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ABSTRACT

The article presented an integrated data on organisational retention strategies and commitment of selected ICT and Accounting firms in Nigeria. The study adopted a quantitative approach with a survey research design to establish the major determinants of employee retention strategies. The population of this study included staff and management of the selected firms. Data was analysed with the use of structural equation modelling and the field data set is made widely accessible to enable critical or a more comprehensive investigation. The findings identified critical attraction factors for the retention of sampled firms. It was recommended that ICT firms will need to adopt consistent range of strategies to attract and retain people with the right ICT skills, in the right place and at the right time.
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1. Introduction

Retention of high performing employees is important and is an essential component for success in an increasingly competitive and demanding environment. Today, organizations are becoming more concerned with employee retention but despite their efforts, employees still leave and this becomes worrisome. Hence, the importance of retaining and maintaining committed employees is especially critical for ICT and Accounting firms in Nigeria.

Specification Table

| Subject area | Business, Management |
|--------------|----------------------|
| More Specific Subject Area | Organizational Behaviour and HRM |
| Type of Data | Primary data |
| How Data was Acquired | Through questionnaire |
| Data format | Raw, analyzed, Inferential statistical data |
| Experimental Factors | Population consisted of selected ICT and Accounting firms in Nigeria. The researcher-made questionnaire which contained data on retention strategies and employee commitment. |
| Experimental features | Retention of high performing employees is important and is an essential component for success in an increasingly competitive environment. |
| Data Source Location | Lagos, Nigeria |
| Data Accessibility | Data is included in this article |

Value of data

- The data can be used by managers to properly make decisions that in the long-run would lead to goal attainment in the organization.
- The data can be used to enlighten managers on the importance of retention attributes and how it can be beneficial to the overall wellbeing of the organization.
- The data provides ample knowledge on how different organisational retention attributes can interact effectively by building healthy relationship and sustaining greater commitment.
- Generally, data acquired from this study would be significant for organizational goal achievement, proper building of corporate image which would in turn lead to organizational success.
- The data described in this article is made widely accessible to facilitate critical or extended analysis.

2. Data

The study is quantitative in nature and data were retrieved from staff and management of the sampled firms. The decision to elicit information from the employees and the management group was based on the fact that while employees were often in the best position to describe their real employment relationships and knowledge of retention practices as presented in Fig. 1. The study also adopted the approach recommended by Anderson and Gerbing (1998) to evaluate: (1) measurement model and (2) structural model. To demonstrate the measurement model, we used Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) and the three conditions for CFA loadings indicate firstly, that all scale and measurement items are significant when it exceeds the minimum value criterion of 0.70; second, each construct composite reliability exceeds 0.80 and thirdly, each construct average variance extracted estimate (AVE) exceeds 0.50, as presented in Table 1 and Fig. 2 respectively.

The results of CFA analysis suggest that the factor loadings for all major variables range between 0.820 and 0.981. The three conditions used to assess convergent validity as suggested and recommended by Fornell and Larcker [4] and Bagozzi and Yi (1988) were met. Details of the results are
available in Table 2, which exhibit that the coefficient correlation is highly correlated and are all significant.

Based on the results of the test, it has been proven that the data are good in terms of convergent validity, construct reliability, and discriminant validity. Having run the test, the SEM was obtained, and results of fit indices is shown in Table 3.

Results in Table 3 dictate that the value of $\chi^2$ is within the acceptable range of 1 and 3 as suggested by Brown and Cudeck (1993) and Hu Bentler (1999). On top of that, the incremental fit, NFI, TLI, CFI, and GFI were above 0.90 (Bentler and Bonnet, 1980; Bagozzi and Yi, 1998). Meanwhile, results for standardised regression weights for each variable are stated in Table 4.

All the basic assumptions were acceptable and prove that the data met the conditions of basic assumption in regression analysis.

### 3. Experimental design, materials and methods

Of the 418 copies of questionnaire distributed, 376 responses were received, resulting in a response rate of 89.9%. Members of selected five (5) ICT and five (5) Accounting firms were represented in this study. Data were gathered from directors, managers, assistant managers, scientists, field agents, and other categories of employees across the various ICT and Accounting firms with the aid of a researcher- made questionnaire based on the works of [1–5,6]. The demographic data presented information based on gender, age, education and experience as well as questions related to organisational retention attributes and staff commitment. There was a meaningful relationship between organisational retention attributes and the commitment of staff in the selected firms. The collected data were coded and analysed using SPSS version 22. Data was analysed applying descriptive and inferential statistical tests. Importantly, the study participants were selected based on the following inclusion criteria:
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**Fig. 1.** Retention attributes of the sampled firms.
Inclusion criteria:

- Participants were employees of the sampled ICT and Accounting firms.
- Participants were literate, able to read and write English.
- Participants signed the consent form provided and have worked with the firm for a minimum period of 3 years.
- Participants were accessible as at the time of the survey and interviews.

