The effect of photoionization on the cooling rates of enriched, astrophysical plasmas
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ABSTRACT
Radiative cooling is central to a wide range of astrophysical problems. Despite its importance, cooling rates are generally computed using very restrictive assumptions, such as collisional ionization equilibrium and solar relative abundances. We simultaneously relax both assumptions and investigate the effects of photoionization of heavy elements by the metagalactic ultraviolet (UV)/X-ray background and of variations in relative abundances on the cooling rates of optically thin gas in ionization equilibrium. We find that photoionization by the metagalactic background radiation reduces the net cooling rates by up to an order of magnitude for gas densities and temperatures typical of the shock-heated intergalactic medium and proto-galaxies (10^4 K ≲ T ≲ 10^6 K, ρ/⟨ρ⟩ ≲ 100). In addition, photoionization changes the relative contributions of different elements to the cooling rates. We conclude that photoionization by both the ionizing background and heavy elements needs to be taken into account in order for the cooling rates to be correct to an order of magnitude. Moreover, if the rates need to be known to better than a factor of a few, then departures of the relative abundances from solar need to be taken into account. We propose a method to compute cooling rates on an element-by-element basis by interpolating pre-computed tables that take photoionization into account. We provide such tables for a popular model of the evolving UV/X-ray background radiation, computed using the photoionization package CLOUDY.
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1 INTRODUCTION
Dissipation of energy via radiative cooling plays a central role in many different astrophysical contexts. In general, the cooling rate depends on a large number of parameters, such as the gas density, temperature, chemical composition, ionization balance and the radiation field. In the absence of radiation, however, the equilibrium ionization balance depends only on the temperature. In that case, the cooling rate in the low-density regime, which is dominated by collisional processes, is simply proportional to the gas density squared, for a given composition. Thus, the cooling rates for a plasma in collisional ionization equilibrium (CIE) can be conveniently tabulated as a function of the temperature and composition (metallicity) of the gas (e.g. Cox & Tucker 1969; Raymond, Cox & Smith 1976; Shull & van Steenberg 1982; Gaetz & Salpeter 1983; Boehringer & Hensler 1989; Sutherland & Dopita 1993; Landi & Landini 1999; Benjamin, Benson & Cox 2001; Gnat & Sternberg 2007; Smith, Sigurdsson & Abel 2008); and such tables are widely used for a large variety of problems.

Although it is convenient to ignore radiation when calculating cooling rates, radiation is generally important for the thermal and ionization state of astrophysical plasmas. For example, Efstathiou (1992) investigated the effect of the extragalactic ultraviolet (UV) background on the cooling rates for gas of primordial composition (in practice, this means a pure H/He plasma) and found that including photoionization can suppress the cooling rates of gas in the temperature range T ∼ 10^4 – 10^5 K by a large factor. Although the effects of radiation are often taken into account for gas of primordial composition, photoionization of heavy elements is usually ignored in the calculation of cooling rates (but see Leonard 1998; Cen et al. 2001; Benson et al. 2002).

In this paper, we will investigate the dependence of cooling rates of gas enriched with metals on the presence of ionizing radiation, focusing on the temperature range T ∼ 10^4 – 10^6 K and optically thin plasmas. We will show that, as is the case for gas of primordial composition (Efstathiou 1992), photoionization can suppress the metallic cooling rates by a large factor. Moreover, the suppression
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of the cooling rate is significant up to much higher temperatures than for the primordial case.

We will also investigate the relative contributions of various elements to the cooling rates. If the relative abundances are similar to solar, then oxygen, neon and iron dominate the cooling in the temperature range $T \sim 10^3 - 10^6$ K. However, we will show that the relative contributions of different elements to the cooling rate are sensitive to the presence of ionizing radiation.

Although we will illustrate the results using densities and radiation fields that are relevant for studies of galaxy formation and the intergalactic medium (IGM), the conclusion that photoionization significantly reduces the cooling rates of enriched gas is valid for a large variety of astrophysical problems. For example, for $T \sim 10^5$ K and $\sim 10^6$ K the reduction of metal-line cooling rates is significant as long as the dimensionless ionization parameter $U \gtrsim 10^{-3}$ and $\gtrsim 10^{-3}$, respectively. We will focus on the temperature range $10^5 - 10^6$ K because gas in this temperature range is usually optically thin and because the effects of photoionization are generally unimportant at higher temperatures.

Tables containing cooling rates and several other useful quantities as a function of density, temperature, redshift and composition, appropriate for gas exposed to the models for the evolving metagalactic UV/X-ray background of Haardt & Madau (2001), are available on the following web site: http://www.strw.leidenuniv.nl/WSS08/.

