The Olbertian partition function is reformulated in terms of continuous (Abelian) fields described by the Landau–Ginzburg action, respectively, Hamiltonian. In order to make some progress, the Gaussian approximation to the partition function is transformed into the Olbertian prior to adding the quartic Landau–Ginzburg term in the Hamiltonian. The final result is provided in the form of an expansion suitable for application of diagrammatic techniques once the nature of the field is given, that is, once the field equations are written down such that the interactions can be formulated.

Keywords: Olbert distribution, partition function, Landau–Ginzburg theory, field theory, phase transitions, cosmology

1 INTRODUCTION

Particle spectra in near-Earth space (e.g., see [1–3]) as well as in cosmic rays very frequently exhibit power law tails at high energies which since their introduction by [4] have been interpreted as Olbert distributions (κ-distributions).1 Cosmic ray spectra in particular extend as power laws over many decades reminding of several ultra-relativistic Olbert distributions adding up continuously [5]. Olbert distributions have been inferred in plasma turbulence and many other occasions as for instance in front [6] and behind [7] collisionless shocks [8] as also, for example, in the heliosphere and its heliosheath [9, 10], which may serve as the paradigm of a stellar wind that is terminated by its interaction with the interstellar galactic medium. They were also derived in plasma wave–wave interaction theory [11–13]. Physically, they represent quasi-stationary states far from equilibrium [14–18]. To some degree, they are related to Tsallis’ thermostatistics [19]. We recently [20] investigated their connection to Olbert’s entropy.2 Here, we are interested in the role they might play in field theory which is the continuous version of the partition function [21–26]. We do not go into the definition what is meant by the term continuous. Fields are a basic concept of physics when many degrees of freedom come into play. In this case, one refers to action and Hamiltonian densities which are distributed in space–time, and for the statistical interacting fields and distributed sources, one refers to the field partition function from which the effects of the interaction between fields and particles can be deduced. In the following, we derive the Olbertian partition function for scalar fields, the so-called generating functional. One particularly interesting application which could be anticipated is in the cosmological theory of the early universe where phase transition is the rule [27]. As it turns out, this is a highly nontrivial problem whose difficulties

1They were invented by Stan Olbert in 1966 and applied first in the unpublished Ph.D. thesis of Binsack [5] who acknowledged its suggestion by Olbert. (We thank C. Tsallis for kindly bringing this reference to our attention.) Olbert also suggested it to Vasyliunas whose article [38] contained the first refereed, published, and thus multiply cited version of the Olbert (κ) distribution.

2Reviews of various definitions of entropy can be found in [18, 19, 27, 39].
go substantially beyond those encountered with the Gibbsian partition function. Nevertheless, we show how the Olbertian field partition function can be constructed giving it an operational representation that is suitable for application. This is interesting in as far as the extra parameter \( \kappa \) which is fundamental to the Olbert theory provides an extreme degree of freedom which may become useful in applications like renormalization and phase transition where convergence is obliterated.

### 2 OLBERTIAN DISTRIBUTION: A BRIEF REVIEW

The Olbertian partition function (3) we are going to investigate in the next section is the Gibbs normalization factor of the Olbert distribution\(^{3,4}\)

\[
p_\kappa(E) = e^{-E_{\beta}/E} \left[ 1 + \frac{\beta E_{\alpha}}{\kappa} \right]^{-(1+s)},
\]

with real \( \kappa > 0 \) and \( s \) some fixed number (for a recent account of the Olbert distribution and entropy, see, for instance [20]). This distribution applies to finite temperature \( \beta \ll \infty \) as we have discussed in previous work. Its limit for \( \kappa \to 1 \) is the Boltzmann factor as can easily be shown by applying L'Hospital's rule. Thus, the Olbert distribution is a finite temperature distribution. At \( \beta \to \infty \), large but still finite, and \( \kappa > s \), it is a simple matter to show that the Olbert distribution becomes the low-temperature Boltzmann distribution \( \exp[-(1 + s/\kappa)E] \) with an unimportant correction factor which reduces the effective Boltzmann constant \( k_B / (1 + s/\kappa) \) a tiny bit.

For finite \( \kappa \), this function is essentially a skewed Maxwellian (or Boltzmann) function with power law wings in momentum space (in energy space tails). It was widely discussed in the literature and applied to observe high energy tails on particle distribution functions as well as formally, interpreting it as a statistical not a physical distribution, in statistical data analysis to demonstrate the existence of correlations which give rise to higher-order moments like kurtosis. Sometimes, it is claimed that the above probability (neglecting the exponential cutoff at energy \( E \gg E_c \)) is the solution of a first-order Pearson differential equation

\[
f_\kappa(x) = \frac{\kappa + s}{\kappa} f_\kappa(x) \quad \frac{x = \frac{\beta E_{\alpha}}{\kappa}}{1 + x}
\]

