Acceptance of Nordic snack bars in children aged 8–11 years
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Abstract

Background: A health promoting diet is suggested to be tailored to regional circumstances to preserve the cultural diversity in eating habits, as well as contribute to more environmentally friendly eating. It may influence consumer acceptance, however, if the components of the diet differs considerably from their habitual food.

Objective: This study aimed to investigate whether snack bars composed of Nordic ingredients were accepted by 8–11 year-old Danish (n = 134) and Swedish (n = 109) children.

Design: A seven-point hedonic scale was used to measure the children’s acceptance of five snack bars that varied in their composition of whole grains, berries and nuts. A preference rank ordering of the five bars was also performed.

Results: The results showed that samples that were rated highest in liking and were most preferred in both countries were a kamut/pumpkin bar and an oat/cranberry bar. The sample with the lowest rating that was also least preferred was a pumpernickel/sea buckthorn bar. Flavour was the most important determinant of overall liking followed by texture, odour and appearance.

Conclusions: Children’s acceptances and preferences were highly influenced by the sensory characteristics of the bars, mainly flavour. In agreement with earlier studies, the novel food ingredients seemed to influence children’s preferences. The Nordic snack bars may have a potential to be a snack option for Danish and Swedish school children, but repeated exposures to the products are recommended to increase children’s acceptance.
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still a need for a better understanding of children’s food acceptance and preferences.

Whole grains, fruits, nuts and berries are recommended as a part of a healthy dietary intake because of their high nutritional value and health benefits such as reduced risk of coronary heart diseases (14, 15). A health promoting diet is suggested to be tailored to regional circumstances to preserve the cultural diversity in eating habits, as well as contribute to more environmentally eating (16). In the present study, snack bars that contained Nordic ingredients, such as whole grains, nuts and berries, were developed as part of an intervention project focusing on the health effect of eating foods grown in the Nordic countries (17). The concept of the New Nordic Diet in the OPUS project is to contribute to a new diet based on preferably local Nordic raw materials from sustainable production. If the components of the New Nordic Diet differ considerably from those of the children’s habitual foods it may influence their acceptance.

The aim of this study was to investigate acceptance and determining factors for liking of snack bars composed of Nordic ingredients by 8–11 year-old Danish and Swedish school children. We hypothesized that the variation of ingredients would influence the children’s acceptances of the snack bars. We also expected that the differences in Danish and Swedish meal structures could affect children’s preferences for the bars.

Material and methods

Participants

A total of 134 Danish (68 girls and 66 boys) and 109 Swedish (63 girls and 46 boys) children, aged 8–11, participated in the study. The children were recruited at primary schools. Exclusion criteria were food allergy or intolerance to any of the ingredients in the snack bars. Participation was voluntary and all the children were required to have parental consent.

Experimental products

Five Nordic snack bars varying in the composition of Nordic ingredients were produced for the study (Table 1). Some ingredients were novel to the children (e.g. sea buckthorn and kamut) while others were known (e.g. bilberries (Nordic blueberry) and oats). Sensory characteristics were evaluated by an expert panel (n = 5).

Table 1. The ingredients and the approximate nutritional value of the five Nordic snack bars. Each sample was on average 8.9 g.

| Picture of product | Product          | Ingredients                                                                 | Nutritional contents /100 g           |
|--------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
|                    | Pumpernickel Sea Buckthorn | Pumpernickel, sea buckthorn, rye, egg white, fondant powder, sugar      | Energy: 1202 kJ                        |
|                    | Kamut Pumpkin     | Kamut wheat, pumpkin seeds, hazelnuts, egg white, sugar, honey, butter, fondant powder | Carbohydrates: 65 g                   |
|                    | Rye Bilberry     | Rye flakes, bilberries, rye bread, egg white, honey, sugar, fondant powder | Sugar: 16 g                           |
|                    | Buckwheat Strawberry | Buckwheat, strawberries, oat, lemon peel, fondant powder, egg white, butter | Fat: 1 g                             |
|                    | Oat Cranberry    | Oat, cranberries, hazelnuts, spelt, pumpkins seeds, fondant powder, egg white, sugar | Energy: 1596 kJ                        |
|                    |                  |                                                                            | Carbohydrates: 69 g                   |
|                    |                  |                                                                            | Sugar: 19 g                           |
|                    |                  |                                                                            | Fat: 8 g                              |
using the consensus profile method (18). This method, involved development of a consensus sensory vocabulary to describe the sensory differences in the products and an individual assessment of the snack bars on these sensory characteristics by the experts. All snack bars were produced one to three days before testing. Immediately after production, the bars were packed in boxes of 40–60 bars in an atmosphere of 50% pure carbon dioxide and 50% pure nitrogen. This was done to keep the bars fresh until testing.

