Effect of the Alkaline Metal Ion on the Crystal Structure and Magnetic Properties of Heterometallic Gd\textsuperscript{III}-V\textsuperscript{IV} Complexes Based on Cyclobutane-1,1-Dicarboxylate Anions
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Abstract: A series of heterometallic Gd\textsuperscript{III}-V\textsuperscript{IV} compounds were synthesized by the reaction of VO\textsubscript{2}(cbdc\textsuperscript{4})\textsubscript{4}(H\textsubscript{2}O)\textsubscript{8}\textsuperscript{−} with cyclobutane-1,1-dicarboxylic acid salts M\textsubscript{2}(cbdc) (M = Na, Rb, Cs). The new compounds were formed by [Gd(VO)\textsubscript{2}(cbdc\textsubscript{4})(H\textsubscript{2}O)\textsubscript{8}]\textsuperscript{−} trinuclear anionic units that were similar in composition but different in structure, depending on the nature of the alkaline metal cation incorporated in the crystal structure of the compound. In the case of Na\textsuperscript{+}, the [GdV\textsubscript{2}]\textsuperscript{−} units were characterized by identical V···Gd distances and were linked into the 1D-polymeric chain [NaGd(VO)\textsubscript{2}(cbdc\textsubscript{4})(H\textsubscript{2}O)\textsubscript{10}]\textsubscript{−} (1). In the systems with Rb\textsuperscript{+} and Cs\textsuperscript{+}, the V···Gd distances were different, and the [GdV\textsubscript{2}]\textsuperscript{−} units were linked into the 3D-framework [RbGd(VO)\textsubscript{2}(cbdc\textsubscript{4})(H\textsubscript{2}O)\textsubscript{10}·2.5H\textsubscript{2}O]\textsubscript{n} (2) and the octanuclear molecule [CsGd(VO)\textsubscript{2}(cbdc\textsubscript{4})(H\textsubscript{2}O)\textsubscript{11}·5H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}] (3), respectively. According to ac-magnetic measurements, the V\textsuperscript{IV} and Gd\textsuperscript{III} ions were ferromagnetically coupled in compound 1 (J\textsubscript{GdV} = 0.163 ± 0.008 cm\textsuperscript{−1}), while in compounds 2 and 3, ferro- and weak antiferromagnetic exchange interactions were observed (J\textsubscript{GdV} = 0.989 ± 0.028 and −0.089 ± 0.008 cm\textsuperscript{−1} for 2, 0.656 ± 0.009 and −0.050 ± 0.004 cm\textsuperscript{−1} for 3). Analysis of the EPR spectra of 1 revealed the presence of weak magnetic anisotropy of Gd\textsuperscript{III} ions (D = −0.08 cm\textsuperscript{−1} and E/D = −0.1–0.15). Ac-susceptibility measurements showed an occurrence the field-induced slow relaxation of magnetization in 1–3.
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1. Introduction

The interest in the directed synthesis of heterometallic compounds where 3d and 4f metal ions are combined in a single molecule is caused by the wide range of physicochemical properties they exhibit, including luminescent properties [1,2], magnetic anisotropy [3,4], magnetocaloric effect [5–9], and catalytic activity [10–12]. In addition, studies on such heterometallic compounds are of fundamental concern in order to elucidate the exchange interactions between paramagnetic centers of different nature, 3d and 4f metal ions, and the effect of these interactions on the dynamic characteristics of a single-molecule magnet (SMM), for example, the magnitude of the energy barrier to magnetization reversal \(\Delta E_{\text{eff}}\). From this point of view, the most convenient objects for studies by physicochemical methods include systems with a 3d center containing one unpaired electron (\(S = 1/2\), quantum spin), namely a Cu\textsuperscript{II} (3d\textsuperscript{9}) or V\textsuperscript{IV} (3d\textsuperscript{3}) ion. To date, a number of Cu\textsuperscript{II}–4f systems, from molecular complexes to coordination polymers, have already been well
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studied [8,13–18]. It has been shown that this class of compounds can be promising as magnetic coolers [4,19–22], and ferromagnetic interactions are often the prevailing type of spin-spin interactions in them [23–26]. Much less attention was paid to the synthesis of $^{IV}-^{IV}$ compounds and only a few studies on their magnetic behavior are known [27–35].

As it is known, the $^{III}$ ion lacks intrinsic magnetic anisotropy, which is observed in $^{IV}$, $^{II}$, and other heavy $^{II}$-ions. Because of this, the $^{III}$ ion by itself is ineffective in the design of SMM or single-ion magnets (SIM). Nevertheless, there are examples of $^{III}$ complexes exhibiting slow magnetic relaxation, which is due to the phonon bottleneck effect [36–44] or by the presence of weak anisotropy caused by orbital nondegeneracy of the ground state $^{6}S$ [44].

We previously synthesized and characterized a series of heterometallic $^{III}$-$^{IV}$ compounds based on cyclobutane-1,1-dicarboxylate anions, in which three structural types were identified, depending on the nature (ionic radius) of $^{III}$ [45]. A further study of this system showed that the nature of the alkali metal introduced into the reaction as a salt of a dicarboxylic acid also affected the structure of the compound formed. This work aimed at varying the alkali metal cation $^{I}$ ($^{I}$ = Na, Rb, Cs) in the $^{I}$-$^{III}$-$^{IV}$ system and studying its effect on the composition, structure, and magnetic properties of the resulting compounds.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Synthesis

The reaction of $\text{VO(SO}_4\text{)}_{2}\cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$, $\text{Na}_2\text{(cbdc)}$, and $\text{Gd(NO}_3\text{)}_3\cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ in a 1:2:1 ratio in water afforded crystals of the 1D-polymeric compound $[\text{NaGd(VO)}_2\text{(cbdc)}_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_{10}]_n$ (1). Replacement of sodium cyclobutane-1,1-dicarboxylate $\text{Na}_2\text{(cbdc)}$ with $\text{Rb}_2\text{(cbdc)}$ in a similar reaction gave the 3D-polymer $[\text{RbGd(VO)}_2\text{(cbdc)}_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_{10}]\cdot 2.5\text{H}_2\text{O}]_n$ (2), while the reaction with $\text{Cs}_2\text{(cbdc)}$ resulted in the crystallization of the octanuclear complex $[\text{CsGd(VO)}_2\text{(cbdc)}_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_{11}]\cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}]_2$ (3) (Scheme 1).

