Botulinum Toxin in the Surgical Treatment of Complex Abdominal Hernias: A Surgical Anatomy Approach, Current Evidence and Outcomes
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Abstract. Background/Aim: Abdominal wall hernias represent a common problem in surgical practice. A significant proportion of them entails large defects, often difficult to primarily close without advanced techniques. Injection of botulinum toxin preoperatively at specific points targeting lateral abdominal wall musculature has been recently introduced as an adjunct in achieving primary fascia closure rates. Materials and Methods: A literature search was conducted investigating the role of botulinum toxin in abdominal wall reconstruction focusing on anatomic repair of hernia defects. Results: Injecting botulinum toxin preoperatively achieved chemical short-term paralysis of the lateral abdominal wall muscles, enabling a tension-free closure of the midline, which according to anatomic and clinical studies should be the goal of hernia repair. No significant complications from botulinum injections for complex hernias were reported. Conclusion: Botulinum is a significant adjunct to complex abdominal wall reconstruction. Further studies are needed to standardize protocols and create more evidence.

Abdominal wall hernias represent a common problem in current surgical practice. Over 20% of all abdominal operations performed in the US annually are complicated by development of an incisional hernia (1). In an attempt to identify the key players in the successful treatment of the most challenging subgroup of these hernias, namely the complex hernias, a scheme identifying size and location, contamination and soft tissue infection as well as patient history and risk factors has been proposed (2). Incisional hernias are well known to recur after repair (3), thus underlying the importance of solid knowledge of relevant anatomy, technique and most importantly new adjuncts in treatment. More advanced techniques in hernia repair were developed such as Rives-Stoppa retro-rectus repair and, subsequently, posterior components separation with the goal to achieve primary and tension-free myo-fascial closure reinforced by mesh placement (4). The goal of this study was to review current evidence regarding the use of botulinum toxin in the treatment of abdominal wall hernia repair, in a critical manner.

Materials and Methods

The protocol of this review has been submitted to the Institutional Review Board of Department of Anatomy, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Greece and is available upon request. Eligible articles were identified by search of the Medline Embase, Cinahl and Google Scholar bibliographical databases for the period from 2010 up to March 2021. The study protocol was agreed by all co-authors. The search strategy included the following keywords: “botulinum toxin/botox AND hernia repair/incisional hernia/component separation”. Further search terms that were used were “chemical components separation AND hernia”, “loss of domain AND hernia”, “complex abdominal wall hernia”, “abdominal wall reconstruction”, “progressive preoperative pneumoperitoneum AND hernia”.

Study selection criteria. Language restrictions were applied (only articles in English, French and German were considered eligible); two investigators (FS and DC), working independently, searched the literature and extracted data from each eligible study. Reviews and case reports were excluded, while all prospective and retrospective studies, were eligible for this review. Articles that did not state the names of the authors were excluded. Studies with less than 15 patients were also excluded. All references from the manuscripts retrieved with the aforementioned search strategy were manually searched for additional information. Also, as part of our review we have searched for studies on abdominal wall anatomy and therefore...
terms such as “abdominal wall anatomy AND hernia” were used in the literature search. The focus was on retrieving data from basic science, experimental models, studies on outcomes using the various surgical techniques and comparative data in an attempt to establish an integrated approach to complex abdominal wall reconstruction.

**Study selection.** The search strategy retrieved 6,757 articles, 60 of these were considered eligible and possible sources from the title and abstract presented. The other articles were excluded (by applying exclusion criteria) and finally 13 of them were selected to be included in this literature review.

