ABSTRACT

This article describes and discusses factors associated to the reemergence of yellow fever and its transmission dynamics in the states of São Paulo (Southeastern Brazil) and Rio Grande do Sul (Southern) during 2008 and 2009. The following factors have played a pivotal role for the reemergence of yellow fever in these areas: large susceptible human population; high prevalence of vectors and primary hosts (non-human primates); favorable climate conditions, especially increased rainfall; emergence of a new genetic lineage; and circulation of people and/or monkeys infected by virus. There is a need for an effective surveillance program to prevent the reemergence of yellow fever in other Brazilian states.
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RESUMO

São descritos e discutidos fatores associados a emergência e dinâmica da transmissão da febre amarela nos estados de São Paulo e Rio Grande do Sul nos anos de 2008 e 2009. A interação dos seguintes fatores foi fundamental para a emergência de febre amarela nesses estados: a grande população humana suscetível; a elevada prevalência de vetores e hospedeiros (primatas não humanos); condições climáticas favoráveis, sobretudo o excesso de chuvas no verão; a emergência de uma nova linhagem viral; e a circulação de pessoas ou macacos infectados em fase virêmica. Apenas um programa eficiente de vigilância pode prevenir ocorrências similares nesses estados brasileiros.
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INTRODUCTION

Yellow fever, the original hemorrhagic fever, was one of the greatest scourges of mankind. Historical epidemics of this disease occurred between the eighteenth and twentieth centuries in many countries in the Americas, Africa, and Europe. With the introduction of yellow fever 17D vaccine in the late 1930s and campaigns for the eradication of Aedes aegypti mosquito, urban epidemics of yellow fever have dropped dramatically and outbreaks have been confined to wilderness areas with transmission mostly by Haemagogus janthinomys in the Americas and Aedes africanus in Africa. Despite that, it is estimated that 200,000 cases of yellow fever occur annually with 30,000 deaths, and underreporting of cases is the rule rather than the exception.

The basic question concerning yellow fever in Brazil and other endemic countries is: why are there still so many cases despite the fact that a low-cost, effective vaccine has been available for more than 70 years? Other puzzling issues should be addressed, particularly in Brazil: a) recent occurrence of epizootics and epizootics in areas in which there were no cases for decades (Figure); b) the reasons why viral spread is repeating the same path as in the 1940s and moving towards the coast; and c) why this is a reemerging disease despite improved surveillance, easy access to vaccine and recent environmental changes with high rates of deforestation. These are challenging issues as many factors are involved and they are addressed in the present article.

YELLOW FEVER PARADIGMS

Periodic epizootic outbreaks

Historically, epizootic outbreaks have been reported in Brazil (and in other endemic countries as well) from time to time. These outbreaks vary from region to region. In the 1940s (Figure), there was a sequential shift of yellow fever virus (YFV) from Central-West Brazil (state of Mato Grosso) to the coast with the disease being reported in the Northeast (state of Bahia), Southeast (states of Minas Gerais, Espírito Santo, and São Paulo), and the Southern. In the Amazon region and in other endemic areas in Brazil, epizootic outbreaks have been reported every five to seven years. This periodicity is likely due to renewal of non-human primate populations essential for viral amplification, which does not occur with vector population alone (Haemagogus and Sabethes mosquitoes) even considering the possibility of transovarial or vertical transmission.

A good example is the state of Goiás (Central-West Brazil). The most recent epizootics and epidemics of yellow fever in Goiás occurred in 1972–1973, 1980, 1988, 2000, and 2007–2008. These occurrences are part of a paradigm in which yellow fever virus periodically spreads via epizootic outbreaks to continuous and contiguous areas, following the movements of non-human primates and natural watercourses.

However, the same is not expected in unaffected areas. Yellow fever virus is usually introduced by probably one of two ways. First, people who are viremic or in an incubation period go to areas with high population densities of vectors/transmitters and vertebrate hosts. Once the virus is established in the area, an explosive viral transmission via vectors occurs among non-human primates without natural immunity, resulting in an almost total elimination of these monkey populations, which has been demonstrated in a molecular study with more than 70 strains of yellow fever virus isolated in Brazil and in the recent epidemic registered in the states of Rio Grande do Sul and São Paulo. Indeed, molecular analysis showed that yellow fever virus isolates from Marajó island in the Northern state of Pará were identical to yellow fever viral strains found in Goiás and Bahia. Since these two areas where infection probably occurred were more than 2,000 km apart (Figure), viral spread through monkeys is not possible, and only viremic humans with oligosymptomatic or even asymptomatic infections could have carried these yellow fever virus strains.

