Nano‑metals forming bacteria in Egypt. I. Synthesis, characterization and effect on some phytopathogenic bacteria in vitro
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Bacterial metal reducers were isolated from water samples collected from harsh condition locations in Egypt. Four selected isolates were identified as Enterococcus thailandicus, Pseudomonas putida, Marinobacter hydrocarbonoclasticus, and P. geniculata for Copper (Cu), Iron (Fe), Cobalt (Co) and Zinc (Zn) Nanoparticles (NPs) production sequentially. Nitrate reductase enzyme was assayed for bacterial isolates which demonstrated that P. putida, and M. hydrocarbonoclasticus have the maximum enzyme production. The produced NPs were characterized by using XRD, TEM, UV–VIS spectroscopy. Magnetic properties for all selected metals NPs were measured using Vibrating Sample Magnetometer (VSM) and demonstrated that FeNPs recorded the highest magnetization value. The antibacterial activity of selected metals NPs was tested against some phytopathogenic bacteria causing the following diseases: soft rot (Pectobacterium carotovorum, Enterobacter cloacae), blackleg (Pectobacterium atrosepticum and Dickeya solani), brown rot (Ralstonia solanacearum), fire blight (Erwinia amylovora) and crown gall (Agrobacterium tumefaciens). All metals NPs showed an antagonistic effect against the tested isolates, particularly, FeNPs showed the highest antibacterial activity followed by CuNPs, and ZnNPs. Due to the small size, high reactivity, and large surface area of biologically synthesized NPs, they are used as a good disinfector, and can be considered as a new and alternative approach to traditional disease management methods.

Plants are exposed to infections by a large spectrum of over 200 pathogenic bacterial species that cause diseases that can lead to damage and death1. There are many ways to control bacterial plant diseases such as antibiotics; due to the natural development of bacterial resistance2 often antibiotics are ineffective in disease management3. Using chemical pesticides cause a hazardous effect on the environment, animals, and human health4. Nanotechnology as a new approach was applied to the development of novel antibacterial agents for the management of phytopathogenic bacteria affecting crops. The reduction of macro materials into Nanoscale particles (1–100 nm) gives hope to new characteristics and the material behaves differently5.

Different chemicals6, physical7, and biological8 processes are currently widely used to synthesize metallic nanoparticles (NPs) for use as antibacterial agents. Many biological systems, can convert inorganic metal ions into metal NPs via the reductive capacities of the bioproduc of these organisms. The low cost of cultivation, low energy requirements, short production time, safety, and the ability to up production volumes and eco-compatibility make biological synthesis an attractive platform for nanoparticle synthesis alternative to physical and chemical preparation10.

The physicochemical properties of NPs are important for their behaviour, bio-distribution, safety, and efficacy. Therefore, the characterization of NPs is important to evaluate the functional aspects of the synthesized particles. Characterization is performed using a variety of analytical techniques, including UV–VIS spectroscopy11, X-ray diffractometry12, Raman scattering13,14, Energy-Dispersive X-Ray15, Transmission electron microscopy16, vibrating sample magnetometer17, Particle size analysis18, and inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectrometry19.
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The objectives of this study were a biological synthesis of different types of metallic NPs using eco-friendly bacterial isolates obtained from the Egyptian ecosystem, production, and characterization of these metals NPs from bacterial isolates, as well as, studying their effects on some phytopathogenic bacteria in vitro.

**Results**

**Chemical analysis of water samples.** The experimental data on the chemical parameters of the collected water samples are presented in Table 1. Data demonstrated that all water samples contained inorganic heavy metals pollutants such as Fe\(^{3+}\), Zn\(^{2+}\), Cu\(^{2+}\), and highly toxic heavy metals like cadmium (Cd\(^{2+}\)) and Pb\(^{2+}\) as well. Sample A1 contained 19 mg/L lead (Pb\(^{2+}\)) and 25 mg/L Cd\(^{2+}\), sample A2 contained 5.1 mg/L Cu\(^{2+}\) and 3.4 mg/L Fe\(^{3+}\), and also sample A5, A6, A7, and A8 contained traces of Pb\(^{2+}\) and Cd\(^{2+}\). Sample A5 and A6 showed the highest pH values 8.6 and 8.1 respectively. Besides, sample A1 recorded the highest nitrate and salinity concentration 87 mg/L, 95.1 psu.

**Isolation of nano-metals forming bacteria.** Table 2 shows visual observation and selective isolation of Nano metals forming bacteria on LB medium supplemented with metals nitrates (Fe\(^{3+}\), Cu\(^{2+}\), Co\(^{2+}\), or Zn\(^{2+}\)). After 6 days incubation, results indicated that samples A1, A4, A7, and A8 contained metal-reducing bacteria, as shown in Fig. 1. Reduction of metals by bacteria resulted in dark brown colonies (isolate T65 from sample A1) or dark zone around bacterial growth, which was recorded in 3 isolates: E12, M69, and G80 from 3 samples A4, A7, and A8 respectively.

**Determination of nitrate reductase.** Nitrate reductase enzyme was produced by selected isolates T65, E12, M69, and G80 grown on Fe\(^{3+}\), Cu\(^{2+}\), Co\(^{2+}\) or Zn\(^{2+}\) nitrate. After 84-h incubation, results indicated that samples A1, A4, A7, and A8 contained metal-reducing bacteria, as shown in Fig. 1. Reduction of metals by bacteria resulted in dark brown colonies (isolate T65 from sample A1) or dark zone around bacterial growth, which was recorded in 3 isolates: E12, M69, and G80 from 3 samples A4, A7, and A8 respectively.

**Morphological, physiological, and biochemical tests of NPs forming bacteria.** Data on morphological, physiological, and biochemical characterization of selected bacterial isolates T65, E12, M69, and G80 (S1) showed that isolates belonged to *Pseudomonas*, *Enterococcus*, and *Marinobacter* spp.

