Current Developments in the Therapy of Protozoan Infections
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Abstract: Protozoan parasites cause serious human and zoonotic infections, including life-threatening diseases such as malaria, African and American trypanosomiasis, and leishmaniasis. These diseases are no more common in the developed world, but together they still threaten about 40% of the world population (WHO estimates). Mortality and morbidity are high in developing countries, and the lack of vaccines makes chemotherapy the only suitable option. However, available antiparasitic drugs are hampered by more or less marked toxic side effects and by the emergence of drug resistance. As the main prevalence of parasitic diseases occurs in the poorest areas of the world, the interest of the pharmaceutical companies in the development of new drugs has been traditionally scarce. The establishment of public-private partnerships focused on tropical diseases is changing this situation, allowing the exploitation of the technological advances that took place during the past decade related to genomics, proteomics, and in silico drug discovery approaches. These techniques allowed the identification of new molecular targets that in some cases are shared by different parasites. In this review we outline the recent developments in the fields of protease and topoisomerase inhibitors, antimicrobial and cell-penetrating peptides, and RNA interference. We also report on the rapidly developing field of new vectors (micro and nano particles, mesoporous materials) that in some cases can cross host or parasite natural barriers and, by selectively delivering new or already in use drugs to the target site, minimize dosage and side effects.
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INTRODUCTION

Protozoan parasitic diseases remain an unsolved public health problem, especially in tropical regions. The major death toll is due to malaria, leishmaniasis, and African and American trypanosomiasis, whose high mortality rates in developing countries are associated to poor sanitary conditions and lack of efficient prophylactic measures [1]. In the so-called developed countries these diseases were since long eradicated, but some of them are reappearing as opportunistic infections in immunodeficient patients or as emerging diseases related to tourist exchange, immigration flows from endemic areas and climate changes linked to global warming [2]. Despite the remarkable amount of research aimed at the production of protective vaccines, the success is still elusive and chemotherapy remains the mainstay for the treatment of these diseases. However, many of the drugs currently in use were introduced decades ago, and most of them suffer of serious disadvantages. Because the main prevalence of parasitic diseases occurs in the poorest areas of the world, the market has not enough strength to drive the development of new drugs. In 2000, only about 0.1% of investment in health research was related to malaria, leishmaniasis, trypanosomiasis and tuberculosis, whereas the cumulative contribution of these diseases to the global disease burden is 5% [1].

Notwithstanding these handicaps, in recent years the search for antiparasitic drugs received new impulse thanks to new technical and political developments. Among these, particularly important have been the publicly-founded sequencing of parasitic genomes and the establishment of public-private partnerships focused on tropical diseases [1].

The studies on host-parasite interactions at the cellular level have been revolutionized by the use of reporter genes, from β-gal to luciferase and fluorescent proteins [3]. This technology provided new impetus to the development of reliable drug screens, and a WHO program for training in tropical diseases research has initiated a network based on reporter gene technology to hasten the process of drug discovery [3]. The availability of the fully sequenced and assembled genomes of Plasmodium, Leishmania and Trypanosoma species provides a multidisciplinary approach based on structure-function studies of proteins and high-throughput screening of drug libraries. In theory, the possibility to compare parasitic genomes and the in deep knowledge of parasite metabolism allow the development of new drugs that target biochemical processes common to different parasites. This strategy, when possible, would produce huge savings in the development, toxicity testing and marketing procedures. Accordingly, many recently established lines of research are primarily focused on the identification of new targets and on the subsequent screening of thousands of substances to find active molecules suitable for human therapy.

In this short review we will report on protease and topoisomerase inhibitors, antimicrobial peptides, and on new ap-
proteases based on RNA interference, proteomics and nano-drug delivery.

