Identification and analysis of bottom simulating reflectors in the Foz do Amazonas Basin, Northern Brazil
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Abstract
This work proposed an additional approach to investigate gas hydrate occurrences in the Foz do Amazonas Basin, in the Brazilian Equatorial Margin. The automatic comparison of seafloor seismic amplitudes with those from the Bottom Simulating Reflectors (BSR) was used to exhibit the reversal of signal polarities among the seafloor (positive) and the BSR (negative), reinforcing the identification of BSR in areas where its visualization was unclear. Additionally, we used the envelope attribute to highlight the BSR in the seismic section. Subsequently, we decomposed the seismic data into different frequency bands, applied a -90 degrees phase rotation to the data and recalculated the envelope attribute for each section decomposed in frequency bands. This technique improved visualization, allowing the identification of intervals where BSR were laterally discontinuous, revealing to be valuable for mapping the gas hydrate distribution in Foz do Amazonas Basin.
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INTRODUCTION
The Foz do Amazonas Basin occupies a region of roughly 268,000 km², from the coastline, across the continental shelf, slope, and the Amazon river submarine fan (Fig. 1), which is considered a large deep-sea fan (Damuth and Kumar 1975, Brandão and Feijó 1994, Figueiredo et al. 2007, Araújo et al. 2009).

The accumulation of gas hydrates in the Amazon fan was indirectly registered by the presence of Bottom Simulating Reflectors (BSR) (Manley and Flood 1988, Sad et al. 1998, Tanaka et al. 2003, Berryman et al. 2015). Recently, gas hydrate occurrences were confirmed in the submarine fan by Ketzer et al. (2018), after direct core sampling and compositional analysis of seafloor fluid seepages (Ketzer et al. 2018).

A BSR occurs as a seismic reflector that is usually parallel to the seafloor, coinciding with the Base of the Gas Hydrate Stability Zone (BGHSZ). These reflectors present a reversed polarity in comparison to the seafloor (Hyndman and Spence 1992, Kvenvolden 1993, 1998, Freire et al. 2011). The BSR corresponds to the phase boundary indicating the acoustic impedance contrast between higher compressional velocity, hydrate cemented sediment above the GHSZ, and lower compressional velocity below the GHSZ, containing free gas (Kvenvolden 1993). Therefore, recognizing BSR can assist in mapping the distribution of natural gas hydrates (Joshi et al. 2017).

The BSR is an indication of the existence of gas hydrates, but does not exclude the possibility of the occurrence of gas hydrates in areas without the BSR or the presence of BSR in areas without gas hydrates (Holbrooke et al. 1996, Ginsburg and Soloviev 1997, Satyavani et al. 2008). This justifies the procedures taken in the present work to better characterize the seismic nature of the BSR in the Foz do Amazonas Basin, where gas hydrates were sampled (Ketzer et al. 2018).

Understanding the presence of gas hydrates on continental margins is of great importance due to its energy potential (Kvenvolden 1993, Sloan Jr. 2003, Demirbas 2010, Joshi et al. 2017). In addition, methane hydrates could influence the global climate due to the release of gas methane into the atmosphere, and also from the perspective of seafloor instability and as a marine geohazard (Kvenvolden 1993, Demirbas 2010, Majumdar et al. 2016). Another relevant aspect of studying methane hydrates is related to their promising potential in the fields of gas storage and transportation for natural gas (Di Proio et al. 2017). The Foz do Amazonas basin has been the focus of the investigation of gas hydrates recently, although their distribution is still poorly understood.

Seismic attributes are notably useful for seismic interpretation, presenting an increasing worth for the exploration industry (Tâner et al. 1994). Their use enables the understanding of geological information such as physical parameters and subsurface geometry (Tâner et al. 1979). The selection of an attribute relies on the substrate parameters, the mathematical source of the attribute, and its sensibility (Chen and Sidney 1997). Spectral decomposition is a technique that has been
widely used in the exploration industry since it contributes to optimizing reservoir characterization. By interpreting in the frequency domain, additional information from seismic data can be extracted, such as thin-bed interference, geological discontinuities and detection of anomalies connected to the accumulation of hydrocarbons (Partyka et al. 1999).

Seismic attributes analyses have been used in different regions worldwide to investigate the existence of gas hydrates (Coren et al. 2001, Freire et al. 2011, Satyavani et al. 2008, Ojha and Sain 2009, Oliveira and Oliveira 2009, Oliveira et al. 2010, Aguiar et al. 2019), allowing the recognition of BSR and its continuity (Coren et al. 2001). Therefore, it can help to infer patterns linked to the allocation of gas hydrates and free gas below the GHSZ (Satyavani et al. 2008).

