Neanderthals on the Lower Danube: Middle Palaeolithic evidence in the Danube Gorges of the Balkans
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ABSTRACT: The article presents evidence about the Middle Palaeolithic and Middle to Upper Palaeolithic transition interval in the karst area of the Danube Gorges in the Lower Danube Basin. We review the extant data and present new evidence from two recently investigated sites found on the Serbian side of the Danube River – Tabula Traiana and Dubočka-Kozja caves. The two sites have yielded layers dating to both the Middle and Upper Palaeolithic and have been investigated by the application of modern standards of excavation and recovery along with a suite of state-of-the-art analytical procedures. The presentation focuses on micromorphological analyses of the caves’ sediments, characterisation of cryptotephra, a suite of new radiometric dates (accelerator mass spectrometry and optically stimulated luminescence) as well as proteomics (zooarchaeology by mass spectrometry) and stable isotope data in discerning patterns of human occupation of these locales over the long term.
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Introduction

There is a dearth of well-researched and dated Palaeolithic sequences in large parts of the Balkans with uneven quality of the extant data. Our understanding remains coarse-grained even though this region must have represented a key land route along which hominin populations expanded northwards and westwards from Asia Minor at various times during early prehistory. Like other areas of southern Europe, the Balkans and the riparian zone of the Danube catchment (Fig. 1), as an important migration conduit, likely acted as a refugium at different times within the pattern of ebb and flow fluctuations in the occurrence and displacement of different animal, plant and hominin taxa over the long term. Recently, the importance of the Danube River corridor as a route for hominin dispersal and a zone of high resource productivity is emerging through new discoveries and the re-evaluation of previous Palaeolithic finds in the wider catchment of the southern Carpathian Basin in Romania, Bulgaria and Serbia (e.g. Anghelinu et al., 2012; Bălțean, 2011; Chu, 2018; Hauck et al., 2018; Mihailović et al., 2011; Tsanova, 2008).

Over the past decade or so, there has been a growing impetus in various parts of the region to discover and investigate Palaeolithic sites, both in caves and in open-air locations, as well as to acquire high-resolution data with modern standards of data collection, recording and analysis (e.g. Alex et al., 2019; Borić et al., 2012; Boschian et al., 2017; Chu et al., 2014, 2015; Dogandžić et al., 2014; Fewlss et al., 2020; Harvati and Roksandic, 2016; Hübner et al., 2020; Iovița et al., 2014; Karavani et al., 2012; Mandić and Borić, 2015;...
Figure 1. Principal sites with Middle and Initial/Early Upper Palaeolithic sequences in south-eastern Europe. Bathymetric contours show the drop of sea levels -110 m; source: the General Bathymetric Chart of the Oceans (GEBCO) https://www.gebco.net/data_and_products/gridded_bathymetry_data/. Base map prepared by Andrea Zupancich. Sites: 1. Asprochaliko; 2. Bacho Kiro; 3. Baranica; 4. Bioče; 5. Coșava I; 6. Crevna Stijena; 7. Crevna Ać; 8. Gajtan; 9. Golema Pelt; 10. Hadži Prodanova; 11. Klissoura; 12. Kozarnika; 13. Krapina; 14. Lakonis; 15. Londza; 16. Mujina; 17. Pešturina; 18. Petrovaradin; 19. Românești-Dumbrăvita; 20. Salitrena; 21. Samuilitsa II; 22. Smoluča; 23. Temnata; 24. Theopetra; 25. Tinkova; 26. Vindija; 27. Zobište. [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

Figure 2. Sites with Middle and Upper Palaeolithic sequences in the Danube Gorges area. Base map elevation data source: ASTER GDEM (‘ASTER GDEM is a product of METI and NASA’) courtesy NASA/JPL-Caltech. Figure prepared by Karol Wehr and Dušan Borić.
Marin-Arroyo and Mihailović, 2017; Mihailović, 2009, 2020; Sirakov et al., 2010). A part of these efforts by several different research teams is the project, whose first results are presented here, that aims to acquire novel data about the character of the Middle to Upper Palaeolithic transitional interval along the ‘Danube corridor’ by investigating the karstic region of the Danube Gorges in the north-central Balkans (Fig. 2). The region’s importance in later prehistoric periods is already well established by the rich cultural record of terminal Pleistocene–early Holocene Mesolithic forager cultures in the Danube Gorges (Bonsall, 2008; Borić, 2011). Despite years of minimal Palaeolithic research in the Lower Danube Basin, new discoveries are beginning to unlock the potential of this catchment as a hotspot of Palaeolithic archaeology that will shed light on cultural innovation and adaptation during this critical period of human history. We remain interested in better understanding the role of the Lower Danube Basin as a major communication corridor in the transmission of people as well as cognitive, cultural and social novelties in relation to its natural affordances (aspects of terrain, geography and resource bioavailability) throughout the Middle to Upper Palaeolithic in order to define the specific ‘pull’ factors of this regional context.

In this paper, we present recently collected data from two previously unknown sites found in the area of the Danube Gorges of the wider Lower Danube Basin. Here, the Danube Valley might have acted as an important conduit for the movement of people and animals during different stadial and interstadial conditions. The Danube Gorges are dominated by karstic terrain containing numerous caves and rock shelters (e.g. Constantin et al., 2001). Landscape features such as these commonly preserve both cultural remains, as they have been favoured by hominins seeking shelter for much of the Quaternary, and sediments that can provide important palaeoenvironmental data. Two discussed cave sites – Dubočka-Kozja and Tabula Traiana caves – are characterised by Levallois-based industries along with the likely presence of Early Upper Palaeolithic assemblages at Tabula Traiana Cave (TT). The first site is in the immediate hinterland of the Danube (~10 km) while the second is found directly on the steep banks of the Danube River. In this paper, we summarise the characteristics of knapped stone industries and associated faunal remains from the two sites before presenting new results of accelerator mass spectrometry (AMS) dating of anthropically modified bones and optically stimulated luminescence (OSL) dating of sediments from both sites. Geomorphological observations, micromorphological analyses of sediments, as well as zooarchaeology by mass spectrometry (ZooMS) and stable isotope analyses on faunal remains are further integrated with other available data. Finally, the paper contextualises this new data with other broadly contemporaneous sites in the Balkans and examines to what extent this dataset can fit the refugia model for southern European peninsulas during different phases of the Pleistocene and how these new datasets fit what we currently know about the last Neanderthals and first modern humans in Europe.

Sites’ description

Tabula Traiana Cave

Tabula Traiana Cave (N44° 39’ 26.1606”, E22° 18’ 41.1006”, i.e. UTM 7604444 E, 4946683 N) was discovered in the immediate vicinity of the Roman stone inscription Tabula Traiana, after which it bears its name, during a survey conducted in the course of the collaborative project ‘Prehistory of North-East Serbia’ between the Departments of Archaeology of the University of Cambridge and the University of Belgrade in 2004. The cave is situated in the karstic massif of the Miroč mountain, downstream from the Kazan Gorge of the Danube (Fig. 3). The whole stretch of the Golija Brda karst sloping towards the Danube bears the name Faca Peščeri in the local Vlach dialect and means the ‘Face with Caves’. Near TT, several other smaller cave openings, some filled with sediments, have been found. No archaeological material was found on cave floors and no test trenches have been placed in the deposits of these caves, with a detailed test trenching of these prospective sites planned in the near future. The access to TT is difficult, with only a barely visible pathway descending from the present-day arterial road number 25/1 (Đerdapska magistrala). TT is found some 22–23 m above the present level of the Danube at an altitude of 90–91 m a.s.l. (Fig. 4).

The cave entrance has a western exposure and is about 4.5 m high and 4 m wide at the base. Its cross section is triangle-shaped, with a clearly visible initial fracture. A small terrace is found in front of TT with a large collapsed block of the cave roof, visible upon excavation, that slid down the sloping side in continuation of the north-western cave wall. TT is developed along a single fracture striking in a west–east direction and was further shaped by water erosion from the hinterland. Today it is out of hydrological function and there are only dripwaters at certain times of the year. Inside, the floor is horizontal for the first 13 m and covered by thick, relatively well-sorted sediments closer to the surface, while very large blocks of collapsed rock and rubble are more prominently found towards the bottom of the stratigraphic sequence (see below for a more detailed description and micromorphological analysis of excavated cave sediments and stratigraphic units). The walls are strongly corroded by long-lasting seepage of mildly acidic karst water. The first part of the cave with a thick layer of sediments ends in a cascade inclined upwards that leads towards the back of the cave, with the back chamber devoid of sediments. There are several chimneys, which end blindly (for more geomorphological details, see Mandić and Borić 2015).

In 2004, a small test pit (Trench 1/2004, 2 × 2 m) was dug at the cave’s entrance. Another trench (Trench 1/2005, 4 × 1.5 m) was opened in the central part of the main chamber in 2005. In 2008, a 1 m wide extension was made along the northern profile of Trench 1/2005, while in the same year another trench (2/2008, 1.5 × 3 m) was dug closer to the cave entrance, with its eastern section linked to Trench 1/2004. Consequently, Trench 2/2008 was extended towards the interior of the cave to connect this outer trench with the area excavated inside the cave (Trench 3/2008, 2.5 × 1 m), thus obtaining a continuous longitudinal cross section of the cave’s stratigraphic sequence. In 2009, a trench was dug in the back of the main cave chamber in continuation of Trench 1/2005, with a 0.5 m wide baulk separating the two trenches. In 2013, 2017 and 2019, excavations focused on the cave terrace and the zone along the southern cave wall (14 m²), connecting this outer zone with the cave interior. To date, in total, a horizontal area of 44 m² has been investigated in TT, albeit not all parts of the cave have been investigated to the same depth.

The latest occupation (~130–230 cm below TL) is dated to the Early Iron Age (Basarabi and Kalakăcă-Gorne style of pottery) (Kapuran et al., 2007). There were also sporadic finds of late Roman and early Medieval pottery, possibly associated with a pit dug at the entrance of the cave. Only a few pottery fragments can be dated to the Eneolithic period and are stylistically related to the Coțofeni pottery style. A sterile layer separates the late Holocene occupation (SU 200, 201 and 203) from Pleistocene levels (Fig. 5).
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During excavations, two major sets of stratigraphic units were clearly distinguished within the Pleistocene deposits, based on the physical properties of sediments. The upper stratigraphic units of Pleistocene age (SU 43, 90, 207, 217) characterised by ashy yellowish brown to greyish brown calcareous silt contained a very low density of knapped flint of largely good knapping quality \( (n = 12) \) and a large number of animal bones. These upper deposits have a sharp and distinct boundary with lower stratigraphic units characterised by reddish brown silt loam and an abundance of subangular limestone clasts (Fig. 6). In the central part of the interior of the cave, a fireplace was discovered at the bottom of the upper Pleistocene age chronostratigraphic units (Fig. 5). The lower levels (SU 206, 209, 211, 221, 226) yielded a larger, albeit still a relatively small, assemblage of knapped stone artefacts \( (n = 150) \) compared with the upper levels. These artefacts were made on a local range of raw materials of poor knapping quality, such as quartzite (c. 45%) and quartz (c. 45%) (cf. Gurova et al., 2016).

While a more detailed description of the character of the assemblage of knapped stone tools from this site will be provided elsewhere, some of the characteristic pieces from the two major chronostratigraphic horizons are shown in Fig. 7. Although only a very few artefacts were found in the relatively thick deposits of the upper Pleistocene-age horizons, several of these are suggestive of the Early Upper Palaeolithic (EUP) industries, such as Protoaurignacian or Early Aurignacian: a blade with bilateral continuous retouch, a Dufour bladelet, an
Figure 4. Plan of TT with excavation areas and locations of radiometric and sediment samples. Asterisk marks samples that produced results beyond the limit of radiocarbon dating. [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

Figure 5. A representative west-east stratigraphic section at TT with the location of micromorphological samples. [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

Figure 6. A representative north-south stratigraphic section at TT with the location of micromorphological and OSL samples. [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
unretouched bladelet typical of prismatic-core reduction, and a bladelet with a right and distal direct marginal retouch. In contrast to this small assemblage dominated by curated artefacts indicative of ephemeral visitations of the cave by likely modern humans, the assemblage from the lower chronostratigraphic units is characterised by a low density of tools (only a few scrapers, notches and denticulates) along with the dominance of Levallois flakes, rare laminar blanks and several irregular, single and opposed platform cores ($n=7$). This latter assemblage could confidently be assigned to Middle Palaeolithic (MP) industries and, by proxy, Neanderthals. Spatially, finds in lower chronostratigraphic units are more abundant in the cave entrance area and on the cave terrace, while few Upper Palaeolithic (UP) tools are found both deeper in the first chamber of the cave and on the terrace.

