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ABSTRACT

In this article, the research team systematized the theoretical basis of the monetary transmission mechanism and the impact of interest rate channel in monetary transmission mechanism by Mishkin's approach and used the vector error correction model (VECM) to test the impact of interest rate channel in the monetary transmission mechanism in Vietnam in the period 2005 – 2019. There were 8 endogenous variables included in the VECM model; namely Consumer price index (CPI); Gross domestic product (GDP) at constant 2010 prices (GDPR); Money supply (M2); Refinancing interest rate (ISBV); Average lending interest rate in VND (ILR); Bilateral real exchange rate (ER); Credit to the economy (CRE); VN-Index (VN-INDEX); and, 2 exogenous variables: world consumer price index (PW) and US Federal Reserve interest rate (IFED). The results have shown that: money supply had a clearer effect on average lending interest rate than refinancing rate; Interest rate channel was an important channel to transfer the impact of monetary policy on inflation; Exchange rate and interest rates channel influenced economic growth in Vietnam more strongly than credit and financial asset prices channel did. Besides, the model results also confirm that in Vietnam, inflation in the past played an important role in determining current inflation.
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1. The impact of interest rate channel in the monetary transmission mechanism

1.1. Monetary transmission mechanism

Keynes (1936) showed the monetary transmission mechanism based on the analysis of the process of the impact of monetary policy on interest rates and the effects of interest rates, the marginal profit of investment on spending for investment. Keynes has pointed out to the monetary transmission mechanism according to the following Fig. 1.

Fig. 1. The monetary transmission mechanism to economic of Keynes
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According to Mankiw (2009), the mechanism of monetary transmission affects the economy through three channels: asset prices (bonds, stocks), interest rates, exchange rates. The monetary transmission mechanism of Mankiw is generalized by Fig. 2 as follows:

![Diagram of Mankiw's Monetary Transmission Mechanism]

Source: Mankiw (2009)

According to Mishkin (2010), the monetary transmission mechanism describes the process from the changes in a monetary policy specific, the changes in the amount of money in circulation (or nominal short-term interest rates) affects variables through transmission channels such as interest rate channel, exchange rate channel, asset price channel, credit channel, cash flow channel as shown in Fig. 3 as follows:

![Diagram of Mishkin's Monetary Transmission Mechanism]

Source: Mishkin (2010)

The concepts mentioned by researchers have one thing in common: Monetary transmission mechanism is the process by which the changes in monetary policy are transformed into changes in output and prices in the economy.

The monetary transmission mechanism is a process where the changes in monetary policy lead to a series of other changes in economic variables such as interest rates, asset prices, consumer spending, and exchange rate, cash flow, supply credibility of the commercial banking system, etc. (Hoang & Thi, 2020; Hoang et al., 2020; Hung & Pfau, 2009; Nguyen & Nguyen, 2010). With the money transmission channels mentioned by the researchers, Mishkin's approach can be said to mention the most complete transmission channels. Interest rate channel following Mishkin's approach not only included traditional interest rate channel like Keynes's but also explains through exchange rate and credit channel. Therefore, when studying the impact of the interest rate channel in the monetary transmission mechanism, the research team uses the approach by Mishkin's theory as Fig.3.
1.2. The impact of interest rate channel in the monetary transmission mechanism according to Mishkin's approach

1.2.1. Impact through the traditional interest rate channel

The effect of monetary policy adjustment is firstly transmitted through the traditional interest rate channel and described by Keynes in the following figure:

\[ \text{Extensive monetary policy} \rightarrow r \downarrow \rightarrow I \uparrow \rightarrow Y \uparrow \]

With other factors constant, the expansionary monetary policy makes real interest rates decrease (\( r \downarrow \)) leading to reduce investment costs, stimulate investment rising (\( I \uparrow \)); which increases aggregate demand and ultimately rising output (\( Y \uparrow \)). The effectiveness of extensive monetary policy depends on the organizational characteristics of financial markets and the level of market expectations. It should be noted that only when the real interest rate (\( r \)) changes, does it affect investment (\( I \))? When the central bank implements extensive monetary policy, nominal interest rates decrease (\( i \downarrow \)), while commodity prices have not changed, causing real interest rates to fall (\( r \downarrow \)). Investment demand is sensitive to real interest rates rather than nominal interest rates, it is this perception that changes the sequence of the effect of the volume of money on output as follows:

\[ \text{Extensive monetary policy} \rightarrow P^e \uparrow \rightarrow \pi^e \uparrow \rightarrow r \downarrow \rightarrow I \uparrow \rightarrow Y \uparrow \]

The monetary policy extensive firstly reduces the nominal interest rate immediately, while the price level and inflation only increase slowly in the long term. Monetary policy extensive impacts on expected price (\( P_e \)) and expected inflation (\( \pi_e \)), leading to a decrease in real interest rate (\( r \downarrow \)), which leads to an increase in investment (\( I \uparrow \)) and rising in aggregate demand (\( AD \uparrow \)) and making an increase in output product (\( Y \uparrow \)).

