Inhibition of lipoxygenase affects induction of both direct and indirect plant defences against herbivorous insects
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Abstract  Herbivore-induced plant defences influence the behaviour of insects associated with the plant. For biting–chewing herbivores the octadecanoid signal-transduction pathway has been suggested to play a key role in induced plant defence. To test this hypothesis in our plant—herbivore—parasitoid tritrophic system, we used phenidone, an inhibitor of the enzyme lipoxygenase (LOX), that catalyses the initial step in the octadecanoid pathway. Phenidone treatment of Brussels sprouts plants reduced the accumulation of internal signalling compounds in the octadecanoid pathway downstream of the step catalysed by LOX, i.e. 12-oxo-phytodienoic acid (OPDA) and jasmonic acid. The attraction of Cotesia glomerata parasitoids to host-infested plants was significantly reduced by phenidone treatment. The three herbivores investigated, i.e. the specialists Plutella xylostella, Pieris brassicae and Pieris rapae, showed different oviposition preferences for intact and infested plants, and for two species their preference for either intact or infested plants was shown to be LOX dependent. Our results show that phenidone inhibits the LOX-dependent defence response of the plant and that this inhibition can influence the behaviour of members of the associated insect community.
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Introduction

Insects can use herbivore-induced plant chemicals as cues during host selection (Bruinsma and Dicke 2008; D’Aleßandro and Turlings 2006; Schoonhoven et al. 2005). Both herbivores and their carnivorous natural enemies can use information on the infestation status of plants to their own benefit. Carnivores search for plants infested with their host or prey, while most herbivores prefer uninfested plants, avoiding oviposition on plants that are conspicuous to their enemies and might harbour herbivorous competitors (Dicke 2000; Dicke and Vet 1999; Schoonhoven et al. 2005; Turlings et al. 1990). However, in some cases, oviposition on plants infested with heterospecific herbivores can be advantageous, because it can decrease the searching efficiency of their enemies (Shiojiri et al. 2002). Infestation of a plant with a herbivorous insect leads to induced responses that may drastically change interactions of the plant with community members over longer time periods (Kessler and Baldwin 2004; Poelman et al. 2008a, b; Van Zandt and Agrawal 2004). Understanding which mechanisms underlie such induced responses allows the experimental manipulation...
of these responses in order to investigate plant phenotypic plasticity in the context of community ecology (Kessler and Baldwin 2002).

The octadecanoid pathway has been shown to play an important role in plant responses to caterpillar damage (e.g. Arimura et al. 2005; De Vos et al. 2005; Dicke and Van Poecke 2002; Kessler and Baldwin 2002; Van Poecke 2007). Lipoygenase (LOX) is a key enzyme in this pathway and is induced by wounding. The conversion of linolenic acid into its 9- and 13-hydroperoxides is catalysed by 9- and 13-LOXs (Feussner and Wasternack 2002). The hydroperoxides are subsequently converted to aldehydes and oxoacids. Products derived from 13(S)-hydroperoxylinolenic acid can be further transformed by several enzymes to eventually produce jasmonic acid (JA) or, when mediated by hydroperoxide lyase, to the green leaf volatile (Z)-3-hexenal, and subsequently to (Z)-3-hexen-1-ol and (Z)-3-hexen-1-yl acetate (Fig. 1; Kessler and Baldwin 2002; Koch et al. 1999). LOX-deficient plants are more susceptible to herbivore attack (Halitschke and Baldwin 2003; Kessler et al. 2004; Royo et al. 1999). Furthermore, caterpillar damage upregulates the expression of LOX genes in plants such as Arabidopsis thaliana, tobacco and tomato (Bell et al. 1995; Halitschke and Baldwin 2003; Heitz et al. 1997), as well as in the plant we studied, Brassica oleracea (Zheng et al. 2007). The redox-active compound 1-phenylpyrazolidinone (phenidone) is known to inhibit the activity of LOXs (Fig. 1; Cucurou et al. 1991; Engelberth et al. 2001; Koch et al. 1999), by reducing the active form of LOX to an inactive form. Therefore, phenidone is an effective inhibitor of the octadecanoid pathway, and we hypothesised that it would inhibit the plant’s induced defence system (Dicke and Van Poecke 2002) and therefore affect its interactions with the associated insect community.

Indeed, several studies found that in Lima bean plants (Phaseolus lunatus) phenidone treatment inhibited the emission of several volatiles upon treatment with cellulysin, a fungal elicitor of the octadecanoid pathway (Engelberth et al. 2001; Koch et al. 1999; Piel et al. 1997). Besides plant volatile emission, extra floral nectar (EFN) secretion is also affected by LOX inhibition. Exogenous application of phenidone resulted in a suppression of EFN secretion of nine Acacia species, but treatment with JA could restore the EFN secretion (Heil et al. 2004). The inhibitory effect of phenidone is not restricted to LOXs from plants, it also inhibits lipo- and cyclooxygenases from animals (Cucurou et al. 1991; Hlasta et al. 1991; Li et al. 2008).

