Evaluating the Role of Exogenously Applied Ascorbic Acid in Rescuing Soybean Plant Health in The Presence of Pathogen-Induced Oxidative Stress
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Abstract: Charcoal rot, caused by the soilborne hemibiotrophic fungus Macrophomina phaseolina, is a prevalent and economically significant plant disease. It is hypothesized that M. phaseolina induces oxidative stress-mediated senescence in plants. Infection by M. phaseolina results in the host’s accumulation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) that contribute toward basal defense. However, the production of ROS could also lead to cellular damage and senescence in host tissue. This study aimed to determine if ascorbic acid, a ROS scavenging molecule, could quench M. phaseolina-induced hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$) generation in a soybean-M. phaseolina pathosystem. In vitro sensitivity tests showed that M. phaseolina isolates were sensitive to L-ascorbic acid (LAA) at concentrations of 10.5 to 14.3 mM based on IC$_{50}$ (half-maximal inhibitory concentration) data. In planta cut-stem assays demonstrated that pre-treatment with 10 mM of either LAA (reduced form) or DHAA (dehydroascorbic acid; oxidized form) significantly decreased lesion length compared to the non-pretreated control and post-treatments with both ascorbic acid forms after M. phaseolina inoculation. Further, H$_2$O$_2$ quantification from ascorbic acid-pretreated tissue followed by M. phaseolina inoculation showed significantly less accumulation of H$_2$O$_2$ than the inoculated control or the mock-inoculated control. This result demonstrated that M. phaseolina not only induced H$_2$O$_2$ after host infection but also increased ROS-mediated senescence. This study shows the potential of ascorbic acid, an effective ROS scavenger, to limit ROS-mediated senescence associated with M. phaseolina infection.
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1. Introduction

Charcoal rot of soybean (Glycine max (L.) Merr.) is caused by the ubiquitous soilborne fungus Macrophomina phaseolina (Tassi) Goid. M. phaseolina infects a wide range of plant hosts and is distributed worldwide [1–5]. Under favorable environmental conditions, host infection begins with the germination of microsclerotia in the soil near plant roots. Then, microsclerotial hyphae penetrate the soybean plant through the root and grow intercellularly into the vascular region. Fungal colonization ultimately damages plant tissue by plugging xylem vessels and producing phytotoxins [6–9]. When no conspicuous disease symptoms are expressed in the plant, the fungus remains biotrophic and latent. A histopathological study using a resistant and susceptible soybean cultivar revealed that M. phaseolina requires a nine-day incubation or biotrophic phase in a susceptible cultivar to grow intercellularly and colonize the stele tissue. In contrast, the resistant cultivar restricted infection and disease development [10]. However, with the onset of an environmental stressor, such as drought or high temperature, high plant populations, or nutritional deficiency during the post-flowering stages, M. phaseolina may induce necrotrophy [8,11]. In the necrotrophic phase, M. phaseolina causes disease symptoms associated with wilting as the pathogen blocks the vascular bundles, induces the production of plant degradative enzymes, and causes phytotoxin-mediated necrosis [1,8,10,12]. Therefore, M. phaseolina functions as a hemibiotroph by taking advantage of both the biotrophic phase needed for
its initial infection and development and the progression of the necrotrophic phase, which is required for pathogen survival [10].

Plants possess two layers of defenses against microorganisms, basal and specific. Specific defense is mediated by resistance (R) genes. It produces a hypersensitive response (HR) in incompatible interactions, whereas basal defense recognizes microbe-associated molecular patterns (MAMPs), which triggers MAMP-triggered immunity (MTI) [13–16]. Biotrophic pathogens depend on living hosts for nutrition and proliferation. At the same time, their growth is restricted once the host R gene recognizes the biotrophic pathogen-secreted effector, and the host induces HR-mediated cell death. However, pathogens with necrotrophic phases, such as M. phaseolina, take advantage of this R gene-mediated necrotrophic effector recognition and HR-mediated built-in cell senescence as their growth, nutrition, infection, and further proliferation initiate with the R gene-mediated defense responses and host senescence. This host defense mechanism benefits pathogens with necrotrophic phases to become more infective and ultimately increases host susceptibility [17,18].