The main goal of the present study is to apply techniques to enhance BSR visualization, helping in the identification of gas-hydrate occurrences in the Foz do Amazonas Basin. In our approach, we performed a comparison between seismic amplitudes of the seafloor and the BSR, in addition to the application of seismic attribute Envelope and spectral decomposition, which decomposed the seismic data into different frequency bands for each seismic section.

Geologic setting

The Amazon Deep Sea Fan (Fig. 1) is the most prominent morphological feature of the Foz do Amazonas Basin, extending for about 700 km from the continental shelf break to nearly 4,800 mbsl (Rimington et al. 2000, Araújo et al. 2009, Perovano et al. 2009). Its formation is associated to a high rate of siliciclastic sedimentation input to the Atlantic Ocean, since the middle Miocene, as a result of the uplift of the Andes Mountain Chain (Pasley et al. 2004, Figueiredo et al. 2007). The Amazon Fan deposition is related to the Andean Orogeny that caused the inversion of the Amazon River (Carvalho 2008), transforming the Amazon River into a major drainage system during the late Miocene (Rimington et al. 2000, Pasley et al. 2004). The fan was divided by Damuth and Kumar (1975) in three compartments according to changes in its gradient: upper (from shelf-break to -3,000 m isobath), middle (from -3,000 to -4,200 m), and lower (from -4,200 to -4,800 m). Cobbold et al. (2004) estimated that the fan has a thickness of approximately 10 km, with an average sedimentation rate of 1 m/ka.

The extreme sediment load drives the gravitational collapse of the fan, creating a proximal extensional domain with normal faults on the outer continental shelf and upper slope, and an outer compressional domain with thrust faults and folds, in the upper-fan (Reis et al. 2010, 2016). Extensive mass-transport deposits (MTD) prevails across the fan, interlayered with channel-levee systems, representing the Neogene stratigraphic succession (Araújo et al. 2009, Reis et al. 2010, 2016, Silva et al. 2016). Additionally, voluminous MTD complexes occur on the northwestern and southeastern flanks of the submarine fan. Several authors attribute MTD to gravitational slides triggered by the dissociation of gas hydrates (Piper et al. 1997, Maslin et al. 2005, Araújo et al. 2009).

Figure 1. Location of the 2D seismic lines in the Amazon Deep Sea Fan, Foz do Amazonas Basin.
Ketzer et al. (2018) identified gas seeps and sampled gas hydrates on the Amazon Fan. About 60% of gas vents are located in the upper fan, along seafloor faults induced by undergoing gravitational collapse of the fan, while 40% are located in water depths of 650–715 m within the *feather* edge of the GHSZ (Ketzer et al. 2018).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

From a regional 2D seismic dataset, we selected two seismic lines where prominent BSR were clearly observed cutting across deformed strata on the compressional domain within the upper-fan. The seismic sections identified as 0270-2004b and 0239-0034 are located in Figure 1 and presented in Figures 2 and 3.

Figure 2. Non-interpreted (top) and interpreted (bottom) seismic line 0270-2004b displaying the two sectors of BSR (white). In sector 1, the BSR cuts across deformed strata of the Amazon Deep-Sea Fan compressional domain.

Figure 3. Non-interpreted (top) and interpreted (bottom) seismic line 0239-0034 displaying the two sectors of BSR (white), cutting across deformed strata of the Amazon Deep-Sea Fan compressional domain.
respectively. BSR occurrences are discontinuous and were divided into sectors one and two in both seismic sections, to facilitate interpretation (Figs. 2 and 3).

After picking the seafloor and BSR, we automatically extracted the correspondent amplitude values for every seismic trace, using the "extract value" tool from the Petrel® software, by Schlumberger. Amplitude values for every trace were plotted for subsequent analysis.

Different seismic attributes were applied to emphasize the BSR visualization and to suggest the BGHSZ. The envelope was the seismic attribute that most enhanced the reflector. The generalized spectral decomposition attribute (GSD) provides the contribution of individual frequencies to the makeup of the input seismic signal and resulted in the selection of three influential frequency bands: 20 Hz, 30 Hz, and 40 Hz. After GSD, a -90° phase rotation was applied for each section decomposed in the frequency bands mentioned. Subsequently, we calculated the envelope attribute for each band to highlight the lateral continuity of the anomalies. When performing this flow to calculate the attribute, we are inferring layer properties instead of interfaces.

The workflow to identify and analyze BSR in seismic sections in the Foz do Amazonas Basin is summarized in Figure 4.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figures 2 and 3 show, respectively, seismic sections 0270-2004b and 0239-0034 with the interpretation of the seafloor (blue) and BSR sectors (white) that were identified by reflections of negative amplitudes parallel to the seafloor.

