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Abstract

Introduction: This systematic review and meta-analysis aimed to assess the latest evidence on the use of renal denervation (RDN) + pulmonary vein isolation (PVI) compared to PVI alone for treating atrial fibrillation (AF) with hypertension.

Methods: A systematic literature search from several electronic databases was performed up until January 2020. The primary outcome was AF recurrence defined as AF/atrial flutter (AFL)/atrial tachycardia (AT) ≥30 seconds at 12-month follow-up and the secondary outcome was procedure-related complications.

Results: There were 568 subjects from five studies. AF recurrence was 90/280 (32.1%) in the RDN + PVI group and 142/274 (51.8%) in the PVI group. RDN + PVI was associated with a lower incidence of AF recurrence (RR 0.62 [0.51, 0.76], P < .001; I²: 0%). Pooled analysis of HR showed that RDN + PVI was associated with reduced AF recurrence (HR 0.51 [0.38, 0.70], P < .001; I²: 0%). Complications were 7/241 (2.9%) in the RDN + PVI group and 8/237 (3.4%) in the PVI group. The rate of complications between the groups was similar (RR 0.87 [0.33, 2.29], P = .77; I²: 0%). In the subgroup analysis of paroxysmal AF, RDN + PVI was shown to reduce AF recurrence (RR 0.64 [0.49, 0.82], P < .001; I²: 0% and HR 0.56 [0.38, 0.82], P = .003; I²: 0%) compared to PVI alone. RDN + PVI has a moderate certainty of evidence in the reducing AF recurrence with an absolute reduction of 197 fewer per 1000 (from 254 fewer to 124 fewer).

Conclusion: RDN in addition to PVI, is associated with reduced 12-month AF recurrence and similar procedure-related complications compared to PVI alone.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Atrial fibrillation (AF) and hypertension are highly prevalent diseases that frequently coexist. Hypertension increases the risk of AF, and uncontrolled hypertension has been shown to be an independent predictor of AF recurrence postablation. Despite improvement in technologies, the rate of AF recurrence postablation remained high, and uncontrolled hypertension poses as an additional problem.

Overactivation of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system (RAAS) leads to a heightened sympathetic tone, which in turn stimulates renin synthesis. An enhanced RAAS leads to remodeling along myocardium and vasculature, promoting arterial hypertension and left atrial remodeling. Renal denervation (RDN) may attenuate the hyperactive sympathetic nervous system which in turn leads to a reduction in RAAS activation and lowering of blood pressure. RDN with or without PVI has been shown to reduce AF burden in hypertensive patients.

Hence, RDN as an additional intervention to PVI is potentially advantageous to lower blood pressure (especially in uncontrolled hypertension) and reduce the incidence of AF recurrence. This systematic review and meta-analysis aimed to assess the latest evidence on the use of RDN + pulmonary vein isolation (PVI) compared to PVI alone for treating atrial fibrillation with hypertension.

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Search strategy

We performed a systematic literature search on topics that compare the use of RDN in addition to PVI and PVI alone for hypertensive AF patients undergoing catheter ablation with keywords ["renal denervation" and "ablation" and "atrial fibrillation"] and its synonym from inception up until January 2020 through PubMed, EuropePMC, Cochrane Central Database, ScienceDirect, ProQuest, ClinicalTrials.gov, and hand-sampling from potential articles cited by other studies. The records were then systematically evaluated using inclusion and exclusion criteria. We also perform hand-sampling from references of the included studies. Two researchers independently performed the initial search and discrepancies were resolved by discussion. A Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) flowchart of the literature search strategy of studies was presented in Figure 1.

2.2 | Selection criteria

The inclusion criteria for this study are studies that compare the use of RDN in addition to PVI and PVI alone for hypertensive AF patients undergoing catheter ablation. We include RCTs and exclude observational studies, animal studies, case reports, review articles, and non-English language articles.

