Linear Complexity of Quaternary Sequences Over $\mathbb{Z}_4$ Derived From Generalized Cyclotomic Classes Modulo $2p$

Zhixiong Chen and Vladimir Edemskiy

(Corresponding author: Zhixiong Chen)

School of Mathematics, Putian University, Putian, Fujian 351100, P. R. China
Department of Applied Mathematics and Informatics, Novgorod State University, Veliky Novgorod 173003, Russia
(Email: ptczx@126.com, Vladimir.Edemskiy@novsu.ru)

(Received Mar. 31, 2016; revised and accepted June 1 & July 19, 2016)

1 Introduction

Due to applications of quaternary sequences in communication systems, radar and cryptography [12], it is of interest to design large families of quaternary sequences. Stream Ciphers

1. They determined the linear complexity of $(e_u)_{u \geq 0}$ in [5]. Later Ke, Yang and Zhang [15] calculated their autocorrelation values. In fact, before [5, 15] Kim, Hong and Song [17] defined another family of quaternary sequences $(s_u)_{u \geq 0}$ with elements in $\mathbb{Z}_4 = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, the residue class ring modulo 4, as follows

\[ s_u = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } u = 0 \text{ or } u \in D_0, \\ 1, & \text{if } u \in D_1, \\ 2, & \text{if } u = p \text{ or } u \in E_0, \\ 3, & \text{if } u \in E_1. \end{cases} \]

They derived the periodic autocorrelation function of $(s_u)_{u \geq 0}$. However, as we know, the question about the
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They derived the periodic autocorrelation function of $(s_u)_{u \geq 0}$. However, as we know, the question about the

\[ e_u = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } u = 0 \text{ or } u \in D_0, \\ 1, & \text{if } u \in D_1, \\ 1 + \alpha, & \text{if } u = p \text{ or } u \in E_0, \\ \alpha, & \text{if } u \in E_1. \end{cases} \]

They determined the linear complexity of $(e_u)_{u \geq 0}$ in [5]. Later Ke, Yang and Zhang [15] calculated their autocorrelation values. In fact, before [5, 15] Kim, Hong and Song [17] defined another family of quaternary sequences $(s_u)_{u \geq 0}$ with elements in $\mathbb{Z}_4 = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, the residue class ring modulo 4, as follows
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\[ e_u = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } u = 0 \text{ or } u \in D_0, \\ 1, & \text{if } u \in D_1, \\ 1 + \alpha, & \text{if } u = p \text{ or } u \in E_0, \\ \alpha, & \text{if } u \in E_1. \end{cases} \]

They determined the linear complexity of $(e_u)_{u \geq 0}$ in [5]. Later Ke, Yang and Zhang [15] calculated their autocorrelation values. In fact, before [5, 15] Kim, Hong and Song [17] defined another family of quaternary sequences $(s_u)_{u \geq 0}$ with elements in $\mathbb{Z}_4 = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, the residue class ring modulo 4, as follows

\[ s_u = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } u = 0 \text{ or } u \in D_0, \\ 1, & \text{if } u \in D_1, \\ 2, & \text{if } u = p \text{ or } u \in E_0, \\ 3, & \text{if } u \in E_1. \end{cases} \]

They derived the periodic autocorrelation function of $(s_u)_{u \geq 0}$. However, as we know, the question about the

\[ e_u = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } u = 0 \text{ or } u \in D_0, \\ 1, & \text{if } u \in D_1, \\ 1 + \alpha, & \text{if } u = p \text{ or } u \in E_0, \\ \alpha, & \text{if } u \in E_1. \end{cases} \]
linear complexity of \((s_u)_{u \geq 0}\) is still open due to the phenomenon of zero divisors in \(Z_4\). In this work, we will develop a way to solve this problem using the theory of Galois rings of characteristic 4. We note that there are many quaternary sequences over \(Z_4\) have been investigated in the literature, see e.g., [2, 8, 9, 10, 21, 22, 25].

We recall that the linear complexity \(LC((s_u)_{u \geq 0})\) of \((s_u)_{u \geq 0}\) above is the least order \(L\) of a linear recurrence relation (i.e., linear feedback shift register, or LFSR for short) over \(Z_4\):

\[ s_{u+L} + c_1 s_{u+L-1} + \ldots + c_{L-1} s_{u+1} + c_L s_u = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad u \geq 0, \]

which is satisfied by \((s_u)_{u \geq 0}\) and where \(c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_L \in Z_4\), see [23]. The connection polynomial is \(C(X)\) given by

\[ 1 + c_1 X + \ldots + c_L X^L. \]

We note that \(C(0) = 1\). Let

\[ S(X) = s_0 + s_1 X + \ldots + s_{2p-1} X^{2p-1} \in Z_4[X] \]

be the generating polynomial of \((s_u)_{u \geq 0}\). Then an LFSR with a connection polynomial \(C(X)\) generates \((s_u)_{u \geq 0}\), if and only if [23],

\[ \text{where} \quad C(X) \in Z_4[X] \text{ satisfies } C(0) = 1. \quad \text{That is, } \]

\[ LC((s_u)) = \min \{ \deg(C(X)) : C(X) \in Z_4[X], C(0) = 1, S(X)C(X) \equiv 0 \pmod{X^{2p} - 1} \}. \quad (2) \]

Let \(r\) be the order of 2 modulo \(p\). We denote by \(GR(4^r, 4)\) the Galois ring of order \(4^r\) of characteristic 4, which is isomorphic to the residue class ring \(Z_4[X] / (f(X))\), where \(f(X) \in Z_4[X]\) is a basic irreducible polynomial of degree \(r\) [24, 19]. The group of units of \(GR(4^r, 4)\), denoted by \(GR^*(4^r, 4)\), contains a cyclic subgroup of order \(2^r - 1\). Since \(p \mid (2^r - 1)\), let \(\beta \in GR^*(4^r, 4)\) be of order \(p\). Then we find that \(\gamma = 3 \beta \in GR^*(4^r, 4)\) is of order \(2p\). From Equation (2), we will consider the values \(S(\gamma^v)\) for \(v = 0, 1, \ldots, 2p - 1\), which allow us to derive the linear complexity of \((s_u)_{u \geq 0}\). Due to \(S(X) \in Z_4[X]\), we cannot consider it in the same way as those in finite fields. For example, 1 and 3 are the roots of \(2X - 2 \in Z_4[X]\), but \(2X - 2\) is not divisible by \((X - 1)(X - 3)\), i.e., in the ring \(Z_4[X]\) the number of roots of a polynomial can be greater than its degree. So we need to develop some necessary technique here. Indeed, the theory of Galois ring enters into our problem by means of the following lemmas.

**Lemma 1.** Let \(P(X) \in Z_4[X]\) be a non-constant polynomial. If \(\xi \in GR(4^r, 4)\) is a root of \(P(X)\), we have

\[ P(X) = (X - \xi)Q_1(X) \quad \text{for some polynomial } Q_1(X) \in GR(4^r, 4)[X]. \]

Furthermore, if \(\eta \in GR(4^r, 4)\) is another root of \(P(X)\) and \(\eta - \xi\) is a unit, we have

\[ P(X) = (X - \xi)(X - \eta)Q_2(X), \]

where \(Q_1(X) = (X - \eta)Q_2(X)\).

