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ABSTRACT

Nasal polyps are one of the most common inflammatory mass lesions of the nose. Their etiology remains unclear, but they are known to have associations with allergy, asthma, infection, fungus, cystic fibrosis, and aspirin sensitivity. However, the underlying mechanisms interlinking these pathologic conditions to nasal polyp formation remain unclear. In the present cross-sectional study was carried with 100 patients diagnosed with nasal polyposis in the age group of 10-60 years of both the sexes were studied during this period from June 2014 to October 2015 in the department of ENT, Kakatiya medical college attached to MGM Hospital, Warangal were found in large majority age groups of 11 – 30 years, men are most commonly seen affecting than women (men: women are 2:1). Allergic rhinitis is strongly associated with nasal polyposis, most common symptom is nasal obstruction, anosmia and facial pain are also seen. Most of the patients had nasal polyps reaching beyond the middle turbinate. And also, most of the patients had bilateral ethmoidalopacification on CT scan. only few showed sphenoid and frontal opacities. Steroid therapy was useful in 38% of patients. All others required endoscopic sinus surgery. Also, strong genetic factors are implicated in the pathogenesis of nasal polyps, but genetic and molecular alterations required for its development and progression are still unclear.
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INTRODUCTION

Nasal polyposis is grey-white, painless, pendunculated mass of nasal mucosa. They are unique in their position and their composition, regarded as one form of chronic inflammation in the nose and sinuses, part of the spectrum of chronic rhino sinusitis. Nasal polyps have tendency to recur as long as the underlying disease is not eradicated. The prevalence rate is about 2 percent, increases with age reaching a peak in those aged 50 years and older [1].

On examination, polyps appear to be a uniform inflammatory reaction of nasal mucosa as “pale bags” which arise most commonly from middle meatus and the anterior ethmoidal cells, are relatively insensitive when probed. They commonly occur in systemic diseases such as immotile cilia syndrome, cystic fibrosis, aspirin intolerance and often coincide with intrinsic asthma. Patients with Nasal polyposis suffer from nasal obstruction, recurrent sinusitis, hyposmia, headache, post nasal drip and asthma with or without aspirin sensitivity [2]. Therapy of nasal polyps is one of the major challenges for both conservative and surgical approaches including endoscopic sinus surgery. The management of nasal polyposis has been the topic...
of frequent controversial debates for many decades. Most authors agree on the fact that the management of nasal polyp should be primarily based on a medical approach, to be completed by surgical procedures only in the case of drug failure [3].

Treatment with oral or intranasal steroids has been shown to reduce polyp size, relieve symptoms, and reduce recurrence rates after surgery. Short courses of systemic steroids may also be used to reduce the polyp size reduction, and there are also benefits for patients with intermediate to severe nasal polyps to induce a rapid polyp size reduction, facilitating the ability of the intranasal steroids to gain access to the polypoid tissue [4]. Surgery is a straightforward option in patients with pansinus polyps, those not responding to medical management or who present with unilateral nasal masses that mimic nasal polyps like encephalocele, angiofibroma, inverted papilloma, nasolacrimal duct cyst, haemangiomas, lymphomas, nasopharyngeal carcinoma, sarcoma, chordae, gliomas, neuroblastomas, dermoid tumors, and patients in whom steroids are contraindicated were excluded from the study. All the patients included in the study were questioned, a detailed history was elicited. Complete nasal examination was done including diagnostic nasal endoscopy. Mackay and Lund endoscopic staging system was used to grade the polyps with respect to middle meatus. Results were graded according to the extent of invasion of polyps. They were Stage 1 (extending to the middle meatus), stage 2 (extending to areas beyond the middle conchae without reaching the floor of the nasal passage) and stage 3 (extending through the entire nasal passage).

