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Abstract

Objective: In the battle against COVID-19, most medical resources in China have been directed to infected patients in Wuhan. Thus, patients with hepatobiliary pancreatic tumors who are not suffering from COVID-19 are often not given timely and effective anti-cancer treatments. In this study, we aimed to describe clinical characteristics, treatment, and outcomes of patients with hepatobiliary and pancreatic oncology from our department, which retained normal working during the COVID-19 epidemic. We also sought to formulate a set of standardized hospitalization and treatment processes.

Methods: A retrospective and descriptive study was conducted involving patients hospitalized from February 1, 2020, to February 29, 2020 (Return to work after the Spring Festival), at our Department of Hepatobiliary and Pancreatic Surgical Oncology.

Results: The study included 92 patients from 12 provinces in the north of China who underwent surgical resection at our Department of Hepatobiliary and Pancreatic Surgical Oncology during the COVID-19 epidemic. Robotic surgery was performed on 82% (75/92) of patients, while the rest underwent laparoscopic (2/92) and open surgery (15/92). Eighty-six patients had malignant tumor, and six had emergency benign diseases. Only five patients had severe pancreatic fistula, and three had biliary fistula after operation.

Conclusions: The standardized hospitalization and treatment processes described in this study could prevent cross-infection of patients and still ensure timely treatment of patients with hepatobiliary and pancreatic cancers. These study findings will guide the management of surgical oncology departments and treatment of patients with hepatobiliary and pancreatic oncology during serious epidemics.

Introduction

Coronaviruses are enveloped, non-segmented, positive-sense RNA viruses broadly distributed in humans and other mammals. Although most human coronavirus infections are mild, epidemics of the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) and Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) together caused more than 10,000 cases in the past two decades, with mortality rates of 10% for SARS-CoV and 37% for MERS-CoV. Wuhan, the capital of Hubei province in China, is investigating an outbreak of atypical pneumonia caused by the zoonotic severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 or the novel 2019 coronavirus (COVID-19). In the early stages of this pneumonia, severe acute respiratory infection symptoms occur, with some patients rapidly developing acute respiratory distress syndrome, acute respiratory failure, and other serious complications. The National Health Commission of China has developed a case-definition system to facilitate the classification of patients (panel). To mitigate the spread of the virus, the Chinese Government has progressively implemented metropolitan-wide quarantine of Wuhan and several nearby cities since Jan 24, 2020. In the battle against COVID-19, most medical resources have been directed to infected patients in Wuhan, resulting in many hospitals being closed or open to emergencies only. Thus, many patients...
with malignancies were denied curative therapies, leading to an increase in non-infectious deaths during isolation at home. Patients with cancer who were not infected with COVID-19 were denied timely and effective anti-cancer treatments.

Hepatobiliary pancreatic tumors are one of the most common cancers and the leading cause of cancer-related death worldwide.\(^7\) Patients with liver, gallbladder, or pancreatic cancer have fast progression and poor prognosis. With recent improvements in surgical techniques (robotic and laparoscopic surgery),\(^8\)\(^,\)\(^9\) medical care, and non-surgical treatment (radiotherapy, chemotherapy, and targeted therapy), treatment modalities in these patients vary greatly among different institutions.\(^10\)\(^,\)\(^11\) Clearly, timely and effective treatments should be given to these patients. Therefore, in light of the COVID-19 epidemic, clinicians must consider how to reasonably allocate limited medical resources and establish a balanced medical security system to ensure that patients with hepatobiliary pancreatic tumor receive proper treatment.

Research has focused increasingly on patients infected with COVID-19, with the epidemiology and clinical features of patients with confirmed 2019nCoV pneumonia being explored in depth.\(^12\)\(^–\)\(^14\) However, few studies have investigated the clinical treatment and management of patients with hepatobiliary and pancreatic oncology during the COVID-19 epidemic and clinical approaches taken by departments of hepatobiliary and pancreatic surgical oncology.

