The Impact of Biliary Reconstruction Methods on Small Partial Liver Grafts
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Background. Graft recipient weight ratios are lower in adult-to-adult living-donor liver transplantation than in adult-to-adult deceased-donor liver transplantation. Rapid liver regeneration is essential for increased recipient survival rates in adult-to-adult living-donor liver transplantation. However, the influence of biliary reconstruction methods, including choledocho-choledochostomy and choledocho-jejunostomy, on small partial liver grafts remains unknown. Herein, we investigate the impact of these biliary reconstruction methods on small partial liver grafts. Methods. Male Lewis rats underwent isogenic arterialized 30% partial liver transplantation with small partial grafts, either via choledocho-jejunostomy or choledocho-choledochostomy. Results. The 7-day survival rates of the choledocho-choledochostomy and choledocho-jejunostomy groups were 100% and 50%, respectively (P = 0.011). Choledocho-jejunostomy provoked reflux cholangitis, as confirmed by neutrophil infiltration around the bile ducts; suppressed and delayed liver regeneration in grafts, as confirmed by significant increases in intrahepatic interleukin-1β level, significant decreases in the graft weight increase ratios, hepatocyte proliferation, and intrahepatic mRNA expression of vascular endothelial growth factor; and induced graft dysfunction, as confirmed by the presence of massive ascites, significantly decreased bile production, and prolonged elevation of total bilirubin, aspartate aminotransferase, and alanine aminotransferase. Conclusions. Choledocho-jejunostomy predisposed grafts to cholangitis, impaired liver regeneration, and aggravated animal survival, suggesting that choledocho-choledochostomy may be preferable over choledocho-jejunostomy in adult-to-adult living-donor liver transplantation.
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hemodynamics, donor condition, and ABO incompatibility,20–24 the influence of CC and CJ on post-transplant liver regeneration remains unknown.

CJ has the specific complication of reflux cholangitis, which is not observed in CC.23 Cholangitis caused by CJ provokes excessive inflammation and disturbs inflammatory cytokine and growth factor regulation.26 Therefore, we hypothesized that CJ is inferior to CC in terms of liver regeneration in small partial grafts. Our study aimed to assess the impact of CC and CJ on small partial liver grafts in a rat orthotopic LT model.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals
Male Lewis rats (300–400 g) (Charles River Laboratories Japan, Inc., Yokohama, Japan) were housed under specific pathogen-free conditions in a temperature-controlled and humidity-controlled environment with a 12-hour light-dark cycle and allowed free access to tap water and standard chow pellets. All experiments were conducted in accordance with the Animal Research Committee of Kyoto University, and all animals received humane care in accordance with the criteria outlined in the “Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals” prepared by the National Academy of Sciences and published by the National Institutes of Health (NIH Publication No. 86-23, revised 1985).

Study Design
CJ in rats has been shown to induce reflux cholangitis.26–28 Using this procedure,26 we compared CJ with CC in an isogenic arterialized orthotopic LT model.

For the survival study of LT with small partial liver grafts, 10 rats that underwent arterialized 30% partial LT with CC (30% CC) and 10 that underwent arterialized 30% partial LT with CJ (30% CJ) were examined. To obtain blood, bile, and tissue samples, 5 rats per group were killed at 12, 24, 72, and 168 hours post-LT from the 30% CC and 30% CJ groups (Figure 1A). For the survival study of LT with sufficient liver volume, 5 rats that underwent arterIALIZED whole LT with CC (100% CC) and 5 that underwent arterialized whole LT with CJ (100% CJ) were examined. To obtain blood, bile, and tissue samples, 3 rats per group were killed at 12, 24, 72, and 168 hours post-LT from the 100% CC and 100% CJ groups.

