Use of dual-electron probes reveals the role of ferritin as an iron depot in ex vivo erythropoiesis

Highlights
Erythroblasts maintain highly organized architecture as revealed by SB-SEM

STEM/EELS reveal ferritin-containing lysosomal vesicles surrounded by mitochondria

In erythropoiesis, ferritin is attributed to be an iron depot used in heme synthesis
Use of dual-electron probes reveals the role of ferritin as an iron depot in ex vivo erythropoiesis
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SUMMARY
In the finely regulated process of mammalian erythropoiesis, the path of the labile iron pool into mitochondria for heme production is not well understood. Existing models for erythropoiesis do not include a central role for the ubiquitous iron storage protein ferritin; one model proposes that incoming endosomal Fe3+ bound to transferrin enters the cytoplasm through an ion transporter after reduction to Fe2+ and is taken up into mitochondria through mitoferrin-1 transporter. Here, we apply a dual three-dimensional imaging and spectroscopic technique, based on scanned electron probes, to measure Fe3+ in ex vivo human hematopoietic stem cells. After seven days in culture, we observe cells displaying a highly specialized architecture with anchored clustering of mitochondria and massive accumulation of nanoparticles containing high iron concentrations localized to lysosomal storage depots, identified as ferritin. We hypothesize that lysosomal ferritin iron depots enable continued heme production after expulsion of most of the cellular machinery.

INTRODUCTION
Although light microscopy (LM) and immuno-electron microscopy (EM) offer powerful approaches to improve our understanding of cellular pathways through the identification of specific fluorescently tagged proteins, or Au-antibody-labeled proteins, respectively, these techniques cannot track specific chemical elements. To investigate the role of Fe3+ in erythropoiesis, we need to combine capabilities for imaging elemental composition and three-dimensional (3-D) cellular ultrastructure at the nanoscale, but do not require high temporal resolution (Quiros et al., 2016; Valm et al., 2017). Here, we surmount this problem by combining two EM techniques that use scanned electron probes, which have the advantage of flexible detector geometry. We show that electron energy loss spectroscopy (EELS) imaging (Leapman, 2003; Fukunaga et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2005) in the scanning transmission EM (STEM) (Hohmann-Marriott et al., 2009; Sousa and Leapman, 2009) combined with serial block-face scanning EM (SB-SEM) (Denk and Horstmann, 2004) (Figures 1 and S1) enables quantitative analysis of Fe3+ iron within specific organelles of cultured human hematopoietic CD34+ stem cells (Lee et al., 2013a, 2013b) that are undergoing erythropoiesis (Ganz and Nemeth, 2012; Moura et al., 2015). Erythropoiesis is the in vivo process (Hu et al., 2013; Orkin, 2000; Zivot et al., 2018; Notta et al., 2016) whereby stem cells terminally differentiate to erythrocytes, also known as red blood cells (RBCs), or to reticulocytes in the ex vivo cell cultures (Heshusius et al., 2019; Bernecker et al., 2019; Huang et al., 2014) investigated here. By sampling cells at different times in their path, from progenitor cells at $t_1 = 0$, through $t_2 = 7$ days and $t_3 = 14$ days, to reticulocytes at $t_4 = 21$ days, we investigate the precisely orchestrated process whereby iron finds its way into mitochondria from the labile iron pool to enable synthesis of heme (Muckenthaler et al., 2017), which is eventually incorporated into hemoglobin in the cytoplasm. Erythroblast differentiation ex vivo mimics the in vivo process, in which progenitor cells accumulate hemoglobin, eventually losing their nuclei and other organelles to form RBCs that fulfill these cells’ primary function of oxygen transport through the vascular system.

It is known that mitochondria play a crucial role in supplying the cell’s energy for every cell type, and in erythropoiesis, mitochondria perform another function in generating massive levels of heme (Chung et al., 2012; Chiabrando et al., 2014). Fluorescence and histochemical LM reveal that immature
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erythroblasts develop an organellar superstructure of mitochondria (Finsterer, 2007; Al-Mehdi et al., 2012; Green et al., 2011) surrounding iron-containing endolysosomes at the Golgi pole (Figure S2C [arrows], Figure S3). To interpret this dynamic morphology shift and its contribution to iron accumulation requires higher resolution imaging techniques (Figure 1). Although existence of lysosomal vesicles surrounded by mitochondria has been reported at the ultrastructural level during erythropoiesis (Sheftel et al., 2007; Miyamoto et al., 2011; Todkar et al., 2017), until now such morphology has only been observed in two-dimensional thin sections using conventional TEM, and there has been no quantitative analysis of Fe³⁺ accumulation associated with the transport.

**Figure 1. Workflow: sample collection/preparation, scanned probe set-up SB-SEM/STEM, and image analysis**

(A) CD₃₄⁺ stem cells are isolated from healthy volunteers, via leukapheresis, and cultured in serum-free media. At 4 different time points of differentiation, $t_1 = \text{day } 0$, $t_2 = \text{day } 7$, $t_3 = \text{day } 14$ (7 days after erythropoietin [EPO] exposure), $t_4 = \text{day } 19–21$ (14 days after EPO exposure), cells are collected and pelleted, to undergo staining and embedding in preparation for electron microscopy (EM); two types of specimens are made with different staining protocols to accommodate the imaging techniques, and staining protocols are described in STAR Methods.

