Stages identifying and transcriptome profiling of the floral transition in *Juglans regia*
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Using paraffin sections, the stages of walnut female flower bud differentiation were divided into the predifferentiation period (F₁), initial differentiation period (F₂) and flower primordium differentiation period (F₃). Leaf buds collected at the same stage as F₂ were designated JRL. Transcriptomic profiling was performed, and a total of 132,154 unigenes were obtained with lengths ranging from 201 bp to 16,831 bp. The analysis of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) showed that there were 597, 784 and 532 DEGs in the three combinations F₁vsF₂, F₁vsF₃, and F₂vsF₃, respectively. The comparison F₂vsJRL showed that 374 DEGs were differentially expressed between female buds and leaf buds. Thirty-one DEGs related to flowering time were further used to construct coexpression networks, and CRY2 and NF-YA were identified as core DEGs in flowering time regulation. Eighteen DEGs related to flowering time were subjected to real-time quantitative analysis. Our work provides a foundation for further research on the walnut floral transition and provides new resources for future research on walnut biology and biotechnology.

*Juglans regia* is widely cultivated for its commercially valuable timber and nuts in China and other temperate parts of the world¹. In 2016, global production of walnuts (in shell) was 3.7 million metric tons, and China was the leading producer worldwide with 41% of total production (FAO, 2017)². In contrast to annual plants, walnut trees require several years before flowering and to bear fruit after seed germination, and little has been reported about the mechanism of the floral transition in walnut.

Many studies have been performed on the floral transition in *Arabidopsis thaliana* and other plants³–¹². Six genetic pathways (including the photoperiod, vernalization, temperature, gibberellin, autonomy and age pathways) and several flowering integrator genes (including FLOWERING LOCUS T (FT), LEAFY (LFY), and SUPPRESSOR OF OVEREXPRESSION OF CONSTANS 1 (SOC1)) have been shown to regulate flowering time in *Arabidopsis thaliana*³⁶–⁸¹²–¹⁸. However, few flowering time genes have been identified in walnut.

In this study, sections of paraffin-embedded tissues were used to observe the morphogenesis of floral buds in *Juglans regia*, and a high-throughput sequencing platform was used to sequence cDNA libraries at each stage of the floral transition. We investigated the differentially expressed genes (DEGs) involved in the developmental process of the walnut floral transition, and our results demonstrated that DEGs between the stages before and during the floral transition played a key role in regulating the floral transition. These results provide essential information on the genes and pathways involved in floral transition development in the walnut and may help in further researching the molecular mechanism of walnut flowering.

Results

**Morphological characteristics of walnut floral transition.** Morphological differentiation of female flower buds in walnut was continuously observed by paraffin section. We divided walnut female flower bud differentiation into stages as follows: F₁ (predifferentiation period), F₂ (initial differentiation period) and F₃ (flower primordium differentiation period). In the predifferentiation period (F₁), the growth points of the flower bud appeared flat, and the bud scales appeared light green. In the initial differentiation period (F₂), the flower stalk primordium was raised, and the bud scales were yellow-green. In the flower primordium differentiation
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period (F_3), the primordium of the flower stalk continued to extend, the pistil primordium began to appear, and the bud scales appeared yellow-green (Fig. 1). The leaf buds (JRL) were collected at the same stage as F_2.

**General analysis of walnut transcriptome data.** Sequencing, splicing, and annotation of transcriptome data. A total of 194,926,208 raw reads were obtained through the sequencing of the transcript libraries (Table 1). By eliminating chimeric and low-quality reads, 189,041,550 clean reads were finally screened, and 132,154 unigenes were obtained with a mean length of 956 bp; the lengths of the unigenes ranged from 201 bp to 16831 bp (Fig. 2A).

| Sample | Raw reads | Clean reads | Clean bases | Error (%) | Q20 (%) | Q30 (%) | GC (%) |
|--------|-----------|-------------|-------------|-----------|---------|---------|--------|
| F_1    | 57270794  | 56064740    | 8.41G       | 0.02      | 96.86   | 92.20   | 44.83  |
| F_2    | 42190694  | 40686164    | 6.1G        | 0.03      | 94.41   | 86.66   | 44.92  |
| F_3    | 48518386  | 46937334    | 7.04G       | 0.03      | 94.79   | 87.59   | 45.15  |
| JRL    | 46946334  | 45353312    | 6.8G        | 0.03      | 94.63   | 87.12   | 44.94  |

