Establishing GPCR Targets of hMAO Active Anthraquinones from Cassia obtusifolia Linn Seeds Using In Silico and In Vitro Methods
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ABSTRACT: The present study examines the effect of human monoamine oxidase active anthraquinones emodin, alaternin (=7-hydroxyemodin), aloe-emodin, and questin from Cassia obtusifolia Linn seeds in modulating human dopamine (hD1R, hD3R, and hD4R), serotonin (h5-HT1AR), and vasopressin (hV1AR) receptors that were predicted as prime targets from proteocheminformatics modeling via in silico cell-based functional assays, and explores the possible mechanisms of action via in vitro modeling. Emodin and alaternin showed a concentration-dependent agonist effect on hD3R with EC50 values of 21.85 ± 2.66 and 56.85 ± 4.59 μM, respectively. On h5-HT1AR, emodin and alaternin showed an antagonist effect with IC50 values of 10.25 ± 1.97 and 11.51 ± 1.08 μM, respectively. Interestingly, questin and aloe-emodin did not have any observable effect on hV1AR. Only alaternin was effective in antagonizing h5-HT1AR (IC50: 84.23 ± 4.12 μM). In silico studies revealed that a hydroxy group at C1, C3, and C8 and a methyl group at C6 of anthraquinone structure are essential for hD3R agonist and hV1AR antagonist effects, as well as for the H-bond interaction of 1-OH group with Ser192 at a proximity of 2.0 Å. Thus, based on in silico and in vitro results, hV1AR, hD3R, and h5-HT1AR appear to be prime targets of the tested anthraquinones.

INTRODUCTION

Cassia obtusifolia Linn seeds have a long history of use in traditional Chinese medicine, where anthraquinones and naphthopyrones derivatives were reported as predominant constituents, particularly the glycosides (cassiaside, rubrofusarin gentiobioside, and cassiaside B).1 Seed extracts and their constituents have been reported for activities such as anti-Alzheimer’s disease,2−6 anti-Parkinson’s disease,6 antidiabetic and diabetic complications,7,8 hepatoprotection,9 anti-inflammatory,2,9,10 neuroprotective activity,9,12 antibacterial,13 and antioxidant.14,15 In a previous study,16 100 μM emodin inhibited 4 nM (−)-epinephrine, 2 μM nicotinic acid, and 8 μM histamine-induced dynamic mass redistribution signals in human epidermoid carcinoma A431 cell, showing hydroxyl carboxylic acid receptor-2 (HCA-2), histamine receptor (H1R), and β2-adrenoceptor (β2-AR) as targets. Similarly, by upregulating glucocorticoid receptor (GR) and brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) levels in the hippocampus, emodin improved the depression-like behavior in chronic unpredictable mild stress-induced behavioral deficit (depression-like behavior) mice.17 Emodin at 30 μM concentration showed an antipsychotic effect in Schizophrenia model (epidermal growth factor challenged primary neuronal cultures) by attenuating the receptor activation of ErbB1 and ErbB2.18

Another anthraquinone, aloe-emodin, attenuated scopolamine-induced cognitive deficits by inhibiting the acetylcholinesterase activity (IC50 = 18.37 μg/mL) and modulating H2O2-induced oxidative stress in PC12 cells.19 Likewise, in subcutaneous human glioblastoma U87MG-implanted nude CG1 mice, i.p. administration of aloe-emodin at 50 mg/(kg day) for 15 days showed antiproliferative effect by decreasing Ki67 positive cells and proapoptotic effect by increasing P53 and caspase 6 in mouse brain.20 In the same study, aloe-emodin at 20 and 40 μM concentration induced cell cycle arrest in U87MG cells by increasing the expression levels of p53, p21, and the reduction of cyclin CDK2 in vitro.

More recently, we have reported the human monoamine oxidase (hMAO) inhibitory potential of Cassia seed-derived secondary metabolites21 and a possible role of rubrofusarin against comorbid diabetes and depression via protein tyrosine phosphatase 1B and hMAO inhibition.22 In that study,21 emodin, alaternin (7-hydroxyemodin), aloe-emodin, and...
questin inhibited hMAO enzyme activity with low micromolar IC₅₀ values ranging from 0.17 to 23 μM.

Drugs have specific targets in the body through which they modulate the disease state. Modern drug discovery and development incorporates in silico prediction approaches to predict the potential target proteins to understand the mechanism of action of drugs in addition to in vitro and in vivo studies. G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) represent one of the most important drug targets with potential therapeutic benefits in the central nervous system (CNS) and endocrine systems. The concept of precise medication relies on GPCRs targeting, and to date, 34% of FDA-approved drugs are GPCR targets. So, the main objectives of this study were to: (a) predict the main targets for Cassia-derived secondary metabolites in CNS via proteocheminformatics modeling (PCM), (b) validate the PCM prediction by evaluating the modulatory effect on predicted receptors via cell-based functional GPCRs assays, and (c) look at the specific binding interactions of test ligands (Figure 1) and target receptors via molecular docking simulation.

![Figure 1. Structure of anthraquinones from Cassia obtusifolia seeds.](image)

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**In Silico Target Prediction.** From PCM, the highest-ranked 20-potential protein targets were predicted for the four anthraquinones. Table 1 enlists the target proteins with the normalization rate. As shown in the table, V₁ₐR isomer is on the top of the list followed by substance P and 5-HT₁A receptor, with the dopamine receptor also being placed within the 10 highest ranks. Based on this prediction, we then proceeded to validate the predictions in GPCRs cell-based functional assays with the dopamine (D₁, D₃, and D₄), 5HT₁A, and V₁ₐA receptor (Table 2).

