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Abstract

Using the steepest descent method for oscillatory Riemann-Hilbert problems introduced by Deift and Zhou [Ann. Math. 137 (1993), 295-368], we derive asymptotic formulas for the Meixner polynomials in two regions of the complex plane separated by the boundary of a rectangle. The asymptotic formula on the boundary of the rectangle is obtained by taking limits from either inside or outside. Our results agree with the ones obtained earlier for \( z \) on the positive real line by using the steepest descent method for integrals [Constr. Approx. 14 (1998), 113-150].

AMS Subject Classification: Primary 41A60; Secondary 33C45.

Keywords: Global asymptotics; Meixner polynomials; Riemann-Hilbert problems; Airy function.

*Corresponding author. E-mail address: xswang4@mail.ustc.edu.cn
1 Introduction

In this paper, we investigate the asymptotic behavior of the Meixner polynomials. These polynomials have many applications in statistical physics. For instance, they are used in the study of the shape fluctuations in a certain two dimensional random growth model; see [10] and the references therein.

For $\beta > 0$ and $0 < c < 1$, the Meixner polynomials are given by

$$m_n(z; \beta, c) = (\beta)_n \cdot {}_2F_1(-n, -z; \beta; 1 - c^{-1}).$$

They satisfy the discrete orthogonality relation

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m_n(k; \beta, c) m_p(k; \beta, c) \frac{c^k(\beta)_k}{k!} = (1 - c)^{-\beta} c^{-n} n! (\beta)_n \delta_{np}.$$  (1.2)

This notation is adopted in [7, § 10.24] and also in [8].

Using probabilistic arguments, Maejima and Van Assche [11] have given an asymptotic formula for $m_n(n\alpha; \beta, c)$ when $\alpha < 0$ and $\beta$ is a positive integer. Their result is in terms of elementary functions. By using the steepest-descent method for integrals, Jin and Wong [8] have derived two infinite asymptotic expansions for $m_n(n\alpha; \beta, c)$; one holds uniformly for $0 < \varepsilon \leq \alpha \leq 1 + \varepsilon$, and the other holds uniformly for $1 - \varepsilon \leq \alpha \leq M < \infty$. Both expansions involve the parabolic cylinder function and its derivative.

In view of Gauss’s contiguous relations for hypergeometric functions [1, § 15.2] and the connection formula [8]

$$m_n(-x - \beta; \beta, c^{-1}) = c^n m_n(x; \beta, c),$$

we may restrict our study to the case $1 \leq \beta < 2$ and $0 < c < 1$. Fixing $0 < c < 1$ and $1 \leq \beta < 2$, we intend to investigate the large-$n$ behavior of $m_n(nz - \beta/2; \beta, c)$ for $z$ in the whole complex plane, including neighborhood of the origin and regions extending to infinity. Our approach is based on the steepest-descent method for oscillatory Riemann-Hilbert problems, first introduced by Deift and Zhou [6] for nonlinear partial differential equations, later developed in [5] for orthogonal polynomials with respect to exponential weights, and further extended in [2, 3] to a general class of discrete orthogonal polynomials.

A direct application of the method in [2, 3] would, however, only give local asymptotics. For instance, in the case of Meixner polynomials, one would have to divide the complex plane into at least six regions (one near the origin, two near the two turning points and three in between, including an unbounded one), and give correspondingly six different asymptotic formulas. To reduce the number of these regions, we shall make some modifications to the method in [2, 3]. Our approach is motivated by the previous work in [4, 13, 15, 17, 18], and the main idea is to extend, as large as possible, the two regions of validity of the two asymptotic formulas near the two turning points. There have already been several examples in which we only need two regions with appropriate asymptotic formulas to cover the entire plane; the Hermite polynomial [17] is one of such examples. However, for discrete orthogonal polynomials, there might be cuts starting from the finite endpoints of the intervals of orthogonality. For instance, in the case of Krawtchouk polynomials considered in [4], there are two cuts $(-\infty, 0]$ and $[1, \infty)$ where no asymptotic formulas are given. In the present paper, we shall give two asymptotic formulas for the Meixner polynomial $m_n(nz - \beta/2; \beta, c)$, one valid inside a rectangle with two vertical boundary lines passing through $z = 0$ and $z = 1$, and the other valid outside the rectangle. Both formulas can be extended slightly beyond the boundary of the rectangle, and they are asymptotically equal to each other in the overlapping region. The material in this paper is arranged as follows. In Section 2, we use a standard method to relate the Meixner polynomials to a Riemann-Hilbert problem for a matrix-valued function. The motivation and
details of this standard procedure can be found in \cite{2,3} and the reference given there. In Section 3, we introduce some auxiliary functions which will be used in Section 4 for the construction of our parametrix. In Section 4, we also prove that this parametrix is asymptotically equal to the solution of the Riemann-Hilbert problem formulated in Section 2. In Section 5, we state our main result and make the remark that our formulas agree with the ones already existing in the literature.

2 Standard formulation of Riemann-Hilbert problem

From (1.1), we note that the leading coefficient of $m_n(z; \beta, c)$ is $(1-c^{-1})^n$. Thus, the monic Meixner polynomials are given by

$$\pi_n(z) := (1-c^{-1})^{-n}m_n(z; \beta, c).$$

(2.1)

For convenience, in (2.1) we have suppressed the dependence of $\pi_n(z)$ on $c$ and $\beta$. Furthermore, throughout the paper we shall fix the parameters $c \in (0,1)$ and $\beta \in [1,2)$. The orthogonality property of $\pi_n(z)$ can be easily derived from (1.2), and we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \pi_n(k)\pi_p(k)w(k) = \delta_{np}/\gamma_n^2,$$

(2.2)

where

$$\gamma_n^2 = \frac{(1-c)^{2n+\beta}c^{-n}}{\Gamma(n+\beta)\Gamma(n+1)}$$

(2.3)

and

$$w(z) := \frac{\Gamma(z+\beta)}{\Gamma(z+1)}c^z.$$

(2.4)

Let $P(z)$ be the $2 \times 2$ matrix defined by

$$P(z) = \begin{pmatrix} P_{11}(z) & P_{12}(z) \\ P_{21}(z) & P_{22}(z) \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} \pi_n(z) & \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\pi_n(k)w(k)}{z-k} \\ \gamma_{n-1}^2\pi_{n-1}(z) & \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma_{n-1}^2\pi_{n-1}(k)w(k)}{z-k} \end{pmatrix}.$$  

(2.5)

A proof of the following result can be found in \cite{3} Section 1.5.1. The only difference is that their $N$ should be replaced by $\infty$.

Proposition 2.1. The matrix-valued function $P(z)$ defined in (2.5) is the unique solution of the following interpolation problem:

(P1) $P(z)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{N}$;

(P2) $P(z)$ satisfies the orthogonality condition (2.2);
at each $z = k \in \mathbb{N}$, the first column of $P(z)$ is analytic and the second column of $P(z)$ has a simple pole with residue

$$\text{Res} \ P(z) = \lim_{z \to k} P(z) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & w(z) \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & w(k)P_{11}(k) \\ 0 & w(k)P_{21}(k) \end{pmatrix}; \quad (2.6)$$

for $z$ bounded away from $\mathbb{N}$, $P(z) \begin{pmatrix} z^{-n} & 0 \\ 0 & z^n \end{pmatrix} = I + O(|z|^{-1})$ as $z \to \infty$.

