Dynamics of single- and multicontact external cavity semiconductor lasers under intracavity frequency sweeping: effects of material and laser parameters
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Abstract. We present numerical analysis of the dynamic behavior of a single- and multicontact Fabry–Perot semiconductor laser frequency-swept by means of an ultrafast intracavity filter. The interrelated roles of the tuning speed, the filter bandwidth, the cavity length, and the self-phase modulation in laser dynamics are analyzed. Regular and irregular mode hopping, as well as fundamental and second harmonic sliding frequency mode locking and chaotic laser operation are identified as important dynamic regimes. The relation between the tuning dynamics asymmetry and the self-phase modulation in the laser is studied. The operation of a multicontact laser with an intracavity saturable absorber in a combined sliding-frequency and passive mode locking regime is shown; the dynamic asymmetry in the laser behavior is shown to be reduced but not eliminated by the presence of the absorber.

1 Introduction and background

Fast tunable lasers can find a number of practical applications, mainly in information acquisition systems. Those can involve tunable laser spectroscopy, or swept source Optical Coherence Tomography (OCT) (see [1] for an extensive and up-to-date overview of the field). OCT involves using a laser whose frequency is swept rapidly across a wide wavelength range to measure the spectrum of interferences between tissue reflections and a reference reflector, which in turn is used to create a subsurface image of the tissue. The requirements to the laser source are as follows: (a) wide tuning range (\sim 100 nm) for a high (<15 \mu m) depth resolution of imaging; (b) fast tuning (100 kHz) for fast data acquisition (combined with the wide tuning range, this determines the speed of frequency sweeping of up to \sim 1 GHz ns\(^{-1}\)); and, finally, (c) a narrow dynamic linewidth (<13 GHz) for a large imaging depth capability (although too narrow a linewidth is inadvisable too, in order to avoid artefacts). Thus, swept-source OCT typically uses external cavity laser diodes frequency-swept by means of a tunable intracavity filter. Ring lasers have been used initially [2]; however, the later development of a highly frequency selective, compact reflecting filter has allowed a simpler, more compact Fabry–Perot construction to be used [3,4]. Since such a construction is relatively short (1–10 cm in length), the laser is frequency-swept through its intermodal frequency interval in only a few round-trips and thus operates in a perpetually transient regime. Depending on the combination of the filter bandwidth, the cavity intermodal interval and the sweeping speed, either near-single-mode hopping or quasi-continuous envelope tuning are possible. The tuning is strongly asymmetric, with the red tuning (dynamic decrease of the operating frequency, or increase of wavelength) being more smooth and producing higher power output than the opposite case of blue tuning [2,3]. The output power decreases with tuning speed, and beyond a certain tuning speed, the laser does not switch on at all.

We have used numerical travelling-wave modeling to relate the peculiarities of this dynamics to the laser parameters and investigate the roles of linewidth enhancement factor, tuning speed, and filter bandwidth [5,6]. Sliding frequency mode locking (SFML) was identified as a regime under red tuning (movements towards longer wavelength) being more smooth and producing higher power output than the opposite case of blue tuning [2,3]. The output power decreases with tuning speed, and beyond a certain tuning speed, the laser does not switch on at all. The focus in [7] was mainly on identifying the trade-off between the filter bandwidth, on the one hand, and the dynamic operation linewidth and maximum tuning speed, on the other hand, while in [8,9], the pumping and tuning ranges for SFML were investigated and the coherence properties of the source studied with good agreement with experiment. The analysis here is extending that of [5,6] to present a more systematic view of the dynamics of fast intracavity tuned Fabry–Perot
lasers depending on the spectral width of the filter reflectivity peak and the tuning speed, and in particular to discuss in more detail, in the spirit of this Special Issue, the role of the material (and laser design) parameters in the laser dynamic regime. Namely, we discuss the role of the self-phase modulation (quantified by the linewidth enhancement factor), and incorporate the analysis of multi-contact laser structure with an intracavity saturable absorber, in particular to analyze its potential in reducing the tuning asymmetry.

2 The model and the single contact structure studied

We use the travelling-wave laser model LasTiDom (see [10–12] for the model description), applied previously to a number of laser designs including mode-locked lasers and self-seeded gain-switched external cavity laser diodes. The construction used is schematically shown in Figure 1 and consists of an active semiconductor chip with the outer facet reflecting and the inner facet (facing a passive cavity) with zero reflectance, a passive resonator, and a tunable reflector simulating the filter.