As Majumdar et al. (2016) declared, the interpretation of a BSR on seismic data might be subjective, such that presents inherent uncertainty. The recognition of BSR in deformed substrate is easily demonstrated when it cuts strata reflections that are not parallel to the seafloor (Holbrook et al. 2002, Freire et al. 2011, Aguiar et al. 2019). However, when BSR occurrences are located in non-deformed strata, where reflectors are parallel to the seabed, its recognition is not always trivial. Therefore, additional approaches for identifying BSR truly associated with gas hydrates are greatly welcome.

Seismic amplitude comparisons

The gas hydrate stability zone (GHSZ) corresponds to the vertical interval starting at the seafloor and extending to the base of this zone, in which pressure and temperature conditions are appropriate for gas hydrate stability (Majumdar et al. 2016). As stated by Kvenvolden (1993), the reflector that matches the BGHSZ is characterized by a polarity reverse to the seafloor reflector. In theory, the amplitudes of BSR are higher due to the large contrast of acoustic impedance caused by the presence of the free gas below and hydrates above (Dillon et al. 1996). Therefore, most of the reflection amplitude of BSR is caused by the underlying free gas (Haacke et al. 2007).

To verify the position of the BSR and presume the occurrence of gas hydrates related to these reflectors, we compared the seismic amplitudes for the two sectors of BSR in sections 0270-2004b and 0239-003. Seafloor and BSR amplitude plots, for data picked automatically in sectors 1 and 2, along both seismic sections are shown in Figures 5 and 6. The purpose of extracting seismic amplitudes automatically is to investigate...
how this approach supports recognizing BSR. It might be spontaneous to consider that extracting values automatically through an interpreted horizon is more accurate than a manual extraction, since the software selects wiggles associated to the same seismic event. In addition, amplitude values are extracted for every seismic trace, which increases their reliability. Another advantage of using the automatic approach is the fact that this procedure consumes considerably less time than the manual pick, which could be impractical in cases with large volumes of data.

The graphics displayed in Figures 5 and 6 have the reverse amplitudes between the seafloor (positive) and the assumed BSR (negative), as expected. There are portions where values from BSR amplitudes are extremely high, which helps the recognition of this reflector. We can observe that some intervals register positive amplitudes in reflectors initially interpreted as BSR, especially for sector 2 in line 0239-0034 (Fig. 6A), which is not possible, by definition. This means that the BSR is discontinuous, probably due to a low contrast ratio between the hydrate zone, above, and the free gas zone, below, which suggests a lateral discontinuity of the BSR in these intervals.

As stated by Holbrook et al. (2002), BSR amplitude is susceptible to gas concentrations placed below the GHSZ. Besides, Dillon et al. (1996) suggest that BSR is presented discontinuous at higher frequencies, which would form a sequence of marked reflections parallel to the seafloor, but laterally discontinuous. Therefore, this justifies the small intervals where the absolute values of seismic amplitudes among the seafloor and the BSR are divergent. The related contrast in impedance between gas hydrates located above the BGHSZ, and of free gas under it, are not the same and causes the intensity variation of the BSR amplitude (Freire et al. 2011). Hence, the BSR will have higher amplitude values the greater the impedance contrast (Aguiar et al. 2019).

Application of the spectral decomposition

Initially a study of the frequency spectrum was performed to analyze the best frequency bands that can represent gas hydrates reservoirs. Figure 9 shows two graphics containing the frequency spectra from each seismic section.

The seismic sections were decomposed in frequency bands only at intervals that include the BSR. For the seismic line 0239-0034, the interval selected was between 1.9/3.4 seconds, and 2.0/3.1 seconds for the seismic section 0270-2004b. From the analysis of the frequency spectrum plots displayed above, three frequency bands centered in 20Hz, 30HZ, and 40Hz were chosen. Higher frequency bands were also tested but did not present relevant results. As frequency band increases, the BSR is enhanced and its discontinuity becomes more evident (Figs. 10A to 13A).

The same seismic sections decomposed in different frequency bands are presented after applying the envelope attribute and a -90 degrees phase rotation (Figs. 10B to 13B). The results show the improvement in the visualization of BSRs and their lateral continuity in areas where they were not previously seen.

Gas hydrates reservoirs are generated only when there is an amount of water and gas to create them, and in a suitable pressure and temperature (Kvenvolden 1998, Sloan Jr. 2003). As stated by Haacke et al. (2007), a known methane hydrate recycling mechanism generates gas from the dissociation of

![Figure 6. Seismic amplitude plots of section 0239-0034 after extracting the amplitude values for the seafloor (blue) and the BSR (orange) along sectors 1 and 2. These graphs were considerably smooth but intervals of positive values, especially on sector 2, suggests a lateral discontinuity of the BSR.]
gas hydrate. Thus, the base of the GHSZ moves upward relative to hydrate-bearing zone. If there is still gas but no longer enough water to combine and to produce gas hydrates, then the hydrates might work as a seal, forming a barrier that retains gas within the gas hydrate stability zone. This could be a justification for portions that were intensely enhanced by using spectral decomposition with envelope attribute, such as observed in Figure 11.

