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Abstract
Silicate liquid immiscibility leading to formation of mixtures of distinct iron-rich and silica-rich liquids is common in basaltic and andesitic magmas at advanced stages of magma evolution. Experimental modeling of the immiscibility has been hampered by kinetic problems and attainment of chemical equilibrium between immiscible liquids in some experimental studies has been questioned. On the basis of symmetric regular solutions model and regression analysis of experimental data on compositions of immiscible liquid pairs, we show that liquid–liquid distribution of network-modifying elements K and Fe is linked to the distribution of network-forming oxides SiO₂, Al₂O₃ and P₂O₅ by equation:
\[
\log K_{d}^{K/Fe} = 3.796\Delta X_{SiO2}^{sf} + 4.85\Delta X_{Al2O3}^{sf} + 7.235\Delta X_{P2O5}^{sf} - 0.108,
\]
where \( K_{d}^{K/Fe} \) is a ratio of K and Fe mole fractions in the silica-rich (s) and Fe-rich (f) immiscible liquids:
\[
K_{d}^{K/Fe} = \frac{X_{s}^{K} / X_{s}^{Fe}}{X_{f}^{K} / X_{f}^{Fe}}.
\]
\( \Delta X_{i}^{sf} \) is a difference in mole fractions of a network-forming oxide \( i \) between the liquids (s) and (f):
\[
\Delta X_{i}^{sf} = X_{s}^{i} - X_{f}^{i}.
\]
We use the equation for testing chemical equilibrium in experiments not included in the regression analysis and compositions of natural immiscible melts found as glasses in volcanic rocks. Departures from equilibrium that the test revealed in crystal-rich multiphase experimental products and in natural volcanic rocks imply kinetic competition between liquid–liquid and crystal–liquid element partitioning. Immiscible liquid droplets in volcanic rocks appear to evolve along a metastable trend due to rapid crystallization. Immiscible liquids may be closer to chemical equilibrium in large intrusions where cooling rates are lower and crystals may be spatially separated from liquids.

Keywords Experimental petrology · Igneous rocks · Silicate melts · Liquid–liquid element distribution · Symmetric regular solutions

Introduction
Formation of immiscible mafic and felsic liquids is a common phenomenon in basaltic and andesitic magmas at advanced stages of crystallization (Thompson et al. 2007; Veksler and Charlier 2015 and references therein). Silicate liquid immiscibility is a peculiar case of phase separation, in which immiscible liquids do not dramatically differ in the types of chemical bonds, show significant mutual solubility and have very low values of interfacial tension (Veksler et al. 2010). In all these respects, immiscible silicate melts are distinct from other examples of immiscible liquid assemblages such as molten metals vs. slags, silicate vs. sulfide and carbonate magmatic melts or water vs. organic oils. There has been a growing concern in recent years that correct experimental reproduction of stable silicate immiscibility in multicomponent aluminosilicate
compositions may be seriously hampered by kinetic obstacles and metastability (McBirney 2008; Morse 2008; Philpotts 2008; Veksler et al. 2008a, 2008b; Veksler and Charlier 2015). In view of the previously expressed doubts and disagreements, a practical means for testing chemical equilibrium between immiscible silicate melts would be helpful. Here, we propose a criterion for equilibrium based on liquid–liquid distribution of K and Fe, major elements of natural magmas showing the most contrasting distribution between immiscible silicate liquids. Thermodynamic aspects of the distribution are theoretically discussed in the frame of the symmetric regular solution model and the equilibrium constant is empirically calibrated against an extensive body of experimental data. We also discuss some applications to compositions of immiscible glasses in natural volcanic rocks and experimental products not included in the calibration.

Equilibrium crystal–liquid distribution of major components has been empirically calibrated for a number of important minerals and used in igneous petrology for equilibrium tests since long ago, e.g., Fe–Mg distribution between olivine and melt (Roeder and Emslie 1970). Notably, even the well-defined olivine-melt $K_{\text{FeMg}}$ has been revisited and refined up till now in numerous studies (e.g., Toplis 2005; Blundy et al. 2020 and references therein). In contrast to crystals, conjugate compositions of multicomponent immiscible liquids lack the strict control from stoichiometry and are much more flexible. Nevertheless, one would expect some correspondence or correlation between the liquid–liquid distribution of network-modifying cations, such as K and Fe$^{2+}$, and network-forming components, such as silica and alumina. The likelihood of such correlation follows from the very nature of immiscibility, which is the ultimate consequence of non-ideality and strong coulombic interactions at the inter-ionic level (Hudon and Baker 2002). This study demonstrates that equilibrium relationship between liquid–liquid distribution of network-modifying and network-forming components does exist and has been reached in many (but not all) the experiments.

