CORRELATION STRUCTURE OF TIME-CHANGED FRACTIONAL BROWNIAN MOTION
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Abstract. Fractional Brownian motion (fBm) is a centered self-similar Gaussian process with stationary increments, which depends on a parameter $H \in (0, 1)$ called the Hurst index. The use of time-changed processes in modeling often requires the knowledge of their second order properties such as covariance function. This paper provides the explicit expression for the correlation structure for time-changed fractional Brownian motion. Several examples useful in applications are discussed.

1. Introduction

One of the most important stochastic processes used in a variety of applications is the Brownian motion or Wiener process $B = \{B(t), t \geq 0\}$, which is a Gaussian process with zero mean and covariance function $\min(s, t)$. The process $B$ has independent increments. Scientists used to model natural phenomena such as rainfall, river levels, temperature, as simple random walk processes or Brownian motion processes. However, during designing an optimal dam for the river Nile when hydrologist Hurst tried to model the river levels over the year as a Brownian motion process, he discovered to his surprise that the river level is not totally random. Instead the process increments have some vivid correlation, which indicates that the natural phenomena of river level fluctuation follows a biased random walk or fractional Brownian motion path more than that of a regular Brownian motion. Later, re-scaled range analysis revealed that this fact is true for several other natural processes including lake levels, rainfall, temperature, sunspot counts, and tree rings etc [11]. Researchers have applied fractional Brownian motion to a wide range of problems, such as particle diffusion, DNA sequences, bacterial colonies, geophysical data, electrochemical deposition, and stock market indicators [1]. In particular, computer science applications of fractional Brownian motion include modeling network traffic and generating graphical landscapes [9], [23].

A Gaussian process $B_H = \{B_H(t), t \geq 0\}$ is called fractional Brownian motion (fBm) of Hurst parameter $H \in (0, 1)$ if it has mean zero and the covariance function

$$\mathbb{E}[B_H(t)B_H(s)] = \frac{\sigma^2}{2}(s^{2H} + t^{2H} - |t - s|^{2H}),$$ (1.1)
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where $\sigma^2 = \text{Var}(B_H(1))$. This process was introduced by Kolmogorov \cite{16} and studied by Mandelbrot and Van Ness in \cite{24}, where a stochastic integral representation in terms of a standard Brownian motion was established. The parameter $H$ is called Hurst index from the statistical analysis, developed by the climatologist Hurst \cite{14}, of the yearly water run-offs of Nile river.

The fractional Brownian motion has the following properties.

1. **Self-similarity:** For any constant $a > 0$, the processes \( \{a^{-H}B_H(at), t \geq 0\} \) and \( \{B_H(t), t \geq 0\} \) have the same probability distribution. This property is an immediate consequence of the fact the covariance function (1.1) is homogeneous of order $2H$, and it can be considered as a ”fractal property” in probability.

2. **Stationary increments:** From (1.1) it follows that the increment of the process in an interval \([s, t]\) has a normal distribution with mean zero and variance

\[
E[(B_H(t) - B_H(s))^2] = \sigma^2|t - s|^{2H}.
\]

Hence, for any integer $k \geq 1$ we have

\[
E[(B_H(t) - B_H(s))^{2k}] = \frac{\sigma^2(2k)!}{k!2^k}|t - s|^{2Hk}.
\]

For $H = 1/2$, the covariance function can be written as $R_{1/2}(t, s) = \min(s, t)$, and the process $B_{1/2}$ is an ordinary Brownian motion. In this case the increments of the process in disjoint intervals are independent. However, for $H \neq 1/2$, the increments are not independent.

Since fractional Brownian motion \( \{B_H(t), t \geq 0\} \) has stationary increments, its increments

\[
X_j = B_H(j) - B_H(j - 1), \quad j = 1, \ldots,
\]

form a stationary sequence. The sequence \( \{X_j, j = 1, \ldots\} \) is called *fractional Gaussian noise* (FGN). It is a Gaussian stationary sequence with covariance function

\[
r(j) = \frac{\sigma_0^2}{2} \left(|j + 1|^{2H} - 2|j|^{2H} + |j - 1|^{2H}\right)
\approx \sigma_0^2 H(2H - 1)j^{2H-2}, \quad \text{as} \quad j \to \infty,
\]

where $\sigma_0^2 = \text{Var}(X_j)$. $r(j)$ tends to 0 as $j \to \infty$ for all $0 < H < 1$, when $1/2 < H < 1$ it tends to zero so slowly that $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} r(j) = \infty$ diverges. We say that the sequence \( \{X_j, j \in \mathbb{Z}\} \) exhibits *long-range dependence*. Moreover, this sequence presents an aggregation behavior which can be used to describe cluster phenomena. For $0 < H < 1/2$, $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |r(j)| < \infty$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} r(j) = -\sigma_0^2/2$ \cite[Prop. 7.2.10]{35}. Although there is no long-range dependence, the case $0 < H < 1/2$ is a singular one. Because the coefficient $H(2H - 1)$ is negative, the $r(j)$ are negative for all $j$, a behavior sometimes referred to as ”negative dependence.”
In this paper, we consider a class of intreated self-similar processes formed by subordinated fractional Brownian motion. Let \{Y : Y(t), t \geq 0\} be the inverse subordinator (see section (2) for its definition). Let Z = \{Z(t), t \geq 0\} be a stochastic process defined as Z(t) = B_H(Y(t)), t \geq 0. We call this intreated process subordinated fractional Brownian motion.

Since the sample paths of B_H and Y are continuous, the subordinated fBm also has continuous sample paths. However, Z is non-Markovian, non-Gaussian and does not have stationary or independent increments. When H = 1/2, we call the process Z(t) = B_{1/2}(Y(t)) subordinated Brownian motion. Its path properties were investigated in Magdziarz [21]; Nane [32].

The present paper computes the correlation function of Z(t) = B_H(Y(t)), where the inner process is any inverse subordinator. Then in Section (4) the explicit formula is derived for the correlation function of time-changed processes that rise in applications.

