Recruiting a Microtubule-Binding Complex to DNA Directs Chromosome Segregation in Budding Yeast

The Harvard community has made this article openly available. Please share how this access benefits you. Your story matters

| Citation       | Lacefield, Soni, Derek T.C. Lau, and Andrew Murray. 2009. Recruiting a microtubule-binding complex to DNA directs chromosome segregation in budding yeast. Nature Cell Biology 11(9): 1116-1120. |
|----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Published Version | doi:10.1038/ncb1925                                                                                                                                                                               |
| Citable link    | http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:5131511                                                                                                                                                   |
| Terms of Use    | This article was downloaded from Harvard University’s DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Open Access Policy Articles, as set forth at http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#OAP |
Recruiting a microtubule-binding complex to DNA directs chromosome segregation in budding yeast

Soni Lacefield¹,², Derek T.C. Lau¹, and Andrew W. Murray¹,³

1. Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology, Harvard University, 52 Oxford St, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138
2. Current Address: Department of Biology, Indiana University, 1001 E 3rd St, Bloomington, Indiana 47405
3. Correspondence should be addressed to A.W.M. (email amurray@mcb.harvard.edu)

Key words: kinetochore, mitosis, chromosome segregation, Dam1 complex, DASH complex

word count: Abstract, results, discussion 2471
Abstract

Accurate chromosome segregation depends on the kinetochore, the complex of proteins that link microtubules to centromeric DNA\textsuperscript{1}. The budding yeast kinetochore consists of more than 80 proteins assembled on a 125bp region of DNA\textsuperscript{1}. We studied the assembly and function of kinetochore components by fusing individual kinetochore proteins to the lactose repressor (LacI) and testing their ability to improve the segregation of a plasmid carrying tandem repeats of the lactose operator (LacO). Targeting Ask1, a member of the Dam1/DASH microtubule-binding complex, creates a synthetic kinetochore that performs many functions of a natural kinetochore: it can replace an endogenous kinetochore on a chromosome, biorient sister kinetochores at metaphase of mitosis, segregate sister chromatids, and repair errors in chromosome attachment. We show the synthetic kinetochore’s functions do not depend on the DNA-binding components of the natural kinetochore but do require other kinetochore proteins. We conclude that tethering a single kinetochore protein to DNA triggers the assembly of the complex structure that directs mitotic chromosome segregation.
The kinetochore ensures accurate chromosome segregation by attaching chromosomes to the microtubules of the spindle. The kinetochore is built on centromeric DNA and in mitosis, the two kinetochores of replicated sister chromatids attach to microtubules from opposite spindle poles\(^1\). The opposing forces on the two kinetochores are resisted by cohesin molecules that encircle the two sister chromatids\(^2\), creating tension at the kinetochores and stabilizing their binding to microtubules. If both kinetochores attach to the same pole, they sense the reduced tension and release their microtubules, activating the spindle checkpoint, delaying anaphase, and allowing another attempt at proper attachment. At the onset of anaphase, cohesin is cleaved and sister chromatids separate from one another, allowing their kinetochores to travel to the spindle poles by following the ends of depolymerizing microtubules\(^1\).

The budding yeast centromere is 125 base pairs long, assembles one microtubule-binding site, and recruits more than 80 proteins. Many of these kinetochore proteins are conserved among eukaryotes\(^3\) and assemble into biochemically and genetically defined complexes. The highly conserved KMN network includes the Mtw1 and Ndc80 complexes, but the DNA-binding CBF3 complex and microtubule-binding Dam1/DASH complex are less conserved\(^1\).

To understand how the kinetochore assembles, we asked if we could build a synthetic kinetochore in budding yeast. We recruited a lactose repressor (LacI)-kinetochore protein fusion to a plasmid that lacked a centromere but carried multiple tandem repeats of the lactose operator (LacO) (Figure 1A) and monitored the segregation of this plasmid. Without a centromere, plasmids prefer to segregate to the mother cell at
division and are lost at a high frequency\(^4\); forming a synthetic kinetochore should dramatically reduce the rate at which the test plasmid is lost\(^5\) (Figure 1B).

Our initial assay measured the fraction of cells that contain the plasmid after growth in medium that does not select for the plasmid. Under these conditions, only 20% of cells contain a centromere-less plasmid, compared to 80% when the plasmid carries a centromere (Figure 1B). We screened 17 kinetochore protein-LacI fusions (Supplementary Table 1). Only one, the fusion between the C-terminus of Ask1 and the N-terminus of LacI, enhanced the plasmid’s segregation: 65% of the cells carried the LacO-containing plasmid. We got similar results with plasmids containing 8 or 256 copies of LacO (Supplementary Table 2).

Ask1 is an essential protein, which is a component of the 10-member Dam1/DASH complex\(^1,3\), whose association with the kinetochore depends on microtubules\(^6-8\). The N-terminal half of the protein enhances plasmid segregation and complements a deletion of \textit{ASK1} (Supplemental Figure 1) and localizes to spindle microtubules indistinguishably from full-length Ask1. This correlation suggests that Ask1-LacI recruits the microtubule-binding activity of the Dam1/DASH complex to the LacO array. Fusions of other members of the Dam1/DASH complex to LacI did not enhance plasmid segregation (Supplementary Table 1,2) even though several could replace the endogenous, essential, gene. The observation by Kiermaier et al. that a different fusion to Dam1 could rescue plasmid segregation suggests that some of our fusions are only partially functional (co-submitted).

The Ask1-LacI fusion nucleates a “synthetic kinetochore” on the LacO array that can replace the natural kinetochore and direct chromosome segregation. Chromosomes
with two centromeres (dicentrics) are mitotically unstable, prompting us to ask if chromosomes carrying a natural and a synthetic kinetochore were lost frequently. In diploid cells, the wild type version of chromosome III is lost at a rate of 1 to 2 x 10^{-6}/cell/generation, but adding the synthetic kinetochore to this chromosome increased the loss rate 275 fold to 5.5 x 10^{-4} showing that the synthetic kinetochore can interfere with a natural kinetochore’s ability to direct chromosome segregation (Figure 2A).

We made the natural centromere repressible by placing the GAL1 promoter upstream of the centromere; transcription from a strong promoter towards the centromere inactivates the kinetochore. Adding galactose activates the GAL1 promoter, disrupting the natural kinetochore, which is fully functional in glucose-grown cells (Figure 2B).

