies in the different tissues, being higher in organs with high oxidative potential (e.g., in the liver) [37]. Based on the excessive use of pesticides and their negative impact on the aquatic environment (e.g., [40]), the main aim of the present study is to determine the effects of environmentally relevant and decreasing CPF concentrations on common carp, which is one of the most common freshwater species in aquaculture and an important test organism in toxicology. Therefore, for this purpose, we applied a multi-biomarker approach to assess the negative effects of CPF. We observed the histological structure and measured the enzymatic activity of lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), aspartate aminotransferase (ASAT), alanine aminotransferase (ALAT), cholinesterase (ChE), glutathione peroxidase (GPx) and catalase (CAT) in the liver of the tested fish species.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Chemicals

Chlorpyrifos (CPF, CAS: 2921-88-2) with a density of 0.7688 g/cm\(^3\) at 21.3 °C and a purity of 99.5%, diluted in cyclohexane was purchased for the purpose of this study. Stock solution of 0.03 µg/L (30 ppm) CPF was prepared. Thereafter, 30 µL of it was diluted in 970 µL cyclohexane (100 ppm) and calculated for the tested concentrations relatively for 100 L aquaria. All other applied chemicals for histological and biochemical analyses were of analytical grade and were obtained from MERCK (Darmstadt, Germany). They were used as received, without any further purification.

CPF is a degradable pesticide and it undergoes breakdown in the environment as a result of biological, chemical and physical forces. In all systems (soil, water, plants and animals), the major pathway of degradation begins with cleavage of the phosphorus ester bond to yield the breakdown product trichloropyridinol (TCPy) [9]. This first step is a detoxification, as TCPy has no insecticidal activity, and is considered toxicologically insignificant by regulatory authorities. In soil and water, TCPy is further degraded via microbial activity and sunlight to carbon dioxide and organic matter. In animals, TCP may be excreted directly or following conjugation (bonding with other chemicals); in plants TCP conjugates are stored [13].

The solubility of CPF is temperature dependent, but at 25 °C, it is about 1.4 mg/L. In water, CPF readily adsorbs to suspended sediment and bottom materials. Volatilization is probably the primary route of loss of CPF from water. Volatility half-lives of 3.5 and 20 days have been estimated for pond water [41]. Its change into other natural forms (biotransformation) is slow [37]. Research suggests that this insecticide is unstable in water, and the rate at which it is hydrolyzed increases with temperature, decreasing by 2.5 to 3-fold with each 10 °C drop in temperature. The rate of hydrolysis is constant in acidic to neutral waters, but increases in alkaline waters. In water at pH 7.0 and 25 °C, it is estimated to have a half-life of 35 to 78 days [37].

2.2. Animals and Treatments

Healthy common carp specimens (\(n = 200\)), with normal morphology and no outside alterations were obtained from the Institute of Fisheries and Aquaculture, Bulgaria, where the conditions are controlled and strictly followed on a daily basis. The common carp had approximately the same size (mean total length 13.5 ± 2.5 (SD) cm; mean body mass 22.5 ± 3.5 (SD) g). After transportation, they were placed in 100 L glass aquaria with chlorine free tap water to acclimatize for seven days. The photoperiod was 12 h light and 12 h dark. During the acclimatization period, the fish were fed with commercial pellets for Cyprinids (CarpCo Excellent Koi Grower, Helmond, The Netherlands), but they were not fed 2 days prior to the experimental exposure [42].

After this adaptation period, the fish were divided into four tested groups (\(n = 15\)), including a control group (chlorine free tap water) and were treated in static conditions for 72 h with nominal and environmentally relevant concentrations of CPF [40]. The experimental set up was performed in triplicate. The tested insecticide was added only at the beginning of the experiment and the water
was not renewed, as explained in other studies [43–45]. In addition, the half-life of CPF in water ranges from 3.5 to 20 days and 35 to 78 days, depending on the pH, as described above; therefore, it was supposed that the concentrations of CPF would not decrease considerably during the present experimental design of 72 h. No control with cyclohexane only was used, as its concentration was extremely low and no effect was expected.

