WELL-POSEDNESS FOR THE BACKWARD PROBLEMS IN TIME FOR GENERAL TIME-FRACTIONAL DIFFUSION EQUATION
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Abstract. In this article, we consider a partial differential equation with Caputo time-derivative: $\partial_t^\alpha u + Au = F$ where $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $u$ satisfies the zero Dirichlet boundary condition. For a non-symmetric elliptic operator $-A$ of the second order and given $F$, we prove the well-posedness for the backward problem in time and our result generalizes the existing results assuming that $A$ is symmetric. The key is the perturbation argument and the completeness of the generalized eigenfunctions of the elliptic operator $A$.
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1. Introduction and main results

Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with sufficiently smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$. Henceforth let $L^2(\Omega)$ denote the real Lebesgue space with the scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and the norm $\| \cdot \|$, and let $H^1(\Omega), H^1_0(\Omega), H^2(\Omega)$ be the Sobolev spaces (e.g., Adams [1]).

We consider a fractional partial differential equation:

\[
\begin{aligned}
\partial_t^\alpha u(x,t) &= -Au(x,t) + F(x,t), \quad x \in \Omega, \; 0 < t < T, \\
u|_{\partial \Omega} &= 0, \\
u(x,0) &= a(x), \quad x \in \Omega.
\end{aligned}
\]

(1.1)
Here $-A$ is a uniformly elliptic operator and not necessarily symmetric. Throughout this article, we assume that $0 < \alpha < 1$, and the Caputo derivative $\partial_t^\alpha g$ is defined by

$$\partial_t^\alpha g(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} \frac{dg}{ds}(s) ds,$$

where $\Gamma$ denotes the gamma function. It is known that there exists a unique solution $u = u(x, t)$ to the initial boundary value problem (1.1) under suitable conditions on $A$, $a$ and $F$, and we refer for example to Gorenflo, Luchko and Yamamoto [6], Kubica, Ryszewska and Yamamoto [10], Kubica and Yamamoto [11], Sakamoto and Yamamoto [16], Zacher [26], and also later as lemmata we will show the regularity.

Equation (1.1) describes slow diffusion which can be considered as anomalous diffusion in highly heterogeneous media and is different from the classical case of $\alpha = 1$. In particular, the Caputo derivative is involved with memory term which possesses some averaging effect, and so (1.1) has not strong smoothing property: for $a \in L^2(\Omega)$, we can expect only $u(\cdot, t) \in H^2(\Omega)$ for each $t > 0$. This is an essential difference from the case of $\alpha = 1$.

Now we will formulate our problem and results. We set

$$-Av(x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^d \partial_i(a_{ij}(x) \partial_j v)(x) + \sum_{j=1}^d b_j(x) \partial_j v(x) + c(x) v(x), \quad v \in H^2(\Omega),$$

(1.2)

where

$$a_{ij} = a_{ji} \in C^1(\overline{\Omega}), \quad b_j, c \in C^1(\overline{\Omega}), \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq d$$

and there exists a constant $\kappa > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^d a_{ij}(x) \xi_i \xi_j \geq \kappa \sum_{j=1}^d \xi_j^2, \quad x \in \overline{\Omega}, \quad \xi_1, ..., \xi_d \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We consider

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\partial_t^\alpha u(x, t) = -Au(x, t), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad 0 < t < T, \\
u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \\
u(\cdot, T) = b
\end{array} \right.$$

(1.3)

with $b \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega)$.

We state our first main result.

**Theorem 1.**

For each $b \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega)$, there exists a unique solution $u \in C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega)) \cap C((0, T]; H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega))$ to (1.3) such that $\partial_t^\alpha u \in C((0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$. Moreover we can choose constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ depending on $T$ such that

$$C_1 \|u(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \|u(\cdot, T)\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \leq C_2 \|u(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

(1.4)
To the best knowledge of the authors, Sakamoto and Yamamoto [16] is the first work for the well-posedness of the backward problem in time for the case of symmetric \( A \), that is, \( b_j \equiv 0 \) for \( 1 \leq j \leq d \). Moreover by a technical reason, [16] assumes that \( c \leq 0 \). As for backward problems for time-fractional equations with symmetric \( A \), we can refer to many works: Liu and Yamamoto [12], Tuan, Huynh, Ngoc, and Zhou [17]. In particular, as for numerical approaches, see Tuan, Long and Tatar [18], Tuan, Thach, O’Regan, and Can [19], Wang and Liu [20, 21], Wang, Wei and Zhou [22], Wei and Wang [23], Xiong, Wang and Li [24], Yang and Liu [25] and the references therein. However, we do not find the results for non-symmetric \( A \). Originally the backward well-posedness comes from the time fractional derivative \( \partial_t^\alpha \), and should not rely on the symmetry of the elliptic operator \( A \), and Theorem 1 is a natural generalization of the existing results since [16] to the case of a general uniform elliptic operator \( A \). As is seen by the proof, we can further prove

