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Noninvasive and quantitative measurement of C4d deposition for the diagnosis of antibody-mediated cardiac allograft rejection
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Background: C4d is a specific biomarker for the diagnosis of antibody-mediated rejection (AMR) after cardiac transplantation. Although strongly recommended, routine C4d surveillance is hindered by the invasive nature of endomyocardial biopsy. Targeted ultrasound (US) has high sensitivity, and C4d is abundantly expressed within the graft of patients experiencing AMR, which makes it possible to visualize C4d deposition in vivo using targeted US.

Methods: We designed a serial dilution of C4d-targeted microbubbles (MB C4d) using a streptavidin-biotin conjugation system. A rat model of AMR with C4d deposition was established by pre-sensitization with skin transplantation before cardiac transplantation. MB C4d were injected into recipients and then qualitatively and quantitatively analyzed using the destruction-replenishment method with a clinical US imaging system and analyzed by software.

Findings: We successfully obtained qualitative images of C4d deposition in a wide cardiac allograft section, which, for the first time, reflected real-time C4d distribution. Moreover, normal intensity difference was used for quantitative analysis and exhibited an almost nearly linear correlation with the grade of C4d deposition according to the pathologic evidence. In addition, MB C4d injection did not affect the survival and aggravate injury, which demonstrates its safety.

Interpretation: This study demonstrates a noninvasive, quantitative and safe evaluation method for C4d. As contrast-enhanced US has been widely used in clinical settings, this technology is expected to be applied quickly to clinical practice.
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1. Introduction

Over the last four decades, cardiac transplantation has been the best choice for patients with end-stage heart disease [1]. According to the International Society of Heart and Lung Transplantation (ISHLT), the median survival of cardiac transplantation patients is only 11 years. Moreover, for patients who survive the first year, the median survival rate is 13 years. Despite improvements in immunosuppression, antibody-mediated rejection (AMR) still occurs and can result in death after transplantation [2].

AMR typically occurs when recipients were presensitized to donor antigens prior to operation or due to de novo donor-specific antibody (DSA) production post operatively. Complement cascade activation results in C4d deposition in interstitial vasculature [3], which is regarded as the best single marker of high specificity to diagnose AMR [4]. Moreover, C4d itself is an independent risk factor for cardiac allograft loss. A recent study reported that C4d-positive patients demonstrated a higher 3-year mortality of 67% and showed a positive association with cardiac allograft vasculopathy and panel-reactive antibody level [5]. This contributed to the identification of C4d as a prognostic factor for AMR. Early routine surveillance of C4d in cardiac transplantation had been strongly recommended by the ISHLT guidelines [6].
2.1. Experimental protocol

C4d-targeted MBs (MBC4d) were prepared using the streptavidin-biotin conjugation system, and the AMR rat model with C4d deposition was established via pre-sensitization using skin transplantation, as described below. At indicated time points, MBC4d was injected into recipients. Qualitative and quantitative analyses were performed using the destruction-replenishment method and based on the targeted US imaging signal from MBs that were bound to C4d. Results were assessed using CEUS qualitative and quantitative analysis software (Fig. 1).

2.2. Preparations of MBC4d and control MBs (MBCon)

Streptavidin-coated MBs (MicroMarker™ Target Ready) were purchased from VisualSonics Inc. (Toronto, Canada) which was commercially available. C4d antibodies (1 mg/mL, anti-Rat C4d Cat. No. HP8034; Hycult Biotech Inc., Plymouth Meeting, PA) were biotinylated in order to conjugate with streptavidin MBs before use. Biotinylated isotype-matched rabbit control immunoglobulin G (IgG) antibody (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, West Grove, PA) was used as a specific control. Two types of MBs (MBC4d and MBCon) were prepared according to the manufacturer’s instruction for the experiment. Briefly, after 1 ml of saline was injected into the MicroMarker™ Target Ready vial, gently agitated for 10 s and placed at room temperature 5 min, 50 μg of antibodies was injected into the vial and incubated for 20 min at room temperature with gentle shaking. Unconjugated ligand was removed by centrifugal washing. Then, 300 μl, which was confirmed to be the most appropriate dose by our early exploration study (Fig. S1), of dissolved MBs labeled with antibodies were applied per animal in cardiac transplant recipients.

