Lead-Tolerant Bacillus Strains Promote Growth and Antioxidant Activities of Spinach (Spinacia oleracea) Treated with Sewage Water
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Abstract: Irrigation with sewage-contaminated water poses a serious threat to food security, particularly in developing countries. Heavy metal tolerant bacteria are sustainable alternatives for the removal of wastewater contaminants. In the present study, four lead (Pb)-tolerant strains viz. Bacillus megaterium (N8), Bacillus safensis (N11), Bacillus sp. (N18), and Bacillus megaterium (N29) were inoculated in spinach and grown in sewage water treated earthen pots separately and in combination with canal water. Results showed that Pb-tolerant strains significantly improved plant growth and antioxidant activities in spinach and reduces metal concentration in roots and leaves of spinach plants irrigated with treated wastewater. Strain Bacillus sp. (N18) followed by B. safensis (N11) caused the maximum increase in shoot length, root length, shoot fresh weight, root fresh weight, shoot dry weight, root dry weight, and leaf area compared to the uninoculated control of sewage plants irrigated with treated wastewater. These strains also improved antioxidant enzymatic activity including catalase, guaiacol peroxidase dismutase, superoxide dismutase, and peroxidases activities compared to the uninoculated control under sewage water conditions. Strain Bacillus sp. (N18) followed by B. safensis (N11) showed the highest reduction in nickel, cadmium, chromium, and Pb contents in roots and leaves of spinach compared to the uninoculated control plants treated with the sewage water. Such potential Pb-tolerant Bacillus strains could be recommended for the growth promotion of spinach under extensive evaluation under field conditions contaminated with wastewater.
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1. Introduction

Irrigation with sewage wastewater is a common practice in rural and urban areas of the majority of emerging countries. Natural water resources for irrigation have been diminishing due to diverse human activities. In these areas, polluted water is often the only supply of water for irrigation. Yet small farmers often prefer wastewater where other water sources are also available because wastewater has high nutrient and organic matter content that may reduce the need for other costly chemical fertilizers [1]. Irrigation with treated wastewater can have several benefits and environmental applications if appropriately planned, implemented, and managed [2]. Farmers often depend on unprocessed wastewater collection because they do not have an alternative irrigation source [3,4]. The application of untreated wastewater in agriculture creates key risks to the health of the community due to chemical and microbial contaminants. It can produce ecological risks in terms of soil and groundwater contamination. It also causes the accumulation of metals in various crops and vegetables [5]. The sewage sludge contains moderate to toxic concentrations of metals including zinc (Zn), copper (Cu), mercury, chromium (Cr), cadmium (Cd), nickel (Ni), and lead (Pb) [6].

The heavy metals exposure to humans has been increased through anthropogenic activities and industrialization that are affecting millions of people around the world through contaminating food [7]. The metals present in the environment may frequently react with biological systems due to their redox reaction and produced metals cations that have an affinity to the nucleophilic sites of vital macromolecules [8]. Heavy metals imposed severe to chronic toxic on various body organs including gastrointestinal, kidney, nervous system, skin, vascular muscle, immune system, and even cause birth defects and cancer [9]. The combined effect of multiple metals on humans may arise complex regimes of stress [10]. Severe complications including abdominal colic pain, bloody diarrhea, and kidney failure were observed due to high doses of heavy metals while low dose exposure causes hidden threats that could be diagnosed in terms of fatigue, anxiety, neuropsychiatric disorders [11]. In addition to heavy metals, microbiological contamination either from animal or human fecal waste are also capable of causing diseases in humans [12].

The wastewater could be treated through biological or chemical means [13]. Biological treatment of wastewater involved the application of naturally occurring microorganisms that are capable to convert dissolved organic matter into dense biomass which can be removed by sedimentation. Microorganisms are commonly used for the efficient removal of toxic metal compounds. Among heavy metals, lead (Pb) is considered the second most toxic metal after arsenic [14]. Lead toxicity inhibited plant growth by negatively affecting the physiological traits of plants [15,16]. The Pb concentration has been reported up to 25.04 mg kg\(^{-1}\) in vegetables treated with sewage water [17]. The Pb-tolerant plant growth-promoting bacteria (PGPB) isolated from Pb-contaminated soil are commonly involved in the bioremediation of Pb and improved plant growth [18]. Saleem et al. [19] reported that Pb-tolerant bacterial strains improved plant growth and physiology, antioxidative enzymatic activities, and proline contents, and reduce malondialdehyde contents in plants treated with Pb-contamination. Rodriguez-Sánchez et al. [20] reported Pb-tolerance in seven strains of Staphylococcus and Bacillus spp. through biosorption or complexation of Pb-ions as inorganic phosphates.

The heavy metal-tolerant bacteria adopted several mechanisms including biosorption of metal on the cell surface, production of extracellular polymeric substances and metal-detoxifying siderophores, formation of poorly soluble metal precipitates in the form of carbonates, sulfide, and phosphates, and intracellular accumulation to metals [20–23]. The heavy metal-tolerant PGPB also reduces metal bioavailability in soil and accumulation in plant tissues. These bacteria have several plant growth-promoting (PGP) characteristics such nitrogen fixation, nutrient solubilization, production of indole-3-acetic acid (IAA), siderophore, 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid (ACC)-deaminase, hydrolytic enzymes, abscisic acid, and biocontrol of phytopathogen even under heavy metals stress conditions [24–27]. These microbes are environment-friendly and sustainable for crop pro-
duction [28]. Concerning the above facts, the current study was conducted to evaluate the ability of Pb-tolerant bacterial strains to promote plant growth and antioxidant enzymatic activity and to reduce the uptake of the heavy metal in roots and leaves of spinach irrigated with treated wastewater.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Inoculum Preparation

