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We report the success rate and problems associated with conventional dacryocystorhinostomy (DCR) in failed cases of Trans-canalicular, laser-assisted DCR (TCLADCR). Out of 50 patients operated by the TCLADCR technique during the period 2005 – 2006, 33 patients had failure, which was confirmed on syringing of the nasolacrimal passage. Before considering them for conventional DCR, a thorough ear, nose, throat (ENT) examination was done by an ENT surgeon, to rule out a nasal pathology. All the patients were operated by the conventional standard DCR method at a medical college. While performing the surgery, the problems that came across were identified and noted. The success rate was found to be 91% in this study in a follow-up period of one year, with no major intra-operative problems. Conventional DCR is still a gold standard and should be considered as a procedure of choice in failed cases of TCLADCR.
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External dacryocystorhinostomy (DCR), in which a communication is created between the lacrimal sac mucosa and nasal mucosa, is a widely acceptable treatment for nasolacrimal duct obstruction (NLDO).[1] The disadvantages of this procedure include scarring at the site of the incision, hemorrhage during the procedure, and disruption of the anatomy of the medial canthus.

With the introduction of endoscopic sinus surgery and lasers, trans-canalicular laser-assisted DCR (TCLADCR) has become another modality for treating NLDO. This procedure requires less time, with no hemorrhage, scar or suture. However, despite these advantages, the procedure may fail, as the small osteum is susceptible to blockage.

In this study we have tried to assess the difficulties that we came across, while performing conventional DCR in the failed cases of TCLADCR.

Materials and Methods

A total of 50 patients with distal NLDO, confirmed preoperatively by syringing of the nasolacrimal apparatus, underwent TCLADCR during the period 2005 – 2006. Blocked syringing and symptomatic epiphora of the nasolacrimal passage was seen in 33 patients postoperatively, after a follow up of six months. All these patients were subjected to the conventional DCR procedure after informed consent. All patients were seen by an ENT specialist for any nasal pathology. Thereafter, the patients were operated by a single surgeon under local infiltration anesthesia.

A J-shaped skin incision was made with a No. 15 blade over the sac area. The medial palpebral ligament was identified. The sac was separated from the lateral wall of the nose. In few cases, the sac was firmly adherent to the lacrimal bone and it was difficult to separate it from the bone. It was gently separated with the help of a lacrimal sac dissector. The periosteum overlying the lacrimal fossa and the area above it were elevated with a periosteum elevator. A previously made osteum was identified as a small depression in the lacrimal bone. The size of the osteum was measured by the caliper. The lacrimal bone, lacrimal crest, and the bone above the anterior lacrimal crest were removed with a bone punch to create an opening, 16 – 18 mm in size. A lacrimal probe of an appropriate size was passed through the lower canaliculas till it reached the lacrimal sac. In a few cases, the lacrimal probe failed to reach beyond the junction of the common canaliculas with the sac, identified as scarring at the junction of the common canaliculas into the sac (In these cases lacrimal intubation was performed). The lacrimal sac was opened in a longitudinal fashion to form anterior and posterior lacrimal flaps. The posterior flap was severed. The nasal mucosa was cut in a similar fashion. The posterior nasal mucosal flap was severed. The anterior nasal mucosal flap was sutured to the anterior lacrimal sac flap with 5-0 chromic-catgut. Fibers of the orbicularis were sutured with 5-0 chromic-catgut. The skin was sutured with 6-0 prolene in a continuous fashion. Observations were made during each step and were noted. Data were entered in an excel sheet.

After 24 hours, the nasal pack was removed and syringing was done from the upper punctum to check the patency of the lacrimal passage. Postoperatively, patients were given Ibuprofen 400 mg and ofloxacin 400 mg tablets, twice a day, for five days, and local ofloxacin and dexamethasone eye drops for three weeks. The skin sutures were removed after seven days.

The patients were followed up after seven days, one month, six months, and one year. On every visit syringing was done. A successful outcome was defined as elimination of epiphora, absence of dacryocystitis, and negative syringing test result (i.e., unrestricted flow of irrigated saline to the nose) one year after surgery.

Result

The patients were in the age group of 30 to 60 years, (Mean age was 45.24, ± 9.947). There were 18 males and 15 females.
Preoperatively all the patients had symptomatic epiphora and blocked syringing of the nasolacrimal passage. Mucocele was seen in five patients (15%) and three patients had a fistulous tract over the sac area (9%). Intraoperatively, blockage at the junction of the opening of the common canaliculas into the sac was seen in three patients. In these patients lacrimal intubation was done. A scarred osteum was seen in seven patients. The average size of the osteum was 4 x 4 mm. The osteotomy site made during TCLADCR was measured from the fundus of the sac with the help of a caliper. The osteum was situated 2 mm below the fundus of the sac in 21 patients (64%). No osteotomy site was seen in 10 patients (30%). The osteotomy site was 5 mm below the fundus of the sac in two patients (6%). Granuloma between the sac and the osteum identified during the separation of the sac from the bone was seen in two patients. Bleeding was not a major issue [Fig. 1]. In all patients it was not difficult to find out the details of the lacrimal sac, lacrimal bone, and nasal mucosa.

Postoperatively blocked syringing because of scarred tissue at the osteum, which was confirmed on nasal endoscopy, was seen in three patients. Symptomatic and anatomic success was seen in 30 patients (91%), at one year.

Discussion

There have been many studies showing the success rate of trans-canicular, laser-assisted dacryocystorhinostomy TCLADCR in a failed conventional DCR. However, a study showing the success rate and problems associated and intra-operative findings in failed TCLADCR is lacking. A study done by the same author shows a success rate of TCLADCR without stenting of 34% (unpublished data), but no stenting was done during the procedure.

