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Abstract

Objective: MAPT mutations typically cause behavioral variant frontotemporal dementia with or without parkinsonism. Previous studies have shown that symptomatic MAPT mutation carriers have frontotemporal atrophy, yet studies have shown mixed results as to whether presymptomatic carriers have low gray matter volumes. To elucidate whether presymptomatic carriers have lower structural brain volumes within regions atrophied during the symptomatic phase, we studied a large cohort of MAPT mutation carriers using a voxelwise approach. Methods: We studied 22 symptomatic carriers (age 54.7 ± 9.1, 13 female) and 43 presymptomatic carriers (age 39.2 ± 10.4, 21 female). Symptomatic carriers’ clinical syndromes included: behavioral variant frontotemporal dementia (18), an amnestic dementia syndrome (2), Parkinson’s disease (1), and mild cognitive impairment (1). We performed voxel-based morphometry on T1 images and assessed brain volumetrics by clinical subgroup, age, and mutation subtype. Results: Symptomatic carriers showed gray matter atrophy in bilateral frontotemporal cortex, insula, and striatum, and white matter atrophy in bilateral corpus callosum and uncinate fasciculus. Approximately 20% of presymptomatic carriers had low gray matter volumes in bilateral hippocampus, amygdala, and lateral temporal cortex. Within these regions, low gray matter volumes emerged in a subset of presymptomatic carriers as early as their thirties. Low white matter volumes arose infrequently among presymptomatic carriers. Interpretation: A subset of presymptomatic MAPT mutation carriers showed low volumes in mesial temporal lobe, the region ubiquitously atrophied in all symptomatic carriers. With each decade of age, an increasing percentage of presymptomatic carriers showed low mesial temporal volume, suggestive of early neurodegeneration.

Introduction

Mutations in the microtubule-associated protein tau (MAPT) cause behavioral variant frontotemporal dementia (bvFTD) with or without parkinsonism, with some patients meeting criteria for progressive supranuclear palsy (PSP) or corticobasal syndrome.1 As in sporadic bvFTD, in bvFTD due to MAPT mutations (bvFTD-MAPT), patients show degeneration in the anterior cingulate cortex, insula, striatum, and the amygdala.2,3 In contrast to sporadic bvFTD, however, bvFTD-MAPT more prominently targets the mesial temporal lobe and in particular, the hippocampus, a region less typically atrophied in sporadic bvFTD.2,3

Less is known about the timing of brain volume changes during the presymptomatic phase. Early studies with small samples suggested that presymptomatic MAPT mutation carriers did not have apparent gray or white matter volume differences compared to controls.4,5 Studies with larger samples, however, have shown mixed results. A study of 26 symptomatic and presymptomatic MAPT mutation carriers revealed gray matter trajectories that suggested that low hippocampus and amygdala volumes arise years before expected symptom onset.6 A diffusion tensor imaging study of 30 presymptomatic MAPT mutation carriers found early loss of white matter integrity.7 In contrast, a study of 23 presymptomatic MAPT mutation carriers did not show significantly low gray matter volumes, although there was a trend toward small regions of low gray matter volume in mesial temporal lobes.8

Most previous studies of presymptomatic MAPT mutation carriers have reported only group comparisons whose limitation is that they do not account for individual anatomical variation, which could account for mixed results across studies. To address this gap, we studied a multisite cohort of 65 MAPT mutation carriers (22 symptomatic and 43 presymptomatic) by analyzing structural MRI scans with a voxelwise method that detects gray and white matter differences in individual carriers. We hypothesized that: 1) regions of low gray or white matter volume in presymptomatic MAPT mutation carriers
would resemble atrophy patterns seen in symptomatic carriers, and 2) a subset of the presymptomatic carriers, that is, those presumably closer to symptom onset, would harbor low gray and white matter volumes beyond expected of their age. Previous literature suggests that specific MAPT mutations manifest different clinical syndromes; therefore, we also explored whether different MAPT mutation subtypes targeted distinct neuroanatomical regions.

