A take-home message from COVID-19 on urban air pollution reduction through mobility limitations and teleworking
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The rigorous traffic limitations during COVID-19 have forced many people to work from home, reaching an outstanding degree of teleworking and reduction in air pollution. This exceptional situation can be examined as a large-scale pilot test to determine the potential of improving urban air quality through teleworking. Based on observed traffic reductions during the COVID-19 lockdown in Barcelona, we formulate socio-occupational scenarios, with various configurations of teleworking, and simulate them using the chemistry transport model WRF-Chem with multi-layer urban scheme. By intensifying teleworking to 2, 3, and 4 days a week, averaged NO2 concentrations are reduced by 4% (−1.5 μg m−3), 8% (3 μg m−3), and 10% (6 μg m−3), respectively, while O3 increases moderately (up to 3 μg m−3). We propose that teleworking be prioritized and promoted as an effective contribution towards reduction of long-term urban air pollution and short-term pollution peaks.

npj Urban Sustainability (2021) 1:35; https://doi.org/10.1038/s42949-021-00037-7

INTRODUCTION
The ongoing pandemic of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) has hit humanity hard and by surprise1. To slow down the spread of the virus, radical measures have been implemented, among them an extraordinary limitation of people’s movement—never seen to this extent at global scale2. Under an imminent threat to human lives, scientific evidence, media warnings, bottom-up campaigns, and decisive political action have led to drastic measures to radically limit mobility as a way to mitigate the expansion of COVID-19. Now—as calls to return to ‘normality’ are becoming louder around the globe—we should raise some critical thought on which kind of normality we want to return to. Here we want to reflect upon lessons learned from the COVID-19 mitigation measures in terms of reduced mobility and teleworking and its joint effect on urban air pollution. As sharp drops in air pollution during confinement periods indicate, COVID-19 experiences may teach us some important lessons about how the deadly global air pollution crisis might be overcome. More than 80% of the people living in urban areas are exposed to air quality levels that do not meet air quality standards of the World Health Organization3, with populations in low-income cities being most impacted4. In the European Union (EU-28) it was estimated that long-term exposure to elevated Particulate Matter with a diameter of ≤2.5 μm (PM2.5) concentrations were responsible for about 374,000 premature deaths in 2016; exposure to enhanced nitrous oxide (NO2) and ozone (O3) levels led to ~68,000 and 14,000 additional premature deaths, respectively5. Moreover, the finding of some recent studies show that short-term and long-term exposure to air pollution, especially PM2.5 and NO2, contribute to aggravating COVID-19 infections and mortalities6–8. Traffic emissions typically contribute 30–45% to urban air pollution9. Consequently, COVID-19 mitigation measures that included important reduction of traffic have caused pollution levels to drop significantly. This was first observed in China, where satellite images of emissions showed a decrease of NO2 emissions by 30%10,11, and was later reported from many parts of the world12–15. The European Environmental Agency has been tracking the weekly average concentrations of air pollutants (NO2, Particulate Matter with a diameter of ≤10 μm (PM10, PM2.5) of many European cities where restrictive measures have been implemented16, showing that most cities reduced contamination levels by 30–50% compared with the same period in 2019. In addition, estimated averaged emissions reduction in Europe during the COVID-19 lockdown were reported (−33% for nitrogen oxides (NOx), −8% for non-methane volatile organic compounds, −7% for sulfur oxides (SOx), and −7% for PM2.5) with an 85% contribution of the road transport to the total reductions expected for SO2 for which reductions were mainly driven by the energy and manufacturing industry sectors17. Overall, most of the studies show a correlation between the reduction in mobility data and trend of PM2.5 and NO212,17,18. These observations prompt us to think that if we are able to enhance teleworking and reduce traffic emissions so drastically and promptly to stop the spread of the COVID-19 we are capable of taking similar measures to stop other deadly diseases related to the poor air-quality in cities. It seems timely to question whether we need to move back to the deadly ‘pre-corona’ configuration of urban traffic and emission patterns, and whether it would be possible to establish similar traffic restrictions as during the pandemic in order to reduce premature deaths from air pollution. It is important to reflect to which extent our commuting and travels really are essential, and to which extent we can implement teleworking to avoid traffic emissions, as evidenced during the confinement period.

