Effect of mechanically deboned poultry meat content on technological properties and sensory characteristics of lamb and mutton sausages
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Objective: This study aimed to develop a value-added product concerning technological and sensory characteristics changes of the use of mechanically deboned poultry meat (MDPM) as meat replacer in lamb and mutton emulsion-type sausages (mortadella).

Methods: Sausages were produced with lamb and mutton and with different contents of MDPM. Six treatments, using lamb or mutton and 0%, 30%, and 60% of MDPM in relation to the meat batter, were produced and analyzed for pH, proximal composition, calcium and residual nitrite content, water activity, 2-thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS), instrumental color and texture profile. The sensory profile of the mortadella’s was also evaluated by acceptance test and check-all-that-applies (CATA) analysis.

Results: The MDPM addition increased (p<0.05) fat, residual nitrite and calcium content in the all sausage formulations, but mutton sausage had (p<0.05) higher fat and lower moisture content than lamb sausage. The pH, water activity, TBARS index and color was not affected by MDPM additions, while the mutton sausages were significantly redder (higher $a^*$, $C^*$, and lower $L^*$) and darker (lower $L^*$) than lamb sausages. Adding up to 60% of MDPM reduced (p<0.05) sausages hardness and chewiness. Overall, the meat replacement by MDPM increased the sausages acceptance, but the mutton sausage with 30% of MDPM replacer were the most preferred. Consumers related that pink color, glossy appearance, poultry meat-like taste, soft texture, juicy and greasy mouth feel to all sausages contain MDPM according to CATA analysis.

Conclusion: Mutton from culled ewes can be utilized for mortadella production with 30% replacement of lean mutton and fat by MDPM.
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INTRODUCTION

Ovine are distributed all over the world, with an annual growth rate of 1.5% in the latest 5 years. In 2014, the world herd was about 1.2 billion domesticated animals, with a projection of slight growth in 2016 [1]. On a global scale, the estimative for sheep meat production in 2016 is relatively low, with less than 14.4 million tonnes, when compared to beef (68 million tonnes), pork (115 million tonnes), and poultry (117 million tonnes) [2].

In Brazil, irregular supply of sheep meat has been considered the main responsible for its low consumption [3]. Lamb has higher commercial value, mainly due to the young age of this category, with great acceptance by the consumer market [4]. Furthermore, the high fat content, low tenderness and the distinct flavor of mutton [4,5] may result in the decline of its marketing value.
Industrialization of culled sheep carcasses in processed meat products is a strategy to stimulate the consumption of the meat of older animals. Currently, there are some mutton products on the market such as sausages [5-8] and salami [9]. It has also been reported the good characteristics of the dry-cured ham [10] and ham-type pâté [4] prepared of mutton. There is still a lot of effort to develop more products considering the range of products offered using other meats (beef, pork, and poultry) containing different ingredients. Noticeably, the mechanically deboned poultry meat (MDPM) is one of the ingredients that has widely been used in mortadella.

Regardless the related products present themselves as alternative for the consumer market, studies indicating the use of goat or sheep meats mixed with MDPM to prepare their meat emulsions are scarce. The MDPM is reported as raw material with good nutritional and functional properties for use in cooked meat products and its production in poultry industries has become economically important [11]. Despite its composition (higher fat content) and characteristics (rapid oxidation, reddish color, and perishability) that could limit its use in meat products [12], several researches [12-14] have considered the MDPM as potential ingredient to be used in the preparation of emulsified meat products because of its paste form.

