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Abstract Tai Chi and Qigong are meditative movement-based practices that necessitate sustained focus, precision in movement and strictness of action. Due to the requisite high levels of concentration, Tai Chi and Qigong have mainly been studied in adult populations, where systematic reviews have shown positive efficacy. As facilitators and barriers to Tai Chi and Qigong practice in youth are different relative to adults, efficacy of the practices may also differ. Therefore, this systematic review investigates and evaluates intervention studies of Tai Chi and/or Qigong in adolescent populations (18 years and under) to determine how these interventions have been applied as well as synthesize intervention outcomes. For the 13 studies (16 manuscripts) included in the work, frequency and duration of the Tai Chi or Qigong intervention ranged from a two sessions per week for 5 week to five sessions per week over a year. Synthesis of results showed there were two outcome domains: (1) psychological wellbeing and behavior, and (2) physical health and function. Results of the synthesized data suggest that Tai Chi or Qigong may positively improve physical health and function, while its effect on psychological wellbeing and behavior are inconclusive with most studies reporting improvements or no effect.
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Introduction

Approximately 40% of adults in the United States use complementary and alternative medicine (Harris et al. 2012), with approximately 25% of these individuals taking part in mind–body activities (Chacko et al. 2014). What is now the United States National Center for Complementary and Integrative Health (NCCIH) defines these mind–body activities as practices and interventions that intended to promote the connection of the mind to help heal itself through modifying biological, physiological and/or psychosocial processes (Straus 2000). Thus, mind–body activities are noted as therapeutic practices that “focus on the interactions among the brain, mind, body, and behavior, with the intent to use the mind to affect physical functioning and promote overall health” (Elkins et al. 2010, p. 128). The healing properties of mind–body practices is based on the belief of what a person thinks, what a person feels, and how a person moves can influence health and healing (Chen et al. 2013). The importance and connection between the mind, emotion, and behavior in health lays at the heart of many complementary and alternative medicine or traditional medicines, such as Native American, Chinese, Tibetan, Greek, and Ayurvedic medicine, among others (Ben-Arye et al. 2006).

Categorizing within the mind–body therapies are meditative movement-based activities, such as Tai Chi and Qigong (Larkey et al. 2009), whereby the attention in the activity is on the movement, breath and mind. The Tai Chi and Qigong known today developed from ancient Chinese martial arts that combined deep diaphragmatic breathing with basic postures that purposefully move from one to another. The
practice of Tai Chi and Qigong can range from dynamic, powerful actions to gentler, continuous movement patterns, with all forms having an attention to exact posturing and body positioning. As Tai Chi and Qigong share theoretical roots and linkages to traditional Chinese medicine, as often these two meditative movement activities are considered equivalent interventions (Jahneke et al. 2010).

Uptake in practices such as Tai Chi and Qigong have steadily risen over the years (Clarke et al. 2015), particularly among middle aged and older adult populations (Wang et al. 2004). Alongside the rise in people practicing these activities, is the rise in research and interventions evaluating outcomes associated with Tai Chi or Qigong (Yang et al. 2015). Intervention studies of Tai Chi or Qigong in adults have focused on specific patient populations, such as those with musculoskeletal conditions such as knee osteoarthritis (Chenchen Wang et al. 2009), patients with diabetes (Cavegn and Riskowski 2015), patients with Parkinson’s Disease (Hackney and Earhart 2008) as well as general populations of middle-aged adults (Goon et al. 2009; Thornton et al. 2004) and older adults (Fuzhong et al. 2001; Wolfson et al. 1996). These intervention studies of the mind–body practices of Tai Chi and Qigong are research studies whereby participants are allocated to an intervention in order for researchers to evaluate its effects on health-related outcomes and wellbeing. Usually intervention studies have two or more treatment arms (e.g., Tai Chi, Qigong, no intervention), and the intervention occurs over a set number of weeks or month. Within the intervention study, baseline and post-testing, which is testing before and after the intervention (e.g., Tai Chi, no intervention) respectively, typically occurs. The measures from pre- and post–post intervention allow the researcher a means to evaluate the participants’ changes in health and wellbeing through the study and between the intervention arms [e.g., Tai Chi or Qigong versus its comparator (e.g., no intervention)]. However, other studies also simply track health-and-wellbeing-related changes over time given an intervention (e.g., Tai Chi, Qigong) without having a comparator arm, and this is known as a single-arm intervention trial.

When researchers evaluate the totality of the evidence around a single intervention, such as in a systematic review, it can help clinicians, health-care workers, and the general population make informed decisions as to whether an intervention is beneficial or not to the populations that have been studied. If specific populations have not been studied with respect to the intervention, researchers may make the assumption that because it helped one population it could help another. This assumption of two different populations yielding similar outcomes given a similar intervention may or may not be a correct assumption, such as the case in using Tai Chi to improve cardiovascular fitness, where it has been shown to be helpful in older adults (Lan et al. 1998) but not in young adults (Zhuo et al. 1984). One reason given for the lack of cross-over of effect between change in cardiovascular fitness between young and older adults may be that the increase in physical activity that arises with the Tai Chi or Qigong intervention for a sedentary older adult is relatively greater than the increase in physical activity that a sedentary young adult may experience (Zhuo et al. 1984). Thus, the developmental stage of when Tai Chi or Qigong interventions are commenced may affect the outcomes of the health- and wellbeing-related outcomes, casting doubt on the assumption that efficacy between youth and adult population is similar.

Most research and intervention studies evaluating the health- and wellbeing-related effects of Tai Chi or Qigong have been in middle and older adult populations. Tai Chi and Qigong interventions studies and systematic reviews, which seek to evaluate the totality of evidence of the intervention studies, have shown these practices to reduce pain (Kang et al. 2011; Wang et al. 2004), increase range of motion (Liu and Frank 2010; Ye et al. 2014), enhance proprioception (Liu and Frank 2010), improve mental health and wellbeing (Chenchen Wang et al. 2010, 2004), and boost cardiovascular and respiratory function (Niu et al. 2014), with few adverse side-effects (Yan et al. 2013). Correspondingly, qualitative studies in middle age and older adult populations report that Tai Chi practitioners partake in the activity due to the perceived or actualized ability of the practice to help adults maintain and/or improve mental and physical health when living with chronic conditions (Uhlig et al. 2010) and with the aging process (Gryffin et al. 2015).

