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Abstract

Ultraluminous X-ray sources (ULXs) are a population of extragalactic objects whose luminosity exceeds the Eddington limit for a $10M_\odot$ black hole (BH). Their properties have been widely interpreted in terms of accreting stellar-mass or intermediate-mass BHs. However at least three neutron stars (NSs) have been recently identified in ULXs through the discovery of periodic pulsations. Motivated by these findings we studied the spectral properties of a sample of bright ULXs using a simple continuum model which was extensively used to fit the X-ray spectra of accreting magnetic NSs in the Galaxy. We found that such a model, consisting of a power-law with a high-energy exponential cut-off, fits most of the ULX spectra analyzed here very well, at a level comparable to that of models involving an accreting BH. On these grounds alone we suggest that other non-pulsating ULXs may host NSs. We also found that above 2 keV the spectrum of known pulsating ULXs is harder than that of the majority of the other ULXs of the sample, with only IC 342 X-1 and Ho IX X-1 displaying spectra of comparable hardness. We thus suggest that these two ULXs may host an accreting NS and encourage searches for periodic pulsations in the flux.
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1. Introduction

Ultraluminous X-ray sources (ULXs) are a class of extragalactic, point-like sources with luminosities from $\sim 10^{39}$ erg s$^{-1}$ up to $10^{42}$ erg s$^{-1}$, in excess of the Eddington limit for a $10M_\odot$ black hole (BH) (e.g., Fabbiano 2006). Such a high X-ray luminosity suggests that they host either intermediate mass BHs accreting at sub-Eddington rate (Colbert & Mushotzky 1999; Miller et al. 2004), or super-Eddington accreting BHs of stellar mass or of stellar origin (e.g., Gladstone et al. 2009; Feng & Soria 2011). In particular, the most luminous ULXs ($>5 \times 10^{39}$ erg s$^{-1}$) are usually considered as the best intermediate mass BH candidates (e.g., Sutton et al. 2012), while less luminous sources could be super-Eddington accreting BHs with masses in the range 5–80 $M_\odot$ (e.g., Zampieri & Roberts 2009). The recent discovery of three pulsating neutron stars (NSs) in ULX M82 X-2 (Bachetti et al. 2014), NGC 7793 P13, and NGC 5907 X-1 (Israel et al. 2016a, 2016b) proved that ULXs can be powered by objects different from BHs.

ULX spectra are generally described in terms of a cold ($kT \sim 0.1–0.6$ keV) soft thermal component coupled to a high-energy component with a cut-off below 10 keV (e.g., Bachetti et al. 2013; Sutton et al. 2013; Pintore et al. 2014; Middleton et al. 2015). The soft component is possibly associated to the photosphere of strong outflows ejected from the accretion disc at super-Eddington rates (e.g., Poutanen et al. 2007; Ohsuga & Mineshige 2011), while the high-energy component might come from the bare inner accretion disc or from an optically thick corona close to the BH (e.g., Middleton et al. 2015). However, the quality of ULX spectra is generally low and the interpretation of their spectral properties is still a matter of debate.

By exploiting the recent availability of broadband X-ray data obtained with the XMM-Newton and NuSTAR satellites, we carried out a comprehensive spectral analysis of a large sample of the brightest ULXs. Several previous investigations focussed on the phenomenological characterization of ULX spectra (e.g., Gonçalves & Soria 2006; Stobbart et al. 2006; Gladstone et al. 2009; Pintore & Zampieri 2012; Sutton et al. 2013; Pintore et al. 2014). Motivated by the discovery of X-ray pulsations from M82 X-2 and subsequently from NGC 7793 P13 and NGC 5907 X-1, we adopted a simple spectral model that was extensively used to fit the X-ray continuum of accreting magnetic NSs, especially X-ray pulsators in Galactic high mass X-ray binaries (for more details see White et al. 1983, 1995, p. 1; Coburn et al. 2001). The model consists of a power-law with a high-energy exponential cut-off plus a blackbody; we find that in most cases the ULX spectra are well fit by such a model, indicating that different interpretations of the ULX spectra are possible.

We describe the data reduction in Section 2, present the results of our spectral analysis in Section 3, and discuss them in Section 4.

