Effectiveness of physical therapy in addition to occlusal splint in myogenic temporomandibular disorders: protocol of a randomised controlled trial
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INTRODUCTION

Temporomandibular disorders (TMDs) are considered a collection of musculoskeletal conditions involving the masticatory muscles, the temporomandibular joint and associated structures. The myogenous group appears to represent the most frequently diagnosed category. In the context of a multimodal approach, splint therapy and musculoskeletal physiotherapy are often considered as a preferred therapy. The purpose of this study will be to investigate the effects of musculoskeletal physiotherapy combined with occlusal splint and education versus occlusal splint and education alone in the treatment of chronic myogenous TMD on pain and mandibular range of motion.

Methods and analysis

All consecutive adults complaining of TMDs presented to the Department of Biomedical and Neuromotor Sciences of the University of Bologna will be considered eligible. Inclusion criteria shall be based on the presence of myogenous TMDs, as diagnosed through clinical examination in reference to the international diagnostic criteria of TMDs. Randomisation, concealed allocation, blinded assessment and intention-to-treat analysis will be employed. The splint therapy will consist of the use of the splint every night and concurrent delivery of an educational programme; the protocol shall have a duration of three months. The combined musculoskeletal physiotherapy, splint therapy and education will additionally consist of manual therapy techniques and exercise; such protocol shall consist of a duration of three consecutive months, inclusive of 10 sessions for the enhanced elements. All outcome measures will be collected at baseline, after treatment and at a 6 months follow-up.

Ethics and dissemination

Ethical approval has been obtained from the Independent Ethic Committee in Clinical Research of AUSL Bologna-Italy (47/2018/SPER/AUSLBO). Pursuant to applicable rules, we will obtain informed consent from each participant and collect data anonymously to maintain privacy. Results will be disseminated to clinicians and researchers through peer-reviewed publications and conferences.

Trial registration number

NCT03726060
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INTRODUCTION

Temporomandibular disorders (TMDs) are considered a collection of musculoskeletal conditions involving the masticatory muscles, the temporomandibular joint (TMJ) and associated structures, and are the main cause of pain of non-dental origin in the orofacial region including head, face and related structures. Symptoms of TMDs include decreased mandibular range of motion (ROM), TMJ pain, TMJ joint sounds with function, myogenous pain and functional limitation or deviation during jaw opening. TMDs are frequently associated with other symptoms; by example, neck pain, ear-related symptoms, musculoskeletal impairment of the cervical spine and headache. Individuals with myofascial TMD are significantly more likely
to suffer from chronic daily headache, migraine and tension-type headaches in comparison with individuals without TMD pain.11

Epidemiological studies indicate that approximately 10%–15% of the general population has TMDs, and within such group 5% of the respondents require therapy. The highest prevalence of TMDs is found in subjects between 18 and 45 years of age. Among female gender during the childbearing years12,13, it concerns specifically chronic TMDs.14

Among the different categories of TMDs, the myogenous group presents the highest frequency (42%), followed by disc displacement with reduction (32%), arthralgia (30%), osteoarthrosis (14.2%), osteoarthritis (12.3%) and disc displacement without reduction (8.6%).15 These findings have been confirmed in a recent meta-analysis including 21 epidemiological studies wherein the prevalence, according to the Research Diagnostic TMD Criteria, was for the muscle disorder group 45.3%, for the disc displacements group 41.1% and for joint disorders group 30.1%, respectively.16 Although the aetiology of TMDs is still not fully understood, it is largely considered to be multifactorial. The focus of aetiological theories on TMDs has shifted from peripheral to central factors. Current understanding and evidence-based literature fail to demonstrate a direct relation between the ‘historical’ occlusal factors and TMDs signs and symptoms. Presently, a minor role is assigned to natural dental occlusion as a risk factor for TMDs in favour to central factors, for example, psychological factors, pain sensitivity and genetics.1 Frequency of somatic symptoms (such as runny nose, fatigue and dizziness), general psychological symptoms, negative mood, symptoms of post-traumatic stress and stress emerged as important risk factors for incident TMD pain.14,17 It has been reported that subjects with muscle TMD pain tend to show higher prevalence of mood and panic/agoraphobic symptoms than the remaining groups.18 Additionally, there is a significant association among TMD pain and poor sleep quality,14,19 self-reports of jaw parafunction,14 whiplash trauma,20 and peripheral and central sensitisation mechanism.21