![Scheme 1. Scheme illustrating the formation of compounds 1-3.](image)

2.2. Crystal Structure Description

Compounds 1 and 2 crystallize in monoclinic space group $C_{2/c}$. Compound 2 contained 2.5 solvate water molecules. Compound 3 crystallizes in triclinic space group $P\overline{1}$ with 5 solvate water molecules. The basic structural unit of all the three compounds is the $[\text{Gd(VO)}_2\text{(cbdc)}_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_8]^{-}$ ($\text{GdV}_2^{-}$) anionic complex with a trinuclear metal core. In this unit, gadolinium is the central atom, while the vanadium atoms are terminal ones and belong to bis-chelate moieties $[(\text{VO})(\text{cbdc})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})]$ (see Scheme 1). In complex 1, the vanadium atoms were crystallographically equivalent (Figure 1), while the terminal vanadium atoms, V1 and V2, in compounds 2 and 3 were nonequivalent (Figures 2 and 3). The coordination polyhedron of vanadium (VO$_4$) is a distorted octahedron formed by six O atoms. Four O atoms of two chelating cbdc$_2^{2-}$ anions lie in the equatorial plane of the polyhedron,
while the axial positions are occupied by O atoms of the vanadyl moiety (V=O) and the water molecule. The central gadolinium atom in (GdV$_2$)$^{2-}$ was linked to two terminal vanadium atoms due to bridging coordination of two O atoms of cbdc$^{2-}$ anions in the [(VO)(cbdc)$_2$(H$_2$O)] moieties (Tables 1 and S1–S3).

Figure 1. Structure of trinuclear unit (GdV$_2$)$^{2-}$ and Na atom environment in compound 1 (H atoms and cyclic fragments of the carboxylate ligands are not shown). [Symmetry codes: (a) 1–x, y, 0.5–z; (b) 1+x, y, z].

Figure 2. Structure of trinuclear unit (GdV$_2$)$^{2-}$ and Rb atom environment in compound 2 (H atoms and cyclic fragments of the carboxylate ligands are not shown). [Symmetry codes: (a) 1–x, y, 1.5–z; (b) −0.5+x, 0.5+y, z; (c) 1–x, 2–y, 1–z].
The coordination polyhedra of gadolinium (GdO₈) in 1–3 were analyzed using the SHAPE v2.1 program [46]. In 1, the GdO₈ coordination polyhedron corresponded to a triangular dodecahedron [47]) formed by six O atoms of water molecules (O11, O12, O13) and two O atoms of carboxylate groups (O7) (Figure 1, Table S4). The GdO₈ polyhedron in 2 can be described as a biaugmented (two-capped) trigonal prism [47]) with the basal planes formed by the O5, O8W, and O10W atoms on the one side, and the O18, O6W, and O13W atoms on the other side. The caps of the trigonal prism were formed by the O7W and O9W atoms located above the O6W–O8W–O10W and O5–O18–O6W–O8W faces, respectively (Figure 2, Table S4). The geometry of the GdO₈ coordination polyhedron in 3 was closest to a tetragonal antiprism [47] with the basal planes formed by eight O atoms: four O atoms of water molecules (O1W, O2W, O4W, O5W) on the one side, and two O atoms of carboxylate groups (O9, O16) and two O atoms of water molecules (O12W, O13W) on the other side (Figure 3, Table S4).

In 1, the [GdV₂]− units were linked in a 1D-polymeric chain by Na atoms coordinating the O atoms of carboxylate groups (O1 and O3) and water molecules (O6) belonging to the {(VO)(cbdc)₂(H₂O)} moieties of the neighboring [GdV₂]− units (Figure 4, Tables 1 and S1). The shortest V···V distance was observed between two metal atoms of the adjacent [GdV₂]− units (6.234 Å). The water molecules coordinated to Gd (O11, O12, O13), V (O6), Na (O14) atoms, the O2, O3, O7, O8, O9, O10 carboxylate atoms, the O5 atoms of {V=O} moiety, and the CH₂ groups of the cyclobutane moieties of the ligand were involved in the formation of intra- and intermolecular hydrogen bonds which additionally stabilized the crystal structure of 1 (Table S5).

In 2, the [GdV₂]− units were linked into a 3D-polymeric structure by Rb atoms bonding the O3, O7 carboxylate atoms of the {(V(1)O)(cbdc)₂(H₂O)} moieties and the O10, O12, O13 carboxylate atoms of the {(V(2)O)(cbdc)₂(H₂O)} moieties, as well as the water molecules coordinated to the V2 (O3W) and Gd (O8W, O13W) atoms (Figure 5, Tables 1 and S2). Unlike the [[KGd(VO)₂(cbdc)₄(H₂O)₈]·3.5H₂O]ₙ structure obtained previously, in which the K atom additionally coordinated only one water molecule [45], the Rb atom in the structure of 2 bound two water molecules (O12W, O13W). The crystal structure of 2 was additionally stabilized by a network of hydrogen bonds formed by carboxylate O atoms (O10 and O17), water molecules coordinated to the V (O3W and O4W) and Gd (O9W, O10W, O13W) atoms, as well as solvate water molecules (O1W, O2W, O5W) (Table S6).
Figure 4. A fragment of 1D-polymeric chain of 1: \(\text{[GdV}_2\text{]}^-\) units linked by Na\(^+\) cations (H atoms and cyclic fragments of the carboxylate ligands are not shown).

Figure 5. A fragment of 3D-polymeric structure of 2: \(\text{[GdV}_2\text{]}^-\) units linked by Rb\(^+\) cations (H atoms are not shown).

