Chromatin assembly factor I and Hir proteins contribute to building functional kinetochores in S. cerevisiae
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Budding yeast centromeres are comprised of ~125-bp DNA sequences that direct formation of the kinetochore, a specialized chromatin structure that mediates spindle attachment to chromosomes. We report here a novel role for the histone deposition complex chromatin assembly factor I (CAF-I) in building centromeric chromatin. The contribution of CAF-I to kinetochore function overlaps that of the Hir proteins, which have also been implicated in nucleosome formation and heterochromatic gene silencing. cac Δ hir Δ double mutant cells lacking both CAF-I and Hir proteins are delayed in anaphase entry in a spindle assembly checkpoint-dependent manner. Further, cac Δ and hir Δ deletions together cause increased rates of chromosome missegregation, genetic synergies with mutations in kinetochore protein genes, and alterations in centromeric chromatin structure. Finally, CAF-I subunits and Hir1 are enriched at centromeres, indicating that these proteins make a direct contribution to centromeric chromatin structures.
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High-fidelity chromosome segregation during cell division is required to ensure that daughter cells each receive a complete copy of the genome. Chromosome segregation is a closely monitored process (Amon 1999; Hoyt 2000); loss of proteins that monitor segregation is linked to loss of growth control in human cells (Cahill et al. 1998) and inviability in nematodes (Kitagawa and Rose 1999). Proper segregation of eukaryotic chromosomes requires centromeres, chromosomal domains that are the sites of microtubule attachment during mitosis and meiosis. Kinetochores are protein complexes that assemble onto centromeric loci and mediate spindle attachment to chromosomes. Each time a chromosome is replicated, this kinetochore protein complex must be assembled onto both daughter chromosomes to achieve bipolar attachment of sister chromatids. How centromeres direct kinetochore assembly and propagation is poorly understood, but is thought to occur by a chromatin-mediated epigenetic mechanism [for reviews, see Karpen and Allshire 1997; Wiens and Sorger 1998; Maggert and Karpen 2000, Sullivan 2001].

In the budding yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae, centromere DNA sequences are 125 bp in length and contain three conserved elements [CDEI, II, III] that are present at all centromeres (Hyman and Sorger 1995). Despite these limited primary DNA sequence determinants, a specialized chromatin structure extends for several kilobases on either side of the core centromere region (Bloom and Carbon 1982). This specialized structure is apparently required for proper centromere function: mutations within histone proteins (Smith et al. 1996, Pinto and Winston 2000) or nucleosome remodeling enzymes (Tsuchiya et al. 1998) cause aberrations in this structure and reduce the fidelity of chromosome segregation.

In contrast to the limited primary sequence requirements for centromere function in S. cerevisiae, centromeres of multicellular organisms and fission yeast extend for many kilobases and are largely comprised of repetitive DNA [Chikashige et al. 1989; Hahnenberger et al. 1991, Sun et al. 1997]. Despite these differences, specialized chromatin structures are important for centromere function in all organisms. Position-dependent gene silencing occurs within centric heterochromatin in fission yeast and Drosophila [Reuter and Spierer 1992, Grewall 2000]. Silencing at fission yeast centromeres depends on many of the same proteins required for silencing the cryptic mating loci and telomere-proximal genes [Allshire et al. 1995]. Mutations and drug treatments that reduce silencing at centromeric regions also decrease the fidelity of chromosome segregation [Allshire et al. 1995, Ekwall et al. 1997, 1999], thus demonstrating...
that heterochromatin structure contributes to centromere function.

The fundamental repeated structure of chromosomes is the nucleosome. Nucleosomes are assembled by ordered deposition of histones, mediated by histone-binding assembly factors. For example, chromatin assembly factor I (CAF-I) is a nuclear heterotrimeric protein complex that is conserved throughout the eukaryotes (Kaufman et al. 1995, 1997; Kamakaka et al. 1996; Kaya et al. 2001; Quivy et al. 2001). CAF-I performs the first step of nucleosome formation, delivery of histones H3/H4 to newly replicated DNA (Smith and Stillman 1991; Verreault et al. 1996). Consistent with the biochemical analyses of CAF-I, budding yeast cells lacking any or all of the three genes encoding CAF-I subunits (CAC1, CAC2, and CAC3) display reduced position-dependent gene silencing at telomeres (Enomoto et al. 1997; Kaufman et al. 1997; Monson et al. 1997), the silent mating loci (Enomoto and Berman 1998; Kaufman et al. 1998), and at ribosomal DNA (Smith et al. 1999). Gene silencing at these loci in yeast is a chromatin-mediated process analogous to heterochromatic silencing in multicellular organisms: mutation of histone termini (Kaye et al. 1988; Megee et al. 1990), histone-binding heterochromatin proteins (Hecht et al. 1995), or other genes that modulate the posttranslational modification of histone termini (Rundlett et al. 1996) affect the magnitude of this silencing.

The histone regulatory (HIR) genes HIR1, HIR2, HIR3, and HPC2 (Osley and Lycan 1987; Xu et al. 1992) encode proteins implicated in a nucleosome assembly pathway that functionally overlaps CAF-I (Sharpe et al. 2001). Mutations in HIR genes have minor effects on silencing at telomeres and the silent mating loci (Kaufman et al. 1998), and growth of S. cerevisiae cells is not affected when either CAC genes encoding subunits of CAF-I or HIR genes are mutated. However, cac1 hir1 double mutant cells display synergistic reduction of position-dependent gene silencing at both telomeres and the silent mating loci, and exhibit slow growth (Kaufman et al. 1998; Qian et al. 1998). These phenotypes occur regardless of which of the three cac1 or four hir1 gene deletions are combined (Kaufman et al. 1998; Qian et al. 1998). Thus, Hir proteins become important for normal growth and silencing in the absence of CAF-I, indicating functional overlap between these two groups of proteins.

In this work, we show that histone-binding and -deposition proteins responsible for heterochromatin formation are also required for proper centromere function in budding yeast. We discovered this because budding yeast cells lacking both CAF-I and Hir proteins experienced a delay specifically during the G2/M phase of the cell cycle that resulted in part from activation of the spindle assembly checkpoint. cac1 hir1 double mutant cells displayed rates of chromosome loss and nondisjunction approximately two orders of magnitude greater than wild-type cells or cac1 or hir1 single mutant cells. cac1 and hir1 deletions together showed strong genetic interactions with conditional alleles of genes encoding kinetochore proteins. We show localization of CAF-I subunits and Hir1 protein to centromeric chromatin; in the absence of these proteins, centromeric chromatin structure is altered. Together, these data show that CAF-I and Hir proteins have overlapping roles in maintaining a centromeric chromatin structure compatible with kinetochore function.

Results

G2/M delay and mitotic checkpoint activation in cac1 hir1 cells

SIR (silent information regulator) genes are required for formation of silent chromatin structures at telomeres and cryptic mating (HM) loci, but are dispensable for growth (Loo and Rine 1995). CAC and HIR genes also contribute to the stability of heterochromatic gene silencing, yet in contrast to sir1 mutants, cac1 hir1 double mutants display marked growth defects (Kaufman et al. 1998; Qian et al. 1998). Therefore, CAF-I and Hir proteins have roles outside of known regions of silent chromatin. We investigated the growth defect of cac1 hir1 cells to gain insight into these other functions.