2. Observations and Data Analysis

We analyzed a sample of 12 ULXs, including two ULX pulsars, located at distances less than 15 Mpc, showing a broad luminosity range spanning from $\sim 10^{39}$ erg s$^{-1}$ to $\sim 10^{41}$ erg s$^{-1}$. The sources have available XMM-Newton and, in some cases, simultaneous (or nearly simultaneous) NuSTAR observations of good quality which allow us to put robust constraints on the high energy curvature of their X-ray spectra (i.e., with at least 1000 counts in the XMM-Newton EPIC instruments, as shown in Gladstone et al. 2009). Our sample is similar to those analyzed in several previous studies (e.g., Stobbart et al. 2006;
Table 1

Log of the Observations of ULXs Analyzed in This Work and Ordered with Increasing RA

| Source         | Obs.ID | Date       | Exp. (ks) | Obs.ID | Date       | Exp. (ks) |
|----------------|--------|------------|-----------|--------|------------|-----------|
| NGC 5907 X-1   | 0724810401 | 2013 Nov 12 | 33.5      | 30002039005 | 2013 Nov 12 | 113       |
|                | 0729561301 | 2014 Jul 09 | 42.0      | 80001042002 | 2014 Jul 09 | 57.1       |
| NGC 7793 P13   | 0693760401 | 2013 Nov 25 | 46.0      | ...     | ...         | ...       |
|                | 0748390901 | 2014 Dec 10 | 47.0      | ...     | ...         | ...       |
| NGC 55 ULX-1   | 0028740101 | 2001 Nov 15 | 28.3      | ...     | ...         | ...       |
|                | 0655050101 | 2010 May 24 | 124.0     | ...     | ...         | ...       |
| NGC 1313 X-1   | 0150280301 | 2003 Dec 21 | 10.3      | ...     | ...         | ...       |
|                | 0693851201 | 2012 Dec 22 | 125.2     | 30002035004 | 2012 Dec 16 | 127.0     |
| NGC 1313 X-2   | 0405090101 | 2012 Dec 16 | 123.3     | ...     | ...         | ...       |
|                | 0693851201 | 2012 Dec 22 | 125.2     | 30002035004 | 2012 Dec 16 | 127.0     |
| IC 342 X-1     | 0693850601 | 2012 Aug 11 | 59.9      | 30002032003 | 2012 Aug 10 | 98.6       |
|                | 0693851301 | 2012 Aug 17 | 60.0      | 30002032005 | 2012 Aug 16 | 127.4     |
| Ho II X-1      | 0200470101 | 2004 Apr 15 | 93.5      | ...     | ...         | ...       |
|                | 0724810101 | 2013 Sep 09 | 12.3      | 30001031002 | 2013 Sep 09 | 31.4       |
|                | ...     | ...         | ...     | 30001031003 | 2013 Sep 09 | 79.4       |
| Ho IX X-1      | 0200980101 | 2004 Sep 26 | 117       | ...     | ...         | ...       |
|                | ...     | ...         | ...     | 30002033005 | 2012 Nov 11 | 40.7       |
|                | 0693851701 | 2012 Nov 12 | 9.92      | 30002033006 | 2012 Nov 11 | 35.2       |
|                | 0693851801 | 2012 Nov 14 | 13.8      | 30002033008 | 2012 Nov 14 | 14.5       |
|                | 0693851101 | 2012 Nov 16 | 13.3      | 30002034010 | 2012 Nov 15 | 49.0       |
| NGC 5204 X-1   | 0405690201 | 2006 Nov 19 | 43.5      | ...     | ...         | ...       |
|                | 0693851401 | 2013 Apr 21 | 16.9      | 30002037002 | 2013 Apr 19 | 96.0       |
| NGC 5408 X-1   | 0653380501 | 2011 Jan 28 | 124.1     | ...     | ...         | ...       |
| NGC 5643 ULX-1 | 0744050101 | 2014 Aug 27 | 114.0     | ...     | ...         | ...       |
| NGC 6946 X-1   | 0691570101 | 2012 Oct 21 | 114.3     | ...     | ...         | ...       |

Gladstone et al. 2009; Pintore et al. 2014; Middleton et al. 2015 and offers an overall picture of the spectral properties displayed by ULXs. In order to extract individual spectra with better statistics, observations of the same source obtained within time intervals of a few days were merged if no significant spectral variations were present. Data taken at very different times and/or showing different spectral states were instead considered as individual observations. In addition to this selection, we also considered, for each source, observations with the largest source spectral variability. We list in Table 1 the observations that are used in this work.

