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Abstract

Background: Stress echocardiography is well validated for diagnosis and risk stratification of coronary artery disease. Exercise stress echocardiography (ESE) has been shown to be the most physiological among the modalities of stress, but its safety is not well established.

Objective: To study the complications related to ESE and clinical and echocardiographic variables most commonly associated with their occurrence.

Methods: Cross-sectional study consisting of 10250 patients submitted to ESE for convenience, from January 2000 to June 2014. Cardiac Arrhythmias (CA) were the most frequent complications observed during the examination. The volunteers were divided into two groups according to the occurrence of CA during ESE: G1 group, composed of patients who have CA, and G2 formed by individuals who did not show such complication.

Results: Group G1, consisting of 2843 patients (27.7%), and Group G2 consisting of 7407 patients (72.3%). There was no death, acute myocardial infarction, ventricular fibrillation or asystole. Predominant CAs were: supraventricular extrasystoles (13.7%), and ventricular extrasystoles (11.5%). G1 group had a higher mean age, higher frequency of hypertension and smoking, larger aortic roots and left atrium (LA) and lower ejection fraction than G2. G1 group also had more ischemic changes (p < 0.001). The predictor variables were age (RR 1.04; [CI] 95% from 1.038 to 1.049) and LA (RR 1.64; [CI] 95% from 1.448 to 1.872).

Conclusion: ESE proved to be a safe modality of stress, with non-fatal complications only. Advanced age and enlargement of the left atrium are predictive of cardiac arrhythmias. (Arq Bras Cardiol. 2016; 107(2):116-123)
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Introduction

Coronary artery disease (CAD) is the leading cause of morbidity and mortality in the world.¹² In Brazil, cardiovascular diseases (CVD) are responsible for one third of deaths annually.³ Due to a large social impact, early examination of CAD has become mandatory to identify not only a high-risk group, in which additional interventions are necessary, but also to select a low-risk subgroup, in which additional procedures would not be required.⁴,⁵

Ergometric test (ET) is the non-invasive examination initially recommended for the diagnosis and stratification of risk in patients with suspected CAD.⁶-⁸ However, this method has limitations in certain situations, such as left bundle branch block or ST segment changes in resting electrocardiogram (ECG).⁸ Furthermore, changes in wall motion of the left ventricle detected through stress echocardiography (SE) appear earlier in the ischemic cascade than angina or ST segment changes, which gives SE better sensitivity and specificity.⁹,¹²

SE, which was introduced in the late 70’s, initially as pharmacological stress testing, is the most well validated method for the diagnosis and stratification of risk, prognosis, and evaluation of myocardial viability in patients with CAD.¹³-¹⁸ Even though the use of drugs such stressors has proven safe, recent literature has firmly focused on its complications.¹⁹-²⁹

Although both methods of testing yield similarly accurate results in patients with well-preserved physical capacity, Exercise stress echocardiography (ESE) is the better choice over pharmacological stress testing, with the latter being reserved to physically impaired individuals or those with low motivation to exercise.³⁰,³¹ ESE is also a physiological and versatile method, whose use has been growing. However, literature on the method’s safety and its complications is scarce.¹⁷,³³ Therefore,
Objective of this study is to analyse the complications related to ESE and the clinical and echocardiographical variables that are predictors of these events.

Methods

This is an analytical and descriptive cross-sectional study conducted between January 2000 and June 2014.

Patients

The convenience sample was made up of 10250 patients with suspected or established CAD who were submitted to stress echocardiography through physical effort at the Echocardiography Laboratory of the Clínica e Hospital São Lucas (ECOLAB) in Aracaju, state of São Paulo. All patients over 25 years old, referred to the service by assisting doctors, were included, with the exception of those who refused to participate in the study. The recommendations for the exam were: pre-operative, typical or atypical chest pain, risk stratification, positive or negative ET, inefficient ET, check-up.

Patients were divided into two groups according to the occurrence of the most frequent complications observed in ESE: G1 group—patients who presented such complications in the exam, and G2 group—individuals with no complications.

