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Abstract

Background

With the aim of providing a dynamic evaluation of the effects of basic environmental parameters on COVID-19-related death rate, we assessed the correlation between average monthly high temperatures and population density, with death/rate (monthly number of deaths/1M people) for the months of March (start of the analysis and beginning of local epidemic in most of the Western World, except in Italy where it started in February) and April 2020 (continuation of the epidemic). Different geographical areas of the Northern Hemisphere in the United States and in Europe were selected in order to provide a wide range among the different parameters. The death rates were gathered from an available dataset. As a further control, we also included latitude, as a proxy for temperature.

Methods

Utilizing a publicly available dataset, we retrieved data for the months of March and April 2020 for 25 areas in Europe and in the US. We computed the monthly number of deaths/1M people of confirmed COVID-19 cases and calculated the average monthly high temperatures and population density for all these areas. We determined the correlation between number of deaths/1M people and the average monthly high temperatures, the latitude and the population density.

Results

We divided our analysis in two parts: analysis of the correlation among the different variables in the month of March and subsequent analysis in the month of April. The differences were then evaluated). In the month of March there was no statistical correlation between average monthly high temperatures of the considered geographical areas and number of deaths/1M people. However, a statistically significant inverse correlation became significative in the month of April between average monthly high temperatures (p=0.0104) and latitude (p=0.0119) with number of deaths/1M people. We also observed a statistically significative correlation between population density and number of deaths/1M people only in the month of April, when three areas extremely populated (NYC, Los Angeles and Washington DC) were included in the calculation. Once these three areas were removed, the correlation was not statistically significant (p=0.682).

Conclusions

The number of COVID-19-related deaths/1M people was essentially the same during the month of March for all the geographical areas considered, indicating essentially that the infection was circulating quite uniformly except for Lombardy, Italy, where it started earlier. Lockdown measures were implemented between the end of March and beginning of April, except for Italy which started March 9th. We observed a strong, statistically significant inverse correlation between average monthly high temperatures with the number of deaths/1M people. We confirmed the data by analyzing the correlation with the latitude, which can be considered a proxy for high temperature. Previous studies indicated a negative effect of high
climate temperatures on Sars-COV-2 spreading. Our data indicate that social distancing measure are more successful in the presence of higher daily average temperatures in reducing COVID-19-related death rate, and a high level of population density seems to negatively impact the effect of lockdown measures.

**Background**

Recently a novel, human pathogen Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV–2) emerged in China and its worldwide spread created a global health emergency. The World Health Organization publicly declared the SARS-CoV–2 outbreak a pandemic on March 11th 2020, and so far the virus has caused more than 200,000 deaths worldwide, strongly impacting the global economy and human habits. Different environmental conditions can affect host-pathogen interactions. In particular most respiratory viruses are sensitive to local geographical conditions like local temperature and humidity [1]. This in turn generates seasonal waves of outbreaks, by impacting viral transmission and survival [2, 3].

Some studies indicate that warm climates influences SARS-CoV–2 geographical distribution by reducing its infectivity rates [4–9], and others include additional factors, like air pollution, as responsible for increased viral spread [10].

In this study we correlate the average monthly high temperatures and the population density of different geographical areas with number of deaths/1M people. We focused our analysis on the number of deaths/1M people instead of number of SARS-CoV–2 RNA-positive people to avoid potential bias due to the different number of total PCR tests in the different areas considered. The areas in the Northern hemisphere were selected to provide a wide range among the different parameters, and the death rate data were gathered from an available dataset. In order to provide a dynamic correlation, the average monthly high temperatures and deaths numbers data were compared for the months of March (start of the analysis and beginning of local epidemic in most of the Western World) with the month of April. Except in Italy, which started at the beginning of March, lockdown measures were implemented between the end of March and the beginning of April in the remaining areas. By reasoning that the latitude is a proxy for temperature, as a further control we then calculated the latitude of the same areas with the number of deaths/1M. Our data may help to understand the impact of daily temperatures on COVID–19-related death rate and modeling the containment measures according to different geographical areas, and suggest that factors other than social practices contributed to the infection of SARS-CoV–2.

