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Abstract

In order to suggest the best cultivars of gladiolus to grow in moderate regions of Iran, an experiment was carried out in a complete randomized block design in three replications with five cultivars of *Gladiolus grandiflorus* (‘Oscar’, ‘Red Advance’, ‘White Prosperity’, ‘Rose Supreme’ and ‘Lemon Drop’) at the ornamental plants research center in Mahallat city of Iran. In reproductive and yields traits, ‘Red Advance’ were the highest. The highest vegetative traits were observed in ‘Oscar’. The highest spike length (84.6 cm), number of florets (18.00), vase life (9.33 days) and plant height (172.88 cm) were observed in ‘Oscar’. The highest corm weight (51.16 mm), numbers of cormlets (54.66) were observed in ‘Red Advance’. The results showed that the rate of propagation was high in ‘Red Advance’. The highest heritability in traits were estimated as plant height (98.67%), leaf width (98.66%), leaf length (98.12%), number of florets (98.55%), length of spike (98.90%) and diameter of stem (98.84%). There was a positive and significant correlation between the yield of corm and cormlets with the number of cormlet (r = 0.99) and diameter of cormlet (r = 0.96) and diameter of cormlet with the number of cormlet (r = 0.96). ‘Red Advance’ cultivar may be recommended for cultivation as alternative to some oldest cultivars (like ‘Oscar’), which showed potentiality for marketing both in domestic and foreign markets.
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Introduction

Gladiolus (*Gladiolus grandiflora* Hort.) belongs to family *Iridaceae*, sub-family Ixioideae, tribe Ixieae and sub-tribe (Ranjan et al., 2010), and it is usually called as the queen of bulbous flowers (Randhawa and Mukhopadhyay, 2000). Gladiolus is popularly known as sword lily or corn lily and originated from South Africa (Poon et al., 2012). Gladiolus genus has more than 150 species all over the world that is mainly native of west, south, and east areas of Africa, but about 12 species have originated from Mediterranean areas (Cohat, 1993). Gladiolus has great economic value as a cut flower and for decoration. In Iran it is one of the main bulbous cut flowers and also in the world which has an important role in exporting as cut flowers (Anonymous, 2015).

Corms and cormlets are used for asexual propagation (Moradi and Azimi, 2017). There are spring and summer flowering types of which the summer type is more important and is used extensively to produce cut flowers (Azimi, 2017). Gladiolus had been cultivated all over the world in late 16th century. The most important producers of gladiolus are the United States of America, Netherlands, France, Portugal, Italy, Belgium, Brazil, Australia and India. It has the 8th rank of cut flowers and first rank of bulbous flowers in the world trade (Pragya et al., 2010). According to Misra and Singh (1989), more than 30,000 varieties of gladiolus are cultivated and new cultivars are added annually. The cultivated cultivars in Netherland (more than 10 hectares) include ‘White Prosperity’, ‘Peter Pears’, ‘Jessica’, and ‘Green Star’. New cultivars with superior characteristic are substituted annually. Temperatures between 10 and 25 °C or mild climate are good for grows of gladiolus (International Flower Bulb Center, 2011). Tomiozzo et al. (2018) studied different gladiolus cultivars in various climatic and introduced early cycle (‘Purple Flora’, ‘Rose Friendship’ and ‘White Friendship’) and intermediate cycle (‘Green Star’ and ‘Jester’), produced cut- flower of gladiolus in the favorable model besides as well as having a shorter growth cycle than late cultivars (‘Gold Field’), being recommended for commercial cultivation in Southern Brazil. Anuradha and Gowda (1994) studied...
the genetic variability in 25 genotypes of gladiolus for 24 characters and recorded high degree of variability for all the characters except number of side shots and number of side spikes. High phenotypic and genotypic coefficients of variation were observed for leaf length, number of capsules and number of seeds capsule⁻¹, while these were low for number of side spike, floret diameter, floret length and number of leaves. Heritability was low for floret length, longevity of individual floret, days to spike emergence and spike length whereas, genetic advance was high for number of capsules and number of seed capsule.

