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ABSTRACT

Organizational structure is one of the most important factors in determining its performance. Our interest is what kind of structures is efficient, and what conditions are required for an efficient structure? In our previous papers, we proposed a mathematical model for evaluating the hierarchical organization quantitatively and used it to investigate this problem. As a result of that research, we proved that the shape of the hierarchical organization that maximizes the evaluation value of organization, can be classified into three types depending on the capacity value of the members when number of the evaluation criteria is only one. The purpose of this paper is to extend previously obtained results to an improved mathematical model.
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1. INTRODUCTION

There are many criteria for evaluating members of an organization. For example, technical skills, management skills, etc. In Ikeda [1] and Ikeda et al. [2], we proved by using a mathematical model that an efficient shape of a hierarchical organization with only one criterion is limited to three types (Figure 1).

Subsequently, the mathematical model for evaluating the shape of the organization has been generalized more realistically [3,4]. Although the basic idea of the total output to the outside of the organization as an evaluation value has not changed, the major improvements in the revised mathematical model are the following two points.

(a) Contribution of the members for a given organization can be categorized as the internal contribution to maintain the organization and the external contribution to realize the purpose of the organization in society.

(b) For any fixed organization, the sum of the effort each person spends is constant, but its influence is different depending on how to take a communication style.

The ratio of one’s external contribution effort to the total of one’s effort is called the external output coefficient. In the mathematical model of Ikeda et al. [3,4] with one evaluation criterion under the assumption that the external output coefficient takes a constant $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ independent of member, previous results in Ikeda [1] and Ikeda et al. [2] correspond to $\alpha = 0$ and the communication style called “the complete group guidance”.

When the number of evaluation criteria is one, in the paper Ikeda et al. [3,4], we showed that the structure of an efficient organization becomes line shape (Figure 1b), in the case that personal ability value of organization members is high. This is an extension of the results of Ikeda [1] and Ikeda et al. [2] to the model of Ikeda et al. [3,4] in part. However, in general it was not known whether the results of Ikeda [1] and Ikeda et al. [2] (Figure 1c), could be extended to the case of the model of Ikeda et al. [3,4]. We expected that the results could be extended in the general case, but in reality it was not. Yoshimura et al. [5] by simulation experiments showed that an efficient tree is generally a brush tree shape (Figure 2), when the number of evaluation criteria is one. After much consideration, we concluded that the efficient tree shape as shown in Figure 1 may depend on the communication style.

The purpose of this paper is to show that the efficient organizational shape when the evaluation criteria is only one, is limited to the three types shown in Figure 1 under the assumption of the communication style called the complete group guidance.

2. MATHEMATICAL MODEL

Now we will introduce a mathematical model for evaluating the hierarchical organization quantitatively [3,4].

2.1. Notations and Tree Graph as Hierarchical Organization

Suppose that $G = (V(G), E(G))$ is a graph. Throughout this paper, a graph is always finite, directed and simple, with order $n = |V(G)|$ ($n \geq 2$) and size $m = |E(G)|$. 
idea of 1–3, the evaluation function of a given evaluation system $1(S,\{\varphi\}_{i\in\mathcal{A}})$ is defined as follows. For $i\in\mathcal{A}$, total output of $s\in S$ that written by $f_{s\rightarrow i}^{\alpha, i}$ is the product of $s$’s personal ability $\varphi_i(s)$ and $s$’s input that written by $f_{s\rightarrow i}^{\alpha, i}$. That is, $f_{s\rightarrow i}^{\alpha, i} = \varphi_i(s)f_{s\rightarrow i}^{\alpha, i}$.

For $i\in\mathcal{A}$, $s$’s total output $f_{s\rightarrow i}^{\alpha, i}$ is classified into $s$’s external output $f_{s\rightarrow \text{out}}^{\alpha, i}$ and $s$’s internal output $f_{s\rightarrow \text{in}}^{\alpha, i}$ (Figure 3). That is, $f_{s\rightarrow i}^{\alpha, i} = f_{s\rightarrow \text{out}}^{\alpha, i} + f_{s\rightarrow \text{in}}^{\alpha, i}$.

For the subordinate $s\in S$ who received instructions from his superior, it is necessary to transmit appropriate instructions $f_{s\rightarrow \text{out}}^{\alpha, i}$ as superior to his own subordinates, while $s$ as subordinate carries out the instructions $f_{s\rightarrow \text{in}}^{\alpha, i}$ (Figure 3).

We assume that the ratio of $s$’s external output to $s$’s total output is a constant independent of $s\in S$. Through this paper, we denote the ratio of $f_{s\rightarrow \text{out}}^{\alpha, i}$ to $f_{s\rightarrow \text{in}}^{\alpha, i}$ as $\alpha\in [0, 1]$ for any $s\in S$. That is, $\frac{f_{s\rightarrow \text{out}}^{\alpha, i}}{f_{s\rightarrow \text{in}}^{\alpha, i}} = \alpha = 1 - \alpha$ for any $s\in S$. We call $\alpha$ the external output coefficient and call $1 - \alpha$ the internal output coefficient.