Due to low production cost, soft or mushy texture and unique taste of MDPM [12], its incorporation as sausage ingredient offers an alternative to recover product texture and to improve sensory acceptance of the emulsified mutton sausage. Thus, the present study aimed to evaluate the technological effect of the use of different percentages of MDPM as lamb and mutton replacer upon the physicochemical and sensory acceptance of mortadella.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Sausage manufacturing**

**Table 1. Formulations (%) of sheep mortadella’s prepared with different levels* of mechanically deboned poultry meat**

| Ingredients          | Lamb sausage | Mutton sausage |
|----------------------|--------------|---------------|
|                      | L0   | L30 | L60 | M0   | M30 | M60 |
| Sheep meat           | 57   | 36  | 15  | 57   | 36  | 15  |
| Pork fat             | 14   | 14  | 14  | 14   | 14  | 14  |
| MDPM                 | 0    | 21  | 42  | 0    | 21  | 42  |
| Ice water            | 20   | 20  | 20  | 20   | 20  | 20  |
| Cassava starch       | 5    | 5   | 5   | 5    | 5   | 5   |
| Salt (sodium chloride) | 2    | 2   | 2   | 2    | 2   | 2   |
| Polyphosphates       | 0.5  | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5  | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Curing salt (sodium nitrite) | 0.3  | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3  | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Antioxidant (sodium erythorbate) | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| Mortadella’s seasoning | 0.5  | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5  | 0.5 | 0.5 |

MDPM, mechanically deboned poultry meat.

*0%, 30%, and 60% of MDPM in relation to the meat batter (meat+pork fat). L, lamb; M, mutton.
pork backfat were then added, and the mincing was continued at low velocity until the emulsified batter reached 12°C. The emulsify batter was stuffed into polyamide artificial casing (Spel Food Packaging, São Paulo, SP, Brazil) 67 diameter×200 mm long size, using a manual stuffer (Picelli, EP-5 model, Rio Claro, SP, Brazil) to produce sausages with approximately 400 g, clipped at both ends (MGE polyclip system MLE-300 model, São Paulo, SP, Brazil) and cooked in a water bath to an internal temperature of 72°C following a previously established cooking set-up: 55°C for 30 min, 65°C for 30 min, 75°C for 30 min, and 85°C for 30 min. When the endpoint temperature was achieved, the sausages were immediately chilled on ice and stored at 4°C until analysis.

**Chemical composition and physicochemical analysis**

The raw material (lamb, mutton, and MDPM) and sausages samples were subjected to physical and chemical analysis in triplicate, according to the official methods of the AOAC [15]. The proximate composition (%) was estimated by measuring the total moisture (AOAC 950.46B), fat (AOAC 960.39), protein (AOAC 981.10, using 6.25 as conversion factor), and ash (AOAC 950.46) contents. Samples were also analyzed for calcium (% on a dry matter basis; AOAC 975.03) and for residual sodium nitrite (mg NaNO₂/kg of sample; AOAC 973.31).

The pH of the products was measured using a digital pH meter (Digimed model DM20, São Paulo, SP, Brazil) after homogenization of 5 g of sample in 50 mL of distilled water. For water activity (Aw), samples were ground and direct analyzed in an AquaLab CX2 (Decagon Devices, Inc., Pullman, WA, USA) hygrometer. The level of lipid oxidation in the products was assessed by measuring the 2-thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) proposed by Raharjo et al [16], with modifications described by Jorge et al [17]. The concentration of malonaldehyde (MDA) was determined using an analytical curve of 1.1.3.3-tetraethoxypropane and the TBARS values were expressed as mg MDA/kg of sample.

**Color and texture instrumental analysis**

Sausages were tested by instrumental color, using a Minolta CM-700 (Konica Minolta, Tokyo, Japan) colorimeter, and by texture profile analysis (TPA), using a universal Texture Analyzer TA.XT2i (Stable Micro Systems Ltd., Godalming, UK), as describe by Ramos and Gomide [18].

The sausage color was measured by the CIELAB system with a D65 standard illuminant, an observer angle of 10°, aperture of 8 mm and specular component excluded mode. The sausage color was measured by the CIELAB system as describe by Ramos and Gomide [18].

