Potential Use of Natural Ingredients as Alternative Chemical Wash
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Abstract
Proper washing treatment of fresh produce plays a critical role to reduce or minimize microbial contaminations for safe consumption. This study investigated antimicrobial activities of plant-based ingredients as an alternative wash to substitute chemical wash. From the preliminary test, five solutions: 1) 0.5% white distilled vinegar (DV); 2) 25% crude lemon juice (LJ); 3) DV + 0.1% origanum oil (DVO); 4) LJ + 0.1% origanum oil (LJO) and 5) DV + LJ + 0.1% origanum oil (DVLJO) and sterile water (control) were selected. To evaluate antimicrobial activities against foodborne pathogens both L. monocytogenes and S. Typhimurium zone of inhibition (ZOI), minimum inhibition concentration (MIC) and minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) were measured. In addition, model study in an aqueous solution was designed to determine effective washing time against tested microorganisms, and it was performed at 2, 5, 15, 20 and 25 min. MICs and MBCs of natural ingredients against L. monocytogenes and S. Typhimurium were 0.03 to 0.78% and 0.06 to 1.56%, respectively, but higher concentration was required for lemon juice extract (12.5%). The combined treatment DVLJO exhibited the least MIC (0.03%) as well as MBC (0.06 %) against L. monocytogenes. The results indicated that combination of wash solutions reduced bacterial populations by ~3 to 5 log CFU/mL at 25 min of agitation. However, there were no significant differences in bacterial reductions by washing time between 5 and 25 min (P>0.05). Based on the results, it is suggested that combinations of vinegar, lemon juice and essential oil might be suitable as an alternative antimicrobial wash solution for raw or minimally processed foods. It is thought that formulated wash solutions with natural ingredients are readily available and easy to use at the household level. However, further study is recommended to validate and specify the effectiveness of wash solutions in a real food system.
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Highlights
- Natural ingredients as potential antimicrobials substantially reduced microbial growth.
- A combination of the natural solution was more effective than a single use of antimicrobial.
- Natural wash could be used to decontaminate fresh produce.

Introduction
Various intervention technologies such as physical, chemical, and biological treatments have been employed to control foodborne pathogens [1,2]. Compared to generic chemical methods, plant-based decontamination methods gaining popularity because it is generally considered as safe in a food matrix [3,4]. Also, plant-based antimicrobials are readily available and easy-to-use as compared to physical treatments [5]. Over the past few years, interests of using essential oils (EOs) and organic acids have been increased due to their significant antimicrobial activities against a broad spectrum of foodborne pathogenic bacteria [6-9].

Organic acids such as citric acid, acetic acid and lactic acid are impregnated into foods to enhance food safety and meat quality in particular [10]. Organic acids are being used in the food industry as preservative due to their acidic properties since concentrations of hydrogen ions impact the growth and survival of microorganisms in foods [2,5]. Several types of conventional vinegars are available on the market, and these are rice vinegar, distilled vinegar, balsamic vinegar, wine vinegar, black vinegar, bamboo vinegar, etc. In general,
vinegars from fermented carbohydrate contains 3.75 to 5% of acetic acid as an active ingredient [9]. Vinegar can inhibit a wide range of bacteria and used as an active decontamination agent, especially for fresh produce [11,12]. Likewise, EOs are also considered as natural antimicrobials which are colorless, complex, and comprise of volatile aromatic compounds present in plants [13]. The antimicrobial activities of EOs are due to their hydrophobic nature, in which it disrupts the cell membrane and cell wall of the bacteria [7]. Correspondingly, lemon is considered one of major citrus fruit along with oranges and mandarins. The essential compounds in lemon juice are water, citric acid, and carboxylic acid. It is reported that raw or partially cooked beef meats marinated with lemon juice along with heat treatment reduced the risk of foodborne pathogens [10].