TMD diagnosis is mainly based on a combination of defined signs and symptoms. The most accepted and worldwide used diagnostic criteria are the validated diagnostic criteria for TMD (DC/TMD).4 These include Axis I diagnostic criteria derived from pertinent clinical TMD signs and symptoms and Axis II consisting of psychosocial and behavioural questionnaires. Axis I diagnostic criteria for TMD pain-related disorders have acceptable validity and provide definitive diagnoses for pain involving the TMJ, masticatory muscles and headache attributed to TMD. Nevertheless, more than one of these diagnoses seem to be present in 35.2% of the patients.15 It is important to note that the DC/TMD definitions of myogenous pain (type I) may be potentially confusing, as such include pain in the jaw, temple, ear or in front of the ear and disc displacements; bony changes and joint effusion are also common findings.22 Therefore, on a clinical setting, it is challenging to identify pure muscle pain patients; this could explain the conflicting findings often found in previous TMDs trials. Current studies suggest that management of TMDs should be aimed at decreasing pain, decreasing loading on the muscles and joints, and facilitating patients’ restoration of function and quality of life.23 A recent systematic review recommends conservative, not invasive and reversible therapies; occlusal adjustments are not considered an appropriate modality for TMDs treatment, being irreversible, not scientific based form of therapy.1 A multimodal approach is considered the proper management in myogenous TMDs including: counselling, acupuncture, exercises, occlusal splint therapy and manual therapy. In case of severe acute pain or chronic pain, pharmacotherapy and minimally invasive procedures can be included.24

An exercise regimen seems to provide moderate short-term and varying long-term benefits in pain reduction and ROM improvement in patients with TMDs, but seems to be lacking a significant impact for functional improvement.25 Musculoskeletal manual approaches seem to be effective for treating TMD. A recent meta-analysis26 showed a significant difference and large effect on active mouth opening and on pain during active mouth opening in favour of musculoskeletal manual techniques when compared with other conservative treatments for TMD, and these effects are greater in the short term.

The effects of the different manual therapy procedures, such as myofascial release and massage techniques applied on the masticatory muscles, result in more effective outcomes as compared with control groups (low to moderate evidence); nevertheless, those approaches are statistically as effective as toxin botulinum injections (moderate evidence). Upper cervical spine thrust manipulation or mobilisation techniques are more effective compared with control groups (low to high evidence), while thoracic manipulations are not.27 However, no high-quality evidence was found.28

Occlusal splints (OSs) are the most popular treatment for TMDs. Investigators described various types of occlusal splints with different designs, indications and functions. Nevertheless, the most common design is the full-arch, flat-plane maxillary stabilisation splint. Occlusal splint therapy can provide centric relation occlusion, eliminate posterior interferences, provide anterior guidance on anterior teeth, reduce neuromuscular activity and establish stable occlusal relationships with uniform tooth contacts throughout the dental arch. Nevertheless, the mechanism of action of occlusal splints is still unknown.29 The most widely recognised application of OSs for treating TMDs is their use in patients with myogenous diagnosis according to DC/TMD classification.

In most of the studies, positive results were obtained with both a real OS and a placebo control, but in many of these studies the real appliance was superior.22 The conclusion of a recent meta-analysis, which focused on the effects of hard stabilisation appliances in myogenous TMD subjects, was that there is qualitatively poor but
significant evidence that flat plane occlusal appliances are more effective than non-occluding appliances when worn during the night only.30 OSs have been reported to be effective in pain reduction, decreasing muscle tenderness in the short term; nevertheless, their effect is equalised with other therapeutic modalities in long-term follow-up.31

Most of the previous clinical trials compared different conservative treatments, but no clinical study to date has investigated the effects of a multimodal approach, consisting in a combination of musculoskeletal physiotherapy, education and occlusal splint.

The primary objective of this randomised controlled trial will be to compare the effectiveness of adding musculoskeletal physiotherapy to occlusal splint and education versus occlusal splint and education alone in the treatment of chronic myogenous TMD within the context of short-term follow-up. The secondary objective will be to evaluate variation in mandibular ROM and to investigate the presence of any psychosocial factors.

METHODS

Study design

This study is a double-arm randomised controlled trial in a 1:1 allocation ratio with concealed randomisation and triple blinding according to a parallel design.