Therefore, we aimed to describe clinical, laboratory, and radiological characteristics, as well as treatment and outcomes of patients with hepatobiliary and pancreatic oncology treated in our department, which maintained normal working hours during the COVID-19 epidemic. In addition, as hepatobiliary surgeons, we performed timely treatment of patients with hepatobiliarypancreatic tumors, avoiding cross-infection of patients and formulating a standardized treatment process. We hope our study findings will guide the management of surgical oncology departments and treatment of patients with hepatobiliary and pancreatic oncology during serious epidemics.

**Methods**

**Admission process during COVID-19 epidemic**

Our hospital did not completely halt services to some patients who needed to visit the Hepatobiliary and Pancreatic Surgical Oncology outpatient department during the COVID-19 outbreak, nor did we cease hepatobiliary and pancreatic surgery, although we did limit the department to emergency operations and elective surgeries for patients with hepatobiliary and pancreatic cancers. To meet the medical requirements and reduce the flow of patients to the hepatobiliary pancreatic surgery clinic, we began free online and telephone hepatobiliary and pancreatic consultation. Patients made an advanced appointment to receive this medical service. People entering the outpatient and inpatient buildings were required to wear masks and had their body temperature checked by professionals wearing tight protective clothing. At the entrance to the building, anyone with a fever (body temperature \(\geq 37.3\)°C), a travel history to Hubei in the last 2 weeks, clear contact with Hubei residents in the last 2 weeks, or contact with people...
having fever were sent directly to the fever clinic for screening; those who had been exposed were quarantined for 2 weeks, while those with potential exposure were asked to quarantine themselves at home for 2 weeks. At the hepatobiliary pancreatic surgery clinic, patients were not allowed to take off their masks. Doctors were required to wear masks, surgical caps, protective suits, gloves, and goggles at work, and to take off their protective equipment only after their work in a designated disposal area.

All patients were first isolated in the local community for 14 days. When they were admitted to the hospital, they made an appointment for medical treatment in the Department of Hepatobiliary and Pancreatic Surgical Oncology. A chest plain scan computed tomography (CT) was performed in the outpatient department. Those with normal inspection results were then issued a hospital admission form. If the patients had fever symptoms, they were required to have a throat swab examination. After admission, they were isolated in the transitional ward for 3 days; they then underwent preoperative examination and surgery.

**Study population**

A retrospective study was conducted on patients hospitalized from February 1, 2020, to February 29, 2020 (Return to work after the Spring Festival), at the Department of Hepatobiliary and Pancreatic Surgical Oncology, during the COVID-19 epidemic. Clinical and pathological data of these patients were retrospectively analyzed. The present study was approved by our Institutional Ethics Committee. Informed consent was obtained from all patients for their data to be used for research. In addition, this study included patients’ basic information gathered between 2017 and 2019.

**Inclusion and exclusion criteria**

The inclusion criteria were patients with hepatobiliary and pancreatic cancer with: (1) good basic state and liver function (Child–Pugh score of A or B7 ≤ 7); (2) complete preoperative serological data and contrast-enhanced CT or MRI of the abdomen; (3) surgical resection with no residual tumors left, based on both gross inspection and histological examination of the resection specimens; (4) histopathological diagnosis of hepatobiliary or pancreatic cancer; (5) no macrovascular invasion or extrahepatic metastasis; and (6) complete pathological and clinical data during follow-up. The exclusion criteria were: (1) a history of other cancers; and (2) incomplete clinical data.

