Receptor-receptor interactions in heteroreceptor complexes: a new principle in biology. Focus on their role in learning and memory
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Abstract

The allosteric receptor-receptor interactions over the interfaces in heteroreceptor complexes have been explored and their biochemical, pharmacological and functional integrative implications in the Central Nervous System (CNS) described. GPCR interacting proteins participate in these complexes mainly through modulation of receptor-receptor interactions. Methodologies to study heteroreceptor complexes in living cells (FRET and BRET-based techniques) and in brain tissue (in situ proximity ligation assay) are briefly summarized. The physiological and pathological relevance of the allosteric receptor-receptor interactions in heteroreceptor complexes is emphasized and novel strategies for treatment of mental and neurological disease are developed based on this new biological principle of integration. The molecular basis of learning and memory is proposed to be based on the reorganization of the homo- and heteroreceptor complexes in the postjunctional membrane of synapses leading also to changes in the prejunctional receptor complexes to facilitate the pattern of transmitter release to be learned. Long-term memory may be created by the transformation of parts of the heteroreceptor complexes into unique transcription factors which can lead to the formation of specific adapter proteins which can consolidate the heteroreceptor complexes into long-lived complexes with conserved allosteric receptor-receptor interactions.
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Introduction

In the early 1980’s we observed that neuropeptides can alter the affinity and density of the monoamine agonist and antagonist binding sites in different regions of the CNS, in a receptor subtype specific way [1,2]. This indicated the presence of neuropeptide monoamine receptor-receptor interactions in the plasma membrane.

The molecular mechanisms for these intramembrane events between neuropeptide and monoamine receptor subtypes were unknown but direct interactions between the two receptors were postulated. In line with our results on neuropeptide induced changes in the affinity of the monoamine receptor subtypes (see also [3,4]), Lefkowitz, Limbird and colleagues had earlier discovered negative cooperativity in beta adrenergic receptors [5,6]. This can be explained on the basis of the existence of beta adrenergic homodimers leading to site-site interactions. The first symposium on receptor–receptor interactions among GPCRs was held in Stockholm in 1986 [7]. In the preface to the symposium book the receptor-receptor interaction field was proposed to become wider and include also interactions between different classes of biologically active macromolecules such as receptors, ion channels and ion pumps. Receptor heteromerization was postulated in 1993 to be the molecular basis for the receptor–receptor interaction [8]. The first
observations indicating the existence of homodimerization of GPCR were made in 1982 [9,10]. In 1987 homodimerization was found to take place upon epidermal growth factor (EGF) induced stimulation of the epidermal growth hormone receptor [11]. Ten years later the demonstration of the GABA B receptor heterodimer (see [12-14]) validated our early findings indicating receptor-receptor interactions in putative GPCR heteroreceptor complexes [2,8]. Thus, the entire decoding process becomes a branched process already at the receptor level in the plasma membrane. For a review of the early work on receptor-receptor interactions, see [15].

The allosteric receptor-receptor interactions over the interfaces in heteroreceptor complexes and their biochemical, pharmacological and functional integrative implications in the CNS will be dealt with in this review. The resemblance of the receptor protomers to moonlighting proteins [16,17] will be underlined. Heteroreceptor complexes appear to be a fundamental principle for molecular integration in biology. The involvement of GPCR interacting proteins in these heteroreceptor complexes will also be covered. We will also reveal how the discovery of different types of receptor–receptor interactions in such complexes in the brain led to novel strategies for treatment of Parkinson’s disease (e.g., A2A R and mGluR5 receptor antagonists) [18], schizophrenia (e.g., A2A R and mGluR5 agonists) [19,20], depression (e.g., 5-HT, R agonists enhancing FGFR1 function) [21] and cocaine addiction (e.g., A2A R agonists) [22]. It contributed to the introduction of A2A R antagonists in the treatment of Parkinson’s disease [18,23,24].

