Yellow fever vaccine 17D administered to healthy women aged between 40 and 54 years halves breast cancer risk: an observational study
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Transcripts of human endogenous retrovirus K are expressed in most breast cancers (BCs). Yellow fever vaccine 17D (YFV) expresses a protein with a closely homologous epitope. Cross-reactive immunity could hypothetically inhibit BC growth at least in women aged around 50 years at diagnosis, in whom the prognosis of BC was found to be better than that in women younger or older. A cohort of 12,804 women who received YFV in the Veneto Region, Italy, was divided into two subcohorts according to age at vaccination and followed up through the Veneto Tumor Registry. The time since vaccination until cancer incidence was categorized (≤1.9; 2–3.9; 4–5.9; 6–7.9; 8–10.9; ≥11 years) and, using the lowest class as a reference, the incidence rate ratio for BC with a 95% confidence interval and P-value was estimated by Poisson regression in each time since vaccination class, adjusting for age and calendar period. In 3140 women vaccinated at 40–54 years of age, YFV administration resulted in a protective effect of long duration slowly fading over time with a U-shaped pattern of response. Overall, BC risk was reduced by about 50% (incidence rate ratio = 0.46; 95% confidence interval = 0.26–0.83; P = 0.009) 2 years after vaccination. Cross-reactive antigens could not be the mechanism because no protection was observed in women vaccinated before 40 or after 54 years of age. BC cells in a microscopic stage of disease can be destroyed or severely damaged by YFV if BC is not very aggressive. To prove that treatment is truly effective, a placebo-controlled double-blind trial should be conducted. 
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Introduction

In previous studies, it has been shown that vaccination with bacille Calmette–Guérin (BCG) vaccine and/or smallpox vaccine and/or a history of certain serious infections reduced the risk of melanoma by about 50% (Kölmel \textit{et al.}, 1999; Pfahlberg \textit{et al.}, 2002; Krone \textit{et al.}, 2003). Moreover, previous vaccinations with vaccinia and/or BCG improved survival of patients with melanoma (Kölml \textit{et al.}, 2005). A transcript of the human endogenous retrovirus K (HERV-K) was found to be frequently expressed in melanomas and therefore termed HERV-K-MEL (Schiavetti \textit{et al.}, 2002). A BLAST (Basic Local Alignment Search Tool) search showed that vaccines and infectious agents protecting against melanoma expressed epitopes homologous to HERV-K-MEL (Krone \textit{et al.}, 2005; Krone \textit{et al.}, 2014). One possible explanation for melanoma protection was therefore a cross-reactive immunity against the common antigenic epitope. Additional considerations on the possible underlying immunology have been published previously (Krone \textit{et al.}, 2005; Krone and Grange, 2010; Cegolon \textit{et al.}, 2013).

Yellow fever vaccine 17D (YFV) expresses a protein with a closely homologous epitope (Krone \textit{et al.}, 2005). In a cohort study carried out in 28,306 individuals vaccinated with YFV in Italy (Mastrangelo \textit{et al.}, 2009) and in a case–control study carried out on 7,010 military of the US armed forces vaccinated with YFV (Hodges-Vazquez \textit{et al.}, 2012), the risk estimates for melanoma suggested a protective effect, but did not reach the level of statistical significance.

There is evidence for HERV-K activation in several solid tumors including breast cancer (BC) (Downey \textit{et al.}, 2015). Therefore, an immune response against HERV-K-MEL could destroy or control BC cells.
Multiple clinical, pathological, and molecular analyses support the theory that BC is a heterogeneous disease rather than one biologic entity with a common etiology (Kravchenko et al., 2011). Age at diagnosis as a prognostic indicator in BC has been considered in several publications. Large epidemiological studies based on tumor registries (Adami et al., 1986; Host and Lund, 1986; Holli and Isola, 1997; Sant et al., 1998) and clinical studies (LeMarchand et al., 1984; Jayasinghe et al., 2005) have shown a better prognosis in women aged around 50 years at diagnosis compared with those younger or older. Other studies have shown early age at diagnosis as an adverse factor affecting prognosis even in the contemporary era of systemic therapy and BC subtyping (Anders et al., 2008; Arvold et al., 2011; Colzani et al., 2011; Vicini et al., 2013; Kong et al., 2014). Various underlying biological mechanisms have been suggested. An alternative explanation for the effect of age on survival may be that, on average, tumors from age groups with favorable survival are biologically less aggressive than tumors from age groups with lower survival (de la Rochefordiere et al., 1993).

