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ABSTRACT

Purpose: Viscoelastic tests (VETs), thromboelastography (TEG®) and thromboelastometry (ROTEM®) are global tests of coagulation performed on whole blood. They evaluate the mechanical strength of a clot as it builds and develops after coagulation itself. The time required to obtain haemostasis results remains a major problem for clinicians dealing with bleeding, although some teams have developed a rapid laboratory response strategy. Indeed, the value of rapid point-of-care diagnostic devices such as VETs has increased over the years. However, VETs are not standardised and there are few recommendations from the learned societies regarding their use. In 2014, the recommendations of the International Society of Thrombosis and Haemostasis (ISTH) only concerned haemophilia. The French Working Group on Perioperative haemostasis (GIHP) therefore proposes to summarise knowledge on the clinical use of these techniques in the setting of emergency and perioperative medicine.

Methods: A review of the literature.

Principal findings: The role of the VETs seems established in the management of severe trauma and in cardiac surgery, both adult and paediatric. In other situations, their role remains to be defined: hepatic transplantation, postpartum haemorrhage, and non-cardiac surgery. They must be part of the global management of haemostasis based on algorithms defined in each centre and for each population of patients. Their position at the bedside or in the laboratory is a matter of discussion between clinicians and biologists.

Conclusion: VETs must be included in algorithms. In consultation with the biology laboratory, these devices should be situated according to the way each centre functions.
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1. Members of the interest group on Perioperative Haemostasis (GIHP)

P. Albaladejo (Anaesthesia-resuscitation, Grenoble, France), S. Belisle (Anaesthesia, Montreal, Canada), N. Blais (Haematology-Haemostasis, Montreal, Canada), F. Bonhomme (Anaesthesia-resuscitation, Geneva, Switzerland), A. Borel-Derlon (Haematology-haemostasis, Caen, France), J.Y. Borg (Haemostasis, Rouen, France), J.-L. Bosson (Vascular Medicine, Grenoble, France), A. Cohen (Cardiology, Paris, France), D. Daraoni (Anaesthesia-resuscitation, Toronto, Canada), P. Fontana (Haemostasis, Geneva, Switzerland), D. Garrigue-Huet (Anaesthesia-resuscitation, Lille, France), A. Godier (Anaesthesia-resuscitation, Paris, France), Y. Gruel (Haemostasis, Tours, France), J. Guay (Anaesthesia, Montréal, Canada), J.F. Hardy (Anaesthesia, Montréal, Canada), Y. Huet (Cardiology, Paris), B. Ickx (Anaesthesia-resuscitation, Brussels, Belgium) S. Laporte (Pharmacology, Saint-Etienne), D. Lasne (Anaesthesia, Paris), J.H. Levy (Anaesthesia-resuscitation, Durham, USA), J. Llu (Anaesthesia, Valencia, Spain), G. Le Gal (Vascular Medicine, Ottawa, Canada) T. Lecompte (Haematology, Geneva, Switzerland), S. Lessire (Anaesthesia, Namur, Belgium), D. Longrois (Anaesthesia-resuscitation, Paris, France), S. Madi-Jebara (Anaesthesiology, Beirut, Lebanon), E. Marret (Anaesthesia-resuscitation, Paris, France), J.L. Mas (Neurology, Paris, France), M. Maziqhi (Neurology, Paris, France), P. Mismetti (Clinical Pharmacology, Saint-Etienne, France), P.E. Morange (Haematology, Marseille, France), S. Motte (Vascular Pathology, Brussels, Belgium), F. Mullier (Haematology, Namur, Belgium), N. Nathan (Anaesthesi-resuscitation, Limoges, France), P. Nguyen (Hématologie, Reims, France), Y. Ozier (Anaesthesia-resuscitation, Brest, France), G. Pernod (Vascular Medicine, Grenoble, France), N. Nathan (Anaesthesi-resuscitation, Limoges, France), N. Rosencher (Anaesthesiology, Paris, France), S. Roulet (Anaesthesia-resuscitation, Bordeaux, France), P.M. Roy (Emergency Medicine, Angers, France), C.M. Samama (Anaesthesiology-resuscitation, Paris, France), S. Schlumberger (Anaesthesia-resuscitation, Suresnes, France), J.F. Schved (Haematology, Montpellier, France) S. Van Belle (Cardiology, Lille, France), P. Van der Linden (Anaesthesia-resuscitation, Brussels, Belgium), A. Vincentelli (Cardiac surgery, Lille, France), and P. Zufferey (Anaesthesiology-resuscitation, Saint-Etienne, France).

2. Introduction

Viscoelastic tests (VETs), thromboelastography (TEG®) and thromboelastometry (ROTEM®) are global tests of coagulation performed on whole blood, unlike the standard tests such as Quick Time (QT), activated Partial Thromboplastin Time (aPTT) and the fibrinogen test performed on platelet poor plasma (PPP) [1]. They evaluate the mechanical strength of a clot as it builds and develops after coagulation itself. The time required to obtain haemostasis results remains a major problem for clinicians dealing with bleeding, although some teams have developed a rapid laboratory response strategy [2]. Indeed, the value of rapid point-of-care diagnostic devices such as TEG® and ROTEM® has increased over the years.

