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Abstract
This paper introduces cutpoints and separations in \(\alpha\)-connected topological spaces, which are constructed by using the union of vertices set and edges set for a connected graph, and studies the relationships between them. Furthermore, it generalizes some new concepts.
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1- Introduction
The concepts of \(\alpha\)-open sets and \(\alpha\)-closed sets in topological spaces were defined and studied firstly in [1] 1965 by O. Njastad. After this study, many mathematicians have generalized and derived other definitions in there researches. These studies were developed and many new relationships between these terms were found [2, 3].

In general topology, the important concepts of cutpoints and cutpoint spaces have been studied in connected topological spaces [4-9]. Also, separations are associated with the term of cutpoints. Many references have taken these terms and discussed the relationships between them [10, 11]. Throughout the last decades, the graph theory has been an essential part of combinatorial applications. The fundamental ideas appeared by Euler in 1736, when he solved a problem by introducing a graph that he constructed [12]. Thereafter, the graph theory became an important part of mathematics. As the applications have been increasingly appearing in multiple aspects in mathematics, there has been a growing interest in this concept [13, 14]. Our research topic has further relations with additional previous works [15, 16].

In this paper, we introduce the concept of cutpoints, with the topological viewpoint in \(\alpha\)-connected topological spaces, and study separations and connectedness in the \(\alpha\)-topological spaces. Finally, we prove some relationships and give a counter example.
2. Preliminaries and basic definitions
We need to recall some basic topological definitions and remarks with some definitions and facts for a graph.
Let \((X, \tau)\) be any topological space and \(A\) be a subset of \(X\). If \(A\) satisfied the condition \(A \subseteq \text{Int}(\text{Cl}(\text{Int}(A)))\) for all \(A \subseteq X\), then \(A\) is called an \(\alpha\)-open set. The set of all \(\alpha\)-open sets form \(\alpha\)-topology of \(X\), which is denoted as \(\tau_\alpha\). So, the pair \((X, \tau_\alpha)\) is called an \(\alpha\)-topological space, and the complement of \(A\) is an \(\alpha\)-closed set or \(\text{Cl}(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}(A))) \subseteq A\).
The interior of these spaces is denoted as \(\alpha\)-Int(A), which means the union of all \(\alpha\)-open sets containing \(A\), and the closure is denoted as \(\alpha\)-Cl(A), which means the intersection of all \(\alpha\)-closed sets contained in \(A\).
We conclude, by definition, that every open set is \(\alpha\)-open, but the converse is not true in general.
We must refer to our topological space that it’s structured under special conditions about the element of the set that built it.
If \(G\) is any graph with \(V_G\) vertices set and \(E_G\) edges set, then we take the set \(X = V_G \cup E_G\) and define \(\tau_G\) on it, then the pair \((X, \tau_G)\) is called topological space of the graph \(G\) with ground set \(V_G \cup E_G\), and \(\tau_G\) satisfied the conditions of general topology [11].
Definition 2.1 [4]: A topological space \(X\) is called connected space if there do not exist two disjoint, nonempty, open sets \(A\) and \(B\) such that \(X = A \cup B\). Otherwise, \(X\) is disconnected.
Any subset \(B\) of \(X\) is connected if the subspace of \(B\) is connected.
Definition 2.2 [11]: A topological space \(X\) is called separated space if there are two unordered disjoint pairs of subsets of \(X\) such that each one is the complement of the other (unordered pair means if \(B \subseteq X\), then \((B, X \setminus B) = (X \setminus B, B))\).
If \(A\) and \(B\) are two subsets of \(X\) and if \(\text{Cl}(A) \cap B = A \cap \text{Cl}(B) = \emptyset\), then they are mutually separated in \(X\) (i.e., \(A\) and \(B\) are clopen) [11].
