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1. Introduction.

Throughout this note $p$ is an odd prime number, $\mathbb{F}_p$ is the finite field with $p$ elements and $\mathbb{F}(p)$ denotes the field of power series in $1/T$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}_p$, where $T$ is an indeterminate. These fields of power series are known to be analogues of the field of real numbers. A non-zero element of $\mathbb{F}(p)$ is represented by a power series expansion

$$\alpha = \sum_{k \leq k_0} u_k T^k \quad \text{where} \quad k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}, u_k \in \mathbb{F}_p \quad \text{and} \quad u_{k_0} \neq 0.$$ 

We define $|\alpha| = |T|^{k_0}$ where $|T| > 1$ is a fixed real number. The field $\mathbb{F}(p)$ is the completion of the field $\mathbb{F}_p(T)$, of rational elements, for this absolute value.

Like in the case of real numbers, we recall that each irrational element $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}(p)$, with $|\alpha| > 1$, can be expanded in an infinite continued fraction

$$\alpha = [a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n, \ldots] \quad \text{where} \quad a_i \in \mathbb{F}_p[T] \quad \text{and} \quad \deg(a_i) > 0 \quad \text{for} \quad i \geq 1.$$ 

The polynomials $a_i$ are called the partial quotients of the expansion. For $n \geq 1$, we denote $\alpha_{n+1} = [a_{n+1}, a_{n+2}, \ldots]$, called the complete quotient, and
we have
\[ \alpha = [a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n, \alpha_{n+1}] = f_n(\alpha_{n+1}) \]
where \( f_n \) is a fractional linear transformation with coefficients in \( \mathbb{F}_p[T] \). Indeed, for \( n \geq 1 \), we have \( f_n(x) = (x_n x + x_{n-1})/(y_n x + y_{n-1}) \), where the sequences of polynomials \((x_n)_{n \geq 0}\) and \((y_n)_{n \geq 0}\), called the continuants, are both defined by the same recursive relation: \( K_n = a_n K_{n-1} + K_{n-2} \) for \( n \geq 2 \), with the initials conditions \( x_0 = 1 \) and \( x_1 = a_1 \) or \( y_0 = 0 \) and \( y_1 = 1 \). Moreover, for \( n \geq 1 \), we have \( x_n/y_n = [a_1, \ldots, a_n] \) and also \( x_n y_{n-1} - x_{n-1} y_n = (-1)^n \).

We are interested in describing the sequence of partial quotients for certain algebraic power series over \( \mathbb{F}_p(T) \). In the real case, an explicit description of the sequence of partial quotients for algebraic numbers is only known for quadratic elements. We will see that in the power series case over a finite field, such a description is possible for many elements belonging to a large class of algebraic power series containing the quadratic ones. Our study is based upon a particularly simple algebraic equation of degree 4.

Let \( p \) be a prime number with \( p \geq 5 \). Let us consider the following quartic equation with coefficients in \( \mathbb{F}_p(T) \):
\[ x^4 + x^2 - T x - 1/12 = 0. \tag{1} \]
It is easy to see that (1) has a unique root in \( \mathbb{F}(p) \). We denote it by \( u \) and we have \( u = -1/(12T) + 1/(12^2T^3) + \ldots \). We put \( \alpha = 1/u \) and we consider the continued fraction expansion of \( \alpha \) in \( \mathbb{F}(p) \). We have
\[ \alpha = [a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n, \ldots] \quad \text{with} \quad a_1 = -12T. \]
A simple and general fact about this continued fraction expansion can be observed. The root of (1) is an odd function of \( T \), since \( -u(-T) \) is also solution, and consequently all partial quotients are odd polynomials in \( \mathbb{F}_p[T] \).

This quartic equation (1) appeared for the first time in [6]. The authors considered the case \( p = 13 \), hence \(-1/12 = 1\). A partial conjecture on the continued fraction for the solution of (1) in \( \mathbb{F}(13) \), observed by computer, was given in [6] and latter this conjecture was improved in [2]. The proof of this conjecture was given in [4].

The origin of the generalization for arbitrary \( p \geq 5 \) replacing 1 into \(-1/12\) is to be found in [1]. If the continued fraction for the root of (1) is peculiar and can be explicitly described, this is due to the following result ([1], Theorem 3.1, p. 263).
Let \( p \geq 5 \) be a prime number and \( P(X) = X^4 + X^2 - TX - 1/12 \in \mathbb{F}_p(T)[X] \). Then \( P \) divides a nontrivial polynomial \( AX^{r+1} + BX^r + CX + D \) where \( (A, B, C, D) \in (\mathbb{F}_p[T])^4 \) and where

\[
    r = p \quad \text{if} \quad p = 1 \mod 3 \quad \text{and} \quad r = p^2 \quad \text{if} \quad p = 2 \mod 3.
\]

An irrational element \( \alpha \in \mathbb{F}(p) \) is called hyperquadratic if it satisfies an algebraic equation of the form \( A\alpha^{r+1} + B\alpha^r + C\alpha + D = 0 \), where \( A, B, C \) and \( D \) are in \( \mathbb{F}_p[T] \) and \( r \) is a power of \( p \). The continued fraction expansion for many hyperquadratic elements can be explicited. The reader may consult [8] for various examples and also more references.