However, VETs are not standardised and there are few recommendations from the learned societies regarding their use. In 2014, the recommendations of the International Society of Thrombosis and Haemostasis (ISTH) only concerned haemophilia [3]. The French Working Group on Perioperative Haemostasis (GHIP) therefore proposes to synthesise knowledge on the clinical use of these techniques in the setting of emergency and perioperative medicine. The literature search: After a reminder of how VETs work, we attempt to answer various questions according to the clinical situation: can they be used to identify abnormal haemostasis? To monitor fibrinolysis? To guide the treatment of coagulopathy? To improve the prognosis of patients? Are their results obtained more rapidly than those of routine laboratory tests? Where should they be placed: at the bedside or in the laboratory?

3. Principles of viscoelastic tests and analytical performances

3.1. Main principles

VETs analyse the elastic and viscous properties of whole blood taken from citrated tubes during the various phases of coagulation, which are also influenced by the fibrinolytic system. Unlike conventional coagulation tests that study clotting factors in PPP with the addition of procoagulant phospholipids, VET investigate the coagulation potential of whole blood by incorporating certain properties of platelets, leukocytes and erythrocytes. Their main characteristic is their sensitivity to the mechanical properties of the clot.

A VET is composed of a bowl containing the whole blood to be analysed. In TEG®, the bowl oscillates 4.75° around a plunger connected to a torsion wire. It is important for the robustness of the device and to avoid artefacts that rotational thromboelastometry (ROTEM®) does not use a torsion wire anymore and stabilises the pin axis with a ball bearing. While uncoagulated blood remains fluid and does not transmit any displacement to the plunger and thus to the torsion wire, coagulated blood causes a circular movement of the wire whose amplitude is recorded on a plot. A typical thromboelastography pattern is composed of four important parameters (Fig. 1). The first component (R, reaction time in minutes in TEG® or CT, clotting time in seconds in ROTEM®) represents the initial phase of coagulation activation before a change in the physical properties of the blood occurs, i.e. a clot. The second component (K, kinetic time in minutes in TEG® or CFT, clot formation time in seconds in ROTEM®) estimates the clotting time required for an amplitude of 20 mm to be obtained. The angle alpha is derived from the tangent of the curve and represents the kinetics of clot formation. Finally, the maximum amplitude (MA in mm in TEG® or the maximum clot firmness, MCF in mm in ROTEM®) expresses the maximum deflection point of the curve and represents the maximum clot strength. The amplitude of the clot strength at 5 and 10 min is termed A5 and A10 in ROTEM®. Other parameters of interest are maximum lysis (ML), which is the maximum lysis of the clot in percentage of MCF during run time. In ROTEM®, lysis index (LY) at 30 and 60 min are defined as the residual clot in percentage of MCF 30 and 60 minutes after the clotting time. In TEG® lysis (LY) 30 and 60 are defined as the reduction in clot firmness in percentage of M30 and 60 minutes after MA [4].

Initially, this technology was used mainly by physiologists, owing to its complexity and poor reproducibility. Technological advances have led to the development of automated models distributed in France by Haemonetics and Werfen: the TEG® and the ROTEM®, which are adaptations of the original thromboelastography. The analytical performance and ease of use of these devices must therefore be evaluated separately.

VETs are performed on whole blood taken from citrated tubes. Thus, blood must be recalculated. This step has always been done automatically on ROTEM® but was manual on the TEG® (pipetting of 20 μl of concentrated calcium). On the new version of the TEG®, this step is now automatic. This calcium addition is supra physiologic, as for standard laboratory tests.

3.2. Thromboelastography (TEG®)

The analysis is carried out while a bowl containing the whole blood oscillates 4.75° every 5 seconds. A suspended metal rod connects the cuvette to a transducer detecting the movement of
the rod, which starts to oscillate as soon as the viscoelastic force of the clot increases. The device is distributed with reagents allowing various analyses to be performed:

- kaolin TEG with and without heparinase uses the activation properties of the contact phase of kaolin, leading to activation of the intrinsic coagulation pathway. The combination of heparinase (2 IU) allows the presence of heparin to be evaluated in a sample and makes it possible to study the intrinsic route of coagulation in a patient receiving heparin. The tests are interpretable up to heparinaemia of 6 IU/mL;
- rapid TEG (r-TEG) evaluates coagulation after activation by addition of tissue factor and kaolin. The association of kaolin and tissue factor is a strong booster of coagulation and allows obtaining the maximal amplitude of the clot faster than with TEG kaolin. This test also provides another information: the activated clotting time (ACT), which is the time in seconds from the beginning of the test to the beginning of fibrin formation;
- functional fibrinogen TEG (TEG FF) makes it possible to identify the role of fibrinogen in clot strength, irrespective of the activity of the platelets. It is obtained by activation of the extrinsic pathway of coagulation associated with abciximab, an inhibitor of fibrinogen-platelet binding.

TEG FF and r-TEG are heparin sensitive.

In its earlier versions, the TEG® had a critical pipetting step whereby a volume of whole blood was deposited in the container with the reagent. The new version (6s), which is more automated, uses a system of cartridges, with minimal handling and reading of clot formation not anymore by a viscoelastic but by a resonance method. The blood sample is exposed to a fixed frequency vibration. A LED detector measures the vertical movements of the blood clot. The strongest clots have the highest resonance frequencies, resulting in a more classical pattern of greater amplitude [5].

3.3. Thromboelastometry (ROTEM®)

The ROTEM® bowl remains static. The pin immersed in the bowl containing the citrated whole blood performs an oscillation movement of 4.75° every 6 seconds. The viscoelastic force of the clot interferes with the circular movement of the axis, which is detected by an optical reader that captures its movement. The optical drive data is transformed into a graph. ROTEM® is also sold with reagents evaluating parameters similar to those available with TEG®.