A first observation from the large scale COVID-19 lockdown indicates that people in many sectors are capable of building up resilience to the limitation of traveling to work, and—despite...
indisputable frictions—adapt to new modes of work without the requirement of physical displacements, including both daily travels to work by car as well as air-bound travels. During the lockdown, we could observe first-hand how the higher education sector has started to professionalize forms of online teaching, while scientific conferences are increasingly held virtually. Also, public administrations start to arrange new data management systems accessible remotely and many white-collar workers in industries and services are experiencing that they can fulfill most of their duties as well from their home-offices. Even medical doctors have started teleworking via telephone and internet-based consultancies. Figures from Google (2020) observing mobility during the COVID-19 lockdown indicate that the presence at work spaces dropped by over 60% in countries where strict lockdown measures enforced a maximum of teleworking, such as in Spain or Italy.

In the EU and overall global north, with over 70% of the people working in the service sector, we must start to question much stronger which parts of the usual level of daily displacements are really necessary. The current crisis is teaching us that for many people daily commuting is related to habits and traditions in organizing their work rather than to actual necessities and therefore a rethink of how to restructure work in a more air-pollution-efficient way is required. We should keep in mind that metropolis, which generate and attract a great number of motorized displacements, are currently the areas where the main air quality problems are happening. Teleworking (not only avoiding commuting to and from home, but also replacing meetings with video calls) entails an important reduction of those emissions related to mobility. An extensive review of the energy impacts of teleworking by Hook et al. summarizes that the energy savings from reduced commuter travel are greater than the indirect energy consumption associated with changes in non-work travel and home energy consumption. A study of Switzerland traffic congestion determined that teleworking was responsible for 1.9% reduction of the air pollution and concluded that teleworking is a promising tool for urban planning and development to reduce traffic volume and improve air quality. This study in particular shows that the largest changes in air pollutants concentrations due to teleworking are observed for NO2 with a 3.6% reduction, followed by 3.4% for CO, 3.3% for PM2.5 and between 2.1 and 2.3% for O3 and SO2. Another study of a teleworking scenario in the United States shows that increased teleworking decreases CO2 emissions by 1–20%, NO2 by 20–100%, PM10 by 10–100%, and CO by 20–100%. Teleworking practices have been gaining momentum in digital-based jobs as a means to increase job satisfaction and productivity and are expected to experience rapid growth in the future.

We are at an important turning point in the conception of telework. The European Commission in a recent communication highlights the important role of telework in preserving jobs and production in the context of the Covid-19 crisis. The COVID pandemic has weakened some of the limiting factors of teleworking, and we can now conceive future socio-occupational scenarios in which telework is more recurrent. Among the factors that have previously limited a greater proliferation of telework, the most important are lack of specific regulations as well as lack of willingness by managers and workers alike. The crisis situation caused by the outbreak of COVID-19 has led many companies to use telework as an emergency solution, in order to be able to continue many non-essential productive activities. Additionally, progress has been made on other aspects that were previously limiting, such as information and communication technologies to facilitate telework, and the transition to a more knowledge-intensive economy. COVID-19 has also encouraged online over face-to-face education, as well as a widespread reduction in personal mobility. For instance, according to the Labor Force Survey (EPA) of the National Statistics Institute (INE) of Spain, during the second quarter of 2020, 16.20% of employed people worked from home for more than half of the days, compared to 4.81% observed in 2019. This comparison illustrates the potential of tele-workable jobs that were not previously run remotely.

In short, the exceptional situation during COVID-19 can be understood as a large-scale pilot test of the implementation of teleworking and related traffic reduction, in order to reduce air pollution levels in cities. The objective of this article is to further examine the potential of future socio-occupational scenarios in reducing air pollution in cities. We focus our analysis on NO2 concentrations where we see the biggest changes due to teleworking. Variability in O3 concentrations is also analyzed due to the role of NOx in O3 loss and production.