The web site also contains a number of videos that illustrate the dependence of the cooling rates on various parameters.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present our method for calculating element-by-element cooling rates including photoionization and we compare the limiting case of CIE to results taken from the literature. Section 3 shows how metals and ionizing radiation affect the cooling rates. Section 4 demonstrates the importance for the low-redshift shock-heated IGM, which is thought to contain most of the baryons. In this section, we also illustrate the effect of changing the intensity and spectral shape of the ionizing radiation. We investigate the effect of photoionization on the relative contributions of individual elements in Section 5 and we summarize and discuss our conclusions in Section 6.

Throughout this paper, we use the cosmological parameters from Komatsu et al. (2008): $(\Omega_m, \Omega_q, \Omega_b, h) = (0.279, 0.721, 0.0462, 0.701)$ and a primordial helium mass fraction $X_{\text{He}} = 0.248$.

Densities are expressed both as proper hydrogen number densities $n_i$ and density contrasts $\delta \equiv \rho_i / (\rho_b) - 1$, where $\rho_b$ is the cosmic mean baryon density. The two are related by

$$n_i \approx 1.9 \times 10^{-7} \text{ cm}^{-3} (1 + \delta) (1 + z)^{3/2} \left( \frac{X_{\text{He}}}{0.752} \right).$$

### 2 METHOD

All radiative cooling and heating rates were computed by running large grids of photoionization models using the publicly available photoionization package CLOUDY\(^2\) (version 07.02 of the code last described by Ferland et al. 1998). CLOUDY contains most of the atomic processes that are thought to be important in the temperature range of interest here ($T \sim 10^4 - 10^6$ K) and the reader is referred to the online documentation for details about the atomic physics and data used.

$$U \equiv \Phi_H / (n_H \cdot c),$$

where $\Phi_H$ is the flux of hydrogen ionizing photons (i.e. photons per unit area and time), $n_H$ is the total hydrogen number density and $c$ is the speed of light.

The gas was exposed to the cosmic microwave background (CMB) radiation and the Haardt & Madau (2001, hereafter HM01) model\(^3\) for the UV/X-ray background radiation from galaxies (assuming a 10 per cent escape fraction for H-ionizing photons) and quasars. We assumed the gas to be dust-free, optically thin and in ionization equilibrium. We discuss the limitations and the effects of the last two assumptions in Section 6. All cooling rates are tabulated as a function of $\log n_H$ (total hydrogen number density), $\log T$ (temperature) and $z$ (redshift).

When studying the effect of changes in the relative abundances of elements, we compute the cooling rates on an element-by-element basis. The cooling rate $\Lambda$ (in erg s\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-3}\)) due to element $i$, where element $i$ is heavier than helium, is defined as the difference between the cooling rate computed using all elements (assuming solar abundances) and the cooling rate computed after setting the abundance of element $i$ to zero, while keeping all other abundances (i.e. number densities relative to H) the same. This is a valid approximation provided element $i$ does not contribute significantly to the free electron density, which is the case for all elements heavier than helium and if the metallicity $Z \lesssim Z_\odot$, where $Z_\odot$ indicates solar metallicity (see Table 1).

The combined contributions from hydrogen and helium are computed by interpolating in the four dimensions $\log n_H$, $\log T$, $z$ and $n_{\text{He}} / n_H$ from tables of CLOUDY models that contain only H and He.

Thus, the total net cooling rate,

$$\Lambda = \Lambda_{\text{He,He}} + \sum_i \Lambda_i,$$

(2)

could be obtained from

$$\Lambda = \Lambda_{\text{He,He}} + \sum_i \frac{n_i / n_H}{(n_i / n_H)_{\odot}} \Lambda_{i,\odot},$$

(3)

where $(n_i / n_H)_{\odot}$ is the solar abundance of element $i$ (the default CLOUDY solar abundances are given in Table 1) and $\Lambda_{i,\odot}$ is the contribution of heavy element $i$ to the radiative cooling rate for solar abundances, which we have tabulated as a function of $\log n_H$, $\log T$ and $z$. Note that we use $\Lambda$ to denote the cooling rate per unit volume (erg s\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-3}\)).

We can, however, do better than equation (3) by taking the dependence of the free electron density on He/H into account (in equation 3, the electron density is implicitly assumed to be that corresponding to solar abundances $n_{\text{He}} / n_H = 0.1$). Since cooling rates due to metals are dominated by collisions between ions and free electrons, $\Lambda_i$ scales as the product of the free electron and ion numbers.