The data of the XMM-Newton EPIC instrument (Strüder et al. 2001; Turner et al. 2001) were reduced using SAS v.14.0.0. We extracted spectra from events with PATTERN = 4 for EPIC-pn (single- and double-pixel events) and PATTERN = 12 for EPIC-MOS (single- and multiple-pixel events). We set “FLAG = 0” in order to exclude bad pixels and events coming from the CCD edges, and removed time intervals with high background. The spectra were extracted from circular regions with radii of 30″ and 60″ for source and background, respectively.

The NuSTAR data were reduced with the standard pipeline, based on the NuSTAR Data Analysis Software v1.3.0 (NUSTARDAS) in the HEASOFT FTOOLS v6.16. We obtained cleaned event files by applying the standard corrections. Spectra were extracted from circular regions of radii 40″ and 60″ for source and background, respectively.

All XMM-Newton and NuSTAR spectra were rebinned so as to have at least 25 and 100 counts per bin, respectively, so that minimum χ² fitting techniques could be used; model fitting was carried out using XSPEC v.12.8.2 (Arnaud 1996). The spectra of the pn and the two MOS cameras, and (when available) of the NuSTAR detectors were fit together, for each epoch. A multiplicative factor was included to account for possible inter-calibration uncertainties, which were in most cases smaller than 10%. We considered the 0.3–10 keV and 3–70 keV energy ranges for EPIC and NuSTAR data, respectively.

3. Results

3.1. Broadband Spectral Analysis

We fit the spectra with an absorbed power-law with exponential cut-off characterized by two parameters, the cut-off energy EC and the folding energy EF, F(E) = k × Ec−1e(−Ec/E) (HIGHECUT × POWERLAW in XSPEC), with the exponential part acting for E > EC. In most cases, an additional soft component, that we model with a blackbody for simplicity, is needed. This model was extensively applied in the past to fit the X-ray continuum of accreting magnetic NSs, notably X-ray pulsators in high mass X-ray binaries. In those systems the hard component is...
believed to originate in a magnetic accretion-column close to the NS surface, whereas the soft blackbody-like component is usually ascribed to emission from the inner edge of the disk at the magnetospheric boundary (e.g., White et al. 1995; Coburn et al. 2001).

NGC 5907 X-1 was observed six times with XMM-Newton (e.g., Israel et al. 2016a, Fuerst et al. 2016) and good quality spectra are available for the observations of 2003 February, 2012 February, 2013 November, and 2014 July. Simultaneous and quasi-simultaneous NuSTAR data are available for the 2013 and 2014 observations. The fit of the 2003, 2012, and 2014 spectra give results consistent within the errors with absence of spectral variability, as also found by Fuerst et al. (2016). We therefore report here only the results from the 2014 observations which are of higher quality and can be complemented with NuSTAR data. They can be fit by a HIGHCUT×POWER-LAW alone (no soft component) with photon index $\Gamma \sim 1.5$, $E_c = 5.5\,\text{keV}$, and $E_p = 8.3\,\text{keV}$. The 2013 spectrum, obtained when the source was about a factor of 2–3 fainter than in 2014, is slightly softer ($\Gamma \sim 1.9$), but it can still be fit by a cut-off power-law without the addition of a soft component.

For NGC 7793 P13, we use two observations taken in 2013 and 2014 (no NuSTAR data are available). Both observations give similar results, requiring a thermal component with temperature $kT \sim 0.2\,\text{keV}$ in addition to a cut-off power-law with $\Gamma \sim 1.1$. Another XMM-Newton observation, obtained in 2012 May when the source was much fainter, provides a less constraining spectrum, but is consistent in spectral shape with those of 2013 and 2014.