which is obtained (in fact trivially) when expressing the first derivative of \( p_\kappa(x) \) with respect to its argument through \( p_\kappa(x) \). This has no fundamental physical meaning however. Olbert distributions are stationary quasi-equilibria in the sense of statistical mechanics. All physical content is contained in the partition function given below. The index \( \kappa \) accounts for the presence of internal correlations in the inner parameter space. It may in fact on its own be a function of momentum, energy, temperature, and some other fields like waves. In most applications, it is considered constant or at least a very slowly variable quantity which contains all the hidden information which is transferred in the unresolved internal space. Below, we also consider it constant for all our purposes. It is for these reasons that the Olbert distribution in its conventional form applies to systems of finite temperature only, if \( \kappa \) is not infinite. This is because classically one expects correlations to occur only at finite temperature. Quantum mechanical versions of the Olbert distribution require the assumption of nonideal gases embedded into external force fields. Otherwise, definition of a quantum mechanical analog to the Olbert distribution so far is an only partially resolved task for bosons and anyons. It requires correlations, and thus at low temperatures, it does probably not exist because only correlations available are those of vacuum fluctuations, however are still controversial. Whether an Olbert distribution can exist at all for fermions is not known either even at finite temperature because it might be forbidden by the Pauli principle. Anyway, the problem of Olbert quantum distributions has not yet been settled satisfactorily, possibly requiring a more sophisticated investigation of its low-temperature properties and modifications.

Distribution functions similar to the Olbert kind have been obtained in theory in various different ways. The original theoretical attempt to find a plausible explanation for them almost immediately referred to a Fokker–Planck approach. The Fokker–Planck equation was naturally assigned to describe the formation of deformed nonstationary distributions by stochastic diffusion in phase space caused by electromagnetic fluctuations. It requires prescription of a phase space diffusion coefficient and, to some extent, corresponds to quasilinear theory where under collisionless conditions first-order wave-particle interactions scatter some of the resonant particles into higher energy states while confining the lower energy bulk. This process, for an energy-dependent diffusion coefficient, maintains the low-energy particles in the Maxwellian, while the unconfined higher energy particles run away into a skewed energetic tail that evolves with time. Fokker–Planck approaches are time dependent. One particular such diffusion coefficient is the Coulomb scattering (Spitzer conductivity) which is energy dependent and thus may be considered basic to scattering and power law tails. Coulomb scattering times are, however, very long such that it takes much time until an appreciable tail evolves. It is widely used for this property in cosmic ray physics where infinite time is available. In order to obtain a stationary state, Fokker–Planck approaches require continuous injection and some additional mechanism which cuts the distribution at some energy by removing energetic particles causing particle losses. Stationarity is achieved, for

\[\text{\footnotesize{In physics (and science in general), it is customary to assign the names of their inventors to theories or equations in order to be specific and make them indistinguishable. One speaks of the Boltzmann equation, Gibbs statistical mechanics, Tsallis statistics instead q-statistics, Heisenberg’s relations, Einstein’s theory, Feynman integrals, and so on, unless the theory has its own indistinguishable names like QED, QCD, and GRT. The name x-distribution is inappropriate. The letter x is nothing specific. The only appropriate name for the Olbertian distribution and everything which follows from it would be Olbert's.}}\]

\[\text{\footnotesize{An idea first introduced by Einstein [15] in his approach to the stochastic motion of molecules.}}\]
instance, when particles escape from the system or by imposing other particle sinks like, for instance, charge exchange with an atmosphere.

The Olbert distribution, on the other hand, is a basic stationary quasi-thermodynamic state far from thermal equilibrium. It has been given a derivation from basic statistical mechanics where it can evolve under particular conditions, caused by the intrinsic structure of the distribution. It has been discussed in two forms from two different points of view, as thermostatistics [19], where an approximate form has been found, and in Lorentzian Gibbs–Boltzmann statistical mechanics [17, 28]. The latter leads directly to the Olbert distribution; the former constructs a similar distribution in suitable approximation. For a collection of other properties of the Olbert distribution, the reader is directed to the extended literature on κ distributions (cf., e.g., [15, 16]; and references therein).

It is clear that the microphysics of the formation of the Olbert distribution is contained in the free parameter κ(x, 〈w〉, 〈κ〉) which itself can depend on particle energy E, temperature T, or the underlying wave–particle interaction, that is, the average energy 〈w〉 of the wave spectrum w. In fact, in some cases, theoretical approaches have derived functional expressions of κ for electrons immersed into a photon bath [11] and also in weak plasma turbulence [12, 13, 29], when electrons are subject to spontaneous, induced emission, and absorption of Langmuir/ion sound waves. In both cases, the long-term limit provides the expected completely collisionless formation of the energetic tail and the deformation of the original Maxwellian distribution. One thus can, in a picture in that the system is in instantaneous equilibrium, the usual thermodynamic or statistical mechanical assumption, treat an Olbertian system as a thermodynamic state far from thermal equilibrium. Since the methods of thermodynamics and statistical mechanics are well developed, this is particularly advantageous as it provides an analytical means and deep physical understanding of processes like phase transitions, heating, entropy formation, and transport without the necessity to apply the restricted methods of numerical simulation as these produce figures in a limited parameter range which require subsequent physical interpretation, or otherwise apply some approximations from nonlinear kinetic theory which quickly run into non-manageable complications when exceeding quasilinear theory. In all approximately stationary cases subject just to long-term variations, the quasi-thermodynamic treatment far from thermal equilibrium provides useful information about the physics involved. This is particularly true when the power law tail extends uniformly over more than just one decade in energy, frequency, or wave number.

