Investigation of PALB2 Mutation and Correlation With Immunotherapy Biomarker in Chinese Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer Patients
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Background: PALB2, a gene in the homologous recombination repair (HRR) pathway of the DNA damage response (DDR), is associated with the efficacy of platinum-based chemotherapy, immunotherapy, and PARP inhibitor therapy in several tumors. However, the PALB2 characteristics, its correlation with immunotherapy biomarker, and the prognostic effect of immunotherapy in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) were unknown.

Methods: Tumor tissue samples from advanced Chinese NSCLC patients were analyzed by next-generation sequencing (NGS) (panel on 381/733-gene). Tumor mutation burden (TMB) is defined as the total number of somatic non-synonymous mutations in the coding region. Microsatellite instability (MSI) was evaluated by NGS of 500 known MSI loci. Programmed Cell Death-Ligand 1 (PD-L1) expression was evaluated using immunohistochemistry (Dako 22C3 or SP263). One independent cohort (Rizvi2018.NSCLC.240.NGS cohort) containing genomic and clinical data from 240 patients with advanced NSCLC and two cohorts (the OAK and POPLAR study cohort) containing genomic and clinical data from 429 patients with advanced NSCLC were used to analyze the prognostic effect of PALB2 on immunotherapy.

Results: Genetic mutation of 5,227 NSCLC patients were analyzed using NGS, of which 162 (3.1%) harbored germline PALB2 mutation (PALB2<sup>gmut</sup>) and 87 (1.66%) harbored somatic PALB2 mutation (PALB2<sup>smut</sup>). In NSCLC patients with PALB2<sup>gmut</sup> and PALB2<sup>smut</sup>, the most frequently mutated gene was TP53 (65%, 64%). PALB2<sup>smut</sup> (14.52 Muts/Mb) was associated with higher TMB (p < 0.001) than PALB wild-type (PALB<sup>wt</sup>) (6.15 Muts/Mb). However, there was no significant difference in TMB between PALB2<sup>gmut</sup> (6.45 Muts/Mb) and PALB2<sup>wt</sup> (6.15 Muts/Mb) (p = 0.64). There was no difference in PD-L1 expression among PALB2<sup>gmut</sup>, PALB2<sup>smut</sup>, and PALB2<sup>wt</sup>. In the Rizvi2018.NSCLC.240.NGS cohort, there was no difference in progression-free survival (PFS) (HR = 1.06, p = 0.93) between PALB2 mutation (3.15 months) and PALB2<sup>wt</sup> (3.17 months). The OAK and POPLAR study cohort of NSCLC patients showed that there was
INTRODUCTION

The DNA damage response (DDR) is a collective term for the plethora of different intra- and intercellular signaling events and enzyme activities that result from the induction and detection of DNA damage (1). As a hot direction, there are many pieces of research related to DDR at present, which shows that DDR not only can predict the risk of breast cancer, ovarian cancer, and other cancers but also is related to the efficacy of various treatments, such as the presence of BRCA [a member of the homologous recombination repair (HRR) pathway] mutation that has been reported to correlate with the risk of breast cancer and the efficacy of PARP inhibitors, and the reports that multiple DDR pathway genes, including BRCA, predict the efficacy of immunotherapy for advanced urothelial carcinoma (2–4). According to previous literature, the DDR system comprises eight pathways, namely, mismatch repair (MMR), base excision repair (BER), checkpoint factors, Fanconi anemia (FA), HRR, nucleotide excision repair (NER), non-homologous end-joining (NEJ), and DNA translesion synthesis (TLS) (5). The current results show that the role of each pathway is different. For example, mutations in MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, or PMS2 in the MMR pathway can predict the immunotherapy benefit of patients with colorectal cancer (6), and PRO and found in the TBCRC 048 study demonstrated, respectively, that Olaparib (PARP inhibitor) has a favorable benefit in prostate and breast cancer patients with HRR gene mutations (7, 8). PALB2, an important member of the HRR pathway, is frequently observed in cancer. In lung cancer, PALB2 mutations occurred at 1.8% of cases, which is the highest rate among all cancer types (9). PALB2 has been explored in the field of chemotherapy, and the presence of PALB2 mutation has been reported to be correlated with improved clinical outcomes in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) treated with platinum-based chemotherapy (10).

None of the previously reported studies of the correlation between the DDR gene and lung cancer immunotherapy has independently verified PALB2 (11–13). Therefore, it is necessary to analyze the PALB2 mutation characteristics in the Chinese NSCLC population and demonstrate whether PALB2 mutation is associated with immunotherapy responses. In this study, we attempted to analyze the characteristics and correlation with the immunotherapy biomarker of PALB2 mutation among advanced Chinese NSCLC patients. Furthermore, the relationship between PALB2 and response to immunotherapy was analyzed in a public cohort.

Keywords: PALB2, immunotherapy, HRR, DDR, NGS

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Clinical Cancer Specimens

A total of 5,227 advanced Chinese NSCLC patients from two centers (the First Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou Medical University and MaoMing People’s Hospital) between January 2017 and January 2021 were included in the analysis. Formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tumor specimens of NSCLC patients were used for next-generation sequencing (NGS) testing. The specimens were confirmed by hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining for a pathological diagnosis and were considered as qualified with a size ≥1 mm² and the percentage of cancer cells should be over 20%. All procedures performed in this study involving human were in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013). The study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou University (Ethics Code: 2020-072).

