INTRODUCTION

Materials used for fossil-fired power plants need integrated properties of creep strength and corrosion resistance under the high temperature and stress inherent to supercritical steam. Tempered martensite ferritic (TMF) steel is one of the most commonly utilized materials for heat exchanger in power plants (Rojas et al., 2011). To provide pertinent combined properties under supercritical steam up to 650°C, the microstructure of the materials should be composed of a ferritic matrix in martensite morphology with a large amount of phase boundaries, i.e., prior grain boundary (PAGB), lath boundary, subgrain boundary and second phase boundary (Panait et al., 2010; Rojas et al., 2011). In addition, the composition should contain high Cr to ensure high corrosion resistance and the precipitation of carbides (Chen et al., 2006; Shen et al., 2009; Zhong et al., 2012).

Since the beginning of the twentieth century, researchers have conducted microstructural analyses pertaining to strengthening and degradation mechanisms using optical microscope (OM), scanning electron microscope (SEM), and transmission electron microscope (TEM). Crystallographic analyses of steel under various thermal/deformation treatments have been reported by many recent researchers using electron backscatter diffraction (EBSD) of excellent spot and spatial resolution (Payton et al., 2012). Surface modification of steel
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is mostly performed through plastic deformation techniques in an effort to improve corrosion resistance under the critical conditions (Tan et al., 2008; Scarlin et al., 2009; Ren et al., 2010). The results of microstructural analyses of the treated specimens indicated that the grain refinement in the surface is responsible for the improvement of corrosion resistance (Ren et al., 2010). Ultrasonic nanocrystalline surface modification (UNSM) has been developed recently and applied successfully to various single phase steels (Yu et al., 2009) and alloys (Ahn et al., 2012; He et al., 2014).

In this study, TMF T91 steel, which has a ferritic matrix with various numbers of second phase precipitates dispersed in various boundaries, was subjected to UNSM treatment and analyzed. The objective of this study was to analyze the complex microstructure as well as the evolution of microstructure of T91 upon UNSM treatment. The effect of UNSM treatment on the evolution of ferrite grains and precipitates of the specimens was investigated using OM, SEM, EBSD, and TEM.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Commercialized T91 TMF steel specimens (ASTM A213, with heat treatment consisting of austenitizing, quenching, and double tempering) with chemical composition of 0.07–0.14 C, 0.4–0.7 Mn, 0.2–0.7 Si, 8.0–9.0 Cr, 0.85–1.05 Mo, 0.18–0.25 V, 0.03–0.07 N, ~0.01 P, S, and a balance of Fe (in wt%) were polished (up to ~1,200 grit) then UNSM treated (Yu et al., 2009; Ahn et al., 2012) with a WC ball tip (2.4 mm in diameter) at a frequency of 20,000/s with 1,000–100,000 shot/mm², under static load of 60 N, feed rate of 0.05 mm/rev, 15 rpm, and an amplitude of 30 μm. Sufficient coolant and lubricant were applied throughout the process.

The microstructural evolution of the untreated and treated specimens of the complex TMF steel was studied in the order of OM, SEM, EBSD, and TEM. OM and SEM analyses were carried out on the as-prepared surface and metallographically etched cross-sectional specimens using a Nikon Epiphoto 200 (Nikon, Japan) and a JEM-5610 Microscope (JEOL, Japan), respectively. The etchant used in this study was Vilella’s reagent (100 mL C₂H₅OH+1 g picric acid+5 mL HCl). Cross-sectional specimens for EBSD analysis were prepared by final polishing with colloidal silica for 2 hours. EBSD analysis was carried out on a TSL-OIM system (EDAX, USA) installed in a MIRA II LMH FE-SEM (TESCAN, Czech) using the depth beam scanning mode. TEM examination of the microstructure was carried out on the plan-view specimens at different depth (based on the OM, SEM, and EBSD analyses), whose specimens were prepared by twin jet-polishing using a solution of 95% acetic acid and 5% perchloric acid at room temperature. To prepare TEM plan-view specimens at specific depths, the punched 3 mm disks were thinned from bottom by mechanical polishing to the thickness of 60 μm, and then back-forward jet-polished, of which the details are explained elsewhere (He et al., 2014). TEM investigation was then conducted using a JEM-2100F (JEOL) and a Philips CM200FEG (Philips, Netherlands) operated at 200 keV.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Scanning Electron Microscope Analysis

Fig. 1 shows SEM images of the surfaces and cross-sections of the untreated and UNSM-treated specimens. Surface morphology was investigated by SEM (Fig. 1A and B) first in the untreated specimen, where scratches were observed because the specimen was polished before treatment. The UNSM treated specimen showed an improved surface roughness (Cherif et al., 2010) and a micro-dimpled structure (Amanov et al., 2012). The polished and etched specimens were then analyzed by using SEM, which showed that the untreated T91 has a large amount of precipitates (Fig. 1C). After UNSM treatment, it’s difficult to find difference with the untreated one, nor in very high magnification observation as revealed in Fig. 1D.