The gain chip is assumed to be a MQW semiconductor laser amplifier; the parameters (see Tab. 1) are largely taken from the literature.

The new feature in the model, specific to the fast tunable construction, is the account for the tunable frequency filter. Following [5,6], we chose a Lorentzian approximation for the filter reflectance curve (compared in Fig. 2 to a typical measured filter reflectance; note that in dynamic simulations, the peak reflectance was set to a smaller value, to account for possible coupling losses).

This is implemented as an operator \( \hat{P} \) which has the same functional form as the gain dispersion operator in the model, and in frequency domain takes the form

\[
\hat{E}_r = \hat{P} \hat{E}_i = \frac{\rho_{\text{max}}}{1 + j \frac{\Delta \nu - \Delta \nu_f(t)}{\delta \nu_f}} \hat{E}_i
\]

where \( E_r \) and \( E_i \) are the slow amplitudes of the reflected and incident waves (the hat symbol denotes operating in frequency rather than time domain), \( \rho \) is the amplitude reflectance of the filter (\( \rho_{\text{max}} \) being the peak value), \( \Delta \nu \) is the optical frequency detuning from the reference value \( \nu_{\text{ref}} \), \( \Delta \nu_f \) is the time-dependent spectral position of the filter reflectance peak (with respect to the same reference value), and \( \delta \nu_f \) is the filter bandwidth (full width at half maximum). Such an approximation, while quite accurate (Fig. 2), can be straightforwardly implemented in time domain using a simple infinite impulse response digital filter [12]:

\[
E_r = \hat{P} E_f \approx \rho_{\text{max}} \delta \Omega_f \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_f(t-\tau) \exp[-j2\pi \Delta \nu_f(t-\tau)] d\tau
\]

Here, \( \delta \Omega_f = 2\pi \delta \nu_f \) is the filter bandwidth in terms of angular frequency. The formula (2) allows numerical implementation via a simple recursive procedure, thus easily and efficiently keeping the modeling fully in time domain as opposed to a more complex approach alternating time and frequency domain as in [2].

The threshold condition, used to normalize the pumping in the subsequent sections, was determined assuming the filter was exactly resonant with one of the external cavity modes:

\[
g_{\text{th}} = \frac{1}{\Gamma} \left( \frac{1}{2L_{\text{SOA}}} \ln \frac{1}{R_{\text{facet}} \rho_{\text{max}}^2 + a_{\text{int}}} \right)
\]

with \( R_{\text{facet}} \) the reflectance of the facet opposite to the external cavity (Fig. 1), \( \Gamma \) the confinement factor, and \( a_{\text{int}} \) the internal (dissipative) loss. The main parameters of the simulation, unless otherwise specified, are summarized in Table 1.

3 Results and discussion

Following earlier work [5,6], we note that there are two main cases of possible laser dynamics. The first of them is realized in the short, or narrowband filter, construction, which is defined as the construction where the intermodal interval \( \Delta \nu_{1M} \) is of the same order as the filter bandwidth. In an external cavity design, \( \Delta \nu_{1M} \approx 2(L_{\text{ext}} + n_g L_{\text{SOA}})/c \), \( L_{\text{ext}} \) and \( L_{\text{SOA}} \) being the external cavity and semiconductor optical amplifier (SOA) chip lengths (Fig. 1), and \( n_g \) the group velocity refractive index in the SOA chip. With parameter values as shown in Table 1, \( \Delta \nu_{1M} = 2.7 \) GHz, and \( \delta \nu_f = 2 \) GHz in our simulations for the narrowband filter case. The second case is the long resonator, or broadband filter, construction, with \( \delta \nu_f > \Delta \nu_{1M} \).
Table 1. Parameters used and their values unless otherwise specified.

| Meaning                                      | Value       | Units |
|----------------------------------------------|-------------|-------|
| External cavity length                      | 5.25        | cm    |
| Semiconductor optical amplifier (SOA) chip length | 500         | µm    |
| Confinement factor                          | 0.02        |       |
| Facet mirror reflectivity                   | 0.32        |       |
| Peak filter reflectivity                    | 0.5         |       |
| Internal (dissipative) loss                 | 0.03        | m⁻¹   |
| Group velocity refractive index             | 3.5         |       |
| Transparency carrier density (SOA)          | 1.7 × 10²⁴  | m⁻³   |
| Gain cross-section at transparency          | 9 × 10⁻²⁰   | m²    |
| Gain compression coefficient                | 1 × 10⁻²³   | m³    |
| Bimolecular recombination factor            | 1.5 × 10⁻¹⁶ | m³/s  |
| Auger recombination coefficient            | 1 × 10⁻⁴¹   | m⁶/s  |
| Current relative to threshold               | 2.2         |       |

