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Abstract

Stress urinary incontinence (SUI) affects 200 million people worldwide. Standard therapies often provide symptomatic relief, but without targeting the underlying etiology, and show tremendous patient-to-patient variability, limited success and complications associated with the procedures. We review in this article the latest clinical trials performed to treat SUI using cell-based therapies. These therapies, despite typically including only a small number of patients and short term evaluation of results, have proven to be feasible and safe. However, there is not yet a consensus for the best cell source to be used to treat SUI and not all patients may be suitable for these therapies. Therefore, more clinical trials should be promoted recruiting large number of patients and evaluating long term results.
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Introduction

Urinary incontinence (UI) is an extremely common urological disorder that affects more than 200 million people worldwide [1]. Approximately, 17 million people suffer from this condition in the United States [2] with an annual direct cost estimated at more than $16 billion [3]. Based on the International Continence Society there are three UI subtypes: urgency UI (UUI), stress UI (SUI), and mixed UI (MUI). SUI, defined as the involuntary leakage of urine in the absence of a detrusor contraction, generally due to the weakness of the urethral sphincter and pelvic floor [4], has been reported as the most common type of UI [3]. SUI occurs three times more often in women than in men [5]. The prevalence of SUI increases with age. For women, both pregnancy and vaginal delivery are risk factors for urinary sphincter injury. In men, SUI is also a common problem caused by injury to the neurovascular bundles and fasciae during radical prostatectomy [6]. Smoking, obesity and constipation contribute to SUI as well [7]. The severity of SUI influences the quality of life and medical treatment decisions [8]. Today, several SUI non-surgical and surgical treatment options are available. Mild-moderate SUI can be treated with pelvic floor muscle training, biofeedback training and/or electrical stimulation. Pharmacologic therapy for SUI such as Duloxetine hydrochloride, a selective reuptake inhibitor of serotonin and norepinephrine, was approved by the regulatory agency in the European Union in 2004, while it failed approval by the Food and Drug Administration in the United States due to security concerns [9]. Other pharmacologic treatments like alpha1-adrenoceptor agonists are being abandoned due to side effects [10]. For severe SUI, surgical interventions have been the most recommended medical treatment option. Urethral bulking agents including polytetrafluoroethylene, silicone, bovine collagen, carbon beads and autologous ear chondrocytes are the least invasive surgical intervention; however, its disadvantages include lower cure rate and complications, such as urinary tract infection, chronic inflammatory reactions, severe voiding dys-
function, pulmonary embolism and abscess formation [11-13]. Other surgical treatments for SUI with better long-term success rates are the implantation of artificial urinary sphincter and the use of sling systems, although they also present post-operative complications [14-17]. In this context, the search and development of less invasive alternatives therapies as treatment for SUI continues to be a major need.

The use of stem cells in the field of regenerative medicine has emerged in the last years due to their capacity to restore and maintain normal function via direct effects on injured or dysfunctional tissues [18]. Stem cells are defined by three important characteristics: the ability to self-renew, to form clonal populations and to differentiate into different cell types. Stem cells may also show therapeutic effects by the secretion of a variety of bioactive factors (e.g. anti-apoptotic, neovascularization, etc.) that may have effects on innate tissues [18-19]. Stem cells can be divided in embryonic and adult stem cells. Embryonic stem cells are pluripotent and can differentiate into all types of tissue. Conversely, adult stem cells are multipotent and have been isolated from different tissues and organs, including bone marrow, peripheral blood, skeletal muscle, adipose tissue, skin and other sources. To date, the use of embryonic stem cells in clinical trials is limited due to cell proliferation control problems and ethical considerations [20]. In contrast, adult stem cells have no significant ethical issues related to their use.

Over the past decade, the advancement in tissue engineering and regenerative medicine research fields has allowed generation of promising results for treatment of SUI. Stem cell treatment has been tested in animal models and clinical trials demonstrating their potential to restore the urethral sphincter function [18, 21-27]. In the present review, we summarize the most relevant clinical trial with stem cells for SUI.