Study settings and population

All consecutive adult patients (18 years and older) complaining of TMDs addressed to the Department of Biomedical and Neuromotor Sciences of Bologna University (Italy) will be eligible for the clinical trial. An oral and maxillofacial surgeon (AF), with substantive training and experience in TMDs diagnosis will perform a clinical and functional examination of each patient according to diagnostic criteria for TMDs (DC/TMD).4

Eligibility criteria

The criterion for inclusion in the study shall be existence of myogenous pain, by provocation test inquiring as to local myalgia, myofascial pain and myofascial pain with referral in accordance with the DC/TMD.4 Each accepted patient should report a history of ongoing pain, either transient or constant, for a duration of more than 3 months, have good knowledge of spoken and written Italian language, and provide informed consent, as evidenced by a signed document.

The following factors shall cause candidates to be excluded from the study: edentulism to a degree which makes application of the occlusal splint impossible, history of severe neurological disorders, articular and muscular autoimmune diseases, active malignant neoplasm presence of psychiatric conditions, history of alcoholism, drug and pain medication abuse, inclusion in other experimental protocols, patients undergoing surgical and/or radiation therapy on the cervical and facial areas, other TMDs without a myogenous component, other treatments of the cervical and TMJ areas in the previous 3 months, use of drugs which can affect neuromuscular system and/or pregnancy.

Interventions

Patients shall be allocated according to the study’s protocol among these parallel groups: occlusal splint therapy and education (control group), and musculoskeletal physiotherapy combined with occlusal splint therapy and education (test group).

Occlusal splint therapy

Participants in each group will receive a maxillary splint. The maxillary splint used in this study will be the stabilisation/Michigan splint consisting of a rigid splint constructed for the maxillary arch, including all maxillary teeth, with a flat occlusal plane.22

Following the indication of the clinician (CI), a technician will make the occlusal splint with methyl methacrylate acrylic, with maximum 2 mm occlusal thickness. During the delivery of the splint, the clinician will adjust the device, so that the opposing dentition will occlude uniformly, evenly and simultaneously with the occluding surface of the splint in centric occlusion. Splint retention will be provided by coverage of the labial and buccal surfaces of the maxillary teeth with the addiction of ball metal claps, if necessary.

For all participants, CI will take accurate alginate impressions of both arches and an interocclusal wax wafer registration. After 1 week, participants will receive the occlusal splint appropriately adjusted in the centric occlusion. The splint therapy will consist in the overnight use of the splint for 3 months with specific educational instructions through an informative brochure.

Education

The educational programme shall consist of delivery of educational advice through an informative brochure providing suggestions for the avoidance of disuse, abuse, overuse of the TMJ and on the correct use of occlusal splint (box 1).

Physical Therapy

Physical treatment shall consist of a series of interventions: teaching of self-treatment techniques to be performed by the patient at home and manual therapy techniques addressed to temporomandibular area, cervical spine and cervicothoracic junction. Each session will be carried out individually and will have a duration of 45 min. This time period will be divided as follows: 25 min dedicated to the temporomandibular area, 15 min to the cervical and cervicothoracic junction, and 5 min for teaching self-treatment techniques to be carried out at home and methods to verify correct performance (table 1). Musculoskeletal physiotherapy programme will consist of 10 sessions distributed over 3 months.

During the entire study period, no participants will receive any other form of treatment, including drugs or occlusal adjustments. Occlusal splint and educational
Anatomy of the temporomandibular joint

Temporomandibular joint disorders affect 10%–25% of the population. The international classification divides these disorders into articular (arthralgias), muscular (myalgias) and those concerning the articular disc (dislocations or displacement). In particular, in myalgias, muscle tissue is responsible for painful symptoms, which can be perceived in the muscle itself or even in distant parts (eg, at the level of the teeth, in the ear or in the head).

Treatment

The treatment of these disorders should be conservative. The most used therapies include anti-inflammatory and analgesic drugs, the use of an intraoral splint (also known as occlusal bite) and physiotherapy (which includes muscle manipulation and exercises). Scientific research has shown that these therapeutic solutions are useful in the short and long term. For the success of those treatments, it is of great importance to add behaviours that favour the reduction or correction of the loads on the joint, muscles and the articular disc.