According to the result stated above, the solution in \( \mathbb{F}(p) \) of (1) is hyperquadratic. It appears that there are two different structures for the pattern of the continued fraction expansion of this solution, corresponding to both cases: \( p \) congruent to 1 or 2 modulo 3. In the second paragraph, if \( p = 1 \mod 3 \), we show that this continued fraction belongs to a much larger family of hyperquadratic continued fractions and this allows us to give an explicit description for \( p = 7 \) and \( p = 13 \). In the second case we will only give indications which might lead to an explicit description of the continued fraction. In a last paragraph, we make a remark on programming which is based on a result established by Mkaouar [7].

We need to introduce a pair of polynomials which play a fundamental role in the expression of the continued fraction of the solution of (1) and of many other algebraic power series. Throughout this note \( k \) is an integer with \( 1 \leq k < p/2 \). For \( a \in \mathbb{F}_p^* \) we define a pair of polynomials in \( \mathbb{F}_p[T] \) by

\[
    P_{k,a}(T) = (T^2 + a)^k \quad \text{and} \quad Q_{k,a}(T) = \int_0^T P_{k-1,a}(x)dx.
\]

Note that the definition of the second polynomial is made possible by the condition \( 2k < p \) : by formal integration a primitive of \( T^n \) in \( \mathbb{F}_p[T] \) is \( T^{n+1}/(n+1) \) if \( p \) does not divide \( n + 1 \).

2. The case \( p = 1 \mod 3 \).

Our method to describe the continued fraction expansion of the solution of (1), when \( p = 1 \mod 3 \), is based upon the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.Let \( p \) be a prime number with \( p = 1 \mod 3 \). Let \( \alpha \in \mathbb{F}(p) \) be defined by \( -\alpha^4/12 - T\alpha^3 + \alpha^2 + 1 = 0 \) and \( \alpha = [a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n, \ldots] \) its
continued fraction expansion. Then there exist integers \( l \) and \( k \), a \( l \)-tuple 
\( \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_l \) \( \in (\mathbb{F}_p^*)^l \) and a triple \( (a, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) \) \( \in (\mathbb{F}_p^*)^3 \) such that
\[
(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_l) = (\lambda_1 T, \lambda_2 T, \ldots, \lambda_l T)
\]
and
\[
\alpha^p = \epsilon_1 P_{k,a} \alpha_{l+1} + \epsilon_2 Q_{k,a}.
\]
We have \((l, k) = ((p - 1)/2, (p - 1)/3)\).

We need to underline that the result stated above is only a conjecture in the sense that it should be true for all primes \( p \) with \( p = 1 \mod 3 \). Actually for a particular prime \( p \), a straightforward computation implies \((C_0)\) and \((C_1)\). We will illustrate this for \( p = 7 \) and \( p = 13 \).

First we make the following observations. In \([3]\) (Theorem 1 p. 332), it was proved that a unique power series in \( \mathbb{F}(p) \) is well defined by \((C_0)\) and \((C_1)\). Indeed, a unique power series in \( \mathbb{F}(p) \) is well defined by \((C_1)\) and an arbitrary choice of the first \( l \) partial quotients in \( \mathbb{F}_p[T] \). Moreover this continued fraction is hyperquadratic. Indeed we have
\[
\alpha_{l+1} = (\alpha^p - \epsilon_2 Q_{k,a})/\epsilon_1 P_{k,a}
\]
and
\[
\alpha = (x_l \alpha_{l+1} + x_{l-1})/(y_l \alpha_{l+1} + y_{l-1})
\]
with the notations presented in the introduction. Combining these two equalities, we obtain the desired algebraic equation:
\[
y_l \alpha^{p+1} - x_l \alpha^p + (\epsilon_1 P_{k,a} y_l - \epsilon_2 Q_{k,a} y_l)\alpha + \epsilon_2 Q_{k,a} x_l - \epsilon_1 P_{k,a} x_{l-1} = 0.
\]
This conjecture is a stronger form of the theorem stated in the introduction.