Another way is illegal traffic of wild animals. Animal traffickers are known to use illegal roads or means that are generally of more difficult access, which might lead to the introduction of the virus into areas where, when conditions are favorable, natural zoonotic transmission cycle may occur.

Favorable climate conditions

Although it is difficult to measure and evaluate the impact of climate conditions on the onset and magnitude of an outbreak or zoonotic epidemic of yellow fever, a study conducted in the state of Goiás during the 2000 epidemic showed that climate conditions played a crucial role in determining its magnitude. A 10-year survey of official data recorded between 1991 and 2000 by the Instituto Nacional de Meteorologia (INMET – National Institute of Meteorology) showed that temperatures increased and rainfall index was much higher in 2000 than the average for 1990 to 1999. This suggests that increased rainfall favored mosquito proliferation and high temperatures promoted viral spread and transmission.

Wildlife vectors of yellow fever

There are two major mosquito vectors for zoonotic yellow fever in Brazil nowadays, Hg. janthinomys and Hg. leucocelaenus. The former is the main vector of yellow fever in endemic areas (where vaccine is...
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Figure. Map of Brazil showing epizootic outbreaks in the 1930s and between 1998 and 2009.
important marker of mosquito infection. A single viremic monkey can be an infection source for tens or hundreds of mosquito vectors, greatly increasing the rate of disease transmission. This fact was pivotal for the reemergence of yellow fever transmission in Rio Grande do Sul. It is easy to understand the dynamics of viral transmission in Rio Grande do Sul: as epizootics occurred, several howler monkeys died, and hundreds, perhaps thousands, of *Haemogogus* became infected, leading to epizootic maintenance, including through transovarian transmission. As the virus was carried by humans and/or viremic monkeys and spread to other areas, new cases and epizootics were reported. Interestingly, this pattern supports the transmission paradigm in epizootic outbreaks.

The respect for animals, particularly howler monkeys, caused this population of animals to greatly increase in the South, creating favorable conditions to the maintenance of a native transmission cycle of yellow fever. The reintroduction of YFV in 2000 in the Rio Grande do Sul was probably due a silent cycle. It is therefore possible that during the years that preceded the most recent outbreak, an intense viral multiplication in vectors had been going on, which was crucial for viral spread among vectors. This fact was pivotal for the explosion of yellow fever transmission in Rio Grande do Sul and São Paulo states.

**Favorable climate conditions**

There have been recently major climate changes especially in the state of Rio Grande do Sul, resulting in intense and prolonged conditions alternating between rainfall and drought. A detailed study of humidity, temperature, and rainfall patterns is imperative to explore whether there were unusual conditions during the years with yellow fever case reports. Nevertheless, it is likely that recent climate alterations may have significantly contributed to the reemergence of yellow fever in that state. A comparison between Goiás and Rio Grande do Sul show that the epizootics and occurrence of cases were very similar; therefore it seems likely that climate factors have also played an important role in transmission.

**Emergence of a new viral lineage**

In the 2008–2009 epidemic in Rio Grande do Sul and São Paulo, a new viral lineage (genotype 1E) emerged from the previously described lineage genotype 1D. Molecular evidence indicates that the emergence of this new genetic lineage follows a pattern described for several viruses with a “boom-and–bust cycle,” where the current lineage originates from the previous lineage and replaces it. Lineage 1D, which has emerged and circulated in Brazil since 1998 in the state of Pará (Northern Brazil), caused yellow fever epidemics until 2007. It later gave rise to lineage 1E, which replaced it, as reported by Sousa et al and Cardoso et al.
Circulation of yellow fever virus and viremic people

The circulation of YFV and people movement within affected states, mostly in Rio Grande do Sul state, allows us to partially understand the virus circulation dynamics. The virus found in both states is identical. People movement and infected monkey trafficking is a compelling explanation for yellow fever reemergence in areas that have been unaffected by viral circulation for more than 40 years and that are about 1,000 km away from each other.

FINAL CONSIDERATIONS

A combination of five factors is likely to be associated with the reemergence of yellow fever in southern and southeastern Brazil (recent epizootics and epidemics): exposure of a susceptible human population; high density of vectors and primary hosts (non-human primates); favorable climate conditions, especially increased rainfall; emergence of a new genetic lineage; and circulation of people and/or infected monkeys. There is a need for an effective surveillance program to prevent the reemergence of yellow fever in other Brazilian states.
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