Teff (Eragrostis tef) is a cornerstone of food security in the Horn of Africa, where it is prized for stress resilience, grain nutrition, and market value. Here, we report a chromosome-scale assembly of allotetraploid teff (variety Dabbi) and patterns of subgenome dynamics. The teff genome contains two complete sets of homoeologous chromosomes, with most genes maintaining as syntenic gene pairs. TE analysis allows us to estimate that the teff polyploidy event occurred ~1.1 million years ago (mya) and that the two subgenomes diverged ~5.0 mya. Despite this divergence, we detect no large-scale structural rearrangements, homoeologous exchanges, or biased gene loss, in contrast to many other allopolyploids. The two teff subgenomes have partitioned their ancestral functions based on divergent expression across a diverse expression atlas. Together, these genomic resources will be useful for accelerating breeding of this underutilized grain crop and for fundamental insights into polyploid genome evolution.
hirty crop species supply over 90% of the world’s food needs and this narrow diversity reduces global food security. Humans have domesticated several hundred distinct plant species, but most are underutilized, under-improved, and restricted to their regions of origin. Although food systems have become increasingly diverse in the past few decades, many locally adapted species have been replaced by calorically dense staple crops, resulting in global homogeneity. Many underutilized and orphan crop species have desirable nutritional profiles, abiotic and biotic stress resilience, and untapped genetic potential for feeding our growing populations during this period of rapidly changing climate.

Teff is the staple grain crop in Ethiopia, and it is preferred over other cereals because of its nutritional profile, low input demand, adaptability, and cultural significance. Unlike other major cereals, teff is grown primarily by small-scale, subsistence farmers and thousands of locally adapted cultivars have been developed. Teff is among the most resilient cereals, tolerating marginal and semiarid soils that are unsuitable for wheat, maize, sorghum, or rice production. Teff was likely domesticated in the northern Ethiopian Highlands where much of the genetic diversity can be found. Consistent yields of small, nutritious seeds were the primary domestication targets of teff, contrasting most cereals where large seed heads and high productivity under tillage were desirable. Despite its stress tolerance, yield improvements lag behind other cereals because of issues related to lodging, seed shattering, extreme drought, and poor agronomic practices. Teff and other orphan cereals have undergone limited intensive selection for high productivity under ideal conditions, and rapid gains should be possible with advanced breeding and genome selection. A rough draft genome is available for the teff cultivar Tsedey (DZ-Cr-37), but the utility of these sequence data are limited because of the assembly’s fragmented and incomplete nature.

The wild progenitor of teff is likely Eragrostis pilosa, a hardy wild grass sharing considerable overlap in morphological, genetic, and karyotype traits with teff. Eragrostis teff and E. pilosa are allotetraploids that arose from a shared polyploidy event that merged two currently unknown and possibly extinct or unsampled diploid genomes. Many crop plants are polyploid, and genome doubling can give rise to emergent traits such as spinable fibers in cotton, morphological diversity in Brassica sp., and new aromatic profiles of strawberry fruits. Successful establishment of allopolyploids requires coordination of two distinct sets of homoeologous genes and networks, and often a dominant subgenome emerges to resolve genetic and epigenetic conflicts. Newly formed polyploids are often unstable and undergo numerous structural rearrangements and fractionation compared to their diploid progenitors. Homeologous exchange is common during early polyploidy formation, and large chromosome segments from one subgenome can replace another as observed in canola (Brassica napus), strawberry (Fragaria ananassa), cotton, and proso millet. The cotton allotetraploid complex formed around the same time as teff (1.7–1.9 million years ago (mya)), and the cotton A and D subgenomes diverged 6.2–7.1 mya. The two subgenomes have several hundred megabases of translocated sequences and strucutral rearrangements. This same pattern of rearrangement is observed in the banana (Musa balbisiana) A and B subgenomes, which diverged ~5.4 mya and have several megabase pair sized translocations and inversions between them. The allohexaploid false flax (Camelina stativa) has evidence of shattered chromosomes with numerous rearrangements and fractionation of the subgenomes compared to the diploid progenitors.

The effect of polyploidy on desirable traits and interactions between the two subgenomes remains untested in teff. Polyploidy is found in more than 90% of species within the grass subfamily containing teff (Chloridoideae), and this has been hypothesized to contribute to the stress tolerance and diversification of these grasses. Here, we report a chromosome-scale assembly of the teff A and B subgenomes and test for patterns of subgenome interactions and divergence.

