Research on Destroyed Floor Depth
---the example of the 51302 working face in the Liangzhuang coal
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Abstract: Based on the analysis on factors affecting floor depth, mining depth, dip angle of coal seam, mining thickness, dip length of working face, damage variable of floor strata and whether there are faults and crushed zones in the working face are defined as main influence factors. The classical Down Three Zone Theory, Down Four Zone Theory based on damage mechanics, formula written in the national standard, BP (Back Propagation) neural network algorithm considering various influencing factors and Numerical Simulation Method were applied for predicting floor depth of the 51302 working face. A double-side seal borehole water injection...
device was used to determine the actual floor depth of the 51302 working face. By comparing the
prediction results with the actual measurement results, the prediction results obtained by
considering rock damage are closer to reality.
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The prediction of destroyed floor depth of coal seams is important for the
research on floor water disasters (Miao et al. 2011; Wu et al. 2013). With mining
depth increasing, the actual destroyed floor depth is far beyond the calculated value
obtained by empirical formulas of shallow coal seam mining. For example, the mining
depth of the 1237(2) working face in Zhaogeuzhuang Coal Mine is 1000 m and its
destroyed floor depth is up to 38 m (Meng et al. 2009), additionally, the mining depth
of 32031(2) working face in Wucun Coal Mine is 640 m, and its destroyed floor depth
is up to 26.40 m (Shi et al. 2013). Deep coal seam mining is seriously influenced by
the high confined water, so it is obviously important to predict destroyed floor depth.

More and more scholars have predicted the destroyed floor depth by various methods,
based on the factors influencing destroyed floor depth of coal seams, but most of these
scholars assumed that the coal seam floor is complete without considering the floor
rock damage (Chang et al. 2016; Gao et al. 2017; Jin et al. 2011; Kang et al. 2017; Li
et al. 2013). In fact, after going through the long-term geologic process and
tectonization, there are many fracture planes in the interior of coal seam floor strata.

With coal seam mining, mine pressure and in situ stress have further influence on
floor strata, and floor strata is damaged more seriously, which is especially evident in
deep coal seam mining (Li et al. 2003; Liu et al. 2017; Liu et al. 2017; Lu et al. 2017; Lu et al. 2013; Ma et al. 2016). The damage of floor strata is of great importance when considering whether the predicted value of the destroyed floor depth can guide. (Wang et al. 2014; Yu et al. 2008; Yu et al. 2011; Yu et al. 2017; Zhang et al. 2011; Zhang et al. 2016; Zhu et al. 2014) Based on the factors influencing destroyed floor depth, this paper mainly studies the influence of floor damage on the floor depth of coal seams. Combining the classical Down Three Zone Theory, Down Four Zone Theory based on damage mechanics, formula written in the national standard, BP neural network algorithm considering various influencing factors and Numerical Simulation Method, this paper calculates the destroyed floor depth of the 51302 working face in Liangzhuang Coal Mine, makes a comparison between the predicted value and measured value and probes into the influence of floor damage on damaged floor depth.

1 Overview of research area

The Liangzhuang Coal Mine is located in the northwest region of Xinwen coal field, in Shandong province, eastern China (Fig. 1). The main workable coal seam are the No. 2 coal seam, No. 4 coal seam and No. 6 coal seam in Taiyuan Formation and the No. 11 coal seam, No. 13 coal seam and No. 15 coal seam in Shanxi Formation. The 51302 working face is located in the east of 5 mining area at the level of -580 m, and its trend length is 690 m, dip length is 165 m and the placing depth is 640 m. The working face is a monoclinic structure, and there are folds in some parts of it and 13 faults were exposed. The trend of the coal seam is NW, the tendency is NE and its dip
angle is 12°. The basal water influencing No. 13 coal seam mining are NO.4 limestone aquifer in the roof and Xuiazhuan limestone aquifer and Ordovician limestone aquifer in the floor. NO.4 limestone aquifer is the immediate roof of No. 13 coal seam and it is nearly anhydrous. So, 51302 working face mining is influenced most by Xuiazhuan limestone water and Ordovician limestone water. The distance of the 51302 working face from Xuiazhuan limestone aquifer and Ordovician limestone aquifer is 40 m and 79 m respectively. (Fig. 2) The destroyed floor depth has a great influence on floor water burst.

