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Backgrounds: Several academic performance studies during the COVID-19 pandemic on education outside medicine showed varying results. This scoping review aims to identify research trends in medical education that focus on the academic performance of medical students during the COVID-19 pandemic to enable available research to be mapped and summarized, and gaps in research results can be identified.

Methods: The authors applied the Arksey and O’Malley framework to conduct the scoping review. This review was conducted from January to 30 May 2022. Comprehensive article searches were conducted on six databases (PubMed, ProQuest, EBSCOhost, ERIC, Science Direct, Google Scholar) using keywords of COVID-19, academic performance, academic achievement, medical education, and medical students.

Results: A literature search identified 24 publications eligible for analysis. The cohort is the most chosen research design. The publications were only taken from three continents; those were from Asia, America, and Europe. Most of the publications came from the Asian continent, and most of the participants involved in the studies were undergraduates. Eleven out of 24 publications reported on the impact of research before and during the COVID-19 pandemic on academic performance. Six out of 11 studies showed differences in results. Three studies indicated that students achieved lower grades during the COVID-19 pandemic. In contrast, three other studies reported that students got higher grades during the COVID-19 pandemic. Twenty studies reported the influencing factors of the academic performance.

Conclusion: The literatures reported differences in medical students’ academic performance before and during the COVID-19 pandemic. Twelve variables affected medical students’ academic performance during the COVID-19 pandemic.
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Introduction
The COVID-19 pandemic is the most apparent cause that makes people unable to live a good lifestyle and education. Medical education has not escaped this impact by being forced to rapidly shift from traditional face-to-face learning to online formats.¹ It also gives a distinct impression on students. Some of them have negative attitudes toward online learning due to perceptions of decreased quality of education, distractions at home, poorer relationships with friends and faculty, and technical problems.²–⁴ Other students had different opinions that online learning had advantages, including increased flexibility in learning, less travel time, more learning at home, and freedom to express their learning speed.²,⁴,⁵ These two opinions illustrate that students interpret online learning in a balanced way.

During the COVID-19 pandemic, medical students faced different routines from face-to-face learning. It is mainly related to achieving aspects of clinical skills and laboratory practice. These two aspects seemed challenging to be implemented online. The academic performance resulted from students’ efforts showing the extent to which a person has achieved the goals that have been set. Academic performance was defined as the achievement of the learning process, knowledge acquisition, and job skills development.⁶
Several academic performance studies during the COVID-19 pandemic in education outside medicine showed varying results. Psychological education shows a difference in academic performance (final exam) between before and during the COVID-19 pandemic, where academic performance during the pandemic is better than face to face traditional methods evaluated using GoKoan.\(^7\) Findings on the business and arts streams students showed that the pre-pandemic group did worse academically than their peers during the pandemic. However, pre-COVID-19 students achieved better job readiness scores than their peers during COVID-19.\(^8\) Research on telecommunication engineering students found that students’ overall academic performance in remote emergencies was significantly better than in traditional face-to-face teaching.\(^9\) Business administration education showed no statistically significant difference in student academic performance. In addition, the unplanned and rapid move to online distance learning during the pandemic did not result in a bad learning experience as expected.\(^10\) Based on these varied findings, it is also necessary to take pictures in the world of medical education.

There were a large number of articles on the COVID-19 pandemic and its impact on academic performance in the field of medical education. It is necessary to have an overview of the studies carried out, the topics discussed, and the details of the studies carried out in this field, which will later aim to determine the priorities of the future research on this topic. The scoping review is one of the effective methods for conducting such review.\(^11\) Many researchers use a scoping review to cover a broad subject that has not been comprehensively synthesized and is usually used as a precursor to systematic reviews.\(^12\)

This scoping review aims to identify research trends in medical education focusing on medical students’ academic performance during the COVID-19 pandemic to enable available research to be mapped and summarized. Gaps in research results can be identified. It will also provide direction for future research on academic performance.

