A Bioinformatics Study of Structural Perturbation of 3CL-Protease and the HR2-Domain of SARS-CoV-2 Induced by Synergistic Interaction with Ivermectins
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Abstract: The pandemic caused by SARS-CoV-2 forces drug research to combat it. Ivermectin, an FDA approved antiparasitic drug formulated as a mixture 80:20 of the equipotent homologous 22,23 dihydro ivermectin (B1_a and B1_b), which is known to inhibit SARS-CoV-2 in vitro with a mechanism of action to be defined. It draws attention powerfully that the energetic and structural perturbation that this drug induces by binding on SARS-COV-2 proteins of importance for its proliferation is ill unknown. Hence what we do an exhaustive computational biophysics study to discriminate the best docking of ivermectins to viral proteins and, subsequently, to analyze possible structural alterations with molecular dynamics. The results suggested that ivermectins are capable of docking to the superficial and internal pocket of the 3CL-protease and the HR2-domain, inducing unfolding/folding that change the native conformation in these proteins. In particular, ivermectin binds to the 3CL protease and leads this protein to an unfolded state, whereas the HR2-domain to a more compact conformation in comparison to the native state by refolding when the drug binding to this protein. The results obtained suggest a possible synergistic inhibitory against SARS-COV-2 owing to each role of ivermectins when favorably binding to these viral proteins. Given the importance of the results obtained about this new mechanism of action of ivermectin on SARS-CoV-2, experimental studies are needed that corroborate this proposal.
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1. Introduction

The first proven clinical case of SARS-CoV-2 was released in December 2019 in Wuhan City, P.R.China [1]. In early May 2020, cases totaled 4,006,257 confirmed cases and 278,892 deaths worldwide [2]. The disease caused by this virus has been termed COVID-19. It has been declared by the WHO as a global pandemic [3], and despite the efforts, there is still no vaccine [4]. The study of drugs with known antiviral activity and FDA-approved has been viewed as an attractive and promising way [5,6]. Recently, ivermectin, a drug which really is a mixture in proportion 80:20 of two homologous 22,23 dehydro avermectins B1_a and B1_b (see Figure 1), approved by the FDA for parasitic infections, was reported to have an inhibitory effect on the level of SARS-CoV-2 in vitro, and although ivermectin has been well described to participate in the inhibition of the interaction between the protein integrase (IN) and the heterodimer α/β1 importin (IMP) responsible for the nuclear import of IN in the human immunodeficiency virus-1 (HIV-1) and dengue virus [7,8], and in the inhibition of flavivirus replication by blocking NS3 helicase [9] inactivating enzymes described in SARS [10], and as it is still necessary to define the mechanism that lactone has in vitro inhibitory activity against the new coronavirus as suggested by the authors [11], it would be interesting to assess whether ivermectin has an affinity for other molecular structures than those known and evaluate theoretically if it is capable of inducing structural disturbances once docking, since the inhibitions that have been proposed to explain the mechanism of action are represented by intracellular interactions in other virus, and because there are also open possibilities as a result of possible surface interactions because in this cellular interface there are important protein receptors that can interact with virus proteins before importation as we reported in a preliminary study [12].

On the other hand, theoretical studies have targeted various proteins in SARS-CoV-2, and the docking of several promising compounds has been reported [3,5,13-27]. Involving ivermectin and related virus have been recently reported [9]. However, a theoretical study on structural and energetic changes that ivermectin may induce in SARS-CoV-2 proteins has not been reported.

Are scarce the studies in the literature with target proteins associated with this virus and the MolDock docking algorithm[19], this algorithm is new version docking with more high precision which is based in reparametrization of linear part potential (PLP) [28,29]. To our recognition, we here reported the first study computational biophysics on the energetic and structural changes that induce the two homologous 22,23 dehydro avermectins B1_a and B1_b (ivermectin) to target proteins (3CL-protease, HR2-domain, S2 subunit, spike glycoprotein, RBD spike domain, and NSP15 endoribonuclease) associated with SARS-CoV-2 [30]. Therefore, we conducted a more exhaustive study based on our preliminary results [12] and incorporating more molecular docking algorithms and other scoring functions. MolDock was also used to evaluate the interaction of ivermectins against various functional and surface proteins associated with SARS-CoV-2, as well as more extensive studies of molecular dynamics as a function of time to predict minimum energy structures and a greater number of structural disturbances.
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Molecular docking screening.