The detailed results of the complete morphological, taphonomic and spatial analyses of the faunal assemblage from TT is forthcoming, and it suffices to say here that this large assemblage is characterised by the dominance of ibex Capra ibex in both the MP and UP levels, while red deer Cervus elaphus is also relatively well represented among the herbivorous taxa. Based on the presence in the assemblage of several predatory carnivore species, such as the cave lion Panthera spelaea, cave hyena Crocuta spelaea, leopard Panthera pardus, cave bear Ursus spelaeus, brown bear Ursus arctos, wolf Canis lupus, marten Martes sp., lynx Lynx lynx, wild cat Felis silvestris and fox Vulpes vulpes, it is likely that agents of animal bone accumulation were both hominins and carnivores (cf. Milošević 2020). Among small mammals, hare Lepus europaeus, beaver Castor fiber, Insectivora, Chiroptera, Arvicolidae, and Muridae have also been identified. Fish remains of the genus Silurus and family Acipenseridae are also present.

**Dubočka-Kozja**

The Dubočka cave system was among the first caves in Serbia to be scientifically studied at the end of the 19th century and it contributed to the establishment of karstology in that country. The cave’s first mapping and morphological description were made by the celebrated Serbian geographer and geomorphologist Jovan Cvijić (1895a; 1895b), who named it ‘Velika Pećina (Big Cave) in the village of Duboka’, while subsequently the name Dubočka Cave became the most used. A more recent description and analysis was provided by Branislav Jovanović (1951; see also, more recent findings by Zlokolica-Mandić et al., 2003). The main cave with its large entrance, which is 20 m high and 25 m wide, also has an alternative local name Gaura Mare (meaning Big Cave in the Vlach language). It is situated 2.5 km from the village of Duboka at the end of the Valja Mare Valley in the zone of the isolated fluvio-karst of Dubočka Rudina (with the summit at
514 m a.s.l.) known as Veliki Krš (Fig. 8). The cave is formed in Tithonian limestones overlaid by Lower Cretaceous limestones and is situated 11 km from the banks of the Danube as the crow flies. Dubočka Cave is one of the longest caves in Serbia with the total length of 2734 m. It consists of the main channel (1010 m), characterised by an expressive erosional morphology, two longer lateral passages – Rusaljkin or First (472 m) and Glinoviti or Second (590 m) – and several smaller

Figure 8. 1: Photogrammetry-derived Dubočka Cave area orthomosaic overlying the World Imagery dataset (Esri composite – 1 m cell size in southeastern Europe) demonstrating the improved quality for the investigated area when compared with openly accessible imagery datasets; 2: Dubočka area orthomosaic (in greyscale, for contrast) and the outline of the underlying cave systems (after Zlokolica-Mandić et al., 2003: 108); 3: Snapshot of the Dubočka area 3D model. [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
channels. The cave is characterised by a complex set of secondary morphological characteristics (e.g. chambers, erosional pots, niches, cave karren) and complex processes of clastic and chemical sedimentation. In the Second lateral passage, a ‘High passage’ was discovered two decades ago above a 7 m high wall. In one of the chambers along this upper level, the remains of several cave bears Ursus spelaeus, some still in articulation and covered by a calcium carbonate crust with stalagmites, were discovered, possibly suggesting the existence of a previously passable, but presently closed connection to the modern topographic surface, which is now at c. 20 m above this chamber (Dimitrijević et al., 2002). Dubočka Cave is seasonally hydrologically active with the Ponorska River, originating below Kornjacec at 500 m a.s.l., periodically passing through the main channel of the cave during wet seasons, and springing up in front of the cave’s entrance, after which it forms a canyon with cascades and a waterfall. The Ponorska River is the right tributary of the Duboka River, which drains into the Pek River.

Approaching the main entrance of Dubočka Cave, on the right side, there is an opening of a small cave known as Ovčja (translated as ‘goat’s cave’). On the left side of the entrance, on the steep side of the karst massif located some 30 m directly above the Ponorska River Cave, with a difficult approach and an eastern exposure, there is another relatively large opening (5.6 m wide and 11 m high) known as Kozja (translated as ‘sheep’s cave’) (Fig. 9). While Dubočka-Ovčja remains untested, archaeological investigations have so far focused on sediments of Dubočka-Kozja (DK) (N44° 33’ 6.12”, E21° 45’ 59.5’’, i.e. UTM 756134,584 E, 4934278.094 N), where the remains of different prehistoric periods have been documented and repeated here for the first time. In 2011, our archaeological team visited DK and noted an illicit digging of a trench along the southern cave wall and possibly also in the small back chamber. Subsequently, a 3.5 × 2 m test trench was excavated in the central part of the cave yielding a relatively thin layer of topmost archaeological strata with ceramic finds dating to later prehistory (Copper and Iron Ages) below which were c. 50 cm of cultural strata dating to different phases of the Late Pleistocene based on the characteristics of the discovered lithic industry. Another almost completely filled cave opening (Dubočka 1) is found in the same karstic massif above the Duboka River, some 50 m to the right of the main entrance to Dubočka Cave. A test trench made in this cave has shown a deep stratification of cultural layers and so far, only the layers with the remains of later prehistoric periods have been reached.

Relatively shallow Holocene and Pleistocene palimpsest deposits (~60–80 cm deep) are discovered in DK in the area marked as Trench 1/2013, where excavations have reached the bedrock (Fig. 10). The top levels (SU 1, 2 and 9) of grey colour and ashy consistency are characterised by the presence of organic matter and contained Late Copper Age and Iron Age ceramics and other finds. A large and deep recent robber trench (SU3) significantly damaged Pleistocene sediments beneath. At the interface of Holocene and Pleistocene sediments sits the layer of loose sediment deposits of light colour (SU2, Munsell pale brown 2.5Y 7.3). The main Pleistocene stratigraphic unit (SU4) consists of relatively homogeneous deposits of yellowish brown colour (Munsell 10YR 5/8) with a diffuse boundary between the lower and upper parts of this unit. A couple of other Pleistocene stratigraphic units (5, 10) have also been defined, all containing a fair amount of subangular limestone clasts.

Pleistocene levels at DK have provided a relatively large assemblage of knapped stones from a relatively small excavation area. While a more detailed publication of this assemblage is forthcoming, a summary of the analysis is provided here. The raw material is almost exclusively flint of relatively good knapping quality. Nodules of similar looking flint can be found in the riverbed of the Ponorska River at the entrance to the nearby Dubočka Cave and might have been transported by water from primary deposits. While this would indicate a high availability of good quality materials in the immediate vicinity of DK, there are several artefacts (e.g. Fig. 12-14) that are macroscopically identified as the so-called ‘ balkan flint’, i.e. yellow white-spotted flint that originates in northern Bulgaria, probably from Upper Cretaceous chalk and chalk-like limestones (Campanian and Maastrichtian age) in the Pleven-Nikopol region (cf. Gurova et al., 2016), more than 150 km from DK. The structure of the assemblage (n = 1578) is as follows: debitage at 76.2%, tools at 21.2%, cores at 1.26% and other at 1.4%. The debitage (n = 1202) comprises flakes (43.4%), blades (1.4%), bladelets (3.1%), core trimming elements (8.1%), chips (39.7%) and chunks (4.3%). Among tools (n = 356, Figs. 11 and 12) retouched flakes dominate (34.1%), followed by denticulates (15.3%), endscrapers (10.8%), notches (7.5%), scrapers (6.6%), retouched blades (4.2%), borers (3.3%), composite tools (3%) points (1.5%), retouched bladelets (1.2%) and retouched core trimming (1.2%), as well as backed bladelets, limbaxes, backed blades, geometrics (the last four categories all below 1%), and other (9.4%). There are 24 cores, among which are one centripetal core (4.1%) (Fig. 12:22), four Levallois cores (16.2%) (Fig. 12:23–26), irregular multiple platform cores (8.3%), one unpatterned multiple platform core (4.1%), one single platform core (4.1%), core/tools (8.3%) and residual cores (37.5%), all characterised by a very high level of exploitation. Most of the flint assemblage appears homogeneous, and the choice of raw materials is noticeably distinct from flint deposited in the Holocene levels. Artefacts are also found more densely in the lowermost levels of the sequence. While the assemblage does contain some UP tool types (endscrapers, borers, points), the character of the assemblage based on various characteristics (reduction strategies, highly reduced/retouched artefacts, Levallois cores and flakes, domination of retouched flakes with thick profiles, denticulates, faceted and gull-wing/ chapeau de gendarme platforms) can be defined as MP and, by proxy, Neanderthal.

A relatively small Pleistocene faunal assemblage from DK is highly fragmented and taphonomically altered and the full results of the analysis will be published elsewhere. Among the identifiable remains, the dental remains of cave bear Ursus spelaeus dominate, and ibex Capra ibex, wolf Canis lupus, marmot Mammuta marmota, and various bird remains are also identified in very small numbers.

Materials and methods

Unmanned aerial vehicle landscape mapping

Drawing on the experiences of other projects utilising cost-effective mapping of the landscape in an archaeological context (Verhoeven, 2009; Comer and Harrower, 2013; Casana et al., 2014; Jorayev et al., 2016; Thomas, 2016, and others), the areas surrounding the excavated caves in the Danube Gorges were recorded using an unmanned aerial vehicle and photogrammetric methods were employed to generate 3D and 2D georeferenced outputs. The team used a DJI Phantom 4 Pro Unmanned Aerial Vehicle with a 20 megapixel CMOS sensor for photography and a handheld GPS unit for ground control points recording. The Dubočka cave area was recorded over four days and the TT cave area over
Figure 9. Plan of DK with excavation areas and locations of radiometric samples.
two days in late July 2017. Photos were taken at an interval of 3 s at an average altitude of 107 m for the Dubočka cave area, and 115 m for the TT cave area, due to the differences in terrain and vegetation, during manually controlled flights. The datasets were then processed using Agisoft Photoscan (now Agisoft Metashape), Pix4D Mapper and refined using Meshlab (for 3D models) and ArcGIS (for 2D raster datasets) software. The resulting orthomosaics, digital elevation models and 3D models were reconstructed from 2860 images covering an area of 1.9 km² and 2442 images covering an area of 0.81 km² for DK and TT, respectively. The outputs created were orthomosaics with 2.67 cm and 2.63 cm cell size, digital surface models with 10.7 cm and 6.4 cm cell sizes and 3D models of the areas consisting of 15.6 million faces and 24.9 million faces, respectively.

Sediment micromorphology

In 2008, CAIF took samples from the northern section of Trench 1/2005 (Fig. 5) for micromorphological and associated multi-element and palynological analyses from a Palaeolithic fireplace area and uppermost late prehistoric levels at TT. The soil thin-section samples (Table 1) were prepared using the methodology of Murphy (1986) and described using the accepted terminology of Bullock et al. (1985) and Stoops (2003). The geochemical samples were processed using the ICP-AES multi-element analysis process by Als Chemex (www.alschemex.com; 35 element aqua regia ICP-AES, method ICP-41).

In 2017, KG took additional micromorphological samples at TT (Fig. 6) and DK (Fig. 10) on profiles brought into light during previous excavations. This time, 11 undisturbed sediment monoliths for thin-section preparation were collected from TT and eight from DK (Tables 2 and 3). Samples for micromorphological analysis were collected from the profiles, approximately one per unit, or at the boundary between units to observe the boundary morphology. The monoliths were air-dried at 30°C in a ventilated oven until dry. The thin sections were cut by a diamond disc and ground to 30 μm by corundum abrasive powders. The size of all slides is 90 x 55 mm. Thin sections were observed with a standard petrographic microscope at magnifications ranging from 4x to 40x under plane-polarised light (PPL), cross-polarised light (XPL) and oblique-incident light. The descriptions follow the guidelines proposed by Stoops (2003), Bullock et al. (1985) and Stoops et al. (2010).

Cryptotephra investigations

In June 2010, sediment samples for distal cryptotephra analyses were collected from prepared stratigraphic sections at TT. Sampling involved collection of 20–30 g of in situ sediment at 2 cm consecutive and contiguous intervals along continuous vertical profiles. Tephra Column 1 (west-facing section of quadrant 3/28, Trench 1/2005) yielded 30 samples spanning stratigraphic units 201–209 (0.20–0.80 m depth below surface) (Fig. 6). Tephra Column 2 (north-facing section of quadrants 5/22–5/23 boundary, Trench 3/2008) produced 55 samples from units 217–220 (0.10–1.20 m depth below surface). All samples were identified with reference to the site datum and other relevant provenience information.

In the laboratory, cryptotephra searches were carried out in two stages: first at low (6–8 cm) depth resolution, by amalgamation of sub-samples from 3–4 adjacent sediment bags; then where tephra shards were found at this resolution, the individual 2 cm bag samples were processed again to further pinpoint the sediment depth containing the tephra layer. At all stages, samples were processed using the non-destructive density separation method described in Blockley et al. (2005). This method concentrates the sediment fraction most likely to contain volcanic glass shards according to grain size and density. The resultant residue was examined under a high-powered polarised microscope and the number of tephra glass shards was counted. Shard counts for the 2 cm depth samples were quantified per gram of dry sediment (s/g).

Individual tephra glass shards were picked out by hand and mounted onto an epoxy resin stub for compositional analysis. Major and minor element oxide concentrations (Table 4) were
FIGURE 11  Continued.
measured on the JEOL JXA8600 electron microscope in the Research Laboratory for Archaeology and the History of Art, University of Oxford (15 kV accelerating voltage, 6 nA beam current, 10 μm defocused beam). Trace element concentrations (Table 5) were measured by laser ablation inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (LA-ICP-MS), using the Agilent 7500 ICP-MS coupled to a 193 nm Resonetics ArF eximer laser ablation system in the Department of Earth Sciences, Royal Holloway University of London (instrumental conditions followed Tomlinson et al., 2010: 5 Hz repetition rate, 40 s sample/gas blank count, 25 μm spot size, NIST 612 calibration standard, 29Si internal standard element). Secondary standard glasses were run alongside all tephra analyses to monitor instrumental precision and accuracy.

