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Abstract—It is not always possible for a robot to process all the information from its sensors in a timely manner and thus quick and yet valid approximations of the robot’s situation are needed. Here we design hybrid control for a robot within this limit using algorithms inspired by ant worker placement behaviour and based on memristor-based non-linearity.

I. INTRODUCTION

The human mind can sift through vast amounts of incoming data, disregarding currently unimportant data, yet be still able to shift attention when previously-ignored data becomes relevant. Human beings are also very good at making approximations and acting on incomplete information. Both these behaviours would be useful for robots, and to design them in requires the consideration of the bandwidth of input sensory data, the processing (thinking) time and the freshness of that data.

Robotic control deals with how the sensing and action of a robot is coordinated. There are three timescales robot control schemes can be considered within and each has advantages and drawbacks. The shortest timescale response is governed by ‘reactive control’, which leads to simple and predictable behaviour. The longest timescale response is ‘deliberative control’ where the robot only acts after time spent processing all available information; this allows for more nuanced responses and has obvious drawbacks in fast-changing situations. Obviously, some combination of both extremes of control mechanisms is desirable and there have been two different solutions to this problem: ‘hybrid control’ where part of the robot’s brain plans and part deals with reactive tasks and both parts report to a controller part (and is often called a three-layer system); ‘behaviour-based control’ which acts like the hybrid system, but in a more biological, parallel and distributed way [1].

Memristors are novel electronic components [2], interesting as they possess a memory, are capable of learning and have low power consumption. Recent papers have suggested that neurons in the brain are memristive in action [3], [4], simulations have shown that memristors can be used as synapses within spiking neuron systems [6], [7] and recent experimental results have demonstrating self-initialising memristor spikes [9]. For these reasons it has been suggested the memristors could be used to build a neuromorphic computer. There are two main memristor theories: the phenomenological model [2], which is based on a 1-D model of variable resistors and which has been the basis of more complex models (such as those which include non-linear drift [10] or window functions) and many simulations (such as [11]); and the memory-conservation model [8] which is based on the electrodynamics of a 3-D model of variable resistors. Because memristors are nonlinear components, we suggest that they could be useful in modeling nonlinear environments.

In this short paper we will discuss how our previously developed algorithms can be used to take information from a robot’s sensors to model the nonlinear degradation of an environment undergoing harvesting by a group of autonomous agents [12], this algorithm was then studied from the point of view of information transfer in a nano communication network [13], specifically by the situation of many sensors throughout an environment which are transmitting much more information (of different importance and quality) than the central sensing computer can deal with before the information is updated. We are interested in modeling the case where the robotic control system (RCS) has a smaller processing bandwidth than the robot’s sensors can transmit to it. A recent example is the Mars rover where the robot has a great amount of data to transfer, limited bandwidth and we require that the most important (i.e. scientifically interesting) and the most mission critical (i.e. position and danger updates) data is transferred first, but we also want as much data as possible.

In this short paper we will discuss how our previously developed algorithms can be used to take information from a robot’s sensors to build up different levels of approximations cogent with the different timescales of control, what such a controller might look like and how it could be built. We won’t discuss how the importance of the information is ranked, presuming that the robot designer can allocate a look-up table for that, neither will we discuss sorting the information, assuming that this can be done at the sensor or as pre-processing.
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II. APPLICATION OF ANT-INSPIRED MEMRISTOR-MODELED ALGORITHM TO A ROBOT

The memristors were modeled using the simplest version (i.e. missing the non-linear drift) of the phenomenological model [2] and, as in [13], this model was applied to an information source. \( \beta \) is a measure of the non-linearity and is related to the decay of sensor data freshness, essentially it is a measure of diminishing returns. \( R_{\text{off}} \) is fixed at the value of complete data transfer (and is the maximum resistance in [2]). \( R_{\text{on}} \) which varies between 0 and 100 and is a measure of \( \frac{1}{\text{richness}} \), where richness is a convolution of bandwidth requirement and importance, perhaps as simple as the product of a number representing a measure of each. By using this modelling scheme, the current in the memristor simulation is the rate of information influx to the RCS and the total voltage drop represents the total processor bandwidth, with the voltage drop across a memristor models the amount of processor bandwidth (currently) applied to that sensor’s data. Time dependence can be included by making \( \beta, R_{\text{on}} \) and \( V \) dependent on \( t \), allowing for time-dependence.