### 3 GAUSS–OLBERTIAN THEORY

The Olbertian partition function based on the Olbert distribution when normalizing it according to the Gibbs prescription is given by

\[
Z_{OLB}(β) = \sum_a e^{-E_a/β} \left[ 1 + \frac{βE_a}{κ} \right]^{-κs},
\]

where \( E_a \) is the energy in the state \( a \), \( βE_a \gg 1 \) is some high-energy cutoff [16, 30, 31], and \( κ, s \) are exponents, of which \( r \) is free to choose for satisfying thermodynamic needs. This partition function holds for discrete particles occupying the energy states \( E_a \). When the states are continuously distributed in space, one switches to a field description. The restriction to space has no limitation; it simply describes that the field is continuously distributed with respect to some vector field \( x \).

### 3.1 Olbertian Partition Function

We here for simplicity generalize the Olbert partition function to given scalar fields \( φ(x) \), which depend continuously on space \( x \), by introducing the functional integral

\[
Z_{OLB}[J] = \int Dφ \left[ 1 + βH[J/κ] \right]^{-κs}.\]

The integration is over all realizations of the field \( φ \) in physical space. In field theory, the partition function is conventionally called the “generating functional.” The Hamiltonian \( H = H_{LG} \) that we will investigate is the Landau–Ginzburg Hamiltonian:

\[
H_{LG} = \int dx H_{LG}[φ(x), J(x)]
\]

with the Landau–Ginzburg Hamiltonian density

\[
H_{LG}[φ(x), J] = \frac{α^2}{2} \nabla φ^2 + \frac{μ^2}{2} |φ|^2 + \frac{λ}{4!} |φ|^4 - J \cdot φ
\]

originally introduced in superconductivity theory where \( |φ| \) is some order parameter, in fact the normalized density of electron pairs. It was soon realized [32–34] to be of substantial importance in field theory of elementary particles as well as in spontaneous symmetry breaking. We include an external source term \( J \cdot φ \) which results from the interaction of \( J \) with the field \( φ \). Since the field is scalar, this interaction is restricted to the source \( J \) and field \( φ \) being parallel; for example, in a magnetic field \( B \), one would have \( J = B \), which is the case in superconductivity theory where the stationary magnetic field \( B \) is external although experiencing the Meissner effect. The interaction term in the dimensionless expression \( βH_{LG} \) then becomes \( βB \cdot φ \). As usual, the powers of the dimensionality \( L \) of the various quantities are \( [H_{LG}, φ, a, μ^2, λ] = (−d, −d/2, 1, 0, d) \). The above partition function and Hamiltonian are rather complicated. Note that we did not include any cutoff like in particle theory as will be derived below in quite a natural way for the fields as a cutoff at small, ultimately molecular scales if not imposed by physics at larger scales already. If the dynamic equations governing the field are required, these are obtained from the action principle based on the above Hamiltonian by the well-known method of variation which by Noether’s theorem also yields the conserved quantities, the so-called Noether currents.
In the above expressions, $\mathcal{H}_{LG}$ is the field Hamiltonian density which seems to be a function of $\mathbf{x}$ only, apparently lacking any momentum dependence. This is, however, not the case. The transformation from particle to (classical) spatially dependent continuous scalar field variables, here the only interesting case, is done via the Lagrange formulation which yields the equivalence $\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \Phi(x)$ for the spatial variable and $p \rightarrow \nabla \Phi(x)$ for the momentum $p$. (In vector fields, which we are not dealing with here, this equivalence becomes slightly more complicated.) Hence, it results in the functional dependence of $\mathcal{H}_{LG}[\Phi(x)]$, with field function containing the spatial dependence which appears in both, the field and its momentum, its spatial derivative. It is the gradient term in the Landau–Ginzburg Hamiltonian that, with an appropriate dimensional coefficient, takes care of the field momentum which in the particle picture would read $p^2/2m$ for nonrelativistic particles. In the theory developed below, it generates important wave number dependence. We also note that the Hamiltonian is an energy density. Since energy is additive, one can simply add any interacting external field as, for instance, the energy density of the electromagnetic field $F_{\mu
u} F^{\mu
u}$, with $F_{\mu
u}$ the electromagnetic field tensor. Including it in the theory below is a simple matter and for our purposes is not required as it would unreasonably blow up the expressions. It would also require replacing the gradient with the covariant derivative $\nabla \rightarrow \nabla - ieA(x)$ with $A(x)$ the vector potential, which in this case couples the scalar and vector fields.

