Effect of FOCUS-PDCA procedure on improving self-care ability of patients undergoing colostomy for rectal cancer

Efeito do procedimento FOCUS-PDCA na melhoria da capacidade de autocuidado de pacientes submetidos a colostomia por câncer retal
Efecto del procedimiento FOCUS-PDCA en la mejora de la capacidad de autocuidado de los pacientes sometidos a colostomía por cáncer de recto

ABSTRACT

Objective: To investigate the effect of the FOCUS-PDCA procedure on the self-care ability of patients undergoing colostomy for rectal cancer. Method: A nonrandomized controlled trial of 160 patients with rectal cancer undergoing colostomy. The control group received routine nursing intervention, and the observation group received the FOCUS-PDCA procedure. The self-care ability of the two groups was investigated 1 week and 1 month after surgery, and a comparative analysis was made between the groups. Results: One week after surgery, the self-care ability of rectal cancer patients with colostomy increased from 39.09 points before implementation of the FOCUS-PDCA procedure to 60.15 points after implementation; an increase of 21.06%. One month after surgery, the self-care ability increased from 61.50 points to 83.13 points after implementation of the FOCUS-PDCA procedure; an increase of 21.63%. Conclusion: Application of the FOCUS-PDCA procedure improved the self-care ability of rectal cancer patients undergoing colostomy, improved their physical and mental health, reduced colostomy complications, and improved their quality of life. The results suggest that it is worth applying FOCUS-PDCA more widely.
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INTRODUCTION

Colorectal cancer is the fourth most life-threatening cancer\(^{(1)}\), and some rectal cancer patients need permanent or preventive colostomy\(^{(2)}\). Although this kind of surgical treatment has saved patients’ lives, it also changes the normal defecation routine, and this can have a negative effect on psychological, physical, and social activities, affecting quality of life. The self-care ability of rectal cancer patients with colostomy has a direct impact on their health and ultimately affects their quality of life\(^{(3)}\). There is an urgent need for medical staff to improve the self-care ability of patients undergoing colostomy for rectal cancer.

The PDCA cycle was originally proposed by Walter A. Shewhart in the 1930s. In the 1950s, it was adopted by American quality management expert W. Edward Deming and began to be used in enterprise management; then, it was widely used in quality management. PDCA is recognized by management as an effective work procedure and one of the effective quality management methods\(^{(4)}\). The FOCUS-PDCA procedure is an extension and perfection of the PDCA cycle. The aim is to understand all aspects of the process more carefully in order to achieve the goal of continuous quality improvement. FOCUS-PDCA is a continuous quality improvement management plan put forward by the American Hospital Organization in the 1990s, and it has undergone a long development process from enterprise management to hospital management. Since the 20th century, FOCUS-PDCA has been applied to central laboratory management\(^{(5)}\), critical case management\(^{(6)}\), ventilator-associated pneumonia management of inpatients\(^{(7)}\), and family nursing\(^{(8)}\). Implementation of the FOCUS-PDCA procedure is divided into 9 steps, including 5 FOCUS steps and 4 PDCA cycles\(^{(9)}\). The purpose of the FOCUS procedure is to identify potential problems, and the most important aspect of PDCA is to achieve continuous quality improvement. In the present study, we applied the FOCUS-PDCA procedure to the self-care ability of rectal cancer patients undergoing colostomy.

METHOD

STUDY DESIGN

A nonrandomized controlled trial study.

SCENARIO

According to the particularity and ethics of the disease, the segmented convenience method was used to select rectal cancer cases. A total of 80 patients with rectal cancer undergoing colostomy from October 2018 to March 2019 were selected as the control group, and they were given routine nursing. A total of 80 patients with rectal cancer undergoing colostomy from April to September 2019 were selected as the observation group. The FOCUS-PDCA procedure was applied to the observation group. The self-care ability of the patients was investigated and compared at 1 week and 1 month after surgery.

Inclusion criteria for colostomy in patients with rectal cancer were: (1) all patients were diagnosed with rectal cancer by pathological and imaging examination and underwent colostomy; (2) all patients were aware of the disease and had normal communication skills; and (3) all patients volunteered to participate in the study. The exclusion criteria for colostomy in patients with rectal cancer were: (1) cognitive impairment or mental abnormality; (2) other malignant tumors or severe heart, brain, liver, kidney or lung diseases; and (3) illiteracy, upper limb disability or poor self-care ability.

