Can Human Pluripotent Stem Cell-Derived Cardiomyocytes Advance Understanding of Muscular Dystrophies?
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Abstract. Muscular dystrophies (MDs) are clinically and molecularly a highly heterogeneous group of single-gene disorders that primarily affect striated muscles. Cardiac disease is present in several MDs where it is an important contributor to morbidity and mortality. Careful monitoring of cardiac issues is necessary but current management of cardiac involvement does not effectively protect from disease progression and cardiac failure. There is a critical need to gain new knowledge on the diverse molecular underpinnings of cardiac disease in MDs in order to guide cardiac treatment development and assist in reaching a clearer consensus on cardiac disease management in the clinic. Animal models are available for the majority of MDs and have been invaluable tools in probing disease mechanisms and in pre-clinical screens. However, there are recognized genetic, physiological, and structural differences between human and animal hearts that impact disease progression, manifestation, and response to pharmacological interventions. Therefore, there is a need to develop parallel human systems to model cardiac disease in MDs. This review discusses the current status of cardiomyocytes (CMs) derived from human induced pluripotent stem cells (hiPSC) to model cardiac disease, with a focus on Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD) and myotonic dystrophy (DM1). We seek to provide a balanced view of opportunities and limitations offered by this system in elucidating disease mechanisms pertinent to human cardiac physiology and as a platform for treatment development or refinement.
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INTRODUCTION

The muscular dystrophies (MDs) are clinically and molecularly a highly heterogeneous group of single-gene disorders that are characterised clinically by progressive skeletal muscle weakness and wasting [1]. Transmission is usually by inheritance but \textit{de novo} mutations are common, making it impossible to fully eradicate the disease. The study of disease mechanisms and treatment development has primarily focused on skeletal muscles with the goal of preserving patient mobility and preventing respiratory failure. However, cardiac disease is present in several MDs (Table 1) and has emerged as an increasingly important contributor to morbidity and mortality [2, 3]. Current guidelines for management of cardiac disease in MDs highlight the importance...
| Classification | Muscular dystrophy | Inheritance Pattern | Incidence | Mutation | Protein(s) affected | Localisation | Age of onset | Key clinical features | Cardiac Involvement | Ref |
|----------------|--------------------|---------------------|-----------|----------|---------------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----|
| DCM            | Duchenne            | X-linked recessive  | 1:5000    | Variable | Dystrophin          | Sarcolemma   | <5 years     | muscle weakness; wheelchair in teens; mild cognitive impairment, death in 20s/30s muscle weakness; respiratory failure might develop by late 40s | ECG abnormalities, Arrhythmias | [202] |
| Becker         | X-linked recessive  | 1:18450 boys        | Variable  | Sarcolemma associated | Teenage       |              | ECG abnormalities | [203] |
| Limb-girdle type 2C-2F | Autosomal recessive | unknown, usually sporadic | Variable | α, β, γ, δ-Sarcoglycan | Early to adult childhood | Muscle weakness, difficult ambulation, calf hypertrophy | ECG abnormalities | [204] |
| Limb-girdle 2I | Autosomal recessive | Most common: missense mutations (leu276leu) | Fukutin-related protein gene | Golgi membrane | Birth or first 6 months of life | Hypotonia, poor suck and cry, delayed motor development, never achieve ambulation. | DCM (30–80% of cases), ECG abnormalities | [205, 206] |
| MDC1A          | Autosomal recessive | 1:30000 | INDELs, missense and nonsense mutations in LAMA2 | Partial or complete deficiency in Laminin α2 | Extracellular matrix | Birth or first 6 months of life | Sub-clinical findings in about 35% of patients with cardiac evaluation; Variable cardiac phenotype; ECG abnormalities; right bundle branch block | [82, 207] |
| CSD            | DM1                | Autosomal dominant  | 1:8000    | CTG repeats in DMPK 3’UTR | Differential subcellular localisation | Congenital, childhood adult | Mild- Cataracts, mild myotonia; | ECG abnormalities | [208] |

(Continued)
| Classification/dystrophy | Muscular Inheritance Pattern | Incidence | Mutation | Protein(s) affected | Localisation | Age of onset | Key clinical features | Cardiac Involvement | Ref |
|--------------------------|------------------------------|-----------|----------|---------------------|-------------|-------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----|
| DM2                      | Autosomal dominant           | 10% of DM | CCTG repeats in CNBP | CCHC-type zinc finger | Adult, usually fourth decade | Congenital-Respiratory and cardiac defects, intellectual disability | Arrhythmias, conduction defects | [209] |
| Facioscapulohumeral       | Autosomal dominant           | 1:20,000  | shortened repeats (1-10) in D4Z4 | DUX4 | Nuclear | childhood to adult | Facial muscle weakness; can affect legs; normal life span but children with infantile form in wheelchair by 10 | Rare cardiac defects: supraventricular tachycardia and AVS block |
| Limb-girdle type 1B      | Autosomal dominant           | Unknown, usually sporadic | Missense & deletion in rod domain of LMNA | lamin A and lamin C | Nuclear envelope | Early childhood to adult | Muscle weakness | CSD, DCM, AV conduction defects, atrial standstill/flutter/fibrillation, arrhythmias |
| Emery-Dreifuss           | X-linked recessive, autosomal dominant or recessive | 1-2/100000 | Variable, mostly point mutation (>49%) in EMD | Nonsense and missense mutation in LMNA | Nuclear membrane | First or second decade, sometimes adult | Joint contracture in childhood; progressive muscle weakness | AV conduction defects, fibrosis, a atrial standstill/flutter/fibrillation, (DCM, rare) |

Table 1 (Continued)
of careful monitoring and tend to favour early intervention with cardio-protective drugs or pacemakers, sometimes even before patients become symptomatic [4]. However, a clear consensus on management of cardiac involvement and timing of treatment has not been recognised. Furthermore, current interventions are insufficient to prevent cardiac failure and are not effective in all patients. Molecular processes underlying cardiac disease in MDs are not fully understood, and a current challenge is to determine whether promising therapeutics can translate from skeletal to cardiac muscle. The biology of these muscles is very different regarding physical, mechanical and signalling mechanisms. Notably, there is often no correlation between the severity, or even presence, of disease between cardiac and skeletal muscles [4–7], suggesting different underlying disease mechanisms exist between tissue types.

Several reviews summarise [8] our current understanding of disease mechanisms and responses to various treatments that have been derived from animal models of MDs [9–14]. However, in the case of cardiac physiology and pharmacology, there are known differences between humans and animals. Therefore, there is a critical need to develop human-based platforms to study cardiac disease mechanisms and treatment responses relevant to the human heart. In this review, we specifically focus on the current status and future potential of human induced pluripotent stem cells (hiPSCs) and their differentiation into cardiomyocytes (CMs) to further our understanding of human cardiac disease mechanisms in MDs, and as a platform for new treatment development. Among all MDs with cardiac involvement, hiPSC derived CMs (hiPSC-CMs) have been generated for Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD) and myotonic dystrophy type 1 (DM1). DMD and DM1 are also excellent examples of the two different major manifestations of cardiac involvement in MDs: Dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM) and conduction system disease (CSD), respectively. These two modalities of cardiac disease present different challenges and opportunities relative to hiPSC modelling, which will be discussed in detail later.

Why develop hiPSC-based model system?