The structures of proteins considered in this work were obtained from Protein Database (https://www.rcsb.org/): 3CL-protease (PDB_ID: 6lu7) [4], HR2-domain (PDB_ID: 6lnv), S2 subunit (PDB_ID: 6lxt), spike glycoprotein (PDB_ID: 6vsh), RBD-domain (PDB_ID: 6vwn), and endoribonuclease NSP15 (PDB_ID: 6wwv) [15]. The structures of ivermectin B1_a (ID_6321424) and ivermectin B1_b (ID_6321425) were obtained from PubChem. To simulate ligand-protein binding, complexes were predicted using DockThor (https://dockthor.lncc.br/v2/) using flexibility algorithm, blind docking, and calculating the DockT function. To increase accuracy, 25 runs were made with 10^6 evaluations per run [31]. The thermodynamically most probable and favored position was analyzed with Molegro Molecular Viewer (MMV_7.0.0), calculating the MolDock, Rerank, and PLANTs functions [28] and with AutoDock Vina (ADV) in DINC 2.0 (http://dinc.kavrakilab.org/).

2.2. Molecular dynamics simulation and complementary analysis.

The simulations were carried out with two purposes: 1) to determine the stability of the complex formed by the ligand-protein structure, and 2) obtain conformations for analysis of structural disturbances. A simulated complex was represented by ligand-protein under physiological conditions. For a complex, the system was relaxed through a series of minimization procedures. There were three phases for the simulation: 1) relaxation, 2) equilibrium, and 3) sampling, in which the system progressively heated and equilibrated as recommended [29, 33]. The simulations were run at 100 ns and 4 ns. The myPresto program was used to run all the MD simulations [32]. Molinspiration was used for the bioactivity calculations [34,35] and tools for the SIB [36,37].

3. Results and Discussion

In Table 1 are shown the results obtained of the docking of two homologous 22,23 dehydro avermectins B1_a and B1_b with the protein structures of SARS-CoV-2 considered in this study. These scores represent the classification of binding free energy (ΔG) obtained with DINC 2.0 (ADV Score), DockThor (Dock Score), and MMV (MolDock Scores, Rerank Scores, and PLANTs Scores). Represented by six molecular dockings for ivermectin B1_a and six for B1_b for a total of 12 dockings. The scoring functions predicted a total of six favorable dockings between the proteins and ligands B1_a and B1_b. Curiously, these docking algorithms have not been used for in silico studies associated with SARS-CoV-2. In Table 1 is easy to see that only the Dockt and PLANTs functions predicted favorable docking in all cases (12/12). It can also be observed in this same table 1 that the MolDock and Rerank functions predicted a favorable docking between the ivermectins (B1_a and B1_b) and the 3CL protease (6lu7), HR2-domain (6lnv), and RBD-domain (6vwn) structures. These differences between the algorithms' predictions and the scores of the functions were used to discriminate between the most likely docking.

All the scoring functions agreed that the best thermodynamically favorable coupling was predicted between B1_a and B1_b 3CL-protease and HR2-domain proteins with -11.94 / -7.04 kcal-mol⁻¹ and -9.97 / -26.03 kcal-mol⁻¹ in MolDock, -16.62 / -8.72 kcal-mol⁻¹ and -12.06 / -26.15 kcal-mol⁻¹ in Rerank, -8.64 / -8.29 kcal-mol⁻¹ and -8.29 / -8.24 kcal-mol⁻¹ in DockT, -
39.65 / -31.57 kcal-mol\(^{-1}\) and -31.99 / -39.48 kcal-mol\(^{-1}\) in PLANTs for ivermectin B1\_a / B1\_b, respectively. Like the ADV algorithm that predicted docking energy of -1.20 / -2.50 kcal-mol\(^{-1}\) and -8.00 / -8.10 kcal-mol\(^{-1}\) between lactones B1\_a and B1\_b and proteins 3CL-protease and HR2-domain, respectively. These dockings were favored by between 80-90\% of steric/hydrophobic interactions and were predicted in the same superficial and internal cavities for 3CL-protease and HR2-domain, with each ligand, respectively (see Table 1). It is relevant to make note that although the docking between ivermectin and 3CL-protease was not predicted in the active catalytic site of the protein, the docking occurred in a superficial pocket adjacent, so it is interesting to study whether it ivermectin can induce in the same way structural disturbances in this important viral protein (see Table 1, Figure 1-2). In this sense, as all the algorithms and scoring functions predicted that the most factible thermodynamically docking occurs between ivermectin and the HR2-domain and 3CL-protease, these structures, as well as the complexes derived from their couplings, were considered for subsequent dynamics analyzes.

