A Novel Dual HDAC6 and Tubulin Inhibitor, MPT0B451, Displays Anti-tumor Ability in Human Cancer Cells in Vitro and in Vivo
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INTRODUCTION

Histone deacetylases (HDACs) are histone-modifying enzymes participating in transcriptional repression through removal of acetyl groups from target histones. In many cancer cells, HDACs are highly expressed (Yoon and Eom, 2016). Since 2006, FDA has approved several HDAC inhibitors, such as vorinostat (SAHA), romidepsin (FK228), belinostat (PXD101) and panobinostat (LBH589), for treating T-cell lymphoma or multiple myeloma.

Histone deacetylases are grouped into four classes, from class I to class IV, based on their sizes, biological activities and sequence homologies to the yeast HDAC proteins. Class I (HDAC1–3 and 8), class IIa (HDAC4, 5, 7 and 9), class IIb (HDAC6 and 10) and class IV (HDAC11) are enzymatically active via a Zn$^{2+}$-dependent mechanism, whereas class III...
proteins from degradation (Aldana-Masangkay and Sakamoto, 2011; Seidel et al., 2015). Consequently, high overexpression of HDAC6 has been related to tumor cells invasion and metastasis. In addition, HDAC6 regulates proteasome-dependent protein degradation. Hsp90α, the substrate of HDAC6, and its chaperone proteins can stabilize the anti-apoptotic factors and adjust misfolded protein stress to avoid the client proteins from proteasome degradation (Kawaguchi et al., 2003; Li et al., 2013; Nanduri et al., 2015; Seidel et al., 2015). Consequently, high expression of HDAC6 promotes tumor development not only by facilitating cell metastasis but also by preventing misfolded proteins from degradation (Aldana-Masangkay and Sakamoto, 2011).

α-tubulin and β-tubulin are the two components of microtubules which can dimmerize to form a protofilament and confer polarity on microtubule polymerization. Anti-cancer agents such as microtubule targeting agents (MTAs) are known to interact with tubulin in at least four binding sites: the colchicine, laulimalide, taxane/epothilone and vinca alkaloid sites (Kaur et al., 2014). Clinically used MTAs are divided into two classes: microtubule-destabilizing agents and microtubule-stabilizing agents. Microtubule-destabilizing agents such as vincristine and vinblastine are FDA approved for treating tumors such as Hodgkin lymphoma, whereas microtubule-stabilizing agents such as paclitaxel and docetaxel are used in diverse solid tumors including breast, non-small cell lung, ovarian, and prostate cancer (Dumontet and Jordan, 2010; Kaur et al., 2014). These agents lead to cell cycle arrest in G2/M phase and in turn induce cancer cell apoptosis. Combination therapy is a strategy to treat highly metastatic, advanced malignant or MTA resistant cancers (Lu et al., 2012). It has been reported that aurora kinase inhibitors combined with MTAs can be used to treat human metastatic breast cancer and multidrug-resistant TNBC cells (Bush et al., 2013). MTAs combined with Hsp90 inhibitors can enhance MTAs-induced cell death in preclinical models of non-small cell lung cancer (O’Connell et al., 2014). Farnesyl transferase (FT) inhibitors incorporated with paclitaxel can enhance HDAC6-dependent tubulin deacetylation in breast and lung carcinoma cells (Marcus et al., 2005). Moreover, medicinal chemists have developed strategies to synthesize compounds with dual inhibition effects (Lee et al., 2017). For instance, Guerrant et al. (2012) have manufactured dual HDAC/Topo II inhibitors that induce variant cancer cell growth suppression.

We have previously synthesized a novel 1-benzylindole derivative, MPT0B451, and demonstrated that MPT0B451 can inhibit tubulin assembly and HDAC isoforms activities with a 10-fold selectivity for HDAC6 versus other HDACs (Lee et al., 2017). In this study, we found that MPT0B451 can interact with HDAC6 protein via forming hydrogen bonds with residues His610 and His611. Molecular docking analysis revealed that MPT0B451 contains a styrene linker to stabilize the compound within the HDAC6 binding site. Furthermore, MPT0B451 has four methoxy groups that can stabilize its position when it binds to colchicine binding site of tubulin and facilitate microtubule depolymerization. Herein, MPT0B451 induced acetyl-α-tubulin expression in both human prostate cancer cells and leukemic cells via HDAC6 activity inhibition. We also observed that MPT0B451 induced G2/M cell cycle arrest and apoptosis through the activation of pro-apoptotic proteins. Importantly, our xenograft models showed that the MPT0B451 treatment significantly suppressed tumor growth. Collectively, our results demonstrated the dual inhibitory function of MPT0B451 and provided the molecular mechanisms underlying the anti-tumor activity of MPT0B451.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals and Antibodies

