Turbulent spot growth in plane Couette flow: statistical study and formation of spanwise vorticity
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Abstract
This paper presents direct numerical simulations (DNSs) of the growth of turbulent spots in the transitional regime of plane Couette flow. A quantitative description of the growth process and of the detail of the quadrupolar flow around the spot is given. Focus is put on formation of spanwise vorticity in the velocity streaks that resembles a secondary shear instability. The main features of the instability (coherence lengths, advection velocity) are studied in the context of the turbulent spot, below and above the threshold Reynolds number of appearance of the oblique turbulent bands of plane Couette flow.

(Some figures may appear in colour only in the online journal)

1. Introduction

In shear flows such as plane Couette flow (figure 1), the discontinuous nature of the transition to turbulence allows the spatial coexistence of laminar and turbulent flow. The spot stage corresponds to the expansion in two directions of turbulence, triggered by a strong enough local perturbation of the laminar flow. Spot expansion is possible provided the Reynolds number is over $R_g \simeq 325$, the Reynolds number below which turbulence cannot exist (Prigent et al 2002, Rolland and Manneville 2011b). The spot expansion leads to the oblique band regime (Prigent et al 2002, Rolland and Manneville 2011b) provided $R < R_t \simeq 415$ (Prigent et al 2002) or completely invades the domain if $R > R_t$. Spot growth in plane Couette
flow has been studied numerically in a large range of Reynolds number (Lundbladh and Johansson 1991). Further studies showed the quadrupolar flow around the spot and the Reynolds stress generating the spot (Lagha and Manneville 2007) or the labyrinthine growth in very large domains (Duguet et al 2010).

This note is interested in a departure from the streaks and vortices flow that has been reported in the band regime of plane Couette flow (Rolland and Manneville 2011a). This secondary instability of the velocity streaks is very similar to what is found in Hagen–Poiseuille pipe flow (Shimizu and Kida 2009, Duguet et al 2010). This departure resembles a secondary Kelvin–Helmholtz instability of the streamwise velocity which forms rolls characterized by spanwise vorticity. The preliminary study of the instability showed that linear analysis rendered the phenomenon well. Like other studies (Duguet and Schlatter 2013), this note will lay stress on the advection by the large-scale flow around the band or the spot. Indeed, the effect of an unsteady large-scale flow on the advection of the rolls, as well as its behaviour for \( R > R_t \) are unknown. Spot growth is an interesting system in that case, since it is the only regime where laminar and turbulent flow coexist at large Reynolds numbers, and where a net large-scale flow can be found.

In order to investigate these questions, this paper is organized as follows: the numerical procedure and the system are described in a first section (section 2). Two examples of growth and reorganization of the spot, corresponding to two Reynolds numbers above and below \( R_t \), are studied quantitatively. The instability is then described, first qualitatively on snapshots, then quantitatively using procedures that will be studied systematically in a future paper (Rolland in preparation) (section 4). Results are discussed is section 5.

2. Method

The system is formally described by the incompressible Navier–Stokes equations with plane Couette base flow and in-plane periodic boundary conditions (figure 1). The directions \( e_x \), \( e_y \), and \( e_z \), are, respectively, the streamwise, wall-normal and spanwise directions. The Reynolds number \( R = hU/\nu \) is the control parameter, with \( h \) the half gap, \( U \) the velocity of the top plate and \( \nu \) the kinematic viscosity. The velocities, lengths and times are made dimensionless, respectively, by \( U, h \) and \( h/U \). The departure \( v \) from the plane Couette baseflow \( v_e \) is the variable of interest. The square of the norm of the departure \( ||v||^2 \) is termed the energy.

