Alveolar epithelial type II (AETII) cells are important for lung epithelium maintenance and function. We demonstrate that AETII cells from mouse lungs exposed to cigarette smoke (CS) increase the levels of the mitochondria-encoded non-coding RNA, mito-RNA-805, generated by the control region of the mitochondrial genome. The protective effects of mito-ncR-805 are associated with positive regulation of mitochondrial energy metabolism, and respiration. Levels of mito-ncR-805 do not relate to steady-state transcription or replication of the mitochondrial genome. Instead, CS-exposure causes the redistribution of mito-ncR-805 from mitochondria to the nucleus, which correlated with the increased expression of nuclear-encoded genes involved in mitochondrial function. These studies reveal an unrecognized mitochondria stress associated retrograde signaling, and put forward the idea that mito-ncRNA-805 represents a subtype of small non coding RNAs that are regulated in a tissue- or cell-type specific manner to protect cells under physiological stress.
Cigarette smoke (CS) is associated with over 400,000 deaths annually in the United States and is a major risk factor for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD)
1,2. COPD is characterized by airspace enlargement due to extracellular matrix destruction and loss of alveolar epithelial Type-I (AETI) cells
3. AETI cells cover 95% of the alveolar surface, forming a thin barrier for gas exchange
4. Alveolar epithelial Type-II (AETII) cells produce surfactants, which are crucial for the alveolar structure
5. These cells are also local progenitors that can proliferate and trans-differentiate into AETI cells to maintain lung homeostasis and to repair damage in response to injury
6,7. CS alters AETII cell metabolism
8–10, and metabolic changes are associated with mitochondrial malfunction.

CS exposure has complex effects on mitochondrial biology, depending on the cell type and on the dose and duration of CS exposure. In many cell types, CS exposure causes fragmentation of mitochondria, a switch to glycolysis as the predominant source of energy, activation of the inflammasome, mitophagy, and if mitochondrial homeostasis is not re-established mitochondrial-mediated death in the majority of cell types can induce biogenesis, and stress response-mediated "hormesis"-like effects in AETII cells
20. In AETII, CS exposure causes mitochondrial elongation
21. Elongated mitochondria can better maintain energy production under stress
22, therefore elongation is a sign of adaptation.

This resistance suggests the existence of molecular mechanisms that protect AETII cells from initial CS-induced injury
23. It is not known whether mitochondrial elongation is the only protective mechanism in AETII cells.

The mammalian mitochondrial genome is a 16.5-kb circular double-stranded DNA molecule, encoding 13 essential protein subunits of electron transport chain (ETC) complexes, two tRNA subunits, and 22 tRNAs
34,25. Reports identified several classes of non-coding (nc) RNAs produced by the mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) (mito-ncRNAs). These include different classes of mito-miRs (17–24 nucleotides (nts)), mito-piRNAs (24–31 nts), mito-ncRNAs (<200 nts), and mito-lncRNAs (>200 nts)
26,28. The functions of mito-ncRNAs are being elucidated. mito-miRs modify host genes in vivo, and their deregulation leads to altered expression of mitochondrial genes
29. Other classes of mito-ncRNAs have been proposed to be involved in communication between mitochondria and nucleus, with suggested roles during stress responses
30. The mechanisms of their export from mitochondria and import to the nucleus are not known
31. Ago2 has been reported in mitochondria, while the presence of DICER and other RNAi machinery has not been identified in the mitochondria proteome
32. The precise mechanisms of maturation, trafficking through intracellular space, and function of mito-ncRNAs are undetermined
27.

We screened for the CS-induced changes in the expression of microRNAs in AETII cells and identified a number of microRNAs whose expression was induced by CS. Characterized here, mito-ncr-805 was found to be not an miRNA but a 70-nt RNA encoded by mtDNA, induced by CS in a cell-type-specific manner and shuttle into the nucleus. Mito-ncr-805 has a positive effect on the expression of subset of nuclear genes, such as subunits of ETC, influences mitochondrial metabolism, and bioenergetics. The function of mito-ncr-805 represents a previously unknown molecular mechanism of retrograde signaling that protects AETII cells from CS-induced injury.

miR-805 is an mtDNA-encoded ncRNA, not an microRNA. Sequence analysis showed that miR-805 maps to mtDNA (Fig. 2a)
44. Because mitochondria are severely affected by CSE
9–14, we sought to investigate the regulation of miR-805 in the mitochondrial response to CSE.

The mitochondrial localization of miR-805 was supported by enrichment of miR-805 in mitochondrial fractions compared to cytosolic fractions and total cell lysates (Fig. 2b, c). Mitochondrial miR-805 was resistant to RNase digestion, similar to Cox3 and cox10 and opposite of glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) transcripts (Supplementary Fig. 2a). miR-805 maps to...
The light-strand promoter (LSP) D-loop regulatory region of the mtDNA, a major non-coding region involved in the regulation of transcription and replication (Fig. 2d)24. The sequence of mir-805 has no homology anywhere within the nuclear genome, thus providing an additional evidence that mir-805 is of a mitochondrial origin. mir-805 is a predicted miRNA, not tested experimentally in animals, normalized to sno55RNA in all panels; folds calculated to respective controls. 

The 5'-end of the predicted pre-miR maps before the transcription and replication (Fig. 2d)24. The sequence of miR-805 predicted pre-miR maps before the transcription and replication (Fig. 2d)24. The sequence of miR-805 predicted mature sequence of miR-805 has no homology with the highest changes. 

Fig. 1 Identification of miRNAs regulated by CSE treatment. a Key of experimental treatments of MLE12 cells with 10% CSE for 0 (untreated), 2, or 10 h. b Untreated and treated with 10% CSE or 2 and 10 h MLE12 cells were assayed for changes in protein levels associated with stress signaling. Actin was used as a loading control. c GeneSpring 11.0 principle component analysis of untreated cells, and two time points of CSE treatment. d Hierarchical clustering of 27 differentially expressed miRNAs obtained from three independent biological experiments. Expression of microRNAs was assayed in untreated and 10% CSE-exposed MLE12 cells using GeneSpring GX 11.0. e Table of select microRNAs from d with the highest changes. f RT-qPCR was used to confirm miR-805 expression in MLE12 cells exposed to 10% CSE for 2 h (n = 3 independent experiments/with 9 independent samples, p = 0.01) and 10 h (n = 3, independent experiments/with 9 independent samples, p = 1.19E−07). g Primary AETII cells were isolated from mouse lungs and their phenotype was verified using antibodies specific to staining lamellar bodies (3C9), showing a 92% purity of isolated AETII cells (111 3C9-positive cells out of total of 121 DAPI nuclei, upper panel). Isolated AETII cells were plated, allowed to adhere and recover from the isolation procedure for 3 days, fixed using Karnovsky’s fixation protocol, and processed for TEM to visualize laminar bodies. Images were acquired using JEM 1011 TEM microscope. h Mouse primary AETII cells from four independent isolations were plated, cultured for 3 days, and exposed to 2.5% CSE for 15 and 45 min. miRNA-enriched RNA was isolated and tested for the expression of miR-805 by RT-qPCR (Control n = 3 independent experiments/with 9 independent samples, 15 min n = 3 independent experiments/with 9 independent samples, p value = 0.006, and 45 min n = 3 independent experiments/with 12 independent samples, p value = 06.9194E−09). i Primary AETII cells isolated from mice exposed to CS for 3 months twice daily (n = 5 animals for control, and n = 5 animals for CS-exposed animals, p = 0.008). All p values indicate the comparison of treated sample values to respective control untreated. RT-qPCR levels of mito-nCR-805 were normalized to sno55RNA in all panels; folds calculated to respective controls.
base paring with a non-transcribed part of the mitochondria genome, because the LS transcription start site is located at 16,18945. RNAseq confirmed that no transcript is produced from any sequence prior to bp 16,188 (Fig. 2a, d, f), indicating that bp 16,188 is the LS transcription initiation site and the 5′ end of the RNA. This 70 bp transcript was almost undetectable by quantitative PCR (qPCR) in cytosolic fractions (Fig. 2c), indicating that this ncRNA does not require the conventional processing machinery. The CSE-mediated fold induction was similar when measured with probes generated against either the 5′-end or the 3′-end of the 70-nt RNA, further supporting a non-miRNA nature of the transcript (Fig. 2h).

