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ABSTRACT
Ternary content addressable memory (TCAM) is a memory that allows high speed searching for data. Not only it is acknowledged as associative memory/storage but also TCAM can compare input searching content (key) against a collection of accumulated data and return the matching address which compatible with this input search data. SRAM-based TCAM utilizes and allocates blocks RAM to perform application of TCAM on FPGA hardware. This paper presents a design of 480 × 104 bit SRAM-based TCAM on altera cyclone IV FPGA. Our design achieved lookup rate over 150 millions input search data and update speed at 75 million rules per second. The architecture is configurable, allowing various performance trade-offs to be exploited for different ruleset characteristics.
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1. INTRODUCTION
Ternary content-addressable memory (TCAM) is a memory type that can output the appropriate address containing matching data with the input key data. It will compare the search key with the entire stored TCAM words in parallel along with outputing the address of the matching word in one machine cycle [1, 2]. Instead of only storing binary data, TCAM can decode and store state X (don’t care). Therefore, the TCAM performs partial matching, which is enabled by the wild-card state “x”, may lead to a match with multiple words. TCAM top architecture is comprised of a SRAM cells’ array and a priority encoder. With priority encoding, output of TCAM is the highest prioritized result. TCAM is widely uses in networking routers such as translations-look-aside buffers (TLBs) [3] caches in microprocessors, database accelerators in big data analytics [4] and in pattern recognition [5].

The flexibility of software and the near-ASIC performance associated by field programmable gate array (FPGA) known as reconfigurable hardware is undeniable. Ultramodern FPGA devices such as cyclone IV has the number of effective advantages such as high clock rate, low power dissipation, rich on-chip resources and large amounts of embedded with configurable word width. The reason for the demand for TCAM to be simple to integrate, there has been a growing importance in employing FPGA devices to implement TCAM-equivalent search engines.

The prime contributions in this paper is declared in the followings:
a) A detailed introduction to the RAM-based TCAM is given. Key ideas and algorithms behind it are also formalized. The RAM-based TCAM theoretical performance and the vital objections in implementing a large RAM-based TCAM are comprehensively analyzed.
b) A modular and scalable architecture comprised of small-size RAMs components, will be introduced. Additionally, update engine will be introduced in the detail diagram with further investigation and comprehensive experiments.

The remainder of this research paper is organized as follows. Section 2 gives a precise opening of the theoretic aspects of the RAM-based TCAM. Section 3 is further investigation about the hardware architectures for RAM-based TCAM. Section 4 is a rediscussion of the result achieved on FPGA-based TCAM designs. Section 5 states the conclusion of the research.

2. THE PROPOSED METHOD

2.1. List of notations used

The notations used in this paper are listed in Table 1.

| Notation | Description                  |
|----------|------------------------------|
| N        | Depth of TCAM (number of words) |
| W        | Width of TCAM (number of bits of TCAM word) |
| S        | Size of TCAM (equal N x W) |
| D        | Number of register of each RAM (equal 2 x W) |
| K        | Input key                     |
| Rule     | Address of TCAM table         |
| T        | Ternary word (value of Rule)  |

2.2. Basic ideas

In $N\times W$ TCAM, a $W$ bit binary input key is looked up and mapped exactly into $N$ bit binary match vector. When using a $2^W \times N$ RAMs, it attains the similar function with the native TCAM. Each RAM stores TCAM word and utilizes the value of input key to search the address in RAM.

Algorithms that use RAM to implement TCAM:

a) Principle 1: Write to RAM

- $\text{RAM}[\text{address}][\text{Rule}] = 1$ if $\text{address} = T$
- $\text{RAM}[\text{address}][\text{Rule}] = 0$ if $\text{address} \neq T$

With – address: address in RAM

b) Principle 2: Read from RAM

- $\text{Output} = \text{RAM}[\text{address}]$ if $\text{address} = K$

With – address: address in RAM

EXAMPLE: Assume that we use RAM to find KEY = 1100. The size of RAM needed to decode depends on the number of rules and the number of bits of each rule. With $W = 4$ and $\text{Rule} = 4$, we need $2^W = 24 \times 16$ registers and number of bits of each register = number of rules = 4. Thus, decoding the Basic Rule table in Table 2 we need a RAM of size $2^4 \times 4 = 16 \times 4$ (16 registers with each register having a width of 4 bits). When applying principle 1 for writing to RAM, we have the results shown in Table 3.