Table 1
Demonstrated convergent reliability: the researchers used CFA to assess composite reliability and the average variance extracted (AVE) of the specific constructs.

| Measurement                      | Loading | Error Variance | Sum of Variance | Compose Reliability | Ave. Variance Estimated |
|----------------------------------|---------|----------------|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| Organisational Retention Strategies | > 0.7   | < 0.5          | > 0.8           | > 0.5               |
| ORA1                             | 0.956   | 0.9139         | 0.0861          | 2.3885              | 0.9958                  | 0.9045                  |
| ORA2                             | 0.922   | 0.8501         | 0.1499          |                      |                         |                         |
| ORA3                             | 0.948   | 0.8987         | 0.1013          |                      |                         |                         |
| ORA4                             | 0.937   | 0.8780         | 0.1220          |                      |                         |                         |
| ORA5                             | 0.949   | 0.9006         | 0.0994          |                      |                         |                         |
| ORA6                             | 0.927   | 0.8593         | 0.1407          |                      |                         |                         |
| ORA7                             | 0.969   | 0.9390         | 0.0610          |                      |                         |                         |
| ORA8                             | 0.887   | 0.7868         | 0.2132          |                      |                         |                         |
| ORA9                             | 0.908   | 0.8245         | 0.1755          |                      |                         |                         |
| ORA10                            | 0.970   | 0.9409         | 0.0591          |                      |                         |                         |
| ORA11                            | 0.972   | 0.9448         | 0.0552          |                      |                         |                         |
| ORA12                            | 0.981   | 0.9624         | 0.0376          |                      |                         |                         |
| ORA13                            | 0.980   | 0.9604         | 0.0396          |                      |                         |                         |
| ORA14                            | 0.980   | 0.9604         | 0.0396          |                      |                         |                         |
| ORA15                            | 0.971   | 0.9428         | 0.0572          |                      |                         |                         |
| ORA16                            | 0.980   | 0.9604         | 0.0396          |                      |                         |                         |
| ORA17                            | 0.964   | 0.9293         | 0.0707          |                      |                         |                         |
| ORA18                            | 0.975   | 0.9506         | 0.0494          |                      |                         |                         |
| ORA19                            | 0.977   | 0.9545         | 0.0455          |                      |                         |                         |
| ORA20                            | 0.962   | 0.9254         | 0.0746          |                      |                         |                         |
| ORA21                            | 0.940   | 0.8836         | 0.1164          |                      |                         |                         |
| ORA22                            | 0.966   | 0.9332         | 0.0668          |                      |                         |                         |
| ORA23                            | 0.968   | 0.9370         | 0.0630          |                      |                         |                         |
| ORA24                            | 0.820   | 0.6724         | 0.3276          |                      |                         |                         |
| ORA25                            | 0.950   | 0.9025         | 0.0975          |                      |                         |                         |
| Affective Commitment (AC)        |         |                |                 |                     |                         |                         |
| AC1                              | 0.958   | 0.9178         | 0.0822          | 0.6916              | 0.9689                  | 0.8617                  |
| AC2                              | 0.949   | 0.9006         | 0.0994          |                      |                         |                         |
| AC3                              | 0.944   | 0.8911         | 0.1089          |                      |                         |                         |
| AC4                              | 0.927   | 0.8593         | 0.1407          |                      |                         |                         |
| AC5                              | 0.860   | 0.7396         | 0.2604          |                      |                         |                         |
| Normative Commitment (NC)        |         |                |                 |                     |                         |                         |
| NC1                              | 0.925   | 0.8556         | 0.1444          | 0.4993              | 0.9783                  | 0.9001                  |
| NC2                              | 0.977   | 0.9545         | 0.0455          |                      |                         |                         |
| NC3                              | 0.976   | 0.9526         | 0.0474          |                      |                         |                         |
| NC4                              | 0.884   | 0.7815         | 0.2185          |                      |                         |                         |
| NC5                              | 0.978   | 0.9565         | 0.0435          |                      |                         |                         |
| Continuance Commitment (CC)      |         |                |                 |                     |                         |                         |
| CC1                              | 0.937   | 0.8780         | 0.1220          | 0.5736              | 0.9747                  | 0.8853                  |
| CC2                              | 0.951   | 0.9044         | 0.0956          |                      |                         |                         |
| CC3                              | 0.917   | 0.8409         | 0.1591          |                      |                         |                         |
| CC4                              | 0.956   | 0.9139         | 0.0861          |                      |                         |                         |
| CC5                              | 0.943   | 0.8892         | 0.1108          |                      |                         |                         |
As regards retention, items used included: the main reasons for participants agreeing to work within the firm; whether a detailed job description was given on appointment with the organization, and if the job description tallied with the real job done; the existence of a clearly specified daily job description; retention strategies adopted; relevance of regularly conducted trainings/workshops; and the existence of the desire to change jobs. The section on commitment was adapted from a previously validated questionnaire – the Organizational Commitment Questionnaire, OCQ.

Table 2  
Discriminant validity.  

|       | ORA   | AC    | NC    | CC    |
|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| ORA   | 0.9510| 0.3914| 0.2682| 0.1676|
| AC    |       | 0.9283| 0.3341| 0.1193|
| NC    |       |       | 0.9488| 0.6404|
| CC    |       |       |       | 0.9409|

The diagonal values represent the square root of the average variance extracted (AVE) of the specific construct.  
Construct legend: ORA_ Organisational Retention Attributes; AC_ Affective Commitment; NC_ Normative Commitment; CC_ Continuance Commitment.  
⁎⁎ Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed)

As regards retention, items used included: the main reasons for participants agreeing to work within the firm; whether a detailed job description was given on appointment with the organization, and if the job description tallied with the real job done; the existence of a clearly specified daily job description; retention strategies adopted; relevance of regularly conducted trainings/workshops; and the existence of the desire to change jobs. The section on commitment was adapted from a previously validated questionnaire – the Organizational Commitment Questionnaire, OCQ.
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