Let $\mathbb{X}$ denote the set defined by

$$\mathbb{X} := \{X_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}, \quad \text{where} \quad X_k := \frac{k + \beta/2}{n}; \quad (2.7)$$

cf. [3], [4] and [13]. The $X_k$’s are called nodes. For the sake of simplicity, we put

$$B(z) := \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (z - X_j). \quad (2.8)$$

Our first transformation is given by

$$Q(z) := n^{-n\sigma_3}P(nz - \beta/2)B(z)^{-\sigma_3}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} n^{-n} & 0 \\ 0 & n^n \end{pmatrix} P(nz - \beta/2) \begin{pmatrix} (B(z))^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & B(z) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.9)$$

where $\sigma_3 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ is a Pauli matrix. In this paper, we shall also make use of another Pauli matrix, namely $\sigma_1 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$; see Section 4.

**Proposition 2.2.** The matrix-valued function $Q(z)$ defined in (2.9) is the unique solution of the following interpolation problem:

(Q1) $Q(z)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{X}$;

(Q2) at each node $X_k$ with $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \geq n$, the first column of $Q(z)$ is analytic and the second column of $Q(z)$ has a simple pole with residue

$$\text{Res} \ Q(z) = \lim_{z \to X_k} Q(z) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & w(nz - \beta/2)B(z)^2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad (2.10)$$

at each node $X_k$ with $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k < n$, the second column of $Q(z)$ is analytic and the first column of $Q(z)$ has a simple pole with residue

$$\text{Res} \ Q(z) = \lim_{z \to X_k} Q(z) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ (z - X_k)^2 & w(nz - \beta/2)B(z)^2 \end{pmatrix}; \quad (2.11)$$
(Q3) for \( z \) bounded away from \( X \), \( Q(z) = I + O(|z|^{-1}) \) as \( z \to \infty \).

Proof. This is obvious from Proposition 2.1 and the definition of \( Q(z) \) in (2.9). \( \blacksquare \)

The purpose of our next transformation is to remove the poles in the interpolation problem for \( Q(z) \) (cf. [3, Section 4.2]). Let \( \delta > 0 \) be a sufficiently small number. We define (see Figure 1 below)

\[
R(z) := Q(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\Delta_{\pm}(z) & 1 \end{pmatrix}
\]  

(2.12a)

for \( \text{Re} \, z \in (0, 1) \) and \( \pm \text{Im} \, z \in (0, \delta) \), and

\[
R(z) := Q(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\nabla_{\pm}(z) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}
\]  

(2.12b)

for \( \text{Re} \, z \in (1, \infty) \) and \( \pm \text{Im} \, z \in (0, \delta) \), and

\[
R(z) := Q(z)
\]  

(2.12c)

for \( \text{Re} \, z \notin [0, \infty) \) or \( \text{Im} \, z \notin [-\delta, \delta] \), where

\[
\nabla_{\pm}(z) := \frac{n\pi w(nz - \beta/2)B(z)^2}{e^{\pm i\pi(nz - \beta/2)\sin(n\pi z - \beta\pi/2)}} \]  

(2.13)

\[
\Delta_{\pm}(z) := \frac{e^{\pm i\pi(nz - \beta/2)\sin(n\pi z - \beta\pi/2)}}{n\pi w(nz - \beta/2)B(z)^2}.
\]  

(2.14)

Lemma 2.3. For each \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), the singularity of \( R(z) \) at the node \( X_k = \frac{k\beta/2}{n} \) is removable, that is, \( \text{Res}_{z=X_k} R(z) = 0 \).

Proof. For any \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) with \( k \geq n \), we have \( X_k = \frac{k\beta/2}{n} > 1 \) since \( 1 \leq \beta < 2 \). From (2.13), it is evident that the residue of \( \nabla_{\pm}(z) \) at \( z = X_k \) is

\[
\text{Res}_{z=X_k} \nabla_{\pm}(z) = w(nX_k - \beta/2)B(X_k)^2.
\]

From (2.10), we also note that the residue of \( Q_{12}(z) \) at \( z = X_k \) is \( Q_{11}(X_k) \) multiplied by \( w(nX_k - \beta/2)B(X_k)^2 \). Thus, it follows from (2.12b) that the residue of \( R_{12}(z) = Q_{12}(z) - \nabla_{\pm}(z)Q_{11}(z) \) at \( z = X_k \) is zero. Similarly, one can show that \( \text{Res}_{z=X_k} R_{22}(z) = 0 \). Since \( R_{11}(z) = Q_{11}(z) \) and \( R_{21}(z) = Q_{21}(z) \), and since \( Q_{11}(z) \) and \( Q_{21}(z) \) are analytic by Proposition 2.2, the residues of \( R_{11}(z) \) and \( R_{21}(z) \) at \( X_k \) are zero. For any \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) with \( k < n \), we have \( X_k = \frac{k\beta/2}{n} < 1 \) since \( 1 \leq \beta < 2 \). From (2.8), (2.11) and (2.14), we observe that

\[
\text{Res}_{z=X_k} \Delta_{\pm}(z) = \frac{1}{w(nX_k - \beta/2)} \prod_{j=0, j \neq k}^{n-1} (X_k - X_j)^{-2},
\]

\[
\text{Res}_{z=X_k} Q_{11}(z) = \frac{Q_{12}(X_k)}{w(nX_k - \beta/2)} \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (X_k - X_j)^{-2}.
\]
Thus, the residue of \( R_{11}(z) = Q_{11}(z) - \Delta_{\pm}(z)Q_{12}(z) \) at \( z = X_k \) is zero. Similarly, one can prove that the residue of \( R_{21}(z) \) at \( z = X_k \) is also zero. Since \( R_{12}(z) = Q_{12}(z) \) and \( R_{22}(z) = Q_{22}(z) \), and since \( Q_{12}(z) \) and \( Q_{22}(z) \) are analytic by Proposition 2.2, the residues of \( R_{12}(z) \) and \( R_{22}(z) \) at \( X_k \) are zero. This completes the proof of the lemma. 

From the definition in (2.12) and Lemma 2.3, the jump conditions of \( R(z) \) given in the following proposition are easily verified.

**Proposition 2.4.** Let \( \Sigma_R \) be the oriented contour shown in Figure 1. Denote by \( R_+(z) \) and \( R_-(z) \), respectively, the limiting values of \( R(z) \) on \( \Sigma_R \) taken from the left and from the right of the contour. The jump matrix \( J_R(z) := R_-(z)^{-1}R_+(z) \) has the following explicit expressions. For \( \text{Re} \, z = 1 \) and \( \text{Im} \, z \in (-\delta, \delta) \), we have

\[
J_R(z) = \begin{pmatrix}
1 - \Delta_{\pm}(z) & \nabla_{\pm}(z) \\
\Delta_{\pm}(z) & 1
\end{pmatrix}.
\] (2.15)

On the positive real line, we have

\[
J_R(x) = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 \\
\Delta_-(x) - \Delta_+(x) & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\] (2.16a)

for \( x \in (0,1) \), and

\[
J_R(x) = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & \nabla_-(x) - \nabla_+(x) \\
0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\] (2.16b)

for \( x \in (1,\infty) \). Furthermore, we have

\[
J_R(z) = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 \\
\Delta_{\pm}(z) & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\] (2.17a)

for \( z = i \, \text{Im} \, z \) with \( \text{Im} \, z \in (-\delta, \delta) \) and \( z = \text{Re} \, z \pm i\delta \) with \( \text{Re} \, z \in (0,1) \), and

\[
J_R(z) = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & \nabla_{\pm}(z) \\
0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\] (2.17b)

for \( z = \text{Re} \, z \pm i\delta \) with \( \text{Re} \, z \in (1,\infty) \).