Results
Genome assembly and annotation. We built a chromosome-scale assembly of the allotetraploid teff genome using a combination of long read single-molecule real-time sequencing and long-range high-throughput chromatin capture (Hi-C). In total, we generated 5.5 million filtered PacBio reads collectively spanning 52.9 Gb or 85× coverage of the estimated 622 Mb genome from the important teff landrace Dabbi. PacBio reads were error corrected and assembled using Canu and the resulting contigs were polished to remove residual errors with Pilon using high coverage Illumina data (45×). The PacBio assembly has a contig N50 of 1.55 Mb across 1344 contigs with a total assembly size of 576 Mb, 92.6% of the estimated genome size. The average nucleotide identity between homoeologous gene regions in teff is 93.9%, and this high sequence divergence facilitated accurate phasing and assembly. We utilized 20 random fosmids to assess the accuracy of the PacBio-based assembly (Supplementary Table 1). The fosmids collectively span 351 kb and have an average identity of 99.9% to the teff genome with individual fosmids ranging from 99.3 to 100%. This suggests that our assembly is mostly complete and accurately polished.

Contigs from the Canu-based draft genome were anchored into a chromosome-scale assembly using a Hi-C-based scaffolding approach. After filtering, 20 high-confidence clusters were identified, consistent with the haploid chromosome number of teff (2n = 40; Fig. 1). In total, 687 contigs collectively spanning 96% of the assembly (555 Mb) were anchored and oriented across the 20 pseudomolecules (Table 1). Pseudomolecules ranged in size from 19 to 40 Mb, consistent with the teff karyotype. Seven chimeric contigs corresponding to joined telomeres were...
The teff genome was annotated using the MAKER pipeline. Transcript support from a large-scale expression atlas and protein homology to Arabidopsis and other grass genomes were used as evidence for ab initio gene prediction. After filtering transposon-derived sequences, ab initio gene prediction identified 68,255 gene models. We assessed the annotation quality using the Benchmarking Universal Single-Copy Ortholog (BUSCO) Embryophyta dataset. The annotation contains 98.1% of the 1440 core Embryophyta genes and the majority (1210) are found in the Embryophyta dataset. The annotation contains 98.1% of the 1440 core Embryophyta genes and the majority (1210) are found in the Embryophyta dataset. The annotation contains 98.1% of the 1440 core Embryophyta genes and the majority (1210) are found in the Embryophyta dataset.

We assessed the accuracy of the pseudomolecule construction using a high-density single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP)-based genetic map with 2002 markers across 32 linkage groups. This map was constructed using a recombinant inbred population derived from an interspecific cross of E. tef and E. pilosa. The pseudomolecules and genetic map are highly collinear with an average Pearson’s correlation coefficient between marker and physical distance of \( \rho = 0.932 \) (Fig. 2). Several chromosomes are broken into multiple linkage groups because of low maker density and these linkage groups can be joined based on physical location on the pseudomolecules. There were some marker incongruences between homeologous linkage groups, but this was generally low, suggesting that the tef A and B subgenomes are accurately phased between homeologous linkage groups, but this was generally low, suggesting that the tef A and B subgenomes are accurately phased.

Subgenome characteristics. Tef is an allotetraploid with unknown diploid progenitors, but the polyploidy event is likely shared with other closely related Eragrostis species. Because the diploid progenitors are unknown and possibly extinct, we utilized the putative centromeric array sequences to distinguish the homeologous chromosomes from the A and B subgenomes of teff. Putative centromeric (SatT) repeat arrays in teff range from 3.7 to 326 kb in size for each chromosome and individual arrays contain 22 to 824 copies (Supplementary Table 2). We identified two distinct SatT arrays in teff (hereon referred to as SatTA and SatTB). SatTA and SatTB are the same length (159 bp) but have different sequence composition (Supplementary Fig. 1b). This element was previously identified in Illumina short read data from the teff variety Enantite. Alignment of the consensus SatT arrays identified several distinguishing polymorphisms and a maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree separated the SatT arrays into two well-supported clades (Supplementary Fig. 1a). Each clade contains one member from each of the ten homeologous chromosome pairs and this classification likely represents differences in SatT array composition between the diploid progenitor species. This approach allowed us to accurately distinguish homeologous chromosome pairs from the A and B subgenomes and verifies the allopolyploid origin of teff. This result is independently confirmed by an analysis that investigated historical transposable element (TE) activity (see below).