2. Moments of Increments

Consider a non-decreasing Lévy process \{D(s), s \geq 0\}, starting from 0, which is continuous from the right with left limits. Such a process is called a subordinator. It has stationary and independent increments and is characterized by its Laplace transform

$$\mathbb{E}e^{-\lambda D(s)} = e^{-s\phi(\lambda)}, \lambda \geq 0.$$ (2.1)

where the Laplace exponent \phi is a Bernstein function given by

$$\phi(\lambda) = \mu \lambda + \int_{(0,\infty)} (1 - e^{-\lambda x}) v(dx), \lambda \geq 0.$$ (2.1)

where \mu \geq 0 is the drift and v is a Lévy measure on \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\} which satisfies \int_0^\infty (1 \wedge x) v(dx) < \infty (see [2]). If the drift coefficient \mu = 0, or if the Lévy measure v satisfies \int (0, \infty) v(x) = \infty, then D is strictly increasing.

The first-passage time of a subordinator \{D(s), s \geq 0\}, is a new process \{Y(t), t \geq 0\}, called an inverse subordinator, and is defined as follows:

$$Y(t) = \inf\{s : D(s) > t\}, \quad t \geq 0.$$ (2.2)

We have \{Y(t) < u\} = \{D(u) > t\} [26, Eq.(3.2)].

In this section we compute moments of an increments of general inverse subordinator.

Before we calculate moments, we first argue that all moments of an inverse subordinator are finite. Notice that, for any x > 0, we can bound the tail distribution of Y using Markov’s inequality:

$$P[Y(t) > s] \leq P[D(s) \leq t] = P[e^{-x D(s)} \geq e^{-xt}] \leq e^{xt} \mathbb{E}e^{-x D(s)} = e^{xt-s\phi(x)},$$ (2.3)

which implies \mathbb{E}[Y(t)^\alpha] < \infty for any \alpha > 0.
Let $U^\gamma(t) = \mathbb{E}[Y(t)^\gamma]$. Using [28, Eq. 3.13] the Laplace transform of $U^\gamma(t)$ with $\gamma > -1$ is given by:

\[
\tilde{U}^\gamma(\lambda) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} \int_0^\infty s^\gamma f_{Y(t)}(s) \, ds \, dt
\]

\[
= \int_0^\infty s^\gamma \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} f_{Y(t)}(s) \, ds \, dt
\]

\[
= \frac{\phi(\lambda)}{\lambda} \int_0^\infty s^\gamma e^{-s\phi(\lambda)} \, ds
\]

\[
= \frac{\Gamma(1 + \gamma)}{\lambda[\phi(\lambda)]^\gamma},
\]

where $f_{Y(t)}(s)$ is the probability density of inverse subordinator. Of particular importance is the mean of $Y(t)$. From (2.4), $U$ has Laplace transform given by

\[
\tilde{U}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda \phi(\lambda)}.
\]

Thus, $U$ characterizes the inverse process $Y$, since $\phi$ characterizes $D$. For example, using (2.4), [38, Eq. 23] and properties of Laplace transform it follows easily that the $\gamma$ moment of $Y$ is

\[
U^\gamma(t) = \gamma \int_0^t U^{\gamma-1}(t - y) dU(y),
\]

for $\gamma \geq 1$.

The next Lemma extends the result of Lagerås [18] to any moment of order $\kappa > 0$.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let $Y(t)$, $t \geq 0$ be the inverse subordinator given by (2.2). Then for all $s, t > 0$ and all real numbers $\kappa > 0$,

\[
\mathbb{E}[|Y(t) - Y(s)|^\kappa] = U^\kappa(\max(t, s)) - \kappa \int_0^{\min(t, s)} U^{\kappa-1}(\max(t, s) - y) dU(y),
\]

where $U(x) = \mathbb{E}[Y(x)]$.

**Proof.** Since $Y(t)$ is nondecreasing the case $\kappa = 1$ is trivial. In the remaining case, we consider $\kappa > 0$ and $\kappa \neq 1$. Write

\[
\mathbb{E}[|Y(t) - Y(s)|^\kappa] = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty |u - v|^\kappa H(du, dv),
\]

a Lebesgue-Stieltjes integral with respect to the bivariate distribution function $H(u, v) := \mathbb{P}[Y(t) \leq u, Y(s) \leq v]$ of the process $Y(t)$. 

To compute the integral in (2.8), we use the bivariate integration by parts formula [13, Lemma 2.2]

\[
\int_0^a \int_0^b G(u, v)H(du, dv) = \int_0^a \int_0^b H([u, a] \times [v, b])G(du, dv) \\
+ \int_0^a H([u, a] \times (0, b])G(du, 0) \\
+ \int_0^b H((0, a] \times [v, b])G(0, dv) \\
+ G(0, 0)H((0, a] \times (0, b]), \tag{2.9}
\]

with \(G(u, v) = |u - v|^{\kappa}\), and the limits of integration \(a\) and \(b\) are infinite:

\[
\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty G(u, v)H(du, dv) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty H([u, \infty] \times [v, \infty])G(du, dv) \\
+ \int_0^\infty H([u, \infty] \times (0, \infty])G(du, 0) \\
+ \int_0^\infty H((0, \infty] \times [v, \infty])G(0, dv) \\
+ G(0, 0)H((0, \infty] \times (0, \infty])
\]

\[
= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}[Y(t) \geq u, Y(s) \geq v]G(du, dv) + \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}[Y(t) \geq u]G(du, 0) \\
+ \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}[Y(s) \geq v]G(0, dv), \tag{2.10}
\]

since \(Y(t) > 0\) with probability 1 for all \(t > 0\). Notice that \(G(du, v) = g_v(u)\ du\) for all \(v \geq 0\), where

\[
g_v(u) = \kappa(u - v)^{\kappa-1}I\{u > v\} - \kappa(v - u)^{\kappa-1}I\{u \leq v\}. \tag{2.11}
\]

In what follows we use notation for the density of the inverse subordinator \(Y(t)\) as \(f_{Y(t)}(u) = f_t(u)\).