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) are generated as defense signals in plants in response to abiotic and biotic stresses [12,19,20]. ROS species include H$_2$O$_2$ (hydrogen peroxide), OH$^\cdot$ (hydroxyl radical), and $^\cdot$O$_2$– (superoxide radical) [21]. Of these, H$_2$O$_2$ is long-lived and is a REDOX (reduction-oxidation) metabolite that acts as a signaling molecule or an inducer of oxidative damage depending on its concentration [22,23]. Low and balanced levels of H$_2$O$_2$ are known to be involved in diverse signaling processes in the plant, including stress tolerance, control of enzymatic and nonenzymatic antioxidative defense systems (the plant has a built-in antioxidative defense system to mask against oxidative damage caused by these free toxic and reactive oxygen intermediates), stomatal control, cell cycle, growth regulation, and photosynthesis [22–24]. However, imbalanced or excessive H$_2$O$_2$ induces toxicity and damage to biomolecules, which leads to cellular injury and cell death [22,23].

A previous study showed that M. phaseolina induced ROS generation in sorghum [12] and RNS (reactive nitrogen species) generation in jute after inoculation [20]. ROS could act as a defense signal-related response against the biotic stress conferred by the pathogen or insects and abiotic stress conferred by non-biotic entities if the balance between ROS generation and scavenging is maintained [25]. However, if excess ROS generated by abiotic and biotic stressors are not scavenged, the cellular homeostasis will be lost, and resulting malfunctions in plant metabolic activity could lead to oxidative damage-related cell senescence [26]. If M. phaseolina induces oxidative stress-mediated senescence in soybean, we hypothesize that ascorbic acid, a reactive oxygen scavenger of H$_2$O$_2$, can mitigate pathogen-mediated senescence. The specific objectives were: (i) to test the in vitro sensitivity of M. phaseolina isolates against inhibition by ascorbic acid; (ii) to apply ascorbic acid to wounded and inoculated soybean seedling stems in an in-planta assay to determine its ability to reduce M. phaseolina-associated development; and (iii) to validate the ROS (H$_2$O$_2$) induced by M. phaseolina in the soybean host using a spectrophotometric absorbance assay.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Soybean Genotype and Macrophomina phaseolina Isolates

The commonly grown soybean genotype AG3039 (Asgrow, Bayer Crop Science, Creve Coeur, MS, USA) was used for this experiment. Susceptibility of AG3039 to M. phaseolina was established using the seedling stem necrosis assay (Figure 1) [8].

Three M. phaseolina isolates were selected for testing in this study. These included MP110, MP154, and MP336. The isolates were obtained from soybeans grown in Rossville (in 2002), Leavenworth (in 2002), and Manhattan (Ashland Bottoms Research Farm, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS, USA; in 2008), Kansas, respectively. MP110 and MP154 were previously characterized by Saleh et al. [27]. In addition to cultural and morphological characteristics, the rDNA-ITS region of MP336 was sequenced using the MpkF1 and MpkR1 primer set developed by Babu et al. [28] to confirm its identity.
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Figure 1. Lesion development on soybean variety ‘AG3039’ after inoculation with agar plug (1/4-strength PDA (potato dextrose agar) plug; “Control”) and Macrophomina phaseolina (“+MP”) using the cut-stem assay (see Section 2). White arrows indicate the unifoliate node.

