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LEA Gene Expression Assessment in Advanced Mutant Rice Genotypes under Drought Stress
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1. Introduction

The late embryogenesis abundant (LEA) proteins are primarily found in plants, covering a number of intrinsically unstructured proteins (IUPs). These small proteins ranging from 10 to 30 kDa are formed during the maturation drying process of embryo development [1, 2]. Most of LEA proteins are highly hydrophilic and belong to the hydrophilin family which is characterized by a high content of charged amino acid residues, as well as glycine and other small amino acids such as alanine, serine, or threonine [3]. On the other hand, many reports have also categorized LEA proteins by their thermal stability, nonglobular structure, and low complexity [4, 5]. Hence, LEA protein presence has been linked with cellular dehydration to tolerance, which may be induced by drying, saline conditions, or freezing.

Drought is a major factor limiting the optimal growth and development of plants. Stress occurring due to severe drought can be detrimental at all stages of plant development. Studies on the effect of drought stress on the leaf gas exchange have shown a reduction in net photosynthesis with a detrimental effect of drought stress on the diffusion of carbon dioxide in plant leaves [6, 7]. Similarly, reductions in the leaf chlorophyll content and chlorophyll fluorescence have been reported in cases of drought stress [8, 9]. Usually, under drought stress conditions, the mean value of photosystem II (PSII) photochemical efficiency (Fv/Fm) decreases and this can serve as a good indicator for measuring drought stress [10].
In response to drought stress, plants synthesize a discrete set of LEA proteins which are believed to prevent and/or repair stress-induced damage [3]. These proteins are synthesized in response to water deficiency during a period of dryness or water shortage at an important stage of plant development [11]. LEA proteins are abundant in higher plant embryos. These proteins develop in response to drought and increase when plants are under severe drought stress condition [1].

LEA genes have been identified in many plants [12]. At least seven groups of LEA proteins have been categorized based on the similarities of their deduced amino acid sequences. These LEA function in protein protection upon water deficit where different hydrophilins, including LEA proteins from groups 2, 3, and 4, function to prevent the inactivation of enzymes such as lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) or malate dehydrogenase (MDH) depending on the level of dehydration [13]. In plants, a number of reports indicate that overexpression of LEA proteins from various groups confers tolerance to plant exposed to water-deficit treatments [2, 3, 14]. In rice, overexpression of OsLEA3 enhanced drought tolerance in the field response to water-deficit stress [11]. More significantly, it has been shown that the deficiency of one, two, or three members of LEA4 proteins from Arabidopsis thaliana predispose is enough to cause water-deficit susceptibility [14], thus showing the importance of these proteins in plant adaptive response to stress condition.

This study was conceptualized to determine drought-tolerant genotypes of advanced mutant rice genotypes by analyzing the physiological traits and expression patterns of LEA genes in two advanced mutant rice genotypes (MR219-4 and MR219-9) in comparison with M219 (local rice variety) and Aeron1 (drought-tolerant variety) in response to drought stress condition for the purpose of building a foundation for drought tolerance determination in rice.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Plant Materials. Four rice genotypes comprising two advanced mutant lines (MR219-4 and MR219-9), a local rice variety (MR219), and a drought-tolerant as a check variety (Aeron1) were used in this experiment. The advanced mutant lines were derived from a preliminary study on development of drought tolerance using induced mutation through ion beam irradiation [15]. The gene expression analysis was carried out at the Food Crop Molecular Laboratory, Institute of Tropical Agriculture and Food Security, Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM).

2.2. Differential Drought Stress Treatment. The experiment was conducted as a split-plot randomized complete block design with four replications. Rice seedlings were grown under well-watered and drought stress (withheld irrigation for 7 consecutive days) treatments at four weeks after transplanting. The experiment was conducted at Ladang 15 (latitude 2°59′11″N, longitude 101°44′36″E), Faculty of Agriculture, UPM. Five tensiometers (Takemura DM 8, Japan) were used in this experiment to determine the soil moisture tension. After subjecting the plant to drought stress and well-watered condition as treatments, leaf samples were collected and immediately suspended in liquid nitrogen for subsequent analysis.

2.3. Physiological Responses

2.3.1. Photosynthesis Rate. Photosynthetic rate was recorded in 10 selected plants that were represented of each treatment. The measurement was recorded on the fully expanded and exposed leaves (third or fourth leaf from the tip) by using a portable photosynthesis system (Li-6400xt, Li-cor Inc., Lincoln, NE, USA) in the morning (09:00-10:00 am).

