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Abstract

We prove that the moduli space of tetragonal curves of genus \( g \geq 7 \) is rational when \( g \equiv 1, 2, 5, 6, 9, 10 \) modulo 12 and \( g \neq 9, 45 \).

1. Introduction

Let \( \mathcal{M}_g \) be the moduli space of curves of genus \( g \geq 7 \), and let \( \mathcal{T}_g \subset \mathcal{M}_g \) be the locus of tetragonal curves, namely non-hyperelliptic curves which have a map of degree four to \( \mathbb{P}^1 \). Classically, \( \mathcal{T}_7 \) has been known to be unirational ([Pet23], [AC81], [Sch86]), but the question whether it is rational remained open until recently, when Böning, Bothmer and Casnati [BvBC12] proved that \( \mathcal{T}_7 \) is rational. In this article we make a further step in this direction, showing that \( \mathcal{T}_g \) is rational for about half of the genera.

Theorem 1.1. Let \( g \geq 7 \) be a natural number with

\[ g \equiv 1, 2, 5, 6, 9, 10 \mod 12 \]

and \( g \neq 9, 45 \). Then the tetragonal locus \( \mathcal{T}_g \) is rational.

This extends the series of rationality results for the hyperelliptic loci ([Kat84a], [BK85]) and the trigonal loci ([She85], [Ma13a], [Ma12]). There naturally arises the question at which gonality we no longer can expect rationality. One might approach pentagonal loci as well using the description in [Sch86], but it seems that only little is known for gonality \( \geq 6 \) ([Gei12]).

One basic approach for proving rationality of a moduli space is to first describe it birationally as the quotient of a parameter space \( U \) by an algebraic group \( G \), and then analyze the \( G \)-action on \( U \). The first step means giving a construction of general members that is canonical. In the present case, we use Schreyer’s model [Sch86], which describes a tetragonal curve \( C \) as a complete intersection of two relative conics in a \( \mathbb{P}^2 \)-bundle over \( \mathbb{P}^1 \). When \( C \) is general, the ambient \( \mathbb{P}^2 \)-bundle \( X \) is either (i) \( \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \), (ii) the blow-up of \( \mathbb{P}^3 \) along a line or (iii) a small resolution of a quadric cone in \( \mathbb{P}^4 \), depending on \( [g] \in \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \). Thus, in the present case, \( U \) is a parameter space of some complete intersection curves in that \( X \), and \( G \) is the automorphism group of \( X \). The structure of \( U \) varies according to the parity of \( g \), so the nature of the group action that we will study primarily depends on \( [g] \in \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z} \). Moreover, when attacking the rationality problem, we were faced with a technical obstruction which caused the further mod 12 condition in Theorem 1.1.
We work over the complex numbers. Section 2 contains preliminaries on the relevant \( \mathbb{P}^2 \)-bundles. In §3 we derive a birational description of \( T_g \) as a quotient space. Section 4 is a collection of miscellaneous techniques for proving the rationality of quotient spaces. They will be also useful for other rationality problems. Theorem 1.1 is proved in §5 – §7: this division comes from the above classification (i) – (iii).

**Notation**

We will use the following notation for irreducible representations of \( \text{SL}_2 \) and \( \text{SL}_2 \times \text{SL}_2 \):

\[
V_d = H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d)),
\]

\[
V_{d,e} = V_d \boxtimes V_e = H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(d,e)).
\]

The space \( V_d \) is also regarded as a \( \text{GL}_2 \)-representation in the natural way.

## 2. Three-dimensional scrolls

For two natural numbers \( 0 \leq e \leq f \), let \( E_{e,f} \) be the vector bundle \( \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-e) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-f) \) over \( \mathbb{P}^1 \), and let

\[
X_{e,f} = \mathbb{P}E_{e,f}
\]

be the associated \( \mathbb{P}^2 \)-bundle parametrizing lines in the fibers of \( E_{e,f} \). We denote by \( \pi : X_{e,f} \to \mathbb{P}^1 \) the natural projection. In the convention of Grothendieck, \( X_{e,f} \) is rather the projectivization of the dual \( E_{e,f}^\vee \). Thus \( \pi_* \mathcal{O}_\pi(1) \simeq E_{e,f}^\vee \) for the relative hyperplane bundle \( \mathcal{O}_\pi(1) \). These \( \mathbb{P}^2 \)-bundles play a fundamental role in the study of tetragonal curves. In §2.1 we recall their basic properties following Schreyer [Sch86]. When studying birational types of tetragonal loci, we actually use only three \( \mathbb{P}^2 \)-bundles: \( X_{0,0} = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \), \( X_{0,1} \) and \( X_{1,1} \). In §2.2 and §2.3, we take a closer look at \( X_{0,1} \) and \( X_{1,1} \).

### 2.1 Basic properties

The Picard group of \( X_{e,f} \) is freely generated by \( \mathcal{O}_\pi(1) \) and \( \pi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \). Accordingly, we will write

\[
L_{a,b} = \mathcal{O}_\pi(a) \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(b).
\]

For example, the canonical bundle of \( X_{e,f} \) is isomorphic to \( L_{-3,-2+e+f} \). This can be seen by considering the relative Euler sequence

\[
0 \to \mathcal{O}_{X_{e,f}} \to \pi^* \mathcal{E}_{e,f} \otimes \mathcal{O}_\pi(1) \to T_\pi \to 0,
\]

where \( T_\pi \) is the relative tangent bundle. The intersection numbers between line bundles are calculated from

\[
(L_{1,0}, L_{1,0}, L_{1,0}) = e + f, \quad (L_{1,0}, L_{1,0}, L_{0,1}) = 1, \quad L_{0,1}, L_{0,1} \equiv 0.
\]

(2.1)

When \( a \geq 0 \) and \( b \geq -1 \), using \( \pi_* L_{a,b} \simeq \text{Sym}^a \mathcal{E}_{e,f}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(b) \), we have

\[
h^0(L_{a,b}) = (e + f) \binom{a + 2}{3} + (b + 1) \binom{a + 2}{2}
\]

([Sch86]) and \( h^i(L_{a,b}) = 0 \) for \( i > 0 \).
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If \(b \geq 0\) with \((e, f, b) \neq (0, 0, 0)\), the bundle \(L_{1,b}\) is base-point-free and the morphism

\[
\phi = \phi_{L_{1,b}} : X_{e,f} \to |L_{1,b}|^\vee \cong \mathbb{P}^N, \quad N = e + f + 3b + 2,
\]
is birational onto its image. It is an embedding if \(b > 0\). The \(\pi\)-fibers are mapped by \(\phi\) isomorphically to planes in \(|L_{1,b}|^\vee\), which sweep out \(\phi(X_{e,f})\). The projective variety \(\phi(X_{e,f})\) is usually called a three-dimensional rational normal scroll. Its scroll type \(([\text{Sch86}])\) is \((b + f, b + e, b)\).

We will be concerned with the automorphism group of \(X_{e,f}\). By the relation (2.1), any automorphism acts on \(\text{Pic}(X_{e,f})\) trivially and in particular preserves \(\pi\). Hence we have the basic exact sequence

\[
1 \to \text{Aut}(\mathcal{E}_{e,f})/\mathbb{C}^x \to \text{Aut}(X_{e,f}) \to \text{PGL}_2 \to 1,
\]
where \(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{E}_{e,f})\) is the group of bundle automorphisms which are the identity over the base. In this article we refrain from working with \(\text{Aut}(X_{e,f})\) for general \((e, f)\) and restrict ourselves to \(X_{0,0}, X_{0,1}\) and \(X_{1,1}\), giving an ad hoc treatment. Note that \(\text{Aut}(X_{0,0})\) is just \(\text{PGL}_2 \times \text{PGL}_3\). The other two cases will be studied in \(\S 2.2\) and \(\S 2.3\). Here we just mention the following general duality.

**Lemma 2.1.** We have an isomorphism \(\text{Aut}(X_{e,f}) \cong \text{Aut}(X_{f-e,f})\) of algebraic groups.

**Proof.** It is convenient to consider the double cover \(\tilde{G} = \text{SL}_2 \ltimes (\text{Aut}(\mathcal{E}_{e,f})/\mathbb{C}^x)\) of \(\text{Aut}(X_{e,f})\), where \(\text{SL}_2\) acts on \(X_{e,f}\) and \(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{E}_{e,f})\) through the \(\text{SL}_2\)-linearization of \(\mathcal{E}_{e,f}\). The kernel of the natural covering map \(\tilde{G} \to \text{Aut}(X_{e,f})\) is generated by \((-1, (-1)^*)\). On the other hand, by the canonical isomorphism \(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{E}_{e,f}) \cong \text{Aut}(\mathcal{E}_{e,f}^\vee)\) and by the \(\text{SL}_2\)-linearization of \(\mathcal{E}_{e,f}^\vee\), we have a surjective homomorphism \(\tilde{G} \to \text{Aut}(\mathcal{P}\mathcal{E}_{e,f}^\vee)\). Its kernel is also generated by \((-1, (-1)^*)\). Hence we have \(\text{Aut}(X_{e,f}) \cong \text{Aut}(\mathcal{P}\mathcal{E}_{e,f}^\vee)\). Finally, \(\mathcal{P}\mathcal{E}_{e,f}^\vee\) is canonically isomorphic to \(X_{f-e,f}\).

**2.2 The bundle \(X_{0,1}\) as a blow-up \(\mathbb{P}^3\)**

The \(\mathbb{P}^2\)-bundle \(X_{0,1} = \mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}_{0,1}\), where \(\mathcal{E}_{0,1} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1)\), contains the special surface \(\Sigma = \mathcal{P}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}^\oplus\) which is invariant under \(\text{Aut}(X_{0,1})\). Since a section of \(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1) \subset \mathcal{E}_{0,1}\) defines the divisor \(\Sigma + F \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)|\), where \(F\) is a \(\pi\)-fiber, \(\Sigma\) is (the unique) member of \(|L_{1,-1}|\). We shall distinguish the two rulings on \(\Sigma \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1\) by letting \(\pi|_{\Sigma}: \Sigma \to \mathbb{P}^1\) be the first projection, and the other ruling be the second. In particular, \(L_{0,1}|_{\Sigma} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma}(1,0)\). By the adjunction formula we see that \(L_{1,0}|_{\Sigma} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma}(0,1)\).

**Lemma 2.2.** The morphism

\[
\phi = \phi_{\mathcal{E}_{0,1}} : X_{0,1} \to |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)|^\vee \cong \mathbb{P}^3
\]
is the blow-up along a line \(l \subset \mathbb{P}^3\) with exceptional divisor \(\Sigma\), and \(\pi: X_{0,1} \to \mathbb{P}^1\) is obtained as the resolution of the projection \(\mathbb{P}^3 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1\) from \(l\).

