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Abstract. This paper deals with the limiting dynamical behavior of non-autonomous stochastic reaction-diffusion equations on thin domains. Firstly, we prove the existence and uniqueness of the regular random attractor. Then we prove the upper semicontinuity of the regular random attractors for the equations on a family of \((n + 1)\)-dimensional thin domains collapses onto an \(n\)-dimensional domain.

1. Introduction. Let \(Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n\) be a bounded \(C^2\)-domain and \(O_\varepsilon \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}\) be the domain
\[
O_\varepsilon = \{ x = (x^*, x_{n+1}) \mid x^* = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in Q \text{ and } 0 < x_{n+1} < \varepsilon g(x^*) \},
\]
where \(g \in C^2(\overline{Q}, (0, +\infty))\) and \(0 < \varepsilon \leq 1\). Since \(g \in C^2(\overline{Q}, (0, +\infty))\), there exist two positive constants \(\gamma_1\) and \(\gamma_2\) such that
\[
\gamma_1 \leq g(x^*) \leq \gamma_2, \quad \forall x^* \in \overline{Q}.
\]
Denote \(O = Q \times (0, 1)\) and \(\overline{O} = Q \times (0, \gamma_2)\) which contains \(O_\varepsilon\) for \(0 < \varepsilon \leq 1\). Given \(\tau \in \mathbb{R}\), we will study the limit of asymptotical behavior of the following stochastic reaction-diffusions equation with multiplicative noise defined on the thin domain \(O_\varepsilon\) as \(\varepsilon\) tends to 0:
\[
\begin{cases}
d\hat{u}_\varepsilon - \Delta \hat{u}_\varepsilon dt = (H(t, x, \hat{u}_\varepsilon(t)) + G(t, x)) dt + \sum_{j=1}^m c_j \hat{u}_\varepsilon \circ dw_j, & x \in \Omega_\varepsilon, \ t > \tau, \\
\frac{\partial \hat{u}_\varepsilon}{\partial n_\varepsilon} = 0, & x \in \partial \Omega_\varepsilon,
\end{cases}
\]
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with the initial condition
\[ \hat{u}_\varepsilon(\tau, x) = \hat{\phi}_\varepsilon(x), \quad x \in \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon, \] (3)

where \(\nu_\varepsilon\) is the unit outward normal vector to \(\partial \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon\), \(H\) is a superlinear source term, \(G\) is a function defined on \(\mathbb{R} \times \tilde{\mathcal{O}}\), \(c_j \in \mathbb{R}\) for \(j = 1, 2, \ldots, m\), \(w_j, j = 1, 2, \ldots, m\), are independent two-sided real-valued Wiener processes on a probability space, and the symbol \(\circ\) indicates that the equation is understood in the sense of Stratonovich integration.

As \(\varepsilon \to 0\), we will show in certain sense that the limiting behavior of (2) is governed by the following equation:
\[
\begin{cases}
\frac{du^0}{dt} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (g_{u_i}^0)_y \, dt = \left( H(t, (y^*, 0), u^0(t)) + G(t, (y^*, 0)) \right) dt \\
+ \sum_{j=1}^{m} c_j u^0 \circ dw_j, \quad y^* = (y_1, \ldots, y_n) \in \mathcal{Q}, \; t > \tau,
\end{cases}
\]
\[ \partial_{u^0} = 0, \quad y^* \in \partial \mathcal{Q}, \] (4)

with the initial condition
\[ u^0(\tau, y^*) = \phi^0(y^*), \quad y^* \in \mathcal{Q}, \] (5)

where \(\nu_0\) is the unit outward normal to \(\partial \mathcal{Q}\).

Random attractors have been investigated in [2, 5, 10, 19, 9] in the autonomous stochastic case, and in [3, 21, 22, 23] in the non-autonomous stochastic case. Recently, the limiting dynamical behavior of stochastic partial differential equations on thin domain was studied in [16, 20, 13, 14, 11, 12, 17, 4]. However, in [17, 13], we only investigated the limiting behavior of random attractors in \(L^2(\mathcal{O})\) of stochastic evolution equations on thin domain. In this paper, we will prove the existence and uniqueness of bi-spatial pullback attractor for the systems defined on fixed domain \(\mathcal{O}\) converted from (2)-(3) when the initial space is \(L^2(\mathcal{O})\) and the terminate space is \(H^1(\mathcal{O})\) and establish upper semicontinuity result for the corresponding family of random attractors in \(H^1(\mathcal{O})\) as \(\varepsilon\) approaches 0.

Let \(X\) be a Banach space. The norm of \(X\) is written as \(\| \cdot \|_X\). Let \(\mathcal{M} = L^2(\mathcal{Q})\) and \(\mathcal{N} = L^2(\mathcal{O})\). We denote by \((\cdot, \cdot)_Y\) the inner product in a Hilbert space \(Y\). The letter \(c\) and \(c_i, i \in \mathbb{N}\), are generic positive constants which may change its values from line to line.

We organize the paper as follows. In the next section, we establish the existence of a continuous cocycle in \(\mathcal{N}\) for the stochastic equation defined on the fixed domain \(\mathcal{O}\) converted from (2)-(3). We also describe the existence of a continuous cocycle in \(\mathcal{M}\) for the stochastic equation (4)-(5). Section 3 contains all necessary uniform estimates of the solutions. We then prove the existence and uniqueness of regular random attractors for the stochastic equations in section 4, and analyze convergence properties of the solutions as well as the random attractors in \(H^1(\mathcal{O})\) in section 5.

2. Cocycles associated with non-autonomous stochastic equations. Here we show that there is a continuous cocycle generated by the reaction-diffusion equation defined on \(\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon\) with multiplicative noise and deterministic non-autonomous forcing:
\[
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d\hat{u}^\varepsilon}{dt} - \Delta \hat{u}^\varepsilon dt &= \left( H(t, x, \hat{u}^\varepsilon(t)) + G(t, x) \right) dt + \sum_{j=1}^{m} c_j \hat{u}^\varepsilon \circ dw_j, \\
x &= (x^*, x_{n+1}) \in O_\varepsilon, \; t > \tau, \\
\frac{\partial \hat{u}^\varepsilon}{\partial \nu_\varepsilon} &= 0, \; x \in \partial O_\varepsilon,
\end{aligned}
\]
with the initial condition
\[
\hat{u}^\varepsilon(x) = \hat{\phi}^\varepsilon(x), \quad x \in O_\varepsilon,
\]
where \( \nu_\varepsilon \) is the unit outward normal to \( \partial O_\varepsilon \), \( G : \mathbb{R} \times \bar{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) belongs to \( L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}, L^\infty(\bar{O})) \), \( c_j \in \mathbb{R}, w_j \) \( (j = 1, 2, \ldots, m) \) are independent two-sided real-valued Wiener processes on a probability space which will be specified later, and \( H \) is a nonlinear function satisfying the following conditions: for all \( x \in \bar{O} \) and \( t, s \in \mathbb{R} \),
\[
\begin{aligned}
H(t, x, s) &\leq -\lambda_1 |s|^p + \varphi_1(t, x), \\
|H(t, x, s)| &\leq \lambda_2 |s|^{p-1} + \varphi_2(t, x), \\
\frac{\partial H(t, x, s)}{\partial s} &\leq \lambda_3, \\
\frac{|\partial H(t, x, s)|}{\partial x} &\leq \psi_3(t, x),
\end{aligned}
\]
where \( p > 2, \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \) and \( \lambda_3 \) are positive constants, \( \varphi_1 \in L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}, L^\infty(\bar{O})) \) and \( \varphi_2, \psi_3 \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}, L^\infty(\bar{O})) \).

Throughout this paper, we fix a positive number \( \lambda \in (0, \lambda_1) \) and write
\[
h(t, x, s) = H(t, x, s) + \lambda s
\]
for all \( x \in \bar{O} \) and \( t, s \in \mathbb{R} \). Then it follows from (8)-(11) that there exist positive numbers \( \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta, b_1 \) and \( b_2 \) such that
\[
\begin{aligned}
h(t, x, s) &\leq -\alpha_1 |s|^p + \psi_1(t, x), \\
|h(t, x, s)| &\leq \alpha_2 |s|^{p-1} + \psi_2(t, x), \\
\frac{\partial h(t, x, s)}{\partial s} &\leq \beta, \\
\frac{|\partial h(t, x, s)|}{\partial x} &\leq \psi_3(t, x),
\end{aligned}
\]
where \( \psi_1(t, x) = \varphi_1(t, x) + b_1 \) and \( \psi_2(t, x) = \varphi_2(t, x) + b_2 \) for \( x \in \bar{O} \) and \( t, s \in \mathbb{R} \).

Substituting (12) into (6) we get for \( t > \tau \),
\[
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d\hat{u}^\varepsilon}{dt} - (\Delta \hat{u}^\varepsilon - \lambda \hat{u}^\varepsilon) dt &= \left( h(t, x, \hat{u}^\varepsilon(t)) + G(t, x) \right) dt + \sum_{j=1}^{m} c_j \hat{u}^\varepsilon \circ dw_j, \\
x &= (x^*, x_{n+1}) \in O_\varepsilon, \\
\frac{\partial \hat{u}^\varepsilon}{\partial \nu_\varepsilon} &= 0, \; x \in \partial O_\varepsilon,
\end{aligned}
\]
with the initial condition
\[
\hat{u}^\varepsilon(x) = \hat{\phi}^\varepsilon(x), \quad x \in O_\varepsilon.
\]

We now transfer problem (17)-(18) into an initial boundary value problem on the fixed domain \( O \). To that end, we introduce a transformation \( T_\varepsilon : O_\varepsilon \rightarrow O \) by
\[
T_\varepsilon(x^*, x_{n+1}) = \left( x^*, \frac{x_{n+1}}{\varepsilon g(y^*)} \right)
\]
for \( x = (x^*, x_{n+1}) \in O_\varepsilon \). Let \( y = (y^*, y_{n+1}) = T_\varepsilon(x^*, x_{n+1}) \). Then we have
\[
x^* = y^*, \quad x_{n+1} = \varepsilon g(y^*) y_{n+1}.
\]
It follows from [18] that the Laplace operator in the original variable $x \in \Omega$ and in the new variable $y \in \Omega$ are related by

$$\Delta_x \hat{u}(x) = |J| \text{div}_y (|J|^{-1} J J^* \nabla_y u(y)) = \frac{1}{g} \text{div}_y (P_x u(y)),$$

where we denote by $u(y) = \hat{u}(x)$ and $P_x$ is the operator given by

$$P_x u(y) = \begin{pmatrix} g u_{y_1} - g_{y_1} u_{y_{n+1}} \\ \vdots \\ g u_{y_n} - g_{y_n} u_{y_{n+1}} \\ - \sum_{i=1}^n y_{n+1} g_{y_i} u_{y_i} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon g} (1 + \sum_{i=1}^n (\varepsilon y_{n+1} g_{y_i})^2) u_{y_{n+1}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

In the sequel, we abuse the notation a little bit by writing $h(t, x, s)$ and $G(t, x)$ as $h(t, x^*, x_{n+1}, s)$ and $G(t, x^*, x_{n+1})$ for $x = (x^*, x_{n+1})$, respectively. With this agreement, for any function $F(t, y, s)$, we introduce

$$F_\varepsilon (t, y^*, y_{n+1}, s) = F(t, y^*, \varepsilon g (y^*) y_{n+1}, s), \quad F_0 (t, y^*, s) = F(t, y^*, 0, s),$$

where $y = (y^*, y_{n+1}) \in \Omega$ and $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$. Then problem (17)-(18) is equivalent to the following system for $t > \tau$,

$$\begin{cases} d\hat{u}^\varepsilon - \left( \frac{1}{g} \text{div}_y (P_x \hat{u}^\varepsilon) - \lambda \hat{u}^\varepsilon \right) dt = (h_\varepsilon (t, y, \hat{u}^\varepsilon(t)) + G_\varepsilon (t, y)) dt \\
+ \sum_{j=1}^m c_j \hat{u}^\varepsilon \circ dw_j, \quad y = (y^*, y_{n+1}) \in \Omega, \\
P_x \hat{u}^\varepsilon \cdot \nu = 0, \quad y \in \partial \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

with the initial condition

$$u_\varepsilon^\tau (y) = \phi^\varepsilon (y) = \hat{\phi}^\varepsilon \circ T_\varepsilon^{-1} (y), \quad y \in \Omega, \quad (20)$$

where $\nu$ is the unit outward normal to $\partial \Omega$.