We made haploid cells with the synthetic kinetochore on chromosome III, 23kb from the galactose-repressed CEN3. In the absence of Ask1-LacI, these cells form colonies on glucose, but less than 1% form colonies on galactose because they cannot tolerate the loss of chromosome III (Figure 2B). With Ask1 bound to the chromosome, 78±2% of the cells form colonies on galactose plates. This experiment shows that the synthetic kinetochore can segregate a chromosome, although less well than a natural kinetochore, since the colonies are smaller than those of wildtype cells.

To ask how many copies of Ask1 allow chromosome segregation, we repeated this assay with a LacO array containing only 8 repeats. We found that 8 and 256 repeats gave similar results (Figure 2C). Since LacO binds a dimer of LacI, no more than 16 copies of Ask1 can be recruited to the smaller array, similar to the number of Ask1 molecules in the natural kinetochore.
We followed chromosome segregation by expressing a green fluorescent protein-LacI fusion (GFP-LacI) that binds the LacO array\textsuperscript{12}. This fusion reveals the tagged chromosomes as GFP dots but has no effect on the ability of Ask1-LacI to direct their segregation (data not shown). We monitored chromosome segregation within a single cell cycle by releasing cells from G1, with or without Ask1-LacI, and allowing them to arrest in anaphase (due to the \textit{cdc15-2} mutation). In glucose-grown cells, the natural centromere segregated the chromosomes to opposite ends of the spindle in 99±1\% of the cells (Figure 3A). With the natural centromere turned off, and without Ask1-LacI, the chromosome tends to stay in the mother cell; only 27±9\% segregated their sister chromatids into mother and bud, but 71±9\% of cells contained two GFP dots in the mother. With the synthetic kinetochore on, and the natural centromere off, 74±8\% of cells segregated sister chromatids properly between mother and bud. This experiment demonstrates that the synthetic kinetochore can direct ordered chromosome segregation, although this segregation is not as faithful as a natural kinetochore.

Natural kinetochores biorient at metaphase; the sister kinetochores attach to opposite spindle poles and are pulled about 0.5 μm apart from each other\textsuperscript{1}. This separation can be seen by placing a GFP tag 1.8kb from the centromere and arresting the cells in metaphase (by depleting Cdc20, the activator of the anaphase promoting complex (APC))\textsuperscript{13-15}; with the natural centromere active, 74±2\% of metaphase-arrested cells contained two separate GFP dots (Figure 3B), whereas the synthetic kinetochore was separated in 55±2\% of cells when the natural centromere was off. Without a synthetic kinetochore and with the natural centromere off, only 5±2\% of cells contained two GFP dots. We conclude that the synthetic kinetochore can biorient at metaphase.
In some cells, both sister kinetochores initially attach to the same pole of the spindle. Left uncorrected, this error leads to one daughter with two copies of the chromosome and one with none. The kinetochore detects and corrects this error: the protein kinase Ipl1 (the yeast Aurora B homolog) induces kinetochores that are not under tension to release their microtubules\textsuperscript{16-19}.

We asked if the synthetic kinetochore could detect and correct orientation errors. Cells carrying the \textit{ipl1-321} mutation detect and correct errors at 23°C but not 37°C\textsuperscript{16}. When the mutant went from G1 to metaphase at 23°C, a natural kinetochore was visibly bioriented in 80±2% of the cells (Figure 3C). But if the cells went from G1 to metaphase at 37°C only 23±5% of the cells contained two GFP dots. These errors could be corrected; shifting the metaphase-arrested cells from 37°C to 23°C, raised the fraction of cells containing two GFP dots to 76±3%.

We showed that the synthetic kinetochore can also correct errors in attachment (Figure 3C). Cells carrying \textit{ipl1-321} and a chromosome with both the galactose-repressible (\textit{P}_{GAL1-}CEN3) and the synthetic kinetochore were arrested in G1, exposed to galactose to disrupt the formation of the natural kinetochore and allowed to progress from G1 to a metaphase arrest at different temperatures. When Ipl1 was active (23°C), 51±4% of the synthetic kinetochores were visibly bi-oriented. In contrast, only 21±3% of cells that had reached metaphase at 37°C contained two GFP dots. When these arrested cells were transferred to 23°C this fraction rose to 50±2%, showing that the synthetic kinetochore can both sense and correct orientation errors.

Finally, we determined which other kinetochore proteins were required to produce a synthetic kinetochore. We obtained cells with temperature-sensitive mutations
in a variety of kinetochore proteins\(^3\) and verified that they formed spindles (demonstrating that they had microtubules) and prevented natural kinetochores from biorienting at 37°C. We asked whether if the corresponding proteins were needed to align the synthetic kinetochores on the spindle: if the protein plays no role, the kinetochores will biorient and resolve into two GFP dots at 37°C, but mutations that affect the synthetic kinetochore will prevent biorientation.

The synthetic kinetochore requires proteins in several different kinetochore complexes. The Ndc80 complex may have the kinetochore’s principal microtubule binding activity\(^1\),\(^2\),\(^20\) and the Mtw1 complex appears to regulate this connection\(^13\). We tested mutations in three components of the Ndc80 complex (Ndc80, Nuf2, Spc24) and three of the Mtw1 complex (Nsl1, Dsn1 and Mtw1) and found that all six proteins were needed for biorientation (Figure 4A).

We suspected that other members of the Dam1/DASH complex were needed at the synthetic kinetochore. Tests on Dam1 supported this idea; when Dam1 was inactivated, the synthetic kinetochore could no longer biorient. We tested the one essential member of the Ctf19 complex\(^3\) (Okp1) and found that it is also involved in biorientation of the synthetic kinetochore.

The proteins that bind to centromeric DNA are poorly conserved during evolution. We tested two of four components of CBF3 (Ndc10 and Ctf13), the essential DNA-binding complex of the yeast kinetochore; neither was needed to biorient the synthetic kinetochore (Figure 4A) but both mutations completely disrupt biorientation of natural kinetochores (Figure 4B). Our functional analysis suggests that the synthetic kinetochore recruits four complexes, including two that bind microtubules, but does not
need the primary sequence-specific DNA binding activity of the natural kinetochore (Figure 4C).

**Discussion**

Taken together, our results lead to two surprising conclusions. First, recruiting multiple copies of a single protein, Ask1, produces a synthetic kinetochore that demonstrates many of a natural kinetochore’s functions. How close to a natural kinetochore is the synthetic kinetochore? The synthetic kinetochore aligns and segregates an entire chromosome, and corrects errors in the initial attachment to microtubules, properties that are completely absent from DNA molecules that lack centromeric DNA. Quantitatively, the synthetic kinetochore is inferior to the natural kinetochore in every assay. Deletions that remove 57 bps of CDEII, one of the three elements of the budding yeast centromere, behave as poorly as the synthetic kinetochore21, suggesting that precise control of chromatin structure optimizes the kinetochore’s activities22.