(B) Dual-electron beam techniques used to track morphological changes and Fe accumulation during erythropoiesis. Serial block-face scanning electron microscopy (SB-SEM): surface of plastic block containing embedded stained cells is scanned with focused electron probe, and image is collected with signal from backscattered electron detector; thin section is then removed from block with a diamond knife and block surface reimaged. This process is repeated until a stack containing hundreds of images is acquired. After image alignment, segmentation provides visualization and quantitation of organelle volumes (left). Scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM): thin sections of embedded cells are deposited on TEM grids and imaged by using elastically scattered signal collected using an annular dark-field (ADF) detector, which reveals locations of heavy elements, or by using inelastically scattered signal collected using an electron energy loss spectrometer to obtain compositional information at a nanometer scale (right).

(C) Example of 3-D surface-rendered data, from images at $t_3$ stage, acquired with SB-SEM using a backscattered detector (left). Example of data acquired with STEM/EELS, using electron energy loss spectrometer, showing the cross section of region of a cell with identified quantifiable Fe distribution in ferritin molecules (right).
RESULTS

The complementarity of electron and light microscopy is underscored by a comparison between the information obtained from each approach. LM and STEM images both reveal changes in CD34+ cells as they differentiate from t1 to t4 (Figures S3A and S6A), during which mitochondria and membrane-bound lysosomes gather at the Golgi pole. In dark-field STEM images, where contrast originates from increased elastic scattering of heavier atoms, bright features ~10 nm in diameter within lysosomal compartments are attributed to iron-containing cores of ferritin molecules (Figures 2A and S6A); this is supported by the identification of ferritin light chain (FTL) in the same specimen areas as the lysosomal protein (LAMP1) in fluorescence optical images (Figure S3B). However, the colocalization of FTL with LAMP1 is not exact in the LM images because the ferritin occurs in clusters separated by as much as 500 nm, as seen in the STEM data at time t2 in Figure S6A, which explains the more punctate distribution of FTL relative to LAMP1. In contrast, LAMP1 is more uniformly distributed than FTL in optical images because the vesicles are in closer proximity, typically separated by <200 nm, and cannot be resolved in the LM images, also evident at time t2 in Figure S6A. Not only can the STEM images provide the higher resolution ultrastructural information about the distribution of ferritin cores but also by combining STEM with EELS, we can obtain STEM-EELS maps (Pan et al., 2010) around the Fe L2,3 edge resonance at ~710 eV (Figure 2B [left panel]), which confirms the presence of Fe3+ iron in each ferritin-core nanoparticle (Zega et al., 2003), as illustrated in the spectrum integrated over a single particle (Figure 2C [left panel]); variation in iron signal across different particles is also evident (Figure 2C [right panel]). If Fe2+ ions were present in the iron-containing nanoparticles, the Fe L2,3 edge resonance at ~710 eV would be shifted to lower energy by 1 eV. The fact that this shift is not observed indicates that the iron is primarily in the Fe3+ and not Fe2+ state (Zega et al., 2003).

Quantitative EELS analysis of 96 iron-containing particles (Figure 2B [right panel]) gives a mean Fe3+ content of 2,430 ± 820 (± s.d.) atoms, consistent with the known composition of isolated ferritin cores (Iancu, 1983; Bessis and Breton-Gorius, 1957) (Figure S4, STAR Methods). By counting the number of particles per unit area in STEM images of lysosomes and knowing the section thickness (~100 nm), we can estimate the number of ferritin cores and therefore the number of iron atoms per unit volume of lysosomes (STAR Methods). Then, from SB-SEM data, we can measure the volume of lysosomes per cell and accordingly the total number ferritin iron atoms per cell (Figure 3C [top panel], Figure S7).

Using the 3-D SB-SEM datasets, erythroblasts were segmented to obtain volumes of entire cells, nuclei, mitochondria, and lysosomes for 5 cells at each stage of development t1-t4 (Figures 3A and S5, Table S1, Video S1). Compartment volumes increase from t1 to t2, remain relatively constant up to t3, and then decrease at t4 (Figure 3B). The nuclear volume almost doubles from t1 to t2, elongating and developing invaginations to accommodate lysosome formation at the Golgi pole where mitochondria gather (Figures 3A, S3A, and S5). At t4, nuclei become spherical with volumes fourfold lower than at t2 before ejection. At t2, few endolysosomes are evident, whereas at t3 and t4, the lysosomal volume increases more than 30-fold, which allows for iron storage. Finally, at t4, organelles are digested via the autophagosomal pathway. Mitochondrial networks in close association with lysosomes mainly aggregate at the Golgi pole with formation of a side ridge. The mitochondrial volume increases twofold from t1 to t2, which accommodates greater heme production, after which the mitochondrial volume decreases, with eventual ejection of this organelle through autophagosomal digestion. The cell membrane is highly ruffled at t1, t2, and t3 and becomes smooth only after organelles are digested and nucleus is extruded. Despite enucleation at t4, terminally differentiated erythroblasts in ex vivo cultures resemble reticulocytes and do not form typical biconcave discs characteristic of RBCs (Figure 3A, lower panel). Nevertheless, our estimates of reticulocyte heme concentration match the known value for RBCs (Figure 3C [bottom]). Throughout t1, t2, and t3 differentiation, cellular machinery must continue to produce massive amounts of heme and globin, even while the cell reorganizes.

