Introduction

Around 63 million people present heart failure worldwide, and this condition costs billion dollars to health care. This syndrome is associated with high morbidity and mortality rates, since it has a poor prognosis due to the difficulty in recognizing high-risk and pre-clinical stage patients linked to the problem of an appropriate early treatment. Despite many designed trials, no adequate pharmacological treatment was found for heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (EF>50%), which most likely represents 65% of heart failure in 2020.

Among the remarkable consequences of heart failure is the cardiorenal syndrome. This link is attributed to renal hypoperfusion, which is caused by high venous pressure.
and low cardiac output, leading to renal inflammation; sympathetic overstimulation; elevated sodium and consequent fluid retention; as well as atherosclerosis, anemia, and the of uremic toxins. Altogether, these pathological mechanisms enhance the risk of cardiovascular adverse events, worsening heart failure.\textsuperscript{1}

Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is a specific risk factor for atherosclerotic and non-atherosclerotic impairment responsible for myocardial diseases, such as myocardial infarction and heart failure. Furthermore, T2DM is, together with hypertension, the leading cause of chronic renal disease.\textsuperscript{1,10,11} This metabolic condition is capable of promoting injuries and alterations in the kidneys, hormone control, hepatic function, lipid metabolism, and vascular control, as well as in overstimulating the sympathetic nervous system and increasing the blood pressure.\textsuperscript{1,12}

Sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors (SGLT2i) comprise one of the newest antidiabetic agents (ADA).\textsuperscript{13} Recent studies have shown SGLT2i benefits in T2DM, such as lowering sodium and glucose retention, promoting glycosuria and weight loss, reducing glyceria, and the glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c), as well as autonomic symptoms and blood pressure and the enhancement of the renal function.\textsuperscript{12} Moreover, cardiovascular benefits were seen in recent cardiovascular outcome trials (CVOTs).\textsuperscript{14–22} Such services may be attributed to SGLT2i ability to reduce left ventricular mass,\textsuperscript{23} improve systolic and diastolic functions,\textsuperscript{24} endothelial function, and cardiac output\textsuperscript{25} to reduce preload and afterload,\textsuperscript{26} to raise erythropoietin\textsuperscript{1} and to inhibit cardiac fibrosis.\textsuperscript{27,28}

According to a recent paper published by the European Society of Cardiology in association with the Heart Failure Association,\textsuperscript{29} SGLT2i have proven to be the most effective ADA at reducing hospitalization for heart failure. It was demonstrated that Empagliflozin, Canagliflozin, and Dapagliflozin were responsible for decreasing hospitalization for heart failure by 35%, 33%, and 27%, respectively. Moreover, Empagliflozin lowered the risk of cardiovascular death by 38% and presented similar performance to Canagliflozin in reducing the risk of major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE), a composite of cardiovascular death, non-fatal myocardial infarction or non-fatal stroke in 14%.

Approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA), Canagliflozin (Invokana\textsuperscript{9})\textsuperscript{13}, Dapagliflozin (Forxiga\textsuperscript{8})\textsuperscript{13}, and Empagliflozin (Jardiance\textsuperscript{8})\textsuperscript{13} were the SGLT2i tested in CVOTs. The cardioprotective effect of Ertugliflozin (Steglatro\textsuperscript{8})\textsuperscript{30}, the most recent SGLT2i approved by the FDA, is underway through the ongoing trial VERTIS-CV (Evaluation of Ertugliflozin Efficacy and Safety Cardiovascular Outcomes Trial).\textsuperscript{31} In turn, Sotagliflozin (Zynquista\textsuperscript{8})\textsuperscript{32} recently approved by the European Medicines Agency (EU), but not by the FDA, is the first dual sodium-glucose cotransporter 1 and 2 inhibitors and its probable cardiovascular effects are reliant on the ongoing SOLOIST-WHF (Effect of Sotagliflozin on Cardiovascular Events in Patients With Type 2 Diabetes Post Worsening).\textsuperscript{33}