2.2. In Vitro Sensitivity of M. phaseolina to Ascorbic Acid

For the in vitro growth test, 1/4-strength potato dextrose agar (PDA; Difco Laboratories, Detroit, MI, USA) media was amended with ten concentrations (0, 0.5, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 40, and 50 mM) of ascorbic acid (L-ascorbic acid; Millipore Sigma, Burlington, MA, USA; Figure 2). The ascorbic acid was added once the media cooled < 55 °C after autoclaving. M. phaseolina isolates MP336, MP154, and MP110 were plated on the media, and growth was recorded every 24 h for 5 days. The experimental design was a RCBD (randomized complete block design) with factorial (M. phaseolina isolates and ascorbic acid concentrations) with three replications. In addition, IC$_{50}$ (half-maximal inhibitory concentration) values were calculated from daily measurements using the Quest GraphTM IC$_{50}$ calculator online tool [29]. DHAA (dehydroascorbic acid) was not tested in the in vitro sensitivity experiment.

Figure 2. Reduced (L-ascorbic acid, LAA) and oxidized (dehydroascorbic acid, DHAA) used in this study.

2.3. Soybean Stem Necrosis Assay

These experiments were conducted in the Throckmorton Plant Sciences greenhouse facilities at Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS, USA. The experimental design was a RCBD with three replications and twelve treatments. The experiment was repeated twice. Five soybean seeds were planted in 12.7 × 12.7 cm (5 × 5 in.) pot, and seedlings thinned to three plants per pot once they reached the cotyledonary stage (VC). The remaining seedlings were grown until the 2nd trifoliate (V2) stage.

The cut-stem inoculation method of Twizeymania et al. [8] was followed for this experiment. The apical portions of V2 soybean seedlings were cut 25 mm above the unifoliate node. Inverted two hundred microliter (200 μL) micropipette tubes containing one of the pre-treatments and treatments listed in Table 1 were placed on the cut portion of the seedling. To apply the ascorbic acid or H$_2$O$_2$ exogenously, a small sponge piece was inserted inside the micropipette, and it was soaked with 100 μL of the respective liquid (ascorbic acid or H$_2$O$_2$). Sterile agar plugs (~5 mm diameter × ~8 mm depth) were used as the negative control. Agar plugs of the same dimensions, colonized with M. phaseolina (isolate MP336), were placed fungal colonized-side down on the cut-stem and used as the positive control and inoculated treatments.
Table 1. Pre-treatments and treatments used in the soybean seedling stem necrosis assay.

| Pre-Treatment (Day −1) | Treatment(s) (Day +0) |
|------------------------|-----------------------|
| No pre-treatment       | Agar plug
                        | Inoculate w/MP
                        | Hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) |
| Agar plug              | L-ascorbic acid (LAA; reduced)
                        | Dehydroascorbic acid (DHAA; oxidized) |
| Inoculate w/MP         | LAA
                        | DHAA |
| LAA                    | Agar plug
                        | Inoculate w/MP |
| DHAA                   | Agar plug
                        | Inoculate w/MP |
| H₂O₂                   | Inoculate w/MP |

MP = Macrophomina phaseolina.

2.4. H₂O₂ Absorbance Assay

To show that M. phaseolina induces the production of the reactive oxygen species (ROS), specifically H₂O₂, in the soybean stem assay, mock-inoculated, inoculated, ascorbic acid pre-treated, and inoculated soybean stems were processed using the method from Veljovic-Jovanovic et al. [30] and Liu et al. [31]. Briefly, cut stems were collected at the 2nd trifoliate stage (including the top, middle, and bottom portion of the cotyledonary node to 25 mm above it) and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. The tissue was homogenized in 1.5 mL 1 M HClO₄ (perchloric acid) with 100 mg polyvinylpyrrolidone (to remove phenolic compounds) (Millipore Sigma, Burlington, MA, USA). The homogenate was centrifuged at 13,000 × g for 10 min at 4 °C. Following the method of Cheeseman [32] and Liu et al. [31], 60 mL of centrifuged stem tissue extract was mixed with 600 mL of eFOX (ferrous oxidation-xylenol orange) reagent, which contained 250 µM ferrous ammonium sulfate, 100 µM sorbitol, 100 µM xylene orange, and 1% methanol combined in 25 mM H₂SO₄ (sulfuric acid), and 1% ethanol (Millipore Sigma, Burlington, MA, USA). Thirty minutes after mixing tissue extract with the eFOX reagents, spectrophotometric absorbance was measured at 550 and 800 nm using a plate reader (BioTek Synergy H1 Hybrid Multi-Mode Microplate Reader; BioTek Instruments, Inc., Winooski, VT, USA). Quantification of H₂O₂ was calibrated using an H₂O₂ standard curve.