### 2.3. Weights’ Policy

For a given organizational structure tree $T$, we assume that the value of the input for subordinate $x\in N(s)$ with $x > s$ is obtained by multiplying its weight $\{w_s\}$ to $f_{s\rightarrow \text{in}}^{\alpha, i}$ (see Figure 3 again). Where

\[0 \leq w_s \leq 1\]

for any $s\in S \setminus L(T), x > s$ of $x\in N(s)$ and

\[1 \leq \sum_{s\in N(i),x>s} w_s \leq \deg^* s\]

for any $s\in S \setminus L(T)$. Therefore, the total contribution of $s\in S \setminus L(T)$ for the organization can be expressed by

\[(\sum_{s\in N(i),x>s} w_s) f_{s\rightarrow \text{in}}^{\alpha, i} + f_{s\rightarrow \text{out}}^{\alpha, i}\]

### 2.2. External Contribution and Internal Contribution

For a given $S$ and $i\in\mathcal{A}$, we define $\{\varphi\}_{i\in\mathcal{A}}$ by

$\varphi_i: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x > 0\}$ for $i\in\mathcal{A}$

We call $\varphi_i(s)$ the personal ability of $s\in S$ with respect to $i\in\mathcal{A}$.

And we call $(S,\{\varphi\}_{i\in\mathcal{A}})$ an evaluation system. According to the

For $u\in V(G)$, by $N(u) = \{v\mid [u, v] \in E(G)\}$, we denote the set of vertices adjacent to $u$, and call $\deg(u) = |N(u)|$ the degree of $u\in V(G)$.

For an arbitrarily fixed rooted tree $T$ with root $r$ and its leaves set $L(T)$, we denote

$$\deg^* s = \begin{cases} \deg s & \text{if } s \in \{r\} \cup L(T), \\ \deg s - 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For a rooted tree $T$ with $V(T) = S$, we define natural partial ordering $\lt(S, \lt\rangle$ that so-called the tree-order associated with $T$. That is, the root $r\in S$ of $T$ is the least element and the leaves of $T$ are the maximal elements in this partial order. This ordering will be considered as the expression “depth”: if $x < y$, we say $x$ lies below $y$ in $T$. Note that the root $r$ is the least element, and that the leaves of $T$ are its maximal elements in this partial order.

Suppose that $S = \{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n\} (n \geq 2)$ and $\mathcal{A}(\{\mathcal{A}\} \geq 1)$ are finite sets. Through this paper, $\mathcal{A}$ is the set of the evaluation measures. And $S$ is interpreted as the set of members of a given organization, which consists of $s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n$. There are many rooted trees with $S$ as vertices. After that, we identify each rooted tree as its corresponding organization tree. Let $T(S)$ be the set of rooted trees with $S$ as its elements.
We assume that \( w'_{si} \) for \( s \in S \setminus L(T) \) is a sequence depending only on \( \deg^s \) and \( i \in \mathcal{A} \). That is, for \( s, s' \in S \setminus L(T) \), \( s' \) and \( i \in \mathcal{A} \), \( \deg^s = \deg^{s'} \) implies that \( w'_{si}, w'_{s'i} \) is a permutation of \( w'_{s'i}, w'_{si} \). Thus, for a fixed \( \deg^s \) and \( i \in \mathcal{A} \), the selection that we can do is which weight to assign whom. We call the way of the determination a weights' policy. For any weights' policy, we assume that if \( \deg^s \geq \deg^{s'} \) for \( s, s' \in S \),

\[
\sum_{x \in N(i), k \in \mathcal{A}} w'_{sk} = 1 \quad \text{for any } s \in S \setminus L(T).
\]

(2) Complete Group Guidance (CGG)

\[
\sum_{x \in N(i), k \in \mathcal{A}} w'_{sk} = \deg^* s \quad \text{for any } s \in S \setminus L(T).
\]

The case of (1) CIG is an organization like a secret society. Since a superior gives an instruction to his/her subordinates individually, the members of the organization do not know about each other's work. In such organizations, when new member joins the organization, or a member of the organization leave the organization, its efficient organization cannot be constructed unless the existing organization is broken once.

On the other hand, the case of (2) CGG is an open organization. The characteristic of an organization with such a communication style is that it is stable in the sense that there is no need to significantly change the composition of the whole organization when new member joins it. Roughly speaking, the reason why CGG has such a property is that when we convert \( T \) to \( T' = T - xs + ys \)

\[
T' = T - xs + ys
\]

for \( T, T' \in \mathcal{T}(S) \), the evaluation function is affected only by the part of the up closure of \( s \) (Figure 4).