Fig. 1 Geographic location of the Liangzhuang Coal Mine in China
2. Effect factors of floor depth

There are many factors influencing mining damage depth of coal seam floor, and the main factors (Liu et al. 2015; Duan, 2014; Yu et al. 2009) are as follows. (1) Mining depth: The influence exerted by mining depth plays a basic role, and the difference in depth of mining causes the change of the self weight of the overlying strata and changes the base pressure of the floor. The deeper the mining is, the larger the stress of primary rock and hydraulic pressure are, and the more serious the degree of destroyed floor is. (2) Dip angle of coal seam: The change of dip angle of the coal seam makes the degree and area of stress concentration change, and thus, the damage depth of the coal seam floor changes. (3) Mining thickness: The greater the mining thickness of the coal seam is, the greater the ground pressure is, the stronger strata behavior is, and the more serious the degree of destroyed floor is. (4) Dip length of working face: With the dip length of the working face increasing, the damage depth of
the coal seam floor increases; (5) Damage variables of floor strata: These variables include rock strength, strata combination and the developmental situation of original fractures. It is the inherent property of the coal seam floor, reflected in the anti-failure ability of the floor rock layer. It can be analyzed from three factors in rock mechanics parameters: tensile strength, cohesion and internal friction angle. (6) Whether or not there are faults and crushed zones in the working face: The destroyed floor depth near faults or crushed zones is 0.5~1 times larger than that of normal strata.

3 Computing method of destroyed floor depth

3.1 Calculating destroyed floor depth based on the Down Three Zone Theory and Down Four Zone Theory

The Down Three Zone Theory (Li, 1999) is put forward from the field practice. Based on elastic mechanics and assuming that rock is continuous, perfectly elastic and homogeneous, this theory divides the coal seam floor into three zones: floor damage zone or “diversion zone” \( h_1 \); intact strata zone or “water-blocking zone” \( h_2 \); confined water flowing crevice zone or original water flowing crevice zone \( h_3 \), as is shown in Fig. 3. Longqing Shi (Shi et al. 2005) assumes that the rock is damaged. Based on damage mechanics and fracture mechanics theory, he divides the coal seam floor into four zones: broken zone caused by underground pressure; new damage zone; original damage zone; original water flowing crevice zone (Fig. 4).
The formula provided by the Down Three Zone Theory is a practical formula summarized by field scientific and technical personnel in practice (State Coal Industry Bureau 2000). It is the following empirical formula of destroyed floor depth according to the single factor—working face dip length (L) of single factor in the 1980s:

\[ h_1 = 1.86 + 0.11L \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Based on damage mechanics and combined with the Mine Pressure Theory, the Down Four Zone Theory elicited the theoretical calculating formula of damage depth \((h_1)\) of mining coal seam floor:

\[ h_1 = 59.88 \ln \left( \frac{K_{\text{max}} \gamma H}{\sigma_1} \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where, \(K_{\text{max}}\) is the maximum concentration coefficient of mine pressure, \(\gamma\) is the average unit weight of overlying strata, and \(H\) is the mining depth.

The dip length of the 51302 working face is 165 m. According to Ground Pressure Control Theory, Rock Mechanics Theory and mechanical testing data analysis of relative strata in Xinwen Coal Mine, the calculation of the 51302 working face damage depth can be based on the following values: \(K_{\text{max}} = 2.8\).
\[ \gamma = 0.028 \text{MN/m}^3, H = 640 \text{m}, \sigma_i = 27 \text{Mpa} \]. The destroyed floor depth is 20.0 m and 37.1 m, respectively, according to Formula (1) and (2).

3.2 Calculating destroyed floor depth according to Regulation and BP neural network

Calculating destroyed floor depth according to Regulation

According to Regulations on Buildings, Water Bodies and Railways and Unexploited Main Coal Pillar and Coal Mining (State Coal Industry Bureau 2000), the placing depth, dip length of working face and dip angle of coal seam (\( \alpha \)) are three easily obtained parameters selected to calculate the destroyed floor depth:

\[ h = 0.0085H + 0.1079L + 0.1665\alpha - 4.3579 \quad (3) \]

The destroyed floor depth is determined to be 20.88 m by putting the relative parameters \( H = 640, \alpha = 12, L = 165 \) into Formula (3).