**Proof.** We see that \(\phi\) is birational because \((\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1))^3 = 1\). Since \(|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)|_{\Sigma} = |\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma}(0,1)|\), the morphism \(\phi\) maps \(\Sigma\) to a line \(l\), contracting the second ruling and mapping the fibers of the first ruling isomorphically to \(l\). On the other hand, each \(\pi\)-fiber is mapped isomorphically to a plane containing \(l\). This implies our claim.

Since \(L_{a,b} \cong \phi^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(a + b) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_{0,1}}(-b\Sigma)\), we can identify \(|L_{a,b}|\) with the linear system of surfaces of degree \(a + b\) in \(\mathbb{P}^3\) which have multiplicity \(b\) along \(l\). To describe it explicitly, take
homogeneous coordinates \([X_0, \cdots, X_3]\) of \(\mathbb{P}^3\) and let \(l\) be defined by \(X_0 = X_1 = 0\). Then the subspace \(H^0(L_{a,b}) \subset H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(a + b))\) is given by
\[
\bigoplus_{i=b}^{a+b} V_i(X_0, X_1) \otimes V_{a+b-i}(X_2, X_3),
\]
(2.3)

where \(V_d(X_s, X_t)\) denotes the space of homogeneous polynomials of degree \(d\) in variables \(X_s, X_t\).

We can regard \(\text{Aut}(X_{0,1})\) as the subgroup of \(\text{PGL}_4\) stabilizing \(l\). It is convenient to consider inside \(\text{GL}_4\) the following double cover of \(\text{Aut}(X_{0,1})\):
\[
\hat{G} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} g_1 & 0 \\ h & g_2 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_4 \middle| g_1 \in \text{SL}_2, \ g_2 \in \text{GL}_2, \ h \in M_{2,2} \right\}.
\]

This group is naturally isomorphic to the semidirect product
\[
\hat{G} \simeq (\text{SL}_2 \times \text{GL}_2) \rtimes \text{Hom}(V_1^{(1)}, V_1^{(2)}),
\]
where \(V_1^{(1)} = \mathbb{C}(X_0, X_1)\) and \(V_1^{(2)} = \mathbb{C}(X_2, X_3)\) are two copies of \(V_1\), \(\text{GL}_2\) acts on \(V_1^{(2)}\) in the standard way, and \(\text{SL}_2\) acts on \(V_1^{(1)}\) by the dual representation of its standard action on \(V_1^{(1)}\).

The kernel of the projection \(\hat{G} \to \text{Aut}(X_{0,1})\) is generated by \((-1, -1) \in \text{SL}_2 \times \text{GL}_2\).

Now \(H^0(L_{a,b})\) is a \(\hat{G}\)-representation, and (2.3) gives its irreducible decomposition under the subgroup \(\text{SL}_2 \times \text{GL}_2 \subset \hat{G}\): the \(i\)th summand in (2.3) is the \(\text{SL}_2 \times \text{GL}_2\)-representation \(V_{i,a+b-i}\).

To express the action of the unipotent radical \(\text{Hom}(V_1, V_1)\), for \(h \in \text{Hom}(V_1, V_1)\) we set
\[
\exp(h) = (1, h, h^{\otimes 2}/2, \cdots) \in \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} \text{Hom}(V_1, V_1)^{\otimes d}.
\]

Then \(h\) acts on \(H^0(L_{a,b})\) by the linear maps
\[
\langle \cdot, \exp(h) \rangle : V_{i,a+b-i} \to \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} V_{i+d,a+b-i-d}
\]
induced from the multiplication \(V_i \times V_j \to V_{i+j}\) and the contraction \(V_j \times V_1^\vee \to V_{j-1}\). In particular, the subspace \(F_i = \bigoplus_{j \geq i} V_{j,a+b-j}\) is \(\hat{G}\)-invariant. It is the space of polynomials of degree \(a + b\) vanishing to order \(\geq i\) along \(l\), that is, \(F_i \simeq H^0(L_{a+b-i,i})\). Geometrically the quotient map \(H^0(L_{a,b}) \to H^0(L_{a,b})/F_i\) gives the Taylor expansions up to \((i-b-1)\)th order of the sections of \(L_{a,b}\) along \(\Sigma\). Here note that \(L_{a,b}|_{\Sigma} \otimes (\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma/X_{0,1}})^{-k} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma}(b+k, a-k)\).

We remark that \(L_{a,b}\) admits a \(\hat{G}\)-linearization through that of \(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(a+b)\) and the ideal sheaf \(\mathcal{I}_l^b\) (for \(b \geq 0\)). Since the element \((-1, -1) \in \text{SL}_2 \times \text{GL}_2\) acts on \(L_{a,b}\) by multiplication by \((-1)^{a+b}\), we have the following result.

**Lemma 2.3.** The bundle \(L_{a,b}\) is \(\text{Aut}(X_{0,1})\)-linearized when \(a + b\) is even.

### 2.3 The bundle \(X_{1,1}\) as a small resolution of a quadric cone

The \(\mathbb{P}^2\)-bundle \(X_{1,1} = \mathbb{P}E_{1,1}\), where \(E_{1,1} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)^{\otimes 2}\), admits the special section \(\sigma = \mathbb{P}O_{\mathbb{P}^1}\) which is invariant under \(\text{Aut}(X_{1,1})\). Since \((\mathcal{O}_{\sigma}(1), \sigma) = 0\), the morphism
\[
\phi = \phi_{\mathcal{O}_{\sigma}(1)} : X_{1,1} \to |\mathcal{O}_{\sigma}(1)|^\vee \simeq \mathbb{P}^1
\]
contracts \(\sigma\) to a point, say \(p_0\).

**Lemma 2.4.** The image \(Q = \phi(X_{1,1})\) is the quadric cone over a smooth quadric \(Q_0 \subset \mathbb{P}^3\) with vertex \(p_0\), and \(\phi : X_{1,1} \to Q\) is a small resolution of \(p_0\) with exceptional curve \(\sigma\).
Proof. Since \((\mathcal{O}_x(1))^3 = 2\) and \(Q\) is nondegenerate, \(Q\) must be a quadric hypersurface and \(\phi : X_{1,1} \to Q\) is birational. The \(\pi\)-fibers are mapped isomorphically to planes, which intersect each other at \(p_0\). Swept out by those planes, \(Q\) must be a quadric cone with vertex \(p_0\). □

Let \(f : Q \to Q_0\) be the projection from \(p_0\). Via the pullback by \(f\), the two rulings on \(Q_0 \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1\) correspond to the two families of planes on \(Q\) which pass through \(p_0\). We shall distinguish them from each other, so that \(\pi : X_{1,1} \to \mathbb{P}^1\) is the resolution of \(Q \to Q_0 \xrightarrow{\pi_1} \mathbb{P}^1\), where \(\pi_1\) is the “first” projection. In other words, the “first” family is the \(\phi\)-image of \([L_{0,1}]\). On the other hand, the “second” family gives rise to \([L_{1,-1}]\), whose member is the blow-up of such a plane at \(p_0\) and contains \(\sigma\) as the \((-1)\)-curve. The composition

\[
X_{1,1} \xrightarrow{\phi} Q \xrightarrow{f} Q_0 \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1
\]

is given by the relative projection from \(\sigma\) of the \(\mathbb{P}^2\)-bundle \(X_{1,1}/\mathbb{P}^1\).

In order to describe \(\text{Aut}(X_{1,1})\), consider the blow-up \(\hat{Q} \to X_{1,1}\) along \(\sigma\). This is the blow-up of \(Q\) at \(p_0\) and so is the \(\mathbb{P}^1\)-bundle \(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_Q(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_Q)\) over \(Q_0\) with exceptional divisor \(\mathbb{P}\mathcal{O}_Q(1)\). As in (2.2), we have the exact sequence

\[
1 \to \text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_Q(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_Q)/\mathbb{C}^\times \to \text{Aut}(\hat{Q}) \to \text{Aut}(Q_0) \to 1.
\]

We may identify the quotient group \(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_Q(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_Q)/\mathbb{C}^\times\) with the subgroup \(R\) of \(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_Q(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_Q)\) consisting of isomorphisms of the form

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\alpha & \beta \\
0 & 1
\end{pmatrix},
\]

where \(\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^\times\) and \(s \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_Q, \mathcal{O}_Q(1))\). In particular, \(R \simeq \mathbb{C}^\times \rtimes H^0(\mathcal{O}_Q(1))\). Now \(\text{Aut}(X_{1,1})\) is the identity component of \(\text{Aut}(Q) = \text{Aut}(\hat{Q})\). We have its natural \(\mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2\)-covering

\[
\hat{G}' = (\text{SL}_2 \times \text{SL}_2) \ltimes R \simeq (\text{SL}_2 \times \text{SL}_2 \times \mathbb{C}^\times) \ltimes \mathbb{C}^2.
\]

Dividing \(\hat{G}'\) by \((1,-1,-1) \in (\text{SL}_2)^2 \times \mathbb{C}^\times\), we obtain a double cover \(\tilde{G}\) of \(\text{Aut}(X_{1,1})\) isomorphic to \((\text{SL}_2 \times \text{GL}_2) \ltimes \mathbb{C}^2\). The kernel of the projection \(\tilde{G} \to \text{Aut}(X_{1,1})\) is generated by \((-1,-1) \in \text{SL}_2 \times \text{GL}_2\).

Every line bundle on \(X_{1,1}\) is obtained as the extension of one on \(X_{1,1} \setminus \sigma = Q \setminus p_0\), which in turn is the pullback by \(f\) of one on \(Q_0\). Explicitly, we have

\[
L_{a,b} \simeq f^*\mathcal{O}_Q(b,0) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Q(a) \simeq f^*\mathcal{O}_Q(a + b, a)
\]

(2.5)

over \(Q \setminus p_0\).