**Lemma 2.** Let \(\gamma \in GR^*(4^r, 4)\) be of order \(2p\), and let \(P(X) \in Z_4[X]\) be any non-constant polynomial.

\[ \text{(I). If } P(\gamma^v) = 0 \text{ for all } v \in D_i, \text{ where } i = 0, 1, \text{ then we have } P(X) = P_1(X) \prod_{v \in D_i} (X - \gamma^v) \]

for some polynomial \(P_1(X) \in GR(4^r, 4)[X]\). Similarly, If \(P(\gamma^v) = 0 \text{ for all } v \in E_i\), where \(i = 0, 1\), then we have

\[ P(X) = P_2(X) \prod_{v \in E_i} (X - \gamma^v) \]

for some polynomial \(P_2(X) \in GR(4^r, 4)[X]\).

\[ \text{(II). If } P(\gamma^v) = 0 \text{ for all } v \in \{p\} \cup D_0 \cup D_1, \text{ then we have } \]

\[ P(X) = P_3(X)(X^p + 1) \]

for some polynomial \(P_3(X) \in GR(4^r, 4)[X]\). Similarly, if \(P(\gamma^v) = 0 \text{ for all } v \in \{0\} \cup E_0 \cup E_1\), then we have

\[ P(X) = P_4(X)(X^p - 1) \]

for some polynomial \(P_4(X) \in Z_4[X]\).

\[ \text{(III). If } P(0) = 1, P(\gamma^v) = 0 \text{ for } v \in Z_{2p} \setminus \{0, p\}, \text{ and } P(\pm 1) \in \{0, 2\}, \text{ then we have } \deg P(X) \geq 2p - 1. \]

Furthermore, if either \(P(1) = P(-1) = 0\) or \(P(1) = P(-1) = 2\), we have \(\deg P(X) \geq 2p\).

We give a proof of both lemmas in the Appendix for the convenience of the reader.

## 2 Linear Complexity of \((s_u)_{u \geq 0}\)

### 2.1 Auxiliary Lemmas

We describe a relationship among \(D_0, D_1, E_0\) and \(E_1\).

**Lemma 3.** Let \(i, j \in \{0, 1\}\).

\(\text{(I). For } v \in D_i, \text{ we have }\)

\[ vD_j \triangleq \{vu \pmod{2p} : u \in D_j\} = D_{i+j \mod 2}, \]

and

\[ vE_j \triangleq \{vu \pmod{2p} : u \in E_j\} = E_{i+j \mod 2}. \]

\(\text{(II). For } v \in E_i, \text{ we have }\)

\[ vD_j \triangleq \{vu \pmod{2p} : u \in D_j\} = E_{i+j \mod 2}, \]

and

\[ vE_j \triangleq \{vu \pmod{2p} : u \in E_j\} = E_{i+j \mod 2} \]

if \(p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}\), and otherwise

\[ vE_j \triangleq \{vu \pmod{2p} : u \in E_j\} = E_{i+j+1 \mod 2}. \]

---

\(^3\)In the context we always suppose that \(\gamma \in GR^*(4^r, 4)\) is of order \(2p\).
If \( p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8} \), we have
\[
D_i = \{(v + p) \pmod{2p} : v \in E_i\},
\]
and otherwise
\[
D_{i+1 \mod 2} = \{(v + p) \pmod{2p} : v \in E_i\}.
\]

If \( p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8} \), we have
\[
E_i = \{(v + p) \pmod{2p} : v \in D_i\},
\]
and otherwise
\[
E_{i+1 \mod 2} = \{(v + p) \pmod{2p} : v \in D_i\}.
\]

If \( p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8} \), we have
\[
E_i = \{u + p : u \in D_i, u < p\} \cup \{u - p : u \in D_i, u > p\}.
\]

**Proof.**

(I). If \( v \in D_i \) for \( i = 0, 1 \) and \( u \in D_j \) for \( j = 0, 1 \) then we can write \( v \equiv g^{i+2k} \pmod{2p} \), \( 0 \leq k \leq (p - 3)/2 \) and \( u \equiv g^{j+2l} \pmod{2p} \), \( 0 \leq l \leq (p - 3)/2 \). So, \( v u \equiv g^{i+j+2k+2l} \pmod{2p} \), which implies \( u v u \in D_{i+j} \pmod{2} \).

Since \( |vD_i| = |D_{i+1 \mod 2}| \), it follows that \( vD_i = D_{i+1 \mod 2} \). The equality \( vE_j = E_{i+j+1 \mod 2} \) can be proved similarly.

(II). Let \( v \in E_i \). We write \( v \equiv 2u \pmod{2p} \), \( u \in D_i \). Therefore, by (I) and our definitions we have
\[
vD_j = 2uD_j = 2D_{i+j \mod 2} = E_{i+j \mod 2}.
\]

Now, we consider \( vE_j \). First, we have by (I) again
\[
vE_j = 2uE_j = 2E_{i+j \mod 2}.
\]

Second, for any \( w \in 2E_{i+j \mod 2} \), we can write \( w \equiv 4a \pmod{2p} \) for \( a \in D_{i+j \mod 2} \). Clearly \( w \) is even and \( w \in E_0 \cup E_1 \), so we get \( w \equiv 2b \pmod{2p} \) for \( b \in D_0 \cup D_1 \). Then we have \( b \equiv 2a \pmod{p} \).

For \( p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8} \), in which case 2 is a quadratic residue modulo \( p \) [14], we have \( b \in D_{i+j \mod 2} \), which leads to \( w \equiv 2b \pmod{2p} \in E_{i+j \mod 2} \), i.e.,
\[
2E_{i+j \mod 2} \subseteq E_{i+j \mod 2}.
\]

Since \( 2E_{i+j \mod 2} \) and \( E_{i+j \mod 2} \) have the same cardinality, it follows that
\[
vE_j = 2E_{i+j \mod 2} = E_{i+j \mod 2}.
\]

The case of \( p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8} \) follows in a similar way, in which case 2 is a quadratic non-residue modulo \( p \).

(III). Let \( p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8} \), since 2 is a quadratic residue modulo \( p \) [14], we can find when \( v \in D_0 \) (resp. \( D_1 \)), \( p + 2\gamma \) modulo 2p runs through \( D_0 \) (resp. \( D_1 \)). Since otherwise, if \( p + 2\gamma_0 \pmod{2p} \in D_1 \) for some \( \gamma_0 \in D_1 \), then we write \( p + 2\gamma_0 \equiv g^{1+2k_0} \pmod{2p} \) for some integer \( k_0 \), from which we derive \( 2\gamma_0 \equiv \gamma^{1+2k_0} \pmod{2p} \). It leads to the result that 2 is a quadratic non-residue modulo \( p \), a contradiction.

So, \( D_i = \{(v + p) \pmod{2p} : v \in E_i\} \) if \( p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8} \).

