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Variants associating with uterine leiomyoma highlight genetic background shared by various cancers and hormone-related traits
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Uterine leiomyomas are common benign tumors of the myometrium. We performed a meta-analysis of two genome-wide association studies of leiomyoma in European women (16,595 cases and 523,330 controls), uncovering 21 variants at 16 loci that associate with the disease. Five variants were previously reported to confer risk of various malignant or benign tumors (rs78378222 in TP53, rs10069690 in TERT, rs1800057 and rs1801516 in ATM, and rs7907606 at OBFC1) and four signals are located at established risk loci for hormone-related traits (endometriosis and breast cancer) at 1q36.12 (CDC42/WNT4), 2p25.1 (GREB1), 20p12.3 (MCM8), and 6q26.2 (SYNE1/ESR1). Polygenic score for leiomyoma, computed using UKB data, is significantly correlated with risk of cancer in the Icelandic population. Functional annotation suggests that the non-coding risk variants affect multiple genes, including ESR1. Our results provide insights into the genetic background of leiomyoma that are shared by other benign and malignant tumors and highlight the role of hormones in leiomyoma growth.
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Uterine leiomyomas, sometimes referred to as fibroids, are benign tumors that arise in the smooth muscle cells of the uterine wall. Leiomyomas represent the most common pelvic tumors in women with prevalence by the age 50, above 80% for African–American women, and nearly 70% for Caucasian women. Although leiomyomas are non-malignant and in majority of cases not symptomatic, about 20–25% of cases cause problems that warrant treatment. These symptoms include dysmenorrhea, pelvic pain, abnormal bleeding, infertility, and complications during pregnancy. Leiomyomas are estrogen responsive and many of the non-surgical therapeutic options involve some form of hormonal manipulation; however, in many cases, the tumors recur when therapy is discontinued. The biological mechanisms leading to the development of uterine leiomyomas are not well understood. Risk factors include age, obesity, increased levels of estrogens, hypertension, parity, and race, with prevalence in African–American women being considerably higher than that in European–American women. Genetic factors are also implicated in leiomyoma formation: First-degree relatives of affected women have a 2.5-fold greater risk of developing the condition than the population average and the concordance among monozygotic twins is almost twice that of dizygotic twins. A genome-wide association study (GWAS) in Japanese women found variants at three loci that associate with uterine leiomyoma, at 22q13.1 (TNRC6B), 11p15.5 (BET1L), and 10q24.33 (OBFC1). The associations between the disease and the first two loci have been confirmed in European women. Finally, a GWAS of leiomyoma risk among African–American women yielded a locus on 22q13.1 (CYTH4).

To gain insights into the genetic causes of uterine leiomyomas, we performed a meta-analysis of leiomyoma in Europeans, using GWAS data on 16,595 cases and 523,330 controls from Iceland and the UK, identifying 21 variants at 16 loci that associate with the disease. Results

Association analysis. We combined the results of two GWAS’ of uterine leiomyoma, one from Iceland and the other from the UK Biobank (UKB), using a total of 16,595 cases and 523,330 controls of confirmed European descent. The Icelandic GWAS consists of 6728 hospital-based, histologically confirmed leiomyoma cases and 124,542 controls, whereas the UKB leiomyoma dataset is based on 9867 hospital-based cases and 398,788 controls. A Manhattan plot of the meta-analysis results is shown in Fig. 1. As the probability of a variant impacting a phenotype differs between the functional annotation classes, we applied genome-wide significance thresholds using a weighted Bonferroni procedure that takes this prior probability into account. Using this scheme, a total of 43,421,991 variants tested (Supplementary Data 1, Methods). We applied conditional analysis to search for distinct signals at each locus and find additional variants at five loci that associate with leiomyoma independent of the main signal (with $P_{\text{cond}} < 10^{-6}$); three of the secondary signals reach genome-wide significance.

Fig. 1 Manhattan plot of the association results of meta-analysis of leiomyoma of the uterus. The Manhattan plot shows variants with $P$-value < $1.0 \times 10^{-3}$ in a meta-analysis of GWAS data from 20,621 leiomyoma patients and 280,541 controls of European ancestry. Shown are negative log10-transformed $P$ values (y-axis) over 22 autosomes (x-axis). Red font color indicates leiomyoma loci previously reported in the Japanese population.
The meta-analysis results suggest that at least two genetic variants at 16 loci associate with leiomyoma.

