Factor structure and external correlates of posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms among African American firefighters
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Abstract: This study compared the relative goodness of fit of three well-established factorial models of posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) symptoms among 477 African American male firefighters in a large city in the US. The compared models were the two four-factor emotional numbing and dysphoria models and a five-factor dysphoric arousal model. The study also examined the convergent and discriminant validity of PTSD symptom clusters in relation to depression and alcohol dependence symptoms. Both the emotional numbing and dysphoric arousal PTSD models provided a superior fit to the data compared to the dysphoria model. Findings also indicated a good fit for factor models that included PTSD, depression, and alcohol dependence latent factors, which provides support for the specificity of PTSD symptom clusters. Depression symptoms were more strongly correlated with PTSD symptom clusters than alcohol dependence. In the dysphoric arousal model, depression and alcohol dependence were equally related to the emotional numbing and dysphoric arousal clusters; however, both depression and alcohol dependence were more highly correlated with dysphoric arousal than with anxious arousal. Even though the emotional numbing and dysphoric arousal models demonstrated a superior fit to the data, the four-factor dysphoria model may provide a more parsimonious representation of PTSD’s latent structure than the five-factor dysphoric arousal model. In conclusion, this study extends support for the well-established PTSD factor models among African Americans, a population with whom these models had not been examined earlier.
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Introduction
Posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) is a multisymptom chronic disorder that was first identified in combat veterans and rape victims. Currently, PTSD is also recognized among those who witness traumatic events or care for those who have experienced trauma such as paramedics and firefighters.1,2 PTSD symptoms include intrusive re-experiencing of the traumatic event, avoidance of reminders of the situation, emotional numbing, and hyperarousal.3 Findings from national surveys are mixed regarding the relative incidence of PTSD among African American and non-Hispanic White adults. Although some studies report no differences in PTSD across ethnic groups,4 other studies indicate higher levels of PTSD among African Americans. In the latter studies, estimates of lifetime prevalence of PTSD have ranged from 8.7% to 9.1% among African Americans compared to 6.8% to 7.4% among non-Hispanic Whites.5,6 Studies with populations exposed to specific stressors, including combat veterans7 and civilians,8 have also reported higher
prevalence rates and more severe symptoms of PTSD among African Americans compared with their non-Hispanic White counterparts.9

Researchers have proposed that African Americans’ higher levels of exposure to life stressors, including impoverished and violent neighborhoods and racial discrimination,5,10 may explain their relatively higher levels of prevalence and severity of PTSD symptoms compared to non-Hispanic Whites. However, differences in the psychometric properties of PTSD assessments, including the latent structure of PTSD symptom clusters, may also explain the observed ethnic group differences in PTSD symptoms. Therefore, to meaningfully compare the prevalence of PTSD rates among African Americans with that among members of other ethnic groups11 and accurately interpret cultural correlates of observed group differences, it is necessary to first establish the factorial structure and external validity of PTSD factor scores among African Americans.12,13

Factor structure of PTSD symptom clusters

The Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th ed. (DSM-IV) defined PTSD in terms of 17 symptoms organized in three clusters labeled as follows: B, reexperiencing; C, effortful avoidance; and D, emotional numbing.1 However, many studies have shown that the original three-factor structure does not provide a good fit for PTSD symptom assessments.14 Instead, researchers concluded that two four-factor models labeled emotional numbing (proposed by King et al15) and dysphoria (proposed by Simms et al16) provide a better fit for the configuration of PTSD symptoms compared to the DSM-IV three-factor model.