Endpoints
The follow-up period for survival after LT was 7 days. We examined ascites; serum aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), and total bilirubin (T-bil); bile production volume; lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) in bile; liver histology; and intrahepatic toll-like receptor (TLR) 4 and IL-6 mRNA expression to assess reflux cholangitis and liver damage. We defined “massive” ascites when we found overflow of peritoneal fluid at the time of laparotomy, while “mild” ascites was recorded when we recognized fluid in the peritoneal cavity without spillover. We examined graft weight

FIGURE 1. Experimental protocol. A, Experimental schedule. For the survival study, 10 rats per group were examined in the 30% CC and 30% CJ groups. To obtain blood, bile, and tissue samples, 5 rats per group were killed at 12, 24, 72, and 168 h post-LT in the 30% CC and 30% CJ groups. B, Schematic of 30% partial liver transplantation. 30% partial liver graft is put in the recipient abdomen 3 h after CS in HTK. After vascular reconstruction is completed, CC is performed in the 30% CC group or CJ is performed in the 30% CJ group. CC, choledocho-choledochostomy; CJ, choledocho-jejunostomy; CS, cold storage; HTK, histidine-tryptophan-ketoglutarate solution; LT, liver transplantation.
increase ratios, the number of Ki-67–stained proliferative cells, and intrahepatic IL-6, IL-1β, and VEGF mRNA expressions to assess liver regeneration.

**Donor Operation**
Under anesthesia with isoflurane, the donor rat’s abdomen was opened by a bilateral subcostal incision. After the liver was mobilized, the infrahepatic inferior vena cava (IHIVC) was separated from the right adrenal vein. The rat was subsequently heparinized via the penile vein with 300 IU of heparin (Mochida Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) in 1 mL of Ringer solution. The bile duct was then divided and cannulated with a 24-gauge polyethylene tube (TERUMO, Tokyo, Japan) for stenting. Next, the gastroduodenal artery was ligated and divided. The portal vein (PV) was isolated by transecting the pyloric and splenic vein. In situ liver perfusion from aortic bifurcation with 100 mL of cold histidine-tryphtophan-ketoglutarate (HTK) solution was performed, just as clinical procedure. Next, the IHIVC, PV, and common hepatic artery (CHA), and suprahepatic inferior vena cava (SHIVC) were divided and then the graft was immediately immersed in a basin filled with HTK solution at 4°C.

**Ex Vivo Graft Preparation**
The glissonean pedicle toward the median and left lateral lobe was ligated, and the median and left lobes were resected ex vivo (Video, SDC, http://links.lww.com/TXD/A236). This procedure was omitted in the 100% CC and 100% CJ groups. The right and caudate lobe of the liver was selected for the 30% partial liver graft. The cuff, made from a 14-gauge catheter, was attached to the PV. The SHIVC was then attached with two 7-0 polypropylene sutures. The graft was stored for 3 hours in HTK solution at 4°C.

**Recipient Operation**
Under anesthesia with isoflurane, the recipient rat’s abdomen was opened by a bilateral subcostal incision. The IHIVC was separated from the right adrenal vein after the mobilization of the liver. The bile duct and the proper hepatic artery (PHA) were ligated and divided at the hepatic hilum. Then, after injecting 2 mL of Ringer solution through the penile vein, the IHIVC, PV, and SHIVC were clamped. These vessels were divided and the recipient’s liver was subsequently removed (Video, SDC, http://links.lww.com/TXD/A236). The liver graft was then placed orthotopically in the abdominal cavity (Video, SDC, http://links.lww.com/TXD/A236). The SHIVC was reconstructed in an end-to-end fashion using continuous 7-0 polypropylene sutures (Video, SDC, http://links.lww.com/TXD/A236). The PV anastomosis was performed by pulling the recipient’s vein over the cuff and securing them with a circumferential suture (Video, SDC, http://links.lww.com/TXD/A236). The PV and SHIVC were unclamped for the recirculation of the liver (Video, SDC, http://links.lww.com/ TXD/A236). Next, the IHIVC was anastomosed in an end-to-end fashion using continuous 8-0 polypropylene sutures (Video, SDC, http://links.lww.com/TXD/A236). Again, 2 mL of Ringer solution was injected through the penile vein. Afterward, the hepatic artery was reconstructed in the modified sleeve method (Video, SDC, http://links.lww.com/ TXD/A236).29 The recipient’s PHA was inserted into the graft’s CHA and the stump of the CHA was sutured at the recipient’s PHA with 10-0 nylon (Video, SDC, http://links.lww.com/TXD/A236). In the 30% CC and 100% CC groups, CC was performed by tying the duct over a tube stent in the usual rat LT manner20 (Figure 1B). In the 30% CJ and 100% CJ groups, CJ was performed by inserting the stent into the jejunum by applying a purse-string suture with 7-0 polypropylene26 (Figure 1B, Video, SDC, http://links.lww.com/ TXD/A236). Finally, the abdominal cavity was washed with 20 mL of saline, and the abdominal incision was closed with 2 layers of continuous sutures.