**Proof of Conjecture 1 for \( p = 7 \) and \( p = 13 \):**
Let \( \alpha \) be the inverse of the root of \((1)\). Then we have \( \alpha^4 = -12(T \alpha^3 - \alpha^2 - 1) \) and by iteration
\[
\alpha^n = a_n \alpha^3 + b_n \alpha^2 + c_n \alpha + d_n \quad \text{for} \quad n \geq 4,
\]
where \((a_n, b_n, c_n, d_n) \in (\mathbb{F}_p[T])^4\). It is easily checked that for \( p = 7 \) or \( p = 13 \) we have \( a_p b_{p+1} - a_{p+1} b_p = 0 \) in \( \mathbb{F}_p[T] \). Therefore combining \((2)\) for \( n = p \) and for \( n = p + 1 \), we obtain
\[
a_p \alpha^{p+1} - a_{p+1} \alpha^p = (a_p c_{p+1} - a_{p+1} c_p) \alpha + (a_p d_{p+1} - a_{p+1} d_p).
\]
We set \( U_p = a_p d_{p+1} - a_{p+1} d_p \) and \( V_p = a_{p+1} c_p - a_c c_{p+1} \), consequently \((3)\) can be written as
\[
\alpha = (a_{p+1} \alpha^p + U_p)/(a_p \alpha^p + V_p).
\]
We define $\delta$ as the g.c.d. of $a_p$ and $a_{p+1}$. Note that $\delta$ is defined up to a multiplicative constant in $\mathbb{F}_p^*$. We set $a_p^* = a_p/\delta$ and $a_{p+1}^* = a_{p+1}/\delta$. In the same way, we set $U_p^* = a_p^*d_{p+1} - a_{p+1}^*d_p$ and $V_p^* = a_{p+1}^*c_p - a_p^*c_{p+1}$. Consequently (4) can be written as

$$\alpha = (a_{p+1}^*a_p^* U_p^*)/(a_p^*a_{p+1}^*) + V_p^*). \tag{5}$$

We set $W = a_{p+1}^*V_p^* - a_p^*U_p^*$. For $p = 7$ or $p = 3$, we easily check that $|a_p^*a_{p+1}^* + V_p^*| > |a_p^*W|$. Therefore, from (5) we obtain

$$|\alpha - a_{p+1}^*/a_p^*| = |W|/|a_p^*(a_p^*a_{p+1}^* + V_p^*)| < |a_p^*|^{-2}.$$

This last inequality proves that $a_{p+1}^*/a_p^*$ is a convergent of $\alpha$. For $p = 7$ we have $a_{p+1}^*/a_p^* = [2T, 6T, 6T] = x_3/y_3$ and for $p = 13$ we have $a_{p+1}^*/a_p^* = [T, 12T, 7T, 117, 8T, 5T] = x_5/y_6$. So the first part of the conjecture holds for $p = 7$ and $p = 13$. As $\delta$ was chosen up to a multiplicative constant, we can assume that $a_{p+1}^* = x_l$ and $a_p^* = y_l$ with $l = (p - 1)/2$ in both cases $p = 7$ and $p = 13$. Now we recall that we have

$$\alpha = (x_l\alpha_l + x_{l-1})/(y_l\alpha_l + y_{l-1}). \tag{6}$$

Hence, combining (5) and (6) we obtain

$$\alpha^p = (-1)^lW\alpha_{l+1} + (-1)^l(x_{l-1}V_p^* - y_{l-1}U_p^*). \tag{7}$$

By a simple computation, (7) implies for $p = 7$

$$\alpha^7 = 3(T^2 - 1)^2/4 + 5(T^3 + 6T) \tag{8}$$

and for $p = 13$

$$\alpha^{13} = (T^2 + 8)^4/4 + 4(2T^7 + 10T^5 + 12T^3 + 5T). \tag{9}$$

So we see that the conjecture holds for $p = 7$ with $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, a) = (3, 5, 6)$ and for $p = 13$ with $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, a) = (1, 4, 8)$.

We need to make a comment on the value of $a$ in the above conjecture (6 for $p=7$ and 8 for $p = 13$). When the paper [1] was prepared, A. Bluher, interested in the Galois group of equation (1), could obtain some complementary results on the coefficients of the hyperquadratic equation. At the fall of 2006, at a workshop in Banff, she presented some of this work in progress. It results from these formulas that we should have $a = 8/27$ in all characteristic.
In order to normalize and to reduce the number of parameters, we make the following transformation. We define in $F_p[T]$, for $1 \leq k < p/2$ as above, the following pair of polynomials:

$$P_k(T) = (T^2 - 1)^k \quad \text{and} \quad Q_k(T) = \int_0^T P_{k-1}(x)dx.$$ Let $v$ be a square root of $-a$ in $F_p$ or $F_{p^2}$, i.e. $v^2 = -a$. Then we have $P_{k,a}(vT) = (-a)^k P_k(T)$ and $Q_{k,a}(vT) = (-a)^{k-1}vQ_k(T)$. We put $\beta(T) = va(vT)$ and $\beta = [b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_l, \beta_{l+1}]$. So if $\alpha = [a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_l, \alpha_{l+1}]$ we obtain $\beta(T) = va(vT) = [va_1(vT), v^{-1}a_2(vT), \ldots, v^{(-1)^l}a_{l+1}(vT)]$. Therefore we have $\beta_{l+1}(T) = v^{(-1)^l}a_{l+1}(vT)$ and $b_i(T) = v^{(-1)^{i+1}}a_i(vT)$ for $i \geq 1$. Consequently $(C_1)$ can be written as $\alpha^p(vT) = (-a)^k \epsilon_1 P_k \alpha_{l+1}(vT) + (-a)^{k-1}v\epsilon_2 Q_k$. Finally $(C_1)$ becomes

$$\beta^p = \epsilon_1' P_k \beta_{l+1} + \epsilon_2' Q_k$$

where

$$\epsilon_1' = (-a)^{k+(p-(-1)^{l})/2} \epsilon_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \epsilon_2' = (-a)^{k+(p-1)/2} \epsilon_2.$$ While $(C_0)$ becomes

$$(b_1, b_2, b_3, \ldots, b_l) = (-a\lambda_1 T, \lambda_2 T, -a\lambda_3 T, \ldots). \quad (C_0')$$