By combining STEM-EELS with SB-SEM data, we can estimate the number of Fe3+ iron atoms within ferritin cores per cell at different stages of development (Figure 3C, [top panel]). Furthermore, we relate the number of Fe3+ iron atoms to heme production, which starts prior to t3 and increases threefold at t4 (Figure 3C, top panel). Our results show that ferritins accumulate in lysosomes, forming clusters as cells differentiate (Figure S6). From quantitative electron microscopy (Figures 3C, S7, and S9) and analytical biochemical analysis, we deduce that the iron stored in ferritin reaches a maximum of 1.8 ± 0.5 × 109 Fe3+ per cell at t2, decreasing to 0.7 ± 0.3 × 108 Fe3+ per cell at t4 before decreasing through continuing stages of differentiation as heme and hemoglobin accumulate, reaching a plateau in the reticulocyte at t4*, which closely resembles the state of mature RBCs. Meanwhile, as the Fe3+ decreases, Figure 3C (lower panel) shows that
Figure 2. Imaging ferritin distributions in thin sections of differentiating erythroblasts with STEM/EELS

(A) ADF STEM images illustrated for a t₂ erythroblast: whole cell (left) with mitochondria (m) surrounding lysosomes (l) and invaginated by nucleus (n); magnified view (middle) shows proximity of lysosomes and mitochondrion; further magnification (right) shows identifiable individual ferritin cores inside lysosome. (B) Fe³⁺ mapping in ferritin cores of a small rectangular region, outlined in (A) (left panel). (B) Fe distribution of individual ferritin cores found in lysosomes in 40 cells pooled for t₁–t₄ developmental stages together with the surrounding background measurements from other cellular regions (right panel). The average Fe content in the ferritin cores was found to be 2,429 ± 817 atoms, and the average in the surrounding background regions was −59 ± 195 atoms. (C) EELS spectra (left panel) extracted and averaged over 6 x 6 pixels of one ferritin particle in (B), (white box), show peak at 710 eV corresponding to Fe³⁺ L₃ and Fe³⁺ L₂ edge. (C) (right panel) 2-D elemental map according to STEM/EELS Fe³⁺ signal (B) as function of x (position along sample), E (energy loss) and l (intensity, counts). As scan line is averaged over 6 pixels in y and scanned (along x, red double headed arrow) from top to bottom of a region containing a ferritin particle, the spectral shape in the vicinity of Fe³⁺ edge at 710 eV changes in intensity, depending on the Fe³⁺ content.
the heme content increases sharply to 70 pmol/µg of protein in the reticulocyte at \( t_4^* \). If it is assumed that reticulocytes have the same dry mass content as RBCs, i.e., 0.35 g dry mass of hemoglobin per ml of cell, and using the measured volume of 72 ± 12 µm³ (Figure 3B), we find that each reticulocyte contains an
average of $1.05 \pm 0.17 \times 10^9$ Fe$^{2+}$ in the form of heme, which is close to the value of $1.20 \times 10^9$ Fe$^{2+}$ reported for a mature RBC (Lew et al., 1995). Continuous production of heme and hemoglobin during cell divisions after addition of erythropoietin (EPO) in erythropoiesis is well established (Lee et al., 2013a; Lee et al., 2013b), and it is known that loss of surface transferrin receptors does not occur until a state of terminal maturation is reached (Harding et al., 1983). Analysis of STEM tomograms and observation of a tight 5-10 nm apposition between mitochondrial and lysosomal membranes (Figure S8, Video S2) strongly support a hypothesis that transfer of Fe$^{2+}$ occurs from ferritin stored in the lysosomes (Khalil et al., 2017) to mitochondria.

**DISCUSSION**

We base our model of ferritin’s role in erythropoiesis on previously established models, developed by contributions of different researchers over many years. We find direct evidence that ferritin plays a major role in the maturation of the RBC, a role that has previously been hypothesized (Philpott, 2018; Ryu et al., 2017) but not yet observed experimentally. We find from our structural analysis that this role of ferritin is accompanied by organellar rearrangements that occur during cellular differentiation and maturation of reticulocytes. In our model of iron uptake during erythroblast differentiation (Figure 4), transferrin-mediated endocytosis first captures extracellular Fe$^{3+}$ by binding to apotransferrin (apo-Tf); intra-endosomal pH is lowered in endosomal vesicles, enabling dissociation of iron from transferrin and reduction of Fe$^{3+}$ to Fe$^{2+}$ through metallocenductase enzyme STEAP3, reduced Fe$^{3+}$ iron exits endolysosomes via divalent metal transporter (DMT1) into cytoplasmic labile iron pool where it combines with Golgi-produced apo-ferritin shells and is oxidized back to Fe$^{3+}$ to form crystalline ferritin cores. Subsequently, ferritin molecules together with other small vesicular bodies are engulfed by membranes via autophagosomal pathway into large lysosomes (L). We hypothesize that Fe$^{3+}$ is trafficked via DMT1 or mucolipin-1 (MCOLN1) to mitochondria for inclusion into heme and iron-sulfur clusters through incompletely understood mechanisms.

**Figure 4. Proposed model describing iron trafficking during erythropoiesis based on existing knowledge and current findings**

(A) Overall arrangement of organelles at $t_2$-$t_3$, which facilitates production of heme via ferritin.