**Blood and Bile Investigation**
Serum AST, ALT, and T-bil were measured using the standard spectrophotometric method with an automated clinical analyzer (JCABM9030; JEOL Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). The volume of bile sample was measured. LDH in bile samples were measured as an index of biliary damage,31 by using the standard spectrophotometric method with an automated clinical analyzer (JCABM9030; JEOL Ltd., Tokyo, Japan).

**Histological Study of Graft Livers**
Liver tissue samples were fixed in 10% buffered formalin, dehydrated in a graded ethanol series, embedded in paraffin, sectioned, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. Tissue sections from each rat were observed under the BZ-9000 microscope (Keyence, Osaka, Japan). Tissue sections were also stained with naphthol AS-D chloroacetate esterase to assess neutrophil infiltration12 and Ki-67 (monoclonal rabbit anti-Ki-67 antibody, Abcam, Cambridge, United Kingdom) to assess hepatocyte proliferation13 and quantify the number of proliferating hepatocytes. Ki-67–positive hepatocytes were counted in 5 randomly selected high power fields (×400) and calculated as an average number of positive cells.

**Graft Weight Increase Ratio**
Graft weights before and after LT were recorded for the 30% CJ and 30% CC groups. Graft weight increase ratios were calculated as follows: graft weight increase ratio (%) = 100 × (B – A)/A, in which A is pretransplant 30% partial graft weight and B is post-transplant graft weight at the time of sampling.5

**Quantitative Reverse-Transcription Polymerase Chain Reaction**
Total mRNA was extracted from the liver tissue at each time point using the NucleoSpin RNA Kit (MACHEREY-NAGEL GmbH & Co. Kg, Duren, Germany). Equal amounts of mRNA were adjusted with NanoDrop2000 (NanoDrop Technologies, Washington, DE). cDNA was...
reverse-transcribed using an Omniscript RT kit (Qiagen, Tokyo, Japan). Quantitative reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction was performed with the following amplification conditions: 50°C for 2 seconds and 95°C for 10 seconds during the holding stage, followed by 45 cycles of 95°C for 0.15 seconds and 60°C for 1 second. Polymerase chain reaction products were analyzed with the StepOnePlus Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Life Technologies Japan, Tokyo, Japan). Target gene expressions were calculated relative to the housekeeping gene, β-actin. TaqMan probes and primers for TLR4 (assay ID: Rn00569848_m1), IL-6 (assay ID: Rn01410330_m1), IL-1β (assay ID: Rn00580432_m1), VEGF (assay ID: Rn01511602_m1), and β-actin (assay ID: Rn00667869_m1) were obtained from TaqMan gene expression assays (Applied Biosystems, Tokyo, Japan).

Statistical Analysis
All statistical analyses were performed using Prism 6 (Graph Pad Software, Inc., San Diego, CA). All values are expressed as mean ± SD. For comparisons between groups with n ≥ 4, the Mann-Whitney U test (nonparametric test) was used. For comparisons between groups with n < 4, the Student t test (parametric test) was used. Animal survival was evaluated via the Kaplan-Meier method and log-rank test. A P value of <0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

CJ Aggravates Animal Survival in Small Partial LT
In the 30% CJ group, 5 rats (50%) survived for more than 7 days, whereas in the 30% CC group, all rats (100%) survived (P = 0.011, Figure 2A). Postmortem examinations of the 30% CJ group revealed massive ascites and no technical problems, including bile leakage.