These data strongly support miR-805 being a mito-ncRNA that maps at the LS transcription initiation site. Henceforth, we refer to it as mito-ncR-805.

To unequivocally confirm the mitochondrial origin of mito-ncR-805, we tested its expression levels in MLE12 rho0 cells in which mtDNA was depleted by ~96% (Fig. 2j)46. Depletion of mtDNA resulted in a dramatic decrease in the ability to detect mito-ncR-805 by qPCR (Fig. 2k) and disappearance of mito-ncR-805-specific band from the Northern blot (Fig. 2e).

mito-ncR-805 distribution in cells. To visualize mito-ncR-805, a BaseScope probe was developed. This probe detected a dispersed granular network, with concentration in the peri-nuclear region, which is in agreement with known mitochondrial distribution (Fig. 2h). The specificity of read alignments to the mitochondrial genome was confirmed by aligning all the sequence reads against the entire mouse nuclear and mitochondrial genomes (Fig. 2i and Supplementary Fig. 2g).

To test the expression levels of mito-ncR-805 in MLE12 cells, we used two sets of primers, directed against the 5′ end (first 20 bp of mito-ncR-805), or directed against the 3′-end of mito-ncR-805 (30–55 bp of mito-ncR-805). The CSE-mediated fold induction was similar when measured with probes generated against either the 5′-end or the 3′-end of the 70-nt RNA, further supporting a non-miRNA nature of the transcript (Fig. 2h).

The first 29 bp of the 70-bp transcript were found to be unique for the mitochondrial genome, with no homology elsewhere in the nuclear genome; the rest of the transcript has two short homology stretches to two nuclear genes (Supplementary Fig. 2h).
Co-detection of mito-ncR-805 and the mitochondrial outer membrane protein Tom20 was performed using fluorescence in situ hybridization–immunofluorescence (FISH–IF) and revealed partial co-localization (Fig. 3b−d), with varying degrees of overlap in signals. Linescans were employed to analyze the spatial relationship between mito-ncR-805 and Tom20. Three predominant spatial relationships were detected: complete, partial co-localizations, and mito-ncR-805 being “engulfed” by Tom20 (Fig. 3c and Supplementary Fig. 2j). mito-ncR-805 dots vary in size significantly, with a single visible dot being 0.25–2 μm in diameter (Fig. 3b). Differences in mito-ncR-805 dot size could not be accounted for by amplification steps during FISH; it is likely that larger dots may represent accumulation of several mito-ncR-805 transcripts. Dots smaller in diameter likely colocalize with Tom20, and dots >1 μm are likely representing mito-ncR-805-containing complexes, which remain in the vicinity of Tom20. Three-dimensional (3D) reconstitution of multiple planes corroborates this possibility (Fig. 3d) and supports the idea of mito-ncR-805 accumulating in some kind of mitochondrial granules.

To test mito-ncR-805 distribution in the lung tissues, BaseScope-duplex ISH was performed using the same probe as was used in MLE12 cells to detect mito-ncR-805 and a probe specific to Surfactant B mRNA to identify AETII cells. Figure 3e demonstrates that mito-ncR-805 is expressed ubiquitously through different cell types in the lung parenchyma. Levels of its expression were scored using modification of a 4-tier scoring system previously used for semi-quantitative microscopical evaluation of RNAscope: 0 = no spots, 1 = few spots, 2 = moderate (<10 spots), 3 = high (>10 spots per cell)⁹⁷. The average number of mito-ncR-805 spots per cell was 10.7 ±/− 3.9 per AETII cell (score = 3), and 2.15 ±/− 0.4 spots per non-AETII cell (score = 1, Supplementary Data).

In conclusion, mito-ncR-805 is a mitochondrial ncRNA, stored in granular form, and likely present in greater amounts in AETII cells.

**mito-ncR-805 appears in the nucleus during CSE exposure.** To study the dynamics of mito-ncR-805 during the stress of chronic CSE exposure, cells were exposed to 6% CSE for 10 h (induces adaptive response with no associated cell death). mito-ncR-805 localization with respect to Tom20 and the nucleus was visualized via FISH–IF (Fig. 4a). Co-localization was analyzed as a function of overlapping signal intensities obtained using 3D reconstruction of all cellular planes (Fig. 4b), where I reflect mito-ncR-805 relationship to Tom20, and II to nucleus, and linescan analysis (Fig. 4c). Starting at 1 h of CSE exposure, overlap between mito-ncR-805 and Tom20 signals increase (Fig. 4b, c), which is in agreement with new synthesis of mito-ncR-805 in the mitochondria or its exit from mitochondria. Nuclear localization of mito-ncR-805 becomes visible at 3 h of CSE exposure, as distinctive red spots in the nucleus (Fig. 4b, c), and it gradually increases at 6 h (Fig. 4b, c), reaching its maximum at 10 h, where the whole nucleus appears purple from mito-ncR-805 signal (Fig. 4b, c).

As nuclear localization increases, the number of mito-ncR-805 dots decreases (Fig. 4d), with 10 h exhibiting very few. Levels of mito-ncR-805 from the same experiment demonstrated the expected increase in mito-ncR-805 expression levels by qPCR (Supplementary Fig. 3a).

To confirm the presence of mito-ncR-805 in the nucleus, total and nuclear extracts with no observed mitochondria...
Levels of mito-ncR-805 were analyzed by RT-qPCR in total and nuclear extracts and in nuclear pellets treated with RNase. Isolated from the other half of total and nuclear fraction, resolved on 15% urea-gel, and probed with the probes complimentary to mito-ncR-805 and to U6.

Contamination (Fig. 4e) were prepared from MLE12 cells exposed or not to CSE. The small amount of mito-ncR-805 in the nucleus was detectable in Control cells and the amount increased several fold in CSE-exposed cells. The increase in the nucleus coincided with the recovery phase (6–10 h) when a rise in the total levels of mito-ncR-805 is also observed (Fig. 4f). Nuclear mito-ncR-805 was resistant to RNase treatment (Fig. 4g).

We found no decrease in mtDNA, and mitochondrial morphology was intact, with no visible mitochondrial dispersion or interaction with the nucleus (Supplementary Fig. 3b–e). CSE exposure of MLE12 cells did not cause decrease in any intermediates of tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle but resulted in trending increase in the activity of the TCA cycle but not glycolysis (Supplementary Fig. 3f). Therefore, mitochondria of MLE12 cells survive CSE exposure and remain active, providing a support to the idea that the discovered phenomenon is a part of an adaptive stress response. The dispersion of the in situ signal is specific to mito-ncR-805, not mitochondria. ISH and