The heterogeneous nature of BC suggests a stratified rather than a unified approach to BC research, prevention, and treatment (Anderson et al., 2006). Therefore, to identify a preventive approach against BC, we carried out an epidemiological study in a cohort of women vaccinated against yellow fever, examining BC risk in women vaccinated at 40–54 years of age and, separately, in women vaccinated before 40 or after 54 years of age. No previous research has been carried out on this topic; therefore, the present study is an exploratory one that could help to gain a better understanding of the problem and form the basis for a more definitive conclusive investigation.

Methods

Study design

The design was a longitudinal retrospective treatment-outcome study in which a cohort of vaccinees against yellow fever was retrospectively followed up across a cancer registry to assess cancer incidence. The study was carried out in a region (Veneto, Italy) that is in large part covered by the Veneto Tumor Registry (VTR). Rather than considering a fixed study size, we decided to recruit all individuals vaccinated in Local Health Units (LHUs) covered by the VTR. Under Italian law, YFV may only be administered in authorized centers and the personal data of vaccinees must be recorded. The participating centers (and period of recruitment) were the Regional Office of Air and Maritime Health (1985–2000); centers of Verona (1983–2000), Padua (1991–1993), Vicenza (1998–2000), Venice (1998–2000), Treviso (1998–2000), and Bassano (1999–2000). Three centers (Adria, Belluno, Dolo) were excluded because they were active only after 1999; one center (Negrar) refused to cooperate.

Eligibility was established when a vaccination report was available in the archive of the participating centers for a YFV recipient. The original number of eligible participants was reduced according to the following exclusion criteria.

1. Age younger than 18 years at vaccination (data not inputted).
2. Receiving booster doses of YFV.
3. Residence outside the Veneto Region.
4. Residence in LHUs not covered by the VTR.
5. YFV after the diagnosis of cancer.
6. Male sex.

The follow-up was performed through record linkage with the VTR data from 1987 (start year of the VTR) to 31 December 2005. VTR also provided dates and causes of death in patients who had died before 31 December 2005.

The University of Padua Ethics Committee and the Italian National Authority for Protection of Sensitive Data approved the study protocol.

Variables

In the present exposure-only study, where participants were vaccinated once, only the time–effect relationship could be assessed. This was done in relation to the years elapsed from vaccination to cancer diagnosis (time since vaccination, TSV). TSV was categorized into six classes (≤ 1.9; 2–3.9; 4–5.9; 6–7.9; 8–10.9; ≥ 11 years); the class intervals were chosen in such a manner as to distribute the mass of person-years into groups of (utmost possible) similar weight. The obvious confounder ‘age’ was divided into 5-year classes: ≤ 34; 35–39; 40–44; 45–49; 50–54; 55–59; 60–64; 65–69; 70–74; and ≥ 75 years. The period of observation was divided into classes of 5 calendar years (1987–1991; 1992–1996; 1997–2001; 2002–2005). There were no missing data for any variable.

Statistical analysis

The number of person-years was calculated by taking as entry the date of vaccination or date of VTR coverage, whichever was the latest. The exit date was 31 December 2005 (31 December 1999 for Padua), date of incidence, death, or loss to follow-up, whichever was the earliest.

The outcome was BC incidence. Therefore, using the lowest class as a reference, the incidence rate ratio (IRR) with 95% confidence interval (CI) and P-values were estimated in each TSV class with different models of Poisson regression: univariable regression (unadjusted analysis) and multivariable regression with age and calendar period used as factorial variables (adjusted analysis). The relationship between predictors and outcome was analyzed separately in subcohort 1 (women...
vaccinated from 40 to 54 years of age) and subcohort 2 (women vaccinated before the age of 40 or after the age of 54 years).

Stata 13 (Stata Corporation, College Station, Texas, USA) was used for statistical analysis.

Results
Participants
The process of selection of cohort members is shown as a flow diagram in Fig. 1. After excluding 1467 individuals who received more than one vaccination (exclusion criterion no. 2 of the above list), the database was linked to the regional registry of residents; at this stage, the 18852 individuals who did not link (residency outside the region) were excluded (exclusion criterion no. 3). The remaining 70343 individuals were linked with cancer data of the VTR to obtain cancer incidence; then, 42037 were excluded because they lived outside the LHUs covered by the VTR (exclusion criterion no. 4) or were vaccinated after cancer diagnosis (exclusion criterion no. 5). The number of participants reached 28306. When the VTR updated its archive, we repeated the record linkage. At this stage, 476 participants could not be traced in the regional registry of residents, most probably because they were no longer resident in Veneto. Excluding participants lost to follow-up, the updated cohort comprised 27830 participants, 15026 men (omitted, exclusion criterion no. 6) and 12804 women, the final cohort. The latter was divided into subcohort 1, which included 3140 women vaccinated at 40 to 54 years of age, and subcohort 2, which included 9664 women vaccinated before the age of 40 or after the age of 54 years.