Integrate by parts to get

\[
\int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}[Y(t) \geq u]G(du, 0) = \int_0^\infty (1 - \mathbb{P}[Y(t) < u])\kappa u^{\kappa-1} du \\
= [u^{\kappa}\mathbb{P}[Y(t) \geq u]]_0^\infty + \int_0^\infty u^{\kappa} f_t(u) du \\
= \mathbb{E}[Y(t)^\kappa]. \tag{2.12}
\]

Similarly,

\[
\int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}[Y(s) \geq v]G(0, dv) = \int_0^\infty v^{\kappa} f_s(v)dv = \mathbb{E}[Y(s)^\kappa],
\]
and hence (2.10) reduces to

\[
\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty G(u, v)H(du, dv) = I + \mathbb{E}[Y(t)^\kappa] + \mathbb{E}[Y(s)^\kappa],
\]

(2.13)

where

\[
I = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}[Y_t \geq u, Y_s \geq v]G(du, dv).
\]

Assume (without loss of generality) that \( t \geq s \). Then \( Y_t \geq Y_s \), so \( \mathbb{P}[Y(t) \geq u, Y(s) \geq v] = \mathbb{P}[Y(s) \geq v] \) for \( u \leq v \). Write \( I = I_1 + I_2 + I_3 \), where

\[
I_1 := \int_{u<v} \mathbb{P}[Y(t) \geq u, Y(s) \geq v]G(du, dv) = \int_{u<v} \mathbb{P}[Y(s) \geq v]G(du, dv)
\]

\[
I_2 := \int_{u=v} \mathbb{P}[Y(t) \geq u, Y(s) \geq v]G(du, dv) = \int_{u=v} \mathbb{P}[Y(s) \geq v]G(du, dv)
\]

\[
I_3 := \int_{u>v} \mathbb{P}[Y(t) \geq u, Y(s) \geq v]G(du, dv).
\]

Since \( G(du, dv) = -\kappa(\kappa - 1)(v - u)^{\kappa - 2} du dv \) for \( u < v \), we may write

\[
I_1 = -\kappa(\kappa - 1) \int_{v=0}^\infty \int_{u=0}^v \mathbb{P}[E(s) \geq v](v - u)^{\kappa - 2} du dv
\]

\[
= -\kappa \int_{v=0}^\infty \mathbb{P}[E(s) \geq v] v^{\kappa - 1} dv
\]

\[
= -\mathbb{E}[Y(s)^\kappa],
\]

(2.14)

using the well-known formula \( \mathbb{E}[X^\kappa] = \kappa \int_0^\infty x^{\kappa - 1} \mathbb{P}[X \geq x] dx \) for any positive random variable.

Since \( G(du, v) = g_v(u) du \), where the function (2.11) has no jump at the point \( u = v \), we also have

\[
I_2 = \int_{u=v} \mathbb{P}[Y(s) \geq v]G(du, dv) = 0.
\]

(2.15)

Since \( G(du, dv) = -\kappa(\kappa - 1)(u - v)^{\kappa - 2} du dv \) for \( u > v \) as well, we have

\[
I_3 = -\kappa(\kappa - 1) \int_{v=0}^\infty \mathbb{P}[Y(t) \geq u, Y(s) \geq v] \int_{u=v}^\infty (u - v)^{\kappa - 2} du dv.
\]

(2.16)

Next, we obtain an expression for \( \mathbb{P}[Y(t) \geq u, Y(s) \geq v] \). Since the process \( Y(t) \) is inverse to the stable subordinator \( D(u) \), we have \( \{Y(t) > u\} = \{D(u) < t\} \) [26, Eq. (3.2)], and since \( Y(t) \) has a density, it follows that \( \mathbb{P}[Y(t) \geq u, Y(s) \geq v] = \mathbb{P}[D(u) < t, D(v) < s] \) (see [38, Proposition A.2]). Let \( g(x, u) \) be a density function of a random
variable $D(u)$. Since $D(u)$ has stationary independent increments, it follows that

$$P[Y(t) \geq u, Y(s) \geq v] = P[D(u) < t, D(v) < s] = P[(D(u) - D(v)) + D(v) < t, D(v) < s] = \int_{y=0}^{s} g(y, v) \int_{x=0}^{t-y} g(x, u-v) \, dx \, dy,$$

(2.17)

substituting the above expression into (2.16) and using the Fubini Theorem, it follows that

$$I_3 = -\kappa (\kappa - 1) \int_{y=0}^{s} \int_{x=0}^{t-y} \int_{v=0}^{\infty} g(y, v) \, dv \int_{u=v}^{\infty} g(x, u-v)(u-v)^{\kappa-2} \, du \, dx \, dy$$

$$= -\kappa (\kappa - 1) \int_{y=0}^{s} \int_{x=0}^{t-y} \int_{v=0}^{\infty} g(y, v) \, dv \int_{z=0}^{\infty} g(x, z) z^{\kappa-2} \, dz \, dx \, dy. \quad (2.18)$$

Let $h(y) = \int_{v=0}^{\infty} g(y, v) \, dv$ and $k(x) = \int_{z=0}^{\infty} g(x, z) z^{\kappa-2} \, dz$. So, the Laplace transform of $h(y)$ is given by

$$L(h(y); \lambda) = \int_{y=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda y} h(y) \, dy = \int_{y=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda y} \int_{v=0}^{\infty} g(y, v) \, dv \, dy$$

$$= \int_{y=0}^{\infty} \int_{v=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda y} g(y, v) \, dy \, dv$$

$$= \int_{v=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda \phi(\lambda)} \, dv$$

$$= \frac{1}{\phi(\lambda)} = L(U'(y); \lambda). \quad (2.19)$$

Similarly, take the Laplace transform of $k(x)$:

$$L(k(x); \lambda) = \int_{x=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda x} \int_{z=0}^{\infty} z^{\kappa-2} g(x, z) \, dz \, dx$$

$$= \int_{z=0}^{\infty} z^{\kappa-2} \int_{x=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda x} g(x, z) \, dx \, dz$$

$$= \int_{z=0}^{\infty} z^{\kappa-2} e^{-\psi(\lambda)} \, dz$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(\kappa - 1)}{(\phi(\lambda))^{\kappa-1}}. \quad (2.20)$$

