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Abstract

The photocatalytic properties of titanium dioxide have been widely studied over recent decades since the discovery of water photolysis by TiO$_2$ electrodes in 1972. Titanium dioxide has three main crystal polymorphs; anatase, rutile and brookite and rutile is the most common as the metastable polymorph. Each polymorph has different band gap positions. Anatase’s band gap is 3.2 eV, higher than rutile’s which is 3.0 eV. This difference in the band gap will determine their optimum UV wavelength range to promote a photocatalytic process. There are different methods to assess the photocatalytic activity of a material. The most commonly used method is the degradation of a dye in aqueous solution under UV light, due to its simplicity. Under these conditions the decomposition rate of a suitable organic dye is used as a measure of activity. Physical properties such as particle size and surface area will determine the effective area that will interact and absorb the dye prior to degradation. The physical mechanisms involved in such aqueous based methods differ from gas phase reactions. More advanced techniques use mass spectrometers to evaluate photocatalytic activity of titanium dioxide in the gas phase. An effective photocatalyst for heterogeneous reactions in the gas phase is one which is efficient at creating radicals as a result of an absorbed photon.
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1. Introduction

The increase in the worldwide population demands resources and a constant energy supply, leading to an increment of pollutants, as reported by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change released in March 2014 [1]. The report indicated actions must be taken immediately for
the mitigation of climate change. Anthropogenic greenhouse gases such as carbon dioxide, sulphur and nitrous oxides contribute significantly to global warming. Governments and international organizations such as The European Union and the United States of America set maximum levels for emissions of NO$_2$ and SO$_2$ amongst others [2].

Since 1972, when Fujishima and Honda discovered the photocatalytic properties of titanium dioxide (TiO$_2$), the research has been driven by the potential applications of photocatalysis for pollution remediation. Under UV radiation, TiO$_2$ can create free radicals on its surface by promoting electrons to the conduction band. The available hole which is very reactive and the electron can react with adsorbed water or oxygen to create free radicals and singlet oxygen. The process is illustrated by equations 1–6 [3–6]:

$$\text{TiO}_2 \xrightarrow{hv} e^- + h^+ \quad (1)$$

$$h^+ + H_2O \rightarrow \cdot OH + H^+ \quad (2)$$

$$e^- + H^+ \rightarrow \cdot H \quad (3)$$

$$H^+ + O_2^- \rightarrow HO_2^- \quad (4)$$

$$e^- + O_2 \rightarrow O_2^- \quad (5)$$

$$h^+ + O_2^- \rightarrow ^1O_2 \quad (6)$$

One of the most promising applications is the development of novel coatings for both indoor and outdoor urban areas [7–10]. Available commercial photocatalytic coatings cover a range of products, from ceramic tiles with a photocatalytic coating, photocatalytic paints and pigments, antifogging windows to cementitious materials with TiO$_2$ in its formulation. The application of TiO$_2$ usually relies on its hydrophilic properties, an excellent advantage for self-cleaning surfaces. To accomplish this, a reliable analytical technique is required to assess the photoactivity of TiO$_2$ against gaseous pollutants. The photocatalytic activity of various materials is routinely studied for powders whilst in the form of an aqueous suspension. Under these conditions the decomposition rate of a suitable organic dye, such as methylene blue, is used as a measure of activity. This simple method was subsequently standardized in ISO (International Organization for Standardization) 10678. A problem associated with the use of dyes is related to their molecular structure which is not equivalent to typical pollutants. This is the reason that it is difficult to correlate results obtained from methylene blue and an air
pollutant. However, the physical mechanisms involved in such aqueous based methods can be significantly different compared to those of gas-phase reactions, thereby making comparison of relative performance problematic. There are currently a further three published ISO methods related to air purification, each one being specific to a single pollutant:

i. Nitric oxide (NO) ISO 22197-1
ii. Acetaldehyde (CH\textsubscript{3}CHO) ISO 22197-2
iii. Toluene (CH\textsubscript{3}C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{5}) ISO 22197-3

The aim of this chapter is to assess the photocatalytic activity of commercially available materials by two different techniques (in the aqueous phase as well as the gas phase). Pure TiO\textsubscript{2} and photocatalytic coatings specifically developed for use on construction materials were fully characterised and analysed by using X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) and X-ray diffraction (XRD), UV-Visible diffusive spectroscopy, Raman spectroscopy, scanning electron microscope (SEM), field emission SEM (FE-SEM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM).

