3dSS: 3D structural superposition
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ABSTRACT

3dSS is a web-based interactive computing server, primarily designed to aid researchers, to superpose two or several 3D protein structures. In addition, the server can be effectively used to find the invariant and common water molecules present in the superposed homologous protein structures. The molecular visualization tool RASMOL is interfaced with the server to visualize the superposed 3D structures with the water molecules (invariant or common) in the client machine. Furthermore, an option is provided to save the superposed 3D atomic coordinates in the client machine. To perform the above, users need to enter Protein Data Bank (PDB)-id(s) or upload the atomic coordinates in PDB format. This server uses a locally maintained PDB anonymous FTP server that is being updated weekly. This program can be accessed through our Bioinformatics web server at the URL http://cluster.physics.iisc.ernet.in/3dss/ or http://10.188.1.15/3dss/.

INTRODUCTION

In the post-genome era, the structural and conformational properties of the 3D protein molecules are of considerable interest owing to its importance in various biological processes. Owing to the recent technological advances like high power tunable synchrotron radiation, powerful number crunching computers and due to ambitious structural genomics programs in different parts of the world, there has been a tremendous increase in the number of 3D structures. Currently, there are ~34,000 3D structures available in the Protein Data Bank (PDB). Now there are several websites that provide 3D structures of homologous protein structures. Hence, it is necessary to find the invariant and common water molecules (for definition see below) in homologous protein structures, which are attributed as the original place of publication with the correct citation details given; if an article is subsequently reproduced or disseminated not in its entirety but only in part or as a derivative work this must be clearly indicated. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oxfordjournals.org
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homologous structures (the inhibitor free and inhibitor bound structure) are known as invariant water molecules. Further, such situation is also possible in multi-subunit protein structures. For example, if a molecule has four identical subunits, the water molecules that interact with the residues in the same position in different subunits (e.g. subunit A and B) can be considered as invariant water molecules. On the other hand, common water molecules are those, which lie at the interface and interact with the selected subunits.

In the computing server, two widely recognized programs STAMP (19) and ProFit (A. C. R. Martin, http://www.bioinf.org.uk/software/profit/) are deployed for superposition purposes. The program STAMP uses multiple sequence alignment using the amino acid sequence information followed by an initial superposition of structures. In contrast, the program ProFit uses the McLachlan fitting algorithm, essentially a steepest descent minimization (3). The user-friendly molecular visualization tool RASMOL (20) is interfaced to view the superposed molecules in the client machine. This server is developed using PERL, HTML and JAVASCRIPT. Ploticus [Copyright 1998–2002, Stephan C. Grugg (scg@jax.org)], a data display engine is used for generating plots to display root mean square deviation (r.m.s.d.) graphically.

DATA PRESENTATION AND AVAILABILITY
The software is developed and optimized for Intel based Solaris (Version 10.0) and is driven by 3.0 GHz pentium IV processor equipped with 2 GB RD RAM. This operating system is chosen for better security, scalability and reliability. The software and its functionalities are well tested on Windows 95/98/2000, Linux and SGI platforms. During validation of the software, we realized that two web browsers, namely, NETSCAPE (version 4.7 and 7.2) and MOZILLA behaved well. To visualize the superposed 3D structures in the client machine, user needs to interface the molecular visualization tool RASMOL (only for the first time usage of the software) and the necessary instructions are provided in the link (http://cluster.physics.iisc.ernet.in/3dss/rasmol.html). The following are the four major options provided in the proposed computing server.

(a) Superpose only two structures,
(b) Superpose several structures,
(c) Superpose subunits within a structure, and
(d) Superpose different models present in NMR ensemble.

All the above options, allow users to select the structures available in the PDB by providing its unique PDB-id or by uploading the 3D atomic coordinates (PDB format) from the local hard disk of the client machine. Once the file is uploaded, the program automatically culls the input PDB file and displays all the chain details of the structure in a convenient form. Using the check box, users can select the entire file, a particular chain or a portion of the chain(s) for superposition. For the option (b), firstly the user needs to provide the number of molecules to be superposed on the fixed molecule.

