Minimally Invasive Cell-Free Human Embryo Aneuploidy Testing (miPGT-A) Utilizing Combined Spent Embryo Culture Medium and Blastocoel Fluid –Towards Development of a Clinical Assay
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Preimplantation genetic testing for aneuploidies (PGT-A) using trophectoderm (TE) biopsy samples is labour intensive, invasive, and subject to sampling bias. In this study, we report on the efficacy and factors affecting accuracy of a technique we pioneered for minimally invasive preimplantation genetic testing for aneuploidy (miPGT-A). Our technique uses cell-free embryonic DNA (cfeDNA) in spent embryo culture medium (SEM) combined with blastocoel fluid (BF) to increase the amount of assayable cfeDNA. We compared miPGT-A results (n = 145 embryos) with standard PGT-A analysis of the corresponding trophectoderm biopsy. We found that accuracy of miPGT was not related to blastocyst morphological grade. The overall concordance rate per sample for euploidy/aneuploidy status between miPGT-A and TE biopsy samples was 88/90 (97.8%), and was not different between good 47/48 (97.9%) and moderate/low quality blastocysts 41/42 (97.9%) (p > 0.05). Importantly, we also discovered that for cfeDNA analysis, the SurePlex whole genome amplification (WGA) kit can be utilized without an additional cell lysis/extraction DNA step; this efficiency likely reduces the risk of maternal contamination. Regarding origin of embryonic cfeDNA, the average amount of miPGT-A WGA-DNA we obtained from blastocysts with different morphological grades, as well as the size miPGT-A WGA-DNA fragments, suggest that it is unlikely that apoptosis and necrosis are only mechanisms of DNA release from the inner cell mass (ICM) and TE into BF and SEM.

Preimplantation genetic testing for aneuploidies (PGT-A) using trophectoderm (TE) biopsy and next generation sequencing (NGS) as a testing platform for embryo selection has significantly improved ongoing pregnancy rates per transfer shortening the time to pregnancy in addition to the reduction of multiple pregnancies by transferring single euploid embryos, reduction in miscarriage rates and reduced risk of aneuploid pregnancies1–4. However, there are three main challenges of the preimplantation genetic testing associated with trophectoderm biopsy samples: (1) TE biopsy is labour intensive5–7; (2) TE biopsy is invasive8,9; and (3) it is subject to sampling bias - TE biopsy may not accurately represent the inner cell mass (ICM) and remainder of the TE10–12. Furthermore, although there has been no reported increase in the risk of adverse perinatal outcomes, such as pre-term birth
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and low birth weight, following invasive PGT compared with IVF without embryo biopsy, conclusive evidence regarding the long-term health of the offspring after embryo biopsy will take some time to obtain.

Recently, cell-free embryonic nuclear DNA (cfeDNA) has been found in both blastocoel fluid and spent embryo culture medium. Non-invasive preimplantation genetic testing (NIPGT) or minimally invasive preimplantation genetic testing (miPGT) using the cfeDNA of spent embryo culture medium (SEM) and/or blastocoel fluid (BF) has the potential to eliminate the need for embryo biopsy, thereby avoiding potential risks related to that invasive procedure. Moreover, NIPGT/miPGT is less labor intensive and potentially more cost-effective method. In addition, NIPGT-A, which is based on sequencing of cfeDNA likely released from both TE and ICM cells, may better represent the entire embryo compared to TE biopsy alone.

Currently, there are three major ongoing research approaches regarding how to collect cell-free embryonic DNA for non-invasive or minimally invasive aneuploidy testing:

1. Blastocoel fluid aspiration using an ICSI pipette.
2. Spent embryo culture medium collection.
3. Combined spent embryo culture medium and blastocoel fluid (SEM + BF) collection without blastocoel fluid aspiration.

Attempts to use cfeDNA for non-invasive preimplantation aneuploidy testing bring to light several factors that could potentially affect the accuracy of this approach reducing concordance rates with TE biopsy results. These include maternal contamination by cumulus and corona cells, cfeDNA degradation, low amounts of cfeDNA, variable DNA amplification efficacy and yield, and short DNA fragments.

