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Abstract: The interest in fermented food products has been increasing in recent years. Details about their microbial composition and the effects of their consumption on the human gut microbiome are of particular interest. However, evidence regarding their potential to increase gut microbial diversity, a measure likely associated with health, is lacking. To address this, we analyzed the microbial composition of commercially available fermented vegetables using 16S rRNA sequencing. We also conducted a pilot study to assess the feasibility of studying the effects of regular consumption of fermented vegetables on the gut microbiome. Six healthy male volunteers participated in a randomized crossover trial, with two two-week intervention phases. Volunteers consumed 150 g/d of either sauerkraut or a variety of six different commercially available fermented vegetables. This study is registered at the German Clinical Trials Register (DRKS-ID: DRKS00014840). Lactobacillales was the dominant family in all fermented vegetables studied. However, the alpha diversity, richness and evenness of the microbiota differed substantially among the different products. The number of species per product varied between 20 and 95. After consumption of both sauerkraut and the selection of fermented vegetables, we observed a slight increase in alpha diversity. Specifically, the amount of the genus Prevotella decreased while the amount of Bacteroides increased after both interventions. However, these initial observations need to be confirmed in larger studies. This pilot study demonstrates the feasibility of this type of research.
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1. Introduction

Fermented foods have been part of the human diet for centuries and have been associated with various health benefits including longevity, reduced risk of metabolic and immune-mediated disease and overall health [1,2]. Daily consumption of sauerkraut over a period of six weeks has been reported to induce changes in gut microbial composition, accompanied by improved gastrointestinal symptoms in patients with irritable bowel syndrome [3]. In addition to prolonging shelf-life, fermentation also increases the nutritional value of food products—potentially beneficial metabolites including vitamins, bioactive peptides and phytochemicals are synthesized during the fermentation process [4]. For vegetables, lactic acid fermentation is a common type of fermentation. This process is for example used for the production of sauerkraut or kimchi. During the fermentation process, lactic acid bacteria metabolize carbohydrates present in the raw vegetables into lactic acid. This leads to a drop in pH, which prevents the growth of undesirable microbes such as molds and therefore prevents mal-fermentation [5]. Lactic acid fermentation can be induced by adding salt at a concentration of approximately 2% (brine) to the shredded vegetables and creating an anaerobic environment, which favors the growth of lactic acid
bacteria. Sauerkraut and other fermented vegetables are generally produced by adding a brine solution. While the bacterial community present in sauerkraut has been reported to establish itself within approximately four weeks of incubation and to remain stable during the fermentation process [6], long-term survival of microbes is not guaranteed during shelf-life.

With the growing recognition of the importance of the gut microbiome to human health and physiology, fermented foods have experienced a surge in popularity [1,7]. The composition of the human microbiota is affected by various factors including lifestyle, age, and diet [8–10]. While a dysbiotic microbiome has been associated with a number of diseases such as inflammatory bowel disease, asthma, obesity, and depression, its role in the development of these disorders remains unclear [11–14]. However, these observations suggest that the gut microbiome may be a promising target for maintaining or improving human health. Fermented foods are often promoted as beneficial for gut health; however, the effect of daily consumption of fermented vegetables on the gut microbiome has not been sufficiently explored. Therefore, we investigated the bacterial content of various commercially available fermented vegetables and conducted a pilot study to evaluate the feasibility of conducting a feeding study with these products.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Analysis of Fermented Vegetables

We explored the bacterial composition of six commercially available fermented vegetables: beetroot with goji berries, carrot with ginger, cauliflower with curcuma, sauerkraut with cranberries (referred to as “pink sauerkraut”), daikon kimchi (all from complete-organics GmbH, Munich, Germany), and traditional sauerkraut (Eden Frischkost- (L+)-Sauerkraut, Radolfszell, Germany). We selected these products, because fermented vegetables, in particular sauerkraut, have a very high abundant microbial diversity compared to other fermented foods. To maintain consistency of microbial content as much as possible throughout our study, we chose commercially available products. The fermented vegetables we selected were the only products available that were non-pasteurized and contained live bacteria, according to the manufacturers’ information. We tested the number of live lactic acid bacteria (LAB) in some batches of the fermented vegetables by cultivation on LAB-selective agar to ensure that the products contained live LAB.

According to the manufacturer’s information, the traditional sauerkraut was produced by addition of starter cultures while the other fermented vegetables were produced using wild fermentation. A list of the ingredients can be found in Appendix A Table A1. Distributors were unaware of the purpose of our purchases.