2.3.2. Leaf Chlorophyll Content. Leaf chlorophyll content was measured in 10 plants per treatment. Fresh leaf sample (0.2 g) was cut into 0.5 cm pieces to determine the contents of leaf chlorophyll following the method described by Ashraf et al. [16]. Leaf sample of each genotype was kept overnight at -10°C in 25 ml tube containing 80% acetone. The mixture was centrifuged at 12000 × g for 10 min, and the absorbance of the supernatant was read against the blank (ethanol) using a Shimadzu UV spectrophotometer. The maximum absorbance of chlorophyll a and b was measured at 645 and 663 nm. The contents of chlorophyll were calculated using the following formulas:

\[
C_a = 12.70 \times A_{663} - 2.69 \times A_{645}, \\
C_b = 22.90 \times A_{645} - 4.68 \times A_{663}, \\
C_{a+b} = C_a + C_b = 20.21 \times A_{645} + 8.02 \times A_{663},
\]

Chlorophyll a content (mg g⁻¹ FW) = \(\frac{25 \times C_a}{0.2 \times 100}\), \(\frac{25 \times C_b}{0.2 \times 100}\)

Chlorophyll a + b content (mg g⁻¹ FW) = \(\frac{25 \times C_{a+b}}{0.2 \times 100}\)

2.3.3. PSII Photochemical Efficiency (Fv/Fm). After exposing the leaves to darkness for 10 minutes, Fv/Fm on the leaf surface of fully expanded leaves at the top shoots was recorded using a Portable Fluorescence Spectrometer Handy PEA (Plant Efficiency Analyzer Meter; Hansatech Instruments, Norfolk, UK). Data were collected at between 10:00 and 11:00 am. The fully expanded leaves in 10 plants from each genotype/treatment were sampled for Fv/Fm determination.

2.4. Total RNA Extraction and cDNA Synthesis. Total RNA was extracted from each test sample using the Trizol method described by Chomczynski and Sacchi [17], where 1.5 ml (1500 μl) Trizol reagent was added to 0.5 g tissue and was incubated for 5 minutes at room temperature. Then, 300 μl of chloroform was added into the mixture, and this was agitated for 15 seconds, followed by incubation for 5 minutes at room temperature. The mixture was centrifuged at 12000 × g for 15 minutes at 8°C to obtain phase separation. The aqueous upper phase was transferred into a new tube, 750 μl isopropanol was added, and the mixture was incubated for 10
The extracted RNAs were stored at -80°C for 10 minutes, and the supernatant was discarded. The pellets were washed with 70% ethanol prepared by diethyl pyrocarbonate (DEPC) water and vortexed gently. This was centrifuged at 18000 g at 4°C for 10 minutes, and the supernatant was discarded. The pellets were air-dried for 5 minutes and dissolved in an appropriate amount of RNase-free water by pipetting up and down. The samples were heated to 60°C for 10 minutes. The extracted RNAs were stored at -80°C in RNase-free water. The RNA integrity was verified using a NanoDrop 1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Wilmington, DE, USA). RNA with a 260/280 ratio of 1.8-2.0 were selected and stored in -80°C until further analysis. The first-strand cDNA was synthesized by incubation on thermal cycler 4 µl total RNA, 1 µl Oligo (dT), 1 µl dNTP, and 8 µl RNase-free dH2O for 5 minutes at 65°C. The cDNA was immediately chilled on ice; then, 4 µl 5x first-strand buffer, 1 µl power M-MLV reverse transcriptase, and RNase inhibitor were added to make a total volume of 20 µl. The reaction was run for 60 minutes at 50°C and subsequently at 70°C for 10 minutes. The cDNA samples were chilled on ice and stored at -20°C until further analysis.

2.5. Primer Design. A set of LEA genes was identified following the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) search of the 7 classified genes, i.e., LEA_1, LEA_2, LEA_3, LEA_4, LEA_5, Dehydrin, and SMP. Fifty-five primers (Table S1) were selected from NCBI, and five target LEA gene-specific primers were confirmed after PCR amplification and gel electrophoresis. These five primers were used for the quantitative real-time PCR analysis for gene expression. Two reference genes, Actin 11 (ACT11) and Ubiquitin 5 (UBQ5), were used for normalization of the cDNA template quantity [18]. Primer details are shown in Table S2.