To illustrate this transformation, which will be used later on, we apply it to the inverse of the solution of (1) in $F(13)$. Here we put $\beta = va(vT)$ with $v \in F_{169}$ is such that $v^2 = 5$. Consequently the first six partial quotients become

$$(b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5, b_6) = (5T, 12T, 9T, 11T, T, 5T)$$

and (9) becomes

$$\beta^{13} = 12P_4 \beta_7 + 9Q_4. \quad (10)$$

To describe the continued fraction expansion for the solution of (1) for $p = 1 \mod 3$, we need to introduce a sequence of polynomials in $F_p[T]$ based on the polynomial $P_k$. For a fixed $k$ with $1 \leq k < p/2$, we set

$$A_{0,k} = T \quad A_{i+1,k} = [A_{i,k}^p/P_k] \quad \text{for} \quad i \geq 0.$$ Here the brackets denote the integral (i.e. polynomial) part of the rational function. We observe that the polynomials $A_{i,k}$ are odd polynomials in $F_p[T]$. Moreover, it is important to notice that in the extremal case, if $k = (p - 1)/2$ then $A_{i,k} = T$ for $i \geq 0$. 6
Now we shall consider all the continued fraction expansions defined by

(I) \[ a_j = \lambda_j A_{i(j), k} \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq j \leq l, \quad i(j) \in \mathbb{N} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_j \in \mathbb{F}_p^* \]
together with

(II) \[ \alpha^p = \epsilon_1 P_k \alpha_{l+1} + \epsilon_2 Q_k \quad \text{where} \quad (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) \in (\mathbb{F}_p^*)^2. \]

Here \( p \) is an arbitrary odd prime, \( l \) and \( k \) are integers with \( l \geq 1 \) and \( 1 \leq k < p/2 \), and the polynomials \( P_k, Q_k \) and \( A_{i,k} \) are defined in \( \mathbb{F}_p[T] \) as above. We say that such an expansion is of type \((p, l, k)\). Note that the inverse of the roots of (1) for \( p = 7 \) or \( p = 13 \), and conjecturally for all \( p \) with \( p \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \), have an expansion of type \((p, (p-1)/2, (p-1)/3)\) with \( a_j = \lambda_j A_{0,k} \) for \( 1 \leq j \leq (p-1)/2 \). Note that all power series defined by a continued fraction of type \((p, l, k)\) are hyperquadratic and they satisfy an algebraic equation of degree \( p+1 \). In the case of the root of (1) this equation is reducible.

The structure of expansions of type \((p, l, k)\) is based upon certain properties of the pair \((P_k, Q_k)\) which are given in the following proposition, the proof of which is to be found in [3].

**Proposition 1.** Let \( p \) be an odd prime and \( k \) an integer with \( 1 \leq k < p/2 \). We have in \( \mathbb{F}_p(T) \) the following continued fraction expansion :

\[ P_k/Q_k = [v_{1,k} T, \ldots, v_{i,k} T, \ldots, v_{2k,k} T], \]

where the numbers \( v_{i,k} \in \mathbb{F}_p^* \) are defined by \( v_{1,k} = 2k - 1 \) and recursively, for \( 1 \leq i \leq 2k-1 \), by

\[ v_{i+1,k} v_{i,k} = (2k - 2i - 1)(2k - 2i + 1)(i(2k - i))^{-1}. \]

We set \( \theta_k = (-1)^k \prod_{1 \leq j \leq k}(1 - 1/2j) \). Then we also have

\[ P_k/Q_k = -4k^2 \theta_k^2 [v_{2k,k} T, \ldots, v_{1,k} T] \quad \text{and} \quad A_{i,k}^p = A_{i+1,k} P_k - 2k \theta_k^{i+1} Q_k \]

for \( i \geq 0 \).

For certain expansions of type \((p, l, k)\), we have observed that all the partial quotients, obtained by computer, belong to the sequence \((A_{i,k})_{i \geq 1}\) up to a multiplicative constant in \( \mathbb{F}_p^* \). In general the partial quotients are proportional to \( A_{i,k} \) only up to a certain rank depending on the choice...
of the first \( l \) partial quotients and of the pair \((\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)\). Our goal was to understand under which conditions an expansion of type \((p, l, k)\) could satisfy \(a_j = \lambda_j A_{i(j), k}\) where \(\lambda_j \in \mathbb{F}_p^*\) for all \(j \geq 1\). In this case, we shall say that such an expansion is perfect. We observe that if \(k = (p - 1)/2\), in a perfect expansion all the partial quotients are proportional to \(T\): amazingly such an example also exists in [6] (see the introduction of [3]).