**Microscopic examination of cutmarks on bones**

A select number of bone specimens have undergone a microscopic inspection by means of a Zeiss Axio Zoom stereomicroscope (magnification from 10× and 178×) aimed at recognising anthropic traces related to butchery, namely cutmarks, distinguishing them from non-anthropogenic modifications (e.g. carnivore and rodent gnaw marks, weathering, root etching and signs of fungal activity), which can mimic human traces on bones (Behrensmeyer, 1978; Shipman, 1981; Fisher, 1995; Lyman, 1994). Archaeological cutmarks were identified through comparison with an experimental reference collection at the DANTE – Diet and Ancient Technology laboratory of Sapienza University of Rome and following criteria widely accepted in literature (Binford, 1981; Blumenschine et al., 1996; Fernández-Jalvo et al., 1999).

**AMS dates and stable isotope analyses**

AMS dates were processed at the Oxford Radiocarbon Accelerator Unit (ORAU), Oxford University, in 2007 (four measurements from TT), 2013 (one measurement from DK) and 2017 (nine measurements from DK and 11 measurements from TT) (Table 6) using collagen extraction (Law and Hedges 1989), followed by the revised gelatinisation and filtration protocol described by Bronk Ramsey et al. (2004a), and dated by AMS as outlined in Bronk Ramsey et al. (2004b). One bone sample (AA-63887) was processed in the NSF Arizona AMS facility in 2004.

In total, 14 animal bone specimens from the Middle and Upper Palaeolithic levels of TT were analysed for δ^13C and δ^15N to provide insights into past environmental conditions and animal habitats at the site (Table 7). Collagen was extracted and analysed at the Dorothy Garrod Laboratory for stable isotope analysis at the University of Cambridge following the procedure outlined in Privat, et al. (2002). Samples were analysed using an automated elemental analyser (Costech Analytical, Valencia, CA, USA) coupled in continuous-flow mode to a Thermo Finnigan MAT253 isotope ratio mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bremen, Germany) at the Godwin Laboratory, Department of Earth Sciences, University of Cambridge (Cambridge, UK). Carbon and nitrogen results are reported using the delta scale in ‰ relative to internationally accepted standards VPDB and AIR, respectively. Based on replicate analyses of international (IAEA caffeine and glutamic acid-USGS-40) and in-house laboratory standards (nylon, alanine and bovine liver standards) the precision is better than ±0.2‰ for both δ^13C and δ^15N values. All but one specimen (TAB12) yielded results within the range of atomic C:N ratios (2.9 to 3.6) that indicate suitably preserved collagen and are thus included in the discussion.

In addition, AMS burn stable isotope values are reported in this paper (Table 6), although these values were not run using a wide range of stable isotope standards and the three-point calibration normally used when specifically measuring C and N isotopes. Instead, only the Oxford lab Alanine stable isotope standard was used (P. Ditchfield, pers. comm). Thus, the AMS burn stable isotope values provide only indicative values and it is problematic to report them alongside the values specifically obtained using the three-point calibration standard (Szpak et al., 2017), even though the differences that the three-point calibration would make would probably be relatively small – perhaps a few tenths of a per mil (P. Ditchfield, pers. comm). In the future, we intend to remeasure all collagen leftovers from AMS-dated specimens specifically for C and N isotopes. For the moment, in the discussion of stable isotope values we briefly discuss AMS burn indicative stable isotope values from TT (n = 17) and DK (n = 4), which were all made at the ORAU and have ZooMS identifications, in order to understand how comparable these values are with those specifically measured for C and N isotopes. All these values come from directly dated specimens and provide a good chronological control, and they all have acceptable C:N ratios (2.8 to 3.3) (Table 6).

**OSL dating**

In November 2019, in total, six samples for OSL dating were collected from homogeneous sedimentary units of exposed and freshly cleaned sections of TT (Fig. 6) and DK (Fig. 10) (three from each site) using metal tubes with caps. Additional dosimetry samples of c. 30 g were also taken from the sampled units (Table 8). OSL measurements of sand-sized (180–255 μm) quartz mineral grains were extracted from the inner, light-shielded parts of three OSL samples collected at each site. Standard preparation techniques were applied under low intensity light emitting diode (LED) laboratory lighting (peak emission at 594 nm) and included wet sieving, HCl (10%) treatment to remove carbonates, 30% H_2O_2 treatment to remove organic matter and HF (48%) etching to remove the outer (~10 nm) rind of quartz grains affected by alpha irradiation and to dissolve feldspathic minerals. Heavy minerals were removed by density gradient separation using a liquid solution of sodium polystyrene sulphate (density = 2.65 g cm⁻³) followed by renewed rinsing in HCl (10%) to eliminate potential fluoride contaminants with a final cleaning in demineralised water.

**Figure 11.** A selection of knapped stone artefacts from Pleistocene stratigraphic units at DK; 1: retouched flake (SU4.x6, quad. 101/100/A, spit 5); 2: scraper (SU4.x1, quad. 101/98, spit 4); 3: retouched blade (SU4, quad. 100/100/D, spit 5); 4: retouched blade (SU2, quad. 100/101/D, spit 3); 5: scraper (SU4.x10, quad. 100/99/B, spit 5); 6: denticulate (SU4.x3, quad. 101/99, spit 4); 7: endscraper (SU4, quad. 100/99, spit 4); 8: retouched flake (SU4, quad. 101/101/A, spit 5); 9: retouched chip (SU4, quad. 101/99/D, spit 5); 10: point (SU4, quad. 100/101/A, spit 3); 11: scraper + truncation (SU4, quad. 100/101/A, spit 5); 12: denticulate (SU2, quad. 100/99, spit 2); 13: denticulate (SU1, quad. 100/101/D, spit 5); 14: point (SU5, quad. 101/101/A, spit 5); 15: truncation (SU4, quad. 101/99/D, spit 5); 16: retouched flake (SU4.x4, quad. 101/100/D, spit 5); 17: convergent scraper (SU4.x28, quad. 100/98/A, spit 6); 18: point (SU4.x15, quad. 101/101/D, spit 6); 19: scraper (SU4, 100/98/A, spit 7); 20: denticulate (SU4.x17, quad. 100/98/D, spit 4); 21: sidescraper (SU4.x1, quad. 101/101/A, spit 6); 22: retouched flake (SU10.x2, quad. 100/98/D, spit 8); 23: endscraper (SU4.x18, quad. 101/101/A, spit 6); 24: scraper (SU4, quad. 100/98/B, spit 6); 25: retouched flake (SU4.x9, quad. 101/98/B, spit 7); 26: borer (SU4.x1, quad. 101/101/B, spit 7); 27: scraper (SU4.x35, quad. 100/98/A, spit 7); 28: truncation (SU3, quad. 101/100, spit 2); 29: retouched flake (SU4.x34, quad. 100/98/B, spit 7).
Figure 12. A selection of knapped stone artefacts from Pleistocene stratigraphic units at DK. 1: retouched blade (SU4, quad. 100/98/A, spit 7); 2: retouched blade (SU4.x32, quad. 101/98/B, spit 7); 3: retouched flake (SU4, quad. 100/98/C, spit 7); 4: denticulate (SU4, quad. 100/98/A, spit 7); 5: convergent scraper (SU4.x32, quad. 101/98/B, spit 7); 6: retouched blade (SU4.x25, quad. 101/99/D, spit 6); 7: retouched flake (SU4.x45, quad. 100/98/B, spit 8); 8: retouched blade (SU3, quad. 100/99, spit 3); 9: truncation (SU4.x27, quad. 101/99/D, spit 6); 10: scraper, yellow-white-spotted flint (SU4.x43, quad. 101/98/C, spit 7); 11: retouched flake (SU4, quad. 100/98/A, spit 7); 12: retouched flake (SU4.x34, quad. 100/98/B, spit 7); 13: convergent scraper (SU4.x38, quad. 100/98/C, spit 7); 14: retouched flake (SU4.x33, quad. 100/98/B, spit 7); 15: retouched flake (SU4.x5, 100/98/A spit 5); 16: scraper (SU4, quad. 100/98/A, spit 7); 17: endscraper (SU4.x44, quad. 101/98/B, spit 8); 18: retouched flake (SU4, quad. 100/98/C, spit 7); 19: retouched blade (SU4, quad. 101/98/B, spit 5); 20: convergent scraper (SU4, quad. 100/98/A, spit 7); 21: Levallois point (spoil, bag 65); 22: centripetal core (SU4, quad. 100/98/A, spit 7); 23: Levallois core (SU4, quad. 100/98/A, spit 6); 24: Levallois core (SU4.x23, quad. 101/99, spit 6); 25: Levallois core (SU4.x59, quad. 996/997, spit 19); 26: Levallois core (SU4.x22, quad. 101/101/C, spit 6). [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
Dried quartz grains were mounted as multigrain aliquots on aluminium discs with a 2–3 mm spot of silicon oil adhesive (Viscasil 60 000).

OSL measurements were performed in an automated Lexysg-Smart luminescence reader (Richter et al., 2015) manufactured by Freiberg Instruments (Germany) using a single-aliquot regenerative-dose post-IR green OSL measurement protocol (Murray and Wintle, 2000; Banerjee et al., 2001; Wallinga et al., 2002; Wintle and Murray 2006). The instrument was fitted with a $^{90}$Sr$^+$-Y ceramic disc $\beta$-source providing an activity of $\sim$1.85 GBq and delivering circa 0.11 Gy/s to coarse grains (180–255 µm). The source was calibrated against a gamma-irradiated Risø National Laboratory standard (Hansen et al., 2015) from Denmark. For optical excitation, an OSL head unit fitted with 10 green LEDs (emitting at 525 ± 20 nm; max. power 80 mW/cm$^2$) and 10 infrared LEDs (emitting at 850 ± 20 nm; max. power 300 mW/cm$^2$) was used. The quartz ultraviolet emission signal at 375 nm was detected using a combination of Hoya U340 and 3 mm spot of silicon oil adhesive (model H7360-02; 280–650 nm with peak sensitivity at 420 nm and ~27% quantum efficiency). To detect the presence of potential feldspar contaminants, the 410 nm feldspar emission signal was also detected using a filter combination set comprising a Brightline HC414/46 and a Schott BG 39.

The recorded data was analysed with the Analyst (version 4.57) software developed by Duller (2015) and the weighted mean equivalent dose (De) was calculated using the Luminescence package (version 0.9.8) developed by Kreutzer et al. (2012) for the statistical programming language R. The concentrations of radioactive elements (potassium, rubidium, thorium and uranium) were determined by elemental analysis using ICP-MS/AES and converted to dose rates and luminescence age estimates using the conversion factors of Guérin et al. (2011) and the DRAC software (v1.02) developed by Durcan et al. (2015). The contribution of cosmic radiation to the total dose rate was calculated as a function of latitude, altitude and burial depth, based on data by Prescott and Hutton (1994) and assuming an average overburden density of 1.9 g cm$^{-3}$ and a thickness of 15 ± 5 m for the overlying cave bedrock. In the absence of direct in situ gamma-ray spectrometry measurements and in order to achieve the best estimate for the gamma dose rate contribution, layer-to-layer variations in the radioactivity were taken into account by scaling the gamma dose rate as originally proposed by Atkin (1985) and using the R function scale gamma_dose recently developed by Riedesel et al. (2020).

Zooarchaeology by mass spectrometry

Collagen peptide mass fingerprinting analysis, also known as ZooMS (Buckley et al., 2009; Collins et al., 2010), was carried out following the approach published by Buckley et al. (2009; see also van der Sluijs et al., 2014). In brief, this involved the overnight demineralisation of bone samples in 0.6 M hydrochloric acid, followed by gelatinisation of the acid-insoluble bone residue in 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate at 65°C. The supernatant from this step was digested with sequencing-grade trypsin (Promega, UK) overnight at 37°C, and acidified with 5% trifluoroacetic acid. Then, 0.5 µL of the sample solution was co-crystallised with 0.5 µL of α-cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid matrix solution on a Bruker ground steel matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionisation time-of-flight (MALDI TOF) target plate. Samples were analysed using a Bruker UltraflexXTreme mass spectrometer with a frequency-tripled Nd:YAG laser at the Department of Chemistry, Columbia University in the City of New York, USA, with 2000 laser shots acquired over the m/z range 800–3700. Spectra were searched manually for taxonomically informative markers through comparison with the set published by Buckley (2016), Buckley et al. (2009, 2017), and Welker et al. (2015). All raw spectral file data are available at http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5028649.