Given $t \in \mathbb{R}$, define a translation $\theta_{1,t}$ on $\mathbb{R}$ by

$$\theta_{1,t} (\tau) = \tau + t, \quad \text{for all } \tau \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (21)$$

Then $\{\theta_{1,t}\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is a group acting on $\mathbb{R}$. We now specify the probability space. Denote by

$$\Omega = \{ \omega \in C (\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) : \omega (0) = 0 \}.$$

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the Borel $\sigma$-algebra induced by the compact-open topology of $\Omega$, and $P$ the corresponding Wiener measure on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$. There is a classical group $\{\theta_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ acting on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$, which is defined by

$$\theta_t \omega (\cdot) = \omega (\cdot + t) - \omega (t), \quad \omega \in \Omega, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (22)$$

Then $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, \{\theta_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}})$ is a metric dynamical system (see [1]). On the other hand, let us consider the one-dimensional stochastic differential equation

$$dz + \alpha z dt = dw (t), \quad (23)$$

for $\alpha > 0$. This equation has a random fixed point in the sense of random dynamical systems generating a stationary solution known as the stationary Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process (see [6] for more details). In fact, we have

**Lemma 2.1.** There exists a $\{\theta_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$-invariant subset $\Omega' \in \mathcal{F}$ of full measure such that

$$\lim_{t \to \pm \infty} \frac{|\omega(t)|}{t} = 0 \quad \text{for all } \omega \in \Omega'.$$
and, for such $\omega$, the random variable given by
\[ z^* (\omega) = -\alpha \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{\alpha s} \omega (s) \, ds \]
is well defined. Moreover, for $\omega \in \Omega'$, the mapping
\[ (t, \omega) \to z^* (\theta_t \omega) = -\alpha \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{\alpha s} \theta_t \omega (s) \, ds = -\alpha \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{\alpha s} \omega (t + s) \, ds + \omega (t) \]
is a stationary solution of (23) with continuous trajectories. In addition, for $\omega \in \Omega'$
\[ \lim_{t \to \pm \infty} \frac{|z^* (\theta_t \omega)|}{t} = 0, \quad \lim_{t \to \pm \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{t} z^* (\theta_s \omega) \, ds = 0, \tag{24} \]
\[ \lim_{t \to \pm \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{t} |z^* (\theta_s \omega)| \, ds = E |z^*| < \infty. \tag{25} \]

Denote by $z_j^*$ the associated Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process corresponding to (23) with $\alpha = 1$ and $w$ replaced by $w_j$ for $j = 1, \ldots, m$. Then for any $j = 1, \ldots, m$, we have a stationary Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process generated by a random variable $z_j^* (\omega)$ on $\Omega_j$, with properties formulated in Lemma 2.1 defined on a metric dynamical system $(\Omega_j, F_j, P_j, \{\theta_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}})$. We set
\[ \tilde{\Omega} = \Omega_1' \times \cdots \times \Omega_m' \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F} = \bigotimes_{j=1}^{m} \mathcal{F}_j, \]
Then $(\tilde{\Omega}, \mathcal{F}, P_j, \{\theta_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}})$ is a metric dynamical system.

Denote by
\[ S_{C_j} \left( t \right) u = e^{c_j t} u, \quad \text{for} \ u \in L^2 (O), \]
and
\[ T (\omega) := S_{C_1} (z_1^* (\omega)) \circ \cdots \circ S_{C_m} (z_m^* (\omega)) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} c_j z_j^* (\omega) I_d L^2 (O), \quad \omega \in \Omega'. \]
Then for every $\omega \in \Omega'$, $T (\omega)$ is a homeomorphism on $L^2 (O)$, and its inverse operator is given by
\[ T^{-1} (\omega) := S_{C_m} (-z_m^* (\omega)) \circ \cdots \circ S_{C_1} (-z_1^* (\omega)) = -\sum_{j=1}^{m} c_j z_j^* (\omega) I_d L^2 (O). \]
It follows that $\|T^{-1}(\theta_t\omega)\|$ has sub-exponential growth as $t \to \pm \infty$ for any $\omega \in \tilde{\Omega}$. Hence $\|T^{-1}\|$ is tempered. Analogously, $\|T\|$ is also tempered. Obviously, $\sup_{s \in [s_0 - a, s_0 + a]} \|T (\theta_s \omega)\|$ is still tempered for every $s_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}^+$. On the other hand, since $z_j^*, j = 1, \ldots, m$, are independent Gaussian random variables, by the ergodic theorem we still have a $\{\theta_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$-invariant set $\tilde{\Omega} \in \mathcal{F}$ of full measure such that
\[ \lim_{t \to \pm \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{t} \|T (\theta_s \omega)\|^2 \, d\tau = E \|T\|^2 = \prod_{j=1}^{m} E (e^{2c_j z_j^*}) < \infty, \]
and
\[ \lim_{t \to \pm \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{t} \|T^{-1} (\theta_s \omega)\|^2 \, d\tau = E \|T^{-1}\|^2 = \prod_{j=1}^{m} E (e^{-2c_j z_j^*}) < \infty. \]
Remark 1. We now consider $\theta$ defined in (22) on $\Omega' \cap \Omega$ instead of $\Omega$. This mapping possesses the same properties as the original one if we choose $\mathcal{F}$ as the trace $\sigma$-algebra with respect to $\Omega' \cap \Omega$. The corresponding metric dynamical system is still denoted by $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, \{\theta_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}})$ throughout this paper.

Next, we define a continuous cocycle for system (19)-(20) in $\mathcal{N}$. This can be achieved by transferring the stochastic system into a deterministic one with random parameters in a standard manner. Let $u^\varepsilon$ be a solution to (19)-(20) and denote by $v^\varepsilon(t) = T^{-1}(\theta_t\omega) u^\varepsilon(t)$ and $\delta(\omega) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} c_j z_j^* (\omega)$. Then $v^\varepsilon$ satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{dv^\varepsilon}{dt} - \frac{1}{2} \text{div}_y (P_x v^\varepsilon) &= (-\lambda + \delta(\theta_t\omega))v^\varepsilon + T^{-1}(\theta_t\omega) h_x(t, y, T(\theta_t\omega) v^\varepsilon(t)) \\
+ T^{-1}(\theta_t\omega) G_x(t, y), & y \in \partial \mathcal{O},
\end{align*}
$$

(26)

with the initial conditions

$$
v^\varepsilon(0) = \psi^\varepsilon(y), \quad y \in \mathcal{O},
$$

(27)

where $\psi^\varepsilon = (T^{-1}(\theta_t\omega)) \phi^\varepsilon$.

Since (26) is a deterministic equation, by the Galerkin method, one can show that if $H$ satisfies (8)-(11), then for every $\omega \in \Omega$, $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\psi^\varepsilon \in \mathcal{N}$, (26)-(27) has a unique solution $v^\varepsilon(t, \tau, \omega, \psi^\varepsilon) \in C([\tau, \tau + \tau], L^2(\mathcal{O})) \cap L^2([\tau, \tau + \tau], H^1(\mathcal{O})) \cap C([\tau + \epsilon, \tau + \tau], H^1(\mathcal{O}))$ with $v_{\tau}(\cdot, \tau, \omega, \psi^\varepsilon) = \psi^\varepsilon$ for every $\tau > 0$ and $0 < \epsilon < T$.

Furthermore, one may show that $v^\varepsilon(t, \tau, \omega, \psi^\varepsilon)$ is $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{N}))$-measurable in $\omega \in \Omega$ and continuous with respect to $\psi^\varepsilon$ in $\mathcal{N}$ for all $t \geq \tau$. Since $u^\varepsilon(t, \tau, \omega, \phi^\varepsilon) = T(\theta_{t+\tau}\omega) v^\varepsilon(t, \tau, \omega, \psi^\varepsilon)$ with $\phi^\varepsilon = (T(\theta_{t+\tau}\omega)) \psi^\varepsilon$, we find that $u^\varepsilon(t)$ is continuous in both $t \geq \tau$ and $\phi^\varepsilon \in \mathcal{N}$ and is $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{N}))$-measurable in $\omega \in \Omega$. In addition, it follows from (26) that $u^\varepsilon$ is a solution of problem (19)-(20). We now define

$$
\Phi^\varepsilon : \mathbb{R}^{+} \times \Omega \times \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}
$$

by

$$
\Phi^\varepsilon(t, \tau, \omega, \phi^\varepsilon) = u^\varepsilon(t + \tau, \tau, \theta_{-\tau}\omega, \phi^\varepsilon) = T(\theta_{t+\tau}\omega) v^\varepsilon(t + \tau, \tau, \theta_{-\tau}\omega, \psi^\varepsilon),
$$

for all $(t, \tau, \omega, \phi^\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{R}^{+} \times \Omega \times \mathcal{N}$.

(28)

By the properties of $u^\varepsilon$, we find that $\Phi^\varepsilon$ is a continuous cocycle on $\mathcal{N}$ over $(\mathbb{R}, \{\theta_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}})$ and $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, \{\theta_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}})$, where $\{\theta_{t_1}\}_{t_1 \in \mathbb{R}}$ and $\{\theta_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ are given by (21) and (22), respectively. In this paper, we will first prove the asymptotic compactness of solutions in $H^1(\mathcal{O})$ and then establish the existence and upper semicontinuity in $H^1(\mathcal{O})$ of $(\mathcal{N}, H^1(\mathcal{O}))$-random attractors.

Let $R^\varepsilon : L^2(\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon) \rightarrow L^2(\mathcal{O})$ be an affine mapping of the form

$$
(R^\varepsilon \hat{\phi}_\varepsilon)(y) = \hat{\phi}_\varepsilon(T^{-1}_\varepsilon y), \quad \forall \hat{\phi}_\varepsilon \in L^2(\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon).
$$

Given $t \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$, $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $\omega \in \Omega$ and $\hat{\phi}_\varepsilon \in L^2(\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon)$, we can define a continuous cocycle $\hat{\Phi}^\varepsilon$ for problem (6)-(7) by the formula

$$
\hat{\Phi}^\varepsilon(t, \tau, \omega, \hat{\phi}_\varepsilon) = R^{-1}_\varepsilon \Phi^\varepsilon(t, \tau, \omega, R^\varepsilon \hat{\phi}_\varepsilon).
$$
The same change of unknown variable \( v^0(t) = T^{-1}(\theta_t \omega) u^0(t) \) transforms equation (4) into the following random partial differential equation on \( Q \):

\[
\begin{cases}
\frac{dv^0}{dt} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{g} (g v^0_y)_y = (-\lambda + \delta(\theta_t \omega)) v^0 + T^{-1}(\theta_t \omega) h_0(t, y^*, T(\theta_t \omega) v^0(t)) \\
\frac{dv^0_n}{dv^0_0} = 0, \quad y^* \in \partial Q,
\end{cases}
\]

with the initial conditions

\[
v^0_0(y^*) = \psi^0(y^*), \quad y^* \in Q,
\]

where \( \psi^0 = (\tilde{T}^{-1}(\theta_\omega)\phi^0) \).