| Rule | Word |
|------|------|
| 0    | 1001 |
| 1    | x100 |
| 2    | 01xx |
| 3    | xx00 |

| Address | R0 | R1 | R2 | R3 |
|---------|----|----|----|----|
| 0000[0] | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1  |
| 0001[1] | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| 0010[2] | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| 0011[3] | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| 0100[4] | 0  | 1  | 1  | 1  |
| 0101[5] | 0  | 0  | 1  | 0  |
| 0110[6] | 0  | 0  | 1  | 0  |
| 0111[7] | 0  | 0  | 1  | 0  |
| 1000[8] | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1  |
| 1001[9] | 1  | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| 1010[10]| 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| 1011[11]| 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| 1100[12]| 0  | 1  | 0  | 1  |
| 1101[13]| 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| 1110[14]| 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| 1111[15]| 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |
Explaination:

a) With rule 0, there is \( \text{word} = T_0 = 1001 \rightarrow \) According to the rule of Write we have: \( \text{RAM}[1001][0] = 1 \), \( \text{RAM locations}[\text{address } \neq T_0][0] = 0 \).

b) With rule 1, there is \( \text{word} = x100 \rightarrow \text{word} = 0100, 1100 \rightarrow \) According to principle 1, we have : \( \text{RAM}[0100][1] = 1 \) and \( \text{RAM}[1100][1] = 1 \), \( \text{RAM location}[\text{address } \neq T_1][1] = 0 \).

c) With rules 2 and 3, we fill in the same way as rules 0 and 1.

According to Principle 2, the output result will be retrieved when we get the address = key. For the example above, we have Output = RAM[1100] = 0101 →We have the key results that match rule 1 and rule 3. Depending on the encoder priority setting, we will choose one of the two results as the final result of TCAM searching, which is shown in Table 4.

| Table 4. Result of TCAM searching |
|----------------------------------|
| Address  | R0 | R1 | R2 | R3 |
|---------|----|----|----|----|
| 0000[0] | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1  |
| 0001[1] | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| 0010[2] | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| 0011[3] | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| 0100[4] | 0  | 1  | 1  | 1  |
| 0101[5] | 0  | 0  | 1  | 0  |
| 0110[6] | 0  | 0  | 1  | 0  |
| 0111[7] | 0  | 0  | 1  | 0  |
| 1000[8] | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1  |
| 1001[9] | 1  | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| 1010[10]| 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| 1011[11]| 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| 1100[12]| 0  | 1  | 0  | 1  |
| 1101[13]| 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| 1110[14]| 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| 1111[15]| 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |

Comments: The use of 1 RAM gives us advantages and disadvantages such as

a) Advantage: Simple and easy to use.

b) Disadvantages: extremely large memory usage for bigdata data types. For example with word = 100 bits we will have a RAM that has \( 2^{100} \) registers \( \rightarrow \) impossible to solve.

Summary, it is necessary to split the number of bits of the word to process each part to minimize memory for each RAM.

2.3. Main ideas

There are many research on optimizing algorithmic TCAM on FPGA. The solution provided in [6, 7] gives good mapping technique to optimize storage space but trade-off with throughput speed. In [8] use multi-pumping, which is overclocking the memory unit to reach desired number of access. In practice, the method [8] is infeasible with high speed system. The pipelined-lookup method in [2, 9] is efficient and could be used to speed up grid of BRAMs. We decided not to use decision tree and binary tree method in [10-14] as this increases complexity and require more software solution. Other type of solution involves splitting and cutting the original rule set into many subsets [15, 16] which faces the same complexity as tree based method. We aim to use fully hardware for searching and updating without difficult computation, such as hashing [17]. Openflow 5 tuples key length [18] is considered to be used in our hardware implementation. FPGA-based TCAM on researches by Ullah et al. [19-23] with many different examples helped us decided on memory efficiency, energy consumption and speed. Using pipelined RAM blocks [24] is possible to achieve very high throughput. To avoid using large RAM memory, we have to divide Word into separate pieces to process. Several small RAM memory are used instead of one huge RAM memory. Depth division method.

