Electrosynthesis of H$_2$O$_2$ through a two-electron oxygen reduction reaction by carbon based catalysts: From mechanism, catalyst design to electrode fabrication
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1. Introduction

1.1. Multiple applications of H$_2$O$_2$

Hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$) is a universal oxidizing agent which can be utilized either alone or in combination with other reagents for various purposes, such as pulp and textile bleaching [1], chemical synthesis [2], and wastewater treatment [3]. In the environmental field, H$_2$O$_2$ is used in many advanced oxidation processes (AOPs), such as H$_2$O$_2$/UV, H$_2$O$_2$/Fe$^{2+}$, and H$_2$O$_2$/O$_3$ [4]. In these H$_2$O$_2$-based AOPs, strong oxidant $\cdot$OH radicals (E($\cdot$OH/H$_2$O) = 2.80 V$_{SHE}$) are generated in situ. Afterwards, this free radical can non-selectively oxidize various contaminants at relatively high rate constants in the order of $10^6$-10$^{10}$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ [5]. Moreover, the self-quenching of $\cdot$OH radicals makes their lifetime in water as short as a few nanoseconds [5]. AOPs have been widely applied for the degradation of various pollutants such as antibiotics, herbicides, insecticides, endocrine-disrupting chemicals, pharmaceutical and personal care products (PPCPs), and effluent organic matter [6], showing their potential for water and wastewater treatment in the future [7,8].

1.2. Current status of H$_2$O$_2$ production

1.2.1. Anthraquinone oxidation process

Currently, over 95% of commercially produced H$_2$O$_2$ worldwide is derived from the anthraquinone oxidation (AO) process (the alternate name is auto-oxidation process) [9]. Hydrogen, anthraquinone, and air are employed as raw materials in the AO process. The alkylanthraquinone precursor dissolved in an admixture of...
Organic solvents is catalytically hydrogenated and then oxidized to obtain a diluted solution of H2O2 at 0.9–1.8% (wt). The following liquid-liquid extraction and distillation processes produce concentrated H2O2 solution at 35–50% (wt) (Fig. 1a). The major drawbacks of the AO process are (1) the use of large quantities of hazardous organic solvents, (2) highly concentrated H2O2 is explosive, which brings potential risks during transport and storage, (3) about 0.1% (wt) H2O2 at most is needed in the wastewater treatment process, which makes the concentration-dilution process a waste of cost and energy. These disadvantages and the decentralized requirements of users motivated the academic community and industry to develop other H2O2 synthesis methods and go beyond the AO process.

Fig. 1. a, Schematic of the anthraquinone process. b, The direct synthesis of H2O2 from H2 and O2. c, The photo-catalysis to generate H2O2. d, The electrochemical H2O2 production.
1.2.2. Direct synthesis

An alternative method is the direct synthesis of H$_2$O$_2$ from H$_2$ and O$_2$. In this straightforward batch process [10], gaseous H$_2$ and O$_2$ are introduced into the liquid medium with catalysts. The proposed catalytic mechanism for direct synthesis is sequential hydrogenation of molecular oxygen. Firstly, the H$_2$ molecule is dissociated into H atoms on the surface of the catalyst. Afterward, an O$_2$ molecule adsorbs onto the surface of the catalyst, followed by reacting with the H atom and thus forming the HOO$^-$ intermediate. H$_2$O$_2$ is finally obtained by hydrogenating the HOO$^-$ intermediate (Fig. 1b) [11]. Although the first patent was granted in 1914, there is still no industrial process based on the direct synthesis for over 100 years because of the following three critical disadvantages [12,13]: (1) Safety - the direct synthesis avoids transportation of H$_2$O$_2$ to the site, but H$_2$ is more explosive relative to H$_2$O$_2$. The H$_2$ and O$_2$ mixture gas has to be diluted by other "inert" gases (N$_2$ or CO$_2$) to operate below the lowest explosive limit, which also limits the productivity of H$_2$O$_2$; (2) Competing side reactions - the hydrogenation of O$_2$ towards H$_2$O$_2$ ($\Delta$H = −135.9 kJ mol$^{-1}$) is along with the direct formation of H$_2$O ($\Delta$H = −241.6 kJ mol$^{-1}$), the further reduction to H$_2$O$_2$ ($\Delta$H = −105.8 kJ mol$^{-1}$) and H$_2$O$_2$ decomposition reaction ($\Delta$H = −211.5 kJ mol$^{-1}$) (Fig. 1b), which are all thermodynamically more favored than the desired main synthesis reaction; (3) Catalyst - although noble metal catalysts, such as Pd, Pt–Au and Pd–Sn, are proven to be effective, the rareness and high price of these materials make the direct synthesis hard for large-scale applications.

1.2.3. Photo-catalysis

Photo-catalysis through proton-coupled electron transfer is another alternative to generate H$_2$O$_2$. Briefly, in the heterogeneous photocatalytic process, an optical semiconductor is activated by irradiation of an appropriate light source to form photo-generated photocatalytic process, an optical semiconductor is activated by irradiation of an appropriate light source to form photo-generated photo-induced electron/hole (e$^-$/h$^+$, Fig. 1c) pairs, which under certain conditions induces the reduction of O$_2$ to produce H$_2$O$_2$. [14]. Currently, it is accepted that H$_2$O$_2$ can be produced via either a one-step two-electron direct reduction or a two-step one-electron indirect reduction route [15]. Photo-catalysis has emerged as a promising alternative since it only requires an optical semiconductor, water, oxygen, as well as sufficient and renewable light as the driving force. As a hot topic in recent research, multiple photo-catalysts have been investigated and employed, including TiO$_2$, graphite carbon nitride (g-C$_3$N$_4$), metal-organic compounds and their modification materials. However, photocatalytic H$_2$O$_2$ generation is still in its initial stage with several problems that need to be solved, such as poor selectivity toward 2 e$^-$ O$_2$ reduction, relatively low response to sunlight, and high recombination rate of photo-generated species [15]. Moreover, the H$_2$O$_2$ can be further reduced with e$^-$ or decomposed by the irradiated UV light ($\lambda < 400$ nm), which causes the H$_2$O$_2$ production rate to be lower than the above two methods [14,16].

1.2.4. Oxygen reduction reaction

The electro-synthesis of H$_2$O$_2$ via two-electron oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) is attracting growing attention. The electro-synthesis of H$_2$O$_2$ was first reported by Berl et al., in 1939 by applying activated carbon as a cathode to achieve a 90% current efficiency [17]. Based on the two-electron ORR pathway, the Huron-Dow process was developed in the 1980s [18,19]. The EF technology is based on the continuous H$_2$O$_2$ generation on a cathode (Eq. (2)) in an acidic electrolyte. •OH radicals are generated via Fenton's reaction (Eq. (3)) with the addition of a sufficient amount of Fe$^{3+}$ ions. The homogeneous regeneration of Fe$^{2+}$ on the cathode (Eq. (4)) makes the persistent organic pollution (POPs) continuously degraded in EF. Until now, EF has become the most popular electrochemical technology to degrade a variety of POPs, including pesticides [19], dyestuffs [20], PPCPs [21,22], and industrial pollutants [18]. Moreover, novel electrochemical advanced oxidation processes (EAOPs) based on the cathodic generation of H$_2$O$_2$ were developed for remediation of wastewater, such as photoelectro-Fenton [23], sono-electro-Fenton [24], peroxy-coagulation [25], and electro-peroxone processes [26].

$$\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{O}_2 + 2 e^- \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{OH}^-$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

$$\text{O}_2 + 2\text{H}^+ + 2 e^- \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 (E^\text{f} = 0.68 \text{ V}_{\text{SHE}})$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

$$\text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{3+} + \cdot\text{OH} + \text{H}^+$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

$$\text{Fe}^{3+} + e^- \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{2+} (E^\text{f} = 0.77 \text{ V}_{\text{SHE}})$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Highly efficient cathode and the optimized system are two crucial prerequisites for the development of these EAOPs. Recently, substantial research has been devoted to the prediction and design of catalysts for electrochemical two-electron ORR and some of the progress has been previously presented in several reviews [12,27–35]. However, systematic and comprehensive reviews on carbonaceous two-electron ORR catalysts from the angle of mechanism and catalyst design to electrode fabrication have not been reported. As an emerging research field, it is necessary and indispensable to review and summarize the latest work on the development of these areas. Furthermore, from our point of view, the rapid development of electrode fabrication technology requires solid theoretical research in material science and catalytic science, as well as continuous optimization in engineering. In this review, we discuss the recently discovered mechanistic understanding of carbon materials catalysis and present important developments in carbon-based catalysts for two-electron ORR. Currently there are only a few comprehensive studies on two-electron ORR materials and the close correlation between two-electron ORR and four-electron ORR reactions. In the following sections we present some enlightening mechanisms and research results on four-electron ORR for the first time, including the predictive design by density functional theory (DFT) calculations and controllable doping/functionialization configurations as well as the construction of porous structures and defects to guide two-electron ORR design (Chapter 4). The remaining uncertainty on the real active sites for two-electron ORR are illustrated and discussed. Depending on the raw carbonaceous material and the operation mode, we also systematically summarize the preparation and modification methods for the formed carbon-based electrodes (Chapters 6, 7, and 8). Finally, we provide a detailed perspective on the challenges and opportunities in this rapidly developing field. We attempt to take full advantage of carbon-based materials in constructing highly efficient two-electron ORR catalysts and provide a thought for the amplification and application of electrocatalytic synthesis H$_2$O$_2$ with high efficiency and low cost. Additionally, based on the knowledge amassed from the references and our former work experience, we hope to provide guidance and suggestions for future research by summarizing the inconsistent or divergent experimental and computational methods. We encourage future studies to use more unified experimental methods and expressions, while avoiding the oversights from previous studies.
2. Mechanism of the oxygen reduction reaction

The mechanism of electrochemical ORR is outlined in Fig. 2a. Generally, the ORR involves either a four-electron transfer pathway, which reduces O₂ to H₂O (Eq. (5)) and is attractive for fuel cells, or a two-electron pathway to produce H₂O₂ (Eq. (2)), which is desirable for environmental remediation [36]. Overall, the direct four-electron ORR involves multiple steps and intermediates (HOO•, HO•*, O•*) which can be divided into the dissociative and associative way, depending on the oxygen dissociation barrier on the catalyst surface (Eqs. (6)–(13)) [37,38].

\[
\text{O}_2 + 4\text{H}^+ + 4 \text{e}^- \rightarrow 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \\
(5)
\]

Dissociative pathway: the O–O bond breaks into two O•, which could be reduced to H₂O as the final product.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{O}_2 &+ 2* \rightarrow 2 \text{O}^* \\
2 \text{O}^* + 2\text{H}^+ + 2 \text{e}^- &\rightarrow 2 \text{H}_2\text{O}^* \\
2 \text{HO}^* + 2\text{H}^+ + 2 \text{e}^- &\rightarrow 2\text{H}_2\text{O} + 2* \\
\end{align*}
\]

Associative pathway: the activated O₂ molecule firstly couples the proton & electron to produce HOO•*, and then the O–O bond of HOO• is cleaved and reduced to H₂O.

\[
\text{O}_2 + \star + \text{H}^+ + \text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{HOO}^* \\
\text{HOO}^* + \text{H}^+ + \text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{O}^* + \text{H}_2\text{O} \\
\text{O}^* + \text{H} + \text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{HO}^* \\
\text{HO}^* + \text{H}^+ + \text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}^* + \star \\
\text{HOO}^* + \star \rightarrow \text{O}^* + \text{HO}^* \\
(13)
\]

Where \( \star \) denotes an unoccupied active site, and HOO•*, HO•*, O•* represent the single adsorbed intermediates on the catalyst surface [36].

Conversely, the two-electron pathway is comprised of two coupled electron & proton transfers together with one intermediate (HOO•*) (Eqs. (14) and (15)) [39].

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{O}_2 + \star + \text{H}^+ + \text{e}^- &\rightarrow \text{HOO}^* \\
\text{HOO}^* + \text{H}^+ + \text{e}^- &\rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}^* + \star \\
\end{align*}
\]

From the above reactions and the schematic diagram shown in Fig. 2a, it is observed that breaking the O–O bond (Eqs. (6) and (10)) is a necessary step in both dissociative and associative four-electron pathways. Therefore, preventing the O–O bond from dissociation is critical in the selective catalysis for H₂O₂ synthesis [30]. Moreover, the obtained H₂O₂ could be further reduced to H₂O, making the process an indirect four-electron ORR to reduce the H₂O₂ production (Eqs. (16)–(19)). Consequently, shortening the H₂O₂ residence time on the catalyst surface is also critical to maintaining the stability of H₂O₂ during electro-synthesis. In summary, the ideal electrocatalyst with high activity and high selectivity toward two-electron ORR should have the property of minimizing the kinetic barriers for Eqs. 14 and 15 and maximizing the barrier for H₂O₂ reduction and HOO•* dissociation to HO•* and O•* [36].

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \star + \text{H} + \text{e}^- &\rightarrow \text{HO}^* + \text{H}_2\text{O} \\
\text{HO}^* + \text{H} + \text{e}^- &\rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} + \star \\
\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + 2\star &\rightarrow 2\text{HO}^* \\
\end{align*}
\]
2 HO\(^*\) + 2H\(^+\) + 2 e\(^-\) \rightarrow 2H\(_2\)O + 2 * \quad (19)

The performance of the electrocatalyst depends on the binding energy of the reaction intermediates to the catalyst surface [36]. However, because of the existence of scaling relationships Eq. (20) between these intermediates, the activity is governed by a single parameter \(\Delta G_{\text{HOO}}\) [40,41]. Benefiting from the development of DFT calculations on numerous close-packed metal surfaces, a volcano framework has established that the theoretical overpotential relates to the free energy of HO\(^*\) for the ORR activity (Fig. 2b) [42,43]. In brief, for the materials that bind HO\(^*\) too weakly (i.e., to the left side of the peak), the ORR is limited by the activation of O\(_2\). The weak interaction with O\(^*\) and HO\(^*\) increases the selectivity toward two-electron ORR, but simultaneously lowers the ORR activity. For the materials that bind HO\(^*\) too strongly (i.e., to the left side of the peak), the limiting step for the H\(_2\)O\(_2\) and H\(_2\)O production is associated with removing HO\(^*\) and HO\(^*\), respectively. Considering the two-electron pathway is determined by only one intermediate, it is feasible to find an electrocatalyst with zero theoretical overpotential that has an optimal \(\Delta G_{\text{HOO}}\), binding HO\(^*\) neither too strongly nor weakly [43].

\[
\Delta G_{\text{HOO}} = \Delta G_{\text{HOO}}^0 + 3.2 \pm 0.2 \text{ eV} \quad (20)
\]

The electrocatalysts for two-electron ORR covers a wide range from noble metals (Pb, Au, etc.) and metal alloys to carbonaceous materials. The metal alloys, such as Pd-Au [44,45] and Pt–Hg [36], were verified to have high selectivity toward H\(_2\)O\(_2\) (70.8–92.5% under 0.1–0.3 V vs. RHE at pH 1). However, the wide application of these noble-metal catalysts is impacted by their scarcity and high cost [46]. Accordingly, metal-free and non-noble-metal catalysts are developed as sustainable alternatives. Carbon materials are a promising alternative for H\(_2\)O\(_2\) electroosynthesis because of their high abundance, conductivity, activity, and lower price. Most importantly, with a variety of allotropes, the carbon materials have multiple morphologies and highly tunable electronic structures. This unique feature makes them an ideal platform for designing electrocatalysts at the atomic level [47].

