2D TO 3D LABEL PROPAGATION FOR THE SEMANTIC SEGMENTATION OF HERITAGE BUILDING POINT CLOUDS
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ABSTRACT:

During the last decade, the use of semantic models of 3D buildings and structures kept growing, fostered in particular by the spread of Building Information Models (BIMs), becoming quite popular in several civil engineering and geomatics applications. Nevertheless, semantic model production usually requires quite a lot of human interaction, which may result in quite long and annoying procedures for human operators. The production of 3D semantic models of buildings often takes advantage of already available 3D reconstructions of the considered objects. Given the ever increasing resolution of 3D reconstructions, obtained thanks to the recently developed laser scanners and photogrammetric software, the availability of tools for supporting the automatic or semi-automatic generation of semantic models represents a key step for easing and speeding up the process of semantic model production. In particular, the correct semantic interpretation of the different parts of a 3D point cloud, can be seen as the basic step for the production of a BIM model. The most frequently used methods for point cloud semantic segmentation can be separated in two categories: those directly segmenting the point clouds and those based on the ancillary semantic segmentation of images representing the object of interest, then transferring back the segmentation results to the point cloud. This work focuses on the latter method, considering more specifically the application of heritage building semantic segmentation. To be more specific, this paper investigates the semantic segmentation performance on a set of four heritage buildings, obtained first applying deep-learning based image semantic segmentation and then propagating back the semantic information to the point cloud by means of a voting strategy. The obtained results are quite encouraging, motivating future investigations on improvements of this strategy, in particular when including more buildings in the considered dataset.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the last years there is an increasing interest in the automatic semantic segmentation of 3D point clouds, due to its fundamental role in scene understanding and comprehension in several applications of computer vision, robotic, remote sensing and many others (Zhang et al., 2019). In the Architecture, Engineering and Construction (AEC) sector, Building Information Modelling (BIM) has become a standard design approach, and the use of 3D point clouds is currently the base for as-built BIM model creation (Macher et al., 2015). Modern LiDAR (Light Detection and Ranging) sensors and stereo cameras allow to collect a huge amount of 3D points in short time (Grussenmeyer et al., 2008). On the one hand, this ensures a very detailed spatial representation of the acquired scene. On the other, this also causes quite long processing times when dealing with the raw data, even longer when manual intervention is needed. To leverage this problem, several approaches have been developed aiming at automatizing most of the processing steps. To such aim, machine and deep learning techniques have been extensively investigated during the last years, in particular when dealing with problems related to scene understanding and to the extraction of semantic information, as in this paper (Heipke and Rottensteiner, 2020). Semantic segmentation techniques can be divided into two main groups: projection-based and point-based methods (Xie et al., 2020). Although point-based techniques provide an opportunity for a better understanding of spatial and geometrical information, and they probably are the most promising in the future, the current usability of these methods is quite limited due to the difficulty in acquiring sufficient 3D point labels to properly train a reliable classifier, and due to the high computational cost and the long training time. Instead, the usability of projection-based methods is currently quite good, mostly thanks to the dramatic improvements of neural networks-based image processing. This approach is based on the segmentation of a 2D intermediate representation of the cloud, and then on the reprojection of the extracted labels on the initial cloud. Multiview or image-based approaches leverage on images as intermediate representation of the cloud (Su et al., 2015). Therefore, they allow to exploit the tried-and-tested results obtained by Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) on image processing, achieving remarkable results on the semantic segmentation of the representative images (Minaee et al., 2021). A critical step in the image-based semantic segmentation of 3D point clouds is the development of a reliable procedure to re-project the labelling from the 2D representation to the 3D reconstruction. The procedure becomes more challenging when dealing with complex scenarios like the case of heritage
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buildings, in which complex shapes, elements uniqueness and irregular geometries require careful modelling. This paper takes advantage of a previously developed image-semantic segmentation network (Pellis et al., 2022), and it focuses on the development of a reliable 2D to 3D label transfer procedure with the main aim of decreasing the geometric and spatial information loss and improving the overall accuracy of the image-based semantic segmentation workflow. In this paper the procedure will be tested on the case of heritage buildings scenarios, using an ongoing dataset (Pellis et al., 2021) specifically suited for heritage building semantic segmentation.

