Underwater Light Characteristics of Turbid Coral Reefs of the Inner Central Great Barrier Reef
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Hyper-spectral and multi-spectral light sensors were used to examine the effects of elevated suspended sediment concentration (SSC) on the quantity and quality (spectral changes) of underwater downwelling irradiance in the turbid-zone coral reef communities of the inner, central Great Barrier Reef (GBR). Under elevated SSCs the shorter blue wavelengths were preferentially attenuated which together with attenuation of longer red wavelengths by pure water shifted the peak in the underwater irradiance spectrum ∼100 nm to the less photosynthetically useful green-yellow waveband (peaking at ∼575 nm). The spectral changes were attributed to mineral and detrital content of the terrestrially-derived coastal sediments as opposed to chromophoric (coloured) dissolved organic matter (CDOM). A simple blue to green (B/G, λ455:555 nm) ratio was shown to be useful in detecting sediment (turbidity) related decreases in underwater light as opposed to those associated with clouds which acted as neutral density filters. From a series of vertical profiles through turbid water, a simple, multiple component empirical optical model was developed that could accurately predict the light reduction and associated spectral changes as a function of SSC and water depth for a turbid-zone coral reef community of the inner GBR. The relationship was used to assess the response of a light sensitive coral, Pocillopora verrucosa in a 28-d exposure laboratory-based exposure study to a daily light integral of 1 or 6 mol quanta m⁻² PAR with either a broad spectrum or a green-yellow shifted spectrum. Light reduction resulted in a loss of the algal symbionts (zooxanthellae) of the corals (bleaching) and significant reduction in growth and lipid content. The 6 mol quanta m⁻² PAR treatment with a green-yellow spectrum also resulted in a reduction in the algal density, Chl a content per cm², lipids and growth compared to the same PAR daily light integral under a broad spectrum. Turbid zone coral reef communities are naturally light limited and given the frequency of sediment resuspension events that occur, spectral shifts are a common and previously unrecognised circumstance. Dedicated underwater light monitoring programs and further assessment of the spectral shifts by suspended sediments are essential for contextualising and further understanding the risk of enhanced sediment run-off to the inshore turbid water communities.
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INTRODUCTION

Light is essential for reef-building corals on account of their mutualistic symbiosis with photosynthetic symbiotic dinoflagellates (Falkowski et al., 1990; Muscatine, 1990; Lesser, 2004; Roth, 2014). Although corals are capable of feeding heterotrophically (Houbrègue and Ferrier-Pagès, 2009), photosynthetic energy conversion provides much more energy influencing many aspects of their physiology and ecology (Falkowski et al., 1990; Yentsch et al., 2002; Gattuso et al., 2006; Dubinsky and Falkowski, 2011; Roth, 2014). The exponential decrease in light with water depth contributes significantly to changes in species composition and abundance and morphology (Goreau, 1959; Goreau and Wells, 1967; Dusan, 1982; Huston, 1985; Schuhmacher and Zibrowius, 1985; Wyman et al., 1987; Kramer et al., 2020). Latitudinal decreases in light availability has also been suggested a possible critical limiting factor for corals (Kleypas et al., 1999; Muir et al., 2015). The attenuation of light from increased water cloudiness (turbidity) from enhanced terrestrial runoff has been suggested as a threat to coastal marine ecosystems (ISRS, 2004; GBRMPA, 2020). Yet despite its significance, Yentsch et al. (2002) and more recently Edmunds et al. (2018) and Hochberg et al. (2020) have questioned why light on reefs has not been critically examined to the same extent as for other environmental factors (e.g., temperature, salinity, disease, etc.).

Solar radiation penetration to the seabed is determined by season, solar elevation, sea state, water depth and especially the optical properties of the water column (Kirk, 2010). Components of the water that can modify the underwater light field fall within three broad categories including phytoplankton, chromophoric (coloured) dissolved organic matter (CDOM) and non-algal particulates (NAP) (Mobley, 1994; Kirk, 2010). Together with the spectral attenuation of the pure seawater itself the relative contributions of the individual components determine the intensity and spectral quality of the light reaching the seabed (Prieur and Sathyendranath, 1981; Babin et al., 2003b; Kirk, 2010).

Over the visible/photosynthetic part of the spectrum (400–700 nm) water absorbs strongly in the longer red wavelengths (Smith and Baker, 1981; Kirk, 2010). Phytoplankton absorb mostly in the shorter blue and blue green wavelengths (Prieur and Sathyendranath, 1981; Van Duin et al., 2001) and CDOM absorbs more strongly in the shorter blue wavelengths and into the ultraviolet (Bricaud et al., 1981; Hansell and Carlson, 2002; Shi et al., 2013). Non-algal particulates consisting of mineral sediments, non-living organic detritus such as faecal matter and degrading phytoplankton cells (Binding et al., 2008), produce a similar spectral signal to CDOM, absorbing in the shorter blue wavelength and decreasing steadily towards the longer red wavelengths (Kirk, 1985, 2010; Babin and Stramski, 2002, 2004; Bowers and Binding, 2006; Binding et al., 2008).

Most studies of light absorption and scattering have focused on Case-1 waters in the bipartite classification scheme of Morel and Prieur (1977). These waters are optically “simple” and include most open water, oceanic environments (Babin et al., 2003a) where the optical properties are largely determined by phytoplankton (represented by chlorophyll) alone (Smith and Baker, 1978; Morel, 1988; Mobley, 1994; Lee et al., 2007b; Morel et al., 2007). Case-1 waters typify the “traditional” perception of coral reefs as occurring in a “clear-water” or “blue water,” nutrient-poor, oligotrophic environments (Potts and Jacobs, 2000). In Case-1 waters the water column gradually narrows the spectrum with depth to one dominated by blue light. Since the initial work of Dussant (1982), many studies have now described this spectral change and examined and partitioned the bio-optical properties in clear-water reefs (Dunne and Brown, 1996; Maritorena and Guillocheau, 1996; Lesser and Gorbunov, 2001; Lesser, 2004; Blondeau-Patissier et al., 2009; Lesser et al., 2009; Kuwahara et al., 2010; Mass et al., 2010; Dubinsky and Falkowski, 2011; Brandon et al., 2019; Kahng et al., 2019; Hochberg et al., 2020).

Case-2 waters are more optically complex because light absorption and scattering is influenced by suspended sediments (mineral NAPS) as well as CDOM and phytoplankton (Jerlov, 1976; Mobley, 1994; IOCCG, 2000; Babin et al., 2003a; Kirk, 2010). Case-2 waters are typically in coastal areas influenced by terrestrial run off and wind and wave induced resuspension of the shallow seabed with mineral NAPs often dominating the spectral signal (Duarte et al., 1998; Bowers and Binding, 2006; Binding et al., 2008). Corals reefs are commonly found in such environments (turbid-water reefs) and referred to as “alternative states” to the typical clear-water notion of reefs (Perry and Larcombe, 2003; Zweifler et al., 2021). The turbid-zone coral reef communities of the inner, central Great Barrier Reef (GBR) (Woolfe and Larcombe, 1998, 1999; Larcombe and Woolfe, 1999; Perry and Larcombe, 2003; Browne et al., 2012; Zweifler et al., 2021) are very well-known example of reefs in Case-2 waters, with vertically compressed coral depth distributions (Morgan et al., 2016) as noted in other turbid reef systems such as in Singapore (Morgan et al., 2020).

