Learning Motion-Robust Remote Photoplethysmography through Arbitrary Resolution Videos
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Abstract
Remote photoplethysmography (rPPG) enables non-contact heart rate (HR) estimation from facial videos which gives significant convenience compared with traditional contact-based measurements. In the real-world long-term health monitoring scenario, the distance of the participants and their head movements usually vary by time, resulting in the inaccurate rPPG measurement due to the varying face resolution and complex motion artifacts. Different from the previous rPPG models designed for a constant distance between camera and participants, in this paper, we propose two plug-and-play blocks (i.e., physiological signal feature extraction block (PFE) and temporal face alignment block (TFA)) to alleviate the degradation of changing distance and head motion. On one side, guided with representative-area information, PFE adaptively encodes the arbitrary resolution facial frames to the fixed-resolution facial structure features. On the other side, leveraging the estimated optical flow, TFA is able to counteract the rPPG signal confusion caused by the head movement thus benefits the motion-robust rPPG signal recovery. Besides, we also train the model with a cross-resolution constraint using a two-stream dual-resolution framework, which further helps PFE learn resolution-robust facial rPPG features. Extensive experiments on three benchmark datasets (UBFC-rPPG, COHFACE and PURE) demonstrate the superior performance of the proposed method. One highlight is that with PFE and TFA, the off-the-shelf spatio-temporal rPPG models can predict more robust rPPG signals under both varying face resolution and severe head movement scenarios. The codes are available at https://github.com/LJW-GIT/Arbitrary_Resolution_rPPG.

Introduction
Heart rate (HR) is an important physiological signal which is widely used in many circumstances, especially for healthcare or medical purposes. Electrocardiography (ECG) and Photoplethysmograph (PPG)/Blood Volume Pulse (BVP) are the two most common methods of measuring heart activities. However, these sensors need to be attached to body parts, limiting their usefulness and scalability. Due to the inconvenience of long-term monitoring and discomfort for the users, traditional ways limit the application scenarios such as driver status assessment and patient health monitoring. To solve this problem, non-contact HR measurement, which aims to measure heart activity remotely, has become an increasingly popular research problem in physiological signal measurement in recent years.

Most existing non-contact HR measurement approaches are based on the facial video-based remote Photoplethysmograph (rPPG) technique. The rPPG method uses digital cameras to record variations of reflected ambient light on facial skin, which contains information on cardiovascular blood volume and pulsation. However, the rPPG measurement is very susceptible and vulnerable to the quality of video recording and head motions. In the early stage, handcrafted features based methods (Takano and Ohta 2007; Verkruysse, Svaasand, and Nelson 2008) require an exhausted multi-stage process (preprocessing, filtering and post-processing) and are with low robustness to head motions and illumination changes. Thus, they are usually tested and deployed under controlled lab environment scenarios.

With the rapid development of deep learning, neural network models have also been widely applied in the rPPG field. Recent spatio-temporal representation map (Niu et al. Figure 1: rPPG measurement from arbitrary resolution videos with head movements. (a) The ROIs might have different spatial size and shape across the temporal dimension. (b) Compared with the baseline PhysNet (Yu, Li, and Zhao 2019), the proposed PFE-TFA can predict more accurate rPPG signals with the ground truth BVP signals.
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tributions of this work are as follows: blocks can benefit more accurate rPPG measurement from Net (Yu, Li, and Zhao 2019), the proposed PFE and TFA. As shown in Figure 1(b), compared with the vanilla Phys-ther helps PFE learn resolution-robust facial rPPG features. constraint using a dual-resolution framework, which fur-

We propose the TFA block to counteract the rPPG signal confusion caused by the head movement via wrapping facial frames by the estimated optical flow, which benefits the motion-robust rPPG signal recovery and alleviates the influence of head movement. We conduct extensive experiments on benchmark datasets to demonstrate the superior performance of the proposed method under both arbitrary face resolution and severe head movement scenarios.