Two Reynolds numbers, \( R = 370 < R_t \) and \( R = 500 > R_t \) are considered here. The size of the periodical domain is \( L_x \times L_z = 110 \times 80 \). It is adapted to accommodate one band at \( R = 370 \) at this resolution (Rolland and Manneville 2011b). The Navier–Stokes equations are integrated in time using the CHANNELFLOW code by Gibson et al (2008). The same resolution
Figure 2. Examples of spots at $R = 370$ (a, b) and $R = 500$ (c, d) before the perturbation reaches the boundaries of the domain, colour plot of $v^2$ in $y = -0.6$ (a, c) and $y = 0.6$ (b, d) plane in a periodic domain $L_x = 110$ and $L_z = 80$.

$N_y = 27$ Chebyshev modes and four dealiased Fourier modes per unit length) is taken. It is sufficient for a good rendering of the bands around $R_t$ (Manneville and Rolland 2010).

A simple initial condition is used to create turbulent spots. A small square of size $l_x \times l_z = 8 \times 8$ containing the average turbulent profile is at the centre of the domain, in the middle of otherwise laminar flow. Two different initial conditions are created at $R = 370$ using two realizations of a spatially white additive noise.

3. Statistical description of the flow

3.1. Procedures

In order to fix the ideas on the spatial organization of turbulence, we first consider colour levels of the energy (see figure 2, at $R = 370 < R_t$ (a, b), $T = 200$ after the beginning of the simulation and at $R = 500 > R_t$ (c, d), $T = 150$ after the beginning of the simulation). They show that for both Reynolds numbers, turbulence in the upper part of the flow is shifted with respect to turbulence in the lower part. This feature is found for bands as well (Rolland and Manneville 2011b) and leads to the so called overhanging regions (Coles 1965). Given the organization of the flow (Rolland and Manneville 2011b, Rolland in preparation) (figure 2), we define the laminar, intermediate and turbulent zones. The laminar zone corresponds to no departure from the laminar base flow. The intermediate, or overhanging, zone corresponds to turbulence on top of or below laminar flow (Coles 1965). The turbulent zone corresponds to turbulence over the whole gap.

In order to provide quantitative definitions of these zones, we use a coarse graining and thresholding procedure. It has been introduced in a former paper (Rolland and Manneville 2011b), and discrimimates between laminar and turbulent locations in the flow. The flow is divided into cells of size $l_x \times l_y \times l_z = 2 \times 1 \times 2$, $y > 0$ or $y < 0$, in which the kinetic energy $\|v\|^2$ is averaged. If it is above $c = 0.025$, the cell is turbulent, otherwise, it is laminar. This allows one to define the state of the flow at position $(x, z)$: if two laminar cells are on top of each other, we are in the laminar zone, if two turbulent cells are on top of each other, we are in the turbulent zone. If a laminar cell is on top of a turbulent one (or vice versa) we are in the intermediate or overhanging zone.

This discrimination procedure is used to compute the turbulent fraction $f_t$, which measures the volume occupied by turbulence, i.e. the fraction of turbulent cells. The discrimination procedure is used to conditionally average $\|v\|^2$ in the turbulent cells. This yields $e_t$, the turbulent energy. It measures the intensity of turbulent inside the bands or spots. The square of the norm $\|v\|^2$ (kinetic energy) averaged over the whole flow is simply denominated as average energy $e$. This discrimination procedure allows one to compute the
Figure 3. At $R = 370$ and 500, time series of (a) energy and turbulent energy, (b) turbulent fraction and intermediate area and (c) Fourier mode (order parameter).

area $f_j$ projected on the planes $y = \pm 1$ occupied by the intermediate zone between turbulent and pseudo-laminar flow (Rolland in preparation). This is the number of $y < 0$ turbulent cells facing a $y > 0$ laminar one plus that of $y > 0$ turbulent cells facing a $y < 0$ laminar one, divided by half the number of cells. Note that $f$ and $f_j$ represent, respectively, a volume and a surface which is part of the boundary of said volume.