2. Materials and methods

Four commercially available TiO\textsubscript{2} powders were studied. Anatase was supplied by three different companies:

i. Anatase in the form of Kronos vlp 7000 manufactured by Kronos Titan GmbH [referred to as 7000]
ii. Anatase in the form of CristalACTiV™ PC500 manufactured by Crystal Global (85 wt % TiO\textsubscript{2}, 100% anatase) [referred to as PC500]
iii. Anatase in the form of Aeroxide® P25 manufactured by EVONIK Degussa Industries [referred to as P25]
iv. Rutile in the form of TiPure® R-960 supplied by DuPont (TiO\textsubscript{2} > 89 wt %; Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} ~3.3 wt % and amorphous SiO\textsubscript{2} ~5.5 wt %) [referred to as rutile]

2.1. Characterization of TiO\textsubscript{2} powders

Raman Spectroscopy, XPS and XRD were used to characterise the phase composition on the powders. The evaluation of the crystalline phases of the samples were analysed using a Renishaw inVia2012 Raman microscope equipped with diode excitation sources of wavelengths 532 nm and 785 nm.

The XPS equipment used was a Thermo Scientific Theta Probe with a micro-focussed monochromatic Al K\textsubscript{a} (1486.6 eV) X-ray source (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA.), with an operating voltage of 12 kV and 3 mA of current. The default spot size was 400 × 800 μm using a flood gun, with a 180° double focussing hemispherical analyser with two-dimension-
al PARXPS detector in an operating vacuum of $10^{-8}$ mbar. The software CASAXPS 2.3.16 RP 1.6 (Casa Software Ltd., Teignmouth, Devon, UK) was used for data analysis and peak fitting. The adventitious hydrocarbon C 1s peak at 284.8 eV was used to correct for the shift in binding energy attributed to surface charging of the specimen. The XRD equipment used was a Bruker D8 ADVANCE X-ray diffractometer with CuK$_\alpha$ radiation (at 40 kV and 40 mA emission current) equipped with a graphite monochromator and a NaI scintillation detector. 20 scans were recorded within the range of $20^\circ$ to $60^\circ$ with a step of $0.016^\circ$ and a step time of 269 s.

The bandgap of the photocatalysts was calculated from their reflectance. A PerkinElmer 750 S UV/Vis Spectrometer with a 60 mm Integrating Sphere in a wavelength range between 240 nm and 800 nm was used to measure the reflectance of the TiO$_2$ powders. The reflectance data was converted into the absorption energy using the Kubelka-Munk equation alongside the Tauc’s plot, allowed conversion of the reflectance into absorption energy which corresponds to the band gap [11–13].

The surface morphology and particle size of nanostructured of TiO$_2$ powders was characterised using FESEM and TEM as together they cover a suitable range of magnifications. A JEOL FESEM6301F equipped with a motorised stage, allowing low accelerating voltages from 1kV to 10kV and TEM (JEOL JEM 1200 EXII with a tungsten filament equipped with a motorised stage, and a Gatan Dual View camera) with working accelerating voltages of 120 kV.

### 2.2. Evaluation of photocatalytic performance

The photocatalytic activity of the commercial powders was tested by following the degradation of a dye in the aqueous phase and the degradation of NO$_2$ and CO$_2$ in the gas phase. Specimens were irradiated under two different UV sources comprising 4×4 arrays of 16 individual GaN UV-LED’s. LEDs of wavelength 376–387 nm provided a maximum intensity at 380 nm with a total intensity at the specimen surface of 4.7 W/m$^2$.

#### 2.2.1. Photocatalytic degradation of methylene blue

Three tests were undertaken for each TiO$_2$ powder; one in the dark, to evaluate the amount of dye which was absorbed by the powders; and two tests under UV light. Photocatalytic activity of TiO$_2$ in solution was studied by following the decrease in the solution’s absorption using a Jenway 6300 UV-Visible spectrophotometer. The organic dye methylene blue was used as an indicator.