It is commonly known that surface modification by various plastic deformation techniques introduces gradient strain on the surface of specimens, where the strain decreases with increasing depth from the top surface and forms a gradient (depth-dependent) microstructure. Typically, the depth of the plastic deformation (or the depth of grain refinement) on a specimen can be easily characterized by OM and SEM cross-sectional analysis (Umemoto et al., 2003; Tan et al., 2008; Yu et al., 2009), where the specimens are prepared by metallographic etching to reveal the grain boundaries. Because the microstructure of T91 steel is dominated by a large amount of precipitates dispersed in the ferritic matrix (Fig. 1C), the evolution of these precipitates, i.e., reduction in size and decreased volume fraction with decreasing depth from the top surface (Zhou et al., 2008; Lu et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2011), should be observed by cross-sectional SEM analysis. However, as shown in Fig. 1D, no such evidence was found; the complex nature of T91 steel has very dense precipitates dispersed on the grain boundary, and after etching, these precipitates were revealed as opposed to the direct appearance of grain boundaries. The presence of precipitates is highly dependent on the etching process, therefore, in case of T91 (as well as other 9%–12% Cr TMF) steel, SEM is not an appropriate method of analyzing the plastic deformation features of the microstructures.

Electron Backscatter Diffraction Analysis

Fig. 2 shows the cross-sectional EBSD micrographs of the specimens before and after UNSM treatment. Fig. 2A and B show the EBSD inverse pole figure (IPF) mapping and
highlighted boundary (2°−15° misorientation) images. Fig. 2A1 and 2B1 are the image quality (IQ) mapping and highlighted boundary misorientation mapping of the untreated and treated specimens, with the low angle grain boundary (LAGB) and high angle grain boundary (HAGB) delineated in red and black, respectively. Fig. 2B, the EBSD result, clearly show the gradient microstructure on the surface region of the T91 specimen upon UNSM treatment is up to ~100 μm from the top treated surface (Fig. 2B). The microstructure was homogenous in untreated specimen, where complex microstructures were very clearly revealed by EBSD, i.e., PAGB, lath, subgrains, and the boundaries with low angle misorientations (Morito et al., 2006) as shown in Fig. 2A1. In the lower layer (~160 μm to ~100 μm), the microstructures of the treated and untreated samples were similar, as confirmed by the lower magnification EBSD mapping results. In the middle layer (~100 μm to ~60 μm), refinement of the lath-like grains appeared with the original grains. In the upper layer (~60 μm to ~30 μm), the refined lath-like grains were the main structure, whereas equiaxed grains are dominant structure in the top layer (~30 μm to the top surface). Grain size clearly decreased with decreasing depth of the matrix, as clearly shown in Fig. 2B.

The misorientation of the grain boundaries suggests that the original coarse grains were subdivided by the formation of new grain boundaries within the matrix (Fig. 2B1). In the lower layer, the formation of new LAGB can be clearly observed; these LAGB were nucleated preferentially in original boundaries and extended to the grain interiors. In the middle layer, the LAGB meet each other to form a “grain” with a boundary comprised of the original grain boundary (especially lath boundaries) and the newly formed LAGB, preliminarily dividing the original grains into finer sized grains. In the upper and top layers, as the LAGB continued to form, the grains developed in the middle layer were further subdivided and divided into even finer sized grains.

Quantitative analyses of the fraction of LAGB in untreated and treated specimens were additionally performed using...
the orientation imaging microscopy (Fig. 3). The fraction evolution shows a parabola feature with decreased depth from the bottom of the matrix to the top surface. At deep depths of deeper than 160 μm, the fraction of LAGB in the treated sample is similar to the untreated sample; as depth decreased to 160 μm–60 μm, the LAGB increased and by 100% in the depth of around 60 μm. However, from there as depth neared to the top surface, the LAGB fraction decreased to almost its original value. This phenomenon further reflects the grain refinement processes described above, which were characterized by LAGB formation dividing the original grains (HAGB).

To summarize, the flexible use of IQ, IPF, and grain boundary misorientation in EBSD in this study has very aptly reflected the complex microstructures in TMF steel. The ferritic grain refinement process was the formation of dislocation in the lower layer (strain), whose pileup and accumulation lead to the formation of subgrain boundary, which increased to its highest fraction in the depth of ~60 μm. With the further increase of strain (or decrease of depth ~60 μm to ~top surface), transformation of LAGB to HAGB subdivided the original grain into nanoscale, resulting in the grain refinement.