The four Pb-tolerant strains viz. *B. megaterium* (N8), *B. safensis* (N11), Bacillus sp. (N18), and *B. megaterium* (N29) were collected from the gene bank of Soil Microbiology and Biotechnology Laboratory, Department of Soil Science, the Islamia University of Bahawalpur, Pakistan [24]. The inoculum of these strains was prepared by growing in Dworkin and Foster minimal salt media composed of sucrose (10 g), K$_2$HPO$_4$ (2.5 g), KH$_2$PO$_4$ (2.5 g), (NH$_4$)$_2$HPO$_4$ (1.0 g), MgSO$_4$.7H$_2$O (0.2 g), FeSO$_4$.7H$_2$O (0.01 g), MnSO$_4$.7H$_2$O (0.007 g), and agar powder (15 g) were dissolved in one liter of distilled water [29]. Bacterial inoculum of cell count 10$^8$ colony-forming unit mL$^{-1}$ was used to inoculate spinach seeds.

2.2. Soil and Water Analysis

The soil used for the pot trial was collected from farmland and analyzed for soil physicochemical parameters by following the standard method of Ryan et al. [30]. The organic matter contents were estimated through the ignition method [31]. The Kjeldahl method was adopted for the determination of soil nitrogen [32]. The method of Watanabe and Olsen [33] was used for the determination of available phosphorus. Extractable potassium contents were determined through a flame photometer [30]. The soil samples were digested with an HNO$_3$:HClO$_4$ mixture and subjected to atomic absorption spectrophotometer for determination of Pb contents in soil [30]. The resulting soil physicochemical characteristics are given in Table 1. The treated wastewater was collected from the treatment plant of the Islamia University, Bahawalpur, Pakistan. A good quality canal water which is mostly used for irrigation purposes was taken from Bahawal canal, Bahawalpur, originating from Islam Headworks on the River Sutlej in Hasilpur, Pakistan. The treated wastewater, canal water, and mixture of these waters were characterized and results are depicted in Table 2. The safe limit of chemical characteristics of irrigation water sources were reported according to water quality guidelines for irrigation [34].

Table 1. Physical and chemical characteristics of the soil used for pot trial.

| Characteristics           | Units  | Value/Class |
|---------------------------|--------|-------------|
| Sand                      | %      | 44          |
| Silt                      | %      | 42          |
| Clay                      | %      | 14          |
| Soil texture              |        | Loam       |
| Saturation percentage     | -      | 38.0        |
| pHs                       | -      | 7.82        |
| ECe                       | dS m$^{-1}$ | 1.73   |
| Available phosphorus      | mg kg$^{-1}$ | 3.75   |
| Extractable potassium     | mg kg$^{-1}$ | 149     |
| Organic matter            | %      | 0.47        |
| Total nitrogen            | %      | 0.05        |
| Total Pb                  | mg kg$^{-1}$ | 0.47     |
Table 2. Characteristics of the water sources applied in a pot trial.

| Characteristics         | Sewage Water | Mixed Water | Canal Water | Safe Limit |
|-------------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|------------|
| EC (µS cm\(^{-1}\))     | 2610         | 1670        | 410         | 1500       |
| pH                      | 6.30         | 7.20        | 7.5         | 6.5–8.4    |
| Ca\(^{2+}\) + Mg\(^{2+}\) (meq L\(^{-1}\)) | 13.80       | 9.30        | 3.94        | -          |
| CO\(_3\) (meq L\(^{-1}\)) | 0.0          | 0.0         | 0.0         | -          |
| HCO\(_3\) (meq L\(^{-1}\)) | 7.24         | 6.48        | 5.42        | <610       |
| SAR                     | 10.08        | 9.95        | 5.25        | <7.5       |
| BOD (mg L\(^{-1}\))    | 1.17         | 0.78        | 0.13        | -          |
| COD (mg L\(^{-1}\))    | 138.8        | 118.8       | 94.5        | -          |
| Fe (mg L\(^{-1}\))     | 2.28         | 1.90        | 1.57        | 5.0        |
| Cu (mg L\(^{-1}\))     | 1.01         | 0.23        | 0.15        | 0.2        |
| Mn (mg L\(^{-1}\))     | 0.017        | 0.016       | 0.006       | 0.2        |
| Zn (mg L\(^{-1}\))     | 0.231        | 0.220       | 0.049       | 2.0        |
| Pb (mg L\(^{-1}\))     | 0.33         | 0.22        | 0.07        | 0.1        |
| Cr (mg L\(^{-1}\))     | 0.009        | 0.008       | 0.002       | 1.0        |
| Ni (mg L\(^{-1}\))     | 0.31         | 0.20        | 0.09        | 1.0        |
| Cd (mg L\(^{-1}\))     | 0.90         | 0.50        | 0.003       | 0.8        |

SAR = sodium adsorption ratio; BOD = biological oxygen demand; COD = chemical oxygen demand.

2.3. Pot Trial

A pot trial was performed to check the ability of Pb-tolerant Bacillus strains to promote plant growth and antioxidant activity and to reduce metal uptake in roots and leaves of spinach in treated wastewater. The broth culture, peat-based slurry, and sugar solution (10%) were mixed and coated on spinach seeds uniformly for all the treatment groups except the control treatment. The uninoculated control seeds were also coated with peat-based slurry and sugar without bacterial culture. The ten inoculated spinach seeds were sown in each earthen pot and all the earthen pots used in the current study were of similar size filled with the same amount of soil. Pots were organized in a completely randomized design (CRD) having four replications under natural environmental conditions. Three irrigation sources including (i) treated wastewater, (ii) mixed treated wastewater + canal water, and (iii) canal water were applied uniformly for all groups of treatment including the uninoculated control group to meet water requirements. All other experimental conditions including sunlight, temperature, humidity were also kept similar for all sets of treatment groups. The characteristics of applied water treatment are given in Table 2. The antioxidant enzymatic activity of spinach leaves was evaluated after forty-days after germination. At physiology maturity, plants were harvested and analyzed for the growth attributes and heavy metal concentrations in plant roots and leaves were observed.