1.2.2. Impact of interest rates through exchange rates channel

With the other factors remain unchanged, when the Central Bank enforces the extensive monetary policy, real interest rates will decrease; the domestic currency becomes less attractive than foreign currencies, leading to an increase in foreign exchange demand and exchange rate (\( E \uparrow \)), which is a contributor to an increase in exports and import restrictions, resulting in an increase in net exports (\( NX \uparrow \)) and rising in total output product (\( Y \uparrow \)).

\[ \text{Extensive monetary policy} \rightarrow r \downarrow \rightarrow E \uparrow \rightarrow NX \uparrow \rightarrow Y \uparrow \]

Studies have shown that the exchange rate channel plays an important role in the channel of monetary transmission to the economy. The exchange rate transmission channel includes the affected mechanism of the interest rate. In case the interest rate of the local currency decreases against the foreign currency, the value of the local currency deposit is lower than the value of the foreign currency deposit. Therefore, the local currency tends to depreciate against foreign currency. The devaluation of the domestic currency will stimulate exports and leading to a rising in output.

1.2.3. Impact of interest rates through the credit channel

The dissatisfaction with the explanation of the impact of interest rate on the spending for long-term assets under the traditional interest rate channel leads to a new explanation of the monetary transmission mechanism of the interest rate channel via credit channel. Credit channel includes Balance of payment channel; Credit ability channel; Cash flow channel; Channel price fluctuations; Liquidity channel. To examine the impact of interest rate via credit channel, the research team focused on the Cash flow channel based on the problem of asymmetric information in the financial market; and the Liquidity channel based on the relationship between interest rates and cash flows. A credit channel is also a transmission channel that includes the affected mechanism of the interest rate.

- **Affect adverse selection and moral risks**

The extensive monetary policy reduces nominal interest rates (\( i \downarrow \)), leads to cost reduction for businesses, thereby increasing cash flow and increasing solvency of enterprises. Enterprises can access bank credit more easily. High liquidity will reduce adverse selection and moral risks, the bank can lend more, investment (\( I \uparrow \)) and total output will increase (\( Y \uparrow \))

\[ \text{Extensive monetary policy} \rightarrow i \downarrow \rightarrow \text{cash flow} \uparrow \rightarrow \text{adverse selection and moral risks} \downarrow \rightarrow \text{credit} \uparrow \rightarrow I \uparrow \rightarrow Y \uparrow \]

- **Affect the liquidity of the household**

The effect of interest rates on credit channels to the liquidity of households is explained by the increase in money supply, which reduces interest rates (\( i \downarrow \)), thereby growing cash flows for consumption and spending on durable goods and real estate, increase in aggregate demand and total output (\( Y \uparrow \)).
2. Applying the VECM model to analyze the impact of the interest rate channel in the monetary transmission mechanism in Vietnam

2.1. VECM model and variables in the model

2.1.1. VECM model

To examine the impact of interest rate channel in the monetary transmission mechanism in Vietnam, the research team used the vector error correction model (VECM). VECM model is used to consider the effect and interdependence between some macroeconomic variables over time. In the VECM model, the variables have the same role. The VECM model is useful for researchers who want to consider the response of one variable to the shock of one or more other variables in the economy. So the research team selected the VECM model to consider the impact of interest rate channel in the monetary transmission mechanism in Vietnam from 2005 to 2019. In the VECM model, suppose that \( Y_t \) is a vector of nonstop variables (economic variables), considering the VAR model (p) without defined components

\[
Y_t = A_1 Y_{t-1} + A_2 Y_{t-2} + \cdots + A_p Y_{t-p} + u_t
\] (1)

Rewrite this model as follows

\[
Y_t - Y_{t-1} = (A_1 + A_2 + \cdots + A_p - I)Y_{t-1} - (A_2 + \cdots + A_p)(Y_{t-2} - Y_{t-2}) - (A_3 + \cdots + A_p)(Y_{t-3} - Y_{t-3}) - \cdots - A_p(Y_{t-p+1} - Y_{t-p}) + u_t
\]

\[
DY_t = \Pi Y_{t-1} + C_1 D Y_{t-1} + C_2 D Y_{t-2} + \cdots + C_{p-1} D Y_{t-p+1} + u_t
\] (2)

Therein: \( \Pi = A_1 + A_2 + \cdots + A_p - I; C_i = -\sum_{j=i+1}^{p} A_j; i = 1, 2, \ldots, p - 1 \)

Move the terms \( C_i D Y_{t-i} \) of the model (2) to the left side:

\[
DY_t - C_1 D Y_{t-1} - C_2 D Y_{t-2} - \cdots - C_{p-1} D Y_{t-p+1} = \Pi Y_{t-1} + u_t
\]

Put \( C(L) = 1 - C_1 L - C_2 L^2 - \cdots - C_{p-1} L^{p-1}; d(L) = 1 + \phi_1 L + \phi_2 L^2 + \cdots \)

Thus

\[
C(L)DY_t = \Pi Y_{t-1} + d(L)u_t
\] (3)

Model (3) is called the vector error correction model (VECM) with the term \( \Pi Y_{t-1} \) is the error correction part.