MPT0B451, a dual HDAC6 and tubulin inhibitor was synthesized by Dr. Jing-Ping Liou (School of Pharmacy, College of Pharmacy, Taipei Medical University, Taiwan). Vincristine, 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) and propidium iodide (PI) were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO, United States). Primary antibodies against Caspase 8 (06-775), MMP2 (pSer/pThr) (05-368) and GAPDH (AB2302) were purchased from Millipore (Bedford, MA, United States). The antibodies against Acetyl-Histone H3 (Lys9) (#9649), Histone H3 (#9715), Acetyl-α-tubulin (#3971), α-tubulin (#2144), Cyclin B1(#4135), Aurora A (#14475), Aurora A (Thr288) (#3079), PLK1 (#4535), PLK1 (Thr210) (#9062), cleaved caspase-3 (#9664), PARP (#9542), and caspase 9 (#9508) were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology (Beverly, MA, United States). The antibodies against Cdc2 (SC-54) and cdc25c (SC-372) as well as the labeled secondary antibodies goat anti-rabbit IgG-HRP (SC-2004) and goat anti-mouse IgG-HRP (SC-2005) were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA, United States).

Cell Lines

Human acute myeloid leukemia cell line HL-60 and human prostate cancer cell line PC-3 were purchased from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA, United States). HL-60 and PC-3 cells were maintained in RPMI-1640
with 10% (v/v) inactive fetal bovine serum, penicillin (100 units/mL) and streptomycin (100 µg/mL, Biological Industries Ltd., Kibbutz Beit HaEmek, Israel). All cells were incubated in an incubator in the presence of 5% CO2 at 37°C.

**MTT Assay**

HL-60 cells were seeded in 24-well plates at a density of $4 \times 10^5$ cells/well with 1 mL culture medium and PC-3 cells were seeded in 96-well plates (5,000 cells/well) with 0.1 mL culture medium then treated with different concentrations of MPT0B451 for 24 or 48 h. Cell viability was determined by treating the cells with MTT (0.5 mg/mL in PBS) for 1 h at 37°C. The crystal formazan dyes were then dissolved in 1 mL sodium acetate buffer (0.1 M, for HL-60) (Chao et al., 2015) or 0.1 mL DMSO (for PC-3). The absorbance was spectrophotometrically analyzed at 550 nm by an ELISA reader (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, United States).

**Bioinformatics and Protein Modeling**

The co-crystallized structures of the tubulin (PDB ID: 4O2B) and HDAC6 (PDB ID: 5EDU) were retrieved from the RCSB PDB (Berman et al., 2000) website1 and prepared using LeadIT (LeadIT BiosolveIT, 2011). All potential water molecules were removed from the binding sites. The co-crystallized ligands colchicine and Trichostatin A were selected for determining binding sites of tubulin and HDAC6, respectively. The binding sites included a radius of 12 Å from the co-crystallized ligands. The docking parameters were set to default. Images and residue interactions were analyzed using Pymol (Schrodinger, 2010) and LeadIT.

**Flow Cytometry**

The cell cycle as evaluated by flow cytometry. HL-60 cells ($2 \times 10^6$ cells/well) and PC-3 cells ($4 \times 10^5$ cells/well) were seeded in 6-well plates in 2 mL culture medium and treated with gradient concentration of MPT0B451 for the indicated periods. After drug treatment, cells were collected, washed with cold PBS and fixed with 70% (v/v) ice cold ethanol at −20°C for 30 min. The fixed cells were centrifuged to remove the ethanol, resuspended in 0.1 mL DNA extraction buffer (0.2 M Na2HPO4-0.1 M citric buffer, pH 7.8) for 20 min. The cells were centrifuged and stained with 0.5 mL PI staining buffer (80 µL/mL PI, 100 µL/mL RNase A and 1% Triton X-100 in PBS) for 30 min. Cell cycle distribution was analyzed by FACScan Flow cytometer and Cell Quest software (Becton Dickinson, Mountain View, CA, United States).