Clinical characteristics

Clinical data were collected and recorded through interviews conducted prior to the procedure. A structure questionnaire investigating weight, height, symptoms such as dyspnea and chest pain, medications, CAD risk factors and history of personal or family heart disease was used, together with relevant data such as previous CADs such as acute myocardial infarction, percutaneous and surgical revascularization. In addition, previous results from lab work and examinations of the cardiovascular system were registered.

Obesity was characterized when body mass surpassed 30 kg/m². Hypercholesterolemia was defined as total serum cholesterol levels of over 200 mg/dL (after fasting for 12 hours), and hypertriglyceridemia as serum triglycerides levels greater than 150 mg/dL (after fasting for 12 hours) or use of antilipêmico agent (statins and / or fibrates).

Systemic arterial hypertension was considered when blood pressure levels measured in the arm, in resting condition, were systolic blood pressure ≥ 140 mmHg and/or diastolic ≥ 90 mmHg, or when there was use of antihypertensive medication.

Diabetes mellitus was defined by the presence of fasting glucose over 126 mg/dL or by the use of insulin or oral hypoglycemic agents.

Old myocardial infarction was defined through clinical history and/or previous supplementary exams, such as ECG, echocardiogram and/or coronary angiography.

Ergometric Test

Firstly, the protocol consisted of the 12-lead ECG and resting echocardiogram after clinical investigation. After that, physical effort on treadmill was performed, and soon after echocardiographic images were acquired again.

All patients were submitted to the standard Bruce or Ellestad protocols protocols during ergometric tests. There was continuous monitoring of heart rate, and the patients were encouraged to reach their maximum physical effort. For metabolic calculations, the volume of inspired oxygen at peak exercise (VO max) was obtained indirectly through the following formula: \( \text{VO}_{\text{max}} = 14.76 - 1.379 \times t + 0.451 \times t^2 - 0.012 \times t^3 \), in which \( t \) is the duration of the test in minutes. The load was expressed as metabolic equivalents, in which 1 MET corresponds to 3.5 mL/kg min of inspired VO₂ in resting. Throughout the test, the individuals were continuously monitored by 3-lead ECG.

Ischemic electrocardiographic changes were designated to the occurrence of descending or horizontal ST segment depression ≥ 1 mm for men and ≥ 1.5 mm for women at 0.08 seconds from the J point.

Exercise Stress Echocardiography

The environment for the performance of the exam is ergonomically projected by a constantly trained team, due to the hospital reputation as a reference hospital in the cardiology department and its level 3 accreditation by specific assessment. As a routine procedure, the suspension of beta-blockers three days before the exam is recommended, while other drugs are kept in use.

Exams were carried out with Hewlett Packard/Phillips SONOS 5500 up to 2012 and then with Philips IE-33, with due observance of technical aspects described by Schiller et al. Bidimensional echocardiographic images were obtained at the parasternal and apical acoustic windows during resting and immediately after effort, with the patient in the left lateral decubitus and simultaneous electrocardiographic recording. The wall motion of the left ventricular (LV) wall was evaluated by experienced echocardiographer, with level III, as required by the American Echocardiography Society. Segmental wall thickening of the LV was quantitatively evaluated in resting and after physical effort through the 16 segment model with the following gradual rank: 1; normal; 2; hypokinetic; 3; akinetic; 4; dyskinetic. The left ventricular motion score index (LVMSI) was calculated in resting and during physical exercise as the sum of the scores given to each of the 16 segments divided by the number of segments evaluated at that moment. LVMSI of 1 corresponds to normality; from 1.1 to 1.6 corresponds to mild dysfunction; from 1.61 to 2, moderate dysfunction. Values of over 2 represent severe dysfunction. The difference between resting and physical exercise LVMSIs is called ΔLVMSI. The development of a new change in the parietal motility or worsening of existing dyssynergy (ΔLVMSI ≠ 0) were considered indicative of myocardial ischemia.

Analysis of complication occurrence

Patients were monitored for possible complications by 12-lead ECG before, during and after physical exercise stress. The researched complications and their respective definitions were based on those described by Geleijnse et al.