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The Cross-sectional study was carried with 100 patients diagnosed with nasal polyposis in the age group of 10–60 years of both the sexes were studied during this period from June 2014 to October 2015 in the department of ENT, Kakatiya medical college attached to MGM Hospital, Warangal. Pregnant or lactating women, unable to tolerate surgery with general or local anesthesia due to concurrent medical conditions, past history of prior sinus surgery, patients presenting with unilateral nasal masses that mimic nasal polyps like encephalocele, angiofibroma, inverted papilloma, nasolacrimal duct cyst, haemangiomas, lymphomas, nasopharyngeal carcinoma, sarcoma, chordae, gliomas, neuroblastomas, dermoid tumors, and patients in whom steroids are contraindicated were excluded from the study. All the patients included in the study were questioned, a detailed history was elicited. Complete nasal examination was done including diagnostic nasal endoscopy. Mackay and Lund endoscopic staging system was used to grade the polyps with respect to middle meatus. Results were graded according to the extent of invasion of polyps. They were stage 1 (extending to the middle meatus), stage 2 (extending to areas beyond the middle conchae without reaching the floor of the nasal passage) and stage 3 (extending through the entire nasal passage).

| Endoscopic appearance | Score |
|-----------------------|-------|
| No polyps             | 0     |
| Restricted to middle meatus | 1 |
| Below middle turbinate | 2     |
| Massive polyposis     | 3     |

### Table 2: Endoscopic scoring in each sinus.

| Sinus          | Right | Left |
|----------------|-------|------|
| Maxillary      | 0–2   | 0–2  |
| Anterior ethmoid | 0–2 | 0–2  |
| Posterior ethmoid | 0–2 | 0–2  |
| Sphenoid       | 0–2   | 0–2  |
| Frontal        | 0–2   | 0–2  |
| Osteomeatal complex | 0/2 | 0/2  |
| **Total**      | **0–12** | **0–12** |

Plain computerized tomography of the paranasal sinuses was done to know the extent of polyps.
and associated sinusitis. A scoring system was used to know the extent of polyposis in the CT scans.

**CT SCAN STAGING OF NASAL POLYPOSIS**

**NO OPACITY - 0**

**SOME OPACITY- 1**

**TOTAL OPACITY - 2**

**TREATMENT:** The treatment advised to the patients was either medical and/or surgical therapy. Thorough preoperative assessment of the patients was done. Medical management included topical steroids for period of one month and oral steroids for the period of 2 weeks. Follow up done for 3 weeks, 6 weeks and 3 months. Surgical treatment included Functional endoscopic sinus surgery. Post operatively patients were followed for first week, 3 weeks, and at 3 months. All patients following either medical or surgical therapy were examined for reduction of symptoms, decrease in the size of the polyp by nasal endoscopy and for crusting or synched formation.

**OPERATIVE PROCEDURE:** Patients underwent operative procedure under both local and general Anaesthesia. In powered endoscopy group, the Microdebrider (Unidrive II, Karl-Storz, Tutlingen, Germany) was used for surgical intervention. The entire procedure was carried out by the Microdebrider including polypectomy, middle meatal antrostomy, anterior and posterior ethmoidectomy, sphenoidotomy and frontal recess cleaning according to the extent of the disease. Cutting blades were used in the oscillating mode at 3,000 rpm. The extent of the surgical procedure was determined by CT findings, and the extent of mucosal disease and anatomic variations noted during surgery.

**POSTOPERATIVE CARE:** The nasal pack was removed the second day after surgery. Prophylactic antibiotic was given intravenously at the time of surgery and continued orally for 1 week. Saline nasal irrigation and topical steroid spray were used until healing of nasal mucosa occurs. Patients were seen in the office for endoscopic debridement under local anaesthesia until mucosal healing was complete. The typical routine of postoperative visits was days 1, 3, 10, 17 and 24 after surgery. Additional visits were needed in some patients on weekly intervals till healing occurs, then routinely at 3- and 6-months intervals to evaluate the patient subjectively, objectively by endoscopic examination of the operated cavities. The amounts of crusting, scarring and synched were documented at each visit.

**RESULTS**

**Table 3:** Associated Conditions Of Nasal Polyposis.

| Condition            | No. of Patients | Percentage |
|----------------------|-----------------|------------|
| Allergic Rhinitis    | 67              | 67         |
| Chronic Sinusitis    | 31              | 31         |
| Asthma               | 6               | 6          |
| Aspirin Intolerance  | 2               | 2          |

**Fig. 1:** Symptomatology of Patients.

**SYMPTOMATOLOGY**

Most common symptom experienced was nasal obstruction (100%), 80% of the patients had nasal discharge, 26% of the patients had anosmia and 10% of the patients had headache.

