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Abstract
The deficiencies of trace elements and infectious diseases often coexist and exhibit complex interactions. Several trace elements such as zinc (Zn), copper (Cu) and magnesium (Mg) have immunomodulatory functions and thus influence the susceptibility to the course and outcome of a variety of viral infections. So, this present study was aimed to study relations of trace metals in association with severity and mortality in SARS-CoV-2 patients. A total of 150 individuals infected with COVID-19 and 50 healthy individuals were recruited. Cases were divided based on severity (mild, moderate and severe) and outcome (discharged or deceased). Serum Zn, Mg and Cu levels were analysed by direct colourimetric method. Both serum Cu and Zn levels were significantly decreased in cases when compared to those in controls (p < 0.005 and p < 0.0001). Serum magnesium levels although not significant were found to be slightly decreased in controls. On comparing the trace elements between the deceased and discharged cases, a significant difference was found between serum copper and zinc levels, but for magnesium, both groups have similar levels. The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve results indicate that a serum Cu/Zn ratio along with the age of patient provides some reliable information on COVID-19 course and survival odds by yielding an AUC of 95.1% with a sensitivity of 93.8% and specificity of 89.8%. Therefore, we would like to emphasize that measuring the serum copper and zinc along with their ratio can be used as routine investigations for COVID-19 patients in proper identification and management of severe cases in upcoming new waves of COVID-19.
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Introduction
Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) is an infectious disease caused by the severe acute respiratory distress syndrome-associated coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). [1]. COVID-19 presents with a different phenotypic presentation ranging from asymptomatic presentation to grave presentation causing multiple organ dysfunction syndromes (MODS) and finally death [2–4]. Organ dysfunction, particularly progressive respiratory failure and generalized...
coagulopathy, is associated with the highest mortality [5]. Now, the number of infected people has reached 200 million with 43 million deaths around the world, and the infected people are not restricted by any race and borders.

Nutrition status plays an important role in maintaining general health. Deficiency of micronutrients is recognized as a global health issue. Similar to macronutrients, micronutrients also have an equal role in developing and maturing of the immune response [6]. Although contradictory data exist, many recent studies indicate that micronutrients with immune-supporting roles may modulate immune function and reduce the risk of infection [7]. Trace elements such as zinc (Zn), copper (Cu) and magnesium (Mg) have immunomodulatory functions and thus influence the susceptibility of the course and outcome of a variety of viral infections [8].

Copper (Cu) plays a significant role in the maintenance of the immune system. It plays a major role in the elimination of the virus by acting on the NK cells. Accordingly, copper deficiency is associated with several symptoms that are related to Cu-containing enzymes. One such enzyme is superoxide dismutase which prevents cell injury from oxidative damage [9]. So, any copper deficiency was found to be associated with decreased immune response leading to many viral and bacterial infections in both young and elderly people [10]. Many previous studies have also shown that copper supplementation has a positive effect on the inhibition of viral replication [11, 12]. Similar studies on novel coronavirus found that SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) is more sensitive to Cu surface contact compared to SARS-CoV-1 [13].

Zinc (Zn) is an essential trace element that plays a vital role in antiviral immunity and anti-inflammatory properties [14, 15]. Zinc is involved in regulating the function of immune cells such as NK cells, monocytes, neutrophils and T and B lymphocytes. Zinc is found to maintain the structure and function of the respiratory epithelium barrier. Following a respiratory viral infection, these cells are recruited to the respiratory tissue. It seems that zinc can enhance the proliferation of CD8+ cytotoxic T lymphocytes. These cells are crucial in the immune response to respiratory viruses [16]. Disorders in the integrity of the respiratory epithelium can promote virus entry [17]. In a recent clinical study, significant improvement was shown by SARS-CoV-19 outpatients when treated with zinc supplements [18].

Mg²⁺ is an essential mineral with pleiotropic effects on cellular functions. It acts as a cofactor for several important enzymes such as kinases which regulate the cytotoxic T cell activation during infections [19]. In a recent study by Ye et al. (2020), serum Mg was found to have a protective effect in COPD patients, which can explain the relationship between the Mg and the pulmonary outcomes of COVID-19 [20].

This study was aimed to evaluate the status of zinc, copper and magnesium in individuals diagnosed with SARS-CoV-2.

**Materials and Methods**

**Study Design**

A cross-sectional comparative study was conducted in one of the isolation centres dedicated for the COVID-19 patients in All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Jodhpur, India.

