GDF11 expressed in the adult brain negatively regulates hippocampal neurogenesis
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Abstract
Growth differentiation factor 11 (GDF11) is a transforming factor-β superfamily member that functions as a negative regulator of neurogenesis during embryonic development. However, when recombinant GDF11 (rGDF11) is administered systemically in aged mice, it promotes neurogenesis, the opposite of its role during development. The goal of the present study was to reconcile this apparent discrepancy by performing the first detailed investigation into the expression of endogenous GDF11 in the adult brain and its effects on neurogenesis. Using quantitative histological analysis, we observed that Gdf11 is most highly expressed in adult neurogenic niches and non-neurogenic regions within the hippocampus, choroid plexus, thalamus, habenula, and cerebellum. To investigate the role of endogenous GDF11 during adult hippocampal neurogenesis, we generated a tamoxifen inducible mouse that allowed us to reduce GDF11 levels. Depletion of Gdf11 during adulthood increased proliferation of neural progenitors and decreased the number of newborn neurons in the hippocampus, suggesting that endogenous GDF11 remains a negative regulator of hippocampal neurogenesis in adult mice. These findings further support the idea that circulating systemic GDF11 and endogenously expressed GDF11 in the adult brain have different target cells or mechanisms of action. Our data describe a role for GDF11-dependent signaling in adult neurogenesis that has implications for how GDF11 may be used to treat CNS disease.
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Background
GDF11 is a member of the transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β) superfamily of cytokines and acts as a critical regulator of neurogenesis during central nervous system (CNS) development [1, 2]. During embryogenesis, GDF11 largely functions as a negative growth regulator through its inhibition of neural progenitor cell (NPC) proliferation and promotion of neuronal differentiation. For example, in the embryonic olfactory epithelium, GDF11 promotes differentiation into mature olfactory receptor neurons by inducing cell cycle arrest of proliferative NPCs [3–5]. In the developing retina, GDF11 again regulates numbers of neurons, but by controlling the period of differentiation of progenitors, rather than their proliferation [6]. And in the developing spinal cord, GDF11 expression by newborn neurons triggers the cell cycle exit of adjacent NPCs which facilitates their crucial transition from producing early born neurons to late born neurons and oligodendrocytes [7].

Recent studies utilizing recombinant GDF11 (rGDF11) suggest GDF11 signaling may continue to influence neurogenesis well beyond embryogenesis. Surprisingly, systemic administration of rGDF11 into aged mice was shown to promote, rather than inhibit, neurogenesis within both adult neurogenic niches [8, 9]. While the therapeutic implications of rGDF11 administration are exciting, questions have been raised about how to reconcile the pro-neurogenesis activities of systemically administered rGDF11 with the anti-neurogenesis functions of embryonic brain derived GDF11. One possibility...
is that, in the adult, GDF11 crosses the blood–brain barrier and stimulates, rather than inhibits, neurogenesis. The second possibility is that systemic GDF11 does not enter the brain but exerts a pro-neurogenic effect in another way, possibly by acting on vascular cells which are known to secrete neurogenesis-regulating factors. This latter mechanism is consistent with our observation that GDF11 has, at best, a limited ability to cross the BBB.

To help resolve this issue, we investigated GDF11 expression and function in the adult brain, which had not been examined in much detail. We first performed a detailed histological analysis of Gdf11 expression in many regions of the adult mouse brain. Using adult transgenic mice deficient in Gdf11, we then investigated the role of endogenous GDF11 during adult hippocampal neurogenesis. In the absence of Gdf11, we observed a dramatic increase in proliferation and an increased number of neural progenitor cells in the hippocampus, but significantly fewer newborn neurons. Our results suggest that GDF11 expressed in the adult brain acts as a negative regulator of neurogenesis, as it does during development. By demonstrating that endogenous GDF11 in the CNS inhibits adult NPC proliferation, we provide further support for the hypothesis that systemically administered rGDF11 promotes neurogenesis indirectly, in line with our previous data showing GDF11 does not cross the BBB.

Results

Gdf11 expression in neurogenic and non-neurogenic regions of the adult brain

Previous studies which profiled the CNS expression of Gdf11 largely focused on embryonic and early postnatal brains [1, 2]. To examine the localization of Gdf11 in the mature brain, we prepared sections from 3-month-old young adult mice and performed RNAscope in situ hybridization with a probe against Gdf11. Overall, Gdf11 was highly expressed in the hippocampus, choroid plexus, thalamus, habenula, and cerebellum, relative to its much lower expression in the cortex (Fig. 1A). We quantified Gdf11 expression across regions using CellProfiler and observed fourfold and eightfold more Gdf11 in the choroid plexus and hippocampus, respectively compared to the cortex (Fig. 1B). We observed 22-fold more Gdf11 in the thalamus compared to the cortex. The habenula subregion represented the brain area with the highest density of Gdf11 (Fig. 1B). Within the cerebellum, Gdf11 selectively localized along the Purkinje cell layer while being largely absent from the molecular layer or fiber tracts (Fig. 1A).