Table 1. Default CLOUDY solar abundances.

| Element | $n_i / n_H$ | Mass fraction |
|---------|-------------|---------------|
| H       | 1           | 0.7065        |
| He      | 0.1         | 0.2806        |
| C       | 2.46 x 10^{-4} | 2.07 x 10^{-3} |
| N       | 8.51 x 10^{-5} | 8.36 x 10^{-4} |
| O       | 4.90 x 10^{-4} | 5.49 x 10^{-3} |
| Ne      | 1.00 x 10^{-4} | 1.41 x 10^{-3} |
| Mg      | 3.47 x 10^{-5} | 5.91 x 10^{-4} |
| Si      | 3.47 x 10^{-5} | 6.83 x 10^{-4} |
| S       | 1.86 x 10^{-5} | 4.09 x 10^{-4} |
| Ca      | 2.29 x 10^{-6} | 6.44 x 10^{-5} |
| Fe      | 2.82 x 10^{-5} | 1.10 x 10^{-3} |

---

1. $U \equiv \Phi_H / (n_H \cdot c)$, where $\Phi_H$ is the flux of hydrogen ionizing photons (i.e. photons per unit area and time), $n_H$ is the total hydrogen number density and $c$ is the speed of light.

2. http://www.nublado.org/

3. http://pitto.mi.infn.it/~haardt/refmodel.html
where $10^{[\text{H}\text{I}]} = (n_e/n_\text{HI})/n_\text{H}\text{I}$ and we used the fact that $n_\text{H} = n_\text{H}\text{I}$ (since we tabulate as a function of $n_\text{HI}$). While $(n_e/n_\text{HI})/n_\text{H}\text{I}$ is obtained by interpolating the solar abundance table for $n_e/n_\text{HI}$ in $(n_\text{HI}, T, z)$, $(n_e/n_\text{HI})$ must be obtained by interpolating in $(n_\text{HI}, n_\text{H}, T, z)$. Note that we tabulate the electron density in the absence of metals. This is a valid approximation given that heavy elements only contribute significantly to the free electron density for $Z \gg Z_\odot$.

In practice, we tabulate $\log \Lambda_{\text{e},\odot}/n_\text{H}^2$ over the range $\log [n_\text{H}(\text{cm}^{-3})] = -8.0, -7.9, \ldots, 0.0$ and $\log [T(\text{K})] = 2.00, 2.02, \ldots, 9.00$. In addition, the quantities $\log \Lambda_{\text{H},\text{He}}/n_\text{H}^2, n_e/n_\text{H}$ and the mean particle mass are all tabulated as a function of density and temperature for each of the values $n_\text{H}, n_\text{HI}, n_\text{e}/n_\text{H}, n_\text{H}, n_\text{H}$, $n_\text{HI}$, $n_\text{H}$, $T$, $z, n_\text{e}/n_\text{H}$, and $n_\text{H}$, respectively. Thus, for each value of the ratio $n_\text{e}/n_\text{H}$ we tabulate the temperature as a function of density and internal energy per unit mass to enable simulation codes that parametrize thermal energy in terms of the latter quantity to use the cooling tables. We have computed these tables for each of the 49 redshifts spanning $z = 0$–$9$ for which the HM01 models are defined. All tables are in HDF5 format and together they result in upwards of 232 MB of storage. Reducing the resolution in $n_\text{HI}$ and $T$ by a factor of 2 does reduce the accuracy of interpolated rates significantly,

but the median errors are a factor of 2–3 higher for $Z > 10^5 Z_\odot$. We find that for metallicities $Z \lesssim Z_\odot$, equation (4) closely matches the true cooling rate (i.e. including all elements) with very good accuracy

if the following 11 elements are included: H, He, C, N, O, Ne, Mg, Si, S, Ca and Fe.

For redshift $z = 0$, and over the full range of densities and temperatures, the median relative errors in the absolute net cooling rates are 0.33, 1.6 and 6.1 per cent for $Z = 0.1 Z_\odot, Z_\odot$ and $10 Z_\odot$, respectively (we have scaled $n_\text{HI}/n_\text{H}$ with metallicity). For higher redshifts, the median errors are smaller than for $z = 0$ because Compton cooling off the CMB, which is modelled accurately, becomes increasingly important. Hence, even for metallicities as extreme as 10 times solar, using equation (4) and including 11 elements gives errors of only a few per cent. As we will see below, this is much smaller than the differences between different photoionization codes. Using equation (3) rather than (4) gives similar errors for low metallicities, but the median errors are a factor of 2–3 higher for $Z > 10^5 Z_\odot$. 

4 Reducing the temperature resolution by a factor of 2 roughly doubles the interpolation errors near the thermal equilibrium solution, but in this regime the cooling times are in any case effectively infinite.

