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1 Materials and Methods

Reagents and solvents were purchased from commercial suppliers and used without further purification, unless otherwise specified. All manipulations involving cage 1 were carried out in a glovebox using CD$_3$CN that had been dried over calcium hydride and distilled in vacuo.

Centrifugation of cage samples was carried out using a Grant-Bio LMC-3000 low speed benchtop centrifuge.

UV/visible spectra were recorded on a Perkin Elmer Lambda 750 UV-Vis-NIR spectrophotometer fitted with a PTP-1 Peltier temperature controller accessory. Spectra were obtained in double beam mode recording the spectra using the front beam with air in the rear beam. A background spectrum of CH$_3$CN was recorded using the analyte beam prior to each experiment and a baseline correction was applied using the Perkin Elmer WinLab software suite.

IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin Elmer Spectrum One FT-IR Spectrometer fitted with a University ATR Sampling Accessory. A background spectrum was recorded prior to each experiment, and spectra were obtained over 16 scans.

1.1 NMR Spectroscopy

All NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker 400 MHz Avance III HD Smart Probe (paramagnetic and routine $^1$H NMR experiments) and Bruker 500 MHz DCH Cryoprobe ($^{13}$C NMR experiments) NMR spectrometers. The following pulse programs were used: zg30 (1H), ledbpgp2s (DOSY), zgfnbgqn ($^{19}$F), cosygpmf9f (routine COSY), cosyf90 (COSY of cage 1), hsqcetgpsisp2.2 (HSQC), hmbcetgpl3nd (HMBC), zgpg30 ($^{13}$C). Chemical shifts are expressed in parts per million (ppm) and reported relative to the resonance of the residual methyl proton and carbon of CD$_3$CN ($\delta$H = 1.94 ppm, $\delta$C = 1.32 ppm) or residual proton and carbon of CD$_2$Cl$_2$ ($\delta$H = 5.32 ppm, $\delta$C = 53.84 ppm). $^{19}$F chemical shifts (δ) are reported relative to hexafluorobenzene (dissolved in CD$_3$CN in a capillary) at -164.90 ppm. All measurements were carried out at 298 K unless reported otherwise. The following abbreviations are used to describe signal multiplicity for $^1$H, $^{13}$C and $^{19}$F NMR spectra: s: singlet, d: doublet, t: triplet, dd: doublet of doublets; m: multiplet, b: broad.

1.1.1 Paramagnetic $^1$H NMR spectra

Paramagnetic $^1$H NMR spectra were recorded using the zg30 pulse program with a 407 ppm sweep width centred at 130 ppm. The delay, D1, was set at a value five times the longest T1 value of the signals and a minimum number of 120 scans were recorded. The NMR spectra were processed applying a line broadening of 20 Hz.

1.1.2 $T_1$ Measurements

It was not possible to measure the $T_1$ values for all cage signals simultaneously in the 240 ppm range of the paramagnetic $^1$H NMR spectrum. Therefore, the $T_1$ value for each signal was measured with a sweep width of 10 ppm centred on the signal or group of signals within the sweep width. Initially, the $T_1$ value for each signal was estimated using the t1ir1d pulse program in order to set an appropriate D1 value (five times the estimated $T_1$ value) and variable delay list for measuring an accurate $T_1$ value using the t1ir pulse program. Data was collected for a minimum of 25 delays using 8 scans and processed using Dynamics Center 2.3.3. As a small sweep width had been used, the data was fit using the inversion recovery with partial inversion fitting function (Eq. 1) with an error estimation by fit to obtain the $T_1$ value.

$$I(t) = I_0(1 - ae^{-t/T_1})$$  \hspace{1cm} Eq. 1

1.1.3 COSY Spectra

COSY NMR spectra for cage 1 were recorded using a cosyf90 pulse program with a 120 ppm spectral width centred at 10 ppm using 28 scans, 2048 increments and acquisition times of 0.34 s and 0.021 s in the F2 and F1 dimensions, respectively. The COSY spectra were...
processed using a line broadening of 20 Hz in the F2 dimension, and a sine function with a sine bell shift of 2 in the F1 dimension.

1.1.4 Magnetic Susceptibility Measurements
Magnetic susceptibility measurements in solution were determined by the Evans’ method\(^1\) using variable temperature NMR data obtained using the same parameters as for the paramagnetic \(^1\)H NMR spectra (Section 1.1.1). Cage 1 binds a variety of guests in CD\(_3\)CN and commonly used internal references for mass susceptibility measurements, such as tert-butanol and cyclohexane, were found to bind in the cavity of the cage. \(p\)-Xylene was chosen as the internal reference since it only binds in traces amounts according to host-guest studies in Section 3 in order to minimise the interactions with the cage at the concentrations of internal reference required for the measurements. In a glovebox, a 1 mL solution of \(p\)-xylene (30.0 \(\mu\)L) and CD\(_3\)CN was prepared in a volumetric flask. This solution was added to a 5 mm NMR tube. In a glovebox, a 1 mL solution of 1 (6.64 mg, 1.50 \(\mu\)mol), \(p\)-xylene (30.0 \(\mu\)L), and CD\(_3\)CN was prepared in a volumetric flask. This solution was used to fill a standard melting point capillary, which was then inserted into the NMR tube containing the \(p\)-xylene/CD\(_3\)CN solution. Data and results are included in Section 9.2.

1.2 Mass Spectrometry
Low resolution electrospray ionisation mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) was carried out on a Micromass Quattro LC (cone voltage 4-14 eV, desolvation temp. 313 K, ionisation temp. 313 K) infused from a Harvard syringe pump at a rate of 10 \(\mu\)L per minute.

High resolution electrospray ionisation mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) was carried out by the EPSRC UK National Mass Spectrometry Facility at Swansea University on a LTQ Orbitrap XL hybrid ion trap-orbitrap mass spectrometer.

Gas-chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) was carried out using a Shimadzu QP2010-SE fitted with a SHIM-5MS column (30 m, 0.25 mm, 0.25 \(\mu\)m film) for MS analysis.

2 Cage 1
2.1 Synthesis

\[4\text{Fe(OTf)}_2 + 4\text{ligand A} + 12\text{CH}_3\text{CN} \xrightarrow{\text{room temperature}} \text{Cage 1 (83\%)}\]

Scheme S1. Synthesis of cage 1.

Fe(OTf)$_2$ (80.06 mg, 0.23 mmol), ligand A$^2$ (99.78 mg, 0.23 mmol) and commercially available 2-formyl-6-methylpyridine (82.11 mg, 0.68 mmol) were added to a Schlenk flask containing degassed MeCN (15 mL). The mixture was degassed by freeze-pump-thaw three times and stirred under N$_2$ at room temperature for 21 h. The reaction mixture was added dropwise to diethyl ether (60 mL) to precipitate 1 and the mixture was centrifuged, the supernatant decanted and the solid was washed with diethyl ether two times. The orange solid was dried under a vacuum to give 1 (0.21 g, 83%).
$^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CD$_3$CN, 298 K) δ (ppm): 193.6 (H$_e$), 54.7 (H$_b$, H$_d$), 8.5 (H$_c$), 4.7 (H$_g$), -4.1 (H$_h$), -18.8 (b, H$_i$), -36.7 (H$_a$)

$^{19}$F NMR (376 MHz, CD$_3$CN, 298 K, referenced to C$_6$F$_6$) δ (ppm): -74.5 (b, OTf)

High Resolution ESI-MS m/z: 587.5174 (calcd 587.5181) for [1 + 2OTf]$^6^+$

ESI-MS m/z: 482.3 [1 + OTf]$^7^+$, 587.6 [1 + 2OTf]$^6^+$, 734.9 [1 + 3OTf]$^5^+$, 955.9 [1 + 4OTf]$^4^+$, 1324.0 [1 + 5OTf]$^3^+$

2.2 Characterisation
2.2.1 NMR Spectra

**Figure S1.** Paramagnetic $^1$H NMR spectrum of [1](OTf)$_8$ in CD$_3$CN at 298 K.
Figure S2. $^{19}$F NMR spectrum of [1](OTf)$_8$ in CD$_3$CN at 298 K.