As in § 2.3, \(H^0(L_{a,b})\) is a \(\tilde{G}'\)-representation and has the invariant filtration

\[
0 \subset F_a \subset F_{a-1} \subset \cdots \subset F_1 \subset H^0(L_{a,b})
\]

where \(F_i\) is the space of sections vanishing to order \(\geq i\) along \(\sigma\). To be more explicit, we take bi-homogeneous coordinates \([X_0, X_1, Y_0, Y_1]\) of \(Q_0\) and homogeneous coordinates \([Z, Z_{00}, Z_{01}, Z_{10}, Z_{11}]\) of \(\mathbb{P}^4\), where \(Z_{ij} = X_iY_j\) and \(p_0 = [1,0,\cdots,0]\). By (2.5) we may identify \(H^0(L_{a,b})\) with

\[
\bigoplus_{i=0}^a V_{b+i}(X_0, X_1) \otimes V_i(Y_0, Y_1)Z^{-i}.
\]

(2.6)

This expression is the irreducible decomposition under the subgroup \((\text{SL}_2)^2 \subset \tilde{G}'\); the \(i\)-th summand is isomorphic to \(V_{b+i,i}\). We then have \(F_i = \oplus_{j \geq i} V_{b+j,i}.\) The torus \(\mathbb{C}^\times\) acts on \(V_{b+i,i}\).
by weight $i - a$. (Tensoring (2.6) with the weight $a$ scalar representation of $\mathbb{C}^\times$, we obtain a $\mathcal{G}$-representation on $H^0(L_{a,b})$.) The unipotent radical $V_{1,1} \supset h$ acts by the multiplication maps

$$\cdot \exp(h) : V_{b+i,i} \to \bigoplus_{d=0}^{a-i} V_{b+i+d,i+d},$$

where $\exp(h) = (1, h, h^{\otimes 2}/2, \cdots) \in \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} V_{d,d}$. In particular, the quotient representation $H^0(L_{a,b})/F_i$ is isomorphic to $H^0(L_{i-1,b})$. Geometrically the quotient map $H^0(L_{a,b}) \to H^0(L_{a,b})/F_i$ gives the Taylor expansions up to $(i - 1)$th order of the sections of $L_{a,b}$ along the exceptional divisor $E$ of $\tilde{Q}$. Here notice that $L_{a,b}|_E \otimes (N_{E/\tilde{Q}})^{-k} \simeq O_E(b + k, k)$.

**Lemma 2.5.** The line bundle $L_{a,b}$ is $\text{Aut}(X_{1,1})$-linearized when $b$ is even.

**Proof.** We have natural $\text{Aut}(X_{1,1})$-linearizations on $L_{0,-2} = \pi^*K_P$, $L_{-2,0} = f^*K_Q$ and $L_{-3,0} = K_{X_{1,1}}$. When $b$ is even, $L_{a,b}$ can be written as a tensor product of these bundles. \qed

**Remark 2.6.** More generally, on $X_{e,f}$ with $e \neq 0$ (resp. $0 = e < f$), the bundle $L_{a,b}$ is $\text{Aut}(X_{e,f})$-linearized if $b$ (resp. $af + b$) is even.

## 3. Tetragonal loci

In this section we follow Schreyer’s description [Sch86] of tetragonal curves to derive a birational model of the tetragonal locus $\mathcal{T}_g$ as a quotient space. Notice that we are assuming that $g \geq 7$. First recall some basic facts:

- a tetragonal curve $C$ is not trigonal;
- if $C$ is general in $\mathcal{T}_g$, its tetragonal pencil $C \to \mathbb{P}^1$ is unique;
- if $g \geq 10$, $C$ has a unique tetragonal pencil precisely when $C$ is not bielliptic;
- $\mathcal{T}_g$ is irreducible of dimension $2g + 3$.

The first three properties can be seen by looking at the product $C \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ of two pencils. See [AC81] and the references therein for the last property.

Now let $\pi : C \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be a tetragonal map. We regard $C$ as canonically embedded in $\mathbb{P}^{g-1}$. For each $p \in \mathbb{P}^1$, the (possibly infinitely near) four points $\pi^{-1}(p)$ span a plane in $\mathbb{P}^{g-1}$ by Riemann-Roch. The threefold swept out by those planes is a rational normal scroll; we may write it as $\phi_{L_{1,n}}(X_{e,f})$ for some $0 \leq e \leq f$ and $n \geq 0$. If we view $C$ as a curve on $X_{e,f}$, it turns out to be a complete intersection of two surfaces in $|L_{2,b}|$, $|L_{2,c}|$ for some $b \leq c$ ([Sch86]). Comparing the adjunction formula for $C$ with the relation $L_{1,n}|_C \simeq K_C$, we see that

$$n = b + c + e + f - 2. $$

Calculating $\deg K_C = (L_{1,n}, L_{2,b}, L_{2,c})$, we obtain

$$g = 4(e + f) + 3(b + c - 1), \quad (3.1)$$

which imposes a relation between $(e, f)$ and $(b, c)$.

Let $\mathcal{T}_g$ be the moduli space of tetragonal curves of genus $g \geq 7$ given with a tetragonal pencil $C \to \mathbb{P}^1$. The natural projection $\mathcal{T}_g \to \mathcal{T}_g$ is birational. For $0 \leq e \leq f$ and $b \leq c$, let $\mathcal{T}_g(e, f; b, c) \subset \mathcal{T}_g$ be the locus of those $C \to \mathbb{P}^1$ which lie on $X_{e,f}$ as a complete intersection of surfaces in $|L_{2,b}|$ and $|L_{2,c}|$. Then we have the stratification

$$\mathcal{T}_g = \bigsqcup_{(e,f;b,c)} \mathcal{T}_g(e, f; b, c), \quad (3.2)$$
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where \((e, f)\) and \((b, c)\) satisfy (3.1). This presentation still includes many empty strata (see [Sch86]), but we do not mind this redundancy here.

Since the embedding \(C \subset X_{e,f}\) is canonical, we find that each stratum is the quotient by \(\Aut(X_{e,f})\) of the parameter space of those complete intersection curves. More precisely, when \(b = c\), we have

\[
\hat{T}_g(e, f; b, b) \sim \mathbb{G}(1, [L_{2,b}])/\Aut(X_{e,f})
\]

where \(\mathbb{G}(1, [L_{2,b}])\) is the Grassmannian of pencils in \([L_{2,b}]\). On the other hand, when \(b < c\), the surface \(S \in [L_{2,b}]\) containing \(C\) is unique, while those in \([L_{2,c}]\) are unique up to \(S + [L_{0,c-b}]\).

To express this situation, let \(\mathcal{L} \to [L_{2,b}]\) be the tautological bundle and let \(\mathcal{E} \to [L_{2,b}]\) be the quotient bundle

\[
\mathcal{E} = H^0(L_{2,c})/\mathcal{L} \otimes H^0(L_{0,c-b}),
\]

where \(H^0(L_{2,c})\) is the product bundle \(H^0(L_{2,c}) \times [L_{2,b}]\), and the bundle homomorphism \(\mathcal{L} \otimes H^0(L_{0,c-b}) \to H^0(L_{2,c})\) is induced by the multiplication map \(H^0(L_{2,b}) \times H^0(L_{0,c-b}) \to H^0(L_{2,c})\). Then we have

\[
\hat{T}_g(e, f; b, c) \sim \mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}/\Aut(X_{e,f}).
\]

In order to study the birational type of \(\mathcal{T}_g\), we want to identify the largest stratum in (3.2). This was done by Del Centina and Gimigliano in [dCG93]. The result depends on the congruence of \(g\) modulo 6 and is summarized as follows (see also [CdC04, §3]).

**Proposition 3.1.** Let \(\mathcal{E}\) be the bundle defined in (3.3) with \(c = b + 1\).

1. When \(g \equiv 0\) (6), write \(g = 6b\). Then \((L_{2,b}, L_{2,b+1})\) complete intersections in \(X_{0,0} = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2\) give the largest stratum. Hence \(\mathcal{T}_{6b} \sim \mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}/\PGL_2 \times \PGL_3\).
2. When \(g \equiv 1\) (6), write \(g = 6b + 1\). Then \((L_{2,b}, L_{2,b})\) complete intersections in \(X_{0,1}\) give the largest stratum. Thus \(\mathcal{T}_{6b+1} \sim \mathbb{G}(1, [L_{2,b}])/\Aut(X_{0,1})\).
3. When \(g \equiv 2\) (6), write \(g = 6b + 8\). Then \((L_{2,b}, L_{2,b+1})\) complete intersections in \(X_{1,1}\) give the largest stratum. Hence \(\mathcal{T}_{6b+8} \sim \mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}/\Aut(X_{1,1})\).
4. When \(g \equiv 3\) (6), write \(g = 6b - 3\). Then \((L_{2,b}, L_{2,b})\) complete intersections in \(X_{0,0}\) give the largest stratum. Thus \(\mathcal{T}_{6b-3} \sim \mathbb{G}(1, [L_{2,b}])/\PGL_2 \times \PGL_3\).
5. When \(g \equiv 4\) (6), write \(g = 6b + 4\). Then \((L_{2,b}, L_{2,b+1})\) complete intersections in \(X_{0,1}\) give the largest stratum. Hence \(\mathcal{T}_{6b+4} \sim \mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}/\Aut(X_{0,1})\).
6. When \(g \equiv 5\) (6), write \(g = 6b + 5\). Then \((L_{2,b}, L_{2,b})\) complete intersections in \(X_{1,1}\) give the largest stratum. Thus \(\mathcal{T}_{6b+5} \sim \mathbb{G}(1, [L_{2,b}])/\Aut(X_{1,1})\).

**Proof.** Let us give a self-contained argument. It is sufficient to check that the above quotients have dimension \(2g + 3\), and this follows from the formulae

\[
\dim \Aut(X_{0,0}) = \dim \Aut(X_{0,1}) = \dim \Aut(X_{1,1}) = 11,
\]

\[
h^0(L_{2,b}) = 4(e + f) + 6(b + 1),
\]

from §2.

In §5–§7, we will use these descriptions of \(\mathcal{T}_g\) to prove Theorem 1.1. In order to have \(\Aut(X_{e,f})\)-linearizations on some vector bundles, we were forced to assume \(b\) to be even in cases (1), (3), (5), and odd in cases (0), (2), (4). This caused the further mod 12 classification in Theorem 1.1.
4. Supplementary techniques for rationality

In this section we collect some techniques for proving the rationality of quotient varieties that supplement the basic ones as in [Böh09] and that will be used repeatedly in the rest of this article. We encourage the reader to skip this section for the moment and return to it when necessary. Most of this section is more or less standard, but for the convenience of the reader we have sketched some proofs.

4.1 Quotients of Grassmannians

Let $G$ be an algebraic group and let $V$ be a $G$-representation. We denote by $G_0 \subset G$ the subgroup of elements which act on $V$ by scalar multiplication, and set $\bar{G} = G/G_0$. Let $G(a, V) = \mathbb{C}(a - 1, PV)$ be the Grassmannian of $a$-dimensional linear subspaces in $V$. In view of Proposition 3.1, we will be interested in the problem whether the quotient $G(a, V)/G$ is rational, or at least stably rational of small level. First notice that we have a natural birational identification

$$G(a, V)/G \sim \text{Hom}(a, GL_a \times G = ((\mathbb{C}^a)^\vee \otimes V)/GL_a \times G,$$

so that the problem could be reduced to the case of linear quotients.