2.3 | Data extraction

Data extraction and quality assessment were done by two independent authors using a standardized extraction form that includes the first author, the year of publication, study design, sample size, AF recurrence/freedom, complications, paroxysmal AF, age, gender, baseline systolic blood pressure, and follow-up duration.

The primary outcome was AF recurrence defined as the presence of AF/AFL/AT ≥30 seconds at 12-month follow-up and the secondary outcome was procedure-related complications.

2.4 | Statistical analysis

To perform the meta-analysis, we used RevMan version 5.3 software (Cochrane Collaboration). Risk ratios (RRs) were used for pooled effect estimate of dichotomous data, the hazard ratio (HR) was used for pooled effect estimate for HR, and their 95% confidence interval. Inconsistency index (I²) test, which ranges from 0% to 100%, was used to assess heterogeneity across studies. A value above 50% or P < .10 indicates statistically significant heterogeneity. We used the Mantel-Haenszel method to calculate RRs and generic inverse variance to calculate pooled HR using a random-effects model for meta-analysis regardless of heterogeneity. Subgroup analysis was performed to evaluate outcome in patients with paroxysmal AF. All P values were two-tailed with a statistical significance set at 0.05 or below. Cochrane risk-of-bias tool for randomized trials (Cochrane Collaboration) will be used to assess the risk of bias. The certainty of evidence was assessed using Guideline Development Tool by GRADEpro GDT (McMaster University and Evidence Prime Inc.).

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Study selection and characteristics

We found a total of 924 results, and 692 records remained after the removal of duplicates. 678 records were excluded after screening the title/abstracts. After assessing 14 full-text for eligibility, we excluded nine because (a) Nonrandomized studies (n = 2), (b) Single-arm studies (n = 4), (c) Without ablation (n = 1) and (d) Trial Protocol (n = 2). We included five studies in qualitative synthesis and five in meta-analysis (Figure 1). There were a total of 568 subjects from five studies (Table 1).

All studies enrolled AF patients with hypertension. All studies except Steinberg et al enrolled uncontrolled/drug-resistant hypertension (≥3 antihypertensive drugs). All studies have RDN + PVI as their intervention group, four studies have PVI alone as the control group, and 1 study (Kiuchi et al) has PVI + spironolactone as their control group. Steinberg et al used cryoballoon for PVI, the other studies were unclear. All studies used radiofrequency energy for RDN. All studies assessed AF recurrence for 12 months, the definition for AF recurrence varies but most were AF/AFL/AT ≥30 seconds after initial 3 months blanking period. Steinberg et al and
Kiuchi et al only enrolled paroxysmal AF and excluded persistent AF.

### 3.2 Atrial fibrillation recurrence

AF recurrence occurred in 90/280 (32.1%) of the RDN + PVI group and 142/274 (51.8%) of the PVI group. RDN + PVI was associated with a lower incidence of AF recurrence (RR 0.62 [0.51, 0.76], $P < .001$; $I^2$: 0%, $P = .94$) (Figure 2A). Three studies also reported the outcome in form of HR, and the pooled HR showed reduced AF recurrence (HR 0.51 [0.38, 0.70], $P < .001$; $I^2$: 0%, $P = .74$) in patients receiving RDN + PVI (Figure 2B).