**Methods**

**Participants**

Study participants were recruited from: the Memory and Aging Center at the University of California, San Francisco (UCSF); Erasmus University Rotterdam; and two multisite genetic FTD research projects, the Advancing Research and Treatment for Frontotemporal Lobar Degeneration (ARTFL) and Longitudinal Evaluation of Familial Frontotemporal Dementia Subjects (LEFFTDS) (Table S1). Clinical diagnoses were rendered by the respective study sites.9

We studied 22 symptomatic MAPT mutation carriers, 43 presymptomatic MAPT mutation carriers and 107 healthy controls, comprised of 91 noncarrier genetic frontotemporal lobar degeneration family members and 16 unrelated controls (Table 1). These unrelated controls were added to the noncarrier family members to create an even distribution of ages that was similar to the age range of the MAPT mutation carrier cohorts. Among the 22 symptomatic carriers, 18 had a clinical diagnosis of bvFTD. Of the 18 with bvFTD, six carried secondary diagnoses, including PSP syndrome (4); parkinsonism (1); and depression (1). Of the four remaining symptomatic carriers: two had an amnestic dementia syndrome, one of which also had mild behavioral symptoms; one had Parkinson’s disease (PD) with behavioral symptoms not meeting bvFTD criteria; and one had mild cognitive impairment with behavior and language symptoms. All symptomatic carriers were recruited from UCSF, ARTFL, or LEFFTDS (Erasmus University did not recruit symptomatic patients).

Presymptomatic carriers were diagnosed as clinically normal by each respective study site. These participants were required to have a Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) score ≥ 27, and if the participant did not have an MMSE score available, participants had a Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA)10 score ≥ 21. We chose this threshold for the MoCA score because in participants tested with both measures, an MMSE score of 27 corresponds to a MoCA score of 21.11 We included three presymptomatic carriers with a Clinical Dementia Rating Scale (CDR®) plus Behavioral and Language Domains from the National Alzheimer’s Coordinating Center (NACC) FTLD module (CDR® plus NACC FTLD)12 global score of 0.5, as this score may not necessarily be indicative of incipient phenocconversion to disease. Nevertheless, we repeated each analysis without the three presymptomatic carriers who had a CDR® plus NACC FTLD global score of 0.5.

Healthy controls were also required to have a MMSE score ≥ 27 or a MoCA score ≥ 21, a CDR® plus NACC FTLD global score of 0, and no significant history of neurological disease. All participants in the study were required to have a MRI brain scan free of structural lesions and prominent white matter disease.

Participants underwent neuropsychological tests at each site.4,13,14 The CDR® plus NACC FTLD scale was available for the UCSF and ARTFL/LEFFTDS cohorts. Neuropsychological tests were administered within 90 days of a participant’s MRI scan. We calculated z-scores for each measure for each participant based on scores of the healthy controls. For variables with skewed distributions, we reflected and log transformed the data to improve the normality of the distribution prior to fitting multiple regression models. Because these z-scores were not adjusted for demographic characteristics, we compared

| Table 1. Demographics of presymptomatic and symptomatic MAPT mutation carriers. |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                                 | Presymptomatic  | Symptomatic     | Healthy Controls |
| n                               | 43              | 22              | 107             |
| Age at MRI scan, years          | 39.2 (10.4)     | 54.7 (9.1)      | 48.9 (13.2)     |
| M:F, n                          | 22:21           | 9:13            | 51:56           |
| Education, years                | 16.1 (2.4)      | 15.1 (2.4)      | 15.9 (2.3)      |
| Education, Dutch 7-point scale  | 4.8 (1.7)       | n/a             | 5.3 (1.1)       |
| Age at symptom onset            | n/a             | 45.6 (8.3)      | n/a             |
| CDR® plus NACC FTLD, global score (0-3) | 0.05 (0.15) | 1.75 (0.98) | 0 (0) |
| CDR® plus NACC FTLD, sum of boxes (max = 24) | 0.07 (0.22) | 9.73 (6.13) | 0 (0) |

Unless otherwise indicated, mean values are reported followed by the standard deviation in parentheses.

Erasmus participants were categorized into levels from 1 = less than 6 years of education to 7 = completed a university degree.
the z-scores of these cognitive measures for the presymptomatic, symptomatic, and control groups using multiple regression with age, education, and sex as covariates of no interest. We compared each MAPT mutation carrier group to controls using post hoc group-wise comparisons.

The Institutional Review Boards at each site approved this study, and participants or their surrogates provided informed consent to participate.

Genetic analysis
Participants were screened for pathogenic MAPT mutations. All MAPT mutation carriers in the study also tested negative for GRN mutations and for the C9orf72 repeat expansion.