Given the important role of GDF11 in regulating embryonic neurogenesis, we were particularly interested in profiling zones of the brain where neurogenesis continues into adulthood. This includes the subventricular zone (SVZ) and the subgranular zone (SGZ) within the hippocampus. We observed consistently high levels of Gdf11 within the lateral ventricle choroid plexus and the adjacent SVZ (Fig. 1A). Co-expression data with cell type specific markers showed that neuroblasts and choroid plexus epithelial cells, but not endothelial cells, are likely the primary sources of Gdf11 in the choroid plexus region (Additional file 1: Fig. 1A). In the hippocampus, Gdf11 was expressed in the CA1, CA3, and dentate gyrus (DG), with the highest expression found in the CA3 pyramidal cell bodies (Fig. 1A). Of note is Gdf11’s relatively uniform distribution across the DG, with expression observed within granule cell bodies and within the neurogenic SGZ. To determine if Gdf11 is expressed in neuronal progenitor cells and/or mature neurons in the dentate gyrus, we performed RNAscope co-expression studies. We combined a probe against Gdf11 with probes which label neuroblasts (Dcx) or mature neurons (Map2) (Fig. 2). We found that the majority of neuroblasts and neurons in the dentate gyrus were positive for Gdf11. In addition, we explored Gdf11 expression in non-neuronal cells. Gdf11 was present in oligodendrocyte progenitor cells (Pdgfra+, Olig1+), but largely absent from mature oligodendrocytes (Pdgfra−, Olig1+). Few, if any, Gdf11 puncta were detected within nuclei identified as microglia or endothelial cells (Additional file 1: Fig. 1B). GDF11 is highly homologous at the amino acid level with MSTN (GDF8), and there has been some controversy regarding functional differences between the two proteins in peripheral tissues. However, within the dentate gyrus, Mstn was expressed at substantially lower levels than Gdf11 (Additional file 1: Fig. 2), and we did not pursue its actions further.

Since hippocampal neurogenesis declines significantly with age, we were interested in whether Gdf11 expression there also changes over time [10]. We prepared sections from 18 month old mice, performed RNAscope, quantified Gdf11 expression in the hippocampal DG, CA1, and CA3 and compared these results with those obtained from 3 month old mice (Additional file 1: Fig. 3A). Surprisingly, we observed no statistically significant change in Gdf11 expression in any hippocampal region studied (Additional file 1: Fig. 3B). We also performed qPCR on isolated whole hippocampal tissue from young and old mice and again observed no change in Gdf11 expression (Additional file 1: Fig. 3C). These findings suggest that age-associated changes in hippocampal neurogenesis are not a direct result of changes in the hippocampal expression of Gdf11 itself.

Construction and characterization of Gdf11<sup>cko</sup> mice

The expression of Gdf11 in neurons, neuroblasts and the dentate gyrus led us to hypothesize that it may continue to play a role in hippocampal neurogenesis even in adult
mice. To investigate this, we generated tamoxifen inducible GDF11 knockout mice (Gdf11cKO), which avoid the perinatal lethality that occurs in germline Gdf11−/− mice [1, 2]. Mice homozygous for loxP-flanked GDF11 (Gdf11flox/flox) were crossed with transgenic mice expressing a tamoxifen inducible recombinase (Cre-ER) using the chicken beta actin promoter/enhancer coupled with the cytomegalovirus immediate-early enhancer (CAGGCre-ER™) [1, 11]. A ubiquitously expressed Cre-ER driver was chosen to ensure widespread depletion of Gdf11 across the brain, accounting for both neuronal and non-neuronal cellular sources of GDF11. All experiments described below involved 3-month-old male and female mice injected with tamoxifen once daily for three consecutive days and sacrificed 6 weeks later.

Proper recombination of the Gdf11 allele in Gdf11cKO mice was confirmed at the DNA level by genomic PCR (Fig. 3A, B). The efficiency of Gdf11 depletion was assessed using quantitative PCR (qPCR) on brain tissue and select peripheral tissues from Gdf11cKO mice and littermate Gdf11flox/flox controls (Fig. 3C). Gdf11 levels were normalized to the housekeeping gene Hprt and then normalized to the Gdf11flox/flox group average. Gdf11 levels were significantly reduced in Gdf11cKO mice with an average percent reduction, reported by tissue type, of: whole brain (73%), hippocampus (79%), cerebellum (87%), kidney (80%), heart (72%), spleen (44%), lung (92%),...
muscle (97%), and liver (70%). All statistical analyses were performed using a two-tailed Student’s t-test and p < 0.05 for each tissue tested (Fig. 3C). We also quantified GDF11 protein levels using a direct LC–MS/MS assay on serum collected from Gdf11 cKO and Gdf11flox/flox mice. We detected a statistically significant reduction of serum GDF11 in Gdf11cKO mice relative to controls (16%, n = 9 per genotype, p < 0.05) (Fig. 3D). This relatively small reduction of GDF11 in serum is likely due, at least in part, to the low efficiency of Gdf11 depletion in the spleen, a major source of circulating GDF11.