The following theorem gives a sufficient condition for an expansion of type \((p, l, k)\) to be perfect. Before stating our theorem, we need to describe the sequence \((i(n))_{n \geq 1}\) in \(\mathbb{N}^*\) when the expansion is perfect. Given \(l \geq 1\) and \(k \geq 1\), we define the sequence of integers \((f(n))_{n \geq 1}\) where \(f(n) = (2k + 1)n + l - 2k\). Then the sequence of integers \((i(n))_{n \geq 1}\) is defined in the following way:

\[
i(j) \text{ is given for } 1 \leq j \leq l \text{ and } i(f(n)) = i(n) + 1 \text{ for } n \geq 1,
\]

\[
i(n) = 0 \text{ if } n \notin f(\mathbb{N}^*) \text{ and } n > l.
\]

**Theorem 1.** Let \(p\) be an odd prime, \(k\) and \(l\) be given as above. Let \((\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_l)\) in \((\mathbb{F}_p^*)^l\) and \((i(1), \ldots, i(l))\) in \(\mathbb{N}^l\) be given. Let \((f(n))_{n \geq 1}\) and \((i(n))_{n \geq 1}\) sequences of integer, \((A_{i,k})_{i \geq 0}\) sequence in \(\mathbb{F}_p[T]\) and \(\theta_k \in \mathbb{F}_p^*\) be defined as above. Let \(\alpha \in \mathbb{F}(p)\) be a continued fraction of type \((p, l, k)\) defined by (I) and (II). If we can define in \(\mathbb{F}_p^*\)

\[
(III) \quad \delta_n = [\theta_k^{i(n)} \lambda_n, \ldots, \theta_k^{i(1)} \lambda_1, 2k\theta_k/\epsilon_2] \text{ for } 1 \leq n \leq l
\]

and we have

\[
(IV) \quad \delta_l = 2k\epsilon_1/\epsilon_2
\]

then the partial quotients of this expansion satisfy

\[
(V) \quad a_n = \lambda_n A_{i(n), k} \text{ where } \lambda_n \in \mathbb{F}_p^* \text{ for } n \geq 1.
\]

Moreover the sequence \((\lambda_n)_{n \geq 1}\) in \(\mathbb{F}_p^*\) is defined recursively by the first values \(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_l\) and for \(n \geq 1\):

\[
\lambda_{f(n)+i} = -v_{i,k}\epsilon_1^{(-1)^n+i} (2k\theta_k\delta_n)^{-1}\text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq 2k
\]

together with \((\delta_n)_{n \geq 1}\) defined recursively in \(\mathbb{F}_q^*\) by the initial values \(\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_l\) given in (III) and for \(n \geq 1\):

\[
\delta_{f(n)+i} = \epsilon_1^{(-1)^n+i} (iv_{i,k}/(2k - 2i + 1))(2k\theta_k\delta_n)^{-1}\text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq 2k.
\]
This theorem is a modified version of a stronger one given in [5] (Theorem B, p.256). The first modification is due to a simplification. In our previous works, we have considered a more general situation where the power series are defined over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ not necessarily prime. Also we introduced a larger class of continued fraction expansions of type $(r, l, k)$ where $r$ is a power of $p$, and $k$ is chosen in a particular subset of integers in relation with $r$. In this more general context, condition (IV) of Theorem 1 is only sufficient to have all partial quotients proportional to $A_{i,k}$ (see [5] Corollary C). However, if the base field is prime, we may think that (III) and (IV) are sufficient and necessary conditions to have a perfect expansion.

Now we need to explain the main modification. Earlier we had assumed that the first partial quotients were proportional to $A_{0,k} = T$. Here we make a larger hypothesis, which is (I). Indeed this new hypothesis does not alter the proof of Theorem B to which the reader is referred. Nevertheless this implies a minor change: condition (II) there in Theorem B ([5], p. 256) becomes (III) here in Theorem 1.

We illustrate Theorem 1 in the simple case where $l = k = 1$:

**Corollary 1.** Let $p$ be an odd prime. Let $i(1) \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(i(n))_{n \geq 1}$ be defined recursively in $\mathbb{N}$ by

$$i(3n - 1) = i(n) + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad i(3n) = i(3n + 1) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad n \geq 1.$$ 

Let $(A_{i,1})_{i \geq 1}$ be the sequence in $\mathbb{F}_p[T]$ defined above. Let $p$ be an odd prime and $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}(p)$ a continued fraction of type $(p, 1, 1)$, with $a_1 = \lambda_1 A_{i(1),1}$. Assume that $\epsilon_1^2 + 2 \epsilon_1 \neq 0$ and $\lambda_1 = (\epsilon_1^2 + 2 \epsilon_1)(-2)^{i(1)}/\epsilon_2$. Then we have $a_n = \lambda_n A_{i(n),1}$ where the sequence $(\lambda_n)_{n \geq 2}$ in $\mathbb{F}_p^*$ is defined by

$$\lambda_{3n-1} = \epsilon_1^{(-1)^n} \lambda_n, \quad \lambda_{3n} = -\epsilon_1^{(-1)^n+1} \delta_n^{-1}, \quad \lambda_{3n+1} = -\lambda_{3n}^{-1}$$

and the sequence $(\delta_n)_{n \geq 1}$ in $\mathbb{F}_p^*$ is defined by $\delta_1 = -2 \epsilon_1/\epsilon_2$ and

$$\delta_{3n-1} = -\epsilon_1^{(-1)^n} \delta_n/2, \quad \delta_{3n} = -\epsilon_1^{(-1)^n+1} \delta_n^{-1}, \quad \delta_{3n+1} = 2 \delta_{3n}^{-1}.$$ 

Now we turn our attention to the continued fraction expansion of the root of equation (1). By applying Theorem 1, we have the following result.

