The Pattern of Visual Fixation Eccentricity and Instability in Optic Neuropathy and Its Spatial Relationship to Retinal Ganglion Cell Layer Thickness

Robert M. Mallery,1–3 Pieter Poolman,4 Matthew J. Thurtell,3,4 Jui-Kai Wang,4,5 Mona K. Garvin,4,5 Johannes Ledolter,4 and Randy H. Kardon3,4

1Department of Neurology, Brigham and Women’s Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, United States
2Department of Ophthalmology, Massachusetts Eye and Ear Infirmary, Boston, Massachusetts, United States
3Department of Ophthalmology and Visual Sciences, University of Iowa Hospitals and Clinics, Iowa City, Iowa, United States
4Iowa City VA Center for the Prevention and Treatment of Visual Loss, Iowa City, Iowa, United States
5Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, United States

PURPOSE. The purpose of this study was to assess whether clinically useful measures of fixation instability and eccentricity can be derived from retinal tracking data obtained during optical coherence tomography (OCT) in patients with optic neuropathy (ON) and to develop a method for relating fixation to the retinal ganglion cell complex (GCC) thickness.

METHODS. Twenty-nine patients with ON underwent macular volume OCT with 30 seconds of confocal scanning laser ophthalmoscope (cSLO)-based eye tracking during fixation. Kernel density estimation quantified fixation instability and fixation eccentricity from the distribution of fixation points on the retina. Preferred ganglion cell layer loci (PGCL) and their relationship to the GCC thickness map were derived, accounting for radial displacement of retinal ganglion cell soma from their corresponding cones.

RESULTS. Fixation instability was increased in ON eyes (0.21 deg²) compared with normal eyes (0.06982 deg²; P < 0.001), and fixation eccentricity was increased in ON eyes (0.48°) compared with normal eyes (0.24°; P = 0.03). Fixation instability and eccentricity each correlated moderately with logMAR acuity and were highly predictive of central visual field loss. Twenty-six of 35 ON eyes had PGCL skewed toward local maxima of the GCC thickness map. Patients with bilateral dense central scotomas had PGCL in homonymous retinal locations with respect to the fovea.

CONCLUSIONS. Fixation instability and eccentricity measures obtained during cSLO-OCT assess the function of perifoveal retinal elements and predict central visual field loss in patients with ON. A model relating fixation to the GCC thickness map offers a method to assess the structure–function relationship between fixation and areas of preserved GCC in patients with ON.
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Fixational eye movements function to stabilize images on the retina and are a major target for rehabilitation strategies for visual loss due to macular scotomas. Abnormalities of fixation are well described in patients with macular scotomas due to retinal disease and geographic atrophy, for which the precise retinal loci of fixation can be related to the region of geographic atrophy using confocal scanning laser ophthalmoscope (cSLO)-based technology. When macular disease affects the fovea, patients frequently adopt an alternate preferred retinal locus (PRL) of fixation eccentric to the fovea.1–3 The PRL and the stability of fixation influence visual acuity obtained and performance on visually demanding tasks such as reading.4,5 Improvement in visual acuity has been shown to mirror improvement in fixation stability in patients with AMD treated with intravitreal anti-vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) therapy,6 and rehabilitative strategies targeted on modifying fixation and improving reading speed have been proposed as a major form of therapy in patients with low vision.

Less is known about how fixation is altered by optic neuropathies (ONs) that involve central vision. Fixation abnormalities in patients with ON are becoming increasingly recognized, and similar diagnostic and rehabilitative strategies may be applicable for patients with central vision loss related to ON.7–10 In ON, the spatial pattern of neuron loss across the macula may influence the PRL, but a method to compare fixation loci with the thickness of the inner retina layers has been lacking. In contrast to macular degeneration, cSLO images of the retina may appear normal in ON. Spatial correlation of the distribution of fixation loci on the retina with the corresponding retinal ganglion cell layer (GCL) thickness may provide new insights into the fixation pattern of a patient with ON.

Confocal SLO-optical coherence tomography (cSLO-OCT) offers a promising means for assessing fixation abnormalities in patients with ON. For example, during a Spectralis OCT examination (Heidelberg Engineering, Heidelberg, Germany), a
patient is asked to fixate on a target while a cSLO tracks retinal position during the acquisition of the OCT scan. By saving the retinal positions during the OCT scan, a high-resolution record of fixation is available from the device. Segmentation of the OCT volume scan also allows fixation data from the cSLO to be related to the structural integrity of individual retinal layers. Here we introduce a method that utilizes the eye tracking coordinates recorded during OCT acquisition to localize fixation points on the retina, derive measures of fixation, and relate fixation to the thickness of the ganglion cell complex (GCC), which is a combination of the GCL and inner plexiform layer (IPL). We assessed whether measures of fixation can identify eyes with ON and central scotomas, and we characterized the topographic relationship between the fixation pattern on the retina and the GCC in eyes with unilateral and bilateral ON.