In the first modification of the DSM-IV PTSD three-factor configurations, King et al15 developed the emotional numbing model by separating the items in the avoidance cluster (C1–C7) into two factors labeled avoidance (C1–C2) and numbing (C3–C7). This change was based on empirical findings suggesting that the two sets of symptoms loaded on different factors and were differentially related to treatment response.17 In their four-factor dysphoria model, Simms et al16 retained the separate two-item avoidance cluster (C1–C2), but they grouped the items D1–D3 (sleep difficulty, irritability, and concentration problems) with the remaining numbing items (C3–C7) to create an eight-item dysphoria cluster that reflects general distress. However, most recently, Elhai et al18 proposed a five-factor model, labeled dysphoric arousal, that includes the same reexperiencing, avoidance, and numbing factors as the King et al’s15 emotional numbing model, but separates the King et al’s16 hyperarousal factor into two clusters labeled dysphoric arousal (D1–D3) and anxious arousal (D4–D5). Elhai et al18 reasoned that items D1–D3 capture symptoms of agitated/restless dysphoria, which are conceptually and empirically distinct from both the numbing (C3–C7) and the anxious arousal (D4–D5) symptoms. A mapping of the items for the three-factor models is provided in Table 1.

In a recent extensive literature review, Armour et al19 concluded that while the two four-factor PTSD models proposed by King et al15 and Simms et al16 have received strong empirical support among adult samples, none of the two models have demonstrated a clear superior fit across a majority of studies. In contrast, Elhai et al’s18 five-factor model has consistently provided the best fit for the latent structure of the 17 PTSD DSM-IV symptoms among samples that have differed in terms of age, nationality, sex, and type of trauma.19 However, in most of the studies conducted in the US, participants have been predominantly Caucasians. In one study that examined the latent structure of PTSD symptoms in an African American sample, researchers tested only the goodness of fit of the DSM-IV original three-factor configuration of PTSD symptoms, which yielded a poor fit.20 Therefore, it seems safe to conclude that no study has been conducted to examine the relative goodness of fit of the identified best-fitting PTSD factor models with exclusively African American adults. To address this gap in the literature, we compared the relative fit of the three well-established

| PTSD symptoms | Emotional numbing | Dysphoria | Dysphoric arousal |
|---------------|------------------|-----------|------------------|
| B1: Intrusive thoughts | R | R | R |
| B2: Nightmares | R | R | R |
| B3: Flashbacks | R | R | R |
| B4: Emotional reactivity | R | R | R |
| B5: Physical reactivity | R | R | R |
| C1: Avoidance of thoughts | A | A | A |
| C2: Avoidance of reminders | A | A | A |
| C3: Amnesia for aspects | N | D | N |
| C4: Loss of interest | N | D | N |
| C5: Feeling distant | N | D | N |
| C6: Feeling numb | N | D | N |
| C7: Foreshortened future | N | D | N |
| D1: Sleep disturbance | H | D | DA |
| D2: Irritability | H | D | DA |
| D3: Difficulty concentrating | H | D | DA |
| D4: Hypervigilance | H | H | AA |
| D5: Exaggerated startle | H | H | AA |

Notes: A, avoidance; D, dysphoria; H, hyperarousal; N, numbing; R, reexperiencing. Abbreviations: AA, anxious arousal; DA, dysphoric arousal; PTSD, posttraumatic stress disorder.
PTSD DSM-IV factorial models among African American male firefighters.

Specificity of PTSD factors
PTSD symptoms have shown relatively high levels of comorbidity with other disorders among individuals who have experienced different types of trauma, including men in the general population. In practical terms, truly distinct factors should demonstrate differential correlations with external variables. Therefore, in addition to testing the factorial structure of PTSD symptoms, researchers have examined the identified factors in relation to symptoms of other disorders. Studies have reported mixed findings regarding the extent to which PTSD symptom clusters show differential associations with depression and alcohol abuse.

In a meta-analysis of 41 studies, Gootzeit and Markon concluded that, compared to the other symptom clusters, the dysphoria factor in the Simms et al’s dysphoria model showed the strongest correlations with depression, substance abuse, anxiety, and panic. These findings are consistent with Simms et al’s proposition that the dysphoria symptom cluster captures general distress and helps explain the high comorbidity of PTSD with other disorders. However, in other studies, the dysphoria factor showed similar associations with measures of negative emotionality relative to the numbing or hyperarousal factors. The numbing and hyperarousal factors in the King et al’s emotional numbing model also demonstrated similar correlations with depression and alcohol abuse.