2.6. Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) and Gel Electrophoresis. The PCR amplification was performed in 15 µl reaction consisting of 1 µl 70 ng template cDNA, 1 µl 10 µM of each forward and reverse primer, 7.5 µl DreamTaq Green PCR Master Mix (2x) (Thermo Scientific), and 4.5 µl nuclease-free water using a thermocycler (T100TM, Bio-Rad). The PCR condition was attained using a conventional PCR program with the following profile: 94°C for 2 minutes followed by 34 cycles of 94°C for 30 seconds, 58°C for 30 seconds, then 72°C for 1 minute, and a final extension for 10 minutes at 72°C and subsequent rapid cooling to 4°C prior analysis. The LEA amplicons were separated by 1x TBE buffered electrophoresis on 3% w/v Metaphor agarose gel, stained with Midori green (1 µl per 100 ml 1x TBE). The LEA amplicons were run at 90 volts for 1 hour and 15 minutes. A reference 50 base pair ladder (GeneDireX, Inc., Taiwan, ROC) suitable for use as molecular weight standards for Metaphor agarose gel electrophoresis was used to determine the LEA allele sizes of the amplified PCR products. The resultant bands were visualized under UV light and digitized using a Molecular Imager® imaging system (GelDocTM XR, Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc., USA).

2.7. Quantitative Real-Time PCR (qRT-PCR). For the stress and well-watered condition, expression measurements were performed using quadruplicate biological replications. Quantitative PCR was performed in 20 µl reactions using gene-specific primers (Table S2). This consists of 3 µl of cDNA template, 10 µl Power 2x SYBR real-time PCR premixture (Fermentas, Shanghai, China), 0.4 µl each of 10 µM forward and reverse primers, and 6.2 µl DEPC water. The reactions were subjected to 95°C for 2 minutes followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 20 seconds, 60°C for 20 seconds, and 72°C for 60 seconds on the CFX96 Real-Time PCR system (Bio-Rad, UK). The primer specificity and the formation of primer dimers were monitored by dissociation curve analysis. The expression level of rice ACT11 and UBQ5 genes was used as internal standards for normalization of the treated cDNA template quantity (Table S2). Controls (no cDNA template) were also included in the qPCR analysis.

2.8. Statistical Analysis. The data for all physiological parameters were subjected to standard statistical analysis such as analysis of variance (ANOVA) using SAS 9.4 software. The mean and coefficient of variation (CV) were calculated for each trait. The mean comparisons were performed using Tukey’s Studentized Range (HSD) test. Data analysis of the LEA genes was performed using the software provided by Bio-Rad, UK. The comparative Ct (2 -ΔΔCt) method was used to calculate the changes in gene expression as a relative fold difference between an experimental and calibrator sample. The genes that were up- or downregulated by more than 4-fold and with p ≤ 0.05 were considered to be differentially expressed.

3. Results

3.1. Physiological Parameters. The physiological parameters of the studied genotypes were assessed, and the results are presented in Table 1. Photosynthetic rate, leaf chlorophyll content, and Fv/Fm were reduced under drought stress condition. Plants treated with adequate water had the highest photosynthetic rate, leaf chlorophyll content, and Fv/Fm compared to the drought stress condition. Under drought stress condition, it was observed that MR219-9 showed the highest photosynthetic rate while MR219-4 showed the highest value in leaf chlorophyll content and Fv/Fm as compared to other rice genotypes.

3.2. Target and Reference Gene Validation. The efficiency, accuracy, reliability, and specificity of the LEA gene-specific primers and the reference genes were computed using a 3-fold serial dilution standard curve of cDNA template amplified on the thermal cycler real-time system. Figure S1 shows the standard curve with the C_T plotted against the log of the starting quantity of template for each dilution. The equation for the regression line and the coefficient of determination (R^2) values are shown in the graph (Figure S1). The calculated amplification efficiency was 101.9% for OsLEA1, 101.2% for OsLEA2, 98.3% for OsLEA3, 103.2% for OsLEA4, and 101.3% for OsLEA5. This
Table 1: Mean values of photosynthetic rate, leaf chlorophyll content, and maximum quantum yield of PSII measured in the four studied rice genotypes under drought stress conditions.