In order to confirm that Gdf11 was depleted in the dentate gyrus, we performed quantitative RNAscope in situ hybridization and observed a statistically significant 80% depletion of Gdf11 in Gdf11 cKO mice relative to controls (16%, n = 9 per genotype, p < 0.05) (Fig. 3D). This relatively small reduction of GDF11 in serum is likely due, at least in part, to the low efficiency of Gdf11 depletion in the spleen, a major source of circulating GDF11.

In order to confirm that Gdf11 was depleted in the dentate gyrus, we performed quantitative RNAscope in situ hybridization and observed a statistically significant 80% depletion of Gdf11 in Gdf11 cKO mice relative to controls (16%, n = 9 per genotype, p < 0.05) (Fig. 3D). This measurement of Gdf11 depletion by RNAscope was consistent with qPCR results obtained using the same set of mice (Additional file 1: Fig. 4). We also tested whether depletion of Gdf11 led to any compensatory changes in the expression of other TGFβ family members or GDF11 receptors. We investigated this possibility by performing qPCR on isolated hippocampal tissue from Gdf11 cKO and Gdf11 flox/flox mice. We observed no statistically significant change in the expression of Mstn, Tgfb1, Tgfb2, or Tgfb3 nor any change in the expression of GDF11 receptors Acvr1b (Alk4) or Tgfb1r1 (Alk5) in the Gdf11 cKO mice (Fig. 3G). We also measured total body weight and observed no statistically significant difference between Gdf11 cKO and Gdf11 flox/flox mice in the 6 weeks post tamoxifen treatment (Fig. 3H).

**Gdf11 depletion increases overall proliferation, the number of amplifying progenitors and the number of neuroblasts in the adult hippocampus**

Adult neurogenesis in the subgranular zone (SGZ) involves a series of distinct developmental stages where the proliferation and differentiation of neural progenitors ultimately generate new dentate granule neurons over the course of approximately 30 days [12]. Radial neural stem cells give rise to rapidly proliferating progenitors which exit the cell cycle and mature into newborn neurons.

To investigate how GDF11 regulates neurogenesis, we
Fig. 3 Construction and characterization of Gdf11<sup>−/−</sup> mice. A Schematic of Gdf11<sup>flox</sup> (top), and Gdf11Δ (bottom) alleles. Primer binding sites are labeled by a, b, and c alongside their amplicon sizes. B Genomic DNA PCR for Gdf11<sup>flox</sup> (b-c amplicon: 389 bp) and Gdf11Δ (a-c amplicon: 330 bp) amplicons from representative Gdf11<sup>flox/flox</sup> and Gdf11<sup>−/−</sup> mice. These data confirm recombination of the Gdf11<sup>flox</sup> allele in the Gdf11<sup>−/−</sup>, but not Gdf11<sup>flox/flox</sup>, mice. C Quantitative PCR analysis of Gdf11 expression in whole brain, hippocampus, cerebellum, kidney, heart, spleen, lung, muscle (tibialis anterior), and liver tissue isolated from Gdf11<sup>flox/flox</sup> and Gdf11<sup>−/−</sup> mice. Hprt was used as a housekeeping gene. Relative mRNA levels for all samples are normalized to Gdf11<sup>flox/flox</sup> mice and error bars depict SEM. D Concentration of GDF11 protein in serum of Gdf11<sup>flox/flox</sup> and Gdf11<sup>−/−</sup> mice at 3 months old, as detected by mass spectrometry (n = 9 mice per genotype, males and females pooled.) Individual data points are overlaid with mean±SEM. E RNAscope in situ hybridization micrographs of mouse hippocampi (dentate gyrus) probed for Gdf11 from representative Gdf11<sup>flox/flox</sup> and Gdf11<sup>−/−</sup> mice. Scale bar = 20 μm. F Scatterplot showing the quantification of RNAscope data (data includes mean of 4 control and 4 Gdf11<sup>−/−</sup> brains). G Quantitative PCR analysis in isolated hippocampi from Gdf11<sup>flox/flox</sup> and Gdf11<sup>−/−</sup> mice. Analysis of TGFβ family members Mstn, Tgfβ1, Tgfβ2, Tgfβ3 expression and GDF11 receptors Alk4 and Alk5 expression. Relative mRNA levels for all samples were normalized to the Gdf11<sup>flox/flox</sup> group and error bars depict SEM. No statistically significant difference between groups was observed for any GDF11 family member or receptor studied. H Body weight (grams) measured for male and female Gdf11<sup>flox/flox</sup> or Gdf11<sup>−/−</sup> mice over varying lengths of time post 3 consecutive days of single tamoxifen IP injections (0.2 mg/gram b.w.). All statistics were calculated using two-tailed t-test. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01
injected Gdf11cKO and Gdf11 flox/flox mice with tamoxifen once daily for three consecutive days and collected their brains 42 days later. First, we examined whether there were changes in overall proliferation and observed a 74% increase in Ki67+ cell number in the DG of Gdf11cKO mice (p < 0.01) (Fig. 4A, B). We next sought to identify which proliferating cell types were impacted. We observed no significant change in the number of neural stem cells (Sox2+, GFAP+) but did observe an 87% increase in the number of amplifying progenitors (Sox2+) (Fig. 4A, C). These results are consistent with GDF11 playing an influential role on the more proliferative cell types, like amplifying progenitors, rather than radial neural stem cells which are largely quiescent. Gdf11 depletion also led to a 51% increase in the total number of DCX+ cells which include both proliferating neuroblasts and postmitotic immature neurons (Fig. 4A, D). We used the neuronal marker NeuN to distinguish neuroblasts (NeuN+, DCX+) from immature neurons (NeuN+, DCX+). Interestingly, in Gdf11cKO mice, we observed a 97% increase in the number of neuroblasts but a 23% decrease in the number of immature neurons relative to Gdf11 flox/flox mice (Fig. 4D). This result suggests that Gdf11 depletion promotes the proliferation of neural progenitors (amplifying progenitors and neuroblasts) at the potential expense of proper cell cycle exit and differentiation into neurons.