The control group comprised 52 men (65.0%) and 28 women (35.0%), with a mean ± SD age of 62.6 ± 8.27 years when the patients underwent colostomy surgery. Sixty-eight patients (85.0%) were without stoma-related complications and 12 (15.0%) had colostomy-related complications. The observation group comprised 49 men (61.25%) and 31 women (38.75%), with a mean age of 64.2 ± 8.34 years when the patients underwent colostomy surgery. Seventy patients (87.5%) were without stoma-related complications and 10 (12.5%) had colostomy-related complications. Detailed data are shown in Table 1.

Table 1 – Sociodemographic Characteristics – Yanji City, Jilin Province, China, 2018-2019.

|                          | Control group n=80 | Observation group n=80 | χ² / Z | P       |
|--------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|-------|---------|
| **Age**                  |                     |                        |       |         |
| Mean age (standard deviation) | 62.6±8.27          | 64.2±8.34              | -1.189 | 0.236   |
| ≤55                      | 14(17.5%)           | 13(16.25%)             | -0.036 | 0.971   |
| 56-65                    | 28(35%)             | 30(37.5%)              |       |         |
| 66-75                    | 32(40%)             | 30(37.5%)              |       |         |
| ≥75                      | 26(32.5%)           | 7(8.75%)               |       |         |
| **Sex**                  |                     |                        | 0.242 | 0.623   |
| Male                     | 52(65.0%)           | 49(61.25%)             |       |         |
| Female                   | 28(35.0%)           | 31(38.75%)             |       |         |
| **Complications**        |                     |                        | 0.211 | 0.646   |
| With complications       | 12(15.0%)           | 10(12.5%)              |       |         |
| No complications         | 68(85.0%)           | 70(87.5%)              |       |         |
| **Education**            |                     |                        | -0.507 | 0.612   |
| None or Basic            | 16(20%)             | 12(15%)                |       |         |
| Secondary or vocational  | 48(60%)             | 52(65%)                |       |         |
| University               | 16(20%)             | 16(20%)                |       |         |
| **Marital status**       |                     |                        | 2.535 | 0.469   |
| Married                  | 48(60%)             | 52(65%)                |       |         |
| Separated-divorced       | 12(15%)             | 14(17.5%)              |       |         |
| Widowed                  | 15(18.75%)          | 8(10%)                 |       |         |
| Single                   | 5(6.25%)            | 6(7.5%)                |       |         |
| **Employment status**    |                     |                        | 1.558 | 0.816   |
| Occupational activity    | 13(16.25%)          | 15(18.75%)             |       |         |
| Retired                  | 30(37.5%)           | 33(41.25%)             |       |         |
| Self-employed            | 14(17.5%)           | 10(12.5%)              |       |         |
| Employee                 | 18(22.5%)           | 15(18.75%)             |       |         |
| Others                   | 5(6.25%)            | 7(8.75%)               |       |         |

Note: *independent sample t-test was used for comparison between the two groups; *nonparametric test was used for comparison between the two groups; *chi-square test was used for comparison between the two groups.
DATA COLLECTION

The FOCUS-PDCA procedure was adopted to continuously improve any problems found. The specific implementation steps were as follows.

F (Find problems): during operation of the colostomy nursing clinic in our hospital, it was found that the self-care ability of colostomy patients was at a low level, and most of the patients came from grass-roots hospitals.

O (Organize): to improve the self-care ability of colostomy patients, a continuous quality improvement (CQI) team composed of doctors, nurses, international enterostomy therapists, psychological counselors and managers defined the division of labor and implementation progress.

C (Clarify): CQI members referred to the International ESCA (Electron Spectroscopy for Chemical Analysis) self-care ability scale(9) and developed a self-care ability test table for patients undergoing colostomy. There were 25 items, 11 items queried self-care knowledge, 8 self-care skills, and 6 self-care attitude. Items were scored using a ranked (ordinal) scale of 0 to 4 points, where a score of 0 indicated a low level of self-care and 4 a high level. The 25 scored items generated a cumulative score ranging from 0 to 100 points, with higher scores indicating higher levels of self-care. Administration of the instrument by a CQI member required 20 minutes. The details are shown in Chart 1.