To prove the stable rationality of $G(a, V)/G$, it is useful to consider the universal subbundle $\mathcal{E} \to G(a, V)$ of rank $a$, which is $G$-linearized. Its projectivization is viewed as the correspondence

$$\mathbb{P}\mathcal{E} = \{(P, [v]) \in G(a, V) \times PV | \mathbb{C}v \subset P\}$$

between $G(a, V)$ and $\mathbb{P}V$. The second projection $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{E} \to \mathbb{P}V$ is identified with the relative Grassmannian $G(a - 1, F)$ over $PV$, where $F \to PV$ is the universal quotient bundle of rank $\dim V - 1$.

**Lemma 4.1.** Suppose that $\bar{G}$ acts on $G(a, V)$ almost freely and that $G_0$ acts on $\mathcal{E} \otimes (\text{det}\mathcal{E})^d$ trivially for some $d \in \mathbb{Z}$.

1. If furthermore $\bar{G}$ acts on $\mathbb{P}V$ almost freely, then

$$\mathbb{P}^{a-1} \times (G(a, V)/G) \sim G(a - 1, \dim V - 1) \times (\mathbb{P}V/G).$$

2. If $G$ acts on $\mathbb{P}V$ almost transitively with $H \subset G$ the stabilizer of a general point $[v] \in \mathbb{P}V$, then

$$\mathbb{P}^{a-1} \times (G(a, V)/G) \sim G(a - 1, V/\mathbb{C}v)/H.$$  

**Proof.** Note that we have a canonical identification $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{E} = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \otimes (\text{det}\mathcal{E})^d)$. Using the no-name lemma for the bundle $\mathcal{E} \otimes (\text{det}\mathcal{E})^d$ which is $\bar{G}$-linearized, we obtain $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}/G \sim \mathbb{P}^{a-1} \times (G(a, V)/G)$. On the other hand, the bundle $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{PV}(1)$ over $PV$ is always $\bar{G}$-linearized, and we have $G(a - 1, \mathcal{F}) = G(a - 1, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{PV}(1))$. Now statement (1) is a consequence of the no-name lemma applied to $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{PV}(1)$, while statement (2) follows from the slice method for the projection $G(a - 1, \mathcal{F}) \to PV$.

Next consider the situation where we have a surjective $G$-homomorphism $f : V \to W$ to another $G$-representation $W$. Notice that we are not assuming $V$ to be completely reducible. We have a natural dominant map

$$G(a, V) \to G(a, W),$$

whose fiber over $P \in G(a, W)$ is an open subset of $G(a, f^{-1}(P))$. Let $\mathcal{G} \to G(a, W)$ be the universal subbundle for $G(a, W)$, and let $\mathcal{H} \to G(a, W)$ be the vector bundle obtained as the inverse image of $\mathcal{G}$ by the bundle homomorphism $f : V \to W$ over $G(a, W)$. Then (4.2) induces
a $G$-equivariant birational map
\[ G(a, V) \to G(a, H) \]
to the relative Grassmannian $G(a, H)$. As in the proof of Lemma 4.1, we obtain the following result using the no-name lemma.

**Lemma 4.2.** Suppose that $\tilde{G}$ acts on $G(a, W)$ almost freely and that $G_0$ acts on $H \otimes (\det G)^d$ trivially for some $d \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, setting $n_0 = \dim V - \dim W$, we have
\[ G(a, V)/G \sim (G(a, n_0 + a) \times (G(a, W)/G). \]

This can be seen as a Grassmannian version of the no-name method.

In the above lemmas, we are required to check the almost-freeness of an action on a Grassmannian. In many cases it follows from the following observation. (This can also essentially be found in the proof of Proposition 1.3.2.10 in [Böhl09].)

**Lemma 4.3.** Let an algebraic group $G$ act on a projective space $\mathbb{P}^n$ almost freely. If $a < n - \dim G$, then $G$ acts on $G(a, \mathbb{P}^n)$ almost freely.

**Proof.** Let $p \in \mathbb{P}^n$ be a general point. It suffices to show that a general $a$-plane $P \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ through $p$ is not stabilized by any element of $G$. Consider the projection $\pi : \mathbb{P}^n \to \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ from $p$. Since $\pi(G \cdot p \setminus p) \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ has dimension at most $\dim G$, a general $(a - 1)$-plane $P' \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ is disjoint from $\pi(G \cdot p \setminus p)$ by our assumption that $a < n - \dim G$. This means that $P \cap (G \cdot p) = \{p\}$. Now if $g \in G$ stabilizes $P$, then we have $g(p) = g(P \cap (G \cdot p)) = P \cap (G \cdot p) = p$, so that $g = \text{id}$. □

### 4.2 Representations of product groups

We can use quotients of Grassmannians for the rationality problem for representations of product groups (see [Ma13b] for more detail). Let $G, H$ be algebraic groups and let $V, W$ be representations of $G, H$, respectively. Then $V \boxtimes W$ is a representation of $G \times H$. We assume that $\dim V < \dim W$. Identifying $V \boxtimes W$ with $\text{Hom}(V^\vee, W)$, we consider the map
\[ \text{Hom}(V^\vee, W) \to G(\dim V, W) \tag{4.3} \]
that sends a homomorphism to its image. Let $\mathcal{E} \to G(\dim V, W)$ be the universal subbundle. Then (4.3) induces a birational map
\[ V \boxtimes W \to V \otimes \mathcal{E} \tag{4.4} \]
to the vector bundle $V \otimes \mathcal{E} = \text{Hom}(V^\vee, \mathcal{E})$ over $G(\dim V, W)$. Here $H$ acts on $\mathcal{E}$ equivariantly and $G$ acts on $V$ fiberwisely. As in § 4.1, let $H_0 = \text{Ker}(H \to \text{PGL}(W))$ and let $\tilde{H} = H/H_0$. By the no-name method we then obtain the following result.

**Lemma 4.4 [Ma13b].** Suppose that $\tilde{H}$ acts on $G(\dim V, W)$ almost freely and that $H_0$ acts on $\mathcal{E} \otimes (\det \mathcal{E})^d$ trivially for some $d \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then
\[ \mathbb{P}(V \boxtimes W)/G \times H \sim (\mathbb{P}(V^{\otimes \dim V})/G) \times (G(\dim V, W)/H). \]

### 5. The case of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$

We begin the proof of Theorem 1.1 with the cases $g \equiv 6, 9 \pmod{12}$, where the basic $\mathbb{P}^2$-bundle is $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$. We shall use the standard notation $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2}(b, a)$ for line bundles on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$, rather than the notation $L_{a,b}$ used in § 2. The automorphism group of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ is $\text{PGL}_2 \times \text{PGL}_3$. It is useful
to also consider $\text{SL}_2 \times \text{SL}_3$, because any line bundle $O_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2}(b, a)$ is $\text{SL}_2 \times \text{SL}_3$-linearized. The natural projection $\text{SL}_2 \times \text{SL}_3 \to \text{PGL}_2 \times \text{PGL}_3$ has kernel $\mathbb{Z}/6$ generated by

$$\zeta = (-1, e^{2\pi i/3}) \in \text{SL}_2 \times \text{SL}_3.$$  

This element acts on $O_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2}(b, a)$ by multiplication by $(e^{\pi i/3})^{3b-2a}$.

In the remainder of this section we use the abbreviation $W_a$ for the $\text{SL}_3$-representation $H^0(O_{\mathbb{P}^2}(a))$. Thus we have $H^0(O_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2}(b, a)) \simeq V_b \boxtimes W_a$ as an $\text{SL}_2 \times \text{SL}_3$-representation.

5.1 The case $g \equiv 6 (12)$

Let $b \geq 3$ be an odd number. Let $\mathcal{L} \to \mathbb{P}(V_b \boxtimes W_2)$ be the tautological bundle, and let $\mathcal{E} \to \mathbb{P}(V_b \boxtimes W_2)$ be the bundle $V_{b+1} \boxtimes W_2/\mathcal{L} \otimes V_1$ as defined in Proposition 3.1. The bundles $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{E}$ are $\text{SL}_2 \times \text{SL}_3$-linearized, where $\zeta$ acts by $(e^{\pi i/3})^{3b-4}$ and $(e^{\pi i/3})^{3b-1}$, respectively. Recall that by Proposition 3.1, $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}/\text{SL}_2 \times \text{SL}_3$ is birational to the tetragonal locus of genus $6b \equiv 6 (12)$.

**Lemma 5.1.** The group $\text{PGL}_2 \times \text{PGL}_3$ acts on $\mathbb{P}(V_b \boxtimes W_2)$ almost freely.

**Proof.** It is known that a general member $S$ of $|O_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2}(b, 2)|$ is the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^2$ at $3b+1$ points in general position (for example, put $s = 3b$ and $n = s-1$ in [dCG93, §2]). Since $3b+1 \geq 10$, $S$ has no nontrivial automorphism (see [Koi88]). If $g \in \text{PGL}_2 \times \text{PGL}_3$ acts trivially on $S$, then it also does so on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$. $\square$

**Proposition 5.2.** The quotient $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}/\text{SL}_2 \times \text{SL}_3$ is rational.

**Proof.** Since $\zeta$ acts on $\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L}^2$ by multiplication by $(e^{\pi i/3})^{9b-9} = (-1)^b-1 = 1$, the bundle $\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L}^2$ is $\text{PGL}_2 \times \text{PGL}_3$-linearized. We identify $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}$ with $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L}^2$ and apply the no-name lemma to the latter, which is possible by the above lemma. Then we have

$$\mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}/\text{PGL}_2 \times \text{PGL}_3 \sim \mathbb{P}^{6b+9} \times (\mathbb{P}(V_b \boxtimes W_2)/\text{PGL}_2 \times \text{PGL}_3).$$

In order to show that $\mathbb{P}(V_b \boxtimes W_2)/\text{SL}_2 \times \text{SL}_3$ is stably rational of level $6b+9$, we consider the product $U = \mathbb{P}(V_b \boxtimes W_2) \times \mathbb{P}(V_5 \boxtimes W_1)$. Let $\mathcal{L}' \to \mathbb{P}(V_5 \boxtimes W_1)$ be the tautological bundle. The first projection $U \to \mathbb{P}(V_b \boxtimes W_2)$ may be identified with the projective bundle $\mathbb{P}(V_5 \boxtimes W_1 \otimes \mathcal{L})$, while the second $U \to \mathbb{P}(V_b \boxtimes W_1)$ may be identified with $\mathbb{P}(V_b \boxtimes W_2 \otimes \mathcal{L}')$. Since $\zeta$ acts trivially on both $V_5 \boxtimes W_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}$ and $V_b \boxtimes W_2 \otimes \mathcal{L}'$, these bundles are $\text{PGL}_2 \times \text{PGL}_3$-linearized. Applying the no-name lemma to the two projections, we obtain

$$U/\text{PGL}_2 \times \text{PGL}_3 \sim \mathbb{P}^{17} \times (\mathbb{P}(V_b \boxtimes W_2)/\text{PGL}_2 \times \text{PGL}_3) \sim \mathbb{P}^{6b+5} \times (\mathbb{P}(V_5 \boxtimes W_1)/\text{PGL}_2 \times \text{PGL}_3).$$

