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Abstract. In this paper the primary types of Centris bees described by the German entomologist Curt Schrottky were studied. The type specimens of C. anisitsi, C. brethesi, C. ehrhardti, C. garleppi, C. garleppi var. unifasciata, C. pectoralis var. flava, C. pocograndensis, and C. xanthocnemis var. perflava were analyzed, providing notes on their current status and depository. To stabilize the application of the name C. ehrhardti a lectotype was designated. Notes and photographs of selected types are also provided.
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INTRODUCTION

Curt Schrottky (1874-1937) was a Russian-born German entomologist that worked mainly in South America (Rasmussen et al., 2009). During his youth he migrated to Brazil and in 1897 he started collecting bees and other insects in Jundiaí, São Paulo state (Rasmussen et al., 2009). Schrottky realized that he was having difficulty identifying the many specimens collected and decided to contact the German melittologist Heinrich Friese (1860-1948) at that time, an authority on bees (Egler, 1963; Rasmussen et al., 2009).

The bee collection made by Schrottky, along with Friese’s assistance became an article titled “Ensaio sobre as abelhas solitarias do Brazil” published in by Schrottky in 1902b. That paper was written in Portuguese and it included morphology, diagnoses, notes and keys for species and families, along with the descriptions of new species and varieties. Among the new taxa proposed, were Centris pocograndensis Schrottky, 1902b; C. ehrhardti Schrottky, 1902b, and C. xanthocnemis var. perflava Schrottky, 1902b, all from São Paulo state.

In 1902, Schrottky moved to Argentina, and there he published some articles on new species of Hymenoptera. In Buenos Aires he met some prestigious researchers with which he exchanged specimens, as well as insect dealers who provided him with additional material for his studies (Rasmussen et al., 2009). Among the articles published during that time he described C. (Rhodocentris) brethesi Schrottky, 1902c and C. (Melanocentris) lynchii Schrottky, 1902a from San Juan province, Argentina, and C. (Melanocentris) furcata var. friesi Schrottky, 1902a from São Paulo state, Brazil. These three new taxa were described adopting the subgeneric categories proposed by Friese (1901), unlike the species he proposed as new in his article on the Brazilian bees (Schrottky, 1902b).

After his short time in Argentina, Schrottky moved to Paraguay, where he spent most of his time studying the unknown hymenopteran fauna of that country (Rasmussen et al., 2009). In 1908, he published an article on the species of the genera Epicharis Klug, 1807 and Hemisia Klug, 1807 – currently a junior synonym of Centris Fabricius, 1804 – from Paraguay. In that article he described H. anisitsi Schrottky, 1908; H. pectoralis var. clypeata Schrottky, 1908; H. pectoralis var. flava Schrottky, 1908; and H. burgdorfi var. ocelata Schrottky, 1908 all from Asunción, southern Paraguay.

The last Schottky’s contribution on new species of the genus Centris was done in 1913 when he described H. garleppi Schrottky, 1913 and H. garleppi var. unifasciata Schrottky, 1913 based on single female specimens collected by Otto Garlepp in southern Peru.

The purpose of this article is to study taxonomically the bee species of Centris described by Schrottky. Their taxonomic status is evaluated, providing information on the depository of the primary types. Relevant notes on the morpholo-
ogy of the species, as well as some photographs, are also provided.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

The primary types of the species of *Centris* described by Schrottky were recognized from their labels compared to the original descriptions and the Schrottky’s catalogue published by Rasmussen et al. (2009). The acronyms of the institutions cited throughout the text are as follows: Coleção Pe. Jesus S. Moure, Departamento de Zoologia, Universidade Federal do Paraná, Curitiba, Brazil (DZUP); Museo Argentino de Ciencias Naturales Bernardino Rivadavia, Buenos Aires, Argentina (MACN); Museu de Zoologia, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil (MZSP); and Museum für Naturkunde, Berlin, Germany (ZMB). The specific features of the labels, like coloration or type of writing are presented in squared brackets ([ ]). All labels are yellowish white (due the effect of time) and rectangular, and the data contained on them is black, handwritten or printed, unless otherwise indicated. The images were originally published by Ramos et al. (2015) and were obtained from a Leica M205C stereoscope attached to a Leica DFC 295 video camera. The photographs were assembled with the Leica LAS (Leica Application Suite V3.6.0) and Helicon Focus (version 6.0.18) – render method based on Method C (Pyramid) and enhanced with Photoshop (ver. 7.0). The copyright of the photographs belongs to the MZSP. The morphological terminology follows Michener (2007).