Fig. 4 mito-ncR-805 redistributes from mitochondria and appears in the nucleus during exposure of MLE12 cells to CSE. MLE12 cells treated with 6% CSE for the indicated times were analyzed for stress-associated changes in mito-ncR-805 subcellular distribution. a Subcellular distribution of mito-ncR-805 and Tom20 was evaluated using FISH-IF. Nuclei were visualized by Hoechst. Scale bar is 20 μm. Boxed areas I are enlarged representative examples of areas analyzed for mito-ncR-805 and Tom20 localization. Boxed areas II are enlarged representative examples of areas analyzed for mito-ncR-805 localization in relationship to the nucleus. Confocal images were acquired using >63 magnification. A representative image is a projection of 7 consecutive planes acquired at the distance of 0.3 μm. b 3D reconstitution of representative boxed areas from a. Scale bar is 2 μm. Arrows at the 3 h CSE time point to the initial mito-ncR-805 spots in the nucleus (c). Linescan analysis of areas I and II with representative examples of plots of three channel intensities through regions depicted by linescans. Images in a–c are representatives of three independent biological experiments. For each experiment, 3–4 cells for each condition were analyzed, with 3–5 linescans per each cell. d Quantification of mito-ncR-805 dots per individual cell counted for 12–15 cells for each time point. p values: pControl/1h = 1.96458E–05, pControl/3h = 3.78809E–07, pControl/6h = 2.73901E–06, pControl/10h = 0.000686789; not statistically significant between different exposure time: p1h/10h = 0.543742736. e, f MLE12 cells were exposed to CSE for 0, 2, 6, and 10 h. Total, nuclear, and cytoplasmic extracts were prepared. e Purity of nuclear extracts (Nu), as compared to cytoplasmic extracts (Cp). Proteins were isolated from half of each fraction, and fractions were analyzed for cytosolic protein LDHA, mitochondrial protein SDHA, and nuclear protein Histone 3 (H3). f Small RNAs were isolated from the other half of total and nuclear fraction, resolved on 15% urea-gel, and probed with the probes complimentary to mito-ncR-805 and to U6. g Levels of mito-ncR-805 were analyzed by RT-qPCR in total and nuclear extracts and in nuclear pellets treated with RNase.
Fractionation analysis followed by Northern blot analysis demonstrates disappearance of granular form and intracellular re-distribution of mito-ncR-805, and qPCR demonstrates an increase in the expression levels of mito-ncR-805 due to cellular stress.

Mito-ncR-805 spots are decreased in CS-exposed AETII cells. Previous research demonstrated that, in the mouse model of COPD, similarly to human smokers, there is an adaptation phase (lasting up to 4–5 months in the mouse model), which subsequently fails if smoking continues (post-6-month CS exposure), leading to the manifestation of disease phenotypes. We therefore tested whether acute, one cigarette to naive mice (adaptive) (Fig. 5a), or chronic CS exposure associated with emphysema development (Fig. 5b–d) caused any detectable changes in the number of mito-ncR-805 spots. The dynamics of mito-ncR-805 dots in AETII cells in naive mice (Fig. 5a) is similar with that of CSE-exposed MLE12 cells (Fig. 4d); the ISH dots decreased

Fig. 5 The number of mito-ncR-805 spots is decreased in AETII cells of mice acutely and chronically exposed to CS. a–d Mice were exposed to smoke from one cigarette (a) or to smoke from 2 cigarettes per day, 5 days a week, for 6 months (b–d). Lungs were inflated, embedded into paraffin blocks, 4 micron sections were cut, and analyzed. a, d Expression of mito-ncR-805 (blue) and Surfactant B mRNA (red) using ISH. Images were acquired using ×100 objective. Representative images are shown, scale bar is 20 μm. Arrows indicate enlarged AETII cells shown in (1) and (2) panels, respectively. Scale bar for enlarged images is 5 μm. Hoechst counterstain overlay is removed from enlarged images to maximize contract of mito-ncR-805 and Surfactant B signals. b Examples of lungs of sham and mice exposed to CS for 6 months stained with Gill staining to visualize alveolar compartment. Scale bar is 100 μm. c Morphometric analysis of average alveolar chord length of sham and 6-month CS-exposed mice, where sham n = 6 animals and 6-month CS n = 9 animals. Quantification of the number of mito-ncR-805 spots in AETII and non-AETII cells. Each point represents counting from 6 to 8 randomly chosen fields acquired at ×100 magnification from each individual animal. For controls, n = 76 AETII and 480 non-AETII cells. For 12 h of CS exposure, n = 79 AETII and 403 non-AETII cells. For 48 h, n = 87 AETII and 472 non-AETII cells. For chronic smokers, n = 64 AETII and 414 non-AETII cells. P values are pControl/AETII/non-AETII = 5.55558E–08, pControl/12h-AETII = 1.04561E–18, pControl/12h-non-AETII = 0.004329157, pControl/24h-AETII = 1.43455E–13, pControl/24h-non-AETII = 0.002372331, pControl/48h-AETII = 3.89362E–11, pControl/48h-non-AETII = 0.0548322469, pSham/6 mo-AETII = 9.28908E–21, pSham/6 mo-non-AETII = 0.000104857, p6-mo-smAETI/non-AETII = 0.057089623.
were calculated from at least 3 representative biological experiments as Control versus AI805 or Control versus ncR805 (p<0.017, RT-qPCR for the expression levels of four representative genes found to be downregulated in [35S]-cysteine for 60 min following the addition of emetine to inhibit non-mitochondrial translation or the combination of emetine and chloramphenicol to inhibit all translation. 0.2 and in cells transfected with AI805 0.28 fold changes during CS exposure. These data suggest that the release of the mito-ncR-805 from mitochondria is likely occurring in vivo during adaptation to smoking in AETII cells. In non-AETII cells, mito-ncR-805 also decreased in CS-exposed mice, but this decrease was less significant. There was no age-related difference between control mice of acute (8 weeks old) and chronic (8 months old) CS exposure groups, but the number of mito-ncR-805 dots in AETII cells of chronically exposed mice was severely diminished compared to acutely exposed mice (Fig. 5e). In all, 9.2% of AETII cells in chronic smokers showed no mito-ncR-805 dots present (Fig. 5d). The difference between AETII and non-AETII cells became not significant. These data point to a correlation between the number of mito-ncR-805 dots/cell and the well-described diminished ability of AETII cells of chronic smokers to repair CS-induced damage and repopulate lost AETI cells. The latter is also supported by the development of emphysema in mice exposed to chronic smoking (Fig. 5b, c). Data suggest that the decreased mito-ncR-805 score marks the decline of adaptation to smoking and correlates with disease.

mito-ncR-805 regulates a subset of nuclear-encoded mitochondrial genes. Our ability to manipulate the expression levels of mitochondrial genes is technically limited. Nevertheless, import of RNAs into mitochondria has been reported, although its mechanism is still being elucidated. Moreover, nuclear localization suggests a possibility of a functional role of mito-ncR-805 outside of mitochondria. To initiate studies into the effects of mito-ncR-805 on, we tested whether we can downregulate its levels using a conventional antisense approach. An antisense inhibitor (AI805) was designed to complement the region of mito-ncR-805

...
that has no homology elsewhere in the genome (Supplementary Fig. 2g, h). Northern blot and FISH analyses demonstrated that AI805 downregulates the 70-nt mito-ncR-805 transcript (Fig. 6a, b). Since the endogenous mito-ncR-805 localizes to the mitochondria and nucleus, we separated mitochondrial and nuclear extracts to validate the inhibitory action of AI805 in those cellular compartments (Fig. 6c, d). AI805 lowered mito-ncR805 levels in both compartments.

A synthetic full-length mito-ncR-805, ncR805syn, was introduced into the cells. ncR805syn can be detected in the nucleus and causes an increase in mito-ncR-805 dot size (Supplementary Fig. 4). Selected biological effects were tested.

mito-ncR-805 is transcribed from the LSP in the D-loop regulatory region of the mtDNA. To test the possible effect of mito-ncR-805 on mtDNA transcription, the effects of AI805 on the steady-state levels of six mtDNA-encoded RNAs was tested, and no significant effects were found (Figs. 2d–2e). There was also no significant effect on mt-mRNA translation (Fig. 6f). The LSP, in addition to transcription initiation for the expression of light-strand genes, it also generates short RNAs that can prime the replication of the heavy strands of mtDNA24. Relative mtDNA copy number was not statistically different between Control and AI805 cells (Fig. 6g). While these assays are not direct measures of transcription and replication rates, the results suggest that mito-ncR-805 likely does not play a major role in mtDNA transcription/replication balance during normal growth. Findings are in agreement with mito-ncR-805 playing a regulatory role in the communication between the mitochondria and the nucleus, since it is leaving the mitochondria and reaching the nucleus during stress (Fig. 4).

