The role of heterocyclic aromatic amines in colorectal cancer: the evidence from epidemiologic studies
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Abstract

Since Dr. Sugimura’s discovery of heterocyclic aromatic amines (HAA) in broiled fish, many epidemiological studies have been conducted to investigate their role in human cancers, often focusing on colorectal cancer. The difficulty in measuring HAA exposure from meat and fish intake in these studies has resulted in inconsistent findings. Because studying individuals who may be particularly susceptible to the carcinogenic effects of HAA might facilitate the demonstration of a link with cancer, multiple studies have focused on individuals with the high activity phenotype for CYP1A2 and/or NAT2, the two main metabolic enzymes involved in the bioactivation of HAA. These investigations have also yielded inconsistent results. Two recent large pooled analyses of colorectal cancer studies have helped clarify the overall evidence. One was conducted in whites and reported no interaction of red meat intake and NAT2 genotype on risk in Whites. The other was conducted in Japanese and African Americans, two populations with high rates of the disease and a prevalence of the at-risk rapid NAT2 phenotype 10- and 2-fold greater than in whites, respectively. In those groups, a significant interaction was found, with the association of red meat with colorectal cancer being strongest among individuals with the rapid NAT2 phenotype, intermediate among those with the intermediate phenotype and not significant among those with the slow NAT2 phenotype. Recent research on biomarkers has focused on PhIP hair content, as a marker of exposure to HAA, and on DNA adducts using new sensitive quantitative methods, as markers of early biological effects. These advances, when brought to bear, may contribute greatly to the further elucidation of the carcinogenicity of HAA in humans.
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Discovery of HAAs and their carcinogenicity

Making an analogy between cigarette smoke and the enticing smell emanating from his wife’s kitchen, Prof. Takashi Sugimura astutely wondered one day whether smoke from broiled fish also contained mutagens [1]. Confirming his hunch, he demonstrated for the first time that smoke from broiled fish showed strong mutagenicity in Salmonella typhimurium TA98, launching a field of study that spanned over four decades [1]. Several new mutagens belonging to the HAA class of chemicals were then identified from the pyrolysis of amino acids and proteins at the surface of meat and fish cooked at high temperature [1]. The animal studies that followed showed that these compounds are carcinogenic in rodents and monkeys [1]. To act as complete carcinogens, HAAs were shown to require metabolic activation by cytochrome P450 (CYP) 1A2 (and to a lesser degree by CYP1A1, B1 and 3A4) in the liver, followed by a second metabolic step performed by N-acetyltransferase (NAT), which is mainly expressed in the liver and intestinal epithelium [2]. I review here the epidemiological...
evidence for a role of HAA in the causation of human cancer and, because colorectal cancer (CRC) is the organ site that is the most relevant and has received the most attention, I focus on this cancer.

**Difficulty in measuring HAAs in diet**

Many epidemiological studies have investigated the association reported for meat consumption and risk of several cancers of the colorectum, breast and prostate [2]. A 2015 IARC expert report concluded that consumption of processed meat was “carcinogenic to humans” and that of red meat “probably carcinogenic to humans”; consumption of both meat types was associated with an increased risk of CRC [3]. Although several classes of carcinogens and multiple mechanisms are likely to be at play, much attention has focused on the role of HAA in cancer development through eating meat cooked well-done [2, 3]. The majority of studies that investigated consumption of well-done meat or meat prepared by high-temperature methods (barbecuing, pan-frying, broiling or grilling) reported a positive association; however, some studies found no association (reviewed in references 2 and 3). Fewer investigators have attempted to quantify intake of specific HAAs in the diet of their participants. This turned out to be particularly challenging due to the great variation that exists in HAA formation on the surface of meat and fish during cooking. The extent of exposure to humans depends on: the type of meat and fish consumed; mode, temperature and duration of cooking; use of gravy, marinade or sauce; and whether pan residue or the skin is ingested [2]. These parameters can lead to differences in HAA concentrations in the diet by more than a 100-fold. Some of the detailed dietary studies of CRC or its precursor, adenoma, have reported an association [4–7], but some have not [8, 9]. The uncertainties in HAA concentrations in the diet likely result in a poor assessment of usual exposure to these compounds and probably explain the inconsistency in the epidemiological data. Thus, overall, the dietary studies have been suggestive but inconsistent.