**RESULTS**

**Systematics**

*Genus Centris Fabricius, 1804*

*Centris anisitsi* (Schrottky, 1908)

*Hemisia anisitsi* Schrottky, 1908: 141.

**Type data:** This species was described based on a single male collected in Asunción, Paraguay by the Hungarian biologist János Dániel Anisits (1856-1911). Anisits migrated to Paraguay where he worked actively conducting explorations and collecting biological material (Magyarország és Latin‑Amerika, 2019). The holotype is currently housed at ZMB and has the following data label: [green label with black rim] Typus [handwritten]\ Hemisia anisitsi Schrottky ♀ [handwritten] (ZMB).

**Comments:** This is a poorly known species only recorded by a couple of specimens collected in Paraguay. Both sexes can be recognized by the square trochanter of fore and middle legs, the yellow pubescence on mesoscutum and mesoscutellum which contrasts with the dark brown to black hairs covering the rest of the body, and by the clypeus and labrum blackish with coarse and very dense punctuation.

*Centris brethesi* Schrottky, 1902

*Centris (Rhodocentris) brethesi* Schrottky, 1902c: 117.

**Type data:** This species was described from a single female specimen collected in the province of San Juan, Argentina. The holotype is currently housed at MACN and it has the following data label: [green label with black rim] Typus [handwritten]\ Centris (Rhodocentris) brethesi Schrottky ♀.

**Comments:** This species has been recorded in the Argentinean Provinces of Buenos Aires, Catamarca, Chubut, Córdoba, Jujuy, La Rioja, Mendoza, Neuquén, Salta, San Juan, San Luis, and Tucumán (Moure et al., 2007). Figures of both sexes can be found in Zanella (2002).

*Centris burgdorfi ocellata* (Schrottky, 1908)

*Hemisia burgdorfi* var. *ocellata* Schrottky, 1908: 139, 140. Junior synonym of *C. burgdorfi* Friese, 1901 (Roig‑Alsina, 2000).

**Type data:** Schrottky (1908) proposed this variety of *C. burgdorfi* studying an undetermined number of females and males that belonged to the collection of Anisits collected in Asunción, Paraguay. Moure et al. (2007) were unable to locate the type series and unfortunately it was not found during the development of this article. Its current condition and depository are unknown.

**Comments:** According to the information on the original description, the type specimens have two spots of yellowish pubescence on the mesonotum. However, the pilosity of *C. burgdorfi* is very variable, being possible to find specimens with the dorsal surface of the mesosoma completely covered by blackish or yellowish pubescence, including intermediary forms. This species has been recorded in Argentina (provinces of Misiones and Corrientes) (Roig‑Alsina, 2000), Brazil (Ceará, Distrito Federal, Minas Gerais, Paraná, Pernambuco, Rio Grande do Sul, and São Paulo states) and in Paraguay (Central and Guairá departments) (Moure et al., 2007).

*Centris ehrhardti* Schrottky, 1902

*Centris ehrhardti* Schrottky, 1902b: 579. Junior synonym of *C. dorsata* (Friese 1904; Schrottky, 1904).

**Type data:** Schrottky (1902b) described this species from a couple of males collected by Wilhelm Ehrhardt in Rincão, southeastern Brazil. Ehrhardt (1860‑1936) was a Guiana‑born German animal collector and an excellent taxidermist that operated in Brazil around the early 20th century. He collected thousands of specimens of various animal groups, among them some amphibian, fish,
mammal and insect species (Gutsche et al., 2007). Both syntypes are housed at MZSP being here designated the lectotype the one in best condition (Figs. 2A, B). The specimen has the following data label: 97250 [printed] \1360 [handwritten] ♂ [handwritten]. The paratype has the following data label: 97250 [printed] \1360 [handwritten] ♂ [handwritten].

Comments: Schrottky (1902b) mentioned that the type specimens were collected on a Caesalpinia sp., probably Cassia sp. According to the accession book at MZSP the number 1,360 refers to the following data: “Brazil, São Paulo, Rincão, ii.1901, D. Ehrardt col” (Ramos et al., 2015). *Centris dorsata* has been recorded in Bolivia (Cochabamba department) and Brazil (Goiás, Mato Grosso do Sul, Minas Gerais, Paraná, Santa Catarina, and São Paulo states) (Moure et al., 2007).

*Centris furcata friesei* Schrottky, 1902

*Centris (Melanocentris) furcata* var. *friesei* Schrottky, 1902a: 312. Junior synonym of *C. obsoleta* Lepeletier, 1841 (Friese, 1904).

**Type data:** This variety was proposed based on an undetermined number of males collected in São Paulo state, southeastern Brazil. Moure et al. (2007) mentioned the existence of a holotype housed at MZSP but no primary types of this species were found in that collection (Ramos et al., 2015). The current condition and depository are unknown.

