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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Palmoplantar psoriasis (PP) and palmoplantar pustulosis (PPP) are diseases affecting the hands and/or feet that can cause marked physical discomfort and functional disability. The tumor necrosis factor-alpha antagonists adalimumab, etanercept, and infliximab, the interleukin (IL)-17A inhibitors ixekizumab and secukinumab, and the IL-23 or IL-12/IL-23 inhibitors guselkumab and ustekinumab have been well studied for the treatment of moderate to severe plaque psoriasis. Less is known about the efficacy and safety of these agents for the treatment of PP (hyperkeratotic and pustular forms) and PPP. The aim of this review was to investigate the efficacy of biologic therapy for the treatment of hyperkeratotic PP, pustular PP, and PPP.

Methods: A systematic search of the medical electronic databases (Medline, Embase, and Cochrane Library) was conducted to identify studies or case reports which both used biologic therapy for the treatment of hyperkeratotic PP, pustular PP, and PPP and reported treatment outcomes.

Results: The systematic search identified 579 published articles, of which 44 were included in the analysis. Seven of the articles involved randomized placebo-controlled trials, two were open label trials, and the remaining were cohort studies, case series, or case reports. In the randomized controlled trials on the treatment of hyperkeratotic PP, adalimumab, guselkumab, infliximab, ixekizumab, and secukinumab each demonstrated superiority to placebo at 16, 16, 14, 12, and 12 or 16 weeks, respectively ($p < 0.05$). For the treatment of pustular PP, ustekinumab 45 mg was not superior to placebo at 12 and 16 weeks, respectively ($p > 0.05$), although an open label study demonstrated that four of five patients on a therapeutic regimen of ustekinumab 90 mg achieved clinical clearance at 16 weeks. For the treatment of PPP, etanercept and ustekinumab 45 mg were not superior to placebo at 12 and 16 weeks, respectively.
A combined analysis of studies for hyperkeratotic PP demonstrated that 94.7%, 90.0%, 82.5%, 89.1%, and 86.7% of patients experienced an improvement of at least 50% upon treatment with adalimumab, guselkumab, ixekizumab, secukinumab, and ustekinumab, respectively. In a combined analysis of case reports examining PPP, infliximab showed the greatest efficacy at 100.0% clinical improvement of patients from case reports, followed by ustekinumab at 58.8% clinical improvement. Few serious adverse events were reported, but several were reported in patients treated with infliximab or secukinumab.

**Conclusion:** Biologic therapy is effective and well-tolerated for the treatment of hyperkeratotic PP, but less data are available on the treatment of pustular PP or PPP. Adalimumab, guselkumab, ixekizumab, secukinumab, and ustekinumab all showed >80% efficacy for the treatment of hyperkeratotic PP, while infliximab and ustekinumab showed moderate efficacy for the treatment of pustular PP, and infliximab was the most efficacious treatment for PPP.

**Keywords:** Adalimumab; Biologic therapy; Etanercept; Infliximab; Ixekizumab; Palmoplantar psoriasis; Palmoplantar pustulosis; Pustular psoriasis; Secukinumab; Ustekinumab

**INTRODUCTION**

Palmoplantar psoriasis (PP) is a chronic, debilitating disease of the palms and/or soles that affects 11–39% of psoriasis patients [1–3]. The morphology of PP can range from thick, hyperkeratotic plaques with fissuring to pustular lesions of the palms and/or soles, and PP is often classified into subtypes based on this morphologic distinction [4, 5]. Hyperkeratotic PP refers to sharply defined erythematous scaly plaques with overlying hyperkeratosis and without the presence of sterile pustules, predominantly at the palms and/or soles [6]. Pustular PP is a variant that includes macroscopic sterile pustules and erythema with intermixed yellow–brown macules localized to the palms and/or soles [6]. PP causes greater physical discomfort and functional disability than psoriasis limited to other body areas, and it is often recalcitrant to treatment [2].

Palmoplantar pustulosis (PPP) is a bilateral, symmetric dermatosis that also affects the hands and/or feet and is clinically distinguished from PP based on the absence of psoriasis at other body sites and a predilection for histologic involvement of the acrosyringium (the terminal duct of eccrine sweat glands) [6, 7]. Pustular PP and hyperkeratotic PP mostly occur concomitantly with psoriasis at other body areas, while PPP consists of pustular lesions typically limited to the palms and/or soles that appear on a clear, non-erythematous background [6–8]. However, whether PPP can be considered a clinical spectrum of plaque psoriasis or whether it is an independent disease is open to much debate. Consequently, in the literature, pustular PP and PPP are often not well distinguished. Some studies have identified the involvement of the acrosyringium as being more specific to PPP [7, 9]. Demographically, PPP is characterized by a female predominance and strong association with smoking, whereas no such associations exist for pustular PP [6, 7]. Interestingly, in individuals with PPP, nicotine is thought to be secreted into eccrine glands to promote inflammation and alter the local response to infection [7]. Recent genetic studies have challenged the relationship of PPP with plaque psoriasis, although both these conditions can respond to similar treatments and have a similar impact on quality of life.

Topical therapy and phototherapy are first-line modalities for the management of PP and PPP. However, the majority of patients eventually require treatment with systemic medications [3]. Traditionally, agents such as oral retinoids, methotrexate, and cyclosporin have been utilized, but these medications carry risks of adverse effects that may limit their use in clinical practice.