2.5. Statistics

For the in vitro sensitivity assay, analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed using the PROC GLM procedure of SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). Across isolates and days post-plating (DPPL), mean separations were conducted for ascorbic acid concentration, and M. phaseolina isolates using LSMEANS of the SAS PROC GLM procedure. Within isolates and DPPL, the student’s t-test (JMP 16.2.0; SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC, USA) was used to compare growth on 0 mM ascorbic acid to each individual concentration of ascorbic acid, for the cut-stem assay, ANOVA was conducted using the PROC GLIMMIX procedure of SAS. Means separations for areas under the disease progress curve (AUDPC) values were performed using LSMEANS of the SAS GLIMMIX procedure. ANOVA for the H₂O₂ absorbance assay was carried out using the PROC GLM procedure of SAS. Means separation was performed using the Least Significant Difference (LSD) of the mean. Box plots were used for the graphical representation of AUDPC and H₂O₂ quenching by ascorbic acid [33].
3. Results

3.1. In Vitro Sensitivity Assay

Comparison of colony growth on media containing ascorbic acid with media not containing ascorbic acid (i.e., 0 mM) was significantly decreased. At 3 and 4 DPPL, all three isolates grown on 5 mM ascorbic acid showed significantly decreased growth compared to control plates. However, at 1 DPPL, MP110, MP154, and MP336 differed from control at 10 mM, 20 mM, and 25 mM, respectively. At 2 DPPL, MP110 and MP154 differed from control at 5 mM, whereas MP336 differed at 15 mM. At 5 DPPL, MP110 and MP154 differed from control at 5 mM, whereas MP336 differed at 10 mM. Overall, MP154 and MP336 exhibited a significantly higher IC$_{50}$ than MP110 ($F = 13.96; P < 0.0001$) (Table 2; Figure 3).

Table 2. ANOVA results for three isolates of *M. phaseolina* grown on L-ascorbic acid at 1 to 5 days post-plating (D1 to D5).

| Source          | DF | D1       | D2       | D3       | D4       | D5       |
|-----------------|----|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Model           | 31 | $F$ 37.89| 62.52    | 160.95   | 172.64   | 34.51    |
|                 |    | $P <0.0001$| $<0.0001$| $<0.0001$| $<0.0001$| $<0.0001$|
| Replication     | 2  | $F$ 1.16 | 0.96     | 1.59     | 3.58     | 0.21     |
|                 |    | $P$ 0.3208| 0.3891   | 0.2128   | 0.0341   | 0.8073   |
| Isolate (I)     | 2  | $F$ 5.23 | 7.25     | 25.77    | 37.01    | 114.24   |
|                 |    | $P$ 0.0062| 0.0015   | $<0.0001$| $<0.0001$| $<0.0001$|
| Concentration (C)| 9 | $F$ 120.26| 210.35   | 542.98   | 580.33   | 114.24   |
|                 |    | $P$ $<0.0001$| $<0.0001$| $<0.0001$| $<0.0001$| $<0.0001$|
| I x C           | 18 | $F$ 4.42 | 1.59     | 2.66     | 2.64     | 1.30     |
|                 |    | $P$ $<0.0001$| 0.0933   | 0.0025   | 0.0027   | 0.2244   |