Microbial food safety of raw or minimally processed fresh produce is a challenge in the food supply chain because it increases the risk of illness and causes significant reduction in shelf-life [14]. Current chemical treatment such as chlorine, nitric oxide, peroxyacetic acid, hydrogen peroxide, quaternary ammonia, and ozone are effective in reducing the microbial load in wash water. However, they have limited efficacy for the bacterial inactivation on fresh produce, by causing food oxidation, and possible risk of releasing carcinogenic by-products [15-18]. On the other hand, plant-based antimicrobials are alternative as they are often devoid of the many side effects as compared to synthetic chemicals. A potential use of plant-based ingredients with optimized formulations in washing treatment might be an alternative way to reduce or replace harmful chemicals use. Therefore, this study was designed to evaluate antimicrobial activities of natural ingredients such as vinegar, lemon juice and essential oil, and to compare antibacterial effectiveness of cocktail combinations against foodborne pathogens.

Materials and Methods

Collection of natural antimicrobials

Fresh lemons and different brands of conventional vinegar: white distilled vinegar, seasoned rice vinegar, balsamic vinegar, apple cider vinegar, and red wine vinegar were purchased from local grocery stores in Alabama, USA. Lactic acid (L- (+)-) solution (88-92%), Origanum oil (100%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Company (St. Louis, USA) at below 4 °C. Cultures were stored in Tryptic Soy Broth (Fulka analytical 22091, Sigma-Aldrich) at below 4 °C. Cultures were streaked onto TSA plates and incubated at 37 °C for 18±1 h. Subsequently, a colony of L. monocytogenes and Salmonella Typhimurium was aseptically inoculated into 5 mL TSA broth and incubated at 37 °C for 18±1 h. Bacterial culture was harvested by centrifugation at 5,000 rpm (Brofuge 22R, Heraeus Instruments, Inc., USA) for 5 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was carefully discarded, and the pellet was resuspended in sterile peptone water (0.1%) and thoroughly mixed by vortexing. Centrifugation and experiments were performed at least twice throughout the study. The suspension of washed cells was serially diluted (1:10) up to 6 Log10 CFU/mL in sterile peptone water (0.1%) to obtain an appropriate cell concentration. Defined numbers of inocula were determined by colony counting from the 18 h culture grown on TSA from each diluent. Optical density (at 600nm) of bacterial culture solution was measured using a spectrophotometer (Genesys 10 UV, Thermo Electron Corporation) and adjusted to 0.87, approximately 105-7 CFU/mL of bacterial populations.

Screening of natural antimicrobials

Selected vinegars are diluted with sterile distilled water to prepare 4% of stock solution. For lemon juice extract (LJ), fresh lemons were washed and cut with a sterile knife. The household juicer was used to obtain fresh LJ. Extracted crude lemon juice was filtered using filter paper (Whatman® No. 4). Filtered LJ was dissolved into sterile water (1:1 V/V) to make 50% lemon juice.

Culture preparation

Antimicrobial activities of natural chemicals were tested against L. monocytogenes (ATCC 13912) and Salmonella enteric Typhimurium (ATCC 51812). Test bacteria were obtained from the stock culture collection of the Food Safety Laboratory, Department of Food and Nutritional Sciences, Tuskegee University. Stock cultures were stored in Tryptic Soy Broth (Fulka analytical 22091, Sigma-Aldrich) at below 4 °C. Cultures were streaked onto TSA plates and incubated at 37 °C for 18±1 h. Subsequently, a colony of L. monocytogenes and Salmonella Typhimurium was aseptically inoculated into 5 mL TSA broth and incubated at 37 °C for 18±1 h. To achieve a viable cell population of 8-9 Log CFU/mL, 100 µL of bacterial suspension was transferred into 5 mL TSA broth and incubated at 37 °C 18±1 h. Bacterial culture was harvested by centrifugation at 5,000 rpm (Brofuge 22R, Heraeus Instruments, Inc., USA) for 5 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was carefully discarded, and the pellet was resuspended in sterile peptone water (0.1%) and thoroughly mixed by vortexing. Centrifugation and experiments were performed at least twice throughout the study. The suspension of washed cells was serially diluted (1:10) up to 6 Log10 CFU/mL in sterile peptone water (0.1%) to obtain an appropriate cell concentration. Defined numbers of inocula were determined by colony counting from the 18 h culture grown on TSA from each diluent. Optical density (at 600nm) of bacterial culture solution was measured using a spectrophotometer (Genesys 10 UV, Thermo Electron Corporation) and adjusted to 0.87, approximately 105-7 CFU/mL of bacterial populations.