5 While the error in the total cooling rates is small, the relative errors in the contribution of individual elements can be larger if the element contributes negligibly to the total cooling rate (see e.g. the noise in the Fe contour at $T < 10^5$ K in the left-hand panel of Fig. 6). This is because the tables for individual elements were computed by taking the difference between the total cooling rate for solar abundances including and excluding the element. Hence, if the element does not contribute significantly to the cooling rate for a particular density, temperature and redshift, then its contribution will be computed as the difference between two nearly equal numbers. Because this can only occur if the contribution is negligible, we do not consider this to be a problem.

6 Sutherland & Dopita (1993) only give the cooling rates as a function of the abundance of iron, which we interpolated to the corresponding Gnat & Sternberg (2007) value.
For temperatures below the thermal equilibrium temperature, $t_{\text{cool}}$ corresponds to a heating time-scale. The calculation includes the CMB and, optionally, the HM01 background at redshift three.

Note that adiabatic cooling due to the expansion of the Universe is not included, but would dominate over radiative cooling for sufficiently low densities. For example, the universal Hubble expansion (appropriate for densities around the cosmic mean, i.e. $n_H \sim 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ at $z = 3$) corresponds to an adiabatic cooling time $t_{\text{cool, adiab}} = 1/(2H) \approx 1.6 \times 10^7 \text{ yr}$ at $z = 3$.

The top-left panel shows that for a metal-free gas, ionizing radiation drastically reduces the cooling rates for $10^4 \text{ K} < T \lesssim 10^5 \text{ K}$ (Efstathiou 1992). This happens because the peaks in the cooling rate due to collisional excitation of neutral hydrogen and singly ionized helium (followed by Ly$\alpha$ emission) are removed when the gas is photoionized.

In the presence of ionizing radiation (solid curves), there are actually two contours for each net cooling time, corresponding to net heating (left of the thermal equilibrium asymptote) and net cooling (right of the equilibrium asymptote). These two contours nearly merge near the (density-dependent) thermal equilibrium temperature, slightly above $10^5 \text{ K}$, where the net cooling time goes to infinity. Below this temperature, the heating time is dictated by the photoheating rate.

For $T \gg 10^5 \text{ K}$, photoionization has no effect on a metal-free gas because the plasma is already fully ionized by collisional processes. In this regime, the plasma cools predominantly via the emission of bremsstrahlung and/or inverse Compton scattering of CMB photons. The latter process is the dominant cooling mechanism for most of the baryons at high redshift ($z > 7$). In the plot inverse Compton cooling off the CMB dominates the radiative cooling rate at low densities and high temperatures, but the corresponding cooling time exceeds the Hubble time.

For diffuse, intergalactic gas (i.e. for density contrasts $\delta \ll 10^2$, corresponding to $n_H \ll 10^{-3} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ at $z = 3$, much lower than expected in virialized objects; e.g. Coles & Lucchin 2002) of primordial composition, radiation increases the cooling time at $10^5 \text{ K}$ by at least an order of magnitude and by much more at lower temperatures. Since the cooling times in this regime are comparable to the Hubble time, radiation will have a large effect on the fraction of the baryons that are hot. At densities corresponding to collapsed objects ($\delta \gtrsim 10^2$ or $n_H \gtrsim 10^{-3} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ at $z = 3$), the increase in the cooling time is generally smaller, although it can still easily be an order of magnitude at temperatures as high as $10^{4.5} \text{ K}$.

The top-right panel shows that heavy elements strongly increase the cooling rate of a collisionally ionized plasma for $10^4 \text{ K} < T \lesssim 10^5 \text{ K}$ (e.g. Boehringer & Hensler 1989). Comparing the model with primordial abundances (dashed contours) to the one assuming solar metallicity (solid contours), we see that the cooling times typically differ by about an order of magnitude. The presence of metals allows radiative cooling through collisional excitation of a large number of ions at a variety of temperatures. For $T > 10^5 \text{ K}$, the difference is smaller because there are few lines to excite since most elements are collisionally ionized to a very high degree. Bremsstrahlung is the dominant cooling mechanism at these very high temperatures.

The bottom-left panel demonstrates that ionizing radiation also strongly reduces the cooling rates when heavy elements dominate.

7 We use $n_{\text{He}}/n_H = 0.083$ for primordial abundances.
8 The fact that the contours really do merge and then disappear at high densities is due to the limitations of our plotting package and the finite resolution of our grid.
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**Figure 2.** Normalized, absolute, net cooling rates ($|\Lambda/n_H^2|_c$) as a function of temperature for solar abundances. Different curves correspond to different gas densities, as indicated in the legend. The gas in all models is exposed to the $z = 3$ CMB and the $z = 3$ HM01 UV/X-ray background. Note that the cooling rates were divided by $n_H^2$, but that we divided by $n_H n_e$ in Fig. 1.