Most importantly, the SGLT2i effects are seen both in patients with T2DM and without T2DM. Thus, the SGLT2i benefits are independent of glucose levels. Intending to test this hypothesis, the DAPA-HF trial (Effect of Dapagliflozin on the Incidence of Worsening Heart Failure or Cardiovascular Death in Patients With Chronic Heart Failure)\textsuperscript{38} portrayed outstanding results in its analysis through the demonstration that Dapagliflozin 10 mg could also reduce hospitalization for heart failure regardless of the presence of T2DM.\textsuperscript{34–36}

Furthermore, some ongoing trials, with more than 2,000 participants, will present their outcomes regarding patients with or without T2DM and heart failure with reduced (HFrEF – FE<40%)\textsuperscript{3} or preserved ejection fraction (HfPEF), such as EMPEROR-Reduced (Empagliflozin Outcome Trial in Patients with Chronic Heart Failure with Reduced Ejection Fraction)\textsuperscript{37}, EMPEROR-Preserved (Empagliflozin Outcome Trial in Patients with Chronic Heart Failure with Preserved Ejection Fraction)\textsuperscript{38} and DELIVER (Dapagliflozin Evaluation to Improve the Lives of Patients with Preserved Ejection Fraction Heart Failure).\textsuperscript{1} Another two ongoing trials were designed to evaluate the cardioprotective benefit of SGLT2i in chronic kidney disease patients with or without T2DM, such as DAPA-CKD (Dapagliflozin and Prevention of Adverse outcomes in Chronic Kidney Disease trial)\textsuperscript{39} and EMPA-KIDNEY (The Study for Heart and Kidney Protection with Empagliflozin)\textsuperscript{40}.

Hence, this present meta-analysis aims to evaluate and compare the available data from the published trials regarding the cardioprotective effects of SGLT2i in patients either in the presence or absence of T2DM.

Methods

Search and Selection Strategy

First, a search was conducted on the Google Scholar, Scielo, and PubMed databases using the keywords:
“sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors”, “heart failure”, “hospitalization”, and "cardiovascular outcomes". From the recovered articles of these databases, the following data were gathered: (I) author; (II) year; (III) title of the trial; (IV) the number of participants in each trial; (V) the number of participants who received the drug; (VI) the number of participants who matched placebo or other ADA; (VII) the events of MACE or hospitalization for heart failure or cardiovascular death; (VIII) the results of renal or cardiovascular adverse events [End-stage Kidney Disease, Renal Death, ≥ 40% decrease in estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) to <60 mL/min/1.73m², renal replacement therapy or hospitalization for heart failure, cardiovascular death, MACE]; and (IX) non-cardiovascular death.

Eligibility Criteria

The eligibility criteria of this analysis required four obligatory entrance criteria: studies with any of the SGLT2i describing any data regarding any cardiovascular effect; having enrolled more than 1,000 participants; being approved by the FDA and/or the EU; and the available access to the supplementary data. Afterward, the trial had to exhibit at least one of these following criteria: major adverse cardiovascular effects (MACE – cardiovascular death, non-fatal myocardial infarction or non-fatal stroke); a composite of cardiovascular death or hospitalization for heart failure; cardiovascular death; hospitalization for heart failure; renal or cardiovascular adverse events (End-stage Kidney Disease, Renal Death, ≥ 40% decrease in estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) to <60 mL/min/1.73m², renal replacement therapy or hospitalization for heart failure, cardiovascular death, MACE); or non-cardiovascular death. Figure 1 summarizes the flow of the selection of articles.