The teff subgenomes have 93.9% sequence similarity in the coding regions, suggesting that either the polyploidy event was relatively ancient or that the progenitor diploid species were highly divergent. To estimate the divergence time of the A and B subgenomes, we calculated Ks (synonymous substitutions per synonymous site) between homeologous gene pairs. Teff homeologs have a single Ks peak with a median of 0.15 (Supplementary Table 2). This result is independently confirmed by an analysis that investigated historical transposable element (TE) activity (see below).
Fig. 2), corresponding to a divergence time of ~5 million years based on a widely used mutation rate for grasses (1.5 × 10^-8 substitutions per nonsynonymous site per year)23. The ten pairs of homoeologous chromosomes are highly syntenic with no large-scale structural rearrangements. The A subgenome is 13% (37 Mb) larger in size, but contains only 5% more genes than the B subgenome (34,032 vs. 32,255; Table 1). Most genes (54,846) are maintained as homoeologous pairs and 13,409 are found in only one subgenome. Of these single-copy genes, 9036 have corresponding sequences in the homeologous chromosomes as either pseudogenes with frameshift mutations and missing exons, or low confidence gene models that were excluded from the final annotation. In total, ~93.5% of genes are maintained as homeologous gene pairs or a gene and pseudogene pair, with comparatively few being absent or deleted from one of the subgenomes. We identified 6876 tandemly duplicated genes with array sizes ranging from 2 to 15 copies. Of the 2748 tandem arrays, 998 are found in both subgenomes, while 864 and 1008 occur in only the A and B subgenomes, respectively (Table 1). Copy number varies extensively in shared arrays between the subgenomes.

The monoploid genome size of teff is relatively small (~300 Mb) compared to other polyploid grasses, and repetitive elements constitute a low percentage (25.6%) of the genome. Long terminal repeat-retrotransposons (LTR-RTs) are the most abundant repetitive elements, spanning at least 115.9 Mb or ~20.0% of the genome (Table 2). This predicted percentage is somewhat lower than that reported for other small grass genomes, such as Oropetium (250 Mb; 27%)24 and Brachypodium (272 Mb; 21.4%)25. We classified LTRs into 65 families and compared their abundance and insertion times (Fig. 3). A particular window of activity was seen for six families of LTR-RTs that were active only in the A genome progenitor or the B genome progenitor (Supplementary Fig. 3 and Supplementary Table 3). The insertion times for these genome-specific LTR-RTs were all greater than 1.1 mya, indicating the two subgenomes were evolving independently during this period. Hence, this LTR-RT analysis both confirms the A and B genome designations, and provides a methodology for determining the date of polyploid formation. In teff, these data indicate that the ancestral polyploidy was established ~1.1 mya.
Table 2 Summary of the repeat sequence distribution in the teff genome.

| Class        | Subclass | Superfamily | Number of families | Loci         | Size (Mb) | Genome % |
|--------------|----------|-------------|--------------------|--------------|-----------|----------|
| SSR          | SSR      | NA          | 1                  | 116,936      | 5.2       | 0.9      |
| Class I      | LTR      | Gypsy       | 944                | 54,384       | 71.8      | 12.4     |
|              | LTR      | Unknown     | 946                | 55,889       | 32.5      | 5.6      |
|              | LTR      | Copia       | 330                | 13,571       | 11.6      | 2        |
|              | LINE     | L1          | 37                 | 2784         | 1.6       | 0.3      |
|              | LINE     | I           | 5                  | 17           | 0         | -0       |
|              | SINE     | Unknown     | 109                | 14,909       | 2.4       | 0.4      |
| Class II     | TIR      | Tc1         | 793                | 81,715       | 14.9      | 2.6      |
|              | TIR      | CACTA       | 266                | 25,197       | 4.4       | 0.8      |
|              | TIR      | hAT         | 77                 | 7084         | 1.4       | 0.2      |
|              | TIR      | PIF         | 48                 | 5746         | 1.1       | 0.2      |
|              | TIR      | Mutator     | 26                 | 3238         | 0.6       | 0.1      |
|              | TIR      | Unknown     | 1                  | 247          | 0         | -0       |
|              | Helitron | Helitron    | 105                | 21,977       | 5.6       | 1        |
|              |          |             |                    |              |           | 153.1    | 26.5     |

Fig. 3 Insertion dynamics of 65 LTR-RT families in teff. Box plots of insertion time for the 65 LTR-RT families having ≥5 intact LTR elements are plotted. Families 1–5 have ≥100 intact LTRs, 6–33 have ≥10 LTRs, and 34–65 have ≥5 LTRs. The exact number of LTR-RTs in each family is available in the TE annotation gff file. The six subgenome-specific families are highlighted in blue and the estimated range for the teff polyploidy event is shown in brown. A substitution rate of 1.3e-8 per site per year was used to infer the element insertion times. Box boundaries indicate the 25th and 75th percentiles of the insertion time and whiskers extend to 1.5 times the interquartile range.