The tested decreasing CPF concentrations were based on EU legislation and made up as 50 and 30% of the allowable annual average concentrations (AA-EQS) in surface waters (0.03 μg/L) [40,43]. Thus, the applied insecticide concentrations were 0.03 μg/L, 0.015 μg/L and 0.009 μg/L. A multi-parameter portable meter (MultiLine® Multi 3510 IDS, WTW-Xylem Analytics, Weilheim, Germany) was used, in order to measure the basic physico-chemical characteristics of water, including its conductivity, dissolved oxygen, pH and temperature. They were monitored three times at the 24th, 48th and 72nd h [46]. Directive 2010/63/EU regarding the protection of animals used for scientific purposes was followed thoroughly; hence, it was ensured that the fish were sacrificed with minimum pain, suffering and distress. For this purpose, an anesthetic overdose was applied (100 mg/L water of Tricaine methanesulfonate (MS222) (Argent Chemical Laboratories, Redmond, WA, USA)) and, thereafter, the fish were dissected, and the samples were collected for different subsequent analyses. Furthermore, the common carps were dissected according to the international procedures given by Rosseland et al. [47], and the methods were approved by the Ethics Committee at the Faculty of Biology, Plovdiv University, Bulgaria (No. 4/10.09.2019). An additional clarification, which we must make, is that the main distinguishing anatomical feature of common carp from other Cyprinid fish is the presence of hepatopancreas, which is a mixture between a liver and a pancreas. For the purpose of this study we adopted the term “liver”, which also appears in the scientific literature.

2.3. Histological Procedures

A standard histological method involving hematoxylin-eosin staining was followed [48]. All histological samples were prepared for light microscopy analysis [48]. For each fish, the liver was sampled and fixed in 10% neutrally buffered formaldehyde for 24 h. The preserved samples were rinsed in tap water, dehydrated in a series of solutions with increasing ethanol concentrations (70%, 80%, 85%, 96%, 100%, respectively). Then they were cleared in xylene, infiltrated with liquid paraffin with a melting point of 54–56 °C and finally embedded in paraffin wax. The tested organs from each fish were sampled using a rotary microtome (Leica RM 2125 RTS, Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany); sections of 5 μm were stained with hematoxylin-eosin (H&E) and investigated with a light microscope (Leica DM 2000, Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany).

2.4. Semi-Quantitative Screening

The histological alterations were presented according to the semi-quantitative system described by Bernet et al. [49], which we adopted for the purposes of the study, but also modified slightly. According to Saraiva et al. [50], a five-degree (0–5) severity gradation scale (SGS), which represents the severity of each alteration, was applied. In addition, the organ index values (I0) (circulatory disturbances (I0C), regressive lesions (I0R), progressive lesions (I0P), and inflammation (I0I)) were calculated, in order to classify the severity of the histological response using classes based on the scoring scheme suggested by Zimmerli et al. [51]: Class I (index ≤ 10)—normal hepatic tissue structure with slight histological alterations; Class II (index 11–20)—normal hepatic structure with moderate histological alterations; Class III (index 21–30)—normal hepatic structure with severe histological alterations of the liver. We also aimed to study the prevalence of liver histological changes. Therefore, this was calculated as the percentage occurrence within the total number of examined slides (n = 10) per individual.
2.5. Biochemical Analysis

The liver samples were quickly thawed on ice and homogenized using a PYREX™ Potter-Elvehjem tissue grinder with PTFE pestle (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) in a chilled phosphate (50 mM, 300 mM NaCl, pH = 7.4) buffer [52]. The protein levels were measured by the Bradford [53] method with Coomassie Brilliant Blue G-250, using bovine serum albumin at absorbance of 595 nm, and presented as milligram protein per milliliter homogenate. The enzymatic activities of lactate dehydrogenase (LDH, EC 1.1.1.27), aspartate aminotransferase (ASAT, EC 2.6.1.1), alanine aminotransferase (ALAT, EC 2.6.1.2), cholinesterase (ChE, EC 3.1.1.8), glutathione peroxidase (GPx, EC 1.11.1.9) and catalase (CAT, EC 1.11.1.6) were measured spectrophotometrically, using a Beckman Coulter Spectrophotometer DU 800 (Beckman Coulter, Inc., Brea, CA, USA) at 25 °C. The LDH activity was analyzed according to Vassault [54]. The ASAT and ALAT activities were determined using the method described by Reitman and Frankel [55]. The ChE activity was analyzed by the method developed by Burtis-Ashwood [56]. The CAT and GPx activities were determined using the method described by Wendel [57].

2.6. Statistical Tests

IBM SPPS Statistics for Windows (Version 20.0, IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) and GraphPad Prism 7 for Windows (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA) were used for statistical analysis of the data. The histological index results, percentage prevalence and enzymatic activities were expressed as averages. The normal distribution was tested with the Shapiro-Wilk test. The homogeneity of variances was tested with the Levene’s test, respectively. The results were also analyzed for significance of differences between the control and treated groups by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by Tukey’s test (means comparison). The significance of results was set at $p < 0.001$, 0.01 and 0.05.