As usual, the Landau–Ginzburg Hamiltonian, even though it is an expansion just up to second order in the modulus of the field $|\Phi|^2$ containing most of the relevant physics, in particular spontaneous symmetry breaking and phase transition, provides considerable difficulty when introduced in the partition function. These difficulties are twofold. In general, the integration over the various realizations of the field sets a barrier for the treatment. This corresponds, however, to the usual difficulty appearing already in the particle picture. The second and more serious difficulty arises with the symmetry breaking quartic (4th order) term in the field. One therefore needs to investigate the partition function in two steps with the first step remaining in the realms of the ordinary Gaussian form of the Landau–Ginzburg Hamiltonian as used in Landau superfluidity, dropping the quartic term, which will be included in a second step when already having given the Gaussian partition function its suitable representation.

### 3.2 Gauss–Hamiltonian Approach

So, in order to proceed, we provisionally drop the quartic term in the absence of any external field and self-interaction, and consider the Gauss–Olbertian partition function $Z_{GO}[J]$ with the Hamiltonian density.

$$\mathcal{H}_G \equiv \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 |\nabla \Phi|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \mu^2 |\Phi|^2 - J \Phi.$$

This Hamiltonian is assumed to hold for any, not particularly specified field $\Phi(x)$. Hence, in order to not having to worry about the dimension of the field, we assume that it is normalized to its mean, minimum, or expectation value. In this case, $\Phi(x)$ is dimensionless, while $\mathcal{H}_G$ maintains its physical dimension as energy density which we can take out as a common factor. Thus, $\mu$, $\lambda$, and $J$ have no dimension from here on, while the dimension of $\alpha$ is length (or $k^{-1}$) such that $\alpha k$ is dimensionless. Following standard methods, the fields and source terms can be Fourier transformed, defining wave number space $d\mathbf{k}/(2\pi)^d \rightarrow d\mathbf{k}$ and

$$\langle \Phi(x), J(x) \rangle = \int d\mathbf{k} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \langle \Phi(k), J(k) \rangle$$

(8)

$$\langle \Phi(-k), J(-k) \rangle = \langle \Phi^*(k), J^*(k) \rangle$$

(9)

where the wave number $k_x$ is an upper cutoff which takes care of cutting the spectrum of fluctuations at the molecular scale. This overwrites the necessity of including the high-energy cutoff in the above Olbertian (particle) partition function. The Gaussian reduction $H_G$ of the Landau–Ginzburg Hamiltonian is the $x$-integral of $H_G$ when using the Fourier-transformed quantities which yields $[24, 25, 35–37]$ its well-known representation

$$H_G = L^d \left[ \sum_{k<k_c} \left( \alpha^2 k^2 + \mu^2 \right) |\Phi|^2 + 2(\Phi_{jk}^* + \Phi_{kj}) \right] + \sum_{k<k_c} H_{dk}.$$  

(10)

Indices $r$ and $i$ design real and imaginary parts of the Fourier amplitudes of the field and source. The real and imaginary parts thus decouple. In this form, the Hamiltonian holds for any interaction source field $J$, and the Gauss–Olbertian partition function Eq. (4) becomes

$$Z_{GO,J}[J] \equiv \int d\Phi_{jk} d\Phi^*_{jk} [1 + \beta H_G[J]/\kappa]^{-(\kappa+1)}.$$  

(11)

where the integration is with respect to the decoupled real and imaginary fields. The unity in the bracket can in principle be absorbed into the Hamiltonian which is a sum. It is however rather inconvenient to work with this form because handling the finite sum in the denominator at this stage prevents any further progress unless it can be summed up, which however requires a functional form of the functions $\Phi_{jk}$ and $J_{jk}$. Therefore, a different strategy is needed.

For any external source $J$, the Hamiltonian (10) can also be transformed into a more symmetric form by reshuffling the real and imaginary parts and completion to squares. This yields

$$H_G = L^d \left[ \sum_{k<k_c} \left( \alpha^2 k^2 + \mu^2 \right) |\Phi|^2 - |J|^2 \right]/\alpha^2 k^2 + \mu^2, \quad (12)$$

where the new field is

$$\Phi_{jk} = \Phi_{jk} + J_{jk}\left( \alpha^2 k^2 + \mu^2 \right),$$

which maintains the symmetry of the Hamiltonian. The field integration in the partition function is then done with respect to $\int d[\Phi]^2 d\Phi_h e^{i\Phi_h}$, where $\tan \phi_h = \Phi_{jk}/\Phi_{jk}'. In the particular case of an isotropic field like, for instance, the scalar Higgs field $\phi_H$, the phase integration becomes trivial, reducing to a factor $2\pi i$. The above integral then assumes a slightly simpler form.
3.3 Gauss–Olbertian Partition Function