Next-Generation Sequencing

NGS was applied to guide subsequent treatment strategies. A 381/733-cancer gene panel was utilized for NGS as previously described (14) on Illumina Nextseq 500 to >500× coverage in 3DMed Clinical Laboratory Inc., a College of American Pathologists (CAP) and Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments (CLIA) approved laboratory of 3D Medicines Inc. Somatic and germline alterations were identified and clinical information were collected. Germline variants were identified by comparing each tumor tissue with the matched blood control. Pathogenic and very likely pathogenic mutations were interpreted by the bioinformatics specialist upon a joint consensus of the previous reports and the recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology (ACMP-AMP) (15). PALB2 mutations were defined as the germline or somatic single-nucleotide variants (SNVs), copy number variations (CNVs), and fusion. SNVs include missense, nonframeshift, frameshift, splice, nonsense, and nonstop mutations; CNVs include gain and loss mutations.

TMB was defined as the number of nonsynonymous somatic SNVs and indels per megabase in examined coding regions, with driver mutations excluded. All SNVs and indels in the coding
region of targeted genes, including missense, silent, stop gain, stop loss, in-frame, and frameshift mutations, were considered. High tumor mutational burden (TMB-H) was defined as greater than the median value.

One hundred microsatellite loci were selected for MSI determination and each assay, and the top 30 loci with the best coverage were included for the final MSI score calculation. An in-house developed R script was employed to evaluate the distribution of reading counts among various repeat lengths for each microsatellite locus of each sample. Any sample with an MSI score of ≥0.4 was classified as MSI-H, and MSS otherwise.

**PD-L1 Testing**
FFPE tissue sections were subjected to assessment of PD-L1 expression using the PD-L1 immunohistochemistry (IHC) 22C3 pharmDx assay (Agilent Technologies) or PD-L1 IHC SP263 (Roche Diagnostics GmbH).

Staining for 22C3 was performed on the Dako Link-48 autostainer system at Teddy Clinical Research lab while staining for SP263 was performed on the Roche BenchMark Ultra platform at QIAGEN Suzhou Clinical Lab. PD-L1 expression was determined using Tumor Proportion Score (TPS), the proportion of viable tumor cells showing partial or complete membrane PD-L1 staining at any intensity. TPS ≥1% was considered PD-L1 positive.

**Immune Cohort Analysis**
Genomic and clinic data of public cohorts involving NSCLC patients receiving immunotherapy (OAK study cohort; POPULAR study cohort; Rizvi2018.NSCLC.240.NGS cohort) were analyzed. OS/PFS were analyzed in R-3.6.0 using the Survival package. Meta-analysis was performed in R-3.6.0 using the Meta package.

**Statistical Analysis**
For normally distributed continuous variables, Student’s t-test was used to determine the differences between the two groups; otherwise, use the Mann–Whitney U test. Fisher’s exact test or the Chi-square test was used to identify the association of two categorical variables. All reported p-values were two-tailed, and p < 0.05 was considered significant unless otherwise specified. All analyses and graphs in the present study were performed by R 3.6.0.

**RESULTS**

**Patients’ Characteristics and Prevalent PALB2 Mutations Across NSCLC**
A total of 5,227 patients with NSCLC from two centers (the First Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou Medical University and MaoMing People’s Hospital) were analyzed using NGS; the baseline characteristics of the patients are shown in Table 1; 3.1% (162/5227) harbored germline PALB2 mutation (PALB2mut) and 1.66% (87/5227) harbored somatic PALB2 mutation (PALB2amut).

### Table 1 | All patient demographics and baseline characteristics.

| Characteristics | PALB2mut (162) | PALB2amut (87) |
|-----------------|---------------|---------------|
| Age, median (IQR range) | 62.5 (25–83) | 61 (36–68) |
| Sex | | |
| Female | 105 (64.8%) | 73 (83.9%) |
| Male | 57 (35.2%) | 14 (16.1%) |
| MSI status | | |
| MSI-H | 0 (0.0%) | 1 (1.2%) |
| MSS/MSI-L | 162 (100.0%) | 84 (98.8%) |
| N/A | 0 | 2 |
| TMB, median (IQR range) | 6.5 (0–60.4839) | 14.5 (1.67598–75.8064) |
| PD-L1 (%) | | |
| <1 | 66 (50.4%) | 30 (50.0%) |
| ≥1 | 67 (49.6%) | 30 (50.0%) |
| N/A | 29 | 27 |
| Pathology | | |
| LUAD | 111 (88.5%) | 47 (64.0%) |
| LUSC | 26 (16.0%) | 22 (25.0%) |
| LUSC | 1 (0.6%) | 2 (2.3%) |
| Others | 24 (14.8%) | 16 (18.4%) |

N/A indicate that the patient has not been tested or that the test result is unqualified.

