Molecular markers from the chloroplast genome of rose provide a complementary tool for variety discrimination and profiling
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The rose is one of the most important ornamental woody plants because of its extensive use and high economic value. Herein, we sequenced a complete chloroplast genome of the miniature rose variety *Rosa* 'Margo Koster' and performed comparative analyses with sequences previously published for other species in the Rosaceae family. The chloroplast genome of *Rosa* 'Margo Koster', with a size of 157,395 bp, has a circular quadripartite structure typical of angiosperm chloroplast genomes and contains a total of 81 protein-coding genes, 30 tRNA genes and 4 rRNA genes. Conjunction regions in the chloroplast genome of *Rosa* 'Margo Koster' were verified and manually corrected by Sanger sequencing. Comparative genome analysis showed that the IR contraction and expansion events resulted in *rps19* and *ycf1* pseudogenes. The phylogenetic analysis within the *Rosa* genus showed that *Rosa* 'Margo Koster' is closer to *Rosa odorata* than to other *Rosa* species. Additionally, we identified and screened highly divergent sequences and cpSSRs and compared their power to discriminate rose varieties by Sanger sequencing and capillary electrophoresis. The results showed that 15 cpSSRs are polymorphic, but their discriminating power is only moderate among a set of rose varieties. However, more than 150 single nucleotide variations (SNVs) were discovered in the flanking region of cpSSRs, and the results indicated that these SNVs have a higher divergence and stronger power for profiling rose varieties. These findings suggest that nucleotide mutations in the chloroplast genome may be an effective and powerful tool for rose variety discrimination and DNA profiling. These molecular markers in the chloroplast genome sequence of *Rosa* spp. will facilitate population and phylogenetic studies and other related studies of this species.

Chloroplasts are vital and unique components of photosynthetic cells in plants and algae. They are responsible for multiple functions, e.g., assimilation of carbon and nitrogen, synthesis of amino acids and fatty acids, etc., and play important roles in plant growth and development1. Chloroplasts may have originated from cyanobacteria through endosymbiosis2,3, and chloroplasts possess their own deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA). In the majority of plant species, the chloroplast genome (cp genome) is inherited maternally, with the exception of certain gymnosperms, in which the cp genome is paternally inherited4. In recent years, knowledge about the organization and evolution of the cp genome has rapidly improved because of the development of DNA sequencing technology and bioinformatics methods. The cp genome is relatively conserved in angiosperms, and its size ranges from 107 to 218 kb, including 100–200 encoding genes5. A typical angiosperm cp genome has circular DNA, including two small inverted repeats (IRa and IRb), a large single copy (LSC) region and a small single copy (SSC) region6. Compared to nuclear DNA, the cp genome is small, single-stranded, relatively conserved and has uniparental inheritance, and its nucleotide mutation rate is moderate. Variation in the cp genome arises from the expansion or contraction of IRs and the length of the intergenic spacers7,8. The cp genome is considered to be conserved in
Single nucleotide variations (SNVs) were screened and verified by capillary electrophoresis (CE) and Sanger sequencing in discriminating and profiling modern rose varieties. Therefore, we constructed a complete cp genome of putative hybrid progeny. Using the associated cp genome sequence in combination with nuclear genomic data on sequence diversity. Furthermore, few studies have focused on molecular markers from the cp genome of roses. To identify hybrids, chloroplast markers alone may be insufficient, as these markers are haploid and a source of data on sequence diversity. The increased number of varieties and decreased morphologic and genetic differences among varieties make it difficult to manage and discriminate rose varieties according to phenotypic differences, which is considered as the technical basis of distinctness, uniformity, and stability (DUS) examination in the current UPOV system. For example, rose plants must be submitted in the form of young plants that meet specific standards for field trials; these plants must be observed and examined for at least one growing cycle, based on 50 morphological characteristics. However, the emergence of molecular markers may provide new insight into understanding and solving these practical problems because molecular markers can reveal additional information on genetic variation. Previous reports have indicated that DNA evidence may provide exciting insight into the evolutionary process of Rosa species and identify the root of the original Rosa species and modern varieties. Previous studies have reported that analyses of molecular markers from the nuclear genome are powerful and effective approaches for discriminating rose varieties and establishing DNA profiles. For example, random-amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD), amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) and simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers and sequenced tagged microsatellite site (STMS) markers have been used to construct the rose DNA database. However, to date, only a few cp genomes of Rosa spp. have been published in the GenBank database, which is a source of data on sequence diversity. Furthermore, few studies have focused on molecular markers from the cp genome of roses. To identify hybrids, chloroplast markers alone may be insufficient, as these markers are haploid and only provide maternal data; nevertheless, these markers may be useful tools for identifying a maternal parent of a putative hybrid progeny. Using the associated cp genome sequence in combination with nuclear genomic markers to construct rose DNA profiles could be helpful in the management and discrimination of varieties.

