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INTRODUCTION

Endometriosis refers to the occurrence of endometrial glands and stromal implants growing out of the uterine cavity, which affects approximately 5%–10% of general female population. According to a cohort study, women with endometriosis had an age-adjusted two-fold increased risk of incident infertility when compared with those women without a history of endometriosis, which is called endometriosis-associated infertility. The association between infertility and endometriosis has been widely connected to severe pelvic adhesions in moderate to severe disease that a variety of anatomical abnormalities and ovarian cysts can hinder ovum capture and transport. However, the impact of early-stage endometriosis on infertility remains uncertain as the effect of pelvic adhesion is not so severe. The mechanism to cause infertility in minimal/mild endometriosis may involve in the...
abnormal immunological environment, ovulatory dysfunction, defective implantation, and eutopic endometrium abnormalities.\textsuperscript{5,6} Laparoscopic surgery, which is used as the most common approach for the treatment of minimal/mild endometriosis, can improve these women’s natural fertility.\textsuperscript{7,8}

Kim et al. had reported\textsuperscript{9} that the prevalence of endometrial polyps in the endometriosis group and in the nonendometriosis group was 46.7% and 16.5%, respectively. Endometrial polyps in endometriosis might be cofactors in female infertility, as the prevalence of endometrial polyps can reach to 47.83%–68.35% in endometriosis-associated infertility women, significantly higher than those infertile women without endometriosis.\textsuperscript{10–12} Meta-analysis confirmed a significantly higher risk of endometrial polyps in women with endometriosis.\textsuperscript{13} The mechanisms of endometrial polyps in endometriosis may involve in local inflammation, endocrine disorder, and hormone levels.\textsuperscript{9,10} Hysteroscopy is the preferred technique for polypectomy for direct visualization while removing polyps and protecting adjacent endometrial integrity.\textsuperscript{14} Our previous study also revealed that the pregnancy rates were 49.52% after hysteroscopic polypectomy, 29.79% for those without polyps in the infertile women with endometriosis; furthermore, in the early stages (stages I and II) of endometriosis, the pregnancy rate (63.64%) in women with endometrial polyps was higher than those without (37.14%).\textsuperscript{15} As endometrial polyps are the underlying cause of infertility in endometriosis, removal of polyps can significantly increase pregnancy rates in these women. This suggested that endometrial polyps might be a crucial factor in infertility for women with early-stage endometriosis, hysteroscopic examination to ascertain and simultaneously remove any coexisting endometrial polyps and pelvic endometriotic foci is recommended. Nowadays, hysteroscopic polypectomy and removal of endometriotic foci could significantly improve pregnancy outcomes in women with minimal/mild endometriosis. But the contribution of endometrial polyps to infertility or pregnancy outcome has not been precisely determined. Additionally, it is unclear if the polyp size and number impact on the fecundity of infertile women with minimal/mild endometriosis. The aim of the study was to evaluate and compare the pregnancy rates after hysteroscopic polypectomy in infertile women with minimal/mild endometriosis and endometrial polyps less than 1 cm, and those equal to or greater than 1 cm or multiple endometrial polyps.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

All participants with infertility as the main complaint were given hystero-laparoscopy and consented to the anonymous use of their personal data. The infertile women diagnosed as the early stage of endometriosis in the Department of Reproductive Endocrinology in West China Second University Hospital of Sichuan University from January 2016 to September 2018 was reviewed. Infertility was defined as failing to conceive after at least 1 year of regular, unprotected intercourse. Diagnosis of minimal or mild endometriosis was based on laparoscopically staging as revised American Fertility Society (r-AFS) score \(<16.\textsuperscript{16} The inclusion criteria were women with regular menstrual cycles and/or ovulation confirmed by ultrasound or luteinizing hormone kits, no hormonal treatment 3 months before surgery, and a complete fertility evaluation. The exclusion criteria included severe pelvic adhesion, lateral or bilateral tubal blockage, adenomyosis, leiomyomas, endometrial hyperplasia, decrease of ovarian reserve, endocrin disorder (such as polycystic ovary syndrome, diabetes, thyroid diseases, or adrenal diseases), and abnormal male sperm and/or sexual dysfunction.