So, the separation on the subspace of the topological space \(X\) is defined as follows.
Let \(Y\) be a subspace of a topological space \(X\), then \(A\) and \(B\) are mutually separated in \(X\) if and only if there is a disjoint bipartition \((A, B)\) which is a separation of \(Y\) related to the relative topology of \(Y\) [11].
Proposition 2.3 [11]: If \(A\) and \(B\) are two subsets of \(X\) and \(C\) is a connected subset of \(A \cup B\). If \(A\) and \(B\) are mutually separated subsets of a topological space \(X\), then either \(C \subseteq A\) or \(C \subseteq B\).
Definition 2.4 [11]: If \(X\) is a topological space, then \(A\), \(B\), and \(C\) are disjoint subsets of \(X\). If there exists a separation \(\{H, K\}\) of \(X\) with \(B \subseteq H\) and \(C \subseteq K\), then \(A\) separates \(B\) and \(C\).
We can use the same discussion when the subsets are singletons.
Definition 2.5 [9]: If \(X\) is a topological space and \(A\) is a subset of \(X\), then the intersection of \(\text{Cl}(A)\) and \(\text{Cl}(X - A)\) is called the boundary set of \(A\).
Definition 2.6 [9]: If \(X\) is any topological space, then the component of \(x\) is the largest connected subset of \(X\) containing \(x\), and denoted as \(K(x)\).
Proposition 2.7 [11]: Let \(X\) be a topological space and \(K\) be a component of \(X\). If \(\{U, V\}\) is a separation of \(X\), then \(K\) is contained either in \(U\) or in \(V\).
Definition 2.8 [4]: Let \(X\) be a connected topological space and \(x\) be any point in \(X\). If \(X \setminus \{x\}\) is not connected, then \(x\) is called a cutpoint of \(X\). Otherwise, \(x\) is a non-cutpoint.
Definition 2.9 [11]: Let \(X\) be a connected topological space and \(x\) be any point in \(X\). If \(x\) is a cutpoint, then it is called a cutedge when it is an edge. However, it is called an endpoint if it is a non-cutpoint.
Definition 2.10 [11]: Let \(X\) be a topological space and \(B \subseteq X\), then the intersection of all open sets that contain \(B\) is called the surrounding set of \(B\), and denoted by \(B^*\).
Definition 2.11 [11]: Let \(X\) be a topological space, then every point in \(X\) which is open but not closed is called hyperedge.
When the boundary of the hyperedge contains exactly one point, it is called a loop. However, when its boundary contains at most two points, it is called an edge. Otherwise, it is a proper edge.
We refer to the endvertex \(v\) that means that there is only one edge that is incident on \(v\) [11].
Theorem 2.12 [11]: Let \(X\) be a connected topological space, \(x\) is a cutpoint of \(X\), then:
1. there exist non-empty open sets \((U_1, U_2)\) in \(X\), such that \(U_1 \cup U_2 \cup \{x\} = X\), and \(\{U_1 \setminus \{x\}, U_2 \setminus \{x\}\}\)
   a) \(\{x\} = (U_1 \cup U_2)\) and it is open.
b) \(\{U_1, U_2, \{x\}\}\) is a partition of \(X\) with \(\{x\}\) is closed.
2. There exist nonempty closed sets \((F_1, F_2)\) in \(X\), such that \(F_1 \cup F_2 \cup \{x\} = X\), and \(F_1 \setminus \{x\}, F_2 \setminus \{x\}\) is a separation of \(X \setminus \{x\}\) satisfying exactly one of the following statements:

a) \(\{x\} = (F_1 \cap F_2)\) and it is closed.

b) \(\{F_1, F_2, \{x\}\}\) is a partition of \(X\) with \(\{x\}\) is open.

Theorem 2.13 [11]: Let \(X\) be a connected topological space and \(x\) be a cutpoint of \(X\). If \(\{A_1, A_2\}\) is a separation of \(X \setminus \{x\}\), then \(A_i \cup \{x\}\) is connected for \(i = 1, 2\).

Theorem 2.14 [11]: Let \(X\) be a connected topological space and \(h\) be a hyperedge of \(X\). If \(\{H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_n\}\) is a finite collection of non-empty closed subsets of \(X\), when it is a partition of \(X \setminus \{h\}\), then every part contains a point in the boundary of \(x\).

3. Alpha-CutPoints And Alpha-Separations

Through this section, we introduce some concepts on \(\alpha\)-topological space and study their properties.

Definition 3.1: An \(\alpha\)-topological space \(X\) is called separated space if there are unordered two disjoint subsets of \(X\), such that each one is the complement of the other.