The behavior of the construction with a short resonator has been described in our conference papers [5,6] and is briefly summarized here for reference purposes. In steady state, the laser remains single-frequency. Under tuning, the filter reflectance peak position \( \Delta \nu_f \) is swept, starting at a certain time \( t_{\text{start}} \), at a constant rate \( d\nu_f/dt \) so that \( \Delta \nu_f = (d\nu_f/dt) (t - t_{\text{start}}) \). Then, the output settles, after an initial transient, to a quasi-periodic process (Figs. 3a and 3b) identified as hopping between the longitudinal modes of the external cavity (Fig. 3c), with the mode nearest to the filter reflectance peak dominating in the spectrum during each of the inter-hop intervals. Each hop is accompanied by an irregular relaxation transient a few nanoseconds in duration (typical for any intensity transient in a semiconductor laser), while the duration of the inter-hop interval is roughly the time it takes the filter peak to move through one intermodal interval \( \Delta \nu_{IM} \). The hop time is then estimated as

\[
\Delta T_{\text{hop}} = \Delta \nu_{IM} (d\nu_f/dt)^{-1}.
\]

Due to the permanently transient nature of the laser dynamics, the laser emission never becomes genuinely single-frequency, but the slower the tuning and the longer the inter-hop interval, the closer it becomes to true single-frequency emission. In common with the ring lasers [2] the power dynamics is asymmetric, with the power at blue tuning being lower than at red tuning. The nature of this asymmetry is associated [2] with the asymmetric mode interaction due to the nonzero linewidth enhancement factor \( \alpha_H \) in the laser (the Bogatov effect [13]).

The same asymmetry is also seen in of the output power time averaged over the entire tuning period, is shown in Figure 4.

Figure 4 also illustrates the fact that at high tuning speed, when the filter sweeps past each resonator mode too fast for the modal power to build up, the laser fails to switch on at all so the output is zero. The tuning speed corresponding to this switch-off, too, is asymmetric: faster red tuning is possible than blue tuning.

The dynamics in the long resonator/broadband filter case is considerably richer than in the narrowband filter one.

The construction we concentrate on has \( \Delta \nu_{IM} = 2.7 \text{ GHz} \), \( \delta \nu_f = 20 \text{ GHz} \), so the filter bandwidth is greater than the intermodal interval, but only by about an order of magnitude. Thus, in the steady state, with the filter reflectance peak set to coincide with one of the cavity modes (which we take as the reference frequency of the model), the laser is predicted to operate in a CW single-mode regime, just as in the short-resonator case. The dynamics for relatively slow tuning speeds is also similar.
Fig. 4. Power output averaged over the entire simulation time (1 µs) as function of tuning speed; parameter values as in Figure 3.

Fig. 5. As Figure 3, with a broad filter width (20 GHz). Note the different time scale to Figure 3. R and B refer to red and blue tuning respectively.

Fig. 6. Long-scale dynamics of output intensity for different values of (constant) slow to medium red tuning speed.

to that of the short-filter design (Fig. 5; notice the difference in time scales from Fig. 3); however there is an interesting distinction. The behavior under both red and blue tuning is in the form of mode hops, not over one mode but over several, with the hop time an integer multiple of $\Delta T_{\text{hop}}$ given by (3).

Under red tuning, an increase in the tuning speed (Figs. 5 and 6a) makes the lengths of the tuning hops pronouncedly more irregular – a precursor of even more irregular dynamics. Indeed with increase in the tuning speed to even higher values the transients at the start of mode hops overlap, resulting in an irregular pronouncedly multimode regime (Fig. 6b). At an even higher, constant tuning speed, the laser power output settles down (after considerable transient variations at the start of tuning process) at a steady, nearly periodic (periodic, if gain dispersion can be neglected) (Fig. 6c); at further increase in
operating speed, the long-range output behavior remains qualitatively the same.

