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ABSTRACT

Objective: The aim of this research to design the discriminant method of pork and beef meatball using high-pressure liquid chromatography-ultraviolet (HPLC-UV) combined with principal component analysis.

Methods: The 100% pork and 100% beef meatball was analyzed using HPLC-UV. The all chromatogram data from HPLC were processed using chemometrics method especially principal component analysis for discriminant the pork and beef meatball.

Results: The results showed that the beef and pork meatball can be distinguished using HPLC which was combined principal component analysis. However, the application of HPLC has a problem for lard detection in meatball because cannot to control the hydrolysis of triglyceride (TGA). Hydrolysis of TGA can also be detected using HPLC-UV and giving the interference for halal authentication.

Conclusion: The discriminant process of pork and beef meatball using HPLC-UV has a problem in TGA analysis. The TGA will be hydrolyzed to diglycerides, monoglyceride, and fatty acid form. All products from hydrolysis of TGA will give the interference in the discriminant process.
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INTRODUCTION

Development of an analysis in the detection process of the presence of lard is something very important for Moeslems. This is one of the consumer’s rights to get a good and halal product. Besides, the condition global for marketing the halal food has the improvement and increased by 12.6% in 2004 to 2010 years [1]. Therefore, halal products were not only interesting for moeslem people but nonmoeslem also supports to development the halal food. Fadzililah et al [2] reported that the use of lard in food because it is cheaper and easily. In general, the non-halal products from pig derivatives example pork, lard, and gelatin from pigs. Recently, the developing methods for analyze the lard in the foods using Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) [3]. Rohman and Che Man [4] was successfully to classify the cream containing lard using FTIR method combined with partial least square. The halal authentication process of pork and beef meatball using HPLC was performed with chemometrics, especially principal component analysis (PCA) using software The Unscrambler 10 (Camo software).

METHODS

Extraction process of TGA from beef meatball and pork meatball products[16]
About 200 g meatball samples were extracted with n-hexane using Soxlet for 6 hrs. The result of extract then added with Na2SO4, then filtered and evaporated to obtain the oil. The oil is stored in a temperature of 20°C.

Analysis of TGA on beef and pork meatball
About 10 mg fat from meatball samples are placed on the tube then are homogenized using a vortex. After that, the solution is diluted in a 50 ml flask with methanol. Finally, the solution was analyzed by HPLC (Shimadzu, LC 20 AT, Jepang) at a wavelength of 203 nm using a mobile phase composition of acetonitrile:methanol = 9:1 and 8:2.

Data analysis
The analysis process for authentication halal was performed with processing the data of chromatogram of TGA from HPLC using chemometrics, especially principal component analysis (PCA) using software The Unscrambler 10 (Camo software).

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The detection process of lard contamination in beef meatball products using HPLC from fingerprints data which were resulted from chromatogram of HPLC. The result of chromatogram is influenced by various factors. The ionic species can influence the retention time on HPLC. Rosés et al. [17] reported that retention time on HPLC was influenced some factors the ionic species from properties mobile phase such as pH, ionic strength, composition of the mobile phase. Therefore, this study was focused on the influence of mobile phase composition of acetonitrile:methanol = 90:10 and 80:20, respectively.
in the TGA analysis and discriminant analysis in the beef and pork meatball products. Rohman et al. [18] showed that the TGA composition of pork more than beef which the main TGA are palmitoleoolein (POO), palmitoleoolein (POO), palmitoleostearin (POS), and palmitoleopalmitin (POP) with high levels of 21.5±0.08%, 14.0±0.04%, and 5.1±0.04%. The same result was reported by Scrimgeour [19] that the TGA composition the largest of pork are POO, POS, OOO, POP and POL with content are 18%, 13%, 12%, 8% and 7%, respectively.

Halal authentication in this study was focused on the TGA composition in the meatball. The discriminant analysis is using PCA to classify the beef and pork meatball base on TGA composition. TGA analysis results in a 100% beef and 100% pork meatball clearly distinguishable with a maximum difference (Fig. 1).

The mobile phase composition of acetonitrile: methanol = 9:1 gives good result in discriminant process. Besides, this research also analyze for the mobile phase composition of acetonitrile:methanol = 8:2. The results of data processing using PCA showed that the use of mobile phase composition acetonitrile:methanol = 8:2 may also be used in the discriminant process (Fig. 2). The results showed that the mobile phase composition of acetonitrile:methanol = 8:2 has a score plot better than the mobile phase composition acetonitrile:methanol = 9:1. It was seen by far distances which show the difference has increasing. The same evidence also was seen the result from residual variances using mobile phase composition of acetonitrile:methanol = 8:2 smaller than the mobile phase composition of acetonitrile:methanol = 9:1 (Figs. 3 and 4). The result of Figs. 3 and 4 showed that the beef meatball has different characteristics from pork meatball because beef meatball has different colors if was compared by pork meatball.

This study shows that halal authentication process should be done in the process with the same condition. The same conditions will indicate that the discriminant happens if differences in the chemical composition of TGA in the Meatball. The chemometrics analysis also showed that the mobile phase composition in TGA analysis of meatball showed a difference quadrant between pork and beef meatball (Figs. 1 and 2).

Fig. 1: Results of PCA analysis of chromatograms the TGA from beef meatball (BS) and pork meatball (BB) were analyzed using HPLC UV with the mobile phase composition acetonitrile:methanol = 9:1

Fig. 2: Results of PCA analysis of chromatograms the TGA from beef meatball (BS) and pork meatball (BB) were analyzed using HPLC with mobile phase composition of acetonitrile:methanol = 8:2
Fig. 3: Results of residual analysis of chromatograms the TGA from beef meatball (BS) and pork meatball (BB) were analyzed using HPLC UV with the mobile phase composition acetonitrile:methanol = 9:1

Fig. 4: Results of residual analysis of chromatograms the TGA from beef meatball (BS) and pork meatball (BB) were analyzed using HPLC with mobile phase composition of acetonitrile:methanol = 8:2

Fig. 5: The Pathway of triglyceride hydrolysis process. (a) 1st Pathway, (b) 2nd pathway and, (c) 3rd pathway
This problem can occur due to hydrolysis of TGA. TGA will be hydrolyzed into fatty acids and glycerol form. The hydrolysis process also makes to be another form such as monoglycerides diglycerides from the meatball product (Fig. 5). This result showed that the analysis of halal authentication should be performed under controlled conditions. Hence, that is a problem in the analysis of pork meatball because the sample cannot be controlled. Many product hydrolyses will detect in analysis TGA using HPLC-UV. This result was reported by Carvalho et al.[20] that the HPLC-UV can analyze the methyl ester, free fatty acid, monoglycerides, diglycerides, and TGA with different retention times. Hence, this is evidence to controlling the process of making the meatball.

CONCLUSION

The influence of mobile phase composition of acetonitrile: methanol = 9:1 and 8:2 caused the difference in the resulting chromatograms of HPLC. The results showed that the chromatogram from beef and pork meatball have different which it’s characterized for TGA composition. The results of discriminant showed that beef and pork meatball can be distinguishing using PCA. However, this detection for sample and discriminant process has a problem because we can’t control the hydrolysis of TGA during the process of making the meatball.
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