3. Results

3.1. Water Quality Parameters

The basic physico-chemical properties, such as conductivity, dissolved oxygen, pH and temperature, were kept relatively constant during the exposure period (Table 1) (see [40]). The control results did not differ significantly (ANOVA, $p > 0.05$), compared to the tested aquaria.

Table 1. Basic water properties measured in three chlorpyrifos (CPF) test tanks and control, according to Yancheva et al. [40].

| Test Tank   | pH     | T (°C) | Conductivity (µS/cm) | Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L) | Dissolved Oxygen Saturation (%) |
|-------------|--------|--------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Control     | 8.3 ± 0.5 | 16.5 ± 1.5 | 370 ± 5.5              | 8.5 ± 1.5               | 86.99 ± 12.82                 |
| 0.009 µg/L CPF | 8.0 ± 0.5 | 17.3 ± 2.0  | 365 ± 3.5              | 8.3 ± 0.3               | 86.38 ± 3.02                  |
| 0.015 µg/L CPF | 7.5 ± 1.5 | 18.5 ± 0.5  | 410 ± 0.5              | 7.0 ± 0.5               | 74.67 ± 5.38                  |
| 0.030 µg/L CPF | 8.5 ± 0.5 | 19.0 ± 0.5  | 353.3 ± 0.3            | 9.1 ± 1.0               | 98.06 ± 10.55                 |

3.2. Liver Histological Structure

The results from the conducted histological study are presented in Tables 2 and 3, as well as Figures 1 and 2. In the control group, we observed relatively normal liver morphology (Table 2, Figure 1A). The hepatic structure was characterized by compactly arranged hepatocytes disposed in a simple layer aligned with sinusoids. The parenchyma itself was primarily composed of polyhedral hepatocytes, typically with central nuclei, with densely stained chromatin margins and a prominent nucleolus. We also observed the pancreatic mass, which was situated around the branches of hepatic portal veins. In addition, the pancreatic mass consists of two parts, which are the exocrine and endocrine. Exocrine cells are larger and elongated, while endocrine cells are smaller and round. The morphology
of the exocrine cells shows that they are arranged in an acinus form with a distinct nucleus, while the endocrine cells are scattered in between the hepatic portal veins and the exocrine pancreas. Moreover, the venous blood entered the liver caudally from the intestine via the hepatic portal veins, and branches into capillaries known as sinusoids; the sinusoids were lined with reticuloendothelial cells, which were in turn surrounded by hepatocytes (see [58]).

With regard to the regressive liver lesions (Table 2), we found granular (Figures 1B and 2B) and vacuolar degeneration (Figure 1D) in the highest degree of expression. As shown in Table 2, all concentrations induced the same level of granular degeneration. Moreover, vacuolar degeneration showed a tendency towards an increase in the degree of expression with the increased CPF concentrations. We did not find fatty degeneration in the hepatocytes (Tables 2 and 3). Necrobiotic changes, such as karyolysis, karyorrhexis and karyopyknosis (Figure 2D) were found mainly in a mild form of expression. At the higher CPF concentration, these changes were expressed in a moderate degree, which, in turn, demonstrates the highest degree of degenerative processes leading to necrosis. In addition, we also found necrosis in a moderate degree at the highest CPF concentration (Figure 2C). We also observed structural alterations in the interstitial tissue in a mild degree of expression. With regard to the progressive alteration in the common carp liver, we observed hypertrophy in a mild degree at the lower CPF concentrations, while, at the highest concentration, this histological lesion was expressed in a moderate degree.

The changes that occurred in the circulatory system were expressed on the one hand, in hyperaemia (see Figure 1C), the grade of which was determined by the proposed assessment scale as moderate at the higher tested concentrations, while, at the lowest concentration, this lesion was absent (Table 2). On the other hand, we found inflammation, which was expressed as lymphocytic proliferation in the parenchyma. It was found only at the highest applied CPF concentration to be at a mild degree of expression.