U (Understand): according to the above survey, CQI members analyzed the root causes using a fishbone diagram from 5 aspects of personnel, equipment, materials, system and environment through brainstorming(9), as shown in Figure 1.

| Dimension | Item |
|-----------|------|
| Self-care knowledge | The importance and function of colostomy |
| | Normal colostomy shape and color |
| | Colostomy chasis, accessory product functions |
| | The judgement and change timing of colostomy pocket leak |
| | The purchase way and storage method of colostomy pocket |
| | Related knowledge of diet and clothing after colostomy |
| | Related knowledge about sleep and motion after colostomy |
| | Bathing method after colostomy |
| | Related knowledge about sexual life after colostomy |
| | Identification and prevention of colostomy complication |
| | Time, frequency, place and method of postoperative follow-up |
| Self-care skills | Method for emptying feces in colostomy pocket |
| | Method of removing the colostomy chassis |
| | Method of measuring the size of a colostomy |
| | Clipping method of colostomy pocket |
| | Method of observe and clean the skin around the colostomy |
| | Method of pasting the colostomy chassis |
| | Method for dealing with various colostomy complications |
| | Proper use of colostomy attachment products |
| Self-care attitude | Read books on colostomy frequently |
| | Know self-stress relief and reasonable vent |
| | Know the benefits and barriers to healthy behavior |
| | Actively communicate with medical staff |
| | Visit the colostomy clinic regularly and see the doctor in time |
| | Be confident in your own healthy behaviors |

Nine factors were selected by score, and Plato was drawn after 9 factors were regraded, following the Pareto rule(10), as shown in Figure 2. The four reasons for the cumulative percentage of 75.91% were the nonstandard continuous nursing, inadequate health education, lack of professional knowledge, and no assessment mechanism. This was ultimately the root cause why the CQI team needed to improve.
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Causes of low self-care ability of colostomy

| Causes | Score Value | Cumulative Percentage |
|--------|-------------|-----------------------|
| A: nonstandard continuous nursing | 48 | 19.59% |
| B: inadequate health education | 46 | 21.13% |
| C: no assessment mechanism | 44 | 33.17% |
| D: lack of professional knowledge | 13 | 39.94% |
| E: irregular location of colostomy | 12 | 40.67% |
| F: lack of manpower | 12 | 41.88% |
| G: underdeveloped informatization | 10 | 41.98% |
| H: limited supply of colostomy products | 0 | 100% |
| I: poor patient obedience | 0 | 100% |

Notes: A: nonstandard continuous nursing; B: inadequate health education; C: no assessment mechanism; D: lack of professional knowledge; E: irregular location of colostomy; F: lack of manpower; G: underdeveloped informatization; H: limited supply of colostomy products; I: poor patient obedience.

Figure 2 – Plato’s method was used to analyze the reasons for the low self-care ability of patients undergoing colostomy in Yanji City, Jilin Province, China, 2018.

S (Select): in view of the above four root causes leading to the decline in self-care ability of colostomy patients, members of the CQI team formulated and decided to implement corresponding countermeasures to improve continuous nursing services, implement standardized health education, establish self-evaluation of colostomy patients, and strengthen professional knowledge training.

P (Plan): set the target value according to the working ability of CQI members: the score of self-care ability reached 60 points within 1 week after surgery, and the score of self-care ability reached >80 points within 1 month after surgery.

D (Do): at present, continuous nursing is mainly carried out through telephone follow-up, family visit, colostomy fraternity, colostomy outpatient follow-up and other forms, but these forms continue the nursing cycle, methods and publicity content has no standardized process. In order to solve this problem, the following measures were taken: (1) to uniformly formulate the nodes, contents, methods and tools of colostomy to extend the nursing time to 1 month, to achieve standardization; (2) to establish nursing platforms such as WeChat groups, official accounts and QQ groups of doctors and patients by using information systems to carry out remote monitoring and guidance to improve patient compliance; (3) to provide fully personalized nursing services according to the patients’ physical, psychological and social needs, to encourage patients to return to society as soon as possible; and (4) bring the telephone follow-up rate of discharged patients up to the evaluation standard of nursing quality, which is linked to nursing performance, so as to improve the attention of medical staff to continuous nursing.