The slow-growth phenotype of cac1 hir1 cells is apparent in early cell divisions after completion of meiosis (Kaufman et al. 1998). This defect persists throughout subsequent mitotic divisions, resulting in the formation of small colonies at both physiological (30°C) and cold (16°C) temperatures (Fig. 1A). At 30°C, cac1 hir1 cells displayed a doubling time in liquid culture ~45 min longer than that observed for wild-type cells or cac1 or hir1 single mutants. At 16°C, this effect was greatly exacerbated; cac1 hir1 cells required nearly 18 h to complete a cell cycle, compared with 5.4 h for wild-type cells.

To determine whether the slow growth of cac1 hir1 cells reflected a delay in any particular stage in the cell cycle, we performed flow cytometric analysis of cells grown at either 30°C or 16°C (Fig. 1B,C). Haploid cells grown at 30°C were arrested in G1 by treatment with the mating pheromone a-factor, and released into pheromone-free media for a single synchronous cell cycle. The cell cycle profiles of cac1 and hir1 cells were very similar to wild-type cells. In these strains, the majority of cells completed mitosis 90 min after a-factor release (marked in dark gray) as indicated by the return to 1C DNA content. In contrast, in cac1 hir1 and cac2 hir1 double mutant cells, mitotic exit was not completed until 135–150 min after a-factor release, indicating an ~45-min elongation of the G2/M stage of the cell cycle. Consistent with the results at 30°C, asynchronous cultures of cac1 hir1 cells grown at 16°C displayed a greater percentage of cells with 2C DNA content compared to wild-type, cac1, or hir1 cells (Fig. 1C). Therefore, a G2/M delay occurred at both growth temperatures analyzed. Furthermore, microscopic examination of cells grown at either 30°C or 16°C showed that cac1 hir1 cells accumulated with a single nucleus and short bipolar spindle near the bud neck, a morphology consistent with a delay prior to anaphase (Fig. 1D; data not shown).
These mitotic delay phenotypes were observed for all cacΔ hirΔ double mutant combinations tested. We conclude that cells require CAF-1 and Hir protein function to traverse mitosis in an efficient manner.

Checkpoints are surveillance mechanisms that impede cell cycle progression in the presence of damaged molecules or insufficient preparation for subsequent events (Hartwell and Weinert 1989). At the metaphase-to-anaphase transition, two prominent checkpoints monitor chromosomal events. The MEC1-dependent DNA damage checkpoint monitors the presence of chromosomal lesions such as DNA strand breaks or stalled replication forks (Weinert et al. 1994), and the MAD2-dependent spindle assembly checkpoint monitors the presence of unattached kinetochores (Amon 1999). We hypothesized that one of these checkpoints was involved in mediating the cell cycle delay of cacΔ hirΔ cells. To test this, we determined the effects of deleting checkpoint genes on cell cycle progression. Cell cycle profiles of cacΔ hirΔ mec1Δ cells were identical to those of cacΔ hirΔ cells, indicating that the DNA damage checkpoint was not responsible for the cell cycle delay; identical results were obtained upon deletion of the DNA damage checkpoint genes RAD53 or RAD9 (data not shown). In contrast, the cell cycle profile of cacΔ hirΔ mad2Δ cells revealed a partial relief of the cacΔ...
hir1Δ mitotic delay [Fig. 1B]: a significantly greater percentage of cac1Δ hir1Δ mad2Δ cells completed mitosis 105 min [marked in dark gray] after α-factor release than did cac1Δ hir1Δ cells at the same time point. Therefore, cac1Δ hir1Δ cells activated the spindle assembly checkpoint.

To confirm that removal of the spindle checkpoint in cac1Δ hir1Δ cells relieved the block to anaphase onset, the kinetics of spindle elongation after release from an S-phase arrest were measured [Fig. 1D]. Consistent with the flow cytometry data, cac1Δ hir1Δ cells experienced a 45–60-min delay in the onset of anaphase as determined by the percentage of cells in the population with preanaphase spindles. Furthermore, cac1Δ hir1Δ mad2Δ cells entered anaphase at a rate intermediate between that of wild-type and cac1Δ hir1Δ cells, indicating that MAD2 acts to regulate the rate of anaphase entry in cac1Δ hir1Δ cells. Together, the flow cytometry and spindle elongation data indicated that spindle assembly checkpoint activation contributed to the G2/M delay in cac1Δ hir1Δ cells. These observations suggested that attachment of chromosomes to the mitotic spindle was defective in cac1Δ hir1Δ cells.

Increased chromosome missegregation in cac1Δ hir1Δ cells

We hypothesized that cac1Δ hir1Δ double mutant cells activate the spindle assembly checkpoint because of malformation of centromeric chromatin, resulting in improper centromere/kinetochore function. To test whether kinetochore function was impaired, the fidelity of chromosome segregation was measured in cac1Δ hir1Δ cells. Yeast strains disomic for a fragment of Chromosome III were monitored for chromosome loss (1:0) and nondisjunction (2:0) events using a colony color assay to measure the chromosome missegregation rate per cell division [Shero et al. 1991]. Chromosome missegregation frequencies for yeast strains grown at 30°C are shown in Table 1. In our wild-type strain, the reporter minichromosome was missegregated at a rate of <1 per 2 × 10⁵ cell divisions. Whereas cac1Δ and hir1Δ cells showed no dramatic increases in chromosome missegregation relative to wild-type cells, cac1Δ hir1Δ cells experienced a dramatic increase in both chromosome loss and nondisjunction rates (97-fold and 78-fold, respectively) relative to the wild-type strain. These missegregation rates are comparable to those reported for mutations [ctf13-30 and ctf19Δ] in other kinetochore component genes [Dohmen et al. 1993; Hyland et al. 1999].

Consistent with previous reports, mad2Δ cells displayed a modest increase in chromosome loss rates [Li and Murray 1991]. However, deletion of MAD2 in cac1Δ hir1Δ cells resulted in synergistic increases in chromosome missegregation rates: loss rates increased to 440-fold and nondisjunction rates increased to 290-fold above wild-type rates [Table 1]. These data are reminiscent of the synergistic increase in chromosome missegregation observed upon combination of a mad2-1 mutation and a crippled CDEⅡ element [Pangilinan and Spencer 1996], and strongly suggest the presence of compromised kinetochore structures in cac1Δ hir1Δ cells.