3. Evaluation parameters of two-electron ORR electrocatalyst

The characterization of electrocatalyst performance via the rotating ring disk electrode (RRDE) technique in a three-electrode system is necessary for evaluating ORR activity and H\(_2\)O\(_2\) selectivity in the material design. RRDE is a convective electrode system containing a disk electrode, a coaxial Pt ring electrode together with a rotating shaft (Fig. 3) [48]. In the RRDE, the ORR takes place on the disk electrode to generate both H\(_2\)O\(_2\) and H\(_2\)O. Thereafter, H\(_2\)O\(_2\) is radially transferred to the coaxial Pt ring electrode by the forced convection resulting from the rotation of the electrode. Subsequently, H\(_2\)O\(_2\) is oxidized back to O\(_2\) (Eq. 21) at the ring electrode. The overall ORR activity and H\(_2\)O\(_2\) selectivity could be quantified by analyzing the corresponding reduction disk current \((i_d)\) and oxidation ring currents \((i_R)\), respectively [49]. The selectivity of H\(_2\)O\(_2\) is quantified by the Faradaic efficiency \((\lambda_{\text{Faradaic}})\) and the average transferred electrons number \((n)\).

\[
\begin{align*}
 \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 & \rightarrow \text{O}_2 + 2\text{H}^+ + 2e^- \quad (21) \\
 \lambda_{\text{Faradaic}} & = \frac{i_R}{i_D} \times 100\% \quad (22) \\
 n & = 4\frac{|i_R|}{|i_D|} + \frac{2}{N} \quad (23)
\end{align*}
\]

where \(N\) represents the collection efficiency of the RRDE, which means the fraction of product from the disk to the ring, based on the geometries of the ring and disk electrodes [30].

Rotating disk electrode (RDE) is another tool for assessing the catalyst ORR activity. Compared with RRDE, the coaxial ring electrode is removed in the RDE system (Fig. 3). The hydrodynamic and electrochemical properties of RDE are related to the Koutecky-Levich (K-L) equation [50].

\[
\frac{1}{J} = \frac{1}{J_k} + \frac{1}{J_l} = \frac{1}{nFkC_0} + \frac{1}{0.62nFkC_0D_0^{1/2}v^{-1/2}} \quad (24)
\]

where \(j_k\) and \(j_l\) are the kinetic-limiting current density and diffusion-limiting current density (mA cm\(^{-2}\)), \(n\) stands for the electron-transfer number, \(F\) is the Faraday constant (C mol\(^{-1}\)), \(C_0\) and \(D_0\) are the bulk concentration (mol cm\(^{-3}\)) and O\(_2\) diffusion coefficient (cm\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\)) in the electrolyte, \(v\) is the kinematic viscosity of the electrolyte (cm\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\)), \(\omega\) represents the angular velocity (rad s\(^{-1}\)), and \(k\) is the electron-transfer rate constant. \(n\) and \(k\) can be obtained from the slope and intercept of K-L equation, respectively.

Typically the onset potential or overpotential \((\eta)\) in (R)RDE tests are used to compare the activities among different electrocatalysts. And the selectivity of newly designed electrocatalysts toward H\(_2\)O\(_2\) is mainly quantified in terms of \(n\) and \(\lambda\). In Tables 1 and 2, the performance of newly developed electrocatalysts on (R)RDE are systematically summarized.

4. Design of high-performance carbonaceous electrocatalysts

4.1. Pristine carbon materials

Before discussing the carbon catalysts, it is vital to discuss the different types of obtained carbon materials. There are three allotropes as dictated by the carbon precursor [51]: graphite (sp\(^2\) bonding), diamond (sp\(^3\)), and amorphous carbon (disordered mixture of sp\(^2\) and sp\(^3\)). Due to the different combinations of carbon atom hybridization, carbon allotropes with different structures and properties are obtained. Until now, most of the two-electron ORR catalysts are graphite carbon and amorphous carbon.

Graphite is formed by multilayered two-dimensional (2D) sheets of sp\(^2\) hybridized carbon atoms with hexagonal lattice in the basal plane. The edges of its planes have terminations with carbon atoms arranged with zigzag or armchair configurations, and a van
Table 1

| Material Type | RRDE test conditions | RRDE test results |
|---------------|----------------------|-------------------|
|               | Electrolyte Conditions (O_2-saturated) | Ring Speeding (rpm) | Scan rate (mV s^-1) | Rotation Speeding (rpm) | Scan rate (mV s^-1) | Onset Potentials | Selectivity (%) | Current density at onset potential (mA cm^-2) | Electrons transferred number | Potential window | Reference |
| N-doped porous carbon | 0.1 M KOH | 900 | 5 | unknown | 0.05 mA cm^-2 | 0.72 V vs. RHE | 93 | 2.1 | <0.6 V vs. RHE | [87] |
| N-doped MC | 0.5 M H_2SO_4 | 1500 | 5 | 1.2 V vs. RHE | 0.43 V vs. RHE | 97.5 | 2.05 | 0.2 V vs. RHE | [100] |
| N-doped CMK-3 | 0.5 M H_2SO_4 | 1600 | 5 | 1.2 V vs. RHE | 0.32 V vs. SHE | 75 | 2.5 | 0.06 V vs. SHE | [102] |
| N-doped CMK-3 by EMM-dica | 0.5 M H_2SO_4 | 1600 | 5 | 1.2 V vs. RHE | 0.3 V vs. RHE | 85 | 2.3 | 0.5 V vs. RHE | [107] |
| N-doped CMK-3 by PEI | 0.5 M H_2SO_4 | 1600 | 5 | 1.2 V vs. RHE | 0.55 V vs. RHE | 95 | 2.1 | 0.4 V vs. RHE | [119] |
| N-doped carbon macroporous | 0.5 M H_2SO_4 | 1600 | 10 | 1.1 V vs. RHE | 0.05 mA | 0.2 V vs. RHE | 90 | 2.2 | 0.3 to 0.6 V vs. RHE | [117] |
| F-doped HPC | 0.05 M H_2SO_4 | 800 | 10 | 1.3 V vs. RHE | 0.14 V to 0.61 V | 52.6 | 2.9 | 0.3 V vs. RHE | [120] |
| N, S-doped MC | 0.5 M H_2SO_4 | 1600 | 5 | 1.25 V vs. SHE | 0.8 V vs. SHE | 85 | 2.3 | 0.5 V vs. RHE | [119] |
| B, N-doped Carbon | 0.1 M KOH | 1600 | 10 | 1.2 V vs. RHE | 0.05 mA | 0.2 V vs. RHE | 90 | 2.2 | 0.3 V vs. RHE | [119] |
| N-doped OMC | 0.5 M H_2SO_4 | 2500 | 10 | 1.2 V vs. RHE | 0.1 mA to 0.6 V | 94 | 2.1 | 0.4 V vs. RHE | [119] |
| O-CNT | 0.1 M KOH | 1600 | 10 | 1.2 V vs. RHE | 0.44 V vs. SHE | 80 | 2.4 | 0.5 V vs. RHE | [120] |
| oxidized CB | 0.1 M Na_2SO_4 | unknown | 5 | 0.4 V vs. Ag/AgCl | 0.05 V vs. Ag/AgCl | 80-90 | 2.3 | 0.1 V vs. RHE | [120] |
| Co-CB composite | 0.1 M HClO_4 | 900 | 5 | 1.2 V vs. RHE | unknown | 44 | 3.1 | 0.2 V vs. RHE | [139] |
| CeO_2-CB composite | 1 M NaOH | 1600 | 5 | 0.2 V vs. Hg/HgO | unknown | unknown | unknown | 2.2 | 0.4 V vs. RHE | [140] |
| SnNi-CB composite | 1 M NaOH | 1600 | 5 | 0.2 V vs. Hg/HgO | unknown | unknown | unknown | 2.2 | 0.4 V vs. RHE | [141] |
| V_2O_5-CB composite | 1 M NaOH | 1600 | 5 | 0.2 V vs. Hg/HgO | unknown | unknown | unknown | 2.2 | 0.4 V vs. RHE | [142] |
| WO_2/Ti-CB composite | 1 M NaOH | 1600 | 5 | 0.3 V vs. Hg/HgO | unknown | unknown | unknown | 2.2 | 0.4 V vs. RHE | [143] |
| MnO_2-CB composite | 1 M NaOH | 1600 | 5 | unknown | unknown | unknown | 2.2 | 0.4 V vs. RHE | [144] |
| CeO_2-CB composite | 1 M NaOH | 1600 | 5 | 0.2 V vs. Hg/HgO | unknown | unknown | unknown | 2.2 | 0.4 V vs. RHE | [145] |
| Nb_2O_5-CGO composite | 0.1 M K_2SO_4, pH = 2 | 900 | 5 | 1.0 V vs. Ag/AgCl | unknown | unknown | unknown | 2.2 | 0.4 V vs. RHE | [146] |
| Ta_2O_5-CB composite | 0.1 M K_2SO_4, pH = 2 | 900 | 5 | 1.0 V vs. Ag/AgCl | unknown | unknown | unknown | 2.2 | 0.4 V vs. RHE | [147] |
| Pd-CB composite | 0.1 M K_2SO_4, pH = 2.5 | unknown | unknown | unknown | 0.35 V vs. Ag/AgCl | 62 | 2.8 | 0.6 V vs. SCE | [150] |
| Fe_3O_4-CGO composite | 1 M KOH | 1600 | 10 | 1.3 V vs. SCE | unknown | unknown | unknown | 2.2 | 0.4 V vs. SCE | [150] |
| Fe_3O_4-CB composite | 1 M KOH | 1600 | 10 | 1.3 V vs. SCE | unknown | unknown | unknown | 2.2 | 0.4 V vs. SCE | [150] |
| Co-N_3 & OGFs-C | 0.1 M KOH | 1600 | 10 | unknown | 0.84 V vs. RHE | 85.6 | 2.3 | 0.78 V vs. RHE | [150] |
| Co-TiO_2-C composite | 0.1 M HClO_4 | 1600 | 20 | 1.2 V vs. RHE | unknown | 95 | 2.1 | 0 V vs. RHE | [131] |
| Co-TMPP-CB | 0.05 M H_2SO_4 | 200 | 2 | 1.1 V vs. SCE | unknown | 80 | 2.4 | 0.3 V vs. SCE | [125] |
| defective OMC | 0.1 M KOH | 1600 | 10 | 1.2 V vs. RHE | unknown | 0.7 V vs. RHE | 80 | 2.4 | 0.5 V vs. RHE | [132] |
| N-Doped Graphene | 0.1 M KOH | 1600 | 10 | 1.2 V vs. RHE | unknown | unknown | unknown | 2.4 | 0.1 V vs. RHE | [133] |
| O-CNT | 0.1 M KOH | 1600 | 10 | unknown | 0.01 mA cm^-2 | 0.773 V vs. RHE | 85 | 2.4 | 0.2 V vs. RHE | [134] |
| Mn–O/N-BPCs | 0.1 M HClO_4 | 900 | unknown | 1.2 V vs. RHE | 0.47 V vs. RHE | 74 | 2.5 | 0.2 V vs. RHE | [135] |
| B-CB | 0.1 M KOH | 1600 | 5 | 1.2 V vs. RHE | 0.773 V vs. RHE | 85 | 2.4 | 0.2 V vs. RHE | [135] |
| OGFs modified activated coke | 0.1 M KOH | 1600 | 10 | 1.2 V vs. RHE | 0.015 mA cm^-2 | 0.83 V vs. RHE | 80 | 2.4 | 0.2 V vs. RHE | [135] |
| N–CO & CNT | 0.05 M Na_2SO_4, pH = 3 | 1600 | 10 | 1.2 V vs. SCE | unknown | unknown | unknown | 2.2 | 0.4 V vs. RHE | [135] |
graphite, and thermal/chemical/electrochemical reduction of graphene. The discovery of graphene and carbon nanotubes (CNTs) expanded the categories of sp² carbon materials. Graphene (a single layer form of graphite) is a 2D sheet honeycomb structure composed of sp² carbon atoms. Due to the remarkable electrical, thermal, physical, optical, and mechanical properties together with high specific surface area, it has received increasing attention. Graphene can be prepared by a variety of processes, including mechanical cleavage, chemical vapor deposition growth, epitaxial growth, electrochemical exfoliation of graphite, and thermal/chemical/electrochemical reduction of graphene oxide (GO). Among those, the mechanical cleavage method results in high-quality graphene sheets but low yield, which cannot meet the large demand for graphene. The GO reduction method is considered to be one of the most promising routes for large-scale graphene production, which restores the essential properties. However, the production of graphene with minimum defects remains challenging. CNTs are tubular cylinders of carbon atoms that can be conceptually viewed as one or up to dozens graphene sheet(s) that are rolled up into a single-wall carbon nanotube (SWCNT) or a multiwall carbon nanotube (MWCNT). CNTs have been at the forefront of materials research in the last decade due to their high electrical conductivity (~5000 S cm⁻¹), high charge mobility (~100,000 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹), as well as chemical stability, and significant mechanical strength. Currently, SWCNTs and MWCNTs are mainly produced by three techniques: arc-discharge, laser-ablation, and catalytic growth. Among these methods, catalytic growth of nanotubes by the chemical vapor deposition (CVD) is the dominant mode of high-volume CNT production. In 2013, bulk purified MWCNTs were sold for less than 100 $ kg⁻¹. The decrease in CNTs price increases their potential for application in various technological areas such as the chemical, medical, aerospace, energy, and automotive industries.

Carbon black (CB) is an amorphous particle of nearly pure elemental carbon, consisting of grape-like aggregates of spherical primary particles, with the aggregates clustered into larger-sized agglomerates. CB has relatively low quantities of extractable organic compounds and total inorganics (usually <15%) as manufactured commercial product for over a century, it has plentiful applications as well as a variety of different trade names and physicochemical properties. A variety of CB grades with different properties (surface area, structure, aggregate size, abrasion resistance, etc.) are manufactured by controlling the conditions of the oil furnace production process. The most widely used CB material is Vulcan XC-72(R) (produced by Cabot Corporation, US) which is used in 80% of electrocatalysts due to the large surface area (~250 m² g⁻¹), high mesopore and macropore percentage (54%), and good electric conductivity (4.0 S cm⁻¹ at the packing fraction of 0.3 and 7.4 S cm⁻¹ at 0.4). In addition to Vulcan XC-72(R), there are some other commercial CB materials such as Printex L6, Black Pearls 2000, Acetylene Black, and Maccorb.

Ordered mesoporous carbon (OMC) is a type of carbon material with regular arrays of uniform mesopores. The OMCS with different compositions vary from pure organic/inorganic frameworks to organic-inorganic hybrid frameworks have been widely investigated and reported in the past two decades. Normally, OMCS can be prepared by two different methods, the hard templating process (nano-casting strategy) by using mesoporous silicas and a soft templating process (direct synthesis) via self-assembly of block copolymers/surfactants and carbon precursors. Except for high surface areas, OMCS also have outstanding special properties, including tunable pore sizes, alternative pore shapes, periodically arranged monodispersed mesopore space, and uniform nano-sized frameworks.

Because of the above outstanding physical and chemical properties, tremendous investigations have been conducted to reveal the ORR catalytic characteristics of graphene, CNTs, CB, and OMCS. However, the electroactivity of pristine carbon catalysts still lags behind that of their metal counterparts because pristine carbon materials are inert to the adsorption and activation of O₂ and ORR intermediates. Therefore, the catalytic properties of carbon materials need to be improved by doping, functionalization, and structural regulation.

