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Vision is the principal source of information of the surrounding world. It facilitates our movement and development of everyday activities. In this sense, blind people have great difficulty for moving, especially in unknown environments, which reduces their autonomy and puts them at risk of suffering an accident. Electronic Travel Aids (ETAs) have emerged and provided outstanding navigation assistance for blind people. In this work, we present the methodology followed for implementing a stereo vision-based system that assists blind people to wander unknown environments in a safe way, by sensing the world, segmenting the floor in 3D, fusing local 2D grids considering the camera tracking, creating a global occupancy 2D grid, reacting to close obstacles, and generating vibration patterns with an haptic belt. For segmenting the floor in 3D, we evaluate normal vectors and orientation of the camera obtained from depth and inertial data, respectively. Next, we apply RANSAC for computing efficiently the equation of the supporting plane (floor). The local grids are fused, obtaining a global map with data of free and occupied areas along the whole trajectory. For parallel processing of dense data, we leverage the capacity of the Jetson TX2, achieving high performance, low power consumption, and portability. Finally, we present experimental results obtained with ten (10) participants, in different conditions, with obstacles of different height, hanging obstacles, and dynamic obstacles. These results show high performance and acceptance by the participants, highlighting the easiness to follow instructions and the short period of training.

1. Introduction

Electronic Travel Aids (ETAs) for assisting blind people, especially vision-based aids, have taken an approach based on autonomous vehicles since both have to deal with similar challenges, such as real-time performance, handle previously unseen environments, be robust to different conditions and dynamic environments, and be safe for the user, for the people, and for objects around it. In this sense, algorithms in the field of autonomous vehicles can be used for assisting blind people in navigation tasks, such as scene understanding, object detection, segmentation, path planning, localization, and mapping.

The data that these algorithms process must be accurate and reliable. Vision sensors have become a tendency in the field of self-driving cars due to its high accuracy, high frame rate, low weight, small size, and low price. However, visual data is dense so we need to use high-performance processors like the general-purpose graphics processing units GPGPUs that work in parallel way, achieving to speed up the performance up to 10 times with respect to implementations in CPU. Besides to having high-performance processor, the system needs to be wearable, which means to have small size and low weight in order to be hand-carried easily or coupled to the user’s body. After processing the information of the sensors, a control module decides the most appropriate actions for each situation. For autonomous vehicles, the control is carried out with inputs to its motors while in the case of blind people, their guidance to free space (or to a goal position) can be done with audio feedback or vibration patterns generated by an haptic belt. Although autonomous
vehicle scenarios are limited as compared to humans and both tasks do not face identical goals, navigation aids for the blind can be inspired by the notable advances in the former field.

In this work, we consider the Manhattan world assumption and apply a technique for segmenting the floor based on inertial sensors and normal vectors computed from depth data that is obtained from a stereo camera. The system detects changes in height with respect to the ground plane and builds an incremental occupancy grid in two dimensions. Once we have information about free space, we map it to motion instructions using a reactive navigation algorithm, achieving to guide the user around an unknown environment without collisions. The global occupancy grid has the advantage to store information during the whole trajectory in an incremental and compressed way, which enables the system to work in more complex tasks such as path planning to guide the user to objects of interest (the integration of an object detector and a path planning algorithm are left as future work). Moreover, the system does not need to insert in the environment neither RFID tags nor beacons and does not communicate with an external server for processing data, and the distance for detecting obstacles can be configured. These obstacles can be dynamic and can be located at a height over the user’s waist, which is not possible with a white cane.

The contributions of this system are as follows:

1. An algorithm for segmenting at real time the floor (ground plane) based on inertial sensors, normal vectors, the gravity vector, and RANSAC (random sample consensus)
2. An algorithm that builds at real time an occupancy 2D grid for identifying free space. This grid is built in incremental way by fusing local data, generating a global occupancy grid
3. An algorithm for reacting to close obstacles and for generating motion commands through an haptic belt
4. The experimental results that define the performance and acceptance by the participants of the implemented system, under different conditions.

2. Related Work

Electronic Travel Aids (ETAs), proposed during the last years for assisting blind people, include a wide spectrum of sensors, feedback, and processors. The most common sensors are ultrasonic sensors [1–5], structured light cameras [6–8], stereo cameras [9, 10], and monocular cameras [11]. Each sensor has some drawbacks that limit the functionality and pervasiveness of the system. For example, ultrasonic sensors have difficulty for estimating orientation of obstacles due to the bean width, which is about 15°. Monocular cameras cannot provide scale in the maps. Structured light cameras, like the Kinect, are affected by other infrared sources such as sunlight in outdoors. Stereo cameras have low accuracy, especially with low-light conditions and with low texture. In this sense, the fusion of sensors has allowed to solve some problems and provide more complete functionalities. For example, in [12, 13], ultrasonic sensors together with a structured light camera are used for avoiding obstacles, including small and transparent ones. In [14], an ultrasonic sensor is used to estimate the distance of objects at the level of eyesight and integrates a monocular camera to recognise objects and read out text around the user. In [15], ultrasonic sensors are used together with RFID readers and tags in order to identify indoor objects. For feedback, the senses of hearing and touch are the most common ones used for replacing the sense of sight. For audio feedback, there have been tested tones (beeps) [16], stereo tones [17], augmented reality sound sources [18], and voice commands [4, 13, 19]. The general disadvantage is related with overloading the sense of hearing, especially in dynamic environments, like in [17]. For tactile feedback, Braille displays [20, 21], haptic belts [22–25], vests [26], and gloves [27] are used. Braille displays are difficult to interpret in dynamic environments, and the other ones need a period of training for interpreting the commands adequately [22]. The system [24] guides a blind person from his/her current position to a goal point using a tactile belt with eight vibrating motors that generates directional and rotational patterns. A stationary laser scanner tracks the user inside a room by fitting an ellipse to the torso. ROS (robot operating system) provides a local navigation planner for computing an obstacle free path, robust to possible deviation of the user and changes in the environment. The authors in [5] use both vibration motors and audio feedback for guiding blind people to free space. Four motors and six ultrasonic sensors are located in a shoe, together with a liquid detector sensor. The processor is another component that has an important impact in the functionality of the system. It must be small, light (wearable), and able to process great amount of data at real time, especially when dense data is delivered by sensors like cameras. The authors of [28] use online computer vision service (Microsoft Cognitive Service) for describing images and narrating the scene around the user, based on machine learning and deep learning. It reduces the development cost of this prototype. The systems [7, 29] use a laptop carried in a backpack, for processing dense data, specially coming from a camera. The system [25] employs a mobile device with two video cameras and a quad core processor for triggering vibration patterns. Other systems use high-performance embedded processors like a vision processor in [30], the Jetson TX1 in [16], the Jetson TX2 in [31], and the Raspberry Pi in [13, 14, 28].