**Adult stem cells use in clinical trial for SUI treatment**

Regenerative medicine has become a popular research field in the search for novel therapies for SUI. Numerous studies have demonstrated in animals the efficacy of stem cells derived from skeletal muscle, adipose tissue, bone marrow and urine for the treatment of SUI [28-29]. Furthermore, clinical trials have been published in the last years using different source of adult stem cells [29].

**Muscle derived stem cell (MDSCs) therapy**

MDSC-based cell therapy has emerged in the last years as a promising approach for SUI patients [30]. The etiology of SUI includes the urethral sphincter muscle deficiency/damage; therefore, the use of MDSCs could improve the sphincter function. MDSCs have been considered as a precursor of the satellite cell, which possesses a high regeneration capacity and are able to differentiate into other mesodermal cell types including the myogenic, endothelial, adipogenic, osteogenic, etc cell types. MDSCs can be easily obtained from skeletal muscle biopsies under local anesthesia. These cells, isolated from autologous biopsies, need to be expanded in vitro prior to the final injection into the urethral sphincter [31]. To date, several studies published have demonstrated an improvement in the sphincter function after the injection of intraurethral MDSCs in SUI animal models [32-33]. MDSCs isolated from the gastrocnemius muscle of normal adult female rats triggered a significant increase in the leak point pressure (LPP) at 4 and 6 weeks after urethral injection in rat models with sphincter deficiency [32, 34]. Tissue staining using muscle-specific markers showed MDSCs potential to differentiate into muscle lineage cells that may repair the damaged sphincter muscle in SUI patients [32, 34]. Moreover, an increase in urethral pressure profile and the formation of new muscle fibers was observed after the injection of MDSCs in the urinary sphincter of a porcine model [35]. The results observed in preclinical models opened the door to carry out clinical trials to determine the efficacy of MDSCs transplantation to treat SUI. In the present article, ten clinical trials have been reviewed using MDSCs or myoblasts with fibroblasts (Table 1). Eight of these clinical trials included only female patients and two trials comprised male patients (Fig. 1). The first MDSCs therapy trial was reported in 2008 by Carr and colleagues. They included eight SUI female patients who had no improvement in symptoms for at least 12 months and failed prior non-invasive treatments. MDSCs were isolated from the thigh and 18-22×10^6 cells were transurethrally injected. Based on clinical evaluation measured by pad weight, bladder diaries and quality of life tests, a significant improvement was observed 12 months after treatment in six out of eight SUI patients, reporting total continence in one patient [23]. The remaining two patients showed a reduction of incontinence episodes of approximately 50% based on pad weight. In 2013, the same research group published a follow-up expanded study including 38 women with SUI which were treated with low doses (1, 2, 4, 8 or 16×10^6) or high doses (32, 64 or 128×10^6) of autologous MDSCs derived from biopsies of their quadriceps femoris. A transvaginal ultrasound
guidance to confirm that cells have been injected within the sphincter muscle was used in nine patients; however this guidance did not affect the outcomes. Moreover, 32 patients in this trial were retreated (20 with low cell dose and 12 with high cell doses) after three months of follow-up. Data from five patients were not included in the analysis because of withdrawal or loss of follow-up. Authors showed a 50% or greater reduction in pad weight and diary reported stress leaks, after cell therapy. This result was reached more frequently in patients with high cell dose injections than in patients treated with low cell doses (88.9% vs 61.5%; 77.8% vs 53.3% respectively). Furthermore, a greater percentage of patients treated with a high cell dose compared to a low cell dose had zero to one leaks during three days at the 18 month follow-up (88.9% vs 33.3%). A similar trend was reported for the mean incontinence impact questionnaire-short form (IIQ-7) score (38.5±4.4 vs 17.5±6.2, p=0.02); however no differences in both dose groups were reported for the urogenital distress inventory-short form (UDI-6) score. These data suggest that high cell dose injection improves SUI symptoms more than a low cell dose [36].