Statistical Analysis

The R software with the “Metafor” package was used to analyze the Relative Risk (RR) among the trials to random effect through the DerSimonian method, classifying them in six patterns: MACE; cardiovascular death or hospitalization for heart failure; cardiovascular death; hospitalization for heart failure; renal or cardiovascular adverse events; and non-cardiovascular death. Thus, the SGLT2i group was compared to the placebo group. In addition to RR’s cumulative effect, the heterogeneity index (H²) was also analyzed for each predetermined pattern. The significance level of 0.05 was adopted in the statistical analysis.

Results

Studies Characteristics

The search retrieved ninety-three studies, nine of which fulfilled the eligibility criteria and were included...
in this analysis, enrolling 76,285 participants, of whom 2,605 (approximately 3.4%) did not have T2DM.

Of the nine studies analyzed, five investigated SGLT2i as a monotherapy: Fitcher et al.,15 (Empagliflozin Cardiovascular Outcome Event Trial in Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus Patients Removing Excess Glucose - EMPA-REG OUTCOME); Mahaffey et al.,14 (Canagliflozin Cardiovascular Assessment Study - CANVAS); Perkovic et al.,16 (Canagliflozin and Renal Events in Diabetes with Established Nephropathy Clinical Evaluation - CREDENCE); Wiviott et al.,17 (Dapagliflozin Effect on Cardiovascular Events – Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction 58 - DECLARE-TIMI 58); and McMurray et al.,18 (Effect of Dapagliflozin on the Incidence of Worsening Heart Failure or Cardiovascular Death in Patients With Chronic Heart Failure - DAPA-HF).

Three of them studied SGLT2i add-on metformin vs. other ADAs: Levalle-González et al.,19 (Canagliflozin Treatment and Trial Analysis – DDP-4 Inhibitor Comparator Trial - CANTATA-D); Ridderstrale et al.,20 (Efficacy and Safety of Empagliflozin With Metformin in Patients With Type 2 Diabetes - EMPA-REG H2H-SU) and Patel et al.,21 (Canagliflozin Treatment And Trial Analysis-Sulfonylurea - CANTATA-SU). Comparing Empagliflozin versus other Sitagliptin, EMPRISE (Empagliflozin Comparative Effectiveness and Safety) had their first analysis published by Patorno et al.,22

Major Adverse Cardiovascular Effects

Seven of the nine selected trials have analyzed the rate of MACE. Among these trials, the studies that used SGLT2i as monotherapy presented more robust and significant results than those using SGLT2i add-on metformin, as shown in Figure 2. Mahaffey et al.,14 (CANVAS) and Perkovic et al.,16 showed more significant results than the studies that used SGLT2i add-on metformin.

Table 1 – Characteristics of the included studies at baseline

| Author/Year          | Trial* | Follow-up (weeks) | Mean age (years) | SGLT2inhib | ADM | Exposed | Compare | Control | Total |
|----------------------|--------|-------------------|------------------|------------|-----|---------|---------|---------|-------|
| Mahaffey et al., 2018 | CANVAS | 338               | 63.3             | CANA       | MONO| 3,756   | PBO     | 2,039   | 5,795 |
| Fitcher et al., 2016 | EMPA-REG OUTCOME | 162            | 63.1             | EMPA       | MONO| 4,687   | PBO     | 2,333   | 7,020 |
| Perkovic et al., 2019 | CREDENCE | 42               | 63.0             | CANA       | MONO| 2,202   | PBO     | 2,199   | 4,401 |
| Wiviott et al., 2018 | DECLARE-TIMI 58 | 206           | 63.9             | DAPA       | MONO| 8,582   | PBO     | 8,578   | 17,160 |
| McMurray et al., 2019 | DAPA-HF | 72               | 66.0             | DAPA       | MONO| 2,373   | PBO     | 2,371   | 4,744 |
| Levalle-González et al, 2019 | CANTATA-D | 52          | 55.4             | CANA       | Add-on MET | 735 | SITA | 549 | 1,284 |
| Ridderstrale et al., 2018 | EMPA-REG H2H-SU | 104         | 55.9             | EMPA       | Add-on MET | 765 | GLIM | 780 | 1,545 |
| Patel et al., 2016 | CANTATA-SU | 104           | 56.2             | CANA       | Add-on MET | 968 | GLIM | 482 | 1,450 |
| Patorno et al., 2019 | EMPRISE | 48               | 59               | EMPA       | MONO| 16,443  | SITA    | 16,443  | 32,886 |
| Total                |        | 1128             | 60.6             | -          | -   | 40,551  | -       | 35,774  | 76,285 |

