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Abstract
Surface morphology evolution mechanisms of InGaN/GaN multiple quantum wells (MQWs) during GaN barrier growth with different hydrogen (H₂) percentages have been systematically studied. Ga surface-diffusion rate, stress relaxation, and H₂ etching effect are found to be the main affecting factors of the surface evolution. As the percentage of H₂ increases from 0 to 6.25%, Ga surface-diffusion rate and the etch effect are gradually enhanced, which is beneficial to obtaining a smooth surface with low pits density. As the H₂ proportion further increases, stress relaxation and H₂ overetching effect begin to be the dominant factors, which degrade surface quality. Furthermore, the effects of surface evolution on the interface and optical properties of InGaN/GaN MQWs are also profoundly discussed. The comprehensive study on the surface evolution mechanisms herein provides both technical and theoretical support for the fabrication of high-quality InGaN/GaN heterostructures.
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Background
InGaN/GaN-based high-brightness light-emitting diodes (LEDs) and laser diodes, as the representative devices of III-nitrides, have attracted much attention owing to their important role in digital signage, high-density optical storage, and general illumination [1–10]. Generally speaking, fabrication of blue or green LEDs requires relatively high indium composition of InGaN layer [11, 12]. Although the reduction of growth temperature and the increase of growth rate of the quantum well (QW) can alleviate indium atom desorption to obtain high indium content, these methods also deteriorate the optical performance of InGaN/GaN multiple quantum wells (MQWs) by worsening interface abruptness and introducing more defects [13, 14]. Moreover, these defects usually act as nonradiative recombination centers, thus weakening the internal quantum efficiency of the device [15–19]. Therefore, achieving required indium content while maintaining high material quality is still a big challenge.

In order to settle the problems mentioned above, various growth techniques have been employed in striving for smooth morphology and sharp interfaces within the InGaN/GaN stack. Quantum barriers (QBs) grown at elevated temperature [20, 21] and growth interruption after QWs [12, 22] are widely used to improve the morphology of InGaN/GaN heterostructures. However, they all have their own limitations. For instance, barriers grown at high temperature may lead to severe In loss [14, 23]. Although growth interruption can improve morphology as well as reduce inclusions, it is at the expense of the optical quality of the QWs [21]. Recently, it is reported that introducing a small amount of hydrogen during the growth of GaN barriers can improve both optical and interface properties [24–28]. However, the effect mechanism of H₂ on surface evolution of InGaN/GaN MQWs has not been fully understood yet.

In this paper, the effects of H₂ proportion, defined as H₂ flow divided by total carrier gas flow, during GaN barrier deposition, on surface morphology evolution are systematically investigated. Ga surface-diffusion rate,
stress relaxation, and H₂ etching effect are suggested to be the three main factors, affecting surface evolution. The dominant factors and their influences on the surface evolution are comprehensively discussed, which provides a technical guideline to obtain high-quality InGaN/GaN heterostructures.

**Methods**

The InGaN/GaN MQW structures were grown on c-plane sapphire substrate by Aixtron TS300 metal organic chemical vapor deposition system. Trimethylgallium (TMG), triethylgallium (TEG), trimethylindium (TMI), and ammonia (NH₃) were used as precursors. Silane (SiH₄) was used as the n-type dopant source. The structure was composed of 3.2-μm-thick undoped GaN layer and nominally six-period 2.4-nm-thick InGaN QWs separated by 11-nm-thick lightly Si-doped (n-doping = 3×10¹⁷ cm⁻³) GaN barriers. A 1.0-nm-thick low temperature GaN cap layer (LT-GaN) was deposited immediately after the growth of QW layer. InGaN wells and GaN barriers were grown at 730 and 850 °C, respectively. A conventional InGaN/GaN MQWs sample, labeled as S₁, was grown in nitrogen atmosphere. Four other samples, denoted as S₂, S₃, S₄, and S₅, were grown with different proportion of H₂ flow to total carrier gas (N₂ + H₂) during barriers deposition, with the other growth parameters the same with S₁. The percentage of H₂ was 2.5% (S₂), 6.25% (S₃), 10% (S₄), and 50% (S₅), respectively.

The structures of InGaN/GaN MQWs were characterized by PANalytical Empyrean high resolution x-ray diffraction (HRXRD) system. Surface morphology was obtained by atomic force microscopy (AFM) (SPA-300HV) using tapping model. Room temperature (RT) photoluminescence (PL) properties of the samples were studied by 226-nm Nd-YAG laser with an excitation power density of 1.36 W/cm².