**Figure 1.** Schematic representation of the different tissue sources for stem cells used in clinical trials to treat stress urinary incontinence. A. Stem cells used in men patients. B. Stem cells used in female patients. Abbreviations: MDSCs, Muscle-derived stem cells; ASCs, Adipose stem cells; ADSCs, Adipose-derived stem cells; CBSCs, Cord Blood stem cells; TNCs, Total nucleated cells.

**Table 1.** Clinical trials using muscle derived stem cells for stress urinary incontinence.

| Cell used                  | Pathology treated | Patients | Area of injection | Follow-up months | Functional Evaluation | Clinical Evaluation | Clinical Outcomes at final follow-up | Adverse events | Reference |
|----------------------------|-------------------|----------|------------------|------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------|-----------|
| Autologous MDSCs           | SUI               | 8 females| Transurethral (injection at 3, 6, 9, and 12 o’clock) | 1,3,6 and 12     | n/a                   | Pad weight/ bladder diaries/QOL measures. | 1/8 total continence 5/8 significant improvement 2/8 <50% incontinence reduction 24-hour voiding diary/pad test: 41/63 total continence 17/63 improvement 5/63 non improvement. Improvement incontinence (≈5)/QOL (≈50) score. | No severe effects were observed. | [23]      |
| Autologous fibroblast and myoblast | UI after RP. | 63 males | Urethral submucosa and rhabdosphincter | 12               | VLPP/ MUCP/ MBC/ MUF/ MDP/ MRU | -VLPP increase (=22 cmH₂O) -MUCP increase (=17 cmH₂O) -MBC increase (=26 ml) -MUF increase (=2 ml/sec) -MDP decrease (=8 cmH₂O) -MRU decrease (=37 ml) | 24-hour voiding diary/pad test/incontinence score/QOL score | 4/63 dry 24-hour voiding diary/pad test: 41/63 total continence 17/63 improvement 5/63 non improvement. Improvement incontinence (≈5)/QOL (≈50) score. | No severe postoperative complications were observed. | [42]      |
| Autologous MDSCs           | SUI               | 12 females| Endourethral (injection at 3 and 9 o’clock). | 1, 2, 3, 6 and 12 | MUF/ PVR | CONTILIFE questionnaire/pad test/bladder diary | 3/12 dry 7/12 wet improvement/ no voiding diary improvement | Episodes of UTI were reported in three patients. | [21]      |
### Table 1: Treatment Outcomes

