Immunometabolic Dysregulation at the Intersection of Obesity and COVID-19
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Obesity prevails worldwide to an increasing effect. For example, up to 42% of American adults are considered obese. Obese individuals are prone to a variety of complications of metabolic disorders including diabetes mellitus, hypertension, cardiovascular disease, and chronic kidney disease. Recent meta-analyses of clinical studies in patient cohorts in the ongoing coronavirus-disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic indicate that the presence of obesity and relevant disorders is linked to a more severe prognosis of COVID-19. Given the significance of obesity in COVID-19 progression, we provide a review of host metabolic and immune responses in the immunometabolic dysregulation exaggerated by obesity and the viral infection that develops into a severe course of COVID-19. Moreover, sequela studies of individuals 6 months after having COVID-19 show a higher risk of metabolic comorbidities including obesity, diabetes, and kidney disease. These collectively implicate an inter-systemic dimension to understanding the association between obesity and COVID-19 and suggest an interdisciplinary intervention for relief of obesity-COVID-19 complications beyond the phase of acute infection.
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ASSOCIATION OF OBESITY WITH THE SEVERITY OF COVID-19

We are within an epidemic of obesity worldwide, and it has been getting worse. Applying a threshold that defines obesity by a body mass index (BMI) of 30 kg/m² or greater, statistics across the globe indicate more than 1 in 10 adults (13.2%) were obese in 2019. This is equal to over 500 million obese people in total (1, 2). The United States is among the countries with the heaviest obesity burden with over 42% of the adult population meeting the criteria for obesity (2). Extensive clinical observations and epidemiological studies have shown that various metabolic disorders (including hyperlipidemia, hyperglycemia, hyperleptinemia, and insulin resistance) and multiple diseases (including diabetes mellitus, hypertension, cardiovascular diseases, cancers, and chronic kidney diseases) are often comorbid with obesogenesis as it becomes more severe with a BMI ≥ 40 (class III obesity) (2–5). Previous studies have demonstrated that viral infections such as those by some members of adenoviridae, herpesviridae, and hepatitides are frequently associated with obese individuals, who in turn show a higher disease risk to certain viral infections during influenza and dengue epidemics (6–9). A meta-analysis between obesity and influenza-related pneumonia during...
the 2009 influenza pandemic found that, compared with the normal BMI group, the risk of pneumonia in the obese (BMI ≥30) and morbidly obese (BMI ≥40) was raised 1.33 times (95% CI: 1.05–1.63) and 4.6 times (95% CI: 2.2–9.8), respectively (8). Furthermore, detailed in clinical studies of a hospitalized influenza virus-infected cohort, obesity was associated with increased risk for hospitalization, intensive care unit (ICU) admission, and invasive mechanical ventilation (IMV). Further still, morbid obesity (BMI >40) was linked to a twofold mortality risk from influenza virus infection (OR = 2.01, 95% CI: 1.29–3.14) (7–10). In addition, obesity increased the disease risk affected by influenza virus and prolonged the virus-shedding period for 42% longer than in non-obese controls (7–10). These meta-analytic studies of the risk relationship between obesity and common respiratory viral infections before the COVID-19 pandemic signify the comorbidity role of obesity in worsening viral pneumonia (8–10). The term “infectobesity” was proposed to define obesity of infectious etiology (5, 6). Wherein the infectious origin of obesity is still disputable, it becomes evident that obesity progressively undermines the inter-systemic homeostasis involving the metabolic, endocrine, neurological, and immune systems, which might underlie the vulnerability of the obese population to infectious risks to be fully understood (2–6).

Over a year after its outbreak, increasing clinical and epidemiological evidence shows that obesity is a major factor in increasing disease risk to severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2, SARS-CoV-2 (11–22). Obesity and its relevant metabolic disorders are among the top-ranked comorbidity associated with a severe course of COVID-19 resulting in higher rates of hospitalization, ICU admission, intubation (ITU) and IMV, and mortality. Several comprehensive reviews and meta-analyses studies (12–22) on the prevalence and prognosis of COVID-19 with obesity are referred, and this review will be focused on discussion of the immunometabolic dysregulation underlying this association. Table 1 summarizes the major criteria in the association between obesity and COVID-19 from nearly 80 relevant studies, including approximately 0.5 million patients, qualified and adapted from several meta-analytic studies before March 2021 (12–32). We further compared based on the three world regions where almost all the relevant studies were conducted, i.e., Asia-Mideast, Europe, and America. Within the reported timeline of COVID-19 spreading around the world and the affected regional population, studies from China comprised the early 12 of 14 reports in the Asian-Mideast region including two other reports each from Singapore or Israel, followed by 14 from European countries and 35 studies from the U.S. In general, the patient cohort size of studies from the Asia-Mideast were small (<500 patients) except for the meta-analysis from Israel with a cohort size of 4,353. In contrast, there were four studies from Europe and 16 from the Americas with a cohort size greater than 1,000. In consensus of all the studies, obesity, especially severe obesity, has a strong positive association with at least one criterion defining severe COVID-19 progression, including the rate of hospitalization, ICU admission, ITU/IMV, and death. Nevertheless, the odds ratio (OR, a measure of association between an exposure and an outcome) used to estimate the association varies at a broad range of 1.1–9.2 due the heterogeneity of cohort sizes and patient demography among the