RESULTS

Study case

To discuss the wider implications of enhanced teleworking and targeted traffic restrictions to improve urban air quality in cities, we study the Metropolitan Area of Barcelona (AMB), Spain, during the strict confinement in spring 2020. The AMB has more than 5 million people and is the most populated urban area on the Mediterranean coast and one of the largest metropolises in Europe. Barcelona annually reports one of the highest air pollution levels Europe-wide, with the most problematic pollutants being PM2.5, PM10, and NO2. In particular, in 2019 the NO2 annual mean exceeded the WHO guideline and the legal limit in the UE of 40 μg m−3 in the urban air pollution ground monitoring stations representing high traffic (Eixample and Gràcia-Sant Gervasi). Also that year, the mean value for PM10 and PM2.5 was above the WHO guideline of 20 and 10 μg m−3, respectively in all urban stations in the city. In the city of Barcelona alone, the excess of air pollution beyond the recommended WHO guidelines was deemed responsible for 7% of natural mortalities (about 1000 annual deaths), 11% of new lung cancer cases and 33% of new childhood asthma cases in 2019. Road traffic is the main source of NOx responsible for 59% of all emissions in the AMB, while the seaport is the second largest emission source with 16%.

The complex topography of the AMB is characterized by inland mountain ranges (Fig. 1) protecting the area from Atlantic advections and continental air masses, but also blocking the dispersion of pollutants, while the valleys of the rivers Llobregat and Besós play an important role in the creation of air-flow patterns. Thus, the atmospheric flow at AMB is complicated not only by the urban heat island effects but also topographic flows and sea breezes which influence air pollution and human health. As one of the countries hardest hit by COVID-19, the mobility restrictions during the COVID-19 lockdown in Spain were among the strictest globally; only manufactury and warehouse workers, farmers, supermarket clerks and nursing staff were permitted to commute as they were deemed essential to maintain the core functions of the society, such as the provision of food, energy, health care, and internet among others. Maintaining these basic functions required about 36% of the displacements to workspaces compared to the pre-corona business-as-usual, according to the Google mobility reports for Catalonia for March 2020. Similar to other urban areas in Europe, the economy in the AMB is dominated by the tertiary sector (90.1% of salaried jobs and 88.3% of the self-employed) and showed a general potential to reduce traffic significantly without losing the maintenance of basic functions, at least for a short period of time.

COVID-19 mobility reduction and improved air quality

Observational data from various urban and suburban monitoring stations throughout the AMB (Fig. 1) indicate that the COVID-19 lockdown during March and April 2020 significantly reduced...
principal air pollutants, including NO$_2$, PM10 and SO$_2$.$^{14,36}$ We illustrate Monday–Friday hourly averages of NO$_2$ concentrations in Fig. 2 for 2020 (in blue) and 2019 (in orange) for the highly intense traffic area monitored by the Eixample air pollution station (red square in Fig. 1). These 2 years had similar stable surface pressures (1016 hPa in both cases), temperature anomalies (around 2°C in 2019 and 2.5–3°C in 2020), and low winds, albeit 2019 was a drier year (please refer to Supplementary Table 1 and Supplementary Table 2 for a detailed report of the meteorological conditions for the study period for both years). Just the same, to reduce the influence of the meteorological conditions on the comparison, the hourly averages presented for 2019 are calculated based on the entire month, rather than week by week as it is shown for 2020. Furthermore, we include a weekly estimation of the mobility reduction based on Google COVID-19 Community Mobility Reports$^2$. For example, the mobility reduction during the first and second week of the confinement, 75.4% and 82.2% respectively, caused a reduction of NO$_2$ concentration of 53% and 59%, respectively, compared to 2019. During the third week of the lockdown, with the highest mobility reduction (84.4%), NO$_2$ concentrations were observed to drop down to 21 μg m$^{-3}$ during morning peak hour, a 75% reduction when compared to March 2019. Reductions were especially distinctive during the typical daily pollution peaks around 8 and 21 h when the medians (50th percentile) went down from values around 80–20 μg m$^{-3}$ (at 8 h), and from 65 to 10 μg m$^{-3}$ (at 21 h).