Based on our observation that the nuclear accumulation of mito-ncRNA805 decreases with AI805 transfection (Fig. 6d), we asked whether the expression of nuclear-encoded mitochondrial genes (neMITO) is influenced by mito-ncR-805. Expression of these genes was compared in MLE12 cells carrying or not AI805 using pathway-focused gene expression arrays. Scatter plots of 88 genes that function in mitochondrial energy metabolism demonstrate that 14 genes were downregulated (more than twofold change) compared to controls (Fig. 6h and Supplementary Data). Among these genes are multiple subunits of ETC complexes and metabolic enzymes, including enzymes of the TCA cycle and related pathways, such as Maoa, Me3, and Oxct1. In agreement, cells carrying ncR805syn demonstrated increased expression of representative genes, negatively regulated by AI805 (Fig. 6i). It is well established that signaling between mitochondria and the nucleus is needed to maintain mitochondrial homeostasis or to change the composition and function of the organelle50. We propose that mito-ncR-805 may act as a retrograde signal from mitochondria to the nucleus to achieve this.

**mito-ncR-805 modulates mitochondrial metabolism.** To establish the effect of mito-ncR-805 inhibition and enhancement on the activity of the TCA cycle, we directly measured metabolic flux using stable isotope incorporation and mass isotomer analyses. AI805 had no effect on the rate of 13C-label incorporation into lactate and pyruvate, a measure of glycolytic rate (Fig. 7a, c). ncR805syn increased carbon flux through the TCA cycle (Fig. 7b). Cells carrying ncR805syn had significantly increased flux of succinate, indicating strong forward TCA cycle activity. An increase in TCA cycle flux would require extra oxaloacetate to maintain citrate formation; indeed, significantly higher OAA flux was observed in cells carrying ncR805syn. Therefore, ncR805syn promotes a significant metabolic response, and results presented above support the reciprocal effects of inhibition and stimulation on TCA cycle activity by mito-ncR-805 (Fig. 7d). Although MLE12 cells are glycolytic at baseline (average 40 µmols/day of glycolytic, versus 0.4 µmols/day of mitochondrial rate), the ability to generate extra ATP through mitochondrial respiration may be critical for survival in response to stress.

**mito-ncR-805 modulates mitochondrial bioenergetics.** We measured the mitochondrial oxygen consumption rate (OCR) at baseline and in response to mito-ncR-805 inhibition by AI805 using Xfp Cell Mito Stress Test (Fig. 7e). AI805 had inhibitory effects on major mitochondrial respiratory functions: maximal mitochondrial respiration, spare respiratory capacity, coupling efficiency, and ATP production (Fig. 7e, and Supplementary Data—calculations). AI805 also lowered basal respiration and increased proton leakage, making mitochondrial respiration less efficient. The rates of non-mitochondrial respiration were not affected, consistent with the metabolomics data (Fig. 7a, d).

Low activity of the TCA cycle, and ETC, should result in lower membrane potential (ΔΨm)51. We measured ΔΨm and found that AI805 treatment correlates with lower ΔΨm (higher depolarization) (Fig. 7f). Together, these data indicate that mitochondrial respiration and membrane polarization are affected by lowering mito-ncR-805. Cells with a larger potential pool of ATP (high maximal and spare respiratory capacities, coupling efficiency, and ΔΨm) should be able to channel more energy to repair damages and replace lost cells. We examined how control and cells carrying AI805 repopulate lost cells after the scratch-wound assay. Cells carrying AI805 demonstrated slower wound healing than control (Fig. 7g, h). The data are in agreement with the idea that mito-ncR-805 stimulates cellular pathways involved in energy metabolism and cell growth.

**Discussion**

We have identified an ncRNA, mito-ncRNA-805, that is encoded by mtDNA. It is upregulated in response to CS exposure during the adaptive phase in AETII cells in a mouse model of COPD and in primary AETII cells in culture. During the stress of smoking, mito-ncR-805 demonstrates spatial and temporal dynamics that can be divided into three major steps: redistribution from its mitochondrial localization, increase in expression levels, and appearance in the nucleus. The positive effects of mito-ncR-805 on mitochondrial homeostasis and function delineated herein suggest that mito-ncR-805 induction is a defense mechanism in response to stress in AETII cells. We propose that mito-ncR-805 translocates from mitochondria to the nucleus to induce a nuclear response that promotes mitochondria bioenergetics (Fig. 7j). This bioenergetics helps cells to survive the stress.

The existence of regulatory small ncRNAs encoded by the mtDNA has been reported26–28. Their functions are being elucidated. The involvement of some in communication between mitochondria and the nucleus was suggested. For example, the mito-lncRNA, SncmtRNA, is observed in both the mitochondria and the nucleus and is shown to function in retrograde signaling29. How those ncRNAs are imported outside of mitochondria and exported into the nucleus is not known. A few vague possible
mechanisms have been suggested, such as an existence of yet unidentified transporter, piggyback mechanism, and re-purposing of Tom-Tim translocases. The way mito-ncR-805 traffics within the cell is not clear. The increased co-localization with Tom20 during stress may be a hint toward the re-purposed translocase hypothesis.

mito-ncR-805 may represent an unclassified subtype of mito-ncRNAs that is induced in response to cellular stress. In fact, to
mitochondrial protein DELE1 is activated during mitochondrial mitochondria-initiated mechanism, which shapes the cellular awaiting their discovery. Our may be the replication of the heavy strand of mtDNA18,19,42. mito-ncR-805 transcripts terminated in the area of CSBII, and used to prime polycistronic full-length light-strand transcript and shorter the LSP and the D-loop regulatory region of the mtDNA. Two evidence of the function of the control region of the mitochon-

---

Methods

MLE12 cell line. MLE1225 cell line were purchased from and cultured per American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) instructions. Briefly, MLE12 cells were cultured in RPMI complete media: RPMI1640 medium (Cellgro, Manassas, VA, USA) supplemented with 4% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Serum International, Laval, QC, Canada), insulin–transferrin–selenous acid (ITS) premix (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA), 10 nM hydrocortisone (Sigma-Aldrich), 10 nM estradiol (Sigma-Aldrich), 10 mM HEPES, 2 mM glutamine (CellGro), 100 U/ml penicillin, and
100 g/ml streptomycin. All experiments were performed on cells between passages 2 and 12.

**Mice.** C57BL/6 female mice (8–10-week old) from Taconic Farms (Germantown, NY, USA) were used for experiments. All mice were housed and used for the experiments under the direction and approved protocols of the Mercer University Institutional Care and Use Committee (protocol A1009016).

**Acute and chronic CS exposure in vivo.** Mice were exposed to unfiltered cigarette for acute or to unfiltered cigarette, twice a day, for 5 days a week for the indicated periods of time for chronic CS exposure or to a sham, using the smoking chamber for the nose only exposure, although some minute whole-body exposure cannot be absolutely ruled out as described previously.65,66 For both groups, exposure started at the age of 8–10 weeks.

**Tissue processing and collection.** Tissue processing and collection was done as described65,65. Briefly, animals were terminally anesthetized by a combination of ketamine/xylazine (100/5–10 mg/kg) by facial cervical dislocation. Lungs and livers were removed, flash-frozen, and stored at −80 °C for further analysis. For chronic smoking protocols, lungs were harvested 16 h post last CS exposure.