As studies suggest that the outcomes achieved in adult populations given a Tai Chi or Qigong intervention may not correspond to similar outcomes in youth or adolescent populations, there is a need to further evaluate the effects of these practices in populations under age 18 years of age. Part of the resistance to evaluating Tai Chi and Qigong in younger population may be that because these practices necessitate “sustained concentration, precision, [and] mechanical exactness”, (Wall 2005, p. 230) there may not be the interest youth and adolescent populations to the degree that more active martial arts (e.g., Kung Fu, karate) may be able to sustain (Wall 2005). A qualitative study of Tai Chi or Qigong in an adolescent population, a sample of in-patients of a psychiatric department who had Anorexia Nervosa, noted that the slowness of activities was a barrier to appreciating the practice (Gueguen et al. 2017). These patients also struggled with the perceived mental and physical health benefits of the practice, with some noting positive gains for the regular practice while others struggled to find benefits. Regular and on-going practice is necessary for change through mind–body interventions of Tai Chi and Qigong (Wu 2002), so if youth and adolescent populations struggle to practice these activity, the benefits may not be realized.
The Current Study

Although nearly 12% of US children and adolescents use complementary and alternative medicine (Barnes et al. 2008), often these complementary and alternative medicine and mind–body interventions for children and adolescent populations are based on the health and wellbeing outcomes noted in adult populations. As Tai Chi and Qigong necessitate sustained focus, precision in movement and strictness of action, it may (Lee et al. 2013) or may not (Wall 2005) appeal to young children and adolescents. However, it may also be that these elements—focused mind, awareness of body, and exactness of action—make Tai Chi or Qigong an appropriate intervention for children and teenagers (Lee et al. 2013). Therefore, the purpose of this systematic review is to evaluate use, effects, and reporting and methodological quality of peer-reviewed publication of Tai Chi and Qigong intervention studies in child and adolescent populations as well as to offer recommendations for future research. In the context of this systematic review, the intervention studies will be prospective, longitudinal research studies that included pre- and post-assessments of the participants who took part in more than one session of Tai Chi or Qigong, making it a longitudinal study. Ultimately, the goal of this systematic review is to determine how Tai Chi or Qigong intervention studies are implemented when they are used with youth population as well as to determine what the overall outcomes of the studies are.

Methods

This systematic review was submitted and approved through the PROSPERO registry of systematic reviews (CRD42015023217) and followed the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines (Moher et al. 2009).

Search Strategy

The literature search was conducted on publication platforms of PubMed, Medline, Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature (CINAHL), Physiotherapy Evidence Database (PEDro), PsychINFO, and BioMed Central Ltd as well as controlled trial databases of Current Controlled Trials and ClinicalTrials.gov. Key words for the literature search included: Tai Chi, Taiji or Qigong, children, adolescent or youth, and random* (e.g., randomization, randomised), trial, study, or intervention. There was no explicit search of grey literature (e.g., unpublished, non-peer reviewed document or government, academics, business and industry papers). The search was conducted on June 1, 2017 without language restrictions. Hand-searching of reference lists of articles meeting inclusion criteria was also conducted.

Selection Criteria for Inclusion

As the relative number of Tai Chi or Qigong studies in children and adolescents was assumed to be small, the inclusion criteria were purposefully designed to be inclusive. The inclusion criteria for this study were any movement-based Tai Chi or Qigong intervention trial published in peer-reviewed or refereed journals with participants who were, on average, younger than 18 years of age. Studies had to have at least two or more session of Tai Chi as a part of the intervention and have a quantitative element of the research design. Studies of massage or meditation only were not included.

From the keyword and MESH (medical subject headings) terms search, duplicate manuscripts from the different databases were eliminated, with the remaining manuscripts passing into the title stage. In the title stage, manuscripts were eliminated if it was evident from the title that criteria regarding the methodology or population were not satisfied, with remaining manuscripts moving to the abstract stage. At the abstract stage, two reviewers independently reviewed abstracts of said publications to determine if inclusion criteria were met. Following the abstract stage, full-texts of the manuscripts were obtained and reviewed for inclusion based on the inclusion criteria of age of population and number of Tai Chi or Qigong sessions. Manuscripts written in languages other than English were included, and they were reviewed by others comfortable with the language of the particular text. If data from the same study were reported in multiple publications, these were reported as one study.

Data Extraction

From the included manuscripts, manuscripts were first reviewed to determine if they were of the same study population and collated as appropriate. After a data extraction pilot to ensure completeness of necessary information (Levac et al. 2010), data from included manuscripts were independently extracted and discussed subsequently where disagreements arose. Extracted data included year and study setting, sample size by gender, age, intervention duration and frequency, completion, retention and adherence, as well as study outcomes and findings.

Evaluation of Methodological Quality

Methodological quality of each manuscript was assessed using the dichotomized scoring system developed by the Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (CASP) (2007) (0 for not reported, unclear or not appropriate, 1 for reported and appropriate) and is fully reported (Faggion Jr 2016). The
CASP tool was chosen as it is a widely used and well-developed critical appraisal tool to assess the methodological quality of studies (Sanderson et al. 2007). The same reviewers independently assessed each manuscript using this tool, with disagreements resolved through discussion until a consensus was met. Each manuscript was rated on a scale of 1 (poor quality) to 9 (high quality) based on the CASP criteria. Included manuscripts were considered as being high quality if they scored seven or more out of the nine items, near the 80% quality cut-point (Slavin 1995), with those scoring six or less being considered of low quality.

**Data Synthesis**

As the outcomes were not known a priori, all outcomes of the manuscripts were reported and summarized as either showing an effect (positive or negative) or no effect based on the reported information in the manuscripts. The final results were synthesized through the interpretive review, which incorporates the concepts identified in the studies into higher-order domains in order to provide a more structured approach to combining studies that may not have common measures or outcome tools (Evans 2002). The final domains and the links to these domains were evaluated by an independent consultant of this review.

**Results**

The initial keyword search yielded 5625 manuscripts. Through the systematic review of the titles, abstracts and full-text of the manuscripts, a total of 16 manuscripts were included, of which 13 were unique studies (Fig. 1). There were no completed trials noted on the controlled trial database that were not included in the final included studies.

