Jet tomography of high-energy nucleus-nucleus collisions at next-to-leading order
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We demonstrate that jet observables are highly sensitive to the characteristics of the vacuum and the in-medium QCD parton showers and propose techniques that exploit this sensitivity to constrain the mechanism of quark and gluon energy loss in strongly-interacting plasmas.

As a first example, we calculate the inclusive jet cross section in high-energy nucleus-nucleus collisions to $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_3^2)$. Theoretical predictions for the medium-induced jet broadening and the suppression of the jet production rate due to cold and hot nuclear matter effects in Au+Au and Cu+Cu reactions at RHIC are presented.

PACS numbers: 13.87.-a; 12.38.Mh; 25.75.-q

Hadronic jets\(^1\), collimated showers of energetic final-state particles, have long been regarded as the main tool to understand hard scattering ($Q^2 \gg \Lambda_{QCD}^2$) processes in $e^+e^-$ semi-inclusive deeply inelastic scattering, and hadron-hadron collisions from first principles in Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD). Due to their large production rate\(^1\), jets are easily accessible by experiment and their differential nature allows to gain insight into the mechanisms of sub-structure for Au+Au and Cu+Cu reactions at RHIC. In Eq. (1)

$\sigma^\text{jet} = \frac{1}{2!} \int d\{E_T, y, \phi\}^2 \frac{d\sigma[2 \to 2]}{d\{E_T, y, \phi\}^2} S_2(\{E_T, y, \phi\})$

$+ \frac{1}{3!} \int d\{E_T, y, \phi\}^3 \frac{d\sigma[2 \to 3]}{d\{E_T, y, \phi\}^3} S_3(\{E_T, y, \phi\})$.

Here, $E_T$, $y$, $\phi$ are the transverse energy, rapidity, and azimuthal angle of the i-th particle ($i = 1, 2, 3$), respectively, and $\sigma[2 \to 2]$, $\sigma[2 \to 3]$ represent the production cross sections with two and three final-state partons.

In Eq. (1) $S_2$, $S_3$ are phase space constraints and $S_2 = \sum_i S(i) = \sum_i \delta(E_T - E_T) \delta(y_i - y)$ identifies the jet with its parent parton. Hence, only at NLO can the dependence of the experimental observables on the jet cone radius $R$ and the jet finding algorithms\(^2\) be theoretically investigated. For an angular separation $R_{ij} = \sqrt{(y_i - y_j)^2 + (\phi_i - \phi_j)^2}$, defined for any possible pair $(i, j)$,

$S_3 = \sum_{i < j} \delta(E_{T_i} + E_{T_j} - E_T) \delta\left(\frac{E_{T_i}y_i + E_{T_j}y_j}{E_{T_i} + E_{T_j}} - y\right)$

$\times \theta(R_{ij} < R_{tc}) + \sum_i S(i) \prod_{j \neq i} \theta\left(\frac{E_{T_j} + E_{T_j}}{\max(E_{T_i}, E_{T_j})}R_{ij}\right)$.

In Eq. (2) $R_{tc} = \min_i \left(\frac{E_{T_i} + E_{T_j}}{\max(E_{T_i}, E_{T_j})}R\right)$ determines when two partons should be recombined in a jet. Here, $1 \leq R_{sep} \leq 2$ is introduced to take into account features of experimental cone algorithms, employed to improve infrared safety. Eq. (2) establishes a correspondence between the commonly used jet finders and the perturbative
In Eq. (3) the calculations to $O(\alpha_s^3)$ with the goal of providing accurate predictions for comparison to data. For example, $R_{sep} = 2$ yields a midpoint cone algorithm and $R_{sep} = 1$ corresponds to the $k_T$ algorithm [2, 10]. $R$ is the cone size or parton separation parameter, respectively.