The same argument as above allows us to prove that problem (4) and (5) generates a continuous cocycle \( \Phi_0(t, \tau, \omega, \phi^0) \) in the space \( M \).

Now we want to write equation (26)-(27) as an abstract evolutionary equation. We introduce the inner product \((\cdot, \cdot)_{H_g(\O)}\) on \( N \) defined by

\[
(u, v)_{H_g(\O)} = \int_\O g uvdy, \quad \text{for all } u, v \in N
\]

and denote by \( H_g(\O) \) the space equipped with this inner product. Since \( g \) is a continuous function on \( \overline{Q} \) and satisfies (1), one easily shows that \( H_g(\O) \) is a Hilbert space with norm equivalent to the natural norm of \( N \).

For \( 0 < \varepsilon \leq 1 \), we introduce a bilinear form \( a_\varepsilon(\cdot, \cdot) : H^1(\O) \times H^1(\O) \to \mathbb{R} \), given by

\[
a_\varepsilon(u, v) = (J^* \nabla_y u, J^* \nabla_y v)_{H_g(\O)},
\]

where

\[
J^* \nabla_y u = (u_{y_1} - \frac{g_{y_1}}{g} y_{n+1} u_{y_{n+1}}, \ldots, u_{y_n} - \frac{g_{y_n}}{g} y_{n+1} u_{y_{n+1}} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} u_{y_{n+1}}).
\]

By introducing on \( H^1(\O) \) the equivalent norm, for every \( 0 < \varepsilon \leq 1 \),

\[
\|u\|_{H^1(\O)} = \left( \int_\O (|\nabla_y u|^2 + |u|^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} u_{y_{n+1}}^2)dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},
\]

we see that there exist positive constants \( \varepsilon_0, \eta_1 \) and \( \eta_2 \) such that for all \( 0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0 \) and \( u \in H^1(\O) \),

\[
\eta_1 \int_\O (|\nabla_y u|^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} u_{y_{n+1}}^2)dy \leq a_\varepsilon(u, u) \leq \eta_2 \int_\O (|\nabla_y u|^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} u_{y_{n+1}}^2)dy
\]

and

\[
\eta_1 \|u\|_{H^1(\O)}^2 \leq a_\varepsilon(u, u) + \|u\|_{L^2(\O)}^2 \leq \eta_2 \|u\|_{H^1(\O)}^2.
\]

Denote by \( A_\varepsilon \) an unbounded operator on \( H_g(\O) \) with domain

\[
D(A_\varepsilon) = \{ v \in H^2(\O), P_\varepsilon v \cdot \nu = 0 \text{ on } \partial \O \}
\]

as defined by

\[
A_\varepsilon v = -\frac{1}{g} \text{div} P_\varepsilon v, \quad v \in D(A_\varepsilon).
\]

Then we have

\[
a_\varepsilon(u, v) = (A_\varepsilon u, v)_{H_g(\O)}, \quad \forall u \in D(A_\varepsilon), \forall v \in H^1(\O).
\]
Using $A_\varepsilon$, (26)-(27) can be written as
\begin{align*}
\frac{d\psi^\varepsilon}{dt} + A_\varepsilon \psi^\varepsilon &= (-\lambda + \delta(\theta t, \omega)) \psi^\varepsilon + T^{-1} (\theta t, \omega) h_\varepsilon (t, y, T (\theta t, \omega) \psi(t)) \\
&\quad + T^{-1} (\theta t, \omega) G_\varepsilon (t, y), \quad y \in \mathcal{O}, \ t > \tau,
\end{align*}
(36)

To reformulate system (29)-(30), we introduce the inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)_{H_0(\mathcal{Q})}$ on $\mathcal{M}$ defined by
\begin{equation*}
(u, v)_{H_0(\mathcal{Q})} = \int_\mathcal{Q} g(u v^*) dy^* , \quad \text{for all } u, v \in \mathcal{M},
\end{equation*}
and denote by $H_0(\mathcal{Q})$ the space equipped with this inner product. Let $a_0 (\cdot, \cdot) : H^1 (\mathcal{Q}) \times H^1 (\mathcal{Q}) \to \mathbb{R}$ be a bilinear form given by
\begin{equation*}
a_0 (u, v) = \int_\mathcal{Q} g \nabla y^* u \cdot \nabla y^* v dy^*.
\end{equation*}

Denote by $A_0$ an unbounded operator on $H_0(\mathcal{Q})$ with domain
\begin{equation*}
D(A_0) = \left\{ v \in H^2(\mathcal{Q}) : \frac{\partial v}{\partial y^*} = 0 \text{ on } \partial \mathcal{Q} \right\}
\end{equation*}
as defined by
\begin{equation*}
A_0 v = -\frac{1}{g} \sum_{i=1}^n (g u_{y_i})_y , \quad v \in D(A_0).
\end{equation*}

Then we have
\begin{equation*}
a_0 (u, v) = (A_0 u, v)_{H_0(\mathcal{Q})}, \quad \forall u \in D(A_0), \forall v \in H^1(\mathcal{Q}).
\end{equation*}

Using $A_0$, (29)-(30) can be written as
\begin{align*}
\frac{d\psi^0}{dt} + A_0 \psi^0 &= (-\lambda + \delta(\theta t, \omega)) \psi^0 + T^{-1} (\theta t, \omega) h_0 (t, y^*, T (\theta t, \omega) \psi^0(t)) \\
&\quad + T^{-1} (\theta t, \omega) G_0 (t, y^*), \quad y^* \in \mathcal{Q}, \ t > \tau,
\end{align*}
(37)

Hereafter, we set $X_0 = \mathcal{M}$, $X_\varepsilon = L^2(\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon)$ and $X_1 = \mathcal{N}$. For every $i = \varepsilon, 0$ or 1, a family $B_i = \{B_i (\tau, \omega) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \omega \in \Omega\}$ of nonempty subsets of $X_i$ is called tempered if for every $c > 0$, we have:
\begin{equation*}
\lim_{t \to -\infty} e^{ct} \left\| B_i (\tau + t, \theta t, \omega) \right\|_{X_i} = 0,
\end{equation*}
where $\left\| B_i \right\|_{X_i} = \sup_{x \in B_i} \| x \|_{X_i}$. The collection of all families of tempered nonempty subsets of $X_i$ is denoted by $\mathcal{D}_i$, i.e.,
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}_i = \{B_i = \{B_i (\tau, \omega) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \omega \in \Omega\} : B_i \text{ is tempered in } X_i\}.
\end{equation*}

Our main purpose of the paper is to prove that the cocycle $\Phi_\varepsilon$ and $\Phi_0$ possess a unique $(L^2(\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon), H^1(\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon))$-random attractor $\hat{A}_\varepsilon$ and $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{F})$-random attractor $A_0$, respectively. Furthermore $\hat{A}_\varepsilon$ is upper-semicontinuous at $\varepsilon = 0$, that is, for every $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\omega \in \Omega,$
\begin{equation*}
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \sup_{u_0 \in \hat{A}_\varepsilon} \inf_{u_0 \in A_0} \varepsilon^{-1} \left\| u_\varepsilon - u_0 \right\|_{H^1(\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon)}^2 = 0.
\end{equation*}
(38)

To prove (38), we only need to show that the cocycle $\Phi_\varepsilon$ has a unique $(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{H})$-random attractor $\hat{A}_\varepsilon$ and it is upper-semicontinuous at $\varepsilon = 0$ in the sense that for every $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\omega \in \Omega,$
\begin{equation*}
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \text{dist}_{\mathcal{H}} (A_\varepsilon (\tau, \omega), A_0 (\tau, \omega)) = 0,
\end{equation*}
(39)
which will be established in the last section of the paper.

Furthermore, we suppose that there exists $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that
\begin{equation}
\gamma \triangleq \lambda_0 - 2E(\delta(\omega)) > 0. \tag{39}
\end{equation}

Let us consider the mapping
\begin{equation}
\gamma(\omega) = \lambda_0 - 2|\delta(\omega)|. \tag{40}
\end{equation}

By the ergodic theory and (39) we have
\begin{equation}
\lim_{t \to \pm\infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \gamma (\theta_l \omega) dl = E(\gamma) = \gamma > 0. \tag{41}
\end{equation}

The following condition will be needed when deriving uniform estimates of solutions:
\begin{equation}
\int_{-\infty}^{\tau} e^{\frac{2}{\epsilon} \gamma s} \left( \|G(s,\cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{\omega})}^2 + \|\varphi_1(s,\cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{\omega})}^2 + \|\psi_3(s,\cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{\omega})}^2 \right) ds < \infty, \quad \forall \tau \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{42}
\end{equation}

When constructing tempered pullback attractors, we will assume
\begin{equation}
\lim_{r \to -\infty} e^{\sigma r} \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{\frac{2}{\epsilon} \gamma s} \left( \|G(s+r,\cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{\omega})}^2 + \|\varphi_1(s+r,\cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{\omega})}^2 + \|\psi_3(s+r,\cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{\omega})}^2 \right) ds = 0, \quad \forall \sigma > 0. \tag{43}
\end{equation}

Since $\psi_1 = \varphi_1 + b_1$ for some positive constant $b_1$, it is evident that (42) and (43) imply
\begin{equation}
\int_{-\infty}^{\tau} e^{\frac{2}{\epsilon} \gamma s} \left( \|G(s,\cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{\omega})}^2 + \|\varphi_1(s,\cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{\omega})}^2 + \|\psi_3(s,\cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{\omega})}^2 \right) ds < \infty, \quad \forall \tau \in \mathbb{R} \tag{44}
\end{equation}
and
\begin{equation}
\lim_{r \to -\infty} e^{\sigma r} \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{\frac{2}{\epsilon} \gamma s} \left( \|G(s+r,\cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{\omega})}^2 + \|\varphi_1(s+r,\cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{\omega})}^2 + \|\psi_3(s+r,\cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{\omega})}^2 \right) ds = 0, \tag{45}
\end{equation}
for any $\sigma > 0$.

3. Uniform estimates of solutions. In this section, we recall and generalize some results in [17] and derive some new uniform estimates of solutions of problem (36) or (19)-(20) which are needed for proving the existence of $D_1$-pullback absorbing sets and the $D_1$-pullback asymptotic compactness in $H^1(\Omega)$ of the cocycle $\Phi_\epsilon$.

**Lemma 3.1.** Assume that (8)-(11), (39) and (42) hold. Then for every $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$, $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $\omega \in \Omega$, and $D_1 = \{D_1(\tau,\omega) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \omega \in \Omega\} \subset D_1$, there exists $T = T(\tau,\omega, D_1) \geq 2$, independent of $\varepsilon$, such that for all $T \geq T$, $\lambda_1 > \lambda_0$ and $\psi^\varepsilon \in D_1(\tau - t, \theta_\varepsilon \omega)$, the solution $v^\varepsilon$ of (36) with $\omega$ replaced by $\theta_\varepsilon \omega$ satisfies
\begin{equation}
\sup_{-1 \leq s \leq 0} \|v^\varepsilon(s + t, \tau - s, \theta_\varepsilon \omega, \psi^\varepsilon)\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \leq R_2(\tau, \omega), \tag{46}
\end{equation}
where $R_2(\tau, \omega)$ is determined by
\begin{align}
R_2(\tau, \omega) &= r_1(\omega) R_1(\tau, \omega) \\
&+ c \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{\tau r} \|\mathcal{T}^{-1}(\theta_r \omega)\|^2 \left( \|G(r + \tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{\omega})}^2 + \|\psi_3(r + \tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{\omega})}^2 \right) dr, \tag{47}
\end{align}

where $R_1(\tau, \omega)$ is determined by

$$
R_1(\tau, \omega) = c \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{\int_{0}^{\tau} \gamma(\theta_t \omega) dt} \left\| \mathcal{T}^{-1}(\theta_t \omega) \right\|^2_{L^\infty(\hat{\omega})} dr \\
+ c \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{\int_{0}^{\tau} \gamma(\theta_t \omega) dt} \left\| \mathcal{T}^{-1}(\theta_t \omega) \right\|^2_{L^\infty(\hat{\omega})} \| \psi_1(r + \tau, \cdot) \|^2_{L^\infty(\hat{\omega})} dr,
$$

and $r_1(\omega)$ is a tempered function, and $c$ is independent of $\varepsilon$.

