Introduction

Most behavioral and psychological symptoms of dementia (BPSD) scales have copyright issues and are difficult to use in daily care settings because they were primarily designed for physicians. Therefore, an easier tool for care staff is required. This study aimed to develop and validate the BPSD questionnaire 13-item version (BPSD13Q).

Methods

We obtained data from 444 people with dementia living in group homes in Japan using the BPSD plus questionnaire (BPSD + Q; 27-item version) and Neuropsychiatric Inventory Nursing Home version (NPI-NH). We selected appropriate items to make a short-form version of the BPSD + Q and examined the construct validity, internal consistency, and criterion-related validity of the questionnaire.

Results

By the pilot review, research on correlations with similar items from comparable scales, and factor analysis, we reduced 27 items to 13 items (BPSD13Q). The BPSD13Q and BPSD13Q-distress (BPSD13Q-D) showed good internal consistency (Cronbach’s α = 0.76 and 0.80, respectively). Moreover, the BPSD13Q was positively correlated with the NPI-NH (r = 0.72, p < 0.001) and BPSD + Q (r = 0.95, p < 0.001). The BPSD13Q-D was positively correlated with the NPI-NH-caregiver distress (r = 0.74, p < 0.001) and BPSD + Q-distress (r = 0.96, p < 0.001).

Conclusion: We developed and validated the BPSD13Q, which is a short-form version of the BPSD + Q and is downloadable. The BPSD13Q may make BPSD evaluations easier for the care staff.
The NPI Nursing Home version (NPI-NH) [7] and NPI Questionnaire (NPI-Q) [8] are copyright-protected. Moreover, the NPI and the NPI-NH are difficult for care staff to use because of the interview format. Additionally, the DBD scale consists of only behavioral symptoms and not psychological symptoms. Hence, to the best of our knowledge, there is no assessment scale for BPSD that is useful to on-site care staff.

The BPSD plus questionnaire (BPSD + Q) consists of 27 items, 25 of which are related to BPSD (BPSD questionnaire 25-item version; BPSD25Q) and 2 are related to delirium [9]. The 25 items of BPSD were created based on written diagnoses from primary physicians for long-term care insurance and interviews with the care staff. Moreover, the BPSD + Q consists of 3 subscales: hyperactive (13 items), hypoactive (6 items), and daily living-related symptoms (6 items). Thus, the BPSD + Q evaluates BPSD precisely, but some items that hardly emerge in care facilities are included. Furthermore, it takes approximately 7–9 min to complete the questionnaire, which places a burden on the care staff [10]. Although we recommend using the BPSD + Q for evaluating various kinds of BPSD in detail, the development of a short version is expected for the care staff. Therefore, we developed a BPSD assessment scale, the BPSD questionnaire 13-item version (BPSD13Q), a 13-item short version of the BPSD + Q.

Materials and Methods

Participants

The participants were 444 people with dementia living in group homes in Japan, and we analyzed the data of their NPI-NH and BPSD + Q scores [10, 11]. The mean age of the participants was 87.3 ± 7.3 years, and 364 were females. Of the 444 participants, 296 had Alzheimer’s disease, 39 vascular dementia, 21 Lewy body dementia, 13 mixed-type dementia, 7 frontotemporal dementia, 8 delirium [9], and 9 items showed a mean frequency of BPSD <20%: physically aggressive behavior, going out without telling anybody, inappropriate sexual behaviors, and appetite/eating disturbances. Each item is assessed by care staff. The scale of BPSD was assessed by care staff. Therefore, we calculated correlations between items of BPSD severity and each NPI-NH item, rated on a scale ranging from 0 to 50. Higher scores indicate greater severity of BPSD. The NPI Caregiver Distress Scale (NPI-D) evaluates the degree of caregiver burden for each NPI-NH item, rated on a scale ranging from 0 to 5, with total scores ranging from 0 to 50. Higher scores indicate higher caregiver burden. The scale of BPSD was assessed by care staff.

