Changes in nutritional composition, functional, and sensory properties of yam flour as a result of presoaking
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Abstract

This study investigated the effect of soaking pretreatments on some of the properties of flour obtained from two varieties of yam namely; Dioscorea alata and Dioscorea rotundata with a view of providing information that will enhance their end use. The yam varieties were washed, chipped, parboiled at 50°C, soaked for different periods (0, 6, 12, and 18 h), dried at 60°C, and milled into flour. The flour samples were analyzed for their nutritional composition, pH, color, and functional properties. The flour samples were also made into pastes and were sensorially analyzed and 0 h soaked samples were used as control. The protein content of 18 h-soaked D. rotundata and D. alata flour samples was significantly different from the control and soaking had no effect (P > 0.05) on the fat and ash content but the carbohydrate content of the flour samples ranged from 83.08% to 86.13%. The 18 h-soaked D. rotundata flour sample had the lowest peak viscosity, breakdown value, and final viscosity among the D. rotundata variety samples. Pasting temperature ranged from 79.80 to 83.60°C and 6-h soaked D. alata flour sample had the lowest water absorption capacity and the highest bulk density. On the basis of sensory analysis, the panelist preferred the taste, texture, color, and appearance of paste made from the 18-h soaked D. rotundata flour to the paste of other flour samples. The results of this study show that D. rotundata should be soaked for 18 h prior to drying and milling in order to obtain a good-quality flour and paste.

Introduction

The genus Dioscorea (family Dioscoreaceae) consists of some species that are commonly known as yam which are consumed perennial in Africa, Asia, Latin America, and Oceania (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Yam_%28vegetable%29). Throughout the world, over 150 species are grown (Purseglove 1991) and about six species are known as important staples in the tropics. D. rotundata (white yam), D. esculenta (Chinese yam), D. alata (water yam), D. bulbifera (aerial yam), and D. dumeterum (trifoliate yam) are among the economically important species (Ike and Inoni 2006). Yam serves as an important source of carbohydrate and serves as a major source of income in countries where they are cultivated. In 2007, 96% of the worldwide production of yam (52 million tons) was from Africa while 94% of the yam was from West Africa with Nigeria alone producing 71% (http://www.iita.org/yam). Yams are usually processed into dry-yam tubers/slices and flour in West African countries such as Ghana, Benin, and Nigeria (Brice et al. 1997). Yam tubers are usually processed into flour called “gbodo” in Yoruba land of Nigeria by peeling, slicing, parboiling in hot water (40–60°C for 1–3 h), soaking, and sun drying (Onayemi and Potter 1974).

Majority of foods sold in the market presently are exposed to a certain degree of processing (Akingbala et al. 1995) and processing is also prerequisite for yam consumption. Gbodo—traditionally processed dry yam—gives an intermediate flour product upon milling which is called “elubo.” Elubo is usually stirred in boiling water to obtain a paste which is usually eaten with soups called “amala” (Akinsse et al. 2000). The quality attributes that consumers look out for in these products are their color, texture, and taste (Akinsse et al. 2000). Yam is still being processed to gbodo and elubo through traditional methods and their quality attributes differ from one processor/location to another (Hounhouigan et al. 2003). However, to the best knowledge, little information is available on how soaking
as a processing variable affects the quality attributes of yam flour and this type of knowledge becomes important when the development of flour is considered. This study investigated the effect of different soaking time on the chemical composition, functional, and sensory properties of flour from *D. rotundata* and *D. alata*.

**Materials and Methods**

Yam was obtained from Kuto market in Abeokuta, Ogun State, Nigeria. The two varieties of yam (*D. alata* and *D. rotundata*) were converted to chips using the method described by Ige and Akintunde (1981). The white and water yam tubers were washed with portable water. The yam was cut into chips (150 g) and parboiled at a temperature of 50°C. The parboiled samples were soaked in portable water at different times (0, 6, 12, and 18 h) 0 h-soaked samples of the two yam varieties were used as control. The soaked yam slices were dried to a constant weight using a cabinet drier (Jinan Food Machinery Co., Ltd., Jinan, China) at 60°C for 2 h. The dried yam chips were milled into flour, sieved using 0.25 μm sieve, and were subjected to analyses.

**Nutritional composition analysis**

The nutritional composition of yam flour, including protein and fat, fiber, ash, and carbohydrate was determined according to the methods of AOAC (2000).

**Functional properties analysis**

**Bulk density**

The bulk density of the yam flour was determined with the method of Wang and Kinsella (1976).

**Water absorption capacity**

The water absorption capacities of the flour samples were carried out by the modified method of Prinyawiwatkul et al. (1997).

