Comparison of antifungal activity of selected essential oils against *Fusarium graminearum* in vitro
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**Abstract**

**Introduction.** Fusaria are microscopic filamentous fungi which are spread in soil, in various organic substrates, and include more than 80 phytopathogenic species which are predominantly hosted by cereals, fruits and vegetables. Many of these species, under certain conditions, are capable of synthesizing secondary metabolites, mycotoxins. At present, various substances are used for their elimination and one of the solutions appears to be essential oils. In the presented study, the antifungal activity of essential oils was researched in vitro.

**Materials and method.** In this study, two standard fungal isolates *Fusarium graminearum* CCM F-683 and *Fusarium graminearum* CCM 8244 (Brno, Czech Republic) were used. The antifungal effect of 6 tested essential oils (Syzygium aromaticum, *Origanum vulgare*, *Thymus vulgaris*, *Hyssopus officinalis*, *Ocimum basilicum*, *Myristica fragrans*) was determined using the broth microdilution method, which allows reading of the MIC (minimum inhibitory concentration). According to the results obtained, the growth inhibition of *Fusarium graminearum* was determined by assay for the inhibition of radial growth of the mycelium.

**Results.** The inhibitory effects of thymus, oregano, basil, myristica, hyssop and syzygium essential oil (EO) on mycelial growth of *Fusarium graminearum* CCM F-683 and CCM 8244 were investigated. The best antifungal activity against the both strains of *Fusarium graminearum* (37.4%; 40.7%) was demonstrated by *Origanum vulgare* EO at the concentration 100 µg/mL. Among the four tested oils, three (*Syzygium aromaticum*, *Thymus vulgaris*, *Origanum vulgare*) achieved the best inhibitory effect (100%) at concentrations 500 µg/mL and 1000 µg/mL.

**Conclusions.** In the protection of plants against pathogenic fungi, essential oils appear to be a suitable substitute for synthetic chemicals.
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**INTRODUCTION**

The production of healthy agricultural crops is the basis for the production of quality food and feed. The presence of microscopic filamentous fungi and their secondary metabolites in agricultural commodities is the most common worldwide problem. The micromycetes which can infect grain before harvesting, are *Fusarium* spp., *Cladosporium* spp. and *Alternaria* spp. In Europe, the main contaminant of the cereals is *Fusarium graminearum* [1], a species that may occur among many types of cereals and cultured grasses, and parasitizes their roots, stems, leaves and reproductive tissues. The main cereal host species are wheat and corn, but it is also found on rye, oats and rice [2]. The phytopathogen *Fusarium graminearum* causes a disease of wheat and barley called *Fusarium* head blight or scab [3]. The occurrence of mycotoxins is a global problem and it is estimated that 25% of total crops production is contaminated [4].

*Fusarium graminearum* was described in 1838 and is classified in *Ascomycota* phylum (class: Sordariomycetes, order: Hypocreales, family: Nectriaceae). The sexual stage (teleomorph) of *Fusarium graminearum* is called *Gibberella zeae* [5]. *Fusarium graminearum* is the major producer of secondary metabolites as trichothecenes (deoxynivalenol, nivalenol) and zearalenone [6]. The most detected mycotoxins in Europe are deoxynivalenol and zearalenone [7], which can cause chronic and acute mycotoxicosis in humans and animals [8]. Zearalenone has an estrogenic effect and may stimulate the growth of human breast cancer cells. The group of trichothecenes is classified as gastrointestinal toxins, dermatotoxins, immunotoxins, hepatotoxins, and gene toxins. Although deoxynivalenol is less toxic, it can cause the human gastroenteritis [9]. The most important mycotoxicosis in animals are Zearalenone-Syndrome of swine [10], equine leukoencephalomalacia (ELEM) [11], porcine pulmonary edema (PPE), among others [12].

The incidence of microscopic filamentous fungi and mycotoxins cannot be completely inhibited, therefore the possibilities of their elimination are being investigated. At present, adsorbents, antioxidants and biologically active substances are used as feed additives. In addition to good agricultural practice and the use of chemical protection of crops, essential oils are also proving to have antifungals effects [13, 14, 15].
Essential oils (EOs) are important components of many plants, where they are found in the secretory trichomes or mucosal canals. EOs not only protect plants against insects, but also against bacteria and fungi attack [16]. Plant essential oils are composed from mixture of monoterpene, diterpenes, sesquiterpenes and their oxidative derivatives such as alcohols, aldehydes, ketones, ethers, esters, phenols and oxides [17, 18]. Essential oils are often used in cosmetics and pharmaceutical industry [19]. The alternative use of EOs as biological pesticides is an important element of modern organic farming [16].