SGLT2 inhib: Sodium-glucose Cotransporter 2 Inhibitor; EMPA: Empagliflozin; CANA: Canagliflozin; DAPA: Dapagliflozin; ADM: Administration; MONO: Monotherapy; MET: Metformin; PBO: Placebo; SITA: Sitagliptin; GLIM: Glimepiride.

* p<0.05 was the level of significance adopted by all articles.
al.,\textsuperscript{16} (CREDENCE)\textsuperscript{14} showed the more significant and more reliable reductions of MACE with Canagliflozin 100 or 300 mg than placebo. Similarly, Empagliflozin 10 or 25 mg and Dapagliflozin 10 mg also reduced this event as shown by Fitcher et al.,\textsuperscript{15} (EMPA-REG OUTCOME)\textsuperscript{13} and Wiviott et al.,\textsuperscript{17} (2018) (DECLARE-TIMI 58).\textsuperscript{17}

On the other hand, SGLT2i add-on metformin therapy vs. other ADA decreased MACE episodes, which can be noticed through Levalle-González et al.,\textsuperscript{19} (CANTATA-D)\textsuperscript{19} with Canagliflozin 100 or 300 mg add-on metformin vs. Sitagliptin 100 mg and Ridderstrale et al.\textsuperscript{20} (EMPA-REG H2H-SU)\textsuperscript{20} with Empagliflozin 25 mg add-on metformin vs. Glimepiride 1 to 4 mg. However, these two studies had a large range of confidence intervals. In turn, Patel et al.,\textsuperscript{21} (CANTATA-SU)\textsuperscript{21} displayed that Canagliflozin 100 or 300 mg add-on metformin did not show to be effective at reducing MACE when compared with Glimepiride 6 to 8 mg.

In general, SGLT2i performed further effectiveness at reducing MACE than control, placebo, or other ADA, RR 0.75, 95% CI [0.55-1.01]. The heterogeneity (F) found was 94.02%.

### Cardiovascular Death or Hospitalization for Heart Failure

Five of the analyzed trials presented the data regarding cardiovascular death or hospitalization for heart failure; all employed SGLT2i as monotherapy. At first, Canagliflozin 100 or 300 mg was more effective in lowering this parameter than the placebo through the data presented by Mahaffey et al.,\textsuperscript{14} (CANVAS)\textsuperscript{14} and Perkovic et al.,\textsuperscript{16} (CREDENCE).\textsuperscript{16} Likewise, Wiviott et al.,\textsuperscript{17} (2018) (DECLARE-TIMI 58)\textsuperscript{17} and McMurray et al.,\textsuperscript{18} (2019) (DAPA-HF)\textsuperscript{18} showed a reduction of the episodes of cardiovascular death or hospitalization for heart failure with Dapagliflozin 10 mg as well. By contrast, Fitcher et al.,\textsuperscript{15} (EMPA-REG OUTCOME)\textsuperscript{15} did not observe a significant decrease in these events by the administration of Empagliflozin 10 or 25 mg. Considering all the five studies together, there was a reduction of cardiovascular death or hospitalization for heart failure (RR 0.72, 95% CI [0.55-0.93]) generally (Figure 3), and the heterogeneity (F) was 93.72%.