CJ Exacerbates Liver Damage in Small Partial LT
At sacrifice, massive ascites were identified at 24 and 72 hours post-LT in the 30% CJ group, whereas mild ascites was identified at the same time points in the 30% CC group. We also observed more severe hepatocyte vacuolization and necrosis at 24 and 72 hours post-LT in the 30% CJ group than in the 30% CC group (Figure 2B). Serum AST, ALT, and T-bil levels at 72 hours post-LT were significantly higher in the 30% CJ group than in the 30% CC group (AST: 1104 ± 616 versus 327 ± 168 U/L, P = 0.032; ALT: 517 ± 216 versus 142 ± 90 U/L, P = 0.032; T-bil: 0.58 ± 0.31 versus 0.16 ± 0.09 mg/dL, P = 0.032; Figure 2C–E). At 12 hours post-LT, serum AST and ALT levels were highly elevated due to operative liver injury in both 2 groups (Figure 2C and D). Highly elevated serum AST and ALT levels at 12 hours post-LT immediately improved at 24 hours post-LT in the 30% CC group, while elevated serum AST and ALT levels persisted...
until 72 hours after LT in the 30% CJ group (Figure 2C and D). Serum T-bil level was elevated only in the 30% CJ group (Figure 2E). Bile production volume was significantly lower in the 30% CJ group than in the 30% CC group at 24 and 72 hours post-LT (24 hours: 3.55 ± 1.19 versus 6.77 ± 1.42 μL/min, P = 0.008; 72 hours: 4.55 ± 1.85 versus 9.90 ± 1.27 μL/min, P = 0.008; Figure 2F).

CJ Induces Neutrophil Infiltration Around Bile Ducts in Small Partial LT

In the 30% CJ group, we observed edematous change and the infiltration of inflammatory cells, including neutrophils, around bile ducts at 24 hours post-LT (Figure 3A). At 72 hours post-LT, neutrophil infiltration was aggravated around bile ducts and observable, even among biliary epithelial cells (Figure 3A and B). At 72 hours post-LT, biliary epithelial cells were injured and their nuclei were swollen (Figure 3A). However, biliary epithelial cells injury recovered and lamellar periductal fibrosis was observed at 168 hours post-LT (Figure 3A). Contrasting, none of these findings were observed in the 30% CC group (Figure 3A and B).

CJ Provokes Biliary Damage in Small Partial LT

LDH levels in bile reflect biliary damage, and they were significantly higher in the 30% CJ group than in the 30% CC group at 24 and 72 hours post-LT (24 h: 278 ± 134 versus 72 ± 47 U/L, P = 0.008; 72 h: 241 ± 47 versus 82 ± 53 U/L, P = 0.008; Figure 3C). LDH levels in bile were not elevated in the 30% CC group during 72 hours post-LT (Figure 3C).

CJ Enhances TLR4 mRNA Expression and Provokes Re-elevation of IL-6 mRNA Expression in Small Partial Liver Graft

Intrahepatic mRNA expression of TLR4, a representative of endotoxin receptor, was significantly higher in the 30% CJ group than in the 30% CC group at 72 and 168 hours post-LT (72 hours: 2.86 ± 1.80 versus 0.61 ± 0.42, P = 0.016; 168 hours: 2.37 ± 0.65 versus 1.40 ± 0.38, P = 0.016; Figure 3D). Intrahepatic TLR4 mRNA expression started to increase at 24 hours post-LT in the 30% CJ group, but not in the 30% CC group (Figure 3D). Intrahepatic IL-6 mRNA expression was significantly higher in the 30% CJ group than in the 30% CC group at 24 and 72 hours post-LT (24 h: 8.06 ± 3.11 versus 2.31 ± 1.55, P = 0.008; 72 h: 8.65 ± 3.04 versus 2.47 ± 1.63, P = 0.008; Figure 3E).