**Sensory evaluation**

Sensory analyses were performed at Sensorial Laboratory at Food Science Department of Federal University of Lavras after approval by the National Research Ethics System (SISNEP, Brazil) under protocol CAAE 0023.0.461.000-11.

To describe the sensory characteristics of each product, the check-all-that-apply (CATA) questions were used according to Jorge et al [17]. Firstly, the CATA questions were defined by 19 untrained participants, consisted of undergraduate and graduate students, with ages ranging from 18 to 30 years old, randomly recruited at UFLA. All participants were frequent consumers (more than twice per week) of mortadella. Cubes of approximately 25 mm edge of each sample were presented in a single testing session (Repertory Grid technique), and judges used an open-ended question to establish the appropriate terms for describing their appearance, flavor and texture. The most mentioned terms for each attribute were chosen to compose the CATA questions (Table 2).

In the second stage, 53 untrained participants, consisting of professors, undergraduate and graduate students, 20 males and 33 females, with ages ranging from 18 to 50 years old, were randomly recruited at UFLA. All participants declared to be mortadella consumers. The sensory analysis was performed

| Appearance | Flavor                        | Texture                        |
|------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Red color  | Poultry meat-like taste       | Firm texture                 |
| Pink color | Oliveine meat-like taste      | Soft texture                 |
| Light color| Bitter aftertaste             | Rubbery texture              |
| Dark color |                                | Juiciness                    |
| Glossiness |                                | Greasiness                   |

Table 2. Terms surveyed for check-all-that-apply (CATA) questions of each sensory attribute
in a single testing session conducted in individual cabins with white light. Sample cubes of approximately 20 mm edge were labeled with a 3-digit code and were offered to the panelist randomly and balanced in a monadic sequence. Mineral water was offered to the panelists for mouth rinsing between sample trials. The panelists received the sensory evaluation form (acceptance test) and evaluated the samples using a hedonic scale of 1 (disliked very much) to 9 (liked very much) for each attribute (flavor, texture and overall impression). In the same form, the panelists were asked to check all the terms of CATA questions (as previously defined, Table 2) considered appropriate to describe each attribute.

Statistical analysis
The experiment was conducted in a completely randomized design in a 2 (lamb and mutton)×3 (0%, 30%, and 60% MDPM) factorial arrangement with three repetitions (batch). The resulting data was tested by F-test (analysis of variance) and, when was significant (p<0.05), the means were separated using Tukey’s test.

For the acceptance test, each attribute was analyzed individually with an internal preference map (IPM), and the attributes of appearance, flavor and texture were simultaneously analyzed by a three-way internal preference map (IPM tri-plot), which is also known as parallel factor analysis (PARAFAC), according to Nunes et al [19].

To identify the relation between the CATA terms selected for each sample, an external preference map (EPM) was used. In EPM the analysis was based in the regression of external descriptors against consumer data (overall impression in this case) for each consumer. According to Elmore et al [20], models with less than 30% of significance could be considered valid to generate the EPM graphic, so only the slopes for consumers that provided valid models (p≤0.30) were plotted on the map.

Statistical analyses were performed using the SAS statistical (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA) package, version 9.2. The IPM and EPM analyses were performed in the Senso-Maker statistical software (Lavras, MG, Brazil), version 1.5.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Physicochemical characteristics
The proximate composition and the physicochemical characteristics of sausages are shown in Table 3. No significant interaction was observed between the type of meat (lamb or mutton) and the levels of meat replacement by MDPM for any sausage characteristic. However, the samples from lamb had (p<0.05) higher moisture and lower fat contents than mutton sausages. This was due to the higher moisture (74.02%±0.76%) and lower fat (6.13%±0.50%) content observed (p<0.05) in lamb than in mutton (72.26%±0.96% of moisture and 8.42%±0.86% of fat). A similar behavior was observed with increasing amount of MDPM, which had (p<0.05) higher contents of fat (17.61% vs 7.28%) and lower content in protein (13.23% vs 17.97%) and water (67.57% vs 73.14%) than sheep meats, causing the observed changes of these constituents in the sausages. Guerra et al [5] also observed a decrease in the moisture and protein levels in goat mortadella due to increased lipid percentages.