The GBR turbid-zone coral reef communities have been the focus of considerable attention as land runoff and poor water quality is considered a major threat to the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park particularly the inshore areas (GBRMPA, 2020). Runoff from highly modified catchments can enhance the delivery of sediments, nutrients and other organic particles and could have detrimental effects by reducing the available light for seagrass and corals in the inner GBR (GBRMPA, 2020) and elsewhere in the world (Morgan et al., 2020). Conversely, reduced light availability could have “beneficial” effects and reduced levels of coral bleaching in turbid, coastal coral reef environments during heat waves has now been noted in many studies (Guest et al., 2012, 2016; Van Woesik et al., 2012; Cacciapaglia and Van Woestik, 2016; Fisher et al., 2019; Mies et al., 2020; Sully and Van Woestik, 2020), including the inshore GBR (Morgan et al., 2017). This increased resilience could be related to turbidity reducing light levels and reducing or ameliorating the physiological stress associated with the combined effects of light and elevated water temperatures (Sully and Van Woestik, 2020). To further understand these possible detrimental or “beneficial” effects of turbidity requires a better understanding of light characteristics of turbid-zone reef communities.
In two recent studies involving vertical profiling of light in very turbid waters (elevated suspended sediment concentrations caused by dredging) preferential attenuation of shorter blue wavelengths was noted in addition to overall loss of light (Jones et al., 2016; Fearns et al., 2019). This resultant shift in underwater colour spectrum to the green/yellow waveband, which is less photosynthetically useful (Gallegos et al., 2009; Falkowski and Raven, 2013), could have implications for benthic photoautotrophs (Jones et al., 2016). In this study, we further examine the effects of NAPs on quantity and quality of underwater light using elevated SSCs caused by dredging to derive wavelength specific light attenuation coefficients by water column profiling using a hyper-spectral radiometer. An empirical spectral solar irradiance model was developed to describe the underwater light spectrum and how it changes with sun-angle, water depth and suspended sediment concentration. Measurements were also made of spectral changes during a wind/wave natural resuspension event using an in situ multi-spectral radiometer. The model was used to define the exposure conditions for a laboratory-based exposure study to investigate sublethal physiological effects of a light sensitive coral incubated under different light quality and quantity scenarios.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Site Description**

Fieldwork was conducted in the inner central Great Barrier Reef (GBR) in Cleveland Bay and on the reefs around Magnetic Island (Figure 1). The oceanographic and sedimentary setting of the bay has been described by Larcombe et al. (1995) and the turbid-zone reef communities have been the subject of several studies associated with understanding sedimentary processes, transport and fate, and the effects of watershed development on reef growth in “marginal” (cf Perry and Larcombe, 2003) environments (Carter et al., 1993; Larcombe et al., 1995, 2001; Lou and Ridd, 1996; Larcombe and Woolfe, 1999; Orpin et al., 1999, 2004; Anthony et al., 2004; Browne et al., 2010, 2012, 2013; Lambrechts et al., 2010; Bainbridge et al., 2012; Orpin and Ridd,
Briefly, Cleveland Bay is a shallow (15 m at its seaward edge) northward-facing embayment of around 325 km² located off the coastal city of Townsville in Northern Queensland, Australia (Figure 1). The bay is landlocked around its southern and eastern sides by the mainland and bordered by Magnetic Island, a granitic continental high island on its north-western margin (Figure 1). The reefs are composed of hard and soft corals and algae (Bull, 1982; Mapstone et al., 1992; Kaly et al., 1994) overlying accumulations of non-biogenic sediments (Hopley, 1982).

The bay is naturally very turbid and resuspension of bottom sediment by wave action augmented to some extent by tides and currents is the most significant long-term contributor to elevated SSCs (Larcombe et al., 1995, 2001; Lou and Ridd, 1996; Orpin et al., 1999, 2004; Anthony et al., 2004; Orpin and Ridd, 2012; Macdonald et al., 2013; Luter et al., 2021). Background SSCs in Cleveland Bay are typically <5 mg/L⁻¹ (Larcombe et al., 1995), and 1–2 mg/L⁻¹ on the reefs around Magnetic Island (Waterhouse et al., 2021), but winds sufficient to cause resuspension of bottom sediments in the bay at 5 m depth occur on an estimated 220 days per year, and higher energy events sufficient to cause resuspension of bottom sediments at 15 m depth on 40 days per year (Figure 2A) (Orpin et al., 1999; Orpin and Ridd, 2012).

The frequent resuspension requires annual or sometimes biannual maintenance dredging of two connected shipping channels to allow safe passage of cargo ships (Figure 1). Currently, the majority of the dredging is performed by an 85 m long ocean-going trailing arm suction dredge (TSHD) that has two trailing arm suction heads which are lowered and dragged along the seafloor, dredging the seabed either side of the vessel (Figures 2B,C). Turbidity generation is associated with disturbance of the seabed by the drag heads and by propeller wash and by dredge material placement at the 3.7 × 3.7 km dredge material placement area (DMPA) located in the bay itself (Figures 1, 2B).

**Turbidity and Light (Spectrum) Time Series**

From 28 May 2017 to 27 June 2017 underwater measurements of photosynthetically active radiation (PAR, 400–700 nm), turbidity and depth (m) were measured at 15 min intervals (averaging for...
10 s) using sensors attached to a seabed mounted stainless steel instrument platform in Florence Bay (Figures 1, 2C). Permitting restrictions prevented deployment of the sensors on the reef itself, so the platform was placed at the very base of the reef slope at 8.5 m on a sandy seabed. Instruments included a vertically mounted IMO–MS8 eight wavelength (425, 455, 485, 515, 555, 615, 660, and 695 nm) multi-spectral irradiance sensor and a horizontally-mounted IMO–NTU turbidity sensor, and were both connected to an IMO–DL3 data logger with built-in depth and temperature sensors [In situ Marine Optics (IMO), Perth, Western Australia] (Figure 2D). To prevent biofouling, the MS8 and turbidity sensors have copper-based wiper mechanisms that sweep over the sensors every 15 min and park over the sensors when not sampling.

PAR data was calculated from the 8 wavelengths by interpolating to higher resolution 1 nm spacing using the approx function from the stats package in R (R Core Team, 2020), and then summing across all estimated values from 400–700 nm to calculate the total interpolated Photosynthetically Available Radiation (hereafter simply PAR) expressed as an instantaneous value (μmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹) or on a daily basis as a daily light integral (DLI, mol photons m⁻² d⁻¹) calculated by summing the per second quantum flux measurements over the day (Fisher et al., 2015; Jones et al., 2015). Simple linear interpolation between the peak wavelength of each of the 8 sensors is a relatively robust means of estimating PAR as there is considerable overlap in the spectral sensitivity of each of the individual sensors for the MS8 multi-spectral loggers. Photosynthetically usable radiation (PUR), which is a product of the light availability (PAR) and absorption efficiency (Morel, 1978) was also calculated using equation 1.

\[ \text{PUR} = \int_{400}^{700} \text{PAR}(\lambda) A \]  

(1)

based on absorption coefficients (for symbiotic algae of corals) digitised from Figure 2b of Hennige et al. (2009), and as shown in Figure 5A.