**Preoperative and postoperative investigations**

Routine preoperative investigations included imaging and serological tests. All patients underwent a standard hepatobiliary and pancreatic surgery imaging protocol that included abdominal ultrasonography, contrast-enhanced MRI and/or CT scan of the abdomen, and plain radiography or non-contrast CT scan of the chest. All radiological examinations were reviewed by two experienced radiologists. Routine preoperative laboratory investigations included complete blood counts, liver and renal function tests, and tumor marker level. The coagulability state and infection index were obtained before surgery. Routine postoperative investigations included histopathology and immunohistochemical studies. Other pathological indexes used in this study included maximum tumor diameter, number of
tumors, and tumor encapsulation. Histopathological evaluations were performed by two independent and experienced pathologists who were blinded to the clinical data.

**Treatment**

All patients were assessed by a multidisciplinary team of experienced liver surgeons, oncologists, radiologists, and hepatologists at our hospital. Surgical resection was the treatment of choice if the disease was resectable. Surgical procedures have been reported previously, including open surgery, laparoscopic surgery, and robotic surgery.

**Follow-up**

Patients who underwent surgery were followed up once every day. In particular, their body temperature, infection index, and surgical related complications were recorded. Surgical complications and death were recorded during the postoperative hospital stay. This study was censored on March 1, 2020.

**Statistical analysis**

To compare baseline variables, the Student’s t-test was used for continuous variables and the \( \chi^2 \) test for categorical variables. Survival curves and univariate analyses were conducted using the Kaplan–Meier method, and differences were analyzed using the log-rank test. Prognostic factors that were significant on univariate analysis (\( P < 0.05 \)) were subjected to multivariate analysis using the Cox proportional hazards regression model. All reported P-values were two-sided. A significance level of 0.05 was applied throughout. Statistical analyses were performed using the R statistical package, Version 3.4.3 (R Development Team, Vienna, Austria).

**Results**

**Changes of disease spectrum**

Our hospital treated 92 patients from 12 provinces in the north of China during the COVID-19 epidemic (Fig. 1). In the time period from 2017 to 2020, the proportion of patients with malignant tumors increased significantly, even though the total number of surgical patients decreased (Fig. 2). During the COVID-19 epidemic, limited medical resources were directed to patients with malignant tumors. The use of robotic surgery also increased, which indicated that minimally invasive surgery can accelerate the recovery of patients after surgery (Fig. 3).

**Patient characteristics**

All 92 patients with hepatobiliary pancreatic diseases in this study were hospitalized during the outbreak from February 1, 2020, to February 29, 2020 (after the Spring Festival in China). They were divided into two groups according to their gender (66 men; 26 women). Baseline characteristics of all patients with hepatocellular carcinoma are detailed in Table 1. All patients had a normal body temperature (< 37.3°C) before surgery. Preoperative chest CT was normal in 90.2% of patients; 6.5% had cardiopulmonary
changes, all of whom were elderly, and one patient had bacterial pneumonia, old tuberculosis, or solid pulmonary nodule. None of the variables listed above showed any significant difference between the groups, except for weight and height (Table 1).
Table 1
The clinical features of patients in our Department of Hepatobiliary and Pancreatic Surgical Oncology (n = 92)

