Symmetry of magnetic correlations in spin-triplet superconductor UTe$_2$
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The temperature dependence of the low-energy magnetic excitations in the spin-triplet superconductor UTe$_2$ was measured via inelastic neutron scattering in the normal and superconducting states. These excitations have a peak intensity at 4 meV, follow the Brillouin zone edges near the crystallographic b-axis, obey the paramagnetic structural symmetry, and track the temperature evolution of the heavy fermion bulk magnetic susceptibility. Thus, the imaginary part of the dynamic susceptibility follows the behavior of interband correlations in a hybridized Kondo lattice with an appropriate characteristic energy. These excitations are a lower-dimensional analog of phenomena observed in other Kondo lattice materials, such that their presence is not necessarily due to dominance of ferromagnetic or antiferromagnetic correlations. The onset of superconductivity alters the magnetic excitations noticeably on the same energy scales, suggesting that these changes originate from additional electronic structure modification.
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INTRODUCTION

Spin-triplet superconductivity was recently discovered in UTe$_2$\cite{1}. The superconductivity is characterized by large and anisotropic upper critical fields that all exceed the paramagnetic limit, pointing to unconventional spin-triplet pairing\cite{1,2}. Superconductivity is limited by a magnetic phase transition at 35 T\cite{3,4}, and the field-polarized state contains another reentrant superconducting phase above 40 T\cite{3}. Superconductivity in UTe$_2$ is believed to be topologically nontrivial because of observations of chiral in-gap surface states\cite{5}, a double transition in specific heat, and broken time reversal symmetry as detected by optical Kerr rotation\cite{6}, which suggest the presence of a complex, two-component superconducting order parameter. Consistent with a p-wave orbital symmetry, the superconducting gap is nodal\cite{7-9}.

Superconductivity emerges from a renormalized electronic structure of hybridized f-electrons. UTe$_2$ exhibits archetypical heavy fermion features, namely a large low-temperature specific heat and local maxima in temperature-dependent electrical resistivity and magnetic susceptibility below room temperature\cite{1}, a Kondo hybridization gap of 4 meV\cite{9} scanning tunneling spectroscopy\cite{9}, quadratic temperature dependence of low-temperature resistivity\cite{10}, linear temperature dependence of thermoelectric power\cite{11}, and a Drude peak in optical conductivity\cite{12}. Angle resolved photoemission (ARPES) measurements show that the band structure of UTe$_2$ is dominated by two intersecting one-dimensional sheets\cite{13}. The heavy electron states result from hybridization between these highly-dispersive bands with f-electron states near the chemical potential, as suggested by dynamical mean field theory (DMFT) calculations\cite{13,14}, while ARPES also reveals an additional Fermi pocket that is three-dimensional and potentially heavy.

Magnetic interactions play an important role in UTe$_2$. Low-temperature neutron diffraction demonstrates the lack of long range magnetic order in the normal state\cite{14}. Yet several measurements suggest proximity to a ferromagnetic instability. A scaling analysis of the magnetization suggests the influence of ferromagnetic quantum critical fluctuations\cite{1}. Muon spin relaxation measurements have shown that significant spin fluctuations in the normal state strengthen upon cooling into the superconducting state\cite{15}. Nuclear magnetic resonance measurements are difficult at low temperature in the normal state due to long relaxation times\cite{16}. Optical Kerr rotation experiments reveal that vortices consist of magnetically polarizable normal cores\cite{17}.

Recent inelastic neutron scattering experiments complicate the picture, as they have not found obvious signatures of ferromagnetic fluctuations, but rather magnetic excitations at incommensurate wavevectors. These might originate in RKKY interactions or Fermi surface nesting\cite{18}, or rod-like excitations due to spin-ladder interactions\cite{19}. Intriguingly, a change in the inelastic neutron scattering emerges in the superconducting state, near energies of 1 meV\cite{20,21}, which is suggested to be a type of superconducting resonance. Recent calculations show that a dominant antiferromagnetic susceptibility can be consistent with spin-triplet superconductivity\cite{22}.