**Review**

**Methodologies for studies on protein-protein interactions**

Fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) and bioluminescence resonance energy transfer (BRET) methods were introduced which could be used to study homo and heteromerization of proteins including receptors in living cells. It involved the preparations of receptor constructs having genetically fused ‘donor’ and ‘acceptor’ fluorescent proteins. In FRET if the donor and acceptor fluorophores are in close proximity (less than 10nm) energy transfer between the two fluorophores can occur after donor excitation and acceptor emission develops [25,26]. Energy transfer is inversely proportional to the sixth power of the distance (r) between donor and acceptor fluorophores and the distance leading to 50% of energy transfer from the donor to the acceptor is around 5nm. There are drawbacks of using classical FRET when the protein is located in intracellular stores, making measurements of protein interactions at the plasma membrane difficult. However, there exist cell surface FRET detection technologies to study GPCR heteromerization in the plasma membrane [27].

The principle of the detection of GPCR heterodimerization using the BRET method is similar to FRET. In the presence of the substrate h-coelenterazine or coelenterazine-400 on which Renilla luciferase fused to the donor acts to produce through their oxidation a compound showing bioluminescence. An energy transfer between the generated luminescence and YFP or GFP2 occurs when the distance between these proteins is less than 10nm. This leads to a fluorescence emission from YFP (BRET1) or GFP (BRET2) representing the BRET signal. BRET gives strong support for the existence of receptor heteromers in artificial cell systems.

In titration or saturation BRET2 experiments cells are transfected with a constant amount of BRET2-donor in presence or absence of increasing amounts of the acceptor. Theoretically, for any specific interaction between the Receptor-donor and Receptor-acceptor fusions, the BRET2 ratio signal is developed as an hyperbole function of GFP/Rluc values, reaching an asymptote (saturation) when all donor molecules are associated with acceptors (BRETmax) [28-30].

Taken together, the use of FRET and BRET-based techniques emerged as important tools in the analysis of GPCR dimerization in living cells. When FRET and BRET-based results are properly evaluated, it is possible to demonstrate clearly an oligomerization of heterologously expressed GPCRs and of cells from transgenic animals [29,31-34]. Consensus exists that FRET and BRET methods powerfully support the existence of receptor heteromers in living cells [35].

Detection of higher order heteroreceptor complexes can be obtained by combined BRET/Bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC) assays in which BiFC is followed by BRET. It may be achieved by combining the bimolecular fluorescence complementation with BRET [36,37]. The trimeric heteromers of GPCRs can also be demonstrated with a combination of BRET and FRET. It is called the sequential BRET-FRET technique or SRET technique [38].

**In situ Proximity Ligation Assay (in situ PLA)** has been performed to establish the existence of native heteroreceptor complexes in the CNS [21,39-41]. In situ PLA is based on a pair of primary antibodies followed by the use of secondary antibodies to which oligonucleotides have been linked. When the two antibodies recognize a dimer, the oligonucleotides of the secondary antibodies are in a sufficiently close proximity (16nm or less) to allow them to recognize each other and join followed by an enzymatic ligation reaction. Then, the DNA circle strand formed can act as a template for an amplification reaction of the rolling circle. It is linked to one of the proximity probes and can be detected and quantified by hybridizing fluorescent oligonucleotides. This sequential reaction process (antibody recognition, joining of oligonucleotides, ligation, amplification and hybridization) rendered the heteroreceptor complexes observable by fluorescence microscopy. In this way you can study the number, localization and modulation of CNS heteroreceptor complexes observable by fluorescence microscopy. In this way you can study the number, localization and modulation of CNS heteroreceptor complexes observable by fluorescence microscopy. In this way you can study the number, localization and modulation of CNS heteroreceptor complexes observable by fluorescence microscopy. In this way you can study the number, localization and modulation of CNS heteroreceptor complexes observable by fluorescence microscopy. In this way you can study the number, localization and modulation of CNS heteroreceptor complexes observable by fluorescence microscopy. In this way you can study the number, localization and modulation of CNS heteroreceptor complexes observable by fluorescence microscopy. In this way you can study the number, localization and modulation of CNS heteroreceptor complexes observable by fluorescence microscopy. In this way you can study the number, localization and modulation of CNS heteroreceptor complexes observable by fluorescence microscopy.
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In the late 1990's the field of GPCR interacting proteins (GIP) started [46-48] substantially adding to the molecular tinkering of GPCRs provided by their oligomerization. Beta-arrestin-dependent formation of beta2 adrenergic receptor-Src protein kinase complexes was demonstrated [49] as well as Beta-arrestin-mediated activation of MAPK by inverse agonists revealing distinct active conformations for G protein-coupled receptors [50]. PDZ domain-containing proteins known to participate in cellular signaling were shown to bind to GPCRs. The C-terminal motif of the beta2 adrenergic receptor bound to the first PDZ domain of the Na/H-exchanger regularity factor modulating the Na/H exchange function [51,52] and the C-terminal domain of the 5-HT2C could be linked to the PDZ domain protein MUPP1 [53]. Brakeman and colleagues [54] found that Homer/Vesl proteins can bind to mGluR1a and mGluR5 via an EVH (Enabled/VASP)-like domain. Homer1a is an immediate early protein, increased in seizures and long-term potentiation, and can block the formation of multivalent complexes between group 1 metabotropic glutamate receptors and Homer-related synaptic proteins [55] since it lacks a coiled-coil domain. All homer isoforms can bind to a proline-rich motif in the C-terminal tail of group 1 metabotropic glutamate receptors and those with a coiled-coil domain can link these receptors to IP$_3$ receptors leading to inhibition of Ca$^{2+}$ release from the latter receptors [56].

