Long wavelength instability of coherent structures in plane Couette flow
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We study the stability of coherent structures in plane Couette flow against long-wavelength perturbations in wide domains that cover several pairs of coherent structures. For one and two pairs of vortices, the states retain the stability properties of the small domains, but for three pairs new unstable modes are found. They are shown to be connected to bifurcations that break the translational symmetry and drive the coherent structures from the spanwise extended state to a modulated one that is a precursor to spanwise localized states. Tracking the stability of the orbits as functions of the spanwise wave length reveals a rich variety of additional bifurcations.

PACS numbers:

\section{I. INTRODUCTION}

Several shear flows including pipe flow and plane Couette flow show a transition to turbulence while the laminar profile is still stable \cite{1}. The transition has been connected to the appearance of three-dimensional coherent structures that form in subcritical bifurcations in the state space of the system \cite{2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11}. The subsequent evolution of these bifurcations then shows secondary bifurcations and boundary crisis, in which attractors break up and chaotic saddles form \cite{9,11}. In small domains, these phase space structures have been characterized in some detail and close connections to the properties of chaotic saddles in low-dimensional chaotic systems have been found \cite{5,6,12}. A chaotic trajectory meanders between these states and transient approaches to invariant states have been observed in numerics and experiments \cite{13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24}. The states from the small domain can be carried over to the wider domain by periodic continuation. However, the additional spatial degrees of freedom then allow for further spatial patterning and the development of localized structures, \cite{17,22}. The elementary building blocks of both localized and extended coherent states are downstream vortices and streaks. Localized states come with various numbers of vortices and streaks, a phenomenon that can be rationalized within the snaking scenario in subcritical bifurcations \cite{23,24}. We study coherent structures in incompressible plane Couette flow. The two infinite plates are parallel to the $x$-$z$ plane and move in opposite directions along the $x$ axis with the velocity $\pm u_0$. We refer to $x$, $y$, and $z$ as streamwise, wall-normal and spanwise directions, respectively. The plates are located at $y = \pm d$. The motion of the fluid can be described by the incompressible Navier-Stokes and continuity equations,

\begin{equation}
\partial_t \mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} = -\nabla p + \frac{1}{\Re} \Delta \mathbf{u}, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0 \tag{1}
\end{equation}

for the velocity field $\mathbf{u}(x,t) = [u, v, w](x, y, z, t)$. The Reynolds number is defined as $\Re = u_0 d \nu / \nu$ where $d$ is half the distance between the plates and $\nu$ is the kinematic viscosity, so that in these dimensionless units the boundary conditions in the velocity field are $u_x(y = \pm 1) = \pm 1$ and all other components vanish.

Periodic boundary conditions are applied in streamwise and spanwise directions and no-slip boundary con-
tions at the walls. For the direct numerical simulations we use the program package channelflow [33] developed by J.F. Gibson. The integrator is based on a spectral discretization in the spatial directions. Fourier modes are used in spanwise and streamwise directions and Chebyshev modes in wall-normal direction. For time stepping a 3rd-order semi-implicit backwards differentiation algorithm is applied. Fixed points, periodic orbits and traveling waves are calculated with a Newton-Krylov hookstep algorithm [34]. The linear stability of the solutions is characterized by eigenvalues and eigenfunctions which are computed with Arnoldi iteration. Parameter continuation of solutions is computed with a predictor-corrector method using a two step process: solutions are first quadratically extrapolated in pseudo-arclength, and then refined with a Newton-Krylov hookstep algorithm in a second step. The numerical resolution used is $48 \times 33 \times 48$ modes for a computational domain with spatial dimensions $L_x \times L_y \times L_z = 2\pi \times 2 \times \pi$, and suitable refined for larger domains. This resolution was found to be high enough to resolve all relevant structures in the wall-normal direction that are important for the coherent structures and the strong velocity gradients. Almost all calculations are performed for $Re = 400$, the only exception being the continuation in Reynolds number in Fig 3 below.