Determination of antimicrobial activities using agar diffusion assay

The agar diffusion assay was performed to screen and evaluate the antimicrobial activities of natural wash solutions. Tryptic Soy Agar (soft) was prepared in Petri dishes (90 mm diameter) with approximately 10-7CFU/mL of bacterial concentration. Sterile cork borer was used to prepare wells in the agar plates. In each well, 40 µL of treatment was carefully dispensed and incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. Recorded zone of inhibition (ZOI) from the agar diffusion assay, measurements were taken from the clear zone around the well, including the well diameter. The test was performed in duplicate for confirmation.

Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and Minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC)

The MICs of treatments were determined by standard broth microdilution. A 96-well microplate was used to determine the MICs. TSA broth was used as diluents and growth medium for the bacteria. Initially, 150 µL of TSA broth was aseptically dispensed into micro-wells, and an equal volume of the treatment was added, making a total of 300 µL as final volume. Subsequently, the mixture of TSA broth and treatments were properly mixed, and two-fold serial micro-dilutions were performed. Standardized inocula of 6-7 Log CFU/mL in 10 µL was added into each well except positive control (without treatments) and incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. The results were confirmed through turbidity resulted from the bacterial growth. Turbidity in each well were measured after 24 h. The MICs were recorded as the lowest concentration of treatment by no growth observation which means no turbidity.
is measured. The MBCs of natural ingredients were determined by standard broth microdilution. All the microwells containing organisms and treatment along with positive and negative controls were sub-cultured onto TSA plate. Then each plate was divided and labeled into ten equal compartments and inoculated with 10 µL of suspension from microplate to respective compartments and incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. After incubation, each well was examined for bacterial growth and MBC. If bacterial growth is fully inhibited by natural solutions, it was recorded as MBC. The remaining content of the 96 well plates used for MIC and MBC were further subjected to perform bacterial growth in the liquid medium. This test is performed by measuring the optical density (OD) of bacterial growth in each well using a Bio Teck plate reader (Bio Teck, USA) at 600 nm.

Preparation of antimicrobial natural wash solutions

Five different natural antimicrobial wash solutions were selected. Wash solutions were prepared in 100 mL of cultural bottles using sterile water. Natural antimicrobials used for wash solutions were 0.5% white distilled vinegar (DV), 25% crude lemon juice extract (LJ), and combination of both DV and LJ in origanum oil inudes; DVO (DV + 0.1% origanum oil), LJ0 (LJ + 0.1% origanum oil), DVLJO (DV + LJ + 0.1% origanum oil), all the samples were in total Vol/Vol percentage. Wash solutions were prepared on the same day of the experiment and stored in the refrigerator at 4 °C ±0.5 until used. The pH of the wash solutions was measured by pH meter (Denver Instrument, Model 215, USA). The measurement was performed in triplicates at 23 °C±2.

A model study in the natural wash solution

In vivo study was performed to determine the antimicrobial activities of each wash solution (DV, LJ, DVO, LJO, and DVLJO) and sterile water (control). During the study, an inoculum of Salmonella spp. and L. monocytogenes were inoculated into wash solutions. The concentration of inoculum in the wash solution was 6-7log CFU/mL. The wash solution contained test organisms continuously agitated in an automatic shaker in 100 rpm (Oyatory- Shaker Model G2, New Brunswick Scientific Co., USA) at room temperature (23 °C±2) for 25 min. The solution contained test organism was withdrawn (20 µL) at 2, 5, 10, 15, 20, and 25 min intervals and dispensed onto respective compartments of TSA plates and incubated for 24 h to examine the growth of inoculated organisms. Simultaneously, 100 µL of the solution was dispensed onto another set of TSA plates and spread homogenously, incubated for 24 h to determine the exact number of colonies. The process was replicated for the confirmation of the results.

Statistical analysis

Throughout the study, all experiments with assays were carried out in triplicates. Analysis of variance among the treatments from collected data was performed by repeated measurement analysis of variances (RM- ANOVA) of the general model procedure using a statistical system SAS 9.3 (SAS Inst., Cary, N.C., U.S.A.). Mean comparisons were calculated using Fisher’s Protected least significant difference. Plate count data for bacterial populations were converted to a logarithmic scale before statistical analysis. The level of significance was determined at P < 0.05.