2.1.2. Variables in the model

Based on the transmission mechanism of the impact of interest rate channel following the Mishkin's approach, the research team identified variables in the VECM model including 8 endogenous variables. Consumer price index (CPI); GDP at constant 2010 prices (GDPR); Money supply - Total means of payment (M2); Refinancing interest rate (ISBV); Average lending interest rate in Vietnamese Dong (ILR); Bilateral real exchange rate (ER); Credit of the economy (CRE); Vietnamese index (VNINDEX). Besides, the research team added to the model 2 exogenous variables: The world consumer price index (PW) and the US Federal Reserve interest rate (IFED). In Table1, the consumer price index (CPI) variable represents the inflation index; the gross domestic product at constant 2010 prices (GDPR) represents the income or output production of the economy. At the same time, the GDPR variable has been seasonally adjusted by the moving average method, to ensure the shocks reflecting changes in the long-term trend of that variable. The GDPR variable after adjustment is denoted as GDP. The money supply variable (M2) and the refinancing interest rate (ISBV) represent monetary policy. The average variable interest rates of VND loans (ILR), the Bilateral real exchange rate (ER), the credit to the economy (CRE), Vietnamese Index (VN INDEX) represent the transmission channels of monetary policy. Bilateral real exchange rate (ER) is calculated from the bilateral nominal exchange rate data were
synthesized from IFS and GSO by the research team. \( ER = \frac{E \cdot PW}{CPI} \), with E is the nominal exchange rate of VND/USD, PW is the world consumer price index and CPI is the consumer price index of Vietnam.

### Table 1

| STT | Symbol | Variables | Data Source |
|-----|--------|-----------|-------------|
| 1   | CPI    | Target variable | Consumer price index | TCTK, IFS |
| 2   | GDP    | The represents monetary policy variable | GDP at constant 2010 prices | TCTK |
| 3   | M2     | Representing the monetary policy transmission channel | Money supply - Total means of payment | IFS, NHNN |
| 4   | ISBV   | Exogenous variables | Refinancing interest rate | IFS, NHNN |
| 5   | ILR    | | The average lending interest rate in Vietnamese dong | IFS, NHNN |
| 6   | ER     | | Bilateral real exchange rate | IFS, NHNN |
| 7   | CRE    | | The credit of the economy | NHNN |
| 8   | VN-INDEX | | Vietnamese Index | HOSE |
| 9   | PW     | | The world consumer price index | IFS |
| 10  | IFED   | | US Federal Reserve interest rate | IFS |

Source: Synthetic of the research team

The average VN-Index variable by quarter is calculated by calculating the average VN-Index of the months in the quarter. The average VN-Index of each month is determined by getting the index of the first trading day of the month and the index of the last trading day of the month, taking the average. VN–Index data is updated on [https://www.stockbiz.vn/IndicesStats.aspx](https://www.stockbiz.vn/IndicesStats.aspx)

### Table 2

Descriptive statistics the data of variables used in the model

| Mean   | Median | Maximum | Minimum | Sum    | Sum Sq. Dev. | Observations |
|--------|--------|---------|---------|--------|--------------|--------------|
| CPI    | 121.8184 | 133.01  | 169.1   | 6943.65 | 6693.35      | 57           |
| GDP    | 621684.7 | 603194.5| 934636.5| 35456030| 1.31E+12     | 57           |
| M2     | 4369184  | 3702867 | 10573725| 2.49E+08| 4.75E+14     | 57           |
| ISBV   | 7.797368 | 6.5     | 15      | 444.45 | 404.4621     | 57           |
| IR     | 2.8815   | 3.8     | 7.8     | 161.88 | 714.8504     | 57           |
| CRE    | 3475246  | 3081745 | 8195393 | 767404.45| 2844229     | 57           |
| VN-INDEX | 621.7793 | 561.19  | 1102.93 | 35441.42 | 17749.41    | 57           |
| PW     | 111.8302 | 111.11  | 144.32  | 6734.32 | 17749.41    | 57           |
| IFED   | 1.348246 | 0.13    | 5.25    | 76.85  | 168.9778    | 57           |

Source: Synthetic and calculation of the research team

The following variables GDP, CPI, M2, ISBV, ILR, ER, CRE, VNINDEX, PW, IFED after being log as LGDP, LCPI, LM2, LISBV, LIILR, LER, LCRE, LVNINDEX, LPW, LIFED and lognormal variants of log data series, are denoted as DLGDP, DLCP, DLILR, DILILR, DLER, DLCRE, DLVNINDEX, DLPW, DLIFED. With such a model structure, the research team examined the impact of money supply and refinancing interest rates on the average loan interest rate of Vietnamese Dong (VND) following by considering the impact of the average VND loan interest rate on the remaining endogenous variables in the model. At the same time, we consider the reaction of inflation and economic growth to the shock of other endogenous variables, thereby considering the effect of the interest rate channel on the two target variables.