Table 1 | Summaries with obesity as a risk factor for the prevalence, progression and sequelae of COVID-19 (12–32).

| World region/Country | Summary |
|----------------------|---------|
| Asia-Mideast (14) | Number of studies: 14 (12 China, 1 Singapore, and 1 Israel) Number of participants: 6,754 (58-4,353; 1) Age group: 38–61 y (%) Males: 52–73% Prevalence of COVID-19 with obesity: 10.7–68.2% Prognosis of COVID-19 with obesity (OR, odd ratio): 2.01 (BMI >30), 2.6 (BMI ≥40) Complications of Obesity/COVID-19: Hypertension (14–15%, 4.6); diabetes (6–20%, 3.2); CVD (9%, 2.8) |
| Europe (14) | Number of studies: 14 (3 France, 7 Italy, 2 UK, and 1 Germany/Canadian) Number of participants: 29,740 (50-20,133; 3) Age group: 60–72 y (%) Males: 52–73% Prevalence of COVID-19 with obesity: 10.5–65.2% Prognosis of COVID-19 with obesity (OR, odd ratio): 1.3–4.4 (BMI >30), 2.0–2.3 (BMI ≥40) Complications of Obesity/COVID-19: Hypertension (18.4–77.2%, 4.6); diabetes (23–58%, 1.3–2.5); CVD (19–35%, 1.3) |
| Americas (35) | Number of studies: 35 (29 USA, 5 Mexico, and 1 Brazil) Number of participants: 436,306 (103-212,802; 16) Age group: 43–88 y (%) Males: 47.8–74.2% Prevalence of COVID-19 with obesity: 14–66.5% Prognosis of COVID-19 with obesity (OR, odd ratio): 1.3–9.2 (BMI >30), 1.4–9.8 (BMI ≥40) Complications of Obesity/COVID-19: Hypertension (20–55%, 2.8); diabetes (55–65%, 1.3) |

TABLE 1: Summaries with obesity as a risk factor for the prevalence, progression and sequelae of COVID-19 (12–32).
studies. Cross-study data curation of eight studies with a cohort size >5,000 indicates a conservative OR range at 1.8–3.2 for increasing COVID-19 severity and mortality by obesity and associated comorbidities (Table 1 and Supplement Excel Sheet) (12–32).

About half of the studies compared virus prevalence in obese with non-obese individuals, indicating a higher ratio at 10.5%–68.2% of positive diagnosed in the obese population considering that the average country-wide infection ratio of SARS-CoV-2 is 2%–10% (Table 1 and Supplement Excel Sheet) (12–32). The higher prevalence of COVID-19 reflects a generally more susceptible status and slower recovery process of the virus infection in the obese population, who, in other words, have a compromised defensive response to restrict and clear the virus. Almost all the studies observed a strong relationship of severe COVID-19 with complications of obesity (BMI ≥40), including primarily hyperlipidemia, hypertension, diabetes and cardiovascular diseases (CVD) (Figure 1) (4, 5, 33). Other factors, including gender and age, have been shown to affect COVID-19 progression and were included in the studies. For instance, obesity may increase COVID-19 risk for young patients, who are generally more resistant to developing severe COVID-19 (11–22, 34–37).

In summary, this review unifies the most relevant studies and meta-analytic data to reinforce that obesity, especially the severe obesity with morbid complications, represents a consistent risk factor underlying the prevalence and particularly severe manifestations of COVID-19 (Table 1 and Figure 1) (12–32). It is of note that obesity defined by the BMI threshold does not have to correlate to a morbid condition in every obese individual. A study examining 247 COVID-19 patients showed that higher levels of cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF) are associated with lower hospitalization rates, suggesting that fitness is more critical than adiposity in predicting the risk for COVID-19 hospitalization and potential for severe COVID-19 (38). Of note, the immunometabolic mechanism underlying the association between obesity and COVID-19 is just emerging as reviewed below and awaits further investigations in order to develop therapeutic intervention at the immunometabolic interface (4, 18, 34, 39, 40).