In the present study, we tested the hypotheses that: (1) inhibition of LOX, as the primary catalytic step in the octadecanoid pathway, will lead to reduced herbivore-induced plant defence in terms of oxylipin accumulation; (2) a reduced level of direct plant defence will reduce avoidance behaviour of herbivorous insects attacking the plant; (3) a reduced level of indirect plant defence will affect the emission of herbivore-induced plant volatiles and reduce the attraction of carnivorous insects. We studied the interactions between B. oleracea, three biting–chewing specialist herbivores, i.e. Plutella xylostella, Pieris rapae and Pieris brassicae, and the endoparasitoid Cotesia glomerata, a natural enemy of the latter two species. To achieve LOX inhibition, we applied phenidone as a specific inhibitor.

Materials and methods

Insect and plant material

Brussels sprouts plants, Brassica oleracea L. var. gemmifera cv. Cyrus, were grown from seeds in plastic pots (11 × 11 cm) in a greenhouse at 20–28°C, 40–80% relative humidity (RH) and a 16:8-h light:dark (L:D) photoperiod (>200 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ photosynthetically active radiation; QMSW-SS quantum meter; Apogee Instruments, Logan, Utah). The large cabbage white, Pieris brassicae L., the small cabbage white, Pieris rapae L. (Lepidoptera: Pieridae), and the diamondback moth Plutella xylostella L. (Lepidoptera: Yponomeutidae) were reared on Brussels sprouts plants in a climatised room at 20–22°C, 50–70% RH and a 16:8-h L:D photoperiod. The parasitoid wasp Cotesia glomerata L. (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) was maintained on P. brassicae feeding on Brussels sprouts plants in a greenhouse at 22–24°C, 50–70% RH and a 16:8-h L:D photoperiod. Adult wasps emerged in a cage without any plants or hosts (and were therefore designated naive with respect to cues related to herbivore-infested plants), and were provided with honey and kept at the same climatic conditions as the rearing until use in the experiments.

Fig. 1 Representation of the octadecanoid pathway from α-linolenic acid (after Creelman and Mulpuri 2002; D’Auria et al. 2007)
Plant treatments

Six- to 7-week-old plants, with eight to nine leaves, were sprayed with 15 ml of a 2 mM aqueous solution of the inhibitor phenidone containing 0.1% of polyoxy-ethylene-orbitan monolaurate (Tween 20) (both obtained from Sigma–Aldrich, St Louis, Mo.) until run-off. After 30 min, 15 P. brassicae or P. rapae second-instar larvae were placed on the three middle leaves of the plant i.e. five caterpillars per leaf. To test the effect of this inhibitor treatment we used two control treatments: plants that were treated with a 0.1% Tween 20 solution and after 30 min infested with 15 P. brassicae or P. rapae larvae to induce a full volatile blend, and plants that were treated solely with the inhibitor solution. After 24 h at 22–24°C, 50–70% RH and a 16:8-h L:D photoperiod, the plants were used in the bioassays.

Oviposition preference of P. rapae and P. brassicae

Adult butterflies emerged from pupae in a 67 x 100 x 75-cm cage in a greenhouse compartment at 22–24°C and 50–70% RH. Artificial light (SON-T sodium vapour lamps, 500 W; Philips, The Netherlands) was used in the cage from 8.00 a.m. until 2.00 p.m. in addition to natural daylight. The butterflies were provided with a 10% sucrose solution to feed on and a Brussels sprouts plant for oviposition. One day before an experiment started, one male and one female butterfly were introduced into a 67 x 50 x 75-cm experimental oviposition cage. They were provided with sucrose solution to feed on. Two leaves, freshly excised from plants belonging to two different treatment groups were introduced into the cages at 8.30 a.m. and the butterflies were allowed to oviposit until 2.00 p.m. Subsequently, the leaves were removed from the cages and the number of eggs on each leaf was counted. The experiment was performed using ten butterfly pairs per day and each treatment was replicated 20–30 times. Each day, new pairs of butterflies and new plants were used.

First, leaves infested with caterpillars were tested against uninfested leaves, to test whether the butterflies discriminated between them. The leaves were infested with larvae of the same species as the butterflies whose behaviour was investigated. Subsequently, for P. brassicae butterflies we tested: (1) locally infested leaves with and without phenidone, and (2) phenidone-treated leaves with and without caterpillars.