Figure S3. COSY NMR spectrum of [1](OTf)$_8$ in CD$_3$CN at 298 K.
2.2.2 Mass Spectra

Figure S4. a) High resolution ESI-mass spectra for the 6+ and 5+ charges with the observed (top) and theoretical (bottom) isotope patterns. b) Low resolution ESI-mass spectrum of [Fe,L]^{2+}

* Ligand fragments
2.2.3 VT NMR Studies

Figure S5. Variable temperature paramagnetic $^1$H NMR spectra of [1](OTf)$_8$ in CD$_3$CN.
Figure S6. Curie-Weiss plot showing the chemical shift changes of cage 1 as a function of 1/T. The signals for H_f and H_g were too broad at low temperatures to accurately determine chemical shifts.

2.2.4 Proton Assignment through $T_1$ Measurements

In order to assign the paramagnetic signals of 1, $T_1$ relaxation values were measured: $T_1$ is inversely proportional to $\Sigma(r_{ij})^6$, where $r_{ij}$ is the distance from the paramagnetic center to the proton, according to the Solomon equation. For cage 1, the $T_1$ values varied from 2.91 ms to 101 ms, although the broadness of the peak at -18.8 ppm precluded measurement of the $T_1$ value (Table S1, Figure S7). In the absence of a crystal structure for cage 1, the Fe\textsuperscript{II}-proton distances from the crystal structure of the low spin analogue 2 were used to calculate relative $T_1$ values (normalised to the imine peak at 193.6 ppm) and assign the proton signals, following the methods employed by Raehm and Ward for paramagnetic Co\textsuperscript{II} complexes. It was not possible to calculate the relative $T_1$ values normalised to the smallest measured $T_1$ value of 2.91 ms as cage 2 does not have an equivalent Fe\textsuperscript{II}-proton a distance in the crystal structure. There is good agreement (within a factor of 1.3) between the measured and calculated $T_1$ values with the exception of proton h. This discrepancy could be due to the increased flexibility of the cage in solution compared with the solid state.

Additional confirmation of the proton assignments by 2D NMR analysis was rendered difficult by the short $T_1$ values and wide spectral width of the paramagnetic spectrum. The cross-peaks observed in the COSY spectrum are consistent with our assignment of the pyridine protons (Figure S3), although correlations between protons f and g were not observed due to the broadness of the signal for proton f. Comparison to the calculated chemical shifts for a related high spin Fe\textsuperscript{II} mononuclear complex provided additional support for our $^1$H NMR assignments.
Table S1. $T_1$ relaxation values and $^1$H NMR assignments for cage 1.

| $\delta$/ppm | Measured $T_1$/ms | Normalised $\left[\sum (r_{ij})^{-6}\right]^{-1}$ | Normalised $\left[\sum (r_{ij})^{-6}\right]^{-1}/T_{1\text{meas}}$ | Proton assignment |
|--------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|
| -36.7        | 2.91              | [a]                             | [c]                              | a               |
| -18.8        | [d]               | 4.8                             | [c]                              | f               |
| -4.1         | 101               | 257                             | 2.5                              | h               |
| 4.7          | 49.4              | 57                              | 1.2                              | g               |
| 8.5          | 47.2              | 54                              | 1.1                              | c               |
| 54.7         | 20.5              | 26, 21                          | 1.3, 1.0                         | b, d            |
| 193.6        | 3.92              | 3.92                            | 1                                | e               |

[a] Calculated from the X-ray crystal structure of cage 2 by averaging six Fe$^{II}$-proton distances for each type of proton. The relative $T_1$ value was calculated by normalising $\left[\sum (r_{ij})^{-6}\right]^{-1}$ to the measured $T_1$ value for the peak at 193.6 ppm. [b] The $T_1$ value could not be calculated as the crystal structure of cage 2 does not have equivalent Fe$^{II}$-proton distances. [c] Could not be calculated. [d] The signal was too broad to determine the $T_1$ value.
Figure S7. $T_1$ measurements for each signal in the paramagnetic $^1$H NMR spectrum of [1](OTf)$_8$ in CD$_3$CN at 298 K.
3 Host-Guest Complexes with Cage 1
In a glovebox, 10-15 equivalents of the guest were added to a 2 mM solution of cage 1 in CD$_3$CN (0.5 mL) and the solution was transferred to a J Young NMR tube, sealed and left to equilibrate at 298 K. Paramagnetic $^1$H NMR spectra were recorded over time and equilibration occurred in less than 4 hours. In some cases, signals corresponding to cage protons $f$ and $g$ were broadened into the baseline or obscured by resonances of excess non-encapsulated guest.

3.1 Comparison of Host-Guest Complexes
The paramagnetic Fe$^{II}$ centers in cage 1 enabled the sensitive detection of guest encapsulation by $^1$H NMR spectroscopy via two mechanisms. First, paramagnetism reduces the $T_1$ relaxation times of the guest and cage nuclei, allowing more scans in comparison with a diamagnetic analog to be recorded over the same time by reducing the acquisition time and relaxation delay. Second, as noted by Ward, paramagnetism also enhances the observation of host-guest complexes because the NMR signals are spread over a wider chemical shift range, thus reducing signal overlap and improving dispersion upon encapsulation.

Signals for the encapsulated guest were observed in all cases between -10 and -20 ppm and $T_1$ values were measured for signals having sufficient intensity (Table S2). Their values were of a similar magnitude to the $T_1$ value for proton $h$ and reflect the isotropic shifts experienced by the guests within the paramagnetic host cavity.
### 3.1.1 Guest Signal Chemical Shifts and $T_1$ Measurements

**Table S2.** $T_1$ values for guest signals for host-guest complexes with cage 1

| Guest                  | Chemical shift/ppm | Measured $T_1$/ms |
|------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| adamantane             | -17.1 -20.1        | 116 -101          |
| 1-fluoroadamantane     | -16.9 -17.3 -20.0  | 99.3 -104 -87.2   |
| ferrocene              | -15.2              | 376               |
| cyclohexane            | -18.4              | 405               |
| cis-decalin            | -16.7 -17.8 -18.7  | [a]               |
| trans-decalin          | -15.7 -16.9 -17.0 -17.6 -17.7 -18.6 -18.8 -19.5 -19.6 [a] | [a] |
| benzene                | -12.6              | ~274              |
| toluene                | -12.6 -12.7 -12.9 -16.9 [a] | [a] |
| $R$-limonene           | [a]                | [a]               |
| $o$-xylene             | -12.4 -12.7 -17.0  | [a]               |
| $m$-xylene             | [c]                | [c]               |
| $p$-xylene             | [c]                | [c]               |

[a] Could not be accurately determined due to the multiple peaks and low signal intensity. [b] Could not be determined accurately as there was poor agreement between the experimental data and model. [c] Could not be determined as the host-guest complex forms in trace quantities.
3.1.2 Paramagnetic $^1$H NMR Spectra

Figure S8. Comparison of NMR spectra for the host-guest complexes with strongly bound guests in CD$_3$CN at 298 K. Red labels refer to [guest $\subset$ 1](OTf)$_8$ and blue labels refer to empty cage 1. Signals marked with * are attributed to encapsulation of trace impurities present in the sample of the guest.
Figure S9. Comparison of NMR spectra for the host-guest complexes with weakly bound guests in CD$_3$CN at 298 K. Red labels refer to [guest \( \subset 1 \)](OTf)$_8$, blue labels refer to empty cage 1 and * is [cis-decalin \( \subset 1 \)]$_8$. 
3.1.3 GC-MS Analysis of Guest Purity

Figure S10. GC-MS chromatogram and mass spectra of adamantane.
Figure S11. GC-MS chromatogram and mass spectra of ferrocene.
Figure S12. GC-MS chromatograms and mass spectra of cis- and trans-decalin.
Figure S13. GC-MS chromatogram of cyclohexane.