The order parameter $m_{\pm}$ is defined as the wall normal average of the square of the fundamental mode of the band in the Fourier transform of the streamwise velocity field (Rolland and Manneville 2011b, Rolland in preparation)

$$m_{\pm}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} |\hat{v}_x|^2 (k_x, \pm k_z) \, dy.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

It measures the intensity of the modulation of turbulence in the flow. The $\pm$ sign corresponds to the $k_x, \pm k_z$, i.e. the two orientations of the band. In our case, the modes $k_x = 1$ and $k_z = \pm 1$ are the most relevant. If $m_{\pm}$ is larger than $m_{\mp}$, this means that one orientation dominates the other. If they are nearly equal, this means that both orientations have the same weight in the spot.

These procedures are validated at low and normal resolution (Rolland and Manneville 2011b). Lower case letters ($f$, etc) denote instantaneous values, while capitalized ones ($F$, etc) denote time averages performed when the flow has reached a steady state.

3.2. The growth process

The average quantities are used to monitor the growth of the spot (figure 3). The average and turbulent energies are displayed in figure 3(a). At both Reynolds numbers, the turbulent energy reaches the neighbourhood of its average value after a short time ($T \lesssim 50$). This simply
indicates that the flow quickly goes from the somewhat artificial initial condition to low Reynolds number turbulent flow. The average value $E_t$ increases with increasing Reynolds number (Rolland and Manneville 2011b). Local turbulence is more intense as $R$ is increased. The average energy $E_t$ increases with increasing Reynolds number (Rolland and Manneville 2011b). Local turbulence is more intense as $R$ is increased. The flow remains as such inside the band: this will be seen in profiles conditionally averaged in space as well. At $R = 500$ the average energy grows monotonically with time and then reaches a plateau value ($T \sim 500$). It increases faster than at $R = 370$. This is consistent with earlier findings (Lundbladh and Johansson 1991). Both experiments at $R = 370$ display a very similar growth. However, the average energy has a much clearer plateau ($T \sim 400$) then a small decrease ($T \sim 600$) in one of the two numerical experiments. This may be a consequence of the random nature of the growth (Duguet et al 2011). It mainly increases before reaching the equilibrium value $E$ at $T \sim 800$. This last stage corresponds to the reorganization of the spot in a band after it feels the boundary conditions.

The behaviour of the turbulent fraction $f$ is the same as that of $e$ (figure 3(b)). Indeed, one has $e \simeq e_t \times f$ (Rolland and Manneville 2011b). At $R = 500 > R_c$, the equilibrium corresponds to a featureless turbulent flow, with $F \lesssim 1$. At $R = 370 < R_c$, the equilibrium regime (in the sense of fixed turbulent fraction) corresponds to modulated turbulence, with $F \simeq 0.7$. This is consistent with the thorough study of the band configuration (Rolland and Manneville 2011b). The size of the intermediate area $f_f$ increases then decreases at $R = 500$: the intermediate zones between laminar and turbulent form, but then disappear because the spot invades the whole flow. Meanwhile, at $R = 370 f_f$ reaches a plateau value after the reorganization. The last stage is only seen at $R = 370$ ($T \gtrsim 600$). It corresponds to the reorganization of the modulation of turbulence into one band.

Time series of the square of the order parameter $m_2^\pm$ are recorded (figure 3(c)). In the first stages of the spot growth, the Fourier modes corresponding to both orientation are approximately equal, this is the consequence of the symmetry with respect to the $z = 40$ plane of the initial condition. The small difference is the consequence of the small spatial asymmetries of the initial condition and the locally chaotic evolution. The order parameters $m_2^\pm$ grow for both Reynolds number due to the appearance of an oblique structure in the flow, i.e. the so-called arrow shaped spot (Lundbladh and Johansson 1991). This stage takes place up to $T \sim 350$ at $R = 500$. The order parameters $m_2^\pm$ then decrease to small non zero value. There is but a small trace of the modulation of turbulence, caused by turbulent fluctuations (Rolland and Manneville 2011b). At $R = 370$, both order parameters are nearly equal up to $T \simeq 600$. The flow then loses symmetry, as shown by the increasing difference between $m_+^\pm$ and $m_-^\pm$, and there is a competition between orientations. It settles quickly in that case ($T \sim 1000$): the competition is eventually won by the orientation. Note that the beginning of the symmetry breaking occurs at the same time of the plateau of the kinetic energy.