To test the effect of pure phenidone on the oviposition preference of P. brassicae butterflies, intact plants were sprayed with either phenidone or control solution and the preference of P. brassicae for leaf material excised from these plants was tested 24 h later.

Oviposition preference of P. xylostella

Plutella xylostella prefers to lay eggs on cabbage leaves infested with conspecific larvae (Shiojiri and Takabayashi 2003) or Pieris rapae caterpillars over uninfested leaves (Poelman et al. 2008a; Shiojiri et al. 2002). We tested whether this preference could be modified by inhibiting LOX. The set-up of these experiments was the same as used by Poelman et al. (2008a). One male and one female moth were placed in a plastic cylinder (diameter 13 cm, height 22 cm) with two excised leaves that had been treated 24 h before. The females were allowed to oviposit overnight, and the number of eggs on each leaf was counted the next morning. We first tested leaves from an infested plant against leaves from an intact plant. Subsequently, we tested leaves from plants treated with phenidone and infested with 15 P. rapae caterpillars against leaves from intact plants treated with phenidone. Both infested (locally damaged leaves) and systemic leaves (leaves without damage, but from a damaged plant) from these plants were tested. As a final comparison we tested leaves from two infested plants against each other, one of which was sprayed with 0.1% Tween 20 and the other with phenidone solution.

Bioassays with C. glomerata

To determine whether the application of phenidone to infested plants changed the attractiveness of the plants for C. glomerata, we performed dual-choice windtunnel tests (Geervliet et al. 1994). In the windtunnel, a plant infested with P. brassicae and treated with the inhibitor was tested: (1) against a plant infested with P. brassicae without inhibitor, and (2) against an uninfested plant treated with the inhibitor. All combinations were tested on at least 5 different experimental days and the position of the plants in the windtunnel was switched after five wasps to avoid any possible directional bias. Brussels sprouts plants were treated the same way as for the herbivore experiments and tested 24 h after treatment, except that whole plants were used instead of freshly excised leaves. Naive wasps were used when they were 4–7 days old. Female wasps were separated from the males on the day before the experiment. They were released individually in the windtunnel on a piece of leaf from a previously infested Brussels sprouts plant from which all caterpillars, their excreta and silk had been removed just prior to the experiment. The release point was 60 cm downwind from the two plants. The wasps were observed until they landed on one of the plants. When a wasp did not land on a plant within 10 min, this was recorded as no-choice, and the wasp was discarded from the analysis. The windtunnel conditions were set at 25–27°C, 60–80% RH and a wind speed of 20 cm s⁻¹ (Thermisches Anemometer; Lambrecht, Göttingen, Germany).
Oxylipin analysis

For 12-oxo-phytodienoic acid (OPDA) and JA analysis leaf material was sampled from five plants of each of five treatments: leaves from undamaged plants, damaged leaves from plants with 15 P. rapae caterpillars, damaged leaves from plants with 15 P. brassicae caterpillars, damaged leaves from plants sprayed with 2 mM phenidone and infested with 15 P. rapae caterpillars, and damaged leaves from plants sprayed with 2 mM phenidone and infested with 15 P. brassicae caterpillars. Leaf samples were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen after sampling and subsequently stored at −80°C until analysis. For OPDA and JA analysis frozen plant material (ca. 500 mg fresh weight) was transferred into a 2-ml vial. After addition of a ceramic bead (6 mm diameter), tissue was homogenised with a vibrating ball mill (20 s⁻¹, 3 min). Methanol (1 ml), internal standards (100 ng [D₅]OPDA and 50 ng dihydrojasmonic acid), and 50 µl acetic acid were added and the mixture was homogenised again (30 s⁻¹, 3 min). After centrifugation (10 min, 14,000 r.p.m.; centrifuge 5415C; Eppendorf, Hamburg), 800 µl of the supernatant was transferred to a 1.5-ml Eppendorf cup and dried in a vacuum centrifuge Christ Speed-Vac RVC 2-18 (Christ, Osterode am Harz, Germany). The residue was dissolved in 20 µl acetonitrile and transferred to a microvial. Prior to high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC)—mass spectrometry (MS) analysis, 80 µl of ammonium acetate (1 mM, pH 6.6) was added. Analysis was carried out on a Waters/Micromass (Milford, Mass.) Quattro Premier Triple Quadrupole mass spectrometer coupled to an Agilent 1200 series (Agilent, Waldbronn, Germany) HPLC system, equipped with a 1200 binary pump and 1200 standard autosampler. A precolumn (Purospher Star 18e; 4 × 4 mm, 5-µm particle size; Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) and Purospher Star RP 18e column (125 × 2 mm, 5-µm particle size; Merck) were used. The injection volume was 15 µl, and the HPLC flow rate was 0.2 ml min⁻¹ using the following gradient of ammonium acetate (1 mM, pH 6.6):acetonitrile—10 min at 95:5, 5 min at 5-95, then at a flow rate of 0.3 ml min⁻¹ for 15 min at 95:5. Mass spectra were acquired using electrospray ionisation in negative ion mode and multiple reaction monitoring (MRM). The capillary and cone voltage were set at 3.00 kV and 40.00 V, the flow rates of cone gas and desolvation gas were 50 and 800 l/h, and the source temperature and desolvation temperature were 120 and 400°C, respectively. Data were acquired with MassLynx 4.1 software. Quantification of the compounds was performed by integration of the peak area in the MRM chromatograms. Oxylipin concentrations were calculated by reference to the integrated peak areas of the internal standards.