**Western Blot Analysis**

Cells were incubated with various concentrations of MPT0B451 or vincristine, harvested, washed with PBS, lysed in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 1 mM PMSF, 10 µg/mL aprotinin, 10 µg/mL leupeptin, 1 mM sodium orthovanadate, and 1 mM NaF), and then centrifuged for 30 min at 14,000 rpm at 4°C. The harvested total protein was quantified by BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Rockford, IL, United States). Whole cell extracts were mixed with 5× sample buffer (312.5 mM Tris pH 6.8, 10% SDS, 50% glycerol, 0.05% bromophenol blue and 10% 2-mercaptoethanol) at 95°C for 10 min. Equal amount of total protein samples were separated by SDS-PAGE and subsequently transferred onto PVDF membranes. The membranes were blocked with 5% non-fat milk in PBS for 1 h at room temperature, and incubated with primary antibodies in PBST buffer (0.1% Tween 20 in PBS) at 4°C overnight. The membranes were washed with PBST, followed by incubation with the corresponding HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies diluted in 0.5% non-fat milk in PBST for 1 h at room temperature. Bound antibodies were measured using an enhanced chemiluminescence detection kit (Amersham, Buckinghamshire, United Kingdom). The relative intensity of protein expression was calculated by Image J software (National Institutes of Health, United States).

**KINOMEscan Assay**

The protein kinase selectivity of MPT0B451 (1 µM) was detected in a high-throughput binding assay (KINOMEscan, DiscoveRx, Fremont, CA, United States) against a panel of 97 kinases. For the human kinase dendrogram, red circles indicated the main hits (<5% of control), weak or no hits were labeled with small red circles (10–35% of control) and smaller green circles (>35% of control). TREEspot is a proprietary data visualization software developed by KINOMEscan that shows the protein kinase binding affinity.

**In Vivo Xenograft Model**

To evaluate the antitumor activity of MPT0B451, 4-week-old male nude mice were subcutaneously injected with $1 \times 10^7$ leukemic cells (HL-60) or prostate cancer cells (PC-3). When the tumor sizes reached 200 mm3, mice were separated into three treatment groups (six mice in each group). MPT0B451 was applied with indicated dosage (50 or 100 mg/kg) by intraperitoneal injection (ip), once daily (qd). During the experiment, the tumor size and body weight were measured twice each week. Tumor growth inhibition (TGI) was calculated by dividing the tumor volumes from treatment groups by those of the control groups as 100%. Animal experiments were performed in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations followed ethical standards, and protocols has been reviewed and approved by Animal Use and Management Committee of Taipei Medical University (IACUC number: LAC-2015-0240).

**Data Analysis and Statistics**

All experiments were done three times independently with the data presented as mean ± SD and analyzed by Student’s t-test. The detail IC50 calculation was described in Nevozhay (2014). The animal experiments were determined by the Mann–Whitney test. Parameters with p-value < 0.05 are considered statistically significant.

1http://www.rcsb.org/pdb
RESULTS

MPT0B451 Inhibits HDAC Activity and Cell Proliferation in Human Cancer Cell Lines

MPT0B451 was derived from 1-benzylindoles (Figure 1A). We previously synthesized a series of 1-benzylindole derivatives with dual inhibitory activity for both HDAC and α-tubulin assembly, and MPT0B451 is one of the potent compounds inhibiting cell proliferation in various solid tumor cells, especially for human prostate cancer cells (PC-3, GI50 = 33.09 ± 0.97 nM) (Lee et al., 2017). To further investigate the cytotoxic effects of MPT0B451, we found that it can induce cytotoxicity in acute myeloid leukemia cell line, HL-60 (IC50 = 42 ± 0.04 nM) (Figure 1B) and prostate cancer cell line, PC-3 (IC50 = 1.1 ± 0.11 µM) (Figure 1C).