FIGURE 3. CJ provokes cholangitis in small partial liver graft. A, Representative HE staining of the graft liver in the 30% CJ and 30% CC groups at 24, 72, and 168 h post-LT. In the 30% CJ group, we observed edematous change and the infiltration of inflammatory cells, including neutrophils, around bile ducts at 24 h post-LT. We observed neutrophil infiltration not only around but also among biliary epithelial cells at 72 h post-LT in the 30% CJ group. Biliary epithelial cells were injured, and their nuclei were swollen at 72 h post-LT in the 30% CJ group. Biliary epithelial cells injury recovered and lamellar periductal fibrosis was observed at 168 h post-LT in the 30% CJ group. In the 30% CC group, neutrophil infiltration was not observed around bile ducts at 24, 72, and 168 h post-LT. The original magnification was ×200 for all images. The scale bar in each panel represents 100 μm. B, Representative liver sections stained by naphthol AS-D chloroacetate esterase at 72 h post-LT in the 30% CJ and 30% CC groups. Many neutrophils were observed around bile ducts in the 30% CJ group. A neutrophil (black arrow) was clearly observed among biliary epithelial cells in the 30% CJ group. Neutrophil infiltration was not observed around bile ducts in the 30% CC group. The original magnification was ×400 for all images. The scale bar in each panel represents 40 μm. C, LDH level in bile, reflecting biliary damage, was significantly higher in the 30% CJ group than in the 30% CC group at 24 and 72 h post-LT. † P < 0.01, Mann-Whitney U test. n = 5/group. D, Intrahepatic mRNA expression of TLR4, a representative endotoxin receptor, was significantly higher in the 30% CJ group than in the 30% CC group at 72 and 168 h post-LT. † P < 0.01, Mann-Whitney U test. n = 5/group. E, Intrahepatic IL-6 mRNA expression was significantly higher in the 30% CJ group than in the 30% CC group at 24 and 72 h post-LT. † P < 0.01, Mann-Whitney U test. n = 5/group. 30% CC, arterialized 30% partial liver transplantation with choledocho-choledochostomy; 30% CJ, arterialized 30% partial liver transplantation with choledocho-jejunostomy; CC, choledocho-choledochostomy; CJ, choledocho-jejunostomy; HE, hematoxylin-eosin; IL, interleukin; LDH, Lactate dehydrogenase; LT, liver transplantation; TLR, toll-like receptor.
2.56 versus 1.64 ± 1.11, \(P = 0.008\); 24 hours post-LT, intrahepatic IL-6 mRNA expression was highly elevated due to operative inflammation in both groups (Figure 3E), but this immediately improved at 24 hours post-LT in the 30% CC group, while this did not completely improve in the 30% CJ group (Figure 3E). The re-elevation of intrahepatic IL-6 mRNA expression was observed in the 30% CJ group but not in the 30% CC group (Figure 3E).

**CJ Suppresses Graft Weight Increase and Hepatocyte Proliferation in Small Partial LT**

To assess liver regeneration in small partial grafts with CJ and CC, we compared graft weight increase ratios and the number of Ki-67–positive cells between the 30% CJ and 30% CC groups. Graft weight increase ratios were significantly lower in the 30% CJ group than in the 30% CC group at 24, 72, and 168 hours post-LT (24 hours: 5.7 ± 6.0 versus 28.9 ± 16.6%, \(P = 0.032\); 72 hours: 91.1 ± 3.4 versus 125.3 ± 15.0%, \(P = 0.008\); 168 hours: 158.7 ± 23.9 versus 214.3 ± 39.1%, \(P = 0.008\); Figure 4A). The number of Ki-67–positive cells was significantly lower in the 30% CJ group than in the 30% CC group at 24 hours post-LT (1.00 ± 0.91 versus 18.4 ± 15.8, \(P = 0.008\), Figure 4B). The number of Ki-67–positive cells was also lower in the 30% CJ group than in the 30% CC group at 72 and 168 hours post-LT, but the difference was not significant (Figure 4B).

