THE MASSIVE PROTOSTELLAR CLUSTER NGC 6334I AT 220 au RESOLUTION: DISCOVERY OF FURTHER MULTIPLETY, DIVERSITY, AND A HOT MULTI-CORE
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ABSTRACT

We present Very Large Array and Atacama Large Millimeter/submillimeter Array imaging of the deeply embedded protostellar cluster NGC 6334I from 5 cm to 1.3 mm at angular resolutions as fine as 0″17 (220 au). The dominant hot core MM1 is resolved into seven components at 1.3 mm, clustered within a radius of 1000 au. Four of the components have brightness temperatures >200 K, radii ~300 au, minimum luminosities ~10⁴ L☉, and must be centrally heated. We term this new phenomenon a “hot multi-core.” Two of these objects also exhibit compact free–free emission at longer wavelengths, consistent with a hypercompact H II region (MM1B) and a jet (MM1D). The spatial kinematics of the water maser emission centered on MM1D are consistent with it being the origin of the high-velocity bipolar molecular outflow seen in CO. The close proximity of MM1B and MM1D (440 au) suggests a proto-binary or a transient bound system. Several components of MM1 exhibit steep millimeter spectral energy distributions indicative of either unusual dust spectral properties or time variability. In addition to resolving MM1 and the other hot core (MM2) into multiple components, we detect five new millimeter and two new centimeter sources. Water masers are detected for the first time toward MM4A, confirming its membership in the protocluster. With a 1.3 mm brightness temperature of 97 K coupled with a lack of thermal molecular line emission, MM4A appears to be a highly optically thick 240 L☉ dust core, possibly tracing a transient stage of massive protostellar evolution. The nature of the strongest water maser source CM2 remains unclear due to its combination of non-thermal radio continuum and lack of dust emission.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Massive star formation is a phenomenon of fundamental importance in astrophysics, but our understanding of this process is hampered by the heavy extinction and large distances to the nearest sites. Over the past decade, several examples of so-called “massive protoclusters,” loosely defined as four or more (sub)millimeter continuum sources within <10,000 au, have been identified using interferometers (e.g., Hunter et al. 2006; Rodón et al. 2008; Palau et al. 2013; Avison et al. 2015). The massive members of such protoclusters often span a wide diversity of evolutionary stages ranging from ultracompact H II regions and hot molecular cores to cool dust sources (Brogan et al. 2007, 2011; Cyganowski et al. 2007; Zinchenko et al. 2012). In these objects, the separations between the individual members are well-matched to those of the four principal members of the Trapezium cluster, suggesting that (sub)millimeter protoclusters trace the formation phase of the central massive stars of future OB clusters (Hunter et al. 2006). Given that all four of the Trapezium stars themselves comprise compact multiple star systems, with separations of 15–400 au (Schertl et al. 2003; Grellmann et al. 2013), it is critical to continue to study the members of these younger proto-Trapezia with higher angular resolution. Such observations are essential in order to probe for further multiplicity and to resolve the surrounding accretion structures, which are unlikely to be simple uniform disks, particularly in the context of binary and multiple systems. These observations must be undertaken at wavelengths long enough to penetrate the high column of obscuring dust.

The NGC 6334 region, known as the Cat’s Paw Nebula, contains multiple sites of high-mass (M⊙ > 8 M☉) star formation (Straw & Hyland 1989; Persi & Tapia 2008, p. 456; Russeil et al. 2010). The IRAC/NEWFIIR survey of Willis et al. (2013) identified 375 Class I YSOs and 1908 Class II YSOs, indicating a star formation rate several times that of Orion and just below the Motte et al. (2003) criteria for a “mini-starburst.” At the northeastern end of the region, the deeply embedded source “I” was first identified in far-infrared images (Emerson et al. 1973; McBreen et al. 1979; Gezari 1982). NGC 6334I contains an embedded cluster of stars in near-infrared images (Tapia et al. 1996; Persi & Tapia 2008, p. 456; Seifahrt et al. 2008) and a cometary ultracompact H II (UCHII) region (De Pree et al. 1995; Carral et al. 2002). The UCHII region is detected in the mid-infrared along with a few other sources (Harvey & Gatley 1983; Kraemer et al. 1999). However, imaging at 10 and 18 μm with 0″4 resolution (De Buizer et al. 2002) yielded infrared luminosity estimates for these other sources that are several orders of magnitude less than the bolometric luminosity of the region, suggesting that additional, more deeply embedded objects power the (sub) millimeter emission.