### Table 1: Association results for lead variants at loci reaching genome-wide significance in meta-analysis of leiomyoma

| Marker Name | Coding Effect | EAF | EA/OA | Gene | OR$_{meta}$ (95% CI) | P$_{meta}$ | Phenotypes reported at locus$^3$ |
|-------------|---------------|-----|-------|------|-----------------------|----------|----------------------------------|
| rs10917151  | downstream    | 0.201 | A/G  | CDC42/ WNT4 | 1.12 (1.09, 1.16) | 5.1E-14  | Endometriosis, BMD, OvCa         |
| chr:1:22096228 | upstream     | 0.019 | C/A  | GREB1 | 0.74 (0.67, 0.82)   | 8.1E-10  | Endometriosis, BrCa              |
| rs148143917 | missense Asn77Thr | 0.410 | A/C  | GREB1 | 0.92 (0.90, 0.94)   | 8.1E-12  | Endometriosis, BrCa              |
| chr:2:11524625 | intron      | 0.322 | C/T  | NEK10 | 1.09 (1.06, 1.12)   | 8.9E-11  | BrCa                             |
| rs765333492 | intron        | 0.003 | C/T  | SCFD2 | 2.76 (2.11, 3.6)    | 2.3E-13  |                                  |
| chr:4:53021103 | intergenic  | 0.485 | T/C  | SULT1E1 | 1.09 (1.07-1.12)   | 6.5E-13  |                                  |
| rs10069690  | intron        | 0.259 | T/C  | TERT  | 1.12 (1.1, 1.15)    | 3.6E-18  | ThCa, BrCa, CLL, TeCa, PrCa, UBC, PaCa, glioma |
| chr:5:1279675 | upstream     | 0.044 | T/C  | BETTIL | 0.82 (0.78-0.87)   | 5.2E-12  | LM (Japan)                       |
| rs8415480   | intron        | 0.082 | A/G  | SIRT3  | 0.83 (0.80, 0.87)   | 1.4E-16  | LM (Japan)                       |
| chr:6:116722596 | intron      | 0.158 | A/G  | WT1   | 1.14 (1.10-1.17)    | 5.4E-16  |                                  |
| rs7030354   | intergenic    | 0.404 | T/C  | DMRT1 | 1.11 (1.08, 1.14)   | 2.0E-17  |                                  |
| chr:9:804231 | upstream      | 0.155 | G/T  | OBFC1 | 1.1 (1.07, 1.14)    | 3.1E-09  | LM (Japan), BCC, multiple cancers, telomere length |
| rs7907606   | upstream      | 0.044 | T/C  | ATM   | 1.28 (1.19, 1.38)   | 3.1E-11  | CLL, PrCa, RCC                   |
| chr:10:103920874 | upstream    | 0.082 | A/G  | WT1   | 1.14 (1.10-1.17)    | 5.4E-16  |                                  |
| rs11246001  | downstream    | 0.201 | A/G  | ATM   | 1.28 (1.19, 1.38)   | 3.1E-11  | CLL, PrCa, RCC                   |
| chr:10:1520899 | intron       | 0.082 | A/G  | ATM   | 1.28 (1.19, 1.38)   | 3.1E-11  | CLL, PrCa, RCC                   |
| rs507139    | intron        | 0.142 | C/T  | KANK1 | 1.12 (1.09-1.16)    | 7.9E-12  |                                  |
| rs9:638423C | intergenic    | 0.404 | T/C  | DMRT1 | 1.11 (1.08, 1.14)   | 2.0E-17  |                                  |
| rs7907606   | upstream      | 0.155 | G/T  | OBFC1 | 1.1 (1.07, 1.14)    | 3.1E-09  | LM (Japan), BCC, multiple cancers, telomere length |
| chr:10:103920874 | upstream    | 0.082 | A/G  | WT1   | 1.14 (1.10-1.17)    | 5.4E-16  |                                  |
| rs11246001  | downstream    | 0.201 | A/G  | ATM   | 1.28 (1.19, 1.38)   | 3.1E-11  | CLL, PrCa, RCC                   |
| chr:10:1520899 | intron       | 0.082 | A/G  | ATM   | 1.28 (1.19, 1.38)   | 3.1E-11  | CLL, PrCa, RCC                   |
| rs507139    | intron        | 0.142 | C/T  | KANK1 | 1.12 (1.09-1.16)    | 7.9E-12  |                                  |
| rs9:638423C | intergenic    | 0.404 | T/C  | DMRT1 | 1.11 (1.08, 1.14)   | 2.0E-17  |                                  |
| rs7907606   | upstream      | 0.155 | G/T  | OBFC1 | 1.1 (1.07, 1.14)    | 3.1E-09  | LM (Japan), BCC, multiple cancers, telomere length |
| chr:10:103920874 | upstream    | 0.082 | A/G  | WT1   | 1.14 (1.10-1.17)    | 5.4E-16  |                                  |
| rs11246001  | downstream    | 0.201 | A/G  | ATM   | 1.28 (1.19, 1.38)   | 3.1E-11  | CLL, PrCa, RCC                   |
| chr:10:1520899 | intron       | 0.082 | A/G  | ATM   | 1.28 (1.19, 1.38)   | 3.1E-11  | CLL, PrCa, RCC                   |
| rs507139    | intron        | 0.142 | C/T  | KANK1 | 1.12 (1.09-1.16)    | 7.9E-12  |                                  |
| rs9:638423C | intergenic    | 0.404 | T/C  | DMRT1 | 1.11 (1.08, 1.14)   | 2.0E-17  |                                  |
| rs7907606   | upstream      | 0.155 | G/T  | OBFC1 | 1.1 (1.07, 1.14)    | 3.1E-09  | LM (Japan), BCC, multiple cancers, telomere length |