Statistical analysis

Data on parasitoid behaviour in response to the same plant treatments and obtained on different days were pooled after testing for differences between experimental days using a n × 2 contingency table test (SAS 9.1) and analysed with a
binomial test (MS Excel). Herbivore oviposition preference was tested, depending on the distribution of the data, with a paired t-test or a Wilcoxon matched-pair signed-ranks test. OPDA and JA levels were compared with ANOVA with LSD post-hoc tests using SPSS 15.0. The volatile patterns of differently treated plants were analysed using principal component analysis (PCA) and projection to latent structures-discriminant analysis (PLS-DA) using the software program SIMCA-P 10.5 (Umetrics, Umeå, Sweden) (Eriksson et al. 2001; Wold et al. 1989). These methods aim to identify which compounds are important for the differences between the complex volatile blends resulting from the three plant treatments. PCA obtains so-called scores by projecting data observations onto model planes, which are defined by the extracted principal components. The integrated peak areas, corrected for the fresh weight of the plants, were normalised, i.e. peak areas of all analysed compounds (x variables) were log transformed (the constant 0.00001 was added to provide non-detectable components with a small non-zero value; Sjödin et al. 1989) and mean-centred, scaled to unit variance and represented as a matrix x (Eriksson et al. 2001). The objective of PLS-DA is to find a model that discriminates the x data according to the plant treatments (Eriksson et al. 2001). PLS-DA is a supervised technique, so class memberships of the observations need to be predefined. Therefore, an additional y matrix was made with G columns containing the values 1 and 0 as dummy variables for each of the plant treatments respectively. The number of significant PCs and PLS components were determined by cross-validation (Eriksson et al. 2001; Wold et al. 1989). In addition, we calculated the variable importance in the projection (VIP). Variables with VIP values larger than 1 are most influential for the model (Eriksson et al. 2001; Paolucci et al. 2004).

Results

Oviposition preference of *P. brassicae*

For the herbivores we first assessed oviposition preference for infested versus uninfested leaves. *P. brassicae* discriminated between infested and uninfested leaves, and preferred uninfested over infested leaves (Wilcoxon matched-pair signed-ranks test: \( Z = -3.244, n = 31, P = 0.001 \); Fig. 2a). When infested and uninfested plants were treated with
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phenidone *P. brassicae* did not discriminate between the treatments, although there still was a tendency towards preference for uninfested plants (Wilcoxon matched pair signed ranks test: \( Z = -1.894, n = 33, P = 0.058; \) Fig. 2b). When infested leaves that had been pre-treated with phenidone or control solution were compared, the butterflies did not prefer one treatment over the other (Wilcoxon matched pair signed ranks test: \( Z = -0.573, n = 36, P = 0.573; \) Fig. 2c). Phenidone treatment of intact plants did not affect *P. brassicae* oviposition behaviour: the butterflies did not discriminate between intact plants treated with either phenidone or control solution (Wilcoxon matched pair signed ranks test: \( Z = -0.211, n = 22, P = 0.842 \)).

Oviposition preference of *P. rapae*

*Pieris rapae* did not discriminate between infested and uninfested plants, for the experiments with 15 caterpillars per plant and 24 h feeding, although a tendency towards uninfested leaves was observed (paired \( t \)-test: \( t = 1.797, df = 42, P = 0.079 \)). As expected, phenidone treatment did not affect the oviposition preference of *P. rapae* (Wilcoxon matched pair signed ranks test: \( Z = -1.656, n = 33, P = 0.099 \)). When the amount of damage was increased by a threefold increase in caterpillar density, the butterflies did discriminate and preferred the uninfested leaves over infested leaves (Wilcoxon matched pair signed ranks test: \( Z = -3.531, n = 24, P < 0.001 \)).