It is very important to note that these results obtained are very interesting due to the MolDock algorithm (an extension of the PLP), which contains new hydrogen bonds and electrostatic terms exceed in precision to the other algorithms used in studies related to the protease associated with SARS-CoV-2 [28,29]. Additionally, all the algorithms used considered in this work, except the DockT, predicted that ivermectin B1\_a has a higher affinity for the 3CL-protease. In contrast, the homologous B1\_b shown a higher affinity for the HR2-domain. These results justify the use of ivermectin as a mixture of homologous molecular B1\_a and B1\_b [38] because the coupling shows a synergistic action potential. Although it cannot be assured that these results are associated with the antiviral activity described [11, 39]. However, these dockings do not stop attracting attention because the 3CL-protease and the HR2-domain could be considered as the most relevant target protein structures of SARS-CoV-2 in the evaluation of new agents with activity against this disease [5,6,15-21,29,30,40-46]. We, therefore, based on these results, select these two proteins, the 3C-like protease (3CL\(^{pro}\)) and the HR2-domain for further investigation. Then, a detailed comparative study was to realize the entre between these proteins and ivermectins B1\_a and B1\_b (see Table 1, Figure 1-2).

Table 1. Results of the punctuation functions and intermolecular interaction ligand-cavity obtained for docking of each protein selected with ivermectins B1\_a and B1\_b.

| PDB  | DockT Score | MolDock Score | ReRank Score | PLANTs Score | ADV Score | Interactions |
|------|-------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|
| 6vsb | -8.33 / 5.43 / 0.79 / -23.71 / 60.40 / | -8.37 / 5.91 / 0.31 / -24.21 / 67.10 / |
| 6lx  | -8.13 / 16.74 / 5.11 / -20.89 / -8.10 / | -7.26 / 28.73 / 20.86 / -15.65 / -8.50 / |

https://doi.org/10.33263/BRIAC112.98139826
6LU7, 3CL-protease; 6lvn, HR2-domain; 6lx, S2 subunit; 6vsw, spike glycoprotein; 6vw1, chimeric receptor-binding domain; 6vw2, NSP15 ribonuclease; H, hydrogen bonds; SI, steric interactions; ND, not determined; The values to the left and right represent the scores obtained for ivermectin B1_a and B1_b, respectively. Docking with the most probable thermodynamic scores is highlighted in bold. All the binding energies of the scoring functions (DockT, MolDock, Rerank, PLANTs and ADV) are expressed in kcal-mol$^{-1}$.

![Molecular structure of the two homologous 22,23 dehydro ivermectins considered in this study. A) ivermectin B1_a, and B) ivermectin B1_b.](https://biointerfaceresearch.com/)

Additionally, also based on the molecular and structural properties of these drugs, in this work was determined using the Molspiration server that the ligands have an excellent partition coefficient ($\log K_{ow}$: 4.08 - 4.58) (Table 2). However, it is essential to note that even though these lactones have a high molecular weight and more than 10 hydrogen bond accepting groups, which may be characteristics incompatible with the Lipinski rules, these molecules comply with the hydrogen bond donor count by having three groups. With a partition
coefficient of less than 5, so they are molecules with difficulties in their permeability, although they can accumulate to the biointerface according to the established standards [47-49]. Furthermore, a low permeability could favor the interaction of the drug with the surface structures of viruses not yet internalized. Also, our study found that these ivermectins do not present the typical characteristics of enzyme or protease inhibitors, as reported in the literature [34] (see Table 2).

