Injury on White Oak Seedlings from Herbicide Exposure Simulating Drift
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Abstract. Herbicide drift to landscape and woodland areas is a particular concern in midwestern United States where the topography is relatively flat, large-scale agriculture relies on herbicides, and housing developments and woodlands are intermingled with agricultural fields. Recently, leaf abnormalities (called leaf tatters) have been reported on white oak (Quercus alba L.). We evaluated the effects of field corn herbicides on white oak at the swollen bud, leaf unfolding, and expanded leaf stages. Container-grown white oak seedlings were treated with 1%, 10%, and 25% standard field use rates of 2,4-D isooctyl ester, glyphosate, 2,4-D isooctyl ester + glyphosate, dicamba, acetochlor + atrazine, and metolachlor. Loss of intervenal tissues (leaf tatters) occurred after treatment with the chloroacetanilide herbicides, acetochlor (+ atrazine) and metolachlor, on swollen-tissue-stage seedlings in the leaf unfolding stage. No other herbicide caused tatter-like symptoms. Dicamba and 2,4-D ester applied at the leaf unfolding stage caused leaf cupping, downward rolling of leaf margins, elongation of leaf tips, leaf strapping with parallel veination, and initial leaf cupping followed by death of the growing point. Glyphosate applied at either the leaf unfolding or expanded leaf stage caused leaf chlorosis and necrosis, leaf tip browning, and curling of leaves. Herbicide applications near white oak should be timed before leaf unfolding or after the expanded leaf stages.

White oak is a valuable forest and landscape species native to the eastern United States (Elias, 1987). Since the early 1980s, loss of intervenal tissues giving leaves a lacy appearance has been reported on white oak (Haugen et al., 2000). In the spring of 2003, Wisconsin nursery inspectors found leaf tatters on oak trees at garden centers [Wisconsin Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection (WDATCP), 2003]. Leaf tatters have also been reported in states from Minnesota, south to Missouri, and east to Pennsylvania (Haugen et al., 2000). The problem can affect a substantial portion of a tree’s canopy reducing the aesthetic appeal, overall tree vigor, and health. This makes affected trees more susceptible to other stressors such as adverse environments, air pollution, or pests (Haugen et al., 2000).

Haugen et al. (2000) and WDATCP (2003) proposed that insect feeding, environmental factors, or herbicide drift could cause leaf tatters. Our preliminary research eliminated insect feeding as a cause of leaf tatters and found trees near agricultural fields were most likely to be injured. In northern Illinois, leaf tatters occur in early to mid-May when corn (Zea mays L.) is planted and before widespread soybean [Glycine max (L.) Merr.] planting or postemergence applications of glyphosate. Based on these observations, we theorized that leaf tatters was caused by drift from herbicide applications before or at corn planting.

Atrazine, glyphosate, s-metolachlor, and acetochlor are the most common herbicides applied to corn in the midwest (U.S. Department of Agriculture, 2006). In Illinois, misapplications of dicamba or 2,4-D (growth regulators) are the most common cause of drift injury complaints (Mohr, 2004). There are no published reports of these specific herbicides causing injury to white oaks but all have caused injury to other plant species. Preemergent applications of acetochlor have injured sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench] (Roeth et al., 1983). Chrysanthemum (Chrysanthemum leucanthemum L.) and kale and collards (Brassica oleracea L. var. acephala DC.) were stunted by applications of s-metolachlor (Derr, 1993; Harrison et al., 1998). S-metolachlor caused transient leaf curling and malformed growth to some collard and kale cultivars (Harrison et al., 1998).

Simulated glyphosate drift on pepper (Capsicum annuum L.) caused chlorotic terminal buds and later chlorosis of the foliage developing from the buds (Gilreath et al., 2000). Al-Khatib et al. (1992) reported that glyphosate applications to rose (Rosa dilctica) caused leaf distortion, curling, cupping in developing leaves, and chlorosis of young leaves. Symptoms were aggravated with increasing rates of glyphosate. Rose treated with reduced rates of 2,4-D or 2,4-D + glyphosate had epinasty of stems and petioles along with leaf curling, crinkling, and curling. After 10 d, roses treated with the 2,4-D + glyphosate combination had only symptoms consistent with 2,4-D injury (Al-Khatib et al., 1992). On boxelder (Acer negundo L.), leaf blight injury symptoms were caused from exposure to 2,4-D concentrations as low as 0.01 μg (Phipps, 1963). Simulated 2,4-D or dicamba drift to soybeans or field bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.) caused leaf and stem elongation and leaf malformation, including cupping and curling (Behrens and Lueschen, 1979; Lyon and Wilson, 1986).