![Table 1. Chemical analyses of water samples.](image1)

| Parameter          | Sample | pH  | No\(^{2-}\) mg/L | No\(^{3-}\) mg/L | Salinity (psu) | Fe\(^{3+}\) mg/L | Zn\(^{2+}\) mg/L | Cu\(^{2+}\) mg/L | Pb\(^{2+}\) mg/L | Cd\(^{2+}\) mg/L |
|--------------------|--------|-----|------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|
| A 1                | 6.59   | 62  | 87               | 95.1             | 13             | 1033           | 282            | 19             | 25             |
| A 2                | 7.4    | 0.04| 0                | 56.6             | 3.4            | 1.2            | 5.1            | 0.094          | 0.036          |
| A 3                | 7.5    | 1.8 | 0                | 41.2             | 0.07           | 0.4            | 0.1            | 0.006          | 0.002          |
| A 4                | 7.6    | 0.78| 0                | 42.1             | 0.01           | 0.3            | 0.2            | 0.01           | 0.0            |
| A 5                | 8.6    | 0.12| 0                | 46.7             | 0.4            | 0              | 2.8            | 0.006          | 0.81           |
| A 6                | 8.1    | 2.1 | 2.6              | 22.6             | 1.3            | 0.9            | 1.8            | 0.15           | 0.07           |
| A 7                | 7.9    | 1.8 | 1.0              | 34.2             | 0.02           | 0.07           | 0.1            | 0.002          | 0.03           |
| A 8                | 7.9    | 0.621| 1.6             | 48.3             | 0.01           | 0.08           | 0.07           | 0.12           | 0.01           |

![Table 2. Isolation of metals reducing bacteria from water samples.](image2)

| Metals salts        | Sample | LB medium + Fe\(^{3+}\) nitrate | LB medium + Cu\(^{2+}\) nitrate | LB medium + Co\(^{2+}\) nitrate | LB medium + Zn\(^{2+}\) nitrate | Isolate code |
|---------------------|--------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|
| A 1                 | Color change | ND             | ND                              | ND                              | ND                              | T65          |
| A 2                 | ND     | ND                              | ND                              | ND                              | ND                              | –            |
| A 3                 | ND     | ND                              | ND                              | ND                              | ND                              | –            |
| A 4                 | ND     | Color change                    | ND                              | ND                              | ND                              | E12          |
| A 5                 | ND     | ND                              | ND                              | ND                              | ND                              | –            |
| A 6                 | ND     | ND                              | ND                              | ND                              | ND                              | –            |
| A 7                 | ND     | ND                              | Color change                    | ND                              | ND                              | M69          |
| A 8                 | ND     | ND                              | ND                              | Color change                    | ND                              | G80          |
Molecular identification of NPs forming bacteria using 16S rRNA gene sequences. The resulting partial DNA sequences of the 4 bacterial isolates were analyzed using the BLAST tool in NCBI (National Center for Biotechnology Information) www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov.

Obtained data analyses revealed that inferred 16S rRNA sequences of isolates showed similarity to *P. putida*, *E. thailandicus*, *M. hydrocarbonoclasticus*, and *P. geniculata*. The bacterial isolates were illustrated with the Gene Bank accession numbers in S2.

Alignment and phylogenetic analysis. Based on nucleotide homology and phylogenetic analysis, the isolate E12 showed the highest similarity (98.0%) with *E. thailandicus* KY968665.1, isolate M69 showed the highest similarity (99.0%) with *M. hydrocarbonoclasticus* JQ045804.1, as well isolate T65 showed the highest similarity (98.0%) with *P. putida* KU860101.1, also, the isolate G80 showed the highest similarity (99.0%) with...
\( P. \) geniculata KU550146. The phylogenetic trees of selected isolates were related to isolates from the gene bank (Fig. 3).

**Production of metals NPs.** The reduction of metals ions to metals NPs could be optically appreciated after incubation of the bacterial culture by color changes of the medium from yellow to dark brown/black as illustrated in S3.

**Characterization of metals NPs.**

- **UV–VIS spectroscopic analysis.** The UV–VIS spectroscopic analysis of synthesized metals NPs was shown in (Fig. 4). UV–VIS spectroscopic analysis of FeNPs and ZnNPs (Fig. 4A,D) showed the absorption maximum peak at 233 nm and around 230–250 nm respectively. The maximum absorption peaks of CuNPs and CoNPs appeared at around 569 nm (Fig. 4B), and 530 nm (Fig. 4C) consecutively.

- **Transmission electron microscope (TEM) analysis.** The Ultrastructure study of the intracellular biosynthesis of metals NPs was examined using TEM. Particles with higher electron density appear darker in the TEM negative film. Figure 5 shows that the synthesis of FeNPs and ZnNPs is in both periplasmic space and the cytoplasm of the bacterial cell of \( P. \) putida (Fig. 5A) and \( P. \) geniculata (Fig. 5D) respectively, while synthesis of CuNPs and CoNPs, was in the cytoplasm of cells of \( E. \) thailandicus (Fig. 5B) and \( M. \) hydrocarbonoclasticus (Fig. 5C) respectively.

- **X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) analysis.** The crystalline nature, quality, and crystallographic identity of the examined NPs in addition to the phase purity were determined by the XRD spectrum over a wide range of Bragg angles 10° ≤ 2θ ≤ 80°. XRD pattern was characterized by the interplanar d-spacing/2θ degree and the different intensities of the strongest peaks. The X-ray diffractograms of metals NPs synthesized by the selected bacterial isolates are illustrated in Fig. 7. The XRD pattern of FeNPs in Fig. 7A reveals that a peak of the highest intensity occurs at 45.50°. Tow main characteristic diffraction peaks for CuNPs were observed (Fig. 7B) at around 2θ = 42°, 50°. The XRD pattern (Fig. 7C) of CoNPs shows the diffraction peaks at 43.2°, 54.5°, and 77.9°, also XRD pattern in Fig. 7D of ZnNPs exhibits diffraction peaks at about 2θ = of 43°, 56°, and 79.8°.

