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Abstract: punching shear failure of the flat plat of the column location especially of two-way flat plat is one of the major problems and this form of failure should be avoided. The paper deals with the punching shear behavior of the two-way concrete slabs reinforced by using thin sheets of glass fiber reinforced polymer (GFRP) with traditional steel bars reinforcement. Five flat plat specimens slabs measuring (1500x1500x150mm) with interior column measuring (150mmX150mm). Reinforced by GFRP thin mesh sheets in additional of deformed steel bars reinforcement were conducted and tested under concentric load until failure. The test parameter were studied i) No. of GFRP thin mesh sheets layers ii) Position of GFRP mesh thin sheets layers (at compression and tension zones). The test results were reported in terms of cracking behavior and propagation, maximum deflection, strains in concrete and reinforcement, punching shear capacity, and modes of failure. The test results were also employed to assess the accuracy of the available punching shear capacity equations including the new punching equations in ECP2018.201. The test results show that increasing in the punching shear capacity, decreasing of the average cracking widths, and improving in an integrity of the slab specimens which reinforcing by glass fiber thin mesh sheets in the post cracking stage in comparison with conventional reinforced concrete slabs.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Punching shear is a type of brittle failure of flat plates. The major concern when using flat plates is shear transfer from the slab to the columns. In other words, there is a danger that columns may punch through the slab.

especially, the most of flat plat failure are against to shear punching when shear punching stresses of the plat flat connection are exceed of flat plat capacity against punching shear especially the small thicknesses of flat plat

Although the punching shear can be relieved by localized thickening of the concrete with down stand; so; in this research is studied that the contribution of glass fiber mesh sheets as an additional reinforcement with ordinary steel bars reinforcement of flat plates at localized column "maximum shear punching stresses" without increasing of its flat plates thickness to resist of punching shear stresses.

Normally, the stresses resulting from the two-way shear are much higher than the resulting from the one-way shear.

And the conventional reinforcement of shear by means of headed shear studs11, shearheads811, and stirrups43,14 is applicable only to slabs with the depth greater than 150mm.

So; this research is investigated that the increasing of punching shear capacity in two way flat slab which it have a thickness less than or equal to 150mm by using mesh thin sheets of glass fiber adding as an extra reinforcement with traditional steel bars. Most of researchers are concerned that the shear punching failure that can be happen in a flat plate structural member. So; many alternatives to enhance and increase of its ductility behavior were suggested. Previous studies suggested the use of conventional shear reinforcement, shear head steel, shear stud, helix reinforcement, and lattice reinforcement to enhance the punching shear capacity of flat slab structures8.

The glass fiber mesh sheets that it were reinforced of concrete slabs against punching shear stress are investigated and concluded that the effecting of the fiber mesh to resisting a punching shear stresses is very efficient as reinforcement11.

The contribution of FRP Reinforced two-way flat slabs and steel-reinforced slabs designed are investigated and reported that the all specimens had similar punching shear capacities13.

The investigation of the Behavior of flat slab specimens with presence of FRP sheets and steel bolts substantially led to the increasing of the punching capacity of the connections7.

The behavior of flat plat with interior column and reinforced by GFRP bars under concentric load. The results of its study can be summarized that the concentrating of the GFRP reinforcement bars in the compression side through the column cross section led to enhance of the overall slab behavior with a slight increasing in the post-cracking stiffness and the ultimate punching shear capacity14.

II. DESIGN CONCEPT OF SPECIMENS

A. Design Philosophy Models to Predict of Punching Shear Capacity

The shear punching capacity of a flat plat that is reinforced by Glass fiber reinforced polymer with conventional deformed steel bars can be considered to comprise two components, that is, the contribution from “Concrete” $V_{RC}$ and the contribution from “GLASS FIBER REINFORCED POLYMER mesh sheets”, $V_{FRP}$. Several international standards13,14; generally, the value of $V_{RC}$ is a function of the tensile strength of concrete (expressed in terms of cylinder compressive strength of concrete, f’c) and effective depth (d) to the tensile steel reinforcement.13,14 also consider $V_{RC}$ to be governed by the reinforcing steel ratio and yield strength of steel reinforcement respectively.
The “GFRP mesh sheets fiber” contribution, $V_{GF}$, is primarily expressed as a function of the fiber content and thereby the residual tensile strength of GFRP.