MPT0B451 has been shown to inhibit HDAC activity (IC50 = 135.45 ± 4.23 nM), particularly HDAC1, 2 (class I) and 6 (class IIb). Notably, MPT0B451 is 12-fold more selective...
FIGURE 2 | The interaction between MPT0B451 and target proteins analyzes by molecular docking analysis. (A) MPT0B451 can be separated into two sub-structures: 6-methoxy-3-(3′,4′,5′-trimethoxybenzoyl)-1H-indole (S1, green) and (E)-N-hydroxy-3-(3-sulfonylphenyl)acrylamide (S2, blue). (B) MPT0B451 (purple) is docked into the colchicine binding site of tubulin (gray, PDB ID: 4O2B). The co-crystallized ligand, colchicine (aqua), was used as a reference and aligned to MPT0B451. Green lines represent hydrogen bonds. Residues are labeled as shown. (C) MPT0B451 (purple) is docked into HDAC6 (gray, PDB ID: 5EDU). The co-crystallized ligand Trichostatin A (aqua) was used as a reference and aligned to MPT0B451. Green lines represent hydrogen bonds. Residues are labeled as shown.

inhibition toward HDAC6 over HDAC2 (Lee et al., 2017). In HL-60 and PC-3 cells, biomarkers of HDAC inhibition, such as acetylated histone H3 and α-tubulin, were increased upon MPT0B451 treatment with a dose-dependent manner (Figures 1D,E). Thus, MPT0B451 is an efficient inhibitor against HDAC6 and effectively induces cytotoxicity in human prostate cancer cells and acute myeloid leukemia cells.

Molecular Docking Analysis Supports That MPT0B451 Is a Dual Effect Inhibitor

In addition to inhibiting HDAC6 activity, our previous findings demonstrated that MPT0B451 also inhibits microtubule assembly (Lee et al., 2017). To understand how MPT0B451 acts on HDAC6 and microtubule, we employed molecular docking analysis to examine how MPT0B451 interacts with tubulin and HDAC6. MPT0B451 was docked into the X-ray crystal structure of the colchicine binding site of tubulin (PDB ID: 4O2B) and into the HDAC6 (PDB ID: 5EDU) using LeadIT (LeadIT BiosolveIT, 2011). This compound was designed as a dual inhibitor for HDAC6 and tubulin that consists of two main sub-structures: 6-methoxy-3-(3′,4′,5′-trimethoxybenzoyl)-1H-indole (S1) and a (E)-N-hydroxy-3-(3-sulfonylphenyl)acrylamide (S2) (Figure 2A). We observed that each sub-structure interacts with the tubulin or HDAC6 binding site differently.

The colchicine binding site is potentially important for microtubule depolymerization (Lu et al., 2012). The molecular
**FIGURE 3** | MPT0B451 induces mitotic arrest in HL-60 and PC-3 cells. The histograms of cell cycle distribution were detected by flow cytometry. (A) HL-60 cells were treated with MPT0B451 (0.3 µM), and (B) PC-3 cells were cultured in 1 µM MPT0B451 for 6, 12, 18, 24, and 48 h. (C–F) The statistical analysis of cell cycle distribution. (C,E) HL-60 cells were treated with MPT0B451 or vincristine, and (D,F) PC-3 cells were treated with MPT0B451 or vincristine for 6, 12, 18, 24, and 48 h. The data were repeated at least three independent experiments. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, and ***p < 0.001, compared with sub-G1 0 h untreated group; #p < 0.05, ##p < 0.01, and ###p < 0.001 compared with G2/M 0 h untreated group.
MPT0451 Induces Anti-cancer Effects

FIGURE 4 | MPT0451 induces mitotic arrest and alters G2/M proteins transition. The cell cycle was measured by flow cytometry. HL-60 (A,C) and PC-3 (B,D) cells were incubated with MPT0451 (HL-60, 0.3 µM and PC-3, 1 µM) or vincristine (0.05 µM) for 6, 12, 18, 24, and 48 h. The quantitative data were showed in time-course. Data mean ± SD of three independent experiments. (E,F) MPT0451 altered proteins levels that regulate the G2/M transition. Cells were treated with MPT0451 (HL-60, 0.03, 0.3 µM and PC-3, 0.1, 1 µM) or vincristine (vin., 0.05 µM) for 12 h to evaluate G2/M phase regulatory proteins expression through western blotting. The data were repeated at least three independent experiments.
FIGURE 5 | The kinetic changes of cell cycle under MPT0B451 treatment. The histograms of cell cycle distribution were detected by flow cytometry. (A) HL-60 and (B) PC-3 cells were treated in indication concentrations of MPT0B451 (0.03, 0.1, 0.3, and 1 µM) or vincristine 0.05 µM for 24 and 48 h, respectively. The data were expressed at least three separate determinations. The statistical data of cell cycle distribution. (C) HL-60 and (D) PC-3 were cultured in MPT0B451 or vincristine for 24 and 48 h. The data were repeated at least three independent experiments. ∗∗∗p < 0.001 compared with sub-G1 untreated group; #p < 0.05 and ##p < 0.01 compared with G2/M untreated group.
FIGURE 6 | MPT0B451 induces cell apoptosis and activates apoptotic protein expression in HL-60 and PC-3 cells. The sub-G1 profiles of HL-60 (A) and PC-3 (B) cells were treated with indicated concentrations of MPT0B451 or vincristine (vin., 0.05 µM) for 24 or 48 h, and detected by flow cytometry. The results represent the mean ± SD of three independent experiments at *p < 0.05 and **p < 0.01 compared with 24 h control group; #p < 0.05 and ###p < 0.001 compared with 48 h control group. (C,D) Apoptotic proteins were activated after MPT0B451 treatment for 24 h (HL-60) or 48 h (PC-3). The whole cell lysates were subjected to western blotting, and the data were repeated at least three independent experiments.