#### 2.2.2. Photocatalytic degradation of gaseous pollutants

To assess the photocatalytic activity of TiO$_2$ powders in the gas phase, a mass spectrometer was employed due to its ability to monitor a range of species simultaneously. The system has been reported previously in detail [14–16], including the ionic species and corresponding masses commonly formed in the gas phase [15]. A schematic diagram of the system is shown in Figure 1.
Figure 1. Diagram of the mass analyser. Gas cylinders are connected via flowmeters to the reactive chamber. One output is connected to the detector [15].

TiO$_2$ powders were compressed into 13 mm diameter pellets using a uniaxial press at 500 MPa. The experiments were carried out at 25°C and atmospheric pressure for 150 min inside the chamber. The LED’s intensity was 30 W/m$^2$. Air was mixed with 203 ppm of NO$_2$ diluted in N$_2$ to provide enough O$_2$ and H$_2$O for TiO$_2$ to initiate photocatalytic reactions, leading an initial concentration of 190 ppm of NO$_2$.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Electron microscopy

Calculated particle sizes, specific surface area, bandgap and crystallite size are given in Table 3.

| Binding energy (eV) / Relative concentration (at. %) | 7000 | PC500 | P25 | Rutile TiPure |
|---------------------------------------------------|------|-------|-----|---------------|
| C 1s C-N                                            | 286.7/3.7 | 286.4/5.4 | 287.1/5.6 | –             |
| CO$_2$                                            | 288.7/1.8 | 289.6/4.5 | –   | 288.9/5.2     |
| O 1s TiO$_2$                                       | 529.6/57.1 | 529.5/37 | 529.1/59.9 | 529.8/71.3    |
| CO$_2$                                            | 531.0/11.5 | 531.4/18.9 | 531.3/7.8 | –             |
| SiO$_2$                                            | –    | 533.2/1.7 | –   | 533.2/9.1     |
| Ca 2p (CaCO$_3$) Ca$_{2p_{1/2}}$                    | –    | 347.4/2.6 | –   | –             |
| Si 2p (SiO$_2$) Si 2p                               | –    | 103.2/2.2 | –   | 102.8/12.3    |
| Ti 2p (TiO$_2$) Ti 2p$_{1/2}$                      | 458.4/17.4 | 458.5/17.6 | 458.4/17.9 | 458.1/1.3    |
| Ti 2p (TiO$_2$) Ti 2p$_{3/2}$                      | 464.1/8.5 | 464.2/8.8 | 464.1/8.8 | 463.8/0.7    |

Table 1. Element ratios and chemical state derived from XPS analysis.
| Lattice plane | 7000 (Å) | PC500 (Å) | P25 (Å) | Rutile (Å) |
|--------------|----------|-----------|--------|-----------|
| Anatase      |          |           |        |           |
| (101)        | 3502     | 3496      | 3518   | –         |
| (004)        | 2375     | 2384      | 2377   | –         |
| (112)        | –        | –         | 2486   | –         |
| (200)        | 1896     | 1889      | 1891   | –         |
| (105)        | 1684     | 1675      | 1699   | –         |
| (211)        | –        | –         | 2,33113| –         |
| (118)        | 1485     | 1483      | 1480   | –         |
| (116)        | –        | –         | 1668   | –         |
| (110)        | –        | –         | 3245   | 3200      |
| (101)        | –        | –         | –      | 2460      |
| (200)        | –        | –         | –      | 2276      |
| (111)        | –        | –         | –      | 2166      |
| (210)        | –        | –         | –      | 2037      |
| (211)        | –        | –         | 1687   | 1677      |
| (220)        | –        | –         | –      | 1614      |
| (002)        | –        | –         | –      | 1472      |
| (310)        | –        | –         | –      | 1445      |
| (301)        | –        | –         | –      | 1354      |

Table 2. d spacing Calculated from the X-ray diffraction data of rutile and anatase powders.

| Particle size (nm)* | Average particle size (nm) | Crystallite size(nm) | BET (m²/g)* | BET (m²/g) | Band gap (eV) |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|-------------|------------|----------------|
| 7000                | 15                          | <10                  | 10.1        | >250       | 225            | 3.37           |
| PC500               | 5–10                        | 20.8                 | 9.5         | 350        | 312            | 3.35           |
| P25                 | 21                          | 29.8                 | 22.6        | 35–65      | 13             | 3.25           |
| Rutile              | 500                         | 402                  | 50          | -          | 33             | 3.00           |

*From producer’s datasheet.

