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Abstract

This note is concerned with the disproof of the most general case of Parker’s conjecture as stated in [6, 5]. The conjecture relates a certain group theoretic objects to the field of moduli of a Dessin.

In his famous memoir "Esquisse d’un programme" (a translation of which can be find in [4]), Alexandre Grothendieck proposed the study of the absolute Galois group Gal(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) via its faithful action on a collection of combinatorial objects that he called dessins d’enfants or children drawings.

The idea is based on a theorem of Belyi (see [1] or [7]) which states that an algebraic curve \(X\) can be defined over \(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}\) if and only if it admits a map \(\beta : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1\), defined over \(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}\) and ramified only over the points \(0, 1, \infty\). Such a map is called a Belyi map and the pair \((X, \beta)\) is called a Belyi pair. Of course the group \(\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})\) has a natural action of Belyi pairs.

Given a Belyi pair one can construct a bipartite graph on the surface \(X\) lifting the segment \(0, 1\) from \(\mathbb{P}^1\). This is the dessin that corresponds to the Belyi pair. The two notions are completely equivalent more precisely there is a natural (but non-trivial) way to reconstruct the pair from the dessin. Therefore the group \(\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})\) acts on the collection of dessins, that is those bipartite graphs on surfaces with the property that the complement of the dessin is a union of simply connected cells (corresponding to the points above \(\infty\)).
Finally given a Belyi pair/dessin, one can construct the action of the fundamental group of \( \mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\} \) on a generic fiber. This associates a permutation group called the monodromy group to the dessin. More precisely the fundamental group \( \pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\}, p) = \langle a, b, c|abc = 1 \rangle \) where \( a, b, c \) are standard generators of the fundamental group (loops around 0, 1 and \( \infty \)). The corresponding pair of permutations given by the images of \( a \) and \( b \) define the dessin up to isomorphism. Therefore you get an action of \( \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \) on this collection of pairs of permutations.

We refer to the survey [7] for details on the various constructions.

One of the central questions in the area is finding "good" invariants of a dessin. That is, finding invariants that will differentiate between dessins that are not Galois conjugate.

One interesting invariant is the field of moduli. Given a dessin \( D \) consider the stabiliser of \( D \) in \( \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \), that is the group \( \Gamma_D := \{ g \in \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})|D^g = D \} \). Note that the dessin is not just the curve but rather the curve \( X \) but the Belyi cover and the monodromy group. The group \( \Gamma_D \) is then the stabiliser of the triple \((X, \beta, G)\) where The field of moduli of \( D \) is the field \( \text{Fix}(\Gamma_D) \).

A field \( K \) is called a field of definition for \( D \) if there exists a Belyi pair for \( D \) so that \( X, \beta \) and the monodromy group are defined over \( K \). Equivalently the field of moduli of a dessin is the intersection of all its fields of definitions. The field of moduli does not need to be a field of definition. It is quite hard in practice to compute the field of moduli of various dessins and Parker’s conjecture proposes an alternative method.

As before a dessin \( D \) can be completely described by a pair of permutations. If the dessin \( D \) is given by two permutations \( a, b \) and \( G \) is the monodromy group then consider the element \( x = \sum_{g \in G}(g^{-1}ag, g^{-1}bg) \in \mathbb{Q}[G \times G] \). R. Parker conjectured in 1984 that the field of moduli of \( D \) is generated over \( \mathbb{Q} \) by the eigenvalues of \( x \) in its action on \( \mathbb{Q}[G \times G] \). This was listed as one of the remarkable open problems in the field in the field (see[7, 6, 5]).

In an unpublished note, L Schneps proved the conjecture for the case of genus zero dessins. In each of those cases however, the field of moduli was abelian. The aim of this note is to show that this needs to be the case, see Corollary 8 and the following weaker version of the conjecture.

**The group theory** Consider a group \( G \) and two elements \( a, b \in G \). Moreover consider the element \( x = \sum_{g \in G}(g^{-1}ag, g^{-1}bg) \in \mathbb{Q}[G \times G] \) in its left action on \( \mathbb{Q}[G \times G] \). We will extend the field of constants to \( \mathbb{C} \) and work inside \( \mathbb{C}[G] \).
respectively \( \mathbb{C}[G \times G] \). The following is rather obvious.

**Lemma 1.** The element \( x \) commutes with the diagonal copy of \( \mathbb{C}[G] \) in \( \mathbb{C}[G \times G] \). Note that if we regard \( \mathbb{C}[G \times G] \) as a \( \mathbb{C}[G] \)-module it is isomorphic to \( \mathbb{C}[G] \otimes \mathbb{C}[G] \). If \( U, V \) are irreducible representations of \( G \) then \( U \otimes V \) is a submodule of \( \mathbb{C}[G] \otimes \mathbb{C}[G] \). In particular if we denote by \( \lambda \) the trivial representation of \( G \) then both \( \lambda \otimes V \) and \( V \otimes \lambda \) are irreducible \( \mathbb{C}[G] \)-submodules of \( \mathbb{C}[G] \otimes \mathbb{C}[G] \) and they are isomorphic to \( V \).

