Association of Canonical Wnt/β-Catenin Pathway and Type 2 Diabetes: Genetic Epidemiological Study in Han Chinese
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Abstract: We aimed to investigate the associations of polymorphisms in Canonical Wnt/β-catenin pathway (WNT) signaling genes (including low-density lipoprotein-related protein 5 [LRP5] and transcription factor 7-like 2 [TCF7L2] gene) and the downstream gene glucagon (GCG) and risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) in a Han Chinese population. We genotyped the single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) for LRP5, TCF7L2 and GCG gene were genotyped in 1842 patients with T2DM and 7777 normal glucose-tolerant healthy subjects. We used multifactor dimensionality reduction (MDR) and multiplicative logistic regression adjusting for sex, age, anthropometric measurements and lipid levels to investigate the gene-gene interactions for the risk of T2DM. Among the five SNPs in LRP5, the recessive model of rs7102273 and the haplotype GCTCC were associated with T2DM risk; the haplotype GCTTC was associated with decreased risk. For TCF7L2, the rs11196218 genotype GA and the haplotype CCG, TTG, TTA were associated with T2DM risk; whereas, the haplotype CTG and TCG were associated with decreased risk. Both MDR and
multiplicative logistic regression revealed potential gene–gene interactions among LRP5, TCF7L2, and GCG associated with T2DM. The WNT signaling pathway may play a significant role in risk of T2DM in Han Chinese people.
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1. Introduction

Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is a metabolic disorder of multiple etiologies characterized by chronic hyperglycemia with disturbed carbohydrate, fat and protein metabolism. The disease results from progressive insulin secretory defect in a background of insulin resistance and accounts for 90% of diabetes mellitus [1]. The International Diabetes Federation (IDF) estimated that more than 371 million people had diabetes in 2012, and about 4.8 million people died due to diabetes worldwide. In China, the prevalence of T2DM was 9.3% in 2011 and was estimated to increase to 12.1% in 2030 [2]. The incidence and prevalence of T2DM has reached epidemic proportions all over the world. Thus, investigating the etiology of T2DM and intervention measures is urgently needed.

Despite many studies, the mechanisms of T2DM remain uncertain. The Canonical Wnt/β-catenin pathway (WNT) signaling plays a well-established role in the metabolic syndrome, especially T2DM. Recent data puts WNT signaling pathway in a pivotal role in regulating pancreas development as well as islet function, insulin production and secretion [3–6]. In addition, there seems to be another indirect link between WNT signaling pathway and T2DM: The classical WNT signaling pathway can regulate the transcription of the proglucagon gene in order to leading the GLP-1 expression. Furthermore, the intracellular effect of GLP-1 on pancreatic beta-cells appears to be mediated partly by the classical WNT signaling pathway [7]. The WNT signaling pathway is composed of Wnts, secreted antagonists, seven transmembrane cell surface receptors (Frizzled) and co-receptors (e.g., LRP5), and beta-catenin. Ligand binding to both the Frizzled and LRP coreceptor can activate GSK-3, and lead to beta-catenin coactivating transcription factors (e.g., T-Cell Factor, TCF) to regulate the downstream proglucagon gene (e.g., GCG) resulting to GLP-1 expression [8]. Thus, the key effectors of the WNT signaling pathway include low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein 5 (LRP5), transcription factor 7-like 2 (TCF7L2), and the downstream gene glucagon (GCG). LRP5 was found to play an important role in glucose and lipid metabolism in animal studies [9] and was located in the IDDM4 region in the long arm of chromosome 11, linked to type 1 diabetes [10]. TCF7L2 was found to be the strongest candidate associated gene with T2DM [11]. GCG expressed in intestinal epithelial endocrine L-cells located on chromosome 2q24.2, encoded several proteins crucial for regulation of proglucagon and glucagon-like peptide (GLP)-1 and -2. One of the most important functions of GLP-1 is as an incretin hormone. GLP-1 plays a crucial role in the development and treatment of T2DM [12].

From the functions of these three genes in regulating glucagon secretion, we hypothesized that the WNT signal pathway genes are associated with T2DM. Therefore, we aimed to confirm an association of SNPs in LRP5, TCF7L2, and GCG and T2DM in Han Chinese. We used tag SNP to screen candidate SNPs for LRP5 and GCG because of few studies of these genes. For TCF7L2, we selected the SNP confirmed in previous studies.
2. Results

2.1. Characteristic of Study Participants

In all, 925 males of 1842 T2DM patients selected were males; 3214 of 7777 controls were males. Compared with controls, diabetic patients had significantly greater anthropometric and metabolic values (all \( p < 0.001 \)) (Table 1).