The equality \( D_{i+1 \mod 2} = \{(v + p) \pmod{2p} : v \in E_i\} \) for \( p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8} \) is proved similarly as the first. Here, if \( \gamma \) runs through \( D_0 \) (resp. \( D_1 \)), then \( p + 2\gamma \) modulo 2p runs through \( D_1 \) (resp. \( D_0 \)).

(IV). Comes from (III).

(V). In fact first, the set
\[
\{u + p : u \in D_1, u < p\} \cup \{u - p : u \in D_1, u > p\}
\]

exactly contains \(|D_1|\) even numbers. Second, we suppose that \( a \in E_0 \) for some
\[
a \in \{u + p : u \in D_1, u < p\} \cup \{u - p : u \in D_1, u > p\}.
\]

Write \( a \equiv 2v \pmod{2p} \) for some \( v \in D_0 \). From the definition of \( D_0 \), we see that \( v \) is a quadratic residue modulo \( p \). Then \( a \) is a quadratic residue modulo \( p \) due to \( p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8} \), in which case 2 is a quadratic residue modulo \( p \) [14]. However, \( a \) is of the form \( u + p \) or \( u - p \) for some \( u \in D_1 \), and \( a \equiv u \pmod{p} \) is a quadratic non-residue modulo \( p \), a contradiction. So
\[
\{u + p : u \in D_1, u < p\} \cup \{u - p : u \in D_1, u > p\} \subseteq E_1,
\]
and both have the same cardinality.

For \( i = 0, 1 \), let
\[
S_i(X) = \sum_{u \in D_i} X^u
\]
and
\[
T_i(X) = S_i(X^2) = \sum_{u \in E_i} X^u \pmod{X^{2p} - 1}.
\]

According to Equation (1), the generating polynomial of \((s_u)_{u \geq 0}\) is
\[
S(X) = 2X^p + S_1(X) + 2T_0(X) + 3T_1(X).
\]

As mentioned before, we will consider the values \( S(\gamma^v) \) for a unit \( \gamma \in GR^*(4^r,4) \) of order \( 2p \) and \( v = 0, 1, \ldots, 2p - 1 \).

According to the definitions of \( D_0, D_1, E_0 \) and \( E_1 \), we will describe \( S(\gamma^v) \) in the following lemma in terms of \( S_0(\gamma) \) (or \( S_1(\gamma) \)) due to the fact that in the ring \( GR^*(4^r,4) \)
\[
S_0(\gamma) + S_1(\gamma) = \sum_{u \in D_0 \cup D_1} \gamma^u = \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \gamma^{2j+1} - \gamma^p = 1.
\]

**Lemma 4.** Let \( \gamma \in GR^*(4^r,4) \) be of order \( 2p \), and let \( S(X) \) be the generating polynomial of \((s_u)_{u \geq 0}\) described in Equation (3).
(I). If \( p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8} \), we have
\[
S(\gamma^v) = \begin{cases} 
1 - 2S_0(\gamma), & \text{if } v \in D_0, \\
-1 + 2S_0(\gamma), & \text{if } v \in D_1, \\
3, & \text{if } v \in E_0 \cup E_1.
\end{cases}
\]

(II). If \( p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8} \), we have
\[
S(\gamma^v) = \begin{cases} 
0, & \text{if } v \in D_0 \cup D_1, \\
2 - 2S_0(\gamma), & \text{if } v \in E_0, \\
2S_0(\gamma), & \text{if } v \in E_1.
\end{cases}
\]

Proof.

(I). Let \( p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8} \). By Lemma 3(I) we first get
\[
S_1(\gamma^v) = \begin{cases} 
1 - S_0(\gamma), & \text{if } v \in D_0, \\
S_0(\gamma), & \text{if } v \in D_1.
\end{cases}
\]

Second, for any \( v \in E_j \) for \( j \in \{0, 1\} \), write \( v = 2p \) for \( p \in D_j \). We see that \( p + 2p \in D_{j+1} \) by Lemma 3(III) and \( \gamma^v = \gamma^{2p} = -\gamma^{p+2p} \), by Lemma 3(I) we derive
\[
S_1(\gamma^v) = \begin{cases} 
- \sum_{\ell \in D_1} \gamma^{w(\ell + 2p)} \iff & \text{if } \tau \in D_0, \\
- \sum_{\ell \in D_1} \gamma^{w}, & \text{if } \tau \in D_1
\end{cases}
\]

which leads to
\[
S_1(\gamma^v) = \begin{cases} 
-S_0(\gamma), & \text{if } v \in E_0, \\
-1 + S_0(\gamma), & \text{if } v \in E_1.
\end{cases}
\]

Similarly, by Lemma 3(I)-(IV), we have
\[
T_0(\gamma^v) = \begin{cases} 
-1 + S_0(\gamma), & \text{if } v \in D_0, \\
-S_0(\gamma), & \text{if } v \in D_1, \\
S_0(\gamma), & \text{if } v \in E_0, \\
1 - S_0(\gamma), & \text{if } v \in E_1,
\end{cases}
\]

and
\[
T_1(\gamma^v) = \begin{cases} 
-S_0(\gamma), & \text{if } v \in D_0, \\
-1 + S_0(\gamma), & \text{if } v \in D_1, \\
1 - S_0(\gamma), & \text{if } v \in E_0, \\
S_0(\gamma), & \text{if } v \in E_1.
\end{cases}
\]

Then putting everything together, we get the first assertion.

The second assertion of this lemma can be proved in a similar way.

So in order to determine the values of \( S(\gamma^v) \), it is sufficient to calculate \( S_0(\gamma) \). We need the parameter \([i, j] = |(1 + D_i) \cap E_j|\), which is the cardinality of the set \((1 + D_i) \cap E_j\), i.e.,
\[
[i, j] = |(1 + D_i) \cap E_j|,
\]
where \( 1 + D_i = \{1 + u \pmod{2p} : u \in D_i\} \).

Lemma 5. With notations as before. We have
\[
[0, 0] = \begin{cases} 
(p - 5)/4, & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, \\
(p - 3)/4, & \text{if } p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}, \\
(p - 1)/4, & \text{if } p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}, \\
(p + 1)/4, & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{8},
\end{cases}
\]

and
\[
[0, 1] = \begin{cases} 
(p - 1)/4, & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, \\
(p + 1)/4, & \text{if } p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}, \\
(p - 5)/4, & \text{if } p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}, \\
(p - 3)/4, & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{8},
\end{cases}
\]

Proof. Since \( g \) used above is also a primitive modulo \( p \), we write
\[
H_0 = \{g^{2n} \pmod{p} : n = 0, 1, \ldots, (p - 3)/2\}
\]
and
\[
H_1 = \{g^{1+2n} \pmod{p} : n = 0, 1, \ldots, (p - 3)/2\}.
\]

We find that for \( i = 0, 1 \)
\[
\{u \pmod{p} : u \in D_i\} = H_i
\]
and
\[
\{2u \pmod{p} : u \in D_i\} = H_{i+\ell \pmod{2}},
\]
where \( \ell = 0 \) if \( p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8} \) and \( \ell = 1 \) if \( p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8} \), i.e., \( \ell = 0 \) if \( 2 \) is a quadratic residue modulo \( p \), and \( \ell = 1 \) otherwise [14]. Therefore,
\[
[i, j] = |(1 + D_i) \cap E_j| = |(1 + H_i) \cap H_{j+\ell \pmod{2}}|.
\]
We conclude the proof by applying the values of \(|(1 + H_i) \cap H_{j+\ell \pmod{2}}|\) computed in [13].