2. RELATED WORKS

During the last years, several methods have been proposed to face the problem of label projection from 2D images to 3D space to obtain a consistent 3D point cloud segmentation from labelled images. For example, (Wang et al., 2013) design an approach to propagate the pixel-wise image labels from ImageNet to point clouds. In the first step they used Exemplar SVMs to over segment individual images into “superpixels” and then propagate their labels onto the visually similar superpixels in the reference images of point cloud. In the second step they used a graphical model to aggregate superpixel label candidates to jointly infer the point cloud labels. Some works on semantic mapping (McCormac et al., 2016), (Hermans et al., 2014) typically aggregated pixel-wise semantic features onto 3D reconstructed surfaces via Bayesian fusion and used Conditional Random Field (CRF) models to regularize the resulting 3D segmentation. In this work (Wang et al., 2019), the authors present Label Diffusion Lidar Segmentation (LDLS), a method for instance segmentation of 3D point clouds which leverages a pretrained 2D image segmentation model. They obtain 2D segmentation prediction by applying Mask-RCNN, and then link the image to a 3D lidar point cloud by building a graph of connections among 3D points and 2D pixels. (Zhang et al., 2018) addressed the issue of the semantic segmentation of large-scale 3D scenes by fusing 2D images and 3D point clouds. According to this work the preliminary segmentation results with 2D images obtained by a DeepLab-Vgg16 based model, are mapped to 3D point clouds according to the coordinate relationship between the images and the point cloud calculated with DLT algorithm. More recently, (Genova et al., 2021) proposed a novel network 2D3DNet, that uses multi-view fusion to make best-guess semantic labels for as many 3D points as possible via back-projection and voting from labels of the corresponding pixels. (Mascaro et al., 2021) presented Diffuser, a novel framework that leverages 2D semantic segmentation to produce a consistent 3D segmentation. They formulate the 3D segmentation task as transductive label diffusion problem on a graph, where multi-view and 3D geometric proprieties are used to propagate semantic labels from the 2D space to the 3D map. They show a significant accuracy compared to probabilistic fusion methods. The approach developed in (Lerntninphophan et al., 2018), propagate object label from 2D image to a sparse point cloud by matching a group of points that corresponds to the area within the 2D bounding box in the image. The method was used for producing training data, and it demonstrates that the label propagation can be used to train a classifier with a good average precision. In the specific context of building segmentation (Murtiyoso et al., 2021) proposed an approach for the segmentation of 3D building façade based on orthophoto. The XY coordinates of each pixel in the orthophoto was used to determine the corresponding planimetric coordinates of the point in the point cloud and finally a winner-takes-all approach was applied to annotate the 3D points with the respective 2D pixel class. In a more recent work (Murtiyoso et al., 2022) introduced semantic classification at the beginning of the classical photogrammetric workflow in order to automatically create a classified dense point cloud. In this regard, several image masks obtained by a trained neural network are employed during dense image matching in order to constraint the process into the respective classes. In the same context (Stathopoulou and Remondino, 2019) proposed a semantic photogrammetry workflow, in which the label back-projection is based on the projection matrix P which connects the 3D with the 2D space. The segmented images are automatically generated using neural networks, and then the labels are used as constraints in the photogrammetric process. Giving the correspondence, all the images contribute to the labelling projection on the cloud with a weighted winner procedure.

3. DEVELOPED METHODOLOGY

The proposed methodology aims at projecting the labels, predicted by a deep learning-based image semantic classifier on a set of N 2D images, on a 3D point cloud. The interior and exterior parameters of the images imputed in the deep-learning classifier are assumed to be known: despite such parameters could be computed aside of the point cloud generation, their availability comes for free when the point cloud is the outcome of a photogrammetric reconstruction procedure, and the images inputted in the classifier are taken among those used in the reconstruction. Hence, this could be considered as a quite ideal working condition for the proposed method. In accordance with the above consideration, hereafter the considered images are assumed to have already been aligned, and the exterior parameters are assumed to be expressed in a reference system compatible with the point cloud one. The procedure starts with the image segmentation step: despite any proper image semantic segmentation procedure could be viable in this step, the deep-learning method proposed in (Pellis et al., 2022) has been used in this work, providing N semantically segmented images \( \{I_j\}_{j=1,...,N} \) as output. Then, the labels of the N predicted images are properly transferred to the point cloud, as described in the following.

1. 3D points of the cloud are projected on the N images, by means of the known interior and exterior camera parameters.
2. For each image \( I_j \), each point class is assessed, if visible.
3. For each point, the mostly voted class is selected.

Let \( (u_j,v_j) \) be the pixel coordinates of the projection of point \( p \) on the image \( I_j \). A straightforward implementation of step 2 is the assignment of the label of pixel \( (u_j,v_j) \) in \( I_j \) (if inside the image extent) as its vote to point \( p \) class. Despite being very simple, such a strategy does not take into account of the obstructions, leading to unreliable outcomes in complex scenarios: the implementation of an effective procedure to check obstructions is of vital importance for ensuring a good performance of the overall algorithm in a wide range of working conditions.

