Screening of traditional Chinese medicines with therapeutic potential on chronic obstructive pulmonary disease through inhibiting oxidative stress and inflammatory response
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Abstract

Background: Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is a major public health problem and gives rise to severe chronic morbidity and mortality in the world. Inflammatory response and oxidative stress play dominant roles in the pathological mechanism of COPD, and have been regarded to be two important targets for the COPD therapy. Traditional Chinese medicines (TCMs) possess satisfying curative effects on COPD under guidance of the TCM theory in China, and merit in-depth investigations as a resource of lead compounds.

Methods: One hundred ninety-six of TCMs were collected, and extracted to establish a TCM extract library, and then further evaluated for their potency on inhibitions of oxidative stress and inflammatory response using NADP(H):quinone oxidoreductase (QR) assay and nitric oxide (NO) production assay, respectively.

Results: Our investigation observed that 38 of the tested TCM extracts induced QR activity in hepa 1c1c7 murine hepatoma cells, and 55 of them inhibited NO production in RAW 264.7 murine macrophages at the tested concentrations. Noteworthily, 20 of TCM extracts simultaneously inhibited oxidative stress and inflammatory responses.

Conclusion: The observed bioactive TCMs, particularly these 20 TCMs with dual inhibitory effects, might be useful for the treatment of COPD. More importantly, the results of the present research afford us an opportunity to discover new lead molecules as COPD therapeutic agents from these active TCMs.
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Background

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is a disease characterized by progressive and not fully reversible airflow limitation, which is associated with abnormal inflammatory response of the lung to noxious particles and gases [1]. Tobacco smoke, indoor and outdoor air pollutions, as well as exposure to occupational dust and chemicals are the three dominant risk factors for COPD. It is the fourth leading cause of chronic morbidity and mortality in the United States. On the basis of investigation by the World Bank/World Health Organization, COPD is predicted to rank fifth in 2020 as a worldwide burden of disease. A horrifying fact is that half of global deaths from COPD occur in the Western Pacific Region, with the majority of these existing in China, which might be contributing to high incidence of smoking and severe air pollution in the industrialization advancement [2].
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Cumulative evidences indicate that inflammatory response, oxidative stress, and protease imbalance play dominant roles in the pathological mechanism of COPD [3, 4]. Briefly, exogenous irritants and reactive oxygen species (ROS) activate inflammatory cells (e.g. macrophages, neutrophils) and epithelial cells in the respiratory tract that release ROS, inflammatory mediators [e.g. leukotriene B4 (LTB4), interleukin-8 (IL-8), tumor necrosis factor α (TNFα), transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β)], proteases (e.g. cathepsins, matrix metalloproteinases)[3, 5, 6]. ROS stimulates nuclear factor kB (NF-κB) and increase the release of inflammatory cytokines, inflammatory mediators promote the production of endogenous ROS, while proteases cause alveolar destruction and mucus secretion. Hence, the synergistic reactions of inflammation, oxidative stress, and protease imbalance amplify pathophysiology of COPD, and inhibitions of these three processes are regarded to be effective strategies for the treatment, as well as drug research and development of COPD [7].

Plenty of traditional Chinese medicines (TCMs) have been used clinically to treat COPD in the form of single or compound prescription under guidance of the TCM theory in China, and demonstrated satisfying curative effects [8, 9]. Their clinical effectiveness implies that TCM is an important resource of new drugs and/or lead compounds with COPD therapeutic potential. Based on this rationale, we have launched a systemic research on discovering new drugs and lead molecules for COPD treatment from TCM targeting inhibitions of oxidative stress and inflammatory response. We firstly collected and extracted TCM materials to establish a TCM extract library, and then carried out a biological screening of these TCMs using NADP(H):quinone oxidoreductase (QR) assay and nitric oxide (NO) production assay to find the TCMs with potential therapeutic effect on COPD.

Methods

Chemicals
Sulforaphane (SF, purity >98 %) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Didox (purity >98 %) was purchased from MedChem Express (Monmouth Junction, ON, USA). Solvents used for extraction were of analytical grade and obtained from Tianjin Fuyu Chemical Company (Tianjin, China).

Collection and Identification of tested TCMs
Traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) materials were purchased from the Jinan Jianlian TCM Co. Ltd in Shandong province, Anguo TCM market in Hebei province, and Bozhou TCM market in Anhui Province. These TCMs were identified by Prof. Lan Xiang, School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Shandong University, through comparing their characteristics in plant morphology and taxonomy with that described in Chinese Pharmacopoeia. Voucher specimens (Voucher ID see Table 1) of TCMs have been deposited at the Laboratory of Pharmacognosy, School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Shandong University.

Preparations of TCM extractions
Crushed aerial parts or leaves of plant materials (50 g) were extracted under reflux for 2 h with 75 % ethanol (EtOH, 2 × 500 mL), and then EtOH was removed under reduced pressure. The yield of each extract was presented as a percentage of weight of dried plant material, and has been summarized in Table 1.

Cell cultures
Hepa 1c1c7 murine hepatoma cells (American Type Culture Collection, ATCC) were maintained in Eagle’s minimal essential medium (MEM, Gibco) supplemented with 10 % fetal bovine serum (FBS, Gemini Bio-product). RAW 264.7 murine macrophages (ATCC) were cultured in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM, Gibco) supplemented with 10 % FBS. All cells were incubated at 37 °C in a humidified incubator containing 5 % CO₂.