Below is a list of some recommended changes which are easy to adopt and have proven to be helpful in people who suffer from temporomandibular disorders:

- Try not to bite your nails.
- Try not to bite your lower lip.
- Try not to grit your teeth but keep the two dental arches slightly separate. To help doing this, try to keep your tongue resting on the upper palate, at the point of the palate that is touched when you pronounce the letter N.
- Try not to consume chewing gum, even occasionally.
- Try not to nibble pencils or ballpoint pens.

intervention will be conducted by the same clinician whereas musculoskeletal physiotherapy treatment will be performed by an orthopaedic manipulative physical therapist (AR), expert in this field.

Outcomes

The primary outcome measure will be the subjective perception of pain. A Visual Analogue Scale (VAS), represented by a 10 cm long scale with a score from 0 to 10, will be used to measure the intensity of perceived pain. The VAS has been shown to be a reliable and valid instrument for measuring pain intensity in acute and chronic patient. Its minimal clinically important difference for general chronic pain is 30% of pain reduction and for pain related to TMD is 1.2 cm on the maximum pain, 1.9 cm on the current pain and 0.9 cm on the minimum pain scales. As it has been suggested, the above-described assessment of acute pain during movement (dynamic pain) is more important than pain at rest, therefore, VAS data will be collected during three different clinical evaluations: at rest, during maximum mouth opening and during chewing. The chewing test will be performed while the patient is chewing gum.

The secondary outcome measures shall concern TMJ ROM and psychological factors. ROM is the most important measure for TMJ function, providing analytical and millimetric measurement of functional movements. ROM measure will be collected using a precision electrical gauge positioned between the incisal edges of the upper and lower central incisor teeth.

In addition to maximum oral opening, a complete gnathological examination will be performed for each patient and specific parameters will be recorded, including: mandibular deviation from the midline, left and right lateral excursion movements, protrusive movements, overjet and overbite. The reliability of these measures has been shown ranging from Intraclass
Psychological factors will be measured using the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) in its Italian version. HADS is a questionnaire used to identify the presence of anxiety and depression in people with non-psychiatric health problems. It is divided into two subscales, one on anxiety (HADS-A) and one on depression (HADS-D), each containing seven mixed items. Each item is rated on a scale from 0 to 3, with a maximum score of 11 for each subscale. An aggregate score of 11 or more indicates a significant case of psychiatric comorbidity, a score of 8–10 means the presence of a disorder, a score of 7 or less is considered normal. A cutoff point of 8/21 gives a specificity of 0.83 and a sensitivity of 0.79 for anxiety (HADS-A) and a specificity of 0.90 for depression (HADS-D). HADS has been shown to be a valid and reliable measure of overall emotional distress in patients with chronic pain.

A second examiner (LD), who was masked as to patients’ treatment, will perform the baseline measure of primary and secondary outcomes and independently collect data at the end of treatment (3 months after the start) and at 6 months after the start of treatment. Patients’ timeline is shown in figure 1. In order to improve patients’ compliance and adherence to the study, the researchers will adopt the following strategies: written instructions for both occlusal splint and exercises, and gnathological recall visits at 18 weeks after the start of each treatment.

### Adverse events
Participants will be asked to report any side effects of treatments (eg, fatigue, stiffness, muscle spasm or increased pain). In the event a side effect is reported, participants will be asked to rate its degree of severity, from light to severe. Moderate and severe side effects will be considered the rules to leave the study (stopping rules).

### Sample size
Sample size was based on pain considered the primary outcome; endpoint of pain was the VAS commonly accepted for pain measurements.

At an α level of 0.05 with a power equal to 0.80 hypothesising that the difference on pain between the two treatments was ≥1.9 cm and by using as estimate the current pain according to Calixtre et al, a minimum of 26 subjects per group needed.

### Randomisation
Allocation of patients in one of the two parallel treatment groups will be based on a randomisation list. Randomisation will be performed using a random, computer-generated, numeric sequence provided by the biostatistician (MRAG) and placed in sealed opaque envelopes. Researchers’ assistants will enrol and assign participants to their respective groups.