(B) Transferrin-receptor (TfR)-mediated endocytosis is used to capture extracellular ferric iron (Fe$^{3+}$) by binding to apo-transferrin (apo-Tf); intra-endosomal pH is lowered in endosomal vesicles, enabling dissociation of iron from transferrin and reduction of Fe$^{3+}$ to Fe$^{2+}$ through metallocenductase enzyme STEAP3, reduced Fe$^{3+}$ iron exits endolysosomes via divalent metal transporter (DMT1) into cytoplasmic labile iron pool where it combines with Golgi-produced apo-ferritin shells and is oxidized back to Fe$^{3+}$ to form crystalline ferritin cores. Subsequently, ferritin molecules together with other small vesicular bodies are engulfed by membranes via autophagosomal pathway into large lysosomes (L). We hypothesize that Fe$^{2+}$ is trafficked via DMT1 or mucolipin-1 (MCOLN1) to mitochondria for inclusion into heme and iron-sulfur clusters through incompletely understood mechanisms.
and mRNA for globin synthesis, mitochondria for heme synthesis, ATP molecules for energy, and iron storage by ferritin holoproteins sequestered in lysosomes, whose membranes eventually also function to expel the residual organelles from the cell. Because no macrophages are found in our ex vivo cell cultures, the ferritin that we observe in the differentiating erythroblasts cannot originate from pinocytosis following release of ferritin by macrophages (Leimberg et al., 2008). Our observations support an intimate relationship between erythropoiesis and iron homeostasis in differentiating erythroblasts, and we suggest a similar process occurs in vivo in maturing RBCs within bone marrow, although on a faster timescale (Porwit et al., 2011).

Thus, our results suggest a function for biology’s natural hybrid nanoparticle, ferritin, in erythropoiesis, which in humans produces 2,000,000 new RBCs each second (Higgins, 2015). Perhaps this hitherto unknown role is not surprising because approximately 20–30% of the body’s iron is stored as ferritin which is ubiquitous in every cell (Abbaspour et al., 2014; Finazzi and Arosio, 2014). A more complete understanding of iron processing at the subcellular level could elucidate in vivo erythropoiesis taking place in erythropoietic islands of bone marrow (Manwani and Beiker, 2008) as well as improve our ability to manufacture RBCs in ex vivo cultures, which could advance transfusion medicine (Douay, 2018).

**Limitation of study**

This study is limited by the use of CD34 + hematopoietic stem cells isolated from healthy human volunteers, via leukapheresis, and cultured in serum-free media. At 4 different time points of differentiation, \( t_1 = \) day 0, \( t_2 = \) day 7, \( t_3 = \) day 14 (7 days after EPO exposure), and \( t_4 = \) day 19–21 (14 days after EPO exposure), cells are collected and pelleted to undergo staining and embedding in preparation for EM.
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**STAR METHODS**

**KEY RESOURCES TABLE**

| REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
|---------------------|--------|------------|
| Antibodies          |        |            |
| Anti-TFR2 antibody  | Santa Cruz Biotechnology | sc-376278; RRID:AB_11008080 |
| Anti-Giantin antibody | Abcam | ab174655 |
| Anti-LAMP1 antibody | Abcam | ab24170; RRID:AB_775978 |
| Anti-gamma tubulin antibody | Abcam | ab11317; RRID:AB_297921 |
| Anti-FTL antibody   | Abcam | ab69090; RRID:AB_1523609 |
| Anti-Rab11 antibody | Millipore | Cat # 05-853 |
| Anti-DDK antibody   | Origene | Cat # TA50011-100; RRID:AB_2622345 |
| Alexa Fluor™ 594 labeled Transferin | Thermo Fisher Scientific | Cat # T13343 |
| Biological samples  |        |            |
| ex vivo for 21 days, four cell populations were isolated: t1[CD34(+)], t2[CD36(+), CD235(−) erythroblast], t3 [CD36(+), CD235(+) erythroblast], and t4 (nucleated and enucleated erythrocytes) | This paper | NA |
| Chemicals, peptides, and recombinant proteins |        |            |
| Paraformaldehyde    | EMS    | RT15712    |
| L-Glutamine         | Thermo Fisher Scientific | Cat # 21051024 |
| Pen-strep           | Thermo Fisher Scientific | Cat # 15070063 |
| StemPro™-34 SFM      | Thermo Fisher Scientific | Cat # 10639011 |
| SCF                 | Proteintech | Cat # HZ-1024 |
| FLT3 Ligand         | Proteintech | Cat # HZ-1151 |
| IL-3                | Proteintech | Cat # HZ-1074 |
| Mifepristone        | Sigma Aldrich | Cas # 84371-65-3 |
| Insulin             | Sigma Aldrich | Cas # 11061-68-0 |
| Holo-transferrin    | Sigma Aldrich | Cas # 11096-37-0 |
| Glutaraldehyde      | Sigma Aldrich | Cas # 111-30-8 |
| Sodium cacodylate trihydrate | Sigma Aldrich | Cas # 6131-99-3 |
| Thiocarboxydizide (TCH) | EMS | Cat # 21900 |
| Osmium tetroxide    | EMS       | Cat # 20816-12-0 |
| Epon-Araldite       | EMS       | Cat #13940 |
| CircuitWorks Conductive Epoxy | Chemtronics | CW2400 |
| Ferritin from equine spleen | Sigma Aldrich | F4503-25MG |
| Experimental models: cell lines |        |            |
| CD34 + stem cells   | This paper | NA |
| Software and algorithms |        |            |
| Amira (v2020.2)     | Thermo Fisher Scientific | http://www.fei.com/software/amira-3d-for-life-sciences/ |
| MATLAB (2019b)      | Mathworks | http://www.mathworks.com/products/matlab/ |
| Digital Micrograph (GMS3) | Gatan | https://www.gatan.com/products/tem-analysis/gatan-microscopy-suite-software |
| IMOD                | University of Colorado | https://bio3d.colorado.edu/imod/ |
**RESOURCE AVAILABILITY**

**Lead contact**
Maria A. Aronova: aronovaa@mail.nih.gov.

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the lead contact, Maria A. Aronova: aronovaa@mail.nih.gov.