In 2003 already 50 or more GPCR interacting proteins (GIPs) had been demonstrated and Bockaert and colleagues [47,48,57] in an interesting review describes the C terminal tail of the GPCRs as the magic tail representing an important anchorage for functional protein networks. Thus, the GPCR structure and function can be regulated through both physical receptor-receptor interactions in homomers and heteromers and through multiple receptor-protein and protein-protein interactions in higher order structures for molecular integration where the GPCRs in multiple conformational states and stoichiometry are in the center. Many of these GPCR interacting proteins serve as scaffolding or adapter proteins which modulate the physical receptor-receptor interactions in the heteromers [58]. Together with cytoskeletal proteins they also target and anchor the receptor protomes to the plasma membrane [59]. So far the work on receptor-receptor interactions and receptor-protein interactions has mainly been performed in parallel in view of the complexities of the interactions. Overall, GIPs by impinging on the GPCR trafficking, localization and/or pharmacological properties, play a prominent role in GPCR biology including learning and memory (see below) since they fine-tune the receptor functioning [60-62].

Modulation of GPCR biology by GIPs

GIPs play a critical role in GPCR biology since they accompany receptors along their entire life cycle: from assisting nascent receptors to fold properly and targeting to appropriate subcellular compartments to impinging on their signalling and degradation; thus GIPs are main players of GPCR function [63]. Accordingly, newly synthesized GPCRs at the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) interact with chaperones (e.g., Hsp-40, GRP78, PDI, etc.) which aid folding and maturation of receptors. Without the assistance of these chaperones GPCRs will be either directed to degradation (e.g., proteosomal degradation) or to toxic ER accumulation prompting cell death. Eventually, GPCR misfolding may lead to neuropathology. Overall, appropriate GPCR folding and maturation is critical for GPCR function. Thus, the severe consequences of wrong receptor misfolding are highlighted by the list of pathological conditions associated to intracellular receptor accumulation [64].

Besides interacting with numerous ER-resident folding assistants GPCRs can self associate in the ER forming GPCR homo- and heteromers which under some circumstances might have a chaperone-like activity defining the receptor’s export profile [62,65,66]. Alternatively, GPCRs can interact in this organelle with accessory proteins (e.g., RAMP, MRAP, RanBP2, MRAP, etc.) which in turn stabilize their structure favouring receptor trafficking. In addition, it has been postulated that some signalling molecules like the Gβγ subunits of the G-protein can also associate with GPCRs at the ER level. Once at the plasma membrane and ready to be challenged GPCRs are yet again readily targeted by GIPs, both on their extracellular and intracellular side. Adenosine deaminase (ADA), apart from being able to deaminate extracellular adenosine, has a non-enzymatical role through direct interaction with adenosine A1 receptors at their extracellular side [58,67-69]. The proposed physiological role of such heterotypic interactions consists in making receptors more sensitive to adenosine through a positive allosteric modulation activity that markedly enhance the receptor’s functionality [70-72].