For simplicity, we define

\[
\theta(z) := n\pi z - \beta\pi/2,
\] (2.18)

\[
v(z) := -z \log c,
\] (2.19)

\[
C := 2i\pi c^{-\beta/2} n^\beta,
\] (2.20)

\[
W(z) := \frac{(nz)^{1-\beta}\Gamma(nz+\beta/2)}{\Gamma(nz+1-\beta/2)}.
\] (2.21)

In view of (2.4) and the above notations, the functions defined in (2.13) and (2.14) become

\[
\nabla_{\pm} = \frac{Cz^\beta - 1WB^2}{2i\sin \theta e^{\pm\theta + n\theta}} \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_{\pm} = \frac{2i\sin \theta e^{\pm\theta + n\theta}}{Cz^\beta - 1WB^2}.
\] (2.22)
It is easy to see that
\[ \Delta_{\pm} \nabla_{\pm} = e^{\pm i\theta} \]
for \( z \in \mathbb{C} \). Also,
\[ \nabla_{-} - \nabla_{+} = -Cx^{2}e^{-nW}B^{2} \]
for \( z = x \in (1, \infty) \), and
\[ \Delta_{-} - \Delta_{+} = \frac{4 \sin^{2} \theta}{Cx^{2}e^{-nW}B^{2}} \]
for \( z = x \in (0, 1) \).

**Proposition 2.5.** The matrix-valued function \( R(z) \) defined in (2.12) is the unique solution of the following Riemann-Hilbert problem:

(R1) \( R(z) \) is analytic in \( \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_{R} \);

(R2) for \( z \in \Sigma_{R} \), \( R_{+}(z) = R_{-}(z)J_{R}(z) \), where the jump matrix \( J_{R}(z) \) is given in Proposition 2.4;

(R3) for \( z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_{R} \), \( R(z) = I + O(|z|^{-1}) \) as \( z \to \infty \).

**Proof.** It follows readily from Proposition 2.2 and the definition of \( R(z) \) in (2.12). \( \blacksquare \)

### 3 Some auxiliary functions

To construct our parametrix, we should introduce some auxiliary functions. First, define the two constants
\[
a := \frac{1 - \sqrt{c}}{1 + \sqrt{c}} \quad \text{and} \quad b := \frac{1 + \sqrt{c}}{1 - \sqrt{c}}. \tag{3.1}
\]
These constants are the two turning points for the Meixner polynomials; see [8, (2.6)]. Let
\[
\phi(z) := z \log \frac{\sqrt{bz - 1} + \sqrt{az - 1}}{\sqrt{bz - 1} - \sqrt{az - 1}} - \log \frac{\sqrt{z - a} + \sqrt{z - b}}{\sqrt{z - a} - \sqrt{z - b}} \tag{3.2a}
\]
for \( z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, b] \) and
\[
\tilde{\phi}(z) := z \log \frac{\sqrt{1 - az} + \sqrt{1 - bz}}{\sqrt{1 - az} - \sqrt{1 - bz}} - \log \frac{\sqrt{b - z} + \sqrt{a - z}}{\sqrt{b - z} - \sqrt{a - z}} \tag{3.2b}
\]
for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0] \cup [a, \infty)$. These two functions are analogues of the $\phi$-function and $\tilde{\phi}$-function in [18]. It is clear from the definitions that

$$\tilde{\phi}(z) = \phi(z) \pm i\pi(1 - z) \quad (3.3)$$

for $z \in \mathbb{C}_{\pm}$. As $z \to \infty$, we have

$$\phi(z) = z \log \frac{\sqrt{b} + \sqrt{a}}{\sqrt{b} - \sqrt{a}} - \log z + \log \frac{b - a}{4} - 1 + O(\frac{1}{z}).$$

Here we have used the fact that $ab = 1$. Put

$$l := 2 \log \frac{b - a}{4} - 2, \quad (3.4)$$

and recall the definition of $v(z)$ in (2.19). Since $(\sqrt{b} + \sqrt{a})/(\sqrt{b} - \sqrt{a}) = 1/\sqrt{c}$ by (3.1), it follows from the above two equations that

$$-\phi(z) + v(z)/2 + l/2 = \log z + O(\frac{1}{z}) \quad (3.5)$$

as $z \to \infty$. For convenience, we define

$$F(z) := \left[\frac{3}{2} n\phi(z)\right]^{2/3} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{F}(z) := \left[\frac{3}{2} n\tilde{\phi}(z)\right]^{2/3}. \quad (3.6)$$

Note by (3.2) that $\phi(b) = 0$ and

$$\phi'(z) = \log \frac{\sqrt{b}z - 1 + \sqrt{az - 1}}{\sqrt{b}z - 1 - \sqrt{az - 1}} = \log \frac{\sqrt{1 - bz} + \sqrt{1 - az}}{\sqrt{1 - bz} - \sqrt{1 - az}} . \quad (3.7)$$

Using (3.3), it is readily seen that $\tilde{\phi}(a) = 0$ and $\tilde{\phi}(0) = \frac{i}{2} \log c$. The mapping properties of the functions $\phi(z)$ and $\tilde{\phi}(z)$ are illustrated in Figure 2. From this figure and the definitions (3.2) and (3.6), we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.1.** For $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [a, b]$, we have

$$\pm \arg F(z) \in (-\pi, \pi) \quad \text{and} \quad \arg \tilde{F}(z) \in (-\pi, \pi). \quad (3.8)$$

For $\text{Re } z \in (a, b)$ and $\pm \text{Im } z \in [0, \delta]$, we have

$$\pm \arg F(z) \in (\pi/3, \pi] \quad \text{and} \quad \mp \arg \tilde{F}(z) \in (\pi/3, \pi]. \quad (3.9)$$

For $x \geq 0$ and $\delta$ sufficient small, we have

$$\text{Re } \phi(x \pm i\delta) \sim \begin{cases} \phi(x), & x \geq b; \\ -2\delta \arctan \sqrt{\frac{1 - ax}{bx - 1}}, & a < x < b; \\ \tilde{\phi}(x) - \pi\delta, & 0 \leq x \leq a. \end{cases} \quad (3.10)$$
Proof. It is easy to prove (3.8) and (3.9) by using (3.2), (3.6) and Figure 2. For small \( \delta > 0 \), we have from a two-term Taylor expansion
\[
\text{Re}\, \phi(x \pm i\delta) \sim \text{Re}\, \phi_{\pm}(x) \mp \delta \text{Im}\, \phi'_{\pm}(x).
\]
Note by (3.7) that
\[
\text{Im}\, \phi'_{\pm}(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \geq b; \\ \pm 2\arctan \sqrt{1 - ax/bx - 1}, & a < x < b; \\ \pm \pi, & 0 \leq x \leq a. \end{cases}
\]
Moreover, (3.2) and (3.3) imply
\[
\text{Re}\, \phi_{\pm}(x) = \begin{cases} \phi(x), & x \geq b; \\ 0, & a < x < b; \\ \tilde{\phi}(x), & 0 \leq x \leq a. \end{cases}
\]
Thus, (3.10) follows from the above three equations. 