**Gdf11 depletion decreases the number of newborn neurons in the adult hippocampus**

To test the hypothesis that Gdf11 depletion leads to fewer newborn neurons, we performed a pulse chase experiment using the thymidine analog bromo-deoxyuridine (BrdU). Gdf11cKO and Gdf11 flox/flox mice were injected once daily for three consecutive days with tamoxifen and 24 days later injected once daily for three consecutive days with BrdU. Animals were sacrificed 21 days following the final BrdU injection at day 48 post-tamoxifen. Under these conditions, some percentage of proliferating neural progenitor cells initially labeled by BrdU at day 24 should have differentiated into neurons. Newborn neurons were classified as the percent of total BrdU+ cells which co-stained with NeuN (Fig. 4A). We observed 50% fewer newborn neurons in Gdf11cKO mice relative to Gdf11 flox/flox mice (p < 0.01) (Fig. 4A, E). Fewer newborn neurons after Gdf11 reduction suggests GDF11 acts on neuroblast differentiation and/or the survival of newborn neurons.

**Discussion**

Until now, our understanding of GDF11’s expression and function in the CNS was largely limited to early developmental stages. Further, although Gdf11 expression had previously been reported in the hippocampus of 2-week-old mouse pups, no prior study had explored the function of GDF11 in this brain region [2]. Our experiments provide the first detailed, quantitative histological characterization of the cellular sources for Gdf11 in the adult mouse brain. We show Gdf11 does continue to be expressed throughout the hippocampus, both during young adulthood and with advanced age. Our RNAscope findings are consistent with results from a recent qualitative study of GDF11 protein expression in young adult rat brains [13]. In the hippocampal neurogenic niche, we detected Gdf11 expression by both neural progenitors and mature neurons. This was based on the coexpression of Gdf11 mRNA puncta with cell type specific markers in a cell dense region and as a result expression of Gdf11 by additional nonneuronal cells cannot be ruled out. Using Gdf11 cKO mice, we demonstrate for the first time that GDF11 is required for the normal proliferation of adult neural progenitor cells. We reached this conclusion after observing, in the absence of Gdf11, an increase in overall Ki67+ cells which coincided with an increase in the number of both Sox2+ amplifying progenitors and DCX+ neuroblasts. Despite an accumulation of DCX+, NeuN− neuroblasts in Gdf11cKO mice, we observed a reduction in NeuN+ newborn neurons. This was indicated by a decrease in the number of immature neurons 6 weeks after Gdf11 depletion and by a decline in newly generated neurons which incorporated BrdU. This decline in BrdU+, NeuN+ newborn neurons was observed despite an increase in the overall number of BrdU+ cells in Gdf11cKO mice, further supporting the possibility these BrdU+, NeuN− cells represent neural progenitors that cannot effectively differentiate. Altogether, our results underscore how endogenous GDF11 suppresses hippocampal neurogenesis during development and continuing through adulthood (Fig. 5). This reinforces the notion that systemic rGDF11, which increases adult hippocampal neurogenesis, is likely to act without crossing the BBB, consistent with prior work from our laboratory suggesting that it signals via brain vasculature [8]. This is interesting in that it further suggests that the same molecule can have opposite effects on an essential CNS process, depending on where and on which cells it acts. A lack of change in Gdf11 with advanced age may suggest that other regulatory factors which do change with age are responsible for age-related declines in neurogenesis, including the possibility of an age-dependent change in a GDF11 regulator. It is also possible that the effect of GDF11 on neurogenesis changes between development and young adult mice, when it has the same inhibitory effect, and old mice, when it hypothetically would have a stimulatory effect. Even if this were true, it would not explain how GDF11, whose levels do not change, could account for the decline in neurogenesis.
Fig. 4 Gdf11 depletion increases overall proliferation, increases the number of neural progenitors, and decreases the number of newborn neurons in the adult hippocampus. A) Representative immunohistochemical micrographs comparing the expression of Ki67, Sox2/GFAP, DCX/NeuN, and NeuN/BrdU in the DG of Gdf11flox/flox and Gdf11cKO mice. Scale bar = 20 μm. B) Quantification of overall proliferation as measured by the number of Ki67+ cells per DG mm² (74% increase in Gdf11cKO mice, n = 6 mice per group). C) Quantification of the number of neural stem cells (25% nonsignificant increase in Gdf11cKO mice, n = 7–10 mice per group) and the number of amplifying progenitors (87% increase, n = 7–10 per group). D) Quantification of neuroblasts (DCX+, NeuN−) and immature neurons (DCX+, NeuN+), as measured by the proportion of DCX+ cells that colabeled with or without NeuN+ cells per DG mm² (% decrease in Gdf11cKO mice, n = 7–8 mice per group). E) Quantification of newborn neurons, as measured by the proportion of BrdU+ cells that colabeled with NeuN+ cells per DG mm² (50% decrease in Gdf11cKO mice, n = 7–8 mice per group). See methods for details on cell classification scheme used. All statistics were calculated using two-tailed t-test. *p < 0.05 and **p < 0.01.
Upon *Gdf11* depletion, we observed an increase in NPC proliferation that coincided with a decrease in newborn neurons. This decrease in newborn neurons could be the result of impaired differentiation of neural progenitors, impaired newborn neuron survival, or a combination of both mechanisms. Support for a direct mechanism involving impaired differentiation comes from *Gdf11* developmental studies. In the embryonic olfactory epithelium and spinal cord, *Gdf11* is produced by mature neurons and negatively regulates proliferation of nearby neural progenitors by promoting their cell cycle exit. This is achieved in part through the transcriptional activation of the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor p27kip1, which arrests progenitor cells in the G1 phase of the cell cycle [3, 7]. NPCs must exit the cell cycle in order to differentiate, and excessive proliferation caused by embryonic *Gdf11* deletion is responsible for the decreased ability of NPCs to differentiate into mature neuronal subtypes [5, 7]. Interestingly, p27kip1 is expressed in the adult hippocampus by neural progenitor cells and immature neurons [14, 15]. Loss of p27kip1 leads to an increase in neural progenitor proliferation and a decrease in the number of newborn neurons in the adult dentate gyrus [14, 15]. In vitro assays utilizing adult hippocampal neural stem cells further demonstrate that the mRNA levels of *Cdkn1b*, which encodes p27kip1 protein, increases during neuronal differentiation and positively correlated with markers of neuronal maturity [14, 16]. Given the ability of *Gdf11* to regulate p27kip1 expression during development, we plan to investigate whether a similar mechanism may underlie *Gdf11* functions during adult hippocampal neurogenesis. A direct regulatory effect of *Gdf11* on differentiation is also consistent with our data demonstrating that treatment of neurospheres derived from adult mouse brain with recombinant *Gdf11* decreases their proliferation [8].