For all other ULXs in our sample, several XMM-Newton and NuSTAR observations are available. We analyze all the available good quality spectra, finding in most cases evidence for spectral variability, as already reported in the literature (e.g., Sutton et al. 2013; Pintore et al. 2014; Middleton et al. 2015). To illustrate the range of possible states in which the sources can be found, we plot in Figure 1 two representative spectra of each ULX, choosing for each source observations with the hardest and softest spectrum (see Section 2). These are the observations reported in Table 1, with corresponding best fit parameters listed in Table 2.

Generally, the “pulsator-like” model gives good fits, except for a few cases in which the soft thermal component is not strictly required (i.e., IC 342 X-1 in 2012 August, and NGC 1313 X-2 in 2012 December). In all other cases, blackbody components with temperatures in the range $\sim0.15–0.3\,\text{keV}$ are required. A variety of power-law spectral slopes and cut-off parameters are found (Table 2).

The relatively large $\chi^2$ values obtained in the fit of Ho IX X-1 are caused by some excess emission at high energy, possibly indicating the presence of a further hard tail component, as discussed in Walton et al. (2014a). We note that hard tails are also observed in the spectra of some Galactic accreting NSs (e.g., McClintock & Remillard 2006).

Finally, the spectra of NGC 55 ULX1, NGC 5408 X-1, and NGC 6946 show some residuals around $1\,\text{keV}$, as already reported in the literature (e.g., Middleton et al. 2014). These features have been associated lately to a blending of unresolved emission and absorption lines produced by the photosphere of a possible outflowing wind in a scenario of super-Eddington accretion on a stellar mass compact object (Pinto et al. 2016). For the three sources, we find that good fits are obtained with the addition of one or two broad Gaussian absorption lines centered at $\sim0.7\,\text{keV}$ and $\sim1.2\,\text{keV}$ and with widths $\sigma \sim 0.07–0.13\,\text{keV}$.

3.2. Characterization of The Hard Component

We found that the “pulsator-like” model can describe well the spectra of virtually all the ULXs of our sample. Different broad band spectral shapes and best fit parameters characterize different sources (see Figure 1 and Table 2). This is particularly evident at low energy, where, besides the effect of different absorption column densities (from $\sim5 \times 10^{20}\,\text{cm}^{-2}$ to $8 \times 10^{22}\,\text{cm}^{-2}$), the relative importance of the soft component can differ significantly (even if similar temperatures around $kT \sim 0.2\,\text{keV}$ are found). Spectral differences are also apparent at higher energies, where the spectrum is dominated by the cut-off power-law component. In order to study in greater detail differences in the harder spectral component (where the effects due to absorption and the additional soft component are negligible), search for correlations with other source properties, and possibly gather information on the innermost regions of the accretion flow close to the compact object, we characterize the high-energy spectra through a color–color diagram. This was
Table 2
Spectral Results Obtained by Adopting the Continuum Model \( \text{TBABS} \times (\text{BBODY} + \text{HIGHECUT} \times \text{POWERLAW}) \)