The differences in the cooling rates shown in Fig. 1 are typically smaller than 0.3 dex suggesting that the cooling rates are known to better than a factor of 2, at least for CIE. The differences are largest for $T \sim 10^5 \text{ K}$, with MAPPINGS giving higher cooling rates than CLOUDY.

### 3 PHOTOIONIZATION, METALS AND COOLING RATES

The cooling rates in photoionization equilibrium are not proportional to the density squared, as is the case for CIE. As a consequence, the cooling curve changes dramatically with density. This is illustrated in Fig. 2 which shows normalized, net cooling rates ($|\Lambda/n_H^2|_c$), as a function of temperature for solar abundances. Different curves correspond to different densities, as indicated in the legend.

The calculation includes the CMB and the HM01 background at a redshift 3. The $z = 3$ HM01 background corresponds to a hydrogen density of $10^3 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ and the CMB corresponds to a hydrogen density of $10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3}$. The net cooling rate is given by $\Lambda = \Lambda_{\text{CMB}} + \Lambda_{\text{UV/X-ray}}$, where $\Lambda_{\text{CMB}}$ is the cooling rate due to the CMB and $\Lambda_{\text{UV/X-ray}}$ is the cooling rate due to UV/X-ray background. Different curves correspond to different densities, as indicated in the legend.

The differences in the cooling rates shown in Fig. 1 are typically smaller than 0.3 dex suggesting that the cooling rates are known to better than a factor of 2, at least for CIE. The differences are largest for $T \sim 10^5 \text{ K}$, with MAPPINGS giving higher cooling rates than CLOUDY.

---

**Figure 3.** shows how the presence of heavy elements and radiation affects the radiative cooling time. Each panel shows contours of constant cooling time in the density–temperature plane for two models. The top panels illustrate well-known results, while the bottom panels demonstrate the importance of radiation on the cooling due to metal lines.

Here and throughout, the cooling time refers to the absolute value of the net radiative cooling time at a fixed hydrogen density,

$$t_{\text{cool}} \equiv \frac{T}{dT/dr} = \frac{3nkT}{|\Lambda_{\text{heat}} - \Lambda_{\text{cool}}|}. \quad (6)$$
Figure 3. Contour plots of the net cooling time in years as a function of temperature and total hydrogen number density (note that the mean baryon density corresponds to $n_H \sim 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ at $z = 3$). For temperatures below the equilibrium temperature, the contours indicate negative net cooling times, that is, heating times (see text). The gas in all models is exposed to the $z = 3$ CMB. In addition, models for which the legend indicates $\Gamma_{12} = 1.1$ use the $z = 3$ HM01 UV/X-ray background. The figure confirms that both metals and photoionization affect the cooling rates significantly, and shows their combined effect. Top left: the effect of photoionization for zero metallicity. Top right: the effect of metallicity for a purely collisionally ionized plasma. Bottom left: the effect of photoionization for solar metallicity. Bottom right: the effect of metallicity for a photoionized plasma.

Finally, the bottom-right panel shows that metals strongly increase the cooling rates in the presence of an ionizing radiation field, as was the case for a collisionally ionized gas (top-right panel). For solar metallicity, the cooling rate at $T < 10^7 \text{ K}$ is typically an order of magnitude higher than for a primordial composition.

Note that for very low densities ($n_H \lesssim 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^{-3}$), metals increase the equilibrium temperature (towards the left-hand side of the region where the net cooling time is above $10^{10}$ years) because their presence boosts the photoheating (via oxygen and iron$^9$) but does not significantly affect the cooling because it is dominated by Compton cooling of the CMB.

4 EFFECT ON THE WHIM

The fraction of gas that has been shock-heated to temperatures of $10^6 \text{ K} \lesssim T < 10^7 \text{ K}$ is currently of great interest, mainly because this so-called warm-hot intergalactic medium (WHIM) is hard to detect, yet may contain a large fraction of the baryons in the low-redshift Universe (e.g. Cen & Ostriker 1999). There are two reasons why the WHIM becomes more important at lower redshift. First, as structure formation progresses, larger structures form, leading to

$^9$ The increased He/H ratio also boosts the photoheating rate, but its contribution is smaller than that of the metals.
stronger gravitational accretion shocks and a greater fraction of the baryons are heated to temperatures in the WHIM range. Secondly, as the Universe expands, the density of the diffuse gas decreases as $10^{12}(1 + z)^3$ and the cooling time due to collisional processes (which dominate for $z < 7$) will thus increase as $(1 + z)^{-2}$. Hence, the cooling time increases faster than the Hubble time and more and more of the shock-heated gas is unable to cool.