docking analysis of MPT0B451 in tubulin showed a partial overlap with colchicine, with sub-structure S1 occupying the colchicine binding site (Figure 2A). Colchicine contains four methoxy groups that create favorable interactions and stabilize its position within the colchicine binding site (Andreu et al., 1998). Similarly, sub-structure S1 contains two aromatic rings with four methoxy groups (Figure 2A), which occupy similar positions as colchicine methoxy groups and are stabilized with hydrophobic interactions by residues Met259, Ala316, Ile318, and Ile378 of tubulin (Figure 2B). Instead of occupying the colchicine binding site, sub-structure S2 extends into the binding site and forms two hydrogen bonds with residues Ser178 and Asp329 (Figure 2B).

Molecular docking analysis of MPT0B451 and HDAC6 showed common features with traditional HDAC inhibitors.
Sub-structure S2 contains a N-hydroxyformamide moiety that coordinates with the zinc ion in HDAC6 and forms hydrogen bonds with residues His610 and His611 (Figure 2C). These two residues are involved with the catalytic core of HDAC6 and form similar interactions with known HDAC inhibitors Trichostatin A or SAHA (Bertrand, 2010). Sub-structure S2 also contains a styrene linker that stabilizes the compound within the HDAC6 binding site and...
passes through the hydrophobic channel of the binding site. This creates hydrophobic interactions with residues Ser568, Gly619, Phe620, His651, and Phe680 (Figure 2C). Sub-structure S1 does not enter the binding site in HDAC6. Instead, it can be recognized as an inhibitor cap that occludes the entrance of the binding site (Figure 2C).

These findings supported the notion that MPT0B451 is a dual inhibitor, which was designed by including two sub-structures, 6-methoxy-3-(3′,4′,5′-trimethoxybenzoyl)-1H-indole (S1) and (E)-N-hydroxy-3-(3-sulfonylphenyl)acrylamide (S2), that exploit key interactions within the β-tubulin and HDAC6 binding sites, respectively (Figure 2A).

**Effects of MPT0B451 on Mitotic Arrest in HL-60 and PC-3 Cells**

Since HDAC6 and α-tubulin play important roles in cell cycle regulation (Nowak and Corces, 2004), we investigated the effect of MPT0B451 on cell cycle using HL-60 and PC-3 cells. Twelve to eighteen hours after MPT0B451 treatment, HL-60 cells arrested in G2/M phase (Figures 3A,C, 4A). Similar result was observed in PC-3 cells after 6–24 h treatment of MPT0B451 (Figures 3B,D, 4B). Importantly, the effect of MPT0B451 on G2/M arrest was similar to a chemotherapeutic agent, vincristine, which induced mitotic arrest via microtubule depolymerization (Figures 3E,F, 4C,D; Lee et al., 2017).

We further evaluated the level of G2/M regulatory proteins using western blotting. The expression of mitosis-specific phosphorylated MP2, cyclin B1, aurora kinase A (Aurora A), p-Thr288 Aurora A, serine/threonine kinase polo-like kinase 1 (PLK1) and p-Thr210 PLK1 were increased, and p-Tyr15 Cdc2 and Cdc25c were decreased in both HL-60 and PC-3 cells after 12 h of MPT0B451 treatment (Figures 4E,F). These results showed that MPT0B451 modulates G2/M transition proteins and promotes G2/M arrest.