**Experimental procedure**

Testing was done in classrooms during morning lessons. To familiarise the children with the testing procedure, all were given a short training session. They were introduced to the seven-point hedonic face scale (19) used to evaluate their liking of the bars with regard to the sensory modalities and to the modalities evaluated for acceptance (appearance, smell, flavour/taste, texture, overall liking). The use of the face scale was clarified by showing and exemplifying the faces in the contexts of liking of different attributes. For example; *How much do you like the texture and the flavour of apples? Which smiley face corresponds to your likes/dislikes?*

The children sat on their own during testing and were not disturbed by their peers. It was emphasised that there was no right or wrong answers and that we asked for each individual child’s opinion.

**Acceptance and preference testing**

The five bars were served in randomised order and the children received no information about the composition or content of the bars. For each of the bars, the children rated their liking of the appearance, smell, flavour/taste and texture and their overall liking in that order. The seven-point hedonic face scale was used to record liking, graded from ‘really bad’ to ‘really good’. The children were asked to indicate how much they liked the given modality by ticking the facial expression corresponding to their preferences. They also responded to whether they wanted to eat the sample again (yes, maybe, no).

After evaluating acceptance, a preference rank-order of the five bars was performed using a five-point smiley ranking test. The children were asked to rank order the bars from the most preferred to the least preferred. The children were finally given the opportunity to write individual comments about their liking of each sample.

**Analysis of data**

A principal component analysis (PCA) was performed in the software program Simca-P+ v.11.0.0.0 (Umetrics AB) to provide a graphical display (plot) of the bars. Hedonic ratings of each snack bar were converted into a numeric score ranging from 1 (really bad) to 7 (really good). One-way ANOVA was performed to access differences in the evaluations of the sensory modalities of the snack bars, including the ratings of overall liking and willingness to eat the bars again. Tukey’s multiple comparison test was done on modalities for which significant differences in liking responses were found in the ANOVA. Pearson correlations were performed to correlate overall liking to individual liking scores of modalities in order to identify the main determinants for overall liking.

Comparisons were made between Danish and Swedish children and between boys and girls. Statistical analyses were conducted with SYSTAT version 10, SPSS Inc. 2000. The alpha criterium for significance was $p \leq 0.05$.

The Friedman’s test of rank sum (20) was used to analyse the ranking test. Ranks were converted into a numeric score ranging from five (most preferred) to one (least preferred). The least significant ranked difference (LSRD) values were calculated to ascertain which of the samples were significantly preferred over the others ($p \leq 0.05$) at the group level.

**Results**

The sensory attributes that characterized the five Nordic snack bars are shown as a PCA plot explaining 82% of the total variance in sensory data (Fig. 1). Results indicate there were differences between the five samples in the comparison of aroma intensity, fruit/berry odour, flavour intensity, sweetness, fruit/berry flavour, glossiness, redness, golden colour, crunchiness and particle size.