To compliment the in situ measurements, surface measurements of PAR were obtained from an instrument mounted on a channel marker buoy (nominally 10 m above sea level) situated 1.9 km south of Florence Bay (Figure 1, 19.140906°, 146.889565°; AIMS, 2020).

**Vertical Water Quality Profiling**

Over a 4-d period (12–15 September 2016), 94 light and turbidity vertical profiles were measured in and just outside of Cleveland Bay using a USSIMO hyper-spectral radiometer (In Situ Marine Optics, Perth, Australia) and IMO-NTU turbidity sensor (Figure 2D). The USSIMO incorporates a Carl Zeiss UV/VIS miniature monolithic spectrometer module as the internal light recording device providing irradiance measurement values at nanometre spectral spacing with instrument tilt and temperature sensors (Antoine et al., 2017). The instruments were mounted vertically on an aluminum frame, with the radiometer orientated upwards and turbidity sensor downwards. The frame was designed to sit vertically in the water column using polystyrene floats and was made slightly negatively buoyant using lead weights. Sampling involved holding the instrument frame at 0.5 m depth in plumes created by the dredging activities (Figure 2B) and then allowing the instrument frame to drift away from the boat and slowly sink (free-fall) at a rate of 0.5 m/s through the plume recording light and turbidity and depth until it reached the seabed. Sampling rates were up to 5 HZ with 20–250 samples taken per cast depending on depth. A reference USSIMO radiometer was also positioned on the mast of the survey vessel and was used to identify reductions in light during the profile as a result of intermittent clouds (see below). Profiles were conducted in the shipping channel and turning basin and in shallower water either side of the channel (n = 76 sites, depths of up to 12 m) in the spoil ground area (n = 12 sites, depths up to ~11–13 m) beside Geoffrey Bay reef (n = 3 sites depths) and outside of Cleveland Bay (n = 3 sites, depths of 16–21 m) (Figure 1).

At the start of each profile a water sample was collected using a Niskin™ bottle (General Oceanics, Miami, Florida, US) and triplicate water samples were drawn from the Niskin bottle and subsequently filtered onto Whatman (Cytiva, Marlborough, MA, USA) 47 mm GF/F filters (nominal pore size 0.7 μm), using 100 mL of distilled water to rinse the container, filter funnel and filter pads of salts. Filters were then dried overnight in a 65°C oven and weighed with a precision balance (capable of weighing to 0.0001 g) and used to generate the relationship between SSC and turbidity (NTU).

**Light Exposure Experiments**

Studies examining the effect of light quantity and quality on the branching coral *Porites lutea* (Ellis and Solander, 1786) were conducted at the National Sea Simulator (SeaSim) aquarium facilities at the Australian Institute of Marine Science (AIMS, Townsville), using corals collected from 3–5 m depth from the Palm Islands, central GBR (Figure 1). Fragments from four colonies were collected using a mallet and chisel and transported to the SeaSim where they were further fragmented (~5–9 cm length) and glued onto numbered aragonite plugs. Fragments were left to recover for 1 month in 1,200 L holding tanks with flow-through seawater maintained at 27°C, 36 PSU salinity, and under a 12–h light: dark (L:D) cycle of ascending and decreasing light levels, equivalent to a DLI of 6 mol photons m⁻² d⁻¹. Throughout the holding and experimental periods, an enriched *Artemia* spp. (targeted concentration in tanks of 0.5 nauplii mL⁻¹) and a mix of microscopic algae (2,000 cells mL⁻¹) were fed daily.

Utilising the same tank system, control mechanisms and custom-made LED light fittings as recently described in Luter et al. (2021), corals were exposed to four different experimental light scenarios for 28-d. Experiments were conducted at 27°C and the individual 1200 L tanks received fresh seawater at a rate of 2,500 mL min⁻¹ resulting in 3 complete turnovers per day. Each scenario consisted of either a high or low light level in combination with a “normal” or “green-yellow shifted” spectrum (see Results section, Figure 9). There were two replicate tanks for each scenario, with a total of eight fragments per treatment (n=4 per tank, representing each genotype). Unlike Luter et al.
(2021), no suspended sediments were added to the tanks during the exposure period.

All corals were photographed and weighed, using the buoyant weight method (Spencer Davies, 1989), at the start and end of the experiment. Following the 28-d exposure, coral tissue was removed by air blasting in 30 mL of 0.5 μm filtered seawater. The resulting tissue slurry was homogenised for 60 s, the exact volume recorded, and aliquots taken for symbiotic dinoflagellate density (1 mL, fixed in 10% buffered formalin), pigments (1 mL) and lipid (10 mL) analyses. The surface area of corals was determined using the wax-dip method (Stimson and Kinzie, 1991). To determine symbiotic dinoflagellate density, a volume of 0.4 mm³ from each aliquot was counted six times using a Neubauer haemocytometer (LO - Laboroptik Ltd, Lancing UK) containing 8 μL of homogenised solution.

To determine pigment concentrations, algal pellets from coral blastate samples were weighed and ground with a small amount of 100% acetone using a glass mortar and pestle, settled in ice. The ground blastate was transferred to a 10 mL centrifuge tube, vortexed for 30 s and sonicated in an ice-water bath for 20 min in the dark, followed by an overnight incubation (~15 h) at 4°C in the dark. Extracts were then centrifuged at 2,500 rpm for 5 min at −2°C and transferred to 10 mL volumetric flasks using Pasteur pipettes. Three mL of 100% acetone was added to each sample before repeating the vortex and sonication steps. The second extract was left for 2 h before centrifugation, and then both extracts were combined. One mL of water was added to each flask and then made up to 10 mL with 100% acetone to render a final extract of 90% acetone:water. Sample extracts required dilution with 90% acetone:water to have a concentration within the linear range of the standard calibration for all pigments. The final extract was filtered through a 0.2 μm membrane filter (Whatman, anatope) prior to analysis by HPLC (high performance liquid chromatography) using a Waters Alliance HPLC system (Waters, USA), comprising a 2695XE separations module with column heater and refrigerated autosampler and a 2996 photo-diode array detector. A detailed description of the HPLC method can be found in Clementson (2013). Pigments were identified by retention time and absorption spectrum from a photo-diode array (PDA) detector and concentrations of pigments were determined from commercial and international standards (Sigma; DHI, Denmark). Total lipids and lipid classes were identified by extracting freeze-dried blastate samples following the procedures outlined in Luter et al. (2021), with lipid classes determined using the Iatroscan MK 6’s thin layer chromatography flame ionisation detector (Mitsubishi Chemical Medience, Tokyo, Japan). Detailed methods of lipid extraction and quantification procedures can be found in Conlan et al. (2017).

Generalised linear mixed models (GLMM) were used to examine the strengths of treatment effects and assess the differences between individual light scenario treatments. Individual GLMMs were fit for each of the response variables assessed. Since corals can both grow and shrink, a Gaussian distribution was used for growth (buoyant weight expressed as % of initial weight) as this variable can theoretically take positive and negative values and was normally distributed, whereas a Gamma distribution was used for zooxanthella density (symbiont density), pigment concentration (μg Chl a cm⁻²), total lipids and the ratio of storage to structural lipids, as these variables were continuous on the scale of >0. The glmer function in the R package lme4 (Bates et al., 2015) was used to fit the models using tank as a random effect. Relative model weights (Burnham and Anderson, 2002) were calculated using AICc values for the model including the treatment effect and a null model including only the random tank effect. To compare individual treatment effects a Bayesian approach based on posterior contrasts was used. Integrated nested Laplace approximation (INLA) for approximating posterior distributions (see Rue et al., 2009) was used to fit Bayesian models of the equivalent GLMM design. A posterior probability similarity of 0.95% or greater was used to identify statistically similar groupings.