2.4. Determination of Antioxidant Enzymes Activities and Crude Protein

The top second leaves of spinach were collected and analyzed for antioxidant enzymatic activities including catalase (CAT), guaiacol peroxidase (POD), superoxide dismutase (SOD), and ascorbate peroxidases (POX) activities. The leaves samples were frozen and homogenized using a grinder. Leaves were homogenized in a mortar with 50 mM phosphate buffer. The mixer was filtered and centrifuged. The supernatant was used to determine CAT activity by following the method of Dhindsa et al. [35]. The POD and SOD activities were determined by following Gorin et al. [36] and Beauchamp and Fridovich [37]. The crude protein in spinach leaves was determined by following the method of Thimmaiah [38].

2.5. Heavy Metal Analysis

Plant leaves and roots were dried at 67 °C until constant weight. The samples were digested with concentrated H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) by raising the temperature to 145 °C for one hour. Further, samples were again digested with tri-acid mixtures for a further one hour. The digested samples were allowed and diluted up to 100 mL with double-distilled water.
The digested samples were used to record Ni, Cr, Cd, and Pb concentrations on Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer [39].

2.6. Statistical Analysis

The data of growth and physiological attributes and metal concentration in roots and leaves of spinach were analyzed using a two-way complete randomized design (CRD) with a factorial arrangement. The means of four replications were compared using the least significant difference (LSD) test at a 5% probability level [40].

3. Results

The soil used for the pot experiment illustrated the cultivation of spinach (Table 1). The Pb-tolerant bacterial strains were evaluated for growth promotion of spinach with treated wastewater, canal water, and mixer of both. After harvesting the plant samples were collected for the determination of plant growth, antioxidant activities, and heavy metal uptake in plant roots and leaves. Our results revealed that inoculation with Pb-tolerant strains ameliorates the deleterious effects of sewage wastewater stress in spinach. Results are given below:

3.1. Effect of Pb-Tolerant Bacillus Strains on Plant Growth Parameters under Different Water Treatments

The application of treated wastewater promoted the plant growth of spinach; however, this increase was more dominant in spinach plants inoculated with Pb-tolerant Bacillus strains compared to the uninoculated control (Tables 3 and 4). Strain *B. safensis* (N11) and *Bacillus* sp. (N18) reported a maximum increase in shoot length with an increase of up to 19.8% and 19.3%, respectively, compared to uninoculated control plants treated with treated wastewater. Strain *Bacillus* sp. (N18) applied in mixed water treatment also reported a better shoot length with an increase of up to 27.1% over the uninoculated control (Figure 1 and Table 3). The highest root length in treated wastewater treatment was observed due to *Bacillus* sp. (N18) with an increase of up to 28.2% compared to uninoculated control (Figure 2 and Table 4). This strain also reported a maximum increase of 25.3% in root length of spinach plants treated with mixed water.

![Figure 1. Effect of Pb-tolerant Bacillus strain and treated wastewater on spinach in a pot trial.](image-url)
### Table 3. Effect of Pb-tolerant Bacillus strains on the root growth of spinach plants under treated wastewater, mixed water, and canal water treatment.

| Inoculation                  | Leaf Area (cm²)  | Shoot Length (cm) | Shoot Fresh Weight (kg) | Shoot Dry Weight (kg) |
|-----------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| **Canal water**             |                  |                   |                         |                       |
| Uninoculated control        | 104 ± 1.33 l     | 12.2 ± 0.15 d     | 1.45 ± 0.01 h           | 0.59 ± 0.01 h         |
| *B. megaterium* (N8)        | 125 ± 0.87 gb    | 15.1 ± 0.68 d-g   | 1.73 ± 0.03 f-g         | 0.68 ± 0.04 gb        |
| *B. safensis* (N11)         | 130 ± 1.03 fg    | 15.5 ± 0.29 c-g   | 1.67 ± 0.03 f           | 0.71 ± 0.03 f         |
| *Bacillus* sp. (N18)        | 129 ± 1.44 fg    | 14.2 ± 0.91 c-g   | 1.82 ± 0.02 f           | 0.76 ± 0.01 f         |
| *B. megaterium* (N29)       | 130 ± 1.56 fg    | 13.5 ± 0.48 f-g   | 1.75 ± 0.03 f-g         | 0.75 ± 0.02 f-g       |
| **Mixed water**             |                  |                   |                         |                       |
| Uninoculated control        | 112 ± 1.41 l     | 14.5 ± 0.55 c-g   | 2.62 ± 0.02 e           | 1.04 ± 0.04 f         |
| *B. megaterium* (N8)        | 133 ± 1.23 ef    | 17.5 ± 1.16 c-e   | 2.76 ± 0.03 d-e         | 1.23 ± 0.05 de        |
| *B. safensis* (N11)         | 146 ± 1.72 ab    | 18.2 ± 0.60 b-d   | 3.23 ± 0.02 c           | 1.30 ± 0.01 b-d       |
| *Bacillus* sp. (N18)        | 140 ± 1.35 cd    | 17.1 ± 0.64 c-e   | 3.38 ± 0.01 b           | 1.30 ± 0.01 b-d       |
| *B. megaterium* (N29)       | 137 ± 1.81 de    | 16.6 ± 0.68 c-f   | 3.34 ± 0.03 b           | 1.27 ± 0.01 cd        |
| **Treated wastewater**      |                  |                   |                         |                       |
| Uninoculated control        | 118 ± 2.01 hi    | 18.7 ± 0.79 b-c   | 2.86 ± 0.02 d           | 1.13 ± 0.01 ef        |
| *B. megaterium* (N8)        | 133 ± 1.31 ef    | 21.4 ± 0.36 a-b   | 3.18 ± 0.01 c           | 1.30 ± 0.02 b-d       |
| *B. safensis* (N11)         | 154 ± 1.55 a     | 22.4 ± 1.16 a     | 3.56 ± 0.02 a           | 1.44 ± 0.01 a         |
| *Bacillus* sp. (N18)        | 153 ± 1.24 a     | 22.3 ± 0.61 a     | 3.62 ± 0.01 a           | 1.39 ± 0.01 ab        |
| *B. megaterium* (N29)       | 146 ± 1.06 bc    | 21.3 ± 0.39 a-b   | 3.59 ± 0.03 a           | 1.35 ± 0.02 a-c       |
| **Water treatments**        |                  |                   |                         |                       |
| Canal water                 | 124 c            | 14.11 c           | 1.68 c                  | 0.69 c                |
| Mixed water                 | 134 b            | 16.78 b           | 3.07 b                  | 1.23 b                |
| Treated wastewater          | 141 a            | 21.18 a           | 3.36 a                  | 1.32 a                |

