Bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stromal cell: what next?
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Abstract: Bone marrow mesenchymal stromal cell (MSC) is a potential alternative in regenerative medicine and has great potential in many pathologic conditions including kidney disease. Although most of the studies demonstrate MSC efficiency, the regenerative potential may not be efficient in all diseases and patients. Stem cell feasibility is modified by donor characteristics as gender, age, diet, and health status, producing both positive and negative results. The conditioning of MSC can potentiate its effects and modify its culture medium (CM). In current practices, the cell-free treatment is gaining notable attention, while MSC-conditioned CM is being applied and studied in many experimental diseases, including, but not limited to, certain kidney diseases. This may be the next step for clinical trials. Studies in stem cell CM have focused mainly on extracellular vesicles, nucleic acids (mRNA and microRNA), lipids, and proteins presented in this CM. They mediate regenerative effects of MSC in a harmonic manner. In this review, we will analyze the regenerative potential of MSC and its CM as well as discuss some effective techniques for modifying its fractions and improving its therapeutic potential. CM fractions may be modified by hypoxic conditions, inflammation, lipid exposition, and protein growth factors. Other possible mechanisms of action of stem cells are also suggested. In the future, the MSC paracrine effect may be modified to more closely meet each patient’s needs.
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Introduction
Stem cell therapy is a potential alternative for many pathological conditions, including kidney diseases.

Stem cell is characterized by maintaining unlimited self-renewing ability, remaining indefinitely undifferentiated, and possessing the capacity to differentiate and transform into cells with a specific phenotype.¹

Stem cells differ according to their differentiation capabilities. Pluripotent stem cells, including embryonic stem cells (ESC) and induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSC), differentiate in almost all mammalian cell lineages. Multipotent or adult stem cells have limited differentiation capacities, are present in specific niches in mammalian organs, and are sources of cell renewal.²

In mammals, progenitor mesenchymal cells participate in the glomerular and tubule development, but in adult kidneys, progenitor population disappears. Nevertheless, some studies suggest the presence of these progenitor cells in adult kidneys³ and...
employ progenitor cells to treat experimental models of kidney diseases.6–8

The number of studies mentioning stem cells increases each year. A search on PubMed during the first semester of 2018 coupled with the term “stem cell” found almost 23,000 articles. One of the cells most frequently studied is the mesenchymal stem cell or mesenchymal stromal cell (MSC).9

Initially obtained from bone marrow (BM-MSC), MSCs are multipotent stem cells that present positive surface markers like CD90, CD105, and CD73 and negative surface markers such as CD45, CD34, CD14, CD79a, CD11b, CD19, or Human Leukocyte Antigen-DR isotype molecules.10

Additionally, they transformed into mesoderm-derived cell types including adipocytes, chondrocytes, and osteocytes.11 Nevertheless, similar cells were obtained from almost all adult tissues and organs when introduced in culture conditions including peripheral blood, liver, spleen, placenta, umbilical cord, and amniotic membrane.9

BM-MSC was the first stem cell well-characterized,12–14 and the MSC most often studied either in vitro or in vivo. Its protective and regenerative potential was demonstrated not only in experimental models of acute kidney injury induced by cisplatin,15 gentamicin,16 ischemia, and reperfusion17 but also in chronic kidney disease.18,19

One frequently investigated aspect of MSC is its mechanism of action. Initially, three hypotheses were suggested: first was the homing to the injury site and fusion with the resident cell, second was the transdifferentiation into the resident cell and repopulation of injured tissue, and third was the paracrine effect.20 Currently, the most widely accepted hypothesis is the paracrine effect, at least in regard to kidney diseases. To prove the final hypothesis, studies used the culture medium (CM) of stem cells to reproduce their regenerative effect on pathological conditions.21,22

The advantage of using the CM is the lower risk of immunogenicity or tumorigenicity. The ability to induce teratoma was notably demonstrated by the use of pluripotent stem cells including iPSCs23 and ESCs.24 Initially, it was reported that no tumor was detected after the transplant of human or animal MSCs,25 suggesting MSC was not tumorigenic. Nevertheless, other studies reported malignant lesions that have the capability of transforming into tumors even after the transplant of MSC has occurred.26,27 Cell-free therapy reinforces the concept that the MSC-CM is a safe option for the use of the cell.

MSC, in naive conditions, expresses intermediate major histocompatibility complex I molecules as opposed to class II molecules. These molecules are not recognized by alloreactive T-cells, showing low level of immunogenicity. Additionally, BM-MSC have potent immunosuppressive effects, which corroborate the low immunogenicity.28 Nevertheless, it is noteworthy to emphasize that most studies regarding BM-MSC analyzed their protective/regenerative effects only for a few days or weeks, and consequently suggest that stem cells were washed out from the site after 24 hours or if they were trapped in the lungs.29 Manuscripts focusing on the immunogenicity or tumorigenicity of BM-MSC for long periods after transplant occurred were not conducted extensively and are still necessary in current practice.