In this paper, we hypothesized that the molecular markers and sequence diversity of the cp genome may assist in discriminating and profiling modern rose varieties. Therefore, we constructed a complete cp genome of Rosa ‘Margo Koster’ using next-generation sequencing with a de novo and reference-guided assembly strategy. The cp genome of Rosa ‘Margo Koster’ was compared and analyzed with the genomes of other species or varieties in the Rosa genus. Moreover, a complete cp genome of Rosa ‘Margo Koster’ and characterizations of SSRs and single nucleotide variations (SNVs) were screened and verified by capillary electrophoresis (CE) and Sanger sequencing, and their discriminating power was calculated and compared in a set of rose varieties.

**Results**

**Genome sequence generation and PCR-based validation.** More than 1.190.28 million reads (approximately 11 Gb of clean data) were generated by the Illumina HiSeq2500 platform for Rosa ‘Margo Koster’. The data were used to assemble the cp genome with a high mean coverage. After de novo and reference-guided assembly, the complete cp genome with a size of 157,395 bps was generated. Four regions and their conjunctions were validated using PCR-based Sanger sequencing, and we also corrected the errors via PCR-based validation. We designed 35 pairs of primers based on the variation in regions of alignments to validate these sequences (see Table S1); the gel photo of the PCR products are shown in Figure S1. The validated sequences amounted to 28,662 bp. We compared these sequences to the assembled genome and found two nucleotide mismatches that were corrected before submission to the GenBank database (accession No. MN435990).

**General cp genome characterization and annotation.** The cp genome displayed a typical quadripartite structure, consisting of one LSC region with a length of 87,710 bp, one SSC region with a length of 18,849 bp and a pair of IR regions (IRa and IRb) with a length of 25,418 bp each (Fig. 1). The overall GC content of the cp genome was 37.19%. Among the LSC, SSC and IR regions, the highest GC content was found in the IR regions (43.34%), and the GC content of the LSC and SSC regions was 35.19%, and 31.20%, respectively. More rRNA and tRNA genes, which have high GC content, could explain the higher GC content in the IR region than other regions. Thymine (T) and adenine (A) preferences in the third position of the codon were observed in the cp genome, and codon usage is shown in Table S2 and Figures S2 and S3. This event could be a result of an A + T rich genome, which has been also observed in other plants.  

The gene content and order are illustrated in Fig. 1 and Table 1. There are a total of 115 genes, including 81 protein-coding genes, 30 tRNA genes and 4 rRNA genes. Seven protein-coding genes, 7 tRNA genes, and 4 rRNAs were duplicated in the IR regions. The LSC region contained 22 tRNA genes and 63 protein-coding genes, and the SSC region included 11 protein-coding genes and 1 tRNA gene. Most of these protein-coding genes did not contain introns, except that nine genes (rps16, rpoC1, trnI-GAU, trnA-UGC, rps12, rpl16, ndhA, rpl2, ndhB).
contained a single intron and two genes (ycf3, clpP) contained two introns. The longest intron was trnK-UUU, with a length of 2,499 bp, and was present in the matK gene.

Phylogenetic analysis in Rosaceae. Sequencing alignment showed that the cp genomes of Rosa species are more homologous than those of other species of Rosaceae. Phylogenetic trees illustrate the partial relationship of some species in Rosaceae (Fig. 2) based on the maximum likelihood (ML) and neighbor-joining (NJ) methods. The results were consistent with previous studies of Rosaceae species\(^\text{39}\), and showed that Rosa ‘Margo Koster’ has a closer genetic similarity to Rosa odorata var. gigantea and Rosa chinensis var. spontanea than with the other Rosa species, which may be attributed to the selection of breeding material.