**Acceptance ratings**

The kamut/pumpkin and oat/cranberry bars were given the highest liking scores in both countries (Fig. 2). Around 40% of the children rated the bars in the ‘really good’ category. In Denmark, the rye/bilberry bar was also rated high in overall liking. However, the Swedish children rated this bar significantly lower than the Danish children ($p = 0.027$). The bars given the lowest liking scores in both countries were pumpernickel/sea buckthorn and buckwheat/strawberry. Overall, the differences in mean rating scores between countries were small. Furthermore, ratings did not differ significantly between genders. The results in the Danish and Swedish boys and girls were therefore pooled together to analyze the results of the acceptance ratings of sensory modalities.

Danish and Swedish children rated their liking of the appearance, smell, flavour/taste and texture of the kamut/pumpkin and oat/cranberry bars over the pumpernickel/sea buckthorn and rye/bilberry bars (Table 2). The rating for appearance and smell of the buckwheat/strawberry corresponded to the bars that were more liked in the overall evaluation. However, the ratings given for the taste and texture properties of the buckwheat/strawberry bar were significantly lower than for the bars that were more liked. The pumpernickel/sea buckthorn
bar was rated lowest for appearance, smell, flavour/taste and texture. Results are in line with children’s individual comments on the bars, indicating that the most preferred samples were more tasty and familiar than the least liked sample. It should be noted that on average 10% of the children disliked (‘really bad’ category) all bars regardless of country and gender. The sea buckthorn bar was disliked by almost 30% of the children, confirming the above results.

It was found that all modalities had significant impact on overall liking: Flavour \( r = 0.88 \); texture \( r = 0.79 \); odour \( r = 0.62 \) and appearance \( r = 0.56 \).

Preference ranking
The oat/cranberry and kamut/pumpkin were the most preferred bars among the Danish (\( p \leq 0.05 \), Table 3) and the Swedish children. The pumpernickel/sea buckthorn bar was consistently ranked lowest. Danish children also preferred the rye/bilberry bar to the buckwheat/strawberry and pumpernickel/sea buckthorn bars.

Preferences were similar among boys and girls, although some differences were found (Table 3). Danish girls preferred the buckwheat/strawberry bar to the pumpernickel/sea buckthorn bar (\( p \leq 0.05 \)). This was observed in neither Swedish boys and girls, nor Danish boys. Danish girls and boys and Swedish girls preferred the rye/bilberry bar to the pumpernickel/sea buckthorn bar; this was not observed among Swedish boys.

A total of 54 and 58% of the Danish and Swedish children were willing to eat the kamut/pumpkin and oat/cranberry bar again, whereas only 20% were willing to eat the less preferred pumpernickel/sea buckthorn bar.

Fig. 1. PCA biplot showing the relationships between the Nordic snack bars and their most salient sensory characteristics.

Fig. 2. Danish and Swedish children’s ratings for ‘overall liking’ of the five snack bars. Values are mean ± standard error means. Significant differences in overall liking ratings between bars are illustrated by a and b.
bars again. Furthermore, 32 and 40% reported that they were willing to eat the buckwheat/strawberry and rye/bilberry bars again, respectively. Danish children reported a higher willingness to eat the rye/bilberry bar again compared to the Swedish children (p<0.03). However, no significant differences were found in the comparison between Danish and Swedish girls and Danish and Swedish boys. Further, the ranking result did not differ significantly between the genders for any of the bars.

**Discussion**

The aim of this study was to examine 8–11 year-old Danish and Swedish children’s acceptance of snack bars composed of Nordic ingredients. In line with our expectation, the sensory testing showed that children’s acceptance and preferences for the snack bars varied according to the composition of sensory properties. Most children liked and preferred the kamut/pumpkin and oat/cranberry bars, while the pumpernickel/sea buckthorn bar was the least liked and least preferred.

Food acceptance is suggested to be determined by the perception and liking of the taste, flavour and texture of the food (21). This is in accordance with the results of the correlations showing that all modalities had significant impact on overall liking score. This finding indicates that foods rated high in appearance may appeal more to children and thereby promote tasting in particular in children with high food neophobia; however, the actual flavour is the most important determinant of children’s liking. The preferred kamut/pumpkin and oat/cranberry bars were liked for their appearance, smell, flavour/taste and texture. In contrast, the least preferred pumpernickel/sea buckthorn bar was disliked for all of the sensory modalities. Further, the buckwheat/strawberry bar was one of the least liked bars although the ratings for appearance and smell corresponded to the bars that were liked. The result indicates the importance of considering all of the sensory modalities in order to design acceptable food products for children.