In 3, two Cs atoms were bound with two \(\text{[GdV}_2\text{]}^-\) units via the O atoms of the carboxylate groups (O4, O8 and O12) and the water molecules coordinated to the V1 (O16W) and Gd (O13W) atoms. The Cs atoms connected the \(\text{[GdV}_2\text{]}\) moieties into a dimer due to coordination of the carboxylate O13 atoms of the neighboring \((\text{V}(2)\text{O})(\text{cbdc})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})\) moieties. Each Cs atom additionally coordinated three water molecules (O9W, O14W, O15W) (Figure 6, Tables 1 and S3). The crystal structure of 3 was stabilized by an extensive network of hydrogen bonds formed with participation of all coordinated and solvate water molecules and the O atoms of carboxylate groups (O2, O3, O5, O6, O7, O11, O12, O13, O14, O18) (Table S7).

Since the radius of Cs\(^+\) ion is larger than that of Rb\(^+\), the former participated in linking not only with neighboring \(\text{[GdV}_2\text{]}^-\) anions but also with nonequivalent bis-chelate moieties \((\text{VO})(\text{cbdc})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})\) within one \(\text{[GdV}_2\text{]}^-\) unit. As a result, a decrease in the V···V distance (6.077 Å) was observed in the \(\text{[GdV}_2\text{]}^-\) unit of 3 in comparison with that in 1 (6.391 Å) and 2 (7.010 Å), along with a sharp decrease in the dimensionality from 3D-polymeric to molecular structure. In addition, despite the structural similarity of the heterometallic trinuclear anions \(\text{[GdV}_2\text{]}^-\) in all the three compounds, the presence in their structures of different alkali metal cations involved in linking the \(\text{[GdV}_2\text{]}\) moieties to each other affected not only the structure dimensionality but also the V···Gd distances within the \(\text{[GdV}_2\text{]}\) moieties (Figure 7).
spectra were less resolved (perhaps due to more efficient intermolecular exchange interactions). The signals of two VIV ions with different alkali metal cations involved in linking the \{GdV2\} moieties to each other affect not only the structure dimensionality but also the V···Gd distances within the \{GdV2\} moieties (Figure 7).

2.3. EPR Spectroscopy

Figure 8 shows the X- and Q-band EPR spectra of solid samples 1–3 vs. temperature. The spectra of 2 and 3 showed negligible changes in the temperature range of 5–295 K. The spectra of 1 did exhibit some changes in the spectral shape between 295 and 80 K; however, these changes were insignificant and might be due to a variation in the linewidth of the multiple overlapped signals. Therefore, we assumed that the exchange couplings in the triad were rather small, as it is typical of GdIII complexes and as magnetic susceptibility measurements confirm. Therefore, all paramagnetic centers should contribute to the
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**Figure 6.** Octanuclear structure of 3: two \{GdV2\}– units linked by two Cs+ cations (H atoms and cyclic fragments of the carboxylate ligands are not shown). [Symmetry code: (a) 2 − x, 2 − y, 1 − z].

**Table 1.** Selected bond lengths \(d\) in complexes 1–3.

| Bond          | \(d\), Å | 1 (M = Na) | 2 (M = Rb) | 3 (M = Cs) |
|---------------|----------|------------|------------|------------|
| V=O          | 1.597(2) | 1.584(2), 1.589(3) | 1.581(4), 1.589(4) |
| V–O(cbd)     | 1.963(2)–2.021(2) | 1.984(2)–2.019(2) | 1.983(4)–2.017(3) |
| V–O(H2O)     | 2.300(2) | 2.238(2), 2.417(2) | 2.251(4), 2.369(4) |
| Gd–O(cbd)    | 2.379(2) | 2.363(2), 2.454(2) | 2.326(3), 2.414(3) |
| Gd–O(H2O)    | 2.372(2)–2.409(2) | 2.331(2)–2.491(2) | 2.383(4)–2.478(3) |
| M–O(cbd)     | 2.536(2), 2.631(2) | 2.843(2)–3.371(2) | 3.111(3)–3.611(4) |
| M–O(H2O)     | 2.417(2), 2.445(2) | 2.845(2)–3.499(3) | 3.163(4)–3.470(4) |

![Diagram](image-url)

**Figure 7.** Effect of the alkali metal cation \(M^+\) on the structure of the trinuclear anion \{GdV2\}– and the V···Gd, V···V distances in compounds 1–3.

2.3. EPR Spectroscopy

Figure 8 shows the X- and Q-band EPR spectra of solid samples 1–3 vs. temperature. The spectra of 2 and 3 showed negligible changes in the temperature range of 5–295 K. The spectra of 1 did exhibit some changes in the spectral shape between 295 and 80 K; however, these changes were insignificant and might be due to a variation in the linewidth of the multiple overlapped signals. Therefore, we assumed that the exchange couplings in the triad were rather small, as it is typical of GdIII complexes and as magnetic susceptibility measurements confirm. Therefore, all paramagnetic centers should contribute to the
resulting EPR spectra. Judging by the total spectrum width and shape, we concluded that the EPR spectrum was dominated by \(S = \frac{7}{2}\) signals of Gd\(^{III}\) with noticeable zero-field splitting. The signals of two V\(^{IV}\) ions with \(S = \frac{1}{2}\) had narrower spectra but much smaller integral intensity; therefore, they were buried in the much stronger signal of Gd\(^{III}\) (see Supplementary Materials for the supportive simulation).

**Figure 8.** X-band (left) and Q-band (right) EPR spectra of 1–3 (as indicated) at \(T = 295, 80\), and 5 K. \(\nu_{\text{mw}} \approx 9.75\) GHz at the X-band and \(\nu_{\text{mw}} \approx 34.20\) GHz at the Q-band.