NADP(H): quinone oxidoreductase (QR) assay
NADP(H):quinone oxidoreductase (QR) assay was modified from previously described method [10]. Hepa 1c1c7 cells (1.0 × 10⁴ cells/well) were seeded in 96-well plates and treated with the indicated doses of tested extracts for 24 h. The medium was decanted, and the cells were incubated with 40 μL of lysing solution [0.8 % digitonin and 2 mM EDTA solution (pH 7.8)] for 15 min at 37 °C. Then, 170 μL of a complete reaction mixture containing bovine serum albumin, 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazoliumbromide (MTT), 1.5 % Tween 20, 0.5 M Tris–HCl, 7.5 mM flavin adenine dinucleotide (FAD), 150 mM glucose-6-phosphate, 10 units/μL glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase, 50 mM NADP, and 50 mM menadione was added into each well. After incubation for 4 min, a blue color was developed and the reaction was arrested by adding 50 μL per well of a 0.3 mM dicoumarol solution (pH 7.4). Absorbance was measured at 630 nm on the Model 680 plate reader (Bio-rad). SF (2.0 μM) was adopted as a positive control.

Nitric oxide (NO) production assay
Inhibition of NO production by LPS-stimulated RAW 264.7 murine macrophages was applied to evaluate anti-inflammatory functions of TCM extracts. RAW 264.7 cells (8.0 × 10⁴ cells/well) were seeded in 96-well plates and treated with 1 μg/mL LPS, in the absence or presence of tested TCM extractions for 24 h. Then, 100 μL of supernatant was removed to a new 96-well plate and added with 100 μL of Griess reagent (0.1 %
| No. | Plant name                        | Part used in TCM | Voucher ID          | Yields (%) | Induction of QR activity (MQI) | Inhibition of NO production (MIR) |
|-----|-----------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1   | Acacia catechu (L.f.) Wild.       | Branch           | 20151128-100-EC    | 69.8       | N/D                             | N/D                              |
| 2   | Acanthopanax graciifolius W. W. Smith | Root-bark        | 20150802-20-WJP    | 9.8        | N/D                             | 68.0 % (100)                     |
| 3   | Acanthopanax senticosus (Rupr. et Maxim.) Harms | Rhizome  | 20150801-8-CWJ    | 7.7        | N/D                             | 52.0 % (200)                     |
| 4   | Achyranthes bidentata Bl.        | Rhizome          | 20150801-4-NX     | 8.7        | N/D                             | N/D                              |
| 5   | Aconitum carmichaeli Debx.      | Root             | 20151128-58-FZ    | 14.7       | N/D                             | N/D                              |
| 6   | Acorns tatarinowii Schott       | Rhizome          | 20151128-30-SCP    | 10.5       | N/D                             | N/D                              |
| 7   | Adenophora tetraphylla (Thunb.) Fisch. | Root            | 20151128-76-SS    | 19.1       | N/D                             | N/D                              |
| 8   | Agrimonia pilosa Ledeb.          | Aerial part      | 20151128-31-XHC   | 9.8        | N/D                             | 41.2 % (200)                     |
| 9   | Akebia trifoliata (Thunb.) Koidz. subsp. australis (Diels) T. Shimizu | Rattan        | 20150802-14-BMT    | 11.6       | N/D                             | N/D                              |
| 10  | Albitzia julibrissin Durazz.     | Bark             | 20151128-134-HHP | 8.9        | 1.64 fold (200)                 | N/D                              |
| 11  | Alisma orientalis (Sam.) Juzep.  | Root             | 20151128-71-ZX    | 13.6       | N/D                             | 34.2 % (200)                     |
| 12  | Allium tuberosum Rottl.          | Seed             | 20150717-4-JCZ    | 3.8        | N/D                             | N/D                              |
| 13  | Amomum kravanh Pierre ex Gagnep. | Fruit            | 20151128-137-DK   | 2.1        | N/D                             | N/D                              |
| 14  | Amomum villosum Loure.           | Fruit            | 20150801-9-SR     | 8.3        | N/D                             | N/D                              |
| 15  | Ampelopsis japonica (Thunb.) Makino | Root         | 20151128-127-BL   | 10.7       | N/D                             | N/D                              |
| 16  | Andrographis paniculata (Burm.f.) Nees | Aerial part | 20151128-83-CXL | 9.9 | 2.04 fold (200) | N/D |
| 17  | Anemarrhena asphodeloides Bge.   | Rhizome          | 20150802-17-ZM    | 10.2       | N/D                             | 41.2 % (200)                     |
| 18  | Angelica dahurica (Fisch. ex Hoffrn.) Benth. et Hook.f. | Root           | 20151128-125-BZ    | 8.9        | N/D                             | N/D                              |
| 19  | Angelica pubescens Maxim. f. biserata Shan et Yuan | Root        | 20150802-16-DH    | 25.1       | N/D                             | N/D                              |
| 20  | Angelica sinensis (Oliv.) Diels  | Root             | 20151128-34-DG    | 19.4       | 1.41 fold (200)                 | N/D                              |
| 21  | Arctium lappa L.                 | Fruit            | 20151128-33-NBZ   | 18.4       | N/D                             | N/D                              |
| 22  | Areca catechu L.                 | Peel             | 20151128-104-DFP | 2.3        | N/D                             | N/D                              |
| 23  | Areca catechu L.                 | Fruit            | 20151128-145-BL   | 8.2        | 1.33 fold (25)                  | N/D                              |
| 24  | Arisaema erubescens (Wall.) Schott | Tuber           | 20151128-23-TNX   | 1.2        | 1.36 fold (200)                 | N/D                              |
| 25  | Aristolochia debilis Sieb. et Zucc. | Aerial part     | 20151128-21-TXT   | 3.2        | N/D                             | N/D                              |
| 26  | Artemisia argyi Levi. et Vant.   | Leaf             | 20150716-6-AY     | 12.9       | N/D                             | 86.2 % (200)                     |