Let us return to the Gibbs–Boltzmann partition function

$$Z_{GB} = \sum_a \exp(-\beta E_a),$$

by writing it in terms of the field $\phi$ and using its Gaussian result which is widely applied in finite temperature field theory [35, 36]. In that case, the simplicity of the exponential form of the Gibbs–Gaussian partition function after the Fourier transformation allows to factorize the resulting integral

$$Z_{GB} = \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^k j \bar{j}}{\alpha^2 k^2 + \mu^2} \right] Z_{GB}[0],$$

with the definition

$$Z_{GB}[0] = \exp \left[ -\frac{V}{2} \int d^k \log(\alpha^2 k^2 + \mu^2) \right]$$

where $V$ is the normalizing volume (for instance of a large box). These expressions transform directly into an explicit form of the Gauss–Olbertian partition function for the fields

$$Z_{GO}[0] = \left[ 1 + \frac{V}{2k} \int d^k \log(\alpha^2 k^2 + \mu^2) \right]^{-\kappa - s}$$

$$Z_{GO}[J] = \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{2k} \int d^k \frac{j \bar{j}}{\alpha^2 k^2 + \mu^2} \right] Z_{GO}[0].$$

From here, one observes that in the absence of an external source field, the function in the second line reduces to one, and only the zero-order partition function remains. The free energy then follows immediately from

$$F_{GO}[J] = -\beta^{-1} \log Z_{GO}[J]$$

$$= \frac{\kappa + s}{\beta} \log \left[ 1 + \frac{V}{2k} \int d^k \log(\alpha^2 k^2 + \mu^2) \right]$$

$$\times \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{2k} \int d^k \frac{j \bar{j}}{\alpha^2 k^2 + \mu^2} \right],$$

a form that can be used to derive further quantities of thermodynamic interest like the energy and the specific heat. The form given is not yet suited for calculating correlation functions because it contains the Fourier-transformed sources. Returning in the partition function to the original sources $J$ via the inverse transformation and using the particular form of the Dirac function

$$\delta(x_1 - x_2) \equiv \int \frac{d^k}{\alpha^2 k^2 + \mu^2} e^{i k \cdot (x_1 - x_2)}, \quad \mu^2 > 0$$

used in field theory at finite temperatures which results from the two-point correlation function, whose properties we give below, and the source integral can be rewritten in a form suitable for taking derivatives with respect to $J$

$$\int d^k \frac{j \bar{j}}{\alpha^2 k^2 + \mu^2} = \int d^k d^k \delta(x_1 - x_2) \delta(x_1 - x_2).$$

This is to be used in the partition function $Z_{GO}[J]$ Eq. (15), when calculating any $n$th order correlations

$$C^{(n)}(x_1, \ldots, x_n, J) = \prod_i \delta \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial J(x_i) \log Z_{GO}[J(x_1, \ldots, x_n)]} \right).$$

This last expression is the definition of the correlation functions. Forming the one-point correlation function yields $C^{(1)} \equiv \langle \phi \rangle = \int d^k \delta(x_1 - x_2) \langle \phi \rangle$ just the average of the field. The two-point correlation function is equal to the above Dirac function:

$$C^{(2)}(x_1, x_2) = \langle \phi(x_1) \phi(x_2) \rangle = \delta(x_1 - x_2),$$

showing that the Dirac function (18) is nothing else but the representation of the two-point correlation function (21) which is an identity and of practical use in the following. It is otherwise easy to show that (18) is indeed a representation of the Dirac function yielding the self-correlation of the field. Higher-order correlations do not exist in this approximation but may indeed occur in the more precise Olbert theory below.

We briefly note as a side remark that explicit calculation confirms that Eq. (18) is indeed a Dirac function. Since its denominator is symmetric in $k$, the integral can be analytically continued into the negative domain with the poles shifted along one of the axes by the amount $\pm \mu/\alpha$, depending on the sign of $\mu^2$ the real or imaginary axis. In our $\mu^2 > 0$ case, the pole is symmetrical along the imaginary axis. Thus, they are at $k \ll k_c$, and the cutoff plays no role. For these purposes, it can be assumed to be at infinity. Splitting the three dimensional integral produces the sum of an ordinary Dirac function plus two singular integrals with simple poles whose contributions can easily be obtained when appropriately choosing the paths of phase integration around the poles and not contributing to the Dirac function. This maintains the character of the Dirac function as a distribution in the dominant first integral and is applied in Eq. (19) when retransforming into configuration space as is needed when intending application of the well-known field-theoretical diagrammatic order-by-order interaction method through functional derivatives [35, 36].

The above approximate Gauss–Olbertians are nevertheless in a still fairly inconvenient form. This can be made more explicit by writing them as exponentials. For instance, we have

$$Z_{GO}[0] = \exp \left[ -\kappa - s \log \left( 1 + \frac{V}{2k} \int d^k \log(\alpha^2 k^2 + \mu^2) \right) \right].$$
expanding both the logarithm in the argument of the exponential and subsequently the exponential itself produced in Eq. (15)

\[ Z_{GO}[0] = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} \left( \frac{k + s}{n} \right)^m \left( -\frac{V}{2k} \right)^m \frac{d\log(\alpha^2 k^2 + \mu^2)}{d\log(x)} \]  

and correspondingly

\[ Z_{GO}[J] = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{m!} \left( \frac{k + s}{n} \right)^m \right) \]  

\[(23)\]

This, with the above inverse Fourier representation, assumes the final form:

\[ Z_{GO}[J] = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{m!} \left( \frac{k + s}{n} \right)^m \right) \times \frac{1}{2k} \left[ dx_1 dx_2 J(x_1) \delta(x_1 - x_2) J(x_2) \right] \]  

\[(24)\]

as an approximate representation of the Gauss–Olbertian partition function. Although this is just a simplified form which we below will make more precise, it will in most cases be sufficient in applications.