Two of the few studies that examined the relative contribution of the five symptom clusters included in the dysphoric arousal model reported that the dysphoric arousal and numbing clusters were equally related to depression and alcohol abuse, while one study indicated that depression was more strongly related to the dysphoric arousal than to the numbing factor. In several studies, both depression and alcohol abuse and alcohol abuse were more strongly associated with dysphoric arousal than to anxious arousal, which provides support for Elhai et al’s decision to split the original hypervigilance symptom clusters (items D1–D5) into two factors, dysphoric arousal (D1–D3) and anxious arousal (D4–D5).

In sum, findings have been mixed regarding the level of differentiation that symptom clusters in the competing PTSD models demonstrate in relation to symptoms of other disorders. Therefore, we also examined with African American participants the convergent and discriminant validity of the symptom clusters included in the best-fitting factor model(s) in relation to depression and alcohol dependence symptoms.

The current study
The objectives of this study were to 1) examine to what extent each of the three competing models, emotional numbing, dysphoria, and dysphoric arousal, provide a good fit for PTSD-C17 items among study participants, 2) compare the goodness of fit of the three PTSD competing factor models, and 3) examine the convergent and discriminant validity of the best-fitting PTSD factor model(s) in relation to measures of depression and alcohol dependence symptoms. Based on previous findings, we hypothesized that the five-factor dysphoric arousal model proposed by Elhai et al would demonstrate the best fit, but we made no predictions regarding the relative fit of the two four-factor models. Because of mixed findings in previous studies, no hypothesis was formulated regarding the convergent and discriminant validity of symptom clusters within the best-fitting model(s).

Methods
Participants/procedures
Participants included were 490 firefighters who self-identified as African Americans and were employed by a large fire department in a major metropolitan city in the southwest US. As part of a department-wide suicide prevention program, participants completed a voluntary paper-and-pencil mental health needs assessment survey that included questions regarding PTSD symptoms, depression, and substance use. Data from African American female firefighters (n=13) were excluded from the analyses, because they represented a very small proportion of the sample (2.6%), resulting in an effective sample of 477 African American male firefighters.

The study was reviewed and approved by the University of Houston’s Institutional Review Board. Participation in the survey was voluntary and the data was gathered anonymously with participants’ consent.

Instrumentation
The PTSD Checklist – Civilian Version (PCL-C17) was used to assess the 17 posttraumatic stress symptoms identified in the DSM-IV. The PCL-C17, a self-administered screening instrument, asks respondents to consider the “list of problems and complaints that people sometimes have in response to stressful experiences” and to indicate how much they “have been bothered by each problem in the past month” in a Likert-type scale ranging from 1 (not at all) to 5 (extremely). Exposure to specific types of trauma was not assessed in the survey. Possible scores on the PCL-C17 range from 17 to 85. Based on an extensive review of the literature, Wilkins et al concluded that the PCL-C17 has demonstrated sound psychometric properties including test–retest reliability (coefficients ranging from 0.75
to 0.88), internal consistency (coefficients for the total score ranging from 0.83 to 0.94), and convergence validity with scores in other PTSD measures (coefficients ranging from 0.63 to 0.90). In the current study, Cronbach’s internal reliability coefficient for the PCL-C17 scale’s total score was $\alpha = 0.94$.

The Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9), an instrument designed to assess nine symptoms based on the DSM-IV diagnostic criteria for major depressive disorder, was used to assess symptoms of depression. Participants were asked to indicate on a scale from 0 (not at all) to 3 (several days) the number of days during the previous 2 weeks that they were bothered by each symptom (eg, feeling down, depressed, or hopeless). Scores may range from 0 to 27. PHQ-9 scores with primary care populations have shown good internal reliability (Cronbach’s $\alpha$ of 0.89 and 0.86) and test–retest reliability over 48 hours ($\kappa = 0.48$), and have discriminated well between people with and without major depression.\(^{35}\) The internal reliability coefficient for scores in the PHQ-9 with study participants was 0.85.