Transmission Electron Microscope Analysis
TEM analysis of the untreated and treated specimens was conducted to further study the evolution of ferrite grains and precipitates upon UNSM treatment. Fig. 4A is a TEM image of the specimens prior to UNSM treatment showing typical TMF microstructures (He et al., 2011), i.e., tempered martensite lath and subgrains. The main precipitate, M_{23}C_{6} (as confirmed by selected area diffraction pattern in the inset figure), was observed in various grain boundaries (PAGB, lath boundary, subgrain) and grain interiors. The dislocation
Fig. 4. Typical transmission electron microscope microstructures of specimens: Before (A) and after (B) ultrasonic nanocrystalline surface modification at depths of ~100 μm (B), ~40 μm (C), and top surface (D).

Fig. 5. (A) Variations in average size and number density of $M_6C_6$ with depth from the top surface. (B) A transmission electron microscope image at depth of ~25 μm showing $M_6C_6$ cut by dislocation.
density in the untreated specimen was relatively low, due to the formation of subgrains during tempering. After UNSM treatment, the initial microstructural change was the formation of high density dislocation. The aggregation of these dislocations formed dense dislocation walls (DDWs) with low-misorientation. Fig. 4B is a TEM image of the middle layer (~100 μm depth), where high dislocation density was observed within the lath (marked by a white dotted line). DDWs formed preferentially vertical to the lath boundary. In the upper layer (~40 μm depth), several subgrains were formed within the lath, in accordance with EBSD results. As depth to the top surface continued to decrease, more and more nanograins (~200 nm in size) was developed, as shown in Fig. 4D and the corresponding SADP, a ring-pattern. TEM was also used to study the evolution of the M₁₂₇C₆ (average size and number density) upon UNSM treatment. The average size and number density of M₁₂₇C₆ were obtained from tens of TEM images at different magnifications of each depth. In the top layer, the size of the M₁₂₇C₆ was measured by TEM DF images, as it is difficult to distinguish the M₁₂₇C₆ from newly formed fine grain because of contrast undulation (Fig. 4D). Fig. 5A shows the evolution M₁₂₇C₆ at various depths from the top surface. The size of the M₁₂₇C₆ decreased with decrease of depth from the top surface, indicating that M₁₂₇C₆ was refined by the UNSM treatment. Fig. 5A, the number density of M₁₂₇C₆ as function of depth, indicates that the number density decreases toward its original value as depth increased from the top surface to deep matrix. Although the data shows some fluctuations between the upper layer and the top surface, the number did clearly increase in the top layer. Reduction of size in the lower and middle layers occurred likely due to the compression of M₁₂₇C₆ after the introduction of strain by plastic deformation. Under high strain in the upper and top layer, further reduction in size occurred where one coarse M₁₂₇C₆ was cut by dislocation and slide into two M₁₂₇C₆ as shown in the TEM image of Fig. 5B (taken at depth of ~25 μm). The same phenomenon was potentially the cause of the increase in numerical density in the high strain layer, especially the upper and top layers. In summary, the evolution of the complex TMF matrix structure and M₁₂₇C₆ were characterized successfully by the combined use of EBSD and TEM in this study, including the effects of second phase/precipitates on the refinement of ferritic grains.

**SUMMARY**

In this study, various electron microscopes and analysis techniques were used to study the microstructural evolution of a 9% Cr TMF T91 steel upon UNSM treatment. The conclusions of this study can be summarized as follows.

1. SEM results show an improved surface roughness as the micro-dimpling surface was formed in UNSM treated specimen.

2. EBSD analysis of the cross-sectional specimen clearly shows the plastic deformation and the gradient micro-structure from the top surface to the deep matrix in the treated specimen. IQ and grain boundary misorientation images show a parabolic evolution of LAGB as the depth decreases from the top surface, that i) increase of LAGB with the decrease of depth from ~160 μm to ~60 μm and ii) decrease from ~60 μm to top surface.

3. TEM analysis shows the refinement of ferritic grain and M₁₂₇C₆. High dislocation density was observed in the lower layer, then as depth to the top surface decreased, DDWs and subgrains were observed in the treated specimens. The average size decreased and number density of M₁₂₇C₆ increased, respectively, as depth decreased.

This study confirmed that microstructural refinement of T91 upon UNSM treatment is accomplished on the ferritic matrix through deformation induced dislocations evolution to DDWs with low-angle misorientation, followed by subgrains formation and high angle grain boundaries causing refinement of grains. And the precipitates, with deformation induced dislocation cut through M₁₂₇C₆ resulted in reduction in size and increase in number density.
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