| Src.         | Epoch | TBABS | BBODY | HIGHECUT | POWERLAW | GABS | $L_X^a$ | $\chi^2$/dof |
|--------------|-------|-------|-------|----------|----------|------|---------|-------------|
|              |       | $N_H$ | $kT_{in}$ | $E_L$ | $E_H$ | $\Gamma$ | $\sigma$ | Strenght | 10^{46} erg s⁻¹ |             |
|              |       | \(10^{22}\) cm⁻² | keV | keV | keV | keV | keV | keV | keV | keV |  |
| NGC 5907 X-1 | 1     | 0.76 ± 0.06 | 2.22 ± 0.02 | 1.85 ± 0.08 | 0.19 ± 0.02 | 4.2 | 149.44/173 |
|              | 2     | 0.77 ± 0.04 | 2.53 ± 0.03 | 1.48 ± 0.04 | 0.34 ± 0.02 | 10.9 | 442.90/442 |
| NGC 7793 P13 | 1     | 0.07 ± 0.02 | 2.22 ± 0.02 | 1.06 ± 0.08 | 0.09 ± 0.01 | 0.21 | 357.49/325 |
|              | 2     | 0.10 ± 0.02 | 2.53 ± 0.03 | 1.11 ± 0.04 | 0.24 ± 0.01 | 0.53 | 629.78/563 |
| NGC 55 ULX-1 | 1     | 0.29 ± 0.051 | 2.53 ± 0.02 | 1.42 ± 0.12 | 1.84 ± 0.44 | 0.95 ± 0.51 | 8.1 ± 4 | 0.26 | 700.77/688 |
|              | 2     | 0.41 ± 0.056 | 2.53 ± 0.02 | 2.00 ± 0.09 | 1.5 ± 0.3 | 2.3 ± 0.5 | 5.9 ± 1.9 | 0.75 ± 0.01 | 0.07 ± 0.01 | 0.038 ± 0.009 | 0.23 | 270.40/243 |
| NGC 1313 X-1 | 1     | 0.3 ± 0.01 | 2.53 ± 0.02 | 2.9 ± 0.29 | 4.6 ± 2.7 | 1.7 ± 0.12 | 1.1 ± 0.8 | 1.67 | 250.54/229 |
|              | 2     | 0.19 ± 0.094 | 2.53 ± 0.02 | 6.1 ± 0.2 | 10.4 ± 1.1 | 1.74 ± 0.03 | 5.8 ± 0.3 | 1.07 | 1703.40/1718 |
| NGC 1313 X-2 | 1     | 0.32 ± 0.01 | 2.53 ± 0.02 | 3.4 ± 0.3 | 7.7 ± 0.3 | 1.66 ± 0.04 | 0.68 ± 0.2 | 1.05 | 820.64/860 |
|              | 2     | 0.19 ± 0.094 | 2.53 ± 0.02 | 1.02 ± 0.06 | 1.8 ± 0.3 | 0.9 ± 0.4 | 0.28 ± 0.06 | 0.28 | 1020.57/987 |
| IC 342 X-1   | 1     | 1.045 ± 0.075 | 2.53 ± 0.02 | 6.66 ± 0.36 | 10.6 ± 1.2 | 1.62 ± 0.06 | 0.51 ± 0.05 | 0.43 | 937.17/981 |
|              | 2     | 0.99 ± 0.026 | 2.53 ± 0.02 | 6.38 ± 0.27 | 15.2 ± 2.4 | 1.195 ± 0.03 | 0.89 ± 0.03 | 0.51 | 1061.76/1063 |
| Ho II X-1    | 1     | 0.12 ± 0.01 | 2.53 ± 0.02 | 2.0 ± 0.3 | 8.7 ± 2.1 | 2.1 ± 0.1 | 1.0 ± 0.1 | 1.2 | 1317.83/1317 |
|              | 2     | 0.12 ± 0.01 | 2.53 ± 0.02 | 5.3 ± 0.25 | 8.6 ± 0.6 | 1.93 ± 0.06 | 1.00 ± 0.08 | 0.88 | 800.29/785 |
| Ho IX X-1    | 1     | 0.160 ± 0.008 | 2.53 ± 0.02 | 2.0 ± 0.3 | 8.7 ± 2.1 | 1.35 ± 0.03 | 0.70 ± 0.02 | 1.4 | 2191.03/2043 |
|              | 2     | 0.239 ± 0.008 | 2.53 ± 0.02 | 3.6 ± 0.2 | 5.7 ± 0.2 | 1.43 ± 0.04 | 2.5 ± 0.1 | 3.8 | 1546.80/1433 |
| NGC 5204 X-1 | 1     | 0.08 ± 0.03 | 2.53 ± 0.02 | 2.8 ± 0.2 | 12.4 ± 5.4 | 2.0 ± 0.1 | 0.45 ± 0.08 | 0.81 | 837.88/821 |
|              | 2     | 0.05 ± 0.03 | 2.53 ± 0.02 | 4.0 ± 0.1 | 7.4 ± 1.7 | 1.7 ± 0.3 | 0.23 ± 0.03 | 0.50 | 414.06/414 |
| NGC 5408 X-1 | 1     | 0.09 ± 0.01 | 2.53 ± 0.02 | 2.0 ± 0.2 | 5.7 ± 1.4 | 2.0 ± 0.2 | 0.32 ± 0.05 | 0.31 | 853.47/990 |
|              | 2     | 0.160 ± 0.005 | 2.53 ± 0.02 | 2.0 ± 0.2 | 5.7 ± 1.4 | 2.0 ± 0.2 | 0.32 ± 0.05 | 0.31 | 853.47/990 |
| NGC 5643 ULX-1 | 1     | 0.20 ± 0.01 | 2.53 ± 0.02 | 3.9 ± 0.3 | 6.5 ± 1.9 | 1.46 ± 0.04 | 0.160 ± 0.005 | 0.9 | 865.07/934 |
| NGC 6946 X-1 | 1     | 0.40 ± 0.06 | 2.53 ± 0.02 | 1.8 ± 0.4 | 7.0 ± 2.3 | 1.9 ± 0.3 | 0.25 ± 0.04 | 0.9 | 613.68/637 |

Note.