The zero-field splitting values \(D\) and \(E\) for 1 can be estimated simultaneously from the simulation of X/Q-band spectra (see Supplementary Materials). It was found that \(D\) was around \(-0.08\) cm\(^{-1}\) and \(E/D\sim 0.1–0.15\). For the two other compounds, 2 and 3, the EPR spectra were less resolved (perhaps due to more efficient intermolecular exchange interactions), but it is obvious that the zero-field splitting had comparable or even smaller values.

### 2.4. Magnetic Properties

#### 2.4.1. DC Susceptibility Measurements

The magnetic properties of compounds 1–3 were investigated by measuring the temperature dependences of molar magnetic susceptibility \((\chi_M)\) in the range of 2–300 K in a 5000 Oe dc-magnetic field. The experimental \(\chi_M(T)\) values at 300 K for 1–3 (8.64, 8.74, and 8.89 cm\(^3\) K mol\(^{-1}\)) were similar to the spin-only value \(\chi_{M,\text{theor}} = 8.74\) cm\(^3\) K mol\(^{-1}\) expected for two non-interacting V\(^{IV}\) ions \((S = \frac{1}{2})\) and one Gd\(^{III}\) ion \((S = \frac{7}{2})\). The \(\chi_M(T)\) dependencies for 2 and 3 were similar in shape. The \(\chi_M(T)\) values for 2 and 3 monotonically increased with decreasing temperature, reaching 8.99 and 9.03 cm\(^3\) K mol\(^{-1}\), respectively, at 45 K, then sharply grew to 9.75 and 9.71 cm\(^3\) K mol\(^{-1}\) at 4 K, and finally decreased to 8.63 and 9.03 cm\(^3\) K mol\(^{-1}\) at 2 K (Figures 9 and 10). The \(\chi_M(T)\) value for 1 monotonically increased with cooling, reached 8.94 cm\(^3\) K mol\(^{-1}\) at 8 K, and then sharply decreased to 8.05 cm\(^3\) K mol\(^{-1}\) at 2 K (Figure 11).

The interpretation of the experimental plots of \(\chi_M(T)\) vs. \(T\) for complexes 2 and 3 was carried out using PHI program [48] (for details see Supplementary Materials). The parameter of isotropic exchange between V\(^{IV}\) ions was neglected. The best fits of the experimental plots of \(\chi_M(T)\) vs. \(T\) for 2 and 3 to the theoretically calculated curves were achieved with the following parameters: \(J_1\) (Gd\(\cdots\)V1) = 0.989 ± 0.028 cm\(^{-1}\), \(J_2\) (Gd\(\cdots\)V2) = −0.089 ± 0.008 cm\(^{-1}\), and \(g = 2.01\) for 2 (Figure 9) and \(J_1\) (Gd\(\cdots\)V1) = 0.656 ± 0.009 cm\(^{-1}\), \(J_2\) (Gd\(\cdots\)V2) = −0.050 ± 0.004 cm\(^{-1}\), and \(g = 2.03\) for 3 (Figure 10).
In order to clarify the correctness of the assignment of the $J$ values to the V1-Gd and V2-Gd fragments in the compounds 2 and 3, using a DFT method, we computationally considered two separate Gd$^{III}$[VO(cbdc)$_2$(H$_2$O)$_8$] units of 2 and 3 and analyzed the forms of natural orbitals responsible for the character of the exchange interactions in V$^{IV}$-Gd$^{III}$ pairs of different type. Following from the shapes of natural orbitals (Figure S24), there was an overlapping of the orbitals of the gadolinium(III) and oxygen atom of the vanadium fragment [V(2)O(cbdc)$_2$(H$_2$O)], which provided an exchange channel leading to antiferromagnetic coupling in V$^{IV}$-Gd$^{III}$ pairs. In contrast, the orbitals of gadolinium and the closest oxygen atoms of [V(1)O(cbdc)$_2$(H$_2$O)] did not overlap, which resulted in ferromagnetic interactions between V(1) and Gd.

Figure 9. Temperature dependencies of the $\chi_M T$ product (□) and $\chi_M$ (○) for compound 2. The red line shows the values calculated using PHI [48] program.

Figure 10. Temperature dependencies of the $\chi_M T$ product (□) and $\chi_M$ (○) for compound 3. The red line shows the values calculated using PHI [48] program.
For the interpretation of the temperature dependence of $\chi_M T$ for complex 1, in addition to considering the magnetic exchange between Gd$^{\text{III}}$ and V$^{\text{IV}}$ ions, it is also necessary to take into account the exchange between V$^{\text{IV}}$ ions belonging to neighboring [GdV$_2$]$^-\text{ units, which can interact through bridging Na}^+\text{ ions (for details see Supplementary Materials).} The best fit of the experimental plot of $\chi_M T$ vs. $T$ for 1, obtained by the use of PHI [48] program, was achieved with the following parameters: $J_1 \text{(Gd} \cdots \text{V)} = 0.165 \pm 0.008 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $J_2 \text{(V} \cdots \text{V)} = -1.095 \pm 0.046 \text{ cm}^{-1}, g = 2.01$.

There are several examples of Gd$^{\text{III}}$–V$^{\text{IV}}$O complexes in the literature for which the magnetic properties have been analyzed. The exchange parameters $J_{\text{GdV}}$ obtained for 1–3 were within the range of known values (Table 2) where interactions between paramagnetic centers can be ferro- or antiferromagnetic, as determined by the nature of the bridging moieties and the interatomic distance.

