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ABSTRACT

We report the first localization of diffuse, nonthermal, X-ray emission from a nearby galaxy cluster. Using Chandra data, we have isolated a diffuse nonthermal X-ray component with a photon index of $\Gamma_x = 2.21^{+0.14}_{-0.15}$ and a flux of $9.5^{+1.1}_{-1.5} \times 10^{-5}$ photons cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ keV$^{-1}$ at 1 keV that extends from $\sim$1.5 to $\sim$2.5 to the south of the X-ray flux peak. Comparison with simulations implies that the diffuse nonthermal emission is produced by primary electrons, accelerated at shocks to relativistic velocities. Using these results and the flux and hardness maps produced with data from the Chandra Advanced CCD Imaging Spectrometer, we conclude that a smaller subclump coming from the north merged with IC 1262. The offset of the cD galaxy from the X-ray peak and large peculiar velocity indicate that the subclump’s impact parameter was to the west and on the near side of IC 1262.

Subject headings: galaxies: clusters: individual (IC 1262) — intergalactic medium — radiation mechanisms: nonthermal — X-rays: galaxies: clusters

1. INTRODUCTION

Recent Chandra observations indicate that relativistic plasma injected into the intracluster medium (ICM) from radio sources eventually detaches from the radio source, forming bubbles of radio plasma in the ICM (McNamara et al. 2001; Heinz et al. 2002; Fabian et al. 2003). In the model proposed by Ensslin (1999), these radio ghosts survive in the ICM and provide a seed population of cosmic rays (CRs). Diffuse nonthermal (NT) emission is produced when merger-induced shocks reaccelerate, via the first-order Fermi process, this seed CR population. Current evidence suggests that these radio ghosts contain a significant population of protons as well as electrons (Ensslin 1999). Since Blasi (2001) demonstrated that diffuse NT X-ray emission could be produced by either primary electrons directly accelerated at shock fronts or secondary electrons produced during proton-proton collisions, there are two possible sources for the observed diffuse NT emission. To determine the mechanism that produces diffuse NT emission requires accurate measurement of the spectrum and location of the NT emission.

Simulations by Miniati et al. (2001) show that diffuse NT emission occurs in a broad mass range of clusters with a luminosity proportional to the X-ray temperature, making cool clusters and groups an important diagnostic for understanding which population of electrons produces diffuse NT emission. They find that the spectral index of the diffuse NT emission is dependent on the electron population producing the emission, such that the spectral index of diffuse NT emission produced from primary electrons has a steep spectral index ($\alpha_{ec} > 1.1$), while that produced from the secondary is systematically flatter ($\alpha_{ec} < 1.1$).

Hudson, Henriksen, & Colafrancesco (2003) reported a detection of diffuse NT X-ray and radio emission from IC 1262, a poor cluster of galaxies. The X-ray detection was made using the BeppoSAX Medium Energy Concentrator Spectrometer (MECS), and the radio detection was made using the NRAO VLA Sky Survey (NVSS) and the Westerbork Northern Sky Survey (WENSS). Although the MECS was able to constrain the region of the NT emission, it does not have the spatial resolution to identify the source of the NT emission. In this Letter, we show that the Chandra Advanced CCD Imaging Spectrometer (ACIS) has the energy range and spatial capability to detect, localize, and characterize the spectrum of NT X-ray emission from low-temperature clusters. These results are compared with simulations of CR acceleration at shock fronts.

Throughout this Letter, we assume a Hubble constant of $H_0 = 65$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$ and $q_0 = 0.2$. Quoted confidence intervals are at a 90% level, unless otherwise specified.

2. OBSERVATIONS AND METHODS

The IC 1262 galaxy cluster is a poor cluster of galaxies located at R.A. = 17°33′01.0, decl. = +43°45′28″ (J2000; Ebeling et al. 1998) with a redshift of 0.0343 (Colless et al. 2001), so that $l' = 46 h_{70}^{0.5}$ kpc. It was observed by the Chandra ACIS S3 chip on 2001 August 23 for $\sim$31 ks. The total count rate of the uncleaned data is 10.2 $\pm$ 0.02 counts s$^{-1}$ with a peak of 37 $\pm$ 3 counts s$^{-1}$, which is well below the saturation limit of the ACIS chips operating in the faint mode (170 counts s$^{-1}$).

