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Abstract
We present an RST-based discourse annotation proposal used in the construction of a trial multilingual XML-tagged corpus of teaching material in Basque, English and Spanish. The corpus feeds an experimental multilingual document generation system for the web. The main contributions of this paper are an implementation of RST through XML metadata and the adoption of gross-grained RST to avoid non-isomorphism in multilingual corpora.
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Background
The natural language generation community has conducted research in many aspects of multilingual generation systems. One of these aspects is the construction of multilingual corpora as the source to generation (Jurafsky et al. 2000).

In this paper we present a novel attempt to model a series of teaching units into an XML-tagged multilingual corpus for its use in a document generation system. The document generation system leans on a multilingual master document (Hirst et al., 1997) that contains text snippets that are combined in an adequate way to satisfy the needs of the users (or readers in this context) dynamically depending on the moment, level of expertise, time available and some other user aspects.

Rhetorical Structure Theory
Most of these questions found an adequate answer within the Rhetorical Structure Theory (RST) of Mann and Thompson (1988). RST granted a sound theoretical base and some helpful points of reference. According to RST, a text may be divided into minimal text spans that have an unambiguous discourse function (nuclei and satellites) and relations between these text spans can be established.

Documents in our corpus were segmented into minimal text spans that have an unambiguous discourse function; that is, nuclei which hold the core message and satellites that support the core message of the text. Nuclei retain the essential content to understand the text and satellites can be replaced or erased without changing the general meaning. RST caters also with a set of possible relations between nuclei and satellites. There is a wide-range of relations that link nuclei and satellites such as elaboration, background, justification, evidence, concession and so on. After manually annotating the discourse (Marcu 1999b) a tree is obtained. A discourse tree looks like this.

Figure 1: General schema of the multilingual document generation system

The creation of the multilingual master document met a number of questions that are listed below:

- What are the parts of a technical-educational document?
- What is the optimum segmentation level? Is it the clause, or the discourse unit?
- What are the relations among those parts or segments?
- In the domain of technical education, how can we formalize information at discourse level?
- How can this information be stored formally and in a reusable way for the purpose of document generation?
The technology of eXtensible Markup Language (XML) (Bray et al., 1998) provides a web standard and metalanguage to model mark-up that look like HTML tags. There is one major difference between XML tags and HTML tags, though: XML tags are created by the developer and unveil control information, that is, metadata, about the type of data that tags encapsulate. In our case, tags encapsulate parts of the text and unveil discourse level information for the document generation system.

The XML file that contains RST data and metadata looks like the example in figure 3. The DTD file that specifies the structure of the XML file is in figure 4.

Gross-grained RST through XML metadata
With RST we resolved the first set of problems. But RST also raises questions (Marcu et al., 2000) (Marcu et al., 1999a) about the non-isomorphism among the RST discourse-tree in multilingual corpora. We then faced the problem of how to address the likely isomorphism of a multilingual corpus. In other words, the discourse-tree for one language may be different from the needed tree of another language, and this lack of isomorphism seriously hinders the generation phase (Marcu et al., 2000).

Marcu et al. propose (2000) a possible way of solving this problem: “For the purpose of multilingual text planning, one can, hence, assume that a language-independent text planner derives first a language-independent rhetorical structure and then linearizes it, i.e. transforms it to make it language specific”.

This language-independent rhetorical structure is what we capture through our gross-grained RST. Gross-grained
RST largely resembles RST (or full RST) in the sense that nuclei, satellites and the same set of relations are maintained. The difference is that text-spans dismiss the level of the smaller segments in the text, and range only over whole sentences, sets of sentences, full paragraphs or even entire documents, with the sole condition that they fulfil a communicative goal. These communicative goals include the act of preparing the reader for an incoming nucleus, an example or any evidence that supports the core message expressed by the nucleus, and other similar acts.

The corpus
This approach has been applied to a corpus with texts in three languages, English, Spanish and Basque. Gross-grained segmentation made it possible to maintain the isomorphic disposition of the corpus, despite its multilingual nature. A sample discourse-tree in XML can be seen in Figure 5.

Our document representations look very similar to standard RST. They are identical in terms of nuclei, satellites and relations, with the only exception of the granularity of the segmentation. Our segments are tuned to spelling conventions (period, colon, semicolon, full stop, etc.), which roughly reflect the structure of discourse. At this suprasentential level, it is not difficult to preserve parallelism among segments in different languages.

The generation algorithm
The generation system selects different parts of the text depending on the tag that formally stores discourse level information. The selection or discrimination of particular text segments is crucially determined by this discourse level information.

The set of user aspects that are requested for the selection or discrimination of a given text snippet is something that is now being developed.

Evaluation and future work
One important aspect that needs to be implemented is an evaluation methodology that can help adequately judge the validity of documents generated by the system.

We are also planning to increase the size of the corpus to demonstrate empirically whether the isomorphism of our gross-grained RST holds with a larger corpora.

Figure 5: Multilingual gross grained RST discourse tree in XML
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