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In 1926, Julia [5] studied singularities of implicit functions defined by equations $f(z, w) = 0$, where $f$ is an entire function of two variables. Among other things, he investigated the exceptional set $P$ consisting of those $w$ for which such equation has no solutions $z$. In other words, $P$ is the complement of the projection of the analytic set $\{(z, w) : f(z, w) = 0\}$ onto the second coordinate. Julia proved that $P$ is closed and cannot contain a continuum, unless it coincides with $w$-plane. Lelong [6] and Tsuji [12], [13, Thm. VIII.37] independently improved this result by showing that the logarithmic capacity of $P$ is zero if $P \neq \mathbb{C}$. In the opposite direction, Julia [5] proved that every discrete set $P \subset \mathbb{C}$ can occur as the exceptional set. He writes: “Resteraît à voir si cet ensemble, sans être continu, peut avoir la puissance du continu”.

According to Alan Sokal (private communication), the same question arises in holomorphic dynamics, when one tries to extend to holomorphic families of transcendental entire functions the results of Lyubich [8] on holomorphic families of rational functions.

In this paper, we show that in general, the result of Lelong and Tsuji is best possible: every closed set of zero capacity can occur as an exceptional set (Theorem 1). Then we study a related problem of dependence of Picard exceptional values of the function $z \mapsto f(z, w)$ on the parameter $w$ (Theorem 2).

It is known that the exceptional set $P$ is discrete in the important case that $z \mapsto f(z, w)$ are functions of finite order. This was discovered by Lelong
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1It remains to see whether this set, without being a continuum, can have the power of continuum.
in [6] and later the result was generalized to the case of multi-dimensional parameter \( w \) in [7, Thm. 3.44].

We also mention that the set \( P \) has to be analytic in certain holomorphic families of entire functions with finitely many singular values, considered in [1] [2]. These families may consist of functions of infinite order.

We begin with a simple proof of a version of Lelong’s theorem on functions of finite order.

**Proposition 1.** Let \( D \) be a complex manifold, and \( f : \mathbb{C} \times D \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \) an analytic function, such that the entire functions \( z \mapsto f(z, w) \) are not identically equal to zero and are of finite order for all \( w \in D \). Then the set
\[
P = \{ w \in D : (\forall z \in \mathbb{C}) f(z, w) \neq 0 \}
\]
is analytic.

**Corollary.** Let \( D \) be a region in \( \mathbb{C} \), and \( f : \mathbb{C} \times D \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \) an analytic function, such that entire functions \( z \mapsto f(z, w) \) are of finite order for all \( w \in D \). Then the set \( P \) as in (1) is discrete or \( P = D \).

Indeed, the set \( A = \{ w \in D : f(., w) \equiv 0 \} \) is discrete (unless \( f = 0 \) when there is nothing to prove). So there exists a function \( g \) holomorphic in \( D \) whose zero set is \( A \), and such that \( f/g \) satisfies all conditions of Proposition 1.

\( \square \)

**Remarks.**

1. In general, when \( D \) is of dimension greater than one, and the set \( A \) is not empty, one can only prove that \( A \cup P \) is contained in a proper analytic subset of \( D \), unless \( A \cup P = D \), [7, Thm 3.44].

2. If the order of \( f(., w) \) is finite for all \( w \in D \) then this order is bounded on compact subsets of \( D \) [7, Thm. 1.41].

**Proof of Proposition 1.** We assume without loss of generality that
\[
f(0, w) \neq 0 \quad \text{for} \quad w \in D. \tag{2}
\]
(shift the origin in \( \mathbb{C} \) and shrink \( D \), if necessary), and that the order of the function \( f(., w) \) does not exceed \( \lambda \) for all \( w \in D \) (see Remark 2 above).

Let \( p \) be an integer, \( p > \lambda \). Then, for each \( w \), \( f \) has the Weierstrass representation
\[
f(z, w) = e^{c_0 + \ldots + c_p z^p} \prod_{a : f(a, w) = 0} \left( 1 - \frac{z}{a} \right)^{e^{z/a + \ldots + z^p/pa^p}},
\]
where $a$ are the zeros of $f(., w)$ repeated according to their multiplicities, and $c_j$ and $a$ depend on $w$. Taking the logarithmic derivative, differentiating it $p$ times, and substituting $z = 0$, we obtain for each $w \in D$:

$$F_p(w) = \frac{d^p}{dz^p} \left( \frac{df}{f dz} \right) \bigg|_{z=0} = p! \sum_{a : f(a,w) = 0} a^{-p-1}.$$