| 27  | Artemisia scoparia Waldst. et Kt. | Aerial part      | 20151128-74-YC    | 12.8       | 1.48 fold (200)                 | 38.8 % (100)                     |
| 28  | Asarum heterotropoides Fr. Schmidt var. mandshuricum (Maxim.) Kitag. | Root and rhizome | 20151128-72-XX    | 11.4       | N/D                             | N/D                              |
| 29  | Atractylode lancea (Thunb.) DC.  | Rhizome          | 20151128-136-CZ   | 24.2       | N/D                             | 52.3 % (200)                     |
| 30  | Atractylodes macrocephala Koldz. | Rhizome          | 20151128-123-BZ   | 12.9       | N/D                             | N/D                              |
| 31  | Aucklandia lappa Decne.          | Root             | 20151128-15-MX    | 16.3       | 2.31 fold (25)                  | 94.7 % (25)                      |
| 32  | Belamcanda chinensis (L.) DC.    | Rhizome          | 20151128-106-SG   | 29.6       | N/D                             | N/D                              |
| 33  | Bletilla striata (Thunb.) Reichbf. | Rhizome         | 20150801-12-BJ    | 2.1        | N/D                             | N/D                              |
| 34  | Bolbostemma paniculatum (Maxim.) Franquet | Tuber         | 20151128-5-TBM    | 15.1       | N/D                             | N/D                              |
| 35  | Callicarpa macrophylla Vahl      | Leaf             | 20151128-7-DYZZ   | 4.3        | N/D                             | 85.8 % (200)                     |
| 36  | Cassia angustifolia Vahl.        | Leaf             | 20150802-25-FXY   | 9.4        | 1.54 fold (50)                  | 79.7 % (200)                     |
| 37  | Cassia obtusifolia L.            | Seed             | 20151128-38-JMZ   | 7.9        | N/D                             | N/D                              |
| 38  | Chaenomeles speciosa (Sweet) Nakai | Fruit         | 20151128-24-MG    | 30.5       | N/D                             | N/D                              |
| 39  | Chrysanthemum morifolium Ramat.  | Flower           | 20150802-28-JH    | 23.3       | N/D                             | 90.2 % (200)                     |
| 40  | Cimicifuga heracleifolia Kom.    | Rhizome          | 20151128-28-5M    | 14.1       | 1.95 fold (100)                 | 86.4 % (200)                     |
| 41  | Cinamomum cassia Presl.          | Branch           | 20150717-2-GZ     | 5.0        | N/D                             | N/D                              |
| 42  | Cissium japonicum Fisch. ex DC.  | Aerial part      | 20151128-9-DJ     | 6.7        | N/D                             | N/D                              |
| No. | Species                          | Part           | Code   | QR Induction | NO Production Assay |
|-----|----------------------------------|----------------|--------|--------------|---------------------|
| 43  | Cirsium setosum (Willd.) M.Bieb. | Aerial part    | 20151128-10-XJ | 12.7 | 1.51 fold (200) 38.8 % (200) |
| 44  | Cistanchede deserticola Y. C. Ma | Stem           | 20150801-7-RCR | 23.6 | N/D                |
| 45  | Citrus aurantium L.             | Fruit          | 20150801-11-ZQ | 17.0 | N/D                |
| 46  | Citrus limon (L.) Burm. f.      | Fruit          | 20150716-16-NM | 19.5 | 1.79 fold (200) N/D |
| 47  | Citrus medica L. var. sarcodac-tylis Swingle | Fruit | 20151128-55-FS | 39.6 | N/D                |
| 48  | Citrus reticulata Blanco.       | Pericarp       | 20150716-10-CP | 22.0 | N/D                |
| 49  | Clematis armandii Franch.       | Rattan         | 20150802-12-CMT | 4.5 | N/D                |
| 50  | Codonopsis pilosula Franch. Nannf. | Seed          | 20150716-15-YYR | 6.5 | N/D                |
| 51  | Coix lacryma-jabu L. var. ma-yuen (Roman.) Stapf | Seed          | 20150716-15-YR | 6.5 | N/D                |
| 52  | Commelina communis L.           | Aerial part    | 20151128-88-YZC | 4.4 | N/D                |
| 53  | Coptis chinensis Franch.        | Rhizome        | 20150802-31-HL | 6.6 | 57.1 % (200)       |
| 54  | Comus officinalis Sieb. et Zucc. | Fruit          | 20150802-27-SZY | 43.6 | N/D                |
| 55  | Crataegus pinnatifida Bge. var. major N. E. Br. | Fruit | 20150716-2-SZ | 34.8 | N/D                |
| 56  | Cremastra appendiculata (D. Don) Makino | Pseudobulb | 20151128-12-SCG | 2.6 | N/D                |
| 57  | Croton tiglium L.               | Fruit          | 20150802-8-BD | 1.1 | N/D                |
| 58  | Curculigo archioides Gaertn.    | Rhizome        | 20151128-121-XM | 4.5 | 1.57 fold (200) 47.8 % at (200) |
| 59  | Curcuma phaeocaulis Val.        | Rhizome        | 20150801-20-EZ | 2.6 | N/D                |
| 60  | Curcuma wenyujin Y. H. Chen et C. Ling | Root          | 20150802-26-YJ | 9.0 | N/D                |
| 61  | Cynanchum atratum Bge.          | Root and rhizome | 20151128-40-BW | 23.6 | N/D                |
| 62  | Cynanchum stauntonii (Decne.) Schltr ex Lévl. | Rhizome | 20150801-13-BQ | 10.6 | N/D                |
| 63  | Cynomorium songaricum Rupr.     | Stem           | 20150716-14-5Y | 17.9 | N/D                |
| 64  | Cyperus rotundus L.             | Rhizome        | 20151128-80-XF | 11.6 | 1.74 fold (200) N/D |
| 65  | Dendrobium nobile Lindl.        | Stem           | 20150802-13-MH | 9.0 | N/D                |
| 66  | Dictamnus dasycarpus Turcz.     | Velamen        | 20150802-4-BXP | 9.0 | N/D                |
| 67  | Dioscorea opposita Thunb.       | Rhizome        | 20150716-1-SY | 1.7 | N/D                |
| 68  | Dipsacus asperoides C. Y. Cheng et T. M. Ai | Rhizome | 20150716-13-XD | 17.5 | N/D                |
| 69  | Drynaria fortunei (Kunze) J. Sm. | Rhizome        | 20151128-78-GSB | 4.8 | N/D                |
| 70  | Eclipta prostrata L.            | Aerial part    | 20151128-99-MHL | 9.0 | N/D                |
| 71  | Epimedium brevicomum Maxim.     | Aerial part    | 20150716-9-YYH | 20.5 | N/D                |
| 72  | Equisetum hiemale L.            | Aerial part    | 20151128-108-MZ | 4.9 | 41.5 % (200)       |
| 73  | Eriocaulon buergerianum Koern.   | Flower         | 20151128-139-GJC | 7.9 | N/D                |