Table 3. Particle size, BET and optical band gap for TiO₂ powders.

| Absorbed dye (%) | Degraded dye (%) under λ=376–387 nm | Degraded dye (%) under λ=381–392 nm |
|------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 7000             | 18.2                                 | 94.7                                | 39.9                               |
| PC500            | 14.8                                 | 98.8                                | 95.23                              |
| P25              | 18.2                                 | 99.8                                | 92.8                               |
| Rutile           | 16.3                                 | 26.3                                | 16.6                               |

Table 4. Percentage of absorbed and degraded dye after 60 min under two different UV LED and in the dark.
3.1.1. 7000

Anatase 7000 presented a distribution of micro and nanoparticles as shown in Figure 2. TEM revealed an agglomeration of nanoparticles (>10 nm), being unable to determine an average particle size.

![Figure 2](http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/62894)

**Figure 2.** FESEM (left) and TEM (right) images of anatase 7000.

3.1.2. PC500

FESEM revealed a large number of particles of ~ 600 nm, whereas TEM showed particles in the range of 20 nm (Figure 3).

![Figure 3](http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/62894)

**Figure 3.** FESEM (left) and TEM (right) images of anatase PC500.

3.1.3. P25

Anatase P25 showed the most homogeneous particle size distribution in FESEM as well as in TEM, held in the range of 30 nm (Figure 4).
3.1.4. Rutile

Rutile TiPure shows a narrow particle size distribution with 400 μm of average particle size. This average particle size was corroborated with sizes estimated from TEM images, as Figure 5 shows.

3.2. Raman spectroscopy

Raman spectroscopy was conducted on the samples before exposure to reactive gases over the range 0–1000 cm⁻¹ where the main vibrational modes can be observed. Figure 6 shows compiled Raman spectra form the four TiO₂ powders.
Figure 6. Raman spectra from 0 to 1000 cm$^{-1}$ of four different TiO$_2$ powders.

There are four lattice displacements for rutile which are active in Raman, $B_{lg}$ (145 cm$^{-1}$) and $E_g$ (445 cm$^{-1}$, most intense), where O$^{2-}$ anions move relative to the stationary Ti$^{4+}$; $A_{lg}$ (610 cm$^{-1}$) which is an asymmetric bending vibration of O-Ti-O, and a multi-phonon process (240 cm$^{-1}$) [17–20].

For anatase, there are six lattice displacements which are active in Raman, $A_{lg}$ (513 cm$^{-1}$), $B_{lg}$ (399 and 519 cm$^{-1}$) and $E_g$ (144, 197 and 639 cm$^{-1}$) caused by Ti-O bond stretching and bending of the O-Ti-O bond [21, 22]. The peak at 197 cm$^{-1}$ assigned to the $E_g$ mode is very weak and is not listed in Figure 6 due to its low intensity (0.05%). The peak $B_{lg}$ at 519 cm$^{-1}$ was reported at 73 K and it is not visible at room temperature.
3.3. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy

Figure 7 shows a typical survey spectra of TiO$_2$ (P25) and Table 1 shows the binding energies and elemental ratios for carbon, oxygen, calcium, silicon and titanium, calculated from higher resolution spectra in specific regions for the commercial powders.

As Table 1 shows, KRONOS vlp 7000 and Aeroxide® P25 are the purest TiO$_2$ powders, whereas PC500 and Rutile TiPure® contain CaCO$_3$ and SiO$_2$ impurities.

Binding energies for TiO$_2$ related to Ti 2p peaks varied between 458.1 and 458.5 eV in accordance with previous studies [23, 24], and for O 1s the observed peaks are within the range of 528.8–529.8 eV. These results agreed with studies carried by Dementjev [23] and Erdem [24], where the Ti 2p binding energies ranged from 458.0 to 459.4 eV and for O 1s ranged from 529.4 to 530.6 eV.