Our previous 1.3 mm Submillimeter Array (SMA) observations of NGC 6334I at 1″6 resolution revealed a cluster of four millimeter continuum sources in a Trapezium-like arrangement (Hunter et al. 2006). Three of these objects are undetected in the infrared, and may represent a large fraction of the total


Table 1

| Parameter          | 5 cm | 1.5 cm | 7 mm | 3 mm | 1.3 mm |
|--------------------|------|--------|------|------|--------|
| Observation date(s)| 2011 Jul 07 | 2011 May 29 | 2011 Feb 12, Mar 25, May 20 | 2015 Aug 11 | 2015 Aug 29 |
| Configuration(s)   | A    | BnA    | B, BnA | C32-6 | C32-6 |
| Project code       | 10C-186 | 10C-186 | 10C-186 | 2013.1.00600.S | 2013.1.00600.S |
| Total time on source (minutes) | 83.8 | 66.1 | 257.7 | 7.3 | 49.3 |
| Number of antennas | 26   | 26     | 25, 24, 24 | 43 | 32 |
| Spectral windows   | 16   | 16     | 16     | 4   | 7 |
| Total bandwidth (GHz) | 2.048 | 2.048 | 2.048 | 8 | 3.047 |
| Continuum bandwidth (GHz) | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 4.6 | 0.4 |
| Proj. baseline lengths (kλ) | 7–671 | 10–872 | 13–1600 (1310) | 12–508 | 11–1140 |
| Robust clean parameter | −1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | −1.0 | −1.0 |
| Resolution (" × " (P.A.°)) | 0.61 × 0.19 (−3) | 0.31 × 0.23 (−23) | 0.27 × 0.12 (−7) | 0.51 × 0.35 (+66) | 0.20 × 0.15 (−80) |
| rms noise (mJy beam⁻¹) | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.5 | 1.2 |

Notes.

a The second and third 7 mm observations used this more sensitive, but lower frequency, tuning. All data were combined in the final image.

b The most sensitive 7 mm data (i.e., with the highest data weights) are from the second observation, in B-configuration, with the maximum baseline length given in parentheses.

c The rms noise varies significantly with position over the images; the numbers provided here are representative. The rms was measured locally for the uncertainties in Table 2.

luminosity of the protocluster. For clarity, these four sources have been renamed in this paper from SMA1..SMA4 to MM1..MM4; the UCHII region is known as MM3. The brightest two of these objects (MM1 and MM2) are the sources of hot core line emission seen in NH₃ (Beuther et al. 2005, 2007), HCN and CH₃CN (Beuther et al. 2008), and many other organic molecules (Thorwirth et al. 2003, p. 257 Kalinina et al. 2010; Walsh et al. 2010; Zernickel et al. 2012). Using CH₃CN from a Herschel line survey, Zernickel et al. (2012) found an average gas temperature for NGC 6334I of 154 K, dominated by velocity bipolar outflow traced by various transitions of CO (Bachiller & Cernicharo 1990; McCutcheon et al. 2000; Leurini et al. 2006; Qui et al. 2011) and HCN 1–0 (Beuther et al. 2008) emanates from the region around MM1 and MM2, though it has been unclear which of the two objects is the powering source.

In this paper, we present sub-arcsecond, comparable-resolution continuum imaging of NGC 6334I from 5 cm to 1.3 mm using the Karl G. Jansky Very Large Array (VLA) and the Atacama Large Millimeter/submillimeter Array (ALMA). These data have allowed us to detect and resolve a number of new likely protocluster members and to carry out detailed analysis of their spectral energy distributions (SEDs). The spectral line information from these new data will be presented in a future paper. For the distance to NGC 6334I, we adopt 1.3 kpc based on recent H₂O and CH₃OH maser parallax studies toward source I(N), located ∼2′ northeast of source I: 1.34±0.15 kpc (Reid et al. 2014; Wu et al. 2014) and 1.26±0.23 kpc (Chibueze et al. 2014). In the past, the most commonly used value was 1.7 kpc from photometric estimates for the NGC 6334 region (Neckel 1978; Pinheiro et al. 2010; Russell et al. 2012), implying a reduction by a factor of 1.7 for derived quantities based on the distance squared, such as mass and luminosity. The rescaled values for NGC 6334I are 700 M☉ (compare with Russell et al. 2010) and 1.5 × 10⁵ L☉ (compare with Sandell 2000).