![Histopathological alterations in the liver of common carp after the exposure with decreasing CPF concentrations based on Directive 2013/39/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council.](image)

**Figure 1.** Histopathological alterations in the liver of common carp after the exposure with decreasing CPF concentrations based on Directive 2013/39/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council. (A) Normal histological structure of carp liver (scale bar 100 µm) hematoxylin-eosin (H&E); (B) granular degeneration in carp liver at 0.009 µg/L CPF (scale bar 50 µm) H&E; (C) hyperaemia in carp liver at 0.015 µg/L CPF (scale bar 50 µm) H&E; (D) vacuolar degeneration in carp liver at 0.015 µg/L CPF (scale bar 20 µm) H&E.
The indices of histological changes highlight the distribution frequency of alterations and the state of damage of the studied organ. In addition, the indices of histological changes showed that the regressive lesions were the most severe alterations observed in the liver parenchyma at all tested concentrations. Moreover, $I_{LR}$ was significantly higher ($p < 0.05$) than the other indexes ($I_{LC}$, $I_{LP}$, $I_{LI}$). In terms of the percentage prevalence, we observed the highest percent of histological alteration at the highest CPF concentrations (Table 3). Unlike the regressive, progressive and circulatory changes, which showed a higher prevalence, the inflammation disturbances were observed less frequently, and only at the highest CPF concentration (see Table 3). According to the overall organ index, it was found to be categorized as Class III (index 21–30)—moderate modifications of normal tissue at the highest CPF concentration; it was also statistically higher (ANOVA $F = 6.123$, $p < 0.05$), compared to the organ index at the other two tested concentrations.

**Figure 2.** Histopathological alterations in the liver of common carp after the exposure with decreasing CPF concentrations based on Directive 2013/39/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council (0.03 $\mu$g/L). (A) Initial process of lipid accumulation (scale bar 20 $\mu$m) H&E; (B) granular degeneration (scale bar 50 $\mu$m) H&E; (C) necrosis (scale bar 50 $\mu$m) H&E; (D) nuclear alterations—karyolysis (arrow) and karyopyknosis (scale bar 50 $\mu$m) H&E.
Table 2. Histopathological alterations in the liver of common carp after the exposure with decreasing CPF concentrations based on Directive 2013/39/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council.

| Reaction Pattern                | Functional Unit of the Tissue | Alteration                        | Importance Factor | Score Value          | Index for Each Group |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Circulatory Disturbances *     | Liver                          | Hyperaemia                        | $W_{LC1} = 1$     | 0 0 2 2              | $I_{LC} = 0$         |
|                                |                               | Intercellular oedema              |                   | 0 0 0 0              | $I_{LC} = 2$         |
| Regressive Lesions *           | Liver                          | Granular degeneration             | $W_{LR1} = 1$     | 1 2 2 2              | $I_{LR} = 4$         |
|                                |                               | Deposits (lipids)                 | $W_{LR3} = 1$     | 0 0 0 0              | $I_{LR} = 11$        |
|                                |                               | Nuclear alterations                | $W_{LR4} = 2$     | 0 0 1 2              | $I_{LR} = 19$        |
|                                | Interstitial tissue            | Necrosis                          | $W_{LR5} = 3$     | 0 0 1 2              |                      |
|                                |                               | Vacular degeneration              | $W_{LR6} = 2$     | 0 1 2 3              |                      |
|                                |                               | Architectural and structural alterations | $W_{LR7} = 1$   | 0 0 0 1              |                      |
|                                |                               | Deposits                          | $W_{LR8} = 1$     | 0 0 0 0              |                      |
|                                |                               | Nuclear alterations                | $W_{LR9} = 2$     | 0 0 0 0              |                      |
|                                |                               | Necrosis                          | $W_{LR10} = 3$    | 0 0 0 0              |                      |
| Progressive Lesions *          | Liver                          | Hypertrophy                       | $W_{LP1} = 1$     | 0 1 1 2              | $I_{LP} = 1$         |
|                                | Interstitial tissue            | Hypertrophy                       | $W_{LP2} = 1$     | 0 0 0 1              | $I_{LP} = 3$         |
| Inflammation *                 | Liver                          | Activation of the reticuloendothelial system in the parenchyma (RES) | $W_{LI1} = 1$     | 0 0 0 1              | $I_{LI} = 0$         |
|                                |                               | Infiltration                      | $W_{LI2} = 2$     | 0 0 0 1              | $I_{LI} = 3$         |

* According to Bernet et al. [49], Saraiva et al. [50] and Zimmerli et al. [51]: Reaction index (circulatory disturbances ($I_{LC}$), regressive lesions ($I_{LR}$), progressive lesions ($I_{LP}$) and inflammation ($I_{LI}$)) is calculated by the sum of multiplied importance factors and score values of the alterations of the corresponding reaction pattern. The sum of reaction indices of the organ is equivalent to the organ index ($I_O$). Organ index values ($I_O$) for: circulatory disturbances ($I_{LC}$), regressive lesions ($I_{LR}$), progressive lesions ($I_{LP}$) and inflammation ($I_{LI}$) calculated in order to classify the severity of the histological response. Index for each group shows the sum of the score value of the histological alterations corresponding to each reaction pattern at the different CPF concentrations (0.009; 0.015; 0.03 µg/L). Importance factor ($W$) for: circulatory disturbances ($I_{LC}$), regressive lesions ($I_{LR}$), progressive lesions ($I_{LP}$) and inflammation ($I_{LI}$) shows how big impact the histological alteration has on the fish; severity gradation scale (SGS): (0)—no histological alterations, which represented normal liver histological structure; (1)—mild histological alterations; (2)—moderate histological alterations; (3)—pronounced histological alterations; (4)—severe histological alterations and (5)—very severe histological alterations.