The included studies were from Europe (Sweden, Germany, and Portugal), North (Canada and United States) and South (Argentina) American, Asia (mainland China, Taiwan, Hong Kong) and Australia. Combined, these studies included 696 participants (Table 1), with 360 youths participating in the Tai Chi or Qigong intervention arm and 336 in the comparator arm. Although one study did not report gender of participants (Bao and Jin 2015), approximately 54% of the included participant were girls. Mean age of the participants in these studies ranged from 8 (Lozada et al. 2014) to 14.8 (Bao and Jin 2015) years.

Target sample populations were varied, with three studies were targeting the general student body (Bao and Jin 2015; Lee et al. 2013; Witt et al. 2005), one targeting musicians (Sousa et al. 2012), and five targeting youth population with specific conditions, including those who had learning disabilities and behavioral problems (Baron and Faubert 2005), attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD).
| Study                          | Year, Location                  | Sample Population                                           | Study Design                        | Intervention Arm | Intervention | Session Duration, Length of Intervention | Number of Sessions | Total N (no. of boys/girls) | Mean age ± SD (range), years | Adherence | Completion, % (retention, %) |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------|--------------|------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|
| Bao and Jin (2015)            | October 2009 to September 2010, Eastern Mainland China | 7th graders in Chinese middle school                       | Randomized controlled trial        | Tai Chi arm      | Yang-style (24 forms) China's 8th edition broadcasting gymnastics | Five 60-min session per week for 1 year, including holidays | NR               | 80 (NR)                      | 14.79 ± 0.69 (NR)             | NR         | NR (NR)                     |
| Baron and Faubert (2005)      | Year NR, Montreal Canada       | Children with severe learning disabilities and behavior problems | Single-subject design              | Tai Chi arm      | N/A          | NR, 10 weeks                             | NR                 | 3 (2/1)                     | 13.3 ± 0.6 (13–14)             | NR         | 100 (100)                   |
| Chang et al. (2008)           | April-June, 2004, Taipei, Taiwan | Asthmatic children                                         | Randomized controlled trial        | Tai Chi arm      | Chen 32-style | Three 40-min per week for 12 weeks       | 36                 | 15 (9/6)                    | 10.0 ± 1.8 (13–14)             | NR         | NR (NR)                     |
| Hernandez-Reif et al. (2001)  | NR, NR                         | Children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) recruited from remedial school for adolescents | Single-arm trial                   | Tai Chi arm      | N/A          | Two 30-min sessions per week for 5 weeks | 10                 | 13 (11/2)                   | 14.5 ± NR (13–16)              | NR         | NR (NR)                     |
| Study | Year, location | Sample population | Study design | Intervention arm | Intervention | Session duration, length of intervention | Number of sessions | Total N (no. of boys/girls) | Mean age ± SD (range), years | Adherence | Completion, % (retention, %) |
|-------|----------------|-------------------|-------------|------------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|
| Lee et al. (2013) | October to December 2009, Hong Kong | Secondary school students | Self-selected controlled trial | Tai Chi arm | Chen-style Work on schoolwork | One 80-min session per week for 10 weeks | 10 | 32 (25/7) | 13.4 ± NR (11–16) | 90% | 100 (100) |
| Lozada et al. (2014) | August 2011-April 2012, San Carlos de Bariloche, Argentina | Students in 2nd and 3rd grade | Authors randomly chose classrooms | Tai Chi arm | NR, TC practitioner leading group NR | One 60-min session per week for 10 weeks | 10 | 26 (14/12) | 7 ± NR (NR) | NR | NR (NR) |
| Singh-Grewal et al. (2007) | Toronto, Canada | 8–16 year olds with juvenile idiopathic arthritis (JIA) | Block randomized balanced by pubertal stage and childhood health assessment questionnaire (C-HAQ) | Qigong arm | Qigong | One 30-min supervised session, with two at home unsupervised sessions | 36 | 39 (10/29) | 11.5 ± 2.5 (8–16) | Superved: 7.8 ± 2.8 out of 12 At home: 20.4 ± 7.1 out of 24 Combined: 79.4% | 87 (87) |
| Sousa et al. (2012) | NR, Porto, Portugal | Children (10–12 years) playing transverse flute | Self-selected controlled trial | Qigong arm | Qigong | Two 30-min sessions for 7 weeks and home practice | NR | 8 (1/7) | 11.5 ± 0.7 (10–12) | NR | 100 (100) |
| Study | Year, location | Sample population | Study design | Intervention arm | Intervention | Session duration, length of intervention | Number of sessions | Total N (no. of boys/girls) | Mean age ± SD (range), years | Adherence | Completion, % (retention, %) |
|-------|----------------|-------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|------------------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|
| Stephens et al. (2008) | Toronto, Canada | 8–16 year olds with fibromyalgia | Block randomized balanced by pubertal stage and sex | Qigong arm | 18-position routine | One 30-min supervised session, with two at home unsupervised sessions | 36 | 16 (4/12) | 12.9 ± 2.7 (NR) | Supervised: 5.6 ± 3.6 out of 12 | 75 (75) |
| | | | | Comparator arm | Vigorous aerobic training | | | | At home: 16.2 ± 11.7 out of 24 | Combined: 61% | 86 (87.5) |
| Terjestam et al. (2010) | NR, towns in southern Sweden | 7th grade students in two schools | Two separate schools with two parallel classrooms | Qigong arm | Peace Power Qigong | Two 25-min practices per week | 8 | 53 (24/29) | 13.2 ± NR (12–14) | NR | NR (62) |
| | | | | Comparator arm | Regular classroom activity | | | | At home: 18.4 ± 12.1 out of 24 | Combined: 67% | 93 (93) |
| Tsang et al. (2009a, 2009b), Tsang et al. (2010) and Tsang et al. (2013) | NR, Australia | Overweight and obese adolescents | Randomized controlled trial | Tai Chi arm | Yang style (24 forms) | Three 60-min session per week for six months | 72 | 8 (3/5) | 13.1 ± 2.1 (11–16) | 29.9 ± 26.7 sessions (41.5 ± 37.1%) | 100 (100) |
| | | | | Comparator arm | Kang Fu Training of Choy Lee Fut Hung Sing Gwoon style (non-contact) | | | | At home: 36.1 ± 14.8 sessions (50.1 ± 20.6%) | 92 (92) |
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(Hernandez-Reif et al. 2001), juvenile idiopathic arthritis (Singh-Grewal et al. 2007), or fibromyalgia (Stephens et al. 2008), or who were overweight or obese (Tsang et al. 2009a, b, 2010, 2013).

