Study of temporal evolution of emission spectrum in a steeply rising submillimeter burst
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Abstract The temporal evolution of a spectrum during a steeply rising submillimeter (THz) burst that occurred on 2003 November 2 was investigated in detail for the first time. Observations show that the flux density of the THz spectrum increased steeply with frequency above 200 GHz. Their average rising rates reached a value of 235 sfu GHz$^{-1}$ (corresponding to spectral index $\alpha$ of 4.8) during the burst. The flux densities reached about 4000 and 70 000 sfu at 212 and 405 GHz at the maximum phase, respectively. The emissions at 405 GHz maintained such a continuous high level that they largely exceeded the peak values of the microwave (MW) spectra during the main phase. Our studies suggest that only energetic electrons with a low-energy cutoff of $\sim$1 MeV and number density of $\sim 10^8$ cm$^{-3}$ can produce such a strong and steeply rising THz component via gyrosynchrotron radiation based on numerical simulations of burst spectra in the case of a nonuniform magnetic field. The electron number density $N$, derived from our numerical fits to the THz temporal evolution spectra, increased substantially from $8 \times 10^6$ to $4 \times 10^8$ cm$^{-3}$, i.e., the $N$ value increased 50 times during the rise phase. During the decay phase it decreased to $7 \times 10^7$ cm$^{-3}$, i.e., it decreased by about five times from the maximum phase. The total electron number decreased an order of magnitude from the maximum phase to the decay phase. Nevertheless, the variation in amplitude of $N$ is only about one time in the MW emission source during this burst, and the total electron number did not decrease but increased by about 20% during the decay phase. Interestingly, we find that the THz source radius decreased by about 24% while the MW source radius, on the contrary, increased by 28% during the decay phase.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The diagnostics of highly relativistic electrons and emission source regions in solar flares are essentially based on their emission spectra at various wavelength ranges (Gary 1985; Wang et al. 1994; Zhou & Karlicky 1994; Zhou et al. 2005; Huang et al. 2005; Zhou et al. 2009). The spectral maximum of the microwave (MW) emission is typically in the range of 3–30 GHz, depending primarily on the energy of the accelerated electrons. There are rare spectral examples of MW peaking at higher frequencies, up to 94 GHz for solar observations made in the past (Croom 1973; Kaufmann et al. 1985; Ramaty et al. 1994; Chertok et al. 1995). Since 2000, new instrumentation observing in the 200–400 GHz range have become available, and more than 10 flares have been observed in this band (Silva et al. 2007; Krucker et al. 2013). For some flares these observations show that the gyrosynchrotron (GS) component extends up to 200 GHz (Trottet et al. 2002) or higher frequencies (Lüthi et al. 2004b). However, for other flares the radio spectrum above 200 GHz is not a continuation of the GS spectrum measured at lower frequencies, but surprisingly increases with increasing frequency (Silva et al. 2007; Lüthi et al. 2004a; Kaufmann et al. 2004). This spectral feature is termed a “THz component.”

So far, there have been various possible explanations proposed for the new increasing submillimeter spectral component, but many theoretical issues remain open (Zhou et al. 2011; Krucker et al. 2013). We think that the GS emission is a possible mechanism of the THz emission. But under the assumption of a uniform source, an extreme value of the magnetic field of 4500 G and a high electron number density of $1.7 \times 10^{12}$ cm$^{-3}$ are required in the explanation of GS emission (Silva et al. 2007). These requirements can be decreased to a reasonable range by using our GS radiation model that includes self and gyroresonance absorptions (Zhou et al. 2008) in a nonuniform magnetic field model (Zhou et al. 2011).

Thus far, spectra with a positive slope have only been observed in a handful of the most energetic events
(Krucker et al. 2013), so THz burst observations are very valuable, especially for the 2003 November 2 burst which has a set of complete observations of the temporal evolution of the spectra in THz. These observations can provide important diagnostics about the energy release process of ultrarelativistic electrons and variation of the environment in the THz burst region in deeper layers of the solar atmosphere (about 1000–30000 km above the photosphere).