The second conclusion is that Ask1 recruits at least two conserved kinetochore complexes even though Ask1 occurs in a complex not found outside fungi23. Because these complexes are conserved, we suggest that in higher eukaryotes, the other kinetochore complexes can also form associations without the centromere-binding proteins. In budding yeast, the Dam1/DASH complex associates with microtubules and is needed for poleward kinetochore movement and for chromosomes to switch from attaching to the side to the end of microtubules24. We infer the presence of other proteins because mutating them keeps Ask1 from forming a synthetic kinetochore. By this criterion, Ask1 recruits other subunits of the Dam1/DASH complex, and members of the
Mtw1, Ctf19 and Ndc80 complexes. The N-terminal half of Ask1 is sufficient for this function. The C-terminus of this protein contains Cdk phosphorylation sites and regulate microtubule dynamics as cells enter anaphase\textsuperscript{25, 26}, suggesting that Ask1’s different functions can be separated into different domains.

The synthetic kinetochore assembles without the centromere’s normal DNA binding proteins. This suggests that these proteins normally mark the site where a kinetochore should assemble, but that their interactions with other proteins and their effects on DNA structure are not essential for the fundamental activities of the kinetochore. The details of these missing interactions may explain the more accurate segregation of natural kinetochores\textsuperscript{22}. We can exclude the possibility that Ask1 simply tethers the synthetic kinetochore to natural kinetochores; the \textit{ndc10-1} mutant, which disrupts every known aspect of kinetochore behavior\textsuperscript{8, 18, 27} (Figure 4B), has no effect on the synthetic kinetochore (Figure 4A). Our results offer two possible interpretations: i) interactions of the different kinetochore complexes on microtubules assemble a proto-kinetochore that is recruited to the chromosome by the Dam1/DASH complexes bound to the LacO array, ii) the multiple copies of the Dam1/DASH complex bound to the LacO array creates sites that recruit the other kinetochore protein complexes, independently of their interactions with microtubules.

Our findings support the view that the least conserved proteins of the kinetochore are those that connect it to DNA\textsuperscript{3}. The identities of the proteins that bind centromeric DNA have changed during eukaryotic evolution whereas the core microtubule binding and central complexes have not. One explanation is that competition amongst variant centromere sequences has caused co-evolution of
centromeric DNA and the proteins that bind it, whereas the conservation of microtubules requires conservation of the microtubule-binding activities of the kinetochore\textsuperscript{28}.

We speculate that recruiting the microtubule binding activities of the kinetochore to novel DNA sequences has played important roles in evolution. One example is the appearance of a centromere at a new location on a chromosome. In humans, these neocentromeres form at regions that lack the repetitive \(\alpha\)-satellite DNA found at normal human centromeres, yet neocentromeres bind kinetochore proteins, form heterochromatin, and segregate chromosomes in mitosis and meiosis\textsuperscript{29}. Selfish DNA elements may have hijacked the microtubule binding activity of the kinetochore. One possible candidate is the yeast 2 \(\mu\)m circle, an endogenous plasmid that has been shown to associate with the spindle during mitosis, recruit Cse4, the centromere-specific histone variant, and whose accurate segregation depends on Ipl1\textsuperscript{30,31}. Understanding the formation and function of synthetic kinetochores should thus provide insights into kinetochore assembly as well as the evolution of centromeres and kinetochores.
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Figure Legends:

Figure 1. Assay for a synthetic kinetochore.

A) Representation of binding of the Lac repressor (LacI) to the Lac operator (LacO). A dimer of LacI binds to palindromic LacO sequences. In our assays, we express a GFP-LacI fusion protein, a kinetochore protein-LacI fusion, or both (so that we can visualize the synthetic kinetochore). B) A diagram of the assay to determine if tethering individual proteins to DNA forms a synthetic kinetochore. Cells express a chromosomally integrated gene that fuses a kinetochore protein to LacI and the fusion protein is recruited to a plasmid that carries an array of tandem repeats of LacO and confers the ability to synthesize leucine, but lacks a centromere (acentric). The cells are grown in the absence of selection and the fraction containing the plasmid is measured. If the tethered protein confers kinetochore function, the plasmid will segregate to many of the daughter cells (like the control centromeric plasmid); if not, only a small fraction of the cells retain the plasmid (like the control acentric plasmid).

Figure 2. The synthetic kinetochore can replace a natural kinetochore.

A) The presence of a synthetic kinetochore interferes with normal chromosome segregation. The cartoon shows the relevant features of the genotype of a diploid
strain carrying one normal copy of chromosome III and one that carried both the natural and synthetic kinetochore. We measured the loss frequency of this chromosome in cells where the synthetic kinetochore is active (due to expression of the Ask1-LacI fusion) and those where it is not (cells expressing unfused LacI). We first looked for loss of \textit{URA3} and then looked for those that had also lost \textit{LEU2} to determine that the entire chromosome was lost. The quoted range represents the 95\% confidence interval. \textbf{B)} The synthetic kinetochore can substitute for a natural kinetochore. Cartoon of a conditional centromere. Galactose-induced transcription from the \textit{GAL1} promoter inactivates \textit{CEN3}, rendering the natural kinetochore functional on glucose but inactive on galactose. Only cells expressing the Ask1-LacI fusion form colonies on galactose plates. Pictures were taken after 3 days of growth on glucose plates and 5 days of growth on galactose plates at 30°C. \textbf{C)} 8 or 256 repeats of the LacO array give similar results. Plating experiments were performed on strains with 8 or 256 repeats of the LacO array.