Here $\text{PGL}_2 \times \text{PGL}_3$ acts on $\mathbb{P}(V_5 \boxtimes W_1)$ almost freely because we have (4.1) and $\text{PGL}_2$ acts on $G(3, V_5)$ almost freely ([Ma13b, Lemma 2.7]). Thus the problem is reduced to showing the stable rationality of level $6b+5$ of

$$\mathbb{P}(V_5 \boxtimes W_1)/\text{SL}_2 \times \text{SL}_3 \sim G(3, V_5)/\text{SL}_2.$$  

This in turn follows from Lemma 4.1 (1) and the rationality of $\mathbb{P}V_5/\text{SL}_2$ (which has dimension 2). $\square$

5.2 The $\text{PGL}_2 \times \text{PGL}_3$-action on $\mathbb{P}(V_1 \boxtimes W_2)$

Before going to the case $g \equiv 9 (12)$, we study here the action of $\text{PGL}_2 \times \text{PGL}_3$ on $\mathbb{P}(V_1 \boxtimes W_2)$. Let $\mathcal{E} \to \mathbb{G}(1, \mathbb{P}W_2)$ be the universal subbundle and consider the birational equivalence $\mathbb{P}(V_1 \boxtimes W_2) \sim$
Proposition 5.5. birational to the tetragonal locus of genus 6

Let \( (S_{\mathbb{L}})^b \) want to use the method of Proposition 5.4. Proposition the no-name method.

\( \text{over } G \) \( \mathbb{V} \)

Lemma 5.3. we summarize the argument as follows.

\( \text{four base points in general position, we see that } PGL_3 \text{ acts on } G(1, \mathbb{P}W_2) \text{ almost transitively and the stabilizer of a general pencil is the permutation group of its four base points. Thus the } PGL_2 \times PGL_3 \)-action on \( P(V_1 \boxtimes W_2) \) is almost transitive, with \( \mathfrak{E}_4 \) the stabilizer of a general point.

Recall first that the irreducible representations of \( \mathfrak{E}_4 \) are the following five ([Ser77]):

- the trivial representation \( \chi_0 \)
- the sign representation \( \epsilon \)
- the three-dimensional standard representation \( \psi \)
- the tensor product \( \epsilon \psi = \epsilon \otimes \psi \)
- the two-dimensional standard representation \( \theta \) of \( \mathfrak{S}_3 \), where we regard \( \mathfrak{S}_3 \) as the quotient of \( \mathfrak{E}_4 \) by the Klein four-group.

Now we may normalize the four base points on \( \mathbb{P}^2 \) so that \( \mathbb{P}^2 = \mathbb{P}(\psi) \) as an \( \mathfrak{E}_4 \)-space. Then \( \mathbb{P}(W_2) = \mathbb{P}(\text{Sym}^2 \psi^\vee) \), and we have the decomposition

\[ \text{Sym}^2 \psi^\vee \simeq \text{Sym}^2 \psi \simeq \chi_0 \oplus \theta \oplus \psi. \]

The conic pencil associated with the four points is \( \mathbb{P}(\theta) \subset \mathbb{P}W_2 \). Since the fiber of \( E \rightarrow G(1, \mathbb{P}W_2) \) over the point \( \mathbb{P}(\theta) \subset G(1, \mathbb{P}W_2) \) is \( \theta \) itself, we see that \( \mathbb{P}(V_1) \simeq \mathbb{P}(\theta^\vee) \) as an \( \mathfrak{E}_4 \)-space. Hence \( \mathbb{P}(V_1 \boxtimes W_2) \simeq \mathbb{P}(\theta^\vee \otimes (\chi_0 \oplus \epsilon \otimes \psi)). \)

Noticing that \( \theta^\vee \simeq \theta, \theta^\vee \otimes \theta \simeq \chi_0 \oplus \epsilon \oplus \theta \) and \( \theta^\vee \otimes \psi \simeq \psi \oplus \epsilon \psi \), we summarize the argument as follows.

**Lemma 5.3.** The group \( PGL_2 \times PGL_3 \) acts on \( P(V_1 \boxtimes W_2) \) almost transitively, with stabilizer of a general point \( [v] \) isomorphic to \( \mathfrak{E}_4 \). As an \( \mathfrak{E}_4 \)-space,

\[ \mathbb{P}(V_1 \boxtimes W_2) \simeq \mathbb{P}(\chi_0 \oplus \epsilon \oplus \theta^\boxtimes 2 \oplus \psi \oplus \epsilon \psi), \quad (5.1) \]

where \( [v] \) is \( \mathbb{P}(\chi_0) \in \mathbb{P}(V_1 \boxtimes W_2) \).

For later use, we remark that the following fact is well known: it is a simple application of the no-name method.

**Proposition 5.4.** For any \( \mathfrak{E}_4 \)-representation \( V \) the quotient \( \mathbb{P}V/\mathfrak{E}_4 \) is rational.

**5.3 The case \( g \equiv 9 \pmod{12} \)**

Let \( b > 0 \) be an even number. By Proposition 3.1, the quotient \( G(2, V_b \boxtimes W_2)/SL_2 \times SL_3 \) is birational to the tetragonal locus of genus \( 6b - 3 \equiv 9 \pmod{12} \). We shall prove the following result.

**Proposition 5.5.** The quotient \( G(2, V_b \boxtimes W_2)/SL_2 \times SL_3 \) is rational for \( b \neq 2, 8 \).

To begin, we use (4.1) to rewrite \( G(2, V_b \boxtimes W_2)/SL_2 \times SL_3 \) as

\[ \mathbb{P}(V_1 \boxtimes V_b \boxtimes W_2)/SL_2 \times SL_3. \quad (5.2) \]

**5.3.1 The case \( b \geq 12 \)**

When \( b \geq 12 \), we have \( \dim V_b > \dim (V_1 \boxtimes W_2) = 12 \). We then want to use the method of § 4.2 for \( V_1 \boxtimes V_b \boxtimes W_2 = (V_1 \boxtimes W_2) \boxtimes V_b \), viewed as a representation of \( (SL_2 \times SL_3) \times SL_2 \). Since \( b \) is even, \( V_b \) is a representation of \( PGL_2 \), so that the universal subbundle over \( G(12, V_b) \) is \( PGL_2 \)-linearized. By Lemma 4.3, \( PGL_2 \) acts almost freely on \( G(12, V_b) \simeq G(b - \ldots}
11, V_b). Thus we can apply Lemma 4.4 to see that (5.2) is birational to
\[(\mathbb{P}(V_1 \boxtimes W_2)^{\oplus 12}/SL_2 \times SL_3) \times (G(12, V_b)/SL_2).\]
Since \(\mathbb{P}V_b/SL_2\) is rational by Katsylo [Kat84a], \(G(12, V_b)/SL_2\) is stably rational of level 11 by Lemma 4.1 (1). Hence it remains to prove that \(\mathbb{P}(V_1 \boxtimes W_2)^{\oplus 12}/SL_2 \times SL_3\) is rational.

By Lemma 5.3, we can apply the slice method to the projection \(\mathbb{P}(V_1 \boxtimes W_2)^{\oplus 12} \to \mathbb{P}(V_1 \boxtimes W_2)\) to the first summand. Then we have
\[\mathbb{P}(V_1 \boxtimes W_2)^{\oplus 12}/\mathbb{P}GL_2 \times \mathbb{P}GL_3 \sim (V_1 \boxtimes W_2)^{\oplus 11}/\mathbb{E}_4.\]
The right-hand side is rational by Proposition 5.4. Thus Proposition 5.5 is proved for \(b \geq 12\).

5.3.2 The case \(b = 4, 6\) Let \(b\) be either 4 or 6. Then \(\dim V_b < \dim (V_1 \boxtimes W_2)\). We shall consider \(V_1 \boxtimes V_b \boxtimes W_2\) as the \(SL_2 \times (SL_2 \times SL_3)\)-representation \(V_b \boxtimes (V_1 \boxtimes W_2)\), and apply the method of § 4.2. Let \(\mathcal{E} \to G(b + 1, V_1 \boxtimes W_2)\) be the universal subbundle. Then \(\zeta\) acts on \(\mathcal{E} \otimes \det \mathcal{E}\) trivially in case \(b = 4\), and on \(\mathcal{E} \otimes (\det \mathcal{E})^{-1}\) trivially in case \(b = 6\). One checks (for example, by looking at various special loci in \(\mathbb{P}(V_1 \boxtimes W_2)\)) that \(PGL_2 \times PGL_3\) acts on \(G(b + 1, V_1 \boxtimes W_2)\) almost freely. So by Lemma 4.4, the quotient (5.2) is birational to
\[(\mathbb{P}V_b^{\oplus b+1}/SL_2) \times (G(b + 1, V_1 \boxtimes W_2)/SL_2 \times SL_3).\]
The first quotient \(\mathbb{P}V_b^{\oplus b+1}/SL_2\) is rational by Katsylo [Kat84b], so it suffices to show that \(G(b + 1, V_1 \boxtimes W_2)/SL_2 \times SL_3\) is stably rational of level \((b + 1)^2 - 4\).

We regard \(V_1 \boxtimes W_2\) as an \(\mathbb{E}_4\)-representation as in the right side of (5.1) and write \(V = V_1 \boxtimes W_2/\chi_0\). Combining Lemma 4.1 (2) and Lemma 5.3, we see that
\[\mathbb{P}^b \times (G(b + 1, V_1 \boxtimes W_2)/SL_2 \times SL_3) \sim G(b, V)/\mathbb{E}_4.\]
By looking at the decomposition (5.1), we can find an \(\mathbb{E}_4\)-invariant subspace \(V'' \subset V\) of dimension \(b\) in either case. If \(V'' \subset V\) is the complementary sub-\(\mathbb{E}_4\)-representation, we have the \(\mathbb{E}_4\)-invariant open set \(\text{Hom}(V', V'') \subset G(b, V)\), where \(\mathbb{E}_4\) acts on \(\text{Hom}(V', V'')\) linearly. Then \(\text{Hom}(V', V'')/\mathbb{E}_4\) is rational by Proposition 5.4, so Proposition 5.5 is proved for \(b = 4, 6\).

Remark 5.6. It seems that the same approach does not work for \(b = 2, 8\), because \(\zeta\) acts on \(\mathcal{E} \otimes (\det \mathcal{E})^d\) nontrivially for any \(d \in \mathbb{Z}\).