### 3.4 Rigorous Derivation

The final last forms of the Gauss–Olbertian partition function provide a feasible way to go but are not completely satisfactory as the transformation from the Gibbs–Gaussian to the Gauss–Olbertian forms is done at a late stage. It can be taken as a lowest order approximation to a more precise formulation of the Olbertian field partition function whose derivation is attempted in the following. We therefore tentatively return to the formal definition of the Gauss–Olbertian partition function (11) through the Hamiltonian \( H_G \) and attempt a different approach. In fact, the partition function can also be written as

\[ Z_{GO_{nk}}[J] = \int d\phi_{ik} d\phi_{ak} e^{-\kappa \log(1 + \beta H_G/J(\alpha))}. \]  

\[(26)\]

The logarithm in the exponential can then be expanded if taking care that the Hamiltonian has been appropriately normalized. This yields

\[ Z_{GO_{nk}}[J] = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{\kappa + s}{n} \right] \left( \frac{\beta H_G}{\kappa} \right)^n \]  

\[(27)\]

However, \( H_G \) is itself a sum. So in the argument of the exponential, one has the power of a sum. In order to avoid a much bigger complication, we find that the second of these versions is the most convenient one when we expand the exponential in powers. This yields the \( m \)-ordered expression:

\[ Z_{GO_{nk}}[J] = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{m!} \left( \frac{k + s}{n} \right)^m \right) \times \frac{1}{(2k)} \left[ dx_1 dx_2 J(x_1) \delta(x_1 - x_2) J(x_2) \right] \]  

\[(28)\]

where \( H_G \) has been defined already through Fourier-transformed quantities as that summand in Eq. (12). Although this is more precise, it is rather involved and therefore inconvenient for further exploration.

We therefore return to the Hamiltonian \( H_G \) which is a sum of two terms. It can in principle be expanded into a binomial series

\[ H_G = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{n}{\ell} \right) H_{G_{\ell}} H_{G_{\ell}^{0}} \]  

\[(29)\]

where the two forms of \( H_G \) are the two terms in the definition Eq. (12). It appears in the argument of the exponential function, which allows to write the partition function as a double product:

\[ Z_{GO_{nk}} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{\kappa + s}{n} \right] \left( \frac{\beta H_G}{\kappa} \right)^n \times \frac{1}{(2k)} \left[ dx_1 dx_2 J(x_1) \delta(x_1 - x_2) J(x_2) \right] \]  

\[(30)\]

The two Hamiltonian functions are themselves sums with respect to \( k \), which here being multiplied with each other term by term such that their product becomes

\[ H_{G_{\ell}} H_{G_{\ell}^{0}} = \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{k_{\ell}} H_{G_{\ell} k} \right] \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{k_{\ell}} H_{G_{\ell} k} \right] \]  

\[(31)\]

Each sum consists of two further sum terms. Only the second of these two factors depends on the source function \( J \). Hence, if we expand it again into a binomial series leaving the first sum in its compact form, we find

\[ \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{k_{\ell}} H_{G_{\ell} k} \right]^{n-\ell} = \left( 2L^{-d} \right)^{n-\ell} \sum_{p=0}^{n-\ell} \left( \begin{array}{c} n-\ell \\ p \end{array} \right) \]  

\[(32)\]

Again transforming the binomial sum into a product, we arrive at

\[ Z_{GO_{nk}}[J] = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{\kappa + s}{n} \right] \left( \frac{\beta H_G}{\kappa} \right)^n \times \exp \left[ -\frac{\kappa}{n} \left( \frac{\beta H_G}{\kappa} \right) \left( 2L^{-d} \right)^{n-\ell} \left( \begin{array}{c} n-\ell \\ \ell \end{array} \right) H_{G_{\ell}} \right] \]  

\[(33)\]
The explicit dependence on $J$ is now contained only in the two factors in the last line. This expression still contains the sums over wave numbers which in a continuum representation can be replaced by integrals by reintroducing the inverse Fourier transform in these terms and using the Dirac function as given above. Without further assumptions about the field, we are, however, stuck at this point.