There are good reasons to expect nucleation of immiscible liquid droplets in multicomponent systems to happen faster than complete chemical equilibration between the liquid phases. Because diffusion rates of network-forming and network-modifying cations usually differ by orders of magnitude (Zhang et al. 2010), chemical equilibration between multicomponent immiscible melts may go through a series of metastable states and the true equilibrium distribution of all the components could be finally reached long after the initial unmixing. This study shows that metastable compositions of immiscible melts are especially common in experimental products and natural rocks with a large volume fraction of crystal phases.

Experimental data set

For the purpose of this study, we need melt compositions with a certain level of compositional complexity, which means more than one network former and more than one network modifier. Therefore, numerous binary oxide systems with stable liquid immiscibility (Greig 1927; Hudon and Baker 2002) are not considered here but the synthetic five-component $\text{K}_2\text{O}–\text{FeO}–\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3–\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3–\text{SiO}_2$ system with two network modifiers (K and ferrous iron) and three network formers, two of which (Al and ferric iron), according to classification by Hudon and Baker (2002), are amphoteric, meets the requirement. The system is the first and the best studied example of stable low-temperature immiscibility in complex aluminosilicate liquids (Roedder 1951) and chemical compositions of the immiscible Fe-rich and Si-rich liquids have been published in numerous papers (Bogaerts and Schmidt 2006; Free-stone and Powell 1983; Kyser et al. 1998; Naslund 1983; Naslund and Watson 1977; Roedder and Weiblen 1970; Ryerson and Hess 1978; Vicenzi et al. 1994; Visser and Koster van Groos 1979a, b, c; Watson 1976). Liquids produced in these experiments were mostly above liquidus, but some experimental products contained minor amounts of crystal phases. We collected all the analyses of the conjugate liquid pairs from the above-cited publications, including compositions containing additional components, such as CaO, MgO and $P_2\text{O}_5$, and several nature-like multicomponent compositions with volatile components F and $\text{H}_2\text{O}$, and degree of crystallization less than 25 vol.% (Hou et al. 2017). The compiled dataset (see the Supplementary data file) includes 195 samples covering the temperature interval of 987–1540 °C, pressure from atmospheric to 1.5 GPa and the oxygen fugacity from air to that of below the iron-wüstite (IW) buffer.

The liquid–liquid distribution of K and Fe

Nernst distribution coefficients are the simplest conventional form of quantitative presentation of element partitioning between phases. In our case of liquid–liquid element partitioning, Nernst distribution coefficient of element A ($D^A$) between silica-rich ($s$) and Fe-rich ($f$) immiscible liquids is calculated as a ratio $D^A = C^A_s/C^A_f$, where $C^A_s$ and $C^A_f$ are mass concentrations of the element A in liquids $s$ and $f$, respectively. It has been noted since long ago (Warren and Pincus 1940) that the liquid–liquid $D$ values of network-modifying cations systematically change with ionic potential $Z/r$ where $Z$ is a nominal charge of the cation and $r$ is its ionic radius. The dependence of $D$ on $Z/r$ is hardly surprising in view that Coulombic repulsion
between the cations appear to be the principal driving force for silicate liquid immiscibility at the atomic level (Hudon and Baker, 2002). In terms of the liquid–liquid distribution, K and Fe occupy the extreme positions among a dozen or so elements whose oxides are usually listed as major components in chemical analyses of igneous rocks. Ionic potential of K$^+$ is the lowest among the elements and K$_2$O is the major oxide most miscible with silica as there are no signs of stable or metastable immiscibility in the K$_2$O–SiO$_2$ binary system (Levin et al. 1964). In contrast, Fe$^{2+}$ belongs to cations of variable crystal field stabilization energies (VCFSE) that have anomalously poor (relative to their Z/r characteristics) miscibility with silica in binary and multicomponent melts (Hudon and Baker, 2002). Therefore, liquid–liquid $D^{Fe}$ values are the lowest and $D^K$ are the highest among the major network-modifying elements of igneous rocks (Schmidt et al. 2006; Veksler et al. 2006).