5.3.3 The case \(b = 10\) As in § 5.3.2, we consider \(V_1 \boxtimes V_1 \boxtimes W_2\) as the \(SL_2 \times (SL_2 \times SL_3)\)-representation \(V_1 \boxtimes (V_1 \boxtimes W_2)\) and identify it with the vector bundle \(V_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{E}\) over \(G(11, V_1 \boxtimes W_2)\), where \(\mathcal{E}\) is the universal subbundle. In this case, we have
\[G(11, V_1 \boxtimes W_2) = \mathbb{P}(V_1 \boxtimes W_2)\]
By identifying \(GL_n = GL(C^n)\) with \(GL((C^n)^\vee)\) through the dual representation, we can apply the result of § 5.2 to the \(PGL_2 \times PGL_3\)-action on \(\mathbb{P}(V_1 \boxtimes W_2)\). Thus we find that it is almost transitive with stabilizer of a general point \([H] \in \mathbb{P}(V_1 \boxtimes W_2)\) isomorphic to \(\mathbb{E}_4\), and the corresponding hyperplane \(H \subset \mathbb{P}(V_1 \boxtimes W_2)\) isomorphic to
\[\mathbb{P}(\epsilon \oplus \theta^{b+2} \oplus \psi \oplus \epsilon \psi)\]
as an \(\mathbb{E}_4\)-space. We set \(V = \epsilon \oplus \theta^{b+2} \oplus \psi \oplus \epsilon \psi\).

We apply the slice method to the projection \(\mathbb{P}(V_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{E}) \to \mathbb{P}(V_1 \boxtimes W_2)\). This gives
\[\mathbb{P}(V_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{E})/PGL_2 \times PGL_2 \times PGL_3 \sim \mathbb{P}(V_1 \boxtimes V)/PGL_2 \times \mathbb{E}_4.\]
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Next we use the no-name method for the projection $\mathbb{P}(V_{10} \boxtimes V) \to \mathbb{P}(V_{10} \boxtimes \psi)$ from the rest summand $V_{10} \boxtimes (\epsilon \oplus \theta^{b2} \oplus \epsilon \psi)$. Then we have

$$\mathbb{P}(V_{10} \boxtimes V)/\mathbb{P}G_2 \times \mathfrak{S}_4 \sim \mathbb{C}^{88} \times (\mathbb{P}(V_{10} \boxtimes \psi)/\mathbb{P}G_2 \times \mathfrak{S}_4).$$

Finally, we apply Lemma 4.4 to the $\mathbb{P}G_2 \times \mathfrak{S}_4$-representation $V_{10} \boxtimes \psi$. The group $\mathbb{P}G_2$ acts on $G(3,V_{10})$ almost freely by Lemma 4.3. Therefore the quotient $G(3,V_{10})/SL_2$ is stably rational of level two by Lemma 4.1 (1) and the rationality of $\mathbb{P}V_{10}/SL_2$ ([BK85]). On the other hand, $\mathbb{P}(\psi^{b3})/\mathfrak{S}_4$ is rational by Proposition 5.4. Hence by Lemma 4.4 we conclude that $\mathbb{P}(V_{10} \boxtimes \psi)/\mathbb{P}G_2 \times \mathfrak{S}_4$ is rational. This finishes the proof of Proposition 5.5 for $b = 10$.

6. The case of the blown-up $\mathbb{P}^3$

In this section we study the cases $g \equiv 1, 10 \ (12)$ in Theorem 1.1, where the basic $\mathbb{P}^2$-bundle is $X_{0,1}$, the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^3$ along a line $l$. We keep the notation of § 2.2.

6.1 The case $g \equiv 10 \ (12)$

Let $b > 0$ be an odd number. Let $L \to |L_{2,b}|$ be the tautological bundle and let $\mathcal{E} \to |L_{2,b}|$ be the bundle $H^0(L_{2,b+1})/\mathcal{L} \otimes H^0(L_{0,1})$ as defined in Proposition 3.1. Since $L_{2,b+1}$ is $\text{Aut}(X_{0,1})$-linearized by Lemma 2.3, the bundle $\mathcal{E}$ is $\text{Aut}(X_{0,1})$-linearized. The quotient $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}/\text{Aut}(X_{0,1})$ is birational to the tetragonal locus of genus $6b + 4 \equiv 10 \ (12)$ by Proposition 3.1.

**Lemma 6.1.** The group $\text{Aut}(X_{0,1})$ acts on $|L_{2,b}|$ almost freely.

**Proof.** As in Lemma 5.1, a general member of $|L_{2,b}|$ is the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^2$ at $3b + 3$ general points (put $s = 3b + 2, n = s - 1$ in [dCG93, § 2]), and such a surface has no nontrivial automorphism; see [Koi88] for the case $b \geq 2$, while the case $b = 1$ is well known. (See also Lemma 6.3 for another approach.)

**Proposition 6.2.** The quotient $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}/\text{Aut}(X_{0,1})$ is rational.

**Proof.** By the no-name lemma we see that

$$\mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}/\text{Aut}(X_{0,1}) \sim \mathbb{P}^{6b+13} \times (|L_{2,b}|/\text{Aut}(X_{0,1})),$$

so it suffices to show that $|L_{2,b}|/\text{Aut}(X_{0,1})$ is stably rational of level $6b + 13$. Consider the product $U = |L_{2,b}| \times |L_{2,1}|$. We can identify the first projection $U \to |L_{2,b}|$ with the projective bundle $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{L} \otimes H^0(L_{2,1}))$, and the second $U \to |L_{2,1}|$ with $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{L}' \otimes H^0(L_{2,b}))$, where $\mathcal{L}' \to |L_{2,1}|$ is the tautological bundle. Since $L_{4,b+1}$ is $\text{Aut}(X_{0,1})$-linearized by Lemma 2.3, both $\mathcal{L} \otimes H^0(L_{2,1})$ and $\mathcal{L}' \otimes H^0(L_{2,b})$ are $\text{Aut}(X_{0,1})$-linearized. By the no-name method, we obtain

$$U/\text{Aut}(X_{0,1}) \sim \mathbb{P}^{15} \times (|L_{2,b}|/\text{Aut}(X_{0,1}))$$

$$\sim \mathbb{P}^{6b+9} \times (|L_{2,1}|/\text{Aut}(X_{0,1})).$$

We shall prove that $|L_{2,1}|/\text{Aut}(X_{0,1})$ is stably rational of level one. Recall that $|L_{2,1}|$ is identified with the linear system of cubic surfaces in $\mathbb{P}^3$ containing the line $l$. Thus, if we consider the parameter space

$$V = \{(S, l') \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(3)| \times \mathbb{G}(1, \mathbb{P}^3) | l' \subset S\},$$

then $|L_{2,1}|/\text{Aut}(X_{0,1})$ is birational to $V/PGL_4$, the moduli space of cubic surfaces with a line on it. Let $\mathcal{F} \to V$ be the pullback of the universal subbundle over $\mathbb{G}(1, \mathbb{P}^3)$. We have

$$\mathbb{P}\mathcal{F} = \{(S, l', p) \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(3)| \times \mathbb{G}(1, \mathbb{P}^3) \times \mathbb{P}^3 \mid p \in l' \subset S\}.$$
Let $\mathcal{F}'$ be the twist of $\mathcal{F}$ by the pullback of $O_{\mathbb{P}^3(3)}(1)$. We can identify $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{F}$ with $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{F}'$, and $\mathcal{F}'$ is $\text{PGL}_4$-linearized because $\sqrt{-1} \in \text{SL}_4$ acts on it trivially. By the no-name lemma for $\mathcal{F}'$ we have

$$\mathbb{P}\mathcal{F}/\text{PGL}_4 \sim \mathbb{P}^1 \times (V/\text{PGL}_4).$$

On the other hand, consider the space $T$ of flags $p \in l' \subset H \subset \mathbb{P}^3$, where $H$ is a plane. We have the $\text{PGL}_4$-equivariant map

$$\mathbb{P}\mathcal{F} \to T, \quad (S, l', p) \mapsto (p \in l' \subset T_p S). \quad (6.1)$$

Its fiber over $(p \in l' \subset H) \in T$ is an open subset of a linear system $\mathbb{P}W$ in $|O_{\mathbb{P}^3(3)}|$. The group $\text{GL}_4$ acts on $T$ transitively; the stabilizer $G$ of $(p \in l' \subset H)$ is connected and solvable. By the slice method for (6.1) we see that

$$\mathbb{P}\mathcal{F}/\text{PGL}_4 \sim \mathbb{P}W/G,$$

and $\mathbb{P}W/G$ is rational by Miyata’s theorem [Miy71]. Hence $|L_{2,1}|/\text{Aut}(X_{0,1})$ is stably rational of level one. This finishes the proof of Proposition 6.2. \qed

### 6.2 The case $g = 1$ (12)

Let $b > 0$ be an even number. Then $L_{2,b}$ is $\text{Aut}(X_{0,1})$-linearized by Lemma 2.3. The quotient $G(1, |L_{2,b}|)/\text{Aut}(X_{0,1})$ is birational to the tetragonal locus of genus $6b + 1 \equiv 1 (12)$.

Before proving its rationality, we recall that the $\text{Aut}(X_{0,1})$-representation $H^0(L_{2,b})$ is reducible: it has the invariant filtration

$$0 \subset H^0(L_{2,-2}) \otimes H^0(L_{0,b+2}) \subset H^0(L_{1,-1}) \otimes H^0(L_{1,b+1}) \subset H^0(L_{2,b}) \quad (6.2)$$

defined by the vanishing orders along $\Sigma$. Here $H^0(L_{d,-d})$ is one-dimensional and defines $d\Sigma$. If we consider $H^0(L_{2,b})$ as a representation of the double cover

$$\tilde{G} = \text{SL}_2 \ltimes \text{Hom}(V_1, V_1) \ltimes \text{GL}_2$$

of $\text{Aut}(X_{0,1})$, the successive quotients of (6.2) are the $\text{SL}_2 \times \text{GL}_2$-representations

$$V_{b+2,0}, \quad V_{b+1,1}, \quad V_{b,2},$$

with the action of $\text{Hom}(V_1, V_1)$ as described in (2.4). This structure of $H^0(L_{2,b})$ was first observed in the case $b = 1$ by Böning-Bothmer-Casnati [BvBC12].

We consider the quotient representation

$$W = H^0(L_{2,b})/(H^0(L_{2,-2}) \otimes H^0(L_{0,b+2})).$$

Geometrically the quotient map $H^0(L_{2,b}) \to W$ gives the Taylor expansions up to first order of the sections of $L_{2,b}$ along $\Sigma$.

**Lemma 6.3.** The group $\text{Aut}(X_{0,1})$ acts on $\mathbb{P}W = \mathbb{P}(V_{b+1,1} \oplus V_{b,2})$ almost freely.

