Efficacy and safety of traditional Chinese medicine for intracranial hemorrhage by promoting blood circulation and removing blood stasis: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials
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Background: Although blood-activating Chinese medicine (BACM) has been reported as adjuvant therapy for intracranial hemorrhage (ICH) in China, high-quality evidence is still lacking. Our study aimed to collect the latest high-quality randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and to evaluate the efficacy and safety of BACM for ICH.

Methods: RCTs published between January 2015 and March 2022 were searched in databases, including China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), China Science and Technology Journal Database (VIP), Sino-Med, Wanfang, PubMed, Web of Science, Cochrane Library, and Embase without language restrictions. Eligible RCTs were included and both primary (clinical efficacy evidenced by decreased neurological deficit scores) and secondary outcomes (increased Barthel index, decreased NIHSS, hematoma volume, the volume of cerebral edema, the incidence of side effects, and mortality) were analyzed. The quality of included RCTs was assessed using the Cochrane risk of bias tool. In the meta-analysis, the pooled results were analyzed using Review Manager 5.3 and STATA14.0. Finally, The GRADEpro GDT software (Guideline Development Tool) was used to summarize the results. Sensitivity and subgroup analyses were conducted based on the follow-up time.

Results: Fifteen RCTs, involving 1,579 participants, were included for analysis in our study. The pooled outcomes indicated that BACM combined with western medicine treatment (WMT) was superior to WMT alone for patients with ICH, demonstrated by the improvements in efficacy (RR = 1.22 (95% CI, [1.13 to 1.32], p < 0.001), neurological functions (MDNIHSS = −2.75, 95% CI [−3.74 to −1.76], p < 0.001),...
and activities of daily living (MD\text{Barthel index} = 5.95, 95\% CI [3.92 to 7.98], p < 0.001), as well as decreased cerebral hematoma, cerebral edema (MD cerebral hematoma = -2.94, 95\% CI [-3.50 to -2.37], p < 0.001 and MD\text{cerebral edema} = -2.66, 95\% CI [-2.95 to -2.37], p < 0.001), side effects and mortality (RR = 0.84 (95\% CI [0.60 to 1.19], p = 0.330 and RR = 0.51 (95\% CI, [0.16 to 1.65], p = 0.260). In addition, Conioselinum anthriscoides ‘Chuanxiong’ [Apiaceae], Camellia reticulata Lindl. [Theaceae], and Bupleurum sibiricum var. jeholense (Nakai) C.D.Chu [Apiaceae]) were the most frequently used herbs in the treatment of ICH. Recently, there was a trend toward the extensive use of another two herbs, including Rheum palmatum L. [Polygonaceae], Astragalus mongholicus Bunge [Fabaceae]) for ICH.

Conclusion: BACM combined with WMT seems to be superior to WMT alone for patients with ICH. Further high-quality RCTs are warranted to confirm the efficacy and safety of BACM.
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Introduction

Intracranial hemorrhage (ICH) is one of the most devastating types of stroke with an incidence of 24.6 per 100,000 individuals, imposing a heavy burden on the global health care system (Staykov et al., 2010; Poon et al., 2014). Recent evidence has shown that surgical treatment improves neurologic functions in neither short nor long terms after ICH (Hostettler et al., 2019). In addition, no surgical treatment improves neurologic functions in neither short nor long terms after ICH (Hemphill et al., 2015; Ni et al., 2020).

According to the Traditional Chinese Medicine (TCM) theory, ICH is the result of specific injuries that accumulate in individuals, such as emotional irritability, improper diet, overwork, stagnation of Qi and Blood, endogenous Phlegm, imbalance of Yin and Yang, and other incentives. Therefore, ICH is related to “Wind,” “Extra heat,” “Phlegm,” “Blood stasis,” “Qi” and “Deficiency” (Liu and Guo, 2009; Zhang and Xing, 2019). Compared with other syndromes, “Blood stasis” is one of the most common syndromes in ICH (Yang et al., 2004; Zhang and Zhang, 2021) because “Wind,” “Extra heat,” “Phlegm,” “Qi” and “Deficiency” eventually evolve into “Blood stasis.” Therefore, “Blood stasis” is the leading cause of ICH and occurs throughout the whole process of ICH (Yang and Yan, 2014). In the “Blood Evidence,” Tang Rongchuan proposed that blood that leaves a blood vessel leads to “Blood stasis.” Modern Chinese medicine research suggests that “Blood stasis” obstructs the flow of new blood and impacts the recovery of hemorrhagic stroke patients (Zeng et al., 2022). TCM theory describes blood activation as promoting the body’s “Qi” and blood and restoring the body to a vigorous state. The purpose of activating blood circulation and removing blood stasis is to restore the normal blood flow and to improve the patient’s clinical symptoms. Therefore, use of blood-activating Chinese medicine (BACM) by hemorrhagic stroke patients is reasonable. An expert consensus from TCM also pointed out that BACM is the primary choice for ICH patients (Gao 2016). Effective removal of hematoma is essential for functional recovery in patients with ICH (Li et al., 2022).

Inflammatory response, cytotoxicity, and oxidative stress occur after ICH (Zhu et al., 2019). Research has shown close association of “Blood stasis” with blood viscosity, vascular endothelial permeability, and endothelial relaxation factors (Song, 2000). In TCM, Achyranthes bidentata Blume [Amaranthaceae], Camellia reticulata Lindl. [Theaceae], Conioselinum anthriscoides ‘Chuanxiong’ [Apiaceae], and Salvia miltiorrhiza Bunge [Lamiaceae] are termed blood-activating Chinese herbs (BACH), which are effective in harmonizing the blood and removing blood stasis (Liu et al., 2019). For example, Salvia miltiorrhiza Bunge [Lamiaceae] is widely used, and it has the potential to prevent cerebral edema (Zhang et al., 2003). Conioselinum anthriscoides ‘Chuanxiong’ [Apiaceae] can reduce the release of endothelin and inhibit cytotoxicity (Sun et al., 2008). Camellia reticulata Lindl. [Theaceae] acts as an antioxidant through its potent ability to scavenge free radicals (Pang et al., 2020). Furthermore, emerging evidence has shown that BACM increases concentrations of nerve growth factor and brain-derived neurotrophic factor in the plasma, reduces levels of homocysteine, tumor necrosis factor α, matrix metalloprotein 9, interleukin-6 (IL-6), and hypersensitive-c-reactive-protein in the serum (Wang et al., 2016a; Xi et al., 2018; Lin et al., 2022).