So, using properties of Laplace transform we get

$$L \left( (\kappa - 1) \int_{0}^{t} k(x) \, dx; \lambda \right) = \frac{\Gamma(\kappa)}{\lambda(\phi(\lambda))^{\kappa-1}} = L \left( U^{\kappa-1}(t); \lambda \right). \quad (2.21)$$
where we have used (2.4). Using the uniqueness theorem of the Laplace transform, (2.19) and (2.21), we have

\[ I_3 = -\kappa \int_{y=0}^{s} U^{\kappa-1}(t - y) \, dU(y). \]  

(2.22)

Now it follows using (2.13), (2.14), (2.15) and (2.22) that

\[ \mathbb{E}[|Y(t) - Y(s)|]\]  

\[ = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty |u - v|^\kappa H(du, dv) \]  

\[ = I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + \mathbb{E}[Y(t)^\kappa] + \mathbb{E}[Y(s)^\kappa] \]  

\[ = -\mathbb{E}[Y(s)^\kappa] - \kappa \int_{y=0}^{s} U^{\kappa-1}(t - y) \, dU(y) \]  

\[ + \mathbb{E}[Y(t)^\kappa] + \mathbb{E}[Y(s)^\kappa] \]  

\[ = \mathbb{E}[Y(\max(t, s))^\kappa] - \kappa \int_{y=0}^{\min(t, s)} U^{\kappa-1}(\max(t, s) - y) \, dU(y), \]

which agrees with (2.7). □

**Remark 2.2.** Using the fact \( xy = (x^2 + y^2 - (x - y)^2)/2 \), for \( t \geq s > 0 \) we get

\[ \mathbb{E}[Y(t)Y(s)] = \frac{1}{2} U^2(s) + \int_0^s U(t - y) \, dU(y), \]  

(2.23)

and

\[ \text{Cov}[Y(t), Y(s)] = \mathbb{E}[Y(t)Y(s)] - U(t)U(s) \]  

\[ = \frac{1}{2} U^2(s) + \int_0^s U(t - y) \, dU(y) - U(t)U(s), \]  

(2.24)

which is equivalent to [38, Corollary 4.3].

**Remark 2.3.** Let \( Z(t) = B_H(Y(t)) \). For any positive real number \( m \) such that \( mH > 0 \) using the facts that the inverse subordinator has non-decreasing paths and \( B_H \) is \( H \) self-similar with stationary increments. Conditioning on \( Y \) we arrive at

\[ \mathbb{E}[|Z(t) - Z(s)|^m] = \mathbb{E}[|B_H(1)|^m] \, \mathbb{E}[|Y(t) - Y(s)|^{mH}] \]  

\[ = \frac{(2\sigma^2)^{m/2}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \, \Gamma\left(\frac{m + 1}{2}\right) \, \mathbb{E}[|Y(t) - Y(s)|^{mH}] \]  

(2.25)

since \( B_H(1) \) has normal distribution with mean zero and standard deviation \( \sigma \).

### 3. Correlation function

In this section, we prove a general result that can be used to compute the correlation function of a time-changed fractional Brownian motion \( Z(t) = B_H(Y(t)) \) where \( B_H, Y \) are independent, and \( Y \) is a general inverse subordinator which is non-Markovian with non-stationary and non-independent increments. With the above Lemma it is easy to
obtain covariance function for time-changed processes $Z(t)$. The following theorem gives the covariance function.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let $B_H = \{B_H(t), t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be the fractional Brownian motion of index $H \in (0, 1)$. Then the covariance function of the corresponding time-changed fractional Brownian motion $Z(t) = B_H(Y(t))$, where $Y(t)$ is an independent inverse subordinator (2.2) of $D(t)$ with Laplace exponent $\phi(\lambda)$ and $t, s \geq 0$, is given by

$$Cov(Z(t), Z(s)) = \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \left\{ U^{2H}(\min(t, s)) + 2H \int_0^{\min(t,s)} U^{2H-1}(\max(t, s) - y)dU(y) \right\}$$

(3.1)

where $\sigma^2 = \text{Var}(B_H(1))$.

**Proof.** Using the fact $B_H$ is $H$ self-similar with stationary increments for any $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$ we get

$$\mathbb{E}[B_H(t)B_H(s)] = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \mathbb{E}[B_H^2(t)] + \mathbb{E}[B_H^2(s)] - \mathbb{E}[(B_H(t) - B_H(s))^2] \right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \mathbb{E}[B_H^2(t)] + \mathbb{E}[B_H^2(s)] - \mathbb{E}[(B_H(t-s) - B_H(0))^2] \right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ |t|^{2H} + |s|^{2H} - |t-s|^{2H} \right\} \mathbb{E}[B_H^2(1)]$$

$$= \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \left\{ |t|^{2H} + |s|^{2H} - |t-s|^{2H} \right\}$$

(3.2)

since $B_H(0) = 0, B_H(1) \overset{d}= -B_H(-1)$ and $B_H(t) \overset{d}= |t|^H B_H(\text{sign}(t))$ for fixed $t$.

Now conditioning on $Y$ and using (2.23) we arrive at

$$\mathbb{E}[Z(t)Z(s)] = \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \left\{ \mathbb{E}[|Y(t)|^{2H}] + \mathbb{E}[|Y(s)|^{2H}] - \mathbb{E}[|Y(t) - Y(s)|^{2H}] \right\}$$

$$= \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \left\{ U^{2H}(\min(t, s)) + 2H \int_0^{\min(t,s)} U^{2H-1}(\max(t, s) - y)dU(y) \right\}.$$

$\square$

**Remark 3.2.** For $t = s$ using a Laplace properties of a convolution function, the time-changed process $Z(t) = B_H(Y(t))$ has mean zero, its variance is

$$\text{Var}(Z(t)) = \sigma^2 U^{2H}(t),$$

(3.3)

its correlation function is

$$\text{corr}(Z(t), Z(s)) = \frac{\text{Cov}(Z(t), Z(s))}{\sigma^2 \sqrt{U^{2H}(t)U^{2H}(s)}}$$

(3.4)
and when $H = 1/2$ Brownian motion case the correlation function reduces to
\[
\text{corr}(Z(t), Z(s)) = \frac{U(\min(t, s))}{\sqrt{U(t)U(s)}} = \sqrt{\frac{U(\min(t, s))}{U(\max(t, s))}},
\] (3.5)
as given in [20, Remark 2.1].