### 4.2. Heteroatom doping

Heteroatom doping refers to replacing part of carbon atoms in the carbon skeleton by other heteroatoms, including N, O, B, P, S, halogens, etc. Because of the differences in atomic size, electronegativity, and binding states, heteroatom doping can regulate the spin and charge distribution, tune the absorption and activation of the ORR intermediates, and further change the catalytic performance of carbon. For instance, B and P dopants tend to give electrons to carbon due to their lower electronegativity (B: 2.04; P: 2.19) compared with carbon (2.55), which creates a partial positive charge on the dopant atoms. In contrast, nitrogen with higher electronegativity (N: 3.04) tends to rob electrons from carbon to generate a partial positive charge on the carbon atom. Typically, the formation of partial positive/negative charges can both promote the interaction between the catalyst and O₂ and the adsorption of O₂ on the carbon materials. Though S doping does not disrupt the charge uniformity of carbon materials because of its similar electronegativity (2.58), larger size, and greater polarizability of the S atom enhance the spin density and...
In the recent 7–8 years, the N-doped carbon two-electron ORR catalysts have been systematically investigated to obtain different results on two-electron ORR active pores. N-doped OMC with a mean pore diameter of 13.2 nm was obtained from the ionic liquid N-butyl-3-methylpyridinium dicyanamide (BMP-dca) at 800 °C by hard-templating strategy using silica nanoparticles [85]. H2O2 production rate reached 0.17 g gcat⁻¹ h⁻¹ with a current efficiency of 65.2% at 0.1 V (vs. RHE). The RDE verified that the resultant material was highly active for the selective H2O2 generation. However, a comparable material synthesized at 1000 °C was favorable to the four-electron ORR [86]. The authors assumed the lower degree of delocalization, higher N content, and exposure of pyrrolic-N sites may favor the two-electron process.

Sun et al. [87] fabricated a series of N-doped OMC materials by pyrolyzing the mixture of 1-Ethyl-3-methylimidazolium dicyanamide (EMIM-dca) and CMK-3 at different temperatures. Compared with six potentially suitable two-electron ORR carbon materials (Ketjen black EC 300J, Ketjen black EC 600JD, Black pearls 2000, Vulcan XC 72R, Graphene nano-plates, and CMK-3), the structural, compositional, and other physical properties were correlated with their catalytic performance. For six pristine carbon materials, large BET surface areas, positive zeta potentials, and high defect sites were all beneficial for H2O2 generation. Dissimilarly, the H2O2 selectivity of N-doped carbon catalyst is governed more by the N doping effect. The selectivity of the optimal N-doped material reached 95–98% in the potential range of 0.1–0.3 V (vs. RHE). In order to get a better understanding of the potentially related mechanistic roles of the different N species during the two-electron ORR, a novel N-doped OMC was prepared by annealing the mixture of CMK-3 and polyethyleneimine (PEI) in the N2 atmosphere; achieving the highest H2O2 selectivity of 95–98.5% with the potential range of 0.1–0.4 V [88]. Analyzing chemical state trajectories of N species in the catalysts suggested pyrrolic-N played a key role as an active site in acidic solution, while graphitic-N groups seemed to be active catalytic moieties in neutral and alkaline conditions.

Other N-doped porous carbons were prepared from para-formaldehyde cross-linked collagen by sintering at various temperatures (400–800 °C) [89]. Higher carbonization temperature brought more porous and sheet-like structures into the materials, and led to the formation of graphitic-N structure, the removal of oxygen-containing functional groups, and the decrease of N content, thus enhancing graphitic crystallinity. According to the electrochemical tests, N-doped carbon prepared at 400 °C showed excellent two-electron ORR with a selectivity of 93% over a wide potential range from 0.17 to 0.6 V (vs. RHE) due to the combination of pyridinic-N, pyrrolic-N, and the surface oxygen-containing functional groups.

Since ORR generally proceeds on the surface of the catalysts, the unexposed active sites hidden in the catalyst body contribute little to the catalytic activity [74]. Therefore, the appropriate doping location and catalyst micro-configuration are more crucial than the gross doping content.

To obtain a special carbon material with N-doping mainly at the surface, to provide active sites and high graphitic carbonaceous core to provide high electrical conductivity, N-doped graphitic carbon materials were fabricated by sequential pyrolysis of aniline and dihydroxynaphthalene (DHN) inside the SBA-15 hard silica template (Fig. 5a) [90]. To cover the template surface with...
monolayered aniline, the amount of aniline employed was determined based on the precise calculation of the molecular cross-sectional area of precursor and total pore volume of the template. The resultant materials displayed an ordered, hexagonal array of carbon rods, with a narrow pore size distribution centred at 4.3 nm, and specific surface area of 877 m² g⁻¹ (Fig. 5b). The novel N-doped OMC exhibited outstanding performance with a transfer number of 2.1, and a H₂O₂ selectivity of 95% (Fig. 5c). Which attributed to the high surface area, regular mesopores structure, a graphitic character, high content graphitic-N and pyridinic-N configurations.

Except for the N species, other influence factors were also investigated. Park et al. [91] studied the effect of the mesopores to discover that N-doped carbon materials with 3.4 nm well-ordered mesopores had high activity and selectivity (>90%) for H₂O₂ synthesis. In comparison, micropore-dominant N-doped activated carbon showed a higher onset potential than N-doped OMC, but a lower selectivity (56–60%). The excellent mass transfer of mesoporous structure enhanced the release of H₂O₂ within a relatively short contact time, which resulted in high selectivity toward H₂O₂ synthesis. Hasché et al. [92] proposed that the electrochemical formation mechanisms for peroxide are dependent on the pH and the species of electrolyte, as well as the respective change of the peroxide species from H₂O₂ to hydroperoxide. In order to obtain a kinetic understanding of N-doped carbon catalysts in acidic media, a porous N-doped carbon with a surface area of 992 m² g⁻¹ was obtained by carbonization of polyimide.
nanoparticles through a two-step pyrolysis [93]. RRDE revealed that lower catalyst loading on the disk suppresses the further reduction of H₂O₂ in the catalyst matrix layer. When the catalyst loading density decreased to 30 μg cm⁻², the H₂O₂ selectivity was much higher than 80%. This study provided quantitative insight into the ORR mechanism over an N-doped carbon catalyst.

4.2.2. Single doping by other heteroatoms

Although N-doped carbon materials have been investigated extensively, carbon materials doped with B, P, S, and halogens have also been explored recently for their potential applications for electrocatalysis of ORR. However, almost all of the B/P/S doped carbon materials demonstrated an affinity for four-electron ORR instead of two-electron ORR for H₂O₂ generation [94–97].

Zhang et al. [98] studied the formation energy, electronic structures, transition states, and energy barriers of S-doped graphene clusters by DFT calculation to predict ORR activity of four types of S-doped graphene clusters (Fig. 4c); including S atoms adsorbed on the graphene cluster surface (Type 1), S atom replacement at the graphene cluster armchair edge or zigzag (Type 2), SO₂ substitution at the graphene edge (zigzag and armchair. Type 3), and two graphene clusters connected by an S ring (Type 4). Carbon atoms with high spin density or positive charge density are the active catalytic sites, which are often located at the zigzag edges or close to the SO₂ doping structure. Two-electron ORR proceeds on the substitutional S atom with a high charge density, while four-electron ORR occurs simultaneously on the carbon atoms with a high positive spin or charge density.

Considering the high electronegativity of Fluorine (3.98), the carbon electronic structure can be adjusted significantly by F atom doping. In addition, F-doping regulated the electron transfer properties by inducing polarization and changing the Fermi level [99,100]. Recently, a F-doped hierarchically porous carbon catalyst was developed from an aluminum-based metal-organic framework (MOF, MIL-53) precursor [101,102]. The selectivity of the ORR pathway strongly depended on the F doping species configurations. The covalent CF₂ and CF₃ facilitate the two-electron pathway because of the strong adsorption of O₂ and the weak binding energy of the HOO⁻ intermediate. Hence, the fabricated F-doped catalysts exhibited a high H₂O₂ yield of 113 mmol h⁻¹ gcat⁻¹, and selectivity reached 97.5–83% in the potential range of −0.1 V to −0.6 V, RHE (pH 1).

In 2021, Xia et al. [103] systematically studied the effects of different dopants (B, N, P, S) in carbon material on its performance in 2e⁻ ORR performance. Among all these dopants, B-doped carbon shows the highest activity and selectivity, with an onset potential of 0.773 V (vs. RHE) while maintaining over 85% selectivity across a broad potential window in 0.1 M KOH. BET, XPS, XAS, and Raman results excluded the possible morphological, structural, and electronic side effects on 2e⁻ ORR. DFT calculations revealed the B-doped at single vacancy has nearly-zero overpotential, while molecular dynamics at constant potential indicates that the energy barrier for the 2e⁻ pathway is lower than its 4e⁻ counterpart.

4.2.3. Co-doping by various heteroatoms

It has been recently proven that the co-doping of multiple types of heteroatom into carbon materials would increase the density of electrocatalytically active sites for two-electron or four-electron ORR processes [78]. N & S, N & B, and N & P co-doped carbon materials have been investigated for catalyzing two-electron ORR. The comparison of N-doped, S-doped, and N & S co-doped mesoporous carbons showed that a higher N content enhanced the catalytic activity while the effect of sulfur was opposite [104]. Though the N & S co-doped carbon showed a lower activity, the selectivity toward H₂O₂ (75%) was higher than N-doped samples (67–69%). In similar work, mesoporous carbons doped with either N, S, or both, were obtained by a one-pot molecular precursor auto-assembly followed by hydrothermal carbonization [105]. The dopant molecule was found to govern the ensuing structure and resulted in different average mesopore sizes (3.5 nm, 8.2 nm, 32 nm, and 34 nm corresponding to un-doped, N-doped, S-doped, and N & S co-doped carbons). The RDE test demonstrated that no beneficial effect was achieved by the co-doping of S & N. The best performance for two-electron ORR was achieved by N-doped catalyst with 4% (wt) N content and about 80% pore volume in the mesopore range. Dissimilarly, Zhu et al. introduced N, S atoms into a carbon-based cathode [106]. Results showed the optimized N & S co-doped cathode presented over 42% improvement of H₂O₂ yield, which was higher than single N/S doping. Mechanism studies show that “End-on” O₂ adsorption was achieved by adjusting electronic nature via N doping, while HOO⁻ binding capability was tuned by spin density variation via S doping.

Hybrid boron-carbon-nitrogen (BCN) materials have been tested for several catalytic applications [107,108]. To increase the selectivity toward H₂O₂ production, B & N co-doped carbons were prepared. BET surface area, together with the total content of B and N dopants were modulated by controlling the initial co-nomer precursor ratios [109]. Compared to solely N-doped carbon, the final loading of N by co-incorporating B with N increased significantly due to the formed isolated patches of h-BN, which provided higher activity and selectivity for the two-electron ORR. Moreover, systematic DFT calculations were performed to study the structures of different size h-BN domains doped into graphene, and different size C domains doped into an h-BN lattice [110,111]. The relationship between stimulated limiting potential and HO⁻ adsorption energy is shown in Fig. 5d. The results predicted 13% h-BN to have the best two-electron ORR performance.

Li et al. confirmed that N & P co-doping increases the two-electron ORR activity of carbon-stalk-derived activated carbon fibers significantly [112]. Co-doping N & P in the carbon lattice slightly changed the pore structures. Remarkably, (NH₄)₃PO₄ treatment could not only embed N and P into the carbon skeleton but also introduced additional mesopores on the catalyst.

4.3. Functionalization

Chemical functionalization is another powerful “regulation screw” to tailor the electron density and/or electron density distribution in the materials by introducing specific electrophilic/nucleophilic, ionic, or chiral sites. Oxygen functional groups (OFGs) are the most popular species modified onto carbon-based materials.

Surface OFGs are often introduced into carbon materials by oxidation treatment. OFGs break the electrical neutrality of sp² carbon lattice to enhance the ORR activity. Zhong et al. [113] discovered that the carboxyl group (O═C–OH) could weaken the CNF–O bond more easily and exhibit the highest four-electron ORR activity. Moreover, all the OFGs on CNFs were found to be easily bonded with H₂O₂ to furtherly reduce H₂O₂ to H₂O, thus making n of the resultant materials close to 4. Until now, this was the challenge to further pinpoint the active site to a specific group still remains.

Recently, it was found reactivity of OFGs will change in different environments [114]. Kim et al. [115] prepared a mildly reduced graphene oxide (mrGO) by heating purified GO at 100 °C flowing N₂ overnight. The mrGO, which kept parts of the OFGs, showed stable peroxy formation activity together with highly selective at low overpotentials (about 0.01 V) in 0.1 M KOH solution. The experiments proved that carbonaceous catalysts with epoxy or ring ether groups situated either at plane edges or on their basal planes,
exhibited remarkable two-electron reactivity, which was able to produce H2O2 with nearly 100% selectivity and high stability (15 h at 0.45 V vs. RHE) in alkaline conditions. In other research with N-doped rGO [116], sp² carbon sites located next to oxide regions were identified as dominating the ORR activity by experimental and DFT calculation, which underlined the importance of OFGs rather than nitrogen functional groups (NFGs). These references suggested that the enhancement effect of OFGs on H2O2 production activity requires a synergistic contribution of the carbon lattice environments. Based on this assumption, Sun, et al. [117] provided a novel idea into the coupling role of carbon cluster size and OFGs in H2O2 production. An activated coke electrocatalyst with size-tailored amorphous carbon clusters modified by OFGs yielded high activity (onset potential 0.83 V vs. RHE), high H2O2 selectivity (~90%), and long-term stability. Based on this result and a series of control experiments, it was concluded that the size-reduced amorphous carbon lattices with abundant edges contributed to the high activity, while the basal carbon atoms in ether-modified small-size carbon planes are the most active sites towards H2O2 selectivity.

Lu et al. [118] demonstrated a facile approach to oxidize the raw CNTs by HNO3 to obtain O-CNTs. The O-CNTs drastically lowered the needed overpotential by ~130 mV at 0.2 mA compared with raw CNTs and increased the selectivity from ~60 to ~90%. Based on DFT calculations, ester groups (C=O-C) in the basal plane of the graphene and O=C-OH in the armchair edge were proved active and selective for H2O2 production.

Zhang et al. [119] introduced OFGs onto the Vulcan XC-72 CB by a simple calcination method at 200–600 °C exposed to air. Characterization results showed both structural defects and OFGs content increased with the calcination temperature. Furthermore, many types of OFGs, such as C-O-C, C=O, O=C-O, and O=C=O, were successfully introduced onto the CB surface. With calcination at 600 °C, the RRDE onset potential increased from ~0.27 V to ~0.14 V (vs. Ag/AgCl) and the H2O2 selectivity increased slightly from 47.0-56.2% to 52.6-56.1% at ~0.35 to ~0.6 V (vs. Ag/AgCl).

In order to reveal the nature and quantity of two-electron ORR active sites in the alkaline media, Lu, et al. [120] synthesized various oxidized carbon black (OCB) with adjustable surface OFGs (C=O, O=C=O, O=C-OH) by HNO3 treatment at 30–120 °C. The OCB-120 °C had the most stable ring current and λ of ~60% at 0.26–0.36 V (vs. RHE). It was also observed that the intrinsic activity of O=C-OH is much higher than that of C=O.

To investigate the synergistic influence of different N doping species and OFGs in carbon materials on the H2O2 production, N & O co-doped OM C was fabricated from HMT (hexamime), Pluronic F127, and resorcinol by a one-step hydrothermal method at 600–900 °C [121]. The 700 °C carbonization sample had 443 m² g⁻¹ BET surface area, and COOH, C=O, total N, graphic N content together with the highest zeta potential and pyridinic N, C−C−C content (Fig. 6). DFT calculations on the account of the adsorption energy of HOO* were applied to study the interactive effects between N species and OFGs (Fig. 6i). Compared with the pure graphitic carbon (−0.608 eV), pyridinic N (−0.289 eV), graphitic N (−0.494 eV), COOH (−0.362 eV), C−O=C (−0.175 eV) doped carbon possessed a lower HOO* adsorption energy, which positively affects the production of H2O2. Among these, pyridinic N & C−O=C co-doped carbonaceous catalyst exhibited the lowest HOO* adsorption energy (−0.092 eV), which accelerated the HOO* protonation toward H2O2. Combined with the ideal dispersed performance, the 700 °C carbonization sample had the highest activity and selectivity (~95%) at 0.4 V vs. RHE.