In the Tai Chi or Qigong arm, nine studies did not report adherence, while four did, with adherence ranging from 42 to 90%. Similarly, most studies did not report retention (N = 6) or completion (N = 7) rates. Of the studies that reported retention, four reported 100% retention (Baron and Faubert 2005; Lee et al. 2013; Sousa et al. 2012; Tsang et al. 2009a, b, 2010, 2013), while the remaining three studies reported a retention rate of 62% (Terjestam et al. 2010), 75% (Stephens et al. 2008), or 87% (Singh-Grewal et al. 2007). Of the studies with reported completion rates, four reported 100% completion (Baron and Faubert 2005; Lee et al. 2013; Sousa et al. 2012; Tsang et al. 2009a, b, 2010, 2013) while one reported 87% (Singh-Grewal et al. 2007) and another 75% (Stephens et al. 2008). Adverse events were reported for two studies, with none reported in the Tai Chi or Qigong arm (Stephens et al. 2008; Tsang et al. 2009a, b, 2010, 2013), while Tsang noted adverse events in the comparator arm (Kung Fu) (Tsang et al. 2009a, b, 2010, 2013).

Tai Chi or Qigong Intervention

There was significant heterogeneity in the type of Tai Chi or Qigong practiced in the intervention (Table 2). Two studies reported using Yang-style (24 forms) Tai Chi (Bao and Jin 2015; Tsang et al. 2009a, b, 2010, 2013) or Chen-style (Chang et al. 2011; Lee et al. 2013), with five having Qigong intervention (Singh-Grewal et al. 2007; Sousa et al. 2012; Stephens et al. 2008; Terjestam et al. 2010; Witt et al. 2005).

The included studies varied in allocation to intervention arm as well as frequency and duration of the Tai Chi or Qigong intervention. Five of the included studies were noted as randomized controlled trials (Bao and Jin 2015; Chang et al. 2008; Singh-Grewal et al. 2007; Stephens et al. 2008; Tsang et al. 2009a, b, 2010, 2013), two allowed for self-selection of trial arm (Lee et al. 2013; Sousa et al. 2012), and three were in schools where the study coordinators or schools choose the allocation of treatment to the classroom (Lozada et al. 2014; Terjestam et al. 2010; Witt et al. 2005). Two studies were single-arm trials (Baron and Faubert 2005; Hernandez-Reif et al. 2001) with no comparator. Of those with a comparator arm, four studies had an active comparator arm (Kung Fu (Tsang et al. 2009a, b, 2010, 2013), China’s 8th edition broadcasting gymnastics (Bao and Jin 2015), and vigorous aerobic training (Singh-Grewal et al. 2007; Stephens et al. 2008)), while three had routine classroom activity (Lozada et al. 2014; Terjestam et al. 2010; Witt et al. 2005) or an additional schoolwork study period (Lee et al. 2013). One study had a waitlist control arm (Sousa et al. 2012).
Supervised Tai Chi or Qigong intervention ranged from weekly a practice of 50 min (two 25 min sessions (Stephens et al. 2008)) to 5 h [five 60-min sessions (Bao and Jin 2015)]. Three studies requested participants undertake unsupervised home practice between the supervised sessions (Singh-Grewal et al. 2007; Sousa et al. 2012; Stephens et al. 2008). With the studies of unsupervised sessions, Singh-Grewal and Stephens provided videos to assist (Singh-Grewal et al. 2007; Stephens et al. 2008), while Sousa had a session to teach parents as well (Sousa et al. 2012). The length of the intervention ranged from 5 weeks (Hernandez-Reif et al. 2001) to 1 year (Bao and Jin 2015), with the number of reported sessions ranging from 10 (Hernandez-Reif et al. 2001) to 72 (Tsang et al. 2009a, b, 2010, 2013), and five studies failed to report the total number of sessions.

Effects of Intervention

In assessing the effects of the intervention, there were two common themes for outcome measures: (1) psychological wellbeing and behavioral and (2) physical health and function (Table 3). Of the included manuscripts, seven reported positive improvements in participant’s psychological wellbeing and behavior, while four showed neutral results and one reported worsening psychological health and wellbeing. Of the manuscripts reporting physical health and function, nine reported positive effects of the Tai Chi/Qigong intervention, with four reporting no net effect and zero reporting negative effects. Despite a common theme of outcome measures, the included manuscripts did not use the same tools (Table 4), except for two studies. The two studies with a common outcome measurement tool were studies evaluating the effects of Qigong in patient-specific populations.
either fibromyalgia (Stephens et al. 2008) and juvenile idiopathic arthritis (Singh-Grewal et al. 2007), using the Children Health Assessment Questionnaire (CHAQ), and both studies reported improvement in Children Health Assessment Questionnaire scores. Positive reports were noted in the three studies that evaluate disease-specific conditions and general health through the Tai Chi or Qigong arm.

**Reporting and Methodological Quality**

Of the included manuscripts, seven manuscripts, which described four unique studies, were noted as being of high quality, and nine were of low quality (Table 5). A notable positive exhibited by the included manuscripts was the use of appropriate outcome measures, with these manuscripts providing evidence regarding the validity and reliability of the primary outcome measures. Moreover, although most studies were pilot and/or feasibility studies or single-arm trials, many manuscripts noted possible biases that may be present in the work. Finally, a considerable strength in the manuscripts reviewed was that all but two manuscripts provided a detailed description of a typical Tai Chi/Qigong session (Chang et al. 2011; Sousa et al. 2012).

Although a 1 year age or grade may appear a small difference, at the primary school level this 1-year, one-grade difference between participants can affect executive function, inhibitory control and behavior problem symptomatology (Riggs et al. 2004), which was not accounted for in their work. Additionally, several manuscripts either did not explicitly report the percent completion or retention rates of the study or the study follow-up completion rate was less than 85% of the initial sample.