* Unabsorbed luminosity in the 0.3–20 keV energy band; the adopted distances are: 17.14 Mpc, 3.58 Mpc, 2.73 Mpc, 3.77 Mpc, 3.27 Mpc, 5.32 Mpc, 4.25 Mpc, 1.99 Mpc, and 4.76 Mpc (Tully et al. 2013) for NGC 5907, NGC 7793, IC 342, Ho IX, Ho II, NGC 5408, NGC 1313, NGC 55, and NGC 5204, respectively; 5.5 Mpc (Smith et al. 2007) for NGC 6946 and 13.9 Mpc (Sanders et al. 2003) for NGC 5643.
done by using the fluxes in the 2–4 keV, 4–6 keV, and 6–30 keV energy bands derived from the best-fit of Table 2. We present the color–color diagram in Figure 2, where we defined the hardness as the (6–30 keV)/(4–6 keV) ratio and the softness as (2–4 keV)/(4–6 keV) ratio. We note that the fluxes above 10 keV are estimated directly from the spectra only in cases where NuSTAR observations are available. In the other cases, the fluxes are calculated from an extrapolation of the 0.3–10 keV best-fit model. As expected, sources displaying spectral variations move across different regions in this diagram (note again that we pre-selected the most different spectra of each source). Several of the sources with parameters around hardness ~ 1.5 and softness ~ 1.5 become either softer (i.e., move toward the upper-left corner of the figure) or harder (i.e., move toward the lower-right corner), but none of them shows both behaviors. This leads to a distinction between two different classes of softer and harder ULXs. A similar conclusion was reached in e.g., Sutton et al. (2013) and Pintore et al. (2014) based on a different spectral analysis.

We highlight that the two known ULX pulsars in the sample lie in the region of the color–color diagram that corresponds to the hardest spectra. Their hardness values are close to the maximum but still within the broad distribution of the ULXs in our sample. We applied a Kolmogorov–Smirnov test (KS) to the distribution of the softness of pulsating and non-pulsating ULXs finding KS statistics of ~88% and a p-value of ~0.013 drawn from the same sample. Although with the current limited sample it is difficult to obtain firm conclusions, it is interesting to note that also the ULX pulsar M82 X-2 has a rather hard spectrum\(^5\) (Brightman et al. 2016a, 2016b).

5 Unfortunately, good quality XMM-Newton spectral data are not available for the ULX pulsar M82 X-2, owing to contamination from the bright nearby ULX M82 X-1.

We note that NGC 7793 P13 attained the lowest values of softness ratio of the whole sample. During the epoch 1 observation, when no pulsations were found in the timing data (Israel et al. 2016a, 2016b), the spectrum of NGC 5907 X-1 was close to the center of the distribution in Figure 2. In 2014, when pulsations were detected, the position of NGC 5907 X-1 in the color–color diagram was closer to that of NGC 7793 P13. Hence, when pulsations were seen, the two ULX pulsars were significantly hard. The only sources that, at least on one epoch, had spectral parameters similar to those of the ULX pulsars are IC 342 X-1 and Ho IX X-1.