**IMMUNOMETABOLIC PROXIES UNDERLYING THE COMPLICATION OF OBESITY AND SEVERE COVID-19**

During the acute phase, about 4 weeks after respiratory infection by SARS-CoV-2, COVID-19 manifests a wide range of symptoms in people influenced by different demographic factors including age,
Sex, ethnicity, and, particularly, pre-existing medical conditions including obesity and associated metabolic syndromes (33, 41). Studies in virology and epidemiology indicate that SARS-CoV-2 evolves in a balance between virulence and contagiousness to spread efficiently in people, while posing morbid and mortal threats in vulnerable subgroups (42, 43). The prognosis of COVID-19 patients has a broad spectrum: with the vast majority (50%–80% per different research scenarios, CDC) only having mild symptoms like a common cold or asymptomatic, and the other 20%–50% developing into severe local and systemic syndromes needing immediate hospitalization and critical care (41, 44–46). The death rate of COVID-19 varies at 1.7%–13.0% due to heterogeneous demographics and intervention in different countries (41, 44). Examining approximately 3,000 reported cases worldwide, Ramos-Casals et al. profiled more than 70 different systemic and organ-specific morbidities during the severe course of COVID-19 (Figure 1, right part) (33, 41). In addition to the pathogenic impact triggered by local viral infections such as airway inflammation and pneumonia, major pathologies underlying severe COVID-19 come from the excessive immune response (immunopathies) (33). These immunopathies are very diverse but reflect common signs of autoimmune or inflammatory diseases as frequently observed in, but not limited to, morbid obesity and associated metabolic syndromes as reviewed elsewhere and briefed here (47–49).

During COVID-19 progression, the virus–host interaction in humans has several characteristics, which highlight the stages of pathogenesis and potential cross-link underlying the association with obesity. First, the viral spike protein (S) interacts with its primary cell receptor, angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) expressed in multiple systems including adipose tissues (50–63). Functionally speaking, the S-binding of the ACE2 catalytic region suppresses ACE2’s role in angiotensin release and disrupts the body’s renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system (RAAS) leading to hypertension, vasoconstriction, inflammation, fibrosis, thrombosis, and pulmonary damage (64–66); these complications are also commonly observed in patients of morbid obesity. The viral effect in suppression of the host antiviral immunity (48, 67, 68), and induction of cell death in infected epithelia/endothelia and bound platelets by the virus, causes excessive pro-inflammatory and amplifies into a cytokine releasing syndrome (CRS) (33, 69). The scale and intensity of the virus–host interaction are heterogeneous and lead to diverse manifestations of COVID-19, ranging from benign/self-limiting signs to life-threatening syndromes locally in the lung or inter-systemically in several organs (33, 41), which can be associated with and complicated by an obese precondition.

Obesity and associated metabolic syndromes are chronic comorbidities initiated by progressive accumulation of pathological adipose tissues (3–5, 70). Metabolic disorders increase local and plasma active mediators or metabolites, including insulin, glucose, lipids, leptin, and adiponectin, reflecting early diagnostic abnormalities (3–5, 70). Accompanying the morbid progression, metabolic disorders are exaggerated by both local and systemic distortion, especially dysregulated immunity involving chronic inflammation, increased thrombotic activity, and autoimmune inclinations (3, 70–72). Nevertheless, on a chronic and sub-intensive scale, this series of consequential immune diversion in obesity may build up an immunopathological propensity to potentiate the viral infection into a severe course of COVID-19 (10–13). As in the local and systemic manifestations of severe COVID-19 cases, morbid obesity and associated metabolic syndromes show comparable (but low-grade and chronic) immunopathies that involve destructive activity from systemic inflammation to increasing thrombotic and autoimmune disorders (3–5, 73–76). In turn, morbid obesity can be complicated clinically into hypertension, CVD, respiratory, renal, and hepatic diseases in severe COVID-19 cases as a function of patient-dependent acute phase (12–18, 33, 41). Figure 1 illustrates the immunometabolic proxies underlying the association between obesity and severe COVID-19, recapting the theme of the immuno-pathological predisposition of obesity toward the severe progression of COVID-19 (12–18, 33, 41). Notably, recent studies also highlight a cell senescence process involving increased cell defects of both visceral epithelial and mesenchymal cells affected by pathological adipose tissues. This obesity-related cell senescence increases the virus susceptibility, amplifies proinflammatory signaling, suppresses IFN responses, and incites the virus-mediated cellular death during COVID-19 progression in obese patients (77–83). Collectively, obesity and SARS-CoV-2 infection, differently initiated from either metabolic or immune dysregulation, show aggregation in common immunopathies contributing to the severe course of COVID-19 and demonstrate epidemiological association where the obesity epidemic unfortunately meets the COVID-19 pandemic in our era (Figure 1) (3–13).

**OBESITY-ORIGINATED IMMUNOMETABOLIC DIVERSION AND COVID-19**

This section examines immunometabolic dysregulation and derived immunopathies from the obesogenic angle of COVID-19 in obese patients. Note that classical studies (except those specifically mentioned) of immunometabolic dysregulation in obesity were not conducted in cohorts with COVID-19 complications and thus may be referred to as rationally justified instead of direct evidence (5, 70–83).