In this paper, we will investigate this set of temporal evolution spectra in detail for the first time. A vast amount of numerical simulations for these temporal evolution spectra have been done by using the GS emission model in the case of a dipole magnetic dipole. We try to obtain a diagnostic of the physical parameters of highly relativistic electrons and their environment in the solar THz and MW burst regions. Then we compare the temporal evolution results about the electron number density and source size in the MW and THz burst regions. Finally, we give a summary and conclusions.

2 OBSERVATIONS

Extensive flare activities were observed in super-AR NOAA 10486 during its disk passage (2003 October 22 - November 4). Among them, an increasing submillimeter burst was detected by the Solar Submillimeter Telescope (SST) at 212 and 405 GHz in the flare starting at ∼17:16 UT on 2003 November 2. The flare was also detected simultaneously by the Owens Valley Solar Array (OVSA) at MW wavelengths (see Fig. 1). The maximum phase, main phase, and decay phase are shown in Figure 1 according to the time profiles of the THz burst. This flare was classified as a GOES X8.3 and 2B event.

Figure 2 displays the temporal evolutions of the emission spectrum at the MW and THz wavelengths. It indicates that at 17:16:15 UT of the rise phase, the OVSA radio flux densities reached, respectively, 5.1 × 10^3 and 3 × 10^4 sfu at 3 and 18 GHz in the MW range; and ∼1.2 × 10^3 and 3.1 × 10^4 sfu at 212 and 405 GHz in the THz range. At the maximum phase the flux densities increased dramatically to 8 × 10^3 and 4 × 10^4 at 3 and 18 GHz respectively; and 4 × 10^3 and 7 × 10^4 sfu at 212 and 405 GHz respectively. After the maximum phase, the flux densities gradually decreased until 17:18:00 UT. In a period of 17:18:00 to 17:18:30 UT the flux densities of the submillimeter spectrum increased once again, but this increase of flux density did not occur in the MW range, which means that more energetic electrons were accelerated to higher energies in that period. After ∼17:20:30 UT the MW and THz emissions decreased further. The flux densities at 405 GHz were so high that they exceeded the peak flux densities of the MW spectrum during the main phase.

3 INCREASING RATE OF FLUX DENSITY OF SUBMILLIMETER BURST SPECTRUM

It is found from Figure 2 that the rising rate of the flux density, r (sfu GHz⁻¹), changes greatly in the THz spectrum range during the burst. The rising rate obtained from the observational spectra increased from 154 to 342 sfu GHz⁻¹ during the rise phase (see Table 1). During the decay phase, it decreased from 342 to 142 sfu GHz⁻¹, i.e., the rising rate at the maximum phase is much higher than that at the rise phase or decay phase. The average value of r reached 235 sfu GHz⁻¹ (corresponding to a spectral index α of 4.8 at the optically thick part) during this flare. Thus, it is a steeply rising THz burst.

4 FIT FOR THE STEEPLY RISING SUBMILLIMETER BURST SPECTRUM

It is well known that the radio spectrum can provide crucial information about energetic electrons and their environment in solar flares. This information mainly contains the energy spectral index δ, low- and high-energy cutoffs E₀ and Eₘ, respectively, electron number density N, source radius R, and magnetic field strength B in source regions. The magnetic field strength B can be estimated in the case of a dipole magnetic field if the photospheric magnetic field strength B₀, the lower boundary height of THz source h₀, and the corresponding upper one hₙ can be determined (Zhou et al. 2008, 2011). A set of reasonable values of the parameters is taken as δ = 3, B₀ = 5000 G, R = 0.5′′, h₀ = 10⁸ cm, and hₙ = 3 × 10⁸ cm for the THz spectra based on our numerical simulations; so only the energy cutoffs E₀ and Eₘ, and electron number density N remain unknown.

Figure 3 shows that the effect of increasing the high-energy cutoff Eₘ on the THz spectrum only enhances the GS emission at the optically thin part a little and at the optically thick part it is constant. Hence, the Eₘ value of 10 MeV is high enough for calculations of the THz burst spectrum. Finally, the remaining unknown parameters are only E₀ and N. In the paper we try to derive the two parameters from the fits to observations of the spectral evolution in THz.