| Variables         | Total (N = 92) | Male (N = 66) | Female (N = 26) | P-value |
|-------------------|----------------|---------------|-----------------|---------|
| Age               | 58.21 ± 11.59  | 58.22 ± 11.282| 58.74 ± 11.061 | 0.896   |
| < 50              | 21(22.8%)      | 15(22.7%)     | 6(23.1%)        | 0.971   |
| > 50              | 71(77.2%)      | 51(77.3%)     | 20(76.9%)       |         |
| Temperature       | 36.58 ± 0.29   | 36.61 ± 0.28  | 36.53 ± 0.30    | 0.245   |
| < 37.3°C          | 92(100%)       | 66(100%)      | 26(100%)        |         |
| ≥ 37.3°C          | 0(0%)          | 0(0%)         | 0(0%)           |         |
| RBC (10^12/L)     | 4.03 ± 0.65    | 4.07 ± 0.72   | 3.92 ± 0.44     | 0.209   |
| < 3.5             | 16(17.4%)      | 11(16.7%)     | 5(19.2%)        | 0.770   |
| 3.5 ~ 5.5         | 76(82.6%)      | 55(83.3%)     | 21(80.8%)       |         |
| > 5.5             | 0(0%)          | 0(0%)         | 0(0%)           |         |
| WBC (10^9/L)      | 6.34 ± 2.78    | 6.01 ± 1.81   | 7.17 ± 4.30     | 0.195   |
| < 4               | 10(10.9%)      | 6(9.1%)       | 4(15.4%)        | 0.064   |
| 4 ~ 10            | 78(84.8%)      | 59(89.4%)     | 19(73.1%)       |         |
| > 10              | 4(4.3%)        | 1(1.5%)       | 3(11.5)         |         |
| Neutrophil proportion | 0.62 ± 0.11   | 0.62 ± 0.09   | 0.63 ± 0.15     | 0.820   |
| < 0.5             | 13 (14.1%)     | 8(12.1%)      | 5(19.2%)        | 0.208   |
| 0.5 ~ 0.7         | 62(67.4%)      | 48(72.7%)     | 14(53.8%)       |         |
| > 0.7             | 17(18.5%)      | 10(15.2%)     | 7(26.9%)        |         |
| Lymphocyte proportion | 0.27 ± 0.10   | 0.27 ± 0.09   | 0.28 ± 0.12     | 0.569   |
| < 0.2             | 19(20.7%)      | 13(19.7%)     | 6(23.1%)        | 0.456   |
| 0.2 ~ 0.4         | 61(66.3%)      | 46(69.7%)     | 15(57.7%)       |         |
| > 0.4             | 12(13.0%)      | 7(10.6%)      | 5(19.2%)        |         |
| CRP (mg/L)        | 3.68 ± 3.54    | 3.49 ± 3.51   | 4.15 ± 3.66     | 0.451   |
| ≤ 10              | 85(92.4%)      | 61(92.4%)     | 24(92.3%)       | 1.000   |
| > 10              | 7(7.6%)        | 5(7.6%)       | 2(7.7%)         |         |
| Variables                        | Total (N = 92) | Male (N = 66) | Female (N = 26) | P-value |
|---------------------------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|---------|
| **AST (U/L)**                   |               |               |                |         |
|                                 | 47.29 ± 46.88 | 46.38 ± 44.60 | 49.62 ± 53.09  | 0.767   |
| ≤ 35                            | 52 (56.5%)    | 37 (56.1%)    | 15 (57.7%)     | 0.887   |
| > 35                            | 40 (43.5%)    | 29 (43.9%)    | 11 (42.3%)     |         |
| **ALT (U/L)**                   |               |               |                |         |
|                                 | 62.25 ± 80.89 | 68.50 ± 91.80 | 46.39 ± 39.25  | 0.271   |
| ≤ 40                            | 46 (50.0%)    | 32 (48.5%)    | 14 (53.8%)     | 0.643   |
| > 40                            | 46 (50.0%)    | 34 (51.5%)    | 12 (46.2%)     |         |
| **CR (umol/L)**                 |               |               |                |         |
|                                 | 72.14 ± 18.11 | 74.43 ± 17.95 | 66.34 ± 17.54  | 0.053   |
| ≤ 105                           | 90 (97.8%)    | 64 (97.0%)    | 26 (100.0%)    | 1.000   |
| > 105                           | 2 (2.2%)      | 2 (3.0%)      | 0 (0%)         |         |
| **BUN (mmol/L)**                |               |               |                |         |
|                                 | 6.00 ± 10.03  | 6.53 ± 11.75  | 4.64 ± 2.05    | 0.036   |
| ≤ 7.1                           | 81 (88.0%)    | 58 (87.9%)    | 23 (88.5%)     | 1.000   |
| > 7.1                           | 11 (12.0%)    | 8 (12.1%)     | 3 (11.5%)      |         |
| **Chest CT**                    |               |               |                |         |
| Normal                          | 83 (90.2%)    | 58 (87.9%)    | 25 (96.2%)     | 0.879   |
| Cardiopulmonary changes in the elderly | 6 (6.5%) | 5 (7.6%) | 1 (3.8%) |         |
| Bacterial pneumonia             | 1 (1.1%)      | 1 (1.5%)      | 0 (0.0%)       |         |
| Old tuberculosis                | 1 (1.1%)      | 1 (1.5%)      | 0 (0.0%)       |         |
| Solid pulmonary nodule          | 1 (1.1%)      | 1 (1.5%)      | 0 (0.0%)       |         |
| **Previous surgical history**   |               |               |                |         |
| yes                             | 27 (29.3%)    | 15 (22.7%)    | 12 (46.2%)     | 0.026   |
| no                              | 65 (70.7%)    | 51 (77.3%)    | 14 (53.8%)     |         |
| **Weight (kg)**                 | 68.60 ± 11.11 | 70.71 ± 10.92 | 63.25 ± 9.89   | 0.003   |
| **Height (cm)**                 | 168.75 ± 6.80 | 171.67 ± 4.98 | 161.35 ± 4.95  | 0.000   |
| **BMI (kg/m²)**                 | 24.08 ± 3.59  | 23.98 ± 3.50  | 24.32 ± 3.86   | 0.685   |
| **Preoperative time (d)**       | 7.25 ± 3.41   | 7.43 ± 3.63   | 6.79 ± 2.77    | 0.420   |
| **Postoperative time (d)**      | 8.33 ± 3.25   | 8.69 ± 3.42   | 7.43 ± 2.65    | 0.095   |
# Operative characteristics