However, a further increase in the red tuning speed does change the dynamics on the short (commensurate with the round trip) time scale. Inspection of the output shown in Figure 6c on this shorter time scale reveals pulse-like structure with a repetition period of half of the round-trip time of laser cavity; in other words, with two pulses for each repetition period (Fig. 7a), and a weak envelope modulation which disappears with increase in the tuning speed leaving a stable trail of pulses. This can be identified as harmonic sliding frequency mode locking. With further increase in the tuning speed, a period doubling bifurcation is seen: first, the amplitudes of the two pulses in the repetition period become different (Fig. 7b), and eventually a single pulse per period is observed, corresponding to fundamental harmonic sliding frequency mode locking (Fig. 7c).

Similar transition from the second harmonic to fundamental repetition frequency in quasiperiodic output was also observed in ring lasers [2]; however in the monolithic Fabry–Perot construction, which supports much fewer modes, the pulses are more pronounced and regular.

This bifurcation sequence seen in reverse (first harmonic of the round-trip frequency, second harmonic, irregular behavior) is somewhat similar to that observed in laser regimes such as passive ML with a saturable absorber with increase in the unsaturated gain. There is indeed a considerable degree of similarity between sliding frequency mode locking (SFML) and ML of other types. Consider for instance an eye diagram of the output (Fig. 8a, calculated using 100 pulses at the start of the periodic tuning process) which shows a pronouncedly open eye corresponding to almost periodic intensity variation characteristic of ML, as well as the asymmetric pulse shape typical of high intensity passive mode locking in semiconductor lasers. The output pulses can then be characterized in the usual way of describing ML output. In particular, by taking a Fourier-transform of an individual pulse, we can calculate the spectrum (which nearly keeps its shape and width from pulse to pulse, with only the central frequency changing with the tuning, see Fig. 8b) and thus find the
time-bandwidth product $\Delta \nu \Delta \tau$. As expected, for $\alpha_H = 2$, we found the pulses chirped, though only slightly (e.g., for the tuning speed of $-3$ GHz/ns we find $\Delta \nu \Delta \tau \approx 0.45$, as compared to the limit value $\Delta \nu \Delta \tau \approx 0.39$ observed in the simulations with the same conditions and $\alpha_H = 0$).

The SFML regime does not rely on the presence of any saturable absorption or similar nonlinearity, and as such is reminiscent of the self-ML seen under some conditions in monolithic DBR lasers [14]. SFML is different however in that it relies by its very nature on the tuning process: in the absence of tuning, the laser operates single-frequency. Thus, although the output intensity or power shows an approximately periodic behavior as described above and illustrated by Figure 8a, the instantaneous frequency of laser emission is not constant, but changes slightly from one round-trip to another, following the frequency sweeping of the filter. This is illustrated in Figure 8b (note that the shape of the single-pulse spectrum is maintained during tuning, only the central frequency changes) and also in Figure 9 which shows the instantaneous frequency registered at the peak of each pulse as function of the pulse maximum position (the position of the peak filter reflectance at the time is shown as a dashed line and virtually coincides with the peak pulse frequency).

The periodicity of the SFML process is by necessity only approximate because of the gradual change in pulse parameters due to the varying detuning between the operating point and the gain peak. For the same reason, the tuning process, and hence the SFML dynamics, cannot last indefinitely because at some point in time, the laser will be tuned out of its gain bandwidth. At higher tuning speeds, this point is indeed reached during the simulation span (1 $\mu$s in our case) and manifests itself, first, as gradual decrease in pulse power with time and later as switch-off as the laser is detuned to a certain frequency offset $\Delta \nu$. This offset point corresponds in the first approximation to the detuning-dependent threshold current raising above the operating current $I$: $I_{th}(\Delta \nu) \approx I$.

More precisely, the modal interaction also plays a part, and $\Delta \nu$ depends to some extent on the tuning speed and direction. This is illustrated in Figure 10.

The bifurcation diagram of regimes of the laser under fast red tuning (plotted for the relatively short simulation times, of the order of 100 ns, to reduce the effects of the gradual decay shown in Fig. 10) is shown in Figure 11. The regions of stable first- and second harmonic SFML resemble the “Arnold tongues” typical for a number of dynamic problems (and also reported in [8,9]). There are a number of similarities with passive ML using a saturable absorber, namely the second harmonic is observed at higher currents than the first harmonic (as in passive ML), and the cross-section of the diagram for a given tuning speed, with increasing current (Fig. 12a) is also not dissimilar to that of passive ML. Specifically, we see an almost linear increase in the pulse amplitude in the (quasi)stable first and second harmonic region and islands of instability near the point of harmonic switching (seen also in a cross-section for a constant current with the tuning speed varied, Fig. 12b). However no parameter of “traditional” passive ML can take the place of the tuning speed to give a diagram of regimes completely qualitatively similar to that of Figure 11.