Recently, with the use of classical prescriptions, self-made prescriptions, or Chinese patent medicines, an array of randomized controlled trials (RCTs) on intracranial hemorrhage have been reported, focusing on the essence of activating blood circulation and removing blood stasis.
Therefore, we aimed to analyze RCTs on BACM combined with western medicine treatments (WMT) such as Mannitol Injection, Sodium nitroprusside injection, and Symptomatic treatment prescribed for ICH patients to provide more reliable clinical evidence on BACM in managing ICH. Finally, reporting of this review is in strict accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) 2020 statement (Page et al., 2021).

### Methods

#### Protocol registration

The Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis was registered in PROSPERO (Registration Number: CRD42022326159).

### Information sources

RCTs published between January 2015 and March 2022 were searched in the following databases and registries: PubMed, Web of Science, Cochrane Library, Embase, Chinese Biomedical Literature Service System (SinoMed), China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), Chinese Scientific Journals Database (VIP), WanFang database, ClinicalTrials.gov, and Chinese Clinical Trial Register (ChiCTR).

### Searching strategy

The following keywords were used in different combinations to search literatures published between January 2015 and March 2022: “Intracranial Hemorrhage,” “Cerebral Hemorrhage,”

![Flow chart of the article selection process.](image-url)
| Included study | Country | Male/Female (Case) | Male/Female (Intervention) | Male/Female (Control) | The course of treatment (day) | Time of onset (hours) | Groups Included | Control | Included main outcomes |
|----------------|---------|-------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|----------------|---------|------------------------|
| Zhang kaichuang 2018 | China | 12/8 | 11/9 | 14 | ≤24 h | WMT (Mannitol injection + Sodium nitroprusside injection + Spearhead viper hemocoagulase injection) |
| Chen Qingwei 2021 | China | 30/17 | 34/13 | 28 | <24 h | WMT (Fasudil hydrochloride injection + Hemagglutinin injection + Mannitol injection + Desmedetomidine hydrochloride injection + Naloxone hydrochloride injection) |
| Gao Jiying 2020 | China | 29/24 | 31/22 | 14 | 3–72 h | Hyperbaric oxygen therapy+WMT (Routine therapy of reducing intracranial pressure + Hyperbaric oxygen therapy + Symptomatic treatment) |
| Xu Quantao 2018 | China | 31/21 | 33/19 | 14 | 14–67 h | WMT (Routine therapy of reducing intracranial pressure + Symptomatic treatment) |
| Gui Zhong 2018 | China | 11/9 | 10/10 | 14 | — | WMT (Mannitol injection + Antihypertensive therapy + Symptomatic treatment) |
| Zhang Fang 2017 | China | 37/17 | 31/23 | 14 | ≤24 h | Surgical treatment+WMT (Symptomatic treatment) |
| Li Zongwu 2015 | China | 18/12 | 17/13 | 14 | <15 h | WMT (Routine therapy of reducing intracranial pressure + Symptomatic treatment) |
| Yuan Lixin 2015 | China | 71/43 | 70/44 | 21 | <72 h | Huoxue Huayu Decoction+WMT |
| Li Lili 2015 | China | 25/22 | 27/20 | 14 | <96 h | Surgical treatment+WMT (Routine therapy of reducing intracranial pressure+ Symptomatic treatment) |
| Kong Wei 2015 | China | 15/10 | 17/8 | 56 | <24 h | WMT (Routine therapy of reducing intracranial pressure + Symptomatic treatment) |
| Lv Yue 2021 | China | 32/23 | 35/20 | 56 | <6 h | Sanyu Tongluo Decoction+WMT |
| Li Sujuan 2015 | China | 34/18 | 32/20 | 15 | — | WMT (Routine therapy of reducing intracranial pressure + Symptomatic treatment) |
| Sun Chuanhe 2017 | China | 32/19 | 29/21 | 12 | <24 h | Naoxueshu Oral Liquid+WMT |
| China | 31/71 | 41/67 | 90 | <72 h | |

(Continued on following page)
“SHU XUE,” “HUA YU,” “HUO XUE,” “blood activating agents,” “stasis removing agents,” and “activating blood.” The detailed searching strategies for all databases were presented in the Supplementary Text.

Inclusion criteria

Types of studies

All included articles were RCTs, including dissertations and journal articles.

Types of participants

According to the guidelines, we included participants of any age or gender with a primary clinical diagnosis of intracranial hemorrhage (Chinese Society of Neurology and Chinese Stroke Society, 2019).

Types of interventions

Intervention groups were treated with TCM alone or combined with WMT. In contrast, control groups were treated with one or more WMT, without the use of TCM herbs or other therapies. WMT in control groups included drugs for dehydration and intracranial pressure reduction, maintenance of water and electrolyte balance, control of blood pressure, blood sugar and lipids, and symptomatic treatment.

Types of outcomes

The primary outcomes was clinical efficacy, calculated with the following equation (The fourth session of the National Cerebrovascular Conference, 1996): effective clinical rate (%) (number of patients with recovery + number of patients with significant improvement + number of patients with improvement)/total number of patients × 100%. The reduction of neurological deficit score (NDS) was determined as an efficacy criterion. Recovery was defined as the reduction of 91–100% in NDS. Significant improvement was the reduction of 46–90% in NDS, and improvement was the decrease of 18–45% in NDS, while ineffectiveness was the drop of NDS to less than 17%.
Secondary outcomes included the reduction of the National Institute of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) and increased activities of daily living (Barthel Index). In addition, the volume of hematoma, the volume of cerebral edema, the incidence of side effects, and mortality were assessed as secondary outcomes.

Exclusion criteria

1. Reviews, meta-analysis, basic medical research, or animal research;
2. Secondary cerebral hemorrhage, including trauma, tumor, intracranial vascular malformation, subarachnoid hemorrhage, etc;
3. Hemorrhagic transformation after ischemic stroke;
4. Incomplete information or uncalculated outcomes;
5. Both treatment groups and control groups were treated with traditional Chinese medicine combined with other therapies;
6. No control group;
7. BACM sequential therapy.