We also find that the low pressures and cyclonic weather associated to low pressures seen in weeks 3, 6, 7, 9, 12, 13 of 2020 (see Supplementary Table 1 for more information) enhance gas dispersion thereby reducing pollutant concentrations, while higher pressures have the opposite effect. This is clearly seen when comparing the third and fourth week of the lockdown with similar mobility reductions (84.4%), yet during the fourth week we can see higher NO$_2$ concentrations during morning peaks (from 18–20 to 55–57 μg m$^{-3}$). Hence, traffic reductions are not the only cause for lower air pollution levels during the COVID-19 lockdown, evidencing a significant role of the meteorological conditions in reducing the concentrations of air pollutants.

Unlike NO$_2$, Ozone (O$_3$) levels increased during the period of confinement in the AMB. During the fourth week of the lockdown we can observe the most pronounced O$_3$ peak at 16 h with a median value of 150 μg m$^{-3}$, approximately double that of April 2019. The remaining weeks the ozone values are also clearly higher than in 2019. Ozone is not reduced as the other pollutants as a consequence of three combined effects$^{37,38}$: (1) decrease of NO$_x$ in a volatile organic compounds (VOCs)-limited (low VOC/NO$_x$ ratios) urban environment might cause O$_3$ to increase, as opposed to the behavior at the rural-regional background, which is mainly NO$_x$-limited (high VOC/NO$_x$ ratios); (2) reducing nitrogen oxide
can be appreciated in Fig. 3B, C, E, F), due to the high concentration of traffic throughout the day. Once NO is emitted, it is rapidly converted to NO2 in the presence of O3 through oxidation reactions that take place in less than 10 seconds. This conversion depends on the concentration of O3, VOCs, solar energy, and meteorological conditions. The WRF-Chem simulations indicate that the highest NO2 concentrations are observed in the north of the AMB (Fig. 3A, D) due to the combination of the atmospheric northward plume characteristic of this area and the complex topography that pulls the pollutants towards the north of the AMB during both morning and evening peaks.

The simulation of the base case scenario has been evaluated using HERMESv3 shows that the highest NO emission peaks occur in the central parts of the AMB during the morning and afternoon (as can be appreciated in Fig. 3B, C, E, F), due to the high concentration of traffic throughout the day. Once NO is emitted, it is rapidly converted to NO2 in the presence of O3 through oxidation reactions that take place in less than ten seconds. This conversion depends on the concentration of O3, VOCs, solar energy, and meteorological conditions. The WRF-Chem simulations indicate that the highest NO2 concentrations are observed in the north of the AMB (Fig. 3A, D) due to the combination of the atmospheric northward plume characteristic of this area and the complex topography (Fig. 1) that pulls the pollutants towards the north of the AMB during both morning and evening peaks.

The simulation of the base case scenario has been evaluated with several air quality monitoring stations (that belong to the monitoring network: Xarxa de Vigilància i Previsió de la Contaminació Atmosfèrica, XVPCA) over the AMB for NO2 and O3 (see Supplementary Figs. 1 and 2). Overall, the model shows a reasonable agreement with the observations during the period of March, although there are specific periods archiving significant biases (−33 and 26 μg m⁻³, for NO2 and O3, respectively) at stations in low traffic areas (Ciutadella, Vall d’Hebron, Palau Reial and Sants) for specific periods. Surface NO2 and O3 concentrations are very sensitive to the emissions, therefore, these model biases are mostly due to the low resolution in our emission inventory. Based on the perturbed emissions simulations, we estimate that city-wide NO2 concentrations during a severe lockdown scenario are reduced by as much as 52% (22 μg m⁻³) during the typical morning high pollution peak between 7 and 9 h, as shown in Fig. 4 (and in Supplementary Fig. 3), comparable to weeks 8 through 10 (Fig. 2). Similarly, the medium confinement scenario reduces NO2 concentrations by 33% (13 μg m⁻³), comparable to weeks 8 through 10 (Fig. 2). As was observed during the fourth week of confinement, reductions of NO2 emissions alleviate the effect of ozone titration leading to a significant increase in O3 concentrations for the lockdown scenario up to 61% (18 μg m⁻³) and under the medium confinement scenario up to 35% (11 μg m⁻³) (see Supplementary Figs. 4 and 5). In some areas of the AMB, these extreme mobility reduction scenarios are thus partly counterproductive in terms of alleviating air pollution, since O3 levels exceed the daily maximum value established by the WHO, which is 100 μg m⁻³ averaged over an 8-h period. This enhancement of O3 was also found in other cities during the COVID-19-related mobility restrictions. Overall, however, the observations evidence a significant improvement of the air quality due to the restrictive mobility measures. For a more detailed description of air quality during the confinement and de-confinement phases of COVID-19 for Barcelona, please visit www.urbag.eu/news/.