#### 2.2. Checking the stability of the data series

Before proceeding specific analysis steps, the research team conducted the stability test of the data series (including 60 observations, quarterly data, from the first quarter of 2005 to the fourth quarter of 2019, however, since the GDP variable has been seasonally adjusted by running average method, the number of observations is 57 observations).

#### 2.2.1. Determine the stopping behavior of the variables included in the model

To test the stopping behavior of the variables after the logarithmization, the research team performed Augmented Dickey Fuller test (ADF test), the results are shown in Table 3. From the results at Table 3, we can see the chains LGDP, LCPI, LM2, LISBV, LIILR, LER, LCRE, LVNINDEX, LPW, LIFED are non-stop at the significant levels of 1%, 5% and 10%. However, all their differential at first level are stop chain at significance levels of 1%, 5% and 10%. This suggests that the use of the VECM model to analyze the long-term relationship between these chains is appropriate.
Table 3
Determine the stopping behavior of the variables by ADF test

| Variable | ADF Test critical values: |
|----------|--------------------------|
|          | 1% level | 5% level | 10% level |
| LGDP     | -1.536324 | -4.130526 | -3.492149 | -3.172314 |
| DLGDP    | -8.907017 | -3.555023 | -2.915522 | -2.595565 |
| LCPI     | 0.289342  | -4.121303 | -3.487845 | -3.172314 |
| DLCPI    | -5.357294 | -3.548208 | -2.912631 | -2.594027 |
| LM2      | -1.726625 | -4.121303 | -3.487845 | -3.172314 |
| DLM2     | -5.341664 | -3.548208 | -2.912631 | -2.594027 |
| LISBV    | -2.027083 | -4.121303 | -3.487845 | -3.172314 |
| DLISBV   | -5.14886  | -3.548208 | -2.912631 | -2.594027 |
| LILR     | -2.006556 | -4.121303 | -3.487845 | -3.172314 |
| DLILR    | -4.936510 | -3.548208 | -2.912631 | -2.594027 |
| LER      | -1.165409 | -4.121303 | -3.487845 | -3.172314 |
| DLER     | -5.254197 | -3.548208 | -2.912631 | -2.594027 |
| LCRE     | -1.437926 | -4.121303 | -3.487845 | -3.172314 |
| DLCRE    | -7.474043 | -3.548208 | -2.912631 | -2.594027 |
| LVN-INDEX| -2.191514 | -4.121303 | -3.487845 | -3.172314 |
| DLVN-INDEX| -5.961158 | -3.548208 | -2.912631 | -2.594027 |
| LM2      | -2.641373 | -4.121303 | -3.487845 | -3.172314 |
| DLM2     | -6.060006 | -3.548208 | -2.912631 | -2.594027 |
| LIFED    | -0.854722 | -4.121303 | -3.487845 | -3.172314 |
| DLIFED   | -7.045203 | -3.548208 | -2.912631 | -2.594027 |

Source: Result of the model

2.2.2. Determine optimal latency

In order to obtain accurate results from the VECM model, beside considering stopping behavior of the variables, the research team determined the optimal latency of the model. According to the statistical standards LR, FPE, AIC, HQ the model has an optimal latency of 3 (Table 4).

Table 4
Determine optimal latency of the model

| Lag | LogL | LR | FPE | AIC | SC | HQ |
|-----|------|----|-----|-----|----|----|
| 0   | 711.1329 | NA | 1.22e-21 | -25.44937 | -24.56537 | -25.10845 |
| 1   | 1044.922 | 531.5898 | 5.86e-26 | -35.44155 | -32.20024* | -34.19151 |
| 2   | 1153.985 | 141.3784 | 1.36e-26 | -37.11056 | -30.64303 | -35.53068* |
| 3   | 1258.172 | 104.1870* | 5.24e-27* | -38.59897* | -30.64303 | -35.53068* |

Endogenous variables: LGDP LCPI LCRI LVN-INDEX LER LILR LISBV LM2
Exogenous variables: C LPW LIFED

Source: Result of the model

2.2.3. Granger causality test, verify cointegrated relationships between chains, chain correlation test and residual normality tests

