A Study on a Slotless Brushless DC Motor with Toroidal Winding
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Abstract: In this study we developed a brushless DC (BLDC) slotless motor with toroidal winding. The proposed toroidal winding is a method of winding a coil around a ring-type stator yoke in the circumferential direction. As there is no need for a slot or tooth structure, it can be designed with a slotless motor structure that is advantageous for vibration and noise. The basic principle of operation and motor characteristics of a slotless motor with toroidal winding were explained using an analytical method and finite element analysis (FEA). Further, the air gap flux density, winding factor, and back electromotive force (EMF) for changes in the winding angle and number of coil turns were calculated using the analytical method and compared with the FEA results. Finally, the resistance, back EMF, cogging torque, and performance of the prototype were measured and compared with the FEA results. The results show that the air gap flux density and winding factor were approximately the same with an error of <2%, while the back EMF had an error of ~10% from the analysis result. Thus, the proposed slotless motor provides a basic design for conveniently manufacturing brushless DC (BLDC) slotless motors with toroidal windings.

Keywords: brushless dc motor (BLDC); slotless motor; toroidal winding; lumped-parameter magnetic circuit; back electromotive force (EMF); cogging torque; winding factor; BLDC six-step drive

1. Introduction

Recently, the development of automobile electronics has led to an increase in the number of small motors installed in automobiles. More than 70% of small motors applied in automobiles are DC motors that are inexpensive and easy to control. However, DC motors have a fatal drawback in that their lifespan is limited due to the mechanical friction between the brushes and the commutator. Currently, as the production of small motors has become common, high motor performance such as durability and power density is sought after. Consequently, the DC motor has been replaced by a brushless DC motor (BLDC) with high power density and durability. However, as the electric vehicle market grows gradually, new problems have arisen due to stricter noise regulations. With the switch from internal combustion engine vehicles to electric vehicles, the noise of small motors, which had previously been masked by engine noise, emerges in electric vehicles.

In the past few years, many studies have been conducted on techniques to reduce vibration and noise in motors. Among them, the most renowned technologies are as follows: First, there are techniques for changing the core shape to make the magnetic flux density waveform of the air gap similar to a sinusoidal wave. For example, there are techniques for applying chambers and notches, eccentricities, and skews to the stator or rotor core [1–4]. Second, the combination of the number of poles, number of slots, and the winding pattern have changed [5–7]. Finally, there is a technology that reduces the
vibration and noise in the motor by adding harmonic components to the current in the controller [8–10]. However, these technologies increase the production process, and require tight tolerance designs for precise applications [11–13]. As a result, the production cost increases, and considerable time is expended at the design stage. In addition, the cogging torque cannot be eliminated unlike that of the slotless motor, due to the original structure of the conventional motor [14–16]. Furthermore, these techniques reduce the back EMF constant of the motor and degrade the performance.

In this study, we introduced a BLDC slotless motor with toroidal winding (TSLM). Unlike a conventional motor (CM), in which a coil is wound around the stator tooth, in the TSLM, the coil is wound around a ring-type yoke in the circumferential direction. Toroidal winding is already widely used in transformers [17–19]. However, the structure of the toroidal winding applied to the BLDC motor is obscure.

We explained the basic operating principle of the TSLM using the BLDC six-step sequences. We demonstrated the rotating magnetic field by indicating the magnetic field of the armature according to the sequence section. Moreover, we explained the flux linkage and back EMF waveforms by the permanent magnets according to the rotor position. Further, the air-gap flux density, flux linkage, and back EMF were calculated through the lumped-parameter circuit of the proposed slotless motor and were compared with the FEA results. Finally, the TSLM was manufactured and compared with the calculated and FEA results through testing.