We performed a series of inelastic neutron scattering experiments in the crystallographic a-b plane that demonstrate the detailed energy-dependence and anisotropy of the magnetic excitations. The excitations evolve over a broad range of temperatures, from the weakly correlated high-temperature state into the superconducting state below 1.6 K. These excitations are signatures of the heavy electron band structure, similar to several other Kondo lattice systems, but with a lower dimensionality due to the UTe$_2$ structure. They do not a priori imply a tendency toward a specific type of long-range magnetic order. These measurements also show that the change in the excitation spectrum in the superconducting state is a phenomenon that occurs over energies of several meV, suggesting a substantial change in magnetic correlations at low temperatures.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Inelastic neutron scattering

The scattered neutron intensity $S$, measured as a function of transfer of momentum $Q$ and energy $E$, is proportional to a temperature factor times the imaginary part of the dynamic magnetic susceptibility $\chi''$, by $\chi''(Q, E) = (1 - e^{\gamma T})S(Q, E)$, where $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant and $T$ is the temperature. In $\text{UTe}_2$, the dominant feature in $\chi''$ has a well-defined $Q$-dependence with peak intensity at $E = 4$ meV. As shown in Fig. 1, at 5 K these magnetic excitations essentially form stripes long in the $H$ direction, the crystallographic $a$-axis, and modulated along the $K$ direction, the $b$-axis. The body-centered orthorhombic crystal structure of $\text{UTe}_2$ is in the space group $Immm$ with lattice constants $a = 4.12$ Å, $b = 6.08$ Å, and $c = 13.8$ Å at 2.7 K\(^4\). The $Immm$ primitive lattice vectors are along the body diagonals of the $\text{UTe}_2$ crystal structure and the Brillouin zone (BZ) is not a rectangular prism. Nearest-neighbor BZs along the $H$ and $K$ directions are displaced in the $L$ direction, resulting in a pattern of alternating centers and faces in the $[H,0,0]$ plane.

As the BZ overlays in Fig. 1 make clear, the magnetic excitations are confined to the edges of the BZ faces, occurring at values of $K = 0.6 = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \frac{5}{2})$ and symmetrically equivalent values such as $K = 1.4$ and $K = 2.6$. However, the excitations are constrained to near $H = 0$ and are not detected at the edges of any BZ's that do not fall on the $K$ axis, even though these would be expected because they are symmetrically equivalent. Weak excitations at larger $H$ values\(^26\) are not evident here. There is disagreement over whether similar excitations extend along the $L$ direction\(^{18,19}\). It is interesting that the intensity distribution along the BZ edge shows some dependence on $K$ at low $E$ (Fig. 1); it is stronger in the middle at $K = 0.6$ and distributed towards the corners at $K = 1.4$. This intensity variation cannot be explained by a standard uranium $5f$-electron form factor, which would monotonically decrease the intensity at higher $Q$, or simple spin polarization\(^{23}\), suggesting a more complicated origin. The majority of the spectral weight sits at higher energies, and a further comparison above 3 meV of the $K = 0.6$ and $K = 1.4$ excitations is not possible because of the kinematic scattering limits of the spectrometer.