Comparison with the cp genomes from Rosa species. The whole cp genome of Rosa ‘Margo Koster’ was compared with those of four Rosa species, and the highly divergent regions are shown in Fig. 3. As a whole, the shared sequence identities were over 95% in pairwise comparisons for the five Rosa species. The sequences

---

**Figure 1.** Circular map of the cp genome for Rosa ‘Margo Koster’. The gray arrows show that genes inside the circle are transcribed clockwise, and genes outside the circle are transcribed counterclockwise. The innermost shaded areas inside the inner circle correspond to the GC content in the cp genome. Genes in different functional groups are color coded. The boundaries of four regions (IRa, IRb, LSC, SSC) are noted in the inner circle.
in two IR regions were more conserved than those in LSC and SSC regions, and protein-coding regions were less divergent than noncoding regions, such as introns and intergenic regions. The highly divergent regions were mainly found in intergenic regions, including

- trnD-GUC-trnY-GUA, rpoB-trnC-GCA, rpl12-clpp, aptF-aptH, rps2-rpoc2, trnS-GGA-rps4, psal-rpl33, rps16-trnQ-UUG, trnK-UUU-rps18, trnL-UAA-trmF-GAA, trnV-UAG, trnW-GCA, trnP-UGG, trnP-GGC, trnL-CAU, trnL-CAC, trnV-GAC, trnL-GAU*, trnA-UAG*, trnN-GUU, trnR-UGC, trnL-UAG

A comparison among boundary regions of cp genomes is illustrated in Fig. 4, and the list of 19 species in the family of Rosaceae and 2 basal angiosperm species is shown in Table S3. The results showed that the position of the SSC/IRb junction in all species was the ycf1 gene, and a pseudogene near the 5’ end of this gene (ycf1Ψ) was found in the IRa region. The size of ycf1Ψ was approximately 1,100 bp for the Rosaceae species. The rpl2 gene stretches across the boundary between the LSC and IRb regions and was only found in *Rosa chinensis* var. spontanea and *Rosa 'Margo Koster'*, not in the other three species, which all contained a complete rpl2 gene in the IRb region. The rps19 gene was located in the LSC region in the five *Rosa* species and in one *Fragaria* species,

### Table 1. The gene content and functional classification in the cp genome of *Rosa 'Margo Koster'.* Genes containing one intron; **genes containing two introns; *pseudogene.

| Function          | Genes                                                                 |
|-------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| RNA transfer      | trnH-GUG, trnK-UUU, trnQ-UUG, tRNA-Gly, trnG-UCC, trnR-UCU, trnD-GUC, trnY-GUA, trnE-UUC, trnT-GGU, trnM-CAU, trnS-UGA, trnM-CAU, trnS-GCU, trnS-GGA, trnT-UGU, trnL-UAA, trmF-GAA, trnV-UAG, trnW-GCA, trnP-UGG, trnP-GGC, trnL-CAU, trnL-CAC, trnV-GAC, trnL-GAU*, trnA-UAG*, trnN-GUU, trnR-UGC, trnL-UAG |
| RNA ribosomal     | rm23, rm16, rm5, rm4.5                                               |
| RNA polymerase    | rpoC1*, rpoC2, rpoA, rpoB                                           |
| ClpP, MatK, ORFs  | ClpP**, matK, orf142, orf188                                         |
| Ribosomal proteins (SSU) | rps2, rps3, rps4, rps7, rps8, rps11, rps12*, rps14, rps15, rps16*, rps18, rps19 |
| Ribosomal proteins (LSU) | rpl2*, rpl2δ, rpl4, rpl16, rpl20, rpl22, rpl23, rpl32, rpl36 |
| Hypothetical chloroplast reading frames (ycf) | ycf1, ycf1δ, ycf2, ycf3**, ycf4 |
| ATP synthase      | atpE, atpB, atpA, atpF, atpH, atpL                                   |
| Photosystem I     | psaA, psaB, psaA, psaC, psaA                                        |
| Photosystem II    | psbD, psbC, psbZ, psbT, psbH, psbK, psb1, psb1, psbE, psbM, psbN, psbL, psbA, psbB |
| Rubisco large subunit | rbcl                                                                 |
| Cytochrome complex | petN, petA, petL, petG, petB, petD                                  |
| NADH dehydrogenase | ndhB*, ndhI, ndhK, ndhC, ndhF, ndhD, ndhG, ndhE, ndhA*, ndhH, ndhI |
| Others            | intA, accD, cemA, ccsA                                              |

Figure 2. Neighbor-joining (NJ) and maximum likelihood (ML) trees for the Rosaceae family inferred from the complete cp genomes of 19 species from 5 subfamilies. Bootstrap values are indicated at the nodes. The length scale behind the tree indicates substitutions per site.
but a partial sequence of this gene entered the IRb region in *Malus*, *Pyrus*, *Prunus* and other species. Therefore, a pseudogene (*rps19Ψ*) was designated in the IRa region. The *ndhF* gene was located completely within the SSC region for five *Rosa* species and the *Fragaria* species; however, the *ndhF* gene was located at the boundary of the LSC and IR regions in the other Rosaceae species, e.g., *Pentactina rupicola*, *Chaenomeles japonica*, and *Sorbus torminalis*.

