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Serum Osteoprotegerin Is a Potential Biomarker of Insulin Resistance in Chinese Postmenopausal Women with Prediabetes and Type 2 Diabetes
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The aim of this study is to investigate the circulating OPG levels in postmenopausal women with diabetes and prediabetes and explore the relationships between serum OPG and insulin resistance. A total of 271 unrelated Chinese postmenopausal women were recruited in this study. The subjects were divided into type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) group (n = 93), impaired glucose regulation (IGR) (n = 90), and normal glucose regulation group (NGR) (n = 88), according to different glucose regulation categories. Serum OPG levels were measured by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. The serum OPG concentration in NGR group, 151.00 ± 45.72 pg/mL, was significantly lower than that in IGR group (169.28 ± 64.91 pg/mL) (p = 0.031) and T2DM group (183.20 ± 56.53 pg/mL) (p < 0.01), respectively. In multiple linear regression analysis, HOMA-IR, age, 2hPG, AST, ALP, and eGFR were found to be independent predictors of OPG. Increased serum OPG levels (OR = 1.009, p = 0.006) may be a risk factor for insulin resistance. The present study suggests that OPG might be implicated in the pathogenesis of diabetes and is a potential biomarker of insulin resistance in subjects with diabetes and prediabetes.

1. Introduction

Osteoprotegerin (OPG) is a secreted glycoprotein that belongs to the tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamiliy. OPG is known to act as a decoy receptor for the receptor activator of nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB) ligand (RANKL), which stimulates osteoclastogenesis and bone resorption by binding to its receptor activator of NF-κB (RANK). OPG could prevent RANKL from binding to RANK on osteoclasts, thus, inhibiting osteoclast differentiation and bone resorption [1].

It has been well recognized that OPG could regulate bone metabolism through essential roles in the formation, activation, and survival of osteoclasts [2]. In addition, OPG plays an important role in atherosclerosis, arterial calcification, and vascular disease [3]. OPG is highly expressed in the liver, kidney, bone marrow, and other tissues and produced by a variety of cell types including endothelial cells and smooth muscle cells [4]. Clinical studies indicate that serum OPG concentrations are associated with coronary artery disease, vascular calcification, diabetic complications, and cardiovascular mortality [5–7]. Recent studies suggest that OPG may be a new marker for diabetic cardiovascular complications and atherosclerosis [8].

Elevated concentrations of OPG have been reported in diabetic individuals and were independently associated with the diabetic microvascular complications [9]. However, the serum OPG concentrations in individuals with prediabetes, such as impaired glucose tolerance, have rarely been studied. We hypothesized that serum OPG levels might be increased
at the stage of prediabetes, and serum OPG may be a potential biomarker in diabetes and prediabetes. Therefore, we conducted a study to investigate the changes in serum levels of OPG in Chinese postmenopausal women with diabetes and prediabetes and explore the relationships between serum OPG and insulin resistance, body mass index, lipid profile, and blood glucose.

2. Subjects and Methods

2.1. Study Population. A total of 271 unrelated southern Han Chinese postmenopausal women were recruited from the database of our previous female osteoporosis study [10] from February 1, 2013, to November 30, 2013. Standard oral glucose tolerance testing was performed in all the subjects except those who had already been diagnosed with type 2 diabetes. The subjects were divided into type 2 diabetes (T2DM) group (n = 93), impaired glucose regulation (IGR) group (n = 90), and normal glucose regulation (NGR) group (n = 88), according to different glucose regulation categories.

Diabetes was defined using the World Health Organization 1999 criteria: (1) fasting plasma glucose (FPG) ≥ 7.0 mmol/L or (2) 2 h postprandial plasma glucose (2hPG) in oral glucose tolerance test ≥ 11.1 mmol/L or (3) typical symptoms with random plasma glucose ≥ 11.1 mmol/L. Impaired glucose regulation, also termed as prediabetes, was defined as follows: 6.1 mmol/L < FPG < 7.0 mmol/L and/or 7.8 mmol/L < 2hPG < 11.1 mmol/L. The criteria for normal glucose regulation were defined as follows: FPG < 6.1 mmol/L and 2hPG < 7.8 mmol/L.