**MATERIALS AND METHOD**

**Microorganisms.** Two standard fungal isolates *Fusarium graminearum* CCM F-683 and *Fusarium graminearum* CCM 8244 (Czech Collection of Microorganisms, Masaryk University, Faculty of Science, Brno, Czech Republic) were used. The isolates were cultivated on to Potato Dextrose Agar – PDA (HiMedia, Laboratories Pvt., Ltd., Mumbai, India) and incubated for 10 days at laboratory temperature 25ºC±1°C.

**Fungal inoculum preparation.** The suspensions containing fungal conidia were prepared by harvesting from 10-day-old *Fusarium graminearum* samples (CCM F-683 and CCM 8244) cultured on PDA. Twenty ml of 0.1% Tween 80 was added to the surface of fungal cultures to release and pick up the conidia from the mycelium. The density of fungal conidia inoculum 10⁶ CFU/ml (McFarland 2) was adjusted by adding saline solution and using a densitometer (Pliva-LaChema a.s., Brno, Czech Republic).

**Essential oils.** To test the growth inhibition of *Fusarium graminearum*, 6 certified essential oils were used, produced and analysed by the Calendula Company, Nová Luboňa, Slovakia. Essential oils *Origanum vulgare* (carvacrol 85±3%; *Origanum vulgare*), *Basilici aetheroleum* (metylchavicol 75±2%; *Ocimum basilicum*), *Hyssopi aetheroleum* (α-pinene 11±1%, pinocampfen 50±2%, izopinocampfen 28±1%; *Hyssopus officinalis*), *Thymi aetheroleum* (tymol 32±2%, β-cymene 40±3%; *Thymus vulgaris*), *Caryophylli aetheroleum* (eugenol 85±3%; *Szyzygium aromaticum*) and *Myristicae fragrantis* were used.

**Test procedure.** Essential oils dissolved in DMSO (100 mg/mL) were diluted from 0.4–200 mg/mL. The lower concentrations of each essential oil (0.4–200 mg/mL) were diluted directly on the microdilution plate.

**Determination of antifungal effect of EOs.** The antifungal effect of tested essential oils was determined using the broth microdilution method [20] which allows reading of the MIC (minimum inhibitory concentration). Sterile 96-well microtiter plates (form U) were used for testing and Potato Dextrose Broth – PDB as the cultivation medium. First, 100 μl of PDB was applied into wells, Nos. 2–12, and 200 μl of 400 mg/mL EO to well No. 1. Then 100 μl of EO from the first well was transferred to well No. 2. This procedure was repeated until well No. 10 and the remaining 100 μl was discarded. To wells 1–10 were added 100 μl fungal inoculum, except for line H, which served as negative control and contained 100 μl PDB and 100 μl EO. Well No. 11 (negative control) was the sterility control and contained 200 μl PDB. As positive control, well No. 12 with 100 μl PDB and 100 μl fungal inoculum was used. The microtiter plates were incubated at 25 ± 1°C for 72 h in darkness [20]. After 48 h incubation, 15 μl of 0.1% resazurine solution was added into wells A-E and H for better visualisation of the inhibition effect [21]. Absorbance was measured using the ELISA reader (Dynex Technologies, Inc., Virginia, USA) at 630 nm. The percentage of EO inhibition effect (IE) was calculated according to the formula:

\[
IE (%) = \left( \frac{PC – NC}{PC – NC} \right) \times 100
\]

**IE** – inhibitory effect  
**PC** – absorbance of the positive control  
**NC** – absorbance of the negative control  
**S** – absorbance of the sample

The MIC was considered the lowest concentration of EO completely inhibited the growth of *Fusarium graminearum* mycelium. The antifungal activity of EO was determined in five repetitions.

**Assay for inhibition of radial growth of mycelium *Fusarium graminearum*.** To evaluate the inhibitory effect of essential oils on the radial growth of *Fusarium graminearum* mycelium, the method described by Badawy and Abdelgaleil was used. The antifungal activity of selected EOs were estimated by measuring the diameter of radial mycelium growth of *Fusarium graminearum* CCM F-683 and CCM 8244. Finally, the inhibition of radial mycelium growth by the agents we evaluated, compared to the control [22].

**Fungal inoculum preparation.** For testing, 10-day-old cultures of *Fusarium graminearum* CCM F-683 and *Fusarium graminearum* CCM 8244 cultured on PDA medium at laboratory temperature (25°C±1°C) in the dark, were used.