OFGs can also be in-situ introduced onto the carbon-based electrode by physical/chemical/electrochemical methods to promote H2O2 yield. These will be described in detail in Chapters 6 and 7 based on the electrode type and the method.

4.4. Metal and metallic compound

4.4.1. Non-precious metals decorated carbon

Non-noble metal (NPM)-based materials have been investigated as four-electron ORR electrocatalysts for more than a few decades. Recently, some researchers tried to load NPMs onto the carbon to test their catalytic performance for two-electron ORR. In 2011, series transition metal-carbon composite catalysts (M = V, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, Sn, Ba, Ce) were obtained by heating the mixture of Vulcan XC-72 CB and metal nitrate salts at a high temperature of 900 °C in N₂ [122]. As shown in Fig. 7a, it is clear that Co-activated samples have outstanding performance for the electrolysisis of H2O2 than other samples in an acid medium. An optimized catalyst with 4% (wt) Co showed a high H2O2 selectivity of 80–90% at 0.1–0.4 V (vs. RHE). The selectivity of ORR is also related to the geometric arrangement of atoms on the surface of the catalyst [123]. HOO* normally binds onto atop sites, whereas O* binds onto hollow sites. Eliminating hollow sites will specifically destabilize O* without necessarily changing the activity. Therefore, Siahrostami, et al. [36] predicted that catalysts such as Co-porphyrins that lack hollow sites might have high selectivity toward H2O2. Zhang et al. [124] developed a Co-based catalyst with a negligible amount of onset overpotential and near 100% selectivity by modulating the oxygen functional groups near the atomically dispersed cobalt sites. It was revealed that the presence of epoxy groups near the Co–N₄ centers exceptionally enhanced H₂O₂ generation.

4.4.2. Metal carbonitrides

Because of the incremental improvement witnessed in NPM electrocatalysts, various novel efficient NPM-based catalysts were developed. Among these NPM-based electrocatalysts, metal carbonitrides, including non-pyrolyzed transition metal macrocyres and pyrolyzed NPM-N-doped carbon (M-N-C) (M = Fe, Ni, Co, etc.) catalysts, have shown the most promising potential because of their efficient activity toward ORR.

The investigation of non-pyrolyzed transition metal macrocycles on ORR dates back to the 1960s since Jasinski [125] first discovered the promoted ORR performance by cobalt phthalocyanine with a metal-N₄ center. The electronic configuration of the metal centers is beneficial to bond with the O₂ molecule and subsequent reduction of O₂ [125]. Subsequently, multiple M – N₄ macrocycles, such as porphyrins, phthalocyanines, and tetraazaannulenes, have been widely investigated [126]. It was found that these catalysts are prone to catalyze two-electron reductions if they are adsorbed onto the surface of the electrode. The surface electrochemical behavior of adsorbed Co tetra-methoxy-phenyl porphyrin (CoMTPP) was investigated at different pH values [127]. The Co center one-electron redox process and the N₄-ring two-electron redox process were recognized during the ORR. The adsorbed CoMTPP displayed strong activity for both O₂ reduction and peroxide reduction. O₂ can only be reduced to the stage of H₂O₂ in acidic conditions. In contrast, in neutral or alkaline solutions, the ORR was observed through a two-electron pathway in the low potential polarization range (0.13 to −0.5 V vs. SCE) and the overall four-electron pathway to H₂O₂ in the high potential polarization range (about −0.5 to −1.5 V vs. SCE). However, the major problem of these non-pyrolyzed transition metal macrocycles is demetallation from the active sites resulting from the collapse of the macrocyclic structure caused by peroxide and superoxide intermediates during ORR [128,129].

Enlightened by the high ORR performances of the transition metal macrocyclic complexes, pyrolyzed NPM-N-doped carbon (M-N-C), prepared via the thermal treatment of either metal N₄ macrocyclic complexes or the mixture of metal salts, carbon and nitrogen precursors, have been extensively investigated. Until now,
Fig. 6. a, Schematic of O and N co-doped OMC materials preparation. SEM results (b), TEM results (c) and HRTEM results (d) of O/N-OMC-700. Tafel plots at 1600 rpm (e) and EIS data (f) of different O, N co-doped OMCs. g, RDE LSV curves at 1600 rpm. The selectivity toward H$_2$O$_2$ and electron transfer number of different materials. i. The computational models for HOO$^*$ adsorption on pure carbon materials and different types of N and OFGs co-doping. Adapted from the literature [121], with permission from Elsevier.

Fig. 7. a, RRDE results of different transition metal loading catalysts. The experiments were conducted in 0.1 M HClO$_4$ electrolyte at 295 K. Adapted from the literature [122], with permission from Elsevier. Mechanistic research on the Co-POC-O electro-catalyst. LSV curves at 10 mV s$^{-1}$ (b), corresponding Tafel plots (c) of three catalysts. d, Calculated H$_2$O$_2$ selectivity of Co-POC-O, Co-POC-R, and POC-O catalysts. e, Performance comparison regarding to activity and selectivity for H$_2$O$_2$ generation on three catalysts. The inset in (e) indicates the synergistic H$_2$O$_2$ generation mechanism scheme. C, O, N, and Co atoms are marked with black, yellow, blue, red, respectively. f, Schematic illustration of the synergistic strategy of atomic Co-N$_x$-C sites and OFGs for H$_2$O$_2$ generation on the designed catalysts. Adapted from the literature [137], with permission from Wiley.
the role of the transition metals in the M-N-C catalysts is still controversial, and numerous types of active sites were inferred to be responsible for four-electron ORR activity [126,128,130], while the investigation on two-electron ORR was limited.

Jaouen and Dodelet [131] have confirmed that Fe or Co, together with N, followed by a pyrolysis treatment, resulted in catalytic sites highly active for two-electron or four-electron ORR. Fe-activated carbons are active for H₂O production, and Co-activated carbons are reported to be responsible for reducing O₂ toward H₂O₂. However, according to the review by Bezerra et al., 2008, both Fe-N-C and Co-N-C materials catalyze the ORR mainly through a four-electron process instead of a two-electron process [132]. Campos et al. [133] reported that the electrocatalytic performance of Co catalysts obtained from nitrogen-ligands is greatly affected by heat treatment. Once the heat temperature exceeded 500 °C, a drop in H₂O₂ selectivity resulted from the progressive formation of metallic cobalt particles. H₂O₂ reduction was almost invisible without cobalt or when the cobalt is in the form of a complex. Olson et al. [134] have studied the ORR mechanism of Co-polypyrrole-C (CoPy/C) in alkaline media through structure-to-property analysis. Initially, two-electron ORR occurred on a Co-Nx site with formation HO₂. The HO₂ species further reacted either to form OH⁻ via electrochemical reduction or to form OH⁻ and O₂ by chemical decomposition. It was speculated that decorating CoOx/Co nanoparticles appears to be the site of HO₂ destruction.

In summary, the M-N-C catalysts (where M = Fe or Co) were thought to exhibit high activity towards the four-electron ORR following the peroxide formation-reduction pathway (O₂ → H₂O₂ → H₂O) in acidic media [135]. The active sites for H₂O₂ generation and reduction all exist in the catalysts. To obtain efficient M-N-C catalysts for H₂O₂ formation, the suppression of HO₂ further reduction is pivotal. Recently, researchers have tried to obtain highly active and selective M-N-C two-electron ORR catalysts by introducing other functional groups. Byeon et al. [136] demonstrated that codoping of MnO nanoparticles together with Mn-Nx moieties into carbon are efficient for peroxide production with 74% at 0.2 V (vs. RHE). The favored two-electron ORR resulted from the increasing number of Mn-Nx sites inside the mesoporous N-doped carbon. Moreover, strong evidence showed that a further reduction of H₂O₂ was remarkably suppressed by adjacent MnO species. Li et al. [137] pointed out that the atomic Co-Nx-C sites improve the ORR activity but lack the selectivity for H₂O₂ generation, while OFGs promote the selectivity for the two-electron ORR but exhibit limited kinetics for ORR. Therefore, a rational combination of Co-Nx-C sites and OFGs into 3D interconnected conductive hosts was prepared by heating the predesigned precursor prior to HNO₃ treatment (noted as Co-Py@C). Co-Py@C exhibited excellent catalytic performance in KOH (0.1 M) with a high onset potential (0.84 V vs. RHE) and selectivity of over 80%. Moreover, the synergistic effect of atomic Co-Nx-C reactive sites and OFGs was identified by the control samples with only atomic Co-Nx-C reactive sites (Co-Py@C) or only OFGs (Py@C) (Fig. 7b–f).

4.4.3. Metal alloy/oxide decorated carbon

Multiple metal oxides, especially group IV and V metals, are proven to be catalyst supports to replace carbon materials due to their abundant surface hydroxyl groups and chemical stability in acidic electrolytes [130,139]. However, their bulk form exhibit extremely low ORR activity resulting from the poor electrical conductivity and reduced reactive sites for oxygen species adsorption. Recently, alloying, forming highly dispersed nanoparticles, and reducing the crystalline sizes have been reported as an effective way to enhance the catalytic activities of metal oxides by increasing their exposed reactive sites, surface available defects, and electrical conductivity. Different carbon varieties were modified by various metal alloys or metal oxides with nanostructure to improve the two-electron ORR of carbonaceous electrocatalysts. Typically, the synthesized or purchased metal composites were supported onto the carbon by a modified polymeric precursor method [139] or sol-gel method [140]. Vulcan XC-72(R) with n = 3.1–2.5 and λ = 41–73% was used frequently in Santos’ team as the support to study the catalytic capacity of two-electron ORR. After being modified with V₂O₅, SnO₂, WO₃, MnO₂, or W@Au, the n of metallic nanostructure modified CB decreased to 2–2.6, while λ increased to 68–96% [141–146]. Among them, a core-shell type W@Au nanostructures (1% W@Au/CB) presented the highest selectivity toward H₂O₂ with n of –2 [147].

Printex L6 CB (BET surface area of ~250 m² g⁻¹, primary particle size of 18 nm and density of 1.8 g cm⁻³) with a λ of 65.3–68% and n of 2.6–2.7 was another important carrier to develop metal compounds nanoparticles modified carbonaceous electrocatalysts for two-electron ORR [148,149]. After preparation optimization, 4% CeO₂/CB specimen showed a λ = 88% and n = 2.2 at −0.4 V vs. Hg/HgO, while Ta₂O₅/CB (5% (w/w) Ta/C) exhibited a λ = 83.2% and n = 2.3 at −0.3 to −0.5 V (vs. Ag/AgCl). A λ of 1% Pt/C was over 80% at about 0 V (vs. Ag/AgCl) [150]. In another work, the synthesized rGO with mean particle size of 5.7 ± 0.8 nm showed λ = 73.7% and n = 2.52. With the Nb₂O₅ loading, Nb₂O₅/rGO composite (Nb/GO = 15% w/w) exhibited λ = 85.3% and n = 2.28 (−0.20 to −0.40 V vs. Ag/AgCl) [151]. In contrast, after loading 5% Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles, the λ of Fe₃O₄/rGO was only 62% [152].

Based on the above research, the conclusions were that the decoration of metallic nanostructures would bring more acidic oxygen species or special morphology to the surface of the carbons, resulting in a more acidic and hydrophilic surface, and thus improving H₂O₂ generation by enhancing oxygen adsorption or oxygen diffusion.

4.5. Engineering defect and porosity

Some researchers claimed the doped heteroatoms are the real ORR active sites in the carbon structures [81,82,84,87], however, another group of research recently proved that defects created by heteroatoms might be the actual active sites [153,154]. Some novel carbon-based electrocatalysts were developed with the guidance of this newly established defect-driven catalysis mechanism. In this section, we will not discuss this mechanism debate. Instead, we will provide a new insight on the mechanism for ORR and give specific examples on promoting two-electron ORR by creating different defective carbon materials.

Perfect and defective graphene clusters are summarized and plotted in Fig. 8a. The Graphene with G585 divacancy defects (consisting of two pentagons and one octagonal) facilitated the O₂ adsorption and lowered the following reaction energy barriers. DFT calculations showed that the point and line defects in graphene could tailor the local electronic structures and the distributions of nearby carbon atoms [155]. A pentacon ring located at the zigzag edge, the odd number of octagon ring, and fused pentacon ring line at the edge of the defective graphene are all proposed to be ORR active sites (Fig. 8). Hu and co-workers proposed that pentagon and zigzag edge defects are more reactive in four-electron ORR [156]. Moreover, defective graphene fabricated by N doping following a removal approach was a trifunctional catalyst for the four-electron ORR, hydrogen evolution reaction, and oxygen evolution reaction [157]. DFT models predicted N-doped into the graphene is beneficial to lower the adsorption energy of O₂ but unfavorable for the reduction. These results proved the versatility of the defect-driven catalysis for electrocatalysis. However, until now, insufficient effort has been placed on understanding what defective active sites selectively promote the two-electron ORR. Only a few research applied the
defect-driven catalysis mechanism to design and explore two-electron ORR materials.

Carbonization of MOF-5 under H2 will transform sp2-C bonds to sp3-C bonds [158]. The harvested hierarchical porous carbons (HPC) exhibited H2O2 selectivity of 80.9 e95% in acid solution (pH 1 and 4), with both defects and sp3-C acting as active sites of two-electron ORR. This research certified the un-doped and un-functionalized defective carbon also has potential for the two-electron...
mechanism. However, later researchers presented opposing views about the active sites. For example, Tao, et al. [159] confirmed that the defect sp² carbon atoms served as main active sites for four-electron ORR instead of two-electron ORR. Chen et al. [160], Kim, et al. [161] all stated the active sites of two-electron ORR are from sp³ carbons.

Chen et al. [160] have experimentally and theoretically investigated the defect and pore size effect to the electrochemical H₂O₂ synthesis. Two porous carbon catalysts (predominantly microporous/mesoporous carbon, Micro C, and Meso C) were synthesized from similar precursors but different synthetic procedures. Characterizations showed the two materials had similar chemical identity and content of defects but different pore structures (surface area, pore size, and pore volume) (Fig. 8b–e). Electrochemical tests showed both carbons exhibit high activity with an onset potential of about 0.7 V (vs. RHE) and selectivity of >70% toward H₂O₂ (Fig. 8f–i). The better performance of the Meso C was attributed to the easier mass transfer in mesoporous structures. Spectroscopic analyses revealed that microporous/mesoporous carbon (Micro C and Meso C) contain sp²-type defects that might be the reactive sites for the two-electron ORR. DFT calculations indicated that the pentagon edge, single vacancies (SV), and 585 double vacancies (DV) in the graphene sheets are too reactive to contribute to ORR. While some of the defect configurations (555-677, 555-777, 555-777, and 555-777 point defects) were identified as having comparable activity with Pt/Hg₄ (Fig. 8j and k) (the ideal catalyst). The in-plane carbon defect density did not show the most effective two-electron ORR activity instead of two-electron ORR. Chen et al. [160] have experimentally and theoretically investigated the defect and pore size effect to the electrochemical H₂O₂ synthesis. Two porous carbon catalysts (predominantly microporous/mesoporous carbon, Micro C, and Meso C) were synthesized from similar precursors but different synthetic procedures. Characterizations showed the two materials had similar chemical identity and content of defects but different pore structures (surface area, pore size, and pore volume) (Fig. 8b–e). Electrochemical tests showed both carbons exhibit high activity with an onset potential of about 0.7 V (vs. RHE) and selectivity of >70% toward H₂O₂ (Fig. 8f–i). The better performance of the Meso C was attributed to the easier mass transfer in mesoporous structures. Spectroscopic analyses revealed that microporous/mesoporous carbon (Micro C and Meso C) contain sp²-type defects that might be the reactive sites for the two-electron ORR. DFT calculations indicated that the pentagon edge, single vacancies (SV), and 585 double vacancies (DV) in the graphene sheets are too reactive to contribute to ORR. While some of the defect configurations (555-677, 555-777, 555-777, and 555-777 point defects) were identified as having comparable activity with Pt/Hg₄ (Fig. 8j and k) (the ideal catalyst) until now shown in Fig. 2b and c) for the two-electron ORR. Kim, et al. [161] developed two N-rGO materials with different defect compositions. Based on the nuclear magnetic resonance technique and other X-ray-based tools, sp² carbon defects associated with epoxy or ether groups were identified to play a more critical role in promoting H₂O₂ formation than other functionalities, such as N defects or carboxylic acid edge sites.