**Repeat sequence analysis of *Rosa* species.** A total of 84 repeat sequences were detected in the cp genome of *Rosa ‘Margo Koster’,* containing 27 tandem repeats, 26 forward repeats, one complementary repeat, 4 reverse repeats and 26 palindrome repeats. The detailed information is shown in Tables S3 and S4. SSRs, which are composed of a 1–6 bp low-repeat motif, were also widely found in the genome. A total of 49 perfect SSRs were detected, including 46 mononucleotides and 3 dinucleotides. Over 89% of the SSRs were mononucleotides, which contained a repeat motif of adenine (A) or thymine (T). For dinucleotide SSRs, the repeat motif was a repetition of only AT. The results showed that 85.71% of all the detected SSRs were located in the LSC regions, 6.12% in the IR regions, and 8.16% in the SSC regions. These findings indicated that the distribution of cpSSRs was not imbalanced in the cp genome of *Rosa ‘Margo Koster’.*

**Highly divergent regions among *Rosa* species.** The level of divergence among five *Rosa* species was variable in different regions of the cp genome. The results showed that the nucleotide diversity (π) ranged from 0.0012 (*rps12-trnV-GAC*, intergenic space) to 0.0524 (*psbL-trnS-GCU*, intergenic space) based on the comparative analysis of the regional sequence. The results showed that the average π value of the intergenic space was 0.0108, which was higher than that of the protein-coding region (0.0053), and genetic divergence did not exist in the rRNA sequences (π = 0). These findings indicated that the level of genetic variance depended on the region. Twenty regions with the highest π values are shown in Table 2, and their sequences included 19 IGSs and only one protein-coding gene—the *ndhA* gene—with a π value of 0.0094. Other regions with π values greater than zero are also illustrated in Figure S4.
Figure 4. Comparison of the boundaries between LSC, SSC, and two IR regions among 19 cp genomes within the Rosaceae family and two species of basal angiosperms. The boundaries of five *Rosa* species are shown together at the top of the figure, and the differences in their boundaries are obvious. The number of base pairs (bp) represents the distance from the boundary to the end of the gene.
thunbergii, and this region is even lost in some plant species, such as Pelargonium × hortorum, accounting for 31.64% (Table 3, Fig. 5). The results showed that the Dj value, which is the discriminative power of copCPSSRs, was significantly higher than others that have been found in the cp genomes of Asteraceae and Lamiales. Therefore, more genetic markers are needed to clarify genetic relationships and assist in breeding selection.

In this paper, we constructed cp genomes for a rose variety (Rosa ‘Margo Koster’) using next-generation sequencing on the Illumina HiSeq2500 platform. The comparative analysis of this cp genome and those of other species in the Rosaceae family provided new insight into the characteristics of their cp genomes, and the identified polymorphic markers in these cp genomes could be suitable for use in future DNA profiling, variety discrimination, and evolutionary studies in Rosa spp. and their varieties to manage and profile a large number of rose varieties, clarify genetic relationships, and assist in breeding selection.

Compared to previously published data in Rosaceae, the cp genome of Rosa ‘Margo Koster’ has a typical quadripartite structure, where the LSC and SSC regions are separated by two IRs and show a relative similarity in cp genome size, gene number and structure, as well as total GC content, which is an extremely significant indicator of species affinity. Compared with genomes of other genera, no large fragment inversions or gene rearrangements were detected in the IR region, and this region is even lost in some plant species, such as Pelargonium × hortorum, Pinus thunbergii, Cryptomeria japonica, Erodium and Metasequoia glyptostroboides. This type of gene arrangement in the cp genome is different from that of most eudicots and monocots; for example, in eudicots, the trnL1 gene is located in the LSC region rather than the IR region, where this gene is located in most monocots.

Another important aspect of the use of the cp genome in evolutionary studies is the variation found near the boundaries among the four regions. The IR contraction and expansion could provide evidence about the evolution of some plant taxa and lead to the size or sequence divergences of the cp genome. Our comparative analysis of 19 species in the Rosaceae family showed that the rpl2 gene in Rosa ‘Margo Koster’ has moved from an IR to another region.