CIG and CGG are extreme cases, the general style is intermediate between them.

### 2.4. Communication Style

The weights' policy is a parameter determined by the communication style in the organization. Typical ones are the following two cases:

(1) Complete Individual Guidance (CIG)

\[
\sum_{x \in N(i), k \in \mathcal{A}} w'_{sk} = 1 \quad \text{for any } s \in S \setminus L(T).
\]

(2) Complete Group Guidance (CGG)

\[
\sum_{x \in N(i), k \in \mathcal{A}} w'_{sk} = \deg^* s \quad \text{for any } s \in S \setminus L(T).
\]

For a given evaluation system \((S, \{\varphi_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{A}})\) an external output coefficient \( \alpha \in [0, 1] \) and a given weights' policy, let \( T \) be a rooted tree graph with \( V(T) = S \). Then, we will evaluate the rooted tree \( T \) as organization model by

\[
\Phi^{(\alpha)}(T) = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{A}} \Phi^{(\alpha)}(T), \Phi^{(\alpha i)}(T) = \max_{(w'_{i})} \sum_{j \in \text{root}(T)} f^{(\alpha)}(T)
\]

Here \( \{w'_{i}\} \) shall be taken about all the possible combinations under the given weights' policy. For a given \((S, \{\varphi_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{A}})\) and \( \alpha \in [0, 1] \), we call \( \Phi^{(\alpha)}(T) \) the ability value of \( T \). Under a given weights' policy, \( T^{(\alpha opt)} \) is an efficient tree for a given external output coefficient \( \alpha \in [0, 1] \), if \( \max_{T \in \mathcal{T}(S)} \Phi^{(\alpha)}(T) \) is attained by \( \Phi^{(\alpha)}(T^{(\alpha opt)}) \). Here \( \mathcal{T}(S) \) denotes the set of rooted tree graph with \( V(T) = S \).

### 3. RESULTS

**Theorem 1.** Under the setting of Complete Group Guidance, suppose that \((S, \{\varphi_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{A}})\) is an evaluation system such that \( S = \{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n\} \) with

\[
\varphi_i(s_1) \geq \varphi_i(s_2) \geq \cdots \geq \varphi_i(s_n)
\]

for some \( i \in \mathcal{A} \). Assume that \( \max_{T \in \mathcal{T}(S)} \Phi^{(\alpha)}(T) \) is attained by \( T^{(\alpha opt)} \), then we have the following.

(a) Assume that \( \varphi(s_2) \geq 2 / (1 - \alpha) \), then we see that one of \( T^{(\alpha opt)} \) is the path graph in Figure 5 (1).

(b) Assume that \( \varphi(s_2) \leq 1/(1 - \alpha) \), then we see that one of \( T^{(\alpha opt)} \) is the star graph in Figure 5 (2).
Figure 5 | An efficient organization structure.

Figure 6 | General form of $T_{opt}^{(a,i)}$ for some $i \in A$.

(1) $T_{opt}^{(a,i)}$ as a path graph (2) $T_{opt}^{(a,i)}$ as a star graph

Figure 7 | Three types of efficient trees.

The typical form of an efficient tree with respect to some $i \in A$ is a path graph or star graph as in Theorem 1. In general, we can show that the form of $T_{opt}^{(a,i)}$ becomes the form which seems to have put these two figures together.

For a given $(S,(\varphi)_{r\in A})$ and its organizational structure tree $T$, let us define

$$D_2(T) = \{ s \in S : \deg^+(s) \geq 2 \}$$

Theorem 2. Under the setting of CGG, suppose that $(S,(\varphi)_{r\in A})$ is an evaluation system. Assume that $\max_{T \in (S)} \Phi(T)$ is attained by $T_{opt}^{(a,i)}$ for some $i \in A$, then we have the following.

(a) $D_2(T_{opt}^{(a,i)})$ is equal to 0 or 1.

(b) Putting $D_2(T_{opt}^{(a,i)}) = \{ x \}$ when $D_2(T_{opt}^{(a,i)}) = 1$, then we see that

$$\{ s \in S : s \in T_{opt}^{(a,i)} \} = L(T_{opt}^{(a,i)})$$

The general form of the most efficient tree $T_{opt}^{(a,i)}$ which Theorem 2 insists on is shown in Figure 6.

4. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we introduced that the conclusions of the papers [1,2] are also valid under a new mathematical model of the organization [3,4]. The details of the proof are omitted, but they can be shown in the same way as the papers [1,2]. Eventually, even in the improved new mathematical model, we found that the efficient organizational shape can be classified into the three types shown in Figure 7, whenever the organizational communication style is CGG and there is only one organizational evaluation.

However, Yoshimura et al. have experimentally shown that there are efficient organizational shape other than these three in the general communication style. The theoretical analysis of the general case remains an open problem.
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