| 74  | Eucommia ulmoides Oliv.         | Root-bark      | 20151128-51-DZ | 8.3 | 1.56 fold (200) 62.5 % (200) |
| 75  | Eugenia caryophyllata Thunb.    | Bud            | 20151128-1-DX | 27.5 | N/D                |
| 76  | Eupatorium fortunei Turcz.      | Aerial part    | 20151128-66-PL | 10.1 | N/D                |
| 77  | Euphorbia humifusa Willd.       | Whole plant    | 20151128-37-DXC | 9.5 | N/D                |
| 78  | Ferula Sinkiangensis K. M. Shen | Resin          | 20151128-140-EW | 6.1 | N/D                |
| 79  | Forsythia suspense (Thnub.) Vahl | Fruit          | 20151128-53-LQ | 28.3 | 48.3 % (200)       |
| 80  | Fraxinus rhynchophylla Hance    | Bark           | 20151128-86-OP | 8.0 | N/D                |
| 81  | Fritillaria assuriensi Maxim.   | Bulb           | 20151128-118-PBM | 4.1 | N/D                |
| 82  | Ganoderma sinense Zhao, Xu et Zhang | Sporophore | 20150801-2-ZZ | 2.9 | N/D                |
| 83  | Gardenia jasminoides Ellis.     | Fruit          | 20150717-7-ZZ | 16.1 | N/D                |
| 84  | Gardenia elata Bl.             | Tuber          | 20150801-16-TM | 7.5 | N/D                |
| 85  | Glycyrrhiza uralensis Fisch.    | Rhizome        | 20150716-5-GC | 15.7 | 2.19 fold (100) 82.9 % (200) |
| 86  | Hippophae rhamnoides L.         | Fruit          | 20151128-57-SJ | 36.5 | N/D                |
| No. | Common Name                          | Part                        | Code       | QR (µg/mL) | Fold (µg/mL) | % Inhibition |
|-----|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|------------|--------------|--------------|
| 87  | Homalomena occulta (Lour.) Schott   | Rhizome                     | 20151128-13-QNJ | 9.3        | N/D          | N/D          |
| 88  | Hordeum vulgare L.                 | Fruit                       | 20151128-135-MY | 11.5       | N/D          | N/D          |
| 89  | Houyttynia cordata Thunb.           | Aerial part                 | 20150801-18-YXC | 16.7       | N/D          | N/D          |
| 90  | Illicium difengpi K. I. B. et K. I. M. | Bark                      | 20151128-132-DFP | 1.9        | 1.52 fold (100) | N/D          |
| 91  | Illicium verum Hook. f.            | Fruit                       | 20151128-2-BJHX | 13.3       | N/D          | 55.7 % (200) |
| 92  | Inula helenium L.                  | Root                        | 20150802-9-BLG  | 13.6       | 1.66 fold (50) | N/D          |
| 93  | Isatis indigotica Fort.             | Root                        | 20151128-9-MLY  | 12.5       | 1.11 fold (100) | N/D          |
| 94  | Isatis indigotica Fort.             | Leaf                        | 20151128-102-DQY | 13.6       | 1.66 fold (50) | N/D          |
| 95  | Kaempferia galanga L.               | Rhizome                     | 20151128-105-SN  | 4.7        | N/D          | N/D          |
| 96  | Kochia scoparia (L.) Schrad.       | Fruit                       | 20150801-15-WY  | 10.7       | 1.59 fold (200) | N/D          |
| 97  | Laminaria Japonica Aresch.         | Thallus                     | 20151128-19-CX  | 16.1       | 1.73 fold (200) | 69.0 % (100) |
| 98  | Lepidium apetalum Willd.           | Seed                        | 20150802-21-TLZ | 6.3        | 1.52 fold (50) | N/D          |
| 99  | Ligusticum chuanxiong Hort.        | Rhizome                     | 20151128-18-NZZ | 24.2       | N/D          | N/D          |
| 100 | Ligusticum lucidum Ait.            | Leaf                        | 20151128-32-BH  | 4.3        | N/D          | N/D          |
| 101 | Lycium lucidum L.                  | Rhizome                     | 20150801-15-WY  | 10.7       | 1.59 fold (200) | N/D          |
| 102 | Lycium chinense Mill.              | Root                        | 20151128-9-ZC  | 6.4        | 1.52 fold (50) | 57.1 % (200) |
| 103 | Lophatherum gracile Brongn.        | Aerial part                 | 20151128-9-JNC  | 18.5       | N/D          | N/D          |
| 104 | Lycopus lucidus Turcz. var. hirtus Regel | Flower                   | 20150801-15-WY  | 10.7       | 1.59 fold (200) | N/D          |
| 105 | Lycopus lucidus Turcz. var. hirtus Regel | Flower                   | 20150801-15-WY  | 10.7       | 1.59 fold (200) | N/D          |
| 106 | Lycopus lucidus Turcz. var. hirtus Regel | Flower                   | 20150801-15-WY  | 10.7       | 1.59 fold (200) | N/D          |
| 107 | Lycopus lucidus Turcz. var. hirtus Regel | Flower                   | 20150801-15-WY  | 10.7       | 1.59 fold (200) | N/D          |
| 108 | Lycopus lucidus Turcz. var. hirtus Regel | Flower                   | 20150801-15-WY  | 10.7       | 1.59 fold (200) | N/D          |
| 109 | Lycopus lucidus Turcz. var. hirtus Regel | Flower                   | 20150801-15-WY  | 10.7       | 1.59 fold (200) | N/D          |
| 110 | Lycopus lucidus Turcz. var. hirtus Regel | Flower                   | 20150801-15-WY  | 10.7       | 1.59 fold (200) | N/D          |
| 111 | Lycopus lucidus Turcz. var. hirtus Regel | Flower                   | 20150801-15-WY  | 10.7       | 1.59 fold (200) | N/D          |
| 112 | Lycopus lucidus Turcz. var. hirtus Regel | Flower                   | 20150801-15-WY  | 10.7       | 1.59 fold (200) | N/D          |
| 113 | Lycopus lucidus Turcz. var. hirtus Regel | Flower                   | 20150801-15-WY  | 10.7       | 1.59 fold (200) | N/D          |
| 114 | Lycopus lucidus Turcz. var. hirtus Regel | Flower                   | 20150801-15-WY  | 10.7       | 1.59 fold (200) | N/D          |
| 115 | Lycopus lucidus Turcz. var. hirtus Regel | Flower                   | 20150801-15-WY  | 10.7       | 1.59 fold (200) | N/D          |
| 116 | Lycopus lucidus Turcz. var. hirtus Regel | Flower                   | 20150801-15-WY  | 10.7       | 1.59 fold (200) | N/D          |
| 117 | Lycopus lucidus Turcz. var. hirtus Regel | Flower                   | 20150801-15-WY  | 10.7       | 1.59 fold (200) | N/D          |
| 118 | Lycopus lucidus Turcz. var. hirtus Regel | Flower                   | 20150801-15-WY  | 10.7       | 1.59 fold (200) | N/D          |
Table 1 Inhibitions on oxidative stress and inflammation of TCMs evaluated using QR induction and NO production assay (Continued)