Microscopic examination showed that a subset of late anaphase cac1Δ hir1Δ cells grown at 16°C displayed severe chromosome missegregation events [Fig. 2A]. Cells with aberrant DNA morphology often had fragmented nuclear bodies, resulting in unequal DNA staining between mother and bud and the presence of lagging chromosomes at the bud neck. These aberrant events were observed in 32% of cac1Δ hir1Δ anaphase cells at 16°C, compared with a frequency of <1% for wild-type, cac1Δ, and hir1Δ cells. These defects were prevalent in cac1Δ hir1Δ cells grown at 16°C but not at 30°C, consistent with the more severe growth rate and cell cycle phenotypes at this temperature [Fig. 1A,C]. To directly examine the fate of individual chromosomes under these conditions, we visualized the centromere of Chromosome IV containing an array of lacO sites adjacent to CEN4 in cells that express a GFP–LacI fusion protein [Straight et al. 1996; Biggin et al. 1999]. These cells allowed for direct observation of chromosome missegregation events, which were detected in cac1Δ hir1Δ but not wild-type or single mutant cells [Fig. 2B]. After 24 h at 16°C, 24% of cac1Δ hir1Δ cells segregated CEN4 normally but displayed gross missegregation of other chromosomes as observed by Hoechst dye staining, 21% missegregated CEN4 but had a normal pattern of total DNA segregation, and 2% displayed defects in both CEN4 and total

### Table 1. Chromosome fragment missegregation

| Strain   | Relevant genotype | Chromosome loss (1:0 segregation, CHL) | Nondisjunction (2:0 segregation, NDI) | Total missegregation (CHL + NDI) |
|----------|-------------------|----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| PKY847   | Wild type         | 1.9 × 10⁻⁵ (1.0)                       | <1.9 × 10⁻⁵ (1.0)                     | 1.9 × 10⁻⁵ (1.0)                 |
| PKY2216  | cac1Δ             | 4.3 × 10⁻⁵ (2.2)                       | <2.1 × 10⁻⁵ (1.1)                     | 4.3 × 10⁻⁵ (2.2)                 |
| PKY865   | hir1Δ             | 1.9 × 10⁻⁵ (1.0)                       | <1.9 × 10⁻⁵ (1.0)                     | 1.9 × 10⁻⁵ (1.0)                 |
| PKY2217  | cac1Δhir1Δ        | 1.9 × 10⁻³ (97)                        | 1.5 × 10⁻⁴ (78)                      | 3.4 × 10⁻³ (180)                |
| PKY1531  | mad2Δ             | 1.9 × 10⁻⁴ (9.6)                       | <3.7 × 10⁻⁵ (1.9)                    | 1.9 × 10⁻⁴ (9.6)                 |
| PKY2218  | cac1Δhir1Δmad2Δ   | 8.5 × 10⁻⁴ (440)                       | 5.6 × 10⁻⁴ (290)                     | 1.4 × 10⁻² (730)                |

Chromosome loss and nondisjunction events at 30°C were scored in haploid strains bearing a nonessential chromosome fragment. The chromosome fragment CIII[D8B]d consists of CEN6, ~125 kb of the left arm of Chromosome III, and the LEU2 and ade3-2p genes. Chromosome missegregation events were determined by the half-sector colony color assay (Materials and Methods). Numbers in bold indicate fold differences relative to the wild-type strain.
DNA segregation. We conclude that cells require the action of CAF-I and Hir proteins to maintain high-fidelity chromosome segregation during mitosis, and that kinetochore function becomes cold-sensitive in the absence of these proteins.

Genetic interactions with kinetochore alleles

Because of the increased chromosome missegregation rates in \(\text{cac1} \Delta \text{hir1}\) cells, we predicted that deleting \(\text{CAC}\) and \(\text{HIR}\) genes in cells with conditional alleles of kinetochore components would result in synthetic non-viability phenotypes. Assembly of the CBF3 protein complex onto centromeres is absolutely required for high-fidelity chromosome segregation in budding yeast (Hyman and Sorger 1995). Figure 3, A and B, shows plating efficiencies of cells containing all possible combinations of \(\text{cac1}\Delta\) and \(\text{hir1}\Delta\) deletions and the CBF3 alleles \(\text{ndc10-1}, \text{cep3-1},\) and \(\text{cep3-2}\) at both permissive and semi-permissive temperatures. Indeed, a striking degree of lethality was observed at semi-permissive temperatures when CBF3 alleles were combined with both \(\text{cac1}\Delta\) and \(\text{hir1}\Delta\) mutations.

As observed for the cell cycle and chromosome missegregation phenotypes, these genetic synergies depended on the simultaneous absence of both \(\text{CAC}\) and \(\text{HIR}\) genes. These studies also revealed that only a subset of CBF3 mutations require \(\text{CAC}\) and \(\text{HIR}\) gene function to remain viable: no effects were observed upon combining \(\text{cac1}\Delta\ hir1\Delta\) mutations with the \(\text{ctf13-30}, \text{ndc10-2},\) or \(\text{skp1-4}\) alleles (data not shown).

Figure 3 shows interactions between \(\text{cac1}\Delta\) and \(\text{hir1}\Delta\) mutations and an allele of the CEN-specific histone H3 variant \(\text{CSE4}\) (Fig. 3C), the yeast homolog of mammalian centromere protein A (\text{CENP-A}; Stoler et al. 1995, Meluh et al. 1998]. The \(\text{cse4-107}\) allele contains the point mutation \(Q219D\) (Chen et al. 2000) in helix 3 of the histone fold domain. Helix 3 forms the four-helix bundle comprising the \(\text{Cse4-Cse4}\) (or \(\text{Cse4-H3}\)) contact site within centromeric nucleosomes (Luger et al. 1997; Glowczewski et al. 2000; Yoda et al. 2000). The \(Q219D\) mutation is therefore predicted to destabilize centromeric nucleosomes. Similar to the phenotypes observed with the \(\text{ndc10-1}, \text{cep3-1},\) and \(\text{cep3-2}\) alleles, we observed a complete loss of cell viability in \(\text{cac1}\Delta \text{hir1}\Delta\ \text{cse4-107}\) triple mutant cells at semi-permissive temperatures. Therefore, \(\text{hir1}\) cells are sensitive to defects in multiple kinetochore proteins, and these interactions are not restricted to CBF3 subunits. We note that allele-specific genetic interactions with kinetochore components have already been observed for other kinetochore proteins such as \(\text{Ctf19}\) (Hyland et al. 1999), the Aurora-like kinase \(\text{Ipl1}\) (Biggins et al. 1999), and the \(\text{Duo1/Dam1/Dad1}\) complex that links spindle integrity and kinetochore function (Cheeseman et al. 2001; Enquist-Newman et al. 2001).

Synthetic dosage lethality has been previously observed upon overexpression of wild-type kinetochore proteins in cells with weakened kinetochore function (Kroll et al. 1996, Biggins et al. 1999, Hyland et al. 1999). To further test genetic interactions between CAF-1 and kinetochore proteins, we assessed sensitivity of kinetochore mutants to the overexpression of \(\text{CAC1}\). Galactose-induced overexpression of \(\text{CAC1}\) led to synthetic dosage lethality in \(\text{ndc10-1}, \text{ndc10-2},\) and \(\text{cep3-1}\) cells, but not in wild-type cells (data not shown). Again, there was some specificity in this phenotype because galactose-induced overexpression of \(\text{CAC1}\) did not cause lethality in cells bearing a temperature-sensitive mutation
in *IPL1*, the Aurora-like kinase required for kinetochore regulation (Biggins et al. 1999). Taken together, our synthetic phenotype and overexpression data strongly indicate that CAF-1 and Hir proteins play an important role in kinetochore function.