- NATEM assesses coagulation globally. This is the recalcification of blood taken from a citrated tube without any other reagent;
- NAHEPTEM, a NATEM with heparinase;
- INTEM evaluates the intrinsic pathway of coagulation, with ellagic acid as activator of the contact phase;
- HEPTEM inhibits up to 7 IU/mL of heparin on INTEM;
- EXTEM uses a recombinant tissue factor (Instrumentation Laboratories, Bedford, MA) to assess the extrinsic coagulation pathway;
- FIBTEM identifies the role of fibrinogen in clot formation and its mechanical properties. Cytochalasin D, an inhibitor of the cytoskeleton actin-myosin, is used in this test to inhibit the platelet function involved in clot retraction. A variant, “FIBTEM plus” uses cytochalasin D and tirofibran, which inhibits the binding of fibrinogen to the platelet GPIIb-IIIa complex;
- APTEM discriminates between fibrinolysis and platelet-mediated clot retraction by comparison to EXTEM by addition of aprotinin or tranexamic acid (t-APTEM), which are plasmin inhibitors.

It is to note that EXTEM, FIBTEM and APTEM contain a heparin inhibitor, which neutralises up to 5 IU/mL of heparin.

3.4. Comparison between the two techniques and routine coagulation tests

In a study of 52 patients with extracorporeal circulation (ECC), Ortmann et al. compared TEG® and ROTEM® [6]. The study demonstrated a systematic difference between the values of MA TEG FF and MCF FIBTEM attributed to the various platelet function inhibitors used by these two techniques or to differences in the activators used (tissue factor, phospholipids) [7,8]. The two techniques gave different results and were shown not to be interchangeable [9]. It should be noted that VETs are usually performed at 37°C and should be interpreted with caution in hypothermic patients. In ROTEM® delta, measurement temperature can be adapted to patient temperature within the range of 30 to 40°C.

It is difficult to compare the values obtained with VETs and those obtained by the routine coagulation tests. While several authors have correlated VET parameters with the numerical values of platelets and fibrinogen [10–16], few have observed a significant correlation with QT and aPTT. This is due to several factors related to the VET analysis of whole blood such as the influence of red blood cells, leukocytes and platelets on haemostasis. The correlation between VETs parameters and QT/INR depends on the

![Figure 1. Normal plot obtained with a viscoelastic device and its parameters. TEG®: R: reaction time; K: kinetic time; α: clot formation kinetic; MA: maximal amplitude. ROTEM®: CT: clotting time; CFT: clot formation time; α: clot formation kinetic; A5: clot amplitude at 5 min; A10: clot amplitude at 10 min; MCF: maximum clot firmness.](image-url)
activator used and the clinical setting. Whereas EXTEM CT correlates well with INR in patients treated with vitamin K antagonists [17,18], INTEM CT, kaolin TEG R and r-TEG R do not [17,19]. Agren et al. evaluated the approximate value of fibrinogen obtained by TEG FF in 63 surgical patients and 38 healthy volunteers [20]. Fibrinogen concentrations obtained by TEG FF were on average 1.0 g/L higher than those obtained by the Clauss test.

The correlation between fibrinogen concentration and VET parameters is influenced by various parameters: heparinemia [6], type of vascular filling solutes [21], haematocrit [10], factor XIII concentration [22], administration of fibrinogen concentrates [23].

3.5. Technical variability

Lang et al. observed a coefficient of variation (CV) ranging from 2 to 14% for ROTEM® parameters [24]. The quality assurance study by Kitchen et al. carried out in 2010 in 18 centres for TEG® and 10 centres for ROTEM® found CVs ranging from 7 to 83% [25]. VETs lack reagents that meet the standards of international standardization and allow evaluation with the development of quality control exchange programs. The factors involved in the variability of the results are the following: type of device; reagents; pre-analytical parameters such as type of sampling, time elapsed before start of analysis, site of analysis and clinical context. The thresholds found in monocentric studies have rarely undergone independent prospective evaluation, limiting the generalization of their results.

TEG® and ROTEM® are global coagulation tests that evaluate clot formation and its mechanical properties and, to a certain extent, its stability (influence of the fibrinolytic system) in whole blood. The different reagents available make it possible to study various aspects of haemostasis. Nevertheless, the values of the parameters are not perfectly correlated with the routine coagulation tests and TEG® and ROTEM® are not interchangeable. An algorithm built from TEG® cannot be used with ROTEM® and vice-versa.

4. Severe trauma

Haemorrhage is the leading cause of mortality in patients hospitalised for trauma. It is complicated in 25 to 30% of cases by acute traumatic coagulopathy (ATC), which worsens the bleeding and increases mortality four-fold. Several clinical studies have shown that VETs can predict recourse to transfusion of red blood cell concentrates (RBCs), mass transfusion and mortality [26]. FIBTEM MCF < 7 mm is predictive of RBC transfusion [27]. In 300 trauma patients, EXTEM A5 > 35 mm had a negative predictive value of 83% for RBC transfusion [28]. r-TEG ACT < 105 seconds was a risk factor independent of the absence of transfusion within the first 6 hours after admission (OR: 1.85, 95% CI: 1.07–3.18) [29]. The prospective analysis of 300 trauma patients showed that the 35 mm threshold for EXTEM A5 made it possible to predict massive transfusion (AUC: 0.80, 95% CI: 0.63–0.97). An analysis of 808 trauma patients found a predictive value of massive transfusion for FIBTEM A5 ≤ 9 mm and EXTEM A5 ≤ 40 mm with a sensitivity of 77.5% and 72.2% respectively [30]. r-TEG ACT > 128 seconds was an independent risk factor for massive transfusion in the first 6 hours (OR: 5.15; 95% CI: 1.36–19.49) [29]. In a severe trauma study in 1974 patients, Holcomb et al. found a better prediction of massive transfusion by the r-TEG angle than by the routine tests (P = 0.001) [31]. In a retrospective study in 190 patients, r-TEG MA < 55 mm was predictive of massive transfusion [32]. In terms of predicting mortality, tests and thresholds differ between studies. EXTEM and FIBTEM have received the most attention [26].