Five of the six subgenome-specific LTR-RT families were found only in the A subgenome, suggesting that LTR-RTs accumulate more rapidly in the A subgenome or are purged more effectively in the B subgenome. The A subgenome contains 35% more repetitive DNA than the B subgenome (87.5 vs. 64.9 Mb) and the recent bursts of LTR-RT activity contributes to the 13% larger size of the A subgenome. There are 24 families with median insertion times between 1.1 and 2.4 mya, and the remaining 18 families do not exhibit subgeneric specificity. Of these, 15 show no apparent burst in amplification, and three have evidence of very recent (post-polyploid) activity (Fig. 3, Supplementary Fig. 3, and Supplementary Table 4).

Teff belongs to the Chloridoideae subfamily of grasses, which includes important drought- and heat-tolerant C4 species such as the orphan grain crop finger millet and model desiccation tolerant plants in the genera *Oropetium*, *Eragrostis*, *Tripsogon*, *Sporobolus* and others. Most (~90%) of surveyed Chloridoideae species are polyploid, including many of the aforementioned taxa, and this likely contributes to their diversity and stress tolerance.

We utilized the wealth of genomic resources within Chloridoideae and more generally across Poaceae to identify patterns associated with improved stress tolerance, polyploidy, and genome evolution in teff. The teff and *Oropetium* genomes have a high degree of collinearity, as demonstrated by highly conserved gene content and order along each chromosome (Fig. 4). Teff and *Oropetium* show a clear 2:1 synteny pattern with 87% of teff genes having synteny to one block in *Oropetium* and 85% of *Oropetium* genes having synteny to two blocks in the teff genome (Fig. 4a). This ratio corresponds to the A and B homoeologs of tetraploid *Oropetium*. Each *Oropetium* chromosome has clear collinearity to two homoeologous teff chromosomes (Fig. 4c). Three trios have no rearrangements (teff 3A, 3B, and *Oropetium* Chr3; 4A, 4B, Chr4; 6A, 6B, Chr8) six trios have one or more large-scale inversions (1A, 1B, Chr1; 2A, 2B, Chr2; 5A, 5B, Chr5; 7A, 7B, Chr6; 8A, 8B, Chr9; 9A, 9B, Chr9) and one trio has translocations (10A, 10B, Chr10). Of the 28,909 *Oropetium* genes, 74% (21,293) have syntenic orthologs in both subgenomes of teff, 5% (1503) are found in only one subgenome, and 21% (6113) have no syntenic orthologs in teff. Teff and the allotetraploid grain crop finger millet have 2:2 synteny, but only 69% of syntenic blocks are found in duplicate because of the fragmented nature of the finger millet genome assembly (Supplementary Fig. 4). Only 56% (38,149) of the teff genes have two syntenic orthologs in finger millet and the remaining 13 and 30% (9228 and 20,878) have one or zero syntenic orthologs in finger millet, respectively.

Following an allopolyploidy event, a dominant subgenome often emerges with significantly more retained genes and higher homoeolog expression as the plant returns to a diploid-like state. This dominance is established immediately following the polyploidy event, and patterns of biased fractionation have been observed in *Arabidopsis* and maize, *Brassica rapa*, and bread wheat. Biased homoeolog loss (fractionation) is not universal, and other allopolyploids such as *Capsella bursa-pastoris* and several Cucurbita species display no subgenome dominance. We searched for biased fractionation using syntenic orthologs...
from Oropetium as anchors. The A and B subgenomes of teff have a near identical number of syntenic orthologs to Oropetium (21,697 vs. 21,520, respectively), suggesting that there is little or no biased fractionation in teff. Orthologs to 1325 Oropetium genes are found as single-copy loci in teff, including 647 and 678 from the A and B subgenomes, respectively. The remaining orthologs are maintained in duplicate in teff (21,276) compared to their single ortholog in Oropetium. Together, this suggests a general stability of gene content in *Eragrostis* after genome merger.