**Corollary.**

In Theorem 1, for each distinct \( T_1, T_2 > 0 \), there exist constants \( C_3 = C_3(T_1, T_2) > 0 \) and \( C_4 = C_4(T_1, T_2) > 0 \) such that

\[
C_3 \| u(\cdot, T_2) \|_{H^2(\Omega)} \leq \| u(\cdot, T_1) \|_{H^2(\Omega)} \leq C_4 \| u(\cdot, T_2) \|_{H^2(\Omega)}.
\]

Furthermore we can show also the backward well-posedness with the presence of a non-homogeneous term \( F \). For the formulation, we introduce some function spaces. Let

\[
-A_0 v(x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^d \partial_i (a_{ij}(x) \partial_j v), \quad D(A_0) = H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega).
\]

Then it is known that the spectrum \( \sigma(A_0) \) consists entirely of eigenvalues with finite multiplicities and with the multiplicities we number:

\[
0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3 < \cdots.
\]

Also we know that we can choose eigenfunctions \( \varphi_n \) for \( \lambda_n \), \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( \{ \varphi_n \}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) is an orthonormal basis in \( L^2(\Omega) \). Then we can define the fractional power \( A_0^\gamma \) with \( \gamma \geq 0 \) (e.g., Pazy [13]) and we know that \( D(A_0^\gamma) = H^1_0(\Omega) \), \( D(A_0^\gamma) \subset H^{2\gamma}(\Omega) \). Henceforth we set

\[
\| u \|_{D(A_0^\gamma)} = \| A_0^\gamma u \|.
\]

Now we are ready to state the well-posedness with non-homogeneous term.

**Theorem 2.**
Let \( F \in L^\infty(0,T; D(A_0^\varepsilon)) \) with some \( \varepsilon > 0 \). For each \( b \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega) \), there exists a unique solution \( u \in C((0,T]; H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega)) \cap C([0,T]; L^2(\Omega)) \) to

\[
\begin{cases}
\partial_t^\alpha u = -Au + F(x,t), & x \in \Omega, 0 < t < T, \\
u|_{\partial \Omega} = 0, \\
u(\cdot, T) = b
\end{cases}
\tag{1.7}
\]

and we can choose a constant \( C > 0 \) such that

\[
\|u(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C(\|u(\cdot, T)\|_{H^2(\Omega)} + \|F\|_{L^\infty(0,T; D(A_0^\varepsilon))}).
\]

The article is composed of three sections. In Section 2, we show fundamental properties of the fractional differential equations and Section 3 is devoted to the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2.

2. Preliminaries

Let us recall (1.5) and (1.6). For \( 0 < \alpha < 1 \) and \( \beta > 0 \), by \( E_{\alpha,\beta}(z) \) we denote the Mittag-Leffler function:

\[
E_{\alpha,\beta}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(\alpha k + \beta)}
\]

(e.g., Podlubny [14]). Then \( E_{\alpha,\beta}(z) \) is an entire function in \( z \in \mathbb{C} \). We set

\[
S(t)a = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a, \varphi_n) E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha) \varphi_n(x), \quad t \geq 0
\]

and

\[
K(t)a = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha)(a, \varphi_n) \varphi_n(x), \quad t > 0
\]

for \( a \in L^2(\Omega) \).

Henceforth we write \( u(t) = u(\cdot, t) \), etc., and we regard \( u \) as a mapping defined in \((0,T)\) with values in \( L^2(\Omega) \). Moreover \( u(t) \in H^1_0(\Omega) \) means \( u(\cdot, t) = 0 \) on \( \partial\Omega \) in the trace sense (e.g., [1]). Then we can see

Lemma 1.