- **Raman spectroscopy analysis.** Raman spectroscopy allows the characterization of many types of samples without any specific requirement preparation. NPs Raman spectra of metallic NPs are shown in Fig. 8. Three peaks were observed around 200, 290, and 1100 cm⁻¹ for FeNPs (Fig. 8A). CuNPs absorptions peaks were around 500 cm⁻¹, 1250 cm⁻¹, and 1500 cm⁻¹ (Fig. 8B). In addition, CoNPs (Fig. 8C) absorption peaks were around 500 cm⁻¹ and 1000 cm⁻¹, and (Fig. 8D), around 300–500 cm⁻¹ and 1400 cm⁻¹ in the case of ZnNPs.
Particle size analysis. The particle size distribution of metals NPs, as showed in Fig. 9, was analyzed using a particle size analyzer. The average size distribution of FeNPs (Fig. 9A), CuNPs (Fig. 9B), CoNPs (Fig. 9C), and ZnNPs (Fig. 9D) were in range 1–3 nm, 8–18 nm, 8–22, and 4–16 nm respectively.

Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX). EDX analysis gives qualitative as well as quantitative status of elements that may be involved in the formation of NPs and proves the ability of bacterial cells to reduce and accumulate metals from the culture medium. The high presence of Fe, Cu, Co, and Zn in analyzed bacterial cells, as observed in EDX analysis, is reported in Table 3. Iron contents in P. putida isolate was 33%, the content of copper in E. thailandicus isolate was 39.2%, the content of cobalt in M. hydrocarbonoclasticus was 32% and zinc in P. geniculata was 39.1%. The presence of P, Cd, Al, K, Si, Na, Mg, Cl, and S as debris of bacterial cells was observed.

Vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM) analysis. Magnetic characterization of metallic NPs was performed using a VSM. Plots of magnetization versus the magnetic field at 300 K for Fe, Cu, Co, and ZnNPs are shown in Fig. 10. As shown in Fig. 10A the plots of the FeNPs measured a saturation magnetization value around 36.9 emu/g with no hysteresis, the magnetic characterization of CuNPs, as shown in Fig. 10B indicated, low magnetic behavior with saturation magnetization value around 1.3 emu/g without any hysteresis. Magnetization curve of CoNPs showed a very low value around 0.2–0.3 emu/g (Fig. 10C), and ZnNPs saturation magnetization value was around 5 emu/g as shown in Fig. 10D.

Inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectroscopic analysis (ICP-OES). The bacterial bio-sorption and reduction of metals capability was assayed by ICP-OES. Determination of Residual Metal was measured in cell-free supernatant compared with the control medium supplemented with metals. The ability of the selected bacterial isolates to accumulate metals intracellularly is shown in S (4). Bacterial isolate P. geniculata can accumulate all amount of Zn$^{2+}$ within 2 days (S4D), followed by P. putida that can accumulate all amount of Fe$^{3+}$ from the culture medium within 4 days (S4A), while isolates E. thailandicus and M. hydrocarbonoclasticus can accumulate Cu$^{2+}$ (S4B) and Co$^{2+}$ (S4C) within 5 and 6 days sequentially.
Effect of metals NPs on some phytopathogenic bacteria in vitro. Determination of inhibition zone (IZ). The antibacterial effect of metallic NPs was determined by the well diffusion method. The mean value of IZ is reported in Table 4, while an example image is shown in S5. FeNPs revealed the highest significant means of IZ 35.79, 34.02, and 33.44 mm against Pectobacterium carotovorum subsp. carotovorum, Agrobacterium tumefaciens, and Dickeya solani respectively, while Ralstonia solanacearum showed the smallest means of IZ 26.55 mm. FeNPs at the concentration of 300 µg/mL had the highest mean value of IZ (42.93 mm) followed by 200 µg/mL (39.05 mm) comparing with other tested concentrations.

IZ mean values recorded for CuNPs against P. carotovorum subsp. carotovorum, D. solani and Erwinia amylovora were 36.38, 34.13, and 32.69 mm sequentially, followed by R. solanacearum (30.36 mm), while the smallest mean of IZ (24.58 mm) was against A. tumefaciens. CuNPs concentration of 500 µg/mL gave the highest mean of IZ (38.70 mm) followed by 300 µg/mL (36.96 mm).

CoNPs and ZnNPs exhibited a lower antibacterial effect than FeNPs and CuNPs. CoNPs showed the highest IZ mean, 26.76 mm, against D. solani, and the smallest means of IZ (22.00 mm, 21.84 mm respectively) against E. amylovora and Pectobacterium atrosepticum. CoNPs at the concentration 500 µg/mL gave the highest mean of IZ (33.50 mm) followed by 300 µg/mL (30.19 mm).

ZnNPs versus D. solani showed the highest mean of IZ (18.71 mm), while the lowest mean of IZ (16.85 mm) was the one against P. atrosepticum. ZnNPs at the concentration of 1000 µg/mL gave the highest mean of IZ (23.41 mm), followed by the concentration of 700 µg/mL (20.13 mm).
Determination of MIC and MBC of metals NPs. To investigate the growth inhibition effect of NPs quantitatively against various plant bacterial pathogens, the MIC and MBC were measured and results are reported in Table 5. It was demonstrated that FeNPs, CuNPs, and CoNPs could completely inhibit the growth of tested isolates at a low concentration (50 and 100 µg/mL), while ZnNPs proved to inhibit the growth of *P. carotovorum* subsp. *carotovorum*, *E. coli*, *R. solanacearum*, *E. amylovora* at 200 µg/mL and the growth of *P. atrosepticum*, *and A. tumefaciens*. MBC values of 50, 100, 200 µg/mL were recorded for FeNPs, CuNPs, CoNPs against *D. solani*, *P. carotovorum* subsp. *carotovorum*, and *R. solanacearum*. ZnNPs MBC values were 200 µg/mL against *P. carotovorum* subsp. *carotovorum*, *D. solani*, and *E. cloacae*, 400 µg/mL against *R. solanacearum* and *E. amylovora* and 800 µg/mL against *P. atrosepticum* and *A. tumefaciens*.