**Dispersibility**

A method described by Kulkarni and Ingle (1991) was used to measure dispersibility.

**Pasting property**

The pasting properties of the samples were measured using a Rapid Visco Analyzer, RVA (Model RVA-SUPER3; Newport Scientific 1998, Australia) of the yam flour.

**pH**

The pH of the sample was measured with a pH meter.

**Color intensity**

One gram of each sample was weighed into a 100 ml beaker. 25 ml HCl was measured and added to the beaker to extract the color by shaking and homogenizing with glass rod for 30 min. The mixture was allowed to stand for 10 min after which it was filtered through hardened Whatman No 42 filter paper into another 100 ml conical flask. The organic filtrate obtained was used to determine color by taking the absorbance at wavelength of 520 nm on a spectrophotometer (Cecil 2483, Cambridge, UK).

**Sensory analysis**

The yam flour samples were prepared by stirring them in hot water to make amala paste and served to 20 taste panelists who are regular consumers of amala. The pastes were rated for aroma, texture, color, and taste using a 9-point hedonic scale according to Iwe (2002).

**Statistical analysis**

All analyses were carried out in triplicates and the data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA). SAS version 9.0 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC) for windows was the statistical software that was used.

**Results**

Table 1 shows the nutritional composition of the flour samples as affected by soaking. The protein content of the 6 h-soaked *D. rotundata* and *D. alata* flour samples was lower than that of the control and this reduction was significant while the reduction in *D. alata* was insignificant. The protein content of 18 h-soaked *D. alata* flour sample was insignificantly different from the control. There was no significant difference in the moisture content of the 6- and 12 h-soaked *D. rotundata* flour samples but the moisture content of the 18 h-soaked *D. rotundata* flour sample was significant compared to the control. The highest moisture content (10.12%) was observed in 6 h-soaked *D. alata* flour sample while the 12 h-soaked *D. alata* had the lowest moisture content (8.16%). Table 1 also reveals that there were no significant differences in the ash and fat contents of all the samples but their carbohydrate content ranged from 83.08% to 86.13%. *D. alata* which was used as the control had the highest dispersibility and its dispersibility was not significantly
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Table 1. Effect of soaking time on the proximate composition of yam flour in percentage.

| Sample            | Moisture % | Protein % | Fat %  | CHO %   | Fiber % | Ash %  |
|-------------------|------------|-----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|
| Dioscorea rotundata 0 h | 9.47 ± 0.12b | 1.51 ± 0.01b | 1.77 ± 0.20a | 83.96 ± 0.78ab | 1.93 ± 0.21abc | 1.67 ± 1.15a |
| Dioscorea alata 0 h   | 9.97 ± 0.15a | 0.91 ± 0.01a | 1.89 ± 0.51a | 83.61 ± 1.20ab | 1.62 ± 0.39abc | 2.00 ± 1.00a |
| D. rotundata 6 h      | 8.60 ± 0.78c | 1.21 ± 0.26a | 2.00 ± 0.34a | 83.75 ± 1.13ab | 2.14 ± 0.39ab | 2.00 ± 0.00a |
| D. alata 6 h          | 10.12 ± 0.07a | 0.88 ± 0.57a | 2.00 ± 0.34a | 83.08 ± 0.59a  | 2.26 ± 0.14ab | 1.67 ± 0.58a |
| D. rotundata 12 h     | 8.41 ± 0.13c | 1.16 ± 0.25c | 1.11 ± 0.51a | 85.97 ± 1.11c  | 1.35 ± 0.57ab | 2.00 ± 0.00a |
| D. alata 12 h         | 18.06 ± 0.20b | 1.10 ± 0.23b | 1.44 ± 0.51b | 86.13 ± 1.30c  | 1.17 ± 0.16b  | 2.00 ± 1.00a |
| D. rotundata 18 h     | 9.38 ± 0.05b | 1.09 ± 0.01a | 1.44 ± 0.51b | 85.31 ± 0.74ab | 1.43 ± 0.53ab | 1.33 ± 0.58b |
| D. alata 18 h         | 9.30 ± 0.05b | 0.94 ± 0.02a | 2.22 ± 0.19a | 83.18 ± 1.16b  | 2.36 ± 0.02ab | 2.00 ± 1.00a |

Values are expressed as mean ± SD (n = 3); values within the same column followed by different superscripts are significantly different (P < 0.05).