Remark 3.3. The covariance between far apart increments is given by
\[
\text{Cov}(Z(t) - Z(0), Z(t + v) - Z(v)) = E[Z(t)(Z(t + v) - Z(v))] - E[Z(t)Z(t + v)] - E[Z(t)Z(v)]
= \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \left\{ U^{2H}(t) + 2H \int_0^t U^{2H-1}(t + v - y) dU(y) \right\}
- \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \left\{ U^{2H}(t) + 2H \int_0^t U^{2H-1}(v - y) dU(y) \right\}
= \sigma^2 H \int_0^t (U^{2H-1}(t + v - y) - U^{2H-1}(v - y)) dU(y),
\]
for $v \geq t$.

4. Applications

In this section, we compute the correlation function for several examples. In view of Theorem 3.1, the main technical issue is the computation of the function $U^k(t)$. For many inverse subordinators, the Laplace exponent $\phi$ can be written explicitly but the inversion to obtain $U^k(t)$ function may be difficult. Below we give examples from applications where the Laplace transform can be inverted analytically and where its asymptotic behavior can be found in order to characterize the behavior of the correlation function of time-changed fractional Brownian motion.

Example 4.1. (Inverse $\alpha$-stable subordinator). Suppose $D(t)$ is standard $\alpha$-stable subordinator with index $0 < \alpha < 1$, so that the Laplace exponent $\phi(s) = s^\alpha$ for all $s > 0$. The inverse stable subordinator (2.2) has a Mittag-Leffler distribution:
\[
E\left[e^{-\lambda Y(t)}\right] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\lambda t^\alpha)^k}{\Gamma(\alpha n + 1)} = E_\alpha(-\lambda t^\alpha),
\]
where $E_\alpha$ is Mittag-Leffler function:
\[
E_\alpha(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(\alpha k + 1)},
\]
Bingham [7] and Bondesson et al. [8].
Since \( \tilde{U}^{2H}(\lambda) = \Gamma(2H + 1)/\lambda^{2H+1} \), then
\[
U^{2H}(t) = \frac{\Gamma(2H + 1)t^{2\alpha H}}{\Gamma(2\alpha H + 1)},
\]
and the variance of time-changed processes is
\[
\text{Var}(Z(t)) = \frac{\sigma^2 \Gamma(2H + 1)t^{2\alpha H}}{\Gamma(2\alpha H + 1)}.
\]
For \( 0 < s \leq t \), substitute (4.1) into (3.1) to see that the covariance function of the time-changed processes is
\[
\text{Cov}(Z(t), Z(s)) (4.3) = \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(2H + 1)s^{2\alpha H}}{\Gamma(2\alpha H + 1)} + \frac{2\alpha \Gamma(H)}{\Gamma(\alpha\Gamma(2H - 1) + 1)} \int_0^s (t - y)^{\alpha(2H-1)}y^{\alpha-1} dy \right\}
\]
\[
= \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(2H + 1)s^{2\alpha H}}{\Gamma(2\alpha H + 1)} + \frac{\Gamma(2H + 1)t^{2\alpha H}}{\Gamma(\alpha\Gamma(2H - 1) + 1)} \int_0^{s/t} (1 - u)^{\alpha(2H-1)}u^{\alpha-1} du \right\} = \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(2H + 1)s^{2\alpha H}}{\Gamma(2\alpha H + 1)} + \frac{\Gamma(2H + 1)t^{2\alpha H}}{\Gamma(\alpha\Gamma(2H - 1) + 1)} B(\alpha, \alpha(2H - 1) + 1; s/t) \right\},
\]
where
\[
B(\alpha, b) := \Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(b)/\Gamma(\alpha + b) = B(\alpha, b; 1) \text{ is the Beta function.}
\]
Apply the Taylor series expansion \( (1 - u)^{b-1} = 1 + O(u) \) as \( u \to 0 \) to see that
\[
B(\alpha, b; z) = \frac{z^\alpha}{\alpha} + O(z^{\alpha+1}), \quad \text{as } z \to 0.
\]
Then it follows that for \( s > 0 \) fixed and \( t \to \infty \) we obtain
\[
G(\alpha, H; s, t) := \frac{\Gamma(2H + 1)t^{2\alpha H}}{\Gamma(\alpha\Gamma(2H - 1) + 1)} B(\alpha, \alpha(2H - 1) + 1; s/t)
\]
\[
= \frac{\Gamma(2H + 1)t^{2\alpha H}}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)\Gamma(\alpha(2H - 1) + 1)} (s/t)^\alpha + O((s/t)^{\alpha+1}),
\]
so that
\[
\text{Cov}(Z(t), Z(s)) = \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(2H + 1)s^{2\alpha H}}{\Gamma(2\alpha H + 1)} + G(\alpha, H; s, t) \right\},
\]
where
\[
G(\alpha, H; s, t) \to \frac{\Gamma(2H + 1)t^{2\alpha H}}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)\Gamma(\alpha(2H - 1) + 1)} (s/t)^\alpha, \quad \text{as } t \to \infty.
\]
Hence
\[
\text{Cov}(Z(t), Z(s)) \to \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(2H + 1)s^{2\alpha H}}{\Gamma(2\alpha H + 1)} + \frac{\Gamma(2H + 1)t^{2\alpha H}}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)\Gamma(\alpha(2H - 1) + 1)} (s/t)^\alpha \right\},
\]
as \( t \to \infty \). From (4.2) and (4.4) it follows that for \( 0 < s \leq t \)

\[
\text{corr}(Z(t), Z(s)) = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left( \frac{s}{t} \right)^{\alpha H} + \frac{\Gamma(2\alpha H + 1) G(\alpha, H; s, t)}{\Gamma(2H + 1)} \left( \frac{t}{s} \right)^{\alpha H} \right\},
\]

and using (4.5) we have

\[
\text{corr}(Z(t), Z(s)) \sim \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left( \frac{s}{t} \right)^{\alpha H} + \frac{1}{\alpha B(\alpha, \alpha(2H - 1) + 1)} \left( \frac{s}{t} \right)^{(1-H)} \right\}, \quad (4.6)
\]

as \( t \to \infty \).