**Homoeolog expression patterns and subgenome dominance.**
To test for patterns of subgenome differentiation and dominance in teff, we surveyed gene expression in eight developmentally distinct tissue types and two stages of progressive drought stress. Sampled tissues include roots and shoots from seedlings and mature plants, internodes, and two stages of developing seeds. Tissue from mature, well-watered leaves and two time points of severe drought were also collected (leaf relative water content of 33% and 16%, respectively). Of the 23,303 syntenic gene pairs between the A and B subgenomes, 15,325 have homoeologous expression bias (HEB) in at least one tissue, and 1694 have biased expression in all sampled tissues (Supplementary Fig. 5). Pairwise comparisons between syntenic gene pairs support a slight bias in transcript expression toward the B subgenome (Fig. 5a). Roughly 56% of the 207,873 pairwise comparisons across the ten tissues show biased expression toward homoeologs in the B subgenome. This pattern is consistently observed across all ten tissues and most chromosome pairs, but the difference is subtle when robust cutoffs of differential expression are applied (Fig. 5b, c; see Methods). Individual tissues have from 6061 to 8485 homoeologous gene pairs with significant differential expression, including 52.3% biased toward the B subgenome (Kruskal–Wallis *H* test *P* < 0.01; Fig. 5b). Eight pairs of chromosomes show HEB toward the B subgenome, and chromosomes 1 and 8 have more dominant homoeologs from the A subgenome, but the difference is not significant (Wilcoxon’s rank-sum *P* > 0.05). Together, this
suggests that the B subgenome is universally dominant over the A subgenome, but when strict thresholds are applied, this difference is minimal. Although we detected no evidence of recent homoeologous exchange, it is possible that genes from the recessive genome were replaced with homoeologs from the dominant subgenome during polyploid formation, which would weaken patterns of subgenome dominance.

One of the reasons for teff’s status as the preferred cereal in Ethiopia and among many food nutritionists is the high quality and quantity of proteins in the teff grain. Because teff seeds are so small, analyzing gene expression during seed development is challenging. For this reason, our investigations of the expression of teff storage protein genes during seed development included several early stages where we did not attempt to separate the developing seed from surrounding flower tissues (Supplementary Fig. 6). Hence, accurate quantification was not feasible, but analysis of the timing of expression was possible. The results indicated that the genes for all of the different classes of teff storage proteins, known as eragrostins, were primarily expressed during a narrow temporal window about 1 week after flower emergence (Supplementary Fig. 6). For many self-pollinated cereals, we expect that the pollination occurred before the flowers emerged from the stem at the boot leaf stage. For the most highly expressed storage protein genes (α-eragrostins on chromosomes 4A and 4B), there was a slight bias (53–47%) for expression from the A subgenome, while the next most highly expressed family for storage proteins (encoding the γ-eragrostins on chromosomes 2A and 2B), there was also a bias (82–18%) towards expression from the A subgenome.

We tested whether gene pairs with HEB maintain patterns of dominance across all tissues or whether dominant homoeologs are reversed in different tissues or under stress. The vast majority of genes (86.9%; 13,322) with HEB maintain the same pattern of dominance across all tissues, while 13.1% (2002) of the gene pairs have opposite dominance patterns in different tissues. The remaining 7675 gene pairs have no expression bias in any tissues or both homoeologs have negligible expression. Severely dehydrated leaf tissue had the most gene pairs with HEB (36%; 8485) compared to seedling roots and shoots, which each had ~26% of pairs with HEB. These results are consistent with previous findings in allohexaploid wheat and allotetraploid , We compared the ratio of nonsynonymous (Ka) to synonymous substitution rates (Ks) in homoeologous gene pairs to test if genes with stronger HEB are experiencing different...
patterns of selection. Gene pairs with stronger HEB had significantly higher Ka/Ks than gene pairs with no HEB in any tissue (Supplementary Fig. 7; 0.17 vs. 0.28; Mann–Whitney P < 0.01). We detected no difference in divergence (Ks) among genes with varying degrees of HEB (Supplementary Fig. 8). Based on this pattern, we hypothesize that homeologous gene pairs with higher expression divergence are under more relaxed selective constraints than gene pairs with balanced expression.

Discussion
Unlike the genomes of most polyploid grasses, the teff subgenomes are relatively small (~300 Mb), with high gene density and low TE content. The subgenomes are highly syntenic along their length, with no evidence of major inversions or structural rearrangements, in contrast to patterns observed in other relatively recent allopolyploids such as canola (Brassica napus)35, strawberry (Fragaria ananassa)16, and cotton46. The cotton allotetraploid complex formed around the same time as teff (1.7–1.9 mya)37, and the cotton A and D subgenomes diverged 6.2–7.1 mya. The two subgenomes have several hundred megabases of translocated sequences and structural rearrangements. This same pattern of rearrangement is observed in the banana (Musa balbisiana) A and B subgenomes, which diverged ~5.4 mya and have several mega-base pair sized translocations and inversions between them38. The allohexaploid false flax (Camelina sativa) has evidence of clus- tered chromosomes with numerous rearrangements and fractionation of the subgenomes compared to the diploid progenitor39.