Previous studies from our research group as well as others, have shown that cfeDNA testing using spent embryo culture medium on days 5 or 6 has the potential to detect chromosomal aneuploidy and monogenic disorders. In addition, NIPGT-A, based on sequencing of cfeDNA likely released from both TE and ICM cells, may better represent the entire embryo compared to TE biopsy alone. The mechanism(s) underlying the release of embryonic DNA in spent embryo culture medium and blastocoel fluid remain unclear. Several authors have hypothesized that cfeDNA is correlated with apoptotic events. If this is true, it should follow that lower quality blastocysts, which generally have higher degrees of apoptosis, would result in a higher quantity of cfeDNA release and thus more accurate results from aneuploidy testing.

High concordance rates between NIPGT-A and TE biopsy, and between NIPGT-A and whole blastocysts were reported by several groups. However, there are several very important issues still need to be addressed before routine clinical application of NIPGT. These include: minimization of maternal DNA contamination risk, determining the factors affecting accuracy, and optimization of the WGA protocol for cfeDNA.

In this report, we have assessed the accuracy and reliability of utilizing cfeDNA in SEM + BF samples for blastocyst chromosomal status detection in comparison to corresponding TE biopsy samples in a larger cohort of fresh cultured embryos than our previous publication. We have also evaluated several important factors that could influence this method, including: (1) quantity of amplified cfeDNA obtained; (2) the effect of blastocyst morphological grades on cfeDNA; (3) average size of WGA-DNA fragments from good and moderate/low quality blastocysts; and (4) whole genome amplification of cfeDNA from SEM + BF samples with or without a cell lysis/extraction enzymatic step.

### Results

**Blastocyst morphology had no effect on cfeDNA quantity and the mean size of WGA-DNA fragments miPGT samples.** Table 1 shows analysis of the amount of amplified DNA and fragment sizes from each of the samples. The amount was highest in TE biopsy samples. Blank medium negative control samples associated with each sample that underwent WGA showed no amplification in all cases. Both the average size of WGA-DNA fragments and amount of amplified DNA from miPGT samples from good quality blastocysts (≥BB) (n = 55) vs. moderate/low quality blastocysts (<BB) (n = 47) was not statistically different (Table 1). However, the amount of amplified DNA and average size of WGA-DNA fragments from TE biopsy samples were significantly different from amplified miPGT samples from both good quality and moderate/low quality blastocysts.

### Blastocyst morphology had no effect on the rate of miPGT-A informative results or concordance compared to standard PGT-A.

Informative NGS results (Table 2) were obtained for 98.0% of TE biopsies and for 88.2% of miPGT samples (87.3% for good quality and 89.4% for moderate/low quality blastocysts; p > 0.05). The overall concordance rate per sample for whole chromosome copy number abnormalities for
Table 2. Blastocyst quality and concordance rate for whole chromosome copy number abnormalities between miPGT samples and corresponding TE biopsy samples. Ploidy status - euploid or aneuploidy. Aneuploid – whole/segmental chromosome aneuploidy. *Not statistically significant difference between the values in the same column.

| Type of samples | Ploidy status (%) | Per sample | Per chromosome | Gender (%) | Euploid samples (%) | Aneuploid samples (%) |
|-----------------|-------------------|------------|----------------|------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| miPGT, ≥BB vs. TE | 47/48 (97.9)* | 1128/1152 (97.9)* | 48/48 (100) | 37/38 (97.4)* | 10/11 (90.9)* |
| miPGT, <BB vs. TE | 41/42 (97.6)* | 984/1008 (97.6)* | 42/42 (100) | 26/26 (100)* | 15/16 (93.8)* |
| miPGT, total vs. TE | 88/90 (97.8) | 2112/2160 (97.8) | 90/90 (100) | 63/64 (98.4) | 25/27 (92.6) |

Euploidy/aneuploidy status between miPGT and TE biopsy samples was 88/90 (97.8%), and was not different between good 47/48 (97.9%) and moderate/low quality blastocysts 41/42 (97.6%) (p > 0.05) (Tables 2 and 3). miPGT-A analysis correlated with PGT-A results for gender (100%) and aneuploidy in 92.6% miPGT samples. Aneuploidy/euploidy concordance rate did not depend on blastocyst quality (Table 2).