Major complications considered were: death, acute myocardial infarction, cardiac rupture, ventricular fibrillation and cardiac asystole.
Minor complications were defined as: atrioventricular block (AVB), coronary spasm, stroke, hypotension and hypertension at peak exercise, sustained ventricular tachycardia (> 30 beats / min), non-sustained ventricular tachycardia, sustained supraventricular tachycardia, non-sustained supraventricular tachycardia, ventricular premature beats and supraventricular extrasystoles.

Statistical Analysis

Categorical variables were presented as percentages and analyzed using the chi-square test (c²) or Fisher’s exact test. Continuous variables were represented by mean +/- standard deviation and compared with the aid of Student’s unpaired t test or Mann-Whitney U test, as appropriate.

To assess the risk factors predictive of complications to ESE we used univariate and multivariate Cox regression analysis. The variables included in the multivariate model were all of those with p < 0.1 in the univariate analysis. Multicollinearity problems were resolved prior to insertion of the variables in the model. Forward selection of variables was used. Variables that remained in the model were tested for possible interactions. Proportional hazards assumption was tested by Schoenfeld residual testing for each of the variables that remained in the final model. Values of p < 0.05 were considered significant. Statistical analysis were processed by the software Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) 21.0 (Chicago, IL).

Ethical aspects

The ethical principles for human experimentation were followed thoroughly, and all patients signed an informed consent. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Federal University of Sergipe (CAAE 1818.0.000.107-06).

Results

A total of 10250 patients participated in the study; 4936 males (48.2%), with a mean age of 57.19 ± 11.24 years, limited to 25 and 93 years of age. There was no record of major complications such as death, acute myocardial infarction, cardiac rupture, ventricular fibrillation and cardiac asystole.

Cardiac arrhythmias were the most frequent observed complication during the exam, and thus the distribution of the groups was as follows: 2843 patients in the G1 group (27.7%), and 7407 patients in the G2 group (72.3%).

One case of each of the following complications was recorded: AVB; coronary spasm; stroke; and arterial hypotension, which represented 0.009% of the sample. Arterial hypertension at the peak of physical effort was observed in 1011 individuals (9.9% of the population), of which 82% presented with systemic arterial hypertension (SAH) before the exam.

The most frequently observed complications in this study were cardiac arrhythmias. The observed rates were non-sustained ventricular and supraventricular tachycardia and ventricular and supraventricular extrasystoles but isolated, in saves, bigeminal, monomorphic or polymorphic. Their frequency is described in Table 1. In all cases they were reversed with the cessation of physical effort and use of isosorbide dinitrate and inhaled oxygen when in the presence of associated myocardial ischemia, with no hemodynamic repercussions that would require ICU admission, considering that ventricular fibrillation or sustained ventricular tachycardia were not recorded.

The 10250 patients were divided into two groups: G1 group – made up of 2843 patients (27.7%) that presented with cardiac arrhythmias during the exam, and G2 group – made up of 7404 patients (72.3%) with no arrhythmias.

Clinical characteristics of the groups

G1 group presented higher mean age, higher SAH frequency and smoking. With regards to gender and other associated comorbidities, both groups presented similar frequencies (Table 2).

Echocardiographic characteristics of the groups

In the transthoracic Doppler echocardiography there was significant difference in the diameters of the aorta and the left atrium and in the left ventricular mass and thickness. Ejection fraction of the LV was preserved in both groups, which was lower in G1 patients (Table 3).

In the ESE, there was a difference in the left ventricular motion score index in resting and during physical effort. There was also frequent presence of myocardial ischemia in G1 patients.

Logistic regression analysis

The test to determine the presence of possible confounders in the model showed that only two variables were independently associated to the occurrence of complications. There was no interaction between the analysed variables (Table 4).