2. Motor Configuration

2.1. Conventional Motor

As shown in Figure 1a, in the case of the CM, the coil is wound by a winding machine inside the stator slot. Therefore, a space is required for the machine’s nozzle to enter the stator core, which is referred to as the slot opening. This appears repeatedly on the surface of the armature core by the number of slots and causes a magnetic reluctance variation according to the rotor position. As shown in Equation (1), cogging torque is generated as a result, and it appears as a period of the least common multiple (LCM) of the number of poles and number of slots.

\[ T_{cog} = \frac{dW(\theta)}{d\theta} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{dR(\theta)}{d\theta} \phi_\theta^2 \]  
\[ n = LCM(Q, P) \]  

where \( \phi_\theta \) is the air-gap flux, \( R \) is the air-gap magnetic reluctance, \( \theta \) is the position of the rotor, \( Q \) is the number of slots in the stator, and \( P \) is the number of poles in the rotor.

![Motor structure: (a) Conventional motor, and (b) Slotless motor with toroidal winding.](image)

As such, cogging torque is inevitably generated due to the fundamental teeth and slot structure of the CM. The generated cogging torque not only causes vibration and noise, but also adversely affects speed and position control during low-speed operations [20,21].
Techniques to reduce the cogging torque have been studied for many years, and they result in additional manufacturing processes and require tight tolerance designs. As a result, the productivity of mass production decreases due to the cost increase and time expended. In addition, these techniques reduce the back EMF constant of the motor, resulting in poor performance.

2.2. Proposed Slotless Motor

As shown in Figure 1b, the TSLM has a ring-type armature core. The coil is wound circumferentially about the armature core. Accordingly, the coils contributing to the performance are placed inside and outside the yoke. Since slotless motors do not have slots or teeth, there is no magnetic reluctance variation depending on the position of the rotor. As result, the cogging torque is theoretically zero. There are certain advantages of slotless motors over slot motors [22–24]:

- Easy to control at very low speed
- Low vibration and noise
- Easy winding workability in a compact size

Moreover, the TSLM has a much simpler structure than a conventional slotless motor with a complex coil structure, and the winding workability is easier than that of the CM.

The slotless motor has a much larger air gap than the CM because the coil is placed in the gap between the rotor and the stator. Unlike the CM, there are many factors to consider when designing slotless motors because when the coil diameter is increased, the air-gap length is also increased. Therefore, if the goal is to achieve high power density and efficiency, the CM is a more reasonable choice. Conversely, if quiet and precise driving conditions are the goal, a slotless motor that can effectively reduce vibration and noise without special design techniques is advantageous.

3. Principle of Operation

The TSLM differs from the CM mainly in the direction of flux linkage due to a different coil structure. As shown in Figure 2, when the magnetization direction of the permanent magnet is taken as a reference, the magnetic flux of the CM is linked to the coil in the radial direction, and the magnetic flux of the TSLM is linked to the coil in the circumferential direction. To drive the motor, a rotating magnetic field must be generated when a three-phase alternating current is applied to the coil.

![Figure 2. Direction of flux linkage: (a) Conventional motor, and (b) Slotless motor with toroidal winding.](image)

In this section, we explained the principle of generating a rotating magnetic field in the proposed slotless motor using a BLDC six-step inverter. In addition, we described the flux linkage by permanent magnets for each rotor position.
3.1. Rotation Field

First, we described the principle of generating a rotating magnetic field by armature current using a BLDC six-step inverter. Figure 3 shows the circuit of a three-phase six-step inverter and a Y-connected slotless motor. Moreover, the Hall sensors are arranged at intervals of 120 degrees of electrical angle. For better understanding, a slotless motor with a 2-pole 3-coil bunch is taken as an example. As shown in Table 1, the operating element can be divided into six sections as per the signal of the Hall sensor. For continuous rotation using the detected rotor position information, the inverter generates two phase currents to the stator coil. Therefore, the rotating magnetic field in the counterclockwise direction is generated according to the switching sequence of the six sections as shown in Figure 4.