**Results**

Complex abdominal wall reconstruction requires re-approximation of fascia to achieve primary closure of the defect in a tension-free manner to recreate normal abdominal wall anatomy (5). Previous evidence has demonstrated that patients with midline incisional hernias suffer from abdominal wall dysfunction not due to muscle dysfunction per se, due to the existence of the hernia, but rather because of altered anatomy as a result of lateralization of abdominal wall musculature and resultant loss of midline insertion into the linea alba of the aforementioned muscles (6-7). The laterally-directed pulling forces of abdominal wall muscles is one of the main mechanisms of gradual enlargement of an abdominal wall defect over time (8). Recreation of anatomy thus leads to functional regaining (9). The superiority in terms of complication and recurrence rates of myo-fascial closure with mesh reinforcement over bridged repair for large ventral hernias has been well documented in the literature as well (10-11). The altered anatomy has been shown in a rat model of midline incisional hernias to lead to different distribution of mechanical forces on abdominal wall musculature and decreased compliance, namely the internal oblique muscles developed a histological pattern consistent with atrophic changes (change in the distribution of muscle fibers, decreased cross-sectional area and pathologic fibrosis), thus transferring the load of forces onto the midline (12). In another report from Lien et al. with data from a rat model of incisional hernia, partial paralysis of abdominal wall muscles with botulinum toxin reduced both the number and size of incisional hernias (13). The authors concluded that it was the negation of outwards-and-laterally oriented contractile forces, which had a beneficial effect on midline herniation process after laparotomy. Re-approximation of rectus abdominis muscles in a suture-only repair, interestingly, improved isokinetic strength of trunk flexors when compared to mesh-only repair without defect closure (14). More complex reconstruction techniques more likely owe their success to restoration of normal anatomy as demonstrated by Lisiecki et al. (15). They described in their report changes in the dimensions of the entire abdomen after component separation but no changes in the fascial area.

These concepts are further elucidated by an interesting report with long-term follow-up of abdominal wall reconstruction after planned ventral hernia encompassing 152 patients, which reports a 5 percent rate of hernia recurrence in patients offered abdominal wall reconstruction with components separation without mesh reinforcement (16).

Based on the aforementioned data, it has been theorized that chemical paralysis of lateral abdominal wall musculature could be a valuable adjunct in the repair of complex abdominal wall hernias. Botulinum toxin A acts a neuro-modulating agent that blocks the release of acetylcholine and other pain modulating substances to induce flaccid paralysis with the maximum effect reached in 3 weeks and lasting up to 3-6 months after injection (17). Zielinski et al. reported on their experience with 18 patients with abdominal wall defects associated with open abdomen. Botulinum toxin despite being used much earlier than its optimum timing of peak action, resulted in 83% primary fascial closure and a 6% partial fascial closure with no added morbidity and most importantly cancelling the need for the more morbid surgical components separation in this critically ill patient population (18). Another research paper (19) reported on 17 patients with incisional hernias after open abdomen and described that the administration of botulinum toxin under ultrasound guidance at a dose of 50 units at each of the 5 injection points on each side, resulted after 4 weeks in significant decreases in lateral abdominal muscle thickness as well as significant increases in length, thus reversing the process of their atrophy/lateralization described above. Muscle length gain was reported at a mean of 2.59 and 2.44 cm per side respectively for a total of almost 5 cm of possible gain in defect coverage due to lateral advancement on each side. Due to the pain modulating properties of botulinum toxin as well, a significant reduction in pain scores and opioid analgesia requirements after incisional hernia repair, has also been reported in patients undergoing ‘chemical component paralysis’ (20). Interestingly, in the same research paper no increase in pulmonary complications was noted according to the authors, thereby providing an answer about the theoretical risks of lateral abdominal wall muscle paralysis which are known to act as accessory respiratory muscles. A cumulative table has been created with details on the protocol of administration as well as adverse effects noted is available in Table I.

Apart from the few reports on abdominal wall reconstruction after open abdomen, there is an increasing body of literature on the use of botulinum toxin in abdominal wall reconstruction in complex incisional hernias and especially in hernias with loss of domain. In 2009 the European Hernia Society (EHS) developed a classification scheme for primary and incisional abdominal hernias (21) which describes what constitutes a large hernia defect as well as loss of domain hernia (LODH). As described by Tanaka et al. (22), a computed tomography-facilitated measurement of the ratio of the volume of incisional hernia sac and the
volume of abdominal cavity over 25% constitutes loss of domain and is predictive of a traumatic reduction of the hernia contents inside abdominal cavity. This concept is further elaborated by the C. Sabbagh et al. report in 2011 (23), where it was concluded that a ratio of (incisional hernia volume) divided by the (peritoneal volume) resulting in less than 20% predicts a tension-free primary fascial closure. In their report, they used progressive preoperative pneumoperitoneum (PPP) as a ‘neoadjuvant’ adjunct prior to repair of complex incisional hernias based as previously described extensively (24-25). It is of great value, in our opinion, to notice that progressive preoperative pneumoperitoneum increases the abdominal wall muscle length and has the same impact in the incisional hernia orifice (26) and, even more interestingly, it causes an increase in the volume of hernia sac and abdominal cavity to the same extent, thus leaving the volume of incisional hernia/volume of abdominal (VIH/VAC) cavity ratio unchanged (27). It is exactly this key point where injection of botulinum toxin leaves essentially the dimensions of the incisional hernia sac unchanged and changes only the dimensions of the abdominal wall cavity. A research paper from Lledo et al. from 2018 (28) confirms this concept. The authors report on their experience on 70 patients with loss of domain hernias and by using a CT volumetric protocol document that the combination of PPP and botulinum toxin decreases the VIH/VAC ratio as well as the hernia defect diameters, which both constitute a key factor in the treatment of LODH.