Looking more closely at the $Q$-dependence, it is clear that the excitations disperse asymmetrically. Figure 2 shows contour plots of $\chi''$ at 5 K, comparing the magnetic dispersion along (a) the $b$-axis and (b) the $a$-axis at the BZ edge. To more easily see the $Q$-dependence in the vicinity of $[0, 1.4, 0]$, these data are broken up into constant-$Q$ scans in Fig. 2c. Fits of Lorentzian lineshapes can describe the data adequately. The energy of peak intensity can be tracked as a function of $Q$ (Fig. 2d). There is an obvious, sharp minimum in the peak position at $K = 1.4$ with a value of 4 meV, which remains approximately constant as a function of $H$ along $[H, 1.4, 0]$. Thus the excitation is not dispersive along the BZ edge, but only along the perpendicular direction. Note also that the dispersion along $[0, K, 0]$ is very symmetric about the minimum at $K = 1.4$, with a steeper slope of $-16$ meV Å\(^{-1}\) at smaller $K$ and shallower slope of 5.5 meV Å\(^{-1}\) at larger $K$. This follows the asymmetry of the paramagnetic body-centered unit cell - note that the excitations for $K > 1.4$ actually extend along a BZ face, while for $K < 1.4$ they are inside the volume of the BZ as they...
The temperature dependence of the excitations at $K = 1.4$ is shown in Fig. 3. Cooling below 5 K into the superconducting state yields an excitation spectrum that appears to remain mostly the same. In contrast, warming from 5 to 20 K, the intensity decreases and the peak position increases slightly, but the excitations maintain their $Q$-dependence and energy gap. Importantly, they do not move to lower $E$ and become quasielastic, as might occur to magnetic correlations at temperatures above a magnetic phase transition. However, by 60 K the excitations are not discernable over the background. This temperature trend follows closely the low-field magnetic susceptibility along the crystallographic b-axis, whose peak at 40 K is a signature of the low-temperature development of the renormalized heavy fermion or hybridized electron state in UTe$_2$.

**Signatures of hybridization**

The temperature dependence of the excitations at $K = 1.4$ is shown in Fig. 3. Cooling below 5 K into the superconducting state yields an excitation spectrum that appears to remain mostly the same. In contrast, warming from 5 to 20 K, the intensity decreases and the peak position increases slightly, but the excitations maintain their $Q$-dependence and energy gap. Importantly, they do not move to lower $E$ and become quasielastic, as might occur to magnetic correlations at temperatures above a magnetic phase transition. However, by 60 K the excitations are not discernable over the background. This temperature trend follows closely the low-field magnetic susceptibility along the crystallographic b-axis, whose peak at 40 K is a signature of the low-temperature development of the renormalized heavy fermion or hybridized electron state in UTe$_2$.

Multiple signatures of hybridization share a 4 meV energy scale: the temperature of the peak in the magnetic susceptibility, the temperature below which a sharp Drude peak develops in the optical conductivity, the hybridization gap determined from scanning tunneling spectroscopy, and the magnetic gap measured by inelastic neutron scattering. One might then expect quasielastic neutron scattering at temperatures above the coherence temperature, but there is no observed movement of spectral weight to lower energy in the 20 K or 60 K data (Fig. 3). This may be related to the observation based on the optical conductivity that the formation of the heavy fermion state is associated primarily with a reduction in scattering rate, rather than redistribution of optical spectral weight.

Very similar inelastic BZ edge magnetic excitations are seen in the paramagnetic state in the heavy fermion superconductor.
might be associated with an incipient order parameter or even in chemically-tuned URu₂Si₂, they persist regardless of because the long-range order maintains the heavy fermion state. Kerr rotation experiments6,17 are consistent with low-temperature whether the ordered state is hidden order 24, antiferromagnet-ism, and accurately determining the electronic structure is vital27. In URu₂Si₂, temperature and 0.25 meV gap observed in STM5. Therefore, the difference is not expected at these energies, given the 1.6 K critical temperature and 0.25 meV gap observed in STM5. Therefore, the latter condition relevant to static magnetic order. Generally, given that these excitations appear to follow the temperature dependence of the b-axis bulk magnetic susceptibility, we expect that they will be quite robust as a function of magnetic field and could play a role in the magnetic transition at 35 T, and by extension, in the magnetically ordered phase above 1.5 GPa33–35.