![Figure 3. Three-phase BLDC six-step inverter circuit and the TSLM.](image)

**Table 1.** Operation sequences of six step.

| Operation Mode | I  | II | III | IV | V   | VI  |
|----------------|----|----|-----|----|-----|-----|
| Operation element | T1 | T1 | T3  | T3 | T5  | T5  |
|                 | T6 | T2 | T2  | T4 | T4  | T6  |

![Figure 4. Cont.](image)
Figure 4. Driving process of three-phase 2-pole 3-coil bunch TSLM: (a) Sequence I, (b) Sequence II, (c) Sequence III, (d) Sequence IV, (e) Sequence V, and (f) Sequence VI.
3.2. Flux Linkage by Magnet

The following describes the process in which the magnetic flux by the permanent magnet is linked to the A-phase coil. As shown in Figure 5, when the rotor position is at 60 degrees and 240 degrees, the amount of magnetic flux entering the A-phase coil and the amount of outgoing magnetic flux are the same. That is, at this position, the total flux linkage of the A-phase coil becomes zero. When the rotor position is at 150 degrees, the amount of magnetic flux entering the A-phase coil reaches its peak, and when the rotor position is at 330 degrees, the magnetic flux exiting the A-phase coil reaches its lowest point. As a result, the magnetic flux linkage to the A-phase coil during one rotation of the rotor has a waveform that alternates between positive and negative values. The back EMF also has an alternating waveform that is 90 degrees ahead of the phase of the flux linkage.

![Flux-linkage waveform of A-phase coil by permanent magnet.](image)

**Figure 5.** Flux-linkage waveform of A-phase coil by permanent magnet.

4. Analytical Design

This section presents details of the numerical approach of the slotless motor proposed in this study. The various parts of the motor are represented using reluctances and magnetic sources. The motor can be expressed as a lumped-parameter magnetic circuit, and fundamental parameters can be quickly calculated using simple mathematical calculations [25]. The air-gap flux density, winding factor, and flux linkage of the slotless motor were calculated using a lumped-parameter magnetic circuit, and were verified through the FEA.

4.1. Analytical Approach

Consider the motor cross-section shown in Figure 6a. For simplicity, the stator is shown without windings. For one-half of the North and South poles facing the air gap, this flux flow is illustrated by the flux path. Some magnetic flux jumps from one magnet to the next in the air gap without passing into the stator. The flux that follows this path is often called magnet leakage flux. In Figure 6b, the rotor, stator, and air-gap areas are modeled simply as reluctances. The two half magnets are modeled as a flux source and associated magnet reluctance, with the direction of the flux source dictating the polarity of the magnet.
To simplify the magnetic circuit as shown in Figure 6b, the following assumptions were considered:

- The iron permeability was considered infinite.
- Leakage effects between the permanent magnets were excluded.
- The permanent magnets are magnetized in the radial direction.

As a result, the magnetic circuit is simply modeled with the reluctance of the air gap, magnet, and the flux source of the magnet as shown in Figure 6c. In addition, the effect of the leakage flux was applied to the magnetic circuit using the leakage flux factor.

4.1.1. Air-Gap Flux Density

Given the magnetic circuit in Figure 6c, the magnet flux can be expressed as shown in Equation (3). The general expressions for the magnet and air-gap reluctances are as shown in Equation (4), respectively. The flux leakage factor and flux concentration factor are defined as Equations (5) and (6), respectively.

\[
\phi_m = \frac{2R_m}{2R_m + 2R_g} \phi_s = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{R_g}{R_m} \frac{k_l}{\mu_r \mu_0 A_m}} \tag{3}
\]

\[
R_m = \frac{l_m}{\mu_r \mu_0 A_m}, \quad R_g = \frac{g}{\mu_0 A_g} \tag{4}
\]

\[
k_l = \frac{\phi_s}{\phi_m} \tag{5}
\]

\[
C_\phi = \frac{A_m}{A_g} \tag{6}
\]

where \(R_m\) and \(R_g\) are the reluctances of the magnet and air gap, respectively, \(\phi_s\) is the flux source, \(l_m\) and \(A_m\) are the thickness and cross-sectional area of the magnet, respectively, \(g\) and \(A_g\) are the length and cross-sectional area of the air gap, respectively. The flux leakage factor refers to the ratio of the flux passing through the air gap to the magnetic flux. The flux concentration factor is defined as the ratio of the cross-sectional area of the magnet to the air gap. The air-gap flux to which the flux leakage factor and flux concentration factor are applied can be written as Equation (7):

\[
\phi_g = k_l \phi_m = \frac{k_l}{1 + \frac{\mu_r \mu_0 C_\phi}{l_m}} \phi_s \tag{7}
\]