Means sharing different letters are statistically significant from each other at a 5% level of probability.

### Table 4. Effect of Pb-tolerant Bacillus strains on the root growth of spinach plants under treated wastewater, mixed water, and canal water treatment.

| Inoculation                  | Root Length (cm)  | Root Fresh Weight (kg) | Root Dry Weight (kg) |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| **Canal water**             |                   |                        |                      |
| Uninoculated control        | 16.9 ± 0.61 c-g   | 0.69 ± 0.01 f-g        | 0.44 ± 0.01 l        |
| *B. megaterium* (N8)        | 19.9 ± 0.78 a-c   | 0.76 ± 0.00 f-g        | 0.49 ± 0.00 i        |
| *B. safensis* (N11)         | 20.5 ± 0.85 b-c   | 0.80 ± 0.01 f-g        | 0.53 ± 0.01 i-bi     |
| *Bacillus* sp. (N18)        | 19.1 ± 0.62 f-g   | 0.87 ± 0.012 f         | 0.55 ± 0.01 b        |
| *B. megaterium* (N29)       | 18.8 ± 0.54 f-g   | 0.82 ± 0.00 f-g        | 0.50 ± 0.00 b        |
| **Mixed water**             |                   |                        |                      |
| Uninoculated control        | 19.8 ± 0.47 f-g   | 1.31 ± 0.03 e          | 0.93 ± 0.01 s        |
| *B. megaterium* (N8)        | 24.0 ± 1.18 c-g   | 1.47 ± 0.01 d-e        | 1.10 ± 0.00 f        |
| *B. safensis* (N11)         | 23.0 ± 0.76 d-f   | 1.61 ± 0.01 c-d        | 1.20 ± 0.01 e        |
| *Bacillus* sp. (N18)        | 24.8 ± 0.35 ab    | 1.65 ± 0.13 c-d        | 1.17 ± 0.01 e        |
| *B. megaterium* (N29)       | 21.2 ± 0.36 c-f   | 1.39 ± 0.01 c-d        | 1.16 ± 0.01 e        |
| **Treated wastewater**      |                   |                        |                      |
| Uninoculated control        | 21.3 ± 1.20 c-f   | 1.64 ± 0.01 c-d        | 1.31 ± 0.01 d        |
| *B. megaterium* (N8)        | 25.6 ± 0.71 ab    | 1.86 ± 0.02 b          | 1.50 ± 0.02 c        |
| *B. safensis* (N11)         | 25.6 ± 0.44 ab    | 1.90 ± 0.01 b          | 1.61 ± 0.01 b        |
| *Bacillus* sp. (N18)        | 27.3 ± 0.23 a     | 2.11 ± 0.01 a          | 1.70 ± 0.01 a        |
| *B. megaterium* (N29)       | 23.8 ± 0.54 a-d   | 1.93 ± 0.01 b          | 1.60 ± 0.01 b        |
### Table 4. Cont.

| Inoculation          | Root Length (cm) | Root Fresh Weight (kg) | Root Dry Weight (kg) |
|----------------------|------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| Water treatments     |                  |                        |                      |
| Canal water          | 19.01<sup>c</sup> | 0.79<sup>c</sup>        | 0.50<sup>c</sup>      |
| Mixed water          | 22.53<sup>b</sup> | 1.53<sup>b</sup>        | 1.11<sup>b</sup>      |
| Treated wastewater   | 24.71<sup>a</sup> | 1.91<sup>a</sup>        | 1.55<sup>a</sup>      |

Means sharing different letters are statistically significant from each other at a 5% level of probability.

**Figure 2.** Effect of Pb-tolerant *Bacillus* strain and treated wastewater on root length of spinach in a pot trial.

The inoculation with *B. safensis* (N11), *Bacillus* sp. (N18), and *B. megaterium* (N29) showed a maximum increase in shoot fresh weight and shoot dry weight of spinach plant treated with treated wastewater followed by mixed water compared to uninoculated control. These strains were nonsignificant to each other in treated wastewater and mixed water treatments; however, they were significantly different from uninoculated control (Figure 1 and Table 3). In treated wastewater treatment, a maximum increase of up to 26.6% in shoot fresh weight and 27.4% in shoot dry weight was reported by spinach plants inoculated with *Bacillus* sp. (N18) and *B. safensis* (N11), respectively, compared to the uninoculated control.