Table 1. Characteristics of study participants.

| Characteristics          | Cases (\( n = 1842 \)) | Controls (\( n = 7777 \)) | \( p \) |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|--------|
| Sex                      |                         |                           |        |
| Male                     | 925 (50.20)             | 3214 (41.32)              | <0.001 |
| Female                   | 917 (49.80)             | 4563 (58.68)              |        |
| Age (years)              | 54 (20–85)              | 49 (25–75)                | <0.001 |
| Body mass index (kg/m\(^2\)) | 27.38 (18.51–50.45)    | 23.83 (18.51–43.50)       | <0.001 |
| Waist circumference (cm) | 90.50 (60.60–200.00)   | 81.35 (58.00–155.00)      | <0.001 |
| SBP (mmHg)               | 128.00 (87.67–218.67)  | 121.00 (81.33–218.33)     | <0.001 |
| DBP (mmHg)               | 81.33 (44.00–136.00)   | 77.00 (49.00–140.00)      | <0.001 |
| Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/L) | 7.63 (3.45–27.13)   | 5.19 (3.23–6.09)          | <0.001 |
| HDL-C (mmol/L)           | 1.10 (0.55–4.73)       | 1.14 (0.47–2.48)          | <0.001 |
| LDL-C (mmol/L)           | 2.94 (0.20–10.47)      | 2.40 (−1.70–8.80)         | <0.001 |
| TG (mmol/L)              | 1.37 (0.38–14.28)      | 1.32 (0.33–11.06)         | <0.001 |
| TC (mmol/L)              | 4.86 (1.58–13.66)      | 4.28 (1.66–10.23)         | <0.001 |

Data are number (%) or median (range).

2.2. Association of LRP5 and T2DM

Among SNPs for LRP5, the frequency of only rs7102273 significantly differed between cases and controls (\( p = 0.005 \)) (Table 2). On logistic regression analysis, the recessive and the dominant models for rs7102273 were associated with risk of T2DM (OR = 1.381, 95% CI = 1.121–1.701, \( p = 0.002 \); OR = 1.128, 95% CI = 1.010–1.260, \( p = 0.003 \), respectively). After adjusting for confounders such as sex, age, anthropometric measurements, and metabolic measurements, only the recessive model was associated (OR = 1.274, 95% CI = 1.009–1.609, \( p = 0.001 \)) (Table 3). The haplotype GCTCC was associated with increased disease risk and GCTTC with decreased risk (OR = 1.182, 95% CI = 1.031–1.354, \( p = 0.001 \); OR = 0.701, 95% CI = 0.576–0.853, \( p = 0.001 \), respectively) (Table 4). The population-attributable risk proportion (PARP) for the haplotype GCTCC was 1.22%.

Table 2. Genotypic and allelic distributions of single nucleotide polymorphisms in LRP5 gene among Han Chinese in China.

| SNPs       | Cases  | Controls | \( p \)   |
|------------|--------|----------|-----------|
| rs3758644  |        |          |           |
| AA         | 1 (0.01)| 1 (0.05) |           |
| GA         | 58 (3.15)| 213 (2.74)| 0.341    |
| GG         | 1783 (96.84)| 7563 (97.21)|         |
Table 2. Cont.

| SNPs | Cases | Controls | p   |
|------|-------|----------|-----|
| A    | 60 (1.63) | 215 (1.38) | 0.257 |
| G    | 3624 (98.37) | 15,339 (98.62) |         |
| rs7102273 |        |          |     |
| CC   | 140 (7.60) | 469 (6.04) | 0.005 |
| TC   | 593 (32.19) | 2750 (35.35) |     |
| TT   | 1109 (60.21) | 4558 (58.61) |     |
| C    | 873 (23.70) | 3688 (23.71) | 0.986 |
| T    | 2811 (76.30) | 11,866 (76.29) |     |
| rs4930588 |        |          |     |
| GG   | 48 (2.61) | 170 (2.19) | 0.515 |
| TG   | 438 (23.78) | 1825 (23.47) |     |
| TT   | 1356 (73.61) | 5782 (74.34) |     |
| G    | 534 (14.50) | 2165 (13.92) | 0.365 |
| T    | 3150 (85.50) | 13,389 (86.08) |     |
| rs12363572 |        |          |     |
| TT   | 12 (0.65) | 58 (0.75) | 0.150 |
| CT   | 225 (12.22) | 1080 (13.89) |     |
| CC   | 1605 (87.13) | 6639 (85.36) |     |
| T    | 249 (6.76) | 1196 (7.69) | 0.054 |
| C    | 3435 (93.24) | 14,358 (92.31) |     |
| rs11228303 |        |          |     |
| TT   | 10 (0.55) | 26 (0.34) | 0.236 |
| CT   | 215 (11.83) | 916 (12.01) |     |
| CC   | 1592 (87.62) | 6687 (87.65) |     |
| T    | 235 (6.47) | 968 (6.34) | 0.786 |
| C    | 3399 (93.53) | 14,290 (93.66) |     |

Data are number (%).