**PROTEASE INHIBITORS**

Proteases, a large group of ubiquitous enzymes involved in a multitude of physiological reactions, ranging from protein catabolism to activation or signal functions, have recently been identified as druggable targets. Many protease inhibitors are currently used in antiretroviral therapy and more are being developed to treat hypertension, diabetes, thrombosis, osteoporosis, infectious diseases, and cancer. Several proteases have also been identified as promising targets by genetic or chemical knock-out studies in protozoan parasites [4]. As homologous proteases can perform similar functions in different parasites, it can be envisaged that in some cases a single inhibitor could be used for more than one disease among malaria, trypanosomiasis, leishmaniasis, toxoplasmosis, cryptococcosis, and amebiasis. Protozoan proteases are involved in the cell metabolism or in the interaction with the host, behaving in this case as true virulence factors. On this assumptions, the selective inhibition of crucial protozoan proteases is one of the most promising strategies to develop new therapies against *Plasmodium, Leishmania* and *Trypanosoma*.

Essential processes unique to the *Plasmodium* and not to the host represent good targets for new antimalarial drugs. The sequencing of the *Plasmodium* genome brought into light many new potential targets, that can be broadly classified into three categories: targets involved in membrane transport and signalling, targets involved in metabolic processes, and targets involved in protein degradation [5]. Malarial proteases can be divided into two functional groups: proteases involved in erythrocyte invasion and rupture, and proteases involved in haemoglobin degradation [6]. Cysteine protease (CP) inhibitors such as the epoxysuccinyl peptide E64 from *Aspergillus japonicum*, or leupeptin (an actinomycete-derived tetrapeptide) and chymostatin (a streptomycte-derived mixture of three oligopeptides) block erythrocyte rupture, causing the clustering of intracellular merozoites. These molecules can be used as templates for the development of new inhibitors specific to individual plasmodial proteases [6]. One of the best studied plasmodial metabolic pathways is the process of haemoglobin degradation [7]. Within the intra-erythrocytic form of the parasite the haemoglobin is degraded by papain-like CPs (falcipains) and aspartic proteases (plasmepsins) to amino acids utilized by the host [8]. As plasmepsins share a 65-70% sequence homology with parasite plasmepsins [5]. Starting from the three-dimensional structure of *P. falciparum* plasmepsin II, a series of compounds of the bicyclic diaminyl family, active against the above mentioned *P. falciparum* plasmepsins but inactive on human cathepsins, have been developed [10]. The screening and testing of new plasmepsins inhibitors is performed by many research teams using the most up-to-date techniques, such as proteomics [11] or the computational in silico approach [5, 12, 13]. By using the proteomics approach, Liu et al. have recently identified a *P. falciparum* plasmepsin inhibitor, G16, with an IC₅₀ value of 937.5 nm and no apparent cytotoxicity against common mammalian cell lines [11]. The inhibitory effect of this compound has been tested *in vitro* on human parasite-infected RBC. Results showed a dose-dependent, marked decrease in the number of newly formed ring-stage parasites, and at the same time an increase of free extracellular merozoites. These results need to be fully investigated prior to further development of the compound.

Proteases also play multiple roles in the pathogenesis of Chagas disease. The complete sequentiation of *T. cruzi* genome showed the presence of seventy CPs, forty serine peptidases, about two hundred and fifty metallopeptidases (most of them presenting homology to leishmanolysin), twenty five threonine peptidases and two aspartic peptidases [14]. Among these, cruzipain, a lysosomal enzyme belonging to type I CPs, is the best characterized and is currently considered a relevant candidate as a drug target and as a vaccine component [14]. The agents of African trypanosomiasis share a major CP termed rhodesain in *T. b. rhodesiense* and brucipain in *T. b. brucei*, both belonging to the cathepsin L subfamily of the papain-like CPs and showing a 98.4% homology in protein sequence [15]. Effective inhibitors include thiosemicarbazones, that have a reversible effect, and peptidyl-vinyl sulfones, peptidyl-epoxychetones, and peptidyl-epoxysuccinates that irreversibly inactivate the enzyme active site [15]. The recently investigated aziridinyl peptides belonging to the family of peptidomimetic CP irreversible inhibitors are active against the above mentioned trypanosome major CPs [15], plasmoidal falcipain 2 [16] and leishmania CPs [17]. Some of these compounds are highly selective for cathepsin-like parasite CPs and have been shown to impair the *in vitro* growth of *L. major* promastigotes and to decrease the infection rate of macrophages. Moreover, these compounds modulate cytokine secretion and stimulate NO production by infected macrophages [17].