**Materials availability**
No materials were generated in this study that need to be made available. This study did not generate new unique reagents, and there are no restrictions to availability.

**Data and code availability**
No new code was used in this study. Any additional information regarding data or data analysis reported in this paper can be available from the lead contact upon request.

**EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS**

**Cell cultures**
All studies involving human subjects were approved by the Institutional Review Board of the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases. After informed consent was obtained, human CD34(+) cells were collected from peripheral blood of healthy volunteers at the National Institutes of Health (Bethesda, MD). Using primary human CD34+ stem cells, which were isolated via leukapheresis (Figure 1A) and cultured ex vivo for 21 days, four cell populations were isolated: t1 [CD34(+)], t2 [CD36(+), CD235(-) erythroblast], t3 [CD36(+), CD235(+) erythroblast], and t4 (nucleated and enucleated erythrocytes) (Lee et al., 2013a, 2013b). In summary, a 21-day ex vivo serum-free culture system was used; in culture days 1–7, CD34+ cells were placed in media containing StemPro-34 complete media (l-glutamine, pen-strep and StemPro-34 nutrient supplement, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) supplemented with 50 ng/mL of SCF (HumanZyme, Chicago, IL), 50 ng/mL of FLT3-Ligand (HumanZyme), and 10 ng/mL of IL-3 (HumanZyme). After 7 days, the cells were transferred to EPO (Amgen)-supplemented medium. Culture days 7–21 was comprised of the following: StemPro-34 complete medium, 4 U/ml EPO, 3 μM mifepristone (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), 10 μg/mL insulin (Sigma Aldrich), 3 U/ml heparin (Hospira, Inc, Lake Forest, IL), and 0.8 mg/mL holo transferrin (Sigma Aldrich). Overall, primary erythroblasts in culture demonstrated well-coordinated cellular events including transferrin receptor upregulation and increased heme and hemoglobin production that are largely mediated by EPO (Figure S2).

**METHOD DETAILS**

**Reagents and antibodies**
The following reagents/primary antibodies were used for immunofluorescence analyses: paraformaldehyde solution (10%) (EMS, Hatfield, PA); mouse anti-TfR2, rabbit anti-DMT1 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA); rabbit anti-lysosomal-associated membrane protein 1 (LAMP1), rabbit anti-Giantin, rabbit anti-γ-tubulin, rabbit polyclonal anti-FTL (Abcam, Cambridge, MA); mouse anti-Rab11 (Millipore, Billerica, MA); mouse anti-DDK (4CS) (OriGene Technologies, Rockville, MD). Alexa-594-labeled transferrin, Mitotracker Red, and Alexa-488- or Alexa-594-labeled anti-mouse IgG or anti-rabbit IgG secondary antibodies were from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA). Mounting medium for fluorescence with DAPI staining was purchased from Vector Laboratories (Burlingame, CA).

**Sulfide-silver method (iron staining)**
Initial studies of intracellular iron were performed by sulfide-silver staining as previously described (Zdolsek et al., 1993). All chemicals used in sulfide-silver staining were obtained from Sigma Aldrich. In brief, cells were fixed with 2% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M Na-cacodylate (pH 7.2) with 0.1 M sucrose for up to 2 hr at room temperature. After washing 5 times with H2O, samples were sulphidated with 1% ammonium sulphide (pH ~9) in 70% ethanol for 15 min and then washed with H2O. Heavy metals (mainly iron in cells) were visualized by autometallography in a colloid-protected developer containing silver nitrate and hydroquinone in citrate buffer in the dark for 20 to 30 min.
Measurement of heme and hemoglobin

The QuantiChrom heme Assay (BioAssay Systems) was used to measure heme in cultured erythroid cell lysates \((t_1-t_4)\). The amount of heme in each sample was normalized per microgram of total protein. Hemoglobin in erythroid cells at \(t_2\) and \(t_4\) (2 million cells each) was detected by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) as previously described (Wojda et al., 2002). In short, the cells were lysed in deionized sterile water, by repeated freezing and thawing, and then pelleted by brief centrifugation. The supernatants then were filtered through Ultrafree-MC devices (Millipore, Bedford, MA) before cation-exchange chromatography. Hemoglobin from cell lysates was separated on a 20 \(\times\) 4-mm POLYCATA column (PolyLC, Columbia, MD) fitted to a Gilson HPLC system (Gilson, Middleton, WI) and then eluted during 4-min 8%-40% gradient of buffer B (20 mM Bis-Tris, 2 mM KCN, 200 mM NaCl, pH 6.55) in buffer A (20 mM Bis-Tris, 2 mM KCN, pH 6.96) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Hemoglobin protein was detected by absorbance measurements at 415 nm. Direct quantitation of hemoglobin was conducted by integration of the areas under the HbA peak using software supplied by the manufacturer.