The distribution coefficients are not the only conventional measure of compositional contrast between the immiscible liquids. It is a common practice in silicate systems to present a measure of compositional contrast between the immiscible magmas and using $\Delta C_{SiO_2}$, silica is the main network-forming component of natural magmas and using $\Delta C_{SiO_2}$ is more convenient than using $D^Si$. In Fig. 1, the $D$ values of K and Fe are plotted in the logarithmic scale against $\Delta C_{SiO_2}$ for the experimental data described in the previous section. Regression analysis of the data shows that the log $D^{Fe}$ values closely follow a quadratic polynomial curve

$$\log D^{Fe} = -2.04 \cdot 10^{-2} \cdot \Delta C_{SiO_2} + 9.23 \cdot 10^{-5} \cdot (\Delta C_{SiO_2})^2$$

(1)

implying that silica is the most important network-forming component controlling the distribution of Fe. It should be noted that the $D^{Fe}$ values plotted in Fig. 1a are calculated for the total Fe combining ferrous and ferric iron, and the two oxidation forms have very different chemical properties and structural roles in silicate melts. A moderate effect of Fe oxidation state does show up in Fig. 1a. Experiments conducted in air with predominantly ferric iron in both melts, (e.g., Borisov et al. 2018) plot slightly above other experiments the majority of which was carried out at very reducing conditions corresponding to those of the IW and Mo/MoO$_2$ buffers, when ferrous iron prevails. However, the apparent effect of oxidation on iron distribution is not great. A somewhat more contrasting liquid–liquid distribution of ferrous iron may be due to the above-mentioned anomalously poor miscibility of FeO with silica and the amphoteric role of Fe$_2$O$_3$ in silicate melts that should make ferric iron more compatible with silica network (Hudon and Baker 2002).

The situation with the $D^K - \Delta C_{SiO_2}$ correlation appears to be more complicated. Immiscible liquid pairs with $C_{P2O_5}$ < 5wt.% plot close to a polynomial curve

$$\log D^K = 1.23 \cdot 10^{-2} \cdot \Delta C_{SiO_2} + 2.35 \cdot 10^{-4} \cdot (\Delta C_{SiO_2})^2$$

(2)

However, P-rich compositions with $C_{P2O_5}$ > 5 wt.% systematically plot below the curve (2), implying that phosphorus, in addition to silica, has a strong effect on K distribution. The effect may be due to the formation of K-phosphate and Al-O-P species in the conjugate melts (Mysen et al. 1981; Toplis and Dingwell 1996). Regardless, the exact mechanism of interaction, the example of K clearly shows that network-forming components other than silica can strongly affect the liquid–liquid distribution of network-modifying cations and should not be excluded from consideration.

We should point out that the free terms in polynomials (1) and (2) were assumed to be 0, because at $\Delta C_{SiO_2} = 0$ (no phase separation and compositional contrast between liquid fractions) the $D^K$ and $D^{Fe}$ should be equal to 1, and the logarithms should be consequently at 0. If the regression is done without restrictions on the free term, the values of the free terms are indeed insignificant: $-0.013 \pm 0.02 \ (1 \sigma)$ in the polynomial (1) and $0.002 \pm 0.04$ in the polynomial (2).

Clearly, a more complex equation form should be found for better fitting the K and Fe partitioning both in P-poor
and P-rich systems. A logical next step would be to use a combined distribution coefficient $K_d^{K/Fe}$ defined as

$$K_d^{K/Fe} = \left( \frac{X_i}{X_k} \right) / \left( \frac{X_i^f}{X_k^f} \right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $X_i$ and $X_k$ are K or Fe mole fractions in silica-rich and Fe-rich liquids, correspondently. Using $K_d^{K/Fe}$ instead of $D^K$ and $D^Fe$ has a few advantages. First of all, $K_d$ compensates, to some extent, possible systematic errors of microprobe analysis of K and Fe contents in immiscible liquids. But more importantly, thermodynamic description of $K_d$ as shown below is simpler than those of $D^K$ or $D^Fe$.