**TOPOISOMERASE INHIBITORS**

DNA topoisomerases are ubiquitous enzymes involved in DNA replication, transcription, recombination and repair processes. They can be divided into two categories: DNA topoisomerases type I and type II. Eukaryotic cell type I DNA topoisomerases are monomeric ATP-independent enzymes active on positively and negatively supercoiled DNA by introducing single-stranded breaks followed by passage and rejoining. They can be divided into type IA, that cova-
lently bind to the 5' end of the broken DNA strand, and type IB that bind to the 3' end. *Leishmania* and *Trypanosoma* type IB topoisomerases have a peculiar heterodimeric bisubunit structure, unique in nature, which makes them very attractive as drug targets [2, 18]. Type II topoisomerases are ATP-dependent enzymes that introduce transient double strand breaks in the double helix followed by passage and rejoining. They can also be divided into type IIα, with homodimeric structure, and type IIβ, with heterotetrameric structure [2].

Topoisomerase inhibitors fall into two general categories: compounds that stimulate the formation of covalent DNA–DNA complexes (topoisomerase poisons or class I inhibitors), and compounds that interfere with the enzymatic functions (class II inhibitors). The identification of topoisomerases as promising drug targets is based on the clinical success of camptothecin derivatives as anticancer agents. Camptothecin, a natural pentacyclic alkaloid obtained from the barks and stem of the Chinese plant *Camptotheca acuminata*, exerts a strong inhibition on a wide range of type I topoisomerases. The molecule as such has heavy toxic side-effects, but it is the progenitor of a large family of anti-tumour derivatives, some of which are currently used in anticancer therapy. Camptothecin is a potent poison of *P. falciparum* and *T. brucei* topoisomerase IB. Two of its derivatives, irinotecan and topotecan, which are in use as anti-tumour drugs, showed a reduced cytotoxic effect against *T. brucei* trypanastigotes, probably due to low intake into the parasite [19]. Camptothecin has been shown to inhibit the growth of *L. donovani* promastigotes *in vitro* [20], and studies performed in a mouse model of visceral leishmaniasis showed that the i.p. administration of liposome-encapsulated camptothecin at a dose of 2.5 mg/kg body weight induced a significant reduction of the parasitic burden in spleen and liver [21]. Sen et al. elucidated camptothecin mechanism of action in *L. donovani*, showing that by inhibiting type IB topoisomerase it starts a cascade of events resulting in cellular apoptosis [22]. Some topoisomerase II inhibitors such as ofloxacin, m-amsacrine and DW6, a DNA quadruplex binder, are also active against *Trichomonas vaginalis*, whose resistance against currently used drugs is increasing worldwide [23].

**ANTIMICROBIAL PEPTIDES**

Antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) are evolutionary conserved factors [24] that participate to the innate immune response representing the first line of defence against pathogens, and combine antimicrobial activity with angiogenic, immunomodulating and anti-inflammatory properties [25]. The concept of using AMPs as therapeutic tools was first introduced in the late 1990s, however none of them has yet reached the market [26]. Following the discovery, some 20 years ago, that magainins and cecropins display antiparasitic activities, many studies suggest that AMPs represent a promising starting point to develop new and efficient antiparasitic drugs [27]. Most known AMPs have been found in the skin of amphibians, but they are also produced by skin and mucosal surfaces of mammals in response to infections. The AMP exact mechanism of action has yet to be established, but, according to the most accepted model, the initial phase consists in an electrostatic interaction with the target cell, followed by massive accumulation and insertion into the plasma membrane. Subsequently, cecropins and magainins kill microorganisms by membrane permeabilization, with a detergent-like effect accompanied by pore formation [28-30]. This mechanism is quite rapid, concentration-dependent and, most important, it does not need to interact with a specific receptor, thus avoiding the selection of resistant strains. The AMP specificity of action relies on the differences in the composition and physicochemical properties of germ and host cell membranes. The possibility to select resistant proteinase-producer strains is unlikely, due to the fast kinetics of the process. Protozoan resistance mechanisms could more probably be of the barrier type, including the ability to form cysts (*Entamoeba*, *Giardia*, *Toxoplasma*, *Cryptosporidium*), the production of glycocalix (*Trypanosomatidae*), and the suitability to intracellular parasitism (*Plasmodium*, *Leishmania*, *T. cruzi*, *Toxoplasma*, *Cryptosporidium*). Many AMPs of different origin have been shown to be active against protozoa: *Plasmodium falciparum* is sensitive to magainin 2 and dermaseptin S3 and S4; *T. cruzi* to phyllopectin O1 and O2 and to dermaseptin DI1 and DI2; *C. parvum* to magainin 2, buforin 2, and ranalexin 1CB; *L. mexicana* to dermaseptin S1; *L. amazonensis* to dermaseptin O1 and H3; *L. major* to skin peptide YY and to dermaseptin S1, S4 and to their synthetic analogues: *L. infantum* to temporin 1Sa; *L. donovani* to bombinin H2 and H4, and to temporin A and B [31, 32].