### 3.3 Complications

Complications were 7/241 (2.9%) in the RDN + PVI group and 8/237 (3.4%) in the PVI group out of a total 458 patients from four studies. Procedural complications related to PVI (not clearly defined) were present in seven patients in both groups. There were no complications related to the RDN procedure. The rate of complications...
| Author          | Study design | Sample size (RDN + PVI/ PVI) | Inclusion criteria                                                                 | Primary outcome (recurrence or freedom)                                                                 | Definition of recurrence | Paroxysmal AF (%) | Age, years (Mean ± SD) | Male (%) | Baseline systolic BP (mm Hg) | Follow-up period |
|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|----------|-------------------------------|------------------|
| Steinberg 2019  | RCT          | 302 (154/148)               | Paroxysmal AF + Hypertension (≥1 antihypertensive drugs) undergoing cryoballoon PVI | 12 months AF/AFL/AT with 3 months blanking period; without AAD after blanking period                 | AF/AFL/AT ≥30 seconds   | 100               | 59 (54-65) vs 60 (58-65) | 59.1 vs 61.5 | 150 ± 9 vs 151 ± 9          | 12 months       |
| Kluchi 2018     | RCT          | 69 (33/36)                  | Paroxysmal AF + Uncontrolled hypertension (≥3 antihypertensive drugs) + CKD + dual-chamber pacemaker undergoing PVI | 12 months AF with 3 months blanking period                                                          | AF ≥30 seconds           | 100               | 56.8 ± 6.5 vs 58.4 ± 5.1  | 76 vs 83     | 142 ± 6 vs 140 ± 6           | 12 months       |
| Romanov 2017    | RCT          | 76 (39/37)                  | Paroxysmal/Persistent AF + drug-resistant hypertension (≥3 antihypertensive drugs) undergoing PVI | 12 months AF with 3 months blanking period; without AAD after blanking period                        | Unclear                  | 38.5 vs 43.2       | 56 ± 6 vs 56 ± 5         | 74.3 vs 70.2 | 163 ± 20 vs 164 ± 16        | 12 months       |
| Pokushalov 2014 | RCT          | 80 (41/39)                  | Paroxysmal/Persistent AF + drug-resistant hypertension (≥3 antihypertensive drugs) undergoing PVI | 12 months AF/AFL/AT with 3 months blanking period; without AAD after blanking period                 | AF/AFL/AT >30 seconds   | 41.5 vs 46.2       | 56 ± 6 vs 56 ± 6         | 75.6 vs 61.5 | 163 ± 18 vs 164 ± 17        | 12 months       |
| Pokushalov 2012 | RCT          | 41 (14/13)                  | Paroxysmal/Persistent AF + drug-resistant hypertension (≥3 antihypertensive drugs) undergoing PVI | 12 months AF/AFL/AT with 3 months blanking period; without AAD after blanking period                 | AF/AFL/AT >30 seconds   | 30.8 vs 35.7       | 57 ± 8 vs 56 ± 9          | 84.6 vs 71.4 | 181 ± 7 vs 178 ± 8          | 12 months       |

Abbreviations: AAD, antiarrhythmic drugs; AF, atrial fibrillation; AFL, atrial flutter; AT, atrial tachycardia; PVI, pulmonary vein isolation; RCT, randomized controlled trial; RDN, renal denervation.
between the groups were similar (RR 0.87 [0.33, 2.29], P = .77; I²: 0%, P = .51) (Figure 2C).

### 3.4 | Subgroup analysis

In the subgroup analysis of paroxysmal AF, RDN + PVI was shown to reduce AF recurrence (RR 0.64 [0.49, 0.82], P < .001; I²: 0%, P = .98) compared to PVI alone (Figure 3A). Pooled analysis of HR showed that RDN + PVI was associated with reduced AF recurrence (HR 0.56 [0.38, 0.82], P = .003; I²: 0%, P = .77) (Figure 3B).

### 3.5 | Risk of bias assessment

The risk of bias was mainly due to performance bias because the operators were not blinded to the groups, due to the fact that the same operator performed both PVI and RDN. (Figure 4A) The risk for selection bias was unclear, the method of randomization and allocation concealment was not clearly defined in some studies. The funnel-plot was symmetrical for the AF recurrence outcome indicating a low-risk of publication bias. (Figure 4B).

### 3.6 | GRADE approach

Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation (GRADE) showed a moderate certainty of evidence that RDN in addition to PVI results in the reduction of AF recurrence with an absolute reduction of 197 fewer per 1000 (from 254 fewer to 124 fewer). (Table 2).