**Proof.** The proof is similar as that of Lemma 3.4 in [17], so we only sketch the proof here. Taking the inner product of (36) with $v^\varepsilon$ in $H^s(\mathcal{O})$, we find that

$$
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left\| v^\varepsilon \right\|^2_{H^s(\mathcal{O})} \leq -a_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon, v^\varepsilon) + (-\lambda_0 + \delta(\theta_t \omega)) \left\| v^\varepsilon \right\|^2_{H^s(\mathcal{O})} \\
+ \left( \mathcal{T}^{-1}(\theta_t \omega) h_\varepsilon(t, \cdot, T(\theta_t \omega) v^\varepsilon(t)) \right) \right\|_{H^s(\mathcal{O})} \\
+ \left( \mathcal{T}^{-1}(\theta_t \omega) G_\varepsilon(t, \cdot, v^\varepsilon) \right)_{H^s(\mathcal{O})}.
$$

(49)

By (13), we have

$$
\frac{d}{dt} \left\| v^\varepsilon \right\|^2_{H^s(\mathcal{O})} + 2a_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon, v^\varepsilon) + \frac{\lambda_0}{2} \left\| v^\varepsilon \right\|^2_{H^s(\mathcal{O})} + 2\alpha_1 \gamma_1 \left\| \mathcal{T}^{-1}(\theta_t \omega) \right\|^2 \left\| u^\varepsilon \right\|^2_{L^p(\mathcal{O})} \\
\leq (-\lambda_0 + 2\delta(\theta_t \omega)) \left\| v^\varepsilon \right\|^2_{H^s(\mathcal{O})} + \frac{2}{\lambda_0} \gamma_2 \left\| \mathcal{T}^{-1}(\theta_t \omega) \right\|^2 \left\| G(t, \cdot) \right\|^2_{L^\infty(\hat{\omega})} \\
+ 2\gamma_2 \left\| \mathcal{T}^{-1}(\theta_t \omega) \right\|^2 \left\| \psi_1(t, \cdot) \right\|^2_{L^\infty(\hat{\omega})}.
$$

(50)

Then, we have for any $\sigma \geq t$,

$$
e^{\int_{t}^{\sigma} \gamma(\theta_t \omega) dt} \left\| v^\varepsilon(\sigma) \right\|^2_{H^s(\mathcal{O})} + 2\int_{t}^{\sigma} e^{\int_{r}^{\sigma} \gamma(\theta_t \omega) dt} a_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon(r), v^\varepsilon(r)) dr \\
+ \frac{\lambda_0}{2} \int_{t}^{\sigma} e^{\int_{r}^{\sigma} \gamma(\theta_t \omega) dt} \left\| v^\varepsilon(r) \right\|^2_{H^s(\mathcal{O})} dr \\
+ 2\alpha_1 \gamma_1 \left\| \mathcal{T}^{-1}(\theta_t \omega) \right\|^2 e^{\int_{t}^{\sigma} \gamma(\theta_t \omega) dt} \left\| u^\varepsilon(r) \right\|^2_{L^p(\mathcal{O})} dr \\
\leq \left\| v^\varepsilon(t) \right\|^2_{H^s(\mathcal{O})} + \frac{2}{\lambda_0} \gamma_2 \left\| \mathcal{T}^{-1}(\theta_t \omega) \right\|^2 \left\| G(t, \cdot) \right\|^2_{L^\infty(\hat{\omega})} \\
+ 2\gamma_2 \left\| \mathcal{T}^{-1}(\theta_t \omega) \right\|^2 \left\| \psi_1(t, \cdot) \right\|^2_{L^\infty(\hat{\omega})}.
$$

(51)

where $\gamma(\theta_t \omega) = -\lambda_0 + \delta(\theta_t \omega)$.

Thus by the similar arguments as Lemma 3.1 in [17] we get for every $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $\omega \in \Omega$, and $D_1 \in D_1$, there exists $T = T(\tau, \omega, D_1) > 0$ such that for all $t \geq T$,

$$
\left\| v^\varepsilon(t, t - \theta_t \omega, \psi) \right\|^2_{L^2(\mathcal{O})} \leq c \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{\int_{\tau}^{\infty} \gamma(\theta_t \omega) dt} \left\| \psi_1(r + \tau, \cdot) \right\|^2_{L^\infty(\hat{\omega})} dr \\
+ c \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{\int_{\tau}^{\infty} \gamma(\theta_t \omega) dt} \left\| \mathcal{T}^{-1}(\theta_t \omega) \right\|^2 \left\| G(r, \cdot) \right\|^2_{L^\infty(\hat{\omega})} dr \\
+ c \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{\int_{\tau}^{\infty} \gamma(\theta_t \omega) dt} \left\| \mathcal{T}^{-1}(\theta_t \omega) \right\|^2 \left\| \psi_1(r + \tau, \cdot) \right\|^2_{L^\infty(\hat{\omega})} dr.
$$

(52)
Moreover, taking the inner product of (36) with $A_{\varepsilon}v^\varepsilon$ in $H_g(\mathcal{O})$, we find that
\[
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} a_\varepsilon (v^\varepsilon, v^\varepsilon) + \|A_{\varepsilon}v^\varepsilon\|^2_{H_g(\mathcal{O})} \leq (-\lambda_0 + \delta(\theta, \omega)) a_\varepsilon (v^\varepsilon, v^\varepsilon) + \langle T^{-1} (\theta(t), h_\varepsilon(t, y, T(t) v^\varepsilon(t))), A_{\varepsilon}v^\varepsilon \rangle_{H_g(\mathcal{O})} + \langle T^{-1} (\theta(t), G_\varepsilon(t, y)), A_{\varepsilon}v^\varepsilon \rangle_{H_g(\mathcal{O})}. \tag{53}
\]

By (15)-(16) we have
\[
\frac{d}{dt} a_\varepsilon (v^\varepsilon, v^\varepsilon) + \|A_{\varepsilon}v^\varepsilon\|^2_{H_g(\mathcal{O})} \leq (c + 2\delta(\theta, \omega)) a_\varepsilon (v^\varepsilon, v^\varepsilon) + c \|T^{-1} (\theta(t, \cdot)) \|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{O})}^2 \|G(t, \cdot)\|^2_{L^\infty(\mathcal{O})} + \|\psi(t, \cdot)\|^2_{L^\infty(\mathcal{O})}. \tag{54}
\]

The left proof is similar to that Lemma 3.4 in [17], so we omit it here. \qed

We are now in a position to establish the uniform estimates for the solution $v^\varepsilon$ of the stochastic equation (19)-(20) by using those estimates for the solution $v^\varepsilon$ of (36) and the relation between $v^\varepsilon$ and $u^\varepsilon$.

**Lemma 3.2.** Assume that (8)-(11), (39) and (42) hold. Then for every $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$, $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $\omega \in \Omega$, and $D_1 = \{D_1(\tau, \omega) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \omega \in \Omega\} \in D_1$, there exists $T = T(\tau, \omega, D_1) \geq 2$, independent of $\varepsilon$, such that for all $t \geq T$, $\lambda_1 > \lambda_0$ and $\phi^\varepsilon \in D_1(\tau - t, \theta(t))$, the solution $v^\varepsilon$ of (19)-(20) with $\omega$ replaced by $\theta(t)$ satisfies
\[
\sup_{-1 \leq s \leq 0} \|\varepsilon^\varepsilon (\tau + s, \tau - t, \theta(t), \phi^\varepsilon)\|^2_{H^1(\mathcal{O})} \leq r_2(\omega) R_2(\tau, \omega), \tag{55}
\]
where $r_2(\omega)$ is a tempered function and $R_2(\tau, \omega)$ is given by (47).

**Lemma 3.3.** Assume that (8)-(11), (39) and (42) hold. Then for every $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$, $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $\omega \in \Omega$, and $D_1 = \{D_1(\tau, \omega) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \omega \in \Omega\} \in D_1$, there exists $T = T(\tau, \omega, D_1) \geq 2$, independent of $\varepsilon$, such that for all $t \geq T$, $\lambda_1 > \lambda_0$ and $\psi^\varepsilon \in D_1(\tau - t, \theta(t))$, the solution $v^\varepsilon$ of (36) with $\omega$ replaced by $\theta(t)$ satisfies
\[
\sup_{-1 \leq s \leq 0} \|v^\varepsilon (\tau + s, \tau - t, \theta(t), \psi^\varepsilon)\|^p_{L^p(\mathcal{O})} + \int_{\tau - \rho}^{\tau} \|v^\varepsilon (r, \tau - t, \theta(t), \psi^\varepsilon)\|^2_{L^{2p-2}(\mathcal{O})} dr \leq R_3(\tau, \omega), \tag{56}
\]
where $R_3(\tau, \omega) < \infty$ for every $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\omega \in \Omega$.

**Proof.** The proof is similar to that of Lemma 3.6 in [14], so we omit it here. \qed

**Lemma 3.4.** Assume that (8)-(11), (39) and (42) hold. Then for every $\eta > 0$, $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $\omega \in \Omega$, and $D_1 = \{D_1(\tau, \omega) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \omega \in \Omega\} \in D_1$, there exist $T = T(\tau, \omega, D_1) \geq 2$, $\gamma = \gamma(\omega) > 0$, a large $M = M(\tau, \omega, \eta) > 0$ and $0 < \varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_0$ such that for all $t \geq T$, $\lambda_1 > \lambda_0$, $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_1$ and $\psi^\varepsilon \in D_1(\tau - t, \theta(t))$, the solution $v^\varepsilon$ of (36) with $\omega$ replaced by $\theta(t)$ satisfies
\[
\int_{-1}^{0} e^{\varepsilon \rho} [\int_{\mathcal{O}} v^\varepsilon (s + \tau - t, \theta(t), \psi^\varepsilon) \geq 2M] ds \leq \eta, \tag{57}
\]
\[
\int_{-1}^{0} e^{\varepsilon \rho} [\int_{\mathcal{O}} v^\varepsilon (s + \tau - t, \theta(t), \psi^\varepsilon) \geq -2M] ds \leq \eta. \tag{58}
\]
Proof. Let $M$ be a positive number to be specified later. Taking the scalar product of (36) with $(v^\varepsilon - M)_+^{p-1}$, where $(v^\varepsilon - M)_+ = \max\{v^\varepsilon - M, 0\}$; we have

$$
\frac{d}{dt} \left\| (v^\varepsilon - M)_+ \right\|_{L^p(O)}^p + (p - 1) \int_{v^\varepsilon \geq M} (v^\varepsilon - M)_+^{p-2} a_x(v^\varepsilon, v^\varepsilon) dx 
\leq \left( \delta (\theta_\omega) v^\varepsilon, (v^\varepsilon - M)_+^{p-1} \right) + \left( T^{-1} (\theta_\omega) h_\varepsilon(t, y, \mathcal{T}(\theta_\omega) v^\varepsilon), (v^\varepsilon - M)_+^{p-1} \right) 
+ \left( T^{-1} (\theta_\omega) G_\varepsilon(t, y), (v^\varepsilon - M)_+^{p-1} \right),
$$

(59)