Image acquisition and preprocessing
Each participant underwent a T1-weighted structural MRI scan on a 3T scanner. Participants from UCSF were scanned on a Siemens Tim Trio or a Siemens Prisma scanner (Table S1). All T1-weighted images were preprocessed with SPM12 (https://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/). T1-weighted images were preprocessed using standard spatial normalization in the SPM12 segment module, using the six standard tissue probability maps with a light clean up. Standard affine regularization with the International Consortium for Brain Mapping European brain template and warping regularization with the default parameters were used. Images were then segmented into gray and white matter images, which were smoothed using an 8-mm full width at half maximum isotropic Gaussian kernel.

Gray and white matter w-score maps
For each MAPT mutation carrier, we created gray matter and white matter w-score maps (w-maps) which represent the difference between the participant’s actual gray or white matter values in each voxel and the expected value based on a group of healthy controls. A map of w-scores can be conceptualized as a z-score map of the gray or white matter residuals after adjustment for covariates of no interest via regression. To calculate a w-score, voxelsewise linear regressions were performed on healthy control gray and white matter maps to determine the expected value, defined the percentage of participants with gray or white matter values of w ≤ -2 voxelwise. For presymptomatic carriers, we created group frequency w-maps binned by age (in decades) to visualize gray and white matter relationships with age. For symptomatic carriers, group frequency w-maps were binned by symptom severity, defined by the CDR® plus NACC FTLD global score.

Hemispheric symmetry analysis
Previous studies suggest bilateral and symmetric involvement in symptomatic MAPT mutation carriers. To determine whether atrophy or low brain volume in symptomatic and presymptomatic MAPT mutation carriers is symmetric at an individual-subject level, we calculated the difference between each participant’s mean left and right hemisphere w-scores for gray or white matter.

Correlations between memory measures and hippocampal volume
We performed two analyses to explore associations between memory measures and the volume of the hippocampus, selected as an a priori region because of its importance in memory recall. First, we calculated for each participant the mean gray matter w-scores for the left and right hippocampi (defined by the Automated Anatomical Labeling atlas). Verbal memory z-scores were based on either the California Verbal Learning Test II (CVLT-II) or the Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test delayed recall trials (Erasmus). Spatial memory z-scores were based on the Benson figure recall (UCSF; ARTFL/LEFFTDS). We performed Spearman’s correlations between participants’ hippocampal w-scores and memory z-scores. Second, we selected the voxel most frequently showing reduced gray matter across all carriers and created a 4-mm sphere...
around that voxel (and its contralateral homolog) to determine whether gray matter volume in this MAPT-targeted region correlates with verbal and visual memory measures. For these analyses, we correlated left hippocampus w-scores with verbal memory scores and right hippocampus w-scores with spatial memory z-scores given the importance of the left hippocampus for verbal memory\(^\text{19}\) and the right hippocampus for spatial memory.\(^\text{20}\)

Next, we searched voxelwise to identify brain regions where lower memory performance was associated with lower gray matter volume by correlating verbal then spatial memory z-scores with gray matter w-maps thresholded at \(P < 0.05\) corrected for family-wise error.

The above correlations were performed in all carriers combined, symptomatic carriers, and presymptomatic carriers.

**MAPT mutation subgroup analysis**

Our cohort consisted of participants carrying 13 different MAPT mutations. Because some mutations had low numbers of participants, we examined MAPT mutation subtypes categorized into five groups by either clinical (bvFTD-type; amnestic-type; PSP/PD-type) or neuropathological (four repeat-type (4R); and Pick bodies type) similarity to explore whether different types of MAPT mutations may target distinct neuroanatomy. The bvFTD group was comprised of carriers with the V337M mutation, a missense mutation located on exon 12 which typically causes bvFTD.\(^\text{21}\) The amnestic-type group consisted of carriers with R406W, a missense mutation on exon 13 which typically manifests with an amnestic syndrome reminiscent of an Alzheimer’s-like syndrome rather than a bvFTD syndrome.\(^\text{22,23}\) The PSP/PD-type group consisted of carriers with mutations in N279K, S305N, and S305S, all exon 10 missense mutations which increase the ratio of 4R to three repeat (3R) tau; these mutations most often cause bvFTD with PSP or parkinsonism.\(^\text{24–28}\)

