A Cultural Dance Program Improves Hypertension Control and Cardiovascular Disease Risk in Native Hawaiians: A Randomized Controlled Trial
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Abstract

Background Native Hawaiians have higher hypertension (HTN) and cardiovascular disease (CVD) rates than non-Hispanic whites, calling for culturally responsive interventions to close this gap.

Purpose We tested the effects of a 6-month behavioral intervention, a cultural dance program based on hula (the customary dance of Hawai‘i), for improving blood pressure (BP) and CVD risk among Native Hawaiians with uncontrolled HTN.

Methods In a randomized controlled trial, we tested the effects of the hula-based intervention among 263 Native Hawaiians with uncontrolled HTN (systolic ≥ 140 or ≥ 130 mmHg if diabetes) and no CVD at enrollment. All participants received a brief culturally tailored heart health education before random assignment to the hula-based intervention (n = 131) or the education-only waitlist control (n = 132). Intervention received hula lessons and group-based activities for 6 months. Control received only 1-week education through 6 months.

Results Intervention yielded greater reductions in systolic (−15.3 mmHg) and diastolic (−6.4 mmHg) BP and CVD risk among Native Hawaiians with uncontrolled HTN.

Conclusions This trial represents one of the few rigorously conducted examinations of an Indigenous practice leveraged for health promotion, with implications for other ethnic populations.
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Introduction

Hypertension (HTN) affects 45% of the adult population in the USA [1]. It is a major risk factor for coronary heart disease and stroke [2], yet only half of the treated patients have their HTN under control [1]. Compared to non-Hispanic whites, Native Hawaiians, an indigenous US population, are 70% more likely to have HTN and
3–4 times more likely to have coronary heart disease and stroke, respectively [3, 4], and experience stroke an average of 10 years younger due to inadequate HTN control [5–9]. Pharmacologic interventions alone may be suboptimal for HTN control in Native Hawaiians [5, 6] who desire culturally responsive nonpharmacologic interventions [10–12]. The American Heart Association recommends culturally tailored interventions to improve cardiovascular disease (CVD) outcomes [13].

Nonpharmacologic HTN interventions have been focused on diet and/or physical activity [14, 15]. Improving physical activity reduces systolic blood pressure (BP) by 5–10 mmHg and diastolic BP by 1–6 mmHg in persons with HTN [16], comparable to dietary sodium reduction and weight loss [17–19]. Aerobic dance reduces systolic BP by 9–16 mmHg and diastolic BP by 3–5 mmHg [6, 20–23]. A pooled-analysis of 48,390 adults found moderate-intensity exercise and social connectedness [24]. However, these studies were largely conducted with majority populations and based on Western dance forms [13, 25].

Hula is the traditional cultural dance of Hawaii and, despite its misperception as entertainment for tourists, it is the premier cultural practice of Native Hawaiians, connecting them to their native language, history, and natural environment [26, 27]. Kumu hula, or hula masters, are teachers of this tradition. Hula training involves learning rhythmic and synchronized body movements that illustrate the poetry of accompanying songs or chants. There are 203 formal hula schools in Hawai‘i, 619 throughout the continental US, and 455 internationally [28]. Aside from physical activity, the study of hula fosters knowledge of Hawaiian culture and strong social connections with other participants [12, 29]. A metabolic equivalents study showed hula achieved a metabolic equivalents of 5.7 for moderate-intensity and 7.6 for vigorous physical activity [30], consistent with national guidelines [31].

A prior 3-month hula-based HTN intervention called Ola Hou i ka Hula (translated as “restoring health through hula”) was tested in a small-scale randomized trial with 55 Native Hawaiians and Chuukese (Pacific Islanders from Micronesia) with previously uncontrolled HTN (systolic BP ≥ 140 or ≥ 130 mmHg for those with diabetes) [32]. The hula-based intervention included a culturally-tailored heart health education along with weekly hula classes. The intervention group showed a significant improvement in mean systolic BP (~18.3 mmHg) compared to a control group (7.6 mmHg) at 3 months, with 72% of the intervention participants achieving ≥ 10 mmHg reduction in systolic BP compared to 39% of controls. However, these improvements attenuated within 3 months postintervention [33].

In the present research, we conducted a fully powered, randomized controlled trial (RCT) to test the effects of a 6-month version of the Ola Hou i ka Hula program compared to an education-only waitlist control group in improving systolic BP control and 10-year CVD risk in adult Native Hawaiians with previously uncontrolled HTN (i.e., systolic BP ≥ 140 mm Hg). The original 3-month cultural program was expanded to 6 months to improve the long-term maintenance of any positive BP outcomes. We hypothesized the cultural dance program would lead to greater improvements in systolic BP (the primary outcome), diastolic BP, overall HTN control (e.g., achieving a systolic BP<140 mm Hg), and estimated 10-year CVD risk from baseline to 6-month follow-up compared to an education-only waitlist control group. We further hypothesized the intervention group would maintain all improvements at a 12-month follow-up (i.e., 12 months from baseline, but 6 months after intervention cessation).

Methods

Study Design and Oversight

Figure 1 shows the CONSORT diagram of our 2-arm RCT with intervention and an education-only waitlist control group. A waitlist control rather than a concurrent control group was a mandate of our community partners and stakeholders to ensure all participants were eventually offered the hula-based intervention. All participants received the same brief heart health education curriculum before entering their randomly assigned group. We chose this approach to test the unique contribution of the hula intervention on BP above and beyond the effects of heart health education. Delivery of the HTN education to the waitlist control participants represents a highly conservative approach because it tests the effects of the hula-based intervention above and beyond the effect of HTN education.