Table 1. Quality of data output.
All unigenes were compared with 7 databases (NR, NT, KEGG, Swiss-Prot, PFAM, GO, and KOG/COG) with an E value cutoff of e-5 for homology. Then, the unigenes were annotated according to the results: 39.46% of unigenes were annotated by the GO database, 20.51% of unigenes were annotated by the KO database, 13.77% of unigenes were annotated by the KOG database, 53.8% of unigenes were annotated by the NR database, 41.74% of unigenes were annotated by the NT database, 38.81% of unigenes were annotated by the PFAM database, and 39.6% of unigenes were annotated by the Swiss-Prot database. In total, 78,718 unigenes of the 132,154 total
unigenes were annotated to at least one database, accounting for 59.56% of all unigenes, and 11,165 unigenes were annotated by all seven major databases, accounting for 8.44% of all unigenes (Fig. 2B).

The annotation results of the NR database show that 11,372 unigenes (18.1% of the total annotated unigenes) were compared to *Vitis vinifera*, 6,522 unigenes (10.4% of the total annotated unigenes) were compared to *Prunus mume*, 6,141 unigenes (9.7% of the total annotated unigenes) were compared to *Prunus persica*, 4,834 unigenes (7.7% of the total annotated unigenes) were compared to *Jatropha curcas*, 3,457 unigenes (5.5% of the total annotated unigenes) were compared to *Citrus sinensis*, and the remaining 48.7% unigenes were compared to other species (Fig. 2C).

**GO enrichment.** The gene functional classifications were identified in a GO enrichment analysis based on the 52,157 unigenes annotated in the GO database. In the biological process category, cellular process, metabolic process, and single organism process were highly represented. In the cellular component category, significantly enriched genes were associated with cell, cell part, and organelle. In the molecular function category, GO terms related to binding, catalytic activity and transporter activity were significantly enriched (Fig. 3). **KEGG pathway enrichment.** KEGG pathway enrichment analysis showed that transport and catabolism, signal transduction, transition, carbohydrate metabolism and environmental adaptation had the most unigenes in classifications of Metabolism, Genetic Information Processing, Environmental Information Processing, Cellular Processes and Organismal Systems, respectively (Fig. 4). In particular, Environmental Information Processing and Organismal Systems, which included many subterms associated with flowering, such as the pathways of plant hormone signal transduction (ko04075) and Circadian rhythm-plant (ko04712), were enriched (Table S1).

**Transcription factor (TF) enrichment analysis.** TFs function alone or with other proteins in complexes by promoting or blocking the recruitment of RNA polymerase to specific genes19–21. Some TFs have been shown to play key roles in plant flowering22–24. Among the 132,154 unigenes, 4,835 of the unigenes (approximately 3.7%) were annotated as TFs, and they fell into diverse categories covering nearly all TF families, with MYB, NAC, and AP2-EREBP being the most highly represented (Fig. 5).

**DEGs analysis of walnut transcriptome data.**

**Identification of DEGs.** The four databases from F_1, F_2, F_3, and JRL were used for paired comparisons, and four combinations (F_1vsF_2, F_1vsF_3, F_2vsF_3, F_2vsJRL) were chosen and analyzed.

The three combinations of female flower bud developmental stages (F_1vsF_2, F_1vsF_3, F_2vsF_3) had 597 DEGs, 784 DEGs and 532 DEGs, respectively. In addition, 16 DEGs were shared by all three combinations, which means they were expressed differently in the three periods of female flower buds (Fig. 6). The expression levels of DEGs between F_1, F_2 and F_3 were plotted in a heatmap (Fig. 7A).

In addition, the female flower buds at the beginning of differentiation (F_2) and the leaf buds of the same stage (JRL) were used for comparison (F_2vsJRL). The results showed that 374 DEGs were expressed differently in female buds (F_2) and leaf buds (JRL), of which 90 unigenes in the female flower buds (F_2) showed a higher expression level than that in the leaf buds (JRL), and the other 284 unigenes showed the opposite trend (Fig. 6E). The expression levels of 374 DEGs between female buds (F_2) and leaf buds (JRL) were plotted in a heatmap (Fig. 7B).