During the COVID-19 epidemic, 82% of the patients (75/92) underwent robotic surgery, while the rest were treated using laparoscopic (2/92) or open (15/92) surgery. Operations performed in February 2020 included partial hepatectomy, resection of hilar cholangiocarcinoma, pancreaticoduodenectomy, distal
pancreatic resection, and others (including benign and malignant cholecystectomy). All details are shown in Table 2.

Table 2
Operations carried out during February 2020 in our Department of Hepatobiliary and Pancreatic Surgical Oncology (n = 92)

| Operation                                      | Surgical procedures |
|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------|
|                                               | Robotic | Laparoscopic | Open |
| Partial hepatectomy                           | 16       | 0            | 1    |
| Hemihepatectomy                              | 7        | 0            | 3    |
| Middle hepatectomy                           | 1        | 0            | 0    |
| Radical resection of hilar cholangiocarcinoma | 10       | 0            | 2    |
| Radical cholecystectomy                       | 3        | 0            | 0    |
| PD                                            | 26       | 0            | 5    |
| RAMPS                                         | 12       | 0            | 0    |
| Pancreatic tumor enucleation                 | 1        | 0            | 0    |
| Others                                        | 1        | 2            | 2    |
| Summary (%)                                   | 77 (83.7%) | 2 (2.2%) | 13 (14.1%) |

**Abbreviation:** PD: Pancreateicoduodenectomy; RAMPS: Radical antegrade modular pancreateosplenectomy.

**Pathological characteristics**

Among all 92 patients, 86 had malignant tumor and six had benign tumor. Pathological results were pancreatic malignant tumor, cholangiocarcinoma, hepatic carcinoma, periampullary carcinoma, and acute benign diseases. All details are shown in Table 3.
**Table 3**
The pathology results of patients in our Department of Hepatobiliary and Pancreatic Surgical Oncology