If \(A, B\) are two subsets of \(X\) and if \(\alpha-Cl(A) \cap B = A \cap \alpha-Cl(B) = \emptyset\), then they are mutually \(\alpha\)-separated in \(X\). (i.e. \(A, B\) are both \(\alpha\)-clopen).

Definition 3.2: An \(\alpha\)-connected topological space \(X\) is defined, when we do not have two disjoint, nonempty, \(\alpha\)-open subsets, such that their union is equal to \(X\), and \(X\) is \(\alpha\)-disconnected if the condition is not hold.

Any subset \(B\) of \(X\) is an \(\alpha\)-connected if the subspace of \(B\) is \(\alpha\)-connected.

Definition 3.3: Let \(X\) be an \(\alpha\)-topological space, \(A\) be an \(\alpha\)-connected subset of \(X\), then an \(\alpha\)-component of \(X\) can be defined as the maximal \(\alpha\)-connected subspace of \(X\) containing \(A\), denoted as \(K_{\alpha}(A)\).

\(K_{\alpha Y}(A)\) is the \(\alpha\)-connected component of a subspace \(Y\) of \(X\) containing \(A\). Also, we define the \(\alpha\)-component of a point \(x \in X\) without \(x\) itself by an \(\alpha\)-adherent component, denoted as \(K_{\alpha}(x) \setminus \{x\}\).

The following proposition is a generalization of proposition (2.3).

Proposition 3.4: Let \(X\) be an \(\alpha\)-topological space, \(K\) is an \(\alpha\)-component of \(X\). If \(\{A_1, A_2\}\) is an \(\alpha\)-separation of \(X\), then either \(K \subseteq A_1\) or \(K \subseteq A_2\).

The next definition is a generalization of definition (2.4).

Definition 3.5: Let \(X\) be an \(\alpha\)-topological space and \(A, B, C\) are disjoint subsets of \(X\);

- If \(\{H, K\}\) is an \(\alpha\)-separation of \(X \setminus A\) with \(B \subseteq H\) and \(C \subseteq K\), then \(A\) \(\alpha\)-separates \(B\) and \(C\).

- If the subsets contain only one point, we can say that one of them \(\alpha\)-separates the other two points which are contained in \(H\) and \(K\), respectively.

- If \(x, y, z\) are distinct points of one \(\alpha\)-component \(K\) of \(X\), then \(y\) \(\alpha\)-disconnects \(x\) and \(z\) with relation to the \(\alpha\)-topology on \(X\), if and only if it \(\alpha\)-disconnects \(x\) and \(z\) with relation to the \(\alpha\)-subspace topology on \(K\).

The next proposition shows the relationship between being an \(\alpha\)-separating point and being an \(\alpha\)-separate point.

Proposition 3.6: Let \(X\) be an \(\alpha\)-topological space and \(x, y, z \in X\). If \(y\) \(\alpha\)-separates \(x\) and \(z\), then \(y\) \(\alpha\)-disconnects \(x\) and \(z\).

Proof: Since \(y\) \(\alpha\)-separates \(x\) from \(z\), then there exists an \(\alpha\)-separation \(\{U, V\}\) of \(X \setminus \{y\}\) such that \(x \in U, z \in V\).

When the \(\alpha\)-component of \(X \setminus \{y\}\) of \(x\) containing \(x\) was the same as the one containing \(z\), then we continue a non-empty intersection with both \(U\) and \(V\), which is a contradiction with proposition (3.4).

The following definition is a generalization of the cutpoint definition.

Definition 3.7: If \(X\) is an \(\alpha\)-connected topological space and \(x\) is any point in \(X\), then \(x\) is called an \(\alpha\)-cutpoint of \(X\) if \(x \in X\setminus \{x\}\) is \(\alpha\)-disconnected. If not, \(x\) is a non-\(\alpha\)-cutpoint.

The following theorem is a generalization of theorem (2.1.8) [11].