**MPT0B451 Induces Cell Apoptosis**

Forty-eight hours after MPT0B451 or vincristine treatment, an accumulation of sub-G1 cells was observed in both HL-60 and PC-3 cell lines (Figures 4A–D). The representative histograms of cell cycle distribution and the statistical data were showed in Figure 5. The sub-G1 population of HL-60 cells was increased with elevated MPT0B451 concentration after 24 and 48 h of treatment (Figures 5A, 6A), whereas the sub-G1 population of PC-3 cells increased dramatically only after 48 h treatment (Figures 5B, 6B). Similar to vincristine treatment, the sub-G1 DNA content increased significantly in PC-3 and HL-60 cells treated with MPT0B451 indicating cells are undergoing apoptosis. We therefore investigated the level of apoptotic related proteins. Western blotting analysis showed that MPT0B451 treatment resulted in decreases in pro-caspase 3, 8 and 9 and increases in cleaved forms of caspase 3, 7, 8, 9 and poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) (Figures 6C,D) indicating that MPT0B451 can activate apoptotic pathway in both HL-60 and PC-3 cancer cells despite of with different efficiency.

**KINOMEScan Analysis Did Not Detect Significant Kinase Inhibition Activity in MPT0B451**

Previous data demonstrated that MPT0B451 inhibits HDAC6 activity and modulates microtubule assembly (Lee et al., 2017). In order to confirm that MPT0B451 is specifically target HDAC6 and microtubule but not other kinases, we conduct kinase profiling using DiscoveRx KINOMEScan technology (97 protein kinases). For the human kinase dendrogram, red circles mean the main hits (>5% of control), weak or no hits are labeled with small red circles (10–35% of control) and smaller green circles (>35% of control). The human kinase dendrogram revealed that all assayed kinases still showed more than 60% of enzymatic activities with the presence of MPT0B451 compared to the control (Figure 7 and Table 1) indicating that MPT0B451 does not exhibit kinase inhibition activity toward the kinases being tested. Therefore, MPT0B451 is an effective dual inhibitor by targeting both tubulin and HDAC6.

**Xenograft Models Demonstrate the Anti-tumor Effect of MPT0B451**

To further evaluate the anti-tumor activity of MPT0B451, we subcutaneously injected human leukemia cells (HL-60) or human prostate cancer cells (PC-3) in mouse xenograft models. Once tumors were palpable (approximately 200 mm³), mice were randomized into control (vehicle) and treatment groups (n = 6/group). We found that MPT0B451 suppressed the growths of tumors derived from HL-60 and PC-3 cells in dose-dependent manners compared to the control treatment. The percentage of TGI were 40.9 and 31.1% in HL-60 and PC-3 grafted mice, respectively (Figures 8A,C). None of the treated mice showed significant body weight loss (Figures 8B,D). Together, our cell-based observations and animal models both demonstrated that MPT0B451 exhibits anti-tumor activity in human leukemia cells and prostate cancer cells. Such activity may be resulted from the dual HDAC6 and anti-mitotic effect of MPT0B451 to induce G2/M arrest and apoptosis.

**DISCUSSION**

Cytotoxic drugs and MTAs are common therapeutic treatments for prostate cancer and acute leukemia. However, neuronal toxicity, drug resistance, and deteriorating patients continues to plague patients. The development of a multi-target drug may overcome these side effects. HDAC inhibitors have been verified for cancer cell growth inhibition, cell apoptosis inducement, cell invasion, and metastasis suppression (Amengual et al., 2015, 2016). Currently, four HDAC inhibitors are approved by the FDA for T-cell lymphoma and multiple myeloma (Kawaguchi et al., 2003; Aldana-Masangkay and Sakamoto, 2011; Liu et al., 2016). Furthermore, a selective HDAC6 inhibitor with a proteasome inhibitor has been employed in multiple myeloma (Aldana-Masangkay and Sakamoto, 2011; Santo et al., 2012). Various studies have indicated that combining a pan-HDAC or HDAC6 inhibitor with other anti-cancer drugs...
TABLE 1 | Matrix of MPT0B451 screens of KINOMEscan.