**Results and Discussion**

The HRXRD ω-2θ scanning results of S₁–S₅ are illustrated in Fig. 1a. The strongest peak located at the center belongs to the underlying GaN template, and the satellite peaks correspond to the periodicity of the MQWs. It is found that the full-width at half-maximum (FWHM) of the strongest peaks in all samples is almost the same, indicating the similar crystal quality of GaN buffer layers for all samples. The presence of clearly distinguished “+ 4th” diffraction peak in samples S₂–S₄ manifest the improvement of crystal quality under low H₂ percentage. The appearance of the “+ 5th” diffraction peak (represented by the rectangle in Fig. 1a) and the minimum FWHM value of InGaN “−1st” diffraction peak indicate the best interface quality in sample S₃. The structure parameters determined by fitting the measured XRD curves are summarized in Table 1. The period thicknesses of the five samples are almost the same, and the values keep around 14.4 nm. The indium contents of the InGaN wells for samples S₁ to S₄ keep around 11.8%, while the value drops to 9.9% for S₅. A large amount of H₂ may etch the GaN LT-cap layer and then react with indium atoms in QWs, which result in the reduction of average indium content [29]. The roughness of the interface can be calculated by fitting FWHM of the XRD satellite peak by the following equation [26, 30]:

\[
\Delta \omega_n = \Delta \omega_0 + \left[ \ln(2) \right]^{1/2} \frac{\Delta \theta_M}{D} \frac{\gamma}{n}
\]

where \(\Delta \omega_n\) represents the FWHM of the n-th satellite peak, \(\Delta \omega_0\) is the intrinsic width of satellite peaks, \(\Delta \theta_M\) is the angle spacing between the adjacent satellite peaks, D is the period thickness of the InGaN/GaN MQW and \(\gamma\) is the interface roughness. Figure 1b shows the linear relationship between FWHMs and satellite peak orders. The slope of the fitting line is related to the QW/QB interface roughness. The fitting results show that

![Fig. 1a The HRXRD ω-2θ scanning results of S₁–S₅, b The FWHM as a function of the satellite peak order and its linear fitting for the five samples](image-url)
interface roughness is gradually reduced as the H₂ percentage increases, and the optimum value is achieved at 6.25% of H₂ (S₃), as shown in Table 1. With further raising in the percentage to 50% (S₅), the interface roughness is increased dramatically. Hence, the ratio of H₂ during barrier growth has great impact on interface quality. A small percentage (0–6.25%) of H₂ is favorable to obtaining sharp interface, while a large amount of H₂ (50%) seriously roughens the interface.

The AFM images of sample S₁–S₅ are shown in Fig. 2a–e. The dark points are mainly V-pits [14, 31], which initiate at the threading dislocations (TDs) [21, 27]. The root mean square (RMS) surface roughness under different H₂ percentage is illustrated in Fig. 3. The reference sample S₁ grown with H₂-free condition possesses the coarsest surface with an RMS roughness of 1.028 nm. The RMS value decreases with the increase of H₂ percentage, and achieves the minimum value (0.705 nm) at 6.25% of H₂, as shown in Fig. 3. As the H₂ percentage raises to 10%, the surface gets slightly rougher. With further increase in H₂ percentage to 50%, many large holes are formed, as pointed out by red arrows in Fig. 2, and surface RMS roughness dramatically increases to 0.924 nm.

### Table 1: Structure parameters of InGaN/GaN MQWs determined by HRXRD fitting

| Sample | H₂ percentage (%) | In content (%) | FWHM of InGaN “1st” diffraction peak (arcsec) | Slope of liner fitting |
|--------|-------------------|---------------|---------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| S₁     | 0                 | 11.84         | 169.66                                      | −11.27                 |
| S₂     | 2.50              | 12.04         | 165.43                                      | −10.79                 |
| S₃     | 6.25              | 11.67         | 163.77                                      | −10.49                 |
| S₄     | 10                | 11.60         | 167.19                                      | −11.68                 |
| S₅     | 50                | 9.90          | 170.13                                      | −33.92                 |

![Fig. 2](image) The AFM images (10 × 10 μm) of five samples: a S₁, b S₂, c S₃, d S₄, and e S₅.
Figure 4 shows the statistical calculated diagram of pits size distributions for the five samples. It can be seen that as 2.5% H₂ is introduced, the smallest pits (<60 nm) start to emerge, and the largest pits (>160 nm) disappear. As H₂ percentage increases to 6.25% (S₃), the proportion of pits at size 80–100 nm is significantly raised, and that of large pits (>140 nm) is dramatically reduced to the minimum value. With further increase in the H₂ percentage to 10%, the largest pits begin to emerge again. When 50% H₂ is introduced, the ratio of large pits is dramatically increased. Hence, the pits size can be reduced by introducing a small amount of H₂, and the optimum value is acquired at 6.25% percentage. However, the pits size shows an increase trend as H₂ percentage further rises.