(i) There exists a constant \( C > 0 \) such that

\[
\|S(t)a\| \leq C\|a\|, \quad t \geq 0
\]
and
\[ \| A_0 S(t) a \| \leq C t^{-\alpha} \| a \|, \; t > 0. \] (2.2)

For \( 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1 \), there exists a constant \( C(\gamma) > 0 \) such that
\[ \| A_0^\gamma K(t) a \| \leq C(\gamma) t^{\alpha(1-\gamma)-1} \| a \|, \; t > 0. \] (2.3)

(ii) Let \( G \in L^\infty(0,T; \mathcal{D}(A_0)) \) with some \( \varepsilon > 0 \) and \( a \in L^2(\Omega) \). Then
\[ u(t) = S(t) a + \int_0^t K(t-s) G(s) ds, \; t > 0 \] (2.4)
is in \( C((0,T]; H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega)) \) and satisfies \( \partial_t^\alpha u \in L^1(0,T; L^2(\Omega)) \),
\[
\begin{aligned}
\partial_t^\alpha u(t) &= -A_0 u(t) + G(t), \; t > 0, \\
\lim_{t \to 0} \| u(\cdot,t) - a \| &= 0, \\
u(\cdot,t) &\in H^1_0(\Omega), \; 0 < t < T.
\end{aligned}
\] (2.5)

(iii) For each \( t > 0 \), there exists a constant \( C > 0 \) such that
\[ \| u(\cdot,t) \|_{H^2(\Omega)} \leq C(t^{-\alpha} \| a \| + \| A_0^\varepsilon G \|_{L^\infty(0,T; L^2(\Omega))}). \] (2.6)

We can prove stronger regularity of \( \partial_t^\alpha u \) but the lemma is sufficient for our purpose.

Proof of Lemma 1.

(i) We can refer to Gorenflo, Luchko and Yamamoto \[6\], and for completeness we give the proof. First we note
\[ |E_{\alpha,1}(-\eta)| \leq \frac{C}{1 + |\eta|}, \; \eta > 0 \] (2.6)
(e.g., Theorem 1.6 (p.35) in Podlubny \[14\]).

Since \( \{ \varphi_n \}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) is an orthonormal basis in \( L^2(\Omega) \), by (2.6) we have
\[ \| S(t) a \|^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |(a, \varphi_n)|^2 |E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha)|^2 \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |(a, \varphi_n)|^2 \left( \frac{C}{1 + |\lambda_n t^\alpha|} \right)^2 \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |(a, \varphi_n)|^2, \]
that is, (2.1) follows.

Next, since
\[ A_0 S(t) a = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a, \varphi_n) \lambda_n E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha) \varphi_n, \]
again by (2.6) we see
\[ \| A_0 S(t) a \|^2 = t^{-2\alpha} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |(a, \varphi_n)|^2 |\lambda_n t^\alpha|^2 |E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha)|^2 \]
which implies (2.2).

We have

\[ A_0^\gamma K(t) a = \sum_{n=1}^\infty t^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha) \lambda_n^\gamma (a, \varphi_n) \varphi_n, \]

and so

\[ \| A_0^\gamma K(t) a \|^2 \leq t^{2\alpha-2} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{C}{(1 + |\lambda_n t^\alpha|)^2} \lambda_n^{2\gamma} |(a, \varphi_n)|^2 \]

\[ = Ct^{2\alpha-2} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{\lambda_n^{2\gamma} t^{2\gamma \alpha}}{(1 + |\lambda_n t^\alpha|)^2} t^{-2\alpha \gamma} |(a, \varphi_n)|^2 \]

\[ \leq Ct^{2(\alpha-\gamma)-2} \sup_{\xi \geq 0} \left( \frac{\xi^{\gamma}}{1 + \xi} \right)^2 \sum_{n=1}^\infty |(a, \varphi_n)|^2. \]

By \( 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1 \), we see that \( \sup_{\xi \geq 0} \frac{\xi^{\gamma}}{1 + \xi} < \infty \), and so (2.3) can be seen. Thus the proof of Lemma 1 (i) is complete.

(ii) In terms of e.g., Theorem 4.1 in [6] and Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 in [16], we already know some regularity of \( u(t) \).

By Theorem 2.1 (i) in [16] or by (2.1), we can verify that \( S(t)a \in C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega)) \) and \( \lim_{t \to 0} \| S(t)a - a \| = 0 \). By (2.2), we see that

\[ A_0 \left( \sum_{n=1}^N (a, \varphi_n) E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha) \varphi_n \right) \]

converges in \( C([\delta, T]; L^2(\Omega)) \) as \( N \to \infty \) with arbitrarily fixed \( \delta > 0 \). Therefore \( A_0 S(t)a \in C([\delta, T]; L^2(\Omega)) \), which implies

\[ S(t)a \in C([\delta, T]; \mathcal{D}(A_0)) = C([\delta, T]; H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)). \] (2.7)