Biologic agents have been well studied for the treatment of moderate to severe chronic plaque psoriasis, but less is known about the efficacy of these medications for the treatment of PP and PPP. We have therefore performed a
systematic review of the use of biologic agents for the treatment of hyperkeratotic PP, pustular PP, and PPP with the aim to provide clinicians with helpful information when considering management options for these disabling conditions.

METHODS

The biomedical and healthcare journal databases of Ovid National Library of Medicine’s Medical Literature Analysis and Retrieval System (MEDLINE), Embase, and the Cochrane Library were searched to identify published articles that assessed the efficacy and safety of biologic agents for the treatment of hyperkeratotic PP, pustular PP, and PPP. The detailed search strategy is presented in Electronic Supplementary Material Fig. 2. Abstracts were screened, and articles that appeared to meet the inclusion criteria were assessed further. Reference lists of relevant articles were scrutinized to identify additional reports.

Eligibility Criteria

Publications were included if subjects were diagnosed with PP or PPP based on the assessment by the authors of each publication and if subjects received treatment with one of the currently approved biologics for psoriasis, namely, adalimumab, brodalumab, etanercept, guselkumab, infliximab, ixekizumab, secukinumab, or ustekinumab. Publications were required to report the efficacy and/or safety outcomes of the biologic treatment. Publications describing the treatment of cases of PPP induced by exposure to biologic medications were excluded due to the likely distinct pathophysiology of drug-induced PPP.

Study Selection and Data Extraction

Three reviewers (E.S., I.S., E.L.) independently conducted publication selection (Fig. 1). Any discrepancies were resolved by an additional reviewer (W.L.). Studies were categorized based on the morphology of palmoplantar lesions. Study characteristics (author, year of publication, design, number of patients, intervention, duration of treatment, outcome, and key safety indicators) and subject characteristics (age, sex, comorbidities, morphological variant, severity at baseline, involvement of sites other than the palms and soles, and prior treatments) were extracted using a standardized data abstraction form designed for this review. Efficacy outcomes were recorded in Table 1, defined as a 50% reduction in the PPP Area and Severity Index (PPASI-50) if available, otherwise a 75% reduction in PPASI (PPASI-75) or an Investigator Global Assessment (IGA) score of 0/1 (cleared/minimal disease) was used. If two biologics were studied in one study, both were described in Tables 1, 2, 3, and 4 under the category of the primary biologic that was studied, but the efficacy data of both biologics were used to calculate the summary of clinical improvement outcomes in Table 5. Due to the heterogeneity of outcome measures, outcomes were reported as described by the authors of each publication.

Compliance with Ethics Guidelines

This article is based on previously conducted studies and does not involve any new studies of human or animal subjects performed by any of the authors.

RESULTS

The initial search yielded 731 articles. After excluding duplicates, we screened 579 reports by title and abstract, of which identified 76 articles for full-text review. Following the full-text review, we ultimately included 44 publications reporting the use of a biologic medication in the treatment of PP and PPP in the analysis, seven and two of which were randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and open-label trials, respectively (Table 1) [10–22]. The remaining publications were case reports or case series [20–53].

A total of 722 cases of hyperkeratotic PP, 63 cases of pustular PP, and 58 cases of PPP were included in the analysis. Almost all patients in
the included studies were adults. The specifics of age, gender, comorbidities, and previous therapies are shown in Tables 1, 2, 3 and 4. The previous use of systemic therapy was not consistently reported in all patients. Many patients received prior systemic therapy and some had received prior biologic therapy. Several subjects had responded to phototherapy, and nearly all had not responded to topical therapy.

**Efficacy**

The results of each publication are summarized in Tables 1, 2, 3 and 4. The characteristics describing each study are reported in Tables S1, S2, S3, and S4. The proportion of patients demonstrating clinical improvement is reported in Table 5.