A detailed summary of miPGT-A and PGT-A results for paired samples of embryos with good and moderate/poor morphology are presented in Table 3. Figure 1 presents CNV plots from representative samples from this group.

Mosaicism results were complex when comparing PGT-A with miPGT-A (Table 3). There were 4 cases in which there was relatively full concordance between PGT-A with miPGT-Ain terms of mosaicism and the chromosome involved. In one case (embryo #33, Table 3), there was a mosaic segmental loss on chromosome 4q in the TE sample versus a complementary gain on chromosome 4q in the miPGT sample. There were 4 other cases that showed mosaicism in both PGT-A and miPGT-A samples but there was discordance as to which chromosome was involved. Interestingly, there were 3 cases in which miPGT-A showed euploidy and the PGT-A showed mosaicism, and 2 cases in which the PGT-A showed euploidy and the miPGT-A showed mosaicism (Table 3). We found no obvious differences in the rate of mosaicism detected or discordance rate between PGT-A and miPGT-A for good quality vs medium/low quality embryos, but the number of mosaic cases in these two cohorts was too small to make any accurate conclusions regarding this comparison (Table 3 and Supplement Table S1).

DNA amplification rate, amount of amplified cfeDNA and NGS results from miPGT samples with or without cell lysis/extraction enzyme step. The second objective of this study was to determine the accuracy, efficacy and reliability of whole genome amplification (WGA) to determine ploidy status of the blastocyst using combined SEM + BF samples with or without using the cell lysis/extraction enzyme step before WGA on separate aliquots from the same pool of SEM + BF collected for miPGT-A analysis.

We collected 2 aliquots from SEM + BF (miPGT samples) (n = 86) from 43 additional blastocysts. We analysed the amount of amplified DNA and NGS data of the 86 miPGT samples and corresponding 43 trophectoderm biopsy samples obtained from fresh blastocysts that underwent PGT-A cycles from. The first aliquot (miPGT-1) SEM + BF sample followed the standard SurePlex WGA protocol which starts with 5ul of sample and a cell lysis step. The second aliquot from the same SEM + BF pool (miPGT-2) was amplified following a modified WGA SurePlex protocol that starts with 10ul of sample and the direct pre-amplification. WGA products of miPGT-1 and miPGT-2 samples were compared with each other, and results were compared with the corresponding trophectoderm biopsy sample used as a control.

The amount of concentrated amplified cfeDNA from miPGT-1 samples was higher than in miPGT-2 samples, however this was not statistically significant. Respective blank medium (negative control) associated with each sample showed no amplification in all cases (Table 4).