GPCR signalling can also be modulated by intracellular GIPs interacting through the C-terminal tail and the third intracellular loop of the receptor. Thus, GPCRs select their binding partners by recognizing structural features (i.e., protein-recognition domains) located within the target protein. Eventually, these protein-recognition domains can be highly specific such as the PDZ-, the Zinc finger- or the poly proline (PP)-binding domains which are recognized by discrete sequence motifs presented on GPCRs [47]. The PDZ domain-containing proteins include PSD95, NHERF, Shank and MUPP1 among others, and they interact with GPCRs through their conserved PDZ ligand sequence (T/SxV) motif located at the extreme C-terminus of many receptors [47]. Interaction with these GIPs promotes 5-HT$_2$R clustering [73,74], mGluR1/5 anchoring in mature dendritic spines [75], prolongs mGluR5 and P$_2$Y$_1$R-mediated signalling [76,77], promotes the coupling of PTH$_1$R and LPA$_3$R receptors to Gaoq protein [78,79], increases
GABAB receptor stability [80] and promotes κ-OPR and β2-AR recycling [81,82].

Another abundant group of GIPs with specific protein-recognition domains are those that bind proline-rich motifs (e.g., PPSPF) located on either the C-terminal tail or the third intracellular loop of GPCRs (e.g., α-AR, β-AR, D_R, mGluR1/5 receptors, etc.) [47,57]. These GIPs contain protein modules, for instance the Src Homology (SH) or the WW and Ena/vasodilator-stimulated phosphoprotein homology 1 (EVH1) domains which bind the corresponding conserved GPCR proline-rich motif and play a critical role in the assembly and regulation of intracellular signalling complexes associated to receptors.

Finally, there are GIPs with less-defined recognition motifs within the GPCR sequence, such as β-arrestins, where the specific binding relies on receptor phosphorylation events mostly regulated by agonist stimulation. Accordingly, β-arrestins are considered GIPs that mediate GPCR signalling (i.e., GIP mediators) since its interaction with the receptor is agonist stimulation-dependent, requiring receptor conformational changes [60]. Other GIP mediators are for instance GRKs, G-proteins and even NHERF [51,52]. On the other hand, GIPs that associate with GPCRs in an agonist-independent fashion are considered GIPs that modulate GPCR signalling (i.e., GIP modulators) [60]. Thus, some GIP modulators increase G-protein-mediated signalling by acting as scaffolds (e.g., NHERF, AKAP, etc.) of receptor related signalling proteins and others can reduce G-protein-mediated signalling by disrupting receptor-G-protein association (e.g., spinophilin) or eventually recruiting GPCR signalling negative regulators (i.e., RGS proteins) [60].

Agonist binding to GPCRs triggers not only receptor activation but also receptor desensitization (i.e., rapid attenuation of receptor responsiveness), a phenomenon involving GPCR phosphorylation by GRKs which in turns enhances receptor binding to β-arrestins, thus making the receptor unavailable for G-protein coupling. The arrestin-bound GPCR may also be internalized, therefore resulting in downregulation of plasma membrane receptors. Accordingly, GPCR internalization is strongly influenced by two of the above mentioned GIPs, the GRKs and arrestins [83]. However, other GIPs can regulate GPCR endocytic trafficking more selectively, for instance GASP which strongly promotes 5-OPR, D_R and CB1 trafficking to lysosomes after agonist-induced endocytosis [84-86] and SNX1 which binds the PAR1 receptor promoting its post-endocytic trafficking to lysosomes [87]. Overall, it is evident that GIPs play a role in every aspect of GPCR biology: biosynthetic trafficking, anchoring to the site of action, ligand binding, signalling and endocytosis. Therefore, GPCR-mediated signalling is a much more complicated process than described previously since every GPCR requires a set of GIPs which must interact with the receptor in an orchestrated spatio-temporal fashion. Consequently, uncovering the way GIPs impinge into the biology of clinically relevant GPCRs will help understand the formation, structure and function of heteroreceptor complexes.