Figure 3. Diameters of three isolates of *Macrophomina phaseolina* (MP110, −154, and −336) grown on 0 to 50 mM L-ascorbic acid (LAA)-amended 1/4-strength PDA. Average colony growth (cm) of MP110 (A), MP154 (B), and MP336 (C). Values below the horizontal line differ from the “0” control at $P < 0.05$ according to a student’s $t$-test for specific days after plating. Box plots show IC$_{50}$ (half-maximal inhibitory concentration) values (bottom right) with black diamonds representing mean values (D). IC$_{50}$ values with different letters significantly differ according to Tukey’s Honestly Significantly difference test ($P < 0.05$).
At 10 to 15 mM ascorbic acid concentrations, all *M. phaseolina* isolates exhibited reduced microsclerotia production. At the same concentrations, cultures transitioned from a circular form with entire margins to an irregularly formed colony with lobate to filiform margins (Figure 4).

**Figure 4.** *Macrophomina phaseolina* growth morphology on varying concentrations of L-ascorbic acid. Three soybean isolates (MP110, −154, and −336) were grown on quarter-strength PDA amended with 0 to 50 mM L-ascorbic acid.

Based on these results, MP336 was chosen as the test isolate for the remainder of the experiments.

### 3.2. Cut-Stem Assay

In the cut-stem assay, *M. phaseolina*-inoculated soybean plants produced significantly longer lesions than agar alone. Furthermore, when cut stems were inoculated with *M. phaseolina* and subsequently treated with dehydroascorbic acid (DHAA) and L-ascorbic acid (LAA) (day +0), lesion length on the stem was significantly longer than the inoculated plants (Figures 5 and 6). However, when cut stems were pre-treated with DHAA and LAA
(day −1), lesion length was significantly reduced compared to inoculation alone and not different than agar after ascorbic acid treatment (Figures 5 and 6).

**Figure 5.** Areas under the disease progress curve (AUDPC) for lesion development in the greenhouse cut-stem assay experiments. Pre-treatments were performed one day in advance (−1) of treatments (+0), and stem lesions were monitored after +3, +5, and +7 days after inoculation. Abbreviations: DHAA = dehydroascorbic acid (oxidized form); LAA = L-ascorbic acid (reduced form); H$_2$O$_2$ = hydrogen peroxide; MP = *Macrophomina phaseolina* inoculation. Black diamonds represent mean values within the box plots.

**Figure 6.** Representative soybean cut-stem pathogenicity assay lesion development after treatments were applied in this experiment. Pre-treatments (−1) were performed one day prior to treatments (+0). White arrows indicate the approximate extent of necrotic lesions in cut stems. Abbreviations: DHAA = dehydroascorbic acid (oxidized form), LAA = L-ascorbic acid (reduced form), MP = *Macrophomina phaseolina*.
3.3. $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ Absorbance Assay

To confirm that $M. \text{phaseolina}$ induces the production of $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$, absorbance was measured from inoculated plants in vitro (Table 3; Figure 7). $M. \text{phaseolina}$ inoculation (positive control) increased $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ concentration from soybean stem tissue extracts compared to no pre-treatment and subsequent inoculation with agar (negative control). Likewise, pretreatment with LAA or DHAA and subsequent inoculation with $M. \text{phaseolina}$ after 1 day significantly reduced $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ compared to the positive control and did not differ from the negative control (Table 3; Figure 7).