Define
\[
N(z) := \begin{pmatrix} (z - 1)^{\frac{1 - \beta}{2}} (\sqrt{z-a} + \sqrt{z-b})^\beta & -i(z - 1)^{\frac{1 - \beta}{2}} (\sqrt{z-a} - \sqrt{z-b})^\beta \\ i(z - 1)^{\frac{1 - \beta}{2}} (\sqrt{z-a} - \sqrt{z-b})^{2-\beta} & (z - 1)^{\frac{1 - \beta}{2}} (\sqrt{z-a} + \sqrt{z-b})^{2-\beta} \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{(z - a)^{1/4}(z - b)^{1/4}}. \tag{3.11}
\]
It is easy to verify that \( N(z) \) is analytic in \( \mathbb{C} \setminus [a, b] \) and
\[
N_+(x) = N_-(x) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -x \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mp 1/2 \\ 0 & 1/2 \end{pmatrix} \\ |x - 1|^{1-\beta} & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad x \in (a, b). \tag{3.12}
\]
The matrix \( N(z) \) is analogous to the matrix \( N(z) \) in [5, 15]. Now we introduce the Airy parametrix which is also similar to the one in [5, 15]. For \( z \in \mathbb{C}_\pm \), define
\[
A(z) := \begin{pmatrix} \Ai(z) & -i\Bi(z) \\ i\Ai'(z) & \Bi'(z) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mp 1/2 \\ 0 & 1/2 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.13}
\]
It is clear that
\[
A_+(x) = A_-(x) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.14}
\]
on the real line. For convenience, set \( \omega = e^{2\pi i/3} \). Note that (cf. [1, (10.4.9)])
\[
2\omega \Ai(\omega z) = -\Ai(z) + i\Bi(z) \quad \text{and} \quad 2\omega^2 \Ai(\omega^2 z) = -\Ai(z) - i\Bi(z).
\]
Figure 2: The $z$-plane under the mappings $\phi(z)$ and $\tilde{\phi}(z)$. 
We obtain from (3.13)

\[
A(z) = \begin{cases} 
(\text{Ai}(z) & \omega^2 \text{Ai}(\omega^2 z)) \\
(i \text{Ai}'(z) & i \omega \text{Ai}'(\omega^2 z)) 
\end{cases} \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_+;
\]

(3.15)

Furthermore, in view of (cf. [11 (10.4.7)])

\[
\text{Ai}(z) + \omega \text{Ai}(\omega z) + \omega^2 \text{Ai}(\omega^2 z) = 0,
\]

we have

\[
A(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \pm 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\omega \text{Ai}(\omega z) & \omega^2 \text{Ai}(\omega^2 z) \\
-i\omega^2 \text{Ai}'(\omega z) & i \omega \text{Ai}'(\omega^2 z) \end{pmatrix} \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_+;
\]

(3.16)

Recall the asymptotic expansions of the Airy function and its derivative (cf. [12 p. 392] or [16 p. 47])

\[
\text{Ai}(z) \sim \frac{z^{-1/4}}{2\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\frac{2}{3}z^{3/4}} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^s u_s}{(\frac{2}{3} z^{3/2})^s}, \quad \text{Ai}'(z) \sim -\frac{z^{1/4}}{2\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\frac{2}{3}z^{3/4}} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^s v_s}{(\frac{2}{3} z^{3/2})^s},
\]

(3.17)

as \( z \to \infty \) with \( |\arg z| < \pi \), where \( u_s, v_s \) are constants with \( u_0 = v_0 = 1 \). For \( \arg z \in (-\pi, 0) \), we have \( \arg(\omega z) \in (-\pi/3, 2\pi/3) \). Thus, by using (3.17) we obtain as \( z \to \infty \) with \( \arg z \in (-\pi, 0) \),

\[
-\omega \text{Ai}(\omega z) \sim -\omega (\omega z)^{-1/4} e^{-\frac{2}{3}(\omega z)^{3/2}} \sim -\frac{iz^{-1/4}}{2\sqrt{\pi}} e^{\frac{2}{3}z^{3/2}},
\]

\[
-i\omega^2 \text{Ai}'(\omega z) \sim i\omega^2 (\omega z)^{1/4} e^{-\frac{2}{3}(\omega z)^{3/2}} \sim \frac{z^{1/4}}{2\sqrt{\pi}} e^{\frac{2}{3}z^{3/2}}.
\]

For \( \arg z \in [0, \pi) \), we have \( \arg(\omega z) \in [4\pi/3, 7\pi/3) \). Here, we cannot use (3.17) with \( z \) replaced by \( \omega^2 z \). However, since \( \omega^2 z = \omega^{-1} z \) and \( \arg(\omega^{-1} z) \in [-2\pi/3, \pi/3) \), we can use (3.17) with \( z \) replaced by \( \omega^{-1} z \) and obtain, as \( z \to \infty \) with \( \arg z \in [0, \pi) \),

\[
\omega^2 \text{Ai}(\omega^2 z) = \omega^2 \text{Ai}(\omega^{-1} z) \sim \frac{\omega^2 (\omega^{-1} z)^{-1/4}}{2\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\frac{2}{3}(\omega^{-1} z)^{3/2}} \sim -\frac{iz^{-1/4}}{2\sqrt{\pi}} e^{\frac{2}{3}z^{3/2}},
\]

\[
i\omega \text{Ai}'(\omega^2 z) = i\omega \text{Ai}'(\omega^{-1} z) \sim -i\omega (\omega^{-1} z)^{1/4} e^{-\frac{2}{3}(\omega^{-1} z)^{3/2}} \sim \frac{z^{1/4}}{2\sqrt{\pi}} e^{\frac{2}{3}z^{3/2}}.
\]

Applying (3.17) and the above four formulas to (3.15) gives

\[
A(z) = \frac{z^{-\sigma_3/4}}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -i \\
-i & 1 \end{pmatrix} (I + O(|z|^{-3/2})) e^{-\frac{2}{3}z^{3/2}\sigma_3},
\]

(3.18)
as \( z \to \infty \) with \( \arg z \in (-\pi, \pi) \). For \( \arg z \in (\pi/3, \pi] \), we have \( \arg(w^{-2}z) \in (-\pi, -\pi/3] \) and \( \arg(w^{-1}z) \in (-\pi/3, \pi/3] \). Thus, by using (3.17) we obtain as \( z \to \infty \) with \( \arg z \in (\pi/3, \pi] \)

\[
-\omega \Ai(\omega z) = -\omega \Ai(\omega^{-2}z) \sim \frac{-\omega(\omega^{-2}z)^{-1/4}}{2\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\frac{2}{3}(\omega^{-2}z)^{3/2}} \sim \frac{-i\omega z}{2\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\frac{2}{3}z^{3/2}},
\]

\[
-i\omega^2 \Ai'(\omega z) = -i\omega^2 \Ai'(\omega^{-2}z) \sim i\omega^2(\omega^{-2}z)^{1/4} e^{-\frac{2}{3}(\omega^{-2}z)^{3/2}} \sim \frac{i\omega z}{2\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\frac{2}{3}z^{3/2}},
\]

and

\[
\omega^2 \Ai(\omega^2 z) = \omega^2 \Ai(\omega^{-1}z) \sim \frac{\omega^2(\omega^{-1}z)^{-1/4}}{2\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\frac{2}{3}(\omega^{-1}z)^{3/2}} \sim \frac{-i\omega z}{2\sqrt{\pi}} e^{\frac{2}{3}z^{3/2}},
\]

\[
i\omega \Ai'(\omega^2 z) = i\omega \Ai'(\omega^{-1}z) \sim \frac{i\omega(\omega^{-1}z)^{1/4}}{2\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\frac{2}{3}(\omega^{-1}z)^{3/2}} \sim \frac{i\omega z}{2\sqrt{\pi}} e^{\frac{2}{3}z^{3/2}}.
\]