RESULTS

Turbidity and Light (Spectrum) Time Series

Over the 31-d deployment, which included the austral winter solstice on 21 June, the maximum instantaneous above water (surface) light levels varied more than 2-fold, from 750 μmol quanta m² s⁻¹ during an overcast day on June 11 to ~1,600 μmol quanta m² s⁻¹ during a series of cloud-free days (Figure 3A). The maximum daily instantaneous underwater PAR varied over an order of magnitude from <10 μmol quanta m² s⁻¹ on 19 June to >150 μmol quanta m² s⁻¹ on 11 days of the deployment 17–21 June (Figure 3A).

In the first week of June a 7-d natural turbidity event occurred caused by a period of increased wind speeds (data not shown), and average daily nephelometry-derived SSCs (based on a NTU to SSC conversion in Figure 6C) reached ~10 mg L⁻¹ (including an SSC of 55 mg L⁻¹ at 05:30 h on 1 June) (Figure 3B) compared to normal background SSCs of 1–2 mg (Waterhouse et al., 2021).

To examine the effects of elevated water turbidity and clouds on the underwater spectral distributions, wavelength specific underwater irradiance values were averaged over a 2 h period centred on solar culmination each day and standardised to a mean of zero and standard deviation of 1. The standardised profiles showed considerable variability but mostly in the shorter blue and blue/green wavelengths (415, 455, 485, and 515 nm) (Figure 3C).

Inspection of Figures 3A, B shows the deployment occurred for several days where there was either (1) high or (2) low turbidity in combination with either (3) high or (4) low surface light (caused by the absence or presence of clouds). Figure 3D shows the normalised spectral response of 2 days in each of these 4 combinations, revealing preferential loss of the blue light wavelengths invariably occurred during periods of high turbidity, or expressed another way, notable spectral changes did not occur when absolute underwater light levels were reduced by clouds alone.

Since the spectral changes were associated with a reduction in blue light an index was calculated based on the ratio of blue light (455 nm) to green light (555 nm). This blue/green ration value fell sharply to <0.4 during the week-long turbidity event
returning to values >0.6 when SSC levels fell back to 1 mg L$^{-1}$ (Figure 3E). The PUR:PAR ratio showed similar changes and a 25% reduction during the turbidity peak (Figure 3E) on account of the spectral changes.

**Vertical Water Quality Profiling**

Representative vertical spectral light profiles using the hyperspectral radiometer are shown in Figure 4 for a deeper water site (20 m depth) outside Cleveland Bay (Site A in Figure 1), and a shallower site (8 m depth) beside the coral reef at Geoffrey Bay (Site B in Figure 1). Both sites had very low SSCs (~1 mg L$^{-1}$) at the time of sampling.

In the offshore site, the upper 0.5 m had a relatively even distribution of blue, green and red wavelengths and there was rapid loss of red light with increasing depth to very low values by 8–10 m (Figures 4A,B). Blue light (450–500 nm) was also attenuated but at a lower rate as indicated by the vertically averaged water column attenuation coefficient (Figure 4C). At the seabed at 20 m the peak wavelength was 475 nm in the blue part of the spectrum (Figures 4A,B).

Beside the reef there was also an even distribution of blue, green and red wavelengths in the upper 0.5 m and also a loss of red light with depth (Figures 4E,F). However, as indicated by the increase in the vertically averaged water column attenuation coefficient (Figure 4C).
coefficient (Figure 4F) there was also much greater attenuation of blue wavelengths with depth. Near the seabed (8 m depth), the peak wavelength was 575 nm in the green-yellow part of the spectrum (Figures 4A, B).

Figure 5A shows the normalised (in-water Ed divided by above-water reference Ed) spectral profiles and Figure 5B the vertically average attenuation coefficient at single depth of 3 m for 23 vertical profiles where light was detectable at all wavelengths, including profiles collected outside the bay beyond the 15 m depth contour, in the dredge material placement area (DMPA), in or within 50 m of the Platypus channel, and sites off the reef slope of Geoffrey Bay. A colour-coding scheme based on the mean turbidity (at 3 ± 0.1 m depth) per profile is used to indicate turbidity which ranged from 1 to 11.1 NTU. At increasingly higher turbidity there was loss of light, and also proportionally higher Kd in the shorter blue wavelengths, resulting in an increase in the peak wavelengths from <500 nm to 575 nm. Also shown in Figure 5A is the absorption spectra of the symbiotic dinoflagellates of corals (digitised from Figure 2b in Hennige et al., 2009), indicating light is comparatively poorly absorbed in this part of the spectrum.

Figure 5C shows a principal coordinate analysis (PCO) of the 23 sites based on the hyper-spectral data. Analyses were performed in PRIMER 6 (Plymouth, UK) using Euclidean distances and Spearman Rank correlations to identify wavelengths that contribute most to the differences observed (Figure 5C). The shapes correspond to sampling locations and colour of the shape corresponds to the turbidity, which decreased from the highest values (red; left of the ordination) to the lowest values (green; right of the ordination). The wavelengths contributing most to the shift of samples to the right of the ordination include 490, 510, and 525 nm (blue/green), whereas the wavelengths contributing least include 690, 695, and 700 (red wavelengths) (Figure 5C).

Empirical Spectral Solar Irradiance Model
From the vertical profiles a simple, multiple component empirical optical model was developed to estimate the spectral reduction of underwater light as a function of solar angle, suspended solid concentration and water depth. The first part of the model represented the input solar irradiance based on a number of clear sky, full-day time-resolved above water irradiance measurements from a dynamic above-water radiance and irradiance collector (DALEC) hyperspectral radiometer (Slivkoff, 2014).

For each wavelength (λ), a 3rd order polynomial was fitted to the cosine solar zenith angle (θz) normalised irradiance data, and then converted to subsurface irradiance using a spectrally-independent conversion factor T = 0.965 (Morel and Antoine, 1994; Morel and Maritorena, 2001). The model was then expressed as an equation to generate estimations of the
subsurface irradiance $E_d(-0)$, as a function of solar zenith angle and wavelength (Equation 2).

$$E_d(-0, \lambda, \theta_z) = T \left[C_0(\lambda) + C_1(\lambda) \theta_z + C_2(\lambda) \theta_z^2 + C_3(\lambda) \theta_z^3\right] \cos(\theta_z) \quad (2)$$

The next component of the model incorporated the vertical irradiance and NTU profile measurements in Cleveland Bay, alongside the gravimetric total suspended solids measurements (Figure 6A). Each vertical USSIMO Irradiance profile was normalised by the second in-air reference USSIMO radiometer. All radiometer data was then screened to remove measurements where the cosine collector was tilted $>5^\circ$ from zenith (directly overhead). This typically removed the first 1 m of the vertical profile while the slow-descent instrument frame stabilised. Data from $<0.03\%$ incident irradiance was also removed from processing, which removed near-seabed data and extremely turbid waters where light reductions could not be quantified as a function of water depth.