Superconducting state

The situation at lowest temperatures, in the superconducting state, brings an interesting twist. As Fig. 3 shows, the magnetic excitation spectrum is similar at 0.2 K and 5 K. Indeed, a significant difference is not expected at these energies, given the 1.6 K critical temperature and 0.25 meV gap observed in STM5. Therefore, the recently reported feature at 1 meV in the superconducting state20,21 suggests either a very large energy excitation of the superconducting state, or a modification of the established low-energy spin-fluctuations. In our measurements, it is not possible to conclusively identify the 1 meV feature at K = 0.6 because of the high background in that Q range, but it is not resolved at K = 1.4. Yet this feature is connected to the broader magnetic excitation and other changes in the magnetic spectrum are observed at 0.2 K. First, the dispersion along [0, K, 0] is steeper for K < 1.4 and slightly gentler for K > 1.4 (Fig. 4a–d) than at 5 K. In fact, the quantum criticality are weak, but this is consistent with the bulk magnetization.

To account for this hybridization, it will be necessary to carry out further electronic structure and magnetic susceptibility calculations with higher energy resolution. Available calculations suggest that the strongest atomic exchange interaction in UTe₂ is ferromagnetic, between uranium dimers, with antiferromagnetic correlations parallel to the chains along the a-axis13,32, but this does not readily explain the measured χ′(Q, E). The observed b-axis modulation has been considered to arise from the electronic structure, either Fermi surface nesting or RKKY exchange18. However, calculations to date have not yet addressed an important experimental point, namely that the measured χ′(Q, E) is peaked at small but finite energy, not at zero energy, the latter condition relevant to static magnetic order. Generally, given that these excitations appear to follow the temperature dependence of the b-axis bulk magnetic susceptibility, we expect that they will be quite robust as a function of magnetic field and could play a role in the magnetic transition at 35 T, and by extension, in the magnetically ordered phase above 1.5 GPa33–35.
dispersion is weakly temperature-dependent at least to 20 K. Second, a slight decrease in intensity is observed near [0, 1.4, 0] in the superconducting state (Fig. 4e, f), when compared to the data at 5 K (Fig. 2).

This behavior suggests that in the superconducting state, $\chi''$ changes on energy scales even larger than 1 meV, and that previously reported feature reflects a larger change in the magnetic excitation spectrum. Such a broad change is difficult to reconcile with an interpretation in terms of a superconducting spin resonance. Since these magnetic excitations have their origin in the heavy fermion band structure, low-temperature changes in the electronic structure are likely responsible, and this is evidence that the superconducting state involves heavy quasiparticles. The change in the magnetic excitations may additionally correlate with low-temperature changes in the magnetic response in muon spin relaxation and nuclear magnetic resonance inside the superconducting state.

In summary, inelastic neutron scattering measurements reveal magnetic excitations that are consistent with Kondo lattice phenomena. Specifically, the excitations follow BZ edges, obey the paramagnetic structural symmetry, and the temperature evolution of the heavy fermion bulk magnetic susceptibility along the b-axis. By analogy with other Kondo lattice compounds, these excitations are not directly related to an incipient magnetic ordered state. In the superconducting state, the magnetic excitations near [0, 1.4, 0] decrease in intensity, likely related to a change in the electronic structure.

**METHODS**

**Sample synthesis**

Single crystals of UTe$_2$ were synthesized by the chemical vapor transport method using iodine as the transport agent. The crystals are from synthesis batches that have been previously characterized and exhibit consistent properties.

**Neutron experiment and analysis**

Crystal orientation was determined by Laue x-ray diffraction performed with a Photonic Science x-ray measurement system. 1.2 g of single crystals, ranging in mass from 0.01 g to 0.1 g were coaligned and affixed to two copper plates using CYTOP fluoropolymer and Fomblin fluorinated grease. The crystalline mosaic is shown in Supplementary Fig. 1. Inelastic neutron scattering experiments were performed on the MACS spectrometer and preliminary measurements on the DCS spectrometer at the NIST Center for Neutron Research. Background subtraction and symmetrization are elaborated upon in Supplementary Methods.

**DATA AVAILABILITY**

The data that support the results presented in this paper and other findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.
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