See Supplementary Data 6 for results in the Icelandic and UKB datasets and references for the reported signals referred to in the table.

1 Marker positions are according to GRCh38/hg38.
2 Odds-ratios correspond to effect alleles.
3 Secondary signals reaching conditional P value < 10$^-6$ (approximately 30,000 variants tested).

Abbreviations: EAF, effect allele frequency; EA, effect allele; OA, other allele; P$_{meta}$, P-value for fixed effects meta-analysis; OR$_{meta}$, Odds ratio; BMD, bone mineral density; OvCa, ovarian cancer; BrCa, breast cancer; TeCa, testicular cancer; LM, leiomyoma; PrCa, prostate cancer; UBC, urinary bladder cancer; ThCa, thyroid cancer; PaCa, pancreatic cancer; BCC, basal cell carcinoma; CLL, chronic lymphocytic leukemia; RCC, renal cell carcinoma; CMM, cutaneous malignant melanoma; CR adenoma, colorectal adenoma; NB, neuroblastoma.

Significance. The 19 genome-wide significant markers and two significant secondary signals are listed in Table 1 and Supplementary Data 3, locus plots for all the markers are presented in Supplementary Fig. 1, and all association signals with P value < 5.0 × 10$^-8$ are listed in Supplementary Data 4. We also tested 21 markers for association with 20 different tumor types using information on 42,331 cancer cases from the Icelandic Cancer Registry and several phenotypes that are strongly affected by estrogen exposure, i.e., endometriosis (1,857 cases), bone mineral density (BMD, 28,900 individuals), and age at menopause (10,216 individuals) (Supplementary Data 5 and 6).

**Variants at 16 loci associate with leiomyoma.** Examination of the meta-analysis results suggests that at least two genetic pathways play a role in the development of leiomyomas; one linked to tumorigenesis and the other linked to hormone-related traits (Table 1, Supplementary Data 3). The most significant association with leiomyoma is with a low-frequency 3UTR variant in TP53, rs7837822_G (P = 4.03 × 10$^-37$), meta-analysis of logistic regression, OR = 1.74). The same allele of this variant was previously reported to associate with increased risk of several malignant and benign tumor types, including basal cell skin cancer, glioma, prostate cancer, neuroblastoma, and colorectal adenoma$^{11-13}$. Four additional leiomyoma variants in our meta-analysis, one at the TERT locus, two in ATM, and one at the OBFC1 locus, have previously been associated with cancer risk. At the TERT locus, rs10069690_T was previously reported to increase the risk of thyroid cancer$^{14}$, estrogen and progesterone receptor-negative breast cancer$^{15,16}$, CLL$^{17}$, and glioma$^{18}$ and...
decrease the risk of testicular, prostate, bladder, and pancreatic cancers. Two uncorrelated \( r^2 = 0.007 \) missense variants in the ATM gene, rs1801516 (Asp1853Asn) and rs1800057 (Pro1054Arg), independently associate with leiomyoma. rs1801516_A was previously reported to associate with decreased melanoma risk and response to chemotherapy and radiotherapy. This variant shows significant association with increased risk of squamous cell skin cancer in Iceland \((P = 4.35 \times 10^{-5}, \text{logistic regression, } OR = 1.21)\) after correction for the number of phenotypes tested \((P < 0.05/29 = 1.72 \times 10^{-3})\), the direction of effect being opposite to the reported melanoma effect (Supplementary Data 6). The other leiomyoma missense variant rs7913069_G, has been linked to increased risk of chronic lymphocytic leukemia, prostate cancer, and renal cell carcinoma. Finally, at the OBFC1 locus, the strongest leiomyoma variant, rs7907606_G, has previously been associated with the risk of basal cell carcinoma and is strongly correlated with variants that have been reported for risk of melanoma, adenocarcinoma of the lung, thyroid cancer, renal cell carcinoma, serous ovarian cancer, glioma, and CLL, as well as to telomere length.

