Metformin Alters Gut Microbiota of Healthy Mice: Implication for Its Potential Role in Gut Microbiota Homeostasis
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In recent years, the first-line anti-diabetic drug metformin has been shown to be also useful for the treatment of other diseases like cancer. To date, few reports were about the impact of metformin on gut microbiota. To fully understand the mechanism of action of metformin in treating diseases other than diabetes, it is especially important to investigate the impact of long-term metformin treatment on the gut microbiome in non-diabetic status. In this study, we treated healthy mice with metformin for 30 days, and observed 46 significantly changed gut microbes by using the 16S rRNA-based microbiome profiling technique. We found that microbes from the Verrucomicrobiaceae and Prevotellaceae classes were enriched, while those from Lachnospiraceae and Rhodobacteraceae were depleted. We further compared the altered microbiome profile with the profiles under various disease conditions using our recently developed comparative microbiome tool known as MicroPattern. Interestingly, the treatment of diabetes patients with metformin positively correlates with colon cancer and type 1 diabetes, indicating a confounding effect on the gut microbiome in patients with diabetes. However, the treatment of healthy mice with metformin exhibits a negative correlation with multiple inflammatory diseases, indicating a protective anti-inflammatory role of metformin in non-diabetes status. This result underscores the potential effect of metformin on gut microbiome homeostasis, which may contribute to the treatment of non-diabetic diseases.
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INTRODUCTION

Metformin, also known as 1,1-dimethylbiguanide has been widely used in the treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) since 1958 in United Kingdom and 1995 in United States (Witters, 2001). The main mechanisms underlying its anti-hyperglycemia effect include decreasing intestinal absorption of glucose, increasing insulin sensitivity and decreasing hepatic glucose production (Bailey and Turner, 1996; Hundal et al., 2000), which together result in reduction of basal and postprandial glucose levels. Because clinical investigations have shown that metformin has low
risk of hypoglycemia, modest weight loss, persistent anti-hyperglycemic effect and cardiovascular safety, it is now approved as one of the first-line drugs for treating T2DM (Garber et al., 2013).

Interestingly, it was shown in 2005 that metformin has the anti-cancer properties (Evans et al., 2005). Then a series of studies provided supporting evidence of its anti-cancer effects in a variety of cancer types such as ovarian cancer (Shank et al., 2012), endometrial cancer (Shafiee et al., 2014), breast cancer (Zhang and Li, 2014), liver cancer, pancreatic cancer, esophageal cancer, gastric cancer, and colorectal cancer (Franciosi et al., 2013). Metformin has been shown to reduce the incidence and mortality of cancer and block migration and invasion of tumor cells (Bao et al., 2012; Wu et al., 2015). Current knowledge pertaining to the molecular mechanisms underlying the anti-cancer activity of metformin is focused on two pathways that inhibit mTOR: (1) the AMPK-dependent pathway, in which metformin activates LKB1-AMPK to inhibit mTOR; (2) the AMPK-independent pathway, in which metformin inhibits mTOR via the PI3K/Akt/mTOR cascade (Sosnicki et al., 2016; Zhang and Guo, 2016). Besides, many studies have shown that metformin could also be utilized for the treatment of other diseases including obesity, polycystic ovary syndrome and tuberculosis (Gundelach et al., 2016; Igel et al., 2016; Restrepo et al., 2016). Moreover, it was reported that metformin also has potentially anti-aging effects (Novelle et al., 2016).