Importance factor: (1)—minimal pathological importance, the lesion is easily reversible when the toxicant exposure stops; (2)—moderate pathological importance, the lesion is reversible in most cases if the stressor is neutralized and (3)—marked pathological importance, the lesion is generally irreversible, leading to partial or total loss of the organ function.
Table 3. Percentage of histopathological alterations in common carp liver after the exposure with decreasing CPF concentrations based on Directive 2013/39/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council.

| Histopathological Alterations | Prevalence, % | Control | 0.009 µg/L | 0.015 µg/L | 0.030 µg/L |
|-------------------------------|---------------|---------|------------|------------|------------|
| **Circulatory Disturbances**  | Liver         | Hyperaemia | 0  | 0  | 32 | 38 |
|                               | Intercellular oedema | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |
|                               | Average, %     | 0  | 0  | 16 | 19 |
| **Regressive Lesions**        | Liver         | Granular degeneration | 11 | 36 | 41 | 47 |
|                               |               | Deposits (lipids)     | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |
|                               |               | Nuclear alterations   | 0  | 0  | 16 | 42 |
|                               |               | Necrosis              | 0  | 0  | 21 | 36 |
|                               |               | Vacular degeneration  | 0  | 17 | 36 | 47 |
|                               | Interstitial tissue | Architectural and structural alterations | 0  | 0  | 0  | 14 |
|                               |               | Deposits              | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |
|                               |               | Nuclear alterations   | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |
|                               |               | Necrosis              | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |
|                               | Average, %     | 1.2 | 5.9 | 12.7 | 20.7 |
| **Progressive Lesions**       | Liver         | Hypertrophy           | 0  | 13 | 21 | 32 |
|                               | Interstitial tissue | Hypertrophy          | 0  | 0  | 0  | 12 |
|                               | Average, %     | 0  | 6.5 | 10.5 | 22 |
| **Inflammation**              | Liver         | Activation of the reticuloendothelial system in the parenchyma (RES) | 0  | 0  | 0  | 25 |
|                               |               | Infiltration          | 0  | 0  | 0  | 37 |
|                               | Average, %     | 0  | 0  | 0  | 31 |

3.3. Liver Enzymatic Activity

We found an increase in the LDH specific activity with increasing insecticide concentrations. However, the LDH specific activity differed significantly among the different groups (ANOVA F = 22.33; p < 0.001) (Figure 3).

In addition, the statistical data showed that the ASAT specific activity differed significantly among the different groups (ANOVA F = 8.008; p < 0.01). The ALAT specific activity also differed significantly among the different groups (ANOVA F = 6.345; p < 0.01) (Figure 4).
In addition, the statistical data showed that the ASAT specific activity differed significantly among the different groups (ANOVA F = 8.008; \( p < 0.01 \)). The ALAT specific activity also differed significantly among the different groups (ANOVA F = 6.345; \( p < 0.01 \)) (Figure 4).

On one hand, the ChE specific activity decreased compared to the control group (Figure 5), which confirmed the toxic potential of the tested insecticide in terms of enzymatic inhibition. However, the enzymatic activity did not differ significantly among the different groups (ANOVA F = 2.073; \( p > 0.05 \)).
Figure 5. Cholinesterase (ChE) activity in common carp liver under different CPF exposures. Bars represent means ± SD of the control and experimental groups; ‘a’ letter represents that there were no significant differences among treatments ($p > 0.05$).

Similar to the ChE, the activity of GPx was reduced regarding the control and the applied concentrations of CPF (Figure 6). The GPx specific activity differed significantly among the different groups (ANOVA $F = 25.33; p < 0.001$).

On the other hand, the activity of CAT generally increased proportionately to the increased insecticide concentrations. However, the CAT specific activity differed significantly among the different groups (ANOVA $F = 39.88; p < 0.001$) (Figure 7).
We agree with Oruç and Üner [66], who state that the physiological changes in fish depend on the species specificity, the chemical characteristics of the pesticides, their concentration and the duration of the exposure period. According to Pereira Maduenho and Martinez [67], the most severe histological changes occur with increased cell and nuclear volume, which is associated with cytoplasmic and nuclear alterations, and biliary dysfunction with increased bile secretion. The histological changes in fish liver could serve as useful biomarkers that show the influence of toxic substances on the organism, due to the liver’s main function in detoxification lipophilicity [60].