Electron microscopy and SB-SEM

Combinatorial heavy-metal staining protocol was followed, developed by Mark Ellisman’s group (Holcomb et al., 2013; West et al., 2010). The cells from 4 developmental stages, \(t_1 = \text{day 0}, t_2 = \text{day 7}, t_3 = \text{day 14} (7 \text{ days after EPO exposure}), t_4 = \text{day 19–21} (14 \text{ days after EPO exposure})\), were fixed for five minutes in a mixture of 2.5% glutaraldehyde and 2% formaldehyde in sodium cacodylate buffer with 2 mM calcium chloride. Then they were fixed for another two to three hours on ice in the same solution, after which they were rinsed \((2 \times \text{or} 3 \times)\) with cold cacodylate buffer containing 2 mM calcium chloride (five minutes for each rinse). For heavy-metal staining, the cells were fixed in reduced osmium—a solution containing 3% potassium ferricyanide in 0.3 M cacodylate buffer with 4 mM calcium chloride combined with an equal volume of 4% aqueous osmium tetroxide—for one hour on ice. They were then placed in a 0.22-μm Millipore-filtered 1% thio-carbohydrazide solution in ddH2O for 20 min. The heavy-metal staining phase concluded with fixation of the samples in 2% osmium tetroxide in ddH2O for 30 min. Between each of the preceding steps, the samples were washed five times, three minutes each time, with ddH2O. At last, the samples were placed in 1% aqueous uranyl acetate and left overnight at ~4°C. The next day, after once again washing the samples with ddH2O five times for three minutes each time, we performed en bloc Walton’s lead aspartate staining (Walton, 1979): the cells were submerged in a lead aspartate solution and placed in the oven for 30 min at 60°C. Then, following another five three-minute ddH2O rinses, the samples were dehydrated and embedded in Epon-Araldite (EMS, Hatfield, PA) according to standard protocols. The final sample preparation step was to mount the embedded cells for SB-SEM with the goal of minimizing specimen charging. Each resin-embedded sample was first mounted on an empty resin block down, to a special aluminum specimen pin (Gatan, Pleasanton, CA) using CircuitWorks Conductive Epoxy (CW2400); CW2400 served to electrically ground the cells to the aluminum pin. The remounted samples were dehydrated in a series of ethanol \((20\%, 40\%, 60\%, 75\%, \text{and} 95\% \text{ for} 10 \text{ min and} 100\% \text{ for} 30 \text{ min with} 3 \text{ changes})\) and infiltrated with a 1% aqueous uranyl acetate and left overnight at ~4°C. The next day, after once again washing the samples with ddH2O five times for three minutes each time, we performed en bloc Walton’s lead aspartate staining (Walton, 1979): the cells were submerged in a lead aspartate solution and placed in the oven for 30 min at 60°C. Then, following another five three-minute ddH2O rinses, the samples were dehydrated and embedded in Epon-Araldite (EMS, Hatfield, PA) according to standard protocols. The final sample preparation step was to mount the embedded cells for SB-SEM with the goal of minimizing specimen charging. Each resin-embedded sample was first mounted on an empty resin block down, to a special aluminum specimen pin (Gatan, Pleasanton, CA) using CircuitWorks Conductive Epoxy (CW2400); CW2400 served to electrically ground the cells to the aluminum pin. The remounted samples were dehydrated and embedded with a thin ~4-nm layer of gold.

The trimmed resin-embedded stained cells were imaged using a Gatan 3View serial block-face imaging system installed on a Zeiss SIGMA-VP SEM, operating at an accelerating voltage of 2.7 kV using a 60-μm condenser aperture. The SEM was operated at 25-Pa gas pressure. The acquired images had a pixel size of 7.7 nm in the x-y plane and 50 nm along the z axis. The resulting datasets were assembled into volume files and aligned using Digital Micrograph (Gatan, Inc.), binned by 4, and then manually segmented into 3-D models in Amira (FEI, Thermo Fisher Scientific). Some thresholding was used to expedite the process. The volumes of the segmented organelles mitochondria, nuclei, vesicles, and cell membrane were measured using Amira software’s “Material Statistics” module, thus obtaining all the reported 3-D size parameters. Measurements were obtained from 27 cell membranes, 21 nuclei, 20 mitochondrial networks, and 25 vesicle networks, with 5 cells selected from each of the 4 developmental stages. All cell-type differentiation was performed by visual inspection, and cell types were assessed according to established morphologies (Hu et al., 2013).

EM, STEM/EELS, and TEM

For STEM/EELS, cells from different time stages were pelleted and fixed in a mixture of 2.5% formaldehyde and 2.0% glutaraldehyde in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) (pH = 7.4), followed by 0.02% osmium tetroxide fixation for 1 hr. After several rinses in the buffer \(3 \times 10 \text{ min}\), the samples were dehydrated in a series of ethanol \((20\%, 40\%, 60\%, 75\%, \text{and} 95\% \text{ for} 10 \text{ min and} 100\% \text{ for} 30 \text{ min with} 3 \text{ changes})\) and infiltrated with...
Epon-Araldite for 2 days (25% of Epon-Araldite and ethanol for 2h, 50% for 4h, 75% for 8h, and 100% for 1 day with 2 changes). The samples were polymerized at 60°C for 2 days.