**Hyperkeratotic PP**

In the RCT performed by Leonardi et al. [14], a greater number of patients with hyperkeratotic PP treated with adalimumab achieved a clinical score of clear or almost clear at 16 weeks compared to patients treated with placebo (30.6% vs. 4.3%; \( p = 0.01 \)). Response was maintained at 28 weeks by 80% of these subjects. Of the patients in the RCT or case reports who were treated with adalimumab, 94.7% demonstrated an overall clinical improvement. In another RCT by Bissonnette et al. [13], a greater proportion of patients with hyperkeratotic PP treated with infliximab achieved at least a 50% reduction in clinical severity at 14 weeks compared to patients treated with placebo (66.7% vs. 8.3%; \( p = 0.01 \)). These authors also reported that infliximab was superior to placebo in the reduction of mean area of involvement (50 decrease vs. 15% increase; \( p = 0.01 \)). Overall, 75% of all patients studied using infliximab demonstrated clinical improvement. In their clinical trial, Blauvelt et al. [15] observed a significant clinical clearance among those patients treated with guselkumab when compared to those receiving placebo at 16 weeks (85.1% reaching an IGA score of 0 or 1; \( p < 0.001 \)). Clinical improvement was observed in 90% of all patients studied receiving treatment with
| Reference, type of study | Number of subjects | Treatment | Treatment duration | Outcome | Safety/comments |
|--------------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------------------|---------|-----------------|
| **Adalimumab**           |                    |           |                    |         |                 |
| Leonardi 2011 [14], Double-blind randomized placebo-controlled trial | 72 | 2:1 adalimumab 80 mg SC at week 0 and 40 mg SC q 2 weeks thereafter vs. placebo | 16 weeks | 30.6% (15) adalimumab-treated subjects achieved hPGA of 0 or 1 at week 16 vs. 4.3% (1) placebo-treated subject ($p = 0.01$) | No AEs reported |
| **Alefacept**            |                    |           |                    |         |                 |
| Myers 2005 [21], case report | 2 | Alefacept (15 mg IM once weekly) | 12 weeks | (1) complete resolution at 5 weeks; (2) improvement at 10 weeks, reduced scaling, redness, inflammation, plaque thickness | No AEs reported; 2 = some recurrence when alefacept stopped, requiring restarting treatment for 10 additional weeks |
| **Etanercept**           |                    |           |                    |         |                 |
| Meyer 2011 [25], case report | 1 | Alitretinoin 30 mg PO daily + etanercept 50 mg SC weekly | 13 months | Marked reduction within 4 weeks, complete resolution in 8 weeks | No AEs reported |
| **Guselkumab**           |                    |           |                    |         |                 |
| Blauvelt 2017 [15], VOYAGE1 RCT (subset of 837 psoriasis patients) | 100 | Guselkumab (2:1:2 randomization, 100 mg at week 0, 4, then q 8 weeks) or placebo to guselkumab (placebo weeks 0, 4, 12 then guselkumab weeks 16, 20 then q8 weeks), or adalimumab 80 mg week 0, then 40 mg week 1, then 40 mg q 2 weeks) | 16, 24, or 48 weeks$^a$ | At week 16, guselkumab 73.3% reached IGA 0/1 vs. adalimumab 55.8%, vs. placebo 14%; week 24, guselkumab IGA 0/1 78.9% vs. adalimumab 56.8%; week 48, guselkumab IGA 0/1 75.6% vs. adalimumab 62.1% | Overall cohort: Nasopharyngitis, URI, cellulitis (2 in adalimumab group), basal cell carcinoma (1 in guselkumab group), 2 myocardial infarctions |
### Table 1 continued

| Reference, type of study | Number of subjects | Treatment | Treatment duration | Outcome | Safety/comments |
|--------------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------------------|---------|-----------------|
| **Infliximab**           |                    |           |                    |         |                 |
| Bissonnette 2011 [13], double-blind randomized placebo-controlled trial | 24 | 1:1 infliximab 5 mg/kg IV at week 0, 2, 6, 14, 22 vs. placebo at weeks 0, 2, 6, then infliximab at weeks 14, 16, 20 | 14 or 22 weeks | At week 14, 33.3% achieved m-PPPASI-75 and 66.7% achieved m-PPPASI-50 vs. 8.3% for either ($p = 0.317$ and $p = 0.009$); 50.3% reduction in mean surface area vs. 14.9% increase with placebo | 3 SAEs: 1 hepatitis, 1 cellulitis, 1 sternum fracture |
| Brunasso 2012 [33], case series | 5 | Infliximab 5 mg/kg at weeks 0, 2, 6, and every 8 weeks thereafter | 30 weeks | At week 14, mean m-PPPASI improved 27.69%; at week 30, mean m-PPPASI improved 41.2% | No AEs reported; compared changes in m-PPPASI with PASI: PASI improved 86.8% and 89.11% at weeks 14 and 30, respectively |
| **Izekizumab**           |                    |           |                    |         |                 |
| Menter 2017 [17], UNCOVER RCT | 206 | Izekizumab (1:1:1 randomization, 160 mg at week 0, 80 mg every 2 or 4 weeks); etanercept (2:2:1 randomization, 160 mg ixeikizumab at week 0, then 80 mg every 2 or 4 weeks, 50 mg etanercept twice weekly) | 12’, 48, or 60 weeks | At week 12, PPASI improvement of 80% with ixeikizumab vs. placebo (28.1%) or vs. etanercept (53%) ($p < 0.05$ for all comparisons) Greater PPASI-50 improvement with ixeikizumab (80%) vs. placebo (32.9%) or vs. etanercept (67.8%). Greater PPASI-75 improvement with ixeikizumab (70%) vs. placebo (18.8%) or vs. etanercept (44.1%), ($p < 0.05$ for all comparisons) | No AEs reported |
| Reference, type of study | Number of subjects | Treatment | Treatment duration | Outcome | Safety/comments |
|-------------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------------------|---------|-----------------|
| **Secukinumab**         |                    |           |                    |         |                 |
| Gottlieb 2017 [16], GESTURE RCT | 137 | Secukinumab (1:1:1 randomization, 300 mg, 150 mg or placebo) | 16 weeks | PPIGA 0 (clear) or 1 (minimal): 33.3% with 300 mg regimen, 22.1% with 150 mg regimen, 1.5% on placebo ($p < 0.001$ and $p = 0.002$, respectively vs. placebo). DLQI 0/1 higher w secukinumab 300 mg (26.6%) and 150 mg (16.9%) vs. placebo (1.5%), $p < 0.0001$ and $p < 0.005$ | SAEs: 150 mg 5.9%, 300 mg 2.9%, placebo 2.9%; AEs (40): Headache (17), narpharyngitis (11), URI (10), Candida (3) |
| Paul 2014 [18], RCT     | 103 (46 patients in early regimen) | Secukinumab (1:2:2:1 randomization, 150 mg SC of either single (week 0), monthly (weeks 0, 4, 8), early (weeks 0, 1, 2, 4) or placebo) | 12 weeks | IGA response of 0 (clear) or 1 (minimal) + improvement of ≥ 2 points; Early regimen response: 54.0% | No AEs reported |
| **Ustekinumab**         |                    |           |                    |         |                 |
| Heinecke 2013 [41], case series | 2 (subset of 22 psoriasis patients) | Ustekinumab 45 mg or 90 mg SC at weeks 0, 4, and every 12 weeks thereafter + acitretin PO | Not described | Both patients demonstrate "excellent control" with only mild scaling | No AEs related to PP subjects reported |
| Nuno-Gonzalez 2012 [42], case report | 1 | Ustekinumab 45 mg SC at weeks 0, 4, and every 12 weeks thereafter | 12 months | Complete resolution at 16 weeks, maintained clear at 12 months | No AEs reported |
In three phase 3 trials conducted by Menter et al. [17], a greater proportion of the patients treated with ixekizumab showed clinical improvement compared to those treated with etanercept or those receiving placebo (PPASI-50: 80 vs. 67.8 vs. 32.9, respectively; \( p < 0.05 \)). Overall, 82.5% of the patients studied who were using ixekizumab demonstrated clinical improvement. Significant clinical clearance was achieved in a RCT that compared secukinumab at a dose of 300 or 150 mg to placebo [palmoplantar psoriasis IGA (PPIGA) score of 0 or 1: 33.3% (300 mg dose), 22.1% (150 mg dose), vs. 1.5%; \( p < 0.001 \) and \( p = 0.002 \), respectively] [16]. The proportion of patients in all studies demonstrating clinical improvement after completing treatment with secukinumab was 89.1%. In an uncontrolled open label study of ustekinumab, 20% patients with hyperkeratotic PP achieved clinical clearance after 16 weeks of therapy [10]. Clinical clearance was achieved by 50% of patients receiving a 90 mg dosage regimen, while no patients receiving a 45 mg regimen achieved clearance.