Moreover, using (2.6), we obtain

\[ \partial_t^\alpha S(t)a = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \partial_t^\alpha (E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha))(a, \varphi_n) \varphi_n = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \lambda_n E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha)(a, \varphi_n) \varphi_n, \]

and so we see that

\[ \| \partial_t^\alpha S(t)a \|^2 = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \lambda_n^2 |E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha)|^2 |(a, \varphi_n)|^2 = t^{-2\alpha} \sum_{n=1}^\infty (\lambda_n t^\alpha)^2 |E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha)|^2 |(a, \varphi_n)|^2 \]

\[ \leq Ct^{-2\alpha} \sum_{n=1}^\infty |(a, \varphi_n)|^2 \left( \frac{\lambda_n t^\alpha}{1 + \lambda_n t^\alpha} \right)^2 \leq Ct^{-2\alpha} \| a \|^2 \] (2.8)
and
\[ \partial_t^\alpha S(t)a \in C((0, T]; L^2(\Omega)) . \] (2.9)

By (2.3) with \( \gamma = 0 \), we can easily verify that
\[ \left\| \int_0^t K(t - s)G(s)ds \right\| \leq C \int_0^t (t - s)^{\alpha - 1}\|G(s)\|ds \]
\[ \leq C\|G\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega))} \frac{\mu^\alpha}{\alpha} \longrightarrow 0 . \]

Hence, with \( S(t)a \in C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega)) \), we see that \( \lim_{t \to 0} \|u(t) - a\| = 0 \).

Moreover by Theorem 2.2 (i) in [16], we see
\[ \partial_t^\alpha \left( \int_0^t K(t - s)G(s)ds \right) \in L^2(\Omega \times (0, T)) . \]

This with (2.8), we obtain \( \partial_t^\alpha u \in L^1(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \).

Now we will prove
\[ \int_0^t K(t - s)G(s)ds \in C((0, T]; H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega)) . \]

For arbitrarily fixed \( 0 < \delta_0 < \delta \), we set
\[ v_{\delta_0}(t) = \int_0^{t-\delta_0} A_0 K(t - s)G(s)ds, \quad t \geq \delta . \]

By (2.3) we can see that \( v_{\delta_0} \in C([\delta, T]; L^2(\Omega)) \). For \( \delta \leq t \leq T \), by (2.3) we estimate
\[ \left\| \int_0^t A_0 K(t - s)G(s)ds - v_{\delta_0}(t) \right\| = \left\| \int_{t-\delta_0}^t A_0 K(t - s)G(s)ds \right\| \]
\[ = \left\| \int_{t-\delta_0}^t A_0^{-\varepsilon} K(t - s)A_0^\varepsilon G(s)ds \right\| \leq C \int_{t-\delta_0}^t (t - s)^{\alpha\varepsilon - 1}\|A_0^\varepsilon G(s)\|ds \]
\[ \leq C\|A_0^\varepsilon G\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega))} \frac{\delta_0^{\alpha\varepsilon}}{\alpha\varepsilon} . \]

Hence
\[ v_{\delta_0} \longrightarrow \int_0^t A_0 K(t - s)G(s)ds \quad \text{in} \ C([\delta, T]; L^2(\Omega)) \]
as \( \delta_0 \to 0 \), and by \( v_{\delta_0} \in C([\delta, T]; L^2(\Omega)) \), we conclude that
\[ \int_0^t K(t - s)G(s)ds \in C([\delta, T]; H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega)) , \]
that is,
\[ \int_0^t K(t - s)G(s)ds \in C((0, T]; H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega)) . \]

Consequently by (2.7), we obtain \( u \in C((0, T]; H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega)) \).
Finally, by (2.3) we have
\[
\left\| A_0 \int_0^t K(t - s)G(s)ds \right\| = \left\| \int_0^t A_0^{1-\varepsilon}K(t - s)A_0^\varepsilon G(s)ds \right\|
\leq C \int_0^t (t - s)^{\alpha\varepsilon - 1}\| A_0^\varepsilon G(s) \| ds \leq C \| A_0^\varepsilon G \|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \frac{t^{\alpha\varepsilon}}{\alpha\varepsilon}.
\]

With (2.2), the proof of the part (iii) is complete. Thus the proof of Lemma 1 is complete.

