The Mediating Effect of Impulsivity on Resilience and Depressive Symptoms In Korean Conscripts
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Objective Resilience and impulsivity have opposite effects on depression in stressful situations. This study aimed to investigate the association among resilience, impulsivity, and depression in young males.

Methods The participants consisted of 429 conscripts who underwent military training over 5 weeks. The surveys included the Connors-Davidson Resilience Scale-Korean version, the Barratt Impulsiveness Scale-11-Revised, and the Center for Epidemiological Studies-Depression Scale. The surveys were administered both before and after training.

Results When simultaneously considering impulsivity and resilience, resilience was not associated with depression. Impulsivity had a complete negative mediating effect on resilience and depressive symptoms. Impulsivity is a significant negative mediating factor for the protective effect of resilience on depression.

Conclusion This study recommends considering impulsivity when evaluating the protective role of resilience against depression.
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INTRODUCTION

Military service in Korea is compulsory, and various psychiatric problems related to military service have arisen in countries worldwide.1,2 During mandatory military service, negative aspects of military enlistment act as stressors, which can cause depression in young Korean males.2,3

Stress-related symptoms that differ in severity may occur among individuals exposed to similar levels of stress, and the level of symptom severity is related to individual characteristics and the level of resilience, which has been defined as the ability to adapt or overcome adversity or stress and to grow psychologically.4 Therefore, studies on resilience in members of the military have focused on the prevention and treatment of depression.5

Impulsivity is associated with stress vulnerability, which increases the risk of psychiatric problems.6 Because individuals with high impulsivity face stressful situations through error-prone information processing, they tend to exhibit more problematic behaviors.7 In particular, impulsive young males in stressful situations have a greater risk for depressive symptoms.8

This impulsivity seems to be associated with resilience and depressive symptoms. However, previous studies did not suggest a definite association among these three variables in subjects without psychiatric disease. Therefore, our study evaluated the association among impulsivity, resilience and depressive symptoms in young males who undergo stressful military enlistments.

METHODS

All participants were confirmed to be free of marked psychiatric and physical diseases by the national draft examination. A total of 459 males were included, as only young males above 18 years of age have military service obligations. They
all performed basic military training for 5 weeks. The survey was performed to evaluate the mental health of Korean conscripts during basic military training. The survey was conducted twice, before and after basic military training, by self-questionnaire. The questionnaires included sociodemographic characteristics, such as age, level of education (years), and exercise duration. Impulsivity and resilience, which were evaluated by the Barratt Impulsiveness Scale-11-Revised (BIS-11-R) and the Connors-Davidson Resilience Scale-Korean version (CD-RISC), were surveyed before basic military training. The Center for Epidemiological Studies-Depression Scale (CES-D) was used to evaluate depressive symptoms after training. The subjects agreed to participate in this study after they were given a full explanation of the study from a board-licensed psychiatrist, and all participants provided informed consent. This study was approved by the Clinical Medical Research Ethics Committee of the Korean Armed Forces Medical Command (IRB number: ROK-MND-2015-KMMRP-02).

The BIS-11-R consists of 30 items, and each item is answered according to a 4 point-Likert scale. This scale has been used to evaluate the trait of impulsivity. The BIS-11-R evaluates three subdomains: attention, motor, and non-planning. A higher score indicates a higher degree of impulsivity. The validity and reliability of the Korean version of the BIS-11-R were determined using a general population in Korea (Cronbach’s α=0.783).9

The CD-RISC consists of 25 items and was used to determine psychological resilience. Each item is answered according to a 5 point-Likert scale. A higher summed score indicates a higher degree of resilience. The validity and reliability of the CD-RISC-Korean version were determined using a general population and psychiatric patients in previous studies (Cronbach’s α=0.92, 0.93).10,11

The CES-D consists of 20 items, and each item was answered according to a 4 point-Likert scale. The cut-off point for screening of depression is greater than 16. This point was used to separate the depression and control groups in this study. The validity and reliability of the Korean version of the CES-D were determined using clinical patients and normal subjects (Cronbach’s α=0.893).12

An independent t-test was performed to compare sociodemographic characteristics and the mean BIS-11-R and CD-RISC scores between the depression group (CES-D≥16) and the control group (CES-D<16). The correlations among the mean BIS-11-R, CD-RISC, and CES-D scores were evaluated by Pearson's correlation. Binary logistic regression analyses were performed to evaluate risk factors of depression after training. The independent variables were age, level of education, exercise duration, BIS-11-R scores (total, attention, motor, and non-planning); these analyses were performed twice separately for the total and subtypes because of multicollinearity, and CD-RISC scores. A path analysis was performed to evaluate the mediating effect of impulsivity on resilience and depressive symptoms after training. The bootstrapping for indirect effect was 200 [confidence interval (CI): 95%] in the pathway analysis. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 21.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) and AMOS 18.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). A two-tailed p-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

The mean (±SD) values for age, level of education, and exercise duration of the depression group (n=60) were 20.6 (±1.8) years, 12.7 (±1.1) years, and 89.0 (±164.6) minutes/week, respectively. The mean (±SD) values for age, level of education, and exercise duration of the control group (n=369) were 20.5 (±1.5) years, 12.8 (±1.2) years, and 138.9 (±211.4) minutes/week, respectively. The mean exercise duration was significantly different between the two groups (p=0.040); the other variables showed no significant differences.