**Emodin, Alaternin, and Questin as Human Dopamine D₃ (hD₃R) Agonists.** The effect of test compounds on dopamine receptor was evaluated fluorimetrically by measuring the level of cAMP. Agonist effect was expressed as % of control response to 10 nM dopamine and antagonist effect was expressed as % inhibition of control response to 10 nM dopamine. As tabulated in Table 2, 50 μM concentration of emodin, alaternin, and questin exhibited 75.6, 43.9, and 82.66% effects of test compounds on D₃ receptors in the etiology of PD and LID. In PD, dopamine D₁ receptor expression decreases, while it increases in the brain region of LID patients.

To interpret the result of the functional assay, emodin and alaternin were docked into the D₃R co-crystal structure (PDB ID: 3PBL) in complex with eticlopride. The docking result (binding pose and interacting residues) was confirmed by redocking with the reference agonist dopamine and rotigotine, (binding pose and interacting residues) was confirmed by redocking with the reference agonist dopamine and rotigotine, and antagonist eticlopride. As shown in Figure 3 and tabulated in Table 3, emodin was predicted to bind to the active site of the D₃R receptors in the etiology of PD and LID. In PD, dopamine D₁ receptor expression decreases, while it increases in the brain region of LID patients. To interpret the result of the functional assay, emodin and alaternin were docked into the D₃R co-crystal structure (PDB ID: 3PBL) in complex with eticlopride. The docking result (binding pose and interacting residues) was confirmed by redocking with the reference agonist dopamine and rotigotine, and antagonist eticlopride. As shown in Figure 3 and tabulated in Table 3, emodin was predicted to bind to the active site of the D₃R receptors in the etiology of PD and LID. In PD, dopamine D₁ receptor expression decreases, while it increases in the brain region of LID patients.
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To interpret the result of the functional assay, emodin and alaternin were docked into the D₃R co-crystal structure (PDB ID: 3PBL) in complex with eticlopride. The docking result (binding pose and interacting residues) was confirmed by redocking with the reference agonist dopamine and rotigotine, and antagonist eticlopride. As shown in Figure 3 and tabulated in Table 3, emodin was predicted to bind to the active site of the D₃R receptors in the etiology of PD and LID. In PD, dopamine D₁ receptor expression decreases, while it increases in the brain region of LID patients. To interpret the result of the functional assay, emodin and alaternin were docked into the D₃R co-crystal structure (PDB ID: 3PBL) in complex with eticlopride. The docking result (binding pose and interacting residues) was confirmed by redocking with the reference agonist dopamine and rotigotine, and antagonist eticlopride. As shown in Figure 3 and tabulated in Table 3, emodin was predicted to bind to the active site of the D₃R receptors in the etiology of PD and LID. In PD, dopamine D₁ receptor expression decreases, while it increases in the brain region of LID patients.
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To interpret the result of the functional assay, emodin and alaternin were docked into the D₃R co-crystal structure (PDB ID: 3PBL) in complex with eticlopride. The docking result (binding pose and interacting residues) was confirmed by redocking with the reference agonist dopamine and rotigotine, and antagonist eticlopride. As shown in Figure 3 and tabulated in Table 3, emodin was predicted to bind to the active site of the D₃R receptors in the etiology of PD and LID. In PD, dopamine D₁ receptor expression decreases, while it increases in the brain region of LID patients. To interpret the result of the functional assay, emodin and alaternin were docked into the D₃R co-crystal structure (PDB ID: 3PBL) in complex with eticlopride. The docking result (binding pose and interacting residues) was confirmed by redocking with the reference agonist dopamine and rotigotine, and antagonist eticlopride. As shown in Figure 3 and tabulated in Table 3, emodin was predicted to bind to the active site of the D₃R receptors in the etiology of PD and LID. In PD, dopamine D₁ receptor expression decreases, while it increases in the brain region of LID patients.

**Table 1. Twenty Most Highly Predicted Protein Targets Predicted from PCM Modeling for Cassia-Derived Anthraquinones in Neurodegenerative Diseases**

| Organismal system | Abbreviation | Protein name | NR |
|------------------|--------------|--------------|----|
| STS              | V₁ₐR        | vasopressin V1A receptor | 2.1742 |
| STS              | SPR         | substance P receptor | 1.9936 |
| NS               | 5-HT-1A     | 5-hydroxytryptamine receptor 1A | 1.9824 |
| STS              | NKR         | neumedin-K receptor | 1.9311 |
| STS              | OT-R        | oxytoxin receptor | 1.9099 |
| STS              | MAPK14      | mitogen-activated protein kinase 14 | 1.8689 |
| STS, NS          | 5-HT-6      | 5-hydroxytryptamine receptor 6 | 1.8408 |
| NS               | DRD3        | D3 dopamine receptor | 1.8323 |
| STS, NS          | 5-HT-2B     | 5-hydroxytryptamine receptor 2B | 1.7906 |
| STS, NS          | 5-HT-4      | 5-hydroxytryptamine receptor 4 | 1.7508 |
| NS               | 5-HT-1B     | 5-hydroxytryptamine receptor 1B | 1.7354 |
| STS              | PKC-α       | phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate 3-kinase catalytic α-subunit | 1.7316 |
| STS, NS          | ACM5        | muscarinic acetylcholine receptor M5 | 1.7278 |
| STS, NS          | NTR1        | neurotensin receptor type 1 | 1.7278 |
| STS, NS          | DRD1        | D1 dopamine receptor | 1.7278 |
| STS              | CysLTR1     | cysteinyl leukotriene receptor 1 | 1.7128 |
| STS, NS          | 5-HT-2A     | 5-hydroxytryptamine receptor 2A | 1.7128 |
| NS               | 5-HT-3A     | 5-hydroxytryptamine receptor 3A | 1.7128 |
| STS              | HSP90       | heat shock protein HSP 90-α | 1.7054 |
| STS, NS          | ACM4        | muscarinic acetylcholine receptor M4 | 1.6980 |