The elimination of small defects of the band takes place in the range $800 \lesssim T \lesssim 2000$. The competition and elimination of defects is precisely modelled by a Landau–Langevin model (Rolland 2011c). Note that during the symmetrical growth stage, the diamond shaped spot seems to be composed of four 'half bands': two of each orientation in each half gap, shifted by the laminar baseflow (figure 2). This means that the symmetry breaking leading to a purely band-shaped spot requires some reorganization.

The overall evolution of a system that contains one band at statistical equilibrium is the same as that of a system that can contain a large number of bands (Duguet et al 2010). In both cases, one can find the invasion/reorganization phases. The flow eliminates the disclinations and most of the laminar holes and turbulent bulges to fit the boundary conditions. However, the reorganization stage can be longer due to the larger number of defects that have to be eliminated (Duguet et al 2010).
3.3. The large-scale velocity field

We endeavour to improve the former descriptions of velocity profiles in the spot, that were mainly two-dimensional (Lundbladh and Johansson 1991, Lagha and Manneville 2007), and discuss possible symmetries of the velocity field similar to those found in the bands (Barkley and Tuckerman 2007). Besides, this will be of interest when we will examine the advection of rolls created by the secondary instability.

We use the laminar/intermediate/turbulent discrimination used in the former section to conditionally average velocity profiles. We distinguish the two intermediate zones: the one where laminar flow is on top of turbulent flow is called ‘rear’ (r) with respect to the direction \( \mathbf{e}_x \) and the one where turbulent flow is on top of laminar flow is called ‘front’ (f). Due to the unsteady nature of the spots, a time average is only performed in the short time window for which the intermediate zone exists (figure 3(b)). This procedure is applied to both velocity fields \( v_x \) and \( v_z \) (figure 4(a)). Care is taken to average the spanwise profiles separately in the two parts of the domain delimited by \( z = 40 \) (plane of symmetry of the spot), so as not to cancel out the two antisymmetric contributions.

We describe the symmetries of the velocity field. The spanwise component \( v_x^+ \) is positive for \( z > 40 \) and \( v_x^- \) is negative for \( z < 40 \), i.e. \( v_x^+ \approx -v_x^- \) (figure 4(b)). We find that \( v_x^+ (y) = -v_x^- (-y) \), which corresponds to the \( v \leftrightarrow -v, y \leftrightarrow -y \) centro-symmetry (Barkley and Tuckerman 2007). The S shaped turbulent profiles verify \( v_x^+ (y) = -v_x^+ (-y) \) and \( v_x^+ (y) = v_x^+ (y) + v_x^+ (y) \). One finds \( v_x^+ (y) = -v_x^- \) and \( v_x^+ (y) = v_x^+ (y) + v_x^+ (y) \). This allows one to reconstruct the whole in plane and wall normal dependence of the large-scale flow with only two profiles (figure 4(a)). The quadrupolar flow around the early spot, corresponding to the wall-normal average of this velocity field, is found (Lagha and Manneville 2007). The value of the wall normal average of such profiles is typically the half of the value of the maximum (Rolland in preparation). The shape of the profiles are unchanged whether \( R \) is smaller or larger than \( R_t \). As is expected, as \( R \) is increased, the amplitude of the velocity profiles is slightly more intense and the shear layers are smaller.

These data are in agreement with and complete the profiles obtained numerically in the spots by Lundbladh and Johansson (1991) who display the total streamwise velocity field \( v_x + y \), and very similar to what is found in the bands (Barkley and Tuckerman 2007).
4. The instability

4.1. Visualization

The same approach as in the band case is taken (Rolland and Manneville 2011a). The instability is first identified in snapshots of the streamwise velocity. Two examples are taken, one at $R = 370$ and the other at $R = 500$. Colour levels of the streamwise velocity field in $x$-$y$ planes are used (figure 5). Two $x$-$y$ planes are chosen at the early stage of development of the spot. The velocity streaks baseflow (Rolland and Manneville 2011a, Rolland in preparation) and shift of turbulence in upper and lower part (Barkley and Tuckerman 2007, Rolland and Manneville 2011a, b, Rolland in preparation) can be seen. The laminar, turbulent and intermediate zones are denoted by L, T and I.