Study selection

Two authors independently searched the databases for eligible RCTs based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria. After removing duplicate records and animal experiments, the records retrieved from all databases were imported into NoteExpress 3.5.0 and Endnote 20.3 and screened based on
TABLE 2 Among 15 included trials, the dosage of 15 BACH in treatment of ICH and pharmacological mechanisms of these Chinese herbs.

| Included study | The description of name | The description of composition and dosage | The description of instructions | The most commonly used Chinese medicine in 15 RCTs | Frequency of using Chinese medicine in 15 RCTs | Frequency of using in 238 RCTs | Mechanism of single herb for ICH | Reference |
|----------------|-------------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------|
| Hong et al. 2020 | Self-made Sanyu Tongluo Decoction | Astragalus mongholicus Bunge | Decocting 1 dose a day and taking it two times daily | Conium maculatum | 10 (66.67%) | 6 (25.90%) | The ability of synaptic plasticity (Li et al., 2016a) | Xu et al. 2021 |
| Chen et al. 2020 | Self-made Huayu Decoction | Spatholobus suberectus Dunn | 15 g, Conioselinum anthriscoides (L.) C.D.Chu | Decreasing | 12 (80.00%) | 122 (53.28%) |↑,⑩,⑫ prescribed. | Wang et al. 2018 |
| Jin et al. 2020 | Sanyu Tongluo Decoction | Polygonatum sibiricum (Oliv.) Diels | 10 g, Glycyrrhiza uralensis Fisch. ex DC. | Decreasing | 6 (40.00%) | 61 (26.64%) |↓,②,⑥,⑦,⑧,⑭,⑯ prescribed. | Chen et al. 2017 |
| Su et al. 2020 | Self-made Huayu Decoction | Panax ginseng C.A.Mey | 10 g, Gastrodia elata Blume | Decreasing | 8 (53.33%) | 79 (34.50%) |↑,②,⑤,⑥,⑦,⑬,⑯ prescribed. | Xu et al. 2018 |
| Yuan et al. 2015 | Huoxue Huayu Decoction | Reynoutria multiflora (Thunb.) Moldenke | 15 g | Decreasing | 6 (40.00%) | 109 (47.60%) |↑,②,③,④,⑧,⑬,⑯ prescribed. | Pang et al. 2020 |
| Lv Yue et al. 2021 | Sanyu Tongluo Decoction | Curcuma aromatica Salisb. | 20 g, Allium sativum L. | Decreasing | 5 (33.33%) | 62 (27.07%) |↑,②,③,④,⑧,⑬,⑯ prescribed. | Cao et al. 2021 |
| Zhaoping et al. 2021 | Self-made Huayu Decoction | Trichosanthes kirilowii Maxim. | 9 g, Camellia reticulata Lindl. | Decreasing | 5 (33.33%) | 79 (34.50%) |↑,②,③,④,⑧,⑬,⑯ prescribed. | Lv Yue et al. 2021 |

(Continued on following page)
### TABLE 2 (Continued) Among 15 included trials, the dosage of 15 BACH in treatment of ICH and pharmacological mechanisms of these Chinese herbs.

| Included study | The decoction of name | The decoction of composition and dosage | The most commonly used Chinese medicine in 15 RCTs | Frequency of using herbs in 15 RCTs | Frequency of use in 230 RCTs | Mechanism of single herb for ICH | Reference |
|----------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|
| Li Jingya 2016 | Xingnaojing Injection+Naoxueshu Oral Liquid | The most commonly used Chinese medicine in 15 RCTs | Wolvifolia cocos (F.A. Wolf) Ryvarden & Gilb | 230 RCTs (26.67%) | - | - | Wolvifolia cocos (F.A. Wolf) Ryvarden & Gilb |
| Qiu You 2021 | Huayu Decoction | The methods of using Huoxue Huayu Decoction unknown, Xingnaojing injection 20ml, one time daily, and Naoxueshu Oral Liquid 10ml, three times daily | Wolvifolia cocos (F.A. Wolf) Ryvarden & Gilb | 230 RCTs (26.67%) | - | - | Wolvifolia cocos (F.A. Wolf) Ryvarden & Gilb |

1. Conioselinum anthriscoides 'Chuanxiong' [Apiaceae]; 2. Camellia reticulata Linnae [Theaceae]; 3. Bupleurum sibiricum var. jeholense (Nakai) C.D.Chu [Apiaceae]; 4. Prunus persica (L.) Batsch [Rosaceae]; 5. Rheum palmatum L. [Polygonaceae]; 6. Earthworm; 7. Astragalus mongholicus Bunge [Fabaceae]; 8. Salvia miltiorrhiza Bunge [Lamiaceae]; 9. Angelica sinensis (Oliv.) Diels [Apiaceae]; 10. Panax notoginseng (Burkill) F.H.Chen [Araliaceae]; 11. Achyranthes bidentata Blume [Amaranthaceae]; 12. Leech; 13. Acorus calamus L. [Acoraceae]; 14. Gastrodia elata Blume [Orchidaceae]; 15. Wolfiporia cocos (F.A. Wolf) Ryvarden & Gilb, 16. Alisma plantago-aquatica L. [Alismataceae]; 17. gram; - No articles were found on the mechanism of single herbs for intracerebral hemorrhage; + These herbs was not most frequently used in included RCTs.
through STATA14.0 using Begg analyses were conducted. Publication bias was evaluated based on the patient funnel plot.

Data extraction

Two researchers independently used a pre-prepared extraction form to extract data from the included articles and cross-checked the data. Disagreement between researchers was resolved through consultation with a third reviewer until a consensus was reached. Data extracted from included studies were: 1) Name of the first author; 2) Year of publishing; 3) Country of study; 4) Mean age and mean volume of hematoma of patients; 5) The number of patients and sex ratio (male to female); 6) Course of treatment; 7) Time of onset; 8) Interventions in the BACM and the control groups; 9) Results of articles (if studies adopted different follow-up time points for patients, we would separately extract results).

Quality assessment

Selected articles were independently reviewed by two researchers based on seven aspects (including generation of random sequences, allocation concealment, blinding of subjects, investigators and outcome assessors, incomplete outcome reporting, selective outcome reporting, and other biases) using the Cochrane Risk of Bias Tool (Higgins et al., 2011). Articles with "low risk" in each domain were included for further analysis, whereas those with "unclear risk" or "high risk" in ≥3 domains were excluded (Chung et al., 2013). Conflicts were resolved by a third researcher or discussion until a consensus was reached.

Data analysis

Review Manager 5.3 was used for meta-analysis. The effect size was calculated using an odds ratio (OR) with 95% CI for dichotomous data. Continuous variables were expressed as the weighted mean difference (MD). Standardized mean difference (SMD) was considered when the measurement units differed. All variables were demonstrated with their effect sizes and their 95% confidence intervals (CIs). In addition, the fixed-effect model was used when heterogeneity was evaluated by Chi-square (Chi) test and I² statistic (if \( p \geq 0.05 \), or \( I^2 \leq 50\% \)). Otherwise, the random-effect model was used. Furthermore, sensitivity analysis was conducted to evaluate the stability of outcomes and the overall efficacy of BACM combined with WMT for ICH treatment. Based on the patient’s follow-up time, subgroup analyses were conducted. Publication bias was evaluated through STATA14.0 using Begg's test, Egger's test, and funnel plot.