**Table 2.** Magnetic properties of known Gd$^{\text{III}}$–V$^{\text{IV}}$ complexes.

| Complex | Distance V···Gd, Å | Exchange Parameter $J_{\text{GdV}}, \text{cm}^{-1}$ | Ref. |
|---------|-------------------|-------------------------------|-----|
| [[Gd(H$_2$O)$_2$(VO)$_2$(TTHA)][(VO)$_2$(TTHA)$_{0.5}$]·8.5H$_2$O, H$_4$TTHA = triethylenetetraminehexaacetic acid | 6.099 | −0.21 | [30] |
| [VO(L)Gd(hfa)$_2$(MeOH)]$_2$·2MeOH·2Me$_2$CO, H$_3$L = 2-hydroxy-N-[[2-(hydroxyphenyl)methylene]amino]-2-methylpropyl] benzamide | 6.280 | +0.46 | [29] |
| [L$^1$(VO)Gd(H$_2$O)(NO$_3$_)$_3$]·H$_2$O, H$_2$L$^1$ = N,N$'$-bis(3-methoxysalicylidene)-1,2-diamino-2-methylpropane | 3.5191 | +1.5 | [27] |
| [L$^2$(VO)(Me$_2$CO)Gd(NO$_3$_)$_3$], H$_2$L$^2$ = N,N$'$-bis(3-methoxysalicylidene)-1,3-diamino-2,2$'$-dimethylpropane | 3.5043 | −2.6 | [28] |
| [NaGd(VO)$_2$(cbdc)$_4$(H$_2$O)$_{10}$]$_n$ (1) | 5.722 | +0.163 ± 0.008 | This work |
| [[RbGd(VO)$_2$(cbdc)$_4$(H$_2$O)$_{10}$]·2.5H$_2$O]$_n$ (2) | 6.000 (V1) | +0.989 ± 0.028 | This work |
| 4.611 (V2) | −0.089 ± 0.008 | |
| [[CsGd(VO)$_2$(cbdc)$_4$(H$_2$O)$_{11}$]·5H$_2$O]$_2$ (3) | 6.290 (V1) | +0.656 ± 0.009 | This work |
| 4.547 (V2) | −0.050 ± 0.004 | |
2.4.2. AC Susceptibility Measurements

It is known that slow relaxation of the magnetization effect can be observed both for GdIII- [36–44] and VIV-based complexes [49–54]. This fact inspired us to perform ac-magnetic measurements for heterometallic GdIII-VIV compounds 1–3. As a result, all the synthesized complexes were found to exhibit field-induced slow relaxation of magnetization. However, in the zero dc-magnetic field, no out-of-phase signal was detected for 1–3 (Figures S13–S16). To suppress the quantum tunneling of the magnetization process (QTM), which appeared to be the most likely reason for the absence of relaxation under the zero dc-field, the subsequent ac-measurements were carried out in dc-fields from 500 to 5000 Oe. In this way, we managed to quantify the optimal dc-field values for 1–3. The highest relaxation times were achieved by applying the optimal fields of 5000 Oe for 1 (Figures S13 and S14), and 2500 Oe for 2 (Figure S15) and 3 (Figure S16). The optimal field was estimated at 8 K for 1, in contrast to 2 and 3 (2 K), because the low-temperature magnetic behavior of 1 under ac-field was quite difficult to explain.

To further study the relaxation dynamics, the isotherms of the in-phase and out-of-phase components of ac-magnetic susceptibility were measured for 1–3 under optimal \( H_{dc} \) fields (Figures S19–S21). To produce the temperature dependencies of relaxation time (\( \tau \) vs. 1/\( T \)) for 1–3, the \( \chi''(\nu) \) isotherms were approximated by the generalized Debye model. The plots of \( \tau \) vs. 1/\( T \) obtained in this way (Figure 12) were approximated by the equations corresponding to different relaxation mechanisms and their combinations (see Tables S14–S16 in Supplementary Material). The best-fit parameters for the approximations of \( \tau \) vs. 1/\( T \) plots for 1–3 are presented in Table 4. In the high-temperature range, all the \( \tau \) vs. 1/\( T \) dependences were approximated using only the Orbach relaxation mechanism (\( \tau = \tau_0 \exp(\Delta_{\text{eff}}/k_B T) \)) to estimate the value of the effective anisotropic barrier.

Table 3. The best-fit parameters of magnetization relaxation for \( 1_\mathbf{Y} \) and \( 1_{\mathbf{Lu}} \).

| Compound (Optimal dc-Field, Oe) | Orbach | Orbach + Raman | Orbach + Raman + QTM |
|---------------------------------|--------|----------------|---------------------|
|                                 | \( \Delta_{\text{eff}}/k_B \), K | \( \tau_0 \), s | \( \Delta_{\text{eff}}/k_B \), K | \( \tau_0 \), s | \( C_{\text{RAMAN}} \cdot n_{\text{RAMAN}} \), s | \( C_{\text{RAMAN}} \cdot n_{\text{RAMAN}} \), s | \( n_{\text{RAMAN}} \) | \( R_{\text{RAMAN}} \), K | \( R_{\text{RAMAN}} \), K | \( n_{\text{RAMAN}} \) | \( B_s \), s \(^{-1} \) |
| \( 1_\mathbf{Y} \) (5000)       | 76     | 5.81 \times 10^{-4} | - | - | - | 22 | 9 \times 10^{-3} | 26 | 1.8 | 207 |
| \( 1_{\mathbf{Lu}} \) (2500)   | 50     | 1.0 \times 10^{-5}  | 26 | 9 \times 10^{-5} | 89 | 1.50 | - | - | - | - | - |

Figure 12. \( \tau \) vs. 1/\( T \) dependences for complexes 1–3, \( 1_\mathbf{Y} \), and \( 1_{\mathbf{Lu}} \) under \( H_{dc} \) fields of 2500 (2, 3, \( 1_{\mathbf{Lu}} \) and 5000 Oe (1, \( 1_\mathbf{Y} \)). Blue dashed lines represent fittings of the high-temperature range by the Orbach mechanism. Black solid lines represent fittings by the combinations of relaxation mechanisms presented in Tables 3 and 4.
The best approximations of $\tau$ vs. $1/T$ dependences for complexes 1 and 3 were achieved using the Orbach and direct relaxation mechanisms ($\tau^{-1} = \tau_0^{-1}\exp(-\Delta_{\text{eff}}/k_B T) + A_{\text{direct}} T^4$). The other combinations of the two relaxation mechanisms, as well as the single Orbach and Raman mechanisms, failed to fit the high-temperature range of the experimental data. The addition of a third relaxation mechanism led to overparameterization in all cases. In contrast, an ensemble of the Orbach, Raman, and QTM relaxation mechanisms ($\tau^{-1} = \tau_0^{-1}\exp(-\Delta_{\text{eff}}/k_B T) + C_{\text{Raman}} T^4 + B$) was used for the best-fit of the experimental data for 2. Adjunction of the third relaxation mechanism in this instance resulted in an improvement of the approximation in comparison with all options involving two relaxation mechanisms.