4. Discussion

In previous studies, ULX spectra characterized by a curvature above 3–5 keV and a soft excess below ~1 keV were described in terms of physically motivated spectral models for accreting BHs of different masses (e.g., Stobbart et al. 2006; Gladstone et al. 2009; Pintore & Zampieri 2012; Bachetti et al. 2013; Sutton et al. 2013; Walton et al. 2013). Inspired by the recent discovery of pulsations in three ULXs (Bachetti et al. 2014; Israel et al. 2016a, 2016b), here we applied a model that has been commonly used to fit the X-ray continuum of accreting magnetic NSs (e.g., White et al. 1995, p. 1) to good quality XMM-Newton and NuSTAR spectra of a sample of bright ULXs. Such a model, HIGHECUT×POWER-LAW plus a soft blackbody, was introduced empirically (see White et al. 1995, p. 1, and references therein) and later found to be similar to the spectra calculated from models of bulk and thermal Comptonization in magnetic accretion models (Becker & Wolff 2007) which have also been employed to fit the spectra of a few X-ray pulsars in high-mass X-ray binaries (Farinelli et al. 2016). The shape of its exponential cutoff is regulated by two parameters (the e-folding energy \(E_f\) and the cutoff energy \(E_c\)), through which the spectra with vastly

...
different curvature observed in accreting X-ray pulsars at high energies (usually \(>7-10\) keV) can be fitted. In this work we found that this “pulsator-like” continuum model, together with a soft component, can be successfully used to also describe the overall shape of the spectra of bright ULXs.\(^8\) This also suggests that accreting BH-based models usually adopted for ULXs (e.g., disk blackbody plus Comptonization) do not necessarily have the interpretative power that has been attributed to them.

In our spectral fits, the soft blackbody component has a temperature of \(\sim 0.1-0.3\) keV in all sources, but its relative importance differs across different spectra and sources. The equivalent radius of the thermally emitting region, inferred from the normalization of the blackbody component, is typically larger than 100 km. This value rules out any association with the putative NS surface, but may be consistent with the size of the emitting region of the disc close to the corotation radius, as in the case of some X-ray pulsators and ULX pulsars (Israel et al. 2016a, 2016b). Alternatively, since pulsating ULXs have super-Eddington accretion rates, the soft component may originate in a powerful outflow that is ejected from the accretion disc (e.g., Poutanen et al. 2007; Ohsuga & Mineshige 2011; Middleton et al. 2015).

The best fit values derived with the \textsc{highhecut}×\textsc{powerlaw} for the hard component span a fairly large range, both in spectral slope and cut-off and folding energies, as also for the hard component spectral slope and cut-off and folding energies, as also for the hard component span a fairly large range, both in energies
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The best fit values derived with the \textsc{highhecut}×\textsc{powerlaw} for the hard component span a fairly large range, both in spectral slope and cut-off and folding energies, as also observed in galactic accreting X-ray pulsars. This component is believed to arise in the post-shock region of the accretion column above the surface of the NS. Recent analytical calculations, as well as 2D radiation-hydro-simulations of accretion onto magnetized NSs, show that for magnetic fields of \(\sim 10^{12}\) G or larger, very high luminosities (up to 2–3 orders of magnitude above the Eddington limit) can be produced (Mushotkov et al. 2015; Kawashima et al. 2016). It has also been suggested that, for very high accretion rates (\(>10^{19}\) g s\(^{-1}\)), the accreting matter moving along magnetic field lines can produce an optically thick envelope around the NS, which affects the accretion flow and the observational properties (as the absence of possible pulsations and cyclotron lines) of the NS hidden by the envelope (Mushotkov et al. 2017).

Based on currently available data, it is not possible to assess whether BH models or the pulsator-like model we adopted provide a statistically better fit to the X-ray continuum of bright ULXs. The two types of models resemble each other in that they both comprise a soft component (a blackbody or a disk-blackbody, which is very difficult to distinguish at the soft X-ray energies where it is seen) and a harder component comprising a power-law-like spectrum with exponential cutoff, which likely originates from Comptonization in an accretion disk corona in the case of BHs and from the post-shock region of a magnetically funneled accretion column in the case of NSs. The shape of the cut-off can be smoother or steeper than a simple exponential in the pulsator-like model. In order to ascertain what observations would be required to distinguish between BH and NS-like spectral models we simulated the spectra of IC 342 X-1 and NGC 1313 X-1 by using the best-fit \textsc{diskbb}+\textsc{comptt} model (see e.g., Middleton et al. 2015) and a 1 Ms exposure time with \textsc{xmm-newton} and \textsc{nustar}. By fitting these spectra with a \textsc{highhecut}×\textsc{powerlaw} model, we found structured spectral residuals at high energy (\(>10\) keV), not observed with a \textsc{diskbb}+\textsc{comptt} model. The same simulation was carried out based on the specifications of the future X-ray mission \textit{Athena}+\(^7\) where a discrimination of the two models required an exposure time of at least \(\sim 100\) ks in the WFI instrument. However, we highlight that the simple \textsc{diskbb}+\textsc{comptt} model, when using \textit{nustar} data, has been challenged in the sources Ho IX X-1 (Walton et al. 2014b), already indicating that ULX spectra do not completely suit a BH description only.