**Studies of genetically susceptible subgroups and populations**

Given the difficulties in assessing dietary exposure to HAAs through dietary questionnaires, it was proposed that focusing on individuals who can be a priori inferred to have a heightened susceptibility to the carcinogenic effect of HAAs might facilitate the demonstration of a link with human cancer. As mentioned above, CYP1A2 and NAT2 have been shown to play an important role in the bioactivation of HAAs [2]. The activity of each enzyme shows a high inter-individual variation and can be measured by dosing individuals with caffeine and measuring urinary metabolites. These two enzymes are modulated by genetic polymorphisms and, in the case of CYP1A2 is inducible by lifestyle factors (e.g., smoking). Thus, both genetics and lifestyle may contribute to inter-individual differences in susceptibility to HAAs. Two case-control studies have suggested that the combination of high CYP1A2 and high NAT2 activity is a risk factor for CRC or adenoma in individuals exposed to HAAs through the regular consumption of well-done meat [10–12]. In one study, the observed association was limited to smokers which is biologically plausible, since smoking induces CYP1A2 [11, 12]. A third study failed to show a modifying effect of NAT2 or CYP1A2, also measured by caffeine phenotyping, or an association with HAA intake with risk of adenoma [13].

A larger number of studies have focused on NAT2, red meat intake and CRC. The slow activity phenotype for this enzyme varies widely across populations, from ~5% in Eskimos to 10% in Japanese, 50% in Europeans and 90% in North Africans [14]. Interestingly, the populations with the highest frequencies for the rapid acetylator phenotype also have the highest CRC incidence rates reported in the world (Alaskan Natives and Japanese), and those with the lowest rapid acetylator phenotype frequencies have low CRC rates (in North Africa) [15, 16]. Moreover, the temporal trends of increasing CRC rates in Japan have closely paralleled the increase in red meat intake with a 20-year lag [17]. That NAT2 phenotype may modulate the association of red meat intake with CRC was suggested by an ecologic study that showed that the correlation that exists between country-specific per capita meat consumption and CRC incidence is significantly increased when considering the population-specific prevalence of the N-acetylation phenotype [18].

More than 25 single-nucleotide polymorphisms that modify NAT2’s catalytic activity for HAAs have been identified in the NAT2 gene. Several combinations of SNPs have been proposed to classify NAT2 genotypes and best infer the phenotype. A panel of seven SNPs for NAT2 has been shown to be optimal [19, 20], although a single SNP has been suggested to be adequate in European-descent individuals [21]. CRC risk was hypothesized to be elevated in individuals who are rapid acetylators. Multiple studies have reported a stronger association between CRC or adenoma among individuals with the rapid acetylator phenotype or genotype, although this was not observed in all studies. In fact, meta-analyses of the main effect associations of NAT2 acetylator status and colorectal neoplasm have not confirmed this association [22–24].

Additional studies have reported an interaction between intake of red meat or well-done meat, or HAA, and NAT2 acetylator status on the risk of colorectal neoplasia [25–30]. However, other studies failed to
replicate this interaction [31–33]. The current status of the evidence for a combined role of HAA and NAT2 in CRC is arguably best captured by two large, carefully conducted, pooled-analyses of individual-level data, one focusing on studies of European descent individuals [34], the other on studies of Japanese and African Americans [35]. In each of the two reports, exposure and genotype data were carefully harmonized and adjustment was carried out for potential confounders.

The first study used the data from the Colon Cancer Family Registry and the Genetics and Epidemiology of Colorectal Cancer Consortium with a total of 8290 CRC cases and 9115 controls from 11 individual studies [34]. NAT2 phenotype was inferred using a single SNP (rs1495741) reported to predict the NAT2 slow phenotype with 99% sensitivity and 95% specificity in whites [21]. Overall, the inferred NAT2 phenotype was not associated with CRC [34]. Red meat intake was collected by each component study using a variety of questionnaires and was found to be associated with risk in a dose-dependent fashion. However, this main effect association was observed only in case-control studies when diet was assessed after diagnosis, not in prospective studies with diet assessed prospectively before diagnosis. Overall, there was no interaction between red meat intake and NAT2, as similar associations with CRC were found with red meat for individuals with the combined rapid/intermediate NAT2 phenotype as for those with the slow NAT2 phenotype (Table 1) (p interaction = 0.99). No result was given separately for the rapid phenotype.

The second study [35] focused on two populations (Japanese and African Americans) with high rates of CRC and with a prevalence of the at-risk, rapid NAT2 phenotype 10- and 2-fold greater than in whites, respectively. Four colorectal cancer studies conducted among Japanese (2217 cases; 3788 controls) and three among African Americans (527 cases; 4527 controls) were meta-analyzed. NAT2 phenotype was inferred from an optimized seven-SNP score [19, 20]. Red meat intake was associated, overall, with risk of CRC (p = 0.001), whereas the genetically-inferred NAT2 phenotype was not. A statistically significant interaction was observed between red meat intake and NAT2 activity in both populations combined (p interaction = 0.03), with the association of red meat with CRC being strongest among individuals with the rapid NAT2 phenotype, intermediate among those with the intermediate phenotype and non-significant among those with slow NAT2 phenotype (Table 1). This interaction was suggested in each population, but more strongly in Japanese [35].