---

**Fig. 9.** Instantaneous frequency at the peak of self-mode-locking pulse as function of the tuning time (dots) and the corresponding position of the filter reflectance peak (dashed line, almost entirely within the dot area). The range used to plot the eye diagram in Figure 8 is shown with an arrow.

**Fig. 10.** Detuning dependence of instantaneous (darker lines) and time-averaged (pale lines) output power for blue and red tuning with the absolute tuning speed of 4 GHz/ns.

**Fig. 11.** Diagram of regimes in the laser under red tuning.
With the structure and pumping parameters used in our simulations, no harmonics above second were observed (increasing the current beyond the limits of the figure resulted in unstable behavior rather than stable operation in a higher harmonic).

Note that the results shown in Figures 11 and 12 represent red tuning only. Under fast blue tuning, the long-term power evolution due to the movement of the laser line through the gain spectrum is also observed (see Fig. 10); however, the short-term dynamics is, in general, different from the case of red tuning. With no self-phase modulation, the tuning speed dependence of pulse behavior is symmetric, as in the case of a narrowband filter, and the pulsed structure in the short term dynamics is correspondingly observed for blue as well as red tuning. However as the linewidth enhancement factor is increased from zero, the approximately-periodic SFML pulse spectrum acquires an irregular envelope (Fig. 13a).

With higher still $\alpha_H$, the dynamics becomes progressively irregular with a single pulse stream quickly giving way to multiple competing ones (Fig. 13b) and then apparently chaotic dynamics – note the irregular behavior of blue tuning compared to red tuning in Figure 10. As in all earlier studies [5–9], the SFML regime was never observed for blue tuning with nonzero $\alpha_H$ – the output instead remained irregular under all conditions studied; the corresponding bifurcation point thus appears to be exactly at $\alpha_H = 0$.

The tuning speed dependence of the output power for the case of the broadband filter is shown in Figure 14. The asymmetry and the average power decrease visible in Figure 5 are seen in this case too; however, higher tuning speed values are possible, approximately scaling with the filter bandwidth.

A number of dynamic features of the Fabry–Perot lasers with a broadband filter (pulsed output under red tuning, period doubling with the increase in the tuning speed, irregular blue tuning, output asymmetry caused by self-phase modulation) are qualitatively similar to the behavior of long-cavity ring lasers studied in [2]. The main difference is the degree of regularity in the pulsed output: while the optical pulses reported in [2] are fairly irregular and have a relatively small space to mark ratio (the “pulse” duration is a substantial fraction of the repetition period), the output of the shorter Fabry–Perot laser is of a much more regular self-mode locking type, producing short pulses (more than an order of magnitude smaller than the repetition period/round trip time) and we believe would have been completely periodic were it not for the gain bandwidth limitation. The likely explanation is that in the relatively short, very fast tuned Fabry–Perot resonators, each longitudinal mode is only present in the laser output for a few round-trip times. During such short time, output instabilities have no time to develop and the regime remains stable. We note also that in the more conventional semiconductor laser constructions with a saturable absorber, stable mode locking is also difficult to achieve at repetition rates comparable to, or below, the carrier lifetime in the gain section [16]. We note indeed that in simulations with laser cavity lengths 2–5 times the value reported above, we found that for the same parameters studied here, the stable long pulse structures of Figure 7b (and reminiscent to those seen in [2]) are simulated for a fairly broad range of tuning speeds; however the short pulse, self-ML type dynamics of the type of Figure 7c is not seen for any operating parameters.

The understanding of the laser dynamics and its limitations, as well as the similarity of the process to the more traditional mode locking in semiconductor lasers, suggests some ways of reducing the asymmetry of the laser output. In [2], using quantum confined structures such as quantum dots (QDs) and dashes (QDhs), which were then believed to offer low linewidth enhancement factors, was proposed as a means for reducing or suppressing the self-phase-modulation caused asymmetry. Such a device has indeed been demonstrated [15], with a dynamic linewidth...
suitable for OCT but no studies of laser tuning symmetry or otherwise at high sweeping rates were reported. One notes that the low $\alpha_H$ is only seen in QD materials in a very narrow range of parameters, usually near threshold; in general, self-phase modulation in QD and QDh lasers, including mode locked ones, can be very significant (see e.g. [16] for an overview).