For the first term on the right side of (59) we have

$$
\left| \left( \delta (\theta_\omega) v^\varepsilon, (v^\varepsilon - M)_+^{p-1} \right) \right| 
\leq \frac{1}{p} \| \delta (\theta_\omega) \|^p \int_{\Omega} |v^\varepsilon|^p dx + \frac{p - 1}{p} \int_{\Omega} (v^\varepsilon - M)_+^p dx.
$$

(60)

For the second term on the right-hand side of (59), by (8), we obtain, for $v^\varepsilon > M$,

$$
h_\varepsilon(t, y, \mathcal{T}(\theta_\omega) v^\varepsilon) (v^\varepsilon - M)_+^{p-1} \leq -\alpha_1 \| \mathcal{T}(\theta_\omega) \|^{p-1} (v^\varepsilon)_+^{p-1} 
+ \| \mathcal{T}(\theta_\omega) \|^{-1} \psi_1(t, y^*, \varepsilon g(y^*) y_{n+1}) (v^\varepsilon - M)_+^{p-1} 
\leq -\frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 M^{p-2} \| \mathcal{T}(\theta_\omega) \|^{p-2} (v^\varepsilon - M)_+^p 
+ \| \mathcal{T}(\theta_\omega) \|^{-1} \psi_1(t, y^*, \varepsilon g(y^*) y_{n+1}) |(v^\varepsilon - M)_+^{p-2}|
$$

which implies

$$
\left( T^{-1} (\theta_\omega) h_\varepsilon(t, y, \mathcal{T}(\theta_\omega) v^\varepsilon), (v^\varepsilon - M)_+^{p-1} \right) 
\leq -\frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 M^{p-2} \| \mathcal{T}(\theta_\omega) \|^{p-2} \int_{\Omega} (v^\varepsilon - M)_+^p dx + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 \| \mathcal{T}(\theta_\omega) \|^{-2} \int_{\Omega} (v^\varepsilon - M)_+^{2p-2} dx
$$

$$
\leq -\frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 M^{p-2} \| \mathcal{T}(\theta_\omega) \|^{p-2} \int_{\Omega} (v^\varepsilon - M)_+^p dx + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 \| \mathcal{T}(\theta_\omega) \|^{-2} \int_{\Omega} (v^\varepsilon - M)_+^{2p-2} dx
$$

$$
+ \frac{p - 2}{p} \int_{\Omega} (v^\varepsilon - M)_+^p dx + \frac{2}{p} \| \mathcal{T}(\theta_\omega) \|^{-p} \int_{\Omega} \left| \psi_1(t, y^*, \varepsilon g(y^*) y_{n+1}) \right|^2 dy.
$$

(61)

The last term in (59) is bounded by

$$
\left( T^{-1} (\theta_\omega) G_\varepsilon(t, y), (v^\varepsilon - M)_+^{p-1} \right) 
\leq \frac{1}{8} \alpha_1 \| \mathcal{T}(\theta_\omega) \|^{p-2} \int_{\Omega} (v^\varepsilon - M)_+^{2p-2} dx
$$

$$
+ \frac{2}{\alpha_1} \| \mathcal{T}(\theta_\omega) \|^{-p} \int_{v^\varepsilon \geq M} |G_\varepsilon(t, y)|^2 dy.
$$

(62)

All above estimates yield

$$
\frac{d}{dt} \left( (v^\varepsilon - M)_+ \right)_+^{p} \int_{L^p(O)}^p - (2p - 3) \frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 M^{p-2} \| \mathcal{T}(\theta_\omega) \|^{p-2} \int_{\Omega} (v^\varepsilon - M)_+^p dx
$$

$$
+ \frac{1}{4} \alpha_1 \| \mathcal{T}(\theta_\omega) \|^{p-2} \int_{\Omega} (v^\varepsilon - M)_+^{2p-2} dx
$$

$$
\leq \delta (\theta_\omega)\| \int_{\Omega} |v^\varepsilon|^p dx + 2 \| \mathcal{T}(\theta_\omega) \|^{-p} \int_{\Omega} \left| \psi_1(t, y^*, \varepsilon g(y^*) y_{n+1}) \right|^2 dy
$$

$$
+ \frac{2p}{\alpha_1} \| \mathcal{T}(\theta_\omega) \|^{-p} \int_{\Omega} |G_\varepsilon(t, y)|^2 dy.
$$

(63)
Multiplying (63) by \( e^{-\int_{\tau}^{0}(2p-3-\frac{1}{2}p\alpha_1 M^{p-2}\|T(\theta, \omega)\|^{p-2})d\tau} \), then integrating on \((\tau-1, \tau)\) we obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
&\frac{1}{4} p\alpha_1 \int_{\tau-1}^{\tau} \|T(\theta, \omega)\|^{p-2} e^{-\int_{\tau}^{0}(2p-3-\frac{1}{2}p\alpha_1 M^{p-2}\|T(\theta, \omega)\|^{p-2})d\tau} \\
&\times \int_{O} (v^\varphi(\zeta, \tau - t, \omega, \psi^\varphi) - M)^{p-2}d\zeta \\
&\leq e^{-\int_{\tau}^{0}(2p-3-\frac{1}{2}p\alpha_1 M^{p-2}\|T(\theta, \omega)\|^{p-2})d\tau} \|T(\theta-1, \omega, \psi^\varphi) - M\|_{\mathbb{L}^p(O)}^p \\
&\quad + \int_{\tau-1}^{\tau} \|T(\theta-1, \omega, \psi^\varphi) - M\|_{\mathbb{L}^p(O)}^p d\zeta \\
&\quad + 2|O| \int_{\tau-1}^{\tau} \|T(\theta, \omega)\|^{p-2} e^{-\int_{\tau}^{0}(2p-3-\frac{1}{2}p\alpha_1 M^{p-2}\|T(\theta, \omega)\|^{p-2})d\tau} \|G(\zeta, \cdot)\|_{\mathbb{L}^{\infty}(\hat{O})}^2 d\zeta \\
&+ \frac{2p|O|}{\alpha_1} \int_{\tau-1}^{\tau} \|T(\theta, \omega)\|^{p-2} e^{-\int_{\tau}^{0}(2p-3-\frac{1}{2}p\alpha_1 M^{p-2}\|T(\theta, \omega)\|^{p-2})d\tau} \|\hat{G}(\zeta, \cdot)\|_{\mathbb{L}^{\infty}(\hat{O})}^2 d\zeta,
\end{align*}
\]

where \(|O|\) stands for the Lebesgue measure of \(O\). Replacing \(\omega\) by \(\theta_{-\tau}\) in (64) we get

\[
\begin{align*}
&\frac{1}{4} p\alpha_1 \int_{\tau-1}^{0} \|T(\theta, \omega)\|^{p-2} e^{-\int_{\tau}^{0}(2p-3-\frac{1}{2}p\alpha_1 M^{p-2}\|T(\theta, \omega)\|^{p-2})d\tau} \\
&\times \int_{O} (v^\varphi(\zeta + \tau, \tau - t, \theta_{-\tau}, \omega, \psi^\varphi) - M)^{p-2}d\zeta \\
&\leq e^{-\int_{\tau}^{0}(2p-3-\frac{1}{2}p\alpha_1 M^{p-2}\|T(\theta, \omega)\|^{p-2})d\tau} \|T(\theta - 1, \tau, \omega, \psi^\varphi) - M\|_{\mathbb{L}^p(O)}^p \\
&\quad + \int_{\tau-1}^{0} \|T(\theta - 1, \omega)\|^{p-2} e^{-\int_{\tau}^{0}(2p-3-\frac{1}{2}p\alpha_1 M^{p-2}\|T(\theta, \omega)\|^{p-2})d\tau} \|G(\zeta + \tau, \cdot)\|_{\mathbb{L}^{\infty}(\hat{O})}^2 d\zeta \\
&\quad + \frac{2p|O|}{\alpha_1} \int_{\tau-1}^{0} \|T(\theta, \omega)\|^{p-2} e^{-\int_{\tau}^{0}(2p-3-\frac{1}{2}p\alpha_1 M^{p-2}\|T(\theta, \omega)\|^{p-2})d\tau} \|\hat{G}(\zeta + \tau, \cdot)\|_{\mathbb{L}^{\infty}(\hat{O})}^2 d\zeta.
\end{align*}
\]

Since \(\omega\) is continuous on \([-1, 0]\), there exist \(c_1 = c_1(\omega, p, \alpha_1) > 0\) and \(c_2 = c_2(\omega, p, \alpha_1) > 0\) such that

\[
c_1 \leq \frac{1}{2} p\alpha_1 \|T(\theta, \omega)\|^{p-2} \leq c_2 \quad \text{for all } r \in [-\rho - 1, 0].
\]

By (66) we obtain

\[
e^{c_2 M^{p-2}\zeta} \leq e^{c_2 M^{p-2}\zeta} \|T(\theta, \omega)\|^{p-2} e^{-\int_{\tau}^{0}(2p-3-\frac{1}{2}p\alpha_1 M^{p-2}\|T(\theta, \omega)\|^{p-2})d\tau} \leq e^{c_2 M^{p-2}\zeta} \quad \text{for all } \zeta \in [-1, 0] \text{ and } \xi \in [-\rho, 0].
\]

For the left-hand side of (65), by (67) we find that there exists \(c_3 = c_3(\omega) > 0\) such that