Assume that the point cloud density is sufficiently high to ensure that at least one 3D point is projected in all the adjacent pixels, in image \( I_j \), describing the same object surface. Down-sampling the image size, or, equivalently, enlarging the pixel size, could be necessary in order to ensure the validity of such assumption. According to the above hypothesis, at least two points should be projected on the same pixel \( (u_j,v_j) \) when an obstruction occurs. When such event is detected, a simple check on the distance between the camera and the points projected on the same pixel is used in order to determine if any of such points probably obstructs the others. Image \( I_j \) votes only for the non-obstructed points. The main advantages of such procedure are the
The examined conditions. Nevertheless, a more complex strategy will be considered in future investigations in order to improve the semantic segmentation results in quite critical conditions.

4. DATASET

To test the proposed procedure, the dataset presented in (Pellis et al., 2021), currently composed by three heritage buildings, has been used. For each building several data types are available: (i) the Terrestrial Laser Scanner (TLS) cloud with the corresponding ground-truth segmentation, (ii) the photogrammetric cloud with the corresponding ground-truth segmentation, and (iii) the RGB images of the photogrammetric survey with the corresponding pixel-wise ground-truth segmentation. Since the images were previously used for the creation of the photogrammetric cloud, the internal and the external camera parameters are also available. Figure 1 shows some images of the buildings in the dataset.

Figure 1. Examples of the images in the dataset with the corresponding ground-truth.

The segmentation classes considered in this dataset are structured following the guidelines and the standards of ARCHdataset (Matrone et al., 2020) to CityGML (LOD3/4) and to ATT (Art and Architecture Thesaurus). They include 11 categories: 0_arch, 1_column, 2_moulding, 3_floor, 4_window/door, 5_wall, 6_stair, 7_vault, 8_roof, 9_other and 10_background. The distribution of the points among such classes in i) the LiDAR point clouds, ii) the photogrammetric point clouds and iii) in the images of the dataset is shown in Figure 2.

Figure 2. Class percentage distribution for the TLS clouds (blue), for the photogrammetric clouds (orange), and for the images (green).
The various models were trained for 30 epochs, using Stochastic Gradient Descend with Momentum (SGDM) as optimizer, and with the same hyperparameters for each case study. The image segmentation performance obtained on the test sets of each of the buildings is reported in Table 2.

| Building | GlobalAccuracy | mIoU | mBFScore |
|----------|----------------|------|----------|
| 1_SC     | 0.90           | 0.76 | 0.77     |
| 2_OSA    | 0.89           | 0.78 | 0.78     |
| 3_SSA    | 0.87           | 0.62 | 0.72     |

Table 2. Image semantic segmentation results on the Test Set of the three buildings in the dataset.

The obtained results are quite satisfactory, in particular for the first two buildings, yielding a GA around 90% and a mIoU around 80%. The reader is referred to (Pellis et al., 2022) for more details on the training phase and on the obtained image segmentation results.

Figure 3 reports some comparisons between the ground truth and the predicted image segmentation on the test sets.

**Figure 3.** DeepLabv3+ image semantic segmentation results on the test sets: a) input RGB images, b) ground truth, c) prediction.

5.2 Labelling Projection

In this section we are going to show more in detail the results of the labelling procedure for each of the three buildings of the dataset. For each building, all the labelled images of the test set outputted by the neural network have been used as input in the label back-projection procedure.

A cleaned, denoised and subsampled version of the photogrammetric point cloud has been inputted in the back-projection procedure, along with the predicted image labels. Among the cleaning operations, it is worth to notice that the “background” points were removed from the cloud.

Examples of the graphical outcomes of the back-projection procedures are shown in Figure 4, 6 and 8, for the different buildings. The obtained numerical results, in terms of GA and mIoU, are reported in Table 3, 4 and 5, whereas the corresponding confusion matrices are shown in Figure 5, 7 and 9.

It is worth to notice that, as a consequence of the implemented way to deal with occlusions, a portion of the points is not classified by the proposed algorithm. Since most of the points are classified, the unlabelled points could be reconsidered for classification as a further step of the proposed back-projection label transferring procedure, as will be investigated in our future works.

In accordance with the above consideration, the results limited to only the classified points (second row in Table 3, 4 and 5) are those considered more relevant here.