**B. Flexural Capacity of GFRP Mesh Sheets Reinforced of Flat Plat under Concentric Load**

The yield line theory has been used with reasonable accuracy to predict the flexural capacity of flat plat reinforced concrete. Figure 1; shows that the indicates two possible yield line patterns for a square simply supported flat plat under a central point load.

The basic yield line pattern as shown in the figure 1.a gives that the failure load, and maximum resistant moment of the flat plat cross section equal to 8MB.

Figure (1.b); shows that the part of fan mode (punching shear failure) yield line pattern which can be Predicts a failure load equal to $2\pi MB$.

One from two the previous methods was used in this research paper of yield line to predict the maximum moment resistance against flexural failure. So; the fan mode (punching shear failure) was used.

**III. EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM**

**A. Materials Specification**

Materials of test specimen were prepared from available local materials. Concrete mixture was used throughout casting and was designed to develop cube strength of 30MPa. The test program. The water cement ratio was 0.4. and the slump for the concrete mixture is 60-70mm. Natural siliceous (0-4mm, 660Kg/m$^3$) and crushed stone (9-22mm, 1194kg/m$^3$). Ordinary Portland cement (OPC) (370Kg/m$^3$) as a binder material. Super plasticizer admixture “high range water reducing and early strength aid” was used in concrete mixture. The additive was used. Due to lower water demand required, to Decrease the water/binder ratio. Superplasticizer was complies of ASTM C494. Testing of these materials were carried out according to Egyptian standard specifications, and ASTM standards. Deformed high-grade steel bars of 18mm diameter with yield strength of 370 N/mm$^2$ and ultimate strength of 520 N/mm$^2$ were used as a longitudinal tension reinforcement. Mild steel bars of 8mm diameter with yield strength of 240 N/mm$^2$ was used as stirrups and longitudinal compression reinforcement. Steel bars were tested and complies with Egyptian Standard Specifications and the ASTM standards.

**GFRP thin mesh sheet is a unidirectional woven glass fiber fabric and designed to embedded into a two way flat slab (E-glass fibers, area density of fiber = 600 g/cm$^2$, Dry Fiber Thickness= 0.168 mm, Dry Fiber Tensile Strength = 2 500 N/mm$^2$, Dry Fiber Modulus of Elasticity in Tension = 72 000 N/mm2, Dry Fiber Elongation at Break= 2.7 %) were used to reinforced a two way flat slab interior columns at compression or tension zone.**

**B. Test Specimen Details**

The tested five flat plats specimens have dimensions (1100mmx1100mm). And the centric column dimension is (150mmx150mm) were used to ensure sufficiently high punching shear stresses in the slabs.

The flat plates specimens have a thickness 150mm and the conventional deformed bars reinforcement ratio $b = 1.25\%$ in both principal directions. As the aim of the study is to investigate the effect of thin mesh of glass fiber with traditional tensile reinforcement steel bars to increase the punching shear capacity of the flat plat and column connection, the compression reinforcement was not been used by traditional reinforcement steel bars. And glass fiber thin mesh sheet were laid in compression or tension zone in the flat slab-column connection specimens.

The slabs specimens were conducted due to No. of glass mesh fiber layers and its position and are listed below:-

- S1: was just reinforced by traditional steel bars in tension zone (fig.2).
- S2: was reinforced by both traditional steel bars with 3-layers of thin mesh GFRP sheets in tension zone (fig.3).
- S3: was reinforced by both traditional steel bars with 6-layers of thin mesh GFRP sheets in tension zone (fig.4).
- S4: was reinforced by both traditional steel bars in tension zone with 3-layers of thin mesh GFRP sheets in compression zone (fig.5).
- S5: was reinforced by both traditional steel bars with 6-layers of thin mesh GFRP sheets in compression zone (fig.6).