The exponential Beer-Lambert equation was fitted to the quality-controlled normalised irradiance data for each wavelength and sensor depth $z$ in order to determine the water-column averaged spectral diffuse attenuation coefficients ($K_d$) for each profile.

$$K_d(\lambda) = -\frac{\ln \left[\frac{E_d(\lambda, z)}{E_d(\lambda, NTU)}\right]}{z} \quad (3)$$

Solar angle normalisation of the $K_d$ data was not conducted as profiles were typically performed during high sun angles $<20^\circ$. To match the water column-averaged $K_d$ data, full vertical profile NTU data collected simultaneously to the irradiance data was averaged. The spectrally resolved NTU-specific and residual $K_d$ data was determined using linear regression.

The slope (NTU specific $K_d$) coefficients and the residuals are shown in Figure 6B. The residual spectral shape is strongly influenced by the absorption coefficient of water itself, whereas the spectral shape of the NTU specific coefficients are governed by particulate absorption and scattering (see Slivkoff, 2014).

An estimate of the diffuse attenuation coefficient based on NTU is then expressed as:

$$K_d(\lambda) = K_M(\lambda) \cdot [NTU] + K_C(\lambda) \quad (4)$$
As the goal of the modelling study is to relate light attenuation back to SSCs, a regression relating SSCs to NTU was calculated (Figure 6C). This relationship is used to express a user input TSS into NTU:

\[ NTU = A \cdot [\text{TSS}] + B \]  

(5)

Where \( A = 0.702466 \) and \( B = 0.898 \).

The final model output is determined by substituting Equation 2, 3, and 4 into the Beer-Lambert law:

\[
E_d(z, \lambda, \theta_z) = T \left[ C_0(\lambda) + C_1(\lambda) \theta_z + C_2(\lambda) \theta_z^2 + C_3(\lambda) \theta_z^3 \right] \cos(\theta_z)e^{-[K_M(\lambda) \cdot A(\text{TSS}) + B] + K_C(\lambda)z}
\]  

(6)

The predictive ability of the empirical spectral solar irradiance model developed from the vertical profiling with the hyperspectral radiometer was assessed against the underwater light measurements collected using the in situ multi-spectral radiometer data on the reef at Florence Bay (Figure 3). The assessment was made using an average of measurements at 12:00, 12:15, 12:30 pm, which bracketed the solar culmination of 12:10–12:15 pm over the deployment period. The model is based on clear sky irradiance measurements (Slivkoff, 2014) and the multi-spectral radiometer deployment included many days of clear-sky, cloudy and partially cloudy conditions (Figure 3), representative examples of which are shown in Figure 7A together with predicted surface light from the libRadtran radiative transfer model (Emde et al., 2016). Under a cloud-free (clear-sky) condition the measured surface light levels aligned exactly to the predicted irradiance but was less during a cloudy day (Figure 7A). During a partially cloudy day, surface...
levels were both below and above the light level computed by libRadtran. The higher computed values are overirradiance associated with cloud albedo (see Discussion). Only days when the average measured noon-time irradiance was within 3% of the predicted values were used for the model assessment and a total of 14 days met this criterion. The other model inputs included the solar elevation angle (degrees from vertical, from Geoscience Australia: National Mapping Division), SSC (mg L$^{-1}$, converted from turbidity readings using a multiplier of 1.25) and measured water depth (m) which were also averaged over the noon period.

Of the 14 days the surface noon-time irradiance was $\sim1,500$ µmol quanta m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ (Figure 7B) decreasing slightly with increasing solar elevation (from vertical) to the solstice on 21 June (Figure 7B). Water depth varied over 1.5 m (Figure 7B) and SSCs varied over an order of magnitude from $<1$ to 6 mg L$^{-1}$ (Figure 7B). Underwater light levels varied over an order of magnitude from 13–150 µmol quanta m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ (Figure 7D). Modelled underwater PAR levels correlated very well with the measured values ($R^2$ 0.90, Figures 7C,D).

The empirical spectral solar irradiance model was then used to develop an optical nomograph to graphically represent the underwater PAR levels at depths of 0.5–10 m and at SSC concentrations ranging from 0.5 up to 40 mg L$^{-1}$ on a log scale (Figure 8A) and linear scale (Figure 8B). The solar elevation angle for the analysis was 0° from vertical (i.e., sun directly overhead) and under cloud-free conditions the PAR levels at the depth represent the maximum theoretical instantaneous light levels for

![Figure 8](image-url)
the nominated SSC level (empirically tied to the Florence Bay reef).

At 5 m depth, which is close to the maximum depth distribution of the majority of corals at Magnetic Island and local reefs (Morgan et al., 2016; Ceccarelli et al., 2020), an SSC of 1.5 mg L⁻¹, which is the median background SSCs at the study site (Waterhouse et al., 2021), resulted in a maximum instantaneous light levels of 393 μmol quanta m⁻² s⁻¹ (Figure 8A). At 10 m depth the maximum PAR level was 92 μmol quanta m⁻² s⁻¹ (Figure 8A).

Considering the effect of SSCs, at 1.5 mg L⁻¹ maximum instantaneous PAR levels decreased from 393 μmol quanta m⁻² s⁻¹ to 16 μmol quanta m⁻² s⁻¹ at 10 mg L⁻¹ (Figure 8A). The change in the spectral profiles and preferential loss of blue resulting from change in SSCs is shown as a shift of peak irradiance from 550 nm to 575 nm (Figure 8C) (see Figure 4D for empirical measurements of the spectral profile at 1 mg L⁻¹ at 5 m depth).

Considering the effect of solar elevation, PAR levels at solar noon decreased 30% from 393 μmol quanta m⁻² s⁻¹ at 0° (the maximum elevation at solar noon in the summer time) to 274 μmol quanta m⁻² s⁻¹ at 43° (the lowest elevation at solar noon and occurs in the winter time) (inset graph in Figure 8B).

### Light Exposure Experiments

The solar model was used to generate four light scenarios (S) including 6 mol quanta m² d⁻¹ and 1 mol quanta m² d⁻¹ PAR under either a broad spectrum peaking in the blue wavelengths (S1 and S2 in Figure 9A) or under a ‘green-yellow-shifted’ spectrum (S3 and S4 in Figure 9B). These spectra were then recreated in the aquarium and corals held for 28 d under the 4 different scenarios under a 12 h L:D cycle of ascending and decreasing light level. The scenarios are shown as maximum (noon) instantaneous light and daily light integrals as PAR and also as PUR calculated using equation 1 in Figures 9A,B.

No mortality or partial mortality was observed in P. verrucosa over the experiment. Significant treatment effects were identified for growth rate and symbiont density (AICc oii value of 1 and 0.95, respectively (Table 1) with decreases in the lower light scenarios (S2 and S4) compared to the higher light treatments (S1 and S3). While the higher quantity of light clearly benefited growth, corals exposed to the high light, shifted spectrum scenario (S3) did not grow as much as those in the high light, normal spectra scenario (S1) and had reduced symbiont densities at the end of the exposure period (Figure 9).