To date, published data do not allow recommending neither a repetition nor a minimal frequency of VETs analysis.

In the setting of severe trauma, results are available more quickly with VETs than with laboratory tests. The GIHP proposes that VETs can be used for the early diagnosis of coagulopathy. They predict the need for transfusion in CGR or the use of massive transfusion. The GIHP proposes that they should be used to indicate haemostatic treatment and to make clinical staff more aware of the severity of trauma.

VETs allow the rapid detection of hypofibrinogenemia. FIBTEM A10 at 5 mm has a good sensitivity (91%) and specificity (85%) to detect a fibrinogen concentration of less than 1 g/L [33]. The sensitivity and specificity of EXTEM A5 < 36 mm to predict fibrinogen concentrations of less than 1.5 g/L are 53% and 87%, respectively. FIBTEM A5 < 9.5 mm had a sensitivity of 78% and a specificity of 70% [34]. The correlation between MA values of TEG FF and MCF of FIBTEM is good (r = 0.71, P < 0.001) and their correlations with fibrinogen concentration by the Clauss method are identical (r = 0.64, P < 0.0001) [35].

The main tests used to diagnose ATC are EXTEM and FIBTEM. Although the literature is consensual about thresholds between 35 and 40 mm for EXTEM A5, between 8 and 10 mm for FIBTEM A5 and between 7 to 10 mm for FIBTEM MCF to predict coagulopathy, massive transfusion and/or an increase in mortality, it is still too early to recommend thresholds.

Hyper fibrinolysis may contribute to ATC and worsen the prognosis and its diagnosis is difficult. With ROTEM®, the lysis index at 60 min EXTEM LI60 < 85% suggests hyper fibrinolysis in 6.9% of severe trauma cases [27]. The threshold of 90% led to an increase of 5.7% in a series of 88 trauma patients [33]. Maximum lysis (ML) in EXTEM ≥ 15% led to the diagnosis of 5% hyper fibrinolysis in a series of 288 trauma patients [36]. With r-TEG, a lysis threshold of 15% led to the diagnosis of hyper fibrinolysis in 18% of 61 trauma patients requiring transfusion and in 34% of those requiring a massive transfusion [37]. Levrat et al. defined hyper fibrinolysis as a globulin lysis time of less than 90 minutes. They showed in a series of 23 severe trauma patients that the MCF of EXTEM ≤ 18 mm, a lysis index at 30 min LI30 < 71% and an increase of more than 7% in APTEM MCF were associated with hyper fibrinolysis with a sensitivity of 100%, 75% and 80%, respectively, and a specificity of 100% [38].

ROTEM® data were also compared with markers of hyper fibrinolysis in a series of 288 consecutive trauma patients not receiving tranexamic acid and whose blood was sampled on admission [36]. Hyper fibrinolysis based on maximal lysis (ML) > 15% was present in only 5% of patients, while 57% showed signs of fibrinolysis activation with higher levels of plasmin-antiplasmin (PAP) complexes at twice the normal level. ROTEM® detected lysis only when PAPs were increased to 30-fold the normal value and antiplasmin was less than 75% of normal. The authors concluded that ROTEM® did not detect the activation of fibrinolysis. In a cohort of 73 trauma patients requiring massive transfusion, LY30 > 3% was associated with a higher risk of massive transfusion (91% vs. 31%, P = 0.0008) and death from haemorrhage (46% vs. 5%, P = 0.0014) [39]. However, these data were not compared to the performance of the routine tests.

The diagnosis of hyper fibrinolysis by VETs therefore has a prognostic value, confirming the very high severity of hyper fibrinolysis in severe trauma patients. The complete lysis of the clot in less than 60 minutes is predictive of mortality ranging from 86% to 96%, according to the series. However, VET lacks sensitivity to the activation of fibrinolysis and cannot guide antifibrinolytic treatment. In addition, the CRASH-2 study showed that routine administration of tranexamic acid in the first 3 hours after injury reduces the mortality of trauma patients with haemorrhage or risk of haemorrhage [40]. Beyond the deadline of 3 hours the benefit of
tranexamic acid is questionable, particularly in patients without hyper fibrinolysis [41–43]. In this situation, guidance by VETs should be envisaged, keeping in mind that the specificity of these tests is better than their sensitivity.

The GIHP proposes that VETs, which have a poor performance in diagnosing the activation of fibrinolysis, should not guide the administration of tranexamic acid but that it should be administered as soon as possible. However, detection of hyper fibrinolysis by VETs is a predictor of mortality.