Differences in cardiac physiology between animals and humans

Animal models have been generated for most MDs and have been instrumental in our understanding of cardiac disease in MDs. However, there are instances where animal models do not faithfully replicate the severity of cardiac disease seen in patients. For example, the mdx mouse, the most commonly used animal model for DMD, develops mild cardiac disease only late in life and has a near normal lifespan [13]. By contrast, cardiac involvement begins very early in DMD patients (17% of patients are already affected before 10 years of age [15]) and is a major contributor to early death. In other instances, animal models are not genetic equivalents to the human condition because introduction of the human mutation alone does not cause disease or is genetically unstable. For example, modelling DM1 cardiac disease in lower organisms (C. elegans, Drosophila) or mice requires supra-physiological expression levels or longer triple repeat tracts to cause disease [16, 17]. Furthermore, the repeat expansion is unstable in mice and undergoes a reduction instead of further expansion from one generation to the next, which is the opposite to the human condition [17].

Additionally, differences in the structure and physiology of the heart between species have an important bearing on how this organ responds to genetic mutations and to applied treatments. This is particularly highlighted in the mouse [18], the animal model most readily available to study MDs. The beat rate of the mouse heart is ~10 times faster than in humans (500bpm vs 60bpm) and the electrocardiogram duration is 5–10 times shorter (50–100 ms in the mouse versus 450 ms in humans). While an increase in heart rate is associated with increased force of contraction in humans, it is associated with decreased force in mice [19]. The ion channels that regulate repolarisation of the CM plasma membrane are also different between mice and humans. In mice, CM repolarisation is driven primarily by \( I_{K_s, slow1} \), \( I_{K_s, slow2} \), and \( I_{SS} \) ion channels, while in humans this role is achieved by the potassium channels, \( I_{Kr} \) and \( I_{Kr} \) [20]. Differences also exist in the expression of structural genes both during development and in the mature heart. Developmental myosin light chains, MLC2a and MLC2v are differentially expressed and localized during development between rodents and humans [21]. In the mature human heart, expression of alpha and beta myosin heavy chains (α-β-MHC) locates to the atria and ventricles, respectively, but in the mouse αMHC is expressed in both locations [21, 22]. Phospholamban, a key regulator of intracellular calcium concentration during contraction plays different roles in mice and humans [21, 23]. A greater complexity of alternative splicing has been reported in humans relative to rodents [24], and appears to
underlie some of the observed differences in pharmacological properties [25], cardiac contractility and disease progression [26, 27]. These inter-species differences have important implications not only for cardiac mechanics and response to disease, but also for drug responses and toxicology. For example, mice are at least ten times more tolerant to 37% of drugs than humans. Issues extend to rats and dogs, that tolerate 4.5- to 100-fold the concentration of various chemotherapeutic agents than humans (e.g. ThioTEPA, Myleran, Actinomycin-D, Mitomycin C, Mithramycin, Fludarabine) [28]. Therefore, animal models are an imperfect correlate of human cardiac disease and, most important for development of new treatments, they are often poor predictors of drug efficacy, dosing and toxicology in humans.

**Advantages of hiPSCs relative to other sources of human CMs**

Ideally, the existing animal model systems would be complemented by a source of human CMs harbouring patient-relevant mutations. This has been achieved for skeletal muscle by taking muscle biopsies. Cardiac biopsies are not taken from MD patients because removing heart tissue is high risk and lacks direct benefit to the patient since diagnosis can be achieved by less invasive routes (e.g. skeletal muscle biopsy or blood sample). Studies on cardiac biopsies or isolated primary human CMs primarily rely on tissue obtained from transplants that, by virtue, is from the end-stage failing heart and may not be representative of the early pathological changes that occur in CMs. Alternative indirect sources of human CMs include trans-differentiation of human skin fibroblasts using combinations of the transcription factors GATA4, TBX5 and MEF2C. However, the efficiency (1–25%) is too low to be useful [29–31]. Thus, currently, the most realistic way to generate human CMs from a broad range of genotypes relevant to the muscular dystrophies is via differentiation from hiPSCs.

hiPSCs can be generated from various sources using minimally-invasive procedures. Examples include fibroblasts isolated from skin biopsies or stem cells isolated from urine (most likely of glomerular parietal epithelial origin) [32–34]. As a result, both patients and healthy controls tend to be willing to donate cells for banking to be used for diagnostic and research purposes. The initial inefficiencies in hiPSC reprogramming and hiPSC-CM differentiation methods that hindered progress have now been overcome by stepwise improvements in the technologies available. For example, non-integrating methods approaches have replaced integrating retrovirus [34] to improve hiPSC reprogramming efficiencies by 44-fold from 0.1% to ~4.4% [35].

Improvements in the methods that underpin hiPSC technologies are allowing considerable expansion of the range of patient mutations that can be modelled. It is now conceivable that a reasonable proportion of the >7000 documented mutations reported for the DMD gene [36] could be modelled in hiPSC, something that is not realistic in animal models. Indeed, large scale banking schemes including Human Induced Pluripotent Stem Cells Initiative (HIPSCI), StemBANCC/IMI, California Institute for Regenerative Medicine and New York Stem Cell Foundation will create hiPSC lines from 7,000 normal or diseased skin biopsy donors using Sendai-virus, episomes or mRNA with a combination of SOX2, c-MYC, OCT4, KLF4 and/or LIN28 [37].

In parallel, improvements in cardiac differentiation protocols have increased efficiency from 1% to 98% (reviewed previously in [21]). This has been achieved by identifying signalling pathways that sequentially regulate key steps in cardiac development in vivo, including pathways involving transforming growth factor family members (TGF-β; bone morphogenetic proteins [BMP] and Activin A), fibroblast growth factors (bFGF; FGF2) and Wingless (WNT). Translating these developmental signals to hiPSCs in vitro has been empirical and iterative but has defined the concentrations and timings of growth factors and/or small molecules that activate or inhibit relevant cardiogenic pathways. Thus, the relative ease of efficient reprogramming and directed cardiogenesis is accelerating progress towards biomedical application.

**hiPSCs capture genome diversity**

The ability to efficiently produce hiPSC-CMs represents an essential development to model human cardiac disease. This technology is now being coupled with advances in precise genome engineering. This allows the introduction or correction of almost any mutation of interest [38] and overcomes the issues of cost, time and species differences associated with the generation and study of animal models for the myriad of patient mutations. In addition, it enables the study of mutations in the unique genetic environment of the individual patient, allowing for genome-mutation interactions to be revealed.

Initially, genetic modification of human pluripotent stem cells (hPSCs) was challenging but the improvements in culture systems allowed transfection and viral transduction efficiencies of >50% and
95%, respectively [39]. Additionally, low recombination frequencies (1 in 10^6–10^9 cells) in most mammalian cells prevented widespread take-up of gene targeting. With the advent of nuclease-mediated approaches including zinc finger nucleases [40, 41] and transcription activator-like effector nucleases [42, 43] improved the targeting efficiencies by up to 2000-fold. However, the greatest breakthrough in genome engineering came with the development of the Cas9/CrISPR (Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeat) system [44]. This allowed correction of individual patient mutations to create isogenic controls in which only the mutated sequences differ in an otherwise unchanged genetic background. Conversely, it is also possible to introduce different genetic mutations in a control hiPSC lines, allowing the study of mutation-specific effects in a controlled genetic environment.

Kim et al. [45] showed up to 79% targeting efficiency in CCR5 locus in human cells, including human embryonic stem cells (hESCs). The efficiency of the approach is at the level that projects are underway to knock out every gene in the mouse genome; it is likely that similar projects will follow in hPSCs. Therefore, hiPSCs are ideally positioned for personalised medicine, but can in theory also facilitate the study and identification of disease modifiers [2].