\textbf{Figure 3. The synthetic kinetochore can align and segregate chromosomes and correct attachment errors.}

\textbf{A)} The synthetic kinetochore directs chromosome segregation. To monitor the segregation of sister chromatids, cells whose chromosome III carried both the LacO array and the conditional centromere (see Fig. 2B) were grown in either glucose (\textit{CEN3 ON}) or galactose (\textit{CEN3 OFF}) and arrested in anaphase. The synthetic kinetochore is active in cells expressing Ask1-LacI and inactive in those expressing LacI. All cells are expressing GFP-LacI and thus the sister chromatids are marked with GFP dots at the LacO array.
Cells were classified into three categories: GFP dots separated with one in mother and one in the bud, two GFP dots in the mother, and two GFP dots in the daughter. **B)** The synthetic kinetochore aligns chromosomes on the metaphase spindle. To determine if sister chromatids are able to biorient at metaphase, cells containing the LacO array and the conditional centromere were grown in either glucose or galactose, arrested in metaphase, and analyzed for transient separation of sister chromatids. If sister chromatids are transiently separated, two GFP dots are detected. If sister chromatids are bioriented but not separated or are mono-oriented, only one GFP dot is detected. The synthetic kinetochore is active in cells expressing Ask1-LacI and inactive in those expressing LacI. **C)** The synthetic kinetochore corrects errors in initial chromosome alignment. To verify that Ipl1 is needed for correct alignment of the synthetic kinetochore, cells carrying the temperature sensitive *ipl1-321* mutant were arrested in G1 and then allowed to proceed to a metaphase arrest at either 23°C (Ipl1 on), or 37°C (Ipl1 off). To measure error correction, cells that had gone from G1 to the metaphase arrest at 37°C were returned to 23°C, restoring the activity of Ipl1. All assays with the synthetic kinetochore were conducted after inactivating the natural centromere and cells were scored as having one or two GFP-LacI dots.

**Figure 4. The synthetic kinetochore requires many components of natural kinetochores.**

**A)** Dissection of the genetic requirements for the synthetic kinetochore. Cells carrying temperature-sensitive mutations in a variety of kinetochore proteins were analyzed for their ability to biorient a chromosome carrying a synthetic kinetochore at 37°C. The
control (Syn. Kt.) contains the synthetic kinetochore but does not have any kinetochore temperature-sensitive mutations. B) Mutants that biorient the synthetic kinetochore prevent biorientation of natural kinetochores. A natural kinetochore was analyzed for its ability to biorient with either an ndc10-1 or ctf13-30 temperature sensitive mutation. The wildtype control (wt kt) does not have any kinetochore temperature-sensitive mutations. C) A cartoon showing the location of proteins whose absence keeps the synthetic kinetochore from biorienting (shown in red). Proteins that are dispensable for the synthetic kinetochore are shown in blue, and proteins listed in black were not tested. Components of the DNA-binding complex are outlined in green. Components of the microtubule-binding complex are outlined in purple.

Methods

Yeast Strains, Techniques, and Media

All strains are isogenic with the W303 (ura3-1, ade2-1, his3-11,15, leu2-3,112, trp1-1, can1-100) background and are listed in Supplementary Table 2. Media, microbial and genetic techniques were essentially as described32.

Assay for a synthetic kinetochore:

Strain Construction: To make Lac repressor (LacI) fusion proteins, the kinetochore proteins listed in Supplementary Table 1 were amplified without the stop codon by PCR from genomic DNA and ligated into a yeast integrating vector containing LacI driven by the HIS3 promoter (pAFS135)12. This vector was integrated into the HIS3 locus. This strain was then transformed with an ARS plasmid containing either 256 repeats (pSLB5) or 8 repeats (pDL10) of the LacO array and the LEU2 marker. As a
control, GFP-LacI was integrated into the *HIS3* locus and this strain was then transformed with the same *ARS* plasmids. Control strains containing a plasmid with a centromere and the LacO array were also made.

**Plasmid stability assay:** Cells were grown overnight in synthetic complete (SC) media lacking leucine and histidine (SC –HIS –LEU). They were diluted 1:50 and grown for 9 hours in YPD, doubling approximately 5 times. Approximately 500 cells were plated to SC –HIS –LEU and SC –HIS. Cells were counted and the % of cells containing the plasmid (# cells on SC –HIS –LEU/ # cells on SC –HIS) was calculated. At least 5 plates were counted per experiment and each experiment was performed three times.

**Complementation Test:** To determine if constructs were functional, the kinetochore protein-LacI fusions were integrated into diploid yeast strains that were heterozygous for a replacement of the respective essential kinetochore gene with the *kanMX4* marker. The diploid yeast was then sporulated using standard technique and the spores were dissected. The fusion protein was considered functional if the fusion protein allowed yeast strains containing the knockout (*kanMX4* or resistance to geneticin) to survive.

**Assay for Chromosome Loss:**

**Strain Construction:** A construct containing the 256 repeat LacO array (pAFS59) was integrated at the *LEU2* locus of chromosome III. The *URA3* gene was integrated on the other side of *CEN3* at 116000 bp by targeting an integrating plasmid (pSLB71) containing URA3 to that location. This strain was transformed with pSLB57 (Ask1-LacI) or pAFS135 (GFP-LacI) and then mated to a wildtype haploid to make SLY769 and SLY768, respectively. A control strain, SLY767, with *LacO:LEU2* but
without LacI was also used. A conditional centromere was constructed by placing the
GAL1 promoter in front of CEN3. The PCR integration\textsuperscript{33} plasmid pFA6A-TRP1-P\textsubscript{GAL1}
was targeted 100 bp upstream of CEN3 in a haploid strain containing Lac\textsubscript{O\textsubscript{256}}:LEU2 or
Lac\textsubscript{O\textsubscript{8}}:LEU2. Primers are available upon request. These strains were then transformed
with plasmids expressing either Ask1-LacI or (pSLB57) or GFP-LacI (pAFS135) and
used in the haploid plating assays.

**Assays for kinetochore function:**

**Dicentric chromosome loss:** To determine the rate of chromosome loss of the
dicentric chromosome, the fluctuation assay was performed with minor variations from
published methods\textsuperscript{34, 35}. Cells were grown overnight in SC –LEU –HIS. The next
evening, the cells were diluted 1:10,000 into SC with only 0.1% glucose and dispensed
into 96 well dishes that were sealed. They were grown at 30°C overnight. The next day,
some of the wells were counted using a Coulter Particle Counter (Beckman Coulter). The
other wells were spotted onto plates containing 5-FOA and grown for 4 days at 30°C.
Cells were counted and then replica plated to SC –LEU plates to determine the number of
colonies that had lost both markers and thus all of chromosome III. The rate of
chromosome loss was calculated using formulas described\textsuperscript{35}. The calculation was made
from 3 experiments where 45 cultures had been spotted for each genotype.

**Plating Assay:** SLY806, SLY807, DLY242, DLY245 strains with the conditional
centromere and either 256 or 8 repeats of the LacO array at LEU2 with either Ask1-LacI
or GFP-lacI were grown in SC – URA – LEU. Cells were counted and approximately
500 cells were plated to YPGlu or YPGal. The number of cells that survived on YPGal
compared to YPGlu was calculated. At least 4 plates were counted per experiment and 3
independent experiments were performed. Photos represent 3 days of growth on YPD and 5 days of growth on YPGal at 30°C.