Assessment of evidence

The GRADE pro-GDT software (Guideline Development Tool) was used to evaluate the outcomes (Deng et al., 2019; Duan et al., 2021).

Results

Study selection

The detailed literature search and study selection process were shown in Figure 1. A total of 792 records were found using various searching strategies, inclusion and exclusion criteria. Among them, 254 records were duplicates, and 72 records were reviews or models. Furthermore, 209 articles were excluded because of inappropriate subjects. Then, 257 studies were manually screened according to the exclusion and inclusion criteria. Of these, four studies were not RCTs, four studies were unsuitable for outcomes analysis, one study did not state the composition of traditional Chinese medicine, and the control group in 18 studies also used BACM. Finally, A total of 15 high-quality studies were included after two researchers independently assessed 230 articles using the Cochrane Risk of Bias Tool.

Study characteristics

Characteristics of the included RCTs were provided in Table 1 and Supplementary Tables S1–S15. A total of 15 RCTs (Li, 2015a; Li, 2015b; Li, 2015c; Li et al., 2016a; Kong et al., 2015; Yuan et al., 2015; Sun, 2017; Zhang et al., 2017; Gui, 2018; Xu, 2018; Zhang, 2018; Gao et al., 2020; Chen et al., 2021; Lv et al., 2021; Qiu et al., 2021) involving 1,579 participants were included in this analysis, with 784 participants in the intervention group and 795 participants in the control group. The majority of patients had an onset to the presentation time of less than 24 h, with a maximum of 72 h, and the duration of treatment is mainly 2 weeks to 8 weeks, with a maximum of 90 days. The types of drugs in the intervention group were herbal prescriptions, classical decotions, and Chinese patent medicines, including capsules and injections. The sample size of included studies ranged from 20 to 108, and all included studies were conducted in China with WMT used in the control group, including surgical treatment, blood pressure control, glicemic control, and reduction of intracranial pressure. BACM was used as an intervention strategy. Seven RCTs employed the effective clinical rate as an outcome measure, and 14 RCTs reported NIHSS as their outcome measures. In addition, hematoma volume in 10 articles and cerebral edema volume in eight articles were considered outcome measures, respectively. Additionally, two articles used the Barthel Index, the incidence of side effects, and mortality as outcome measures.
Assessment of risk of bias

Results on the assessment of risk of bias of included studies were shown in Figures 2, 3. The Cochrane Risk of Bias Tool was used to assess this risk based on seven domains.

Domain 1: risk of bias arising from the randomization process

For the generation of random sequences, 14 trials were ranked “low risk” because the authors used a random number table (Li, 2015a; Li, 2015b; Li, 2015c; Kong et al., 2015; Yuan et al., 2015; Li et al., 2016b; Sun, 2017; Zhang et al., 2017; Gui, 2018; Xu, 2018; Zhang, 2018; Gao et al., 2020; Lv et al., 2021; Qiu et al., 2021); one trial was ranked “high risk” because patients were allocated to the control and treatment groups using the date of birth odd (Chen et al., 2021).

Domain 2: risk of bias arising from the allocation concealment

For allocation concealment, four trials were considered “low risk” because they used opaque envelopes to randomize patients (Yuan et al., 2015; Li et al., 2016a; Gui, 2018; Xu, 2018). The allocation concealment was not mentioned in the rest of the trials. Nine trials were assessed as “high risk” because they conducted a random number table to assign patients (Li, 2015a; Li, 2015b; Sun, 2017; Zhang et al., 2017; Zhang, 2018; Gao et al., 2020; Chen et al., 2021; Lv et al., 2021; Qiu et al., 2021). Another two trials were regarded as “unclear risk” because they used a random method to assign patients and did not mention details of concealment (Li, 2015c; Kong et al., 2015).

Domain 3: risk of bias arising from blinding of participants and researchers

Four articles were regarded as “low risk” because these studies explicitly stated how participants and personnel were blinded (Kong et al., 2015; Li, 2015a; Li et al., 2016b; Chen et al., 2021). Two trials were regarded as “unclear risk” because these trials described the personnel who were blinded to the trial, and there was insufficient evidence to confirm participants were also blinded (Gui, 2018; Zhang, 2018). Nine articles were considered “high risk” because the authors did not provide sufficient information on whether personnel or participants were blinded to measures of treatment (Yuan et al., 2015; Li, 2015a; Li, 2015b; Sun, 2017; Zhang et al., 2017; Xu, 2018; Gao et al., 2020; Lv et al., 2021; Qiu et al., 2021).

Domain 4: risk of bias in the measurement of outcomes

One trial was considered “low risk” because the trial mentioned blinding of results (Li et al., 2016a). The rest of the trials were judged as “high risk” because they did not state whether results were blinded or not.

Domain 5: risk of bias due to missing outcome data

Since complete clinical data of all patients were available, all trials were judged as “low risk of bias” in this domain.

Domain 6: risk of bias in the selection of the reported results

Outcomes in these trials were displayed without selection bias. We judged all trials as “low risk of bias.”

Domain 7: risk of bias from other ways

No other risk of bias was found in these 15 trials.

The use of the blood-activating Chinese herbs

Among the 230 RCTs, 15 herbs were used most frequently, including Conioselinum anthriscoides ‘Chuanxiong’ [Apiaceae] (53.28%), Camellia reticulata Lindl. [Theaceae] (49.34%), Bupleurum sibiricum var. jeholense (Nakai) C.D.Chu [Apiaceae] (48.47%), Prunus persica (L.) Batsch [Rosaceae] (47.60%), Rheum palmatum L. [Polygonaceae] (39.30%), Earthworm (38.86%), Astragalus mongholicus Bunge [Fabaceae] (34.50), Salvia miltiorrhiza Bunge [Lamiaceae] (34.50), Angelica sinesis (Oliv.) Diels [Apiaceae] (27.07), Achyranthes bidentata Blume [Amaranthaceae] (26.64%), Panax notoginseng (Burkill) F.H.Chen [Araliaceae] (26.64%), Leech (23.14%), Acorus calamus L. [Acoraceae] (17.90%), Rehmannia glutinosa (Gaertn.) DC. [Orobanchaceae] (14.85%), and Gastrodia elata Blume [Orchidaceae] (14.41%) in the forms of decoctions, injections, and oral granules/capsules/liquid. Among these 15 herbs, Rheum palmatum L. [Polygonaceae], Rehmannia glutinosa (Gaertn.) DC. [Orobanchaceae], Acorus calamus L. [Acoraceae], and Gastrodia elata Blume [Orchidaceae] were considered BACH to be used for patients with ICH in recent years. In addition, among the 15 RCTs, 15 Chinese herbs were commonly used, including Rheum palmatum L. [Polygonaceae] (80%), Conioselinum anthriscoides ‘Chuanxiong’ [Apiaceae] (80%), Camellia reticulata Lindl. [Theaceae] (60%), Astragalus mongholicus Bunge [Fabaceae] (53.3%), Prunus persica (L.) Batsch [Rosaceae] (46.67%), Panax notoginseng (Burkill) F.H.Chen [Araliaceae] (40%), Acorus calamus L. [Acoraceae] (40%), Angelica sinesis (Oliv.) Diels [Apiaceae] (33.33%), Earthworm (33.33%), Bupleurum sibiricum var. jeholense (Nakai) C.D.Chu [Apiaceae] (33.33%), Salvia miltiorrhiza Bunge [Lamiaceae] (33.33%), Leech (33.33%), Gastrodia elata Blume [Orchidaceae] (33.33%), Alisma plantago-aquatica L. [Alismataceae] (26.67%), Achyranthes bidentata Blume [Amaranthaceae] (26.67%), Wolfiporia cocos (F.A. Wolf) Ryvarden & Gilb (26.67%). Among 15 included trials, the
dosage of 15 BACH in treatment of ICH and the pharmacological mechanisms of these Chinese herbs were shown in Table 2.