Since the cooling times are of the order the Hubble time for much of the WHIM, the precise values of the cooling rate is particularly important. Because the cooling of the WHIM tends to be dominated by line radiation, its density is low ($6 \sim 10^{-8}$; e.g. Bertone, Schaye & Dolag 2008) and the WHIM gas may well be enriched to values of 10 per cent of solar or higher, both metals and photoionization by the UV background may be important. Fig. 4 demonstrates that this is indeed the case.

Fig. 4 shows a contour plot of the cooling time in the density contrast–temperature plane for redshift $z = 0$. Each contour corresponds to the same net cooling time, namely the Hubble time. Dashed contours are for a purely collisionally ionized gas, while the solid contours include photoionization by the $z = 0$ UV/X-ray background radiation from galaxies and quasars, which corresponds to a hydrogen photoionization rate $T_{\text{H}_2} = 0.08$ and an ionization parameter $U = 9 \times 10^7 n_{\text{H}_2}$. Metallicity increases from the top down from zero (i.e. primordial abundances) (blue contours) to 10 per cent solar (red) to solar (black), as indicated in the figure. Gas above a given contour is able to cool, while gas below it will remain hot.

For a primordial composition (blue contours), turning on the ionizing radiation raises the density contrast above which the gas can cool from $\delta \sim 10$ to $\delta \sim 10^6$ for $T < 10^4$ K. For solar metallicity, the UV radiation becomes important for $T < 10^3$ K and raises the critical density required for cooling within a Hubble time by about an order of magnitude for $T \lesssim 10^5$ K. Increasing the metallicity from zero to solar decreases the critical density contrast by about an order of magnitude over the full range of WHIM temperatures. Clearly, both photoionization and metals are important for the thermal evolution of the WHIM.

Fig. 5 shows how the intensity and the spectral shape of the ionizing radiation affect the results for solar abundances. The solid contour indicates the density contrasts and temperatures for which the cooling time equals the Hubble time for the $z = 0$ HM01 model for the UV/X-ray radiation from quasars and galaxies (model QG). It is identical to the black, solid contour in Fig. 4. The dashed contour shows the $t_{\text{cool}} = t_{H}$ contour after we have multiplied the entire HM01 radiation field by a factor of 10 (model 10+QG). Increasing the intensity reduces the cooling rates, shifting the contour to higher densities.

The dot-dashed contour corresponds to the quasar only HM01 model (model Q), which we have rescaled such as to give the same hydrogen ionization rate as model QG. Since the average energy of H-ionizing photons is higher for model Q than for QG, the difference between the dot-dashed and solid contours reflects the effect of changing the spectral hardness. Comparing these two contours, we see that, at a fixed H ionization rate, harder spectra tend to inhibit the cooling more than softer spectra for temperatures above the equilibrium value (the $t_{\text{cool}} = t_{H}$ contour moves to higher densities for harder spectra). This happens because collisional excitation of heavy ions involves electrons with higher ionization energies than hydrogen. The extra high energy photons will remove many of those electrons, thus reducing the cooling rates further. For temperatures below, the equilibrium value, however, the net cooling time actually decreases (the lower-left of the left contour moves to lower densities for harder spectra) because the photoheating rates are larger for harder spectra.

In summary, for densities and temperatures characteristic of the WHIM the nature of the ionizing radiation field as well as the metallicity of the gas may have a significant impact. Accurate cooling rates therefore require a correct treatment of the composition of the gas, the spectral hardness and the radiation intensity. Simple models
may result in a poor estimate of the amount of baryons in the WHIM phase.

5 THE RELATIVE IMPORTANCE OF DIFFERENT ELEMENTS

For solar abundances, a large number of elements contribute to the radiative cooling rate. The black, solid curve in the left-hand panel of Fig. 6 shows the normalized cooling rate ($\Delta n_\text{H} / n_\text{H}^2$) as a function of temperature for a plasma in pure CIE and for solar abundances, reproducing previous work done on this subject (e.g. Cox & Tucker 1969; Raymond et al. 1976; Shull & van Steenberg 1982; Gaetz & Salpeter 1983; Boehringer & Hensler 1989; Sutherland & Dopita 1993; Landi & Landini 1999; Benjamin et al. 2001; Gnat & Steinberg 2007; Smith et al. 2008). The thin, coloured, solid curves show the contributions from individual elements, while the black, dashed curve shows the total cooling rate due to H and He. For $T \gg 10^7$ K, bremsstrahlung (i.e. H & He) dominates, but at lower temperatures line radiation is most important. Going down from $10^7$ K to $10^6$ K the cooling rate is successively dominated by iron, neon, oxygen, carbon and hydrogen.