**hiPSCs for drug development and toxicology studies**

In the 13 years from 2000–2013, pharmacological responses of hiPSC-CMs to only 60 different compounds had been demonstrated [18] but single reports now evaluate over 130 compounds [46]. Assessment by AstraZeneca [47], J&J [48] and GlaxoSmithKline [49] have shown that hiPSC-CMs can detect cardiotoxicity at an accuracy of 70–90% and rival predictivity of primary cells isolated from dog and rabbit hearts [50]. Notably, hiPSC-CMs were used to show that toxicity was reduced when the anticancer drug, doxorubicin, was delivered via a HER2-targeted liposomal pathway; this assisted the decision to advance to Phase I testing [51]. Such studies have led the CIPA initiative (Comprehensive In Vitro Proarrhythmia Assay) to propose integration of hiPSC-CMs into the ICH (International Conference on Harmonisation) S7a/b and E14 guidelines by the end of 2015. These guidelines have been the mainstay over the last decade of preclinical assessment of cardiac electrophysiology for new drugs [52]. These observations have continued into pheno-typic and pharmacological assessment of hiPSC-CM models of various conditions [18]. This includes the disorders that affect electrophysiology, structure, contractility and survival (see below and refs: [53–64]). Most notably, the inability to manage effectively a treatment of an individual with complex LQTS was addressed by deriving hiPSC-CMs and performing multi-parameter *in vitro* drug testing to better define the underlying molecular defect and test responses to two alternative clinical strategies [65]. This approach allowed for a pre-emptive *ex-vivo* risk/efficacy assessment of available clinical strategies for management of cardiac disease in a complex patient, demonstrating the utility of hiPSCs for personalised medicine.

**MODELING DILATED CARDIOMYOPATHY: DMD CASE STUDY**

**Brief overview of DCM in DMD**

DCM is a prevalent cardiac manifestation in MDs with cardiac involvement (Table 1). It is characterized by progressive left ventricular dilation, fibrosis, and defects in CM contractile properties. Cardiac monitoring and management in the clinic are similar for all forms of MDs with DCM (reviewed in [4, 66]). Here, we will focus on DMD as a case study to illustrate advantages and challenges associated with hiPSCs in modelling DCM.

In DMD patients, cardiac disease is of early onset and by 20 years of age almost 100% of patients show cardiac involvement. Hor et al. studied 314 DMD patients using magnetic resonance imaging and detected cardiac fibrosis in 17% of patients <10 years of age and in 34% of patients <15 years of age [15]. As the disease progresses, fibrotic tissue gradually spreads and results in loss of contractility and DCM which is characterised by left ventricular (LV) dysfunction, thinning of LV wall, impaired diastolic and systolic function, leaky heart walls and often results in mitral valve regurgitation [67]. Markham and colleagues have shown signs of LV relaxation and decreased ventricular compliance via echocardiography in DMD patients <15 years old [68]. Myocardial remodelling is a complex process that involves pathological cross-talk among various cell types, including fibroblasts, CMs, immune cells, and vascular cells. This process would be difficult to recapitulate in a dish with pure CMs. However, animal studies suggest that it is a secondary event to abnormalities that occur at the CM level (see below) and could therefore be captured in hiPSC cultures.
In addition to tissue remodelling typical of DCM, cardiac involvement in DMD is also associated with ECG abnormalities that include increased R-to-S ratio (≥1) in lead V1, tall R waves, deep Q waves in lead 1, arrhythmias, shorter PR interval and prolonged QT interval [69, 70]. While no studies have been done so far on hiPSC-CMs from DMD patients that recapitulate these abnormal features in the dish, hiPSC-CMs have been used successfully to model long QT syndrome and arrhythmias in vitro [71–76]. Therefore, it is technically possible to study ECG abnormalities in cultured CMs from patient-derived hiPSCs.

**Molecular mechanisms of cardiac disease in DMD**

In DMD, frameshifting or nonsense mutations in the DMD gene cause loss of expression of a functional dystrophin protein in all striated muscles [77]. Dystrophin performs both structural and less well characterized signalling functions. The primary structural role of dystrophin is to link the intracellular sub-membranous actin network to laminin in the extracellular matrix via the transmembrane and extracellular members of the dystrophin-associated protein complex (DAPC). Loss of dystrophin expression in DMD, leads to a severe reduction of the DAPC at the muscle cell membrane. This disruption of the structural functions of the DAPC leads to membrane fragility in the face of repeated contractions and increased membrane permeability, accompanied by impaired calcium buffering and increased oxidative stress. These imbalances ultimately predispose muscle cells to necrosis. While this structural model is well validated in skeletal muscles, it does not completely fit with the current observations in cardiac muscle.

The structural components of the DAPC comprise dystrophin, α- and β-dystroglycans, α-, β-, δ-, and γ-sarcoglycans, and laminin [78]. Mutations in the genes encoding for these proteins invariably lead to MDs. Furthermore, glycosylation of α-dystroglycan is essential for its binding to laminin and is critical for function. Thus, mutations in enzymes involved in the complex glycosylation of α-dystroglycan are associated with MDs [79, 80]. If disruption in any of these proteins destabilizes the DAPC and compromises its structural functions, it would be expected that cardiac disease would be present in all related MDs and would show similar underlying molecular defects. However, this is not the case. In particular, mutations in laminin α2 cause severe skeletal muscle disease but are rarely associated with cardiac disease in MDC1A patients [81, 82]. If cardiac disease is present, it is usually mild. This observation could suggest that laminin (specifically laminin α2) is not the primary extracellular ligand of α-dystroglycan in the heart. Other extracellular ligands have been identified for α-dystroglycan. For most of them, binding appears to require α-dystroglycan glycosylation [83]. It would therefore be expected that mutations in enzymes that glycosylate dystroglycan would disrupt multiple ligand interactions and therefore lead to DCM. However, among all identified enzymes that affect the glycosylation of α-dystroglycan and lead to severe skeletal muscle disease, only mutations in two of them, Fukutin and Fukutin related protein, are associated with DCM (Table 1). This raises the interesting possibility that α-dystroglycan binds to different extracellular matrix proteins in cardiac versus skeletal muscle with differential involvement of its core protein and carbohydrates moieties. How ligand binding affects the functions, structural or otherwise of α-dystroglycan is currently unknown.

A second intriguing observation is that membrane integrity is not severely compromised in CMs in the dystrophin-deficient mdx mouse. In contrast to skeletal muscle fibres, increased membrane permeability to vital dyes is primarily observed in mdx CMs after strenuous exercise or challenge with adrenergic agonists [84, 85]. Therefore, additional mechanisms must be in place to attenuate the damaging effects of the loss of dystrophin on membrane integrity in CMs, compared to skeletal muscle myofibres. This is supported by a growing body of evidence indicating progressive intracellular elevation of calcium in dystrophin-deficient CMs via perturbation in ion channel function, rather than a catastrophic rise in intracellular calcium associated with membrane tearing [86–88]. Finally, in MDs associated with mutations in β- or δ-sarcoglycans, mechanisms extrinsic to CMs have been shown to contribute to DCM in mouse models [89]. These studies have implicated smooth muscle defects leading to arterial constrictions that cause regional ischemia as contributors to CM death. A similar pathological mechanism is not observed in DMD and associated animal models. Therefore, although many of the MDs listed in Table 1 involve proteins that are part of the DAPC, DCM does not necessarily arise from identical underlying molecular and cellular defects, with potential implication for treatment responses.
Furthermore, experiments by our group have recently revealed key differences in the protein composition of the DAPC between cardiac and skeletal muscles in the mouse [90]. Interestingly, we reported that most differences involve the intracellular binding partners of dystrophin, α-dystrobrevin, syntrophins, and neuronal nitric oxide synthase (nNOS). These proteins are involved in the less characterized signalling functions of dystrophin; these include mechanotransduction, nitric oxide signalling, clustering of membrane and extracellular matrix proteins, and regulation of ion channels, calcium homeostasis, cell survival, and membrane targeting of proteins [91–99]. We also reported novel cardiac-specific associations of dystrophin with four proteins involved in cardiac disease: Cavin-1 (PTRF), α-B-crystallin, Cypher (LDB3, ZASP) and Ahnak1 [90]. The functional significance of these differences in DAPC composition between cardiac and skeletal muscles is currently under investigation.