Air pollution modeling

The simulation of the base scenario March 2016 using HERMESv3 shows that the highest NO emission peaks occur in the central parts of the AMB during the morning and afternoon (as can be appreciated in Fig. 3B, C, E, F), due to the high concentration of traffic throughout the day. Once NO is emitted, it is rapidly converted to NO2 in the presence of O3 through oxidation reactions that take place in less than ten seconds. This conversion depends on the concentration of O3, VOCs, solar energy, and meteorological conditions. The WRF-Chem simulations indicate that the highest NO2 concentrations are observed in the north of the AMB (Fig. 3A, D) due to the combination of the atmospheric northward plume characteristic of this area and the complex topography (Fig. 1) that pulls the pollutants towards the north of the AMB during both morning and evening peaks.

The simulation of the base case scenario has been evaluated with several air quality monitoring stations (that belong to the monitoring network: Xarxa de Vigilància i Previsió de la Contaminació Atmosfèrica, XVPCA) over the AMB for NO2 and O3 (see Supplementary Figs. 1 and 2). Overall, the model shows a reasonable agreement with the observations during the period of March, although there are specific periods archiving significant biases (−33 and 26 μg m⁻³, for NO2 and O3, respectively) at stations in low traffic areas (Ciutadella, Vall d’Hebron, Palau Reial and Sants) for specific periods. Surface NO2 and O3 concentrations are very sensitive to the emissions, therefore, these model biases are mostly due to the low resolution in our emission inventory. Based on the perturbed emissions simulations, we estimate that city-wide NO2 concentrations during a severe lockdown scenario are reduced by as much as 52% (22 μg m⁻³) during the typical morning high pollution peak between 7 and 9 h, as shown in Fig. 4 (and in Supplementary Fig. 3), comparable to weeks 8 through 10 (Fig. 2). Similarly, the medium confinement scenario reduces NO2 concentrations by 33% (13 μg m⁻³), comparable to weeks 8 through 10 (Fig. 2). As was observed during the fourth week of confinement, reductions of NO2 emissions alleviate the effect of ozone titration leading to a significant increase in O3 concentrations for the lockdown scenario up to 61% (18 μg m⁻³) and under the medium confinement scenario up to 35% (11 μg m⁻³) (see Supplementary Figs. 4 and 5). In some areas of the AMB, these extreme mobility reduction scenarios are thus partly counterproductive in terms of alleviating air pollution, since O3 levels exceed the daily maximum value established by the WHO, which is 100 μg m⁻³ averaged over an 8-h period. This enhancement of O3 was also found in other cities during the COVID-19-related mobility restrictions. Overall, however, the observations evidence a significant improvement of the air quality due to the restrictive mobility measures. For a more detailed description of air quality during the confinement and de-confinement phases of COVID-19 for Barcelona, please visit www.urbag.eu/news/.
and resulting pollutant concentrations because atmospheric chemistry is not only influenced by changes in emissions but also by atmospheric dynamics such as transport, we can infer some conclusions based on the simulations. Results show that by intensifying teleworking efforts to 2 and 3 days a week, NO$_2$ concentrations are reduced, averaging reductions over the entire AMB of 4% (−1.5 μg m$^{-3}$) and 8% (−3 μg m$^{-3}$) in the low and moderate increase telework scenarios, respectively. We also find that during high pollution episodes air quality over all the AMB region improves by changing from 2 days per week telework (low-increase telework scenario) to 4 days a week (high-increase telework scenario) with an overall 10% reduction (−6 μg m$^{-3}$) and a slight increase of up to 3 μg m$^{-3}$ of O$_3$ concentrations.