The use of protocols, and especially adherence to them, improves the prognosis of trauma patients, be it protocols for comprehensive management of severe trauma or mass transfusion protocols [44,45]. The inclusion of haemostasis tests in protocols for the management of haemorrhage may be beneficial. Several “before-after” cohort studies concluded that the inclusion of VETs in mass transfusion protocols could improve the prognosis of patients or reduce transfusion needs, both with TEG® [46,47] and ROTEM® [48]. However, their methodology does not allow conclusions to be drawn about the value of VETs, as they evaluated the implementation of a protocol including VET with no protocol or historical or scoring data.

A single randomised trial was conducted in trauma patients to assess the value of VETs in guiding ACT treatment. This single-centre trial compared two protocols for massive transfusion, one based on TEG® performed de-shocking, the other on routine tests for 111 severe trauma patients [49]. Mortality at 28 days was reduced in the group whose management was guided by TEG® with a decrease in deaths occurring mainly in the first 6 hours. Transfused amounts of RBC, fresh frozen plasma (FFP) and platelets were comparable. The group receiving the routine tests received more platelets and FFP early compared to the TEG® group. At hour 24, only the amount of fibrinogen administered was different, being higher in the group managed with routine tests.

The GIHP proposes that VETs be included in ACT algorithms, so that labile blood products and factor concentrates may be given based on pre-established thresholds. Prospective multicentric studies evaluating these algorithms are necessary. These diagnostic algorithms for coagulopathy must be part of a comprehensive approach to the management of severe trauma patients in which the main objective is to treat the cause of the bleeding.

5. Postpartum haemorrhage

Postpartum haemorrhage (PPH) remains one of the main causes of maternal morbidity and mortality, accounting for nearly 30% of direct maternal deaths (approximately 150 000 deaths per year worldwide). In most cases, haemorrhage comes from the site of placental insertion and is worse in an atomic uterus. The presence of coagulopathy is observed in more than 20% of so-called complicated deliveries (haemorrhage requiring transfusion of RBC, insertion pathologies of the placenta, amniotic embolism, foetal death in utero).

Although there is consensus on rapid and progressive management algorithms including uterine revision, uterotonic drugs, local control of bleeding by interventional radiology or surgery, how to monitor coagulation and the resulting treatments are more debated [50,51]. In this setting, early coagulopathy predicts the progression of bleeding (discontinuation of bleeding or progression to severe haemorrhage). Indeed, the fibrinogen concentration at the time of the diagnosis of PPH is predictive of the severity of bleeding. In 128 patients with PPH requiring prostaglandin E2 administration, a fibrinogen concentration < 2 g/L measured in the laboratory had a 100% predictive value of severe PPH [52]. The question arises of the rapid determination of coagulation and fibrinogen by VETs. In a prospective observational study that included 37 women with PPH, FIBTEM A5, A15 and MCF were strongly correlated with laboratory-measured fibrinogen concentrations (r = 0.86, 0.84 and 0.85 respectively) [53]. Thus, a threshold of FIBTEM A5 at 6 mm and A15 at 8 mm detects hypo fibrinogenemia < 2 g/L with excellent sensitivity (100%) but a lower specificity (respectively 87% and 84%). However, in that study, many patients with PPH and those without PPH had fibrinogen concentrations between 2 and 6 g/L and a FIBTEM A5 between 6 mm and 20 mm. The value of FIBTEM A5 as a biomarker of PPH progression was confirmed in a prospective study including 356 women with PPH: FIBTEM A5 < 10 mm was associated with progression of bleeding [54]. In a recent prospective study including 55 women with PPH, FIBTEM A5 < 12 mm was the threshold below which fibrinogen administration led to less blood transfusion and less bleeding [55,56]. In 2016, the SSC of ISTH for the management of coagulopathy associated with PPH recommended the monitoring of haemostasis either by VETs or by TQ and aPTT and fibrinogen and the transfusion of FFP and/or fibrinogen according to the biological results [57].

Fibrinolytic activity decreases during pregnancy. Within 1 hour of delivery, plasma t-PA concentrations double and fibrinolysis increases rapidly to reach its peak at three hours postpartum. Fibrinolysis is even greater in patients with PPH [50]. Recent recommendations suggest the use of tranexamic acid in the event of PPH with variable protocols [58]. Recently, the results of the WOMAN study confirmed the role of tranexamic acid in the management of PPH with a reduction in maternal deaths by haemorrhage and in the number of laparotomies for haemostasis without adverse effects, especially since tranexamic acid was administered early [59]. After the third hour after delivery, the benefit of tranexamic acid is more questionable. VET-guidance could be considered, but further studies are needed to identify the most suitable tests and thresholds.

In 2015, Mallaiah et al. showed that the use of a ROTEM®-based algorithm for PPH management resulted in a reduction of total blood transfusion and bleeding [60]. These results were confirmed in the recent study by Snegovskikh et al. [61].

In the setting of PPH, a low fibrinogen concentration is associated with a change in PPH to severe haemorrhage. The GIHP proposes that the fibrinogen concentration should be rapidly evaluated in the event of PPH and VETs may be useful in this regard. Given the limitations of VETs in evaluating fibrinolytic activity, it is proposed not to guide the administration of tranexamic acid on VETs but to administer it as soon as possible in the event of PPH.