The water quality parameters during the experiment were in accordance with FAO (1999) [59] and APHA (2005) [46], although there were differences among the experimental groups.

The histological changes in fish liver could serve as useful biomarkers that show the influence of toxic substances on the organism, due to the liver's main function in detoxification lipophilicity [60]. We agree with Xing et al. [8] and Deb and Das [61], who state that degeneration, pyknotic nuclei, fatty infiltration or total necrosis at different levels of expression, as a result of pesticide intoxication, could be established in the liver parenchyma. According to Bukhari et al. [62], the hepatic lesions are associated with the increased toxicant concentrations, which we confirmed in our study. In addition, the production of free radicals, lipid peroxidation and changes in the antioxidant state are vital factors for the toxic effects of pesticides on the liver histological structure [63]. According to Albañil Sánchez et al. [45], lipid degeneration in fish liver caused by pesticides leads to dysfunctions in the microsomal and mitochondrial systems of cell, which can lead to the inhibition of the synthesis of lipoproteins. As a result of both acute and chronic exposure to pesticides, the hepatic function is affected, resulting in a reduction of protein concentration [64], which, in turn, affects the liver tissue. We support the opinion of Velisek et al. [65], who highlighted that the toxic effects of pesticides could lead to overproduction of lipid peroxidation in various tissues, as well as in the liver, which causes histological alterations. In addition, reactive oxygen species (ROS) induce peroxidative damage in the liver, and this could be one of the molecular mechanisms of CPF toxicity, which we suggest needs further detailed research.

With regard to the enzyme CAT, a lower activity was found at the lowest applied CPF concentration, which we think indicates a more active involvement of GPx, as both enzymes participate in the mechanism of antioxidant protection. In addition, the CAT specific enzymatic activity increased with the increasing pesticide concentrations. At the highest CPF concentration, the activity of CAT was higher than the control, which probably indicates the occurrence of oxidative stress, due to the accumulation of free oxygen radicals in the organism under CPF toxicity.

4. Discussion

The water quality parameters during the experiment were in accordance with FAO (1999) [59] and APHA (2005) [46], although there were differences among the experimental groups.

The histological changes in fish liver could serve as useful biomarkers that show the influence of toxic substances on the organism, due to the liver’s main function in detoxification lipophilicity [60]. We agree with Xing et al. [8] and Deb and Das [61], who state that degeneration, pyknotic nuclei, fatty infiltration or total necrosis at different levels of expression, as a result of pesticide intoxication, could be established in the liver parenchyma. According to Buchradi et al. [62], the hepatic lesions are associated with the increased toxicant concentrations, which we confirmed in our study. In addition, the production of free radicals, lipid peroxidation and changes in the antioxidant state are vital factors for the toxic effects of pesticides on the liver histological structure [63]. According to Albañil Sánchez et al. [45], lipid degeneration in fish liver caused by pesticides leads to dysfunctions in the microsomal and mitochondrial systems of cell, which can lead to the inhibition of the synthesis of lipoproteins. As a result of both acute and chronic exposure to pesticides, the hepatic function is affected, resulting in a reduction of protein concentration [64], which, in turn, affects the liver tissue. We support the opinion of Velisek et al. [65], who highlighted that the toxic effects of pesticides could lead to overproduction of lipid peroxidation in various tissues, as well as in the liver, which causes histological alterations. In addition, reactive oxygen species (ROS) induce peroxidative damage in the liver, and this could be one of the molecular mechanisms of CPF toxicity, which we suggest needs further detailed research.