**Methods**

**Study Design**
We chose to scope review as the research design because it can assess the extent, reach and scope of the available literature, and identify key themes and factors. It provides information on new research areas to other researchers, resulting in potential practical implications and research related to academic performance during the COVID-19 pandemic on medical education. The specific method we used in the review follows the framework of Arksey and O’Malley,\(^13\) which consists of the following five steps:

**Step 1: Identifying the Research Question**
We identified research questions by conducting a bibliometric analysis. Bibliometric analysis is a method for exploring and analyzing a large amount of scientific data. Bibliometric analysis is useful for revealing trends that arise from topics or fields of research in articles and journals, exploring a comprehensive picture of certain domains in the existing literature, and obtaining new ideas for investigation. We use bibliometric analysis procedures from Donthu et al.\(^14\) We developed the questions based on population, concept, and context.\(^15\) We had three questions consisting of

(a) What are the general characteristics of scoping review of academic performance during the COVID-19 pandemic on medical education?

(b) Are there differences in academic performance before and during the COVID-19 pandemic on medical education?

(c) What are the factors that influence academic performance during the COVID-19 pandemic on medical education?

To answer the first and second questions, we provided data including author details, year of publication, country location, research method, objectives, research situation, participants, sample size, and study design. We provided details about the independent variables, instruments for measuring academic performance, and significant findings to answer the second and third research questions.
Step 2: Identifying Relevant Studies

In this step, we created a set of inclusion and exclusion criteria to focus the scope of the review and selected databases so that the acquisition of search results would be in line with the research objectives. Inclusion criteria included full-text English-language primary articles that focus on academic performance or academic achievement during the COVID-19 pandemic, published from 01 January 2020 to 30 May 2022, containing elements of the population, concepts, and context as a format in the research process. Exclusion criteria included non-primary research articles such as all reviews, editorial letters or comments, case reports, and other documents outside the area of health and medical education and articles related to performance in patient care cases or decision-making.

The data search which was carried out by two reviewers (Y.I., M.A.), systematically examined academic performance studies during the COVID-19 pandemic in an online format. The articles were obtained using six bibliographic databases (PubMed, ProQuest, EBSCOhost, ERIC, Science Direct, Google Scholar) and PROSPERO implementing the following terms: ((COVID-19 [Title/Abstract]) AND (Academic Performance [Title/Abstract]) OR (Academic Achievement [Title/Abstract]) AND (Medical Education [Title/Abstract]) OR (Medical Student [Title/Abstract])). Our search was limited to studies on a population of medical students (medical graduates, medical professionals, and residents), English, and supplemented by a manual search for reference lists of identified papers. The PROSPERO database was evaluated using the steps described above (title/abstract section edited) to confirm that there was no systematic review scoping studies on recent or ongoing work that has been completed on the topic. The last database search was conducted on May 30, 2022.

Step 3: Selection of Research Results

We imported all titles into Mendeley Desktop reference manager software (version 1.19.8) for the title, abstract filtering, and data characterization then deleted the duplicates. Full-text articles included in the list were analyzed and evaluated independently for eligibility. We use an iterative approach to the selection of evidence sources. Two of the four authors (Y.I., M.A.) independently applied a screening tool to all titles and abstracts of retrieved articles to determine their eligibility for full article review.

To facilitate calibration, the authors met three times during the process, with the first meeting focused on creating a shared understanding of the inclusion and exclusion criteria. The next two meetings compared selected quotes and discussed differences. For the other two authors (T.J.R., S.E.), they were necessary to consult in making a mutual agreement if there were different opinions among authors. Throughout this process, one author (Y.I.) monitored each meeting to ensure and verify the accuracy of the work and contributed to the analysis of the results. Figure 1 shows the study selection process using the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) statement.16

Step 4: Data Mapping Process

The next step to carry out was data extraction and recording process. We used Arksey and O’Malley’s “descriptive-analytical” approach for data extraction, summarizing information from selected articles and recording data in an Excel spreadsheet. It allowed us to analyze selected articles through a general framework. The first author (Y.I.) developed a data extraction form to enter detailed general publication data and data related to the purpose of this study.

The forms were simultaneously calibrated based on the articles analysis conducted by M.A., T.J.R., and S.E. The first author (Y.I.) performed data extraction from the included full-text articles, which were then thoroughly reviewed by another author (M.A.), and disagreements on data extraction were resolved by consensus among all authors.