Exclusion criteria included subjects who suffered from diseases associated with disordered glucose metabolism, such as chronic liver disease, severe kidney disease, hypothyroidism, and pituitary or adrenal diseases. Participants who had taken glucocorticosteroid or bisphosphonates within the past 3 months and had a fracture within 1 year were also excluded. In the study, 12 participants had taken calcium and/or vitamin D. In the diabetes group, the average duration of diabetes was 4.0 ± 4.5 years, 55 individuals were newly diagnosed with diabetes without any medication, 2 patients were treated with insulin, and 36 patients were treated with oral hypoglycemic agents, such as metformin, sulfonylureas, and acarbose, but no thiazolidinediones.

Demographic information was collected by an interview through a questionnaire, such as age, smoking history, alcohol intake, and a detailed medical history, especially the history of diabetes. The study was approved by the ethics committee of the Third Hospital of Nanchang, and the subjects provided written informed consent before participation in the study.

2.2. Anthropometric Measurements. Height and weight were measured to the nearest 1 cm and 0.1 kg, respectively. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated [formula: BMI = body weight (kg)/height² (m²)]. Waist circumference and hip circumference (to the nearest 1 cm) were measured, and waist-to-hip ratio (WHR) was calculated [formula: WHR = waist circumference (cm)/hip circumference (cm)]. Blood pressure and pulse rates were measured in the sitting position using electronic sphygmomanometer Omron, HEM-7200 (Omron Inc., Tokyo, Japan). Body fat percentage was detected using electronic body fat measuring instrument Omron, HBF-375 (Omron Inc., Tokyo, Japan). Bone mineral densities at the lumbar spine (L₁–L₄) and femoral neck were measured by dual X-ray absorptiometry (DXA, GE-Lunar Prodigy, Waukesha, WI, USA).

2.3. Biochemical Assays. Blood samples were taken after an overnight fast. Measurements of serum biochemical parameters, such as FPG, 2hPG, alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alkaline phosphatase (ALP), blood urea nitrogen (BUN), creatinine (Cr), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), total cholesterol (TC), triglycerides (TG), and blood uric acid (URCA), were measured to the nearest 1 cm and 0.1 kg, respectively. Body mass index, lipid profile, and prediabetes and explore the relationships between serum OPG and insulin resistance, body mass index, lipid profile, and blood glucose.

2.4. Statistical Analysis. Data are reported as means ± standard deviation or median (25th–75th percentile). SPSS 19.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used to perform the statistical analysis. Normality was tested for via the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test and if violated, a natural logarithmic transformation (e.g., HOMA-IR) was used where possible. Statistical comparisons between groups were made using one-way ANOVA with post hoc comparisons for normally distributed variables [15]. For non-normally distributed variables (e.g., HbA1c, HOMA-IR), nonparametric comparisons were made using Kruskal-Wallis test. The statistical differences in means of average serum OPG levels between each group were determined by analysis of covariance, which was adjusted for the confounding factors in different models. The bivariate
Table 1: Clinical and biochemical characteristics of the study subjects.