Alcohol dependence was assessed using the Rapid Alcohol Problems Screen (RAPS-4), a four-item screening instrument, that asks about the presence of four problem behaviors associated with alcohol abuse in the past year: remorse, amnesia, performance, and starter drinking behavior. With a hospital’s emergency room sample, a positive response to any of the four items in the RAPS-4 demonstrated a sensitivity of 93% and specificity of 87% for alcohol dependence across sex and ethnic subgroups.\(^{36}\) In the current study, participants responded yes or no to each question, and the yes responses were summed. Total scores could range from 0 to 4, with higher scores indicating higher levels of substance abuse or dependence. The internal reliability coefficient for scores in the RAPS-4 with study participants was 0.65.

Plan of analyses

Confirmatory factor analyses (CFA) were performed in Mplus 7 to test the goodness of fit of each of the three PCL-C17 competing models (objective 1): emotional numbing,\(^{15}\) dysphoria,\(^{16}\) and dysphoric arousal.\(^{18}\) The robust maximum likelihood method, which corrects for non-normality and yields the scaled Satorra–Bentler (SB) $\chi^2$ statistic, was used to assess the model-data fit.\(^{37-39}\) To address the study’s second objective, the Bayesian information criterion (BIC)\(^{40}\) was used to compare the goodness of fit of the non-nested models, emotional numbing and dysphoria. The SB scaled difference $\chi^2$ test\(^{41}\) was used to compare the nested models: the dysphoric arousal model was compared to both the emotional numbing and dysphoria models.

To address the study’s third objective regarding the discriminant validity of the competing PTSD models in relation to other psychological disorders, three additional CFA were conducted to examine the goodness of fit of expanded competing PTSD model(s) that also included depression and substance dependence latent variables. The relative strength of the observed correlations of PTSD factors with depression and alcohol dependence symptoms was assessed to examine the degree of overlap/specificity of PTSD symptom clusters with the other two disorders.

The following five fit indices were used to determine the model-data fit: the comparative fit index, Tucker–Lewis index (TLI), standardized root mean square residual, and root mean square error of approximation along with its associated 90% confidence interval. As recommended by Hu and Bentler,\(^{42}\) cutoffs for acceptable model fit were comparative fit index $\geq 0.90$ ($\geq 0.95$, excellent fit), TLI $\geq 0.90$ ($\geq 0.95$, excellent fit), standardized root mean square residual $\leq 0.10$ ($\leq 0.08$, excellent fit), and root mean square error of approximation $\leq 0.08$ ($\leq 0.06$, excellent fit), and at least two of these fit indices were examined in combination to assess the model-data fit.

Results

Descriptive statistics

As shown in Table 2, participants were evenly distributed in terms of age and years of service in the fire department; the majority were married or lived with a partner. In terms of education, most of the participants reported completion of some postsecondary schooling and close to half of them reported either a 2- or 4-year college degree. The observed mean score on the PCL-C17 for the total sample was 24.81 (SD =10.18). Using a cutoff score of 39, as suggested by

| Characteristic                  | N     | %    |
|--------------------------------|-------|------|
| **Age (years)**                |       |      |
| 19–34                          | 138   | 29   |
| 35–44                          | 162   | 34   |
| 45–66                          | 172   | 36   |
| Education level                |       |      |
| High school                    | 29    | 6.1  |
| Some college                   | 230   | 48.2 |
| College graduate               | 215   | 45.1 |
| **Marital status**             |       |      |
| Married/with partner           | 330   | 71.3 |
| Not married                    | 147   | 28.7 |
| **Years of service in fire department** |       |      |
| 5 or less                      | 131   | 27.5 |
| 6–20                           | 187   | 39.2 |
| 21 or more                     | 159   | 33.3 |

**Note:** Numbers within categories may not add up to presented N due to missing values.
Chiu et al43 in a study with firefighters, 40 (8.4%) participants demonstrated elevated PTSD risk.