Targeting GPCRs-GIPs interactions in neurological and mental diseases

Recently, special attention has been paid to GIPs as they might potentially evolve as drug targets [57,60]. Of substantial interest is p11, an inducible adaptor protein modulating neuronal functions [88]. In depression-like states it has been found to alterate 5-HT_R function, modulating its plasma membrane expression [88], p11 also participates in interactions with the 5-HT_R contributing to the behavioural effects of 5-HT_R activation [89].

Spinophilin is a novel protein phosphatase 1 binding protein discovered by Greengard and colleagues and shown to be located in dendritic spines [90] and to interact with D_R and m-opioid receptors [91] and proteins linked to GPCR function. Spinophilin blocks the actions of b-arrestin at GPCR [92]. Of high interest is its modulation of mu opioid receptor signalling, internalization and recycling and deletion of the spinophilin gene increases morphine tolerance and dependence. Spinophilin may represent a new target for treatment of morphine addiction [91].

PICK1 is a perinuclear binding protein and substrate for PKC [93]. PICK1 has been shown to interact with the C-terminal tail region of mGluR7 via the PDZ domain [57,94]. An uncoupling of PICK1 from the mGluR7a with an interface interfering peptide produces absence-like seizures, a special form of epilepsy [95].

The examples given above serve to illustrate that GPCR-GIP interactions have relevance for neurological and mental diseases. However, their interactions with the allosteric receptor-receptor interactions in heteroreceptor complexes remain to a large extent to be elucidated and can be the targets for drug development.

Allosteric receptor-receptor interactions in GPCR heteroreceptor complexes

Allosteric waves pass in the interface of the receptor protomers as the transmitter at the orthosteric site or the allosteric modulator at the allosteric site of one receptor protomer causes conformational changes in its protomer. In this way the conformational change can be intermolecularly transferred to the other receptor protomer. In higher order heteroreceptor complexes multiple allosteric receptor–receptor interactions exist [96,97] which likely represent the major molecular mechanism underlying the conformational cafeteria theory of receptors by Kenakin [98]. They will be involved in determining the various conformational states of the receptor protomers and their operation will be determined by the receptor protomer composition, their spatial organization and their order of receptor activation in the higher-order heteroreceptor complex as well as by their interactions with the GPCR interacting proteins (see above). Thus, the receptor protomers may be in different conformations leading to 3D receptor complexes with different stoichiometry and topology,
each with a distinct function. Novel functions thus emerge as heteroreceptor complexes are formed or novel allosteric receptor-receptor interactions develop within them as they are targeted by orthosteric agonists/antagonists and allosteric modulators. It gives an increased understanding of their dynamics in terms of, e.g., development of allosteric receptor-receptor interactions. The receptor protomer with regard to its conformational state can also determine the oligomerization state of the receptors e.g., a dimeric, trimeric or tetrameric state. The allosteric receptor-receptor interactions are bidirectional, saturable and show probe dependence [99].

Physiological and pathological relevance of the allosteric receptor-receptor interactions in heteroreceptor complexes

Via the allosteric receptor-receptor regulation of heteroreceptor complexes the receptor protomers of these complexes change their function. This may involve alterations of receptor protomer recognition (affinity and receptor density) and of the strength of G protein coupling leading to modulatory effects on the receptor protomer signaling cascades and on ion channel activity in the plasma membrane like G protein-coupled inwardly-rectifying potassium channels. A major change brought about by the allosteric receptor-receptor interaction is the change of protomer function through a change in its G protein selectivity from e.g., Gi to Gq or favouring receptor protomer signaling over b-arrestin [100-102]. The GPCRs behave as moon-lighting proteins [16] and biased signaling of the GPCR protomers develop [103-105].

Already in the early eighties [1,2] it was underlined that by means of these molecular events it becomes possible for the receptor–receptor interactions in a heteroreceptor complex to filter incoming signals to receptor protomer “A” based on the change of activity in a second receptor protomer “B” in the complex which via its interface can transfer the allosteric communication wave to protomer “A”. It should also be considered that any alteration in one of these receptor protomers can cause abnormalities in the recognition of several receptor protomers in higher-order heteroreceptor complexes and in the proper signaling and balance of the multiple effector systems of such receptor complexes.