**Corollary 2.** Let $p$ be an odd prime with $p = 1 \mod 3$. We set $(l, k) = ((p-1)/2, (p-1)/3)$. We consider the sequence $(A_{i,k})_{i \geq 0}$ in $\mathbb{F}_p[T]$ introduced
above. For \( n \geq 1 \), we set \( i(n) = v_{(2p+1)/3}((p-1)(4n-1)/6) \) where \( v_m(n) \) denotes the largest power of \( m \) dividing \( n \). Let \( \alpha \) be the unique root of 
\[-x^4/12 - x^3 + x^2 + 1 = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{F}(p) \] and \( \alpha = [a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n, \ldots] \) its continued fraction expansion. Assume \( p = 7 \) or \( p = 13 \) and set \( v = 1 \) if \( p = 7 \) and \( v = \sqrt{5} \in \mathbb{F}_{169} \) if \( p = 13 \). Then there exists a sequence \( (\lambda_n)_{n \geq 1} \) in \( \mathbb{F}_p^* \) such that 
\[ a_n = \lambda_n v^{(-1)^n} A_{i(n),k}(T/v) \quad \text{for } n \geq 1. \]

The sequence \( (\lambda_n)_{n \geq 1} \) is defined from the \((l + 2)\)-tuple \((\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_l)\), as in Theorem 1, with \((3, 5, 2, 6, 6)\) for \( p = 7 \) and \((12, 9, 5, 12, 9, 11, 1, 5)\) for \( p = 13 \).

The proof of this corollary follows immediately from the proof of Conjecture 1 for \( p = 7 \) or \( p = 13 \). We put \( \beta(T) = v \alpha(vT) \). Hence we have \( \beta = [b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n, \ldots]\) where \( a_n(T) = v^{(-1)^n} b_n(T/v) \). If \( p = 7 \) then the continued fraction expansion for \( \beta \) is defined by \( (b_1, b_2, b_3) = (2T, 6T, 6T) \) and (8), moreover \( k = 2 \) and \( \theta_2 = 3 \). If \( p = 13 \) then the continued fraction expansion for \( \beta \) is defined by \( (b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5, b_6) = (5T, 12T, 9T, 11T, T, 5T) \) and (10), moreover \( k = 4 \) and \( \theta_4 = 2 \). To apply Theorem 1, we only need to check that \( [\lambda_1, \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_1, 2k\theta_k/\epsilon_2] \) exists and is equal to \( 2k\epsilon_2/\epsilon_2 \), in both cases. Hence we have \( b_v = \lambda_n A_{i(n),k} \) for \( n \geq 1 \). Finally it is elementary to verify that the sequence \( \nu_{(2p+1)/3}((p-1)(4n-1)/6))_{n \geq 1} \) satisfies the same initial conditions and the same recurrence relation as the sequence \( (i(n))_{n \geq 1} \) defined before Theorem 1.

As we remarked after Conjecture 1, the limitation to \( p = 7 \) and \( p = 13 \) in this corollary is artificial. Indeed, by computer, for a given \( p = 1 \) mod 3, after the transformation mentioned above where \( v = \sqrt{-8/27} \), it is possible to obtain the \((l + 2)\)-tuple, \((\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_l)\). Thereby we can check that the right condition is fulfilled. Therefore we conjecture that the formula given in this corollary for the partial quotients of the root of (1) holds for all primes \( p \) with \( p = 1 \) mod 3. Besides, we recall that the case \( p = 13 \) of this corollary has already been published in [4].

To measure the quality of rational approximation to a given irrational power series, we have the following classical definition.

**Definition.** Let \( \alpha \in \mathbb{F}(p) \) be an irrational element and \( \alpha = [a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n, \ldots] \) its continued fraction expansion. We set 
\[ \nu_0(\alpha) = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \deg(a_{n+1})/ \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n} \deg(a_k) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{\infty\}. \]
The quantity $\nu(\alpha) = 2 + \nu_0(\alpha)$ is called the rational approximation exponent of $\alpha$.