| Treatment/genotype | Photosynthetic rate (µmol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹) | Physiological parameters | PSII photochemical efficiency (Fv/Fm) |
|--------------------|----------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
|                    | WW | DS | WW | DS | WW | DS | WW | DS |
| MR219-4            | 22.71<sup>a</sup> | 12.32<sup>b</sup> | 11.45<sup>a</sup> | 5.44<sup>a</sup> | 0.79<sup>a</sup> | 0.62<sup>a</sup> |
| MR219-9            | 20.80<sup>c</sup> | 13.43<sup>a</sup> | 10.44<sup>b</sup> | 2.82<sup>b</sup> | 0.62<sup>b</sup> | 0.51<sup>b</sup> |
| MR219              | 22.24<sup>b</sup> | 12.28<sup>b</sup> | 11.36<sup>a</sup> | 2.45<sup>c</sup> | 0.82<sup>a</sup> | 0.44<sup>d</sup> |
| Aeron1             | 22.38<sup>b</sup> | 12.32<sup>b</sup> | 11.59<sup>a</sup> | 1.89<sup>d</sup> | 0.57<sup>c</sup> | 0.46<sup>c</sup> |
| Mean               | 22.03 | 12.59 | 11.21 | 3.15 | 0.70 | 0.51 |
| CV (%)             | 3.52 | 4.78 | 4.36 | 4.74 | 16.01 | 14.15 |

Note: means followed by the different letters within a column are significantly different from each other according to the HSD test at p ≤ 0.05; WW: well-watered; DS: drought stress; CV: coefficient of variation.

indicates that the primers used are gene-specific and accurate for gene expression analysis.

3.3. Identification of the Target LEA Genes in the Candidate Rice Genotypes. A known-specific LEA gene primer of rice was used to examine the presence of the LEA genes in the studied rice genotypes. Five LEA genes from the 55 designed primers screened were consistently present in all the rice genotypes (Figure S2). PCR product was run to check the molecular weight (MW), only high molecular weight with clear bands was considered good, and smeared bands were considered poor in all rice samples. The MW was estimated using Image Lab software version 5.0 provided by Bio-Rad. These identified genes were considered for gene expression in the candidate drought-tolerant rice genotypes.

3.4. LEA Gene Amplification and Melt Curve Analysis in the Drought-Tolerant Rice Genotypes. Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) has been extensively used in several plant species as an accurate technique for gene expression analysis. In a real-time PCR assay, a positive reaction was detected by the accumulation of a fluorescent signal. Strong positive threshold cycle (Cq < 29) values were observed in all the studied drought-tolerant genotypes under drought stress condition (Figure 1). The entire five LEA transcripts were consistently abundant in the advanced mutant rice genotypes (MR219-4 and MR219-9) similar to Aeron1 (drought-tolerant variety). The analysis of variance for Cq values of all OsLEA genes is presented in Table S3.

Dissociation melt curve analysis was done to check for primer dimer and amplification of nonspecific products. Figure S3 shows the melt curve of all the five LEA primers (OsLEA1, OsLEA2, OsLEA3, OsLEA4, and OsLEA5). Change in fluorescence with increasing temperature is measured as the melt curve analysis. As the temperature is increased, the two strands of the amplicon separate to form single-stranded DNA, causing the fluorescent intercalating dye to dissociate from the DNA and stop fluorescing.

3.5. Differential LEA Drought-Tolerant Gene Expression under Drought Stress and Well-Watered Condition. The analysis of variance for relative expression levels of the target LEA genes for each rice genotypes is presented in Table 2. The analysis of variance for each LEA genes was significantly different among the W, G, and G×W interaction.

The LEA genes that were up- or downregulated by more than 4-fold and with p ≤ 0.05 were considered to be differentially expressed. MR219-9, MR219-4, MR219, and Aeron1 in this study showed differential expression: OsLEA1, OsLEA2, OsLEA3, OsLEA4, and OsLEA5 were upregulated (Table 3, Figure 2). The upregulation of LEA genes in all the rice drought-tolerant genotypes indicates that LEA genes increased in the cell when exposed to drought stress.

