Measurement and Evaluation of Radiation Power Density Emitted from Mobile Cellular Base Stations in Abuja and its Environ, Nigeria
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ABSTRACT
This paper presents measurement and evaluation of radiation power density emitted from mobile cellular base stations in Abuja and it’s environ. Forty (40) mobile base stations with ten (10) each from AIRTEL, ETISALAT, GLO and MTN located in areas with high population were randomly examined across Abuja. Broadband analysis method was employed in the measurement of the radiation power density. The results obtained shows that most of the base stations recorded lower radiation power density below the safety standard value of 4.5watt/m², 22.5 watt/m² for 900MHz frequency though few base stations recorded above standard value; GLO-BS02, GLO-BS03 and MTN-BS02 recorded 59.02 mW/m², 39.76 mW/m² and 46.70 mW/m² respectively. The result also revealed that as distance increases, the mean power density reduces. This is to said that human exposure to radio frequency radiation have less or no health hazard at distance far away from the mobile cellular base station.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Mobile cellular base stations are connected via microwave links in other to broadcast large quantities of information because of their high frequencies. The transmitting end of the antenna emits the microwave signal from the transmission line into free space and at the receiving end; the antenna pointed toward the transmitting station picks the signal energy and feeds it into the transmission line for processing by the receiver. An antenna is a transducer that transmits or receives electromagnetic waves. It converts electromagnetic radiation into electric current and vice versa [1]. Mobile broadband networks are becoming insufficient as operators offer widespread broadband multimedia access to meet the increased demand. Fortunately, mobile cellular network known as the fourth generation wireless communication system, promises to meet the requirements of real time applications, advanced games and video downloading, and other ultrafast broadband services for mobile phones and data terminals. To meet the demand for mobile communication with extended coverage, high cell capacity, and high data rates, the number of mobile base stations will also increase sharply. With the increased number of mobile base stations, the possible health hazards are a matter of concern for people within the study area due to exposure to electromagnetic (EM) radiation [2].

The radiofrequency proliferation of towers and the resultant effect hazards on human health cannot be over emphasized. The towers using antennas are one of the main sources of producing Electromagnetic Energy including radio waves. Electromagnetic waves are generated and travel through free space at the speed of light. Radio waves emitted by transmitting antennas are one form of electromagnetic energy. This electromagnetic energy is characterized by its frequency (in Hz) and wavelength as shown in figure 1. This electromagnetic spectrum includes ionizing and non-ionizing radiations. The Figure 1 also shows electromagnetic spectrum signifying frequency range of Ionizing & Non-Ionizing radiations. Radio frequency waves occupy the frequency range 3 kHz to 300 GHz [3]. Microwaves are a specific category of radio waves that cover the frequency range between 1 GHz to approximately 100 GHz. According to Mahmoud M.D [4] Radio frequency spectrum spans the range of 3 kHz to several hundred GHz. The most utilized range is the microwave range. Most of modern point to point, wireless, and satellite communications occupy this range.

The possible effects of microwave radiations on humans are of public concern near the locations of radio and television transmitters, mobile base stations, wireless networks among others. It has been the utmost concern to investigate the non-ionizing radiation levels that result from these sources and their effects on humans. Several studies have been initiated all over the world to determine the safe levels of exposure to radio frequency radiation (RFR) for occupational workers and general public. Several guidelines and standards have been issued by international commission for ionizing radiation (ICNIRP), National communication commission (NCC) and other nation and international regulatory agencies.

Electromagnetic radiation is among the type of pollution we have in our society today and it called the fourth pollution source besides air, water and noise by the environmentalist (Saheel Aqeel et al.). The European Commission recommendation adopted on 12 July 1999 requires that a maximum field strength of RF EMR (0–300 GHz) be established and that information about the population’s exposure to RF electromagnetic fields (EMFs) and the measures taken to reduce them be provided [5,6].

Ekata and Kostanic [7] reported factors affecting human exposure to radiation as follows:

i. Distance from source
ii. Tissue type
iii. Frequency
iv. Body resonance
v. Volume and duration of exposure
vi. Power density: the power density (pd) of an equivalent plane wave is generally express a

\[ p_d = \frac{1}{2} \times R_e (E \times H) \]  (1)

\[ p_d \times \frac{(E_{rms})^2}{z_0} = z_0 \times (H_{rms})^2 \]  (2)

Where H and E are the electric and magnetic fields intensity of the electromagnetic waves and \( z_0 \) is the impedance of the free space
\[ z_0 = \frac{u_0}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_0}} \] 

(3)

where \( u_0 \) is permeability and \( \varepsilon_0 \) permittivity. According to the International Commission for Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection [8], the most acceptable guideline for non-ionizing radiation recommends 4.5 watt/m\(^2\), 22.5 watt/m\(^2\) for GSM 900 MHz and 9 watt/m\(^2\), 45 watt/m\(^2\) for GSM 1800 MHz as reference levels for both public and occupational exposure.