Similar results are seen during the typical evening high pollution peaks between 21 and 23 h shown in Fig. 5 (and in Supplementary Fig. 6), where a full lockdown scenario reduces the NO$_2$ concentrations by as much as 53% (−27 μg m$^{-3}$) and increases O$_3$ by 70% (−25 μg m$^{-3}$), see Supplementary Figs. 7 and 8) comparable to the observed concentrations during weeks 1 through 7 (Fig. 2). The medium confinement scenario simulation shows NO$_2$ concentration reduction of 35% (−17 μg m$^{-3}$) similar to weeks 8, 9, and 10 of the confinement periods (Fig. 2). The low confinement scenario results in 13% reduction of NO$_2$ concentrations and an increase of O$_3$ concentrations (up to 8 μg m$^{-3}$). Note that there is a slight increase in NO$_2$ (1 μg m$^{-3}$) and decrease of O$_3$ (1 μg m$^{-3}$) for the moderate and low telework scenarios around the harbor area that could be related to local O$_3$–NO$_x$ changes in reducing traffic emissions. In general, reductions for all scenarios are seen over all the territory with higher reductions located on the north of the AMB where higher pollution is found during the day (see Fig. 3).

In short, teleworking can be a key tool in alleviating traffic congestion during peak time and improve air quality in a short term (days–weeks) particularly in the urban areas, where high population density and the hotspots for traffic emissions are found.

DISCUSSION

Mobility restrictions implemented worldwide to contain and delay the spread of the COVID-19 epidemic have drastically reduced traffic and corresponding emissions, and affected on reducing air pollution levels. In particular, we observe that NO$_2$ concentration values in the city of Barcelona during COVID-19 mobility restrictions were below the WHO thresholds which had been exceeded at several urban air pollution monitoring stations during the same period in previous years. The COVID-19 inspired modeling efforts to indicate that air pollution reduction might be reached relatively easily through enhanced teleworking. Although these figures are still premature, and a more in-depth investigation is required as to whether productivity standards can be maintained under teleworking conditions and whether other environmental costs emerge (e.g. less efficient use of space and other resources, doubling of equipment etc.), urban policy makers should question how they can better target their instruments, and which incentives they can provide and which restrictions can be implemented to maintain some of the positive effects on air pollution we are seeing during COVID-19. This might include more flexible work schedules, starting with the public administration.
possibly tax advantages for home offices to lower the general emission level, up to targeted traffic restrictions for low priority travels when threshold levels for air pollution are surpassed. The COVID-19 lock down observations and our corresponding simulation indicate that a 25% emission reduction scenario during periods of high pollution is achievable, simply based on the maximization of teleworking and reducing other work-related travel and shopping. To the contrary, stronger traffic reductions related to 50 and 75% emission reduction scenarios seem to embed an unintended feedback manifested in an increase of O₃ concentrations, and thus not seem to be appropriate measures.

Our study provides the empirical and modeled evidence to intensively promote teleworking as a means to lower urban pollution levels. Annual average NO₂ concentrations have repeatedly exceeded maximum permissible values over the last 5 years in some stations of the AMB, consequently causing thousands of premature deaths³⁴,⁴⁰. Results show that by intensifying teleworking efforts to 2, 3, and 4 days a week, NO₂ concentrations are reduced, averaging reductions over the entire AMB during the day of 4% (−1.5 μg m⁻³), 8% (−3 μg m⁻³), and 10% reduction (−6 μg m⁻³). Indeed, teleworking can be an effective solution to reduce traffic and improve air quality, which can be implemented immediately leveraging on our experience during the COVID-19 mobility restrictions and in addition to other mitigation strategies such as Low Emissions Zones (LEZ). Unlike LEZs, teleworking can be implemented much more rapidly and furthermore reduces the amount of fossil fuel consumed and consequently the carbon footprint of the city.

The COVID-19 period has shown that in general higher-paid jobs have higher adaptive capacities for teleworking. This means mandatory teleworking will more strongly affect well-paid white-collar workers. While other instruments to lower emissions, such as bans of older cars as imposed by LEZ as well as fuel tax reforms tend to discriminate those with lower income⁴¹. Emission reductions based on mandatory teleworking might be socially more equal and partly compensate for unequal emission patterns among different social groups, for example, in relation to leisure-related travels. Apart from that, teleworking allows for flexible schedules and a greater work-life balance while reducing the mobility. Therefore, teleworking might also reduce the amount of accidents a (both in itinere and in labore)⁴²,⁴³ and lower other economic, social and environmental costs linked to the current mobility model⁴⁴. Besides, the Covid-19 crisis shows us how teleworking has been used by companies to provide a safe and healthy working environment to their employees’ and the continuity to economic activity¹⁹.

