Human recombinant IL-10 reduces xenogenic cytotoxicity via macrophage M2 polarization
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Abstract

Xenotransplantation has been considered an alternative to the moderate shortage of donor organs for transplantation. To achieve successful xenotransplantation, there is the need to overcome immune rejection. Although, hyperacute rejection has been overcome by α1,3-galactosyltransferase knockout pig, cellular immune rejection remains as a subsequent barrier. Interleukin-10 (IL-10) is known as an anti-inflammatory and immunomodulatory cytokine which has been shown to limit inflammatory responses by inhibiting macrophage activation in several animal experiments. To study the effect of human IL-10 (hIL-10) on pig-to-human xenotransplantation, porcine kidney epithelial cell line (PK(15)) expressing hIL-10 was established. The cytotoxicity of macrophages decreased by hIL-10 from transgenic cells. Furthermore, there is a decreased production of pro-inflammatory cytokines, tumor necrosis factor-α and interleukin-23, and increased anti-inflammatory cytokines like IL-10, but not transforming growth factor beta, in the presence of hIL-10. Also, macrophage polarization toward M2-like phenotype were induced by hIL-10 from transgenic PK(15) cells. Finally, we suggest that the cytotoxicity of human macrophages was reduced by hIL-10 from transgenic cells, inducing M2-like macrophage polarization. Therefore, these results show that hIL-10 transgenic pig can be used as a model to overcome acute immune rejection in pig-to-human xenotransplantation.

1. Introduction

Currently, xenotransplantation is be an alternative therapeutic technology for overcoming the shortage of organ donation [1]. However, there are three main limitations which are social ethical problem, zoonosis and immunological rejection [2]. To overcome immune rejection following xenotransplantation, genetic manipulation of α1,3-galactosyltransferase (GaIT), Non-Gal-antigens and complement regulatory genes relating to hyperacute rejection was researched [3]. However, in the acute rejection initiated by the deposition of antibody and complement [4] followed by sequential T cell responses [5], macrophages, which are antigen-presenting cells (APCs), acted as the bridge between innate and adaptive immunity.

According to recent studies, Interleukin-10 (IL-10) is an immunoregulatory and anti-inflammatory cytokine having a central role related to the inactivation of immune cells [5,6]. Among immune cells, IL-10 can reduce macrophage activation and proliferation through STAT3 signaling [7]. M2 macrophages induced by IL-10 have the characteristics functional inhibitory markers and the ability to reduce inflammation, phagocytosis capacity, producing extracellular matrix components, angiogenic and chemotactic factors [8-10]. Also, IL-10 secreted from macrophages in IL-10 autocrine manner may inhibit the activation of CD4+ and CD8+ cells and promote the induction of IL-10-secreting regulatory T cells [11-14].

In a xenotransplantation of pancreatic islet from rat to mice, macrophage activity was confirmed to be reduced with IL-10/Fc administration, however T cell infiltration was not decreased, and survivability was prolonged [15]. In addition, IL-10 overexpression through lentivirus injection into a wound decreased inflammation, making environment conducive for wound healing [16].

Thus, we wondered if the cytotoxicity of THP-1-differentiated-macrophage could decrease against human porcine cells expressing
human IL-10 (hIL-10) than the wild type cells. We also examined whether macrophage polarization could be changed by IL-10 secreted from transgenic cells in vitro. The results of this study showed that there was reduced cytotoxicity by hIL-10 expression in transgenic pig cells and M2 macrophage polarization could be induced by secreted-IL-10-reaction with macrophages.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Cell culture

THP-1 cell line (American Type Culture Collection, TIB-202) was cultured in RPMI-1640 (Gibco, 22240-089) containing 10% of heat inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS, Hycolone, SH30919.03), 1% MEM non-essential amino acid solution (Gibco, 11140), 1% Penicillin/Strep-tomyein (P/S, Gibco, 15140), and 0.1 mM β-mercaptoethanol (β-ME, Sigma, M7522) at 37 °C in an incubator with a 5% CO₂ atmosphere. To obtain macrophages, THP-1 were differentiated with 10 ng/ml phorbol-12-myristate-13-acetate (PMA, Sigma, P8139), and 500 ng/mL ionomycin (Sigma, I3909) for 48 hours, with media changed the next day for 24 hours.