In our previous paper [55], we reported on two structural analogs of 1, [NaLn(VO)$_2$(cbdc)$_4$(H$_2$O)$_{10}$]$_n$ (1Y: Ln = Y, 1Lu: Ln = Lu). However, the SMM properties of those compounds were thoroughly studied in the present study (Figures S17, S18, S22 and S23). The corresponding fitting parameters of the $\tau$ vs. $1/T$ plots (Figure 12) are presented below (Table 3). The $\tau(1/T)$ best-fits in the entire temperature range for 1Y and 1Lu were achieved using the cooperative contribution of Orbach and Raman mechanisms ($\tau^{-1} = \tau_0^{-1}\exp(-\Delta_{\text{eff}}/k_B T) + C_{\text{Raman}} T^4 + C_{\text{QTM}}$) for 1Lu and the Orbach, Raman, and QTM mechanisms for 1Y. Other combinations of relaxation mechanisms either failed to fit the experimental data or led to over-parametrization.

At low temperatures, the relaxation of 1 was strikingly different from the relaxation of 2 and 3. A decrease in the relaxation time with a temperature decrease was observed. Because of this, the low-temperature section of the $\tau$ vs. $1/T$ plot cannot be approximated by a sum of any relaxation mechanisms. Such a change may originate from the absence of a network of hydrogen bonds in 1, in contrast to the structures of compounds 2 and 3.

The minimum V···V distance (6.077 Å) and one of the Gd···V distances (4.547 Å) in compound 3 led to the largest dipole-dipole interaction between ions in the Gd$^{III}$···V$^{IV}$ and V$^{IV}$···V$^{IV}$ pairs. These interactions possibly give rise to additional pathways of magnetization relaxation, and hence acceleration of relaxation [56]. Therefore, for compound 3, the effective magnetization reversal barrier, estimated from the high-temperature section by the Arrhenius equation, was the smallest.

Thus, as follows from a comparison of ac-magnetic data for 1, 1Y, and 1Lu, the slow relaxation of magnetization in Gd$^{III}$···V$^{IV}$ compounds can be determined by the contributions of both Gd$^{III}$ and V$^{IV}$ ions. Moreover, compounds 1, 1Y, and 1Lu demonstrated the highest $\Delta_{\text{eff}}$ values (according to the approximation by a single Orbach mechanism) compared with those of 2 and 3, which can be explained by longer V···V (6.234 Å for 1 and 6.216 Å for 1Y) and Gd···V distances (5.722 Å for 1) weakening the dipole-dipole interaction between paramagnetic ions.

### 3. Materials and Methods

#### 3.1. Synthesis

3.1.1. General Details

The synthesis of new compounds was carried out in air using distilled water. The following starting reagents were purchased from commercial sources and used without further purification: VOSO$_4$·3H$_2$O (>99%), Ba(NO$_3$)$_2$ (>98%), H$_2$cbdc (99%, Acros Organics), Gd(NO$_3$)$_3$·6H$_2$O (99.9%, Lanhit), NaOH (>99%), RbOH·xH$_2$O (Sigma Aldrich), and CsOH·H$_2$O (99.95%, Acros Organics). The complexes [NaLn(VO)$_2$(cbdc)$_4$(H$_2$O)$_{10}$]$_n$
(I1Y: Ln = Y, I1Lu: Ln = Lu) were synthesized according to procedures described previously [56]. The IR spectra of complexes 1–3 were measured using a Spectrum 65 FT-IR spectrometer (Perkin-Elmer) by the ATR method in the range of 4000–400 cm⁻¹. Elemental C,H,N-analysis was carried out using an EuroEA 3000 automatic analyzer (EuroVector).

3.1.2. Synthetic Procedure

The solution of VOSO₄·3H₂O (0.150 g, 0.69 mmol) in H₂O (15 mL) was added with crystalline Ba(NO₃)₂ (0.181 g, 0.69 mmol), and the reaction mixture was stirred for 25 min at 23 °C. Weight amounts of H₂cdce (0.199 g, 1.38 mmol) and corresponding alkaline metal hydroxide (NaOH, 0.110 g, 2.76 mmol for 1; RbOH·xH₂O, 0.283 g, 2.76 mmol for 2; CsOH·H₂O, 0.414 g, 2.76 mmol for 3) were dissolved in H₂O (10 mL) at 40 °C, and the resulting clear solution of M₂(cdce) was poured into the reaction mixture. The stirring was continued for 15 min, and crystalline Gd(NO₃)₃·6H₂O (0.311 g, 0.69 mmol) was added. After 15 min, the reaction mixture was left for 1 h without disturbance. The BaSO₄ precipitate was filtered off, and the resulting sky-blue solution (25 mL) was left to evaporate in air at 23 °C. Blue crystals precipitated in 3 weeks were suitable for X-ray diffraction. The crystals were filtered from the mother liquor, washed with H₂O (t ≤ 5 °C), and dried in air at 23 °C.