| Pathology results                        | Subtotal         | Ratio  |
|------------------------------------------|------------------|--------|
| Pancreatic head carcinoma                | Pancreatic malignant tumor (25) | 11     | 44.0% |
| Distal pancreatic cancer                 |                  | 12     | 48.0% |
| Insulinoma of the pancreatic body        |                  | 1      | 4.0%  |
| The pancreatic head IPMN                 |                  | 1      | 4.0%  |
| Carcinoma of the lower middle bile duct  | Cholangiocarcinoma (28) | 13     | 46.4% |
| Hilar cholangiocarcinoma                 |                  | 12     | 42.9% |
| Carcinoma of gallbladder                 |                  | 3      | 10.7% |
| Hepatic metastatic carcinoma             | Malignant tumors of the liver(27) | 9      | 33.3% |
| Primary liver cancer                     |                  | 18     | 66.7% |
| Periampullary carcinoma                  | Periampullary carcinoma (6) | 6      | 100.0%|
| Hepatic echinococcosis                   | Benign diseases (6) | 1      | 16.7% |
| Gallstone, acute cholecystitis           |                  | 4      | 66.7% |
| Bile - intestinal anastomosis stenosis   |                  | 1      | 16.7% |
| Summary                                  | Malignant        | 86     | 93.5% |
|                                          | Benign           | 6      | 6.5%  |

Postoperative complications

Major postoperative complications after hepatobiliary pancreatic surgery included pancreatic fistula, biliary fistula, and bleeding. Only five patients had severe pancreatic fistula after operation. Three cases of biliary fistula occurred, one of which was severe. In addition, 2 of the 92 patients had postoperative bleeding and underwent emergency interventional embolization to return to stable condition. All details are shown in Table 4.
Table 4
Perioperative outcomes of patients in our Department of Hepatobiliary and Pancreatic Surgical Oncology during February 2020

|                         | Male       | Female     | Total      | P-value |
|-------------------------|------------|------------|------------|---------|
| **Pancreatic fistula (n = 44)** |            |            |            |         |
| BL                      | 24 (72.8%) | 8 (72.8%)  | 32 (72.8%) | 1.000   |
| B                       | 6 (18.2%)  | 2 (18.2%)  | 8 (18.2%)  |         |
| C                       | 3 (9.1%)   | 1 (9.1%)   | 4 (9.1%)   |         |
| **Biliary fistula (n = 79)** |            |            |            |         |
| No                      | 56 (96.6%) | 21 (100.0%)| 77 (97.5%) | 1.000   |
| Yes                     | 2 (3.4%)   | 0 (0.0%)   | 2 (2.5%)   |         |
| **Bleeding (n = 92)**   |            |            |            |         |
| No                      | 64 (97.0%) | 26 (100.0%)| 90 (97.8%) | 1.000   |
| Yes                     | 2 (3.0%)   | 0 (0.0%)   | 2 (2.2%)   |         |

Discussion

In the space of just 3 months, a novel coronavirus—a family that historically was not viewed as a global health concern—has become daily headline news worldwide. Studies have shown that the disease, recently named COVID-19 (coronavirus disease 2019, COVID-19) by the World Health Organization (WHO), can induce symptoms including fever, dry cough, dyspnea, fatigue, and lymphopenia in infected patients. In more severe cases, infections cause viral pneumonia that can lead to SARS and even death. Since the first report of COVID-19 in December 2019 in Wuhan, China, the outbreak of the disease is has been continuously evolving. During the COVID-19 epidemic, many medical resources have been directed to infected patients and many hospitals have closed. As a result, patients with malignant tumors often lose their best treatment opportunities.

Hepatobiliary pancreatic tumors are one of the most common cancers. Patients with liver cancer, gallbladder cancer, or pancreatic cancer have a fast progression and poor prognosis. Our hepatobiliary pancreatic surgical oncology department performed 92 operations in February 1–29, 2020, during the most serious stage of the epidemic. In this study, we summarized the process management of patients with hepatobiliary pancreatic tumors during the outbreak, and clinical and surgical characteristics and postoperative complications in 92 patients. We believe that our experience will help other clinicians working during the epidemic.