We agree with Oruç and Üner [66], who state that the physiological changes in fish depend on the species specificity, the chemical characteristics of the pesticides, their concentration and the duration of the exposure period. According to Pereira Maduenho and Martínez [67], the most severe histological changes occur with increased cell and nuclear volume, which is associated with cytoplasmic and
nuclear alterations, and biliary dysfunction with increased metabolic activity of the hepatocytes, which we confirmed in the present study. Similar to our study, Xing et al. [8] found varying degrees of hydropic degeneration, vacuolization, pyknotic nuclei and fatty degeneration due to CPF, and atrazine exposure at concentrations close to LC50 of the tested pesticides. In parallel, changes in the enzymatic activity of CAT and GPx were also observed by the authors. Tilak et al. [68] observed similar alterations in the liver of Gibelion catla (Hamilton 1822) under the action of CPF. The pathological lesions included cytoplasm degeneration in the hepatocytes, atrophy, vacuolar degeneration, blood vessel destruction, necrosis and damage in the hepatocyte cell membrane, and pyknotic hepatocyte nuclei, which we also found in the liver of common carp exposed to CPF. Moreover, Mohamed [69] and Kostić et al. [70] described that the stasis of blood could be responsible for cellular degeneration and necrosis in the liver. In addition, sinusoidal congestion blocks blood from the hepatic artery and the interbiliary portal vein, which has to pass through the sinusoids on its way to the central vein. We support the opinion of Devi and Mishra [31], who consider that the parenchyma cytoplasmic and nuclear degeneration observed in the liver, as well as the vacuolization of the hepatocytes, is probably due to metabolic damage related to the exposure to CPF contaminated water. In our previous study [71], which involved glyphosate-based herbicide, the histological lesions in common carp liver also showed a different degree of expression, which increased in proportion to the increasing pesticide concentrations. Overall, the observed histological changes in the common carp liver could be used as reliable biomarkers, which indicate the negative effects of the exposure to toxic substances in the environment. Although the liver is the primary organ of detoxification, the impact of pesticides such as CPF may change the ecological plasticity of fish to stress factors of the environment. In addition, abnormal hepatocytes can interfere with the normal metabolism, and thus disrupt fish health, or even cause fish death. Similarly to Devi and Mishra [31], we consider the studies on histological changes observed in fish liver, as well as in other tested organs (gills, spleen, kidney, muscle) to be an effective biological tool for assessing the health of fish populations, which, in turn, reflect the health of the entire aquatic ecosystem.

According to Banaee [24], Stoyanova et al. [71,72] and Yancheva et al. [52], LDH activity is usually related to cellular metabolic activity, and serves as the major enzyme between glycolysis and the citric acid cycle. LDH is an important enzyme, due to its function in the anaerobic pathway of energy production. According to the authors mentioned above, fish, under conditions of stress, need extra energy, thus, LDH is then involved in energy production via anaerobic metabolism. Our results suggest changes in the processes of glycolysis and glycogenogenesis; therefore, we found a decreased LDH activity at the lowest CPF concentration and an increased LDH activity at the other two, higher CPF concentrations. In general, LDH activity is a commonly used diagnostic tool and demonstrates an increase in anaerobic metabolism due to the depletion of energy and stress caused by environmental changes and the effect of different toxicants. Furthermore, we agree with Banaee [24], according to whom, alterations in the LDH activity are indicative for hepatic tissue damage, which serves as a diagnostic tool in toxicological studies. According to Guptha et al. [25], the transaminases are a group of enzymes that elevate the activity of enzyme phosphorylase, which has a significant role in glycogenolysis, and also represents a major link between protein and carbohydrate metabolisms. We agree with Ghorpade et al. [26] that the increase in the activity of ALAT could be used as a more specific biomarker for acute toxicity, compared to ASAT activity. Moreover, the changes in the ALAT levels play an important role in the glucose-alanine cycle in the liver. Similar to the authors mentioned above, we found that, under conditions of stress caused by the CPF exposure, the elevated levels of ASAT and ALAT stimulate the process of gluconeogenesis and the mobilization of L-amino acids. Overall, the most significant results from our study showed a decrease in the enzymatic activity of LDH and ALAT compared to the control. This tendency was most clearly established at the lowest CPF concentration. Thus, we suppose that an initial process of triglyceride accumulation in the hepatocytes started. This is probably due to the increased pyruvate in the liver cells. Hence, via the pyruvate
dehydrogenase complex, the amount of Acetyl-CoA also increases. Furthermore, Acetyl-CoA is used for the synthesis of fatty acids and cholesterol. Therefore, the increased amount of fatty acids in the liver leads to increased triglyceride synthesis and hyperlipidemia associated with lipid infiltration in the hepatocytes [52,71,72]. Although we did not identify cells with typical fatty degeneration by the applied histological method with H&E, morphological changes in the cytoplasm and the location of the nucleus of single cells were determined, which probably suggests the beginning of the initial process of lipogenesis (Figure 2A).

According to Payne et al. [73], ChE inhibition is used as a marker to prove contamination of aquatic ecosystems with organophosphorus compounds. In our study, we also measured a decreased ChE activity in the fish treated with CPF, compared to the control. This activity was in an inverse relationship with the applied CPF concentrations, i.e., it was lower in the samples treated with higher insecticide concentrations. Therefore, we also recommend the use of ChE in multi-biomarker studies on the effects of pesticides on fish, and, more precisely, in risk assessment and monitoring programs. In addition, according to Assis et al. [74], the interaction between cholinesterases (ChEs) and pesticides lies behind the ability of the enzymes to signal inhibition processes several days or weeks after exposure, even when in low concentrations. We agree with the authors who state that the in vitro approach could gain more precision in the correlation between pesticide concentrations and the resulting inhibition.