Several studies have been conducted on gladiolus: different cultivation sites (Tomiozzo et al., 2018), phenology and planting date (Schwab et al., 2015); estimation of genetic variability (Rashmi and Kumar, 2014); heritability and genetic advance (Patra and Mohanty, 2014); genotypic and phenotypic variability (Bhujbal et al., 2013; Pattanaik et al., 2015); and hybridization (Ohri and Khoshoo, 1983a; 1983b; Hossain et al., 2012; Azimi, 2019). Environmental factors in combination with genetic and physiological factors play an important role in determination of plant potential for propagating material. These characters appear to be under strong genetic control (Sukarin et al., 1987; Roy et al., 2004).

The knowledge of phenoological steps in bulbous cut flowers can be used as a function of environmental variables in the modification and improvement of these plants' management. The accelerated or delayed stages of plant development are influenced more by genetic factors and less by environmental factors in greenhouse conditions. Therefore, the knowledge of yield and growth steps of gladiolus cultivars can be very important. Demand chains produce flowers on order and dispense them directly to customers (Oliveira et al., 2014).

The producers and consumers now a day are looking for cultivars that produces high yield of cut flowers and increase in the production rate of corms with good quality. The evaluation of genetic variability heritability and identify important yield-attributing characters to provide useful information for developing high yielding gladiolus genotypes is therefore an important aspect of investigation. ‘Oscar’ is an old cultivar in Iran and new high-yield genotypes is therefore an important aspect of investigation. The present research was conducted in farm at Ornamental Plants Research Center of Mahallat (longitude: 30° 27′ 50″ E., latitude: 30° 54′ 33″ N., altitude: 1747 m).

The characteristics of vegetative evaluated were: 1- plant length (from the crown on the surface of the soil to the tip of the stem), 2- leaf width, 3- leaf length, 4- peduncle length, 5- number of floret, 6- length of floret, 7- length of spike (from the first floret to the tip of the flowering branch), 8- diameter of floret, 9- diameter stem, 10- vase life. The conditions for vase life were 20±2 °C, 50±5% relative humidity, 12 hours of lighting and 12 hours of darkness, appropriate ventilation, and in urban water (pH = 7.2± 0.2). The end of vase life was recorded based on the number of days after harvest to 75% of flower weter (Moradi-Ashur and Azimi, 2017).

Reproductive and yield traits evaluated were: 1- corm of weight, 2- diameter of corm, 3- number of cormlets, 4- diameter of cormlet, 5- cormlet weight and 6- yield of corm and cormlets.

The broad sense heritability, variances and phenotypic, genetic and environmental variability coefficients were calculated based on the methods of Santos et al. (2011): 

\[
\text{Mse} = \text{Mean square error, Mst} = \text{Mean square treatment, R} = \text{Replication, } \delta^2_{ph} = \text{Phenotypic variance, } \delta^2_g = \text{Genotypic variance, } \delta^2_e = \text{Environmental Variance, } \mu = \text{Grand mean of a character, and } h^2_{bg} = \text{Heritability in broad sense.}
\]

Genetic Coefficient of Variation (GCV): \( (\sqrt{\delta^2_g} / \mu) \times 100 \)
Phenotypic Coefficient of Variation (PCV): \( (\sqrt{\delta^2_{ph}} / \mu) \times 100 \)
Environmental Coefficient Variation (ECV): \( (\sqrt{\delta^2_e} / \mu) \times 100 \)
Genetic Variance \( (\delta^2_g) = (\text{MS}² - \text{MS}) / \sigma \)
Phenotypic Variance \( (\delta^2_{ph}) = \delta^2_g + \delta^2_e \)
Environmental Variance \( (\delta^2_e) = \delta^2_g + \delta^2_e \)
Estimation of heritability: \( h^2_{bg} = \delta^2_g / \delta^2_{ph} \)

Statistical analyses including descriptive statistics, simple correlation coefficients, variance analyses and mean comparisons were used with Duncan’s test. The analysis of variance procedure of the statistical program SAS version 9.0 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA) was used.