Figure 1 is a color-coded intensity map that shows the full 8.5 $\times$ 8.5 image in the 0.3–8.0 keV band. The image was obtained using processing routines outlined in the CIAO 2.3 Science Threads. The data were CTI-corrected and cleaned for flares, point sources, and anomalous high background. Exposure maps were created for 17 different energy bands to ensure proper exposure correction. Background was taken from the CALDB blank-sky data sets.

To construct the temperature map (see Fig. 2), we divided the chip into quadrants, north, south, east, and west. Spectra were
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2 See http://cxc.harvard.edu/ciao/threads/index.html.
extracted from pie-shaped regions with radii differences such that there were at least 20,000 counts in each region. In order to ensure proper background subtraction, we eliminated periods of high background, following the "Filtering Lightcurves" thread.\(^3\) In order to account for background variability, the background was normalized to the source in the 10–12 keV range (Markevitch et al. 2003). The data were grouped so that there were at least 30 counts per channel. All models either included an ACISABS component or had an ACISABS-corrected ancillary response file in order to account for the time-dependent absorption (at low energies) of the ACIS window. Results are given in Table 1.

3. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

From the flux and hardness map, Figures 1 and 3, respectively, we chose four regions that have a strong gradient in flux and hardness. These regions are shown in Figure 1. We considered four models of background subtraction, to ensure any NT detection would not be the result of undersubtracted particle background. These four background subtraction techniques were (1) extracting standard blank-sky fields from the same region on the S3 chip as the source and normalizing in the 10–12 keV range as described in Markevitch et al. (2003), (2) extracting a region far to the north of the peak flux, modeling it, and then using the model times a free constant in our source + background spectrum modeling, (3) freezing the background model described in the second technique with a constant proportional to the difference in size of the source and background region, and (4) subtracting the size-normalized background spectrum from the source spectrum. In all cases, the source model parameters are consistent within 90% confidence, indicating that all four methods produce the same results.

We modeled our four “possible shock” regions with a single-component thermal model, a double-component thermal model, and a thermal model with a power law. The addition of the power-law component does not significantly improve the fit in the east and west regions. In addition, Figure 4 shows that a thermal model fits the entire spectrum in the east region. In the case of the north region, adding either a second thermal component or a power-law component improves the fit. The single
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thermal model fit to the south region has clear high-end residuals (see Fig. 4). Although the addition of a second thermal component improves the fit ($\Delta\chi^2 \sim 16$ for a reduction of 2 degrees of freedom [dof]), the addition of a power-law component provides the best fit ($\Delta\chi^2 \sim 23$ for a reduction of 2 dof) and reduces the residuals (see Fig. 4). Although we cannot rule out a thermal interpretation of the second component, we argue that an NT interpretation is better statistically and physically. The addition of a power law (37% probability of exceeding $\chi^2 = 74$ for 71 dof) produces a better fit than the addition of a second thermal component (18% probability of exceeding $\chi^2 = 81.8$ for the 71 dof). The 90% lower confidence of $\sim 7$ keV implies a shock with a Mach number of $\sim 3$, which is higher than observed in clusters (Gabici & Blasi 2003 and references therein).

In order to confirm that the NT detection was not simply undersubtracted particle background, we repeated our four different techniques for handling the background that we had done for a single thermal model. As in the case with the single thermal model, the results are consistent within 90% for all the background techniques used (see Table 2). Also, we point out that the lack of a NT detection in the west and east regions provides more evidence that the detection in the north and south regions is not simply particle background since that would be observed isotropically across the detector.

The radio halo detected by Hudson et al. (2003) appears to extend to the south along the elongated X-ray isophotes and through the south region (see Fig. 5). However, the $\sim 1.0$ resolution of the NVSS and the WENSS precludes the removal of radio point sources. We, therefore, consider two possibilities: (1) that the extended southern radio emission is dominated by radio point sources and (2) that it is diffuse radio emission. In the case that the radio emission is dominated by radio point sources, we argue that this would have no affect on our X-ray results. Relativistic electrons diffusing from a point source at their Alfvén speed would only reach $\sim 5 h_6^{-1}$ kpc ($\sim 6\arcmin$, assuming a 1 $\mu$G magnetic field) in their radiative lifetime ($\sim 10^8$ yr). Therefore, any radio point source with detectable X-ray emission would appear pointlike and would have been removed from the Chandra ACIS data. In the latter case, the diffuse radio emission is emitted by the same electrons producing the inverse Compton emission (as in the inverse Compton scattering-synchrotron model; Rephaeli 1979). We also note in passing that there are several identified radio point sources (including the cD galaxy) in IC 1262 that could provide the seed population of CRs necessary in the model proposed by Ensslin (1999).