**Thermodynamic analysis**

In case, Fe-rich ($f$) and silica-rich ($s$) liquids are in equilibrium, any component, for example K$_2$O, is distributed according to equation:

$$K_2O (f) = K_2O (s),$$ \hspace{1cm} (4)

with a constant of the reaction:

$$K_4 = \alpha_{K,O}^f / d_{K,O}^f = \left( X_i^s / X_k^s \right) \cdot \left( \gamma_i^s / \gamma_k^s \right) = D^K \cdot \left( \gamma_i^s / \gamma_k^s \right),$$ \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $\alpha_{K,O}^f$, $X_i^s$ and $\gamma_k^s$ are activity, mole fraction and activity coefficient of K$_2$O in appropriate liquid and $D^K$ is molar K distribution coefficient between silica-rich and Fe-rich melts. It is also valid that:

$$RT \cdot \ln K_4 = RT \cdot \ln D_K + RT \cdot \ln \left( \gamma_i^s / \gamma_k^s \right) = -\Delta G_K = -\Delta H_K + T\Delta S_K,$$ \hspace{1cm} (6)

where $\Delta G_K$, $\Delta H_K$ and $\Delta S_K$ are Gibbs free energy, enthalpy and entropy of reaction (4) and $T$ is absolute temperature. The Eq. (6) may be further converted into:

$$RT \cdot \ln D_K = -\Delta H_K + T\Delta S_K - RT \cdot \ln \left( \gamma_i^s / \gamma_k^s \right).$$ \hspace{1cm} (7)

A similar expression may be written for FeO partitioning between Fe-rich and silica-rich liquids:

$$RT \cdot \ln D_{Fe} = -\Delta H_{Fe} + T\Delta S_{Fe} - RT \cdot \ln \left( \gamma_{FeO}^s / \gamma_{FeO}^s \right).$$ \hspace{1cm} (8)

Subtracting (8) from (7), one can define the K-Fe partition coefficient:

$$RT \cdot \ln K_d^{K/Fe} = - (\Delta H_K - \Delta H_{Fe}) + T (\Delta S_K - \Delta S_{Fe}) - RT \cdot \ln \left( \gamma_i^s / \gamma_k^s \right) / \left( \gamma_{FeO}^s / \gamma_{FeO}^s \right).$$ \hspace{1cm} (9)

Let us now consider the form of expression for the activity coefficients. For simplicity and clarity, let us examine quaternary solutions (e.g., SiO$_2$–Al$_2$O$_3$–FeO–K$_2$O) and restrict the analysis to symmetric regular solutions (all the definitions and primary formulae are according to Mukhopadhyay et al, 1993). The activity coefficients of K$_2$O in two coexisting liquids are defined as:

$$RT \cdot \ln \gamma_{K,O}^s = \frac{X_{FeO}^s}{X_{FeO}} W_{K - Fe} + \frac{X_{AlO_3}^s}{X_{AlO_3}} W_{K - Al} + \frac{X_{SiO_2}^s}{X_{SiO_2}} W_{K - Si} - G_{ex}$$ \hspace{1cm} (10)

$$RT \cdot \ln \gamma_{K,O}^f = \frac{X_{FeO}^f}{X_{FeO}} W_{K - Fe} + \frac{X_{AlO_3}^f}{X_{AlO_3}} W_{K - Al} + \frac{X_{SiO_2}^f}{X_{SiO_2}} W_{K - Si} - G_{ex}^f,$$ \hspace{1cm} (11)

where $R$ is the gas constant, $W_{ij}$ are interaction parameters and $G_{ex}$ and $G_{ex}^f$ are excess free energies in the silica-rich and iron-rich liquids. Subtraction (11) from (10) gives:

$$RT \cdot \ln \left( \gamma_{K,O}^s / \gamma_{K,O}^f \right) = \Delta X_i^{FeO} W_{K - Fe} + \Delta X_i^{AlO_3} W_{K - Al} + \Delta X_i^{SiO_2} W_{K - Si} - \Delta (G_{ex})^f.$$ \hspace{1cm} (12)

where $\Delta X_i^{fe}$ is a difference of the mole fractions of a component $i$ between silica-rich and Fe-rich liquids and $\Delta G_{ex}$ is a difference in the excess free energies. A similar expression can be written for FeO activity coefficients:

$$RT \cdot \ln \left( \gamma_{FeO}^s / \gamma_{FeO}^f \right) = \Delta X_i^{FeO} W_{K - Fe} + \Delta X_i^{AlO_3} W_{K - Al} + \Delta X_i^{SiO_2} W_{K - Si} - \Delta (G_{ex})^f.$$ \hspace{1cm} (13)