Apart from the ‘chemical components separation’ with botulinum toxin, mechanical and/or surgical disruption of abdominal wall muscles and fasciae with position of meshes has evolved with techniques such as the Rives-Stoppa retromuscular repair and the more extensive anterior components separation as well as posterior components separation with or without transverse abdominis release (29). Adding anterior or posterior components separation to a Rives-Stoppa facilitates further medial advancement at the cost of extra dissection (30). Disruption of the vascular perforators during anterior components separation may account for the higher rate of wound complications of this method compared to posterior components separation, as confirmed in a study catching data over 12 years on 775 patients (31). Increasingly nowadays, abdominal wall reconstruction is performed in contaminated fields (32) and in a patient population with co-morbidities of increasing

---

**Table 1. Protocol of administration, site of injection, dosage and adverse effects from injection. First column shows the relevant studies. The second column includes the number of patients. The third column shows the Units (U) of botulinum injected and the localizing method used for identifying the site. The fourth column shows the complications from the injection reported in each study.**

| Study                  | Number of patients | Protocol for injection | Site of injection | Complications               |
|------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Zielinski et al. 2013 (18) | 18                 | Total of 300 U of botox under ultrasound guidance (150/side) | Internal oblique external oblique transversus abdominis (3 points/side) | None related to botox injection |
| Ibarra-Hurtado et al. 2013 (19) | 17                 | Total of 500 U under ultrasound guidance (250/side) | External oblique+ internal oblique transversus abdominis (5 points/side) | None related to botox injection |
| Zendejas et al. 2013 (20) | 22                 | Total of 300 U of botox under ultrasound guidance (150/side) | Internal oblique external oblique transversus abdominis (3 points/side) | None related to botox injection |
| Nielsen et al. 2020 (40) | 37                 | Total of 300 U of botox under ultrasound guidance (150/side) | Internal oblique external oblique transversus abdominis (3-5 points/side) | 2.7% pain reported |
| Elstner et al. 2020 (42) | 46 (23 3-layer intramuscular injection vs. 23 2-layer injection) | Total of 200 U of botox under ultrasound guidance (100/side) | Internal oblique external oblique transversus abdominis | No data available 1 patient reported with dyspnea |
| Catalán-Garza et al. 2020 (43) | 36                 | Total of 300 U of botox under ultrasound guidance (150/side) | Internal oblique external oblique transversus abdominis (3 points/side) | None related to botox injection |

---
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complexity, such as increasing body mass index (BMI), which has been shown to independently increase wound complication rate (33). Limiting disruption of vasculature as well as reducing the degree of dissection might, therefore, be of value, which is perhaps why endoscopic anterior component separation appears to have lower wound complication rates compared to the open technique (34). To add more to the problem, evidence exists that increasing BMI is correlated with decreased density of major deep inferior epigastric perforators (35). More interestingly, repair of large incisional hernias with achievement of primary fascial closure without components separation appears to yield similar hernia recurrence rates but significantly lower wound infection rates compared to primary fascial closure with use of components separation (36). In this cohort of patients, similarly low rates of bridging 1.8% in the no-component separation group and 2.7% in the components separation group were reported, suggesting that it is the achievement of midline recreation in a tension-free manner that is the key driver for hernia recurrence prevention. In our opinion, this is exactly where preoperative chemical components separation using botulinum might play a key role. Lledo et al. (37) published in 2020 their experience from a comparative study on 80 patients with midline incisional hernias, in which chemical components separation down-staged the required hernia repair type from components separation to a Rives-Stoppa repair with a 100% primary fascial closure achievement rate in the chemical components group and no complications from the injection of botulinum per se. Interestingly, large midline incisional hernias (hernia W3 according to EHS classification) with defects of 12-18 cm in diameter were only included in the study (21). The comparison group in this study underwent formal components separation with an increased rate of wound complications and in the follow-up period of 19 months it was the only group of patients that experienced hernia recurrence. Examining the outcomes of use of botulinum toxin (BTA) in abdominal wall reconstruction, Deerenberg et al. (38) reported on a cohort of 108 patients with large incisional hernias with loss of domain that underwent preoperatively botulinum injection under Computer Tomography fluoroscopic or ultrasound guidance. No complications from the injection were reported. Primary fascial closure was achieved in 91% of patients. Another report from the same research group on massive ventral hernias with loss of domain, compared patients receiving BTA preoperatively to patients not receiving and demonstrated a significantly higher primary fascial closure