Taken together, these observations highlight gaps in our knowledge of the molecular underpinnings of cardiac disease in DMD and in related MDs involving the DAPC. Modelling these diseases in a dish using patient-derived hiPSCs offers unique opportunities to contrast and compare disease triggers in CMs. This is critically important to determine whether DCM associated with different DAPC-related mutations is truly one disease and one treatment can fit all.

What disease parameters can be studied in hiPSC-CMs?

Developmental progression of disease and correlation with genetic mutation

The DMD gene is extremely complex. It contains 7 different promoters with tissue-specific and developmentally regulated expression patterns. They encode 3 full length transcripts that contain all 79 exons leading to 420 kDa proteins, as well as shorter protein isoforms with different N-termini that vary in size from 260 kDa (Dp260) to 71 kDa (Dp71). The functional significance of this complex pattern of isoform expression and switching during maturation is not well understood. Differentiation of hESCs into CMs was used to show that isoform Dp71b was expressed in both undifferentiated and differentiated cells, whereas Dp140 and the long muscle-specific isoform, Dp427m, were expressed only upon the onset of CM differentiation [100]. These studies suggest that multiple dystrophin isoforms are expressed during maturation of human CMs, although these findings need to be confirmed in a more homogeneous culture system. The possible implication is that depending on the location of the mutation along the DMD gene, it could abrogate expression of one or multiple dystrophin isoforms, with potentially different consequences for CM maturation and function. The availability of newer monolayer differentiation protocols that produce high purity hiPSC-CMs and the demonstration that specific CM subtypes can now be produced will allow a better understanding the developmental expression of dystrophin isoforms [21]. This opens the door to detailed studies of the effects of different mutations along the DMD gene on CM ultrastructure and contractile parameters.

Membrane fragility and calcium handling

Of the functional consequences of the muscular dystrophies, calcium handling is best studied in hPSC-derivatives. Aberrant handling in DMD is typified by increased intracellular calcium and abnormal calcium sparks, particularly in response to contraction and stress [87, 101–103]. The underlying causes have not been elucidated and mechanisms may differ between different forms of MDs. In DMD, two hypotheses have been advanced with respect to the cause of increased intracellular calcium. The first postulates that loss of dystrophin causes membrane fragility leading to membrane tears that facilitate calcium influx. However, there is no direct evidence to support this hypothesis in the heart. The best indirect evidence is the observation that membrane sealants such as Poloxamer P188 can normalize intracellular calcium levels in dystrophin-deficient mouse cardiac myocytes [53]. The second proposes that loss of dystrophin disrupts either directly or indirectly the regulation of ion channels that regulate calcium homeostasis in the heart. In support of this hypothesis, elevated intracellular calcium has been reported in resting CMs and appears to be in part associated with increased expression of stretch activated receptors [102].

DMD hiPSC-CMs could be extremely useful in testing the relative contribution of these two different hypotheses to elevated intracellular calcium during differentiation and CM maturation. Past studies give credence to the potential use of hiPSC-CMs in such an investigation. Models using hiPSC-CMs have been produced for LQTS8 / Timothy Syndrome (mutations in the I<sub>Ca-L</sub> calcium channel; [104] and catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia-1 (CPVT1; mutations in ryanodine receptor; RYR2; [71, 105, 106]. In CPVT1
hiPSC-CMs, dantrolene was shown to abolish isoprenaline-induced arrhythmias [71]. In addition, the use of calcium assays in hiPSC-CMs is becoming commonplace due to the ease and availability of high speed resolution optical mapping techniques. Typically, this uses voltage-sensitive dyes (e.g. ANEPPS) [107] or genetically-encoded voltage indicators [108] to measure action potentials and calcium wave propagation. Indeed, Guan and co-workers showed a two-fold increase in T50 (duration of recovery) of Ca^{2+} transients in DMD hiPSC-CMs compared to healthy hiPSC-CMs. Although this is in agreement with data from other model systems investigating skeletal muscle [109–111], only one DMD hiPSC line was studied and a more detailed investigation is needed. In this regard, Lin and co-workers examined a collection of DMD, BMD (Becker Muscular Dystrophy) and healthy hiPSC-CMs. This included demonstration that treatment for 7 days with the membrane sealant, Poloxamer P188, could reduce both caspase-3 activation and apoptosis in the DMD hiPSC-CMs. In particular, staining of the treated DMD hiPSC-CMs with the Ca^{2+} sensitive dye, Rhod-2AM, revealed increased cytosolic [Ca^{2+}] concentration [53].

Mitochondrial function

The overload in calcium that is associated with muscular dystrophies can lead to compromised mitochondrial function [112]. The utility of evaluating mitochondrial function using the Seahorse XF Flux analyser has been demonstrated in several hiPSC-CM models of disease, including Barth syndrome [60], Diabetes type I [113] and ARVD [63]. For example, in Barth syndrome, hiPSC-CMs demonstrated reduced ATP production and respiration capacity [60] when exposed to sequential treatment with electron transport chain inhibitors such as oligomycin, antimycin-A and rotenone and FCCP. However, using DMD hiPSC-CMs, Guan and colleagues did not find any differences in mitochondrial metabolic function [111], which is in contrast to other studies. Thus, in diseased hiPSC-CMs, swollen mitochondria were observed using transmission electron microscopy, while staining with the potentiometric dye, JC-1, showed a ∼2.5-fold increase in the number of mitochondria with disrupted membrane potential [53]. Other reports show reduced oxidative phosphorylation in vitro, in vivo and in isolated mitochondria from skeletal muscles of mdx mice or DMD patients [114, 115]. Further work is needed to understand the cause of these differences.

Electrophysiology / E-C coupling

hiPSC-CMs have been extensively used to recapitulate and study ex vivo cardiac disorders associated with conduction defects or electrocardiogram (ECG) abnormalities such as long QT syndrome (Reviewed in [116]). Importantly, these studies have demonstrated a strong correlation between results obtained with hiPSC-CMs and primary adult human CMs. Furthermore, they have shown that patient-derived hiPSC-CMs can be used to predict in vivo responses of the whole heart to drug treatments.

Currently, a variety of techniques are available to study electrophysiology in cultured CMs ranging from field action potentials to patch clamp recordings on individual ion channels (reviewed in [55]). Abnormal ion channel function has been reported in primary CMs from DMD mouse models. This has been evidence by reduced inactivation kinetics of L-type calcium channels and over-activation of stretch activated channels in mouse models of DMD resulting in increased intracellular calcium concentrations and affecting action potential kinetics [87, 102]. In addition, direct and indirect mechanisms that affect α-syntrophin and alternative splicing of α-dystrobrevin give rise to abnormal regulation of sodium channels in myotonic dystrophy and are associated with long QT syndrome [117–119].