**Chromosome Segregation:** SLY849 and SLY850 strains with a conditional centromere, *cdc15-2, GFP-lacI, 256 repeats of LacO at LEU2*, and with or without *Ask1-LacI* were grown to early log phase in SC raffinose –URA –LEU –HIS at 25°C. 10 μg/ml of α-factor was added and cells were placed at 25°C for 2 hours to arrest them in G1. Cells were then resuspended in either YPGlu or YPGal + 10 μg/ml α-factor and placed at 37°C for 1 hour. Cells were washed 4 times at 37°C and released in YPGlu or YPGal. After they reached large-bud arrest at 37°C, they were fixed with paraformaldehyde and GFP dots were counted as described below. The experiment was repeated 4 times, counting at least 200 cells in each experiment.

**Biorientation:** The experiment was performed at 30°C with SLY834, SLY835 (conditional centromere, *GFP-LacI, 256 repeats of LacO at LEU2, PMET3CDC20*, with or without *Ask1-LacI*), and VBI313 (*GFP-LacI, 256 repeats of LacO at CEN15, PMET3CDC20*). Cells were grown to early log phase in SC raffinose –MET -HIS. They were arrested with 10 μg/ml of α-factor (SLY834, SLY835) or 1 μg/ml of α-factor (VBI313) for 2 hours. They were then resuspended in YPGal or YPGlu + α-factor for 2 hours. After washing 4 times, they were released into YPGlu or YPGal. Once the cells reached a large-budded arrest, they were fixed and GFP dots were counted. The experiment was repeated 4 times, counting at least 200 cells in each experiment.

**Error Correction:** SLY905 (conditional centromere, *GFP-LacI, 256 repeats of LacO at LEU2, PMET3CDC20, Ask1-LacI, ipl1-321*) and VBI312 (*GFP-LacI, 256 repeats of LacO at CEN15, PMET3CDC20, ipl1-321*) cells were grown to early log phase in SC
raffinose –MET at 25°C. 1 μg/ml of α-factor + 0.2 mM copper sulfate was added and cells were placed at 25°C for 2 hours. Cultures were split and resuspended in YPGal + α-factor + copper sulfate and placed at either 23°C or 37°C for 1 hour. Cells were washed 4 times at the appropriate temperature and resuspended in YPGal. After they reached large-bud arrest at 23°C and 37°C, they were fixed with paraformaldehyde. A portion of the 37°C cultures was then placed at 23°C to allow for error correction for 2 hours. They were then fixed with paraformaldehyde and GFP dots were counted as described below. The experiment was repeated 4 times, counting at least 200 cells in each experiment.

**Biorientation of kinetochore temperature-sensitive mutants:** SLY969, 931, 894, 899, 902, 909, 943, 934, 1000 cells (synthetic kinetochore with ctf13-30, ndc80-1, nuf2-61, nsl1-54, dsn1-7, mtw1-11, dam1-1, spc24-1, ndc10-1, and okp1-5 respectively) were grown to early log phase in SC raffinose –MET at 25°C. 10ug/ml of α-factor was added and cells were placed at 25°C for 2 hours. Cultures were resuspended in YPGal + 10ug/ml of α-factor and placed at 37°C for 1 hour. Cells were washed 4 times at 37°C and resuspended in YPGal. After they reached large-bud arrest, they were fixed with paraformaldehyde. The experiment was repeated 3 times, counting at least 200 cells in each experiment.

**Microscopy**

To count the number of GFP dots, cells were fixed as follows: 0.9ml of culture was harvested, and 0.1ml of 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1M potassium phosphate buffer, pH8.5 was added. The cells were incubated for 4 minutes at room temperature and were washed once with 1 ml of 0.1 M potassium phosphate buffer, pH 8.5, once with 1 ml of 1.2 M sorbitol 0.1 M potassium phosphate buffer, pH 8.5. A Nikon Eclipse E600
equipped with a 100X 1.4 NA lens (Nikon), a Cascade 512B digital camera (Photometrics) and MetaMorph software (Universal Imaging Corporation) was used to determine the number of GFP dots per cell by moving the focal plane through the sample and analyzing the live digital image on the computer screen. In all cases, at least 200 cells were counted per sample per experiment and each experiment repeated at least 3 times.
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**Figure 2: Lacefield et al, 2009**

### A

- **Diagram A:**
  - LEU2, CEN3, lacO, URA3
  - lacI or ASK1-lacI
  - Select for loss of URA3 (various mechanisms)
  - Test for loss of LEU2 (chromosome loss)

### B

#### Glucose
- lacO → CEN3
- + LacI
- + Ask1-LacI

#### Galactose
- lacO → CEN3
- + LacI
- + Ask1-LacI

### C

| LacI        | # LacO repeats | % Survival on Gal |
|-------------|----------------|-------------------|
| LacI        | 8              | <1                |
| LacI        | 256            | <1                |
| Ask1-LacI   | 8              | 82±7              |
| Ask1-LacI   | 256            | 78±2              |
### A  Chromosome Segregation

| LacI expressed | Natural CEN | % Chromosome segregation pattern |  |
|----------------|-------------|----------------------------------|---|
| LacI           | On          | 99 ± 1                           | 1 ± 1 | <1 |
| Ask1-LacI      | On          | 99 ± 1                           | 1 ± 1 | <1 |
| LacI           | Off         | 27 ± 9                           | 71 ± 9 | 2 ± 1 |
| Ask1-LacI      | Off         | 74 ± 8                           | 20 ± 7 | 5 ± 2 |

### B  Chromosome alignment

| LacI expressed | Natural CEN | % Metaphase appearance |  |
|----------------|-------------|-------------------------|---|
| LacI           | On          | 26 ± 2                  | 74 ± 2 |
| LacI           | Off         | 95 ± 2                  | 5 ± 2  |
| Ask1-LacI      | Off         | 45 ± 2                  | 55 ± 2 |

### C  Error correction

| Active kinetochore | Temp, °C | Ipl1? | % 2 dots |  |
|--------------------|----------|-------|----------|---|
| natural            | 23       | On    | 80 ± 2   |  |
| natural            | 37       | Off   | 23 ± 5   |  |
| natural            | 37 to 23 | Off to On | 76 ± 3 |  |
| Ask1-LacI          | 23       | On    | 51 ± 4   |  |
| Ask1-LacI          | 37       | Off   | 21 ± 3   |  |
| Ask1-LacI          | 37 to 23 | Off to On | 50 ± 2 |  |

*Figure 3: Lacefield et al, 2009*
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Supplementary Figure Legend:

Supplementary Figure 1: The N-terminal half of Ask1 is sufficient for synthetic and natural kinetochore function.