| Variable                     | NGR group (n = 88) | IGR group (n = 90) | T2DM group (n = 93) |
|------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Age (year)                   | 62.83 ± 8.57      | 62.38 ± 9.02       | 63.81 ± 8.69       |
| SBP (mmHg)                   | 138.40 ± 20.76    | 141.80 ± 19.54     | 147.62 ± 21.06a    |
| DBP (mmHg)                   | 80.06 ± 13.61     | 80.9 ± 12.43       | 80.97 ± 12.93      |
| Pulse rates (beats/min)      | 87 ± 13           | 88 ± 13            | 87 ± 11            |
| Height (cm)                  | 153.10 ± 5.50     | 154.03 ± 6.28      | 153.71 ± 6.23      |
| Weight (kg)                  | 57.24 ± 9.25      | 60.36 ± 10.41a     | 60.80 ± 8.59a      |
| BMI (kg/m²)                  | 24.40 ± 3.56      | 25.38 ± 3.67       | 25.70 ± 3.06a      |
| Waist circumference (cm)     | 77.33 ± 9.16      | 79.84 ± 9.38       | 81.95 ± 8.86a      |
| Hip circumference (cm)       | 91.98 ± 7.78      | 92.53 ± 8.99       | 93.51 ± 7.84       |
| Waist-to-hip ratio           | 0.84 ± 0.06       | 0.86 ± 0.06a       | 0.88 ± 0.06a       |
| Body fat percentage (%)      | 37.34 ± 3.66      | 37.69 ± 4.11       | 37.81 ± 3.84       |
| ALT (U/L)                    | 22.0 (17.0–22.8)  | 28.0 (21.0–41.3)a  | 27.0 (17.5–42.0)a  |
| AST (U/L)                    | 28.0 (24.0–35.5)  | 30.0 (23.8–34.0)   | 26.0 (21.0–34.5)   |
| ALP (U/L)                    | 77.0 (64.8–92.8)  | 82.0 (65.8–96.5)   | 82.0 (70.0–96.0)   |
| BUN (mmol/L)                 | 4.76 (4.03–5.81)  | 4.67 (3.82–5.41)   | 5.03 (4.02–5.98)   |
| Cr (μmol/L)                  | 62.0 (69.0–77.0)  | 70.0 (61.8–77.0)   | 67.0 (60.0–78.0)   |
| Serum OPG (pg/mL)            | 282.0 (243.8–334.0) | 316.5 (283.8–376.5)a | 312.0 (263.5–363.5)a |
| TC (mmol/L)                  | 5.38 ± 1.13       | 5.62 ± 1.14        | 5.81 ± 1.52a       |
| TG (mmol/L)                  | 1.07 (0.85–1.34)  | 1.34 (1.08–1.85)a  | 1.40 (1.05–1.93)a  |
| HDL-C (mmol/L)               | 1.58 (1.38–1.91)  | 1.47 (1.32–1.73)   | 1.41 (1.26–1.63)a  |
| LDL-C (mmol/L)               | 2.94 (2.64–3.48)  | 3.24 (2.81–3.73)   | 3.22 (2.71–3.70)   |
| FPG (mmol/L)                 | 4.60 (4.18–4.90)  | 5.19 (4.99–5.89)a  | 6.16 (6.89–8.98)b  |
| 2hPG (mmol/L)                | 5.62 (4.82–6.22)  | 8.52 (7.98–9.53)b  | 13.46 (11.61–16.77)b |
| HbA1c (%)                    | 5.5 (5.4–5.7)     | 6.0 (5.6–6.3)a     | 7.4 (6.1–8.0)a,b   |
| FINS (mIU/L)                 | 8.00 (4.94–11.18) | 11.55 (7.25–15.48)a | 10.99 (7.39–15.34)a |
| HOMA-IR index                | 1.54 (1.02–2.22)  | 2.39 (1.80–3.78)a  | 3.48 (2.33–5.06)b  |
| HOMA-B                       | 155.60 (86.03–253.09) | 126.30 (76.02–222.09) | 64.27 (40.22–107.40)a,b |
| QUICKI                       | 0.362 ± 0.032     | 0.334 ± 0.034a     | 0.319 ± 0.027a,b   |
| Lumbar BMD (mg/cm²)          | 0.866 ± 0.173     | 0.903 ± 0.168      | 0.937 ± 0.146a     |
| Femoral neck BMD (mg/cm²)    | 1.006 ± 0.174     | 1.053 ± 0.186      | 1.074 ± 0.155a     |
| Serum OPG (pg/mL)            | 151.00 ± 45.72    | 169.28 ± 64.91a    | 183.20 ± 56.53a    |
| eGFR                         | 81.98 ± 17.61     | 80.96 ± 18.84      | 81.72 ± 18.47      |
| Smoking history (%)          | 1 (1.14)          | 2 (2.22)           | 2 (2.15)           |
| Alcohol intake (%)           | 3 (3.41)          | 3 (3.33)           | 2 (2.15)           |
| Calcium and vitamin D supplements (%) | 3 (3.41)      | 5 (5.56)           | 4 (4.30)           |

Data are presented as means ± SD or median (25th–75th percentile). *p < 0.05 versus NGT group; **p < 0.05 versus IGR group.