Related Work
Remote Photoplethysmography Measurement

 Plenty of handcrafted rPPG measurement methods have been proposed since the researches (Takano and Ohta 2007; Verkruysse, Svaasand, and Nelson 2008) show the feasibility of recovering physiological signals through a digital camera. Some early works take traditional signal processing methods into consideration, which contain matrix transformation (Tulyakov et al. 2016; Shi et al. 2020), Least Mean Squares (Li et al. 2014), and Blind Source Separation (BSS) (Poh, McDuff, and Picard 2010a,b). In recent years, with the boost of deep learning methods, DeepPhys (Chen and McDuff 2018) and PhysNet (Yu, Li, and Zhao 2019) firstly introduce end-to-end CNN framework to this field. Meanwhile, spatio-temporal signal map based methods (Niu et al. 2020; Lu, Han, and Zhou 2021) also attract more attention due to their excellent performance. Towards efficient rPPG measurement, Auto-HR (Yu et al. 2020) and Efficient-Phys (Liu et al. 2022) search and design lightweight end-to-end models. Recently, PhysFormer (Yu et al. 2022, 2023) gains progress via temporal difference transformers to explore the long-range spatio-temporal relationship for rPPG representation. Besides supervised learning with labeled facial videos, unsupervised learning has also been validated in (Gideon and Stent 2021) to achieve rPPG measurement. The vulnerability of rPPG models has also been discussed recently, such as phase difference (Mironenko et al. 2020; Moço et al. 2018), camera rolling (Moço et al. 2018; Zhan et al. 2020) and video compression format (McDuff, Blackford, and Estepp 2017).

Face Resolution and its Impact for RPPG

Face super-resolution (Shi et al. 2018; Shi and Zhao 2019) is widely used to amplify the resolution of low-quality face images. In real-world applications, the distances between the camera and participants are variant, resulting in arbitrary resolution on the facial region. Some recent works explore rPPG extraction from low-resolution videos with fixed sizes. They use super-resolution models through the end-to-end (McDuff 2018) or two-stage (Song et al. 2020; Yue et al. 2021) network to recover the high-quality face videos and corresponding rPPG signals simultaneously. However, the target of super-resolution is mainly toward the recovery of visual performance (Shi et al. 2022), but not to maintain the quality of rPPG signals. Furthermore, it is still a challenge to obtain reasonable rPPG signals in the arbitrary face resolution scenario, which is more practical in the real-world application.

• We propose the PFE block to adaptively encode the arbitrary resolution facial frames to the fixed-resolution facial structure features. Besides, we propose to train the model with a cross-resolution constraint using a two-stream dual-resolution framework, which further helps PFE learn resolution-robust facial rPPG features.
Figure 2: Overall framework of the proposed method. The frames in the sequence could have arbitrary resolutions. In the spatial stream, each arbitrary resolution face frame in the sequence forwards the PFE block, which maps the arbitrary size features to the fixed size facial structure features. In the temporal stream, the TFA block interpolates the frames to the same shape to generate the temporal aligned features. The facial structure and temporal aligned features are added to form the facial structure-motion features. Finally, the facial structure-motion features forward a rPPG Signal backbone to predict the rPPG signals.

Methodology

Overall Framework

As shown in Figure 2, given an arbitrary resolution face sequence $X = [x_1, x_2, ..., x_t]$, $x_t \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times h_t \times w_t}$, $i \in \{1, ..., T\}$ as input, the proposed method forwards the two-stream pathway including the Physiological Signal Feature Extraction block (PFE) and the Temporal Face Alignment block (TFA) to form the facial structure-motion features. Then the rPPG backbone (e.g., PhysNet (Yu, Li, and Zhao 2019)) is used for rPPG signals prediction. Note that the arbitrary width $h_t$ and height $w_t$ of each frame can be different.

Before the PFE stream, we adopt a ConvBlock to extract features $X_{ar} = [x_{ar}^1, x_{ar}^2, ..., x_{ar}^L], x_{ar} \in \mathbb{R}^{C \times \frac{H}{2} \times \frac{W}{2}}$ from the arbitrary resolution face sequence $X$. Specifically, the ConvBlock is formed by a convolutional block with kernel size $(1 \times 5 \times 5)$ cascaded with batch normalization ($BN$), $RELU$, and $MaxPool$, where the pooling layer halves the spatial dimension. Then $X_{ar}$ forwards the PFE block to generate facial structure features $X_{st} \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times C \times H \times W}$. $T, C, H, W$ indicate constant clip length, channel, height, and width, respectively.

