Effects of Inclusion Levels of Sweet Potato Vine Silage on Feed Intake, Milk Production and Profitability of Lactating Crossbred Dairy Cows
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Abstract

Feed scarcity is a major challenge in smallholder production systems especially during the dry season. Sweet potato vines (SPV) contribute over 40% of the crop residues fed to dairy cattle but they are highly perishable resulting into losses of about 24% per season. Ensiling SPV mitigates seasonal feed shortages and assists in coping with seasonal feed price fluctuations. This study was conducted to evaluate the effect of sweet potato vines silage (SPVS) supplementation on the performance of lactating Ankole × Friesian crossbred dairy cows. Four primiparous cows in early lactation were randomly allotted a basal diet of Rhodes grass (Chloris gayana) hay supplemented with dairy pellets and four graded levels of SPVS (0, 100, 200 and 300 g/kg of the daily ration) in a 4 × 4 Latin square design. The animals were given a one-week adaptation period followed by a two weeks data collection period for each diet. Dry matter intake (DMI), live weight changes, milk yield and composition were determined. Dry matter intake improved with addition of SPVS (P ≤ 0.05), with intake being highest (11.9 kg) in cows that were fed the highest SPVS level and lowest in cows that were not given SPVS (6.8 kg). Although animals on diets with 0, 100, 200 g of SPVS/kg of daily ration lost weight, there were no significant differences (P ≥ 0.05) in body weight changes across all treatments. Milk yield increased with SPVS supplementation by 1.5 - 1.7 kg per cow per day but there were no significant differences between the different SPVS supplementation levels. Milk fat and solids-non-fat differed (P ≤ 0.05) across the four diets but there was no consistent trend observed. Considering profit margins, supplementing dairy cows with SPVS at a level of 100 g/kg of daily ration was the most profitable at Uganda shillings 1290 (0.344...
USD) per cow per day. In conclusion, SPVS supplementation improved DMI and milk yield but supplementation beyond 100 g/kg of the daily feed intake was not cost-effective.
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1. Introduction

Under the Uganda Vision 2040 development framework, the Government of Uganda identified milk as one of the twelve agricultural commodities of focus for accelerated development of the agricultural sector. Uganda’s dairy sector is therefore critical with high potential of improving food security and welfare. Feed is one of the critical resources that determine the potential for growth in the dairy sector. Smallholder dairy cattle production in Uganda however, largely depends on seasonal feed resources, which are relatively low in quantity and quality. Apart from Napier grass (*Pennisetum purpureum*) as the main forage source for urban and peri-urban dairy production, animals are also fed natural pastures, crop residues and agro-industrial by-products [1] [2].

Sweet potato is one of the most important food crops in Uganda producing large volumes of vines, which are left in the field after harvesting. These sweet potato vines can effectively be utilized as dairy cattle feed. The value of sweet potato vines is attributed to their inherent characteristics that include high levels of water-soluble carbohydrates, palatability, crude protein and high digestibility (>62%) [3]. The crude protein content of sweet potato forage ranges from 180 to 300 g/kg dry matter among different varieties, while the crude fiber content is about 180 g/kg DM. Phesatcha and Wanapat [4] reported improved milk yield in Holstein crossbred cows fed pelleted sweet potato vines. Also, [5] indicated that ensiling sweet potato vines eliminates trypsin inhibitor leading to improved protein digestibility. In the rainy season, the vines are also available in plenty from peri-urban and urban food markets [6] [7] [8]. However, due to their high moisture content and bulkiness, a lot of the sweet potato vines cannot be kept for long because they rot and deteriorate in feeding value. To facilitate the use of sweet potato vines beyond the rainy season and to bridge the feed gap in the dry season, there is a need to conserve the sweet potato vines in form of silage. Traditionally, sweet potatoes can be grown two to three times per year giving large quantities of sweet potato vines that can be ensiled. There are no known studies in Uganda that have assessed the performance of crossbred dairy cattle fed sweet potato vine silage under smallholder dairy production systems. The purpose of this study therefore was to evaluate the effects of different inclusion levels of sweet potato vine silage on feed intake, milk production and profitability of lactating dairy cows.
2. Materials and Methods

Description of experimental site

The study was conducted at Site Farm located in Nakyesasa village, Wakiso District about 24 km along the Kampala-Busiika road. Wakiso district (0.0630°N, 32.4467°E) is located in the central region of Uganda and is bordered by Nakaseke and Luwero districts in the North, Mukono in the East, Kalangala in the South, Mityana in the Northwest and Mpigi district in the Southwest. Selection of the farmer was based on; willingness to participate in the study; allowing other farmers to visit the experimental site; having sweet potato as one of the major crops grown on the farm and having at least four early lactating primiparous Ankole × Friesian dairy cows.