A) Ask1-LacI constructs with the N-terminus of the protein are able to improve segregation of LacO-bearing plasmids and can complement ask1Δ.

B) Ability of Ask1-LacI and Ask1-N-term-LacI (N-terminal half) constructs to provide Ask1 function. Serial dilutions (1:5) of saturated yeast cultures of the indicated genotypes were spotted onto YPD plates and grown at 37°C.

C) Localization of Ask1-GFP and Ask1-N-term-GFP (N-terminal half) to the mitotic spindle.
**Supplementary Table 1:** Test of individual kinetochore-LacI protein fusions on the segregation of an acentric plasmid containing 256 repeats of the LacO array.

Control strains express LacI that is not fused to a kinetochore protein and carry either a centromeric plasmid (pCEN) or an acentric plasmid (pARS); both carry a LacO array.

| Kinetochore protein-LacI fusion | % cells containing plasmid | Protein function |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|
| pCEN control                    | 80 ± 4                      |                  |
| pARS control                    | 20 ± 7                      |                  |
| Cse4                            | 22 ± 1                      | Centromeric H3   |
| Cep3                            | 22 ± 3                      | CBF3 subunit     |
| Ndc10                           | 18 ± 3                      | CBF3 subunit     |
| Spc24                           | 12 ± 7                      | Ndc80 subunit    |
| Spc25                           | 14 ± 3                      | Ndc80 subunit    |
| Ndc80                           | 17 ± 1                      | Ndc80 subunit    |
| Nuf2                            | 14 ± 5                      | Ndc80 subunit    |
| Spc34                           | 12 ± 2                      | Dam1 subunit     |
| Dam1                            | 20 ± 6                      | Dam1 subunit     |
| Ask1                            | 65 ± 1                      | Dam1 subunit     |
| Dad1                            | 29 ± 4                      | Dam1 subunit     |
| Dad2                            | 7 ± 2                       | Dam1 subunit     |
| Dad3                            | 25 ± 5                      | Dam1 subunit     |
| Dad4                            | 11 ± 1                      | Dam1 subunit     |
| Spc19                           | 14 ± 3                      | Dam1 subunit     |
| Hsk3                            | 12 ± 3                      | Dam1 subunit     |
| Duo1                            | 16 ± 1                      | Dam1 subunit     |
**Supplementary Table 2:** Test of individual kinetochore-LacI protein fusions with an acentric plasmid containing 8 repeats of the LacO array.

| Kinetochore protein-LacI fusion | % cells containing the plasmid |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| pCEN control                    | 85±8                           |
| pARS control                    | 15±2                           |
| Cse4                            | 21±1                           |
| Cep3                            | 20±6                           |
| Ndc10                           | 18±2                           |
| Spc24                           | 15±4                           |
| Spc25                           | 16±1                           |
| Spc34                           | 18±3                           |
| Ndc80                           | 21±4                           |
| Dam1                            | 19±1                           |
| Ask1                            | 57±9                           |

Fraction of cells containing the plasmid after 5 generations in non-selective medium. The first two lines show control strains bearing a centromeric and an *ARS* plasmid, the remainder show strains bearing an *ARS* plasmid containing 8 repeats of the Lac operator and expressing the indicated protein fused to the Lac repressor (LacI).
**Supplementary Table 2: Strains used in this study:**