An alternative route might involve using a traditional mode locking structure with a material that can be bulk, QW or QD, and including a saturable absorber (SA) incorporated in it (Fig. 15). It is known that the self-phase modulation in gain and absorber section to some extent cancel each other [17], and it can be argued that if the mode interaction is caused largely by the mode beating in the saturable absorber (which is widely believed to have a lower $\alpha_H$ than the gain section) this would reduce the asymmetry.

In the simulation, we assumed the SA to occupy a fifth of the semiconductor chip, with the parameters, again, within the range quoted in the literature (Tab. 2). We found that the dynamics of a laser of this type are different from those of a single-contact device. The qualitative difference between red and blue tuning is absent; instead, the dynamics are qualitatively similar in all cases (Fig. 16).

Short-pulse mode locking was observed, unsurprisingly, under both blue and red tuning, as well as in the case of no tuning present. Due to the large cavity length, we did not observe any self-pulsating instability; however, harmonic ML was observed (Figs. 16 and 17); indeed in Figure 16, the operating frequency corresponds to the second harmonic of the cavity round-trip even at the relatively modest current of 2.2 times threshold. Unlike the single-contact laser case, harmonics higher than second have also been observed in the simulations, which can also be the case in long cavity passively mode locked lasers [16,18].

Due to the presence of self-phase modulation, the diagram of regimes is not symmetric, with transition to higher harmonics happening at lower currents for blue tuning than for red tuning (Figs. 17 and 18). Interestingly, slow tuning seems to destabilize mode locking at many currents whereas fast tuning may restabilize it. At fastest tuning, variation in pulse parameters are partly due to tuning the laser out of the gain bandwidth.

Calculating the average power, we find that, while the asymmetry between red and blue tuning is not removed,
Fig. 19. Dependence of the average output power (normalized to the case of no tuning) on the tuning speed. Solid line: averaging over the entire 0.8 µs simulation time thus taking into account spectral detuning effects. Dashed curve: averaging over the first 200 ns of tuning.

The figure shows that there is also no initial sharp drop in the output power with the start of tuning, the dependence of the average power on tuning speed is noticeably more gentle than in a single-contact laser. The asymmetry in the tuning curve is still noticeable, if also somewhat gentler than in the case of a single-contact laser; in fact, red tuning in this case can cause an increase in power compared to the case of no tuning for the initial tuning period, before detuning from the gain spectrum. It may be possible to use this fact by positioning the operating spectrum at the slope of the gain spectrum of the laser.

The optical frequency range of tuning in a two-contact laser shows very little improvement over a single-contact one (Fig. 20, cf. Fig. 10). We note also that in a realistic laser design, the saturable absorber cross-section will be wavelength dependent which limits the spectral range in which the mode-locking behavior will be observed and is very likely to affect the tuning properties. It is therefore fair to say that a structure with a saturable absorber offers some modest improvement in the tuning asymmetry and also a more smooth tuning curve, but at the expense of the tuning range.

Finally we note that all the designs considered here had a laser chip positioned near one of the ends of the cavity. Since the original submission of this paper, Johnson et al. [19] have published a demonstration of blue tuning with a power similar to that of red tuning, with a moderately broadband filter and the amplifier chip (without an absorber) positioned near the middle of the cavity rather than at the side, with two pulses of significantly different amplitude passing through the chip per round-trip which plays an important part in the tuning mechanism. Elements of SFML were observed under blue tuning, but no stable SFML unlike the case of red tuning. Systematic analysis of dynamic regimes in a cavity of that type, similar to that reported here, may be an interesting topic for future research.

4 Summary

We have used a time domain travelling wave model to simulate the dynamics of a relatively short (a few cm) extended Fabry–Perot cavity laser diode under fast intracavity frequency sweeping. The different dynamics in the case of narrowband and broadband filter has been identified, with near-periodic sliding-frequency self-mode-locking regime observed within a range of parameters at fast red tuning of a laser with a broadband filter. The qualitative asymmetry between the blue and red tuning was found to exist for any nonzero value of the linewidth enhancement factor. The qualitative asymmetry is removed in a construction with a broadband filter and an intracavity saturable absorber; however some quantitative asymmetry remains.
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