With the values of \([0, 0]\) and \([0, 1]\), we prove the following statement, which is a generalization of [6, Theorem 1].

Lemma 6. Let \( \gamma \in GR^*(4^4, 4) \) be of order \( 2p \). Then we have
\[
(S_0(\gamma))^2 = S_0(\gamma) + \begin{cases} 
(p - 1)/4, & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, \\
(p + 1)/4, & \text{if } p \equiv -1 \pmod{8}, \\
(p - 1)/4, & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}, \\
(p + 1)/4, & \text{if } p \equiv -3 \pmod{8},
\end{cases}
\]

Proof. By the definition of \( S_0(X) \) we have
\[
(S_0(\gamma))^2 = \sum_{l,m=0}^{(p-3)/2} \gamma^{2l+2m} = \sum_{l,m=0}^{(p-3)/2} \gamma^{2m}(g^{2(l-m)}+1).
\]

For each fixed \( m \), since the order of \( g \) modulo \( 2p \) is \( p - 1 \), we see that \( l - m \) modulo \( (p - 1) \) runs through the range \( 0, 1, \ldots, (p - 3)/2 \) if \( l \) does. So we have
\[
(S_0(\gamma))^2 = \sum_{m,n=0}^{(p-3)/2} \gamma^{2m}(g^{2n+1}).
\]

Since \( g \) is odd, we see that \( g^{2n+1} \pmod{2p} \) is even for any \( n \). That is, \( g^{2n+1} \pmod{2p} \in E_0 \cup E_1 \cup \{0\} \). So we consider \( g^{2n+1} \pmod{2p} \) in three different cases.
Case 1. Let
\[ N_0 = \{ n : 0 \leq n \leq (p-3)/2, \ g^{2n}+1 \ (\text{mod } 2p) \in E_0 \}. \]
In fact, the cardinality \(|N_0|\) of \(N_0\) equals \([0,0]\). For each \(n \in N_0\), as the proof of Lemma 4 we obtain that by Equation (4)
\[
\sum_{m=0}^{(p-3)/2} \gamma^{2m}(g^{2n}+1) = \sum_{v \in D_0} \gamma^{2v} = S_0(\gamma^2) = S_0(-\gamma^{p+2})
\]
\[= \begin{cases} 
-\rho S_0(\gamma), & \text{if } p \equiv \pm 1 \ (\text{mod } 8), \\
-1 + S_0(\gamma), & \text{if } p \equiv \pm 3 \ (\text{mod } 8).
\end{cases} \]

Case 2. Similar to Case 1, we let
\[ N_1 = \{ n : 0 \leq n \leq (p-3)/2, \ g^{2n}+1 \ (\text{mod } 2p) \in E_1 \}. \]
Then the cardinality \(|N_1|\) equals \([0,1]\). For each \(n \in N_1\), we obtain that
\[
\sum_{m=0}^{(p-3)/2} \gamma^{2m}(g^{2n}+1) = \sum_{v \in D_1} \gamma^{2v} = S_1(\gamma^2) = S_1(-\gamma^{p+2})
\]
\[= \begin{cases} 
-1 + S_0(\gamma), & \text{if } p \equiv \pm 1 \ (\text{mod } 8), \\
-\rho S_0(\gamma), & \text{if } p \equiv \pm 3 \ (\text{mod } 8).
\end{cases} \]

Case 3. There is an \(n\) such that \((g^{2n}+1) \equiv 0 \ (\text{mod } 2p)\) if and only if \(p \equiv 1 \ (\text{mod } 4)\). In this case, we have \(n = (p-1)/4\) and \(\sum_{m=0}^{(p-3)/2} \gamma^{2m}(g^{2n}+1) = (p-1)/2\). Let \(p \equiv 1 \ (\text{mod } 8)\). Using Equation (5) we obtain that
\[
(S_0(\gamma))^2 = |N_0|(-\rho S_0(\gamma)) + |N_1|(-1 + S_0(\gamma)) + (p-1)/2.
\]
Then we get the desired result by using the values of \([0,0]\) \((= |N_0|)\) and \([0,1]\) \((= |N_1|)\) in Lemma 5.

The assertions for \(p \equiv -1,3,-3 \ (\text{mod } 8)\) can be obtained in a similar way.

With the help of Lemma 6 we now deduce the values of \(S_0(\gamma)\). It is clear that \(S_0(\gamma) \in GR^*(4^r, 4)\) or \(S_1(\gamma) \in GR^*(4^r, 4)\) from Equation (4). Therefore, without loss of generality we always suppose that \(S_0(\gamma) \in GR^*(4^r, 4)\). (Of course, if one supposes that \(S_1(\gamma) \in GR^*(4^r, 4)\), then \(S_1(\gamma)\) will be used in the context.)

Lemma 7. Let \(\gamma \in GR^*(4^r, 4)\) be of order \(2p\) with \(S_0(\gamma) = \sum_{u \in D_0} \gamma^u \in GR^*(4^r, 4)\). We have
\[ S_0(\gamma) = \begin{cases} 
1, & \text{if } p \equiv \pm 1 \ (\text{mod } 16), \\
\rho, & \text{if } p \equiv \pm 5 \ (\text{mod } 16), \\
3, & \text{if } p \equiv \pm 9 \ (\text{mod } 16), \\
2 + \rho, & \text{if } p \equiv \pm 13 \ (\text{mod } 16),
\end{cases} \]
where \(\rho\) satisfies the equation \(\rho^2 + 3\rho + 3 = 0\) over \(\mathbb{Z}_4\).

Proof. Let \(p \equiv \pm 1 \ (\text{mod } 16)\). Then, by Lemma 6, we obtain that \((S_0(\gamma))^2 = S_0(\gamma)\). Under given assumptions about \(S_0(\gamma)\), we have \(S_0(\gamma) = 1\). The other assertions of this lemma can be proved in a similar way.

Lemma 8. Let \(\gamma \in GR^*(4^r, 4)\) be of order \(2p\) with \(S_0(\gamma) = \sum_{u \in D_0} \gamma^u \in GR^*(4^r, 4)\), and let \(S(X)\) be the generating polynomial of \((s_u)_{u \geq 0}\) described in Equation (3).