Taken at face value, these two studies would suggest that the modifying effect of NAT2 on the association between red meat intake and CRC may be population specific and may only exist in populations with a high prevalence of the rapid acetylation phenotype, such as Japanese and, to a lesser extent, African Americans. This is biologically plausible since NAT2 appears to play a crucial role in the genotoxicity of HAAs. N-hydroxylated HAA metabolites are substrates for O-acetylation primarily by NAT2 to form reactive N-acetoxy species which can bind to DNA [2]. As the result, cancer risk may be particularly elevated in individuals who are rapid acetylators. Other population differences that may be at play include cooking and eating practices for meat and fish. Most likely, risk differences result from a combination of both genetics and HAA intake levels. On-going efforts to develop biomarkers of long-term exposure to HAAs may prove crucial in clarifying the role of HAA in human cancer.

| Table 1 Association (Odds Ratios and 95% Confidence Intervals) between Red Meat Intake and Colorectal Cancer according to Inferred NAT2 phenotype in two pooled analyses |
| Population | NAT2 | Case/Controls | Quartile 1 | Quartile 2 | Quartile 3 | Quartile 4 | P trend |
| White [34] | S | 4906/5488 | 1.0 | 1.15 | 1.30 | 1.43 | NA |
| | R/I | 3384/3627 | 1.0 | 1.15 | 1.27 | 1.38 | NA |
| | P interaction = 0.97 |
| Japanese + African Americans [35] | S | 295/1057 | 1.0 | 1.28 | 1.04 | 0.92 | 0.53 |
| | I | 1288/4829 | 1.0 | 1.30 | 1.17 | 1.35 | 0.01 |
| | R | 1069/2206 | 1.0 | 1.21 | 1.16 | 1.47 | 0.003 |
| | P interaction = 0.03 |

NAT2 phenotype: S = Slow; I=Intermediate; R = Rapid.
Biomarkers for HAA exposure and intermediate effects

Molecular epidemiology has provided a paradigm to strengthen the evidence for the causal role of a chemical carcinogen in human cancer. The use of biochemical assays to determine the level of exposure and the presence of chemical-specific DNA adducts in target tissues, combined with the correlation of these DNA adducts with specific somatic mutation spectra in tumor-related genes, provides a mechanistic blueprint for the causal role of a chemical in the development of cancer [36].

With regard to biomarkers of exposure, the measurement of the HAA 2-amino-1-methyl-6-phenylimidazo[4,5-b]pyridine (PhIP) in hair, adjusted for melanin hair content, seems to be the most promising for use in molecular epidemiologic studies. PhIP measured in the hair represents an integrated exposure over a time period of weeks to months and has been shown to be relatively constant over time. Feeding studies with well-done red meat have shown a very good correlation between ingested dose and PhIP hair level [37, 38]. However, this hair biomarker has not been found to be predictor of DNA damage in circulating lymphocytes [39]. The development of highly sensitive quantitative methods to measure DNA adducts [2, 40] and a rapid high-throughput method to extract DNA from formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissues [41] which allows measurement of PhIP DNA adducts in widely available archived tissue samples will facilitate the conduct of large-scale studies to measure DNA adduct levels in the target organ in cancer patients.

Conclusion

Much research have been conducted on the role of HAA in human cancer since Dr. Sugimura’s discovery of HAA in his wife’s broiled fish. Colorectal cancer has been the most studied by epidemiologists. The difficulty in assessing HAA exposure from diet has resulted in inconsistent findings. Focusing on genetically susceptible individuals was favored to demonstrate a link with cancer. Two recent large pooled analyses of colorectal cancer studies, one of European-descent individuals, the other of Japanese and African Americans, have suggested that the modifying effect of NAT2 on the association between red meat intake and CRC may be limited to populations with a high prevalence of the rapid acetylation phenotype (e.g., Japanese and African Americans). In those groups, the association of red meat with colorectal cancer was found to be strongest among individuals with the rapid NAT2 phenotype, intermediate among those with the intermediate phenotype and non-significant among those with slow NAT2 phenotype. Recent research on biomarkers have focused on PhIP hair content to assess usual exposure to HAA and on DNA adducts using new sensitive quantitative methods to demonstrate early biological effects. These studies, when matured, have the potential to contribute greatly to the further elucidation of the carcinogenicity of HAA in humans.
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