### 4 | DISCUSSION

This systematic review and meta-analysis of RCTs demonstrated that RDN in addition to PVI is associated with reduced 12 months AF recurrence and similar procedure-related complications compared to PVI alone. The certainty of evidence assessed for the 12 months AF recurrence (moderate certainty) was mainly downgraded due to the
risk of bias, nevertheless, inadequate blinding of the healthcare providers may not dramatically impact the effect estimates of RCTs.17

The autonomic nervous system has been shown to contribute to the initiation and maintenance of AF, due to associated structural and functional remodeling.18 Ablating sympathetic nerves present in the renal vasculature reduce systemic and cardiac catecholamine levels, modulates RAAS, and subsequently reduce atrial fibrosis.19 Ablating sympathetic nerves in the renal vasculature reduce catecholamine levels, reverse atrial structural, and electrical remodeling.20 In animal models, RDN has been shown to reduce catecholamine levels, reverse atrial structural, and electrical remodeling.21 In animal ischemic heart failure models, RDN has been shown to reverse structural and electrical remodeling of the atrium along with reduced AF inducibility.22 RDN has been shown to reduce sympathetic overactivity and arrhythmogenic foci/substrate arising from it.23 RDN alone without PVI has been shown to reduce AF burden and increase the quality of life in patients with AF.11 Furthermore, RDN in PVI patients was shown to reduce left ventricular septal thickness and posterior wall thickness.13 RDN may help to control blood pressure in patients with uncontrolled hypertension on ≥3 antihypertensive medications,24 and as shown by Romanov et al, apparent benefits in terms of AF recurrence were shown with the blood pressure control.25

Pokushalov et al (2014) showed that RDN + PVI was effective in persistent AF but not paroxysmal AF, however, this meta-analysis showed that RDN was also effective in the paroxysmal AF group.14 There seemed to be no statistically significant difference between those receiving high-frequency stimulation compared to those without.16

Besides the direct attenuation of sympathetic effect on the atrium, RDN has also been shown to reduce AF recurrence through the reduction of BP in patients with uncontrolled/drug-resistant hypertension.26 To our knowledge, RDN + PVI was lower compared to PVI only (2.43% vs 6.95%).15 Their study also showed that the blood pressure reduction was associated with less AF burden as demonstrated by the increase in a mean difference of AF burden between the two groups later in follow-up period. Furthermore, AF recurrence was also shown to be decreased by a HR of 0.9 with each 5 mm Hg decrease in blood pressure.15 Kiuchi et al study demonstrated an inverse correlation between blood pressure reduction and AF burden.12 This is unsurprising because uncontrolled hypertension (despite ≥3 antihypertensive drugs) has been shown to independently increase the risk of AF recurrence post-ablation, while controlled hypertension did not affect ablation outcome.4 The aforementioned study found that increased atrial size, nonpulmonary vein triggers, and extensive atrial scars were more frequently found in uncontrolled hypertensive group compared to controlled hypertensive, explaining the higher AF recurrence.4

As for the safety aspects of the procedure, there was no single complication related to RDN and the was no statistically significant difference in the number of PVI-related complications among patients receiving RDN + PVI compared to those receiving PVI alone.15

**FIGURE 3** Subgroup analysis on paroxysmal AF. RDN + PVI was shown to be effective in reducing AF recurrence in the paroxysmal AF subgroup (A and B). AF, atrial fibrillation; PVI, pulmonary vein isolation; RDN, renal denervation

| Study or subgroup | RDN+PVI | PVI | Total | Weight | M-H, Random, 95% CI | Risk ratio |
|-------------------|---------|-----|-------|--------|---------------------|-----------|
| Kiuchi 2018       | 13      | 33  | 23    | 36     | 27.4%               | 0.62 [0.38, 1.01] |
| Pokushalov 2014   | 4       | 17  | 7     | 18     | 6.1%                | 0.61 [0.22, 1.70] |
| Steinberg 2019    | 43      | 154 | 64    | 148    | 66.5%               | 0.66 [0.47, 0.88] |
| Total (95% CI)    | 204     | 202 | 100.0%|        |                     | 0.64 [0.49, 0.82] |