Discussion

ESE proved to be safe in this study, with low frequency of complications, none of which led to death. Death is more frequently observed in SE than in pharmacological stress testing. This may be due to the mechanism through which stressor drugs act in the heart: dobutamine stimulates adrenergic receptors, increasing chronotropism and inotropism, and with great arrhythmogenic and hypertensive potential; dipyridamole stresses the heart muscle by stimulating adenosine receptors and reducing subendocardial flow – it also has a negative chronotropic and dromotropic effect as well as bronchoconstrictor activity. However, physical exercise represents a more physiological modality of stress free of the side effects described above.

There is also a selection bias: candidates eligible for the test physical effort present with preserved ejection fraction, while pharmacological stress testing patients may have more advanced heart diseases.

In a large multicenter study with 85,997 patients undergoing SE - 26,295 of which were tested by physical stress and the others by pharmacological stress – there was no death outcome in the group tested by ESE. In the pharmacological
stress group, however, five deaths were observed. With regards to the frequency of life threatening events, the proportion of the in the ESE group was 1 in 6574, whereas in the group receiving dipyridamole and dobutamine, we saw 1 in every 1294, and 1 in every 557, respectively.\(^{32}\)

In a Moroccan study with 311 patients, 206 of whom were submitted to ESE, no major or lethal events were registered.\(^{33}\)

According to Sicari et al.\(^ {18}\) pharmacological stress from dobutamine triggers severe complications in 3 of 1,000 patients, and pharmacological stress from dipyridamole triggers severe complications in 1 of 1,000 patients.

The most frequent minor complications were arrhythmias, especially those with less hemodynamic repercussions and easily reversible upon cessation of effort, such as supraventricular and ventricular extrasystoles. This is according to one study and is not mentioned in other bigger studies.\(^ {29,32}\)

---

**Table 1 – Frequency of cardiac arrhythmias during ESE**

| Cardiac Arrhythmia                  | Frequency |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|
| Sustained ventricular tachycardia    | 0 (0%)    |
| Non-sustained ventricular tachycardia| 114 (1.1%)|
| Sustained supraventricular tachycardia | 0 (0%) |
| Non-sustained supraventricular tachycardia | 645 (6.2%) |
| Ventricular extrasystoles           | 1186 (11.5%) |
| Supraventricular extrasystoles      | 1418 (13.7%) |

_ESE: exercise stress echocardiography_

**Table 2 – Clinical characteristics of patients with (G1) or without (G2) cardiac arrhythmias during ESE**

| Clinical characteristics                  | G1 n = 2843 (27.7%) | G2 n = 7407 (72.2%) | P     |
|-------------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------|
| Male                                      | 1410 (49.6%)         | 3525 (47.6%)         | 0.06  |
| Age (mean in years)                       | 60.81 ± 10.78        | 55.79 ± 11.1         | < 0.001 |
| BMI (kg/m²)                               | 27.2 ± 4.28          | 27.3 ± 4.43          | 0.3   |
| SAH                                       | 1828 (64.3%)         | 4251 (57.4%)         | < 0.001 |
| Diabetes Mellitus                         | 102 (3.6%)           | 637 (8.6%)           | 0.12  |
| Dyslipidemia                              | 1709 (60.1%)         | 4370 (59%)           | 0.33  |
| Smoking                                   | 114 (4%)             | 378 (5.1%)           | 0.02  |
| Family history of heart disease           | 1595 (56.1%)         | 4163 (56.2%)         | 0.92  |
| Dyspnea                                   | 264 (9.3%)           | 555 (7.5%)           | 0.003 |
| Precedordialia                            | 816 (28.7%)          | 2326 (31.4%)         | 0.191 |
| Previous AMI                              | 165 (5.8%)           | 318 (4.3%)           | 0.002 |
| Angioplasty                               | 213 (7.5%)           | 533 (7.2%)           | 0.639 |
| Myocardial revascularization              | 205 (7.2%)           | 385 (5.2%)           | < 0.001 |
| Beta-blocker                              | 690 (24.3%)          | 1555 (21.0%)         | < 0.001 |
| Calcium channel blockers                  | 239 (8.4%)           | 481 (6.5%)           | 0.001 |
| IACE                                      | 318 (11.2%)          | 674 (9.1%)           | 0.001 |
| ARB                                       | 424 (14.9%)          | 1059 (14.3%)         | 0.468 |
| Statin                                    | 640 (22.5%)          | 1504 (20.3%)         | 0.016 |
| Glycemia                                  | 100.03 ± 25.26       | 99.72 ± 29.23        | 0.907 |
| Total cholesterol (mg/dL)                 | 196.86 ± 47.81       | 192.88 ± 46.28       | 0.364 |
| HDL (mg/dL)                               | 49.95 ± 13.97        | 46.54 ± 13.33        | 0.748 |
| LDL (mg/dL)                               | 123.28 ± 42.16       | 116.87 ± 41.28       | 0.115 |
| Triglycerides (mg/dL)                     | 148.95 ± 89.06       | 154.78 ± 100.74      | 0.535 |