Our study design and intervention implementation protocol were informed by a community-based participatory research (CBPR) approach described in detail elsewhere [33]. Briefly, CBPR is a necessary approach to conduct intervention research with Indigenous communities, which enhances community ownership, participation, and sustainability [34, 35]. It is also necessary for an intervention that is based on an Indigenous practice that requires cultural knowledge and endorsement [36, 37].

The University of Hawai‘i at Mānoa and the Wai‘anae Coast Comprehensive Health Center Institutional Review Boards approved our study protocol and materials and registered on ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT02620709) on November 23, 2015. An independent data safety monitoring board of five members, among them a physician with clinical trial experience, a cardiologist, and a biostatistician, conducted...
an ongoing review of safety and effectiveness data. Informed consent was obtained from all participants upon enrollment.

**Participants and Sample Size Calculations**

We recruited Native Hawaiians who met the following criteria: (1) physician-diagnosed HTN, (2) systolic BP ≥ 140 mmHg (or ≥ 130 mmHg for those with diabetes), (3) physician approval to participate in moderate physical activity, (4) no prior history of CVD, and (5) age 20 years or older. We excluded females who were pregnant and persons with a physical or mental health condition that would prevent full participation. No prior hula experience was required. The sample size calculation for this trial was based on a systolic BP mean difference of 7.5 mmHg (SD = 16.5) observed in the pilot trial between intervention and waitlist control, resulting in a target of 250 participants (125 per arm) to achieve 90% power for detecting this effect size, accounting for 20% attrition [33].

**Fig. 1.** CONSORT diagram of the randomized clinical trial.
Enrollment and Implementation Sites

Between November 2015 and April 2019, enrollment and implementation occurred at the sites of six community-based organizations across three Hawaiian islands (O’ahu, Maui, and Hawai’i Island). Existing client or patient registries were used to identify prospective participants who were either mailed a flyer describing the study and/or phoned to inform them about the study. Recruitment also occurred via informational booths and health screenings at community events and cultural gatherings. The participating community-based organizations included a Hawaiian Homestead community center (Kula no na Po’e Hawai’i), two federally authorized Native Hawaiian Health Care Systems (Hui no ke Ola Pono and Ke Ola Mamo), a behavioral health service and training program (I Ola Lāhui), a federally qualified health center (Wai’anae Coast Comprehensive Health Center), and an independent health promotion organization (Mauli Ola).

All interested participants were screened for eligibility by a trained community researcher who also obtained informed consent from those eligible and willing to participate. Participants needed to obtain a written clearance from their physicians to participate and to confirm HTN and diabetes diagnosis and the absence of CVD (i.e., coronary artery disease, peripheral vascular disease, myocardial infarction, and ischemic stroke). The physician-clearance form also asked about any prescribed antihypertensive medication (i.e., class and dosage).

Randomization

Randomization was done using an online random assignment calculator by a project coordinator not involved in data collection or delivery of the intervention. Block randomization was done based on cohorts of 16–20 participants to ensure an equal or near-equal (for odd number cohorts) number assigned to the intervention group and control group within each community site over the accrual period, resulting in 14 cohorts in total. Thus, the number of participants randomly assigned to the hula-based intervention consisted of 8–10 participants per cohort with an equal or near-equal number randomly assigned to control per cohort. Participants and research staff involved in baseline data collection were initially blinded to the randomization assignments and unblinded upon completion of the pre-assignment heart health education.

Pre-Assignment Heart Health Education

Following the baseline assessments for each cohort, all participants received three 1-hr lessons of a culturally tailored heart health education curriculum adapted from national cardiology guidelines and modules [32, 38, 39]. The lessons were delivered in-person over 1 week in group settings by a trained community peer educator. The curriculum focused on: (1) signs, symptoms, complications of uncontrolled HTN, and benefits of physical activity, (2) understanding medications, and (3) heart-healthy eating including dietary sodium reduction. Upon completion, participants received their randomization assignments.

Experimental Intervention Group

Participants randomized to the intervention group received the 6-month Ola Hou i ka Hula program in two phases (Supplementary Material 1 summarizes these phases and activities). The first 3 months of the intervention involved 1-hr dance classes taught by the trained hula instructor, two times weekly (a total of 24 classes). All hula instructors completed a 6-hr dance protocol training led by a hula expert and research staff. The design of the 3-month phase was informed by social cognitive theory to leverage the natural social support offered by the hula classes and to improve self-efficacy for behavior change [40]. Specifically, the hula lessons delivered in groups offered the opportunity for participants to engage in observational learning and achieve self-efficacy to make and sustain positive behavior change. More details can be found in Kaholokula et al. [32, 33].