**GO functional analysis of DEGs.** We conducted GO functional analysis with the upregulated and downregulated DEGs of F_1vsF_2, F_1vsF_3, and F_2vsF_3, and the results are shown in Fig. 8. The results indicated that the DEGs of the three stages of female flower bud differentiation had functions enriched in the regulation of RNA metabolic process (GO:0015252, BP); regulation of nucleobase-containing compound metabolic process (GO:0019219, BP); apoplast (GO:0048046, CC); nucleic acid binding transcription factor activity (GO:0001071,
MF); transcription factor activity, sequence-specific DNA binding (GO:0003700, MF); and sequence-specific DNA binding (GO:0043565, MF). In addition, the GO functions of the DEGs between female flower buds (F_2) and leaf buds (JRL) were enriched in photosynthesis (GO:0015979, BP), photosystem I reaction center (GO:0009538, CC), photosystem I (GO:0009522, CC), photosystem (GO:0009521, CC), and thylakoid part (GO:0044436, CC).

KEGG pathway analysis of DEGs: KEGG pathway analysis of the DEGs of F_1VSF_2, F_1VSF_3, F_2VSF_3 showed that most pathways were associated with flowering, and three pathways (Photosynthesis-antenna proteins, Plant hormone signal transduction, Porphyrin and chlorophyll metabolism) were shared by the three combinations. In addition, the pathway enrichment analysis of female flower buds (F_2) and leaf buds (JRL) also included many flowering-related pathways (Fig. 9).

Analysis of DEGs related to flowering time: The flowering time genes that induce plant flowering are usually attributed to the photoperiod, vernalization, autonomous, GA, and age-regulated pathways, as well as the integrated factors of the intersection of these pathways.

Based on previous studies, we summarized the important flowering time genes in the model plant Arabidopsis thaliana, and these genes were used as query genes (Table 2). Finally, we screened 31 DEGs associated with flowering time in walnut, and eighteen of the flowering time DEGs were chosen for qRT-PCR.
Figure 6. Numbers of DEGs in F_1, F_2, F_3 and JRL. (A–C,E) volcano plot of the DEGs in F_1vsF_2, F_1vsF_3, F_2vsF_3 and F_2vsJRL. The abscissa represents the multiple expression of genes in different samples. The ordinate represents the statistical significance of the change in gene expression. The scattered points in the map represent the genes, and genes without significant differences are presented as blue dots. Upregulated genes and downregulated genes with significant differences are presented as red and green dots, respectively; (D) Venn diagram of F_1vsF_2, F_1vsF_3 and F_2vsF_3.

Figure 7. (A) Heatmap of 16 DEGs expressed differently in three stages of female flower buds (F_1, F_2, and F_3); (B) Heatmap of DEGs between female flower buds (F_2) and leaf buds (JRL).
DEGs related to the walnut floral transition. A total of 31 unigenes were involved in the photoperiod (circadian rhythm), vernalization pathway, GA, and age-related pathways. To investigate the complex molecular mechanisms underlying floral transition in walnut, we set the 31 flowering time-related DEGs in the flowering time regulation network (Fig. 10).

Most of the DEGs were annotated in the photoperiod pathway: In total, twenty-four DEGs were annotated in this pathway, fifteen DEGs were highly expressed in the predifferentiation period (F_1), including CRY2 (1), FKF1 (1), GI (2), NF-YA (2), PIF3 (1), PPR5 (2), PRR7 (3), PRR9 (1), PRR73 (1), and PRR95 (1), CDF2 (1), CDF3

Figure 8. (A–D) represent the enriched GO terms of the DEGs in F_1vsF_2, F_1vsF_3, F_2vsF_3 and F_2vsJRL, respectively. The upregulated GO terms are shown with red bars, and the downregulated GO terms are shown with blue bars.
and LHY (2) were highly expressed in the initial differentiation period (F_2). NF-YA (1) and TEM1 (2) were highly expressed in the flower primordium differentiation period (F_3). Two PHR2 genes had higher expression levels in leaf buds (JRL) than in flower buds (F_2), while PRR9 exhibited the opposite trend.

In Arabidopsis thaliana, CYCLING DOF FACTOR 2 (CDF2) and CYCLING DOF FACTOR 3 (CDF3) act redundantly to reduce CONSTANS (CO) expression and are degraded by the complex of GIGANTEA (GI) and FLAVIN-BINDING KELCH REPEAT F-BOX 1 (FKF1), thus releasing repression of CO and FT transcription.26–28.