Table 2. Twenty most divergent regions of the cp genome based on a comparison of Rosa species.

| No. | Region         | Nucleotide diversity (π) | Total number of mutations (η) | Region length (bp) |
|-----|----------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|
| 1   | ndhA           | 0.0094                   | 41                            | 2,381             |
| 2   | trnD-GUC-trnF-GUA | 0.0100                  | 10                            | 420               |
| 3   | rpsB-trnC-GCA  | 0.0101                   | 27                            | 1,220             |
| 4   | rpl12-clpp     | 0.0101                   | 4                             | 201               |
| 5   | aptF-aptH      | 0.0103                   | 12                            | 510               |
| 6   | rps2-rps2c     | 0.0109                   | 5                             | 247               |
| 7   | trnS-GGA-rps4  | 0.0110                   | 7                             | 292               |
| 8   | psaA-rpl33     | 0.0113                   | 13                            | 469               |
| 9   | rps16-trnQ-UUG | 0.0120                   | 21                            | 883               |
| 10  | trnK-UUU-rps16 | 0.0121                   | 20                            | 827               |
| 11  | psbE-psbL      | 0.0124                   | 35                            | 1,314             |
| 12  | trnF-UGU-trnL-UAA | 0.0126                | 32                            | 1,240             |
| 13  | trnP-UGG-psaI  | 0.0192                   | 18                            | 450               |
| 14  | trnH-GUG-psbA  | 0.0244                   | 11                            | 312               |
| 15  | trnR-UCU-aptA  | 0.0244                   | 21                            | 631               |
| 16  | psbZ-trnG-UCC  | 0.0320                   | 23                            | 400               |
| 17  | trnG-UCC-trnFM-CAU | 0.0323            | 12                            | 223               |
| 18  | trnM-CAU-aptE  | 0.0368                   | 9                             | 214               |
| 19  | psbM-trnD-GUC  | 0.0492                   | 62                            | 529               |
| 20  | psbL-trnS-GCU  | 0.0524                   | 18                            | 183               |

Development and utilization of molecular markers in chloroplast genomes of Rosa species. Based on repeat sequence analyses, a total of 49 candidate cpSSRs were subjected to genotyping using CE and Sanger sequencing in a set of different original rose varieties. The results showed that clear, stable and expected PCR products were obtained in all cpSSR loci; however, only 15 cpSSR loci were abundantly polymorphic, accounting for 31.64% (Table 3, Fig. 5). The results showed that the Dj value, which is the discriminative power, ranged from 0.1043 to 0.9996, with an average value of 0.8303. These findings indicated that these SNVs in the cp genome have much more polymorphism and stronger discriminating power than traditional fragment-size molecular markers. Additionally, all the polymorphic cpSSRs and SNVs were used to examine and construct DNA profiles of 93 rose varieties.
found to be important for cell survival or related to the ABC transporter in other studies; the gene has been considered a protein-coding gene in tobacco, and the protein encoded by the ycf1 gene has been identified and applied in various studies in plant science. However, little attention has been given to cpSSRs in the cp genome of Malus and other major sources of genetic variation. Many repetitive sequences have been identified and applied in a wide range of studies leading to the creation of pseudogenes.

region to an LSC region, resulting in a shorter IR region than that of other Rosa species, and this phenomenon of IR contraction has also occurred in Rosa chinensis var. Spontanea but not in other three Rosa species. Our results revealed that some pseudogenes contribute to the variation in length in the IR region, such as in rps19Ψ or ycf1Ψ, and their biological function is still unclear. For example, ycf1Ψ is present in all studied species, whereas rps19Ψ is present in the cp genome of Rosaceae species, and its location varies from species to species; for example, the rps19Ψ gene is located in the LSC region in Rosa and Fragaria species, whereas this gene stretches across the LSC and IR regions and may lead to incomplete duplication in Malus, Prunus, and Pyrus species, resulting in rps19Ψ. Contraction and expansion of the IR region have taken place in Rosaceae, leading to the creation of pseudogenes.