Step 5: Collating, Summarizing Findings, and Reporting the Results

The data extracted in an Excel spreadsheet were then calculated in descriptive statistics to describe the review’s characteristics. To explain the authors’ reasons for conducting the scoping review and their research questions, we used thematic analysis.17 The authors started the activity of summarizing the findings and reporting the results by coding the activity to identify the relevance of the article to the three research questions that were asked at the beginning. Right after that, the authors conducted a meeting which focused on cross-checking agreement on the overall coding rationale and research questions as well as resolving any disagreements. The authors agreed to use a descriptive code namely “general characteristics” (eg, details of the author, year of publication, country location, research method, objectives, research situation, participants, sample size, study design); and theoretical codes namely “main outcome” and “secondary
outcome” (eg, independent variables, instruments to measure academic performance, and significant findings). Code frequencies were summarized and presented in tabular form. Microsoft Excel 365 (Microsoft, Redmond, WA, USA) was used to facilitate descriptive analysis and summary tables.

**Results**

The number of articles at each stage in the flow diagram is presented in Figure 1. The articles relevant to the title identification and abstract review were 287 articles. Fifty-four duplicates were removed. Twenty-four articles met the inclusion criteria and were included in the final analysis.

Based on the results of the five steps of Arksey and O’Malley, this scoping study was presented in three main categories: general characteristics, the primary outcome, and secondary outcome.

**General Characteristics of Included Studies**

Table 1 shows academic performance of medical students during the COVID-19 pandemic studies were mostly published in 2021 (62.5%). Most studies were conducted in single medical schools (95.8%). The research designs were Cohort and Cross-sectional studies with 50% and 45.8% in total, respectively, and 4.2% case–control studies. Most of the participants involved in the studies were undergraduate students (87.5%). Only three continents were represented in this study; most of the publications were from Asian continent (South Korea, China, Indonesia, Hong Kong, Japan, Thailand, Taiwan, Jordanian, Bahrain, and Saudi Arabia); America (the United States and Mexico); and Europe (Germany, Turkey).
Instruments to Measure Academic Performance

The measurement of academic performance in this scoping varies greatly (see Table 2). There were six studies using GPA; nine studies using module scores; three studies implementing summative exam scores; two studies

Table 1 Characteristics of Research Articles Related to Academic Performance and Medical Education During COVID-19