Total Chl a concentrations were lowest in the low light treatments (S2 and S4), but also lower in the high light, shifted spectrum (S3) treatment (Figure 9). Conversely, the concentrations of Chl a per cell were highest at the two low light treatments (S2 and S4); however, no significant treatment effect was identified (AICc oii value 0.077, Table 1).

A significant variation in both the amount of total lipids and the ratio of storage to structural lipids was observed between the four light scenarios (Figure 9) although there was more evidence for a treatment effect with lipid ratios (AICc oii value of 0.877 vs. 0.667, respectively). Interestingly, both lipid variables showed similar patterns between light treatments and were most impacted by the low light (S2 and S4) treatments with up to 2-fold decreases observed.

### DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Fine sediments in the water column scatter and absorb light reducing light availability for benthic photoautotrophs. The elevated water turbidity (cloudiness) from enhanced sediment runoff from the land is considered a threat to coastal coral reefs and seagrass ecosystem (ISRS, 2004; GBRMPA, 2019, 2020). Despite concerns in the inshore turbid-zone reefs of the inner Great Barrier Reef dating back to the 1980s (Brodie et al., 2012), very few direct measurements of benthic light have been made there (but see Luter et al., 2021). In this study, spectral changes and preferential loss of more photosynthetically useful blue light was identified in the turbid plumes produced by a dredge, supporting earlier observations of Jones et al. (2016). The same changes were also identified during the natural wind-wave induced turbidity event using in situ multi-spectral sensor. In the turbid-zone coral reefs communities of the inner GBR sediment resuspension events are frequent (Larcombe et al., 1995, 2001; Orpin et al., 1999; Macdonald et al., 2013; Luter et al., 2021). Light reduction by suspended sediment involving shifts to longer, less photosynthetically useful wavelengths is therefore a common and previously unrecognised occurrence in the inshore turbid-zone reefs.

Light profiling in the blue-water environment outside of the turbid bay near the 20 m isobath showed the well-known depth related attenuation of red light resulting in a spectra peaking at 475 nm wavelength (Smith and Baker, 1981; Kirk, 2010). Inside the bay, profiles beside the reef showed similar attenuation of red light with depth but also attenuation of the shorter (blue) wavelengths with increasing depth. Light profiling through the sediment plumes caused by the dredging showed much more pronounced attenuation of the blue wavelengths proportional to suspended sediment concentration. The nett effect of the attenuation at both ends of the PAR spectrum was to monochromate the light with increasing depth, shifting the peak in the underwater spectrum 100 nm to the green-yellow waveband peaking at >575 nm. The shift is a well-known characteristic of turbid water (Van Duin et al., 2001; Mobley et al., 2004; Sundarabalan et al., 2016) and the loss of blue light is significant for corals as green-yellow light is poorly absorbed and hence less useful for photosynthesis (Morel, 1978, 1991). The implications are that sediment resuspension events in the inner GBR can result in a loss of light quantity and quality.

The preferential absorption of red light by pure seawater is well-known (Smith and Baker, 1981), as is the preferential absorption of the blue region which is usually attributed to humic-like and protein-like fluorophores of DOM (i.e., CDOM) produced by the decomposition of plant and animal matter (Bricaud et al., 1981; Jaffé et al., 2008; Hansell and Carlson, 2014; Jerlov, 2014). Known CDOM sources into Cleveland Bay include freshwater input from the many tributaries and creeks entering the bay (Blondeau-Patissier et al., 2009). CDOM sources also include autochthonous production from aquatic primary
FIGURE 9 | (A,B) Modelled (dashed lines) and measured (solid lines) irradiance (µmol quanta m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ nm$^{-1}$) profiles during the 28-d laboratory experiment with the coral *Pocillopora verrucosa*. The spectral profiles mimic light quantity and spectral quality at 5 m depth (cloud-free days) and a zenith angle of 19° (at solar noon) derived from empirical solar irradiance model and correspond to light levels expected under SSCs of 0.5 and 10 mg L$^{-1}$. Numbers in the figures represent the four light treatments used expressed as maximum (12 noon) PAR or PUR as µmol quanta m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, and daily light integral (mol quanta m$^{-2}$) from the sinusoidal ramping up and down over the 12 h light cycle, (C–H) Mean and 95% confidence intervals for each response variable over the 4 light treatments.

producers such as reefs and seagrass beds (Carder et al., 1989; Hansell et al., 2002; Otis et al., 2004), and groundwater and porewater exchange (Webb et al., 2019). Mineral particles are more efficient in scattering light compared with other particulates such as phytoplankton because of their higher refractive indices (Bowers and Binding, 2006). One interpretation of the blue light attenuation signal with elevated SSCs, is that light scattering by mineral NAPs greatly increased the photon pathlength through the water column increasing the probability of absorption of blue light by CDOM (Kirk, 1976, 1985). Binding et al. (2008) have also suggested that the UV–blue absorption signatures could be due to electrochemical adsorption of CDOM onto the mineral surfaces (Wozniak and Dera, 2007).

A different explanation is that the UV–blue light absorption is related to the detrital material and/or to the sediments themselves and the elemental content of the mineral NAP. Babin et al. (2003b) and Babin and Stramski (2004) examined absorption characteristics of different particles of different mineralogical
TABLE 1 | Relative model weights for *P. verrucosa* examining effects of 28 days of varied light scenario (S1–S4).

| Symbiont density | Pigments per cell | Pigments per cm² |
|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| Tr              | 0.951             | 0.077           | 0.988           |
| Null            | 0.049             | 0.923           | 0.012           |

| Total lipids | Lipid ratio | Buoyant Weight |
|--------------|-------------|----------------|
| Tr           | 0.667       | 0.877          | 1               |
| Null         | 0.333       | 0.123          | 0               |

| Symbiont density | Pigments per cell | Pigments per cm² |
|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| Tr              | S1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0.39 0.15 1 0 0 0 0.01 0.02 0 0 0.04 0.21 0 1 |
| S2              | 0 1 0 0.28 0 1 0.03 0.23 1 0 0.04 0 1 |
| S3              | 0 0 1 0.39 0 1 0.23 1 0 |
| S4              | 0 0.28 0 1 0.15 0.03 |

| Total lipids | Lipid ratio | Buoyant weight |
|--------------|-------------|----------------|
| S1           | S2 0 1 0 0.01 0 0 0.39 0.39 0 1 0.01 1 0.21 0 1 |
| S2           | 0 1 0 0.46 0 1 0.39 0.39 0 1 0.01 1 0.21 0 1 |
| S3           | 0 0 1 0.01 0 0 0.01 0 0 1 0 0.02 1 0 |
| S4           | 0.01 0.46 0.01 1 0 0.39 0 1 0 0.02 1 0.21 0 1 |

Model weights are based on AICc comparison between a model with the DLI treatment effect (DLI) and an intercept only "Null" model (Null). Post hoc comparisons were carried out using Bayesian posterior probability contrasts (calculated as the percentage overlap of posterior probabilities densities) between light scenario treatment pairs separately for each response variable.

and elemental composition. Absorption in the visible region was undetectable in pure samples, but blue-green absorption was much higher in sediments with a significant iron content (Babin and Stramski, 2004). The spectral signatures of coastal NAP samples are similar to the absorption spectra of iron oxides or hydroxides (Karickhoff and Bailey, 1973; Sherman and Waite, 1985). It was suggested that Fe⁺³ and Fe⁺² cations on the particle surface or within the mineral lattice were responsible (Karickhoff and Bailey, 1973; Babin and Stramski, 2004; Stramski et al., 2007). Light absorption itself resulted from transfer of an electron from oxygen to iron, between iron and an adjacent cation or transfer to a higher energy orbital within the same iron atom (Babin and Stramski, 2004). The interpretation of iron oxide and hydroxide as the inorganic chromophorous agent of mineral NAPs was also supported by the study of Estapa et al. (2012) of mass-specific light absorption by particulate matter from the terrestrially influenced coast of Louisiana (US).