Table 3  Outcome measures of included intervention studies

| Outcome measure                                      | Direction of results | Manuscripts                                                                 |
|------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Psychological wellbeing and behavioral effects       |                      |                                                                            |
| Behavior (participant self-assessment)               | + 0 0                | Tsang et al. (2009a, 2013)                                                 |
| Behavior at home (parent assessment)                 | 0 1 0                | Witt et al. (2005)                                                         |
| Classroom behavior/Performance (teacher assessment)  | 2 0 1                | Bao and Jin (2015), Hernandez-Reif et al. (2001) and Witt et al. (2005)   |
| Psychological health                                 | 1 0 0                | Bao and Jin (2015)                                                         |
| Quality of life                                      | 1 1 0                | Singh-Grewal et al. (2007), Stephens et al. (2008)                        |
| Stress                                               | 2 2 0                | Baron and Faubert (2005), Lee et al. (2013), Lozada et al. (2014) and Sousa et al. (2012) |
| Physical function and health effects                 |                      |                                                                            |
| Disease-specific symptoms                            | 3 0 0                | Singh-Grewal et al. (2007), Stephens et al. (2008) and Zhang (1979)       |
| General health assessment                            | 3 0 0                | Singh-Grewal et al. (2007), Stephens et al. (2008) and Tsang et al. (2013) |
| Physical activity                                     | 2 1 0                | Chang et al. (2008), Stephens et al. (2008) and Tsang et al. (2013)       |
| Physical and cardiovascular fitness*                 | 1 1 0                | Singh-Grewal et al. (2007) and Tsang et al. (2009b, 2013)                 |
| Weight management                                     | 1 0 0                | Tsang et al. (2009b)                                                       |

*Physical and cardiovascular fitness included aerobic and anaerobic capacity for cardiovascular fitness and muscle strength, power and endurance

