Optimization of calculations of the effects of spill fires during accidents on linear equipment
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Abstract. The issue of industrial (in particular, fire) safety at hazardous production facilities is considered. The previously obtained optimization method is given for calculating the assessment of the influence of hazardous factors in the event of possible accidents on extended (linear) equipment during explosions of fuel-air mixtures. Optimization is based on accelerating the calculations of the potential damage probability of \( \Pr(M, d) \) using transformed formulas containing a single instead of a double integral. The transformed formulas for calculating the double integral obtained in were used to optimize the calculations for the case of a spill fire based on the recommended probit function \( \Pr \) used to estimate the damage to people by thermal radiation. As an example of calculations, a rectilinear fragment of a pipeline on a plane, presented as a segment of a straight line, is considered. To obtain an assessment of effectiveness, 1000 sets of source data characterizing various emergency situations were randomly generated. Based on the calculations for these data sets, statistical results are presented that characterize the effectiveness of the proposed optimization method: a graph of the values of the multiplicity of the reduction in calculation time using the converted formulas; average value and standard deviation of the multiplicity of reduction of calculation time; the maximum deviation of the values calculated by the original and converted formulas.
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1 Introduction

Industrial safety of hazardous production facilities is defined in Federal Law No. 116-FL not as a property or condition of hazardous production facilities themselves, but as a state of protection of the vital interests of individuals and society from accidents at hazardous production facilities and the consequences of these accidents [1].

Industrial safety in terms of protecting the interests (life and health) of the employee’s personality is associated with labor protection, which, according to the Labor Code, is a system for preserving the life and health of workers in the course of labor activity, as well as preventing accidents, their localization, eliminating and mitigating their consequences [2].

The justification of safety for a hazardous production facility is a document containing information about the results of an accident risk assessment at a hazardous production facility and the associated threat, conditions for the safe operation of a hazardous production facility, requirements for operation, overhaul, conservation and liquidation of a hazardous production facility [3-6].

The main concept on which estimates of possible consequences are based is the concept of an “Emergency Scenario” [7], which includes the structure of the describing data: Equipment, Hazardous Substance, Triggering Event, Combustion Mode, Meteorological Data, Calculated Results of Consequences [8].

The calculated results of the consequences include various sets of calculated values depending on the mode of combustion (explosion), including the characteristics of the combustion process and quantitative estimates of the possible effects on humans.

There are two approaches to describing the possible effects of hazardous accident factors - deterministic and probabilistic.

The deterministic approach assumes the presence of a discrete set of levels of the impact of the consequences of the accident, indicating the corresponding critical values of hazardous factors. For example, for the “Spill Fire” combustion mode, fixed levels of possible exposure to humans and the corresponding values of the critical intensity of thermal radiation were selected as follows:

1st level of damage. Intolerable pain after 3-5 s, (as well as burns of 1st degree after 6-8s, burns of 2nd degree after 12-16 s); The critical value of the hazard is the thermal radiation intensity of 10.5 kW/m².
2nd level of damage. Intolerable pain after 20-30 s (as well as burns of 1st degree after 15-20 s, burns of 2nd degree after 30-40 s); The critical value of the hazard is the thermal radiation intensity of 7.0 kW/m².

3rd level of damage. Safe for people in canvas clothing; the critical value of the hazard is the thermal radiation intensity of 4.2 kW/m².

4th level of damage. No negative effects for a long time; the critical value of the hazard is the thermal radiation intensity of 1.4 kW/m².

Thus, with a deterministic approach in assessing the risk of an accident for the indicated levels of damage, the radii of the corresponding zones are calculated. It shows a discrete set of damage levels and distances characterizing the consequences of the accident.

The probabilistic approach for the chosen level of damage does not determine the critical value of a hazard, but shows the probability of this level of damage depending on the distance to the center of the accident. This probability is calculated on the basis of probit functions of the general form \( Pr = a + b \cdot \ln(F) \), where \( F \) is a function that characterizes the level of a hazardous factor, and the coefficients \( a \) and \( b \) are selected separately for each hazardous factor.

In the case of a spill fire, the recommended probit function \( Pr(x, x_0) \) which is given below (eq. 3).