6. Cardiac surgery

Cardiac surgery is one of the clinical situations where the contribution of VET monitoring has been the most studied, as haemorrhage remains a major complication of cardiac surgery. The causes of haemorrhage are multiple: complex surgery, preoperative antiplatelet and/or anticoagulant treatment, persistence of heparin despite protamine antagonism, consumption and dilution of coagulation factors and platelets, etc. Such cases of haemorrhage often require the administration of labile blood products or drugs derived from blood and/or second-look surgery [62]. The value of VETs is debatable only in the event of haemorrhagic complications at the end of cardiac surgery, and several issues remain to be validated before VETs can be included in a protocol. Classically, VETs are used after the neutralization of heparin by protamine and the return to optimal conditions of temperature, pH and ionized calcium. In procedures with high haemorrhagic risk (reoperation, circulatory assistance, transplantation), they could be used more systematically. In all cases, a decision algorithm with well-defined and locally validated thresholds is essential.
A recent meta-analysis summarised all studies published in cardiac surgery [63]. Seventeen studies were selected, including 8332 patients with a comparison between a VET-guided arm (ROTEM® for 78.3% of patients) and an arm with conventional tests. A reduction in transfusion support was observed in the VET-guided arm (OR = 0.63, 95% CI 0.56–0.71) and was confirmed in the randomised studies subgroup (OR = 0.37, 95% CI 0.21–0.68). This reduction mainly concerned the administration of FFP (OR = 0.31, 95% CI 0.13–0.74). Furthermore, clinical outcomes were improved in the VET-group: significant reduction in the incidence of acute kidney injury, thromboembolic events and re-exploration due to postoperative bleeding. The results of this work are in agreement with those of the Bolliger and Tanaka study in 2013, which analysed 12 studies, 7 of which were randomised, and which also found an over-prescription of fibrinogen concentrates and prothrombin complex concentrates (PCC) (OR = 1.56, 95% CI 1.29–1.87 for fibrinogen concentrates and OR = 1.74, 95% CI 1.40–2.18 for PCC) [64].

Two recent publications in particular provide arguments in favour of VETs in cardiac surgery:

- the Cochrane study published in 2016 selected 17 studies (1493 patients), the majority in cardiac surgery (96% of patients), with an equivalent distribution between TEG® and ROTEM® (but especially ROTEM® for the most recent studies), and an associated functional platelet test in 5 studies. The analysis showed a significant reduction in transfusions of RBC (RR: 0.86, 95% CI 0.79–0.94), FFP (RR: 0.57, 95% CI 0.33–0.96) and platelets (RR: 0.73; 95% CI: 0.60–0.88) with the use of VET. The results demonstrate the benefit of blood transfusion strategies, possibly combined with a functional platelet test, but with a low level of evidence (heterogeneity of studies, low numbers of patients) [65]. Furthermore, this meta-analysis demonstrated a significant reduction in mortality in the VET-group (RR: 0.52, 95% CI 0.28–0.95), which was mainly based on trials using ROTEM® (RR: 0.44, 95% CI 0.21–0.93). The reduction in mortality was still significant when VET-guided algorithms were compared to standard laboratory testing guided algorithms (RR: 0.36, 95% CI 0.16–0.84). The Cochrane analysis also found a significant reduction in the incidence of acute kidney injury with the need for dialysis (RR: 0.46, 95% CI 0.28–0.76), already reported by Deppe et al.;

- the randomised study by Karkouti et al. (12 Canadian centres, 7402 patients) was conducted in two stages: initially no monitoring, then use of ROTEM® with an algorithm using EXTEM CT and A10 and FIBTEM A10, and PlateletWorks® (Helena Laboratories, Beaumont, Texas, USA). The use of ROTEM® was associated with a reduction in transfusion of RBC (RR: 0.91, 95% CI: 0.85–0.98, P = 0.02), platelets (RR: 0.77; 95% CI: 0.68–0.87, P < 0.001), not both of plasma or factor concentrates (fibrinogen, cryoprecipitate and PCC). Monitoring was associated with a significant reduction in major bleeding, but had no impact on complications, length of hospitalisation or mortality [66].

It is difficult to distinguish the impact of VETs from that of a systematic approach with a defined algorithm of the indication for transfusion. However, these studies suggest that the indication for transfusion based on real-time biological monitoring and a defined algorithm is associated with decreased transfusion and haemorrhagic complications. Looking at the mortality issue, the Cochrane meta-analysis concluded in favour of the VET-guided algorithm, compared to a defined algorithm.

In cardiac surgery, the GIHP proposes that VETs should be used in the event of haemorrhage at the end of surgery and postoperatively. They are carried out essentially at the end of ECC, rather than after the neutralisation of heparin, to guide the therapeutic strategy. The recommendation is that they should be included in algorithms.

### 7. Liver transplantation

Liver transplantation (LT) is currently the only curative treatment for severe hepatic impairment. The recipients present differing degrees of preoperative haemostasis disorders and may also present intraoperative coagulopathy. Cirrhotic patients undergo complex changes in haemostasis. Coagulant and anticoagulant proteins, which are synthesised mainly by the liver, decrease simultaneously with hepatic involvement, with the notable exception of factor VIII. A new equilibrium is established ensuring haemostasis is preserved but it is more tenuous, and the patient may develop a haemorrhagic or thrombotic syndrome [67]. Recipients with fulminant hepatitis have the same disturbances, but their von Willebrand factor (vWF) concentration is generally high with a low concentration of ADAMTS13, resulting in balanced haemostasis [68]. These abnormalities result in abnormal results on the routine coagulation tests, which lead to the incorrect diagnosis of a haemorrhagic risk. However, these tests (QT, for example) do not predict haemorrhagic [69] or thrombotic risk [70]. The routine tests evaluate only procoagulant factors without taking into account the relationship with the coagulation inhibiting systems [71]. This would explain the absence of abnormal bleeding in minor surgery or even interventions that carry a higher risk of bleeding in cirrhotic patients who have not received prophylactic transfusion of FFP and/or platelets [72,73]. Changes in the production and clearance of coagulation proteins in the course of LT may lead to severely disturbed haemostasis, further aggravated by ischemia of the hepatic graft and the splanchnic network [74].