Subtracting (14) from (13) and keeping in mind that $W_{K - Fe} = W_{Fe - K}$ in the symmetric regular model, we get:

$$RT \cdot \ln \left( \gamma_{FeO}^s / \gamma_{FeO}^f \right) / \left( \gamma_{FeO}^s / \gamma_{FeO}^f \right) = \Delta X_i^{FeO} W_{K - Fe} + \Delta X_i^{AlO_3} (W_{K - Al}/W_{Fe - Al}) + \Delta X_i^{SiO_2} (W_{K - Si}/W_{Fe - Si}).$$ \hspace{1cm} (15)

Substituting (15) in (9) gives:
Dividing both sides of (16) by RT, changing natural logarithms (ln) to the common ones (log) and generalizing the expression, one can expect an equation in the form of

$$\log K_{d/Fe}^{K/Fe} = h/T + \sum a_i \Delta X_{i}^{df}/T + b \left( \Delta X_{FeO}^{df} - \Delta X_{K,O}^{df} \right)/T + c,$$

where $h$, $a_i$, $b$ and $c = \text{const}$, and in the sum the term $i \neq K$ or Fe. In this equation, the temperature slope $h = - (\Delta H_K - \Delta H_{Fe})/2.303R$, $a_i = - (W_{K1} - W_{Fe1})/2.303R$, $b = - W_{Fe}/2.303R$, and $c = (\Delta S_{Fe} - \Delta S_{Fe}0)/2.303R$. The form of the Eq. (17) is relatively simple. As mentioned above, using $K_{d/Fe}^{K/Fe}$ is practical, because $G_{s}$ terms are canceled out. The parameter $h$ may be expected to be small or even close to zero, if $\Delta H_K \approx \Delta H_{Fe}$.

Proceeding with asymmetric regular solutions would have extremely complicated the equation with additional terms $X_i X_j X_k$ and $X_i X_j^2$, and we will not consider it here. Instead, in addition to (17), we would also consider equations in the form:

$$\log K_{d/Fe}^{K/Fe} = h/T + \sum a_i \Delta X_{i}^{df} + b \left( \Delta X_{FeO}^{df} - \Delta X_{K,O}^{df} \right) + c,$$

where $h$, $a_i$, $b$ and $c = \text{const}$, and in the sum the term $i \neq K$ or Fe. When describing a partition coefficient as a function of the melt composition, it may be useful to check if mole fractions of oxides in the melt as entropy-like terms (not divided by temperature) give a better fit than enthalpy-like terms (divided by temperature) (Mallmann and O’Neill 2013).

At first, the selected dataset (see above) was fitted with multiple linear regressions using all possible terms in Eq. (17) and (18). It was found that model (18) is typically slightly better than model (17). Then, by trial and error, we removed one term after another trying to minimize the number of variables without compromising the accuracy ($R^2$) too much. Three experiments from the dataset with standardized residuals typically exceeding 3.5σ in most models were removed and the final equations are based on 192 experiments (see the Supplementary file). In the dataset used for calibration, the value of $K_{d/Fe}^{K/Fe}$ lies in the range from 1.33 to 214 and may be precisely ($R^2 = 0.974$) fitted with the form (18) equation containing only $\Delta X_{SiO_2}^{df}$, $\Delta X_{Al_2O_3}^{df}$, $\Delta X_{P_2O_5}^{df}$/T and a free term:

$$\log K_{d/Fe}^{K/Fe} = 818.5/T + 4.065 \Delta X_{SiO_2}^{df} + 3.386 \Delta X_{Al_2O_3}^{df} + 7.550 \Delta X_{P_2O_5}^{df} - 0.707.$$  

As expected, the temperature slope $h = 818.5 \pm 152.6$ is rather shallow and defined with a relatively large error. Thus, one can exclude the temperature term $h/T$ and, with an insignificant loss of accuracy ($R^2 = 0.970$), obtain the following expression:

$$\log K_{d/Fe}^{K/Fe} = 3.796 \Delta X_{SiO_2}^{df} + 4.85 \Delta X_{Al_2O_3}^{df} + 7.235 \Delta X_{P_2O_5}^{df} - 0.108.$$  

Equation (20) is especially useful for applications to immiscibility in natural rocks, because it does not require any assumptions regarding the temperature. Free terms $c$ in the equations are not large but we decided not to set them to zero (as explained above for Eq. 1 and 2), since $c$ may accumulate all possible errors of the model which ignores the effects of all the components other than silica, alumina and $P_2O_5$. The empirical coefficients at $\Delta X_{i}^{df}$ in Eqs. (19) and (20) are defined with high accuracy (see Table 1) and they imply that the effects of these components on the value of $\log K_{d/Fe}^{K/Fe}$ are in the order $SiO_2 \leq Al_2O_3 < P_2O_5$.