2. OBSERVATIONS

2.1. New VLA and ALMA Observations

We observed NGC 6334I using the VLA at three wavelengths: 5 cm, 1.5 cm, and 7 mm. We also observed this source using ALMA in Cycle 2 at 3 mm and 1.3 mm. The observing parameters for these data are presented in Table 1. The VLA data were calibrated using version 1.2.0 of the scripted VLA pipeline. The calibrators used for the VLA data were the same for all three bands: J1717-3342 (gain), J1924-2914 (bandpass), and J1331+3030 (absolute flux). The position of the gain calibrator has been established to sub-millarcsecond accuracy in the VLBA calibration survey (Petrov et al. 2006). The absolute flux calibration of the VLA data is expected to be better than 10%. The ALMA data were calibrated using the CASA 4.3.1 version of the ALMA calibration pipeline. The following calibrators were employed for both ALMA bands: J1717-3342 (gain) and J1733-1304 (bandpass). The absolute flux scale for the 1.3 mm data was set using Titan, and the resulting measured flux density of the frequently monitored quasar J1733-1304 (used for bandpass at both wavelengths) is within 2% of the value predicted by interpolation between the nearest ALMA measurements at 3 and 0.87 mm. The absolute flux calibrator for the 3 mm data was Ceres, and in this case we found that the derived flux density of J1733-1304 was too high by ∼12%, compared to ALMA flux monitor data taken within one day of our science observations. Thus, we opted to recalibrate the 3 mm data, setting the absolute flux scale using the flux density of J1733-1304 from the flux monitoring. This procedure certainly improved the relative absolute flux calibration between the 3 and 1.3 mm data, but since the ALMA flux monitor values are themselves also based on models of the millimeter emission.

See https://science.nrao.edu/facilities/vla/data-processing/pipeline/scripted-pipeline for more information.
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from solar system objects, there is still an overall absolute flux uncertainty of \(~10\%\) for the ALMA data.

After applying the calibration, the science target data were split off for all bands, additional flagging was applied, and line-free channels were used to construct pseudo-continuum data sets. Finding line-free channels for the VLA data was easy, only requiring the excision of a few radio recombination and narrow maser lines. Because of the two strong hot-core sources in NGC 6334I, it is challenging to find line-free channels for continuum imaging and subtraction for the 3 and 1.3 mm data. Toward this aim, we first made dirty cubes containing both the line and continuum emission of each spectral window (spw), and used these cubes to carefully identify line-free channels for continuum imaging and subtraction. From tests of different threshold levels for line-free channel identification, and comparison of the resulting image flux densities, we estimate that the continuum of the two hot core line sources (MM1 and MM2) suffers from residual line contamination of \(<5\%\) in flux density for the adopted threshold level, while the other continuum sources suffer very little contamination. The final line-free (“Continuum”) bandwidths are given in Table 1.

The continuum data for all bands were imaged in CASA 4.6.0 using multi-frequency synthesis and \(nterms = 2\) to account for linear changes in intensity versus frequency due to the spectral index of the emission which may vary with position. Multi-scale clean was also employed with scales 0, 5, and 15 times the image pixel size (typically 1/5 of the synthesized beam). The data were iteratively self-calibrated, using the bright continuum emission. Though providing copious signal for the self-calibration of the VLA data, the mm-bright UCHII region MM3 significantly limits the achieved dynamic range in the VLA images. Similarly, the mm-bright region MM1 limits the dynamic range of the 1.3 mm ALMA image (see Figure 1, also Hunter et al. 2006). The dynamic range limitations imposed by the bright sources in the field at each band mean that the rms noise in the images varies significantly as a function of position. Robust weighting between uniform and natural that gave the best compromise between sensitivity and confusion from missing short spacing information was employed. The final angular resolution and robust parameter for each band are given in Table 1. While not perfectly matched, the final angular resolutions are comparable. The final images were corrected for primary beam attenuation, though this only has a significant impact for the 7 mm and 1.3 mm data. Note that the 5 cm VLA data were also presented by hunter et al. (2013b) for the source NGC 6334I(N). We expect that the absolute astrometry between bands is of order 0.05.