In the remaining of this section, we are going to describe the methodology of some outstanding vision-based systems. Corners are detected in [32], and depth data of these features is use for estimating the position of obstacles. A neural network is used in [6] to classify six-line profiles extracted from the depth image for defining free space, obstacles, upstairs, and downstairs. The system described in [33, 34] works in any illumination condition, either indoor or outdoor spaces, employing an infrared camera, a stereo camera, and inertial sensors. For indoors, planar regions are computed considering normal vectors, followed by a region growing step and the selection of the plane with the largest distance to the camera. For outdoors, the ground surface is segmented using [35], a
global 3D model for copying with depth uncertainty and superpixels for estimating confidence in dynamic environments.

In [7], the floor is extracted from the scene, initially using only depth data for computing vector normals and RANSAC for defining the equation of the plane. Next, color information is used for improving and expanding the initial estimation. Polygonal floor segmentation or watershed segmentation is selected automatically according to the type of scene the system is dealing with. The system [30] uses an ARM processor, and a fabricated vision processor for computing in real time a point cloud, normal vectors, and then, planes are classified using information from inertial sensors. These planes are improved with region growing which groups similar neighboring points. The system [29] estimates egomotion with visual odometry, identifies normals that are parallel to the gravity vector, computes a plane using RANSAC, builds a 3D voxel map, builds an occupancy 2D grid, and runs a path planning algorithm (D* Lite algorithm) to guide the user to a target.

The authors of [16] demonstrated that transferring technology from autonomous vehicles to assistive tools for blind people is feasible. They build an extended version of the Stixel architecture for implementing the kernels. Given the Jetson TX1 for processing data. The system [20] is wearable, performs at real time, segments the floor using also the Stixel World algorithm, and includes purposeful navigation to objects of interest such as empty chairs. It uses a linear classifier for classifying objects considering depth data. In [17], geographic and semantic information is provided to the user using sounds. In order to avoid obstacles, all Stixels within 9 m are represented as water droplets with loudness and phase difference related to the distance and direction of the detected obstacles. Vehicles are represented with horn sounds while pedestrians are represented with bell sounds for providing contextual information.

Our system uses a stereo camera with integrated inertial sensors (the camera computes its pose with a visual-inertial SLAM algorithm), a high-performance processing device, and haptic feedback for guiding the user to free space without collision. It works at real time by leveraging the capacity of the Jetson TX2 for parallel processing of dense data, with low power consumption, high portability, and without the need to connect to an external server for carrying out complex computations. The system segments the floor by evaluating normal vectors and orientation of the camera obtained from depth and inertial data, respectively. Next, RANSAC is applied for computing efficiently the equation of the supporting plane (floor). A global occupancy 2D grid is built in incremental way and a reactive navigation algorithm is executed for avoiding obstacles. The system is not limited to use only in previously fitted areas, since it does not use neither tags nor beacons. The main features of our system will be explained in more detail in the next section.

3. Methodology

The camera employed is the stereo camera ZED Mini, from Stereolabs. We evaluated the system with the camera located in the chest (see Figure 1(a)) and in the head (see Figure 1(b)), with the optical axis (z-axis) pointing down with an angle \( \alpha \) with respect to the horizon. The height of the camera with respect to the floor is \( d \). We get the camera orientation as the XYZ Euler Angles (roll, pitch, and yaw angles) and its position as a vector \([t_x, t_y, t_z] \). The camera was set to deliver depth and color images of 672 x 376 pixels, at a rate of 15 fps.

Algorithm of Figure 2 presents the main processes carried out by the proposed system for assisting blind people in wandering unknown environments in a safe way. We begin with a segmentation of the 3D point cloud, for identifying points that belong to the floor. For this process, we apply rotations using an initial estimation of orientation from the inertial sensors integrated to the camera. These rotations make the normals of points that belong to the floor parallel to the gravity vector. Next, we compute a 3D point cloud and normal vectors and apply two conditions (explained later) for an initial selection of points that belong to the floor. Since this estimation contains outliers, we employ RANSAC for defining the equation of the plane that best fits to data. We proceed to transform the whole point cloud in order to move the points that belong to the floor to the \( xz \)-plane \((y = 0)\). Then, we concatenate the transformations given by the camera, apply a transformation to \( x \) - and \( z \)-components of the points, project the points to a 2D grid, and generate a global occupancy grid by evaluating the \( y \)-component of the points. Finally, we implement a reactive navigation algorithm that uses the global occupancy grid for creating commands in the haptic belt. Next, we give more details about these processes.

