Retrorectal cystic hamartoma: A case report
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Retrorectal hamartomas are congenital lesions that typically present themselves as pre-sacred tumor masses. Clinical manifestations are light and highly variable at presentation time, often leading to diagnostic difficulties and surgical failure. Case Report: A case of 46-year-old female who is referred to neurosurgery by her medical assistant with a few months history of paresthesia and decreased left lower limb muscle strength and more recently symptoms of gastrointestinal disorders (constipation, tenesmus and feeling of false wills). Imaging studies revealed a pre-sacral tumor with suspicion of nerve dependence on S4 and cystic nature. She underwent partial resection of the capsule of the lesion and aspiration of its contents by multidisciplinary team abdominal approach (general surgery/neurosurgery). The anatomopathological examination revealed a retrorectal cystic hamartoma. During follow-up the patient again developed tenesmus, false desires and the paresthesias of the left leg reappeared. The imaging tests confirmed a recurrence of the retrorectal hamartoma. The patient was again operated for block excision, this time by posterior approach. Conclusion: This case highlights the importance of retrorectal hamartomas as a differential diagnosis of presacral masses and it shows the difficulty in obtaining its diagnosis and treatment.
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INTRODUCTION

The retrorectal space is an uncommon location for the emergence of tumor masses and can include primary tumors of neurogenic, osteogenic or congenital origin and secondary tumors of metastatic or inflammatory origin. The congenital conditions include teratoma, chordoma, sacral anterior meningocele and cystic lesions (dermoid, epidermoid, hamartomas, etc.) [1]. Retrorectal hamartomas are congenital lesions that appear as an embryonic remainder of the large intestine (hindgut) and which are usually located in the pre-sacred space. Pre-sacred hamartomas can be a source of perirectal
or perianal chronic symptoms and are often difficult to recognize and diagnose. Also, treatment is often poorly oriented, submitting the patient to multiple surgeries before a definitive diagnosis can be obtained [2, 3].

**CASE REPORT**

A 46-year-old female, without relevant personal or family background, presented with clinical condition started two years ago, characterized by an intermittent pain in the left hip joint accompanied by decreased muscle strength in the left leg. During the following six months, the patient started to have symptoms of gastrointestinal disorders (constipation, tenesmus and incomplete evacuation). A digital rectal examination did not reveal any relevant finding besides decreased muscle strength in the left leg. A computed tomography (CT) scan of the lumbar spine showed no significant changes. Pelvic magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scan revealed a massive lesion (65.5x54.5x96.5 mm) occupying the presacral space (from the top edge of S3 to the lower limit of the coccyx, exceeding it), with well-defined limits, multicystic and chambered aspect, which conditioned slight remodeling of the sacrum and extending over a more solid aspect component, through the S4 conjunction hole mingling with the nerve root - images compatible with cystic schwannoma or cystic retrorectal hamartoma (Figures 1A–D). After a multidisciplinary discussion of the case (neurosurgery and general surgery), the patient underwent partial resection of the mass and aspiration of its contents by abdominal approach (laparoscopy/laparotomy). The postoperative period progressed uneventfully and the patient was discharged at the sixth postoperative day. Anatomopathological examination indicated that the mass was a tailgut cyst, also designated as retrorectal cystic hamartoma. During follow-up the patient again developed tenesmus, false desires and the paresthesias of the left leg reappeared. A new pelvic MRI control was requested (25/05/2016) which revealed complex cystic lesion recurrence of pre-sacred space measuring now 88x105x78 mm conditioning a right anterolateral deviation of the rectosigmoid segment of the colon, but without extrinsic compression causing decrease in the size. The patient was again submitted to surgery for “en bloc” mass excision by posterior approach with coccyectomy Kraske procedure.

**DISCUSSION**

The retrorectal region is a potential space that may develop in the presence of a mass which is delimited superiorly by pelvic peritoneal reflection, inferiorly by the coccygeal and elevator muscles of the anus, anteriorly by the rectum, posteriorly by the sacrum and the coccyx and laterally by the iliac vessels, and ureters [1, 2, 4]. The differential diagnosis of masses that can develop in this area is vast and includes primary tumors of neurogenic, osteogenic and congenital origin, and inflammatory and metastatic secondary processes. Congenital lesions are rare and include chordoma, teratomas, anterior sacral meningocele and cystic lesions (dermoid, epidermoid, enteric duplication and hamartomas) [5]. The diagnostic imaging (MRI scan and CT scan) are the mainstay for diagnosing pre-sacred masses, and MRI scan is considered the best imaging exam to characterize the type of mass present in this space. All other complementary diagnostic tests only serve to increase the suspicion of a mass. However, definitive diagnosis and treatment can only be achieved with the complete excision. The preoperative biopsy is not advised because of the risk of spread of dysplastic cells, unless the mass is considered unresectable at presentation time.

So, it will be the different histopathologic features present in the anatomopathological examination that will allow to differentiate the kind of cyst. Dermoid and epidermoid cysts have stratified squamous epithelium, and only dermoid cysts may contain dermal appendages (hair follicles, sudoriferous glands or teeth). Enteric duplication is characterized by typical gastrointestinal epithelium and the presence of smooth muscle cells with nerve plexuses. Paracolorectal hamartomas may have different types of epithelium (columnar, squamous or transition) are often multicystic and may contain smooth muscle, but unlike enteral duplication the smooth muscle is disorganized and does not show nerve plexuses.

Surgical removal is recommended in both symptomatic and asymptomatic patients because of the possibility of malignancy, malignant transformation, infection and defecation difficulties. Various types of surgical approaches are described in the literature ranging from transabdominal (laparotomy or laparoscopy), trans-sacral intersphincteric, transsphincteric, parasacrococcygeal approach.

**Figure 1:** (A–D) Pelvic magnetic resonance imaging scan revealed a massive lesion (65.5x54.5x96.5 mm) occupying the pre-sacred space.
or trans-anal. Historically, the anterior, posterior or combined approach is chosen depending on the position of the mass [6, 7]. Thus, if the mass is proximal to S3 the most appropriate approach will be the abdominal (especially if there is neoplasm suspicion), if the mass is distal to S3 the most suitable approach is posterior and if the mass is lying proximal and distal to S3 the approach should be combined [8, 9]. The surgical approach will also be influenced by the experience of the surgical team as this is a very uncommon pathology [10]. The follow-up of these patients should be done annually with physical examination including digital rectal exam and a CT scan should be performed at the first and fifth years after the surgery.

CONCLUSION

Retrorectal hamartomas should always be a diagnosis to bear in mind in the differential diagnosis of pararectal masses and their complete surgical removal is important in order to avoid recurrence and the risk for malignant transformation.
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