**Corollary 2.** The element \( x \) permutes the irreducible \( \mathbb{C}[G] \)-submodules of \( \mathbb{C}[G \times G] \). In particular the eigenspaces of \( x \) are \( \mathbb{C}[G] \)-submodules of \( \mathbb{C}[G \times G] \).

**Lemma 3.** If \( U, V \) are irreducible representations of \( G \) then \( U \otimes V \) is a submodule of \( \mathbb{C}[G] \otimes \mathbb{C}[G] \) that is invariant under \( x \). If \( W \) is an irreducible \( \mathbb{C}[G] \)-submodules of \( \mathbb{C}[G \times G] \) that is invariant under \( x \) then \( W \) is included in an eigenspace of \( x \).

**Proof.** The first statement is an easy verification. To show the second assertion one notes that \( x \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}[G]}(V) \cong \mathbb{C} \) from Schur’s lemma.

**Corollary 4.** If \( V \) is an irreducible representation of \( G \) then \( x \) leaves both \( \lambda \otimes V \) and \( V \otimes \lambda \) invariant. Moreover the two modules will in fact be eigenspaces for \( x \) and the respective eigenvalues will be rational multiples of the character values of \( b \) respectively \( a \) on the module \( V \).

**Proof.** Of course if \( 1 \otimes v \in \lambda \otimes V \) then \( x(1 \otimes v) = \sum_{g \in G} 1 \otimes g^{-1} b g(v) \in \lambda \otimes V \). Moreover \( \lambda \otimes V \) is simple as a \( \mathbb{C}[G] \) module hence by the above lemma \( x \) will act as a scalar on the simple module \( \lambda \otimes V \). This means that \( \lambda \otimes V \) is an eigenspace for \( x \). If we examine the trace of action of \( x \) on this module we note that \( \text{tr}(x) = |G| \text{tr}(b) = |G| \chi(b) \) where \( \chi \) is the character of \( V \). Moreover since \( x \) is a scalar, \( \text{tr}(x) = \chi(1) \rho \) where \( \rho \) is the eigenvalue of \( x \) on \( \lambda \otimes V \). It follows that \( \rho = |G| \frac{\chi(b)}{\chi(1)} \).

**Corollary 5.** The set of character values of the elements \( a \) and \( b \) are included in the field obtained by adjoining the eigenvalues of \( x \) to \( \mathbb{Q} \).

Consider \( K \) a splitting field of \( G \) (that is a field such that any \( K[G] \) irreducible module is absolutely irreducible).

**Lemma 6.** The field generated by the eigenvalues of \( x \) is contained in the splitting field of \( G \).
We will consider the natural inclusion $\mathbb{Q}[G \times G] \subseteq K[G \times G]$ and view $x$ as an element of $K[G \times G]$. At the same time for the purpose of finding the eigenvalues we also consider the natural embedding $K[G \times G] \subseteq \mathbb{C}[G \times G]$.

**Proof.** Note that a $K[G]$-module $V$ is irreducible if and only if $\text{End}_{K[G]}(V) = K$ (see 29.13 of [3]). Therefore if $V$ is an irreducible $K[G]$-module that is invariant under $x$ then $x$ acts on it as a scalar in $K$.

Conversely consider $\bar{W}$ an eigenspace of $x$ (this is of course a subspace of $\mathbb{C}[G \times G]$). There exists a subspace $\bar{V} \leq \bar{W}$ that is an irreducible $\mathbb{C}[G]$-module. Since $K$ is the splitting field of $G$ there exists an irreducible $K[G]$-module $V$ such that $\bar{V} = V \otimes_K \mathbb{C}$ (for example see problem 28.9 in [3]). Since $x$ acts as a scalar on $\bar{V}$ it will fix $V$. Moreover the action of $x$ on $V$ depends exclusively on the action of $G$ on $V$ so it will be $K[G]$-linear. In particular $x$ acts on $V$ as an element of $\text{End}_{K[G]}(V) = K$ and so the eigenvalues of $x$ are in $K$. \qed

Combining the results we obtain the following:

**Theorem 7.** Let $L$ be the field generated over $\mathbb{Q}$ by all the eigenvalues of $x$. Then $k \leq L \leq K$, where $k$ is the field generated by the character values of $a$ and $b$ and $K$ is the field generated by the $|G|$-roots of one.

**Corollary 8.** Parker’s conjecture can only hold for dessins with abelian fields of moduli.
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