4. Initial Segmentation of the Floor

Initially, we estimate a 3D point cloud by processing depth data on GPU, using CUDA (Compute Unified Device Architecture) for implementing the kernels. Given the \( z \)-coordinate, we compute the \( x \)- and \( y \)-coordinates using the following equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
 x &= \frac{u - c_x}{f_x} z, \\
 y &= \frac{v - c_y}{f_y} z,
\end{align*}
\]

where \((x, y, z)\) is the Cartesian coordinate for a 3D point, \((u, v)\) is a coordinate in the image plane, \((c_x, c_y)\) is the coordinate of the principal point of the image and \((f_x, f_y)\) is the focal length in \(x\) and \(y\). Both, the principal point and the focal length are included in the intrinsic parameters defined in the calibration parameters of the ZED Mini. These parameters are stored in constant memory while 3D coordinates \((x, y, z)\) with respect to the current camera pose are stored in texture memory.

The first transformation is a composite rotation around the \(x\)- and \(z\)-axis in order to have the \(y\)-axis parallel to gravity vector. Equation (3) presents this transformation while Figure 3 depicts it graphically.

\[
T_{\text{wrot}w_{\text{out}}} = \begin{bmatrix}
\text{Rot}(x, -\alpha)\text{Rot}(z, \beta) & [000] \\
[000] & 1
\end{bmatrix}.
\]
Once the points are referenced to \( w_{ini} \), normal vectors are computed on GPU, considering 3D points located on the right and down the current pixel, as

\[
\mathbf{n}_{w_{ini}} (u, v) = \frac{(P_{w_{ini}} (u + 1, v) - P_{w_{ini}} (u, v)) \times (P_{w_{ini}} (u, v + 1) - P_{w_{ini}} (u, v))}{|n_{w_{ini}} (u, v)|}.
\] (4)

The unit normal vectors \( \mathbf{n}_{w_{ini}} (u, v) \) are computed as

\[
\mathbf{n}_{w_{ini}}^{\perp} (u, v) := \mathbf{n}_{w_{ini}} (u, v) / |n_{w_{ini}} (u, v)|. \] (5)

Both, the 3D points referenced to \( w_{ini} \) and the unit normal vectors are stored in texture memory on GPU. Points can be drawn with color of the RGB images (see Figures 4(a) and 4(b)) or with color associated to unit normal vectors (see Figure 5), for example, in green if a point has a normal with high component in \( y \)-direction.

Now that the \( y \)-axis \( (y_{w_{ini}}) \) is parallel to the gravity vector, we define two thresholds, \( T_{H_y} \) and \( T_{H_y}^{\perp} \). Points with a \( y \)-component greater or equal to the threshold \( T_{H_y} \) and with
normals parallel to the gravity vector (\(\vec{n}_{y} \geq T_{ny}\)) are identified and stored in an array, in global memory of GPU. Summarising, the conditions for selecting these points are listed next.

(i) The unit normal vector, \(\vec{n}_{y}\), must be parallel to the gravity vector, so its \(y\)-component must be greater or equal to 0.80: \(\vec{n}_{y} \geq T_{ny} = 0.80\)

(ii) The 3D point must have a distance in \(y\)-direction over a threshold, so its \(y\)-component must be greater or equal to a threshold: \(P_{y} \geq T_{ychest} = 0.80\) m for the camera in the chest and \(P_{y} \geq T_{yhead} = 1.00\) m for the camera in the head.

Figure 6 shows the points that fulfil these conditions, for the point cloud presented in Figure 5. The number of points is denoted as \textit{activePoints}.

Points that belong to the floor and to parallel surfaces close to it are selected in this initial segmentation. Considering this, RANSAC is used to compute the equation of the plane that best fits to points associated to the floor since it is robust to outliers.

5. Estimation of the Supporting Plane Using RANSAC

The equation of a plane in 3D space can be defined with a normal vector \(n\) and a known point in the plane \(P_{1}\). Let \(P\) be any point in the plane, so the vector \(r = P - P_{1}\), which is defined as

\[
r = P - P_{1} = (x - x_{1}, y - y_{1}, z - z_{1}),
\]

which is lying on the plane. Since this vector and the normal vector \(n = (a, b, c)\) are perpendicular each other, the dot product is zero:
\[ n \cdot r = 0. \]  

(7)

By calculating the dot product, we get

\[(a, b, c) \cdot (x - x_1, y - y_1, z - z_1) = 0, \]

(8)

\[ ax + by + cz + d = 0, \]  

(9)

with \( d = -(ax_1 + by_1 + cz_1) \). Any point \( P \) that belongs to the plane must fulfill this equation. Now, we select three points randomly, \( P_1, P_2, P_3 \), (see Figure 7), compute two vectors \( v_1 \) and \( v_2 \), and a normal vector \( n \) with the cross product. This process is expressed in Equations (10) and (11).

\[ v_1 = P_2 - P_1, \quad v_2 = P_3 - P_1, \]

(10)

\[ n = v_1 \times v_2. \]

(11)

We normalize the normal vector \( n \) for getting a unit normal vector using the following equation:

\[ \hat{n} = \frac{\begin{pmatrix} n_x \\ n_y \\ n_z \end{pmatrix}}{\|n\|}. \]

(12)

Now, we compute the \( d \) value of Equation (9), considering a known point, for example \( P_1 \), and the unit normal vector \( \hat{n} \).

\[ d = -(\hat{n} \cdot x_1, x + \hat{n} \cdot y + \hat{n} \cdot z). \]

(13)

Finally, for each point \( P_m \) of the initial segmentation, we verify if it fulfills the equation of the plane 9 by computing \( \text{diff}_m \) as is presented next.

\[ \text{diff}_m = \hat{n} \cdot P_m. \]

(14)