For \( \arg z \in [-\pi, -\pi/3) \), we have \( \arg(\omega^2 z) \in [\pi/3, \pi] \) and \( \arg(\omega z) \in [-\pi/3, \pi/3) \). Thus, as \( z \to \infty \) with \( \arg z \in [-\pi, -\pi/3) \), we obtain from (3.17) that

\[
-\omega \Ai(\omega z) \sim \frac{-\omega(\omega z)^{-1/4}}{2\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\frac{2}{3}(\omega z)^{3/2}} \sim \frac{-i\omega z}{2\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\frac{2}{3}z^{3/2}},
\]

\[
-i\omega^2 \Ai'(\omega z) \sim i\omega^2(\omega z)^{1/4} e^{-\frac{2}{3}(\omega z)^{3/2}} \sim \frac{-i\omega z}{2\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\frac{2}{3}z^{3/2}},
\]

and

\[
-\omega \Ai(\omega z) \sim \frac{-\omega(\omega z)^{-1/4}}{2\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\frac{2}{3}(\omega z)^{3/2}} \sim \frac{-i\omega z}{2\sqrt{\pi}} e^{\frac{2}{3}z^{3/2}},
\]

\[
i\omega^2 \Ai'(\omega z) \sim i\omega^2(\omega z)^{1/4} e^{-\frac{2}{3}(\omega z)^{3/2}} \sim \frac{i\omega z}{2\sqrt{\pi}} e^{\frac{2}{3}z^{3/2}}.
\]

Applying the last eight formulas to (3.16) gives

\[
A(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \pm 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{z^{-\sigma_3/4}}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -i \\ -i & 1 \end{pmatrix} (I + O(|z|^{-3/2})) e^{-\frac{2}{3}z^{3/2} \sigma_3} \tag{3.19}
\]

as \( z \to \infty \) with \( |\arg z| \in (\pi/3, \pi] \). Here the sign \( \pm \) means plus sign when \( z \) is in the upper half plane, and minus sign when \( z \) is in the lower half plane. Finally, we introduce a crucial function which enables us to obtain global asymptotic formulas without any cut in the complex plane; see a statement in the second last paragraph of Section 1. For \( z \) not on the imaginary line, we define

\[
D(z) := \begin{cases} 
\frac{e^{nz} \Gamma(nz - \beta/2 + 1)}{\sqrt{2\pi(nz)(nz + 1 - \beta)^2/2}} & \text{Re } z > 0; \\
\sqrt{2\pi(-nz)^{-nz+(\beta-1)/2}} & \text{Re } z < 0.
\end{cases} \tag{3.20}
\]

The jump of \( D(z) \) on the imaginary line is given by

\[
J_D(z) := D_-(z)^{-1}D_+(z) = \mp 2i \sin \pi(nz - \beta/2) e^{\pm i \pi(nz - \beta/2)} = 1 - e^{\pm 2i \pi(nz - \beta/2)}, \tag{3.21}
\]
where $D_+(z) (D_-(z))$ is the limiting value of $D(z)$ taken from the left (right) of the imaginary axis. It will be seen in the proof of Lemma 4.1 that the usage of $D(z)$ is to cancel the jump $1 - e^{\pm 2i\pi (nz - \beta/2)}$ across the imaginary axis. The explicit formula of $D(z)$ is obtained by solving a one-dimensional Riemann-Hilbert problem and calculating a Cauchy integral. As $n \to \infty$, applying Stirling’s formula (cf. [11 (6.1.40)]) to (3.20), we have

$$D(z) = 1 + O(1/n)$$

uniformly for $z$ bounded away from the origin.

4 Construction of parametrix

For $\Re z \notin [0, 1]$ or $\Im z \notin [-\delta, \delta]$, we define

$$\tilde{R}(z) := \sqrt{\pi}[Ce^{nl}]^{\sigma_3/2} N(z)(z - 1)^{\frac{z - 1}{2} \sigma_3} \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ i \\ 1 \end{array} \right) F(z)^{\sigma_3/4} A(F) \left[ \frac{D(z)^2e^{nv(z)}}{Cz^{\beta-1}B(z)^2} \right]^{\sigma_3/2}.$$  \hfill (4.1a)

For $\Re z \in (0, 1)$ and $\Im z \in (-\delta, \delta)$, we define

$$\tilde{R}(z) := (-1)^{n+1} \sqrt{\pi}[Ce^{nl}]^{\sigma_3/2} N(z)(1 - z)^{\frac{z - 1}{2} \sigma_3} \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ i \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \tilde{F}(z)^{-\sigma_3/4} \sigma_1 A(\tilde{F}) \sigma_1$$

$$\times \left[ \frac{4\sin^2(\theta(z)D(z)^2e^{nv(z)})}{Cz^{\beta-1}B(z)^2} \right]^{\sigma_3/2}. \hfill (4.1b)$$

Note that $\tilde{R}(z)$ has jumps across the negative real axis and the imaginary axis; they are caused by the functions $z^{\beta-1}$ and $D(z)$ respectively. Our parametrix $\tilde{R}(z)$ is analogous to that in [4 (4.54)]. The main difference is that the parametrix here has a factor involving the auxiliary function $D(z)$ defined in (3.20). This factor will make our asymptotic formulas valid in much bigger regions, one of which includes the cut $(-\infty, 0]$. As $z \to \infty$, a combination of (3.5), (3.6) and (3.18) gives

$$\sqrt{\pi} \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ i \\ 1 \end{array} \right) F(z)^{\sigma_3/4} A(F) = e^{-n\phi_3}[I + O(\frac{1}{z})] = e^{(-\frac{nv}{z} - \frac{\pi}{2})}\sigma_3 z^{n\sigma_3}[I + O(\frac{1}{z})].$$

Furthermore, it is easily seen from the definitions (2.8), (3.11) and (3.20) that we have respectively $B(z) \sim z^n$, $N(z) \sim I$ and $D(z) \sim 1$ as $z \to \infty$. Thus we obtain from (4.1a) and the above formula that

$$\tilde{R}(z) = [Ce^{nl}]^{\sigma_3/2} z^{\frac{z - 1}{2} \sigma_3} [I + O(\frac{1}{z})] e^{(-\frac{nv}{z} - \frac{\pi}{2})}\sigma_3 z^{n\sigma_3} \left[ \frac{e^{nv}}{Cz^{\beta-1}z^{2n}} \right]^{\sigma_3/2} = I + O(\frac{1}{z}) \hfill (4.2)$$

as $z \to \infty$. Define

$$K(z) := (Ce^{nl})^{-\sigma_3/2} R(z) \tilde{R}(z)^{-1}(Ce^{nl})^{\sigma_3/2}. \hfill (4.3)$$

It is clear from (4.2) and Proposition 2.5 that

$$K(z) = I + O(\frac{1}{z}) \hfill (4.4)$$
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as \( z \to \infty \). Let \( \Sigma_K \) denote the oriented contour consisting of \( \Sigma_R \) in Figure 1, the negative real axis, and the two infinite lines from \( z = \pm i \delta \) to \( z = \pm i \infty \) on the imaginary axis. The jump matrix of \( K(z) \) is given by

\[
J_K(z) := K_-(z)^{-1}K_+(z) = (Ce^{n\theta})^{-\sigma_3/2}R_-(z)J_R(z)R_+(z)^{-1}(Ce^{n\theta})^{\sigma_3/2}. \tag{4.5}
\]

**Lemma 4.1.** \( J_K(z) = I + O(1/n) \) and \( K(z) = I + O(1/n) \) as \( n \to \infty \).

**Proof.** In view of the structure of the contour \( \Sigma_K \), we divide our discussion into eight cases and consider each case separately.