**Methods**

Twenty-five areas located in Europe and in the United States have been selected as representative of the region/country where major outbreaks have been detected up to April 30th, 2020. We downloaded the number of deaths of confirmed COVID–19 cases of these areas [11] and we calculated the monthly number of deaths/1M people. We then included in the dataset the average monthly high temperatures
(°F) and latitude of these areas as climatic variables that can affect the spread and the lethality of COVID–19. The relationships among these variables have been analyzed with the R `tydiverse` package.

Results

We selected several geographical areas in the Northern hemisphere of the United States and Europe, based on providing a range among the different parameters considered. The States and regions considered are outlined in Table 1. Between parenthesis we indicated the locations selected to obtain the latitudes and temperatures used for our analysis. We compared the data related to the month of March 2020. Lockdown measures were implemented between the end of March and beginning of April, except in Italy which started earlier. The death rate data were gathered as described in the Methods section.

First, we analyzed the correlation between number of deaths/1M people and the average monthly high temperatures registered in each city considered (Fig. 1). The number of COVID–19-related deaths/1M people was essentially the same during the month of March for all the geographical areas considered, indicating essentially that the infection was circulating quite uniformly (except for Lombardy, Italy where it started earlier) (p = 0.5155). In April, we observed instead a significant increase in number of deaths/1M people in those areas where the average monthly high temperatures registered were below 65°F (Fig.1A). We found a statistically significant correlation between the decreased number of deaths/1M people with the increase of the average monthly high temperatures (p = 0.0104) (Fig.1B).

We confirmed these data by analyzing the correlation between the number of deaths/1M people and the latitude, considered as a proxy for the temperature, of each city (Fig.2A-B). In March, we don't see any statistically significant difference (p = 0.1593), while in April, the latitude of 40° is a clear separator: in areas located above 40° the number of deaths increase significantly compared to the number of deaths in areas located below 40° (p = 0.0119). In March we note the extremely high number of deaths/1M people in Lombardy, Italy, as a consequence of the earlier spread of the epidemic.

Finally, we did not observe a statistically significant correlation between population density and number of deaths/1M people in March (Fig.3A-B) (p = 0.8682), contrary to what we observed in April (p = 0.01814). However, this statistical significance is due to the presence of three areas with extremely high population density: New York City, Los Angeles (CA) and Washington DC (Fig. 3C). Once these three areas were removed, the correlation was not statistically significant (p = 0.682).

In conclusion, our data indicate that there is a strong correlation between the number of deaths/1M people and climate. It is likely that social distancing measure are more successful in the presence of average monthly high temperatures in reducing COVID–19-related death rate, and high level of population density seems to reduce the effect of lockdown measures.

Discussion
We correlate the average monthly high temperatures and the population density of different geographical areas with number of deaths/1M people. The data were analyzed for the month of March and April.

We did not find any correlation between temperatures and number of deaths in the month of March. On the contrary, there was a strong statistical correlation between the temperature values and number of deaths in the month of April. These data were further confirmed when we used latitude as a proxy of the temperature. It is noteworthy to remember that in all the areas considered, lockdown measures were implemented between the end of March and beginning of April (except in Lombardy and Sicily, Italy, which started earlier), and all had substantial forms of social distancing by April. Our results indicate that the areas considered started from a very similar number of deaths in the month of March, but the implementation of social distancing seems to be more successful in those areas with higher average monthly temperatures during the month of April.

We could not find a correlation between population density and number of deaths once the especially densely populated areas were removed. These data seem to indicate a possible threshold of population density as a factor contributing to virus spread.

**Conclusion**

Our data indicate that social distancing measures are more successful in the presence of higher daily temperature in reducing COVID–19-related death rates. On the contrary, high level of population density seems to reduce the effect of lockdown measures. Though more studies are necessary to confirm these data, we believe they help understand the impact of temperature on COVID–19-related death rate and modeling the containment measures according to different geographical areas.
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**SARS-CoV-2**: Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (COVID-19)
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**WHO**: World Health Organization
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Table