**The MSC-CM and its modification**

The CM of MSC or its secretome is composed mainly of lipids, proteins, and nucleic acid-enriched extracellular vesicles (EVs). Each fraction of CM has been analyzed by its regenerative and/or protective properties, but the secretome most likely works in a harmonic way and not in an independent manner.

Despite this behavior, it is reasonable to suggest that the regenerative potential of MSC secretome (EVs, lipids, and proteins) can be modified by its microenvironment under pathological conditions. MSC can be conditioned by the microenvironment in constant changes to adjust the secretome to its external conditions. This feature may be used to improve, potentiate, or adjust the paracrine effect of MSC according to each patient’s condition.

The MSC secretome and its constituents will be analyzed in the following sections.

**EVs in MSC secretome**

EVs are micro- or nanoparticles produced and released from all living cells in an organism; EV carry (messenger RNA) mRNA, microRNA, and proteins to mediate intercellular communication. They include mainly microvesicles, exosomes, and apoptotic bodies.30

Exosomes are 50–150 nm diameter nanoparticles produced intracellularly in the multivesicular bodies (MVB). They present characteristic proteins like the tetraspanin family members (CD63, CD81, and CD9), heat-shock proteins,31 and endosomes such as Alix and TSG101.32 Exosomes are released in answer to the microenvironment modifications after primary endosome formation. MVB membrane fuses with the plasmatic membrane, releasing exosomes into the environment where they can be overtaken by other cells in order to mediate a paracrine communication. Microvesicles are 100–1,000 nm diameter particles sprouting from the plasmatic membrane, which also carry mRNA, microRNA, and proteins from the cytoplasm.30
EVs are present in practically all biological fluids, and urine exosomes are potential biomarkers for kidney diseases.30

The therapeutic potential of MSC microvesicles and exosomes was demonstrated in many kidney diseases, such as gentamicin nephrotoxicity,16 cisplatin nephrotoxicity,33–35 ischemia/reperfusion,36 and acute kidney injury to chronic kidney disease transition.37 The resulting therapeutic effect is related to their cargo, which includes microRNA, mRNA, and proteins; our understanding of EV cargo, however, is still incomplete.

EV obtained from MSC and potentially other various cell types represent a heterogeneous population varying in density, surface markers, protein, and microRNA cargo and biological activity of kidney cells.38 A recent study demonstrates that EV obtained from MSC-CM were initially separated into 12 fractions according to gradient density techniques; they were posteriorly grouped into three fractions of low, medium, and high density according to markers and density.

The low- and medium-density fractions showed diameter between 50 and 150 nm when compared to the high-density fraction, presenting an EV greater in diameter (>200 nm). The medium-density fraction showed CD63 and CD81 markers – HLA-I and α5-integrin – which suggests an exosome-enriched population. This fraction showed proliferation activity on tubular epithelial cells under culture conditions and an antiapoptotic effect on tubular cells exposed to hypoxia. Low- and high-density fractions demonstrated in a lesser extension the surface marking CD63, HLA-I, and α5-integrin, which suggests a microvesicle-enriched fraction. These results did not show antiapoptotic proprieties on proximal tubular cells under hypoxic conditions.

MicroRNAs carried by EV from the medium-density fraction were associated with stem cell effects in processes such as inflammation, migration, and metabolism. Protein cargo from EV in a medium-density fraction was composed of signaling molecules, receptors, and cell adhesion proteins involved in a regenerative/protective process.38

These results suggest that EV obtained from an MSC-CM showed different populations that included exosomes and microvesicles with different cargo and properties considerable for potentiating an EV therapeutic effect.

As mentioned above, MSC-derived EV amount and cargo can be modified by microenvironmental conditions.39,40 Hypoxia is a potent stimulant of EV production and modulates its cargo to increase angiogenesis.41,42 These features could potentially have beneficial therapeutic effects.