Results

**TT – stratigraphy and micromorphology**

The sampled section on the northern section of Trench 1/2005, beyond the area of roof fall karst boulders in the entranceway (Fig. 4), revealed the following stratigraphic sequence:

- **0–10 cm**: laminated grey-black fine charcoal, ash and dung of late prehistoric periods; spot-sampled for micromorphology
- **10–73 cm**: fine (<2 cm), sub-rounded to sub-angular karst fragments in a yellowish brown calcitic silt; small fireplace feature inset between two large karst blocks infilled with reddish brown calcitic silt and included fine charcoal; two spot samples were taken for micromorphology across the upper and lower boundaries
- **73–93 cm**: of this feature; greyish brown calcareous silt with fine rock fragments (<2 cm); unexcavated.

The cave sequence suggests that it has received minimal post-depositional disturbance, apart from the solution and deposition of calcium carbonate on the interior cave walls. Three sets of spot samples have been taken for micromorphological and palynological assessment. Only spot sample 1 (TT08/1) from the topmost levels taken to assess pollen preservation produced well-preserved pollen grains, suggesting a broadleaved woodland assemblage with hazel, birch, lime, hop-hornbeam, grass and fern spores, and lots of charcoal. The other two samples were barren. A selection of multi-element results is presented in Table 1. Sample 1 (5–12 cm) exhibits enhanced barium (Ba), manganese (Mn) and phosphorus (P) values. In particular, barium (Ba) may reflect the presence of wood ash (Fleisher and Sulas, 2015; Macphail and Goldberg, 2010; Wattez and Courty, 1987), and the extremely high phosphorus values most probably indicate intensive organic waste accumulation and the possible use of the cave floor by animals (Karkanas and Goldberg, 2010). Similarly, the fireplace deposits also exhibit very high phosphorus values (in samples 2 and 3). The basic
Table 2. Main micromorphological characteristics of the TT sediments. Table abbreviations. Fe = iron; Mn = manganese; AOM: amorphous organic matter. Shape. A: angular; SA: subangular; R: rounded; SR: subrounded. Quantity. Vf: very few; Fw: few; C: common; Fr: frequent; VD: very dominant.

| Sample | Trench and context | Microstructure | c/f related distribution pattern | Rock fragments | Minerals | Organic and inorganic of biological origin | Micromass | Pedofeatures |
|--------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------------------|----------------|----------|------------------------------------------|-----------|-------------|
| TT7    | 1/2005, 1/2008     | Massive with Fw channels | Massive with Fw channels | Fw quartz grains, Vf muskovite flakes | Fr AOM, Fr dark brown tissue, cells and punctuations, VD calcite spherulites, Fw bone fragments, C phytoliths | C bone fragments, Vf mollusc shells, VD spherulites, C wood ash aggregates Fr AMO and tissue, C sand-sized bone frgs, C wood ash aggregates, Fw ruminant dung frgs | Fe/Mn nodules |
| TT6    | 1/2005, 1/2008     | Massive with Fw channels | Massive with Fw channels | C A to SA quartz, C muscovite flakes, C phosphates | C bone fragments, Vf mollusc shells, VD spherulites, C wood ash aggregates | C clay aggregates (pedorelic) |
| TT8    | 1/2005, 1/2008     | Granular and crumb | Single-spaced to open porphyric | C SA limestone frgs, Fr A to SA quartz, Fr muscovite flakes, C feldspars, C calcite, Fr R phosphates, C wood ash aggregates Fr AMO and tissue, C sand-sized bone frgs, C wood ash aggregates, Fw ruminant dung frgs | D A to SR quartz, Fr muscovite flakes, Fw biotite, Fw SA feldspars, Vf bone frgs, Vf charcoal frgs | Calcitic micromass Fr weekly to strongly impregnated Fe/Mn nodules |
| TT5    | 1/2005, 1/2008     | Crumb, Fr channels | Single- to double-spaced porphyric, Fr channels | Fw SA limestone frgs, Fr A to SR quartz, Fr muscovite flakes, C feldspars, C calcite, Fr R phosphates, C wood ash aggregates | D phytoliths, Fr bone frgs, Vf charcoal frgs | Granostriated b-fabric; sometimes opaque, C Fe/Mn nodules |
| TT4    | 1/2005, 1/2008     | Crumb | Open porphyric | Fr A to SR quartz, Fr muscovite flakes, Fw biotite, Fw SA feldspars, Vf R glauconite, Fw R phosphates, SA calcite | D phytoliths, C bone frgs | Granostriated b-fabric; sometimes opaque, C Fe/Mn nodules, C clay aggregates (pedorelic) |
| TT3    | 1/2005, 1/2008     | Angular blocky with C channels | Open porphyric | D A to SR quartz, C muscovite flakes, Fr R phytoliths, Vf bone frgs, opaque | C Fe/Mn nodules |
| TT2    | 1/2005, 1/2008     | Angular blocky | Single-spaced to double porphyric | Fr A to SR quartz, C muscovite flakes, C A to SA sparitic calcite, Fw biotite, Fw SA feldspars, Fw phosphates | Fw AMO, C Fe/Mn nodules |
| TT10/2.1| 1/2005, 1/2008    | Subangular blocky with Fr channels | Single-spaced to open porphyric | D SA to SR quartz, Fr SA feldspars, Fr muscovite flakes | Clay silt micromass with stipple speckled b-fabric Fr Fe/Mn nodules, Vf clay papules, Fw calcite infillings |
| TT1    | Subangular blocky and | Single- to double- | Fr A to SA | | Calcitic and clay (Continued) |
Table 2. (Continued)

| Coarse material | Pedofeatures | Micromass | Rock fragments | Inorganic and organic of biological origin |
|----------------|--------------|-----------|----------------|--------------------------------------------|
|## Coarse material |
|## Pedofeatures |
|## Micromass |
|## Rock fragments |
|## Inorganic and organic of biological origin |
|## Coarse material |
|## Pedofeatures |
|## Micromass |
|## Rock fragments |
|## Inorganic and organic of biological origin |

thin-section descriptions are given below, with more detailed descriptions in Appendix 1.

Sample 1 (5–12 cm) was taken through the uppermost late prehistoric fill layer of the cave and exhibits five fabric units. The basal fabric unit 1 (c. 12–17 cm) is a finely aggregated, very porous (25%) deposit of micritic and amorphous calcium carbonate with a minor amount of fine sand and pure clay 'staining' the calcium carbonate (Fig. 13a). On its upper surface are three irregular sized and shaped fragments of amorphous sesquioxide (iron oxides and hydroxides) impregnated and replaced organic matter (fabric unit 2; c. 12–13 cm). Fabric unit 3 above (c. 11–12 cm) is composed of small irregular aggregates of organic matter and plant tissue, all amorphous iron oxide replaced and bioturbated, and micritic calcium carbonate in a 1 cm thick lens. Overlying this is fabric unit 4 (c. 9.5–11 cm), which is composed of a dense matrix of amorphous iron oxide replaced organic matter with a horizontal orientation in a 1–1.5 cm thick lens, although it too has been bioturbated (Fig. 13b). In the upper fabric unit 5 (c. 5–9.5 cm), there are alternating fine laminae of orangy-brown micrite and amorphous organic matter, and dark brown to black, finely comminuted organic matter and micrite in an excremental matrix (Fig. 13c). This upper unit becomes much disturbed by roots and soil fauna with many large, infilled channels evident.

Overlying weathered karst material derived from the erosion of the cave itself, are a series of superimposed horizons. The first is a discontinuous zone of amorphous sesquioxide replaced organic matter indicative of oxidised and iron replaced organic matter. This appears to be much truncated remnants, possibly a result of water action or human disturbance. Above this is a centimetre-thick zone of plant tissue which is largely replaced by amorphous iron and much bioturbated by the soil fauna. Above this is a second layer of organic matter, very dense, all replaced by amorphous iron oxides and with alternating laminae evident. But what these two organic horizons represent is unclear. It could be byre bedding material, but there is a singular lack of any phosphatic features or phytoliths, which would be expected (Karkanas and Goldberg, 2010: 602), and no micro-artefactual debris typical of people living in the cave is incorporated in this horizon. Perhaps it just represents the storage or accumulation of plant material.

Samples 2 (73–85 cm) and 3 (84–92 cm) were taken through the Late Pleistocene-age fireplace feature. Sample 2 is mainly comprised of calcitic ash and fine bone fragments (Fig. 13d). In addition, at the base of sample 2, there is a fine linear zone of calcitic silt crusts with a fine carbonised dust at a clear planar boundary (Fig. 13e) with the underlying micritic clay or the underlying weathered karst floor of the cave as observed in the base of sample 2 and in sample 3. The calcitic silt crust probably represents a trampled floor containing hearth rake-out material on the weathered natural geology of the cave. Sample 3 exhibited a pelletto to aggregated calcitic silt fabric, with abundant phosphatised, very fine sand-size bone fragments and included common very fine charcoal dust and/or plant tissue fragments throughout (Fig. 13f).

The main micromorphological characteristics of the cave sediments examined in 2017 are summarised in Table 2. Field description and micromorphological observations of the most representative west-facing section of Trench 1/2005–1/2008 (Fig. 6) are as follows:

0–10 cm: The surface context 201 (white and grey at the top) is an approximately 10 cm thick layer of ash with lateral variations – it spans from 14 cm in the southern part of the section, and it thins out towards the north to c. 2 cm. It varies from laminated ash and burnt organic matter and charcoal (at
Table 3. Main micromorphological characteristics of the DK sediments. Table abbreviations. Fe – iron; Mn – manganese; AOM: amorphous organic matter. Shape. A: angular; SA: subangular; R: rounded; SR: subrounded. Quantity. Vf: very few; Fw: few; C: common; Fr: frequent.

| Sample | Trench and context | Microstructure | c/f related distribution pattern | Rock fragments | Minerals | Organic and inorganic of biological origin | Micromass | Pedofeatures |
|--------|--------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|----------------|----------|------------------------------------------|-----------|--------------|
| DB-K/8/1 1/2013 | Crumb and granular | Open porphyric | C SA to SR limestone fragments | Fr SA to SR quartz grains, C muscovite flakes, C calcite, Fw SA feldspars, Fw biotite | C AOM | greyish brown calcitic crystallitic | Fw bone fragments | |
| DB-K/7/4 1/2013 (upper part) | Angular blocky to vughy | Open porphyric | Fr SA to SR limestone fragments, C quartz aggregates (quartzite) | Fr quartz grains, Fr SR feldspars C muscovite flakes, C calcite, Fw SR feldspars, Fw amphibole | Fr sand-sized bone fragments C AOM | yellowish brown granostriated | ice lensing (tamo di nisu reworked by soil fauna) | |
| DB-K/6/10 1/2013 | Pellicular grain and crumb | Single-spaced to open porphyric | Fr SA to SR limestone fragments, Vf A felsitic fragments | Fr SA to SR quartz grains, C SA to SR calcite, C muscovite flakes, Vf SA feldspars, Vf amphibole | Fr sand-sized bone frgs C AOM | greyish brown calcitic crystallitic | silt capping phosphates-carnivore coprolite C Fe nodule | |
| DB-K/5/2 1/2013 | Crumb | Single- to double-spaced porphyric | Fr A to SR limestone fragments | Fr muscovite flakes, Fr SR quartz grains, C SA to SR calcite, Fw A feldspar | Fr sand-sized bone fragments C AOM | greyish brown calcitic crystallitic | Fw Fe nodules and coatings Vf carnivore coprolite ice lensing | |
| DB-K/4/4 1/2013 | Crumb | Single- to double-spaced porphyric | Fr A to SR limestone fragments | Fr muscovite flakes, Fr SR quartz grains, C SA to SR calcite, Fw A feldspar | Fr sand-sized bone fragments C AOM | greyish brown calcitic crystallitic | Vf carnivore coprolite | |
| DB-K/3/5-9 1/2013 | Crumb and channel | Double-spaced to open porphyric | Fr A to SR limestone fragments | Fr muscovite flakes, C A to SR quartz grains, C SA to SR calcite, Fw A feldspar | Fr sand-sized bone fragments C AOM | greyish brown calcitic crystallitic | C R red clay pedorelics (with mostly quartz skeleton) | |
| DB-K/2/4 1/2013 | Crumb | Single-spaced to open porphyric | Fw A felsitic fragments, Fr SR limestone fragments | Fr muscovite flakes, Fr SR quartz grains, C SA calcite, Fw A feldspars | Fr sand-sized bone fragments C AOM | greyish brown calcitic crystallitic | C carnivore coprolites | |
| DB-K/9/4 L 1/2013 | Pellicular grain and crumb | Single-spaced porphyric | Fr SA to SR limestone fragments | Fr SA to R quartz grains, C SR feldspars, C SA calcite, C muscovite flakes | Fr sand-sized bone fragments C AOM | greyish brown calcitic crystallitic | C carnivore coprolites Fw silty clay coatings |
least three pairs of white and black layers with a thin dark grey layer at the top) to a 10 cm thick more homogeneous layer of ash. Thin layers a few centimetres thick of organic matter/Fe oxides are also visible. At microscopic scale, context 201 (white and grey top) exhibits a spherulitic micromass which is clearly the product of cyclical burning. The context 207 (white and grey top) exhibits a spherulitic micromass which is clearly the product of cyclical burning. The context 207 (white and grey top) exhibits a spherulitic micromass which is clearly the product of cyclical burning.