Table 3. Associations of SNPs in LRP5 gene and T2DM in Han Chinese.

| SNPs        | Genotypes | Unadjusted ORs (95% CI) | p   | Adjusted ORs * (95% CI) | p *   |
|-------------|-----------|------------------------|-----|------------------------|-------|
| rs3758644   | GG        | 1                      |     | 1                      |       |
| AA + GA vs. GG | 1.168 (0.871–1.566) | 0.300 | 1.091 (0.784–1.518) | 0.607 |
| rs7102273   | CT        | 0.880 (0.766–1.010)    | 0.069 | 0.908 (0.780–1.056) | 0.211 |
| CC          | 1.220 (0.968–1.539) | 0.092 | 1.157 (0.894–1.496) | 0.268 |
| CC vs. TC + TT | 1.381 (1.121–1.701) | 0.002 | 1.274 (1.009–1.609) | 0.042 |
| CC + TC vs. TT | 1.128 (1.010–1.260) | 0.033 | 1.066 (0.943–1.204) | 0.307 |
| rs4930588   | TT        | 1                      |     | 1                      |       |
| TG          | 1.064 (0.917–1.235) | 0.412 | 1.098 (0.932–1.293) | 0.263 |
| GG          | 1.133 (0.800–1.605) | 0.482 | 1.128 (0.768–1.658) | 0.539 |
| GG vs. TG + TT | 1.176 (0.840–1.648) | 0.344 | 1.103 (0.759–1.604) | 0.607 |
| GG + TG vs. TT | 1.023 (0.908–1.154) | 0.706 | 1.063 (0.931–1.214) | 0.368 |
| rs12363572  | CC        | 1                      |     | 1                      |       |
### Table 3. Cont.

| SNPs       | Genotypes | Unadjusted ORs (95% CI) | p   | Adjusted ORs * (95% CI) | p *  |
|------------|-----------|-------------------------|-----|-------------------------|-----|
|            |           |                         |     |                         |     |
| CT         | 0.879 (0.744–1.038) | 0.127 | 0.940 (0.783–1.128) | 0.504 |
| TT         | 0.860 (0.457–1.620)  | 0.641 | 0.861 (0.421–1.762)  | 0.682 |
| TT vs. CT + CC | 0.993 (0.525–1.880) | 0.983 | 0.932 (0.454–1.911)  | 0.847 |
| TT + CT vs. CC | 0.862 (0.739–1.005) | 0.058 | 0.926 (0.782–1.096)  | 0.371 |
| rs11228303  |            |                         |     |                         |     |
| CC         | 0.986 (0.841–1.155)  | 0.858 | 0.951 (0.798–1.134)  | 0.606 |
| TT         | 1.685 (0.810–3.506)  | 0.163 | 1.724 (0.760–3.912)  | 0.193 |
| TT vs. CT + CC | 1.639 (0.778–3.449) | 0.193 | 1.766 (0.768–4.062)  | 0.181 |
| TT + CT vs. CC | 0.986 (0.842–1.155) | 0.860 | 0.951 (0.798–1.134)  | 0.577 |

* Adjusted for sex, age, anthropometric measurements, TC, TG, HDL-C, and LDL-C.

### Table 4. Associations of haplotypes of SNPs in LRP5 gene and T2DM.

| Haplotypes | Cases | Controls | ORs (95% CI) | p |
|------------|-------|----------|--------------|---|
| rs3758644  |       |          |              |   |
| rs7102273  |       |          |              |   |
| rs4930588  |       |          |              |   |
| rs12363572 |       |          |              |   |
| rs11228303 |       |          |              |   |
| 1          | A T T C C | 52 (1.44) | 182 (1.20) | 1.209 (0.887–1.649) | 0.229 |
| 2          | G C G C | 364 (10.07) | 1558 (10.26) | 0.981 (0.870–1.106) | 0.754 |
| 3          | G C G T | 386 (1.07) | 107 (0.70) | 1.389 (0.947–2.036) | 0.091 |
| 4          | G C G T | 15 (0.41) | 63 (0.41) | 1.014 (0.579–1.778) | 0.960 |
| 5          | G C T C | 286 (7.90) | 107 (0.70) | 1.182 (1.031–1.354) | 0.016 |
| 6          | G C T T | 23 (0.64) | 82 (0.54) | 1.162 (0.729–1.852) | 0.528 |
| 7          | G C T T | 120 (3.32) | 712 (4.68) | 0.701 (0.576–0.853) | 0.001 |
| 8          | G C T T | 8 (0.22) | 51 (0.34) | 0.667 (0.325–1.414) | 0.297 |
| 9          | G T G C | 101 (2.79) | 365 (2.40) | 1.175 (0.940–1.469) | 0.387 |
| 10         | G T T C | 2362 (65.28) | 10,047 (66.10) | 1.175 (0.940–1.469) | 0.387 |
| 11         | G T T C | 157 (4.34) | 662 (4.36) | 0.996 (0.833–1.190) | 0.963 |
| 12         | G T T T | 88 (2.43) | 310 (2.04) | 1.193 (0.938–1.517) | 0.149 |
| 13         | G T T T | 7 (0.19) | 29 (0.19) | 1.087 (0.482–2.450) | 0.840 |