**CJ Enhances IL-1β mRNA Expression and Delays the Increase in VEGF mRNA Expression in Small Liver Graft**

To elucidate the underlying mechanisms of liver regeneration in small partial grafts with CJ and CC, we assessed the changes in intrahepatic mRNA expression of IL-1β, a strong inhibitor of hepatocyte proliferation, and intrahepatic mRNA expression of VEGF, an important stimulator of sinusoidal endothelial cell proliferation, in addition to intrahepatic IL-6 mRNA expression. We observed significantly higher intrahepatic IL-1β mRNA expression in the 30% CJ group than in the 30% CC group at 24 hours post-LT (4.46 ± 2.44 versus 1.21 ± 0.99, \(P = 0.032\); Figure 4C). Intrahepatic VEGF mRNA expression was also significantly lower in the 30% CJ group than in the 30% CC group at 72 hours post-LT (0.99 ± 0.21 versus 1.72 ± 0.65, \(P = 0.032\); Figure 4D). The expected increase in intrahepatic VEGF mRNA expression was delayed in the 30% CJ group (Figure 4D).

**CJ Versus CC in Whole LT**

Five rats (100%) survived in both the 100% CJ and 100% CC groups. No ascites were identified in either group throughout the 168-hour sacrifice period. The changes of serum AST, ALT, T-bil, and bile production in the 100% CJ group were similar to those in the 100% CC group (Figure S1A–D, SDC, http://links.lww.com/TXD/A234). We observed mild neutrophil infiltration around bile ducts in the 100% CJ group, but this was not observed in the 100% CC group (Figure S1E, SDC, http://links.lww.com/TXD/A234). LDH levels in bile were elevated in the 100% CJ group at 72 hours.
post-LT (Figure S1F, SDC, http://links.lww.com/TXD/A234). Intrahepatic TLR4 mRNA expression started to increase at 24 hours post-LT in the 100% CJ group, but this was not observed in the 100% CC group (Figure S1G, SDC, http://links.lww.com/TXD/A234). At 168 hours post-LT, intrahepatic TLR4 mRNA expression was significantly higher in the 100% CJ group than in the 100% CC group (1.96 ± 0.42 versus 0.90 ± 0.37, *P* = 0.032, Figure S1G, SDC, http://links.lww.com/TXD/A234). Intrahepatic IL-6 mRNA expression did not completely improve at 24 and 72 hours post-LT in the 100% CJ group (Figure S1H, SDC, http://links.lww.com/TXD/A234).

**DISCUSSION**

The pretransplant GRWR is one of the established factors to predict graft prognosis in LDLT, whereas several preclinical studies have shown the importance of post-transplant liver regeneration to improve recipient outcomes. In our current study, the 30% CC group had comparable GRWR to the 30% CJ group (0.985 ± 0.032 versus 0.950 ± 0.038%), while exhibiting inhibited graft inflammation, showing increased liver regeneration, and experiencing significantly better posttransplant survival as compared with the 30% CJ group. Those indicate that augmented reflux cholangitis in small partial liver grafts with CJ anastomosis impaired liver regeneration, leading to aggravated animal survival. Interestingly, superiority of CC over CJ seems apparent in 30% LT but not in 100% LT (Figure S2, SDC, http://links.lww.com/TXD/A235). Unfavorable influence of cholangitis in CJ anastomosis (T-bil, bile production, LDH in bile, mRNA levels for TLR4/IL-6, etc) might be compensated when liver graft retained sufficient volume to resolve inflammation.

In our study, CJ provoked reflux cholangitis in small partial liver grafts, impaired liver regeneration, exacerbated liver damage, and aggravated animal survival in the 30% CJ group. CJ also induced dysfunction in small partial grafts, as confirmed by the presence of massive ascites, significantly decreased bile production, and prolonged elevation of T-bil, AST, and ALT. In whole LT with sufficient liver volume, CJ provoked mild cholangitis and slight inflammation in liver grafts, but scarcely exacerbated liver damage and did not aggravate animal survival.