In addition to direct measurement of membrane voltage, quantification of calcium sparks and intracellular calcium levels in cultured CMs is possible. Increased intracellular calcium and abnormal calcium sparks have been reported in CMs from animal models of neuromuscular disorders both in response to contraction and following stress [87, 102, 103, 120, 121]. Increased intracellular calcium has been linked to mitochondrial dysfunction and structural abnormalities in dystrophic CMs, predisposing to apoptotic cell death. To date, limited studies have been performed on hiPSC-CMs from DMD patients (Table 2). The current data show impaired calcium handling similar to CMs derived from animal models and indicate a similar role in impaired mitochondrial function and apoptosis [53, 111]. These early studies also demonstrate that hiPSCs derived from DMD patients can generate atrial, ventricular and nodal CMs [111]. This offers the possibility to study the effects of underlying genetic mutations on the electrophysiological properties of each of these CM sub-types at a level of cellular and sub-cellular resolution that cannot be achieved in vivo. Therefore, hiPSCs represent a powerful tool to model conduction and ECG defects associated with MDs at the...
Table 2

| Cell Line | Mutation | Cell* | Method | Diff type | Correction | Phenotype | Ref |
|-----------|----------|-------|--------|----------|------------|-----------|-----|
| DMD-hiPSC | Δ Ex4-43 | Fibro | Retro (OKSM) | n/s | 2.4Mb dystrophin via HAC | ↑ expression of dystrophin isoforms | [212] |
| DMD-hiPSC | Δ Ex4-43 | Fibro | Retro (OKSM) | CMs | n/a | Expression of dystrophin Dp427 and Dp260 similar to healthy | [213] |
| Dys-HAC DMD-hiPSC IPRN13.13; IPRN14.57 | Corrected | healthy | Fibro | Retro (OKSM) | Myogenic precursors | Transplantation into dystrophic mice | ↑ dystrophin expression; superior isometric tetanic force; increased absolute force | [214] |
| H9 hESC | Δ Ex50 | Urine | Lenti (OKSM) | CMs | n/a | | | [111] |
| DMD4 hiPSC | Δ Ex48-50 | Fibro | Lenti (OSNL) | CMs | Exon skipping | ↑ infarne transcript expression; protein expression ↑ to 30% of diseased CMs. | [100] |
| DMD17 hiPSC | Δ Ex47-50 | Fibro | Lenti (OSNL) | CMs | Exon skipping | microdystrophin | ↑ expression of microdystrophin in transduced CMs | |
| DMD11 hiPSC | Ex24: c.3217 G>T | n/a | n/a | | | | |
| DMD15 hiPSC | Ex45-52 | n/a | n/a | | | | |
| DMD16 hiPSC | Ex70: c.10171 C>T | microdystrophin | | | | | |
| DMD19 hiPSC | Ex35 c.4918-4919 delinTG | | | | | | |
| DMD21 hiPSC | Ex50: c.7437 G>A | Episomes | Skeletal muscle cells | n/a | Skipping, frame-shifting, exon knock-in using TALEN & Cas9/CRISPR | n/a | |
| DMD hiPSC | Δ Ex44 | Fibro | Episomes | Skeletal muscle cells | n/a | Restoration of dystrophin protein | [196] |

(Continued)
| DMD-iPS1 | Δ Ex45-52 | Fibro | Retro (OKSM) CMs | Poloxamer 188 treatment | Dystrophin deficiency; ↑ cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\), mitochondrial damage, CASP3 activation, apoptosis. P188 reduced phenotype |
|----------|-----------|-------|-----------------|------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| DMD15 hiPSC | Δ Ex46–47 | Fibro | Lenti (OSNL) Retro (OKSM) Skeletal muscle cells | Exon skipping by AO88 | In DMD skeletal cells: No dystrophin, ↑Ca influx / CK release; AO88 restores dystrophin expression, ↓Ca influx / CK release |
| GM05112 | Δ Ex44 | Fibro | Lenti (OKS) myotubes | Treatment with Wnt7a and IGF-1, hypertrophy factors | ↑ in diameter similar to primary myotubes |
| DMD hiPSC, DMD KO hiPSC | dystrophin knockout (KO) | Urine | Lenti (OKSM) CMs | | ↓ anisotropic ratio; ↓ alignment of actin; display cardiac hypertrophy; no difference in spontaneous contraction frequency; ↑ contraction velocity; ↓ actin turnover in DMD CMs |

Abbreviations: Ex, Exon; * Cell, donor cell type; Fibro, fibroblast; Urine, epithelial derived cells; Retro, Retrovirus; Lenti, Lentivirus; O, OCT4; K, KLF4; S, SOX2; M, c-MYC; N, NANOG; L, LIN28; diff type, differentiated cell type n/s, not specified; CMs, cardiomyocytes; KO, knockout; n/a, not applicable.
level of the individual patient. Future use of these cells to assess electrophysiology will be informative in a system that more accurately reflects the complexity of protein splice variants, the heterogeneity of underlying genetic mutations and the electrophysiological properties of the human heart compared to animal models.

Structural/sarcomeric abnormalities

Imaging has also been used to investigate differences between healthy hiPSC-CMs relative to DMD hiPSC-CMs or engineered DMD knock out hiPSC-CMs. Whereas healthy hiPSC-CMs have an aspect ratio (length: width) of ~5, the value is ~3.8 for dystrophic hiPSC-CMs. The dystrophic CMs also showed significant increase in cell surface area compared to healthy CMs, which corresponded to reduced actin turnover. These observations may explain the reduced ability of dystrophic hiPSC-CMs to respond to environmental cues, including the nanotopography of the substrate [122].

Myocardial contractility and function

There are several aspects of myocardial dysfunction that are commonly observed in MDs. At the level of the whole myocardium, deficits in force production, a blunted response to adrenergic stimulation, and progressive ventricular dilation are commonly observed. Only relatively recently has contraction force been measured in hiPSC-CMs. This shows that twitch forces are in the 2-260nN range for single hiPSC-CMs [123–125] and 0.08–4.4 mN/mm² range for 3D engineered heart tissue (EHTs) derived from hiPSC-CMs [126]. The impact of different drugs on hiPSC-CM contractility has also been measured. Impedance-based have been used to test a panel of 49 cardioactive compounds on hiPSC-CM. The sensitivity, specificity and accuracy was reported as 90%, 74%, and 82%, respectively, which compared favourably when compared to in vitro data for dog (83%, 84% and 82%) and rat (77%, 74%, and 74%) CMs [127]. EHTs made from rat, mouse, and human CMs have differences in the maximal twitch forces (0.22, 0.05, and 0.08mN) and sensitivity to external Ca²⁺ (EC50:0.15, 0.39, and 1.05 mM Ca²⁺) [128].

DMD hiPSC-CMs carrying an exon 45 deletion (described previously [111]) and isogenic DMD knockout hiPSC-CMs have high contraction velocity of 10–20μm/s relative to 5–7μm/s in healthy CMs. Furthermore, healthy hiPSC-CMs on nano-patterns showed greater anisotropic contractions whereas high variability in contraction direction was seen in DMD hiPSC-CMs [122].

MODELING CONDUCTION SYSTEM DEFECTS (CSDS): DM1 CASE STUDY

Brief overview of cardiac disease with CSDs

CSDs are a predominant feature of cardiac disease in myotonic dystrophies and in MDs with mutations in nuclear proteins (e.g. Emery-Dreyfuss muscular dystrophies, limb-girdle muscular dystrophy 1B; Table 1). CSDs can occur alone or in association with DCM. In general, management involves pharmacological and device based (implantation of pacemakers or defibrillators) interventions (reviewed in [66, 129]). DM1 cardiac pathology commonly involves tachyarrhythmias and defects in conduction systems due to degeneration, fibrosis and fatty infiltration, and, less often, myocardial dysfunction and ischemia. Conduction abnormality is mainly characterised by defects in sinus node, atrio-ventricular node and His-Purkinje system, and is mostly associated with prolonged PR interval, QRS duration and His bundle to ventricle (HV) interval [130]. 65% of DM1 patients exhibit abnormal ECG patterns, which include first degree AV block (42%), right (3%) and left (4%) bundle branch block respectively and intraventricular conduction delay (12%) [131]. DM1 patients also suffer from risk of developing bundle branch tachycardia and atrial arrhythmias, fibrillation and flutter, are common. Echocardiographic abnormalities include mitral valve prolapse, systolic and diastolic function impairment, and reduction in ejection fraction [130]. Current cardiac management includes pharmacological interventions and device implantation [129].