The sensory characteristics of the bars varied in sweetness, crunchiness, fruitiness, colour and particle size. In an earlier sensory study of graham snacks, elementary school children suggested improving the snacks by making them taste sweeter and adding more colour (22). In our study, the colourful and golden snack bars (kamut/pumpkin, buckwheat/strawberry and oat/cranberry) were rated as being liked more for their appearance in comparison to samples that were darker in colour (rye/bilberry, pumpernickel/sea buckthorn). However, even if the preferred kamut bar had a sweet taste, the sweetness intensity of the bars did not seem to be a major factor that influenced children’s acceptance. No significant differences were found in the liking of the sweet tasting

### Table 2. Danish and Swedish children’s acceptance ratings of five Nordic snack bars. The results are presented as mean values and standard error of mean (m±SEM) and should be compared horizontally for each sensory attribute

| Danish and Swedish children | Pumpernickel Sea Buckthorn | Kamut Pumpkin | Rye Bilberry | Buckwheat Strawberry | Oat Cranberry |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------|--------------|----------------------|--------------|
| Appearance                  | 3.81±0.11<sup>a</sup>     | 5.22±0.11<sup>b</sup> | 4.40±0.11<sup>c</sup> | 5.20±0.12<sup>b</sup> | 5.34±0.10<sup>b</sup> |
| Smell                       | 3.63±0.12<sup>a</sup>     | 5.26±0.12<sup>b</sup> | 4.16±0.12<sup>c</sup> | 5.21±0.13<sup>b</sup> | 5.19±0.12<sup>b</sup> |
| Flavour/taste               | 3.18±0.14<sup>a</sup>     | 5.13±0.13<sup>b</sup> | 4.44±0.14<sup>c</sup> | 4.18±0.14<sup>b</sup> | 5.15±0.13<sup>b</sup> |
| Texture                     | 3.64±0.12<sup>a</sup>     | 5.10±0.12<sup>b</sup> | 4.52±0.12<sup>c</sup> | 4.32±0.12<sup>c</sup> | 5.20±0.12<sup>b</sup> |

Mean values with different letters are significantly different at p≤0.05.

### Table 3. Preference ranking results in Danish and Swedish children and in boys and girls, respectively. The sum of all ranks per snack bar is displayed. The results should be compared horizontally

| Preference ranking     | Pumpernickel Sea Buckthorn | Kamut Pumpkin | Rye Bilberry | Buckwheat Strawberry | Oat Cranberry |
|------------------------|----------------------------|---------------|--------------|----------------------|--------------|
| Danish children        |                            |               |              |                      |              |
| Boys & Girls           | 278<sup>a</sup>            | 491<sup>b</sup> | 422<sup>c</sup> | 331<sup>d</sup>      | 488<sup>b</sup> |
| Boys                   | 152<sup>a</sup>            | 238<sup>b</sup> | 204<sup>a</sup> | 161<sup>a</sup>      | 235<sup>a</sup> |
| Girls                  | 126<sup>a</sup>            | 253<sup>b</sup> | 218<sup>a</sup> | 170<sup>c</sup>      | 253<sup>b</sup> |
| Swedish children       |                            |               |              |                      |              |
| Boys & Girls           | 222<sup>a</sup>            | 415<sup>b</sup> | 278<sup>b</sup> | 266<sup>bc</sup>     | 424<sup>b</sup> |
| Boys                   | 095<sup>a</sup>            | 175<sup>b</sup> | 110<sup>b</sup> | 120<sup>c</sup>      | 160<sup>b</sup> |
| Girls                  | 127<sup>a</sup>            | 240<sup>b</sup> | 168<sup>a</sup> | 146<sup>bc</sup>     | 264<sup>b</sup> |

Values with different letters are significantly different at p≤0.05.
rye/bilberry and the less sweet buckwheat/strawberry. Further, the less sweet tasting buckwheat/strawberry was rated significantly higher in liking compared to the pumpernickel/sea buckthorn.