A number of case series and case reports describe effective treatment of hyperkeratotic PP with etanercept, alefacept, infliximab, and ustekinumab (Tables 1, 4) [21, 25, 26, 33, 34, 41–43, 52].

### Pustular PP

In a small RCT by Bissonnette et al. [11], ustekinumab 45 mg was not superior to placebo in achieving at least a 50% reduction in clinical severity among patients with pustular PP after 16 weeks of therapy (\( p = 1.00 \)). In an open label study by Au et al. [10], half of the patients with pustular PP treated with ustekinumab achieved clinical clearance after 16 weeks of therapy. A greater proportion of patients receiving a 90 mg regimen of ustekinumab achieved clearance compared to those receiving a 45 mg regimen (80% vs. 20%). In another open label study, 54.5% of patients with pustular or hyperkeratotic PP who were treated with adalimumab reached clinical clearance after 12 weeks of therapy [19].
## Table 2: Pustular palmoplantar psoriasis, efficacy and safety of biologic agents

| Reference, type of study | Number of subjects | Treatment | Treatment duration | Outcome | Safety/comments |
|--------------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------------------|---------|-----------------|
| **Adalimumab**           |                    |           |                    |         |                 |
| Ghate 2009 [24], case report | 1                 | Adalimumab 40 mg SC q 2 weeks | 6 months | At week 16, BSA decreased from 4 to 1%, resolution of PsA symptoms; 8 months after d/c, no joint symptoms or PP | No SAEs reported; mild, diffuse scalp alopecia (leading to d/c at 6 months) |
| **Etanercept**           |                    |           |                    |         |                 |
| Ahmad 2007 [27], case series | 1 (subset of 49 psoriasis patients) | Etanercept 25 mg or 50 mg SC BIW | Total mean 58.2 weeks | Failed | Unclear |
| Floristan 2011 [28], case report | 1                | Etanercept 0.4 mg/kg SC BIW for 8 months increased to 0.6 mg/kg SC BIW | 12 months | Slow but progressive improvement over first month; at 12 months “striking improvement” of plantar lesions | No AEs reported |
| Kitamura 2009 [30], case report | 1                | Etanercept 50 mg SC BIW + efalizumb 80 mg weekly | ≥ 11 months | Psoriasis and PsA “very well- controlled” (but pustular PP not responsive to etanercept alone) | 1 SAE: reactivation TB |
| **Infliximab**           |                    |           |                    |         |                 |
| Kamili 2011 [35], case series | 6 (subset of 120 psoriasis patients) | Infliximab 5 mg/kg IV at weeks 0, 2, 6 and every 8 weeks thereafter | At least 1 year | 2 patients with “complete responses” | Unclear |
| Wozel 2008 [37], case report | 1                | Infliximab 5 mg/kg IV at week 0, 2, 6 and every 8 weeks thereafter | 8 months | “Marked improvement” 4 days after starting infliximab, with “severe” relapse at 8 months | No SAEs reported |
| Ahmad 2006 [39], case series | 1 (series of 12 psoriasis patients) | Infliximab 5 mg/kg IV at week 0, 2, 6 and every 8 weeks thereafter | Not described | Excellent improvement after third infusion | No SAEs reported; discontinued due to elevated liver function tests |
In addition, case series and case reports describe effective treatment of pustular PP with adalimumab, etanercept, infliximab, and ustekinumab [24, 26, 28, 30, 34, 35, 37, 39, 45, 51, 52, 54]. In contrast, other case reports show ineffective treatment with adalimumab or mixed responses to ustekinumab (Tables 2, 4) [27, 54].