2.2. 16 S Ribosomal RNA Gene Sequencing

A sample of each fermented vegetable product was sent to the ZIEL Core Facility Microbiome of the Technical University Munich, Germany, for sequencing. The samples were processed according to the protocol by Reitmeier et al. (2020) [15].

2.3. Pilot Intervention Study

2.3.1. Study Design

To assess the feasibility of a feeding study using fermented vegetables and to assess their possible effects on the composition of the human gut microbiome, a pilot dietary intervention study was conducted. This study was advertised internally at the University Hospital Freiburg. As this study was a pilot study, we included six participants to test the feasibility of conducting a large-scale study, the participants’ acceptance and preference of the different fermented vegetables, and early tendencies in potentially expectable effects on the gut microbiome. We restricted this pilot study to male volunteers because men are generally more difficult to recruit for feeding studies, so that we could evaluate their compliance and the feasibility in a longer-term feeding study. We conducted a randomized crossover trial, comprising eight weeks in total with one two-week washout phase, fol-
followed by two two-week intervention phases, which were separated by a second two-week washout phase (Figure 1). Exclusion criteria included a history of chronic diseases, acute or chronic gastrointestinal symptoms, one or more episodes of strong diarrhea within the past two months, regular intake of oral probiotic supplements or any antibiotics within the past two months, severe dietary restraints, plans to change diet within the next two months or consumption of more than two standard drinks of alcohol per day.

![Figure 1. Study design of the randomized crossover trial, with two two-week intervention phases, each of which was preceded by a two-week washout phase. Participants consumed 150 g/d of either sauerkraut or a variety of six different fermented vegetables. Stool samples were collected after week 2, 4, 6 and 8 of this study.](image)

The intervention consisted of consumption of 150 g/day of either (i) traditional sauerkraut or (ii) a selection of six different fermented vegetables: fermented beetroot, carrot, cauliflower, kimchi, pink sauerkraut, and traditional sauerkraut (as described under Section 2.1). Each product was assigned to be consumed on a certain day of the week (with traditional sauerkraut twice a week). In both parts of this study, the daily servings had to be consumed as 75 g portions twice a day. Participants were asked to refrain from consumption of other fermented foods, such as yogurt, kefir, or additional fermented vegetables throughout the entire study period. Stool samples were collected the day before and the day after each intervention period to establish the stool sampling process and pipeline for 16S rRNA analysis. Compliance was assessed by three-day food diaries that participants kept during each study period. Participants were asked to keep diaries of adverse reactions to the intervention. At the end of this study, participants filled in a questionnaire to evaluate the feasibility of a long-term study with a comparable design, as well as their preferences regarding the intervention. This study was approved by the ethics committee of the Albert-Ludwigs-University Freiburg.

2.3.2. Stool Sample Collection

Stool samples were collected by participants at home in two containers ((i) native, (ii) with 96% ethanol). Participants were instructed to keep the samples in the refrigerator after collection and to return them as soon as possible (within 24 h) to our lab. There, they were aliquoted and stored at \(-80^\circ\text{C}\) until subsequent analysis.

2.3.3. Fecal Microbial DNA Isolation

Stool samples were analyzed at the Laboratory of B. Grimbacher, University Medical Center Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany. Microbial DNA was extracted from a 2 mL aliquot using QIAamp DNA Stool Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) following the manufacturer’s instructions with modifications, similarly as described before \([16–18]\). Temperature of stool lysis was increased from 70 (suggested temperature in the protocol) to 95 °C for the lysis of bacteria that are known to be difficult to lyse, e.g., for Gram-positive bacteria. In a later step, 400 µL supernatant (instead of suggested volume 200 µL) was pipetted into
15 µL of proteinase K to which 400 µL AL buffer (instead of suggested volume of 200 µL) was added followed by thorough mixing and incubation at 70 °C for 10 min. Afterwards, a spin column was loaded twice with 400 µL of the lysate.