In term of the TFA stream, the TFA block first interpolates the arbitrary resolution sequence $X$ to $\hat{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times C \times H \times W}$, which has the same height and width as $X_{st}$. Then, TFA uses the bi-directional optical flow (forward and backward) from successive frames to obtain temporal face alignment features $X_{mo} \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times C \times H \times W}$.

The output of PFE $X_{st}$ and the output of TFA $X_{mo}$ are summed to form the facial structure-motion features $X_{st-mo} \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times C \times H \times W}$. Finally, the rPPG signal backbone predicts the 1D rPPG signal $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{T}$ from $X_{st-mo}$.

Physiological Signal Feature Extraction (PFE)

The PFE block is used in the spatial dimension for each face frame. As shown in Figure 3, the proposed PFE contains two parts. The upper and lower branches are devised for facial information and position information respectively. For upper branch, the features $x_{ar}$ from arbitrary-resolution frame are first interpolated to constant resolution features $x_{cr} \in \mathbb{R}^{C \times H \times W}$. To exploit the facial features, receptive field expansion is conducted as Eq.(1) to obtain the expanded features $\tilde{x}_{cr} \in \mathbb{R}^{(n^2C) \times H \times W}$. Meanwhile, in the lower branch, Representative area encoding (RAE) is employed as Eq.(2) to record the mapping relationship of pixel positions between $x_{ar}$ and $x_{cr}$. The relationship is described as coordinate tensor $x_{size} \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times H \times W}$, where two channels represent the scaling ratio on the height and width accordingly. Then, the expanded features $\tilde{x}_{cr}$ together with coordinate tensor $x_{size}$ are fed into the facial feature encoding as Eq.(3) to produce the facial structure features $x_{st} \in \mathbb{R}^{C \times H \times W}$.

Receptive field expansion. To enrich and mine the contextual information contained in the facial structure features $x_{cr}$, we unfold the facial structure features $x_{cr}$ first, and then expand its receptive field via concatenating the $n \times n$ neighboring features to obtain $\tilde{x}_{cr}$. Formally, the receptive field expansion is defined as

$$\tilde{x}_{cr}(i,j) = Concat(\{x_{cr}(i+n, j+n)\}_{n \in Neighbor}), \quad (1)$$

where $i$ and $j$ indicate the spatial position of the features. The number of neighbors $n$ is set to 3.
Representative area encoding (RAE). As the arbitrary size features \( x_{ar} \) have different spatial sizes with the structure features \( x_{st} \), spatial positions in \( x_{st} \) correspond to different areas from \( x_{ar} \). It is important to explicitly describe the representative area for each spatial position. Here we formulate the representative area information \( x_{size} \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times H \times W} \) as

\[
x_{size}(i, j) = [\sigma_H, \sigma_W], \quad \sigma_H = \frac{h}{H}, \quad \sigma_W = \frac{w}{W},
\]

where \( \sigma_H \) and \( \sigma_W \) mean the scaling ratio on the height and width dimensions when \( x_{ar} \) is transformed to \( x_{st} \).

Facial feature encoding. We concatenate \( \hat{x}_{cr} \) and \( x_{size} \) along the channel dimension. A shallow facial feature encoding function is designed to mine the semantic facial structure features, which is simply parameterized as an MLP. Facial feature encoding takes the form:

\[
x_{st} = \text{Reshape} \left( \text{MLP} \left( \text{Flatten} \left( \text{Concat} \left( \hat{x}_{cr}, x_{size} \right) \right) \right) \right)
\]

(3)

After extracting the facial structure features \( x_{st} \in \mathbb{R}^{C \times H \times W} \) from each frame, we merge them in temporal dimension to form the \( X_{st} \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times C \times H \times W} \).