The general stability of the teff subgenomes may be attributed to low rates of homeologous exchange. An estimated 90% of Chloridoid grasses are polyploid and among the allopolyploid species, multivalent pairing is rarely detected17. The twenty chromosome pairs in teff show bivalent pairing in meiosis I, and double reduction has not been observed in segregating populations20. Although homeologous exchanges can result in advantageous emergent phenotypes, they can also destabilize the karyotype, leading to reduced fertility and fitness40. For this reason, recent polyploids have long been considered evolutionarily dead ends41. Thus, proper bivalent pairing (disomic inheritance) in natural allopolyploids may be favored, and the near perfect synteny observed between teff subgenomes suggests that an underlying mechanism may exist to prevent or reduce homeologous exchanges in this species. We detected no evidence of recent homeologous exchange in teff based on Ks distribution in windows across the genome, including exchanges that would have happened at the inception of the polyploidy event ~1.1 mya. Homeologous exchanges are a common feature of allopolyploids, and the lack of these events is a unique feature of the teff genome.

The teff A and B subgenomes, and the Oropetium genome have high degrees of chromosome level colinearity despite their divergence. Oropetium and teff belong to different tribes within Chloridioideae (Cynodontae and Eragrostideae, respectively) and diverged an estimated 25 mya42,43. This is particularly unusual because polyploid-rich lineages typically have high rates of chromosome evolution44. In contrast, our analysis of the divergence dates of the diploid A and B genome ancestors (~5 mya) and the formation of the tetraploid (~1.1 mya) indicates that the two genomes were so similar in structure (i.e., gene content, gene order and chromosome size) that some tetrasomic pairing would have been expected. Perhaps the Ph1-equivalent loci in Eragrostis, which control proper bivalent pairing in wheat45, are so domi-nant that even low frequencies of homeologous pairing are blocked. The high levels of subgenome compatibility, genetic and chromosome stability, fidelity for chromosome pairing, and low rates of homeologous exchange allows polyploidy to dominate in the Chloridoideae subfamily. This polyploidy enabled the emergent resilience and robustness observed in Chloridoid grasses.

Although we detected no biased fractionation between the teff subgenomes, we observed a general subgenome dominance across tissues in the expression atlas. The B subgenome is smaller and has fewer transposable elements, which may be contributing to the overall higher homoeolog expression levels. Patterns of B subgenome dominance are relatively weak compared to other allopolyploids, which may reflect the stability and lack of biased fractionation in teff. The teff subgenomes have successfully partitioned their ancestral roles, and most gene pairs display homoeolog expression bias. This bias is generally maintained across tissues and treatments, and few gene pairs change bias in a tissue-specific manner. Severely drought stressed leaf tissue has the highest proportion of genes with biased expression, which may reflect adaptation to adverse environments. Extensive homoeolog expression bias is also observed in hexaploid wheat54 and tetraploid Tragopogon mirus55 and may be a common feature of recent polyploid grasses.

The vast majority of genes in teff are maintained as homeologous gene pairs in the A and B subgenomes, providing a significant obstacle for targeted breeding. Efforts to produce semi-dwarf, lodging-resistant teff using a mutagenesis approach have been more difficult because of gene redundancies46. The resources provided here will help accelerate marker-assisted selection and guide genome engineering-based approaches, which must take gene redundancy into account. Most gene pairs have divergent expression profiles such that the subgenomes likely contribute unequally to different agronomic traits. Teff is often described as an orphan grain crop because of its limited investigation and improvement, resulting in relatively low yields under ideal conditions compared to other cereals with intensive selection and breeding histories. Teff and other grasses within Chloridoideae have high tolerance to abiotic stresses, and most of this resilience was maintained during teff domestication. This may represent a historical alternative selection scheme where maximum yield is exchanged for reliable harvest under poor environmental condi-tions. Future efforts to improve food security during rapidly changing climates should utilize the natural resilience of these robust, stable polyploid species.