**STAGE OF NASAL POLYPOSIS ON CLINICAL EXAMINATION:** A large proportion of the study patients (78%) were in stage 2 of disease. Stage 2 refers to polyp extending beyond the middle turbinate but not reaching the floor of the nasal passage, Stage 8 % and stage 3 16%.

**LATERALITY OF POLYP:** 72 % of the study patients had unilateral involvement of nasal polyp, and 28 % had bilateral involvement of nasal polyp.
Table 4: Endoscopic Grading Of The Polyps.

| Endoscopic Grading | Frequency |
|--------------------|-----------|
| Grade 0            | 23        |
| Grade 1            | 48        |
| Grade 2            | 21        |
| Grade 3            | 8         |
| Total              | 100       |

On endoscopic examination Grade 2 polyp (Polyp beyond middle meatus, not completely obstructing nose) was seen in 48 patients (48%) and Grade 3 (Polyp extending in to the floor of nasal passage) is seen in 8(8%) patients.

Table 5: CT Scan Grading Of Nasal Polyposis.

| Name Of The Sinus/Site | Grade 0 | Grade 1 | Grade 2 | Total |
|------------------------|---------|---------|---------|-------|
| Maxillary              | 0%      | 34%     | 66%     | 100   |
| Anterior Ethmoid       | 40%     | 20%     | 40%     | 100   |
| Posterior Ethmoid      | 66%     | 10%     | 24%     | 100   |
| Sphenoid               | 83%     | 13%     | 14%     | 100   |
| Frontal                | 96%     | 4%      | 0%      | 100   |
| OMC                    | 8%      | 33%     | 59%     | 100   |

A large majority of patients with Maxillary sinus involvement had Grade 2 66% (total opacification of sinus), Grade 0 (no involvement) and Grade 2 disease was seen in 40% of patients with anterior ethmoid sinus involvement, A large majority of the patients with Posterior ethmoid, Frontal and Sphenoid sinus involvement had Grade 0 disease.

Table 6: Mode of Treatment.

| Mode Of Treatment | No. of Patients | No. Improved |
|-------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Medical           | 100             | 38           |
| Surgery           | 62              | 56           |

All 100 patients were given intranasal steroids and short course of oral steroids. 38% showed improvement. Out of the 38 patients 26 had relief of nasal obstruction, 14 had decrease in the size of the polyp, and 9 had relief of anosmia. 62 patients underwent functional endoscopic sinus surgery, 56 had improvement and 6 patients had recurrence.

DISCUSSION

In the present study maximum study population were in the age group of 11 to 20 and 21 to 30 years who constituted 30% of population each, followed by 31 to 40 and 0 to 10 years who formed 16.7% and 13.3% respectively, 10% of population were in age group of >40 years. Out of the 100 patients 64 were males (64%) and 36% were females (36%). According to epidemiological analysis in patients with nasal polyps by Bettiga S et al, polyps are more common in elderly over the age group of 50 and rarely affects children and young people and men are more commonly affected with polyps (41.66%) which is in accordance with this study [9]. In the present 67 patients had associated allergic rhinitis, 06 patients had asthma, 31 had chronic sinusitis, and Only 2 patients of asthma had aspirin intolerance with nasal polyposis. Jovicic Jet al. [10] showed the association of allergic rhinitis with nasal polyposis. Association between asthma and nasal polyps is commonly reported in literature as around 7 to 20% with asthmatics over 40 years of age being more commonly affected with nasal polyps [11]. Nasal Polyposis affects from 5.2% to 13% of patients with asthma [12]. Staikuniene et al [13] in their study on Association of chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps and asthma. clinical and radiological features, allergy and inflammation markers, showed that out of 121 patients with chronic sinusitis 84 patients (69.4%) had nasal polyps.