Peaks corresponding to C 1s were assigned to adventitious carbon with a binding energy of 284.8 eV and were used to calibrate the spectrum for charging and a second peak corresponding to CO$_3^{2-}$ in 7000, PC500 and rutile TiO$_2$ powders. The binding energy of this peak in the different powders ranged between 288.7 and 289.6 eV which is in agreement with studies by Kang et al. [25] and Demri and Muster [26] who report CaCO$_3$ binding energies of 288.6 and 289.2 eV. The binding energy for the Ca 2p peak identified at 347.4 eV was in agreement with previous studies by Stipp [27] who reported a CaCO$_3$ binding energy of 347.7 eV. For SiO$_2$, the reported values for the O 1s peak at 533.2 eV and ~103 eV is also in agreement with previous studies [28].
3.4. X-ray diffraction

XRD was undertaken to characterise the crystal phase of the powders. Figure 8 compares the normalised diffractograms for anatase (PC500, 7000 and P25) and rutile crystals. Previous studies were used to identify and label peaks from different crystal phases [20, 21, 29–32] and Table 2 compares the lattice d spacing for those peaks.

![X-ray diffractogram of rutile and anatase powders.](image)

In the case of anatase, the P25 diffractogram is sharper than the PC500 and 7000, revealing more peaks that correspond to anatase. It also shows 12% rutile (by comparing the maximum intensity of anatase crystallographic plane (101) with the maximum of rutile’s (110)). For PC500 and 7000, fewer peaks are observed compared to the P25. This is attributed to internal strains within the crystals and lattice defects broadening the peaks causing subsequent overlap.

From Scherrer’s equation, the crystallite size was calculated from the most intense peaks for each powder. The values are presented in Table 3 which compiles other results.
3.5. UV–vis diffusive spectroscopy

UV-Visible reflectance of powders was measured from 250 to 800 nm for all the commercial powders. Figure 9 shows the reflectance of TiO$_2$ particles over a wavelength range on the abscissa. The plot shows that, PC500 has a drop in the reflectance at 405 nm, 7000 at 377 nm, P25 at 381 and rutile at 371 nm.

![Graphical representation of reflectance against wavelength for rutile and anatase powders.](image)

Table 3 compiles the calculated optical band gap, BET surface area, average crystallite size (from XRD diffractogram using Scherrer’s equation) and average particle size calculated from TEM images. It also contains particle size and BET from the material safety data sheets.

Particle size and BET results agreed with the information in the technical data sheets of anatase nanoparticles, and also with reported values in the literature [13, 33, 34]. As the 7000 consisted of aggregates of nanoparticles, it was not possible to report an average particle size, as
shown in Figure 2. From the TEM images the size of the individual nanoparticles forming the agglomerates was less than 10 nm. Scherrer equation’s estimations are close to the calculated values from TEM and FE-SEM. For the case of rutile, the estimated crystallite size was 50 nm. A possible explanation for the difference of an order of magnitude is that particles are formed from different grains; where the crystallite size is the size of those grains.

The estimated band gaps for anatase powders range from 3.37 eV (for 7000) to 3.25 eV (for P25). Although these results show a small discrepancy in the band gap determination, previous researchers reported different values for P25 (3.10–3.15 eV) [13, 34]; as well as for 7000, carbon doped, which has band gap higher than 3.2 eV [35, 36]. PC500 also exhibits a wider band gap than reported previously. For the PC500 and 7000 band gaps, the optimum wavelength was 370 nm. Rutile’s band gaps agree with the reported values for M. Kete, D. Reyes-Coronado and K. Madhusudan Reddy [33, 37, 38].

3.6. Photocatalytic performance

3.6.1. Photocatalytic degradation of methylene blue

Figure 10 shows the degradation of methylene blue for sixty minutes, and Table 4 collates the dye removed per specimen under irradiation at different wavelengths.

Anatase P25 and PC500 successfully degraded more than 90% of methylene blue under different UV light. In the case of 7000, the difference in its photoreactivity under different wavelengths is remarkable. Whereas P25 band gaps are in the 387 nm wavelength region, PC500 and 700 band gaps are in the 370 nm region. This is the reason why under a wavelength range 381–392 nm, 7000 was not able to degrade more than 40% of dye; whereas
under 376–387 nm, 95% of methylene blue was degraded. Under the irradiation of the UV LED of 376–387 nm, 7000 received more photons with the required energy to initiate the photocatalytic process.

For rutile, if the percentage of absorbed dye is considered, it only worked as a photocatalyst under the UV LED with a wavelength of 381–392 nm, near to its band gap (413 nm) degrading less than 26%.

3.6.2. Photocatalytic degradation of gaseous pollutants

Figures 11–14 show the fractional change of CO₂, NO₂, H₂O and O₂ related to Ar.