Discussion

The requisite high levels of concentrations that Tai Chi and Qigong necessitate for the sustained focus, precision in movement and strictness of action may not appeal to youth as it does to adults, which may affect efficacy of the intervention. Therefore, the aim of this review was to investigate studies of Tai Chi and Qigong in youth population as well as to synthesis outcomes and assess the methodological and reporting quality of these interventions. Overall, this systematic review showed that Tai Chi or Qigong may be an effective intervention to improve physical health and function, while there is insufficient evidence to evaluate its effect on psychological wellbeing and behavior. Further, results of this systematic review also reveal vast differences between these studies concerning the type, dose and duration of the Tai Chi/Qigong as well as the outcome measures studies. Lastly, the included studies show moderate methodological quality in terms of assessing the effects of Tai Chi or Qigong.
| Study name | Outcome measures | Main results | Conclusions and significance |
|------------|------------------|--------------|------------------------------|
| Bao and Jin (2015) | 80-item Piers-Harris Children’s Self-Concept Scale (PHCSCS). Translated version has good reliability and validity as Cronbach’s alpha for all scales was 0.89 | Significant improvements in subscales of PHCSCS of behavior, intellectual and school status, popularity, and anxiety as well as global PHCSCS self-concept, relative to those in gymnastics arm. No change in happiness and satisfaction or physical appearance and attributes | In youth population, Tai Chi showed similar psychological effects as those in older adult populations. Unclear how these attributes from PHCSCS affected emotional stability, academic performance or social adaptability. Yang-style Tai Chi may be appropriate intervention, but as it is a challenging style, a simplified version may be more appropriate for some youth groups |
| Baron and Faubert (2005) | Conners’ Teacher Rating Scales (CTRS-39) was administered before treatment and every 2.5 weeks during study has subscales of hyperactivity, conduct problem, emotional over-indulgence, anxious-passive, asocial and daydream attention. Also included 20-item State-Trait Anxiety Inventory for Children (STAIC). Mood assessed through 28-item mood inventory (developed by author), which had four major factors (general activation, high activation, general deactivation, deactivation-sleep). All questionnaires were read aloud to participants | As a single-subject design study, results are at the individual level. The STAIC assessment, one participant decreased in anxious traits, one participant increased in anxious traits, and one participant showed similar results between pre- and post- measures. The Mood inventory showed similar results, with one showing an improvement, one a decrement, and one unchanged. Changes in Mood and STAIC were not related. Subscales of the CTRS-39 showed improvements in all areas for all participants, except for the anxious-passive subscale (2 of 3 showed improvements) pre-to post-intervention | Of the three participants, one seemed to make strong improvements, while the other two did not. Research did not support use of Tai Chi with learning disabled and hyperactive children |
| Chang et al. (2008) | Pulmonary function test (PFT), including forced vital capacity (FVC), forced expiratory volume (FEV1), and peak expiratory flow (PEF) at rest, after running at least 400 m (heart rate over 100 beats per minute), and after exercise plus ice water. Participants also provided asthma symptomology questionnaire in the three conditions (rest, exercise, and exercise with ice water) | Participants in Tai Chi arm and control arm noted in improvements in all PFT tests in all three conditions (rest, exercise, exercise plus ice water). However, changes in PFT test was greater in the Tai Chi arm compared to the control arm in all measures except symptomology questionnaire at rest and with exercise only. After adjusting for BMI, some of the perceived gains were lost | Similar to studies in middle age and older adults, Tai Chi can be an effective activity for youths with altered pulmonary function |
| Hernandez-Reif et al. (2001) | Conners’ Teacher Rating Scales (CTRS-28) was administered prior to intervention, at conclusion of intervention and 2 weeks following intervention | Subscale scores of CTRS-28 showed improvements in hyperactivity, conduct problem, emotional over-indulgence, anxious-passive, and daydream attention, but not asocial at conclusion of intervention, which were maintained 2 weeks following intervention conclusion, relative to baseline | Tai Chi may be an effective intervention for adolescents with ADHD |
| Lee et al. (2013) | The 10-item Perceived Stress Scale (PSS) was used to measure participant stress | No significant change in stress levels were noted from pre- to post- intervention between the two arms using the PSS | Tai Chi participants had had adherence (90%) and completion (100%), despite lack of significant effect. PSS may not be appropriate measure for evaluating changes in stress or effective of Tai Chi in youth population |
| Lozada et al. (2014) | Cortisol, as surrogate for stress levels, using spectrophotometric methods Social connectedness using sociogram to evaluate social preference index (i.e., total number of positive choices minus negative choices) | A grouptime ANOVA revealed significant decrease in cortisol levels and an increase in social connectedness for those in Tai Chi intervention, with no significant change for participants in the comparator arm | Tai Chi led to reduced stress and improved social network diversity |
| Study name               | Outcome measures                                                                 | Main results                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Conclusions and significance                                                                                       |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Singh-Grewal et al. (2007) | Aerobic capacity (VO$_{2\text{max}}$), and questionnaires on psychological, functional and activities, using Childhood Health Assessment Questionnaire (CHAQ), 10 cm visual analog scale (VAS) on health-related quality of life (HRQOL) and quality of life (QOL), and Habitual Activity Estimation Scale (HAES) | A 12-week exercise program did result in improved physical function as measured by the CHAQ, but did not result in improved economy of locomotion or other measures | Children with juvenile inflammatory arthritis can adhere to a 12-week aerobic training program without exacerbating symptom of their arthritis. Participants in the Qigong arm had higher adherence than those in aerobic arm |
| Sousa et al. (2012)     | Questionnaire of Depression, Anxiety and Stress Scale, salivary cortisol levels, heart rate, blood pressure, and reaction time | Following intervention, Qigong participants had reduced resting heart rate values, but no effect on changes in subjective measures of depression, anxiety or stress or in objective measures of cortisol levels, blood pressure or reaction time | Although non-significant greater decreases in salivary cortisol levels were noted in Qigong in addition to the reduced heart rate, suggests Qigong exercises tended to reduce anxiety |
| Stephens et al. (2008)  | Anaerobic and aerobic capacity (VO$_{2\text{max}}$) and questionnaires on psychological, functional and activities, using Childhood Health Assessment Questionnaire (CHAQ), Quality of My Life scale (QOML), Pediatric Quality of Life (PedsQL), Functional Status and Symptom Questionnaire (FSSQ), Fibromyalgia Impact Questionnaire (FIQ), Childhood Depression Inventory (CDI), and Habitual Activity Estimation Scale (HAES) | Qigong participants had improvements in anaerobic power and CHAQ, with reduced fibromyalgia symptom severity, tender point counts, and pain, but no improvements in aerobic capacity | Children with fibromyalgia may seem modest reductions in disease activity and can adhere to a 12-week Qigong training program without exacerbating symptoms |
| Terjestam et al. (2010) | Evaluated well-being at school, psychological distress Scale, self-image test, general stress along with open-ended questions for participants to reflect on experience | Following intervention, psychological distress was lower for the Qigong participants. In open ended response, 59% noted positive experiences with the activity, while 8% were uncertain of its effects | Although 42% of the participants reported no effects and were not motivated, there was a statistically significant reduction in psychological distress following the Qigong intervention |
| Tsang et al. (2009a)    | Body fat and body composition, waist circumference. Habitual physical activity, dietary intake, and questionnaires on physical and emotion well-being | No significant reduction with body fat, body composition, but Tai Chi group had decrease in waist circumference | High levels of dissatisfaction in the intervention may explain the poor attendance and adherence to study protocol. Correspondingly, those who reported satisfaction with the program had greater rates of attendance and felt physical better |
| Tsang et al. (2009b)    | Serological outcomes related to metabolic health (e.g., C-reactive protein [CRP], HbA$_{1c}$, cholesterol) | CRP values were lower following the intervention | Inflammatory markers decreased despite no significant weight loss or change in body composition or diet, suggesting activity (Tai Chi or Kung Fu) rather than lifestyle changes improved them |
| Tsang et al. (2010)     | Cardiovascular fitness as well as muscle strength, endurance and power | Muscle strength and endurance improved significantly; peak cardiovascular fit-ness and muscle power did not change significantly over time in either group | Improvements in lean body mass through intervention aided yielded gains in muscle strength and endurance |
| Study name       | Outcome measures                                                                 | Main results                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Conclusions and significance                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
|------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Tsang et al. (2013) | Pediatric Daytime Sleepiness Scale (PDSS), self-perception was measured using the Harter Self-Perception Profile for Adolescents, Attitudes toward physical activity were examined using the PACE+ | Daytime sleepiness score did not change over time or between groups, nine self-perception domains, only perceived behavioral conduct improved over time, physical activity improved, significant relationship was seen between reduced perception of family support for physical activity and more health professional visits                                                                                                                                  | Thrice weekly martial arts pro-gra- m improved perceptions of behavioral conduct, physical activity change strategies, and physical activity environmental factors, regardless of kung fu or Tai Chi (placebo allocation)                                                      |
| Witt et al. (2005) | Study developed own pre-post questionnaires for teachers, parents, and children. Parents documented medial complaints as visual analog scale (VAS) measure, and home behaviors of 7 days, while teachers documented school behavior. Behavior questionnaires were 17 items for parents and 14 items for teachers on Likert scale (1 = never, 5 = always). Also included semi-structured, in-depth interviews with teachers. Children provided grades and quality of life (via KINDL® a health-related Quality of Life Questionnaire for Children) and semi-structured interviews with teachers were also performed at the conclusion of the study | Over the 6 month intervention, teachers noted significant differences in “appropriate behavior” but not in “learner process” or in “social behavior” between arms, with the Qigong participants “appropriate behavior” remaining constant while the control arm participants decreasing. The same trend was noted in the grades, with Qigong participants grades remaining constant while the control arm participants’ grades worsened. From the teacher interviews, they reported students noting their sleep habits improved and that students felt calmer | Qigong participants maintained appropriate behaviors and grades in the classroom, while those without had worsening behavior and grades                                                                                                                                  |
| Zhang (1979)     | Cardiovascular measures of heart rate, blood pressure, and number of days sick     | Participant heart rate decreased and blood pressure was similar, and participants in the Tai Chi arm had had fewer sick days                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Tai Chi may improve immunity and resistance to colds, and its effects on cardiovascular measures, relative to gymnastics, showed similar improvements                                                                                                                                                                         |
| Critical appraisal skills programme item | Bao and Jin (2015) | Baron and Faubert (2005) | Y. F. Chang et al. (2008) | Lee et al. (2013) | Hernandez-Reif et al. (2001) | Lozada et al. (2014) | Singh-Grewal et al. (2007) | Sousa et al. (2012) | Stephens et al. (2008) | Terjesen et al. (2010) | Tsang et al. (2009a) | Tsang et al. (2009b) | Tsang et al. (2010) | Tsang et al. (2013) | Witt et al. (2005) | Zhang (1979) |
|----------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------) |------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Appropriate recruitment                | 1                  | 1                      | 1                        | 1              | 1                | 1                        | 0                | 1              | 1              | 1              | 1              | 1              | 1              | 1              | 1              | 1              |
| Operation clearly defined              | 0                  | 0                      | 1                        | 1              | 1                | 1                        | 0                | 1              | 1              | 1              | 1              | 1              | 1              | 1              | 1              | 1              |
| Appropriate outcomes used              | 1                  | 1                      | 1                        | 1              | 1                | 1                        | 1                | 1              | 1              | 1              | 1              | 1              | 1              | 1              | 1              | 1              |
| Confounding factors identified         | 0                  | 0                      | 0                        | 0              | 0                | 0                        | 1                | 1              | 1              | 1              | 1              | 1              | 1              | 1              | 1              | 0              | 0              |
| Confounding factors accounted          | 0                  | 0                      | 0                        | 0              | 0                | 0                        | 1                | 1              | 1              | 0              | 0              | 0              | 0              | 0              | 0              | 0              | 0              |
| Greater than 85% of the sample on final follow-up | 0          | 1                      | 0                        | 1              | 1                | 0                        | 1                | 0              | 0              | 1              | 1              | 1              | 1              | 1              | 0              | 0              | 0              |
| Precise statistical results presented  | 0                  | 1                      | 0                        | 1              | 1                | 0                        | 0                | 1              | 1              | 0              | 1              | 1              | 1              | 1              | 1              | 1              | 1              |
| Appropriate interpretation             | 0                  | 1                      | 0                        | 1              | 1                | 0                        | 1                | 1              | 1              | 0              | 1              | 1              | 1              | 1              | 1              | 1              | 1              |
| Possible bias acknowledged             | 1                  | 1                      | 1                        | 0              | 1                | 0                        | 1                | 1              | 1              | 1              | 1              | 1              | 1              | 1              | 1              | 1              | 0              |
| Total                                  | 3                  | 6                      | 3                        | 6              | 7                | 3                        | 8                | 5              | 8              | 5              | 8              | 8              | 8              | 8              | 8              | 8              | 6              | 4              |
in a youth population, with notable reporting in the included studies lacking to effectively address confounders or biases in the study, suitably use statistical methods and reporting outcomes, and appropriately provide completion rates. The implications of this work suggest that although there may be physical health benefits of Tai Chi or Qigong, there is a strong need to properly evaluate the effects of said intervention in a robust manner as well as to further evaluate if there are psychological wellbeing or behavior effects.