The flux relationships of the flux density and cross-sectional area are as shown in Equation (8). The permeance coefficient defining the operating point is as shown in
Equation (9). Substituting Equations (8) and (9) into (7), the air-gap flux density is expressed as in Equation (10).

\[
\phi_g = B_g A_g, \quad \phi_s = B_r A_m
\]

\[
P_c = \frac{l_m A_g}{g A_m}
\]

\[
B_g = \frac{k_i C_\phi}{1 + \frac{\mu_r}{\pi r}}
\]

where \(B_g\) and \(B_r\) are the air-gap flux density and the remanent flux density of the magnet, respectively. This equation describes the air-gap flux density crossing the air gap. For the motor being considered here with the surface magnet, the leakage factor is typically in the range \(0.9 < k_l < 1.0\) [25]. As the permeance coefficient increases, the air-gap flux density approaches a maximum that is slightly less than the remanence flux density.

4.1.2. Flux Linkage and Back EMF

As shown in Figure 7, the fundamental component of the air-gap flux density of the Fourier series expansion is expressed in Equation (11). The average value of a sinusoidal distribution of air-gap flux density is as in Equation (12). The fundamental flux per pole can be calculated as shown in Equation (13). The corresponding flux linkage of the fundamental component is expressed in Equation (14).

\[
B_{g1} = \frac{4}{\pi} B_g
\]

\[
B_{ave} = \frac{2}{\pi} B_{g1}
\]

\[
\phi_{g1} = B_{ave} \cdot A_{g,p} = \frac{4}{\pi} \frac{DL_{stk}}{p} B_g
\]

\[
\lambda_m = \frac{k_w N_{con.}}{2} \phi_{g1}
\]

where, \(D\) is the diameter of the cylinder at the middle air gap, \(p\) is the pole pair, \(L_{stk}\) is the stack length, \(k_w\) is the winding factor, \(N_{con.}\) is the number of series conductors per phase, and \(A_{g,p}\) is the cross-sectional area of the air gap per pole. Finally, the maximum value of the back EMF can be expressed as shown in Equation (15).

\[
E_m = \omega_e \lambda_m = \omega_e \frac{k_w N_{con.}}{2} \frac{DL_{stk}}{p} \frac{4}{\pi} \frac{k_i C_\phi}{1 + \frac{\mu_r}{\pi r}} B_r
\]

where, \(\omega_e\) is the electrical angular velocity.
4.1.3. Winding Factor

In the TSLM, because the coil is wound around the stator yoke, the phase difference of the flux linkage occurs between each coil turn. The model example of the 8-pole 12-coil bunch shown in Figure 8a is wound on 5 turns, and the winding angle is 20 degrees, which is the mechanical angle. The electrical coil angle is 16 degrees in Equation (16).

\[
\theta_{e.x} = \frac{\theta_{w,m}}{N_{bu}} \times p
\]  

(16)

where, \(N_{bu}\) is the number of coil turns per bunch, \(\theta_{e.x}\) is the coil angles of the electrical angles, \(\theta_{w,m}\) is the winding angles of the mechanical angles, and \(p\) is the pole pair.

![Figure 8. Winding factor of the TSLM: (a) Coil structure; (b) Coil vector diagram.](image)

The coil vector diagram of the model example is shown in Figure 8b, and each coil vector has a phase difference of 16 degrees in the electrical angle.