Body microbiota play extensive roles in physiology and it is therefore known as a “forgotten organ” (O’Hara and Shanahan, 2006). A lot of diseases are associated with gut microbiota, including cancer (Schwabe and Jobin, 2013; May et al., 2016), cardiovascular diseases (Koeth et al., 2013; Tang et al., 2013), obesity (Ley, 2010), diabetes (Wen et al., 2008; Qin et al., 2012), multiple sclerosis (Ezendam et al., 2008; Berer et al., 2011; Lee et al., 2011), neuromyelitis optica (Varrin-Doyer et al., 2012; Banati et al., 2013), Guillain–Barré syndrome (Ochoa-Reparaz et al., 2011), central nervous system disorders (Wang and Kasper, 2014) and autoinflammatory diseases (Lukens et al., 2014). For example, in obese individuals, Bacteroidetes is decreased whereas Firmicutes is increased (Furet et al., 2010), while Prevotellaceae that could produce H2 is increased and methanogenic archaea which could utilize H2 is also increased (Zhang et al., 2009). The co-existence of H2-producing bacteria with relatively high numbers of H2-utilizing methanogenic archaea in the gastrointestinal tract of obese individuals implies the plausible inter-species H2 transfer between bacterial and archaeal species as an important mechanism for increasing intestinal energy uptake in obese persons. Moreover, in the gut of human subjects with type 2 diabetes, Firmicutes is significantly decreased whereas Bacteroidetes is increased (Larsen et al., 2010). The abundance of Faecalibacterium prausnitzii was negatively correlated with both diabetic and inflammatory markers, which indicated that Faecalibacterium prausnitzii could regulate inflammation in gut in diabetic patients (Furet et al., 2010). Finally, Bolte et al. found that in gut of autistic disorder, Clostridium tetani is increased while Finegold et al. found that Bacteroidetes is also increased. (Bolte, 1998; Finegold et al., 2010). Since the gut microbiota play important roles in the development of various diseases, they are intuitively one of the first targets for drugs and may also contribute to the effect of metformin in treating diseases like cancer and T2DM. Nevertheless, to date, few studies have taken gut microbiota into consideration. As a result, current knowledge about the mechanism of action of metformin is still not completed. Since metformin has been suggested to treat a wide spectrum of diseases other than T2DM even for healthy individuals, it is especially important to assess the effect of metformin on the gut microbiota considering its potential long-term usage in healthy conditions. What microbes are regulated by metformin in healthy individuals? How do these regulated microbes associate with other diseases? What is the difference of changes of gut microbiota between healthy and various diseases when treated with metformin? A profiling of the gut microbiome under healthy condition is necessary to answer these questions.

In this study, we treated healthy mice with metformin for 30 days and used 16S rRNA sequencing to evaluate the abundance of microbes in fecal samples. By comparing the metformin-treated healthy mice to the mock controls, we observed 46 significantly changed microbes. In addition, from previous publications, we also obtained significantly changed microbes from T2DM patients after metformin treatment (Forslund et al., 2015; Wu et al., 2017; Allin et al., 2018). We then used MicroPattern, a tool we recently developed for the comparison of microbiome profiles under different situations, to analyze these significantly altered microbes. By procedure, whether metformin could elicit different alterations of gut microbiota under diabetes and non-diabetic conditions, respectively, were evaluated and discussed.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animal Protocol and Sample Collection
This study was carried out in accordance with the principles of the Basel Declaration and recommendations of the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, US National Institutes of Health (NIH Publication No. 85-23, revised 1996). The protocol was approved by the Animal Research Committee of the Peking University Health Science Center. More specifically, 19 C57BL/6 healthy mice were separated into two groups: 9 were controls and 10 were included in the metformin-treated group. Until 8 weeks of age, mice were maintained on a chow diet. Then, mice in the metformin-treated group were treated with metformin (300 mg/kg of body weight) once daily via intragastric administration for 30 days. Mice in the control group were treated with an equivalent amount of saline via intragastric administration for 30 days. Fecal samples were obtained from 19 mice under sterile conditions. Because the microbiome profiling technique requires a large amount of raw materials, fecal was collected from 3 or 4 mice per sample (e.g., the fecal from the first, second and third mice was gathered together as the first sample). Finally, we acquired 3 metformin-treated samples and 3 mock control samples. Every sample was stored in a sterile 1.5 ml centrifuge tube at −80°C until microbiome profiling analysis.
RESULTS

Effect of Metformin of Mice Gut Microbiota

We treated healthy mice with metformin for 30 days and acquired significantly changed microbes in comparison with saline treated mock controls. To reduce noise, we just used the microbes whose tags occupy at least 0.1% of all tags. There is no significant difference of bacterial diversity between two groups with respect to Shannon diversity metrics (6.95 versus 6.87 for mean Shannon diversity of control group and metformin treated group, respectively, two sided t-test, p = 0.84) (Kemp and Aller, 2004). There are 46 significantly changed microbes, including 22 enriched microbes and 24 depleted microbes identified. At the class level, Verrucomicrobiaceae, Prevotellaceae, Porphyromonadaceae, Rikenellaceae are increased, while Lachnospiraceae, Rhodobacteraeae are decreased. Hierarchical clustering shows that samples from each group are clustered together (Figure 1A). Principal component analysis (PCA) suggests that metformin treated group and control group could be clearly separated in PC1, which explains 40.8% of the variation (Figure 1B). These results indicate that metformin consistently alters the gut microbiome of healthy mice.