**Methods**

**Subjects**

Twenty-nine patients with ON (20 unilateral and 9 bilateral) were recruited prospectively from the neuro-ophthalmology clinic at the University of Iowa Department of Ophthalmology and Visual Sciences. After exclusion of eyes with concurrent retinal abnormalities, the two study groups consisted of 35 eyes with ON and 19 unaffected eyes. Mean subject age was 51 years, and the etiology and duration of ON varied among patients, including nonarteritic ischemic ON (NAION; n = 7; duration [years]: 0.08, 0.1, 1.0, 6.0, 6.9), Leber hereditary ON (n = n.d.; congenital). Of the 496 pixels and consisted of a mean of nine horizontal B-scan reconstructions (Figs. 1A–C). The initial reference SLO image was identified as the thinnest portion of the retinal nerve fiber and ganglion cell layers and the junction between the inner plexiform and inner nuclear layers. The automated segmented layers were inspected for errors and manually corrected if present. The position of the fovea in the reference SLO image was identified as the thinnest portion of the retina between the internal limiting membrane and basement membrane (ILM-BM) within the foveola zone and manually corrected if necessary using the vertical B-scans and horizontal B-scan reconstructions (Figs. 1A–C). The initial point of fixation on the retina was the center of the reference image, which corresponds to both the optical center of the SLO and the location of the center fixation LED of the Spectralis. The full set of fixation points on the retina was derived by applying the affine transformation of the tracking log to the location of the initial fixation point (Fig. 1D).

**Optical Coherence Tomography With Retinal Tracking**

Each patient underwent a macular volume cSLO-OCT using the Spectralis platform (Heidelberg Engineering). Each macular volume scan consisted of 49 vertically oriented B-scans spanning a 20° X 20° area. The SLO images and OCT B-scans were obtained at the high-resolution (HR) setting; the SLO resolution measured 1536 X 1536 pixels, and each B-scan measured 1024 X 496 pixels and consisted of a mean of nine individual B-scans registered by Heidelberg’s Automatic Realtime Tracking (ART) system. A program installed by Heidelberg Engineering logged the retinal position acquired during eye tracking at a frequency of 4.8 Hz, the frame rate for HR video on the Spectralis. This frame rate is based on the line scan speed of the SLO (8000 lines/s) and the time equivalent required to reset the scanning laser for the next frame (125 lines). Each row of the tracking log contained values representing an affine transformation of the reference SLO image of the OCT to the active SLO video frame, providing horizontal, vertical, and rotational values for eye position recorded at the 4.8 Hz frame rate. With the contralateral eye occluded, each patient was instructed to fixate on the central internal blue fixation target while eye tracking was logged for 30 seconds before acquisition of the OCT B-scans.

**Localization of the Fovea and Retinal Fixation Points**

Three-dimensional (3D) segmentation (Iowa Reference Algorithm) was applied to each macular volume scan to segment 10 retinal layers. The Iowa Reference Algorithm (http://www.biomed-imaging.uiowa.edu/downloads) is a fully 3D, automated algorithm,11–14 which can accurately measure the macular GCL-IPL complex in the presence of optic disc edema. The incorporation of 3D information allows the Iowa Reference Algorithm to decrease segmentation error.11–14 The boundaries of the macular GCL-IPL were defined by the junction between the retinal nerve fiber and ganglion cell layers and the junction between the inner plexiform and inner nuclear layers. The automated segmented layers were inspected for errors and manually corrected if present. The position of the fovea in the reference SLO image was identified as the thinnest portion of the retina between the internal limiting membrane and basement membrane (ILM-BM) within the foveola zone and manually corrected if necessary using the vertical B-scans and horizontal B-scan reconstructions (Figs. 1A–C). The initial point of fixation on the retina was the center of the reference image, which corresponds to both the optical center of the SLO and the location of the center fixation LED of the Spectralis. The full set of fixation points on the retina was derived by applying the affine transformation of the tracking log to the location of the initial fixation point (Fig. 1D).

**Calculation of Fixation Instability, Preferred Retinal Loci, and Eccentricity**

Kernel density estimation (KDE), a nonparametric method, was used to calculate the probability of fixating at each point on the retina with respect to the fovea. By a previously described method,15 fixation instability for each eye was calculated as the area of the 68% isoline of the KDE (Fig. 1E). The PRLs were defined as the centroid of distinct retinal areas enclosed by a component of the 68% isoline and containing at least 10% of the total number for fixation points. Fixation eccentricity was defined as the weighted displacement of all PRL from the fovea (Fig. 2).