Supporters of Tai Chi and Qigong report that it enhances flexibility, increases strength and improves balance (Taylor-Pilai et al. 2006). However, in youth populations, only strength has been fully evaluated, with studies suggest Tai Chi and Qigong can improve muscular strength (Tsang et al. 2010), endurance (Tsang et al. 2010), and anaerobic power (Sousa et al. 2012), which suggests there is a similarity between the physical benefits in younger and older populations.

From the perspective of child and adolescent development is the idea of neural maturation, which is the age-appropriate ability to control force in a given task (Kellis and Hatzitaki 2012). The ability to develop neural maturation through multi-joint movements, such as Tai Chi or Qigong, may lead to greater efficiency in movement and greater likelihood of a healthy body weight trajectory (Lopes et al. 2012). As Tai Chi or Qigong practice involves controlled movements and changes of body position in different directions, it may be an appropriate intervention for improving the balance and coordination necessary for skill development in young children (Cordo and Gurfinkel 2004). Although balance is not typically an outcome measure in youth populations, its role along with body and hand-eye coordination, may be a fitting measure for youth populations (CoTe et al. 2009) as it is a foundation for developing the motor ability for complex movements (Cordo and Gurfinkel 2004). Gaining body awareness and motor control as well as improving and refining proprioception, which are constructs associated with motor proficiency, may yield higher physical activity levels and lower risk of obesity (Wrotniak et al. 2006). These results would suggest that improving these constructs (e.g., motor control, proprioception) through Tai Chi or Qigong practice at a young age may lead to benefits over time.

With the assumption Tai Chi or Qigong has a benefit on physical health and function, the potential mechanisms of action may be important. In older adults, regular Tai chi and Qigong have been hypothesized improvements in balance are through gains in trunk strength and trunk mobility (Wolf et al. 1996), which is often thought of as improvement in “core” strength and mobility, while others have suggested it is through gains in lower extremity strength, mobility, and peripheral somatosensation (Cavegn and Riskowski 2015). With respect to youth population, there is no evidence regarding changes in core strength or mobility or in lower extremity mobility or peripheral sensation. Future research could build from the understanding of studies in adult populations to evaluate if there are changes in neuromuscular control, core strength and peripheral sensation that arise through Tai Chi or Qigong participation.

Moreover, of the studies that evaluated the physical benefits of Tai Chi or Qigong, all but one (Zhang 1979) evaluated it through a randomized controlled trial design, providing strong evidence of an effect, and were at least 12 weeks in duration. Though it is hard to determine exact number of hours in the included studies given some of the poor reporting, these results that Tai Chi or Qigong improves physical health and function may align with research in older adult populations that suggest physical improvement is most evident after 40 h of Tai Chi practice (Wu 2002), and an intervention of 12 weeks may provide sufficient training to improve physical fitness in young children (Strong et al. 2005). However, the question of whether Tai Chi or Qigong is superior to other therapeutic exercise intervention is currently unanswered and is a topic for further investigation. Nonetheless, when included studies compared Tai Chi or Qigong to other physical activities that would generally deemed to more physically active (i.e., martial arts (Tsang et al. 2010) or vigorous aerobic training (Stephens et al. 2008)), Tai Chi/Qigong showed similar physical gains (e.g., strength, endurance) with few adverse events (none in the two studies that reported it), suggesting it may be an appropriate intervention for children and adolescents to improve physical health.