Table 4. Major and minor element oxide wt% compositions of cryptotephra glass shards extracted from Tephra T1 and T2 (Cryptotephrum column 1) at TT. Element oxide concentrations are normalised to anhydrous compositions, with original analytical totals shown. Rutile links to secondary standard analyses for the two WDS-EPMA sessions used, which are presented in SI Appendix 2.

| Element | T2_Col1:203/207(30 cm) | T2_Col1:207(46–50 cm) |
|---------|------------------------|------------------------|
| SiO₂    | 61.21                  | 61.84                  |
| TiO₂    | 0.41                   | 0.44                   |
| Al₂O₃   | 18.63                  | 18.73                  |
| Fe₂O₃   | 2.78                   | 2.95                   |
| MnO     | 0.22                   | 0.21                   |
| MgO     | 1.64                   | 1.73                   |
| CaO     | 2.79                   | 2.73                   |
| Na₂O    | 5.29                   | 7.09                   |
| K₂O     | 0.03                   | 0.03                   |
| P₂O₅    | 0.88                   | 0.92                   |
| Cl       | 99.82                  | 95.26                  |

Table 5. Trace element concentrations (ppm) measured in cryptotephra glass shards from Tephra T2 (Cryptotephrum column 1) at TT compared with average values for cryptotephra glass shards from proximal outcrops of the Campanian Ignimbrite (after Lowe et al., 2012). Analyses below limits of detection marked with ‘<’. For secondary standard analyses see SI Appendix 2.

| Element | T2_Col1:203/207(30 cm) | T2_Col1:207(46–50 cm) |
|---------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Rb      | 407                    | 427                    |
| Sr      | 52                     | 52                     |
| Y       | 107                    | 108                    |
| Zr      | 13                     | 16                     |
| Nb      | 225                    | 228                    |
| Ba      | 24                     | 23                     |
| La      | 77                     | 78                     |
| Ce      | 15                     | 14                     |
| Pr      | 9                      | 9                      |
| Nd      | 5                      | 5                      |
| Sm      | 5                      | 6                      |
| Eu      | 2                      | 2                      |
| Gd      | 6                      | 8                      |
| Dy      | 18                     | 18                     |
| Er      | 7                      | 7                      |
| Yb      | 5                      | 5                      |
| Lu      | 3                      | 3                      |
| Ta      | 1                      | 1                      |
| Pb      | 5                      | 5                      |
| Th      | 5                      | 5                      |
| U       | 17                     | 17                     |
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Table 6. Radiocarbon measurements from TT and DK caves with contextual and bone chemistry information, and results of ZooMS identification of the analysed specimens.

| Sample ID  | Spec. ID | Exc. date | Trench | Quadrant | Context/Layer | Depth | Orig.-mg | Yield% | OsA- | F14C | F13C ± δ13C | δ15N | C:N | Treat. | ZooMS ID | Taxon | Rationale |
|------------|----------|-----------|--------|----------|---------------|-------|----------|--------|------|------|----------------|-------|------|--------|----------|-------|------------|
| Tab-11     | x.11     | 14/07/08  | 3/2008 | 5/22     | 217/2        | 200   | 90,233   | 668.02 | 2.6  | 35 765 | 0.07831 | 0.00122 |
|            | x.98     | 1/2009   | 2/30   |          | 207/1        | 91,975| 1330     | 1.5    | 26 718|       |                |       |      |            |          |       |            |
| Tab-14     | 12/07/08 | 3/2008   | 5/22   |          | 207/2        | 91,033| 781.16   | 4.1    | 35 763| 0.0286 | 0.00104 |
| Tab-04     | x.49     | 09/07/08 | 1/2008 | 4/25     | 207/3        | 91,375| 500      | 1.5    | 24 819|       |                |       |      |            |          |       |            |
|            |          |          |        |          |              |       |          |        |      |       |                |       |      |            |          |       |            |
| Tab-01     | x.4      | 09/07/08 | 1/2008 | 4/27     | 2010         | 91,096| 794.07   | 6.9    | 35 592| 0.01523 | 0.00103 |
|            |          |          |        |          |              |       |          |        |      |       |                |       |      |            |          |       |            |
| Tab-34     |          | 14/07/08 | 2/2008 | E section T. | 1/2004 | 220   | –       | 839.75 | 6.2  | 35 768 | 0.01404 | 0.00099 |
|            |          |          |        |          |              |       |          |        |      |       |                |       |      |            |          |       |            |
| Tab-08     | x.82     | 11/07/08 | 1/2008 | 4/25     | 207/4        | 90,943| 862.96   | 6.5    | 35 764| 0.01062 | 0.00099 |
| Tab-39     |          | 13/07/08 | 1/2008 | 4/26     | 213          | –     | 683.05   | 4.3    | 35 770| 0.00305 | 0.00097 |
| Tab-03     | x.58     | 09/07/08 | 1/2008 | 4/28     | 207/3        | 91,238| 803.36   | 0.8    | 35 762| 0.00051 | 0.00102 |
| Tab-17     |          | 10/07/08 | 2/2008 | 4.5/18   | 211          | –     | 514.05   | 1.0    | 35 594| 0       | 0.00115 |
| Tab-13     | x.13     | 13/07/08 | 1/2008 | 4/26     | 215/2        | 90,721| 730.1    | 1.9    | 35 766| 0       | 0.00101 |
| Tab-13     | x.13     | 13/07/08 | 1/2008 | 4/26     | 215/2        | 90,721| 788.87   | 1.9    | 35 767| 0       | 0.00110 |
| Tab-38     |          | 09/07/08 | 2/2008 | 0        | 206          | –     | 699.17   | 4.6    | 35 769| 0       | 0.00097 |
| DK-01      | x.9      | 20/07/13 | 1/2013 | 100/99   | 307.8        | –     | 110.45   | 3.4    | 35 564| 0.57424 | 0.0208 |
| DK-08      | 0        | 17/07/13 | 1/2013 | 101/101  | 307.8        | –     | 777.84   | 6.6    | 35 587| 0.50963 | 0.00196 |
| DK-06      | 0        | 18/07/13 | 1/2013 | 101/101/A| 307.8        | –     | 768.48   | 1.7    | 35 586| 0.40263 | 0.00182 |
| DK-17      | 0        | 19/07/13 | 1/2013 | 100/101/A| 307.8        | –     | 120.0    | 5.7    | 28 687|       |                |       |      |            |          |       |            |
| DK-17      | 0        | 23/07/13 | 1/2013 | 101/101   | 307.8        | –     | 799.35   | 5.6    | 35 588| 0.0136  | 0.00101 |
| DK-17      | 0        | 23/07/13 | 1/2013 | 101/101/A| 307.8        | –     | 834.35   | 6.3    | 35 589| 0.01424 | 0.00102 |
| DK-32      | 0        | 22/07/13 | 1/2013 | 101/101   | 307.8        | –     | 641.07   | 3.7    | 35 590| 0.0136  | 0.00104 |
| DK-39      | 0        | 22/07/13 | 1/2013 | 101/101/D| 307.8        | –     | 926.55   | 2.4    | 35 585| 0.00157 | 0.00101 |
| DK-30      | 0        | 26/07/13 | 1/2013 | 1009310A | 307.8        | –     | 758.62   | 3.5    | 35 591| 0       | 0.00097 |

| Sample ID  | 14C ± | Cal ± 95% confidence | %C | 13C | 15N | Treat. | ZooMS ID | Taxon | Rationale |
|------------|-------|-----------------------|----|-----|-----|--------|----------|-------|------------|
| Tab-11     |       | 24 990–24 230         | 41.5| – 19.6 | 3.7 | AF     | PT158   |       |            |
|            |       | 20 460                | 120 |       |     |        | Box/Bus sp. | tentative cutmarks |
| Tab-14     | 24 690 | 190                   | 26 290–26 550 | 42.9 | – 18.7 | 5.0 | AF | PT159   | Capra ibex, mandible context |
| Tab-04     | 25 490 | 210                   | 30 100–29 230 | 40.4 | – 17.1 | 12.9 | AF | PT148   | Capra ibex maxilla, Cervidae cutmarks |
| Tab-34     | 33 500 | 500                   | 36 080–35 570 | 43.3 | – 18.8 | 7.8 | AF | PT163   | Capra ibex, horncore context |
| Tab-01     | 33 450 | 550                   | 39 400–33 550 | 43.4 | – 19.8 | 6.8 | AF | PT100   | Capra ibex, mandible, metabolic species |
| Tab-32     | 34 200 | 550                   | 40 580–37 600 | 45.3 | – 19.0 | 7.9 | AF | PT149   | Capra ibex, mandible, Cervidae cutmarks |
| Tab-34     | 35 530 | 500                   | 41 300–39 890 | 42.0 | – 19.4 | 4.8 | AF | PT163   | Capra ibex, horncore context |

Please note that the table continues with similar entries for DK-01 to Tab-34.
### Table 6. (Continued)

| Sample ID | Cal or 95% confidence | C | N | C:N | Treat. | ZooMS ID | Taxon | Rationale |
|-----------|------------------------|---|---|-----|--------|----------|-------|-----------|
| Tab‐08    | 36 500                 | 7.50 | 42.2 | 1.6 | AF     | PT156    | Capra | 19.4 5.9 3.2 AF PT156 | Capra sp. cutmarks |
| Tab‐03    | 40 000                 | 7.90 | 41.4 | 2.3 | AF     | PT151    | Capra | 20.1 5.3 3.2 AG PT160 | Capra sp. cutmarks |
| Tab-13   | 49 100                | 8.19 | 38.1 | 2.2 | AF     | PT157    | Ursus | 22.0 1.6 3.2 AF PT157 | Ursus sp. cutmarks |
| Tab-38   | 50 900                | 8.80 | 42.1 | 2.5 | AF     | PT164    | Ursus | 22.0 1.5 3.2 AF PT164 | Ursus sp. cutmarks |
| Tab-39   | 50 000                | 9.10 | 40.3 | 2.7 | AF     | PT158    | Ursus | 22.0 1.5 3.2 AF PT158 | Ursus sp. cutmarks |

### TT cryptotephras investigations

**The occurrence of tephra in Column 1**

Figure 15 shows the Column 1 (Figs. 4, 6) results of tephra glass shard counting within sampled stratigraphy in TT. Tephra was found dispersed in varying concentrations above unit 209 (above 0.56 m depth). When investigated at 2 cm resolution, there appear to be two shard accumulation zones, one of up to 342 s/g, at 0.46–0.50 m depth (T1) and another of up to 214 s/g between 0.30–0.32 m depth (T2). Tephra shards were also present at the depths in between these samples, suggesting that fine particles have been reworked within, or into, the sediment sequence over time. The glass shards in both T1 and T2 show a similar range of morphologies. Both samples contain a high number of clear, cuspatte shards, characterised by expanded bubble wall structures, then there are also a number of clear, plate-like shards, with either near-triangular or elongated form. In both samples the glass shards (measurement of longest axis) range up to ~200 μm.

Tephra T1: Table 4 shows the composition of two tephra shards analysed by WDS-EMPA following filtering of the data to those analyses with totals >95 weight percentage (wt%) and that showed no evidence of microlite contamination. The tephra glass shards are rhyolitic (Fig. 15), with normalised major and minor element oxide compositions of 77.2 wt% SiO₂, 12.7 wt% Al₂O₃, 5.3 wt% K₂O, ~2.9 wt% Na₂O, 0.9 wt % FeO and 0.7 wt% CaO. The small plate-like shape of the analysed shards prevented successful analysis by LA-ICP-MS.

Tephra T2: 29 tephra shards were successfully analysed by WDS-EMPA and four of these by LA-ICP-MS (Table 5). All except...
for two of the tephra shards analysed were on curvilinear bubble wall shards and these have phonolite-trachyte compositions, with 60.2–62.7 wt% SiO₂, 18.2–19.0 wt% Al₂O₃, 7.0–8.6 wt% K₂O, 3.6–6.9 wt% Na₂O, 2.7–3.9 wt% FeO, and 1.6–2.9 wt% CaO. The two outlying shards both have plate-like morphologies and have rheomorphic compositions, which plot close to those of shards in T1 (Fig. 16).

Figure 16 compares selected major and minor element concentrations in T1 and T2 cryptotephra glass shards to published glass shard data from widespread tephra layers generated by central to eastern Mediterranean volcanic eruptions dated to between 50 and 29 kaBP. The composition of the two glass shards from T1 plot close to the compositions of tephra derived from the ~50–30 ka Epoch 5 eruptive activity of Ciomadul Volcano, which lies ~320 km to the north-east of TT in the Carpathian Mountains, Romania (Harangi et al., 2015; Karátson et al., 2016; Molnár et al., 2019). Published sources disagree on the possibility of distinguishing between the eruption products of the Ciomadul Epoch 5 activity (Harangi et al., 2020; Karátson et al., 2016), The compositional subdivision shown in Fig. 16 reflects the identification of deposits by Karátson et al. (2016) and using these data would suggest that T1 belongs to the most evolved late or early Ciomadul Epoch 5 eruption products. However, with only two successful glass shard analyses from TT, we do not attempt to make a secure correlation to a specific dated eruption event. The composition of the Nisyros Upper Pumice, dated to ~47 ka BP and from the Greek Island of Nisyros ~1000 km to the south-east of TT, also plots close to the T1 glass shard compositions (Fig. 16). Not only have no deposits from Nisyros Island been found as far from source as this in the past, but a Nisyros source can be discounted for T1 based on glass shard TiO₂ compositions (not shown).