3.2 Adamantane

$^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CD$_3$CN, 298 K) δ (ppm): 194.1 (H$_e$), 54.7 (H$_b$, H$_d$), 8.4 (H$_c$), -4.2 (H$_h$), -17.1 (H$_2$), -20.1 (H$_i$), -36.6 (H$_a$)

ESI-MS m/z: 501.6 [adamantane $\subset 1 + \text{OTf}^7$], 610.2 [adamantane $\subset 1 + 2\text{OTf}^6$], 761.7 [adamantane $\subset 1 + 3\text{OTf}^5$]

Figure S14. Paramagnetic $^1$H NMR spectrum of [adamantane $\subset 1$](OTf)$_8$ in CD$_3$CN at 298 K. Signals marked with * are attributed to encapsulation of trace impurities present in the sample of adamantane.
Figure S15. Low resolution ESI-mass spectrum of [adamantane $\subset$ 1](OTf)$_8$.

-17.1 ppm

Figure S16. $T_1$ measurements for the encapsulated guest signals at -17.1 ppm and -20.1 ppm for [adamantane $\subset$ 1](OTf)$_8$ in CD$_3$CN at 298 K.
### 3.3 1-Fluoroadamantane

**¹H NMR** (400 MHz, CD₃CN, 298 K) δ (ppm): 193.6 (Hₑ), 54.7 (Hₒ, Hₛ), 8.5 (Hₖ), -4.2 (Hₜ), -16.9 (encapsulated 1-fluoroadamantane), -17.3 (encapsulated 1-fluoroadamantane), -20.0 (encapsulated 1-fluoroadamantane), -36.8 (Hₑ)

**¹⁹F NMR** (376 MHz, CD₃CN, 298 K, referenced to C₆F₆) δ (ppm): -77.4 (OTf), -127.7 (free 1-fluoroadamantane), -145.9 (encapsulated 1-fluoroadamantane)

**ESI-MS** m/z: 504.3 [1-fluoroadamantane ⊂ 1 + OTf]⁷⁺, 613.3 [1-fluoroadamantane ⊂ 1 + 2OTf]⁶⁺, 765.5 [1-fluoroadamantane ⊂ 1 + 3OTf]⁵⁺, 994.5 [1-fluoroadamantane ⊂ 1 + 4OTf]⁴⁺, 1375.5 [1-fluoroadamantane ⊂ 1 + 5OTf]³⁺

![Diagram of 1-Fluoroadamantane](image)

**Figure S17.** Paramagnetic ¹H NMR spectrum of [1-fluoroadamantane ⊂ 1](OTf)₈ in CD₃CN at 298 K.
Figure S18. $^{19}$F NMR spectrum of [1-fluoroadamantane ⊂ 1](OTf)$_8$ in CD$_3$CN at 298 K.

Figure S19. Low resolution ESI-mass spectrum of [1-fluoroadamantane ⊂ 1](OTf)$_8$. 
Figure S20. $T_1$ measurements for the encapsulated guest signals at -16.9, -17.3 ppm and -20.0 ppm for [1-fluoroadamantane $\subset$ 1](OTf)$_8$ in CD$_3$CN at 298 K.
3.4 Ferrocene

$^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CD$_3$CN, 298 K) δ (ppm): 193.8 (H$_e$), 54.8 (H$_{bd}$), 54.6 (H$_{bd}$), 8.4 (H$_c$), 5.2 (H$_g$), -3.8 (H$_h$), -15.2 (H$_i$), -36.2 (H$_a$)

ESI-MS $m/z$: 508.8 [ferrocene $\subset 1 +$ OTf]$^7^+$, 618.5 [ferrocene $\subset 1 + 2$OTf]$^5^+$, 772.0 [ferrocene $\subset 1 + 3$OTf]$^5^+$, 1002.3 [ferrocene $\subset 1 + 4$OTf]$^4^+$

**Figure S21.** Paramagnetic $^1$H NMR spectrum of [ferrocene $\subset 1$](OTf)$_8$ in CD$_3$CN at 298 K. Signals marked with * are attributed to encapsulation of trace impurities present in the sample of ferrocene.

**Figure S22.** $T_1$ measurements for the encapsulated guest signal at -15.2 ppm for [ferrocene $\subset 1$](OTf)$_8$ in CD$_3$CN at 298 K.
Figure S23. Low resolution ESI-mass spectrum of [ferrocene ⊂ 1](OTf)₈.
3.5 Cyclohexane

$^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CD$_3$CN, 298 K) $\delta$ (ppm): 193.6 (H$_e$), 54.9 (H$_{b/d}$), 54.6 (H$_{b/d}$), 8.5 (H$_c$), -4.4 (H$_h$), -18.4 (encapsulated cyclohexane, H$_1$), -36.9 (H$_a$)

ESI-MS $m/z$: 601.6 [cyclohexane $\subset$ 1 + 2OTf]$^{6+}$

Figure S24. Paramagnetic $^1$H NMR spectrum of [cyclohexane $\subset$ 1](OTf)$_8$ in CD$_3$CN at 298 K. Signals marked with * are attributed to encapsulation of trace impurities present in the sample of cyclohexane.

-18.4 ppm

Figure S25. $T_1$ measurements for the encapsulated guest signal at -18.4 ppm for [cyclohexane $\subset$ 1](OTf)$_8$ in CD$_3$CN at 298 K.
**Figure S26.** Low resolution ESI-mass spectrum of \([\text{cyclohexane} \subset 1]^{\text{OTf}}_{8}\).
3.6 cis-Decalin

$^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CD$_3$CN, 298 K) $\delta$ (ppm): 193.1 (H$_e$), 54.9 (H$_{bd}$), 54.5 (H$_{bd}$), 8.4 (H$_c$), 5.5 (H$_g$), -3.7 (H$_h$), -16.7 (encapsulated cis-decalin), -17.8 (encapsulated cis-decalin), -18.7 (encapsulated cis-decalin), -35.9 (H$_a$)

ESI-MS m/z: 502.0 [cis-decalin ⋅ 1 + OTf]$^+$, 610.3 [cis-decalin ⋅ 1 + 2OTf]$^{2+}$

Figure S27. Paramagnetic $^1$H NMR spectrum of [cis-decalin ⋅ 1](OTf)$_8$ in CD$_3$CN at 298 K. Red labels refer to [cis-decalin ⋅ 1](OTf)$_8$, blue labels refer to empty cage 1.

Figure S28. $T_1$ measurements for the encapsulated guest signal at -17.8 ppm for [cis-decalin ⋅ 1](OTf)$_8$ in CD$_3$CN at 298 K.
Figure S29. Variable temperature paramagnetic $^1$H NMR spectra of $[cis$-decalin $\subset 1]$(OTf)$_8$ in CD$_3$CN.
Figure S30. Variable temperature paramagnetic $^1$H NMR spectra of [cis-decalin $\subset$ 1](OTf)$_8$ in CD$_3$CN showing the cis-decalin guest signals. The cis-decalin guest peaks were broad at room temperature, and although they sharpened upon cooling to 268 K, the conformers could not be distinguished.
Figure S31. COSY NMR spectrum of \([\text{cis-decalin } \subset 1](\text{OTf})_8\) in CD$_3$CN at 298 K.