We confirmed that changes in the activity of antioxidant enzymes in the fish organism could serve as a biomarker for the toxicity of organic contaminants in water, as stated by Ahmad et al. [75]. Similar to Yousafzai and Shakoori [76], we consider that the variations in the specific enzymatic activity in the tested samples compared to the control presents an indicator of the effects of pesticides on fish health. Oxidative stress due to pesticide effects can be used in the toxicological studies as a possible mechanism for chemically induced toxicity [61,77,78]. Furthermore, induced oxidative stress by organophosphorus substances causes depletion of mitochondrial energy (ATP), overuse of proteolytic enzymes and DNA fragmentation. Biological systems have mechanisms to counteract free radical damage in the organism. The main mechanisms include antioxidant enzymes defense, which neutralizes free radicals. Antioxidant enzymes, such as superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT), glutathione peroxidase (GRx), vitamin C, vitamin E and beta-carotene represent the most important antioxidant protection in biological systems [79]. In general, the biomarkers of oxidative stress can be divided into two main groups: free radical biomarkers in biological systems and antioxidant protection factors [80,81]. In the first group, Slaninova et al. [37] include the presence of products resulting from damage to free oxygen radicals, i.e., the most commonly used products of lipid peroxidation. The biomarkers applied in the present study belong to the group of antioxidant protection factors. Narra et al. [82] observed a significant increase in CAT activity during a CPF exposure, while at the same time, the authors established a decrease in the enzymatic levels during a recovery period. In the present study, we also observed an increased activity of CAT at the highest applied insecticide concentration. This could possibly be an indicator of oxidative stress caused by the applied CPF concentration, which equals the annual average environmental quality standards (AA-EQS), according to the national and EU legislation regarding the Water Frame Directive.

Overall, we think that, at the lower applied CPF concentrations, the enzyme GPx becomes more active, while at the higher concentrations, it is CAT which is more active. Clasen et al. [83] observed oxidative damage in carp, due to the toxic action of a complex of organic pollutants under field conditions, and chronic exposure. The oxidative damage was expressed in an increase in lipid peroxidation in the liver, which, in turn, is an indicator of oxidative stress. Similar to our study, the authors observed an increase in the activity of CAT resulting from the toxic action of the applied toxicants and the inclusion of the antioxidant protection of the organism. Nunes et al. [84] observed alterations in the CAT enzymatic activity in common carp and zebra fish (Danio rerio Hamilton, 1822) under different concentrations of cypermethrin and CPF for 96 h. The enzymatic activity in common carp decreased compared to the control group, whereas in zebra fish showed an increase compared to the control. This in turn, confirms that the changes in the activity of the antioxidant enzymes
depend on the concentrations of the applied toxicants, the time of exposure and the species specificity. Similar to our study, Narra et al. [82] also found that the GPx activity was significantly decreased during the CPF exposure. As stated by Gupta et al. [25], GPx alters the protection provided for the cellular and subcellular membranes from peroxidative damage by eliminating H2O2. We agree with Slaninova et al. [37], who stated that a consistent decrease in antioxidant enzymes is due to the excessive generation of free radicals, most likely generated by CPF in our study.

We support the opinion of Yonar [85] that the decrease or increase in enzymatic activities can be explained either by their consumption and induction during the conversion of free radicals into less harmful or harmless metabolites, or, secondarily, by the direct inhibitory or stimulatory effect of ROS that may be caused by CPF in the present study.

5. Conclusions

Overall, the results showed that CPF alters the liver histological structure, causing degenerative and necrobioic alterations, and necrosis, as well as changes in the circulatory system. In addition, CPF induces changes in the enzymatic activity of LDH, ASAT, ALAT, ChE, GPx and CAT. In summary, we can conclude that the use of multi-biological approaches in environmental monitoring is crucial for assessing aquatic pollution and its impact on ecosystems. In addition, we consider that complex experimental set ups, such as the present one, including histological and biochemical biomarkers, should be performed to better determine the toxic impact of pesticides on fish. These results can be used for the application of the EU Water Framework Directive for preventing and reducing aquatic contamination with priority organic substances in Bulgaria and other developing countries in the Balkans, as well as for implementing proper agricultural practices.
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