**Results and discussion**

Variance analyses showed that the difference of the mentioned cultivars was significant in 1% p-value for all the studied characteristics showing the varieties for all traits in gladiolus cultivars. In addition, there was a significant difference in 1% p-value among most blocks (Table 1). Based on the coefficient of variation (C.V.), traits were divided into three groups: the first group with low variability (C.V. ≥10 or less), most of the traits were in this group; the second group was moderate (C.V. ≥11-20) and third group as high variability (C.V. ≤20 or more), the range of coefficient of variation among traits were 2.50-35.38% and that the maximum and minimum were for yield of corn and cormlets (35.38%) and plant height (2.50%), respectively (Table 1).
Table 1. Analysis of variance and estimates of genetic parameters in the evaluated cultivars of gladiolus

| S.O.V            | df | Plant length | Leaf width | Leaf length | Peduncle length | Number of floret | Length of floret | Length of spike | Diameter of floret | Diameter of stem | Vase life | Corm of weight | Diameter of corm | Number of cormlet | Cormlet of weight | Yield of corm and cormlet | CV (%) | Genotypic variance | Phenotypic variance | Environmental variance | Genetic diversity (%) | Phenotypic diversity (%) | Environmental diversity (%) | Broad sense heritability (%) |
|------------------|----|--------------|------------|-------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------|----------------|---------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------|-------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| Block            | 2  | 23.45**      | 0.05**     | 18.54**     | 1.62*           | 0.89**           | 0.007ns         | 22.79**         | 0.10**            | 0.56**           | 0.001ns  | 154.69**       | 44.96**             | 8.40**                | 0.60**                  | 0.06**                | 2187.25**          |
| Cultivar         | 4  | 974.64**     | 3.12**     | 192.93**    | 5.97ns          | 23.23**          | 2.25**          | 828.90**        | 2.02**            | 27.45**          | 3.06**   | 599.05**       | 331.14**             | 814.90**              | 23.49**                 | 13.79**               | 108107.36**         |
| Error            | 8  | 13.05        | 0.042      | 3.67        | 2.49            | 0.34             | 0.090           | 9.11            | 0.101             | 0.32             | 0.16      | 50.41          | 30.18                | 28.80                  | 3.10                    | 0.45                   | 5118.61             |
| CV (%)           | -  | 2.50         | 5.13       | 3.57        | 7.99            | 4.09             | 3.25            | 5.45            | 4.09              | 3.83             | 4.86      | 26.39          | 12.25                | 19.82                  | 20.64                   | 14.07                  | 38.35               |
| Genetic variance | -  | 970.29       | 3.106      | 191.7       | 5.14            | 23.11            | 2.22            | 825.86          | 1.98              | 27.34            | 3.00      | 582.24         | 321.08               | 805.3                  | 22.45                   | 13.64                  | 106401.2            |
| Phenotypic variance | -  | 983.34       | 3.148      | 195.37      | 7.63            | 23.45            | 2.31            | 834.97          | 2.08              | 27.66            | 3.16      | 632.65         | 351.26               | 834.1                  | 25.55                   | 14.09                  | 111519.8           |
| Environmental variance | -  | 13.05        | 0.042      | 3.67        | 2.49            | 0.34             | 0.09            | 9.11            | 0.10              | 0.32             | 0.16      | 50.41          | 30.18                | 28.80                  | 3.10                    | 0.44                   | 5118.61             |
| Genetic diversity (%) | -  | 21.55        | 12.28      | 70.034      | 24.56           | 61.79            | 36.97           | 53.53           | 9.49              | 62.25            | 3.13      | 89.7           | 39.96                | 10.48                  | 98.52                   | 43.29                  | 17.48               |
| Phenotypic diversity (%) | -  | 21.69        | 12.36      | 70.708      | 29.92           | 62.25            | 37.71           | 53.82           | 9.73              | 62.61            | 3.21      | 93.5           | 41.79                | 10.67                  | 10.51                   | 44.00                  | 17.90               |
| Environmental diversity (%) | -  | 2.49         | 1.42       | 9.69        | 17.09           | 7.49             | 7.441           | 5.62            | 2.14              | 6.73             | 0.72      | 26.39          | 12.25                | 19.83                  | 36.6                    | 7.86                   | 38.35               |
| Broad sense heritability (%) | -  | 98.67        | 98.66      | 98.12       | 67.367          | 98.55            | 96.10           | 98.90           | 95.16             | 98.84            | 94.94     | 92.03          | 91.4                 | 96.54                  | 87.87                   | 96.8                   | 95.41               |