Although all elaborated samples were within the limits established by the Brazilian legislation [21] for moisture (maximum of 65%) and fat (maximum of 30%) content, the minimum amount of 12% of protein was not achieved in the mortadella with MDPM added. However, sausages may also be added of non-meat proteins, such as caseinates, whey and

Table 3. Proximate composition and physicochemical characteristics (mean values±standard deviations) of sheep mortadella’s prepared with different levels of mechanically deboned poultry meat

| Characteristics     | Meat type | MDPM (%) | Pr>F<sup>2</sup> | S | M | S×M |
|---------------------|-----------|----------|------------------|---|---|-----|
|                     |           |          | S<br>0 | S<br>30 | S<br>60 |       |
| Moisture (%)        |           |          | 0.001 | 0.033 | 0.143 |       |
| Protein (%)         |           |          | 0.368 | <0.001 | 0.327 |       |
| Fat (%)             |           |          | 0.008 | 0.001 | 0.846 |       |
| Ash (%)             |           |          | 0.628 | 0.218 | 0.637 |       |
| Calcium (% DMB)     |           |          | 0.733 | <0.001 | 0.663 |       |
| pH                  |           |          | 0.227 | 0.832 | 0.801 |       |
| Water activity      |           |          | 0.091 | 0.626 | 0.953 |       |
| Residual nitrite (mg/kg) |      |          | 0.773 | <0.001 | 0.874 |       |
| TBARS value (mg MDA/kg) |      |          | 0.974 | 0.279 | 0.883 |       |

MDPM, mechanically deboned poultry meat; DMB, dry matter basis; TBARS, thiobarbituric acid reactive substances; MDA, malonaldehyde.
1 In relation to the meat batter (meat+porc fat).
2 Factors: S, sheep meat; M, MDPM. Significant probabilities (p<0.05) were placed in bold.
3 Means in the same row, into each effect, followed by different letters differ (p<0.05).
soy proteins, to a maximum of 4% as prescribed by the legislation, which may contribute to increase the protein content.

Regarding to the calcium content, all samples were in accordance with Brazilian legislation [21], which allows 0.6% and 0.9% on a dry basis as maximum limits for mortadella’s with 40% and 60% of MDPM added, respectively. Moreover, the calcium content in the sheep meat (0.03%) was much lower than in MDPM (1.16%), which explains the observed increase (p<0.05) of this mineral in mortadella’s formulated with higher levels of MDPM. The amount of calcium in meat products has been used to monitoring the mechanically deboned meat addition [17].

The water activity (Aw = 0.97±0.01), pH (6.43±0.16), and TBARS values (0.63±0.28 mg MDA/kg) of the mortadella were not (p>0.05) affected by any of the evaluated factors (meat type and MDPM levels), being similar to those (Aw = 0.97; pH = 6.29; and TBARS = 0.50 mg MDA/kg) observed by Dutra et al [4] in cooked ham-type pâté elaborated with mutton. Only residual nitrite levels were gradually increased (p<0.05) when meat was replaced by MDPM, not being affected by the type of sheep meat. The nitrite added is partly transformed to other nitrogen-containing compounds and bound to myoglobin and other meat constituents, as sulfhydryl compounds [22,23]. Therefore, the higher residual nitrite levels in the products added with MDPM may be explained based on the higher abundance of heme pigment expected in sheep meat, specially mutton, than in poultry, even mechanically deboned, inducing higher nitrosylheme formation and lower levels of residual nitrite. Shahidi and Pegg [24] reported twice as many heme pigment content in lamb (2.1 mg/g) than in mechanically deboned chicken meat (1.0 mg/g).