The winding factor is defined as the ratio of the vector sum to the scalar sum of coil vectors as shown in Equation (17).

\[
k_w(ANA) = \frac{\lambda_{v, s.an.}}{\lambda_{s.s.an.}} = \frac{\sin\left(\frac{\theta_{e.x} \times N_{bu}}{2}\right)}{\sin\left(\frac{\theta_{w,m}}{2}\right) \times N_{bu}}.
\]  

(17)

As such, in the coil structure of the TSLM, the winding angle or the coil angle is an important design factor in the winding factor.

4.1.4. Resistance

Finally, we described a method for calculating the resistance of the toroidal coil. As shown in Figure 9a, it was assumed that each turn of the armature coils were all wound with the same length. Moreover, as shown in Figure 9b, in the cross-sectional view of one turn of the coil, the coil length was assumed to be the length of the center line of the coil. In this case, the length of one turn of the coil is expressed as Equation (18), and the total coil length per phase can be calculated, as shown in Equation (19), by multiplying the length of one turn by the number of series turns per phase. Consequently, the phase resistance can be expressed by Equation (20).

\[
L = 2(4A + 4B + C + D)
\]  

(18)

\[
L_{lo} = L \times N_{tu}.
\]  

(19)

\[
R_{ph} = \left(\frac{L_{lo}}{\sigma A_c}\right) / N_{pa}.
\]  

(20)
Finally, we described a method for calculating the resistance of the toroidal coil. As shown in Figure 9a, it was assumed that each turn of the armature coils were all wound with the same length. Moreover, as shown in Figure 9b, in the cross-sectional view of one turn of the coil, the coil length was assumed to be the length of the center line of the coil. In this case, the length of one turn of the coil is expressed as Equation (18), and the total coil length per phase can be calculated, as shown in Equation (19), by multiplying the length of one turn by the number of series turns per phase. Consequently, the phase resistance can be expressed by Equation (20).

\[
L = \frac{2}{\pi} \left(4A + 4B + C + D\right) \quad (18)
\]

\[
L_{total} = L \times N_{tu}. \quad (19)
\]

\[
R_{ph} = \frac{L_{total} \sigma A_C}{N_{pa}.} \quad (20)
\]

where \(A\) is the radius of the coil, \(B\) is the thickness of the bobbin, \(C\) is the axial length of the yoke, \(D\) is the thickness of the yoke, \(N_{tu}\) is the number of series turns per phase, \(N_{pa}\) is the number of parallel circuits, \(\sigma\) is the conductivity of copper and \(A_C\) is the cross-sectional area of the coil.

**Figure 9.** Structural diagram of the toroidal coil for resistance calculation: (a) 3D Armature coil, and (b) Cross-section of toroidal coil.

### 4.2. Verification Using FEA

To exclude the effect of leakage flux that varies depending on the shape of the permanent magnet and the air-gap length, the leakage coefficient in the equation was assumed to be 1. In the case of the FEA, the relative permeability of the core was assumed to be infinite for comparison with the analytical result. The winding angle and the number of coil turns of the verification models were changed as shown in Tables 2 and 3, respectively. The coil is modeled in a rectangular shape for the convenience of modeling. The air-gap flux density, winding factor, and back EMF of the verification models were calculated using the previous equations, and were compared with the FEA results.

**Table 2.** Coil specification according to winding variation.

| Model | Winding Angle (Deg.) | Number of Coil Turns (Turn) |
|-------|----------------------|-----------------------------|
| M1    | 14.0                 | 7                           |
| M2    | 17.5                 | 7                           |
| M3    | 21.0                 | 7                           |
| M4    | 24.5                 | 7                           |

**Table 3.** Coil specification according to number of coil turns.

| Model | Winding Angle (Deg.) | Number of Coil Turns (Turn) |
|-------|----------------------|-----------------------------|
| M5    | 21.0                 | 3                           |
| M6    | 21.0                 | 5                           |
| M7    | 21.0                 | 7                           |
| M8    | 21.0                 | 9                           |
4.2.1. Winding Angle Variation

As shown in Figure 10, when the number of coil turns is constant, the trends in the winding factor and back EMF according to the change in the winding angle were examined.