Pathology abbreviations: LUAD, lung adenocarcinoma; LUSC, lung squamous cell carcinoma; LUAS, lung adenosquamous carcinoma.

In the PALB2mut group, the most common variant is the missense mutation D498Y with 32 recurrences, followed by missense mutation S652N with 10 recurrences and missense mutation E352Q with 9 recurrences (Figure 2A). In the PALB2amut group, the most common variant is the missense mutation of N442K with 7 recurrences, followed by copy number loss and missense mutation of D498Y, each of which had 3 recurrences (Figure 2B).

Through statistical analysis of all variations, in NSCLC patients with PALB2amut, the most frequently mutated gene was TP53 (65%), followed by CYP2C19 (51%), DPYD (45%), RAC1 (45%), VEGFA (44%), EGFR (43%), MGMT (42%), and CD74 (40%) (Figure 2A); the mutation frequency of TP53 was the highest in NSCLC with PALB2amut (64%), followed by CYP2C19 (47%), UGT1A1 (38%), RAC1 (36%), VEGFA (36%), CD74 (36%), EGFR (30%), and LRPIB (30%) (Figure 2B). Detailed variation information can be found in the Supplementary Information (Supplementary Table 1).

### The Association of PALB2 Mutation and TMB, MSI-H, and PD-L1
PALB2amut (14.52 Muts/Mb) was associated with higher TMB (p < 0.001) than PALB wild type (PALB2wt) (6.15 Muts/Mb). However, there was no significant difference in TMB between PALB2amut (6.45 Muts/Mb) and PALB2wt (6.15 Muts/Mb) (p = 0.64) (Figure 3A). There was no difference in PD-L1 expression among PALB2amut, PALB2mut, and PALB2wt, which did not find any correlation with PD-L1 expression (Figure 3B). Similar to PD-L1, the variation in TMB was not associated with MSI (Figure 3C).

### The Association of PALB2 Mutation and Immunotherapy
We also analyzed the association between PALB2 mutations and patient prognosis after immunotherapy. In the Rizvi2018.
NSCLC.240.NGS cohort, there was no difference in progression-free survival (PFS) (HR = 1.06, \( p = 0.93 \)) between PALB2 mutation (3.15 months) and PALB2\(^{wt} \) (3.17 months). The OAK and POPLAR study cohort of NSCLC patients showed that there was no difference in overall survival (OS) (HR = 1.1, \( p = 0.75 \)) between PALB2 mutation (10.38 months) and PALB2\(^{wt} \) (11.07 months).

**DISCUSSION**

The results showed that neither PALB2\(^{smut} \) nor PALB2\(^{gmut} \) was associated with these immunotherapy biomarkers, except that PALB2\(^{smut} \) was associated with significantly higher TMB. PALB2 mutations are not associated with the prognosis of immunotherapy in NSCLC patients.

Since the 2017 V3 version of the NCCN breast cancer guidelines for the first time added the PARP inhibitor olaparib as a treatment option for patients with HER-2 negative BRCA1/2 (members of the HRR pathway) mutations (16), the significance of the DDR gene (including the HRR gene) in the guidance of therapy has attracted more and more attention, including multiple directions of chemotherapy, targeted therapy, and immunotherapy. Similarly, the prognostic impact of HRR gene on NSCLC treatment has also been confirmed; for example, a study published in *Cancer Res* in 2018 found a correlation between DDR pathway genes and immunotherapy efficacy, but mostly focus on co-mutations from two kinds of mutations of DDR pathway, and do not discuss individual genes (11). Similarly, a study of 266 NSCLC patients receiving immunotherapy published in *Clin Cancer Res* in 2020 showed that patients with mutations in any of DDR genes had significantly better prognosis than those without DDR mutations. Notably, the genes of the HRR pathway were also included in this study, but there are only two patients that harbored PALB2 (member of the HRR pathway) gene mutation, so the impact of PALB2 mutation on the prognosis of NSCLC patients was not analyzed. As the number of patients carrying each gene variation in the above study varied greatly and each subset was not analyzed, the
level of evidence obtained was not high, which was also mentioned in the Discussion section (12). Therefore, this is not inconsistent with the conclusion in this study that PALB2 mutation is not associated with immunotherapy prognosis. In fact, the results of the recently published Imagyn050 study showed that ovarian cancer patients with BRCA1/2 gene mutations were insensitive to immunotherapy, suggesting that not all DDR or HRR genes can be considered prognostic factors for immunotherapy (17). Moreover, for the relationship between PALB2mut and TMB, PALB2mut may be an epiphenomenon of a high TMB, rather than causing themselves. So, the relationship between DDR or HRR genes including PALB2 and immunotherapy cannot be generalized, and each gene needs more specific studies to prove its role. In addition, whether PALB2 can produce synergistic effects with other gene variants in DDR is not explained in this study, and more studies are needed for further exploration.

In conclusion, PALB2 mutation in this study was not associated with immunotherapy. These findings suggest that PALB2 may not be a prognostic biomarker for NSCLC patients receiving immunotherapy. In our study, we only conducted statistical analysis on NSCLC patients with PALB2, so it has certain limitations and needs more studies to verify it.
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