| No. | Plant Name                                   | Part             | Assay Date     | IC50 (µg/mL) | Fold (µg/mL) | % Inhibition |
|-----|---------------------------------------------|------------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| 131 | Phragmites communis Trin.                   | Root             | 20151128-49-LG | 3.8          | N/D          | N/D          |
| 132 | Physalis alkekengi L. var. franchetii (Mast.) Makino | Calyx           | 20150730-2-GJD | 14.2         | 1.79 fold (200) | 91.4 % (200) |
| 133 | Pinellia ternate (Thunb.) Breit.            | Tuber            | 20151128-130-BX| 0.8          | 1.74 fold (200) | N/D          |
| 134 | Piper nigrum L.                             | Fruit            | 20151128-92-HHJ| 5.4          | N/D          | N/D          |
| 135 | Plantago asiatica L.                        | Whole plant      | 20150716-4-CQC | 13.7         | N/D          | N/D          |
| 136 | Platycodon grandiflorum (Jacq.) A. DC.      | Root             | 20151128-87-JG | 33.4         | N/D          | N/D          |
| 137 | Pogostemon cablin (Blanco) Benth.           | Aerial part      | 20151128-16-GHX| 5.5          | 1.73 fold (100) | 56.6 % (200) |
| 138 | Polygonatum kingianum Coll. et Hemsl.       | Rhizome          | 20151128-90-HJ | 6.8          | N/D          | N/D          |
| 139 | Polygonatum odoratum (Mill.) Druce         | Rhizome          | 20151128-113-YZ| 21.6         | N/D          | N/D          |
| 140 | Polygonum aviculare L.                      | Aerial part      | 20151128-89-BX | 10.8         | N/D          | N/D          |
| 141 | Polygonum cuspidatum Sieb. et Zucc.         | Root and rhizome | 20151128-63-HZ | 21.7         | N/D          | 60.5 % (200) |
| 142 | Polygonum multiflorum Thunb.                | Root             | 20151128-54-HSW| 6.8          | N/D          | 35.5 % (200) |
| 143 | Pongyala tenuifolia Willd.                  | Root             | 20151128-46-YZ | 34.4         | N/D          | N/D          |
| 144 | Pseudeostellaria heterophylla (Miq.) Pax ex Pax et Hoffm. | Peel            | 20150716-11-XKC | 4.1          | N/D          | N/D          |
| 145 | Prunus armeniaca L. var. ansu Maxim.        | Seed             | 20151128-60-KXR | 5.7          | N/D          | N/D          |
| 146 | Prunus persica (L.) Batsch.                 | Velamen          | 20151128-61-TR | 3.7          | N/D          | N/D          |
| 147 | Pseudolarx kaempleri Gord.                  | Root             | 20151128-27-TZS| 13.5         | N/D          | N/D          |
| 148 | Potentilla chinensis Ser.                   | Whole plant      | 20151128-65-WLC| 8.9          | N/D          | N/D          |
| 149 | Pulsatilla chinensis (Bunge) Regel          | Root             | 20151128-124-BTW| 22.7         | N/D          | N/D          |
| 150 | Puncia granatum L.                          | Peel             | 20151128-117-SLP| 31.3         | N/D          | N/D          |
| 151 | Pyrosia sheareri (Bak.) Ching               | Leaf             | 20151128-116-SW| 12.2         | 1.85 fold (50) | N/D          |
| 152 | Rabdosia rubescens (Hemsl) Hara             | Aerial part      | 20151128-39-DLC| 8.4          | 1.38 fold (100) | 43.2 % (200) |
| 153 | Raphanus sativus L.                         | Seed             | 20150802-30-LFZ| 13.8         | N/D          | N/D          |
| 154 | Rhaponticum uniforum (L.) DC.               | Root             | 20151128-97-LL | 4.4          | 1.54 fold (200) | N/D          |
| 155 | Rheum palmatum L.                           | Root and rhizome | 20151128-103-DH| 25.6         | 60.2 % (200) |
| 156 | Rhodiola crenulata (Hook. f. et Thorns.) H. Ohba | Rhizome        | 20150801-5-HIT | 13.3         | 46.3 % (200) |
| 157 | Rosa chinensis Jacq.                        | Flower           | 20151128-110-YIH| 18.9         | N/D          | N/D          |
| 158 | Rosa laevigata Michx.                       | Fruit            | 20151128-68-JYZ| 25.2         | 1.67 fold (50) | 57 % (200)   |
| 159 | Rubia cordifolia L.                         | Root and rhizome | 20151128-73-QC | 10.0         | N/D          | N/D          |
| 160 | Salvia miltiorhiza Bge.                     | Root and rhizome | 20151128-29-DS | 39.7         | 1.44 fold (100) | 64.5 % (200) |
| 161 | Sanguisorba officinalis L.                  | Root             | 20151128-36-DY | 3.5          | N/D          | N/D          |
| 162 | Saposhnikovia divaricata (Turcz.) Schischk. | Root            | 20150802-19-FF | 15.9         | 1.95 fold (100) | N/D          |
| 163 | Saracensium pallidum (Turn.) C. Ag.         | Peel             | 20150802-1-HZ | 11.5         | N/D          | N/D          |
| 164 | Sargentodoxa cuneate (Oliv.) Rehd. et Wils. | Rattan          | 20151128-8-DXT | 16.9         | N/D          | N/D          |
| 165 | Scrophularia ningpoensis Hemsl.             | Root             | 20151128-128-XS| 50.5         | N/D          | N/D          |
| 166 | Scutellaria baicalensis Georgi.             | Rhizome          | 20150716-12-HQ | 30.2         | N/D          | 87.4 % (200) |
| 167 | Scutellaria barbata D. Don                  | Whole plant      | 20151128-35-BZL| 10.2         | N/D          | 59.0 % (200) |
| 168 | Sedum sarmentosum Bunge.                    | Whole plant      | 20151128-64-CPC| 17.5         | N/D          | N/D          |
| 169 | Selaginella tamariscina (Beauv.) Spring     | Whole plant      | 20151128-69-JB | 8.9          | N/D          | N/D          |
| 170 | Senecio scandens Buch.-Ham.                 | Aerial part      | 20151128-14-QLG| 11.4         | N/D          | 38.1 % (200) |
| 171 | Sesamum indicum L.                          | Seed             | 20150717-3-HZM | 3.3          | N/D          | N/D          |
| 172 | Siegesbeckia orientalis L.                  | Aerial part      | 20151128-98-XXC| 4.8          | 1.91 fold (200) | 54.9 % (200) |
naphthylethylenediamine and 1 % sulfanilamide in 5 % H$_3$PO$_4$ solution) at room temperature for 15 min. Absorbance was measured at 570 nm on the Model 680 plate reader (Bio-rad). Nitrite concentration was calculated from a NaNO$_2$ standard curve. Didox (100 $\mu$M) was used as a positive control.