Temporal Face Alignment (TFA)
The facial structure features \( X_{st} \) from the PFE block have rich representation capacity on the arbitrary resolution condition. However, in practice, head movement influences end-to-end rPPG measurement significantly. For example, the huge-angle rotation could make partial facial features out of the scope of the facial structure features \( X_{st} \). Previous works use landmark detection methods such as OpenFace (Baltrusaitis, Robinson, and Morency 2016) to extract the face landmarks for facial ROI alignment. However, the robustness of rPPG measurement is highly dependent on the accuracy of face landmarks. Here, three problems are noted for face alignment:

1. Landmarks status. The position of face landmarks could change dramatically because of head motion, which induces the inaccurate detection of ROIs in the facial clips.

2. Interpolation. The shape of ROI might be different, and interpolation is usually used to keep the consistency (Hu et al. 2021). However, interpolation may corrupt the color change of pixels, and eliminate the rPPG cues.

3. Lost landmarks. When the head movement encounters huge-angle rotation, partial face may disappear from the frame. In this case, the predicted landmarks would mark some regions randomly.

Head rotation is a continuous process, and the state of each frame is correlated with the forward and backward states. According to these observations, we propose the temporal face alignment (TFA) block to leverage optical flow to describe the facial motion and wrap the facial structure features.

As shown in Figure 4, TFA adopts a typical bidirectional recurrent network. The video sequence \( \hat{X} \) forwards optical flow face alignment as Eq.(4) to get head motion features:

\[
H^{b,f} = \left[ h_{1}^{b,f}, h_{2}^{b,f}, \ldots, h_{i}^{b,f} \right], \quad h_{i}^{b,f} \in \mathbb{R}^{C \times H \times W}
\]

Then, \( h^{b} \) and \( h^{f} \) are fed into bidirectional aggregation as Eq.(5) to produce the facial structure features \( x_{st} \).

Optical flow face alignment. The video sequence \( \hat{X} \) is first fed into ‘Optical’ to calculate the optical flow \( s_{i} \) by SPyNet (Ranjan and Black 2017), which is constituted by six convolution blocks with six cascaded \( 7 \times 7 \) convolutions with RELU in each block. Then, the optical flow \( s_{i} \) is utilized to ‘Warp’ the head motion features \( h_{i-1} \) of previous frame to get aligned features \( h_{i-1}^{a} \) for frontalizing faces. Notice status \( h_{0} \) is initialized by features of all zeros. The aligned features together with the recent frame are then passed to 15 basic residual blocks for obtaining \( h_{i} \), where each block is constructed by cascaded \( 3 \times 3 \) convolution, RELU, and \( 3 \times 3 \) convolution with residual connection. Op-
Bidirectional aggregation. To aggregate the backward and forward head motion features, we concatenate $h_{i\pm 1}$ and $h_i$ along the channel dimension and introduce a convolutional layer to maintain the number of channels. Formally, the bidirectional aggregation is defined as

$$x_{mo} = F\left(\text{Concat} \left(h_{i\pm 1}, h_i\right)\right)$$

where $F(\cdot)$ represents an $1 \times 1$ convolutional layer and $x_{mo} \in \mathbb{R}^{C_{x} \times H \times W}$ represents generated temporal face alignment features.

Finally, the facial structure features $x_{st}$ are added to $x_{mo}$ to obtain the facial structure-motion features $x_{st-mo} \in \mathbb{R}^{C_{x} \times H \times W}$.

Cross-Resolution Constraint and Loss Functions

Despite we have designed the PFE block to tackle the arbitrary resolution problem, it is still hard to learn resolution-invariant rPPG features with the traditional Negative Pearson loss $\mathcal{L}_{time}$ (Yu, Li, and Zhao 2019) and frequency cross-entropy loss $\mathcal{L}_{frc}$ (Niu et al. 2020). Here we design a novel cross-resolution constraint $\mathcal{L}_{crc}$ which forces the model to learn consistent rPPG predictions between two resolution views. Specifically, as shown in Figure 5, we sample video clip into different resolutions as $X_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times 3 \times h_1 \times w_1}$ and $X_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times 3 \times h_2 \times w_2}$. The two sampled clips forward the un-shared PFE and shared TFA blocks first, and then go through a shared rPPG backbone model to predict the corresponding rPPG signals $Y_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times 1}$ and $Y_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times 1}$. The cross-resolution constraint $\mathcal{L}_{crc}$ can be formulated via calculating the L1 distance between two predicted signals. The overall loss function $\mathcal{L}_{overall}$ can be formulated as