\( \text{diff}_m \) is the orthogonal distance \( d_i(P_m) \) from point \( P_m \) to plane. If \( \text{abs} (\text{diff}_m) \) is close to zero (under a threshold, \( \text{th}_{\text{RANSAC}} = 0.06 \)), then the point \( P_m \) belongs to the plane and a counter increases in one. After \( k \) iterations, the selected model \( i \) is the one with the highest counter. This model is defined by \( (\hat{n}_i, d_i) \), where \( \hat{n}_i \) is the unit normal vector of the plane and \( d_i \) is the distance to the origin, for model \( i \). The random search on the space of solutions is done taking advantage of parallel processing on GPU. The number of iterations \( k \) for avoiding possible outliers in the selection of the points is

\[ k = \frac{\log (1 - q)}{\log (1 - w^n)}. \]

(15)

where the parameter \( q \) corresponds to the probability that a good model is computed, \( w \) represents the proportion of inliers with respect to the total number of points, and \( n_r \) is
the minimum number of points that are required to compute a model. The numerical values of these parameters are $q = 99.9\%$, $w = 40\%$, and $n_r = 3$, resulting $k = 104$ iterations. Algorithm of Figure 8 summarises the steps for computing this model.

Once we have the equation of the plane computed with RANSAC, we apply the transformation defined in Equation (16) to the whole point cloud in order to refine the initial rotations for getting normals of points that belong to the floor parallel to the gravity vector and move them to the $xz$-plane, as can be seen in the example of Figure 9.

\begin{equation}
T_{wRw} = \begin{bmatrix}
\text{Rot}(x,-\alpha_R) & \text{Rot}(z, \beta_R) & [000]^T
\end{bmatrix} \\
\begin{bmatrix}
[000] \\
\text{eye}(3,3)
\end{bmatrix} \\
\begin{bmatrix}
[d \wedge x, d \wedge y, d \wedge z]^T
\end{bmatrix} \\
[000] \\
1
\end{bmatrix}.
\end{equation}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{image1.png}
\caption{Algorithm: Pseudocode for computing the equation of the plane that best fits input data, using RANSAC.}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{image2.png}
\caption{Figure 8: Pseudocode for computing the equation of the plane that best fits input data, using RANSAC.}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{image3.png}
\caption{Figure 9: Point cloud transformed in order to move points that belong to the floor to the $xz$-plane, based on the parameters of the plane computed with RANSAC.}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{image4.png}
\caption{Figure 10: Occupancy grid built for the point cloud presented in Figure 9. Occupied cells are drawn in red while free cells are drawn in green. Cyan line represents the orientation of the user while the semicircle is a region where obstacles activate the vibrating motors of the belt.}
\end{figure}

where $\alpha_R$ and $\beta_R$ are the angles that make the normal vector $\hat{n}$ of the computed plane parallel to the $y$-axis ($Y_{wR}$) and $\text{eye}$ represents the identity matrix. The transformation on the right of Equation (16) corresponds to a translation of $d$ in direction of the unit normal vector $\hat{n}$. For avoiding wrong estimations of the plane, RANSAC is applied only if the number of points resulting from the initial segmentation (activePoints) is greater than a threshold ($th_{activePoints} = 90000$). A low value of activePoints happens when the user is close to an obstacle and the floor is not visible. In these cases, the
6. Building the Occupancy 2D Grid

The occupancy 2D grid is made up of free and occupied cells that define regions where the user can navigate without colliding with obstacles. A global grid stores data from all the poses where the user has been by merging data considering positional tracking of the camera. Until this point, we have applied the transformation $T_{w_{c\text{init}}}$ defined in Equation (3) and $T_{w_{c_{\text{n}}}}$ defined in Equation (16). Now, we are going to consider the transformation $T_{c_{\text{n}}}$ between the initial frame $c_{\text{init}}$ and a posterior frame $c_{n}$ as is shown next.

$$T_{w_{c_{n}}} = T_{w_{c_{init}}} T_{w_{c_{n}}},$$

where

$$T_{c_{n}} = (T_{w_{c_{n}}})^{-1} T_{w_{c_{init}}}.\quad (17)$$

$T_{w_{c_{n}}}$ is computed and stored in constant memory on GPU in each camera pose using the transformation $T_{w_{c_{init}}}$ given by the camera. From the transformation $T_{w_{c_{n}}}$, we get the position of the user in the 2D grid as $x_{\text{user}} = T_{w_{c_{n}}}(1, 4), z_{\text{user}} = T_{w_{c_{n}}}(3, 4)$ and the orientation of the user as $\text{atan2}(T_{w_{c_{n}}}(3, 3), T_{w_{c_{n}}}(1, 3))$. Now, we use this pose in 2D for modifying the $x$- and $z$-components of the point cloud obtained after transformation of Equation (16), leaving the $y$-component unchanged. Next, we defined a threshold in the $y$-component of 0.20 m (height is the notation used for the $y$-component hereinafter) of the transformed point cloud. If the absolute value of the height of a point is over the threshold, it is classified as obstacle point; otherwise, it is classified as free point. Next, we project the poses to the occupancy 2D grid, which means we evaluate only the $x$- and $z$-coordinates of each point for defining the cell where it is located. The number of free points $P_f$ and obstacle points $P_o$ projected into a cell defines if that cell is occupied or free, according to the next rules. If $P_f > P_o \wedge P_f > \text{th}_{P_f} = 200$, then the cell is considered free. Otherwise, if $P_o > P_f \wedge P_o > \text{th}_{P_o} = 20$ (for avoiding outliers), then the cell is considered occupied. $\text{th}_{P_f}$ and $\text{th}_{P_o}$ are thresholds in the number of free and obstacle points, respectively. The resulting occupancy grid for the point cloud presented in Figure 9 is shown in Figure 10. The size of the grid is up to $20 \times 20$ m, and the size of the squared cells is 0.05 m.