Palmoplantar Pustulosis

In a RCT of patients with PPP conducted by Bissonnette et al. [12], treatment with etanercept was not found to be superior to placebo at the primary endpoint of 12 weeks of therapy (p = 0.426). Interestingly, smoking may have played a role in treatment efficacy, as the authors noted that three of three nonsmokers achieved clinical improvement with etanercept therapy while only three of seven active smokers demonstrated improvement. In a small RCT by Bissonnette et al. [11], ustekinumab 45 mg was not found to be superior to placebo at 16 weeks of therapy (p = 1.00).

Case reports and case series describe effective treatment of PPP with etanercept, infliximab, and ustekinumab [20, 29, 31, 32, 46–50, 53, 54]. Multiple reports of treatment with infliximab describe a period of initial improvement with eventual recurrence (Tables 3, 4) [36, 38, 40].

Safety

Serious adverse events (SAEs) were infrequently reported. The majority of cases occurred in patients treated with infliximab, and the SAEs included cellulitis, hepatitis, an urticarial infusion reaction, a serum sickness-like infusion reaction, and autoimmune hepatitis [13, 34, 36, 38]. One subject with a history of a positive tubercul skin test developed reactivation tuberculosis while undergoing treatment with etanercept for pustular PP [30]. In the GESTURE RCT that used secukinumab as treatment for hyperkeratotic PP, 5.9% of patients developed SAEs while on a 150 mg therapeutic regimen and 2.9% of patients developed SAEs while on a 300 mg therapeutic regimen, compared to 2.9% that developed SAEs while using...
| Reference, type of study | Number of subjects | Treatment | Treatment duration | Outcome | Safety/comments |
|--------------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------------------|---------|-----------------|
| Olazagasti 2017 [22], retrospective cohort study | 8 | Adalimumab, etanercept, infliximab | 1996–2013 | Partial response to adalimumab (2); no response to adalimumab (2); no response to etanercept (3); partial response to infliximab (1) | No AEs reported |
| He 2012 [23], case report | 1 | Adalimumab 40 mg SC q 2 weeks + methotrexate 15 mg weekly | 1 month | Response of PP not described | No SAEs reported; acneiform eruption, alopecia areata, and urticaria after third injection leading to d/c |
| Bissonnette 2008 [12], Double-blind randomized placebo-controlled trial | 15 | 2:1 etanercept 50 mg SC BIW week 0–24 vs. placebo weeks 0–12 then etanercept 50 mg SC BIW weeks 12–24 | 6 vs. 3 months | Significant decrease in median PPPASI at week 24 in etanercept group; no significant difference between groups at 12 weeks (primary end point) ($p = 0.426$) | No SAEs reported; Decreased PPPASI in 3/3 nonsmokers (increased PPPASI in 4/7 smokers) in etanercept group at 12 weeks |
| Lopez-Estebaranz 2010 [29], case report | 1 | Etanercept 50 mg SC BIW for 12 weeks, then weekly for 12 weeks | 6 months | At week 12, complete resolution; maintained clearance for 6 months after discontinuation of etanercept | No AEs reported |
| Kasche 2007 [31], case report | 1 | Etanercept 25 mg SC BIW | 7 months | “Sudden and dramatic improvement” at 2 weeks; restarted due to flare after d/c with “dramatic and rapid improvement” after 1 week | No SAEs reported |
| Weinberg 2003 [32], Case report | 1 | Etanercept 25 mg SC BIW | 19 weeks | At 19 weeks, “almost total clearing” of hands with “mild to moderate scaling” of feet, resolution of PsA symptoms | No AEs reported |
| Reference, type of study | Number of subjects | Treatment | Treatment duration | Outcome | Safety/comments |
|--------------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------------------|---------|-----------------|
| Abourazzak 2014 [49], case report | 1 | Etanercept 25 mg twice weekly | 12 months | Resolved; "good improvement within the first month" | No AEs reported |
| Infliximab | | | | | |
| Burgemeister 2012 [20], case series | 2 (subset of 3 PPP) | Infliximab (5 mg/kg q 8 weeks) | Not reported | complete resolution | No AEs reported |
| Aljuhani 2015 [50], case series | 2 (subset of 20 PPP) | (1) Infliximab; (2) adalimumab, etanercept | (1) 3 years; (2) 9 months | (1) completely resolved; (2) no response to adalimumab, then switched to etanercept with no response | No AEs reported |
| Yawalkar 2009 [36], Case report | 1 | Infliximab 5 mg/kg IV at weeks 0, 2, 6; then at week 14 adalimumab 40 mg SC q 2 weeks then 40 mg SC weekly | 6 weeks infliximab, then ≥ 3 months adalimumab | "Marked improvement" at 2 weeks with recurrence at week 14; slower but satisfactory clinical response with adalimumab | 1 SAE: infusion related reaction with polyarthalgia, myalgia and fever at week 6 leading to discontinuation |
| Fairhurst 2008 [38], Case report | 1 | Infliximab 5 mg/kg IV at week 0, 2, 6 | 6 weeks | "Dramatic improvement" after first 2 infusions, "deterioration" after third infusion | 1 SAE: autoimmune hepatitis |
| Barland 2003 [40], Case report | 1 | Infliximab 5 mg/kg IV monthly months 0–4, infliximab 10 mg/kg IV monthly months 5–6, then infliximab 10 mg/kg IV monthly + methotrexate 7.5 mg PO weekly | Not reported | Initial "dramatic response" followed by relapse; addition of methotrexate led to "virtually absent" lesions within 2 weeks with "lasting remission" | No AEs reported |
| Reference, type of study | Treatment duration | Safety/comments |
|-------------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| Ustekinumab | 14 months | 95% clearance after 14 months | No AEs reported |
| Torre 2017 [53], Case report | Adalimumab (40 mg SC every other week × 4 months then 40 mg weekly × 6 months) + Mycophenolate (90 mg on day 1, 28, then q 3 months) | Ustekinumab (90 mg on day after 10 months at days 1, 28, then q 3 months) | Clinical improvement noted at 3 weeks and clearance achieved at 16 weeks; sustained response at 12 months | No AEs reported |
| Pinto-Almeida 2013 [46], Case report | 12 months | Ustekinumab 45 mg SC at weeks 0, 4, and every 12 weeks thereafter | Clinical improvement noted at 3 weeks and clearance achieved at 16 weeks; sustained response at 12 months | No AEs reported |
| de Unamuno-Bustos 2011 [47], Case report | 8 months | Ustekinumab 45 mg SC q 12 weeks | After 2 doses “almost complete clearance”; remained clear of lesions at 8 months | No AEs reported |
| Gerdes 2010 [48], Case report | 2 months to unclear | Ustekinumab 45 mg or 90 mg (if C < 100 kg) SC q 12 weeks | Failure in 2 subjects (1 and 2); slow improvement in 1 subject (palms clear but soles still affected at 3 months); decreased pustules and involved area of both soles at 3 months | No AEs reported |
Table 4  Hyperkeratotic PP, pustular PP, and/or PPP, efficacy and safety of biologic agents