| Study Type of Number of Type of Defect Defect size Type of repair Outcomes (primary closure rate) |
|--------------|--------|----------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|
| Zielinski et al. 2013 (18) Retrospective | 18 | Open abdomen | Not applicable | Primary fascial closure | 83% |
| Ibarra-Hurtado et al. 2013 (19) Clinical trial | 17 | Hernia secondary to open abdomen ≥12 months ago | 14.65 cm mean value of transverse diameter | 4 Rives repair | 100% |
| Zendegas et al. 2013 (20) Retrospective case control study | 22 | Incisional hernia | 59.7 cm² hernia defect median value | 4 Primary closure | 41% |
| Bueno-Lledó et al. 2018 (28) Observational study with progressive preoperative pneumoperitoneum | 70 | Incisional hernia with loss of domain | 16.9 cm longitudinal ×17 cm transverse (diameters – median values) | 100% underlay mesh repair | 95.7% |
| Deerenberg et al. 2021 (39) Comparative study | 75 | Ventral hernia with loss of domain | 251 cm² average size of hernia defect | 20% transverse abdominis release | 92% |
| Elstner et al. 2020 (40) Prospective observational study | 46 | Ventral hernia | 11.3 cm mean transverse diameter of defect | 61% component separation | 100% |
| Catalán-Garza et al. 2020 (43) Retrospective study | 36 | Ventral hernia with loss of domain | 139.44 cm transverse diameter | Laparoscopic repair | 75% anterior component separation | 78% |

Table II. Studies with available data on hernia defect size, surgical technique used and rate of primary fascial closure achievement rate. First column shows the studies which include data on hernia defect size, number of patients, surgical method used and primary fascial approximation rate. The second column shows the type of study included. Third column shows the number of patients and the fourth column the type of defect. The fifth column includes data on defect size expressed in centimeters (cm) or square centimeters (cm²). The sixth column shows the type of repair performed and the seventh column the rate of primary fascial approximation achieved. *Highlights that in one study included in the table, botulinum toxin was combined with progressive preoperative pneumoperitoneum.
rate and comparable recurrence rates, which were mostly associated with failure to achieve fascial approximation (39). Safety profile of preoperative botulinum injection has been well reported with only pain usually expressed only in a minority of patients (40). Injection is most commonly performed under ultrasound guidance usually at the external and internal oblique muscles and in some case series at the transversus abdominis muscle as well. Protocols for the injection have been described in the literature and summarized in a recent review (41). Injection at the transversus abdominis muscle site can safely be spared without compromising abdominal wall length gain after the intervention, thus preserving this muscle which plays a critical role in trunkal stability and normal abdominal wall physiology (42). Long-term results from 36 patients report a 77.8% fascial approximation achievement rate with the use of botulinum toxin preoperatively and also report a 11.4% recurrence rate in a 2-year follow-up period with half of the recurrences occurring in the subgroup of patients in which no fascial closure had been accomplished at the time of hernia repair (43). In a systematic review published in 2020 by Wegdam et al. (44), including 14 studies and 377 patients in total with a hernia defect width at a median of 12 cm (10-15 cm), a lateral abdominal wall muscle elongation of 4.0 cm per side was reported, with a 100% primary fascial closure rate achieved and reported need for component separation in 38% of patients. However, the authors also concluded that the level of evidence remains low and went on to introduce the term ‘abdominal wall prehabilitation’. Apart from the open hernia repair applications of botulinum injection, preoperative handling has been harnessed in laparoscopic techniques as well. Elstner et al. reported on 32 patients from a prospective observational study of patients with a mean fascial defect of 12.3 cm that underwent laparoscopic repair of their hernias with a 100% reported fascial closure rate after preoperative botulinum injection (45). A summary of primary fascial closure achievement rate is shown in Table II, where studies included encompass more than 15 patients and the technique used as well as measurements of the hernia defect are described in detail. Table III summarizes key studies on chemical components separation, highlighting the most important relevant concepts as well as the protocols used for administration, according to our opinion.