Table 2. Effect of soaking time on the functional properties of yam flour.

| Samples            | Dispersibility % | Bulk density | Water abs |
|--------------------|------------------|--------------|-----------|
| Dioscorea rotundata 0 h | 67.33 ± 0.58b  | 0.76 ± 0.02a | 2.45 ± 0.01a |
| Dioscorea alata 0 h   | 68.83 ± 1.15a  | 0.75 ± 0.02a | 2.50 ± 0.02f |
| D. rotundata 6 h      | 65.83 ± 0.29c  | 0.77 ± 0.01a | 2.62 ± 0.01a |
| D. alata 6 h          | 65.67 ± 0.58b  | 0.78 ± 0.01a | 2.45 ± 0.01a |
| D. rotundata 12 h     | 68.33 ± 0.58ab | 0.74 ± 0.01a | 2.86 ± 0.01b |
| D. alata 12 h         | 67.50 ± 0.50b  | 0.75 ± 0.01a | 2.76 ± 0.02a |
| D. rotundata 18 h     | 64.83 ± 0.29c  | 0.66 ± 0.00b | 2.91 ± 0.02b |
| D. alata 18 h         | 61.83 ± 0.29d  | 0.65 ± 0.02b | 2.71 ± 0.03d |

Values are expressed as mean ± SD (n = 3); values within the same column followed by different superscripts are significantly different (P < 0.05).

Table 3. Effect of soaking time on the pH and color of yam flour.

| Sample            | pH      | Color     |
|-------------------|---------|-----------|
| Dioscorea rotundata 0 h | 6.40 ± 0.01a | 1.81 ± 0.08a |
| Dioscorea alata 0 h   | 6.40 ± 0.01a | 1.82 ± 0.07a |
| D. rotundata 6 h      | 5.82 ± 0.02b | 1.82 ± 0.06a |
| D. alata 6 h          | 6.21 ± 0.01b | 1.83 ± 0.06a |
| D. rotundata 12 h     | 5.54 ± 0.01c | 1.81 ± 0.07a |
| D. alata 12 h         | 5.48 ± 0.02a | 1.86 ± 0.03a |
| D. rotundata 18 h     | 5.10 ± 0.01b | 1.85 ± 0.04b |
| D. alata 18 h         | 5.84 ± 0.02c | 1.82 ± 0.08b |

Values are expressed as mean ± SD (n = 3); values within the same column followed by different superscripts are significantly different (P < 0.05).

The final viscosities of the D. rotundata samples at different soaking times were not significantly different from each other. Setback values which are an index of retrogradation varied from 93.00 to 488.50 RU.

Table 5 shows that there were significant differences (P ≤ 0.05) in the appearance, texture, taste, aroma, and the overall acceptability of the yam paste made from different flour samples. The color, taste, appearance, aroma, and the overall acceptability of the paste made from flour produced from the control sample of D. rotundata were significantly different from the appearance, color, taste, aroma, and the overall acceptability of paste made from 18 h-soaked D. rotundata flour. After the 18 h soaking period, the overall acceptability, taste, texture color, and appearance of D. alata were significantly different from D. rotundata at the same soaking time.

Discussion

The variations in the protein content of the two yam varieties may be due to genetic composition of the varieties and environmental conditions (Woolfe 1987). The reduced protein content might be because of the progressive solubilization and movement of some nitrogenous substances into water used for soaking (Ukachukwu and Obioha 2000). All the samples had moisture content below 13% which is the standard for dry food samples as
The peak viscosity of all the D. alata samples were low compared to all the D. rotundata samples and this indicates that the carbohydrate components of D. rotundata flour samples will not breakdown easily and quickly like the D. alata samples until it is cooked properly. Peak viscosity has been reported to be an important parameter to processors so as to obtain a useable starch paste (Adeyemi 1989). The 18 h-soaked D. rotundata flour sample had the highest holding strength, holding strength indicates the capacity of a flour sample undergoing processing to resist shear stress and heating. The vulnerability of cooked starch granules to disintegrate into smaller components is measured as breakdown and this has been reported to affect the steadiness of flour products (Beta et al. 2001). Low breakdown value indicates that the stability of a flour sample is high under hot condition, therefore, the stability of 18 h-soaked D. rotundata flour sample will be higher under hot condition. Also, all the flour samples from D. rotundata can form thick and strong gel after cooking and cooling than samples from D. alata based on the observed insignificant differences in their final viscosity.

On the basis of the sensory analysis, the panelist preferred the taste, texture, color, and appearance of paste made from the 18 h-soaked D. rotundata flour to the
paste made from other flour samples including the control sample. The soaking period might have influenced the color, texture, and appearance of *D. rotundata* as well as its acceptability. The result of some of its functional properties also showed that paste from 18 h soaked *D. rotundata* will have desirable sensory qualities.