### 3.5 Isotropic Field

The latter difficulty can be circumvented when assuming that we are dealing with an isotropic field. In this case, the Hamiltonian, given in (12), separates the source dependence out, and the source-dependent Hamiltonian becomes

$$H_{\text{GL}} = -L^{-3} \sum_{i} \frac{j_{i} j_{i}}{a^{2} k_{i}^{2} + \mu^{2}}$$

(34)

$$\rightarrow \int dx_{1} dx_{2} f_{i}(x_{1}) \delta(x_{1} - x_{2}) J(x_{2}),$$

an expression that can directly be introduced in (30) since now the summand in this Hamiltonian is a single term such that the $p$-product disappears and the partition function assumes the simpler version:

$$Z_{\text{GO}i} \propto \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \prod_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \tilde{\sqrt{H_{\text{GL}}}}_{\ell}$$

(35)

$$\times \left( \int dx_{1} dx_{2} J(x_{1}) \delta(x_{1} - x_{2}) J(x_{2}) \right)^{-n-\ell},$$

where unimportant constant factors in front of the partition function have been suppressed. The field-dependent Hamiltonian $H_{\text{GL}}$ is left untouched in this form. It is to be integrated over all realizations of the transformed field $\Phi_{i}$. This integration does not affect the integral in the last line. To separate this out, as a final step at this stage, expand the exponential into a power series. This yields ultimately

$$Z_{\text{GO}i} \propto \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \prod_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \tilde{\sqrt{H_{\text{GL}}}}_{\ell}$$

(36)

$$\times \left( \int dx_{1} dx_{2} J(x_{1}) \delta(x_{1} - x_{2}) J(x_{2}) \right)^{q(n-\ell)}$$

as the wanted form of the Gauss–Olbertian partition function. Once the integration with respect to $\Phi_{i}$ has been performed, it provides the correlation functions on each order by functional derivation with respect to $J$ in the Gaussian approximation. This integration with respect to the field can be done in the last term yielding

$$\int \Phi_{i} \phi^{*}_{i} H_{\text{GL}} = \frac{V}{4} \int d^{3} k \left( \alpha^{2} k^{2} + \mu^{2} \right) |\phi_{i}|^{4}$$

(37)

$$|\phi_{i}|^{2} = \left[ \phi_{i} + \frac{j_{i}}{\alpha^{2} k^{2} + \mu^{2}} \right]^{2},$$

(38)

where the sum over wave numbers has been replaced by the $k$-integration. One may note that $\phi_{i}$ in this expression depends on the source which unavoidably introduces mixed field source terms. In this form, we have obtained the final form of the Gauss–Olbertian partition function. It does not yet account for the quartic term in the Landau–Ginzburg Hamiltonian and therefore neither contains self-interactions of the field nor spontaneous symmetry breaking which was the big progress and success in the Landau–Ginzburg theory nor does it account for the effects of the external source on symmetry breaking. It does, however, contain Gaussian phase transitions. Nevertheless, in its Gaussian form, it is already subject to application of the diagrammatic technique using Feynman diagrams term by term. Still, the last mixed integral term provides complications even here. As one observes, the form given to it here suggests that there is a huge number of terms which contribute, even though they contribute in an orderly way.

In order to complete the theory to include spontaneous symmetry breaking on the level of the Olbertian partition function, one needs to refer to the quartic term in the Landau–Ginzburg Hamiltonian. This is the content of the next section.

### 4 LANDAU–GINZBURG–OLBERTIAN THEORY

So far, we derived the Gauss–Olbertian partition function which, from the point of view of Landau–Ginzburg theory, can be considered as the Gaussian approximation to the full Hamiltonian. In non-Olbertian field theory, the Gibbs partition function is the usual exponential, and the quartic term in the Hamiltonian enters through the exponential in the integrand. It can be easily factorized such that the partition function is simply multiplied by an additional function however complicated that function appears. Expanding this exponential then gives an infinite series of correlations which are subject to Feynman representations of the hierarchy of interactions. In Olbertian theory, this is not anymore possible in this simple way, unless one chooses to simplify the theory substantially. The full Hamiltonian entering into (4) is

$$H_{\text{GL}} = H_{\text{G}} + \frac{\lambda}{4!} |\Phi|^{4}$$

(39)

such that we can write

$$Z_{\text{GO}i}[J] \equiv \int D\phi e^{-\left(\lambda \phi^{2} + \frac{1}{2!} H_{\text{G}} \phi^{4} / 4! \right)}$$

(40)

Now, $\lambda < 1$ should be a small expansion coefficient. So far, we developed as far as possible complete theory of the part containing just $H_{G}$. The simplest extension to $|\phi|^{4}$ theory would be to assume that the term containing the quartic is an exponential. In that case, the way would be to multiply the above final Gaussian result by the expansion of the quartic exponential and to write the Olbertian–Landau–Ginzburg partition function:
\[ Z_{\text{OLG}}[J] \propto \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \left( \beta \lambda \frac{1}{4!} \int dz \delta^4(z) \right)^p Z_{\text{GO},\kappa}[J] \]  

(41)

(with dummy integration variable \( z = (x_1, x_2, \ldots) \)). Here, the subscript \( G \) on the angular brackets indicates that we take the quartic term just in the Gaussian approximation, interpreting it as an operator which acts on the Gauss–Olbertian partition function explicated in (36). The explicit form of this expression is found in the literature (see, e.g., [35, 36]) as an expansion in terms of functional derivatives:

\[ Z_{\text{OLG}}[J] \propto \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \left( -\frac{\beta \lambda}{4!} \int dz \frac{\delta^4}{\delta J^4}(z) \right)^p Z_{\text{GO},\kappa}[J] \]  

(42)

with the functional derivatives of order \( 4p \) acting on the Gauss–Olbertian partition function. This is a complicated, although feasible, result which can be taken as an approximation that cares already for some of the peculiarities of the Olbertian. Since the sum is but the expansion of an exponential, a slightly more precise approximation is to reinterpret it as an Olbertian writing:

\[ Z_{\text{OLG}}[J] \propto \left[ 1 + \frac{\beta \lambda}{4! \kappa} \int dz \frac{\delta^4}{\delta J^4}(z) \right]^{-\kappa s} Z_{\text{GO},\kappa}[J], \]  

(43)

where the exponential has been replaced by an Olbertian function.