Table 5 was obtained for 95.3% trophectoderm biopsies, for 93.0% of miPGT-1, and for 88.4% of miPGT-2 samples; the difference was not statistically significant. There was a high concordance rate (Table 5 and Supplementary Table S1) per sample for whole chromosome copy number abnormalities between: 1) miPGT-1 and TE biopsy samples (97.4%), 2) miPGT-2 and TE biopsy samples (97.2%) and 3) miPGT-1 and miPGT-2 samples (100%). miPGT correctly determined the gender of the embryos and aneuploidy for all chromosomes in minimally invasive samples (Supplementary Table S1, Fig. 2). Aneuploid embryo #43 (Supplementary Table S1) had complimentary aneuploidy, in term of gain versus loss of chromosome 9, between TE biopsy and both miPGT samples. Interestingly, analysis of the aneuploid embryo #40 (Supplementary Table S1) showed that the TE sample was trisomy 13, while both miPGT-1 and miPGT-2 had monosomy 20. We were unable to test the inner cell mass and rest of the TE for these embryos because we did not have patient consent for donation of the embryo to research.
| Embryo number | TE biopsy | miPGT, ≥ BB |
|---------------|-----------|-------------|
| **Euploid-euploid** | | |
| 1 | XX; normal | XX; normal |
| 2 | XX; normal | XX; normal |
| 3 | XX; normal | XX; normal |
| 4 | XX; normal | XX; normal |
| 5 | XX; normal | XX; normal |
| 6 | XX; normal | XX; normal |
| 7 | XX; normal | XX; normal |
| 8 | XY; normal | XY; normal |
| 9 | XX; normal | XX; normal |
| 10 | XX; normal | XX; normal |
| 11 | XY; normal | XY; normal |
| 12 | XY; normal | XY; normal |
| 13 | XX; normal | XX; normal |
| 14 | XY; normal | XY; normal |
| 15 | XY; normal | XY; normal |
| 16 | XY; normal | XY; normal |
| 17 | XY; normal | XY; normal |
| 18 | XX; normal | XX; normal |
| 19 | XY; normal | XY; normal |
| 20 | XY; normal | XY; normal |
| 21 | XX; normal | XX; normal |
| 22 | XY; normal | XY; normal |
| 23 | XY; normal | XY; normal |
| 24 | XX; normal | XX; normal |
| 25 | XY; normal | XY; normal |
| 26 | XX; normal | XX; normal |
| **Euploid-mosaic** | | |
| 27 | XY; normal | XY; mosaic − 8 (70%) |
| 28 | XY; mosaic − 9 (30%) | XY; normal |
| 29 | XX; mosaic − 15 (20%) | XX; normal |
| 30 | XX; mosaic: − 8p (35%), − 9p (35%) | XX; normal |
| 31 | XX; normal | XX; mosaic − 9 (70%) |
| **Mosaic-mosaic** | | |
| 32 | XY; mosaic − 4q (118.6 Mb, 20%) | XY; mosaic + 13q21.1 − q31.3 (34.84 Mb, 60%) |
| 33 | XY; mosaic loss: (− 4q22.1-q35.2, 100 Mb, 20%)* | XY; mosaic gain: (＋4q 22.1-q35.2, 100 Mb, 30%)* |
| 34 | XY; mosaic: + 8q (50%), − 5q15-q35.3 (88MB, 35%) | XY; mosaic − 3 (70%) |
| 35 | XY; mosaic − 16 (60%) | XY; mosaic − 17 (− 70%) |
| 36 | XX; mosaic: + 8 (50%), + 19 (50%) | XX; mosaic: − 17 (30%) |
| 37 | XX; mosaic: − 22 (60%) | XX; mosaic: − 22 (70%) |
| **Segmental aneuploid-euploid** | | |
| 38 | XX; normal | XX; − 5q23.3-q35.3 (51.71 Mb) |
| **Aneuploid-aneuploid** | | |
| 39 | XX; − 14 | XX; − 14 |
| 40 | XX; − 15 | XX; − 15 |
| 41 | XY; + 16, + 21 | XY; + 16, + 21 |
| 42 | XX; + 16 | XX; + 16 |
| 43 | XY; − 7, − 15, − 18 | XY; − 7, − 15, − 18 |
| 44 | XX; − 22 | XX; − 22 |
| 45 | XX; − 13 | XX; − 13 |
| 46 | XY; + 16 | XY; + 16 |
| 47 | XY; − 7 | XY; − 7 |
| 48 | XY; + 21 | XY; + 21 |
| **Embryos number** | TE biopsy | miPGT, < BB |
| **Euploid-euploid** | | |
| 1 | XX; normal | XX; normal |

Continued
In addition, our approach to transfer embryos into individual fresh droplets of medium on Day 4 and using SEM + BF samples obtained after Day 5/6 culture25, promotes a yield of less degraded cfeDNA. This method considers the embryonic genome activation stage in human embryos41,42 and the number of blastomeres on Day 4 versus Day 3. Our approach was also adopted in two recent studies24,34 that showed the superiority of using spent embryo fresh culture media from embryos cultured from day 4 today 5/6 for non-invasive PGT-A, compared to samples collected from a more extended culture period, which likely results in a more degraded cfeDNA sample.