*and *: significant at 1% and 5% respectively
The results showed that among the five cultivars (Table 2), the maximum plant height was in ‘Oscar’ (172.00 cm) and the minimum were for ‘White Prosperity’ (128.00 cm) which showed the significant difference from the other cultivars (Table 2). The height difference of plants can be for their competitiveness for light, space, moisture, nutrition, and ventilation (Karavadia and Dhaduk, 2002; Dole and Wilkens, 2004). The flower stem height that is one of the important characteristics of cut flowers is market-friendly. Therefore, the results showed that the higher plant has bigger reproductive parts, number of leaves, and area. The higher cultivars increasing qualified production of flowers. In addition, similar findings by Tomiozzo et al. (2018) show that significantly stem height. The stem height of the ornamental flowers is considered as a valuable characteristic of the apparent structure, in spite of, the suitable effect on physiological characteristics increases flowers resistance in transferring from field to market (Azimi et al., 2012). In this research, the used corms sizes were similar. So this observed difference in height of gladiolus cultivars due to the difference in genetic structure and also environmental factors which are similar to that obtained by Hossain et al. (2012). In this context, Moradi (2008) reported that ‘Oscar’ has the maximum mean height and ‘White Prosperity’ has the minimum height than the other cultivars and showed the significant difference from the others. Increasing corm size in gladiolus increase height and length of the flower spike, similar results were also reported by Bijimol and Singh (2001).

Among the cultivars, the highest number of florets was found in ‘Oscar’ (18.11) and the lowest in ‘Lemon Drop’ (12) (Table 2). Producing spike with more florets happens because of less competitiveness among plants to obtain water, minerals, nutrition, and light (Mojiri and Arzani, 2003). Similar results were reported by Padaganur et al. (2005), Khalaj and Edrisi (2013), and Moradi (2013) on Polianthes tuberosa.

In this regard, Sharma and Goupta (2003) research showed increasing distances cultivation, increases the number of florets in the flower spike. Results of Rai et al. (2000) in various gladiolus cultivars showed in ‘White Prosperity’ cultivar was 17 and ‘Green Wood Pecker’ was minimum with 12 florets.

Among the cultivars, the maximum length of the peduncle was in the ‘Lemon Drop’ (20.22 mm) and ‘Red Advance’ (20.52 mm), and the lowest in the ‘Rose Supreme’ (Table 2). The maximum length and diameter of florets in ‘Oscar’ 10.49 and 8.91 cm, respectively, and the lowest of these traits in ‘White Prosperity’ were observed (Table 2). Commercial cultivars with bigger floret have better conditions for sale in flower and plant market. In this way, Moradi (2008) research showed that the ‘Rose Supreme’ cultivar has the maximum mean floret diameter, and ‘White Prosperity’ has the minimum mean values for these traits. In this case, Sindhu and Verma (1995) results showed that the biggest floret was obtained with 11.70 cm in ‘Sancera’, and the minimum in ‘Arc’ cultivar with 6 cm. Moreover, in gladiolus cultivars the biggest floret was in ‘Rose Supreme’ with 8.92 cm, and the biggest one was in ‘Slamone Queen’ among 12 gladiolus cultivars based on Misra et al. (1987) reports.

Results showed that the maximum length and width (Table 2) of leaf in ‘Oscar’ were 5.9 and 6.38 cm, respectively, the lowest leaf width was observed in ‘White Prosperity’ (2.84 cm) and the minimum leaf length was in ‘Rose Supreme’ (42.27 cm). In this regard, Moradi (2008) showed that ‘Rose Supreme’ and ‘Orange’ cultivars of gladiolus have the maximum and minimum mean leaf width, respectively, and the significant difference was observed than other cultivars. Moreover, ‘Oscar’ and ‘Yellow’ cultivars have the maximum and minimum mean leaf length, respectively. Therefore, cultivars with more leaf surface have better quality and quantity indexes. Increasing leaf surface caused photosynthesis and accumulates more carbohydrates which result in vase life. Longer vase life makes it possible to transfer and move them to the further places in flower export (Jozghasemi et al., 2015).