In this work, we examined the similarity of the two ivermectins B1_a and B1_b studied with the tools the Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics (SIB), and this was found ivermectins do not have the structural and molecular properties of the model drugs for enzyme inhibition of viruses other than SARS-CoV-2 [9]. Its bioactivity could only be traced for P-glycoproteins described previously in the literature[11,37]. But it presents an important structural similarity with ligands for kinase (Identity= 0.98 [36]), an important bioinformatic analysis because signaling pathways involving various kinases are known to be key to the establishment of SARS [50-52], dengue, and MERS [43,53]. In fact, the activity of the ACE2 receptor, which is key to the infectivity of SARS-CoV-2, is affected by the inhibition of certain kinases [43,53,54]. Interesting results because SARS-CoV-2 infects human cells after recognition of the ACE2 receptor [54].

It is also important to consider that chloroquine is an antimalarial drug used for COVID-19 [5,55], also shown favorable thermodynamic docking energies for 3CL-protease [12,15,56,57] and they are capable of inactivating determining kinases, impacting on the reduction of viral titer. It is very interesting to be able to observe if the results theoretical obtained in this study and the similarity between ivermectins (B1_a and B1_b) with ligands with activity to kinases could be compared with the observed with antimalarials and likewise extrapolated to SARS and perhaps other viruses. After predicting fluctuations and positions of the atoms of the ligand-protein docking according to the time, to simulate disturbances of

---

**Table 2.** Values of the partition coefficient, bioactivity, and the bioaccumulation of the ligands obtained using the Molinspiration server and tools the SIB.

| Compounds         | MW (g/mol) | log \( K_{ow} \) | \( P\text{-Score} \) | \( E\text{-Score} \) | \( K\text{-I} \) |
|-------------------|------------|------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------|
| Ivermectin B1_a   | 875.10     | 4.60             | -1.90                | -2.53               | +           |
| Ivermectin B1_b   | 861.10     | 4.08             | -1.70                | -2.40               | +           |

Log \( K_{ow} \), [49]; \( P\text{-Score} \), protease inhibitor score [34]; \( E\text{-Score} \), enzyme inhibitor score [34]; \( K\text{-I} \), similarity score with ligands for kinase (Identity= 0.98 [36]).

---

**Figure 2.** A simplified representation of the functional and structural proteins of SARS-CoV-2 and the complexes protein-ligand obtained theoretically using docking: box A) 3CL protease_B1_a, and box B). HR2-domain_B1_b.
proteins in the absence and presence of each ligand, we observed that the two homologous B1_a and B1_b present thermodynamically stable interactions. In terms of total energy, the docking of ivermectin_B1_a with 3CL-protease (ΔG\text{≤} -2900 \text{ kcal-mol}^{-1}) is more favorable than that observed with ivermectin_B1_b (ΔG\text{≤} -2800 \text{ kcal-mol}^{-1}) for 3CL-protease. The B1_a inducing disturbances in thermodynamic stability at 27 ns, unlike the longer time required by B1_b (see Table 3, Figure 3).

Table 3. MD simulations as a function of time of the Ivermectin-protein complexes using the myPresto software package at 100 ns.

| Compounds       | 3CL-protease* | HR2-domain** |
|-----------------|---------------|--------------|
|                 | E_{Total} (kcal-mol^{-1}) | Time (ns)/E_{Total} | E_{Total} (kcal-mol^{-1}) | Time (ns)/E_{Total} |
| Ivermectin-B1_a | 2900          | 27           | -2450          | 96              |
| Ivermectin-B1_b | -2800         | 86           | -2450          | 34              |

*, E_{Total}: -3280 kcal-mol^{-1}, Time/E_{Total}: 76ns; **, E_{Total}: -2600 kcal-mol^{-1}, Time/E_{Total}: 68ns. Reference values calculated in this study.

