Predicting the Next Influenza Pandemics
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Worldwide outbreaks of influenza (pandemics) are caused by influenza A viruses to which persons lack protective immune responses. Currently, we are unable to predict which influenza virus strains may cause a pandemic. In this article, we summarize some of the information that will be needed to better assess the pandemic potential of influenza viruses, and we discuss our current gaps in knowledge.
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If we had complete knowledge of all factors (viral, human, animal, genetic, immunologic, epidemiologic, and environmental) that determine global outbreaks of influenza (pandemics), we might be able to predict novel influenza pandemics and develop influenza vaccines that protect against a range of antigenically diverse influenza viruses. Advances have been made in our understanding of influenza viruses and their interplay with the host, but we still do not know the specific features that render a virus capable of initiating a pandemic. Here, we summarize the gaps in our knowledge.

### PAST PANDEMICS

Some records and serology studies suggest that H2N2 and H3N8 subtype viruses caused influenza pandemics in the 1800s. The first confirmed influenza pandemic dates to 1918, when an H1N1 subtype virus, most likely originating from an avian species \([1]\), was introduced into the human population. The 1918 pandemic claimed up to 100 million lives, reduced the life expectancy in the United States by 10 years, and may have affected the course of World War I. Descendants of this virus circulated in humans until 1957, when they were replaced by a reassortant virus of the H2N2 subtype with hemagglutinin (HA), neuraminidase (NA), and polymerase basic protein \(1\) (PB1) (a polymerase subunit) viral RNA (vRNA) segments originating from an avian influenza virus \([2, 3]\). The death toll of this “Asian” pandemic exceeded 1 million.

In 1968, the “Hong Kong” pandemic was caused by an influenza virus of the H3N2 subtype possessing HA and PB1 vRNA segments of avian influenza virus origin \([2, 3]\); the estimated death toll of 33 800 in the United States may have been lower than that of other pandemics owing to some protection conferred by existing antibodies to the N2 NA. The H3N2 viruses replaced the H2N2 viruses and continue to circulate to this day. In 1977, H1N1 viruses similar to those circulating in humans in the 1950s reemerged; hence, primarily young persons were infected. The H1N1 and H3N2 viruses circulated until 2009, when the H1N1 viruses were replaced by a novel H1N1 virus, which caused the 2009 pandemic. This was surprising because pandemics were thought to be caused by a virus subtype not circulating in humans at the time of the pandemic outbreak. However, the HA of the 2009 pandemic virus, which has vRNAs originating from human, avian, and swine influenza viruses, and may have been transmitted to humans from pigs \([4–7]\), was antigenically distinct from the H1N1 viruses circulating in humans, hence causing a pandemic. Since 2009, the newly emerged H1N1 viruses have cocirculated in humans with the previously circulating H3N2 viruses.

### UNDERSTANDING THE KEY FEATURES OF INFLUENZA PANDEMICS

All recorded pandemics have been caused by influenza viruses of the H1, H2, or H3 subtypes. Were these just random events? (After all, “only” 4 pandemics have occurred in the last century.) Or are other virus subtypes unable to cause pandemics? Influenza viruses of the H5 and H7 subtypes have infected hundreds of persons with high case fatality rates, but these infections have not resulted in sustained human-to-human transmission of viruses. Viruses of the H9 subtype are enzootic in poultry in several parts of the world, but only a few cases of human infection have been reported, although serology studies suggest that the numbers of mild or subclinical human infections may be higher. Clearly, we need a better understanding of influenza virus transmission among humans, which is most likely the sum of several factors, including the genetic composition of the
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Figure 1. Overview of proposed activities and tools to enable the prediction of future influenza pandemics. See text for details. Abbreviations: IRAT, Influenza Risk Assessment Tool; TIPRA, Tool for Influenza Pandemic Risk Assessment.
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genic relatedness to circulating viruses (Figure 1).