The methods of the listed engineering calculations are given in normative documents. Of course, with their help it is possible to obtain results that are effectively used in determining the compliance of facilities with industrial safety requirements.

However, ever-growing data volumes significantly increase the calculation time [9-11]. Optimization and acceleration of calculations can be achieved by using relational databases and using the previously described method for optimizing the calculation of the double integral [12, 13, 8].

In [8], the calculations of the effects of explosions of air-fuel mixtures during accidents on linear equipment were optimized using the probit function as an example to assess the likelihood of destruction of industrial buildings in which buildings are to be demolished.

In this paper, in contrast to the combustion mode “Combustion of a fuel-air mixture with the formation of excess pressure” considered in [8], the “Spill fire” mode is considered. This combustion mode has its own characteristics. In particular, during a spill fire, the main hazard is the intensity of thermal radiation \( q \) (kW/m²) [14]. At the same time, for practical calculations, the special recommended probit function is used, which includes very bulky multi-parameter expressions.

2 Materials and methods

For practical implementation, industrial and fire safety involves obtaining quantitative assessments of the effects of harmful factors of potential accidents at hazardous production facilities with fire and explosive substances.

To solve the problem of assessing the impact level of a possible accident at the observation point \( M_0 \), the use of probit functions is justified [15-20].

According to [1], the probability \( Q \) of the level of exposure at the observation point \( M_0 \) of a possible accident that occurred at point \( M \) is found from the value of \( Pr \):

\[
Q(M, M_0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2}\right) dt
\]

For the case of a pipeline (i.e. for a long distance), industrial equipment is considered as a segment \( l \) of the curved line. Then, for an accident with a specific type of depressurization and type of combustion, the potential probability \( Q_p(M_0) \) is determined:

\[
Q_p(M_0) = p_y \int \psi(M) Q(M, M_0) \, dl,
\] (1)

where \( \psi(M) \) is the specific probability of a particular type of depressurization at the point \( M \) of the curve \( l \), year^{-1}m^{-1}, and \( p_y \) is the conditional type of combustion.

In [1], a rectilinear fragment of a pipeline with an explosive liquid is considered on the plane, presented as a straight line segment \( y = ax + b \) connecting the points \( M_{x}(x_s, y_s) \) and \( M_{c}(x_e, y_e) \). In practical calculations, usually \( \psi(M) = \text{const} \). A technique is proposed for optimizing the calculation of \( Q_p(M_0) \), based on the formula:

\[
Q_p(M_0) = p_y \psi \left[ 1 + a^2 \right] \int_{x_s}^{x_e} \left[ Q(x_e, x_0) - Q(x_s, x_0) \right] - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{x_s}^{x_e} x \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{\left(Pr(x, x_0)\right)^2}{2}\right) \cdot Pr_x(x, x_0) \, dx
\] (2)

3 Results

We use the obtained expression (eq. 2, [1]) to calculate the level of exposure to thermal radiation during a spill fire.

In [1], formula (2) was applied to calculate the level of exposure to an air-fuel mixture explosion using a
probit function to assess the likelihood of destruction of industrial buildings in which buildings are to be demolished.

Now, we calculate the level of the impact of the spill fire using the probit function recommended in [2] to estimate the probability of thermal radiation damage:

\[ \Pr(x, x_0) = -12.8 + 2.56 \cdot \ln(t \cdot q^{(4/3)}), \]  

where \( t \) is the effective exposure time (s),

\[ t = t_0 + \frac{x}{u}, \]

\( t_0 \) is the characteristic time for which a person detects a fire and decides on their further actions (s),

\( x \) is the distance (m) from the person’s location to the safe zone (the zone where the intensity of thermal radiation is less than 4 kW/m²),

\( u \) is the average speed of a person to a safe zone, m/s (assumed to be 5 m/s);

\( q \) is the intensity of thermal radiation (kW/m²) ([16]):

\[ q = E_f \cdot F_q \cdot \tau \]  

\( \tau \) is the atmospheric transmittance,

\[ \tau = \exp\left(-7 \cdot 10^{-4} \left( R_m \cdot \frac{d}{3} \right)\right). \]  

\( d \) is the effective diameter of the spill (m),

\( R_m \) distance from the geometric center of the spill to the point of observation (m),

\( E_f \) is the average surface intensity of thermal radiation (kW/m²),

\( F_q \) is the angular coefficient of irradiation.