Porcine Kidney epithelial cell line (PK(15), American Type Culture Collection, CCL-33) line was maintained in Dulbeco’s Modified

| Species | Gene | Sequence |
|---------|------|----------|
| Human   | GAPDH| F 5′_CCACTGCTCCACCTTTGAAC_3′ |
|         |      | R 5′_ACCCCTGTTGCTTAGCCA_3′ |
|         | IL-10| F 5′_ATGCACAGCTCGACTGCTTG_3′ |
|         |      | R 5′_TCAAGTTTGATCTTCTTGGTTC_3′ |
|         | IL-10-qPCR| F 5′_GCTGCTACTGATTTTCTCCC_3′ |
|         |      | R 5′_TCGTCGCTATGCCTTTGCTG_3′ |
|         | CCR7 | F 5′_AGTCTCCAGCTGGCTTACA_3′ |
|         |      | R 5′_TGTTAGGCGATGTGATTG_3′ |
|         | CD163| F 5′_CAGGTCACAAACACTTGCTC_3′ |
|         |      | R 5′_CCTGCTCTATGCGCAGCA_3′ |
|         | IL-23| F 5′_AGGACACTCTGTCACGGTG_3′ |
|         |      | R 5′_GAGGAAGATTGTTAGACGG_3′ |
|         | TNF-α| F 5′_ACTGACAGGCTGACACAG_3′ |
|         |      | R 5′_ACTGGTTGGCTCTTCAC_3′ |
|         | TGF-β| F 5′_CCCTGGACACCAACTATTG_3′ |
|         |      | R 5′_CACAGATGTTGGCATGTCG_3′ |
| Porcine | GAPDH| F 5′_GCACATCGACCACCCAGAA_3′ |
|         |      | R 5′_GCGATGAGCTCTCCGGTTGA_3′ |

Fig. 1. Establishment of PK(15) expressing hIL-10. The expression levels of hIL-10 determined by (A) RT-PCR, (B) Western blot, (C) real-time quantitative PCR and (D) ELISA. Data are presented as mean ± S. D (n = 3 biological and technical triplicates). (**P < 0.0005 and ****P < 0.0001).
Eagle’s Medium (DMEM, Hyclone, SH30243.01) containing 10% FBS, 1% MEM non-essential amino acid solution, 1% P/S, and 0.1 mM β-ME at 37 °C in an incubator with a 5% CO₂ atmosphere. PK(15) cell was transfected with pcDNA3.1/hygromycin (−) -hIL-10 using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen, 11668-027) following the manufacturer’s instructions. From 24 hours post-transfection, transgenic cells were added with 300 μg/mL hygromycin (Sigma, H3274) for 2 weeks. After 300 μg/mL hygromycin selection, transfected cells were transferred to the cell-culture-dish and maintained in complete DMEM at 37 °C in an incubator with a 5% CO₂ atmosphere.

2.2. Gene manipulation of IL-10

Human interleukin-10 (hIL-10) complementary DNAs (cDNA) were synthesized by reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) from mRNA of THP-1 cells using the PCR cloning primers. The PCR product was inserted to pcDNA3.1/hygromycin (−) (Invitrogen) with restriction enzymes, NotI and BamHI.

2.3. Quantitative PCR

Total RNA was isolated from PK(15), PK(15)-hIL10, THP-1-derieved-macrophages or THP-1-derived-macrophages treated with culture soup by using Trizol (Life Technologies, 15596018). cDNAs were synthesized by using High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems™, 4368814) (Table 1). SYBR® Premix Ex taq™II (Takara, RR820) -base quantitative PCR (qPCR) was conducted using human primers to Ccr7, Cd163, Gapdh, Il10, Il23, Tnfa and Tgfl. The protocol for performing qPCR, was as follows: 95 °C for 10 minutes, 40 cycles of 95 °C for 15 seconds, and 60 °C for 1 minutes on QuantStudio 5 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems). mRNA levels were calculated to Gapdh and reported as relative mRNA expression (ΔΔCt) or fold change.