Most flow fields discussed in this work show some kind of discrete symmetry [35,36]. Using the notation of [36] we introduce symmetry operators of the general form

$$\sigma[u,v,w](x,y,z) = (s_x u, s_y v, s_z w)(s_x x + a_x L_x, s_y y, s_z z + a_z L_z)$$

where the coefficients $s_x$, $s_y$ and $s_z$ can be either 1 or −1, leading to reflections and rotations. The variables $a_x$ and $a_z$ are translations in units of the box dimensions $L_x$ and $L_z$ along the $x$ and $z$ axis, respectively.

### III. STABILITY OF STEADY STATES

In order to see how the linear stability properties change if the domain width is increased we calculate eigenvalues for several characteristic steady states. The steady states are multiple copies of the state first described by Nagata [3] and Clever and Busse [6] and later characterized in further detail by Waleffe [28,29]. If this state is continued to lower Reynolds numbers then an upper branch can be detected. The upper and lower branches are born in a saddle-node bifurcation which in our geometry occurs at $Re = 163.8$ [9]. Both branches are fixed points. The upper branch is linearly stable close to the saddle-node bifurcation while the lower branch has one unstable eigenvalue. We start our analysis with the state from the lower branch at $Re = 400$ where it still has only one unstable direction, and where it is an edge state. The flow field of this state is dominated by one vortex pair, see the contour plots in Fig.1. For the wide domains we study periodically continued versions of this state by placing copies of the state side by side in $z$-direction.

The eigenvalues for an increasing number of vortex pairs are given in Table I where we list all positive eigenvalues and the two neutral ones. The neutral eigenvalues correspond to translations along the invariant $x$- and $z$-directions of plane Couette flow. Their numerical value, which analytically should be zero and here is $O(10^{-7})$, indicates the numerical accuracy and shows that the positive eigenvalues are well above zero and numerically reliable. Note also that domains that are multiples of smaller domains inherit some of the eigenvalues to within numerical accuracy. For instance, the leading eigenvalue 0.047394 is shared by all domains, the corresponding eigenfunction being perpendicular to the edge of chaos, pointing towards the laminar or turbulent attractor. The next to leading eigenvalue 0.004331 for $L_x = 3\pi$ reappears for $L_x = 6\pi$. Of particular relevance here is the appearance of more positive eigenvalues for larger domains. For domains with one and two pairs of vortices the number of unstable eigenvalues is one, the stable manifold has co-dimension one, and the states remain edge states, as in the small domains. For three pairs of vortices a pair of degenerate eigenvalues appears, and for more than three pairs of vortices even more unstable eigenvalues are detected, as listed in Table I.

### IV. DOMAINS WITH THREE VORTEX PAIRS

The state with three vortex pairs and width of $L_x = 3\pi$ has more than one unstable eigenvalue and hence is not a relative attractor in the laminar-turbulent boundary. In order to identify its role in the boundary, we employ the edge-tracking algorithm [26,27] to identify a nearby edge state. In order to simplify the analysis, we impose
a shift-reflect symmetry, 
\[ \tau_x^{1/2} \sigma_2 [u, v, w] (x, y, z) = (u, v, -w) (x + 0.5 L_x, y, -z) \].

Within this symmetry reduced subspace, the edge-tracking algorithm quickly converges to a state where the up-down symmetry is broken, so that it does not correspond to a stationary state but to a traveling wave (see Fig. 2). A linear stability analysis confirms that this traveling wave solution indeed has only one unstable direction in the symmetry reduced state so that it is a relative attractor in the turbulent-laminar boundary (in the full space there are two unstable directions). Reflection in the midplane gives a traveling wave that moves in the opposite direction.

In order to explore possible connections between the fixed point and the traveling wave we first continued them...