The receptor–receptor interaction may also make possible the appearance of novel receptor subtypes like the GABA B receptor [12,13]. Furthermore, the A1, R-P, Y, R heteroreceptor complexes show an A1R with P, Y, R agonist like recognition [106]. Thus, the orthosteric binding sites in the receptor protomers of these complexes change their pharmacology and also recognize novel transmitters. Under pathological conditions dysfunctional receptor protomers may be formed via the interactions with other receptor protomers that should not interact with each other.

The receptor–receptor interactions also have a major impact on receptor cotrafficking like receptor maturation, cell surface expression and internalization [107]. Such events are of high relevance for sensitization and desensitization of receptor protomers in heteroreceptor complexes. Experimental studies for analysis of receptor protomer colocation and cotrafficking including coclustering and cointernalization have been performed inter alia on the A1-R-D, R and A2a-R-D, R heteroreceptor complexes [69,108,109].

Role of allosteric receptor–receptor interactions in heteroreceptor complexes in learning and memory

Learning is regarded to occur through changes in the synaptic efficacies via changes in synaptic strength [110]. One molecular basis for learning and memory may be brought about by reorganization of the available higher order heteroreceptor complexes structurally and/or by resetting the multiple allosteric receptor–receptor interactions in these complexes as well as by the formation of novel heteroreceptor complexes via e.g., alterations in the pattern of synaptic and volume transmission signals [4,111-113]. Such multiple molecular changes in the heteromers and their receptor-receptor interactions may be the molecular basis for learning and short-term memory, involving multiple changes in the receptor-protein architecture of the heteroreceptor complexes of the postsynaptic membrane as illustrated by the change of barcode (Figure 1).

This hypothesis proposes a reorganization of the homo- and heteroreceptor complexes in the postsynaptic membrane of synapses in learning and memory leading also to changes in the prejunctonal receptor complexes to facilitate the pattern of transmitter release to be learned.

In Figure 1A the basal state of the synapse with its postjunctional receptor complexes is shown leading to a defined bar code. Two types of transmitters are indicated to be released from different pools of synaptic vesicles shown in red and green. The vesicles are of different size to indicate the dominance of the transmitter release from the vesicles in green in the basal state.

In Figure 1B learning of a new temporal pattern of release of the two transmitters should take place. In this state the transmitter in red is in dominance as illustrated by the large size of the vesicles with the red transmitter. This pattern is learned by the transient reorganization of the postsynaptic receptor complexes into inter alia higher order heteroreceptor complexes including ion channels,GPCR interacting proteins and homomer-ion channel complexes. This receptor reorganization leads to a novel bar code which can represent a short term memory of the new pattern of transmitter release to be learned which can involve also extrasynaptic receptor complexes. The new pattern of release can be facilitated by the reorganization of the prejunctonal receptor complexes through the altered temporal pattern of the transmitters in the synaptic cleft and its surround changing the formation or disrupting the receptor complexes through agonist dependent processes. A retrograde feedback from the new heteroreceptor complexes with a new barcode can also participate. It can involve the release of soluble factors like purines and trophic factors and extracellular vesicles like
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Figure 1. Illustration of the molecular basis of learning and memory based on the reorganization of the homo- and heteroreceptor complexes in the postjunctional membrane of synapses leading also to changes in the presynaptic receptor complexes to facilitate the pattern of transmitter release to be learned. In (A) is illustrated the basal state with its postjunctional receptor complexes leading to a defined bar code. Two types of transmitters are indicated to be released from different pools of synaptic vesicles shown in red and green. The vesicles are of different size to indicate the dominance of the transmitter release from the vesicles in green in the basal state. In (B) learning of a new temporal pattern of release of the two transmitters should take place. In this state the transmitter in red is in dominance as illustrated by the large size of the vesicles with the red transmitter. This pattern is learned by the transient reorganization of the receptor complexes into inter alia higher order heteroreceptor complexes including ion channels and homomer-ion channel complexes. This receptor reorganization leads to a novel bar code which can represent a short term memory of the new pattern of transmitter release to be learned which can involve also extrasynaptic receptor complexes. The new pattern of release can be facilitated by the reorganization of the postjunctional receptor complexes through the altered temporal pattern of the transmitters changing the formation or disruption of the receptor complexes through agonist dependent processes. As indicated by the asterisk, a retrograde feedback from the new barcode can also assist in this process. It can involve the release of soluble factors like purines and trophic factors and extracellular vesicles like exosomes containing proteins and lipids. In (C) the consolidated reorganization of the postjunctional receptor complexes leading to long-term memory is illustrated. The consolidated memory should result in a bar code similar to one found in short term memory. The mechanism may include the transformation of parts of the heteroreceptor complexes into unique transcription factors which can lead to gene expression involving the formation of novel adapter proteins. These can bind to the heteroreceptor complexes of short term memory and consolidate them. Others can link the heteroreceptor complexes more strongly to the cytoskeleton. This is illustrated by the arrows from the rough endoplasmic reticulum onto the newly formed adapter proteins which have become linked to different types of receptor complexes. Here is illustrated higher order GPCR heteroreceptor complexes, GPCR homodimer-ion channel complexes and GPCR-Receptor activity-modifying proteins (RAMPs).