The 4R-type group consisted of P301L, S305I, IVS10 + 16C>T, and IVS9-10G>T, a combination of exonic and splicing mutations which typically present with bvFTD; these mutations increase exon 10 transcription, which increases the ratio of 4R to 3R tau.\(^\text{15,29,30}\) The fifth group was the Pick bodies type group which contained G389R, G272V, S320F, and L315R mutations, all characterized by the inclusion of Pick body or Pick body-like pathology.\(^\text{31–34}\) Each group consisted of fewer than 10 symptomatic and presymptomatic carriers combined, with the exception of the 4R-type group, which had 31 carriers. To protect participant anonymity, we chose not to report the specific number within each group. We created mean w-maps for these five mutation subgroups to assess whether different mutation subtypes target distinct anatomical regions. Due to the limited number of participants in each mutation subgroup, we assessed gray and white matter volume using mean w-maps instead of frequency w-maps.

**Results**

**A subset of presymptomatic carriers harbors low mesial temporal lobe volumes**

In total, the presymptomatic and symptomatic cohorts included 13 different MAPT mutation subtypes. For most neuropsychological measures, presymptomatic MAPT mutation carriers had no statistically significant differences compared to controls (Tables 2 and 3). The one exception was that presymptomatic carriers had a significantly lower but clinically negligible z-score of \(-0.39\) on the Multilingual Naming Test (Table 2). With the three presymptomatic carriers with CDR\(^\text{®}\) plus NACC FTLD global score of 0.5 excluded, results were similar with presymptomatic carriers largely showing no differences across measures, with the exception of the Multilingual Naming Test (\(z = -0.39\), range \(-1.68, 0.38\)).

Symptomatic carriers showed clinically significant impairments in verbal learning and memory as well as naming and semantic verbal fluency, and borderline to low average performance on most other measures, including attention, executive functioning, and visual recall, while Benson Figure Copy was relatively preserved (Table 2).

We found that symptomatic MAPT mutation carriers had severe bilateral mesial temporal atrophy as well as frontotemporal and insula atrophy and white matter involvement in the uncinate fasciculus, anterior corona radiata, corpus callosum, and inferior longitudinal fasciculus (Fig. 1; Fig. S1). Frequency w-maps revealed that each symptomatic carrier harbored prominent mesial temporal lobe atrophy and about sixty percent had involvement within frontotemporal white matter tracts (Fig. 1). Although the mean w-maps for presymptomatic carriers did not show any regions with low gray matter (defined as \(w \leq -2\)), we found that 20% of presymptomatic carriers had low mesial temporal lobe volumes and low white matter volume arose in approximately 10% (Fig. 1).

When calculating the difference between each participant’s mean left and right hemisphere w-score for gray or white matter, we found that at individual level, both symptomatic and presymptomatic participants had symmetric gray and white matter (maximum difference score \(w = 0.78\) for a symptomatic carrier).

We repeated these analyses with presymptomatic carriers who had a CDR\(^\text{®}\) plus NACC FTLD score of 0 only. Gray matter and white matter frequency w-maps showed similar results (data not shown), supporting that the three...
presymptomatic carriers with CDR® plus NACC FTLD scores of 0.5 were not driving the overall results.

**Mesial temporal lobe and relationships with memory throughout the MAPT lifespan**

To explore whether regions of low gray and white matter in presymptomatic MAPT mutation carriers might worsen with age, we created gray and white matter frequency w-maps for each age decade represented within our data. We found that approximately 20% of presymptomatic MAPT mutation carriers in their thirties showed low mesial temporal volumes (Fig. 2). When examining carriers in their forties and fifties, an increasing percentage of participants had low mesial temporal gray matter with each additional decade. The white matter frequency map (Fig. 1) suggested that low white matter volumes also arise in certain presymptomatic carriers, but in contrast to the gray matter maps, the percentage of participants with low white matter volumes did not clearly increase with each decade.

For symptomatic carriers with CDR® plus NACC FTLD global scores of 0.5, mesial and anterior temporal lobe and anterior cingulate atrophy were most common, followed by insula and regions in dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (Fig. 3). With worsening symptom severity, atrophy within these regions grew increasingly more common and extensive. All carriers with a CDR® plus NACC FTLD global score of 1 had mesial temporal lobe atrophy and all carriers with a global score of 2 had regions of anterior cingulate and insular atrophy. By the time carriers reached a global score of 3, all showed widespread frontotemporal, insula, and uncinate fasciculus atrophy.