Table 2. Important genes involved in flowering time pathways. The number of walnut DEGs is shown after each gene name.

| Flowering Pathways | Involved Genes (Number) |
|--------------------|-------------------------|
| Photoperiod        | CCA1 (0), CDFs (2), CO (0), COP1 (0),CRY2 (1), DNF (0), ELF3 (0), ELF4 (0), FD (0), FKF1 (1), FLD (0), FLK (0), GI (2), LHY (2), NP-Ya (3), PHYA (0), PHYB (0), PHRE2 (2), PIF3 (1), PRPs (8), SMZ (0), SNZ (0), SPA (0), TEM1 (2), TEM2 (0), TOC1 (0) |
| Vernalization      | FLC (0), FRL1 (0), LHP1 (0), VIL2 (1), VIN3 (0), VRN2 (0) |
| Autonomous         | FCA (0), FLC (0), FLD (0), FLK (0), FLM (0), FPA (0), FVE (0), FY (0), LD (0), SVP (0), RES6 (0) |
| Ambient temperatures | ARP6 (0), HOS1 (0), SVP (0), HVP (0), miR156 (0), SPL3 (0) |
| GA                 | GA1 (0), GAI (0), GID1 (1), GNC (0), GNL (0), RGA (0), RGL1 (0), RGL2 (0), RGL3 (0), PY (0), FUL (0), RGA (0) |
| Age regulated      | SPL3 (0), MIR156 (0), MIR172 (0), JMJ18 (0), TPS1 (3) |
| Integrators        | FT (0), LFY (0), SOC1 (0) |
| Supplement         | AFI (2) |
Blue-light photoreceptors such as PHOTOLYASE-RELATED 2 (PHR2) are essential light detectors for the early development of plants and mediate phototropism and the expression of specific genes. Loss of a blue-light photoreceptor in the hy4 mutants of Arabidopsis thaliana substantially delayed flowering. CRYPTOCHROME 2 (CRY2) can promote the expression of FT and is negatively regulated by FLOWERING LOCUS C (FLC). The NUCLEAR FACTOR Y (NF-Y) transcription factors (heterotrimeric complexes composed of NF-YA and the dimer of NF-YB/NF-YC) can initiate photoperiod-dependent flowering by cooperatively interacting with CO to drive the expression of FT.

Phytochrome Interacting Factor 3 (PIF3) can bind the promoters of LATE ELONGATED HYPOCOTYL (LHY) and CIRCADIAN CLOCK ASSOCIATED 1 (CCA1) to form an in vitro ternary complex. Additionally, the complex of CCA1 and LHY can bind with TIMING OF CAB 1 (TOC1) to form a feedback loop that is necessary for the circadian clock in Arabidopsis thaliana. In addition, the CCA1 and LHY complexes were repressed by the Pseudo Response Regulators (PRRs) encoded by TOC1. The activator CO and the repressor TEMPRANILLO (TEM) have a quantitative balance that determines the FT transcription level, as it shifts the CO/TEM balance in favor of CO activity, allowing FT transcription to reach the threshold level required to trigger flowering.

In the age-regulated pathway, three DEGs were annotated as TREHALOSE-6-PHOSPHATE SYNTHASE 1 (TPS1), which is essential for normal vegetative growth and transition to flowering. They were differentially expressed between the different flower bud formation stages, and the flower buds showed no difference from the leaf buds in their expression level.

In the vernalization pathway, vernalization can cause epigenetic changes in FLC and indirectly promote flower formation by histone modification, and MADS AFFECTING FLOWERING 5 (MAF5) is an FLC-related gene. VERNALIZATION INSENSITIVE 3-LIKE 2 (VIL2), which can repress MAF5 and permit more rapid flowering during noninductive photoperiod conditions in Arabidopsis thaliana. In this study, VIL2 was highly expressed in the predifferentiation period (F_1), decreased in the initial differentiation period (F_2), and decreased to the lowest point at the flower primordium differentiation period (F_3). In the leaf buds (JRL), its expression level was higher than that in the flower buds during the same period (F_2).