| Treatment Type | Characteristics | Scores | Complications |
|----------------|-----------------|--------|---------------|
| Autologous MDSCs | SUI | 38 females | Transurethral injection under visualization of two levels of rhabdosphincter | 1 and 1/2 | n/a | n/a | Stress test/ I-QOL/ VAS | 5/38 continence. 29/38 improvement 3/38 persistent SUI. Improvement VAS (+5)/ I-QOL (+2) scores |
| Autologous MDSCs | -UI after RP (n=192) | 222 males | Around rhabdosphincter (5 injections) | Earliest 6 | n/a | n/a | Incontinence status questionnaire: (a) still incontinent, (b) improved, or (c) continent. | 26/222 continence 94/222 improvement 102/222 reported persistent SUI. Peri-operative complications: hematuria (n=4); cystitis (n=11) and impairment of the urinary incontinence (n=19). After cells transplantation: perineal pain (n = 11); orchidopatilidymitis (n = 6); urethritis (n = 5); mild fatigue syndrome (n = 4). |
| Autologous MDSCs | SUI | 38 females | Periurethral (At least 2 areas of the external urethral sphincter were injected) | 1, 3, 6 and 12 | n/a | n/a | 1-h pad tests/ IIQ-7/ UDI-6 | 29/38 significant improvement (pad weight/stress leak frequency). Improvement IIQ-7 score (+20) |
| Autologous MDSCs | Severe SUI | 11 females | Endourethral route (at 3 and 9 o’clock). | 72 | n/a | n/a | Pad-per-day/ Urinary Symptom Profile questionnaire/ Patient Global Impression of Improvement questionnaire | 3/11 satisfied or very satisfied |
| Autologous MDSCs | SUI | 80 females | Transurethral (56 of 80) and periurethral (24 of 80) injection | 1, 3, 6 and 12 | n/a | n/a | 3-day voiding diaries/ 24-hour pad tests/ UDI-6/ IIQ-7. Stress leaks/ UDI-6/ IIQ-7 improved in all dose groups | Biopsy related adverse events: wound hematoma (2 cases) and procedural dizziness (2 cases). Postoperative adverse events: dysuria (7 cases), pelvic or abdominal pain (4 cases), vulvovaginal pruritus (3 cases), urinary urgency (2 cases) and transient hematuria (2 cases). |
| Autologous MDSCs | SUI | 16 females | Transurethral/ urethral rhabdosphincter (Injection at 9, 12, and 3 o’clock positions) | 8 and 24 | MUCP/ CLPP/ VLPP | -12/16 MUCP increase (+20 cmH2O) -8/16 VLPP/ CLPP Normal | Gaudenz Questionnaire | 8/16 Continence 4/16 Improvement 4/16 No improvement |
| Autologous MDSCs | SUI | 16 females (same patients that Wojcikiewicz et al., 2014) | Urethral rhabdosphincter (Injection at 9, 12, and 3 o’clock positions) | 24 and 48 | n/a | n/a | I-QOL | 12/16 improved QOL |

### Abbreviations:
- MDSCs: Muscle-derived stem cells
- RC: Radical cystoprostatectomy with neobladder
- RP: Radical prostatectomy
- TPR: Transurethral prostate resection
- DLV: Diary leakage volume
- CLPP: Cough leak-point pressure
- VLPP: Valsalva leak point pressure
- UTE: Urinary tract infection
- UTI: Upper tract ultrasonography
- PVR: Post-voiding residue
- MUCP: Maximum urethral closure pressure
- MBC: Maximum bladder capacity
- MUF: Maximum urinary flow
- MDP: Maximum detrusor pressure
- SUI: Stress urinary incontinence
- I-QOL: Incontinence quality of life questionnaire
- ICIQ-UI: Incontinence Questionnaire
- ICIQ-QOL: Incontinence Modular Questionnaire-Quality of Life
- FPI: Functional profile length
- MIR: Magnetic resonance imaging
- VAS: Visual analogue scale
- IIQ-7: Incontinence Impact Questionnaire-short form
- UDI-6: Urogenital distress inventory-short form
- CLPP Normal, VLPP Normal, MUCP Increase:
  - 4/16 Normal
  - 8/16 Increase
  - 12/16 Increase
  - 16/16 Increase

http://www.medsci.org
In 2011, Sebe et al. published a clinical and functional evaluation from 12 females with SUI (eight with severe SUI; two with moderate SUI; two with mild SUI) after MDSCs transplantation, which were isolated from a deltoid muscle biopsy [21]. These patients were divided in three groups of four patients, treating each group with 10×10^6, 25×10^6, and 50×10^6 cells, respectively. Based on the pad test after 12 months, three patients were completely dry, seven patients had a significant reduction in the number of pads required but did not improve based on voiding diary and two patients showed worsening after the procedure. CONTILIFE questionnaire showed an increase in three patients who responded to treatment, as well as in patients who did not show an objective clinical response. Moreover, no patients improved based on maximum urine flow (MUF) and post-void residual volume (PVR) after 12 months of follow-up [21] (Table 1). In this clinical trial no correlation was observed between cell dose or SUI severity and response to treatment. Furthermore, a positive clinical effect was observed up to 72 months in three of these patients attending to pad-test per day and symptoms and quality of life questionnaires (QOL-q) [37].