The value of \( F_q \) is calculated using cumbersome formulas, which can be found in [2].

To implement formula (2), the derivative \( \frac{d \Pr(x)}{dx} \) was analytically calculated using the mathematical package MATLAB R2016. The resulting expression is not given because of its bulkiness.

Figure 1 shows the graphs of the functions \( \Pr(x) \) and \( \frac{d \Pr(x)}{dx} \) for two values of the observation points \( M_1(30,10) \) and \( M_2(30,5) \) for the values of the parameters: \( S = 900 \), \( E_f = 35 \), \( a = 1 \), \( b = 1 \), \( t = 20 \), \( \Theta = \arccos(0.68). \)

4 Discussion

Let us evaluate the degree of acceleration of calculations when using formula (2) in comparison with direct calculation by formula (1).

We estimate the degree of acceleration as follows. Randomly generate 1000 sets of source values. We carry out calculations according to formulas (1) and (2), fixing the execution time of calculations \( T_{1i} \) and \( T_{2i} \), respectively, for each of the formulas for the ith data set. Let us take the ratio \( \text{MTR}_i = T_{1i} / T_{2i} \), \( i = 1 \ldots 100 \) as the Multiplicity of Time Reduction. We also calculate the difference in the values of potential probabilities:

\[ DQ_i = |Q_{1i} - Q_{2i}| \]
Where $Q_n \cdot 1_i$ are the values calculated by the formula (1), and $Q_n \cdot 2_i$ are the calculated by the formula (2).

Let us consider a rectilinear fragment of a pipeline with a flammable liquid, presented as a straight line segment $y = ax + b$ connecting the points $M_s(x_s, y_s)$ and $M_e(x_e, y_e)$.

We form random sets of initial parameters. Moreover, it is obvious that the calculation speed does not depend on the quantities $M_s(x_s, y_s)$, $p_y$, $\psi(M) = \text{const}$, and the coefficient of the line $b$, therefore, in all random sets we will assume that they are unchanged: $x_s = 0$, $p_y = 0.2$, $\psi = 1.0e - 7$, $b = 1$. The rest of the data sets are taken as evenly distributed in the intervals: $a \in [0.001,1]$, $x_0 \in [0,2000]$, $y_0 \in [0,2000]$, flame length $L \in [0.5,100]$, angle of deviation of the flame from the vertical under the action wind $\Theta \in [0, \pi / 2]$, $E_f \in [5,250]$, pipeline length $L_{mp} \in [10,2000]$, effective exposure time $t \in [5,50]$.

The number of sets is $N = 1000$.

![Fig.2. The graph of the values of the multiplicity of the reduction of the calculation time by the formula (2) in comparison with the calculation by the formula (1).](image)

The calculations are implemented in the MATLAB R2016 package. Integrals were calculated using the built-in “integral” function with standard accuracy by default for the package. Figure 2 shows the calculated maximum deviation:

$$DQ_{\text{max}} = \max_{i=1...1000} \{|Q_n \cdot 1_i - Q_n \cdot 2_i| = 6.25317e-12\}

5 Conclusion

The paper analyzes the problems of industrial (fire) safety. An assessment was also made of the totality of the data constituting the “Emergency Scenario”, and allowing to set the task of carrying out the calculations necessary for the practical implementation of the safety of a hazardous production facility.

A technique is proposed for numerically solving the problem of calculating the potential probability of exposure to hazardous factors of a possible accident on extended industrial equipment. The process of optimizing the calculation of the effects of the fires of the spill has been implemented. For the thousands of random sets of source data considered, it is shown that the average calculation acceleration is 16.965 (times) with a standard deviation of 1.202.

Thus, the application of formula (2) gives a significant reduction in the calculation time of $Q_n(M_0)$.

It is advisable to use the results obtained to reduce time when carrying out resource-intensive calculations in the fields of industrial and fire safety.
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