In the GA pathway, GA regulates LEAFY (LFY) via DELLAs, miR159 and MYBs. The combination of gibberelin and GIBBERELLIN-INSENSITIVE DWARF1 (GID1) can combine with the DELLA protein to form a GA-GID1-DELLA trimer, and then the SKP1-CUL1-F-box (SCF) polymer can tag the trimer to induce the ubiquitin 26 S proteasome to degrade the DELLA protein, relieving the inhibitory effect of the DELLA protein on plant growth and producing gibberellin effects. In this study, GID1 was highly expressed in the predifferentiation period (F_1), and its expression was downregulated in the initial differentiation period (F_2). In addition, the expression of GID1 was higher in flower buds (F_2) than in leaf buds (JRL).
In addition to the DEGs mentioned above, there are two DEGs annotated as APETALA1 (AP1). In *Arabidopsis thaliana*, AP1 is required for the floral transition. In this study, the two AP1 genes were nearly undetectable in the predifferentiation period (F_1), and their expression showed a significant upregulation in the initial differentiation period (F_2).

**Coexpression networks.** Weighted gene coexpression network analysis (WGCNA) is a biology method for interaction analysis and resolving correlation networks. To search for the genes involved in flowering time regulation in walnut, thirty-one flowering time-related DEGs were used to construct a coexpression network using the WGCNA method, and the results are presented in Fig. 11. In the coexpression network, many of the hub genes that participate in flowering time regulation were identified, such as JrCDF-2, JrCDF-3, JrPRR7, JrPRR7-1, JrPRR7-2, JrTPS-1-1, and the hub genes with the highest edge numbers were JrCRY2 and JrNF-YA-2.

**Verification of DEG expression by qRT-PCR.** To further verify the gene expression levels shown by RNA-Seq, we chose eighteen flowering time-related DEGs to perform qRT-PCR. Except for JrTPS1-2, the expression trends of the DEGs showed high similarity between the qPCR data and the RNA-Seq data (Fig. 12).

**Discussion**

Identification of the critical periods of female flower bud differentiation in walnut. Little is known about the development of walnut female flower buds, and initial differentiation is usually identified by a duration of one month after the female flowers bloom or four to six weeks after the medium and short twigs finish elongating. It is essential to ensure that experimental materials can be collected at precise times; however, due to regional differences in phenology, previous research conclusions cannot be used directly. In this experiment, we have preliminarily identified the initiation period of female flower bud differentiation in walnut through two years of observation of tissue sections, external morphological characteristics and local phenology. Generally, the stage of floral differentiation was divided into the physiological differentiation stage and morphological differentiation stage. Although we identified the initiation period of the morphological differentiation stage, the initiation period of the physiological differentiation stage and the DEGs involved in floral bud determination are still unknown in walnut and will be investigated in a future study. In addition, we suggest that some simple experimental methods, such as freehand sectioning or counting the number of bud scales can be used as preliminary judgment methods in field conditions (Fig. S1).

Functional classification of genes. To functionally categorize the unigenes, the GO and KEGG databases were used to annotate the functions of the unigenes. GO enrichment showed that single organism process (GO:0044699, level 2, BP) was enriched, which included the GO subterm GO:0048449 (level 4) with the function of floral organ formation. The GO analysis of the DEGs indicated that the regulation of RNA metabolism, nucleic acid binding transcription factor activity and transcription factor activity were important during female flower bud differentiation. Interestingly, the GO terms of the DEGs between the flower buds (F_2) and leaf buds (JRL) were mainly enriched in functions related to photosynthesis. In total, 14 DEGs were associated with GO terms related to photosynthesis between F_2 and JRL, and the expression of these 14 DEGs were all upregulated in the leaf buds (Table S3), which suggested that the related genes upregulated in the leaf buds may participate in the process of carbohydrate assimilation. KEGG pathway analysis indicated that most pathways were associated with flowering and were shared by the four combinations of F_1vsF_2, F_1vsF_3, F_2vsF_3 and F_2vsJRL.

**Figure 11.** Coexpression networks of 31 DEGs related to flowering time. In the drawn weight network graph, the weight between genes is divided into four parts, which are represented by point lines, short dotted lines, long dotted lines and solid lines from light to heavy weights. Larger nodes and redder colors indicate greater connectivity of the genes in the network graph. The coexpression networks were drawn on the OmicShare website (http://www.omicshare.com/tools/Home/) based on the correlation coefficients between the genes.
Flowering pathways in walnut during the floral transition. Environmental cues (photoperiod, vernalization) and internal cues (autonomous, GA and age) result in the floral transition. Most of the flowering time-related DEGs were enriched in the photoperiod pathway, and none of the DEGs were involved in the autonomous pathway or ambient temperature pathway. In the photoperiod pathway, the circadian rhythm genes, including CCA1, FKF1, GI, and LHY, can activate the photoperiodic hub gene CO. CO can activate SOC1, which can induce the expression of meristem identity genes, such as LFY and AP1, to activate the floral transition.