This explanation is consistent with known mineral distribution in sediments on the GBR. The inshore central regions are significantly influenced by terrigenous run off (Hopley et al., 2007), receiving silicilastic and aluminosilicate clay and silts such as kaolinite, smectite and illite, and silicate sand from point-source fluvial inputs (Belperio, 1983; Belperio and Searle, 1988; Mathews et al., 2007). Al₂O₃ and Fe₂O₃ are the major constituents of such terrigenuously-derived aluminosilicates or oxyhydroxides (Calvert and Pedersen, 1993) and often used as an indicator of terrigenuously-derived sediments on the GBR (Johns et al., 1994). Cleveland Bay is infilled with fluvial sediments from the Ross and Burdekin rivers (Carter et al., 1993) and total Fe content is high: 0.8–3.3% (Coomans and Brunskill, 2000), 0.8–4.8 % (Reichelt and Jones, 1994) and up to 4–5% (Duckworth et al., 2017), consistent with other measurements of iron in inner GBR sediments (Alongi et al., 1993; Johns et al., 1994). The iron content of these sediments are orders of magnitude higher than the carbonate dominated biogenous mineral particles of the mid and outer shelf reefs (Passlow et al., 2005; Mathews et al., 2007; Duckworth et al., 2017).

The significance of the spectral changes under elevated SSCs is they shift the irradiance from photosynthetically useful to less useful wavelengths. In corals the symbiotic dinoflagellates (family Symbiodiniaceae, Lajeunesse et al., 2018) have two major light harvesting complexes, the water soluble peridinin-chlorophyll a-protein (PCP) complex and the membrane bound chl a chl c₂-peridinin-protein complex (acpPC) (Prézelin and Haxo, 1976; Song et al., 1976; Iglesias-Prieto et al., 1991, 1993). The absorption spectrum of *Symbiodinium* PCP is a broad band between 400–550 nm (Iglesias-Prieto et al., 1991; Iglesias-Prieto and Trench, 1994; Niedzwiedzki et al., 2014c). PCP therefore extends the absorption
profile into the blue green (see further below) but the absorption at 550–600 nm is still comparatively weak and this coincides with the peak wavelength of light transmission under elevated SSCs (see Figure 5A).

Morel (1978) first suggested weighting PAR according to usability in photosynthesis introducing the term photosynthetically usable radiation (PUR). The weighting is based on a photosynthetic action spectrum. Action and absorption spectra have been derived for Symbiodiniaceae from isolated and intact corals (Halldal, 1968; Scott and Jitts, 1977; Dustan, 1982; Schlichter and Fricke, 1991; Kuhl et al., 1995; Hennige et al., 2009; Wangpraseurt et al., 2014c). Action and absorption spectra are usually quite similar (Kirk, 2010) and in this study PUR calculations were based on the absorption spectra of isolated algal symbionts from in vivo cultures of multiple different Symbiodiniaceae clades (described in Hennige et al., 2009). The data indicates elevated SSCs can result in as much as 25% decrease in the PUR:PAR as the result of the shift away from the main algal symbiont absorption bands. Functionally similar to the PUR:PAR ratio is the simpler blue to green ratio (B/G, 455:555 nm) ratio used in this study. The decrease in underwater light levels caused by elevated SSCs was accompanied by a decrease in the ratio during the week-long turbidity event (Figure 3E), returning to background levels as the event decayed. In contrast, decreases in underwater light levels caused by clouds (without elevated SSCs) had no spectral effects indicating clouds tend to act more like neutral density filters (Morel, 1991). The implications are that periods of light reduction by turbidity vs. reduction by clouds can be readily identified by the spectral profile and blue green ratio at a site and depth. Such a diagnostic would be useful for contextualising and further understanding the risk of enhanced sediment run-off to the inshore turbid water communities and alleviate the need for a nearby dedicated above water PAR sensor to identify light reduction by clouds.

The empirical, spectral solar irradiance model developed from the wavelength specific light attenuation (constructed from the hyperspectral plume profiling study, Figure 5) accurately predicted benthic PAR measured with the multi-spectral sensor on the reef, using only information on suspended sediment concentration, depth and solar elevation angle (Figures 7C,D). Where improved model accuracy is required, the work of Gregg and Carder (1990) or other radiative transfer models such as MODTRAN (Berk et al., 1989) is recommended. The transmittance factor 0.965 (Morel and Antoine, 1994; Morel and Maritorena, 2001) used to correct for loss by reflection at the sea surface is wavelength independent. Although less suitable for low solar elevations and windy conditions as the transmission becomes more dependent on surface roughness (Austin, 1974; Preisendorfer and Mobley, 1986), the testing of modelled vs. measured data was conducted under clear skies and low wind and low zenith angles around solar noon. The measured surface light levels over the testing period aligned to the predicted surface irradiance from libRadtran for cloud free days; however, during partially cloudy noon periods surface levels were both below and above the predicted surface values. The lower values are intuitive and associated with clouds obscuring the path of the solar beam but the higher than predicted values are less so. We suggest these are examples of cloud enhancement (CE) or overirradiance or irradiance enhancement (Robinson, 1966; Nack and Green, 1974; Pfister et al., 2003; Gueymard, 2017). These cloud enhancement periods are associated with scattering from sides of clouds and strong forward Mie scattering within clouds of low optical depth (Yordanov et al., 2013; Pecenak et al., 2016; Gueymard, 2017). The enhancement periods of elevated light can last for seconds to several minutes and involve up to a 30–60% increases in light (Yordanov et al., 2013, 2015; Almeida et al., 2014). The consequences of these short periods of overirradiance on coral physiology have not been explored. Cloud enhancement at seasonally high light levels could give rise to very high absolute light levels, which in combination with elevated seawater temperatures could result in or at least exacerbate coral bleaching in the photoinhibition model of bleaching (Jones et al., 1998; Hoegh-Guldberg, 1999; Jones and Hoegh-Guldberg, 2001). Interestingly, irrespective of CE effects, cloud seeding and cloud brightening to reduce light levels is currently being tested on the GBR as a local geoengineering solution to decrease solar heating and lower water temperatures to prevent bleaching (McDonald et al., 2019).