a. Granger causality test

According to the results of Granger causality test, with a 5% significance level, we can confirm 32 relationship: (1) CPI has a causal relationship with CRE; (2) CPI has a causal relationship with GDP; (3) IFED has a causal relationship with CPI and vice versa; (4) CPI has a causal relationship with ILR; (5) ISBV has a causal relationship with CPI and vice versa; (6) CPI has a causal relationship with M2; (7) VN-INDEX has a causal relationship with CPI and vice versa; (8) ER has a causal relationship with CRE; (9) CRE has a causal relationship with GDP; (10) CRE has a causal relationship with ILR; (11) CRE has a causal relationship with ISBV; (12) M2 has a causal relationship with CRE and vice versa; (13) ER has a causal relationship with GDP; (14) IFED has a fruitful relationship with ER and vice versa; (15) ER has a causal relationship with ILR; (16) ISBV has a causal relationship with ER; (17) ER has a causal relationship with M2; (18) ER has a causal relationship with VN-INDEX; (19) IFED has a causal relationship with GDP; (20) ILR has a causal relationship with CRE and vice versa; (21) ISBV has a causal relationship with WP; (22) VNINDEX has a causal relationship with GDP; (23) ILR has a causal relationship with IFED; (24) ISBV has a causal relationship with IFED; (25) VNINDEX has a causal relationship with IFED; (26) ISBV has a causal relationship with ILR; (27) M2 has a causal relationship with ILR; (28) PW has a causal relationship with ILR; (29) VN-INDEX has a causal relationship with ILR and vice versa; (30) ISBV has a causal relationship with VN-INDEX; (31) M2 has a causal relationship with PW; (32) PW has a causal relationship with VN-INDEX. With a 10% significance level, it can be further confirmed: (1) CRE has a causal relationship with the CPI; (2) M2 has a causal relationship with the CPI; (3) ILR has a causal relationship with CRE; (4) ISBV has a causal relationship with CRE; (5) CRE has a causal relationship with PW; (6) ER has a causal relationship with PW; (7) M2 has a causal relationship with GDP; (8) M2 has a causal relationship with IFED; (9) PW has a causal relationship with IFED; (10) M2 has a causal relationship with ISBV and vice versa.
versa; (11) ISBV has a causal relationship with PW; (12) VN INDEX has a causal relationship with ISBV; (13) PW has a causal relationship with M2; (14) VN INDEX has a causal relationship with PW.

Therein:
Line of a causal relationship with a significance level of 5%
Line of a causal relationship with a significance level of 10%

**Fig. 4.** Describe the causal relationship between variables

b. Cointegrated relationships test

**Table 5**
Verify cointegrated relationships between chains (Unrestricted Cointegration Rank Test (Trace))

| Hypothesized No. of CE(s) | Eigenvalue | Trace Statistic | Critical Value 0.05 | Prob.** |
|---------------------------|------------|-----------------|---------------------|---------|
| None *                    | 0.9331142  | 492.2522        | 159.5297            | 0.0000  |
| At most 1 *               | 0.911615   | 350.5400        | 125.6154            | 0.0000  |
| At most 2 *               | 0.842527   | 222.2281        | 95.73566            | 0.0000  |
| At most 3 *               | 0.666986   | 124.2576        | 69.81889            | 0.0000  |
| At most 4 *               | 0.513656   | 65.98032        | 47.85613            | 0.0004  |
| At most 5                 | 0.315390   | 27.77588        | 29.79707            | 0.0840  |
| At most 6                 | 0.132760   | 7.693854        | 15.49471            | 0.4987  |
| At most 7                 | 0.002724   | 0.144550        | 3.841466            | 0.7038  |

Series: LGDP LCPI LCRE LVN-INDEX LER LILR LISBV LM2 Exogenous series: LIFED LPW

Unrestricted Cointegration Rank Test (Maximum Eigenvalue)

| Hypothesized No. of CE(s) | Eigenvalue | Trace Statistic | Critical Value 0.05 | Prob.** |
|---------------------------|------------|-----------------|---------------------|---------|
| None *                    | 0.9331142  | 141.8122        | 52.36261            | 0.0000  |
| At most 1 *               | 0.911615   | 128.3118        | 46.23142            | 0.0000  |
| At most 2 *               | 0.842527   | 97.97054        | 40.07757            | 0.0000  |
| At most 3 *               | 0.666986   | 58.27728        | 33.87687            | 0.0000  |
| At most 4 *               | 0.513656   | 38.20444        | 27.58434            | 0.0015  |
| At most 5                 | 0.315390   | 20.08203        | 21.13162            | 0.0696  |
| At most 6                 | 0.132760   | 7.549305        | 14.26460            | 0.4264  |
| At most 7                 | 0.002724   | 0.144550        | 3.841466            | 0.7038  |

* denotes rejection of the hypothesis at the 0.05 level
**MacKinnon-Haug-Michelis (1999) p-values
According to the cointegration test, there are 5 co-integration relationships between chains. The results of the Maximum Eigenvalue test also show that there are 5 co-integration relationships between chains at the significance level of 5%. We choose the number of cointegrating relationships between the series of 5 at this significant level.

c. Chained correlation

Test results (Table 6) have shown that the model does not suffer from the chain self-correlation phenomenon at the 1% significance level.