| Reference, type of study | Number of subjects | Treatment | Treatment duration | Outcome | Safety/comments |
|--------------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------------------|---------|-----------------|
| Adalimumab               | Richetta 2012 [19], open label study | 11 (hyperkeratotic or pustular PP; variant not reported) | Adalimumab (40 mg q 2 weeks) | 12 weeks | PGA improvement 54.5%, mean PGA: 1.09, PGA 0 36.1%; DLQI improvement: 72.3%, mean DLQI: 7.45, ≥ 50% DLQI improvement 45.5% | No AEs reported |
| Anakinra                 | Tauber 2014 [52], case report | (1) pustular PP, (2) hyperkeratotic PP | Anakinra (100 mg SC) | (1) 3 months; (2) 1 month | (1) Partial response to PPASI 20.7 and DLQI 13 at 2 weeks but relapsed at 3 months, stopped due to lack of efficacy/recurrence; (2) Partial response to PPASI 13.5 at 1 mo, stopped due to fever without cause | (1) relapse of pustular lesions; (2) AE of fever |
| Etanercept               | Spuls 2003 [26], Case series | 1 (etanercept) and 1 (infliximab) (subset of 26 patients with PP; hyperkeratotic or pustular variant not reported) | (1) Etanercept; (2) infliximab | Not described | (1) Complete resolution; (2) Complete resolution | No AEs reported |
| Infliximab               | Di Lernia 2010 [34], Case series | 3 (hyperkeratotic PP), 1 (PPP) | Infliximab 5 mg/kg IV at week 0, 2, 6 and every 8 weeks thereafter | 10–16 months | At 16 weeks, (1) PPPASI-100; (2) and (3) PPPASI-75; (4) PPPASI-50 | 1 SAE: infusion-related urticarial reaction in patient 2 at week 46 leading to discontinuation |
Table 4 continued

| Reference, type of study | Number of subjects | Treatment | Treatment duration | Outcome | Safety/comments |
|--------------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------------------|---------|-----------------|
| **Ustekinumab**          |                    |           |                    |         |                 |
| Bissonnette 2013 [11], Double-blind randomized placebo-controlled trial | 10 (pustular PP), 5 (PPP) | 1:1 ustekinumab 45 mg SC at weeks 0, 4, and 16 vs. placebo at weeks 0 and 4, then ustekinumab 45 mg SC at weeks 16 and 20 | 28 weeks (primary endpoint at week 16) | At week 16, 10% of subjects with pustular PP achieved PPPASI-50 vs. 20% in placebo group ($p = 1.00$); 20% of subjects with PPP achieved PPPASI-50 vs. 37.5% in placebo group ($p = 1.00$) | No SAEs reported; 1 leg cellulitis (possibly related) and 1 pneumonia (unrelated) |
| Au 2013 [10], Open-label prospective trial | 10 (hyperkeratotic PP), 10 (pustular PP) | Ustekinumab 45 mg for body weight < 100 kg, 90 mg for body weight ≥ 100 kg SC at weeks 0, 4, 16 | 16 weeks | At week 16, 35% (7/20) achieved clinical clearance, 60% (12) Palm-Sole PGA improved ≥ 2 points | No related SAEs reported; 67% receiving 90 mg achieved clinical clearance vs. 9% of those on 45 mg |
| Bertelsen 2014 [54], Case series | 6 (pustular PP), 5 (PPP) | Ustekinumab 45 mg SC at weeks 0, 4, and every 12 weeks thereafter | Up to 44 months | Pustular PP: 1 Complete resolution (1), partial response (3), no response (1), progression (1); PPP: Partial response (3), no response (1), progression (1) | Flu-like symptoms, headache, fatigue (1); no difference reported in response between patients with palmoplantar pustular psoriasis and palmoplantar pustulosis |