Calculating destroyed floor depth according to BP neural network computing

Most of factors influencing destroyed floor depth are together with noise which affects the prediction of destroyed floor depth directly. Based on BP neural network computing, the establishment of destroyed floor depth prediction model can reduce effectively reduce or eliminate the influence of these noises.

Acquisition of samples

Actual field data of 26 mined working faces are were chosen to be the training samples and testing samples of BP neural network computing (Table 1). Samples 1~22 are set as learning samples to conduct training to the network, and samples 23~26 as checking samples to test the network performance.
The program is written in Matlab software and was run many times. Then, the three-layer neural network structure is chosen as the model structure and its errors meet the evaluation criteria (Fig. 5). The aims and demands can be obtained by 4000 training (Fig. 6).

| No. | Name of working face                        | $H/m$ | $\alpha/^\circ$ | $M/m$ | $L/m$ | $D$ | $F$ | $H_/m$ |
|-----|---------------------------------------------|-------|----------------|-------|-------|-----|-----|--------|
| 1   | Dongjihe mine 22507 working face            | 407.7 | 7              | 3     | 114   | 0.2 | 1   | 10.8   |
| 2   | Gaocheng mine 21021 working face           | 414.9 | 11             | 4.7   | 154   | 0.2 | 1   | 10     |
| 3   | Caozhuang mine 8812 working face           | 420   | 20             | 1.97  | 120   | 0.8 | 0   | 18.5   |
| 4   | Chaohua mine 22121 working face            | 430   | 17             | 10    | 101   | 0.3 | 1   | 15     |
| 5   | Suntong mine 1028 working face             | 466   | 17             | 3.4   | 160   | 0.7 | 0   | 17     |
| 6   | Xinglongzhuang mine 10302 working face     | 467.1 | 7              | 8.91  | 200   | 0.3 | 1   | 19     |
| 7   | Taoyuan mine 1066 working face             | 500   | 28             | 3.4   | 112   | 0.8 | 0   | 16     |
| 8   | Liuqiao 2th mine 2614 working face         | 500   | 8.5            | 2.9   | 160   | 0.3 | 1   | 14.9   |
| 9   | Huafeng mine 41303 working face            | 520   | 30             | 0.94  | 120   | 0.7 | 0   | 13     |
| 10  | Baizhuang mine 7105 working face           | 520   | 10             | 1.5   | 80    | 0.3 | 1   | 21.56  |
| 11  | Yangmei mine 8403 working face             | 520   | 8.5            | 8.74  | 220   | 0.2 | 1   | 20     |
| 12  | Zhaogu mine 11111 working face             | 570   | 2              | 3.5   | 175   | 0.6 | 0   | 23.48  |
| 13  | Wucun mine 3305 working face               | 327   | 12             | 2.4   | 120   | 0.7 | 0   | 11.7   |
| 14  | Dongtan mine 1305 working face             | 598.36| 6              | 8.78  | 223   | 0.3 | 1   | 20     |
| 15  | Qianyingzi mine 13 working face            | 630   | 9              | 3.5   | 200   | 0.5 | 0   | 17     |
| 16  | Liangzhuang mine 51302(1) working face     | 640   | 12             | 1     | 165   | 0.2 | 1   | 35     |
|   | Mine Name                  | Working Face | Sample Size | Mean | SD | RMSE | MAE | RMSE | MAE |
|---|----------------------------|--------------|-------------|------|----|------|-----|------|-----|
| 17| Liangzhuang mine 51101W working face | 640          | 15          | 1.5  | 165| 0.7  | 0   | 20.1 |     |
| 18| Xinji mine the first working face   | 650          | 10          | 4.5  | 150| 0.8  | 0   | 19.17|     |
| 19| Zhao gu mine 11011 working face     | 710          | 3           | 3.6  | 180| 0.7  | 0   | 25.8 |     |
| 20| Huafeng mine 41303 working face     | 721          | 30          | 0.94 | 120| 0.6  | 0   | 11.95|     |
| 21| Zhaolou mine 1304(2) working face   | 984.5        | 4           | 4.81 | 205| 0.7  | 0   | 22.6 |     |
| 22| Zhaogezhuang mine 1237(2) working face | 1000        | 30          | 2    | 200| 0.5  | 0   | 38   |     |
| 23| Xinzhuangzi mine 4303(1) working face | 310         | 26          | 1.8  | 128| 0.6  | 0   | 16.8 |     |
| 24| Ningmei mine 213 working face       | 416          | 18          | 1.5  | 115| 0.6  | 0   | 16.5 |     |
| 25| Pansan mine 37(1) working face      | 590          | 15          | 3    | 205| 0.6  | 0   | 14.6 |     |
| 26| Zhaogezhuang mine 1237 working face  | 1056         | 26          | 10   | 200| 0.2  | 1   | 35   |     |