Results showed that the highest stem diameter in gladiolus cultivars (Table 2) was in ‘Red Advance’ (18.08 mm) and the minimum were in ‘White Prosperity’ (10.66 mm) than the other cultivars or they had significant difference statistically from others. In this regard, Moradi (2008) showed that ‘Oscar’ and ‘Yellow’ of gladiolus has the maximum and minimum stem diameter mean, respectively among the other cultivars. Moradi and Azimi (2017) showed no significant difference in this characteristic in gladiolus cultivars by evaluating various cultivars performance such as ‘Rose Supreme’ and ‘White Prosperity’. Moreover, the flower stem diameter is one of the valuable characteristics of the cut branch flowers (Tomiozzo et al., 2018) and increases flowers resistance against transference from the garden to sale market (Azimi et al., 2012).

The highest vase life in ‘Oscar’ (9.33 days) and ‘Lemon Drop’ cultivar (9.00 days) and lowest in ‘White Prosperity’ (7.00 days) were observed (Table 2). Cultivars with long vase life are significantly important according to the commercial and economic importance of the cut branch flower. The vase life of the cut flower is influenced by before and after cultivation factors. Quality and vase life of the cut flowers depend on cultivation conditions and their transference conditions after cultivation. The florets open in sequence over a longer duration and a bigger spike length with more florets will provide vase life longevity (Dwivedi et al., 2016).

The results showed that among the five cultivars (Table 2), the highest spike length was observed in ‘Oscar’ (84.16 cm) and the lowest in ‘White Prosperity’ (42.47 cm). The sales volume of cut-flower determinant the economic yield. In today’s, trade in ornamental plants, demand for flowering plants is higher for cultivars with higher yield and flowering quality (Azimi, 2019). Cut-flowers with stem length, stem diameter and spike length (inflorescence) are standards for marketable (Schwab et al., 2015; Tomiozzo et al., 2018). Choudhary et al. (2011) reported stem length exhibited direct effect on spike length in gladiolus. The results are
Table 2. Mean squares of traits in cultivars (1 to 5) of gladiolus ‘Oscar’ (1), ‘Red Advance’ (2), ‘White Prosperity’ (3), ‘Rose Supreme’ (4) and ‘Lemon Drop’ (5)

| Cultivars          | Plant length (cm) | Leaf width (cm) | Leaf Length (cm) | Peduncle length (mm) | Number of floret | Length of floret (cm) | Length of spike (cm) | Diameter of floret (mm) | Diameter stem (mm) | Vase life (day) | Corm of weight (g) | Diameter of corm (mm) | Number of cormlet | Diameter of cormlet (mm) | Cormlet of Weight (g) | Yield of corm and cormlet |
|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------------|------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------------|------------------|------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| 1 - ‘Oscar’        | 172.88a            | 5.09a           | 63.08a           | 20.38ab              | 18.11a           | 10.49a               | 84.16a               | 8.91a                 | 17.48a           | 9.33a         | 26.91b             | 42.57b               | 30.00b          | 6.27c                  | 5.45b                  | 191.68b              |
| 2 - ‘Red Advance’  | 143.19b            | 4.71ab          | 59.30b           | 20.52a               | 16.50b           | 9.43b                | 52.25b               | 7.81b                 | 18.08a           | 9.00a         | 51.16a             | 62.86a               | 54.66a          | 9.94ab                 | 8.30a                  | 509.29a              |
| 3 - ‘White Prosperity’ | 128.02c          | 2.84c           | 51.30c           | 19.94ab              | 12.11c           | 8.39c                | 42.47d               | 6.59c                 | 10.66c           | 7.00b         | 15.87b             | 35.28b               | 18.00c          | 6.17c                  | 3.73c                  | 83.10b               |
| 4 - ‘Rose Supreme’ | 130.16c            | 3.04c           | 42.27d           | 17.30b               | 13.05c           | 8.43c                | 51.47bc              | 7.83b                 | 13.62b           | 7.66b         | 20.96b             | 42.52b               | 17.66c15c    | 7.52b                  | 3.24c                  | 78.73b               |
| 5 - ‘Lemon Drop’   | 148.13b            | 4.48b           | 52.44c           | 20.72a               | 12.00c           | 9.41b                | 46.16cd              | 7.75b                 | 14.38b           | 9.00a         | 19.61b             | 40.98b               | 15.00c          | 12.73a                 | 3.34c                  | 69.81b               |