The TEM/STEM samples that were not analyzed by EELS and were only used for structural evaluation were prepared by fixing cells in a mixture of 2.5% formaldehyde and 2.0% glutaraldehyde, briefly rinsed in 0.1 M sodium cacodylate buffer, and postfixed in 1.0% osmium tetroxide plus 0.8% potassium ferricyanide in the same buffer for 60 min. After several rinses in PBS (3x for 10 min), the samples were dehydrated in an ethanol gradient as mentioned earlier for the EELS preparation and infiltrated with Epon-Araldite for a couple days. The samples were then polymerized at 60°C for another 2 days. For all different time stages, the samples were prepared and imaged the same way. Sections of thickness 100-120 nm were deposited on TEM copper grids.

**Ferritin standard and Fe**\(^{3+}\) **mapping**

To compare results with a pure ferritin-standard sample, horse spleen ferritin (Sigma-Aldrich, US) was deposited on a thin carbon film (5 nm) and was let to dry.

Dark-field STEM and STEM/EELS images were acquired using Tecnai TF30 electron microscope (FEI, Thermo Fisher Scientific), equipped with a Quantum imaging filter (Gatan Inc., Warrendale, PA), and operating at an accelerating voltage of 300 kV. The energy loss range was 445 eV–1470 eV, and the elemental maps were taken from a small area of the specimen, using drift correction when needed. Elemental images containing about 50 by 50 pixels were acquired with a pixel size of 1–2 nm.

To determine the iron loading in the ferritin particles (standard sample) after imaging with STEM/EELS, the spectral tool in the Digital Micrograph software (Gatan Inc.) was used to select individual ferritin particles. The background under the Fe L₂,₃ core edge at 710 eV was removed by fitting the inverse power law (Leapman, 2003), and the Fe L₂,₃ signal was summed over a 10-eV window, to generate an integrated Fe signal map.

The iron map was quantified by dividing the net Fe signal by the product of inelastic cross section and the total beam current. Thus, the number of Fe atoms \(N_{Fe}\) in each analyzed ferritin particle was calculated according to the following:

\[
N_{Fe} = \frac{d^2}{3} \frac{S_{Fe}(\beta, D)}{I_0(s_{Fe}(\beta, D))},
\]

where \(S_{Fe}(\beta, D)\) is signal (the number of counts in the Fe L edge), \(I_0\) is the total incoming current (the number of counts in the zero loss and low-loss spectrum), \(s_{Fe}\) is the inelastic cross section, and \(d\) is the pixel size in nm. \(\beta\) is the collection semiangle defined by the spectrometer entrance aperture, which was 20 mrad, and \(D\) is the integration energy window, which was set to be 10 eV in order to include the L₃ white line resonance. A value for the scattering cross section \(\sigma_{Fe}\) is not well established with a wide range of values reported in previous literature. We have therefore calculated the L₃ white line cross section both from X-ray data and from empirical EELS measurements on nanoparticles of known composition. The value used here for \(\sigma_{Fe}(\beta, D)\) was estimated to be \((1.46 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-7} \text{nm}^2/\text{atom} (\sigma \pm \text{SEM})\).

**Movie generation**

Videos S1 and S2 are made in Amira software that allows the observer to go through the 3-D data in the form of orthoslices and view the surface/volume rendered models for further analysis.

**Calculation of cross section \(\sigma_{Fe}\) (\(\beta, \Delta\)) for Fe L₂,₃ white line**

The cross section was calculated in two ways.

1. The relation between photoabsorption cross section and the nonrelativistic electron energy loss differential cross section is given by Utzaut, 1981:

\[
\sigma_{r}(E) \left( \frac{dE}{d\theta} \right) = \frac{2\pi a_0}{\hbar c} \frac{E_0 E}{\ln \left( \frac{4E_0}{E} \right)}.
\]

(Equation 1)

where \(E\) is the photon energy or energy loss, \(E_0\) is the primary electron energy, \(a_0\) is the Bohr radius, \(\hbar\) is Plank’s constant divided by \(2\pi\), \(c\) is the speed of light, and \(\frac{dE}{d\theta}\) is the electron energy loss differential cross section. Using the relativistically corrected beam energy \(T_0\) instead of \(E_0\), we can write...
Experimental X-ray absorption data measure absorption coefficient $\mu(E)$ instead of absorption cross-section $\sigma_s(E)$:

$$\mu(E) = n \sigma_s(E),$$  \hspace{1cm} (Equation 3)

where $n$ is the number of atoms of element per unit volume. Now considering the Fe L$_2$ white line excitation at 708 ± 5 eV and integrating the photoabsorption spectrum and the electron energy loss spectrum, for an incident electron energy of $E_0 = 300$ keV or $T_0 = 154.1$ keV (Egerton, 2011), then,

$$\int \frac{d\sigma(E)}{dE} \, dE = \frac{0.0262}{nF_{\text{Fe, white line}}} \int \mu(E) \, dE,$$

$$n = \frac{\rho_{\text{Fe}} N_0}{A_{\text{Fe}}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (Equation 5)

where $\rho_{\text{Fe}}$ is the density of Fe, $N_0$ is the Avogadro’s number, and $A_{\text{Fe}}$ is the atomic weight of Fe. From these constants, $n$ is calculated to be 85 Fe atoms/nm$^3$.

The integral of $\mu(E)$ is obtained from published X-ray absorption data (Regan et al., 2001) with an estimated uncertainty of 5%. Therefore, $\sigma_{\text{Fe}} = (1.17 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-7}$ nm$^2$/atom.