Women who fulfilled the inclusion criteria had their demographic and baseline characteristics data collected and reviewed, such as age, menarche age, menstrual cycle, menstruation duration, duration of infertility, history of fertility; the body weight and height of all participants were measured to calculate their body mass index (BMI). All patients underwent laparoscopy and hysteroscopy simultaneously. In the laparoscopic procedure, pelvic or peritoneal endometriotic foci were removed by excision or electrocoagulation, and staging of endometriosis was performed according to r-AFS classification; the endometriosis fertility index (EFI) scores were obtained for each patient. Meanwhile, hysteroscopy was performed to ascertain and remove endometrial polyps using micro-scissors or an electric loop; the number and size of endometrial polyps were recorded which was obtained from further pathologic examination. After surgery, all participants attempted to conceive naturally and were followed-up to investigate their pregnancy and pregnant outcome by outpatient visit or regular phone questionnaire. The clinical pregnancy was defined as the presence of an intrauterine gestational sac with fetal cardiac activity by ultrasonography.

All analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS version 22.0, IBM, USA). The continuous variables were presented as mean ± standard deviation. Descriptive variables were transformed into categorical variables and presented as percentages. The groups were compared using $t$ test for normally distributed continuous data and using the Student’s $t$ test, Mann–Whitney $U$ test, chi-square test, or Fisher’s exact test where appropriate. The effect of various covariates on post-operation conception was estimated using binary logistic regression analysis. Differences associated with $p < 0.05$ were defined as statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

Among 898 subjects enrolled in the study, there were 338 (37.64%) women diagnosed pathologically endometrial
polyps, and other 560 cases with no endometrial polyps under hysteroscopy. In the polyps group, participants were divided into <1 cm group (n = 253) and ≥1 cm group (n = 85) according to the size of polyps, and divided into single polyp group (n = 173) and multiple polyps group (n = 165) for those having ≥2 polyps, respectively. About 12.14% (109/898) patients (59 with polyps and 50 non-polyps) were lost to follow-up; another 27 cases (8 with polyps and 19 non-polyps) were excluded from the study as having no desire to conceive and 20 taking assisted reproductive techniques after surgery. The remaining 762 women had their reproductive outcomes analyzed. The characteristics of 898 infertile women with minimal/mild endometriosis were shown in Table 1.

There were 449 natural pregnancies during follow-up, 163 in the polyps group, and 286 in non-polyps; among them, 35 spontaneous miscarriages, 8 ectopic pregnancy, 11 premature labor, 389 full-term labor, and remaining 6 patient ongoing pregnancy whose gestational week over than the first trimester (Table 2). The pregnancy rate in patients whose polyps ≥1 cm (76.06%, 54/71) was significantly higher than those polyps <1 cm (54.50%, 109/200) and non-polyps women (58.25%, 286/491) (p = 0.006); pregnancy rate in women with multiple polyps (67.86%, 95/140) was significantly higher than those with single polyp (51.91%, 68/131) (p = 0.025).

The binary logistic regression analysis was performed to analyze covariates for pregnancy in infertile patients. The clinical pregnancy rate was the dependent variable; age, BMI, infertile duration, type of infertility, and the existence of polyps were counted as the independent variables. In patients with polyps, the size of polyps and the number of polyps were used as the independent variables additional to previous variables. The modified Hosmer-Lemshow goodness-of-fit $x^2$ test statistics were 2.374 ($p = 0.936$) and 10.940 ($p = 0.205$), which suggested that the multivariable models were good fit ($p > 0.05$). The results revealed that age, BMI, and infertile duration significantly related to clinical pregnancy in patients with minimal/mild endometriosis (Table 3). For patients with polyp, the logistic regression analysis results showed that age, BMI, infertile duration, the size of polyps, and the number of polyps impact their pregnancy (Table 4).