**Cell viability assay**
The anti-proliferative effect of TCM extracts on RAW 264.7 cells were simultaneously determined using a 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT, Sigma) assay. Briefly, 100 $\mu$L of DMEM media containing 0.4 % MTT were added to each well, after removing 100 $\mu$L of supernatant as described in NO production assay. Then, the cells were incubated at 37 °C for 3 h, and absorbance was measured at 570 nm on the Model 680 plate reader (Bio-rad).

**Statistical analysis**
One way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and post hoc multiple comparison Bonferroni test were applied to compare the significant difference between two groups. Results are presented as the mean ± SD. $P < 0.05$ was considered to be significant.

**Results and Discussion**
To establish a TCM extract library for biological screening, we firstly selected 196 TCMs based on Chinese Pharmacopoeia (Edition 2015) and TCM literatures, and collected TCMs from Jinan local TCM drugstore, as well as the two biggest Chinese TCM markets, Anguo and Bozhou TCM markets. After plant material authentication, TCMs were extracted with 75 % EtOH to prepare their EtOH extracts, and then the concentrations of 200, 100, 50, 25, 12.5, 6.25 $\mu$g/mL were selected as tested doses for bioassays. Names, origin, extract yields and biological activities of investigated TCMs were summarized in Table 1.

| Name                                      | Origin              | Extract yield | Anti-inflammation activity | Anti-oxidative stress activity |
|--------------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Siphonostegia chinensis Benth.             | Whole plant         | 20151128-119-BLJM | 9.7 | N/D | 34.6 % (200) |
| Smilax glabra Roxb.                        | Rhizome             | 20151128-101-TFL | 10.9 | N/D | N/D |
| Sophora flavescens Ait.                   | Root                | 20151128-62-KS  | 21.4 | N/D | N/D |
| Sophora japonica L.                       | Flower and bud      | 20151128-95-HH  | 37.1 | 1.44 fold (200) | N/D |
| Sparganium stoloniferum Buch.-Ham.         | Tuber               | 20151128-3-SL   | 4.5  | 1.57 fold (200) | N/D |
| Spatholobus suberectus Dunn                | Rattan              | 20151128-56-JXT | 5.8  | N/D | N/D |
| Stemona sessilifolia (Miq.) Miq.           | Root                | 20150802-24-BB  | 30.6 | N/D | N/D |
| Stephania tetrandra S. Moore               | Root                | 20151128-43-FJ  | 72.9 | N/D | 50.0 % (200) |
| Sterculia lychnophora Hance               | Seed                | 20150801-21-PDH | 3.2  | N/D | 30.7 % (200) |
| Taraxacum mongolicum Hand.-Mazz.           | Whole plant         | 20150716-3-PGY  | 17.2 | N/D | 42.3 % (200) |
| Taxillus chinesis (DC.) Danser             | Aerial part         | 20150802-15-SJS | 6.3  | N/D | N/D |
| Trichosanthes kirilowii Maxim.             | Seed                | 20131120-1-GL   | 20.5 | N/D | N/D |
| Tripterygium wilfordii Hook. f.            | Root                | 20150802-18-LGT | 8.3  | N/D | N/D |
| Tussilago farfara L.                       | Bud                 | 20150802-6-KDH  | 9.9  | 2.38 fold (50) | N/D |
| Uncaria rhynchophylla (Miq.) Miq. ex Havil.| Stem               | 20151128-79-GT  | 6.5  | N/D | N/D |
| Urolea diffacta Vain.                     | Thallus             | 20150802-2-SL   | 11.0 | N/D | 44.5 % (200) |
| Vaccaria segetatis (Neck.) Garcke          | Seed                | 20151128-20-WBLX| 4.9  | N/D | N/D |
| Verbena officinalis L.                     | Aerial part         | 20151128-17-MBC | 7.9  | N/D | N/D |
| Viola yedoensis Makino                    | Whole plant         | 20150802-7-ZHDD | 25.6 | N/D | N/D |
| Xanthium sibiricum Patr.                  | Fruit               | 20151128-47-CEZ | 3.5  | N/D | N/D |
| Zanthoxylum nitidum (Roxb.) DC.            | Root                | 20151128-52-LMZ | 6.1  | N/D | 38.2 % (200) |
| Zanthoxylum schinifolium Sleb. et Zucc.    | Peel                | 20151128-48-HI  | 21.2 | 1.75 fold (200) | 50.3 % (200) |