Several studies have shown the value of VETs for reducing recourse to transfusion in LT [75–78]. A single prospective, randomised study compared transfusion based on TEG® and routine tests and showed a reduction in transfusion of FFP thanks to the use of TEG® [79]. Roulett et al. performed a prospective before/after study (conventional strategy vs. ROTEM®-guided strategy) including 60 patients that did not show a difference in transfusion needs between groups [80]. However, FFP transfusion was not included in the ROTEM®-guided group in which only platelets and fibrinogen transfusion was guided on ROTEM®. The authors explained these results by a better understanding of the physiology of coagulation and the coagulopathy linked to liver disease and the improvement of bleeding and transfusion management in LT because of the implementation of a written protocol. This already resulted in a low transfusion rate that was probably difficult to lower more. Recourse to transfusion may vary depending on the device used, confirming that transfusion thresholds are not well defined [81–83]. According to a recent study, a parameter derived from ROTEM®®, the time required for the maximum clotting velocity, can identify cirrhotic patients at high risk of bleeding [84].

As with other haemorrhagic settings, LT studies have shown a good correlation between FIBTEM A10 and fibrinogen concentration, enabling a VET result to be obtained quickly [15,85–87]. However, in the event of a major deficiency in fibrinogen (< 1g/L), the correlation with FIBTEM MCF or the maximum TEG FF amplitude lacks precision [77,88]. Hyper fibrinolysis has been described as a major cause of non-surgical bleeding during LT [89–91]. Results concerning the value of ROTEM®® in the detection of fibrinolysis and its treatment are controversial [80,92]. Abuelkasem et al. found that FITBTEM was more sensitive to hyper fibrinolysis than EXTEM [93]. Recent data suggest that fibrinolysis is most often self-limiting in patients undergoing liver transplan-
tation [94]. Pre-anhepatic hyper fibrinolysis detected by ROTEM® is associated with increased 30-day and 6-month mortality whereas post-anhepatic hyper fibrinolysis is associated with an increased incidence of portal vein and hepatic artery thrombosis [95]. Systematic administration of anti-fibrinolytic does not appear to be necessary [96]. However, the reduction in transfusion requirements based on the treatment of fibrinolysis when detected seems justified by the study by Trzezicki et al. [92].

VETs can be an aid in LT by limiting the transfusion of labile blood products, probably at the cost of an increase in the transfusion of fibrinogen. VETs lack sensitivity for the diagnosis of hyper fibrinolysis. The GHP proposes not waiting for the appearance of typical hyper fibrinolysis plots to use anti-fibrinolysis if other clinical features are present such as diffuse or massive bleeding.

8. Paediatrics

Several reports on the use of VETs in paediatrics have been published but few studies have focused on the management of transfusions in childhood haemorrhagic surgery. Reference values have been published in healthy newborns and children for TEG® [97–104] and for ROTEM® [105–110] as well as in children with cyanogenic pathologies [103]. In newborns, while the routine tests indicate a tendency to hypocoagulation, VETs show a hyper coagulable profile compared to that of adults until the age of 6 months. Given the very heterogeneous conditions in which VETs were performed in these studies, it is advisable to verify the reference values used locally [111].

The largest number of studies involves cardiac surgery. The risk of haemorrhage in children undergoing cardiac surgery with ECC is very high, with most newborns and children in need of a significant transfusion of labile blood products. In this setting, VETs have been used to predict the occurrence of bleeding events and to guide the therapeutic strategy through the development of transfusion algorithms specific to the paediatric cardiac population [65]. Studies that have attempted to evaluate the predictive value of VETs in cardiac surgery have yielded contradictory and disappointing results [112,113]. Neither routine coagulation tests nor VETs performed prior to cardiac surgery predicted the occurrence of perioperative haemorrhagic events.

Several transfusion algorithms have been published and can be used and/or adapted to suit the habits and practices of each centre [114,115]. Only one prospective randomized study compared the efficacy of a transfusion algorithm using ROTEM® to an approach based on routine tests [115]. While ROTEM® allowed a reduction in postoperative RBC and FFP transfusion requirements, it was associated with an increase in intraoperative FFP and platelet transfusions, so there was no overall difference. These results confirm those obtained in a retrospective study showing that the use of an algorithm based on ROTEM® allowed precise treatment of coagulopathy, while leading to a greater use of fibrinogen [114]. As in adult cardiac surgery, while the use of VETs seems to offer some benefits in paediatric cardiac surgery, the level of evidence remains low. Further prospective studies are therefore required to assess the cost-benefit of using VETs.