Descriptive data
The number of person-years was 110664.1 (mean = 8.64) in the entire cohort, 27493.4 (mean = 8.76) in subcohort 1 and 83170.7 (mean = 8.61) in subcohort 2.

Person-years were concentrated in the classes 45–54 years in subcohort 1, whereas they were distributed in all age classes in subcohort 2. In subcohorts 2 and 1, respectively, the percentage of person-years was 67 and 0% for age classes under 40 years and 13 and 39% for age classes above 54 years. Therefore, subcohort 2 was younger than subcohort 1.

The joint distribution of person-years by age and TSV is shown in Table 1. Across the classes of TSV, the number of person-years ranged from 4400.0 to 4847.6 in subcohort 1 and from 12391.6 to 14723.2 in subcohort 2. No different subdivision of TSV produced smaller variations in person-years among TSV classes. Despite the quite constant number of person-years, the composition by age of TSV classes varies because age increases with increasing TSV. As higher TSV classes include older populations, the risk of BC is expected to increase with TSV.

Outcome data
Among 517 incident tumors of all sites identified in the entire cohort with the record linkage, we found 187 BC cases (89 and 98 in subcohorts 1 and 2, respectively).

Table 2 shows cases of BC, number of person-years, and IRR with 95% CI and P-value by TSV classes in 3140 women vaccinated between 40 and 54 years of age (subcohort 1). Adjustment for age and calendar periods decreased IRR, particularly in the last three classes of TSV. Compared with the reference category (TSV ≤ 1.9 years), IRR was significantly lower in classes

| TSV (years) | Breast cancer cases | Person-years | IRR  | 95% CI | P-value |
|------------|---------------------|--------------|------|--------|---------|
| ≤ 1.9      | 29                  | 19355.31     | 1.00 | –      | –       |
| 2–3.9      | 21                  | 19678.82     | 0.65 | 0.37–1.15 | 0.140   |
| 4–5.9      | 22                  | 19034.70     | 0.65 | 0.37–1.14 | 0.131   |
| 6–7.9      | 30                  | 16467.33     | 0.93 | 0.54–1.59 | 0.779   |
| 8–9.9      | 31                  | 12896.27     | 1.09 | 0.63–1.88 | 0.750   |
| 10–14.9    | 38                  | 18049.97     | 0.80 | 0.47–1.36 | 0.405   |
| ≥ 15       | 16                  | 5283.73      | 0.90 | 0.46–1.79 | 0.772   |
| Total      | 187                 | 116664.10    | –    | –      | –       |

CI, confidence interval; IRR, incidence rate ratio; TSV, time since vaccination.

Fig. 1

Cohort members: flow diagram of the selection process.
Table 2  Unadjusted and adjusted analysis of Poisson regression in subcohort 1 (3140 women vaccinated from 40 to 54 years of age)

| TSV (years) | Cases | Person-years | Unadjusted analysis | Adjusted analysis |
|-------------|-------|--------------|---------------------|------------------|
|              |       |              | IRR (95% CI)        | P-value          |
| ≤ 1.9       | 21    | 4847.6       | Ref                 | Ref              |
| 2–3.9       | 8     | 4853.6       | 0.38 (0.17–0.88)    | 0.020            |
| 4–5.9       | 8     | 4715.3       | 0.39 (0.17–0.88)    | 0.024            |
| 6–7.9       | 14    | 4075.7       | 0.79 (0.40–1.56)    | 0.501            |
| 8–10.9      | 26    | 4400.0       | 1.36 (0.77–2.42)    | 0.290            |
| ≥ 11        | 12    | 4601.2       | 0.60 (0.30–1.22)    | 0.161            |
| Total       | 89    | 27493.4      | –                   | –                |

Number of person-years, cases of breast cancer, IRR with 95% CI, and P-value by TSV. CI, confidence interval; IRR, incidence rate ratio; TSV, time since vaccination.

Fig. 2

Breast cancer risk in subcohort 1 by years elapsed since vaccination: incidence rate ratio (IRR) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs).

Table 3 shows cases of BC, number of person-years, and IRR with 95% CI and P-value by TSV classes in 9664 women vaccinated before the age of 40 or after the age of 54 years (subcohort 2). The increasing trend of IRRs with increasing TSV years in unadjusted Poisson regression disappeared at adjusted analysis, suggesting confounding by age (in particular) and calendar period.