![Figure 11. Fractional reduction of CO₂ related to Ar over time under different conditions.](image1)

![Figure 12. Fractional reduction of NO₂ related to Ar over time under different conditions.](image2)
Figure 13. Fractional reduction of \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) related to \( \text{Ar} \) over time under different conditions.

Figure 14. Fractional reduction of \( \text{O}_2 \) related to \( \text{Ar} \) over time under different conditions.

Figure 15. Proposed mechanism of photo-oxidation of \( \text{CO}_2 \).
The high photoreactivity TiO$_2$ powders show degradation of methylene blue in the aqueous phase, however their reactivity in the gas phase was different. Not all the commercial anatase powders were able to degrade NO$_2$ and CO$_2$, only P25 removed those molecules successfully. Rutile, which was not effective in aqueous solution, was able to remove CO$_2$ and NO$_2$ under UV irradiation. Rutile’s band gap is 370 nm, which made it more photoactive under the UV LED of wavelength of 376–387 nm, as its band gap is held.

When evaluating the photocatalytic TiO$_2$ powders, it is noteworthy that their sizes and physical properties differ from each other. Anatase 7000 has the smallest particle size and highest specific surface area whereas rutile exhibits the largest particle size and smallest specific surface area.

The phase (liquid or gas) in which the particles are evaluated has important influences upon the performance observed. In the gas phase, probabilities of direct contact of TiO$_2$ surface with the molecules of interest (H$_2$O and O$_2$ to create radicals) and thereafter the collision of those radicals with NO$_2$ and CO$_2$ is much smaller than in the aqueous phase.

For anatase PC500 and 7000, the high efficiency in the photodegradation of methylene blue is due to the low particle size and high specific surface area, which increase the contact of the exposed surface to H$_2$O and UV light. When analysed in gas phase, they showed a lower photon/e$^-$-h$^+$-conversion yield, and were unable to promote a photocatalytic reaction under UV exposure at both the wavelength ranges tested.

Figures 13 and 14 show the fractional change of H$_2$O and O$_2$ with time, which are required in the photocatalytic process to generate radicals. The figures illustrate that the decay of O$_2$ corresponds to the decomposition of CO$_2$ and H$_2$O, as with NO$_2$.

The reaction of NO$_2$ and H$_2$O promoted by TiO$_2$ under UV light has been previously reported, forming HNO$_3$ as the reaction product [39–42]. Under wavelength 376–387 nm in the gas phase removal of NO$_2$ was observed alongside that of H$_2$O. Whereas when irradiating under 381–392 nm, not only were NO$_2$ and H$_2$O removed from the atmosphere, but also O$_2$ and CO$_2$ were consumed in the same ratio.

This can be explained by anatase’s bandgap reported value 3.2 eV [38, 42], which is held in the range of the UV LED of wavelength 381–392 nm, as Figure 11 shows. This difference in energy would be sufficient to promote the radicalisation of O$_2$ and, subsequently the reaction with CO$_2$.

The proposed mechanisms for CO$_2$ removal suggest that the molecule anchors to the photocatalyst’s surface, reducing CO$_2$ into CO and finally into C, desorbing CO and O [43, 44]. This mechanism would not explain the consumption of O$_2$. Nonetheless, if CO$_2$ anchors as shown in Figure 15 the oxidation of CO$_2$ in the presence of TiO$_2$ surface absorbed O$_2$ could be feasible.

4. Conclusions

The following conclusions can be drawn from the analysis of commercial TiO$_2$ powders:
- Photocatalytic degradation of methylene blue in aqueous solution is influenced by factors such as particle size and surface area which can influence the measured activity relative to the gas phase reaction.

- Mass spectrometry has been demonstrated as a powerful technique for the assessment of photocatalytic properties in the gas phase.

- From the three commercial available anatase powders, Aeroxide P25 proved to be the most efficient photocatalyst, in the aqueous phase as well as gas phase. Rutile, the most stable polymorph also showed photocatalytic activity.

- The removal of NO\textsubscript{2} in the presence of H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} with HNO\textsubscript{3} as the product was observed. The capability of TiO\textsubscript{2} to decompose CO\textsubscript{2} in the presence of O\textsubscript{2} was also noted and a new mechanism proposed.
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