TABLE I: The leading eigenvalues $\mu$ for boxes with an increasing number of fundamental vortices. Numerically, the eigenvalues of the two neutral eigenvalues that correspond to translations in $x$ and $z$ directions are $O(10^{-7})$, which provides a measure of the uncertainty in the eigenvalue calculations. The positive eigenvalues are well above zero and thus reliable. Some eigenvalues came in pairs of complex conjugate ones with imaginary parts that are $O(10^{-8})$ and smaller, so that within numerical accuracy all eigenvalues are real.

| n   | $L_x \times L_y \times L_z = 2\pi \times 2 \times \pi$ |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------|
| 1   | 0.047394                                            |
| 2   | -1.20 \cdot 10^{-7}                                 |
| 3   | -6.63 \cdot 10^{-7}                                 |

| n   | $L_x \times L_y \times L_z = 2\pi \times 2 \times 2\pi$ |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------------|
| 1   | 0.047394                                              |
| 2   | 3.31 \cdot 10^{-6}                                   |
| 3   | 1.10 \cdot 10^{-7}                                   |

| n   | $L_x \times L_y \times L_z = 2\pi \times 2 \times 3\pi$ |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------------|
| 1   | 0.047394                                              |
| 2,3 | 0.004331                                              |
| 4,5 | 5.90 \cdot 10^{-8}                                   |

| n   | $L_x \times L_y \times L_z = 2\pi \times 2 \times 4\pi$ |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------------|
| 1   | 0.047394                                              |
| 2,3 | 0.019090                                              |
| 4   | 2.05 \cdot 10^{-7}                                   |
| 5   | -6.90 \cdot 10^{-7}                                  |

| n   | $L_x \times L_y \times L_z = 2\pi \times 2 \times 5\pi$ |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------------|
| 1   | 0.047394                                              |
| 2,3 | 0.027441                                              |
| 4   | 4.45 \cdot 10^{-7}                                   |
| 5   | 5.45 \cdot 10^{-8}                                   |

| n   | $L_x \times L_y \times L_z = 2\pi \times 2 \times 6\pi$ |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------------|
| 1   | 0.047394                                              |
| 2,3 | 0.032704                                              |
| 4,5 | 0.004331                                              |
| 6   | 5.54 \cdot 10^{-7}                                   |
| 7   | 1.18 \cdot 10^{-7}                                   |

| n   | $L_x \times L_y \times L_z = 2\pi \times 2 \times 7\pi$ |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------------|
| 1   | 0.047394                                              |
| 2,3 | 0.036193                                              |
| 4,5 | 0.012934                                              |
| 6   | 1.04 \cdot 10^{-7}                                   |
| 7   | -6.44 \cdot 10^{-7}                                  |

FIG. 2: (Color online) Contour plot of the traveling wave that emerges by symmetry breaking from the stationary state in a domain with $L_z = 3\pi$ and takes over as an edge state. Shown are sections perpendicular to the flow direction at $x = 0$, with the in-plane velocity indicated by vectors and the perpendicular component indicated in color.

FIG. 3: (Color online) Exact coherent states (a) as a function of Reynolds number and the number of unstable directions for (b) the stationary state and (c) the traveling wave in a domain with $L_z = 3\pi$. The histograms with the number of unstable directions show that the lower branch states typically have one or two unstable directions for most Reynolds numbers whereas the upper branch solution undergoes further bifurcations that bring in more unstable directions.
FIG. 4: (Color online) Properties of the coherent states in wider domains: (a) dissipation $D$ as a function of spanwise period and (b) number of unstable directions of the stationary coherent state. Flow fields for the states marked (a) to (c) are displayed in Fig. 6. The Reynolds number is $Re = 400$.

in Reynolds number $Re$, see Fig. 3. As a measure of the amplitude of the states we show in Fig. 3(a) the dissipation rate $D$ [37], defined as

$$D = \frac{1}{V} \int_{V} (\nabla \times \mathbf{u})^2 dV,$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $\mathbf{u}$ is the velocity of the perturbation to the laminar state, vs. the Reynolds number. The fixed point can be traced until it ends in a saddle-node bifurcation at about $Re = 164$. The symmetry broken traveling wave state can be traced to a saddle node bifurcation at $Re = 206$. Tracking the number of unstable directions one notes that the lower branch solution typically has only a single unstable direction, but that the upper branch undergoes several bifurcations. However, there are no intersections between the solution branches of the fixed point and the traveling wave.