Depth division [25] is a technique which chain pieces match vectors collected from several small TCAMs. One TCAM which has N depth can be split into two TCAMs. This idea comes from the assumption that if N Depth is too huge and no single RAM or TCAMs can process, it need to utilize numerous “shallower” RAM or TCAM to solve this issue. Therefore, this method is:

\[ N \ast \text{WTCAM} = (N_1+N_2)\ast\text{WTCAM} = N_1 \ast \text{WTCAM}_1 + N_2 \ast \text{WTCAM}_2 = N_1 \ast 2^n \ast \text{RAM}_1 + N_2 \ast 2^n \ast \text{RAM}_2 \]
After dividing depth of TCAM, it need an action to concatenate the output for linking the complete N-bit match vector. With depth division method, we do not only optimize the memory of each single RAM but also utilize appropriately several RAMs in FPGA devices. Width extension method:

Using the similar idea with depth division method, width division [25] is a technique which chain pieces W-bit word vectors collected from several small TCAMs. One TCAM which has W width can be splited into two TCAMs. This method solved the problem that if W width is too huge and no single RAM or TCAMs can process, it need to utilize numerous “narrower” RAM or TCAM to encounter this issue.

Therefore, this method is:

\[ N*W_{TCAM} = N*(W_1+W_2)_{TCAM} = N*W_1_{TCAM} + N*W_2_{TCAM} = N*2^wRAM_1 + N*2^{w-2}RAM_2 \]

After dividing width of TCAM, it need an action to connect all the output with the AND-gate for find the final W-bit match vector. With Width Division Method, we not only optimize the memory of each single RAM but also utilize appropriately several RAMs in FPGA devices.

We reuse instance in Basic idea to prove this idea. Suppose we use RAM to decode a rule table with the following content in Table 5 with a key value 1100. With using several RAMs, we break down the word of the rule and the Key into 2 parts, 2 bits each for processing. With Principle 1, we in turn fill the first 2 bits and the following 2 bits of the rules into 2 Tables as follows in Tables 6 and 7. With Principle 2, we will read 2 registers Output1 = RAM[Key[3 : 2]] = 11 and Output0 = RAM[Key[1 : 0]] = 00 from the tables. After reading 2 outputs from the 2 RAM above, we will perform AND operations of the outputs to get the final result: OUTPUT = Output1&Output2 = 0101&0111 = 0101. The result in two separate AM(Word[1:0]) is shown in Table 8.

| Address | R0 | R1 | R2 | R3 |
|---------|----|----|----|----|
| 00      | 1  | 0  | 0  | 1  |
| 01      | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  |
| 10      | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1  |
| 11      | 1  | 1  | 0  | 1  |

Table 5. Content of Full-fill two separate RAM (Word[3:2])

| Address | R0 | R1 | R2 | R3 |
|---------|----|----|----|----|
| 00      | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| 01      | 0  | 1  | 1  | 1  |
| 10      | 1  | 0  | 0  | 1  |
| 11      | 0  | 1  | 0  | 1  |

Table 6. Content of Full-fill two separate RAM (Word[1:0])

| Address | R0 | R1 | R2 | R3 |
|---------|----|----|----|----|
| 00      | 0  | 1  | 1  | 1  |
| 01      | 1  | 0  | 1  | 0  |
| 10      | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1  |
| 11      | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1  |

Table 7. Result of TCAM in two separate RAM (Word[3:2])

| Address | R0 | R1 | R2 | R3 |
|---------|----|----|----|----|
| 00      | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1  |
| 01      | 0  | 1  | 1  | 1  |
| 10      | 1  | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| 11      | 0  | 1  | 0  | 1  |

Table 8. Result of TCAM in two separate RAM (Word[1:0])

Comments: the positive and the negative effect of using several RAMs

a) Positive aspect: use small amount of RAM to solve the decoding
b) Negative effect: need more RAM to use

Using several small RAMs memory is more effective and feasible than using only large RAM.

3. RESEARCH METHOD

3.1. TCAM without update logic

When the search engine starts to work, all the data in memory is cleared serially by each address. The machine states at ready stage and prepares to write data. Firstly, it loads the initial address (x0) and brings it to compare with WORD which is processed include don’t care bits. If the data is match, write enable pin’s of ram will be kicked off. In while, read data at output of RAM is added with rule which is decoded from original data. These data will be stored in RAM if write enable bit is turned on. The process has been repeated until it loads the last address of the memory. After that, the engine will load another rule and implement like the previous process. This TCAM structure without update logic is shown in Figure 1. To read the look up data, write data process need to be finished and the machine backs to the ready stage. Look up data is selected to be read by mux instead of the result from control address as presented in Figure 2.