In recent research [162], the functionalized graphene sample with the largest electrochemical active surface area and the highest in-plane carbon defect density did not show the most efficient ORR activity and H₂O₂ selectivity, which was due to excess in-plane carbon defects that would lead to a conductivity decrease. In comparison, the graphene sample with the lowest in-plane carbon defect density had the highest H₂O₂ selectivity. These results emphasized that the optimization of graphene precursors defect site density is pivotal for adjusting the catalysts’ catalytic activity and reaction pathway. Defect modulation is regarded as a burgeoning strategy to regulate the electronic structure of carbon-based materials.

5. Evaluation parameters of H₂O₂-generating electrodes

The Electrode is the key component of the electrochemical cell because it contacts the electrolyte and provides the reaction sites for the reactants. Ideal carbon-based electrodes must possess a large surface area, suitable porosity, internal channels, and low electronic resistance for high electrochemical activity. The following chapters mainly introduce the development of formed carbon-based electrodes, including general electrode preparation methods, various physical and chemical modification methods, and their applications. There are two kinds of modification methods for enhancing two-electron ORR activity of the electrodes: (1) modify the pristine electrodes (graphite plate & rod, graphite felt, reticulated vitreous carbon, and activated carbon fibers, Fig. 9a) by physical/chemical methods to tune the surface properties or to load effective two-electron ORR functional groups (N, O) onto the electrode surface; (2) use the pristine carbon electrodes as support and introduce other highly active & selective carbon materials, such as CNTs, CB, and graphene (Fig. 10a). For example, carbon felt and carbon paper are often used as soft current collectors for in situ construction of electroactive nano-carbon structures due to their simple handling and excellent conductivity.

Various electrochemical testing techniques can be applied to investigate the electrochemical activity and interface properties of formed electrodes, including linear sweeping voltammetry (LSV), cyclic voltammetry (CV), electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS), chronoamperometry (CA), and scanning electrochemical microscopy (SECM) in the three-electrode system [163]. The above test results can be used to support the demonstration of electrode performance and mechanism analysis. In our estimation, the most critical parameter is the H₂O₂ yield and the current efficiency of the electrodes. In order to contrast the performance of electrodes clearly and intuitively, the expression of H₂O₂ yield in this paper was uniformly transformed to mg h⁻¹ unless specially noted. The current efficiency (CE, also known as Faradaic efficiency) of the cathodes was calculated from Eq. (25):

\[
CE = \frac{nF \eta \Delta C}{\eta \Delta C} \times 100\% \quad (25)
\]

where n is the number of the transferred electrons from O₂ to H₂O₂ (n = 2), F represents the Faraday constant (96,486 C mol⁻¹), \( \frac{\Delta C}{\eta \Delta C} \) represents the concentration of H₂O₂ (mol L⁻¹), \( V \) stands for the bulk volume (L), \( I \) is the current (A), and \( t \) is the time (s). The performances of all mentioned cathodes are summarized in Table 3, including the electrolyte conditions, operation mode, H₂O₂ yield, and CE.
| Electrode type | Electrode Size | Electrolyte Conditions | Potential/Current | Air/O2 Flow Rate | H2O2 Yield | Current Efficiency (%) | Ref |
|---------------|----------------|------------------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------|------------------------|-----|
| PPy/AQDS modified graphite | 3 × 3.5 cm | 100 mM Na2SO4, pH = 3, 200 mL | −0.65 V vs. SCE | O2: 20 L h⁻¹ | 4 mg h⁻¹ | 64–73 | [172] |
| anodized GF | 5 × 2 × 0.5 cm | 50 mM Na2SO4, pH = 6.4, 130 mL | −0.65 V vs. SCE | O2: 0.4 L min⁻¹ | 15.3 mg h⁻¹ | 79 | [196] |
| hydrazine hydrate modified GF | 5 × 2 × 0.5 cm | 50 mM Na2SO4, pH = 6.4, 130 mL | −0.65 V vs. SCE | O2: 0.4 L min⁻¹ | 11.5 mg h⁻¹ | 81 | [189] |
| CB modified GF | 5 × 4 × 0.5 cm | 50 mM Na2SO4, pH = 7, 100 mL | 100 mA | no external aeration | 47.3 mg h⁻¹ | 75 | [202] |
| HNO3 modified GF | 27 × 7 cm | 50 mM Na2SO4, pH = 3, 2500 mL | 20 V | O2: 4 L min⁻¹ | 742.5 mg h⁻¹ | unknown | [194] |
| KOH activated GF at 900 °C | 2 × 2 cm | 100 mM Na2SO4, pH = 3, volume unknown | −0.7 V vs. SCE | O2: 0.6 L min⁻¹ | 40 mg L⁻¹ | unknown | [192] |
| KOH activated GF at 400 °C | 6 × 4 × 0.5 cm | 50 mM Na2SO4, pH = 3, 300 mL | 50 mA | O2: 0.6 L min⁻¹ | ~30 mg L⁻¹ | −94.6 (cal) | [193] |
| N,O and S doped GF | 8 × 8 × 0.5 cm | 50 mM Na2SO4, pH = 3, 400 mL | 640 mA | O2: 0.36 L min⁻¹ | 47.9 mg h⁻¹ | 11.8 (cal) | [191] |
| gaseous acetic acid activated GF | unknown | 50 mM Na2SO4, pH = 7, 30 mL, 180 mL | −0.7 V vs. SCE | O2: 0.6 L min⁻¹ | 10.3 mg h⁻¹ | 75 | [195] |
| polarity reversal anodized GF | unknown | 50 mM Na2SO4, pH = 7, 180 mL | 100 mA | no external aeration | 8.1 mg h⁻¹ | −13 (cal) | [197] |
| graphene ink-coated carbon cloth | 5 × 3 cm | 50 mM K2SO4, pH = 3, 80 mL | 1.25 mA cm⁻² | air: 0.2 L min⁻¹ | 2.72 mg h⁻¹ | 11.4 (cal) | [211] |
| Commercial graphene foam cathode (Graphene Supermarket USA) | 5 × 4 cm | 50 mM K2SO4, pH = 3, 150 mL | 0.4 mA cm⁻² | air: rate unknown | 18.1 (cal) | | [207] |
| EEGr & CB modified GF | 2 × 2.5 cm | 50 mM Na2SO4, pH = 7, 100 mL | −0.9 V vs. SCE | air: 0.7 L min⁻¹ | 38.5 mg h⁻¹ | −57 (obs) | [214] |
| MWNT & CB modified GF | 2 × 5 cm | 50 mM Na2SO4, pH = 5.5, 300 mL | 120 mA | air: 0.6 L min⁻¹ | 48 mg h⁻¹ | 63 | [206] |
| ErGo on LCD glass electrode | 10 cm² | 50 mM Na2SO4, pH = 3.5, 150 mL AgCl | −1.5 V vs. Ag/AgCl: 1 L min⁻¹ | | 2.25 mg h⁻¹ | 59 | [210] |
| N₂-doped EEGr & CB modified GF | 4 × 2.5 cm | 50 mM Na2SO4, pH = 7, 100 mL | −1.1 V vs. SCE | air: 0.75 L min⁻¹ | 86 mg h⁻¹ | unknown | [216] |
| N-doped EEGr & CB modified GF | 4 × 2.5 cm | 50 mM Na2SO4, pH = 7, 100 mL | −0.9 V vs. SCE | air: 0.7 L min⁻¹ | 62 mg h⁻¹ | unknown | [215] |
| electrochemically oxidized GF | 2 × 3 × 0.5 cm | 5g L⁻¹ Na2BSO4, pH = 7, 120 mL | 100 mA | O2: 0.5 L min⁻¹ | 9 mg h⁻¹ | −14 (cal) | [198] |
| N-doped carbon modified GF | 2 × 2 cm | 50 mM Na2SO4, pH = 7, 150 mL | 100 mA | no external aeration | 6 mg h⁻¹ | −9.3 (cal) | [200] |
| N-doped carbon electrodeposited on GF | 2.5 × 3 cm | 50 mM Na2SO4, pH = 3, 100 mL AgCl | −0.6 V vs. Ag/AgCl | air: 0.6 L min⁻¹ | 4.6 mg h⁻¹ | unknown | [201] |
| Janus GF | 2 × 3.03 × 0.2 cm | 50 mM Na2SO4, pH = 7, 150 mL | 50 mA | no external aeration | 8.9 mg h⁻¹ | 28.1 (cal) | [278] |
| QEEGr composite electrode | 5 × 2 cm | 50 mM Na2SO4, pH = 3, 100 mL AgCl | −1.2 V vs. Ag/AgCl: 1 L min⁻¹ | | 5 mg h⁻¹ | unknown | [213] |
| CNTs immobilized on graphite | 4 × 9 cm | 50 mM Na2SO4, pH = 3, 1000 mL AgCl | 100 mA | air: 2.5 L min⁻¹ | 1.4 mg h⁻¹ | −2.1 (cal) | [173] |
| graphite-CNT sandwich-like cathode | 8 × 2 × 1 cm | 100 mM Na2SO4, pH = 3, 100 mL | −1.3 V vs SCE | air: 2.5 L min⁻¹ | 37.6 mg h⁻¹ | 40 | [178] |
| ppy/MWCNT modified on graphite | 10 cm² | 100 mM Na2SO4, pH = 3, volume unknown | −0.55 V vs. SCE | air: 0.3 L min⁻¹ | 3.4 mg h⁻¹ | 42 (obs) | [176] |
| PANI/MWCNT modified on stainless steel | 6 cm² | 100 mM Na2SO4, pH = 2, 150 mL | −0.6 V vs. SCE | air: 0.3 L min⁻¹ | 1.1 mg h⁻¹ | −42 (obs) | [177] |
| N & S co-doped electrode | 1 × 2 cm | 50 mM Na2SO4, pH = 3, 100 mL | 50 mA | O2: 0.4 L min⁻¹ | 1.59 mg h⁻¹ | 5 (cal) | [106] |
| Commercial ACF felt | 20 cm² | 50 mM Na2SO4, pH = 3, 500 mL | 500 mA | O2: 0.1 L min⁻¹ | 3.6 mg h⁻¹ | −1.1 (cal) | [226] |
| Commercial ACF | 10 × 9 cm | 50 mM Na2SO4, pH = 3, 1000 mL | 8.89 mA cm⁻² | air: 0.45 L min⁻¹ | 4.7 mg h⁻¹ | −1 (cal) | [228] |
| ACFSgGQD/OMC Cathode | 3 × 3 cm | 100 mM Na2SO4, pH = 7, 100 mL | −0.7 V vs SCE | O2: 0.3 L min⁻¹ | 2.8 mg h⁻¹ | 40.4 | [231] |
| ACSS composite electrode | 2 × 4 cm | 50 mM Na2SO4, pH = 7, 180 mL | 100 mA | no external aeration | 1.9 mg h⁻¹ | −3 (cal) | [230] |
| Commercial ACF felt | 4 × 5 cm | 50 mM Na2SO4, pH = 3, 125 mL | 18 mA cm⁻² | unknown | 4.1 mg h⁻¹ | 1.8 (cal) | [229] |
| anodically polarized RVC electrode | 3.2 × 2.5 × 0.5 cm | 250 mL L⁻¹ Na2SO4, pH = 7, 400 mL | 24 mA | O2: 0.4 L min⁻¹ | 6.4 mg h⁻¹ | 43 (4 h average) | [222] |
| Commercial RVC electrode | 2 × 2.5 × 1 cm | Na2SO4/NaHSO4 buffer solution, 100 mL | 35 mA | O2: 0.2 L min⁻¹ | 9.6 mg h⁻¹ | −43 (cal) | [221] |
| Vulcan XC-72 CB PTFE GDE | 3.1 cm² | 50 mM Na2SO4, pH = 3, 100 mL | 300 mA | O2: 0.02 L min⁻¹ | 53.2 mg h⁻¹ | unknown | [237] |
| TBAQ/Printex L6 CB GDE | 19 cm² | 100 mM K2SO4, pH = 1, 400 mL | −1.0 V vs. SCE | O2: 0.2 bar | 80.3 mg h⁻¹ | unknown | [246] |
| Ta2O5/Printex L6 GDE | 3.4 cm² | 100 mM K2SO4, pH = 2, 400 mL | −1.0 V vs. Ag/AgCl | O2: 0.2 bar | 11.2 mg h⁻¹ | unknown | [148] |
and the OFGs content of GPE to increase the H2O2 yield of MFC by 41% (0.19 mg h⁻¹) [168]. Whereas the yield of H2O2 in the MFCs was still sparse due to the relatively low current density provided by the bio-anode.

In the abiotic electrochemical system, the H2O2 yield mostly depends on the performance of the cathode. Thus research efforts aimed to improve H2O2 yield by modifying graphite electrodes. Polymer-modified electrolyt catalysts are very promising materials for ORR [169]. Consider quinonoid compounds were often used to modify electrodes because then can stop the ORR at the peroxide stage [170,171]. Poly vinylpyrrole/anthraquinonesulphonate (PPy/AQDS) composite film modified graphite produced H2O2 with the yield of 4.2 mg h⁻¹ and CE of 64%–73% at ~0.65 V (vs. SCE) [172]. Quinonoid compounds were also employed to modify other kinds of carbon-based cathodes, and they will be discussed in the following chapters. In 2011, commercial MWCNTs (surface area 233 m² g⁻¹) were immobilized onto graphite surface by Khataee and co-workers [173]. The OFGs content of GPE to increase the H2O2 yield of MFC by 41% (0.19 mg h⁻¹) [168]. Whereas the yield of H2O2 in the MFCs was still sparse due to the relatively low current density provided by the bio-anode.