Several of the leiomyoma variants and loci emerging from our meta-analysis have been linked to hormone-related traits. Variants at the CDC4/WNT4 locus have been associated with endometriosis, BMD, bone size, and ovarian cancer. Adenocarcinoma of the lung, thyroid cancer, renal cell carcinoma, serous ovarian cancer, glioma, and CLL, as well as to telomere length. At the CYTH4 locus identified in African–American women, rs739187, does not associate with leiomyoma in our data \((P = 0.51, \text{meta-analysis of logistic regression, } OR = 1.01)\). rs739187 is about 3 MB away from the leiomyoma variant rs12484951 \((TNR6B)\) and the 2 variants are not correlated either in Europeans \(r^2 = 0.0064\) or African–Americans \(r^2 = 0.017\).

**Overlap of leiomyoma, endometriosis, and endometrial cancer.** Although a large fraction of hysterectomies are due to leiomyoma, hysterectomies performed for other clinical conditions such as endometriosis, uterine prolapse, and cancer may also lead to diagnosis of leiomyoma. We assessed whether the leiomyoma signals are driven by endometriosis cases within the leiomyoma group. To this end, we removed all known endometriosis cases from the Icelandic leiomyoma sample set and compared the association results with the results obtained for the full Icelandic leiomyoma group. The effects of leiomyoma associations were not diminished for any of the variants (Supplementary Data 6). We note that since we only have information on surgically diagnosed endometriosis cases, it is possible that there may be some undiagnosed cases left in the leiomyoma group. We also assessed the association of all 19 reported endometriosis variants with the endometriosis-excluded leiomyoma group. Only rs12037376 \((CDC42/WNT4)\), rs71575922 \((SYNE1/ESR1)\), and rs77294520 \((GREB1)\) associate with leiomyoma after correction for the number of tests \((P < 0.05/19 = 2.6 \times 10^{-3})\), with all three variants showing the same direction of effect for endometriosis and leiomyoma (logistic regression, Supplementary Data 7).

We tested if the leiomyoma variants associate with endometrial cancer, using 2462 endometrial cancer cases (1009 from Iceland and 1453 from UKB) and 336,978 controls (218,565 from Iceland and 118,413 from UKB) (Supplementary Data 9). Only rs10917151 \((CDC42/WNT4)\) associates with endometrial cancer \((P = 4.5 \times 10^{-4}, \text{logistic regression, } OR = 1.14)\) after correcting for the number of tests \((P = 0.05/21 = 0.0024)\). We also tested the association between leiomyoma and seven variants reported in GWAS studies of endometrial cancer. None of the endometrial cancer variants associate with leiomyoma (Supplementary Data 10).

**Functional annotation of non-coding leiomyoma variants.** To assess the functional role of the non-coding leiomyoma variants, we annotated the lead non-coding variants along with correlated variants \((r^2 > 0.8, N = 438)\), using data on regulatory regions in uterine tissue from the Encode project (www.encodeproject.org). Specifically, we focused on acetylation of lysine K27 of histone H3 (H3K27ac) and open chromatin regions (DNase Hypersensitivity Sites; DHS). This analysis identified 51 out of 438 variants that intersected with H3K27ac marked regions (Supplementary Data 11). In addition, 14 variants were found within DHS sites, of which 10 are also within H3K27ac regions. To search for gene targets of variants in regulatory regions, we analyzed chromatin interaction maps and identified 85 potential target genes that associate with one or more of the candidate regulatory variants (Supplementary Data 11, Fig. 2, Supplementary Fig. 2). Genes
whose transcription start sites (TSS) are found within 1 kb of a regulatory variant are listed (Supplementary Data11).