The relatively large number of parameters in the \textsc{bb} + \textsc{highhecut}×\textsc{powerlaw} model hampers a straightforward comparison between the sources, but a clear picture emerges from a color–color diagram in which only the range above 2 keV is considered, where absorption effects are negligible and there is no (or marginal) contamination from the soft component. This clearly shows that the ULX pulsars, when pulsations are detected, have a harder spectrum than those of the majority of the other ULXs of the sample (note that the spectrum of NGC 5907 X-1 was somewhat softer in 2013, when no pulsations from this source were detected). There are no instrumental effects that favor the detection of pulsations, if present, in harder sources, but we cannot rule out that for some processes intrinsic to the sources the flux modulation increases when their spectra become harder. It is possible to speculate that the harder sources are those observed more directly in the inner regions close to the compact objects (or possibly from an accretion column, Fuerst et al. 2016) and this might facilitate the detection of pulsations in the case of accreting NSs; while for softer sources the inner regions might be possibly occluded (because of higher line of sight angles) by cold, optically thick plasmas which reduce pulsation coherence.

None of the other sources showed high-energy spectra as hard as the hardest spectra of the ULX pulsars, except for IC 342 X-1 and Ho IX X-1. The spectral parameters of these two sources overlap, at least on one epoch, with those of the ULX pulsars (see Figure 2). Hence we suggest that IC 342 X-1 and Ho IX X-1 may be good candidate NS ULXs. On the basis of their spectrum alone, NGC 5408 X-1, Ho II X-1, NGC 1313 X-1 and X-2, NGC 5643 ULX1, and NGC 6946 X-1 are instead less likely to contain NSs. The failure to detect pulsations so far in IC 342 X-1 and Ho IX X-1 may be caused by intermittent activity of periodic flux modulation and/or by the presence of a large period derivative. However it should be also noted that X-ray luminosity swing of the ULX pulsars is much larger (>a factor of 100) than that of IC 342 X-1 and Ho IX X-1 (a factor of \(\sim 5\) only). Following Israel et al. (2016a, 2016b) and assuming that the sources are all viewed at comparable inclination angles and have comparable beaming, we expect that the higher the luminosity the higher the magnetic field. Israel et al. (2016a, 2016b) estimated a dipolar magnetic field of \(\sim 3 \times 10^{13}\) G for NGC 5907 X-1 and \(\sim 5 \times 10^{12}\) G for NGC 7793 P13. Since IC 342 X-1 and Ho IX X-1 have luminosities of \(\sim 10^{40}\) erg s\(^{-1}\), we can roughly guess a dipolar magnetic field of \(\sim 10^{13}\) G for both sources if their spin period will turn out to be in the range of seconds as well.

5. Conclusion

We adopted a commonly used spectral model for accreting X-ray pulsating NSs in the Galaxy to describe the spectra of a

\(^8\) As with other continuum models for ULXs, in a few cases the addition of broad absorption-like features (e.g., Pinto et al. 2016) and/or a high energy tail (e.g., Walton et al. 2014b; Mukherjee et al. 2015) proved necessary in order to make the fit acceptable.

\(^7\) http://www.the-athena-x-ray-observatory.eu/
sample of bright ULXs. We found that this model well describes the spectra of most ULXs, suggesting that spectral models based on accreting BHs may not have the interpretative power that has been ascribed to them up to now. We conclude that other ULXs may be found to host NSs accreting at super-Eddington rates. We also showed that the known pulsating ULXs are among the hardest sources of our sample, with IC 342 X-1 and Ho IX X-1 having comparably hard spectra. Based on their spectral properties alone, we thus suggest these two sources are likely candidate NS ULXs.

We acknowledge financial contributions from the agreement ASI-INAF I/037/12/0 and PRIN INAF 2014.
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