Theorem 3.8: Let \(X\) be an \(\alpha\)-connected topological space and \(x\) be an \(\alpha\)-cutpoint of \(X\), then there exist two nonempty \(\alpha\)-closed sets \(F_1, F_2\) in \(X\), such that \(F_1 \cup F_2 \cup \{x\} = X\), \(\{F_1 \setminus \{x\}, F_2 \setminus \{x\}\}\) is an \(\alpha\)-separation of \(X \setminus \{x\}\) and exactly only one of the next statements holds:

1. \(\{x\} = (F_1 \cap F_2)\) with \(\{x\}\) is \(\alpha\)-closed.

2. \(\{F_1, F_2, \{x\}\}\) is a partition of \(X\) with \(\{x\}\) is \(\alpha\)-open.

Proof: Since the \(\alpha\)-topology is a topology, the proof is similar to (2.1.8) [11].
The following theorem is a generalization of theorem (2.1.9) [11].

Theorem 3.9: Let $X$ be an $\alpha$-connected topological space and $x$ be an $\alpha$-cutpoint of $X$, then there exist two nonempty $\alpha$-open sets $U_1, U_2$ in $X$, such that $U_1 \cup U_1 \cup \{x\} = X$, $\{U_1 \setminus \{x\}, U_2 \setminus \{x\}\}$ is an $\alpha$-separation of $X \setminus \{x\}$ and exactly one of the next statements holds:

1. $\{x\} = (U_1 \cap U_2)$ with $\{x\}$ is $\alpha$-open.
2. $\{U_1, U_2, \{x\}\}$ is a partition of $X$ with $\{x\}$ is $\alpha$-closed.

Proof: Suppose that the next statements is holding for any $\alpha$-connected topological space and $x$ be an $\alpha$-cutpoint of $X$. Then the intersection of all sets $U_1, U_2$ in $X$, such that $U_1 \cup U_1 \cup \{x\} = X$, $\{U_1 \setminus \{x\}, U_2 \setminus \{x\}\}$ is an $\alpha$-separation of $X \setminus \{x\}$ and exactly only one of the next statements holds:

1. $\{x\} = (U_1 \cap U_2)$ with $\{x\}$ is $\alpha$-open.
2. $\{U_1, U_2, \{x\}\}$ is a partition of $X$ with $\{x\}$ is $\alpha$-closed.

Corollary 3.10: If $X$ is an $\alpha$-connected topological space, then any $\alpha$-cutpoint is $\alpha$-closed.

Proof: The proof is similar to the proof of theorem (3.2) [5].

Another case to discuss is if $x \notin U_1 \cup U_2$, i.e. $U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset$, then either $x$ lies in $U_1$ or in $U_2$, or not in any one of them. In the previous discussion, we had that $x$ lies in one of them, i.e. $x \in (U_1 \cup U_2)$ means that $U_1 \cup \{x\} \cup U_2 = X$, so $\{U_1, U_2\}$ is an $\alpha$-separation of $X$, which is a contradiction with the connectedness of $X$. Now we must have $x \notin (U_1 \cup U_2)$. But since $U_1 \cup U_2 \cup \{x\} = X$, then $\{U_1, U_2, \{x\}\}$ is a partition of $X$, and $\{x\}$ is the $\alpha$-complement of $\{U_1 \cup U_2\}$ in $X$ (which is $\alpha$-open) by topology conditions, i.e. $\{x\} = \alpha$-closed. This satisfies case (2) of the theorem.

We deduce the next corollary from the previous theorems (3.8, 3.9).

Corollary 3.12: Let $X$ be an $\alpha$-connected topological space and $x$ be an $\alpha$-cutpoint of $X$, then there is an $\alpha$-separation $\{A, B\}$ of $X \setminus \{x\}$, then $\{x\}$ is $\alpha$-closed, where both $A, B$ are $\alpha$-open, or $\{x\}$ is $\alpha$-open where both $A, B$ are $\alpha$-closed.

Proof: The proof is similar to the proof of theorem (3.2) [5].

The next simple example shows the application of the above theorems (3.8) and (3.9) by choosing an $\alpha$-cutpoint (open or closed) with its separation (closed or open) sets.