Interestingly, in the dimer of GI-FKF1 and trimer of CCA1-LHY-PIF3, the expression levels of the components in each complex were not consistent, such as GI, which showed a contrasting expression pattern to FKF1, as did LHY and PIF3. In addition, PHR2 genes are annotated as blue-light photoreceptors, and CRY2 genes also function as blue-light receptors. They are flavoproteins similar in sequence and repressors of the CLOCK/BMAL1 heterodimer. However, they showed contrasting expression patterns for reasons that remain unclear.

Figure 12. Verification of 18 flowering time-related DEGs by qRT-PCR.
In the development of the floral transition, PRRs, GI, and FKF1 were all downregulated from F_1 to F_2, while LHY and CDFs were upregulated from F_1 to F_2, suggesting that photoperiod pathway (circadian rhythm) genes may participate in the regulation of the floral transition.

In this study, the three stages of the female floral transition were identified by the morphological characteristics of tissue sections, and transcriptome-wide investigation of the gene expression profiles in walnut flower buds and leaf buds was conducted during the floral transition. Thirty-one DEGs related to flowering time were identified, and among them, CRY2 and NF-YA were screened as core DEGs in flowering time regulation. Eighty-eight of the thirty-one DEGs (including CRY2 and NF-YA) were confirmed by real-time quantitative analysis, and most of the RNA-Seq data were validated by qPCR data. Our work provides a foundation for further research on the walnut floral transition.

Materials and Methods

Plant materials. Walnut (Juglans regia L.) trees were grown under natural conditions in the southern part of the Xinjiang Uyghur Autonomous Region, China. Leaf buds were collected during the floral transition period (JRL), and female flower buds were collected before, during, after the floral transition period (F_1, F_2 and F_3). Each sample was pooled from 3 buds, and 3 biological repeats were performed, for a total of 9 buds for each stage of the floral transition. Three mixed samples from 9 buds were collected for sequencing, and the total RNA of each sample was extracted individually.

Microscope observations. We peeled off the outer scales of the buds and fixed the buds in FAA fixative solution. Then, the fixed buds were dehydrated with a continuous gradient of ethanol and embedded in paraffin. Samples were cut into 8–12 µm slices (Leica Microtome, Germany), deparaffinized with xylene, and hydrated in a decreasing ethanol series. The sections were stained with Safranin and Fast Green and mounted with neutral gum. Finally, we observed the slices under a Motic microscope (Motic AE31, China).

Transcriptome sequencing and library construction. Sequencing was carried out by the Novogene company, Beijing, China. Total RNA was extracted using RNAOut 1.0 (Tianenze, Beijing, China). A total of 1.5 µg RNA per sample was used as input material for RNA sample preparation. Sequencing libraries were generated, and the clustering of the index-coded samples was performed. After cluster generation, the library preparations were sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 2000 platform, and paired-end reads were generated.

Quantitative real-time PCR. Total RNA was extracted using RNAOut 1.0 (Tianenze, Beijing, China) by Novogene, Beijing, China. We synthesized the cDNA using the PrimeScript RT Reagent Kit (TaKaRa, Dalian, China). We performed real-time quantification using CFX Manager (Bio-Rad, USA) with SYBR Green Realtime PCR Master Mix (Toyobo, Osaka, Japan). The protocol of the real-time PCR was as follows: an initiation step at 95 °C for 5 min; followed by 40 cycles of 30 s at 94 °C, 30 s at 55 °C, and 30 s at 72 °C; and the melting curve step was processed from 65 to 95 °C. Each reaction was repeated for three times. The walnut Actin gene (forward primer: 5'-CCATCCAGCTGTTCTCTC-3', and reverse primer: 5'-GCAAGGTCCAGCAGG-3') and walnut GAPDH gene (forward primer: 5'-ATTGTGAATCTGGTGGTCTTATG-3' and reverse primer: 5'-AATGATGTGGAAGGAGCCAC-3') were used as the internal controls. The results were analyzed by the 2-ΔΔCt method.

Differential expression analysis. For differential gene expression analysis, the read counts of each sequenced sample were adjusted with EdgeR software. Differential expression analysis of two samples was performed using the DESeq2 (2010) R package. The P values were adjusted using the q values, and thresholds for significantly differential expression were set as q value < 0.005 and |log2(foldchange)| > 1.
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