In conclusion, all clinical trials published in male and female SUI patients treated with MDSCs, myoblasts, and fibroblasts showed feasibility and safety of the cell therapy although non-severe complication were observed in some clinical trials.
Clinical trials performed in male patients have used ADSCs, demonstrating the cell viability and paracrine capacity of these cells at the injection site. Nonetheless, the experience in animal models of SUI with ADSCs, demonstrated the cell viability and functional assessment (LPP) after ADSCs injection. However, the muscle regenerative capacity of cells is not well defined due to sphincter deficiency after RP. All three cases followed the same protocol injecting ADRCs at a depth of 5 mm into the external urethral sphincter at 5 and 7 o’clock positions and subsequently, they injected 20 ml of a formulation containing ADRCs and adipose tissue into the submucosal spaces at 4, 6 and 8 o’clock to facilitate complete adjustment of the urethral mucosa by the bulking effect. In the preliminary clinical study of Yamamoto et al., they included just three patients in the first attempt with a maximum follow-up period of six months. They reported an improvement of UI within a week after injection with a short period of deterioration afterwards and a progressive improvement thereafter up to six months after injection. The improvement in UI was shown by decreased leakage volume (from 122.3, 49.5 and 35.0 g to 50.5, 11.5 and 0 g respectively), decreased frequency, amount of incontinence and improved QOL. Both MUCP (from 40, 39 and 28 cmH2O to 53, 45 and 40 cmH2O, respectively) and functional profile length (from 20, 15 and 14 mm to 24, 40 and 28 mm, respectively) increased. Besides, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) showed a bulking effect at the site of the injection at three months, suggesting a sustained presence of adipose tissue. Furthermore, enhanced ultrasonography showed a sequential increase in the blood flow during the entire follow-up period in the area where ADRCs were injected. Besides, Yamamoto et al. performed MRI to patients and showed a bulking effect at the site of the injection that lasted 12 weeks. Two years later (2014) the same group extended the study to 11 patients with a follow-up period of 12 months. They reported similar results in eight patients out of 11, with a progressive improvement up to 12 months after a deterioration period one month after injection. In both studies the deterioration period is explained by the authors due to the absorption of the lactated Ringer’s solution contained in the isolated ADRCs injected. Gotoh et al. showed a decrease of 59.8% in the leakage volume decreased in frequency and amount of incontinence and improved QOL with a total continence achieved.

**Injection of adult stem cells others than MDSCs**

Even though MDSCs fulfill the conditions to regenerate striated muscle because they are known to be responsible for physiological muscle regeneration throughout life, they are in short supply, do not expand well ex vivo and protocols for prospective isolation of pure populations of human satellite cells are still under development. Clinical trials mentioned utilized muscle biopsies harvested from healthy deltoid, biceps or the quadriceps femoris muscle. This method is problematic since it causes co-morbidity at the sites of cell harvest. To reduce the damage to the patient, small biopsies are collected, which required major expansion of the cells in vitro. This approach is thus associated with a risk of contaminations, and can result in physiological or functional changes and signs of replicative senescence of cells. For these reasons other clinical trials have used other sources of mesenchymal adult stem cells (MSCs) derived from embryonic mesoderm that can be easily and safely harvested in large numbers from several adult tissues such as adipose tissue, umbilical cord or peripheral blood and with minimal morbidity.