![Figure 10. Coil shape according to winding angle.](image)

As shown in Equation (21), the winding factor was calculated as the ratio of the vector sum and the scalar sum of magnetic fluxes interlinked in one phase in the analysis result.

\[
k_{w(FEA)} = \frac{\lambda_{v.s.f_e}}{\lambda_{s.s.f_e}} = \frac{\lambda_{coil} + \lambda_{coil} + \cdots + \lambda_{Last\ coil}}{\lambda_{one\ coil} * N_{bu}}
\]  

(21)

where, \(\lambda_{v.s.f_e}\) is the sum of the flux linkages of each coil in the FEA result, and \(\lambda_{s.s.f_e}\) is the value multiplied by the number of turns to the flux linkage in a single coil located at the center of the winding in the FEA result.

As shown in Figure 11, in the case of M3, a phase difference occurs in the coil angle of the electric angle between each flux linkage. The total flux linkage graph of the A-phase coil in Figure 11 is similar to the waveform of the flux linkage graph in Figure 5.

![Figure 11. Total flux linkage and each flux-linkage waveform of M3.](image)

As shown in Figure 12, the air-gap magnetic flux density and winding factor according to the change in the winding angle are almost the same, within a 2% error. The calculated back EMF was approximately 9.3% higher due to the leakage flux between the permanent magnets generated in the FEA.
Consequently, as shown in Figure 11, there is a phase difference between the flux linkages in the TSLM, unlike in the CM in which the winding factor is constant regardless of the number of turns. By contrast, in the TSLM, the winding factor changes if the winding angle is changed. Therefore, the back EMF in the slotless motor correlates not only with the number of turns but also with the winding angle.

4.2.2. Number of Coil Turns Variation

As shown in Figure 13 and Table 3, when the winding angle is constant, the trends of the winding factor and back EMF based on the change in the number of coil turns were examined. In the vector diagram of Figure 8b, the magnitude of the flux linkage of one coil was calculated using a trigonometric function. If the winding angle is fixed, and the number of coil turns is increased, the vector diagram will be similar to the shape of an arc. Therefore, the winding factor can be expressed briefly by Equation (22).

$$k_{w,s,(ANA)} = \frac{\sin \left( \frac{\theta_w}{2} \right)}{\frac{\theta_w}{2}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (22)

Figure 13. Coil shape according to number of coil turns.

In the case of a model with a small number of turns, this formula may have a slightly lower accuracy than Equation (17). However, regardless of the number of turns or the
coil angle, the winding factor of the TSLM can be calculated only with the winding angle. In Figure 14, the air-gap magnetic flux density, winding factor, and back EMF according to the change in the number of turns were compared with the FEA results. Because the shape of the yoke and the permanent magnet in the verification models are the same, the air-gap magnetic flux density is also the same, and the error in the FEA results is within 2%. Although the winding factor was calculated using the simplified Equation (22), accurate results were obtained within 1% of the error from the FEA results. The calculated back EMF was approximately 9.2% higher due to the leakage flux between the permanent magnets generated in the FEA. As such, when the winding angle is constant, it can be deduced that the slotless motor has a constant winding factor regardless of the number of turns.

![Figure 14. Back EMF trend according to the variation in the number of coil turns.](image)

5. Prototype

Considering manufacturability among the reviewed models, M3 was finally produced as a prototype. For the structural assembly of the stator, the bobbin, and the housing cover, a stepped protrusion was modeled on the yoke. The resistance, back EMF, and cogging torque of the prototype were measured and compared with the FEA analytical results. In addition, the motor’s performance factors such as speed, current, output power, and efficiency according to the load torque were measured using a dynamometer and compared with the FEA results.

5.1. Shape and Specification

The shape of the finally manufactured model is shown in Figure 15a. There are stepped one-layer and 2-layer protrusions on the outer surface of the stator yoke. The one-layer projection is for guiding the bobbin position, and the two-layer projection is for fixing the stator yoke. In Figure 15b, the protrusion and the bobbin edge were made at minimum angles of 3.0 degrees and 1.5 degrees, respectively, for the convenience of production. Accordingly, the angle at which the coil is wound in the bobbin is 21 degrees.