With the occupancy 2D grid, the system can alert the user about a possible collision and the bearing of a dangerous obstacle when it enters inside a predefined region around the user. This warning region is defined in order to give the user enough time to move to free space and avoid collisions. Besides, the system has the capacity to detect obstacles at different heights, even over the user’s waist, in which a white cane is not able to do, for example, hanging lamps, branches of trees, suspended decorations, among others. Finally, since the grid is built incrementally by processing data taken from different poses, more complex tasks can be carried out, such as path planning for guiding the user to objects of interest seen previously in the environment.

7. Reactive Navigation

The user must be informed if an obstacle is close, with enough time to react and move in order to avoid it. For tackling this problem, we use the occupancy 2D grid, define a semicircle in front of the user (with a radius $r_o$), and divide the semicircle in six equal conical sections (cones with an opening of 30°) for identifying the bearing of the obstacles. We compute in GPU, for each occupied cell, its orientation and distance to the user. Occupied cells inside the semicircle are considered dangerous. A section with occupied cells indicates that there is an obstacle in that direction, and the user cannot traverse it.

For avoiding obstacles, we evaluate the six sections and create vibration patterns according to the next rules. If S1 and/or S2 have/has occupied cells, then the motor on the right will vibrate. If S3 and/or S4 have/has occupied cells, then the frontal motor will vibrate. If S5 and/or S6 have/has occupied cells then the motor on the left will vibrate. When one or both sections of the previous pairs have/has occupied cells, a path cannot be defined through this pair. Generalizing, the pairs S1-S2, S3-S4, and S5-S6 define the direction that the user can traverse by generating vibrations. In the example of Figure 11, the right and frontal motors vibrate since pairs S1-S2 and S3-S4 contain occupied cells.

8. Haptic Feedback

Since the user is not able to get information of the environment using his or her visual sense, we use a vision-based system for creating an occupancy 2D grid and for generating vibration patterns that require of the touch sense of the user.
for decoding this information and moving according to a reactive navigation algorithm that avoids collisions. These warnings are represented by unique vibration patterns generated by an haptic belt that the user wears in the waist. This device that is shown in Figure 12 guides the user to walkable space with haptic commands that indicate a turn to one side, go ahead or stop, and scan. A lateral motor vibrating indicates that an obstacle has been detected in the respective side within a radius $r_o$. The frontal motor vibrating indicates that there is an obstacle in front of the user within a radius $r_o$. The motor on the back is not used for wandering mode. When the three motors vibrate, a path is not available neither in lateral sides nor in front of the user, so he or she should stop and scan an optional path.

The Arduino Pro Micro generates a PWM signal for controlling the activation of the vibrating motors through drivers with reference 6612FNG. The Arduino Pro Micro and the Jetson TX2 developer kit communicate using a USB connection. The belt is fed from the main battery. The components of the control box are labelled in Figure 13.

![Figure 12: Belt with four vibrating motors and the control box (only three motors are used for avoiding obstacles). The belt is located in the user’s waist. The communication is through USB connection.](image1)

![Figure 13: Components of the control box. It is made up of an Arduino Pro Micro and two motor drivers. It is fed by the main battery of the system.](image2)

| Participant | Gender | Sighted | Accuracy |
|-------------|--------|---------|----------|
| 1           | F      | Yes     | 80.00%   |
| 2           | M      | Yes     | 95.00%   |
| 3           | M      | Yes     | 95.00%   |
| 4           | M      | Yes     | 85.00%   |
| 5           | F      | Yes     | 92.50%   |
| 6           | M      | Yes     | 87.50%   |
| 7           | F      | Yes     | 90.00%   |
| 8           | F      | No      | 100.00%  |
| 9           | F      | No      | 90.00%   |
| 10          | F      | No      | 92.50%   |

Average in accuracy 90.75%
9. Results

In this section, we are going to describe the experiments carried out for estimating the accuracy in haptic perception, the optimal distance to obstacles for activating the motors, the range of measurement in depth, the minimum height of objects to be considered obstacles, the maximum height of hanging obstacles detected by the camera when it is located in the chest and in the head, the effectiveness to detect dynamic obstacles, the average walking speed, the number of collisions in a period of time, the easiness to follow instructions, and the portability, among other parameters that define the performance of the system.

For estimating the accuracy in haptic perception, ten participants of different ages and gender, sighted and blind, wore the haptic belt in his or her waist. Three sets of ten vibration
patterns were generated randomly. Each pattern is one of the eight possible combinations obtained with three vibration motors (left, frontal, and right motors). In the first set, the participant was informed about the real combination. In the remaining two sets, the participant was asked about the state of the three motors (pattern). Table 1 summarises the results. Note that the average accuracy in haptic perception is 90.75%, which is appropriate for guiding blind people to walkable space in an unknown environment.

The environment where the next experiments were carried out is a paved courtyard of rectangular shape, with approximate size of 9 m long and 5 m width. It is an outdoor place surrounded by high walls, two small gardens, and some windows. In Figure 14(a), most of this space can be seen while in Figure 14(b), the corresponding depth image is presented. In Figure 14(c), the occupancy 2D grid for this frame is shown. The whole grid can have \(400 \times 400\) cells that cover \(20 \times 20\) m (400 m\(^2\)). Each squared cell has a size of 0.05 m.

From this frame, the horizontal field of view of the camera \(hfov\) was measured and corresponds to 80°. The maximum measurement in depth was set to 3.00 m (see Figures 14(b) and 14(c)) since smaller distances are more accurate than longer ones. The minimum depth was set to 0.40 m for avoiding outliers in the occupancy grid (for example, depth data associated to user’s hands or to white canes). Note in Figure 14(c) that part of the garden, the right wall and two boxes, are in the range of measurement and are classified as obstacles. The radius of the semicircle \(r_o\) that defines the space where an obstacle is considered dangerous was set to 0.80 m. This value provides to the user enough time to avoid obstacles and, at the same time, allows him or her to pass across small walkable spaces.