Low-Frequency Item Reduction from BPSD + Q

We calculated the mean frequency of each of the 25 items (excluding the 2 items related to delirium) based on previous studies [9, 10] and eliminated the items with low frequency (BPSD frequency ≤20%) through discussion with a physician who specializes in dementia, 2 physical therapists, and a nurse.

Item Reduction from the Construct Validation Study and Collateral Validation Study

We calculated correlations between items of BPSD severity and the NPI-NH in the review [9, 10], and 9 items showed a mean frequency of BPSD <20%: physically aggressive behavior, going out without telling anybody, inappropriate sexual behaviors, and inappropriate vocalization, withdrawal, changes in eating behaviors, carelessness of fire, uncleanliness, and hiding and losing things. We reduced these 9 items.

Results

Low-Frequency Item Reduction

First, we decided to delete “hyperactive delirium” and “hypoactive delirium” because they did not pertain to BPSD. Second, we calculated the mean frequency of BPSD in the review [9, 10], and 9 items showed a mean frequency of BPSD <20%: physically aggressive behavior, going out without telling anybody, inappropriate sexual behavior, inappropriate vocalization, withdrawal, changes in eating behaviors, carelessness of fire, uncleanliness, and hiding and losing things. We reduced these 9 items.
“lack of interest” to avoid redundancy. We reduced the 16 items to 14 items. Finally, 3-factor analyses were conducted. After the first factor analysis with 14 items was conducted, we decided to exclude the “hoarding behavior” as it showed factor loadings of <0.3. In the second factor analysis, a 5-factor structure from the Kaiser-Guttman rule was assumed. In the third factor analysis, all 13 items showed sufficient factor loadings. Table 1 lists the items and their factor loadings. Bartlett’s test of sphericity was significant at more than 0.01, and the Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin value was 0.71, confirming that the data were suitable for factor analysis.

Reliability (Internal Consistency) and Validity (Criterion-Related Validity) of the BPSD13Q

Through the aforementioned analysis, we fixed 13 items (BPSD13Q). Cronbach’s α of the whole severity of 13 items was 0.76, and the caregiver burden (distress) for each item was 0.80.

The BPSD13Q total scores were significantly and positively correlated with the NPI-NH total scores ($r = 0.72$, $p < 0.001$; Fig. 1a), BPSD25Q ($r = 0.96$, $p < 0.001$), and BPSD + Q ($r = 0.95$, $p < 0.001$; Fig. 1b). The total scores of the BPSD13Q-distress (BPSD13Q-D) were significantly and positively correlated with the NPI-D ($r = 0.74$, $p < 0.001$; Fig. 2a), BPSD25Q-D ($r = 0.96$, $p < 0.001$), and BPSD + Q-D ($r = 0.96$, $p < 0.001$; Fig. 2b).

Table 1. Component factors of the BPSD13Q

| Item                        | Factor loading I | II  | III | IV  | V   |
|-----------------------------|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Anxiety                     | 1.035            | 0.025 | −0.027 | 0.024 | −0.115 |
| Depression                  | 0.424            | −0.093 | −0.010 | 0.344 | 0.229 |
| Repeated questions          | 0.397            | 0.099 | 0.232 | −0.144 | 0.041 |
| Irritability                | 0.014            | 0.968 | −0.118 | 0.230 | 0.155 |
| Resistance to care          | −0.030           | 0.529 | 0.105 | −0.053 | 0.195 |
| Disinhibition               | 0.089            | 0.393 | 0.048 | −0.065 | 0.195 |
| Wandering and restlessness  | 0.072            | 0.019 | 0.879 | −0.127 | −0.061 |
| Day-night reversal          | −0.063           | −0.027 | 0.508 | 0.170 | 0.065 |
| Stereotyped behavior        | 0.052            | 0.233 | 0.366 | 0.019 | 0.079 |
| Apathy                      | 0.009            | 0.027 | −0.053 | 0.763 | 0.033 |
| Somnolence tendency         | 0.007            | 0.079 | 0.162 | 0.577 | −0.070 |
| Delusion                    | −0.022           | 0.110 | 0.033 | −0.055 | 0.654 |
| Visual and auditory halluci| 0.025            | 0.012 | 0.202 | 0.138 | 0.336 |

Factor analysis was conducted with the oblique promax rotation. Bartlett’s test of sphericity was significant at <0.01, and the Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin value was 0.71. The BPSD13Q obtained Cronbach’s α value of 0.76. BPSD13Q, behavioral and psychological symptoms of dementia questionnaire 13-item version.