Oviposition preference of *P. xylostella*

In contrast to the *Pieris* butterflies, *Plutella xylostella* moths prefer leaves infested with *Pieris rapae* over uninfested leaves (Wilcoxon matched pair signed ranks test: \( Z = -4.541, n = 44, P < 0.001; \) Fig. 3a). However, when phenidone was sprayed on the plants before infestation, this treatment eliminated the preference for the infested plants (Wilcoxon matched pair signed ranks test: \( Z = -1.542, n = 46, P = 0.123; \) Fig. 3b), and did discriminate between infested plants sprayed with phenidone or Tween 20 solution, *P. xylostella* preferring the ones sprayed with Tween 20 (Wilcoxon matched pair signed ranks test: \( Z = -2.892, n = 40, P = 0.004; \) Fig. 3c).

*Plutella xylostella* did not discriminate between the systemic leaves (undamaged leaves from infested plants) from infested and uninfested plants, although they tended to

---

**Fig. 3** Oviposition preference of *Plutella xylostella* on 

- **a** *Pieris rapae*-infested versus uninfested (control) leaves, 
- **b** phenidone-treated leaves with or without *Pieris rapae*, 
- **c** *Pieris rapae*-infested leaves with or without phenidone. The thick line indicates the median, the box represents the interquartile range from first to third quartile. 

** ** \( P < 0.01 \), *** \( P < 0.001 \) (Wilcoxon matched pair signed rank test)
prefer the leaves from infested plants (Wilcoxon matched pair signed ranks test: $Z = -1.635, n = 41, P = 0.102$). Not surprisingly, the moths did not discriminate between leaves from uninfested and infested plants either when both were treated with phenidone, but the tendency we observed in the previous comparison disappeared (Wilcoxon matched pair signed ranks test: $Z = -0.110, n = 44, P = 0.912$).

The moths also deposited many eggs on the cage walls. The distribution of eggs that were deposited on leaves or on the cage differed between treatments (contingency table test: $\chi^2 = 130.3, df = 4, P < 0.001$). The percentages seem to depend on the attractiveness of the leaves offered. When the leaves from the most attractive plants in our tests (locally damaged plants without phenidone treatment) were offered as one of the two alternatives, the moths deposited on average 36 and 46% of their eggs on the cage, while for the other tests the percentages varied from 52 to 59%.

Bioassays with C. glomerata parasitoids

P. brassicae-infested plants treated with phenidone were less attractive to C. glomerata than infested plants treated with control solution (binomial test, $n = 42, P = 0.008$; Fig. 4). However, infested plants treated with phenidone were still more attractive than intact plants sprayed with phenidone (binomial test, $n = 39, P < 0.001$; Fig. 4).

Oxylipin analysis

To test whether phenidone treatment of Brussels sprouts plants affects the accumulation of octadecanoid-pathway intermediates downstream from LOX, we analysed OPDA and JA levels. The results show an increase in OPDA and JA levels after P. brassicae feeding (ANOVA LSD post-hoc tests: OPDA, $P = 0.001$; JA, $P < 0.001$). Application of phenidone before infestation resulted in lower concentrations of OPDA and JA compared to the infested plant without phenidone (ANOVA LSD post-hoc tests: OPDA, $P < 0.001$; JA, $P < 0.001$; Fig. 5).

For P. rapae feeding the effect was similar for JA levels and somewhat less strong for OPDA. OPDA and JA levels increased upon caterpillar feeding (ANOVA LSD post-hoc tests: OPDA, $P = 0.017$; JA, $P < 0.001$) and were lower after pre-treatment with phenidone, though not significantly for OPDA (ANOVA LSD post-hoc tests: OPDA, $P = 0.112$; JA, $P = 0.001$; Fig. 5).

Volatile analysis

In the headspace of phenidone-treated intact plants, P. brassicae-infested plants, and phenidone-treated P. brassicae-infested Brussels sprouts plants, we detected 18 compounds (alcohols, esters, aldehydes, and terpenoids; Table 1). Major compounds in all volatile blends were the monoterpenes sabine, limonene, and 1,8-cineole, and the green leaf volatile (Z)-3-hexen-1-yl acetate. Plants with feeding damage emitted many compounds in larger amounts than intact plants. Several, though not all, green leaf volatiles and terpenes were emitted in slightly lower amounts after phenidone treatment. The PCA extracted one significant principal component that explained 44.7% of the variation in the data (Fig. 6a). Although all three treatments showed considerable variation, they could be significantly separated by PLS-DA that takes the total blend into account (1 PLS-component, $R^2_X = 0.418, R^2_Y = 0.333, Q^2 = 0.164$). PLS-DA mostly separated the infested plants from
phenidone-treated intact plants, while the phenidone-treated infested plants differ slightly from the Tween-treated infested plants (Fig. 6b). Compounds that were most influential for the separation of the groups (based on VIP values) were (Z)-3-hexen-1-yl acetate (VIP = 1.49), (Z)-3-hexen-1-ol (VIP = 1.46) and (E)-4,8-dimethyl-1,3,7-nonatriene [(E)-DMNT; VIP = 1.42; Fig. 6c].