4. DISCUSSION

A comparison of Figures 1 and 3 with flux and temperature maps created from simulations of cluster mergers done by Roettiger, Loken, & Burns (1997) and Takizawa (2000) indicates a recent ($\sim 0.25–1$ Gyr ago) north-south merger of a smaller subclump (with a mass ratio of $\sim 1 : 2–1 : 4$) with IC 1262. These simulations show the general characteristics of the temperature and morphological structure: elongation of the isophotes to the south, compression to the north, and the hottest gas south of the center. Since cD galaxies in relaxed systems will reside at the bottom of the potential well (e.g., Oegerle & Hill 2001), the offset of the cD galaxy from the X-ray peak and its high peculiar velocity ($V_p = 453$ km s$^{-1}$) provide additional evidence of a merger. The kick to initiate sloshing is parallel to the impact parameter (E. R. Tittley & M. J. Henriksen 2003, in preparation). As the simulations indicate a recent collision, the subclump must have passed to the west, pulling the cD galaxy to the west.

The elongation of the X-ray isophotes toward the south that overlap the diffuse radio emission open up the possibility that the elongation is due to NT emission rather than to a merger. However, the evidence of a merger comes not only from the similarity of the southern X-ray isophotes to those seen in the simulations cited above but also from the compressed northern X-ray isophotes and the very hot region that is south of center.
Comparing our results with the simulations done by Miniati et al. (2001), we argue that the localized diffuse NT emission is from a population of primary electrons. Miniati et al. (2001) find that primary electron reacceleration follows the shock region closely. In the central region ($r < 0.3$ Mpc), they find the greatest difference between the spectral index produced from primary electrons ($\alpha_{\text{ec}} \geq 1.1$) and the spectral index produced from secondary electrons ($\alpha_{\text{ec}} < 1.1$). Our 90% confidence photon index $\Gamma_x = 2.07 - 2.36$, which corresponds to a spectral index $\alpha_{\text{ec}} = 1.07 - 1.36$, is consistent with the spectral index that Miniati et al. (2001) found for primary electrons. Using the best-fit $F_{\text{ec}} - T_x$ relation of Miniati et al. (2001), we find a flux of $(10 - 48) \times 10^{-15}$ ergs cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ over 0.13–100 keV for primary electrons in a 1.94 keV cluster (IC 1262’s temperature as determined by Hudson et al. 2003). The range fluxes depend on the $R_{\text{ec}}$ ratio of electrons to protons at relativistic energies. Using the same energy range and cluster temperature, we find that secondary electrons produce a flux of $1.9 \times 10^{-15}$ ergs cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. For our best-fit photon index $\Gamma_x = 2.21$ and 90% confidence normalization range of $(7.0 - 11.1) \times 10^{-13}$ photons cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ keV$^{-1}$ at 1 keV, we determine a flux of $(6 - 10) \times 10^{-14}$ ergs cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ for the 0.13–100 keV range. This result has a lower limit 3 times greater than the results predicted for flux from secondary electrons. Although the 90% upper confidence limit is barely consistent with the primary electron model (suggesting $R_{\text{ec}} \sim 0.01$), we point out that the results of Miniati et al. (2001) are for shocks over the entire cluster and that our detection is only for a fraction of the cluster. That is, the total NT emission from the cluster is probably greater than our detection, further strengthening the argument that the flux is too high to come from secondary electrons.