*BMI: body mass index; SAH: systemic arterial hypertension; AMI: acute myocardial infarction; IACE: inhibitor of angiotensin converting enzyme; ARB: angiotensin receptor blocker; HDL: high density lipoproteins, LDL: low density lipoproteins.*
Table 3 – Echocardiographic characteristics of patients with (G1) or without (G2) cardiac arrhythmia during ESE

| Echocardiographic characteristics | G1 n = 2843 (27.7%) | G2 n = 7407 (72.3%) | p      |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------|
| Aorta (cm)                        | 3.23 ± 0.39         | 3.17 ± 0.4          | < 0.001|
| LA (cm)                           | 3.87 ± 0.47         | 3.76 ± 0.43         | < 0.001|
| LA volume (ml/m²)                 | 24.26 ± 24.25       | 22.52 ± 26.02       | 0.11   |
| E/e’ ratio                        | 9.94 ± 3.4          | 9.41 ± 3.36         | 0.005  |
| LV mass index (g/m²)              | 95.2 ± 87.74        | 97.9 ± 62.88        | < 0.001|
| Relative thickness of LV wall     | 31.9 ± 5.42         | 32.3 ± 6.77         | 0.005  |
| Ejection fraction (%)             | 0.66 ± 0.06         | 0.67 ± 0.06         | < 0.001|
| Resting LVMSI                     | 1.039 ± 0.141       | 1.022 ± 0.104       | < 0.001|
| Physical effort LVMSI             | 1.056 ± 0.153       | 1.035 ± 0.117       | < 0.001|

**ESE Results**

- Normal: 71.4% vs 78.8%
- Ischemic: 12.8% vs 10%  p < 0.001
- Fixed ischemia: 10.9% vs 8.7%
- Fixed and induced ischemia: 4.9% vs 2.4%

LA: left atrium; LV: left ventricle; LVMSI: left ventricle motion score index; ESE: exercise stress echocardiography.

Table 4 – Multivariate logistic regression with parameters associated to the presence of cardiac arrhythmias during ESE

| Variable       | Odds Ratio | CI95%       | p     |
|----------------|------------|-------------|-------|
| Age            | 1.04       | 1.038–1.049 | < 0.001|
| Left Atrium    | 1.64       | 1.448–1.872 | < 0.001|

CI: confidence interval; LA: left atrium; ESE: exercise stress echocardiography.

Arterial hypertension due to effort was observed in a significant portion of the sample (9.9% of cases) mostly in chronic hypertensive individuals. One of the reasons for this marked decompensation in the pressure response to stress may be the protocol for exam preparation of our institution, which includes a suspension of beta-blockers for three days prior to the exam. There was a significant difference considering the available literature, which may be because most studies use pharmacological stress, which may have severe hypotension and cardiogenic shock as a response in up to 7.6% of cases.29,32

The clinical and demographic profile of the sample is only mentioned by Fennich et al.33 In this study, age was similar to that of this paper (59.2 years of age). However, diabetes mellitus and smoking were more prevalent and SAH was lower, differently from our findings.