In the beginning, women vaccinated before 40 years and those vaccinated after 54 years of age were analyzed separately; as the trend of IRRs across TSV was similar (data not shown), these participants were combined in subcohort 2.

Discussion

Key results

The live-attenuated YFV 17D, administered as a single injection to 3140 healthy women aged between 40 and 54 years, resulted in a protective effect of long duration slowly fading over time with a U-shaped pattern of response. Overall, BC risk was reduced by about 50% two years after vaccination. The estimated number of BC cases prevented would have been 80. As 27.6 euros is the unit cost of the YFV, the total cost of vaccination was 86,664 (€27.6 × 3140) euros in subcohort 1 and the cost per case prevented would have been ~1083 (€86,664/80) euros. The latter represents a very small fraction of the cost of treating a single case of BC.

Study limitations

In Italy, vaccination against yellow fever at an authorized health service is free of charge, does not require a prescription from a doctor, and an official certificate of vaccination is issued that is compulsory for travel to and between several countries. Any alternative is highly unlikely because it involves obtaining a prescription from a doctor and purchasing the vaccine without the release of a valid certificate. It can therefore be concluded that the cohort is complete. YFV is only recommended for those traveling to hot countries where yellow fever is endemic, and individuals who travel to these countries tend to belong to a higher than average social class of the general population. The reference group (the TSV class of < 1.9 years) was a subset of the same study population; the class intervals were chosen in such a manner as to minimize the variation of person-years among TSV classes. Therefore, the method of collection of exposed and unexposed individuals could not have introduced a selection bias.
Assessment of exposure was based on a vaccination report and cancer diagnosis was found in a tumor registry. Although it was determined retrospectively, information was accurate and an information bias can be excluded.

In observational studies, the lack of randomization leads to the potential problem of confounding. Secular changes of BC incidence have been reported in the USA over the past 70 years (Toriola and Colditz, 2013); to account for the distorting effects of this potential confounder (as well as age), we used a multivariate analysis technique. It might be that another causal factor is both a protection factor for the disease and a factor associated with the exposure of interest. Potential confounders were, for example, BCG and smallpox vaccinations that, according to a BLAST search (Krone et al., 2014), express epitopes homologous to HERV-K-MEL. No information was available on these because the BCG vaccine is not required for travel abroad and vaccinia vaccination was halted in Italy in 1981. As the cohort members (with the exception of 15 individuals) were born before 1981, almost all had been vaccinated against smallpox. Yet, a protective effect of YFV was detected only in the women of subcohort 1.

The lack of information on HERV-K activation status in BC cases (and study participants at large) could be another limitation. If protection was because of a cross-immune reaction between YFV products and transcripts of HERV-K, we should presume that only women of subcohort 1 were carriers of HERV-K. This seems unlikely, however, in view of the evidence that among 59 patients with BC, HERV-K-ENV was expressed in 62% of women aged 60 years or younger and in 64% of women above 60 years of age ($P = 0.866$) (Zhao et al., 2011).

No information was available on hormone receptor status, genotype-based subtypes, and histologic grade. The triple-negative BCs – defined by the absence of the estrogen receptor, progesterone receptor, and receptor 2 of the human epidermal growth factor – are most commonly diagnosed in women younger than 40 years of age (Bauer et al., 2007; Anders et al., 2008), and have a more aggressive clinical course than non-triple-negative BCs (higher relapse and distant recurrence rate; and shorter post recurrence and overall survival) (Haffty et al., 2006; Dent et al., 2007). Breast tumors characterized by poor survival would be numerous in subcohort 2 because here 67% of person-years were found in age classes younger than 40 years.

**Interpretation of results**

BC cells in a preclinical microscopic stage of disease can be destroyed or severely damaged by YFV 17D through an unpredicted immunologic/inflammatory ‘bustle’ if BC is not very aggressive.