Repetitive sequences play an important role in genome recombination and rearrangement; thus, they are major sources of genetic variation. Many repetitive sequences have been identified and applied in a wide range of studies in plant science. However, little attention has been given to cpSSRs in the cp genome of Rosa species. Our results revealed a total of 49 candidate cpSSR loci in the cp genome, and most of these markers were located in the non-coding region, which is consistent with the cp genomes of other angiosperms. A previous study reported that the variability of cpSSRs in non-coding regions is more abundant than in conserved coding regions. A strong AT bias, which has been reported in other species, also existed in the cp genome of Rosa ‘Margo Koster’. Additionally, distribution of cpSSRs was imbalanced in the cp genomes, with over 85% of cpSSRs located in the non-coding region, which is consistent with the cp genomes of other angiosperms.

| Locus Name | Forward primer | Reverse primer | Product size (bps) | Tm (°C) | Nucleotide diversity (n) | Total number of mutations (q) | Region length (bp) | D_{a} | D_{b} | Site |
|------------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|---------|------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|------|------|------|
| Rhcp2      | AACTCATCA      | ACGGACTCTTCCA  | 261                | 59.5    | 0.00193                | 3                            | 205               | 0.4130 | 0.3446 | matK-trnK-UUU |
| Rhcp5      | GACCTGTGGT    | TGGATTGGCCACT  | 289                | 60      | 0.02362                | 53                            | 221               | 0.1231 | 0.9016 | trnK-UUU-rps16 |
| Rhcp6      | CATTGCCCTCA   | AGTTGGGAAACCCA | 337                | 58      | 0.06351                | 150                           | 271               | 0.4544 | 0.9885 | rps16-trnQ-UG |
| Rhcp11     | TGGAGTGAA      | AAGCGTCCAATTG  | 167                | 59      | 0.04529                | 78                            | 113               | 0.3111 | 0.5339 | trnK-UCU-aptA |
| Rhcp13     | CCGATGGGGGAGA | AATAACCCCAAG   | 311                | 59      | 0.00906                | 58                            | 266               | 0.3700 | 0.5196 | atpF-atpH |
| Rhcp14     | CGCACTGGC      | TAAACCAATTCCA  | 331                | 60      | 0.05264                | 76                            | 282               | 0.4642 | 0.8277 | atpH-atpI |
| Rhcp16     | CCGGGCTCCA     | GTAGTTACCA     | 350                | 60      | 0.00891                | 34                            | 296               | 0.2170 | 0.9495 | rpoC1 |
| Rhcp19     | CACATAATGTTCC | CGGACCTTTGCA   | 246                | 60      | 0.04801                | 48                            | 200               | 0.3534 | 0.9996 | pecN-psbM |
| Rhcp22     | CGCTATCCCG     | CCCAGGATA      | 243                | 60      | 0.01792                | 24                            | 197               | 0.3020 | 0.9727 | trnG-UUC-trnF-CAU |
| Rhcp24     | CCGGGATACG     | ACGAGCAGAA     | 190                | 60      | 0.02778                | 23                            | 114               | 0.1043 | 0.9084 | ycf3 |
| Rhcp29     | CGTTGTAGAGA    | ACGGTGAGA      | 360                | 59      | 0.01671                | 48                            | 322               | 0.4523 | 0.7457 | accD-psaL |
| Rhcp31     | ACCCGATCTCA    | ACGCGTCTACA    | 296                | 60      | 0.03396                | 53                            | 252               | 0.5046 | 0.9991 | rps18-rpl20 |
| Rhcp34     | CTCCGAGTA      | AAGATGAGAGCC   | 308                | 59      | 0.03805                | 92                            | 372               | 0.3925 | 0.7929 | rpl 20 |
| Rhcp38     | TGTTGTATCTGGA  | AGGGGTTCTACG   | 319                | 59      | 0.07443                | 81                            | 269               | 0.9350 | 0.9930 | pecD-rpoA |
| Rhcp41     | AGCTCCTTCG     | CGAAATTTACGA   | 390                | 60      | 0.01791                | 39                            | 352               | 0.6307 | 0.9790 | rps 16-rps3 |

**Table 3.** The Primer information of 15 cpSSRs and nucleotide mutations of their flanking sequences. ‘a’ indicates the discriminating power based on the genotype of cpSSR; ‘b’ indicates the discriminating power based on the genotype of nucleotide mutations.
In addition to repetitive sequences, nucleotide mutation is one of the most important sources of genetic variation. In the comparison of nucleotide diversity among different regions of five cp genomes in *Rosa* species, a set of regions with high divergence was obtained, and most of these regions are intergenic. These highly divergent sequences may be used to develop potential molecular markers for geographical and population genetic studies in *Rosa* spp. Finding polymorphic nucleotide variation in the cp genome used to be time-consuming and labor-intensive work because the available sequences were insufficient. However, highly divergent sequences in *Rosa* spp. have been identified here and will contribute to genetic and evolutionary studies in *Rosa* spp. as well as to wider applications in DNA profiling of rose varieties.