The series in the right hand side is absolutely convergent because of our choice of $p$. The functions $F_p$ are holomorphic in $D$, in view of (2). Clearly $w \in P$ implies $F_p(w) = 0$ for all $p > \lambda$. In the opposite direction, $F_p(w) = 0$ for all $p > \lambda$ means that all but finitely many derivatives with respect to $z$ at $z = 0$ of the function $df/fdz$ meromorphic in $\mathbb{C}$ are equal to zero, so this meromorphic function is a polynomial, and thus $f(z, w) = \exp(c_0 + \ldots + c_p z^p)$, that is $w \in P$. 

The following result is due to Lelong and Tsuji but they state it only for the case $\dim D = 1$, and we need a multi-dimensional version in the proof of Theorem 2 below.

**Proposition 2.** Let $D$ be a connected complex manifold, and $f : \mathbb{C} \times D \to \mathbb{C}$ an analytic function, such that the entire functions $z \mapsto f(z, w)$ are not identically equal to zero. Then the set

$$P = \{ w \in D : (\forall z \in \mathbb{C}) f(z, w) \neq 0 \}$$

is closed and pluripolar or coincides with $D$.

**Proof.** Suppose that $P \neq D$. It is enough to show that every point $w_0 \in D$ has a neighborhood $U$ such that $P \cap U$ is pluripolar. By shifting the origin in $\mathbb{C}_z$ and shrinking $U$ we may assume that $f(0, w) \neq 0$ for all $w \in U$. Let $r(w)$ be the smallest of the moduli of zeros of the entire function $z \mapsto f(z, w)$. If this function has no zeros, we set $r(w) = +\infty$. We are going to prove that $\log r$ is a continuous plurisuperharmonic function.

First we prove continuity of $r : U \to (0, +\infty)$. Indeed, suppose that $r(w_0) < \infty$, and let $k$ be the number of zeros of $f(., w_0)$ on $|z| = r(w_0)$, counting multiplicity. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be so small that the number of zeros of $f(., w_0)$ in $|z| \leq r(w_0) + \epsilon$ equals $k$. Then

$$\int_{|z| = r(w_0) + \epsilon} \frac{df}{f dz} dz = \begin{cases} 2\pi ik, \\ 0. \end{cases}$$
As the integrals depend on \(w\) continuously, we conclude that \(r\) is continuous at \(w_0\). Consideration of the case \(r(w_0) = +\infty\) is similar.

Now we verify that the restriction of \(\log r\) to any complex line is superharmonic. Let \(\zeta \mapsto w(\zeta)\) be the equation of such line, where \(w(0) = w_0\). Let \(z_0\) be a zero of \(f(., w_0)\) of the smallest modulus. We verify the inequality for the averages of \(\log r\) over the circles \(|\zeta| = \delta\), where \(\delta\) is small enough. According to the Weierstrass Preparation theorem, the set \(Q = \{(z, \zeta) : f(z, w(\zeta)) = 0\}\) is given in a neighborhood of \((z_0, 0)\) by an equation of the form

\[
(z - z_0)^p + b_{p-1}(\zeta)(z - z_0)^{p-1} + \ldots + b_0(\zeta) = 0,
\]

where \(b_j\) are analytic functions in a neighborhood of \(0\), \(b_j(0) = 0\). We rewrite this as

\[
z^p + c_{p-1}(\zeta)z^{p-1} + \ldots + c_0(\zeta) = 0, \tag{3}
\]

where \(c_j\) are analytic functions, and

\[
c_0(0) = (-z_0)^p. \tag{4}
\]

Let \(V\) be a punctured disc around \(0\); we choose its radius so small that \(c_0(\zeta) \neq 0\) in \(V\). For \(\zeta\) in \(V\), let \(z_i(\zeta)\), \(i = 1, \ldots, p\) be the branches of the multi-valued function \(z(\zeta)\) defined by equation (3). Then

\[
\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \log r(w(\delta e^{i\theta}))d\theta \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \min_i |z_i(\delta e^{i\theta})|d\theta
\]

\[
\leq \frac{1}{2\pi p} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \log \prod_i |z_i(\delta e^{i\theta})|d\theta = \frac{1}{2\pi p} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \log |c_0(\delta e^{i\theta})|d\theta
\]

\[
= \log |z_0| = \log r(w_0),
\]

where we used (4) and harmonicity of \(\log |c_0|\) in \(V \cup \{0\}\). This completes the proof of plurisuperharmonicity. As \(P = \{w : \log r(w) = +\infty\}\) we conclude that \(P\) is pluripolar. \(\square\)

Our first theorem answers the question of Julia; it shows that the restriction of finiteness of order cannot be removed in Proposition 1, and that Proposition 2 is best possible, at least when \(\dim D = 1\).