The impact of herbicide drift on native species is difficult to evaluate and quantify compared with annual crop species. Native species are often perennials and present in communities and not in monocultures (Obrigawitch et al., 1998). Also, the effects of herbicide drift on these species can be subtle such as increased mortality, reduced biomass, lower fecundity, and modifications in morphology and development (Freemark and Boutin, 1995; Gove et al., 2007). Herbicide drift can cause alterations of species composition and diversity; devaluation of wildlife habitat, recreational uses, or aesthetic vistas; reduction in timber or wood pulp production; and lower livestock-carrying capacity (Obrigawitch et al., 1998). Although herbicides at recommended rates can selectively affect growth and species composition of seminatural vegetation, knowledge on the impact of sublethal herbicide concentrations is scarce (Marrs et al., 1991). Our objective was to determine the effects of field corn herbicides on white oak at different stages of development.

Materials and Methods

Two-year-old, bare root white oak seedlings (Mason State Nursery, Topeka, IL) were planted in 8.52-L plastic nursery pots in a potting soil consisting of 65% pine bark, 15% peatmoss, and 20% rice hulls (White Premium Organics, Ottawa, IL). The potting soil was supplemented with 1.8 kg·m⁻³ of Peter’s starter fertilizer (Scotts Company, Marysville, OH), 783 g·m⁻³ of dolomitic limestone, and 75.6 g·m⁻³ of Aqua-Gro® 2000 G wetting agent (Scotts Company). Seedling root systems were trimmed to remove damaged roots and to fit into the containers. White oak seedlings were planted on 23 and 19 Apr. in 2004 and 2005, respectively. Seedlings were 0.5 to 0.6 m tall in 2004 and 0.4 to 0.5 m tall in 2005. After planting, containers were placed pot to pot until herbicide treatment. Seedlings were hand-watered as needed, visually gauging the moisture needs in the container mix and the appearance of the oak leaves. Each third watering, a Peter’s (20N–20P–10K) liquid fertilizer dissolved in water at 200 ppm N was applied to supply ≤0.35 g of nitrogen (N) and...
potassium (K) and 0.17 g of phosphorus (P) per container. Seedlings were placed in an outdoor site ≈3 km from the nearest crop fields. This site was chosen to avoid oak injury from drift of herbicides applied to agricultural fields.

The experiment was a completely random design with seven single-plant replications. The fixed factors were herbicide treatments, rates, and white oak growth stage. We used herbicides commonly applied to corn and previously found to injure plants. We selected the herbicide rates (1%, 10%, and 25% of a common use rate) based on theoretical modeling of drift and rates used in previous studies (Bhatti et al., 1997; Gilreath et al., 2000; Gove et al., 2007; Kleijn and Snoeijing, 1997). In other simulated drift studies, injury was affected by plant growth stage at the time of herbicide application (Miller et al., 2004). White oak seedlings also undergo distinct growth stages as their buds break dormancy and initiate leaf opening (Dougherty et al., 1979). We chose to treat white oak seedlings at the swollen bud, leaf unfolding, and expanded leaf growth stages (Fig. 1).