| N= 24 | % |
|-------|---|
| Year of publication | |
| ● 2020 | 2 | 8.3 |
| ● 2021 | 15 | 62.5 |
| ● 2022 | 7 | 29.2 |
| Research situation | |
| ● Single medical school | 23 | 95.8 |
| ● Multiple medical schools | 1 | 4.2 |
| Research design | |
| ● Cross-sectional study | 11 | 45.8 |
| ● Cohort | 12 | 50 |
| ● Case-control | 1 | 4.2 |
| Participant | |
| ● Undergraduate | 21 | 87.5 |
| ● Clinical | 1 | 4.2 |
| ● Mixed | 2 | 8.3 |
| Country origin of publication | |
| ● The USA | 6 | 25 |
| ● Bahrain | 3 | 12.5 |
| ● South Korea | 2 | 8.3 |
| ● Mexico | 1 | 4.2 |
| ● China | 1 | 4.2 |
| ● Indonesia | 1 | 4.2 |
| ● Hong Kong | 1 | 4.2 |
| ● Turkey | 1 | 4.2 |
| ● Saudi Arabia | 3 | 12.5 |
| ● Germany | 1 | 4.2 |
| ● Japanese | 1 | 4.2 |
| ● Thailand | 1 | 4.2 |
| ● Taiwan | 1 | 4.2 |
| ● Jordanian | 1 | 4.2 |
| 1st Author Year, Country, Research Design | Objectives | Research Situation | Participants | Sample Size | Independent Variable | Instrument to Measure Academic Performance | Major Finding |
|------------------------------------------|------------|--------------------|--------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------|
| Mohsin et al, 2021, USA, Cross-sectional Study. | This study explores the factors that best predict course grades for medical students during the pandemic (before and during) | Single Medical School | Undergraduate | 79 | Comfort level of online learning, comfort level of using technology, faculty assistance, online learning materials, and students' perceptions of their level of persistence during COVID-19 | Module exam scores | There is a difference in module scores before and during the COVID-19 pandemic where students' scores in modules during COVID-19 were significantly higher than scores in previous years. There is a strong and positive correlation between students' persistence levels and their module scores during COVID-19. |
| Darici et al, 2021, Germany, Cohort. | To evaluate the execution of a histology course previously taught in a classroom into an online course format based on video conferencing software. | Single Medical School | Undergraduate | 392 divided into two groups: 192 second semester groups and 200 third semester groups | Fully digital histology | Laboratory examination score | There is no difference between academic performance pre (face-to-face version) and during the COVID-19 pandemic (digital version of histology course). |
| Dikmen, 2020, Turkey, Cross-sectional Study. | To examine the effect of mediating attitudes on distance education in explaining the relationship between e-learning style and academic achievement of medical education students conducted online. | Single Medical School | Undergraduate | 148 | E-learning style and attitude towards distance education | GPA | Attitudes towards distance education have a mediating effect on the relationship between e-learning style and academic success |
| Sekine, 2022, Japanese, Cross-sectional study. | To evaluate the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on medical education in terms of students’ attitudes towards online classes and their online accessibility; In addition, to examined the impact of the disruption caused by the pandemic on achievement test performance based on test results | Single Medical School | Mixed | 674 divided into two, namely 412 preclinical students and 262 clinical students | Medical students’ attitude toward online classes; internet access | Score CBT | Students who preferred face-to-face classes had a significantly higher overall score (p = 0.021) than students who preferred other methods. Students who found the “ease of maintaining daily routine” total score (p = 0.046) to be an advantage in face-to-face classes had significantly higher scores. |
| Study                  | Objective                                                                 | Institution                             | Study Type          | Sample Size | Measure                                      | Effect Size               | Findings                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------|----------------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Tashkandi, 2021, Saudi Arabia, Cross-sectional Study | To explore changes in knowledge, attitudes, and challenges related to e-learning during the COVID-19 pandemic and determine how e-learning affects academic performance | Single Medical School                   | Undergraduate      | 995         | E-learning educational programs             | Test score per semester  | There is a statistically significant difference between first and second semester test scores for students in grade 6, grade 5, grade 4, and grade 2. The difference in mean indicates that students performed better on the second semester test by transitioning to e-learning. However, the difference between these tests is not statistically significant for third year students. |
| Co et al, 2021, Hong Kong, Case-Control | To evaluate the relationship between medical student stress during the COVID-19 pandemic and their academic performance on final exams. | Single Medical School                   | Undergraduate      | 110         | Psychosocial stress                          | Module final grades      | Academic stress during the COVID-19 pandemic is associated with poorer academic performance.                                                                                                               |
| Kositanurit et al, 2022, Thailand, Cohort | To analyze and compare the academic achievement and attitudes of medical students on cardiovascular physiology between the years before COVID-19 and COVID-19 in which different teaching methods were applied. In addition, to evaluate whether teaching methods and teachers affect academic achievement and student attitudes. | Single Medical School                   | Undergraduate      | 613         | Aspects of cardiovascular physiology teaching | Summative exams score    | There is a significant difference in student academic achievement, which is higher before the pandemic than during COVID by comparing lectures taught with traditional methods compared to asynchronous online methods. Subgroup analysis revealed that teachers are also a factor that affects students’ academic achievement. |