The most liked and preferred snack bars were given descriptions such as ‘popcorn-like’ and ‘like breakfast cereals’ (kamut/pumpkin), and ‘it has a lot of nuts’ (oat/cranberry). The least liked and least preferred pumpernickel/sea buckthorn bar was described as having a ‘strange taste’ and ‘the raisins do not taste like they usually do’. One of the main ingredients in this bar, the sea buckthorn berry, has previously been described as having a unique strong flavour and a sour taste (23). The flavour of the berry might have been unfamiliar to the children and thus influencing their liking of this bar. The children also commented negatively on the snacks bars if they recognized an ingredient they did not like, e.g. ‘I do not like the taste of rye bread’ (pumpernickel/sea buckthorn; rye/blueberry). This result agrees with earlier studies that identified the taste of whole grain as a barrier to gaining children’s acceptance of whole grain products (24, 25).

Similar results in the overall evaluation of the Nordic snack bars were observed in Denmark and Sweden. However, a greater variation was expected from the two countries because of the different organisation and exposure at school meals (5). Previous cross-cultural studies of food choices have found that familiarity is important for the consumer’s preferences (26). The similar results for Danish and Swedish children’s snack bars preferences could be related to their familiarity and expectations of snack foods, however.

Recent reports on Danish and Swedish school children’s snacking patterns have revealed relatively high intakes of cake, candy, fast food, and juice (6–9). These are foods whose intake is recommended to be reduced because of their high content of sugar and saturated fat, providing a great deal of energy but few nutrients (14). The Nordic snack bars were sweetened with honey and sugar. However, since the bars were also composed of several nutritious ingredients (e.g. dietary fibres, minerals and antioxidants), they can be considered preferable over most of the snack foods that the children usually prefer. The children’s acceptance of the Nordic ingredients also implied that they might be used in a variety of food products. Foods mainly originating from Nordic countries have been shown to offer several health benefits (15, 17). In particular, whole grains are suggested to improve diet quality among children (27) and to reduce the risk of coronary heart diseases in future (28).

Methodological considerations
The children were consistent in their ratings and ranking preferences. Hence, the methodology appeared to fit well with the children’s cognitive capacity, although some of the youngest children (8 years) had difficulty complying with the guidelines and refused to taste some of the bars. A limitation of the study was that Swedish boys were under represented in relation to Swedish and Danish girls and Danish boys. This might have affected the statistical comparisons between genders. Another limitation was that the Nordic snack bars were evaluated in structured tasting sessions. In a ‘real life situation’, many factors influence food choices and food preferences, such as the packaging of the product (29), the social setting (30) and appetite (3). The results were furthermore connected with the children’s initial liking of the products. Previous studies have consistently observed that liking of novel initially disliked foods increases after repeated consumption due to increased familiarity (31, 32). This was also observed in an exposure study where the disliked sea buckthorn bar was tested against the liked kamut bar (33). Liking of the sea buckthorn bar increased across exposures while it was constant for the already liked kamut bar. These findings highlight the importance of presenting foods to children over and over again, even though their initial acceptance is low. Future studies may also focus on how the Nordic ingredients can be used in a variety of food products in order to expand the market of more healthy food alternatives for children.

Conclusions
Danish and Swedish children’s acceptances and preferences for five Nordic snacks bars varying in composition of whole grains, nuts and berries were highly influenced by the sensory characteristics of the bars. Flavour was the main determinant of the children’s overall liking of the bars followed by texture, odour and appearance. In line with earlier studies, the novel food ingredients seemed to influence the children’s preferences. The Nordic snack bars may have a potential to be a snack option for Danish and Swedish school children, but repeated exposures to the products are recommended to increase children’s acceptance.
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