**Tissue processing for ISH analysis.** Lungs were inflated by instilling intratracheally a 10% neutral buffered formalin at 25 cm H2O for 10 min. They were then ligated and removed. Inflated lungs were fixed in the formalin solution for 24 h, followed by 48 h of cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) wash and paraffin embedded. Serial sagittal sections of 4 μm were obtained for analysis.

**Cell viability.** Cell viability was tested using an MTT-based in vitro toxicology assay or in vitro toxicity assay kit neutral red based (Sigma Aldrich, TOX1-1KT) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Primary AETII cell isolation and culturing.** Primary AETII cell isolation and culturing were done as previously described with some modifications67. Isolation. Mice were anesthetized with an intraperitoneal injection of ketamine (100 mg/kg) and xylazine (8.5 mg/kg). The abdomen was opened by midline incision and the pleural cavity was cannulated by cutting the diaphragm. The lungs were exposed and perfused by injecting PBS into the left ventricle, 1 ml of Dispase (50 U/ml BD Biosciences, cat # 354235) was injected into the lung with a 1–ml syringe connected to the cannula placed in the trachea, ligated, removed, placed in 3 ml Dispase in a 15–ml sterile conical centrifuge tube, and incubated at room temperature (RT) for 25 min. The lungs were then moderately homogenized in a 60-mm Petri dish with 3 ml Mouse Wash Media (MWM: Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM)/F12, with addition of MEM non-essential amino acids and Pen-Strep) with 5 mg/50 ml DNase (MWM/DNase) using forceps. The cell suspension was filtered through 100-, 70-, 40-, and 20-μm filters (BD Biosciences), rinsing each filter with 2 ml MWM/DNase. Cells were pelleted by centrifugation at 1200 rpm for 5 min at 10 °C, suspended in 10 ml MWM/10% FBS, and plated into 100 mm cell culture dishes for 30 min to allow fibroblasts to adhere to the surface. Supernatant was collected, and fibroblast selection was repeated. The cell suspension was further enriched for Type-II cells by negative selection against unwanted cell types by incubation for 30 min at 37 °C with biotinylated antibodies against the particular cell type: α-CD-16/32 (BD Biosciences, 10 μg/ml) for macrophages, α-Ter-119 (BD Biosciences, 15 μg of 0.5 mg/ml) for erythroid cells, and α-CD45 (BD Biosciences, 20 μg of 0.5 mg/ml) for leukocytes. Streptavidin-coated magnetic beads (Promega, Madison, WI) were added to the mixture to remove the complexes of cell–antibody–Streptavidin-coated magnetic particles. Remaining cell suspension was plated on IgG (Innovative Research, Novi, MI) covered plates for 2 h at 37 °C to allow macrophages to adhere. Non-adherent cells were collected, pelleted, and resuspended in 1 ml of HITES medium (Ham’s F12 with 15 mM HEPES, 0.8 mM CaCl2, ITS, 10 mM hydrocortisone, and 10 mM of 17-beta-estradiol). Cells were either plated at the concentration of 5 × 10^5 per well in a 1:5 Matrigel (BD Biosciences)/HITES medium coated 24-well plate or spun onto slides using a Cytospin (Thermo Scientific), at the density of 1.5 × 10^5 cells per slide. Immunofluorescent staining for laminar body marker, LC3, in conjunction with nuclear staining with Hoechst was used to see efficiency of purification.

**Culturing.** Cells were cultured in a DMEM containing DMEM/F12 (Life Technology) and 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 mg/ml streptomycin, with or without 0.01% DNase (Sigma Aldrich); an MWM containing 10 FBS; and a HITES media containing Ham’s F12 (Life Technologies). 10% FBS, 15 mM HEPES, 0.8 mM CaCl2, ITS, 10 mM hydrocortisone, and 10 mM 17-beta-estradiol.

**Immunofluorescent staining.** Cells grown on 12 mm coverslips were fixed using 3.8% paraformaldehyde (Sigma Aldrich), permeabilized by PBS supplemented with 0.05% Saponin (Sigma Aldrich), blocked using 5% goat serum, incubated with specified primary antibody, diluted as indicated for each antibody, in PBS supplemented with 0.05% Saponin for 2 h at RT, washed with PBS supplemented with 0.05% Saponin for three times, and treated with the secondary antibody. Hoechst (Sigma-Aldrich) staining was used to visualize nuclei. The primary antibodies used to detect Type-II cells were anti-ATP-binding cassette subfamily A member 3 antibody [3C9] (Abcam, Cambridge, UK; 1:100) and secondary antibody goat anti-Mouse IgG Alexa Fluor 594 (Molecular Probes, Cat. # A11032, 1:500). Cells were mounted using Gelvatol mounting media (https://doi.org/10.1101/pdb.rec10252 Cold Spring Harb Protoc 2006).

**Transmission electron microscopy (TEM).** Isolated AETII cells were plated, allowed to adhere and recover from isolation procedure for 3 days, fixed using Karnovsky’s fixation protocol, and processed for TEM to visualize lамinar bodies. MLE12 cells exposed or not to CSE were processed as described above to visualize mitochondria. Images were acquired using JEM 1011 TEM microscope. Final images were processed using Adobe Photoshop CS5.1 (Adobe Systems Incorporated).

**miRNA array data analysis.** Raw miRNA microarray data was analyzed using GeneSpring GX 11.0 (Agilent Technologies). Data was log, transformed, signal values were normalized and baseline transformation to the control samples was performed. Data were classified into specific groups within the program. Statistical analysis of the data for differential expression and significances of observations was performed using T test.

**Preparation of CSE.** Preparation of CSE was described by Kenche et al. Research-grade 1R5F cigarettes (Kentucky Tobacco and Health Research Institute, Lexington, KY, USA) were used to prepare the CSE. CSE was made fresh for each experiment by bubbling the smoke from 1 cigarette through 5 ml of serum-free medium in a 15–ml conical tube and filtering it through a 0.22-μm filter to remove large particles and maintain sterility. This solution was designated as 100% CSE and diluted in culture medium to yield concentrations specified for each experiment.

**miRNA and mRNA extraction from cells and tissues.** miRNA and mRNA extraction from cells and tissues was done using the mirNeasy and mirNeasy Kits (Qiagen). Cells were washed twice with PBS and lysed using Qiazol reagents (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA). Tissues, size of a couple of rice grains, were homogenized in 1 ml of Qiazol. miRNA was extracted using the mirNeasy Kit (Qiagen) and mRNA was isolated using the mirNeasy Kit (Qiagen) as per the manufacturer’s protocol. cDNA synthesis for both miRNA and mRNA was carried out using the High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA).

**Quantitative real-time (qRT) polymerase chain reaction (PCR).** cDNAs were amplified by qRT-PCR using Applied Biosystems 7500. Primer/probe TaqMan assays were purchased from Life Technologies Thermo Fisher Scientific for the quantitation of miRNA: mmu-mir-805 (002045), mmu-mir-709 (001644), mmu-mir-1195 (002839), mmu-mir-1907 (12142), and custom mito-mir-805-21-57 bp (CSX027P) and human mir-805/miotaRNA L DL1 (CS39QW). TaqMan Assay sno55 (001228) and RNU48 (001006) were used as a housekeeping control for quantitative analysis in mouse and human, respectively. All TaqMan assays qRT-PCRs were performed using TaqMan Universal Master Mix II, no UNG from Life Technologies (4440040). All original data sources are provided in Supplementary Data Sheet 2.