NR: Normalization rate; NS: Nervous system; STS: Signaling transduction system.

Among central nervous system disorders, Parkinson’s disease (PD) is the second most common age-related neurodegenerative disorder with 1% prevalence rate in the population above 60 years of age and is characterized by rigidity, tremor, and bradykinesia. The administration of dopamine in its prodrug form, levodopa (L-dopa), in combination with peripheral DOPA decarboxylase inhibitor is the current therapeutic approach to treat PD. However, owing to the side effects of L-dopa and the development of dyskinesia (L-dopa-induced dyskinesia; LID) upon prolonged use, an alternative treatment approach is warranted. Various studies have discovered the involvement of dopamine D₃ receptors in the etiology of PD and LID. In PD, dopamine D₁ receptor expression decreases, while it increases in the brain region of LID patients. To interpret the result of the functional assay, emodin and alaternin were docked into the D₃R co-crystal structure (PDB ID: 3PBL) in complex with eticlopride. The docking result (binding pose and interacting residues) was confirmed by redocking with the reference agonist dopamine and rotigotine, and antagonist eticlopride. As shown in Figure 3 and tabulated in Table 3, emodin was predicted to bind to the active site of dopamine D₃R by forming three H-bonds with Ser196, Val111, and Thr115 at a distance of 2.0, 2.1, and 2.7 Å, respectively (shown by the blue lines in Figure 3B). In addition, the methyl group at C-6 formed a π-alkyl interaction with Phe345 (5.03 Å). Aromatic ring C formed a π-anion interaction with Asp110, while ring A formed π-sulfur and π-π T-shaped interactions with Cys114 and Phe346, respectively (Figure 3C).

![Figure 3D.](image)

As shown by the blue lines in Figure 3D, four H-bond interactions with Tyr365, Val111, Ser196, and Thr115 were predicted at a distance of 2.1, 2.1, 2.4 and 2.8 Å, respectively, for alaternin. The methyl group involved in π-alkyl interactions with Phe345 (5.11 Å) and Tyr373 (4.34 Å).

![Figure 3E.](image)
and π−π T-shaped interactions with Cys114 and Phe346, respectively (Figure 3E). From these docking results (Table 3), it was found that hydrophobic Phe345 and Phe346 residues of D3R are important for binding of ligands containing aromatic rings like emodin, alaternin, dopamine, and rotigotine. Conserved Asp and Ser residues also acted as bridges between emodin/alaternin and D3R via electrostatic and H-bond interaction, respectively.

Besides playing a central role in emotion and behavior, dopamine is responsible for the suppression of proinflammatory cytokines in macrophages, endothelial cells, neutrophils, mast cells, or gial cells, thereby regulating immune/inflammatory response.\(^{29–33}\) Likewise, in a recent study, dopamine suppressed inflammatory response and attenuated tissue injury in mice with acute pancreatitis\(^{35}\) and attenuated lipopolysaccharide/α-galactosamine-induced fulminant liver injury in mice by suppressing the production of TNF-α phosphorylation of c-jun-N-terminal kinase (JNK); cleavage of caspase-3; upregulation of hepatic caspase-3, caspase-8, and caspase-9 activities; and reducing the count of terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase-mediated nucleotide nick-end labeling (TUNEL)-positive hepatocytes.\(^{35}\) Therefore, the hD3R agonist effect of emodin and alaternin might have a role for their reported anti-inflammatory effect.\(^{36,37}\)

**Emodin and Alaternin as Human Vasopressin 1A Receptor (hV1A-R) Antagonists.** In human recombinant Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells expressing the hV1A receptor, reference agonist arginine vasopressin (AVP) caused a concentration-dependent increase in intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration with an EC\(_{50}\) value of 0.29 nM (Figure 2B).

| receptors | emodin | alaternin | aloe-emodin | questin | reference drugs |
|-----------|--------|-----------|-------------|---------|-----------------|
| D\(_1\) (h) | 0.01 ± 2.41 (−10.43 ± 8.95) | 0.15 ± 4.45 (−47.85 ± 9.69) | 0.15 ± 4.45 (−47.85 ± 9.69) | 2.10 ± 0.85 (10.95 ± 6.01) | 17 (3.6) |
| D\(_3\) (h) | 75.60 ± 12.87 (−10.8 ± 6.59) | 43.97 ± 4.76 (−9.8 ± 4.82) | 59.20 ± 32.88 (20.60 ± 6.79) | 34.85 ± 0.78 (1.25 ± 7.42) | 4.0 (13) |
| D\(_4\) (h) | 2.35 ± 2.76 (−0.35 ± 4.03) | 14.10 ± 0.31 (−2.4 ± 6.18) | 53.15 ± 7.00 (25.70 ± 15.70) | 38.15 ± 15.91 (4.50 ± 10.32) | 5.8 (320) |
| 5-HT\(_1A\) (h) | −3.56 ± 0.21 (18.4 ± 3.89) | −5.46 ± 0.15 (34.50 ± 4.86) | 3.45 ± 0.21 (1.55 ± 3.61) | 2.45 ± 0.49 (0.70 ± 4.10) | 1.6 (4.4) |
| V\(_1A\) (h) | −4.80 ± 0.69 (69.35 ± 1.34) | −11.0 ± 0.66 (59.20 ± 0.10) | 7.45 ± 1.20 (18.90 ± 9.05) | −3.40 ± 0.71 (16.30 ± 2.40) | 0.29 (4.5) |