Figure S32. Low resolution ESI-mass spectrum of \([\text{cis-decalin } \subset 1](\text{OTf})_8\).
3.7 trans-Decalin

$^1\text{H NMR}$ (400 MHz, CD$_3$CN, 298 K) $\delta$ (ppm): 193.8 ($\text{H}_e$), 55.1 ($\text{H}_{bd}$), 54.5 ($\text{H}_{bd}$), 8.4 ($\text{H}_c$), 5.8 ($\text{H}_b$), -3.3 ($\text{H}_h$), -15.7 (encapsulated trans-decalin), -16.9 (encapsulated trans-decalin), -17.0 (encapsulated trans-decalin), -17.6 (encapsulated trans-decalin), -17.7 (encapsulated trans-decalin), -18.6 (encapsulated trans-decalin), -18.8 (encapsulated trans-decalin), -19.4 (encapsulated trans-decalin), -19.5 (encapsulated trans-decalin), -35.7 ($\text{H}_a$)

ESI-MS $m/z$: 502.0 [trans-decalin $\subset$ 1 + OTf$^-$], 610.5 [trans-decalin $\subset$ 1 + 2OTf$^-$], 990.0 [trans-decalin $\subset$ 1 + 4OTf$^-$]

Figure S33. Paramagnetic $^1\text{H NMR}$ spectrum of [trans-decalin $\subset$ 1](OTf)$_8$ in CD$_3$CN at 298 K. Red labels refer to [trans-decalin $\subset$ 1](OTf)$_8$ and blue labels refer to empty cage 1.
Figure S34. Variable temperature paramagnetic $^1$H NMR spectra of $[\text{trans-decalin } \subset 1](\text{OTf})_8$ in CD$_3$CN.
Figure S35. Variable temperature paramagnetic $^1$H NMR spectra of [trans-decalin⊂1](OTf)$_8$ in CD$_3$CN showing the trans-decalin guest signals. The guest peaks for trans-decalin were sharper at room temperature than for cis-decalin (Figure S30) and further sharpened and split upon cooling to 268 K. The nine peaks observed are consistent with the adoption of a boat-boat (or twist-boat-twist-boat) conformation for trans-decalin within 2.$^2$
Figure S36. Low resolution ESI-mass spectrum of [(trans-decalin $\subset$ 1)(OTf)$_8$].
3.8 o-Xylene

$^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CD$_3$CN, 298 K) δ (ppm): 193.5 (H$_e$), 54.7 (H$_b$, H$_d$), 8.4 (H$_c$), 4.9 (H$_g$), -4.0 (H$_h$), -12.4 (encapsulated o-xylene), -12.7 (encapsulated o-xylene), -17.0 (encapsulated o-xylene), -36.6 (H$_a$

ESI-MS m/z: 605.3 [o-xylene$\subset$ 1 + 2OTf]$^{5+}$

Figure S37. Paramagnetic $^1$H NMR spectrum of [o-xylene$\subset$ 1](OTf)$_8$ in CD$_3$CN at 298 K. Red labels refer to [o-xylene$\subset$ 1](OTf)$_8$ and blue labels refer to empty cage 1.

-17.1 ppm

Figure S38. T1 measurements for the encapsulated guest signal at -18.4 ppm for [o-xylene$\subset$ 1](OTf)$_8$ in CD$_3$CN at 298 K.
Figure S39. Low resolution ESI-mass spectrum of [o-xylene ⊂ 1](OTf)$_8$. 

[Diagram showing a mass spectrum with labeled peaks and annotations for [Fe$_4$L$_4$]$^{n+}$ and o-xylene ⊂ Fe$_4$L$_4$]$^{n+}$.]

* ligand fragments
3.9 Benzene

$^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CD$_3$CN, 298 K) δ (ppm): 193.5 (H$_e$), 54.7 (H$_b$, H$_d$), 8.5 (H$_c$), 4.7 (H$_g$), -4.3 (H$_h$), -12.6 (H$_i$), -36.8 (H$_a$)

Only the empty cage is observed in the ESI mass spectrum.

Figure S40. Paramagnetic $^1$H NMR spectrum of [benzene $\subset$ 1](OTf)$_8$ in CD$_3$CN at 298 K. Red labels refer to [benzene $\subset$ 1](OTf)$_8$ and blue labels refer to empty cage 1.

Figure S41. $T_1$ measurements for the encapsulated guest signal at -12.7 ppm for [benzene $\subset$ 1](OTf)$_8$ in CD$_3$CN at 298 K.
3.10 Toluene

$^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CD$_3$CN, 298 K) $\delta$ (ppm): 193.5 (H$_e$), 54.7 (H$_b$, H$_d$), 8.4 (H$_c$), 4.7 (H$_g$), -4.1 (H$_h$), -12.6 (encapsulated toluene), -12.7 (encapsulated toluene), -12.9 (encapsulated toluene), -16.9 (encapsulated toluene), -36.7 (H$_a$)

Only the empty cage is observed in the ESI mass spectrum.

**Figure S42.** Paramagnetic $^1$H NMR spectrum of [toluene $\subset 1$](OTf)$_8$ in CD$_3$CN at 298 K. Red labels refer to [toluene $\subset 1$](OTf)$_8$ and blue labels refer to empty cage 1.
3.11 R-Limonene

$^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CD$_3$CN, 298 K) δ (ppm): 193.6 (H$_e$), 55.0 (H$_{b/d}$), 54.5 (H$_{b/d}$), 8.5 (H$_c$), -3.5 (H$_h$), -14.0 – -19.3 (encapsulated R-limonene), -36.0 (H$_a$)

ESI-MS m/z: 610.3 [R-limonene $\subset$ 1 + 2OTf]$^{6+}$

**Figure S43.** Paramagnetic $^1$H NMR spectrum of [R-limonene $\subset$ 1](OTf)$_8$ in CD$_3$CN at 298 K. Red labels refer to [R-limonene $\subset$ 1](OTf)$_8$, blue labels refer to empty cage 1.
Figure S44. Variable temperature paramagnetic $^1$H NMR spectra of [R-limonene $\subset$ 1](OTf)$_8$ in CD$_3$CN.
Figure S45. Variable temperature paramagnetic $^1$H NMR spectra of [R-limonene ⊂ 1](OTf)$_8$ in CD$_3$CN showing the R-limonene guest signals.

Figure S46. Low resolution ESI-mass spectrum of [R-limonene ⊂ 1](OTf)$_8$.
3.12 S-Limonene

$^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CD$_3$CN, 298 K) $\delta$ (ppm): 193.6 ($H_e$), 55.0 ($H_{b/d}$), 54.6 ($H_{b/d}$), 8.5 ($H_c$), -3.5 ($H_h$), -14.0 – -19.3 (encapsulated S-limonene), -36.0 ($H_a$)

ESI-MS $m/z$: 610.3 [S-limonene ≋ 1 + 2OTf]$^6+$

Figure S47. Paramagnetic $^1$H NMR spectrum of [S-limonene ≋ 1](OTf)$_8$ in CD$_3$CN at 298 K. Red labels refer to [S-limonene ≋ 1](OTf)$_8$, blue labels refer to empty cage 1.
Figure S48. Low resolution ESI-mass spectrum of [S-limonene c 1](OTf)_8.

3.13 m-Xylene

Figure S49. Paramagnetic ^1^H NMR spectrum of [m-xylene c 1](OTf)_8 in CD_3CN at 298 K. Red labels refer to [m-xylene c 1](OTf)_8 and blue labels refer to empty cage 1.
**Figure S50.** Zoomed inset of paramagnetic $^1$H NMR spectrum of \([m\text{-xylene} \subset 1](\text{OTf})_8\) in CD$_3$CN at 298 K. Red labels refer to \([m\text{-xylene} \subset 1](\text{OTf})_8\) and blue labels refer to empty cage 1.

3.14 *p*-Xylene

**Figure S51.** Paramagnetic $^1$H NMR spectrum of \([p\text{-xylene} \subset 1](\text{OTf})_8\) in CD$_3$CN at 298 K. Red labels refer to \([p\text{-xylene} \subset 1](\text{OTf})_8\) and blue labels refer to empty cage 1.
Figure S52. Zoomed inset of paramagnetic $^1$H NMR spectrum of [p-xylene⊂1](OTf)$_8$ in CD$_3$CN at 298 K. Red labels refer to [p-xylene⊂1](OTf)$_8$ and blue labels refer to empty cage 1.

4 Competition Host-Guest Studies
In a glovebox, a competing guest (10-15 equivalents) was added to a solution of the host-guest complexes prepared in Section 3. The J Young NMR tube was sealed and left to equilibrate at 298 K. Paramagnetic $^1$H NMR spectra were recorded over time and generally equilibration times were several hours.