This allowed us to design an algorithm [12] for the scheduling problem of efficient assignment of workers to tasks in an online manner by the situation itself, allowing the RCS to online manner by the situation itself, allowing the RCS to

\[ \text{Sequential algorithm's time to gather data from a uniform random trials).} \]

previous work [13] lumped several aspects of the environment together under ‘richness’, here we will discuss the ramifications of separating them. We shall suggest taking \( R_{\text{on}} \) to only represent bandwidth and maintain a second list of importance of data chunks. Thus, we can use the importance list to take the most valuable data chunks, and this can be used for reactive control. Each time-step the RCS evaluates this critical data and responds straight away via a simple look-up table. Note that we can extend the Leaf cutter algorithm to allow instead the (All Sites parallelized) gathering of several data chunks of high enough importance, where the importance value is set by the designer based on time-criticality and relevance for reactive control.

\[ R_{\text{on}} \] would then be only a measure of the processing bandwidth requirement of the data chunk. We can use this value to process the remaining data chunks in a way that allows the creation of the best and timeliest approximation of the situation. This less critical data is still important and allows the creation of the best and timeliest approximation of the situation. This less critical data is still important and allows for deliberative control. This is the response mode similar to hybrid control methodology, in that it is between deliberative control and reactive control. It has a different accuracy dependent on how long the robot spends getting all the data before acting, and this value can be tweaked in an online manner by the situation itself, allowing the RCS to
change the amount of ‘attention’ it gives the surroundings before acting and varying its action rate dependent on the task. If $\eta$ is taken to be the number of timesteps the robot waits for before acting, where $1 < \eta < N$, and $N$ is the total number of steps required to fully gather all information from the sensor. If $\eta = N$ we have deliberative control, changing $\eta$ between 1 and $N$ allows us to tune the hybrid control between fully reactive and fully deliberative. By allowing $R_{on}$, the importance list and $\beta$ to be changeable (i.e. time dependent), we could manufacture a very reconfigurable system capable of complex, varied and nuanced behaviour.

It is possible to require the assessment of the long-term data and this is the mode that is required for the robot to learn (and would be the third level in a hybrid system). All received information is used to build up a long-term environmental model that would be required for an considered (intelligent) response and adaptability. Note that building up the RCS in this hierarchical manner allows the robot to override its reactive response when there is a reason to do so contained within higher level cognitive functions. This is similar to human responses for example to a broken boiler, where we might flinch from cold water but then shower ourselves due to the high regard cleanliness is held in.

By modeling attention, we make it possible for a robot to deal with an overwhelming amount of information coming in and only act on that which is relevant. By switching levels we can change the amount of importance applied to input stimuli dependent on the system. Within the importance assignment we could include a factor to compare the current input with previous values (this can be done via manipulation of virtualised data input chunks which are outputs of RCS processes) to make the robot more sensitive to changing inputs than static, similarly to biological processing. We can envisage a future application for a robot with similar capabilities and limitations to higher animals, namely a high number of sensors and a processing unit incapable of ‘consciously’ registering all of it at once, which would require the ability to shift processing priorities dependent on the situation.

In building a system such as the RCS we can follow the ‘hybrid’ control approach of building a reactive center, a deliberative centre and a control center to switch between the two. Memristors can be used to make distributed networks capable of adapting and learning, and as such, the real world memristors are thought to be good candidates for these types of systems. Thus, distributed parallelized memristor networks offer a way to create more biological-inspired control systems which are suited to behaviour-based control as they can be easily modified. Finally, as memristors are non-linear we expect that they will be good at dealing with information presented in a non-linear fashion as in this case.
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