Although exposure from the base station antennas is far less than that from handheld devices, the public appears to be more concerned about the safety of the base stations. For this reason, the World Health Organization (WHO) has recommended undertaking research on this subject area [9]. In some parts of Nigeria, there is little or no information about electromagnetic radiation from the base station antennas and their effects on human health. This research is intended to provide solutions to this vacuum created. However, measurement of EM radiation from base station antennas of various mobile network operators will be compared with the recommended EMF levels from the International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection and other organizations.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted in Abuja, (9.0765° N, 7.3986° E) North Central, Nigeria. Forty (40) mobile base stations with ten (10) each from AIRTEL, ETISALAT, GLO, and MTN were randomly examined across Abuja, Nigeria. The base stations examined are located within high population densities areas.

Instruments used to carry out this experiment include the following: electromagnetic field meter, global positioning system, and measuring tape. However, in this work, broadband analysis method was employed in the measurement. The electromagnetic field meter is capable of monitoring high frequency radiation in the range of 50 MHz to 3.5 GHz.

![Electromagnetic spectrum representing non-ionizing and ionizing radiation along with frequencies and wavelength](image1)

**Fig. 1.** Electromagnetic spectrum representing non-ionizing and ionizing radiation along with frequencies and wavelength

*Source: Moulder J.E [12]*
Radiation power density measurement was achieved by pointing the meter to the source of the RF radiation. Maximal radial distance at about 300m was considered from the foot of the base station and 30m interval from each base station was considered. The meter was set to trivial measurement mode (X, Y, Z) and also to the maximum instantaneous measurement mode as suggested by [10] and [11].

3. RESULTS

Results of this study were obtained based on standards issued by international commission for ionizing radiation (ICNIRP), National communication commission (NCC) and other nation and international regulatory agencies.

4. DISCUSSIONS

Table 1 presents the results of mean radiation power density of all the mobile cellular base stations under review. The result shows that most of the examined base stations recorded lower radiation power density below the safety standard value of 4.5watt/m², 22.5 watt/m² for 900MHz frequency. Also, the result shows that GLO-BS02 and BS03 recorded 59.02 mW/m² and 39.76 mW/m² respectively higher than the safety standard value and MTN-BS02 recorded 46.70 mW/m² higher than the safety standard value.

| Base station | Mean power density (mW/m²) | AIRTEL | ETISALAT | GLO | MTN |
|--------------|----------------------------|--------|----------|-----|-----|
| BS01         | 1.98                       | 6.20   | 3.20     | 1.52|     |
| BS02         | 18.95                      | 1.67   | 59.00    | 46.70|     |
| BS03         | 2.05                       | 4.15   | 39.76    |     | 0.89|
| BS04         | 2.39                       | 0.58   | 1.47     |     | 0.63|
| BS05         | 3.22                       | 1.20   | 6.51     |     | 1.33|
| BS06         | 1.07                       | 3.64   | 0.96     |     | 14.50|
| BS07         | 1.14                       | 20.70  | 0.40     |     | 3.30|
| BS08         | 1.63                       | 1.30   | 6.97     |     | 4.18|
| BS09         | 0.63                       | 0.75   | 0.50     |     | 0.80|
| BS10         | 1.38                       | 0.37   | 0.65     |     | 0.60|

Fig. 2. Mean Power Density of ETISALAT base station against distance
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Fig. 3 Mean Power density of GLO base station against distance
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Fig. 4. Mean Power density of AIRTEL base station against distance
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Fig. 5. Mean power density of MTN base station against distance
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Figs. 2, 3, 4 and 5 present the results of mean power densities against distance of ETISALAT, GLO, AIRTEL, and MTN base Station respectively. The results clearly revealed that as distance increases, the mean power density reduces. This is to say that human exposure to radio frequency radiation have less or no health hazard at distance far away from the mobile cellular base station.
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5. CONCLUSION

Measurement and evaluation of radiation power density emitted from mobile cellular base stations in Abuja and it’s environ was carried out. The results obtained revealed that only few base stations recorded radiation power density higher than the safety standard value. The results also revealed that power density of all the mobile cellular base stations under review reduces with increase in distance.
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