**Theorem 1.** Let \(D \subset \mathbb{C}\) be the unit disc, and \(P\) an arbitrary compact subset of \(D\) of zero capacity. Then there exists a holomorphic function \(f : \mathbb{C} \times D \to \)
such that for every \( w \in P \) the equation \( f(z, w) = 0 \) has no solutions, and for each \( w \in D \setminus P \) it has infinitely many solutions.

It is not clear whether a similar result holds with multidimensional parameter space \( D \) and arbitrary closed pluripolar set \( P \subset D \).

**Proof.** Let \( \phi : D \to D \setminus P \) be a universal covering. Let \( S \) be the set of singular points of \( \phi \) on the unit circle. Then \( S \) is a closed set of zero Lebesgue measure.

(We recall a simple proof of this fact. As a bounded analytic function, \( \phi \) has radial limits almost everywhere. It is easy to see that a point where the radial limit has absolute value 1 is not a singular point of \( \phi \). Thus the radial limits exist and belong to \( P \) almost everywhere on \( S \). Let \( u \) be the “Evans potential” of \( P \), that is a harmonic function in \( D \setminus P \), continuous in \( \overline{D} \) and such that \( u(\zeta) = 0 \) for \( \zeta \in \partial D \) and \( u(\zeta) = -\infty \) for \( \zeta \in P \). Such function exists for every compact set \( P \) of zero capacity. Now \( v = u \circ \phi \) is a negative harmonic function in the unit disc, whose radial limits on \( S \) are equal to \(-\infty\), thus \( |S| = 0 \) by the classical uniqueness theorem.)

According to a theorem of Fatou, (see, for example, [3, Ch. VI]), for every set \( S \) of zero Lebesgue measure on \( \partial D \), there exists a holomorphic function \( g \) in \( D \), continuous in \( \overline{D} \) and such that

\[
\{ \zeta \in \overline{D} : g(\zeta) = 0 \} = S.
\]

In particular, \( g \) has no zeros in \( D \).

Now we define the following set \( Q \subset \mathbb{C} \times D \):

\[
Q = \{ (1/g(\zeta), \phi(\zeta)) : \zeta \in D \}.
\]

It is evident that the projection of \( Q \) on the second coordinate equals \( D \setminus P \). It remains to prove that the set \( Q \) is analytic. For this, it is enough to establish that the map

\[
\Phi : D \to \mathbb{C} \times D, \quad \Phi(\zeta) = (1/g(\zeta), \phi(\zeta))
\]

is proper. Let \( K \subset \mathbb{C} \times D \) be a compact subset. Then the closure of \( \Phi^{-1}(K) \) in \( \overline{D} \) is disjoint from \( S \) because \( g \) is continuous and \( 1/g(\zeta) \to \infty \) as \( \zeta \to S \). On the other hand, for every point \( \zeta \in \partial D \setminus S \), the limit

\[
\lim_{\zeta \to \zeta_0} \phi(\zeta)
\]
exists and has absolute value 1. So $\Phi^{-1}(K)$ is compact in $D$.

Now the existence of the required function $f$ follows from the solvability of the Second Cousin problem [4, sect. 5.6]. $\square$

Notice that the map $\Phi$ constructed in the proof is an immersion.

We recall that a point $a \in \mathbb{C}$ is called an exceptional value of an entire function $f$ if the equation $f(z) = a$ has no solutions. Picard’s Little theorem says that a non-constant entire function can have at most one exceptional value.

Let $f$ be an entire function of $z$ depending of the parameter $w$ holomorphically, as in Propositions 1 and 2, and assume in the rest of the paper that for all $w \in D$, $f(., w) \neq \text{const}$.

Let $n(w) \in \{0, 1\}$ be the number of exceptional values of $f(., w)$.