Lipids in MSC secretome
MSC-CM lipidic fraction is studied much less frequently than EV and proteins. Most studies analyze the therapeutic potential for MSC in culture conditions enriched with fatty acids. Omega-3 unsaturated fatty acids (docosahexaenoic and eicosapentaenoic acids) generate mediators during inflammation and/or resolution of inflammation called lipid mediators. These mediators include resolvins, neuroprotectin/protectins, and maresins that may be responsible for the beneficial effects provided by these unsaturated fatty acids.43,44 Resolvins, protectins, and maresins stimulate inflammation resolution through cessation of leukocyte infiltration, counterregulation of proinflammatory mediators, and the uptake of apoptotic neutrophils and cellular debris.45

Docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) is an essential omega-3 fatty acid that generates lipid mediators in MSC. The exposition of murine MSC to a lipid mediator (14S,21R-diHDHA) for 12 hours improved the renoprotective effect of MSC in an ischemia/reperfusion model of acute kidney injury. The exposition increased the antiapoptotic, anti-inflammatory effects of MSC, inhibiting leukocyte infiltration and inflammatory cytokine generation.46

This strategy, aimed toward conditioning MSC, was also utilized in other practices. Human MSC was cultured for 7 days in the presence of linoleic and oleic acids (omega-6 and omega-9 unsaturated fatty acids, respectively).47 Both reduced MSC viability but increased migration, which may have influenced the cellular homing to the site of the injury. Also, unsaturated fatty acid increased angiogenesis mediators vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), IL-1β, IL-8, and nitric oxide;47 this result was also observed with DHA.48 Nevertheless, the explanation as to how omega-6 and omega-9 affect MSC is still to be determined, but there is a compelling suggestion stating that fatty acid conditioning of MSC may improve some of its properties.

It was demonstrated that MSC loses some properties, like multipotency, and undergoes senescence during long culture conditions.49 During prolonged culture conditions, there is a decrease in omega-6 fatty acids with decreases in membrane fluidity. To counterbalance this, the cell decreases saturated fatty acid and increases monounsaturated fatty acids in plasmatic membrane; plasmatic membrane does not produce the same bioactive products, which interferes with cellular plasticity as indicated by cellular differentiation. Moreover, the supplementation of lipids restores some of these properties like cell differentiation, trafficking, protein synthesis, and folding.50

These results suggest that MSC can be conditioned through lipid supplementation or lipid mediators to improve
or restore some of its ability. Therefore, it is reasonable to infer that a cell affected by senescence or prolonged culture conditions or a cell obtained from a patient in pathological conditions can be restored or improved for autologous transplant.

We suggest that the same can occur with the MSC paracrine effect. Therefore, lipid exposition can improve or restore the MSC paracrine effect, and the practice can certainly be explored in regenerative strategies.

Proteins in MSC secretome
MSCs produce and secrete protein growth factors that mediate its paracrine effect, as has already been demonstrated. Some of these paracrine factors include hepatocyte growth factor (HGF), insulin-like growth factor (IGF), VEGF, etc. They are involved in antifibrotic, angiogenic, and antiapoptotic effects of MSC.

MSC can be conditioned to produce protein growth factors and improve its proprieties. Hypoxia can improve antifibrotic effects of MSC through HGF production. Other protein factors include erythropoietin and granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF) that are capable of improving some proprieties of MSC such as differentiation, migration to the injury site, and regenerative capacity.

Another potential stimulus is inflammation. Conditioning MSC with tumor necrosis factor α (TNF-α), an inflammatory cytokine produced by macrophages, stimulated an MSC angiogenic effect through VEGF production, proliferation, and differentiation into osteocytes.

Proteins can also be carried by EVs released by MSC, which keep them protected from microenvironment proteases. These EVs can be endocyted by recipient cells and the proteins released into the cytoplasm can modify the cell behavior. However, soluble proteins presented in CM stimulate recipient cells through membrane receptors, which activate intracellular machinery to promote cellular effect.

Donor status affects MSC secretome
MSC effects exhibit efficiency according to many factors already discussed. Additionally, the efficiency of MSC can be influenced by interpersonal variability such as donor age, health status, or even tissue origin. For example, donor health conditions and other characteristics influence MSC functions and feasibility, which has already been demonstrated by previous studies.

Literature still lacks the necessary breadth of information regarding how diet supplementation or physical activity could activate intracellular machinery to promote cellular effect. However, soluble proteins presented in CM proteins released into the cytoplasm can modify the cellular behavior. These proteins can also be carried by EVs released by MSC, which keep them protected from microenvironment proteases. These EVs can be endocyted by recipient cells and the proteins released into the cytoplasm can modify the cell behavior. However, soluble proteins presented in CM stimulate recipient cells through membrane receptors, which activate intracellular machinery to promote cellular effect.

In vitro culture condition affects MSC secretome
A concern regarding the near future is stem cell culture conditions. The MSC culture in 3D spheres is advantageous for producing cells in the secretome including EVs, proteins, etc. Nevertheless, 3D culture conditions can also modify stem cell secretome, which influences its therapeutic potential and can also be used to improve it. The 3D culture and other cell culture conditions can influence the MSC therapeutic effect, and consequently should be more thoroughly explored.