The predictor independent variables, left atrium, and age presented a close relationship with each other. Some studies have reported that the size of the left atrium would naturally increase with age.35,39 Thus, senescence would provide changes that would culminate with left atrium dilatation and dysfunction, thus increasing predisposition to atrial arrhythmias, which may influence their occurrence when the patient is submitted to stress, as in ESE. Still with regards to age, this finding as an independent predictor of complications during ESE is very important, considering this is a commonly observed fact in clinical practice. However, it is not yet described in relation to physical effort in literature.

Another gap in the literature concerns the relation between the echocardiographic variables and the occurrence of complications during ESE, considering that our study found several significant differences, and there are no studies about them. Another relevant observation is that of a very significant difference in the presence of myocardial ischemia in patients who had adverse events during ESE, maybe due to coronary arterial disease, which alters the cardiac electrical conduction system and induces arrhythmias; a frequently studied event during pharmacological stress, included in the work of Abreu et al.40 but still without supporting evidence for ESE.

Although current guidelines41 recommend the use of this methodology in moderate-risk patients, in clinical practice we often see it used in high-risk individuals. However, even in those cases, no identifiable complications were found.

This study shows us interesting data that is still scarcely study in the field of ESE – a method that has been growing due to its versatility, reproducibility, and cost-effectiveness. We expect that this growth prompt new studies around this theme.
About the limitations of this study, we would highlight those applicable to any observational study, in which unmeasured variables may corroborate the statistical difference between the groups. In this sample, we also find a pre-selection bias, since patients submitted to ESE presented with based heart diseases or risk factors to their development.

**Conclusion**

ESE is a safe stress modality, with no risk-of-death complications observed. Adverse events recorded were minor and easily reversed by cessation of physical effort. The safety and feasibility of this stress mode associated with its important diagnostic and prognostic validity, justify its use in clinical practice.

**Author contributions**

Conception and design of the research: Andrade SM, Sousa ACS, Melo EV, Teixeira CCC, Teixeira CKC, Santana JS, Mota IL, Matos CJO, Oliveira JLM. Acquisition of data: Andrade SM, Telino CJCL, Teixeira CCC, Teixeira CKC, Santana JS, Mota IL, Matos CJO, Oliveira JLM. Analysis and interpretation of the data: Andrade SM, Sousa ACS, Melo EV, Oliveira JLM. Statistical analysis: Melo EV, Matos CJO, Oliveira JLM. Writing of the manuscript: Andrade SM, Telino CJCL, Sousa ACS. Critical revision of the manuscript for intellectual content: Andrade SM, Sousa ACS, Matos CJO, Oliveira JLM. Supervision / as the major investigator: Andrade SM, Oliveira JLM.

**Potential Conflict of Interest**

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

**Sources of Funding**

There were no external funding sources for this study.

**Study Association**

This article is part of the thesis of Doctoral submitted by Carlos José Oliveira de Matos and Igor Larchert Mota, from Universidade Federal de Sergipe.