Figure 1. The screen snapshot shows the superposition of 12 structures of recombinant phospholipase A2. The top panel shows the status of superposition and the right RASMOL graphics panel displays the superposition in different colors (see the last column of the top panel for coloring scheme). The bottom left panel shows the graphical display of the r.m.s.d. values of the 12 structures and is generated using the data display engine, Ploticus. It is clear from the plot that the region 60–70 is having large deviations compared with the remaining portion of the molecule.
Based on this number, provisions will be available to the user to either supply the PDB-id’s or upload the 3D atomic coordinates from the client machine. By default, the server produces only the structural superposition output. It is worth mentioning that necessary check boxes are provided in the options (a) and (b) to find the invariant water molecules present in the structures. Owing to computational complexity, the number of structures to be superposed on the fixed molecule is limited to 20 at any given time. For option (c), the molecule needs to contain more than one copy of the same polypeptide chain. Using this option, the users can perform three different calculations: (i) superpose different subunits present in a selected structure, (ii) superpose and identify the invariant water molecules and (iii) identify the common water molecules. The option (d) performs structural superposition of various models present in a NMR ensemble and the user can select the models of interest. Here again, the number of mobile molecules is limited to 20 for superposition. In the first three major options, the server displays all models of NMR structure so that the users can select any particular model using the pull-down menu. As mentioned above, two superposition programs (STAMP and ProFit) are deployed for structural superposition and the user has the freedom to choose a program of interest. A detailed output containing r.m.s.d. values, sequence identity, rotation matrix, translation vector and so on will be displayed. Most importantly, users can save the superposed atomic coordinates in the local client machine for further analysis. The users of the program are requested to cite this article and the URL address in their research proceedings.

**CASE STUDY**

The output of a typical superposition of 12 (native, mutants and inhibitor complexes) structures of recombinant phospholipase A2 (21–23) (1VL9, 1UNE, 1MKV, 1MTK, 1KVX, 1Q2E, 1VKQ, 1IRB, 1GH4 and 1C74 solved using X-ray crystallography is shown in Figure 1. The PDB-id 1VL9 is used as a fixed molecule and the remaining 11 structures are treated as mobile molecules (molecules to be superposed on the fixed molecule). The program STAMP is used for superposition. The top panel shows a detailed output like status of superposition, sequence identity, stamp score and r.m.s.d. values. The RASMOL graphics panel on the right shows the superposition of all the structures in different colors. Figure 2 displays the invariant water molecules in six different crystal structures of Oligo-peptide binding proteins (OppA) (24). The structure (1B4Z [457]) is used as fixed molecule and the remaining five (1B32 [437], 1B3F [455], 1B3G [356], 1B46 [374] and 1B51 [433]) are treated as mobile molecules. The number within braces represents the number of water molecules present in the 3D structures. The server reports 209 invariant water molecules in all the structures. It is interesting to note that 58.7% (209/356) of the water molecules is invariant. The invariant water molecules are identified after superposition within a distance of 1.8 Å (between the water molecules). Figure 3 shows the invariant water molecules between different subunits of a tetramer. The PDB-id used here is 1JAC (25) and it has eight different chains [four heavy chains (A, C, E, G) and four light chains (B, D, F, H)]. The superposition of different chains A, B, C, D (green) and E, F, G, H (red) along with 36 invariant water
**Figure 3.** The output panel depicts the superposition of eight different chains along with 36 invariant water molecules in PDB-id: 1JAC. The chains A, B, C, D (fixed) are colored green and the color red is used for the chains E, F, G, H (mobile). The invariant water molecules are having the same color as the corresponding subunits.

**Figure 4.** The output shows the common water molecules between the subunits A and B. The RASMOL panel shows eight common water molecules (blue color). This is carried out using the option (c) ‘Superpose subunits within a structure and ‘identify common water molecules’.”
molecules and their interactions with the subunits are shown. The calculation is performed using the options ‘Superpose subunits within a structure’ and ‘identify invariant water molecules’. The common water molecules between two different subunits (only subunit A and B are used) of a tetrameric protein [PDB-id 1J4S (26)] are shown in Figure 4. Here, the options (c), ‘Superpose subunits within a structure’ and ‘Identify common water molecules’ are used. The subunits A and B are shown in green and red colors, respectively. There are eight water molecules (blue), which are common between the chains A and B.

CONCLUSIONS
At the outset, 3dSS is created to better serve the research community working in the area of structural bioinformatics. This computing server is very useful to superpose either complete or partial structures. Furthermore, the server can effectively be used to identify the invariant and common water molecules. The knowledge base (PDB) used by the server is up-to-date and hence the user will be able to access the latest information available in the PDB. As described, it is tempting to conclude that the software will certainly be beneficial for many macromolecular crystallographers and the undergraduate/graduate students working in the area of structural bioinformatics.
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