**Preparation of essential oils.** The same certified EOs were tested as in the microdilution broth method. The plant essential oils (*Origanum vulgare*, *Hyssopus officinalis*, *Thymus vulgaris* and *Szyzygium aromaticum*) were chosen on the basis of the MIC results (experiment described above). EOs were diluted in a sterile dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (Sigma Aldrich, Schnelldorf, Germany) to 100 mg/mL and 500 mg/mL concentrations.

**Test procedure.** Essential oils dissolved in DMSO (100 mg/mL or 500 mg/mL) were added to the PDA medium before being poured into Petri dishes (6 cm) at 40–45°C to obtain final concentrations of 1,000 μg/mL, 500 μg/mL and 100 μg/mL of each tested essential oil, and 1% (10 mg/mL) of DMSO. The mycelial discs (diameter 5 mm) of 10-day-old *F. graminearum* CCM F-683 or *F. graminearum* CCM 8244 grown on PDA were transferred to the middle of the PDA surface in Petri dishes. The control for fungal growth was performed using Petri dishes containing only PDA with 10 mg/mL DMSO and the inoculum. Each EO concentration was tested in triplicate. The Petri dishes were incubated for 10 days in darkness at 25±2°C. After incubation, the diameter of radial mycelium growth in the control and experimental groups...
was measured using a ruler. The mycelial growth inhibition (%) was calculated according the formula [22]:

\[ \text{The mycelial growth inhibition} = \frac{\text{DC} - \text{DT}}{\text{DC}} \times 100 \]

DC – average diameters of fungal mycelia of control
DT – average diameters of fungal mycelia with essential oil

**Statistical analysis.** The data obtained were reported as means standard deviation (SD) and analysed using ANOVA assay; Dunnett test.

### RESULTS

Table 1 shows the MICs of tested EOs and their inhibitory effect. In both strains, *Fusarium graminearum* CCM F-683 and *Fusarium graminearum* CCM 8244, the inhibitory effect of essential oils from *Syzygium aromaticum*, *Origanum vulgare*, *Thymus vulgaris* (Fig. 1) and Hyssopus officinalis was detected at the MIC value 0.4 mg/mL. The inhibitory effect of *Ocimum basilicum* was observed at MIC 12.5 mg/mL in both strains of *Fusarium graminearum*. The MIC of Myristica fragrans essential oil was found to be 25 mg/mL for *Fusarium graminearum* CCM F-683 and at 50 mg/mL for *Fusarium graminearum* CCM 8244. The inhibitory effect of the EOs (%) is illustrated in Figure 2.

**Table 1.** MIC values (mg/mL) and inhibitory effect (%) of EOs against *Fusarium graminearum* CCM F-683 and *Fusarium graminearum* CCM 8244

| Tested EO       | *F. graminearum* CCM F-683 | *F. graminearum* CCM 8244 |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
|                 | MIC x±SD (mg/mL) | IE (%) | MIC x±SD (mg/mL) | IE (%) |
| Basil           | 12.5±0           | 40.1   | 12.5±0           | 30.8   |
| Myristica       | 25.0±0           | 17.3   | 50.0±0           | 6.2    |
| Syzygium        | 0.4±0            | 17.5   | 0.4±0            | 23.8   |
| Thymus          | 0.4±0            | 52.9   | 0.4±0            | 24.8   |
| Oregano         | 0.4±0            | 47.8   | 0.4±0            | 41.0   |
| Hyssop          | 0.4±0            | 31.3   | 0.4±0            | 56.2   |

\( x \) – average values of MIC; SD – standard deviation; IE – inhibitory effect

The EOs growth inhibition of mycelium at strain *Fusarium graminearum* CCM F-683 is shown in Table 2 and Figure 3. In the control Petri dishes, the average diameters of the fungal mycelium were 49.0±0 mm. EOs of thymus, syzygium and oregano showed 100% efficacy at concentration 1,000 µg/mL and 500 µg/mL, whereas their lower effect was recorded at the concentration 100 µg/mL. The EO of hyssop was the least effective at each of the concentrations tested.