(Continued)
| 1st Author Year, Country, Research Design | Objectives | Research Situation | Participants | Sample Size | Independent Variable | Instrument to Measure Academic Performance | Major Finding |
|------------------------------------------|------------|--------------------|--------------|-------------|----------------------|------------------------------------------|---------------|
| Conway et al, 2021, USA, Cohort.          | To assess the impact of distance learning during the COVID-19 pandemic on academic performance and student satisfaction among first-year medical students. | Single Medical School | Undergraduate | 128 students using the remote format compared to 122 students using the traditional approach | Distance learning | Mid-and final grades | There is differences were observed in student performance on the midterm and final exams between the two groups (pre and during the COVID-19 pandemic) in the multiple-choice and written exams. |
| Salzman et al, 2021, USA, Cohort.         | To assess the effect of attendance at non-mandatory sessions on academic performance by looking at factors before and during the pandemic | Single Medical School | Undergraduate | 82 | Attendance (number of course days on which a student recorded attendance at a nonmandatory session) | A combined score from a midterm and final examination | There is a weak and significant positive correlation between attendance and performance in this year’s first class module 1 whereas, however, there is no correlation between module 2 or module 3. |
| Allah et al, 2021, Saudi Arabia, Cross-sectional Study. | To assess the impact of COVID-19 on the psychological health and academic performance of medical students | Single Medical School | Undergraduate | 1591 | Psychological health (GAD-7 score) | GPA | There was a positive correlation between anxiety and a high GPA where participants with a GPA score (3–3.5) had a significantly higher GAD-7 score (P<0.05). |
| Andersen et al, 2022, USA, Cohort.        | To determine differences in academic performance, study habits, student/lecturer relations, and mental health of first-year medical students during the COVID-19 pandemic compared to the pre-COVID cohort. | Single Medical School | Undergraduate | 125 consisting of 47 first year students, 45 second year students and 33 third year students | Pre and during COVID-19 | Performance exam | There is a significant difference between the grades of the first semester during the COVID-19 pandemic and the grades of the first semester students before the COVID-19 where the student scores during the COVID-19 pandemic were not above the national average compared to the students before COVID-19 (55% vs 77%). |
| Authors | Methodology | Objectives | Participants | Interventions | Outcomes |
|---------|-------------|------------|--------------|---------------|----------|
| Chang et al.\(^{39}\) 2022, Taiwan, Cohort. | To evaluate the effect of this modified teaching strategy during the Covid-19 pandemic on academic performance in studying regional anatomy | Single Medical School Undergraduate | 2018–2019 cohort (150); 2019–2020 cohort (156) | Modified teaching strategy Laboratory examination score | There is a significant difference where the value of lectures and laboratory examinations of systematic anatomy and regional anatomy during the pandemic is higher than before COVID-19 |
| AL-Husban et al.\(^{20}\) 2021, Jordanian, Cross-sectional Study. | To analyze the relationship between the covid-19 pandemic and other variables such as psychological stress and academic performance | Multiple Medical School Mixed | 415 consisting of 319 clinical students and 96 pre-clinical students | Clinical years and pre-clinical years GPA, financial, psychological, and hygienic impact on medical students | There is a negative effect on the academic grades of medical students during the COVID-19 pandemic. The academic grades of students in the clinical years are more likely to be negatively affected than students in the pre-clinical years. |
| Tumonggor et al.\(^{34}\) 2021, Indonesia, Cross-sectional Study. | To analyze the relationship between stress and academic achievement | Single Medical School Undergraduate | 146 | Stress Final block scores | There is a relationship between stress and student achievement in the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Krida Wacana Christian University, batches of 2017 to 2019 during the COVID-19 pandemic |
| Razzak et al.\(^{37}\) 2022, Bahrain, Cohort. | To analyze the effect of student achievement before and during the Covid pandemic | Single Medical School Undergraduate | Second year students who took the CVS final unit exam in the previous academic year (n = 183) and during the pandemic (n = 195) | Pre and during COVID-19 pandemic Self-reported academic performance | There is no difference in student achievement between the pandemic year and the previous year. |