Fig. 1. Correlation between the BPSD13Q scores and the scores of the NPI-NH (a) and BPSD + Q (b). a The BPSD13Q scores show significant and positive correlations with the NPI-NH scores ($r = 0.72$, $p < 0.001$). b The BPSD13Q scores show significant and positive correlations with the BPSD + Q scores ($r = 0.95$, $p < 0.001$). BPSD13Q, behavioral and psychological symptoms of dementia questionnaire 13-item version; NPI-NH, Neuropsychiatric Inventory-Nursing Home version; BPSD + Q, behavioral and psychological symptoms of dementia plus questionnaire.
Moreover, the total scores of the BPSD13Q showed significant and positive correlations with the scores of the BPSD + Q subscale “hyperactive symptoms” \((r = 0.83, p < 0.001)\), “hypoactive symptoms” \((r = 0.70, p < 0.001)\), and “daily living-related symptoms” \((r = 0.68, p < 0.001)\). The total scores of the BPSD13Q-D showed significant and positive correlations with the scores of the BPSD + Q-D subscale “hyperactive symptoms” \((r = 0.87, p < 0.001)\), “hypoactive symptoms” \((r = 0.69, p < 0.001)\), and “daily living-related symptoms” \((r = 0.73, p < 0.001)\).

Discussion/Conclusion

We developed the BPSD13Q, a short version of the BPSD + Q, and proved its validity and reliability. The scores of the BPSD13Q showed sufficient correlations with the NPI-NH and the BPSD + Q scores, and the same analysis was conducted for the BPSD13Q-D.

The items of the BPSD13Q were categorized into 5 factors, similar to those shown in previous studies [12, 13]. “Depression” and “anxiety” are usually found in the same factor in most studies [12–16], and according to Phannarut et al. [15], “repeating” is also included in the same factor [15]. The second factor included “irritability,” “resistance to care,” and “disinhibition.” Therefore, it is assumed that “irritability” and “disinhibition” are included in the same factor [12, 13], and “resistance to care” is included in the same factor as hyperactive-type behavioral symptoms [12, 13, 15, 16]. “Wondering and restlessness,” “day-night reversal,” and “stereotyped behavior” were categorized chiefly into the agitated behavioral symptoms. “Apathy” and “somnolence tendency” were categorized as hypoactive symptoms. “Delusion” and “visual and auditory hallucinations” usually co-occur [12, 13, 16, 17]. In this way, our results were similar to those of previous studies and are therefore structurally valid. Recently, the 14-item Thai language BPSD scoring system for dementia (BPSD-T) has been developed for nonphysician health-care personnel [15], but our evaluation scale has the advantage of being easy to use even for care staff who are often involved in the actual dementia care.

A limitation of this study is that intra- and inter-rater reliabilities were not examined, and further consideration is needed. The BPSD13Q included most of the items of the NPI-NH [7], evaluated both severity and frequency, and showed good internal consistency and construct validity. Furthermore, both English and Japanese versions of the BPSD13Q are downloadable at DCnet (https://www.dcnet.gr.jp/support/evaluation/index.php). Therefore, it is considered that the BPSD13Q can be useful for easy assessment of BPSD. It is advised to use the BPSD + Q for evaluating a variety of BPSD in detail and use the BPSD13Q for easy on-site evaluation of major BPSD.

Care for BPSD is generally administered by care staff. It is expected that the BPSD13Q will help care staff evaluate BPSD in a short time and contribute to more effective care. We hope that the BPSD13Q will contribute to the development of effective care skills to prevent BPSD as prevention is much more important than care after its outbreak [4].
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