Discussion
Water samples were collected from different locations in Egypt, industrial wastewater, seawater, wastewater, and lake water. The chemical analysis demonstrated that samples contained inorganic pollutants such as Fe³⁺, Zn²⁺, Cu²⁺, and highly toxic heavy metals like Cd²⁺ and Pb²⁺. Nano-metals forming bacteria that could be adapted to detoxify these heavy metals were isolated from collected samples. Different studies reported that microorganisms

![Figure 6. Transmission Electron Microscope (TEM) analysis of metals NPs, FeNPs (A), CuNPs (B), CoNPs (C) and ZnNPs (D).](image-url)
have developed the capabilities to protect themselves from heavy metal toxicity by various mechanisms such as adsorption, uptake, methylation, oxidation, and reduction. Metal-reducing bacteria were isolated with dark brown colony or dark zone around bacterial growth. Lima de Silva et al. reported that the changes observed in the colony colors were due to chemical modification of metals when interacting with the bacteria, and not to the induction of real pigmentation. Zaki et al. reported that color change in Stenotrophomonas rhizophila grown at silver nitrate indicates to reduction reaction of AgNO₃ and formation of AgNPs. The present study concluded that all selected bacterial isolates could be able to produce nitrate reductase enzyme. The nitrate reductase was reported to be responsible for nanoparticles (NPs) production especially AgNPs.

The morphological, biochemical, and physiological behavior of 4 tested isolates confirmed that two isolates belonged to Pseudomonas spp. and the other two isolates belonged to Enterococcus sp. and Marinobacter sp. Molecular approaches, i.e. 16S rRNA sequencing and phylogenetic analysis of selected isolates, revealed similarity to P. putida, E. thailandicus, M. hydrocarbonoclasticus, and P. geniculata. The literature reported the use of P. putida for bioremediation, due to its ability to degrade organic solvents such as toluene, and for silver and selenium NPs synthesis. Tang et al. reported that P. geniculata was capable of efficiently degrading nicotine. E. thailandicus was first isolated from fermented sausage in Thailand in 2008 and can produce L-lactic acid. On the other hand, M. hydrocarbonoclasticus which use nitrate (NO₃⁻) or nitrite (NO₂⁻) as the terminal electron acceptor to form gas product such as N₂O, was first isolated near a petroleum refinery in the Mediterranean Sea.

UV–Vis spectroscopy used for characterization of metal NPs, had proven to be a very useful technique for monitoring the signature of colloidal particles, especially for noble metal since they exhibit strong surface plasmon resonance absorption in the visible region and are highly sensitive to the surface modification. Present data showed the absorption maximum peak of FeNPs, CoNPs, CuNPs, and ZnNPs in agreement with the results of Zhang and Lan, Yuvakkumar et al., Annapurna et al., and Devasenan et al.

All UV absorption peaks in the range from 200 to 600 nm, characterized by the absence of long tailing on large wavelengths suggested the absence of aggregation between particles. The presence of only a single band at such wavelength ranges reflected the presence of small spherical particles according to Mie's theory. The position and shape of the surface plasmon absorption of noble metal nanoclusters were strongly dependent on the particle size, dielectric medium and surface adsorbed species.

Data obtained from TEM concluded that the selected isolates were able to detoxify and reduce metallic ions to nanoscale particles. FeNPs in the cytoplasm and periplasmic space of P. putida was observed. Such results were in agreement with Varshney et al., who found that P. stutzeri synthesized silver NPs within its periplasmic space.

**Figure 7.** X-ray powder diffraction pattern of FeNPs (A), CuNPs (B), CoNPs (C) and ZnNPs (D).
space. Synthesis of CuNPs was shown in the cytoplasm space of *E. thailandicus*, also particles were aggregated in the center of cells by the action of proteins of cytoplasm which prevent their release to the surrounding media through the cell wall. CoNPs synthesis in the case of isolate *M. hydrocarbonoclasticus* appeared diffused in the cytoplasm. ZnNPs appeared in periplasmic and cytoplasmic space of *P. geniculata*. Iravani explained that the ability of bacteria to survive and grow in stressful situations might be due to specific mechanisms of resistance which include: efflux pumps, metal efflux systems, inactivation of metals, impermeability to metals, the lack of specific metal transport systems, alteration of solubility, toxicity by changes in the redox state of the metal ions, intracellular precipitation of metals, and volatilization of toxic metals by enzymatic reactions. On the other hand, Gomathy and Sabarinathan suggested that cellular mechanisms may be implicated in the resistance and tolerance of microorganisms to excessive concentrations of heavy metals in the environment. The strategy adopted include slow transport into the cell, detoxification or incorporation of specific metals into enzymes.

Deepak et al. reported the process that involves the reduction of metals ions. The first step involves the interaction between these metal ions with nitrate reductase present inside the cell (periplasmic and cytoplasmic membrane) and their bioreduction to metallic form. The accumulation of intracellular NPs was reported in different studies like the synthesis of silver NPs by *Stenotrophomonas rhizophilia*, *E. coli*, palladium NPs by *Desulfovibrio desulfuricans* and *Bacillus benzovorans*, and AuNPs by *Lactobacillus kimchicus*.

Results of characterization of size and morphology of metals NPs, based on TEM agreed with previous studies for FeNPs in the range of 1–4 nm, CuNPs in the range 8–14 nm, CoNPs in the range 9–20 nm and ZnNPs in the range 4–13 nm. Also, in agreement with previous studies the PSA analysis showed that the range size distribution of FeNPs was 1–3 nm, CuNPs in range 8–18 nm, CoNPs in range 8–22 nm and ZnNPs in range 4–16 nm.