Examples of the instability developing in the intermediate and turbulent zones can be seen in figure 5. They are identified by the black circles. The clearest examples can be seen in the intermediate zones. The instability develops at $65 \leq x \leq 70$ at $R = 370$ (figure 5(a)) and at $45 \leq x \leq 50$ at $R = 500$ (figure 5(b)), the entanglement of velocity is typical of a Kelvin–Helmholtz instability (Shimizu and Kida 2009, Duguet et al 2010, Rolland in preparation). Both cases are very similar to what can be seen in the bands (Rolland in preparation). The wavelengths are of order $5h$, although they appear to be smaller at $R = 500$.

The turbulent area is perturbed by this instability as well. It can be seen at $x = 60$ or 65 at $R = 370$ and at $x = 65$ and 72 at $R = 500$. Similarly to the band situation, the wavelength of the instability is smaller.

The detailed kinematic study of the case of the band shows that the secondary instability develops into rolls that are characterized by negative spanwise vorticity in the midgap (Rolland in preparation). This will provide a systematic and quantitative way to detect the instability beyond visualizations that are not always as clear in turbulent DNS as in the study of an isolated shear layer. A generic criterion is made more necessary by the fact that, unlike pipe flow, the perturbations move in the spanwise direction and are difficult to follow in snapshots of $x$-$y$ planes. The effect on vorticity appears when comparing the entangled streamwise velocity field (figure 5) to the corresponding spanwise vorticity fields (figure 6). The rolls stand out in the vorticity, because in the case of the velocity streaks, the shear $\partial_y u_x$ dominates in $\omega_z$. Starting from the average velocity profiles (Barkley and Tuckerman 2007), one can easily see that velocity streaks lead to $\omega_z(y \simeq 0) > 0$. Negative vorticity in the midplane is therefore expected of such rolls and in agreement with the formation of azimuthal vorticity.
Figure 6. Spanwise vorticity fields corresponding to the streamwise velocity fields of figure 5, (a) $R = 370$ and (b) $R = 500$.

Figure 7. Absolute value of the thresholded spanwise vorticity field in the $y = 0$ plane. (a) At $R = 370$ and (b) at $R = 500$.

found in pipe flow (Shimizu and Kida 2009, Duguet et al 2010). Note that this negative spanwise vorticity is different from the tongues describes by Schoppa and Hussain (2002) in boundary layers, in that they are often disconnected from the wall. When they do reach out to the near wall, $\omega_z < 0$ vorticity is stronger in the midgap.

In order to follow the instability, we threshold the spanwise vorticity field in order to keep only $\omega_z < 0$: this yields $\omega_{z,\text{th}}$ (figure 7). Note that the four ‘half band’ of the arrow shape spot seem to reveal two cores of production of spanwise vorticity. Besides, we use the result of the laminar–turbulent discrimination to construct a spatial mask $I^t$, which is 1 in the turbulent (respectively intermediate) zone and 0 elsewhere. We then define $\omega_{z,\text{th}} = I^t\omega_{z,\text{th}}$ and $\omega_{z,\text{th}} = I^t\omega_{z,\text{th}}$. This procedure will be discussed in detail in the study of the secondary instability in the bands (Rolland in preparation).