The variant rs58415480 at 6q25.2 (SYN1/ESR1) is in strong LD with only one other variant, rs71575922 (\(r^2 = 0.96\)) and both reside in a regulatory region in the uterine tissue. According to chromosomal interaction data, rs58415480 and rs71575922 are candidate regulators of seven target genes including ESR1, which is important in the growth of leiomyomas (Fig.2, upper panel). The variant on chromosome 13, rs117245733, does not have a strongly correlated marker. This variant resides in a region densely marked by H3K27ac in the uterine tissue, indicative of regulatory activity (Fig. 2, lower panel). Out of the eleven candidate target genes regulated by rs117245733, the FOXO1 gene is notable, as its expression in primary human endometrial stromal cells is regulated by ESR142 and it is a direct protein–protein interaction partner of ESR1 in breast cancer cells43. The secondary signal at 13q14.11, rs7986407, also targets FOXO1 (Supplementary Fig. 2). At 11p13, the well-known tumor suppressor gene WT1 interacts with several variants, with rs10835884, rs11031716, and rs11828433 representing the top-ranking causal variants (Supplementary Data 11, Supplementary Fig. 2). According to the Human Protein Atlas (www.proteinatlas.org), WT1 expression is limited to smooth muscle cells of the uterus, in addition to Fallopian tube, testis, and kidney tissue.

Heritability and polygenic score (PGS). We used LD score regression44 to estimate the SNP heritability of leiomyoma. Using the Icelandic population, we estimate the SNP heritability to be 13% (95% CI 4–22%). We estimate the sibling recurrence risk in Iceland to be 1.74 (95% CI 1.63, 1.85, \(P < 0.0001\)) and find that the proportion of sibling recurrence risk for leiomyoma explained by the 21 variants is 10.8%.
The sharing of susceptibility loci of leiomyoma with different tumor types on one hand and hormone-related traits on the other suggests that leiomyomas are genetically correlated with these phenotype groups. We used a polygenic score (PGS) to map the genetic correlation between leiomyoma and 28 selected tumor/hormone-related phenotypes (Table 2). To avoid confounding, risk alleles, P-values, and effect estimates were extracted from the UKB study on leiomyoma and used to calculate a standardized PGS for the genotyped cases in the Icelandic dataset. As expected, the PGS associates with leiomyoma in the Icelandic dataset (OR = 1.25, R² = 3.2 × 10⁻₅), which may be interpreted such that one standard deviation increase in the PGS leads to a 25% increased chance of leiomyoma. After correction for the number of phenotypes tested (P < 0.05/29 = 1.72 × 10⁻³), the PGS was also significantly correlated with the risk of being diagnosed with cancer (all cancer types together), thyroid cancer and prostate cancer (likelihood ratio test, Table 2).

Discussion
Results from this meta-analysis highlight two distinct genetic pathways that play a role in the development of leiomyomas; one of genes linked to tumorigenesis (TP53, TERT, ATM, and OBFC1) and the other of variants and loci linked to hormone metabolism (CDC42/WNT4, GREB1, MCM8, and SYN1/ESR1). It is tempting to speculate that the tumor-associated variants provide genetic background for leiomyoma development, whereas the hormone-associated variants enhance the growth of the tumors, causing them to become symptomatic. A common genetic etiology between cancer and leiomyoma is further suggested by the leiomyoma PGS that associates with several cancers, including a phenotype consisting of individuals affected by any type of cancer. We did not have the power to test the association of the variants with leiomyosarcoma—the malignant tumor originating in the myometrium—because of the rarity of this tumor type (44 cases in this study).

The association between leiomyoma and the 3′UTR variant in TP53 is of particular interest. Contrary to most pathogenic mutations in TP53, the variant does not change the amino acid sequence of the protein, but instead disrupts normal polyadenylation and reduces the abundance of mRNA11. This results in a cancer risk spectrum quite distinct from the Li–Fraumeni tumor syndrome. Notably, the variant predisposes to some non-lethal tumor types such as basal cell skin cancer and colorectal adenomas, but not colorectal cancer11. The association between missense variants in ATM and leiomyoma is also unexpected. We have previously shown that loss-of-function mutations in ATM confer high risk of gastric cancer in the Icelandic population45. These same loss-of-function variants do not associate with leiomyoma. In conclusion, we report the first GWAS of leiomyoma in European populations. Our results show a genetic overlap between leiomyoma and various cancers, and highlight the role of estrogen in tumor growth.