**Table 6**
Chained correlation test

| Lags | LM-Stat | Prob  |
|------|---------|-------|
| 1    | 91.29142 | 0.0142 |
| 2    | 83.74977 | 0.0494 |
| 3    | 72.74757 | 0.2122 |
| 4    | 85.31448 | 0.0387 |
| 5    | 80.71965 | 0.0773 |
| 6    | 59.24176 | 0.6451 |
| 7    | 67.52170 | 0.3578 |
| 8    | 72.16750 | 0.2261 |
| 9    | 62.01151 | 0.5472 |
| 10   | 55.41053 | 0.7693 |
| 11   | 50.75753 | 0.8854 |
| 12   | 56.02089 | 0.7509 |

Probs from chi-square with 64 df

*d. Residual Normality Tests*

With a 5% significance level, the standard distribution residual described in Table 7

**Table 7**
VEC Residual Normality Tests

| Component | Skewness | Chi-sq | df | Prob. |
|-----------|----------|--------|----|-------|
| 1         | 0.054606 | 0.026339 | 1  | 0.8711 |
| 2         | 0.046642 | 0.019216 | 1  | 0.8897 |
| 3         | -0.087516 | 0.067655 | 1  | 0.7948 |
| 4         | -0.007101 | 0.000445 | 1  | 0.9832 |
| 5         | 0.090668 | 0.072616 | 1  | 0.7876 |
| 6         | 0.236340 | 0.493398 | 1  | 0.4824 |
| 7         | 0.004458 | 0.000176 | 1  | 0.9894 |
| 8         | 0.178481 | 0.281390 | 1  | 0.5958 |
| Joint     | 0.961236 | 8       |     | 0.9985 |

| Component | Kurtosis | Chi-sq | df | Prob. |
|-----------|----------|--------|----|-------|
| 1         | 3.602109 | 0.800599 | 1  | 0.3709 |
| 2         | 2.839729 | 0.056725 | 1  | 0.8117 |
| 3         | 2.515050 | 0.519348 | 1  | 0.4711 |
| 4         | 3.102211 | 0.023071 | 1  | 0.8793 |
| 5         | 2.241684 | 1.269886 | 1  | 0.2598 |
| 6         | 4.229213 | 3.336712 | 1  | 0.0677 |
| 7         | 3.068304 | 0.010303 | 1  | 0.9192 |
| 8         | 4.134512 | 2.842386 | 1  | 0.0918 |
| Joint     | 9.820266 | 16     |     | 0.8758 |

Source: Result of the model
2.3. Estimated results of VECM model

2.3.1. Estimated results

After checking the stopping behavior, determining the optimal latency, Granger causality, cointegration relationships, chain correlation, and residual normality, the authors estimated the model (Table 8).

Table 8

| Estimation results of VECM model | CointEq1 | CointEq2 | CointEq3 | CointEq4 | CointEq5 |
|----------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| LGDP (-1)                        | 1.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |
| LCPI (-1)                        | 0.00000 | 1.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |
| LCRE (-1)                        | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 1.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |
| LVN-INDEX (-1)                   | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 1.00000 | 0.00000 |
| LER (-1)                         | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 1.00000 |
| LILR (-1)                        | -0.07505 | -0.03663 | -0.078240 | -0.772191 | -0.015508 |
| LISBV (-1)                       | -0.027454 | 0.100975 | -0.192273 | 0.855739 | -0.231420 |
| LM2(-1)                          | 0.251838 | -1.161520 | -0.967575 | 1.202532 | 0.619496 |
| C                                | -16.89640 | 12.62124 | 0.296759 | -24.89879 | -18.66812 |

Error Correction: D(LGDP) D(LCPI) D(LCRE) D(LVNINDEX) D(LER) D(LILR) D(LISBV) D(LM2)

| CointEq1 | CointEq2 | CointEq3 | CointEq4 | CointEq5 |
|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| -0.120213 | -1.669145 | -0.343496 | 3.763606 | 1.312654 | -1.323746 | -5.897601 | 0.794957 |
| -0.046475 | -0.815555 | -0.453916 | -0.66954 | 0.458688 | -0.933662 | -2.097689 | 0.474864 |
| 0.081305 | -0.650189 | -0.893634 | 0.505263 | 0.699317 | 1.386601 | 2.404277 | 0.150364 |
| 0.003722 | -0.042485 | 0.001445 | -0.708130 | 0.007507 | 0.097629 | -0.056398 | 0.039009 |
| 0.033433 | 0.128032 | 0.534484 | -1.90026 | -0.358378 | 0.014788 | 2.423421 | 0.255433 |

Adj. R-squared  0.480354  0.833608  0.849276  0.768332  0.583923  0.900316  0.873344  0.654665

Source: Result of the model

VECM model results show the relationship between the variables in the model. From the VECM model results, it is possible to determine the impact of the policy interest rate shock (ISBV) and money supply (M2) on the average lending interest rate in VND (ILR) and the impact of ILR on other endogenous variables (through the reaction functions). At the same time, evaluate the importance of the different shocks when explaining the variability of the two final target variables of monetary policy as inflation and growth (through variance decomposition), which are shown specifically in the next section.