(Continued)
| 1st Author Year, Country, Research Design | Objectives | Research Situation | Participants | Sample Size | Independent Variable | Instrument to Measure Academic Performance | Major Finding |
|------------------------------------------|------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------|----------------------|------------------------------------------|---------------|
| Cheng et al,2021, China, Cross-sectional Study | To analyze the relationship between medical students’ preferences regarding the teaching strategies used by instructors with the level of course difficulty, students’ academic performance, and perceived effectiveness. | Single Medical School | Undergraduate | 375 | Online instructional strategies | Self-reported academic performance | Three online learning strategies are positively related to students’ perceptions of online teaching effectiveness and academic performance |
| Al Shaibani et al,2020, Bahrain, Cohort. | To analyze the effectiveness of virtual medical education by comparing student performance in final exams in face-to-face and virtual settings. | Single Medical School | Undergraduate | 183 | Face-to-face VS virtual setting | Final exam | There is no significant difference in student performance between face-to-face media and virtual settings with the difficulty index of the two exams not much different |
| Darr et al,2021, USA, Cohort. | To evaluate the potential impact of the transition to distance learning on examination performance among second-year preclinical medical students at the University of Illinois Peoria School of Medicine (UICOMP) by comparing summative assessment data for medical neuroscience courses over a 2-year period (2019 and 2020), | Single Medical School | Undergraduate | 65 | Video conferencing | Summative scores | There is no difference in the summative value of neuroscience courses between 2019 students and 2020 students |
| Saberi et al,2021, Bahrain, Cross-sectional Study. | This study investigated COVID-19 anxiety, burnout, and academic achievement in staggers and interns of Guilan University of Medical Science | Single Medical School | Undergraduate | 138 | Corona Disease Anxiety Scale (CDAS) in 2019 to measure the anxiety of COVID-19 and Maslach Burnout Inventory-Student Survey (MBI-SS) to measure burnout | GPA | GPA was not significantly correlated with CDAS and MBI-SS |
| Authors | Year | Location | Study Type | Sample Size | Intervention | Outcome | Findings |
|---------|------|----------|------------|-------------|-------------|---------|----------|
| H. Yun et al. | 2021 | South Korea | Cross-sectional Study | Single Medical School Undergraduate | 510 | Motivational regulation strategies (MRSs) on cognitive learning and academic performance | GPA | MRSs were highly influential to predicting students’ cognitive learning which affects their better learning performance |
| Alkalash | 2022 | Saudi Arabia | Cross-sectional Study | Single Medical School Undergraduate | 198 | Sleep quality | GPA | Sleep quality among most of them and negatively affected their academic performance. |
| Fernandez-Altuna et al. | 2021 | Mexico | Cohort | Single Medical School Undergraduate | 4737 | Assessment in 2019 and 2020 | Regular exams score in 16 subjects | There is a significant difference obtained in the academic performance of the two school years (2019 face-to-face VS 2020 online) where students in 2020 have a higher graduation rate than students in 2019 |
| Y.H. Yun | 2022 | South Korea | Cohort | Single Medical School Undergraduate | 145 | Change in the schedules and methods of teaching for “human anatomy” lecture | Written and practical examinations | There is a difference in the total average score (written and practical exams) between the class of 2020 students and the students of the class of 2019 which is significantly lower in 2020 than in 2019 |
| Yom et al. | 2021 | USA | Cohort | Single Medical School Clinical | 112 | A flipped classroom model | Examination scores | There was no statistically significant difference between the groups. The results of the comparison of the test scores of the two groups are as follows in the face-to-face group, the average test score is 84.9%; for the online group, the average test score was 84.6%. |
making use of practice/performance exams\textsuperscript{2,36}; two studies employing self-reported academic performance scores\textsuperscript{37,38}; and two studies applying laboratory examination scores\textsuperscript{39,40}.

**Primary Outcome: Academic Performance in Medical Education Before and During the COVID-19 Pandemic**

Table 2 shows that 11 out of 24 publication reports on the academic performance before and during the COVID-19 pandemic. All of the studies reported comparative study before and during the COVID-19 pandemic based on learning methods (online methods vs traditional methods) within single medical school setting (n=11),\textsuperscript{2,24,28,31–33,35–37,39,40} with cohort as the research design option (n=10).\textsuperscript{2,28,31–33,35–37,39,40}

Eleven studies were included in the evaluation of primary outcomes. Four studies were conducted in Asian continent.\textsuperscript{35–37,39} Six studies were conducted in America,\textsuperscript{2,24,28,31–33} and one study was conducted in Europe.\textsuperscript{40} Most studies were conducted in 2021.\textsuperscript{24,28,31–33,40}

Six out of 11 studies showed differences in outcome of before and during COVID-19 pandemic\textsuperscript{2,24,31,35,36,39} and five other studies with no difference in outcome.\textsuperscript{28,32,33,37,40} It means that more studies reported the influence of COVID-19 pandemic situation to academic performance. Three studies showed that medical students scored poorly during the COVID-19 pandemic\textsuperscript{2,35,36} and the other three studies stated that students achieved higher grades during the COVID-19 pandemic.\textsuperscript{24,31,39}

Three out of 11 published studies were conducted on learning activities in the laboratory. Two out of the three studies reported significantly different outcomes of academic performance before and during COVID-19 pandemic.\textsuperscript{36,39}

**Secondary Outcome: Factors Affecting Medical Students’ Academic Performances During the COVID-19 Pandemic**

Table 2 shows several studies related to the influencing factors of medical students’ academic performance during COVID-19 pandemic. We divided these factors into internal and external factors. We found nine studies examining students’ internal factors that influence students’ performance such as sleep quality,\textsuperscript{22} motivation,\textsuperscript{22} anxiety,\textsuperscript{19,21} stress,\textsuperscript{27,34} students’ attitude toward online classes,\textsuperscript{18,25} e-learning style,\textsuperscript{18} and level of persistence students.\textsuperscript{24} Most studies (n=8) showed a positive and significant correlation.\textsuperscript{18,19,22–25,27,34} Two studies evaluating the effect of anxiety to academic performance during COVID-19 pandemic reported different results. One study reported that anxiety influence academic performance,\textsuperscript{19} and the other study reported opposite result.\textsuperscript{21}