Note that an analogous quantity for real numbers can be defined. In the middle of the nineteenth century, Liouville remarked that this quantity was bounded for algebraic numbers and so he could prove the existence of transcendental real numbers. In the middle of the twentieth century, Mahler adapted Liouville’s work to the setting of fields of power series over an arbitrary field. If $\alpha$ is algebraic of degree $d$ over $\mathbb{F}_p(T)$ then we have $\nu(\alpha) \in [2; d]$. If $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}(p)$ is defined as a continued fraction expansion of type $(p, l, k)$, we know that it satisfies an algebraic equation of degree $p + 1$ and consequently we have $\nu(\alpha) \in [2; p + 1]$. If this expansion is perfect, the description given in Theorem 1 allows to compute the rational approximation exponent. Indeed, since we have $\deg A_{0,k} = 1$ and $\deg(A_{i+1,k}) = p \deg(A_{i,k}) - 2k$, we see that $a_n = \lambda_n A_{i(n),k}$ implies $\deg(a_n) = (p^{i(n)}(p - 1 - 2k) + 2k)/(p - 1)$. Consequently, if the sequence $i(n)$ is not too complex, the computation of $\nu_0(\alpha)$ is elementary. We just state below two cases.

**Corollary 3.** Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}(p)$ be a perfect continued fraction of type $(p, l, k)$. If $a_j = \lambda_j A_{0,k}$ for $1 \leq j \leq l$ then $\nu_0(\alpha) = (p - 2k - 1)/l$. If $l = k = 1$ and $a_1 = \lambda_1 A_{i,1}$ then $\nu_0(\alpha) = (p - 1)(p^{i+1} - 3p^i)/(p^{i+1} - 3p^i + 2)$. Consequently we have $\nu(\alpha) = 8/3$, if $\alpha$ is the root of (1) for $p = 7$ or $p = 13$.

Note that the second result in this corollary implies that a perfect continued fraction of type $(p, 1, 1)$ is algebraic of degree $p + 1$ over $\mathbb{F}_p(T)$, if $p \geq 5$ and $i \geq 1$. Moreover, according to the previous remarks, the last statement is conjectured to be true for all $p = 1 \mod 3$.

Finally we make a remark on perfect expansions. For a given triple $(p, l, k)$ and a given vector $(i(1), \ldots, i(l)) \in \mathbb{N}^l$ there are clearly $(p - 1)^{l+2}$ expansions of type $(p, l, k)$, each one defined by the $l+2$-tuple $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_l)$. A general expansion of type $(p, l, k)$ has a pattern difficult to describe and we have not tried to do so. Nevertheless, in such a finite set of expansions, it seems that the approximation exponent should be minimal when the expansion is perfect.

### 3. Indications in the case $p = 2 \mod 3$

In this second case, the pattern for the continued fraction of the solution of equation (1) appears to be very different from the one we have described in the first case. Here again there seems to be a general pattern for all primes $p$ with $p = 2 \mod 3$. This pattern is not understood but
we can indicate some observations which are somehow parallel to what has been presented above. We do not know whether a similar method can be developped from these indications to obtain an explicit description of this continued fraction. We have the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 2.** Let \( p \) be a prime number with \( p = 2 \mod 3 \). Let \( \alpha \in \mathbb{F}(p) \) be defined by \(-\alpha^4/12 - \alpha^3 + \alpha^2 + 1 = 0\) and \( \alpha = [a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n, \ldots] \) its continued fraction expansion. Then there exist integers \( l \) and \( k \) and a triple \((a, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) \in (\mathbb{F}_p^*)^3\) such that

\[
\alpha^{p^2} = \epsilon_1 P_{k', a_{l+1}} + \epsilon_2 Q_{k, a}^p \quad (C_2)
\]

We have

\[
(l, k', k) = \left( \frac{(p+1)^2}{3}, \frac{p^2-1}{3}, \frac{p+1}{3} \right).
\]

At last we state the following proposition, whose proof could be simply deduced from Proposition 1. The notations are the same as there.

**Proposition 2.** Let \( p \) be an odd prime, \( k \) an integer with \( 1 \leq k < p/2 \) and \( i \) an integer with \( 1 \leq i < p/2 \). We have in \( \mathbb{F}_p(T) \) the following continued fraction expansion:

\[
P_{kp-i}/Q_k^p = [v_{1,k}A_{1,i}, -\delta_1^{-1}v_{1,i}T, -\delta_1v_{2,i}T \ldots, -\delta_1^{-1}v_{2i-1,i}T, -\delta_1v_{2i,i}T, \ldots, v_{2k-1,k}A_{1,i}, -\delta_{2k-1}^{-1}v_{1,i}T, -\delta_{2k-1}v_{1,i}T \ldots, -\delta_{2k-1}^{-1}v_{2i-1,i}T, -\delta_{2k-1}v_{2i,i}T, \ldots, v_{2k,k}A_{1,i}]
\]

where

\[
\delta_j = 2i\theta_i[v_{j,k}, v_{j-1,k}, \ldots, v_{1,k}] \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq j \leq 2k-1.
\]

Moreover, writing \([b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n]\) for the expansion given above, we also have \([b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n] = -4k^2\theta_k^2[b_n, b_{n-1}, \ldots, b_1]\).