**Study Population**

A total of 150 individuals who were diagnosed and admitted with SARS-CoV-2 were recruited from the COVID-19 isolation wards of All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Jodhpur. Based on the severity and the symptoms, these 150 patients were divided into mild, moderate and severe groups with each group having 50 individuals. Another 50 individuals were included as healthy controls. Further, the cases were also divided based on the outcome. The diagnosis was made based on the RT-PCR test [21]. All participants had given written informed consent before participating in the study, and their anonymity was preserved. The study was performed as per the ethical standards established in the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the institutional ethics committee.

**Specimen Collection and Determination of the Trace Elements**

Three millilitres of peripheral venous blood were drawn from the participants, and serum was obtained after centrifuging at 10,000 rpm for 5 min; the serum was further stored in an Eppendorf tube at −20 °C for determination of Cu, Zn and Mg.

Serum zinc, copper and magnesium were measured using the DiaSys kit (DiaSys Diagnostic Systems, Germany) on Beckman-Coulter/AU480 system (Beckman-Coulter, USA). Quality control materials were obtained from the TruLab normal control for clinical chemistry (DiaSys Diagnostic Systems, Germany).

**Statistical Analysis**

The data collected were tabulated and analysed using Microsoft Excel and SPSS version 20 for Windows (Chicago, IL, USA). The data collected were analysed using Microsoft Excel and SPSS version 21.0 (IBM SPSS Statistics, USA) for Windows. The data are expressed as median and IQR. Categorical variables were calculated as frequency and
percentage; continuous variables were represented as median (IQR). The normality of the parameters was checked using the Shapiro–Wilk test and found to be non-parametric. The difference in serum trace elements levels between subgroups was analysed using Kruskal–Wallis and Mann–Whitney U test along with pairwise post-hoc analysis. Moreover, Spearman correlation analysis was used to find the significance of association between the variables. Variable selection for ROC was performed via stepwise AIC selection. Differences between ROC curves were assessed by the DeLong test for two correlated ROC curves. A \( p \) value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

A total of 200 study participants were included in the study, of which 150 were diagnosed with COVID-19 and 50 were healthy controls. Further, the cases were classified into mild, moderate and severe groups of 50 each based on their admission into different wards. The demographic, biochemical and haematological parameters are presented in Table 1.

The median levels of magnesium, copper and zinc of the total cases were 2.26 mg/dl, 144.31 µg/dL and 56.61 µg/dL, respectively. Similarly, median levels of magnesium, copper and zinc of the controls were 2.24 mg/dl, 150.66 µg/dL and 60.50 µg/dL, respectively. A significant difference was found between the levels of copper and zinc when compared between the total cases and the controls. Both the serum Cu and Zn levels were significantly decreased in cases when compared to those in the controls (\( p < 0.005 \) and \( p < 0.0001 \)). Serum magnesium levels although not significant were found to be slightly decreased in controls when compared to those in the cases (Table 2).

Similarly, on comparing the different groups of cases and the control of baseline samples of the COVID-19 patients, a significant difference was found between the levels of copper and zinc. Serum Zn levels in total cases were 8% lower than the respective values in the control group. These levels were found to be decreasing with the increasing severity of