### Discussion

Abdominal wall reconstruction techniques have recently made tremendous progress. We, hereby, report on the use of botulinum toxin preoperatively as “preconditioning” adjunct of abdominal wall musculature prior to hernia repair. Repair of large incisional hernias with achievement of primary fascial closure seems to restore normal anatomy and physiology and reverse the process of lateral retraction and fibrosis of the lateral abdominal wall muscles compared to simply bridging the hernia defect, now is quantifiable and measurable with radiographic methods (46). In terms of necessitating for “bridging the defect” no significant difference has been identified in a meta-analysis comparing anterior components separation and posterior components separation with transversus abdominis release (47).

| Study                  | Study description                                                                 | Outcomes-Usefullness for the reader                                                                 |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Bueno-Lledó et al. 2018 (28) | Observational study encompassing 70 patients with loss of domain hernias. Combination of progressive preoperative pneumoperitoneum and botulinum toxin to enable reduction in volume of incisional hernial/ volume of abdominal cavity (VHI/VAC) ratio | -VHI/VAC ratio reduction to successfully achieve anatomic repair in loss of domain hernias              |
| Bueno-Lledó et al. 2020 (37) | Comparative study encompassing 80 patients with large midline incisional hernias with similar hernia defect sizes 40 received preoperative botulinum injection and has Rives repair 40 patients underwent component separation | -Use of botulinum with other modalities preoperative -Proof of concept that preoperative botulinum injection can downstage a surgical repair from a morbid components separation to Rives retromuscular repair |
| Deerenberg et al. 2021 (39) | Propensity scored matched study 145 patients undergoing abdominal wall reconstruction versus 75 patients undergoing abdominal wall reconstruction with botulinum injection preoperatively | -Large study groups -Fascial approximation rates as outcome                                             |
| Deerenberg et al. 2021 (41) | Review of techniques of injection of botulinum in abdominal wall reconstruction | -Excellent review on technical aspects of chemical components separation -Detailed review of anatomic points of injection -Review of protocols used for administration |
that can facilitate achievement of fascial closure at the future repair. More interestingly, it causes a change in the abdominal cavity compliance and therefore relieves tension from midline, thereby potentially reducing the rate of hernia recurrence and avoiding postoperative complications from the reduction of abdominal contents inside the abdominal cavity. In essence, it is a form of prehabilitation of the abdominal wall to accommodate in the near future the herniated contents. Moreover, potential benefits on patient pain as well as reduction in postoperative respiratory adverse sequelae can be expected as well, and this is a field in our opinion worth of further investigation in future studies. Other forms of pre-operative prehabilitation such as the progressive preoperative pneumoperitoneum (PPP) have been described as well, but this modality acts to enlarge both the abdominal cavity volume and the hernia sac volume. In contrast, botulinum injection can be performed selectively in the abdominal wall muscles as an outpatient procedure rather than the cumbersome PPP that is also associated with complications (48). Injection is most commonly performed 30 days prior to hernia repair to reach maximum effect and the available literature concurs that it is a safe procedure with minimal complications and it should be performed under radiologic guidance, usually ultrasound. Further investigating whether the transversus abdominis can be spared in what has been called a “2 layer versus 3 layer” injection technique, could be an area of active research.

After extensively reviewing the literature and in an attempt to critically synthesize the available data, we have come to strongly believe that an area of future research will be to tailor the procedure to the type of hernia and the patient. A detailed anatomic study of abdominal wall anatomy in ventral hernias using imaging modalities (mainly computed tomography) is a key step in the process (49-50). Based on the knowledge that preoperative botulinum injection appears to enable medial approach in hernia repair. Therefore, selective chemical key step in the process (49-50). Based on the knowledge that strongly believe that an area of future research will be to tailor using imaging modalities (mainly computed tomography) is an anatomic study of abdominal wall anatomy in ventral hernias to critically synthesize the available data, we have come to conclusion. Further studies are needed in the subgroup of patients with loss of domain, in which progressive preoperative pneumoperitoneum is combined with botulinum injections. In those patients a detailed and individualized anatomic study preoperatively, with the medial advancement gain by botox, might safely omit the preoperative pneumoperitoneum part, taking into consideration that progressive preoperative pneumoperitoneum is known to equally enlarge the hernia sac and the abdominal cavity. Therefore, a more personalized treatment of complex abdominal wall hernias will hopefully be the focus of future studies.
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