Briefly, the hula classes were delivered in a group setting with hula lessons that emphasized Native Hawaiian values of mālama ʻāina (land stewardship), lōkahi (living harmoniously with others and surroundings), hana pono (socially appropriate behaviors), and aloha (compassion toward others) to promote a positive group environment and social interactions. Also based on traditional hula training, the hula classes involved instructions in dance footwork, upper body movements, and learning poetry, stories, history, Hawaiian language, and meaning of the accompanying songs and chants. These foot and hand movements taught, as well as the tempo of the accompanying chant or music, was selected to accommodate persons of different adult age groups and levels of physical capabilities. Participants were encouraged to memorize the songs/chants and practice independently, or in self-arranged groups, utilizing their notes and those provided during the classes. Each class involved a 5–15-min warm-up period of walking and stretching, followed by dance and cultural instructions, with continuous dancing ranging between 10 and 40 min, and a 3–10-min cool-down period. Over these first 3 months, the classes progressively increased in intensity and duration in continuous dance from 10 min in Week 1 to 40 min by Week 12.
The second 3-month phase of the intervention, called *Ma ka hana ka ’ike* ("by doing one learns’), was designed for long-term maintenance of behavior change. This phase was informed by self-regulation theory [41], an extension of social cognitive theory, to emphasize a goal adoption strategy for sustained behavior change specific to HTN control [42, 43]. Specifically, self-regulation theory emphasizes behavioral goal adoption for self-directed change, implementation of productive actions to achieve goals, and maintenance strategies to promote sustainable change by developing behavioral capability, self-control, and self-efficacy. A total of 12 dance lessons taught by the hula instructor were offered for one 1-hr session each month and participants were encouraged to continue their dance practice at home and elsewhere (e.g., family and cultural gatherings). Consistent with the self-regulation theory, the other three 1-hr per week sessions (for a total of four sessions per month) were facilitated by a trained community peer educator, which included lessons on setting individual goals (i.e., specific, measurable, achievable, relevant, and time-based goals), value identification (e.g., aligning healthy goals to intrinsic values), and time management; brief review of the heart health educational materials with specific goal setting and self-monitoring and problem-solving activities (e.g., dietary sodium reduction); and peer-discussion groups to share experiences, personal strategies, and challenges to BP control. An additional educational lesson on managing negative emotions (e.g., identifying signs of depression, anxiety, and stress; management strategies, such as deep-breathing, seeking social support, and exercise) for emotional impulse control was provided to further develop emotional self-regulation skills.

Intermittent fidelity checks of the intervention classes were conducted to ensure adherence to protocols and the quality of interaction between the hula instructor (or community peer educator) and the participants [44]. All fidelity checks of the hula lessons were done by the study’s hula expert using a standardized checklist and behavioral observation form. Fidelity checks of the other intervention components were performed by a trained research assistant using a standardized form. Immediate feedback was given to the hula instructor or community peer educator by the observer. Refresher training was also offered twice annually to review protocols.

**Education-Only Waitlist Control Group**

After the pre-assignment education sessions, no contact was made with participants randomly assigned to the education-only waitlist control group (referred to as “control group” hereafter) except to schedule the 3- and 6-month follow-up assessments. They were neither restricted nor encouraged to seek out other interventions, education, or help on their own. As with the intervention group, they were instructed to continue with their usual medical care. Participants in the control group were offered the hula-based program following their 6-month follow-up.

**Outcome Measures**

BP (systolic BP and diastolic BP in mmHg) was measured using a digital BP monitor (Omron© HEM-907-XL, Omron Healthcare) [45–47]. Standardized protocols were used, which involved a trained community researcher taking three BP measurements of each participant using appropriate cuff sizes [33, 38, 48]. The participant sat quietly for 5 min before the first BP measurement with feet flat on the floor and the arm in the BP cuff resting on a table at heart level. The average of the last two BP measurements was used for data analyses. Systolic BP was the primary outcome and diastolic BP a secondary outcome. Another secondary BP outcome was overall HTN control based both on systolic and diastolic BP and categorized into the following three HTN stages: <140/90, <135/85, and <130/80 mmHg.

The Framingham Risk Score-CVD (FRS-CVD) calculator was used to estimate the 10-year CVD risk based on guidelines from the American College of Cardiology and the American Heart Association [49]. It estimates the percent risk of a CVD event within the next 10 years and considers age, sex, systolic BP, smoking and diabetes status, and pharmacologic treatment for HTN (yes vs. no). The “White” (vs. “Black”) race option (no other race option available) was used to estimate the percent risk. The FRS-CVD calculator age range is from 30 to 74; participants under 30 were assumed to be 30 and those over 74 were assumed to be 74 for calculations. Age, gender, and smoking status (i.e., never, former, and current smoker) for the FRS-CVD calculator was determined based on responses to a self-report questionnaire. Diabetes status was confirmed by the participants’ healthcare provider at enrollment. To assess total cholesterol and HDL, the Alere Cholestech LDX portable analyzer [50], which requires a finger stick drop of blood, was used [51, 52].

Self-report sociodemographic information, collected only at baseline, were (1) date of birth, (2) gender, (3) marital status, (4) highest educational attainment, (5) Native Hawaiian, and (6) other ethnic ancestries. Medical history and relevant health-related behaviors collected were (1) smoking history, (2) family history of CVD, (3) CVD risk factors (i.e., history of high cholesterol, HTN, and diabetes), and (4) prescribed HTN medications. Participants were asked to bring all of their prescribed medications to all scheduled assessments, but only the HTN medications...
were recorded, including class and dosage. To calculate body mass index (BMI), body weight in kg divided by height in meters squared and weight were measured in kg with an electronic scale (Tanita BWB800AS) and a stadiometer was used to measure the height (baseline only) in cm.