As shown in Figure 2, adipose tissues serve as an endocrine organ secreting adipokines, including leptin, adiponectin, visfatin, and resistin (72, 83–87). These adipokines act on different tissues and cells to regulate energy homeostasis and metabolism and mediate inflammation, immune response, and tissue damage. With the exception of adiponectin, the other three adipokines, i.e., leptin, visfatin, and resistin, are proinflammatory and their levels increase during obesity (85–89). Hyperleptinemia, ascribing an elevated leptin level in circulation, is among the diagnostic criteria of hyperlipidemia, hyperglycemia, and hyperinsulinemia (insulin resistance), indicating a metabolic disorder of obesity and associated syndromes (3–5, 10, 72). Studies have shown an important role of obesogenic leptin (similarly for less studied visfatin and resistin) in inflammatory and immunometabolic regulation. Hyperleptinemia in obesity or associated comorbidities is associated with, locally or systemically, increases of proinflammatory cytokines including interleukin...
IL-1β, IL-6, IL-8, IL-12, and tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α (87–90). Leptin mediates chemotactic infiltration and activation of innate immune cells including monocytes/macrophages, neutrophils, and natural killer cells (NK) to increase cytotoxicity (72, 89–92). Visceral fat tissue takes a greater part in obesity and produces highly proinflammatory cytokines, including IL-6, TNF-α, and IL-8, compared to its subcutaneous counterpart (88–91). In adaptive immunity, leptin induces the proliferation of naïve T cells and B cells while reducing the generation of immunosuppressive regulatory T cells (Treg). In T-cell activation, leptin switches T helper (Th) cells towards pro-inflammatory Th1/Th17 rather than the anti-inflammatory Th2 phenotype. Finally, leptin activates B cells to secrete cytokines and modulates B-cell development (89–92). The improper stimulation of immune responses in obesity without timely resolution perpetuates obesity-associated inflammation and cell/tissue damage. Adipocyte cell death at early stages during adipose tissue expansion triggers the inflammation and recruitment of immune cells to adipose tissue; however, studies demonstrated cell senescence and death accounting for amplification of proinflammation and antiviral suppression in visceral organs associated with aging and obesity in severe COVID-19 progression (77–84).

Obesity triggers cellular senescence extending from adipocyte decay to affected visceral endothelial, epithelial, and mesenchymal cells in various metabolic syndromes (77–84). Obesity- and aging-induced cell senescence has been associated with inflammation underlying multiple chronic diseases (84, 93). Using engineered mouse models, Camell et al. demonstrated that these senescent cells became hyper-inflammatory in response to SARS-CoV-2 infection and in the presence of the viral spike protein (84). This led to consequential increased expression of viral entry proteins including ACE2 and transmembrane serine protease 2 (TMPRSS2) and reduced the antiviral IFN-stimulated gene expression in surrounding endothelial cells. Depleting senescent cells using senolytic drugs significantly reduced the inflammatory markers, increased antiviral antibodies, and increased the survival rate in vulnerable mice following the coronavirus infection (84). This study thus correlates cell senescence, a common pathological mechanism underlying aging, obesity, and other preexisting comorbidities that may be amplified during SARS-CoV-2 infection to increase virus spreading, CRS, and other immunopathology in severe COVID-19 cases (Figures 1, 2) (77–93).

The physiological milieu of obesity and metabolic syndromes may undermine the human immune system directly through
altering lymphoid tissue architecture and integrity, changing leukocyte activation and inflammatory phenotypes, and impairing effector T-cell and B-cell specificity in resolving infection from pathogens, including respiratory viruses (5, 94–98). Compared with healthy controls, COVID-19 patients have a pro-inflammatory activation of lung macrophages and blood monocytes, a lower blood leukocyte count particularly that of T cells, and improper activation of NK and T cells (99). Prominent in lymphopenia, this immune dysfunction has a greater association in severe COVID-19 patients with pneumonia than those who just have mild or asymptomatic signs (99–108). Although few studies have demonstrated if obesity-related immune dysregulation directly contributes to immune disruption by SARS-CoV-2, the high association of obesity with severe COVID-19 cases indicates this potential. A recent study about memory B cell (MBC) response indicated that unlike in young patients who had effective B cells specific to SARS-CoV-2 spike protein, which are protective, older patients had more MBCs targeting the other viral proteins, which are not protective (109). This should be similar in obese COVID-19 patients, given that delayed bystander CD8 T cells and less specific B cell response to SARS-CoV-2 were observed (99, 108, 109). In addition to cell immunity, obesity also progressively alters the antiviral IFN response of the innate immune arm, which shows persistent IFN production with a detrimental role, rather than antiviral protection during the acute phase of a viral infection (5–7).