The CQI group formulated the preoperative and postoperative health education plan for colostomy patients, carried out according to the schedule, and realized the whole process of health education, as detailed in Chart 2.

Chart 2 – Preoperative and postoperative health education plan for patients with colostomy – Yanji City, Jilin Province, China, 2019.

Medical staff provide patients with a large amount of health information in a variety of ways, but ultimately, patients’ awareness and compliance directly affect their self-care ability. The CQI team established a set of procedures to evaluate the self-care ability of patients undergoing
colostomy, by patients’ self-evaluation and medical staff’s self-evaluation, which were before surgery, after surgery and before discharge. The evaluation included observing the normal color and function of colostomy, the method of changing pockets, the identification and prevention of colostomy complications, and the guidance of matters needing attention in daily life. Ensure that healthy behavior is implemented.

Nurses are the direct caregivers, propagandists and managers of patients. The theoretical knowledge and skills mastered by nurses directly affect the health outcome of patients[13]. Members of the CQI team developed a multilevel training program to popularize the theoretical knowledge and operational skills related to colostomy nursing. The theoretical training was mainly based on multimedia teaching, and the operational skills training was mainly based on the job visit mode, combined with case analysis of colostomy complications to improve the nurses’ ability to identify and solve problems. Through the implementation of the FOCUS-PDCA plan. The nurses were tested in theory and operation. A (Action): CQI team members judged whether running the FOCUS-PDCA plan achieved the desired results. If the effect was obvious, we formulated relevant standardized procedures for further promotion and application. If there were deficiencies in the implementation, then we formulated corrective measures to bring the unfinished work into the next PDCA cycle for continuous improvement, to constantly improve the self-care ability of patients, and finally achieve the desired goals.

DATA ANALYSIS AND PROCESSING

SPSS 22.0 was used for statistical analysis, measurement data: in line with normal distribution, using independent sample t-test, paired measurement data using paired t-test; counting data: classification differences were compared by chi-square test; rank sum test was used to compare grade differences.

ETHICAL ASPECTS

The study was conducted between 2018 and 2019. All procedures were approved by the Ethics Committee of Affiliated Hospital of Yanbian University (No. 2020159) and were in line with national regulations relating to human research.

RESULTS

From October 2018 to March 2019, we used 25 scoring items to check the self-care ability of 80 patients undergoing colostomy for rectal cancer. Self-care ability at 1 week and 1 month after surgery was 39.09 and 61.50 points, respectively, which seriously affected the quality of life of patients after surgery. According to the above survey, to improve the self-care ability of patients with colostomy, CQI members analyzed the root causes using a fishbone diagram of five aspects of personnel, equipment, materials, system and environment through brainstorming. The four reasons for the cumulative percentage of 75.91% were nonstandard continuous nursing, inadequate health education, lack of professional knowledge, and no assessment mechanism. This was the root cause why the CQI team needed to improve, and finally decided to implement the FOCUS-PDCA plan. The scores of nurses in the theory test and operation test improved from 67.95 to 90.56 points and 78.63 to 95.56 points, respectively. After implementation of FOCUS-PDCA, from April 2019 to September 2019, the self-care ability of 80 rectal cancer colostomy patients increased from 39.09 to 60.15 points; an increase of 21.06%. One month after implementation of FOCUS-PDCA, the self-care ability of patients increased from 61.50 to 83.13 points; an increase of 21.63%. The difference was significant (P<0.01), as shown in Table 2.

Table 2 – Comparison of self-care ability scores before and after FOCUS-PDCA implementation – Yanji City, Jilin Province, China, 2019.

| Number of patients | Self-care ability 1 week after operation (score) | Self-care ability 1 month after operation (score) |
|--------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Control group (X=8) | 80 39.09±15.71                                 | 60.15±11.32                                   |
| Observation group (X=8) | 80 61.50±8.98                                 | 83.13±7.15                                   |
| Increase (%) | t | P | t | P |
| 21.06% | 38.651 | <0.01 | 21.63% | 49.895 | <0.01 |

DISCUSSION

In recent years, with the application of the Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) concept of accelerated rehabilitation surgery, rectal cancer patients with colostomy can reach the discharge indication 7 days after colostomy[12]. During this week, patients not only have to accept the changed route of fecal excretion, but also master the operational skills related to colostomy nursing, which is a major challenge for patients. The changes in patients’ health knowledge, skill level and self-concept directly affect self-care ability, and ultimately the quality of life and health outcome[3]. We ran the FOCUS-PDCA program to analyze the factors that affected the self-care ability of colostomy patients by fishbone diagram, Plato and Pareto rules, focusing on the key problems that needed to be solved, and achieved the best results in a short time by taking more effective and targeted intervention measures. After using the FOCUS-PDCA procedure, the self-care ability of patients with rectal cancer colostomy increased significantly by 21.06% and 21.63% 1 week and 1 month after colostomy, respectively and the difference was statistically significant.