### Cardiovascular Death

Eight of all the selected studies evaluated the cardiovascular death parameter. The greater power among the studies involving the evaluation of cardiovascular death was assured by the SGLT2i-monotherapy studies and the most significant reductions. Regarding SGLT2i-monotherapy studies, Canagliflozin 100 or 300 mg and Empagliflozin 10 or 25 mg proved to be the most effective at reducing cardiovascular death events according to Mahaffey et al.,\textsuperscript{14} (CANVAS)\textsuperscript{14} and Fitcher et al.,\textsuperscript{15} (EMPA-REG OUTCOME).\textsuperscript{15} In the same manner, Mahaffey K
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**Figure 2 – Major Adverse Cardiovascular Effects (MACE).**
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| Studies                          | Weight and RR [95% CI] |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|
| Fitcher, D., et al., 2016       | 18.87% 0.86 [0.75, 0.99] |
| Mahaffey, KW., et al., 2018     | 19.13% 0.46 [0.41, 0.52] |
| Perkovic, V., et al., 2019      | 18.50% 0.81 [0.68, 0.95] |
| Wiviott, SD., et al., 2018      | 19.27% 0.94 [0.86, 1.03] |
| Lavalle-González, FJ., et al., 2013 | 5.86% 0.75 [0.26, 2.12] |
| Ridderstrale, M., et al., 2018  | 10.53% 0.61 [0.33, 1.15] |
| Patel, CA., et al., 2016        | 7.85% 1.06 [0.46, 2.43] |

**RE Model**

Risk Ratio (log scale)

0.22 0.61 1.65

100.00% 0.75 [0.55, 1.01]
et al.,\textsuperscript{16} (CANVAS),\textsuperscript{16} Perkovic et al.,\textsuperscript{16} (CREDENCE)\textsuperscript{16} presented a consistent reduction in cardiovascular death promoted by Canagliflozin 100 or 300 mg. However, the use of Dapagliptin 10 mg did not result in a consistent reduction of this event, as demonstrated by Wiviott et al.,\textsuperscript{17} (DECLARE-TIMI 58)\textsuperscript{17} and McMurray et al.,\textsuperscript{18} (DAPA-HF).\textsuperscript{18} Despite the apparent benefit of SGLT2i add-on metformin, the studies using a combined therapy had a wide confidence interval. This is shown with the use of Canagliflozin 100 or 300 mg add-on metformin vs Sitagliptin 100 mg demonstrated by Levalle-González et al.,\textsuperscript{19} (CANTATA-D),\textsuperscript{19} Empagliflozin 25 mg add-on metformin vs Glimepiride 1 to 4 mg by Ridderstrale et
al.,\textsuperscript{20} (EMPA-REG H2H-SU)\textsuperscript{20} and Canagliflozin 100 or 300 mg add-on metformin vs Glimepiride 6 to 8 mg by Patel et al.,\textsuperscript{21} (CANTATA-SU).\textsuperscript{21}

Although the heterogeneity ($I^2$) among the data was 89.43\%, the general evaluation showed that the use of SGLT2i causes a significant reduction in cardiovascular deaths (RR 0.66, 95% CI [0.48-0.91]) (Figure 4).

**Hospitalization for Heart Failure**

Seven of the evaluated studies displayed the hospitalization for heart failure parameter. In general, SGLT2i administration led to a lower rate of hospitalization for heart failure (RR 0.60, 95% CI [0.47-0.77]) (Figure 5). Ridderstrale et al.,\textsuperscript{20} (EMPA-REG H2H-SU)\textsuperscript{20} was the only SGLT2i add-on metformin study to evaluate this parameter. In its data, Empagliflozin 25 mg add-on metformin compared to Glimepiride 1 to 4 mg showed a broad confidence interval in reducing this event as.