The spinach plants treated with treated wastewater reported a maximum increase in root fresh and dry weight compared to mixed and canal water treatments (Figure 2 and Table 4). In treated wastewater treatment, a maximum increase of 28.7% in root fresh weight and 29.8% in root dry weight of spinach was reported by *Bacillus* sp. (N18) compared to the uninoculated control. Similarly, this strain also produced a maximum increase of up to 26.0% in root fresh weight and 25.8% in root dry weight of spinach over the uninoculated control plants treated with mixed water.

The Pb-tolerant *Bacillus* strains also improved the leaf area of spinach plants treated with treated wastewater compared to the uninoculated control (Table 3). Strains *B. safensis* (N11) and *Bacillus* sp. (N18) reported maximum leaf area having an increase of up to 30.5% and 29.7%, respectively, in treated wastewater treatment compared to the uninoculated control. These strains also reported 32.1% and 25.0%, respectively, more leaf area than the uninoculated control.
3.2. Effect of Pb-Tolerant Bacillus Strains on Antioxidant Enzymes Activities under Different Water Treatments

The maximum crude protein contents with an increase of 8% were observed from treated wastewater by inoculation of \textit{B. safensis} (N11) and were non-significant with \textit{B. megaterium} (N29) (Figure 3). The inoculation with \textit{B. safensis} (N11) showed 8.4% more crude protein contents and was nonsignificant to \textit{Bacillus} sp. (N18) and \textit{B. megaterium} (N29) under mixed water treatment.

![Figure 3. Effect of Pb-tolerant Bacillus strains on protein contents of spinach plants under wastewater, mixed water, canal water conditions in pot trial; \(n = 4\); Bars sharing different letters are statistically significant from each other at 5\% level of probability.](image)

The Pb-tolerant \textit{Bacillus} strains showed an increase in antioxidant enzymes activities in spinach plants of all water treatments compared to the uninoculated control. Comparison of water treatments revealed that these bacterial strains showed the highest increase in antioxidant enzymatic activities of spinach plants treated with treated wastewater treatment (Figure 4). The Pb-tolerant \textit{Bacillus} strains promoted catalase activity among all water treatments, however, this increase was nonsignificant compared to the uninoculated control plants treated with mixed water and canal water (Figure 4A). In treated wastewater treatment, the maximum catalase activity was reported by \textit{Bacillus} sp. (N18) with an increase of up to 28.7% over the uninoculated control. The increase in POD activity ranging from 14\% to 25\% was observed in treated wastewater treatment over the uninoculated control. Under canal water treatment, Pb-tolerant bacterial strains promoted POD activity ranging from 8\% to 23\% compared to the uninoculated control (Figure 4B). These bacterial strains also promoted SOD activity ranging from 4\% to 27\% compared to the uninoculated control under canal water treatment. Similarly, inoculation also improved the SOD activity from 6\% to 29\% compared to uninoculated control in treated wastewater treatment (Figure 4C). The maximum increase in POX activity was reported by \textit{B. safensis} (N11) followed by \textit{Bacillus} sp. (N18) in treated wastewater and canal water (Figure 4D). \textit{B. safensis} (N11) reported the highest POX enzymatic activities over the uninoculated control.
Figure 4. Effect of Pb-tolerant Bacillus strains on antioxidant enzymes: Catalase activity (A); Guaiacol peroxidase activity (B); Superoxide dismutase activity (C); and Peroxidase activity (D) of spinach plants under wastewater, mixed water, canal water conditions in pot trial; (n = 4); Bars sharing different letters are statistically significant from each other at 5% level of probability; CAT = Catalase; POD = Guaiacol peroxidase; SOD = Superoxide dismutase; POX = Peroxidase.

3.3. Effect of Pb-Tolerant Bacillus Strains on Heavy Metal Uptake under Different Water Treatments

3.3.1. Nickel Contents in Spinach

The maximum reduction in leaves Ni contents was reported by *B. safensis* (N11) with a reduction of 7.8% over the uninoculated control under treated wastewater treatment (Table 5). The highest reduction in leave Ni contents was observed from *B. megaterium* (N8) which was nonsignificant to the uninoculated control under canal water treatment. The inoculation with *Bacillus* sp. (N18) followed by *B. safensis* (N11) reported a maximum reduction in root Ni contents compared to the uninoculated control under treated wastewater treatment (Table 6). The maximum reduction of roots Ni contents was reported by *Bacillus* sp. (N18) over the uninoculated control under mixed water treatment. *B. megaterium* (N29) was least effective to cause a reduction of up to 1.8% in root Ni contents compared to the uninoculated control under mixed water treatment.

3.3.2. Chromium Contents in Spinach

Chromium contents of spinach leaves were significantly reduced with the application of Pb-tolerant *Bacillus* strains in contrast to the uninoculated control except for canal water where nonsignificant response by all strains was reported (Table 5). A maximum reduction in leaves Cr contents was reported by *B. safensis* (N11) that was nonsignificant with *B. megaterium* (N8) and *Bacillus* sp. (N18) under treated wastewater treatment. The maximum decrease of up to 13% in roots Cr contents were reported by *Bacillus* sp. (N18) compared to the uninoculated control under treated wastewater (Table 6). *B. safensis* (N11) reported the
maximum reduction of up to 8.3% in roots Cr contents over uninoculated control under mixed water treatment.