Patterns of XRD were analyzed to determine peak intensity, position, and width. The diffraction peaks of all NPs appeared sharp, and clearly distinguishable, which indicates the ultra-fine nature and small crystallite size. The XRD spectrum contained no other phase, indicating the purity of the sample. The XRD patterns correspond to FeNPs diffractogram, in which the characteristic peak of highest intensity occurred at 45.50° correspond to (111), crystallographic planes of face-centered cubic iron zerovalent crystals. Two main characteristic diffraction peaks for CuNPs were observed at around 2θ = 42°, 50° which correspond to the (111), (200) crystallographic planes of face-centered cubic Cu+ phase (JCPDS No.04-0784). The XRD patterns in of CoNPs show the diffraction peaks at around 43.2°, 54.5°, and 77.9° could be assigned to the (111), (200), and (220) planes of the cubic Co nanocrystal. ZnNPs showed that all the diffraction peaks could be well indexed to the characteristics of the cubic of ZnNPs. The sharp and narrow diffraction peaks appeared at about 2θ of

Figure 8. Raman spectra of FeNPs (A), CuNPs (B), CoNPs (C) and ZnNPs (D).
43, 56 and 74.83°, and were assigned to (111), (200), and (220) plane values of ZnNPs. This diffraction pattern has corresponded to pure Zn Nanopowder. A small peak is also observed at around 36° indicated that a small amount of zinc was oxidized and converted into zinc oxide. The XRD pattern of all metallic NPs indicated that the metals NPs had a spherical structure with a small size. The obtained results illustrated that metal ions had indeed been reduced to Nano-form by reducing enzyme of bacterial isolates and prof the ability of selected bacterial isolates to produce metals NPs.

Raman spectroscopy is especially useful if minerals are to be identified that are poorly defined or that cannot easily be distinguished using other methods such as XRD. In this study, Raman spectra of metal NPs showed that the 3 Raman spectra of FeNPs had mainly 3 bands observed around 200, 290, and 1100 cm⁻¹. Raman region of interest in this investigation was around 200–1100 cm⁻¹, and it was confirmed to Fe zerovalent Fe⁰ NPs peaks. These results were matched with Dong et al. Besides, results of Raman spectra of CuNPs with peak absorptions around 500 cm⁻¹, 1250 cm⁻¹, and 1500 cm⁻¹ indicated that the sample contains Cu⁰ NPs. Raman spectra showed absorptions peak around 500 cm⁻¹ and 1000 cm⁻¹ and it corresponded with the Raman shift pattern of the CoNPs. In addition to Raman spectra with 2 strong peaks around 300–500 cm⁻¹ and 1400 cm⁻¹, these peaks characteristics were identical to peaks of ZnNPs. All Raman spectra of samples indicated the high quality of metal NPs, as well as, these results were compatible with XRD analyses.

| Element% | Isolate | Fe | Cu | Co | Zn | P | Ca | Al | K | Si | Na | Mg | Cl | S |
|----------|---------|----|----|----|----|---|----|----|---|----|----|----|----|---|
| Isolate  |         |    |    |    |    |   |    |    |   |    |    |    |    |   |
| E. thailandicus | – | 39.2 | – | 3.1 | 22.6 | 10.2 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 0.7 | 8.8 | – | 0.3 | 7.6 |   |
| M. hydrocarbonoclasticus | 10.5 | – | 32 | 2.5 | 19.21 | – | 3.12 | 7.5 | – | – | – | 16.6 | 1.25 | |
| P. putida | 33 | – | 14.36 | 12.56 | 8.1 | 1.5 | 3.4 | – | 15.2 | 0.6 | – | 7.2 |   | |
| P. geniculata | 1.45 | 1.1 | – | 39.1 | 11.7 | 12.2 | – | 5.2 | 0.2 | 11.7 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 9.4 |   |

Figure 9. Particle size distribution histogram of FeNPs (A), CuNPs (B), CoNPs (C) and ZnNPs (D).

Table 3. Energy-dispersive X-ray analysis of Enterococcus thailandicus E12 cultured on copper nitrate, Marinobacter hydrocarbonoclasticus M 69 cultured on cobalt nitrate, Pseudomonas putida T65 cultured on iron nitrate and P. geniculata G 80 cultured on zinc nitrate.
Analysis using EDX indicated that the content of Fe in *P. putida* isolate was 46%, the content of Cu in *E. thailandicus* was 47%, which was coherent with the results by Eltarahony et al.55. Content of Co in *M. hydrocarbonoclasticus* was 41%, and Zn in *P. geniculata* was 59%, in agreement with finding by Eltarahony et al.56. These results fixed that all bacterial isolates could accumulate and reduce the high amount of metal for NPs intracellular synthesis. The presence of other metals might be referred to some elements in bacterial cell structure and biomolecules such as DNA, ATP, RNA, and amino acids19.

The magnetic properties of the metal NPs have been investigated using a VSM. The plots of the FeNPs indicate a superparamagnetic behavior at room temperature which is compatible with Eivari et al.57, with no hysteresis. The measured saturation magnetization value around 36.9 emu/g. The magnetic characterization of CuNPs indicated low magnetic behavior with a saturation magnetization value of around 1.3 emu/g without any hysteresis58. Also, magnetization curves of CoNPs were very low around 0.2–0.3 emu/g, with a soft hysteresis loop59. In addition to the magnetization curve of ZnNPs with saturation magnetization had a value of around 5 emu/g60. From these results, we concluded that Fe, Cu, and Zn were paramagnetic NPs, and Co was ferro-magnetic NPs.