Data collection occurred at baseline, 3 months, and 6 months for all participants by a trained research assistant. Sociodemographic and behavioral measures were completed by the participant while clinical measures were taken of each participant by a research assistant. We included a 3-month follow-up assessment to examine the original 3-month component of the intervention and to determine the relative contribution of each intervention phase. A 12-month data collection (i.e., 12 months from baseline but 6 months after intervention cessation) visit was performed only for the intervention group to assess the maintenance of outcomes. Each participant received a $25 gift card upon completion of each assessment.

Data Analysis

We calculated means (M) and standard deviations (SD) for continuous variables and frequencies and percentages for categorical variables for descriptive data. Baseline differences between the study groups were done using a two-sample t-test or chi-squared or Fisher's exact test. The primary outcome was systolic BP with diastolic BP, HTN stages (<140/90 mmHg, <135/85 mmHg, and <130/80 mmHg), and FRS-CVD risk score as secondary outcomes. For all outcomes analyses, we performed both intention-to-treat (ITT) and complete case (CCA) analyses based on multivariable linear modeling, comparing baseline to 3-month follow-up and 6-month follow-up data. For ITT, we used the last measured BP value or CVD risk score carried forward for missing data as recommended for clinical trials to provide an unbiased estimate of the efficacy of an intervention [53, 54]. We performed multivariable logistic regressions to calculate adjusted relative risk with 95% confidence intervals comparing three HTN stages (<140/90, <135/85, and <130/80 mmHg) between study groups. All outcome analyses (except that for the baseline to 12-month follow-up) adjusted for baseline value of the dependent variable, community site, and any of the measured variables not balanced at baseline. We adjusted for community site given possible variations in protocol adherence and differences in other characteristics unique to each site that could be confounders.

For the intervention group only, we performed the same set of analyses based on linear mixed-effects modeling (with time as a fixed effect) to examine change from 6 to 12 months to determine the maintenance of any BP improvements. We also calculated and compared retention between groups at 3 and 6 months and examined the correlation between the number of lessons attended for intervention participants and the degree of BP changes at 3, 6, and 12 months. The number of participants within each study group who were prescribed each class of HTN medication was also examined using the Cochran-Armitage Test for trend from baseline to 3 and 6 months. All analyses were performed using R version 3.6.0 (R Core Team, 2019) and SAS 9.4 using a probability value of <.05.

Results

Baseline Characteristics of Participants

We enrolled 263 participants (131 assigned to intervention and 132 to control). The balance was achieved in BP and other measured variables, except for weight (kg) for which the control was slightly heavier (Table 1). The average number of prescribed antihypertensive medication classes was balanced. A majority were female, but gender was evenly distributed.

Retention and Adverse Events

Retention at the 3 months was better for intervention at 96% compared to control at 88% (p = .02). At 6 months, it was 80% and 85% (p = .40), respectively, and 77% at 12 months for intervention. There were no adverse events reported.

Comparison of Primary and Secondary BP Outcome

Based on ITT, the intervention had a significantly greater reduction in systolic BP at 3 and 6 months than control (Table 2). Systolic BP reduced an average of −14.7 and −15.3 mmHg for intervention versus −11.5 and −11.8 mmHg for control at 3 and 6 months, respectively. The mean group-difference in systolic BP was 3.6 mmHg (95% CI: −6.0, −1.2) at 3 months and 3.9 mmHg (95% CI: −6.4, −1.4) at 6 months. CCA yielded similar systolic BP outcome at 6 months (Table 2), with a mean group-difference of 5.7 mmHg (95% CI: −7.1, −2.0).

Based on ITT, the intervention also had a significantly greater reduction in diastolic BP at 3 and 6 months compared to control (Table 2). Diastolic BP decreased an average of −6.7 and −6.4 mmHg for intervention versus −3.3 and −2.6 mmHg for control at 3 and 6 months, respectively. The mean group difference in diastolic BP was 3.5 (95% CI: −4.9, −2.2) at 3 months and 4.0 (95% CI: −5.7, −2.2) at 6 months. CCA yielded the same positive diastolic BP outcome at 6 months (Table 2), with a mean group-difference of 4.6 mmHg (95% CI: −7.0, −2.3).
Association between Weight Change and Systolic BP Outcome

We examined the association between weight and systolic BP changes at 6 months. Pearson correlation coefficients between body weight change (kg) and systolic BP change was \( r = .05 \) (\( p = .58 \)) for intervention and \( r = .12 \) (\( p = .22 \)) for control. CCA yielded no significant difference in weight loss between intervention (\( M = -0.15 \) kg; \( SD = 3.22 \)) and control (\( M = -0.48 \) kg; \( SD = 5.50 \)) at 6 months \( t(179) = .54, p = .59 \). ITT yielded similar nonsignificant results.