**Question 1.** What can be said about $n(w)$ as a function of $w$?

**Example 1.** $f(z, w) = e^z + wz$. We have $n(0) = 1$ and $n(w) = 0$ for $w \neq 0$.

**Example 2.** $f(z, w) = (e^{wz} - 1)/w$ for $w \neq 0$, and $f(z, 0) = z$. We have $n(0) = 0$, while $n(w) = 1$ for $w \neq 0$. The exceptional value $a(w) = -1/w$ tends to infinity as $w \to 0$.

Thus $n$ is neither upper nor lower semicontinuous.

**Example 3.** Let

$$f(z, w) = \int_{-\infty}^{z} (\zeta + w)e^{-\zeta^2/2}d\zeta,$$

where the contour of integration consists of the negative ray, passed left to right, followed by a curve from 0 to $z$. We have $f(z, 0) = -e^{-z^2/2}$, which has exceptional value 0, so $n(0) = 1$. It is easy to see that there are no exceptional values for $w \neq 0$, so $n(w) = 0$ for $w \neq 0$. Thus $n(w)$ is the same as in Example 1, but this time we have an additional feature that the set of singular values of $f(., w)$ is finite for all $w \in \mathbb{C}$, namely, there is one critical value $f(-w, w)$ and two asymptotic values, 0 and $\sqrt{2\pi w}$.

**Question 2.** Suppose that $n(w) \equiv 1$, and let $a(w)$ be the exceptional value of $f(., w)$. What can be said about $a(w)$ as a function of $w$?
For functions of finite order, Question 2 was addressed by Nishino [9] who proved the following:

Let \( f \) be an entire function of two variables such that \( z \mapsto f(z, w) \) is a non-constant function of finite order for all \( w \). If \( n(w) = 1 \) for all \( w \) in some set having a finite accumulation point, then there exists a meromorphic function \( \tilde{a}(w) \) such that \( a(w) = \tilde{a}(w) \) when \( a(w) \neq \infty \), and \( f(., w) \) is a polynomial when \( \tilde{a}(w) = \infty \).

**Example 4.** (Nishino) Let \( f(z, w) = (e^{we^z} - 1)/w, w \neq 0 \) and \( f(z, 0) = e^z \). Then \( f \) is an entire function of two variables and \( n(w) \equiv 1 \). However \( a(w) = -1/w, w \neq 0 \) and \( a(0) = 0 \), so \( a \) is a discontinuous function of \( w \).

Nishino also proved that for arbitrary entire function \( f \) of two variables, with \( n(w) = 1 \) in some region \( D \), the set of discontinuity of the function \( a(w) \) is closed and nowhere dense in \( D \).

Our Theorem 2 below gives a complete answer to Question 2. We first prove the following semi-continuity property of the set of exceptional values which holds for all meromorphic functions holomorphically depending on parameter. We always assume that \( z \mapsto f(z, w) \) is non-constant for all \( w \).

Denote \( A(w) = \{ a \in \mathbb{C} : (\forall z \in \mathbb{C}) f(z, w) \neq a \} \).

**Proposition 3.** For every \( w_0 \in D \) and every \( \epsilon > 0 \) there exists \( \delta > 0 \) such that \( |w - w_0| < \delta \) implies that \( A(w) \) is contained in the \( \epsilon \)-neighborhood of \( A(w_0) \) with respect to the spherical metric.

**Proof.** Let \( U \) be the open \( \epsilon \)-neighborhood of \( A(w_0) \). Then \( K = \overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus U \) is compact, so there exists \( r > 0 \) such that the image of the disc \( |z| < r \) under \( f(., w_0) \) contains \( K \). Then by Hurwitz’s theorem, for every \( w \) close enough to \( w_0 \) the image of the disc \( |z| < r \) under \( f(., w) \) will also contain \( K \). \( \square \)

**Corollary 1.** The set of meromorphic functions having no exceptional values on the Riemann sphere is open in the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets of \( \mathbb{C} \) with respect to the spherical metric in the image.

The set of entire functions whose only exceptional value is \( \infty \) is not open as Example 2 above shows.

**Corollary 2.** Suppose that \( f(., w) \) is entire and has an exceptional value \( a(w) \in \mathbb{C} \) for all \( w \) on some subset \( E \subset D \). If \( a(w) \) is bounded on \( E \) then its restriction on \( E \) is continuous.
Example 4 shows that $a$ can be discontinuous.

**Theorem 2.** Let $f : C \times D \to C$, be a holomorphic function, where $D$ is a region in $C$, and $z \mapsto f(z,w)$ is not constant for all $w \in D$. Assume that for some function $a : D \to C$ we have $f(z,w) \neq a(w)$ for all $z \in C$.