$$\mathcal{L}_{overall} = \mathcal{L}_{time} + \mathcal{L}_{frc} + \alpha \cdot \mathcal{L}_{crc},$$

where hyperparameter $\alpha$ equals to 0.1. The loss function avoids the model to pay attention to the similarity of low-level features from different resolution. In other words, $\mathcal{L}_{crc}$ focuses on the consistency of predicted rPPG signals, instead of the feature-level consistency, which determines the performance measurement and provides the direct supervision signals for the model learning.

**Experiment**

We first conduct experiments of rPPG-based HR measurement on three benchmark datasets with their original protocols and normal setting. Then, the UBFC-rPPG (Bobbia et al. 2019) dataset is used for performance evaluation on arbitrary-resolution facial videos and ablation studies.

**UBFC-rPPG.** The UBFC-rPPG dataset (Bobbia et al. 2019) includes 42 videos, which are about 2 minutes long and recorded. The bio-signal ground-truth was recorded by a pulse oximeter with a 60 Hz sampling rate.

**PURE.** The PURE dataset (Stricker, Müller, and Gross 2014) contains 60 videos from 10 subjects performing six different head motion tasks: steady, talking, slow translation, fast translation, small rotation, and medium rotation.

**COHFACE.** The COHFACE dataset (Heusch, Anjos, and Marcel 2017) consists of 160 one-minute videos from 40 healthy individuals, captured under studio and natural light. The videos are heavily compressed using MPEG-4 Visual, which was noted by (McDuff, Blackford, and Estepp 2017) to potentially cause corruption of the rPPG signal.

**Implementation Details**

**Experimental settings.** For each video clip, we use the MTCNN (Zhang et al. 2016) to crop the enlarged face area and resize each frame to $128 \times 128$ pixels. And then we downsample the face image ranging from 1.0 to 4.0 times to get the arbitrary scale frames. The facial video clip with arbitrary sizes would be mapped to the fixed size $H=W=64$ after PFE and TFA blocks while the number of channels is set to $C=16$. Random horizontal flipping is used for clip-level spatial data augmentation. The proposed method is trained with batchsize 2 on RTX3090 GPU with PyTorch. The Adam optimizer is used and the learning rate is set as 1e-4. The weight decay is 5e-5.

**Metrics and evaluation.** Following (Comas, Ruiz, and Sukno 2022), we calculate the root mean squared error (RMSE) and mean absolute error (MAE) between the predicted average HR versus the groundtruth HR. We first forward the models using 160-frame clips without overlapping to predict clip-level HR. The comparisons on whole-videos are calculated via averaging the clip-level predictions.

**Comparison on Normal Face Resolution Setting**

For fair comparison, we train the baseline model PhysNet (Yu, Li, and Zhao 2019) and our methods using the same
Table 1: HR estimation results (bpm) on UBFC, PURE, and COHFACE datasets.

| Method    | UBFC  | PURE  | COHFACE |
|-----------|-------|-------|---------|
|           | MAE↓  | RMSE↓| MAE↓  | RMSE↓| MAE↓  | RMSE↓|
| CHROM     | 3.44  | 4.61  | 2.07  | 2.5  | -     | -    |
| POS       | 2.44  | 6.61  | 3.14  | 10.57| -     | -    |
| HR-CNN    | -     | -     | 1.84  | 2.37 | 8.10  | 10.8 |
| DeepPhys  | 2.90  | 3.63  | 1.84  | 2.31 | -     | -    |
| Zhan et al.| 2.44  | 3.17  | 1.82  | 2.29 | -     | -    |
| Gideon et al.| 3.60  | 4.60  | 2.30  | 2.90 | 2.30  | 7.60 |
| AND-rPPG  | 2.67  | 4.07  | -     | -    | -     | -    |
| TDM       | 2.32  | 3.08  | 1.83  | 2.33 | -     | -    |
| PhysNet   | 2.38  | 3.19  | 2.16  | 2.7  | 5.38  | 10.76|
| PFE-TFA(Ours)| 0.76  | 1.62  | 1.44  | 2.5  | 1.31  | 3.92 |