Experimental animals, diets and experimental design

Four primiparous Ankole × Friesian crossbred dairy cows in early lactation with average milk yield of 9.21 ± 1.68 liters and average body weight of 422.92 ± 26.15 kg were used in this study. The animals were housed in individual pens and treated against internal and external parasites before commencement of the experiment. Four diets were offered to the animals in a 4 × 4 Latin square change over design with four feeding periods each lasting 21 days. Animals were allowed a 7-day adaptation period before the start of data collection for every period. The basal diet consisted of Chloris gayana (Rhodes grass) hay offered ad lib and four kg of dairy pellets fed in two portions during morning and evening milking. Animals were then supplemented with SPVS at levels of 0, 100, 200 and 300 g/kg of the total daily ration to constitute the four treatment diets (Table 1).

To ensure that all the SPVS was consumed, it was offered first after the morning milking before providing Rhodes grass hay. Each of the diets were formulated to provide at least 12% CP required for moderate levels of production [9]. The animals had free access to clean drinking water and commercial mineral block licks.

The daily feed intake was determined by subtracting the refused feed of a given day from the total feed offered that day. Feeds were sampled once during each feeding period and taken to the laboratory for chemical analyses. Milking was done twice a day at 05:00 hrs and at 16:00 hrs and the total daily yields were

Table 1. Feed composition of the experimental diets fed to the lactating dairy cows.

| Component (kg/day) | D0  | D100 | D200 | D300 |
|--------------------|-----|------|------|------|
| Rhodes grass hay*  | 14  | 12   | 10   | 09   |
| Sweet potato vines  | 0   | 06   | 12   | 18   |
| Dairy pellets       | 4   | 4    | 4    | 4    |

*For Rhodes grass, the minimum amount that had to be consumed to meet the required CP intake is the quantity listed under each treatment. All animals had access to commercial mineral block licks (Percentage composition P 0.20, Ca 0.14, Mg 0.50, Fe 0.15, Co 0.005, Cu 0.03, I 0.015, Mn 0.02, Zn 0.03, Se 0.001 and Na 38).
recorded. Milk samples were collected during the second week of data collection on each diet and taken to the laboratory to be analyzed for milk fat and total solids. The live body weights (kg) of the animals on each treatment were determined using a heart girth tape and body weights were taken at the beginning of data collection and subtracted from the final weights at the end of each treatment to compute the live weight changes (average daily gains) of the animals on the different diets. The 4% fat corrected milk yield (FCM) was determined using the formula: FCM = 0.4M + 15F [10]; where M = weight of the milk and F = the fat weight in the milk.

**Chemical analyses**

Samples of about 0.3 kg of *Chloris gayana* hay, SPVS and homemade dairy pellets were randomly picked and taken to the laboratory for chemical analysis. At the laboratory, they were weighed and oven dried at 60°C to constant weight for 48 hours in a forced draft oven and then ground through a 1 mm sieve pending chemical analysis. The dried samples were analyzed for crude protein (CP) using Kjeldahl method as described by [11]. Neutral Detergent Fiber (NDF) and Acid Detergent Fiber (ADF) were analyzed according to procedures outlined by [12], while *in vitro* organic matter digestibility (IVOMD) was determined using the [13] technique. Calcium (Ca) and Phosphorus (P) levels were determined using methods described by [14]. Metabolizable energy (ME) was estimated using a regression equation developed by [15] where ME (MJ/kg DM) = 0.15 × IVOMD; and IVOMD = *in vitro* organic matter digestibility (%).

**Statistical analysis**

The effects of the different levels of SPVS on feed intake, live weight, milk yield and composition were tested using Analysis of Variance (ANOVAs) of the General Linear Models Procedure (GLM) in [16] for a balanced 4 × 4 Latin square design using the model below:

\[
X_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_k + \epsilon_{ijk}; i, j \text{ and } k = 1 \cdots a
\]

where: \(X_{ijk}\) = the \(k\)th observation on the response variable under the \(i\)th treatment.
- \(\mu\) = Over all mean.
- \(\alpha_i\) = Treatment effect (\(i = 1 \cdots 4\)).
- \(\beta_j\) = Period effect (\(j = 1 \cdots 4\)).
- \(\gamma_k\) = Animal effect (\(k = 1 \cdots 4\)).
- \(\epsilon_{ijk}\) = Random error effect.

Differences among treatment means were compared using Tukey’s test of [16].