In the top panel of Fig. 1 we show a comparison of the NLO calculation [9] of the inclusive jet cross section at $\sqrt{s} = 200$ GeV p+p collisions at RHIC to the STAR experimental measurement which uses a midpoint cone algorithm [11] in the pseudorapidity range $0.2 \leq \eta \leq 0.8$. Very good agreement between data and theory is achieved with a standard choice for the renormalization and factorization scales $\mu_R = \mu_F = E_T$. Variation of these scales within $(E_T/2, 2E_T)$ leads to less than (+10%, −20%) variation of the jet cross section. The bottom panel of Fig. 1 illustrates the significant dependence of $d\sigma_{jet}/dydE_T$ on the cone size $R$, which, even in p+p reactions, can exceed a factor of two. Analytically, the $\ln(R/R_0)$ scaling of the cross section can be understood from the $1/r$ angular behavior of the perturbative QCD splitting kernel. Further insight into the underlying parton dynamics can be gained by examining the jet sub-structure, often characterized by the differential jet shape:

$$\psi(r, R) = \frac{d}{dr} \left\{ \sum_i E_T(i) \theta(r - R_{i,\text{jet}}) \right\}.$$  \hfill (3)

In Eq. (3) $i$ stands for the sum over all particles in this jet and $\int_0^R \psi(r, R) dr = 1$. Analytically, jet shapes can be evaluated as follows [4, 10]:

$$\psi^{\text{vac}}(r, R) = \psi_{\text{coll}}(r, R) \left( P_{\text{Sudakov}}(r, R) - 1 \right) + \psi_{\text{LO}}(r, R) + \psi_{\text{SUD}}(r, R) + \psi_{\text{PC}}(r, R) + \psi_{\text{med}}(r, R) \,.$$  \hfill (4)

In Eq. (4) the first term represents the contribution from the Sudakov-resummed small-angle parton splitting; the second and third terms give the leading-order final-state and initial-state contributions, respectively; the last two terms come from power corrections $\propto Q_0/E_T$, $Q_0 \approx 2 - 3$ GeV, when one integrates over the Landau pole in the modified leading logarithmic approximation (MLLA). This approach was shown to provide a very good description of the differential intra-jet energy flow at the Tevatron [4], as measured by CDF II [12]. Thus, reliable predictions for the jet sub-structure in p+p reactions at RHIC can be obtained and used as a baseline to study the distortion of jet shapes in more complex systems, such as p+A and A+A. An example of $\psi^{\text{vac}}(r, R = 0.4)$ for a quark jet of $E_T = 30$ GeV is shown in Fig. 2.

When compared to a parton shower in the vacuum, the medium-induced quark and gluon splittings have noticeably different angular and lightcone momentum fraction dependencies [4, 13]. In particular, for energetic partons propagating in hot and dense QCD matter, the origin of the coherent suppression of their radiative energy loss, known as the Landau-Pomeranchuk-Migdal effect, can be traced to the cancellation of the collinear radiation at $r < m_D/\langle \omega(m_D, \lambda, E_T) \rangle$ [13]. Here, the Debye screening scale $m_D = gT \sqrt{1 + N_f/6}$ and $\langle \omega \rangle \approx$ few GeV. Thus, the medium-induced component of the jet, which is given by the properly normalized gluon bremsstrahlung intensity spectrum $\psi^{\text{med}}(r, R) \propto dI^{\text{rad}}/d\omega dr$ within the cone, has a characteristic large-angle distribution away from the jet axis. This is illustrated in Fig. 2 for central Au+Au and central Cu+Cu collisions at RHIC. We emphasize that accurate numerical simulations, taking

![Image](http://example.com/fig1.png)  \hfill (Fig. 1: Comparison of the NLO calculation to STAR experimental data [11] on the inclusive jet cross section for $R = 0.4$ (top panel). The variation of the jet cross section with the cone size $R$ for $E_T = 10, 40$ GeV around midrapidity at RHIC is also shown (bottom panel).

![Image](http://example.com/fig2.png)  \hfill (Fig. 2: The differential jet shape in vacuum $\psi^{\text{vac}}(r, R)$ is contrasted to the medium-induced contribution $\psi^{\text{med}}(r, R)$ by a $E_T = 30$ GeV quark in Au+Au and Cu+Cu collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV. The insert illustrates a method for studying the characteristics of these parton showers.)
into account the geometry of the heavy ion reaction, the longitudinal Bjorken expansion of the QGP, and the constraints imposed by its experimentally measured entropy density per unit rapidity [4], have been performed for all physics results quoted in this Letter.