\(\%\) stimulation and \(\%\) inhibition of control agonist response at 50 μM of anthraquinones. \(\text{EC}_{50}\) (nM) values of standard agonists (D1, D3, and D4: dopamine, 5-HT1A:serotonin, V1A: AVP). \(\text{IC}_{50}\) (nM) values of standard antagonists (D1: SCH-23390, D3: (+)-butaclamol, D4: clozapine, 5-HT1A: (S)-WAY-100635, V1A: d(CH2)51, Tyr(Me)2-AVP).

**Figure 2.** Concentration-dependent percentage of control agonist effect (A) and percentage inhibition of control agonist effect (B, C) of emodin, alaternin, aloe-emodin, and questin on hD3R, hV1AR, and h5-HT1AR, respectively. ND: Not determined.
The test compounds emodin, alaternin, aloe-emodin, and questin did not show any effect on control agonist response. They did not show any agonist response up to 50 μM, and % of control agonist response was negative at 50 μM concentration (Table 2). However, for antagonist effect, emodin and alaternin showed a concentration-dependent inhibition of control response to 10 nM AVP (Figure 2B). Even at 12.5 μM concentration, emodin and alaternin inhibited the 10 nM AVP-induced intracellular Ca2+ concentration by >50%. The IC50 values for emodin and alaternin were 10.25 ± 1.97 and 11.51 ± 1.08 μM, respectively. The reference antagonist [d(CH2)5 1, Tyr(Me)2]-AVP inhibited AVP-induced Ca2+ response with an IC50 value of 4.5 nM. The antagonist effect of aloe-emodin and questin was very weak with an approximately 17% inhibition of control agonist response at 50 μM concentration.

Similarly, previous studies on natural emodin had reported platelet aggregation inhibition38 and vasorelaxant property.39 V1AR in vascular smooth muscles is responsible for vasoconstriction, myocardial contractility, platelet aggregation, and uterine contraction.40 Vasopressin receptor is another target for CNS drugs, and vasopressin antagonists represent a novel approach for the treatment of stress, mood, and behavioral disorders.41

Intraperitoneal injection of emodin at a dose of 25 g/(kg day) in cerebral ischemia/reperfusion (I/R) model rats improved neurological deficit scores and reduced blood−brain barrier (BBB) permeability and infarction area, suggesting the inhibition of the expressions of connexin 43 and aquaporin 4 (AQP4) as a probable mechanism.42 AQP4 is membrane water channel protein that plays an important role in the cerebral edema and brain water balance. A selective V1AR antagonist SR49059 prevented brain edema by suppressing injury-induced upregulation of GFAP, V1AR, and AQP4 after traumatic brain injury.43

Vasopressin has numerous peripheral roles. An increased VP level along with impaired renal water excretion and abnormal renal hemodynamics in a mouse model of CCl4-induced liver cirrhosis has previously been reported.44 Similarly, a recent study on ischemia-reperfusion injury mouse model45 identified upregulated V1R expression in hepatocytes and highlighted the importance of the hepatocyte V1R/Wnt/β-catenin/FoxO3a/Akt pathway in hepatoprotection. From PCM modeling, V1AR was predicted as a top-target for Cassia-anthraquinones. And further validation of PCM prediction via cell-based functional assays in transfected cells expressing human cloned V1AR (CHO-V1AR), emodin, and alaternin was characterized as V1AR antagonists (IC50 = 10.25 ± 1.97 and 11.51 ± 1.08 μM,

| compounds       | binding energy (kcal/mol) | no. of H-bonds | H-bond interaction residues | nonpolar interacting residues |
|-----------------|--------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
| dopamine\(^a\) (agonist) | −5.84                    | 5              | Asp110 (salt bridge), Val111, Thr115, Ser196 | Val111, Cys114, Phe346       |
| rotigotine\(^a\) (agonist) | −9.23                    | 2              | Asp110 (salt bridge), Ser192 | Val111, Phe345, His349, Val107, Cys181, Val111, Cys114 |
| eticlopride\(^a\) (antagonist) | −8.50\(^b\)             | 3              | Asp110 (salt bridge), Asp110, His349 | Val111, Cys114, Val189, Phe346, His349, Val111, Ile183, Phe345 |
| emodin          | −6.67                    | 3              | Ser192, Thr115, Val111 | Val111, Phe345, His349, Tyr365, Val111, Cys114, Ser196 |
| alaternin       | −6.79                    | 4              | Tyr365, Ser196 Thr115, Val111 | Val111, Phe345, His349, Phe345, Ile183, Trp342, Asp110, Cys114, Ser196 |

\(^a\)Dopamine, rotigotine, and eticlopride were used as reference ligands. \(^b\)Root-mean-square deviation (RMSD) value: 0.48 Å.
Therefore, the reported vasorelaxant and antiedema property of emodin might be attributed to its \( \text{V}_{1A} \text{R} \) antagonist effect.