| Target                  | MPT0B451 | Target                  | MPT0B451 |
|-------------------------|----------|-------------------------|----------|
| Gene symbol             | %Ctrl @ 1000 nM | Gene symbol             | %Ctrl @ 1000 nM |
| ABL1(E255K)-phosphorylated | 81        | KIT(D816V)              | 100      |
| ABL1(T315I)-phosphorylated | 92        | KIT(V559D,T670I)        | 96       |
| ABL1-nonphosphorylated   | 86        | LKB1                    | 100      |
| ABL1-phosphorylated      | 93        | MAP3K4                  | 100      |
| ACVR1B                  | 90        | MAP2K2                  | 85       |
| ADC3                    | 99        | MARK3                   | 100      |
| AKT1                    | 88        | MEK1                    | 100      |
| AKT2                    | 88        | MEK2                    | 98       |
| ALK                     | 100       | MET                     | 100      |
| AURKA                   | 91        | MKNK1                   | 100      |
| AURKB                   | 99        | MKNK2                   | 76       |
| AXL                     | 86        | MLK1                    | 97       |
| BMPR2                   | 99        | p38-alpha               | 91       |
| Braf                    | 99        | p38-beta                | 83       |
| BRAF(V600E)             | 100       | PAK1                    | 100      |
| BTK                     | 100       | PAK2                    | 100      |
| CDK11                   | 69        | PAK4                    | 97       |
| CDK2                    | 100       | PCTK1                   | 90       |
| CDK3                    | 100       | PDGFRB                  | 100      |
| CDK7                    | 72        | PDGFRB                  | 100      |
| CDK9                    | 100       | PDPK1                   | 67       |
| CHEK1                   | 97        | PIK3C2B                 | 91       |
| CSF1R                   | 100       | PIK3C3A                 | 100      |
| CSNK1D                  | 98        | PIK3CG                  | 94       |
| CSNK1G2                 | 96        | PIM1                    | 73       |
| Dcamkl1                 | 83        | PIM2                    | 97       |
| Dyrk1B                  | 85        | PIM3                    | 100      |
| EGF R                   | 100       | PKAC-alpha              | 99       |
| EGFR(L858R)             | 97        | PLK1                    | 100      |
| EphA2                   | 98        | PLK3                    | 79       |
| Erbb2                   | 90        | PLK4                    | 91       |
| Erbb4                   | 79        | PRKCE                   | 96       |
| ERK1                    | 94        | RAF1                    | 84       |
| FAK                     | 100       | RET                     | 92       |
| FGFR2                   | 94        | RIOK2                   | 100      |
| FGFR3                   | 100       | ROCK2                   | 100      |
| FLT3                    | 100       | RSK2(Kin.Dom.1-N-terminal)| 99     |
| Gsk3b                   | 100       | SNARK                   | 95       |
| Igf1r                   | 100       | SRC                     | 100      |
| IkK-alpha               | 100       | SRPK3                   | 77       |
| IkK-beta                | 100       | TGFR1                   | 94       |
| Insr                    | 98        | Tie2                    | 76       |
| Jak2(JH1 domain-catalytic)| 100    | TRKA                    | 96       |
| Jak3(JH1 domain-catalytic)| 75    | TSSK1B                  | 90       |
| Jnk1                    | 81        | Tyk2(JH1 domain-catalytic)| 99     |
| Jnk2                    | 97        | Ulk2                    | 100      |
| Jnk3                    | 97        | Vegfr2                  | 95       |
| Kit                     | 99        | Yank3                   | 96       |
| Zap70                   | 99        |                         |          |

The kinase targets and the remaining activity (percent of control, POC) by MPT0B451.
May increase efficacy (Cosenza et al., 2017). As the result of other studies, HDAC6 has highly expressed in various cancer types including leukemia, prostate carcinoma, colorectal carcinoma and breast cancer compared with normal tissue, and the results also confirmed the high level of HDAC6 in HL-60 and PC-3 cells (Parmigiani et al., 2008; Hackanson et al., 2012). Above these finding, developing compounds that also target a different mechanism is a viable strategy to create a synergistic effect for cancer treatment. In this study, we showed that MPT0B451, a novel 1-benzylindole derivative inhibitor, selectively inhibits HDAC6 activity and microtubule assembly to facilitate the efficacy in anti-cancer treatment.