We examined relationships between memory measures and the hippocampus, selected as an *a priori* region of interest, and voxelwise gray matter maps. Verbal and spatial memory *z*-scores were correlated with hippocampal volumes across the whole MAPT cohort. Across symptomatic and presymptomatic carriers combined, lower scores in verbal recall (CVLT-II) were associated with lower left hippocampal volume (rho = 0.70, *P* < 0.001) and lower scores in visual recall were associated with lower right hippocampal volume (rho = 0.44, *P* < 0.001).

To explore whether a MAPT-targeted gray matter region is also associated with memory measures, we created a

---

Table 2. Neuropsychological testing of North American cohorts.

| Test                                      | Presymptomatic MAPT | Symptomatic MAPT | Omnibus Model |
|-------------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------|
| Global Cognition                          |                     |                  |               |
| MoCA, total score                         | 28 [26, 29]         | 22 [11, 25]      | 11.24 (5, 79) |
| Executive Functions/Processing Speed/Attention |           |                  |               |
| Trails A, correct lines per minute         | 80.15 (24.58)       | 37.58 (20.11)    | 8.67 (5, 88)  |
| Trails B, correct lines per minute         | 34.42 (10.68)       | 16.65 (9.88)     | 8.00 (5, 88)  |
| Digit span forward                        | 9.03 (2.31)         | 6.50 (2.85)      | 2.84 (5, 112) |
| Digit span backward                       | 8.00 (2.80)         | 5.24 (2.44)      | 3.45 (5, 113) |
| Semantic fluency, animals in 1 min        | 24.21 (6.34)        | 12.12 (8.42)     | 12.79 (5, 113) |
| Lexical fluency, words in 1 min           | 28.96 (7.04)        | 18.15 (12.73)    | 7.10 (5, 81)  |
| Memory                                    |                     |                  |               |
| Total recall (California Verbal Learning Test, short form, four learning trials total) | 31 [29, 32.5]      | 18 [15, 24]      | 9.67 (5, 101) |
| Delayed free recall (California Verbal Learning Test, short form, 10 min recall) | 7 [6, 9]           | 0 [0, 5]         | 12.11 (5, 101) |
| Benson figure 10 min recall, total score  | 14 [12, 16]        | 10 [4, 12]       | 6.45 (5, 114) |
| Language                                  |                     |                  |               |
| Multilingual Naming Test, total score     | 29.5 [27, 31]       | 26.5 [22.5, 28.5]| 7.56 (5, 80)  |
| Visuospatial                              |                     |                  |               |
| Benson figure copy, total score           | 16 [15, 16]         | 16 [15, 16]      | 0.60 (5, 114) |

Means and standard deviations (SD) or medians and interquartile ranges (IQR) are reported.

1Symptomatic cohort is significantly different from controls at *P* < 0.05.

2Presymptomatic cohort is significantly different from symptomatic cohort at *P* < 0.05.

3Presymptomatic cohort is significantly different from controls at *P* < 0.05.

4Z-scores estimated from reflected and log-transformed data were used to fit the regression models.
spherical region of interest centered on a left hippocampal voxel where carriers most frequently had low gray matter. We also created its contralateral homolog. Lower verbal recall scores were associated with lower volume within this left hippocampal sphere ($\rho = 0.66, P < 0.001$), while lower scores in visual recall were