Table 3. ANOVA results for $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ concentrations (mM) in soybean stem tissue after selected treatments with L-ascorbic acid.

| Source       | DF | SS       | MS       | F       | P       |
|--------------|----|----------|----------|---------|---------|
| Model        | 5  | 20,299.731 | 4059.946 | 17.00   | 0.0017  |
| Replication  | 2  | 426.162   | 213.081  | 0.89    | 0.4580  |
| Treatment    | 3  | 19,873.569 | 6624.523 | 27.73   | 0.0006  |
| Error        | 6  | 1433.281  | 238.880  |         |         |
| Total        | 11 | 21,733.012 |         |         |         |

1 DF = degrees of freedom, SS = sum of squares, MS = mean squares, $F = F$-statistic, $P = P$-value

Figure 7. Ascorbic acid quenches pathogen-mediated $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ generation in the soybean cut-stem assay. Pre-treatments occurring one day before treatments are denoted by “−1” and “+0,” respectively. Abbreviations: DHAA = dehydroascorbic acid (oxidized form), LAA = L-ascorbic acid (reduced form), MP = Macrophomina phaseolina. Black diamonds represent mean values within box plots.

4. Discussion

To investigate pathogen-induced ROS-mediated senescence in soybean, reduced (LAA) and oxidized (DHAA) forms of ascorbic acid were tested as ROS scavengers to determine their potential to minimize ROS-induced effects in planta. LAA was used to test in vitro sensitivity of $M. \text{phaseolina}$. In this study, $M. \text{phaseolina}$ isolates showed sensitivity to LAA concentrations > 10 mM. Botanga et al. [34], using a similar in vitro assay, tested the growth of the necrotrophic fungus, Alternaria brassicicola, against various concentrations of ascorbic acid in minimal media. Their study demonstrated that fungal growth was inhibited at 25 mM and restricted at 10 mM. Based on the current study and Botanga et al. [34], it may be concluded that higher ascorbic acid concentrations are toxic and inhibitory to fungal growth. However, specific levels may vary between fungal species or isolates of the same species. However, the ascorbic acid-sensitive fungal metabolic targets, whether direct or indirect via pH changes, remain unclear.

Ascorbic acid has been used in plant systems to evaluate its activity in scavenging abiotic stress-mediated ROS generation [19]. For example, exogenously applied ascorbic
acid actively protected lipids and proteins from drought and salt stress [35–37]. Ascorbate, which is a regenerative and potent antioxidant molecule [19,38,39], has shown promising ROS neutralizing capabilities along with vitamin E through direct and indirect actions (enzyme catalysis) [19,38,39]. Enogenous ascorbate has a significant role in antioxidative metabolism. Exogenous application of ascorbic acid has been found to increase endogenous ascorbic acid levels [19,40,41]. Ascorbic acid has the potential to activate antioxidant molecules, and ascorbate peroxidase (APx) is known to dismute the major ROS, H$_2$O$_2$, to water and oxygen [19,42,43]. Khan and Ashraf [40] assessed the role of exogenously applied ascorbic acid (0, 50, and 100 mg L$^{-1}$) in attenuating the salt toxicity effect on two wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) cultivars (salt tolerant and moderately salt sensitive) when grown under normal conditions (0 mM NaCl) and a salt treated condition (150 mM NaCl). Their study revealed that foliar sprays of ascorbic acid shielded the photosynthetic machinery of both wheat cultivars against the toxic effect of the salt treatment and rescued plants from salt-induced chlorophyll-a content reduction. Additionally, exogenously applied ascorbic acid rescued okra (Abelmoschus esculentus (L.) Moench) plants from oxidative stress-associated electrolyte leakage and lipid peroxidation during drought stress conditions [44].

Basal defense in plants upon microbe recognition is initiated through ROS signaling. For example, ROS was generated in the initial, short-lived biotrophic phase of M. phaseolina upon recognition by both susceptible and resistant sesame (Sesamum indicum L.) plants. However, ROS generation increased significantly in susceptible sesame plants compared to the resistant host once the fungus switched from the biotrophic to the necrotrophic phase [45]. ROS generation is a normal plant phenomenon during any physiological or metabolic process [46,47]. Biotic and abiotic stressors induce excessive ROS, which leads to redox imbalances and oxidative stress in the plant [21,47,48]. Plants possess antioxidant machinery comprised of both enzymatic (superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT), ascorbate peroxidase, glutathione peroxidase (GPx), glutathione-S-transferase, monodehydroascorbate reductase, and dehydroascorbate reductase) and non-enzymatic compounds (ascorbate, phenolic compounds, carotenoids, and tocopherol) to mitigate such redox imbalances [46,47]. Therefore, plants have an innate “immune” reaction against the oxidative damage caused by ROS through the secretion of antioxidants and other substances that can scavenge ROS [19]. In susceptible plants, it is possible that excess production of ROS cannot be fully quenched by host antioxidants, which results in further accumulation of ROS and oxidative damage to cells [49].