4. Discussion

All rice genotypes showed decreased photosynthetic rate under drought stress condition unlike in rice genotypes given adequate water treatment (Table 1). The advanced mutant rice genotypes, MR219-4 and MR219-9, exhibit greater adaptation to drought. The photosynthetic apparatus that shows tolerance to desiccation of leaf water potential might be triggered for stomatal closure which in turn ensures optimal gas exchange in MR219-4 and MR219-9, thus reducing the
transpiration rate. In the present study, MR219-4 showed the highest chlorophyll content among the rice genotypes under drought stress condition (Table 1), hence indicating that this genotype had higher photosynthetic efficiency compared to its parent, MR219, and other genotypes under study. On the other hand, it has been suggested that inhibition of PSII photochemistry could be associated with increased photoprotective energy dissipation or decreased photochemistry and photoinhibition associated with an overreduction of PSII which results in impairment in the functioning of the PSII system [19, 20]. The advanced mutant lines, MR219-4 and MR219-9, showed the ability to maintain higher Fv/Fm under water deficit indicating the higher efficiency of radiation use possibly in photochemistry and carbon assimilation. This result is supported by Guang-Cheng et al. [21]. The study further showed that MR219-4 had better performance in Fv/Fm compared to other rice genotypes indicating that MR219-4 is more tolerant to drought stress condition.

The amplification efficiency of 90–105% is the best indicator of a robust, reliable, and reproducible assay [22]. Low reaction efficiencies may be caused by poor primer design or by suboptimal reaction conditions. Reaction efficiencies > 100% may indicate pipetting error in the serial dilutions or coamplification of nonspecific products, such as primer dimers [23–25]. Gene expression analysis, especially on quantitative changes, has been simplified with the advent of qRT-PCR. Selection of optimal internal controls is very important to obtain reliable and accurate data in gene expression analysis using qRT-PCR [24, 26]. This is because normalization is imperative for gene expression analysis to avoid unnecessary errors in qRT-PCR analysis, and this is possible through the internal controls. The internal controls were selected from previous published works [18].

Drought stress is known to trigger changes in the transcription of LEA genes in drought-tolerant rice genotypes. The number of cycles required for the fluorescent signal to exceed background level which is inversely proportional to the amount of target nucleic acid in the sample is referred to as threshold cycle; the lower the Cq level, the greater the number of target transcripts in the sample [27]. In this study, all LEA genes significantly amplified early in the advanced mutant genotypes indicating abundant target nucleic acid in their respective leaf tissues under drought stress. Within the 7 consecutive drought stress exposure, these LEA genes were quickly induced to withstand the stress condition. Melt curve analysis is frequently used as a diagnostic tool for assessing qPCR amplicon length with intercalating dye qPCR assays. In this study, the curves showed clear specific peaks, indicating the primers are gene specific.

RNA isolated from leaf tissues collected at the vegetative stage was converted to cDNA and used for the quantitative RT-PCR analysis using LEA gene-specific primers in order to study the effect of change in the expression level in response to drought stress. From the present investigations, MR219-4 and MR219-9 advanced mutant rice genotypes could be considered drought-tolerant genotypes. This is because the increase in the expression of LEA genes often results in increased drought tolerance [11]. The expression of LEA in drought-tolerant genotypes is highly similar to Aeron1 genotype, indicating better protection in response to drought stress. This indicates that upon subjection to drought stress, the cellular drought response in the nucleus was activated. This leads to the enhanced expression of the LEA genes. Overexpression of LEA gene in rice was reported to have improved the drought resistance [18]. More significantly, it has been shown that the deficiency of one, two, or three members of LEA4 proteins from Arabidopsis thaliana is enough to cause water-deficit susceptibility [14], showing their relevance in the plant adaptive response to this stress condition.

**Table 2: Analysis of variance for relative expression levels of the five target LEA genes for each rice genotypes.**

| Source of variation | df | OsLEA1 | OsLEA2 | Mean square | OsLEA3 | OsLEA4 | OsLEA5 |
|---------------------|----|--------|--------|-------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Replications (R)    | 3  | 0.05   | 0.02   | 0.01        | 0.05   | 0.03   |
| Water treatments (W)| 1  | 332.63*| 185.19*| 417.03*     | 2329.20*| 227.54*|
| R×W                 | 3  | 0.05   | 0.02   | 0.01        | 0.05   | 0.03   |
| Genotypes (G)       | 3  | 11.28* | 16.71* | 43.84*      | 305.34*| 39.62* |
| G×W                 | 3  | 11.28* | 16.71* | 43.84*      | 305.34*| 39.62* |
| Error               | 18 | 0.04   | 0.06   | 0.04        | 0.03   | 0.02   |

*Significant level at p ≤ 0.05.