Multi-target drugs have the potential to increase therapeutic effects by aiming multiple targets as well as overcome drug resistance that arise from mutations (Csermely et al., 2005; Boran and Iyengar, 2010; Talevi, 2015). To design such an inhibitor, we synthesized a multi-target compound that targets both the colchicine-tubulin and HDAC6 binding sites. Our previous study indicated that MPT0B451 is a selective inhibitor of HDAC6 (Lee et al., 2017). In this study, we showed that MPT0B451 significantly increased the acetylation of histone H3 and tubulin (Figures 1D,E). The structure of MPT0B451 is composed of two sub-structures (Figure 2). The tubulin specific structure S1 occupies the same space as colchicine. Both MPT0B451 and colchicine contain four methoxy groups that occupy similar positions. Previous studies have suggested that the methoxy groups create high affinity for the colchicine binding site (Bhattacharyya et al., 2008; Sapra et al., 2013). MPT0B451 is able to exploit the colchicine binding site with similar interactions observed in tubulin inhibitors (Lu et al., 2012). Importantly, the HDAC6 specific structure does not negatively interfere with the colchicine binding site. The molecular analysis revealed that MPT0B451 contains three essential components seen in
traditional HDAC inhibitors: a zinc binding group, linker, and a cap region (Falkenberg and Johnstone, 2014). The zinc binding group is critical for HDAC inhibitor recognition (Dowling et al., 2008). The sub-structure S2 contains the zinc binding group N-hydroxyformamide and a styrene linker, which are also found on panobinostat (Atadja, 2009). The S1 sub-structure acts as an inhibitor cap and does not hinder the HDAC6 binding (Figure 2). Additionally, KINOMEScan data demonstrated that MPT0B451 may not exhibit kinase inhibition activity (Figure 7 and Table 1). Therefore, it is likely that MPT0B451 induces mitotic arrest and activates apoptotic pathway (Figures 3–6) specifically through HDAC6 and tubulin inhibition but not via other kinase pathway. If a drug hits multiple targets, for instance other kinases, it might increase the potential risk of side effects. Previous studies in sorafenib showed that it is a multi-targeted kinase inhibitor. Besides inhibits c-Raf and b-Raf kinases, it also potentially inhibits VEGFR-2, VEGFR-3, Fit-3, c-Kit, and PDGFR (Widakowich et al., 2007). However, VEGFR-2 inhibition is related to a skin toxicity known as hand/foot skin rash (HFSR) (Jain et al., 2010), as a result, patients under sorafenib treatment are commonly develop skin toxicity (Widakowich et al., 2007; Senapati et al., 2014). Thus, KINOMEScan analysis demonstrated that MPT0B451 did not have kinase inhibition ability may have less risks in side effect without other potential targets.

Previous research also found that HDAC inhibitors associate with microtubule dynamics, such as acetylation and polymerization, via HDAC6 inhibition (Kawaguchi et al., 2003; Zhang et al., 2003; Aldana-Masangkay and Sakamoto, 2011; Simoes-Pires et al., 2017). We showed that the cell cycle is evidently arrested at G2/M phase in HL-60 and PC-3 cells upon treatment of MPT0B451 (Figures 3A,B, 4A,B), and the expression of G2/M transition regulatory proteins confirmed the cell arrest. Cdc2 is inactivated when Cdc25c, a tyrosine phosphatase, removes the phosphorylation at Tyr15. In contrast, phosphorylation of Thr161 contributes to Cdc2 activation and results in the formulation of a Cdc2/Cyclin B1 complex to facilitate cell transition to the M phase (Castedo et al., 2004; Nowak and Corces, 2004). Our data indicated that the expression of p-Tyr15 Cdc2 was decreased and the levels of cyclin B1, aurora A, PLK1 and p-Thr210 PLK1 were increased. Additionally, the M phase marker, mitosis-specific phosphorylated MP2, was upregulated under MPT0B451 treatment (Figures 4E,F). These data suggest that MPT0B451 induces cell cycle arrest in M phase but not in G2 phase. Moreover, the cell cycle distribution also showed that the population of sub-G1 phase was increased but not in G2 phase. Importantly, MPT0B451 effectively inhibited tumor growth in HL-60 and PC-3 cells grafted mice. These findings suggest that MPT0B451 has potential to be further developed for anti-cancer treatment.

CONCLUSION

Our results showed that MPT0B451 not only inhibited HDAC6 activity, but also interfere with microtubule assembly (Lee et al., 2017). This dual inhibition activity may lead to cell cycle arrest in the M phase by activating the M phase marker, phosphorylated MP2, and trigger cell apoptosis via caspase-dependent pathway in human prostate cancer and leukemia cells. Importantly, MPT0B451 effectively inhibited tumor growth in HL-60 and PC-3 cells grafted mice. These findings suggest that MPT0B451 has potential to be further developed for anti-cancer treatment.
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