Understanding the effects of Tai Chi or Qigong on mental health and psychosocial wellbeing in youth is more challenging. In older adult populations, a systematic review and meta-analysis showed Tai Chi and Qigong positively improved participants’ psychosocial quality of life (Wang et al. 2014), with the caveat that methodology quality of the included studies was not consistently strong. For this review, psychological wellbeing and behavior was the overall domain of interest, which included measures of behavior with perspectives from the participant (number of studies = 1), parent/guardian (N = 1) and classroom teacher (N = 3), psychological health (N = 1), quality of life (N = 2) and stress (N = 2). In these areas of psychological wellbeing and behavior there were no consistent results across the studies, and at best, the results of the work appear that Tai Chi or Qigong may improve or may have no effect on this domain.

In measuring behavior, none of the studies attempted to triangulate the results across the different perspectives, and only one study included two perspectives (parent and teacher) (Witt et al. 2005), which showed parents reporting no change in behavior and teachers noting an improvement. Guidelines for measuring children and adolescent behavior and wellbeing have suggested children and the proxy (e.g.,
parents, teachers) should be provided with parallels forms in order to gain a potentially more reliable source of information (Wallander et al. 2001). Further, one study noted that with Tai Chi students perceived the practice to lead to a greater connection with peers and increased social circles (Lozada et al. 2014), suggesting the may be an shift at the time or the way in which adolescents move from parental support to peer support (Helsen et al. 2000). However, the mechanism by which these changes in behavior and movement from parental to peer support in adolescence through Tai Chi or Qigong need to be further investigated to determine if there is a true effect and the underpinnings of how they occur.

With respect to quality of life, despite guidelines that suggest studies should include both objective and subjective approaches to measuring quality of life (Wallander et al. 2001), only one study included an objective measure alongside the subjective measure (Sousa et al. 2012), and its results showed similar outcomes with the both the objective and subjective measures of stress showing no change over the course of the Qigong intervention. As such, future studies that include psychological wellbeing and behaviors should include similar instruments to the child or adolescent and a proxy, as well as include subjective and objective measures where possible.

Although we noted that Tai Chi and Qigong may have positive physical health and mobility benefits for youth population, the results of this review should be couched with respect to the study’s strengths and limitations. First, the selection criteria excluded unpublished or non-peer reviewed material such as university thesis, protocols, guidelines, or grey literature. Although, this was undertaken to ensure that the material reviewed had been through a peer-review process to attempt to maintain quality, it may permit the potential for publication bias to influence this review’s results (Rothstein et al. 2005). Further, the search strategy used was based on a computer search, which may omit some articles and limit the scope of the review (Colville-Stewart 2002). To address this, hand searchers of the reference lists of the included manuscripts were evaluated to determine if other manuscripts were missed. Of the 16 included manuscripts, there were three studies of Tai Chi that were not included from the initial search (keyword stage); none of these studies met the inclusion criteria. Further, although there was no language limits applied, the keyword search terms used were English language words. As such only manuscripts with a title and/or abstract written in the English would have been extracted through the process. Nonetheless, the review did include a study written in Chinese, so it was possible to included non-English language studies. Searches in databases using keywords in other languages may yield different results. With respect to the manuscripts, the included studies varied in population, other than being in a youth population, and outcomes assessed. Due to this heterogeneity and nature of the study design, a statistically assessed meta-analysis would not have been appropriate (Egger et al. 2001; Polgar and Thomas 2000), but the semi-qualitative approach taken in synthesizing the results provides a level of generalizability of the review’s findings (Polgar and Thomas 2000). Similarly, a formal assessment of publication bias was not conducted given the lack of a formal synthesis of results. Nonetheless, the strength of this study was its inclusion to all studies of Tai Chi and Qigong in youth population. As such, this review provides a foundation for Tai Chi or Qigong interventions in youth populations, and it may assist in determining appropriate outcome measures and design characteristics for future studies.

To build from these included studies and systematic review of the work, future trials of Tai Chi or Qigong in youth populations should adhere to accepted standards of trial methodology and trial reporting in order to more fully evaluate the effect of the applied intervention. Studies should present a more detailed report of the Tai Chi or Qigong instructor, a clear explanation of the frequency and duration of the intervention, and adequate use statistical testing and reporting. Additionally, despite the challenges of blinding participant by treatment in physical activity intervention, assessor blinding and allocation concealment are important for reducing bias. With respect to outcome measures, studies should evaluate the effect of Tai Chi or Qigong on balance and coordination as well as on participant behavior as assessed by multiple sources, including participant self-assessing, if appropriate, along with parents/guardians, and/or teachers and triangulating results to determine if there is truly an effect.

Conclusion

There are encouraging results suggesting Tai Chi or Qigong may be effective for improving physical health and function in for children and adolescents, while its effect on psychological health and behaviors needs further evaluation in order to understand if there is an effect. In this review, the main benefits noted with Tai Chi or Qigong were with respect to disease-specific symptoms and general health; however, these studies also had focused populations with specific physical health conditions. As such, the effect of Tai Chi or Qigong on physical health in the general population is unknown. Further, there were four unique studies that evaluated participant’s behavior, with only one study evaluating behavior from multiple perspectives, which in this case two perspectives: parent and classroom teacher. Future studies, if they are to address the adolescent’s behavior should evaluate behavior from more than one perspective. Similarly only one study noted a potential shift in participants developing
their social circle through the Tai Chi practice, suggesting a greater perceived level of support from their peers, but these results should be evidenced by further studies. Moreover, owing to the number of eligible randomized controlled trials or controlled trials was the often poor quality and high likelihood of bias in the studies, suggesting as such, future studies should be more rigorous in their design and reporting. Specific areas to address study design and reporting include a detail account of the Tai Chi or Qigong session, including a description of the instructor background, and identifying and accounting for confounding factors, such as differences in participant development stage or age (if there is a range) or participant bias to the activity to address placebo effect of the intervention. In short, while there are few studies evaluating Tai Chi or Qigong in youth populations, there are known benefits for physical health in both general and disease-specific population, while its effects on psychological health and behavior effects as well as adolescent development need further research.
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