1 (1,2 from Xu et al. 2015; 3,4,11,12,14,19,24 from Xu and Yang 2013; 5,6,8,10,16,21 from Yu 2011; 13,17,20,22,23 from Shi et al. 2013; 7,15,25 from Chen et al. 2012; 18 from Fan et al. 2012; 9,26 from regulation, 2000)

Fig. 5 Scatter points diagram of error between goal output and real output about double
The destroyed floor depth of the 51302 working face is 35.2 m obtained by putting the parameters of the 51302 working face (Table 2) into the BP neural network prediction model.

Table 2 Factors influencing the destroyed floor depth of the 7105 working face based on a statistical analysis

| Name of working face | $H/m$ | $\alpha/\degree$ | $M/m$ | $L/m$ | $D$ | $F$ | $H_1/m$ |
|----------------------|-------|------------------|-------|-------|-----|-----|---------|
| 51302                | 640   | 12               | 1.0   | 165   | 0.42| 1   | 35.2    |

3.3 Simulating destroyed floor depth by RFPA numerical simulation

RFPA software can consider the heterogeneity of materials and randomness of defect distribution and simulate the progressive failure of materials combining the statistical distribution of material properties and the numerical computation method. This paper sets the floor of the 51302 working face as complete type and damaged
type (damaged variable is 0.42) and simulates the destroyed floor depth accordingly.

**Establishment of numerical model**

According to the mechanical parameters (Table 3) of the coal seam (21 seam) floor of the 51302 working face in Liangzhuang Coal Mine, the striking length of the model is 600 m and the height is 200 m. The model includes 120,000 elements (200×600). The calculating capacity and operating rate of the model are limited, so the 10-m-thick overlying stratum is put at the top of model with a unit weight is 20 times heavier than that of loose weathered strata. The higher head pressure is transferred to the lower aquifer of strata through the boundary, and rock mass only bears its own weight and hydraulic pressure. The boundary conditions are set as follows: both ends are restricted level and they can move vertically; the bottom is fixed, and the bottom and top of it are confining boundaries; the 550-m-high water boundary is set to simulate the high-pressure water value (5.5 Mpa) of Ordovician limestone water. (Fig. 7)

| NO. | lithology          | thickness (m) | Elastic modulus (MPa) | Compressive strength (MPa) | Poisson ratio | Internal friction angle (°) | Bulk density (N/mm³) | Permeability coefficient (m/d) | Pore pressure coefficient |
|-----|--------------------|---------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1   | Equivalent rock stratum | 10            | 8000                  | 200                         | 0.12          | 50                          | 5.2e-4               | 0.1                           | 0.01                      |
| 2   | sandstone          | 15            | 9500                  | 102                         | 0.23          | 37                          | 2.52e-5              | 2                             | 0.1                       |
| 3   | medium sand        | 25            | 9200                  | 98                          | 0.212         | 35                          | 2.62e-5              | 1                             | 0.1                       |
| 4   | NO.11 coal seam    | 2             | 2600                  | 20                          | 0.27          | 40                          | 1.3e-5               | 0.1                           | 0.01                      |
| 5   | Clay rock          | 6             | 3200                  | 45                          | 0.19          | 42                          | 2.56e-5              | 0.1                           | 0.01                      |
| 6   | sandstone          | 8             | 8600                  | 99                          | 0.18          | 50                          | 2.53e-5              | 2                             | 0.1                       |
| 7   | siltstone          | 25            | 2002                  | 67                          | 0.173         | 45                          | 2.63e-5              | 0.1                           | 0.01                      |
### The process and results analysis of numerical simulation

The simulation process is conducted step by step (Fig. 8). The simulation mining length is 160 m and each step is 10 m, so there are a total of 16 steps. The excavation is conducted from the open-off cut 200 m far from the left of the figure, and the destroyed floor depth of complete floor and damaged floor are obtained.