A second mechanism of action of AMPs involves the recognition of intracellular targets. These peptides cross the plasma membrane without permanently harming it, and recognize intracellular targets such as DNA (buforin), DnaK chaperone (drosocin and phyrhocorrycin), and mitochondria (histatin 5) [33]. Histatin 5 is a human salivary AMP that targets fungal mitochondria and is also active against *Leishmania*, in which it induces a decrease of mitochondrial ATP synthesis ending in cell death [33]. This molecule is considered very interesting because it behaves as a true cell-penetrating peptide (a class of peptides more extensively treated below) that can be exploited to ferry a wide variety of cargo molecules (peptides, proteins, nucleic acids, and even nanoparticles) across the cell membrane [33]. Among AMP advantages we can list their rapid action against a broad spectrum of pathogens and their low tendency for resistance development, but their practical use is limited by serious drawbacks concerning difficult synthesis, metabolic stability, immunogenicity, bioavailability, route of administration, and production cost [34]. Most of these disadvantages are related to AMP large size, so the research in this field is currently devoted to design and develop smaller synthetic peptidomimetics [34-36].

**NEW APPROACHES**

Whereas traditional methods to generate genetic knockouts in protozoa are time-consuming, RNA interference (RNAi) can easily inhibit the expression of a target gene even if it is present in multiple copies, and as such is a simpler and faster method to achieve genetic turn off. The exploitation of this technique allowed the discovery of hundreds of host genes not previously known to be involved in host-pathogen interactions [37]. By now RNAi is extensively used to probe for protein functions [38, 39], to validate new drug targets [40] and to search for novel cell cycle regulators.
in *T. brucei* [41]. RNAi has been also used to elucidate the mechanisms that allow *L. donovani* survival inside phagocytic cells [42], and to investigate the genetic base of resistance to malaria parasites in different anophelines strains [43].

Beyond its function as a valuable tool for gene function analysis, it can be envisaged that RNAi could be exploited for therapeutic purposes by directly inhibiting parasite gene expression with small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) used as drugs. The introduction of a specific double stranded RNA into *T. brucei* by electroporation triggered a transient specific silencing of a cognate gene [44]. By the use of an integratable vector containing a tetracycline-inducible promoter and a DNA sequence producing dsRNA as a stem-loop structure, Wang et al. obtained a stably maintained inhibition of *T. brucei* gene expression [45], whereas Claser et al. by RNAi-mediated silencing of cytokeratin 18 gene inhibited *T. cruzi* intracellular replication [46].