Table 5. Effect of Pb-tolerant *Bacillus* strains on heavy metal contents of spinach leaves under sewage wastewater, mixed water, and canal water treatments.

| Inoculation               | Ni (mg kg\(^{-1}\)) | Cr (mg kg\(^{-1}\)) | Cd (mg kg\(^{-1}\)) | Pb (mg kg\(^{-1}\)) |
|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| **Canal water**           |                      |                      |                      |                      |
| Uninoculated control      | 0.37 ± 0.001         | 0.19 ± 0.009         | 0.017 ± 0.003        | 0.78 ± 0.006         |
| *B. megaterium* (N8)      | 0.34 ± 0.001         | 0.18 ± 0.007         | 0.017 ± 0.000        | 0.71 ± 0.006         |
| *B. safensis* (N11)       | 0.35 ± 0.001         | 0.17 ± 0.006         | 0.017 ± 0.000        | 0.73 ± 0.005         |
| *Bacillus* sp. (N18)      | 0.35 ± 0.001         | 0.18 ± 0.004         | 0.017 ± 0.000        | 0.71 ± 0.002         |
| *B. megaterium* (N29)     | 0.36 ± 0.000         | 0.18 ± 0.008         | 0.017 ± 0.000        | 0.78 ± 0.004         |
| **Mixed water**           |                      |                      |                      |                      |
| Uninoculated control      | 3.00 ± 0.001         | 0.74 ± 0.030         | 0.38 ± 0.011         | 1.86 ± 0.002         |
| *B. megaterium* (N8)      | 2.75 ± 0.001         | 0.72 ± 0.006         | 0.35 ± 0.008         | 1.81 ± 0.003         |
| *B. safensis* (N11)       | 2.82 ± 0.001         | 0.68 ± 0.010         | 0.33 ± 0.007         | 1.68 ± 0.006         |
| *Bacillus* sp. (N18)      | 2.76 ± 0.001         | 0.67 ± 0.009         | 0.36 ± 0.009         | 1.65 ± 0.004         |
| *B. megaterium* (N29)     | 2.90 ± 0.001         | 0.70 ± 0.005         | 0.36 ± 0.006         | 1.75 ± 0.001         |
| **Treated wastewater**    |                      |                      |                      |                      |
| Uninoculated control      | 4.97 ± 0.001         | 0.85 ± 0.009         | 0.40 ± 0.012         | 2.02 ± 0.006         |
| *B. megaterium* (N8)      | 4.67 ± 0.001         | 0.81 ± 0.006         | 0.37 ± 0.006         | 1.89 ± 0.008         |
| *B. safensis* (N11)       | 4.58 ± 0.001         | 0.77 ± 0.010         | 0.36 ± 0.006         | 1.78 ± 0.005         |
| *Bacillus* sp. (N18)      | 4.69 ± 0.001         | 0.80 ± 0.005         | 0.37 ± 0.008         | 1.86 ± 0.004         |
| *B. megaterium* (N29)     | 4.83 ± 0.000         | 0.84 ± 0.004         | 0.38 ± 0.003         | 1.95 ± 0.002         |
| **Water treatments**      |                      |                      |                      |                      |
| Canal water               | 0.35 c               | 0.18 c               | 0.02 c               | 0.74 c               |
| Mixed water               | 2.85 b               | 0.70 b               | 0.36 b               | 1.75 b               |
| Treated wastewater        | 4.75 a               | 0.81 a               | 0.37 a               | 1.90 a               |

Means sharing different letters are statistically significant from each other at a 5% level of probability.

Table 6. Effect of Pb-tolerant *Bacillus* strains on heavy metal contents of spinach root under wastewater conditions in pot trial (n = 4).

| Inoculation               | Ni (mg kg\(^{-1}\)) | Cr (mg kg\(^{-1}\)) | Cd (mg kg\(^{-1}\)) | Pb (mg kg\(^{-1}\)) |
|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| **Canal water**           |                      |                      |                      |                      |
| Uninoculated control      | 0.57 ± 0.001         | 0.40 ± 0.019         | 0.07 ± 0.004         | 0.87 ± 0.007         |
| *B. megaterium* (N8)      | 0.53 ± 0.001         | 0.40 ± 0.015         | 0.06 ± 0.001         | 0.85 ± 0.004         |
| *B. safensis* (N11)       | 0.52 ± 0.001         | 0.39 ± 0.015         | 0.06 ± 0.001         | 0.83 ± 0.006         |
| *Bacillus* sp. (N18)      | 0.55 ± 0.000         | 0.37 ± 0.018         | 0.06 ± 0.000         | 0.76 ± 0.005         |
| *B. megaterium* (N29)     | 0.53 ± 0.000         | 0.40 ± 0.008         | 0.07 ± 0.001         | 0.81 ± 0.003         |
| **Mixed water**           |                      |                      |                      |                      |
| Uninoculated control      | 3.93 ± 0.001         | 0.98 ± 0.019         | 0.60 ± 0.018         | 3.99 ± 0.006         |
| *B. megaterium* (N8)      | 3.77 ± 0.000         | 0.95 ± 0.009         | 0.58 ± 0.006         | 3.72 ± 0.002         |
| *B. safensis* (N11)       | 3.69 ± 0.001         | 0.90 ± 0.014         | 0.54 ± 0.011         | 3.65 ± 0.006         |
| *Bacillus* sp. (N18)      | 3.62 ± 0.001         | 0.93 ± 0.014         | 0.55 ± 0.009         | 3.64 ± 0.003         |
| *B. megaterium* (N29)     | 3.86 ± 0.001         | 0.96 ± 0.006         | 0.59 ± 0.004         | 3.78 ± 0.004         |
| **Treated wastewater**    |                      |                      |                      |                      |
| Uninoculated control      | 4.94 ± 0.000         | 1.12 ± 0.010         | 0.72 ± 0.011         | 4.22 ± 0.006         |
| *B. megaterium* (N8)      | 4.68 ± 0.001         | 1.13 ± 0.016         | 0.69 ± 0.015         | 3.83 ± 0.006         |
| *B. safensis* (N11)       | 4.59 ± 0.001         | 1.01 ± 0.018         | 0.64 ± 0.010         | 3.73 ± 0.005         |
| *Bacillus* sp. (N18)      | 4.54 ± 0.001         | 0.97 ± 0.009         | 0.67 ± 0.006         | 3.66 ± 0.006         |
| *B. megaterium* (N29)     | 4.76 ± 0.001         | 1.06 ± 0.012         | 0.70 ± 0.007         | 3.90 ± 0.010         |
Table 6. Cont.