By contrast, SGLT2i, as a monotherapy, presented more consistent and significant results. At first, Canagliflozin 100 or 300 mg showed the highest reduction in hospitalization for heart failure among the SGLT2i, according to the data from Mahaffey et al.,\textsuperscript{14} (CANVAS).\textsuperscript{14} Similarly, Patorno et al.,\textsuperscript{22} (EMPRISE)\textsuperscript{22} reported a substantial reduction of hospitalization for heart failure through the use of Empagliflozin 25 mg, revealing a superiority of SGLT2i over Sitagliptin. Perkovic et al.,\textsuperscript{16} (CREDENCE)\textsuperscript{16} also demonstrated that Canagliflozin 100 or 300 mg resulted in a lower hospitalization for heart failure. Similarly, Fitcher et al.,\textsuperscript{15} (EMPA-REG OUTCOME)\textsuperscript{15} reported a reduction in hospitalization for heart failure with Empagliflozin 10 or 25 mg.

In turn, Dapagliflozin 10 mg administration decreased hospitalization for heart failure, producing similar results to those obtained by Wiviott et al.,\textsuperscript{17} (DECLARE-TIMI 58)\textsuperscript{17} and McMurray J et al.,\textsuperscript{18} (DAPA-HF),\textsuperscript{18} highlighting the fact of McMurray et al.,\textsuperscript{18} (DAPA-HF)\textsuperscript{18} enrolled only 45\% of T2DM participants (Table1), indicating the potential benefit of this medication to prevent and treat heart failure events, regardless of T2DM presence. The heterogeneity identified was 82.99\%.

**Renal or Cardiovascular Adverse Events**

Four of the selected studies, all of which were SGLT2i monotherapy studies, evaluated the renal or cardiovascular adverse events. In general, SGLT2i reduced these outcomes in 45\% (RR 0.55, 95% CI [0.39-0.78]) (Figure 6). The most effective medications at reducing this outcome were Empagliflozin 10 or 25 mg and Canagliflozin 100 or 300 mg as highlighted by Fitcher et al.,\textsuperscript{15} (EMPA-REG...
OUTCOME)\textsuperscript{15} and Mahaffey et al.,\textsuperscript{14} (CANVAS).\textsuperscript{14} Perkovic et al.,\textsuperscript{16} (CREDENCE),\textsuperscript{16} despite showing a smaller protective effect as compared to the studies cited above, also showed a consistent reduction with Canagliflozin 100 or 300 mg, similarly to Empagliflozin 10 or 25 mg by Wiviott et al.,\textsuperscript{17} (DECLARE-TIMI 58).\textsuperscript{17} The heterogeneity found was 96.77%.

Non-cardiovascular Death

Four of the trials registered non-cardiovascular deaths, all four of which were SGLT2i-monotherapy studies. Although the results were not the most consistent, SGLT2i seemed to reduce non-cardiovascular death (RR 0.88, 95% CI [0.60-1.00]), especially Dapagliflozin 10 mg, as reported by Wiviott et al.,\textsuperscript{17} (DECLARE-TIMI 58)\textsuperscript{17} (Figure 7). However, McMurray et al.,\textsuperscript{18} (DAPA-HF),\textsuperscript{18} using the same dose of this medication, did not present such promising results. Fitcher et al.,\textsuperscript{15} (EMPA-REG OUTCOME)\textsuperscript{15} and Perkovic et al.,\textsuperscript{16} (CREDENCE)\textsuperscript{16} presented similar effects regarding non-cardiovascular death. No heterogeneity was found due to the overlap of the confidence interval between studies (I\textsuperscript{2} = 0%).

Discussion

SGLT2i presents promising effects concerning the protection of the cardiovascular system.\textsuperscript{1,13} This class of drugs lowered MACE, the composite of cardiovascular death or hospitalization for heart failure, cardiovascular death, hospitalization for heart failure, and non-cardiovascular death. All these reductions were seen in patients with cardiovascular and/or kidney injuries and in patients with a high risk for these conditions, which shows that these drugs can be used in primary prevention. Still, it is essential to highlight that the SGLT2i monotherapy studies exerted the most noteworthy outcomes.