Determination of residual metal concentration using ICP-OES demonstrated that selected bacterial isolates could detoxificate and accumulates metals intracellular. *P. putida* could accumulate all amount of Fe³⁺ from the culture medium within 4 days, as well *P. geniculata* could accumulate all amount of Zn²⁺ within 2 days, and it might be referring to the ability degree of isolates to detoxification of metals and the toxicity level of metals like Cu²⁺ and Co²⁺. Different studies reported the importance of Fe³⁺ and Zn²⁺ to bacterial cells and their role in different biological pathways. Ahmad et al.61 reported that Zn²⁺ plays a significant role in cellular division and protein synthesis contributes to carbohydrate, lipid, and nucleic acid metabolism. Fe³⁺ participates in a large number of cellular processes, the most important of which were oxygen transport, ATP generation, cell growth, proliferation, and detoxification. It was a coenzyme or enzyme activator of ribonucleotide reductase, a key enzyme for DNA synthesis, which catalyzes the conversion of ribonucleotides to deoxyribonucleotides and particularly of deoxyuridine to thymidine. ICP-OES results were compatible and confirm ability of selected isolates to reduce and accumulate metals19.

The antibacterial effect of metal NPs against some phytopathogenic bacteria noted that in case of low concentrations of FeNPs, CuNPs and CoNPs increase the antibacterial effect and this effect significantly decreased with

![Figure 10. Room temperature magnetization curves of FeNPs (A), CuNPs (B), CoNPs (C) and ZnNPs (D).](https://example.com/figure10.png)
Table 4. Antibacterial activity of Fe, Cu, Co and Zn Nanoparticles (NPs) on the growth of some phytopathogenic bacteria. Statistically significant at p ≤ 0.05, Means with Common letters are not significant (i.e. Means with Different letters are significant), * Inhibition zone (IZ) diameter (mm).

| NPs | Concentration | Isolates | MIC (µg/mL) 1000 µg/mL | MIC (µg/mL) 700 µg/mL | MIC (µg/mL) 500 µg/mL | MIC (µg/mL) 300 µg/mL | MIC (µg/mL) 200 µg/mL | MIC (µg/mL) 100 µg/mL | Means |
|-----|---------------|----------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------|
| Iron (Fe) | P. carotovorum subsp. carotovorum | 12.78a | 21.38a | 49.39a | 46.29a | 45.10a | 39.83a | 35.79a | 35.79a |
| | D. solani | 11.89ab | 16.63b | 44.76cd | 46.77b | 42.34a | 36.41b | 33.44c |
| | E. cloacae | 11.41ab | 12.27abcd | 39.19a | 44.60a | 41.20f | 41.31f | 31.66d |
| | P. atrosepticum | 16.32a | 17.40u | 25.33a | 40.42a | 38.47a | 30.35a | 28.04a |
| | R. solanacearum | 12.27abcd | 12.53ed | 33.30d | 35.86a | 31.94c | 33.42a | 26.56f |
| | E. amylovora | 18.37c | 19.37c | 26.83c | 42.03b | 32.14d | 29.34b | 28.01c |
| | A. tumefaciens | 22.43c | 26.34o | 32.32l | 44.57cd | 40.27c | 34.02b | 32.99d |
| Means | | 15.06c | 17.98c | 35.87b | 42.93a | 39.05ab | 35.55b |
| Copper (Cu) | P. carotovorum subsp. carotovorum | 21.31u | 31.95lm | 45.57a | 42.32a | 40.39d | 36.77g | 36.38a |
| | D. solani | 22.36t | 41.19c | 40.24d | 39.47e | 35.06i | 26.50q | 28.29g |
| | E. cloacae | 17.28w | 32.27l | 36.12h | 34.41j | 30.20no | 19.46v | 28.69f |
| | P. atrosepticum | 11.23z | 25.22r | 35.20i | 34.13j | 32.14l | 22.24t | 32.69c |
| | R. solanacearum | 16.54a | 23.42o | 38.32f | 34.23f | 31.42m | 31.42m | 30.36d |
| | E. amylovora | 22.46t | 30.77n | 42.28b | 40.34d | 38.97e | 21.35u | 32.69c |
| | A. tumefaciens | 15.11y | 28.38p | 33.18k | 29.83o | 25.52r | 15.49y | 24.58g |
| Means | | 18.04d | 30.45b | 38.70a | 36.96a | 33.78ab | 24.74c |
| Cobalt (Co) | P. carotovorum subsp. carotovorum | 10.53w | 25.34mn | 39.49a | 33.30d | 27.62j | 18.25t | 25.75b |
| | D. solani | 21.78pq | 24.33q | 37.28b | 34.75c | 25.25n | 20.21r | 26.76a |
| | E. cloacae | 14.01i | 22.36o | 31.67f | 28.39j | 25.09w | 16.22u | 22.95d |
| | P. atrosepticum | 11.06w | 21.50q | 32.44e | 25.25n | 26.95jk | 13.88v | 20.06d |
| | R. solanacearum | 15.53u | 26.36kl | 33.55d | 30.60q | 24.17c | 14.16v | 24.06c |
| | E. amylovora | 14.30v | 24.30o | 31.05gh | 31.78ef | 19.48s | 11.12w | 22.00d |
| | A. tumefaciens | 9.14w | 22.20pq | 29.06o | 27.29j | 24.57cd | 19.28s | 22.15d |
| Means | | 13.76c | 23.77b | 33.50e | 30.19v | 24.50d | 16.16c |
| Zinc (Zn) | P. carotovorum subsp. carotovorum | 26.28b | 25.0e | 21.16f | 16.18g | 12.49h | 0.0q | 16.85b |
| | D. solani | 27.84c | 28.41a | 22.39j | 19.18i | 14.49k | 0.0q | 18.71a |
| | E. cloacae | 21.22i | 16.12j | 14.34l | 14.25m | 10.55n | 0.0q | 12.74c |
| | P. atrosepticum | 18.99g | 13.62m | 10.69p | 10.32q | 0.0r | 0.0q | 8.956c |
| | R. solanacearum | 24.28d | 21.90e | 17.31f | 15.13g | 15.30h | 0.0q | 15.65b |
| | E. amylovora | 26.04d | 21.97e | 19.51g | 18.21h | 15.30k | 0.0q | 16.83b |
| | A. tumefaciens | 19.23g | 13.91lm | 11.29o | 11.45p | 0.0q | 0.0q | 9.313c |
| Means | | 23.41a | 20.13ab | 16.67bc | 14.96e | 9.73d | 0e |

Table 5. Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) and minimum bactericidal concentrations (MBCs) of tested metals NPs against some phytopathogenic bacteria.
high concentrations. Rout et al.\textsuperscript{62} declared that size of the inhibition zone increased significantly with decreasing the size of the NPs. It is reasonable to state that binding of the NPs to the bacteria depends on the surface available for interaction. They added that smaller and monodispersed particles having the larger surface area available for interaction would give more of a biocidal effect than the aggregated and larger particles. Moreover, present data revealed that the antibacterial potential increased with an increase in the concentration of ZnNPs.