**Conclusions**

Soaking *D. rotundata* for 18 h before processing it into flour led to the retention of the nutrients of the yam flour except protein and improved the color, texture, and appearance of the paste that was developed from this flour. Also, a viscous and firm gel that will be stable at high temperature as well as a useable and acceptable starch paste with superior eating quality can be obtained by soaking *D. rotundata* for 18 h prior to processing.
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**Table 5. Effect of soaking time on the sensory attributes of yam flour.**

| Sample               | Appearance | Color    | Texture | Taste | Aroma | Overall acceptability |
|----------------------|------------|----------|---------|-------|-------|-----------------------|
| *Dioscorea rotundata* 0 h | 5.30 ± 2.60<sup>c</sup> | 4.95 ± 2.24<sup>c</sup> | 6.60 ± 1.93<sup>d</sup> | 5.45 ± 2.28<sup>b,c</sup> | 4.65 ± 2.46<sup>b</sup> | 5.45 ± 2.61<sup>c</sup> |
| *Dioscorea alata* 0 h | 5.90 ± 2.90<sup>ab</sup> | 6.15 ± 2.37<sup>ab</sup> | 3.40 ± 1.98<sup>d</sup> | 4.40 ± 2.64<sup>c</sup> | 4.10 ± 2.29<sup>d</sup> | 5.30 ± 2.49<sup>d</sup> |
| *D. rotundata* 6 h | 6.95 ± 1.43<sup>b</sup> | 6.50 ± 1.91<sup>b</sup> | 6.95 ± 1.79<sup>d</sup> | 6.25 ± 2.22<sup>b</sup> | 5.80 ± 2.26<sup>d</sup> | 6.90 ± 1.92<sup>b</sup> |
| *D. alata* 6 h | 5.95 ± 2.16<sup>b</sup> | 6.00 ± 1.86<sup>b</sup> | 6.00 ± 2.20<sup>d</sup> | 5.70 ± 1.56<sup>ab</sup> | 5.80 ± 2.04<sup>d</sup> | 6.00 ± 1.84<sup>ab</sup> |
| *D. rotundata* 12 h | 6.00 ± 1.52<sup>b</sup> | 6.10 ± 1.71<sup>b</sup> | 5.75 ± 2.36<sup>d</sup> | 5.35 ± 2.58<sup>b</sup> | 6.15 ± 2.13<sup>d</sup> | 6.40 ± 1.79<sup>d</sup> |
| *D. alata* 12 h | 4.75 ± 2.24<sup>c</sup> | 5.10 ± 2.15<sup>c</sup> | 4.20 ± 2.38<sup>c</sup> | 4.35 ± 1.81<sup>c</sup> | 4.90 ± 2.07<sup>c</sup> | 5.45 ± 1.64<sup>c</sup> |
| *D. rotundata* 18 h | 7.55 ± 1.50<sup>a</sup> | 7.85 ± 1.23<sup>a</sup> | 6.85 ± 1.73<sup>b</sup> | 6.45 ± 2.04<sup>c</sup> | 6.15 ± 2.13<sup>d</sup> | 7.20 ± 1.47<sup>a</sup> |
| *D. alata* 18 h | 5.25 ± 2.05<sup>c</sup> | 5.65 ± 1.50<sup>b</sup> | 4.90 ± 2.31<sup>c</sup> | 4.85 ± 2.37<sup>c</sup> | 5.75 ± 2.36<sup>c</sup> | 5.60 ± 2.11<sup>c</sup> |

Values are expressed as mean ± SD (n = 3); values within the same column followed by different superscripts are significantly different (P < 0.05).
Prinyawiwatkul, W., L. R. Beuchat, K. H. Mc Watters, and R. D. Phillips. 1997. Functional properties of cowpea (Vigna unguiculata) flour as affected by soaking, boiling, and fungal fermentation. J. Agric. Food Chem. 45:480–486.

Purseglove, J. W. 1991. Pp. 109–111 in Tropical crops: dicotyledons. John Wiley and Sons, New York, NY.

Ukachukwu, S. N., and F. C. Obioha. 2000. Effect of time duration of thermal treatments on the nutritive value of Mucuna cochinchinensis. Glob. J. Pure Appl. Sci. 9:11–15.

Wang, J. C., and J. E. Kinsella. 1976. Functional properties of Novel proteins; alfalfa leaf protein. J. Food Sci. 41:286–289.

Woolfe, J. A. 1987. The potato in human diet. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U.K.

Yam. Available at http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Yam_%28vegetable%29. (accessed 20 June 2014).

Yam production. Available at http://www.iita.org/yam. (accessed 20 June 2014).