**Proof.** Suppose that for a general point $[F_1, F_2] \in \mathbb{P}(V_{b+1,1} \oplus V_{b,2})$ we have an element $(g_1, h, g_2) \in \tilde{G}$ fixing it. Consider the projection $\mathbb{P}W \to \mathbb{P}V_{b,2}$ from $V_{b+1,1}$ which is $\tilde{G}$-equivariant. Since $\text{PGL}_2 \times \text{PGL}_2$ acts on $\mathbb{P}V_{b,2}$ almost freely, we must have $(g_1, g_2) = (1, \lambda)$ for some scalar $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^\times$. Composing it with $(-1, -1) \in \text{SL}_2 \times \text{GL}_2$, we may assume that $g_1 = 1$. Now $(1, h, \lambda)$ maps $[F_1, F_2]$ to $[\lambda^{-1}F_1 + \lambda^{-1}F_2, hF_2]$, where $(F_2, \cdot): \text{Hom}(V_1, V_1) \to V_{b+1,1}$ is the linear map induced by the multiplication and the contraction. Thus we have $(\lambda - 1)F_1 = \langle F_2, h \rangle$. Since the map $\langle F_2, \cdot \rangle$ is injective for general $F_2 \in V_{b,2}$, choosing $F_1$ generically we have $\lambda = 1$ and $h = 0$. \qed

Now we prove the following result.
Consider the product $U$ obtain transitorily, with the stabilizer of a general $Q$ the stabilizer of $l$ with the linear system of quadrics containing $l$ of level $5$. In this way, the problem is reduced to showing that $(\mathbb{P}^1 \times (\mathbb{G}(1, \mathbb{P}W)/\text{Aut}(X_{0,1})) \sim \mathbb{C}^{2b+6} \times (\mathbb{G}(1, \mathbb{P}W)/\text{Aut}(X_{0,1})))$.

By Lemma 4.1 (1) we have

$$\mathbb{P}^1 \times (\mathbb{G}(1, \mathbb{P}W)/\text{Aut}(X_{0,1})) \sim \mathbb{P}^{5b+5} \times (\mathbb{P}W/\text{Aut}(X_{0,1})).$$

We shall use the no-name method for $\mathbb{P}W \times (|L_{2,0}| \times |L_{1,1}|)$. Since both $L_{2,0}$ and $L_{1,1}$ are $\text{Aut}(X_{0,1})$-linearized and since $\text{Aut}(X_{0,1})$ acts on $|L_{2,0}| \times |L_{1,1}|$ almost freely, we see that

$$(\mathbb{P}W \times |L_{2,0}| \times |L_{1,1}|)/\text{Aut}(X_{0,1}) \sim \mathbb{P}^6 \times (\mathbb{P}W/\text{Aut}(X_{0,1})) \sim \mathbb{P}^{5b+6} \times ((|L_{2,0}| \times |L_{1,1}|)/\text{Aut}(X_{0,1})).$$

In this way, the problem is reduced to showing that $(|L_{2,0}| \times |L_{1,1}|)/\text{Aut}(X_{0,1})$ is stably rational of level $5b + 6$. Actually, we shall prove that it is rational.

We identify $\text{Aut}(X_{0,1})$ with the stabilizer in $\text{PGL}_4$ of the line $l$, $|L_{2,0}|$ with $|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2)|$, and $|L_{1,1}|$ with the linear system of quadrics containing $l$. This implies that $\text{Aut}(X_{0,1})$ acts on $|L_{1,1}|$ almost transitively, with the stabilizer of a general $Q \in |L_{1,1}|$ isomorphic to $(\mathbb{C}^5 \ltimes \mathbb{C}) \times \text{PGL}_2$ (which is the stabilizer of $l$ in $\text{Aut}(Q)$). By the slice method for the projection $|L_{2,0}| \times |L_{1,1}| \to |L_{1,1}|$ we obtain

$$(|L_{2,0}| \times |L_{1,1}|)/\text{Aut}(X_{0,1}) \sim |L_{2,0}|/(\mathbb{C}^5 \ltimes \mathbb{C}) \times \text{PGL}_2 \sim \mathbb{C} \times (|\mathcal{O}_Q(2,2)|/(\mathbb{C}^5 \ltimes \mathbb{C}) \times \text{PGL}_2).$$

Consider the product $U = |\mathcal{O}_Q(1,0)| \times |\mathcal{O}_Q(2,2)|$. Note that $\mathcal{O}_Q(1,0)$ and $\mathcal{O}_Q(2,2)$ are both $(\mathbb{C}^5 \ltimes \mathbb{C}) \times \text{PGL}_2$-linearized. By the no-name lemma for the second projection $U \to |\mathcal{O}_Q(2,2)|$, we have

$$U/(\mathbb{C}^5 \ltimes \mathbb{C}) \times \text{PGL}_2 \sim \mathbb{P}^1 \times (|\mathcal{O}_Q(2,2)|/(\mathbb{C}^5 \ltimes \mathbb{C}) \times \text{PGL}_2).$$

On the other hand, using the slice method for the first projection $U \to |\mathcal{O}_Q(1,0)|$, we deduce that

$$U/(\mathbb{C}^5 \ltimes \mathbb{C}) \times \text{PGL}_2 \sim |\mathcal{O}_Q(2,2)|/(\mathbb{C}^5 \times \text{PGL}_2).$$

The last quotient is rational by Katsylo [Kat84b]. Thus $(|L_{2,0}| \times |L_{1,1}|)/\text{Aut}(X_{0,1})$ is rational, and the proof of the proposition is completed.

7. The case of the small resolution of a quadric cone

In this section we study the cases $g \equiv 2, 5 (12)$ in Theorem 1.1, where the basic $\mathbb{P}^2$-bundle is $X_{1,1}$, a small resolution of a quadric cone. We use the notation of § 2.3 freely.

7.1 The case $g \equiv 2 (12)$

Let $b > 0$ be an odd number. Let $\mathcal{L} \to |L_{2,b}|$ be the tautological bundle and let $\mathcal{E} \to |L_{2,b}|$ be the bundle $H^0(L_{2,b+1})/\mathcal{L} \otimes H^0(L_{0,1})$ as defined in Proposition 3.1. Then $\mathcal{E}/\text{Aut}(X_{1,1})$ is birational to the tetragonal locus of genus $6b + 8 \equiv 2 (12)$. Note that $\mathcal{E}$ is $\text{Aut}(X_{1,1})$-linearized because $L_{2,b+1}$ is so by Lemma 2.5.

Lemma 7.1. The group $\text{Aut}(X_{1,1})$ acts on $|L_{2,b}|$ almost freely.
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Let \((L,0)\) and the unipotent radical double cover \((\text{SL}_2,0)\) defined by the vanishing orders along \(\sigma\). Let \(b > 7\).

7.2 The case of Miya’s theorem \([Miy71]\). This shows that \(|\text{GL}_2|/\text{Aut}(X_{1,1})|\) is rational by Miya’s theorem \([Miy71]\). This shows that \(|\text{GL}_2|/\text{Aut}(X_{1,1})|\) is stably rational of level two, and the proposition is proved.

7.2 The case \(g \equiv 5 (12)\)

Let \(b > 0\) be an even number. By Proposition 3.1, the quotient \(\mathcal{O}(1,|\text{SL}_2|)/\text{Aut}(X_{1,1})|\) is birational to the tetragonal locus of genus \(6b + 5 \equiv 5 (12)\). Here \(\text{SL}_2\) is \(\text{Aut}(X_{1,1})\)-linearized by Lemma 2.5.

Let \(F\) be the kernel of the restriction map \(H^0(\text{SL}_2,0) \to H^0(\mathcal{O}_g(b))\). Recall that the \(\text{Aut}(X_{1,1})\)-representation \(H^0(\text{SL}_2,0)\) is reducible, having the invariant filtration

\[
0 \subset f^*H^0(\mathcal{O}_{Q_0}(b+2,2)) \subset F \subset H^0(\text{SL}_2,0)
\]

defined by the vanishing orders along \(\sigma\). If we consider \(H^0(\text{SL}_2,0)\) as a representation of the double cover \((\text{SL}_2 \times \text{GL}_2) \ltimes \text{V}_{1,1}\) of \(\text{Aut}(X_{1,1})\), the successive quotients of \((7.1)\) are the \(\text{SL}_2 \times \text{GL}_2\)-representations

\[
\text{V}_{b+2,2}, \quad \text{V}_{b+1,1}, \quad \text{V}_{b,0},
\]

and the unipotent radical \(\text{V}_{1,1} \ni h\) acts by multiplication by \(\exp(h)\),

\[
\text{V}_{b+1,1} \to \text{V}_{b+1,1} \oplus \text{V}_{b+2,2}, \quad \text{V}_{b,0} \to \text{V}_{b,0} \oplus \text{V}_{b+1,1} \oplus \text{V}_{b+2,2}.
\]

We consider the quotient representation

\[
W = H^0(\text{SL}_2,0)/f^*H^0(\mathcal{O}_{Q_0}(b+2,2)).
\]

Let \((|X_0, X_1|, [Y_0, Y_1]|)\) be bi-homogeneous coordinates of \(Q_0 \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1\).

Lemma 7.3. The following hold.

(1) When \(b > 4\), \(\text{Aut}(X_{1,1})|\) acts on \(\mathbb{P}^1\) and \(\mathcal{O}(1,\mathbb{P}W)|\) almost freely.