4. A remark on programing.

Before concluding, we want to discuss a particular way to obtain by computer the begining of the continued fraction expansion for an algebraic power series. The natural way is to start from a rational approximation, often obtained by truncating the power series expansion, and therefrom transform this rational into a finite continued fraction as this is done for an
algebraic real number. However, here in the formal case, it is possible to process differently. The origin of this method is based on a result introduced by M. Mkaouar, it can be found in [7] and also in other papers from him. We recall here this result:

**Proposition (Mkaouar)** Let $P$ be a polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_q[T][X]$ of degree $n \geq 1$ in $X$. We put $P(X) = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n} a_iX^i$ where $a_i \in \mathbb{F}_q[T]$. Assume that we have

\begin{equation}
(*) \quad |a_i| < |a_{n-1}| \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq i \leq n \quad \text{and} \quad i \neq n - 1.
\end{equation}

Then $P$ has a unique root in $\mathbb{F}(q)^+ = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}(q) \mid |\alpha| > |T|\}$. Moreover, if $u$ is this root, we have $[u] = -[a_{n-1}/a_n]$. If $u \neq [u]$ and $u = [u] + 1/v$ then $v$ is the unique root in $\mathbb{F}(q)^+$ of a polynomial $Q(X) = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n} b_iX^i$ with the same property ($*$) on the coefficients $b_i$.

In this proposition, it is clear that the coefficients $b_i$ can be deduced from $[u]$ and the $a_i$’s. We have $b_n = P([u])$, so if $u$ is not integer we obtain $[v] = -[b_{n-1}/b_n]$. Consequently the process can be carried on, for a finite number of steps if the solution $u$ is rational or infinitely otherwise. Thus the partial quotients of the solution can all be obtained by induction. This method can be applied to obtain the continued fraction expansion of the solution of our quartic equation, starting from the polynomial $P(X) = -X^4/12 - TX^3 + X^2 + 1$. We have written here below the few lines of a program (using Maple) to obtain the first two hundred partial quotients of this expansion.

```maple
p:=5:n:=200:u:=-1/12 mod p:
a:=array(1..n):b:=array(1..n):c:=array(1..n):d:=array(1..n):
e:=array(1..n):qp:=array(1..n):
a[1]:=u:
b[1]:=-T:
c[1]:=1:
d[1]:=0:
e[1]:=1:
qp[1]:=-quo(b[1],a[1],T) mod p:
for i from 2 to n do
a[i]:=simplify(a[i-1]*qp[i-1]^4+b[i-1]*qp[i-1]^3+c[i-1]*qp[i-1]^2+d[i-1]*qp[i-1]+e[i-1]) mod p:
b[i]:=simplify(4*a[i-1]*qp[i-1]^3+3*b[i-1]*qp[i-1]^2+2*c[i-1]*qp[i-1]+d[i-1]) mod p:
c[i]:=simplify(6*a[i-1]*qp[i-1]^2+3*b[i-1]*qp[i-1]+c[i-1]) mod p:
d[i]:=simplify(4*a[i-1]*qp[i-1]+b[i-1]) mod p:
e[i]:=a[i-1]:
qp[i]:=-quo(b[i],a[i],T) mod p:od:
print(qp);
```

This method is easy to use in two cases: if the degree of the initial polynomial is small and also if the initial polynomial has the particular form...
corresponding to an hyperquadratic solution. Indeed in this second case, in the proposition stated above, the polynomial $Q$ has the same form as $P$, therefore the recurrence relations between the coefficients of $P$ and those of $Q$ are made simple. In both cases, it seems that the method is of limited practical use because the degrees of the polynomials in $T$, giving the partial quotients by division, are growing fast.

References

[1] A. Bluher and A. Lasjaunias, *Hyperquadratic power series of degree four*, Acta Arithmetica **124** (2006), 257-268.

[2] W. Buck and D. Robbins, *The continued fraction of an algebraic power series satisfying a quartic equation*, Journal of Number Theory **50** (1995), 335–344.

[3] A. Lasjaunias, *Continued fractions for hyperquadratic power series over a finite field*, Finite Fields and their Applications **14** (2008), 329-350.

[4] A. Lasjaunias, *On Robbins’ example of a continued fraction expansion for a quartic power series over $\mathbb{F}_{13}$*, Journal of Number Theory **128** (2008), 1109-1115.

[5] A. Lasjaunias, *Algebraic continued fractions in $\mathbb{F}_{q}((T^{-1}))$ and recurrent sequences in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$*, Acta Arithmetica **133** (2008), 251-265.

[6] W. Mills and D. Robbins, *Continued fractions for certain algebraic power series*, Journal of Number Theory **23** (1986), 388–404.

[7] M. Mkaouar, *Sur les fractions continues des séries formelles quadratiques sur $\mathbb{F}_{q}(X)$*, Acta Arithmetica **97.3** (2006), 241-251.

[8] W. Schmidt, *On continued fractions and diophantine approximation in power series fields*, Acta Arithmetica **95.2** (2000), 139-166.

Lasjaunias Alain
Institut de Mathmatiques de Bordeaux-CNRS UMR 5251
Université Bordeaux 1
351 Cours de la Libération
F-33405 TALENCE Cedex FRANCE
e-mail: Alain.Lasjaunias@math.u-bordeaux1.fr