Results and Discussion

Determination of antimicrobial activities using agar diffusion assay

As presented in Table 1, zone of inhibition (ZOI) by treatments against L. monocytogenes and S. Typhimurium were determined using the agar diffusion assay. It is noted that inhibition zone around agar well is formed by bactericidal or bacteriostatic activity, while the absence of ZOI is generally considered as no antimicrobial effect on tested organisms.

| Treatment | Conc. (% V/V) | L. monocytogenes | S. Typhimurium |
|-----------|---------------|------------------|----------------|
| DV        | 0.5           | -                | -              |
| O         | 0.1           | -                | -              |
| LJ        | 25            | 13               | 12             |
| DVO       | 0.5 / 0.1     | 12.5             | 12.5           |
| LJO       | 25 / 0.1      | 17.5             | 14.5           |
| DVLJO     | 0.5/ 25 / 0.1 | 11.25            | 12.5           |

Crude lemon juice, origanum oil, and their combinations showed inhibitory activities against foodborne pathogenic bacteria on both L. monocytogenes and S. Typhimurium. A combination of natural ingredients DVO, LJO, and DVLJO resulted in the highest ZOI of 17.5 and 14.5 mm against L. monocytogenes and S. Typhimurium. Among the combinations of wash solutions, treatment LJO exhibited the highest ZOI of 17.5 and 14.5 mm against L. monocytogenes and S. Typhimurium, respectively. Similarly, ZOI by treatment LJ was 13 and 12 mm against L. monocytogenes and S. Typhimurium, respectively. Our results showed that combined treatments with natural ingredients were more effective than single ingredient alone.

It is reported that the antimicrobial activities of available commercial EOs and origanum were evaluated on the growth of various foodborne pathogenic microorganisms such as Vibrio spp., Bacillus subtilis, E. coli, S. aureus, and L. monocytogenes [13,19]. Bioactive compounds such as thymol, eugenol and carvacrol which is found in EOs from origanum, savory, lemongrass, and cinnamon exhibited potent and broad-spectrum of antimicrobials activities. It is assumed that the mode of antimicrobial action of these compounds on microorganisms is due to the disruption of the cell membrane and inhibition of ATPase activities [20]. L. monocytogenes exposed to the EO showed the inhibition of glucose metabolic pathway and rupturing the cell wall [21].
Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC)/minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC)

The antimicrobial activities of natural ingredients in a TSB broth were performed to determine the MIC and MBC of samples. Procedures for knowing the minimum concentration of antimicrobial agents is critical to distinguish whether samples at the certain level are effectively inhibit microorganisms or not. The MIC results from the present study showed that stronger activities against test organisms were proportional to increase of concentration. As presented in Table 2, synergistic antimicrobial effects on MIC and MBC was found in the combination of vinegar, lemon juice, and origanum oil.

Table 2: MICs and MBCs of selected natural wash solutions.

| Treatment | Organisms tested (10^8 CFU/mL) | MIC (MBC) (%) |
|-----------|--------------------------------|---------------|
| DV        | L. monocytogenes                | 0.50 (0.50)   |
|           | S. Typhimurium                 | 0.3 (0.50)    |
|           | L. monocytogenes               | 6.25 (6.25)   |
| LJ        | S. Typhimurium                 | 12.50 (12.50) |
| LJO       | S. Typhimurium                 | 0.78 (1.56)   |
| DVO       | S. Typhimurium                 | 0.78 (1.56)   |
|           | L. monocytogenes               | 0.06 (0.12)   |
|           | L. monocytogenes               | 0.12 (0.25)   |
| DVLJO     | S. Typhimurium                 | 0.03 (0.06)   |
|           | S. Typhimurium                 | 0.25 (0.25)   |