In the present study nasal obstruction was the most common symptom that affected 100% of patients followed by voice change and mouth breathing being present in 83.3%. Nasal discharge or anterior rhinorrhea was reported by 80% of patients. 76.7% of patients suffered from anosmia and headache. Bettiga et al [9] in their epidemiological study found that the most frequent clinical manifestations were anterior and posterior rhinorrhea and anosmia both were present in 90% of the patients, 80% of patients reported nasal obstruction; 60% reported sneezing; 50% reported itching and 30% of them reported conjunctivitis. According to Drake Lee et al the main presenting symptom of nasal polyp is nasal obstruction which is constant but can vary depending on the site and size of the polyps. Sufferers will also frequently complain of watery rhinorrhea and postnasal drip. Anosmia or hyposmia with an ensuing alteration in taste are also characteristic symptoms of nasal polyp. Radenne et al [14] found that nasal polyps, besides causing nasal obstruction, hyposmia, and recurrent infection, impaired the
quality of life more than perennial allergic rhinitis did [15].

Among 100 patients 78% were found to be having Stage II disease while 16 patients had Stage III disease. Lacroix et al in a histological comparison of nasal polyposis in black African, Chinese and Caucasian patients found that Stage I Nasal polyp was present in 22% of the Caucasians and 30% of the Chinese. Stage II was found in 58% of the Caucasians, 56 % of the Chinese and 8% of the Africans. Stage III was found in 92% of the Africans, while only 20% of the Caucasians and 14% of the Chinese patients had stage III. The extent of submucosal oedema and number of mast cells were similar for the three groups of patients [16].

72% of patients were found to be having unilateral disease and 28% of them were having bilateral disease on anterior rhinoscopic examination. Unilateral nasal polyp was common among children and adolescents and one third among them are antrochoanal polyp where infection plays a major role. Ethmoidal polyp arises from multiple ethmoidal air cells common among adults and elderly, mostly due to allergy [17].

Among 100 patients 48 of them showed grade 2 stage of the polyps on nasal endoscopy. Followed by 23 who had grade 1 stage. Johansson et al [18] compared the reproducibility of various score systems for staging nasal polyposis and the inter-individual variations between investigators. A score system with three steps ad modum Lund and Mackay determining their relationship to the middle meatus. Majority of the patients in the study had maxillary sinus involvement with total opacification of sinus of Lund Mackay Grade 2. CT provides objective information about inflammatory sinus disease. Yet evaluation of sinus disease using CT scan alone lacks sensitivity. Unfortunately, the fact remains that, though many staging systems have been proposed few have been tested. The number of currently published staging systems for sinusitis emphasizes the importance of developing a unified staging system, hence the recommendation by American academy of Otolaryngology, Head and neck surgery for adoption of modified Lund-Mackay system [19]. Ullah et al in their descriptive study on surgical management of massive nasal polyps observed on CT Scan, bilateral involvement of Ethmoids and Nasal cavities in 90% of cases, while orbital involvement was noted in 10% of cases and intracranial extension in 2 cases [20].

Out of 100 patients 38 patients showed improvement with intra nasal steroids and short course of systemic steroids. Most of the patients who showed improvement with steroids had grade 1 and grade 2 nasal polyps. 62% of patients underwent Functional endoscopic sinus surgery. 6 patients of them had recurrence. Tuncer U et al in their study out of 17 patients 12% had polyp free nasal cavity, 76% had clear involution of the polyp and 12% had no response [21]. Garrel Ret al in their study out of 132 patients who underwent endoscopic sinus surgery 62% had improvement [22]. Fokkens et al. showed in his study 5-10% of recurrence with severe disease [23].

CONCLUSION

Nasal polyps are one of the most common inflammatory mass lesions of the nose, they present with nasal obstruction, anosmia, rhinorrhea, post nasal drip, and less commonly facial pain. In the present study Nasal polyps were found in large majority age groups of 11 – 30 years men are most commonly seen affecting than women (men: women are 2:1). Allergic rhinitis is strongly associated with nasal polyposis, most common symptom is nasal obstruction, anosmia and facial pain are also seen. Most of the patients had nasal polyps reaching beyond the middle turbinate. And also, most of the patients had bilateral ethmoidal opacification on CT scan only few showed sphenoid and frontal opacities. Steroid therapy was useful in 38% of patients. All others required endoscopic sinus surgery. A better understanding of the pathophysiology underlying the persistent inflammatory state in nasal polyp is necessary to ultimately develop novel pharmacotherapeutic approaches.
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