**3. Results**

The chemical composition of the different diet ingredients is presented in Table 2. Dry matter, NDF and ADF levels of the ingredients were highest in Rhodes grass hay (RGH). Among the supplements, sweet potato vine silage had higher CP and ash levels than the dairy pellets. The dairy pellets had the highest ME.
Table 2. Chemical composition (g/kg DM) of the feeds used in feeding the lactating dairy cows.

| Proximate Component                  | RGH  | SPVS | Dairy pellets |
|--------------------------------------|------|------|---------------|
| Dry matter                           | 830  | 266  | 680           |
| Crude Protein                        | 75   | 194  | 148           |
| Neutral detergent fiber              | 780  | 333  | 157           |
| Acid detergent fiber                 | 441  | 186  | 59            |
| Acid detergent lignin                | 137  | 59   | 40            |
| Ash                                  | 104  | 85   | 74            |
| Metabolisable Energy (MJ/kg DM)      | 198  | 772  | 795           |

RGH = Rhodes grass hay, SPVS = sweet potato vine silage.

while Rhodes grass had the lowest. As would be expected, the supplements had higher CP than Rhodes grass hay.

**Dry matter intake and in vitro organic matter digestibility**

There was a general DMI increase with increasing levels of SPVS supplementation (Table 3). Dry matter intake was lowest for the cows that received no SPVS and highest for the cows that received the highest level of SPVS supplementation. In vitro digestibility of the diets did not differ across the four levels of SPVS supplementation.

**Milk yield and composition & economics of SPVS supplementation**

Daily milk yield increased with SPVS supplementation (P ≤ 0.05) with no significant differences between the different levels of SPVS supplementation (Table 4). Fat corrected milk yield varied (P ≤ 0.05) in a similar way as daily milk yield; being lowest in cows that did not receive SPVS supplementation. Considering the milk components, milk fat and solids-non-fat were affected (P ≤ 0.05) by the different diets although the results did not show any consistent trend across the varying levels of SPVS supplementation. As would be expected, the cost of SPVS supplementation increased with increasing levels of SPVS in the diets (Table 5). Although there was increase in income due to the higher milk yield obtained from SPVS supplementation, profits were only realized at the 100 g of SPVS/kg of daily ration.

4. Discussion

The CP level in SPVS of 194 g/kg reported in this study is higher than CP levels reported elsewhere [17] [18] [19]. This could be attributed to differences in sweet potato varieties, vine parts [20] and the foliage to stem ratio at the time of ensiling [21]. The SPVS dry matter level of 226 g/kg is within the range reported by [5]. The high CP and dry matter levels of SPVS reported in this study make SPVS a viable feed resource and supplement for diets with low digestibility and limiting crude protein as reported elsewhere by [22]. However, highly fermentable diets require the inclusion of adequate amounts of fiber to reduce the risk of
Table 3. Dry matter intake and average daily gains of the lactating dairy cows fed the experimental diets.

| Parameter                    | D0       | D100     | D200     | D300     | SEM   |
|------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-------|
| DM intake, Kg/day            | Dairy pellets | 2.7     | 2.7      | 2.7      | 2.7   |
|                              | Rhodes grass hay | 4.1     | 4.2      | 4.3      | 4.4   | 0.24  |
|                              | SPVS     | 0.0      | 1.6      | 3.2      | 4.8   | -     |
|                              | Total    | 6.8<sup>a</sup> | 8.5<sup>c</sup> | 10.2<sup>b</sup> | 11.9<sup>a</sup> | 0.24  |
| IVOMD (g/kg)                 | 442.1    | 510.4    | 549.6    | 566.0    | 13.9  |
| Average final weights (Kg)   | 414.8    | 415.4    | 418.9    | 432      | 4.87  |
| Average daily gains (Kg/day) | −0.20    | −0.25    | −0.22    | 0.35     | 0.06  |

Diets D0, D100, D200 and D300 had sweet potato vine silage at 0, 100, 200 and 300 g/kg respectively. <sup>a,b,c</sup>Means within a row with different superscripts differ significantly (P ≤ 0.05). SEM = Standard error of the mean.