Comments: According to the original description (Schrottky, 1902a) the type specimen was deposited in Schrottky’s own collection. Unfortunately, it is very probable that the type specimen is lost or destroyed, as well as a large number of its primary types (Rasmussen et al., 2009). *Centris obsoleta* is widely distributed in South America, being recorded in Argentina (Corrientes province), Brazil (Amazonas, Ceará, Mato Grosso, Pará, and São Paulo states), French Guiana, Paraguay and Venezuela (Mérida state) (Moure et al., 2007). Both sexes of this species have a strong variation in the coloration of the pubescence, which led different authors to describe subspecies, varieties or new species based on this character. Photographs of both sexes can be found in Vivallo & Zanella (2012).

*Centris garleppi* (Schrottky, 1913)

*Hemisia garleppi* Schrottky, 1913: 708.

**Type data:** This species was described based on a single female collected by Otto Garlepp in Apurimac, southern Peru. Garlepp (1864-1959) was a German naturalist and, as well as his brother Gustav (1862-1907), a professional collector that worked actively in South America. The holotype is currently housed at DZUP but according to Moure (1999) it belongs to the MZSP. The specimen has the following data label: Apurimac Peru [handwritten] [black-rimmed] "Brazil, São Paulo, Rincão, ii.1901, D. Ehrardt col" (Ramos et al., 2007). Both sexes of this poorly known species recorded only in Peru. Considering the dark metasoma with terga 4 to 6 reddish brown, this species is probably related to *C. caelebs* Friese, 1899 which also has the same pattern of coloration.

*Centris lynchi* Schrottky, 1902

*Centris (Melanocentris) lynchi* Schrottky, 1902a: 312. Junior synonym of *C. nigriventris* Burmeister, 1876 (Roig-Alsina, 2000).

**Type data:** This species was described based on an undetermined number of specimens of both sexes collected in the province of San Juan, northwestern Argentina. The type series was not found during the development of this research and it is apparently lost (Roig-Alsina, 2000).

Comments: Schrottky (1902a) dedicated this species to the Argentinean zoologist and ornithologist Enrique Lynch Arribálzaga (1856-1935) who funded with his countryman the botanist, zoologist and geologist Eduardo Ladislao Holmberg (1852-1937) in 1878 “El Naturalista Argentino”, the first journal of Natural History of Argentina (López et al., 2008). This species occurs exclusively in Argentina with distribution records in the provinces of Buenos Aires, Catamarca, Chaco, La Rioja, Mendoza, San Luis, Santa Fe, Santiago del Estero, Salta, and Tucumán (Roig-Alsina, 2000).

*Centris pectoralis clypeata* (Schrottky, 1908)

*Hemisia pectoralis* var. *clypeata* Schrottky, 1908: 142. Junior secondary homonym of *C. clypeata* Lepeletier, 1841. Junior synonym of *C. obsoleta* (Moure et al., 2007).

**Type data:** This species was apparently described based on a single male collected by János Anisits in Asunción, Paraguay. The current condition and depository of the holotype are currently unknown.

Comments: Moure (1950) mentioned the variability in the coloration of the pubescence of *C. pectoralis* Burmeister, 1876 (= *C. obsoleta*) indicating that the varieties described of that species must be suppressed, which would include *C. pectoralis* var. *clypeata* and *C. pectoralis* var. *flava* (see below). However, the synonymy between this latter variety and *C. obsoleta* was only formally indicated by Moure et al. (2007).

*Centris pectoralis flava* (Schrottky, 1908)

*Hemisia pectoralis* var. *flava* Schrottky, 1908: 142. Junior synonym of *C. obsoleta* (Moure et al., 2007).
Figure 1. *Centris pocograndensis* Schrottky, 1902 (holotype male). (A) Head, frontal view (scale bar: 2 mm). (B) Habitus, dorsal view (scale bar: 5 mm).

Figure 2. *Centris ehrhardti* Schrottky, 1902 (lectotype male). (A) Head, frontal view (scale bar: 2 mm). (B) Habitus, dorsal view (scale bar: 5 mm).

Figure 3. *Centris xanthocnemis* var. *perflava* Schrottky, 1902 (holotype male). (A) Head, frontal view (scale bar: 2 mm). (B) Habitus, dorsal view (scale bar: 5 mm).
**Type data:** This variety was proposed based on a single male specimen collected by Janos Anisits, the same collector of the type specimens of *C. anisitsi* and *C. pectoralis* var. *clypeata* in Asunción, Paraguay. Moure *et al.* (2007) were unable to locate the holotype but it is actually housed at ZMB. The type specimen has the following data label: Asuncion, Paraguay J.D. Anisits [printed] 11.II.06 im garten [handwritten]; *C. pectoralis* f. *flava* Schr. *σ* [handwritten] C.S. Schrottky det. [printed] 1948 [handwritten]; *C. collaris* var. *clypeata* Schr. *σ* [handwritten] Strand det. [printed].

