Abstract

High tibial osteotomy (HTO) is an established treatment option for isolated medial osteoarthritis in young and active patients. One important factor for success of this procedure is the degree of correction of the weight-bearing line. Computer-assisted navigation systems are believed to improve the precision of axis correction through intraoperative real-time monitoring. This study investigates the precision of correction of the weight-bearing line in open-wedge HTO with and without a navigation system.

Nineteen legs of well-preserved human cadaver were randomly assigned to navigated (n = 10) or conventional (n = 9) HTO. In order to achieve a sufficient amount of correction in all legs the weight-bearing line was aimed at 80 percent of the width of the tibial plateau.

The mean deviation of the weight-bearing line from the desired 80 percent was 1 percent in the navigated and 8.6 percent in the conventional operated legs (p = 0.002). The weight-bearing line of all navigated but only 5 of the 9 conventional operated legs was within a ± 5 percent tolerance level (p = 0.33).

Navigated open-wedge HTO achieved better correction of the weight-bearing line than the conventional method in human cadaver legs. Future studies have to prove this advantage in a clinical setting and its effect on patient outcome.

INTRODUCTION

High tibial osteotomy (HTO) is an established treatment option in isolated unicompartmental osteoarthritis (OA) of the knee since the 1960s. [1, 2] Because of technical advances in unicompartmental and total knee arthroplasty osteotomies have been performed less often. With the implementation of new operative techniques and angle stable fixation devices this procedure currently experiences a resurgence. The goal of HTO is to transfer the load from damaged areas in the medial to the unimpaired lateral compartment, and consequently reduce symptoms and delay joint replacement. The ideal patient for an osteotomy is active and young, has a history of isolated medial compartment pain, a malalignment of less than 15°, a metaphyseal tibial varus, full range of motion, a BMI of less than 30 and a moderate isolated medial compartment osteoarthritis. [3]

Several studies could demonstrate that the amount of correction of the weight-bearing line is the most important factor for success.[3-8] Under- and overcorrection are the main reasons for clinical failure of this procedure. [6]

There are different methods to control the correction intraoperatively: the cable-method [9], grids [10] and the implementation of the preoperative planning. But all these methods have limitations and can lead to severe under- or overcorrection. Computer-assisted navigation systems with a system-determined error within 1° [11] allow an intraoperative real-time monitoring of the leg axis and may thus improve the precision of HTO.

This study evaluates the precision of correction of the weight-bearing line in open-wedge HTO in human cadaver legs with and without the use of an imageless navigation system.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study protocol was approved by the local independent ethics committee on 30.07.2008. From 11 human cadavers 3 legs had to be excluded due to serious restrictions in the range of motion in the hip or knee joint. 19 well preserved legs could be used for this study. These legs were randomly assigned to either navigated (n = 10) or conventional (n = 9) HTO. The mechanical axis and the weight-bearing line was calculated with fluoroscopic images of the hip, knee and ankle joint using a calibrated grid with lead-impregnated reference lines and controlled through direct measurement on the cadaver. After HTO the weight-bearing line should pass the tibial plateau at 80 percent (medial plateau edge 0 percent, lateral plateau edge 100 percent) in order to perform a sufficient correction. A ± 5% deviation of the weight-bearing line which is consistent with a ± 1° deviation of the mechanical leg axis was considered as acceptable tolerance level.

Preoperative planning was done graphically in all cases. The amount of correction and the size of the osteotomy gap was determined.

In all cases an imageless navigation system (OrthoPilot, Aesculap, Tuttlingen, Germany) was used to measure limb alignment before and after surgery. Data were collected with the software HTO 1.4 Open Wedge (Aesculap, Tuttlingen, Germany). Bicortical
trackers were fixed at the distal femur and the tibia. The workflow started with palpation of the anatomic knee center with the knee 90° flexed. The acquisition of the hip center was done through movement of the femur around its longitudinal axis. For registering the ankle center, a tracker was fixed at the metatarsal region using a foot plate with elastic strip, the foot being moved from extension to flexion. The knee center was located by movement of the leg from flexion to extension. Then, anatomical landmarks such as the medial and lateral epicondyle, the medial and the lateral malleolus and the anterior ankle center were registered. Then the preoperative mechanical axis in degrees varus or valgus malalignment and the intersection of the weight-bearing line with the tibial plateau was recorded.