(I). For any odd prime \(p\), we have
\[ S(\gamma^v) = \begin{cases} 
 p + 1, & \text{if } v = 0, \\
 2, & \text{if } v = p.
\end{cases} \]

(II). If \(p \equiv \pm 3 \ (\text{mod } 8)\), we have
\[ S(\gamma^v) \in GR^*(4^r, 4), \text{ for all } v \in D_0 \cup D_1 \cup E_0 \cup E_1. \]

(III). If \(p \equiv \pm 1 \ (\text{mod } 8)\), we have
\[ S(\gamma^v) = \begin{cases} 
 0, & \text{if } v \in D_0 \cup D_1 \cup E_0, \\
 2, & \text{if } v \in E_1.
\end{cases} \]

Proof. (I) can be checked easily. (II) and (III) follow immediately from Lemmas 4 and 7.

In the following subsections, we will derive linear complexity of \((s_u)_{u \geq 0}\) in Equation (2) by considering the factorization of \(S(X)\).

2.2 Linear Complexity for the Case \(p \equiv \pm 3 \ (\text{mod } 8)\)

Theorem 1. Let \((s_u)_{u \geq 0}\) be the quaternary sequence over \(\mathbb{Z}_4\) defined by Equation (1). Then the linear complexity of \((s_u)_{u \geq 0}\) satisfies
\[ LC((s_u)_{u \geq 0}) = \begin{cases} 
 2p, & \text{if } p \equiv -3 \ (\text{mod } 8), \\
 2p - 1, & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \ (\text{mod } 8).
\end{cases} \]

Proof. With notations as before. That is, we use \(S(X)\) the generating polynomial of \((s_u)_{u \geq 0}\) and let \(\gamma \in GR^*(4^r, 4)\) be of order \(2p\) with \(S_0(\gamma) = \sum_{u \in D_0} \gamma^u \in GR^*(4^r, 4)\). Suppose that \(C(X) \in \mathbb{Z}_4[X]\) is a connection polynomial of \((s_u)_{u \geq 0}\). We remark that \(\min \deg(C(X)) \leq 2p\).

For \(p \equiv \pm 3 \ (\text{mod } 8)\), by Equation (2) and Lemma 8(II) we get
\[ C(\gamma^v) = 0 \text{ for all } v \in D_0 \cup D_1 \cup E_0 \cup E_1. \]

Now we consider the values of \(C(\gamma^0)\) and \(C(\gamma^p)\). Let \((s(X)\) and \(c(X)\) be the polynomials of degree \(< 2\) such that
\[ S(X) = s(X) \text{ (mod } X^2 - 1) \]
and
\[ C(X) = c(X) \text{ (mod } X^2 - 1). \]

If \(p \equiv -3 \ (\text{mod } 8)\), we have \(S(-1) = S(1) = 2\) by Lemma 8(I). It follows that \(s(X) = 2\) or \(s(X) = 2X\). So by Equation (2) again, we see that
\[ c(X) \in \{0, 2, 2X, 2X + 2\} \]

\[ ^4\text{In fact, } C(\gamma^0) = C(1) \text{ and } C(\gamma^p) = C(-1). \]
and hence either $C(-1) = C(1) = 0$ or $C(-1) = C(1) = 2$.

In terms of all values of $C(\gamma^v)$ for $v = 0, 1, \ldots, 2p - 1$ above, by Lemma 2(III) we have $\deg(C(X)) \geq 2p$. Consequently, we get $\min \deg(C(X)) = 2p$ and hence $LC((s_u)_{u \geq 0}) = 2p$ for this case.

Similarly if $p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$, we have $S(1) = 0$ and $S(-1) = 2$ by Lemma 8(I), and hence $s(X) = 1 - X$. Then we get

$$c(X) \in \{0, 2, X + 2X + 2\}$$

and hence $C(-1) = C(1) = 0$, or $C(-1) = C(1) = 2$, or $C(1) = 2$. Then by Lemma 2(III) we have $\deg(C(X)) \geq 2p - 1$.

On the other hand, since $s(X) = 1 - X$, we see that $S(X)$ is divisible by $X - 1$ over $\mathbb{Z}_4$, from which we derive

$$S(X) \cdot \frac{X^{2p} - 1}{X - 1} \equiv 0 \pmod{X^{2p} - 1}.$$

Then $\frac{X^{2p} - 1}{X - 1}$ is a connection polynomial of $(s_u)_{u \geq 0}$. So we get $\min \deg(C(X)) = 2p - 1$, i.e., $LC((s_u)_{u \geq 0}) = 2p - 1$.

### 2.3 Linear Complexity for the Case $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$

Due to Lemma 8(III), it is more complicated to determine the connection polynomial $C(X)$ with the smallest degree when $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$. For $j = 0, 1$, define

$$\Gamma_j(X) = \prod_{v \in D_j} (X - \gamma^v) \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda_j(X) = \prod_{v \in E_j} (X - \gamma^v),$$

where $\gamma \in GR^*(4', 4)$ is of order $2p$ with $S_0(\gamma) = \sum_{u \in D_0} \gamma^u \in GR^*(4', 4)$. In particular, by Lemma 3(IV) we have for $j = 0, 1$,

$$\Lambda_j(X) = \prod_{v \in D_j} (X - \gamma^{v + p}).$$

#### Lemma 9. If $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$, then $\Gamma_j(X)$ and $\Lambda_j(X)$ are polynomials over $\mathbb{Z}_4$ for $j = 0, 1$.

**Proof.** We only consider $\Gamma_0(X)$ here, for $\Gamma_1(X), \Lambda_0(X)$ and $\Lambda_1(X)$ it can be done in a similar manner. It is sufficient to show that the coefficients of $\Gamma_0(X)$

$$a_m = (-1)^m \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \ldots < i_m \in D_0} \gamma^{i_1 + i_2 + \ldots + i_m} \in \mathbb{Z}_4$$

for $1 \leq m \leq (p - 1)/2$.

Let $\gamma^v$ be a term of the last sum and $b \equiv i_1 + i_2 + \ldots + i_m \pmod{2p}$, $b \neq 0 \pmod{p}$. By Lemma 3 for any $n < 0 < n < (p - 1)/2$ we have that $g^{2ni_j} \in D_0$, $j = 0, \ldots, m$.

Hence, $X - \gamma^{n + i_j}, j = 0, \ldots, m$ are the factors in the product $\prod_{i \in D_0} (X - \gamma^v)$. So, $\gamma^{2ni_0} \ldots \gamma^{2ni_m} = \gamma^{2nb}$ is also a term of this sum for any $n = 0, \ldots, (p - 3)/2$, i.e.,

$$\gamma^b + \gamma^{2b} + \ldots + \gamma^{p-3b} = S_0(\gamma^b)$$

is a part of this sum. Therefore, there must exist the elements $b_1, \ldots, b_n$ such that

$$a_m = (-1)^m \sum_{i=0}^{n} S_0(\gamma^{b_i}) + A,$$

where $A = |\{a| a \equiv (i_1 + i_2 + \ldots + i_m) \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \text{ and } i_1 < i_2 < \ldots < i_m; i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_m \in D_0\}|$.