Current knowledge of disease mechanisms

DM1 is due to unstable expansion of CTG trinucleotides in 3’-UTR of the DMPK gene [132], which is considered to form a stem loop regulated by RNA binding proteins. Numerous mechanisms that create diverse phenotypic effects have been previously proposed [133]. In brief, accumulation of expanded mRNA in DM1 cells, also referred to as foci, is considered as hallmark feature of DM1, which mediate RNA gain-of function. Accumulating foci cause muscleblind-like (MBNL) protein sequestration, as well as concurrent activation and increased expression of CELF1 [134, 135]. Both MBNL and CELF1
regulate splicing, with aberrant function altering profile of cardiac troponin T, muscle-specific chloride channel and sarcoplasmic/ endoplasmic reticulum Ca^{2+}-ATPase 1 [136–138]. Alternatively, depletion of MBNL has shown to perturb (i) alternative polyadenylation in mouse embryo fibroblasts, skeletal muscle in transgenic mouse DM1 model and DM1 patients; (ii) regulation of mRNA localisation; (iii) regulation of mRNA transcription and translation [139, 140]. MBNL1 may also regulate miR-1 microRNA biogenesis, acting via the LIN28 pathway, which could cause aberration of calcium channels and gap junctions, hence explain some of the abnormal cardiac function seen in DM1 patients [141]. Mutant RNA repeats are also suggested to play role in other RNA binding proteins such as Staufen1 and Dead box RNA helicases, which regulate protein translation [142, 143]. Additional hypotheses exist which supports the role of expanded CTG repeats in triggering hypermethylation of rRNA promoters, inhibition of rRNA transcription [144], and disruption of other cellular processes including repeats associated non-ATG (RAN) translation [145] and antisense DMPK trascript [146, 147].

What disease parameters can be studied in hiPSC-CMs?

Developmental progression
A high degree of repeat instability can occur between different somatic tissues and during transmission from DM1 parents to children, a phenomenon known as genetic anticipation. However, the mechanism(s) by which this occurs are limited (reviewed in [148–150]) and DM1 hPSC models could provide insights. Several reports have shown instability during the reprogramming process or during culture of DM1 hPSCs but not in differentiated cells, including osteogenic progenitor cells [151–153]. Nevertheless, these observations contradict in vivo data where CTG repeats are unstable during oocyte development but stable in zygote and embryo [154, 155]. Additionally, no repeat instability was observed in the 13 week-old human DM1 foetus, although heterogeneity did occur by 16 weeks of gestation [156, 157]. The reason for these differences will need to be resolved before the value of DM1 hiPSCs can be determined but may relate to differences in species or (epi) genetics. Other influencing parameters such as starting repeat length or differentiated cell types assessed could be evaluated using the hiPSC model, particularly when compared to corrected isogenic controls produced by gene targeting. Developing such data would provide the foundation with which to evaluate the ability of new therapeutics to inhibit repeat expansion.

Understanding and restoring gene function
Understanding gene function and evaluating the impact of potential therapeutic interventions is the area of research that has received the most attention in the DMD and DM1 hPSC field (Tables 2 & 3). For example, DM1 is characterised by improper gene expression and methylation. Yanovsky-Dagan and co-workers studied 14 different DM1-hESC lines and showed that hypermethylation occurred upstream of CTG repeats when repeat number exceeded 300 [158]. The process of reprogramming patient fibroblasts into hiPSCs resulted in hypermethylation but was not associated with an expansion in the repeat size. The authors of that study also went on to show that there was a negative correlation between methylation and expression of neighbouring gene, SIX5, but no correlation of DMPK expression in DM1 hESCs and DM1 hESC-CMs [158].

The RNA gain of function mechanism in DM1 suggests that CUG repeats induce symptoms of DM1 by altering the function of CUG-binding splice regulating proteins, including MBNL1 and CUGBP1. The over-expression of human CUGBP1 adult mouse heart has shown to reproduce functional and molecular abnormalities of DM1 [159]. Recently, cardiac phenotypes and MBNL sequestration has been studied using a Drosophila model expressing expanded repeats under cardiac-specific promoter GMH5-Gal4 [160]. So far, such events have only been investigated in non-CM lineages from hPSCs (Table 3). Xia and co-workers showed presence of RNA foci in cells from all three germ layers derived from DM1-hiPSCs [161]. Similarly, PGD-derived DM1-hESC lines [162] differentiated into neural stem cells showed formation of RNA foci along with decreased proliferation and increased autophagy. This model also offered the opportunity to evaluate potential genetic interventions, both to shed mechanistic insight to DM1 and to evaluate potential treatments. Thus, involvement of MBNL1 in mTOR signalling was demonstrated by siRNA knockdown [163], while a targeted approach positioned poly A signals upstream of CTG repeats in the DMPK gene by TALEN-mediated genome engineering in DM1 hiPSC-derived neural stem cells. The latter prevented production of CUG expanded transcripts and restored healthy phenotype, as gauged by loss of RNA
Table 3
Development and characterisation of hPSC models of myotonic dystrophy

| Cell Line          | Mutation                  | Donor cell     | Method          | Diff type       | Correction                  | Phenotype studied                                                                                   | Ref       |
|--------------------|---------------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| hESC: VUB03,DM     | CTG expansion             | PGD embryos    | n/a             | Neural stem cells | n/a                        | ↓ proliferation; ↑ autophagy; altered mTOR signalling                                              | [163]     |
|                  |                           |                |                 |                 |                             |                                                                                                    |           |
| hiPSC: DM1-03      | 2829–3575 repeats        | Dermal fibroblasts | Retro (OKSM)    | Neural cells     | n/a                        | intranuclear RNA foci+nt                                                                        | [161]     |
| hiPSC: DM1-05      | 1933–3152 repeats        |                |                 |                 |                             |                                                                                                    |           |
| hiPSC: GM06076     |                            | Fibroblasts    | Retro (OKSM)    | Neurospheres     | n/a                        | Variable and unstable CTG repeats in fibroblasts, iPSCs; no expansion observed in differentiated EBs or neurospheres; ↑ MMR proteins in iPSC vs fibroblasts | [151]     |
| DM1                |                           |                |                 |                 |                             |                                                                                                    |           |
| hiPSC: GM03991 DM1|                            |                |                 |                 |                             |                                                                                                    |           |
| hESC: 14 DM1 lines| 180–2000 repeats         | n/s            | Retro (OKSM)    | CMs             | n/a                        | Abnormal methylation upstream of CTG repeats in lines with repeats>300                           | [158]     |
| hiPSC: clones 4, 19, 24 DM1|                     | Fibroblasts    |                 |                 |                             | ↑ methylation upstream of CTG repeats and ↓ SIX5 expression in DM1-hiPSCs and CMs                  |           |
| hESC: VUB03,DM1    | n/s                       | PGD embryos    | n/a             |                 | n/a                        | hESC characterisation: karyotyping, immunostaining, RT-PCR, teratoma formation, germ layers; genotyping | [162]     |
| hESC: VUB03,DM     | 1000 repeats              | PGD embryos    | n/a             | Neural precursor cells (NPCs) | Rescue and knockdown of SLITRK2 and SLITRK4 | ↓ SLITRK4 expression in NPCs, RNA foci co-localised with MBNL1 was observed, ↑ neurite network in DM1 culture and 5 to 10 fold ↓ in number of neuromuscular contacts | [218]     |
| hESC: VUB24,DM     | 3000 repeats              |                |                 | Motoneurons      |                             |                                                                                                    |           |
| hESC: VUB03,DM, VUB19, DM1 |                       |                |                 |                 |                             |                                                                                                    |           |
| DM-03 hESC         | n/s                       | PGD embryos    | n/a             | Osteogenic progenitors | n/a                       | Repeat instability                                                                                   | [152, 153]|
| hiPSC: VUB03,DM, VUB24,DM |                       |                |                 |                 |                             |                                                                                                    |           |

Abbreviations: PGD, preimplantation genetic diagnosis; n/s, not specified; Retro, Retrovirus; O, OCT4; K, KLF4; S, SOX2; M, c-MYC; diff types, differentiated cell type CMs, cardiomyocytes; n/a, not applicable; PAS, poly adenylation signals; +nt, present.
foci, increased expression of microtubule-associated protein tau (MAPT) and decreased expression of MBNL1 & 2 [164]. Nevertheless, TALENs targeted both the healthy and mutated allele, whereas a therapeutic would have to modify only the mutated DM1 allele [164].