2.4. Cytotoxicity assay

THP-1 cells were seeded in 96 well culture plate (SPL, 30096) with 10 ng/mL PMA and 500 ng/mL ionomycin for 48 hours, with media changed the next day for 24 hours. After media change on differentiated THP-1 cell, Target cells, PK(15) cells and PK(15)-hIL10 which is trans-fected human IL-10 in the PK(15) cell line were labelled with CellTrace™ CFSE (Life technologies™, C34554) and seeded in a 96 well plate with THP-1-derived-macrophages. THP-1 differentiated-macrophages were co-cultured with target cells for 24 hours with E:T ratio; 1:1, 2:1, 5:1, 10:1, and 20:1. Co-cultured cells were stained by 7-AAD (BD, 559925) in 100 μL of PBS. The cytotoxicity of the macrophage was analyzed with the FACS Calibur flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson) as the percentage of dead cells (CFSE−7-AAD−).

2.5. Western blotting

For hIL-10 detection, the supernatant of PK(15) and PK(15)-hIL10 was collected and centrifuged at 300 g for 10 minutes. The samples were lyophilized using Freezezone Plus (Labconco, 7960040) and the protein concentration was determined with the Bradford assay (Bio-rad, 500-0006). Proteins (5 μg/well) were added 2 X Laemli sample buffer (Bio-rad, 1610737) on 4 %–12% Bis-Tris polyacrylamide gels (Invitrogen, NW04120BOX), and the bands were transferred to nitrocellulose membrane (Bio-rad, 1620115). The membranes were blocked in Dulbecco’s Phosphate Buffered Saline (Welgene, LB001-02) with 0.1% tween 20 (Sigma, P9416)/5% skim milk (BD, 232100) for 1 hour at room temperature. Primary and secondary antibodies were diluted at 1:1000 and 1:5000, respectively for blotting. Quantitation and imaging of western blots were done using LAS 3000 imaging system (Fuji), following the manufacturer’s instructions.
2.6 Antibody and reagents

To perform flow cytometry and Western blot, the following materials were used: goat anti-mouse IgG antibody, peroxidase conjugated, H-L (Millipore, AP124P), anti-human IL-10 (Peprotech, 500-M86), recombinant hIL-10 (Peprotech, 200-10), and western blotting luminol reagent (Santa Cruz, sc-2048).

2.7 Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed with GraphPad Prism 7 (GraphPad Software, USA) and represented as mean ± SD. All experiments were performed in triplicates. Statistical analyses were performed using a two-tailed Student's t-test. Differences were considered statistically significant at \( p < 0.05 \).

3. Result

3.1 Evaluation of hIL-10 gene manipulation and protein secretion in the PK(15)

To determine the reduced cytotoxicity effect of hIL-10 on pig-to-human xenotransplantation in vitro, hIL-10 expressing PK(15) cells were established using CMV-promoter, which was whole body expressed. The genetic expression of hIL-10 on PK(15) cells was detected by qPCR and the secreted protein of hIL-10 was quantified using the Western blot. According to the result, the mRNA levels of hIL-10 in transgenic cells were significantly higher than those in normal PK(15) cells (Fig. 1A and C). The secreted protein of hIL-10 was detected in the culture soup of PK(15)-hIL10 cells and the result of the quantification that was 15.31 ± 0.1297 ng/mL (Fig. 1B and D). It means that the hIL-10 secreting porcine cell line was well established and that it can be used as xenogenic antigen model of the human immune system with IL-10 supplement.

3.2 Inhibition of xenogenic cytotoxicity by hIL-10 secretion in PK(15) cells

To figure out the immune modulating effect of hIL-10 in pig-to-human co-culture system, firstly, the best effector to target ratios for the cytotoxicity assays were validated. According to the result, the E:T ratio of 10:1 was sufficient to induce the killing of PK(15) cells after 24 hours by THP-1-derived-macrophage co-culture (Fig. 2A). Based on this result, cytotoxicity assay was conducted at an E:T ratio of 10:1. The proportion of dead cells which occurred through THP-1-derived-macrophages was decreased (14.17% ± 2.652%) in the PK(15)-hIL10 group compared to the PK(15) group (Fig. 2B). This suggests that hIL-10 secreted by porcine cells can play the role of immune modulation in xenogenic cytotoxicity.

In order to determine whether or not the decrement in the cytotoxicity of PK(15)-hIL10 was due to the effects of hIL-10, recombinant protein hIL-10 (rhIL-10), an assay was performed under co-culture with human macrophage and PK(15) cells. We found the cytotoxicity of THP-1-derived-macrophage to normal PK(15) cells significantly decreased...
from 100 ng/mL rhIL-10 treatment (Fig. 2C). These results show that the secreted hIL-10 from transgenic cells had the ability to reduce the xenogenic cytotoxicity of THP-1-derived-macrophages.