---

### Table 3. Neuropsychological testing of Erasmus cohort.

| Test                                      | Presymptomatic MAPT | Omnibus Model |
|-------------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------|
|                                           | Raw scores:         | z-scores:     |                |
|                                           | mean(SD) or median(IQR) | mean(SD) or median(IQR) | F (df)        |
| Global Cognition¹                          |                     |               |                |
| MMSE, total score                         | 29.5 [29, 30]       | 0.28 [-0.28, 0.85] | 3.88 (4, 37)   |
| Executive Functions/Processing Speed/Attention |                     |               |                |
| Trails A, correct lines per minute         | 58.90 (21.66)       | 0.30 (1.08)   | 4.37 (4, 37)   |
| Trails B, correct lines per minute         | 28.44 (11.99)       | 0.46 (1.29)   | 4.08 (4, 37)   |
| Digit span forward                        | 8.93 (2.56)         | -0.04 (1.32)  | 1.79 (4, 37)   |
| Digit span backward                       | 6.50 (1.74)         | 0.05 (0.89)   | 2.04 (4, 37)   |
| Semantic fluency, animals in 1 min        | 26.93 (6.17)        | 0.52 (1.22)   | 1.94 (4, 37)   |
| Lexical fluency, total D, A, and T words  | 36.57 (14.94)       | 0.25 (1.30)   | 0.72 (4, 37)   |
| Memory                                    |                     |               |                |
| Total Recall (Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test, total) | 48.36 (8.95)       | 0.54 (0.96)   | 3.87 (4, 37)   |
| Delayed Free Recall (Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test) | 9.79 (3.75)        | 0.34 (1.20)   | 2.34 (4, 37)   |
| Language¹                                 |                     |               |                |
| Boston Naming Test, total score           | 54.5 [50, 58]       | 0.07 [-0.92, 0.85] | 1.08 (4, 37)   |
| Visuospatial¹                             |                     |               |                |
| Clock drawing                             | 12.5 [12, 13]       | -0.05 [-0.39, 0.29] | 1.16 (4, 37)   |

Means and standard deviations (SD) or medians and interquartile ranges (IQR) are reported. The Erasmus presymptomatic cohort did not differ from controls for any measure. ¹Z-scores estimated from reflected and log-transformed data were used to fit the regression models.

---

Figure 1. Gray and white matter frequency w-maps grouped by clinical stage. Frequency w-maps show the percentage of subjects within the group, ranging from 1% (magenta) to 100% of subjects (red), who have low gray or white matter volumes with $w \leq -2$ in a given voxel. Top row, left: All MAPT mutation carriers within the symptomatic group have anteromesial temporal atrophy. Top row, right: Symptomatic carriers have atrophy in the uncinate fasciculi, corpus callosum, and anterior corona radiata. Bottom row, left: Approximately 20% of presymtomatic MAPT mutation carriers show low gray matter volume in the mesial temporal lobes. Bottom row, right: Few carriers show low white matter volume before symptom onset. All maps are shown on the Montreal Neurological Institute template brain with the left side of the axial and coronal slices corresponding to the left side of the brain.
associated with lower right hippocampal sphere volume (rho = 0.50, <0.001). Voxelwise, lower verbal recall was correlated with lower hippocampal volume bilaterally, while lower visual recall was associated with lower volume in a small clusters of the left hippocampus and other scattered voxels (Fig. 4). These three analyses (whole hippocampus, hippocampal sphere, voxelwise) were repeated with symptomatic and presymptomatic carrier subgroups and showed no statistically significant correlations between memory measures and gray matter.

We repeated each of these analyses by excluding the three presymptomatic carriers with the CDR® plus NACC FTLD score of 0.5 and results were unchanged.

**Most MAPT mutation subtypes target frontotemporal cortex**

When mean w-maps were calculated for each MAPT subtype, we found that most of the symptomatic MAPT mutation subtype groups (bvFTD-type, PSP/PD type, 4R-type) shared a consistent pattern of fronto-insulo-temporal atrophy (Fig. 5). Of those groups, the bvFTD-type group showed the most severe gray and white matter frontotemporal atrophy, while the PSP/PD type group showed the most severe atrophy within the mesial temporal lobes (Fig. 5). One notable exception to the shared frontotemporal atrophy pattern, however, was the R406W mutation group, which typically results in an amnestic presentation. R406W carriers lacked the frontal gray and white matter atrophy that was characteristic of the other MAPT mutation subtypes, and instead only showed bilateral temporal and insular atrophy. Similar to the bvFTD-type and 4R-type mutations, the PSP/PD group showed frontotemporal atrophy, but additionally showed prominent midbrain atrophy. The presymptomatic carriers with PSP/PD-related mutations had low bilateral anterior cingulate, insula and mesial temporal volumes and were also the only presymptomatic group with voxels of low midbrain volume, albeit in sparse regions. We found no differences in results when the three presymptomatic carriers with a CDR® plus NACC FTLD score of 0.5 were excluded.

