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Abstract

Background: Discerning dysfunction or damage by classic neuropsychological tests within the anterior medial surfaces of the cerebrum and the tissue influenced by the distributions of the Anterior Cerebral Artery following Traumatic Brain Injuries (TBI) has been ambiguous. Toe graphaesthesia (TG) has been shown to be associated with increased perfusion rates as measured by Single Positron Emission Computerized Tomography (SPECT) within the medial prefrontal region. The utility of TG to discern impairment was investigated.

Methods: A total of 25 patients who has sustained TBI secondary to motor vehicle incidents and who were referred for a full neuropsychological assessment to discern level of function were administered the TG while 19 quantitative electroencephalographic (QEEG) measurements were recorded.

Results: Toe graphaesthesia scores were significantly impaired (z scores>-2.0) for patients whose Neuropsychological Impairment Indices were greater than 0.3 for the Halstead-Reitan Index. The only QEEG variable that correlated with the TG error rate was lower power for high beta activity over the central channels.

Conclusions: Standardized scores for toe graphaesthesia, which requires about ten minutes to administer, is a valid indicator of the functional integrity of the medial prefrontal region and has now been validated by QEEG and SPECT measurements.
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Introduction

The convergence of decades of experimental research involved with focal vs. diffuse brain lesions and clinical inferences from primarily severe, battlefield acquired cerebral injuries (Traumatic Brain Injuries, TBI) was manifested in the Halstead-Reitan Index for Neuropsychological Impairment [1]. From a variety of different psychometric indicators that were available during the first half of the twentieth century cut-off scores from seven different measures were employed to discern the threshold for neuropsychological impairment and implicitly brain injury. Five tests were finally selected: finger agility (Finger Tap), Seashore Rhythm, Speech-Sounds, The Category Test, and the Tactual Performance Test (TPT). Both traditional and contemporary imaging studies indicate that these tests reflect the general activity of the frontal, temporal, and parietal lobes of the lateral surface of the cerebrum. Even a novel abbreviated index [2] derived from the three standardized test scores that maximally discriminated patients who displayed brain injury vs. those who did not according to traditional criteria involved temporal lobe (dichotic word listening) frontal lobe (Trails A) and parietal lobe (TPT) tasks.

However, the functional integrity of the medial surface of the cerebral hemispheres is not represented in traditional indicators of neuropsychological impairment. The anterior two-thirds of this region are supplied by the Anterior Cerebral Artery (ACA) whereas the lateral surface is primarily supplied by the Middle Cerebral Artery. The ACA in the general population displays a remarkably varied angiarchitecture and pattern of distribution. Approximately 20% of the population has only one original branch (in one hemisphere) that bifurcates and crosses to the contralateral hemisphere [3]. Consequently these individuals would be more prone to torsional stresses from differential acceleration of mechanical pressures propagating through and between the hemispheres that could disrupt vascular supply to this region. These stresses could arise from concussive forces or the asymmetrical propagation of mechanical energies through the cerebral volume which could result in brief ischemia and potentially permanent compromise of neuronal function.

Chronic dysfunction in this region could follow brief ischemias and would not necessarily be reflected in traditional neuropsychological measures. Classical neurofunction data indicated that tactile information for the toes is represented along the medial, paracentral parietal lobe; this region for toe gnosia discrimination has recently been verified by in our laboratory by s-LORETA (Low Resolution Electromagnetic Tomography). Toe Graphaesthesia (TG) which involves printing numbers with a stylus on the bottom of the toes, using the same format as for Finger Graphaesthesia, was shown by SPECT more than a decade ago to be associated with increased uptake of tracer along the medial prefrontal surface [4]. As predicted from principles of neuroscience, the standardized scores for TG are correlated with those for foot tap [5]. Unlike many other single test measures TG scores were significantly correlated with a variety of other scores including Design Fluency, Auditory Closure, Foot Agility, TPT (the dominant hand, both hands, and memory score), dichotic word listening (both ears) and the Halstead Reitan Impairment Index, HRII [5].

Over the last 20 years we have found that patients referred for...
assessment subsequent to TBI also displayed clinical evidence of ACA-related injuries, as inferred by impaired TG. These scores were impaired (>7-3.00) while the HRII indices were not (<0.4). The patients who displayed deficits for TG but normal range HRII scores were also those who displayed more clinical depression as inferred by the Depression Scale of the MMPI (Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory). Considering: (1) the convergence of passage of the nonmyelinated, biogenic amine pathways for norepinephrine and serotonin through a region that involves the anterior medial surface, and, (2) hypofunctions in these pathways are one of the strongest correlates of clinical depression, these complications would be expected.