Color and texture characteristics

Table 4 shows the color and texture characteristics of sheep sausages prepared with different levels of MDPM. No significant interaction was observed between the type of sheep meat and MDPM levels for both characteristics. The substitution of sheep meat with MDPM did not significantly affect the Commission Internationale de l’Eclairage (CIE) color indexes of the products (Table 4). This finding was not consistent with reports from others [5,12,25] who stated that sausages become darker (lower L* values) when the fat content is reduced. According to Pietrasik [25], besides the fat content, the color of frankfurter-type products is also influenced by the added water and heme pigment content of the meat which they are made. Pereira et al [12] reported that redness (a*) and chroma (c*) values increased with the high content of heme pigments in the sausage formulation. However, as previously described, the fat content of the final product was increased (Table 3) as the MDPM was added to the sausage formulation.

Nevertheless, the differences in the heme pigment content between the lamb and mutton can explain the differences observed (p<0.05) in the sausages colors made with them (Table 4). The increased weight and age at slaughter tend to increase the amount of pigments and red color and to reduce luminosity (L*) of meats [26]. Thus, sausages made from mutton were darker (lower L* values) and more reddish (lower h° values), with more intense color (higher c° values). Shahidi and Pegg [23] reported that the increase in myoglobin content in cured products causes a decrease in L* and h° values and a corresponding increase in c° values.

For the texture parameters, replacements above 30% of sheep meat by MDPM in the sausages formulations reduced

---

**Table 4.** Instrumental color and texture characteristics (mean values±standard deviations) of sheep mortadella’s prepared with different levels1 of mechanically deboned poultry meat

| Characteristics       | Meat type | MDPM (%) | Pr>F*2 | S | M | S×M |
|-----------------------|-----------|----------|--------|---|---|-----|
| **Lamb**              |           |          |        |   |   |     |
| Lightness (L°)        | 59.66 ± 1.63a | 56.99 ± 2.38b | 58.33 ± 3.62 | 58.62 ± 2.05 | 58.03 ± 1.47 | 0.032 | 0.911 | 0.945 |
| Redness (a°)          | 10.75 ± 1.36b | 13.32 ± 2.66a | 11.96 ± 2.48 | 12.62 ± 3.54 | 11.53 ± 0.89 | 0.027 | 0.685 | 0.329 |
| Yellowness (b°)       | 11.90 ± 0.93 | 12.46 ± 0.85 | 11.69 ± 1.04 | 12.51 ± 0.96 | 12.34 ± 0.62 | 0.221 | 0.313 | 0.852 |
| Chroma (c°)           | 16.05 ± 1.43b | 18.29 ± 2.40a | 16.76 ± 2.46 | 17.87 ± 3.02 | 16.88 ± 0.97 | 0.038 | 0.599 | 0.406 |
| Hue angle (h°)        | 48.00 ± 2.74a | 43.52 ± 4.17b | 44.81 ± 3.74 | 45.51 ± 6.19 | 46.97 ± 1.68 | 0.021 | 0.576 | 0.317 |
| **Mutton**            |           |          |        |   |   |     |
| Lightness (L°)        | 45.45 ± 5.01 | 56.99 ± 2.38 | 58.33 ± 3.62 | 58.62 ± 2.05 | 58.03 ± 1.47 | 0.032 | 0.911 | 0.945 |
| Redness (a°)          | 12.62 ± 0.34 | 15.59 ± 2.92 | 18.00 ± 1.77 | 16.91 ± 1.82 | 12.62 ± 3.4a | 0.517 | <0.001 | 0.948 |
| Yellowness (b°)       | 0.73 ± 0.01a | 0.69 ± 0.03b | 0.71 ± 0.02 | 0.70 ± 0.04 | 0.72 ± 0.01 | 0.004 | 0.149 | 0.247 |
| Chroma (c°)           | 15.51 ± 4.71 | 13.15 ± 3.87 | 12.8 ± 3.47 | 16.91 ± 4.72 | 13.82 ± 4.10 | 0.271 | 0.211 | 0.879 |
| Hue angle (h°)        | 5.01 ± 0.49 | 5.12 ± 0.33 | 4.87 ± 0.33 | 5.31 ± 0.14 | 5.01 ± 0.58 | 0.592 | 0.228 | 0.889 |
| Chewing (N/mm)        | 58.47 ± 11.04 | 55.30 ± 10.61 | 62.46 ± 7.66 | 62.73 ± 8.08b | 45.45 ± 5.01b | 0.388 | 0.002 | 0.818 |