| Inoculation        | Ni (mg kg⁻¹) | Cr (mg kg⁻¹) | Cd (mg kg⁻¹) | Pb (mg kg⁻¹) |
|--------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Canal water        |             |             |             |             |
| Uninoculated control | 0.57 ± 0.001 | 0.40 ± 0.019 | 0.07 ± 0.004 | 0.87 ± 0.007 |
| *B. megaterium* (N8) | 0.53 ± 0.001 | 0.40 ± 0.015 | 0.06 ± 0.001 | 0.85 ± 0.004 |
| *B. safensis* (N11) | 0.52 ± 0.001 | 0.39 ± 0.015 | 0.06 ± 0.001 | 0.83 ± 0.006 |
| *Bacillus* sp. (N18) | 0.55 ± 0.000 | 0.37 ± 0.018 | 0.06 ± 0.000 | 0.76 ± 0.005 |
| *B. megaterium* (N29) | 0.53 ± 0.000 | 0.40 ± 0.008 | 0.07 ± 0.001 | 0.81 ± 0.003 |
| Mixed water        |             |             |             |             |
| Uninoculated control | 3.93 ± 0.001 | 0.98 ± 0.019 | 0.60 ± 0.018 | 3.99 ± 0.006 |
| *B. megaterium* (N8) | 3.77 ± 0.000 | 0.95 ± 0.009 | 0.58 ± 0.006 | 3.72 ± 0.002 |
| *B. safensis* (N11) | 3.69 ± 0.001 | 0.90 ± 0.014 | 0.54 ± 0.011 | 3.65 ± 0.006 |
| *Bacillus* sp. (N18) | 3.62 ± 0.001 | 0.93 ± 0.014 | 0.55 ± 0.009 | 3.64 ± 0.003 |
| *B. megaterium* (N29) | 3.86 ± 0.001 | 0.96 ± 0.006 | 0.59 ± 0.004 | 3.78 ± 0.004 |
| Treated wastewater |             |             |             |             |
| Uninoculated control | 4.94 ± 0.000 | 1.12 ± 0.010 | 0.72 ± 0.011 | 4.22 ± 0.006 |
| *B. megaterium* (N8) | 4.68 ± 0.001 | 1.13 ± 0.016 | 0.69 ± 0.015 | 3.83 ± 0.006 |
| *B. safensis* (N11) | 4.59 ± 0.001 | 1.01 ± 0.018 | 0.64 ± 0.010 | 3.73 ± 0.005 |
| *Bacillus* sp. (N18) | 4.54 ± 0.001 | 0.97 ± 0.009 | 0.67 ± 0.006 | 3.66 ± 0.006 |
| *B. megaterium* (N29) | 4.76 ± 0.001 | 1.06 ± 0.012 | 0.70 ± 0.007 | 3.90 ± 0.010 |
| Water treatments   |             |             |             |             |
| Canal water        | 0.54 c      | 0.39 c      | 0.06 c      | 0.83 c      |
| Mixed water        | 3.77 b      | 0.94 b      | 0.57 b      | 3.76 b      |
| Treated wastewater | 4.71 a      | 1.06 a      | 0.68 a      | 3.87 a      |

Means sharing different letters are statistically significant from each other at a 5% level of probability.

3.3.3. Cadmium Contents in Spinach

Inoculation with Pb-tolerant *Bacillus* strains significantly reduces leaves Cd contents under different water treatments (Table 5). The maximum reduction in leaves Cd contents was observed in treated wastewater treatment compared to mixed water and canal water. The highest reduction in leaves Cd contents was observed from *B. safensis* (N11) in treated wastewater treatment. Strain *B. safensis* (N11) also reduces leaves Cd contents under mixed water conditions. Inoculation with *B. safensis* (N11) showed a maximum reduction of up to 11.4% in roots Cd contents compared to the uninoculated control (Table 6). Under mixed water treatment, *B. safensis* (N11) and *Bacillus* sp. (N18) proved the most efficient strains by showing a maximum decrease of Cr contents up to 9.2% and 8.7%, respectively, in spinach roots compared to the uninoculated control.

3.3.4. Lead Contents in Spinach

The maximum reduction of up to 9.7% in leaves Pb contents was reported by *B. safensis* (N11) in comparison to the uninoculated control in treated wastewater treatment (Table 5). The reduction in leaves Pb contents by bacterial strains was ranged from 1.4% to 9.7% compared to the uninoculated control under mixed water treatment. The tested strains were nonsignificant in contrast with the uninoculated control under canal water treatments. The highest reduction of up to 8.6% in roots Pb contents was reported by *B. safensis* (N11) that was nonsignificant with *Bacillus* sp. (N18), but significantly different from the uninoculated control in treated wastewater treatment (Table 6). Strains *B. safensis* (N18) and *Bacillus* sp. (N11) cause a reduction of up to 11% and 8.5%, respectively, in roots Pb contents compared to the uninoculated control under mixed water treatments. The maximum reduction in roots Pb contents was given by *Bacillus* sp. (N18), however other strains were statistically similar with each other and the uninoculated control under canal water treatment.
4. Discussion

Heavy metals accumulate in food crops by continuous irrigation with sewage water [41]. Several researchers reported the considerable concentration of different trace metals in soil and then in vegetables [42,43]. The present study was conducted to evaluate the effect of Pb-tolerant Bacillus sp. on plant growth and heavy metals uptake in roots and leaves of spinach with treated wastewater. Results revealed that the application of sewage water causes an increase in plant growth of spinach compared to canal water and/or a mixer of canal and sewage water. However, the application of Pb-tolerant Bacillus strains causes a higher increase in growth attributes of spinach compared to the uninoculated control (Tables 3 and 4). Such beneficial effects of bacteria may be due to their effects on heavy metals mobility and availability to plants through producing chelating agents, production of organic acids, solubilization of nutrients, and changes in redox reactions [44]. Our findings are correlated with the work of Saleem et al. [19], who reported the alleviation of the poisonous effects of lead through inoculation with Pb-tolerant rhizobacteria that improves the productivity of sunflower in Pb-contaminated conditions.