Herbicide treatments and rates were: 1) water (control); 2) 2,4-D isooctyl ester at 375 (25%), 150 (10%) and 15 (1%) g·ha⁻¹ a.i.; 3) glyphosate at 275, 110, and 11 g·ha⁻¹; 4) 2,4-D isooctyl ester + glyphosate at 200 + 250, 80 + 100, 8 + 10 g·ha⁻¹, respectively; 5) dicamba at 175, 70, and 7 g·ha⁻¹ + 28% N at 4.7 L·ha⁻¹ + 0.8 L·ha⁻¹ of nonionic surfactant (Activator 90, Greeley, CO); 6) acetochlor + atrazine at 697 + 275, 279 + 110, 28 + 11 g·ha⁻¹, respectively; and 7) metolachlor at 500, 200, and 20 g·ha⁻¹. Herbicides were applied at the Plant Care Facility of the University of Illinois as over-the-top spray to the oaks using a compressed air sprayer equipped with a single 80015 EVS nozzle (Spraying Systems, Wheaton, IL) delivering 187 L·ha⁻¹ at 207 kPa. Oaks were treated on 5 and 3 May (swollen bud), 10 and 11 May (leaf unfolding), and 1 June and 31 May (expanded leaf) in 2004 and 2005, respectively. Although herbicide application dates differed between growth stages, all oak seedlings were treated under similar environmental conditions in the greenhouse.

After the herbicides dried, seedlings were moved back to the outdoor site and spaced 45 × 45 cm center to center. Seedlings were watered and fertilized as described previously. Seedlings were photographed to document injury symptoms. Every 7 d, the primary author visually rated the proportion of shoot tissue affected by herbicide injury (1 = no injury to 10 = all plant tissue injured). The repeated ratings documented injury development, the extent of injury, and the rate of recovery. In 2005, the primary author also specifically rated loss of interveinal tissues (1 = no tatters to 5 = all leaves are skeletonized).

Herbicides often cause chlorosis, brownning, and necrosis of leaf tissue that can be quantified by measuring leaf color. On 10 and 13 June, 15 and 13 July, and 13 and 10 Aug., in 2004 and 2005, respectively, we used a Single Photon Avalanche Diode (SPAD) meter (SPAD-502; Spectrum Technologies Inc., Plainfield, IL) to measure the greenness of the first fully expanded, mature leaf, from the terminal tip. For better accuracy, five readings were made on the selected leaf and averaged. Starting on 19 Aug. 2004 and 12 Aug. 2005, all the leaves from each oak tree were harvested and the petioles removed. Total leaf area was measured using a LI-3100 leaf area meter (LI-COR, Inc., Lincoln, NE).

Most of the visual injury was observed when white oak seedlings were treated at the leaf unfolding stage. Hence, the visual and tatter ratings are presented as 15, 45, and 90 d after treatment (DAT) at the leaf unfolding stage. Data were analyzed with the Proc Mixed procedure in SAS (release 8.02; SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC). The least significant difference test (P = 0.05) was used for mean separation and the letters indicating significant differences were assigned using the macro “PDMIX 800” procedure (Saxton, 1998).

Results and Discussion

Visual injury to white oak seedlings was dependent on year, herbicide treatment, concentration, growth stage, and rating date. Visual injury developed within 5 d and was still evident 90 DAT at the leaf unfolding stage. Treatment at the swollen bud stage did not consistently injure seedlings compared with treatment at the other growth stages. The type, intensity, and persistence of injury symptoms differed among herbicides. Injury symptoms from 2,4-D ester or dicamba were leaf cupping, leaf margins rolling downward, elongation of leaf tips, and a few seedlings with leaf strapping (parallel veination) or initial leaf cupping followed by death of the growing point (Fig. 2A–C). Leaf cupping, rolling, and strapping are common symptoms of growth regulator herbicide drift (Bhatti et al., 1997; Lyon and Wilson, 1986). When applied at the expanded leaf stage, 2,4-D ester and dicamba caused leaf wilting and yellowing or browning.

Seedlings treated with glyphosate at either the leaf unfolding or expanded leaf stage had leaf yellowing and browning, leaf tip browning, and abnormal leaves (Fig. 2D).
Gilreath et al. (2000) and Miller et al. (2004) reported similar injury symptoms from simulated glyphosate drift on peppers and cotton (*Gossypium* spp.). Symptoms on oak seedlings treated with 2,4-D ester + glyphosate were a combination of those for each herbicide, including leaf cupping and rolling, yellowing, abnormal leaf tips, and twisted stems followed by reduced plant growth and leaf death. Bhatti et al. (1997) also observed leaf death from simulated 2,4-D + glyphosate drift on grapevines (*Vitis vinifera* L.).