One can exploit the differences between the vacuum and the in-medium parton showers by varying the cone radius $R$ ($R_{\text{jet}} < R$) and a cut $p_T^{\text{min}} (E_T; R > p_T^{\text{min}})$ for the particles $^{\text{jet}}$ that constitute the jet, to gain sensitivity to the properties of the QGP and of the mechanisms of parton energy loss in hot and dense QCD matter. This is illustrated in the insert of Fig. 2. The most easily accessible experimental feature of jet production in nuclear collisions is, arguably, the suppression of the inclusive cross section in heavy ion reaction compared to the binary collision scaled, $\propto \langle N_{\text{bin}} \rangle$, production rate in elementary nucleon-nucleon reactions [4]:

$$R_{AA}^{\text{jet}} (E_T; R, p_T^{\text{min}}) = \frac{\frac{d\sigma^{AA}(E_T; R, p_T^{\text{min}})}{d^2E_T}}{\langle N_{\text{bin}} \rangle} \frac{d\sigma^{pp}(E_T; R, p_T^{\text{min}})}{d^2E_T}.$$  (5)

Eq. (5) defines a two dimensional jet attenuation pattern versus $R$ and $p_T^{\text{min}}$ for every fixed $E_T$. In contrast, for the same $E_T$, inclusive particle quenching is represented by a single value related to the $R \rightarrow 0$ and $p_T^{\text{min}} \gg \langle \omega \rangle$ limit in Eq. (5). Thus, jet observables are much more differential and, hence, immensely more powerful than leading particles and leading particle correlations in their ability to discriminate between the competing physics mechanisms of quark and gluon energy loss in dense QCD matter and between theoretical model approximations to parton dynamics in the QGP.

We now focus on the first complete theoretical result at NLO for $R_{AA}^{\text{jet}}$ versus the jet cone size $R$ for Au+Au and Cu+Cu collisions at RHIC. We use the reaction operator approach to non-Abelian energy loss [14], in the limit of weak coupling between the jet and the plasma with $\alpha_s \sim 0.3$, to evaluate the probability distribution $P_{q,g}(\epsilon, E)$ that quarks and gluons, respectively, will lose a fraction of their energy $\epsilon = \sum_\omega \omega_i / E$ due to medium-induced bremsstrahlung. Next, we determine the fraction of this energy that will be redistributed inside the jet:

$$f_{q,g} = f(R; p_T^{\text{min}})_{q,g} = \int_0^R dr \int_{E_T^{\text{min}}}^{E_T} d\omega \frac{d^2p_T}{d\omega dE_T}.$$  (6)

While such redistribution may affect the jet shape, it will not affect the jet cross section. For example, when $R \rightarrow R^\infty$ and $p_T^{\text{min}} \rightarrow 0$ ($f_{q,g} = 1$) final-state QGP-induced effects to inclusive or tagged jet cross sections vanish. Parton interactions in the strongly-interacting plasma, however, are not the only many-body QCD effects that will alter the measured jet cross section. Cold nuclear matter (CNM) effects prior to the QGP formation [13] must also be included in accurate theoretical calculations of hard probes production in nuclear collisions and we first evaluate $\frac{d\sigma_{\text{CNM,NLO}}}{d^2E_T}$ [GeV]:

$$\frac{1}{\langle N_{\text{bin}} \rangle} \frac{d\sigma^{AA}(R)}{d^2E_T dy} = \int_0^1 dc \frac{\sum_{q,g} P_{q,g}(\epsilon, E)}{(1 - (1 - f_{q,g}) \cdot c)^2} \frac{d\sigma_{\text{CNM,NLO}}^{AA}(R)}{d^2E_T dy}.$$  (7)

In Eq. (7) $(1 - f_{q,g}) \cdot c$ represents the fraction of the energy of the parent parton that the medium re-distributes outside of the cone of radius $R$. The measured jet cross section is then a probabilistic superposition of the cross sections of protojets of initially larger energy $E_T = \ldots$


\[
E_T / (1 - (1 - f_{q,g}) \cdot \epsilon).
\]