Figure S53. Relative binding affinity of guests for cage 1 determined by competition experiments.
**Figure S54.** Paramagnetic $^1$H NMR spectrum of [(R-limonene $\subset$ 1)](OTf)$_8$ in the presence of a competing guest, toluene, in CD$_3$CN at 298 K. Red labels refer to [(R-limonene $\subset$ 1)](OTf)$_8$, green labels refer to [toluene $\subset$ 1](OTf)$_8$ and blue labels refer to empty cage 1.

**Figure S55.** Paramagnetic $^1$H NMR spectrum of [toluene $\subset$ 1](OTf)$_8$ in the presence of a competing guest, trans-decalin, in CD$_3$CN at 298 K. Red labels refer to [toluene $\subset$ 1](OTf)$_8$, green labels refer to [trans-decalin $\subset$ 1](OTf)$_8$, * refers to [cis-decalin $\subset$ 1](OTf)$_8$ and blue labels refer to empty cage 1.
**Figure S56.** Paramagnetic $^1$H NMR spectrum of $[\text{trans-decalin} \subset 1](\text{OTf})_8$ in the presence of a competing guest, benzene, in CD$_3$CN at 298 K. Red labels refer to $[\text{trans-decalin} \subset 1](\text{OTf})_8$, * refers to $[\text{cis-decalin} \subset 1](\text{OTf})_8$, green labels refer to $[\text{benzene} \subset 1](\text{OTf})_8$ and blue labels refer to empty cage 1.

**Figure S57.** Paramagnetic $^1$H NMR spectrum of $[\text{benzene} \subset 1](\text{OTf})_8$ in the presence of a competing guest, o-xylene, in CD$_3$CN at 298 K. Red labels refer to $[\text{benzene} \subset 1](\text{OTf})_8$, green labels refer to $[\text{o-xylene} \subset 1](\text{OTf})_8$ and blue labels refer to empty cage 1.
Figure S58. Paramagnetic $^1$H NMR spectrum of [o-xylene $\subset 1$](OTf)$_8$ in the presence of a competing guest, cis-decalin, in CD$_3$CN at 298 K. Red labels refer to [o-xylene $\subset 1$](OTf)$_8$, green labels refer to [cis-decalin $\subset 1$](OTf)$_8$ and blue labels refer to empty cage 1.

Figure S59. Paramagnetic $^1$H NMR spectrum of [cis-decalin $\subset 1$](OTf)$_8$ in the presence of a competing guest, cyclohexane, in CD$_3$CN at 298 K. Red labels refer to [cis-decalin $\subset 1$](OTf)$_8$ and green labels refer to [cyclohexane $\subset 1$](OTf)$_8$. 
Figure S60. Paramagnetic $^1$H NMR spectrum of [cyclohexane $\subset 1$](OTf)$_8$ in the presence of a competing guest, ferrocene, in CD$_3$CN at 298 K. Red labels refer to [cyclohexane $\subset 1$](OTf)$_8$ and green labels refer to [ferrocene $\subset 1$](OTf)$_8$.

Figure S61. Paramagnetic $^1$H NMR spectrum of [ferrocene $\subset 1$](OTf)$_8$ in the presence of a competing guest, 1-fluoroadamantane, in CD$_3$CN at 298 K. Green labels refer to [1-fluoroadamantane $\subset 1$](OTf)$_8$. 
Figure S62. $^{19}$F NMR spectrum of [ferrocene $\subset 1$](OTf)$_8$ in the presence of a competing guest, 1-fluoro adamantane, in CD$_3$CN at 298 K. Green labels refer to [1-fluoro adamantane $\subset 1$](OTf)$_8$.

Figure S63. Paramagnetic $^1$H NMR spectrum of [1-fluoro adamantane $\subset 1$](OTf)$_8$ in the presence of a competing guest, adamantane, in CD$_3$CN at 298 K. Green labels refer to [adamantane $\subset 1$](OTf)$_8$, * refers to encapsulation of impurities in adamantane and red labels refer to [1-fluoro adamantane $\subset 1$](OTf)$_8$. 
**Figure S64.** $^{19}$F NMR spectrum of [1-fluoroadamantane $\subset$ 1](OTf)$_8$ in the presence of a competing guest, adamantane, in CD$_3$CN at 298 K.
Figure S65. Paramagnetic $^1$H NMR spectrum of [trans-decalin $\subset$ 1](OTf)$_8$ in the presence of a competing guest, cis-decalin, in CD$_3$CN at 298 K. Red labels refer to [trans-decalin $\subset$ 1](OTf)$_8$, green labels refer to [cis-decalin $\subset$ 1](OTf)$_8$ and blue labels refer to empty cage 1.

Figure S66. Paramagnetic $^1$H NMR spectrum of cage 1 equilibrated with a 1:1:1 mixture of $m$-, $o$-, and $p$-xylene showing the selective binding of $o$-xylene (red labels) with trace binding of $m$- and $p$-xylene (green labels) and empty cage (blue labels) in CD$_3$CN at 298 K.
5 Transformation from Cage 1 to Cage 2

5.1 In the Absence of a Guest
In a glovebox, 24 equivalents of 2-formylpyridine (2.28 μL) was added to a 2 mM solution of cage 1 in CD$_3$CN (0.5 mL) in a J Young NMR tube. The NMR tube was sealed and left to equilibrate for 16 h at 323 K and a colour change from orange to red-purple was observed. $^1$H, paramagnetic $^1$H and $^{19}$F NMR spectra were recorded. In a glovebox, D$_2$O (25 μL, 5% v/v) was added to the solution, the J Young tube was sealed and left to equilibrate at 323 K for 1 day. NMR spectra were recorded periodically to monitor the equilibration process.

Scheme S2. Transformation from 1 to 2 induced by aldehyde exchange of 2-formyl-6-methylpyridine for 2-formylpyridine.

Figure S67. High spin cage 1 (left) and transformation to low spin cage 2 (right) after addition of 2-formylpyridine and D$_2$O to cage 1.
**Figure S68.** UV/visible spectra of cage 1 (left) and cage 2 (right) showing the stability of the cages over 2 hours at a concentration of 3 μM in CH$_3$CN at 298 K. The sample of cage 1 was prepared using anhydrous CH$_3$CN in a glovebox.

**Figure S69.** Paramagnetic $^1$H NMR spectra of the transformation from cage 1 (orange labels) to cage 2 upon sequential addition of 24 eq. of 2-formylpyridine and 5% D$_2$O and heating at 323 K in CD$_3$CN.
Figure S70. $^1$H NMR spectra for the transformation from cage 1 (orange labels) to cage 2 (purple labels) upon sequential addition of 24 eq. of 2-formylpyridine and 5% D$_2$O and heating at 323 K in CD$_3$CN.
**Figure S7.** $^{19}$F NMR spectra of the transformation from cage 1 to cage 2 upon sequential addition of 24 eq. of 2-formylpyridine and 5% D$_2$O and heating at 323 K in CD$_3$CN.
5.2 In the Presence of a Guest, 1-Fluoroadamantane

In a glovebox, 1-fluoroadamantane (1.55 mg, 0.01 mmol) was added to a 2 mM solution of cage 1 (4.42 mg, 0.001 mmol) in CD$_3$CN (0.5 mL) and the mixture was left to equilibrate at room temperature for at least 4 hours. 2-Formylpyridine (24 eq., 2.28 μL) was added to the host-guest complex and the mixture was left to equilibrate for 16 h at 323 K. D$_2$O (25 μL, 5% v/v) was then added to the solution and the solution was left to equilibrate at 323 K for several days. NMR spectra were recorded periodically to monitor the equilibration process.