### 2.3. Association of TCF7L2 and T2DM

Cases and controls differed in genotype distribution ($p = 0.001$) but not allelic distribution of rs11196218 ($p = 0.308$) (Table 5). The GA genotype and the recessive model of rs11196218 were associated with increased risk of T2DM (OR = 1.435, 95% CI = 1.141–1.805, $p = 0.002$; OR = 1.319, 95% CI = 1.057–1.646, $p = 0.014$, respectively). After adjustment for confounders such as sex, age, anthropometric measurements, and metabolic measurements, only the GA genotype remained associated with increased disease risk (OR = 1.344, 95% CI = 1.044–1.730, $p = 0.001$) (Table 6).

The haplotype analysis involved combining our previous results for rs7903146 and rs290487 (Wang et al. [13]) and current results for rs11196218 with TCF7L2. We found eight haplotypes involving rs7903146, rs290487, and rs11196218 in TCF7L2 among study participants. Hap-analysis revealed the haplotypes CCG, TTA, and TTG were associated with increased T2DM risk (OR = 1.130, 95% CI = 1.040–1.228, $p = 0.004$; OR = 1.392, 95% CI = 1.156–1.676, $p = 0.001$; OR = 1.442, 95% CI = 1.029–2.020, $p = 0.002$, respectively) and CTG and TCG associated with decreased disease risk.
(OR = 0.873, 95% CI = 0.811–0.939, \( p = 0.001 \); OR = 0.747, 95% CI = 0.565–0.988, \( p = 0.001 \), respectively) (Table 7). The PARP for genotype GA of rs11196218 was 11.73% and for haplotypes CCG, TTG and TTA was 2.96%, 1.20%, and 1.35%.

**Table 5.** Genotypic and allelic distributions of rs11196218 in *TCF7L2* gene among Han Chinese.

| SNPs    | Cases   | Controls  | \( p \)   |
|---------|---------|-----------|-----------|
| rs11196218 |         |           |           |
| AA      | 98 (5.44)| 540 (7.05) | 0.001     |
| GA      | 771 (42.76)| 2961 (38.64)| 0.001  |
| GG      | 934 (51.80)| 4163 (54.31)| 0.001  |
| A       | 967 (26.82)| 4041 (26.37)| 0.579  |
| G       | 2639 (73.18)| 11,287 (73.63)| 0.579  |

Data are number (%).

**Table 6.** Association of rs11196218 in *TCF7L2* gene and T2DM in Han Chinese.

| SNPs    | Genotypes | Unadjusted ORs (95% CI) | \( p \) | Adjusted ORs * (95% CI) | \( p \) * |
|---------|-----------|-------------------------|--------|------------------------|--------|
| rs11196218 | AA       | 1                       | 1      |                        |        |
| GA      | 1.435 (1.141–1.805) | 0.002       |        | 1.344 (1.044–1.730) | 0.022  |
| GG      | 1.236 (0.986–1.551) | 0.067       |        | 1.202 (0.937–1.542) | 0.148  |
| GG vs. GA + AA | 0.904 (0.816–1.002) | 0.054     |        | 0.930 (0.830–1.042) | 0.212  |
| GG + GA vs. AA | 1.319 (1.057–1.646) | 0.014     |        | 1.261 (0.989–1.609) | 0.062  |

* Adjusted for sex, age, anthropometric measurements, TC, TG, HDL-C, and LDL-C.

**Table 7.** Associations of haplotypes of SNPs in *TCF7L2* gene and T2DM.

| Haplotypes | Cases   | Controls  | ORs (95% CI) | \( p \)   |
|-----------|---------|-----------|--------------|---------|
| rs7903146 | rs290487 | rs11196218 |              |         |
| 1         | C       | C         | A            | 308 (8.54) | 1262 (8.23) | 1.040 (0.913–1.185) | 0.552  |
| 2         | C       | C         | G            | 928 (25.72) | 3595 (23.46) | 1.130 (1.040–1.228) | 0.004  |
| 3         | C       | T         | A            | 583 (16.16) | 2514 (16.40) | 0.982 (0.890–1.084) | 0.723  |
| 4         | C       | T         | G            | 1499 (41.55) | 6884 (44.91) | 0.873 (0.811–0.939) | 0.001  |
| 5         | T       | C         | A            | 31 (0.86) | 130 (0.85) | 1.007 (0.679–1.494) | 0.970  |
| 6         | T       | C         | G            | 59 (1.63) | 333 (2.17) | 0.747 (0.565–0.988) | 0.041  |
| 7         | T       | T         | A            | 46 (1.27) | 135 (0.88) | 1.442 (1.029–2.020) | 0.032  |
| 8         | T       | T         | G            | 154 (4.27) | 475 (3.10) | 1.392 (1.156–1.676) | 0.001  |

Data are number (%).