**Comparative Measures of Visual Function**

Snellen visual acuity was converted to log of the minimum angle of resolution (logMAR) by calculating the base 10 logarithm of 1 divided by the Snellen fraction. Kinetic Goldmann perimeter was objectively quantified by calculating visual field volume scores using only the 11e, 12e, and 14e isotopes that were routinely assessed in all patients. The visual fields were scanned at high resolution, and ImageJ software (http://rsbweb.nih.gov/ij; provided in the public domain by the National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA) and a touchscreen device were used to trace and calculate the area of each isopter minus any scotomata. To account for different image resolutions, the areas were normalized by the area enclosed by the central 60° of the...
Goldmann visual field. Each isopter was assigned a \(z\)-axis value according to the relative luminous energy of the stimulus (\(I_{1e} = 1000, I_{2e} = 100, I_{4e} = 10\)). The visual field volume score was obtained by summing the products of each normalized isopter area and its associated \(z\)-axis value.

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using STATA Version 14 (College Station, TX, USA). Statistical significance was assumed at \(P < 0.05\). Wilcoxon rank sum tests were used to compare median fixation instability and eccentricity for all eyes with ON compared with all normal eyes. In patients with unilateral ON, Wilcoxon signed-rank tests were used to compare fixation instability and eccentricity in affected eyes with their corresponding unaffected eye. The 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for median values were calculated using a binomial method. Ordinary least squares linear regression was used to compare fixation instability and eccentricity measures with logMAR acuity and visual field volume. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis determined optimal threshold values for detecting ON and central visual field defects (central scotomata), based on fixation instability and fixation eccentricity. Central visual loss was defined as the inability to detect the \(I_{2e}\) stimulus within the central 5° on Goldmann perimetry or a reduction in sensitivity in the four center-most stimulus locations on Humphrey automated perimetry by more than 5 dB. Optimal threshold values maximized the sum of sensitivity and specificity for each ROC analysis.

**Correlation of Fixation With Retinal GCL Thickness**

Three-dimensional automated segmentation of the GCC (GCL + IPL) was reviewed for accuracy, and manual correction of the GCC segmentation was performed if necessary. Ganglion cell complex thickness maps with voxel size \(21 \times 21\) pixels (0.41° \(\times 0.41\)) were created from the segmented data and centered on the fovea. The voxel size represented the smallest possible square voxel size without interpolation and was derived from 49 B-scans that cover 20° (20°/49 = 0.41°).

To determine whether a structural–functional relationship exists between fixation and areas of intact GCL, the coordinates of the fixation points on the retina (corresponding to photoreceptors) were transformed to the coordinates of RGC soma within the GCC thickness map by implementing a 2D model to account for displacement of RGC soma from their corresponding photoreceptor inner segments (Fig. 3). Kernel density estimation was applied to the locations of these RGC soma to identify preferred loci overlying the GCC thickness map.
that correlate with the subject’s fixation. We termed this area of the GCC thickness map the preferred ganglion cell locus (PGCL). The PGCL was compared with the GCC thickness map for all eyes with ON and all normal eyes. For unilateral ON eyes where fixation corresponded poorly with remaining GCC thickness, fixation was also compared with the fellow normal eye, and in patients with bilateral ON, fixation was compared with the contralateral affected eye also.

**Computational Methods**

Computational analysis including image processing, calculation of fixation instability and eccentricity measures, and modeling of ganglion cell displacement from the cone inner segments was performed using custom written code in MATLAB Version 8.5 (MathWorks, Inc., Natick, MA, USA). The Iowa Reference programing language.

**RESULTS**

Fixation instability (area of the 68% isoline of the KDE) was increased in ON eyes (0.21 deg$^2$; 95% CI, 0.12–0.32 deg$^2$) compared with normal eyes (0.069 deg$^2$; 95% CI, 0.030–0.090 deg$^2$; $P < 0.001$), as shown in Figure 4A. A paired comparison of the affected eyes with normal eyes of patients with unilateral ON also showed a significant increase in fixation instability in the ON eyes (0.17 deg$^2$; 95% CI, 0.092–0.37 deg$^2$) compared with normal eyes (0.063 deg$^2$; 95% CI, 0.029–0.081 deg$^2$; $P = 0.001$), as shown in Figure 4B. Similarly, fixation eccentricity was increased in ON eyes (0.48; 95% CI, 0.25–0.88) compared with controls (0.24; 95% CI, 0.17–0.33; $P = 0.03$), as shown in Figure 4C, and a paired comparison of affected and normal eyes in patients with unilateral ON confirmed an increase in the amount of eccentric fixation in ON eyes (0.58; 95% CI, 0.23–0.88) compared with normal eyes (0.24; 95% CI, 0.17–0.34; $P = 0.006$) as shown in Figure 4D.