**Efficacy outcomes**

**Clinical effectiveness rate**

Seven studies (Zhang et al., 2017; Gui, 2018; Li, 2015a; Li, 2015b; Chen et al., 2021; Lv et al., 2021; Qiu et al., 2021) used the change of NIHSS as the marker of clinical effectiveness. As shown in Figure 4, we evaluated the efficacy of BACM plus WMT with the RR values. The Random-effects model was used due to the presence of strong heterogeneity (I² = 58%; χ² = 14.42; df = 6, p = 0.03). The difference was statistically significant, with the pooled RR of 1.19 (95% CI [1.12 to 1.27], p < 0.001). We omitted trials one-by-one and found that the RR was 1.22 (95% CI [1.13 to 1.32], p < 0.001) with little heterogeneity (I² = 0%, p = 0.96) when the study (Chen et al., 2021) was deleted (Supplementary Figure S1). From the forest plot, the CI of this trial (Chen et al., 2021) had a smaller coverage than the other studies. We hypothesized that participants in this study (Chen et al., 2021) had milder clinical symptoms and better outcomes than patients in other studies because participants in Chen’s study (Chen et al., 2021) had the lowest mean NIHSS among the pooled trials. The funnel plot for publication bias (Supplementary Figure S2) did not show significant publication bias among the six RCTs, with Begg’s test (Z = 0.38, p = 0.707) and Egger’s test (T = −1.29, p = 0.2) showing insignificant difference.

**NIHSS**

Eight RCTs with fourteen results reported the NIHSS in patients with ICH and a total of 10 studies included multiple time points: day 5 (Sun, 2017), day 7 (Li et al., 2015; Li et al., 2016a; Gao et al., 2020), day 10 (Sun, 2017), day 14 (Gao et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2017; Li, 2015a; Li et al., 2016a), day 21 (Li et al., 2016b), day 28 (Chen et al., 2021; Qiu et al., 2021), day 56 (Lv et al., 2021), day 90 (Li et al., 2016a). As shown in Figure 5, due to statistically significant heterogeneity (I² = 91%; χ² = 140.48; df = 13, p < 0.001), conclusions were obtained using a random-effects model (MD = −2.75, 95% CI [−3.74 to −1.76], p < 0.001) and subgroup analysis was performed according to time points. Twelve trials, including participants who received treatment for less than 30 days, were significantly heterogeneous (p < 0.001, I² = 90%). The results of pooled twelve RCTs (Sun, 2017; Sun, 2017; Zhang et al., 2017; Li, 2015a; Li, 2015b; Li et al., 2016a; Li et al., 2016b; Li et al., 2016b; Gao et al., 2020; Gao et al., 2020; Chen et al., 2021; Qiu et al., 2021) showed that the difference was statistically significant (MD = −2.73, 95% CI [−3.81 to −1.66], p < 0.001). In addition, there was significant heterogeneity between the two RCTs (Li et al., 2016a; Lv et al., 2021) with a duration of 56 and 90 days, respectively (p < 0.01, I² = 96%), and the results showed no statistically significant difference (MD = −2.82, 95% CI [−6.04 to 0.41], p = 0.09).

The significant heterogeneity in this study may be attributed to the fact that different types of BACM removing blood stasis had different therapeutic effects on patients with NDS. For example, participants in the study of Zhang et al. (Zhang et al., 2017) had more severe NDS, which may explain the presence of greater efficacy observed in this trial than in others. To examine publication bias, funnel plots were shown in Supplementary Figure S3, and the results of Begg’s test (Z = −2.08, p = 0.037) and Egger’s test (T = −1.82, p = 0.94) indicated that there was no significant publication bias in the 14 RCTs.

**Barthel index**

The Barthel index was reported in two RCTs (Yuan et al., 2015; Chen et al., 2021). As shown in Figure 6, BACM that promoted blood circulation and removed blood stasis combined with WMT was superior to WMT alone in improving patients’ quality of life (MD = 5.95, 95% CI [3.92, 7.98], p < 0.001). However, the result needs to be interpreted with caution due to the small sample size.

**Hematoma volume**

Of the fifteen trials, 10 (Li et al., 2016a; Li, 2015a; Li, 2015b; Li, 2015c; Zhang, 2018; Zhang, 2018; Gui, 2018; Qiu et al., 2021; Kong et al., 2015; Lv et al., 2021) used hematoma volume as a clinical outcome. As shown in Figure 7, we used a random-effects model (MD = −2.94, 95% CI [−3.50 to −2.37], p < 0.001) due to the presence of significant heterogeneity (p < 0.001, I² = 69%). Clinical outcomes were measured with the change of hematoma volume after 1 week of onset in three RCTs (Li et al., 2016a; Li, 2015c; Zhang, 2018), 2 weeks in four RCTs (Zhang, 2018; Gui, 2018; Li, 2015a; Li, 2015b), 3 weeks in one RCT (Qiu et al., 2021), and 8 weeks in two RCTs (Kong et al., 2015; Lv et al., 2021). There was no heterogeneity between participants who underwent head CT scan after 1 and 2 weeks, respectively (p = 0.86, I² = 0%; p = 0.94, I² = 0%), and the results were statistically significant (MD1 week = −2.34, 95% CI [−3.99 to −0.69], p = 0.005; MD2 week = −2.53, 95% CI [−3.66 to −1.41], p < 0.001). In addition, there was significant heterogeneity in the group of patients who underwent CT scan after 8 weeks (I² = 93%, p = 0.0003). We speculated that the sample size of this study (Kong et al., 2015) was smaller than that of the other study (Lv et al., 2021), leading to an exaggerated absorption of cerebral hematoma in the group of BACM combined with WMT. As shown in Supplementary Figure S4, Begg’s test (Z = 1.25, p = 0.210) and Egger’s test (T = −0.93, p = 0.379) indicated the absence of significant publication bias in 10 trials.