Henceforth we set
\[
Bv(x) = \sum_{j=1}^d b_j(x)\partial_j v(x) + c(x)v(x), \quad v \in \mathcal{D}(A_0) = H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega).
\]

Next by Lemma 1, we can prove

Lemma 2.
Let \( F \in L^\infty(0,T;\mathcal{D}(A_0^\varepsilon)) \) with some \( \varepsilon > 0 \) and \( a \in L^2(\Omega) \). Then the solution \( u \) to (1.1) belongs to \( C([0,T];H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega)) \) and there exists a constant \( C > 0 \) depending on \( T \), such that
\[
\| u(T) \|_{H^2(\Omega)} \leq C(t^{-\alpha}\|a\| + \|A_0^\varepsilon F \|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}), \quad t > 0.
\]

Proof of Lemma 2.
Without loss of generality, we can assume that \( 0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{4} \). By Lemma 1, we have
\[
u(t) = S(t)a + \int_0^t K(t - s)F(s)ds + \int_0^t K(t - s)Bu(s)ds. \tag{2.10}
\]

By Gorenflo, Luchko and Yamamoto [6] or Kubica, Ryszewska and Yamamoto [10], we know that there exists a unique solution \( u \in C([0,T];L^2(\Omega)) \) to (2.10). Applying Lemma 1 (i), we have
\[
A_0u(t) = A_0S(t)a + \int_0^t A_0^{1-\varepsilon}K(t - s)A_0^\varepsilon F(s)ds + \int_0^t A_0^{1-\varepsilon}K(t - s)A_0^\varepsilon Bu(s)ds
\]
and
\[
\| u(t) \|_{H^2(\Omega)} \leq C t^{-\alpha}\|a\| + C \int_0^t (t - s)^{\alpha\varepsilon - 1}ds \| A_0^\varepsilon F \|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}
\]
\[
+ C \int_0^t (t - s)^{\alpha\varepsilon - 1}\| u(s) \|_{H^2(\Omega)}ds
\]
\[
\leq C(t^{-\alpha}\|a\| + \|A_0^\varepsilon F \|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}) + C \int_0^t (t - s)^{\alpha\varepsilon - 1}\| u(s) \|_{H^2(\Omega)}ds.
\]
Here we used the following: by $0 < \varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{2}$ we have $\|A_0^\varepsilon v\| \sim \|v\|_{H^2v(\Omega)}$ for $v \in \mathcal{D}(A_0^\varepsilon) = H^2v(\Omega)$ (e.g., Fujiwara [5]), and so

$$\|A_0^\varepsilon Bu(s)\| \leq C\|Bu(s)\|_{H^2v(\Omega)} \leq C\|u(s)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}$$

because $Bu(s) \in H^1(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{D}(A_0^\varepsilon)$ by $u(s) \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega)$. The generalized Gronwall inequality (e.g., Henry [8] or Lemma A.2 in [10]) yields

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \leq C(t^{-\alpha}\|a\| + \|A_0^\varepsilon F\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))})$$

$$+ Ce^{Ct} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1}(s^{-\alpha}\|a\| + \|A_0^\varepsilon F\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))})ds$$

$$\leq C(t^{-\alpha}\|a\| + \|A_0^\varepsilon F\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))})$$

$$+ Ce^{Ct} \left(\frac{t^{\alpha-\alpha}}{\alpha\varepsilon} \Gamma(\alpha\varepsilon)\Gamma(1-\alpha)\Gamma(1-\alpha + \alpha\varepsilon)\|a\| + t^{\alpha\varepsilon} \|A_0^\varepsilon F\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}\right).$$

Consequently

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \leq C(t^{-\alpha}\|a\| + \|A_0^\varepsilon F\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}).$$

Thus the proof of Lemma 2 is complete.

Finally we know

**Lemma 3.**

For $T > 0$, the operator $S(T) : L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega)$ is surjective and there exist constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that

$$C_1\|S(T)a\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \leq \|a\| \leq C_2\|S(T)a\|_{H^2(\Omega)}.$$

Lemma 3 is proved as Theorem 4.1 in [10], whose proof is based on the representation of $S(T)a$ by the eigenfunction expansion and the complete monotonicity of $E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_t^\alpha)$ (e.g., Gorenflo and Mainardi [7], Pollard [15]).