Factor structure of PTSD symptoms

Model fit statistics for the CFA performed to test the goodness of fit of each of the three PCL-C17 competing models are provided in Table 3. According to the earlier mentioned criteria, the three PTSD factor models showed good to excellent fit. The fit indices for the emotional numbing model and the dysphoric arousal models were in the excellent range. For the dysphoria model, only the TLI value (0.944) was slightly below the excellent fit threshold (0.95). However, the ΔBIC of 65.30 indicates that the emotional numbing model fit better than the dysphoria model (among non-nested models, a BIC difference >10 indicates very strong support for the model with the lower BIC value44). Next, the fit of the five-factor dysphoric arousal model was compared to each of the two-factor models. SB scaled difference χ² tests revealed that the five-factor dysphoric arousal model fit significantly better than the four-factor dysphoria model (χ²=39.3095, df=4, P<0.001) and that there was no significant difference in the goodness of fit between the five-factor dysphoric arousal and the four-factor emotional numbing models (χ²=2.2308, df=4, P>0.05). In sum, results indicated that both the emotional numbing and the dysphoric arousal models similarly provided an excellent representation of the latent structure of the PTSD factors. In the three PTSD models, all items loaded significantly in their corresponding factors (Table 4).

Relationships with external measures of depression and alcohol dependence

To examine the convergent and discriminant validity of the factors, we built three additional factor models, one for each of the PTSD models, by adding the latent depression and alcohol dependence factors represented by the items in the PCQ-9 and the RASP-4, respectively. The model-data fit of each of the three expanded PTSD models was examined using CFA procedures as described earlier. As shown in Table 3, the correlated six- and seven-factor expanded emotional numbing and dysphoric arousal models demonstrated good to excellent fit. In contrast, the TLI for the expanded dysphoria model

---

**Table 3** Fit statistics for CFA of PCL factor models

| Models                              | SB | χ²   | df   | Scaling factor | CFI | TLI | RMSEA | RMSEA 90% CI | BIC   |
|-------------------------------------|----|------|------|----------------|-----|-----|-------|---------------|-------|
| PTSD four- and five-factor models   |    |      |      |                |     |     |       |               |       |
| Emotional numbing                   | 198.195 | 113 | 1.871 | 0.967          | 0.960 | 0.033 | 0.040 | 0.030–0.049 | 15,370.55 |
| Dysphoria                           | 232.277 | 113 | 1.878 | 0.954          | 0.944 | 0.037 | 0.047 | 0.038–0.056 | 15,435.85 |
| Dysphoric arousal                   | 195.160 | 109 | 1.883 | 0.966          | 0.958 | 0.032 | 0.041 | 0.031–0.050 | 15,391.74 |
| Expanded PTSD four- and five-factor models incorporating external correlates: depression and alcohol dependence | | | | | | | | | |
| Expanded emotional numbing          | 754.468 | 390 | 1.636 | 0.914          | 0.905 | 0.052 | 0.044 | 0.040–0.049 | 21,111.34 |
| Expanded dysphoria                 | 794.321 | 390 | 1.639 | 0.905          | 0.894 | 0.053 | 0.047 | 0.042–0.051 | 21,178.32 |
| Expanded dysphoric arousal         | 748.459 | 384 | 1.639 | 0.914          | 0.903 | 0.051 | 0.045 | 0.040–0.049 | 21,140.01 |