**Separation of mitochondrial and cytosolic fractions.** Separation of mitochondrial and cytosolic fractions was done using the Mitochondrial Isolation Kit from Sigma (M7003, Sigma, Saint Louis, MO, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions for isolation of mitochondria from cells with modifications. Briefly, cells grown on plates were washed twice with PBS, scraped into lysis buffer, which is 1× Extraction buffer supplemented with protease inhibition cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich Roche Biochemical Reagents) and 1:200 dilution of cell lysis solution, incubated 5 min on ice, and diluted with two volumes of 1× Extraction buffer. Lysates were centrifuged at 600 × g, 10 min, at 4 °C. Third of the supernatant was removed for analysis of Total fraction, which was further divided into two, one for protein analysis, and the second one for RNA extraction. The remaining of the lysates were centrifuged at 11,000 × g for 10 min, at 4 °C. Supernatant was carefully removed and saved for further analysis as cytosolic fraction, which was divided further into two for protein and RNA analysis. Pellets were treated with RNase A/ T1 mix (0.5 U/20 U/100 μl), at 37 °C, for 30 min to eliminate cytosolic RNAs, washed, and suspended in 1×Extraction buffer; half was used for western blot analysis, the other half for RNA extraction and analysis.

**Protein lystate preparation and western blot analysis.** Protein lystate preparation and western blot analysis were done as described by Kenche et al. The following primary antibodies were used: a-Ag02 (Wako Chemicals, Cat. # 018-220, 1:500), a-tubulin (Millipore-Sigma, Cat. # T9026, 1:5000), a-lactate dehydrogenase A, (Cell
dDNA was circulated using CircLigase ssDNA-ligase (CL411K, Lucigen, USA). PCR was done with HotStart ReadyMix from KAPA Biosystems (KK2602) using the following primers: 5′- ATTATGACGGCGACACGAGATTACAC -3′ and Seq R: 5′- CAAGCAGAAGACGGCATACGAGGAGGTGGTGCTAGGGTTCGAGCGATT -3′. Quality of the libraries was checked using Agilent HS DNA Bioanalyzer. Paired-end sequencing was performed on an Illumina NextSeq platform (PE 2× 75 bp). FASTQ files were trimmed of library adapter sequences and converted to FASTA files.

To determine mito-n-cr-805 sequence. To determine mito-n-cr-805 sequence, BlastN analysis was then performed against the target mouse mitochondrial sequence (mtDNA 16,116–16,210). The single best BLAST hit for each read was used in the summary data (duplicates were removed, and any remaining hits were filtered to the single hit with the highest identity). After reverse complementing any BLAST hits on the opposite strand (to ensure the same orientation), the lengths of the sequence alignments were tabulated and counted.

To determine nuclear homology of mito-n-cr-805. To determine nuclear homology of mito-n-cr-805, all the sequencing reads were aligned against the entire mm10 mouse genome using BLASTN, utilizing an e-value of 0.00001.

**RNA in situ hybridization (ISH), FISH**

**Probe design.** All FISH and ISH probes were designed by ACD via the ACD probe design software and offers simultaneous signal amplification and background noise suppression, resulting in a very specific signal. To detect mito-n-cr-805, a 1ZZ probe (BA-Mm-nt-d-loop-O1-1zz) targeting 1–57 to 16,119–16,188 of NC_000009.1 was generated. To detect Surfactant B mRNA, a 3ZZ probe (BA-Mm-nt-bb-3EJ) targeting 50–582 of NM_147779.2 was generated. For both probes, cross-detection threshold was >95%.

**RNA FISH.** RNA FISH was performed using the BaseScope Detection Red v2 assay (ACD, Inc.) following the manufacturer’s protocol. Cells were washed with PBS and fixed in 3.8% PFA for 30 min at RT. Fixed cells were permeabilized and dehydrated in series of ethanol concentrations (50% EtOH–PBS, 70% EtOH, 100% EtOH), for 1 min at RT in each, and stored at −20°C until further use. Cells were treated with 1:15 dilution of Protease III provided with the kit for 7 min at RT. Cells were then hybridized with BA-Mm-nt-d-loop-O1-1zz–st probe, and amplification steps were performed following the manufacturer’s instructions, except that AMP 7 step was 10 min. Following hybridization and amplification, cells were incubated with Hoechst solution (1 mg/ml in water, Sigma-Aldrich) to counterstain nuclei and mounted on a microscope slide. Introduction of FastRed pigment into the amplification tree as a visual identifier of the probe binding allows both fluorescent and chromogenic detection. Leica DM IRE 2 microscope operated as a fluorescent and chromogenic mode. Images were further processed using the Adobe Photoshop CS5.1 software (Adobe Systems Incorporated).

**RNA FISH-IF.** RNA FISH-IF (immuno–fluorescent protein labeling) was performed using the modified BaseScope Detection Red Assay (ACD, Inc.), followed by regular IF detection of Tom20. FISH was done as described above, except Protease III treatment and amplification step AMP7 were shortened to 5 min. Fish was followed by regular IF protocol. Briefly, slides were washed with 0.5% Saponin–PBS (PBSS), incubated with 5% goat sera in PBSS for 1 h to block non-specific labeling, followed by incubation in PBSS supplemented with antibodies specific for Tom20 (Cell Signaling 42406, dilution 1:40) for 2 h, washed 3× with PBS, incubated with anti–rabbit conjugated to Alexa Fluor 488 (Molecular Probes, dilution 1:100) for 1 h, and washed 3× with PBS and 1× with PBS. Nuclei were visualized with Hoechst (Sigma-Aldrich). Cells were mounted using Gelvatol mounting media (https://doi.org/10.1101/pdb.rec10252 Cold Spring Harb Protoc 2006).

**Confocal microscopy.** Imaging was performed using a Leica SP8-Hy-Volution laser scanning confocal microscope (see below) was used to acquire confocal images as specified for each experiment. All images were acquired using identical microscope settings. Images were further processed using the Adobe Photoshop CS5.1 software (Adobe Systems Incorporated).

**Small RNA sequencing.** Small RNA libraries were made according to Heyer et al.28 Briefly, RNA was isolated from the two biological replicates of control and two of 10% CSE-treated MLE cells using Trizol (15956018, Thermo Fisher Scientific). Sequencing was with an Illumina NextSeq platform (PE 2× 75 bp). FASTQ files were trimmed of library adapter sequences and converted to FASTA files.
Tom20 signal as described in Krokowski et al. Briefly, Lincanes of 4 pixels wide were taken manually through the axis of mito-nrR-805 dots or throughout the nucleus. Afterwards, the rhabdomyosarcoma line was used for the scan width for all three channels. Multiple (3–6) lincanes were taken for each individual cell. 3D reconstruction was done using stacks containing all acquired planes for all three channels, and images were cropped to include only the selected regions of the original images.

Chromogen in tissue detection of mito-nrR-805. Paraffin-embedded paragastria sections of inflated lungs were utilized for analysis. BaseScope Dupliplex Detection Assay (ACD, Inc.) was used according to the manufacturer’s instructions, with minor modifications. Tissues were re-hydrated, per the manufacturer’s instruction, treated with protease IV for 45 min at RT, then hybridized with BA-Mm-mdID-loop-O1-1zz-C1 (builds amplification tree with Horse Reddish Peroxidase enzyme that results in chromogen developed as blue) and BA-Mm-Sftp3-BJ-C2 (builds amplification tree with alkaline phosphatase enzyme that results in chromogen developed as red) probes, and amplification steps were performed following the manufacturer’s instructions, except that AMPFI for green chromogen was 2 h at RT. Following hybridization and amplification, slides were incubated with Hoechst solution (1 µg/ml in water, Sigma-Aldrich) to counterstain nuclei and mounted on a microscope slide. Hoechst was used as a counter-staining to avoid the masking blue mito-nrR-805 signal using conventional Hematxylon counter-staining. Leica DMLB microscope equipped with PL Fluotar, ×20, ×40, and ×100 Oil objectives (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany). Rotler XR CCD camera (Q-Imaging, Surrey, Canada), and operated by the Q-Capture poro 7.0 software was used to acquire images. Images were acquired at ×20 and ×100 magnifications. Final images were produced using Adobe Photoshop CS5.1 (Adobe Systems Incorporated). Hoechst counterstain images were converted to the gray scale (copied from the blue channel of Adobe Photoshop) and overlaid on the original image with 38% opacity.