It is obvious that the evolution trend of RMS surface roughness is highly consistent with that of pits size, which may relate to the growth mode affected by the formed pits. Once the pits are formed, indium atoms will first nucleate at the point where the TDs intersect the InGaN/GaN interface [32–35], then island growth starts and finally island growth mode transfers to 2-Dimensional growth. In other words, the presence of V-pits will delay the 2-dimensional growth, then roughen the surface. The larger the size is, the more obvious the delay can be.

In order to elucidate the surface evolution mechanism under different H₂ percentages, the variation trend of V-pits size is discussed in detail. As H₂ percentage increases from 0 to 6.25%, the decrease in V-pits size possibly comes from the following two parts. First, the formed Ga-H complex may enhance the incorporation efficiency of Ga atoms on \{1011\}/C8/C9 plane [35]. It is reported that the adsorption energy of the Ga-H complex is about 1.2 eV smaller than that of single Ga adatoms [28]. Hence, the attachment of hydrogen to Ga adatom could significantly decrease the adsorption energy of Ga adatom and increase its diffusion ability.

Fig. 3 The variation trend of pits density and RMS surface roughness under different H₂ percentage in carrier gas during the growth of barriers.

Fig. 4 The distribution of pit size for the five samples: a S₁, b S₂, c S₃, d S₄, and e S₅.
weaken the bond to the surface, which benefits the surface diffusion of Ga atoms \[28, 36\]. Another important reason is the gradually enhanced etching effect with the increase of \( \text{H}_2 \) percentage. Shiojiri et al. reported that indium atoms can be easily trapped and segregated around the core of TDs, which plays a role of a small mask that hinders Ga atoms migration [37]. Hence, introducing \( \text{H}_2 \) during the growth can effectively eliminate indium-rich clusters at InGaN/GaN interface, and contribute to surface migration of Ga atoms [37–39]. In addition, hydrogen can etch some unstable areas, such as dislocation sites and V-pits [40–43]. It is reported that dislocation sites are unstable due to the high strain energy and weak binding energy, and these sites can be easily dissociated during the etching process [41–43]. Moreover, the V-pit commonly consists of six symmetric N-terminated \{101\} facets [44, 45], which is much weaker during the etching process as compared with Ga-terminated facets [42, 43]. Therefore, when \( \text{H}_2 \) arrives at the surface, it is difficult to etch most of the GaN on the surface due to the high stability of Ga-face. Thus, \( \text{H}_2 \) etching occurs mainly at dislocation sites and V-pits [42, 43], causing the decomposition of GaN. Due to the low growth temperature of GaN barrier, the decomposition effect of GaN is weak when hydrogen percentage is low [26]. Hence, the enhanced Ga atoms incorporation plays a dominate role in surface evolution, which is beneficial in reducing the size and density of pits and in turn enhances the 2-Dimensional growth and suppresses the formation of new pits, and finally conductive to smooth surface. The correlation between pits density and \( \text{H}_2 \) percentage is presented in Fig. 3. It is shown that the highest pit density \( (1.69 \times 10^8 \text{ cm}^{-2}) \) exists in the \( \text{H}_2 \)-free sample. While a small amount of \( \text{H}_2 \) is added in the carrier gas, pits density is gradually reduced and reaches the lowest value \( (0.92 \times 10^8 \text{ cm}^{-2}) \) in sample S3. With further increase in \( \text{H}_2 \) proportion to 50%, pits density is significantly increased to \( 1.28 \times 10^8 \text{ cm}^{-2} \). These results indicate that adding a little \( \text{H}_2 \) in the growth of barrier layer can suppress the formation of new pits. However, the suppression of new pits formation could lead to strain accumulation inside the layer, and the strain may relax via formation of new dislocations and other defects such as big pits in S4 and S5 [21], which will deteriorate the quality of the surface, as well as the InGaN/GaN interface.