Case I. For \( \text{Re} \ z = 1 \) and \( \pm \text{Im} \ z \in [0, \delta] \), we have from \( (2.15) \) and \( (2.22) \) that

\[
J_R(z) = \begin{pmatrix}
1 - e^{\pm 2i\theta} & \dfrac{Cz^{\beta - 1}B^2W}{2i \sin \theta e^{\pm i\theta + n\vartheta}} \\
-2i \sin \theta e^{\pm i\theta + n\vartheta} & 1
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

This together with \( (4.1) \) and \( (4.5) \) gives

\[
J_K(z) = N(z)(z - 1)^{\frac{\beta - 1}{2}\sigma_3} \left[ \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ i & 1 \end{pmatrix} F(z)^{\frac{\sigma_3}{4}} A(F) \right)^{-1} \left( 1 - \frac{e^{\pm 2i\theta}}{2i \sin \theta} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \right] - iD^2 We^{\pm i\theta}
\]

\[
\times \left[ \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ i & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tilde{F}(z)^{-\frac{\sigma_3}{4}} A(\tilde{F}) \sigma_1 \right)^{-1} (1 - z)^{\frac{1 - \sigma_3}{2}} N(z)^{-1} (-1)^{n + 1}. \tag{4.6}
\]

On account of \( (3.6) \), we obtain from \( (3.19) \) that as \( n \to \infty \),

\[
\left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ i & 1 \end{pmatrix} F(z)^{\frac{\sigma_3}{4}} A(F) \right) = [I + O(1/n)] e^{-n\phi_{\sigma_3}} \sqrt{\pi} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \]

and

\[
\left[ \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ i & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tilde{F}(z)^{-\frac{\sigma_3}{4}} A(\tilde{F}) \sigma_1 \right)^{-1} = \sqrt{\pi} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} e^{n\tilde{\phi}_{\sigma_3}} [I + O(1/n)].
\]

From \( (2.18) \) and \( (3.3) \) we have

\[
e^{n\tilde{\phi}} = (-1)^n e^{n\phi \mp i\pi \beta/2}.
\]

As \( n \to \infty \), applying Stirling’s formula (cf. [11, (6.1.40)]) to \( (2.21) \) yields

\[
W(z) = 1 + O(1/n) \tag{4.7}
\]

uniformly for \( z \) bounded away from the negative real axis. Applying the last four equations and \( (3.22) \) to \( (4.6) \) gives

\[
J_K(z) = N(z)(z - 1)^{\frac{\beta - 1}{2}\sigma_3} \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \pm(1 - D^2 W)e^{-2n\tilde{\phi} + i\pi \beta} \\ +(1 - D^{-2}W^{-1})e^{2n\phi} & 1 - e^{\pm 2i\theta}(2 - D^2 W - D^{-2}W^{-1}) \end{pmatrix} \right)
\]

\[
\times (z - 1)^{\frac{1 - \sigma_3}{2}} N(z)^{-1} [I + O(1/n)]
\]

\[
= I + O(1/n).
\]
Here we have used the fact that in the present case, Re $\phi(z) \leq 0$ and Re $\tilde{\phi}(z) \geq 0$; see Figure 2.

Case II. For $z = x \in [1, \infty)$, we have from (2.16) and (2.22)

$$J_R(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -C x^{\beta-1} B^2 W e^{-n \nu} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$  

This together with (3.14), (4.1) and (4.5) gives

$$J_K(x) = \left[ N(x)(x-1)^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ i & 1 \end{pmatrix} F(x)^{\sigma_3/4} A_-(F) \right] \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 - D^2 W \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1}.$$  

(4.8)

Note that the matrices $N(z)$ and $F(z)^{\sigma_3/4}$ are both discontinuous across the interval $[1, b)$. But a combination of them makes the jumps vanish. Observe from Figure 2 and (3.6) that arg $\phi_{\pm}(x) = \pm \frac{3\pi}{2}$ and arg $F_{\pm}(x) = \pm \pi$ for $x \in [1, b)$. Thus, we have $F_+(x)^{\sigma_3/4} = F_-(x)^{\sigma_3/4} e^{i\pi\sigma_3/2}$. It then follows from (3.12) that the matrix

$$N(z)(z-1)^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ i & 1 \end{pmatrix} F(z)^{\sigma_3/4}$$

has no jump on the interval $[1, b)$. Applying (3.22) and (4.7) to (4.8) gives $J_K(x) = I + O(1/n)$.

Case III. For $z = x \in [0, 1]$, we can proceed in a similar manner as in Case II and obtain $J_K(x) = I + O(1/n)$.

Case IV. For $z = \text{Re} z \pm i \delta$ with $\text{Re} z \in (1, \infty)$, we have from (2.17b) and (2.22)

$$J_R(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{C z^{\beta-1} B^2 W}{2i \sin \theta e^{\mp i \theta + n \nu}} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$  

This together with (4.1) and (4.5) gives

$$J_K(z) = N(z)(z-1)^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ i & 1 \end{pmatrix} F(z)^{\sigma_3/4} A(F) e^{n \phi_{\sigma_3}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{D^2 W e^{-2n \phi \pm 2i \theta}}{2i \sin \theta e^{\mp i \theta}} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} (z-1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2} \sigma_3 N(z)^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$  

(4.9)

Note from (3.8) that in this case, we have arg $F(z) \in (-\pi, \pi)$. Thus, coupling (3.6) and (3.18), we obtain

$$\left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ i & 1 \end{pmatrix} F(z)^{\sigma_3/4} A(F) e^{n \phi_{\sigma_3}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} [I + O(1/n)].$$

Applying this to (4.9) yields

$$J_K(z) = I + O(1/n).$$
Here we have used the facts that $\mp 2i \sin \theta e^{\pm i\theta} = 1 - e^{\pm 2i\theta} \sim 1$ as $n \to \infty$ and $\text{Re}(-n\phi \pm i\theta) = -n(\text{Re} \phi + \pi \delta) < 0$; see (2.18) and (3.10).

Case V. For $z = x \pm i\delta$ with $x \in (0, 1)$, we have from (2.17a) and (2.22)

$$J_R(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2i \sin \theta e^{\pm i\theta + n\phi} & Cz^{\beta - 1}B^2W \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

This together with (4.1) and (4.5) gives

$$J_K(z) = (-1)^{n+1} N(z)(1 - z) \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ i & 1 \end{pmatrix} (\mp 2i)^n \bar{F}(z)^{-\sigma_3/4} A(\bar{F})^{-\sigma_3} \right] \left( \begin{pmatrix} 2 \sin \theta & 0 \\ iD^{-2}W^{-1}e^{\pm i\theta} & (2\sin \theta)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

$$\times \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ i & 1 \end{pmatrix} F(z)^{\sigma_3/4} A(F) \right]^{-1} (z - 1)^{\frac{\beta - 1}{2}\sigma_3} N(z)^{-1}.$$ 

(4.10)

Note from (3.8) that in this case, $\text{arg} F(z) \in (-\pi, \pi)$ and $\text{arg} \bar{F}(z) \in (-\pi, \pi)$. Thus, we obtain from (3.6) and (3.18)

$$\left[ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ i & 1 \end{pmatrix} F(z)^{\sigma_3/4} A(F) \right]^{-1} = \sqrt{\pi} e^{n\phi \sigma_3} [I + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)]$$