Figure 5. The cpSSR genotype of rose varieties based on capillary electrophoresis and Sanger sequencing. (a)–(d) Variation in fragment size of cpSSRs and their repeat motif in different rose varieties.
Molecular markers from the cp genome have several advantages, such as a moderate mutant rate, clear genotype, etc. Hence, these nucleotide variations can be used to discriminate varieties including those with close relationships, and to unveil the genetic variations at the population and individual levels. Additionally, the chloroplast SNVs and SSRs could be used to explore the genetic structure and population gene flow. Herein, approximately 15 cpSSRs were shown to be polymorphic, although their discriminating power in the test rose varieties is lower, this is likely due to the relatively conserved and haplotype genome of these varieties.

At present, under the framework of the UPOV convention, plant breeder’s rights mainly depend on the distinctness of plant’s phenotypes. Therefore, almost all DUS examinations that require intensive field trials, are based on the morphological characteristics of plants. Collecting a large number of rose varieties in a common database is necessary and prerequisite for DUS examinations; this work requires a great deal of labor, material and land, especially for rose, because of its abundant cultivars and worldwide distribution. Based on the available database, the DUS examiner will select the most similar varieties to start a field trial. Then, according to DUS test guidelines, potential morphological divergences between candidate variety and similar variety will be observed and recorded during at least one growth cycle. For roses, no less than 9 healthy and uniform clones of the candidate variety are required to submit to the national DUS examination station, where these plants will be compared with similar varieties. Over 40 phenotypic traits involved in leaf, flower and fruit, need to be observed and measured during at least one growth cycle. Finally, the examiner will complete a technical questionnaire, which is the most important document to determine a new variety. The most challenging aspect of this process is the selection of appropriate similar varieties from over ten thousand of existing varieties, and then providing an accurate judgment about the candidate variety in one growth cycle. Because of this challenge, at the end of last century, developing DNA markers for DUS testing, variety profiling or origin tracing was proposed, and case studies in crop, vegetables and fruit trees have been introduced in BMT sessions or reported in scientific journals. For rose, codominant markers from the cp genome are abundant and polymorphic nucleotide variations in the cp genome will become clearly identified, effective and reliable supplementary tools for managing and profiling rose varieties in the future.

In addition to SSR markers, SNPs are a powerful tool for genetic studies in plants, and these markers have been used to evaluate the genetic differentiation among accessions as well as population structure and diversity in crops. A large number of SNP markers have been mined from the nuclear genome of roses and an SNP array (WagRhSNP68k) was developed for genetic mapping in tetraploid cut roses. Based on the comparative analysis of nucleotide diversity among regions in these Rosa species, a set of 20 regions with high divergence have been found and these regions could be used as a starting point for candidate molecular markers for phylogenetic and phylogeographic studies in the Rosa genus. Uncovering polymorphic sequences in the cp genome is difficult, particularly when no previous reference genome has been published. The lack of available and polymorphic sequences prevents us to utilize in a phylogenetic context; however, we still expect that the highly divergent sequences identified here by comparing Rosa cp genomes will offer new tools for genetic and evolutionary studies in the Rosa genus and other related taxa. Our findings indicate that nucleotide mutations in the cp genomes of Rosa species are abundant and unambiguous, and the discriminating power of SNVs in the cp genome is stronger than that of cpSSRs in the test rose varieties. Although nuclear SSRs and SNPs have been proven to be reliable and powerful markers for DNA profiling in roses, we also expect that these highly abundant and polymorphic nucleotide variations in the cp genome will become clearly identified, effective and reliable supplementary tools for managing and profiling rose varieties in the future.

Materials and methods

Sampling and DNA extraction. All rose varieties used in this study were planted and collected from the National Rose DUS examination station, which is responsible for the DUS testing for rose varieties (Kunming, Yunnan). Fresh leaves were collected from healthy and strong plants, wrapped and stored on dry ice (−70 °C) until analysis; a list of tested rose varieties is shown in Table S5. Rosa ‘Margo Koster’ was used to perform next-generation sequencing to construct the whole cp genome, and other rose varieties were used to test and validate the polymorphism of molecular markers. Genomic DNA was isolated using a DNA extraction Kit (DP-305, Tiangen Biotech, Beijing CO. LTD); agarose gel electrophoresis and a one-drop spectrophotometer were used to detect DNA integrity and quality (Spectramax I3 Microplate Reader, Molecular Devices, USA).