![Fig. 7 Sketch of numerical model](image)

*Fig. 7 Sketch of numerical model*
It is known from the simulated results that:

Fig.8 full rock and damage rock elastic modulus distribution
Before the excavation, the strata are in a state of three-dimensional stress equilibrium. With the coal seam mining, the stress is redistributed. The rapid change of stress causes the floor damage.

(1) When the mining width is 20 m, the surrounding rock stress of goaf is redistributed, and the floor is damaged sporadically.

(2) When the mining width is 60 m, the degree of stress concentration of the open-off cut and supporting coal wall increases. The rock mass failure modes of the coal seam floor mainly consist of tension failure, tension-shear failure and shear-tension failure. Moreover, the coal wall appears to suffer tension-shear failure, and the coal seam floor appears evident destroyed floor depth. The damage depth of the complete floor is up to 5.3 m and that of the damaged floor is approximately 18.9 m.

(3) When the mining width is 100 m, the main failure mode of floor rock mass is tension failure, and the secondary failure mode is shear-tension failure. Under the influence of periodic weighting, the destroyed floor range moves forward with the mining face advancing. The damage depth of the complete floor is up to 12.6 m and that of the damaged floor is up to 25.5 m.

(4) When the mining width is 140 m, the overlying caving strata is contacted with floor, supporting stress reduces and the destroyed floor depth increases continuously. The destroyed floor depth of the complete floor and the damaged floor is 18.2 m and 33.8 m respectively.

(5) When the mining width is 160 m, there is obvious damage depth in the strata
of the coal seam floor, which does not develop downwards just horizontally. The
destroyed floor depth of the complete floor and the damaged floor stabilize to 18.2 m
and 33.8 m respectively.

According to the numerical simulation results, the destroyed floor depth of the
complete floor and the damaged floor is finally defined to 18.2 m and 33.8 m
respectively.

3.4 Actual measurement of destroyed floor depth of 51302 working face

Double side seal borehole water injection devices can conduct water injection
and draining tests to the boreholes of the floor in any direction and angle using
differential mode. According to the water leakage value at different depths, the
fractures in rock mass or surrounding rock failure situation can be defined. (Fig. 9)

Fig. 9 Double Side Seal Borehole Water Injection Device

Setting of observation points

According to roadway conditions of working face (Fig. 10), the observation
points are placed near the level coal pillar on the 51302 working face. No. 1 borehole
is in the place which is 40 m from the open-off cut of the 51302 working face. No. 2
borehole is in the centre of the working face, 80 m from the open-off cut. No. 3 borehole is near the terminal mining line. No. 1 borehole is set as a pre-mining observation pore and post-mining observation pore and No. 2 and 3 boreholes are set as post-mining observation pores. The pre-mining observation pore is used to observe the original fracture state of the coal seam floor strata uninfluenced by mining, and is the foundation of post-mining observation pores. And the post-mining observation pore are used to predict the most development depth of the mining failure zone in floor strata. The drilling construction elements and requirements are shown in Table 4.

Table 4 Drilling construction elements

| Number | Name                                      | Pore diameter/mm | Angle(°) | Hole depth/m |
|--------|-------------------------------------------|------------------|----------|--------------|
| 1      | Pre-mining observation pore (Post-mining observation pore) | 89               | 50       | 70           |
| 2      | Post-mining observation pore              | 89               | 50       | 70           |
| 3      | Post-mining observation pore              | 89               | 50       | 70           |

Fig. 10 Drilling plan layout and cross section of working face floor
Measured results

According to the measured data of the three boreholes before and after mining, the observation results figure of the water injection and seepage value of the floor are obtained by analyzing the changing law of water injection and seepage value, as the borehole depth changes.