Figure 2 shows that their flux densities reached about 4000 and 70 000 sfu at 212 and 405 GHz at the maximum phase, respectively. During the main phase, the emissions at 405 GHz maintained such a continuous high level that they largely exceeded the peak values of the MW spectra. It is important to know what conditions are needed to produce such steeply rising and giant submillimeter emission.

Table 2 gives the theoretical rising rate rₚₘᵦₒ sfu GHz⁻¹ of the modeled submillimeter components for different number densities N setting E₀ = 1 MeV, and different low-energy cutoffs E₀ setting N = 8 × 10¹¹ cm⁻³. It shows that the theoretical rising rate rₚₘᵦₒ obviously increases with increasing number density and increasing low-energy cutoff. The effects of low-energy cutoff and electron number density on the THz spectrum are given in Figure 4. It demonstrates that the THz spectral distributions are sensitive to the two parameters. We find that only the electrons with a low-energy cutoff of ∼1 MeV and number density of ∼10⁶–10⁸ cm⁻³ can produce such steeply rising THz spectral components as the 2003 November 2 burst. Even in this case of 1 MeV
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Fig. 1 Temporal evolution of the emission at 18 GHz from OVSA, 212 and 405 GHz from SST, and GOES X-ray flux of the 2003 November 2 flare. The rise phase (~17:16 – ~ 17:17 UT), the maximum phase (~17:17 UT), the decay phase (~17:17 – ~17:24 UT, and the main phase (~17:16 – 17:22 UT) are shown in panel three.

Table 1 Rising Rates $r$ sfu GHz$^{-1}$ of the Flux Density $S_\nu$ of the THz Component During the November 2 THz Burst

| Date       | Time   | Rise-phase | Max.-phase | Decay-phase | $S_{212 \text{GHz}}$ ($\times 10^3$) | $S_{405 \text{GHz}}$ ($\times 10^4$) | $r$ (sfu GHz$^{-1}$) |
|------------|--------|------------|------------|-------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|
| 2003 11 02 | 17:16:15 | yes        |            |             | 1.2                                  | 3.1                                | 154                 |
|            | 17:17:06 |            | yes        |             | 4.0                                  | 7.0                                | 342                 |
|            | 17:17:30 |            | yes        |             | 3.2                                  | 5.0                                | 242                 |
|            | 17:18:00 |            | yes        |             | 3.5                                  | 4.0                                | 210                 |
|            | 17:18:30 |            | yes        |             | 4.0                                  | 5.8                                | 280                 |
|            | 17:19:00 |            | yes        |             | 5.0                                  | 5.5                                | 259                 |
|            | 17:19:30 |            | yes        |             | 5.0                                  | 5.5                                | 259                 |
|            | 17:20:00 |            | yes        |             | 5.0                                  | 4.8                                | 223                 |
|            | 17:21:00 |            | yes        |             | 4.5                                  | 3.2                                | 142                 |

Table 2 Theoretical Increasing Rates $r_{\text{theo}}$ sfu GHz$^{-1}$ of the Submillimeter Spectral Components for Different Number Densities $N$ Setting $E_0 = 1$ MeV, and for Different Low-Energy Cutoffs $E_0$ Setting $N = 8 \times 10^{11}$ cm$^{-3}$, where $\delta = 3$, $B_0 = 5000$ G, $\theta = 60^\circ$ and $h_d = 10^{8}$ cm.

| $N$ (cm$^{-3}$) | $r_{\text{theo}}$ | $E_0$ (keV) | $S_{212 \text{GHz}}$ ($\times 10^3$) | $S_{405 \text{GHz}}$ ($\times 10^4$) |
|----------------|------------------|-------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| $4 \times 10^7$ | 64               | 50          | 100                                  | 180                                |
| $10^8$          | 95               | 100         | 300                                  | 1000                               |
| $5 \times 10^8$ | 180              | 300         | 96                                   | 234                                |
| $10^9$          | 1000             | 300         | 96                                   | 234                                |

low-energy cutoff and $8 \times 10^{11}$ cm$^{-3}$ electron number density, the maximum theoretical rising rate $r_{\text{theo}}$ only reaches 234 sfu GHz$^{-1}$ (see Table 2), which is still smaller than the observational one (342 sfu GHz$^{-1}$) at the maximum phase of this THz burst.