It is worth to mention that the large holes (>200 nm) as marked with red arrows do not appear in samples S1 and S2, and they only start to appear as \( \text{H}_2 \) percentage becomes larger than 2.5%. The hole size in S5 is much larger than that in samples S3 and S4, which may relate to the following two possible mechanisms about hydrogen over-etching mechanisms. One is the hydrogen over-etching on dislocation sites and V-pits. As aforementioned, the enhanced diffusion of Ga atoms plays a dominant role when hydrogen percentage is low. However, this leading role shifts to the enhanced GaN decomposition around dislocation sites and V-pits when large amounts of hydrogen are applied. The hydrogen can diffuse along the dislocation line and then etch the surrounded unstable sites both vertically and longitudinally, which could decrease the average indium contents in MQW's region, degrade well/barrier interface quality and also form large holes on the surface. Another possible mechanism is about hydrogen over-etching on LT-GaN cap layer. As \( \text{H}_2 \) proportion lower than 2.5%, the \( \text{H}_2 \) etch effect on LT-GaN cap layer is negligible. As the \( \text{H}_2 \) percentage increases to 10%, the \( \text{H}_2 \) etch effect on LT-GaN cap is illustrated in Fig. 5a. \( \text{H}_2 \) only etches a part of the cap layer, which has little influence on the QW layer, as evidenced by almost unchanged indium contents, and positive influence on the surface morphology, as confirmed by low pits density and small size of the holes. However, under large \( \text{H}_2 \) percentage, the LT-GaN cap layer may be partly etched away and QW layer be directly exposed to \( \text{H}_2 \), as presented in Fig. 5b. Under this case, \( \text{H}_2 \) will react with indium atoms in QW layer, leading to significant indium loss, large size, and high-density holes, and consequently dramatically deteriorates InGaN/GaN interface and surface qualities. Hence, surface morphology evolution is an integrated effect of surface diffusion rate, strain relaxation and \( \text{H}_2 \) etch effect. For sample S2 and S3 with \( \text{H}_2 \) percentage lower than 6.25%, gradually enhanced surface diffusion rate and \( \text{H}_2 \) etch effect play a dominant role, which contributes to smoother surface and lower pits density. With further

\[
\text{GaN} + \frac{3}{2} \text{H}_2 \rightarrow \text{Ga} + \text{NH}_3
\]

\[
\text{GaN} + \frac{3}{2} \text{H}_2 \rightarrow \text{Ga} + \text{NH}_3
\]

**Fig. 5a** The etch effect on LT-GaN cap layer with \( \text{H}_2 \) percentage lower than 10%. **b** the \( \text{H}_2 \) over etch effect on LT-GaN capping layer under large \( \text{H}_2 \) percentage.
increase in the percentage to 10% \((S_4)\), surface properties become slightly worse as a result of stress relaxation. The surface morphology of sample \(S_5\) grown in 50% \(H_2\) is mainly controlled by \(H_2\) over-etching effect and strain relaxation in InGaN QWs, which leads to many large holes and the worst surface.

Figure 6a shows the measured room temperature PL spectra of the five samples. It can be seen that the PL intensity shows an increase trend and peak energy exhibits blue-shift as \(H_2\) percentage increases. Compared with that of sample \(S_1\) without \(H_2\), the integrated PL intensity of samples \(S_2\)–\(S_5\) is increased by 7.0, 15.8, 19.3, and 31.6%, respectively. For samples \(S_2\)–\(S_4\), slightly blue-shifted peak energy and reduced FWHM are observed, as shown in Fig. 6b. As aforementioned, the structure parameters of sample \(S_2\)–\(S_4\) are quite similar. Hence, the slightly changed spectral characteristics along with enhanced PL intensity are mainly caused by the enhanced surface and interface quality, and the partial relaxation of stress in QWs alleviating quantum confined stark effect (QCSE) \([21, 46]\). In contrast, the significantly reduced FWHM, blue-shifted peak energy and enhanced PL intensity of sample \(S_5\) may result from strain relaxation and the lowest indium content caused by \(H_2\) over-etching effect, both of which can greatly alleviate QCSE effect in MQWs \([46–49]\). In addition, \(H_2\) can eliminate impurities such as carbon and oxygen in active region, which would benefit the improvement of the PL intensity \([50, 51]\).

**Conclusions**

In summary, the effect of \(H_2\) percentage during the barriers growth on InGaN/GaN MQWs properties has been systematically studied. As a small percentage of \(H_2\) \((\leq 6.25\%)\) is introduced, the combined effect of enhanced \(H_2\) etch effect and surface diffusion contribute to the improvement of surface, interface and optical properties. In spite of the strongest PL intensity achieved by introducing large percentage \(H_2\) (50%), the integrated effect of \(H_2\) over-etching and stress relaxation degrades surface and interface quality of the InGaN/GaN MQWs. Hence, the use of \(H_2\) with appropriate proportion during the barriers growth can achieve smooth surface with low pits density and enhanced optical performance. The profound discussions of surface evolution mechanism here clearly depict the physical pictures of surface evolution process under different growth conditions, which is helpful for the fabrication of high-quality GaN-based devices.
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