**Comments:** *Centris obsoleta* is widely distributed in South America with records from Argentina, Brazil, French Guiana, Paraguay, and Venezuela (Moure *et al.*, 2007).

**Centris pocograndensis Schrottky, 1902**

*Centris pocograndensis* Schrottky, 1902b: 578, 579. Junior synonym of *C. collaris* Lepeletier, 1841 (Moure, 2002).

**Type data:** This species was described based on a single male specimen (Figs. 1A, B) collected in Poço Grande, an old farm near the city of Juquiá (Moure, 2002). The holotype is currently housed at MZSP and it has the following data label: [black rimmed] *Centris pocograndensis* Schrotky *σ* Poço Grande Brasil [handwritten]; [red label with black rim] *Melanocentris pocograndensis* (Schr.) *σ* [handwritten] P. Moure det. [printed] 1945 [handwritten]; Ducke det. 15. Unicol [handwritten].

**Comments:** Friese (1904) erroneously mentioned this species as junior synonym of *C. dorsata* Lepeletier, 1841. *Centris collaris* has been recorded in Paraguay and in the Brazilian states of Mato Grosso, Minas Gerais and São Paulo (Moure *et al.*, 2007).

**Centris unifasciata (Schrottky, 1913)**

*Hemisia garleppi* var. *unifasciata* Schrottky, 1913: 708.

**Type data:** The holotype of *C. garleppi* var. *unifasciata* was also collected by Otto Garlepp in the same locality that *C. garleppi*. The holotype is housed at DZUP, but according to Moure (1999) it also belongs to the MZSP. The specimen has the following data label: Apurimac Peru [handwritten]; [black-rimmed] *Hemisia garleppi* Schrot. f. *unifasciata* Schr. *♀* [handwritten] C.S. Schrottky det. 19 [printed] 12 [handwritten]; *M. Zool. USP* [handwritten]; [black-rimmed] *Centris unifasciata* Schr. *♀* [handwritten] P. Moure det. [printed] 1948 [handwritten]; *C. (Paracentris)* v. *unifasciata* Schr. [handwritten with blue ink].

**Comments:** This is a rare species of the subgenus *C. (Paracentris)* only known from the type specimen. It was erroneously cited to Chile by Zanella (2002). Unfortunately, nothing is known about its bionomy or distribution range.

**Centris xanthocnemis perflava Schrottky, 1902**

*Centris xanthocnemis* var. *perflava* Schrottky, 1902b: 576. Junior synonym of *C. xanthocnemis* (Perty, 1833) (Moure *et al.*, 2007).

**Type data:** This variety of *Centris xanthocnemis* was described based on a single male specimen collected in “Jundiahy” (= Jundiaí), southeastern Brazil (Figs. 3A, B). The holotype is housed at MZSP and it has the following data label: 97262 [printed] 1376 [handwritten]; [red label without text].

**Comments:** The type specimen was caught by the insect collector Max Beron. It is a male of *C. xanthocnemis* with yellow pubescence on the mesosoma and hind legs instead of the blackish hairs found in most males. According to the accession book at MZSP the number 1,376 refers to the following data: “Brazil, São Paulo, Jundiaí, M. Beron col” (Ramos *et al.*, 2015).

**DISCUSSION**

Schrottky is the seventh major descriptor of Neotropical bees and the second in names proposed currently considered valid (Melo, 2007). Of the 12 species and varieties described by him in the genus *Centris*, only four of them are at present treated as valid. The main problem with the *Centris* species described by Schrottky is that they were proposed based on coloration mainly of the pubescence of male specimens. However, this character is very variable in several species of the genus, principally in that sex.

Some of the Schrottky’s primary types were not found during the development of this article. According to Rasmussen *et al.* (2009), several Schrottky’s types are currently lost or were destroyed. Unfortunately, it is not clear how many types were effectively destroyed, because part of his collection was widely scattered and sent to several institutions being difficult to track where a specific primary type is currently housed.

Schrottky’s descriptions are very generic and short, similar to those made by other melittologists of his time, like Heinrich Friese (1860-1948) and Theodore Dru Alison Cockerell (1866-1948). In general, the species proposed by these three researchers are difficult to identify without examining the primary types of the species they proposed.
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