By Lemma 7 and the proof of Lemma 4 we have that $S_0(\gamma^h) \in \mathbb{Z}_4$. This completes the proof of Lemma 9. \hfill \Box

Since $\gamma^v$ is a root of $X^p + 1$ for any $v \in \{p\} \cup D_0 \cup D_1$, and $\gamma^{v_1} - \gamma^{v_2} \in GR^*(4', 4)$ for distinct $v_1, v_2 \in \{p\} \cup D_0 \cup D_1$, it follows that

$$X^p + 1 = (X + 1)\Gamma_0(X)\Gamma_1(X),$$

from Lemma 2 and the definitions on $\Gamma_0(X)$ and $\Gamma_1(X)$. Similarly, we have

$$X^p - 1 = (X - 1)\Lambda_0(X)\Lambda_1(X).$$

Now, let us explore the expansion of

$$S(X) = 2X^p + S_1(X) + 2T_0(X) + 3T_1(X).$$

#### Lemma 10. We have the polynomial factoring in the ring $\mathbb{Z}_4[X]$

$$S_1(X) + 3T_1(X) = \begin{cases} (X^p - 1)\Gamma_0(U_1(X)), & \text{if } p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{16}, \\ (X^p - 1)\Gamma_0(U_2(X)) + 2(X^p + 1), & \text{if } p \equiv \pm 9 \pmod{16}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$2X^p + 2T_0(X) = \begin{cases} \Gamma_0(X)\Lambda_0(X)(X - 1)V_1(X) + 2(X^p + 1), & \text{if } p \equiv -1, -9 \pmod{16}, \\ \Gamma_0(X)\Lambda_0(X)V_2(X) + 2(X^p + 1), & \text{if } p \equiv 1, 9 \pmod{16}, \end{cases}$$

where $U_i(X), V_i(X) \in \mathbb{Z}_4[X], i = 1, 2$.

**Proof.** Since $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$, by Lemma 3(V) we obtain

$$S_1(X) + 3T_1(X) = \sum_{u \in D_1} X^u + 3 \sum_{u \in E_1} X^u$$

$$= \sum_{u \in D_1} X^u + \sum_{u \in E_1} X^u + 3 \sum_{u \in D_1} X^{u+p} + 3 \sum_{u \in E_1} X^{u-p}$$

$$= (X^p + 3) \left( \sum_{u \in D_1} X^u + \sum_{u \in E_1} X^{u-p} \right).$$

Write

$$M(X) = 3 \sum_{u \in D_1} X^u + 3 \sum_{u \in E_1} X^{u-p}.$$
With the choice of $\gamma$ as before, if $v \in D_0$, we have

$$M(\gamma^v) = 3 \sum_{u \in D_1, u < p} \gamma^vu + \sum_{u \in D_1, u > p} \gamma^v(u-p)$$

$$= -\sum_{u \in D_1, u < p} \gamma^vu - \sum_{u \in D_1, u > p} \gamma^vu$$

$$= -S_1(\gamma) = -1 + S_0(\gamma),$$

where we use $\gamma^p = -1$ and Equation (4). So for $v \in D_0$, by Lemma 7 we get

$$M(\gamma^v) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{16}, \\ 2, & \text{if } p \equiv \pm 9 \pmod{16}, \end{cases}$$

from which, and by Lemma 2, we derive

$$M(X) = \begin{cases} \Gamma_0(X)U_1(X), & \text{if } p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{16}, \\ 2 + \Gamma_0(X)U_2(X), & \text{if } p \equiv \pm 9 \pmod{16}, \end{cases}$$

where $U_1(X), U_2(X) \in \mathbb{Z}_4[X]$. We complete the proof of the first statement.

Now, we consider the polynomial $2X^p + 2T_0(X)$. Since $2X^p + 2T_0(X) = 2(X^p + 1) + 2T_0(X)$, we only need to consider $2 + 2T_0(X)$.

We first consider the roots of $2 + 2S_0(\gamma)$. According to the proof of Lemma 4, we see that $p + 2 \in D_0$ since $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$. For any $v \in E_0$ with $v \equiv 27 \pmod{2p}$, where $\overline{v} \in D_0$, we obtain by Equation (4) and Lemma 7

$$2 + 2S_0(\gamma^v) = 2 + 2\sum_{u \in D_0} \gamma^uv = 2 + 2\sum_{u \in D_0} \gamma^{2vu}$$

$$= 2 + 2S_0(\gamma^2) = 2 + 2S_0(-\gamma^{p+2})$$

$$= 2 - 2S_0(\gamma) = 0.$$

So, by Lemma 2 we have

$$2 + 2S_0(X) = \Lambda_0(X)G(X)$$

for some $G(X) \in \mathbb{Z}_4[X]$, then we have

$$2 + 2S_0(X^2) = \Lambda_0(X^2)G(X^2).$$

Since $T_0(X) = S_0(X^2) \pmod{X^{2p} - 1}$ and

$$\Lambda_0(X^2) = \prod_{v \in E_0} \frac{(X^2 - \gamma^v)}{(X - \gamma^v)(X + \gamma^v)}$$

$$= \prod_{u \in D_0} \frac{(X - \gamma^u)(X + \gamma^u)}{(X^2 - \gamma^2u)}$$

$$= \prod_{u \in D_0} \frac{(X - \gamma^u)(X - \gamma^{u+p})}{(X - \gamma^u)(X - \gamma^{u+p})}$$

$$= \Gamma_0(X)\Lambda_0(X),$$

it follows that

$$2 + 2T_0(X) = 2 + 2S_0(X^2) = \Gamma_0(X)\Lambda_0(X)G(X^2).$$

On the other hand, from the fact that

$$2 + 2T_0(1) = p + 1 = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } p \equiv -1 \pmod{8}, \\ 2, & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, \end{cases}$$

and $\Gamma_0(1)\Lambda_0(1) \in GR^*(4^r, 4)$, we write

$$G(X^2) = (X - 1)V_1(X)$$

for $p \equiv -1 \pmod{16}$ or $p \equiv -9 \pmod{16}$. Otherwise, we write $V_2(X) = G(X^2)$. Putting everything together, we complete the proof of the second statement.

Lemma 11. Let $S(X)$ be the generating polynomial of $(s_u)_{u \geq 0}$ described in (3). We have in the ring $\mathbb{Z}_4[X]$

$$S(X) = \begin{cases} (X - 1)\Gamma_0(X)\Gamma_1(X)W_1(X), & \text{if } p \equiv -1 \pmod{16}, \\ \Gamma_0(X)\Gamma_1(X)W_2(X), & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{16}, \\ (X - 1)\Gamma_0(X)\Gamma_1(X)\Lambda_0(X)W_3(X), & \text{if } p \equiv -9 \pmod{16}, \\ \Gamma_0(X)\Gamma_1(X)\Lambda_0(X)W_4(X), & \text{if } p \equiv 9 \pmod{16}, \end{cases}$$

where $W_1(\gamma^v) \neq 0, i = 1, 2$ for $v \in E_0 \cup E_1$ and $W_i(\gamma^v) \neq 0, i = 3, 4$ for $v \in E_1$.