In short, COVID-19 has opened an important opportunity to rethink more radically, measures and opportunities to combat the urban air pollution crisis we are facing in cities. Policies that steer people’s mobility by enhancing teleworking might not only be efficient in decreasing emissions and easily implemented, but are also likely to be more socially equitable than other measures primarily used for this purpose until today.

METHODS

Future socio-occupational scenarios

In order to understand the effect of mobility on air quality during the lockdown and to explore the potential of teleworking towards air pollution reduction, we define six scenarios with traffic emission reductions resulting from various degrees of teleworking and reduced private vehicle use. Based on the justified estimates that 85% of the labor force of the AMB is dedicated to the service sector⁴⁵, and that ~40% of all personal vehicle
transit is work-related\textsuperscript{46,48}, we define the following three scenarios of enhanced telework:

1. Low increase in telework = 5\% traffic emission reduction: Requires 7.5–12.5\% decrease in work-related traffic, obtained by 20\% of the labor force of the service sector adopting teleworking 2 days a week, resulting in an overall 5\% reduction of traffic-related emissions \((40\% \times 0.20 \times 2/5)\).

2. Moderate increase in telework = 10\% traffic emission reduction: Requires 17.5–25\% decrease in work-related traffic; obtained by 30\% of the labor force of the service sector teleworking 3 days a week, resulting in an overall 7.2\% reduction of traffic-related emissions \((40\% \times 0.30 \times 3/5\) and rounded up to 10\% to account for additional work-related trips that are avoided during teleworking days).

3. High increase in telework = 15\% traffic emission reduction: Requires 32.5–37.5\% decrease in work-related traffic; obtained by 40\% of the labor force of the service sector teleworking 4 days a week, resulting in an overall 12.8\% reduction of traffic-related emissions \((40\% \times 0.40 \times 4/5\) and rounded up to 15\% to account for additional work-related trips that are avoided during teleworking days).

Moreover, we define three additional scenarios combining reduction of personal and occupational mobility in addition to teleworking, to replicate behaviors seen during the main COVID-19 confinement stages of severe lockdown (March 16th–April 30th, see Fig. 1 weeks one through seven), medium confinement (May 1st–June 12th, see Fig. 1 weeks 8 through 13), and low confinement (June 12th onwards, see Fig. 1 week 14). All calculations are made based on the mobility report published by Autoritat del Transport Metropolità de l’Àrea de Barcelona\textsuperscript{47}.

4. Low confinement = 25\% traffic emission reduction: Resulting from 45\% reduction of private vehicle use for work-related travel (accomplished by teleworking 4 days a week and reducing other work-related vehicle activity by 15\%). Additionally, online education avoids 20\% of private vehicle use, and people reduce driving for shopping by 30\%. No reductions are in effect for leisure and personal travel.

5. Medium confinement = 50\% traffic emission reduction: Resulting from 55\% reduction of private vehicle use for work-related travel (accomplished by teleworking 4 days a week and reducing other work-related vehicle activity by 20\%). Additionally, online education avoids 20\% of private vehicle use, and people reduce driving for shopping by 40\%. Vehicle use related to personal activities such as leisure, accompaniment, and health-related appointments are all reduced between 50 and 70\%.

6. Severe lockdown = 75\% emission reduction: Resulting from 55\% reduction of private vehicle use for work-related travel (accomplished by teleworking 4 days a week and reducing other work-related vehicle activity by 20\%). Additionally, online education avoids 100\% of private vehicle use, and people reduce driving for shopping by 70\%. Vehicle use related to personal activities such as leisure, accompaniment, and health-related appointments are all reduced between 75 and 100\%. This scenario seems only feasible during short periods of time and during absolute extreme situations. This simulation serves as a comparison of model simulation with observations.

Telework also allows business meetings to be conducted remotely without the taking long business trips by airplane. In order to represent in a simple way these remotely business trips, air traffic has also been reduced in each scenario with the same reduction as road traffic.