(2) When \(b = 2\), \(\text{Aut}(X_{1,1})|\) acts on \(\mathcal{O}(1,\mathbb{P}W)|\) almost freely.
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(3) When \( b = 2 \), \( \text{Aut}(X_{1,1}) \) acts on \( \mathbb{P}^2 = \mathbb{P}(V_3,1 \oplus V_{2,0}) \) almost transitively. If \( v = (X_3^3 Y_1 + X_1^3 Y_0, X_0 X_1) \in W \), the stabilizer of \( [v] \in \mathbb{P}^2 \) is the subgroup

\[
\mathcal{G}_2 \ltimes \mathbb{C}^x \subset \text{SL}_2 \times \text{GL}_2/(-1, -1)
\]

generated by

\[
\mathcal{G}_2 : X_0 \mapsto X_1, \quad X_1 \mapsto -X_0, \quad Y_0 \mapsto Y_1, \quad Y_1 \mapsto -Y_0,
\]

\[
\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^x : X_0 \mapsto \alpha X_0, \quad X_1 \mapsto \alpha^{-1} X_1, \quad Y_0 \mapsto \alpha^3 Y_0, \quad Y_1 \mapsto \alpha^{-3} Y_1.
\]

(7.2)

**Proof.** (1) In view of Lemma 4.3, we prove the assertion only for \( \mathbb{P}^2 = \mathbb{P}(V_{b+1,1} \oplus V_{b,0}) \). Let \( K \subset \text{SL}_2 \) be the stabilizer of a general point \( [F] \in \mathbb{P}V_{b,0} \). It suffices to show that \( (K \times \text{GL}_2) \ltimes V_{1,1} \) modulo \((-1, -1)\) acts on \((\mathbb{C}F)^\vee \otimes V_{b+1,1}\) almost freely. Here \( V_{1,1} \) acts as translation by \( F^\vee \otimes (V_{1,1} \cdot F) \). Consider the quotient map

\[
(\mathbb{C}F)^\vee \otimes V_{b+1,1} \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}F)^\vee \otimes V_{b+1,1}/((\mathbb{C}F)^\vee \otimes (V_{1,1} \cdot F)).
\]

(7.3)

This is a \( K \times \text{GL}_2 \)-linearized vector bundle on which \( V_{1,1} \) acts by translations in the fibers (in particular, freely). If we set \( U = (\mathbb{C}F)^\vee \otimes (V_{b+1}/V_{1,1} \cdot F) \), the image of (7.3) is the \( K \times \text{GL}_2 \)-representation \( U \ltimes V_1 \). It is easy to see that \( K \times \text{GL}_2/(-1, -1) \) acts on \( U \ltimes V_1 \) almost freely. When \( b \geq 6 \), we have \( K = \{ \pm 1 \} \) and \( \text{GL}_2 \) acts on \( V_1^{\otimes b} \) almost freely. When \( b = 4 \), the quotient \( K/ \pm 1 \) is the Klein four-group which acts on \( G(2, U) \) effectively. Then our assertion follows by considering the fibration \( U \ltimes V_1 \rightarrow G(2, U) \) as in (4.3).

(2) A general two-dimensional linear subspace of \( W \) can be normalized by the \( \text{Aut}(X_{1,1}) \)-action to the following type:

\[
P = \langle (F_0, X_0^2), (F_1, X_1^2) \rangle, \quad F_i \in V_{3,1}.
\]

The basis presented here is canonical, in that its image by the projection \( \pi : W \rightarrow V_{2,0} \) gives the discriminant locus of the conic pencil \( \pi(P) \). Hence any stabilizer of \( P \) preserves this basis up to scalar multiplication. Using this property, our assertion follows from a direct calculation.

(3) We only have to determine the stabilizer. Clearly the group \( \mathcal{G}_2 \ltimes \mathbb{C}^x \) defined above fixes \([v]\). Conversely, suppose \( g \in \text{Aut}(X_{1,1}) \) fixes \([v]\). Composing \( g \) with an element of \( \mathcal{G}_2 \ltimes \mathbb{C}^x \), we may assume that \( g \) is the projection image of an element of the form \((1, g_2, h) \in (\text{SL}_2 \times \text{GL}_2) \ltimes V_{1,1} \). Then we would have

\[
X_0^3(g_2(Y_1) - Y_1) + X_1^3(g_2(Y_0) - Y_0) = -hX_0X_1,
\]

from which it follows that \( h = 0 \) and \( g_2 = 1 \).

Now we prove the following result.

**Proposition 7.4.** The quotient \( \mathbb{G}(1, |L_{2,b}|)/\text{Aut}(X_{1,1}) \) is rational.

**Proof.** By Lemma 7.3 (1) and (2), we can apply Lemma 4.2 to the quotient homomorphism \( H^0(L_{2,b}) \rightarrow W \). Then we obtain

\[
\mathbb{G}(1, |L_{2,b}|)/\text{Aut}(X_{1,1}) \sim \mathbb{C}^{6b+18} \times (\mathbb{G}(1, \mathbb{P}^2)/\text{Aut}(X_{1,1})).
\]

When \( b \geq 4 \), we can use Lemma 4.1 (1) to see that

\[
\mathbb{P}^1 \times (\mathbb{G}(1, \mathbb{P}^2)/\text{Aut}(X_{1,1})) \sim \mathbb{P}^{3b+3} \times (\mathbb{P}^2/\text{Aut}(X_{1,1})),
\]
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so the problem is reduced to proving the stable rationality of $\mathbb{P}W/\text{Aut}(X_{1,1})$ of level $3b + 3$. We shall use the duality $\text{Aut}(X_{1,1}) \simeq \text{Aut}(X_{0,1})$ from Lemma 2.1. In the proof of Proposition 6.4, we have found representations $U_1$ and $U_2$ of $\text{Aut}(X_{0,1})$ of dimension 10 and 7, respectively, such that $\text{Aut}(X_{0,1})$ acts on $\mathbb{P}U_1 \times \mathbb{P}U_2$ almost freely with rational quotient. Replacing $\text{Aut}(X_{1,1})$ with $\text{Aut}(X_{1,1})$, we can repeat the same no-name argument for $\mathbb{P}W \times (\mathbb{P}U_1 \times \mathbb{P}U_2)$ to deduce the stable rationality of $\mathbb{P}W/\text{Aut}(X_{1,1})$ of level 15. This proves our assertion for $b \geq 4$.

Next we consider the case $b = 2$. Let $v \in W$ be the vector as defined in Lemma 7.3 (3). By Lemma 7.3 (2), (3) and Lemma 4.1 (2), we have $S$ where $S$ is as defined in Lemma 7.3 (3). It is easy to see the following $S_{2,\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{C}^\times$-decomposition of $W$:

$$V_{2,0} = \langle X_0X_1 \rangle \oplus \langle X_0^2, X_1^2 \rangle,$$

$$V_{3,1} = \langle X_0^3Y_1 + X_1^3Y_0 \rangle \oplus \langle X_0^3Y_1 - X_1^3Y_0 \rangle \oplus \langle X_0^2Y_0, X_1^2Y_1 \rangle \oplus \langle X_0^2X_1Y_0, -X_0X_1^2Y_1 \rangle \oplus \langle X_0X_1Y_0, X_0^2X_1Y_1 \rangle.$$

Let $W_i$ be the representation of $S_{2,\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{C}^\times$ induced by the weight $i$ scalar representation of $\mathbb{C}^\times$, let $V_\sigma$ be the sign representation of $S_2$ pulled back to $S_{2,\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{C}^\times$, and let $V_0$ be the trivial representation. By the above calculation we have the decomposition

$$W/\mathbb{P}v \simeq V_0 \oplus V_\sigma \oplus W_1^{\oplus 2} \oplus W_2 \oplus W_3.$$

Here notice that our $\mathbb{C}^\times$ is defined as the quotient by $-1$ of the $\alpha$-torus $\mathbb{C}^\times$ in (7.2), and this division by $-1$ reduces the weights of $\mathbb{C}^\times$-representations by half. Now $S_{2,\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{C}^\times$ acts on $\mathbb{P}(W_1 \oplus W_2)$ almost freely, so that we can apply the no-name lemma to the projection $\mathbb{P}(W/\mathbb{P}v) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(W_1 \oplus W_2)$. This gives

$$\mathbb{P}(W/\mathbb{P}v)/S_{2,\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{C}^\times \simeq \mathbb{C}^6 \times (\mathbb{P}(W_1 \oplus W_2)/S_{2,\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{C}^\times).$$

Since $\mathbb{P}(W_1 \oplus W_2)/S_{2,\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{C}^\times$ has dimension two, it is rational. Therefore $\mathbb{G}(1,\mathbb{P}W)/\text{Aut}(X_{1,1})$ is stably rational of level one, and Proposition 7.4 is proved for $b = 2$. \hfill \Box

References

AC81 E. Arbarello and M. Cornalba, Footnotes to a paper of Beniamino Segre, Math. Ann. 256 (1981), no. 3, 341–362. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01679702

Böh09 C. Böhning, The rationality problem in invariant theory, Progress in Math., to appear, arXiv:0904.0899 (2009).

BvBC12 C. Böhning, H.-C. Graf von Bothmer and G. Casnati, Birational properties of some moduli spaces related to tetragonal curves of genus 7, Int. Math. Res. Notices 2012, no. 22, 5219–5245. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnr230

BK85 F.A. Bogomolov and P.I. Katsylo, Rationality of some quotient varieties, Mat. Sb. (N.S.) 126(168) (1985), 584–589. http://dx.doi.org/10.1070/SM1986v054n02ABEH002986

CdC04 G. Casnati and A. Del Centina, The rationality of Weierstrass space of type $(4,9)$, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 136 (2004), 53–66. http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0305004103007059

dCG93 A. Del Centina and A. Gimigliano, Scrollar invariants and resolutions of certain $d$-gonal curves, Ann. Univ. Ferrara Sez. VII (N.S.) 39 (1993), 187–201.

Gei12 F. Geiss, The unirationality of Hurwitz spaces of 6-gonal curves of small genus, Doc. Math. 17 (2012), 627–640.
Rationality of some tetragonal loci

Kat84a P.I. Katsylo, *Rationality of the moduli spaces of hyperelliptic curves*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR. **48** (1984), 705–710.

Kat84b P.I. Katsylo, *Rationality of fields of invariants of reducible representations of SL₂*, Mosc. Univ. Math. Bull. **39** (1984), 80–83.

Koi88 M. Koitabashi, *Automorphism groups of generic rational surfaces*, J. Algebra **116** (1988), no. 1, 130–142.

Ma12 S. Ma, *The rationality of the moduli spaces of trigonal curves*, Int. Math. Res. Notices, to appear, arXiv:1207.0184 (2012).

Ma13a S. Ma, *The rationality of the moduli spaces of trigonal curves of odd genus*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **683** (2013), 181–187. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/crelle-2012-0003](http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/crelle-2012-0003)

Ma13b S. Ma, *Rationality of fields of invariants for some representations of SL₂ × SL₂*, Compositio Math. **149** (2013), no. 7, 1225–1234. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X13007069](http://dx.doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X13007069)

Miy71 T. Miyata, *Invariants of certain groups. I*, Nagoya Math. J. **41** (1971), 69–73.

Pet23 K. Petri, *Über die invariante Darstellung algebraischer Funktionen einer Veränderlichen*, Math. Ann. **88** (1923), no. 3-4, 242–289.

Sch86 F.-O. Schreyer, *Syzygies of canonical curves and special linear series*, Math. Ann. **275** (1986), 105–137.

Ser77 J.-P. Serre, *Linear representations of finite groups*, GTM **42**, Springer-Verlag, 1977.

She85 N.I. Shepherd-Barron, *The rationality of certain spaces associated to trigonal curves*, Algebraic geometry, Bowdoin, 1985, 165–171, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **46**, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1987.

Shouhei Ma *ma@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp*

Graduate School of Mathematics, Nagoya University, Nagoya 464-8601, Japan