| Strain name | Genotype |
|-------------|----------|
| SLY541      | MATa $P_{HIS3}$-GFP-LacI$_2$-HIS3, ura3-1, ade2-1, leu2-3,112, trp1-1, can1-100 + pSLB1(CEN4, ARS1LacO256, LEU2) |
| SLY542      | MATa $P_{HIS3}$-GFP-LacI$_2$-HIS3, ura3-1, ade2-1, leu2-3,112, trp1-1, can1-100 + pSLB5(ARS1, LacO256, LEU2) |
| SLY544      | MATa $P_{HIS3}$-CSE4-LacI$_2$-HIS3, ura3-1, ade2-1, leu2-3,112, trp1-1, can1-100 + pSLB5(ARS1, LacO256, LEU2) |
| SLY546      | MATa $P_{HIS3}$-DAM1-LacI$_2$-HIS3, ura3-1, ade2-1, leu2-3,112, trp1-1, can1-100 + pSLB5(ARS1, LacO256, LEU2) |
| SLY548      | MATa $P_{HIS3}$-CEP3-LacI$_2$-HIS3, ura3-1, ade2-1, leu2-3,112, trp1-1, can1-100 + pSLB5(ARS1, LacO256, LEU2) |
| SLY550      | MATa $P_{HIS3}$-NDC80-LacI$_2$-HIS3, ura3-1, ade2-1, leu2-3,112, trp1-1, can1-100 + pSLB5(ARS1, LacO256, LEU2) |
| SLY554      | MATa $P_{HIS3}$-NDC10-LacI$_2$-HIS3, ura3-1, ade2-1, leu2-3,112, trp1-1, can1-100 + pSLB5(ARS1, LacO256, LEU2) |
| SLY558      | MATa $P_{HIS3}$-SPC24-LacI$_2$-HIS3, ura3-1, ade2-1, leu2-3,112, trp1-1, can1-100 + pSLB5(ARS1, LacO256, LEU2) |
| SLY562      | MATa $P_{HIS3}$-SPC25-LacI$_2$-HIS3, ura3-1, ade2-1, leu2-3,112, trp1-1, can1-100 + pSLB5(ARS1, LacO256, LEU2) |
| SLY564      | MATa $P_{HIS3}$-SPC34-LacI$_2$-HIS3, ura3-1, ade2-1, leu2-3,112, trp1-1, can1-100 + pSLB5(ARS1, LacO256, LEU2) |
| SLY566      | MATa $P_{HIS3}$-ASK1-LacI$_2$-HIS3, ura3-1, ade2-1, leu2-3,112, trp1-1, can1-100 + pSLB5(ARS1, LacO256, LEU2) |
| DLY229      | MATa $P_{HIS3}$-DAD1-LacI$_2$-HIS3, ura3-1, ade2-1, leu2-3,112, trp1-1, can1-100 + pDL1(ARS1, LacO32, LEU2) |
| DLY230      | MATa $P_{HIS3}$-DAD2-LacI$_2$-HIS3, ura3-1, ade2-1, leu2-3,112, trp1-1, can1-100 + pDL1(ARS1, LacO32, LEU2) |
| DLY231      | MATa $P_{HIS3}$-DAD3-LacI$_2$-HIS3, ura3-1, ade2-1, leu2-3,112, trp1-1, can1-100 + pDL1(ARS1, LacO32, LEU2) |
| DLY232      | MATa $P_{HIS3}$-DAD4-LacI$_2$-HIS3, ura3-1, ade2-1, leu2-3,112, trp1-1, |
| Strain | Genotype |
|--------|----------|
| DLY233 | MATa $P_{HIS3}$-SPC19-LacI2-HIS3, ura3-1, ade2-1, leu2-3,112, trp1-1, can1-100 + pDL1(ARS1, LacO32, LEU2) |
| DLY234 | MATa $P_{HIS3}$-HSK3-LacI2-HIS3, ura3-1, ade2-1, leu2-3,112, trp1-1, can1-100 + pDL1(ARS1, LacO32, LEU2) |
| DLY237 | MATa $P_{HIS3}$-DUO1-LacI2-HIS3, ura3-1, ade2-1, leu2-3,112, trp1-1, can1-100 + pDL1(ARS1, LacO32, LEU2) |
| SLY806 | MATα $P_{HIS3}$-GFP-LacI2-HIS3, LacO256:LEU2, URA3-CHRIII116000, TRP1:GALpr at CEN3, ade2-1, leu2-3,112, trp1-1, can1-100 |
| SLY807 | MATα$P_{HIS3}$-ASK1-LacI2-HIS3, LacO256:LEU2, URA3-CHRIII116000, TRP1:GALpr at CEN3, ade2-1, leu2-3,112, trp1-1, can1-100 |
| DLY242 | MATa $P_{HIS3}$-ASK1-LacI2-HIS3, LacO8:kanMX4-CHRIII7800, TRP1-$P_{GAL1}$:CEN3, ade2-1, leu2-3,112, trp1-1, can1-100 |
| DLY245 | MATa $P_{HIS3}$-GFP-LacI2-HIS3, LacO8:kanMX4-CHRIII7800, TRP1-$P_{GAL1}$:CEN3, ade2-1, leu2-3,112, trp1-1, can1-100 |
| SLY849 | MATα $P_{HIS3}$-GFP-LacI2-ADE2, $P_{HIS3}$-GFP-LacI2-HIS3 LacO256:LEU2, URA3-CHRIII116000, TRP1-$P_{GAL1}$:CEN3, cdc15-2, ura3-1, trp1-1, can1-100 |
| SLY850 | MATα $P_{HIS3}$-GFP-LacI2-ADE2, $P_{HIS3}$-ASK1-LacI2-HIS3 LacO256:LEU2, URA3-CHRIII116000, TRP1-$P_{GAL1}$:CEN3, cdc15-2, ura3-1, trp1-1, can1-100 |
| VBI313 | MATα $P_{CUP1}$-GFP-LacI2-HIS3, LacO256:CEN15:URA3, TRP1-$P_{MET3}$-HA3::CDC20, PDS1::MYC-LEU2, bar1Δ, ade2-1, leu2-3,115, ura3-1, trp1-1, can1-100 |
| SLY834 | MATα $P_{HIS3}$-GFP-LacI2-ADE2, LacO256:LEU2, URA3-CHRIII116000, TRP1-$P_{GAL1}$:CEN3, TRP1-$P_{MET3}$-HA3::CDC20, ura3-1, trp1-1, his3-11,15, can1-100 |
| SLY835 | MATα $P_{HIS3}$-GFP-LacI2-ADE2, $P_{HIS3}$-ASK1-LacI2-HIS3, LacO256:LEU2, URA3-CHRIII116000, TRP1-$P_{GAL1}$:CEN3, TRP1-$P_{MET3}$-HA3::CDC20, ura3-1, trp1-1, can1-100 |
| SLY905 | MATα $P_{HIS3}$-GFP-LacI2-ADE2, $P_{HIS3}$-ASK1-LacI2-HIS3, LacO256:LEU2, URA3-CHRIII116000, TRP1-$P_{GAL1}$:CEN3, TRP1-$P_{MET3}$-HA3::CDC20, ipl1-321, ura3-1, trp1-1, can1-100 |
| Strain  | Genotype Details |
|---------|------------------|
| VBI312  | MATa *P<sub>CUP1</sub>-GFP-LacI<sub>2</sub>-HIS3, LacO256:CEN15:URA3, TRP1-<i>P<sub>MET3</sub>-HA3</i>:::CDC20, PDS1::MYC-LEU2, bar1Δ, ipl1-321, ade2-1, leu2-3,115 ura3-1, trp1-1, can1-100 |
| SLY947  | MATa *P<sub>CUP1</sub>-GFP-LacI<sub>2</sub>-HIS3, LacO256:CEN15:URA3, TRP1-<i>P<sub>MET3</sub>-HA3</i>:::CDC20, ndc10-1, ade2-1, leu2-3,115 ura3-1, trp1-1, can1-100 |
| SLY986  | MATa *P<sub>CUP1</sub>-GFP-LacI<sub>2</sub>-HIS3, LacO256:CEN15:URA3, TRP1-<i>P<sub>MET3</sub>-HA3</i>:::CDC20, ctf13-30, ade2-1, leu2-3,115 ura3-1, trp1-1, can1-100 |