For [NaGd(VO)₂(cdce)₂(H₂O)₁₀]₀ (1): the yield was 0.199 g (54.3 % based on VOSO₄·3H₂O). Calc. (%) for C₂₄H₄₄GdNaO₂₈V₂: C, 27.12; H, 4.17. Found (%) C, 27.04; H, 4.17. IR spectrum (ATR, ν/cm⁻¹) (s = strong, m = medium, w = weak): 3643 v. w, 3347 m. br [ν(O-H)], 3227 w. br [ν(O-H)], 2999 w [ν(C-H)], 2956 w [ν(C-H)], 1634 w, 1579 s [νas(COO⁻)], 1553 s [νas(COO⁻)], 1443 m, 1431 m, 1390 s [νs(COO⁻)], 1348 s, 1253 w, 1244 w, 1229 m [ν(C-C)cycle], 1162 w, 1122 m [γ(C-C₂)], 1063 w, 1011 w, 1000 w, 968 s [ν(V=O)], 952 m, 924 m, 876 w, 843 w, 808 w, 773 m, 725 s [δ(COO⁻)], 640 s, 561 s, 532 s, 467 s, 443 s, 420 s.

For [RbGd(VO)₂(cdce)₂(H₂O)₁₀]₀·2.5H₂O)₀ (2): the yield was 0.113 g (28 % based on VOSO₄·3H₂O). Calc. (%) for C₂₄H₄₉GdRbO₃₀.₅V₂: C, 24.63; H, 4.22. Found (%): C, 24.68; H, 4.50. IR spectrum (ATR, ν/cm⁻¹) (s = strong, m = medium, w = weak): 3576 v. w, 3243 m. br [ν(O-H)], 3221 w. br [ν(O-H)], 2973 w [ν(C-H)], 2873 w, 1666 w, 1559 s [νas(COO⁻)], 1533 s [νas(COO⁻)], 1457 m, 1431 s, 1401 s [νs(COO⁻)], 1379 s [νs(COO⁻)], 1357 s, 1342 s, 1259 w, 1228 m [ν(C-C)cycle], 1169 w, 1124 m [γ(C-C₂)], 1060 v. w, 1008 w, 994 s [ν(V=O)], 984 s [ν(V=O)], 961 w, 917 s, 879 w, 863 w, 776 s, 673 s [δ(COO⁻)], 734 s, 709 s [δ(COO⁻)], 654 s, 552 s, 537 s, 435 s, 433 s, 420 s.

For [[CsGd(VO)₂(cdce)₂(H₂O)₁₁]·5H₂O]₀ (3): the yield was 0.097 g (32.8 % based on VOSO₄·3H₂O). Calc. (%) for C₂₄H₅₁CsGdO₃₄V₂: C, 22.50; H, 4.41. Found (%): C, 22.47; H, 4.49. IR spectrum (ATR, ν/cm⁻¹) (s = strong, m = medium, w = weak): 3311 m. br [ν(O-H)], 3018 w [ν(C-H)], 2973 w [ν(C-H)], 2873 w, 1666 w, 1559 s [νas(COO⁻)], 1533 s [νas(COO⁻)], 1457 m, 1431 s, 1401 s [νs(COO⁻)], 1379 s [νs(COO⁻)], 1357 s, 1342 s, 1259 w, 1228 m [ν(C-C)cycle], 1169 w, 1124 m [γ(C-C₂)], 1063 v. w, 1047 v. w, 1015 w, 998 w, 988 w [ν(V=O)], 976 s [ν(V=O)], 960 m, 934 w, 916 w, 882 m, 856 m, 781 s [δ(COO⁻)], 762 s [δ(COO⁻)], 696 s, 653 s, 615 s, 595 s, 546 s, 466 s, 449 s.

3.2. Single Crystal X-ray Diffraction

Single crystal X-ray diffraction study for the crystals of 1–3 was carried out on a Bruker SMART APEX II diffractometer equipped with a CCD detector (Mo-Kα, λ = 0.71073 Å, graphite monochromator) [57]. Semi-empirical absorption correction was applied by the SADABS program [58]. The structures were solved by direct methods and refined by the full-matrix least squares in the anisotropic approximation for non-hydrogen atoms. The calculations were carried out by the SHELX-2014 program package [59] using Olex2 1.2 [60]. The hydrogen atoms in structures 1–3 were positioned geometrically and refined using the riding model. The crystallographic parameters for 1–3 and the structure refinement details are given in Table 5.
Table 5. Crystallographic parameters and structure refinement details for compounds 1–3.

| Parameter                  | 1                    | 2     | 3     |
|----------------------------|----------------------|-------|-------|
| Empirical formula          | $C_{24}H_{40}GdNaO_{28}V_2$ | $C_{24}H_{49}GdO_{30.5}RbV_2$ | $C_{24}H_{56}CsGdO_{34}V_2$ |
| $T/K$                      | 150(2)              | 120   | 120   |
| Formula weight (g·mol$^{-1}$) | 1058.68             | 1170.23 | 1280.72 |
| Crystal system             | monoclinic          | monoclinic | triclinic |
| Space group                | $C2/c$              | $C2/c$ | $P$ |
| $a$ (Å)                    | 9.0936 (2)          | 23.375 (5) | 12.0203 (14) |
| $b$ (Å)                    | 24.7129 (5)         | 13.2166 (12) | 26.305 (2) |
| $c$ (Å)                    | 17.1366 (4)         | 36.205 (2)  | 14.3630 (17) |
| $\alpha$ (deg)            | 90                  | 90    | 110.948 (2) |
| $\beta$ (deg)             | 104.7320 (10)       | 106.853 (2)  | 92.627 (2) |
| $\gamma$ (deg)            | 90                  | 90    | 97.051 (2) |
| $V$ (Å$^3$)                | 3724.49 (14)        | 7777.7 (12) | 2120.0 (4) |
| $Z$                        | 4                   | 8     | 2     |
| $D_{\text{calc}}$ (g·cm$^{-3}$) | 1.888              | 1.999 | 2.006 |
| $\theta_{\text{min}}$–$\theta_{\text{max}}$ (deg) | 4.666              | 3.507 | 2.934 |
| $\mu$ (mm$^{-1}$)         | 2.46–30.51          | 2.23–33.72 | 2.18–30.41 |
| Number of measured         | 20,747              | 52,901 | 20,664 |
| reflections                | 4643                | 9629  | 6790  |
| Number of reflections with |                     |       |       |
| $I > 2\sigma(I)$          |                     |       |       |
| $R_{\text{int}}$          | 0.0339              | 0.0588 | 0.0488 |
| $GooF$                     | 1.045               | 1.024 | 1.037 |
| $R_1$ [a]$_w$              | 0.0276, 0.0756      | 0.0367, 0.0774 | 0.0377, 0.0824 |
| $R_{1}[a]_w$$_R_{2}$ [b]   | 0.0303, 0.0773      | 0.0513, 0.0839 | 0.0507, 0.0900 |
| $T_{\text{min}}/T_{\text{max}}$ | 0.718/0.833        | 0.429/0.526 | 0.533/0.746 |