Table 3. Summary of NGS results from all trophectoderm biopsy and miPGT samples obtained from the corresponding blastocyst. *Mosaic-complementary in terms of chromosomal gain versus loss between TE biopsy and miPGT samples. Blastocysts were grouped based on their static morphology in good if graded as ≥1/2 BB, or moderate/low if graded <1/2BB.
The issue of whether nucleic acids can penetrate through the zona pellucida is still an unsolved question. We hypothesized that assisted hatching (AH) may facilitate the release of a high molecular weight cfeDNA from BF into the culture medium. In our studies AH was performed on Day 4. For better passage of embryonic DNA, some researchers have tried to use zona opening on Day 3\(^2\). In other studies assisted hatching was not performed prior to conducting the TE biopsy\(^3\). Ho et al. found that assisted hatching on Day 3 did not influence cfeDNA concentration or accuracy of cfeDNA sequencing for aneuploidy screening\(^4\). CfeDNA isolated from spent embryo culture medium on Day 2/3 has a low molecular weight, where cellular fragmentation may also play a role\(^5\). Vera-Rodriguez et al. pointed out that samples isolated from spent embryo culture medium could contain high molecular weight DNA or sheared DNA\(^6\). We have not measured the amount of cfeDNA in D4-D5/6 embryo culture media, with or without using laser zona opening on Day 4 to test this hypothesis.

The mechanism(s) underlying the release of embryonic DNA into the culture medium remain unclear and the origin of these DNA fragments is unknown\(^7\). The most popular hypothesis is that nucleosome sized DNA fragments (~180–200 bp) are being released from cells as a result of apoptosis\(^8\). Another mechanism that could contribute cell free DNA with longer sized DNA fragments is necrosis\(^9\).

Previous studies have reported that the concentration of cfeDNA correlates with apoptotic events\(^10\). We hypothesized that lower grade blastocysts, which tend to have a higher rate of apoptosis, will release a higher quantity of cfeDNA into the medium. Recently Ho et al. found that blastocyst morphology did not influence cfeDNA concentration in spent embryo culture medium or accuracy for aneuploidy screening\(^1\). Rule K et al., indicated that cfeDNA in blastocoel fluid positively correlates with a high embryonic morphology score, which suggests that the better the embryo morphology, the higher the cfeDNA concentration\(^2\).

The results of our study, which represents the largest number of blastocysts tested using spent embryo culture medium combined with blastocoel fluid, demonstrated that lower grade of blastocysts does not affect the rate of informative NGS results from cfeDNA. The amount of amplified DNA from good quality blastocysts was slightly lower than that from moderate/low quality blastocysts, however the difference was not statistically significant. The concordance rate per sample for whole chromosome copy number between miPGT and TE biopsy samples (Tables 2 and 3), for both good and moderate/low quality blastocysts, was not statistically different. The mean size of WGA-DNA fragments derived from miPGT samples from good quality blastocysts and from moderate/low quality blastocysts was not statistically different (Table 1). Considering the amount of miPGT-A WGA-DNA from different blastocysts and the size of miPGT-A WGA-DNA fragments (not close to nucleosomal size), cell apoptosis may not be the only mechanism for DNA release from the ICM and TE into BF and SEM. Therefore, other mechanisms for release of embryonic DNA are probably involved. One possibility is that embryonic DNA in culture media may derive from cells damaged due to the laser pulses used during artificial shrinkage, although, as mentioned by us and Jiao et al.\(^3\), single laser pulse was used at the junction of TE cells located far away from the inner cell mass. Extrachromosomal microDNAs\(^4\) could also be a source of cfeDNA in spent culture medium. Production of these microDNAs is a part of normal cellular physiology and has been linked to transcriptional activity and mismatch repair. Extrachromosomal microDNAs vary in size from 60 to 2000 base pairs. They are abundant in all tissue types of mammalian cells, including sperm\(^5\). In contrast to accumulation of embryonic DNA in culture medium by apoptosis or necrosis, this mechanism would not necessarily depend on cell death\(^6\).

Functional aspect of the DNA or RNA released by the developing preimplantation embryo is linked to transcriptional activity and mismatch repair. Extrachromosomal microDNAs vary in size from 60 to 2000 base pairs. They are abundant in all tissue types of mammalian cells, including sperm\(^5\). In contrast to accumulation of embryonic DNA in culture medium by apoptosis or necrosis, this mechanism would not necessarily depend on cell death\(^6\).