Correlations between OPG and other parameters were determined by Spearman’s correlation analysis. Stepwise multilinear regression analysis was performed in order to study the independent variables that may affect OPG values. Logistic regression was used to assess the association between insulin resistance and serum parameters (e.g., OPG, TC, and TG) and other potential independent variables (e.g., age, BMI, and HbA1c). Statistical significance is defined as a p value < 0.05 on two-tailed testing.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Characteristics of Participants

The basic characteristics of T2DM group (n = 93), IGR group (n = 90), and NGR
group (n = 88) were shown in Table 1. There was no significant difference in age, DBP, pulse rates, height, hip circumference, body fat percentage, AST, BNU, Cr, eGFR, and LDL-C between these groups (all p > 0.05). The serum OPG concentration in NGR group, 151.00 ± 45.72 pg/mL, was significantly lower than that in IGR group (169.28 ± 64.91 pg/mL) (p = 0.031) and T2DM group (183.20 ± 56.53 pg/mL) (p < 0.001), respectively. The serum OPG level in IGR group was lower than T2DM group, although there was no statistically significant difference (p = 0.096). The serum OPG levels in IGR group and T2DM group are still higher than that in NGR group even after adjustment for other confounding factors in different models (Table 2). In addition, the weight, waist-to-hip ratio, ALT, URCA, TG, FPG, 2hPG, FINS, HDL-C, LDL-C, 2hPG, HbA1c, and HOMA-IR, and lumbar and femoral neck BMD in IGR and T2DM groups were significantly higher than those in NGR group (all p < 0.05).

3.2. Relationship between Serum OPG Levels and Clinical Parameters. Bivariate correlation analysis of serum OPG levels with clinical parameters was performed (Table 3). Serum OPG levels showed a significantly positive correlation with HOMA-IR (r = 0.134, p = 0.027), waist-to-hip ratio, body fat percentage, ALP, 2hPG, and HbA1c (all p < 0.05) but significantly negative correlation with lumbar and femoral neck BMD (p = 0.002 and p = 0.032).

In stepwise multiple linear regression analysis with OPG as a dependent variable, age, body fat percentage, waist-to-hip ratio, BMI, SBP, DBP, ALT, AST, ALP, eGFR, TC, TG, HDL-C, LDL-C, 2hPG, HbA1c, and HOMA-IR were added to the model. Finally, HOMA-IR, age, 2hPG, AST, ALP, and eGFR were found to be independent predictors of serum OPG (all p < 0.05) (Table 4).

In logistic regression analyses with insulin resistance as the dependent variable, OPG, BMI, ALT, URCA, HDL-C, LDL-C, and HbA1c were significantly associated with insulin resistance (all p < 0.05). As shown in Table 5, increased serum OPG levels (OR = 1.009, CI 95% = 1.003–1.015, p = 0.006) may be a risk factor for insulin resistance in postmenopausal women.

4. Discussion

In the present study, we demonstrated that circulating OPG concentrations were increased in Chinese postmenopausal women with diabetes and prediabetes. Moreover, serum OPG levels showed significant correlation with insulin resistance.

HOMA-IR was used as a surrogate measure of insulin resistance in our study. Although HOMA-IR was not the gold standard for assessment of insulin sensitivity, it was a clinically useful index used in many studies [16]. Population-based studies for defining cut-off values of HOMA-IR for the diagnosis of insulin resistance have been conducted in different geographic areas. At present, there is no national survey data about the cut-off values of HOMA-IR in Chinese population. Thus, we defined HOMA-IR index >1.8 as a cut-off point to indicate increased insulin resistance according to previous studies [11, 17, 18]. Studies have indicated that there are age and gender-specific differences in HOMA-IR levels, with increased levels in women over fifty years of age. Although the cut-off point 1.8 may not be suitable for the Chinese population, it can provide a useful reference point for our study when there is no available data for the Chinese population.

Previous reports had reported similar results about the association between serum OPG levels and HOMA-IR in subjects with type 2 diabetes mellitus [19] and Caucasian obese population [20]. In contrast, several studies have shown a negative association between OPG and HOMA-IR in obese African women [21] and Turkish population [22]. Besides, two studies in healthy Korean women and Irish population did not find any relationship between these two parameters [23, 24]. This result has long been a matter of controversy in the literature, possibly because of different methodologies and sample sizes of the study populations. Our findings are in line with a recent report by Niu et al. [25]; the authors found serum OPG levels were significantly associated with HOMA-IR in Chinese population, and serum OPG levels were significantly higher in subjects with impaired glucose regulation and diabetes than in those with normal glucose regulation.