Schneider et al. [17] described a similar spanwise localized traveling wave in the larger box with $L_x = 4\pi$ and $L_z = 8\pi$, where they do find a connection via a symmetry-breaking bifurcation at $Re \approx 150.2$. Therefore, we study the bifurcation diagram as a function of geometrical parameters. Fig. 4 shows the bifurcation diagram for a fixed Reynolds number $Re = 400$ and varying box width $L_z$. The fixed points trace out a closed curve consisting of an upper and a lower branch connected in saddle node bifurcations for small and large $L_z$. The lower branch is unstable with only few unstable eigenvalues while the upper branch undergoes a sequence of bifurcations leading to a highly unstable state. The closed form of the solution curve allows for an easy interpretation: the state with three vortex pairs cannot be sustained if the distance between the streaks become broader with increasing $L_z$, or if the domain is too narrow and the states are squeezed together. This has also been found in other cases [38]. However, as is evident in particular for the traveling wave state, the trace of
the states in the $D-L_z$-space can be quite convoluted, thereby giving rise to a coexistence of several states for the same value of $L_z$ that are connected through several saddle-node bifurcations when studied as a function of the width.

The more complicated behaviour of the traveling wave state shown in Fig. 4(a) is also reflected in other properties. The phase speed and the number of corresponding unstable eigenvalues are shown in Fig. 5. The phase speed changes sign from positive to negative along the continuation curve, so that there is no fixed relation between flow speed and phase speed. It also implies that the traveling wave becomes a stationary state without up-down symmetry for $L_z = 2.53\pi$. The eigenvalues shown in Fig. 5(b) reveal that the lower branch of the traveling wave is unstable with one or two unstable directions only, as is the case with the stationary state. It has a single eigenvalue only in a small region around $L_z = 3.1\pi$. In contrast, the number of unstable eigenvalues for the upper branch can exceed 20 in certain parameter regions. The flow fields along the bifurcation curves maintain their prominent vortex and streak elements, but change in the detailed spatial arrangements. To give an impression of the structural changes of the traveling wave along the continuation curve we show contour plots of characteristic states in Fig. 6 for the three states marked by the letters (a), (b), and (c) in Fig. 4(a) and 5(a). In point (a), which is close to the bifurcation point where the stationary state and the traveling wave are connected, the traveling wave consists of three almost equal vortex pairs. Approaching point (b) the streaks become compressed in the upper or lower half of the domain, and tend to become a bit broader, even though the width of the domain is reduced to $L_z = 2.91\pi$. They also become dynamically more active, which causes the higher dissipation rate. Finally, in point (c) for a box with $L_z = 4.00\pi$ the streaks spread out some more and regions with very little vortical activity begin to appear (for instance in the middle of Fig. 6(c)).

Returning to the issue of the connection between the stationary state and the traveling wave, we note an intersection of the fixed point branch and the traveling wave branch at $L_z = 3.51\pi$, see Fig. 7. This intersection corresponds to a subcritical pitchfork bifurcation in which the traveling wave is born. Both the emerging traveling wave branch for $L_z < 3.51\pi$ and the fixed point branch for $L_z > 3.51\pi$ first undergo a stability change and then a Hopf bifurcation. In the point where the stability changes, two positive real eigenvalues collide and emerge as a pair of complex conjugate eigenvalues. In the Hopf bifurcation a complex conjugate pair of eigenvalues crosses the imaginary axis. We also note that both the fixed point and the traveling wave solutions become edge states with co-dimension one stable manifolds in the corresponding Hopf bifurcations. The traveling wave branch then undergoes a Hopf bifurcation at $L_z = 3.28\pi$, while the Hopf bifurcation of the fixed point branch occurs at $L_z = 3.63\pi$, see Fig. 7. Both solutions transform from an unstable state into an attractor in the laminar-turbulent boundary.