DNA sequencing, genome assembly, annotation and validation. DNA from Rosa ‘Margo Koster’ was used to construct shotgun libraries (250 bp) and sequences on an Illumina HiSeq 2,500 platform. Raw data were trimmed from both ends, individual bases were removed, and entire reads with a median quality score lower than Q20 or less than 25 bp in length after trimming were also discarded. After quality filtering, reads were mapped to an available cp genome of a closely related species (Rosa roxburghii; Accession: KX768420.1 GI:1104307301) using Bowtie2 v2.2.6. Then, all putative plastid reads mapped to the Rosa roxburghii reference above were used for de novo assembly to reconstruct the Rosa ‘Margo Koster’ cp genome using SOAPdenovo v2.04 with different kmer sizes. The local gap filling and base correction of contigs were performed by GapCloser v1.12. Finally, in accordance with the size of the contigs, the number of scaffolds and scaffold N50,
Repeat sequence analyses in the chloroplast genome. Simple sequence repeats (SSRs) on the cp genome were mined using MISA software (MicroSatellite, https://pgpr.ipk-gatersleben.de/misa/). The minimal repeat units were set as mono-12, dimer-6, trim-5, tetramer-5, pentamer-4 and hexamer-4, and Primer 3 was used for designing primer pairs in the flanking region of each candidate locus31. Tandem repeat sequences were analyzed by Tandem Repeats Finder95, the alignment parameters were set at recommended values (Match-2, Mismatch-7, Delta-7), and the minimum alignment score and maximum period size were set as 80 and 500, respectively. Palindromic repeat sequences, dispersed repeat sequences (including the forward repeats and inverse repeats) and complement repeats were analyzed by REPuter (https://bibiserv.cbi.ied.de/reputer/)96, and the minimum repeat size and maximum base mismatch were set as 30 and 3, respectively.

Comparative analyses of the chloroplast genome in Rosaceae species. To perform a comparative genomic analysis within the Rosaceae family and Rosa genus, 19 species in the Rosaceae family, which are available in the NCBI database, were chosen (Table S4). Then, we used mVISTA software2 in shuffle-LANGAN mode and with default parameters for other options to compare the cp genomes from five Rosa species, using the sequenced Rosa roxburghii annotated genome as a reference. To examine expansion or contraction of the IR regions, boundaries between the four main compositions of the annotated cp genome (LSC, IRa, SSC and IRb) were inspected among 21 species using IRSCOPE software89.

To identify regions of high genetic divergence among Rosa species that could potentially inform genetic studies of the genus, the genetic divergence among five Rosa species across the entire cp genome was calculated using nucleotide diversity (π), and the total number of mutations (η) for gene and intron sequences and intergenic spacers (IGS) was aligned with Verdant and using DnaSP 5.099.

Phylogenetic analysis. The phylogenetic relationship among the Rosaceae family members was reconstructed using the partial set of species sampled in our studies, including 20 species available in NCBI, one described in our studies, and two species of different orders as outgroup (Amborella trichopoda and Nymphaea alba). First, complete cp genome sequences were aligned using MAFFT software, and then maximum likelihood (ML) and neighbor-joining (NJ) were used to reconstruct the phylogenetic tree with 2000 bootstrap replicates using MEGA 7.0 software100.

Polymorphism validation of candidate molecular markers. To verify the polymorphism of candidate cpSSRs and SNVs, genotyping PCR was performed using a thermal cycler (Applied Biosystems, Foster, CA, USA) with a 20 µL reaction volume as follows: 10 µL of 2 × Taq MasterMix (CWbiotech, Beijing, China), approximately 50 ng of DNA, 5 pmol forward primer, 5 pmol reverse primer, and sterile double-distilled water were added to reach the 20 µL volume. The amplifications were performed using the following schedule: denaturation at 94°C for 5 min; 35 cycles of denaturation for 30 s at 94°C, annealing for 30 s at the optimal temperature, and then extension for 30 s at 72°C; and a final extension at 72°C for 5 min. After PCR amplification, fragments were sequenced and aligned with the assembly cp genome (Table S1). Finally, the corrected cp genome was deposited into GenBank. Predictions of gene, rRNA and tRNA sequences were performed using DOGMA93 and manual correction. Gene annotation of Rosa ‘Margo Koster’ was obtained from the Nr, KEGG, COG and GO databases using BLAST2.2.28+ based on the predicted protein sequences. A circular representation of the cp genome was drawn using Organellar GenomeDRAW92, and the results of annotations were visualized by CGV93.
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