OPG could be produced by a variety of cells of the cardiovascular system, including vascular smooth muscle cells and endothelial cells, and OPG represents a protective factor for vascular system [26]. For example, OPG has been reported to increase cell proliferation of human artery and vein endothelial cells, maybe via inducing phosphorylation of extracellular signal-regulated kinases1/2 and protein kinase B in those cells, which are similar to the effects of those growth factors such as fibroblast growth factor and vascular endothelial growth factor in the endothelial cells [27–30]. It

| Model | NGR group (n = 88) | IGR group (n = 90) | T2DM group (n = 93) | p value<sup>d</sup> | p value<sup>e</sup> | p value<sup>f</sup> |
|-------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Model 1<sup>a</sup> | 151.00 ± 4.87 | 169.28 ± 6.84 | 183.20 ± 5.86 | 0.031 | <0.001 | 0.096 |
| Model 2<sup>b</sup> | 153.69 ± 5.33 | 170.75 ± 5.19 | 179.23 ± 5.16 | 0.023 | 0.001 | 0.247 |
| Model 3<sup>c</sup> | 154.54 ± 5.24 | 170.61 ± 5.05 | 178.56 ± 4.98 | 0.031 | 0.001 | 0.261 |

Serum OPG data is presented as means ± SE. IGR, impaired glucose regulation; NGR, normal glucose regulation; T2DM, type 2 diabetes.

<sup>a</sup>Unadjusted; <sup>b</sup>adjusted for age, body mass index, waist-to-hip ratio, and body fat percentage; <sup>c</sup>adjusted for age, body mass index, waist-to-hip ratio, body fat percentage, eGFR, blood uric acid, aspartate aminotransferase, and alkaline phosphatase; <sup>d</sup>NGR group versus IGR group; <sup>e</sup>NGR group versus T2DM group; <sup>f</sup>IGR group versus T2DM group.
Insulin resistance is a hallmark of type 2 diabetes mellitus and is suggested that increased serum OPG levels in subjects with diabetes have been interpreted as an insufficient compensatory self-defensive response to prevent vascular endothelial dysfunction and the progression of atherosclerosis [31]. Thus, some studies suggest that increased OPG production possibly contributing to diabetes-associated vascular endothelial dysfunction [32].

In our study, serum OPG levels showed significant association with insulin resistance, but the mechanisms underlying the association are currently unclear. It is thought that inflammation may link OPG to insulin resistance. Insulin resistance is a hallmark of type 2 diabetes mellitus and regarded as a chronic low-grade systemic inflammation [33]. It has been demonstrated that OPG was positively correlated with inflammatory markers and played a causal role in the pathogenesis of inflammation [34]. OPG/RANK/RANKL system is believed to be associated with the regulation of inflammatory and immune responses and directly contributed to the regulation of proinflammatory cytokine production in macrophages [35].

Table 3: Bivariate correlation analysis between study parameters and serum osteoprotegerin levels.

| Parameters            | Bivariate correlation |  \( r \) | \( p \) value |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------|---------------|
| Age                   | 0.453                 | <0.001   |
| Height                | -0.115                | 0.558    |
| Weight                | -0.082                | 0.178    |
| BMI                   | -0.036                | 0.552    |
| Waist circumference   | 0.078                 | 0.203    |
| Hip circumference     | -0.005                | 0.793    |
| Waist-to-hip ratio    | 0.125                 | 0.039    |
| Body fat percentage   | 0.213                 | <0.001   |
| ALT                   | -0.014                | 0.823    |
| AST                   | 0.086                 | 0.157    |
| ALP                   | 0.175                 | 0.004    |
| BUN                   | 0.025                 | 0.688    |
| Cr                    | 0.193                 | 0.001    |
| Calcium               | -0.001                | 0.981    |
| URCA                  | 0.046                 | 0.451    |
| TC                    | -0.006                | 0.924    |
| TG                    | 0.027                 | 0.660    |
| HDL-C                 | 0.012                 | 0.847    |
| LDL-C                 | -0.077                | 0.207    |
| FPG                   | 0.093                 | 0.133    |
| 2hPG                  | 0.270                 | <0.001   |
| HbA1c                 | 0.214                 | <0.001   |
| FINS                  | 0.106                 | 0.080    |
| Ln HOMA-IR            | 0.134                 | 0.027    |
| HOMA-B                | -0.037                | 0.546    |
| QUICKI                | -0.194                | 0.001    |
| eGFR                  | -0.331                | <0.001   |
| Lumbar BMD            | -0.189                | 0.002    |
| Femoral neck BMD      | -0.130                | 0.032    |