AE Adverse event, BSA body surface area, DLQI Dermatology Life Quality Index, F female, hPGA Physician Global Assessment of the hand and foot, HTN hypertension, IGA Investigator Global Assessment, IM intramuscular, IV intravenous, M male, m-PPASI modified Palmoplantar Pustulosis Area and Severity Index, PASI Psoriasis Area and Severity Index, PGA Physician Global Assessment, PO orally, PP palmoplantar psoriasis, PPIGA palmoplantar psoriasis Investigator Global Assessment, PPP palmoplantar pustulosis, PsA psoriatic arthritis, PUVA psoralen + ultraviolet A, q every, RCT randomized controlled trial, SAE serious adverse event, SC subcutaneous, URI upper respiratory infection UVA ultraviolet A, UVB ultraviolet B

* Primary endpoint of study
| Medication   | Variant treated | Total number of cases | Cases in which patients demonstrated improvement\(^a\) | Serious adverse events |
|--------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Adalimumab   | Hyperkeratotic PP [14, 15] | 150                   | 142 (94.7%)                                     | No SAEs reported       |
|              | Pustular PP [24] | 1                     | 1 (100.0%)                                      | No SAEs reported       |
|              | PPP [22, 23, 50, 53] | 7                     | 2 (28.6%)                                       | No SAEs reported       |
|              | Total\(^b\)     | 169                   | 152 (89.9%)                                     |                        |
| Alefacept    | Hyperkeratotic PP [21] | 2                     | 2 (100.0%)                                      | No SAEs reported       |
|              | Pustular PP      | 0                     | 0                                                |                        |
|              | PPP              | 0                     | 0                                                |                        |
|              | Total            | 2                     | 2 (100.0%)                                      |                        |
| Anakinra     | Hyperkeratotic PP [52] | 1                     | 1 (100.0%)                                      | No SAEs reported       |
|              | Pustular PP [52] | 1                     | 1 (100.0%)                                      | No SAEs reported       |
|              | PPP              | 0                     | 0                                                |                        |
|              | Total            | 2                     | 2 (100.0%)                                      |                        |
| Etanercept   | Hyperkeratotic PP [17, 25] | 60                    | 41 (68.3%)                                      | No SAEs reported       |
|              | Pustular PP [27, 28, 30] | 3                     | 2 (66.7%)                                       | Reactivation of latent TB |
|              | PPP [12, 22, 29, 31, 32, 49] | 23                   | 13 (56.5%)                                      | No SAEs reported       |
|              | Total\(^b\)     | 87                    | 57 (65.5%)                                      |                        |
| Guselkumab   | Hyperkeratotic PP [15] | 100                   | 90 (90.0%)                                      | No SAEs reported       |
|              | Pustular PP      | 0                     | 0                                                |                        |
|              | PPP              | 0                     | 0                                                |                        |
|              | Total            | 100                   | 90 (90.0%)                                      |                        |
| Infliximab   | Hyperkeratotic PP [13, 33, 34] | 32                    | 24 (75.0%)                                      | Cellulitis, hepatitis, infusion-related urticarial reaction |
|              | Pustular PP [35, 37, 39] | 8                     | 4 (50.0%)                                       |                        |
|              | PPP [20, 22, 34, 36, 38, 40, 50] | 8                     | 8 (100.0%)                                      | Serum sickness-like infusion reaction, autoimmune hepatitis |
|              | Total\(^b\)     | 49                    | 37 (75.5%)                                      |                        |

\(^a\) Adis
placebo. However, the authors of this study did not report the statistical significance of the SAEs. None of these SAEs were cardiac-related, and there were no opportunistic infections or fatalities [16].

**Special Populations**

Three patients with chronic hepatitis C virus (HCV) were treated with biologic medications for PP without hepatologic complications [30, 34, 45]. One patient with chronic HCV displayed an infusion-related urticarial reaction during infliximab treatment, leading to discontinuation of the medication [34]. One patient with comorbid untreated latent tuberculosis developed reactivation tuberculosis after 4 years of therapy with etanercept [34]. One pediatric patient was treated with etanercept with no reported SAEs [28].

**DISCUSSION**

The advent of biologic medications has greatly enhanced the treatment of moderate to severe plaque psoriasis. Current evidence suggests that biologic agents may also be effective therapeutic options for the treatment of hyperkeratotic PP, with less evidence supporting their use in pustular PP and PPP.

For hyperkeratotic PP, results from RCTs (level 1 evidence) suggest that adalimumab,
guselkumab, ixekizumab, infliximab, and secukinumab are effective treatment options. While ustekinumab has not been evaluated in a RCT of patients with hyperkeratotic PP, in an open label study (level 3 evidence), one-half of patients receiving a 90 mg regimen achieved clinical clearance.

For pustular PP, ustekinumab 45 mg did not appear to be more effective than placebo (level 1 evidence) in patients participating in a small RCT. However, the majority of patients (80%) with pustular PP receiving a 90 mg regimen of ustekinumab in an open label study did achieve clinical clearance [10]. With the exception of ustekinumab, limited information on pustular PP treatment can be found in the literature. We found only eight pustular PP patients treated with infliximab, three patients with etanercept, and one patient each treated with adalimumab and anakinra. We found no reports of pustular PP treatment with alefacept, guselkumab, ixekizumab, or secukinumab. Of note, in all of the pustular PP case reports, patients were treated with the standard dose of biologic for plaque psoriasis. The lack of response in many of these cases suggests the possibility that pustular PP may require higher doses of biologics than hyperkeratotic PP or body plaque psoriasis in order to achieve efficacy.