For estimating the minimum height of objects detected by the system as obstacles \(\text{min}_h\), we put six boxes of different heights, and the user moved in lateral way facing the boxes. The heights of the boxes from left to right are 0.53 m, 0.38 m, 0.30 m, 0.20 m, 0.11 m, and 0.07 m. Figures 15(a) and 15(c) show these boxes. Figures 15(b) and 15(d) present the occupancy 2D grid for a short and long lateral displacement, respectively. Note that the four initial boxes on the left are detected by the system as obstacles (represented as occupied cells) while the remaining two boxes are not detected (represented as free cells). According to this experiment, the minimum height is 0.20 m.

Now, we present the experiments carried out for determining the maximum height that a hanging obstacle can have for been detected by the system. A box was hanged at different heights, from 0.25 m to 1.5 m, with variations of 0.25 m. A blindfolded participant moved following a straight path until the system indicated the presence of an obstacle through the haptic belt or until a researcher warned the closeness of the obstacle (when the box was out of the field of view...
Figure 17: Experiment carried out with the camera located in the head and with a hanging obstacle. (a) Location of the camera. (b) Depth and (c) color images of a box hanging at a height of 1.50 m. (d) Occupancy grid with the projection of the box and with activation of the frontal motor.

Figure 18: (a) Person walking to obstruct the straight path of the blindfolded participant. (b) Moment when the obstruction occurs, and the blindfolded participant avoids the obstacle. (c) Trajectory followed by the participant. The yellow point is the starting location and the orange point is the final location.
Table 2: Parameters of performance and for configuring the system.

| Parameter          | Description                                      | Value                     |
|--------------------|--------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| camres, camfps     | Camera resolution and frames per second          | 672 x 376 pix., 15 fps    |
| depth_range        | Range of measurement in depth                    | [0.40 m; 3.00 m]          |
| hfov               | Horizontal field of view of measurements         | 80°                       |
| thy                | Threshold in y-component of unit normal vector   | 0.80                      |
| thy_chest          | Threshold in y-component of points (c. chest)    | 0.80 m                    |
| thy_head           | Threshold in y-component of points (c. head)     | 1.00 m                    |
| k, thRANSAC        | Maximum iterations and threshold for RANSAC      | 104 iter., 0.06 m         |
| size_gridx, size_gridz | Size of the grid in x and z direction     | 20 m, 20 m                |
| size_cell          | Size of one squared cell                         | 0.05 m                    |
| ro                 | Radio of the semicircle for detecting obstacles  | 0.80 m                    |
| minh               | Minimum height for detecting an obstacle         | 0.20 m                    |
| maxHangCam_chest   | Maximum height of a hanging obstacle (c. chest)  | 0.75 m                    |
| maxHangCam_head    | Maximum height of a hanging obstacle (c. head)   | 1.50 m                    |

Figure 19: (a) Place where the experiments were carried out. (b) Trajectory followed by a blindfolded and (c) a blind participant with the camera located in the chest. (d) Trajectory followed by a blindfolded and (e) a blind participant with the camera located in the head. Each experiment lasts 3 minutes. The blue line represents the trajectory. The yellow point is the starting location and the orange point is the final location.
of the camera. The system detected the box up to 0.75 m height. The box hanging at a height equal to or greater than 1.00 m was not detected because of the low height of the camera (located in the chest) and its inclination with respect to the horizon that focused its field of view mostly to the floor. Figure 16(a) presents the camera located in the chest, while Figures 16(b) and 16(c) present the depth and color images, respectively. Note that the hanging box (height of 0.75 cm) is projected to the 2D occupancy grid, and the frontal motor is activated when the occupied cells are inside the warning region (see Figure 16(d)).

Considering this limitation, we evaluated the option to locate the camera at the level of the head. For this configuration, the system detected obstacles up to 1.5 m. Figure 17(a) shows the camera located in the head, while Figures 16(b) and 16(c) present the depth and color images, respectively. Note that the height of the box (height of 0.75 cm) is projected to the 2D occupancy grid, and the frontal motor is activated when the occupied cells are inside the warning region (see Figure 16(d)).

Table 3: Summary of performance in wandering an unknown environment with the camera in the chest and in the head of the user. F: female, M: male.

| Participant | Blind or blindfolded | Gender | Distance (m) | Average speed (m/s) | Number of collisions |
|-------------|----------------------|--------|--------------|---------------------|---------------------|
|             |                      |        | Chest         | Head                | Chest            | Head            | Chest | Head |
| 1           | Blindfolded          | F      | 26.00         | 27.32               | 0.14              | 0.15             | 0     | 0    |
| 2           | Blindfolded          | M      | 27.08         | 27.56               | 0.15              | 0.15             | 0     | 1    |
| 3           | Blindfolded          | M      | 29.20         | 28.63               | 0.16              | 0.16             | 1     | 1    |
| 4           | Blindfolded          | M      | 30.55         | 31.44               | 0.17              | 0.17             | 0     | 0    |
| 5           | Blindfolded          | F      | 27.23         | 28.14               | 0.15              | 0.16             | 1     | 0    |
| 6           | Blindfolded          | M      | 29.39         | 30.21               | 0.16              | 0.17             | 0     | 1    |
| 7           | Blindfolded          | F      | 29.12         | 27.87               | 0.16              | 0.15             | 0     | 0    |
| 8           | Blind                | F      | 36.42         | 34.68               | 0.20              | 0.19             | 1     | 0    |
| 9           | Blind                | F      | 41.80         | 37.17               | 0.23              | 0.21             | 1     | 2    |
| 10          | Blind                | F      | 32.84         | 33.60               | 0.18              | 0.19             | 0     | 1    |