To further interrogate the structural basis of the antagonist mechanism of emodin and alaternin on the vasopressin receptor, compounds were docked into the homology model of \( \text{V}_{1A} \text{R} \) and the result (binding pose and interacting residues) was confirmed by redocking with the reference agonist arginine vasopressin (AVP) and antagonist SR49059. As shown in Figure 4 and tabulated in Table 4, AVP bound to the active site of \( \text{V}_{1A} \text{R} \) with a binding score of \(-9.14 \text{ kcal/mol}\) by forming five H-bond interactions with Asp202, Glu54, Asp112, Ile330, and Trp204, Ile330, Ala101, Ala334, Val132, Met135. Similarly, antagonist SR49059 displayed H-bond interactions with Gln131, Gln108, Lys128, and Trp304, Val132, Met135, Met220, Ala334, Ala205, Gln131, Thr333 with a binding score of \(-8.98 \text{ kcal/mol}\). Emodin involved in four H-bond interactions with Met135, Gln131, Ala101, Gly337, Met135, Ala334, Val132, Ala205 with a bond length of 2.0–2.6 Å (shown by the blue lines in Figure 4B).

Emodin, aloe-emodin, and questin remained ineffective in antagonizing the \( \text{V}_{1A} \text{R} \) receptor.

**Table 4. Binding Sites and Docking Scores of Emodin and Alaternin along with Reference Compounds in the Modeled Human Vasopressin \( \text{V}_{1A} \text{R} \)**

| compounds       | binding energy (kcal/mol) | no. of H-bonds | H-bond interaction residues               | nonpolar interacting residues |
|-----------------|----------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| AVP* (agonist)  | -9.14                     | 5              | Asp202, Glu54, Asp112, Ile330             | Trp204, Ile330, Ala101, Ala334, Val132, Met135 |
| SR49059* (antagonist) | -8.98                 | 5              | Gln131, Gln108, Lys128                    | Phe307, Trp204, Val132, Met135, Met220, Ala334, Ala205, Gln131, Thr333 |
| emodin          | -6.36                     | 4              | Met135, Gln131, Ala101, Gly337            | Val100, Ala101, Ala334, Phe307, Trp304, Met135 |
| alaternin       | -6.40                     | 5              | Lys128, Gln131, Ser213, Val217, Gly337    | Lys128, Met135, Met220, Phe189, Ala205 |

*Arginine vasopressin (AVP) and (2S)-1-[(2R,3S)-5-chloro-3-(2-chloro-phenyl)-1-(3,4-dimethoxybenzene-sulfonyl)-3-hydroxy-2,3-dihydro-1H-indole-2-carbonyl]pyrrolidine-2-carboxamide (SR49059) were used as reference ligands.

In addition, other nonpolar interactions such as \( \pi-\pi \) T-shaped (Phe307 and Trp304) and \( \pi \)-alkyl (Ala334, 5.25 Å) interactions were observed for aromatic rings A and C (Figure 4C). In the case of alaternin, five H-bond interactions with Lys128, Gln131, Ser213, Val217, and Gln311 at a distance of 1.8–3.0 Å were predicted with a binding score of \(-6.40 \text{ kcal/mol} \). Aromatic rings were involved in \( \pi-\pi \) T-shaped interactions with Lys128 (5.43 Å) and Ala205 (4.73 Å), and \( \pi \)-sulfur interaction with Phe307. Although the number of H-bond interactions for alaternin was greater than that of emodin, both showed similar binding energies. The binding sites for antagonist SR49059 and test compounds overlapped—i.e., interaction with polar residue Lys128 and Gln131 in TM III, nonconserved residue Ala334 in TM VII, and aromatic residue Phe307 at the bottom of the binding pocket in TM VI. The interaction with Ala334 and Phe307 plays an important role in binding \( \text{V}_{1A} \text{R} \) selective ligands.

**Alaternin as Human Serotonin-1A Receptor (h5-HT\textsubscript{1A}R) Antagonists.** The antagonist effect of alaternin on h5-HT\textsubscript{1A}R receptor was evaluated fluorimetrically by measuring the free cytosolic Ca\textsuperscript{2+} concentration in response to 30 nM serotonin. Figure 2C illustrates a concentration-dependent inhibitory effect of alaternin on the control agonist (30 nM serotonin) response along with the 50% inhibitory concentration. As shown in Table 2, alaternin showed 34.5% inhibition of 30 nM serotonin effect at 50 μM and gave an IC\textsubscript{50} value of 84.23 ± 4.12 μM (Figure 2C). The reference antagonist (S)-WAY-100635 had an IC\textsubscript{50} value of 4.4 nM. Emodin, aloe-emodin, and questin remained ineffective in antagonizing the h5-HT\textsubscript{1A}R receptor activity.
In a recent study, emodin improved cycloheximide-induced amnesia in rats, and the authors suggested the blocking of serotonin release or activating the presynaptic 5-HT1A receptor and muscarinic receptor as a possible mechanism.47 However, for the particular effect measured here, we did not observe an effect on 5HT1A receptor. In a previous study,48 Cassia seed extract at 20 μg/mL showed a significant antiallergic effect in IgE-mediated mast cells and anaphylactic models by inhibiting the production of IL4 (p < 0.05), TNF (p < 0.01), PGE2 secretion (p < 0.01), and histamine release (p < 0.01). Serotonin is one of the putative inflammatory mediators that is able to induce dose-dependent nociceptive behaviors when injected into the paw, and also appears to interact synergistically with other inflammatory mediators to generate pain.49 In a study on subcutaneous formalin-injected paw model of pain,50 coadministration of 5-HT1A receptor antagonist WAY 100 135 (450 μg/paw) inhibited the phase 2 (long lasting) intense flinching behavior significantly (P < 0.001). Similarly, the analgesic effect of electroacupuncture on inflammatory pain in the rat model of collagen-induced arthritis was blocked by a 5-HT1A receptor antagonist spiroxatrine (1 mg/kg i.p.).51