Scheme S3. Transformation from 1 to 2 in the presence of a guest, 1-fluoroadamantane, induced by aldehyde exchange of 2-formyl-6-methylpyridine for 2-formylpyridine.
Figure S72. Paramagnetic $^1$H NMR spectra of the transformation from [1-fluoroadamantane $\subset 1$]$^{8+}$ (orange labels) to [1-fluoroadamantane $\subset 2$]$^{8+}$ upon sequential addition of 24 eq. of 2-formylpyridine and 5% D$_2$O and heating at 323 K in CD$_3$CN.
Figure S73. $^1$H NMR spectra for the transformation from $[1$-fluoroadamantane $⊂ 1]^{8+}$ (orange labels) to $[1$-fluoroadamantane $⊂ 2]^{8+}$ (purple labels) upon sequential addition of 24 eq. of 2-formylpyridine and 5% D$_2$O and heating at 323 K in CD$_2$CN.
Figure S74. $^{19}$F NMR spectra of the transformation from [1-fluoroadamantane $\subset 1$]$^8^+$ (orange labels) to [1-fluoroadamantane $\subset 2$]$^8^+$ (purple labels) upon sequential addition of 24 eq. of 2-formylpyridine and 5% D$_2$O and heating at 323 K in CD$_3$CN.
The equilibration process was slower for the full than for the empty cage, with kinetics depending on the amount of water added: equilibration approached completion on a time scale of more than 10 days for 2% D$_2$O, 3 days for 5% D$_2$O and 1 day for 10% D$_2$O (Figure S75).

Figure S75. $^1$H NMR spectra for the transformation from [1-fluoroadamantane $\subset 1$]$^{8s}$ to [1-fluoroadamantane $\subset 2$]$^{8s}$ upon heating at 323 K following addition of 24 eq. of 2-formyldipyridine then addition of 2, 5 or 10% D$_2$O in CD$_3$CN.

6 Cage 2

Ligand A and cage 2 were prepared according to literature procedures, which were modified as specified below.$^2$

6.1 N$_2$N$_4$N$_6$-Trimethyl-N$_2$N$_4$N$_6$-tris(4-nitrophenyl)-1,3,5-triazine-2,4,6-triamine

Modified literature procedure: Cyanuric chloride (0.369 g, 2.00 mmol), N-methyl-4-nitroaniline (1.07 g, 7.00 mmol), and dioxane (10 mL) were combined in a microwave vial. The reaction mixture was
microwaved for 20 minutes at 120 °C. The resulting off-white solid was washed with water (100 mL), methanol (300 mL), and diethyl ether (70 mL) to give the desired product in 78% yield (0.832 g, 1.57 mmol).

Spectroscopic data were consistent with those reported in the literature.\(^2\)

\(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, CD\(_2\)Cl\(_2\), 298 K) \(\delta\) (ppm): 8.14 (d, \(^3J = 9.1\) Hz, 6H, H\(_a\)), 7.50 (d, \(^3J = 9.1\) Hz, 6H, H\(_b\)), 3.47 (s, 9H, H\(_c\))

\(^13\)C NMR (125 MHz, CD\(_2\)Cl\(_2\), 298 K) \(\delta\) (ppm): 165.5, 150.6, 144.5, 126.3, 124.0, 37.3

6.2 Cage 2 Synthesis

Modified literature procedure:\(^2\) In a glovebox, Fe(OTf)\(_2\) (28.3 mg, 0.08 mmol), ligand A (35.3 mg, 0.08 mmol) and 2-formylpyridine (22.8 \(\mu\)L, 0.24 mmol) were combined with degassed MeCN (10 mL). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 21 h. The reaction mixture was added dropwise to diethyl ether (60 mL) to precipitate 2 and the mixture was centrifuged, the supernatant decanted and the solid was washed with diethyl ether two times. The purple solid was dried in vacuo to give 2 (60.3 mg, 82%).

\(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CD\(_3\)CN, 298 K) \(\delta\) (ppm): 8.91 (s, 12H, H\(_f\)), 8.55 (d, \(^3J = 7.44\) Hz, 12H, H\(_d\)), 8.39 (unresolved dd, 12H, H\(_c\)), 7.74 (unresolved dd, 12H H\(_b\)), 7.45 – 7.36 (m, 36H, H\(_a\), H\(_i\)), 5.08 (bs, 24H, H\(_h\)), 3.41 (s, 36H, H\(_k\))

\(^13\)C NMR (125 MHz, CD\(_3\)CN, 298 K) \(\delta\) (ppm): 175.9 (C\(_f\)), 165.5 (C\(_l\)), 159.4 (C\(_e\)), 156.8 (C\(_a\)), 146.4 (C\(_d\)), 145.3 (C\(_c\)), 140.6 (C\(_b\)), 132.0 (C\(_a\)), 130.5 (C\(_d\)), 126.1 (C\(_l\)), 122.0 (C\(_i\)), 38.1 (C\(_k\))

6.3 NMR Spectra

![NMR Spectra](image)

Figure S76. \(^1\)H NMR spectrum of [2](OTf)\(_8\) in CD\(_3\)CN at 298 K.
6.4 Host-Guest Complex with 1-Fluoroadamantane

10-15 equivalents of the guest were added to a 2 mM solution of cage 2 in CD$_3$CN (0.5 mL) and the solution was left to equilibrate at 298 K for at least 3 days.

$^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CD$_3$CN, 298 K) δ (ppm): 8.91 (bs, 12H, H$_f$), 8.56 (b, 12H, H$_d$), 8.39 (b, 12H, H$_b$), 7.74 (bt, 12H, H$_h$), 7.51 – 7.21 (m, 36H, H$_a$, H$_i$), 5.45 (b, 12H, H$_i$), 4.58 (b, 12H, H$_h$), 3.44 (b, 36H, H$_k$), 2.16 (b, 3H, encapsulated 1-fluoroadamantane overlapped with free 1-fluoroadamantane and H$_2$O), 1.89 – 1.58 (b, 6H, encapsulated 1-fluoroadamantane overlapped with free 1-fluoroadamantane), 1.49 (b, 6H, encapsulated 1-fluoroadamantane)

$^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CD$_3$CN, 298 K) δ (ppm): 176.0 (C$_f$), 165.5 (C$_e$), 159.4 (C$_c$), 156.8 (C$_a$), 146.5 (C$_g$), 145.1 (C$_d$), 140.7 (C$_c$), 132.0 (C$_a$), 130.5 (C$_b$), 126.0 (C$_i$), 121.9 (C$_h$), 43.3 (encapsulated 1-fluoroadamantane), 38.3 (C$_h$), 35.4 (encapsulated 1-fluoroadamantane), 32.2 (encapsulated 1-fluoroadamantane)

Assignments of quaternary cage carbons are based on assignments for other host-guest complexes for cage 2 since cross-peaks in the HMBC were not observed, most likely due to the broadness of the signals in the $^1$H NMR spectrum. For this reason, it was also not possible to assign the quaternary carbon signals for the encapsulated 1-fluoroadamantane guest.

$^{19}$F NMR (376 MHz, CD$_3$CN, 298 K, referenced to C$_6$F$_6$) δ (ppm): -79.6 (OTf), -121.1 (encapsulated 1-fluoroadamantane), -128.2 (free 1-fluoroadamantane)
ESI-MS $m/z$: 480.3 [1-fluoroadamantane $\subset 2 + \text{OTf}]^{7+}$, 585.1 [1-fluoroadamantane $\subset 2 + 2\text{OTf}]^{6+}$, 731.9 [1-fluoroadamantane $\subset 2 + 3\text{OTf}]^{5+}$, 952.2 [1-fluoroadamantane $\subset 2 + 4\text{OTf}]^{4+}$, 1319.3 [1-fluoroadamantane $\subset 2 + 5\text{OTf}]^{3+}$.

Figure S78. $^1$H NMR spectrum of [1-fluoroadamantane $\subset 2](\text{OTf})_8$ in CD$_3$CN at 298 K.
Figure S79. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of [1-fluoroadamantane $\subset 2$](OTf)$_8$ in CD$_3$CN at 298 K.

Figure S80. COSY NMR spectrum of [1-fluoroadamantane $\subset 2$](OTf)$_8$ in CD$_3$CN at 298 K.
Figure S81. DOSY NMR spectrum of [1-fluoroadamantane $\subset 2](OTf)_8$ in CD$_3$CN at 298 K.

Figure S82. HSQC NMR spectrum of [1-fluoroadamantane $\subset 2](OTf)_8$ in CD$_3$CN at 298 K.
Figure S83. $^{19}$F NMR spectrum of [1-fluoroadamantane $\subset 2$](OTf)$_8$ in CD$_3$CN at 298 K.