2.4. Association of GCG and T2DM

Cases and controls did not differ in genotype and allelic distributions for rs12104705 (\( p > 0.05 \)) (Table 8). We found no association of genotypes of rs12104705 and T2DM (see Table 9).
Table 8. Genotypic and allelic distributions of rs12104705 in GCG gene among Han Chinese.

| SNPs     | Cases   | Controls | p       |
|----------|---------|----------|---------|
| rs12104705 |         |          |         |
| TT       | 9 (0.49)| 46 (0.60)| 0.875   |
| CT       | 239 (13.26)| 1021 (13.32)| 0.875 |
| CC       | 1555 (86.25)| 6597 (86.08)| 0.875 |
| T        | 257 (7.13)| 1113 (7.26)| 0.078   |
| C        | 3349 (92.87)| 14,215 (92.74)| 0.078   |

Table 9. Association of rs12104705 in GCG gene and T2DM in Han Chinese.

| SNPs     | Genotypes   | Unadjusted ORs (95% CI) | p         | Adjusted ORs * (95% CI) | p * |
|----------|--------------|-------------------------|-----------|-------------------------|-----|
| rs12104705 | CC           | 1 (1)                   | 1         | 1 (1)                   | 1   |
|           | CT           | 0.993 (0.854–1.155)     | 0.928     | 0.961 (0.811–1.137)     | 0.641 |
|           | TT           | 0.830 (0.405–1.699)     | 0.610     | 0.965 (0.450–2.069)     | 0.928 |
|           | TT vs. CT + CC | 0.831 (0.406–1.700) | 0.612 | 0.970 (0.453–2.079) | 0.938 |
|           | TT + CT vs. CC | 0.986 (0.850–1.144) | 0.853 | 0.961 (0.814–1.134) | 0.636 |

* Adjusted for sex, age, anthropometric measurements, TC, TG, HDL-C, and LDL-C.

2.5. Interaction of LRP5, TCF7L2, and GCG

From MDR analysis of the interaction among the nine SNPs (including our previous results for rs7903146 and rs290487 in TCF7L2 [Wang et al. [13]], we found 2- to 9-locus model for T2DM; eight models were significant. Overall, the 3-locus model involving rs7903146, rs290487, and rs11196218 had the highest level of testing accuracy (55.30%), and presented the best cross-validation consistency (10/10) (Table 10). Therefore, we chose this model as the best MDR model for T2DM to demonstrate potential gene–gene interaction among these SNPs.

Table 10. Best gene-gene interaction models identified by the MDR v1.2.0.

| Locus No. | Best Combination | Training Accuracy | Testing Accuracy | Sign Test (p) | CV Consistency |
|-----------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|---------------|----------------|
| 2         | rs290487 rs11196218 | 0.5436 | 0.5346 | 10 (0.0010) | 6/10 |
| 3         | rs7903146 rs290487 rs11196218 | 0.5552 | 0.5530 | 10 (0.0010) | 10/10 |
| 4         | rs7903146 rs290487 rs1228303 rs11196218 | 0.5634 | 0.5462 | 10 (0.0010) | 5/10 |
| 5         | rs7903146 rs290487 rs7102273 rs4930588 rs11196218 | 0.5747 | 0.5517 | 10 (0.0010) | 10/10 |
| 6         | rs7903146 rs290487 rs7102273 rs4930588 rs11196218 rs12104705 | 0.5884 | 0.5411 | 10 (0.0010) | 5/10 |
| 7         | rs7903146 rs290487 rs7102273 rs12363572 rs4930588 rs11196218 rs12104705 | 0.6035 | 0.5481 | 10 (0.0010) | 10/10 |
Table 10. **Cont.**

| Locus No. | Best Combination | Training Accuracy | Testing Accuracy | Sign Test ($p$) | CV Consistency |
|-----------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 8         | rs7903146 rs290487 | 0.6174            | 0.5473          | 10 (0.0010)    | 10/10          |
|           | rs7102273 rs11228303 rs12363572 rs4930588 |                   |                 |                |                |
|           | rs11196218 rs12104705 |                   |                 |                |                |

| 9         | rs7903146 rs290487 | rs3758644 rs7102273 | 0.6240 | 0.4000 | 5 (0.6230) | 10/10 |
|           | rs11228303 rs12363572 | rs4930588 rs11196218 | rs12104705 | | | |

Because MDR could not reveal the main effect of the interactions, we used multiplicative logistic regression to analyze the interactions between pairs of SNPs after adjustment for confounders. Significant interactions were found between genotype CC of rs12104705 in GCG and AG of rs11196218 in TCF7L2, as well as CC of rs12104705 in GCG and TT of rs7102273 in LRP5 (Table 11). However, significant antagonistic interactions were revealed for the genotype CC of rs12104705 in GCG and TT of rs4930588 in LRP5; CT genotype of rs290487 in TCF7L2 and CC of rs7903146 in TCF7L2; and CC of rs12363572 in LRP5 and TT of rs7102273 in LRP5; and CT of rs12363572 in LRP5 and TT of rs7102273 in LRP5.