Figure 15b also shows that the space in which the coil is arranged is narrower on the inside than on the outside. Based on the space inside the bobbin, the coil diameter and the number of turns of the final model were determined so that the coil could be fully occupied without any space. As a result, the final model selected was M3 with a winding angle of 21 degrees and seven coil turns. The rest of the design specifications are shown in Table 4.
5.1. Shape and Specification

The shape of the finally manufactured model is shown in Figure 15a. There are two layers and the angle of 21 degrees and 1.5 degrees, respectively, for the convenience of the prototype. As shown in Figure 15b, the protrusion and the bobbin edge were made at the no-load magnetic flux density and mesh distribution, respectively.

As shown in Figure 15, a 2D electromagnetic field simulation was conducted for the final model using the Simcenter MAGNET of the Siemens software. Figure 16a,b show the no-load magnetic flux density and mesh distribution, respectively.

Table 4. Prototype specification.

| Item                      | Unit | Value     |
|---------------------------|------|-----------|
| Motor type                | -    | Slotless  |
| Number of Poles           | -    | 8         |
| Number of Coil bunch      | -    | 12        |
| Yoke outer diameter       | mm   | 80.0      |
| Magnet outer diameter     | mm   | 59.0      |
| Stack length              | mm   | 120.0     |
| Number of coil turns      | turn | 7         |
| Coil diameter             | mm   | 1.3       |
| Magnetic air-gap length   | mm   | 3.2       |
| Number of parallel circuits| -   | 2         |
| PM material               | -    | Sintered NdFeB |
| Core material             | -    | 50PN470   |
| DC link voltage           | Vdc  | 24        |

As shown in Figure 16, a 2D electromagnetic field simulation was conducted for the final model using the Simcenter MAGNET of the Siemens software. Figure 16a,b show the no-load magnetic flux density and mesh distribution, respectively.

Figure 15. Structure of the prototype model: (a) 2D model, and (b) Angle of yoke protrusion and bobbin.

Figure 16. FEA 2D Model of the prototype: (a) Flux density distribution, and (b) Mesh.

5.2. Manufacturing

As shown in Figure 17, the prototype was manufactured. The permanent magnet has a ring-type shape and is magnetized with eight poles in the radial direction. In Figure 17a,
the rotor consists of a six-segment ring-type permanent magnet, a balancing core, and a shaft. The stator yoke with the protrusion was manufactured as shown in Figure 17b, and the bobbin was inserted into the upper and lower parts of the stator yoke.

For the purpose of guiding the coil position, a semicircular hole was modeled on the outer surface of the bobbin, and the angle between the semicircular holes was 3 degrees. In Figure 17c, the final in and out coils from each coil bunch after being wound on the coil are connected inside the cover of the case.

5.3. Testing

In the prototype, the resistance, back EMF, and cogging torque were measured and compared with the analytical FEA results.

First, as shown in Figure 18a, the phase resistance was measured at the neutral point and the A-phase terminal before the lead wire. The resistance was calculated using Equation (20) and was approximately 10% lower than the measured value. In the prototype, there is inevitably a gap between the bobbin and the coil in the process of winding the coil, and the connection between each phase must be made. For this reason, the coil length of the prototype is inevitably longer than the calculated value, while the measured resistance is also higher.

Further, the line-to-line back EMF was measured as shown in Figure 18b. The prototype was driven at 1000 rpm by an external drive system. In Figure 19a, the three-phase back EMF waveform was measured as a symmetrical sinusoidal waveform. Table 5 shows that the measured line-to-line back EMF was approximately 7.5% lower than the FEA result. This was considered to be caused by the permanent magnet’s magnetization and manufacturing tolerances.
Figure 18. Testing: (a) Resistance, (b) back EMF, and (c) Cogging torque.

Figure 19. Testing result: (a) back EMF, and (b) Cogging torque.