**Table 4** Standardized factor loadings of PTSD symptoms for competing PTSD models

| Items                          | PTSD models | Emotional numbing | Dysphoria | Dysphoric arousal |
|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------------|-----------|------------------|
|                               |             | Re-experiencing   | Re-experiencing | Re-experiencing |
| B1: Intrusive thoughts        |             | 0.742             | 0.738     | 0.741            |
| B2: Nightmares                |             | 0.784             | 0.779     | 0.783            |
| B3: Flashbacks                |             | 0.842             | 0.844     | 0.843            |
| B4: Emotional reactivity      |             | 0.832             | 0.837     | 0.832            |
| B5: Physical reactivity       |             | 0.784             | 0.782     | 0.784            |
| C1: Avoidance thoughts        |             | 0.835             | 0.833     | 0.835            |
| C2: Avoidance reminders       |             | 0.844             | 0.845     | 0.844            |
| C3: Amnesia for aspects       |             | 0.669             | 0.677     | 0.696            |
| C4: Loss of interest          |             | 0.774             | 0.752     | 0.773            |
| C5: Feeling distant           |             | 0.766             | 0.756     | 0.767            |
| C6: Feeling numb              |             | 0.834             | 0.820     | 0.835            |
| C7: Foreshortened future      |             | 0.811             | 0.809     | 0.810            |
|                               |             | Hyperarousal      | Numbing   | 0.592 | 0.564 | 0.558 |
| D1: Sleep disturbance         |             | 0.592             | 0.564     | 0.558            |
| D2: Irritability              |             | 0.762             | 0.711     | 0.751            |
| D3: Difficulty concentration  |             | 0.730             | 0.722     | 0.724            |
| D4: Hypervigilance            |             | 0.581             | 0.571     | 0.576            |
| D5: Exaggerated startle       |             | 0.788             | 0.788     | 0.781            |

**Note:** All factor loadings were statistically significant at P<0.001.

**Abbreviation:** PTSD, posttraumatic stress disorder.
(TLI = 0.894) was slightly below the threshold (0.90) for good fit. The ΔBIC of 66.98 between the two non-nested models indicated that the expanded emotional numbing model fit better than the expanded dysphoria model.14 SB scaled difference $\chi^2$ tests revealed that the expanded dysphoric arousal model fit significantly better than the expanded dysphoria model ($\chi^2 = 46.5425, df=6, P<0.001$) and that there was no significant difference in the goodness of fit between the expanded dysphoric arousal and emotional numbing models ($\chi^2 = 5.3098, df=6, P>0.05$). These findings suggest that the PTSD symptom clusters included in both the four-factor emotional numbing and the five-factor dysphoric arousal models are distinguishable from depression and anxiety symptoms at the factor level.

Next, we examined to what extent the dysphoric arousal, anxious arousal, and numbing symptoms in the dysphoric arousal model14 and the dysphoria symptoms in the dysphoria model16 showed differential associations with depression and alcohol dependence. These comparisons were selected because the main difference between the three competing factor models is the placement of items D1–D3. In the emotional numbing model,15 items D1–D5 comprise the hyperarousal factor, while in the dysphoric arousal model16 items D1–D5 are split into two factors: dysphoric arousal (D1–D3) and anxious arousal (D4–D5). In the dysphoria model,16 items D1–D3 are combined with items C3–C7 to form the dysphoria cluster and items D4–D5 form that model’s hyperarousal cluster. Steiger’s $z$-tests were used to identify statistically significant differences between the compared correlation coefficients.45

As shown in Table 5, correlations of PTSD factors with depression symptoms were relatively high (ranging from $r=0.44$ to 0.65). Results of Steiger’s $z$-tests45 showed that among the dysphoric arousal symptom clusters, the difference in the relation of depression to numbing ($r=0.59$) versus the relation of depression to dysphoric arousal ($r=0.63$) was not statistically significant, $z=1.54$, $P=0.12$. However, depression was more strongly correlated with both numbing ($r=0.59$, $z=2.23$, $P=0.03$) and dysphoric arousal symptoms ($r=0.63$; $z=3.79$, $P<0.001$) than to anxious arousal ($r=0.52$) symptoms. Results also showed that depression was more strongly correlated with the dysphoria symptom cluster included in the Simms’ dysphoria model ($r=0.65$) than to the hyperarousal/anxious arousal cluster included in both the Simms’ dysphoria and the Elhai’s dysphoric arousal models ($r=0.52$; $z=4.67, P<0.001$). This last finding makes sense, since the Simms et al’s16 dysphoria cluster combines the items from the five-factor numbing (C3–C7) and dysphoric arousal (D1–D3) clusters, both of which were more strongly related to depression compared to the anxious arousal cluster (D4–D5).