Given the success of the empirical, spectral solar irradiance model, the optical nomographs in Figure 8 was produced to graphically display the light levels corals experience in the turbid-zone reefs of the GBR under a range of SSCs, solar elevation and depth conditions. The reefs around Magnetic Island and at Paluma Shoals (a nearshore turbid-zone reef complex 20 km west of Magnetic Island, Figure 1 inset) are known to have highly compressed depth distributions (Browne et al., 2010, 2012; Morgan et al., 2016; Ceccarelli et al., 2020) showing virtual loss of branching, tabular and corymbose corals by ∼5 m depth. Beyond this depth the corals become sparse and by 7 m are largely limited to isolated foliaceous, encrusting and massive growth forms (typically Turbinaria and Montipora spp) (Morgan et al., 2016). Using a scenario where the sun is directly overhead i.e., solar culmination and an angle of 0° from vertical, and under an ambient background SSC of 1.5 mg L−1 (Waterhouse et al., 2021) the maximum instantaneous noon-time PAR at 5 m depth is ∼393 μmol quanta m−2 s−1, or ∼18% of light just under the water surface (estimated as 2,200 μmol quanta m² s⁻¹). At 7 m depth, which is effectively the lower limits for coral presence, the light was 10% of the maximum instantaneous noon-time. This light requirement of the corals in the inshore turbid reef zone communities is the same or slightly higher than minimum light requirement for seagrasses (Duarte, 1991; Dennison et al., 1993; Kenworthy and Fonseca, 1996) which are known to have high light requirements compared to many phytoplankton and macroalgae (1–5% incident light) (Lee et al., 2007a).

The pocilloporid coral *Pocillopora verrucosa* was exposed for 28 d to a 6 or 1 mol quanta m² d⁻¹ light scenario (DLI), each under either a broad spectrum peaking in the blue wavelengths or green-yellow shifted spectrum, mimicking the effect of a 0.5 mg L⁻¹ or 10 mg L⁻¹ SSC at a depth of 5 m (from the spectral solar irradiance model). A pocilloporid was chosen for the experiment as they regarded as light sensitive (Ziegler et al., 2014; Poquita-Du et al., 2019) and primarily phototrophic and with nutritional
needs mostly met by photosynthesis (Wellington, 1982). They are one of the families common in high numbers in clear water on the Great Barrier Reef but restricted to less than a 2 m depth in more turbid settings (Thompson et al., 2018). In laboratory experiments Pocilloporids are sensitive to light reduction, losing their algal symbionts and discoloring or bleaching during low light periods (Bessell-Browne et al., 2017b; Jones et al., 2020; Luter et al., 2021). A DLI of 6 mol quanta m$^{-2}$ of PAR under a green-yellow shifted spectrum, resulted in a striking 50% loss of algal symbionts compared to the same PAR light level under the broad spectrum. Although PAR levels were the same between treatments (6 mol quanta m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$), the PUR levels were 40% lower in the green-yellow light scenario. The low light scenario with a broad light spectrum resulted in an increase in the chlorophyll a concentration of the symbionts indicative of photoacclimation, but nevertheless significant decreases in algal symbiont density to <15% of the higher light broad spectrum occurred. Growth, total lipids and the ratio of storage to structural lipids were also much lower. The lower light green spectrum scenario showed similar losses of the algal symbionts although the photoacclimatory increase in Chl a per algal cell in the low light broad spectrum was not observed and Chl a per cm$^2$ was significantly different between the two low light treatments.

Similar changes in response to reduced quantity of light from turbidity have been described previously for a range of coral species (Bessell-Browne et al., 2017a,b; Jones et al., 2020; Luter et al., 2021) and the cue for the dissociation of the symbiosis was suggested to be a reduction in translocation of algal-derived photosynthesize to the host. The response to the modified quality of light from turbidity has not been described before and emphasises the need to justify and describe the spectrum of artificial light sources used in future laboratory based studies of coral physiology (see also Wangpraseurt et al., 2014c; Luter et al., 2021). These results are preliminary as they are limited to a single species but nevertheless the 50% loss of algal symbionts by the spectral modification and shift from a broad spectrum to greenish light was a strong response. Although the absolute level of light is important, the spectral changes to less photosynthetically useful wavelengths under elevated turbidity also reduces the availability of PAR.

The spectral changes under elevated turbidity are potentially also significant with respect to coral bleaching and the climate change refugia hypothesis of turbid nearshore reefs (Van Woesik et al., 2012). The preliminary experiments of Fitt and Warner (1995) showed that high energy blue light promotes bleaching in cultured algal symbionts. If follows that the preferential attention of higher energy blue light by turbidity and elevated SSCs may also ameliorate the physiological stress to the symbiosis associated with light during a marine heatwave (Sully and Van Woesik, 2020 and references therein). For both an underabundance and overabundance of light in turbid water coral communities, both spectral changes as well as total light availability needs to be considered.

The corals dinoflagellate symbionts (family Symbiodiniaceae) containing peridinin as an accessory pigment (Iglesias-Prieto et al., 1991, 1993) which harvests green wavelengths (470–550 nm) where chlorophyll absorbs very poorly (Polivka et al., 2007). Because of the very high efficiency of Per-Chl-a excitation energy transfer (Song et al., 1976; Carbonera et al., 2014) green wavelengths can still be used for photochemistry albeit much less effectively. This theoretically should lessen the impacts of the spectral changes although clearly not sufficiently enough in the experiment. Further studies of the effects of spectral shifts to yellow-green wavelengths and the use of PUR are recommended, but also need to consider the very different light climate in the intact symbiosis (in symbio) which are known to be substantially different from the ambient incident underwater irradiance regime. Light levels in the tissue can be enhanced from multiple scattering of photons and diffuse back scattering by the aragonite skeleton (Kuhl et al., 1995; Enriquez et al., 2005; Brodersen et al., 2014). Host pigments can also scatter, reflect and absorb and remit light (Schlichter et al., 1986; Salih et al., 2000; Dove et al., 2001; Gilmore et al., 2003; D’Angelo et al., 2008; Smith et al., 2017) and so the optical properties of the host tissue strongly effects light use efficiency with rapid attenuation and spectrally dependent changes (Kuhl et al., 1995; Wangpraseurt et al., 2012, 2014a,b; Szabo et al., 2014; Lichtenberg et al., 2016).

The inner GBR turbid zone reef communities routinely experience natural wind and wave induced resuspension events and hence periods of reduced light levels and, as shown in this study, changes in the underwater light spectrum. The potential threat to these reefs by enhanced sediment run off from the land is well-recognised and changes in light availability to benthic photoautotrophs one of the primary cause effect pathways (GBRMPA, 2019, 2020). However, surprisingly few in situ irradiance intensity measurements have ever been made there (Luter et al., 2021). This seems an omission and the technology is now available to transition to affordable and calibratable in situ multi-spectral light and define the light environment in absolute terms of irradiance intensity—for example DLIs over different periods of time and at different depths (Luter et al., 2021). As shown in the present study, multi-spectral sensors can also allow the partitioning of light reduction by clouds and sediment resuspension providing additional information for a risk assessment of enhanced sediment runoff.

In addition to absorption by CDOM and chlorophyll, the preferential absorption of blue light by NAPS (detrital and mineral) is another potential cause of the green hue of naturally turbid coastal reefs as seen underwater. Similarly, as seen from above, Bowers and Binding (2006) noted moderately turbid seas look green, an effect which is often attributed to algal blooms but could also be attributed to the blue light absorption of low levels of NAPS coupled to red light absorption of water (Claustre et al., 2002). Such an effect may also contribute to the green colouration of river plumes once entering the coastal GBR as seen from ocean colour satellites (Devlin et al., 2012, 2015; Petus et al., 2018).
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