Table 1  Baseline characteristics of participants by study group

| Characteristic* | Intervention (N=131) | Waitlist Control (N=132) | \( p \) value† |
|-----------------|----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|
| Age (years)     | 58.1 ± 13.7          | 57.9 ± 12.6              | 0.88           |
| Female          | 111 (84.7)           | 109 (82.6)               | 0.64           |
| Community site  |                      |                          | 0.56           |
| Site 1          | 7 (5.3)              | 10 (7.6)                 |                |
| Site 2          | 44 (33.6)            | 44 (33.3)                |                |
| Site 3          | 18 (13.7)            | 16 (12.1)                |                |
| Site 4          | 12 (9.2)             | 8 (6.1)                  |                |
| Site 5          | 20 (15.3)            | 14 (10.6)                |                |
| Site 6          | 30 (22.9)            | 40 (30.3)                |                |
| Education level |                      |                          | 0.97           |
| Less than high school | 1 (0.8) | 1 (0.8)                  |                |
| High School     | 50 (38.5)            | 48 (36.9)                |                |
| Some college    | 51 (39.2)            | 50 (38.5)                |                |
| College         | 28 (21.5)            | 31 (23.8)                |                |
| Marital status  |                      |                          | 0.13           |
| Never married   | 29 (22.1)            | 27 (20.5)                |                |
| Currently married | 50 (38.2) | 66 (50.0)                |                |
| Disrupted marital status | 52 (39.7) | 39 (29.5)                |                |
| Other medical conditions |          |                          |                |
| High cholesterol| 64 (48.9)            | 69 (52.3)                | 0.58           |
| Diabetes        | 52 (39.7)            | 66 (50.0)                | 0.09           |
| Heart condition | 3 (2.3)              | 6 (4.5)                  | 0.50           |
| Other medical conditions | 18 (13.7) | 25 (18.9)                | 0.25           |
| No other medical condition | 36 (27.5) | 28 (21.2)                | 0.24           |
| Prescribed HTN medication | 114 (87.0) | 113 (85.6) | 0.74 |
| No. of HTN medications | 1.31 ± 0.88 | 1.40 ± 0.87 | 0.37 |
| Smoking history |                      |                          | 0.10           |
| Never           | 69 (52.7)            | 79 (59.8)                |                |
| Former          | 44 (33.6)            | 45 (34.1)                |                |
| Current         | 18 (13.7)            | 8 (6.1)                  |                |
| Weight, kg      | 93.5 ± 19.0          | 99.7 ± 28.1              | 0.04           |
| Height, cm      | 163.6 ± 7.8          | 164.4 ± 9.3              | 0.48           |
| Body-mass-index, kg/m² | 34.9 ± 6.4 | 36.7 ± 9.3 | 0.07 |
| Systolic blood pressure, mmHg | 144.1 ± 16.9 | 145.1 ± 13.9 | 0.60 |
| Diastolic blood pressure, mmHg | 85.2 ± 12.6 | 84.5 ± 10.4 | 0.64 |
| High-density lipoproteins, mg/dL‡ | 48.8 ± 14.6 | 46.5 ± 15.1 | 0.24 |
| Total cholesterol, mg/dL‡ | 174.0 ± 31.4 | 177.3 ± 42.3 | 0.48 |

* Data are shown as mean ± standard deviation or number (percentages); numbers for some variables may not equate to total sample size because of missing data.
† Group difference \( p \) values based on two-sample independent \( t \)-tests and Chi-squared or Fisher’s exact tests, as appropriate.
‡ Sample size reduced to 247 for high-density lipoproteins (123 intervention; 124 control) and 250 for total cholesterol (126 intervention; 124 control) due to unreliable data.
Table 2  Comparison of blood pressure (BP) outcomes from baseline to 3- and 6-month follow-up between intervention and control group

| Outcome       | Baseline to 3 months                                      | Baseline to 6 months                                      |
|---------------|----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
|               | N  | Baseline M ± SD | 3-month M ± SD | Within-group difference M ± SD | Between-group difference (95% CI) | p* | N  | Baseline M ± SD | 6-month M ± SD | Within-group difference M ± SD | Between-group difference (95% CI) | p* |
| Systolic BP   |     |                |                |                             |                                |    |     |                |                |                             |                                |    |
| Intention-to-treat |   | 131  | 144.1 ± 16.9 | 129.4 ± 16.4 | -14.7 ± 19.0 | -3.6 (-6.0, -1.2) | 0.04 | 131  | 144.1 ± 16.9 | 128.9 ± 16.3 | -15.3 ± 18.8 | -3.9 (-6.4, -1.4) | 0.04 |
| Control       | 132  | 145.1 ± 13.9 | 133.6 ± 19.1 | -11.5 ± 19.1 | -2.6 (-5.4, 0.1) | 0.17 | 132  | 145.1 ± 13.9 | 133.3 ± 18.7 | -11.8 ± 19.5 | -5.7 (-8.5, -2.8) | 0.01 |
| Complete cases | 126  | 144.3 ± 17.1 | 129.0 ± 16.5 | -15.3 ± 19.1 | 127  | 144.2 ± 17.3 | 132.6 ± 17.0 | -11.5 ± 18.1 | -4.6 (-6.6, -2.5) | 0.01 |
| Intention     | 116  | 144.2 ± 13.3 | 131.2 ± 18.1 | -13.1 ± 19.8 | 126  | 144.1 ± 12.8 | 128.0 ± 12.3 | -6.1 ± 11.9  | -3.6 (-5.9, -1.3) | 0.02 |
| Control       | 112  | 144.2 ± 13.3 | 131.2 ± 18.1 | -13.1 ± 19.8 | 112  | 144.2 ± 12.8 | 128.0 ± 12.3 | -6.1 ± 11.9  | -3.6 (-5.9, -1.3) | 0.02 |
| Diastolic BP  |     |                |                |                             |                                |    |     |                |                |                             |                                |    |
| Intention-to-treat |   | 131  | 85.2 ± 12.6 | 78.5 ± 13.0 | -6.7 ± 12.6 | -3.5 (-4.9, -2.2) | 0.04 | 131  | 85.2 ± 12.6 | 78.8 ± 10.9  | -6.4 ± 11.8 | -4.0 (-5.7, -2.2) | 0.02 |
| Control       | 132  | 84.6 ± 10.4 | 81.2 ± 12.6 | -3.3 ± 10.9 | -3.3 (-4.8, -1.8) | 0.08 | 132  | 84.6 ± 10.4 | 81.9 ± 11.3  | -2.6 ± 11.3 | -4.6 (-6.6, -2.5) | 0.01 |
| Complete cases | 126  | 85.1 ± 12.7 | 78.2 ± 13.1 | -7.0 ± 12.8 | 126  | 85.5 ± 12.5 | 78.1 ± 10.1  | -7.4 ± 11.7 | -4.6 (-6.6, -2.5) | 0.01 |
| Intention     | 116  | 84.4 ± 10.7 | 80.6 ± 13.0 | -3.8 ± 11.6 | 112  | 84.8 ± 10.6 | 81.8 ± 10.8  | -2.9 ± 11.9 | -4.6 (-6.6, -2.5) | 0.01 |
| Control       | 112  | 84.8 ± 10.6 | 81.8 ± 10.8 | -2.9 ± 11.9 | 112  | 84.8 ± 10.6 | 81.8 ± 10.8  | -2.9 ± 11.9 | -4.6 (-6.6, -2.5) | 0.01 |