In culture, adipose derived stem cells (ADSCs) exhibited differentiation into myogenic cells when induced with specific factors. Furthermore, cultured ADSCs promote angiogenesis by secreting hepatocyte growth factor and vascular endothelial growth factor. Consequently, ADSCs has been evaluated as a cell therapy in murine models of SUI and is known to be a promising treatment to restore urethral sphincter function; however, further clinical trials with large sample size and uniformity in the assessment, cell dose and incorporation of a placebo control group are necessary for these findings to be applied in the clinical practice in urology.
in one patient. They showed that, the mean MUCP increased in 9 cmH₂O from baseline, the functional profile length increased 6 mm and PVR decreased in 4.5 ml after treatment [52]. Moreover, authors suggested an angiogenesis effect based on an increased blood flow to the injected area shown by ultrasonography. In 2016, a third clinical trial Choi et al. used ADRCs to treat six men with persistent UI after RP. They showed similar results to previously described studies; however, only two patients went through the deterioration period described above. By 12 weeks after treatment, leakage volume as well as the subjective symptoms and QOL, were improved in all cases. MUCP increased even more than in previous studies by 19 cmH₂O from baseline and the MRI showed an increase in the urethral length. In any case, all clinical studies using ADRCs to treat SUI are preliminary and included only few patients. Indeed the largest study included only 11 patients and, taking into account the three studies published using ADRCs, only a total of 20 male patients have been treated with ADRCs. Moreover, Gotoh et al. published a follow up result of one year, while Yamamoto et al. and Choi et al. studies evaluated only up to six and three months respectively [51-53]. This group concluded that 17 male patients out of 20 showed improved UI symptoms based on the subjective symptoms and QOL. Three months after injection they showed a significant improvement in all five patients according to the QOL-q; however there were no changes in urodynamic parameters or the urine residual volume in any patients. These data suggest more of a bulking effect than a muscle regeneration effect. Furthermore, three of the patients were operated after one year of follow-up.

In conclusion, even though ASCs have proven to be safe, it is important to accurately define the type of patient that could benefit from this therapy and to use consistent cell isolation systems to guarantee a standard procedure as much as possible for each patient.

Furthermore, other non-adipose derived stem cells were used in two clinical trials that included SUI female patients (Table 2). Cord blood stem cells (CBSCs) can be extracted from human cord blood without harm, they can transform into other cell types and therefore are expected to be useful for the regeneration of periuretral nervous tissue, smooth muscle, striated muscle, urethral mucosa, submucosal connective tissue and various other tissues [56]. In rats, mononuclear cells from human umbilical cord blood have been evaluated as treatment for intrinsic sphincter deficiency. The short term (four weeks) effect showed an improved LPP in the experimental group (91.73±18.99 mmHg vs 65.02±22.09 mmHg; p=0.001). Histological analysis showed a restored sphincter muscle with identification of the injected cells in the area of the injection. However, data at one month are not strong enough to support the use of CBSCs in clinical trials; however Lee et al. used CBSCs for the treatment of 39 SUI female patients after conservative or surgical treatment failed. They injected CBSCs in the 4 and 8 o’clock positions (430±190×10⁶ cells per 2 ml) in the submucosal area of the proximal-urethra [56]. Patient satisfaction tests at 12 months after cell injection showed that 13 patients were completely satisfied with the treatment at that point, 13 patients improved and ten patients did not improve clinically. Urodynamic evaluation was also performed at three months in ten patients who had a MUCP ≤ 30 cmH₂O before treatment, and showed that the MUCP value almost doubled after cell injection.