Experiments investigating effects of other TGF-β family members are also consistent with *Gdf11* being neuroprotective for immature neurons in the hippocampus. *Gdf11* preferentially signals through the Alk5 receptor, and overexpression of a constitutively active form of *Alk5* in the adult hippocampus promotes the survival of newborn neurons [17]. Conversely, conditional deletion of *Alk5* from postmitotic immature and mature neurons results in fewer newborn neurons [17]. In addition, TGF-β1, which also binds Alk5, has been linked to hippocampal neuron survival. For example, pretreatment with TGF-β1 protects cultured rat hippocampal neurons from apoptotic cell death, NMDA-mediated excitotoxicity, oxidative stress, and glutamate neurotoxicity [18–21]. Finally, astroglial overexpression of Tgf-β1 in adult mice protects against neurodegeneration in acute, excitotoxic, and chronic injury models [22]. However, it may be important to note that the main producers of TGF-β1 in the adult hippocampus are glia and immune cells, while our data demonstrate that *Gdf11* is primarily produced by neuronal and oligodendrocyte-lineage cells [23, 24]. Understanding how *Gdf11* may act independently or in concert with TGF-β1 to regulate hippocampal neuron survival and the implications of their different cellular sources would be an interesting area for future study. Our
data are consistent with the view, established in studies investigating developing tissue, that neuronal GDF11 acts as an inhibitor of NPC proliferation. However, GDF11 in the adult brain is also expressed in non-neuronal cells. Thus, future investigations into the extent to which these non-neuronal sources contribute to changes in NPC proliferation and/or neuron survival could also yield new insights.

**Role for GDF11 in non-neurogenic brain regions**

We found that GDF11 is relatively highly expressed in non-neurogenic regions like the thalamus, habenula, and cerebellum. Interestingly, we observed the highest density of Gdf11 expression in the habenula and our results align with a recent habenula focused single-cell RNA-sequencing paper which reported Gdf11 within the top 25% of highly expressed genes in the region [25]. The habenula contains primarily cholinergic neurons and has been shown to play an important role in cognition dependent executive functions and inhibitory control [26]. We also detected strong expression of Gdf11 in the cerebellum, most likely in Purkinje cells in the molecular layer [27]. This result is consistent with data from a single-cell sequencing study which specifically profiled cells isolated from the adult mouse cerebellum [28]. Again, this is consistent with known in vitro effects of GDF11 on neurite outgrowth, dendrite formation, and synapse formation [29–31].