Genetic Composition of Influenza Viruses
Risk assessment of newly emerging influenza viruses begins with a
phylogenetic analysis of the viral genomic sequence. Human
H1N1 and H3N2 influenza viruses closely related to circulating
viruses are highly unlikely to cause a pandemic, although they
may cause a new epidemic if they bear mutations in the major
antigenic epitopes of the HA head. For wholly avian influenza
viruses of the H1N1, H2N2, and H3N2 subtypes, we are cur-
tently unable to assess the pandemic risk based on sequence
analysis. A wholly avian H1N1 virus caused the 1918 pandemic,
and an H2N2 virus could cause a future pandemic because an
increasing percentage of the human population lacks antibodies
to H2 viruses.

A higher pandemic potential should be assigned to reassort-
ant viruses possessing a nonhuman virus–derived H1, H2, or
H3 HA vRNA in combination with other vRNAs of human and/
or swine virus origin. Such reassortants caused the pandemics of 1957, 1968, and 2009 [2–7]. A novel H2N2 virus with, for
example, the HA and NA vRNAs of an avian H2N2 influenza
virus, and the remaining vRNAs of a current human virus may
have high pandemic potential.

High pandemic potential is currently assigned to highly patho-
genic H5 viruses possessing NA vRNAs of different subtypes.
Since 1997, highly pathogenic avian H5 viruses have infected
>860 persons with a case fatality rate of >50% (see [8–10] for the
first reports of deadly human H5N1 virus infections). Humans
do not have antibodies to H5 viruses, so human-transmissible H5
viruses could cause a pandemic. However, current H5 viruses do
not transmit efficiently among mammals. Nonetheless, several
mutant or reassortant H5 viruses derived from sequential virus
passages in ferrets have been shown to be transmitted among
ferrets and guinea pigs via respiratory droplets [11–14]. These
viruses bound to "human-type" receptors, replicated efficiently
in mammalian cells, and some of them had additional mutations
in HA that restored the protein’s "stability" that had been dimin-
ished on conversion of the receptor specificity. These properties
are discussed below in more detail. However, we currently do not
know if the ferret-transmissible H5 viruses would cause a pan-
demic in humans (see Influenza Virus Transmissibility Among
Mammals).

In 2013, novel influenza viruses of the H7N9 subtype
emerged in China [15] and have now infected >1600 persons,
killing about 30% of them. As with highly pathogenic H5
viruses, no sustained human-to-human transmission has yet
been reported. However, wild-type H7N9 viruses can bind to
human-type receptors to some extent and transmit among fer-
rets via respiratory droplets [16–19]; that is, they already have
some of the properties discussed below in more detail. Their
pandemic potential may therefore be greater than that of highly
pathogenic H5 viruses.

Avian influenza viruses of subtypes other than H1–H3, H5,
and H7 rarely cause human infections and are not considered
a pandemic threat. The lack of reported human infections by
these viruses is poorly understood. H9N2 influenza viruses, for
example, which are enzootic in poultry populations in differ-
ent parts of the world, bind to human-type receptors to some
extent (and to "avian-type" receptors), and have donated dif-
f erent combinations of vRNAs (other than HA and NA) to cur-
rent H5 and H7N9 viruses (reviewed in [20, 21]). Despite these
properties, few human H9N2 infections have been reported.
However, serology studies have reported an appreciable number
of H9-seropositive individuals (reviewed in [22]), suggesting
that human infections with H9N2 viruses may be subclinical
or mild. Accordingly, these viruses should be monitored for
potential human-to-human transmissibility and the emergence
of mutations that may increase virulence.

Receptor-Binding Specificity of Influenza Viruses
Pandemic viruses need to bind efficiently to human cells, a
function carried out by the HA protein. Influenza viruses bind
to sialic acids on glycoproteins and glycolipids on the surface
of host cells. A major barrier to interspecies transmission of influ-
enza viruses is the difference in receptor-binding specificity
between human and avian influenza viruses. Avian influenza
viruses preferentially bind to sialic acids linked to the penulti-
mate galactose residue by an α2,3-linkage, the major sialyloli-
gosaccharides on epithelial cells in the intestinal tract of ducks,
whereas human influenza viruses interact primarily with oligo-
saccharides with α2,6-linked sialic acid, the major sialyloligo-
saccharides on epithelial cells of the upper human respiratory
tract [23–28].