Table 4. Effects of sweet potato vine silage on milk yield and composition of the lactating dairy cows.

| Dietary treatments             | D0       | D100     | D200     | D300     | SEM   | p-value |
|--------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-------|---------|
| Production                     |          |          |          |          |       |         |
| Milk yield, Kg/day             | 8.3<sup>b</sup> | 10.0<sup>a</sup> | 9.8<sup>a</sup> | 9.9<sup>a</sup> | 0.26  | 0.01    |
| Fat corrected milk, Kg/day     | 9.21<sup>b</sup> | 10.21<sup>a</sup> | 10.76<sup>a</sup> | 10.82<sup>a</sup> | 0.28  | 0.01    |
| Milk composition (%)           |          |          |          |          |       |         |
| Butter fat                     | 4.7<sup>a</sup> | 4.1<sup>b</sup> | 4.7<sup>a</sup> | 4.6<sup>b</sup> | 0.14  | 0.05    |
| Solids-not-fat                 | 8.2<sup>b</sup> | 8.6<sup>a</sup> | 8.2<sup>b</sup> | 8.2<sup>b</sup> | 0.06  | 0.01    |
| Total solids                   | 12.9     | 12.8     | 12.9     | 12.8     | 0.10  | 0.86    |

Diets D0, D100, D200 and D300 had sweet potato vine silage at 0, 100, 200 and 300 g/kg. <sup>a,b</sup>Means within a row with different superscripts differ significantly (P ≤ 0.05). SEM = Standard error of the mean.

Table 5. Economics of supplementing dairy cows with varying levels of sweet potato vine silage.

| Diets | Cost (Ugx) | Increase in milk yield (Liters) | Additional income (Ugx) | Profit (Ugx) |
|-------|------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|
| D0    | 0          | 0                               | 0                       | 0            |
| D100  | 1410       | 1.8                             | 2700                    | 1290         |
| D200  | 2820       | 1.5                             | 2250                    | −570         |
| D300  | 4230       | 1.6                             | 2400                    | −1830        |

The cost of producing SPVS was Ugx 235 per kg and the price of milk was Ugx 1500 per liter, 1 USD = 3750 Ugx.

sub-acute rumen acidosis. Such measures enable effective utilization of agricultural by-products such as sweet potato vines. The DMI increase with increase in
quantity of SPVS supplementation observed in this study is in agreement with an earlier study conducted by [23] in goats. Dry matter intake and digestibility depend on fermentability of the energy source. When supplements supply all the necessary nutrients to microbes especially nitrogen which is usually the most limiting nutrient, the microbial population will increase and ferment feed at a faster rate thereby creating space for more feed intake. The lower level of neutral detergent fiber in SPVS compared to that in Rhodes grass hay also led to their effective degradation hence the better feed intake for cows that received SPVS supplementation. High levels of neutral detergent fibre in feeds limit their intake. Although diets based on by-products usually contain lower amounts of degradable starch, they might be rich in other nutrients, such as cell wall soluble components, rumen undegradable protein and potentially digestible fiber [24]. The improved dry matter intake of the cows that received SPVS subsequently led to the observed increased milk yield.

Though dry matter intake increased with increasing levels of SPVS supplementation, there was noticeable loss of body weight for cows at 0, 100 and 200 g/kg of SPVS supplementation. This could be explained by the general body weight loss that usually occurs in the first phase (4 months after parturition) of lactation in primiparous cattle [25]. The animals usually lose weight as they mobilize body reserves possibly due to a negative energy balance to produce milk and/or due to increasing levels of milk yield [26].

Milk yield was higher and similar in cows that were fed the different SPVS supplementation levels. The findings are in agreement to those observed by [17], and [4]. This was due to increased DMI hence more nutrient ingestion and nitrogen utilization with SPVS supplementation [3]. Fat corrected milk content improved with SPVS supplementation though there was no consistent trend across the four levels of SPVS supplementation. The increased fat corrected milk is most likely a result of increasing levels of fibrous material with increased DMI in the diets known to balance the acetate to propionate ratio in the rumen liquor as acetate is a major milk fat precursor [27].

Supplementing dairy cows with 100 g of SPVS per kilogram of daily ration yielded profit of Uganda shillings 1290 with non-significant levels of increase in milk yield beyond the 100 g of SPVS /kg of daily ration. Every additional unit of SPVS supplementation increased the unit cost of producing milk which did not offset changes in revenue from milk sales.

5. Conclusion

Supplementing dairy cattle with SPVS increased DMI and milk yield. Sweet potato vine silage is therefore a valuable feed resource that can lead to improved animal performance and better farm incomes. The findings of this study are in tandem with Uganda’s vision 2040 to increase household income and reduce poverty. Under the conditions of this study, it was most profitable to supplement with 100 g of SPVS/kg of daily ration as higher inclusion levels translated into
negative profits due to the high cost of producing SPVS. Farmers need to be sensitized on cheaper ways of producing SPVS to increase profits accruing from its incorporation into animal diets.
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