It is worth mentioning that these values are around to the values reported with other docking scores of candidate agents, including phytochemicals and antimalarial to SARS-CoV-2 [5,12]. Curiously, the results obtained clearly showed that the difference in docking energy in terms of total energy between ivermetrics (B1_a and B1_b) and the HR2-domain is negligible. On the other hand, ivermectin B1_b was the one that induced the most notorious alteration in the thermodynamic stability of the HR2-domain. In fact, the time required to reach the most stable structures of lower energy compared to native proteins is shorter within the time scale here considered. In the 100 ns simulation, an abrupt drop to a minimum energy structure of the HR2 structure in the presence of ivermectin B1_b at 34 ns could be observed (see Figure 3F). Both ivermectins (B1_a and B1_b) affected the stability of the two viral proteins, either energy, total energy, or the time required to reach the most stable structures (see Figure 3). In particular, the HR2-domain and 3CL-protease in the native state achieved lower energy structures at 76 ns and 68 ns, in terms of total energy, respectively. The compounds can induce structural and thermodynamic changes in the two enzymes, requiring less time according to the results obtained of molecular dynamics to achieve the stability of the system in the simulated docking (see Table 3, Figure 3).

Figure 3. Fluctuation of thermodynamic stability 3CL-protease (A) and HR2-domain (D) in presence of ivermectin B1_a (B and E) and ivermectin B1_b (C and F) at 100 ns, respectively.

https://doi.org/10.33263/BRIAC112.98139826
https://biointerfaceresearch.com/
Interestingly, we also observed alterations in thermodynamic stability in the early stages of docking, as previously suggested [58] in terms of 4 ns. Mainly, it was observed that the protease in the presence of ivermectin B1_a reached a low energy structure more stable than that induced by B1_b, and in less time than the native one. Similarly, ivermectin B1_b induced a more stable low-energy structure in HR2-domain than that induced by B1_a, although in a longer time than the native one. Results that should not be ignored because they could represent a rapid screening strategy for ligands capable of inducing disturbances in the thermodynamic stability of target proteins in a short time, an aspect that requires further study. In all cases, the low energy structures predicted in the presence of the ligands were thermodynamically less stable than the native conformations. They were reached at different times (see Table 3-4). These results are significant because any of these variations could have an impact on the biological activity of the proteins [59-62].

Table 4. MD simulations as a function of time of the ivermectin-protein complexes using the myPresto software package at 4 ns.

| Compounds       | 3CL-protease E-Total (kcal-mol⁻¹) | Time (ns) | HR2-domain E-Total (kcal-mol⁻¹) | Time (ns) |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|-----------|----------------------------------|-----------|
| Ivermectin B1_a | -2500                            | 2.0       | -2055                            | 1.6       |
| Ivermectin B1_b | -2335                            | 1.6       | -2080                            | 2.0       |

*, E-Total: -2800 kcal-mol⁻¹, Time/E-Total: 2.8ns; **, E-Total: -2300 kcal-mol⁻¹, Time/E-Total: 1.6ns. Reference values calculated in this study.

Furthermore, the results obtained in terms of thermodynamic stability during bonding correspond to what was observed in the docking, due they show that homologous B1_a has a higher affinity for 3CL. And also that homologous B1_b induced the highest fluctuation in the stability of the HR2-domain under the conditions of this study. A result that also supports the use of these molecules as a mixture for their synergistic action in terms of thermodynamic perturbation of the studied systems (see Table 3-4). In addition, the results revealed that from the early stages of docking the homologous B1_a and B1_b they induce structural perturbation in the viral 3CL-protease and in the HR2-domain. The distances between the residues of Lys-5 and Leu-282, and Gln-13 (chain d) and Gln 13 (chain c) of the 3CL-protease and HR2-domain were used as a reference, respectively, and arbitrarly chosen because they are approximately 3 to 4 Å away from the ligands (see Figure 4).