2.3.2. Reaction functions

From the results of estimating the VECM model, we consider the result of the reaction function of the average lending interest rate in the market in the next 10 quarters with assuming policy interest rate (ISBV) and money supply (M2) increased by 1% in the first quarter. The result is shown in Fig. 5 as follows.
Looking at Fig. 5, it can be seen that when the policy interest rate (ISBV) increased by 1% in the first quarter, the average lending interest rate in VND increased in the first two quarters followed by dropping sharply in the next two quarters, then increase in 3 quarter to return to the original interest rate before falling again. When money supply (M2) increased by 1% in the first quarter, the average lending interest rate in VND decreased in the first quarter before a slight increase in the first three quarters but was still lower than the original. Which is consistent with the theory and also explains when policy interest rate (ISBV) increases in the first quarter only leading to an increasing average lending interest rate in VND in the first two quarters then dramatic dropping. Up to now, the State Bank of Vietnam is still operating monetary policy by monetary amount, so it can be seen that the impact of an increase in money supply, implementing the extensive monetary policy will affect the average lending interest rate in VND. The results of the reaction function also show that the average lending interest rate in VND increases from the 5th quarter before drop sharply in the following quarters and slightly grows up to the initial interest rate in the 10th quarter.

Fig. 6. Impact of average loan interest rate shock on endogenous variables GDP, CPI, ER, VN-INDEX, CRE

From the estimation results of the VECM model, considering the reaction function of endogenous variables GDP, CPI, ER, CRE, VN-INDEX from the fluctuation of the average loan interest rate in VND with the assumption that this interest rate increased by 1% in the first quarter. The results are shown in Fig. 6 as follows:

The increasing average loan interest rate in VND make GDP dropping sharply in the 5 quarters before an increase in the 6th quarter and falling in the 7th quarter, followed by a slight rising in the 8th quarter, a decrease in the 9th quarter and growing up in the 10th quarter but still below the initial level in the first quarter. This shows that an increase in lending interest rates leads to rising in the production and business costs of enterprises, making difficult for production, and reducing the output of the economy. The average lending interest rate in VND increased that make CPI increased sharply in the first two quarters and decreased slightly in the 3rd quarter before going up dramatically in the 4th quarter. From the 5th to the 7th quarter, the CPI index dropped strongly followed by a rising in the 8th quarter and falling in the remaining quarters. The average lending interest rate
In addition to analyzing the reaction function, variance decomposition can be used to assess the importance of different shocks when explaining the variability of the last two target variables of interest rate policy as inflation and growth. Results of decomposition of variance at table 9 show:

### Table 9
Decomposition of variance

| Variance Decomposition of LCPI: |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|-------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Period                        | S.E. | LGDP | LCPI | LCRE | LVN-INDEX | LER | LILR | LISBV | LM2 |
| 1                             | 0.009084 | 0.041452 | 99.95855 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 |
| 2                             | 0.013089 | 0.758330 | 83.72644 | 2.259088 | 3.142274 | 2.530492 | 3.043496 | 1.527125 | 3.012753 |
| 3                             | 0.015752 | 7.403318 | 64.19484 | 1.698927 | 5.235236 | 5.343790 | 3.907573 | 6.810910 | 5.388096 |
| 4                             | 0.017550 | 7.082764 | 51.71649 | 1.377759 | 5.584232 | 7.163620 | 15.89574 | 6.831039 | 4.348353 |
| 5                             | 0.018583 | 7.276100 | 48.50787 | 1.966568 | 5.285067 | 6.823082 | 15.02898 | 8.188162 | 6.924178 |
| 6                             | 0.020647 | 7.068218 | 43.25701 | 2.463828 | 8.068640 | 6.895215 | 12.36917 | 7.535022 | 11.80290 |
| 7                             | 0.022175 | 7.353920 | 38.32475 | 2.889415 | 15.12435 | 6.293679 | 10.72253 | 8.266592 | 11.02476 |
| 8                             | 0.023130 | 6.919050 | 35.23618 | 3.691673 | 16.17211 | 7.136021 | 11.96071 | 8.710367 | 10.17389 |
| 9                             | 0.023672 | 6.822886 | 33.68814 | 4.291361 | 17.17422 | 7.228124 | 11.96701 | 9.110578 | 9.717681 |
| 10                            | 0.024899 | 8.143873 | 31.13644 | 4.247706 | 19.69659 | 7.368412 | 10.86810 | 8.426546 | 11.12342 |

| Variance Decomposition of LGDP: |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|-------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Period                        | S.E. | LGDP | LCPI | LCRE | LVN-INDEX | LER | LILR | LISBV | LM2 |
| 1                             | 0.03908 | 100.000 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 |
| 2                             | 0.04148 | 90.19690 | 7.188268 | 0.030850 | 1.217113 | 0.156222 | 0.923225 | 0.219034 | 0.683878 |
| 3                             | 0.04536 | 75.44822 | 13.91362 | 1.790180 | 3.138334 | 4.330856 | 1.868808 | 0.984037 | 0.345948 |
| 4                             | 0.04967 | 72.12958 | 12.64942 | 1.749351 | 1.500281 | 4.784338 | 2.756662 | 1.579299 | 2.851071 |
| 5                             | 0.05867 | 71.62411 | 12.39930 | 3.257998 | 1.456107 | 3.628701 | 3.446496 | 1.877781 | 2.309502 |
| 6                             | 0.06459 | 66.27528 | 19.51807 | 2.888462 | 1.268510 | 3.302878 | 2.932239 | 1.890350 | 1.924212 |
| 7                             | 0.06916 | 58.91294 | 22.45747 | 3.709567 | 1.559914 | 5.51668 | 4.176953 | 1.649944 | 2.024291 |
| 8                             | 0.07152 | 60.02359 | 21.43996 | 3.472598 | 1.462429 | 5.262938 | 4.787756 | 1.549351 | 2.001368 |
| 9                             | 0.07923 | 62.07905 | 18.37546 | 3.712549 | 1.400235 | 4.380886 | 6.730227 | 1.475265 | 1.846329 |
| 10                            | 0.08189 | 61.36379 | 19.63106 | 3.620747 | 1.476879 | 4.151335 | 6.347322 | 1.560361 | 1.848507 |