Methods
Datasets: The meta-analysis combined the results of two GWAS of uterine leiomyoma. The Icelandic dataset consisted of 6,728 histologically confirmed cases of leiomyoma of the uterus (ICD codes ICD10 D25 and ICD9 218 and 219) diagnosed at the Department of Pathology, Landspitali University Hospital, compared to...
124,542 female controls. The UK Biobank (UKB) leiomyoma dataset consisted of 9,867 cases with ICD10 D25 compared to 398,788 controls of both sexes. The UKB phenotype is based on diagnoses codes recorded for a participant during all visits to a hospital. Given the high prevalence of leiomyoma, it is clear that a fraction of the controls in both datasets will have undiagnosed leiomyoma. This under-diagnosis of the controls weakens the power of the GWAS, but should not lead to false-positive signals.

The Icelandic cancer case–control datasets used were described previously.46 The primary source of information on cancer is the Icelandic Cancer Registry (ICR), which has registered all solid, non-cutaneous cancers in the entire population of Iceland since 1955.57 Registration of skin and hematological cancers started in 2004. ICR registration is based on the ICD system and includes information on histology (systemized nomenclature of medicine, SNOMED). Over 94% of diagnoses in the ICR have histological confirmation. The endometriosis2 bone phenotype46, and age at menopause58 datasets have been described previously. For sex-specific phenotypes in Iceland, only controls for the relevant sex were included in the analysis.

The study was approved by the Icelandic National Bioethics Committee (reference number 17–124). Written informed consent was obtained from all genotyped subjects.

Genotyping. The Icelandic part of the study is based on the genotypes of 150,656 individuals, who have been genotyped using Illumina SNP chips along with whole-genome genotyping data from 15,220 Icelanders. The genotype calls of the 150,656 individuals were long-range phased and imputed using information from the WGS individuals.50 Using genelogic information, the sequence variants were imputed into 282,894 relatives of the genotyped individuals to further increase the sample size for association analysis and to increase the power to detect the associations. A total of 340 million variants were imputed in the WGS datasets as a part of disease association efforts at deCODE Genetics. For further information regarding genotyping and imputation, we refer to Gudbjartsson et al.55

Genotyping of UKB samples was performed using a custom-made Affymetrix chip, UK BiLEVE Axiom53, and with the Affymetrix UK Biobank Axiom array54. Imputation was performed by the Wellcome Trust Centre for Human Genetics, using the Haplotype Reference Consortium (HRC) and the UK10K haplotype resources55. This yields a total of 96 million imputed variants, however only 27 million variants imputed using the HRC reference set passed the quality filters used in our study.

GWAS and meta-analysis. Logistic regression assuming an additive model was used to test for association between variants and disease, treating disease status as the response and expected genotype counts from imputation as covariates, and using the likelihood ratio test to compute P-values. The association analysis for both the Icelandic and UKB datasets was done using a software developed at deCODE Genetics, the Icelandic study group of patients and controls are matched on gender and age at diagnosis or age at inclusion, and information on county of origin within Iceland are included as covariates to adjust for possible population stratification. For the UK datasets, cases and controls are restricted to individuals of genetically confirmed white British origin, and 40 principle components are included in the analysis to adjust for population substructure. To account for inflation in test statistics due to cryptic relatedness and stratification, we applied the method of linkage disequilibrium (LD) score regression44 to estimate the inflation in test statistics and adjusted all P values accordingly. The estimated correction factor for Leionyma based on LD score regression was 1.13 for the Icelandic and 1.10 for the UK datasets, respectively.

Variants in the UKB imputation dataset were mapped to NCBI Build38 positions and matched to the variants in the Icelandic dataset based on allele variation. The results from the two cohorts were combined using a fixed-effect model, in which the cohorts were allowed to have different population frequencies for alleles and genotypes, but were assumed to have a common OR and were weighted with the inverse of the variance. We selected a threshold of 0.9 for low-impact variants (including synonymous, 3’ and 5’ UTR, and upstream and downstream variants, n = 3,303,568), P ≤ 2.0 × 10^{−8} for high-impact variants (including stop-gained and loss, framesshift, splice acceptor or donor and initiator codon variants, n = 13,264), P ≤ 5.0 × 10^{−8} for missense, splice-region variants and in-frame-indels (n = 257,401), P ≤ 4.6 × 10^{−7} for low-impact variants (including synonymous, 3’ and 5’ UTR, and upstream and downstream variants, n = 3,303,568), P ≤ 2.0 × 10^{−8} for high-impact DIHS variants in DNA I hypersensitivity sites (DHS) (n = 5,999,736), and P ≤ 7.6 × 10^{−10} for other non-DIH deep intrinsic and intergenic variants (n = 33,998,502).