**Discussion**

We demonstrate that the inhibition of the first enzymatic step in the octadecanoid pathway influences the responses of three herbivores and a parasitoid to infested *Brassica* plants. To our knowledge this is the first study that uses an inhibitor of the octadecanoid pathway, such as phenidone, to study not only plant responses, but to investigate also the effect of LOX inhibition on the behavioural responses to the plants by insects at two trophic levels. Treatment with phenidone before infestation reduces LOX-dependent plant responses that subsequently influence the behavioural responses of herbivorous and carnivorous insects, confirming the three hypotheses we tested. This approach provides insight into the sensitivity of insects to plant metabolomic changes resulting from induction of the octadecanoid pathway. Recently, two inhibitors (glyphosate and fosmidomycin) of other pathways (the shikimic acid and the methylyerythritol 4-phosphate pathways, respectively) were shown to be suitable tools with which to study induced indirect plant defences (Bruinsma and Dicke 2008; D’Alessandro et al. 2006; D’Alessandro and Turlings 2006; Mumm et al. 2008).

Phenidone treatment of Brussels sprouts plants resulted in a reduced attractiveness of infested plants to *C. glomerata*. Two other inhibitors of the same pathway, diethyldithiocarbamic acid and propyl gallate, had similar negative effects on *C. glomerata* attraction (Bruinsma 2008). The herbivores *P. rapae* and *P. brassicae* were less sensitive to changes in plant metabolic profiles induced by caterpillar feeding and LOX inhibition respectively than their natural enemy *C. glomerata*. We found that *P. rapae* did not discriminate between undamaged plants and plants with feeding damage caused by 15 caterpillars for 24 h. Only high densities of *P. rapae* caterpillars (45 per plant) that are much higher than densities occurring in the field (Poelman et al. 2008a) change the oviposition preference of the butterflies. Previous studies have obtained diverse results with *P. rapae* oviposition. Poelman et al. (2008a) found no preferences of *P. rapae* for either infested or uninfested leaves of two cabbage cultivars when infested with ten *P. rapae* for 1 week. In contrast, Sato et al. (1999) observed a preference for *Rorippa indica* plants infested with 100 *P. rapae*

| Compound | Pieris brassicae | Phenidone + P. brassicae | Phenidone | VIP values |
|----------|------------------|-------------------------|-----------|------------|
| 1        | 2-Methyl-1-propanol | 1.3 ± 1.0               | 3.3 ± 1.8 | 1.28       |
| 2        | Hexanal           | 1.3 ± 0.4               | 11.2 ± 9.5| 0.94       |
| 3        | (Z)-3-Hexen-1-ol  | 6.5 ± 1.9               | 6.6 ± 1.7 | 0.5 ± 0.3  | 1.46       |
| 4        | α-Thujene         | 18.1 ± 4.6              | 15.0 ± 3.5| 9.8 ± 1.7  | 1.10       |
| 5        | α-Pinene          | 10.9 ± 2.0              | 9.3 ± 1.6 | 6.6 ± 1.0  | 1.15       |
| 6        | Benzaldehyde      | 26.5 ± 2.7              | 26.5 ± 3.9| 19.9 ± 6.1 | 0.56       |
| 7        | Sabinene          | 81.0 ± 13.5             | 72.3 ± 16.7| 45.4 ± 7.5 | 1.02       |
| 8        | β-Pinene          | 7.6 ± 1.9               | 5.2 ± 1.7 | 4.2 ± 1.2  | 1.18       |
| 9        | Myrcene           | 16.5 ± 5.5              | 16.9 ± 4.6| 10.4 ± 2.1 | 0.75       |
| 10       | (Z)-3-Hexen-1-yl acetate | 29.6 ± 14.0   | 27.8 ± 7.1| 1.0 ± 1.0  | 1.49       |
| 11       | Hexyl acetate     | 1.0 ± 1.0               | 0.9 ± 0.6 | n.d.       | 0.54       |
| 12       | Limonene          | 44.0 ± 9.6              | 48.1 ± 11.3| 32.0 ± 6.5 | 0.67       |
| 13       | β-Phellandrene    | 0.4 ± 0.2               | 0.6 ± 0.3 | 0.3 ± 0.2  | 0.19       |
| 14       | 1,8-Cineole       | 29.3 ± 6.2              | 25.7 ± 7.4| 14.4 ± 3.9 | 0.99       |
| 15       | β-Isophorone      | 2.0 ± 1.2               | 3.3 ± 1.5 | 3.6 ± 1.2  | 0.62       |
| 16       | (E)-4-Thujanol    | 3.6 ± 2.6               | 1.7 ± 0.7 | 1.0 ± 0.3  | 0.54       |
| 17       | (E)-DMNT          | 1.3 ± 0.4               | 0.5 ± 0.3 | n.d.       | 1.42       |
| 18       | Isophorone        | 1.8 ± 1.4               | 2.2 ± 1.4 | 1.3 ± 0.9  | 0.33       |
| 19       | 2-Tertiary-butylcyclohexyl acetate | 0.5 ± 0.5 | n.d.       | 0.7 ± 0.4  | 1.17       |
| 20       | α-Gurjunene       | 3.3 ± 1.3               | 2.7 ± 1.0 | 4.2 ± 1.5  | 1.14       |
| Total    |                   | 358.8 ± 46.4            | 331.6 ± 74.3| 200.5 ± 40.2|           |
larvae for 24 h in a field experiment; however, this experiment tested only one plant per treatment and the caterpillar density was unrealistically high. Bruinsma et al. (2007) compared the oviposition preference of *P. rapae* on JA-induced and non-induced leaves and found that the butterflies preferred non-induced leaves, at doses of 0.1 and 1 mM JA, but did not discriminate at lower doses, whereas the parasitoid *C. glomerata* was attracted by plants induced with 0.01 mM (Bruinsma et al. 2009). Thus, both after induction by JA or herbivores, and inhibition of the octadecanoid pathway with phenidone, *C. glomerata* is more sensitive to changes in plant chemistry than *P. rapae*.