**Considerations for GDF11-based CNS therapies**

Dysfunctional adult hippocampal neurogenesis has been linked to several CNS disorders including age-related declines in cognitive function, stroke, Alzheimer’s disease, and epilepsy [32–36]. As a result, identifying therapeutic candidates that target neurogenesis is an area of robust research. Our lab previously demonstrated that systemic injection of rGDF11 can promote neurogenesis in aged mice without crossing the blood brain barrier [8, 9]. This suggests that GDF11 signaling is highly location and cell type dependent, which underscores the significance of considering route of administration for any rGDF11 based therapy. When the goal is to promote neurogenesis, our data indicate rGDF11 treatment should avoid direct contact of rGDF11 with NPCs. This is particularly relevant in the context of stroke, where the blood brain barrier is known to break down transiently, and rGDF11 treatment has been proposed as a means to improve functional recovery by promoting neurogenesis [37–39]. Under those conditions, however, systemically administered GDF11 might improve revascularization and, possibly, increase neuronal survival, processes that would be particularly important outside of the of relatively restricted neurogenic zones. However, in some diseases, like epilepsy, aberrant increases in hippocampal neurogenesis can exacerbate symptoms, and administration of neurogenesis inhibitory factors is then desirable [36, 40]. In this context, upregulating locally expressed GDF11 could potentially mitigate the negative consequences of seizure-induced neurogenesis. Given the negative regulatory role of GDF11 in neurogenesis, altering endogenous GDF11 expression locally in the neurogenic niche could be an alternative therapeutic strategy or even worth pairing with systemically administered rGDF11 targeting vascular cells depending on the treatment goal.

**Conclusion**

Our study provides new insight into the distribution of GDF11 in the adult mouse brain and presents evidence that GDF11 continues to play a similar role in neurogenesis during adulthood as it does during development. We show how GDF11 deficiency causes an increase in NPC proliferation and a decrease in the number of newborn hippocampal neurons. These data support an indirect mechanism of action for how systemically administered rGDF11 promotes neurogenesis, as direct contact with rGDF11 would presumably inhibit NPC proliferation. The microenvironment of the hippocampal neurogenic niche is highly regulated to enable a continuous source of cells for growth, maintenance, and repair. Identification of factors like GDF11 which inhibit neurogenesis but support mature neurons can help facilitate the development of strategies to promote stem cell regeneration and maturation.

**Methods**

**Mice**

Animal care and experimental procedures were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of Harvard University (AEP no. 10-23) and are in compliance with state and federal laws. All mice were housed in the Harvard Biolabs Animal Facility under standard conditions. Both male and female C57BL/6 mice (JAX no. 000664) were used at 2–3 months of age for characterization of Gdf11 expression. Old mice used were 18 months of age.

To generate our tamoxifen-inducible Gdf11 knockout mouse, mice containing a floxed Gdf11 allele with loxP sites flanking exons 2 and 3 of Gdf11 [1] were bred with Tg(cre/Esr1)5Amc/J mice, obtained from Jackson labs (JAX no. 004682) [11]. All mice were on a C57BL/6 background. Genotyping was performed using primers that distinguish Gdf11 wt, flox, Δ alleles and CreER+ or CreER− status. Primers used are summarized in Additional file 2: Table 1. We used age-matched male and female KO and control mice for all analyses.
Tamoxifen preparation and administration
To activate the latent CreERT recombinase, Gdf1 tKO and control mice were administered tamoxifen (TAM) via intraperitoneal (IP) injections once daily for three consecutive days starting at 2–3 months of age. TAM was dissolved in a corn oil/10% ethanol solution and injected at a concentration of 0.02 mg/gram body weight. For all litters, Cre-ER negative and Cre-ER positive mice were mated in order to generate littermate control within each experiment.

5-Bromo-2′-deoxyuridine injections
5-Bromo-2′-deoxyuridine (BrdU) (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis) was dissolved in sterile PBS at a concentration of 10 mg/mL and prepared fresh for each daily use. An intraperitoneal injection of BrdU (100 mg/kg body weight) was administered once daily for three consecutive days starting at day 24 following the final injection of tamoxifen.

RNA isolation, cDNA synthesis, and quantitative PCR
For all qPCR experiments, tissue was isolated and snap frozen in liquid nitrogen. Tissues were homogenized in Trizol (Thermo Fisher) and the aqueous phase was collected according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The aqueous phase was mixed with equal volume of 70% ethanol, purified using the RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen), and final RNA concentration was calculated using NanoDrop. RNA samples were reverse transcribed into cDNA with the iScript cDNA synthesis kit (Bio-Rad no. 170-8891) following the manufacturer’s instructions. The resulting cDNA was then processed for qPCR analysis with predesigned primers and the Fast SYBR Green Master Mix (Life Technologies no.4385614) in a QuantStudio 12 K Flex Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems). PCR primer sequences are listed in Additional file 1: Table 2. The fold change in gene expression was determined by the 2^{−DDCT} method [41], and all values were normalized to the endogenous expression of Hprt; a housekeeping gene. Each sample was repeated in technical triplicates.