In addition to the continuum, Class II CH\(_3\)OH maser transitions at 6.6685 and 19.9674 GHz are present within the 5 cm and 1.5 cm data, respectively. The 6.7 GHz and 19.9 GHz data have channel spacings of 1 MHz, corresponding to 45 km s\(^{-1}\) and 15 km s\(^{-1}\), respectively. Due to the strong maser emission that is unresolved in these channels, Hanning smoothing was needed to suppress Gibbs ringing, further reducing the spectral resolution to 90 km s\(^{-1}\) and 30 km s\(^{-1}\), respectively. Thus, only positional information for the strongest maser features can usefully be gleaned from these data. For both CH\(_3\)OH maser transitions, the data were independently self-calibrated using the brightest maser channel. The angular resolutions of the maser image cubes are 0”74 \times 0”19 (P.A. = \(−4°\)) and 0”32 \times 0”24 (P.A. = \(−32°\)) for the 6.7 and 19.9 GHz transitions, respectively. The rms noise in the peak maser channel is 3.6 mJy beam\(^{-1}\) for the 6.7 GHz cube and 0.67 mJy beam\(^{-1}\) for the 19.9 GHz cube.
2.2. Archival VLA Water Maser Data

We also manually processed an archival observation of NGC 6334I in the 22.23508 GHz water maser transition, recorded in a 2 hr block on 2011 September 9 in the A-configuration (the project code is 493.1). The three calibrators were the same as for the other VLA data sets described above. Both basebands were tuned to the water line, each using eight contiguous 2 MHz subbands each with 128 channels. The basebands were offset by half a subband bandwidth (1 MHz) in order to provide continuous sensitivity coverage over a total bandwidth of 16.5 MHz (222 km s\(^{-1}\)) with 0.21 km s\(^{-1}\) channels and dual polarization. After normal calibration, we applied Hanning smoothing, yielding a spectral resolution of 0.42 km s\(^{-1}\). We imaged the calibrated data into 0.5 km s\(^{-1}\) channels, and then performed self-calibration using the strongest maser channels. The angular resolution of these data is 0\(^\circ\)30 \times 0\(^\circ\)086 (\(\sim 17^\circ\)), equivalent to \(\sim 210\) au.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Continuum Emission

The ALMA 3 and 1.3 mm images are shown in Figure 1. The four millimeter sources identified by Hunter et al. (2006) with the SMA at 1.3 mm (\(~0^\circ 16\) resolution; MM1,MM4) are strongly detected at both wavelengths. In addition, in the ALMA 1.3 mm image (\(~0^\circ 17\) \sim 220 au resolution), we detect five new compact millimeter sources, MM5..MM9 (named in order of increasing R.A.), which have a peak intensity greater than 5\(\sigma\) (6 mJy beam\(^{-1}\)). Three of these—MM6, MM7, and MM9—are also detected at 3 mm. Figure 1 also shows VLA 5 cm contours, highlighting the strong centimeter wavelength emission from the UCHII region MM3 (NGC 6334F), as well as compact emission toward MM1 and a newly detected centimeter source, called CM2. Interestingly, CM2 is not detected at any of the other observed wavelengths. An additional centimeter wavelength source (CM1) is detected at 5 cm, 1.5 cm, and 7 mm and lies 18\(^\circ\) to the west of the cluster center. With the exception of four locations within the UCHII region MM3 (see Figure 1(b)), none of the millimeter or centimeter sources is coincident with compact X-ray emission (Feigelson et al. 2009; Townsley et al. 2014).