| Table 1 Demographic and biochemical parameters of the study population |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Variables       | Mild Age (In years) | Moderate Age (In years) | Severe Age (In years) | Controls Age (In years) |
| Demographic Data | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | Mean | SD |
| Gender          | Males | 32 | 36 | 33 | 23 |
|                 | Females | 18 | 14 | 17 | 27 |
| Biochemical Profile | | | | |
| Urea            | 56.4 | 29.67 | 75.43 | 41.48 | 74 | 31.11 | 21.80 | 6.12 |
| Creatinine      | 1.27 | 0.73 | 1.40 | 0.82 | 1.77 | 1.03 | 0.80 | 0.13 |
| AST             | 50.49 | 50.21 | 43.15 | 19.73 | 34.15 | 0.92 | 10.32 | 8.01 |
| ALT             | 65.61 | 59.45 | 61.07 | 43.60 | 45.6 | 6.79 | 24.89 | 12.36 |
| T.Bil           | 0.73 | 0.38 | 0.98 | 0.463 | 0.265 | 0.01 | 0.27 | 0.15 |
| D.Bil           | 0.23 | 0.18 | 0.26 | 0.100 | 0.065 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.03 |
| T.Protein       | 6.37 | 0.67 | 5.83 | 0.598 | 5.85 | 1.22 | 7.61 | 0.54 |
| Albumin         | 3.21 | 0.48 | 2.75 | 0.351 | 2.94 | 0.55 | 4.34 | 0.38 |
| ALP             | 120.44 | 57.56 | 166.50 | 61.19 | 94 | 70.71 | 81.39 | 34.01 |
| HsCRP           | 118.10 | 62.69 | 107.16 | 71.62 | 75.7 | 1.41 | 2.29 | 3.00 |
| Cholesterol     | 133.3704 | 42.97 | 155.1 | 43.34 | 151.43 | 72.51 | 113.12 | 64.17 |
| TG              | 136 | 53.45 | 147.8 | 125.09 | 151.43 | 72.51 | 113.12 | 64.17 |
| HDL             | 34.7037 | 11.31 | 41.8 | 9.89 | 30.79 | 8.63 | 42.79 | 11.56 |
| HDL             | 93.1111 | 34.06 | 106.5 | 37.50 | 92.21 | 40.37 | 94.97 | 27.04 |
| LDH             | 524.35 | 25.45 | 385.4 | 42.94 | 558.86 | 297.53 | 114.59 | 28.55 |
| Na              | 132.47 | 5.03 | 137.2 | 5.357 | 124.4 | 0.71 | 142.94 | 4.62 |
| K               | 4.63 | 0.90 | 4.844 | 0.959 | 5.37 | 0.85 | 4.38 | 0.32 |
| Cl              | 97.34 | 5.33 | 102 | 6.964 | 95 | 2.83 | 108.08 | 3.59 |
| Ferritin        | 959.29 | 758.05 | 161.05 | 646.91 | 721.00 | 546.82 | 116.78 | 53.3 |
| PCT             | 3.47 | 4.47 | 1.55 | 0.75 | 12.67 | 30.65 | 0.02 | 0.00 |
| IL-6            | 78.13 | 95.71 | 82.53 | 40.81 | 238.52 | 995.54 | 0.51875 | 1.03 |
the groups with median levels of mild, moderate and severe 56.7 µg/dL, 50.5 µg/dL and 42.89 µg/dL, respectively, i.e. being 7%, 16% and 30% lower when compared to healthy controls. A similar trend was also observed in the serum Cu levels. Serum Cu concentrations in patients with mild, moderate and severe COVID-19 were 2%, 5% and 15%, respectively, lower than those in healthy subjects. No significant difference or trend in serum Mg levels was found between the cases and controls (Table 3).

Further the cases were divided based on the outcome, 42% of the total cases had a fatal outcome, of which 16% were from mild cases, 33% were from moderate cases and 49% were from severe cases. On comparing the trace elements between the deceased and the discharged cases, a significant difference was between the serum copper and zinc levels, but for magnesium, both the groups have similar levels. Similarly, on comparing these individuals with the controls, we found that although not significant both deceased and discharged individuals were having higher levels of serum magnesium. Similarly, for serum copper and zinc, lower levels were found in the deceased, but the difference was significant only in the serum zinc levels. We also found a significant difference in the levels of zinc ($p < 0.0001$) when compared between the controls, discharged and deceased individuals. No significant change was found in the levels of serum magnesium ($p = 0.15$), serum copper ($p = 0.82$) and Cu/Zn ratio ($p = 0.15$).

Further, on comparing the baseline and follow-up samples of discharged patients, the serum levels of Cu, Zn and Mg have been increased approximately 2 to 5% each in follow-up samples, but the difference was not found to be significant. A similar non-significant increase in the levels of serum Cu, Zn and Mg was found in the different grades of follow-up samples when compared to the baseline samples (Table 4). Since serum Cu and Zn levels were known to have a significant bidirectional change, we have evaluated the Cu/Zn ratio. On comparing the ratios, a significant difference was found between the cases and controls. Similarly, we have found that these values were found to be decreasing gradually in association with COVID-19 severity.

Correlation analysis was performed to determine the relationship between serum metal levels (baseline) and markers of the disease severity. A significant positive correlation was found between serum Mg levels and ferritin levels. Although not significant, a weak negative correlation was found with IL-6 levels. A similar significant negative correlation was found between the serum copper and zinc levels with IL-6 levels. A non-significant weak negative correlation was

### Table 2: Comparison of metals between COVID-19 cases and controls on baseline sample

|            | Magnesium | Copper | Zinc  |
|------------|-----------|--------|-------|
| **Control** |           |        |       |
| N          | 50        | 50     | 50    |
| Median     | 2.24      | 150.66 | 60.50 |
| 25th percentile | 2.13  | 118.85 | 48.22 |
| 75th percentile | 2.36  | 140.96 | 74.59 |
| **COVID-19** |           |        |       |
| N          | 150       | 150    | 150   |
| Median     | 2.26      | 144.31 | 56.61 |
| 25th percentile | 1.97  | 125.58 | 45.06 |
| 75th percentile | 2.41  | 165.1  | 73.08 |
| $p$ value  | 0.7545    | **0.0050** | <0.0001 |