It is also seen from Figure 4 that the maximum frequency in the THz range can reach as high as ~2000 GHz and the GS emissions can extend to higher (>5000 GHz) frequencies in the case of 1 MeV low-energy cutoff and $8 \times 10^{11}$ cm$^{-3}$ electron number density.

Now we will try to fit the temporal evolution spectra of the THz burst for $\delta = 3$, $E_0 = 1$ MeV and source radius $R = 0.5''$. A sequence of number densities is selected to fit these spectra. The modeled GS emission spectra are given in Figure 2 by the solid lines, which indicate that the modeled spectra fit the observational ones well. The required electron number densities are given in Table 3 for the THz spectra. It demonstrates that the number density $N$ increased substantially from $8 \times 10^6$ cm$^{-3}$ at the rise phase to $4 \times 10^8$ cm$^{-3}$ at the maximum phase in the THz
source, i.e., $N$ increased about 50 times. Then the electron number $N$ began to drop from its maximum value, but it increased once again at 17:18:30 UT and the peak frequency of the modeled spectrum can shift to a higher frequency of 1500 GHz at that time (see Fig. 2). At 17:21:00 UT of the decay phase, the value of $N$ decreased to $7 \times 10^7$ cm$^{-3}$, i.e., it decreased nearly five times from the maximum value. The total electron numbers $N_{\text{total}}$ are also calculated in radio sources (see Table 3). We can see from Table 3 that the value of $N_{\text{total}}$ in the THz source increased rapidly from $10^{31}$ at the rise phase to $5.2 \times 10^{32}$ at the maximum phase, i.e., also increasing 50 times with the electron number density due to constant source size in that period. During the decay phase the $N_{\text{total}}$ value decreased from $5.2 \times 10^{32}$ to $5.3 \times 10^{31}$, i.e., it decreased about an order of magnitude.

We also define a sequence of electron number densities to fit the observational MW spectra in the case of $E_0 = 10$ keV, and $R = 25''$. We found that these modeled spectra also fit the observational spectra well from the rise phase to the decay phase. The required electron number density $N$ in the MW source is also given in Table 3, which shows that the value of $N$ in the MW emission source increased by only about one time during the rise phase and...
Table 3 Variations of the Source Size $R''$, the Electron Number Density $N$, and the Total Number Density $N_{\text{total}}$ in the MW and THz Emission Regions of the 2003 November 2 Burst.

| Time       | MW: $N$ (cm$^{-3}$) | $N_{\text{total}}$ (x10$^{35}$) | THz: $N$ (cm$^{-3}$) | $N_{\text{total}}$ (x10$^{35}$) |
|------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|
| 17:16:15   | 25 8.0 x 10$^{7}$   | 2.6 0.5 8 x 10$^{6}$ 1.0 x 10$^{21}$ | 17:16:15   | 25 8.0 x 10$^{7}$   |
| 17:17:06   | 15 1.8 x 10$^{8}$   | 5.9 0.5 4 x 10$^{8}$ 5.2 x 10$^{32}$ | 17:17:06   | 15 1.8 x 10$^{8}$   |
| 17:17:30   | 25 1.6 x 10$^{8}$   | 5.3 0.5 1.0 x 10$^{8}$ 1.3 x 10$^{32}$ | 17:17:30   | 25 1.6 x 10$^{8}$   |
| 17:18:00   | 25 1.6 x 10$^{8}$   | 5.3 0.5 4 x 10$^{8}$ 5.2 x 10$^{32}$ | 17:18:00   | 25 1.6 x 10$^{8}$   |
| 17:18:30   | 25 1.6 x 10$^{8}$   | 5.3 0.5 3 x 10$^{8}$ 3.9 x 10$^{32}$ | 17:18:30   | 25 1.6 x 10$^{8}$   |
| 17:19:00   | 25 1.5 x 10$^{8}$   | 5.0 0.5 2 x 10$^{8}$ 2.6 x 10$^{32}$ | 17:19:00   | 25 1.5 x 10$^{8}$   |
| 17:19:30   | 30 1.3 x 10$^{8}$   | 6.1 0.45 2 x 10$^{8}$ 2.6 x 10$^{32}$ | 17:19:30   | 30 1.3 x 10$^{8}$   |
| 17:20:00   | 30 1.3 x 10$^{8}$   | 6.1 0.45 1.3 x 10$^{8}$ 1.4 x 10$^{32}$ | 17:20:00   | 30 1.3 x 10$^{8}$   |
| 17:21:00   | 32 1.3 x 10$^{8}$   | 7.0 0.38 7 x 10$^{7}$ 5.3 x 10$^{31}$ | 17:21:00   | 32 1.3 x 10$^{8}$   |