Table 11. Interactions of SNPs in LRP5, TCF7L2, and GCG gene for T2DM by the multiplicative logistic regression.

| SNP         | OR (95% CI) * | $p$ * |
|-------------|--------------|------|
| GCG rs12104705 | TCF7L2 rs11196218 | 2.461 (1.198–5.056) | 0.014 |
| CC          | AG          |      |      |
| GCG rs12104705 | LRP5 rs7102273 | 1.709 (1.008–2.897) | 0.047 |
| CC          | TT          |      |      |
| GCG rs12104705 | LRP5 rs4930588 | 0.672 (0.341–1.326) | 0.252 |
| CC          | TT          |      |      |
| TCF7L2 rs290487 | TCF7L2 rs7903146 | 0.343 (0.205–0.574) | 0.001 |
| CT          | CC          |      |      |
| TCF7L2 rs290487 | LRP5 rs7102273 | 0.604 (0.372–0.981) | 0.042 |
| CT          | TT          |      |      |
| LRP5 rs12363572 | LRP5 rs7102273 | 0.610 (0.399–0.934) | 0.023 |
| CC          | TT          |      |      |
| LRP5 rs12363572 | LRP5 rs7102273 | 0.330 (0.163–0.667) | 0.002 |
| CT          | TT          |      |      |

* Adjusted for sex, age, anthropometric measurements, TC, TG, HDL-C, and LDL-C.

3. Discussion

The WNT signaling pathway exerts its effect via the 7-transmembrane domain frizzled receptors and LRP5/6 co-receptors. The key effectors of the WNT signaling pathway is β-catenin/TCF, which is...
formed by β-catenin and a member of the TCF family (the most common being TCF7L2). WNT signals are transmitted to the WNT receptors to prevent the phosphorylation-dependent degradation of β-catenin, and then it enters the nucleus with TCF to form αβ-catenin-TCF complex to regulate downstream target genes such as GCG [14]. Furthermore, dominant negative TCF can repress both endogenous and lithium-stimulated WNT-mediated expression of the proglucagon gene in intestinal L cells [15]. The WNT signaling pathway was initially known as a key transduction pathway in a various human cancers and embryonic development [16,17].

We investigated the association of SNPs in the WNT signaling genes LRP5 and TCF7L2 and downstream GCG and risk of T2DM in Han Chinese: 1842 patients with T2DM and 7777 normal glucose-tolerant healthy participants. Among the five SNPs in LRP5, the recessive models of rs7102273 and haplotype GCTCC were associated with risk of T2DM; the haplotype GCTTC was associated with decrease risk. For TCF7L2, the rs11196218 genotype GA and haplotypes CCG, TTG, and TTA were associated with increased risk and haplotype CTG and TCG with decreased risk. MDR and multiplicative logistic regression revealed potential gene–gene interactions among LRP5, TCF7L2, and GCG and T2DM. The WNT signaling pathway may play a significant role in risk of T2DM in the Han Chinese population, LRP5 played an important role in glucose and lipid metabolism in animal experiments [9], and the location of LRP5 is in the region with T1DM linkage [10]. In addition, in a large family-based genetic association study, polymorphisms of the LPR5 were associated with adult obesity [18]. However, the associations of SNPs in LPR5 and T2DM have only been studied in a Japanese population [19], with no association found. In our study, among the five SNPs, only the recessive model of rs7102273 was associated with T2DM, after adjustment for other confounders. The effect of a single SNP is weak for risk of diseases whereas the haplotype block has a major role. We found that the haplotype GCTCC could significantly increase risk of T2DM and GCTTC could decrease the risk.

TCF7L2 is a specific transcription factor produced by intestinal cells, and in vitro TCF7L2 knockout experiments showed that increased apoptosis of islet β-cells was accompanied by decreased proliferation [20]. Genome-wide association study (GWAS) confirmed that rs7903146 of TCF7L2 was significantly associated with T2DM in a Caucasian population [21]. The recent data showed that the rs7903146 enhances the expression of TCF4 in pancreatic beta-cell [22] and influence the development of T2DM via regulating the levels of GLP-1 [15,23]. The high level of TCF4 expression can suppress the GLP-1’s expression and the GLP-1 induced insulin secretion [22,24]. Because of the low allele frequency of rs7903146, most previous studies were underpowered to detect an association with T2DM in Han Chinese population and the results were inconsistent. rs290487 [25] and rs11196218 [26] were initially found associated with T2DM in the Chinese populations in Taiwan and Hong Kong but were not further validated in a large sample in Chinese people. Our results suggest that the rs11196218 GA genotype was associated with increased risk of T2DM, not observed in previous studies, and the PARP was 11.73%. Hap-analysis revealed the haplotypes CCG, TTG, and TTA significantly associated with increased risk of T2DM and CTG and TCG associated with decreased risk for the first time in Han Chinese population.