**DISCUSSION**

The endometrial polyps localized endothelial lesions comprise endometrial glands, stroma, blood vessels, and fibrous tissue that result from localized, hyperplastic overgrowth of the endometrium. Endometrial polyps are common in those with infertility with a prevalence as high as 32%. Additionally, endometrial polyps are frequently unrecognized if they are small, which can cause unpredictable bleeding or may be asymptomatic. The mechanism of endometrial polyps on reducing women’s fecundity is unknown. It is considered that endometrial polyps may alter the uterine environment as space-occupying lesions in a way that threatens sperm transport and embryo implantation; and the increased levels of glycodelin, aromatase, or inflammatory markers, and reduced levels of HOXA-10 and HOXA-11 messenger RNA adversely impact endometrial receptivity.

Studies suggested that endometriosis is associated with a significantly greater risk of endometrial polyps. The precise pathogenesis of endometrial polyps in a background of endometriosis is unclear. The most widely accepted theory is that the two diseases are estrogen-dependent as both of them include the overgrowth of the endometrium; besides, eutopic endometrium inflammation may provide another perspective for the contemporary appearance of endometriosis and endometrial polyps. 

---

**Table 1** Demographic characteristics of 898 infertile women with minimal/mild endometriosis.

|                        | Polyps group (n = 338) | Non-polyps (n = 560) |
|------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| Age (y)                | 29.52 ± 4.13           | 29.66 ± 4.00         |
| ≤35                    | 311                    | 516                  |
| 36–39                  | 19                     | 33                   |
| ≥40                    | 8                      | 11                   |
| Menarche age (y)*      | 12.25 ± 0.84           | 11.81 ± 1.10         |
| Menstrual cycle (days) | 28.78 ± 2.94           | 29.02 ± 2.63         |
| Menstruation duration  | 5.13 ± 1.15            | 5.25 ± 1.11          |
| Gravidity*             | 0.59 ± 0.99            | 0.96 ± 1.27          |
| Parity                 | 0.10 ± 0.29            | 0.12 ± 0.33          |
| Duration of infertility (y) | 2.65 ± 2.00       | 2.42 ± 1.67          |
| Primary infertility (n) | 215                    | 265                  |
| Secondary infertility (n) | 123                  | 295                  |
| BMI (kg/m²)            | 20.98 ± 2.68           | 20.82 ± 2.62         |

*Note: Values are expressed as n or mean ± standard deviation.  
*p < 0.05. 
Abbreviation: BMI, body mass index.

**Table 2** Pregnancy outcomes of 762 infertile women with minimal/mild endometriosis.

|                        | Polyps group (n = 271) | Non-polyp (n = 491) |
|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| Clinical pregnancy rate | 60.15% (163/271)       | 58.25% (286/491)    |
| Pregnancy outcome      |                        |                     |
| Miscarriage            | 11                     | 24                  |
| Ectopic pregnancy      | 4                      | 4                   |
| Premature labor        | 7                      | 4                   |
| Full-term labor        | 140                    | 249                 |
| Ongoing pregnancy      | 1                      | 5                   |
One approach to endometrial polyps can be Hysteroscopy is also the most Above

**Table 3** The relationship between pregnancy and related variables by logistic regression analysis in women with minimal/mild endometriosis.

| Variables              | B    | SE  | Wald | p     | OR   | Lower limit | Upper limit |
|------------------------|------|-----|------|-------|------|-------------|-------------|
| Age (y)*               | −0.676 | 0.275 | 6.042 | 0.014 | 0.509 | 0.297       | 0.872       |
| BMI (kg/m²)*           | 0.534 | 0.252 | 4.502 | 0.034 | 1.706 | 1.042       | 2.793       |
| Duration of infertility (y)* | −0.472 | 0.191 | 6.125 | 0.013 | 0.624 | 0.430       | 0.907       |
| Type of infertility    | −0.069 | 0.156 | 0.195 | 0.659 | 0.934 | 0.688       | 1.267       |
| Polyps                 | 0.073 | 0.159 | 0.210 | 0.647 | 1.076 | 0.788       | 1.469       |
| Constant               | 0.458 | 0.129 | 12.556 | 0.000 | 1.582 |             |             |

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio; SE, standard error.
*p < 0.05; The modified Hosmer-Lemshow goodness-of-fit x² test statistics was 2.374 (p = 0.936).