Applying the last two equations to (4.10) yields

$$J_K(z) = N(z)(1 - z)^{\frac{\beta - 1}{2}\sigma_3} \begin{pmatrix} 2(-1)^{n+1} \sin \theta e^{n\phi + n\bar{\phi} \pm i\pi(1 - \beta)/2} & 0 \\ i(-1)^{n+1} D^{-2}W^{-1}e^{n\phi + n\bar{\phi} \pm i\pi(1 - \beta)/2} & \frac{e^{n\phi - n\bar{\phi} \mp i\pi(1 - \beta)/2}}{2(-1)^{n+1} \sin \theta} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\times (z - 1)^{\frac{\beta - 1}{2}\sigma_3} N(z)^{-1} [I + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)].$$

Using (2.18), (3.2), (3.3) and (3.10), one can show that

$$\text{Re}\{n\phi + n\bar{\phi} \pm i\theta\} = \text{Re}\{2n\phi\} < 0$$

and

$$2(-1)^{n+1} \sin \theta e^{n\phi - n\bar{\phi} \mp i\pi(1 - \beta)/2} = \mp 2i \sin \theta e^{\pm i\theta} = 1 - e^{\mp 2i\theta} \sim 1.$$ 

Thus, we again have

$$J_k(z) = I + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.$$
Case VI. For $z = \pm iy$ with $y \in (0, \delta)$, we have from (2.17) and (2.22)

$$J_R(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2i \sin \theta e^{\pm i \theta + n \phi} & Cz^{\beta-1}B^2W \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. $$

This together with (4.1) and (4.5) gives

$$J_K(z) = (-1)^{n+1} N(z)(1-z)^{\beta-1} \sigma_3 \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ i \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \bar{F}(z)^{-\sigma_3/4} A(\bar{F}) \sigma_1 \right] \begin{pmatrix} 2D_- \sin \theta \\ D_+ \\ i e^{\pm i \theta} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ D_+ \\ 2D_- \sin \theta \end{pmatrix} 
\times \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ i \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} F(z)^{\sigma_3/4} A(F) \right]^{-1} (z-1)^{1-\beta} \sigma_3 N(z)^{-1}. $$

(4.11)

Note from (3.8) that in this case, $\arg F(z) \in (-\pi, \pi)$ and $\arg \bar{F}(z) \in (-\pi, \pi)$. Thus, as in Case IV we have from (3.6) and (3.18)

$$\left[ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ i \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \bar{F}(z)^{-\sigma_3/4} A(\bar{F}) \sigma_1 \right] = \sqrt{\pi} e^{n \phi \sigma_3} [I + O(1/n)].$$

Applying the above two equations to (4.11) yields

$$J_K(z) = N(z)(1-z)^{\beta-1} \sigma_3 \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 2(-1)^{n+1} \sin \theta \\ J_D e^{n \phi - \phi \mp i \pi (1-\beta)/2} \\ O(e^{-2n \Re \phi}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ J_D e^{n \phi - \phi \mp i \pi (1-\beta)/2} \\ 2(-1)^{n+1} \sin \theta \end{pmatrix} 
\times (z-1)^{1-\beta} \sigma_3 N(z)^{-1}[I + O(1/n)].$$

Here we have used (2.21), (3.20) and the asymptotic formula for $\Gamma(x \pm iy)$ as $y \to +\infty$. Since

$$2(-1)^{n+1} \sin \theta e^{n \phi - \phi \mp i \pi (1-\beta)/2} = 1 - e^{\pm 2i \theta} = J_D$$

and $\Re \phi(z) < 0$, as before we again have $J_K(z) = I + O(1/n)$ as $n \to \infty$. As mentioned in a statement following (3.21), the usage of $D(z)$ defined in (3.20) is to cancel the jump $1 - e^{\pm 2i \theta} = 1 - e^{\pm 2i \pi (nz - \beta/2)}$. Without this function, the jump matrix $J_K(z)$ is not asymptotically equal to the identity matrix in this case.
Theorem 5.1. As \( n \to \infty \), we have

\[
\pi_n(nz - \beta/2) = n^\gamma D(z) e^{n\nu(z)/2 + \nu(z)/2} \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{z-a} + \sqrt{z-b}}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{z-a} - \sqrt{z-b}}{2} \right\} \left[ \frac{1}{z^{(\beta-1)/2}(z-a)^1/4} \right] F(z)^{-1/4} \text{Ai}(F) \frac{1}{n^\gamma},
\]

where \( \text{Ai}(F) \) and \( \text{Ai}'(F) \) are approximations of the Airy functions. The constants \( \gamma \) and \( \nu(z) \) depend on the specific contour and the function \( D(z) \).

5 Main results

Case VII. For \( \text{Re} \, z = 0 \) and \( |\text{Im} \, z| \geq \delta \), we have \( J_R(z) = I \); see Figure 1. Thus, (4.11) and (4.5) imply

\[
J_K(z) = \left[ N(z)(z - 1) \frac{\beta - 1}{\beta - 2} \sigma_3 \left( \frac{1}{i} \frac{i}{1} \right) F(z) \frac{\sigma_3}{4} A(F) \right] J_D^{\sigma_3} \frac{1}{1 - z} \frac{\beta - 1}{\beta - 2} \sigma_3 \left( \frac{1}{i} \frac{i}{1} \right) F(z) \frac{\sigma_3}{4} A(F) ^{-1} \cdot (4.12)
\]

Note that by (3.21), \( J_D = 1 - e^{+2\pi i (nz - \beta/2)} \) is exponentially small for \( |\text{Im} \, z| \geq \delta \). From (4.12), it again follows that \( J_K = I + O(1/n) \) in this case.

Case VIII. For \( z = x \in (-\infty, 0) \), we have \( J_R(x) = I \). Thus, (4.11) and (4.5) imply

\[
J_K(z) = N(x)(1 - x) \frac{\beta - 1}{\beta - 2} \sigma_3 e^{i\pi (1 - \beta) \sigma_3} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{i} \frac{i}{1} \right) F_-(x) \frac{\sigma_3}{4} A(F) e^{i\pi \sigma_3} \right] \left[ 1 - x \right] \frac{1 - \beta}{2} \sigma_3 e^{i\pi (1 - \beta) \sigma_3} N(x)^{-1} \cdot (4.13)
\]

Here we have used the fact that \( e^{i\pi (\phi + \phi - \pi)} = e^{2n\pi i} = 1 \) for \( x < 0 \); see (3.2). Note from (3.8) that \( \text{arg} \, F_+ \in (-\pi, \pi) \) in this case. Hence, we obtain from (3.6) and (3.18)

\[
\left( \frac{1}{i} \frac{i}{1} \right) F_+(x) \frac{\sigma_3}{4} A(F) e^{i\pi \sigma_3} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left[ I + O(\frac{1}{n}) \right].
\]

Applying the last equation to (4.13) yields

\[
J_K(z) = N(x)(1 - x) \frac{\beta - 1}{\beta - 2} \sigma_3 e^{i\pi (1 - \beta) \sigma_3} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{i} \frac{i}{1} \right) F_-(x) \frac{\sigma_3}{4} A(F) e^{i\pi \sigma_3} \right] \left( 1 - x \right) \frac{1 - \beta}{2} \sigma_3 e^{i\pi (1 - \beta) \sigma_3} N(x)^{-1} \left[ I + O(\frac{1}{n}) \right] = I + O(\frac{1}{n}).
\]

In conclusion, we have shown that \( J_K(z) = I + O(1/n) \) on the contour of \( K(z) \). It is not difficult to verify that the multiplicative cyclic condition (3.30) in [14] holds for the jump matrix \( J_K(z) \). An application of Theorem 3.8 in [14] then gives \( K(z) = I + O(1/n) \) as \( n \to \infty \).