Example 3.11: Let $G$ be a graph with $V_G = \{v_1, v_2\}$ vertices set and $E_G = \{e\}$ edges set consists of only one edge between the two vertices, and let $X = V_G \cup E_G = \{v_1, v_2, e\}$ be a set with $\tau_{\mathbb{X}} = (\emptyset, X, \{e\})$. Then $(X, \tau)$ is a topological space such that the elements of $\tau$ are open sets and their complements are closed sets; $F_{X} = \{X, \emptyset, \{v_1, v_2\}\}$.

The following definition is an $\alpha$-topological space such that the elements of $\tau_{\alpha}X$ are open sets and their complements are $\alpha$-closed sets; $F_{\alpha}X = \{X, \emptyset, \{v_1, v_2\}, \{v_2\}, \{v_1\}\}$.

The following definition is a generalization of the surrounding set.

Definition 3.12: Let $X$ be an $\alpha$-topological space and $B \subseteq X$, the intersection of all $\alpha$-open sets containing $B$ is called $\alpha$-surrounding set of $B$, denoted by $B^{\alpha}$.

We can express the last two theorems in another way by using the above definition, as we see in the next proposition.

Proposition 3.13: Let $X$ be an $\alpha$-connected topological space and $x$ is an $\alpha$-cutpoint of $X$, then one of the next statements is holding for any $\alpha$-separation $\{B_1, B_2\}$ of $X \setminus \{x\}$:

1. $\{x\}$ is $\alpha$-closed, $B_1$ is $\alpha$-open in $X$, and $\alpha\text{-Cl}(B_1) = B_1 \cup \{x\}$ is $\alpha$-closed, for $i = 1, 2$.
2. $\{x\}$ is $\alpha$-open, $B_1$ is $\alpha$-closed in $X$, and $B_1^{\alpha} = B_1 \cup \{x\}$ is $\alpha$-open, for $i = 1, 2$.

Proof: Suppose that $x$ is an $\alpha$-cutpoint of $X$ and $\{B_1, B_2\}$ is an $\alpha$-separation of $X \setminus \{x\}$. Since any $\alpha$-cutpoint is either $\alpha$-open or $\alpha$-closed by corollary (3.10), so if $\{x\}$ is $\alpha$-closed, then there exist $\alpha$-open sets $B_1, B_2$ such that $\{B_1 \setminus \{x\}, B_2 \setminus \{x\}, \{x\}\}$ is an $\alpha$-separation of $X \setminus \{x\}$. Therefore, $\{B_1, B_2, \{x\}\}$ is a partition of $X$ by theorem (3.9). Also, $\alpha\text{-Cl}(B_1)$ is $\alpha$-closed from its definition which means that $\alpha\text{-Cl}(B_1) = B_1 \cup \{x\}$ and $\alpha\text{-Cl}(B_2) = B_2 \cup \{x\}$ are $\alpha$-closed. Hence, $\alpha\text{-Cl}(B_i) = B_i \cup \{x\}$, for $i = 1, 2$. In the same way, we can prove the second part, that is, $B_i^{\alpha} = B_i \cup \{x\}$ is $\alpha$-open, for $i = 1, 2$.  
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In example (3.11), we can see the application of the last proposition. If \( \{ x \} = \{ e \} \) is the \( \alpha \)-cutpoint which is \( \alpha \)-open and \( B_1, B_2 = \{ v_1, v_2 \} \) are \( \alpha \)-closed sets, then \( B_i^\alpha = \{ v_1, e \}, \{ v_2, e \} \) for \( i = 1, 2 \).

**Theorem 3.14:** If \( X \) is an \( \alpha \)-connected topological space and \( x \) is an \( \alpha \)-cutpoint of \( X \). If \( \{ A, B \} \) is an \( \alpha \)-separation of \( X \setminus \{ x \} \), then \( A \cup \{ x \} \) is an \( \alpha \)-connected (so is \( B \cup \{ x \} \)).

**Proof:** The proof is in the same way of (2.1.13) in [11], since \( \alpha \)-topology is a topology.

**Corollary 3.15:** Let \( X \) be an \( \alpha \)-connected topological space and \( x \) and \( y \) are two \( \alpha \)-cutpoints of \( X \). If there are two \( \alpha \)-separations \( \{ A, B \} \) of \( X \setminus \{ x \}, \{ C, D \} \) of \( X \setminus \{ y \} \) such that \( x \in C \) and \( y \in A \), then \( D \subseteq A \) and \( B \subseteq C \).