In contrast to findings regarding depression, the correlations of PTSD symptom clusters included in the dysphoric arousal model with alcohol dependence were relatively low (ranging from $r=0.20$ to 0.26). Differences in the correlations of alcohol dependence with emotional numbing ($r=0.25$) versus dysphoric arousal ($r=0.26; z=0.302, P=0.05$) or anxious arousal ($r=0.20; z=1.31, P>0.05$) were not statistically significant. Similarly, alcohol dependence was not differentially related to dysphoric arousal ($r=0.26$) versus anxious arousal ($r=0.20; z=1.66, P>0.05$). Similar to results with depression, alcohol dependence was more strongly correlated with the dysphoria symptom cluster included in the dysphoria model ($r=0.27$) than to the hyperarousal/anxious arousal clusters included in the dysphoria and dysphoric arousal models ($r=0.20; z=2.01, P=0.04$).

**Discussion**

The purpose of this study was to examine among African American male firefighters the relative fit of three competing factor models of PTSD symptoms that have been established with other populations: the two four-factor emotional numbing15 and dysphoria models16 and the more recently proposed five-factor dysphoric arousal model.18 Consistent with a previous study by Armour et al.,19 the three PTSD factor models demonstrated good to excellent fit. In terms of relative fit of the three models, comparison between the two four-factor models using the BIC criteria44 indicated that the emotional numbing model demonstrated a superior fit to the data than the dysphoric arousal model. Results of $\chi^2$ difference tests showed that while the five-factor dysphoric arousal model demonstrated a superior fit compared to the four-factor dysphoria model, it did not show a superior fit compared to the four-factor emotional numbing model, as

**Table 5 Correlation of PTSD symptom clusters, depression, and alcohol dependence**

| Variables                     | 1    | 2    | 3    | 4    | 5    | 6    | 7    |
|-------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Reexperiencing                |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Avoidance                     | 0.70 |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Numbing                       | 0.68 | 0.69 |      |      |      |      |      |
| Dysphoric arousal              | 0.64 | 0.57 | 0.72 |      |      |      |      |
| Anxious arousal                | 0.58 | 0.53 | 0.63 | 0.67 |      |      |      |
| Dysphoria                     | 0.71 | 0.69 | 0.95 | 0.89 | 0.69 |      |      |
| Depression                    | 0.55 | 0.44 | 0.59 | 0.63 | 0.52 | 0.65 |      |
| Alcohol dependence            | 0.23 | 0.26 | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.20 | 0.29 | 0.27 |

**Notes:** 1Emotional numbing model; 2dysphoria model; 3dysphoric arousal model. All correlations were statistically significant at P<0.001.

**Abbreviation:** PTSD, posttraumatic stress disorder.
was hypothesized. These findings differ from previous studies that have consistently shown that the five-factor model demonstrates a superior fit than the two well-established four-factor models.29,31,32,46 Therefore, results provided support for the study’s first hypothesis and partial support for the second hypothesis. In sum, both the four-factor emotional numbing and the five-factor dysphoric arousal models emerged as the best-fitting models for the structure of PTSD symptoms among African American male firefighters.

Researchers have noted that in addition to identifying the best-fitting structural models, it is also important to examine the construct validity of the identified PTSD clusters in relation to symptoms of other disorders.31,47 A second set of CFA that added a depression and a substance dependence factor to the respective PTSD competing models yielded acceptable fit for the dysphoria model and good fit for both the emotional numbing and the dysphoric arousal models. These findings indicate that the respective PTSD clusters are distinguishable from depression and anxiety symptoms at the factor level, which provides support for the construct validity of the two best-fitting models with African American participants. Studies with populations in the US, Asia, and Europe have reported similar findings.29,31,32