Figure 4. Representation of Docking between residues chosen as a reference for the MD analyzes are shown. A) 3CL-protease-B1_a complex and B) HR2-domain-B1_a complex

Specifically, the ivermectins B1_a and B1_b induce the unfolding of the viral 3CL-protease at very short times of their interaction, but ivermectin B1_a induced the highest structural fluctuation to this viral protease in less than 4 ns according to the simulation, with an unfolding of approximately almost 25% (Figure 5a). Similar results were obtained for ivermectin B1_b but with minor degree unfolding of this protease (Figure 5b). In contrast, the
results showed that the two ivermectins caused the folding of the HR2-domain in the initial stages of docking, with ivermectin B1_b causing the most remarkable structural change in terms of macromolecule folding in a sustained manner with almost 15% folding at the end of the simulation, all compared to the native state and under the time period considered in this study (see Figure 5c and 5d). It is essential to note the relevance of these results because simulations at early timescales that measure the distance between two residues have been shown to can predict changes in protein mobility and structural flexibility, as well as direct interactions of interest to suggest gradual and conformational transitions [58].

**Figure 5.** Conformational fluctuation of 3CL-protease and HR2-domain in the presence of ivermectin B1_a (A and C) and ivermectin B1_b (B and D) at 4 ns. Taking the distances between the residues of Lys-5 and Leu-282 as reference for 3CL-protease and Gln-13 (chain d) and Gln-13 (chain c) for HR2-domain. They are arbitrarily chosen because they are at a distance of approximately 4 Å from the ivermectins.

In the same way, we observed that the compounds could induce structural alterations that affect the conformational stability of the two enzymes, in simulations with a larger time scale (Figure 6). We found that like prediction at very early scales of docking, ivermectin B1_a is capable of inducing the most astonishing unfolding of 3CL-protease (Figure 6a).

**Figure 6.** Conformational fluctuation of 3CL-protease and HR2-domain in the presence of ivermectin B1_a (A and C) and ivermectin B1_b (B and D) at 100 ns. Taking the distances between the residues of Lys-5 and Leu-282 as reference for 3CL-protease and Gln-13 (chain d) and Gln-13 (chain c) for HR2-domain. These residues are arbitrarily chosen because they are at a distance of approximately 4 Å from the ivermectins.
In contrast, ivermectin B1_b, on the contrary, induces the greatest folding of the HR2-domain (see Figure 6b). The two ivermectins induced structural changes that coincide with the alterations calculated in terms of thermodynamic stability as a function of time (see Figure 3 and Figure 5-6). In contrast, other works have presented antiviral molecules that, on the one hand, do not significantly influence the structural stability of 3CL-protease, as well as its structural integrity [59,60], and on the other, cause compaction of the protein structure [33,60].

However, it would be very interesting if the future could demonstrate the relationship of these results for the viral protease and the HR2-domain with the biological activity observed for the ivermectin drug. Although, our theoretical results are consistent with thermodynamic alterations and with the best-observed docking. The structural compaction induced by refolding in the HR2-domain as well as the unfolding of the viral protease by ivermectins is interesting, due at the cell membrane as a crowded molecular environment, changes in molecular volume that occur in the biochemical reactions are critical [62], and that the unfolding of proteins has been related to loss of biological activity [63].

Our results are promising because they include FDA-approved drugs that are part of the WHO Essential Drugs [64]. This could be used as a model in more exhaustive bioinformatics studies, such as those reported for other drugs of interest [65,66], including theoretical protocols applied to natural compounds with possible inhibitory effect against SARS-CoV-2 [67,68] or in experimental studies related to the activity of the structure to develop alternatives against this type of virus, especially due to the effect of molecular agglomeration on the conformational dynamics of biomolecules [69], and given that one of the main drawbacks of computational biology is not being able to replicate physiological conditions and therefore more in vivo/in vitro analysis is required to validate and confirm the findings of this study [33,60]. This is important because the SARS-CoV-1 proteins have been reported to show some conformational differences and therefore are not fully compatible docking sites in SARS-CoV-2, which can affect the efficacy of the compounds reused [5,42].

4. Conclusions

The results obtained using theoretical tools showed that ivermectins B1_a and B1_b bind differently of manner to the 3CL-protease and the HR2-domain of SARS-CoV-2 and induce interesting conformational changes in these structures, forcing unfolding/folding of these viral proteins, representing a focus of possible extracellular interactions between this type of compounds with of SARS-CoV-2 proteins before importation. We recommend that experimental studies be carried out on the possible molecular mechanisms described here to establish if there is a relationship with the antiviral activity predicted for this drug against SARS-CoV-2.
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