When \( H = 1/2 \) for the special case when the outer processes \( B_{1/2}(t) \) is a Brownian motion using (4.2) and (4.4) we get

\[
\text{Cov}(Z(t), Z(s)) = \frac{\sigma^2}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} s^{\alpha},
\]

and

\[
\text{corr}(Z(t), Z(s)) = \left( \frac{s}{t} \right)^{\alpha/2},
\]

for \( 0 < s \leq t \), a formula obtained by Janczura and Wylomański [15].

Similarly, by (2.7) for \( \kappa > 0 \) and \( 0 < s \leq t \) we have

\[
\mathbb{E}[|Y(t) - Y(s)|^\kappa] = \frac{\Gamma(\kappa + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha \kappa + 1)} \frac{\Gamma(\kappa + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha \kappa + 1)} B(\alpha, \alpha(\kappa - 1); s/t),
\]

and by (2.25) for any positive real number \( m \) such that \( mH > 0 \) we have

\[
\mathbb{E}[|Z(t) - Z(s)|^m] = \frac{(2\sigma^2)^{m/2} \Gamma((m + 1)/2) \Gamma(mH + 1)^{t^\alpha m H}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left[ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha m H + 1)} - \frac{B(\alpha, \alpha(mH - 1); s/t)}{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha(mH - 1) + 1)} \right].
\]

Using remark 2.2 we can compute the covariance function of \( Y \) for \( t \geq s > 0 \):

\[
\mathbb{E}[Y(t) Y(s)] = \frac{s^{2\alpha}}{\Gamma(2\alpha + 1)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \int_0^s (t - y)^{\alpha} y^{\alpha - 1} dy, \quad (4.7)
\]

which implies \( \partial_t \partial_s \mathbb{E}[Y(t) Y(s)] = 1/\Gamma(\alpha)[s(t - s)]^{1-\alpha} \) as given in Bingham [7].

\[
\text{Cov}(Y(t), Y(s)) = \frac{s^{2\alpha}}{\Gamma(2\alpha + 1)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \int_0^s (t - y)^{\alpha} y^{\alpha - 1} dy \frac{(ts)^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)^2} - \frac{(ts)^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)^2}, \quad \text{Eq. 9}.
\]

which coincides with [20, Eq. 9].
Finally, using remark 3.3 we show the covariance between far apart increments decrease to zeros as the power law. For fixed $t$ and as $v \to \infty$ we get

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Cov}(Z(t) - Z(0), Z(t + v) - Z(v)) &= \frac{\sigma^2 H \Gamma(2H)}{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha(2H - 1) + 1)} \int_0^t \left[ (t + v - y)^{\alpha(2H-1)} - (v - y)^{\alpha(2H-1)} \right] y^{\alpha-1} dy \\
&= \frac{\sigma^2 H \Gamma(2H)}{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha(2H - 1) + 1)} \int_0^t v \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{t - y}{v} \right)^{\alpha(2H-1)} - \left( 1 - \frac{y}{v} \right)^{\alpha(2H-1)} \right] y^{\alpha-1} dy \\
&\sim \frac{\sigma^2 / 2 \Gamma(2H + 1) \alpha(2H - 1) v^{\alpha(2H-1)-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha(2H - 1) + 1)} \int_0^t t y^{\alpha-1} dy \\
&= \frac{\sigma^2 / 2 \Gamma(2H + 1) t^{\alpha+1} \alpha(2H - 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1) \Gamma(\alpha(2H - 1))} v^{\alpha(2H-1)-1}, \quad \text{as } v \to \infty.
\end{align*}
\]

(4.9)

In summary, the correlation function of $Z(t)$ decays like a mixture of power law $t^{-\alpha H} + t^{-\alpha(1-H)}$. The non-stationarity time-changed process $Z(t)$ exhibits long range dependence (lack of summability of correlation). The covariance function between far apart increments of process Cov[$Z(t), Z(t + v) - Z(t)$] decays like a power law $v^{-(1+\alpha(1-2H))}$ which shows long-range dependence for $1/2 < H < 1$. Similar long range dependent behavior has been obtained for time-changed fractional Pearson diffusion [20, 31].

**Example 4.2. (Inverse stable mixture).** Now consider a mixture of standard $\alpha$-stable subordinators with Laplace exponent

\[
\Phi(\lambda) = \int_0^1 q(w) e^{\lambda w} dw = \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-\lambda x}) l_q(x) dx,
\]

where $q(w)$ is a probability density on $(0, 1)$, and the density $l_q(x)$ of the Lévy measure is given by

\[
l_q(x) = \int_0^1 \frac{w x^{-w-1}}{\Gamma(1-w)} q(w) dw.
\]

(4.10)

Such mixtures are used in time-fractional models of accelerating subdiffusion, see Mainardi et al. [22] and Chechkin et al. [10]. They can also be used to model ultraslow diffusion, see Sokolov et al. [37], Meerschaert and Scheffler [27], and Kovács and Meerschaert [17].