As observed by Lowe et al. (2012), the main population of glass shards from T2 correlates to the Campanian Ignimbrite (CI), which was a major caldera-forming eruption of the Campi Flegrei Volcanic Zone in southern Italy, ~39 ka BP (Giacco et al., 2017). The two outlying shards from T2 that plot close to the Ciomadul rhyolites could represent reworking of older material from within the cave system, or primary ashfall from a contemporary eruption (Harangi et al., 2020).

The occurrence of tephra in Column 2

Figure 15 shows the Column 2 (Fig. 4) results of tephra glass shard counting within sampled stratigraphy. Tephra was found dispersed in very low concentrations (<11 shards per sample) throughout the sequence (0.10–1.20 m depth). When investigated at 2 cm resolution between 0.10–0.18 m depth, few shards were found, and this horizon was not followed up any further. The observed tephra shards are plate-like, with maximum longest axis lengths <150 μm.

DK micromorphology

The main micromorphological characteristics of the cave sediments are summarised in Table 3. In the field, the sediments are almost homogeneous, with some differences between contexts regarding minor colour variations and degree of cementation. The frequency of subrounded to subrounded limestone clasts varies from common (contexts 2, 4) to very frequent (context 10).

In thin section, the sediments are also quite homogeneous, but some more differences could be observed. Microstructure is always crumb or granular, sometimes with coated grains in sand sediments; very few are burnt. In contexts 2, 4 and 10, these sandified bones are very frequently sand sized (Fig. 17:3).

The related distribution pattern is always porphyric, single-spaced to open. The b-fabric is calcitic crystallitic (contexts 1, 2, 4, 5–9) or granostriated (4, 10). Granostriated b-fabric may indicate wetting and drying of the sediment (Lindbo et al., 2010).

Bone is the most frequent organic component of the sediments; very few are burnt. In contexts 2, 4 and 10, these bone fragments are very frequently sand-sized (Fig. 17:3). Sand-size bone fragments were observed at several Middle and Upper Palaeolithic sites, such as Riparo del Poggio (Boscato et al., 2009), Riparo Mochi (Douka et al., 2012) in Italy, or Mujima pećina in Croatia (Boschian et al., 2017). In some contexts (especially in contexts 4 and 10) very frequent small bone fragments could indicate the characteristics of the diet. A possible explanation for bone splinters is that they were deliberately smashed and kneaded to extract the bone marrow and grease, activities that were performed in situ (Rabinovich and Hovers, 2004). However, given that phosphates, which can be identified as carnivore coprolites (Kolska Horwitz and

Table 7. Stable isotope results and quality indicators for animal bone specimens analysed from Tabula Traiana. *value excluded from interpretation due to unacceptable %C, %N and C:N values.

| Chronostratigraphic attribution | Sample code | Bone ID | Species | Element | % Yield | δ13C | δ15N | %C | %N | C:N |
|--------------------------------|-------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| Middle Palaeolithic | TAB01 | PTT 08/211x.10 | Cervus elaphus | Ulna, carpal | 9.9 | −19.8 | 4.8 | 38.3 | 13.9 | 3.2 |
| | TAB02 | PTT09/219/1 | Cervus elaphus | Phalanx II | 2.6 | −20.5 | 6.0 | 22.1 | 7.7 | 3.3 |
| | TAB05 | PTT11/220/2 | Capra ibex | Metatarsal | 11.3 | −19.4 | 6.0 | 40.5 | 14.8 | 3.2 |
| | TAB06 | PTT 08/206x.2 | Capra ibex | Metatarsal | 6.1 | −19.8 | 4.4 | 30.2 | 10.8 | 3.3 |
| | TAB07 | PTT08/220/4 | Capra ibex | Calcaneus | 4.4 | −20.0 | 6.6 | 46.1 | 16.6 | 3.2 |
| | TAB08 | PTT 08/206/4 | Capra ibex | Phalanx I | 5.8 | −20.2 | 3.6 | 38.7 | 13.9 | 3.3 |
| | TAB09 | PTT08/219/1 | Capra ibex | Phalanx | 11.9 | −19.4 | 4.4 | 45.9 | 16.8 | 3.2 |
| | TAB10 | PTT 08/207x.52 | Capra ibex | Throat | 6.5 | −18.0 | 14.2 | 40.8 | 14.9 | 3.2 |
| Upper Palaeolithic | TAB10 | PTT 08/207x.52 | Capra ibex | Throat | 6.5 | −18.0 | 14.2 | 40.8 | 14.9 | 3.2 |
Table 8. Summary of luminescence dating results.

| Sample field code | Laboratory code | Water content a(%) | Betadose rate b (Gy/ka) | Gamma dose rate c (Gy/ka) | Cosmicdose rate d (Gy/ka) | Totaldose rate e (Gy/ka) | De f (Gy) | OSL age g (ka) |
|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|--------|-------------|
| TT Cave OSL 1     | X7525           | 27 ± 5             | 1.39 ± 0.08             | 0.70 ± 0.07              | 0.04 ± 0.01              | 2.13 ± 0.11            | 50.36 ± 3.32 | 23.60 ± 1.98 |
| TT Cave OSL 2     | X7526           | 22 ± 5             | 1.18 ± 0.07             | 0.68 ± 0.09              | 0.04 ± 0.01              | 1.90 ± 0.11            | 34.08 ± 7.62 | 17.93 ± 4.15 |
| TT Cave OSL 3     | X7527           | 11 ± 3             | 0.75 ± 0.04             | 0.51 ± 0.02              | 0.04 ± 0.01              | 1.30 ± 0.04            | 70.27 ± 4.27 | 53.90 ± 3.76 |
| Dubočka OSL 1     | X7528           | 2 ± 2              | 1.12 ± 0.05             | 0.72 ± 0.37              | 0.04 ± 0.01              | 1.89 ± 0.38            | 18.36 ± 0.99 | 9.73 ± 2.01  |
| Dubočka OSL 2     | X7529           | 3 ± 2              | 0.99 ± 0.05             | 0.68 ± 0.18              | 0.04 ± 0.01              | 1.71 ± 0.19            | 29.65 ± 2.41 | 17.34 ± 2.39 |
| Dubočka OSL 3     | X7530           | 5 ± 2              | 1.22 ± 0.05             | 0.82 ± 0.03              | 0.04 ± 0.01              | 2.08 ± 0.06            | 57.88 ± 2.77 | 27.76 ± 1.57 |

a The recorded water content expressed as a percentage of the dry mass of the sample mineral fraction.
b Dose rates were calculated using the dose rate and age calculator DRAC v.1.2 developed by Durcan et al. (2015) and are based on elemental concentrations of radioisotopes derived from powdered sediment samples (~8 g) analysed by fusion ICP-MS/AES at a specialist accredited laboratory (Actlabs in Canada). Specific activities and radionuclide concentrations were converted to dose rates using the updated conversion factors proposed by Guérin et al. (2011), making allowance for beta-dose attenuation due to grain size effects and HF etching (Brennan, 2003).
c In the absence of in situ gamma-ray spectrometry measurements, layer-to-layer variations in radioactivity for samples X7525, X7526, X7528 and X7529 were taken into account by scaling the gamma dose rate using the R function scale gamma_dose developed by Riedesel et al. (2020) and based on the approach and data outlined in Aitken (1985).
d The contribution of cosmic radiation to the total dose rate was calculated as a function of latitude, altitude, burial depth and an average overburden density of 1.9 g cm⁻³ based on the data reported by Prescott and Hutton (1994). For both sets of samples, the thickness of the cave roof was assumed to be circa 15 m and this value was added to the burial depth recorded for each sample. A large error of ±5 m was attributed to the overburden height in order to account for the uncertainty in accurately estimating the cosmic ray contribution.
e The total dose rate includes a small internal dose rate of 0.03 ± 0.02 Gy/ka, based on intrinsic trace amounts of ²³⁸U and ²³²Th found in etched quartz (Mejdahl 1987; Grün and Fenton, 1990; De Corte et al., 2006; Vandenbeuge et al., 2008). An alpha efficiency factor of 0.04 ± 0.01 (Rees-Jones, 1995; Rees-Jones and Tite, 1997) was also included in the dose rate calculations.
f The equivalent dose (De) is expressed as a weighted mean with a standard error calculated from repeat measurements (n = 14–35) made on small-sized (3 mm) multi-grain quartz aliquots. OSL measurements were analysed using the Analyst (ver.4.57) software developed by Duller (2015). The De calculations were made using the Luminescence package (version 0.9) developed by Kreutzer et al. (2012) for the statistical programming language R and the reported error includes a systematic component of ±4% to account for uncertainty related to the calibration of the laboratory beta source.
g The date is reported in 10⁴ years (ka) before 2019 and the uncertainty is the quadratic sum of the random and systematic uncertainties expressed within one sigma (68% confidence interval).
Goldberg, 1989; Goldberg, 1980) are common in the same contexts, gnawing and digestion of the bones by carnivores cannot be ruled out.

At the microscopic scale, sand- and silt-sized amorphous organic matter aggregates (Fig. 17-4), which are common in contexts 1 and 4 and occur occasionally in context 2, are the main evidence of human activity at the site; charcoal fragments are also present but very scanty (context 10).

**Radiometric chronology of TT**

Eighteen AMS measurements on 17 bone fragments are available from TT (Table 6). The rationale in sample selection was primarily the presence of cutmarks as evidence of anthropically modified specimens (Figs. 18 and 19), and hence human activity in cave deposits. However, four dated specimens did not bear any trace of anthropic modifications as the choice of their selection was related to other criteria: interest in knowing the age of the dated species in the case of AA-63887, which dates a cave lion metapodial, and in providing the age of certain contexts lacking anthropically modified bones in the case of OxA-16419 (fireplace), -24819 and -26718. All dates provided late Pleistocene ages, out of which five measurements produced infinite ages beyond temporal limits of radiocarbon dating.

A borderline case is OxA-35770 that at 95% confidence provides an infinite date but produces the range of 52 350–46 720 at 68% confidence and would thus represent the earliest.
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AMS-dated specimen from this site. The stratigraphic position of this cutmarked specimen in the lower stratigraphic units would correspond with the obtained age. The estimated age of OxA-35770 broadly fits the OSL-3 measurement from TT (Table 7), which gave the date of 53.90 ± 3.76 BP. This OSL sample comes from the lowest reached levels of stratigraphic unit (226) at the cave entrance (Fig. 4) and, based on its stratigraphic position, this date would represent a terminus post quem for the MP occupation horizon with the Levallois-based technology described earlier. On the face of this evidence, we may tentatively suggest that the MP occupation of TT took place sometime between c. 52.3 and 46.7 kya cal.

Figure 14. Photomicrographs of sediment thin sections from Tabula Traiana. 1. a: phytoliths in context 207, PPL; b: same as a, XPL. 2. a: granostriated b-fabric; silt capping coating skeleton grains, PPL; b: same as a, XPL. [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

Figure 15. The column 1 and 2 results of tephra glass shard counting within sampled stratigraphy in TT. Distribution of tephra glass shards by depth within cryptotephra column 1 and column 2. Right-hand columns indicate archaeological units and boundaries. Wide blue bars show indicator shard counts from initial low-resolution samples (aggregated from multiple bag samples), estimated as shards per ~2 g dry sediment weight. Green bars show high-resolution (2 cm depth intervals) samples spanning intervals where tephra glass shards had been found in above-background concentrations and are quantified as shards per 1 g dry sediment. Red stars pinpoint tephra layers T1 and T2 for which samples were re-extracted and run for geochemical analyses. [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
Five infinite dates obtained on four cutmarked bones all consistently come from lower stratigraphic units and thus confirm the MP age of these deposits around or earlier than 50 kya. While lower chronostratigraphic units at TT probably document a MIS3 Neanderthal occupation of the cave, this late MP presence at TT may still have ceased several thousand years before the earliest appearance of modern humans in the Balkans by c. 45 kya calBP (cf. Hublin et al., 2020). The ZooMS identifications of some of the dated fragments (Table 7, Fig. 20) reveal that cutmarks were left on the remains of bovids (Capra sp., likely ibex), cervids and bears (likely cave bear), suggesting the subsistence role of these taxa during the MP occupation.

The EUP date ranges obtained for TT fall between c. 42.3 and 36.9 kya calBP (Fig. 19). These dates document rather ephemeral and transitory (based on small artefact densities) UP and, by proxy, modern human presence in the cave, possibly already before but also after the CI eruption (see above). OxA-35593 is identified as Canidae based on ZooMS analysis and shows particularly elevated isotope values (Table 6), which could be indicative of high freshwater protein consumption, presumably from fish, seen in dog specimens from this region during the Mesolithic (Borić 2011; Borić et al., 2004).