Acetochlor + atrazine and metolachlor applied at the oak leaf unfolding stage caused loss of interveinal tissues giving the leaves a lacy appearance (Fig. 3). These leaves were also similar to leaves on mature white oak trees we found affected by leaf tatters in landscapes. After \( \approx 45 \text{ to } 65 \) d, the seedlings had a second flush of normal-appearing leaves. Haugen et al. (2000) and WDATCP (2003) also reported that tatters only affected the first flush of leaves.

At 15 DAT, year, herbicide, concentration, and growth stage interacted to determine injury (Table 1). In both years, seedlings treated at the leaf unfolding stage with 2,4-D ester, acetochlor + atrazine, and metolachlor at all concentrations were injured compared with the control. The threshold for 2,4-D ester, acetochlor + atrazine, and metolachlor injury of oak seedlings was less than our lowest concentration. Dicamba at 1% field rate and glyphosate at 1 and 10% field rate did not injure oak seedlings compared with the control. Bhatti et al. (1997) found 2,4-D at all rates (1% to 33%) and glyphosate only at 33% maximum use rate caused visual injury to grapes. In 2004, 2,4-D ester + glyphosate at 1% field rate did not injure seedlings, whereas all rates injured seedlings in 2005. Variation in temperature and humidity before application may explain the difference in 2,4-D ester + glyphosate injury between years (Wills, 1978). The average temperature for 10 d before treatment at the leaf unfolding stage was 19.7 and 10.7 °C in 2004 and 2005, respectively. Wills (1978) reported more glyphosate injury from applications at cooler temperatures because of greater herbicide translocation.

At 45 DAT, visual injury was determined by the interaction of year, herbicide,
Table 1. Visual ratings of injury to white oak seedlings, 15 d after treatment (DAT) at the leaf unfolding stage (20 to 22 DAT at the swollen bud stage).

| Herbicide treatment | Swollen bud | Leaf unfolding |
|---------------------|-------------|---------------|
|                     | 1% 10% 25%  | 1% 10% 25%    |
| Control             | 1.6 | 1.0 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.2 |
| 2,4-D ester         | 4.0 c-k | 3.4 e-l | 2.9 g-l | 4.4 b-i | 6.6 ab | 7.3 a |
| 2,4-D ester + glyphosate | 3.1 g-l | 2.4 i-l | 3.1 g-l | 4.1 c-j | 5.6 a-e | 5.0 b-g |
| Dicamba             | 2.4 i-l | 3.4 c-i | 3.0 c-i | 2.7 h-l | 5.6 a-e | 5.0 b-g |
| Glyphosate          | 2.0 j-l | 3.4 d-l | 4.0 c-k | 3.1 g-l | 3.3 f-i | 4.9 b-h |
| Acetochlor + atrazine | 4.9 b-h | 3.7 c-i | 3.7 c-i | 5.7 a-d | 4.4 b-i | 5.9 a-e |
| Metolachlor         | 3.6 d-l | 4.0 c-k | 3.6 d-l | 4.9 b-h | 5.4 a-f | 4.9 b-h |

Table 2. Visual ratings of injury to white oak seedlings in 2004 at 45 d after treatment (DAT) at the leaf unfolding stage (50 to 52 DAT at the swollen bud stage and 25 DAT at the expanded leaf stage).

| Herbicide treatment | Swollen bud | Leaf unfolding | Expanded leaf |
|---------------------|-------------|---------------|---------------|
|                     | 1% 10% 25%  | 1% 10% 25%    | 1% 10% 25%    |
| Control             | 3.3 g-f | 4.0 b-g | 3.9 c-g | 3.9 c-g | 4.0 b-g | 3.9 c-g |
| 2,4-D ester         | 4.6 a-c | 4.9 a-c | 3.4 fg | 4.4 a-f | 5.0 ab | 5.0 ab |
| 2,4-D ester + glyphosate | 4.3 a-g | 4.0 b-g | 4.0 b-g | 4.3 a-g | 4.4 a-f | 5.1 a |
| Dicamba             | 3.6 e-g | 3.7 d-g | 3.3 g-g | 3.7 d-g | 4.4 a-f | 4.6 a-e |
| Glyphosate          | 3.4 f-g | 3.7 d-g | 4.3 a-g | 3.4 fg | 4.3 a-g | 5.0 ab |
| Acetochlor + atrazine | 4.0 g-b | 3.3 g-g | 4.0 b-g | 5.1 a | 4.9 a-c | 4.9 a-c |
| Metolachlor         | 3.7 d-g | 4.3 a-g | 3.6 e-g | 5.0 ab | 5.1 a | 4.0 b-g |