The increase in plant growth by Pb-tolerant Bacillus spp. under heavy metals toxic environments might be due to their ability to solubilize phosphorus, potassium, and zinc [45], production of phytohormones [46], siderophore [47], aminocyclopropane 1-carboxylate deaminase enzymes [48], and induces system resistance against heavy metals toxicity [49]. Previously, we found the tested Pb-tolerant bacterial strains positive for these plant growth-promoting characteristics [24]. Such Pb-tolerant bacterial strains adopt advanced distinctive mechanisms to effectively thrive in the Pb-contaminated environment deprived of imposing any adverse effects on their growth and development. They ameliorate the Pb-toxicity through biosorption, efflux, production of metal chelators including metallothionein, exopolysaccharides, siderophores, and intracellular bioaccumulation extracellular sequestration [50]. Such heavy metal tolerant bacteria may also promote nutrient availability and uptake by recycling the organic waste in sewage water [51]. They can augment the remediation ability in plants and reduce the toxicity of contaminated soil with sewage water [52]. Heavy metals stress initiated the formation of reactive oxygen species in plants which can be overcome through promoting antioxidative enzymatic activities including CAT, POD, SOD, ascorbate peroxidase, and glutathione reductase [53]. In the present study, Pb-tolerant Bacillus strains improved the antioxidant enzymes in terms of CAT, POD, SOD, and POX activities in spinach plants treated with sewage water compared to plants grown with the uninoculated control (Figure 4). The increase in antioxidant enzymatic activity confers direct protection against metal-stress damage caused by oxidative stress and tissue damage [54]. Metals have a high affinity for thiol groups containing enzymes and proteins, which are liable for normal cellular defense mechanisms. Above a certain point of tolerance, the physiological state of the cells of the plant will be irreversibly changed. This change is reflected by an increase in the activity of certain enzymes defined as enzyme induction in the plants as a result of the tolerance mechanism [55]. Similarly, Janmohammadi et al. [56] and Saleem et al. [19] also reported an increase in antioxidant enzymatic activities in plants treated with Pb-contamination. They also reported that inoculation with Pb-tolerant bacteria may cause a stimulatory effect in plant defensive mechanism that reduces malondialdehyde contents in plant and alleviate the heavy metal stress [56].

The current study showed that inoculation with Pb-tolerant Bacillus strains reduces the heavy metals (Ni, Cr, Cd, and Pb) concentration in roots and leaves of spinach compared to the uninoculated control treated with sewage water (Tables 5 and 6). The reduction in Ni, Cr, Cd, and Pb uptake in roots and leaves of spinach treated with Pb-tolerant Bacillus strains might be due to their effectiveness in metals immobilization and reduction of their translocation in plants through precipitation, adsorption, and complex formation. These findings are in parallel to the work of Mesa-Marín et al. [57] and Khanna et al. [58]. Khanna et al. [58] reported that inoculation with Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Burkholderia gladioli mitigated Cd-stress through promoting plant growth, photosynthetic pigments, metal uptake along with protein and nonprotein bound thiols in Lycopersicon esculentum seedling.
Mesa-Marín et al. [57] evaluated the effect of heavy metal resistant Bacillus strains inoculation on Spartina maritima root respiration and oxidative stress. They reported that inoculated plants showed heavy metal stress alleviation and reduction in respiratory carbon consumption promoted metal rhizo-accumulation in S. maritima plants treated with heavy metal tolerant Bacillus strains.

The tested Pb-tolerant bacterial strains in the current study showed variation in response of sewage water and canal water. These strains reduce the stress of heavy metals in sewage water by immobilizing the metals and reducing their uptake in roots and leaves of spinach (Tables 5 and 6). Among tested strains, B. safensis (N11) and Bacillus sp. (N18) were more effective in the promotion of plant growth and antioxidant enzymes activities in spinach plants with treated wastewater. Both of these strains were also found effective in the bioremediation of sewage water through immobilizing the heavy metals and reducing their uptake in plants tissues. Most of the metals accumulated in spinach leaves remained within safe limits as proposed by FAO/WHO [59] which is also suitable to be consumed as a vegetable. Previously, heavy metal stress promoted the expression in the metal transporter genes however this expression of metal transporter genes was reduced in Pb-tolerant bacteria treated seedlings [58] which might be true in the current study.

5. Conclusions

The current study concluded that the application of treated wastewater promoted spinach growth and physiological attributes. The treated wastewater also causes a higher accumulation of metal in roots and leaves of spinach, however, these metals accumulation found in safe limit. The lead-tolerant strains Bacillus sp. N18 and Bacillus safensis N11 were found to be effective in the promotion of plant growth and antioxidant activities in spinach treated with sewage water. These strains were also efficient in reducing the uptake of heavy metals in roots and leaves of spinach treated with sewage water. These Pb-tolerant strains could be explored for sustainable crop production under sewage water stress conditions. These strains should be further evaluated under sewage water contaminated field conditions and biofertilizer should be formulated for the bioremediation of sewage-contaminated soil after extensive evaluation.
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