Introduction
With the increasing utilization of cesarean section for delivery, there has been a recent rise in the identification of a particular type of ectopic pregnancies. In these ectopic pregnancies, namely, cesarean scar pregnancy (CSP), there is gestational sac implantation in the hysterotomy scar, which was first described by Larsen and Solomon in 1978 (1). It is assumed that endometrial and myometrial disruption due to poor healing can predispose abnormal pregnancy implantation in this area although it has been speculated that implantation may occur through a microscopic tract into the myometrium (2-4). CSP is a life-threatening condition that can cause abnormal placentation, uterine rupture, and hemorrhage and can even lead to death (5).

Different modalities have been suggested for CSP management, including systemic or local methotrexate (MTX) injection, uterine artery embolization (UAE), surgical wedge resection, and hysteroscopic management (6-8). Despite the rising incidence, current evidence is still based on case series and individual case reports, and there is no consensus or established guideline on the management of CSP (9). In this report, we reviewed our experience in the management of CSP over the past 3 years in our referral center, suggesting a decision-making algorithm in this respect.

Materials and Methods
Forty-four patients with CSP were registered in our department from March 2014 to February 2017. The patients were referred with the diagnosis of CSP or were diagnosed during our assessments. The diagnosis was confirmed using transvaginal ultrasonography (TVS) by a single operator, namely, an experienced perinatologist (i.e., SB). For the diagnosis of CSP, we employed the criteria described by Timor-Tritsch et al (10). The vascularity of the gestational sac was also assessed by Doppler ultrasonography. The patients with severe vaginal bleeding and tachycardia or low blood pressure were considered unstable (11) and underwent UAE. On the other hand, stable patients were classified into two subgroups with and without a fetal heart rate (FHR). The patients with the
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Cesarean scar pregnancy (CSP) is a life-threatening condition that there is not any consensus about its management. The current study proposes an effective and minimally invasive algorithm for the management of CSP.

Results

Forty-four patients in the age range of 33.9 ± 4.9 years with CSP were included in this study. The median cesarean section was one (interquartile range: 1-2) and median gravidity was 3 (interquartile range: 2-4). The median time interval after the previous cesarean was 5.1 years (interquartile range: 4-8). A history of myomectomy, curettage, and intervention for infertility was detected in 2 (4.5%), 16 (36.4%), and 2 (4.5%) patients, respectively. The mean gestational age was 7.37 ± 1.57 weeks. In general, 22 patients (50%) had vaginal bleeding; 3 of whom were unstable. These 3 patients underwent UAE as the only treatment, one of them received a second UAE because of continuing bleeding. Five patients completed their family and decided to undergo a total abdominal hysterectomy. Among these patients, pathology examinations indicated 2 placenta accreta, 2 placenta increta, and 1 placenta percreta. In 14 patients with the detection of the FHR, the fetal reduction by the intracardiac injection of 2-3 mL KCl was done. One patient was hospitalized because of unstable conditions and decided to undergo a total abdominal hysterectomy. These patients and those without the FHR from the beginning were treated with MTX according to their beta-human chorionic gonadotropin (β-hCG) level. However, patients were not injected by MTX if the β-hCG level was below 1000 (12). The β-hCG level was checked 48 hours later. If the β-hCG at 48 hours was in decrement, patients were followed by weekly determination of β-hCG levels until two β-hCG measurements became undetectable. Those without decreasing β-hCG were treated as patients in the next β-hCG level category. Those patients with β-hCG levels between 1000 and 5000 were hospitalized and injected with a single dose of 50 mg/m² MTX. β-hCG levels were measured at days 4 and 7 and followed weekly until two β-hCG measurements became undetectable. A second MTX injection was given to patients with less than a 15% reduction in β-hCG levels between days 4 and 7. After the second injection, patients were followed again by β-hCG at days 4 and 7 and then weekly. For patients with β-hCG between 5000 and 8000, a double-dose injection of 50 mg/m² MTX was done on the first and fourth days. Next, β-hCG was checked on days 7 and 11. A response to treatment was considered when at least a 15% reduction was achieved in β-hCG levels. Afterward, β-hCG monitoring was done weekly. Furthermore, a multi-dose regimen of MTX was administered in patients with initial β-hCG levels >8000. The multi-dose regimen was planned as the injections of 1 mg/kg at days 1, 3, 5, and 7 with additional intramuscular 0.1 mg/kg Folinic acid injections at days 2, 4, 6, and 8. The β-hCG was measured on days 1, 3, 5, and 7. The injection of MTX was discontinued whenever the β-hCG showed a >15% reduction. After the completion of chemotherapy, a TVS was performed to assess the vascularity of the gestational sac. Prophylactic UAE was proposed to patients with hypervascular gestational sacs and continuous vaginal bleeding after MTX injections or limited access to care secondary to living in remote regions. After two negative β-hCG measurements, another TVS was done for controlling and determining the remnants and the thickness of the scar-site myometrium. The patients with complete family planning or those representing no consent for UAE or MTX injections were suggested to undergo a hysterectomy. Patients rejected with prophylactic UAE were discharged and followed until reaching negative β-hCG levels. Moreover, they were followed for forthcoming pregnancies for at least 24 months (i.e., during the time of this report). The algorithm of the procedure is depicted in Figure 1. Written informed consent forms were collected from all participants, indicating that there was no standard of care for their condition, and all the adverse effects and consequences of the selection and decisions were discussed with patients.