\[
\begin{align*}
&\frac{1}{4} p\alpha_1 \int_{\tau-1}^{0} \|T(\theta, \omega)\|^{p-2} e^{-\int_{\tau}^{0}(2p-3-\frac{1}{2}p\alpha_1 M^{p-2}\|T(\theta, \omega)\|^{p-2})d\tau} \\
&\times \int_{O} (v^\varphi(\zeta + \tau, \tau - t, \theta_{-\tau}, \omega, \psi^\varphi) - M)^{p-2}d\zeta \\
&\geq c_3 \int_{\tau-1}^{0} e^{c_2 M^{p-2}\zeta} \int_{O} (v^\varphi(\zeta + \tau, \tau - t, \theta_{-\tau}, \omega, \psi^\varphi) - M)^{p-2}d\zeta.
\end{align*}
\]
For the first term on the right-hand side of (65), by (67) we obtain
\[ e^{-\int_0^t 2(2p-3-\frac{1}{2}p)-1} \| T(\theta, \omega) \|_{p-2}^2 dr \| (v^\varepsilon - M) \|_{L_p(\Omega)}^2 \]
\[ \leq e^{2p-3} e^{-c_1 M_{p-2}} \| (v^\varepsilon - M) \|_{L_p(\Omega)}^2 \]
\[ \leq e^{2p-3} e^{-c_1 M_{p-2}} \| v^\varepsilon - M \|_{L_p(\Omega)}^2 \]
(69)
Similarly, for the second terms on the right-hand side of (65), we have from (67) there exists \( c_4 = c_4(\omega) > 0 \) such that
\[ \int_{-1}^0 |(2p-3-\frac{1}{2}p a_1 M_{p-2})| d\zeta \]
\[ \leq c_4 \int_{-1}^0 e^{c_1 M_{p-2}} d\zeta \leq c_4^{-1} c_5 M^{2-p} \]
(70)
Since \( \varphi_1 \in L^p_{loc}(R, L^\infty(\Omega)) \) and \( G \in L^2_{loc}(R, L^\infty(\Omega)) \), for the two three terms on the right-hand side of (65), by (67) we obtain there exists \( c_5 = c_5(\tau, \omega) > 0 \) such that
\[ 2|\Omega| \int_{-1}^0 \| T(\theta, \omega) \|_{p-2}^2 e^{-\frac{1}{2}p a_1 M_{p-2}} \| T(\theta, \omega) \|_{p-2}^2 d\zeta \]
\[ \leq c_5 \int_{-1}^0 e^{c_1 M_{p-2}} d\zeta \leq c_4^{-1} c_5 M^{2-p} \]
(71)
By (68)-(71) we get from (65) that
\[ c_3 \int_{-1}^0 e^{c_2 M_{p-2}} \int_{\Omega} \| (v^\varepsilon - M) \|_{L_p(\Omega)}^2 d\zeta \]
\[ \leq e^{2p-3} e^{-c_1 M_{p-2}} \| v^\varepsilon - M \|_{L_p(\Omega)}^2 \]
\[ + c_4 \int_{-1}^0 e^{c_1 M_{p-2}} d\zeta \leq c_4^{-1} c_5 M^{2-p} \]
which together with Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3 implies that there exist \( c_6 = c_6(\tau, \omega) > 0 \) and \( T = T(\tau, \omega, D_1) \geq 2 \) such that for all \( t \geq T \),
\[ c_3 \int_{-1}^0 e^{c_2 M_{p-2}} \int_{\Omega} \| (v^\varepsilon - M) \|_{L_p(\Omega)}^2 d\zeta \]
\[ \leq c_6 e^{-c_1 M_{p-2}} + c_6 \int_{-1}^0 e^{c_1 M_{p-2}} d\zeta \]
\[ \leq c_6 e^{-c_1 M_{p-2}} + c_6 \int_{-1}^0 e^{c_1 M_{p-2}} d\zeta \]
(72)
Since \( p > 2 \), we find that for every \( \eta > 0 \), there exists \( M_0 = M(\tau, \omega, \eta) > 0 \) such that for all \( M \geq M_0 \) and \( t \geq T \),
\[ \int_{-1}^0 e^{c_2 M_{p-2}} \int_{\Omega} \| (v^\varepsilon - M) \|_{L_p(\Omega)}^2 d\zeta \leq \eta \]
(73)
Note that \( |v| \leq 2(\nu - M) \) for \( v \geq 2M \), which together with (73) yields that for all \( M \geq M_0 \) and \( t \geq T \),
\[ \int_{-1}^0 e^{c_2 M_{p-2}} \int_{\{y \in \Omega: |v^\varepsilon - M| = M \}} |v^\varepsilon - M|^{2p-2} d\zeta \]
(74)
Assume that (8)-(11), (39) and (42) hold. Then for every \( \omega \),
\[
\left( \int_0^1 e^{c_2 \zeta^2} \left( \int_{\mathcal{O}} \left( v^\varepsilon(\zeta + \tau, \tau - t, \theta_{-\tau} \omega, \psi \varepsilon \right) - M_1 \right)^2 dx d\zeta \right)^{1/2} \leq 2 \varepsilon^2 \eta. \quad (74)
\]
Similarly, one can verify that there exist \( M_1 = M_1(\tau, \omega, \eta) > 0 \) and \( T_1 = (\tau, \omega, D) \geq 2 \) such that for all \( M \geq M_1 \) and \( t \geq T_1 \),
\[
\int_{-1}^0 e^{c_2 \zeta^2} \left( \int_{\mathcal{O}} \left| v^\varepsilon(\zeta + \tau, \tau - t, \theta_{-\tau} \omega, \psi \varepsilon) \right|^{2p-2} dy d\zeta \leq 2 \varepsilon^2 \eta. \quad (75)
\]
Then Lemma 3.4 follows from (3) and (75) immediately. \( \Box \)

Note that \( A_\varepsilon \) is a family of linear operators in \( Y_\varepsilon \), for \( 0 \leq \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0 \), where \( Y_\varepsilon = H_\varepsilon(\mathcal{O}) \), for \( 0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0 \), and \( Y_0 = H_0(\mathcal{O}) \), is self-adjoint and has a compact resolvent. Then, \( \sigma(A_\varepsilon) \) consists of only eigenvalues \( \{ \lambda_n^\varepsilon \}_{n=1}^\infty \) with finite multiplicity:
\[
0 \leq \lambda_1^\varepsilon \leq \lambda_2^\varepsilon \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_n^\varepsilon \leq \ldots \to +\infty,
\]
and their associated eigenfunctions \( \{ \varphi_n^\varepsilon \}_{n=1}^\infty \) form an orthonormal basis of \( Y_\varepsilon \).

It follows from Corollary 9.7 in [8] that the eigenvalues and the eigenfunctions of \( A_\varepsilon \) are convergent with respect to \( \varepsilon \).

Next, we introduce the spectral projections. We use \( P_n^\varepsilon \) to denote the projection from \( Y_\varepsilon \) onto the eigenspace \( \text{span} \{ \varphi_i^\varepsilon \}_{i=1}^m \) given by
\[
P_n^\varepsilon(u) = \sum_{i=1}^m (u, \varphi_i^\varepsilon)_Y \varphi_i^\varepsilon \quad \text{for } u \in Y_\varepsilon.
\]

We use \( Q_m^\varepsilon \) to denote its orthogonal complement projection, i.e., \( P_n^\varepsilon + Q_m^\varepsilon = I_\varepsilon \), where \( I_\varepsilon \) is the identity operators on \( Y_\varepsilon \). It is clear that
\[
a_\varepsilon(u, u) = (A_\varepsilon u, u)_{H_\varepsilon(\mathcal{O})} \leq \lambda_n^\varepsilon (u, u)_{H_\varepsilon(\mathcal{O})}, \quad \forall u \in P_n^\varepsilon D \left( A_n^\varepsilon \right)^{1/2}. \quad (76)
\]
and
\[
a_\varepsilon(u, u) = (A_\varepsilon u, u)_{H_\varepsilon(\mathcal{O})} \geq \lambda_{m+1}^\varepsilon (u, u)_{H_\varepsilon(\mathcal{O})}, \quad u \in Q_m^\varepsilon D \left( A_n^\varepsilon \right)^{1/2}. \quad (77)
\]

Let \( u^\varepsilon = u_1^\varepsilon + u_2^\varepsilon \) and \( v^\varepsilon = v_1^\varepsilon + v_2^\varepsilon \), where \( u_1^\varepsilon = P_n^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon, u_2^\varepsilon = Q_m^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon, v_1^\varepsilon = P_n^\varepsilon v^\varepsilon, \) and \( v_2^\varepsilon = Q_m^\varepsilon v^\varepsilon \), respectively.

**Lemma 3.5.** Assume that (8)-(11), (39) and (42) hold. Then for every \( \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \omega \in \Omega, \eta > 0 \) and \( D_1 = \{ D_1(\tau, \omega) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \omega \in \Omega \} \subset D_1 \), there exists \( T = T(\tau, \omega, D_1, \eta) \geq 2, m = m(\tau, \omega, D_\eta) \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( 0 < \varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_1(n) < \varepsilon_0 \) such that for all \( t \geq T, 0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_1 \) and \( \phi^\varepsilon \in D_1(\tau - t, \theta_{-\tau} \omega) \), the solution \( u^\varepsilon \) of (19)-(20) with \( \omega \) replaced by \( \theta_{-\tau} \omega \) satisfies
\[
\| u_2^\varepsilon (\tau, \tau - t, \theta_{-\tau} \omega, \phi^\varepsilon) \|_{H^1(\mathcal{O})} \leq \eta.
\]

**Proof.** Taking the inner product (36) with \( A_\varepsilon v_2^\varepsilon \) in \( H_\varepsilon(\mathcal{O}) \), we get
\[
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} a_\varepsilon(v_2^\varepsilon, v_2^\varepsilon) + \| A_\varepsilon v_2^\varepsilon \|^2 \leq (\delta(\theta t) v_2^\varepsilon, A_\varepsilon v_2^\varepsilon)
\]
\[
+ \left( Q_n^\varepsilon T^{-1} (\theta t) h_\varepsilon (t, y, T(\theta t) v^\varepsilon), A_\varepsilon v_2^\varepsilon \right)
\]
\[
+ \left( Q_n^\varepsilon T^{-1} (\theta t) G_\varepsilon (t, y), A_\varepsilon v_2^\varepsilon \right).
\]

For the first term on the right-hand side of (78), we have
\[
(\delta(\theta t) v_2^\varepsilon, A_\varepsilon v_2^\varepsilon) \leq \frac{1}{8} \| A_\varepsilon v_2^\varepsilon \|^2 + 2|\delta(\theta t)|^2 \| v_2^\varepsilon \|^2.
\]

(79)
For the superlinear term, we have from (9) that
\[ (Q_n, T^{-1}(\theta_\omega) h_\varepsilon(t, y, T(\theta_\omega) \psi^\varepsilon), A_\varepsilon v_2^\varepsilon) \]
\[ \leq \frac{1}{8} \| A_\varepsilon v_2^\varepsilon \|^2 + 2 \| T^{-1}(\theta_\omega) \|^2 \int_\mathcal{O} |h_\varepsilon(t, y, T(\theta_\omega) \psi^\varepsilon)|^2 \, dy \]
\[ \leq \frac{1}{8} \| A_\varepsilon v_2^\varepsilon \|^2 + 2\alpha_2 \| T^{-1}(\theta_\omega) \|^2 \int_\mathcal{O} \left( |T(\theta_\omega) \psi^\varepsilon|^p + \psi_2(t, y^*, \varepsilon g(y^*) y_{n+1}) \right)^2 \, dy \]
\[ \leq \frac{1}{8} \| A_\varepsilon v_2^\varepsilon \|^2 + 4\alpha_2 \| T(\theta_\omega) \|^{2p-4} \| \psi^\varepsilon \|_{2p-2} + 4\alpha_2 |\mathcal{O}| \| T^{-1}(\theta_\omega) \|^2 \| \psi_2(t, \cdot) \|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{O})}^2. \]
(80)

For the last term on the right-hand side of (78), we have
\[ (Q_n T^{-1}(\theta_\omega) G_\varepsilon(t, y), A_\varepsilon v_2^\varepsilon) \leq \frac{1}{8} \| A_\varepsilon v_2^\varepsilon \|^2 + 2|\mathcal{O}| \| T^{-1}(\theta_\omega) \|^2 \| G(t, \cdot) \|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{O})}^2. \]
(81)

Noting that \( \| A_\varepsilon v_2^\varepsilon \|^2 \geq \lambda_{n+1} a_\varepsilon(v_2^\varepsilon, v_2^\varepsilon) \), we obtain from all above estimates that
\[ \frac{d}{dt} a_\varepsilon(v_2^\varepsilon, v_2^\varepsilon) + \lambda_{n+1} a_\varepsilon(v_2^\varepsilon, v_2^\varepsilon) \leq 4\delta^2(\theta_\omega) \| v_2^\varepsilon \|^2 \]
\[ + 8\alpha_2 \| T(\theta_\omega) \|^{2p-4} \| \psi^\varepsilon \|_{2p-2}^{2p-2} \]
\[ + c \| T^{-1}(\theta_\omega) \|^2 (\| \psi_2(t, \cdot) \|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{O})}^2 + \| G(t, \cdot) \|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{O})}^2). \]
(82)