Table 4: Easiness to follow instructions and portability, with the camera in the chest and in the head of the user. L: low, M: middle, H: high.

| Camera       | Participant | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | 8  | 9  | 10 |
|--------------|-------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| Chest        | F           | H  | H  | H  | H  | M  | H  | H  | H  | H  | H  |
| Head         | F           | H  | H  | H  | H  | M  | H  | H  | H  | H  | H  |
| Chest        | M           | H  | H  | H  | H  | M  | H  | M  | M  | M  | M  |
| Head         | M           | H  | H  | H  | H  | M  | H  | M  | M  | M  | M  |

In this experiment, we evaluated the performance of the system in presence of a dynamic obstacle. The blindfolded participant moved following a straight path until a dynamic obstacle (a person) that moved in the opposite direction obstructed his path. The participant in that moment received a vibration pattern and avoided the person. The radio of the semicircle for detecting obstacles is set to 0.80 m. Figures 18(a) and 18(b) show the participant and a person that obstructs his path, and Figure 18(c) presents the occupancy grid with the trajectory followed by the participant. Note the abrupt change in direction of the participant when the dynamic obstacle (person) enters into the wandering region, and the belt is activated. The same experiment was developed with the camera located in the head, getting similar results.

The next experiment consists in wandering an unknown environment (see Figure 19(a)) by blind and blindfolded people. We evaluated the performance of the system with the camera initially located in the chest and later in the head, pointing to the floor, with an angle $\alpha$ with respect to the horizon (see Figures 1, 16(a), and 17(a)). There are several boxes of different heights but over 0.20 m height. The participant is trained during five minutes before the experiment is executed. Then, the participant is located in a starting point without knowing about the configuration of the environment. The person begins to move using the vibration patterns of the belt for avoiding collisions with obstacles. This process lasts three minutes and is under the supervision of a researcher. We measured the average walking speed and the number of collisions, and we asked the user for information about the easiness for carrying the system and for avoiding obstacles by following haptic instructions. Ten participants of different ages and gender, blindfolded and blind, wandered this space wearing the system in both configurations (camera in the chest and in the head). Figures 19(b) and 19(c) correspond to the occupancy grid and trajectory followed by a

| Camera       | Participant | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | 8  | 9  | 10 |
|--------------|-------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| Easiness to follow instructions | Chest | H  | H  | H  | H  | M  | H  | H  | H  | H  | H  |
|              | Head        | H  | H  | H  | H  | M  | H  | H  | H  | H  | H  |
| Portability  | Chest       | M  | H  | H  | H  | M  | H  | M  | M  | M  | M  |
|              | Head        | M  | H  | H  | H  | M  | H  | M  | M  | M  | M  |
blindfolded and a blind participant, respectively, with the camera in the chest while Figures 19(d) and 19(e) are for a blindfolded and a blind participant, respectively, but in this case with the camera in the head. Similar results with respect to average speed, number of collisions, easiness to follow instructions, and portability were obtained with both configurations, as can be seen in Tables 3 and 4.

All participants said that after five minutes of the initial training, they felt more comfortable and more confident, and it was reflected in a higher walking speed during the final experiment. The average walking speed considering the ten (10) participants was 0.17 m/s for both locations of the camera (in the chest and in the head). Note that blind participants had a higher walking speed than blindfolded ones since the former participants are used to tackling these situations. Moreover, in 55% of the experiments, the participants (blind and blindfolded, with the camera in the chest or in the head) did not have collisions. This fact validates the radio of the warning region, which gives enough time to the participants to avoid an obstacle. The few collisions were due to distractions since the vibration patterns require to pay complete attention all the time. A blindfolded participant told us that she was thinking about a different subject during few seconds and that produced a collision with an obstacle. This participant evaluated the easiness to follow instructions as “middle.” The remaining participants (90%) said that the vibration patterns are intuitive, easy to identify and to understand. This opinion agrees with the high accuracy in haptic perception, defined previously in Table 1. A blind participant told us that in a previous experiment with a system that used ultrasonic sensors and audio feedback, she felt overloaded with voice commands, which was confusing and stressing. However, due to the simplicity and intuitiveness of our haptic feedback, the instructions were easy to follow, reducing the stress, the mental workload, generating a low number of collisions, a short period of time for training, and without interfering with the sound of the environment.

For the next assessment of portability, we present in Table 5 the size and weight of each component of the system. Note that the processor is the heaviest component. The females participants felt a little uncomfortable with the size, weight, and location of the processor, even when it was located under the chest. In contrast, male participants claimed to feel comfortable wearing the system. A possible solution to this problem is presented in “Discussion.” The camera in the head does not cause discomfort to any of the participants due to its low weight and size. However, in one experiment, the cable was short and affected the natural position of the head. This problem was solved with a longer cable. Some experiments can be seen in the Video S1 in the supplementary material.

Jetpack SDK 4.3 was used to flash the Jetson TX2 developer kit. OpenCV 4.1 and CUDA 10 were installed with the Jetpack. The ZED SDK 3.2.2 was installed for working with the stereo camera ZED Mini. OpenGL 4.6 was employed for drawing the occupancy 2D grid. The library RS-232 was used for communicating with the haptic belt.

Table 6 presents the time that the system takes for executing the different processes. The number of frames (each frame has associated a depth image, a color image and pose data) that can be processed in a second is 5.96, which is appropriate for this application considering the average walking speed. Note that the most time-consuming process has to do with RANSAC, applied to the initial segmentation of the floor. This process varies from approximately 50 ms to 80 ms according to the number of points that fulfill the two criteria of the initial segmentation.