In the abiotic electrochemical system, the H2O2 yield mostly depends on the performance of the cathode. Thus research efforts aimed to improve H2O2 yield by modifying graphite electrodes. Polymer-modified electrolyt catalysts are very promising materials for ORR [169]. Consider quinonoid compounds were often used to modify electrodes because then can stop the ORR at the peroxide stage [170,171]. Poly vinylpyrrole/anthraquinonesulphonate (PPy/AQDS) composite film modified graphite produced H2O2 with the yield of 4.2 mg h⁻¹ and CE of 64%–73% at ~0.65 V (vs. SCE) [172]. Quinonoid compounds were also employed to modify other kinds of carbon-based cathodes, and they will be discussed in the following chapters. In 2011, commercial MWCNTs (surface area 233 m² g⁻¹) were immobilized onto graphite surface by Khataee and co-workers [173].
at 100 mA. Conducting polymers, such as Ppy and polyaniline (PANI), are widely used as catalysts or catalyst supports for ORR due to their stability, ease of electro-polymerization, and high conductivity [174]. Rabl et al. [175] presented results that Ppy and PANI coating on carbon electrodes considerably improved the H$_2$O$_2$ selectivity by preventing undesired side or further reactions of H$_2$O$_2$ to H$_2$O. Based on the above properties, Ppy/MWCNT and PANI/MWCNT nano-composites were fabricated and electro-polymerized onto the graphite electrode or stainless steel [176,177]. ORR activity of different concentrations of MWCNT was investigated. The PANI/ MWCNT nanocomposite modified stainless steel cathode with MWCNT content of 2% (wt) generated 1.1 mg h$^{-1}$ of H$_2$O$_2$ with a CE of about 42% at −0.6 V (vs. SCE), 2.5 times higher than raw stainless steel, which was due to the superior electrocatalytic activity of MWCNT. Meanwhile, 2.5% w/w Ppy/MWCNT had the highest ORR steel, which was due to the superior electrocatalytic activity of the graphitisation of the CF). As a typical 3D electrode (Fig. 9b), GF used commercially available carbon materials. (GF is obtained from relatively low for application. Recently, 3D porous electrodes are nevertheless, as demand continues to increase, researchers are preventing undesired side or further reactions of H$_2$O$_2$ to H$_2$O. Based on the above properties, Ppy/MWCNT and PANI/MWCNT nano-composites were fabricated and electro-polymerized onto the graphite electrode or stainless steel [176,177]. ORR activity of different concentrations of MWCNT was investigated. The PANI/ MWCNT nanocomposite modified stainless steel cathode with MWCNT content of 2% (wt) generated 1.1 mg h$^{-1}$ of H$_2$O$_2$ with a CE of about 42% at −0.6 V (vs. SCE), 2.5 times higher than raw stainless steel, which was due to the superior electrocatalytic activity of MWCNT. Meanwhile, 2.5% w/w Ppy/MWCNT had the highest ORR electrocatalytic activity and H$_2$O$_2$ yield was increased by 70% to 3.4 mg h$^{-1}$ at −0.55 V (vs. SCE). Recently, Chu, et al. [178] fabricated multi-layer super-hydrophobic cathode by mixing graphite powder withCNT and PTFE. The hydrophobic property of carbon powder and heat treatment induced strong aerophily of cathodes, by which the cathode could adsorb more air bubbles under the air aeration than the hydrophilic cathode, and it exhibited an ideal performance for H$_2$O$_2$ generation at 37.6 mg h$^{-1}$ with an observed CE of 40% with 60 min of electrolysis.

H$_2$O$_2$ yield on graphite-based cathodes is not usually satisfactory because of the small surface area of graphite rods, plates, or particles. The graphite cathodes could only be used under very low current density in the early prototype design. Although modification led to a significant improvement in the performance of ORR, the H$_2$O$_2$ yield and CE of graphite-based electrodes were still relatively low for application. Recently, 3D porous electrodes are becoming increasingly popular to counteract the low yield limitations of 2D electrodes in the electrochemical cells [179].

6.1.2. Graphite felt based cathodes

Graphite felt (GF) or carbon felt (CF) are the most frequently used commercially available carbon materials. (GF is obtained from the graphitisation of the CF). As a typical 3D electrode (Fig. 9b), GF has excellent features such as good electrical conductivity (370.4 S m$^{-1}$) [180], high volumetric surface area (22,100-22,700 m$^2$ m$^{-3}$), good mechanical integrity, high chemical resistance and stability, it is easy to fabricate and scale-up, and has a low cost [181]. It has been extensively used for H$_2$O$_2$ production in the field of EOAPs for wastewater treatment.

Raw CFs (provided by Carbon-Lorraine Company) were applied as electrodes in EOAPs to electrogenerate H$_2$O$_2$ and fabricate an electro-Fenton system to successfully degrade 2, 4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid, p-Nitrophenol, pentachlorophenol, methyl parathion, malachite green, and phenol [182–187]. In early studies of EOAPs, pollutant degradation pathway and intermediates, optimal technical and economical degradation conditions, the kinetic mechanism of degradation were the major concern, rather than the performance of H$_2$O$_2$ production. Nevertheless, as demand continues to increase, researchers are demanding higher performance of GF/CF based cathode, which gave rise to surface modification or coating techniques.

OFGs were verified to increase the hydrophilicity of carbon materials and thus promote the transfer of both electrons and dissolved oxygen [188]. Furthermore, OFGs or defects associated with OFGs are identified as two-electrode reactive sites of carbon materials [115,118,361]. Therefore, increasing the number of OFGs on the electrode surface becomes the primary choice for electrode modification.

Zhou et al. [189,190] used hydrazine hydrate to increase O and N functional species content on the GF microfilaments surface. With the stronger hydrophilicity and faster electron transportation of modified GF, the H$_2$O$_2$ yield improved 160% to 11.5 mg h$^{-1}$ with CE of 82% at −0.65 V (vs. SCE). Ou et al. [191] successfully loaded O, N, and S-containing functional groups onto the GF by modification through the concentrated H$_2$SO$_4$, KMnO$_4$, and NH$_3$ activation. With better hydrophilicity and conductivity, the modified GF realized 47.9 mg h$^{-1}$ of H$_2$O$_2$ yield with CE of 11.8% at 640 mA, which was 73% higher than the raw GF. Wang et al. [192] activated GF with KOH at a high temperature (900 °C) to harvest electrodes with higher surface area, higher hydrophilicity, and more OFGs for higher a H$_2$O$_2$ yield. The H$_2$O$_2$ yield of activated GF reached 40 mg L$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$ (volume unknown) at −0.7 V (vs. SCE). After activating the GF cathode, the apparent rate constant of dimethyl phthalate degradation in the electro-Fenton system increased from 0.02 min$^{-1}$ to 0.20 min$^{-1}$. However, large amounts of energy were required due to the high temperature in this method. In 2020, Lai et al. [193] demonstrated GF cathodes treated with NaOH at a lower temperature (400 °C) facilitated the OFGs loading and enhanced hydrophilicity. As a result, the modified GF realized about 30 mg h$^{-1}$ of H$_2$O$_2$ yield with CE of 94.6% at 50 mA. In order to develop simple methods to achieve large-scale modification, Jiang, et al. [194] investigated the HNO$_3$ and KOH reagents treatment under which milder treatment lasted at 70 °C. Though both methods could increase the OFGs content, surface area, hydrophilicity, and HNO$_3$ treated GF exhibited better performance to synthesis; resulting in 742.5 mg h$^{-1}$ of H$_2$O$_2$ at 20 V applied voltage, 8% and 69% higher than the KOH modified GF and unmodified GF, respectively. Acid pretreatment was also applied to activate GF via a low-cost and simple gaseous acetic acid activation [195]. The H$_2$O$_2$ yield of activated GF is enhanced by 6 fold—10.3 mg h$^{-1}$ with the CE of 75% at −0.7 V (vs. SCE) due to higher contents of macro pores, micropores, sp$^2$ carbon bonds, defects, and OFGs.

Anodization was applied on GFs to increase the H$_2$O$_2$ yield of electrochemical modified GFs by 170% due to the generation of carbonyl, carboxyl, quinone, and ester groups [196]. However, CE of anodized GF decreased from 87% to 79%, indicating the anodizing modification encouraged both two-electron ORR and four-electron ORR. Electrode polarity reversal was also applied for situ anodically modified GF to improve the hydrophilicity of the electrode surface and O$_2$ mass transfer [197]. With high contents of carbonyl and hydroxyl groups, the H$_2$O$_2$ yield of GFs increased by 2.9 times, reaching 8.1 mg h$^{-1}$ at 100 mA.

Comparing three oxidation modifications, Wang, et al. [198] discovered that the H$_2$O$_2$ yield of electrochemically oxidized carbon fiber generated was 9 mg h$^{-1}$, 11.6 times that of raw CFs, and 16–98% higher than H$_2$O$_2$ oxidized CFs and Fenton (OH) oxidized CFs. However, after 10- rounds of continuous runs, the H$_2$O$_2$ production of electrochemical modified GFs decreased by 42–61% due to the loss of O=C—OH species, and the destruction of the electrode structure. In the most recent research, the activity and selectivity of GF electrodes were improved for H$_2$O$_2$ electrogeneation by integrating chemical oxidation, electrochemical oxidation, and thermal treatment [199]. It was reported that HNO$_3$ oxidation facilitated OFGs and defects formation, while electrochemical oxidation favored carboxyl removal and carboxyl groups formation. Moreover, the following thermal treatment engendered the rebounded hydrophilicity and thus enhanced the activity. The modified electrode (GF—HNO$_3$—EC-N$_2$) benefited from the above treatments, and exhibited a 5-fold higher H$_2$O$_2$ yield, 9 mg h$^{-1}$ with CE of 86% at 0 V (vs. RHE) than the pristine samples.

Highly active and selective materials were also applied onto GFs to improve the H$_2$O$_2$ yield. For example, ZIF-8 was carbonized under a N$_2$ atmosphere to load N-doped porous carbon onto the GF [200]. The existing graphitic-N and sp$^3$ carbon promoted the electron transfer between catalyst surface and O$_2$ molecules, as well as accelerating the
ORR. With optimal condition, H$_2$O$_2$ yield increased 10 times and reached 6 mg h$^{-1}$ with CE of about 9% at 100 mA. Another N-doped carbon modified GF prepared by electro-deposition of PANI, carbonization, and activation was applied in electro-Fenton to generate and activate H$_2$O$_2$ to remove 85% of phenol in 180 min with a residual H$_2$O$_2$ accumulation of 4.6 mg h$^{-1}$ [201].

Vulcan XC-72R CB was deposited on GF to increase the surface area as well as the pore volume of the electrode. This modification improved the H$_2$O$_2$ yield by about 10.7 times to reach H$_2$O$_2$ 47.3 mg h$^{-1}$ with CE of 74.6% at 100 mA [202]. This was a milestone in developing a highly efficient modified GF electrode, because H$_2$O$_2$ yield was improved to tens of mg h$^{-1}$ at 100 mA with CE far more than 10%. Vertical-flow electro-Fenton reactor, peroxide-coagulation system, and flow-through electro-peroxone systems were developed based on this CB modified GF electrode to realize different wastewater treatment functions [203–205]. Most recently, an MWCNT & CB co-modified GF was fabricated [206]. Although the hydrophobicity was slightly increased (contact angle increased from 145.2° to 154.9°), higher BET surface, pore volume, and OFGs content caused by modification still improved the ORR activity. As a result, a comparable H$_2$O$_2$ yield of 48 mg h$^{-1}$ with CE of 63% was obtained at 120 mA.

6.1.3. Graphene decorated cathodes

In the past 7–8 years, graphene has been regarded as a promising material for H$_2$O$_2$ electrogeneration. In 2016, Mousset et al. [207] tested three commercial pristine graphene materials (2D graphene monolayer, 2D graphene multilayer, and 3D graphene foam) as electrodes for H$_2$O$_2$ generation. Although 3D graphene foam exhibited the least hydrophilicity, it could surprisingly achieve the highest H$_2$O$_2$ generation, 0.6 mg cm$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$ at −0.61 V (vs. Ag/AgCl), due to the contribution of higher surface area as well as superior electrical conductivity. However, compared with other carbon materials, the H$_2$O$_2$ electrogeneration from graphene was not satisfactory, indicating that pristine graphene itself was not the preferred electrode for two-electron ORR. Graphene was mostly used for coating various substrates to improve the surface area and the conductivity of raw electrodes and thus increase the catalytic performance.

Le et al. [208] loaded homogeneous dispersion of GO onto the GF and investigated the effect of electrochemical, chemical, and thermal reduction of GO on the electrodes performance. The reduction of GO was beneficial to H$_2$O$_2$ production because it enhanced hydrophilic characteristics and conductivity, as well as created more active sites. Though thermal reduction exhibited the highest electrochemical properties, electrochemical reduction had both high performance as well as low cost, which is regarded as the best modification method [209]. Analogously, GO was drop-casted onto a substrate disposed of liquid crystal display (LCD) glass, and then electrochemically reduced to form an ErGO-LCD electrode [210], which generated H$_2$O$_2$ with the yield of 2.3 mg h$^{-1}$ at −1.5 V (vs. Ag/AgCl).

Encouraged by the above results, electrochemically exfoliated graphene (EEGr) was utilized as the functional coating material to decorate carbon cloth (CC) [211] and carbon-fiber brush [212]. After the optimization of EEGr and Nanoflon concentration, the EEGr decorated cathodes increased by 40–100% in H$_2$O$_2$ yield, and 26.3–106% in phenol degradation rate in EF processes.

Quinone-functionalized electrochemically exfoliated graphene (QEEGr) was coated on the CC electrode to generate 5 mg h$^{-1}$ H$_2$O$_2$, which was 9 times higher than the unmodified CC [213]. The presence of the quinone group was thought to facilitate two-electrode ORR, thus initiating H$_2$O$_2$ generation without compromising the electrode electrical property. Moreover, QEEG-Fe$_3$O$_4$ coated CC composite electrode could continuously generate reactive oxygen species for complete degradation of Bisphenol A.

EEGr and Vulcan XC-72R CB co-modified GF cathode were developed to generate 38.5 mg h$^{-1}$ of H$_2$O$_2$ at −0.9 V (vs. SCE) in a neutral solution, which was 2 times that of the unmodified cathode [214]. N-doped graphene (N-EEGr) was derived by mixing the EEGr with ammonium nitrate followed by calcination under a N$_2$ atmosphere to activate H$_2$O$_2$ to ·OH for organics degradation, rather than increase the electrochemical generation of H$_2$O$_2$ [215]. A significantly different result was obtained with another N-EEGr modified electrode by loading the mixture of CB, N$_x$-EEGr, and PTFE on the GF [216]. The N$_x$-EEGr was prepared by annealing of melamine and graphene mixture under a N$_2$ atmosphere, where x represented the mass ratio of melamine to graphene. The optimized N$_x$-EEGr-CB GF cathode improved H$_2$O$_2$ yield to 86 mg h$^{-1}$ due to the generated active graphite N and pyridinic N species and C=C. Moreover, the presence of pyridinic N was able to catalyze H$_2$O$_2$ to produce ·OH, which is beneficial to overcoming the effect of the initial pH on EF [201,217].

6.2. Reticulated vitreous carbon-based cathode

RVC is a disordered glassy porous carbonaceous material with a solid foam network structure (Fig. 9c). RVC has an exceptionally high surface area, high void volume, rigid structure, and low resistance to fluid flow [50]. These characteristics and conductivity, as well as created more active sites.

Recently a RVC electrode modified by anodic polarization was developed for drinking water disinfection. The H$_2$O$_2$ yield was 6.4 mg h$^{-1}$ with a CE of 43% at 24 mA, which was about 4 times of the unmodified RVC cathode [222]. The modification and application of RVC electrodes has gradually gained attention [223].

6.3. Activated carbon-based cathodes

ACFs are considered as a group of advanced porous materials with a fiber shape and a well-defined porous structure (Fig. 9d) [224]. Except for the extremely large surface area (2000–2500 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$), the micropores of the ACFs are directly exposed to the surface, which reduces the mass transfer resistance and enhances the adsorption of various compounds. ACFs and their modification composites were extensively utilized in environmental remediation, such as the adsorption of organic and inorganic pollutants in water/air, capacitive deionization, the degradation of organic pollutants, and microbial decontamination [225]. However, only a few studies have focused on the catalytic production of H$_2$O$_2$ from ACF.