In addition, FITC-labeled C4d antibody was used as reporter for ligand conjugation to MB surfaces. The fluorescence of FITC-MBC4d was evaluated using a FACS Calibur flow cytometer (BD Biosciences, America), which calculated the combination rate of streptavidin MBs and biotinylated antibodies. MBs without C4d were used as negative control. Moreover, fluorescence microscopy was used to verify the combination of streptavidin MBs and biotinylated C4d antibodies.

2.3. Rat skin and cardiac transplantation

Adult male (200–250 g) Lewis and Brown Norway (BN) rats were obtained from Charles River Laboratories (Beijing, China) and were housed at Sun Yat-sen University. Animal experiments were approved by the Animal Committee of Sun Yat-sen University (Approve number: 1709044). In the presensitized group, Lewis rats received skin grafts on their dorsum from BN rats 2 weeks before cardiac transplantation. Briefly, full-thickness BN skin was grafted onto the dorsum of Lewis rats, and the skin allografts were rejected at 10.6 ± 2.7 days post-operation. All recipients presensitized in this fashion developed antidonor specific antibodies. At 2 weeks after skin transplantation, abdominal heterotopic cardiac transplantation was performed. Anesthesia was induced and maintained with isoflurane. After being anesthetized, the donor rat was heparinized (500 U/kg IV). A thoracotomy was then performed and the heart was exposed. The superior and inferior vena cava together with the pulmonary veins were ligated. The ascending aorta and pulmonary artery were cut and the explanted heart was immediately immersed in cold saline. The recipient rat was similarly anesthetized. The abdomen was opened by a midline incision, and the abdominal aorta and the vena cava were isolated and occluded with small vessel clamps. The ascending aorta and pulmonary artery of the donor heart were anastomosed end to side to the abdominal aorta and the vena cava of the recipient rat, respectively. After heart reperfusion, the abdomen was closed and the rat was allowed to recover. Allograft survival was determined by direct palpation and rejection was considered complete at cessation of a palpable heart beat. The allografts were collected at indicated time points (2 h, 4 h, 8 h, 1 day, 2 days, 3 days, 4 days, and 5 days post-operatively, n = 5/time point). The recipients were sacrificed by overdose of chloral hydrate following the allograft loss or collection of samples.
2.4. Detection of circulating DSAs

The serum of graft recipients was obtained at the indicated time point (days 0, 3, 7, 10, 14 after skin transplantation and day 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 after cardiac transplantation). Circulating donor-specific IgG and IgM antibodies were assessed by flow cytometry. In short, recipient sera were incubated with BN donor splenocytes at 37 °C for 30 min, washed, and then incubated with FITC-labeled anti-rat IgG (Abcam, Cambridge, England) and rhodamine red-conjugated anti-rat IgM (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, West Grove, PA) at 4 °C for 1 h. Cells were analyzed by flow cytometry with results expressed as the mean fluorescence intensity to reflect individual serum anti-donor antibody levels.

2.5. Histology and immunohistochemistry

Cardiac grafts were harvested at indicated time points illustrated above. Syngeneic grafts served as controls. Grafts were then formalin fixed and embedded in paraffin before staining with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) and anti-C4d (0.1 mg/mL, anti-Rat C4d Cat. No. HP8034; Hycult Biotech Inc., Plymouth Meeting, PA), anti-CD68 (1:400; ab125212, Abcam), and anti-CD3 (1:400, ab16669, Abcam). Histologic changes and C4d staining in interstitial vascular tissue were examined by light microscopy.

2.6. Evaluation of C4d expression tissue with image-pro plus (IPP)

Expression levels of C4d were examined by immunohistochemistry, as described above. Quantitative analysis of C4d in tissues was performed using IPP 6.0 imaging software (Media Cybernetics, Silver Spring, MD, USA) (Fig. S2). Briefly, typical images of immunohistochemistry at 2088 × 1560 pixel resolution at 200× magnification were captured. Images were then sent to IPP. Images were first calibrated for intensity to generate a uniform level of intensity for all images. The entire image was analyzed, and only typical images were chosen for analysis. The area was set from 20 to 10,000,000 square pixels. The total integrated optical density (IOD) and area were determined for each image. The area of C4d was selected by an experienced pathologist and confirmed by another pathologist. Images were converted to gray scale when all or most of the C4d area was chosen, and values were counted.