![figure 1: yield line patterns](image1)
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C. Concrete Mixing, Placing, and Curing

Clean wood forms were used, and their inner sides were coated with oil before casting. The reinforcement steel was arranged and placed in its proper position in forms as shown in figure 7.

The time of mixing was about three minutes; half minute to mix the dry materials until uniform color is observed immediately. After mixing concrete was placed in the forms and compacted mechanically by internal electrical vibrator considering the proper of reinforcement during casting.

Concrete constituents were batched separately by weight using a mechanical balance of 0.1Kg sensitivity, while water cement ratio was batched by weight of mixing which performed using a concrete titling drum mixer as shown in figure 8, and figure 9.

The compressive strength of hardened concrete were investigated for each flat plat of specimens. At least six standard cubes (150x150x150 mm) were taken. Three cubes were tested after 7 days, and others three cubes were tested after 28 days. They were cast from the same batches used for casting the specimens.
D. Test Setup and Instrumentation

The flat plat specimens were loaded under concentric load, which it applied on the column, and supported, by tie rod until failure as shown in figure 10. The specimens were simply supported along four edges. Three linear variable differential transformers (LVDTs) were used to determine deflections at mid span and at the quarter-span of the slabs as figure 11. Two strain gauges were bonded in two principle directions on the longitudinal tensile rebar. And one gauge on the top surface of the slabs to measure concrete strains figure12. The location of strain gauge for reinforcement is identical with that of strain gauges for concrete approximately 25mm from the surface of the column. The slabs were tested by a hydraulic testing machine capacity 1000KN under the load control in increments of 10KN up to failure. The loading rate was approximately 12KN/min. deflections, strain in concrete, strain in steel rebar, and crack development were recorded at each load level.

IV. TEST RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Cracking and Failure Characteristics

Generally, at start stage of loading, a micro cracks propagated parallel to the bottom steel reinforcement bars. However, these cracks had not influence on the final type of mode failure with the increase in the applied load; these cracks become wider and propagated in several directions (normally diagonal directions). During applied load time, more cracks occurred and propagated in similar manner as the earlier cracks.
Control slab specimens (S1) was failed in punching shear. The failure of specimen (S1) was occurred suddenly in a brittle manner in the column vicinity and the typical crack pattern on the underside of the slabs is shown in (fig.13).

Remaining specimens, the first cracks to appear in all the tested specimens were flexural radial cracks in the region of the maximum moment in the tension (top side) of the slab. The cracks started at the column, corners parallel to X and Y-axes there after propagated radially towards the slab edges. At higher loads small cracks appeared at the column interface along the perimeter. Moreover, circumferential cracks were observed near the column connecting the radial cracks together. In these slabs specimen, cracks formed uniformly with smaller average width due to the effect of No. of layers and positions of glass fiber thin mesh sheets in a samples.

Flexural failure with ductile mode can be clearly observed also for these specimens. The thin mesh sheet of glass fiber can be improved significantly concrete ductility and integrity of vicinity of slab-column connection and the typical crack pattern on the underside of the slabs is shown in (figures.14, 15, 16, and 17)

Figure 13: Cracks Propagation of Testing Slab (S1)

Figure 14: Cracks Propagation of Testing Slab (S2)

Figure 15: Cracks Propagation of Testing Slab (S3)

Figure 16: Cracks Propagation of Testing Slab (S4)

Figure 17: Cracks Propagation of Testing Slab (S5)

B. Load-Displacement Response and Shear Punching Resistance

The relative load-displacement diagrams of tested slab specimens are shown in Figure 18. In general, the behavior of slabs can be divided into two stages.
The first stage was named “stage prior to crack”, in which the behavior of all slab specimens was similar, and was approximately linear. In next stage (post-cracking stage), cracks were initiated and developed, which resulted in decrease of stiffness of the slabs and caused difference in the behavior of the slabs. At the same loading level, displacement of slab specimens S2, S3, S4, and S5 is lower than control slab specimen S1. It means that; thin mesh sheet of glass fiber increasing of slab specimens stiffness and this is directly proportional numbers of thin sheets of glass fiber mesh and its position in the slab.