**References**

1. Rassi A Jr. [Optimization of the medical treatment in coronary artery disease: a task for the subspecialist?]. Arq Bras Cardiol. 2004;83(3):187-8.
2. Lloyd-Jones D, Adams R, Carnethon M, De Simone G, Ferguson TB, Flegal K, et al; American Heart Association Statistics Committee and Stroke Statistics Subcommittee. Heart disease and stroke statistics - 2009 update: a report from the American Heart Association Statistics Committee and Stroke Statistics Subcommittee. Circulation. 2009;119(3):480-6. Erratum in: Circulation. 2009;119(3):e182.
3. Ministério da Saúde. DATASUS, 2012: Indicadores e dados básicos de Saúde. [citado em 2014 maio 24]. Disponível em: http://tabnet.datasus.gov.br/cgi/db2012/matrix.htm#mort.
4. Araújo AC, Santos BF, Calasans FR, Pinto IM, Oliveira DP, Melo LD, et al. Physical Stress Echocardiography: Prediction of Mortality and Cardiac Events in Patients with Exercise Test showing Ischemia. Arq Bras Cardiol. 2014;103(5):416-25.
5. Alves AA. Valor prognóstico da ecocardiografia sob estresse pela dobutamina e adenosina associada à perfusão miocárdica em tempo real em pacientes com doença arterial coronariana suspeita ou confirmada. [Tese]. São Paulo: Faculdade de Medicina. Universidade de São Paulo; 2010.
6. Roger VL, Jacobson SJ, Pellikka PA, Miller TD, Bailey KR, Gersh BJ. Prognostic value of treadmill exercise testing: a population-based study in Olmsted County, Minnesota. Circulation. 1998;98(25):2836-41.
7. Secundo PF, Santos BE, Secundo Júnior JA, Silva JB, Souza AR, Faro CB, et al. Clinical and echocardiographic parameters associated with kw chronicotropic index in non-elderly patients. Arq Bras Cardiol. 2012;98(5):413-20.
8. Gibbons RJ, Balady GJ, Bricker JT, Chaitman BR, Fletcher GF, Froelicher VF, et al; American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. Committee to Update the 1997 Exercise Testing Guidelines. ACC/AHA/ASE 2003 Guideline Update for the Clinical Application of Echocardiography: summary article. A report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines (ACC/AHA/ASE Committee to Update the 1997 Guidelines for the Clinical Application of Echocardiography). J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2003;16(10):1091-110.
9. Picano E. American College of Radiology: American Heart Association. Stress echocardiography for the diagnosis of coronary artery disease. Indian Heart J. 2003;55(3):223-7.
10. Calasans FR, Santos BF, Silveira DC, Araújo AC, Melo LD, Barreto-Filho JA, et al. Stress echocardiography and major cardiac events in patients with normal exercise test. Arq Bras Cardiol. 2013;101(1):35-42.
11. Oliveira JL, Góes TJ, Santana TA, Travassos TF, Teles LD, Anjos-Andrade FD, et al. Chronotropic incompetence and a higher frequency of myocardial ischemia in exercise echocardiography. Cardiovasc Ultrasound. 2007;5:38.
12. Oliveira JL, Barreto Filho JA, Oliveira GP, Sousa AC. Ecocardiografia sob estresse físico - experiência clínica e ecocardiográfica de uma década. Rev bras ecocardiogr imagem cardiov. 2011, 24(1):51-63.
13. Armstrong WF, Pellikka PA, Ryan T, Crouse L, Zoghbi WA. Stress echocardiography: recommendations for performance and interpretation of stress echocardiography. Stress Echocardiography Task Force of the Nomenclature and Standards Committee of the American Society of Echocardiography. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 1998;11(1):97-104.
14. Armstrong WF, Pellikka PA, Ryan T, Crouse L, Zoghbi WA. Stress echocardiography: recommendations for performance and interpretation of stress echocardiography. Stress Echocardiography Task Force of the Nomenclature and Standards Committee of the American Society of Echocardiography. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 1998;11(1):97-104.
15. Oliveira JL, Góes TJ, Santana TA, Silva JS, Travassos TF, Teles LD, et al. Exercise stress echocardiography in the identification of coronary artery disease in the elderly with chronotropic incompetence. Arq Bras Cardiol. 2007;89(2):100-6,111-8.
16. Oliveira JL, Barreto MA, Silva AB, Sousa AC. Stress echocardiography in coronary artery disease. Rev Bras Cir Cardivas. 2004;19(1):55-63.
17. Chagas AC. Doença coronária. São Paulo: Atheneu; 2003. (Série Clínica Médica Ciência e Arte).
Low prevalence of events adverse to ESE

18. Sicari R, Nihoyannopoulos P, Evangelista A, Kasprzak J, Lancellotti P, Poldermans D, et al; European Association of Echocardiography. Stress Echocardiography Expert Consensus Statement—Executive Summary: European Association of Echocardiography (EAE) (a registered branch of the ESC). Eur Heart J. 2009;30(3):278-89.

19. Ferreira PA, de Lima VC, Campos-Filho O, Gil MA, Cordovil A, Machado CV, et al. Feasibility, safety and accuracy of dobutamine/atropine stress echocardiography for the detection of coronary artery disease in renal transplant candidates. Arq Bras Cardiol. 2007;88(1):45-51.