Figure S84. Low resolution ESI-mass spectrum of [1-fluoroadamantane $\subset 2$](OTf)$_8$. 
7 Cage 3

[BF₄⁻⊂3][BF₄]₇ was prepared according to a modified literature procedure⁹ where the cage was isolated by precipitation or trituration with diethyl ether.

Spectroscopic data (Figures S93 and S94) were consistent with those reported in the literature.⁹ The BF₄⁻ anion templates the formation of the T-symmetric diastereomer. Small peaks in the ¹⁹F NMR spectra are attributed to encapsulation of BF₄⁻ within trace amounts of other diastereomers.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃CN, 298 K) δ (ppm): 8.69 (s, 12H, Hₑ), 8.39 (d, ³J = 7.6 Hz, 12H, Hₐ), 8.35 (t, ³J = 7.4 Hz, 12H, Hᵣ), 7.78 (unresolved d, 24H, H₉), 7.73 (t, ³J = 6.4 Hz, 12H, H₀), 7.42 (d, ³J = 5.4 Hz, 12H, Hₚ) 5.46 (d, ³J = 8.2 Hz, 24H, Hᵢ)

¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CD₃CN, 298 K, referenced to C₆F₆) δ (ppm): -142.33 (encapsulated ¹⁰BF₄⁻), -142.39 (encapsulated ¹¹BF₄⁻), -151.89 (free ¹⁰BF₄⁻), -151.94 (free ¹¹BF₄⁻)

8 Disassembly of Cages

8.1 Disassembly of [1-Fluoroadamantane ⊂ 1]⁸⁺ in the Presence of [BF₄⁻ ⊂ 3]⁸⁺

In a glovebox, 1-fluoroadamantane (0.79 mg, 0.005 mmol, 10 eq.) was added to a mixture of cage 1 (2.19 mg, 0.0005 mmol) and [BF₄⁻ ⊂ 3]⁸⁺ (1.55 mg, 0.0005 mmol) in CD₃CN (0.5 mL) and the mixture was left to equilibrate at room temperature for 5 hours. p-Anisidine (1.47 mg, 0.012 mmol, 24 eq.) was added and the mixture was left to equilibrate at room temperature for 3 days.

Scheme S4. Disassembly of [1-fluoroadamantane ⊂ 1]⁸⁺ in the Presence of [BF₄⁻ ⊂ 3]⁸⁺ triggered by the chemical stimulus, p-anisidine.
Figure S85. $^1$H NMR spectra for the disassembly of [1-fluoroadamantane $\subset$ 1]$^{8+}$ (orange labels) in the presence of [BF$_4$ $\subset$ 3]$^{8+}$ (green labels) in CD$_3$CN following the addition of 24 eq. $p$-anisidine and equilibration at room temperature for 3 days. See Scheme S4 for proton assignments and signals marked with * correspond to free 1-fluoroadamantane.

Figure S86. Paramagnetic $^1$H NMR spectra for the disassembly of [1-fluoroadamantane $\subset$ 1]$^{8+}$ (orange labels) in the presence of [BF$_4$ $\subset$ 3]$^{8+}$ (green labels) in CD$_3$CN following the addition of 24 eq. $p$-anisidine and equilibration at room temperature for 3 days. See Scheme S4 for proton assignments.
Figure S87. $^{19}$F NMR spectra for the disassembly of $[1$-fluoroadamantane $\subset 1]^{8+}$ (orange labels) in the presence of $[BF_4^- \subset 3]^{8+}$ (green labels, * is attributed to encapsulation of $BF_4^-$ within trace amounts of other diastereomers) in $CD_3CN$ following the addition of 24 eq. $p$-anisidine and equilibration at room temperature for 3 days.

8.2 Titration of Cages 1 and 3
Preparation of $[1$-fluoroadamantane $\subset 1]^{8+}$: In a glovebox, 1-fluoroadamantane (0.79 mg, 0.005 mmol) was added to cage 1 (2.21 mg, 0.0005 mmol) in $CD_3CN$ (0.5 mL) and the mixture was left to equilibrate at room temperature for at least 3 hours.

Titration: To a solution of $[1$-fluoroadamantane $\subset 1]^{8+}$ (1 mM) and/or $[BF_4^- \subset 3]^{8+}$ (1.55 mg, 1 mM) was added aliquots of 6 eq. $p$-anisidine (10 $\mu$L, 300 mM). After each addition, the mixture was equilibrated at room temperature for 1 day and NMR spectra were recorded.
8.2.1  Mixture of $[\text{1-Fluoroadamantane} \subset 1]^{8+}$ and $[\text{BF}_4 \subset 3]^{8+}$

![Figure S88](image)

**Figure S88.** Paramagnetic $^1H$ NMR spectra for the titration of $[\text{1-Fluoroadamantane} \subset 1]^{8+}$ (orange labels) and $[\text{BF}_4 \subset 3]^{8+}$ with 0-24 eq. $p$-anisidine in CD$_3$CN. See Scheme S4 for proton assignments.
Figure S89. $^1$H NMR spectra for the titration of [1-fluoroadamantane $\subset 1$]$^{8+}$ (orange labels) and [BF$_4$ $\subset 3$]$^{8+}$ (green labels) with 0-24 eq. $p$-anisidine in CD$_3$CN. See Scheme S4 for cage proton assignments and signals marked with * correspond to free 1-fluoroadamantane.
Figure S90. $^{19}$F NMR spectra for the titration of [1-fluoroadamantane $\subset 1$]$^{8+}$ (orange labels) and [BF$_4$ $\subset 3$]$^{8+}$ (green labels, * is attributed to encapsulation of BF$_4^-$ within trace amounts of other diastereomers) with 0-24 eq. $p$-anisidine in CD$_3$CN.
8.2.2 [1-Fluoroadamantane $\subset 1$]$^{8+}$

**Figure S91.** Paramagnetic $^1$H NMR spectra for the titration of [1-fluoroadamantane $\subset 1$]$^{8+}$ (orange labels) with 0-24 eq. $p$-anisidine in CD$_3$CN. See Scheme S4 for proton assignments.
Figure S92. $^{19}$F NMR spectra for the titration of [1-fluoroadamantane $\subset 1$]$^{8+}$ (orange labels) with 0-24 eq. $p$-anisidine in CD$_3$CN.
Figure S93. $^1$H NMR spectra for the titration of $[\text{BF}_4 \subset 3]^{8+}$ (green labels) with 0-24 eq. $p$-anisidine in CD$_3$CN. See Scheme S4 for cage proton assignments.
Figure S94. $^{19}$F NMR spectra for the titration of $[\text{BF}_4 \subset \text{3}]^{\text{8+}}$ (green labels) with 0-24 eq. $p$-anisidine in CD$_3$CN. Signals marked with * are attributed to encapsulation of BF$_4^-$ within trace amounts of other diastereomers.
8.3 Disassembly of [BF₄⁻••••3]₈⁺ in the Presence of [1-Fluoroadamantane ••••2]₈⁺

8.3.1 Transformation

In a glovebox, 1-fluoroadamantane (0.79 mg, 0.005 mmol) was added to a mixture of cage 1 (2.21 mg, 0.0005 mmol) and [BF₄⁻••••3]₈⁺ (1.55 mg, 0.0005 mmol) in CD₃CN (0.5 mL) and the mixture was left to equilibrate at room temperature for at least 3 hours. 2-Formylpyridine (24 eq., 1.14 μL) was added to the host-guest complexes of the two cages and the mixture was left to equilibrate for 16 h at 323 K. D₂O (25 μL, 5% v/v) was then added to the solution and the solution was left to equilibrate at 323 K for three days. NMR spectra were recorded periodically to monitor the equilibration process.