Finally, the results of OSL dating of samples 1 (23.60 ± 1.98 kya calBP) and 2 (17.93 ± 4.15 kya calBP) (Table 7), supposed to date two stratigraphically superimposed units (Fig. 6), seem to underestimate the known age of these deposits based on other proxies. OSL-2 seems particularly problematic as it has large error terms, and its age is inverted to OSL-1 from what is expected stratigraphically. Measurements on additional samples including more advanced single grain analyses will be required in forthcoming studies in order to help explain the apparent age underestimation observed for these samples. Meanwhile, both OSL dates should be interpreted with caution.
Radiometric chronology of DK

Nine AMS measurements on eight bone fragments are available from DK (Table 6). As with the dating of TT, the rationale in sample selection was the presence of cutmarks or other modifications on bones as evidence of anthropically modified specimens (Fig. 21). Apart from some minor inversion in the topmost, Holocene levels, the dates consistently show increasing age with depth. Three dates from the topmost levels provide Holocene dates on cutmarked or modified specimens dating human presence in the cave to the Late and Early Copper Age and the Final Mesolithic/Early Neolithic. It seems that the latter trace of visitation of the cave comes from people who did not leave traces of material culture indicative of this period.

Two dates from the bottommost Pleistocene age levels provide infinite dates beyond temporal limits of radiocarbon dating. Three other dates on two cutmarked specimens provide overlapping ranges between 41 and 37.5 kya cal BP, and one date from the topmost Pleistocene levels provides an early Gravettian date between 30 and 29.2 kya cal BP (Fig. 22). In the absence of more diagnostic UP material culture in the excavated area of the cave, for the moment, it must remain an open question whether the two obtained dates around 40 kya cal BP should be associated with the confirmed modern human presence in this region at this time, not leaving much material culture trace in the cave sediments apart from cutmarked bones, or with surviving Neanderthal groups inhabiting this area from before the temporal reach of radiocarbon dating up to the transitional period, during which they might have been contemporaneous with modern humans. The overall homogeneity of the abundant knapped stone assemblage from DK with MP characteristics may perhaps tip the weight of the argument in the direction of the latter scenario, but this suggestion must remain a mere speculation at present. As we currently pursue further AMS dating of the anthropically modified specimens and other contextual analyses on the material from DK, this picture may become clearer soon.

Proteomic/ZooMS analyses on two AMS-dated specimens with cutmarks identified the remains of Ursus sp. (Fig. 23). This may tentatively suggest that morphologically
identifiable cave bear specimens found in Pleistocene-age sediments at DK should be linked to the manipulation of cave bear by hominins both in earlier and later phases of the MP occupation of the cave.

The attempt to provide more chronological clarity for DK sediments by means of OSL dating did not result in the expected outcome. The obtained dates from two superimposed samples, OSL-1 (9.73 ± 2.01 BP) and OSL-2 (17.34 ± 2.39 BP), taken from the west-facing section of Trench 1/2013, while internally consistent regarding their stratigraphic position, seem to underestimate the assumed age of these sediments. A similar case is with the date on OSL-3 (27.76 ± 1.57 BP) from the east-facing section of the same trench, supposed to date context 4 (Fig. 10), containing the MP industry described above. OSL redating of these sediments may provide some more clarity in the future.

Figure 18. Cutmarked bone specimens from TT selected for AMS dating. [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
Figure 19. Bayesian modelling of all available dates from TT plotted against the North Greenland (NGRIP) δ¹⁸O ice record and event stratigraphy; Greenland Stadial/Interstadial (GS/GI) cycles for the last 48 kyr BP (before 2000 AD). For the radiocarbon measurements, distributions in outline are the results of simple radiocarbon calibrations and solid distributions are the output from the chronological model. The large square brackets and OxCal v. 4.4 CQL2 keywords define the overall model exactly. [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
Stable isotope analysis on the macromammals from TT

All herbivorous taxa analysed had a diet typical of the consumption of C3 vegetation, in an open landscape, with no evidence of the canopy effect, which can produce lower δ¹³C values (van der Merwe and Medina, 1989, 1991) (Table 8, Fig. 24). This may in part be related to the species sampled. Ibex, the most commonly sampled species, typically inhabit rocky, craggy locations, whereas red deer are more flexible in their habitats, and can inhabit woodland and reflect the canopy effect (Drucker et al., 2008, 2011). We could infer that at least some of the deer at the site were predominantly living in open environments. Further analysis of a greater number of red deer specimens, alongside palynological analysis would help to explore vegetation cover in the vicinity of the site further. One AMS-dated specimen of Bos/Bison clusters together with other herbivorous taxa and it seems that overall there is a good correspondence among the specimens belonging to the same species when we compared specifically obtained stable isotope values reported in Table 8 and indicative stable isotope values from AMS burns (Table 6, Fig. 24).

Figure 20. MALDI spectra identifications of fragmented bone specimens from TT. Masses of the key markers used for taxonomic identification are indicated with arrows. The inset highlights that even markers with relatively low intensity values which are not visible in the full spectrum can be used for identification.
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All herbivorous taxa analysed had a diet typical of the consumption of C3 vegetation, in an open landscape, with no evidence of the canopy effect, which can produce lower δ¹³C values (van der Merwe and Medina, 1989, 1991) (Table 8, Fig. 24). This may in part be related to the species sampled. Ibex, the most commonly sampled species, typically inhabit rocky, craggy locations, whereas red deer are more flexible in their habitats, and can inhabit woodland and reflect the canopy effect (Drucker et al., 2008, 2011). We could infer that at least some of the deer at the site were predominantly living in open environments. Further analysis of a greater number of red deer specimens, alongside palynological analysis would help to explore vegetation cover in the vicinity of the site further. One AMS-dated specimen of Bos/Bison clusters together with other herbivorous taxa and it seems that overall there is a good correspondence among the specimens belonging to the same species when we compared specifically obtained stable isotope values reported in Table 8 and indicative stable isotope values from AMS burns (Table 6, Fig. 24).

Figure 20. MALDI spectra identifications of fragmented bone specimens from TT. Masses of the key markers used for taxonomic identification are indicated with arrows. The inset highlights that even markers with relatively low intensity values which are not visible in the full spectrum can be used for identification.
et al., 2009). A possible explanation for the slightly higher δ¹³C values in the UP may be due to conditions changing slightly between the two periods. Elevated δ¹³C values in plants and their consumers can be produced by a multitude of environmental factors, including moisture availability and rainfall (Farquhar, et al., 1982; Stewart et al., 1995; Gröcke, et al., 1997; Diefendorf et al., 2010; Kohn, 2010), and changes in temperature have also been seen to impact on δ¹³C values (Heaton, 1999). Additionally, increased δ¹³C values are also associated with higher altitude locations (Farquhar et al., 1989; Körner, et al., 1991; Hultine and Marshall, 2000), and could suggest that ibex were perhaps living further up mountain slopes during the UP, possibly due to improved conditions at higher altitudes as well as increased vegetation availability at these higher elevations. Alternatively, a change in the type (DeNiro and Epstein, 1978; Bocherens and Drucker, 2003) or parts of plants (Ehleringer, et al., 1987) being consumed can affect δ¹³C values, and it might have caused the UP ibex to have slightly elevated δ¹³C values relative to the MP individuals. If so, this could suggest a type of vegetation available in the habitats of the ibex. There might have been a change in environmental conditions between the two chrono-cultural periods, although larger sample sizes, in combination with wider environmental indicators would help to explore this further.

Specimen TAB04 was originally identified as red deer Cervus elaphus but has a δ¹⁵N value of 14.2‰ and a δ¹³C value of −18.0‰ (Fig. 24, Table 7). These isotopic values are way outside of the δ¹⁵N ranges for European deer in Palaeolithic Europe (Drucker et al., 2003; Stevens et al., 2014; Jones et al., 2018, 2019), suggesting that the specimen might have been misidentified and that it probably comes from a large carnivore. The sample was taken from a fragment of thoracic vertebra, which are notoriously challenging to identify to species using traditional zooarchaeological methods. The size and the upper part of the spine is fused, suggesting that this individual is at least two years of age. This vertebra has a rounded spinal process, which is typical in carnivores and bears, and is consistent with being the size of a medium–large mammal. Carnivore gnawing was noted on both the transverse and spinal processes, suggesting that the bone may not necessarily be linked to the periods of human activity at the site. The high δ¹⁵N value would be highly unusual for a cave bear (Ursus spelaeus), when compared with studies of other late Pleistocene individuals.
In fact, four Ursus sp. specimens (presumably *U. spelaeus*) from TT and DK identified on the basis of ZooMS analyses and directly AMS-dated have associated AMS burn isotope values and even if these values are only indicative values for the moment, all $\delta^{15}N$ values for these specimens are below 10‰ with some significant differences in trophic levels among these specimens (two specimens from TT have $\delta^{15}N$ values as low as 1.6‰ and 1.5‰, respectively), while also their low $\delta^{13}C$ values are all clustered away from herbivorous taxa. The highest $\delta^{15}N$ values for cave bear to date are around 10‰ from late Pleistocene individuals at Peștera cu Oase in Romania, interpreted as pertaining to an omnivorous diet (Richards et al., 2021).
Figure 23. MALDI spectra identifications of fragmented bone specimens from DK. Masses of the key markers used for taxonomic identification are indicated with arrows. The inset highlights a key, high mass, marker (m/z 3093) that is highly distinctive for *Capra* and *Rangifer*. While in some cases not all markers were present to provide the lowest level taxonomic identification, partial identification was possible.
2008), although recent compound-specific amino acid analysis of nitrogen isotopes of further Pleistocene cave bears in Romania has indicated an exclusively herbivorous diet, with higher δ¹⁵N values reflecting niche portioning within nitrogen zones in the landscape (Naito et al., 2020). Effects such as hibernation for longer durations during colder periods can produce inflated δ¹⁵N values in cave bears (Fernández-Mosquera et al., 2001; D’Anglade and Mosquera, 2008). Younger or sub-adult bears may also have inflated δ¹⁵N values, reflecting a combination of residual breastfeeding in addition to their mother’s hibernation effect (Bocherens et al. 2004; Grandal-D’Anglade et al., 2011). The value of specimen TAB04 would be extreme even considering these possible effects, especially given that this individual is >2 years of age. Hence the sampled specimen probably does not come from a cave bear. Similarly, although brown bear (U. arctos) can be omnivorous, isotopic studies of UP specimens from Austria have shown them to have δ¹⁵N values of around 3‰ (Bocherens et al., 2011). Even accounting for baseline differences between regions, and the possibilities of nursing and hibernation signatures, a δ¹⁵N value of 14‰, as seen at TT would be hard to achieve, suggesting that this individual is probably not a brown bear. The isotopic result on TAB04 would be consistent with a carnivore feeding at a high trophic level, consuming a diet rich in animal protein. Based on the size of the vertebra, it likely belonged to a larger carnivore, which have also been identified in TT sediments. In the broadly contemporaneous deposits of the site of Šaltiners (Fig. 1), cave hyena, wolf, leopard and cave lion were identified (Marín­‐Grandal et al., 2011), attesting to the presence of large carnivores in central Serbia at this time. Future ZooMS analysis on the specimens should be able to clarify this dilemma.

As previously emphasised, while stable isotope values associated with AMS burns cannot be used in comparisons with data specifically obtained for stable isotopes in an unproblematic way due to problems of using calibration standards (Szpak et al., 2017), it could be informative to have a quick look at some of these data. Apart from a fox specimen with the δ¹⁵N value of 7.9‰, one AMS-dated specimen of Canis sp. clusters together with the previously discussed TAB04, both exhibiting high trophic levels. This Canis sp. specimen also has a relatively high δ¹³C value of −17.1‰, and these elevated isotopic values in this area close to the Danube River could be indicative of the consumption of fish species, both freshwater fish and anadromous sturgeon (cf. Borić 2011). The isotopic values of the Canis sp. specimen are similar to stable isotope values obtained on dog specimens from Mesolithic forager sites (Borić et al., 2004). There remains a possibility that this specimen comes from a dog feeding on human forager-fisher leftovers or, alternatively, a wolf significantly feeding on fish remains washed out by the Danube. Stable isotope values for one AMS-dated cave lion specimen from TT measured at the Arizona lab with a very low δ¹³C (Table 6) is considered problematic and lacks information on bone chemistry, and hence is not taken into consideration.

**Discussion**

Over the past decade or so, a revised chronology for the Upper Danube region gave some support to the idea that the ‘Danube corridor’ (Conard and Bolus, 2003) might have played an important role as one of the main axes for the dispersal of modern humans and their assumed association with material remains of Protoaurignacian and Aurignacian provenance and/or transitional industries (Nigst, 2012; Teyssandier, 2008; Tsonava, 2008), pushing its start in central Europe to c. 43 kya cal BP (Higham et al., 2012). Yet, while a decade ago, early dates started to pop up for deposits with modern human remains elsewhere in Europe (e.g. Benazzi et al., 2011; Higham et al., 2011), the assessment of radiocarbon chronology from south-eastern Europe did not live up to the expectations of the earliest dated IUP occurrences on the continent, as would be expected assuming the Danube corridor as the main conduit for dispersal. Over the past several years, this situation led some authors to suggest that the Danube corridor was unlikely to be the dispersal route for modern humans into Europe and to propose instead a northern route through the Russian steppes as the likely dispersal axis for modern humans reaching central Europe and beyond (Bae et al., 2017). However, the results of the most recent excavations at the site of Bacho Kiro in northern Bulgaria (Fig. 1) have now positively confirmed the earliest directly AMS-dated modern human remains in Europe found in Layer I of this site, and associated material culture, including personal ornaments made from cave bear teeth, with the modelled boundary of 45 820–43 650 cal BP (Hublin et al., 2020; Fewlass et al., 2020). These recent findings have an important role to play both in understanding the role of the Danube corridor as the dispersal axis of modern humans into Europe and in assessing the last Neanderthal settlement of the Balkans (cf. Mihailović 2020).