---

1. Regarding to the meat batter (meat+pork fat).
2. Factors: S, sheep meat; M, MDPM. Significant probabilities (p<0.05) were placed in bold.
3. Means in the same row, into each effect, followed by different letters differ (p<0.05).
(p<0.05) the hardness and chewiness of the products without affecting other attributes (Table 4). The hardness reduction with the addition of MDPM in pork sausage was observed by Pereira et al [12]. Similar results were found by Daros et al [27], who observed that the use of MDPM to 60% in the preparation of sausage caused significant loss of compressive strength, and moderate addition of MDPM results in higher production strain and modulus of elasticity. Other authors [28] reported that 5% to 10% of flesh protein per MDPM caused a decrease in performance and flexibility, and the addition of MDPM in the mix seems to result in lower uniformity in the texture. The sausage prepared with the lamb showed greater cohesiveness (p<0.05) than that elaborated with mutton. This result may be due to the difference in fat content among the meat types (lamb had 12% less fat than mutton). According to Pietrasik [25], cohesiveness tends to decrease as fat content increases. However, in the present study it was not observed any variation in cohesiveness parameter with MDPM addition in the sausages which promoted an increase in fat content and decrease of protein content as reported by Pereira et al [12]. On the other hand, Colmenero et al [29] also reported non-effect of fat content on cohesiveness of the sausages prepared with different fat content.

Sensory analysis

The majority of the judges were female (62.26%). In addition, 69.81% of the judges were between 18 and 30 years old, 20.75% were between 31 and 45 years old and 9.44% were between 46 and 50 years old. Moreover, 66.0% of the judges reported that they consumed mortadella once per week, 4.8% twice a week, 23.0% twice a month, 5.0% approximately once a month and 1.2% did not refer their frequency of mortadella consumption. None judges referred that they consumed mortadella daily.

Acceptance test: All the samples had typical sensory characteristics of mortadella based on the Identity and Quality Standards established by the Brazilian legislation [21]. Overall, the scores attributed to the sausages were between 6 (“slightly liked”) and 7 (“moderately liked”), which indicates a good acceptance of the product.

The sausage acceptance data were used to create an IPM for appearance, flavor and texture attributes and a PARAFAC plot (Figure 1), where the correlations between the samples, consumers and sensory attributes are shown simultaneously. For all parameters, the first two principal components (PCs) of the IPM explained approximately 55% of the variance in the data. In addition, the Core Consistency Diagnostic (CORCONDIA) of the PARAFAC model explained 75.89% of the correlation between these two factors. Nunes et al [30] reported that at least 45% of variance in the data and a 64% of CORCONDIA indicated that the model was adequate.

The PARAFAC plot resulted in spatial separation, which formed four distinct groups of samples. The two PC1 and PC2 clearly showed that samples M30 and L60 were the most preferred by consumers for all of the attributes, followed by samples M60 and L30. Samples without MDPM (L0 and M0) were less preferred and placed into two separate groups. These results indicate that increasing MDPM levels generally improved the sensory properties of sausages elaborated with sheep meat. Pereira et al [14] also observed that higher additions of MDPM in emulsified sausages implied higher acceptance scores by consumers. This is probably due to the fact that Brazilian consumers frequently eat processed meat products with a high amount of this ingredient, since Brazilian legislation allows the addition up to 60% of MDPM in emulsified products [21]. However, it is interesting to note that for mutton sausages the improvement in sensorial attributes was greater with the addition of 30% of MDPM. Also, by PARAFAC, it is observed that consumers preferred sausages made with mutton than those made with lamb.