In other words, the z-score for TG is singularly as effective for discerning general neuropsychological impairment as the HRII. Consequently we tested the construct validity of TG measure by examining three groups of increasing degrees of neuropsychological impairment that would constitute the general domain of “mild” to “moderate” TBI, to discern if there was a systematic change in electroencephalographic frequency or power within the areas most proximal to the medial surface. If the effect was as powerful as suspected, we expected the largest differences between levels of impairment groups would involve the central sensor placements most proximal to the frontal medial surface.

Method

Participants

Data obtained from TBI patients (n=22) who had undergone full neuropsychological assessments over and approximately three year period (January 2010-April 2013) were analyzed. The mean age of the patients was 38.5 years (SD=14.7 years). The mean and standard deviations for the delays between the mechanical impacts to the skulls and the assessments were 5.6 and 5 years, respectively (range 0.3 to 16 years). All of them had been referred by external agencies for a full neuropsychological assessment to discern the level of functioning following a closed head injury due to an impact of concussive force or mechanical energies. They all met the criteria of at least a mild TBI on the bases of either a GSC of >13 or a suspension of consciousness of less than 20 min.

The designation of ‘mild TBI’ is of importance because the outcome and quality of life of patients who have sustained these injuries has not been widely studied. Individuals who have sustained mild TBIs tend to greatly vary with respect to their quality of life and neuropsychological impairment levels. Although the outcome for these individuals is favorable, the patients in this study were referred for an assessment because many of them were still experiencing symptoms or were having difficulty adapting post-injury. These patients were being provided treatment from external agencies on an individual basis. For the most part, because these patients met the criteria of a mild TBI treatment was focused on symptoms management. Table 1 shows the information about each patient (e.g. age, sex, time since injury). The overall Halstead-Reitan Impairment Index is a reflection of each patient’s neurocognitive functioning at the time of the assessment. In addition, the results from the Toe Graphaesthesia test are shown (z-score and total number of errors).

All patients were administered our standard battery of intellectual, memory, academic achievement, classic (Halstead-Reitan Battery) and novel (e.g. Conditioned Spatial Association Test) neuropsychological and personality tests [6]. Quantitative electroencephalographic measurements were also completed employing a standardized protocol. On the bases of the Russell et al. [7] ordinal range (ROR), subjects were assigned to one of three groups: no impairment (0 or 1), mild impairment (2) and moderate to severe impairment (3-5). They were partitions of the original Halstead-Reitan Impairment Index between 0 and 1 such that 0 to 0.1=0, 0.2 to 0.3=1, 0.4 to 0.5=2, 0.6 to 0.7=3, 0.8 to 0.9=4, and 1.0=5. The HRII derived from seven scores from the battery. There were 9, 7, and 6 patients in each group (ROR=0-1, 2, 3+ respectively.

Procedure

Each assessment required two full days and consisted of a battery of traditional and contemporary neuropsychological tests in addition to intellectual, academic achievement, memory, and personality tests. Testing occurred between 10 hr and 18 hr. The QEEG data was collected in the final hour of the first day and required 45 min. Responses to the TG test measures the individual’s ability to discern numbers written on the distal tips of the toes with a stylus (and the individual did not have the benefit of visual feedback). The total errors for the identification of the toes for both feet were determined. Total errors for the detection of the randomly presented numbers 3, 4, 5, and 6 for the toes of both feet (potential error range 0 to 40) were obtained. Table 2 shows the overall Toe Graphaesthesia results for impairment groups (ROR).

Results

Analysis of variance demonstrated a significant difference between the three groups of patients designated according to the ROR for Toe Graphaesthesia [F(2,21)=5.00, p=.018, eta2=0.34]. Post hoc analysis indicated that those with mild or moderate-severe impairment according to the ROR displayed significantly more errors for TG