Linear regression analysis (Fig. 5) showed moderate correlation of best-corrected visual acuity expressed as logMAR with fixation instability (adjusted $R^2 = 0.47$; $P < 0.001$) and fixation eccentricity (adjusted $R^2 = 0.49$; $P < 0.001$). Larger values of fixation instability or fixation eccentricity were highly suggestive of the presence of ON and central visual field loss. There was no correlation of visual field volume derived from the Goldmann size I isopters with fixation instability (adjusted $R^2 = 0.04$; $P = 0.15$) or fixation eccentricity (adjusted $R^2 = 0.03$; $P = 0.17$).

Receiver operating characteristic analysis determined the utility of abnormal fixation instability and eccentricity for determining whether a central scotoma was present (Figs. 6A, B). The ROC curve for predicting central visual field loss based on fixation instability had an area under the curve (AUC) of 0.93 (Fig. 6A), and the ROC curve for predicting central visual field based on fixation eccentricity had an AUC of 0.90 (Fig. 6B). A threshold value for fixation instability of greater than 0.25$^2$ had a sensitivity of 79% and specificity of 94%, and a threshold value for fixation eccentricity of greater than 0.8$^2$ had a sensitivity of 74% and specificity of 100%.

The ROC curve for predicting ON (with or without a central scotoma) based on fixation instability had an AUC of 0.80, and the ROC curve for predicting ON based on fixation eccentricity had an AUC of 0.68. Optimal sensitivity and specificity values for predicting the presence of ON were calculated for each measure of fixation, giving a sensitivity of 83% and specificity of 68% for fixation instability worse than 0.08 deg$^2$, and a sensitivity of 57% and specificity of 89% for fixation eccentricity greater than 0.40$^2$.

Twelve of 18 eyes with unilateral ON had PGCLs that were spatially skewed toward the more intact (thicker) areas of the GCC thickness map (Fig. 7A). In patients with bilateral ON (16 eyes), all but 2 eyes had PGCLs that were skewed toward the local maxima of GCC thickness (Fig. 7B). In patients with bilateral, central scotomas with moderate-severely reduced acuities, the PGCL were located in symmetric (homonymous)
regions of the GCC with respect to the fovea (Fig. 7C). In four of six eyes where PGCL corresponded unexpectedly to local minima of the GCC thickness maps, the position of the PGCL relative to the fovea was similar to that of the contralateral unaffected eye (Fig. 7D). In total, 26 of 35 eyes with ON had a pattern of fixation on the retina that correlated spatially with the intact region of the ganglion cell thickness map.

**DISCUSSION**

The manner by which patients with central visual loss fixate is fundamental to interpreting clinical tests of visual function and represents an important measure for understanding how patients adapt to visual loss. In patients with retinal disease or ON, improvement in visual function measurements are likely due to functional recovery of injured elements of the retina or optic nerve or changes in the receptive field properties of recipient neurons in visual cortex, resulting in adaptive changes in fixation. Disentangling the effects of these distinct processes to better understand the contribution of each is critical for assessing the efficacy of targeted therapies for retinal disease and ONs.

We implemented a method to take advantage of the cSLO-based retinal tracking capabilities of newer generation OCT devices to assess fixation abnormalities and derive the pattern of fixation relative to the GCC in patients with ON. We found that fixation preferences, as quantified by fixation instability and eccentricity measures, were altered in patients with ON. Eyes with ON had a 2- to 3-fold increase in fixation instability and a greater than 2-fold increase in fixation eccentricity compared with normal eyes. As suggested by ROC analysis, fixation instability and eccentricity measures were only moderately predictive of the presence of ON. This was most likely influenced by the fact that, of the ON eyes tested in this study, not all had central visual loss affecting fixation. However, when ROC analysis was performed comparing eyes with central scotomata versus without central scotomata, increased fixation instability and eccentricity were highly predictive of the presence of central visual field loss (Fig. 6). A large proportion of the affected eyes (16/35), such as those with altitudinal visual field loss, had areas of preserved central visual
field and only moderately affected fixation stability or eccentricity. Another interesting pattern was the presence of a "fenestration" within a central scotoma that allowed a person to centrally fixate, even though a dense scotoma was plotted using standard perimetric testing. This highlights another value of precise assessment of retinal fixation points that may contradict visual acuity measurements recorded using a standard logMAR acuity test; small seeing areas within a large, dense central scotoma may not be apparent by standard visual acuity testing, depending on the size letter that a patient is shown.