To probe the function of these significantly changed microbes, PICRUSt was used to perform KEGG pathway analysis. Six pathways including ribosome, biosynthesis of amino acids, lipopolysaccharide biosynthesis, folate biosynthesis, purine metabolism and aminoacyl-tRNA biosynthesis are significant enriched (FDR < 0.05), see also Figure 2. The main function of gut microbiota is its important roles in metabolism, such as vitamin metabolism, short chain fatty acid metabolism, neuropeptide response, food digestion and so on. From the results of KEGG pathway analysis, it can be found that metformin mainly affects the gut microbiota related to such biological synthesis functions, including biosynthesis of lipopolysaccharide, folate, amino acids and proteins.

Comparative Analysis of the Altered Microbiome Profile

We applied our comparative microbiome tool MicroPattern to compare the significantly changed microbiome profile by metformin treatment, with the de-regulated microbiome profiles under various disease situations. Thirty-six and 29 significantly changed microbes of metformin treated T2DM patients (MTT2DM), from Forslund et al. and Wu et al.’s studies respectively, were integrated together for the analysis. We first calculated microbiome similarity between (MTT2DM) patients and other diseases. Then we calculated microbiome similarity between metformin treated healthy mice (MTHM) and other diseases. Finally, we also calculated microbiome similarity between prediabetes and other diseases. There are 17 diseases exhibit microbiome profile similarity with MTT2DM. Among them, 5 of them are significant (FDR < 0.1), see Figure 3A. As intuitively expected, we found that the metformin treated T2DM has the largest negative similarity (−0.26, FDR < 1.00E-5) with T2DM. Metformin may reverse the
changed microbes under T2DM and thus relieves diabetic conditions. In contrast, there are 15 diseases that exhibit microbiome profile similarity with MTHM. Among them, 4 of them show positive similarities while 11 show negative similarities (Figure 3B). In all, five significant diseases are observed and 4 of them show negative similarity, indicating
FIGURE 3 | Correlated microbiome profile changes between metformin treatment and disease conditions. Red bars indicate significant positive similarities (FDR < 0.1 by 10000 times of permutation-based resampling test), while green bar indicate significant negative similarities. Insignificant similarities are shown as gray bars. The FDR is listed in details on the right side. (A) Microbe profile similarity between metformin treated T2DM (MTT2DM) and other diseases. COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; SIRS, systemic inflammatory response syndrome. (B) Microbe profile similarity between metformin treated healthy mice (MTHM) and other disease. (C) Microbe profile similarity between prediabetes and other disease.
that metformin may play an important role in gut microbiota homeostasis by reversing the disease-associated microbiome alteration. Finally, as for prediabetes, there are 6 diseases exhibiting microbiome profile similarity (Figure 3C). In the original study, the authors investigated the aberrant of intestinal microbiota in individuals with prediabetes, overweight, insulin resistance, dyslipidaemia and low-grade inflammation, which were precursors of T2DM. Interestingly, the similarity between T2DM and prediabetes is 0.058, which suggests that the gut microbiota plays an important role in T2DM pre-conditioning. We also performed the enrichment analysis to identify the associated disease situations for the significantly changed microbes in MTT2DM, MTHM and prediabetes groups. No term is enriched in MTT2DM, rheumatoid arthritis and colorectal carcinoma are enriched in MTHM, whereas liver cirrhosis and irritable bowel syndrome are enriched in prediabetes (Table 1). From this result, MTHM show strong association with colorectal carcinoma, suggesting that the effect of anti-colorectal carcinoma of metformin may at least partly be mediated via gut microbiota. Moreover, the prediabetes individuals show altered microbiota profile similar to that in irritable bowel syndrome (Figure 3B), while MTHM negatively correlates with diarrhea irritable bowel syndrome in terms of microbiota alteration. Therefore, the metformin treatment may also be beneficial to the gut microbiota homeostasis as it can partly act against the microbiota de-regulation in prediabetes. Finally, MTHM also has negative similarity with multiple inflammatory diseases such as diarrhea irritable bowel syndrome, necrotizing enterocolitis, systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS) and rheumatoid arthritis, which collectively indicates that the anti-inflammatory role of metformin is also related to gut microbiota.