Essential oils in the combination with distilled vinegar and lemon juice showed the least MIC and MBC values against both organisms tested. It is assumed that higher antimicrobial activities of essential oil, and combined treatments with other antimicrobial substances were attributed to the high content of phenolic derivatives such as carvacrol. A combination of EOs and acetic acid enhancing inhibitory effects against Staphylococcus aureus has been reported [22]. Correspondingly, antimicrobial susceptibility of natural ingredients was more sensitive to gram-positive bacteria than gram-negative bacteria [23]. In this study, MIC and MBC values of the DV was 0.5%. However, it is reported that household vinegars at high concentrations (2.5 and 5% of acetic acid) were effective to inhibit L. monocytogenes, E. coli 0157:H7, and Salmonella Typhimurium [24]. Another study showed that the MICs of origanum oil against gram-positive bacteria were 0.15 to 0.50 µL/mL [25]. Selected natural wash solutions in our study exhibited substantial inhibitory activities in the liquid medium (0.01%) than in the solid medium (0.5%). Similar results were reported with many EOs in a liquid and solid medium, in which relatively higher concentration (5%) was effective against test organisms in the solid medium [26].

Factors that influence microbial growths by organic acids depend on several parameters including pH, chain length, and the ratio of undissociated ions [27]. It is assumed that weak organic acids can easily penetrate the plasma membrane and destroy the cell’s genetic materials because weak acid can diffuse through the bacterial cell wall [12]. In this study, the pH of solutions with natural ingredients was ranged from 2.21 to 2.73 (Figure 1), indicating that majority of acidic solutions might be in an undissociated forms.

Determination of optical density of tested organisms

Antimicrobial activities of natural solutions were tested using twofold micro-dilution (concentrations ranged from 0.015 to 2.0%) against L. monocytogenes and S. Typhimurium in a TSB broth. There was a significant difference (p<0.05) in inhibitory concentrations among DV, LJ, DVO, and DVLJO against L. monocytogenes. Contrary to L. monocytogenes, OD values for S. Typhimurium were in the higher concentration range of treatments (0.12 to 0.5%). However, OD of solutions DV, LJ, and DVLJO were significantly different (p<0.05). The antimicrobial activities of the natural solutions at the lower concentration (0.015%) were more effective against gram-positive organism than gram-negative organism (Table 3 & 4). The OD results demonstrate that inhibitory activities of antimicrobial solutions used in this study exhibited consistent results obtained from MIC and MBC. Interestingly, it is observed that there was an unusual high OD value in lemon juice treatment at 1%. It might be due to increase of turbidity by lemon juice itself at relatively high concentration. Thus, increased OD value resulted from lemon juice at high concentration does not necessarily mean that it can be considered increased growth of microorganisms.

A model study in an aqueous solution

A model study was carried out to determine potential use of plant-based ingredients in a natural wash solution for fresh or minimally processed foods. As shown in Figure 2, reduced bacterial populations of L. monocytogenes and S. Typhimurium with different solutions were observed while there was no effect on bacterial reductions by sterile water as control. The bacterial reductions of L. monocytogenes by treatment DVO was higher in which approximately 4 log CFU/mL followed by LJO with approximately 3 log CFU/mL after 5 min. However, the highest microbial reductions of S. Typhimurium were obtained by DVLJO and LJO which was approximately 3 log CFU/mL followed by DVO with approximately 2 log CFU/mL after 5 min. Results from the model study revealed...
that wash solutions with combined treatments DVO, LJO, and DVLJO were the most effective to suppress microbial growths of tested organisms. It was observed that natural solutions DV and LJ inhibited microorganisms after 20 min, but antimicrobial activities by log reductions were not impressive compared to the combinations of wash solutions. Interestingly, there was no significant difference in bacterial reduction between 5 and 20 min of agitation. Results suggest that 5 min of agitation might be useful to inhibit both L. monocytogenes (Figure 2a) and Salmonella spp. (Figure 2b). In a similar study, 100% lemon juice reduced populations of Y. enterocolitica by 7.2 log CFU/g in 15 min [11]. It is also reported that acetic acid (2%) and lemon juice (98%) exhibited 1.7 log CFU/g and 5 log CFU/g of bacterial reduction against L. monocytogenes and E. coli O157:H7, respectively [28]. Similarly, it is found that the combination of EOs and acetic acid reduced the E. coli O157:H7 by more than 3 log CFU/g and Salmonella by 2.5 log CFU/g on spinach leaves without affecting leaves color and texture [29]. However, use of white vinegar at high concentration for 10 min resulted in sour taste and it caused an acceptability problem [30].