Efficient TCAM design based on dual port SRAM on FPGA (Triet Nguyen)
3.2. TCAM with update logic

The search engine without update logic consumes the amount of time for writing full data into the memory. Thus, if the user wants to get some new rules to their system, they need to load full data again. Therefore, the engine needs to have some update logic components to solve these disadvantages. The TCAM structure with additional update logic component is shown in Figure 3.
When the writing process finished, the machine is in ready stage and waiting for the update signal. Initially, rule update is loaded and decoded instead of original rule. These inputs are used for deleting and overwriting all the data in columns rule. The updated process consumes the same amount of time writing one rule to the table. Its process is shown in Figure 4.

![Figure 4. TCAM with update logic component flow](image)

### 3.3. A 480x104-bit Implementation

The top-level architecture of our 480x104-bit RAM-based TCAM consist of 195 blocks RAM M9K [26], which aligned 15 columns each of which contains 13 units, shown in Figure 5. According to two Principles and two division method, rule table divide sequentially rules into each blocks in order from left to right. The first rule is the highest priority and the last rule is the lowest priority. The matching result of each RAM which read by address = \((W\text{ bitinputkey})/13\) is connected with AND-gate to filter the final result of each column, so entire output of AND-gates are inputs of module priority encoder. It chooses the final result and show the rule match with \(W\text{-bit input key}\).

![Figure 5. TCAM Top-level architecture](image)

### 4. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

#### 4.1. Synthesist result of TCAM on FPGA Intel cyclone IV

We synthesize the proposed design on FPGA chip EP4CE115F29C7 intel cyclone IV and the result is presented in Figure 6. The design uses 40% of the available memory on the chip (195 M9Ks). The registers and logic elements usage is minimal. The timing synthesis results at 150 MHz clock speed. The throughput of the algorithmic TCAM would be at 150 million packets per second. The speed of updating the rule set is at 75 million updates per second for each operation.
4.2. Update content and expected result

After writing 480 rules into RAM, we update some rules to test the update function. The steps is shown in Table 9. The result, which is as expected, is presented in Table 10.

| Rule | Change rule 10 → 124 | Change rule 15 → 0 | Delete rule 31 | Delete rule 480 | Change rule 99 → new rule | Delete rule 373 | Delete rule 40 |
|------|----------------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| 0    | 2                    | 2                 |               |                 |                         |                 |               |
| 1    | 15                   |                   |               |                 |                         |                 |               |
| 2    | 40                   |                   |               |                 |                         |                 |               |
| 3    | 88                   |                   |               |                 |                         |                 |               |
| 4    | 102                  |                   |               |                 |                         |                 |               |
| 5    | 124                  |                   |               |                 |                         |                 |               |
| 6    | 175                  |                   |               |                 |                         |                 |               |
| 7    | 210                  |                   |               |                 |                         |                 |               |
| 8    | 222                  |                   |               |                 |                         |                 |               |
| 9    | 252                  |                   |               |                 |                         |                 |               |
| 10   | NOT MATCH            |                   |               |                 |                         |                 |               |
| 11   | NOT MATCH            |                   |               |                 |                         |                 |               |
| 12   | 373                  |                   |               |                 |                         |                 |               |
| 13   | 436                  |                   |               |                 |                         |                 |               |
| 14   | 478                  |                   |               |                 |                         |                 |               |
| 15   | NOT MATCH            |                   |               |                 |                         |                 |               |

4.3. Modelsim result and throughput discussion

With Modelsim 10.5b, we simulate with clock period = 200ps (f = 5GHz). From t = 0ps to t = 24, 819, 500ps is time to write 480 rules into RAM. At t = 24, 819, 500ps, we use 16 keys to search and the
result is displayed in Figure 7. After that we continue to update some rule like 4.2 and at $t = 25, 287, 900$ps, the real result after update is the same with the expected result, we show this in Figure 8.

4.4. Future scope
The design clock speed could be improved by pipelining effectively between RAM blocks. In application, the ruleset could also be optimized on software for easy updating and data searching. The update function could be improved in speed by allowing arbitrary location updating. We believe this would relies on the characteristic of the ruleset and is difficult to achieve generally. One important future direction of build TCAM on FPGA is to implement them on external DDRAM. This would allow the structure to cost less on-chip resources of the FPGA and offload the ruleset table to external memory which is less limited by size.

5. CONCLUSION
This paper presents a TCAM design on FPGA, utilizing FPGAs advantages in reconfiguration and flexibility effectively. Moreover, the TCAM can be scaled easily to fit with a design specification. However, the memory resource is a challenge for large searching engine integration. We expected the design provides a general perspective in RAM-based TCAM structure on FPGA.
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