4.2. Scales of perturbations

We intend to compare the spatial scales of the secondary instability to that of the velocity field, in both intermediate and turbulent region. We therefore compute the normalized correlation function $\langle f(x, z) f(x + \delta x, z + \delta z) \rangle$ of thresholded and masked spanwise vorticity $\omega_{z,\text{th}}$ and that of $v_x$. We separate the streamwise and spanwise dependence and present the results
Figure 8. Correlation function of streamwise velocity and thresholded and spatially filtered spanwise vorticity, at $R = 370$ and 500. (a) Streamwise direction and (b) spanwise direction.

in the streamwise ($\delta_x = 0$, figure 8(a)) and spanwise ($\delta_z = 0$, figure 8(b)) directions. These correlation functions are not time averaged, unlike what will be done for the bands (Rolland in preparation).

The global picture on the scale of perturbations in spots appeared in the visualizations of $\omega_t^h$ (figure 7). The spatial scales are characterized by the correlation length $\xi$, the inverse of the slope of the correlation function at $\delta x, \delta z = 0$. The streamwise correlation length of the thresholded vorticity field, of order 1, is smaller in the turbulent zone ($\xi \approx 1$) than in the intermediate one ($\xi \approx 1.5$) (figure 8(a)). The streamwise velocity $v_x$ correlation function measures the streamwise coherence length of the velocity streaks. It is one order of magnitude larger than that of the perturbations. These sizes do not depend much on the Reynolds number. This illustrates the separation of scales between the two fields (Duguet and Schlatter 2013, Rolland in preparation). The perturbations to the streaks baseflow have the same spanwise size in the turbulent or the intermediate zones (figure 8(b)). It is of order $\delta$. The spanwise correlation length is larger at $R = 370$ than it is at $R = 500$. Spanwise quasi-periodicity of the streamwise velocity field leaves a trace in the correlation function: there is modulation, and the envelope decreases slowly, whereas the envelope decreases fast for the spanwise vorticity $\omega_z^l, \omega_z^h$. The trace of periodicity found in the spanwise vorticity field is a consequence of the periodicity of the background on which it develops, as is the case in the bands (Rolland in preparation).

4.3. Advection of perturbations

In the band case, the spanwise advection velocity $c_z(x, z)$ of perturbations is measured via a particle image velocimetry (PIV) type correlation procedure of the thresholded vorticity field $\omega_t^h$. For that matter, each $x$-$y$ plane is divided in squares of size $2 \times 2$ labelled by the position of its ‘lower left’ corner $x_0, z_0$. For each square and at each time $t$, we compute the correlation function $C_{x_0, z_0, x_0+\Delta x, y_0+\Delta y}^z(\Delta z, \Delta t)$ between the thresholded vorticity at time $t$, and that at time $t + \Delta t$ in order to determine in which direction and by how much the perturbation present here has moved. One has

$$C_{x_0, z_0, x_0+\Delta x, y_0+\Delta y}^z(\Delta z, \Delta t) = \int_{x_0, z_0}^{x_0+\Delta x, y_0+\Delta y} dx dz \tilde{\omega}(x, y, z, t) \tilde{\omega}(x, y, z + \Delta z, t + \Delta t).$$

The field $\tilde{\omega}$ denominates $(\omega_t^h - \langle \omega_t^h \rangle) / \sqrt{(\langle (\omega_t^h - \langle \omega_t^h \rangle)^2 \rangle)^2}$. Here, $\langle \cdot \rangle$ is the average over the relevant $2 \times 2$ square. At fixed $\Delta t$, we determine the value $\Delta z$ for which the correlation function is maximum. We then define: $c_z(x, z) = \Delta z / \Delta t$. 


This procedure will be studied in detail in the case of the band (Rolland in preparation). In our case no time average can be performed, due to the expansion of the spot. No average along the diagonal direction can be performed either, since there is no favoured direction. The band case reveals that the advection velocity is consistent over the wall normal direction. Therefore, a $y$ average is performed to smooth the results. Only data on the spanwise advection velocity is obtained in the spot case: the band case shows that this field has a higher signal-over-noise ratio and requires time averages.