The linear stability analysis shows that in the box with width $L_z = 2.56\pi$ neither the traveling wave nor the fixed point are edge states. We, therefore, used the edge tracking algorithm to pin down a nearby edge state. Using the cross flow energy

$$E_{cf} = \frac{1}{L_z L_z} \int (u^2 + w^2) dV$$

FIG. 6: (Color online) Velocity field of the traveling wave state for the parameters marked in Fig. 4(a) and 5(a). Shown are sections perpendicular to the flow direction at $x = 0$, with the in-plane velocity indicated by vectors and the perpendicular component indicated in color.

FIG. 7: (Color online) Zoom into Fig. 4(a) the traveling wave branch bifurcates from the fixed point branch at $L_z = 3.51\pi$ via a subcritical pitchfork bifurcation. $r$ stands for a real eigenvalue, $cc$ indicates a complex conjugate eigenvalue. The dimensionality of the corresponding unstable manifold is given by numbers, e.g. $2cc$ stays for a pair of complex conjugate eigenvalues.
as a measure of the amplitude of the state we find that the edge tracking algorithm converges to a periodic signal, see Fig. 8(a). A subsequent Newton search then converged to a periodic orbit with period $T_{full} = 184.72$. A visualization of the flow field shows that it is shifted downstream by half a box length after half a period. In order to find possible connections to other solutions, this periodic orbit was continued in the box width $L_z$. The results are shown in Fig. 8(b) where every point corresponds to an exact numerical solution found with the Newton-hookstep algorithm. In order to trace the continuation of this periodic orbit in parameter space, we calculate the dissipation rate averaged over one period $\langle D \rangle$,

$$\langle D \rangle = \frac{1}{T_p} \int_0^{T_p} D(t) \, dt.$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

The square in Fig. 8(b) marks the initial box width of $L_z = 2.56\pi$. It turned out to be difficult to trace this state to narrower boxes because its period grows very quickly. A continuation towards increasing box widths is far simpler since the period decreases and eventually stabilizes around $T = 10$. The continuation curve shows a quite complicated behavior, with many loops and several parameter ranges with multiple upper and lower branches.

The complicated trace in the state spaces is accompanied by noticeable changes in the periodic orbit. Snapshots of characteristic states that are marked in Fig. 8(b) are shown in Fig. 9. The snapshots are taken at a point along the period where the energy of the states is minimal. While the periodic orbits in Fig. 9(a) and Fig. 9(b) are quite similar to the initial flow field (only the widths of the streaks has increased in the wider box), more structural changes occur if we follow the continuation curve to the solution (c) (Fig. 9(c)) in the lower branch. Here, the streaks begin to split into two regions that are connected through narrow regions only. For the parameters of Fig. 9(d) these connections are almost lost, and the flow is dominated by four streak pairs. The transformation goes even further: the streaks also start to divide in the streamwise direction, producing fully localized structures as can be seen in Figs. 9(e) and 9(f).

A summary of all bifurcations and continuations of stationary states, traveling waves and periodic solutions is given in Fig. 10. The thick lines mark the regions where the corresponding states are edge states. One notes that the fixed point with three streak pairs $FP_{3SP}$ is an edge state in the range $3.66\pi < L_z < 3.82\pi$. It looses its stability shortly after the saddle-node bifurcation of the branch in a collapse of two real eigenvalues which produce a pair of complex conjugate eigenvalues. The periodic orbit $PO_1$ is an edge state for $2.51\pi < L_z < 2.67\pi$. For increasing $L_z$ it undergoes a Hopf bifurcation and a pair of complex conjugate eigenvalues emerge. The diagram shows that the fixed point with two streak pairs $FP_{2SP}$ is an edge state in the same region as the periodic orbit.

![FIG. 8: (Color online) The periodic orbit that is an edge state in this system. (a) Cross flow energy for the edge tracking algorithm. (b) Tracing the orbit as a function of widths, where every point corresponds to an exact numerical solution. Labels (a) to (f) mark solutions which are plotted in Fig. 9, the square marks the first solution that was found by the edge tracking algorithm.](image)

V. LOCALIZATION IN WIDER BOXES

The instabilities that appear in domains with three vortex pairs give rise to new states that show a modulation in the spanwise direction. To see how these modulations evolve in even wider domains, we here use edge tracking to study the long wavelength instabilities in boxes with $L_z = 5\pi$ and $L_z = 7\pi$. The initial fields consist of the periodically repeated small domain state, perturbed in the direction of the second unstable eigenfunction.