Cholesky Ordering: LGDP LCPI LCRE LVN-INDEX LER LILR LISBV LM2

The persistent nature of inflation explains most of the fluctuation in inflation in the first quarter. In the second quarter, the average lending interest rate in VND (ILR), money supply (M2) and VN-INDEX are three factors explaining over 3% of the variation of CPI. From the 3rd to the 5th quarter, the ILR was the second factor explaining the fluctuation of CPI after the CPI itself and also from the fourth quarter onwards, ILR is the factor that explains over 10% of the variation of CPI. Besides, the two variables M2 and ISBV represent the monetary policy from the third quarter onwards explain over 10% of the variation of CPI, in some quarters, that 2 variables can explain nearly 20% of the variation of CPI. In the later quarters, The VN-INDEX explains more and more of the fluctuations of the CPI, from the 7th quarter onwards VN-INDEX explains over 15% of the variation in CPI. Especially, in the 10th quarter, VN-INDEX explains nearly 20% of the variation of CPI. It can be seen that the fluctuations of GDP is almost explained by itself factor in all quarters. Next is the CPI factor from the 3rd quarter that explains over 10% of the variation in GDP, especially from the 6th quarter, CPI can explain approximately 20% of the variation in GDP. The real bilateral exchange rate ER is a second factor that explains the variability of GDP after CPI, it can see a small open

Source: Result of the model
economy like Vietnam, the exchange rate is an important factor affecting economic growth. The average lending interest rate in VND explains more and more of the fluctuation of GDP, on average ILR explains nearly 5% meanwhile the remaining factors explain about 5% of the variation of GDP

3. Some exchanges and discussions

The research team used the VECM model to assess the impact of interest rate channel in the monetary transmission mechanism in Vietnam. Quarterly data from the first quarter of 2005 to the fourth quarter of 2019 has been used for analysis. Granger test, co-integration test, reaction function, variance decomposition have shown that there was a certain impact of interest rate channel in the monetary transmission mechanism in Vietnam. The result of the reaction function has shown that the rising in refinancing interest rate only makes the average lending interest rate in VND increase in the first two quarters followed by a decrease in the remaining quarters. Meanwhile, the rising in money supply can make the average lending interest rate in VND decreasing in 5 quarters before growing up in the next 2nd quarters then falling in the remaining quarters. The increase in money supply has a clear impact on interest rates is entirely understandable, because, at present, the State Bank of Vietnam still manages monetary policy based on the monetary amount, not yet converted to operating by interest rates. The results of the variance decomposition have shown that the interest rate channel is one of the important channels to transmit the impact of monetary policy on inflation in Vietnam. From the fourth and fifth quarters, interest rate channel explains over 15%, the subsequent quarters also explain over 10% of the variation of the CPI. Besides, the model has pointed out that the exchange rate and interest rates are the channels that transmit monetary policy more strongly than credit and financial asset price channels to economic growth in Vietnam. Model results have also shown that interest rates are operated base on inflation movements in Vietnam. This can be explained in two stages: From 2005 to 2012, monetary policy in general and interest rate policy, in particular, proved to be awkward and passive especially in the period 2008 - 2012. During this period, Vietnam faced high inflation and interest rates were also high, even there were periods of interest rate racing. Interest rates were high to limit aggregate demand and curb inflation, but the results have shown that lending rates increase, inflation also increases, because the interest rate policy in this period is only adaptive and lack of initiative. From 2013 to 2019, the government has operated monetary policy and interest rate policy more smoothly and proactively intending to stabilize macroeconomy. Low-interest rates provided reasonable support for business and inflation being control stable were good signals in management of interest rates as well as monetary policy. Besides, based on CPI, the model results have also confirmed that in Vietnam, past inflation plays an important role in determining current inflation; The variability of GDP is almost explained by itself, followed by an inflation factor. This result implies that to combat inflation, the Government and the State Bank of Vietnam should first keep the inflation at a low rate for at least one quarter, thereby gaining public confidence about an environment in which prices are controlled and reduce expectations about future inflation. This also means that the Government and the State Bank of Vietnam need to persevere in the goal of controlling inflation thereby contributing to macroeconomic stability and supporting reasonable growth.
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