Then there exists a discrete set $E \subset D$ such that $a$ is holomorphic in $D \setminus E$, and $a(w) \to \infty$ as $w \to w_0$, for every $w_0 \in E$.

So the singularities of $a$ can be only of the type described in Example 4.

The main ingredient of the proof of Theorem 2 is the following recent result of N. Shcherbina [11]:

**Theorem A.** Let $h$ be a continuous function in a region $G \in C^n$. If the graph of $h$ is a pluripolar subset of $C^{n+1}$ then $h$ is analytic.

**Proof of Theorem 2.** Consider the analytic set

$$Q = \{(z,w,a) \in C_z \times D \times C_a : f(z,w) - a = 0\}.$$  

Let $R$ be the complement of the projection of $Q$ onto $D \times C_a$. Then it follows from the assumptions of Theorem 2 and Picard’s theorem that $R$ is the graph of the function $w \mapsto a(w)$. So $R$ is a non-empty proper subset of $D \times C_a$.

Proposition 1 implies that $R$ is closed in $D$.

According to Proposition 2, $R$ is pluripolar.

It follows from Proposition 3 that $w \mapsto |a(w)|$ is lower semi-continuous, so the sets

$$E_n = \{w \in D : |a(w)| \leq n\}, \text{ where } n = 1, 2, 3 \ldots$$

are closed. We have $E_1 \subset E_2 \subset \ldots$, and the assumptions of Theorem 2 imply that $D = \cup E_j$. Let $E_j^0$ be the interiors of $E_j$, then $E_1^0 \subset E_2^0 \subset \ldots$, and the set $G = \cup E_j^0$ is open.

We claim that $G$ is dense in $D$. Indeed, otherwise there would exist a disc $U \subset D$ which is disjoint from $G$. But then the closed sets $E_j \setminus E_j^0$ with empty interiors would cover $D$, which is impossible by the Baire category theorem. This proves the claim.

As $a$ is locally bounded on $G$, Corollary 2 of Proposition 3 implies that $a$ is continuous in $G$, so by Shcherbina’s theorem, $a$ is analytic in $G$. It follows from the definition of $G$ that $a$ does not have an analytic continuation from any component of $G$ to any boundary point of $G$. Our goal is to prove that $D \setminus G$ consists of isolated points.
If \( G \) has an isolated boundary point \( w_0 \) then we have

\[
\lim_{w \to w_0} a(w) = \infty.
\] (5)

Indeed, by Proposition 3, the limit set of \( a(w) \) as \( w \to w_0, \ w \in G \) consists of at most two points, \( a(w_0) \) and \( \infty \). On the other hand, this limit set is connected. So the limit exists. If the limit is finite, then it is equal to \( a(w_0) \) and the Removable Singularity Theorem gives an analytic continuation of \( a \) to \( G \cup w_0 \), contradicting the statement above that there is no such continuation. So the limit is infinite and (5) holds.

We add to \( G \) all its isolated boundary points, thus obtaining new open set \( G' \) containing \( G \). Our function \( a \) has a meromorphic continuation to \( G' \), which we call \( \tilde{a} \). This meromorphic continuation coincides with \( a \) in \( G \) but does not coincide at the added points \( G' \setminus G \).

We claim that \( G' \) has no isolated boundary points. Indeed, suppose that \( w_0 \) is an isolated boundary point of \( G' \). By the same argument as above, the limit (5) exists and is infinite. Then \( \tilde{a} \) can be extended to \( w_0 \) such that the extended function has a pole at \( w_0 \), but then \( w_0 \) would be an isolated boundary point of \( G \) (poles cannot accumulate to a pole) so \( w_0 \in G' \) by definition of \( G' \). This contradiction proves the claim.

Let \( F' \) be the complement of \( G' \) with respect to \( D \). Then \( F' \) is closed, nowhere dense subset of \( D \). Furthermore, \( F' \) has no isolated points, because such points would be isolated boundary points of \( G' \). So \( F' \) is perfect or empty. Our goal is to prove that \( F' \) is empty.

Assume the contrary, that is that \( F' \) is perfect. The closed sets \( E_n \) cover the locally compact space \( F' \), so by the Baire category theorem one of these \( E_n \) contains a relatively open part of \( F' \). This means that there exists a positive integer \( n \) and an open disc \( U \subset D \) intersecting \( F' \) and such that

\[
|a(w)| \leq n \quad \text{for} \quad w \in U \cap F'.
\] (6)

By Corollary 2 of Proposition 3, this implies that the restriction of \( a \) on \( U \cap F' \) is continuous.