In a previous report, alaternin attenuated neuronal cell death in transient cerebral hypoperfused mice via anti-inflammatory responses by preventing nitrotyrosine and lipid peroxidation as well as inhibiting nitric oxide synthase expression.52,53 The expression of the 5-HT1A receptor mRNA was enhanced in spinal GABA

| compounds   | binding energy (kcal/mol) | no. of H-bonds | H-bond interaction residues | nonpolar interacting residues |
|-------------|---------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| serotonin* (agonist) | −6.77                     | 4              | Asp116 (salt bridge), Thr200, Val117, Phe362, Phe361, Cys120 |
| WAY 100635a (antagonist) | −11.22                   | 3              | Asp116 (salt bridge), Asn386, Ala93, Tyr96, Thr200, Phe112, Cys120, Ala203, Phe362, Phe361 |
| emodin     | −7.01                     | 1              | Cys187                      | Asp116, Ile189, Phe112, Phe361 |
| alaternin  | −6.77                     | 1              | Cys187                      | Asp116, Ile189, Phe112, Phe361, Trp358 |

*Serotonin and N-{2-[4-(2-methoxyphenyl)-1-piperazinyl]-ethyl}-N-(2-pyridinyl) cyclohexanecarboxamide (WAY 100635) were used as reference ligands.

Table 6. Drug-likeness and ADME Characteristics as Predicted by PreADMET

| compounds | MDDR-like rule | Lipinski’s rule | log P ow | PPB | HIA | in vivo BBB penetration [(brain)/(blood)] |
|-----------|----------------|-----------------|---------|-----|-----|---------------------------------------|
| emodin    | midstructure   | suitable        | 2.56    | 100 | 90.43 | 0.668                                |
| alaternin | midstructure   | suitable        | 2.57    | 98.17 | 75.71 | 0.459                                |
| aloe-emodin| midstructure   | suitable        | 1.89    | 91.11 | 90.64 | 0.492                                |
| questin   | midstructure   | suitable        | 2.69    | 96.06 | 94.04 | 0.730                                |

The log of the coefficient of solvent partitioning between 1-octanol and water. Plasma protein binding (PPB) (<90% represents weak binding, and >90% represents strong binding). Human intestinal absorption (HIA) (0–20%: poor, 20–70%: moderate, and 70–100%: good). Absorption by the CNS <0.1: low, 0.1–2.0: moderate, and >2.0: high.

In a recent study, emodin improved cycloheximide-induced amnesia in rats, and the authors suggested the blocking of serotonin release or activating the presynaptic 5-HT1A receptor and muscarinic receptor as a possible mechanism. However, for the particular effect measured here, we did not observe an effect on 5HT1A receptor. In a previous study, Cassia seed extract at 20 μg/mL showed a significant antiallergic effect in IgE-mediated mast cells and anaphylactic models by inhibiting the production of IL4 (p < 0.05), TNF (p < 0.01), PGE2 secretion (p < 0.01), and histamine release (p < 0.01). Serotonin is one of the putative inflammatory mediators that is able to induce dose-dependent nociceptive behaviors when injected into the paw, and also appears to interact synergistically with other inflammatory mediators to generate pain. In a study on subcutaneous formalin-injected paw model of pain, coadministration of 5-HT1A receptor antagonist WAY 100 135 (450 μg/paw) inhibited the phase 2 (long lasting) intense flinching behavior significantly (P < 0.001). Similarly, the analgesic effect of electroacupuncture on inflammatory pain in the rat model of collagen-induced arthritis was blocked by a 5-HT1A receptor antagonist spiroxatrine (1 mg/kg i.p.).

In a previous report, alaternin attenuated neuronal cell death in transient cerebral hypoperfused mice via anti-inflammatory responses by preventing nitrotyrosine and lipid peroxidation as well as inhibiting nitric oxide synthase expression. There has been a well-reported correlation between 5-HT1A receptor mRNA expression and neuroinflammation. The expression of the 5-HT1A receptor mRNA was enhanced in spinal GABA
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and enkephalin neurons after inflammation.54 We observed a moderate antagonist effect of alaternin in 5-HT1A R (IC50: 84.23 ± 4.12 μM). This corroborates the reported anti-inflammatory effect of alaternin.