Statistical test: Mann–Whitney test
All bold values signifies $p<0.05$

### Table 3: Comparison of metals between different grades of COVID-19 cases and controls on the baseline sample

|            | Magnesium | Copper | Zinc  |
|------------|-----------|--------|-------|
| **Control** |           |        |       |
| N          | 50        | 50     | 50    |
| Median     | 2.24      | 150.66 | 60.50 |
| 25th percentile | 2.13  | 118.85 | 48.22 |
| 75th percentile | 2.36  | 140.96 | 74.59 |
| **Mild COVID-19** |       |        |       |
| N          | 50        | 50     | 50    |
| Median     | 2.26      | 145.41 | 56.705|
| 25th percentile | 1.93  | 133.1  | 48.84 |
| 75th percentile | 2.39  | 164.41 | 72.95 |
| **Moderate COVID-19** | | | |
| N          | 50        | 50     | 50    |
| Median     | 2.28      | 135.755| 50.595| |
| 25th percentile | 2.17  | 125.66 | 39.08 |
| 75th percentile | 2.42  | 158.38 | 69.12 |
| **Severe COVID-19** | | | |
| N          | 50        | 50     | 50    |
| Median     | 2.23      | 148.22 | 42.89 |
| 25th percentile | 1.91  | 121.66 | 39.65 |
| 75th percentile | 2.53  | 167.29 | 47.53 |
| $p$ value  | 0.3727    | **0.0308** | **0.0001** |

Statistical test: Kruskal–Wallis
All bold values signifies $p<0.05$

### Table 4: Comparison of metals in COVID-19 cases between baseline and follow-up sample of discharged patients

|            | Magnesium | Copper | Zinc  |
|------------|-----------|--------|-------|
| **Baseline** |           |        |       |
| N          | 87        | 87     | 87    |
| Median     | 2.26      | 144.31 | 56.61 |
| 25th percentile | 1.97  | 125.58 | 45.06 |
| 75th percentile | 2.41  | 165.1  | 73.08 |
| **Follow-up** |           |        |       |
| N          | 150       | 150    | 150   |
| Median     | 2.26      | 148.28 | 61.33 |
| 25th percentile | 1.97  | 124.61 | 48.21 |
| 75th percentile | 2.47  | 165.70 | 74.42 |
| $p$ value  | 0.2211    | 0.4837 | 0.2566 |

Statistical test: Wilcoxon signed-rank test
found between the serum Zn levels and ferritin, hsCRP and procalcitonin levels (Table 5).

Finally, receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analyses were conducted to estimate the value of Cu, Zn and Mg status as biomarkers for knowing the status of survival in COVID-19 (Fig. 1a and 1b). Serum Cu, Zn, Mg as well as Cu/Zn concentrations were tested alone and in combination by a stepwise AIC method using regression analysis. The area under ROC curve (AUC) for serum zinc, copper and copper/zinc ratio was 0.75, 0.63 and 0.64, and 95% confidence interval (95% CI) was 0.68–0.81, 0.56–0.70 and 0.56–0.71, respectively. Pairwise comparison of ROC curves was presented in Table 6. On combining the age and the copper/zinc ratio, the AUC curve was increased to 95.1%. The results indicate that a serum Cu/Zn ratio along with the age of patient provides some reliable information on COVID-19 course and survival odds by yielding an AUC of 95.1%. Cutoff point based on

| Variable            | AUC   | SE   | 95% CI         | P value |
|---------------------|-------|------|----------------|---------|
| Zinc                | 0.751 | 0.0364 | 0.681 to 0.813 | -       |
| Magnesium           | 0.515 | 0.0428 | 0.439 to 0.591 | -       |
| Copper              | 0.637 | 0.0462 | 0.561 to 0.707 | -       |
| Cu/Zn ratio         | 0.640 | 0.0427 | 0.565 to 0.710 | -       |
| Pairwose Variable   |       |       |                |         |
| Difference between areas |   |   | 95% CI |         |
| Zinc and Magnesium  | 0.236 | 0.063 | 0.113 to 0.360 | 0.0002  |
| Zinc and Copper     | 0.115 | 0.0567 | 0.0036 to 0.226 | 0.0435 |
| Zinc and Cu/Zn      | 0.112 | 0.0309 | 0.0510 to 0.172 | 0.03    |
| Magnesium and Copper| 0.122 | 0.0667 | -0.00921 to 0.252 | 0.0685 |
| Magnesium and Cu/Zn | 0.125 | 0.0656 | -0.00408 to 0.253 | 0.0577 |
| Copper and Cu/Zn    | 0.00301 | 0.0777 | -0.149 to 0.155 | 0.9692 |

All bold values signifies p<0.05
Younen’s J index is depicted by a sensitivity of 93.8% and a specificity of 89.8%, with \( p < 0.0001 \).