Several studies reported the antibacterial effect of metals NPs and their antibacterial mechanisms. Lee et al.\textsuperscript{63} reported the inactivation of \textit{E. coli} by zero-valent Fe, furthermore, NPs leads to the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS), resulting in the generation of hydroxyl radicals (OH\textsuperscript{−}) from superoxide (O\textsuperscript{2−}) and hydrogen peroxide (H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}) in microbial cells. These radicals promote oxidative stress and cause cell membrane damage, which contributes to the outflow of intracellular contents and, finally, cell death due to the penetration of the small NPs (sizes ranging from 10–80 nm) into \textit{E. coli} membranes\textsuperscript{64}. Other NPs such as ZnO-NPs\textsuperscript{65}, Aerogel-MgO-NPs\textsuperscript{66}, and TiO\textsubscript{2}-NPs\textsuperscript{67} have been reported also to cause a loss of membrane integrity and leakage. Other studies on ZnO-NPs and MgO-NPs concluded that antibacterial activity increased with decreasing particle size\textsuperscript{68}. Moreover, researches had demonstrated that the small size of NPs, which have characteristic dimensions < 100 nm, can contribute to the bactericidal effect. Their uniquely small size results in novel properties, like the greatest interaction with cells due to a larger surface area-to-mass ratio and multilateral and controllable application\textsuperscript{69}.

The antibacterial properties of CuO NPs have been investigated, which were found that able to cause protein oxidation, lipid peroxidation and DNA degradation in \textit{E. coli} cells\textsuperscript{70}. Khalil et al.\textsuperscript{71} reported that synthesized cobalt oxide NPs were studied for their antibacterial potential against 3 \textit{G}− (\textit{Pseudomonas aeruginosa}, \textit{Klebsiella pneumonia}, and \textit{Escherichia coli}) and 3 \textit{G}+ bacterial strains (\textit{Staphylococcus epidermis}, \textit{Staphylococcus aureus} and \textit{Bacillus subtilis}). It was noted that the antibacterial potential increased with an increase in the concentration of the nanoparticles.

In this study, FeNPs, CuNPs, and CoNPs were found to be effective at 50–100 µg/mL against some phytopathogenic bacteria, likewise, 200–400 µg/mL of ZnNPs were effective. This effectiveness at lower concentrations might be referred to the small size of bacterial synthesized metal NPs. It was reported that ultrafine particle size causes its action at a lower concentration, whereas our study used NPs with a size range of 2–10 nm. A previous study by Niemirowicz et al.\textsuperscript{72} indicated that MIC and MBC of FeNPs against \textit{Staphylococcus aureus} and \textit{P. aeruginosa} was 64, 182 µg/mL and 128, 265 µg/mL on the relay, also Ruparelia et al.\textsuperscript{73} noted that MIC and MBC of CuNPs against \textit{E. coli} was 140, 160 µg/mL. On the other hand, Aysa and Salman\textsuperscript{74} demonstrated that 3.7 µg/mL was the MIC and MBC value of ZnNPs against \textit{P. aeruginosa}.

### Conclusion and recommendations:

From this study, we concluded that biological synthesis of NPs using bacterial cells is eco-friendly, fast, and inexpensive. The toxicity of FeNPs, CuNPs, CoNPs, and ZnNPs to phytopathogenic bacteria in addition to their bactericidal effect, recommend future investigations on the toxicity and safety concentrations of metal NPs to animals and human cells. These future studies seem to be necessary to be performed, in order to precise for toxicity prevention and the applicability of metals NPs in bactericide industry.

### Material and methods

#### Samples collection

Various environmental water samples showing harsh conditions for microbial growth (industrial wastewater, seawater, wastewater, and lake water), containing organic and inorganic pollutants were collected from several diverse habitats (Alexandria, Hurghada, and Damietta Governorates), during April 2015 (Table 6).

#### Physicochemical analysis of water samples

pH, NO\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{−}, NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{−}, salinity, Fe\textsuperscript{3+}, Zn, Cu\textsuperscript{2+}, Pb\textsuperscript{2+}, and Cd\textsuperscript{2+} were analyzed and performed according to APHA\textsuperscript{75}.

| Sample code | Location (governorate) | Sample type |
|-------------|------------------------|-------------|
| A1          | Petroleum company (Damietta) | Industrial waste water |
| A2          | Port of Eastern (Alexandria) | Sea water |
| A3          | Port of Ras sedr (Red Sea) | Sea water |
| A4          | East of the Gulf of Suez (red sea) | Sea water |
| A5          | Aboukir Bay (Alexandria) | Sea water |
| A6          | Toulombat - Almax (Alexandria) | Waste water |
| A7          | Marisout Lake (Alexandria) | Lake water |
| A8          | Port of Jebel Al Azeet (Red Sea) | Sea water |

Table 6. Sample code, location (Governorate) and sample type used for isolation of metal NPs forming bacteria.
Isolation of Nano-metals forming bacteria. Each water sample (1 mL) was inoculated in nutrient broth (NB), and then taken in a series of 250 mL Erlenmeyer flasks containing 49 mL of NB. In the case of seawater samples, 1 mL of each sample was inoculated in Marine Broth (2216) and incubated at 30 °C for 24 h at 150 rpm in an orbital shaker. Samples were serially diluted in 0.8% saline then plated on Luria Bertani (LB) agar plates supplemented with 5 mM of either Fe (NO₃)₂·9H₂O, Cu (NO₃)₂·3H₂O, Co (NO₃)₂·6H₂O and Zn (NO₃)₂·6H₂O (Sigma Aldrich) and incubated at 30 °C for 6 days. Plates were examined daily for dark colonies and/or with a dark zone on the agar. The obtained pure bacterial colonies, through a single colony isolation technique, were maintained by mixing bacterial suspension in 20% glycerol and stored at ~ 80 °C for further studies.