| SLY969  | MATa *P<sub>HIS3</sub>-GFP-LacI<sub>2</sub>-ADE2, *P<sub>HIS3</sub>-ASK1-LacI<sub>2</sub>-HIS3, LacO256:LEU2, URA3-CHRIII<sub>116000</sub>, TRP1-<i>P<sub>GAL1</sub>-CEN3</i>:::CDC20, ctf13-30, ura3-1, trp1-1, can1-100 |
| SLY931  | MATa *P<sub>HIS3</sub>-GFP-LacI<sub>2</sub>-ADE2, *P<sub>HIS3</sub>-ASK1-LacI<sub>2</sub>-HIS3, LacO256:LEU2, URA3-CHRIII<sub>116000</sub>, TRP1-<i>P<sub>GAL1</sub>-CEN3</i>:::CDC20, ndc80-1, ura3-1, trp1-1, can1-100 |
| SLY1000 | MATa *P<sub>HIS3</sub>-GFP-LacI<sub>2</sub>-ADE2, *P<sub>HIS3</sub>-ASK1-LacI<sub>2</sub>-HIS3, LacO256:LEU2, URA3-CHRIII<sub>116000</sub>, TRP1-<i>P<sub>GAL1</sub>-CEN3</i>:::CDC20, okp1-5:TRP1, ura3-1, trp1-1, can1-100 |
| SLY892  | MATa *P<sub>HIS3</sub>-GFP-LacI<sub>2</sub>-ADE2, *P<sub>HIS3</sub>-ASK1-LacI<sub>2</sub>-HIS3, LacO256:LEU2, URA3-CHRIII<sub>116000</sub>, TRP1-<i>P<sub>GAL1</sub>-CEN3</i>:::CDC20, nuf2-61, ura3-1, trp1-1, can1-100 |
| SLY894  | MATa *P<sub>HIS3</sub>-GFP-LacI<sub>2</sub>-ADE2, *P<sub>HIS3</sub>-ASK1-LacI<sub>2</sub>-HIS3, LacO256:LEU2, URA3-CHRIII<sub>116000</sub>, TRP1-<i>P<sub>GAL1</sub>-CEN3</i>:::CDC20, nsl1-54, ura3-1, trp1-1, can1-100 |
| SLY899  | MATa *P<sub>HIS3</sub>-GFP-LacI<sub>2</sub>-ADE2, *P<sub>HIS3</sub>-ASK1-LacI<sub>2</sub>-HIS3, LacO256:LEU2, URA3-CHRIII<sub>116000</sub>, TRP1-<i>P<sub>GAL1</sub>-CEN3</i>:::CDC20, dsn1-7, ura3-1, trp1-1, can1-100 |
| SLY902  | MATa *P<sub>HIS3</sub>-GFP-LacI<sub>2</sub>-ADE2, *P<sub>HIS3</sub>-ASK1-LacI<sub>2</sub>-HIS3, LacO256:LEU2, URA3-CHRIII<sub>116000</sub>, TRP1-<i>P<sub>GAL1</sub>-CEN3</i>:::CDC20, mtw1-11, ura3-1, trp1-1, can1-100 |
| SLY909  | MATa *P<sub>HIS3</sub>-GFP-LacI<sub>2</sub>-ADE2, *P<sub>HIS3</sub>-ASK1-LacI<sub>2</sub>-HIS3, LacO256:LEU2, URA3-CHRIII<sub>116000</sub>, TRP1-<i>P<sub>GAL1</sub>-CEN3</i>:::CDC20, dam1-1, ura3-1, trp1-1, can1-100 |
| SLY943  | MATa *P<sub>HIS3</sub>-GFP-LacI<sub>2</sub>-ADE2, *P<sub>HIS3</sub>-ASK1-LacI<sub>2</sub>-HIS3, LacO256:LEU2, URA3-CHRIII<sub>116000</sub>, TRP1-<i>P<sub>GAL1</sub>-CEN3</i>:::CDC20, MetprHAT3-CDC20:TRP1, spc24-1, ura3-1, trp1-1, can1-100 |
| Strain  | Genotype Details |
|---------|-----------------|
| SLY934  | MAT\text{a} P\text{HIS3}-GFP-LacI2-ADE2, P\text{HIS3}-ASK1-LacI2-HIS3, LacO256:LEU2, URA3-CHRIII116000, TRP1-P\text{GAL1}:CEN3, TRP1-P\text{MET3}:HA3::CDC20, ndc10-1, ura3-1, trp1-1, can1-100 |
| DLY130  | MAT\text{a} P\text{HIS3}-GFP-LacI2-HIS3, ura3-1, ade2-1, leu2-3,112, trp1-1, can1-100 + pYCPlac11(CEN4, ARS1, LEU2) |
| DLY140  | MAT\text{a} P\text{HIS3}-GFP-LacI2-HIS3, ura3-1, ade2-1, leu2-3,112, trp1-1, can1-100 + pDL10(ARS1, LacO8, LEU2) |
| DLY132  | MAT\text{a} P\text{HIS3}-CSE4-LacI2-HIS3, ura3-1, ade2-1, leu2-3,112, trp1-1, can1-100 + pDL10(ARS1, LacO8, LEU2) |
| DLY137  | MAT\text{a} P\text{HIS3}-DAM1-LacI2-HIS3, ura3-1, ade2-1, leu2-3,112, trp1-1, can1-100 + pDL10(ARS1, LacO8, LEU2) |
| DLY138  | MAT\text{a} P\text{HIS3}-CEP3-LacI2-HIS3, ura3-1, ade2-1, leu2-3,112, trp1-1, can1-100 + pDL10(ARS1, LacO8, LEU2) |
| DLY134  | MAT\text{a} P\text{HIS3}-NDC80-LacI2-HIS3, ura3-1, ade2-1, leu2-3,112, trp1-1, can1-100 + pDL10(ARS1, LacO8, LEU2) |
| DLY131  | MAT\text{a} P\text{HIS3}-NDC10-LacI2-HIS3, ura3-1, ade2-1, leu2-3,112, trp1-1, can1-100 + pDL10(ARS1, LacO8, LEU2) |
| DLY136  | MAT\text{a} P\text{HIS3}-SPC24-LacI2-HIS3, ura3-1, ade2-1, leu2-3,112, trp1-1, can1-100 + pDL10(ARS1, LacO8, LEU2) |
| DLY135  | MAT\text{a} P\text{HIS3}-SPC25-LacI2-HIS3, ura3-1, ade2-1, leu2-3,112, trp1-1, can1-100 + pDL10(ARS1, LacO8, LEU2) |
| DLY139  | MAT\text{a} P\text{HIS3}-SPC34-LacI2-HIS3, ura3-1, ade2-1, leu2-3,112, trp1-1, can1-100 + pDL10(ARS1, LacO8, LEU2) |
| DLY133  | MAT\text{a} P\text{HIS3}-ASK1-LacI2-HIS3, ura3-1, ade2-1, leu2-3,112, trp1-1, can1-100 + pDL10(ARS1, LacO8, LEU2) |

All strains are derivatives of W303 (ade2-1 his3-11,15 leu2-3,112 trp1-1 ura3-1 can1-100)
A) Ability of Ask1-LacI constructs to improve segregation of LacO-bearing plasmids

| Ask1 ORF | Ask1 (amino acids) | Complements ask1Δ | % cells containing the plasmid |
|----------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|
|          | 1 - 293 (full length) | Yes | 58 ± 4 |
|          | 1 - 195 | Yes | 39 ± 7 |
|          | 1 - 146 (N-terminus) | Yes | 37 ± 8 |
|          | 99 - 293 | No | 14 ± 3 |
|          | 147-293 | No | 13 ± 2 |

B) Ability of Ask1-LacI constructs to provide Ask1 function

C) Localization of Ask1-GFP constructs

Supplemental Figure 1: Lacefield et al, 2008