In Fig. 2, we compare experimental $K_{d}$ values that are directly derived from the measured concentrations of K and Fe in the conjugate liquids using Eq. (3) with $K_{d}$ values calculated from the measured concentrations of $Si$, $Al$ and $P$ using the empirically calibrated model [Eq. (20)]. The comparison shows that Eq. (20) works equally well at pressures from atmospheric to 1.5 GPa (Fig. 2a), high-temperature and low-temperature experiments (Fig. 2b), highly oxidizing and

| Table 1 Coefficients and other statistical information for model equations in the form $\log K_{d/Fe}^{K/Fe} = h/T + a\Delta X_{Al_2O_3}^{df} + c\Delta X_{P_2O_5}^{df} + d $ |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Equation (19)   | Equation (20)   |
| Value           | St. error       | Value           | St. error       |
| $h$             | 818.5           | 152.6           | –               | –               |
| $a$             | 4.065           | 0.070           | 152.6           | 0.053           |
| $b$             | 3.386           | 0.561           | 4.85            | 0.525           |
| $c$             | 7.55            | 0.225           | 7.235           | 0.233           |
| $d$             | – 0.707         | 0.113           | – 0.108         | 0.016           |
| $R^2$           | 0.974           | 0.970           |                 |                 |
| St. error       | 0.075           | 0.081           |                 |                 |
| Observations    | 192             | 192             |                 |                 |
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reducing conditions (Fig. 2c), and for simplified five-oxide and multicomponent compositions (Fig. 2d). Therefore, it appears that plotting measured $K_{dKFe}$ values obtained using Eq. (3) against expected from Eq. (20), or Eq. (19) if equilibrium temperature is known, can be used for testing the approach to equilibrium and the quality of chemical analyses for immiscible silicate liquids of variable compositions containing both K and Fe oxides over a broad range of pressures and temperatures.

Applications

Let us now apply the equilibrium test to experimental products that were not included in calibration of Eqs. (19) and (20) and also to published analyses of natural immiscible silicate liquids that have been found as quenched glasses in volcanic rocks.

Centrifuge and reverse experiments

Experiments by Veksler et al. (2006, 2007, 2008a) employed high-temperature centrifugation and were excluded from the
dataset that we used for calibrating the Eqs. (19) and (20). Some researches argued that fine emulsions produced in the experiments were metastable and had formed during quench. Disagreements regarding the nature and interpretation of the centrifugation products, and the maximal temperature of stable silicate liquid immiscibility in basaltic magma led to a public discussion (McBirney 2008; Morse 2008; Philpotts 2008; Veksler et al. 2008b) and inspired reverse experiments (Hou and Veksler 2015) that apparently confirmed stable, super-liquidus immiscibility in a few basaltic and andesitic compositions at 1150–1200 °C.

As demonstrated in Fig. 3, the majority of microprobe analyses of conjugate immiscible liquids published by Veksler et al. (2006, 2007, 2008a) show good agreement between the measured and expected $K_d$ values, and typically plot within 1σ limits around the equilibrium line. Two experiments C-108 and C-114 in the system fayalite–anorthite–orthoclase–silica, which produced especially fine emulsion of one immiscible liquid in another (Veksler et al. 2008a), show a slightly higher mismatch between the $K_d$ values. However, they are still mostly within the 3σ error limits. Semi-quantitative transmission electron microscopy (TEM) analyses of individual nanoscale droplets in sample C-108 apparently produced less accurate results with $K_d$ values differing by 7.2σ. Four samples marked by green triangles, which are also out of the 2σ area, represent products of centrifuge experiments C-104, C-109 C-110 and C-112 from the study by Veksler et al. (2007). Those experiments were distinguished by the formation of a third immiscible liquid of an iron phosphide composition, probably due to reduction of the P$_2$O$_5$ component in silicate melts by carbon residing in steel containers. Separation of the reduced Fe–P liquid had a negligible effect on the FeO content of the silicate melts, but resulted in a significant drop of P$_2$O$_5$ concentrations in the Fe-rich silicate liquid. This apparently led to disequilibrium in P$_2$O$_5$ distribution between immiscible silicate liquids and, consequently, to the lower $K_d$ values derived from Eq. (20).