The procedure is applied to the two examples of early stages of spots considered (figures 9(a) and (b)). No qualitative difference is found between the $R = 370 < R_t$ case and the $R = 500 > R_t$ one. The order of magnitude of the spanwise advection velocity is between $-0.05$ and $0.05$. It is in good agreement with the order of magnitude of the average velocity field as to the direction, different in the $+$ and $-$ parts of the spot (figure 4(a)), as well as to the value of the advection velocity (figure 4(b)). Therefore, part of the quadrupolar flow picture is found in this result. Perturbations that arise in any part of the spot are advected toward the sides.

The study of the bands shows that an average over the diagonal is necessary to show the exact correspondence between the advection velocity of perturbations and the wall normal average of the velocity field. However, given the agreement in terms of order of magnitude as well as direction between the spanwise large scale flow and the spanwise advection velocity, one can expect a similar microscopic behaviour in spots as in bands in terms of advection of perturbations by the large-scale flow (Duguet and Schlatter 2013, Rolland in preparation). The geometry of the large-scale flow is the only difference. The combined effect of the streamwise and spanwise velocity is likely to advect perturbations appearing in the intermediate area along the streamwise limit spot toward the spanwise limits. The perturbations appearing in the turbulent area are not likely be advected.

5. Final remarks

The growth and reorganization of the turbulent spot was studied in plane Couette flow. The quantities used to monitor this phenomenon were validated in the study of the turbulent bands (Rolland and Manneville 2011b). It shows that for $R < R_t$ and for $R > R_t$, the spot grows at constant speed: the likelihood of spanwise expansion is much larger than that of retraction at that Reynolds number (Duguet et al 2011). At $R > R_t$, the whole domain is invaded by turbulence. At $R < R_t$, a turbulent band forms after a reorganization transient. The growth speed of the domain is not monotonous in that stage. It is perturbed by the reorganization, mostly due to the symmetry breaking, and elimination of defects. The time
evolution of the order parameters is stochastic and depend strongly on the initial conditions. It is expected that the stochastic duration of this reorganization regime increases with the number of original metastable defects, whose number increases with the domain size. The intrinsic turbulent noise leads the system toward an optimum, given the boundary conditions.

The secondary Kelvin–Helmholtz type instability reported in the bands (Rolland and Manneville 2011a) and in pipe flow (Shimizu and Kida 2009, Duguet et al 2010) is found in the spots as well. The streamwise and spanwise lengthscales are unchanged by the spot or band configuration. The perturbations are advected in a direction and with a velocity very close to that of the large scale flow around the spot. The difference lies in the large-scale organization of turbulence. The same findings are expected for spot growth in large domains (Duguet et al 2010), where they can take various shapes. Moreover, no qualitative difference is found between the \(R < R_t\) and the \(R > R_t\) cases. The lengthscales, although smaller in spanwise direction, are of the same order of magnitude. The so-called secondary instability can be invoked in cycles of the same kind as those proposed for Poiseuille pipe flow (Shimizu and Kida 2009). Vorticity advected toward the spanwise interface of the spot can contribute to the excitation of the interface.

Together with the large-scale flow, the rolls can stimulate the stochastic mechanism of spanwise growth (Duguet et al 2011). However, the precise mechanical mechanism of the creation of streaks and vortices on the side of the spot is unknown. The interaction of the spanwise vorticity is a matter of future investigations. Linear modelling (Rolland and Manneville 2011a, Rolland in preparation) explains the onset of the instability at the local level and the effect of the advection, refinements taking into account the time evolution of the baseflow can be used in the case of the spot (Arratia et al 2013). Nonlinear models can shed light on the interaction between this instability and the other processes found in the flow, to improve the understanding of the spot growth process. There are no qualitative differences between the \(R = 370 < R_t\) and the \(R = 500 > R_t\) cases. This fact suggests that this instability alone has no effect on the disappearance of the bands as \(R\) grows toward \(R_t\). Other effects are at play: the appearance of laminar troughs for \(R\) below \(R_t\) in a uniformly turbulent flow has been described in DNS (Manneville 2011, Rolland in preparation) as well as in models (Barkley 2011).
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