Numbers followed by the same letter are not significantly different in 5%.
also in agreement with Lal et al. (1985), Balaram and Janakiram (2009), Patra and Mohanty (2015) in gladiolus. Gladiolus cultivars are different to the developmental cycle length, date and location of cultivation can also influence the quantitative parameters of the floral stems (Tomiozzo et al., 2018).

Results showed that the maximum in corm weight (16.15 g) and corm diameter (62.86 mm) were found for ‘Red Advance’ and their minimum in ‘White Prosperity’ cultivar were 15.87 g and 35.28 mm, respectively (Table 2). The maximum number of cormlet (54.66) and cormlet weight (8.30 g) was observed in ‘Red Advance’ and the minimum in ‘Rose supreme’. There was significant statistical difference in cultivars (Table 2). In this regard, Moradi (2008) showed the maximum and minimum cormlet number were for ‘Oscar’ and ‘Yellow’ cultivar of gladiolus, respectively. The highest yield (corm and cormlet) in ‘Red Advance’ was 509.29 g and the lowest in Lemon Drop’ was 69.81 g (Table 2). The results showed that cultivars with higher yield and production are more appropriate; therefore, it can be used in cultivation and breeding programs.

**Correlation among quantitative characteristics**

Correlation coefficients of the quantitative traits among various cultivars (Table 3) show that the maximum positive and significant correlation were related to yield of corm and cormlet with number of cormlet ($r=+0.99$), cormlet diameter ($r=+0.96$), corn weight ($r=+0.94$), cormlet diameter with number of cormlet ($r=+0.96$), number of cormlet with corm diameter ($r=+0.94$) and corm diameter with corm weight ($r=+0.92$).

The minimum negative and significant correlation were related to the number of cormlet with vase life ($r=-0.34$). The results showed that cormlet weight had the minimum correlation with plant height, leaf width and leaf length, peduncle length and number of florets (Table 3). In other words, number, weight and diameter of cormlet, and weight and diameter of corm are important in the yield of bulbous plant. This is considered as an important factor in gladiolus and can be evaluated in cultivation and breeding programs. Corm diameter increased by corm weight and influences on physiological traits such as wet and dry weight which are effective on the quantity and quality of the flower yield. Moreover, traits of number and diameter of cormlet and weight and diameter of corm have a positive and significant phenotype and genetic correlation with yield. This is so important for gladiolus cultivars. This indicates the superiority of the simultaneous selection method for more than one characteristic (Sandhu and et al., 1993; Kearsey and Pooni, 1996). The characteristics of leaf length, leaf number, and height of plant have the maximum phenotype and genetic coefficients with floret numbers in the plant (Moradi, 2008). It is important to know how to link the various traits to the progress of the program to increase performance. Because one-way selection for traits, regardless of other traits, will not be desirable. Therefore, in corrective programs, one must attention to the correlation between traits (Karimi et al., 2005).

**Variance component estimation, diversity coefficient and inheritance**

Relatively high heritability values, in broad sense (Table 1) were found for plant height (98.67%), leaf width (98.66%), leaf length (98.12%), number of floret (98.55%), length of spike (98.90%) and diameter of stem (98.84%) while the lower value was found for peduncle length (67.36%). In a narrow sense, heritability is of greater importance, it is calculated as a ratio between the additive genotypic variance and total phenotypic variance. The plant height, number of floret and length of spike characters may be applied as useful traits in gladiolus breeding and for selection of hybrids. The estimation of genetic parameters provides information extremely useful for plant breeders (Santos et al., 2011). Cultivars in terms of corm weight had higher genetic and genotypic diversity (Table 1). The least of phenotypic and genotypic diversity was observed in the vase life. The greatest for environmental diversity was observed in corm weight. Yield of corm and cormlets showed higher genetic variance than other morphological characters (Table 1). Azimi (2019) observed highest heritability in gladiolus offspring traits were in the number of cormlet and cormlet diameter and the lowest for stem diameter (thickness). This information on genotypic variance, heritability and variation rate allow the identification and quantification of the nature of the action of genes involved in the control of a certain trait, so as to evaluate the efficiency of different improvement strategies (Cruz and Carneiro, 2003).
**Table 3. Correlation of quantitative traits between cultivars of gladiolus**