The $\alpha$-stable subordinator corresponds to the choice $q(w) = \delta(w - \alpha)$ where $\delta(\cdot)$ is the delta function. The model

\[
q(w) = C_1 \delta(w - \alpha_1) + C_2 \delta(w - \alpha_2), \quad C_1 + C_2 = 1,
\]

with $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2$ was considered in Chechkin et al. [10]. The subordinator $D$ in this case is the linear combination of two independent stable subordinators with $\Phi(\lambda) =$
\[ C_1 \lambda^{\alpha_1} + C_2 \lambda^{\alpha_2}, \text{ so that} \]
\[ \tilde{U}^k(\lambda) = \frac{\Gamma(k+1)}{\lambda(C_1 \lambda^{\alpha_1} + C_2 \lambda^{\alpha_2})^k} = \frac{\Gamma(k+1)\lambda^{-\alpha_2k-1}}{C^k_2 \left(1 + \frac{C_1}{C_2} \lambda^{-(\alpha_2-\alpha_1)}\right)^k}. \quad (4.11) \]

In order to invert analytically the Laplace transform (4.11), we use the well-known expression of the Laplace transform of the generalized Mittag-Leffler function (see [36], eq. 9), i.e.
\[ L(t^{-\gamma} E_{\beta, \gamma}^{\delta}(\omega t^\beta); \lambda) = \lambda^{-\gamma} \left(1 - \omega \lambda^{-\beta}\right)^{-\delta}, \quad (4.12) \]
where \( \text{Re}(\beta) > 0, \text{Re}(\gamma) > 0, \text{Re}(\delta) > 0 \) and \( \lambda > |\omega|^{\frac{1}{\text{Re}(\beta)}} \). The Generalized Mittag-Leffler (GML) function is defined as
\[ E_{\alpha, \beta}^\gamma(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\gamma)_j z^j}{j! \Gamma(\alpha j + \beta)}, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}, \text{Re}(\alpha), \text{Re}(\beta), \text{Re}(\gamma) > 0, \quad (4.13) \]
where \( (\gamma)_j = \gamma(\gamma+1) \cdots (\gamma+j-1) \) (for \( j = 0, 1, \ldots \), and \( \gamma \neq 0 \)) is the Pochhammer symbol and \( (\gamma)_0 = 1 \). It is an entire function of order \( \rho = [\text{Re}(\alpha)]^{-1} \). When \( \gamma = 1 \) (4.13) reduces to the Mittag-Leffler function
\[ E_{\alpha, \beta}(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^j}{\Gamma(\alpha j + \beta)}. \quad (4.14) \]

Setting \( \gamma = \alpha_2 k + 1, \delta = k, \beta = \alpha_2 - \alpha_1 \) and \( \omega = -C_1/C_2 \) we get
\[ U^k(t) = \frac{\Gamma(k+1)}{C^k_2} t^{\alpha_2 k} E_{\alpha_2-\alpha_1, \alpha_2 k+1}^{k} \left(-C_1 t^{\alpha_2-\alpha_1}/C_2\right). \quad (4.15) \]

For \( k = 1 \) we have
\[ \mathbb{E}[Y(t)] = U(t) = \frac{1}{C_2} t^{\alpha_2} E_{\alpha_2-\alpha_1, \alpha_2+1}^{\alpha_2} \left(-C_1 t^{\alpha_2-\alpha_1}/C_2\right). \]

Then (3.3) implies that the time-changed process \( Z(t) = B_H(Y(t)) \) has variance
\[ \text{Var}(Z(t)) = \frac{\sigma^2 \Gamma(2H+1)}{C^2_2} t^{2\alpha_2 H} E_{\alpha_2-\alpha_1, 2\alpha_2 H+1}^{2H} \left(-C_1 t^{\alpha_2-\alpha_1}/C_2\right). \]

We use the properties of generalized Mittag-Leffler function to obtain asymptotic expansion of the variance. From [6, Eq. 2.59, p.15] we obtain
\[ \text{Var}(Z(t)) = \frac{\sigma^2 \Gamma(2H+1)}{C^2_1 \Gamma(2\alpha_1 H + 1)} t^{2\alpha_1 H} + o(t^{2\alpha_1 H}), \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty. \quad (4.16) \]

The asymptotic behavior for small \( t \) can be deduced directly by the series expansion of (4.13): indeed we get
\[ \text{Var}(Z(t)) = \frac{\sigma^2 \Gamma(2H+1)}{C^2_2 \Gamma(2\alpha_2 H + 1)} t^{2\alpha_2 H} + O\left(t^{\alpha_2(2H+1)-\alpha_1}\right), \quad \text{as} \quad t \to 0. \quad (4.17) \]
For $0 < s \leq t$ using (4.15) and [6, Eq.2.59, p.15] we have

$$U^{2H-1} (t(1 - sy/t)) \simeq \frac{\Gamma(2H)t^{\alpha_1(2H-1)}(1 - sz/t)^{\alpha_1(2H-1)}}{C_1^{2H-1}\Gamma(\alpha_1(2H - 1) + 1)}, \text{ as } t \to \infty \text{ for } z \in [0, 1].$$

For a fixed $s > 0$ and $t \to \infty$ we get

$$\text{Cov}(Z(t), Z(s)) = \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \left\{ U^{2H} (s) + 2HS \int_0^1 U^{2H-1}(t(1 - sz/t))U'(sz)dz \right\} \sim \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \left\{ U^{2H} (s) + \frac{\Gamma(2H + 1)t^{\alpha_1(2H-1)}}{C_1^{2H-1}\Gamma(\alpha_1(2H - 1) + 1)}s \int_0^1 U'(sz)dz \right\} = \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \left\{ U^{2H} (s) + \frac{\Gamma(2H + 1)t^{\alpha_1(2H-1)}}{C_1^{2H-1}\Gamma(\alpha_1(2H - 1) + 1)}U(s) \right\}. \quad (4.18)$$

When $t$ is fixed and $s \to 0$, then

$$\text{Cov}(Z(t), Z(s)) \sim \frac{\sigma^2\Gamma(2H + 1)s^{2\alpha_2H}}{2C_2^{2H}\Gamma(2\alpha_2H + 1)}. \quad (4.19)$$

**Example 4.3. (Inverse tempered stable subordinator).**

The standard tempered stable subordinator $D(t)$ with $0 < \alpha < 1$ is a Lévy process with tempered stable increments [5, 34]. The Lévy measure of the unit increment is

$$v(dx) = \frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(1 - \alpha)}x^{-\alpha-1}e^{-\lambda}, x > 0,$$

and then

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{-\lambda D(t)}] = e^{-t\Phi(\lambda)} = \exp\{-t((a + \lambda)^\alpha - a^\alpha)\},$$

(see [29, Section 7.2]).