### Localization of CAF-1 and Hir to centromeric chromatin

To determine whether CAF-1 and Hir proteins act directly to affect chromatin structures at centromeric loci, we tested for localization of these proteins to centromeres. Indirect immunofluorescence experiments with chromosome spread preparations (Loidl et al. 1998) detected endogenous levels of both Cac1 and Hir1 proteins. Cac1 was organized into discrete subnuclear foci: each nucleus typically displayed two–four brightly staining foci; other more weakly staining sites were also observed (Fig. 4A). Hir1 was also organized into foci, but in this case, a higher number of total foci (6–10) was observed (Fig. 4B). We tested whether CAF-1 subunits or Hir1 colocalized with centromeric nuclear domains, which are organized into discrete clusters near the spindle pole body region (Guacci et al. 1997; Goshima and Yanagida 2000; He et al. 2000; Jin et al. 2000). Indeed, both the Cac1 and Cac2 subunits of CAF-1 colocalized with the kinetochore protein Ndc10 (Goh and Kilmartin 1993; Meluh and Koshland 1997) in chromosome spread preparations from asynchronous cells (Fig. 4D,E). Additionally, we have detected this colocalization in cells arrested in G1 phase with α-factor or in M phase with nocodazole (data not shown). Typically, the strongest staining Cac1 and Cac2 foci showed the highest degree of overlap with centromeric regions. Identical results were obtained using Cse4-HA as a centromere marker (data not shown). Colocalization of both Cac1 and Cac2 with kinetochore proteins suggests that these proteins are directed to centromeric chromatin as members of the CAF-I complex. Similar results were obtained when nuclei were stained for Hir1 (Fig. 4F); again, Ndc10 was seen to colocalize with a subset of Hir1 staining regions. We also tested whether the multiple foci of Cac1 and Hir1 staining were coincident, and we observed that a subset was not (Fig. 4C). Together, these data indicate that CAF-I and Hir proteins can localize independently to multiple genomic loci, but both are recruited to the centromeres.

In a second approach, chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) was used to confirm the localization of Cac1 to centromeric loci (Fig. 5A). Consistent with the immunolocalization data, immunoprecipitation of Cac1 resulted in the preferential recovery of the core centromeric regions for *CEN3* and *CEN16* relative to the control locus *ACT1*. We hypothesized that recruitment of Cac1 to centromeres was dependent on other kinetochore proteins and tested this by further ChIP experiments. Enrichment of Cac1 at *CEN3* was independent of the presence of the *HIR1* gene (Fig. 5B). In contrast, a conditional *ndc10* allele reduced enrichment of Cac1 at *CEN3* DNA (Fig. 5B), as previously observed for many kinetochore proteins (Goshima and Yanagida 2000; Enquist-Newman et al. 2001; He et al. 2001). Together, these localization data indicate that CAF-I and Hir1 are independently recruited constituents of centromeric chromatin. The presence of Cac1 and Hir1 at centromeres suggests that they act directly to contribute to kinetochore function.

Kinetochore proteins are distributed across centromeric chromatin in characteristic patterns. For example, the yeast CENP-A and CENP-C homologs, Cse4 and Mif2, are localized specifically over the core centromere DNA with little if any distribution on the flanking regions containing phased nucleosomes (Meluh and Koshland 1997; Meluh et al. 1998). In contrast, the cohesin protein Mcd1/Scc1 covers a region of several kilobases around centromeres (Blat and Kleckner 1999; Mege et al. 1999; Tanaka et al. 1999). To determine whether Cac1 distribution was similar to either of these patterns, we
performed ChIP and analyzed recovery of chromatin across a 10-kb region flanking CEN3 (Fig. 5C). We observed that Cac1 was enriched across the entire CEN-proximal region analyzed with subtle fluctuations, suggesting that CAF-I contributes to the full length of the specialized centromeric chromatin structure.

Defects in centromeric chromatin in cacΔ hirΔ cells

Our data predicted that simultaneous absence of CAF-I and Hir proteins would alter centromeric chromatin structure. To test for changes in the regions flanking the core centromere sequences, we performed indirect end-label analysis of micrococcal nuclease (MNase)-digested chromatin (Fig. 6A–C). As observed previously, a ladder of phased nucleosomes flanked both sides of the core centromeric sequences in wild-type cells (Bloom and Carbon 1982). At 30°C on the CDEIII-proximal side of CEN3, these digestion patterns were largely unchanged in cacΔ or hirΔ single mutant cells (Fig. 6A). In contrast, in cacΔ hirΔ double mutant cells, alterations in this chromatin structure occurred at multiple sites (see Fig. 6A, bullets). The most prominent changes included the ratio of three hypersensitive sites immediately adjacent to the CDEIII element. cacΔ hirΔ cells also displayed a general reduction in the periodicity in the digestion pattern. At 16°C, the periodicity in this digestion pattern was also slightly reduced in cacΔ cells, but not nearly to the degree observed in the double mutant cells (Fig. 6B, data not shown). On the CDEI-proximal side of CEN3, significant changes were observed in cacΔ hirΔ cells only at 16°C, with the appearance of several new digestion sites (Fig. 6C). However, we note that the centromere-distal phased nucleosomes were unperturbed in the cacΔ hirΔ cells, showing specificity in the structural alterations. Consistent with our genetic data, we

Figure 4. Cac1, Cac2, and Hir1 colocalize with centromere protein Ndc10. All strains were grown to log phase at 30°C and prepared for chromosome spreads as described [Loidl et al. 1998]. (A) Spread nuclei of yeast strains PKY028 (CAC1) and PKY1288 (CAC1-FLAG) were stained with anti-FLAG antibodies. Staining of Cac1-FLAG [green] is superimposed on DNA staining [DAPI, blue]. (B) Spread nuclei of yeast strains PKY087 (hir1Δ) and PKY028 (HIR1) were stained with anti-Hir1 antibody. Staining of Hir1 [red] is superimposed on DNA staining [DAPI, blue]. (C) Spread nuclei of strain PKY1288 (CAC1-FLAG) were processed for double-label immunofluorescence using anti-FLAG and anti-Hir1 antibodies. (D) Spread nuclei of yeast strain PKY2067 (CAC1-FLAG, NDC10-GFP) were stained with anti-FLAG [green] and anti-GFP antibodies [red]. (E) Spread nuclei of yeast strain PKY2046 (CAC2-HA, NDC10-GFP) were stained using anti-HA [green] and anti-GFP antibodies [red]. (F) Spread nuclei of yeast strain PKY2069 (HIR1, NDC10-GFP) were stained using anti-Hir1 [red] and anti-GFP antibodies [green]. Bar, 5 µm.
conclude that the structure of both core and flanking centromeric chromatin is most severely altered in cells that lack both CAF-I and Hir proteins, in a temperature-dependent manner that correlates with kinetochore function.

As an independent assay for chromatin structure at CEN3, we measured restriction enzyme accessibility at the core of the CEN3 locus (Saunders et al. 1988). A cluster of three closely spaced Dral restriction endonuclease sites at the CDEII region of CEN3 is protected from digestion in the presence of the centromere/kinetochore complex. Conditional mutations in CSE4 and HHF1 (histone H4), or depletion of histones H2B or H4 causes an increase in the accessibility of the Dral cluster (Saunders et al. 1990; Meluh et al. 1998), thus indicating that the integrity of centromeric nucleosomes is required for CDEII protection. Nuclei prepared from wild-type, cac1Δ, hir1Δ, and cac1Δ hir1Δ cells grown at 30°C were incubated with increasing amounts of Dral enzyme. DNA purified from these nuclear preparations was analyzed by Southern blot hybridization to quantify CDEII accessibility. Figure 6D shows the Dral accessibility at CEN3 CDEII relative to that observed in wild-type cells. We observed that cac1Δ and hir1Δ cells showed levels of CDEII accessibility that in all cases were less than twofold different from that observed in wild-type cells. In contrast, a fourfold increase in CDEII accessibility was observed in cac1Δ hir1Δ cells relative to that of wild type. Dral digestion of total chromatin occurred to the same degree in all samples and was independent of strain genotype (Fig. 6D, lower panel; data not shown). These data and the MNase experiments (Fig. 6A) lead us to conclude that CAF-1 and Hir proteins are required to maintain the integrity of core and flanking centromeric chromatin.