Our results showed that 10 mM of exogenously applied ascorbic acid, whether LAA or DHAA, significantly reduced M. phaseolina-induced lesion development in ascorbic acid-pre-treated seedling cut-stems. The role of ascorbic acid in plant tolerance to pathogen-induced stress has been elucidated in transgenic potato plants with enhanced ascorbic acid levels when inoculated with Phytophthora infestans [50]. Their study showed that transgenic potato lines with enhanced ascorbic acid significantly reduced late blight lesions, H$_2$O$_2$, and malondialdehyde levels, a biomarker for oxidative stress. These authors also found that increased cellular ascorbic acid in transgenic plants aided in balancing cellular antioxidant levels, PR (pathogenesis-related) gene expression, and defense-associated hormones such as gibberellic acid and abscisic acid, which reduced senescence caused by P. infestans. Further, a previous study demonstrated ascorbic acid’s potential to defend against environmentally induced oxidative stress [36]. Our study that has shown the efficacy of exogenously applied ascorbic acid in scavenging H$_2$O$_2$ generated after inoculation by the necrotrophic fungus, M. phaseolina.

Pathogens with necrotrophic phases take advantage of induced, defense-associated ROS bursts and associated cell death for nutrition and concurrent colonization of senescent and necrotic tissue [51]. This phenomenon was observed in the soybean seedling cut-stem in-planta assay, where inoculated plants showed increased senescence as induced by M. phaseolina.

Tolerance to environmental stressors in plants such as salt stress is related to ROS scavenging. Arabidopsis mutant vst11 showed increased tolerance to salt stress due to a ROS
scavenging mechanism [52]. ROS detoxification or scavenging was performed by several antioxidants such as ascorbic acid, glutathione, thioredoxin, carotenoids, and other ROS scavenging enzymes, including SOD, GPX, and CAT [36]. Exogenous foliar application of ascorbic acid at 200 mg L\(^{-1}\) significantly improved the growth of flax cultivars under salt stress conditions [53]. A study by Shao et al. [54] demonstrated that ascorbic acid protects metabolic processes against H\(_2\)O\(_2\) and minimizes H\(_2\)O\(_2\)-associated oxidative damage [54]. Our result is also consistent with this finding, as the exogenous application of ascorbic acid showed reduced senescence induced by \textit{M. phaseolina}. As measured by AUDPC, disease progression was significantly slowed when either LAA or DHAA was applied to cut stems, suggesting the phenotype prediction of ROS scavenging by ascorbic acid. Additionally, the ROS quantification assay suggested the increased concentration of ROS generation as induced by \textit{M. phaseolina} demonstrated the oxidative stress impact in plants. Ascorbic acid pre-treatment demonstrated a significantly lower level of H\(_2\)O\(_2\) content, supporting a ROS quenching mechanism of ascorbic acid.

Charcoal rot is a plant disease that manifests during high temperature and water-limiting conditions. These environmental stressors contribute to pre-mature plant senescence, a situation that may be exploited by the necrotrophic phase of \textit{M. phaseolina}. Furthermore, combinations of stressors are expected to exacerbate plant productivity in the future as they do now [55]. Therefore, understanding potential mechanisms by which charcoal rot, and other stress-associated diseases, may be mitigated, including quenching ROS-mediated responses, is an area of continued research interest.
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