**Table 3: Relative expression patterns and p value of 5 LEA genes and Actin/UBQ5 reference genes in two advanced mutant rice genotypes and two check varieties.**

| Gene      | MR219-4 | MR219-9 | MR219 | Aeron1 |
|-----------|---------|---------|-------|--------|
| OsLEA1    | UP      | UP      | UP    | UP     |
|           | 0.034   | 0.021   | 0.004 | 0.021  |
| OsLEA2    | UP      | No change | UP    | UP     |
|           | 0.000   | 0.008   | 0.040 | 0.010  |
| OsLEA3    | UP      | No change | UP    | UP     |
|           | 0.032   | 0.022   | 0.002 | 0.030  |
| OsLEA4    | UP      | UP      | UP    | UP     |
|           | 0.045   | 0.036   | 0.023 | 0.037  |
| OsLEA5    | No change | UP      | No change | UP     |
|           | 0.011   | 0.009   | 0.005 | 0.023  |

UP = up regulation.
5. Conclusions

The abundance of LEA genes was observed in the leaf tissues of the advanced mutant rice lines (MR219-4 and MR219-9) similar to the drought-tolerant check genotype after being subjected to drought stress. This indicates that drought-tolerant genotypes might offer better protection in response to drought stress. Different groups of LEA genes (OsLEA1, OsLEA2, OsLEA3, OsLEA4, and OsLEA5) were identified and expressed differently among the rice genotypes. A change in the expression level of the LEA gene among the genotypes under drought stress was further confirmed; hence, LEA could serve as a potential tool for drought tolerance determination in rice. The drought-tolerant advanced mutant lines are suggested for further drought-tolerant rice breeding program.
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**References**

[1] S. Hong-Bo, L. Zong-Suo, and S. Ming-An, "LEA proteins in higher plants: structure, function, gene expression and regulation," *Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces*, vol. 45, no. 3–4, pp. 131–135, 2005.

[2] Y. Olvera-Carrillo, J. Luis Reyes, and A. A. Covarrubias, "Late embryogenesis abundant proteins: versatile players in the plant adaptation to water limiting environments," *Plant Signaling & Behavior*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 586–589, 2011.

[3] M. Battaglia and A. A. Covarrubias, "Late embryogenesis abundant (LEA) proteins in legumes," *Frontiers in Plant Science*, vol. 4, pp. 1–11, 2013.

[4] K. Goyal, L. Tisi, A. Basran et al., "Transition from natively unfolded to folded state induced by desiccation in an anhydrobiotic nematode protein," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 278, no. 15, pp. 12977–12984, 2003.

[5] T. Hu, N. Zhou, M. Fu, J. Qin, and X. Huang, "Characterization of OsLEA1a and its inhibitory effect on the resistance of E. coli to diverse abiotic stresses," *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, vol. 91, pp. 1010–1017, 2016.

[6] C. Kirda, S. Topcu, H. Kaman et al., "Grain yield response and N-fertiliser recovery of maize under deficit irrigation," *Field Crops Research*, vol. 93, no. 2–3, pp. 132–141, 2005.

[7] M. J. Sánchez-Blanco, S. Álvarez, A. Navarro, and S. Bañón, "Changes in leaf water relations, gas exchange, growth and flowering quality in potted geranium plants irrigated with different water regimes," *Journal of Plant Physiology*, vol. 166, no. 5, pp. 467–476, 2009.

[8] O. J. Oyetunji, I. J. Ekanyake, and O. Osonubi, "Chlorophyll fluorescence analysis for assessing water deficit and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) inoculation in cassava (Manihot esculenta Crantz.)," *Advances in Biological Research*, vol. 1, no. 3–4, pp. 108–117, 2007.

[9] N. Jangpromma, P. Songsri, S. Thammasiri, and P. Jaisil, "Rapid assessment of chlorophyll content in sugarcane using a SPAD chlorophyll meter across different water stress Conditions," *Asian Journal of Plant Sciences*, vol. 9, no. 6, pp. 368–374, 2010.

[10] K. Richter, M. Haslbeck, and J. Buchner, "The heat shock response: life on the verge of death," *Molecular Cell*, vol. 40, no. 2, pp. 253–266, 2010.

[11] S. K. Eriksson and P. Harryson, "Dehydrins: molecular biology, structure and function," in *In Plant Desiccation Tolerance*, U. Lüttge, B. Beck, and D. Bartels, Eds., pp. 289–305, Springer, Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2011.