In the functional assay, only alaternin showed a moderate antagonist effect on h3-HT3R for which we hence performed docking. As tabulated in Table 5, the 3-OH group of emodin and alaternin displayed a H-bond interaction with Cys187 (Figure S5B,D). Similarly, the same π–π T-shaped interaction with Phe112, π–π stacked interaction with Phe361, and π-anion interaction with Asp116 were observed for both the test compounds (Figure S5C,E). However, additional nonpolar interaction between the 7-OH group and Trp358 was observed for alaternin (Figure S5B). This additional interaction could explain the potency of alaternin compared to that of emodin. However, further experimental analysis is needed to confirm the role of Trp358 in h5-HT1A antagonism.

Drug-likeness and ADME Prediction. Drug-likeness was predicted for emodin, alaternin, aloe-emodin, and questin. The results in Table 6 suggested that these compounds have good druglike properties, as they adhered to the MDDR-like rule55 and Lipinski’s rule.56 All anthraquinones were predicted midstructures in MDDR-like rule and suitable for drug development from Lipinski’s rule. In ADME prediction, all compounds were predicted with strong plasma protein binding (91–100%), good human intestinal absorption (HIA) (75–94%), and good lipophilicity (log P[O/w] value range, 1.89–2.69), indicating the suitability for CNS delivery. Likewise, the blood–brain barrier (BBB) penetration values ([brain]/[blood]) were >0.45% indicating moderate absorption by the CNS.

Overall, drug-likeness and ADME prediction results demonstrated that the test anthraquinones are suitable for CNS delivery. In general, relatively higher lipophilicity provides better CNS penetration; however, too high values may enhance nonspecific plasma protein binding.57 The lipophilicity values log P/log D ranging from 1.7 to 2.8 demonstrate the highest CNS penetration.58,59 and the values for the test anthraquinones in the present study fall within this range. The HIA rate was predicted to be good for all of the compounds, indicating the suitability for oral administration. However, in a previous report,60 oral administration of emodin at doses of 20 and 40 mg/kg rapidly underwent phase II metabolism to form its glucuronide, and the parent form of emodin was almost undetectable in vivo. Therefore, oral administration would not be the best method of application of emodin because of fast elimination and low bioavailability in vivo. All of these predicted results will be helpful for the optimization of druglike properties.

High-throughput screening of chemical compounds had predicted quinone derivatives as reactive and pan assay interference compounds (PAINS) that could show a false biological effect.61,62 However, this effect cannot be generalized for all of the quinone derivatives and structure–activity relationships would be evidence.61 The basic chemical structure of the test compounds is anthracene-9,10-dione with different substituents at ortho-, meta-, and para-positions of two side rings (Figure 1). The effect of the test compounds on test receptors varied with substitution (Table 2). When an anthracene-9,10-dione moiety had a polyhydroxy group at positions C1, C3, and C8 with methylation at position C6 (emodin), it showed potent agonist effect at dopamine D3R and antagonist effect at hV1A R. However, an additional hydroxyl group at position C7 of emodin (as in alaternin) retarded the hD3R agonist effect without altering the antagonist effect at hV1A R. Besides, a moderate antagonist effect at h5-HT1A R was observed for alaternin, which was not observed for emodin. Similarly, a dihydroxy group at position C1 and C8, and a hydroxymethyl group at C6 position in an anthracene-9,10-dione moiety (aloemodin) showed mild antagonist effect at hD3R and hD4R. The hD3R agonist effect and hV1A R antagonist effect showed by emodin and alaternin was completely abolished in aloe-emodin. Interestingly, when an anthracene-9,10-dione moiety had a dihydroxy group at position C3 and C8, methyl group at position C6 and methoxy group at the C1 position, questin selectively modulated the hD3R agonist effect. From this structure–activity relation, the following insights can be drawn—(1) hydroxyl group at C1, C3, and C8 and a methyl group at C6 are essential for hD3R agonist and hV1A R antagonist effects; (2) an additional hydroxyl group at C7 of emodin is functional for the h5-HT1A R antagonist effect.

If all quinone derivatives are PAINS indeed, then all of the test anthraquinones of the present study should show functional effect in all of the tested receptors. However, only emodin and alaternin (7-hydroxyemodin) showed a selective agonist effect on dopamine D3R and antagonist effect on vasopressin V1A R, meaning these two compounds selectively bind to the particular receptor for functional effect. This clearly shows that the functional effect of emodin and alaternin is attributed to the substituents in the anthraquinone structure rather than quinone itself. Still, these effects need to be proved in vivo. Still, these effects need to be proved in vivo.

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, the present study demonstrates the effect of emodin, alaternin (7-hydroxyemodin), aloe-emodin, and questin from C. obtusifolia seeds on various GPCRs (hD1R, hD2R, hD3R, h5-HT1A R, and hV1A R) modulation via cell-based functional assays and corroborate with the PCM prediction. Results characterize emodin and alaternin as dopamine D3R agonists and vasopressin V1A antagonists. Questin showed a moderate hD3R agonist effect, and aloe-emodin showed mild hD3R antagonist effect. Only alaternin was effective in antagonizing h5-HT1A R, and the remaining compounds remained ineffective. Thus, we conclude that anthraquinones, especially emodin and alaternin appear to be an attractive therapeutic route for neuroprotection that has a beneficial effect on the amineergic pathways involved in neurodegeneration.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals and Reagents. A murine interleukin-3-dependent pro-B (Ba/F3) and a transfected Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cell lines were obtained from Eurofins Scientific (Le Bois l’Eveque, France). Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) buffer, 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid (HEPES) buffer, and Hank’s balanced salt solution (HBSS) buffer were purchased from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA). The reference agonists (dopamine, serotonin, and arginine vasopressin) and antagonists (SCH-23390, (+)-butaclamol, clozapine, (S)-WAY-100635, and [d(CH2)3]1 Tyr(Me)2]-AVP) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). All of the drugs, chemicals, and reagents were of the highest grade available.
**Isolation of Compounds.** Details on plant material, extraction, fractionation, isolation, and identification have been described in our recent work. The purity of these compounds was considered to be >98%, as evidenced by spectroscopic data (NMR and MS).