### 4.1 Landau–Ginzburg–Olbertian

The last expression can, in the usual way, be raised to an exponential which now retains the Olbertian functional form in the logarithm:

\[ Z_{\text{OLG}}[J] \propto \exp \left\{ -(\kappa + s) \log \left[ 1 + \frac{\beta \lambda}{4! \kappa} \int dz \frac{\delta^4}{\delta J^4}(z) \right] \right\} \times Z_{\text{GO},\kappa}[J] \]  

(44)

Expanding the logarithm in the argument of the exponential, we arrive at another infinite product of exponentials

\[ Z_{\text{OLG}}[J] \propto \prod_{a=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{(-1)^{\kappa + s}}{a} \frac{\beta \lambda}{4! \kappa} \int dz \frac{\delta^4}{\delta J^4}(z) \right]^a \times Z_{\text{GO},\kappa}[J] \]  

(45)

The exponential can finally be expanded to give

\[ Z_{\text{OLG}}[J] \propto \prod_{a=1}^{\infty} \prod_{b=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{(-1)^{ab}}{b!} \frac{(\kappa + s)^b}{a} \frac{\beta \lambda}{4! \kappa} \int dz \frac{\delta^4}{\delta J^4}(z) \right)^a \times Z_{\text{GO},\kappa}[J] \]  

(46)

This version of the Olbertian partition function contains the main features of the Olbertian theory and is thus a valid approximation when applied to scalar fields in the Landau–Ginzburg theory.

### 4.2 Validation

The way of how to arrive at this result is now quite clear. In an even more precise theory than that given here, one returns to the original Landau–Ginzburg Hamiltonian and repeats the sequence of steps. We briefly sketch how this is done. To this purpose, one returns to (40), an expression which in the argument of the exponential contains the Gaussian plus the Landau–Ginzburg term. The logarithm can advantageously be split into a sum of logarithms

\[ \log \left[ 1 + \beta H_0/J \kappa + \frac{\beta \lambda}{4! \kappa} \right] \]

(48)

When inserted into the partition function and evaluated, the first term on the right leads to the above expression \( Z_{\text{GO},\kappa}[J] \). It multiplies the exponential that contains the quartic term in the second logarithm. If we here neglect the Hamiltonian \( H_0 \) in the denominator of the argument, we just find the result given in (46), which shows precisely which approximation has led to it. Writing it instead in the form

\[ \log \left[ 1 + \beta \frac{\lambda}{4! \kappa} (1 + \beta H_0/J \kappa) \right] = \log \left[ 1 + \beta \frac{\lambda}{4! \kappa} \right] + \log \left[ 1 + \beta H_0/J \kappa \right] \]

(48)

shows the effect of the Gaussian Hamiltonian just as a higher order correction factor. Thus, one observes that the final result given above is the best available version of the Landau–Ginzburg–Olbertian partition function in a form which is suitable for application. Neglect of the second term on the right is sufficient justification for our approximation made in the former subsection to arrive at \( Z_{\text{OLG}}[J] \) in (46). Still, it is free to choose either the approximate Gauss–Olbertian \( Z_{\text{GO}} \) or its more precise although substantially more involved version \( Z_{\text{GO},\kappa} \) as the basic Gauss–Olbertian in the Landau–Ginzburg–Olbert field theory at finite temperature \( \beta \ll \infty \) and finite \( \kappa \).

### 5 SUMMARY

Field partition functions play a substantial role in field theory when interacting fields are under scrutiny. In particular, the partition function is the key to the identification of phase transitions on the one hand, and on the other in managing renormalization [23, 35] and elimination of divergences. Since Olbertian distribution functions have turned out to apparently building up frequently in nature where in the particle realm they can be treated by application of the partition function, it seems to make sense to investigate whether they can be reformulated as well in field theory. This has been done in the present note where it has been shown that with some substantial modifications, Olbertian field partition functions can be formulated and brought into a form which is suitable for application of diagrammatic techniques like Feynman diagrams if only the interactions can be identified. One can imagine that in various applications, the Olbertian partition function may become useful. This may happen in systems which turn out to...
exhibit non-Gaussian behavior. Classically, such cases are familiar from turbulence theory where power laws are the rule thinking of, for instance, Kolmogorov spectra. Other candidates for application are the very early universe and phase transitions therein. Here, we just provided the framework for application given in the formulation of the elaborated although convenient mathematical structure of the Olbertian partition function [39].
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