**REFERENCES**

Blasi, P. 2001, Astropart. Phys., 15, 223
Colless, M., Saglia, R. P., Burstein, D., Davies, R. L., McMahan, R. K., Jr., & Wegner, G. 2001, MNRAS, 321, 277
Ebeling, H., Edge, A. C., Böhringer, H., Allen, S. W., Crawford, C. S., Fabian, A. C., Voges, W., & Huchra, J. P. 1998, MNRAS, 301, 881
Ensslin, T. A. 1999, in Diffuse Thermal and Relativistic Plasma in Galaxy Clusters, ed. H. Böhringer, L. Feretti, & P. Schuecker (Garching: MPI), 275
Fabian, A. C., Sanders, J. S., Allen, S. W., Crawford, C. S., Iwasawa, K., Johnstone, R. M., Schmidt, R. W., & Taylor, G. B. 2003, MNRAS, in press (astro-ph/0306036)
Gabici, S., & Blasi, P. 2003, ApJ, 583, 695
Heinz, S., Choi, Y.-Y., Reynolds, C. S., & Begelman, M. C. 2002, ApJ, 569, L79
Hudson, D. S., Henriksen, M. J., & Colafrancesco, S. 2003, ApJ, 583, 706
Markevitch, M., et al. 2003, ApJ, 586, L19
McNamara, B. R., et al. 2001, ApJ, 562, L149
Miniati, F., Jones, T. W., Kang, H., & Ryu, D. 2001, ApJ, 562, 233
Oegerle, W., & Hill, J. 2001, AJ, 122, 2858
Rephaeli, Y. 1979, ApJ, 227, 364
Roettiger, K., Loken, C., & Burns, J. O. 1997, ApJS, 109, 307
Takizawa, M. 2000, ApJ, 532, 183

**TABLE 2**

**NT DETECTION IN THE NORTH AND SOUTH REGIONS**

| Background Model     | $kT_1$ (keV) | Abundance | $\Gamma_x$ | NT Normalization ($\times 10^{-4}$) | $\chi^2$/dof |
|----------------------|--------------|-----------|------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| **North Region**     |              |           |            |                                     |             |
| Blank sky            | 1.32$^{+0.15}_{-0.12}$ | $>1.00^{+0.16}_{-0.15}$ | 2.36$^{+0.14}_{-0.12}$ | 0.79$^{+0.09}_{-0.08}$ | 52.93678/50 |
| Model background     | 1.29$^{+0.16}_{-0.14}$ | $>1.00^{+0.17}_{-0.15}$ | 2.30$^{+0.19}_{-0.17}$ | 0.78$^{+0.11}_{-0.10}$ | 54.05200/49 |
| Model background (frozen) | 1.31$^{+0.17}_{-0.14}$ | 0.39$^{+0.14}_{-0.12}$ | 2.41$^{+0.24}_{-0.22}$ | 0.56$^{+0.22}_{-0.21}$ | 57.22508/50 |
| Local region         | 1.30$^{+0.17}_{-0.14}$ | 0.43$^{+0.15}_{-0.13}$ | 2.40$^{+0.23}_{-0.21}$ | 0.58$^{+0.21}_{-0.19}$ | 54.61599/50 |
| **South Region**     |              |           |            |                                     |             |
| Blank sky            | 1.44$^{+0.14}_{-0.13}$ | $>1.00^{+0.15}_{-0.14}$ | 2.21$^{+0.14}_{-0.12}$ | 0.95$^{+0.11}_{-0.10}$ | 74.33978/71 |
| Model background     | 1.40$^{+0.13}_{-0.12}$ | $>1.00^{+0.14}_{-0.13}$ | 2.14$^{+0.15}_{-0.13}$ | 0.91$^{+0.12}_{-0.11}$ | 77.66279/70 |
| Model background (frozen) | 1.44$^{+0.20}_{-0.15}$ | 0.49$^{+0.14}_{-0.12}$ | 2.33$^{+0.33}_{-0.31}$ | 0.59$^{+0.26}_{-0.25}$ | 90.72980/71 |
| Local region         | 1.43$^{+0.14}_{-0.13}$ | $>1.00^{+0.15}_{-0.14}$ | 2.27$^{+0.18}_{-0.16}$ | 0.78$^{+0.46}_{-0.44}$ | 76.28985/71 |

**FIG. 5.—** Digital Sky Survey image of IC 1262 with *Chandra* ACIS X-ray flux contours (blue) and NVSS radio flux contours (red). The X-ray contours are 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.75, 1, and $1.3 \times 10^{-13}$ photons cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ arcmin$^{-2}$. The radio contours are 0.45 1.35, 2.70, 4.50, 6.30, 9.00, 13.50, and 15.75 mJy beam$^{-1}$. The black and white crosses are faint radio sources at 20 cm.