The major limitation to the clinical application of RNAi is the poor permeability of cell membranes to negatively charged nucleic acids. To circumvent this problem, research has focused on the development of efficient delivery strategies, of which one of the most promising seems the nonvector-based technique. Recently Aouadi M et al. demonstrated that in mice it is possible to target macrophages by orally delivering siRNAs encapsulated in glucan nanoparticles, obtaining a significant suppression of LPS-induced TNF-α production [47]. A second ssRNA delivery technique exploits the carrier capacities of cell-penetrating peptides (CPPs) [48]. The existence of CPPs, also referred to as protein transduction domains, was inferred from the observation that the HIV-1 Tat-protein was able to cross the plasma membrane by itself [49, 50]. Thereafter, a wide variety of CPPs derived from natural proteins have been discovered, and many others have been designed [51]. So far, CPPs can be grouped into two classes, of which the first requires covalent linkage with the transported drug and has been validated for protein and peptide delivery, whereas the second, involving the formation of stable but non covalent linkages with the drug, allowed the introduction of oligonucleotides and siRNAs on preclinical states [52]. The CPP-based strategy has several advantages, including rapid and highly efficient intracellular delivery, stability of the complex in serum and physiological buffers, and lack of toxicity. Beyond the common CPP ability to deliver intracellular drugs with no membrane damage, some peptides such as pVEC and TP10 possess direct antimicrobial activity against *Candida albicans*, *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Mycobacterium smegmatis* [53]. More recently Arrighi et al. demonstrated that TP10 is active against the blood and mosquito stages of *P. falciparum* and against the blood stage of *T. brucei brucei* [54].

The trypanosomatid genome sequentiation boosted the use of proteome-based techniques, that markedly contribute to our understanding of parasite biology, host-parasite interactions, and drug action mechanisms. For most antimalarial drugs, neither mode of action nor mechanisms of resistance development are fully elucidated, although these would be important prerequisites for successfully developing urgently required novel antimalarials [55]. The mechanisms underlying *P. falciparum* drug resistance have been investigated by analyzing the protein expression changes in trophozoites following treatment with chloroquine and artemisine [55]. These experiments were set up to develop a large-scale quantitative proteomic approach to study cellular responses to drug pressure, and allowed the preliminary identification of two sets of proteins up- and down-regulated in treated parasites.

The *T. brucei* dominant factor responsible for resistance to the trypanolytic activity of human serum has been identified as a protein named SRA for Serum Resistance-Associated [56]. This protein is a truncated version of the VSG (the parasite variant surface glycoprotein) and is located in the endosomes, where it neutralizes human apolipoprotein L1, a factor that induces parasite death by osmotic effect. Experiments performed in mouse models of *T. brucei* infection have shown that the injection of a conjugate combining the pore-forming domain of apolL1 with the antigen-binding region of a specific camelid antibody can block the course of infection [57]. Proteomic screen techniques are also very useful to identify proteins responsible for resistance to in-use drugs. For example, El Fadili et al. observed that the expression of argininosuccinate synthetase (ARGG) was increased in a drug-resistant strain of *L. infantum*, whereas a decrease in the expression of the kinetoplast membrane protein (KMP-11) correlated with the drug resistance phenotype [58].

Apart from the discovery of new drugs prompted by the identification of new targets, promising new fields of antiparasitic research are the approaches aimed to bring the drug directly and efficiently to the target pathogen. Drug chemistry alone is often not sufficient to fulfill this task, especially when the parasite localizes within organs, tissues or cells that behave as chemical or physical barriers to drug diffusion. Low efficiency of drug targeting requires higher dosages, and is considered one of the main causes of the serious side effects observed with most currently used antiparasitic drugs [59].

Novel formulation approaches involve the use of microcrystals, nanoparticles, liposomes, niosomes or micro-emulsions. The polynene antibiotic amphotericin B (AmB), isolated in 1955 from *Streptomyces nodosus*, has a notable effect against *Leishmanina*, but due to its toxicity it is used as a second-line treatment for emerging strains resistant to first-line products [60].