Scheme S5. Transformation from [1-fluoroadamantane ••••1]₈⁺ to [1-fluoroadamantane ••••2]₈⁺ in the presence of [BF₄⁻••••3]₈⁺ induced by aldehyde exchange of 2-formyl-6-methylpyridine for 2-formylpyridine.
Figure S95. Paramagnetic $^1$H NMR spectra of the transformation from [1-fluoroadamantane $\subset 1$]$^{8+}$ (orange labels) to [1-fluoroadamantane $\subset 2$]$^{8+}$ in the presence of [BF$_4$ $\subset 3$]$^{8+}$ upon sequential addition of 24 eq. of 2-formylpyridine and 5% D$_2$O and heating at 323 K in CD$_3$CN. See Scheme S5 for proton assignments.
Figure S96. $^1$H NMR spectra for the transformation from [1-fluoroadamantane ⊂ 1]$^{8+}$ (orange labels) to [1-fluoroadamantane ⊂ 2]$^{8+}$ (purple labels) in the presence of [BF$_4$ ⊂ 3]$^{8+}$ (green labels) upon sequential addition of 24 eq. of 2-formylpyridine and 5% D$_2$O and heating at 323 K in CD$_3$CN. See Scheme S5 for proton assignments.
**Figure S97.** $^{19}$F NMR spectra of the transformation from [1-fluoroadamantane $\subset 1]^8\prime$ (orange labels) to [1-fluoroadamantane $\subset 2]^8\prime$ (purple labels) in the presence of $[\text{BF}_4^-\subset 3]^8\prime$ (green labels, * is attributed to encapsulation of $\text{BF}_4^-$ within trace amounts of other diastereomers) upon heating at 323 K following addition of 24 eq. of 2-formylpyridine then addition of 5% $\text{D}_2\text{O}$ in $\text{CD}_3\text{CN}$. 
8.3.2 Disassembly
To the mixture of $[1$-fluoroadamantane $\subset 2]^{8+}$ and $[\text{BF}_4^- \subset 3]^{8+}$ (generated in situ from the subcomponent exchange in Section 8.3.1) was added 12 eq. $p$-anisidine (0.74 mg, 0.006 mmol) and the mixture was heated at 323 K for 1 day.

Scheme S6. Disassembly of $[\text{BF}_4^- \subset 3]^{8+}$ in the presence of $[1$-fluoroadamantane $\subset 2]^{8+}$ triggered by the chemical stimulus, $p$-anisidine.

Figure S98. $^1H$ NMR spectra for the disassembly of $[\text{BF}_4^- \subset 3]^{8+}$ (green labels) in the presence of $[1$-fluoroadamantane $\subset 2]^{8+}$ (purple labels) in 95:5 CD$_3$CN/D$_2$O following the addition of 12 eq. $p$-anisidine and heating at 323 K. See Scheme S6 for proton assignments.
Figure S99. $^{19}$F NMR spectra for the disassembly of [BF$_4^-$ $\subset 3$]$^{3+}$ (green labels) in the presence of [1-fluoroadamantane $\subset 2$]$^{3+}$ (purple labels) in 95:5 CD$_3$CN/D$_2$O following the addition of 12 eq. $p$-anisidine and heating at 323 K.
8.4 Titrations of Cages 2 and 3
To a solution of [1-fluoroadamantane $\subset 2]^{8+}$ (prepared as in Section 8.2 using 2.11 mg cage 2 and an equilibration time of at least 3 days) and/or [BF$_4 \subset 3]^{8+}$ (1.55 mg, 0.0005 mmol) was added aliquots of 6 eq. $p$-anisidine (10 μL, 300 mM). After each addition, the mixture was equilibrated at 323 K for 1 day and NMR spectra were recorded.

8.4.1 Mixture of [1-Fluoroadamantane $\subset 2]^{8+}$ and [BF$_4 \subset 3]^{8+}$

Figure S100. $^1$H NMR spectra for the titration of [1-fluoroadamantane $\subset 2]^{8+}$ (purple labels) and [BF$_4 \subset 3]^{8+}$ (green labels) with 0-12 eq. $p$-anisidine in 95:5 CD$_3$CN/D$_2$O. See Scheme S6 for cage proton assignments and signals marked with * correspond to free 1-fluoroadamantane.
Figure S101. $^{19}$F NMR spectra for the titration of [1-fluoroadamantane $\subset 2$]$^{8+}$ (purple labels) and [BF$_4$ $\subset 3$]$^{8+}$ (green labels) with 0-12 eq. $p$-anisidine in 95:5 CD$_3$CN/D$_2$O.
8.4.2 [1-Fluoroadamantane ⊂ 2]$^{8+}$

![NMR spectra](image)

**Figure S102.** $^1$H NMR spectra for the titration of [1-fluoroadamantane ⊂ 2]$^{8+}$ (purple labels) with 0-12 eq. $p$-anisidine in 95:5 CD$_3$CN/D$_2$O. See Scheme S6 for cage proton assignments and signals marked with * correspond to free 1-fluoroadamantane.
Figure S103. $^{19}\text{F}$ NMR spectra for the titration of [1-fluoroadamantane $\subset 2^{\text{Br}}$] (purple labels) with 0-12 eq. $p$-anisidine in 95:5 CD$_3$CN/D$_2$O.
8.4.3 \([\text{BF}_4 \subset 3]^{3+}\)

**Figure S104.** $^1$H NMR spectra for the titration of $[\text{BF}_4 \subset 3]^{3+}$ (green labels) with 0-12 eq. $p$-anisidine in 95:5 CD$_3$CN/D$_2$O. See Scheme S6 for cage proton assignments.
Figure S105. $^{19}$F NMR spectra for the titration of $[\text{BF}_4 \subset 3]^{3+}$ (green labels) with 0-12 eq. $p$-anisidine in 95:5 CD$_3$CN/D$_2$O.
Additional Characterisation of Cage 1

9.1 IR Spectrum of 1

Figure S106. IR spectrum of high-spin cage 1.

9.2 Magnetic Susceptibility

According to the Evans’ method, the mass susceptibility $\chi_\text{g}$ of the dissolved substance is given by equation 2 where $\Delta f$ is the frequency shift in Hz of the reference compound, $f$ is the fixed probe frequency of the spectrometer, $\chi_0$ is the mass susceptibility of CD$_3$CN ($-0.534 \times 10^{-6}$ cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$), $m$ is the mass in g of the complex in 1 cm$^3$ of solution. The $3/2\pi$ factor in the original Evans equation has been replaced with $-3/4\pi$ for a sample axis parallel to the magnetic field.

$$\chi_\text{g} = \frac{-3\Delta f}{4\pi fm} + \chi_0$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{Eq. 2}

The molar susceptibility $\chi_\text{M}$ was calculated according to equation 3 by multiplying the mass susceptibility by the molecular weight (M).

$$\chi_\text{M} = \chi_\text{g} M$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{Eq. 3}

The molar susceptibility $\chi_\text{M}$ contains the diamagnetic contribution ($\chi_\text{M}^{\text{dia}}$) and according to Piguet, this contribution cannot be neglected for large supramolecular complexes and therefore, the corrected molar susceptibility $\chi_\text{M}'$ was calculated according to equation 4 using tabulated values of Pascal’s constants to correct for the diamagnetic contributions from the ligands, Fe(II) core electrons and counteranions. $\chi_\text{M}^{\text{dia}}$ for cage 1 is -0.01319 cm$^3$ mol$^{-1}$.

$$\chi_\text{M}' = \chi_\text{M} - \chi_\text{M}^{\text{dia}}$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{Eq. 4}

The molar susceptibilities support the $^1$H NMR variable temperature experiments that cage 1 is high spin between 268 K and 318 K (Table S3).
Table S3. Molar susceptibilities ($\chi_M$) and corrected molar susceptibilities ($\chi'_M$) for cage 1.

| T/K  | $\Delta f$/Hz | $\chi_M$/cm$^3$ mol$^{-1}$ | $\chi'_M$/cm$^3$ mol$^{-1}$ |
|------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 268  | 152.13        | 0.0581          | 0.0713          |
| 298  | 129.17        | 0.0490          | 0.0621          |
| 318  | 115.77        | 0.0436          | 0.0568          |
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