SF (2.0 $\mu$M) with an approximately 1.7-fold induction was used as a positive control for QR assay; Didox (100 $\mu$M) with an approximately 60 % inhibition of NO production was adopted as a positive control for NO inhibitory assay; MQI: the maximum folds of QR inducing activity under the tested concentration; MIR: the maximum inhibition rate of NO production under the nontoxic tested concentration; N/D, undetected.
enzymes are antioxidant response element (ARE)-containing target genes and are mediated by ARE located in their promoter region [11]. Specially, upon the exposure of cells to oxidative stress and/or toxicants, nuclear factor E2-related factor 2 (Nrf2) translocates into the nucleus, binds to the ARE sequence, and activates the transcription of these ARE-target genes [12]. Therefore, QR and antioxidant enzymes (e.g. GCLM and HO-1) possess same responses against endogenous and exogenous insults, which have also been verified by our recent researches [13, 14]. Considering above mentioned inducing mechanism of QR and antioxidant enzymes, determination of QR activity is a rational and effective method for analyzing the potency of oxidative stress inhibition. In the current study, we normalized the data by setting the untreated control group as 1, and then the QR inducing activity of tested extracts was represented by the maximum folds of QR inducing activity (MQI) compared with the untreated control group. SF as a positive control displayed an approximately 1.7-fold induction at 2.0 μM. 1.3-fold of QR inducing activity (MQI = 1.3) under the tested concentrations was adopted as a criterion for bioactive TCM extracts. To be more precise, the level of QR inducing activity was ranked as the following criteria: strong (MQI ≥ 1.8); moderate (1.8 > MQI ≥ 1.5); weak (1.5 > MQI ≥ 1.3); undetected (MQI < 1.3).

Ultimately, 38 TCM extracts demonstrated the QR inducing activities with MQI ranging from 1.33- to 2.38- folds under the tested concentrations (Table 1). Of which, eight TCM extracts strongly induced QR activity in hepa 1c1c7 cells (MQI ≥ 1.8), including Andrographis paniculata (aerial part, 16), Aucklandia lappa (root, 31), Cimicifuga heracleifolia (rhizome, 40), Glycyrrhiz uralensis (rhizome, 85), Pyrosyss shearei (leaf, 153), Saposhnikovia divaricate (root, 164), Siegesbeckia orientalis (aerial part, 174), and Tussilago farfara (bud, 188). Twenty-two extracts are moderate QR inducers (1.8 > MQI ≥ 1.5), containing Albizia julibrissin (bark, 10), Cassia angustifolia (leaf, 36), Cirsium setosum (aerial part, 43), Citrus limon (fruit, 46), Curculigo orchioides (rhizome, 58), Cyperus rotundus (rhizome, 64), Eucommia ulmoides (root-bark, 74), Illicium dengfeng (bark, 90), Inula helenium (root, 92), Isatis indigotica (leaf, 93), Ligusticum chuanxiong (rhizome, 99), Lindera aggregata (root, 102), Lithospermum erythrhorhizon (root, 103), Misla chinensis (aerial part, 118), Perilla frutescens (leaf, 128), Physalis alkekengi L. var. franchetii (calyx, 132), Pinellia ternata (tuber, 133), Pogostemon cablin (aerial part, 137), Rhaponticum uniflorum (root, 156), Rosa laevigata (fruit, 160), Sparganium stoloniferum (tuber, 179), and Zanthoxylum schinifolium (peel, 196). Moreover, eight extracts possessed weak QR inducing effect (1.5 > MQI ≥ 1.3), including Angelica sinensis (root, 20), Areca catechu (fruit, 23), Arisaema turbescens (tuber, 24), Artemisia scoparia (aerial part, 27), Morus alba (branch, 120), Rabdosia rubescens (aerial part, 154), Salvia miltiorrhiza (root and rhizome, 162), and Sophora japonica (flower and bud, 178). QR inducing effects of 38 bioactive TCM extracts in hepa 1c1c7 cells have been detailedly summarized in Additional file 1: Table S1 and Figure S1.

During the chronic inflammation process, excessive NO have been produced and involved in the tissue injury through damages to proteins, lipids, DNA, and the modulation of leukocyte activity [15]. Accordingly, inhibiting NO production is regarded to be an effective strategy for the therapy of inflammation-related diseases. Herein, we detected NO level in LPS-stimulated RAW264.7 macrophages to evaluate anti-inflammatory function of TCM extracts. Cytotoxicities of tested TCM extracts were simultaneously evaluated by a MTT assay to confirm that the decrease of NO production was not attributed to inhibition of cell proliferation. The maximum inhibition rate (MIR) of NO production under the nontoxic tested concentration, which was calculated by comparing the decreased NO concentration in TCM-treated group with that in LPS-stimulated group, was adopted to evaluate the anti-inflammatory property. Didox with an approximately 60 % inhibition of NO production at 100 μM was used as a positive control. The inhibitory potency of TCM extracts on NO production was ranked according to the criteria as follows: strong (MIR ≥ 80 %); moderate (80 % > MIR ≥ 50 %); weak (50 % > MIR ≥ 30 %); undetected (MIR < 30 %).