Scoring lung tissues for mito-nrR-805 expression. Scoring of lung tissues for mito-nrR-805 expression was done using modification as previously suggested for semi-quantitative microscopic evaluation of RNAscope, a 4-tier scoring system [1, 2, 3, 4]. mito-nrR-805 dots were counted manually using 6 fields acquired at ×100 using the Adobe Photoshop CS5.1 software. For each field, the total number of cells in the field was determined as a number of Hoechst-visualized nuclei (T). The numbers of AETII cells per each field was determined as a number of surfactant B-positive (red) cells (S). The number of non-AETII cells was determined by subtracting AETII cells number from the total cell number in the field (T−S). mito-nrR-805 was then divided per each individual B-positive AETII cell (AETII dots). Each counted dot was color marked (red for AETII cell to avoid double counting). The average numbers of mito-nrR-805 dots per non-AETII cell was determined as the remaining number of dots divided by the number of non-AETII cells (non-AETII dots/(T−S)). For each animal, at least 25 AETII cells were scored (6–8 fields at ×100 will provide between 25 and 85 AETII cells depending on tissue inflation and degree of airspace enlargement) and >100 non-AETII cells. Numbers are specified for each condition. Two animals were analyzed per each condition. Score were assigned using the 4-tier scoring system: 0—cells negative for mito-nrR-805, 1—few mito-nrR-805 spots in most cells, 2—moderate number of spots in all cells, and 3—high number of spots in all cells.

Modified Gill lung tissue staining. Slides were de-paraffinized by 3 xylene washes 3 min each; hybridized through series of alcohols, 2 min each; washed with water for 5 min, and stained with mixture of ½ Gill’s (Gill HHS-332) and ½ Harris Hematoxylin (Sigma HHS-32) for the period of 16–24 h, to blacken all tissues. The stain was rinsed off using tap water; slides were washed with 0.02% Ammonium water for 5 min, followed by rinse with tap water, dehydration, and mounting using Eposol 60 (Fisher Scientific 23-244-257). At least 10 random 1300 x 900-pixel images of lung parenchyma stained with Modified Gill’s were acquired for each lung sample using a light microscope at ×20 magnification (Leica DMLB microscope equipped with Rotler XR CCD camera (Q-Imaging, Surrey, Canada) and operated by the Q-Capture poro 7.0 software). The Image J macro will account for delineation of the stained holes in the tissue and automatically removes the perimeter calculation of chord lengths (CLs). Large airways, blood vessels, and other non-alveolar structures were manually removed from the images using fill in option of the Adobe Photoshop. Images with removed non-alveolar tissues were saved in a separate for each analyzed animal file.

Morphometric analysis of lung airspace. Airspace was quantified by measuring a mean alveolar CL. The distance between alveolar walls, which is proportional to the amount of pulmonary emphysema was identified with a modification of a previously published automated method (algorithm processing software (National Institutes of Health) equipped with a series of macros written to perform specific functions was used. The macro designed for morphometric measurement utilizes pre-drawn horizontal and vertical grids of one pixel width, overlays them onto the image, and then uses the image calculator function to convert airspaces into chord lines. The chord line is then measured using the particle analysis function, which counts the number of pixels in every object on the image, since the image only includes lines of one pixel width, and this measurement serves as a length. This process is repeated for both the horizontal and vertical directions of the image. The measurements are transferred to a macro excel spreadsheet that enables automatic calculation of descriptive statistics for that image. This process is repeated as a loop for all of the images associated with a particular sample and descriptive statistics are also calculated for the batch of images as a whole resulting in the desired mean CL of the sample. The software then counted the number of lines that ended on or intercepted alveolar tissue. These data were used to calculate the average CL according to methods adapted from Dunhill.

Nuclear extracts and nuclear RNA preparation. The nuclear extracts were prepared by modification to Wang et al. Briefly, MLE12 cells, collected by trypsinization, were re-suspended in lysis buffer of 10 mM HEPES [pH 7.9], 10 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MgCl2, and 0.5 mM dithiothreitol (DTT) supplemented with protease inhibitory tablet (Sigma-Aldrich Roche Biochemical Reagents), incubated 15 min on ice, followed by addition of nonidet P-40 to the final concentration of 0.325%. This was allowed to swell 10 min on ice with occasional shaking. Nuclear pellets were separated from the cytoplasm by centrifugation at 2500 rpm for 4 min at 4°C. Nuclear pellets were washed once in the lysis buffer and re-suspended in 20 mM HEPES [pH 7.9], 0.45 M NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, and 0.5 mM DTT protease inhibitor tablet (Complete mini EDTA free, Sigma-Aldrich Roche Biochemical Reagents) per 10 ml of lysis buffer. Nuclei were incubated with rocking at 4°C for 30 min. Nuclear extracts were cleared by centrifugation at 12,000 rpm for 10 min.

Cytosplasm was further fractionated into cytosol and the rest using high-speed (100 K, at 4°C, for 4 h) ultracentrifugation. Each supernatant was divided into two: half for western blot analysis, and the second half for RNA extraction and analysis. For RNase treatment experiments, nuclear pellets, re-suspended in lysis buffer supplemented with 0.3 M Sorbitol, were treated with RNase I (Ambion, AM2294, 100 U/100 µl), at 4°C, for 1 h, to eliminate cytosolic RNAs, and suspended in Trizol for further RNA analysis.

Transfection procedure. MLE12 cells were seeded at the density of 50,000 cells/ml on polystyrene plates with RPMI Complete media and left to adhere for 12 h. Media was removed and replaced with OptiMEM media. After 10–12 h, cells were transfected with siPORT NeoFX (Life Technologies, Thermo Fisher Scientific) transfection reagent supplemented with either 25 nM AI805 (AM11866) for downregulation studies, 25 nM Pre-miR Negative Control (AM7110), or 10 nM ncR805 for overexpression studies. ncR805 is a synthetic 70-bp mito-nrR-805, stabilized by modification and additions to 5 and 3 terminal RNA oligo, where “m” stands for 2-O-methyl-RNA; and “*” stands for phosphorothioate:

| Gene name | Amplicon size | Forward primer | Reverse primer |
|-----------|---------------|----------------|---------------|
| ND6 mouse | 138 | 1mMD6_for | 1mMD6_rev |
| tRNA-Pro | 186 | tRNA-Pro_for | tRNA-Pro_rev |
| ND1 mouse | 134 | 1ND1_for | 1ND1_rev |
| COX1 mouse | 145 | COX1_for | COX1_rev |
| tRNA12S | 155 | tRNA12S_for | tRNA12S_rev |
| tRNAval | 168 | tRNAval_for | tRNAval_rev |
| tRNA8s | 131 | tRNA8s_for | tRNA8s_rev |

Mitochondrial gene expression analysis. RNA from each sample was cDNA synthesized using the SuperScript III First-Strand Synthesis SuperMix (Invitrogen). The relative quantity of specific RNAs was measured by qRT-PCR using the VeriQuest SYBR Green qPCR Master Mix (Affymetrix) with the StepOnePlus Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems). Mitochondrial primers were:

Mithochondrial protein synthesis. Metabolic labeling of mtDNA-encoded proteins was performed as described. Briefly, 1 h prior to the end of the treatment, the media was changed to MLE media with dialyzed FBS. Five minutes prior to
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labeling, 100 µg/ml emetine (Sigma) to inhibit cytoplasmic protein synthesis or combination of 100 µg/ml emetine and 40 µg/ml chloramphenicol to inhibit both cytoplasmic and mitochondrial protein synthesis were added, followed by 1 h incubation in the presence of 200 µCi/ml of EasyTag EXPRESS 35S Protein Labeling Mix, (Perkin-Elmer). Labeling media was exchanged with regular media containing respective protein synthesis inhibitor, and incubation was continued for additional 10 min. Cells were washed with PBS, scraped, pelleted by centrifugation at 6000 rpm for 5 min, and lysed by 10-min incubation on ice in PBS containing 2% (w/v) lauryl maltoside. Insoluble material was removed by centrifugation at 10,000 × g for 10 min. Protein concentrations were determined using the Bradford protein assay (Bio-Rad). Fifty micrograms of protein were separated on 15% Tris-glycine gels. The amounts of 35S-labeled mitochondrial proteins were visualized using a PhosphorImager. The rates of mitochondrial translation were determined from two independent protein-labeling experiments.