**TABLE 1** Subgroup analysis on paroxysmal AF. RDN + PVI was shown to be effective in reducing AF recurrence in the paroxysmal AF subgroup (A and B). AF, atrial fibrillation; PVI, pulmonary vein isolation; RDN, renal denervation

| Study or subgroup | log[hazard ratio] | SE | Weight | M-H, Random, 95% CI | Hazard ratio |
|-------------------|-------------------|----|--------|---------------------|-------------|
| Pokushalov 2014   | -0.755            | 0.6179| 10.1%  | 0.47 [0.14, 1.58]  | 0.56 [0.38, 0.82] |
| Steinberg 2019    | -0.5621           | 0.2069| 89.9%  | 0.57 [0.38, 0.86]  |             |
| Total (95% CI)    |                   |    | 100.0% |                     | 0.56 [0.38, 0.82] |

**TABLE 2** Subgroup analysis on paroxysmal AF. RDN + PVI was shown to be effective in reducing AF recurrence in the paroxysmal AF subgroup (A and B). AF, atrial fibrillation; PVI, pulmonary vein isolation; RDN, renal denervation
RDN + PVI and PVI alone. Furthermore, Steinberg et al demonstrated that RDN + PVI was associated with reduced hospitalization due to cardiovascular causes but not mortality compared to PVI alone. Improvement of renal function in the RDN + PVI group was also demonstrated in Kiuchi et al study.12

**FIGURE 4** Risk of Bias Assessment. The summary of risk of bias assessment using Cochrane risk-of-bias tool for randomized trials was shown in (A). Funnel-plot analysis demonstrate a relatively symmetrical shape for AF recurrence outcome (B). AF, atrial fibrillation

**TABLE 2** GRADE approach

| Effect | Relative (95% CI) | Number of patients | Other considerations |
|--------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| AF recurrence | RR 0.62 (0.51 - 0.76) | 90/280 (32.1%) | None |

**Abbreviations:** AF, atrial fibrillation; CI, confidence interval; PVI, pulmonary vein isolation; RDN, renal denervation; RR, risk ratio.

Some studies have unclear randomization; Possible performance bias due to impossible blinding of operators.
4.1 | Clinical implications

RDN in addition to PVI, has a moderate certainty of evidence to reduce AF recurrence in uncontrolled hypertension. There are no additional procedure-related complications compared to PVI alone. Hence, RDN might be used in addition to PVI in patients with AF and uncontrolled hypertension (≥3 antihypertensive medications). The benefits in patients with hypertension with ≥1 antihypertensive medication(s) has also been demonstrated by a study.

4.2 | Limitations

The limitation of this systematic review and meta-analysis is mainly due to publication bias in which positive studies are more likely to be published than negative studies. Although the funnel-plot analysis did not indicate publication bias, the number of studies is <10 studies. We published than negative studies. Although the funnel-plot analysis did not indicate publication bias, the number of studies is <10 studies. We tried to mitigate the publication bias by only selecting RCTs which are mostly registered on clinicaltrials.gov/other equivalent bodies as opposed to observational studies. These RCTs, if not publish, may report their results in the clinicaltrials.gov, they also showed prespecified outcome that limits the bias. Due to the limited amount of studies, meta-regression cannot be performed to assess whether age, gender, persistent AF, or blood pressure reduction influence the effect estimate. Most of the studies reported unadjusted HR for the outcome, hence, a pooled estimate of adjusted HR cannot be performed.

5 | CONCLUSION

RDN in addition to PVI is associated with reduced 12-month AF recurrence and similar procedure-related complications compared to PVI alone.
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