For the treatment of PPP, the results of two small RCTs suggest that treatment with etanercept and ustekinumab 45 mg may not be more effective than placebo (level 1 evidence). However, the study of ustekinumab included only five patients in the active treatment arm, and no patient received a 90 mg regimen of this biologic [11, 54]. Overall, infliximab appeared to have the greatest efficacy for PPP compared to other biologics, followed by ustekinumab. It is important to note that the quality of these conclusions is limited since most of the data were from case reports or case series.

Although case series and case reports offer less rigorous evidence for the efficacy of biologic agents in PP and PPP, they do illustrate a few notable trends. For example, ustekinumab has been shown to be effective in multiple cases of PP and PPP refractory to tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α) inhibitor therapy [10, 43, 45, 47, 48]. Additionally, infliximab appears to have a higher risk of SAEs compared to other biologics, and it may also demonstrate loss of efficacy over the course of treatment [13, 34, 36–38, 40]. In one RCT, patients treated with secukinumab 150 mg showed a greater percentage of SAEs than those receiving placebo (5.9% vs 2.9%, respectively), but there was no dose effect, with the secukinumab 300 mg group having a SAE rate of 2.9%, which was identical to that of the group receiving placebo [16]. These data indicate that secukinumab may not be truly associated with SAEs, since there is not an observable dose–response relationship or trend.

Importantly, while there have been reports of new-onset PPP or exacerbation of existing PPP during TNF-α inhibitor therapy [55–58], only one clearly reported case of exacerbation of PPP, in response to infliximab, was identified in our review of patients with baseline PP and PPP [37]. In one RCT, four patients (40.0%) with PPP treated with etanercept experienced increases in disease severity over the first 12 weeks of treatment, but it is not clear whether these were drug-induced exacerbations or simply reflective of a nonresponse to treatment and disease progression [12].

Notably, two recent studies based on sub-analysis of Phase II data for secukinumab demonstrated high rates of response among patients with hyperkeratotic PP, with up to 71% of patients achieving clinically significant improvement [59, 60]. Further studies of novel biologic agents developed for the treatment of moderate to severe plaque psoriasis may yield new therapeutic options for PP and PPP.

The difference in response to biologics observed between PP and PPP may be explained by some notable differences in their genetic profiles. The psoriasis susceptibility gene locus (PSORS1) that is strongly linked to psoriasis is not found in patients with PPP. Additionally, both a missense mutation in the interleukin (IL)-36 receptor antagonist (IL36RN) and caspase recruitment domain family member 14 (CARD14) have been identified in patients with PPP, which could influence patient response to treatment with biologics [9, 61]. However, both PP and PPP involve IL-17 as a mediator of inflammation, in addition to interferon-gamma.
and TNF-α. The shared histologic features of the diseases, consisting of spongiform pustules and inflammatory infiltrates, may account for some of the overlap in treatment response and clinical appearance [7, 9]. There is a need for future studies to explore these genetic differences further.

Several limitations to our analysis make it difficult to assess the efficacy of biologic medications in PP and PPP. First, patients with PP and PPP are often excluded from clinical trials due to recruitment requirements that patients be diagnosed with stable plaque psoriasis with no pustular component and demonstrate involvement of at least 10% of the body surface area. Second, some of the RCTs using biologics for these skin diseases, especially for pustular PP, although completed, are not published yet and therefore could not be included in our review. Third, reporting bias in case reports and case series makes it difficult to determine the true rates of response to biologic agents. Fourth, differences in the use of metrics to quantify the severity of PP and PPP impose challenges when comparing rates of response across studies. In addition, only one small RCT was available for pustular PP and another for PPP, with the majority of RCTs specific to hyperkeratotic PP.

Currently, a number of different scales are used to assess the severity of PP and PPP, and in many case reports and case series no metrics are used at all. Future studies should attempt to standardize the heterogeneity of clinical metrics to allow for a more rigorous comparison of the efficacy of biologic medications in PP and PPP. In some RCTs, only mean changes in clinical scores are reported without information on patient-specific responses. In the most basic schema, the number of patients who achieve clearance and the number who demonstrate objective improvement should be reported. Further, studies should consistently report the presence or absence of psoriasis at other body areas and stratify results based on this information.

Nonetheless, patient reported outcomes and functional metrics, such as the survey developed by Farley et al., may be more important than visual metrics in evaluating response to treatment in PP and PPP [5]. Complete clearance may not be necessary if patients achieve sufficient improvement to perform activities of daily living and occupational tasks without pain or discomfort [2].

CONCLUSION

Overall, biologics are effective and well-tolerated for the treatment of hyperkeratotic PP, as demonstrated by the > 80% efficacy for adalimumab, guselkumab, ixekizumab, secukinumab, and ustekinumab. The strong support for effective hyperkeratotic PP treatment is derived from multiple large RCTs, and thus providers may consider tailoring their treatment to include biologics earlier when a patient presents with this recalcitrant chronic disease. Infliximab and ustekinumab showed moderate efficacy for pustular PP, but the data were limited to small trials or case reports. Less data are available for the treatment of PPP; however, to date infliximab is the most efficacious treatment. Future studies are needed to further assess the efficacy of biologic medications in the treatment of PP and PPP. In addition, future research should be performed to compare the efficacy and safety of biologics with traditional systemic therapy and phototherapy for these debilitating and therapeutically challenging conditions.
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