**Table 4** The relationship between pregnancy and related variables by logistic regression analysis in women with endometrial polyps.

| Variables              | B    | SE  | Wald | p     | OR   | Lower limit | Upper limit |
|------------------------|------|-----|------|-------|------|-------------|-------------|
| Age (y)*               | −1.121 | 0.512 | 4.794 | 0.029 | 0.326 | 0.119       | 0.889       |
| BMI (kg/m²)*           | 0.931 | 0.457 | 4.143 | 0.042 | 2.537 | 1.035       | 6.219       |
| Duration of infertility (y)* | −0.680 | 0.321 | 4.496 | 0.034 | 0.507 | 0.270       | 0.950       |
| Type of infertility    | 0.568 | 0.293 | 3.762 | 0.052 | 1.765 | 0.994       | 3.133       |
| Size of polyps*        | 0.971 | 0.341 | 8.118 | 0.004 | 2.642 | 1.354       | 5.153       |
| Number of Polyps*      | 0.551 | 0.268 | 4.230 | 0.040 | 1.736 | 1.026       | 2.793       |
| Constant               | −0.140 | 0.230 | 0.373 | 0.542 | 0.869 |             |             |

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio; SE, standard error.
*p < 0.05; The modified Hosmer-Lemshow goodness-of-fit x² test statistics was 10.940 (p = 0.205).

One approach to endometrial polyps can be associated with a disruption of this essential process by impeding some combination of embryo transport and subsequent implantation into the endometrium. Upon hysteroscopy, polyps appear soft and smooth and often present only a small degree of vascularization. As hysteroscopy provides both diagnostic and therapeutic capabilities, and it can be significantly more accurate than TVUS (transvaginal ultrasound) or HSG (hysterosalpingography) at the detection of intrauterine disorders. Even if TVUS and HSG do not suggest endometrial polyps, hysteroscopy is strongly recommended for women under evaluation for infertility. Hysteroscopy is also the most effective way to remove endometrial polyps under direct vision, because blind dilatation and curettage may frequently leave residual tissue or miss the whole polyp. To date, the correlation between endometrial polyps and infertility has been deeply investigated, but it still remains debatable. There is no convincing evidence available to justify the removal of polyps as a routine practice in sub-fertile women. A number of studies revealed that hysteroscopy polypectomy improves success rates of intrauterine insemination and in vitro fertilization-embryo transfer (ET), hysteroscopic removal of even small polyps is recommended to improve reproductive outcome in infertile women undergoing assisted reproductive technology. While earlier study revealed that removal of polyps less than 1.5 cm in maximum diameter did not improve the result of ET, pregnancy rate after hysteroscopic polypectomy increased at 61.4%, as well as the delivery at term rate was 54.2%, independent of size and number of polyps. Those contradictory studies might result from the improvement of techniques and the target subjects with polys despite the presence of endometriosis. In this study, we observed a spontaneous pregnancy rate above 58% among women with minimal/mild endometriosis after hysteroscopic polypectomy and removal of endometriotic foci, regardless of whether the patients had endometrial polyps. This result is consistent with our previous studies. Above demonstrated that endometrial polyps are likely to impair fertility. Therefore, removal of such lesions may improve subsequent reproductive performance irrespective of the size or number of the polyps in patients with minimal/mild endometriosis.

In this study, the pregnancy rate was higher in women whose polyps size ≥1 cm or with multiple polyps. The logistic regression analysis results showed that size and number of polyps, as well as age and infertile duration, are statistically significant risk factors for pregnancy. The effect of endometrial polyp size and number on pregnancy outcome is inconsistent resulting from different study populations and infertility etiology. According to our understanding, this is the first study that focused on the size and number of polyps in early-stage endometriosis. Our study showed that in these patients with early-stage endometriosis complicated with endometrial
polyps, polyps may be one of the main factors leading to infertility, and the more polyps or larger polyps, the greater the impact of polyps on infertility. After polyps are removed by hysteroscopic polypectomy, these infertility factors can be removed, allowing the patient to have a higher pregnancy rate. Our study also found that the spontaneous abortion rate in the first trimester was less than 5% in women with or without polyps. It indicates that hysteroscopy is beneficial for successful live birth by improving the intra-uterine environment.