Biomechanically, the excess of intrapulmonary and pericardial fat in morbid obesity impairs gas exchange and respiratory mechanics through lowering lung volume, weakening respiratory muscle strength, and increasing airway resistance (110–115). This worsens due to the inflammatory swelling and the hypersensitive reaction induced by proinflammatory adipokines and cytokines during infection (112, 114). An increase in plasma leptin levels and inflammatory mediators were found in severe COVID-19 cases with pulmonary symptoms (114, 115). The intrapulmonary adipocytes may serve as direct target cells for SARS-CoV-2 infection and amplify the pro-inflammatory effect to aggravate inflammatory infiltrates and trigger massive interstitial edema (57, 58, 111). In addition, a hypoxia environment will be induced in the inflamed and infected lung, which, in turn, activates signaling pathways mediated by hypoxia-induced factor 1α (HIF-1α). Hypoxia and the activation of HIF-1α-mediated signaling may alter an array of physiological and immune functions at the airway epithelial interface and have recently been proposed to serve as therapeutic targets for COVID-19 intervention (116, 117). In this context, several studies showed that patients subjected to IMV had a higher visceral fat index (10, 118). Other host responses connecting obesity and COVID-19 severity include disorders prone to vitamin D deficiency, thrombosis, and autoimmunity against self-antigens, which are consequences of metabolic and immune diversion posed by obesity or coronaviral infection (119–121). Vitamin D and 25-hydroxycholesterol (25HC) represent two metabolites in cellular cholesterol metabolism prominent for their regulatory role in both host metabolism and immune reactions (119–121). As 25HC exerts a broad antiviral activity, vitamin D has been recommended as a nutritional supplement to the prevention of type 2 diabetes (T2D) and COVID-19 progression (119, 122). Endothelial dysfunction and thrombosis are complicated in morbid obesity and particularly in cardiometabolic syndrome (73–76). SARS-CoV-2 causes endothelial infection and manifests serial symptoms associated with thrombosis as shown in Figure 1 and extensively reviewed (123–125). Autoimmune reactions indicate a functional indeterminate in the antibodies’ specificity against self- and non-self-antigens, which again have been associated with various metabolic syndromes and demonstrated to be a common diagnostic criterion for about 15% of severe COVID-19 cases (48, 126).

In summary, obesity and associated metabolic disorders may exacerbate the susceptibility and severity of COVID-19 through the following aspects: (1) increases of local and plasma mediators, including insulin, lipids, leptin, adiponectin, and particularly various proinflammatory cytokines and leukocyte infiltrates (10, 72, 91, 92); (2) high incidences of cell senescence of adipocytes and surrounding visceral cells, which amplify proinflammatory signaling, dysregulate IFN-mediated antiviral response, and increase proteins to promote SARS-CoV-2 susceptibility (80–84); (3) compromised or shifted antiviral immunity in both the innate and adaptive arms (10, 72, 91, 92); (4) respiratory obstruction resulting in hypoxia-mediated immune diversion (110–117); and (5) imbalance prone to autoimmune and thrombotic response (Figure 2) (123–126).

**THE VIRUS–IMMUNITY INTERACTION INTERSECTING TO OBESITY**

As briefed above, SARS-CoV-2 demonstrates a broad cell/tissue tropism in humans. The epithelial cells lining the eyes and various visceral organs (including the lung, heart, intestines, kidney, liver, pancreas, and testicle) have been shown to be susceptible to the virus infection (50–63), as are endothelial cells of the blood vessels, neuron cells in the brain, and possibly adipocytes in visceral fats (50–63, 127, 128). Human visceral epithelial cells, like certain airway and gut epithelial cells, are naturally susceptible due to constitutive expression of ACE2 and TMPRSS2 that facilitate SARS-CoV-2 entry into cells (50–63). In addition to widely expressed ACE2 and TMPRSS2 as primary susceptibility factors, neuropilin1 (NRP1) was recently identified as an important cofactor to promote the virus entry in cells with low-level ACE2 expression (60). This may extend susceptible cell types and contribute to multi-organ symptoms in severe COVID-19 complications (33). The putative susceptibility of both adipocytes and endothelial cells indicates a nasty potential for SARS-CoV-2 spread through these connective tissue cells to trigger systemic symptoms of COVID-19 (Figure 1) (57, 58, 127, 128). Recent studies also indicated that the human ACE2 gene can be induced through epigenetic regulation by chronic IFN and inflammatory signaling (48, 129), implying that these cells can become more susceptible during severe COVID-19...
progression in obese patients who have low-grade inflammation and detrimentally chronic IFN responses (48, 129–132). Therefore, inflamed adipocytes and endothelial cells from aging and obese individuals seem more permissive and vulnerable to virus infection, in turn boosting relevant immunopathies to exacerbate a severe course of COVID-19 (10–18).