Significant differences were observed between the two groups for the mean total, attention, motor and non-planning BIS-11-R scores and the CD-RISC scores. The mean scores for the depression and control groups, were 69.4 (±11.1) and 61.2 (±9.0), p<0.001; 23.2 (±4.9) and 20.4 (±3.9), p<0.001; 28.0 (±4.5) and 25.3 (±4.2), p<0.001, 18.2 (±3.8) and 15.5 (±3.0), p<0.001; and 59.8 (±18.2) and 68.2 (±15.6), p<0.001, respectively.

The CES-D score after training was significantly correlated with the total BIS-11-R score (r=0.289, p<0.001), the scores for the attention (r=0.254, p<0.001), motor (r=0.248, p<0.001) and non-planning (r=0.219, p<0.001) subdomains and the CD-RISC score (r=0.201, p<0.001) score. The CD-RISC score was significantly correlated with the total BIS-11-R (r=−0.396, p<0.001) and attention (r=−0.333, p<0.001), motor (r=−0.250, p<0.001) and non-planning (r=−0.397, p<0.001) subdomain scores.

The odds ratios (OR) for depression after training according to the BIS-11-R total score was significant (B=0.080, SE=0.017, OR=1.083, CI=1.047–1.120, p<0.001). The OR for depression after training according to the CD-RISC score was not significant (B=−0.012, SE=0.010, OR=0.988, CI=0.970–1.006, p=0.195). The ORs for age (p=0.623), level of education (p=0.588), and exercise duration (p=0.646) were not significant. In an analysis including the BIS-11-R subdomains, the attention subdomain was a significant risk factor (B=0.171, SE=0.059, OR=1.187, CI=1.058–1.137, p=0.004). The ORs for the CD-RISC (p=0.247), motor subdomain (p=0.401), non-planning subdomain (p=0.570), level of
education (p=0.542), and exercise duration (p=0.828) were not significant. In an additional analysis that only included age, level of education, exercise duration, and CD-RISC as independent variables, the CD-RISC score a was significant protective factor for depression (B=-0.029, SE=0.009, OR=0.972, CI=0.955–0.989, p=0.001).

Figure 1 shows the relationship among impulsivity, resilience, and depressive symptoms after training determined by path analysis. Impulsivity had a completely negative mediating effect on resilience and depressive symptoms; the indirect effect was -0.098 (p=0.005), and the total effect was -0.201 (p=0.009). The direct effect on resilience and depressive symptoms was not significant.

**DISCUSSION**

We investigated the association between impulsivity and resilience as factors that affect depression after military training in young males. High resilience significantly decreased the risk for depression when only sociodemographic variables were considered. However, when impulsivity was also considered, resilience was not significantly associated with depression. Especially in the results of the path analysis, impulsivity completely mediated the negative association between resilience and depression, and the direct effect was not significant for the relationship between resilience and depressive symptoms. These results indicate that impulsivity meaningfully negatively affects the protective role of resilience against depression. Personality traits related to self-regulation, such as neuroticism, might be associated with this mediation.13-15

Neuroticism represents individual differences in adjustment and emotional stability.16 Individuals with increased neuroticism make impulsive judgments by failing to self-regulate rather than by determining proper coping strategies.17 Similarly, individuals with increased impulsivity have a tendency to experience strong impulses under conditions of negative affect, and they are likely to engage in impulsive behaviors to alleviate negative emotions despite the long-term harmful consequences. Moreover, increased impulsivity has been positively related to neuroticism,15 and resilience has shown a strong negative relationship with neuroticism.13,18 Neurotic individuals use negative coping strategies in stressful situations.16 Thus, these people are considered less resilient and are vulnerable to depression. In this context, neuroticism can be a factor that accounts for the complete mediating effect of impulsivity on resilience and depression, which is the main focus of this study.

This study has several limitations. First, it is difficult to generalize the results of this study to the general population because all subjects were men in their early twenties. Second, the participants of this study were all subjects who must complete training as a part of compulsory military service. Therefore, their survey responses might not reflect their true feelings. However, as described in the methods section, the researcher emphasized that not participating in the study would not affect their training.

In conclusion, impulsivity has a complete negative mediating effects on resilience and depression. Recently, the importance of resilience has been emphasized not only in situations of severe trauma, such as war, abuse, and violence, but also in overcoming workplace adversity experienced in daily life.19 Therefore, this study suggests considering impulsivity when evaluating the protective role of resilience against depression.
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