Figure 2 shows the continuum images at 1.5 cm, 7 mm, 3 mm, and 1.3 mm over a smaller (10\(^\circ\)) field of view. As these images illustrate, we find that at 1.3 mm MM1 is resolved into at least seven components (A-G), several of which are also apparent at 1.5 cm, 7 mm, and 3 mm. The newly resolved sources are named with their original MM1 designation, followed by an alphabetical letter. In particular, MM1B and MM1D are detected at all five observed wavelengths from 5 cm to 1.3 mm, though the emission from these two sources is confused in the comparatively poorer resolution 5 cm and 3 mm images. MM2 is also resolved into at least two components, with the weaker one (MM2B) being most apparent at 7 mm. Additionally, MM1, MM2, and MM4 are surrounded by faint filamentary structures at 3 and 1.3 mm (see Section 4.2.6). There are also several regions of “patchy” emission between MM1 and MM2 that may, with more sensitive observations, be resolved into additional weak, compact sources.

To give a sense of the spatial variation of the spectral properties of the millimeter continuum emission, Figure 3 shows the linear spectral index \(\alpha_{\text{mm}}\) (using the equation \(S_\nu \propto \nu^{\alpha}\)) between 3 and 1.3 mm (the 1.3 mm image was first convolved to the 3 mm beam). The spectral index between these two wavelengths is particularly sensitive to the physical mechanism responsible for the emission, allowing one to distinguish differences between sources. The UCHII region MM3 exhibits a nearly flat spectrum, as expected for optically thin free–free emission. MM1 shows considerable variation and structure in \(\alpha_{\text{mm}}\), varying from 2.6 to 3.4, with areas of distinct value aligned in position with the newly resolved components. MM2 shows a compact component with \(\alpha_{\text{mm}} \sim 3.3\) and a halo with lower values around 2.5. The center of MM4 shows \(\alpha_{\text{mm}} \sim 2\), while its neighbor to the northeast, MM6, shows a significantly steeper value of 3.3. MM7 has a similarly high \(\alpha_{\text{mm}} \sim 3.3\). Note that since the dust opacity is not taken into account and the 1.3 mm opacities of some of the sources are very large (as we show in Sections 4.1 and 4.2), these spectral indices should not be interpreted as directly representative of the dust grain emissivity index \(\beta\) (as compared with the optically thin limit \(\beta = \alpha - 2\)). However, the variation in \(\alpha_{\text{mm}}\), particularly in MM1 and MM2, reveals that very different combinations of dust opacity and column density must be present within these objects.

3.2. Fitted Properties of the Continuum Sources

Table 2 shows the fitted positions, flux densities, and sizes of the continuum sources. The position and size are taken from two-dimensional Gaussian fits to the image with the most significant detection (listed in the second column), while the flux densities are given for each wavelength for which an estimate could reasonably be made. For non-detections, 3\(\sigma\) upper limits are given based on local measurements of the rms noise. We did not attempt to measure or model the UCHII region MM3 (NGC 6334F) as its extended emission is partially resolved out in the shorter-wavelength data. Gaussian fitting of the compact sources MM5..MM9 was straightforward, requiring only a single component. The fitting procedures used for the more complex, and only marginally resolved, emission of MM1, MM2, and MM4 were necessarily more complex, especially for the 3 and 1.3 mm data, as described below. For each of these complex sources, we also give an estimate of the total flux density measured above the local 3\(\sigma\) level for each wavelength in Table 2. The total 1.3 mm integrated intensity reported by Hunter et al. (2006) for NGC 6334I using 1\(^\circ\)6 resolution SMA data is 10.7 \pm 0.1 Jy. The ALMA 1.3 mm data with a resolution of \(\sim 0^\circ 17\) actually recover more flux, 13.2 Jy, an increase of 23%. When one considers the 20% and 10% absolute calibration uncertainties of the SMA and ALMA data, respectively, the new values is within 3\(\sigma\) of the older value.