Results of the two sets of CFA provided statistical support for the two factor models that represent PTSD in terms of reexperiencing, avoidance, numbing, and hyperarousal factors. The only difference between the two best-fitting models is the separation of the five items (D1–D5) included in the King et al.’s15 emotional numbing model’s hyperarousal cluster into two arousal factors in the Elhai et al.’s18 dysphoric arousal model, dysphoric arousal (items D1–D3) and anxious arousal (D3–D5). Examination of the relative specificity of PTSD clusters included in the dysphoric arousal model in relation to depression showed that the difference in the correlations of the emotional numbing (items C3–C7) and the dysphoric arousal (items D1–D3) clusters with depression was not statistically significant, which provides support for combining both sets of symptoms into one factor, as proposed in the dysphoria cluster included in the Simms et al.’s16 dysphoria model. At the same time, depression was more strongly associated with both the emotional numbing and dysphoric arousal clusters than with anxious arousal, which provides support for Elhai et al.’s18 decision to separate the hyperarousal symptom cluster (items D1–D5 in the emotional numbing model) into two factors, dysphoric arousal (D1–D3) and anxious arousal (D4–D5). Further analyses also revealed that within the dysphoria model,16 depression was more strongly related to the dysphoria cluster (items C3–C7, D1–D3) than to the hyperarousal cluster (items D4–D5, same items as in anxious arousal cluster in the five-factor dysphoric arousal model).

In contrast to the findings regarding depression, the correlations of alcohol dependence with each of the five PTSD clusters included in the dysphoric arousal model were relatively low (ranging from r=0.20 to 0.26), and the five clusters were equally correlated with alcohol dependence. The only two clusters that showed a differential relation to alcohol dependence were the dysphoria cluster included in the dysphoria four-factor model versus the two-item (D4–D5) hyperarousal/anxious arousal cluster included in both the dysphoria and the dysphoric arousal models. Alcohol dependence was more strongly related to the dysphoria symptom cluster (r=0.27) than to the hyperarousal/anxious arousal cluster (r=0.20). Even though correlations were relatively weak, this finding provides support for the specificity of the anxious arousal symptom cluster to PTSD in relation to alcohol dependence.

As noted by Armour et al.,31 findings regarding the relation of PTSD clusters to depression and alcohol dependence suggest that, even though the emotional numbing15 and the dysphoric arousal18 models provided a superior fit based on BIC and \( \chi^2 \) differences’ testing, the dysphoria four-factor model18 may be a more parsimonious representation of PTSD’s latent structure than the five-cluster model. The dysphoria symptom cluster (dysphoria model), which combines the items from two dysphoric arousal clusters (numbing and dysphoric arousal factors), seems to best capture PTSD symptoms that reflect general distress.46 At the same time, the dysphoria model includes the anxious arousal cluster (items D4–D5), which has consistently shown to be less strongly related to depression and alcohol dependence (and, therefore, more specific to PTSD) than the dysphoric arousal cluster (items D1–D3).29,30,32 Researchers have noted that hyperarousal symptoms D1–D5 may play an important role in the progression of PTSD and related functional difficulties.48 Therefore, identifying hyperarousal symptoms that are differentially related to other disorders may allow researchers and clinicians to devise specific intervention strategies tailored to each type of symptom and enhance treatment effectiveness.32

Findings must be interpreted taking into account the study’s limitations. Data regarding PTSD symptoms were collected via a self-report measure. It is possible that data from clinician-rated PTSD measures may yield different results regarding factor invariance across groups.49 Even though it is reasonable to assume that firefighters are exposed to traumatic events...
due to the nature of their daily work, information regarding participants’ specific traumatic experiences was not obtained as part of the study. Findings from Elhai et al.9 suggest that both trauma experience and the extent to which participants rate PTSD symptoms with reference to a most traumatic event or not may moderate findings regarding the structure of PTSD symptoms. In addition, it is not known how the study results will generalize to African American women or women firefighters, since the sample only included male firefighters. Finally, the factor structure of the 20 symptoms included in the DSM-V needs to be examined with African American populations.

**Conclusion**

This study extends support for the well-established PTSD symptom factor models to African Americans, a population with whom the structure of the well-established PTSD models had not been examined earlier. Results suggest that scores in the various symptom clusters obtained from the PCL-C17 Civilian PTSD assessment can be meaningfully interpreted in comparisons of the prevalence of PTSD rates between African Americans and members of other ethnic groups and in the examination of cultural correlates of observed group differences.
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