In this series of 33 cases of failed TCLADCR, re-operation by conventional DCR has had a success rate of 91%. This compares well with the success rate in the primary operated cases of NLDO. Success in DCR surgery is compromised by a small osteum and blockage of the osteum by scarred tissue. Linberg et al. showed that an appropriately large osteotomy made during surgery can narrow down to a final size of approximately 2 mm due to tissue growth and scarring. The success rate can be increased by the use of intra-operative mitomycin-C, an anti-proliferative agent placed over the anastomized posterior flaps and the osteotomy site. The small osteotomy size compromises the success rate of TCLADCR. Many studies have reported a lower success rate of TCLADCR in the primary acquired cases of NLDO as well as revision cases of failed conventional DCR.

A failure in TLCDCR could be because of the improper osteotomy site. It is difficult to observe the site of osteotomy during TLCDCR. Placing it higher up in the sac can lead to ‘sumping,’ leading to fibrosis and granuloma formation at the osteotomy site. The osteotomy site was seen higher up in the bone in 21 (64%) cases. This site was 2 mm below the fundus of the sac. This was an interesting fact that was noted.

It is important not to fire a laser while negotiating the canalicular system. Inadvertent use of the laser can lead to damage at this site and the canalicular block. These patients require lacrimal intubation. Penetration of a laser in the sac and bone is very important. If the laser does not create an opening TCLADCR is likely to fail, as evidenced by 10 patients having no proper opening in the sac. In these patients, anatomy of the sac on the outer aspect and bone was not disturbed. This fact was not noticed while performing the TCLADCR. Making sac flaps and performing osteotomy was not a problem in any of the cases.

While performing conventional DCR in failed TCLADCR, bleeding and disturbed anatomy of the lacrimal sac was the main concern, but that was not a problem in any case. Patients (n = 3) having fistula between the sac and the skin had it excised during the surgery and it healed well postoperatively. Fistula was formed after an attack of acute dacrocystitis in failed cases of TCLADCR.

Conclusion

This study has shown the success rate of conventional DCR to the tune of 91% in failed cases of TCLADCR. Failure in TCLADCR was because of the small osteum and placement of the osteum at a higher level in the bone. Intra-operatively, there were no major problems encountered. One interesting fact noticed was the higher placement of the osteotomy site, which could have compromised the success rate in TCLADCR.
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The internal validity of the questionnaire was fair (Cronbach's Alpha = 0.6). It was concluded that the presence of relatives in the Operation Theater during the surgery could bring in more evidence, awareness, and trust.

Eleven (25%) attendees experienced a disadvantage. Twenty-seven (61%) recommended this practice for all and 16 (36%) recommended the practice selectively.

The relatives were escorted out by a nursing staff. Two members of the family were requested to wait outside of the Operation Theater for the time being, to be called just before the surgery began. The relative was dressed in a different colored clothes). The patient's relative was called in the Operation Theater immediately once the patient was stabilized. Of the 44 attendees, two left the operation theater during bed side surgical procedures in children.[4] A PubMed search did not show a study reporting the feedback of the relatives who ended the squint surgery of their wards.

Feed back of the parents and/or relatives witnessing a squint surgery of their ward in the operation theater. A trained secretary was informed that a medical doctor from the family or a relative with medical background would be a preferred choice. Caregivers were then given an option to choose to witness the surgery themselves in their native language, by the surgeon. Caregivers were then provided with a detailed explanation and printed brochure about the surgery. Parental presence in the Operation Theater is recommended for squint in a six-month period were included. Prior to the surgery, the parents were provided with a telephone call at their convenient time, in their preferred language of communication. All the patients operated were interviewed. Of the 44 attendees, two left the operation theater during resuscitation of the children,[3] and in case of anesthesia-related problems, where major resuscitation and activities of healthcare professionals are both barriers and facilitators to parent participation.

The present study was aimed at planning the Operation Theater practice, based on the feedback of the relatives who ended the squint surgery of their wards.

Materials and Methods

The aim of the study was to know the response of the relatives for squint surgery, witnessing a surgery in the operation theater. Indian J Ophthalmol 2011;59:385-7.

The feedback [Table 1] from the relative who attended in the operation theater, (but with different colored clothes). The relative was greeted and repeatedly assured of the smooth progress of the surgery and the general well being of the patient. The standard protocol was followed in dealing with complications that occurred during surgery. In case of anesthesia-related problems, where major resuscitation was required, the relative was requested to wait a few minutes, and then they were escorted out by a nursing staff. Two members of the family were requested to wait outside of the Operation Theater for the time being, to be called just before the surgery began. The relative was dressed in a different colored clothes. The patient's relative was called in the Operation Theater immediately once the patient was stabilized. Of the 44 attendees, two left the operation theater during resuscitation of the children, and in case of anesthesia-related problems, where major resuscitation and activities of healthcare professionals are both barriers and facilitators to parent participation.

The relatives were escorted out by a nursing staff. Two members of the family were requested to wait outside of the Operation Theater for the time being, to be called just before the surgery began. The relative was dressed in a different colored clothes. The patient's relative was called in the Operation Theater immediately once the patient was stabilized. Of the 44 attendees, two left the operation theater during resuscitation of the children, and in case of anesthesia-related problems, where major resuscitation and activities of healthcare professionals are both barriers and facilitators to parent participation.

Parental presence in the Operation Theater is recommended for squint in a six-month period were included. Prior to the surgery, the parents were provided with a telephone call at their convenient time, in their preferred language of communication. All the patients operated were interviewed. Of the 44 attendees, two left the operation theater during resuscitation of the children, and in case of anesthesia-related problems, where major resuscitation and activities of healthcare professionals are both barriers and facilitators to parent participation.

The present study was aimed at planning the Operation Theater practice, based on the feedback of the relatives who ended the squint surgery of their wards.