$N$ decreased only a little during the decay phase, which are much smaller than in the THz emission source. In the MW source the total electron number doubled during the rise phase. During the decay phase, $N_{\text{total}}$ did not decrease but increased from 5.9 x 10$^{35}$ at the maximum phase to 7 x 10$^{35}$, i.e., it increased by about 20%.

5 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Table 3 shows that the required electron number density $N$ in the 2003 November 2 THz emission source increased substantially from 8 x 10$^{6}$ at the rise phase to 4 x 10$^{8}$ cm$^{-3}$ at the maximum phase, i.e., the $N$ value increased 50 times from the rise phase to the maximum phase. It means that there would be a very effective electron acceleration mechanism, which can effectively accelerate a huge amount of electrons to a higher energy range of ~1 to 10 MeV in that period. Then $N$ began to drop from the maximum value, but it increased once again at 17:18:30 UT and the peak frequency of the fitting spectrum at that time can shift to a higher frequency of 1500 GHz (see Fig. 2). This could result from another effective electron acceleration before ~17:18:30 UT. At 17:21:00 UT it decreased to 7 x 10$^{7}$ cm$^{-3}$, i.e., decreased about five times from the maximum phase to the decay phase. However, the variation in amplitude of $N$ in the MW source only reached about one time during this burst, which is much smaller than that in the THz emission source. During the decay phase, the total electron number $N_{\text{total}}$ decreased by an order of magnitude in the THz source, while the value of $N_{\text{total}}$ in the
MW source did not decrease but increased from $5.9 \times 10^{35}$ to $7 \times 10^{35}$, i.e., it increased by about 20%.

The dramatic variation of electron number density in the THz emission source could result from the effective electron acceleration at the rise phase and strong electron energy loss at the decay phase. However in the MW source, $N_{\text{total}}$ did not decrease but increased by about 20% during the decay phase. There could be many more electrons that decayed from the higher energies which resulted in variation in amplitude of electron number density in the MW source being much smaller than that in the THz source.

It is found that the THz source radius obtained from numerical fits decreased from 0.5″ to 0.45″ and even to 0.38″ during the decay phase, i.e., it decreased by about 24%, but the MW one increased by 28% during the decay phase. Similar variation in source size can also be seen from the study of the 2003 November 4 event (Zhou et al. 2011). This variation in the source size is perhaps a rather interesting result. It would result from variation in the trap height of the energetic electrons, variation in the magnetic field topology, or others.

In the paper we investigate the novel rising THz burst that occurred in super-AR NOAA 10486 on 2003 November 2. Our studies show that it is a steeply rising and very giant THz event. The average rising rate of the November 2. Our studies show that it is a steeply rising burst that occurred in super-AR NOAA 10486 on 2003.

The average magnetic field strength of 2690 G is much larger in the THz emission source than in the MW source during the burst. In addition, the THz source radius decreased by about 24%, but the MW one increased by 28% during the decay phase. These interesting results would be significant because they can provide important information about the ultrarelativistic electron acceleration, trap, energy loss, and possible evolution in the magnetic field topology at different levels in the burst source region or others. However, we must note that the required source radius is usually much smaller based on the GS emission calculations. Further progress in understanding the physics of THz emission requires observations with a more complete spectral coverage and higher spatial resolution at the THz range.
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