Commercial ACF felt was utilized in an electro-Fenton system to degrade Acid Red 14 and levofoxacin [226,227]. Although almost 100% of Acid Red 14 or levofoxacin and 61–70% TOC were removed, the H$_2$O$_2$ yield property of the ACF cathode was only about 3.6 mg h$^{-1}$ at 500 mA with poor CE of 1.1% in the absence of Fe$^{2+}$ during 180 min of electrolysis, which means most of the electricity was wasted. Similarly, a commercial ACF cathode was utilized in an electro-Fenton system for cationic red X-GRL degradation with a
maximum H2O2 yield of 4.8 mg h$^{-1}$ and CE of about 1% [228]. Zhang et al. [229] compared the electrocatalytic properties of two ACFs, which shared similar pore volumes as well as pore diameters but varied BET surface areas. Results showed that ACF with a higher surface area was correlated to faster H2O2 and OH accumulation. However, the better ACF only had a H2O2 yield of 4.1 mg h$^{-1}$ and CE of about 1.8%.

In 2018, Zhou et al. [230] proposed an activated carbon–stainless steel mesh composite cathode (ACSS), which integrated H2O2 electrogeneration and activation together with pollutants adsorption. Although H2O2 yield was only 1.9 mg h$^{-1}$ at 100 mA with a CE of 3%, the ACSS enabled the iron-free electro-Fenton feasible under neutral pH to remove 61.5% of reactive blue after 90 min. Ren et al. [231] successfully prepared a novel multilayer ACF-based composite cathode with rGO as the conducting layer and OMC as the oxygen diffusion channel. The electroactive surface area, oxygen diffusion rate and electron transport rate were all increased, and the H2O2 yield of ACF@rGO@OMC electrode reached 2.8 mg h$^{-1}$ with CE of 40.4% at −0.7 V (vs. SCE).

In summary, on a few activated carbon-based cathodes have been employed for H2O2 production and until its catalytic selectivity is substantially improved the potential for further applications will be limited [232].

7. Development of gas diffusion electrode

Generally, cathodes mentioned in the last chapter are immersed in the electrolyte. The gaseous O2 is dissolved into the electrolyte by aeration, and then the dissolved O2 diffuses with the electrolyte into the internal pores of the cathodes and reacts at active sites (Fig. 10b). However, the immersed cathodes are usually unable to maintain high H2O2 yield and CE at large current densities (usually >10 mA cm$^{-2}$) because of the low solubility and inferior O2 mass transfer [164]. Lower CE of immersed cathodes results in the waste of the electricity and brings hidden safety trouble due to higher parasitic HER [233]. The birth of gas diffusion electrode (GDE) solved these challenges. As a kind of film electrode, GDE often consists of a reactive catalyst layer (CL), a gas diffusion layer (GDL), and an optional current collector. Applied for the electrogeneration of H2O2, the hydrophilic CL, which faces to the electrolyte, provides the reactive sites for the ORR, while the hydrophobic GDL facing to reactant gas provides a stable gas diffusion channel for the oxygen towards the catalyst layer and prevents electrolyte leakage. CLs are usually fabricated from the mixture of carbon-based catalyst powder and the binder, followed by being coated/rolled/painted/ sprayed onto the gas diffusion layer. Based on the species of the main catalyst in the CL, the GDE can be sorted into carbon black-based-, carbon nanotube-based- and hybrid carbon GDE.

7.1. Carbon black-based GDE

The most widely utilized carbon materials for GL preparation are the Vulcan XC-72(R) and Printex L6 CB. GDE has been developed by E-TEK by painting Vulcan XC-72 CB and PTFE wet paste mixture uniformly onto a face of the carbon cloth. The H2O2 yield of Vulcan XC-72 CB-based GDE was 82.7 mg h$^{-1}$ with CE of about 29% at 450 mA. Based on this electrode, several different EAOP systems were developed, and numerous top POPS were successfully degraded [18,234–240]. Although the advent of GDE substantially altered the mass transfer of O2, there is still much room for CE further improvement by promoting the CL catalytic activity and selectivity. Developing from Vulcan XC-72R CB and PTFE via a rolling method, the harvested GDE produced 158 mg h$^{-1}$ H2O2 at 520 mA with CE of 48%. Unlike traditional GDE, the CL of the electrode simultaneously acted as a GDL [241]. The GDE was also prepared by pressing and sintering a series of metal oxide modified Vulcan XC-72R CB mentioned in Chapter 4.3.2. With 0.2 bar pressurized pure O2 supply, H2O2 yield of W@Au/CB GDE, CeO2/CB GDE and MnO2/CB GDE were 21, 51, and 68 mg h$^{-1}$ at −1.1 V (vs. Ag/AgCl) [141,145,147]. Meanwhile, 102 mg h$^{-1}$ of H2O2 was generated from WO2.72/CB GDE at −1.3 V [144], and 102 mg h$^{-1}$ of H2O2 was generated from V2O5/CB GDE at −1.5 V [142]. Nevertheless, CE was not calculated or mentioned in those studies, which makes it hard to compare those GDE with others’ intuitively.

Compared to Vulcan XC-72 CB, Printex L6 CB was demonstrated to be a better choice for H2O2 generation due to more oxygenated acid species content and higher hydrophilicity [242–244]. When the aforementioned 5% Ta2O5/CB material was made into GDE, the H2O2 yield was 11 mg h$^{-1}$ at −1.0 V (vs. Ag/AgCl) [148]. As quinones have been investigated as efficient catalysts for the improvement of two-electron ORR [245], GDEs were developed by modifying CB with different amounts of tert-butyl-anthraquinone (TBAQ) [246]. According to RRDE results, 1% TBAQ/CB showed the highest selectivity (89.6% with 2.2 electrodes exchanged). The obtained GDE realized a H2O2 yield of 80 mg h$^{-1}$ at −1 V (vs. SCE). Rocha et al. [171] investigated the electro-activity of various quinone compounds (acnapthoquinone (APQ): acenaphthylene-1,2-dione), m-naphthaquinone (MDA: 2-Methyl-1-naphthaquinone), and salicylic acid (SA) on CO2 reduction. The spraying of CoS2-CNTs GDEs. Therefore, the impact of carbon supports was also investigated through the oxalate catalyzed photo-electro-Fenton [248,249].

During the synthesis of modified GDE, the carbon materials also serve as a template or a platform that will disperse or adsorb the modifying reagents. The subsequent heat/hydrothermal treatment caused a uniform distribution of active sites associated with modified moieties. However, the impact of carbon supports was different. Considering the contribution of quinone compounds toward two-electron ORR, Lu, et al. [250] investigated the ORR activity of different TBAQ modified carbon materials (carbon aerogel, CNT, CB, graphene doped CB) to discover the TBAQ modified CNT exhibited the highest H2O2 yield (30.1 mg h$^{-1}$ at 50 mA), which was 27% higher than the unmodified CNT GDE and 9–56.4% higher than other TBAQ modified carbon GDEs. The characterization results showed more C–C sp2 carbon, and OFGs content together with the mesoporous structure resulting in the outstanding performance of the TBAQ modified CNT GDEs.

According to the former results in Chapter 4, Co-based catalysts in the form of Co oxides, Co chalcogenides, or Co nanoparticles are the most efficient electrocatalysts for enhancing two-electron ORR in the acidic medium [133,251–253]. The spraying of Co2-MWNCNTs was employed to manufacture GDE [254]. In the galvanostatic test, the H2O2 yield of Co52-MWNCNTs GDE reached 95 mg h$^{-1}$ with CE of about 50%. Co52 particles were proven to play a significant role in enhancing the two-electron ORR as well as preventing H2O2 from further reduction to H2O to some extent. Enlighned by the above research, (Co, S, P)-decorated MWNCNTs were prepared through a hydrothermal route [255]. The
electrocatalyst was mixed with 2-propanol and Nafion. The mixture ink was sprayed onto a carbon cloth several times together with a carbon microporous layer to form the GDE. Compared with un-decorated MWCNT GDE, (Co, S, P)-decorated MWCNTs GDE enhance the electrocatalytic H₂O₂ production to about 225 mg h⁻¹ with CE of 51–53% at 800 mA.

Recently, GDE was fabricated by rolling, pressing, and calcining the mixture of P-doped CNTs and PTFE [256]. The successful doping of P increased the activity of CNT, which exhibited about 0.2 V more positive onset potential and 100% higher current density at −0.8 V (vs. SCE). However, P-doping decreased the selectivity of two-electron ORR with n changing from 2.6 (CNTs) to 3.06 (P-CNTs). Although P-CNTs tended to four-electron pathway, the P-CNTs GDE still had excellent performance with a H₂O₂ yield of 207 mg h⁻¹ and CE of 88.5%, which was obviously higher than CNTs-GDE (67 mg h⁻¹ with CE of 64.7%). This result demonstrated the difference between the RRDE calculated selectivity and electrolysis calculated CE, which in fact was the difference between the theoretic selectivity of the material and the actual selectivity of the electrode in operation.

### 7.3. Hybrid carbon GDE

Recently, researchers began to take advantage of the structural and physical properties of different carbon materials and started to investigate the mixture of different carbon materials as a catalyst. Carbon composite materials containing at least two kinds of carbons were reviewed in this section.

Zhu et al. [257] investigated the performance of graphite-PTFE GDE and rGO & graphite-PTFE GDE to discover H₂O₂ yield of rGO & graphite-PTFE GDE (12.5 mg h⁻¹ with CE of about 40% at 24 mA) was nearly four times higher relative to graphite-PTFE GDE, due to the addition of rGO improved electrochemical conductivity and mesopores contents [258].

Typically, rGO aggregation is an overlooked issue during the fabrication of electrodes. Because of the strong hydrophobic interactions between nano-sheets, rGO can aggregate easily in solution or in the drying process [115,259], which substantially reduces the accessibility of the reactants toward rGO basal planes on the electrodes [118]. CNTs stacked between rGO nano-sheets prevent the rGO from restacking to increase basal space and bridge the defects to enhance the electrical conductivity [260]. Liu et al. [261] fabricated a novel N-rGO & CNT-PTFE GDE by doping N atoms in the rGO & CNT composite. RRDE results showed that the onset potential of N-rGO & CNT shifted positively in the range of 146–363 mV with reference to bare rGO, CNT, and graphite. Moreover, N-rGO & CNT-PTFE GDE generated 1 mg h⁻¹ H₂O₂ at a relatively positive potential (−0.2 V vs. SCE), which was 2–10 times higher than the reference GDEs.

Chen et al. [262] reported that trace MWCNTs could construct “electron-bridges” interconnecting the CB particles and thus increase the conductivity and porosity of CB. Therefore, H₂O₂ yield of CB & MWCNT-PTFE GDE was 41.3 mg h⁻¹ with a high CE of 65.1% at 100 mA. However, once poorly conductive AC embedding into the hybrid material, the CB particles would be huddled to some scattered aggregates to destroy the bridges, resulting in poor porous structure and conductivity. Furthermore, H₂O₂ is prone to be further reduced to H₂O with the presence of AC.

Chen et al. [263] mixed graphite powder with g-C₃N₄ to fabricated g-C₃N₄@GDE via the rolling method. Characterization results showed that hydrophilicity could be increased by a g-C₃N₄ modification, which could induce fast electrolyte penetration to the cathode surface. With moderate g-C₃N₄ mixing, g-C₃N₄@GDE generated the highest H₂O₂ (457.5 μM) compared with pure graphite GDE (328.2 μM) and pure g-C₃N₄ GDE (302.2 μM). In summary, multiple GDEs based on newly designed high-performance catalysts were developed, which could realize maximum H₂O₂ yield in the case of the excellent three-phase interface provided by GDE. Nevertheless, some technical issues remain, which require special attention, such as flooding issues caused by improper water management [264]. When the porous GDE was supersaturated, the electrolyte hindered the ability of oxygen to diffuse towards the active sites and thus destroyed the three-phase interfaces (TIPS) equilibrium and decreased the electrode performance. Characterizing, measuring, and solving flooding issues are still challenges for both two-electron and four-electron reactions [265,266].

### 8. Recent development of air self-diffusion electrode

Compared with the immersed electrode, GDE greatly improved the utilization rate of oxygen during H₂O₂ production relative to the CE of the electrode [164]. However, the aforementioned GDE always needs pressurized air or pure oxygen gas, which increases the construction cost, reactor complexity, and safety. In order to reduce costs, while ensuring the satisfied cathodic performance in engineering applications, a novel sandwich-like air self-diffusion cathode manufactured via rolling method was developed by our group in 2015 [267], which was a deformation and expansion of our formerly developed AC-PTFE air cathodes for four-electron ORR [268–272]. Instead of expensive and multistep-prepared CNTs, OMC or graphene, commercial carbon powder Vulcan XC-72R CB and graphite were used to fabricate CL. The mixture of carbon powders and PTFE was rolled onto a stainless steel mesh (SSM), while the CB-PTFE breathable waterproof GDL was rolled onto the other side. This air self-diffusion cathode is a practical design where oxygen in the air can actively diffuse through GDL to the internal interface of the CL, which needs no aeration or pressurized gas to generate H₂O₂. In order to distinguish our air cathode from GDE, the former is named “air-breathing cathode” (ABC).

During the exploration of different proportions of CB and graphite in CL, it was found the pore area and volume of pure CB-PTFE electrode were 11.6 and 4 times of those of pure graphite-PTFE electrode, but the pore diameter of the former was only 31.6% of the latter. Both electrodes exhibited poor performance in electrogenerating H₂O₂ due to the indirect four-electron reaction or lack of active sites [267]. When two kinds of carbon materials were mixed together, the hybrid carbon-PTFE CL had moderate pore diameter, area, and volume. With the optimal mass ratio of CB to graphite (1:5), H₂O₂ yield reached 50 mg h⁻¹ (with CE of 92%) in an electrolysis cell at 86 mA. Aimed at improving the two-electron ORR activity for efficient H₂O₂ generation, Zhao et al. tuned catalyst mesostructure and hydrophilicity/hydrophobicity by adjusting PTFE content in CB & graphite-PTFE CL and avoiding calcination under atmospheric conditions [273,274]. It was found that the electroactive area was more relevant to the specific surface area of the 3–10 nm mesopores rather than the total BET surface area, and the electroactive area decreased from 41 cm² gcat⁻¹ to 19 cm² gcat⁻¹ with PTFE increased from 0.57 g to 4.56 g. Higher PTFE content led to an excessive supply of H⁺ and induced the H₂O₂ decomposition and decreased the hydrophobicity to limit the amount of O₂ diffused to catalytic sites. The ABC PTFE 65% with the lowest PTFE content exhibited super-hydrophobic, highest H₂O₂ yield of 74.6 mg h⁻¹, and highest CE of 84% at 140 mA. These researchers emphasized the balance among the pore diameter, specific area, and volume, as well as the balance between hydrophilicity and hydrophobicity. In former studies, people devoted themselves to increasing the surface area together with the hydrophilicity of the electrode by numerous treatments.
The electroosynthesis of $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ via two-electron ORR provides an alternative to the mature AO process or the emerging direct synthesis and photo-catalysis. The increased usage and decreased cost of renewable electricity will transform the chemicals industry. However, current developments of the cathode are still limited by at least four major challenges, including designing catalyst materials with high activity and selectivity, establishing theoretical calculation models closer to the actual experiments, fabricating materials and electrodes by simple and low-cost methods, maintaining stability over the long-term operation.