Methods
Plant materials. The Dabbi cultivar of teff (PI 524438, www.ars-grin.gov) was chosen for sequencing. Plant materials for high-molecular-weight (HMW) genomic DNA extraction, Hi-C library construction, and RNA were maintained in growth chambers under a 12-h photoperiod with day/night temperatures of 28 °C and 22 °C, respectively, and a light intensity of 400 μmol m−2 s−1. Tissue samples for the expression atlas were collected at ZT8 (Zeitgeber Time 8) to reduce issues associated with circadian oscillation. The Addisie cultivar of teff (PI 524434) was used for constructing the expression atlas. The tissue types used in the expression atlas include shoots and roots from young seedlings, mature leaf, internode, root, immature seeds, and mature seeds (Supplementary Fig. 9). For the drought time points, mature teff plants were allowed to dry slowly and leaf tissue was collected at subsequent days of extreme drought when the plant tissues had 33% and 16% relative water content, as well as well-watered teff for comparison. Tissue for the seed development timepoints were collected from teff florets at six different stages from 1 week before to 5 weeks after flower emergence. Three biological replicates were collected for each sample for RNAseq expression analysis. Leaf tissue from seedlings was used for the HMW genomic DNA extraction and Hi-C library construction. Tissues for HMW genomic DNA extraction and RNAseq were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80 °C.

DNA library construction and sequencing. HMW genomic DNA was isolated from young teff leaf tissue for both PacBio and Illumina sequencing. A modified nuclei preparation47 was used to extract HMW genomic DNA and residual contaminants were removed using phenol chloroform purification. PacBio libraries were constructed using the manufacturer’s protocol and were size selected for 30 kb fragments on the BluePippen system (Sage Science), followed by subsequent purifi-cation (BioAmPure XP beads) (Beckman Coulter). The PacBio libraries were sequenced in a PacBio RSII system with P6C4 chemistry. In total, 5.5 million filtered PacBio reads were generated, collectively spanning 52.9 Gb or ~85×
Genome coverage (assuming a genome size of 622 Mb). The same batch of HMW genomic DNA was used to construct Illumina RNA-seq libraries for correcting residual errors in the Bioassembly. Libraries were constructed using the KAPA HyperPrep Kit (Kapa Biosystems), followed by sequencing on an Illumina HiSeq4000 under paired-end mode (150 bp).

**RNA extraction and library construction.** RNA for the expression atlas was extracted using the Omega Biotek E.Z.N.A. Plant RNA Kit according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Roughly 200 mg of ground tissue was used for each extraction. The RNA quality was validated using gel electrophoresis and the Qubit RNA HS Assay Kit (Invitrogen, USA) with the Illumina TruSeq stranded total RNA RT Sample Prep Kit (RS-122-2401 and RS-122-2402). Multiplexed libraries were pooled and sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq4000 under paired-end 150 nt mode. Three replicates were sequenced for each timepoint/sample.

**Genome assembly.** The genome size of Dabhi teff was estimated using flow cytometry as previously described40. The estimated flow cytometry size was 622 Mb, which was consistent with kmer-based estimations from Illumina data. The kmer plot had a unimodal distribution suggesting low within genome heterozygosity and high differentiation from the teff A and B subgenomes. Raw PacBio data was error corrected and assembled using Canu (v1.4)41, which produced accurate contigs for homologous assembly for homoeologous plant genomes. The default parameters were modified: minReadLength = 2000, GenomicSize = 622 Mb, minOverlapLength = 1000. Assembly graphs were visualized after each iteration of Canu in Bandage49 to assess complexities related to repetitive elements and homoeologous regions. The final Canu-based PacBio assembly has a contig N50 of 1.55 Mb across 1344 contigs with a total assembly size of 576 Mb. The raw PacBio contigs were polished to remove residual errors with Pilon (v1.22)19 using 73× coverage of Illumina paired-end 150 bp data. Illumina reads were quality-trimmed using Trimmomatic36, followed by aligning to the assembly with bowtie2 (v2.3.0)42 under default parameters. Parameters for Pilon were modified as follows: -rn 7, -K 49, and --mindepth 15. Pilon was run recursively three times until the modified corrected assembly after each round. Ten full-length fosmids (collectively spanning 351 kb) were aligned to the final PacBio assembly to assess the quality. Individuals from homoeologous subgenomes varied from 99.3% to 100% nucleotide identity.

**Genetic map construction.** A previously generated recombinant inbred population derived from an interspecies cross of E. tauschii and E. pilosum was used to generate a high-density genetic map52. The small differences between the pseudomolecules and chromosomes were broken into multiple linkage groups in this map because of low centromeric repeats from the 20 chromosomes into two distinct groups corresponding to the A and B subgenomes.