If it is likely that the two taxa, i.e. Neanderthals and modern humans, were sympatric, and given the possibility for rapid dispersals, the Danube Basin must surely be a likely region for
this to have occurred, as aDNA results of one of the Oase Cave fossils suggest, with Neanderthal ancestry as early as 4–6 generations or 2–3 lifespans (cf. Fu et al., 2015). Yet, there are only a few sites across the Balkans that provide some secure evidence for late Neanderthal occupation dated to MIS3, albeit with slim chances of overlap with modern humans (e.g. see the case of Vindija, Devišević et al., 2017). Hence, it remains difficult to understand the extent of chronological overlap and the nature of social interactions of Neanderthals and modern human groups in different parts of the region. Few known MIS3 industries in the Balkans are generally characterised by a reduction strategy using the discord method, and a strong denticulate component found on retouched tools (Mihailović et al. 2020: 49).

Using different chronological proxies, the MP deposits of Mujina Cave in Croatia (Fig. 1) have recently been securely dated to between c. 49 and 39 kya with no evidence of modern human presence at the site in this temporal interval (Boschian et al., 2017). Farther south, in the hinterland of the southern part of the Adriatic catchment, a recent assessment of the presence of a typical Levallois and containing CI tephras (Marín‐Alcántara, 2018) dates to between 2020: 49 and 39 kya with no evidence of modern human presence at the site in this temporal interval. (Fu et al., 2015). Under the other hand, facing shallow Pleistocene‐age palimpsest deposits with low artefact yields in the central Balkans and based on increased chronological datasets from the sites Hadzić Prodanova and Pešturina caves in Serbia, Alex et al. (2019) suggest a working hypothesis that hominins occupying sites before 39 kya cal BP were Neanderthals producing MP industries, followed by a gap until 34 kya cal BP, when the earliest phases of the Gravettian can securely be linked to modern humans. However, these authors do cite earlier published data from TT as rare evidence in the central Balkans of EUP/Protoaurignacian presence at the site prior to 39 kya cal BP.

The evidence from Level VII (Layer 5c) at the site of Kozarnika in north‐western Bulgaria places the EUP industry between 43 and 40 kya cal BP (Tsanova, 2012), Like TT, Layer 5c at Kozarnika contained CI‐Y5 tephras (Lowe et al., 2012). The finds from Level VIII (Layer 6/7) at Kozarnika would correspond to a transitional MP and IUP industry still poorly defined (Sirakov et al., 2010), and based on two published radiocarbon measurements (Gifa‐101051: 43 600 ± 1200 BP; Gifa‐101052: 42 700 ± 1000 BP), put this occupation between 49 and 44 kya cal BP (Guadelli et al., 2005), thus making these levels contemporaneous with previously mentioned IUP occupation at Batcho Kiro. In the same general region of north‐western Bulgaria, at the site of Samuilitsa II Cave (Fig. 1), the MP assemblage of Levallois Mousterian is dated to between 48 and 43 kya cal BP (GRN‐5181: 42 780 ± 1270 BP) and shows some evidence of UP blade production alongside the presence of a typical Levallois‐based industry (Tsanova, 2008, 2012). On the other hand, the late MP assemblage, characterised as Denticulate Mousterian on quartz in Layer 2 and containing CI‐Y5 tephras, at the site of Golema Pešća in North Macedonia is dated to c. 39 kya cal BP (Blackwell et al., 2020) and might have been broadly contemporaneous with the UP assemblage at TT. In western Serbia, an important sequence covering the Middle to Upper Palaeolithic transitional interval is found at the cave site of Šilinetina (Marín‐Arroyo and Mihailović 2017; Mihailović et al., 2011). Here, MP levels (5b, 5c and 6) are characterised as the typical Balkan Mousterian with sidescrapers, Mousterian points, Levallois artefacts and leaf‐like points. There are two infinite dates from these levels while two other AMS dates from MP levels suggest a late MP occupation between 42.8 and 39.2 kya cal BP. Early Upper Palaeolithic level 5a at this site dates to between 36.6 and 33.2 kya cal BP and is characterised by the Aurignacian industry with carinated endscrapers, burins and retouched and unretouched bladelets. A small assemblage of Initial/Early Upper Palaeolithic tools is found in Layer 4b at the cave site of Baranica in eastern Serbia, which is absolutely dated by only one AMS measurement (Oxa‐13828: 35 780 ± 320 BP) to c. 41.5–40.2 kya cal BP (Mihailović et al. 2011).

Adjacent to the Danube Gorges area, along a possible modern human dispersal route into Europe, there is a concentration of EUP open‐air Aurignacian sites (Anghelinu et al., 2012; Băltăean, 2011; Chu, 2018; Chu et al., 2014, 2015; Hauck et al., 2018). Tinčova, Romanesti–Dumbrăvita (dated by luminescence to between 41 and 37 kya cal BP, Schmidt, et al., 2013), Coșava I and Crvenka‐At (dated by luminescence to 36.4 ± 2.8 kya cal BP, Nett et al., 2021) (Fig. 1). In the Danube Gorges area (Fig. 2), previous MP finds come from the cave site of Petesta Hottilor at Băile Herculane as well as from a concentration of several open‐air locations in the vicinity of the village of Gornea in south‐western Romania, on the edge of the Liubovica Basin – the hill of Căuni, where a small area of 28 m² was excavated in 1969 and 1970, yielding a small assemblage of 154 pieces with the characteristics of a MP industry (Levallois flakes and points, sidescrapers), and the hill of Păzăriște where some 180 pieces were found and were characterised as Aurignacian (Băltăean, 2011: 52). Also, at the small cave site of Pescari Livaditei on the banks of the Danube, not far from the two previously mentioned open‐air sites, Pleistocene levels were discovered in the 1970s, and a small lithic assemblage is characterised as ‘Mousterian’ with the presence of sidescrapers and several Levallois flakes.

Some 50 km north of DK, at the cave site of Peștera cu Oase on the Romanian side of the Danube, cranial and dental remains of two modern human individuals showing recent Neanderthal ancestry (Fu et al., 2015; Trinkaus et al., 2012) are dated to 42–37 kya cal BP and are broadly contemporaneous with the dated deposits at TT and DK. On the Serbian side of the Danube, at around 40 km distance from DK, two nearby cave sites – Kozja and Mala caves near Blizna – were recently investigated documenting both Middle and Early Upper Palaeolithic occupations, with Kozja containing hominin remains possibly coming from the Neanderthals (D. Mihailović, pers. comm.).

How does the presented evidence from TT and DK fit these wider regional patterns, and how do the two sites compare with each other? TT and DK are located in the same general area of the Danube Gorges at the distance of some 60 km as the crow flies, and in part their occupation is broadly contemporaneous. When it comes to MP knapped stone assemblages, there are striking differences in the type and knapping quality of raw material used at the two locations, with quartz and quartzite almost exclusively found at TT while at DK good quality flint raw materials were almost exclusively used. In addition, the MP assemblage at DK contains non‐local raw materials, such as Balkan/Upper Cretaceous flint originating in northern Bulgaria some 150 km away. If future dating of the MP assemblage from DK reconfirms the current late date and if we could unambiguously show the association of this assemblage with Neanderthals, and also assuming the movement of modern humans from the east along the Danube, this presence of non‐local raw materials could be a possible indication of a westward displacement of Neanderthal groups during the transitional interval. The absence of late MP (and by proxy Neanderthal) assemblages in the eastern Balkans might have been an effect of such a displacement.

There are also differences in the general density of artefacts between the two sites – while TT Cave conforms to the wider pattern of low artefact yields seen in the central Balkans (cf. Alex et al., 2019), DK shows a surprisingly abundant and diverse assemblage with all stages of core reduction sequence.
present. While little trace of human presence is evidenced in MP deposits of TT based on micromorphological analysis, the presence of very small bone fragments in micromorphological sections of Pleistocene deposits at DK could be an indication of in situ activities for marrow extraction, resulting in smashed and knapped bones. This pattern would be consistent with the rather fragmented and small faunal assemblage found at this site. Possible hunting/consumption of cave bear is evidenced at both sites based on cutmarked and directly dated bones identified through proteomic analysis and may conform to the wider pattern of cave bear use in other MP sequences (e.g. Bacho Kiro, Samuilitsa II). Yet, it seems that this species might have had a significance also for slightly later and/or broadly contemporaneous modern human populations during the IUP phases, as evidenced by Bacho Kiro pendants from cave bear teeth (Hublin et al., 2020) and other Gravettian-age ornaments from south-eastern Europe (Boric and Cristiani, 2019).

A combination of different chronological and chronostratigraphic proxies suggests that TT had been used by Neanderthals likely several thousand years before the site was used by modern humans sometime between 42.3 and 36.9 kya cal BP, which is consistent with the pattern of MP settlement abandonment prior to 44 kya cal BP seen in other areas of the Balkans (Mihailović 2020: 36). The likely gap in the sequence between c. 47 and 42 kya cal BP coincides with the duration of the Greenland Stadial (GS) 13–Heinrich 5 event, which was a global cooling episode associated with severe aridity in south-eastern Europe (Müller et al., 2011), as well as GS12, c. 44.3–43.3 (Fig. 19). These stadials are recorded in speleothems from Romania, albeit characterised here by a smaller amplitude and less severe cooling and aridity than in other parts of Europe, especially the Atlantic and Mediterranean (Staubwasser et al., 2018). The UP presence at TT possibly precedes the CI eruption, which can be used at this site as a chronostratigraphic marker based on the presence of cryptotephra glass shards consistent with CI materials in cave deposits. The micromorphological analyses of MP sediments from both TT and DK suggest cold conditions characterised by freezing and thawing cycles. The chronological situation and association with material culture remains less clear at DK at present. The flint assemblage from this site bears strong similarities both with the assemblage from Mujina Cave to the west (highly reduced, a high presence of denticulates, faceted platforms), but also to the east of the Balkans in the late MP assemblage from Samuilitsa II Cave. Yet it remains unclear how best to interpret the dates for cutmarked bones that place a hominin presence at the site sometime between 41 and 38 kya cal BP. There is a distinct possibility that these dates relate to the use of the site by late Neanderthals at a very close proximity to modern humans found in the wider region, but more work on chronological and contextual understanding of the site’s sediments is needed in order to either confirm or reject this possibility. If in the future we could confirm the late presence of Neanderthals at DK in association with a distinct MP industry, it would mean that in this area along the Danube Neanderthals and modern humans might have indeed crossed their paths and were sympatric.

Conclusions

In this paper, relying on multiple analytical proxies, we have presented preliminary chronostratigraphic insights into Pleistocene sediments of two cave sites located on the southern, Serbian side of the Lower Danube Basin in the Danube Gorges area. While the site of TT is located on the sheer cliffs of the Danube in the downstream part of the region, DK is located some 10 km into the hinterland of the Danube in a more upstream area of the region. We have been able to identify and document an EUP presence at TT around 41–37 kya cal BP characterised by a very small lithic assemblage made on local materials with some characteristics of the Protoaurignacian (Dafour bladelet), while the lower strata of the cave contain evidence of the MP occupation, characterised by a Levallois industry on quartz and quartzite, currently dated to between 52.3 and 46.7 kya cal BP.

The presence of CI-Y5 cryptotephra at the site provides an important chronostratigraphic marker for the hominin use of the cave and suggests the occupation of the site by likely modern humans prior to the CI eruption. The site was likely used and abandoned by Neanderthals several millennia before the arrival of modern humans. The Neanderthal disappearance at TT coincides broadly with the arrival of first modern humans farther to the east of the Danube Gorges area c. 47 to 45 kya cal BP.

In this paper, we have presented for the first time the chronostratigraphic sequence at DK, which suggests a late MP hominin occupation of the site c. 41 to 37.5 kya cal BP based on three AMS dates on two cutmarked bones. While more extensive radiometric dating of this sequence remains a priority, this occupation possibly continues from the older hominin use of the site based on several infinite AMS dates from the bottom of the sequence. At DK, there is a relatively large assemblage of knapped stone artefacts made on flint characterised by good knapping properties, some of which might have come from sources 150 km away (in northern Bulgaria). Based on the presence of a Levallois technology with a prominence of denticulates and convergent scrapers, along with a small presence of some UP categories of tools (endscrapers, points, borers), on the face of the current evidence, we suggest that the occupation of DK could probably be associated with the refugial occupation of some of the latest Neanderthal groups who were contemporaneous with the first modern humans in the wider region of the Balkans.
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