Alteration of Cse4 localization in cac1Δ hir1Δ cells

The observed alteration in centromeric chromatin structure in cac1Δ hir1Δ cells (Fig. 6) together with the genetic interactions with cse4-107 (Fig. 3C) suggested that the cellular pattern of Cse4 localization might be altered...

Figure 5. Cac1 is associated with centromeric chromatin. Formaldehyde cross-linked chromatin (1 h fixation) was prepared from cells grown to log phase at 30°C. (A) Chromatin prepared from yeast strain PKY1288 (CAC1-FLAG) was immunoprecipitated in the presence of anti-FLAG antibody or mock treated. PCR was performed on both total input and immunoprecipitated chromatin to visualize recovery of the core centromeric region of CEN3 (249 bp) and CEN16 (310 bp) relative to the ACT1 locus (367 bp). Dilution of total input chromatin: [lanes 1, 6] 1:32; [lanes 2, 7] 1:64; [lanes 3, 8] 1:128. Approximately one-fifth of the eluate from immunoprecipitation was used for PCR analysis: [lanes 4, 9] mock immunoprecipitation, [lanes 5, 10] the experimental immunoprecipitation. (B) Cac1 localization at CEN3 requires NDC10 but not HIR1. Chromatin was prepared from yeast strains [lanes 1, 3] PKY2199 (CAC1-FLAG); [lane 2] PKY2385 (CAC1-FLAG hir1Δ); and [lanes 4, 5] PKY2200 (CAC1-FLAG ndc10-1); and analyzed as in A. Total input chromatin was diluted 1:64 prior to PCR analysis. (C) Distribution of CAC1 across the CEN3 region. Chromatin was prepared from yeast strain PKY1288 (CAC1-FLAG), and PCR was performed as in A. As in all experiments, CEN DNA in these FLAG antibody immunoprecipitations was enriched relative to the ACT1 negative control locus (data not shown). (Row 1) Anti-FLAG precipitated chromatin, (row 2) mock-precipitated chromatin, and (row 3) total input chromatin [1:64 dilution] was analyzed for recovery of fragments at or flanking the core centromeric region of CEN3 as indicated on the diagram (not to scale).
in cac1Δ hir1Δ cells. Chromosome spreads prepared from wild-type, cac1Δ, hir1Δ, and cac1Δ hir1Δ cells grown at 30°C were immunostained to detect the presence of Cse4-HA (Fig. 7A). The vast majority of wild-type, cac1Δ, and hir1Δ nuclei showed that Cse4 localization was largely restricted to 1–2 foci per nucleus, consistent with previous observations of centromeric clustering (Guacci et al. 1997; Jin et al. 1998; Meluh et al. 1998). In contrast, a strikingly different pattern of Cse4 localization was observed in cac1Δ hir1Δ double mutants. An average of 32% of cac1Δ hir1Δ nuclei showed a less discrete localization (>2 foci) of Cse4, compared with a frequency of <4% observed for wild-type, cac1Δ, and hir1Δ nuclei.

The observed declustering of Cse4 could be explained by loss of sister chromatid cohesion, the loss of centromere clustering, or altered deposition of Cse4. We tested each of these possibilities. Using chromosomes marked with CEN-linked LacI-GFP arrays (Straight et al. 1996; Biggin et al. 1999), we observed no defects in sister chromatid cohesion in cac1Δ hir1Δ cells arrested in G2/M (Fig. 2B; data not shown). Furthermore, we observed that the Mcd1/Sec1 cohesin subunit was localized to centromeres in cac1Δ hir1Δ cells (data not shown). Together, these data indicated that changes in Cse4 localization did not reflect a loss of chromosome cohesion.

To test for declustering of centromeres, we examined the relative nuclear localization of Cse4 and the CBF3
subunit Ndc10. We observed that Cse4 colocalized with Ndc10 in all strains tested except for cac1 Δ hir1 Δ cells (Fig. 7B; data not shown). In cac1 Δ hir1 Δ cells, significant amounts of Cse4 were not coincident with the punctate localization of Ndc10. Therefore, in cac1 Δ hir1 Δ cells, Cse4 exists in extracentromeric locations. Chromatin immunoprecipitation experiments showed that Cse4 was localized indistinguishably to the core centromere region of CEN3 in wild-type (Meluh et al. 1998; Glowczewski et al. 2000) and cac1 Δ hir1 Δ lysates, and in neither case was Cse4 associated with 5-kb regions flanking CEN3 (data not shown). Therefore, we conclude that the extracentromeric deposition of Cse4 occurred at loci distant from the centromeres in cac1 Δ hir1 Δ cells. These data reinforce our conclusion that CAF-1 and Hir proteins are required for proper formation and function of kinetochores.

Discussion

We show here a novel role for chromatin assembly factor I and the Hir proteins: they contribute to the formation of functional kinetochores. This is the first demonstration that histone deposition proteins contribute to the specialized function of centromeric chromatin. Our data are consistent with previous experiments showing that mutations in core histone proteins (Smith et al. 1996; Pinto and Winston 2000) or nucleosome remodeling enzymes (Tsuchiya et al. 1998) also interfere with formation of centromeric chromatin. Together, these data support the idea that despite the simple primary sequence requirements for the budding yeast centromere, a more elaborate chromatin structure is the underlying functional unit required for kinetochore activity.

Cellular localization of CAF-I and Hir proteins

In vertebrate cells, CAF-I colocalizes with sites of DNA synthesis during S phase (Krude 1995). After completion of DNA replication, CAF-I is then relocalized to centric heterochromatin in a manner dependent on binding heterochromatin protein-1 (HP-1; Murzina et al. 1999; Taddei et al. 1999). However, HP-1 homologs are not present in budding yeast, and it had previously not been determined whether CAF-I in S. cerevisiae is localized to any specific loci. Here, we show yeast CAF-I is localized to centromeric chromatin in asynchronous cell populations, and we have observed this localization in cells arrested outside of S phase. Together, these data indicate that there may be an evolutionarily conserved role for CAF-I at centromeres outside of S phase.

Both CAF-I subunits and Hir proteins are organized into discrete nuclear foci, and only a subset of these colocalized with centromeres. Because of the data linking CAF-I to heterochromatic gene silencing, a reasonable hypothesis would have been that these extracentromeric foci represented the clustered telomeres or HM loci. However, our preliminary data show that only a minor subset of CAF-I foci colocalized with the Sir3 protein, a marker for silent regions in immunofluorescence experiments (Gotta et al. 1996; data not shown). These data indicate that cells contain several unidentified loci enriched in CAF-I. Likewise, Hir1 is organized into multiple foci, many of which are not coincident with Cac1 staining. In chromatin immunoprecipitation experiments, Hir1 localizes to the HTA1–HTB1 intergenic region, a locus that requires Hir proteins for transcriptional repression (Osley and Lycan 1987; Z. Nackerdien and M.A. Osley, unpubl.). This locus, together with other chromosomal regions that require the activity of Hir proteins to build repressive chromatin structures, are likely to represent some of the extracentromeric foci.
Complete elucidation of the biological roles of CAF-I and Hir proteins will require identification of all their sites of localization.