**Cerebral edema**

Eight trials reported cerebral edema (Xu, 2018; Gao et al., 2020; Li, 2015a; Li, 2015b; Li, 2015c; Li, 2015; Gao et al., 2020; Lv et al., 2021). As shown in Figure 8, the heterogeneity was significant (I² = 97%, p < 0.001), therefore, a random-effects model was used. We found that BACM combined with WMT
was associated with a reduction in brain edema volume compared with WMT alone (MD = $-2.74, 95\% CI [-3.01 to -2.48], p < 0.001). Three RCTs (Gao et al., 2020; Li, 2015a; Li, 2015b) performed the second head CT scan after 1 week, four RCTs (Xu, 2018; Gao et al., 2020; Li, 2015a; Li, 2015b) performed the second head CT scan after 2 weeks, and one RCT (Lv et al., 2021) performed the second head CT scan after 8 weeks. Subgroup analysis showed that there was significant heterogeneity between the 1-week groups and the 2-week groups. In addition, we found that Li’s study (Li, 2015c) had significant heterogeneity. When this trial (Li, 2015a) was excluded, the heterogeneity was improved ($I^2 = 0, p = 0.82$), and the difference was statistically significant (MD 1-week group = $-2.57, 95\% CI [-3.21 to -1.93], p < 0.001; MD\_\text{total} = -2.66, 95\% CI [-2.95 to -2.37], p < 0.00001), as shown in Supplementary Figure S5). The small sample size...
and small mean edema volume in Li’s trial (Li, 2015a) brought about exaggerated effects and heterogeneity. Because absorption of cerebral edema was faster in Li’s study (Li L., 2015) than in the other two RCTs (Gao et al., 2020; Li, 2015a), the pooled value was larger. The effect of BACM combined with WMT was superior to that of WMT alone in the 1-week group (Gao et al., 2020; Li, 2015a) (MD = −2.57, 95% CI [−3.21 to −1.83], p < 0.001). In addition, there was significant heterogeneity in the pooled effect size (MD = −3.80, 95% CI [−4.21 to −3.39]) for the four RCTs (Xu, 2018; Gao et al., 2020; Li, 2015a; Li, 2015b) at 2 weeks (I² = 98%, p < 0.001). Apart from the baseline level of brain edema volume, no other factors contributed to this heterogeneity. To examine publication bias, funnel plots were shown in Supplementary Figure S6, and the results of Begg’s test (Z = 0.30, p = 0.764) and Egger’s test (T = −1.95, p = 0.109) did not show significant publication bias in seven RCTs.
Adverse reactions

Two RCTs (Chen et al., 2021; Li et al., 2016a) demonstrated that BACM combined with WMT elicited adverse reactions in patients with ICH during the intervention. Chen et al. (Chen et al., 2021) showed that the BACM (Tongqiao Huoxue decoction) used by patients with ICH had side effects, including hepatic impairment in three patients, renal impairment in three patients, gastrointestinal reaction in nine patients, intracranial infection in four patients, pulmonary infections in four patients, and subcutaneous hemorrhage in one patient. However, some of these adverse reactions might be indirectly related to the intake of BACM. In addition, another study (Li et al., 2016b) reported adverse events in seven patients when BACM (Xingnaojing Injection/Naoxueshu Injection/Huoxue Huayu Decoction) was prescribed to patients with ICH, including pressure ulcer, diarrhea, deep venous thrombosis, allergy to BACM (Xingnaojing), renal function impairment, increased hematoma, and two deaths due to impaired renal function and increased hematoma. In the study by Zhang et al. (Zhang et al., 2017), two deaths were also reported. However, no statistical difference was found in the incidence of side effects and mortality between BACM and WMT.
control groups (RR = 0.84 (95% CI [0.60 to 1.19], p = 0.33 and RR = 0.51 (95% CI, [0.16 to 1.65], p = 0.26), with forest plots shown in Figures 9, 10, respectively.

### Sensitivity analysis

Sensitivity analysis was performed on the clinical effectiveness (Zhang et al., 2017; Gui, 2018; Li, 2015a; Li, 2015b; Chen et al., 2021; Lv et al., 2021; Qiu et al., 2021), hematoma volume (Li, 2015a; Li, 2015b; Li, 2015c; Li et al., 2016a; Zhang, 2018; Zhang, 2018; Gui, 2018; Qiu et al., 2021; Kong et al., 2015; Lv et al., 2021), and cerebral edema (Gao et al., 2020; Li, 2015a; Li, 2015b; Li, 2015c; Li, 2015; Gao et al., 2020; Xu, 2018; Lv et al., 2021). To reveal the stability of results, including clinical effectiveness, hematoma volume, and cerebral edema in the meta-analysis, we excluded RCTs one by one. None of these exclusions changed the statistical significance, suggesting that our results were robust. However, there was significant heterogeneity in cerebral hematoma, cerebral edema, and NIHSS.

### Evaluation of quality

The GRADEpro Guideline Development Tool was used to assess the quality of evidence for nine outcomes, including the clinical effectiveness (Li, 2015a; Li, 2015b; Zhang et al., 2017; Gui, 2018; Chen et al., 2021; Lv et al., 2021; Qiu et al., 2021), NIHSS on day 30 (Li, 2015a; Li et al., 2016a; Li et al., 2016b; Li et al., 2016; Sun, 2017; Gao et al., 2021; Li et al., 2021; Sun, 2017; Gao et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2017; Chen et al., 2021; Qiu et al., 2021), NIHSS from 30 to 90 days (Lv et al., 2021; Li et al., 2016a), Barthel index (Yuan et al., 2015; Chen et al., 2021), hematoma volume on day 7 (Li et al., 2016a; Li, 2015a; Zhang, 2018), hematoma volume on day 14 (Li, 2015a; Li, 2015b; Zhang, 2018; Gui, 2018), hematoma volume on day 56 (Kong et al., 2015; Lv et al., 2021), cerebral edema volume on day 7 (Li, 2015a; Li, 2015b; Gao et al., 2020), and the volume of cerebral edema on day 14 (Li, 2015a; Li, 2015b; Xu, 2018; Gao et al., 2020). The levels of evidence ranged from very low, low, moderate, to high. Results were shown in Table 3.