3. **Proofs of Theorems 1 and 2**

3.1. **Proof of Theorem 1.** In terms of the lower part $B$ of the elliptic operator $-A$, we can rewrite (1.1) as

$$\begin{cases} 
\partial_t^\alpha u(t) = -A_0 u(t) + Bu(t), & t > 0, \\
u(0) = a, \\
u(t) \in H^1_0(\Omega), & 0 < t < T.
\end{cases}$$

(3.1)
By Lemma 1, we have
\[ b := u_{a}(T) = S(T)a + \int_{0}^{T} K(T - s)Bu_{a}(s)ds. \] (3.2)
Here, by \( u_{a} = u_{a}(t) \), we denote the solution to (3.1). Applying Lemma 3 to (3.2), we obtain
\[ \frac{a}{S(T)^{-1}b - S(T)^{-1}\int_{0}^{T} K(T - s)Bu_{a}(s)ds} =: S(T)^{-1}b - L_{a}, \] (3.3)
where
\[ L_{a} = S(T)^{-1}\int_{0}^{T} K(T - s)Bu_{a}(s)ds. \] (3.4)

**First Step.**
We prove that \( L : L^{2}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{2}(\Omega) \) is a compact operator. We set
\[ L_{0}a = \int_{0}^{T} K(T - s)Bu_{a}(s)ds, \quad a \in L^{2}(\Omega). \]
Then \( L_{a} = S(T)^{-1}L_{0}a \).

We choose \( 0 < \delta_{0} < \delta_{1} < \frac{1}{4} \). We will estimate \( \|A_{0}^{1+\delta_{0}}L_{0}a\| \). We note that \( A_{0}^{\gamma}K(t)a = K(t)A_{0}^{\gamma}a \) for \( \gamma \geq 0 \) and \( a \in D(A_{0}^{\gamma}) \), which can be directly verified. By (2.3), we have
\[
\|A_{0}^{1+\delta_{0}}L_{0}a\| = \left\| \int_{0}^{T} A_{0}^{1+\delta_{0}}K(T - s)Bu_{a}(s)ds \right\| = \left\| \int_{0}^{T} A_{0}^{1+\delta_{0}-\delta_{1}}K(T - s)A_{0}^{\delta_{1}}B(u_{a}(s))ds \right\|
\leq C \int_{0}^{T} (T - s)^{\alpha(\delta_{1}-\delta_{0})-1}\|Bu_{a}(s)\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}ds \leq C \int_{0}^{T} (T - s)^{\alpha(\delta_{1}-\delta_{0})-1}s^{-\alpha}\|a\|ds.
\]
Here by \( 0 < \delta_{0} < \delta_{1} < \frac{1}{4} \), and \( b_{j}, c \in C^{1}(\overline{\Omega}) \) and Lemma 2, we used \( D(A_{0}^{\delta_{1}}) = H^{2\delta_{1}}(\Omega) \) (e.g., [5]) and
\[
\|A_{0}^{\delta_{1}}Bu_{a}(s)\| \leq C\|Bu_{a}(s)\|_{H^{2\delta_{1}}(\Omega)} \leq C\|u_{a}(s)\|_{H^{1+2\delta_{1}}(\Omega)} \leq C\|A_{0}u_{a}(s)\| \leq Cs^{-\alpha}\|a\|.
\]
Therefore
\[
\|A_{0}^{1+\delta_{0}}L_{0}a\| \leq C\|a\|\int_{0}^{T} (T - s)^{\alpha(\delta_{1}-\delta_{0})-1}s^{-\alpha}ds
\leq CT^{\alpha(\delta_{1}-\delta_{0})-1}\frac{\Gamma(\alpha(\delta_{1}-\delta_{0}))\Gamma(1-\alpha)}{\Gamma(1+\alpha(\delta_{1}-\delta_{0}))}\|a\|
\]
because \( \delta_{1} - \delta_{0} > 0 \).

Since \( D(A_{0}^{1+\delta_{0}}) \subset H^{2+2\delta_{0}}(\Omega) \) and the embedding \( H^{2+2\delta_{0}}(\Omega) \rightarrow H^{2}(\Omega) \) is compact, the operator \( L_{0} : L^{2}(\Omega) \rightarrow H^{2}(\Omega) \) is compact. Moreover \( S(T)^{-1} : H^{2}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{2}(\Omega) \) is bounded by Lemma 3, we see that \( L = S(T)^{-1}L_{0} : L^{2}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{2}(\Omega) \) is a compact operator.

**Second Step:**
Since \( b \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega) \), by Lemma 3 we have \( p := S(T)^{-1}b \in L^2(\Omega) \) and we rewrite (3.3) as

\[
(1 + L)a = p \quad \text{in } L^2(\Omega).
\]

(3.5)

In the First Step, we already prove that \( L : L^2(\Omega) \longrightarrow L^2(\Omega) \) is compact. Hence if we will prove that

\[
La = -a \quad \text{implies } a = 0,
\]

(3.6)

then the Fredholm alternative yields that \((1 + L)^{-1} : L^2(\Omega) \longrightarrow L^2(\Omega)\) is a bounded operator, and the proof can be finished.