In the last decade AmB has been considered as a model for drug targeting and has been tried in many drug-carryer systems. Toxicity reduction has been achieved by the use of vehicles as lipid emulsions and unilamellar liposomes, some of which are presently in use [61]. However, the need of intravenous administration, stability and storage problems, and especially the high cost (that for some formulations is estimated to surpass one thousand dollars per patient per day) preclude their widespread use in developing countries [60]. Nanosized carriers are receiving special attention with the aim to improve drug bioavailability and selectivity, and some of them have already proved their effectiveness in the treatment and prophylaxis of malaria in animal models [62]. Basu and Lala prepared niosomes, non-ionic surfactant vesicles with a retention capacity higher than that of liposomes, that resulted suitable alternatives as drug carriers in *in vitro*
and in vivo tests [63]. The same and other Authors also tested different delivery systems for an unsaturated triterpene acid isolated from Mimusops elangii [64]. The nanoparticulate form has reduced hepatotoxicity and nephrotoxicity and may be considered useful for clinical applications in human leishmaniasis. Similarly, an indolizine compound linked to phospholipid microspheres was found active in experimental leishmaniasis [65], and the nanocapsulated quercetin resulted less toxic and more potent in reducing the Leishmania burden in a hamster infection model [66]. Albumin microcapsules, that have been already validated as octafluoropropane carriers to enhance contrast in ultrasound imaging, represent an alternative that, as well as liposomes, selectively target macrophages. The encapsulation of AmB in albumin microparticles is cheap, and reduced toxicity improving AmB efficacy in vitro [60] and in a hamster model of L. infantum infection [67].

Recently, new inorganic materials with a porous structure that can be modified to control the drug release rate have been identified. These carriers are non toxic, biocompatible, and with a higher chemical and mechanical stability than organic vectors. Silica-based ordered mesoporous materials (i.e. materials that possess pores with diameters between 2 and 50 nm) offer the advantage that the channels used as reservoirs to store drugs and biomolecules can be opened and closed at will by stimuli such as heat, pH, light, chemicals, ultrasounds or magnetism [68]. So far, mesoporous materials have been tested as controlled delivery systems of antibiotics (amoxicillin, gentamicin and erythromycin) [68], and of antifungal drugs (ketoconazole, griseofulvin and itraconazole) [69]. With in vivo experiments on rabbits and dogs, Maellaerts et al. demonstrated that the systemic availability of itraconazole was significantly boosted when the drug was loaded into SBA-15, a mesoporous silicate that enhances the dissolution of drugs poorly soluble in water [70].

CONCLUSIONS

Modern drug discovery relies on different, highly sophisticated and complementary strategies that are very costly. The interaction between academic laboratories and lead discovery departments of private companies [71], coupled with new funding sources and non-for-profit partnership, is crucial to identify new active compounds [72]. Computer-assisted drug design based on large molecular databases of compounds and targets are making in silico approaches like virtual screening by molecular docking more and more efficient and cost-effective [73]. In vitro experiments based on the modelling results are already underway [74].

The identification of new targets and active compounds is rapidly progressing, but it is not expected to meet the therapy needs in the close future. Therefore the short-term availability of new antiprotozoan drugs more reasonably relies on the development of already existing drugs, as is the case of ferroquine, an organometallic molecule that can overcome P. falciparum resistance to chloroquine and has entered phase IIb clinical trials in 2007 [75], or on the optimization of already in use effective drugs, as could be the case of antimonials in leishmaniasis. In this field, new perspectives are offered by the proven activity of orally administered meglumine antimoniate-b-cyclodextrin conjugates [76], and by the development of a new liposome formulation of meglumine antimoniate, that in a dog infection model was effective at a 20-fold-lower cumulative dose of Sb than is used for conventional antimonial treatment [77]. A third possibility is the cooptation of drugs already developed for other pathologies, as has been the case of miltefosine, an anticanccer agent originally used for the local treatment of skin metastases and now also marketed for its high activity against L. donovani. Similarly, a new molecule now in phase III anticancer clinical trial, tipifarnib, is also currently being developed to be used against T. cruzi [78].

New developments are also involving the design of precensored phase III antimalarial trials: from tests aimed to assess the “superiority” of investigational products against the best in-use drugs, it has recently been recommended to shift to “non-inferiority” study design, in which the new therapy is deemed acceptable if it performs “at least as well as” a currently approved therapy [79]. This change should allow the licensing of a greater number of new antimalarials, that could minimize the global impact of resistance to artemisinin combination therapy, should it arise.
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