If the cooling rate is dominated by a single element, as is for example the case for oxygen at $T \approx 2 \times 10^6$ K and for iron at $T \sim 10^6$ K, then the total cooling rate will be sensitive to the relative abundances of those elements. For instance, since [O/Fe] is observed to vary with environment by factors of 2 or so at a fixed metallicity (e.g. Shetrone et al. 2003), we can expect similar variations in the cooling rates.

We next turn on the HM01 ionizing background and illustrate the results for $n_{\text{H}} = 10^{-4}$ cm$^{-3}$ and $z = 3$ in the right-hand panel of Fig. 6 (recall that the left-hand panel is independent of both density and redshift). For this figure, we have included Compton cooling off the CMB to isolate the impact of the ionizing radiation and because the former is only important at high redshift. We can see that photoionization affects some elements more than others. As we have seen before, the effect is stronger for lower temperatures. Although we show the results for only a single density here, we note that the importance of photoionization increases with decreasing density.

Comparing the cooling rates including photoionization (right-hand panel of Fig. 6) to those for CIE (left-hand panel of Fig. 6) shows that photoionization increases the relative importance of oxygen and decreases that of carbon, helium and especially hydrogen. It is also clear that many of the peaks of the various elements shift to lower temperatures when an ionizing radiation field is present. This shift occurs because a photoionized gas is overionized for its temperature compared to a collisionally ionized plasma. If the ion fractions peak at lower temperatures, then so will the cooling rates due to collisional excitation of those ions.

This last figure illustrates the central result of this work: photoionization changes both the total cooling rates and the relative importance of individual elements. For a more complete visualization of this point, we kindly refer the interested reader to our web site, where we host a number of videos, plots and the tables themselves for download.

6 DISCUSSION

Radiative cooling is an essential ingredient of hydrodynamical models of a wide range of astrophysical objects, ranging from the IGM to (proto-)galaxies and molecular clouds. While numerical simulations of objects with a primordial composition often compute non-equilibrium radiative cooling rates explicitly and sometimes even include the effect of ionizing background radiation, the treatment of cooling of chemically enriched material is typically much more approximate. For example, simulations of galaxy formation typically either ignore metal-line cooling altogether or include it assuming pure CIE. In addition, the abundances of all heavy elements are typically scaled by the same factor (the metallicity) (but see Martínez-Serrano et al. 2008; Maio et al. 2007 for recent exceptions). In this simplified treatment metal-line cooling depends only on temperature and metallicity, allowing straightforward interpolation from pre-computed two-dimensional tables.

We have used CLOUDY to investigate the effects of heavy elements and ionizing radiation on the radiative cooling of gas with properties characteristic of (proto-)galaxies and the IGM, i.e. optically thin gas with densities $n_{\text{H}} \lesssim 1$ cm$^{-3}$ and temperatures $T \gtrsim 10^4$ K, assuming ionization equilibrium. We presented a method to incorporate radiative cooling on an element-by-element basis including

http://www.strw.leidenuniv.nl/WSS08/.
photoionization by an evolving UV/X-ray background, using pre-
computed tables, which for heavy elements are functions of density,
temperature, and redshift and for H\&He (which must be considered
together because they are important contributors to the free elec-
dron density) depend additionally on the He/He ratio. Using the 11
elements H, He, C, N, O, Ne, Mg, Si, S, Ca and Fe, the redshift
\( z = 0 \) median absolute errors in the net cooling rate range from 0.33
per cent, at \( z = 0.1 Z_\odot \) to 6.1 per cent for the extreme metallicity
\( Z = 10 Z_\odot \), and the errors are smaller for higher redshifts.

The tables as well as some scripts that illustrate how to use
them are available from the following web site: http://www.
strw.leidenuniv.nl/WSS08/. We also include tables for solar relative
abundances which can be used if metallicity, but not the abundances,
of individual elements is known, as in equation (5). This web site
also contains a number of videos that may be helpful to gain intu-
ition on the importance of various parameters on the cooling rates.

We confirmed that, assuming CIE, heavy elements greatly en-
hance the cooling rates for metallicities \( Z \gtrsim 10^{-1} Z_\odot \) and temper-
atures \( T \lesssim 10^5 \) K. We demonstrated that this remains true in the
presence of photoionization by the metagalactic UV/X-ray back-
ground.

The background radiation removes electrons that would other-
wise be collisionally excited, thus reducing the cooling rates. The
effect is stronger for higher ionization parameters (i.e. higher ra-
diation densities or lower densities) and if the spectral shape of the
radiation field is harder. Considering only the metagalactic ra-
diation field, which provides a lower limit to the intensity of the
radiation to which optically thin gas may be exposed, the reduction
of the metal-line cooling rates becomes important below \( 10^6 \) K for
ionization parameters \( U \gtrsim 10^{-4} \) and below \( 10^5 \) K for \( U \gtrsim 10^{-3} \)
(note that for the HMO1 background \( U = 9 \times 10^{-7}/n_H \) and \( 2 \times 10^{-5}/n_H \)
at \( z = 0 \) and \( z = 3 \), respectively).