**In Silico Prediction of Targets.** To predict potential protein targets for the four anthraquinones, a proteochemoinformatics modeling (PCM) *in silico* target prediction method was employed. The model was trained on the chemical and biological similarities of 55,079 compounds active and inactive against 99 human proteins (11,537 active pairs vs 43,542 inactive pairs). Machine learning algorithm, in this case, Parzen Rosenblatt Windows (PRW) was utilized to evaluate the patterns that differentiate between active and inactive complexes. Based on the patterns established, the activity of novel compounds against the 99 protein targets can be predicted. Chemical structures were represented as ECFP_4 fingerprint, and chemical similarities were calculated using Aitchison–Aitken kernel. Protein vectors were represented by their full sequence where a protein sequence is denoted as a string of characters, and each character represents an amino acid that is part of the protein. Prior to calculating the similarities between two protein sequences, the sequences are subjected to alignment using MUSCLE, performed using the bio3d package. The model was internally and externally validated by sensitivity values of 0.6837 and 0.4492, respectively. For full information on the model, readers are directed to a previous report.

**GPCR Functional Assay for Human Dopamine Receptor.** The effect of test compounds at human dopamine (D₁, D₃, and D₄) receptors expressed in CHO cells was evaluated by measuring their effect on cAMP modulation via homogeneous time-resolved fluorescence (HTRF), as described previously. Agonist activity was determined by measuring the effect on cAMP modulation, and antagonist activity by measuring the effect on agonist-induced cAMP modulation using the HTRF detection method. The cellular agonist effect was calculated as the percentage of the control response to dopamine for each receptor (D₁, D₃, and D₄) targets, and the cellular antagonist effect was calculated as the percentage inhibition of the dopamine response for each target.

To validate the result, reference antagonist SCH-23390, (+)-butaclamol, and clozapine were used for D₁, D₃, and D₄ receptors, respectively.

**GPCR Functional Assay for Human Serotonin 5-HT₁A and Vasopressin V₁A Receptor.** The agonist activity of test compounds at the human 5-HT₁A receptor expressed in Ba/F3 cells and V₁A receptor expressed in transfected CHO cells was determined by measuring their effect on cytosolic Ca²⁺-ion mobilization using a fluorometric detection method described in our previous reports. For antagonist activity, the effect on agonist-induced cytosolic Ca²⁺-ion mobilization was measured.

Cellular agonist effect at 5-HT₁A receptor was calculated as the percentage of the control response to serotonin (2.5 μM), and the antagonist effect was calculated as the percentage inhibition of the control response to 30 nM serotonin. To validate the result, reference antagonist (S)-WAY-100635 was employed. Similarly, for the cellular agonist at V₁A receptor, the percentage of the control response to 1 μM AVP was determined, and for antagonist effect, percentage inhibition of control response to 10 nM AVP was recorded. The standard reference antagonist [d(CH₂)₅Tyr(Me)₂]-AVP was used to validate the result.

**Homology Modeling and Molecular Docking.** The primary sequence of the human SHT₁A and human V₁AR was obtained from UniProt (ID: P08908 and P37288, respectively). S-HT₁B receptor (PDB SV54) and μ-opioid receptor (4DKL) structures were obtained from the Research Collaboratory for Structural Bioinformatics (RCSB) Protein Data Bank (PDB) and used as a template for homology modeling of SHT₁A and V₁A receptors, respectively. Modeling was conducted through SWISS-MODEL and refined through ModRefiner server (RMSD = 1.264 Å for SHT₁A and 0.645 Å for V₁B). Likewise, X-ray crystallography of a human dopamine D₃ receptor (hD3R)—etiochloride complex (PDB ID: 3PBL) at a resolution 2.89 Å was obtained from the PDB. The three-dimensional (3D) structures of emodin, aloetin, aloemodin, and questin were obtained from the PubChem Compound database (NCBI), with compound CIDs of 3220, 12548, 10207, and 160717, respectively. The docking of the target proteins and active compounds was successfully simulated using the AutoDock 4.2 program. Automated docking simulations were performed using AutoDockTools (ADT) to assess appropriate binding orientations. For the docking calculations, Gasteiger charges were added by default, rotatable bonds were set by ADT, and all torsions were allowed to rotate. Grid maps were generated by AutoGrid. The docking protocol for rigid and flexible ligand docking consisted of 20 independent genetic algorithms; the other parameters used were the ADT defaults. The results were visualized and analyzed using Discovery Studio (v17.2, Accelrys, San Diego, CA) and PyMOL (v1.7.4, Schrödinger, LLC, Cambridge, MA).

**Drug-likeness and ADME Prediction.** Drug-likeness predictions were carried out with PreADMET (v2.0, Yonsei University, Seoul, Korea). This web-based server can be used to predict absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion (ADME) data and build a drug-likeness library in silico.

**Statistics.** All results are expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD) of triplicate samples. Statistical significance was analyzed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) (Systat Inc., Evanston, IL) and was noted at p < 0.05.
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