Regardless of T2DM presence, McMurray et al.,\textsuperscript{18} (DAPA-HF)\textsuperscript{18} showed that the use of Dapagliflozin 10 mg reduced the composite of cardiovascular death or hospitalization for heart failure, cardiovascular death, hospitalization for heart failure and non-cardiovascular death. In accordance with Milder et al.,\textsuperscript{43} and Heerspink et al.,\textsuperscript{44} such benefits can be elucidated due to the dissociation between hypoglycemic and cardioprotective effects exerted by SGLT2i. The probable mechanisms are weight loss,\textsuperscript{43,45} reduced blood pressure,\textsuperscript{43,46–49} reduced preload and afterload,\textsuperscript{23,26,43,50,51} natriuresis, and osmotic diuresis,\textsuperscript{23,43} inhibition of sodium-hydrogen exchanger in the myocardium,\textsuperscript{43,52–54} induced ketone bodies for cardiac metabolism,\textsuperscript{43,55} reduced cardiac fibrosis,\textsuperscript{27,28,43} and reduced adipose inflammatory cytokines.\textsuperscript{43,56} All these mechanisms are uncoupled from glycemic levels and kidney function. However, Vallon et al.,\textsuperscript{57} and Chao E,\textsuperscript{58} showed that the natriuresis and osmotic diuresis caused by glycosuria are the most robust mechanisms in reducing cardiovascular adverse events.

Although SGLT2i lowered all the analyzed parameters, the monotherapy study outcomes\textsuperscript{14–18,22} seemed to be
superior to SGLT2i add-on metformin study outcomes.\textsuperscript{19–21}

However, to date, there is no evidence proving that monotherapy is more promising than add-on therapy. There is a lack of robust CVOTs evaluating SGLT2i add-on therapy's effect, and no medical association\textsuperscript{1,2,8,9,29} has described either of them. The majority of SGLT2i add-on therapy studies\textsuperscript{19–21} were designed to assess this therapy's impact regarding glycemia, glycated hemoglobin, and blood pressure reductions, which indirectly affects the cardiovascular system. Therefore, the direct cardiovascular effects of SGLT2i add-on therapy have not yet been consistently proven.

Regarding the benefits of SGLT2i in treating cardiovascular autonomic neuropathy in T2DM patients, Spallone V,\textsuperscript{12} showed that these drugs could improve the signs and symptoms of sympathetic overstimulation. The improvement of cardiovascular system effects would occur through natriuretic development, glycosuria, weight-loss, anti-inflammatory action, tyrosine hydroxylase, and kidney and heart noradrenaline inhibition, reducing hypertension, tachycardia, exercise intolerance, and orthostatic symptoms at rest.

Saleem,\textsuperscript{13} and Fioretto et al.,\textsuperscript{59} found that the SGLT2i could perform protection in the kidney and heart through a minor risk of atherosclerotic events, volume contraction and blood pressure, and preload and afterload reduction. The authors also presented that dapagliflozin could be well-tolerated when co-administered with common medications to treat other comorbidities and could benefit from low and high cardiovascular risk profiles. By contrast, no reduction in stroke events was noticed by the use of SGLT2i.

Moreover, Elmore et al.,\textsuperscript{60} presented that the SGLT2i would be involved in several biochemical mechanisms, which reduced cardiovascular events, such as MACE, atherosclerotic diseases, and hospitalization for heart failure, including the possible reduction of left ventricular ejection fraction. On the other hand, Canagliflozin did not display an improvement in cardiovascular diseases, and its side and adverse effects did not surpass its efficacy (Mosleh et al.,\textsuperscript{61}) Comparing the results of Dipeptidyl Peptidase 4 (DPP-4) Inhibitor studies to SGLT2i studies, the Savarese et al.,\textsuperscript{62} meta-analysis, evaluating 157 trials, showed that the use of DPP-4 Inhibitors did not result in a reliable reduction into all-cause death, cardiovascular death, myocardial infarction and hospitalization for heart failure. Still, they could decrease stroke events, mostly as compared to placebo. Conversely, SGLT2i reduced all-cause death, cardiovascular death, myocardial infarction, and hospitalization for heart failure. However, a higher risk of non-fatal stroke was associated with SGLT2i use.