### Table 1: Patient information.

| Patient # | Age | Sex | Time Since Injury (years) | Halstead Impairment Index | TG (z-score) | TG total errors |
|-----------|-----|-----|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|----------------|
| 1         | 15  | Male| 10                       | 0.28                      | -0.5         | 9              |
| 2         | 18  | Male| 0.3                      | -1.2                      | 12           |
| 3         | 20  | Male| 0.43                     | -3.7                      | 22           |
| 4         | 20  | Male| 0.7                      | -0.4                     | 10           |
| 5         | 22  | Female| 1                      | 0.14                      | 1.0          |
| 6         | 26  | Female| 3                      | 0.0                      | 0.2          |
| 7         | 27  | Female| 6                      | 0.86                      | -1.9         |
| 8         | 30  | Female| 6                      | 0.43                      | -0.7         |
| 9         | 32  | Female| 16                     | 0.14                      | 0.2          |
| 10        | 36  | Female| 2.5                     | 0.43                      | -0.7         |
| 11        | 38  | Female| 7                      | 0.28                      | -1.0         |
| 12        | 40  | Female| 5                      | 0.43                      | -0.7         |
| 13        | 46  | Male| 15                       | 0.43                      | -4.6         |
| 14        | 46  | Female| 2                      | 0.71                      | -4.1         |
| 15        | 47  | Male| 12                       | 0.43                      | -3.9         |
| 16        | 49  | Female| 4                      | 0.71                      | -2.7         |
| 17        | 50  | Male| 5                       | 0.14                      | -0.5         |
| 18        | 50  | Female| 4                      | 0.14                      | 0            |
| 19        | 54  | Male| 0.5                      | 0.71                      | -1.9         |
| 20        | 57  | Male| 0.7                      | 0                        | -0.7         |
| 21        | 61  | Male| 5                       | 0.57                      | -3.4         |
| 22        | 62  | Male| 1.8                      | 0.43                      | -1.2         |

### Table 2: Toe Graphaesthesia results (overall mean z-score and average number of errors) by impairment level (ROR).

| Impairment level | Mean TG z-score | Average # of errors |
|------------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| No Impairment    | -0.4            | 8.7                 |
| Mild Impairment  | -2.1            | 15.9                |
| Moderate to Severe Impairment | -2.3 | 16.7                |
compared to the group that displayed no ROR impairment. The results are shown in Figure 1. The Kruskall-Wallis test, completed because of the discrepancy in variances, reiterated the statistically significant group differences ($\chi^2=6.74, p=0.034$).

The results of the correlations between errors for the TG test and spectral power for each of the 7 frequency bands for each of the 19 channels were very specific. The only significant correlation found was with the sum of the power over the central channels in the high beta frequency band ($\rho=-.46, p=.03; r=-.57, p=.006$). This result indicated that as proportion of power within the high beta band decreased over the central regions the errors (the greater the deficit) on the TG test increased. These measurements localized the activation for this task over the correct region of the brain, thus providing additional construct validity for the TG test. They have also demonstrated a link between the significance of the overall impairment and this specific task (Figure 1).

Discussion

The importance of direct patient-to-diagnostician interaction is a central feature of clinical assessment. Although the future of assessment and the development of strategies for intervention and care will very likely be relegated to the results from imagining technology, the utility of a small number of performance-based, standardized measurements that will allow an estimate of level of function of the patient as well as the general region of the likely dysfunction subsequent to the TBI would be beneficial for the patient and the practice. Like the difficulties involved with the “silent” zones in historical electroencephalography when the transient or localized activities within the ventral surface of the cerebrum adjacent the cranium (such as the ventral temporal lobes) were not easily discernable by surface EEG, the dysfunction within the medial surface of the human cerebrum has been more difficult to infer by typical neuropsychological testing. The strength of the effect for TG to discern neuropsychological impairment and the validity of this measure with respect to the general region this measure reflects may help compensate for this disparity of coverage.

The ACA and its distributions display remarkable individual differences. The fact that almost a fifth of the brains of the population contain only one rather than two source ACAs and the former bifurcates and traverses the longitudinal fissure to produce the second major branch in the other hemisphere increases this subset of the population to be particularly vulnerable to torque-like mechanical impacts that transiently displace or distort the normal apposition of the two surfaces of the hemispheres. Consequently to the “same” magnitude and directional forces that would be inconsequential to many people could produce pervasive permanent “punctuate-like” damage within the cerebral structures that are supplied by the various branches of the anomalous source branch of the ACA in others. Because a major component of the ascending non-myelinated fibers of the noradrenaline and serotonin systems converge and traverse the anterior medial surface before they retroflex and distribute through the upper layers of the cerebral cortices, dysfunction in very focal regions of the anterior medial frontal surface would also produce the classic “pseudodepression” which could complicate both diagnosis and treatment [8].
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