We could reduce the total time by taking off the display of data since this task is optional, and it does not affect the wandering mode of the system. The processing rate without displaying data is 7.56 fps. We could also reduce the time for getting data from the camera by using directly GPU memory of the camera instead of CPU memory, which is more efficient, since we do not have to go back on the CPU. This improvement is left as future work.

10. Discussion

Our system processes dense data on an embedded computer, segments the floor, and detects obstacles within 3 m of scope and at 7.56 fps (without displaying data), so it is not necessary to send data to a high-performance computer located in a remote point, unlike [28]. This update rate is sufficient in the context of vision-based navigation aids for blind people, considering the walking speed of a blind person, which in our experiments was in average of 0.17 m/s. Table 7 presents a comparison of systems that process depth data for segmenting the floor, using portable processors, in order to allow blind people to perceive the environment appropriately and move in a safe way.

Although embedded platforms have less computational capacity than laptops, these processors provide sufficient performance for the required tasks, as was proved in the systems of Table 7.

Our system uses tracking data (provided by the camera ZED Mini) in order to merge depth data from different poses, getting a global representation of the environment with memory of spaces previously visited, unlike [20, 30]. This representation is an occupancy 2D grid that enables us to include, in a future work, a module for object detection, like YOLO [36], for defining the location of objects of interest in the grid, and a module for path planning, like in [37], efficient and robust to dynamic environments and to user’s movement, for computing at real time an optimal path.

### Table 5: Size and weight of each component of the system.

| Component          | Size (cm) | Weight (g) |
|--------------------|-----------|------------|
| Processor          | 17x17x5   | 469        |
| Camera             | 12.5x2.7x3| 63         |
| Control box of belt| 11.7x8.1x5.2| 180       |
| Belt               | Adjustable long: 10 (width) | 100        |
| Battery            | 13.7x4.3x1.7 | 213       |
| Harness            | Adjustable along the chest | 100        |
| Total weight       |           | 1125       |

Table 6 presents the time that the system takes for executing different processes. The number of frames (each frame has associated a depth image, a color image and pose data) that can be processed in a second is 5.96, which is appropriate for this application considering the average walking speed. Note that the most time-consuming process has to do with RANSAC, applied to the initial segmentation of the floor. This process varies from approximately 50 ms to 80 ms according to the number of points that fulfill the two criteria of the initial segmentation.
The haptic belt has shown in the experiments to provide identifiable patterns (accuracy in haptic perception of 90.75%) to guide the user to walkable space with little training and without interfering with sounds of the environment, allowing the user to avoid static and dynamic obstacles efficiently. Moreover, the camera located in the head has an important advantage with respect to locating it in the chest: obstacles hanging at heights up to 1.50 m can be detected and avoided. Moreover, the walking speed increased, and the number of collisions decreased as the training time increased. The walking speed achieved in our experiments goes from 0.14 m/s to 0.23 m/s, with an average speed of 0.17 m/s. This speed is similar to the one reported in [20] that goes from 0.09 m/s to 0.23 m/s in a maze navigation task with a depth camera, an embedded computer, and haptic feedback.

Another important factor for avoiding collisions is to pay complete attention all the time since a distraction of few seconds is enough to collide with obstacles, considering the distance for activating the vibration motors of 0.80 m and the average walking speed of 0.17 m/s. This speed is similar to the one reported in [20] that goes from 0.09 m/s to 0.23 m/s in a maze navigation task with a depth camera, an embedded computer, and haptic feedback.

Another important factor for avoiding collisions is to pay complete attention all the time since a distraction of few seconds is enough to collide with obstacles, considering the distance for activating the vibration motors of 0.80 m and the average walking speed of 0.17 m/s. Besides wandering unknown environments in a safe way, these patterns can be used for path following when the path planning module is included, like in [29].

The techniques used for segmenting the floor, like the two criteria for an initial segmentation followed by RANSAC, worked appropriately at high speed on GPU, achieving to detect obstacles with height over 0.20 m. However, the accuracy of the occupancy grid depends on the accuracy of the depth data, so environments with low-light conditions and low-textured and very reflective floors are not well segmented. In this sense, the white cane could be a complement to our system, especially for detecting small obstacles and negative obstacles (e.g., holes in the ground). We left as future work a comparison of our methodology to segment the floor with Stixel world, which has shown outstanding results in [16, 20].

The female participants complained about the size, weight, and location of the processor while the male participants said that it was comfortable and did not interfere with their natural movement. We will test, in a future work, the Nvidia Jetson Xavier NX developer kit, located in the waist, as part of the haptic belt. This super computer has a similar price than the Nvidia Jetson TX2 developer kit, but it has better performance (more CUDA cores), is smaller, and lighter.

### 11. Conclusions

In this paper, we have shown that transferring technology from autonomous cars to the field of assistive tools for blind people is feasible due to (1) both have similar requirements such as real-time performance, work in unknown environments, robust to changing environments, and safe and (2) the increase in accuracy and portability of 3D vision sensors and in the computing power and portability of embedded processors. The aforementioned advances have allowed to build wearable systems that are able to process dense data
at high frame rates. This is the case of our system that carries out efficiently a 3D segmentation of the floor and creates a global representation of the environment, which together with a reactive navigation algorithm guides the user to walkable space employing vibration patterns generated by a haptic belt. The system detects efficiently dynamic and static obstacles, at the level of the floor or hanging at heights up to 1.50 m. The participants highlighted the easiness to follow instructions with the haptic belt and the short period of training. Moreover, a global representation enables us to implement more complex tasks such as location of objects of interest in the occupancy grid together with path planning for proving purposeful navigation to the user, which is a posterior objective of this project. Finally, we plan to complement navigational information using haptic feedback with auditory descriptions of the scene when the user requests it.

We think that our system can improve the quality of life of blind people, making easier and safer the navigation in unknown environments and providing them more independence in daily activities.
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