In addition to the immune senescence and immunometabolic disruption as examined from the obesity perspective, studies have shown that the human innate immune system and its interaction with SARS-CoV-2 are early contributors to the heterogeneous disease courses of COVID-19 (33, 37, 40, 48, 67, 68). Plausibly, the difference between obese and non-obese populations about these innate immune components and their responses to the virus infection attributes much of immunometabolic dysregulation underlying the association of obesity and severe COVID-19 (10–18). Most studies on SARS-CoV-2 and its innate immune responses were conducted in patient cohorts or animal models without special consideration of the obesity factor (33, 37, 40, 48, 67, 68). First, virus tropism and its ability to subsist with the host’s innate immune response determine if the infection will be productive and develop into symptomatic disease (67, 68). As an RNA virus, SARS-CoV-2 may be recognized by cellular pathogen-pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) that sense foreign RNA including endosomal TLR3 and TLR7, as well as by cytoplasmic RIG-I-like receptors (RLR), including RIG-I and MDA5 (67, 68). The effective antiviral signaling mediated by these viral RNA receptors will lead to the production of inflammatory mediators and antiviral IFNs. IFNs will act to induce an antiviral state for local restriction of the infecting virus and inflammatory mediators will work to orchestrate acute inflammatory responses to bring in leukocytes and prepare for the activation of a specific immune response as needed to clear viruses evading the innate immune surveillance (33, 37, 40, 48, 67, 68, 133). Immune responses can be a double-edged sword and detrimental to the host unless properly regulated (67, 68); in IFN and inflammatory responses, both overactivation and underactivation can be deleterious to the host (48, 134). Early studies detected the impaired type I IFN responses in both SARS-CoV-2-infected human bronchial cells and circulating mononuclear blood cells early in infection (135, 136). Using plasma samples from hospitalized COVID-19 patients, lower IFN content was detected together with persistent viral genes and increased inflammatory cytokines including TNF-α and IL-6 (136). Other studies by Lee et al. (2020) and Lucas et al. (2020) detected that those patients with severe COVID-19 pneumonia endured a consistent pro-inflammatory response, but a peak of IFN response in their blood samples (137, 138). These results seem contradictory; however, they may reflect a viral suppression of IFN response at the acute phase of infection, and an immunopathological IFN induction compounded by inflammation and cell death in severe COVID-19 cases (135–138). The suppression of IFN response was further validated by the identification of at least 10 SARS-CoV-2 proteins targeting multiple steps across the IFN production and action signaling pathways (33, 48, 67, 139). In addition, recent genome-wide association studies (GWAS) associated severe COVID-19 incidences with several critical genetic regions, spanning multiple genes centered in both chemokine and IFN signaling (140, 141). All of these studies, from both the virus and host perspectives, highlight the determinant role of IFN and chemokine signaling in host susceptibility to SARS-CoV-2 infection and the progression of severe COVID-19 (135–141). Similarly, key genes in IFN and chemokine signaling have also been associated with obesity and metabolic syndromes including T2D, systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), and CVD (10, 72, 91, 92). This demonstrates a cross-link of immune dysregulation in obese COVID-19 patients but awaits mechanistic studies (Figure 3).

Excessive production of pro-inflammatory cytokines and acute tissue damage in the lung mark the severe progression of COVID-19, albeit with systemic manifestations in other organs as well (33, 67). Multiple inflammatory cytokines and chemokines have been analyzed to highlight key players in determining SARS-CoV-2 severity. Profiling COVID-19 patient blood samples, Karki et al. detected 10 cytokines (including IFN-γ, IL-1/α/β, IL-2, IL-6, IL-15, IL-18, IL-33, and TNF-α/β) that were upregulated in patients with moderate or severe COVID-19 compared with the samples from healthy individuals (69). Further tests in both cultured human cells and human ACE2-transgenic mice have highlighted the combination of TNF-α and IFN-γ induced inflammatory cell death coined as PANoptosis, which includes three types of cell death processes as pyroptosis, apoptosis, and necroptosis. The signaling cascade mediated by TNF-α and IFN-γ through the JAK/STAT1/IRF1a axis activates nitric oxide production amplifying the cytokine storm and cell death cycles, which mirror tissue damage and CRS observed in severe COVID-19 cases. Inhibiting PANoptosis using cell death inhibitors or treating with neutralizing antibodies against TNF-α and IFN-γ protected mice from mortality during SARS-CoV-2 infection as well as immunopathies including sepsis, hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis, and cytokine shock (69). This proof-of-the-concept study thus suggests a therapeutic potential to relieve the cytokine-mediated inflammatory cell death through intervening in the early TNF-α and IFN-γ combinative signaling through the JAK/STAT1/IRF1a axis. Notably, this newly discovered inflammatory cell death signaling associated with severe COVID-19 resembles a non-canonical IFN signaling that similarly compounds TNF-α and IFN-α/β to activate the IRF1/ NF-kB/PU.1 axis in increased immunopathies, as observed in inflammatory and autoimmune disorders underlying morbid obesity and metabolic syndromes (69, 129, 132). This suggests that the signaling of TNF-α plus IFN-α/β combination is involved in a chronic inflammatory progression such as in obesity, but TNF-α plus IFN-γ combination works in a more acute/severe infectious situation such as in severe COVID-19 (69, 129, 132). It is therefore intriguing to investigate the relationship and cross-talk between these two proinflammatory signaling pathways both involving TNF-α potentiation but differing in IFN types of innate or adaptive immunity, respectively (69, 129–132).