Table 5. Comparison of analysis, FEA and measurement results.

| Item                  | Unit   | Value     | Note                      |
|-----------------------|--------|-----------|---------------------------|
|                       |        | ANA   | FEA | EXP |                      |
| Phase resistance      | mΩ     | 24.6  | -   | 27.4| Room temperature     |
|                       |        |       |     |     | 20 °C                 |
| Line-to-line back EMF | Vrms   | 4.6   | 4.3 | 4.0 | Fundamental wave     |
|                       |        |       |     |     | 1000 rpm              |
| Cogging torque        | mNm    | -     | 0.0 | 0.6 | Peak-to-peak         |

Furthermore, the cogging torque was measured as shown in Figure 18c. A torque meter (ATM-50MN) was used as the measuring equipment, and the cogging torque was measured at 0.1 degree intervals. The TSLM has a zero-cogging torque in theory. Similarly, in Figure 19b, the FEA result shows that the cogging torque is almost zero. However, the actual experimental result was 0.6 mNm peak-to-peak. Additional cogging torque may occur due to manufacturing reasons such as when the permanent magnet is not evenly magnetized, or the rotor shaft is not aligned. In the case of the CM designed to reduce cogging torques, the cogging torque occurs at approximately 30 mNm [26–28]. Compared with the CM, the cogging torque of the prototype is very small, which is negligible.

Finally, as shown in Figure 20, performance factors of the prototype such as speed, output, and efficiency according to the load torque were measured. A sensorless BLDC drive was used as the controller, and a power analyzer, oscilloscope, and dynamo torque meter were used as measuring equipment. Figure 21 shows the result of the measurements,
and the performance of the prototype measured had a similar trend to the FEA result. However, the phase voltage was approximately 5% lower than the FEA result due to the voltage drop in the coil, which was caused by the increase in temperature in the high-torque, low-speed region. Because of the reduced phase voltage in the high-torque, low-speed region, the speed was reduced, and eventually the output power decreased by approximately 8%. The maximum efficiency of the prototype was measured to be approximately 80%. Because the prototype was designed for ease of fabrication rather than performance, the magnetic air-gap length is quite long. If optimal design of the coil diameter, number of turns, and air-gap length are achieved, the efficiency will be improved more than that of the prototype presented in this study.

Figure 20. Measurement equipment of the dynamo load test.

Figure 21. Result of the dynamo load test.

6. Conclusions

Toroidal coils are already widely used in transformers, but very rarely used in permanent magnet synchronous motors. Therefore, in this study, a slotless motor with toroidal winding was introduced, and the basic motor characteristics were explained.

First, the proposed slotless motor generates a rotating magnetic field by the armature coil base on the same principle as a general motor. In addition, the back EMF has a sinusoidal waveform at intervals of 120 degrees.

Next, the proposed slotless motor was equivalent to a lumped-parameter circuit. The basic motor parameters such as air-gap flux density, flux linkage, winding factor, and back EMF were calculated and compared with the FEA results. The air-gap flux density and winding factor were almost the same, with an error of less than 1%, and the back EMF had an error of approximately 10% from the result of the analysis due to the leakage flux between the permanent magnets. Unlike general motors, in slotless motors, the change in winding angle or coil angle affects the winding factor, and consequently the back EMF also changes.
Finally, the resistance, back EMF and cogging torque of the prototype were measured. In addition, the motor’s performance parameters such as speed, output, and efficiency were measured through the dynamo load test. In this case, the phase voltage of the measured value was about 5% lower than that of the FEA result due to the voltage drop generated by the motor and controller in the high-torque region. As a result, the output power decreased by approximately 8% compared with the FEA result.

This paper was written to introduce the basic characteristics of a slotless motor to which a toroidal coil structure is applied. Therefore, the prototype was designed with an emphasis on manufacturing convenience rather than performance, and an optimal design for performance improvement was not made. If the optimal design of the coil diameter, number of turns, and air-gap length are achieved, the efficiency is expected to be higher than that of the prototype presented in this study.

We believe that this article can be a basic indicator for the design and convenient manufacturing of slotless motors to which toroidal winding is applied.
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