*P. brassicae* was more selective than *P. rapae*. Large cabbage white butterflies discriminated between uninfested plants and plants that were damaged by 15 *P. brassicae* caterpillars for 24 h. Treatment with phenidone of both uninfested and infested plants eliminated this preference. Since *C. glomerata* was less attracted to infested plants when they were treated with phenidone, lower induction levels due to phenidone treatment may reduce the risk of parasitism for *P. brassicae*. The fundamentally different oviposition strategies of the two *Pieris* species can explain the difference in selectiveness between them. *P. rapae* is a solitary butterfly, which means that it lays a single egg at a time and spreads its eggs over many plants. *P. brassicae*, on the other hand, is a gregarious butterfly and lays its eggs in clusters of about 20–100 eggs, a single cluster per plant (Davies and Gilbert 1985). Depositing more than one egg cluster on a single *Brassica* plant is known to lead to intraspecific competition for larval food and forces larvae to migrate and search for additional host plants in order to complete larval development. Therefore, the choice of an oviposition site is likely to have higher fitness consequences for *P. brassicae* than *P. rapae; P. brassicae* can therefore be expected to be more selective. The two caterpillar species may also differentially induce the defence responses of the plant as a result of the differences in spatial distribution of feeding damage.

To test the possible effect of phenidone itself on insect behaviour, we applied phenidone to intact leaves and assessed whether the herbivores discriminated between *Pieris* fed on control or phenidone-treated plants. For both *P. brassicae* and *Plutella xylostella*, phenidone neither affected oviposition preference nor the area consumed by *P. rapae* after 24 h (Wilcoxon matched pair signed ranks test: \( Z = -0.507, n = 7, P = 0.612 \)). Also total development time and pupal weight did not differ between plants fed on control or phenidone-treated plants (Mann–Whitney U-test, respectively: \( Z = -0.256, n = 19, P = 0.798 \); \( Z = -0.024, n = 19, P = 0.990 \)). Furthermore, oxylipin analysis confirmed the inhibition of the plants’ defence response. Therefore, we ascribe our results to inhibition of the defence response of the plant regulated by the octadecanoid pathway, rather than to a direct effect of phenidone itself.

Our results show that inhibiting LOX activity reduces the plant’s indirect defence. In the arms race between plants and herbivores, any herbivore that would be able to silence a plant’s induced defence signalling would have a higher chance of surviving and reproducing. One way of accomplishing this might be to inhibit LOX activity. No herbivore
has yet been shown to repress LOX activity. However, caterpillar saliva inhibited wound-induced nicotine production in tobacco (Musser et al. 2002, 2005). Furthermore, for spider mites, intraspecific variation exists in traits regarding susceptibility to JA-dependent defences as well as repression of these defences in their host plant (Kant et al. 2008), but the mechanisms underlying this observed repression have not yet been elucidated. However, the consequences of interference with LOX activity should also be seen in the context of competition among herbivores. Interference with LOX activity may increase competition from other herbivores and therefore, the selection on herbivores to interfere with LOX activity is dependent on the balance between selection pressures from natural enemies versus competitors.