Data from the literature are significantly sparser for other types of surgery. Only one early study has been published in LT. This descriptive study of the trajectories of TEG® observed during the different phases of LT revealed plots similar to those described in adults [116]. Some reports have focused on polutraumatised children, but these are only retrospective studies [117,118]. The largest study was conducted over 40 years and involved 819 children. It showed a relationship between r-TEG fibrinolysis index at admission and mortality [118]. Most of the literature on the use of thromboelastometry in craniosynostosis surgeries is from the same team [119,120]. The results of these retrospective studies with small numbers (9 to 47 children) suggest that ROTEM® can detect fibrin polymerization disorders whereas the fibrinogen assay is not informative, that the transfusion threshold of MCF FIBTEM can be increased, thereby reducing the transfusion of FFP, and that this attitude does not entail additional costs. It should be noted that the transfusion algorithm incorporates FXIII administration according to laboratory results (response time 45 minutes) with a threshold for transfusion of FXIII set at 30%, or even 60% in the event of an episode of massive bleeding. Another team recently published a retrospective analysis showing the value of ROTEM® in predicting blood loss and guiding transfusion, but transfusion algorithms were not clearly defined [121].

In conclusion, there are not enough studies to define the role of VETs in the perioperative management of children. Similarly, European guidelines only suggest the use of VETs to rapidly detect dilution coagulopathy or hyper fibrinolysis (grade 2C) [122].

In paediatrics, VETs may be of value in cardiac surgery but the algorithms including them are very different from one centre to another. In other types of surgery, their putative role remains to be established.

9. Bedside or in the lab?

In most studies on VETs, the time required to obtain the results of routine tests is presented as a limiting factor in their use for the management of perioperative haemorrhage [123]. The response time in the laboratory can be explained by the many steps between sampling and making the results available. Establishing dedicated pathways for dealing with vital emergencies allowed results of four tests useful in a perioperative setting (haematocrit, platelet count, QT and fibrinogen) to be made available in 14 ± 3 minutes [2,124]. Nevertheless, even if pre-analytical and analytical lead times are optimised, the prospective study by Cotton et al. showed that the results of routine tests took significantly longer to be made available than r-TEG results (48 min vs. 15 min respectively) [29]. The early parameters of clot firmness (A5 and A10) validated for the ROTEM® device are of great importance to enable turn-around time. Accordingly, the implementation and validation of A5 in r-TEG could reduce the time-to-result by more than 50% compared to MA [125]. The timesaving argument, which is widely used, argues for the devices to be positioned near the patient. In this case, they are used by the nursing staff under the responsibility of the laboratory in accordance with the regulations in force governing delocalised biology [126]. The application of this regulation implies new activities and responsibilities for the clinical and biological teams. Procedures precisely defining the role of each should be set up for the passage and analysis of quality controls as well as for the protocol to be followed in the event of a failed control, but also for the management of stocks and the follow-up of maintenance procedures. Degraded procedures must also be catered for if an analyser breaks down. Training in the pre-analytical and analytical phases and in interpreting the results together with validation of the healthcare staff by a biologist should be organised with regular checking that the level of skills in maintained.

These issues have led many centres to place these devices in the central laboratory. In this case, the laboratory staff makes sure that the analysis is performed correctly but special emergency provisions must be set up to make sure that the whole procedural flow is smooth and rapid. The use of a pneumatic tube system makes it possible to reduce lead times but may affect the pre-analytical quality of the sample. In a recent study, transport by pneumatic tube in the Hospices Civils de Lyon did not affect ROTEM® results [127]. However, each transport system must be
validated locally (GFHT recommendations, October 2015) [128]. A technician must be available 24 hours a day to ensure immediate pick-up of samples. Rapid registration procedures to ensure traceability must be clearly established. From the beginning of the analytical phase, VET plots must be visible in real time by means of screens easily visible in operating theatres, provided that any clinical staff likely to use the result for diagnostic or therapeutic purposes have been trained and authorized to interpret it. The biological validation a posteriori of a result that has been used is theoretically not allowed outside of delocalised biology. A derogation procedure validated by clinicians and biologists who meet in a consensus meeting must be formalised. Placing a VET in the laboratory also means that the device can be used for several care units. In France, devices are evenly distributed between the operating room and the haemostasis laboratory. The choice must be made according to systems organisations and resources available locally, taking into account the regulations referred to above.

Two other fully automated analysers, the TEG® 6S and the ROTEM® Sigma, which no longer require pipetting operations, have recently been launched. Their handling is much simpler with consumables in the form of cartridges ready for use, which is more adapted to delocalised biology. However, these devices will not be available to unauthorised staff as the pre-analytical phase is critical as well as the correct interpretation of the various parameters. Moreover, very few studies on this new generation of devices are currently available and the reference values and the thresholds defined in the transfusion algorithms remain to be validated.

The decisive criteria for positioning a VET in the operating room or laboratory are as follows:

- Is the time required to obtain the laboratory results compatible with optimal management of the bleeding patient?
- Are information technology resources available?
  - To apply the regulations relating to delocalised biology if the device is placed in the operating room,
  - To visualise real-time plans on easily visible screens when positioned in the laboratory,
- The staff:
  - When positioned in the operating room:
    - Number of people to be trained and staff turnover to assess the feasibility of training and maintenance of skills. Will the biologist be able to appoint persons responsible for the maintenance and monitoring of the analyser under his/her responsibility?
    - If positioned in the laboratory, will the laboratory staff be available 24 hours a day for immediate blood sampling and use of the VET?

The choice and implementation of a VET can only be made consensually between the clinical department and the laboratory.

10. Conclusion

VETs must be included in algorithms for the management of coagulopathy and bleeding, defined in each centre and for each population of patients. While their value in the management of trauma and in cardiac surgery seems clear, studies with a high level of evidence are still lacking in obstetrics, liver transplantation and paediatrics. In consultation with the biology laboratory, these devices should be situated according to the way each centre functions.
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