Fig. 4 Equilibrium test for immiscible liquids with volatile components (Lester et al. 2013) using Eq. (19). See text for discussion.

Experiments on compositions with H$_2$O and other volatile components

Lester et al. (2013) studied the effects of H$_2$O alone and in combination with other volatile components (P, S, F and Cl) on silicate liquid immiscibility in the system K$_2$O–FeO–Fe$_2$O$_3$–Al$_2$O$_3$–SiO$_2$ at 200 MPa and redox conditions equivalent to those of the quartz–fayalite–magnetite (QFM), Ni–NiO and magnetite–hematite (MH) oxygen buffers. Experiments were carried out in rapid quench internally heated pressure vessels at 1075, 1150 at 1200 °C. The initial FeO content was at 30 wt.% and K/Al molar ratio at 1. All the experiments on H$_2$O-bearing compositions produced a vapor phase. Several experiments on starting compositions with 2 wt.% S produced a third immiscible Fe-sulfide liquid in addition to immiscible silicate melts. Some run products included one or two crystal phases identified as silica polymorphs and magnetite. The results of equilibrium test for the compositions of immiscible silicate liquids produced in that study are presented in Fig. 4. We have used Eq. (19) but Eq. (20) gives a similar result. Most of the experiments show strong and random deviations from the equilibrium line. We were unable to find any systematic variations correlating with the added components, temperature, oxygen fugacity or phase composition of the run products. It is not clear why the results of the study flunk the equilibrium test. The reasons may include analytical problems, significant losses of some components to the vapor phase, Fe losses to platinum containers or insufficient equilibration time.

Experiments on crystal-rich compositions

As noted above, experimental products that we used for the calibration of Eqs. (19) and (20) were either super-liquidus glasses without any crystals or assemblages with small amounts of crystal phases, usually represented by magnetite and quartz. Experimental products with high proportion of crystals were excluded from the calibration. Those experiments were usually done on powders or chips of natural igneous rocks, and the examples that we compiled in our dataset (see the Supplementary data file) include chemical analyses from publications by Dixon and Rutherford (1979), Philpotts (1981), Philpotts and Doyle (1983), Longhi (1990), Tollari et al. (2006), Charlier and Grove (2012), Hou et al. (2018) and Honour et al. (2019a).
The equilibrium test proposed here (Fig. 5a) shows that liquid pairs with less contrasting distribution of K and Fe (low $K_d$ values) plot close to the equilibrium line, but as the conjugate liquids become chemically more distinct (higher $K_d$ values), they tend to plot farther and farther to the right of equilibrium line. This tendency is best illustrated by products of two time series carried out by Honour et al. (2019a). Crushed rock of monzonitic composition from the Sept Iles intrusion (Quebec, Canada) was used as a starting material for the series of isothermal experiments at 1000 and 1021 °C. The products highlighted by gray squares in Fig. 5a show that while the exposure time increased from 115 to 306 h the compositions of the conjugate liquids became more contrasting but followed a trend with a shallower slope than that of the equilibrium line. Shift to the right from the equilibrium line is minor in the data published by Honour et al. (2019a) and may be insignificant as their points in Fig. 5a remain within the 3σ interval. However, many other experiments plot out of the ± 3σ range and they are also mostly skewed to the right. Skewness to the right implies less contrasting distribution of network formers Si, Al and P that is not matching the observed distribution of network modifiers K and Fe. In our view, this trend is probably a consequence of kinetic competition between crystal growth and diffusion-limited transport of components between and within the immiscible liquids. Such interpretation is supported by microstructures that Honour et al. (2019a) and Philpotts (1981) observed on surfaces of plagioclase crystals in their experimental products and in natural igneous rocks. They noticed that in those places where droplets of immiscible Fe-rich liquid attached to faces of plagioclase laths, plagioclase crystals grew faster and developed pillar structures that protruded outward by about 2–5 μm. Notably, plagioclase pillars are more albitic than the rest of the crystal and the chemical divide is sharp (Honour et al. 2019a). It appears that for kinetic reasons droplets of Fe-rich liquid in direct contact with plagioclase tend to exsolve components more compatible with immiscible silica-rich liquid (Na, Al and Si) into a new plagioclase growth rather than to surrounding volume of the conjugate melt. Interplay between plagioclase crystallization and silicate liquid immiscibility is even more evident in natural magmas (see the next section).