3.3. hIL-10 induces M2 macrophage polarization xenogenic antigen exposure condition

In previous studies, IL-10-stimulated-macrophages could be induced towards M2 macrophage polarization [17,18]. To determine whether M2 polarization was induced by IL-10 with xenogenic antigen, the THP-1-derived-macrophages were treated with the culture soup of PK (15) and PK(15)-hIL10 for 48 hours. The mRNA levels of CCR7 and CD163 as M1 and M2 markers, respectively, were quantified through qPCR analysis. There were decreasing CCR7 mRNA expressions in PK (15)-hIL10 group, while they increased in PK(15) group in a time-dependent manner and there was significant difference between the PK(15) and PK(15)-hIL10 group from 12 hours (Fig. 3A). Also, the CD163 mRNA expression increased in the group treated with the culture soup of PK(15)-hIL10 compared to the WT conditions (Fig. 3B). To investigate that the markers of macrophage polarization were regulated by IL-10, THP-1-derived-macrophages were treated with culture soup of PK(15) or containing 100 ng/mL rhIL-10 for 24 hours. Compared with the PK(15) group, rhIL-10 decreased CCR7 and increased CD163 in PK (15)-containing rhIL10 group (Fig. 3C). These data show that the macrophages stimulated secreted hIL-10 with xenogenic antigens were induced toward M2-like macrophages polarization.

3.4. Cytokine profiling of human macrophage caused by hIL-10 with xenogenic antigen

The regulated effect of macrophages treated hIL-10 with xenogenic antigens was investigated by determining, the gene expression profile of THP-1-derived-macrophages in xenograft status, using qPCR analysis. While confirming the gene expression pattern of the culture soup-treated macrophage at 48 hours, a significant decrease in the expression of TNFα and IL-23 was observed in the PK(15)-hIL10 group compared to the PK (15) group. On the other hand, in the PK(15)-hIL10 group, IL-10, an anti-inflammatory cytokine, was confirmed to increase while not TGFβ (Fig. 4A–D). These results indicate that the expression of pro-inflammatory cytokine in macrophage is reduced by the secreted hIL-10, and, conversely, the anti-inflammatory cytokine is increased, thereby reducing the cytotoxicity of the target cells.

4. Discussion

Previous reports have shown that IL-10 promotes M2-like polarization giving rise to M2-like functional phenotypes that have similar properties to IL-4- or IL-13-activated macrophages [18,19]. Focused on xenotransplantation, we confirmed that the secreted IL-10 from transgenic porcine cells can regulate the polarization of human macrophages. In this study, hIL-10-expressing PK(15) cells exhibited reduced cytotoxicity of macrophages than WT PK(15) cells. These results confirm that macrophage polarization was induced toward M2 stage by secreted IL-10 from PK(15)-hIL10 cells, as reported in previous studies [17,20,21].

In previous studies, macrophages were induced toward M1 macrophages by the supernatant of PK(15) in vitro culture with xenantigens [22,23]. Also, we confirmed that the level of CCR7 was decreased while the level of CD163 was increased in THP-1-derived-macrophages co-cultured with hIL-10. In addition, the cytokine levels of M2 macrophages were up-regulated except those of TGFβ, while the cytokine levels of M1 macrophages were down-regulated in THP-1-derived-macrophages which was co-cultured with hIL-10. The reduced cytotoxic effect of macrophages was thought to be due to the M2 macrophage polarization by the secreted hIL-10.

IL-10 is known as the immunomodulatory cytokine that regulates...
The role of IL-10 in xenogenic status can inhibit the Th17 and IL-23 pro-inflammatory cytokine, were down-regulated by IL-10 with xenogenic antigen in THP-1-derived-macrophages. However, we think it is necessary to investigate why the TGFβ expression decreased in macrophages treated secreted IL-10.

In this study, we found that hIL-10 expressing from porcine cells induces macrophage polarization into M2-like macrophages, reducing the cytotoxic effect of human macrophages. Therefore, these findings suggest that hIL-10 transgenic pig may be considered a useful model to overcome xenograft rejection.
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