Pathway-focused gene expression analysis. Pathway-focused gene expression analysis was performed using RT² Profiler PCR Arrays of Mouse Mitochondria plates and Mouse Mitochondrial Energy Metabolism plates (Qiagen, PAMM-087Z and PAMM-086Z) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. All Qiagen RT² Profiler PCR Arrays were performed using RT² SYBR Green ROX qPCR Mastermix (330520) on StepOne Plus Real-Time PCR system; Applied Biosystems). Results were processed by the Qiagen algorithm software available at the data analysis web portal at http://www.qiagen.com/geneqube. Samples were assigned to controls and test groups, CT values were normalized based on a Qiagen manual algorithm selection with GAPDH as a reference gene.

Metabolic labeling of MLE12 cells. MLE12 cells were transfected with either AI805 or hcR805 and non-targeting sequence; 30 h post-transfection, cells were exposed to 20 mM [U-13C]glucose for 12 h, harvested, and metabolic labeling was determined following 13C label incorporation into various metabolites. After incubation, 0.5 ml of the removed media was saved from one well of each condition and each plate. Cells were washed with ice-cold saline (3 times), fixed and collected by adding 1 ml of 80% ethanol cooled on dry ice water bath, and then processed immediately or stored at −80 °C for further analysis.

Assay of media [U-13C]glucose enrichment. Glucose isotopic enrichment was determined following Gao et al. with modifications. Briefly, glucose was extracted by the addition of 500 µl of ice-cold ethanol to 50 µl of media. Samples were mixed and incubated on ice for 30 min. Samples were centrifuged at 4 °C for 10 min at 14,000 rpm and ethanol was transferred to gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (GC/MS) vial and evaporated to dryness in SpeedVac evaporator. Glucose was converted to its pentaacetate derivative by the reaction with 150 µl of acetic anhydride in pyridine (2.1, vol/vol) at 60 °C for 30 min. Sample was evaporated to dryness and glucose derivative was reconstituted in 80 µl of ethyl acetate and transferred to GC/MS insert. Samples were injected in duplicate and masses 331–337 containing M0–M5 isotopomers were monitored. Enrichment was determined as a ratio of (M5)/(ΣM0–M5).

Metabolite extraction. Metabolite extraction was done as described previously. Briefly, cell extract was vortexed and sonicated using ultrasonicator for 30 s, 30 s off, alternating for 10 min. Cells were pelleted by centrifugation at 4 °C for 10 min at 14,000 rpm. Supernatant was transferred to GC/MS vials and evaporated to dryness under gentle stream of nitrogen. Keto and aldehyde groups were reduced by addition of 10 µl of 1 M NaOH and 15 µl NaBH4 (prepared as 10 mM mg/ml in 50 mM NaOH). After mixing, samples were incubated at RT for 1 h and then acidified by 55 µl of 1 N HCl by dropping the acid slowly and evaporated to dryness. Fifty microliters of methanol were added to precipitate boric acid. Internal standard was added (10 µl of 1:0 FA, 0.1 mg/ml). Samples were evaporated to dryness and reacted with 40 µl of pyridine and 60 µl of tert-butyl(dimethylsilyl) trifluoroacetic acid with 10% trimethylchlorosilane (Regisil) in TDBMS at 60 °C for 1 h. Resulting TBDMS derivatives were injected into GC/MS.

GC/MS conditions. Analyses were carried out on an Agilent 5973 mass spectrometer equipped with 6890 Gas Chromatograph. A HP-5MS capillary column (60 m × 0.25 mm × 0.25 µm) was used in all assays with a helium flow of 1 ml/min. Samples were analyzed in Selected Ion Monitoring mode using electron impact ionization. Ion dwell time was set to 10 ms. The following metabolites were monitored. Enrichment was (MPE) of metabolites were determined in the same manner as for glucose (see above). Fractional metabolic flux was calculated as follows: MPEproduct/MPEinput. Absolute metabolic rate shown in Fig. 7c–d was determined as a product of fractional metabolic flux and pool size, i.e., relative concentration of metabolite of interest.

Mitochondrial bioenergetics measurements. MLE12 cells were seeded at 10,000/well (80 µl), transfected with either Negative Control or AI805 as described above. Forty hours following transfection and 1 h prior to the measurements, RPMI complete was changed to unbuffered DMEM medium (Seahorse Bioscience, USA) supplemented with 1% FBS, 4 mM glutamine (Sigma Aldrich, USA), 2 mM sodium pyruvate (Gibco, USA), 10 mM glucose (Sigma Aldrich, USA), and 100 µM insulin (Sigma Aldrich, USA), and mitochondrial bioenergetics was measured using a Seahorse XF24 extracellular flux analyzer and the XF Cell Mito Stress Kit (Sea-horse Bioscience, USA) as described before. Real-time measurement of OCR was obtained as per the manufacturer’s instructions by sequential treatment with 1 µM oligomycin (Sigma O4876), 1 µM p-trifluoro-methoxyphenylhydrazone (FCCP, Sigma C2920), and a 2 µM mixture of rotenone/antimycin A (Sigma R8875 and A8674) and normalized to total protein amount measured by the Pierce BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Scientific, USA). Basal respiration was calculated as average of first 3 readings before oligomycin addition — average of last 3 readings after rotenone addition. Proton leak was calculated as average of 3 readings after oligomycin addition — average of last 3 readings. ATP production was calculated as basal respiration — proton leak. Maximal respiration was calculated as average of 3 reading after FCCP stimulation — average of last 3 readings. Spare respiratory capacity was calculated as maximal respiration — basal respiration. Coupling efficiency was calculated as 100 × ATP production/non-mitochondrial respiration, where non-mitochondrial respiration is the average of the last 3 readings after rotenone and antimycin A addition (Supplementary Data).

Mitochondrial potential assays. Mitochondrial membrane potential was measured using the JC-10 Mitochondrial Membrane Potential Assay Kit (ab121234, Abcam, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Results were read by a Biotek Synergy HT spectrophotometer.

Scratch wound healing assay. Scratch wound healing assay was performed as previously described. Briefly, MLE12 cells were grown to 80% confluence, scratched using a p10 pipette tip, and imaged at the time of scratch and every 12 h afterwards.

Statistics and reproducibility. Data are expressed as means of at least 3 independent experiments ± SD. For each experiment, the precise number of independent biological experiments performed on different dates and the number of biological replicates in each experiment are specified in figure legends. Source data are provided in Supplementary Data. Fold change for qPCR was determined using the 2−ΔΔCT method (Livak). Student’s t test was used to determine the P value. Values of P ≤ 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Reporting summary. Further information on experimental design and research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Data availability. The datasets generated and analyzed during the current study are available at GEO: GSE131188 (microarray dataset) and GSE131439 (RNAseq dataset). Source data and calculations for all experiments can be found in Supplementary Data. Uncropped images of Western and Northern blots are provided in Supplementary Fig. 5.
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