At present, there are various forms of in-hospital and out-of-hospital nursing for colostomy patients, such as telephone follow-up, family visit, colostomy fraternity, and colostomy outpatient follow-up. How to effectively combine these nursing modes in order to maximize the effect is a difficult problem that our CQI group needs to solve. Therefore,
we integrated the above nursing models, from admission to discharge, discharge to family, to develop specific nursing content, cycle, methods and processes to achieve standardization. At the same time, we established an evaluation system for self-care ability of colostomy patients, find the deficiency in time, and analyzed the weaknesses, in order to improve the self-care ability of colostomy patients.

On the basis of evidence-based guidelines, the CQI team members created relevant self-care manuals and standardized tables for colostomy, and applied them in clinical practice. The contents of self-care manuals included physiological anatomy, surgical methods, daily life management, emotional management, pocket replacement methods, correct selection of colostomy accessories, and complication management, which can meet the needs of patients with pictures and texts.
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**RESUMEN**

Objetivo: Investigar el efecto del procedimiento FOCUS-PDCA sobre la capacidad de autocuidado de pacientes sometidos a colostomía por cáncer de recto. Método: Un ensayo clínico no randomizado con 160 pacientes con cáncer de recto sometidos a colostomía. El grupo control recebió intervención de enfermería de rutina, y el grupo observación recibió el procedimiento FOCUS-PDCA. La capacidad de autocuidado de los dos grupos se investigó por 1 semana y 1 mes después de la cirugía, y se realizó un análisis comparativo entre los grupos. Resultados: En una semana después de la cirugía la capacidad de autocuidado de los pacientes con cáncer de recto aumentó de 39,09 puntos antes de la implementación del procedimiento FOCUS-PDCA para 60,15 puntos después de la implementación, un aumento de 21,06%. En un mes después de la cirugía, la capacidad de autocuidado aumentó de 61,50 puntos para 83,13 puntos después de la implantación del procedimiento FOCUS-PDCA, un aumento de 21,63%. Conclusión: La aplicación del procedimiento FOCUS-PDCA mejoró la capacidad de autocuidado de los pacientes con cáncer de recto sometidos a colostomía, mejoró su calidad de vida. Los resultados sugieren que vale la pena aplicar el FOCUS-PDCA de manera más amplia.
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**RESUMO**

Objetivo: Investigar o efeito do procedimento FOCUS-PDCA na habilidade de autocuidado de pacientes submetidos a colostomia por câncer retal. Método: Um ensaio clínico não randomizado com 160 pacientes com câncer retal submetidos a colostomia. O grupo controle recebeu intervenção de enfermagem de rotina, e o grupo observação recebeu procedimento FOCUS-PDCA. A capacidade de autocuidado dos dois grupos foi investigada por 1 semana e 1 mês após a cirurgia, e foi feita uma análise comparativa entre os grupos. Resultados: Em uma semana após a cirurgia a capacidade de autocuidado de pacientes com câncer retal aumentou de 39,09 pontos antes da implementação do procedimento FOCUS-PDCA para 60,15 pontos após a implementação; um aumento de 21,06%. Em um mês após a cirurgia, a capacidade de autocuidado aumentou de 61,50 pontos para 83,13 pontos após a implantação do procedimento FOCUS-PDCA; um aumento de 21,63%. Conclusão: A aplicação do procedimento FOCUS-PDCA melhorou a capacidade de autocuidado de pacientes submetidos a colostomia, melhorou a sua saúde física e mental, reduziu as complicações da colostomia e melhorou sua qualidade de vida. Os resultados sugerem que vale a pena aplicar o FOCUS-PDCA de forma mais ampla.
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