### Discussion

#### Summary of findings

Increasing evidence indicates that BACM plays a role in neuroprotection and inhibition of inflammatory responses and has the potential to be used in hemorrhagic stroke treatment. In this meta-analysis, we evaluated 15 RCTs, and the result showed that BACM combined with WMT was more effective than WTM alone. These positive effects are mainly consistent with the systematic review of BACM combined with WMT in ICH treatment due to their efficacy in activating blood circulation and resolving blood stasis (Xu et al., 2022).

The pathophysiologic mechanisms of post-ICH injury are complex and encompass oxidative stress, inflammation, neurotoxicity, and thrombin formation, leading to brain edema (Shao et al., 2019). In addition, peri-hematoma edema (PHE) within the first 72 h after hemorrhage leads to tissue shifts, brain herniation, and risks of clinical deterioration (Leasure et al., 2016). Conservative medical treatments include reduction of intracranial pressure, control of blood pressure, inhibition of thrombin activation, and neuroprotective agents. Surgical treatment consists of mechanical thrombectomy, craniotomy for hematoma evacuation, minimally invasive surgery, and decompressive craniotomy (Chinese Society of Neurology and Chinese Stroke Society, 2019; Ni et al., 2020). Nowadays, practical pharmacological approaches for ICH are still lacking. In addition, surgical treatment does not improve the long-term prognosis for most patients with ICH (Ni et al., 2020).

Among the commonly used herbs for blood circulation, their efficacy in improving blood circulation in patients with ICH has been proven. Our study also showed that the herbs representing BACH were *Conioselinum antheriscoides* ‘Chuanxiong’ [Apiaceae], *Camellia reticulata* Lindl. [Theaceae], *Bupleurum sibiricum var. jeholense* (Nakai) C.D.Chu [Apiaceae], *Prunus persica* (L.) Batsch [Rosaceae], *Rheum palmatum* L. [Polygonaceae], Earthworm, *Astragalus*
mongholicus Bunge [Fabaceae], Salvia miltiorrhiza Bunge [Lamiaceae], Angelica sinensis (Oliv.) Diels [Apiaceae], Panax notoginseng (Burkill) F.H.Chen [Araliaceae], Achyranthes bidentata Blume [Amaranthaceae], Leech, Acorus calamus L. [Acoraceae], Rehmannia glutinosa (Gaertn.) DC. [Orobanchaceae], and Gastrodia elata Blume [Orchidaceae]. In addition, some herbs, such as Rheum palmatum L. [Polygonaceae], Rehmannia glutinosa (Gaertn.) DC. [Orobanchaceae], Acorus calamus L. [Acoraceae], and Gastrodia elata Blume [Orchidaceae] were also considered adjuvant for ICH in the acute or postoperative recovery stage in recent studies (Li et al., 2015).

TABLE 3 GRADE summary of outcomes for blood-activating Chinese medicine to patients with intracerebral hemorrhage.

| Certainty assessment | No of studies | Study design | Risk of bias | Inconsistency | Indirectness | Imprecision | Other considerations | TCM combined with WMT | WMT alone | Relative (95% CI) | Absolute (95% CI) | Certainty | Importance |
|----------------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------|------------------|------------------|------------|------------|
| The clinical effective rate | 6 randomized trials | serious<sup>a</sup> | not serious | not serious | not serious | none | | 302/274 (110.2%) | 224/192 (74.2%) | RR 1.22 (1.13–1.32) | 63 more per 1,000 (from 96 more to 257 more) | ⊕⊕⊕ | Moderate |
| NIHSS - within 30 days | 12 randomized trials | serious<sup>c</sup> | not serious | not serious | not serious | none | | 783 | 789 | — | MD 2.72 SD lower (3.61 lower to 1.66 lower) | ⊕⊕⊕ | Moderate |
| NIHSS - 30 days to 90 days | 2 randomized trials | serious<sup>a</sup> | not serious | not serious | not serious | none | | 157 | 163 | — | MD 2.82 SD lower (0.64 lower to 0.41 higher) | ⊕⊕⊕ | Moderate |
| Barthel index | 2 randomized trials | serious | not serious | not serious | not serious | none | | 148 | 155 | — | MD 5.95 higher (3.92 higher to 7.99 higher) | ⊕⊕⊕ | Moderate |
| The volume of hematoma 7 days | 5 randomized trials | serious<sup>c</sup> | not serious | not serious | not serious | none | | 352 | 358 | — | MD 2.34 lower (3.99 lower to 0.69 lower) | ⊕⊕⊕ | Moderate |
| The volume of hematoma 14 days | 4 randomized trials | serious<sup>c</sup> | not serious | not serious | not serious | none | | 322 | 322 | — | MD 2.53 lower (3.66 lower to 1.41 lower) | ⊕⊕⊕ | Moderate |
| The volume of hematoma 56 days | 2 randomized trials | serious<sup>c</sup> | not serious | not serious | not serious | none | | 80 | 80 | — | MD 2.54 lower (3.34 lower to 1.75 lower) | ⊕⊕⊕ | Moderate |
| The volume of cerebral edema 7 days | 2 randomized trials | serious<sup>c</sup> | not serious | not serious | not serious | none | | 85 | 85 | — | MD 2.57 lower (3.21 lower to 1.93 lower) | ⊕⊕⊕ | Moderate |
| The volume of cerebral edema 14 days | 6 randomized trials | serious<sup>c</sup> | not serious | not serious | not serious | none | | 182 | 182 | — | MD 1.8 lower (4.21 lower to 3.39 lower) | ⊕⊕⊕ | Moderate |

CI, confidence interval; MD, mean difference; RR, risk ratio.

<sup>a</sup>One RCT did not conduct the method of correct randomization, and five RCTs did not conduct allocation concealment. Only one RCT conducted double-blindness, and the rest of included RCTs did not conduct double-blindness. All RCTs have the risk of bias in the measurement of outcomes.

<sup>b</sup>One RCT did not conduct the method of correct randomization, eight RCTs did not conduct allocation concealment, nine did not conduct double-blindness, and have the risk of bias in measuring outcomes.

<sup>c</sup>One RCTs did not conduct allocation concealment and double-blindness and have the risk of bias in measuring outcomes.

<sup>d</sup>Two RCTs did not conduct allocation concealment and have the risk of bias in measuring outcomes.

<sup>e</sup>Three RCTs did not conduct allocation concealment and have the risk of bias in measuring outcomes.

<sup>f</sup>Two RCTs did not conduct allocation concealment and have the risk of bias in measuring outcomes.