In addition to the stratified dysregulation at the innate immune level by both the obesity and virus–host interaction, profound diversion of adaptive immune responses by SARS-CoV-2 infections has also been demonstrated in COVID-19 patients,
particularly the severe cases (67, 68, 128, 133). First, SARS-CoV-2 is capable of suppressing the antigen presentation process to impede the activation of an adaptive immune response. A recent study showed that the ORF8 protein of SARS-CoV-2 can directly bind to the major histocompatibility complex I (MHC I) and redirect to a degradation pathway intracellularly before MHC I presenting antigens on the infected cell surfaces can activate CD8+ cytotoxic T cells (142). This study also showed that ORF8 of SARS-CoV-2 downregulates MHC I in cells; therefore, ORF8 protein has been proposed as a rapidly evolving accessory protein of coronaviruses that interferes with immune responses (142). In addition, the viral Nsp10 protein impedes antigen intracellular processing and loading to MHC II expressed by professional antigen presentation cells (including B cells, macrophages, and dendritic cells), which, in turn, suppress the activation of CD4+ T helper cells (143–145). Examination of clinical samples showed that an increase of dysfunctional classical monocytes with high expression of S100 protein and low MHC II expression was associated with severe COVID-19, reminiscent of the immunoparalysis seen in sepsis and other metabolic syndromes (133). A second diversion of the adaptive immune response by SARS-CoV-2 is T-cell atrophy or absence of the virus-specific CD4+ T cells in severe COVID-19 patients. Studies showed that induction of SARS-CoV-2-specific CD4+ T cells was highly associated with a good prognosis in COVID-19 severity. In contrast, the delay or absence of SARS-CoV-2-specific CD4+ T cells predicted a severe or fatal COVID-19 (107, 133, 146, 147). For B-cell-mediated humoral immunity, a striking observation highlighted by recent studies is that autoreactive antibodies, especially those specific against IFNs, were detected at a higher ratio (~15%) in severe COVID-19 patients than in the healthy controls (48, 126, 148). It also indicates that the gender factor contributes to the high autoimmune incidence, as men infected by the virus were more prone to develop into severe COVID-19 than women (48, 126, 148). Although autoimmunity is also commonly diagnosed in cases of morbid obesity and relevant complications, the autoimmune intensity and auto-antigen overlap between obesity and COVID-19 need to be studied using a comparative cohort including at least obese control and obese COVID-19 groups (Figure 3). Finally, the RAAS, which regulates multiple aspects of human physiological homeostasis, is also affected by SARS-CoV-2 infection (64–66, 149). In addition to its role in circulation and immune regulation, RAAS is intrinsic to tissues that modulate food intake, metabolic rate, adipogenesis, and insulin level/sensitivity (64–66, 149). Dysregulation of RAAS increases the production of inflammatory cytokines and reactive oxygen species (ROS) and exacerbates the insulin resistance that mediates glucose/lipid metabolism (64–66, 149). Studies using genetic analysis and pharmacologic inhibitors have associated the dysregulated RAAS with metabolic syndromes such as type 2 diabetes (64–66, 149). Given SARS-CoV-2’s direct binding and suppression effect on ACE2, the metabolic aberration in the samples of COVID-19 patients is to be expected. For example, Song et al. performed quantitative lipidomic and metabolomic analyses using plasma samples from COVID-19 patients (150). They showed that the plasma metabolite panel effectively distinguished COVID-19 patients from healthy controls. In particular, the level of a type of sphingolipids (i.e., monosialodihexosyl gangliosides, GM3s) in the plasma was negatively correlated with CD4+ T-cell count in COVID-19 patients, and accordingly, the plasma from severe patients contained GM3-enriched exosomes (150). Given the important role of sphingolipids in the regulation of T-cell proliferation and activation, this study correlates lipid metabolism to T-cell-mediated immunity with the severe course of COVID-19 (151, 152). Yu et al. demonstrated that insulin therapy itself might increase mortality in patients with COVID-19 and diabetes, remarking the shared biomarkers of increased plasma insulin and glucose in both obese and severe COVID-19 patients (153). Hoffmann et al. conducted protein interactome screening in SARS-CoV-2-infected human cells and found virus-specific differences in glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI) anchor biosynthesis and multiple pan-coronavirus factors involved in cholesterol homeostasis (154). Both GPI and cholesterol biosynthesis have been implicated in the obesogenic process and antiviral immune regulation but have not been studied for regulation in the severe course of COVID-19 in obese patients (154).

Collectively, beyond the extensive association analyses between obesity and COVID-19, there are limited studies probing the immunometabolic dysregulation in obese COVID-19 patients (151–154). Several potential cross-links include the following: (1) SARS-CoV-2’s broad tissue/cell tropism with the emphasis of susceptibility in adipocytes (50–63); (2) intersecting immune dysregulation including IFN suppression, hyperinflammation and thrombosis, T-cell atrophy, and autoimmune disorders; and (3) metabolic disruption of glucose and lipid biosynthesis. In addition to the interaction of preexisting obesity with COVID-19, recent studies have divulged obesity’s effect on the recovery process and post-acute sequelae effect of COVID-19 in convalescent patients (Figure 3) (33, 37, 40, 48, 67, 68).