### Blinding
This trial will be triple blinded: participants, independent assessor (LD), biostatistician (MRAG). All outcome measures will be collected at baseline, after treatment (3

---

**Table 1** Musculoskeletal physiotherapy

| 1.1. Manual therapy |  |
|---------------------|------------------|
| **a. Temporomandibular joint** |  |
| Traction mobilisation in resting or specific position |  |
| Lateral glide mobilisation in resting position |  |
| Anterior glide mobilisation |  |
| **b. Cervical spine** |  |
| Posterior glide occiput-C1 (mobilisation) |  |
| Unilateral postero–anterior C1 (mobilisation) |  |
| Unilateral postero–anterior C2 (mobilisation) |  |
| Inferior glide C2 (mobilisation) |  |
| Superior glide C2 (mobilisation) |  |
| Cervicothoracic junction (manipulation) |  |
| **c. Soft tissues** |  |
| Myofascial release or trigger point compression directed to the following muscles (where positive to palpation test): masseter, temporalis, pterygoideus medialis and lateralis, sternocleidomastoid, supraphyoid muscles, semispinalis, multifidus, suboccipitalis, splenius capitis and cervicis and levator scapulae |  |

---

Coefficient Correlation (ICC)=0.70–0.9837 and from k=0.40–0.8938, with an excellent reliability for vertical mandibular motions and poor to moderate reliability for excursive movements.

Moreover, a qualitative assessment of passive end feel will be collected (eg, painful, hard, elastic, etc) with a score abbreviation (p=painful, H=hard, E=elastic).
months from the beginning of the treatment) and at 6 months follow-up by the same LD, who will be blinded to group allocation of each participant.

**Statistical methods**

Trial statistician (MRAG) will conduct all analyses and all reporting following Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials guidelines. Primary analysis will be based on the ‘intention-to-treat’ population of all randomised participants regardless of their compliance with the protocol in order to handle missing data. Quantitative variables will be synthesised by means of arithmetic mean and SD or median and IQR after verifying the Gaussian distribution by using the Shapiro-Wilks test. Categorical variables will be synthesised by means of univariate and bivariate frequency distributions. Explorative analysis of the questionnaire will be performed by means of multiple correspondence analysis.

Comparison between the two groups will be performed by means of t-test or Mann-Whitney U-test based on distribution of VAS (primary outcome) and ROM (secondary outcome). As for HADS cluster analysis will be carried out aiming to evidence possible groupings of items corresponding to the two arms of treatment. Generalised linear model (mixed-effects model) will be applied aiming to evaluate the influence of treatment, demographic factors, clinical factors and their interactions on the primary and secondary outcomes. α level will be a priori set at 0.05 for a two tails test.

**Data management**

Each subject participating in the trial will be uniquely identified by a number, and information such as name, address will be maintained in a separate database. When forms on outcome measures will be completed by the investigator, data will be reported in an Excel datasheet without any identification concerning group allocation. Data on adverse events (type, severity, etc) will be also reported in the same Excel datasheet. Data verification, validation, maintenance and updating will be maintained
in a password-protected database under the control of the statistician.

**Patient and public involvement**

This study shall be undertaken due to our clinical observations concerning good results of a multimodal and multidisciplinary approach (consisting in a combination of musculoskeletal physiotherapy, education and occlusal splint) in patients complaining of TMDs. Despite the major clinical advantages of this therapeutic approach, the authors noticed that there is no robust evidence due to the lack of large randomised trials in the field.

As a consequence, patients do not receive clear indications on the most effective treatment options. With the exception of the research question, patients were not involved in the design of the study neither in the dissemination plan of our research. The burden of the trial was not assessed before commencement.

At completion of the study, all patients will be informed about the general findings of the study through a summarised handbook.

**ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION**

The study protocol was approved by the Independent Ethic Committee in Clinical Research of AUSL Bologna-Italy (protocol number 47/2018/SPER/ AUSLBO-21/02/2018).

The procedures will be conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki. A researchers’ assistant will obtain a written informed consent from all participants before they will enter the study. Privacy of the patients will be respected, and identification of the included subjects will be made by a unique code, consisting of a progressive number. We will adopt strategies to spread our results by peer-reviewed publications, congress presentations and dissemination to policy-makers.

**DISCUSSION**

TMDs are one of the most burdensome musculoskeletal disorders in the adult population and are often associated with psychological discomfort, physical disability and functional limitations in the orofacial system. Given the social and economic consequences of this condition, it is relevant to find the most effective conservative treatments to reduce pain and improve function. This large randomised controlled trial will compare the effects of two different conservative approaches in the management of patients with TMDs: musculoskeletal physiotherapy in addition to occlusal splint and education, versus occlusal splint and education alone. The results will have an influence on the conservative treatment prescription and the clinical decision-making process.
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