Since $\tilde{U}(\lambda) = 1/\lambda((a + \lambda)^\alpha - a^\alpha)$ using

$$\frac{1}{(a + \lambda)^\alpha - a^\alpha} = \sum_{n=0}^\infty a^\alpha_n (a + \lambda)^{-\alpha(1+n)},$$

we have

$$\tilde{U}(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{a^\alpha_n}{\lambda^{1+\alpha(1+n)}(1 + a\lambda^{-1})^{\alpha(1+n)}}, \quad (4.20)$$
Hence, using (4.12) the renewal function

\[ U(t) = \mathbb{E}[Y(t)] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^n t^{(1+n)} E^{\alpha(1+n)}_{1,\alpha(1+n)+1}(-at) \]  

(4.21)

\[ = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^n t^{(1+n)} \frac{M(\alpha(1+n), \alpha(1+n)+1; -at)}{\Gamma(\alpha(1+n)+1)} \]

\[ = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^n t^{(1+n)} \frac{\alpha(1+n)(at)^{-\alpha(1+n)} \gamma(at; \alpha(1+n))}{\Gamma(\alpha(1+n)+1)} \]

\[ = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^{-\alpha} \gamma(at; \alpha(1+n))}{\Gamma(\alpha(1+n))} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^{-\alpha} P(at, \alpha(1+n)), \]

where \( M(a, b; x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_k x^k}{(b)_k k!} \) is Kummer’s confluent hypergeometric function, \( \gamma(x; v) = \int_0^x e^{-t} t^{v-1} dt \) is incomplete gamma function and \( P(x/\theta, \beta) \) is the commutative distribution function for Gamma random variables with shape parameter \( \beta \) and scale parameter \( \theta \). We used the fact \( M(a, a+1; -x) = ax^{-\alpha} \gamma(x; a) \) in the above simplification [3, see eq. 13.6.10].

For \( a = 0 \) in (4.21), we have

\[ U(t) = \frac{t^\alpha}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)}, \]

which is the renewal function for inverse \( \alpha \)-stable subordinator.

When \( H = 1/2 \), the Brownian motion case we get

\[ \text{Var}(Z(t)) = \sigma^2 U(t) = \sigma^2 a^{-\alpha} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma(at; \alpha(1+n))}{\Gamma(\alpha(1+n))}. \]  

(4.22)

Since \( \gamma(x; a) \sim x^a/a \) as \( x \to 0 \), it follows:

\[ U(t) \sim t^\alpha \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(at)^{\alpha n}}{\Gamma(1+\alpha(1+n))} \]

\[ = \frac{t^\alpha}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} + O(t^{2\alpha}), \quad \text{as} \quad t \to 0, \]  

(4.23)

and for \( H = 1/2 \)

\[ \text{Var}(Z(t)) \sim \frac{\sigma^2 t^\alpha}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} + O(t^{2\alpha}), \quad \text{as} \quad t \to 0. \]  

(4.24)

When \( t \) is fixed and \( s \to 0 \), then for \( H = 1/2 \)

\[ \text{corr}[Z(t), Z(s)] = \sqrt{\frac{U(s)}{U(t)}} \sim \frac{s^{\alpha/2}}{\sqrt{\Gamma(1+\alpha)U(t)}} + O(s^{\alpha}). \]  

(4.25)
When $\lambda \to 0$, the Laplace exponent $\Phi(\lambda) = (a + \lambda)^\alpha - a^\alpha \sim \alpha a^{\alpha - 1} \lambda$ as $\lambda \to 0$, and hence for $k > 0$

$$
\tilde{U}^k(\lambda) = \frac{\Gamma(k + 1)}{\lambda ((a + \lambda)^\alpha - a^\alpha)^k} \sim \frac{\alpha^{(1-\alpha)k} \Gamma(k + 1)}{\alpha^k \Gamma(k + 1)} \lambda^{-1 - k}, \quad \text{as } \lambda \to 0.
$$

The Karamata Tauberian theorem [12, Theorem 4, p.446] implies

$$
U^k(t) \sim \frac{t^k}{\alpha^k \alpha^{(1-\alpha)k}}, \quad \text{as } t \to \infty. \quad (4.26)
$$

Hence the variance function of the process $Z(t)$ behaves as follows:

$$
\text{Var}(Z(t)) = \sigma^2 U^{2H}(t) \sim \frac{\sigma^2}{\alpha^{2H} \alpha^{2H(\alpha - 1)}} t^{2H}, \quad \text{as } t \to \infty. \quad (4.27)
$$

For $0 < s \leq t$, using (4.26) and dominated convergence theorem we get

$$
\int_0^s U^{2H-1}(t-y) dU(y) \sim \frac{\alpha^{(1-\alpha)(2H-1)}}{\alpha^{2H-1}} \int_0^s (t-y)^{2H-1} U'(y) dy
$$

$$
\sim \frac{\alpha^{(1-\alpha)(2H-1)} t^{2H-1} U(s)}{\alpha^{2H-1}}, \quad \text{as } t \to \infty.
$$

From (3.1) it follows that for $0 < s \leq t$

$$
\text{Cov}(Z(t), Z(s)) \sim \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \left\{ U^{2H}(s) + \frac{2H a^{(1-\alpha)(2H-1)} t^{2H-1} U(s)}{\alpha^{2H-1}} \right\}, \quad (4.28)
$$

as $t \to \infty$, and hence

$$
\text{corr}(Z(t), Z(s)) = \frac{\text{Cov}(Z(t), Z(s))}{\text{Var}(Z(t)) \text{Var}(Z(s))} \quad (4.29)
$$

$$
\sim \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \alpha^H a^{(\alpha-1)H} \sqrt{U^{2H}(s)} \frac{1}{t^H} + \frac{2H \alpha^{1-H} a^{(\alpha-1)(1-H)} U(s)}{\sqrt{U^{2H}(s)}} \frac{1}{t^{1-H}} \right\},
$$

as $t \to \infty$.
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