<sup>g</sup>Three RCTs did not conduct allocation concealment and double-blindness. Four RCTs did not conduct double-blindness and have the risk of bias in measuring outcomes.
Molecular mechanisms underlying the therapeutic effect of commonly used BACM for ICH

(1) *Rheum palmatum* L. [Polygonaceae]: *Rheum palmatum* L. [Polygonaceae] is a traditional and famous Chinese medicinal herb. The expression of APO4, tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α), and interleukin-1β (IL-1β) was increased in ICH, resulting in disruption of the blood-brain barrier (BBB) (Bimpis et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2015). Recently, modern pharmacological experimental studies showed that rhubarb significantly reduced levels of these inflammatory factors in the serum, alleviated a variety of inflammatory responses, and protected the BBB (Yuan et al., 2017). Moreover, a systematic review showed that rhubarb combined with WMT was proven to be efficacious in improving patients’ prognosis by accelerating the absorption of cerebral hematoma and ameliorating their neurological deficits (Li and Wu, 2007). An upcoming randomized controlled clinical trial will investigate the safety and efficacy of *Rheum palmatum* L. [Polygonaceae] in managing acute ICH, which may again validate the importance of BACH in the treatment of ICH by promoting blood circulation and removing blood stasis. (ClinicalTrials.gov. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ [Accessed April 1, 2022]).

(2) *Rehmannia glutinosa* (Gaertn.) DC. [Orobanchaceae]: Catalpol, an iridoid compound extracted from *Rehmannia glutinosa* (Gaertn.) DC. [Orobanchaceae], is one of the active components of *Rehmannia glutinosa*. In animal experiments, it has been shown that *Rehmannia glutinosa* accelerated the procoagulant effects by shortening the thrombin time and prothrombin time, activated partial thromboplastin time, and reducing the content of fibrinogen, thereby modulating the intrinsic and extrinsic coagulation pathways (Jia et al., 2014; Yang, 2016). Additionally, the protective effect on the BBB was proven (Liu, 2018; Zhao et al., 2021). Furthermore, *Rehmannia glutinosa* decoction based on Rehmannia can mitigate neurological deficits and improve activities of daily living (Barthel index) for patients with ICH receiving surgery, which may be related to the increased expression of Notch1 and increased activation of Notch signaling pathway (Zhang et al., 2020; Li and Zhao, 2015).

(3) *Gastrodia elata* Blume [Orchidaceae]: it has antioxidant effects through up-regulating the PI3K signaling pathway and down-regulating the level of extracellular glutamate (Zhan et al., 2016). In animal models, it has been shown to accelerate absorption of cerebral hematoma, which is associated with up-regulating cellular immunity and proliferation of microvessels (Li and Wu, 2007). Besides, a study showed that compared with WMT alone, *Gastrodia elata* Blume [Orchidaceae] combined with WMT improved neurological functions and increased the clinical efficacy (He et al., 2012).

(4) *Acorus calamus* L. [Acoraceae]: Both volatile oil and β-asarone in *Acorus calamus* L. [Acoraceae] were the main active components (Irie and Keung, 2003). Research about *Acorus calamus* L. [Acoraceae] for ICH is uncommon, but the efficacy for cognitive dysfunction has been demonstrated (Ma et al., 2017). Two RCTs performed on patients with ICH after craniotomy have demonstrated that Changpu Yujin decoction, which consists primarily of calamus, reduces mortality and the degree of cerebral edema, relieves neurological deficits, promotes recovery, and improves prognosis (Fu et al., 2019; Li and Xiao, 2020).

At present, many trials have demonstrated the clinical efficacy of BACM (e.g. decoctions, injections, and oral forms etc) in the remediation of neurological deficits and improvement of prognosis. A representative is Buyang Huanwu decoction, which has been reported to significantly promote the recovery of ICH patients undergoing surgery (Jing et al., 2015; Yu et al., 2017). In addition, Xueshuantong Injection and Naoxueshu Oral Liquid also were used as an adjuvant therapy for ICH (Xu et al., 2014; Wu et al., 2017; Duan et al., 2021). Although BACM is significantly efficacious in the treatment of ICH, there is an increased risk of bleeding. It has been suggested that the risks of hematoma expansion and rebleeding must be evaluated when administering BACH to ICH patients (Ni et al., 2020). With the elucidation of the pharmacological mechanisms of herbal medicine for ICH, the mechanism of BACH will be further clarified in the future. More herbal preparations, decoctions, and injections will be considered new treatment options for patients with ICH.

**Limitations**

A number of limitations were found in this meta-analysis. First, the lack of rigorous design and whether the authors were blinded in some included trials may lead to publication bias and overestimation of the efficacy of BACM for ICH. Second, the small sample size in some trials may contribute to discrepant results. Third, the included RCTs did not differentiate between TCM syndromes, resulting in inaccurate results. Fourth, varied dosages of herbs and different decoction processes increased the heterogeneity of the results. Fifth, the specific timing of adding BACM was unclear. Sixth, since all participants were from China, there is not yet sufficient evidence to prove its efficacy in other ethnic groups. Therefore, more stringent, higher quality, larger sample sized clinical trials recruiting more patients from other countries are needed to elucidate the efficacy of BACM combined with WMT for ICH.

**Implications for future research**

① To clarify the design protocol and to reduce bias, studies need to register on ClinicalTrials.gov or the China Clinical Trial Registration Center before starting the trial;
To improve research quality, it is advisable to conduct rigorous design of trials, with attention to randomization methods, allocation concealment, and blinding, according to the statement (Chan et al., 2013a; Chan et al., 2013b).

⑤ To conduct more high-quality clinical trials, increase the sample size, and include patients from other ethnic groups and regions;

⑥ To focus on “syndrome differentiation and treatment” in TCM;

⑦ Clearly record the time from the symptom onset to intake of BACM;

⑧ Extend the time of treatment and follow-up, paying attention to the long-term efficacy of BACM;

⑨ Complete the report of adverse reactions/events;

⑩ To improve the quality of the report, it is necessary to ensure that reports are complete, clear, and transparent as required (Schulz et al., 2010; Moher et al., 2012).

Conclusion

This meta-analysis suggests that BACM in combination with WTM is superior to WMT alone in ICH treatment. BACM combined with WMT has the potential to improve the overall efficacy, Barthel’s index, accelerate the absorption of hematoma, and decrease the edema volume without increasing the incidence of adverse events or mortality. However, our conclusion is inconclusive due to the high risk of bias and substantial heterogeneity. To further validate our conclusion, more high-quality RCTs are needed (Li and Zhao, 2015; Liu et al., 2015; Wu et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2020).
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