Small molecules are also being considered as potential therapeutics for reduction of RNA foci in DM1. A medium throughput phenotypic assay in patient-derived fibroblast and myoblast cells was used to screen a total of ∼16,000 compounds from a Chembridge Diverset, NPC and Enzo Life Sciences libraries. This identified the protein kinase C inhibitor, Ro 31-8220, as being able to reduce and/or remove nuclear foci [165]. For hiPSC-CMs, imaging and particularly high content imaging is now becoming commonplace to examine subcellular structures, which will be important to investigate the resolution of RNA foci in DM1. In addition to validating the efficacy of drugs such as Ro 31-8220, the hiPSC-CM system will be useful in evaluating potential cardiotoxicity, which is well established issue associated with kinase inhibitors [166]. Thus, Mioulane and co-workers [167] triggered cell stress with the cell-permeable protein kinase C inhibitor, chelerythrine. Combining stains for TMRM (a potentiometric dye; tetramethylrhodamine methyl ester), caspase-3 or BOBO-1 with Cellomics Arrayscan imaging, they assessed mitochondrial function, apoptosis and cell death, respectively and demonstrated that hiPSC-CMs are less susceptible to chelerythine-stimulated apoptosis compared to rat neonatal ventricular CMs. In an impressive study, Sirenko and colleagues [168] used CalceinAM, Hoechst and MitoTracker imaging on the ImageXpress Micro to evaluate cardiotoxicity of 131 modulators of Na+, K+ and Ca2+ channels, as well as adreno-, dopamine- and histamine-receptors on CDI iCell hiPSC-CMs in a 384-well format.

Calcium handling

Several studies have reported altered Ca2+ handling in DM1 muscles, DM1 myotubes and DM1 mouse models [169–171]. More recently, aberrant splicing affecting a number of channels (such as SERCA1, RyR1 and CACN1S) is thought to be involved in altered Ca2+ signalling in DM1-affected muscles. For example, exon 29 of the CACN1S gene is abnormally skipped in DM1 patients. Inducing exon 29 skipping in wild type mice leads to increased Ca2+ channel conductance and voltage sensitivity, thus affecting EC coupling [172]. Enhanced skipping of CACN1S exon 29 in the DM1 mouse model exacerbates muscle pathology and better mirrors disease severity seen in DM1 patients [172]. The combined effect of mis-spliced SERCA1, RyR1 and CACN1S genes is increased EC coupling, as well as altered Ca2+ release and uptake from SR. This is likely to cause chronic Ca2+ accumulation, a common phenomenon thought to occur in MD. More recent studies using microarrays on DM1 patients identified Ca2+ signalling as the most significantly affected pathway, showing upregulation of 14% of genes involved in Ca2+ release, uptake, storage, or signalling [173]. Differential splicing of CACN1S has been demonstrated in DM1 mouse and human models. However, the difference could be attributed to various factors including species difference in splice regulation, difference in repeat expansion in models studied or difference in RNA flanking the CTG repeat [172]. Some of the listed limitations can be overcome by using isogenic hiPSC lines to study the mutation-specific effect in a genetically controlled environment.

Mitochondrial function

In humans, four out of seven DMPK isoforms anchor into the outer mitochondrial membrane or endoplasmic reticulum, indicating a role for DMPK in mitochondrial dysfunction. Elevated expression levels of the mutated DMPKA isoform is implicated in numerous cell changes, as determined from studies using a range of cell lines, and heart and skeletal muscle of transgenic mice. These changes include perinuclear clustering, abnormal mitochondrial ultrastructure, decreased mitochondrial membrane potential and release of cytochrome C, which lead to apoptosis [174, 175]. Recently it has been reported that alternative splicing causes increased expression of pyruvate kinase M2 in DM1 skeletal and heart tissue. This results in increased glucose consumption but decreased oxidative phosphorylation, suggesting that the energy production is less efficient in DM1 cells [176]. Mitochondrial function studies have already been successful in hiPSCs derived from Barth syndrome [60] and diabetic patients [113], which gives confidence that in vivo changes in bioenergetics could be recapitulated in DM1 hiPSC-CMs.

Electrophysiology/EC coupling and contractility

With the increased awareness of cardiac involvement in muscular dystrophies, there is a concomitant increase in publications on Holter, ECG and MRI studies. These show that 90% of DMD patients show
cardiac involvement as the disease progresses. In the case of DM1, a pan-European registry identified the PR interval of 29% patients was >200msecs on the electrocardiogram, while 46% experienced arrhythmia or conduction block, of which 37% had a cardiac implant (pacemaker or implantable cardioverter defibrillator) [177].

For DM1, cardiac conduction defects and altered contractility have been observed in DMPK−/− knockout mice and isolated human primary DM1 CMs [178–180], which parallels the observations made in the hearts of DM1 patients. More recently, prevalence of Brugada type 1 ECG pattern have been reported in DM1 patients, implicating the role of Brugada syndrome in tachyarrhythmias and cardiac death [181, 182]. The cardiac electrical and contractile phenotype of DM1 has been studied using a mouse model; this constitutively expresses the human DM1 locus under the regulation of its own promoter and cis-regulatory elements, after flecainide treatment [183]. The altered I_{Na} in this mouse model was suggested to be the cause of reduced cellular excitability [183]. Furthermore, function and localisation of Cl− channel (PLM) is also modulated by DMPK, suggesting that DMPK is involved in EC coupling in muscle cells [184]. The loss of DMPK in knockout mice has been shown to enhance the basal contractility of single CMs concurrent with an associated increase in intracellular calcium during systole, suggesting role of DMPK in control of calcium homeostasis [120]. Reduced expression of miR-1 microRNA in DM1 patient hearts has been reported to alter the regulation of connexion 43 and CACNA1C, which may contribute to the cardiac abnormalities observed in affected patients [141]. Although, these parameters have not yet been examined in DM1 hiPSC-CMs, they have been successfully studied in other cardiac diseases as discussed above (see section on electrophysiology and myocardial contractility in DMD).

OPPORTUNITIES AND CHALLENGES

In recent years there has been considerable progress in the culture, differentiation technologies and genetic modifications associated with hiPSC-CMs. Nevertheless, this is still a nascent technology and there are still considerable challenges to be overcome. There is a need for: 1) standardisation of reprogramming methods (viral vs non-viral/ integrating vs non-integrating) as it can affect the genome and epigenome; 2) introduction of standards on how to select good quality cell lines that should be used for screening and disease modelling; 3) determination of the number of cell lines per patient that need to be generated to control for variations arising from differences in reprogramming; 4) optimization of protocols to improve reproducibility and robustness of cardiac differentiation in order to allow translation from basic research laboratories to industrial and biomedical platforms.