Our results for the nuclear modification factor of inclusive jets \( R_{AA}^{\text{jet}} \) in central Au+Au and Cu+Cu collisions with \( \sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200 \text{ GeV} \) at RHIC are presented in Fig. 3. Each band illustrates a calculation for a \( \sim 20\% \) increase in the rate of parton energy loss (lower bound) relative to our default simulation (upper bound). Experimental data on leading \( \pi^0 \) suppression for these reactions is only included for reference. A continuous variation of \( R_{AA}^{\text{jet}} \) with the cone radius \( R \) is clearly observed in Fig. 3 and shows the sensitivity of the inclusive jet cross section in high-energy nuclear collisions to the characteristics of QGP-induced parton shower. For \( R \leq 0.2 \) the quenching of jets approximates the already observed suppression in the production rate of inclusive high-\( p_T \) particles. It should be noted that in our theoretical calculation CNM effects contribute close to 1/2 of the observed attenuation for \( E_T \geq 30 \text{ GeV} \). These can be drastically reduced at all \( E_T \) by taking the ratio of two differential cross section measurements for different cone radii \( R_1 \) and \( R_2 \). A few selected examples are shown in the inserts of Fig. 3.

Inclusive jet cross sections and jet shapes in nuclear collisions are closely related [4]:

\[
\psi_{\text{tot}} \left( \frac{r}{R} \right) = \int_0^1 d\epsilon \sum_{q,g} \frac{P_{q,g}(\epsilon, E) \chi_{q,g}(R; E_T, E_T')}{(1 - (1 - f_{q,g}) \cdot \epsilon)^3} \left[ (1 - \epsilon) \psi_{\text{vac}} \left( \frac{r}{R}; E' \right) + f_{q,g} \cdot \epsilon \psi_{\text{med}} \left( \frac{r}{R}; E' \right) \right],
\]

\[
\chi_{q,g}(R; E_T, E_T') / \langle N_{\text{bin}} \rangle = \frac{d^4N_{q,g}^{\text{NN},\text{NN}}(R, E_T')}{d^2p_T\, dy} / \frac{d^4N_{q,g}^{\text{NN}}(R)}{d^2p_T\, dy}.
\]

It should be noted that vacuum and medium-induced parton showers become more collimated with increasing \( E_T' \) and the mean relative jet width \( \langle r/R \rangle \) and the periphery \( r \to R \) of the jet [4].

In summary, the principle goal of this Letter is to bring into focus the immense possibilities that jets offer as tomographic probes of the QGP created in ultrarelativistic nuclear collisions. To demonstrate the unprecedented sensitivity of jet observables to the characteristics of the vacuum and the medium-induced parton showers, we presented first results for the related cross sections and shapes as a function of the cone radius \( R \) at next-to-leading order \( O(\alpha_s^2) \) in p+p, central Au+Au, and central Cu+Cu collisions at RHIC. Our theoretical predictions include a most detailed account of cold and hot nuclear matter effects on jet production and distortion in heavy ion reactions and are of immediate relevance to upcoming PHENIX and STAR experimental measurements. We fully expect that this work will inspire future studies of inclusive and tagged jets, jet substructure and event shape observables. In their entirety, such studies will provide first-principles insights into the many-body QCD parton dynamics at ultra-relativistic energies and shed light on the relative importance of collisional versus radiative energy loss and on the applicability of weak versus strong jet-medium coupling regimes.
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Table I: Mean relative jet radii \( \langle r/R \rangle \) in the vacuum, for complete parton energy loss in the medium, and for the realistic case of jets in Au+Au and Cu+Cu collisions at RHIC. We considered a radius \( R = 0.4 \) and transverse energy \( E_T = 30 \text{ GeV} \) at \( \sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200 \text{ GeV} \). The fractional QGP-induced broadening \( \Delta \langle r/R \rangle \) is also shown.

| \( \langle r/R \rangle \) | Vacuum | Medium | Total \( \Delta \) |
|----------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Au+Au               | 0.271  | 0.601  | 0.283  | 4%     |
| Cu+Cu               | 0.271  | 0.640  | 0.272  | 0.4%    |
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