Taking \( \xi \in (\tau-1, \tau) \), multiplying (82) by \( e^{\lambda_{n+1} t} \), first integrating with respect to \( t \) on \( (\xi, \tau) \), integrating with respect to \( \xi \) on \( (\tau-1, \tau) \), and then replacing \( \omega \) by \( \theta_{\tau-\omega} \), we get
\[ a_\varepsilon(v_2^\varepsilon(\tau, \tau-t, \theta_{\tau-\omega}, \psi^\varepsilon), v_2^\varepsilon(\tau, \tau-t, \theta_{\tau-\omega}, \psi^\varepsilon)) \]
\[ \leq \int_{\tau-1}^\tau e^{\lambda_{n+1}(\tau-t)} a_\varepsilon(v_2^\varepsilon(r, \tau-t, \theta_{\tau-\omega}, \psi^\varepsilon), v_2^\varepsilon(r, \tau-t, \theta_{\tau-\omega}, \psi^\varepsilon)) \, dr \]
\[ + 4\delta^2 \int_{\tau-1}^\tau e^{\lambda_{n+1}(\tau-t)} \delta^2(\theta_{\tau-\omega}) a_\varepsilon(v_2^\varepsilon(r, \tau-t, \theta_{\tau-\omega}, \psi^\varepsilon), v_2^\varepsilon(r, \tau-t, \theta_{\tau-\omega}, \psi^\varepsilon)) \, dr \]
\[ + 8\alpha_2 \int_{\tau-1}^\tau e^{\lambda_{n+1}(\tau-t)} \| T(\theta_{\tau-\omega}) \|^{2p-4} \| \psi^\varepsilon \|_{2p-2} \| \psi^\varepsilon(r, \tau-t, \theta_{\tau-\omega}, \psi^\varepsilon) \|_{2p-2} \, dr \]
\[ + c \int_{\tau-1}^\tau e^{\lambda_{n+1}(\tau-t)} \| T^{-1}(\theta_{\tau-\omega}) \|^2 (\| \psi_2(r, \cdot) \|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{O})}^2 + \| G(r, \cdot) \|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{O})}^2) \, dr \]
\[ + c \int_{\tau-1}^\tau e^{\lambda_{n+1}(\tau-t)} \| T^{-1}(\theta_{\tau-\omega}) \|^2 \| G(r, \cdot) \|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{O})}^2 \, dr. \]
(83)

Since \( \varphi_2, G \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}, L^\infty(\mathcal{O})) \), \( \| T(\theta_\omega) \| \) is continuous on \([-1, 0] \) and \( \lambda_{n+1} \) approaches \( \lambda_{n+1}^0 \) as \( \varepsilon \to 0 \), we find that there exists \( c = c(\omega) > 0 \) and \( 0 < \varepsilon^* < \varepsilon_0 \) such that for \( 0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon^* \),
\[ a_\varepsilon(v_2^\varepsilon(\tau, \tau-t, \theta_{\tau-\omega}, \psi^\varepsilon), v_2^\varepsilon(\tau, \tau-t, \theta_{\tau-\omega}, \psi^\varepsilon)) \]
\[ \leq c \int_{-1}^0 e^{\lambda_{n+1} r} \| \psi^\varepsilon(r + \tau, \tau-t, \theta_{\tau-\omega}, \psi^\varepsilon) \|_{2p-2} \, dr \]
\[ + c \int_{-1}^0 e^{\lambda_{n+1} r} a_\varepsilon(\psi^\varepsilon(r + \tau, \tau-t, \theta_{\tau-\omega}, \psi^\varepsilon), \psi^\varepsilon(r + \tau, \tau-t, \theta_{\tau-\omega}, \psi^\varepsilon)) \, dr \]
4. Existence of pullback attractor. In this subsection, we establish the existence of $D_1$-pullback attractor for the cocycle $\Phi_\varepsilon$ associated with the stochastic problem (19)-(20). We first show that problem (19)-(20) has a tempered pullback absorbing set as stated below.

Given $\eta > 0$, let $T = T(\tau, \omega, D_1) \geq 2$, $\gamma = \gamma(\omega) > 0$, $M = M(\tau, \omega, \eta) \geq 1$ and $0 < \varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon^*$ be the constants in Lemma 3.4. Choose $N_1 = N_1(\tau, \omega, \eta) \geq 1$ large enough such that $\lambda_{n+1}^0 - 1 \geq \gamma M^{p-2}$ for all $n \geq N_1$. Then, by Lemma 3.4, we obtain, for all $t \geq T$, $n \geq N_1$ and $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_1$,

$$
\leq c \int_{-1}^{0} e^{(\lambda_{n+1}^0-1)r} \|v^\varepsilon (r + \tau, \tau - t, \theta_{-\tau} \omega, \psi^\varepsilon)\|^{2p-2}_{2p-2} dr
$$

\begin{align}
&+ c \int_{-1}^{0} e^{(\lambda_{n+1}^0-1)r} \int_{\{y \in \Omega; |v^\varepsilon| \geq 2M\}} |v^\varepsilon (r + \tau, \tau - t, \theta_{-\tau} \omega, \psi^\varepsilon)|^{2p-2} dy dr \\
&+ c \int_{-1}^{0} e^{(\lambda_{n}^0-1)r} \int_{\{y \in \Omega; |v^\varepsilon| < 2M\}} |v^\varepsilon (r + \tau, \tau - t, \theta_{-\tau} \omega, \psi^\varepsilon)|^{2p-2} dy dr
\end{align}

$$
\leq c \int_{-1}^{0} e^{(\lambda_{n+1}^0-1)r} \int_{\{y \in \Omega; |v^\varepsilon| \geq 2M\}} |v^\varepsilon (r + \tau, \tau - t, \theta_{-\tau} \omega, \psi^\varepsilon)|^{2p-2} dy dr
$$

\begin{align}
&+ c \int_{-1}^{0} e^{(\lambda_{n}^0-1)r} \int_{\{y \in \Omega; |v^\varepsilon| < 2M\}} |v^\varepsilon (r + \tau, \tau - t, \theta_{-\tau} \omega, \psi^\varepsilon)|^{2p-2} dy dr
\end{align}

$$
\leq \eta + c \int_{-1}^{0} e^{(\lambda_{n+1}^0-1)r} dr \leq \eta + c \int_{-1}^{0} e^{(\lambda_{n+1}^0-1)r} dr 
$$

(84)

For the last three terms on the right-hand side of (84), by Lemma 3.1, we find that there exist $c_1 = c_1(\tau, \omega) > 0$ and $T_1 = T_1(\tau, \omega, D_1) \geq T$ such that for all $t \geq T_1$,

$$
\leq c \int_{-1}^{0} e^{(\lambda_{n}^0-1)r} a_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon (r + \tau, \tau - t, \theta_{-\tau} \omega, \psi^\varepsilon), v^\varepsilon (r + \tau, \tau - t, \theta_{-\tau} \omega, \psi^\varepsilon)) dr
$$

\begin{align}
&+ c \int_{-1}^{0} e^{(\lambda_{n}^0-1)r} dr \leq c_1 \int_{-1}^{0} e^{(\lambda_{n}^0-1)r} dr \leq c_1 \frac{1}{\lambda_{n+1}^0 - 1}.
\end{align}

(86)

Since $\lambda_{n+1}^0 \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$, we obtain from (84)-(86) that there exists $N_2 = N_2(\tau, \omega, \eta) \geq N_1$ such that for all $n \geq N_2$, $t \geq T_1$ and $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_1$,

$$
a_\varepsilon(v_2^\varepsilon (\tau + s, \tau - t, \theta_{-\tau} \omega, \psi^\varepsilon), v_2^\varepsilon (\tau + s, \tau - t, \theta_{-\tau} \omega, \psi^\varepsilon)) \leq 2\eta,
$$

which together $v^\varepsilon (t) = T^{-1} (\theta_t \omega) \psi^\varepsilon (t)$ and (77) completes the proof.

\[\square\]
Lemma 4.1. Suppose (8)-(11), (39) and (43) hold. Then the cocycle $\Phi_\varepsilon$ associated with problem (19)-(20) has a closed measurable $D_1$-pullback absorbing set $K = \{K(\tau, \omega) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \omega \in \Omega\} \in D_1$.

Proof. We first notice that, by Lemma 3.2, $\Phi_\varepsilon$ has a closed $D_1$-pullback absorbing set $K$ in $H^1(\Omega)$. More precisely, given $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\omega \in \Omega$, let

$$K(\tau, \omega) = \left\{ u \in H^1(\Omega) : \|u\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq L(\tau, \omega) \right\},$$

where $L(\tau, \omega)$ is the constant given by the right-hand side of (55). It is evident that, for each $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $L(\tau, \cdot) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$-measurable. In addition, for every $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $\omega \in \Omega$, and $D \in D_1$, there exists $T = T(\tau, \omega, D) \geq 2$ such that for all $t \geq T$,

$$\Phi_\varepsilon(t, \tau - t, \theta_{-t}, D(\tau - t, \theta_{-t} \omega)) \subseteq K(\tau, \omega).$$

Thus we find that $K = \{K(\tau, \omega) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \omega \in \Omega\}$ is a closed measurable set and pullback-attracts all elements in $D_1$. By the similar argument as in [15] we can obtain easily from (43) that $K = \{K(\tau, \omega) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \omega \in \Omega\}$ is tempered. Consequently, $K$ is a closed measurable $D_1$-pullback absorbing set for $\Phi_\varepsilon$ in $D_1$.

Lemma 4.2. Assume that (8)-(11), (39) and (43) hold. Then, the cocycle $\Phi_\varepsilon$ is $D_1$-pullback asymptotically compact in $H^1(\Omega)$; that is, for all $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\omega \in \Omega$, \{$\Phi_\varepsilon(t_n, \tau - t_n, \theta_{-t_n} \omega, x_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ has a convergent subsequence in $H^1(\Omega)$ whenever $t_n \rightarrow \infty$ and $x_n \in D_1(\tau - t_n, \theta_{-t_n} \omega)$ with \{$D_1(\tau, \omega) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \omega \in \Omega\} \in D_1$.

Proof. We will show that for every $\eta > 0$, the sequence \{$u^\varepsilon(\tau - t_n, \theta_{-t_n} \omega, \phi)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ has a finite open cover of balls with radii less than $\eta$. By Lemma 3.5, we infer that there exists $N_1 = N_1(\tau, \omega, D_1, \eta) \geq 1$, $m_0 = m_0(\tau, \omega, D_1, \eta) \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 < \varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_1(m_0) < \varepsilon_0$ such that for all $n \geq N_1$ and $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_1$,

$$\|u^\varepsilon_n(\tau, \tau - t_n, \theta_{-t_n} \omega, \phi)\|_{H^1(\Omega)} = \|Q_{m_0} u^\varepsilon(\tau - t_n, \theta_{-t_n} \omega, \phi)\|_{H^1(\Omega)} < \frac{\eta}{4}.$$ (88)

On the other hand, by Lemma 3.2 we find that the sequence \{$P_{m_0} u^\varepsilon(\tau - t_n, \theta_{-t_n} \omega, \phi)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is bounded in the finite-dimensional space $P_{m_0} H^1(\Omega)$ and hence is precompact, which together with (88) shows that the sequence $u^\varepsilon(\tau - t_n, \theta_{-t_n} \omega, \phi)$ has a finite open cover of balls with radii less than $\eta$ in $H^1(\Omega)$, as desired.

Theorem 4.3. Assume that (8)-(11), (39) and (43) hold. Then, the cocycle $\Phi_\varepsilon$ has a unique $D_1$-pullback $(N, H^1(\Omega))$-attractor $A_\varepsilon = \{A_\varepsilon(\tau, \omega) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \omega \in \Omega\}$.

Proof. First, we know from Lemma 4.1 that $\Phi_\varepsilon$ has a closed measurable $D_1$-pullback absorbing set $K(\tau, \omega)$. Second, it follows from Lemma 4.2 that $\Phi_\varepsilon$ is $D_1$-pullback asymptotically compact from $N$ to $H^1(\Omega)$. Hence, the existence of a unique $D_1$-pullback $(N, H^1(\Omega))$-attractor for the cocycle $\Phi_\varepsilon$ follows from Proposition 2.5 in [7].