Several clinical and basic studies have confirmed that CJ provokes reflux cholangitis. In such studies that used animal models, reflux cholangitis was confirmed chiefly by inflammatory cell infiltration around bile ducts, increased TLR4 mRNA expression, and increased inflammatory cytokine expression. In our study, as well as elevated LDH levels in bile, were observed in the 30% CJ group (Figure 3A–E), unlike in the 30% CC (Figure 3A–E) and 100% CC groups (Figure S1E–I, SDC, http://links.lww.com/TXD/A234). The lack of hepatic artery reconstruction in animal LT model was reported to result in high incidence of biliary complications. Contrastingly, we performed hepatic artery anastomosis in the current study and confirmed patency of arteries at the time of animal sacrifice in both CC/CJ cases. Therefore, we expect that ischemic change of biliary reconstruction was unlikely to influence animal outcomes.

In recent clinical practice, CC has been performed more often than CJ in adult-to-adult LDLT due to the expectations of shorter operation times, fewer septic complications, better physiologic enteric function, and easier endoscopic access to the biliary tract for future needs, but some transplant surgeons prefer CJ to CC. The preferable biliary reconstruction method for better short- and long-term results remains controversial. In our study, we revealed that CJ suppressed and delayed liver regeneration in small liver grafts (Figure 4A–D). Although various factors are involved in liver regeneration, biliary reconstruction is one of the few factors that can be managed by transplant surgeons.

It has also been demonstrated that liver regeneration is suppressed secondary to cholangitis development in a 70% hepatectomy with CJ rat model. Due to the ampulla of Vater preventing reflux cholangitis, we did not observe cholangitis in the 30% CC and 100% CC groups (Figure 2A–E, Figure S1E–I, SDC, http://links.lww.com/TXD/A234). Therefore, it may be reasonable to assume that liver regeneration was suppressed in the 30% CJ group.

The regulation of the expression and secretion of transcription factors and cytokines is necessary for liver regeneration. In 70% hepatectomy rat models that show good liver regeneration, intrahepatic IL-6 mRNA expression reaches its peak at 2–12 hours postoperation and peaks out at 24 hours postoperation, and intrahepatic VEGF mRNA expression reaches its peak at 72 hours postoperation. The changes of intrahepatic IL-6 and VEGF mRNA expression in the 30% CC group (Figures 3E and 4D) were similar to those in previous reports regarding 70% hepatectomy rat models. Furthermore, IL-1β has antiproliferative effects on hepatocyte proliferation, and intrahepatic IL-1β mRNA expression elevates at 48 hours posthepatectomy. Intrahepatic IL-1β mRNA expression was already elevated at 24 hours post-LT in the 30% CJ group (Figure 4C). Reflux cholangitis caused by CJ gradually starts at 12–24 hours post-LT, thereby inducing excessive inflammatory responses and possibly disturbing cytokine production regulation, which is necessary for liver regeneration.

We are aware of several limitations of our current study in terms of the gap between animal model and clinical LT cases. First, we did not use immunosuppressant or prophylactic antibiotics in our isogenic LT model which likely to influence the occurrence of ascending cholangitis. Second, in our CJ model, we use the short-length internal stent and did not apply the Roux-en Y method, which may enhance the ascending cholangitis or obstruction of bile flow. Third, despite we observed hepatic inflammatory markers, this study lacks the direct evidence of infection such as the result of culture of bile juice. Fourth, it remains unclear whether the 30% graft in our study corresponds to clinical cases of small-for-size graft. Further studies more similar to clinical situation are needed to elucidate the effect of biliary reconstruction methods in LT outcomes.

In conclusion, CJ predisposed small liver grafts to cholangitis, impaired the post-transplant regeneration of small grafts, and aggravated animal survival in the 30% CJ group. However, CJ has no critical influence on whole LT. These results may suggest that CC is preferable over CJ in adult-to-adult LDLT, if applicable.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The authors are grateful for the support of the Center for Anatomical, Pathological, and Forensic Medical Research, Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto University.