We found 11 studies examined external factors that influenced academic performance during COVID-19 pandemic. The factors were the use of online learning media,\textsuperscript{28,30,32,35,39,40} changes in the schedule of anatomy laboratory learning activities,\textsuperscript{36} graduation assessment system,\textsuperscript{30} online instructional strategies,\textsuperscript{38} students’ attendance,\textsuperscript{29} e-learning educational programs.\textsuperscript{26} Five studies reported correlation of the factors to academic performance.\textsuperscript{20,26,29,38,39}

**Discussion**

A scoping review of the COVID-19 pandemic response on medical education has been carried out. Both reviews focus on the development of medical education during COVID-19 pandemic.\textsuperscript{41,42} Both of the articles also reviewed the development of assessments implemented during the COVID-19 pandemic as well as the outcomes of learning as measured by Kirkpatrick’s levels or other outcomes. However, those studies did not report a review on comparing academic performance before and during the COVID-19 pandemic and the factors that influence the performance. Therefore, we conducted this scoping review to explore the topic of academic performance before and during the COVID-19 pandemic in medical education. This type of literature review makes it possible to assess the scope of the research field and clarify the extent of the progress, reach, approach, and interest of researchers in the scope of academic performance during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Half of the articles in this scoping review used a cohort study design since (1) cohort studies help researchers to study multiple outcomes in the same exposure; (2) this method is efficient for studying the correlation between exposure and outcome; (3) exposure variables, other confounding variables, and outcomes can be measured more accurately because...
the respondent requires subjective assessment or memory; and (4) retrospective cohort studies can be completed quickly and relatively inexpensive compared to prospective cohort studies.  

Interestingly, equal number of studies reported lower academic performance and higher academic performance during COVID-19 pandemic. Students achieved lower grades during the COVID-19 pandemic due to (1) restrictions on socializing with peers, lecturers, and faculty only in virtual setting which led to poor interactions with classmates, lecturers, and faculty. This condition is more pronounced for first-semester students, since the first semester of medical education is a difficult transition period from secondary to higher education. Many students rely on each other for support and seek help from lecturers and faculties. Therefore, the lack of direct social interaction with classmates, lecturers, and faculty adds to the overall negative experience, including its effect on motivation.  

(2) Lack of practical face-to-face training during COVID-19 pandemic will potentially cause medical students unprepared to study in real clinical setting of hospitals and public health centre.  

(3) Unstable Internet access. These technical barriers make it difficult for students to engage in learning and study without distraction.  

(4) A sudden shift to online learning without adequate preparation, limited resources, and inadequate technological support quality of lectures and student influence teaching and learning process and obviously students’ academic performance.  

(5) And limiting time and restriction of hands on for laboratory practice such as anatomy, histology, pathology, etc influence to study and take practical exams.

Good mental and academic support will help reduce the heavy burden on students during the COVID-19 pandemic. Student support and training during the COVID-19 pandemic can be a solid foundation for understanding how to deal with other pandemics in the future. Student support methods must adapt to new circumstances and environments and provide different levels of support through online and face-to-face strategies. The level of support includes (1) the development of supportive policies in a supportive environment and culture; (2) providing active support to students with pre-existing risk factors for developing mental health problems; (3) screening of the student population to find individuals who need support; (4) provision of passive support facilities for those who wish to access support.

Support for online methods can be as effective as face-to-face strategies.

The higher achievement during the COVID-19 pandemic was due to (1) the number of students within groups in small group learning, and the arrangement of rotating practicum times were cut to meet the COVID-19 health protocol. This setting provides students opportunity to equal learning and study in undisturbed setting.  

(2) Online examination might increase the possibility of cheating during examination among students. Moreover, better scores could also be due to lower stress at home when working with exams.  

(3) Tenacity and perseverance are important assets to face online learning conditions during COVID-19 pandemic. Those who have the assets are more likely to overcome the barriers of online learning and led to good academic performance.  

(4) Furthermore, the variety of student learning styles and supporting infrastructure (eg, video recording of expert lectures, Zoom, email, YouTube video demonstrations, including games) allow students to compensate for the missing elements of face-to-face traditional teaching.