*p values are based on between-group comparisons using a multivariable linear regression model with the BP change (follow-up value minus baseline value) as the dependent variable, adjusting for the baseline BP value, weight (kg), and community site. Intervention effect estimates are the difference in means and 95% confidence intervals (CI), net same adjustments.
Comparison of Hypertension Stages

A greater number of intervention versus control participants improved their BP stages at 6 months (Table 3). Most notable is that, based on ITT, 42.7% of intervention participants achieved <130/80 mmHg compared with 21.2% of control participants (RR = 1.8, 95% CI: 1.4, 2.2), which was similar for CCA (see Table 3).

Comparison of Estimated 10-Year CVD Risk

Cholesterol data from 21 participants (11 intervention and 10 control) were excluded from analyses due to unreliable readings at baseline. Table 1 shows the HDL and total cholesterol baseline data and Supplementary Material 2 summarizes the data between baseline to 6 months. ITT indicated that intervention achieved a significantly greater reduction in estimated 10-year CVD percent risk at 6 months compared to control (Table 4). The FRS-CVD yielded an average of −4.9% for intervention versus −2.7% for control, with a mean group-difference of 2.8% (95% CI: −4.1, −1.4). CCA yielded similar results, with an average of −6.4% for intervention and −2.8% for control, with a mean difference of 4.3% (95% CI: −6.0, −2.5).

Postintervention Maintenance of BP and CVD Risk Outcomes

For the intervention participants, there was a small, nonsignificant mean increase from 6 to 12 months in systolic BP of 1.5 mmHg (SD = 14.5) and diastolic BP of 0.8 mmHg (SD = 9.2) based on ITT (N = 101). A majority of the intervention participants remained below 140/90 mmHg (69.5%) and 135/85 mmHg (55%), with 38.2% below 130/80 mmHg. CCA yielded similar BP results at 12 months. Based on ITT (N = 120) and CCA (N = 74), intervention showed a small, nonsignificant FRS-CVD mean risk change of 0.74% (SD = 5.8; p = .34) and 0.30% (SD = 6.3; p = .51) at 12 months, respectively.

Intervention Exposure-Response Association

The average number of lessons attended by intervention participants was 14.2 (SD = 7.0; maximum lessons = 24).

Table 3  Comparison of three hypertension stages at 6-month follow-up between intervention and control group

| Hypertension stage | Intervention | Control | Relative risk (95% CI) | p* |
|--------------------|--------------|---------|------------------------|----|
| Intention-to-treat  | N = 131      | N = 132 |                        |    |
| <140/90 mm Hg      | 94 (71.8%)   | 81 (61.4%) | 1.1 (0.9, 1.3)       | 0.13|
| <135/85 mm Hg      | 74 (56.5%)   | 55 (41.5%) | 1.3 (1.1, 1.5)       | 0.02|
| <130/80 mm Hg      | 56 (42.7%)   | 28 (21.2%) | 1.8 (1.4, 2.2)       | <0.001|
| Complete cases     | N = 105      | N = 112 |                        |    |
| <140/90 mm Hg      | 78 (74.3%)   | 70 (62.5%) | 1.2 (1.0, 1.3)       | 0.04|
| <135/85 mm Hg      | 61 (58.1%)   | 47 (42.0%) | 1.4 (1.1, 1.6)       | <0.001|
| <130/80 mm Hg      | 47 (44.8%)   | 23 (20.5%) | 2.0 (1.5, 2.5)       | <0.0001|

Data are shown as number (%) within-group and relative risk (95% confidence intervals) between-group.

* p values are based on between-group comparisons using a multivariable logistic regression model, adjusting for baseline systolic BP, weight (kg), and community site. Intervention effect estimates are relative risk and 95% confidence intervals (CI), net same adjustments.