Table 3. Visual ratings of injury to white oak seedlings in 2005 at 45 d after treatment (DAT) at the leaf unfolding stage (50 to 52 DAT at the swollen bud stage and 25 DAT at the expanded leaf stage).

| Herbicide treatment | Swollen bud | Leaf unfolding | Expanded leaf |
|---------------------|-------------|---------------|---------------|
|                     | 1% 10% 25%  | 1% 10% 25%    | 1% 10% 25%    |
| Control             | 1.9 h-n | 1.7 i-n | 1.7 i-n | 1.7 i-n | 1.7 i-n | 1.3 n |
| 2,4-D ester         | 2.0 k-n | 1.7 i-n | 1.7 i-n | 1.4 mn | 4.0 e-i | 4.4 d-h |
| 2,4-D ester + glyphosate | 2.9 h-n | 2.3 j-n | 1.4 mn | 4.0 e-i | 4.4 d-h | 5.0 c-f |
| Dicamba             | 2.0 k-n | 2.9 h-n | 2.0 k-n | 2.6 i-n | 5.0 c-f | 5.3 c-e |
| Glyphosate          | 3.0 h-m | 2.4 i-n | 3.6 f-k | 2.4 i-n | 2.0 k-n | 4.9 c-g |
| Acetochlor + atrazine | 1.9 i-n | 1.3 n | 1.3 n | 3.6 f-k | 7.3 ab | 5.3 c-e |
| Metolachlor         | 2.0 k-n | 1.9 i-n | 1.4 mn | 3.7 e-j | 6.1 a-c | 5.7 b-d |

Injury visual ratings from 1 = no injury to 10 = all plant tissue injured.
*Means with the same letter across all columns for each year are not significantly different using least significant difference in proc mixed at P ≤ 0.05.
injury developed and plants recovered more slowly than other herbicide treatments. Miller et al. (2004) also reported that glyphosate injury developed slowly. The initial leaf tatters injury from acetochlor + atrazine and metolachlor remained on the trees even after a second flush of unjured leaves. We also observed later leaf flushes without injury on mature white oak trees with leaf tatters. Loss of interveinal tissues of the first flush of leaves (leaf tatters) only occurred on seedlings treated with acetochlor + atrazine or metolachlor at the leaf unfolding stage (Fig. 3).

Few treatments affected leaf greenness (SPAD). In June, although the herbicide and concentration interaction was significant, only 2,4-D ester at the 25% rate had lower SPAD readings compared with the control (data table not shown). This could be the result of leaf yellowing on seedlings treated with 2,4-D ester. In July and August, herbicide treatment did not affect leaf greenness compared with the control. Although the SPAD meter is a useful instrument for non-destructive determination of total chlorophyll content on broadleaf plants, it has limitations (i.e., requirement for multiple measurements, influence of leaf thickness) (Campbell et al., 1990; Chapman and Barreto, 1997). SPAD measurements of leaf greenness are not useful for quantifying herbicide injury on oak seedlings.

Injury symptoms from 2,4-D and dicamba applications to white oak are consistent with injury reported in other studies. Leaf yellowing and browning and abnormal growth from glyphosate were also reported in other studies. Our research is the first to document leaf tatter injury from exposure of oaks to chloroacetanilide herbicides. Leaf tatters decrease the aesthetic value of oaks, making containerized oak seedlings unmarketable. Further research is needed to determine how multiple occurrences of leaf tatters affect white oak stands. Additional studies are needed to determine how atrazine influences leaf tatters and if lower rates of chloroacetanilide herbicides cause injury.
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