2.7. Image acquisition for C4d evaluation

CEUS was performed using a clinical US imaging system (Logiq E9 digital premium ultrasound system, GE, Milwaukee, WI) and images of rat cardiac allografts were collected using a broadband ML6-15D high-frequency scope with the following imaging parameters: frequency of 10 MHz, gain of 20–30 dB, image depth of 2–3 cm, acoustic...
output of 7%, dynamic range of 40–45 dB, and mechanical index of 0.09 at transection of the cardiac allograft. Section was fixed in the short axis of the left ventricular section to avoid the cardiac apex and mitral valve. Targeted US imaging was obtained via a destructive-replenishment approach. In short, all animals were injected with both MBCon and MBC4d via the femoral vein successfully and, after 1 min, pre-destruction images, which including sufficiently bound and free MBs were obtained. After that, all MBs in the sector were destroyed by “flash” US irradiation with a higher mechanical index of 0.24 for 1 s. Subsequent post-destruction images lasted for 10 s to capture only freely circulating MBs. All CEUS images were collected for further off-line quantitative analysis.

2.8. Establishment of qualitative targeted C4d US imaging and quantitative analysis

Qualitative and quantitative targeted US imaging signals from MBs that were bound to C4d were analyzed using the CEUS qualitative analysis software IDS and quantitative analyzing software Sonamath, respectively (both from Ambition T.C., Chongqing, China), as described previously [14]. The myocardium of the left ventricular short axis was outlined and set as the region of interest. The qualitative imaging signal intensity of attached MBs was calculated by subtracting the post-destruction gray scale signal of the region of interest from the pre-destruction one. The quantification of targeted US imaging signal was achieved using normalized intensity differences [NIDs (%) = pre-destruction signal intensity–post-destruction signal intensity / pre-destruction signal intensity]. That is, the ratio of the attached MBs imaging signal intensity to the total MBs imaging signal intensity was calculated.

3. Statistics

The data software SPSS 20.0 (Chicago, Illinois, USA) was used for statistical analysis. All values are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation. Kaplan–Meier curves and log-rank tests were used to compare the survival rates. Data were normally distributed and analyzed using Student’s t-test or a one-way analysis of variance. Significance was assumed when p < .05.

4. Results

4.1. Characterization of MBC4d

The mean diameter of the targeted MBs was 1.3 μm and the number of MB was 2 × 10⁹ per ml [11]. The binding rate of MBs to C4d antibodies was 93.0 ± 4.5% (Fig. 2A). Furthermore, successful coupling of the C4d to the MBs surface was verified by flow epifluorescence (Fig. 2B).

4.2. Rat model of antibody-mediated cardiac allograft rejection accompanied with C4d deposition

To establish the model of antibody-mediated cardiac allograft rejection, we pre-sensitized the graft recipients with skin from BN donors 2 weeks before cardiac transplantation while the non-sensitized (NS) group was mainly recognized as acute cellular rejection. Allograft survival time in the pre-sensitized (PS) group was significantly reduced...
compared to that of the NS group (5.2 ± 0.8 vs 8.8 ± 0.8 days, \(p = .004\)) (Fig. 3A). DSAs (IgG and IgM) after skin and cardiac transplantation were sequentially analyzed. In the PS group, the DSA-IgG level was gradually increased. At 2 weeks post skin transplantation, the IgG level was significantly increased compared to normal level (564.6 ± 93.0 vs 119.3 ± 14.0, \(p < .001\)). The DSA-IgM level was significantly elevated at 10 days (50.4 ± 2.9 vs 38.2 ± 2.6 days, \(p = .012\)) and decreased to normal at 14 days post skin transplantation, possibly through a rapid