2hPG, 2 h postprandial plasma glucose; ALP, alkaline phosphatase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; BMI, body mass index; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; Ca, calcium; Cr, creatinine; eGFR, estimate glomerular filtration rate; FINS, fasting insulin; FPG, fasting plasma glucose; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoproteincholesterol; OPG, osteoprotegerin; URCA, blood uric acid.

Table 4: Multiple linear regression analysis with osteoprotegerin as a dependent variable.

| Parameters | \( \beta \) | \( p \) value |
|------------|----------|--------------|
| Age        | 2.747    | <0.001       |
| HOMA-IR    | 2.591    | 0.024        |
| eGFR       | -0.457   | 0.010        |
| AST        | 0.575    | 0.001        |
| ALP        | 0.362    | 0.003        |
| 2hPG       | 2.289    | 0.001        |

2hPG, 2 h postprandial plasma glucose; ALP, alkaline phosphatase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; eGFR, estimate glomerular filtration rate; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model of assessment for insulin resistance.

Table 5: Stepwise logistic regression analysis with insulin resistance as a dependent variable.

| Parameters | Odds ratio | 95% confidence interval | \( p \) value |
|------------|-----------|-------------------------|--------------|
| OPG        | 1.009     | 1.003–1.015              | 0.006        |
| BMI        | 1.238     | 1.112–1.378              | <0.001       |
| URCA       | 1.005     | 1.001–1.010              | 0.020        |
| LDL-C      | 0.351     | 0.132–0.930              | 0.035        |
| HbA1c      | 1.724     | 1.162–2.558              | 0.007        |
| ALT        | 1.015     | 1.003–1.043              | 0.012        |

ALT, alanine aminotransferase; BMI, body mass index; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoproteincholesterol; OPG, osteoprotegerin; URCA, blood uric acid.
BMD was also reported in two studies in patients with type 1 and 2 diabetes mellitus [39, 40]. However, a positive correlation between serum levels of OPG and BMD was found in postmenopausal women by Nabipour et al. [41]. In addition, several other studies have not demonstrated an association between serum OPG concentration and BMD [42]. The reason for these conflicting results is unclear but may lie in differences in populations or assays studied or in incomplete control for confounding variables.

Additionally, we found serum OPG levels were positively correlated with age, 2hPG, HbA1c, AST, ALP, and eGFR through linear regression analysis. These findings were consistent with evidences from previous studies that found serum OPG levels were associated with several clinical and biochemical parameters [43]. The increasing serum concentrations of OPG with aging could be explained by a compensatory mechanism to counteract the acceleration of bone resorption [44]. Serum OPG levels were significantly associated with AST, ALP, and eGFR, which were the markers of liver and renal function, and these results may be interpreted as follows: OPG was highly expressed in the liver and kidney, and there were significant associations between high OPG serum levels and liver and kidney dysfunctions [45, 46]. The serum OPG was significantly increased in patients with chronic kidney disease. Recent evidences suggest that inflammation, secondary hyperparathyroidism, disorder of bone metabolism, vascular calcifications, and atherosclerosis may play important roles in this process [47].

The main limitation of our study is small sample size; our current findings need to be confirmed in further large population studies. In addition, serum OPG concentrations are gender-specific; for example, women have higher circulating OPG levels than men. We conducted a study in a select group of Chinese postmenopausal women, and the subjects were recruited from the database of our previous female osteoporosis study. Thus, future researches in randomly selected and different gender population are needed. Furthermore, the exact mechanisms underlying the observed associations in this study remain to be determined.

5. Conclusions

The current study demonstrates that serum OPG level is elevated in postmenopausal women with diabetes and prediabetes and significantly associated with insulin resistance. These findings suggest that OPG might be implicated in the pathogenesis of diabetes and is a potential biomarker of insulin resistance in subjects with diabetes and prediabetes.
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