**LONG COVID-19: OBESITY AND IMMUNOMETABOLIC INCEPTION**

Long COVID-19 or post-acute COVID-19 describes “persistent symptoms and/or delayed or long-term complications beyond 4 weeks from the onset of symptoms”, when the replication-competent SARS-CoV-2 is no longer isolated (155–158). Recent studies describe that long COVID sequelae often affect multiple organ systems, with diverse impact on function and quality of life post the acute disease (155–158). In one study of 4,182 COVID-19 cases, 588 (13.3%) reported symptoms lasting ≥28 days, 189 (4.5%) for ≥8 weeks, and 95 (2.3%) for ≥12 weeks. The common signs of long COVID included fatigue, headache, dyspnea, and anosmia and happened to be more likely with increased age, increased body mass index, and in females (158). Another study of 2,839 SARS-CoV-2-infected patients who survived the acute phase of COVID-19 without ICU admission (159) at 8 months post the acute COVID-19 disease found that the risk of hospital admission was 28% and 30% higher in patients with moderate...
and severe (BMI ≥ 35) obesity compared with patients with a normal body mass index (BMI < 30) (159). These findings indicate that moderate and severe obesity are associated with a greater risk of long COVID-19 (159). As no living virus has been detected during the long COVID-19, the persistent inflammation and other immunopathies from both obesity and remaining COVID-19 exasperation may provide an explanation. However, other viruses have been detected to be persistent in adipose tissues (127), which is hoped not to be the case for SARS-CoV-2 but warrants specific virological verification (53).

Finally, two recent studies concerning post-acute sequelae of COVID-19 reported a 6-month sequelae in two large cohorts of 30-day survivors of COVID-19 (160, 161). The first study analyzed the data of 236,379 UK patients diagnosed with COVID-19, concentrating on the neurological and psychiatric sequelae of COVID-19. They found substantial neurological and psychiatric morbidity (especially higher incidence of anxiety and psychotic disorders) 6 months after COVID-19 infection, showing that patients who had severe COVID-19 were at the greatest risks (160). Another study of 73,435 patients in the US using high dimensional analysis identified systemic incidence of 6-month sequelae, first in the respiratory system, and also in the nervous system and neurocognitive disorders, mental health disorders, metabolic disorders, cardiovascular disorders, gastrointestinal disorders, malaise, fatigue, musculoskeletal pain, and anemia (161). Previous studies have associated some neurological and psychotic disorders with early responses in obesity (7, 162), indicating that obesity and metabolic disorders could be one category of sequelae of long COVID-19 (159, 161). However, this does not necessarily imply that SARS-COV-2 has a direct obesogenic effect, rather that this is a consequence of the immunopathological interaction as proposed overall (6, 7).

INCONCLUSIVE REMARKS AND OPEN QUESTIONS

Obesity and relevant metabolic comorbidities have been epidemic in recent decades, and are now compounded to threaten human health during the COVID-19 pandemic (1–18). As more and more studies have associated obesity and relevant disorders with a more severe prognosis of COVID-19, direct immunometabolic links underlying this association have
the following:

- Are obese individuals more susceptible to the viral infection or disease progress or both? Answers to this question will help to define the critical immune phase along viral infection stages in obese patients.
- Of the obesity-relevant BMI criterion or comorbidities, which serves as a better indicator for severe COVID-19 progress? Of note that the risk of obesity is often poorly defined due to a physical criterion based on BMI we currently use, and that looking closer at the differences in metabolic syndrome, obesity, and surrounding comorbidities could be a useful line of research.
- How important are adipocytes in SAR-CoV-2 infection and systemic spread in the body?
- Which are the key immunometabolic mediators and responsive cells underlying the association of obesity and severe COVID-19, and could they be used as biomarkers for the disease prognosis or cure in obese patients?
- What is the influence of obesity on the vaccine effect and COVID-19 progress, and how does it correlate to the immunometabolic dysregulation there?
- Do obesity and dysregulated immunity have an impact on the viral evolution and emergence of SARS-CoV-2 variants?
- Is obesity a sequela of the long COVID-19 (1–18)?

There are more questions than answers for most aspects underlying the interaction of obesity and COVID-19. Still, the most critical issue is to devise effective measures to protect obese COVID-19 patients (16–18). Given the significance of the coincidence of obesity in severe COVID-19 cases, we propose to explore the immunometabolic dysregulation at the interface of host metabolic and immune responses by directly using obese patient cohorts who experienced COVID-19 disease for studies in both acute and post-acute phases of the disease (10–18, 153–161). The therapeutic potential and awareness for drug invention to treat COVID-19 from a metabolic perspective was justifiable by a recent study at the immunometabolic interface. In this study, a disorder of lipid metabolism (phospholipidosis) was demonstrated to monotonically correlate with the antiviral efficacy of most repurposed drugs tested on COVID-19 (163). Therefore, early detection and proper intervention in lipid metabolism and relevant immunometabolic regulation should be examined critically for the invention and evaluation of candidate compounds or regimens to treat COVID-19 (162–164).
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