Our investigation indicated that 55 TCM extracts inhibited the LPS-induced NO production with MIRs between 30.7 % and 100 % under the tested nontoxic concentrations (Table 1). Thereinto, 11 TCM extracts strongly inhibited NO production in RAW 264.7 cells (MIR ≥ 80 %), including Artemisia argyi (leaf, 26), Aucklandia lappa (root, 31), Callicarpa macrophylla (leaf, 35), Chrysanthemum morifolium (flower, 39), Cimicifuga heracleifolia (rhizome, 40), Fraxinus rhynophylla (bark, 80), Glycerrhiza uralensis (rhizome, 85), Inula helenium (root, 92), Oraxylum inddicum (seed, 123), Physalis alkekengi L. var. franchetii (calyx, 132), and Scutellaria baicalensis (rhizome, 168). Moreover, 25 extracts displayed moderate inhibitory effect of NO production (80 % > MIR ≥ 50 %), and 19 extracts weakly inhibited NO production (50 % > MIR ≥ 30 %). Inhibitory effects on NO production of 55 bioactive TCM extracts in RAW 264.7 cells have been detailedly summarized in Additional file 1: Table S1 and Figure S1.

Since oxidative stress and inflammatory response have the synergistic reactions in the pathophysiology of COPD, TCMs having dual inhibitions on the two targets are apt to be the resource for discovering lead molecules [5, 7]. Our results indicated that the extracts of Artemisia scoparia (aerial part, 27), Aucklandia lappa
Aucklandia lappa

Simultaneously inhibited oxidative stress and inflammation (Table 1 and Additional file 1: Table S1). Most of these comprehensively investigated molecules, a great deal of constituents have been isolated from these active TCMs, and required further confirmation of their anti-inflammatory function. Meanwhile, a number of TCMs (e.g. Alisma orientalis (11), Equisetum hiemale (72), Cirsium setosum (43)) have not been investigated in the field of inflammation. Significantly, little research on the therapeutic effect of COPD has been performed, and thus these active TCMs are still being researched.

In the present screening assay, we only adopted two typical markers, QR and NO, to evaluate the potential of TCMs as oxidative stress and inflammation inhibitory agents. Based on our preliminary results, active TCM extracts could be subjected to further research in the field of phytochemistry and pharmacology, however, solid evidences on their biological functions are required before a systemic investigation [14]. With regard to the inhibition on oxidative stress, the levels of endogenous glutathione (GSH) and reactive ROS, as well as the protein level of key intracellular redox-balancing protein GCLM, are suggested to be detected to estimate the intracellular redox state and antioxidant capacity when exposed to TCM extracts [30–32]. Concerning the inhibition of inflammation by the active TCMs, the levels of crucial inflammatory mediators in the COPD pathology, including TNFα, LTβ4, and IL-8, should be determined to confirm their anti-inflammatory potential [33].

Additionally, the pivotal regulators for oxidative stress and inflammation should be sufficiently investigated to verify action of mechanism of the active TCMs. The transcription factor Nrf2 plays a dominant role for regulating oxidative stress. It is ubiquitously expressed in human organs, particularly rich in lung, and counteracts oxidative injury through activating intracellular redox-balancing proteins (e.g. GCLM, GST, HO-1) and up-regulating endogenous antioxidants (e.g. GSH) [11, 34]. NF-kB regulates the expression of proinflammatory genes including cytokines, chemokines, and adhesion molecules, and its inhibition therefore definitely relieves the inflammatory response of COPD [7, 35]. It has also been verified that phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K) and mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) are involved in the regulation of inflammatory response [36, 37]. Hence, the further research on active TCM extracts and purified ingredients could focus on their action of mechanism on Nrf2, NF-kB, PI3K, and MAPK signaling pathways, as well as the cross talk between these pathways.
Conclusion
Although the present research indicates that some TCMs possessed inhibitory effects on inflammation and oxidative stress, further pharmacological investigations in vitro and in vivo models are warranted. Furthermore, bioassay-guided fractionations and identifications of active ingredients should be launched to help us illustrate the mechanism of these active species, and discover new lead molecules with unknown mechanisms and potent functions on oxidative stress- and inflammation-related diseases, especially COPD. Accordingly, these results may give new insight in research and development of COPD therapeutic agents.

Additional file

Additional file 1: Figure S1. NADP(H)-: quinone oxidoreductase (QR) inducing effects of 38 bioactive TCM extracts in hepa 1c1c7 cells. The QR inducing effect was determined after 24h treatment of the hepa 1c1c7 cells in the presence or absence of tested TCMs. The data of the untreated control group was normalized as 1, and then the QR inducing activity of tested extracts was represented by the maximum folds of QR activity compared with the untreated control group. Sulforaphane (SF, 2.0 μM) was used as a positive control. The data are reported the means ± SD from three independent experiments. Figure S2. Inhibitory effects on NO production of 55 bioactive TCM extracts in RAW 264.7 cells. The NO concentration in the RAW 264.7 cell culture media was determined through the Griess reaction 24 h after treated in the presence or absence of tested TCMs and lipopolysaccharides (LPS, 1.0 μg/mL). Didox (100 μM) was adopted as a positive control. The data are reported the means ± SD from three independent experiments. The maximum inhibition rates (MIRs) of NO production under the untoxic tested concentration were calculated by comparing the decreased NO concentration in TCM-treated group with that in LPS-stimulated group.

Table S1. TCM extracts with QR inducing activity and/or NO inhibitory effect. (DOCX 4312 kb)
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