Proof. Let $p \equiv -1 \pmod{16}$. By (6) we have

$$2(X^p+1) = 2(X+1)\Gamma_0(X)\Gamma_1(X) = 2(X-1)\Gamma_0(X)\Gamma_1(X).$$

Then according to Lemma 10, we write

$$S(X) = (X - 1)\Gamma_0(X)H(X),$$

where

$$H(X) = U_1(X)(X^p-1)/(X-1) + \Lambda_0(X)V_1(X) + 2\Gamma_1(X).$$

We check that

$$H(\gamma^v) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } v \in D_1, \\ \neq 0, & \text{if } v \in E_0, \\ \neq 0, & \text{if } v \in E_1. \end{cases}$$

For $v \in D_1$, we have $S(\gamma^v) = 0$ by Lemma 8. Since $(\gamma^v - 1)\Gamma_0(\gamma^v) \in GR^*(4^r, 4)$, we have $H(\gamma^v) = 0$ by Lemma 1.

For $v \in E_0$, we have $((\gamma^v)^p - 1)/(\gamma^v - 1) = 0$ and $\Lambda_0(\gamma^v) = 0$, so that $H(\gamma^v) = 2\Gamma_1(\gamma^v) \neq 0$;

For $v \in E_1$, since $S(\gamma^v) = 2$ by Lemma 8, we have $H(\gamma^v) \neq 0$.

So we have by Lemma 1

$$H(X) = \Gamma_1(X)W_1(X)$$

for some $W_1(X) \in \mathbb{Z}_4[X]$ and $W_1(\gamma^v) \neq 0$ for $v \in E_0 \cup E_1$. Then we get the factorization of $S(X)$ for $p \equiv -1 \pmod{16}$.

Another assertions of this lemma can be proved in a similar way.
Theorem 2. Let \((s_u)_{u \geq 0}\) be the quaternary sequence over \(\mathbb{Z}_4\) defined by Equation (1). Then the linear complexity of \((s_u)_{u \geq 0}\) satisfies

\[
LC((s_u)_{u \geq 0}) = \begin{cases} 
  p, & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{16}, \\
  p + 1, & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{16}.
\end{cases}
\]

Proof. Let \(p \equiv 1 \pmod{16}\). Since

\[
S(X) = (X - 1)\Gamma_0(X)\Gamma_1(X)W_1(X)
\]

by Lemma 11, together with Lemma 8(I) we have \(W_1(\gamma^v) \neq 0\) for \(v \in E_0 \cup E_1 \cup \{p\}\). Then we see that

\[
S(X)(X + 1)\Lambda_0(X)\Lambda_1(X) \equiv 0 \pmod{X^{2p} - 1}.
\]

That is, \((X + 1)\Lambda_0(X)\Lambda_1(X)\) is a connection polynomial of degree \(p\) of \((s_u)_{u \geq 0}\). So the minimal degree of connection polynomials of \((s_u)_{u \geq 0}\) is \(\leq p\).

Let \(C(X) \in \mathbb{Z}_4[X]\) be a connection polynomial of \((s_u)_{u \geq 0}\). Due to \(\gcd((X - 1)\Gamma_0(X)\Gamma_1(X), (X + 1)\Lambda_0(X)\Lambda_1(X)) = 1\), we have

\[
W_1(X)C(X) \equiv 0 \pmod{(X + 1)\Lambda_0(X)\Lambda_1(X)}
\]

by Equations (2), (6), (7) and Lemma 11. So we deduce

\[
W_1(\gamma^v)C(\gamma^v) = 0 \quad \text{for } v \in E_0 \cup E_1 \cup \{p\}.
\]

Since \(W_1(\gamma^v) \neq 0\) for \(v \in E_0 \cup E_1 \cup \{p\}\), if \(W_1(\gamma^v) \in \mathbb{Z}_4^*\) then we get \(C(\gamma^v) = 0\), and if \(W_1(\gamma^v) = 2\eta, \eta \in \mathbb{Z}_4^*, \gamma = 4, \) then we have either \(C(\gamma^v) = 0\) or \(C(\gamma^v) = 2\), i.e., \(2C(\gamma^v) = 0\) for \(v \in E_0 \cup E_1 \cup \{p\}\).

By the definition of \(C(X) = 1 + c_1X + \ldots\), i.e., \(2C(x)\) is non-constant, then by Lemma 1 we have that \(2C(X)\) is divisible by \((X + 1)\Lambda_0(X)\Lambda_1(X)\), i.e., \(\deg C(X) \geq p\) and hence \(LC((s_u)_{u \geq 0}) = p\) for this case. We prove the first statement.

Let \(p \equiv 1 \pmod{16}\). From that

\[
S(X)(X^2 - 1)\Lambda_0(X)\Lambda_1(X) \equiv 0 \pmod{(X^{2p} - 1)},
\]

we see that \((X^2 - 1)\Lambda_0(X)\Lambda_1(X)\) is a connection polynomial of \((s_u)_{u \geq 0}\) of degree \(p + 1\).

For any connection polynomial \(C(X)\) of \((s_u)_{u \geq 0}\), a similar way presented above gives

\[
W_2(X)C(X) \equiv 0 \pmod{(X^2 - 1)\Lambda_0(X)\Lambda_1(X)}.
\]

As in the proof of Theorem 1, denote by \(s(X)\) and \(c(X)\) the polynomials of degree < 2 such that

\[
S(X) \equiv s(X) \pmod{X^2 - 1}
\]

and

\[
C(X) \equiv c(X) \pmod{X^2 - 1}.
\]

As earlier, we can obtain that \(c(X) \in \{0, 2, 2X, 2X + 2\}\), hence \(c(X) = 0\) and \(2C(X) = (X^2 - 1)M(X)\) for some \(M(X) \in \mathbb{Z}_4[X]\). Since by Lemma 11 \(W_2(\gamma^v) \neq 0\) for \(v \in E_0 \cup E_1\), it follows that \(2C(\gamma^v) = 0\) and \(M(\gamma^v) = 0\) for \(v \in E_0 \cup E_1\). Therefore, \(M(X)\) is divisible by \(\Lambda_0(X)\Lambda_1(X)\) by Lemma 1, i.e., \(\deg C(X) \geq p + 1\) and hence \(LC((s_u)_{u \geq 0}) = p + 1\) for this case. \(\square\)

Theorem 3. Let \((s_u)_{u \geq 0}\) be the quaternary sequence defined by Equation (1). Then the linear complexity of \((s_u)_{u \geq 0}\) satisfies

\[
LC((s_u)_{u \geq 0}) = \begin{cases} 
  (p + 1)/2, & \text{if } p \equiv -9 \pmod{16}, \\
  (p + 3)/2, & \text{if } p \equiv 9 \pmod{16}.
\end{cases}
\]

Proof. The proof can follow that of Theorem 2 in a similar way. Here we give a sketch.

Let \(p \equiv -9 \pmod{16}\). On the one hand, \((X + 1)\Lambda_1(X)\) is a connection polynomial of \((s_u)_{u \geq 0}\) of degree \((p + 1)/2\) by Equation (2).