RNAscope in situ hybridization
Tissue processing
For sample preparation, mice were CO2 anesthetized, and brains were rapidly extracted and embedded in OCT (Tissue Tek) on dry ice, and then stored at −80 °C until further processing. We collected 14-μm cryostat sections and RNAscope hybridizations were carried out according to the manufacturer’s instructions, using the RNAscope Multiplex Fluorescent Manual Assay kit (Advanced Cell Diagnostics, #320850). Briefly, thawed sections were dehydrated in sequential incubations with ethanol, followed by 30-min Protease IV treatment and washing in 1× PBS. Appropriate combinations of hybridization probes were incubated for 2 h at 40 °C, followed by four amplification steps, 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) counterstaining, and mounting with Prolong Gold mounting medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific no. P36930). For single-probe analysis, probes were labeled with the fluorophore Atto-550 nm. For each mouse, 3–4 bregma-matched sections were imaged. Images were acquired with a Zeiss LSM 880 Confocal Microscope with identical settings across all samples and represented as maximum intensity projections of acquired confocal z stacks. For coexpression analysis, cell-type specific probes were labeled by fluorophore Alexa-488 nm or Atto-647 nm, while target probes were labeled by fluorophore Atto-550 nm. An empty channel was collected for every image processed to account for any potential autofluorescence. For a complete summary of each RNAscope probe tested see Additional file 2: Table 2.

Quantification
The most current version of CellProfiler at the time (v.3) was downloaded and used for quantification with a custom image analysis pipeline [42]. Only puncta with a diameter between 4 and 8 pixels that were located within the perinuclear space (defined as within 70 pixels of the DAPI-positive nuclei) were quantified. To minimize the quantification of background puncta, puncta detection settings were optimized with RNAscope micrographs collected using a negative control probe (DapB, dihydrodipicolinate reductase, a Bacillus subtilis bacterial gene). To address potential concerns surrounding cross-detection of Gdf11 with its highly homologous family member Mstn, RNAscope was performed comparing Gdf11 probe and Mstn probes (Additional file 1: Fig. 2). A technical limitation to note is the use of a standardized distance measure for defining the perinuclear space around DAPI positive nuclei and different segmentation techniques may result in slightly different assignment of puncta per cell.

Immunohistochemistry
Tissue processing
For preparation of free-floating tissue sections, mice were sacrificed at indicated time points and perfused transcardially with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in PBS under deep anesthesia. Brains were removed and postfixed overnight in 4% PFA. Brains were then transferred to 30% sucrose for 24 h before being embedded in OCT (Tissue Tek) on dry ice. Each brain was cut using a Leica CM1950 cryostat and immunostaining was performed using 30-μm free-floating coronal sections. Sections were
permeabilized and blocked in 10% normal goat or donkey serum and 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS for 1 h at room temperature. For BrdU immunostaining, sections were pre-treated with 2 M HCL for 30 min at 37C prior to permeabilization and blocking steps. Sections were then incubated overnight at 4C with primary antibodies. Primary antibodies and dilutions used for IHC are summarized in Additional file 2: Table 3. Alexa Flour 488, 568, 647 secondary antibodies were used at 1:500 dilution in 1% normal goat or donkey serum in PBS for 1 h at room temperature. DAPI (4′,6-Diamidino-2-Phenylindole, Dilactate) was used to label nuclei (Life Technologies #D3571). Coverslip mounting was performed using Dako Fluorescence Mounting Medium (Agilent #S302380-2).

Quantification
The most current version of QuPath at the time, v0.2.02, was downloaded from the QuPath homepage located at Github (https://QuPath.github.io/). QuPath allows the user to determine how much RAM it may access at once; we allowed it 6 GB and all analysis was done under this constraint. The 2-part Bio-Formats extension necessary to open Zeiss confocal image filetypes were downloaded and installed. Part 1 was from the Bio-Formats homepage (http://www.openmicroscopy.org/bio-formats/downloads/) and Part 2, specific to QuPath, was downloaded from the QuPath Github page (https://github.com/qupath/qupath-bioformats-extension).

We created a new “Project” within QuPath for each stain analyzed, in order to allow for quantification of the entire project automatically with the appropriate code, rather than re-executing the steps for each image. All projects included a tissue area detection step where we manually traced the region of interest labeled by DAPI. All images analyzed were maximum intensity projections of z-stacks acquired on a Zeiss LSM 880 confocal microscope. Sections analyzed were always bregma matched sections. Neural stem cells were classified as Sox2+ cells which co-expressed GFAP and exhibited radial morphology. Amplifying progenitor cells were classified as Sox2+ cells which failed to meet the definition of neural stem cells. Quantification of both cell types identified were expressed as number of cells per mm².