**Hi-C analysis and pseudomolecule construction.** The PacBio-based teff contigs were anchored into a chromosome-scale assembly using a Hi-C proximity-based assembly approach as previously described44. A Hi-C library was constructed using 0.2 g of leaf tissue collected from newly emergent teff seedlings with the Proxima™ Hi-C Plant Kit (Phase Genomics) following the manufacturer’s protocol. After verifying quality, the Hi-C library was size selected for 300-600 bp fragments and sequenced on the Illumina HiSeq4000 under paired-end 150 bp mode. One hundred and fifty base pair reads were used to avoid erroneous alignment in highly similar homoeologous regions. In total, 226 million read pairs were used as input for the Juicer and 3d-DMNA Hi-C analysis and scaffolding pipeline55,56. Illumina reads were quality-trimmed using Trimmomatic (v0.39)69 and aligned to the contigs using BWA (v0.7.16)27 with strict parameters (-n 0) to prevent mismatches and non-specific alignments in repetitive and homoeologous regions. Contigs were ordered and oriented assembly errors were identified using the 3d-DMNA pipeline with default parameters69. The resulting Hi-C contact matrix was visualized using Juicebox, and misassemblies and misjoins were manually corrected based on neighboring interactions. This approach identified 20 high-confidence clusters representing the haploid chromosome number in teff. The manually validated assembly was used to build pseudomolecules using the finalize-output.sh script from 3d-DMNA and chromosomes were renamed and ordered by size and binned to the A and B subgenomes based on centromeric array analysis (described in detail below). RNAseq expression analysis and homoeolog expression bias. Gene expression levels were quantified with the pseudo-aligner Kallisto (v0.44.0)70 using the teff gene models as a reference. Pair-end Illumina reads from the ten tissues in the expression atlas were quality trimmed using Trimmomatic (v0.33) with default parameters and pseudo-aligned to the gene models with Kallisto under default parameters with 100 bootstraps per sample. The teff A and B subgenomes have high sequence divergence (~7%) such that misalignment between homoeologs was minimal. Expression levels were quantified as transcripts per million and the three biological replicates were averaged for direct homoeolog comparisons. HEB was identified across all 1:1 homoeologous gene pairs using DESeq278 for all 10 samples in the atlas. Gene pairs were categorized as 1:1 if they matched the log 2 fold change between the pseudomolecules and genetic map are likely driven by missing data and marker distortion as well as the inter-specific nature of this mapping population (E. tauschii × E. pilosum).
Comparative genomics. Homoeologous gene pairs between the teff A and B subgenomes and syntenic gene pairs across select grasses were identified using the MUSCLE toolkit implemented in python [https://github.com/tanghaisao/MCscan-Python-version]. Teff homoeologs were identified by all vs. all alignment using LAST, and hits were filtered using default parameters in MCSCAN with a minimum block size of five genes. Retained gene pairs from the ρ and σ whole-genome duplication events were filtered out using a C-score cutoff of 0.99. This approach identified 23,303 homoeologous, syntenic gene pairs between the A and B subgenome. Homoeologous gene pairs with translocations were not identified using this synteny approach and were thus excluded from analysis. Tandem gene duplicates in teff were identified from the all vs. all LAST output with a maximum genetic distance of 10. Gene models from teff were aligned to the data underlying Figs. 2, 4c, and Supplementary Figs. 2, 3, 4a, 5, 7, and 8 are embryophyta_odb9 BUSCO dataset [https://busco-archive.ezlab.org/v2/datasets/].
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Data availability

A reporting summary for this article is available as a Supplementary Information file. Data supporting the findings of this work are available within the paper and its Supplementary Information files. The datasets generated and analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author upon request. The raw PacBio data, Illumina DNAseq, and RNAseq data are available from the National Center for Biotechnology Information Short Read Archive under bioproject PRJNA552060. RNAseq reads from the teff expression atlas were deposited to the National Center for Biotechnology Information Short Read Archive under bioproject PRJNA552065. The genus assembly and annotation for teff is available from CoGe under id50954. The UniProtKB plant databases [https://www.uniprot.org/help/plants] and embryophyta_odb8 BUSCO dataset [https://busco-archive.ezlab.org/v2/datasets/embryophyta_odb8.tar.gz] were downloaded from source for data analyses. The source data underlying Figs. 2, 4c, and Sa and Supplementary Figs. 2, 3, 4a, 5, 7, and 8 are provided as a Source Data file.
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Custom scripts for calculating Ka/Ks values of homoeologous gene pairs are available on GitHub [https://github.com/Aeyocca/ka_ks_pipe/].
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