Determination of nitrate reductase. For crude enzyme determination, isolates were grown on LB broth supplemented with 3.5 mM of Fe³⁺, Cu²⁺, Co²⁺, and Zn²⁺ nitrate separately, then cells were collected and disrupted by an Ultrasonic generator (Vibra-Cell Ultrasonic Liquid Processors Sonics and Materials VC 505 / VC 750160 W) for 5 min. Nitrate reductase activity was assayed according to Antonio et al.⁷⁸.

Morphological, physiological, and biochemical identification tests. Motility, Gram stain, spore formation were done for Morphological tests. Physiological tests for the effect of different pH values on growth, temperature, and NaCl tolerance as well as gelatin liquefaction was determined according to Bergey’s Manual of Determinative Bacteriology.⁷⁹ For testing the ability of isolates to grow under anaerobic conditions, bacterial isolates were tested using AnaeroGen jar 2.5 liters.⁸⁰

Biological characterization tests were performed using diagnostics ENC 8 kit and GN 24 kit identification system for Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria, respectively. As well DNAase test was performed by streaking each bacterial culture on the DNAase agar plate (HIMEDIA M482) and incubated at 28 ± 2 °C for 3 days, then the plate was flooded with 0.1% toluidine blue. A clearance zone around the colonies was recorded as a positive reaction.⁸¹

Molecular identification of NPs forming bacteria through 16S rRNA gene. For DNA extraction protocol from bacterial cells, an aliquot of 1 mL of AMSHAGE DNA extraction kit was added and followed the steps of Abd-El-Haleem.⁸² PCR amplification of the 16S rRNA gene was performed for 4 isolates on a “Biometra PCR Thermocycler” using one pair of primer 16S-F (27F) AGA GTT TGATCMTGG CTC AG and 16S-R(1492R) GATTACCTTGGTTACGACTT according to Wang et al.⁸³. PCR products electrophoresis and visualization. Ten μL of PCR product was loaded per gel slot. Electrophoresis was performed at 100 Volt with (0.5 x) Tris–Acetate—EDTA buffer (TAE) [Tris base, 108 g/L; acetic acid, 55 g/L and 0.5 M EDTA with a pH of 8] as running buffer in 1.5% agarose gel cast in 0.5 × (TAE buffer). The gel was stained in (1 μL) ethidium bromide (Royal Biogene). Finally, the gel contained PCR product was visualized with a syngeneic gel documentation system.

Purification of PCR product and sequencing of 16S rRNA gene. PCR products were purified using NEPRAS DNA purification kit. Partial DNA sequencing was performed for the PCR amplified 16S rRNA gene using an ABI PRISM dye terminator cycle sequencing kit with Ampli Taq DNA polymerase and an Applied Biosystems 373 DNA Sequencer (Perkin-Elmer, Foster City, Calif.).

Alignment and phylogenetic analysis. Bootstrap neighbor-joining tree was generated using MEGA version 6.1 from CLUSTALW alignment. Comparisons with sequences in the GenBank database were achieved in BLASTN searches at the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) site (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov).⁸⁵ Production and Extraction of metals nanoparticles (NPs) were performed according to Zaki et al. and Kamal et al. respectively.

Characterization of metals NPs. Characterization was performed using a variety of analytical techniques. Ultraviolet–Visible spectroscopy (UV–Vis), X-ray diffraction (XRD), Transmission electron microscope (TEM), Raman Spectroscopy, Particle size distribution (PSA), Energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectroscopy, Vibrating Sample Magnetometer (VSM) and Inductively Coupled Plasma-Optical Emission Spectroscopy (ICP-OES) were carried out for characterization of metals NPs.

Application of metals NPs on some phytopathogenic bacteria in vitro. The metals NPs were evaluated for antibacterial activity against 7 molecular identified phytopathogenic bacteria (Table 7). Determination of the inhibition zone (IZ) of tested phytopathogenic bacteria was done by a well diffusion method. The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) was determined based on batch cultures containing varying concentrations of metals NPs with serial two-fold dilution in suspension (25–800 μg/mL). All the experiments were carried out in triplicate. Also, the determination of the minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) was performed as suggested by Avadi et al. To test for bactericidal effect, one mL of each culture flask which was used in the MIC experiment was plated in duplicates on LB agar free of NPs and incubated at 30 °C for 48 h. NPs concentration causing the bactericidal effect was selected based on the absence of colonies on the agar plate.⁸⁵
Table 7. Phytopathogenic bacterial isolates used in this study.

| Bacterial isolates                                  | Accession No |
|-----------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| Pectobacterium carotovorum subsp. carotovorum       | Acc. No. LN811442 |
| Dickeya solani                                      | Acc. No. LT592259 |
| Enterobacter cloacae                                | Acc. No. LT592256 |
| Pectobacterium atrosepticum                         | Identified by specific primer (Y45,Y46), Bacterial Pl. Dis. and Molecular Bacteriology Lab., Dept. of Pl. Pathology, Fac. of Agric., Alex. Uni. Egypt |
| Ralstonia solanearum                                | Acc. No. LN681200 |
| Erwinia amylovora                                   | Acc. No. LN875713 |
| Agrobacterium tumefaciens                           | Acc. No. LT630451 |

Data availability
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