Fixation stability and eccentricity measures correlated only moderately with best-corrected logMAR visual acuity, suggesting their utility for providing additive information about central visual function. While visual acuity measurements assess the ability to resolve features of an accommodative target, fixation is a dynamic process that is dependent on the target type and luminance properties, and patients with vision loss may shift fixation between multiple PRLs.

The lack of correlation of fixation stability and eccentricity with global measures of visual field volume confirms that fixation is predominantly affected by changes in central visual function. Measures of fixation are capable of interrogating the function of perifoveal retinal elements in a manner that is beyond the spatial resolution of standard perimetry and may provide additional information not evident on visual field testing. Normal eyes fixated with a mean eccentricity of 0.26° from the fovea anatomical center; the retinal area of fixation did not always colocalize with the nadir of the foveal pit and was often located over the slope of the foveal pit. Optic neuropathy eyes had a median fixation eccentricity of 0.48° from the fovea anatomic center; the closest stimuli to the fixation target in standard clinical automated perimetry is 3° using a 24-2 test strategy and 1° using a 10-2 test strategy and therefore do not provide the spatial resolution needed to precisely assess fixation. Also, with standard perimetry, the location of fixation is not recorded at the time visual threshold is tested, leading to potential errors in the detection and localization of a small scotoma.

The work by others to model the displacement of RGC soma from their corresponding cones has provided a framework for relating measures of visual function to structural changes of the GCC in patients with ON. Fixation in normal patients occurs within the foveola zone (mean eccentricity from the fovea center of 0.26° in the normal eyes in our study), and the first RGCs do not appear until 150–200 µm (approximately 0.5°) radial from the fovea center. In patients with ON who fixate eccentrically, there can be an up to a 2° difference in the location of the retinal cones with respect to the corresponding RGC soma (Fig. 3A). Correction for RGC displacement is therefore critical for relating the location of fixation on the retina to local areas of the GCC.
Our analysis of fixation based on GCC thickness provided several important observations. Fixation correlated with thicker regions of GCC thickness in a majority of ON eyes, but in some patients, correlated with a relatively thinned region. Poor correlation occurred in six patients with unilateral ON, four of whom had PGCLs that were highly similar to the PGCL of the contralateral normal eye; this suggests that patients with unilateral ON may utilize suboptimal fixation in the affected eye due to the influence from the unaffected, better-seeing eye. Alternatively, PGCLs in relatively thin areas of the GCC may suggest better visual potential than predicted by the degree of GCC thinning. Finally, the pattern of fixation is likely to be task dependent and may be influenced by whether the target is a simple object such as the one used in our study, an optotype, or even words as part of a more complex reading task. The two patients with symmetric bilateral visual loss and central scotomas due to LHON adopted eccentric PRGL located in similar locations in each eye (Fig. 7C). For these patients, the GCC was diffusely thin, and the location of the PRGL may indicate focal areas of better retinal sensitivity or be influenced by optimal placement of the central scotoma to maximize visual function.

In conclusion, retina movement data collected during fixation on an internal target with a cSLO-OCT in patients with ON provides an additional measure of visual function that is highly predictive of central visual loss, influenced primarily by the function of foveal and perifoveal retinal elements, and additive to other clinical testing. We established a framework for relating fixation points on the retina to the GCC thickness map, a tool that will allow further study of the role that fixation plays in improvement of visual function measures after ON. The principle of correlating fixation measured by cSLO-OCT with individual retinal layers is generalizable to patients with other diseases affecting the retina.
**Figure 6.** Receiver operating characteristic analysis for fixation instability and eccentricity predicting the presence of a central scotoma. AUC, area under the curve.

**Figure 7.** Examples of fixation patterns relative to the GCC thickness map. (A) Four ON eyes with PGCL shifted favorably toward the thickest region of the GCC. (B) Two patients with bilateral ON and PGCL that correlate highly with the more intact, thicker locations of GCC. (C) Two patients with bilateral dense central scotomas and eccentric fixation with PGCL displaced in the same general location from the fovea in the right and left eye of the same patient. (D) Two patients with fixation correlating poorly to the GCC in the eye with greater visual field and structural loss. GPA, granulomatosis with polyangiitis; LHON, Leber hereditary optic neuropathy; NAION, nonarteritic anterior ischemic optic neuropathy; PION, posterior ischemic optic neuropathy.
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