Combining Lemma 4.1 with (2.5), (2.8), (2.9), (2.12) and (4.3), we obtain

\[
\pi_n(nz - \beta/2) = n^{\gamma} B(z) R_{11}(z) [I + O(\frac{1}{n})]
\]

for \( \text{Re} \, z \notin [0, 1] \) or \( \text{Im} \, z \notin [-\delta, \delta] \), and

\[
\pi_n(nz - \beta/2) = n^{\gamma} B(z) [\bar{R}_{11}(z) + \Delta_+(z) \bar{R}_{12}(z) [I + O(\frac{1}{n})]]
\]

for \( \text{Re} \, z \in (0, 1) \) and \( \text{Im} \, z \in (0, \pm \delta) \).
for $\text{Re } z \notin [0, 1]$ or $\text{Im } z \notin [-\delta, \delta]$, and

\[
\pi_n(nz - \beta/2) = (-n)^n \sqrt{\pi} D(z) e^{n\nu(z)/2+n\delta/4} \times \left\{ \left( \frac{\sqrt{b-z}+\sqrt{a-z}}{2} \right)^\beta + \left( \frac{\sqrt{b-z}-\sqrt{a-z}}{2} \right)^\beta \right\} \times \left[ \cos \theta \text{Ai}'(\tilde{F}) - \sin \theta \text{Bi}'(\tilde{F}) \right] \quad (5.2)
\]

\[
+ \frac{\left( \frac{\sqrt{b-z}+\sqrt{a-z}}{2} \right)^\beta - \left( \frac{\sqrt{b-z}-\sqrt{a-z}}{2} \right)^\beta}{z^{(\beta-1)/2}(b-z)^{1/4}(a-z)^{1/4} F(z)^{1/4}} \left[ \cos \theta \text{Ai}'(\tilde{F}) - \sin \theta \text{Bi}'(\tilde{F}) \right] \left[ I + O\left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \right]
\]

for $\text{Re } z \in (0, 1)$ and $\text{Im } z \in (-\delta, \delta)$, where the constant $l$ is given in (3.4) and the functions $v(z), F(z)$ and $D(z)$ are respectively given in (2.19), (3.6) and (3.20). The asymptotic formula on the boundary of the two regions can be obtained by taking limits from either side.

**Proof.** From (3.11), (3.13), (4.1) and (4.14a), it is easy to obtain (5.1). We now prove (5.2). Define

\[
\tilde{Q}(z) := R(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \Delta_\pm(z) \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

From (4.14b), we have

\[
\pi_n(nz - \beta/2) = n^n B(z) \tilde{Q}_{11}(z)[I + O\left( \frac{1}{n} \right)].
\]

Thus, we only need to calculate $\tilde{Q}_{11}(z)$. First, we observe from (2.22), (4.1) and (5.3) that

\[
\tilde{Q}(z) = (-1)^{n+1} \sqrt{\pi} [C e^{n\ln}]^{s_3/2} N(z)(1-z)^{\beta-1}s_3 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ i \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \tilde{F}(z)^{-s_3/4} s_1 A(\tilde{F}) s_1 \\
\times \left( \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ ic^{\pm i\theta(z)} & 0 & 0 \\ 2D^2(z)W(z)\sin\theta(z) & 1 \end{array} \right) \left[ 4\sin^2\theta(z)D(z) e^{n\nu(z)} \right]^{s_3/2}. \quad (5.4)
\]

Second, (3.11) gives

\[
N(z)(1-z)^{\beta-1}s_3 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ i \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \left( \begin{array}{cc} (\frac{\sqrt{b-z}+\sqrt{a-z}}{2})^\beta - (\frac{\sqrt{b-z}-\sqrt{a-z}}{2})^\beta \\ (b-z)^{1/4}(a-z)^{1/4} \\ -i(b-z)^{1/4}(a-z)^{1/4} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc} (\frac{\sqrt{b-z}+\sqrt{a-z}}{2})^\beta + (\frac{\sqrt{b-z}-\sqrt{a-z}}{2})^\beta \\ (b-z)^{1/4}(a-z)^{1/4} \\ i(b-z)^{1/4}(a-z)^{1/4} \end{array} \right).
\]
Finally, (3.13) implies

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 \\
i e^{\pm i\theta} & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
i D^{-1}W^{-1}e^{\pm i\theta} & 2 \sin \theta
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\sin \theta \text{Bi}'(\tilde{F}) - [\cos \theta + (D^{-2}W^{-1} - 1)e^{\pm i\theta}] \text{Ai}'(\tilde{F}) \\
i \text{Ai}'(\tilde{F})
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\sin \theta \text{Bi}'(\tilde{F}) - [\cos \theta + (D^{-2}W^{-1} - 1)e^{\pm i\theta}] \text{Ai}(\tilde{F}) \\
\text{Ai}(\tilde{F})
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

Applying the last three equations to (5.4) gives

\[
\pi_n(nz - \beta/2) = (-n)^n \sqrt{\pi} D(z)e^{nv(z)/2+n/2} \left\{ \frac{(\sqrt{b-z} + \sqrt{a-z})^\beta + (\sqrt{b-z} - \sqrt{a-z})^\beta}{z^{(\beta - 1)/2}(b - z)^1(a - z)^{1/4}F(z)^{-1/4}} \right.
\times [\cos \theta \text{Ai}(\tilde{F}) - \sin \theta \text{Bi}(\tilde{F}) + e^{\pm i\theta}(D^{-2}W^{-1} - 1) \text{Ai}(\tilde{F})] + \frac{(\sqrt{b-z} + \sqrt{a-z})^\beta - (\sqrt{b-z} - \sqrt{a-z})^\beta}{z^{(\beta - 1)/2}(b - z)^1(a - z)^{1/4}F(z)^{1/4}}
\times [\cos \theta \text{Ai}'(\tilde{F}) - \sin \theta \text{Bi}'(\tilde{F}) + e^{\pm i\theta}(D^{-2}W^{-1} - 1) \text{Ai}'(\tilde{F})] \left\} [I + O(1/n)].
\]

On account of (3.2), (3.6), and (3.17), \text{Ai}(\tilde{F}) and \text{Ai}'(\tilde{F}) are exponentially small when \( z \) approaches the origin; by (3.22) and (4.7), we also have \( D^{-2}W^{-1} - 1 = O(1/n) \) for \( z \neq 0 \). Since we can always neglect the terms \( (D^{-2}W^{-1} - 1) \text{Ai}(\tilde{F}) \) and \( (D^{-2}W^{-1} - 1) \text{Ai}'(\tilde{F}) \), formula (5.2) is proved.

To justify that the asymptotic formula on the curve separating the two regions can be obtain by taking limits from either side, we just note that the regions of validity of both formulas (5.1) and (5.2) can be slightly extended beyond their boundaries, and that in the overlapping region these two formulas are asymptotically equal.

**Remark 5.2.** We would like to mention that our results coincide with those obtained in [8, 9]. The formulas (6.9) in [8] and (2.35) in [9] are asymptotically equal to (5.1) in the present paper, while the formulas (6.27) in [8] and (4.19) in [9] are asymptotically equal to (5.2).
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