Follicular fluid contains relatively short DNA fragments, the analysis of spent culture medium requires modifications of the standard WGA protocol. We hypothesized that for cfeDNA analysis, the SurePlex whole genome amplification kit can be used without the need for a cell lysis/extraction DNA step. Here we report that miPGT-1 and miPGT-2 samples (Table 5 and Supplement Table S1) show a similar high concordance rate with corresponding TE biopsy samples for a chromosome copy number. In our opinion, amplification of cfeDNA without using the cell lysis/extraction DNA step has the potential to reduce the risk of maternal contamination of NIPGT/miPGT samples by residual cumulus/corona cells.

Assisted hatching is generally performed using a laser pulse prior to blastocyst vitrification, resulting in artificial shrinkage of the blastocoel. This helps to prevent injury from intracellular ice formation and has been shown to improve clinical outcomes\(^7\). A single laser pulse creates an opening in the zona pellucida at the cellular junction of trophectoderm cells located far away from the inner cell mass\(^8\). Therefore, our approach to use laser zona opening on Day 4 together with the laser (or microneedle) collapsing of blastocysts prior to TE biopsy for cfeDNA collection should have no negative impact on blastocyst development and does not require an additional laser (or microneedle) collapsing step prior to blastocyst vitrification as in current clinical practice\(^9\).

We have previously shown that collection of both spent embryo culture media and blastocoel fluid as one non-invasive sample increases the quantity and quality of cfeDNA for aneuploidy testing, compared with either spent embryo culture media only, or blastocoel fluid only\(^10\). In our study, some WGA-miPGT DNA samples had chaotic or inconclusive results due to low or poor quality cfeDNA that led to noisy NGS profiles. The same issue was noted by Rubio et al.\(^11\). Noisy DNA profiles could also be attributed to maternal contamination by residual cumulus or corona cells. Therefore, if used in parallel with TE biopsy, NIPGT-A/miPGT may improve testing efficacy and accuracy by acting as a backup source of embryonic DNA in cases of inconclusive TE biopsy results; this would also obviate the need for re-biopsy.

To our knowledge, only few studies have been conducted comparing NIPGT-A/miPGT samples to corresponding TE biopsy samples and the whole blastocyst as a gold standard control. Results from our previous study...
study\textsuperscript{25}, as well as Li \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{35} and Huang \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{24}, revealed that concordance rates for both embryo ploidy and chromosome copy number between NIPGT-A samples and whole blastocyst were higher than between TE biopsy and whole blastocyst. Conversely, the study of Ho \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{31} showed that the concordance rate for embryo ploidy between NIPGT-A samples and whole blastocyst was lower than between TE biopsy and whole blastocyst. In contrast, Jiao \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{32} reported similar concordance between NIPGT-A and TE biopsy samples and between NIPGT-A and the whole blastocyst. Considering results obtained for the non-invasive samples, Huang \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{24} also suggested that NIPGT-A is less prone to errors associated with embryo mosaicism and is more reliable than TE biopsy PGT-A.

An embryo transfer performed on November 2017 of a euploid blastocyst tested by both TE biopsy and cfeDNA from a combined SEM + BF miPGT-A sample at the CReAte Fertility Centre, Toronto, resulted in a healthy boy, born at full term. To our knowledge, this is the first report where two sources of embryonic DNA (SEM + BF and corresponding TE biopsy) were analysed in parallel with clinical PGT-A. In this case, results of cfeDNA analysis from SEM + BF were concordant with the TE biopsy findings. Since that first birth, there are more recent reports on pregnancy outcomes where, either all three sources of DNA (removal BF using a