**Proof:** Since \( x \) is an \( \alpha \)-cutpoint of \( X \) and we have an \( \alpha \)-separation of \( X \setminus \{ x \} \), so by using the previous theorem (3.14), we get that \( D \cup \{ y \} \) is \( \alpha \)-connected. This leads to \( D \cup \{ y \} \subseteq X \setminus \{ x \} \). Hence, by the \( \alpha \)-separation of the space, either \( D \cup \{ y \} \subseteq A \), and by the hypothesis \( y \in A \) we obtain that \( D \subseteq A \), or \( D \cup \{ y \} \subseteq B \).

By the same way and using the hypothesis with \( \alpha \)-separation property, we get the second result.

**Definition 3.16:** If \( X \) is an \( \alpha \)-topological space and \( A \subseteq X \), then the \( \alpha \)-boundary point of \( A \) is the set of intersections \( \alpha - Cl(A) \) and \( \alpha - Cl(X - A) \).

**Definition 3.17:** If \( X \) is an \( \alpha \)-topological space, then every point in \( X \) which is \( \alpha \)-open but not \( \alpha \)-closed is called \( \alpha \)-hyperedge.

The next corollary gives the relation between \( \alpha \)-open cutpoints and its \( \alpha \)-boundary.

**Proposition 3.18:** If \( X \) is an \( \alpha \)-connected topological space, then \( h \) is an \( \alpha \)-hyperedge of \( X \). Let \( \{ H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_n \} \) be a finite non-empty \( \alpha \)-closed subsets of \( X \), when it is a partition of \( X \setminus \{ h \} \). Then every part contains a point in the \( \alpha \)-boundary of \( x \).

**Proof:** The proof is similar to (2.1.14) in [11].

**Corollary 3.19:** Let \( X \) be an \( \alpha \)-connected topological space and \( x \) be an \( \alpha \)-open cutpoint of \( X \), then \( x \) \( \alpha \)-separates at most two \( \alpha \)-boundary points of \( x \).

**Proof:** It is similar to the proof of (2.1.15) in [11].

**Theorem 3.20:** If \( X \) is an \( \alpha \)-connected topological space and \( h \) is an \( \alpha \)-hyperedge of \( X \) with finitely many of \( \alpha \)-boundary points, then each \( \alpha \)-component of \( X \setminus \{ h \} \) includes an \( \alpha \)-boundary point of \( h \).

Specifically, \( X \setminus \{ h \} \) contains at most 2 \( \alpha \)-connected components, when \( h \) is an edge.

**Proof:** The proof is similar to that in lemma (2.1.16) in [11].

**Corollary 3.21:** Let \( X \) be an \( \alpha \)-connected topological space, \( x \) is a cutedge (i.e., it is a proper edge), then an \( \alpha \)-adherent component \( K_\alpha(x) \setminus \{ x \} \) contains exactly two \( \alpha \)-connected components.

**Proof:** Suppose that \( x \) is a cutedge of \( X \), so \( x \) is an \( \alpha \)-cutpoint of \( X \). If \( x \) is \( \alpha \)-open (hyperedge), then it \( \alpha \)-separates at most two \( \alpha \)-boundary points of \( x \) by corollary (3.19), and by (3.20), \( X \setminus \{ x \} \) contains two \( \alpha \)-connected component points of \( x \) that is an \( \alpha \)-adherent component. If not, then there is an \( \alpha \)-separation \( \{ A_1, A_2 \} \) of \( X \setminus \{ x \} \) and \( A_1, A_2 \) are \( \alpha \)-open sets, such that \( \alpha - Cl(A_i) = A_i \cup \{ x \} \) for \( i = 1, 2 \) by proposition (3.13), and \( \alpha \)-connected by theorem (3.14). Hence, it is an \( \alpha \)-adherent component which contains two \( \alpha \)-connected which components of \( x \).

4. **Conclusions**

Alpha-cutpoints and alpha-separations have been formulated and their relationships have been discussed, which opens the way for future work to new concepts associated with them, in addition to studying some concepts of graph in alpha topological spaces, like prepaths, paths, and cycles.
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