2. We calculate $\sigma_{\text{Fe}}$ using experimental data from material of a known composition containing Fe: Fe$_2$O$_3$ and Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles. We can write

$$N_{\text{Fe}} = \left( \frac{S_{\text{Fe}}(\beta, \Delta)}{k \sigma_{\text{Fe}}(\beta, \Delta)} \right) \cdot d^2, \hspace{1cm} (Equation \ 6)$$

$$N_{\text{O}} = S_{\text{O}}(\beta, \Delta) \cdot \sigma_{\text{O}}(\beta, \Delta), \hspace{1cm} (Equation \ 7)$$

Here, $S_{\text{Fe}}$ and $S_{\text{O}}$ are integrated signals for iron and oxygen, respectively, $I_0$ is the total incoming electron dose, $\sigma_{\text{Fe}}/\sigma_{\text{O}}$ is the inelastic cross section for Fe/O, and $d$ is the pixel size in nm. $\beta$ is the collection semiangle defined by the spectrometer entrance aperture, which was 20 mrad and $\Delta$ is the integration energy window, which is 10 eV for Fe and 100 eV for oxygen. Knowing the $\sigma_{\text{O}}$ and the ratio of elements found in the material, we can solve for $\sigma_{\text{Fe}}$.

To find out $\sigma_{\text{O}}$ at beam energy of 300 keV, we use data from Egerton R. F (Egerton, 1979) for $\sigma_{\text{O}}$ at beam energy of 100 keV $= 3.5 \times 10^{-7}$ nm$^2$/atom and Equation 2.

$$\frac{\sigma_{\text{O}, E = 300 \text{ keV}}}{\sigma_{\text{O}, E = 100 \text{ keV}}} = f, \hspace{0.5cm} f = \frac{T_{\text{O}}(100 \text{ keV})}{T_{\text{O}}(300 \text{ keV})} \ln \left[ \frac{4\pi(300\text{ keV})}{588} \right], \hspace{1cm} (Equation \ 8)$$

where the calculated $f$ is 0.581, which gives rise to $\sigma_{\text{O}}$ at 300 keV $= 2.03 \times 10^{-7}$ nm$^2$/atom.

By analyzing 23 particles, the $\sigma_{\text{Fe}} = (1.46 \pm 0.13) \times 10^{-7}$ nm$^2$/atom ($\pi \pm$SEM)

**QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

**Error generation for the number of Fe atoms**

To generate distributions and their respective errors of the number of Fe atoms per cell at stages $t_1$-$t_4$, as shown in Figure 3C, the following calculations were performed on the ADF STEM thin-section images, for $t_1$ and $t_2$ specimens, because the ferritin particles were found in most lysosomes, we used a statistical approach to obtain the total number of ferritins found in a cell $f_{\text{cell}}$,

$$f_{\text{cell}} = \frac{\sum f_{\text{lys}}}{\sum A_{\text{lys}} + t} \times V_{\text{lys}} \hspace{1cm} (Equation \ 9)$$
in which \( \sum \text{fer}^{\text{lys}} \) is the total number of ferritins found in the lysosomal region per cell in a thin section, \( \sum A^{\text{lys}} \) is the total area of the lysosomes in nm\(^2\) in a thin section per cell, \( t \) is the section thickness in nm, which is 100 nm, and \( V^{\text{lys}} \) is the total lysosomal volume in nm\(^3\), a measurement that is only obtainable from segmented volume of SB-SEM data. There were 150–200 lysosomal areas analyzed for \( t_1-t_4 \) specimens. The values used to plot the histogram in Figure 3 are \( \overline{\text{fer}^{\text{cell}}} \pm \text{s.e.m.} \).

For \( t_3 \) and \( t_4 \) stages, because most of the lysosomes did not contain ferritins and the ones that did formed stochastic clusters, we approached the analysis differently in order to propagate the error that is meaningful. First, we obtain the volume of ferritin clusters \( V^{\text{clus}} \):

\[
V^{\text{clus}} = \frac{\sum A^{\text{clus}}}{\sum A^{\text{lys}} + t} \times V^{\text{lys}} \quad \text{(Equation 10)}
\]

where \( \sum A^{\text{clus}} \) is the summation of all the areas that the clusters occupy in nm\(^2\) in a cell of the thin section, \( \sum A^{\text{lys}} \) is the total area of the lysosomes in nm\(^2\) in the same cell of a thin section, \( t \) is the section thickness in nm, which is 100 nm, and \( V^{\text{lys}} \) is the total lysosomal volume in nm\(^3\), a measurement that is only obtainable from segmented volume of SB-SEM data. From the ferritin clusters that were observed, we obtained the average number of ferritins per cluster – \( \text{fer}^{\text{clus}} \). Therefore, the total number of ferritins in a cell \( \text{fer}^{\text{cell}} \) is

\[
\text{fer}^{\text{cell}} = \text{fer}^{\text{clus}} \times V^{\text{clus}} \quad \text{(Equation 11)}
\]

We have propagated the error for each of these operations. The area fraction’s \( \frac{\sum A^{\text{clus}}}{\sum A^{\text{lys}}} \) s.e.m. error was used because there is a large lysosome to lysosome variability. As a result of these calculations, the graphs for Figure S7 are generated.

**ADDITIONAL RESOURCES**

Our study has not generated or contributed to a new website/forum, and it is not part of a clinical trial.