Pigs are susceptible to both human and avian influenza viruses;
their epithelial cells express α2,3- and α2,6-linked sialic acids.
The HA proteins of early isolates of the pandemic 1918, 1957,
and 1968 viruses preferentially bound to α2,6-linked sialic acids...
identified that affect the receptor-binding specificity of different subtypes; however, this list is almost certainly incomplete, and comprehensive studies are needed to identify mutations that confer binding to α2,6-linked sialic acids for viruses of different subtypes. Moreover, the assays commonly used, such as solid-phase binding assays and some glycan arrays, do not fully reflect the siaiyoligosaccharides expressed on human epithelial cells of the upper and lower respiratory tract. Binding studies with human tissue samples may have higher biological relevance but are cumbersome and may be limited by sample availability.

Research should therefore focus on (1) identifying the specific siaiyoligosaccharides on cells in the upper and lower respiratory tracts of humans and pigs, (2) assessing which of these siaiyoligosaccharides are preferred receptors of influenza viruses, (3) identifying amino acid changes in avian virus HAs that confer efficient binding to these “preferred” siaiyoligosaccharides, and (4) developing semi-throughput or high-throughput glycan assays to test for the features described above. In addition, mutant HAs with human-type receptor-binding specificity should be tested for virus transmissibility in animal models (see Influenza Virus Transmissibility Among Mammals).

Replicative Ability of Influenza Viruses in Human and Other Mammalian Cells

After entering a human cell, viruses need to replicate efficiently in these cells. The viral ribonucleoprotein complex, composed of the 3 polymerase proteins, polymerase basic protein 2 (PB2), PB1, and polymerase acidic protein, and the nucleoprotein of avian influenza viruses is typically restricted in its replicative ability in mammalian cells, and adapting mutations may be necessary for the efficient replication and transcription of the vRNAs in human cells. Several amino acid changes, such as PB2-E627K [31, 32] and PB2-D701N [33], increase the replicative efficiency of avian influenza viruses in mammalian cells, and their emergence in avian influenza viruses is believed to increase the pandemic potential of these viruses. However, some highly pathogenic avian influenza viruses lacking the currently known mammalian-adapting mutations in the viral ribonucleoprotein complex nonetheless replicate efficiently in mammalian cells; hence, we lack a comprehensive understanding of the viral features that facilitate efficient virus replication in mammals. Comprehensive mutagenesis studies are needed, and mutations that increase replicative ability in mammals should be tested for their effect on virus transmissibility in animal models (see Influenza Virus Transmissibility Among Mammals).

Influenza Virus Transmissibility Among Mammals

Pandemic influenza viruses are characterized by the ability to transmit efficiently among persons. Although some H5, H7, and H9 viruses have acquired mutations that increase their binding to human-type receptors and/or confer efficient viral replication in mammalian cells, current H5, H7, and H9 viruses have not caused pandemics. Influenza virus transmission among mammals is typically tested in ferrets, the most widely used animal model for influenza transmissibility studies [34], or in guinea pigs [35]. Overall, a surprisingly small number of H5, H7, and H9 viruses have been tested for contact and/or respiratory droplet transmissibility in animal models. Reasons include the need for high biosafety containment and high biosecurity, as well as the high cost of ferrets, which is also responsible for small group sizes in transmission studies. However, without comprehensive transmission studies in animal models, we will never fully understand the factors that determine virus transmissibility in these models.