Results on UBFC-rPPG. It can be seen from Table 1 that the vanilla 3DCNN-based PhysNet performs worse than the TDM method. When assembled with the proposed PFE and TFA blocks, the PhysNet+PFE+TFA achieves the best performance on UBFC, outperforming the TDM by 1.56 bpm on MAE. Compared to the PhysNet performance, the proposed PFE and TFA blocks improve the baseline results by 1.62 bpm on MAE and 1.57 bpm on RMSE for UBFC dataset, indicating the effectiveness of robust rPPG features representation via PFE-TFA blocks.

Results on PURE. As shown in Table 1, compared with the baseline PhysNet, the proposed PFE and TFA blocks improve the MAE performance from 2.16 bpm to 1.44 bpm on PURE. It indicates that PFE-TFA is able to represent more motion-robust rPPG features as there are plenty of hard samples with severe head movement in PURE. As for the RMSE metric, the proposed method performs slightly worse than TDM (+0.17 bpm), which is mainly caused by the difference of rPPG backbones (two extra differential temporal convolutions are used in TDM). Please note that the proposed PFE and TFA block could also be plugged into TDM to further improve performance.

Results on COHFACE. Compared with UBFC and PURE, the face videos are highly compressed on COHFACE, resulting in obvious compression artifacts. As can be seen from the last column of Table 1, existing supervised CNN-based methods (HR-CNN, Gideon et al., and PhysNet) perform poorly (>5 bpm RMSE) due to the low face video quality. Meanwhile, the proposed method achieves state-of-the-art performance with 1.31 bpm on MAE, which outperforms previous methods by a large margin.

Comparison on Arbitrary Face Resolution Settings

In the following experiments, we downsample the face images from the UBFC dataset with two settings: fixed face resolution and varying face resolution. The former describes the long-distance scenario while the latter mimics the varying face-camera-distance scenario.

Results on fixed face resolution. It can be seen from Figure 6 that the baseline PhysNet is easily influenced by the face resolution. When the fixed face resolutions are smaller than $50 \times 50$, the performance of PhysNet drops sharply (e.g., MAE > 4 bpm). In contrast, when assembled with the proposed PFE and TFA blocks, it can predict accurate rPPG-based HR (MAE < 2 bpm) in most face solution settings.

Results on varying face resolution. rPPG measurement from varying face resolution video is challenging due to the complex temporal contextual interference. The results of varying face resolution on UBFC are shown in Table 2. Compared with the vanilla PhysNet, the proposed PFE and TFA blocks benefit the facial feature alignment and refinement among consecutive frames, thus improving the MAE.
performance (8.87 bpm, 6.17 bpm, and 10.00 bpm) in three scenarios, respectively.

**Ablation Study**

We also provide the ablation studies of the proposed modules under arbitrary face resolution and severe head movement scenarios on the UBFC dataset.

**Efficacy of the cross-resolution constraint.** In the default setting, the models with PFE are trained in two views with different face resolution frames using a cross-resolution constraint $\mathcal{L}_{crc}$. In this study, we consider how $\mathcal{L}_{crc}$ impacts the PFE. As shown in Table 3, ‘PFE’ outperforms ‘PFE w/o $\mathcal{L}_{crc}$’ by a convincing margin (0.4 to 0.5 bpm MAE) for almost all different face resolution settings. It indicates such cross-resolution constraint benefits the PFE learning resolution-robust rPPG cues.