Analogous results also hold for the solution of (4)-(5). In particular, we have:

Theorem 4.4. Assume that (8)-(11), (39) and (43) hold. Then, the cocycle $\Phi_0$ has a unique $D_0$-pullback $(M, H^1(\Omega))$-attractor $A_0 = \{A_0(\tau, \omega) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \omega \in \Omega\}$. 
5. **Upper semicontinuity of attractors.** The following estimates are needed when we derive the convergence of pullback attractors. By the similar proof of that of Theorem 5.1 in [14], we get the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.1.** Assume that (8)-(11) and (39) hold. Then for every $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$, $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $\omega \in \Omega$, $T > 0$, and $\lambda_1 > \lambda_0$, the solution $v^\varepsilon$ of (36) satisfies, for all $t \in [\tau, \tau + T]$, $$\int^t_\tau \|v^\varepsilon (r, \tau, \omega, \psi)\|^2_{H^1(O)} dr \leq c \|\psi\|^2_\mathcal{N} + c \int^\tau_{\tau + T} \left(\|G(r, \cdot)\|^2_{L^\infty(O)} + \|\psi_1(r, \cdot)\|^2_{L^\infty(O)}\right) dr,$$

where $c$ is a positive constant depending on $\tau$, $\omega$, $\lambda_0$ and $T$, but independent of $\varepsilon$.

Similarly, one can prove

**Lemma 5.2.** Assume that (8)-(11) and (39) hold. Then for every $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $\omega \in \Omega$, $T > 0$, and $\lambda_1 > \lambda_0$, the solution $v^0$ of (37) satisfies, for all $t \in [\tau, \tau + T]$, $$\int^t_\tau \|v^0 (r, \tau, \omega, \psi)\|^2_{H^1(O)} dr \leq c \|\psi\|^2_\mathcal{M} + c \int^\tau_{\tau + T} \left(\|G(r, \cdot)\|^2_{L^\infty(O)} + \|\psi_1(r, \cdot)\|^2_{L^\infty(O)}\right) dr,$$

where $c$ is a positive constant depending on $\tau$, $\omega$, $\lambda_0$ and $T$, but independent of $\varepsilon$.

In the sequel, we further assume the functions $G$ and $H$ satisfy that for all $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\|G_\varepsilon(t, \cdot) - G_0(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(O)} \leq \kappa_1(t) \varepsilon \quad (89)$$

and

$$\|H_\varepsilon(t, \cdot, s) - H_0(t, \cdot, s)\|_{L^2(O)} \leq \kappa_2(t) \varepsilon, \quad (90)$$

where $\kappa_1(t), \kappa_2(t) \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$.

By (12) and (90) we have, for all $x \in \tilde{O}$ and $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\|h_\varepsilon(t, \cdot, s) - h_0(t, \cdot, s)\|_{L^2(O)} \leq \kappa_2(t) \varepsilon. \quad (91)$$

Since $\mathcal{M}$ can be embedded naturally into $\mathcal{N}$ as the subspace of functions independent of $y_{n+1}$, we can consider the cocycle $\Phi_0$ as a mapping from $\mathcal{M}$ into $\mathcal{N}$. Therefore we can compare $\Phi_0$ with $\Phi_\varepsilon$.

**Theorem 5.3.** Suppose (8)-(11), (39), and (89)-(90) hold. Given $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $\omega \in \Omega$, $\varepsilon_n \to 0$ and a positive number $L(\tau, \omega)$, if $\phi^{\varepsilon_n} \in H^1_{\varepsilon_n}(O)$ such that $\|\phi^{\varepsilon_n}\|_{H^1_{\varepsilon_n}(O)} \leq L(\tau, \omega)$, then there exists $\phi^0 \in \mathcal{M}$ such that, up to a subsequence, for $t \geq 0$,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|\Phi_{\varepsilon_n}(t, \tau, \omega, \phi^{\varepsilon_n}) - \Phi_0(t, \tau, \omega, \phi^0)\|_\mathcal{N} = 0.$$

**Proof.** Since $\phi^{\varepsilon_n} \in H^1_{\varepsilon_n}(O)$, there exists $\phi^0 \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $\phi^{\varepsilon_n} \to \phi^0$ in $\mathcal{N}$. By the similar proof of that of Theorem 5.4 in [14], for any $T > 0$, we have for $t \in [\tau, \tau + T]$,

$$\|v^{\varepsilon_n}(t) - v^0(t)\|^2_\mathcal{N} \leq c \|\phi^{\varepsilon_n} - \phi^0\|^2_\mathcal{N} + c \max_{\nu \in [\tau, t]} \xi(\theta, \omega) \int^t_\tau \|v^{\varepsilon_n}(s) - v^0(s)\|^2_\mathcal{N} ds.$$
Lemma 5.4. Assume that (8)-(11), (39) and (43) hold. If \( \varepsilon \to 0 \) and \( u \in \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon (\tau, \omega) \), then there exist subsequence \( u^n \) such that for all \( t \in [\tau, \tau + T] \),

\[
\|u^n(t, \tau, \omega, \phi^n) - u^0(t, \tau, \omega, \phi^0)\|^2_{\mathcal{A}} \leq \max_{\nu \in [\tau, \tau + T]} \|T(\theta_{\tau} \omega)\|^2 \|u^n(t, \tau, \omega, T^{-1}(\theta_{\tau} \omega) \phi^n) - v^0(t, \tau, \omega, T^{-1}(\theta_{\tau} \omega) \phi^0)\|^2_{\mathcal{A}},
\]

which together with (93) implies the desired results.

The next result is concerned with uniform compactness of attractors with respect to \( \varepsilon \).

**Lemma 5.4.** Assume that (8)-(11), (39) and (43) hold. If \( \varepsilon_n \to 0 \) and \( u^n \in \mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon_n}(\tau, \omega) \), then there exist a subsequence of \( (u^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \), again denoted by \( (u^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \), and \( u \in H^1(\mathcal{Q}) \) such that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|u^n - u\|_{H^1(\mathcal{Q})} = 0.
\]

**Proof.** Take a sequence \( t_n \to \infty \). By the invariance of \( \mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon_n} \) there exists \( \phi^n \in \mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon_n}(\tau - t_n, \theta_{-t_n} \omega, \phi^n) \) such that

\[
u^n = \Phi_{\varepsilon_n}(t_n, \tau - t_n, \theta_{-t_n} \omega, \phi^n).
\]

By Lemma 4.1, we have \( \phi^n \in K(\tau - t_n, \theta_{-t_n} \omega) \in D_1 \). Since \( \varepsilon_n \to 0 \) and \( t_n \to \infty \), By Lemma 3.5, for any \( \eta > 0 \), there exists a large enough \( N_1 \in \mathbb{N} \) such that for all \( n \geq N_1 \),

\[
\|Q_{\varepsilon_n}u^n(\tau, \tau - t_n, \theta_{-\tau} \omega, \phi^n)\|_{H^1(\mathcal{Q})} \leq \eta.
\]

By Lemma 3.2, we have

\[
\|P_{\varepsilon_n}u^n(\tau, \tau - t_n, \theta_{-\tau} \omega, \phi^n)\|_{H^1(\mathcal{Q})} < M.
\]

It follows from (95) and (96) that \( (u^n(\tau, \tau - t_n, \theta_{-\tau} \omega, \phi^n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) is precompact in \( H^1(\mathcal{O}) \). Since the estimate (55) holds, there exists \( u \) in \( H^1(\mathcal{Q}) \) and a subsequence of \( (u^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \), again denoted by \( (u^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \), such that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|u^n - u\|_{H^1(\mathcal{O})} = 0.
\]

This completes the proof.

Now we are in a position to prove the main result of this paper.
Theorem 5.5. Assume that (8)-(11), (39), (43), and (89)-(90) hold. The attractors $A_\varepsilon$ are upper-semicontinuous at $\varepsilon = 0$, that is, for every $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\omega \in \Omega$,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \text{dist}_{H^1(\mathcal{O})}(A_\varepsilon(\tau, \omega), A_0(\tau, \omega)) = 0.$$

Proof. Given $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\omega \in \Omega$, by the invariance of $A_\varepsilon$ and (55) we find that there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$\|u\|_{H^1(\mathcal{O})}^2 \leq L(\tau, \omega) \quad \text{for all} \quad 0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0 \quad \text{and} \quad u \in A_\varepsilon(\tau, \omega),$$  

(98)

where $L(\tau, \omega)$ is the positive constant given by the right-hand side of (55) which is independent of $\varepsilon$. If the theorem is not true, there exist $\delta > 0$, a sequence $(\varepsilon_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of positives constants, $\varepsilon_n \to 0$, and a sequence $(z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $z_n \in A_{\varepsilon_n}(\tau, \omega)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$\text{dist}_{H^1(\mathcal{O})}(z_n, A_0(\tau, \omega)) \geq \delta \quad \text{for all} \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (99)$$

By Lemma 5.4 there exists $z_*$ in $H^1(\mathcal{Q})$ and a subsequence of $(z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, again denoted by $(z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|z_n - z_*\|_{H^1(\mathcal{O})} = 0. \quad (100)$$

By the invariance property of the attractor $A_{\varepsilon_n}(\tau, \omega)$, for every $t > 0$ there exists $y_n^t \in A_{\varepsilon_n}(\tau - t, \theta - t\omega)$ such that

$$z_n = \Phi_{\varepsilon_n}(t, \tau - t, \theta - t\omega, y_n^t). \quad (101)$$

By Lemma 5.4 again there exists $y_*^t$ in $H^1(\mathcal{Q})$ and a subsequence of $(y_n^t)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, again denoted by $(y_n^t)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|y_n^t - y_*^t\|_{H^1(\mathcal{O})} = 0. \quad (102)$$

It follows from Theorem 5.3 that for every $t > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \Phi_{\varepsilon_n}(t, \tau - t, \theta - t\omega, y_n^t) = \Phi_0(t, \tau - t, \theta - t\omega, y_*^t) \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{N}. \quad (103)$$

By (100), (101), (103) and uniqueness of limits we obtain

$$z_* = \Phi_0(t, \tau - t, \theta - t\omega, y_*^t) \quad \text{in} \quad H^1(\mathcal{O}). \quad (104)$$

Notice that $A_{\varepsilon_n}(\tau - t, \theta - t\omega) \subseteq K(\tau - t, \theta - t\omega)$ and $y_n^t \in A_{\varepsilon_n}(\tau - t, \theta - t\omega)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus by (98) we have

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \|y_n^t\|_{H^1(\mathcal{Q})} \leq \|K(\tau - t, \theta - t\omega)\|_{H^1(\mathcal{O})} \leq L(\tau - t, \theta - t\omega). \quad (105)$$

By (102) and (105) we get, for every $t > 0$,

$$\|y_*^t\|_{H^1(\mathcal{Q})} \leq L(\tau - t, \theta - t\omega). \quad (106)$$

By $K_0 \in \mathcal{D}_0$ and the attraction property of $A_0$ in $\mathcal{D}_0$, we obtain from (104) and (106) that

$$\text{dist}_{H^1(\mathcal{Q})}(z_*, A_0(\tau, \omega)) \leq \text{dist}_{H^1(\mathcal{Q})}(\Phi_0(t, \tau - t, \theta - t\omega, y_*^t), A_0(\tau, \omega)) \leq \text{dist}_{H^1(\mathcal{Q})}(\Phi_0(t, \tau - t, \theta - t\omega, K_0(\tau - t, \theta - t\omega)), A_0(\tau, \omega)) \to 0, \quad \text{as} \ t \to \infty. \quad (107)$$

This implies that $z_* \in A_0(\tau, \omega)$ since $A_0(\tau, \omega)$ is compact. Therefore, we have

$$\text{dist}_{H^1(\mathcal{O})}(z_n, A_0(\tau, \omega)) \to \text{dist}_{H^1(\mathcal{O})}(z_n, z_*) \to 0,$$

a contradiction with (99). This completes the proof. \qed
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