For the longer wavelength (5 cm, 1.5 cm and 7 mm) emission toward MM1, the MM1B and MM1D components were simultaneously fitted with two Gaussians. These fits are well constrained at 1.5 cm and 7 mm, but less so at 5 cm, particularly for MM1D, due to the comparatively poorer angular resolution. Also, for both sources, while the 1.5 cm and 7 mm fitted positions match to within 0.03\(^\circ\)), the 5 cm fits are slightly offset to the north. For MM1B the 5 cm position shift (compared to the average of the 1.5 cm and 7 mm positions) is only marginally significant at 0.07\(^\circ\) (north), while for MM1D, it is more significant at 0.16\(^\circ\) (north). This position offset may simply be due to the fact that these two sources are poorly resolved by the more extended north–south beam at 5 cm (see Table 1), or this could indicate a real change in position with
wavelength. It is notable that the fitted 5 cm, 1.5 cm, and 7 mm positions for the CM1 source all match to within the expected astrometric uncertainty (<0.05\arcsec).

The shorter-wavelength data toward MM1 (3 and 1.3 mm) show an emission spread over a larger area with more complex morphology. Both the spatial variation of \( \sigma_{\text{mm}} \) (Figure 3) and the presence of distinct sources at longer wavelengths suggest that the bulk of the 3 and 1.3 mm emission arises from a collection of individual sources. Moreover, the high peak brightness temperatures across MM1 suggest that these individual sources are centrally concentrated. For these reasons, we attempted to decompose the emission into Gaussian components. Since it has the best angular resolution, we first used the 1.3 mm image toward MM1 in a multi-step approach as outlined in Figure 4. First, we aimed to remove the bulk of the most confused emission toward the center of MM1 using three Gaussian components to represent MM1A, MM1B, and MM1D (the fitted 7 mm positions and sizes of components MM1B and MM1D were used in this initial guess). The resulting residual image (shown in Figure 4 as “Subtract A, B, D”) was then used to fit the now less-confused emission from MM1C, MM1E, MM1F, and MM1G with single-component

Figure 2. Zoomed view of the central 10" of NGC 6334I, with the colorscale showing the (a) 1.5 cm, (b) 7 mm, (c) 3 mm, and (d) 1.3 mm images at their native resolutions (see beams in lower right corner of each panel). On each panel, a single white 7 mm contour is shown for reference, at a contour level 0.6 mJy beam\(^{-1}\) (4\(\sigma\)). For previously known sources (labeled in cyan) that are now resolved into multiple components, the components are labeled alphabetically in orange. As in Figure 1, newly discovered sources are labeled in magenta. Only sources detected at the wavelength shown in colorscale are labeled in panels (a), (b), and (c). Panel (c) also shows the locations of 22 GHz H2O masers as black + symbols (see Section 3.3) and panel (d) shows the locations of 6.7 GHz (Class II) CH3OH masers as magenta \( \times \) symbols (see Section 3.4).
Gaussians. The fitted parameters for MM1C, MM1E, MM1F, and MM1G were then in turn subtracted from the original image, now leaving a residual for the spatially confused emission of MM1A, MM1B, and MM1D. An estimate for the 1.3 mm emission from MM1B was then extrapolated from the well constrained 7 mm fit for this source (see Table 2) using a spectral index of 3.05 (see Figure 3), and subtracted from the “Subtract C, E, F, G” residual image. This left a residual image (“Subtract B, C, E, F, G”) with only emission from MM1A and MM1D, now spatially distinct, from which fits were obtained for these two components. Subtracting the resulting fitted parameters for MM1A and MM1D from the “Subtract C, E, F, G” residual reveals an unresolved source at the position of MM1B, not surprisingly with similar characteristics to the previous 7 mm based guess for this component. A fit for MM1B was made to this residual image to refine MM1B’s parameters, after which the refined parameters were subtracted anew from the “Subtract C, E, F and G” residual, to further refine the fits for MM1A and MM1D.