exosomes containing proteins and lipids [114].

In Figure 1C the consolidated reorganization of the postjunctional receptor complexes is illustrated which leads to long-term memory. The consolidated memory is proposed to result in a bar code similar to one found in short term memory. The mechanism may include the transformation of parts of the heteroreceptor complexes into unique transcription factors which can lead to gene expression involving the formation of novel adapter proteins. These can bind to the heteroreceptor complexes of short term memory and consolidate them. Others can link the heteroreceptor complexes more strongly to the cytoskeleton involving also the GPCR interacting proteins. This process is illustrated by the arrows from the rough endoplasmic reticulum onto the newly formed adapter proteins which have become directly linked to different types of postsynaptic and extrasynaptic receptor complexes (Figure 1C). Higher order GPCR heteroreceptor complexes, GPCR homodimer-ion channel complexes and GPCR-Receptor activity-modifying proteins (RAMPs) are shown. Local protein synthesis via translational activation of mRNA in the synaptic terminals via receptor
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signaling from the presynaptic membrane may contribute in a similar way to facilitate the consolidation of presynaptic heteroreceptor complexes of short-term memory into long-term memory (see [115]).

Memories are at first fragile and can be disrupted before being stabilized into long-term memories (consolidation) [116]. It is well known that this process relies on the formation of new proteins [117]. Thus, the long-term memory involves consolidation and the hypothesis (see above) emphasizes that transcription factors can be formed from internalized heteroreceptor complexes induced by the repeated activation of the novel or altered transient complexes and their receptor interacting proteins and signaling cascades towards the nucleus. As discussed, this can lead to the production of unique transcription factors formed from internalized receptor heteromers. It results in the formation of unique adapter and scaffolding proteins which stabilize the heteroreceptor complexes by binding to them. In this way long-lived heteroreceptor complexes are formed involving e.g., GPCR, ion channel receptors and tyrosine receptor kinases (RTK) also linked to ion channels where the consolidated heteroreceptor complexes represent the long-term memory (Figure 1C). It shows a barcode similar to the one for the short-term memory (Figure 1B). Phosphorylation events can participate in this memory process via enabling stronger electrostatic epitope-epitope interactions in the heteroreceptor complexes [118,119].

It may also be considered that further consolidation of these stabilized heteroreceptor complexes may take place by the ability of the unique adapter proteins to cause a constitutive activity of one of the receptor protomers. Ordered activation of the heteroreceptor complexes may in this way be achieved with repetition of the sequence of ion fluxes, and chemical signaling cascades including metabolic cascades. In this way the transcriptional panorama can to some degree remain with maintained formation of the unique adapter proteins leading to maintenance of the higher order heteroreceptor complexes and keeping the long-term memory intact. However, long term memories may transiently move into a fragile state when retrieved and for restabilization newly formed proteins are needed [120-122]. Reconsolidation takes place when new learning is offered during reactivation making possible an update of the memories giving the opportunity to erase memories of the past [123]. According to our hypothesis of the engraving this relearning process at the molecular level involves the reorganization of the old heteroreceptor complexes to which the old adapter proteins can no longer bind. Instead novel transcription factors are formed from parts of the new heteroreceptor complex leading to formation of unique adapter proteins which can bind to the new heteroreceptor complexes. This leads to the formation of new long-term memories and disappearance of the old ones.