Equation (3.6) implies

\[
S(T)a + \int_0^T K(T - s)Bu_a(s)ds = 0 \quad \text{in } L^2(\Omega).
\]

Then we have to prove \( a = 0 \). For it, by means of Lemma 1 (ii), it is sufficient to prove that if \( w \) satisfies

\[
\begin{cases}
\partial_t^\alpha w(t) = -Aw(t), \\
w(t) \in H^1_0(\Omega), \quad 0 < t < T
\end{cases}
\]

and \( w(T) = 0 \) in \( L^2(\Omega) \), then \( w(0) = 0 \).

We recall that the operator \( A \) is defined by (1.2) with \( \mathcal{D}(A) = H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega) \). Then it is known that the spectrum \( \sigma(A) \) of \( A \) consists entirely of eigenvalues with finite multiplicities. We denote \( \sigma(A) \) by \( \{\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots\} \). Here \( \sigma(A) \) is a set and so \( \mu_i \) and \( \mu_j, i \neq j \) are mutually distinct. Let \( P_n \) be the projection for \( \mu_n, n \in \mathbb{N} \) defined by

\[
P_n = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_{\gamma(\mu_n)} (z - A)^{-1}dz,
\]

where \( \gamma(\mu_n) \) is a circle centered at \( \mu_n \) with sufficiently small radius such that disc bounded by \( \gamma(\mu_n) \) does not contain any points in \( \sigma(A) \setminus \{\mu_n\} \). Then \( P_n : L^2(\Omega) \longrightarrow L^2(\Omega) \) is a bounded linear operator and \( P_n^2 = P_n \) for \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) (e.g., Kato [9]). Setting \( m_n := \dim P_nL^2(\Omega) \), we have \( m_n < \infty \).

The following is a fundamental fact:

**Lemma 4.**

If \( y \in L^2(\Omega) \) satisfies \( P_n y = 0 \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), then \( y = 0 \).

**Proof.**

First we note

\[
(A^*v)(x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^d \partial_i(a_{ij} \partial_j v) - \sum_{j=1}^d \partial_j(b_j v) + c(x)v, \quad \mathcal{D}(A^*) = H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega).
\]

Let \( P_n^* \) be the adjoint operator of \( P_n \): \( (P_n \varphi, \psi) = (\varphi, P_n^* \psi) \) for each \( \varphi, \psi \in L^2(\Omega) \).
Then it is known (e.g., [9]) that

\[ \sigma(A^*) = \{\overline{\mu_n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \]

where \( \overline{\mu} \) denotes the complex conjugate of \( \mu \in \mathbb{C} \) and \( P_n^* \) is the projection for the eigenvalue \( \overline{\mu_n} \) of \( A^* \), and \( \dim P_n^* L^2(\Omega) = \dim P_n L^2(\Omega) = m_n \). Then by Theorem 16.5 in Agmon [2], we have

\[ \text{Span}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} P_n^* L^2(\Omega) = L^2(\Omega), \]

that is,

\[ (y, P_n^* \psi) = 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \psi \in L^2(\Omega) \quad \text{imply} \quad y = 0. \]  

(3.7)

Now we can complete the proof of Lemma 4. Let \( P_n y = 0 \) for \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). Then \( (P_n y, \psi) = 0 \) for all \( \psi \in L^2(\Omega) \). Therefore \( 0 = (P_n y, \psi) = (y, P_n^* \psi) \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( \psi \in L^2(\Omega) \), which yields \( y = 0 \) by (3.7).

Next we note \( \partial^\alpha_t (P_n u(t)) = P_n \partial^\alpha_t u(t) \) because \( P_n : L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega) \) is a bounded operator. We set \( u_n(t) = P_n u(t) \). Then

\[ P_n A u_n(t) = A u_n(t) = -\mu_n u_n(t) + D_n u_n(t), \]

where \( D_n \) is an operator satisfying \( D_n^{m_n} = O \), which corresponds to the Jordan canonical form. Then (3.1) yields

\[
\begin{cases}
\partial^\alpha_t u_n(t) = (-\mu_n + D_n) u_n(t), \\
u_n(0) = P_n a, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.
\end{cases}
\]

Therefore the power series of the Mittag-Leffler function define an operator \( E_{\alpha,1}((-\mu_n + D_n)t^\alpha) \), and we can directly verify

\[ u_n(t) = E_{\alpha,1}((-\mu_n + D_n)t^\alpha) P_n a, \quad t > 0. \]  

(3.8)