Other possible mechanism of action of stem cell: tunneling nanotubes (TNT)
Recent studies suggest that MSC can either communicate with neighboring cells through TNT formation or MSC can stimulate the TNT in a paracrine formation to mediate communication between resident cells.

Nanotubes were recently considered one mechanism of cellular communication that allows the transferring of cytoplasmic components such as prions, mitochondria, lysosome, ions, and bacterial pathogens between neighboring cells.

In umbilical cord MSC, nanotubes were characterized as cellular protrusions with 100–700 µm in distance and 50–200 nm in diameter. Two types of TNT were identified: thinner TNT and thicker TNT.

The thinner TNT measures no more than 100 nm in diameter and is formed by actin filaments; its function is transporting soluble cytoplasmic substances. The thicker TNT measures 600–700 nm in diameter and is formed by actin and tubulin filaments; its function is transporting vesicles, mitochondria, and cisterns of rough endoplasmic reticulum.

TNT seems to be, at least partially, a mediator of MSC regenerative activity in the kidney. MSC induced TNT formation when cultured with tubular epithelial cells. These tubular cells transferred mitochondria between neighboring cells through nanotubes. This mitochondrial transfer conferred resistance of the tubular epithelial cell to cisplatin-induced injury and acute renal failure in mice. The work demonstrated that umbilical cord MSC stimulated SIRT3 function in tubular cells, deacetylated mitochondrial proteins, increased ATP production, and induced potent antioxidant effects.

The TNT-mediated communication between MSC and resident cells is advantageous and could be explored to
possibly improve MSC therapeutic potential. Nevertheless, additional studies are necessary in order to understand TNT structure and function for cellular therapy.

**MSC clinical trial conditions**

MSC is the main cell type present in clinical trials. However, placental, bone marrow, and fat-derived stromal cells have also been used, but the preference focuses on characteristics observed in animal studies; these characteristics include immunosuppressive and anti-inflammatory properties, homing capacity, and short-time existence when transplanted, which may directly influence safety. There seems to be no difference between allogenic and autologous transplants. In fact, this lack of discrepancy is considered an advantage since gender, age, pathologic conditions, etc, may affect MSC properties.

Both ESC and iPSC are of great interest, especially with the difficulty obtaining adult stem cells for many tissues and organs; however, safety is still a great concern.

There are studies using differentiated ESC, a strategy for security issues, to treat pancreas, the eye, and Parkinson’s disease. Additionally, iPSC is not being applied to clinical studies because of regulatory approval. Clinical trial failures are still frequent, but these failures are fortunately not discouraging future trials because even more scientific knowledge is being accumulated.

In the beginning of 2018, 164 (2.48%) of 6,600 clinical trials involved the study of stem cells or transplantation of stem cells for kidney diseases. Twenty-four trials had applied MSCs (14.6%), and 41 had applied progenitor cells (25%), among other types of stem cells. Half of all studies analyzing kidney diseases (54.9%) were in kidney cancer patients (Figure 1).

Other stem cells like pluripotent-induced cells differentiated in that iPSC or ESC stem cells should be more employed in the future by utilizing more than one cell in a combined treatment. Perhaps the next step in clinical trials will be preconditioning stem cells to improve the cell trait or ability or preconditioning the CM to individualize the treatment.

**Perspectives and future directions**

The transplant of MSC or its paracrine/endocrine factors is still promising nowadays. Despite this, the advantages of MSC paracrine factors can be minimized, abolished, or potentiated by the feasibility of stem cells according to donor characteristics (gender, age, etc) or by the conditioning of stem cells regarding inflammation or hypoxia, etc (Figure 2). Looking toward the future, we conclude that the next step could be the conditioning of stem cells.

It is difficult to believe that one or few stem cell lines are effective for all diseases and all types of patients, but this notion was our first impression after analyzing experimental studies using stem cells. Perhaps, in the future, cellular therapy will be based on the needs of the patient, individualizing the treatment through stem cell conditioning or choosing stem cell lines according to donor characteristics in order to potentiate the effects of cell therapy.
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**Figure 1** Proportion of clinical trials studying stem cells in non-kidney and kidney diseases, as well as kidney disease related to cancer.

**Figure 2** MSC conditioning with lipids, growth factor, or EVs or selection of donor conditions to obtain MSCs adequate for transplant patient. **Abbreviations:** DHA, docosahexaenoic acid; EPA, eicosapentaenoic acid; EV, extracellular vesicle; MSC, mesenchymal stromal cell.
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