This hypothesis on the molecular basis of the engraving is in line with the Hebbian rule. Thus, memory formation is linked to simultaneous firing of the pre and postsynaptic nerve cells leading to potential short- and long-term memory formation due to changes in the function of the postsynaptic neurons [124]. A molecular basis of this rule can be obtained by proposing that the repeated temporal pattern of a transmitter code in the synaptic cleft can produce a unique firing pattern in the postsynaptic nerve cell. Transcriptional and gene expression activities makes it possible due to the formation and resetting of multiple heteroreceptor complexes in the postsynaptic membrane [4,111-113]. Rapid and transient changes in the heteroreceptor complexes which involves also formation of novel heteroreceptor complexes can also take place in the presynaptic membrane in order to favor the pattern and amount of neurotransmitter and modulator release to be learnt. Activation of presynaptic receptors via pre and postsynaptic VT and synaptic signals including retrograde signals may importantly contribute to the plasticity changes in the presynaptic heteroreceptor complexes. Through local protein synthesis in the synaptic terminal these presynaptic receptor complexes can become consolidated into long-term memory traces (see above).

Subsequently, novel adapter and scaffolding proteins may be formed through local gene expression in nerve terminals from mRNA [115] to form long-lived heteroreceptor complexes in the presynaptic membrane converging short term memories into long term memories as postulated to take place in the postsynaptic membrane. Such presynaptic heteroreceptor complexes may then precisely set the recruitment of vesicles to the plasma membrane and the amount of extracellular release of neurotransmitters and modulators from vesicles located in the terminal varicosity. This process is linked to the pattern of action potentials that reach and depolarize the terminal membrane.

There may exist both full and/or partial release of the vesicle content of transmitters, which at the nerve terminal can correspond to full and kiss-and-run exocytosis, respectively [125]. These molecular events can thus be regulated by the presynaptic heteroreceptor complexes through receptor-vesicular protein interactions which can involve also the neurotransmitter transporters and actin [126,127]. The pattern and amount of vesicular release of transmitters to be learnt may also lead to changes in the lipid contents of the plasma membrane which can be of relevance for short term memory formation [128]. Thus, lipid messengers exist like lysophosphatidic acid (LPA) and sphingosine-1-phosphate (S1P) and others, which are activators of rhodopsin family GPCRs located in the plasma membrane [129]. These GPCRs include LPA1-3 and S1P1-5. By such GPCRs for lipid mediators which can be part of the presynaptic heteroreceptor complexes, these changes in lipids can lead to plasticity changes in the presynaptic complexes through allosteric receptor-receptor interactions to assist in learning the new pattern of transmitter release. Short term memories may be formed which can be transformed into long-lived heteroreceptor complexes and thus long-term memories as described above. In these
processes transmitter and modulator VT signals diffusing into synaptic and extrasynaptic regions can play a substantial role by activating their receptor protomers of the heteroreceptor complexes on the pre and postsynaptic membrane including perisynaptic regions. It should be noted that also membrane bound steroid receptor protomers like multiple forms of estrogen receptors can participate in such heteroreceptor processes [130].

Conclusions
The allosteric receptor–receptor interactions in heteroreceptor complexes appear to represent a new principle in biology making possible integration of signals already at the level of the plasma membrane. These heteroreceptor complexes and their dynamics may be part of the molecular basis of learning and memory. According to our hypothesis long-lived heteroreceptor complexes with stabilized and conserved allosteric receptor-receptor interactions in the postsynaptic membrane can be an essential part of the molecular structure for long-term memory in the neuronal networks. This is made possible through production of unique transcription factors formed from internalized heteroreceptor complexes. It results in the formation of specific adapter and scaffolding proteins which bind to and stabilize the postsynaptic heteroreceptor complexes with conserved allosteric receptor-receptor interactions. The consolidation of prejunctional heteroreceptor complexes of short-term memory into long-term memory by adapter proteins may also take place in order to favour the pattern and amount of neurotransmitter and modulator release to be learnt by the postsynaptic heteroreceptor complexes and to help the retrieval of memories from such complexes .
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