| Traits                      | 1       | 2       | 3       | 4       | 5       | 6       | 7       | 8       | 9       | 10      | 11      | 12      | 13      | 14      | 15      | 16      |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 1 - Plant length            | 1       |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
| 2 - Leaf width              | 0.76**  | 1       |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
| 3 - Leaf length             | 0.38*   | 0.23    | 1       |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
| 4 - Peduncle length         | 0.90**  | 0.71**  | 0.43*   | 1       |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
| 5 - Number of floret        | 0.79**  | 0.71**  | -0.01   | 0.70**  | 1       |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
| 6 - Length of floret        | 0.85**  | 0.69**  | 0.40*   | 0.89**  | 0.68**  | 1       |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
| 7 - Length of spike         | 0.73**  | 0.73**  | 0.47*   | 0.75**  | 0.51*   | 0.77**  | 1       |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
| 8 - Diameter of floret      | 0.66**  | 0.79**  | 0.20    | 0.77**  | 0.72**  | 0.86**  | 0.65**  | 1       |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
| 9 - Diameter of stem        | 0.80**  | 0.62*   | 0.50*   | 0.82**  | 0.73**  | 0.87**  | 0.64**  | 0.80**  | 1       |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
| 10 - Vase life              | 0.86**  | 0.84**  | 0.14    | 0.76**  | 0.79**  | 0.60*   | 0.58*   | 0.60*   | 0.58*   | 1       |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
| 11 - Corm of weight         | 0.23    | 0.62*   | 0.13    | 0.26    | 0.23    | 0.49*   | 0.45*   | 0.63*   | 0.45*   | 0.18    | 1       |         |         |         |         |         |         |
| 12 - Diameter of corm       | 0.15    | 0.53*   | 0.06    | 0.19    | 0.20    | 0.44*   | 0.33*   | 0.64**  | 0.42    | 0.10    | 0.92**  | 1       |         |         |         |         |         |
| 13 - Number of cormlet      | 0.23    | 0.68**  | 0.18    | 0.34*   | 0.23    | 0.52*   | 0.58*   | 0.71**  | 0.44*   | 0.24    | 0.94**  | 0.84**  | 1       |         |         |         |
| 14 - Diameter of cormlet    | 0.3     | 0.70**  | 0.33    | 0.43*   | 0.23    | 0.55*   | 0.65**  | 0.73**  | 0.50*   | 0.28    | 0.85**  | 0.77**  | 0.96**  | 1       |         |         |
| 15 - Cormlet of weight      | 0.009   | -0.23   | -0.01   | 0.021   | 0.096   | 0.31    | 0.068   | 0.19ns  | 0.35*   | -0.34*  | 0.20    | 0.34*   | 0.074   | 0.038   | 1       |         |
| 16 - Yield of corm and cormlet | 0.19 | 0.62**  | 0.19    | 0.30    | 0.17    | 0.50*   | 0.54*   | 0.67**  | 0.43*   | 0.17    | 0.94**  | 0.86**  | 0.99**  | 0.96**  | 0.14    | 1       |

** and *: significant at 1% and 5% respectively
Conclusions

According the result, ‘Red Advance’ have been superior in most traits reproductive and yield (corm and cormlet weight, corm and cormlet diameter and number of cormlets), and can be introduced to the farmers and producers for more gained profit and economic efficiency. The ‘Oscar’ cultivar is superior in vegetative traits among the cultivars. Regarding the high reproduction rate of ‘Red Advance’, it is recommended for mass production in less time. It should be noted that in most of the Iranian floriculture, ‘White Prosperty’ with white flowers are used. In order to diversity into the production of gladiolus, it is possible to replace the ‘Red Advance’ cultivar with red flowers with instead the ‘Oscar’ cultivar.
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