Conditional analysis. We applied approximate conditional analyses, implemented in the GCTA software56, to the meta-analysis summary statistics to look for evidence of the genetic signal remaining in the LD between variants was estimated using a set of 870 K whole-genome sequenced Icelandic individuals. The analysis was restricted to variants present in both the Icelandic and UKB datasets and within 1 Mb from the index variants. We tested 16 loci and about 30,000 variants in the conditional analysis and reported variants with conditional P value < 10^{−6}. The results from GCTA were verified by condi-
tional analysis using genotype data in the Icelandic and UK datasets separately, and the results presented in Table 1 are obtained by meta-analyzing those results.

Polygenic score, heritability, and explained variance. We derived a leiomyoma polygenic risk score (PGS) for the Icelandic individuals and, to avoid bias, we only used variants that contributed to the most significant polygenetic results for leiomyoma from the UK dataset. The PGS was calculated using genotypes for about 630,000 autosomal markers included on the Illumina SNP chips to avoid uncertainty due to imputation quality. We estimated linkage disequilibrium (LD) between markers using 14,938 phased Icelandic samples and used this LD information to calculate adjusted effect estimates using LDpred58,59. We created several PGSs assuming different fractions of causal markers (the P parameter in LDpred) and selected the best one based on the prediction of leiomyoma in the Icelandic dataset (0.3% causal variants).

This PGS was then used when we calculated the correlation of the PGS with other phenotypes in the Icelandic dataset.

We computed LD scores for genotyped individuals in the Icelandic cohort using only high-quality markers and estimated the heritability explained by all markers, with MAF above 1% using LD score regression44. We estimated the risk ratio among siblings (λs) of Icelandic leiomyoma cases (n = 6728) by cross-matching with a genealogy database that covers the entire Icelandic nation. The risk ratio among siblings (λs) was estimated at 1.74 [1.63, 1.85] (P = 0.0001) using an approach previously described by Edwardsson et al.60. This allows us to calculate the proportion of sibling recurrence risk of leiomyoma explained by the signals identified in the present study, log(λs)/log(λs)P.

Functional annotation of leiomyoma variants. Variants in linkage disequilibrium (LD) with the lead variants were identified on the basis of in-house genotype data using r2 > 0.8 for pairwise comparison of the nearest 100,000 variants to define an LD class. These variants were then annotated by intersection with chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) signal data for uterine tissue. The ChIP-seq data was derived from the ENCODE project (www.encodeproject.org) downloaded in preprocessed (MACS v2 algorithm) bigWig format representing analysis of acetylation of lysine 79 of histone H3 (H3K79ac) and number ENCF04071TR. The signal P-values were adjusted by the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure to account for multiple hypothesis and thresholded at the 1% FDR significance level. DNase hypersensitivity data (DHS) for uterine tissue were also downloaded in preprocessed format, accession number ENCF6044WB, and intersected with variant position in each LD class.

Chromatin interaction map data were derived from Hi-C sequencing for various cell types and primary tissue samples.62 The data were downloaded from Omnis, accession number GSE87112, in pre-processed format (Fit-Hi-C algorithm) representing false-discovery rates (FDR) for contact regions at 40 kb resolution. To define statistically significant contacts we used a threshold value of FDR < 10^{−6} in meseodendrins cells. We confined this analysis to variants residing within candidate regulatory regions based on Encode data for H3K27ac and DHS in uterine tissue. The regions found to interact with the variant-containing regions were then queried for Refseq genes and intersected with a database for cancer genes (NCG 5.0; ngc.kcl.ac.uk) and tissue-specific expression patterns as provided in the Human Protein Atlas (www.proteinatlas.org), v8 downloaded in December 2017.

Data availability
The Icelandic population WGS data has been deposited at the European Variant Archive under accession code PRJEB8636. The authors declare that the data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article, its Supplementary Data files and upon request. The UK Biobank data can be obtained upon application (ukbiobank.ac.uk).
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