The HTO was performed using the HTO Position spacer plate (Aesculap, Tuttingen, Germany). A medial opening osteotomy was performed in all cases [12] and a spacer according to the preoperative planning was inserted (Fig. 1). In the navigated cases the weight-bearing line was controlled with the navigation system and the spacer was changed if necessary. In the conventional cases the correction was done according to the osteotomy gap size measured during preoperative planning. The leg alignment was controlled with fluoroscopic images of the hip, knee and ankle joint with the cable method. Then the plate was fixed with two cancellous screws proximally and two bicortical screws distally and the final analysis of the mechanical axis and the weight-bearing line was done using the navigation system (Fig. 2) and fluoroscopic images in full extension and neutral rotation of the knee joint.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Data are expressed as means with a minimum and maximum and shown graphically on box plots. Comparisons between groups were based on two sample Wilcoxon tests for continuous endpoints and on Fisher’s exact tests for categorical endpoints, respectively. The results of these exploratory significance tests are summarized using p-values, where p<0.05 indicates significant differences between sub-samples. All analyses were performed with the SPSS® software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA, release 16.0 for Windows®).

RESULTS

Preoperatively both groups showed a similar leg axis with a mean of 2.3° of varus malalignment (3° valgus to 7° varus in the navigated group and 3° valgus to 9° varus in the conventional group). The weight-bearing line passed the tibial plateau at a mean of 41.5% (17.5% to 65%) in the navigated group and at 41.4% (9.5% to 70%) in the conventional group. There were 5 left legs in both groups.

Postoperatively the weight-bearing line passed the tibial plateau at a mean of 80.0% (78.0% to 81.5%) in the navigated group and at a mean of 79.4% (64.5% to 102.5%) in the conventional operated group. The postoperative weight-bearing line was in all navigated cases but only 5 of the 9 conventional operated cases (55%) within a ±5% tolerance level from the desired 80% intersection of the tibial plateau (p = 0.33, Fig. 3).

The mean deviation of the weight-bearing line from the aimed 80% intersection of the tibial plateau was 1.0% (0% to 2%) in the navigated and 8.6% (2% to 22.5%) in the conventional operated legs (p = 0.002).

DISCUSSION

In cadaver [13] and clinical studies [14-16] navigated HTO was more precise in achieving the desired correction than the conventional surgical technique. In these studies radiographic or CT measurements were used as reference to evaluate the postoperative leg alignment. However, it has been shown that there is a notable inaccuracy in full-length standing radiograph to measure the leg axis due to possible flexion and rotation of the leg [17-19]. Such inaccuracies may be a major limitation for the evaluation of the mechanical axis in clinical studies. CT measurements are more precise and independent from flexion and rotation but performed in a supine position without load-bearing and may therefore not represent the physiological situ-

![Fig. 1. Intraoperative fluoroscopic control of the leg alignment with the use of a calibrated grid with lead-impregnated reference lines: a) determination of the hip center, b) determination of the ankle center, c) open-wedge osteotomy fixed with the HTO Position spacer plate.](image-url)
Furthermore, it has been observed that radiographic and navigation measurements of limb alignment do not correlate and it has been suggested that the navigation system may be the more precise evaluation tool. [20] It has been demonstrated that navigation systems can precisely monitor the mechanical leg axis [21-23] and that the precision is independent from the experience of the surgeon. [23] We therefore used the measurements of a navigation system as reference for evaluation of the postoperative mechanical axis and weight-bearing line. Using these measurements this study demonstrated that a defined correction of the weight-bearing line can be obtained more precise with the help of an imageless navigation system.

Limitations of this study include the experimental setting, the limited number of legs, the inclusion of legs without a varus malalignment and the measurement of the alignment only in the coronal plane and without weight-bearing. In clinical use the aim of HTO is the intersection of the weight-bearing line at a point 30 to 40% lateral of the midpoint of the tibial plateau. [5] This is consistent with a point 65 to 70% from the medial edge of the tibial plateau. Due to legs without a varus malalignment we used a point more lateral (80% from the medial edge of the tibial plateau) in order to perform a sufficient correction in all legs. HTO is a three-dimensional procedure and changes of the tibial slope and the rotational alignment may occur. [21, 24] We were for technical reasons not able to measure the tibial slope or the rotational alignment. We were also not able to simulate weight-bearing. This might be an additional potential of inaccuracy in patients with ligamentous instabilities. There is no approved consensus about the necessary precision of the correction of the mechanical axis for HTO. The accepted tolerance of leg alignment of ± 3° in total knee arthroplasty might be too large to achieve good long-term results in HTO. A tolerance...
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