Plutella xylostella prefers to oviposit on plants infested with Pieris rapae caterpillars (Fig. 3; Poelman et al. 2008a; Shiojiri et al. 2002). Shiojiri et al. (2002) showed that this is a beneficial strategy for P. xylostella. Its parasitoid Cotesia plutellae was less efficient in host searching on plants infested with both Pieris rapae and Plutella xylostella, than on plants with only Plutella xylostella. This resulted in lower parasitism rates on plants also colonised by P. rapae. We show that this preference of Plutella xylostella for Pieris rapae-infested plants over uninfested plants is LOX dependent, since phenidone treatment of uninfested and infested plants eliminated the preference. Moreover, P. xylostella females preferred infested plants sprayed with Tween over infested plants sprayed with phenidone, indicating that the phenidone treatment can reduce the induction of oviposition cues for this species. P. xylostella did not show the same preference for the systemic leaves (undamaged leaves from infested plants) as for the locally damaged leaves. Possibly the induction of systemic leaves is less strong or requires more than 24 h induction. For example, BoLOX (a LOX gene that is involved in the defence response of Brussels sprouts plants) expression after 24 h of feeding by 16 P. rapae caterpillars was upregulated in both local and systemic leaves of Brussels sprouts, but was approximately 40-fold higher in local than in systemic leaves (Zheng et al. 2007). Probably the induction level in systemic leaves is not sufficient (yet) for P. xylostella to prefer induced systemic leaves over non-induced systemic ones for oviposition.

Phenidone treatment reduced oxylipin accumulation upon Pieris feeding, as expected based on our first hypothesis (Fig. 5). Since phenidone inhibits LOX, an early step of the octadecanoid pathway, and we show that it reduces oxylipin accumulation in response to herbivory, we expected that phenidone treatment would reduce emission of green leaf volatiles and terpenoids which were shown to be induced by JA treatment of Brussels sprouts plants (Bruinsma et al. 2009). The volatile emission differed in many compounds between intact and infested plants; phenidone treatment slightly changed volatile emission of infested plants (Table 1; Fig. 6). Possibly, the large variation in volatile emission combined with low sample size obscured detection of subtle changes and changes in minor compounds that may be important for the associated insects. Yet, the three compounds that were most influential for separation of the treatment (i.e. highest VIP values), (Z)-3-hexen-1-yl acetate, (Z)-3-hexen-1-ol and (E)-DMNT, are known to elicit electrophysiological responses from the olfactory receptors of the parasitoid C. glomerata (Smid et al. 2002). Of these compounds (E)-DMNT differed most between the phenidone-treated P. brassicae-infested (mean ± SE: 0.5 ± 0.3) and Tween-treated P. brassicae-infested (1.3 ± 0.4) plants. Although the (E)-DMNT emission did differ significantly between treatments (ANOVA: \( F = 4.282, df = 2, P = 0.037 \)), the difference was not significant between the phenidone-treated P. brassicae-infested and Tween-treated P. brassicae-infested plants (LSD: \( P = 0.083 \)).

The results of the insect bioassays, as well as the oxylipin and volatile emission analyses, show that phenidone does not block induction completely. Lack of complete inhibition may be due to the reduction of only a fraction of the LOX molecules to the inactive form by phenidone, or to induction of plant defences by alternative routes when LOX activity is blocked. Therefore, we cannot postulate that LOX activity is crucial, but we do show that it plays an important role in plant defence against herbivorous insects in Brussels sprouts plants and affects the responses of both herbivorous and carnivorous insects.

The role of LOX in direct and indirect defences against herbivorous arthropods has now been demonstrated in several ways, through genotypic and phenotypic induction and inhibition. Genetic interference in LOX production in several plants species, such as Arabidopsis thaliana, Nicotiana attenuata and potato, resulted in reduced volatile emission, defence gene expression, attraction of parasitoids and increased plant damage in the field (e.g. Kessler et al. 2004; Royo et al. 1999; Van Poecke and Dicke 2002; Van Poecke et al. 2002). A difference between LOX inhibition with chemicals and genotypic modification is that phenidone blocks all LOXs, whereas genetic modification blocks the expression of one specific LOX gene. Combining different approaches, phenotypic manipulation (elicitors and inhibitors of different pathways) and genotypic differences (using mutants and genetically modified plants), and studying plant genomics, metabolomics as well as insect behaviour and interactions, will increase our understanding of the infochemical network that mediates interactions between plants, herbivores and their natural enemies. This will be instrumental in making progress in understanding how individual plant-insect interactions contribute to community dynamics.
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