Figure 1. Proposed Algorithm for the Management of CSP. Note: CSP: Cesarean section pregnancies; FHR: Fetal heart rate; UAE: Uterine artery embolization; β-hCG: Beta-human chorionic gonadotropin; MTX: Methotrexate. Unstable patients had vaginal bleeding with tachycardia or hypotension. The fetal reduction was done by sonographically guided KCl fetal injection for those with detectable (+) FHR; KCl: Potassium chloride.
15% was done under the guide of TVS employing mask general anesthesia. The procedure of the fetal reduction was successful in all patients but one who underwent a second injection. Based on β-hCG levels and changes, and placental vascularity, 4 patients received no treatment. Overall, 11 patients received a single MTX injection, 2 patients were treated with double injections, 9 patients underwent multiple injections, and 10 patients underwent both multi-doses of MTX injections and prophylactic UAE. A patient rejected with prophylactic UAE presented latterly with excessive bleeding and was treated by laparotomy.

The duration of hospitalization was insignificantly longer in those patients who received UAE after MTX injections (n = 10, median 13 days, and interquartile 8-20 days) compared to patients treated with observation (n = 4, Median 6 days, interquartile of 4-7 days, P = 0.1) and MTX injections (n = 22, median 7.5 days, interquartile of 5-10 days, P = 0.1, Table 1). The obtained data regarding remnant disappearance, β-hCG normalization, hospital stay duration, and menstrual cycle normalization are presented in Table 1. β-hCG levels become negative after 7.3 weeks, the median time for starting menstruation was 2.8 weeks, and the pregnancy remnant was resolved 3.6 months after the treatment.

Overall, 6 patients were transfused, 2 of whom were unstable at admission and received UAE initially. In addition, 2 cases underwent an abdominal hysterectomy, one case received UAE after MTX injections, and finally, one case received MTX injection as the only treatment. A patient receiving multi MTX doses experienced stomatitis and was treated conservatively. No metritis was detected in the patients of the current study. The follow-up curettage or hysteroscopy was needed in none of the patients who received medical treatment by MTX injections. Additionally, hysteroscopy was done in a patient with continuous spotting and the placental polyp was detected and excised accordingly. A post embolization syndrome was detected and treated conservatively with a 2-day prolonged hospitalization. Asherman syndrome was consecutively developed in another patient who underwent UAE because of severe vaginal bleeding.

Seven patients became pregnant (15.9%); 2 presented recurrent CSP (28.6%) and were treated with hysterectomy and cervical ripening balloon, respectively (not included in the current analysis). In addition, 3 cases had full-term uncomplicated delivery, one case was diagnosed to have placenta accreta at her 16 weeks of gestation planned to be terminated at 34 weeks, but finally, terminated at 37 weeks with cesarean hysterectomy (a live child, final diagnosis placenta percreta with bladder wall invasion), and finally, one intrauterine fetal death was detected and missed abortion at 12 weeks of gestation.

### Discussion

The rates of its adverse consequences, placenta accreta, and CSP have increased by the universal increasing rate of cesarean delivery (13). Despite this increase, there is no consensus about the best treatment and management approach. According to Timur-Tritsch et al (10), some interventions such as systemic MTX, dilatation and curettage, and UAE on their own, and not in combination with other methods, are not the treatment of choice for CSP. Systemic MTX as a single treatment for all CSP should be avoided mainly because of a long period before its effect, and particularly when FHR is detectable. A delay before a definite treatment may lead to the additional growth of the embryo/fetus and the vascularization of the sac.