To predict the pandemic potential of influenza viruses, it is vital to test reassortant and mutant viruses for their transmissibility in animal models. In particular, viruses with high pandemic potential should be tested, for example, avian/ human reassortant viruses with avian virus HA and NA vRNAs in the background of otherwise human virus vRNAs, or H5 or H7 viruses with mammalian-adapting mutations in the receptor-binding site and/or viral polymerase complex. Such studies have previously found that a combination of experimentally introduced amino acid changes and sequential viral passages resulted in H5 viruses that acquired respiratory droplet transmissibility in ferrets or guinea pigs [11–14]. These studies established that H5 viruses have the ability to become transmissible among mammals and revealed several features that are shared among some transmissible H5 viruses, such as human-type receptor-binding specificity, mammalian-adapting mutations in the polymerase complex, and compensatory mutations in HA to restore decreased HA stability resulting from the mutations that confer human-type receptor binding. These findings have provided valuable information on features that should be tested in newly emerging viruses.

The publications on the ferret-transmissible H5 viruses were followed by an intense debate about the potential risks associated with such studies, including the accidental release of such viruses from high-containment laboratories. As a result, in October 2014, the US government announced a funding pause for so-called gain-of-function studies, which brought transmission studies with genetically altered influenza viruses to a halt in the United States. After extensive risk assessment and deliberations by the National Science Advisory Board for Biosecurity and the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, a framework for a new review mechanism for “potential pandemic pathogens” (P3) came into effect in December 2017. Under this new mechanism, US researchers can request permission to test the transmissibility of genetically altered influenza viruses.
Does influenza virus transmissibility among ferrets reflect the potential of these viruses to transmit among persons? Several studies suggest the predictive power of transmission studies in ferrets [36], but some questions remain. For example, H7N9 influenza viruses transmit among ferrets without the need for adapting mutations, yet these viruses have not yet caused a pandemic. Transmission studies in animal models are conducted with influenza virus-naïve animals, whereas humans experience multiple exposures to influenza viruses during their lifetime through infection and/or vaccination. Prior exposure to influenza viruses of different subtypes may elicit low levels of cross-protective antibodies to other subtypes, such as antibodies to conserved epitopes in the HA stem region, which probably affect the outcome of future infections with influenza viruses. Thus, H7N9 virus transmission studies in ferrets should be carried out with animals that were previously infected or vaccinated with human H1 and/or H3 viruses.

In summary, much more effort needs to be devoted to influenza virus transmission studies in animal models, including larger group sizes and the establishment of additional animal models (eg, hamsters [37]), as well as the testing of many more wild-type, mutant, and reassortant viruses in naïve and infected or vaccinated animals.

Antigenic Relatedness to Viruses Currently Circulating in Humans

To cause a pandemic, viruses that fulfill the above criteria also need to escape the antibodies circulating in human populations. Currently, we do not know the antigenic distance between novel and recently or currently circulating human influenza viruses that will result in a pandemic. Even if we knew the antigenic distance that triggers a pandemic, we are currently unable to deduce it from a viral sequence without time-consuming experimental testing. However, efforts are underway to better understand the functional consequences of amino acid changes in HA. Most likely, avian influenza viruses (even those of the H1–H3 subtypes currently circulating in humans) would not be efficiently neutralized by antibodies circulating in human populations and might thus cause a pandemic.

For novel human influenza viruses and humanlike influenza viruses isolated from swine (in which human and avian influenza viruses could potentially reassort), sequence comparison with currently circulating human influenza viruses may provide information on antigenic relatedness. Nonetheless, routine assays that test the antigenic properties of viruses, including hemagglutination inhibition and focus reduction assays, need to be conducted, followed by antigenic cartography to map the antigenic relationships of viruses. Antigenic cartography [38] is now used to assess the epidemic potential of novel human influenza variants but, as stated above, we currently do not know the antigenic distance from presently circulating human viruses that would cause a pandemic.

Viruses presumed to have pandemic potential should be tested for their ability to replicate in animals previously infected or vaccinated with circulating viruses. Such information would also help in the development of broadly protective vaccines.

INFLUENZA VIRUS SURVEILLANCE

Efforts to predict future pandemics also rely on surveillance data on circulating and newly emerging influenza viruses (Figure 1). These data allow us to monitor the evolution of circulating influenza viruses, and the emergence of novel influenza viruses with pandemic potential.