2.3.4. 16 S Ribosomal RNA Gene Sequencing

Variable (V) region 3 and 4 amplicons of 16S rRNA gene were sequenced following 16S metagenomic sequencing library protocol by Illumina [19]. 16S Amplicon PCR Forward Primer 5’TCTGTCGGCACGGCTACAGTTGTATATGAAGAAGAGACCGCTACGGGGNGGCWGCAAG and 16S Amplicon PCR Reverse Primer 5’GCTTCGTCGGCCTCGGAGATGTGTAAGGACTACHVGGGTATCTAATCC were chosen as specific primers for the regions of interest of the 16S rRNA gene (V3 and V4). This reaction was set up with 2.5 µL of 5 ng/µL concentrated DNA, 5 µL 16S Amplicon PCR Forward Primer, 5 µL 16S Amplicon PCR Reverse Primer and 12.5 µL 2× KAPA HiFi HotStart ReadyMix (final volume: 25 µL). PCR products were purified using magnetic beads (Beckman Coulter, Agencourt AMPure XP-Kit). The Index PCR was set up with 5 µL PCR product, 5 µL Nextera Index Primer 2 µL Nextera Index Primer 1 (different combination of primers for every sample), 25 µL 2× KAPA HiFi HotStart ReadyMix and 10 µL nuclease-free water (final volume: 50 µL). After a second PCR clean up, the size of the PCR product was visualized by gel electrophoresis. In addition, loading on a Tape Station using the D1000 High-Sensitivity Reagents from Agilent Technologies allowed quantification of the PCR product and preparation of final library by equimolar pooling of 96 samples. Library was denatured with NaOH, diluted, mixed with 5% PhiX control and finally loaded on MiSeq for high-throughput sequencing (2 × 300 cycle V3 kit).

2.4. Bioinformatics and Data Analysis

All preprocessing and downstream analyses were conducted in R (v.4.1.0), an open-source free software environment for statistical computing and graphics [20]. Raw amplicon sequences were processed following the Divisive Amplicon Denoising Algorithm 2 (DADA2) workflow [21] with the DADA2 R software package (v. 1.22.0). DADA2 is able to classify sequences in the most strain-specific manner possible. Sample composition is inferred by partitioning the amplicon reads into partitions that match the error model. DADA2 derives exact amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) from the amplicon data and resolves biological differences of only 1 or 2 nucleotides. This results in an error-corrected table of the absolute abundances of the ASVs in each sample. Specifically, we followed all standard processing steps suggested by the DADA2 workflow including quality filtering, dereplication, learning the dataset-specific error model, ASV inference, chimera removal and taxonomic assignment. Within the denoise-paired function, sequences were truncated at position 260 (fermented vegetables) and 250 (stool) due to a drop-off of the quality score. Forward and reverse readings were merged, and chimeras were removed. Taxonomic assignments were obtained with the pre-trained Naïve Bayes classifier using the Silva 138.1 prokaryotic SSU taxonomic database, which specifically acknowledges the new taxonomy of Lactobacillus as characterized in [22]. A phyloseq object was created by means of the phyloseq R-package (v. 1.38.0) [23]. The species richness was determined by counting the number of different species present (observed) and alpha diversity was analyzed using Shannon diversity (richness and evenness). Beta diversity was assessed by computing weighted Bray–Curtis distances to compare microbial communities based on relative abundance. Non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) was performed to compare beta diversity among groups. Relative abundances as well as alpha diversity measures were calculated using the R-package microbiome (v. 1.16.0). For computing the beta diversity, we used the R-package phyloseq. Graphics were generated using the ggplot2 R-package (v. 3.3.5). For exploring community composition, a genus-level dataset was created by agglomerating the data at the genus level, where genera that were less than 5% abundant in any of the samples (fermented vegetables) or had a relative abundance of less than 0.1% in half of the samples (stool) were aggregated into the category “Other”.
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Due to the fact that this is a pilot study with a small number of participants, we focused on descriptive analyses and have deliberately refrained from any significance testing.

3. Results
3.1. Bacterial Composition of Commercially Available Fermented Vegetables
Fermented Vegetable Microbiome

Lactic acid bacteria (*Lactobacillales*) were detected in all analyzed fermented vegetables, among which *Lactiplantibacillus* was the dominant genus in most fermented vegetables displaying 38% of the total genera in a grouped analysis with all samples, followed by *Lacticaseibacillus* and *Latilactobacillus* as well as *Pediococcus* (Figure 2A).

![Figure 2. Bacterial composition of several commercially available fermented vegetables. Samples were analyzed by 16S rRNA sequencing. (A) Grouped relative abundance of the fermented vegetables—the most abundant genera are displayed in the chart, genera that were abundant less than 5% in any of the samples were aggregated into one category (“Other”), and genera with no taxonomic annotation are categorized as “unknown”; (B) read counts (abundance) of the main bacteria species found in the fermented vegetables; (C) alpha diversity of bacterial taxa found in the fermented vegetables.](image_url)

The relative abundance of the detected genera varied between the different fermented vegetables (Figure 2B). *Lactiplantibacillus* was the dominant genus in carrots, kimchi and pink sauerkraut. In fermented beetroot, *Pediococcus* was the most dominant genus, while in the traditional sauerkraut, *Lacticaseibacillus* was most dominant, followed by *Lactococcus*. In cauliflower, *Latilactobacillus* followed by *Levilactobacillus* were the most abundant genera.