![Figure 2. Gray and white matter frequency w-maps of presymptomatic carriers grouped by age decade. Gray matter (left) and white matter (right) frequency w-maps for presymptomatic carriers divided by age decade (rows). Color bar indicates the percentage of subjects within each age decade with low gray or white matter volumes of w<2 in a given voxel. Low mesial temporal lobe volumes arise in about 20% of MAPT mutation carriers as early as their thirties. All maps are shown on the Montreal Neurological Institute template brain with the left side of the axial and coronal slices corresponding to the left side of the brain.](image_url)
Discussion

In this study, we took a comprehensive approach to identify individual-level variation in gray and white matter volume and their relationships with age or symptom severity across the MAPT continuum. We also identified relationships between memory performance and gray matter to explore whether specific MAPT mutation subtypes were associated with distinct atrophy patterns. We found that presymptomatic MAPT mutation carriers have low mesial temporal volumes that are topographically similar to the atrophy pattern seen in symptomatic carriers. Low mesial temporal lobe volumes arose in about 20% of the presymptomatic carriers during their thirties, and regions with low gray matter appeared more common in presymptomatic carriers with each increasing decade. Fewer than 10% of presymptomatic carriers had low white matter values in affected regions. For symptomatic carriers, mesial temporal cortex was ubiquitously atrophied in carriers with a CDR® plus NACC FTLD global score of 1 or greater, with widespread atrophy in frontotemporal cortex in those with more advanced symptoms. In MAPT mutation carriers, poorer memory performance was associated with lower hippocampal volume. We found that atrophy in frontotemporal and insular cortex and the uncinate fasciculi, inferior longitudinal fasciculi, and corpus callosum were commonly observed across different MAPT mutations during the symptomatic phase, with the exception of the R406W mutation carriers who lacked the frontal atrophy characteristic of other MAPT mutation carriers.

A subset of presymptomatic carriers shows low mesial temporal volumes

As in previous studies, we found that frontotemporal cortex is targeted in symptomatic MAPT mutation carriers.\textsuperscript{2,3} Compared to patients with sporadic bvFTD and those with other FTLD mutations, prominent mesial temporal atrophy is more prevalent in MAPT mutation carriers,\textsuperscript{2,5,36} who feature correspondingly greater memory
familial age of onset remain imperfect methods for estimating the actual age of onset. Whether lower hippocampal gray matter volumes portend earlier conversion to the symptomatic phase remains an open question.

In contrast to sporadic bvFTD, memory impairment is a feature of bvFTD due to MAPT mutations.\textsuperscript{40,41} Indeed, we found that episodic memory, particularly verbal memory, was the most severely affected domain in symptomatic carriers. Despite memory and language impairments and lower than average executive performance, visuoconstructional performance was similar to controls. Our results showed that in all MAPT carriers combined, poorer memory performance was correlated with lower hippocampal volumes. Although hippocampal atrophy has been reported in MAPT,\textsuperscript{2,3,6,8,17,36,38} to our knowledge, previous studies have not directly correlated memory performance with hippocampal volume in MAPT mutation carriers. The hippocampus is well-established for its importance in episodic memory\textsuperscript{19,20} and our results support that this structure underlies the memory impairment in MAPT. Our subgroup analyses of symptomatic and presymptomatic carriers failed to identify significant correlations between memory measures and hippocampal volume. Smaller subgroup sample sizes, floor effects in the symptomatic carriers’ memory scores, and the limited dynamic range of presymptomatic carriers’ memory scores serve as possible explanations for these results.

Compared to gray matter, low white matter volume arose less frequently among presymptomatic carriers. One possibility is that gray matter decline precedes white matter decline during the presymptomatic phase. Consistent with this notion, a study of five presymptomatic MAPT mutation carriers followed over four years found that upon visual inspection, the extent of gray matter loss appeared more pronounced than white matter integrity loss.\textsuperscript{52} Although the present study showed that low white matter volumes as assessed by voxel-based morphometry were not prevalent in presymptomatic MAPT, diffusion tensor imaging studies have reported lower white matter tract integrity in presymptomatic MAPT compared to controls.\textsuperscript{2,43} Voxel-based morphometry may be less sensitive at detecting white matter differences compared to diffusion tensor imaging, thus future studies using multiple imaging modalities are needed.