Finally, autologous total nucleated cells (TNCs) along with platelets were evaluated for the treatment of SUI. Multipotent cells and endothelial progenitor cells (EPCs) can be obtained from peripheral blood in a minimally invasive method for production of autologous cells for use in cell therapies. Tissue repair depends on new blood vessels and capillary development that may need the cooperation of EPCs. In fact, angiogenesis is essential for muscle repair; endothelial cells stimulate myogenic cell growth and also stimulate to the differentiating myogenic cells to promote angiogenesis [56]. Platelet-rich plasma has been used in in vitro and in vivo studies to regenerate muscle healing [57]. This effect has been associated with the numerous growth factors (e.g fibroblast growth factors, vascular endothelial growth factors,
etc.) produced by platelets. A clinical trial was carried using TNICs mixed with platelets in nine female patients with severe SUI who did not respond to conventional treatment [58]. Eight injections of 1 ml (8 ml in total) were performed at a depth of 5 mm into the rhabdosphincter. They observed a high clinical efficacy at three and six months after cell therapy, with eight patients reporting no leaks according to clinical (pad-test, cough test and QOL-q) tests. Urodynamics evaluation (post voiding residue; upper tract ultrasonography and uroflowmetry) showed that all parameters were normal in all patients at one, three and six months after cell therapy. However, one patient, previously diagnosed with intrinsic sphincter deficiency (ISD), did not return at that point to normal continence completely, but did show an improvement based on the Incontinence Questionnaire-Urinary incontinence (ICIQ-UI), Incontinence Modular Questionnaire-Quality of Life (ICIQ-QOL) and pad-test. MUCP in this patient showed a significant increase from the baseline to 3 months after cell transplantation.

| Table 2. Clinical trials carried out using non-muscle derivate stem cells for the treatment of SUI. |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Cells used** | **Pathology treated** | **Patients** | **Area of injection** | **Follow up months** | **Functional outcomes at final follow-up** | **Clinical Evaluation** | **Clinical outcomes at final follow-up** | **Adverse events** | **Reference** |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Heterologous ASCs | SUI | 59 females (only 36 completed follow-up) | Submucosal area of the proximal urethra (4 and 8 o’clock positions) | 1,3 and 12 | MUCP | -10/39 MUCP increase (>25 cmH2O) | Patient Satisfaction Test | 13/36 total continence 10/36 non improvement | Peri-operative complications: pain (n=2). Non post-operative complications were observed. No side effects or complications. | [53] |
| Autologous ADRCs | Ul after RP | 3 males | Periurethral injection: -Rhabdosphincter (5 and 7 o’clock positions) -Submucosal space of the membranous urethra (4, 6 and 8 o’clock positions) | -1/2, 1, 2, 3, 6 (Clinical Evaluation) -1/2, 3 and 6 (Functional Evaluation) | MUCP/FPL -MUCP increase (6-13 cmH2O) -FLP increase (4-25 mm) | 24-h pad test /ICIQ-SF | 3/3 Improvement | No complications | [51] |
| Autologous TNCs/platelets | Severe SUI | 9 females | Periurethral injection (rhabdosphincter at 1.5, 3, 4.5, 6, 7.5, 9, 10.5, and 12 O’clock positions) | 1, 3 and 6 | MUCP/UTU/ UFL/PVR. -UTU/ PVR/ UFL normal -1/9 Increase MUCP (from <50 to ≥30 cm H2O) -8/9 | 1 hr pad tests/ Cough test/ ICIQ-UI/ ICIQ-QOL | 9/9 ICIQ-UI ICIQ-QOL/ pad test improvement. 8/9 Cough test improvement. | No complications | [58] |
| Autologous ASCs | SUI | 5 females | Under mucosa (1.5 cm distal from the urethral neck at 3 and 9 o’clock.) | 3, 6 and 12 | MUCP/URV MUCP w/o change | 24-h pad test /URS/ UD4/ IRQ7/ VAS | 3/5 Improvement | Small hematomas. One patients displayed mild pollakisuria and dysuria. | [55] |
| Autologous ADRCs | SUI after prostate surgery (n=9) SUI after holmium laser enucleation(n=2) | 11 males | Periurethral injection: -Rhabdosphincter (5 and 7 o’clock positions) -Submucosal space of the membranous urethra (4, 6 and 8 o’clock positions). | 1/2, 1, 3, 6, 9 and 12 | MUCP/FPL/ PVR -MUCP increase (9.2 cmH2O) -FPL increase (5.6 mm) -PVR decrease (4.5 ml) -MUCP increase (19.5 cmH2O) - MIR increase (2.2 mm) -FPL n/a | 24-h pad test /ICIQ-QOL / ICIQ-SF | 8/11 Improvement 3/11 no improvement 1/11 improvement | Mild subcutaneous hemorrhage as complication of liposuction (n=4). | [52] |
| Autologous ADRCs | Ul after RP | 6 males | Periurethral injection: -Rhabdosphincter (5 and 7 o’clock positions) -Submucosal space of the membranous urethra (4, 6 and 8 o’clock positions). | 3 | MUCP/ MIR/ FPL | 24-h pad test /ICIQ-SF | 6/6 Improvement | Significant side effects of inflammation were not observed. | [53] |