1_SC Spedale del Ceppo

**Figure 4.** Comparison between a) the Ground Truth point cloud, b) the Predicted point cloud.

**Figure 5.** Confusion Matrix for 1_SC back-projection.

2_OSA Ospedale di Sant’Antonio

**Figure 6.** Comparison between a) the Ground Truth point cloud, b) the Predicted point cloud.

| Reference Points | GlobalAccuracy | mIoU | % Labelled Points |
|------------------|----------------|------|-------------------|
| All points       | 0.76           | 0.61 | 100               |
| Only Classified  | 0.87           | 0.70 | 87                |

Table 3. Back-projection results for 1_SC.
Reference Points | GlobalAccuracy | mIoU | % Labelled Points
---|---|---|---
All points | 0.60 | 0.52 | 100
Only Classified | **0.91** | **0.72** | **67**

Table 4. Back-projection results for 2_OSA.

| arch | 72.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 0.8 |
| column | 0.5 | **83.0** | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| moldings | 0.2 | 0.4 | **66.4** | 0.3 | 1.1 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 2.1 |
| floor | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.1 | **45.6** | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| door | 0.1 | 0.1 | 2.4 | 0.2 | **62.3** | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| wall | 1.3 | 0.7 | 5.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 | **73.0** | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.5 |
| stair | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| vault | 5.8 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | **70.3** | 0.0 | 0.6 |
| roof | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | **40.2** | 0.5 |
| other | 1.0 | 0.6 | 3.3 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.2 | **5.8** | **59.6** |
| none | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Table 5. Back-projection results for 3_SSA.

**Figure 7.** Confusion Matrix for 2_OSA back-projection.

**3_SSA Basilica della Santissima Annunziata**

**Figure 8.** Comparison between a) the Ground Truth point cloud, b) the Predicted point cloud.

Reference Points | GlobalAccuracy | mIoU | % Labelled Points
---|---|---|---
All points | 0.72 | 0.52 | 100
Only Classified | **0.88** | **0.61** | **82**

Figure 9. Confusion Matrix for 3_SSA back-projection.

6. DISCUSSION

The proposed label propagation method obtained quite remarkable results on the three case studies, in particular when considering only the labelled points, when compared with the image segmentation outcomes.

To be more precise, Figure 10 shows a comparison between the image and the point cloud semantic segmentation performance. The resulting GA and mIoU are quite similar in all the considered cases: the obtained results reveal that the quality of the obtained point cloud semantic segmentation is mostly related to the that of the image segmentation. As a consequence of such observation, an increase in the image segmentation accuracy should directly correspond to an improvement on the point cloud segmentation performance.

**Figure 10.** Comparison between GA e mIoU on image segmentation (blue) and on the point cloud segmentation (orange).

A close look to the confusion matrices (discarding unlabelled points) shows the absence of remarkably bad-segmented classes, although the performance may change from case to case. This is also confirmed by Figure 11, where the sum of GA (blue bars) and unlabelled point percentage (black bars), derived by considering all the three buildings, is quite close to 100% for almost all the classes.
GA values are quite balanced in the classes, with lower values for “roof” and “stair,” which are also certain of those less represented in the dataset (see Figure 2). The percentage of unlabelled points is quite widely variable, with large values on the more frequently obstructed classes. The introduction of an additional step in the label propagation procedure, in order to ensure the classification of most the currently unlabelled points, will be considered in our future works, as previously mentioned.

Finally, for comparison with the results presented here, Table 6 shows those obtained with the masking-based methodology described in (Murtiyo et al., 2022) and carried out in (Pellis et al., 2022).

![Figure 11. Global Accuracy (blue) and unlabelled points percentage (black) for each class.](image)

Table 6. Masking-based methodology results.

| Building | GlobalAccuracy | mIoU |
|----------|----------------|------|
| 1_SC     | 0.67           | 0.52 |
| 2_OSA    | 0.72           | 0.45 |
| 3_SSA    | 0.75           | 0.44 |

Despite the masking method works well for background removal and for building façade classification, the label propagation method considered here outperforms the masking-based one on our dataset, highlighting the still challenging use of semantically enriched reconstruction methods in complex scenarios.

7. CONCLUSION

In this paper we presented a procedure for the label propagation of semantic classifications from 2D images to 3D point clouds, tested on a dataset composed by three heritage building. The first results have shown a quite remarkable performance of the proposed back-projection approach, ensuring a classification accuracy similar to the image segmentation performance. Overall, the proposed procedure outperformed masking-based methods on the label propagation, but not influencing the 3D point cloud generation procedure, hence, for instance, not ensuring any cleaning effect that could come as a result of the masking methods. Future investigations will be dedicated in particular to reduce the percentage of unlabelled points. For what concerns the point cloud semantic segmentation results, the obtained results revealed that the bottleneck of the entire workflow is the neural network-based image classification performance, which is negatively influenced by the intrinsic complexity of buildings in the heritage scenario. Increasing the variability inside of the dataset, both in terms of number of buildings and of images, is expected to have a positive impact on this aspect. Data augmentation and synthetic data generation will also be considered in our future works in order to increase the generalization capability of the image semantic segmentation network.
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