**Regions of low gray and white matter may reflect early neurodegeneration in presymptomatic carriers**

Certain presymptomatic carriers in their thirties showed low mesial temporal volumes, consistent with a previous
study suggesting that gray matter trajectories indicate low hippocampal and amygdalar volumes arising 15 years before estimated symptom onset. While regions with low gray matter could in part reflect neurodevelopmental differences as has been suggested for the C9orf72 repeat expansion, our data indicate that presymptomatic carriers more frequently had low volumes within canonical regions that are targeted in MAPT mutations with each age decade. This finding suggests that a subset of presymptomatic carriers may be undergoing incipient neurodegeneration, with more carriers following suit as symptom onset approaches. Interestingly, the frequency of low mesial temporal lobe volumes appeared to outpace other regions with increasing age, underscoring the notion that the mesial temporal lobe is targeted early in MAPT mutation carriers and becomes increasingly ubiquitous with age and throughout the symptomatic phase. A recent study of 14 presymptomatic MAPT mutation carriers studied over a median of 9 years revealed that the rate of temporal lobe gray matter decline outpaced the rate of decline in the frontal and parietal cortices, suggesting that low temporal gray matter volumes in presymptomatic carriers may represent early neurodegeneration.

**Most MAPT mutation subtypes converge on frontotemporal atrophy**

Despite the different mechanisms of each mutation on tau protein biology and neuropathology, patients with different MAPT mutation subtypes converged on a highly similar pattern of frontal and anterior, mesial and lateral temporal atrophy with a corresponding predominance of bvFTD diagnoses. Another study found that six MAPT mutation subtypes shared anterior temporal gray matter atrophy. IVS10 +16, IVS10 +3, N279K, and S305N additionally showed mesial temporal atrophy, while P301L and V337M had lateral temporal regions targeted, with relative sparing of the mesial temporal lobe. In contrast, our V337M symptomatic carriers showed mesial temporal involvement. Both the present study and...
previous studies have investigated small numbers of participants for each mutation subtype, such that clinical heterogeneity may influence results.

Two notable exceptions arose when studying MAPT mutation subtypes. R406W is the only known MAPT mutation that causes an amnestic syndrome rather than a behavioral syndrome,22,23 and it typically causes mesial temporal atrophy while sparing the frontal lobes relative to other MAPT mutations.22,23,49,50 We found that R406W carriers exclusively showed mesial and lateral temporal atrophy, but lacked frontal atrophy, which may explain why these carriers develop an amnestic rather than a bvFTD syndrome. Among the MAPT mutations we studied, N279K, S305N, and S305S cause PSP and parkinsonism. Interestingly, this group was the only MAPT group which had midbrain atrophy, and midbrain atrophy has been associated with patients with PSP.51 An important caveat to our findings is that variability in age and disease duration within the subtype groups may be contributing to differences in atrophy because the participant numbers are limited for each group. Remarkably, the average disease duration ranged from 2.7 (± 2.7) years for the 4R-type mutation group to 19.5 (± 10.1) years for V337M carriers, yet despite this wide range, the magnitude of atrophy among the four mutation groups was similar. This long disease duration and relatively old average age of onset suggests that V337M might have a more indolent, protracted disease course compared to the other mutations.

Limitations

This study examined one of the largest MAPT cohorts to date, but sample sizes were still small within most mutation subtypes and larger cohorts are needed to study individual MAPT mutations. To maximize power, we combined different mutation subtypes based on clinical or neuropathological similarity. Although we examined relationships of gray and white matter with age, this study had a cross-sectional design and longitudinal analyses are needed to map disease trajectories. The extent to which focal regions with low gray and white matter in presymptomatic mutation carriers may be due to neurodevelopmental differences versus early neurodegeneration remains unknown. Yet, the present study and current literature support the notion that low gray and white matter volumes in presymptomatic MAPT mutation carriers represent early neurodegeneration. Longitudinal studies will clarify these questions by mapping trajectories in MAPT mutation carriers from early life through the symptomatic phase.
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Figure S1. Gray and white matter mean w-maps of symptomatic carriers. Maps show the mean w-score of gray and white matter across the symptomatic carriers.
Symptomatic carriers have atrophy in frontotemporal cortex, insula, uncinate fasciculus, anterior corona radiata, corpus callosum, and inferior longitudinal fasciculus. Maps are thresholded from $w \leq -13$ to $-2$. All maps are shown on the Montreal Neurological Institute template brain with the left side of the axial and coronal slices corresponding to the left side of the brain.
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