**Efficacy of the PFE block on arbitrary face resolution.** Here we investigate the impacts of the representative area encoding (RAE) of PFE on fixed face resolution UBFC first. It can be seen from the results ‘PFE w/o RAE’ and ‘PFE’ in Table 3 that the PFE could not achieve well results without RAE under high-resolution cases. When equipped PFE with RAE, it can achieve robust HR estimation under all different fixed face resolution settings. Besides, under more challenging varying face resolution scenarios, we can also find the consistent conclusion from Table 2 that PFE significantly improves the baseline performance (reducing 8.87 bpm MAE for the varying resolution of 128-64 scenario).

**Efficacy of the TFA block on arbitrary face resolution.** As shown in the result of ‘TFA’ in Table 3, the baseline with only TFA block performs even worse than the baseline itself. It is because when estimating the optical flow, all clips are interpolated to a fixed resolution, which makes the TFA block weak in describing rPPG-aware color area (Xue et al. 2019). We can find from the result ‘PFE-TFA’ that the best performance can be achieved for all different fixed face resolution settings when assembling the baseline with both PFE and TFA blocks. A similar conclusion can be also drawn from the varying face resolution setting in Table 2. Moreover, we also consider the online testing case that the temporal alignment state from backward frames is not available. In this case, we design a TFA block with a single forward direction for facial feature alignment. It can be seen from the result ‘PFE-TFA(single)’ in Table 3 that the MAE performance is degraded by about 1.5 bpm compared to bidirectional TFA, but it still improves the performance of the model compared to baseline PhysNet.

**Efficacy of the PFE and TFA blocks on severe head movement.** To confirm the efficacy of the PFE and TFA blocks on severe head movements with large angle rotation, we also conduct studies on the carefully selected videos with large angle rotation from UBFC, PURE, and COHFACE. Specifically, the participants in COHFACE quickly rotate their heads at an average angle of 80°, while the participants in UBFC and PURE rotate their heads very slowly at an average angle of 35°. The results are shown in Figure 7. We can find that 1) compared with baseline PhysNet, the PFE reduces MAE by 1.76 bpm, 2.05 bpm, and 5.63 bpm on UBFC, PURE and COHFACE, respectively; 2) the proposed PFE-TFA further decreases the MAE by 0.57 bpm, 0.58 bpm, and 2.07 bpm on these datasets due to the excellent motion-robust capacity of TFA.

**Edge Deployment.** Considering the deployment on edge devices, we provide a detailed analysis of complexity as well as the inference times on different devices (i.e., Nvidia RTX 3090 and Jetson AGX Orin) in Table 4. The additional parameters induced by PFE are 37KB, while TFA causes an increase of 539KB. It shows the proposed model achieves the target by a moderate additional burden.

**Conclusion**

In this paper, we propose two plug-and-play blocks, namely PFE and TFA, for remote physiological measurement. With the above two proposed blocks, the baseline model is able to achieve superior performance on benchmark datasets with arbitrary resolution. Future directions include: 1) designing lightweight network architecture to achieve reasonable performance; 2) discussion on the influence of variant video qualities and compression rate.

| Model | Resolution | 128×128 | 96×96 | 64×64 |
|-------|------------|---------|--------|--------|
| Baseline | 2.28 | 2.39 | 2.33 |
| PFE w/o RAE | 3.79 | 3.77 | 3.76 |
| PFE w/o $\mathcal{L}_{crc}$ | 3.87 | 2.85 | 2.84 |
| PFE | 3.40 | 3.39 | 3.33 |
| TFA | 8.73 | 8.30 | 8.29 |
| PFE-TFA(single) | 2.28 | 2.29 | 2.44 |
| PFE-TFA | 0.76 | 0.78 | 0.76 |

Table 3: MAE results (bpm) of the PFE and TFA blocks on UBFC under different fixed face resolution settings.

| Model | Params | RTX 3090 | AGX Orin |
|-------|--------|----------|----------|
| Physnet | 0.75MB | 10ms | 0.25s |
| Physnet+PFE | 0.78MB | 17ms | 3.63s |
| Physnet+PFE+TFA | 1.31MB | 41ms | 4.82s |

Table 4: The number of parameters for the model and inference time on edge devices.
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