In general, alpha diversity, richness and evenness of the fermented vegetable microbiota differed substantially among the different products (Figure 2C). The number of different species (ASVs) ranged between 20 and 95 in the samples analyzed (observed...
According to the Shannon index, pink sauerkraut was the most diverse sample while fermented beetroot and traditional sauerkraut displayed the lowest diversity.

### 3.2. Effects of Fermented Vegetable Consumption on the Human Gut Microbiome

Six healthy male volunteers (age: $25.5 \pm 2.9$ yrs, BMI: $24.3 \pm 1.2$ kg/m$^2$) participated in this feasibility study. Compliance was very high; all participants completed all interventions. In total, 96% of the food and symptoms diaries were returned as requested. According to the food diaries, participants adhered to the intervention protocol. Four participants reported side effects, which were mainly related to gastrointestinal functions, such as flatulence and isolated episodes of diarrhea. Four participants declared their willingness to participate in a longer feeding study of this type. Three participants preferred the consumption of a selection of fermented vegetables over sauerkraut consumption. All participants returned stool samples at all requested time points. Samples stabilized in ethanol were provided by five participants, whereas native samples were provided by four participants. Further analysis was conducted using the ethanol samples for all participants except one, from whom only native samples were available.

**Gut Microbiome Analysis**

An increase in relative abundance was detected within the genera *Bacteroides* and *Ruminococcus* (Figure 3A). The genera *Prevotella* and *Faecalibacterium* displayed a decrease after both intervention cycles.

![Figure 3](image-url)  
**Figure 3.** Human gut microbiome changes after consumption of fermented vegetables. (A) Grouped relative abundance of the main phyla found in the stool samples—the most abundant genera are displayed in the chart, genera that had a relative abundance of more than 0.1% in half of the samples were aggregated into one category (“Other”), and genera with no taxonomic annotation are categorized as “unknown”; (B) alpha diversity measures representing the diversity of bacterial taxa before and after intervention; (C) beta diversity of bacterial taxa found in the stool samples displaying each participant.
No clear change in alpha diversity in response to either intervention was apparent according to the observed index. A slight increase in alpha diversity (the Shannon index) was observed after consumption of both sauerkraut (pre intervention: 3.31 ± 0.74, post intervention: 3.58 ± 0.68) and the selection of fermented vegetables (pre: 3.60 ± 0.93, post: 3.84 ± 0.81) (Figure 3B). Bray–Curtis dissimilarity revealed no clustering of the samples according to dietary intake and time point. For most participants, baseline and intervention samples did not differ very much, pointing towards an individualized and heterogeneous microbial composition (Figure 3C).

4. Discussion

In this study, the microbial composition of commercially available fermented vegetables was analyzed using 16S rRNA sequencing. The effect of consumption of these vegetables on the composition of the human gut microbiome was also examined through a pilot human intervention study.

We analyzed the bacterial composition of commercially available fermented vegetables. As expected, the lactic acid bacteria family was the most represented in all fermented vegetables assessed. The genus *Lactiplantibacillus* was the most common in half of the fermented vegetables. Species of the *Lactiplantibacillus* genus are found in various fermented vegetables, especially sauerkraut and kimchi, and produce lactic acid [24]. The genera *Lactacaseibacillus* and *Lactilactobacillus* are widely found in fermented foods with some species exhibiting probiotic properties. Among other genera, the genus *Pediococcus* is important for its preservative properties. This genus converts the sugars in fresh vegetables into lactic acid, which causes a drop in pH and prevents the food from spoiling [25]. The second most prominent genus detected in the sauerkraut was *Lactococcus*, which is made up of homofermentative species that produce lactic acid through fermentation of glucose. Many *Lactococcus* species are widely used to produce fermented dairy products. Another genus that was detected in the fermented vegetables, but primarily in the two sauerkraut types, was *Weissella*. Species of this genus are found in various fermented foods, many of them producing exopolysaccharides that are involved in adhesion to surfaces and influence the viscosity and structure of the respective fermented food [26].