Figure 2: Efficacy of antimicrobial solutions at different times against a) L. monocytogenes and b) S. Typhimurium. DV: 0.5% white distilled vinegar, O: 0.1% origanum oil, LJ: 25% crude lemon juice, DVO: 0.5% white distilled vinegar + 0.1% origanum oil, LJO: 25% crude lemon juice + 0.1% origanum oil, DVLJO: 0.5% white distilled vinegar + 25% crude lemon juice + 0.1% origanum oil, S/W: sterile water (control).

Table 3: Mean values of optical density (OD) at 600 nm of various concentrations of antimicrobial solutions against L. monocytogenes.

| Treatment | 1   | 0.5  | 0.25 | 0.125 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.015 |
|-----------|-----|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|
| DV 60%    | 0.07a | 0.05c | 0.05c | 0.06c | 0.35b | 0.54b | 0.58c |
| LJ        | 0.42a | 0.24bd | 0.15c | 0.10c | 0.21c | 0.35ab | 0.68b |
| DVO       | 0.07b | 0.05b | 0.05b | 0.06c | 0.40b | 0.50b | 0.54b |
| LJO       | 0.62a | 0.36b | 0.18c | 0.11c | 0.12c | 0.38c | 0.47b |
| DVLJO     | 0.38c | 0.16c  | 0.10c  | 0.08c | 0.22bd | 0.35bc | 0.43c |
| SE 11     | 0.07 | 0.07  | 0.07  | 0.07  | 0.07  | 0.07  | 0.07  |

1SE: Standard error.
2DV: 0.5% white distilled vinegar; O: 0.1% origanum oil, LJ: 25% crude lemon juice, DVO: 0.5% white distilled vinegar + 0.1% origanum oil, LJO: 25% crude lemon juice + 0.1% origanum oil, DVLJO: 0.5% white distilled vinegar + 25% crude lemon juice + 0.1% origanum oil.
3Treatment mean across the row and within the column do not follow by common superscript differ (p<0.05).

Table 4: Mean values of optical density (OD) at 600 nm of various concentrations of antimicrobials solutions against S. Typhimurium.

| Treatment | 1   | 0.5  | 0.25 | 0.125 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.015 |
|-----------|-----|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|
| DV 60%    | 0.06c | 0.05c | 0.06c | 0.06c | 0.54b | 1.09b | 1.09b |
| LJ        | 0.34c | 0.23c | 0.15c | 0.11c | 0.36b | 0.46b | 1.04c |
| DVO       | 0.07c | 0.05c | 0.06c | 0.06c | 0.44b | 1.04c | 1.02c |
| LJO       | 0.55b | 0.35c | 0.20cd | 0.13c | 0.32cd | 0.48b | 0.94c |
| DVLJO     | 0.32c | 0.15c | 0.14cd | 0.09de | 0.31cd | 0.63b | 0.83b |
| SE 11     | 0.04 | 0.04  | 0.04  | 0.04  | 0.04  | 0.04  | 0.04  |

1SE: Standard error.
2DV: 0.5% white distilled vinegar; O: 0.1% origanum oil, LJ: 25% crude lemon juice, DVO: 0.5% white distilled vinegar + 0.1% origanum oil, LJO: 50% crude lemon juice + 0.1% origanum oil, DVLJO: 0.5% white distilled vinegar + 25% crude lemon juice + 0.1% origanum oil.
3Treatment mean across the row and within the column do not follow by common superscript differ (p<0.05).
Conclusion
This study showed that formulated wash prepared from natural ingredients was effective to inhibit foodborne pathogenic bacteria, and combined treatments were preferred to be used as potential natural wash rather than single use of natural ingredient. Our results illustrate that a combination of vinegar, lemon and essential oil could be an alternative wash to avoid harmful chemical wash as well as to prevent illness caused from consumption of raw or minimally processed fresh produce. However, it is suggested that further validation and application on the surface of fresh produce with various morphological properties by green wash using natural ingredients, and how it affects other properties such as nutritional and sensory properties are recommended.
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