**Future perspectives**

The present observational study provided an idea of what works in the real world, but clearly needs confirmatory investigations. There are no similar studies for comparison of these encouraging results. Rather than (or in parallel with) other observational studies, a placebo-controlled double-blind trial is required to prove that treatment is truly effective. Our study showed that about 6500 women should be enrolled (3250 in each group of treatment and control) to detect a significant 65% relative reduction in invasive BC. Eligible women should be 40–54 years of age, have a Gail 5-year risk score (percent chance of invasive BC within 5 years) more than 1.66%, and some previous atypical findings. The annual incidence of invasive BCs would be measured, along with toxic effects. Given that the protective effect begins 2 years after vaccination, a short follow-up would help to reduce difficulties and costs of the investigation. A significant relative reduction in the annual incidence of invasive BC for YFV 17D compared with the placebo will mark the conclusion of epidemiologic investigations and the beginning of laboratory evaluation (search for mechanisms). Despite its efficacy (YFV 17D is one of the most successful vaccines ever developed in humans) and widespread use (in >600 million individuals), the mechanisms by which YFV stimulates protective immunity remain poorly understood (Ravindran et al., 2014). Irrespective of their common origin, vaccinology and immunology have evolved such different trajectories that immunologists remain largely ignorant of the
mechanisms of action of successful vaccines, and vaccinologists have until recently shown little interest in the intricacies of immune regulation (Pulendran et al., 2010). YFV 17D is a live-attenuated vaccine. To date, it is known that viral replication peaks at days 5–7 and is undetectable by 14 days. The vaccine induces neutralizing antibodies (IgM persisting up to 18 months and IgG that can persist for up to 40 years), CD4+ T-cell response (of a mixed T-helper 1 and T-helper 2 profile), and CD8+ T-cell responses (Pulendran, 2009). In addition, YFV was found to induce a significant modulation of about 600 genes in whole-blood cells (Gaucher et al., 2008). However, numerous potential mechanisms may explain the favorable association of infection with carcinogenesis: cross-reactive antigens, suppression of inflammation, promotion of antitumor immunity, induction of preimmunity, alteration of the tumor microenvironment, production of low-level ‘danger’ signals, removal of carcinogens, and inhibition of angiogenesis (Oikonomopoulou et al., 2013). Extensive work is thus needed to dissect the complex molecular and cellular pathways involved in BC protection elicited by YFV. This work could be carried out after (and only if justified by) the clinical results. This ‘clinics to laboratory’ path (called reverse pharmacology) reverses the conventional paradigm ‘laboratory to clinics’ – namely testing compounds in vitro and then in animals before evaluating them in humans – reducing costs, time, and toxicity (Patwardhan et al., 2008; Wilcox et al., 2011).

Unfortunately, despite decades of promising preclinical and clinical research, vaccines against human BC remain an unfulfilled promise (Lollini et al., 2013). Except for vaccines against viruses that are associated with specific cancers, a reliable, safe, easy to use, and reasonably priced vaccine that can treat solid tumors or prevent their metastasis is not available (Gao et al., 2012). It will probably take decades to develop an agent that will match YFV 17D in its ability to reduce the incidence of BC for 6–8 years after a single dose administered to healthy women aged 40–54 years.

The occurrence of adverse effects is of particular concern for vaccines because they are supplied to healthy individuals on the scale of millions. YFV-associated neurologic or viscerotropic diseases are rare serious adverse events of the live-attenuated virus vaccine. A systematic search of adverse events associated with YFV was carried out in nine electronic bibliographic databases and reference lists of included papers. The review identified nine studies of adverse events in infants and children, eight studies of adverse events in pregnant women, nine studies of adverse events in human immunodeficiency virus-positive patients, five studies of adverse events in individuals 60 years of age and older, and one study of adverse events in individuals taking immunosuppressive medications. Two case studies of maternal–neonate transmission resulted in serious adverse events. The five passive surveillance databases identified very small numbers of cases of YFV-associated viscerotropic disease, YFV-associated neurotropic disease, and anaphylaxis in individuals 60 years or older (Thomas et al., 2012). Other data suggested a higher than expected number of deaths from YFV-associated viscerotropic disease among women 19–34 years of age without known immunodeficiency (Seligman, 2011). Furthermore, a mass vaccination campaign (2007–2010) was launched in sub-Saharan Africa (Benin, Burkina Faso, Cameroon, Guinea, Liberia, Mali, Senegal, Sierra Leone, and Togo) after an outbreak of yellow fever. Out of 38 million doses of YFV, cases of neurotropic disease, viscerotropic disease, and hypersensitivity reactions induced by YFV were 6, 5, and 11, respectively (attack rates per 100 000 individuals vaccinated were 0.016, 0.013, and 0.029), according to the Global Advisory Committee on Vaccine Safety (No authors listed, 2013). The indication of YFV as a BC vaccine even now excludes most of the vulnerable groups reported in the literature; nonetheless, attention should be paid to pregnancy and immune-suppression status. All risks could be minimized by using an inactivated vaccine that was recently found to induce neutralizing antibodies against the yellow fever virus (Monath et al., 2011). However, it will be necessary to determine whether the inactivated YFV would have a comparable protective effect.

**Conclusion**

A single administration of YFV to healthy women aged 40–54 years reduced BC risk by about 50% 2 years after vaccination. The translation of this observational research into a new regimen of BC prevention requires a randomized-controlled clinical trial.
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