The bottom two panels of Figure 4 show the final 1.3 mm seven-component model and the final residual with the model removed, demonstrating that the fitting process worked reasonably well, with the only notable residual being a faint arc of extended emission located to the southwest of component MM1C. The residual structure has a brightness temperature of \( \sim 30-40 \, \text{K} \). We applied a similar procedure to fit the 3 mm emission toward MM1, though with the poorer resolution at 3 mm, the fits are less certain, especially for MM1A, MM1B, and MM1D. We account for the extra uncertainty in the fitted parameters for the more spatially confused objects (MM1A, MM1B, MM1D, MM1E, and MM1G) by adding an extra 10% and 30% uncertainty (in quadrature) to the formal fitting errors in Band 6 and 3, respectively, when performing the SED modeling described in Section 4.1. We also attempted simultaneous seven-component fits to the raw images, using the previously described fitting results as initial guesses. Although such models can be made to converge by fixing some of the parameters, they lead to very elongated ellipsoids for one or more components. Our tempered approach results in a more physically plausible model that is motivated and supported by the longer wavelength images. Indeed, the final fitted positions at 1.3 mm for components MM1B and MM1F agree with their 7 mm positions to within 0\(^\circ\)015 and 0\(^\circ\)010, respectively. In contrast, following the trend observed for the longer-wavelength data for MM1D, we find the 1.3 mm position offset \( \sim 0\,\text{°}\,13 \) south of the 1.5 cm and 7 mm position, and thus \( \sim 0\,\text{°}\,3 \) south of the 5 cm emission.

We began our analysis of the MM2 millimeter emission by fitting and removing a single Gaussian component from the 1.3 mm image. The resulting residual image shows a compact component of emission near the 1.3 mm continuum peak (MM2A), consistent with the core-halo structure seen in the \( \alpha_{\text{mm}} \) image (Figure 3), and a weaker unresolved residual source toward the location of the 7 mm source MM2B. In order to better constrain the “core-halo” morphology of the bulk of the 1.3 mm emission from MM2, narrow and broad Gaussian components were simultaneously fit to the 1.3 mm image. The new resulting residual image is noise-like (apart from MM2B) and the fitted parameters are well-constrained and are thus likely representative of the true morphology, though the solution may not be unique. This procedure was repeated for the 3 mm image, with the single Gaussian fit again showing a distinct compact residual toward MM2A, but this time not toward MM2B (likely owing to the poorer angular resolution of the 3 mm data). Using the 1.3 mm model parameters as initial guesses, the 3 mm image was also fit with a narrow and a broad component. The position and size of the 3 mm “core” component are in good agreement with those at 1.3 mm, as is the position of the broad component. However, the fitted size of the broad 3 mm component is larger by \( \sim 50\% \), possibly a consequence of the modestly higher sensitivity of the 3 mm data to larger-scale structures. As a result, although we have tabulated the fit results for both components of MM2A in Table 2, we consider only the values for MM2A-core to be sufficiently reliable to construct and further interpret its SED.

For the MM4 region, at 3 and 1.3 mm a single Gaussian component was first fit to the compact emission, which we denote as MM4A. Then the residual image was used to fit a Gaussian to MM6 located to the north of MM4A (see Figure 2). These residual images also show a filamentary tail south of MM4A, but since the emission does not appear compact, we did not attempt to fit its properties (however it is included in the MM4 total flux density in Table 2, as is MM6).

### 3.3. H\(_2\)O Maser Emission

Figure 2(c) shows the fitted locations of 22 GHz H\(_2\)O masers toward NGC 6334I. The angular resolution of the H\(_2\)O data is \( 0\,\text{°}\,30 \times 0\,\text{°}\,09 \) (P.A. \( -17\% \)). We find numerous masers in the vicinity of MM1 and of the UCHII region MM3, three spots toward MM4, and several spots toward CM2 (not shown in Figure 2(c)). The masers detected toward MM4 are new discoveries, and it is notable that the brightest maser during this epoch is associated with CM2. The absolute position uncertainty of our maser data was checked by imaging the continuum emission in the line-free channels. Though the resulting image is of low signal-to-noise due to the narrow bandwidth (4 MHz), the UCHII region is detected, and shows...
Table 2
Fitted Properties of the Continuum Emission