Similarly, Wu et al.,\textsuperscript{63} portrayed, through 57 studies and six regulatory submissions included within their meta-analysis, the benefits of SGLT2i to MACE, cardiovascular death, hospitalization for heart failure, and all-cause of death. Furthermore, they reported the potential higher risk of non-fatal stroke due to SGLT2i administration and no statistical effect related
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\caption{Figure 7 – All-cause of Death.}
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to myocardial infarction. Meanwhile, a meta-analysis employing 71 studies designed by Monami et al., showed that SGLT2i lowered the all-cause death, cardiovascular death, and myocardial infarction, whereas no significant reduction was seen in stroke.

Recently, Zelniker et al., in their meta-analysis of three SGLT2i trials vs. placebo, found that SGLT2i had a powerful effect in reducing MACE, myocardial infarction, cardiovascular death, hospitalization for heart failure, all-cause of death, and the composite of worsening of renal function, end-stage renal disease or renal death, and hospitalization for heart failure in those participants with a worse estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR). However, these remarkable results were better seen in the subgroup of patients with the atherosclerotic disease than the subset of patients with multiple risk factors, and no benefit was found in reducing rates of stroke.

Cai-Yan Zou et al., in their meta-analysis involving 42 studies about SGLT2i and their benefits to the cardiovascular system showed that 37 studies showed the SGLT2i, mostly add-on metformin, reduced MACE, whereas 25 SGLT2i studies, primarily as monotherapy and add-on metformin vs. other ADA, reduced myocardial infarction events. Twenty-six studies pointed out that SGLT2i was not effective at reducing stroke episodes. SGLT2i lowered cardiovascular death, mostly as a monotherapy and add-on metformin vs. other ADA, and all-cause mortality, primarily as monotherapy, through the analysis of thirteen and twenty-five studies, respectively. Similarly, our study also evaluated the impact of SGLT2i on MACE, but not apart from cardiovascular death, non-fatal stroke, and non-fatal myocardial infarction. On the other hand, our study evaluated this class’s effect regarding hospitalization due to heart failure, which is widely investigated today by a wide range of ongoing trials, including the possible benefit regardless of T2DM presence.

Birkeland et al., brought some data from the CVD-REAL Nordic, a multinational analysis of data collected from Denmark, Norway, and Sweden Health Care System. In this article, 22,830 participants started to use SGLT2i and 68,490 participants in other ADA. Cardiovascular death, MACE, hospitalization for heart failure, and all-cause death rates were decreased after the administration of SGLT2i. However, the same was not observed with non-fatal myocardial infarction and non-fatal stroke.

Regarding the high heterogeneity index observed in the present study for all the evaluated parameters, except for the analysis of non-cardiovascular death, several variables justify these values, such as the different medicines employed in the studies (Canagliflozin, Empagliflozin, Dapagliflozin) as monotherapy or other add-on ADAs, in various specific dosages (300, 100, 25, and 10 mg), administered to different population groups (high-risk factors, presence of atherosclerotic disease, with or without heart failure and with or without diabetes), and low k-study values, such as these are recent clinical trials. On the other hand, it is important to emphasize that the 9 studies analysed enrolled a total of 76,285 participants. Besides, in order to increase the reliability of the study, we selected the studies which comprehended over 1,000 participants.

**Conclusion**

SGLT2i is a promising class of drugs, since it is related to favorable cardiovascular outcomes. Thus, this class is a potent treatment candidate for cardiovascular illnesses and hopefully will soon be available for this goal if future trials continue to present such benefits.
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