The utilization of the spectral decomposition and envelope attributes aided enriched interpretation, especially due to their

---

**Figure 7.** Seismic section 0270-2004b with the envelope attribute, suggesting the BSR continuity to the southeast of sector 1.

**Figure 8.** Seismic section 0239-0034 with the envelope attribute, suggesting the continuity of the BSRs in between sectors 1 and 2 and further to the SW and NE.
Figure 9. Frequency spectra for seismic sections (A) 0270-2004b, and (B) 0239-0034.

Figure 10. Seismic section 0239-0034 with the 20 Hz decomposed frequency band (top) and with the -90° phase rotation and the envelope attribute (bottom). The sectors interpreted as BSR are displayed in black. The red arrow indicates a discontinuity of BSR that became more evident after applying spectral decomposition.

Figure 11. Seismic section 0239-0034 with the 30 Hz decomposed frequency band (top) and with the -90° phase rotation and the envelope attribute (bottom). The black box (bottom) can indicate a zone within the GHSZ where the saturation of free gas is higher. The orange box (top) reinforces the interpretation of BSR continuity, also observed with the envelope attribute (Fig. 8).

Figure 12. Seismic section 0270-2004b with the 20 Hz decomposed frequency band (top) and with the -90° phase rotation and the envelope attribute (bottom). BSR is displayed in black. Lateral discontinuity of BSR can be noticed in the two sectors.

Figure 13. Seismic section 0270-2004b with the 40 Hz decomposed frequency band (top) and with the -90° phase rotation and the envelope attribute (bottom). The red arrow (bottom) shows the lateral discontinuity of BSR. The orange boxes (top) highlight possible BSR continuity, also noticed with the envelope attribute (Fig. 7).

contribution to identifying portions where BSR is laterally discontinuous and portions where new possibilities on BSR continuity are enhanced. They also highlighted the free gas trapped underneath the BSR and enhanced portions where methane hydrates probably work as seals, such as observed for seismic section 0239-0034. Although frequency bands 30 Hz–40 Hz showed fine results, a regular pattern was not observed in the frequency decomposition to help improving BSR visualization.

The following approaches corroborate the findings of this study, indicating the wealth of information that can be derived from the seismic data in the recognition of gas hydrates reservoirs, justifying dedicated seismic surveys to study specifically shallow gas hydrates.

Gas hydrates reservoirs can be present even in areas where BSR are not noted (Satyavani et al. 2008), which advocates for the worth of studying indirect methodologies to determine the occurrence of hydrates. Consequently, employing seismic attributes, such as the envelope attribute (Satyavani et al. 2008, Joshi et al. 2017) as an instrument for interpreting BSR in seismic is applicable for a better understanding of its distribution.
Coren et al. (2001) indicated a multi-attribute evaluation for improving the characterization of BSR, whereas Satyavani et al. (2008) propose the utilization of amplitude versus offset (AVO) to obtain data on free gas occurrence under the BSR. Oliveira and Oliveira (2009) and Oliveira et al. (2010) used spectral decomposition to identify seismic features related to methane hydrates in the Pelotas Basin, such as low-frequency blackout zone, blanking and gas flow, and therefore to assume distribution of gas hydrate and also free gas.

By comparing the results of this manuscript with the ones obtained by Aguiar et al. (2019), we highlight some considerations: results show similarities in terms of the reversal of polarities between the seafloor and the interpreted BSR, and also when the BSR is enhanced through the application of the seismic attribute envelope. The key differences are related to the application of spectral decomposition. This technique not only allowed enhancing this anomalous reflector but also improved the visualization of BSR and their lateral continuity, including areas that were not identified before.

CONCLUSIONS

Different approaches for the identification of BSR were tested, including: identification of negative amplitude reflections, the automatic confrontation of seismic amplitudes, and analysis of envelope and spectral decomposition attributes. In conjunction, these approaches validated the position of the BSR in the seismic sections which revealed to be a valuable technique for understanding gas hydrate distribution in the Foz do Amazonas Basin, and can be used in other similar sites. The reversal of signal polarities among the seafloor (positive) and the BSR (negative) can be a preliminary indicator of a correct recognition of BSR, even though it is not constant.

The envelope attribute was capable to emphasize the visualization of BSR for the different sections, especially within frequency bands 30Hz–40Hz. The attribute obtained from the spectral decomposition, -90° phase rotation and envelope helped to identify portions where BSR is laterally discontinuous, additionally highlighting portions where gas hydrates work as seals, retaining free gas within the GHSZ.
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