**Table 2.** Inhibition of mycelial growth (%) of *Fusarium graminearum* CCM F-683 by EOs

| EO               | MIC x±SD (µg/mL) | I (%) | MIC x±SD (µg/mL) | I (%) | MIC x±SD (µg/mL) | I (%) |
|------------------|------------------|-------|------------------|-------|------------------|-------|
| Syzygium         | 0.4±0            | 100   | 0.4±0            | 100   | 0.4±0            | 100   |
| Thymus           | 0.4±0            | 100   | 0.4±0            | 100   | 0.4±0            | 100   |
| Oregano          | 0.4±0            | 100   | 0.4±0            | 100   | 0.4±0            | 100   |
| Hyssop           | 0.4±0            | 100   | 0.4±0            | 100   | 0.4±0            | 100   |

\( x \) – mycelial growth diameter; SD – standard deviation; I (%) – inhibition of mycelial growth

Similar results for the inhibitory effect of the tested EOs were recorded at *Fusarium graminearum* CCM 8244 (Tab. 3, Fig. 4). At concentration 1,000 µg/mL and 500 µg/mL, the most effective (100%) were the EOs of syzygium, thymus and oregano. The lowest inhibitory effect, at all examined concentration, was noted for the hyssop EO. Average diameter of fungal mycelium in the control samples – 50.0±0 mm.
The elimination of the fungal contamination of crops is based on the use of chemical and physical methods. The greatest interest for the professional public is the use of natural substances, such as essential oils. The presented experiments confirmed the inhibitory effect of EO of thymus, oregano, syzygium and hyssopus at MIC 0.4 mg/mL. EOs of oregano, thymus and syzygium showed the 100% inhibition activity of mycelial growth of both tested reference strains *Fusarium graminearum* CCM 8244 and *Fusarium graminearum* CCM 683 at concentrations 1,000 µg/mL and 500 µg/mL. Similar results were also recorded in the study by Massoud et al. [23] in which thyme oil showed a highly performance in inhibiting *Fusarium moniliforme*, achieving 100% growth inhibition with 5 µl/plate and syzygium oil with 10 µl/plate. Krzyżko-Lupicka et al. [24] tested the inhibition activity of lemon, rosewood, geranium and rosemary EOs, and compared their inhibitory effect on the linear growth of mycelium of the two strains, *Fusarium graminearum* CCM 8244 and *Fusarium graminearum* ZALF 24 and *Fusarium graminearum* ZALF 339. The tested concentrations of EOs were 1.25 mg/mL, 2.5 mg/mL, 5.0 mg/mL, 10.0 mg/mL and 20.0 mg/mL. They observed 100% inhibition activity of geranium and rosewood oils in the mycelial growth of *Fusarium graminearum* ZALF 339 and ZALF 24 at each of the tested concentration. Rosemary oil showed the highest activity at a concentration of 5.0 mg/mL and lemon oil at 10.0 mg/mL against *F. graminearum* ZALF 339. The highest activity against *F. graminearum* ZALF 24 was recorded by the oils of rosemary and lemon at concentrations 10.0 mg/mL and 20.0 mg/mL, respectively. 100% inhibition activity of thymus oil (*Thymus vulgaris*) on *Fusarium culmorum* was presented in a study by Matusiński et al. [16].

The results obtained in the current study revealed that oregano oil has the highest ability to inhibit the growth of *Fusarium graminearum*, which can be ascribed to the content of the phenolic compound (carvacrol) in this oil [25]. A similar affirmation of the antioxidiant effect of carvacrol was presented in the study of Bouhid et al. [26], which tested the antibacterial activity of the essential oil from *Origanum compactum*. The two phenols, carvacrol and thymol, are the main components of oregano oil with antioxidiant effects [27]. Lucini et al. [28] indicated that the presence of monoterpenes in essential oils can cause mycelial growth inhibition. The monoterpenes could increase the concentration of lipid peroxides (hydroxyl, alkoxyl and alkoperoxyl radicals) and cause cell death [22]. Their effect is on the cell wall, the cell cycle, and also affect morphogenesis. The main constituents, a mixture of terpenoids, affect membrane permeability or cell wall biosynthesis. These abnormalities in the membrane structure result in leakage of cytoplasmic content and loss of cell viability of the pathogen [29]. They are capable of destroying a cell by a pro-oxidative effect on the membranes of pathogen cells or certain organelles [30].

In the current study, effective concentrations of essential oils against *Fusarium graminearum* were relatively low. It is necessary to investigate the antifungal effect of essential oils in the range of lower concentrations than used in this study, which could also be more cost effective. One of the direct uses of essential oils in agricultural practices is grain mordants, but further studies are needed.

### CONCLUSIONS

Knowledge of antifungal effects of essential oils is of great importance in the field of biological plant protection. The use of essential oils may be an alternative to synthetic chemicals in the protection of plants against pathogenic fungi. All tested oils in this study showed some antifungal activity, but further studies are needed to evaluate the possible negative effects of essential oils on grain germination.
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