Table 4  Comparison of 10-year cardiovascular disease risk based on the Framingham Risk Score—Cardiovascular Disease (FRS-CVD) calculator from baseline to 6-month follow-up between intervention and control group

| FRS-CVD   | N† | Baseline M ± SD | 6-month M ± SD | Within-group change M ± SD | Intervention effect (95% CI) | p* |
|-----------|----|-----------------|----------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|----|
| Intention-to-treat | 120 | 19.6% ± 15.8 | 14.5% ± 10.8 | −5.2% ± 10.9 | −2.8 (−4.1, −1.4) | 0.02|
| Control   | 122 | 19.9% ± 14.4 | 17.4% ± 15.3 | −2.5% ± 9.5   |                               |    |
| Complete cases | 120 | 19.6% ± 15.8 | 14.5% ± 10.8 | −5.2% ± 10.9 | −2.8 (−4.1, −1.4) | 0.02|
| Intervention | 87  | 21.0% ± 16.3 | 14.3% ± 9.6  | −6.7% ± 10.8 | −4.3 (−6.0, −2.5) | <0.01|
| Control   | 97  | 19.2% ± 13.7 | 16.6% ± 14.6 | −2.6% ± 9.5   |                               |    |

*p values are based on between-group comparisons using a multivariable linear model with the prevent risk change (follow-up value minus baseline value) as the dependent variable, adjusting for baseline weight (kg), and community site. Intervention effect estimates are the difference in means and 95% confidence intervals (CI), net same adjustments.

†Sample size reduced due to missing cholesterol data at baseline.
for the first 3-month phase, 6.6 (SD = 4.0; maximum lessons = 12) for the second 3-month phase, and 21.8 (SD = 9.5; maximum = 36) over the 6-month intervention period. A majority of participants attended at least two-thirds of the sessions. We found no significant association between the number of lessons attended and changes in systolic BP within any of the two intervention phases (r = −.08, p < .37; r = .05, p < .61) or in combination (r = −.14, p < .17).

**Antihypertensive Medication**

To account for any medication changes during the study, we compared antihypertensive medications between and within groups. **Supplementary Material 3** shows the class and distribution of antihypertensive medications across groups at baseline and each follow-up assessment. We found no significant changes in the distribution of the participants’ antihypertensive medication classes from baseline to 6 months based on the Cochran-Armitage test for trend analysis of CCAs and no significant changes at 12 months for intervention participants. We also found no significant change from baseline in the average number of antihypertensive medications between intervention and control at 3 months (M = −.04, SD = .64 and M = −.11, SD = .72, respectively, p = .38) and at 6 months (M = −.15, SD = .73 and M = −.11, SD = .73, respectively, p = .66).

**Discussion**

Our study is one of the first RCT of a cultural practice leveraged to address CVD disparities in an Indigenous population. Supporting our primary hypothesis, the 6-month cultural dance program based on hula was superior to control in reducing systolic BP among Native Hawaiians with previously uncontrolled HTN. Supporting our secondary hypotheses, the intervention was also superior to control in improving diastolic BP, HTN stage, and 10-year risk for CVD. We also found that the intervention participants maintained these improvements at 12 months, as hypothesized. These BP improvements are not likely due to changes in weight or antihypertensive medication. Also worth noting is the high retention of participants in both the intervention and control group at 6 months, 80% and 85%, respectively, and 77% at 12 months for intervention, which exceeds national recommendations (≥70%) for attrition in RCTs as a criterion in judging the effectiveness of an intervention [55].

Although the mean systolic BP differences of 3.9 mmHg (ITT) and 5.7 mmHg (CCA) between intervention and control participants could be termed modest effect sizes, they represent the effects of the hula program over and above the culturally tailored heart health education provided upfront to all. This assumption is supported by the fact that the mean difference in systolic BP in our pilot trial of the original 3-month version of our hula-based program was greater than what we found in this larger trial at 3 months. This may be because the waitlist control group in the pilot trial did not receive any culturally tailored heart health education or any other attention. Thus, the coupling of the hula-based program with the culturally tailored heart health education, as originally designed, yields significantly greater benefits than heart health education alone, and arguably above and beyond usual care as well, given that all participants needed to be under physician care to qualify for participation.

The overall systolic BP improvements of −15.3 mmHg (ITT) and −16.9 mmHg (CCA) yielded by the 6-month hula-based program, which includes the heart health education, is sizable compared to other nonpharmacologic interventions [56]. Other aerobic dance interventions show an average systolic BP improvement of −12 mmHg [20]. Traditional physical activity-based interventions improve systolic BP by −5 and −10 mmHg [16, 57]. Dietary interventions improve systolic BP by −6 and −11 mmHg [58, 59]. Weight loss interventions produce systolic BP improvements of −3 to −8 mmHg [60]. Prior research shows a 5 mm Hg reduction in systolic BP can lower a person’s risk for ischemic heart disease by 21%, stroke by 34%, and all-cause mortality by 7% [61, 62].

To examine the clinical significance of the BP improvements, we investigated three HTN stages based on clinical guidelines in place at the start of our study in 2014 [63] and the new guidelines in 2017 [64]. A BP of less than 140/90 mmHg is the recommended target for individuals with HTN but without diabetes and CVD, and less than 130/80 mmHg for those with diabetes [64]. We found that participants of our hula-based cultural dance program were 80% more likely to achieve a BP stage below 130/80 mmHg than control participants, 43% versus 21%, respectively. At 12 months, the intervention group maintained these improvements with a 70% remaining under 140/90 mmHg.