[12] M. Sahebi, M. M. Hanafi, M. Y. Rafii et al., "Improvement of drought tolerance in rice (Oryza sativa L.): genetics, genomic tools, and the WRKY gene family," *BioMed Research International*, vol. 2018, Article ID 3158474, 20 pages, 2018.

[13] J. Machuka, S. Bashiarde, E. Ruben et al., "Sequence analysis of expressed sequence tags from an ABA-treated cDNA library identifies stress response genes in the moss Physcomitrella patens," *Plant and Cell Physiology*, vol. 40, no. 4, pp. 378–387, 1999.

[14] Y. Olvera-Carrillo, F. Campos, J. L. Reyes, A. Garciarrubio, and A. A. Covarrubias, "Functional analysis of the group 4 late embryogenesis abundant proteins reveals their relevance in the adaptive response during water deficit in Arabidopsis," *Plant Physiology*, vol. 154, no. 1, pp. 373–390, 2010.

[15] M. Z. Abdullah, H. Rahim, O. Sariam et al., "An efficient water-use mutant developed through mutation breeding," in *Proceedings National Rice Conference*, Swiss Garden Golf Resort & Spa, Damai Laut, Lumut, Perak, 2010.

[16] M. Y. Ashraf, K. Akhtar, G. Sarwar, and M. Ashraf, "Role of the rooting system in salt tolerance potential of different guar accessions," *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 243–249, 2005.

[17] P. Chomczynski and N. Sacchi, "Single-step method of RNA isolation by acid guanidinium thiocyanate-phenol-chloroform extraction," *Analytical Biochemistry*, vol. 162, no. 1, pp. 156–159, 1987.

[18] B. Xiao, Y. Huang, N. Tang, and L. Xiong, "Over-expression of a LEA gene in rice improves drought resistance under the field conditions," *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, vol. 115, no. 1, pp. 35–46, 2007.

[19] W. W. Adams and B. Demmig-Adams, "Chlorophyll fluorescence as a tool to monitor plant response to the environment," in *In Chlorophyll Fluorescence: A Probe of Photosynthesis*, G. C. Papageorgiou and G. Govindjee, Eds., pp. 583–604, Springer, Dordrecht, 2004.

[20] K. Maxwell and G. N. Johnson, "Chlorophyll fluorescence—a practical guide," *Journal of Experimental Botany*, vol. 51, no. 345, pp. 659–668, 2000.

[21] S. Guang-Cheng, G. Rui-Qi, L. Na, Y. Shuang-En, and X. Weng-Gang, "Photosynthetic, chlorophyll fluorescence and growth changes in hot pepper under deficit irrigation and partial root zone drying," *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, vol. 6, pp. 4671–4679, 2011.

[22] D.-G. Wang, J. Brewster, M. Paul, and P. Tomasula, "Two methods for increased specificity and sensitivity in loop-mediated isothermal amplification," *Molecules*, vol. 20, no. 4, pp. 6048–6059, 2015.

[23] P. Azizi, M. Y. Rafii, S. N. A. Abdullah et al., "Over-expression of the Pikh gene with a CaMV 35S promoter leads to improved blast disease (Magnaporthe oryzae) tolerance in rice," *Frontiers in Plant Science*, vol. 7, pp. 1–14, 2016.

[24] M. G. Usman, M. Y. Rafii, M. Y. Martini, O. A. Yusuff, M. R. Ismail, and G. Miah, "Molecular analysis of Hsp70 mechanisms in plants and their function in response to stress,"
Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering Reviews, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 26–39, 2017.

[25] P. Azizi, M. Y. Rafii, M. Mahmood et al., “Evaluation of RNA extraction methods in rice and their application in expression analysis of resistance genes against Magnaporthe oryzae,” Biotechnology & Biotechnological Equipment, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 75–84, 2017.

[26] H. Wan, W. Yuan, M. Ruan et al., “Identification of reference genes for reverse transcription quantitative real-time PCR normalization in pepper (Capsicum annuum L.),” Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications, vol. 416, no. 1-2, pp. 24–30, 2011.

[27] M. Sahebi, M. M. Hanafi, M. Y. Rafii et al., “Screening and expression of a silicon transporter gene (Lsi1) in wild-type indica rice cultivars,” BioMed Research International, vol. 2017, 13 pages, 2017.