![Figure 1. Four examples of NGS results representing 24 chromosome copy number plots from TE biopsy and corresponding miPGT samples with concordant results for aneuploidy in three examples and mosaic-complementary in terms of loss versus gain on chromosome 4q (segmental chromosomal mosaicism) in the fourth embryo.](image)

| Types of samples     | Amplification rate (%) | WGA-DNA concentration (ng/µl) | WGA-DNA range (ng/µl) | Informative NGS results (%) |
|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|
| miPGT-1 (with cell lysis) | 43/43 (100)            | 37.3 ± 19.4\*                | 6.3 to 85.9           | 40/43 (93.0)*               |
| miPGT-2 (without cell lysis) | 43/43 (100)           | 32.8 ± 16.3\*                | 10.2 to 72.7          | 38/43 (88.4)*               |
| miPGT, total         | 86/86 (100)            | 35.05 ± 17.85                | 6.3 to 85.9           | 78/86 (90.7)                |
| TE biopsy**          | 43/43 (100)            | 43.2 ± 3.5                   | 32.3 to 52.4          | 41/43 (95.3)*               |

Table 4. Amount of concentrated amplified nuclear DNA in miPGT-1 (WGA with cell lysis) and miPGT-2 (WGA without cell lysis) samples. *Not statistically significant Difference between the values in the same column. **Not concentrated amplified DNA in TE biopsy sample.
in RNase–DNase-free PCR tubes and stored at -80 °C until analyzed. Control blank media samples were collected from a total of 145 fresh blastocysts and their corresponding trophectoderm (TE) biopsy samples were analyzed for this report. These samples were from 28 patients, aged 33 to 42 years (mean 36.8 ± 3.0 years) undergoing PGT-A cycles from October 2018 to January 2019 at the CReAte Fertility Centre, Toronto, Canada. Of these, 102 miPGT samples and their corresponding TE biopsy samples were used to assess the impact of static embryo morphology on efficacy and accuracy of miPGT-A. Morphology of these blastocysts was evaluated based on the SART scoring system50 with small modifications. In this system, grade 1 are fully expanded and grade 2 are expanding blastocysts. Good quality embryos are considered moderate/low quality are < BB (i.e. AA, BA, BB, or BB) (n = 18), and moderate/low quality are < BB (i.e. AC, CA, BC, CB, or CC) (n = 47) (Fig. 3).

In summary, our results suggest that miPGT-A, utilizing combined blastocoel fluid and embryo culture medium has the potential to be superior to TE biopsy for PGT-A for routine clinical use in the future.

**Materials and Methods**

**Ethics approval.** This research received approval from the University of Toronto Research Ethics Board (IRB #30251). Informed consent was obtained for all patients included in this study. All experiments were performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations.

**Patients and samples.** Combined spent embryo culture medium and blastocoel fluid samples (miPGT) were removed by a combination of enzymatic and mechanical (pipetting) procedures. Mature metaphase II oocytes were fertilized by intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI). Following ICSI, each oocyte was placed in a culture dish containing 25 µl Sage1-Step medium with serum protein supplement (Origio, Denmark) under oil and then placed into the incubator (K Systems G210, Cooper Surgical, USA). Laser zona opening was performed on Day 4 to facilitate passage of embryonic cfDNA into the culture media. Each laser zona-opened embryo was transferred on day 4 to fresh 20 µlSage1-Step medium with serum protein supplement (Origio, Denmark) and cultured until blastocyst formation.

**Sample collection.** Collection of spent embryo culture media and blastocoel fluid. Our minimally invasive and invasive preimplantation genetic testing (miPGT-A and PGT-A) workflow has been described previously. In brief, when blastocyst full expansion was observed, the blastocysts were collapsed by a single laser pulse at the junction of TE cells (infrared Zilos-tk or Lykoslaser, Hamilton Thorne Biosciences, Beverley, MA) allowing release of blastocoel fluid (BF) into the media. After transferring the embryo to a biopsy dish, collection of the mixture of leaked BF with embryo culture media (~5 µL) as one miPGT sample was done using sterile single use pipettes in sterile RNase−DNase-free PCR tubes and stored at −80 °C until analyzed. Control blank media samples were cultured under the same conditions and served as negative controls.

**Trophectoderm cells (TE).** A corresponding TE biopsy sample from each embryo was obtained using our standard protocol. All blastocysts were transferred to a biopsy dish containing 20µl media under oil for biopsy. Four to six trophectoderm cells were biopsied from each blastocyst. The biopsied cells were placed immediately in RNase−DNase-free PCR tubes and stored at -80 °C until analyzed. Control blank media samples were collected as negative controls.