**Complexity of centromere requirement for chromatin assembly factors**

Several lines of genetic evidence strongly predict that Hir proteins are histone-deposition factors, and the orthologous mammalian HIRA protein binds histones [Lo-rain et al. 1998]. First, HIR1 is highly homologous to the CAC2-encoded subunit of CAF-I, indicating conserved gene function [Kaufman et al. 1998]. Second, the synergistic loss of heterochromatic gene silencing and slow-growth phenotypes of cacΔ hirΔ double mutant cells indicate overlapping functions of Hir proteins with those of CAF-I (Kaufman et al. 1998; Qian et al. 1998). Epistasis studies at HML have shown that HIR genes contribute to silencing at this locus via the same genetic pathway as the known histone-binding and -deposition factor ASF1 (Tyler et al. 1999; Sharp et al. 2001). Furthermore, Hir1 and Hir2 proteins interact physically with Asf1 in vitro and in cell extracts (Sharp et al. 2001) and in two-hybrid experiments [Sutton et al. 2001]. The simple genetic prediction from these data was that cacΔ asf1Δ mutant cells would show centromere phenotypes similar to those of cacΔ hirΔ cells. Instead, cacΔ asf1Δ cells showed a G2/M delay independent of spindle assembly checkpoint activation and segregated the CFIll[DB8.d] reporter minichromosome at frequencies similar to wild-type cells [data not shown]. Therefore, centromeres differ from the known silent regions by requiring HIR genes, but not ASF1 in the absence of CAF-I function. Further study will be needed to better understand this bifurcation of the HIR/ASF1 chromatin assembly pathway.

**Role of CAF-I and Hir proteins at the centromere**

Centromeres may serve as repositories for CAF-I when it is not active during S-phase DNA replication or recruited to sites of DNA repair [Martini et al. 1998]. However, we show here that CAF-I and the Hir proteins are components of centromeric chromatin and are required for proper centromere structure and function. Therefore, we propose active roles for CAF-I and Hir proteins in building functional kinetochore.

Given the known biochemical activities of CAF-I, a logical idea would be that CAF-I acts as a histone-deposition factor at CEN DNA. Such a role would not be necessarily restricted to S phase: recent data have suggested that budding yeast centromeric chromatin undergoes reversible oscillations caused by tension imposed by the spindle apparatus [He et al. 2000; Pearson et al. 2001]. Based on the length of centromeric chromatin that undergoes such deformations and measurement of the maximal deformation of the centromeric DNA, it has been calculated that centromeric DNA sequences can be stretched to a length corresponding to B-form DNA, which implies that existing nucleosomes would be lost.

Evidence for loss of nucleosomes upon physical stretching of chromatin fibers has also been obtained in single-molecule experiments [Cui and Bustamante 2000]. We therefore hypothesize that there is a continual need for replenishment of histones at yeast centromeres, and that this may be provided in part by CAF-I and Hir proteins. Also, we note that CAF-I contributes to maintaining the stability of the silent state at telomeres and HM loci [Monson et al. 1997; Enomoto and Berman 1998]. Because this silencing is maintained throughout the cell cycle [Loo and Rine 1995], these data are consistent with the idea that CAF-I can replace nucleosomes in dynamic chromatin structures outside of S phase.

The presence of a proper chromatin configuration at the centromere may be important for the establishment or maintenance of tension, which is required for anaphase entry in higher organisms [Rieder et al. 1994; Li and Nicklas 1995]. Tension requires both cohesion between sister chromatids and bipolar attachment to the mitotic spindle. The core centromere DNA sequence is required to establish and maintain sister chromatid cohesion, and cohesion proteins have been localized to centromeric chromatin [Blat and Kleckner 1999; Megee et al. 1999; Tanaka et al. 1999]. However, we observed no defects in sister chromatid cohesion or loading of the cohesin protein Mcd1/Scc1 onto centromeric DNA in cacΔ hirΔ cells [data not shown]. Furthermore, localization of CAF-I to centromeres during G2 phase when sister chromatids do not yet exist, suggest that CAF-I has roles other than assisting cohesion. It is therefore likely that reformation of nucleosomes at CEN DNA impacts kinetochore function independently of cohesion.

Instead, the ordered array of nucleosomes flanking the core centromere could be crucial for the proper positioning of core kinetochore components, without which attachment to the mitotic spindle could be perturbed. An analogous situation exists at origins of DNA replication, where movement of nucleosomes neighboring the binding site for the Origin Recognition Complex reduces the efficiency of replication initiation [Simpson 1990; Lipford and Bell 2001]. An alternative hypothesis is that the structure acts to enforce the extraordinarily specific localization of Cse4 to the CDEII region as opposed to other AT-rich sites in the genome. In cacΔ hirΔ cells, the centromere-specific histone Cse4 is deposited to regions outside of centromeric chromatin, as defined by colocalization experiments with the CBF3 subunit Ndc10 [Fig. 7]. Therefore, although CAF-I and Hir proteins are not required for Cse4 deposition, in their absence the Cse4-deposition mechanism is significantly perturbed. The specialized chromatin structure existing at yeast centromeres may therefore act to ensure the specificity of Cse4 deposition at centromeres.

**Materials and methods**

**Strains, plasmids, and growth conditions**

Yeast strains used in this study are listed in Table 2. Previously described deletion alleles in the W303 background include...
Table 2. Yeast strains used in this study