We are going to prove that \( \tilde{a} \) has a continuous extension from \( G' \) to \( U \cap F' \), and this extension agrees with the restriction of \( a \) on \( U \cap F' \). Let \( W \) be a point of \( U \cap F' \), and \((w_k)\) a sequence in \( G' \) tending to \( W \). Choosing a subsequence we may assume that there exists a limit

\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} \tilde{a}(w_k),
\] (7)
finite or infinite. By a small perturbation of the sequence that does not change the limit of \( \tilde{a}(w_k) \) we may assume that \( w_k \) are not poles of \( \tilde{a} \) so \( a(w_k) = \tilde{a}(w_k) \). By Proposition 3, the limit (17) can only be \( a(W) \) or \( \infty \).

To prove continuity we have to exclude the latter case. So suppose that

\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} \tilde{a}(w_k) = \infty.
\]

Let \( C_k \) be the component of the set

\[
G' \cap \{ w \in U : |w - W| < 2|w_k - W| \},
\]

that contains \( w_k \), and let

\[
m_k = \inf\{ |\tilde{a}(w)| : w \in C_k \}.
\]

We claim that \( m_k \to \infty \). Indeed, suppose this is not so, then choosing a subsequence we may assume that \( m_k \leq m \) for some \( m > n \). Then there exists a curve in \( C_k \) connecting \( w_k \) to some point \( w'_k \in C_k \) such that \( |\tilde{a}(w'_k)| \leq m+1 \). As \( |a| \) is continuous in \( C_k \), (18) implies that this curve contains a point \( y_k \) such that \( |\tilde{a}(y_k)| = m + 1 \). By selecting another subsequence we achieve that

\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} \tilde{a}(y_k) = y, \quad \text{where} \quad |y| = m + 1 > n.
\]

As \( |a(W)| \leq n \), we obtain a contradiction with Proposition 3. This proves our claim that \( m_k \to \infty \) in (9).

So we can assume that

\[
m_k \geq n + 1 \quad \text{for all} \quad k.
\]

Let us show that this leads to a contradiction. Fix \( k \), and consider the limit set of \( \tilde{a}(w) \) as \( w \to \partial C_k \cap U \) from \( C_k \cap U \). In view of (5), (10) and Proposition 3, this limit set consists of the single point, namely \( \infty \). To see that this is impossible, we use the following

**Lemma.** Let \( V \) and \( C \) be two intersecting regions in \( C \), and \( g \) a meromorphic function in \( C \) such that

\[
\lim_{w \to W, w \in C} g(w) = \infty \quad \text{for all} \quad W \in V \cap \partial C.
\]

Then \( V \cap \partial C \) consists of isolated points in \( V \) and \( g \) has meromorphic extension from \( C \) to \( V \cup C \).
Proof. By shrinking $V$, we may assume that $|g(w)| \geq 1$ for $w \in C \cap V$. Then $h = 1/g$ has a continuous extension from $C$ to $C \cup V$ by setting $h(w) = 0$ for $w \in V \setminus C$. The extended function is holomorphic on the set

$$\{w \in C \cap V : h(w) \neq 0\},$$

so by Rado’s theorem [10, Thm. 3.6.5], $h$ is analytic in $V \cup C$. $1/g$ gives the required meromorphic extension of $g$.

Applying this Lemma with $C = C_k$, $V = \{w \in U : |w - W| < 2|w_k - W|\}$ and $g = \tilde{a}$, and taking into account that $\partial C_k \cap V \subset F'$, and $F'$ has no isolated points, we arrive at a contradiction which completes the proof that $\tilde{a}$ has a continuous extension to $F' \cap U$, an extension which agrees with $a$ on $F' \cap U$.

From Theorem A we obtain now that $a$ is analytic on $F' \cap U$, which contradicts the fact stated in the beginning of the proof that $a$ has no analytic continuation from $G$.

This contradiction shows that $F' = \emptyset$ which proves the theorem.

The author thanks Alan Sokal for asking the question which is the subject of this paper, and Adam Epstein, Laszlo Lempert, Pietro Poggi-Corradini, Alexander Rashkovskii and Nikolay Scherbina for stimulating discussions.
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