1) Designing catalyst materials with high activity and selectivity

Two major challenges, namely improving activity and selectivity, need to be addressed before carbon-based electrocatalysts can compete with the current state-of-the-art. There is plenty of scope for the improvement of pristine catalyst materials via changing the substrate composition, architecture & defects, and surface property. However, the catalysis mechanism and critical active sites for ORR on carbonaceous electrocatalyst are still confusing and controversial [138, 287]. There are remaining debates and discrepancies on the intrinsic properties of the catalytic sites, the effect of heteroatom/functional groups/defect, micro/mesoporous, hydrophilic/hydrophobic nature of the materials to the selectivity to the two-electron ORR process. This ambiguity in determining the true nature of two-electron ORR active sites in those carbon-based catalysts hinders the development of efficient catalysts. The current cutting-edge research is to identify the influence of a single factor on ORR catalytic activity and selectivity. Further development is still primarily based on trial-and-error approaches until now [288], and it is still difficult to realize the significant leap from pure material testing to realistic electrode operation that is needed. The (R)RDE measurements are in $\text{O}_2$ saturated, high electrolyte content solution together with almost unlimited mass transfer. These idealized systems only provide an upper boundary to the CE to $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ at most, while practical equipment tends to underperform. On the one hand, the internal channels and pore structures of the final fabricated electrode are relatively different from those of a pure carbon material or testing modes on the (R)RDE. On the other hand, even with pure $\text{O}_2$ aeration and magnetic stirring, the $\text{O}_2$ supply and mass transfer in 100–1000 mL level real reactors cannot compare with the conditions of RDE. At this point, the reference value of the DFT model and (R)RDE results decrease. Such phenomena require modeling experiments in order to predict or represent the real equipment conditions more accurately.

2) Establish a theoretical calculation model closer to the actual experiments

In most studies, researchers have reported to successfully develop ideal two-electron ORR catalysts based on the RDE or RDE results when the electron transfer numbers of catalysts were close to 2. However, there is a significant leap from pure material testing to realistic electrode operation that is needed. The (R)RDE measurements are in $\text{O}_2$ saturated, high electrolyte content solution together with almost unlimited mass transfer. These idealized systems only provide an upper boundary to the CE to $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ at most, while practical equipment tends to underperform. On the one hand, the internal channels and pore structures of the final fabricated electrode are relatively different from those of a pure carbon material or testing modes on the (R)RDE. On the other hand, even with pure $\text{O}_2$ aeration and magnetic stirring, the $\text{O}_2$ supply and mass transfer in 100–1000 mL level real reactors cannot compare with the conditions of RDE. At this point, the reference value of the DFT model and (R)RDE results decrease. Such phenomena require modeling experiments in order to predict or represent the real equipment conditions more accurately.

3) Fabricate materials & electrodes by simple and low-cost methods

It should be noted that catalytic activity and the selectivity of novel catalysts have only exhibited a marginal increase while the preparation methods become more intricate, making the materials deviate from the target of more cost effective approaches. For many of the aforementioned high-performance catalysts, it is reported that a lower catalyst loading engenders the active sites sparse distribution, which decreases the probability of $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ further reduction. The selectivity toward $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ increases while decreasing the loading amount [87, 93]. Typically, catalyst loading density on
the RRDE was controlled at one hundred $\mu$g cm$^{-2}$ level. Considering the mass transfer limitations in practical devices, catalyst loading density on the real electrode should be lower to keep high selectivity. This calls for the highly precise electrode fabrication method.

In the future development, a simple fabrication method with low costs should be another critical criterion in designing catalysts and fabricating electrodes.

4) Maintaining stability over the long-term operation

Except for catalytic activity and selectivity, the practical value of any material or electrode also relies on its long-term stability. Wang et al. [198] found the performance of the OFGs modified cathode was reduced after 10-times continuous runs, which was ascribed to the cathode structure destruction and OFGs content decrease due to the H$_2$O$_2$ oxidation. This illustrated the poor stability of the cathode in the long-term operation [289]. Meanwhile, the stability tests in most previous research are far from enough. Presently, researchers often document the stability of new fabricated electrodes by showing negligible changes in current response or H$_2$O$_2$ yield after 5–20 h of operation [87,101,102,118,196]. These are not convincing results to obtain a stable performance conclusion. Active materials should not be proven stable until they are subjected to more rigorous electrosynthesis trials conducted over hundreds or even thousands of hours. In the most recent research, Cao et al. [290] presented a highly hydrophobic architecture GDE consisting of densely distributed N-doped carbon nano-polyhedra, thus enabling the 200 h durable electrolysis at 100 mA cm$^{-2}$. Li et al. [291] evaluated the feasibility of electrochemical H$_2$O$_2$ production with CB-PTFE GDE. The results showed that the GDE could maintain high CE (>85%) as well as low energy consumption (<10 kWh per kg H$_2$O$_2$) for about 1000 h. This research suggests that electrochemical H$_2$O$_2$ production with GDE holds great promise for the development of compact treatment technologies. In the following exploratory research, the long-term stability and decay mechanism of materials, as well as electrodes in the EAOP systems, also need special attention. In our recent research [163], although the rolling ABC showed good stability under the condition of generating H$_2$O$_2$ (H$_2$O$_2$ yield decreased 17.8% after 200 h of operation), the electrodes decayed obviously when operated in the EAOP systems. It was found salt precipitation occurred due to the local alkalization and enrichment of Na$^+$, which would cause the block of the active sites and mass transfer channels. Meanwhile, the ·OH generated in the EAOP system would cause damage to the carbonaceous electrode by adding defects and oxygen-containing functional groups onto the electrode during the non-selective oxidation. Four electrode performance decay factors were illustrated during the synthetic phenol wastewater degradation. For actual wastewater treatment, the operating life of the cathode in such conditions will only be shorter because the components of sewage are more complex and diverse. Thus, lifetime experiments under different conditions with longer testing times are suggested to analyze the correlations of physicochemical properties and catalyst/electrode performance decay. When the decay mechanism of the electrode performance in long-term operation is clear and definite, it can further guide the development and upgrading of the new long-life electrodes [292,293].

9.2. Future and outlook

The development of carbon-based materials should be combined with theoretical studies, regarded as a requisite aid for catalysts designed or electrode modification to tune ORR selectivity to H$_2$O$_2$. In particular, the models which can better reflect the performance of catalysts in realistic devices will be more popular. To achieve these ends, further studies of the fundamental principles is needed to fully understand the origin of activity enhancement.

Although multiple theoretical simulations and physicochemical techniques have been applied to reveal the catalytic mechanism of various carbonaceous materials, it’s still hard to distinguish the nature of active sites of two-electron & four-electron ORR at the current technology state. More advanced characterization techniques and sophisticated experimental design are needed to distinguish the active sites for two-electron and four-electron pathways. Pinpointing such sites or chemical motifs would have guiding significance for both two-electron and four-electron carbon-based catalyst designs in the future. Once the two-electron active sites are determined, these sites could be purposefully increased to enable more efficient two-electron ORR or be eliminated from catalysts where four-electron ORR is required.

The ORR needs O$_2$, protons and electrons with mole ratio of 1:4:4 (four-electron pathway) or 1:2:2 (two-electron pathway) as reactants, from liquid, gas, and electrode, respectively. The active sites that stay in liquid-gas-solid TPIS could efficiently catalyze the ORR [233,294,295]. The accessibility of the active sites to O$_2$ molecules is critical, but usually deficient due to the low O$_2$ solubility in the realistic aqueous solution. Therefore, series electrodes styles, such as 3D particle electrodes [167], “floating” electrodes [283,296], and GDE, were invented to optimize the catalytic interface. Recently, scholars tried to accelerate the gas diffusion or tune water distribution to create more adequate TPIS inside the porous electrodes by porosity control and microarchitecture engineering. Therefore, superaerophilic CNT-array electrodes [294], superwetting electrodes [297], and breathing-mimicking electrodes [298] were invented to improve four-electron ORR activity. It could be observed from Eqs. (26) and (27) that the O$_2$ demand of a two-electron pathway is twice that for a four-electron reaction at the same Faraday electron flux and proton supply, which means O$_2$ supply is more vital. More simple surface/structure engineering techniques need to be developed in the future to enhance overall catalytic performances in H$_2$O$_2$ production.

$$4H^+ + 4e^- + 1 O_2 \rightarrow 2H_2O \tag{26}$$

$$4H^+ + 4e^- + 2O_2 \rightarrow 2H_2O_2 \tag{27}$$

Process optimization and cost-efficiency are at the core of a suitable treatment strategy [299]. From the engineering perspective, reducing the cost to an acceptable level is a prerequisite for the application of this technology. According to Yang et al.’s calculation [12], the total costs per mole of H$_2$O$_2$ ($C_{total}$) via two-electron ORR can be calculated and expressed as the sum of two parameters, electricity costs ($C_{electricity}$) and cathode costs ($C_{cathode}$) (Eq. (28))

$$C_{total} = C_{electricity} + C_{cathode}$$
$$= P_{electricity} UT + P_{cathode} S$$
$$= P_{electricity} U(t/F) + P_{cathode} n(t/F)$$

Where, $P_{electricity}$ represents the cost per unit energy of electricity ($\$ J^{-1}$), $U$ stands for the cell potential (V), $n=2$, which represents the electrons transfer number for generating H$_2$O$_2$, $F$ stands for Faraday constant (96486 C mol$^{-1}$), and $i_{FE}$ is the Faradaic current efficiency. Meanwhile, $P_{cathode}$ is the capital cost per unit cathode area ($\$ cm^{-2}$), $j$ represents the current density ($A cm^{-2}$), and $t$ is the total operating time of the cathode over its lifetime (s). As $i_{FE}$ stands for the denominator for electricity costs as well as cathode costs, it is clear that high CE plays a significant role in the performance of the electrode as well as the economic efficacy of the process.
Meanwhile, in the cathode costs part, decreasing the numerator \( p_{\text{cathode}} \) and increasing cathode lifetime \( t \) can also reduce the overall cost of the \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) synthesis, which highlights the relevance of costs of raw materials and preparation process together with electrode stability.

Except for scalability [163], longer-term goals for \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) electro-synthesis should focus on scalability: moving from benchtop experiments to syntheses on pilot or even industrially relevant scales [29]. Rapid \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) accumulation to desired concentration in large volume aqueous solution is the prerequisite of up-scaling [233]. Therefore, a more realistic aim would be to increase the current density on the electrodes in the \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) electro-synthesis without lowering selectivity. This would improve the synthesis efficiency and decrease the costs (Eq. (26)). Traditional carbon electrodes such as graphite rod/plate/particle, GF, and ACF are inefficient for \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) production in bi-dimensional configurations due to the limited dissolved \( \text{O}_2 \) mass transfer in water [300]. In the presently available literature, most studies focus on selectivity on the premise of neglecting the current density. For those electrodes that can only withstand low current density, they have to be fabricated bigger and bigger to increase \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) production at higher current flux, which makes them impractical for applications. Recently, multiple GDEs have been reported for faster \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) productions with high current efficiencies. These GDEs could maintain the current efficiency over 70% at current densities >25 mA cm\(^{-2}\) [301–304]. Based on these electrodes, numerous contaminations were degraded in EAOP systems at pilot/pre-pilot plant scale (2.5–100 L). Developing electrodes with efficient \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) production at large current flux is the inevitable trend in the future. Until now, the largest air cathode so far came from Zhang et al. [305]. A 707 cm\(^2\) air cathode was utilized in a 3 L EF reactor for efficient Rhodamine B degradation. The shape and operating conditions of film air cathode determine that it cannot be enlarged without limit. As a result, new electrode and auxiliary equipment structures need to be developed to accommodate modular assembly. Reactors applied for EAOP treatments of wastewater are also needed to be well developed to fulfill the optimum properties of the electrodes [306]. More effort should be dedicated to other aspects such as equipment, scale-up, engineering, and economic issues in applying EAOP technologies to real wastewaters at an industrial scale [300].

The various applications of aqueous \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) will require a certain \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) concentration and may only tolerate a certain pH range [29]. According to RRDE & RDE measurements shown in Tables 1 and 2, all the catalysts showed higher \( \text{O}_2 \) reduction activity in alkaline media than in acid media. However, they were also less selective toward \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \). In the practical application of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \), wastewater treated by AOPs is often in acid media, while pulp and paper bleaching is usually in an alkaline environment. Here it is recommended that researchers could first focus their efforts on \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) electrogenerating under acid conditions: AOP treatments only need 0.1\% (wt) content of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \), which is two orders of magnitude below the demand for bleaching, making the application relatively easier. Moreover, Proton conducting polymeric membranes are much more technologically mature and cheaper than hydroxide conducting counterparts [307].

9.3. Guidance and suggestions

In our review, there are multiple differences in systems, method, expression and, calculations, which make comparisons among the different research difficult. We suggest the following studies can use more unified experimental methods and expressions while avoiding mistakes described below.

1) System: in the electrogeneration of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) experiments or (R)RDE tests, except for pH value, different types and concentrations of electrolyte were used. Until now, the most commonly used electrolyte in the electrolysis is 50 mM \( \text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4 \) with a pH of 3 or 7, while \( \text{O}_2 \) saturated 100 mM KOH or 500 mM \( \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \) electrolyte is often employed in the (R)RDE tests.

2) Method: in general, the cathodic electrogeneration of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) was conducted through galvanostatic mode in a two-electrode system connecting to a DC power or via chronoamperometry at constant potentials in a three-electrode system powered by a potentiostat. We found that a slight change in the distance between the reference electrode and the working electrode would dramatically change in \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) yield. Therefore, it is not easy to transversely compare the \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) yield at chronoamperometry because the researchers did not specify the distance between the electrodes. Moreover, as shown in Table 3, CE of electrodes operated in chronoamperometry mode are usually unknown and even cannot be calculated by us due to the unpublished current flux. Considering the three-electrode system has many disadvantages, including the high cost of the equipment and the fuzzy parameters in the practical water treatment processes, the electrogeneration of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) through galvanostatic mode in a two-electrode system is recommended in future investigations. As summarized in Tables 1 and 2, in the RDE and RRDE tests, some parameters like onset potential, the definition of onset potential, and the potential range of calculated \( n \) were not given by authors. This would also bring troubles for readers, which should be avoided in the future.

3) Expression: various expressions were utilized to describe the \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) yield in different studies, including mg L\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\), mg h\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\), \( \mu \)M h\(^{-1}\), mmol h\(^{-1}\) g\(^{-1}\). The dissunity of units makes direct comparison different. Although we convert the most \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) yield into mg h\(^{-1}\) in this review, there are still several results that cannot be normalized due to the incomplete system parameters (Table 3). Furthermore, in some research, the newly fabricated or modified electrodes were directly utilized in the EAOP systems. The target pollutant removal efficiency/TOC removal efficiency was the only indicators to evaluate the electrodes, and the \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) yield of the cathodes were not mentioned. In our point of view, \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) yield expressed in the form of mg h\(^{-1}\) could visualize the performance, and it would not be affected by solution volume and electrode area. Furthermore, the CE at a certain current is the most significant indicator of the cathode performance. We highly recommend the publishing of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) yield together with the CE and current in the future papers. Meanwhile, with the development of materials, electrodes, and the continuous improvement of material requirements, more advanced and rational parameters or criteria are welcomed to be proposed in the future.

4) Calculation: there is also divergence in the reactive area of the immersed cathodes. Some calculated the current density (mA cm\(^{-2}\)) or \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) yield (in the form of mg h\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\)) via dividing the projection area of the electrode. However, another group of researchers [193,200,201], including us [279], all believe the effective area should be at least twice the projected area because each side of the electrode is in contact with the electrolyte. This error in the arithmetic will double the calculation result and mislead the readers, which should be avoided in the future.

In summary, with the comprehensive and critical review, we hope to attract scholars from different research fields and use their knowledge to push electro-synthesis of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) to pilot or even industrially relevant scales.
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