For the patients with endometriosis-associated infertility, EFI is considered as a simple, robust, and validated clinical tool that predicts the probability of postoperative pregnancy in infertile patients with endometriosis. Our previous retrospective study confirmed the value of EFI scores in prediction of the fertility of endometriosis and suggested that the optimal cut-off point is 7.5. The EFI of including participants with early-stage endometriosis was over 7. Therefore, the EFI score is not an independent risk factor for pregnancy outcomes in this study population. In fact, the uterine abnormality is also one factor to predict pregnancy that is not included in the EFI. Hence, we also ruled out the patients with abnormal uterine bleeding. Patients with infertility as well as endometrial polys-related abnormal uterine bleeding preferred to undergo hysteroscopy over hystero-laparoscopy. The results of logistic regression analysis in this study revealed that polyps, especially size and number of polyps should be an important factor that impacts subsequent pregnancy in women with minimal/mild endometriosis.

The present study also has some limitations. It is retrospective in design, and the data necessary for polyps were collected in a single medical unit. Confounding factors included bias and lack of a standardized method to the accurate evaluated size of the polyp. A multicenter randomized controlled trial is needed to verify and extend our findings. In conclusion, despite these limitations, age, BMI, infertile duration, the size, and number of polyps are independent risk factors of pregnancy outcomes in infertile patients with minimal/mild endometriosis.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS
Wei Huang designed the study and revised the article; Yuanyuan Hu, Yingchun Xiang collected reviews of medical history and surgical records and wrote the paper.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS
Thank for all patients involving in the trial.

CONFlict OF INTEREST STATEMENT
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT
The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions. The ethics committee of the West China Second University Hospital of Sichuan University do not allow data sharing without individual approvals. It is therefore not possible to publicly share our data. However, upon request we will be able to apply for approval for data sharing.

ETHICS STATEMENT
The study was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The study design was approved by the Institutional Review Board of West China Second University Hospital, Sichuan University (approval number K1017042-1; May 30, 2018). Informed Consent was obtained by all participants to the anonymous use of their personal data.

ORCID
Yuanyuan Hu https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3587-5782
Wei Huang https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9306-588X