The commercially available fermented vegetables that were analyzed in this study contain a variety of different microbial components, of which many species have been described to display probiotic effects. According to Hill et al., probiotics are defined as live microorganisms that are intended to have health benefits when administered in adequate amounts [27]. However, the exact mechanisms that explain the effects each species has on the human host are still not fully understood. For example, it has remained unclear whether the live bacteria consumed adhere to the intestinal mucosa and persistently populate the human gut or whether these products have to be consumed on a regular basis to enrich the host gut flora. In comparison, fermented milk products also contain probiotic bacteria, but display a less complex microbial composition. Traditional yogurt contains *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* subsp. *bulgaricus* and *Streptococcus thermophiles*. Often other LAB or bacteria from the genera *Bifidobacterium* are added to promote probiotic effects [28,29]. Other fermented dairy products such as kefir, a slightly carbonated viscous fermented milk drink, contain a more complex community of bacteria and yeasts when traditionally produced. However, in industrial production, instead of spontaneous fermentation or fermentation with kefir grains, standardized starter cultures are often used to achieve consistent product quality and suppress undesirable fermentation processes, resulting in a less complex microbial composition [30–32]. Our feasibility study demonstrated a high compliance, with no major side effects, indicating that longer-term studies investigating daily effects of fermented vegetables on health are feasible. Our pilot study was not powered to reveal any but substantial shifts in the gut microbiome. Hence, larger studies, potentially with longer intervention periods, are required to draw firm conclusions regarding the general population. Nevertheless, our study suggests a minor increase in gut microbiome diversity in healthy, young volunteers. The biggest change on the genera level was observed in the
two genera *Prevotella* and *Bacteroides*. The relative abundance of *Bacteroides* nearly doubled while the relative abundance of *Prevotella* decreased in a corresponding manner. Both genera are closely related and belong to the phylum *Bacteroidota*. An antagonistic relation has been proposed in the literature describing some *Prevotella* species as being associated with chronic inflammatory conditions [33].

As reflected in the beta diversity, the gut microbiome composition differed vastly between volunteers. This was to be expected, as it has been established that the composition of the gut microbiome differs between individuals and is influenced by a great number of parameters such as diet, environment, and living situation [34]. All participants were allowed to follow their individual habitual diet in addition to the interventions. Additionally, the intervention period was relatively short. For more profound and sustained effects, fermented vegetables may have to be consumed longer in order to enable establishment of the potentially induced changes. Moreover, the small sample size fosters an intra-individual variation that exceeds the inter-individual variation in gut microbial composition. The study of the impact of fermented vegetables is also limited by difficulties in standardizing the intervention, since bacterial composition will vary even in a standardized production environment.

Our pilot study suggests that an intervention with 150 g of different fermented vegetables per day is feasible. This is consistent with findings from other studies such as by Nielsen et al. including an intervention with 75 g sauerkraut per day [3] or a study by Han et al., who investigated effects of the consumption of 180 g kimchi per day in a study with obese women [35].

5. Conclusions

Fermented vegetables contain a vast variety of bacteria that differ between respective products. Therefore, a broader exposure of a microbial variety can already be achieved by consumption of a variety of different fermented vegetables. Our study suggests that intervention studies with fermented vegetables are feasible. In addition, it provides a first look at the effects of the consumption of fermented vegetables on the human gut microbiome, suggesting an increase in microbial diversity in the human gut. This study lays a foundation for further research providing insights into the health functions of fermented vegetables.
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Appendix A

Table A1. Compositional details of the fermented vegetables used in this study.

| Type of Fermented Vegetable | Ingredients                                                                 | Manufacturer                                      |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| Cauliflower                 | Cauliflower (96%), natural sea salt, fresh turmeric, spices (turmeric, cumin) | completeorganics GmbH, Munich, Germany            |
| Pink Sauerkraut             | White cabbage (72%), red cabbage, natural sea salt, cranberries (dried)     | completeorganics GmbH, Munich, Germany            |
| Carrots                     | Carrots (96%), natural sea salt, ginger (fresh)                              | completeorganics GmbH, Munich, Germany            |
| Beet Root                   | Beetroot (93%), horseradish, natural sea salt, goji berries (dried)          | completeorganics GmbH, Munich, Germany            |
| Daikon Kimchi               | Radish (84%), carrots, red peppers, natural sea salt, spices (ginger, chili) | completeorganics GmbH, Munich, Germany            |
| Traditional Sauerkraut      | White cabbage, sea salt, lactic acid bacteria                                | Eden Frischkost-(L+)-Sauerkraut, Radolfszell, Germany |
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