Recent studies have reported that rapid person-to-person transmission of COVID-19 occurs. In addition, COVID-19 has been detected in stool samples of patients with abdominal symptoms. However, it
is difficult to differentiate and screen patients with typical symptoms. Nevertheless, rapid human-to-human transmission among close contacts is an important feature of the COVID-19 pneumonia.\textsuperscript{21} Hospitals in all provinces and cities across China have taken effective measures to control the spread of COVID-19. However, suspected or uninfected patients with malignant tumors have often been isolated, so these patients cannot receive timely and effective treatments, leading to tumor progression and more non-infectious deaths because of malignant tumors rather than COVID-19 infection. Our department of hepatobiliary and pancreatic surgical oncology was the only surgical oncology department that continued normal operations in the capital of China during the outbreak; we established a relatively reasonable admission process to ensure diagnosis and treatment of patients with malignant tumor in the surrounding area. In addition, we performed surgery in 92 patients from 12 provinces in the north of China during the COVID-19 epidemic. The above admission process from our department may be worth introducing in other large regional hospitals.

The advantages of minimally invasive surgery were demonstrated during the COVID-19 outbreak.\textsuperscript{22} Robotic surgical systems, which were developed to address the disadvantages of laparoscopy, have made minimally invasive hepatobiliary and pancreatic surgery much more accessible to surgeons.\textsuperscript{23,24} Among the 92 patients in this study, 93.5\% had malignant tumors, requiring timely and effective surgical resection, and 81.5\% were subjected to robotic surgery and 2.2\% to laparoscopic surgery. Moreover, our department led the drafting and development of an international expert consensus on robotic pancreatic and robotic hepatectomy surgery.\textsuperscript{25,26} Robotic surgery is as safe as laparoscopic and open surgery, with comparable intraoperative blood loss, length of hospital stay (LOS), overall postoperative complication rate, perioperative mortality, and rate of postoperative pancreatic fistula (POPF) to laparoscopic surgery. Moreover, robotic surgery has a longer operating time, less intraoperative blood loss, and shorter LOS than open surgery, whereas it has a similar overall complication rate, perioperative mortality, and POPF rate.\textsuperscript{25} Taken together, robotic surgery has some advantages in patients with hepatobiliary pancreatic tumor, especially during COVID-19 outbreak, in that it decreases LOS and enhances recovery after surgery.

The present study had some limitations. First, data came from a single center and were acquired retrospectively. Second, the study was descriptive, so it is unclear whether the results can be extrapolated to other public health events. Third, we only included patients who underwent emergency operations and elective surgeries for hepatobiliary and pancreatic cancers, so it remains to be confirmed whether the approach described is beneficial in patients with benign hepatobiliary and pancreatic diseases.

In conclusion, we performed surgical resection in 92 patients with hepatobiliary and pancreatic oncology in a department that maintained a normal working regimen during the COVID-19 epidemic. Our standardized hospitalization and treatment processes could prevent cross-infection of patients and still ensure the timely treatment of patients with hepatobiliary and pancreatic cancers. These findings will guide the operation of surgical oncology departments and treatment of patients with hepatobiliary and pancreatic cancers during serious epidemics.
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Figures
Figure 1

All 92 patients from 12 provinces in the north of China during the COVID-19 epidemic. Note: The designations employed and the presentation of the material on this map do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of Research Square concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. This map has been provided by the authors.

Trends in disease types from 2017 to 2020

| Year | Benign | Malignant | Malignant Ratio | Benign Ratio |
|------|--------|-----------|-----------------|--------------|
| 2017 | 34     | 97        | 74.0%           | 26.0%        |
| 2018 | 55     | 95        | 63.3%           | 36.7%        |
| 2019 | 35     | 117       | 77.0%           | 23.0%        |
| 2020 | 6      | 86        | 93.5%           | 6.5%         |
Figure 2

Trends in disease types from 2017 to 2020 in the same time period.

Figure 3

Trends in surgical procedures from 2017 to 2020 in the same time period.