Figure 19, plots the load and the reinforcement strain relationships. This figure uses the strain values recorded from the electrical resistance strain gauges located at 250 mm from the center of the 150 mm square columns at the layer of steel. Figure 20, plots the load and the concrete strain relationships. This figure uses the strain values recorded from the electrical resistance strain gauges located at 250 mm from the center of the 150 mm square columns on a compression zone of concrete.

V. SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS

Table 1, and 2 are summarized slab specimens properties and specifications, The failure loads capacity, resistance of punching shear, maximum deflection, parameter study, maximum strain values of concrete and steel, and modes of failures are listed below:

Tested specimens were divided into two groups as shown in table 3. Each group has an intended purpose for testing as follows:

- Group 1: studied that the effect and contribution of glass fiber mesh sheet volume introduced by increasing in No. of mesh sheets layers with conventional deformed steel bars reinforcement to resist the punching shear of flat plat reinforced concrete.
- Group 2: studied that the effect and contribution of glass fiber mesh sheet reinforced polymer position introduced by lying of mesh sheets layers at tension zone and compression zone with conventional deformed steel bars reinforced to resist the punching shear of flat plat reinforced concrete.
### Table 1: Tested Specimens Details

| Slab ID | Dimension (mm) | Slab thickness (mm) | Effective depth, d (mm) | Slab flexural reinforcement | Slab Compression reinforcement | Fiber type |
|---------|----------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|------------|
| S1      | 1100X1100      | 150                 | 125                     | 5ø18/m^2                    | ----------------------------- |            |
|         |                |                     |                         |                             |                              |            |
| S2      | 1100X1100      | 150                 | 125                     | 5ø18/m^2 + 3-layers of GFRP sheet | ---------------------------- | Thin mesh sheet of GFRP |
|         |                |                     |                         |                             |                              |            |
| S3      | 1100X1100      | 150                 | 125                     | 5ø18/m^2 + 6-layers of GFRP sheet | ---------------------------- | Thin mesh sheet of GFRP |
|         |                |                     |                         |                             |                              |            |
| S4      | 1100X1100      | 150                 | 125                     | 5ø18/m^2                    | Laying 3-layers of thin mesh GFRP sheets | Thin mesh sheet of GFRP |
|         |                |                     |                         |                             |                              |            |
| S5      | 1100X1100      | 150                 | 125                     | 5ø18/m^2                    | Laying 6-layers of thin mesh GFRP sheets | Thin mesh sheet of GFRP |

### Table 2: Test Results of Specimens

| Slab ID | $F_{cu,cube}$ (ton) | $V_{cr}$ (ton) | $V_{u,exp}$ (ton) | $\bar{\nu}_{cu}$ % | $\bar{\nu}_{mu}$ % | $\Delta_y$ (mm) | $\Delta_{u,0.85}$ (mm) | Mode of failure |
|---------|---------------------|---------------|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| S1      | 31.40               | 1.71          | 25.40             | 0.38                 | 0.21                | 14.36           | 19.30                 | Punching failure |
| S2      | 30.60               | 2.45          | 29.00             | 0.32                 | 0.25                | 4.26            | 14.67                 | Flexural failure |
| S3      | 32.10               | 3.05          | 31.95             | 0.33                 | 0.27                | 3.41            | 14.00                 | Flexural failure |
| S4      | 31.60               | 2.01          | 31.22             | 0.33                 | 0.26                | 4.73            | 16.35                 | Flexural failure |
| S5      | 30.90               | 2.35          | 33.79             | 0.31                 | 0.29                | 4.75            | 19.00                 | Flexural failure |