[a] $R_1 = \Sigma ||F_o|| - ||F_c|| / \Sigma ||F_o||$. [b] $wR_2 = [\Sigma w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2 / \Sigma w(F_o^2)]^{1/2}$.

CCDC 2019386–2019388 contain supplementary crystallographic data for 1–3. These data can be obtained free of charge via http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/conts/retrieving.html, or from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge, CB2 1EZ, UK; fax: (+44) 1223-336-033; or e-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk.

3.3. Powder X-ray Diffraction

The purity of the samples for magnetic measurements and EPR spectroscopy was achieved by powder X-ray diffraction. The powder patterns were obtained at room temperature on a Bruker D8 Advance diffractometer with a LynxEye detector in Bragg-Brentano geometry, with the sample dispersed thinly on a zero-background Si sample holder, $\lambda$(CuK$\alpha$) = 1.54060 Å, $\theta$/2$\theta$ scan with variable slits (irradiated length 20 mm) from 4° to 65°, step size 0.02° (Figures S25–S27).

3.4. EPR Spectroscopy

Variable-temperature continuous wave X/Q-band EPR spectra were obtained using a Bruker Elexsys E580 spectrometer equipped with an Oxford Instruments temperature control system ($T$ = 4–300 K). All spectral simulations were done using the EasySpin toolbox for Matlab [61].

3.5. Magnetic Measurements

Magnetic susceptibility measurements were performed with a Quantum Design PPMS-9 susceptometer. $Dc$-magnetic susceptibility measurements were performed in the 2–300 K temperature range in a 5000 Oe $dc$-magnetic field. For $ac$-susceptibility measurements, oscillating $ac$-magnetic fields of 5, 3, and 1 Oe within frequency ranges 10–100, 100–1000, and 1000–10,000 Hz, respectively, were applied. Measurements were performed on polycrystalline samples sealed in a polyethylene bag and covered with mineral oil in order to prevent field-induced orientation of the crystals. Magnetic data were corrected
for the diamagnetic contribution evaluated from Pascal’s constants, the sample holder, and mineral oil.

3.6. DFT Calculations

The density functional theory calculations were performed by using the Gaussian 09 program package [62] with the UB3LYP functional [63]. The standard 6-31G (d,p) basis set was used for all atoms with the exception of Gd, for which the SDD basis set with effective core potential was employed. The relativistic effects were incorporated via the Douglas-Kroll-Hess (DKH) [64,65] method.

4. Conclusions

The radius of the alkali metal cation (Na, Rb, Cs) was shown to affect the structure of trinuclear heterometallic anions \([\text{Gd(VO)}_2(\text{cbdc})_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_8]^-\) and their mutual arrangement in a crystal. With Na\(^+\), trinuclear \([\text{GdV}_2]^-\) moieties were characterized by identical V···Gd distances and were connected into 1D-polymeric chains in the case of 1. With Rb\(^+\) and Cs\(^+\), the V···Gd distances were different, and \([\text{GdV}_2]^-\) fragments were linked into a 3D-framework for 2 and a molecular complex for 3, respectively. Based on EPR spectroscopy data for 1, the presence of weak magnetic anisotropy of Gd\(^{III}\) ions was identified (\(D\sim 0.08\) cm\(^{-1}\) and \(E/D\sim 0.1–0.15\)). Fitting the \(\chi_M T\)-temperature plots (excluding the magnetic anisotropy contributions of metal ions) showed the presence of ferromagnetic exchange interactions between Gd\(^{III}\) and \(\text{V}^{IV}\) ions in the trinuclear unit of 1, and both ferro- and antiferromagnetic exchange channels in 2 and 3. Ac-susceptibility measurements showed field-induced slow relaxation of magnetization in 1–3 that can be described using the sum of Orbach and direct mechanisms for 1 and 3, or the sum of Orbach, Raman, and QTM mechanisms for 2. Moreover, of all the compounds obtained, the highest values of the effective anisotropic energy barrier (according to the approximation by a single Orbach mechanism) were obtained for 1 and its structural analogs with diamagnetic Y\(^{III}\) and Lu\(^{III}\) ions.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/magnetochemistry7060082/s1: Table S1–S3: Selected bond lengths and angles for 1–3, Table S4: Continuous shape measures (CShM) for GdO\(_6\) coordination polyhedra in compounds 1–3, Figure S1: EPR spectra of 1 at \(T = 80\) K, Figure S2: Simulation of the anticipated contributions of Gd(III) ion and two V(IV) ions into the overall EPR spectrum, Tables S5–S7: Hydrogen bonding parameters of structures 1–3, Figures S3–S5: Fitting the experimental \(1/\chi_M\) vs. \(T\) plots, Figures S6–S11 and Tables S8–S13: Fitting the experimental curves \(\chi_M T\) vs. \(T\) for complexes 1–3, Figure S12: Fitting the experimental curve \(\chi_M T\) vs. \(T\) for complex 1\(_{lu}\), Figures S13–S23: AC magnetic data, Tables S14–S16: Fitting of the \(\tau\) vs. \(1/T\) dependences for 1–3; Figure S24: Natural orbitals of \(\text{V}^{IV}\)-Gd\(^{III}\) fragments of the compounds 2 and 3 calculated by the DFT method, Figures S25–S27: PXRD data.
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