| Name          | Genotype                               | Source                      |
|---------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| PKY346        | MATα hmlΔ::LEU2                        | Kaufman et al. 1998         |
| PKY1100       | MATα hmlΔ::LEU2 cac1Δ::hisG             | This study                  |
| PKY1154       | MATα hmlΔ::LEU2 hir1α::HIS             | This study                  |
| PKY1168       | MATα hmlΔ::LEU2 cac1Δ::hisG hir1α::HIS | This study                  |
| PKY1340       | MATα hmlΔ::LEU2 cac1Δ::TRP1 hir1α::HIS | This study                  |
| PKY1257       | MATα hmlΔ::LEU2 cac1Δ::hisG hir1α::HIS madΔ::URA3 | This study |
| PKY1265       | MATα hmlΔ::LEU2 madΔ::URA3             | This study                  |
| RHC15         | MATα mad2Δ::URA3                       | Andrew Murray               |
| SBY164        | MATα ndc10-1                           | Sue Biggins                 |
| SBY165        | MATα ndc10-2                           | Sue Biggins                 |
| SBY163        | MATα ctf13-30                          | Sue Biggins                 |
| SBY168        | MATα cep3-1                            | Sue Biggins                 |
| SBY162        | MATα cep3-2                            | Sue Biggins                 |
| SBY597        | MATα/MATα cse4Δ::kanMX/CSE4            | This study, Molly Fitzgerald-Riehs |
| PKY2160       | MATα cse4Δ::kanMX + pCSE4-10HA-URA3    | This study                  |
| PKY028        | MATα                                  | Kaufman et al. 1997         |
| PKY1288       | MATα cac1Δ::hisG trp1::CAC1-FLAG::TRP1 | This study                  |
| PKY087        | MATα hir1α::HIS                       | Kaufman et al. 1998         |
| PKY2084       | MATα cse4Δ::kanMX + pCSE4-HA-TRP1      | This study                  |
| PKY2296       | MATα cac1Δ::hisG-URA3-hisG cse4Δ::kanMX + pCSE4-HA-TRP1 | This study         |
| PKY2298       | MATα hir1α::HIS cse4Δ::kanMX + pCSE4-HA-TRP1 | This study                  |
| PKY1719       | MATα cac1Δ::hisG-URA3-hisG hir1α::HIS cse4Δ::kanMX + pCSE4-HA-TRP1 | This study                  |
| PKY2069       | MATα ndc10::NDC10-GFP::HIS3            | This study                  |
| PKY2067       | MATα cac1Δ::hisG-URA3::HIS3 hir1α::HIS cse4Δ::kanMX + pCSE4-HA-TRP1 | This study                  |
| PKY2046       | MATα cac1Δ::TRP1 ura3::GPD-CAC2-HA::URA3 ndc10::NDC10-GFP::HIS3 | This study |
| PKY947        | MATα CHFII[DB8.d] ade3Δ                | This study                  |
| PKY2216       | MATα cac1Δ::hisG CHFII[DB8.d] ade3Δ    | This study                  |
| PKY665        | MATα his1α::HIS3 CHFII[DB8.d] ade3Δ    | This study                  |
| PKY2217       | MATα cac1Δ::hisG hir1α::HIS3 CHFII[DB8.d] ade3Δ | This study |
| PKY1531       | MATα mad2Δ::URA3 CHFII[DB8.d] ade3Δ    | This study                  |
| PKY2218       | MATα cac1Δ::hisG hir1α::HIS3 mad2Δ::URA3 CHFII[DB8.d] ade3Δ | This study                  |
| SBY214        | MATα his3-11::pCUP1-GFP12-Lac12::HIS3 trp1-1::lacO(256 repeats)::TRP1 bar1-1 lys2Δ | Sue Biggins |
| PKY1473       | MATα cac1Δ::hisG his3-11::pCUP1-GFP12-Lac12::HIS3 trp1-1::lacO(256 repeats)::TRP1 | This study                  |
| PKY1475       | MATα hir1α::HIS3 his3-11::pCUP1-GFP12-Lac12::HIS3 trp1-1::lacO(256 repeats)::TRP1 | This study                  |
| PKY1477       | MATα cac1Δ::hisG hir1α::HIS3 his3-11::pCUP1-GFP12-Lac12::HIS3 trp1-1::lacO(256 repeats)::TRP1 | This study                  |
| PKY2199       | MATα HMR::ADE2 cac1::CAG1-FLAG::URA3   | This study                  |
| PKY2200       | MATα HMR::ADE2 cac1::CAG1-FLAG::URA3 ndc10-1 | This study                  |
| PKY2385       | MATα cac1::CAG1-FLAG::URA3 hir1α::HIS3 | This study                  |
| PKY2444       | MATα ndc10::NDC10-GFP::HIS3 cse4Δ::kanMX (pCSE4-HA-TRP1) | This study                  |
| PKY453        | MATα cac1Δ::URA3 hisG hir1α::HIS3 ndc10::NDC10-GFP::HIS3 cse4Δ::kanMX (pCSE4-HA-TRP1) | This study                  |
| PKY390        | MATα hmlΔ::LEU2 MCD1-HA                | This study, Vincent Guacci  |
| PKY2427       | MATα hmlΔ::LEU2 MCD1-HA cac1Δ::hisG hir1α::HIS3 | This study |

All strains are in the W303 background and contain the leu2-3, 112; ura3-1; his3-11, 15; trp1-1; ade2-1; and can1-100 mutations.

cac2Δ::TRP1 [Kaufman et al. 1997], cac1Δ::hisG-URA3-kan8- 
hisG [Game and Kaufman 1999], hir1α::HIS3 [Recht et al. 1996], and 
hmlΔ::LEU2 [Kaufman et al. 1998]. The trp1::CAC1-FLAG:: 
::TRP1 allele in which the native CAC1 promoter drives Cac1- 
FLAG expression was constructed by inserting the BamHI/ 
EcoRI fragment from pPK184 [Sharp et al. 2001] into similarly 
digested pRS404 [Sikorski and Hieter 1989] to generate pPK264. 
pPK264 was digested with MfeI to target integration into the 
TRP1 locus. The cac1::CAC1-FLAG::URA3 allele in which the 
native CAC1 promoter drives Cac1-FLAG expression at the 
native CAC1 locus was constructed by inserting the BamHI/Eco 
RI fragment from pPK184 [Sharp et al. 2001] into pRS406 [Sikorski 
and Hieter 1989] to generate pPK229. pPK229 was digested with 
BamHI + Exspl, filled in with Klenow + dNTPs and religated to 
form pPK318. pPK318 was digested with EcoNI to direct integra 
tion to the CAC1 locus. The CAC2 gene with a triple HA tag 
[Krawitz et al. 2002] was inserted into a URA3 integration vec 
tor with the GPD promoter [Schena et al. 1991], generating 
pPK106, which was integrated at the URA3 locus. All tagged 
CAC alleles were shown to complement deletion mutations for 
telomeric silencing. ndc10::NDC10-GFP::HIS3 [Zeng et al. 1997] 
generated in the W303 strain background. The cse4Δ::kanMX deletion in W303 was a gift of Sue Biggins [Fred 
Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA]. The 
CHFII[DB8.d] fragment was generated in W303-based strains by 
digesting pDK352 [Shero et al. 1991] with NotI prior to transfor 
mation. Leu+ transformants were checked by pulse-field gel 
electrophoresis for the presence of a 125-kb chromosomal frag 
ment not present in untransformed cells.

The plasmids pCSE4-HA-TRP1 and pCSE4-10HA-URA3 have 
been described [Meluh et al. 1998; Chen et al. 2000]. Genetic 
interactions between cac1Δ and hir1Δ gene combinations with 
the cse4-10HA allele were determined to be independent of the 
HA tag, because cac1Δ and hir1Δ gene combinations with 
CSE4-HA displayed no temperature-sensitivity. A CAC1-FLAG 
allele driven by the GAL promoter was constructed in two 
steps. First, an N-terminal fragment (amino acids 1–331) from 
CAC1 flanked by S’ BamHI and S’ Nhel sites was generated by
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PCR and cloned into \textit{BanHI} + NheI-digested pVF1 [pRS416 [Si-korski and Hieter 1989] containing the GAL1-10 promoter inserted into the \textit{EcoRl–BanHI} sites], generating pPK293. Second, the FLAG-tagged C terminus of \textit{CAC1} from pPK184 [Sharp et al. 2001] was excised with \textit{BpiI} + \textit{BspI} and inserted into \textit{BpiI} + \textit{NorI}-digested pPK293, generating pPK299. Doubling times of strains in rich media at 30°C were determined by counting cells from sonicated samples with a hemocytometer. This method revealed that a \textit{cac1 \textit{hir} \Delta} strain had a significantly longer doubling time compared to single mutants or wild-type cells. Thus, the previous observation of a wild-type doubling time of some \textit{cac1 \textit{hir} \Delta} strains [Kaufman et al. 1998] was an underestimate because optical density measurements had been used.