Limited maturation occurs in hiPSC-CMs, which is consistent with mid-gestation of human foetal heart development. This is evidenced by examining 30 or so parameters that are used to quantify CMs, including structure, gene expression, amount of sarcoplasmic reticulum, metabolism, mitochondria location and number, electrophysiology, calcium handling and contractility [21]. Thus, while hiPSC-CMs are functional and already have proven utility in drug and disease valuation, all phenotypes might not be fully recapitulated. Thus, there is not only a need for careful interpretation of data relative to appropriate (e.g. isogenic) controls, but also an appreciation of the current limitations of the model. For example, immature hiPSC-CMs lack well-developed T-tubule system and show action potential and calcium parameters similar to embryonic heart, making it difficult to study the distribution and function of dystrophin in hiPSC-CMs. We have previously shown a diffused pattern of dystrophin in hiPSC-CMs [100], which contrasts to the defined membrane localisation pattern in adult skeletal and heart muscle [185]. Clarity will also be required on details such as whether all the components of DAPC are present, correctly localised and functional in immature CMs. Naturally there are major efforts to address maturity in the knowledge that each incremental improvement could make a considerable difference to the utility of the models produced. Indeed, many investigators are evaluating physical, chemical, genetic and environmental inducers to facilitate maturation (reviewed in detail in [21, 186, 187]). It will be interesting to see if the abnormal expression of embryonic splicing of MBNL and CUGBP (discussed above) is maintained in DM1 hiPSC-CMs and if maturation of CMs has any effect on this.

Although large scale differentiation can produce hiPSC-CMs at purities of >80%, the cultures are heterogeneous with a mixture of ventricular, atrial and pacemaker subtypes. The quality of data produced would likely be enhanced if single subtypes could be isolated. Until recently, reliable methods to
isolate subtypes remained elusive. However, there have been recent exciting developments. Birket and colleagues [188] combined a complex but elegant double transgenic approach, wherein an NKX2.5-GFP targeted hESC line was further transfected with an inducible MYC expression construct. In the presence of insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1) and a hedgehog pathway agonist, cardiovascular progenitor cells could be isolated and proliferated for over 40 population doublings. Moreover, modulating exogenous BMP, FGF, WNT and RA signalling led to multi-lineage differentiation, as well as directed specification to pacemaker and ventricular cells. This report was remarkable because it not only showed long-term proliferation of hPSC-derived cardiac progenitors (in 11 other reports using mouse and human PSCs, maximum expansion was 4-fold) [189], but it was the first robust demonstration of subtype specification. In an alternative approach, modulation of retinoic acid signalling during hESC differentiation was used to generate atrial- and ventricular-like CMs. These CM subtypes were used to show that the mult-ion channel blocker, vernakalant, and Kv1.5 blocker, XEN-D0101, caused a reduction in early repolarization channel blocker, vernakalant, and Kv1.5 blocker, XEN-D0101, caused a reduction in early repolarization. In an alternative approach, modulation of retinoic acid signalling during hESC differentiation was used to generate atrial- and ventricular-like CMs. These CM subtypes were used to show that the mult-ion channel blocker, vernakalant, and Kv1.5 blocker, XEN-D0101, caused a reduction in early repolarization only in the atrial cells [190], providing a novel preclinical test platform for these drug classes.

As explained above, the breath of approaches to phenotype cell is expanding with a greater reliance on high content platforms, which will increase the throughput available. However, to confirm the phenotype and evaluate the significance of what is observed in culture, parallel studies in vivo are important, but lacking. The DMD and DM1 hiPSC-CM models that have been generated so far have predominantly relied on cells cultured in 2D on tissue culture plastic. This represents a rather simple model compared to the complex 3D architecture of the intact heart, wherein there is a combination of CMs, cardiac fibroblasts, endothelial cells and smooth muscle cells that experience continuous contractile and haemodynamic forces. It has already been shown that combining hiPSC-CMs with vascular and/or fibroblastic cells can improve function [191, 192]. Further work is needed to determine whether engineering complex tissue and organ models can improve the hiPSC-CM models to create unique disease models for studying multifaceted phenotypes in certain MD.

The potential of permanent genetic correction is rising to the fore with the advent of nuclease-mediated gene targeting approaches and proof of principle studies have been conducted in hPSC models [193–197]. For example, TALENs have been shown to allow correction of DMD hiPSC-derived skeletal muscle cells carrying deletion in exon 44 via frameshift, exon skipping and exon knock-in approaches [196]. In other DMD studies, TALENs and ZFNs have been shown to enhance expression of dystrophin by correcting the mutation (Δ exon 48–50) in DMD-derived myoblasts by correcting the reading frames [198, 199]. TALEN-mediated genome engineering has enabled poly A signals to be positioned upstream of CTG repeats in DM1 hiPSC-derived neuronal stem cells to prevent expression of mutant DMPK transcripts. However, there are no reports of direct correction of the DMPK gene in DM1 hPSCs. This is likely to be due to the highly repetitive and complex nature of the repeat region, which may interfere with the targeting strategy. Such difficulties may be overcome with high efficiency Cas9/CRISPR approaches.

More controversially, genome engineering raises the possibility of correcting mutations in the germline, fertilised egg or early stage embryo and the first attempts to use this technology have been made. The Cas9/CRISPR system was used in a somewhat abortive and controversial [200] attempt to target the β-globin gene in human embryos. However, the efficiency of targeting was low (14%), edited embryos were mosaic and the level of off-target events was high due to homology with delta-globin gene [201]. Earlier in Feb 2016, the first gene editing study in human embryos has been approved and whether such studies will more widely be given approval and if these will be suitable for correction of DMD and DM1 mutations remains to be seen. If they are, it is likely small polymorphisms of a few bases that cause premature termination would be targeted first due to the complexity of correcting multi-intron deletions in DMD or highly repetitive triplet repeats in DM1.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

The ability to produce hiPSC-CMs offers new opportunities in biomedicine. Over the last 5 years the swathe of hiPSC-CM models have been developed for genetic conditions that affect the electrophysiology, signalling and survival of cells within the heart. Whereas a few years ago, evaluating a few drugs for cardiotoxicity was a major undertaking, improvements in the hiPSC-CM technology mean single reports can now screen over 130 compounds, showing acceleration in the field. Many major academic institutes and pharmaceutical companies are now...
evaluating hiPSC-CMs, with excellent outcomes: Refinement in patient risk stratification for sudden cardiac death; progression of anticancer drugs to the clinic; the first clinical trials for heart failure. Such developments are now percolating into the muscular dystrophy field with 5 of the 10 DMD and 1 of the 7 DM1 models investigating hiPSC-CM function. In some cases, these have been used to evaluate corrective therapies. Nevertheless, this is a nascent field. Alignment of large scale hiPSC banking initiatives with pan-EU initiatives (e.g. MRC BioBank, EuroBioBank network, TREAT-NMD Network of Excellence) for rare diseases, including DMD and DM1, is only just starting. The potential of using Cas9/Crispr genome engineering technologies to exponentially increase the diversity of mutations and genotypes available is only now becoming reality but may provide a way to unveil the impact on function of single nucleotide polymorphisms identified via genome-wide association studies (GWAS). Such studies will require methods that can assess hiPSC-CM function in detail, whilst being sufficiently high throughput to examine phenotype across large numbers of hiPSC lines or genome engineered derivatives. As these tools are developed, there should be better correlation of clinical pathology with in vitro phenotype and hence evaluation of potential therapies. This should also help resolve debates on whether treatments that have shown benefit to skeletal muscle have a positive or negative impact in the heart. Realising these goals will require better understanding of the biology of hiPSC-CM maturation and subtype specification to make the models more representative of the working heart. For each of these areas, international efforts are underway and it can be expected that over the next 5 years hiPSC-CMs will become an important additional tool in muscular dystrophy research and therapy.
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