GLP-1, produced by alternative processing of the prohormonal precursor proglucagon, is released form intestinal enteroendocrine cells after feeding as a peptide hormone. GLP-1 plays a key role in promoting glucose-dependent insulin secretion, enhancing peripheral insulin sensitivity, reducing blood
glucose levels, and inducing satiety [4,23]. GCG as the main gene encoding the GLP-1 is the main downstream gene involved in glucose metabolism and islet cell function regulated by the β-catenin-TCF7L2 complex. The association of GCG and T2DM has been investigated only in a Danish population [27], with no association found. We also did not find an association of GCG and T2DM.

In addition to the independent function of the three genes in WNT signaling pathway, some studies suggested that polymorphisms of the transmembrane receptor gene LRP5 in WNT signaling may affect the formation of the β-catenin-TCF7L2 complex, and the interaction between LRP5 and TCF7L2 may affect the expression of downstream regulated genes [24]. No study has confirmed these assumptions. To reduce the type I error probability in analyzing interactions among genes, we used both MDR and multiplicative logistic regression and found potential gene-gene interactions among LRP5, TCF7L2, and GCG associated with T2DM.

Our study is the first to assess the relationships between LRP5 and GCG in the Han Chinese population. As well, we analyzed the interaction between SNPs in the WNT signaling pathway for the first time. All genotypes were in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium in controls (p > 0.05) and the power of our study to detect the association of the significant SNPs in the WNT signaling pathway and T2DM in the Chinese population was 100% by power for genetic association analyses vs. sample size package (PGA). Via our research, we screened the useful markers of T2DM for Chinese, and provide the evidence for the primary prevention of T2DM in China. However, limitations should be considered in our study. First, the interactions between the SNPs and the behavior risk factors were not evaluated in our manuscript due to lack of information. Second, some factors like genders and ages were not comparable between the T2DM patients and healthy controls. Third, selection bias may exist between the cases and controls, and our cases were from urban and rural areas, whereas the controls were almost from urban area.

In conclusion, although we found no substantial association of the main SNPs in LRP5 and GCG and T2DM, analysis of the haplotypes revealed that the WNT signaling pathway plays a significant role in risk of T2DM, with interactions among the three genes studied in a Han Chinese population. However, more representative and comprehensive studies in people of different ethnic backgrounds are needed to clarify the mechanisms and underlying genetic effects of T2DM.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Patients and Controls

Participants were of Han Chinese ancestry among local inhabitants of Henan Province. We recruited 1842 patients with T2DM from the outpatient clinics of several hospitals from 2010 to 2011. T2DM was diagnosed in accordance with the American Diabetic Association criteria [28]. We recruited 7777 non-diabetic healthy controls from rural communities in Henan Province in 2008. The sample size was calculated by PGA package (the condition: Disease Prevalence in China, 9.7%; Disease Allele Frequency (the lowest MAF in Chinese among these SNPs), 0.011; \( \alpha = 0.05 \); 1-\( \beta = 0.75 \); case to control ratio, 1:4). We excluded participants who were <25 or >75 years old; had body mass index (BMI) <18.5; were pregnant, handicapped, or mentally disturbed; had obesity caused by disease or were taking certain drugs; and had cancer or were unable or unwilling to participate. We obtained
informed consent from all participants, and the study was approved by the Ethics Committees of Zhengzhou University. Data on demographic and anthropometric characteristics were collected by interviewer-administered questionnaire. Anthropometric measurements included body weight, body height, BMI, waist circumference (WC), and blood pressure. An electronic sphygmomanometer was used to measure blood pressure.

4.2. Biochemical Measurements

All blood samples were combined with disodium EDTA for measuring glucose level and non-EDTA for measuring total cholesterol (TC), triglyceride (TG), and high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol (HDL-C) by use of an automatic biochemical analysis instrument. Low-density lipoprotein-cholesterol (LDL-C) was calculated by the Freidwald formula [29].

4.3. DNA Isolation and SNP Selection

Genomic DNA was extracted from whole blood by use of a blood genome DNA extraction kit (Yaneng BIO, Shenzhen, China). The tagging SNPs were selected for genotyping from the Phase II HapMap Han Chinese in Beijing (CHB) population by use of Haploview 4.2 (www.broad.mit.edu). The final SNPs selected from preliminary experiments are in Table 12. The linkage disequilibrium structures between the SNPs in LRP5 and TCF7L2 in the Han Chinese population are in Tables 13 and 14.

| Gene | SNPs | Position | Alleles | MAF * |
|------|------|----------|---------|-------|
| LRP5 | rs3758644 | 68,122,664 | C/T | 0.011 |
|      | rs7102273 | 68,142,155 | T/C | 0.156 |
|      | rs4930588 | 68,171,811 | T/G | 0.116 |
|      | rs12363572 | 68,145,542 | C/T | 0.047 |
|      | rs11228303 | 68,156,745 | C/T | 0.044 |
| TCF7L2 | rs11196218 | 114,830,484 | G/A | 0.407 |
| GCG  | rs12104705 | 162,999,863 | C/T | 0.066 |

* MAF: Minor allele frequencies in the Chinese population.