In the current study, treatment choices were according to the patient’s desire for future fertility, the presence of fetal heart activity, and hemodynamic stability. MTX as a single therapy was used when there was no fetal heart activity or as the second-line treatment after the fetal reduction with KCl injection. Regarding the systemic use of MTX for CSP, considering the low efficacy rate and high complications including fertility loss led to a new and possibly more effective approach with the local injection of MTX and the subsequent removal of the gestational tissue under the guide of hysteroscopy or ultrasonography (14). The current study did not use this method mainly because of the lack of experience and uncertainty about the dosage and follow-up schedules. It has been reported (15) that the mean time for β-hCG to become negative was significantly lower by local MTX injections (6.2 weeks) compared with the systemic MTX application (8.1 weeks). Our patients reached negative β-hCG levels in 7.3 weeks (the median time), which is less than the comparable systemic MTX

### Table 1. Main End-points of the Caesarian Scar Pregnancy Treatment

|                      | Observation | MTX | Single-dose MTX | Double-dose MTX | Multi-dose MTX | UAE | MTX plus UAE |
|----------------------|-------------|-----|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----|-------------|
|                      | n = 4       | n = 22 | n = 11          | n = 2           | n = 9          | n = 3 | n = 10 |
| Time to remnant eradication | 4.5 (4-11.2) | 9 (5.5-10.7) | 7 (4-9) | 13 (11) | 9.5 (9-15.5) | 10 (7) | 8.5 (6-10) |
| Hospitalization period | 6 (4.5-6.75) | 7.5 (5-10.2) | 6 (4-8) | 7 (6) | 10 (7.5-18) | 17 (12) | 13 (8-20.5) |

MTX: Methotrexate; UAE: Uterine artery embolization; β-hCG: Beta-human chorionic gonadotropin.

Note: Differences are significant for time to β-hCG normalization (P = 0.02) between different MTX regimens, time to remnant eradication (P = 0.02) between different protocols, and hospitalization period (P = 0.004) between different protocols.
recommended to do early ultrasonography in subsequent pregnancies although many of our patients decided not to become pregnant anymore considering the high cure rate and forthcoming pregnancy. Fortunately, we encountered no uterine rupture in all hysterectomies done according to the patient’s desire with the low transfusion rate, needs general or spinal anesthesia, and additional downstream procedures including immediate curettage, the low transfusion rate and the lack of a need for emergency hysterecomy reflecting the efficacy of the wise application of UAE in our approach. Yang et al (6) compared UAE with systemic MTX in 103 patients, in whom the regular menses was started after 3-10 months of treatment. The median time before regular menstruation was 2.8 months in the current study.

Dilatation and curettage, in the way that is used for missed or induced abortions, should be avoided because it can lead to profuse bleeding, which may lead to blood transfusion, needs general or spinal anesthesia, and additional downstream procedures including immediate UAE and laparotomy resulting in hysterectomy. Consequently and reasonably, in this study, dilatation and curettage was not considered as a treatment of choice and was not employed at all in the current patient series due to the effectiveness of the other applied methods. Recently, the hysteroscopic management of CSP has received attention even as the first line treatment (23). This method needs an experience to prevent unwanted complications including bladder injury. We may integrate it into our approach in the future.

Hysterectomy may be necessary for saving the patient’s life when the excessive bleeding is not controllable by Tamponade-balloon, UAE, or the uterine artery ligation. In our patient series except those 5 patients who decided to undergo a hysterectomy, the use of our management algorithm provided excellent outcomes in terms of avoiding curettage, the low transfusion rate, and the high cure rate and forthcoming pregnancy. All the hysterectomies were done according to the patient’s desire and decision and neither was done due to the failure of the treatment.

There are reports on successful term pregnancies after the CSP treatment (24,25), as well as maternal and fetal mortality and placenta accrete in subsequent pregnancies (26). Fortunately, we encountered no uterine rupture in subsequent pregnancies although many of our patients decided not to become pregnant anymore considering the subsequent pregnancy rate of about 16%. It has been recommended to do early ultrasonography in subsequent pregnancy for the early detection of recurrent CSP (27).

Based on the findings, 2 patients were observed with recurrent CSP (about 19%) in our series. The proposed stepwise algorithm for the treatment of CSP in the current study was proved to be practical with acceptable outcomes. The low hysterectomy rate and the preservative use of MTX according to β-hCG levels could be achieved with the appropriate use of UAE/prophylactic UAE. Although there is no consensus on the treatment strategy for CSP, the current proposed approach could be put into practice then revised as a prototypic strategy in this regard.
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