**Natural immiscible liquids**

Similar disequilibrium in liquid–liquid element distribution, but of a much greater magnitude, is revealed in samples of natural volcanic rocks (Fig. 5b). Data plotted in Fig. 5b include samples of terrestrial and lunar basaltic and andesitic rocks (Charlier et al. 2013; Kamenetsky et al. 2013; Krasov and Clocchiatti 1979; Pedersen 1985; Neal and Taylor 1989; Philpotts 1982; Philpotts and Doyle 1983; Roedder and Weiblen, 1971; Shearer et al. 2001) crystallized in vastly different geologic environments and redox conditions. Immiscible liquids in the rocks have been observed either as microscopic droplets of glasses dispersed in the groundmass between microcrysts of pyroxenes, plagioclase, Fe–Ti oxides and other minerals, or as glassy inclusions in olivine and plagioclase phenocrysts. Clearly, most of the natural immiscible liquids found in close association with silicate and oxide crystals are not in chemical equilibrium. Typically, $K_d$ values based on the measured concentrations of K and Fe (Eq. 3) are 2–5 times greater than the expected values derived from Eq. (20), but in some cases, the difference is as much as 15 times. We believe that the mechanism behind disequilibrium proposed for crystal-rich experimental products in the previous section is even more likely to operate in natural volcanic systems where no deliberate human efforts have been made for reaching proper equilibrium.

According to the model developed by Giordano et al. (2008), viscosity of a typical Si-rich immiscible liquid from natural basaltic rocks (Philpotts 1982) at 1050 °C is 3.5 log-units, or 3200 times, greater than that of the Fe-rich liquid, and the glass transition temperature of the Si-rich liquid is by 80 °C higher than that of the Fe-rich liquid (780 vs. 700 °C). This implies that material transport by diffusion and crystal growth in the Fe-rich liquid should be much faster, and continue for a longer period of time down...
to lower temperatures than in the Si-rich liquid phase. In this situation, chemical equilibration between crystals and Fe-rich liquid may kinetically out-compete liquid–liquid equilibration and result in metastable, disequilibrium distribution of network formers and network modifiers. Strong empirical support for this proposition has been recently provided by Honour et al. (2019b). They observed that continuous films of Fe-rich liquid with distinct interfaces had formed in compositional boundary layers (CBL) around plagioclase crystals growing in basaltic lava of Kilauea Iki lava lake. Similar films of Si-rich liquid were observed in CBL around clinopyroxene in the same samples. The observations show that one of immiscible silicate liquids may nucleate alone, without a second conjugate liquid phase if all the main components of the latter are incorporated by adjacent growing crystals. Clearly, this is an extreme case of metastability caused by kinetic competition between crystallization and liquid immiscibility.

The situation may be, however, different in large intrusive bodies of magma where cooling rates are lower and crystals may be spatially separated from liquids. Immiscible liquids in plutonic systems may have enough time for attaining equilibrium distribution of all the components. However, those liquids have never been sampled directly. In large plutonic systems, we are dealing only with completely crystallized rocks where traces of liquid immiscibility have been preserved only in crystal microstructures (Holness et al. 2011) or crystallized melt inclusions (Jakobsen et al. 2005, 2011).

**Concluding remarks**

The results of equilibrium tests presented here highlight experimental challenges posed by silicate liquid immiscibility. They arise to a large extent from complex kinetic relationships between liquid–liquid and crystal–liquid chemical interactions and vastly different diffusion rates of network-forming and network-modifying cations in the conjugate liquids. Conventional experiments on small, millimeter-sized samples with high volume fractions of crystals may not correctly reproduce equilibrium compositions of immiscible liquids despite very long exposure times. Compositions of microscopic immiscible liquid droplets in crystalline matrix of volcanic rocks appear to evolve along a metastable trend and may differ from immiscible liquids in plutonic products of the same magma. In view of experimental difficulties and kinetic effects in volcanic rocks accessible for direct observations, the extent and petrogenetic role of silicate liquid immiscibility in plutonic systems may be underestimated and deserve a revision.
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