**Abbreviations:** ASCs: Adipose stem cells; ADSCs: Adipose-derived stem cells; CBBC: Cord Blood stem cells; TNICs: Total nucleated cells; RC: Radical cystoprostatectomy with neobladder; TPR: Transurethral prostate resection; DLV: Diary leakage volume; UT: Urinary tract infection; UTU: Urinary tract ultrasound; URV: urine residual volume; PVR: post voiding residue; SUI: Stress Urinary Incontinence; RP: Radical prostatectomy; UI: Urinary Incontinency; USR: Urinary stress test; IQ7: Incontinence Impact Questionnaire-short form; UD1-6 Urogenital distress inventory-short form; VAS: Visual analogue scale; ICIQ-SF: International Consultation on Incontinence Questionnaire Short-Form ICIQ-UI: International Consultation on Incontinence Questionnaire-Urinary incontinence: ICIQ-QOL: International Consultation on Incontinence Modular Questionnaire-Quality of Life; MUCP: Maximum urethral closure pressure; FPL: Functional profile length; MIR: Magnetic resonance imaging; w/o: without; n/a: not available.
The results published in the different clinical trials using non-muscle derived stem cells highlighted the capacity of other cell sources to regenerate the damage sphincter. All these cell types meet the requirements for an ideal cell for tissue engineering such as use of autologous cells, accessibility by minimally invasive procedures, providing sufficient quantities of cells, exhibiting potency to regenerate multiple tissues and proliferating quickly in a well-controlled manner. Therefore, these promising results open new doors for the use of tissue engineering in the treatment of SUI patients.

Future directions

Stem cell therapy has a promising potential to revolutionize the treatment of an elevated number of chronic conditions. Stem cells have the ability to locate and regenerate the injured tissues of the body and to stimulate angiogenesis, anti-inflammatory response, immunomodulation and anti-fibrotic factors production. In the urology field the regenerative therapies have remained at the forefront as new alternative treatments in kidney, urethra, and bladder disorders.

Given the rapid growth in the last years of SUI in the aging population, the application of cell therapy and the regenerative medicine may have profound medical and social implications. A fair amount of preclinical models have been used to study stem cells as treatments for SUI including murine and porcine models [26, 32, 34-35, 47-49]; however much research is still in need before these therapies may be introduced into the routine clinical practice. The overall clinical experience observed in the different clinical trials reviewed indicates that stem cell therapy can be feasible and safely performed and it is efficient if the right cell type is used in suitable patients. Nevertheless, controversial outcomes have been observed in some clinical trials. Cell therapy in human using MDSCs, as well as non-muscle derived stem cells (e.g ADSCs, ASCs, TNCs or CBSCs) showed in some clinical trials only moderate to low clinical effectiveness [25, 56]. Moreover, a delay in the onset of effect (up to 6-8 months) was observed in some patients [38, 51]. Furthermore, due to ethical and regulatory concerns some of the studies carried out in SUI patients have been retracted in the last years [4, 59-61]. Consequently, we must make an effort in defining the potential perfect patient for cell therapy and also further studies are needed with longer follow-ups, placebo controls and larger numbers of patients in order to clarify the role of stem cell therapy for the treatment of SUI patients.

Abbreviations
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