Fig. 3. Construction and characteristics verification of antibody-mediated rejection in a cardiac transplantation rat model. (A) Survival in the pre-sensitized (PS), non-presensitized (NS), and syngeneic (SY) groups (\(n = 5\) group). (B) Changes in anti-donor specific antibody levels (DSA, IgG and IgM) after skin and cardiac transplantation in the PS group (\(n = 5\)). (C) Changes in DSA level (IgG and IgM) after cardiac transplantation in the NS group (\(n = 5\)). (D, E) The grades of C4d deposition in the PS and NS groups at indicated time points, respectively, evaluated by the semi-quantitative scoring criteria (\(n = 5\) time point). (F) Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) and C4d staining of cardiac allografts in the NS and PS groups at different time points after cardiac transplantation, SY allografts served as control. [\(n = 5\) time point]. Magnification: 400×; *\(p < .05\), **\(p < .01\), ***\(p < .001\); Φ, no significance; ST, skin transplantation; CT, cardiac transplantation.
breakdown of serum IgM. Our previous and other studies have also demonstrated that IgG is the major type of DSA which results in allograft rejection in animal models using skin pre-sensitization methods [15,16]. After cardiac transplantation, DSA-IgG levels decreased slightly, but were still significantly higher than normal, which may be due to the adsorption of grafts to DSA (Fig. 3B). In the NS group, both DSA-IgG and DSA-IgM were low until 4 days after cardiac transplantation and slightly increased from 5 days until graft loss (Fig. 3C). Then, histological features of cardiac allografts and C4d deposition in the PS and NS groups were observed at indicated time points post-operatively. In the PS group, capillary inflammatory infiltrates, interstitial edema, and myocyte necrosis could be observed and were gradually aggravated over time. These histologic features conformed to the criteria of AMR. However, in the NS group, the histological features were mainly interstitial inflammation, and graft injuries were less severe compared to those observed in the PS group at the same time points. Moreover, the C4d deposition could be observed until 3 days after cardiac transplantation (Fig. 3F). Furthermore, C4d deposition was evaluated using semiquantitative scoring criteria according to the ISHLT criteria [9]. According to this standard, in the PS group, we determined that the C4d grade of all 10 syngeneic grafts and allografts 2 h after transplantation were grade 0 (G0), 8 of 10 allografts at 4–8 h after transplantation were grade 1 (G1), and 24 of 25 allografts at >1 day after transplantation were grade 2 (G2) (Fig. 3D). However, in the NS group, all allografts at 2 h to 3 days after transplantation were grade 0 (G0), 2 of 5 allografts at 4 days after transplantation were grade 1 (G1), and 2 of 5 allografts after 5 days after transplantation were grade 2 (G2) (Fig. 3E).

To further characterize this AMR animal model, we examined the phenotype of graft-infiltrating cells using immunohistochemistry with anti-CD68 and -CD3 antibodies to detect macrophages and T cells. The predominance of macrophages infiltrated grafts in the PS group, and substantial T cells rather than macrophages infiltrated grafts in the NS group. Moreover, the macrophages mainly infiltrated into the capillaries, whereas the infiltration of T cells was characterized as interstitial inflammation in the two groups (Fig. 4). We summarized these histological features in Table 1, according to the ISHLT criteria [9].

4.3. Quantitative analysis of the intragraft C4d deposition by targeted US

At 3 days post-transplantation in the syngeneic graft (C4d-G0) and at 4–8 h (C4d-G1) and 3 d (C4d-G2) post-transplantation in the allograft, MBcon and MBc4d were injected into recipients. The time interval between applications of MBcon and MBc4d was 30 min to ensure clear detection of the MBcon from circulation. The US imaging signal from MBs attached to C4d is expressed as the subtraction of MB densities before and after the destruction pulse according to the experimental protocol. As shown in Fig. 5, on receiving MBc4d, much stronger molecular US imaging signals were observed in each group compared with that of the two control groups. Furthermore, the intensity signals of C4d were increased with the increase in C4d deposition according to the pathologic evidence. Moreover, the distribution of C4d signal showed non-uniform areas of strong and weak expression (Fig. 5).

4.4. Quantitative analysis of the intragraft C4d deposition by targeted US

As described in the methods, the NID was used as a parameter for quantitative analysis of C4d-targeted US imaging. The NID of the MBcon group was 6.3 ± 1.9%. The C4d-G0, C4d-G1 and C4d-G2 values were 6.3 ± 1.4%, 13.2 ± 2.1%, and 27.2 ± 2.4%, respectively. Significant differences were observed between groups, and a significant correlation was found between NID and C4d grade (r = 0.945; p < .01) (Fig. 6A–B). To verify the accuracy of NID as a C4d quantitative analysis parameter, the IOD of C4d staining, as determined by microscopy, were also evaluated by IPP. As expected, the IOD followed the same pattern as the NID across each C4d grade (Fig. 6C–D), which demonstrates the feasibility and accuracy of the NID as a method of quantitatively analyzing C4d deposition.