REFERENCES
1. Zondervan KT, Becker CM, Misserm SA. Endometriosis. N Engl J Med. 2020;382:1244–56.
2. Prescott J, Farland LV, Tobias DK, Gaskins AJ, Spiegelman D, Chavarro JE, et al. A prospective cohort study of endometriosis and subsequent risk of infertility. Hum Reprod. 2016;31:1475–82.
3. Gupta S, Goldberg JM, Aziz N, Goldberg E, Krajeir N, Agarwal A. Pathogenic mechanisms in endometriosis-associated infertility. Fertil Steril. 2008;90:247–57.
4. D’Hooghe TM, Debrock S, Hill JA, Meuleman C. Endometriosis and infertility: is the relationship resolved? Semin Reprod Med. 2003;21:243–54.
5. Carvalho LF, Below A, Abrão MS, Agarwal A. Minimal and mild endometriosis negatively impact on pregnancy outcome. Rev Assoc Med Bras. 1992;2012(58):607–14.
6. Rodriguez-Escudero FJ, Neyro JL, Corcostegui B, Benito JA. Does minimal endometriosis reduce fecundity? Fertil Steril. 1988;50:522–4.
7. Jacobson TZ, Duffy JM, Barlow D, Farquhar C, Konneckrs PR, Olive D. Laparoscopic surgery for subfertility associated with endometriosis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2010;1:C0001398.
8. Vercellini P, Somigliana E, Viganò P, Abbiati A, Barbara G, Crosignani PG. Surgery for endometriosis-associated infertility: a pragmatic approach. Hum Reprod. 2009;24:254–69.
9. Kim MR, Kim YA, Jo MY, Hvung KJ, Ryu HS. High frequency of endometrial polyps in endometriosis. J Minim Invasive Gynecol. 2003;10:46–8.
10. Carvalho L, Podgaec S, Bellodi-Privato M, Falcone T, Abrão MS. Role of eutopic endometrium in pelvic endometriosis. J Minim Invasive Gynecol. 2011;18:419–27.
11. Zhang YN, Zhang YS, Yu Q, Guo ZZ, Ma JL, Yan L. Higher prevalence of endometrial polyps in infertile patients with endometriosis. Gynecol Obstet Invest. 2018;83:558–63.
12. Wang N, Zhang Y, Liu B. Demographic and clinical features of endometrial polyps in patients with endometriosis. Biomed Res Int. 2016;2016:1460793.
13. Zheng QM, Mao HI, Zhao YJ, Zhao J, Wei X, Liu PS. Risk of endometrial polyps in women with endometriosis: a meta-analysis. Reprod Biol Endocrinol. 2015;13:103.
14. Bosteels J, van Wessel S, Weyers S, Broekmans FJ, D’Hooghe TM, Bongers MY, et al. Hysteroscopy for treating subfertility associated with suspected major uterine cavity abnormalities. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2018;12:CD009461.
15. Shen L, Wang Q, Huang W, Wang Q, Yuan Q, Huang Y, et al. High prevalence of endometrial polyps in endometriosis-associated infertility. Fertil Steril. 2011;95:2722–e1.
16. Revised American Society for Reproductive Medicine classification of endometriosis: 1996. Fertil Steril. 1997;67:817–21.
17. Shokeir TA, Shalan HM, El-Shafei MM. Significance of endometrial polyps detected hysteroscopically in eumenorrheic infertile women. J Obstet Gynaecol Res. 2004;30:84–9.
18. Munro MG. Uterine polyps, adenomyosis, leiomyomas, and endometrial receptivity. Fertil Steril. 2019;111:629–40.
19. Park JS, Lee JH, Kim M, Chang HJ, Hwang KJ, Chang KH. Endometrium from women with endometriosis shows increased proliferation activity. Fertil Steril. 2009;92:1246–9.
20. de Sá Rosa e Silva AC, Rosa e Silva JC, Cândido dos Reis FJ, Nogueira AA, Ferriani RA. Routine office hysteroscopy in the investigation of infertile couples before assisted reproduction. J Reprod Med. 2005;50:501–6.
21. Vitale SG, Haimovich S, Laganà AS, Alonso L, Di Spiezio SA, Carugno J, et al. Endometrial polyps. An evidence-based diagnosis and management guide. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol. 2021;260:70–7.
22. Pereira N, Amrane S, Estes JL, Lekovich JP, Elias RT, Chung PH, et al. Does the time interval between hysteroscopic polypectomy and start of in vitro fertilization affect outcomes? Fertil Steril. 2016;105:539–44.e1.
23. Zhang H, He X, Tian W, Song X, Zhang H. Hysteroscopic resection of endometrial polyps and assisted reproductive technology pregnancy outcomes compared with no treatment: a systematic review. J Minim Invasive Gynecol. 2019;26:618–27.
24. Isikoglu M, Berkkanoglu M, Senturk Z, Coetzee K, Ozgur K. Endometrial polyps smaller than 1.5 cm do not affect ICSI outcome. Reprod Biomed Online. 2006;12:199–204.
25. Stamatellos I, Apostolides A, Stamatopoulos P, Bontis J. Pregnancy rates after hysteroscopic polypectomy depending on the size or number of the polyps. Arch Gynecol Obstet. 2008;277:395–9.
26. Adamson GD, Pasta DJ. Endometriosis fertility index: the new, validated endometriosis staging system. Fertil Steril. 2010;94:1609–15.
27. Zhang X, Liu D, Huang W, Wang Q, Feng X, Tan J. Prediction of endometriosis fertility index inpatients with endometriosis-associated infertility after laparoscopic treatment. Reprod Biomed Online. 2018;37:53–9.

How to cite this article: Hu Y, Xiang Y, Huang W. Endometrial polyps effect on pregnancy outcomes in infertile women with minimal/mild endometriosis: A retrospective study. J Obstet Gynaecol Res. 2023;49(12):2946–51. https://doi.org/10.1111/jog.15794