### Table 3: Test Parameters of Specimens

| Group No. | Parameter Effect | Behavior study | Slab ID |
|-----------|------------------|----------------|---------|
| G1        | Fiber Volume Effect | Group 1-1 Shear punching behavior at slab column connection of Bottom Glass Fiber mesh | S1 S2 S3 |
|           |                   | Group 1-2 Shear punching behavior at slab column connection of Upper Glass Fiber mesh | S1 S4 S5 |
| G2        | Fiber Position Effect | Group 2-1 Shear punching behavior at slab column connection of 0.25% Glass Fiber mesh reinforcement | S1 S2 S4 |
|           |                   | Group 2-2 Shear punching behavior at slab column connection of 0.50% Glass Fiber mesh reinforcement | S1 S3 S5 |
VI. RESULTS DISCUSSION

In general, the slab specimens (S2, S3, S4, and S5) were reinforcement by glass fiber mesh thin sheets with traditional steel bars reinforcement are failed in flexural and ductile modes of failure when it compared with slab specimen S1 without glass fiber mesh thin sheets, this attributed to the using of GFRP mesh sheets are resistant of cracks to propagate against punching shear.

Concrete and longitudinal deformed steel bars reinforcement strains were monitored, and its values are listed and summarized in table 2. The maximum concrete strain of specimen (S1) is 0.83%, and the maximum tensile steel strain of (S1) was 0.21%, while the maximum concrete strain ranged approximately from (0.31-0.33%) and the maximum tensile steel strain was between (0.255-0.29%) for slab specimens (S2, S3, S4, and S5). This prove that the slab specimens were reinforced with GFRP mesh sheet failed in flexural mode while slab specimen (S1) was failed in punching shear without steel yielding (0.25%; approximately).

Slab specimens, which were reinforced by GFRP mesh sheets, have a small average crack width and higher numbers of cracks compared with slab specimen without GFRP (S1). This refer to the glass fiber sheets as an extra reinforcement.

The abilities of slab specimens which was reinforced with mesh sheet of GFRP to resist the shear punching are higher than of slab specimen without GFRP sheet reinforcement this is attributed to the shear punching strength of flat plat specimens reinforced by GFRP mesh sheets was developed by considering the shear contribution of the concrete in compression zone and that of GFRP mesh sheets at the crack interface.

The results show that increasing in the failure of load capacity by 10.20% and 8.23% between (S2 and S3), and (S4 and S5); respectively as shown in figure 21 and 22. Increasing of the volume of GFRP mesh sheet leads to increasing of ductility by 19.50% and 15.60% between (S2 and S3), and (S4 and S5); respectively as shown in figure 25.

Slightly increasing in the failure of loads capacity between slab specimen which was laying of GFRP mesh sheet at compression zone (S4) and slab specimen which was laying of GFRP mesh sheet at tension zone (S2) by 7.70%, as shown in figure 23. and Slightly increasing also in the failure of loads capacity between slab specimen which was laying of GFRP mesh sheet at compression zone (S5) and slab specimen which was laying of GFRP mesh sheet at tension zone (S3) by 5.80%, as shown in figure 24.

Same modes of failure, average crack width, and crack propagation can be observed for all slab specimens, which were reinforced with GFRP mesh sheets.
VII. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The following conclusions can be made according to previous analysis and discussion of the test results obtained from this research:

1. Using mesh sheets of GFRP in additional to traditional tension steel reinforcement leads to:
   1.1 Increasing in failure load capacity up to 30%.
   1.2 Increasing in ductility by 206%.
   1.3 Transforming a mode of failure from punching shear (brittle failure) to flexure (ductile failure).

2. Increasing in volume of GFRP mesh sheets leads to increasing in failure loads capacity up to 10.20%.

3. Laying of GFRP mesh sheets in compression zone leads to increasing in punching shear resistant up to 7.70%, and ductility up to 3% when it’s compared with laying of GFRP mesh sheets in tension zone.

4. Using mesh sheets of glass fiber reinforced polymer in both tension zone or compression zone position led to decreasing in the deflection of flat plat reinforced concrete.
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