Table 1. Selected geographical areas.
| Location                | Latitude | Average Temperature March (°F) | Average Temperature April (°F) |
|-------------------------|----------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| United Kingdom (London) | 51.5     | 52.65                          | 63.60                          |
| Belgium (Brussels)      | 50.84    | 51.16                          | 63.80                          |
| Washington (Seattle)    | 47.6     | 50.65                          | 60.17                          |
| Lombardy (Italy)        | 45.46    | 57.26                          | 69.70                          |
| Massachusetts (Boston)  | 42.35    | 47.84                          | 49.80                          |
| Michigan (Ann Harbor)   | 42.28    | 47.58                          | 53.70                          |
| Illinois (Chicago)      | 41.88    | 47.71                          | 56.27                          |
| Connecticut (Waterbury) | 41.55    | 49.42                          | 52.10                          |
| New Jersey (Newark)     | 40.73    | 54.52                          | 57.70                          |
| New York (New York City)| 40.71    | 53.94                          | 56.60                          |
| Pennsylvania (Philadelphia) | 39.95   | 56.48                          | 59.27                          |
| Maryland (Baltimore)    | 39.29    | 59.65                          | 61.73                          |
| Washington, D.C.        | 38.9     | 60.74                          | 63.57                          |
| Sicily (Italy)          | 38.11    | 60.77                          | 64.77                          |
| Malta (La Valletta)     | 35.93    | 62.77                          | 68.53                          |
| New Mexico (Albuquerque)| 35.08    | 62.58                          | 71.23                          |
| California (Los Angeles)| 34.05    | 67.74                          | 73.40                          |
| Georgia (Atlanta)       | 33.74    | 69.00                          | 70.77                          |
| Arizona (Phoenix)       | 33.44    | 73.55                          | 86.45                          |
| South Carolina (Charleston) | 32.77   | 71.48                          | 75.60                          |
| California (San Diego)  | 32.71    | 63.90                          | 67.40                          |
| Mississippi (Jackson)   | 32.3     | 75.13                          | 74.37                          |
| Texas (Austin)          | 30.26    | 76.29                          | 79.93                          |
| Louisiana (New Orleans) | 29.95    | 78.94                          | 80.60                          |
| Florida (Miami)         | 27.53    | 84.39                          | 84.67                          |
The States and the regions considered for our analysis are listed. Between parenthesis are indicated the locations considered for the latitudes and to calculate the average monthly high temperatures.
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**Figure 1A**

Correlation between average monthly high temperatures and number of deaths/1M people. (1A) The relationship between the number of deaths/1M people and the average monthly high temperatures (°F) for the months of March (left) and April (right) 2020 is represented for 25 areas located in Europe and in
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**Figure 1B**

Correlation between average monthly high temperatures and number of deaths/1M people. (1A) The relationship between the number of deaths/1M people and the average monthly high temperatures (°F) for the months of March (left) and April (right) 2020 is represented for 25 areas located in Europe and in
the US using scatter plots graphs. (1B) The not linear correlation between these two variables is calculated using the squared transformation of the average monthly high temperatures. The p-values for each month are indicated.

**Figure 2**

Correlation between latitude and number of deaths/1M people. (2A) The relationship between the number of deaths/1M people and the latitude (used as a proxy of the average monthly high temperatures registered) for the months of March (left) and April (right) 2020 is represented for 25 areas located in Europe and in the US using scatter plots graphs. (2B) The not linear correlation between these two variables is calculated using the squared transformation of the latitudes. The p-values for each month are indicated.

**Figure 3**

Correlation between population density and number of deaths/1M people. (3A) The relationship between the number of deaths/1M people and the population density for the months of March (left) and April (right) 2020 is represented for 25 areas located in Europe and in the US using scatter plots graphs. (3B) The not linear correlation between these two variables is calculated using the squared transformation of the population densities. The p-values for each month are indicated.
Figure 3

Correlation between population density and number of deaths/1M people. (3A) The relationship between the number of deaths/1M people and the population density for the months of March (left) and April (right) 2020 is represented for 25 areas located in Europe and in the US using scatter plots graphs. (3B) The non-linear correlation between these two variables is calculated using the squared transformation of the population density including the three highly densely populated areas (New York City, Los Angeles and Washington, DC), and (3C) excluding these areas. The p-values for each month are indicated.