**DISCUSSION**

Metformin was found useful for its anti-T2DM, anti-cancer, anti-aging effects and the treatment of polycystic ovary syndrome (Gundelach et al., 2016; Heckman-Stoddard et al., 2016; Kedia et al., 2016; Novelle et al., 2016). Previous researches have shown that gut microbiota alterations may be partly responsible for metformin’s therapeutic effects against T2DM. For example, in diabetic rats, intravenous administration of metformin is less effective than intra-duodenal administration for lowering blood glucose levels (Bonora et al., 1984; Stepeniskey et al., 2002). Delayed-release metformin has lower bioavailability, and tends to accumulate in the lower bowel at higher concentrations compared with the common formulation (Stepensky et al., 2002; Buse et al., 2016). Changes of gut microbiota composition have been found in several diseases such as colon cancer (Wu et al., 2009; Kostic et al., 2013), rheumatoid arthritis (Scher et al., 2013), cardiovascular diseases (Wang et al., 2011; Tang et al., 2013) and diabetes (Larsen et al., 2010; Qin et al., 2012; Karlsson et al., 2013; Forslund et al., 2015; de la Cuesta-Zuluaga et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2017), obesity (Lee and Ko, 2014; Shin et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2015). However, many questions exist. Could metformin alter gut microbiota of healthy individuals? How does metformin alter the gut microbiota of healthy individuals? What is the difference of the influence of metformin on gut microbiota under healthy and disease conditions? Does any correlation of microbiota alteration exist between different metformin treatment context? In our study, we treated healthy mice with metformin and found that metformin could indeed prominently affect gut microbiota under healthy condition. Subsequently, a computational method was applied for calculating the similarities between different conditions based on the changed microbes. Interestingly, the effects of metformin on gut microbiota turn out to be not identical under healthy and diabetes conditions. On the one hand, metformin could reverse the change of gut microbiota under diabetes, but the metformin treated mice did not show such trend. In fact, our result indicates a significant positive correlation with prediabetes and a weak positive correlation with T2DM. Therefore, although metformin shows a beneficial effect on gut microbiota in terms of improving disease condition in diabetic patients, our result cannot support the idea that metformin treatment of healthy mice could prevent diabetes-related gut microbiota disorder. To the contrary, metformin treatment of healthy mice may induce at least prediabetes. On the other hand, metformin treatment of diabetes patients positively correlates with colon cancer while metformin treatment of healthy mice exhibits negative correlation with multiple inflammatory diseases including diarrhea irritable bowel syndrome. This result indicates that metformin has potentially anti-inflammatory role especially under healthy condition. Indeed, the anti-inflammatory role of metformin was reported in previous research. For example, Koh et al. (2014) studied the anti-inflammatory mechanism of metformin but the proposed mechanism did not take gut microbiota into consideration. In their study, they found that metformin significantly inhibits interleukin (IL)-8 induction in COLO-205 cell stimulated with tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α. Metformin significantly attenuates the severity of colitis in IL-10−/− mice and inhibits the development of colitic cancer in mice. Similarly, in Liu et al.’s study, metformin significantly decreases the mRNA expression of IL-6 and THF-α and increases the mRNA expression of PI3K and Akt in pancreatic tissue of T2DM rats. A lot of microbes are shown to be correlated with inflammatory factors such as IL-6 and THF-α (Liu et al., 2018). In Lee et al.‘s (2017) study, IL-1β and IL-6 expression was significantly decreased in metformin-treated in aged obese mice and IL-1β and IL-6 expression is negatively correlated with the abundance of *Bacteroides*, *Butyricimonas*, *Anaerotruncus* and *Akermansia*. These studies link the anti-inflammatory mechanism of metformin with gut microbes in

| Microbe set                   | P-value | FDR  |
|------------------------------|---------|------|
| Colorectal neoplasms         | 0.016   | 0.16 |
| Arthritis, rheumatoid        | 0.016   | 0.16 |
| Liver cirrhosis              | 0.0077  | 0.086|
| Irritable bowel syndrome     | 0.033   | 0.18 |
disease conditions. Our results also indicate that gut microbiota may play an important role in the anti-inflammatory effect of metformin in non-diabetic condition, which complements the conclusions of the previous studies. In summary, our microbiome profiling analysis signifies the role of gut microbiome in the mechanism underlying metformin treatment, which deserves detailed experimental and clinical investigation in the future.
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