**Whole genome amplification, sequencing, and analysis.** Whole genome amplification (WGA) was performed, according to manufacturer’s instructions, using the SurePlex WGA (VeriSeq PGS Kit, Illumina). The WGA starts with enzymatic lysis of biopsied cells or miPGT samples (5 µl SEM + BF) to release gDNA followed by a pre-amplification and amplification steps using degenerative primers for uniform random whole genome amplification.

### Table 5. Concordance rate for whole chromosome copy number abnormalities between corresponding miPGT-1(WGA with cell lysis), miPGT-2 (WGA without cell lysis) and TE samples. Ploidy status – euploid or aneuploid. Aneuploid – whole/segmental chromosome aneuploidy. *Not statistically significant difference between the values in the same column.

| Type of samples | Ploidy status (%) | Gender (%) | Euploid embryos (%) | Aneuploid embryos (%) |
|-----------------|------------------|------------|--------------------|----------------------|
|                 | Per sample | Per chromosome |                     |                      |
| miPGT-1 vs. TE  | 37/38 (97.4)* | 88/912 (97.4)* | 38/38 (100)         | 23/24 (95.8)*        |
| miPGT-2 vs. TE  | 35/36 (97.2)*  | 84/864 (97.2)*| 36/36 (100)         | 22/23 (95.7)*        |
| miPGT-1 vs. miPGT-2 | 38/38 (100)*  | 912/912 (100)*| 38/38 (100)         | 24/24 (100)*         |

*Not statistically significant difference between the values in the same column.*
When a cell lysis step was not performed, WGA SurePlex protocol starts with direct pre-amplification of 10ul miPGT (SEM + BF) sample. WGA products (SurePlex kit, Illumina) were quantified with the Qubit3.0-Fluorometer and their size distribution was assessed using 2100 BioAnalyzer (DNA high sensitivity chip, Agilent).

All samples were diluted to 0.2 ng/ul and a total of 1 ng from each sample and amplified using random primers. The kit contains 24 unique indexes added by amplification. Indexed DNA libraries were cleaned-up (AMPure XP beads 1:1 ratio) and normalized using magnetic beads. The normalized libraries were pooled, denatured, and sequenced using a MiSeq (single-end, 1 × 36 bp). Alignment and demultiplexing are done as part of the VeriSeq PGS protocol on MiSeq and CNV analysis and visualization were done using BlueFuse Multi (Illumina) software. Reporting was done using Hg39 reference with threshold for mosaicism of >30% and CNV changes >10 Mb.

Figure 2. Example of NGS results from TE biopsy and corresponding miPGT-1 (WGA with cell lysis) and miPGT-2 (WGA without cell lysis) samples.

Figure 3. Simplified morphological scoring of blastocyst categorized them into two groups: good quality (≥BB) and moderate/low quality (<BB). The rate of apoptotic events is higher in lower morphologic grade embryos.

When a cell lysis step was not performed, WGA SurePlex protocol starts with direct pre-amplification of 10ul miPGT (SEM + BF) sample. WGA products (SurePlex kit, Illumina) were quantified with the Qubit3.0-Fluorometer and their size distribution was assessed using 2100 BioAnalyzer (DNA high sensitivity chip, Agilent).

All samples were diluted to 0.2 ng/ul and a total of 1 ng from each sample and amplified using random primers. The kit contains 24 unique indexes added by amplification. Indexed DNA libraries were cleaned-up (AMPure XP beads 1:1 ratio) and normalized using magnetic beads. The normalized libraries were pooled, denatured, and sequenced using a MiSeq (single-end, 1 × 36 bp). Alignment and demultiplexing are done as part of the VeriSeq PGS protocol on MiSeq and CNV analysis and visualization were done using BlueFuse Multi (Illumina) software. Reporting was done using Hg39 reference with threshold for mosaicism of >30% and CNV changes >10 Mb.
In our study, we analyzed the concordance rate for whole chromosome copy number abnormalities between miPGT samples and corresponding TE biopsy PGT-A samples. Results were statistically evaluated using Chi-squared and Fisher's exact testing, with significance at \( p < 0.05 \).

### Data availability

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article (and its Supplementary Information files).
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