**Air quality modeling**

The regional chemistry transport model WRF-Chem\textsuperscript{48} version 4.1, a highly flexible community model for atmospheric research where aerosol–radiation–cloud feedback processes are taken into account, is used in this study. The WRF-Chem model has been previously used for simulations of air pollution episodes\textsuperscript{49–51}. For the gas-phase chemical scheme, we used the Regional Acid Deposition Model (RADM2)\textsuperscript{52} and the MADE/SORGAM aerosol scheme\textsuperscript{53,54}. RADM2 has been broadly used in air quality studies over Europe\textsuperscript{55,56}. The model is set up with a horizontal resolution of 3 × 3 km and 45 vertical layers up to 100 hPa. The meteorological initial and lateral
boundary conditions were determined using the Final Analysis (FNL) of the NCEP global model data\textsuperscript{32}. Chemical initial and boundary conditions are from the global atmospheric model MOZART-4 global chemical model\textsuperscript{58}. We conducted WRF-Chem simulations for March 2016 covering the Catalonia domain (see boundaries in Fig. 1) with a spin-up of 1 month. In order to represent the urban areas in our domain, here we used a multi-layer urban canopy scheme, the Building Effect Parameterization (BEP) coupled with the Building Energy Model (BEP + BEM\textsuperscript{59}) that takes into account the energy consumption of buildings and anthropogenic heat, which has been previously validated for the area under study\textsuperscript{59}. The Local Climate Zones (LCZ) classification\textsuperscript{60} is used for the AMB which associates a specific value for each LCZ for thermal, radiative and geometric parameters of the buildings and ground, which are used by the BEP + BEM urban canopy scheme to compute the heat and momentum fluxes in the urban areas. Eleven LCZs with specific urban morphology for each were added into the land-cover map.

The HERMESv3 preprocessor tool\textsuperscript{62} was used in order to create the anthropogenic emissions files from the CAMS-REG-APv3.1 database\textsuperscript{63}. Biogenic emissions are computed online from the Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature v2 (MEGAN\textsuperscript{64}). Six air quality simulations are performed under each emission scenario (75, 50, 25 15, 10, and 5% reduction on traffic emissions) and compared with the base case scenario simulation (no reduction in traffic emissions) for the period of March 2016 to study the impact of the lockdown measures on air quality and how teleworking can improve the air pollution over the AMB. Simulations are based on data from 2016, the most recent year for which emission inventories are readily available. According to the meteorological data from the Servei Meteorològic de Catalunya\textsuperscript{65,66}, the average surface pressure was 1014.5 hPa for 2016 and 1016 hPa for 2020, the wind intensity was low/moderate around 40 km/h (small trees in leaf begin to sway) for both periods, the temperature was 2.5–3 °C higher in 2020 and there was positive anomaly precipitation for both periods. Therefore, the simulations considered a similar period as the COVID-19 confinement (16th March until 30th April) in terms of climate, atmospheric stability, and synoptic conditions. Table 1 describes the main configuration of the model and experiment configuration.

### Table 1. Model details and experiment configuration.

| Experiment configuration | Model details | Gas-phase scheme | Aerosol particles | Photolysis scheme | Urban scheme | Biogenic emissions |
|--------------------------|---------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|--------------|-------------------|
| MESM v3 GR                | RADM2          | MADE/SORGAM      | FAST-j            | BEP + BEM       | MEGANv2      |
| MOZART-4 Global          | BEM            |                  |                   |                 |              |
| MOZART-4 Global          |                 |                  |                   |                 |              |
| MOZART-4 Global          |                 |                  |                   |                 |              |
| MOZART-4 Global          |                 |                  |                   |                 |              |
| MOZART-4 Global          |                 |                  |                   |                 |              |
| MOZART-4 Global          |                 |                  |                   |                 |              |
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## Code availability

The WRF-Chem model code is available from https://www2.mmrc.ucar.edu/wrf/users/downloads.html (last access: 13 November 2020), with the specific code used in this study available from the authors upon request (Gara.Villalba@uab.cat). The HERMESv3 GR model code is available from https://earth.bsc.es/gitlab/es/hermesv3.gr.
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