Some variables were reported to influence medical students’ academic performance during the COVID-19 pandemic. Those were sleep quality, motivation, anxiety, stress, students’ attitude toward online classes, e-learning style, student persistence level, graduation assessment system, online learning media, online instructional strategies, attendance, and e-learning educational programs.

Poor sleep quality among medical students troubles academic performance. Literature reported that 91% of the students with poor sleep quality got a GPA of 2.25 (P 0.001). This situation was due to sudden changes in class schedules, the use of online methods that practically make students to learn using online learning technology and changes in their independent study schedule.  

The motivational regulation strategies affect academic performance through cognitive learning. Implementing appropriate learning activities during the COVID-19 pandemic enables students to gain knowledge, creating high motivation to obtain good academic performance.  

The learning process that was initially face-to-face then shifted to online, delayed students to submit assignment on time due to lack of learning materials that can usually be obtained from the library, and this situation triggers anxiety. This anxiety can increase the hormone cortisol secretion.  

The same episode is experienced by students who experience stress. Stress triggers memory damage by affecting the individual’s capacity to encode and retrieve information as well as excessive secretion of the hormone cortisol, causing worse long-term memory retention, feelings of difficulty, fatigue, low self-esteem, low motivation, and sleep disturbances resulting in poor academic performance.
Students who prefer face-to-face classes have a significantly higher overall score \( (p = 0.021) \) than those who prefer other methods (synchronous and asynchronous). It is due to the ease of maintaining daily learning routines (e.g., group study, finding library learning resources, interacting with lecturers, etc).\(^{25}\) Likewise, the e-learning style can predict the average test score significantly positively. Medical students’ most preferred e-learning styles are logical, independent, audio-visual, social, active, intuitive, and verbal.\(^{18}\)

Student persistence is also a variable that affects academic performance during the COVID-19 pandemic. It is due to students’ persistence in adapting to meeting and exceeding the challenges triggered by the COVID-19 learning environment. Persistence is shown by perseverance. Higher levels of persistence are more likely to overcome the problems they face. They are confident in their learning abilities.\(^{24}\)

**Limitations**

Several limitations arise regarding the review process. Sources of evidence are limited to those published between 01 January 2020 and 30 May 2022, so studies in the literature published after that date cannot be reviewed which might cause present new findings and valuable information will not be evaluated. In addition, the study did not consider variations in the progression of the pandemic across countries. However, we believe that the breadth represented in this review allows for a preliminary mapping of several different contexts, terms, and possibly conceptualizations of academic performance during the COVID-19 pandemic in the medical education literatures. Furthermore, this scoping review did not discuss the assessment methods used in the assessment of academic performance, even though the assessment method can also be an influencing factor for academic performance; for example, student-peer assessment, student-self-assessment, and essays with open books. Frequent weekly testing results in somewhat higher learning gains than periodic testing; for example, only once or twice during a semester.\(^{45}\)

**Implications for Further Research**

Throughout our search, this literature shows that this scoping review is an exploratory study of the academic performance status of medical students during the COVID-19 pandemic. We suspect that many opportunities can still be investigated both from the aspect of the independent variable and the dependent variable. Aspects of independent variables to academic performance that can be studied include learning environment, student engagement, teacher, self-directed learning readiness, coping mechanism, spiritual quotient, and emotional quotient. Academic performance can be evaluated based on student satisfaction, length of the study period, career success, and achievement of learning goals.\(^{46}\) The Gradient Boosted Trees algorithm is one of the appropriate measurements for academic performance.\(^{47}\) Other learning contexts such as laboratory activities, clinical skills, and clinical education can also be re-examined because of the limited findings in this scoping review.

**Conclusion**

There is a tendency to improve academic performance by controlling variables that have a direct or indirect effect and selecting research methods that align with research objectives. The literature shows differences in academic performance before and during the COVID-19 pandemic on medical education. Academic performance during the COVID-19 pandemic was influenced by internal and external factors.

Due to the finding that online study during COVID-19 pandemic resulting both poor and good academic performance, this can be the reason for medical education institution to conduct hybrid learning (online–offline).

Future studies need to discuss other variables that can affect the academic performance of medical students during the COVID-19 pandemic such as learning environment, student engagement, teacher, self-directed learning readiness, coping mechanisms, spiritual and emotional quotient, and how the COVID-19 pandemic can affect the population in different contexts on academic performance.
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