**Discussion**

An optimum level of the essential trace elements is necessary for the well-functioning and maintenance of the immune system during any infections. So, in this present study, we tried to evaluate serum trace elements and their association with the severity in the COVID-19 patients. The results indicate that these metal levels are altered in a severity-dependent manner, showing that trace elements place a major role in COVID-19 pathology.

Many recent reviews have commented that trace elements, vitamins and heavy metals play a major role in the pathophysiology of COVID-19 due to their pivotal role in homeostasis of the immune and other major systems of the body [22–25]. In vitro studies showed that SARS-CoV-2 viral spike protein interacts with ACE2 receptor, which is a zinc-dependent peptidyl dipeptidase. So, any imbalance of Zn adversely affects immune functions [26, 27]. Previous studies also reported that a decrease in zinc levels supports the ACE2 to SARS-CoV-2 spike protein and an increase will inhibit the ACE2 expression resulting in reduced viral interaction [28]. Further, the zinc deficiency also results in lymphoid atrophy and decreased T cell immunity. So, any imbalance of Zn also adversely affects immune functions [26]. In this study, serum zinc levels were found to be significantly decreased in the severe group when compared to mild, moderate and controls. Similar results were reported by Jothimani et al., where patients with lower zinc levels were associated with severe complications and longer hospital stays [29]. Many other studies including the pregnant population also reported decreased zinc levels in COVID-19-infected people [30, 31]. To confirm the role of zinc in the disease progression, zinc supplements were also started besides the routine treatment in some studies; the groups which were not given any supplements were met with higher mortality compared to the population taking zinc supplements [32]. On the contrary, another similar study reported that treatment with zinc has no role in the COVID-19 outcome [16].

In contrast to zinc, we found that the serum copper levels were increased with the severity but were lower than those in the controls. Similar results were also reported in the Nigerian population by Muhammad et al. [33]. Our results were also in line with those of Zeng et al., who reported higher copper levels in both urine and blood of severe patients [34, 35]. These findings are also in agreement with the serum Cu levels in COVID-19-infected pregnant women [30]. But a recent study proposed the use of copper as an adjunct therapy in a severely ill COVID-19 patient due to its role in antiviral defence mechanism, but they also emphasized that attention should be paid to copper toxicity [36, 37]. However, in our study, the difference in serum copper levels in relation to severely ill patients is very small when compared to mild and moderate groups. Contrary to our results, a study in Germany did not find any relative change in serum copper levels during the hospital stay [38]. The recent study also found a weak and non-significant positive correlation with both PCT and hsCRP which is in agreement with the results of the study done by Fooladi et al. [37]. The Cu/Zn ratio was also found to be more in controls when compared to that in the cases which is in line with the previous studies. The Cu/Zn ratio was considered as the potential marker of inflammation in an elevated Cu/Zn ratio was found to be associated with higher risk and mortality in infectious diseases shown to be associated with a higher risk of infectious diseases leading to hospitalization [39].

Magnesium is the most abundant intracellular cation after potassium, which plays a major role in most of the enzymatic reactions in our body. Magnesium deficiency promotes inflammation by activating the endothelial cells and thereby increasing the pro-inflammatory cytokines [40]. In this study, we did not find any significant change in the levels of serum magnesium between controls and cases as well as in between the different severity groups of cases. On the contrary, studies have mentioned that serum magnesium levels increase in severe patients when compared to non-severe patients [41, 42].

Based on ROC curve analysis, this study suggests that age along with the copper to zinc ratio combined can help in estimating the survival odds in critically ill patients when compared with the serum zinc and copper levels alone. Similar results were reported by Yasui et al., where zinc and LDH values combined model can predict the critically ill patients of COVID-19 [43]. Similarly, Heller et al. also reported that age along with the zinc and selenoprotein values can predict the survival of the COVID-19 patients [44].

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, based on our results, severely ill patients showed decreased zinc levels and increased copper levels when compared to mild and moderate ill patients. But the overall levels were decreased when compared to the controls. In evaluating the relationship between the serum zinc, copper levels and age of the patients with severity of patients of COVID-19, it was suggested that the ROC curve with an AUC of 95.1% by only two factors, Cu/Zn ratio and age, could help in knowing the survival odds in severe cases. Therefore, we would like to emphasize that measuring the serum copper and zinc levels along with their ratio can be
used as routine investigations for COVID-19 patients in the proper identification and management of severe cases in the upcoming new waves of COVID-19.
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