20. Picano E, Mathias W Jr, Pingitore A, Bigi R, Previtali M, on behalf of the Echo-Dobutamine International Cooperative Study Group. Safety and tolerability of dobutamine-atropine stress echocardiography: a prospective multicentre study. Echo Dobutamine International Cooperative Study Group. Lancet. 1994;344(8931):1190-2.

21. Pinton R, Lemke W, Garcia LC. [Symptoms, complications and hemodynamic changes related to dobutamine stress echocardiography]. Arq Bras Cardiol. 1997;69(3):161-4.

22. Cortigiani L, Picano E, Coletta C, Chiarella F, Mathias W, Gandolfo N, et al; Echo Persantine International Cooperative (EPIC) Study Group; Echo Dobutamine International Cooperative (EDIC) Study Group. Safety, feasibility, and prognostic implications of pharmacologic stress echocardiography in 1482 patients evaluated in an ambulatory setting. Am Heart J. 2001;141(4):621-9.

23. Abreu JS, Diógenes TC, Farias AG, Morais JM, Paes Junior JN. [Safety and feasibility of dobutamine-atropine stress echocardiography in octogenarian patients]. Arq Bras Cardiol. 2005;85(3):198-204.

24. Secknus MA, Marwick TH. Evolution of stress echocardiography protocols and indications: safety and side effects in 3,011 studies over 5 years. J Am Coll Cardiol. 1997;29(6):1234-40.

25. Mathias W Jr, Arruda A, Santos FC, Arruda AL, Mattos E, Osorio A, et al. Safety of dobutamine-atropine stress echocardiography: a prospective experience of 4,033 consecutive studies. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 1999;12(10):785-91.

26. Lette J, Tatum JL, Fraser S, Miller DD, Waters DD, Heller G, et al. Safety and tolerability, and prognostic implications of pharmacologic stress echocardiography in 1482 patients evaluated in an ambulatory setting. Am Heart J. 2001;141(4):621-9.

27. Santana JS, Franco Filho JC, Sá Neto AA, Melo EV, Santana NO, Barreto AT, et al. Prognostic value of chronotropic incompetence in elderly patients undergoing exercise echocardiography. Arq Brs Cardiol. 2013;100(5):429-36.

28. Varga A, Rodriguez Garcia MA, Picano E; International Stress Echocardiography Complication Registry. Safety of stress echocardiography (from the international stress echocardiography complication registry). Am J Cardiol. 2006;98(4):541-3.

29. Fennich N, Ellouali F, Abdelali S, Chaa a A, Berrada A, Ellhajjaij I, et al. Stress echocardiography: safety and tolerability. Cardiovasc Ultrasound. 2013;11:30.

30. Lauer MS, Francis GS, Okin PM, Pashkow FJ, Snader CE, Marwick TH. Impaired chronotropic response to exercise stress testing as a predictor of mortality. JAMA. 1999;281(6):524-9.

31. Whaley MH, Brubaker PH, Otto R, eds.). ACSM’s guidelines for exercise testing and prescription. 7th ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2007.

32. Schiller NB, Shah PM, Crawford M, DeMaria A, Feigenbaum H, et al. Recommendations for quantitation of the left ventricle by two dimensional echocardiography. American Society of Echocardiography Committee on Standards, Subcommittee on Quantitation of Two-Dimensional Echocardiograms. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 1989;2(5):358-67.

33. Gardin JM, Henry WL, Savage DD, Ware JH, Burn C, Borre JS. Echocardiographic measurements in normal subjects: evaluation of an adult population without clinically apparent heart disease. J Clin Ultrasound. 1979;7(6):439-47.

34. Triposkiadis F, Tentolouris K, Androulakis A, Trikas A, Toutouzas K, Kyriakidis M, et al. Left atrial mechanical function in the health elderly: new insights from a combined assessment of changes in atrial volumes and transmital flow velocity. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 1995;8(6):801-9.

35. Sousa AC. Left atrial volume as an index of diastolic function. Arq Bras Cardiol. 2014;103(2Supl.2):1-59.
