Investigation on mixture design of one-part geopolymer from fly ash and water treatment sludge
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Abstract. This study presents a one-part geopolymer system from coal fly ash and water treatment sludge. Geopolymer is typically produced from two parts namely the aluminosilicate solids, which is typically sourced out from industrial by-product, and an alkali activator solution which reacts with aluminosilicate solids to form an inorganic polymeric network. For a one-part geopolymer system, the solid binder with activators will just be added with water to address the drawback of corrosive and viscous alkali activator solution. Formulation of the proportion of geopolymer precursors with the two solid alkali activators namely sodium hydroxide and sodium aluminate was conducted using statistical mixture design. Effects of each components as well as interactions between them were evaluated by step-wise regression analysis. It was found that high alkali content decreased the compressive strength of binder. Meanwhile, the incorporation of sludge in this system helps reduce the unit weight of samples. Multiple response surface analysis that maximized compressive strength and minimized unit weight resulted in the optimal combination of 18.9% sludge, 76.1% fly ash and 5.0% NaOH.

1 Introduction

The shortage of raw materials for Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC) production and the stress of global warming urged many cement companies to find sustainable alternatives. In recent years, many efforts have been dedicated to replace OPC with coal fly ash (FA) in cementitious concrete. FA is a by-product from coal-fired thermal power plant. It contains large proportions of reactive silicate and aluminate that can be used as a geopolymer precursor which reacts with alkali activator solutions to form inorganic polymeric network [1]. The FA-based geopolymers have found to have superior strength for construction applications [2,3].

Water treatment sludge (WTS) is residue from water treatment plant. It is classified as non-hazardous waste and usually disposed to landfills. However, WTS has potential applicability on the manufacture of geotechnical materials [4,5]. For example, WTS was used as lightweight aggregate in FA-based geopolymer [6].

In this study, FA and WTS mixtures were activated by solid sodium hydroxide and sodium aluminate. The usage of solid activators creating a one-part geopolymer system could address the drawbacks of using corrosive and viscous alkali activator solution in a two-part geopolymer system [7]. Note that geopolymer is typically produced from two parts namely the aluminosilicate solids, which is typically sourced out from industrial by-product, and an alkali activator solution which reacts with aluminosilicate solids to form the hardened binder.

2 Materials and Method

2.1 Materials

Coal fly ash was collected from a certain power plant in Luzon, Philippines and used without any pre-treatment. The wet WTS was collected from a water treatment plant in Manila, Philippines. Wet sludge was dried at 105°C overnight then ground using an abrasion machine for 10,000 cycles. Anhydrous sodium hydroxide (99% NaOH) purchased from a local supplier in the Philippines and anhydrous sodium aluminate (50-56% Al₂O₃; 40-45% Na₂O) from Sigma-Aldrich were used as activators.

2.2 Geopolymer synthesis

Firstly, FA and WTS mixtures were activated by solid sodium hydroxide and sodium aluminate. The usage of solid activators creating a one-part geopolymer system could address the drawbacks of using corrosive and viscous alkali activator solution in a two-part geopolymer system [7]. Note that geopolymer is typically produced from two parts namely the aluminosilicate solids, which is typically sourced out from industrial by-product, and an alkali activator solution which reacts with aluminosilicate solids to form the hardened binder.
superficial carbonation of alkali activated samples, all of cubes were kept in plastic bags. Those were cured at ambient condition for 21 days before tested for compressive strength and unit weight.

2.3 Design of Experiment – Mixture design and Multiple response surface analysis

Mixture design is an optimization design of experiment in which all factors are the components of a mixture. Proportion of each component was varied within ranges as shown in Table 1. Sum of percentage of NaAlO₂ and NaOH shall not exceed 15% based from the preliminary test. In the region of interest, 30 different combinations were chosen with an aim to fit the full cubic model:

\[
E(y) = \beta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{4} \beta_i x_i + \sum_{i<j}^{4} \beta_{ij} x_i x_j + \sum_{i=1}^{4} \beta_{ij} x_i x_j x_k + \sum_{i<j<k}^{4} \beta_{ijk} x_i x_j x_k
\]

To identify the point with the highest strength and lowest weight, the multiple response surface analysis using desirability function was applied [8]. Desirability function \( d_i \) is a transformation of the responses variable to a 0-to-1 scale. Response of 0 represents a completely undesirable response and 1 represents the most desirable response. If all responses are evaluated equally, the overall desirability function is calculated as follow:

\[
D = \sqrt{d_1 d_2 \ldots d_m}
\]

2.4 Compressive strength test

Unconfined compressive strength test was conducted in accordance with ASTM C109 standard [9]. Dimensions of specimens were firstly measured. Then, 50-mm cubes were tested using the Compression and Flexural Testing Machine at STRC, De La Salle University. Both surfaces exposed to the force were polished to avoid high deviation during the testing process. The loading speed was fixed at 0.35 kPa/s. A computer was connected with the testing machine to record the result. Average of three replicates of each run was calculated.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Engineering properties results

Figure 1 shows the trend of compressive strength and unit weight in correlation with variety of fly ash proportions. Generally, higher FA resulted in stronger
one-part geopolymers with larger bulk density. Note that FA is a by-product of coal burning process, so it had been already thermally activated at high temperature. Therefore, higher dosage of FA increased proportion of reactive phases which increase the geopolymerization reaction rate and strengthen the geopolymer matrix. However, density of binder from FA is increased with concentration of precursor. On the other hand, the effect of WTS to the mixture is decreasing the bulk density of the geopolymer product. As noticed in Figure 2, the increase of WTS proportion leads to the reduction of unit weight of geopolymer. However, higher percentage of WTS reduced compressive strength of product. Without WTS, the binder could reach compressive strength above 20 MPa. Meanwhile, addition of 30% of sludge resulted in low-strength sample (< 5 MPa). It appears that WTS is relatively inactive and acts as lightweight aggregate in fly ash based geopolymer as observed in previous study [6].

3.2 Multiple response analysis

Experimental data in mixture design were fitted into regression models as follow:

\[
\text{Compressive strength} = 2.885WTS + 19.309 FA - 0.65 NaOH - 54.364 NaAlO}_2 (3)
\]

\[
\text{Unit weight} = 1823.769WTS + 2633.647 FA - 0.65 NaOH + 2603.340 NaAlO}_2 (4)
\]

Based on these predictive formulas, the prediction profiler was presented in Figure 3. Multiple response analysis resulted in the optimal composition of geopolymer which is 76% FA, 19% WTS, 5% NaOH.

Optimal composition has compressive strength value of 8.98 MPa that satisfies the requirement for non-load bearing units (4.14 MPa) according to ASTM C129 standard [10]. Bulk density of the product is within the range for moderate-weight brick.

4 Conclusions

This study demonstrates that coal fly ash (CFA) and water treatment sludge (WTS) could be utilized in a one-part geopolymer binder for construction applications. Mixture design of experiment shows that FA is a good base material for geopolymerization as increased percentage of FA led to increase in compressive strength. Addition of WTS into the mixtures reduced unit weight of the binders but also reduce the compressive strength of the product. Multiple response analysis in which compressive strength was maximized and unit weight was minimized has given the optimal composition of FA = 76%, WTS = 19%, NaOH = 5% and sodium aluminate = 0. The compressive strength and unit weight of optimal combination are 8.98 MPa and 1877.7 kg/m3 respectively.
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