Cell cycle analysis involving \textit{α}-factor arrest required that all strains analyzed be made isogenic for the \textit{hml\Delta:LEU2} mutation. \textit{cac1 \textit{hir} \Delta} double mutants are defective in silencing at the mating loci [Kaufman et al. 1998; Sharp et al. 2001]. However, \textit{cac1 \textit{hir} \Delta} \textit{hml\Delta} strains capable of expressing only a gene mating information arrested more efficiently in \textit{α}-factor. Samples were prepared for flow cytometry as described [http://www.fhcrc.org/ -getfacs/facs.html]. Flow cytometry profiles were analyzed and compiled using WinMDI 2.8 software.

Chromosome missegregation assays

Microscopic analysis of chromosome missegregation was performed using the lacO/lacI-GFP system [Straight et al. 1996; Biggins et al. 1999]. \textit{CUP1}-driven lacI-GFP expression was induced with 250 \textmu M CuSO4 for 30 min prior to cell harvest. Cells were fixed in 3.7% formaldehyde for 10 min at 4°C, washed twice in cold 0.1 M potassium phosphate (pH 7.5), resuspended in the same buffer, and sonicated briefly. Chromosome missegregation was scored by comparing \textit{CEN4} position (GFP fluorescence) with nuclear staining [Hoechst]. Quantitative analysis of chromosome missegregation was performed as described [Shero et al. 1991]. In brief, cells were grown to log phase at 30°C and plated onto YPD media containing 4% glucose. Between 50,000 and 80,000 colonies were plated for each strain at a colony density of 200–300 colonies per plate. Cells were incubated at 30°C for 2–3 d and then placed at 4°C to assist color development. Chromosome missegregation events were then monitored using half-sector analysis to quantitate chromosome loss or nondisjunction events in the first cell division of colony formation. Pink and white half-sectored colonies were scored as chromosome loss events; red and white half-sectored colonies were scored as chromosome nondisjunction events. The number of white colonies was subtracted from the total number of cells plated to determine chromosome missegregation frequencies.

Immunofluorescence microscopy

Chromosome spreads were performed as described previously [Loidl et al. 1998]. The primary antibodies used were mouse anti-FLAG (Sigma, 1:2000 dilution), rabbit anti-GFP (Seedorf et al. 1999, 1:3000 dilution), mouse anti-GFP [Roche, 1:1000 dilution], rabbit anti-Hir1 [1:2000 dilution], 12CA5 mouse anti-\textit{HA} (1:10,000 dilution), and rabbit anti-\textit{HA} [Clontech, 1:40 dilution]. The affinity-purified secondary antibodies used were FITC-conjugated goat anti-mouse antibody [Cappel/Organon Technika, 1:500 dilution], rhodamine-conjugated goat anti-rabbit antibody [Cappel/Organon Technika, 1:500 dilution], and Cy3-conjugated goat anti-rabbit antibody [Jackson Immunoresearch Laboratories, 1:750 dilution]. Indirect immunofluorescence was performed on spheroplasted yeast cells as described previously [Pringle et al. 1991]. The YOL1/34 rat anti-tubulin antibody [Accurate Chemical and Scientific Corp.] was used at a dilution of 1:200. FITC-conjugated anti-rat secondary antibody [Cappel/Organon Technika] was used at a dilution of 1:500. Microscopy was performed on a Nikon Eclipse E600 equipped with a Hamamatsu CCD camera controlled by ImageQuant software. Several control experiments were performed for the antibody colocalization experiments. First, immunofluorescent signals were all tag-dependent. Second, no bleedthrough of any fluorophore was observed in secondary filters. Likewise, control experiments were performed with the anti-Hir1 polyclonal antibody on \textit{hir} \Delta and \textit{HIR1} strains to show that staining was dependent on Hir1. Secondary antibodies used in double-labeling experiments were also tested to determine that there was no cross-reactivity to the reciprocal primary antibody.

Accessibility of centromeric chromatin

Yeast nuclei were prepared essentially as described previously [Hirschhorn et al. 1992], except that cell pellet resuspension steps after Zymolyase treatment were eliminated to preserve delicate spheroplasts. Instead, wash buffers were laid gently on top of the spheroplast pellet. Pellets were placed facing inward during spins so that centrifugal force mediated buffer exchange in the pellet. Spheroplasts were lysed in a 20-fold excess of 9% Ficol-400, 20 mM PIPE5 [pH 6.3], 0.5 mM CaCl2. After the final wash, nuclei were resuspended in MNase digestion buffer using 3–4 strokes of a Dounce homogenizer [loose pestle]. A detailed protocol of these procedures is available upon request. For indirect end-labeling experiments, nuclei were digested with 0.6 U/mL MNase [Sigma] at 32°C for 0, 5, 10, and 15 min. Digestions were terminated by the addition of one-quarter volume 5% SDS, 20 mM EDTA [pH 8.0], 20 mM EGTA [pH 8.0]. After organic extraction and precipitation, genomic DNA was digested with \textit{ClaI} to visualize nucleosome positioning over a 1.5-kb region adjacent to the CDEIII element. Blots were hybridized using a PCR-generated probe corresponding to SGD coordinates 113757–114293 on Chromosome III. To analyze nucleosome positioning proximal to an 8.5-kb region adjacent to CDEI, genomic DNA from MNase-treated samples was purified and digested with \textit{BanHI}. Blots were hybridized with a PCR-generated probe corresponding to the \textit{Drak–BanHI} region of \textit{CEN3} [Chromosome III, SGD coordinates 114318–114934]. The \textit{Drak} assay to assess CDEII accessibility of \textit{CEN3} was performed as described [Saunders et al. 1990; Meluh et al. 1998]. The 0.9-kb probe used was generated by PCR and corresponds to the \textit{BanHI–HindIII} region of \textit{CEN3} [Chromosome III, SGD coordinates 114943–115835]. \textit{Drak} cutting at CDEII results in the appearance of a 2.9-kb fragment in addition to the 5.1-kb genomic fragment after \textit{EcoRl} digestion. The signal strength of the bands was quantitated from a phosphorimage exposure using Bio-Rad Quantity One software. The degree of \textit{Drak} digestion was calculated as % CDEII cut [signal for 2.9-kb fragment] relative to the total signal [2.9-kb fragment + 5.1-kb fragment] for each strain tested, normalized to the values obtained for the wild-type strain.

Chromatin immunoprecipitation

In vivo cross-linking and chromatin immunoprecipitation were performed as described [Meluh and Broach 1999]. Immunoprecipitation was performed on the diluted chromatin solution with anti-FLAG antibody or anti-HA antibody at a concentration of 5 \textmu g/mL. PCR analysis of total and immunoprecipitated chromatin was performed using primers to amplify the \textit{ACT1} promoter [Chromosome VI, SGD coordinates 54660–55027].
CEN3 [Chromosome III, SGD coordinates 114316–114564], and CEN16 [Chromosome XVI, SGD coordinates 555852–556162]. Sequences of oligonucleotides used to amplify CEN3-proximal regions are available upon request.
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