Using the FRS-CVD to estimate the 10-year CVD risk, a validated and popular CVD risk calculator [49], we found nearly a two times greater decrease in 10-year CVD risk for the intervention participants than those in the control condition, but we interpret these results with caution. The FRS-CVD was validated primarily with non-Hispanic whites and blacks, and no validation studies included Native Hawaiians or other Pacific Islanders. The FRS-CVD may underestimate the 10-year CVD risk among Indigenous populations [65]. Although, compared to other CVD risk calculators, the FRS-CVD better predicts CVD risk in other Indigenous populations [66]. Still, caution should be taken in interpreting...
our findings on CVD risk until further data are available regarding the clinical utility of the FRS-CVD calculator, or any other CVD risk calculator for that matter, with Native Hawaiian and other Pacific Islander populations. The BP improvements in our study do not appear to be due to weight loss or changes in antihypertensive medication. The high BMI among our study participants is consistent with the prevalence of obesity among Native Hawaiians in general (43%) [67]. It is well-established that exercise interventions for HTN management can produce significant reductions in BP independent from changes in body weight [68, 69]. The possible mechanism for which exercise, independent of weight loss, improves BP includes a reduction in vascular resistance, arterial resistance, and sympathetic activity and improvement in endothelial function, baroreflex sensitivity, and sodium handling. [68–70]. It is also unlikely that the BP improvements in our study were due to any changes in antihypertensive medication from baseline to 6-month follow-up. About 86% of our participants in both the intervention and control were on antihypertensive medication at baseline, and there were no notable changes in these medications prescribed during the 6-month intervention period within or across study groups.

Another possible explanation for the BP improvements we found, aside from the benefits of exercise, might be due to the socio-cultural aspects of hula, especially in light of our finding that the number of hula lessons received was not associated with BP outcomes. Although not found here, a dose-effect has been found in other trials of lifestyle intervention with Native Hawaiians [46, 48]. Thus, the benefits of our cultural dance program may be due in part to socio-cultural aspects, such as activating Native Hawaiian collective values and forming social relationships with other group members, which are not dose-dependent. The hula lessons were group-based and emphasized positive interactions with the other group members. For example, our preliminary examination of the 3-month version of the hula-based program found a significant inverse association between systolic BP and social functioning [32] and a decrease in perceptions of racism among the intervention participants [33]. Other studies have found an association between higher social support and lower BP [71, 72] and better HTN control [73, 74] in other populations. A combination of increased physical activity, positive social interactions, and factors related to promoting a positive cultural identity possibly contributed to BP improvements found among the intervention participants of this study. Further studies are needed to elucidate the mediators of the intervention effects on BP to better understand the relative influence of physical activity and socio-cultural factors.

Our application of self-regulation theory [41] to improve long-term maintenance of HTN-related behavior changes appears successful and consistent with previous HTN studies [42, 43, 75]. The long-term maintenance of health-promoting behaviors, such as regular physical activity, associated with positive BP outcomes is often challenging for many people who return to baseline BP levels within 6 months postintervention [76]. Our 12-month results suggest otherwise for our cultural dance program, and that activities based on self-regulation theory can help with long-term positive behavior change. Again, further studies of our hula-based intervention are necessary to tease out the effects of factors related to self-regulation, socio-cultural factors, and physical activity on BP.

One limitation worth noting about our trial is the relatively low number of male participants who represented only 16% of our entire sample. We made a special effort to recruit men including having a male hula instructor and having Native Hawaiian male community leaders of culturally based programs promote and refer potentially eligible men to our study. Although this strategy contributed to the number of men enrolled in our study, we did not achieve a gender-balance. Our challenge in recruiting Native Hawaiian men was not due to eligibility issues, but most likely due to a lack of interest or willingness to participate in an intervention and/or the lack of male community researchers and educators on the project. A majority of community health workers and advocates are female in the Native Hawaiian community. It may also be that the practice of hula is more attractive to Native Hawaiian females than their male counterparts, even though hula, traditionally, was a ubiquitous practice among men [27]. There are also many all-male hula schools in Hawai'i. However, the overrepresentation of Native Hawaiian females in a clinical trial is not unusual. Our prior RCTs of other lifestyle interventions with Native Hawaiians also resulted in a greater than 80% female participation [45, 46, 77]. An investigation into the reasons why Native Hawaiian men are less likely to participate in RCTs is necessary to improve their recruitment into future trials of lifestyle interventions.

In conclusion, our findings have strong implications for other high-risk but understudied ethnic populations, especially other Indigenous groups (e.g., American Indians and Alaska Natives), who also bear a disproportionate CVD risk compared to non-Hispanic whites. Although hula as a cultural practice originated with Native Hawaiians, our study has shown that Indigenous cultural practices can be successfully leveraged for health promotion. The use of culturally responsive approaches for high-risk racial and ethnic populations is strongly recommended to “close the gap” in health disparities [13, 25, 36, 37]. We suspect that the benefits of a culturally-based intervention extend beyond physical activity and to the benefits offered by the social and cultural engagement aspects of such an intervention [11, 12], a concept that is supported by other studies seeking to decrease CVD risk [78–81]. As suggested by our high-retention,
culturally based interventions, versus traditional medical or behavioral interventions, are likely to resonate more strongly with certain ethnic groups because of alignment with their cultural values and preferences, thus making them more relevant, accessible, and sustainable [37, 82].

Supplementary Material

Supplementary material is available at Annals of Behavioral Medicine online.
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