4.5. Safety evaluation

Death and heart rate changes were not observed during MB injection. Anorexia, slow activity, and weight loss were not observed after MBc4d injection. Furthermore, we compared the survival and pathologic features of the cardiac allograft between the MBc4d injection and Non-MBc4d injection groups (Fig. S3). There was no significant difference in survival rate between these two groups (Fig. S3A). Moreover, at 3 days post-operatively, we obtained the cardiac allografts, which were subjected to MBc4d injection at 1 day after transplantation. The degrees of damage in these allografts were similar to the non-MBc4d injection group (Fig. S3B).

5. Discussion

In this study, using US imaging based on MBc4d, we developed an easy and novel approach to evaluate C4d deposition within a cardiac allograft. In doing so, we developed a noninvasive, more representative, and quantitative evaluation technique for this important biomarker. This simple approach may spare thousands of cardiac allograft recipients from undergoing invasive EMB for detecting C4d.

This study, for the first time, provided a noninvasive approach for intragraft C4d evaluation. Currently, the detection of intragraft C4d relies on EMB, which requires fluoroscopy guidance. Moreover, endomyocardial tissue is usually obtained percutaneously from the right or left ventricle via a peripheral vessel using biopsy forceps through a long sheath. Such a procedure is invasive, particularly when it is performed in a beating heart [17]. Conversely, targeted US imaging only requires an ultrasonic test after intravenous injection of MBs. There was no need for direct contact and invasive manipulation for the cardiac allograft [18], which would provide higher acceptance to recipients and doctors. Thus, the non-invasive C4d-targeted US imaging will make routine surveillance of intragraft C4d possible.

This approach also demonstrated, for the first time, a method to evaluate C4d deposition in a whole section within the cardiac graft. Due to C4d being located within capillaries, C4d deposition will vary in accordance with capillary distribution [19]. In specimens obtained by EMB, focal C4d depositions are often observed [20]. Thus, the sampling error of the small pieces of tissues obtained by EMB could be expected, which is a real problem and may result in a false negative diagnosis [21]. Targeted US can visualize the entire myocardium in a whole section of the allograft to evaluate the deposition, which markedly increased the accuracy of C4d diagnosis. This study provided the first image that showed the distribution of C4d in a whole allograft section. As shown in Fig. 5, C4d deposition in the cardiac allograft was indeed quite non-uniform, which may be consistent with the non-uniform distribution of intramyocardial capillaries. This feature in turn suggested that the sample error of EMB is inevitable. Thus, C4d-targeted US imaging, in comparison with EMB, could significantly decrease the sample error, and provided a more accurate C4d evaluation globally.

Moreover, another advantage of this novel approach was the ability to develop a quantitative C4d analysis technique using an objective indicator, which will produce a reliable result. Traditional methods for analyzing C4d deposition provides only semiquantitative data that depend on the pathologist’s subjective judgment [22]. In this study, an objective indicator, NID, which was calculated using the destruction-replenishment method, was used for quantitative analysis of C4d deposition. The application of the objective indicator will be of great value for avoiding bias across pathologists as its magnitude reflects the intensity of C4d deposition. The NIDs in the positive groups were significantly higher compared to the syngeneic and MBcon-injected control groups.
Fig. 4. CD68 and CD3 staining of cardiac allografts at indicated time points. CD68 and CD3 staining of cardiac allograft in the nonpresensitized (NS) and presensitized (PS) groups at different time points after cardiac transplantation, syngeneic (SY) allografts served as control (n = 5/time point). Magnification: 400×.