Influenza Virus Surveillance in Humans

Influenza surveillance in humans starts with the collection of samples by local healthcare providers who may further analyze the samples serologically and/or genetically, or send them to state or national reference laboratories. The World Health Organization (WHO) Global Influenza Surveillance and Response System laboratories test large numbers of samples; for example, >65,000 samples were tested in 14–27 May 2018, of which approximately 3% were positive for influenza A or B viruses. Data generated by the Global Influenza Surveillance and Response System and other influenza reference laboratories are provided to FluNet (http://www.who.int/influenza/gisrs_laboratory/flunet/en/), a Web-based portal for influenza surveillance data. Most of these data are based on reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction, which does not capture the antigenic properties of viruses. Selected viruses, including those that cannot be subtyped locally, belong to a subtype not commonly circulating in humans, or were collected from severe cases, are typically sent to 1 of the 5 WHO Collaborating Centers for antigenic characterization.

In addition to the collection and characterization of surveillance samples, information from online social networks may be leveraged to detect the onset of severe virus outbreaks [39, 40]. In fact, in 2009, local reports preceded the identification of the novel 2009 pandemic virus (for a summary, see
Influenza Virus Surveillance: Sequence-Based Risk Assessment

Genomic characterization of surveillance samples has improved considerably with the implementation of robust real-time reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction platforms and next-generation sequencing platforms that have become more cost-competitive. In addition, the recently developed Oxford Nanopore technology may allow influenza virus sequencing in the field. With these advances, large numbers of influenza viral sequences can be generated quickly. In parallel, the Global Initiative on Sharing All Influenza Data database (www.gisaid.org), which acknowledges the rights of contributors, has increased willingness to share influenza virus sequences for analyses by the global research community. Inspection of viral genomic sequences will identify avian influenza viruses that infected humans, novel avian/human/swine reassortants with a gene constellation of concern (eg, avian virus HA and NA vRNAs combined with otherwise human virus vRNAs), or avian influenza viruses that acquired mammalian-adapting amino acid changes. However, more viruses need to be sequenced in “real time” to allow the early detection of those with pandemic potential.

COMPUTATIONAL TOOLS FOR RISK ASSESSMENT

Surveillance and experimental data, together with data on numbers of human infections, existing population immunity, and the prevalence of a virus of interest in nature can also be assessed through the Influenza Risk Assessment Tool (developed by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; https://www.cdc.gov/flu/pandemic-resources/national-strategy/risk-assessment.htm) or the Tool for Influenza Pandemic Risk Assessment (developed by the WHO; http://www.who.int/influenza/publications/TIPRA_manual_v1/en/) (Figure 1). Both tools rank influenza viruses by their pandemic potential and by the potential impact of such an event on human societies and public health. An Influenza Risk Assessment Tool assessment of several high- and low-pathogenic influenza viruses of different subtypes assigned the highest pandemic potential and impact to the H7N9 viruses that emerged in 2013. Experimental testing and computational risk assessment are important to determine the pandemic potential of circulating viruses, which may help decision makers to allocate potentially limited countermeasures (eg, antivirals). However, experimental virus characterization and risk assessment take several months and will lag behind an acute pandemic outbreak.

CONCLUSIONS

Although much has been learned about the viral properties that may cause a pandemic, our knowledge is still incomplete. We, therefore, propose focusing on (1) comprehensive mutagenesis studies to identify mutations that confer binding to human-type receptors or efficient replication in mammalian cells; (2) cataloging the sialyloligosaccharides to which influenza viruses bind efficiently; (3) extensive transmission studies in naïve and infected or vaccinated animals; (4) developing novel animal models for influenza virus transmission studies; (5) expanding influenza virus surveillance in poultry and pigs, and in certain geographic areas, such as Africa and South America; (6) studying environmental factors that may facilitate the emergence of pandemic strains; and (7) developing robust computational tools for the mining of information exchanged in online social networks. Some of these data might also aid in the development of influenza vaccines that elicit protection against antigenically diverse influenza viruses. For example, the impact of antibodies to conserved regions in HA on future infections is still incompletely understood. Such knowledge might suggest additional strategies for the development of universal influenza vaccines.
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