Now we calculate the right-hand side of (3.8). Correspondingly to the Jordan canonical form, we can choose a suitable basis of \( P_n L^2(\Omega) \):

\[ \psi_j^k : k = 1, \ldots, \ell_n, \quad j = 1, \ldots, d_k \]

satisfying \( \sum_{k=1}^{\ell_n} d_k = m_n \), and

\[
\begin{cases}
(A - \mu_n) \psi_1^k = 0, \\
(A - \mu_n) \psi_2^k = \psi_1^k, \\
\hdots, \\
(A - \mu_n) \psi_{d_k}^k = \psi_{d_k-1}^k, \quad 1 \leq k \leq \ell_n.
\end{cases}
\]
We expand $P_n a$ in terms of this basis in $P_n L^2(\Omega)$:

$$P_n a = \sum_{k=1}^{\ell_n} \sum_{j=1}^{d_k} a_j^k \psi_j^k.$$ 

Then

$$E_{\alpha,1}((-\mu_n + D_n) t^\alpha)(\psi_1^k \psi_2^k \cdots \psi_{d_k}^k)\left( \begin{array}{c} a_1^k \\ \vdots \\ a_{d_k}^k \end{array} \right) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{\alpha m}(-\mu_n + D_n)^m}{\Gamma(\alpha m + 1)} (\psi_1^k \psi_2^k \cdots \psi_{d_k}^k)\left( \begin{array}{c} a_1^k \\ \vdots \\ a_{d_k}^k \end{array} \right).$$

$$= (\psi_1^k \psi_2^k \cdots \psi_{d_k}^k) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{\alpha m}}{\Gamma(\alpha m + 1)} \begin{pmatrix} -\mu_n^m & * & & * \\ 0 & -\mu_n^m & \cdots & * \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & -\mu_n^m \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1^k \\ \vdots \\ a_{d_k}^k \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

Since $u_n(T) = 0$, we see that each component of the above is equal to 0 at $t = T$, and so

$$E_{\alpha,1}(-\mu_n T^\alpha) a_1^k + \sum_{p=1}^{d_k} \theta_{1p} a_p^k = 0,$$

$$E_{\alpha,1}(-\mu_n T^\alpha) a_2^k + \sum_{p=3}^{d_k} \theta_{2p} a_p^k = 0,$$

$$\ldots$$

$$E_{\alpha,1}(-\mu_n T^\alpha) a_{d_k-1}^k + \theta_{d_k-1,d_k} a_{d_k}^k = 0,$$

$$E_{\alpha,1}(-\mu_n T^\alpha) a_{d_k}^k = 0,$$

(3.9)

where $\theta_{jp}$ with $j + 1 \leq p \leq d_k$ and $j = 1, \ldots, d_k - 1$, are some constants depending also on $T$. By the complete monotonicity (e.g., Gorenflo and Mainardi [7], and Pollard [15]), we see that $E_{\alpha,1}(-\mu_n T^\alpha) \neq 0$. Therefore by the backward substitution in (3.9), we can sequentially obtain $a_{d_k}^k = 0$, $a_{d_k-1}^k = 0$, $\ldots$, $a_1^k = 0$ for $k = 1, \ldots, \ell_n$. Hence $P_n a = 0$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we reach $a = 0$ in $L^2(\Omega)$. Thus the proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

3.2. Proof of Theorem 2. Let $w = w(t)$ be the solution to

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \partial_t^\alpha w(t) = -Aw(t) + F, & t > 0, \\ w(0) = 0, & w(t) \in H_0^1(\Omega), & t > 0. \end{array} \right.$$
By $F \in L^\infty(0, T; \mathcal{D}(A_0^s))$, Lemma 2 proves that $w \in C((0, T]; H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega))$. We consider

$$\begin{cases}
\partial_t^s v(t) = -Av(t), & t > 0, \\
v(T) = b - w(T), & v(t) \in H^1_0(\Omega), & t > 0.
\end{cases} \tag{3.10}$$

By Theorem 1, for $b \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega)$, there exists a unique solution $v \in C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega)) \cap C((0, T]; H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega))$ such that $\partial_t^s v \in C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$ to (3.10). Setting $u = v + w$, we see that $u(T) = b - w(T) + w(T) = b$. Then we can verify that $u$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases}
\partial_t^s u(t) = -Au(t) + F(t), & t > 0, \\
u(T) = b, & u(t) \in H^1_0(\Omega), & t > 0.
\end{cases}$$

The uniqueness of $u$ is seen by Theorem 1. Thus the proof of Theorem 2 is complete.
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