On the other hand, for any connection polynomial \(C(X)\) of \((s_u)_{u \geq 0}\), we have

\[
W_3(X)C(X) \equiv 0 \pmod{(X + 1)\Lambda_1(X)}.
\]

Now since \(W_3(\gamma^v) \neq 0\) for \(v \in E_1 \cup \{p\}\), it follows that \(2C(\gamma^v) = 0\) for \(v \in E_1 \cup \{p\}\). Therefore, by Lemma 2 again \(2C(x)\) is divisible by \((X + 1)\Lambda_1(X)\), i.e., \(\deg C(X) \geq (p + 1)/2\) and hence \(LC((s_u)_{u \geq 0}) = (p + 1)/2\) for this case.

The case of \(p \equiv 9 \pmod{16}\) follows the way of \(p \equiv 1 \pmod{16}\) in Theorem 2 and we omit it. \(\square\)

3 Final Remarks and Conclusions

We determined the exact values of the linear complexity of 2p-periodic quaternary sequences over \(\mathbb{Z}_4\) defined from the generalized cyclotomic classes modulo 2p by considering the factorization of the generating polynomial \(S(X)\) in \(\mathbb{Z}_4[X]\). It is more complicated to study this problem than that in finite fields. Besides the autocorrelation considered in [17], this is another cryptographic feature of the quaternary cyclotomic sequences of period 2p.

A direct computing of the linear complexity has been done for \(3 \leq p \leq 1000\) by the Berlekamp-Massey algorithm adapted by Reed and Sloane in [20] for the residue class ring to confirm our theorems. Below we list some experimental data.

1. \(p = 3\), \((s_u)_{u \geq 0} = (0, 0, 2, 2, 3, 1)\), then \(C(X) = 1 + X + X^2 + X^3 + X^4 + X^5\) and \(LC((s_u)_{u \geq 0}) = 5(= 2p - 1)\).
2. \(p = 5\), \((s_u)_{u \geq 0} = (0, 0, 2, 1, 3, 2, 3, 1, 2, 0)\), then \(C(X) = 1 + 3X^{10}\) and \(LC((s_u)_{u \geq 0}) = 10(= 2p)\).
3. \(p = 7\), \((s_u)_{u \geq 0} = (0, 0, 2, 1, 2, 1, 3, 2, 2, 0, 3, 0, 3, 1)\), then \(C(X) = 1 + X^2 + X^3 + X^4\) and \(LC((s_u)_{u \geq 0}) = 4(= (p + 1)/2)\).
4. \(p = 17\), \(C(X) = 1 + X + 3X^{17} + 3X^{18}\), \(LC((s_u)_{u \geq 0}) = 18(= p + 1)\).
5. \(p = 31\), \(C(X) = 1 + 3X^{31}\), \(LC((s_u)_{u \geq 0}) = 31(= p)\).
6. \(p = 41\), \(C(X) = 1 + 2X^2 + 3X^3 + 2X^5 + 2X^6 + 3X^7 + 3X^8 + 3X^9 + X^{10} + 2X^{11} + 3X^{12} + X^{13} + X^{14} + X^{15} + 2X^{16} + 2X^{17} + X^{19} + 2X^{20} + 3X^{22}\), \(LC((s_u)_{u \geq 0}) = 22(= (p + 3)/2)\).

We hope that the procedures in this paper used to derive the linear complexity can be extended to quaternary cyclotomic sequences with larger period (for example, \(2p^n\)).

We finally remark that it is interesting to consider the k-error linear complexity of the sequences in this work.
From [18], it is also possible to use the sequences to define quaternary interleaved sequences of larger period.
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Proof of Lemma 1.

It is well known that if $\xi \in GR(4^r, 4)$ is a root of the polynomial $P(X) \in Z_4[X]$ then

$$P(X) = (X - \xi)Q_1(X)$$

for some polynomial $Q_1(X) \in GR(4^r, 4)[X]$. Let $\eta$ be another root of $P(X)$ and $\xi - \eta \in GR(4^r, 4)$, then we have $(\xi - \eta)Q_1(\eta) = 0$, i.e., $Q_1(\eta) = 0$. So, $Q_1(X) = (X - \eta)Q_2(X)$ holds for some polynomial $Q_2(X) \in GR(4^r, 4)[X]$ and we derive

$$P(X) = (X - \xi)(X - \eta)Q_2(X).$$

Proof of Lemma 2.

(I). By the choice of $\gamma$ we have an expansion $(X^p - 1)/(X - 1) = \prod_{j=1}^{p-1}(X - \gamma^j)$, hence $p = \prod_{j=1}^{p-1}(1 - \gamma^j)(1 + \gamma^j)$. So, $\gamma^j - \gamma^n \in GR(4^r, 4)$ when $j, n \in \{0, \ldots, 2p - 1\}$ and $j \not\equiv n$ (mod $p$).

Therefore, if $P(\gamma^j) = 0$ for all $j \in D_i$ or for all $j \in E_i$, $i = 0, 1$, then $P(X)$ is divisible by $\prod_{j \in D_i}(X - \gamma^j)$ or $\prod_{j \in E_i}(X - \gamma^j)$ by Lemma 1. The first assertion of Lemma 2 is proved.

(II). This assertion follows from (I).

(III). We consider two cases.

Let $P(1) = 0$ or $P(-1) = 0$. Suppose $P(-1) = 0$, in this case by (II) we have that

$$P(X) = (X^p + 1) P_3(X)$$

and $2P_3(X) \neq 0$ since $P(0) = 1$. From the equality $P(X) = (X^p + 1) P_3(X)$ for $X = \gamma^n$, $v \in E_0 \cup E_1$ we deduce $2P_3(\gamma^n) = 0$, therefore $2P_3(X)$ is divisible by $(X^p - 1)/(X - 1)$ and $\deg P(X) \geq 2p - 1$. Furthermore, if $P(1) = 0$ then $2P_3(X)$ is divisible by $(X^p - 1)$ and $\deg P(X) \geq 2p$.

Let $P(1) \neq 0$ and $P(-1) \neq 0$. Then, we derive $P(1) = 2$, $P(-1) = 2$ and $P(\gamma^j) = 0$ for all $j \in D_0 \cup D_1$. By (I) we have $P(X) = Q(X)(X^p + 1)/(X + 1) \in Z_4[X]$ and $2Q(X) \neq 0$. Since $P(-1) = 2$ it follows that $Q(-1) = 2$ and $Q(X) = (X + 1)F(X) + 2$, $F(X) \in Z_4[X]$ or

$$P(X) = (X^p + 1) F(X) + 2(X + 1)/(X + 1).$$

From the last equality and conditions of this lemma we obtain $2F(\gamma^j) = 0$ for $v \in E_0 \cup E_1 \cup \{0\}$, therefore $2F(x)$ is divisible by $x^p - 1$ and $\deg P(X) \geq 2p$.

Remark 1. The polynomial $P(X)$ is not obliged to be divisible by $X^{2p} - 1$ when $P(\gamma^j) = 0$ for $j = 0, 1, \ldots, 2p - 1$. For example, $P(X) = X^{2p} - 1 + 2(X^p + 1)$.
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