As an example of the potential importance of including the ef-
fects of both photoionization and heavy elements, we considered
the so-called WHIM, which is thought to contain a large fraction of
the baryons at redshifts \( z < 1 \). We demonstrated that the overden-
sities for which gas at typical WHIM metallicities (\( Z \sim 10^{-1} Z_\odot \))
and temperatures (\( T \sim 10^4 \)– \( 10^5 \) K) can cool within a Hubble time
can shift by an order of magnitude depending on whether photoion-
ization and metal-line cooling are taken into account. Hence, pho-
toionization of heavy elements may have important consequences
for predictions of the amount of matter contained in this elusive gas
phase.

Because chemical enrichment happens in a number of stages,
involving a number of processes with different time-scales, the
relative abundances of the heavy elements varies with redshift and
environment by factors of a few. Hence, computing cooling rates
on an element-by-element basis rather than scaling all elements by
the metallicity will change the cooling rates by factors of a few. The
difference is therefore typically somewhat smaller than the effect
of neglecting metals or photoionization altogether, but still highly
significant.

While it was known that different elements dominate the cooling
for different temperatures in CIE, we showed that photoionization
both shifts the peaks due to individual elements to smaller temper-
atures and reduces their amplitude. Note that since photoionization
overionizes the gas, this effect is similar (but not equivalent) to that
found in non-equilibrium calculations without ionizing radiation
(e.g. Sutherland \& Dopita 1993; Gnat \& Sternberg 2007). Because
the importance of photoionization depends on the ionization para-
meter, the relative contributions of individual elements exposed to a
fixed ionizing radiation field depend also on the gas density.

Would dropping our assumption of ionization equilibrium have
a large effect on the cooling rates? Ionizing radiation results in a
plasma that is overionized relative to its temperature. Its effect is
therefore similar to that of non-equilibrium ionization following
rapid cooling (i.e. if the cooling time is shorter than the combina-
tions times of the ions dominating the cooling; see e.g. Kafatos 1973;
Shapiro \& Moore 1976). We therefore anticipate that the effect of
non-equilibrium ionization will be much smaller for our cooling rates
than for those that assume CIE.

The assumptions that the gas is optically thin and exposed only
to the metagalactic background radiation are likely to be more im-
portant than the assumption of ionization equilibrium, particularly
since non-equilibrium collisional cooling rates only differ from
those assuming CIE by factors of a few or less (e.g. Schmutzler
\& Tschamuter 1993; Sutherland \& Dopita 1993; Gnat \& Stern-
berg 2007). For column densities \( n_H > 10^{17} \) cm\(^{-2} \) self-shielding
becomes important and only part of the H-ionizing radiation will
penetrate the gas cloud, which would particularly affect the cooling
rates for \( T \lesssim 10^5 \) K. At higher temperatures, metal-line cooling is
dominated by heavier ions, which can only be ionized by higher en-
ergy photons and which therefore remain optically thin up to much
higher column densities. This is because the photoionization cross-
sections of H and He drop rapidly with increasing frequency for
energies exceeding their ionization potentials. Moreover, for \( T \gg
10^3 \) K hydrogen is collisionally ionized to a high degree and conse-
sequently the optical depth for ionizing radiation will be significantly
reduced.

It is, however, far from clear that high column densities would
reduce the effect of radiation. For self-shielded clouds, the cooling
radiation may itself be trapped, providing a source of ionizing radi-
ation even in the absence of an external one (e.g. Shapiro \& Moore
1976; Gnat \& Sternberg 2007). Moreover, gas clouds with columns
that exceed \( 10^{17} \) cm\(^{-2} \) are on average expected to be sufficiently
close to a galaxy that local sources of ionizing radiation dominate
over the background (Schaye 2006; Miralda-Escudé 2005).

Ultimately, these issues can only be resolved if non-equilibrium
cooling rates are computed including radiative transfer and if the
locations of all relevant sources of ionizing radiation are known. It
will be some time before it is feasible to carry out such a calculation
in, say, a cosmological hydrodynamical simulation. In the mean-
time, we believe that our element-by-element calculation of the
equilibrium cooling rates for an optically thin gas exposed to the
CMB and an evolving UV/X-ray background provides a marked
improvement over earlier treatments. In future publications, we
will present cosmological, hydrodynamical simulations using these
cooling rates.
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