In the case of $L_z = 5\pi$ the edge tracking algorithm approached a stationary solution and remained in its neighbourhood for a while before moving away. A Newton-hookstep algorithm starting from this transiently visited state converged to a traveling wave that was localized in the spanwise direction, see Fig. 11(a). This wave has two unstable directions in the symmetry constrained subspace, so that eventually the edge trajectory is driven away from it. In the even wider box with $L_z = 7\pi$ edge tracking converged. A subsequent Newton search also converged to the localized traveling wave shown in Fig. 11(c). This solution has only one unstable direction and thus is an edge state.
FIG. 9: (Color online) Snapshots of the periodic orbit $PO_1$ at parameter points marked along the continuation curve in Fig. 8(b). All states are shown at the minimal energy of the periodic orbit. The prominent red (lower) and blue (upper) regions are iso-contours of the downstream velocity at the values $u_{\text{high}, \text{low}}$ and highlight streaks. (a) $u_{\text{high}, \text{low}} = \pm 0.55$, $L_z = 2.50\pi$; (b) $u_{\text{high}, \text{low}} = \pm 0.70$, $L_z = 3.44\pi$; (c) $u_{\text{high}, \text{low}} = \pm 0.45$, $L_z = 3.24\pi$; (d) $u_{\text{high}, \text{low}} = \pm 0.35$, $L_z = 2.55\pi$; (e) $u_{\text{high}, \text{low}} = \pm 0.45$, $L_z = 2.58\pi$; (f) $u_{\text{high}, \text{low}} = \pm 0.45$, $L_z = 3.20\pi$. The colors and vectors on the side of the boxes indicate the local in-plane velocity (arrows) and the transverse velocity component (colors).

FIG. 10: (Color online) Summary of the dynamically relevant solutions in the region around $L_z = 3\pi$. Shown is the dissipation rate $D$, and in cases of periodic orbits the averaged dissipation rate $\langle D \rangle$ vs length $L_z$. States that have only one unstable direction in the full phase space and hence are edge states, are marked by heavy lines.

The localization process of the traveling wave in domains of increasing width is summarized in Fig. 12. In the domain with $L_z = 3\pi$ one notes that the central region of the new state is enhanced, for $L_z = 5\pi$ the localization is apparent and for $L_z = 7\pi$ it is essentially complete. Note that the width of the state, as measured, say, by the distance between the outermost streaks, increases from (a) to (b) and then decreases slightly again in going to (c). The contraction as the states become more localized has been seen in other situations as well [23] and seems to be a general feature. This then suggests that the unusual stability properties of the $L_z = 5\pi$-state are a consequence of the geometrical constraints and atypical.

The strong localization of the states in domains of increasing width is further demonstrated in Fig. 12 where the envelope of the downstream energy content is fitted to a function of the form $f(z) = A \sin^2(bz - c)$. The particular functional form is not so relevant, but it highlights the strong drop off in the spanwise direction. Also, the alignment between the intensities in domains of width $L_z = 5\pi$ and $7\pi$ shows that the states are already close to their asymptotic form for infinitely wide domains.
VI. CONCLUDING REMARKS

The stability analysis of exact coherent structures in wide domains presented here provides an approach to the determination of localized structures via the use of the edge tracking algorithm. It shows that extended states that are multiple copies of the exact coherent structures from small domains develop long-wavelength instabilities as a mechanism to generate localized structures out of periodically extended ones can be expected to occur in other systems as well. We therefore expect that similar bifurcation patterns can be found in other shear flows where localized structures appear, such as the boundary layer cases studied in [22, 59, 60] or the localized edge states in the asymptotic boundary layer, [41, 42].

Finally, we would like to point out that the long-wavelength stability analysis can also be performed in the downstream direction. One should then find transitions to solutions that are periodically extended in the spanwise direction but localized downstream [18, 20] or fully localized in both directions [17, 19].
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