Table 12. The final selected SNPs involved in the present study.

| D’/r²   | rs7102273 | rs4930588 | rs12363572 | rs11228303 |
|---------|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|
| rs3759644 | 0.620/0.002 | 0.610/0.001 | 0.734/0.001 | 0.158/0.000 |
| rs7102273 | - | 0.749/0.296 | 0.582/0.089 | 0.036/0.000 |
| rs4930588 | - | - | 0.495/0.003 | 0.002/0.000 |
| rs12363572 | - | - | - | 0.011/0.000 |

Table 13. The linkage disequilibrium (as D’/r2) between SNPs of LRP5 gene in the Chinese population.

| D’/r²   | rs11196218 | rs290487 |
|---------|------------|----------|
| rs7903146 | 0.620/0.002 | 0.610/0.001 |
| rs11196218 | - | 0.749/0.296 |

Table 14. The linkage disequilibrium (as D’/r2) between SNPs of TCF7L2 gene in the Chinese population.
4.4. Genotyping

Genotyping involved use of PCR-restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP) and PCR-ligase detection reaction (PCR-LDR). The PCR-RFLP primers were designed by use of Primer Premier v5.0 (PREMIER Biosoft International, Palo Alto, CA, USA) and the sequences of PCR primers and conditions for RFLP are in Table 15. PCR was carried out in a 20 μL reaction volume containing 50 ng genomic DNA, 5 pmol each primer, 10 μL 2 × Taq PCR mix ([Laifeng BIO, Shanghai, China], containing 1 mmol/L of MgCl2, 100 μmmol/L of deoxynucleotide triphosphate (dNTP), and 0.5U of Taq polymerase). PCR products were incubated for 10 h with 3U restriction enzyme in a 20-μL reaction volume and separated by 4% agarose gel electrophoresis. To verify the reproducibility, we repeated 5% samples at random as a quality controls for genotyping, and the concordance rate was 99%. rs11196218 in TCF7L2 and rs12104705 in GCG were genotyped by use of PCF-LDR by Shanghai Generay Biotech.

| Gene | Polymorphisms | Primer sequences | Restriction Enzymes (T) |
|------|---------------|------------------|------------------------|
| LRP5 | rs3758644     | 5′-GATGAGCTCTCACAGTGCCGTG-3′ (F) | BseNI (Fermentas), (65 °C) |
|      |               | 5′-GGATGAGGTCGGTACCTA-3′ (R)     |                        |
| rs7102273 |           | 5′-AAGCATTGTGAGGGAGAACACC-3′ (F) | PaeI (Fermentas), (37 °C) |
|      |               | 5′-GTGCTAACCCAGGATGCA-3′ (R)     |                        |
| rs4930588 |           | 5′-TGGCCCTTTAATGGCTGACAC-3′ (F)  | BseNI (Fermentas), (65 °C) |
|      |               | 5′-CAGCAGAGTTGGAACGGACT-3′ (R)   |                        |
| rs12363572 |          | 5′-AGTGGGAGATCCCTATGAGTCC-3′ (F) | Tail (Fermentas), (65 °C) |
|      |               | 5′-TCTATTCACGTCTTTGGCCCAT-3′ (R) |                        |
| rs11228303 |         | 5′-CTACCCAATCTCTATAAC-3′ (F)    | Hindf (Fermentas), (37 °C) |
|      |               | 5′-GGGCTATGAGCTATTGTTAAG-3′ (R)  |                        |

5. Statistical Analysis

Categorical data are shown as number (percentage) and analyzed by chi-square test for. Continuous data are shown as median (range) for data with non-normal distribution. Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon and Kruskal-Wallis rank tests were used to assess differences between cases and controls. Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium for each SNP was calculated for cases and controls by use of Haploview 4.2. Odds ratios (ORs), 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs) and corresponding p-values for risk of T2DM were calculated by logistic regression analyses after adjusting for sex, age, anthropometric measurements (BMI, WC, and blood pressure), and biochemical indexes (lipid levels including TC, TG, HDL-C and LDL-C). The interactions among SNPs for the three genes were analyzed by multifactor dimensionality reduction (MDR) and multiplicative logistic regression after adjusting for sex, age, and BMI. All tests were two-sided and were considered statistically significant at p ≤ 0.05. Calculation of haplotype and linkage disequilibrium coefficients involved use of Haploview 4.2 (www.broad.mit.edu). P ≤ 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Calculation of PARP was based on the estimated ORs and genotypic frequencies of the SNPs showing significant association with T2DM. All statistical analyses involved use of SPSS v17.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Power calculation involved use of power for PGA package [30].
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