- **Proliferation**: the number of Ki67+ cells per granular cell layer (GCL) were counted in a 1-in-18 series of sections (540 um apart) throughout the hippocampus and immunopositive cells were summed across sections and expressed as number per mm².
- **Neuroblasts and immature neurons**: the total number of DCX+ cells per GCL were counted in bregma matched sections. Neuroblasts were classified as DCX+ cells which did not express the neuronal marker NeuN. Immature neurons were classified as DCX+ cells which co-expressed NeuN. Quantification of both cell types identified were expressed as number of cells per mm².
- **Newborn neurons**: the number of BrdU+ cells per GCL were counted in a 1-in-18 series of Sections (540 um apart) throughout the hippocampus and immunopositive cells were summed across bregma matched sections and expressed as number per mm². Newborn neurons were classified as BrdU+ cells which co-expressed the neuronal marker NeuN.

Imaging equipment and settings
Images were acquired using Zeiss LSM 880 Confocal Microscope with either ×10, ×20, or ×40 magnification. Lasers used include the following: Alexa Flour 488, Atto 550, and Atto 647.

Serum collection and LC–MS/MS analysis
Mice were anesthetized, decapitated, and trunk blood was transferred to serum separator tubes (VWR #VT365967). Tubes were spun at 2000 g for 5 min, and serum was transferred to clean low-binding microcentrifuge tubes and stored at −80 °C until further processing. Samples (100 μL) were submitted to the Brigham Research Assay Core at Brigham and Women’s Hospital and liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (LC–MS/MS) was performed as described previously[43].

Statistical analysis
All statistical analyses were performed using the GraphPad Prism software. Results were expressed as mean±standard error unless otherwise specified. Comparisons between groups were made by two-tailed Student’s t-test when appropriate. Statistical significance was designated as p<0.05 or p<0.01 within each figure shown.
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BBB: Blood–brain barrier; BrdU: Bromodeoxyuridine; CNS: Central Nervous System; DG: Dentate gyrus; GCL: Granular cell layer; GDF11: Growth Differentiation Factor 11; IP: Intraperitoneal; NSC: Neural stem cell; OPC: Oligodendrocyte precursor cell; rGDF11: Recombinant GDF11; SGZ: Subgranular zone; SVZ: Subventricular zone; TAM: Tamoxifen; TGF-β: Transforming growth factor-beta.
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Additional file 1: Figure 1. Gdf11 coexpression data in nonneuronal cells of the choroid plexus and hippocampal dentate gyrus. Representative RNAscope micrographs from a 3-month-old male C57BL/6 mouse probed for Gdf11 (white), probed for a cell specific marker (pink or green), and stained with DAPI (blue). A) Cell types probed include: SVZ neuroblasts (Dcx), endothelial cells (Pecam1), and choroid plexus epithelial cells (Itg8). B) Cell types probed include: oligodendrocyte precursor cells (OPCs) (Pdgfra), microglia (Itgam), and endothelial cells (Pecam1). Gray boxes mark the regions chosen for the enlarged inserts below each micrograph shown. Scale bar = 20 μm. Figure 2. Gdf11 is expressed at higher levels than Mstn in young adult dentate gyrus. A) Representative RNAscope micrographs from a 3-month-old male C57BL/6 mouse probed for Gdf11 (white, left panel) or Mstn (white, right panel). B) Bar graph depicting the quantification of RNAscope data (n = 4 mice, error bars represent SEM). Figure 3. Gdf11 expression in the hippocampus does not change with age. A) Representative RNAscope micrographs from either a young (3 month old) or old (18 month old) male C57BL/6 mouse probed for Gdf11 (white puncta) and stained with DAPI (blue). Scale bar = 20 μm. B) Quantification of RNAscope data (mean of 4 mice per age studied, error bars depict SEM, no within region comparisons were statistically significant). C) Quantitative PCR analysis of Gdf11 expression in hippocampus tissue isolated from young and old male C57BL/6 mice (n = 3 young hippocampi vs n = 4 old hippocampi). Hprt was used as a housekeeping gene. Relative mRNA levels for all samples are normalized to the young mice age group and error bars depict SEM. Statistics were calculated using two-tailed t-test. Figure 4. Comparing quantification of Gdf11 by RNAscope and qPCR in Gdf11.flox/flox mice vs controls. A) Scatterplot showing the quantification of RNAscope performed on mouse hippocampi (dentate gyrus) probed for Gdf11. N = 4 GDF11.flox/flox brains and n = 4 controls (2 Gdf11.flox/flox and 2 CAG-CreER/GDF11.flox/flox mice). B) Quantitative PCR analysis of Gdf11 expression in isolated hippocampi from Gdf11.flox/flox, Gdf11.flox/flox, and CAG-CreER, GDF11 flox/flox mice. Hprt was used as a housekeeping gene. Relative mRNA levels for all samples are normalized to control mice, and error bars depict SEM. Statistics were calculated using a two-tailed t-test.

Additional file 2: Table 1. Primer Sequences. Table 2. RNAscope Probes. Table 3. Primary antibodies and dilutions.
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