(1) It is shown (Fig. 11(a)) from the observation results before mining of No.1 borehole that there is obvious water leakage 3 m from the hole depth and the water leakage rate is 1.2 L/min. This leaking occurs because the shallow surrounding rock near the aperture is damaged and the broken rock zone is formed when the borehole is constructed. When the hole depth is 21~33 m, the water leakage value increases obviously up to 1.6 L/min. According to the analysis of water leakage value and floor
strata, it may be caused by partial original fracture development or roadway construction. When the hole depth is 33 m, the water leakage value approaches to 0 L/min, which shows that the deep strata is basically in a continuously complete state and the fractures do not develop.

(2) Because the No.1 borehole is complete, it is set as post-mining observation pore to be tested. It is shown (Fig. 11(b)) from the data that after mining, the water injection and leakage of No.1 borehole are both increase, and the water leakage value of shallow surrounding rock near the aperture increases to 1.8 L/min from 1.2 L/min, and original cracks develop and extend to form the new cracks. When the hole depth is 21~33 m, water leakage increases to 2.0 L/min. There is just a little water leakage in deeper hole section and the whole remains intact.

Therefore, the measurement boundary of destroyed floor depth of post-mining No.1 borehole is 33 m, assuming that the dip angle of borehole is 50°, and the destroyed floor depth is 25.28 m.

(3) It is known (Fig. 11(c)) from the observation results of No.2 borehole that the all 35~40 m hole sections have 8~12 L/min water leakage rate. Compared with the water injection and leakage of pre-mining pore of No.1 borehole, this section is a new crack. There is minimal water leakage deeper from the place where the hole depth is 40 m, and it is not regarded as the connected fracture development area.

Therefore, the measurement boundary of destroyed floor depth of post-mining No.2 borehole is 40 m, assuming that the dip angle of borehole is 50°, and the destroyed floor depth is 30.64 m.
(4) No.3 borehole is affected most obviously by mining influence in the ground pressure behavior area. It can be seen (Fig. 11(d)) that when the hole depth is within 45 m, the water leakage rate increases greatly, and the average water leakage is 14 L/min, and the internal cracks are connected completely. The water leakage rate approaches to 0 L/min deeper from the place where the hole depth is 45 m.

Therefore, the measurement boundary of destroyed floor depth of post-mining No.3 borehole is 45 m, assuming that the dip angle of borehole is 50°, and the destroyed floor depth is 34.47 m.

It is known from the comprehensive analysis that the measured greatest depth of destroyed floor of the No.51302 working face is 34.47 m.

4 Comparison between calculated and measured results

Using the method of calculating absolute error and relative error, the prediction results are compared with the measured values. The comparison results are shown in Table 5.

Table 5 Comparison between calculated and measured results of destroyed floor depth

| Computing method            | Calculated value (m) | Measured value(m) | Comparison between calculated and measured values |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
|                            |                      |                   | absolute error/m | relative error/% |
| "Down Three Zone"          | 20                   | 34.47             | 14.47            | 41.9             |
| "Down Four Zone"           | 37.1                 |                   | -2.63            | 7.6              |
| Regulation                  | 20.88                |                   | 13.59            | 39.4             |
| BP neural network           | 35.2                 |                   | -0.73            | 2.1              |
| RFPA simulation Complete    | 18.2                 | 34.47             | 16.27            | 47.2             |
| type                        |                      |                   | 0.67             | 1.9              |
| Damaged type                | 33.8                 |                   |                   |                  |

5 Conclusion

(1) By comparing the calculated and the measured results of the complete type and
damaged type simulated by Down Three Zone Theory, Down Four Zone Theory, Regulation, BP neural network and RFPA software, it is found that the calculated value markedly differs from measured value a lot without considering the damage of floor strata and the calculated value does not conform to the reality. When considering the damage of floor strata, calculated value is very close to the measured value, which conforms to the reality.

(2) During the coal seam mining, especially the mining of deep coal seam, the strata damage is the important factors influencing the destroyed floor depth among various influencing factors.
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