Perhaps no characteristic of meat and meat products, with the possible exception of texture, is so important to consumer acceptance as is flavor. There is a consensus that as animal age increases so does the intensity of flavor and this may be due to higher concentrations of muscle lipid [31]. Butler-Hogg and Francombe [32] found that meat from lamb at the age of 16 weeks was less flavorful than meat from lambs (hogget) at 43 weeks of age. Thus, the greater acceptance of mutton sausages can be due to the greater amount of fat present in the meat and a greater deposition of aromatic compounds throughout the animal’s life.

For the acceptance test by IPM, the spatial separation of the overall impression attribute resulted in group of samples different from those observed in PARAFAC plot: samples with 30% replacement of meat by MDPM (L30 and M30) were the most preferred, followed by samples M0 and L60 grouped together, by M60 sample and at last preferred L0. The samples grouped by this form was not observed in other sensorial attributes. This is due to the fact that each consumer weighted the appearance, flavor and texture attributes differently when determining his or her overall impression. However, despite the grouping differences, it is observed that for all attributes the mutton sausage containing 30% of MDPM (M30) was the most preferred and the lamb sausage the least preferred (L0). Also, in general, the lower preference for the non-added MDPM samples observed in PARAFAC appears to be especially due to texture and, to a lesser extent, to flavor.

Check-all-that-apply (CATA): The EPM was generated from the number of times that the consumers associated each of the 13 sensory terms of the CATA questions (Table 2) with the samples and overall impression scores from acceptance test. According to Elmore et al [20], models with less than 30% of significance could be considered valid to generate the EPM graphic. Therefore, only the slopes for 24 consumers (of the
53 participants) that provided valid models (p<0.25) were plotted on the map upon two PCs (Figure 2).

The PC plots show the relative positions of the samples and factor loadings indicate the attributes that best describe the dimensions of the perceptive space. Combined, the PC1 and PC2 accounted for 81.72% of the total variance in the data after fitting by the vector model with a coefficient of determination ($R^2$) of 0.7655.

First, the spatial separation of the samples with MDPM added (L30, L60, M30, and M60) could be grouped together against sausages with only sheep meat (L0 and M0). The samples with added MDPM were distinguished in the first PC by the perceived poultry meat taste, by its greasy, juicy and soft texture, and by its pink color, glossy and uniform appearance. Unfortunately, the bitter aftertaste, the red/dark color and the firm, rubbery and porous texture (very rigid protein matrix) were perceived in the sheep sausages. Moreover, the dispersion of consumer acceptance vectors indicates that the formula-
tions containing MDPM were most accepted than the sheep sausages, corroborating what was observed in the acceptance data by the IPM and PRAFAC plots.

By the EPM spatial separation it is also possible to form five distinct groups of samples, which had different acceptances according to the graphic vectors. Samples L60 and M60 were grouped together and the others samples individually placed into four separate groups. The M30 sample was more accepted by consumers, and was different from the other sausages added by MDPM due to a lower association with the perception of the sample with rancid and poultry meat taste, soft texture and darker/reddish color.

CONCLUSION

The results showed that a high-quality value-added sheep product can be produced using lamb or mutton and with MDPM added, since all the formulations were sensorially well accepted. The results also indicate that the increase in meat substitution by MDPM improved the sensory properties of the sheep sausages, with little modification in the physicochemical and technological characteristics of the products. Moreover, consumers preferred mortadellas made from mutton than those made with lamb. Therefore, the use of mutton meat in the preparation of mortadella allows getting the most from this low-cost raw material, and the addition of 30% of MDPM resulted in a processed meat product with better acceptance and similar properties as those of lamb.
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