|   | CD68 staining |   | CD3 staining |   |
|---|---------------|---|--------------|---|
|   | NS group     |   | NS group     |   |
| SY | ![Image](image1) |   | ![Image](image2) |   |
| 2 h | ![Image](image3) |   | ![Image](image4) |   |
| 4 h | ![Image](image5) |   | ![Image](image6) |   |
| 8 h | ![Image](image7) |   | ![Image](image8) |   |
| 1 d | ![Image](image9) |   | ![Image](image10) |   |
| 2 d | ![Image](image11) |   | ![Image](image12) |   |
| 3 d | ![Image](image13) |   | ![Image](image14) |   |
| 4 d | ![Image](image15) |   | ![Image](image16) |   |
| 5 d | ![Image](image17) |   | ![Image](image18) |   |
and had a linear correlation with the grade of C4d deposition. The intensity signals of C4d and NIDs were increased with the increase in C4d deposition according to the pathologic evidence. Moreover, as targeted US is sufficiently sensitive to detect single MB in the bloodstream, NID accurately reflects the number of MBs bound to C4d in situ. Focal and weak C4d deposition could be visualized at 4 h post operative,
suggesting that NID is sensitive enough to catch early weak C4d signals. To verify the accuracy of NID as a C4d quantitative analysis parameter, the IOD of C4d staining, as detected by microscopy, were also evaluated using IPP [23]. As expected, the IOD agreed with the C4d grade that was acquired from NIDs, which demonstrates the feasibility and accuracy of using NID as a quantitative analysis for C4d deposition.

Recently, the importance of AMR has well been recognized for its association with severe coronary arteriosclerosis and allograft dysfunction [24–27]. Thus, routine surveillance of C4d is of great value in improving recipient outcomes. According to a recent report [5], as much as 42.9% of AMR cases were subclinical, as indicated by a normal allograft function and positive C4d deposition. Furthermore, this was closely associated with allograft loss. The noninvasive and easily performed features of C4d-targeted US imaging make routine C4d surveillance much more convenient, which increases the likelihood of patients with subclinical AMR receiving timely treatment and achieving a better prognosis. Furthermore, this approach could potentially be used to simultaneously assess AMR and graft function, which helped to identify the cause of graft dysfunction. In addition, although C4d are often bound on the surface of endothelial cell covalently, C4d loss as early as 8 days after treatment of AMR has been reported [19,28]. Therefore, real-time C4d-targeted US can facilitate the monitoring of treatment efficacy. In addition to cardiac transplantation, C4d deposition is of great value in diagnosis and treatment of renal transplant rejection, liver transplant rejection, autoimmune diseases, certain types of kidney disease, and tumors [4]. This method might also be used to diagnose these diseases noninvasively.

To date, targeted US imaging has been applied in human clinical practice in various types of cancer and has shown good accuracy and safety [18,29]. In this study, death and heart rate changes were not observed during MB injection. Anorexia, slow activity, and weight loss were not observed after MB injection. We also demonstrated that MB injection did not affect the allograft survival and aggregate injury, which demonstrated the safety of MB injection.

As CEUS has been widely used in clinical settings, the technology of C4d-targeted US imaging is expected to be applied quickly to clinical practice. However, before performing clinical trials, the immunogenicity of streptavidin-based conjugated MB and antibodies require testing. Furthermore, the injection doses of targeted MBs, destruction time, and positive judgment standards must be robustly identified. Nevertheless, the functionalization of MB via covalently integrated binding epitopes could be designed for further clinical use [30]. Three-dimensional contrast-enhanced imaging is now available for human use, but there is a lack of commercially available ultrasound systems for high-frequency preclinical three-dimensional contrast imaging and its complex operation [11]. To facilitate clinical promotion, we used two-dimensional contrast-enhanced system to evaluate C4d deposition. Conditional units could use three-dimensional imaging technology to evaluate C4d deposition in the global allograft.

It cannot be ignored that C4d is insufficient for pathological diagnosis of AMR, although it was regarded as the best single marker currently, because of its high specificity but low sensitivity. CD68+ macrophages that infiltrated the capillaries is another important histologic feature in AMR. We are designing CD68-targeted MBs and detecting macrophages by CEUS. We deduce that combined C4d and CD68 detection by CEUS would significantly improve the sensitivity of AMR diagnosis.

Overall, this study documents herein a noninvasive, more representative, and quantitative method for detecting C4d deposition in cardiac allografts in patients with AMR using C4d-targeted US imaging. Thus, the utility of this approach may realize noninvasive detection of this important biomarker in clinic.
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