| Name          | Fitting Band | R.A.       | Decl.      | Fitted Position (J2000) | Flux Density (mJy) | Fitted Size[^d] |
|---------------|--------------|------------|------------|-------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
|               |              | 5 cm       | 1.5 cm     | 7 mm                    | 3 mm               | 1.3 mm          |
| MM1-Total[^c] | 1.3 mm       | 17:20:53.145 | −35:46:57.88 | 0.64 (0.14)             | 1.5 (0.2)          | 5.6 (0.2)       | 840 (2)        | 10975 (8)     | ...           | 0.67 × 0.32 (0.03) [+159] |
| MM1A          | 1.3 mm       | 17:20:53.397 | −35:46:57.99 | <0.12                   | <0.5               | <0.3            | 152 (12)       | 2053 (93)     | <0.18[^f]    | 0.57 × 0.44 (0.04) [+174] |
| MM1B          | 7 mm         | 17:20:53.419 | −35:46:57.84 | 0.46 (0.1)              | 1.0 (0.1)          | 2.5 (0.2)       | 32 (5)         | 619 (74)      | 0.60 × 0.50 (0.05) [+177] |
| MM1C          | 1.3 mm       | 17:20:53.450 | −35:46:58.28 | <0.67                   | <0.3               | <0.24           | 191 (10)       | 2162 (140)    | 0.45 × 0.30 (0.03) [+179] |
| MM1D          | 1.3 mm       | 17:20:53.431 | −35:46:57.53 | 0.2 (0.1)               | 0.4 (0.1)          | 1.7 (0.2)       | 131 (13)       | 2384 (104)    | 0.70 × 0.28 (0.06) [+180] |
| MM1E          | 1.3 mm       | 17:20:53.479 | −35:46:57.37 | <0.09                   | <0.5               | <0.24           | 25 (6)         | 448 (36)      | 0.57 × 0.48 (0.03) [+199] |
| MM1F          | 1.3 mm       | 17:20:53.435 | −35:46:56.91 | <0.11                   | <0.2               | 1.4 (0.2)       | 84 (14)        | 842 (53)      | 0.47 × 0.20 (0.02) [+42] |
| MM1G          | 1.3 mm       | 17:20:53.387 | −35:46:57.27 | <0.09                   | <0.5               | <0.24           | 38 (8)         | 907 (75)      | 0.47 × 0.20 (0.02) [+42] |
| MM2-Total[^e] | 1.3 mm       | 17:20:53.188 | −35:46:59.32 | <0.09                   | <0.4               | <1.5            | 61 (2)         | 643 (7)       | 1.1 × 0.6 (0.1) [+26] |
| MM2A-core     | 1.3 mm       | 17:20:53.189 | −35:46:59.31 | <0.09                   | <0.2               | <0.9            | 19 (1)         | 318 (14)      | <0.18         | 0.39 × 0.26 (0.02) [+22] |
| MM2A-halo     | 1.3 mm       | 17:20:53.176 | −35:46:59.29 | <0.09                   | <0.2               | <0.9            | 52 (2)         | 378 (34)      | <0.13         | 0.39 × 0.26 (0.02) [+22] |
| MM2B          | 7 mm         | 17:20:53.168 | −35:46:59.13 | <0.09                   | <0.2               | 0.5 (0.1)       | conf           | 8 (2)         | <0.17         | 0.43 × 0.36 (0.07) [+34] |
| MM4-Total[^c] | 1.3 mm       | 17:20:53.099 | −35:47:03.32 | <0.22                   | 0.80 (0.14)        | 3.8 (0.2)       | 82 (1)         | 656 (7)       | <0.17         | 0.24 × 0.19 (0.04) [+112] |
| MM4A          | 1.3 mm       | 17:20:53.099 | −35:47:03.35 | <0.22                   | 0.4 (0.1)          | 3.4 (0.2)       | 69 (2)         | 426 (23)      | <0.17         | 0.22 × 0.15 (0.05) [+138] |
| MM5           | 1.3 mm       | 17:20:53.040 | −35:47:09.93 | <0.06                   | <0.22              | <0.23           | <1.3           | 9 (1)         | <0.17         | 0.24 × 0.19 (0.04) [+112] |
| MM6           | 1.3 mm       | 17:20:53.122 | −35:47:02.80 | <0.07                   | <0.29              | <0.33           | 7 (1)          | 98 (15)       | <0.17         | 0.24 × 0.19 (0.04) [+112] |
| MM7           | 1.3 mm       | 17:20:53.641 | −35:46:54.83 | <0.11                   | <0.13              | <0.19           | 53 (3)         | 56 (7)        | <0.17         | 0.24 × 0.19 (0.04) [+112] |
| MM8[^b]       | 1.3 mm       | 17:20:53.703 | −35:47:06.07 | <0.5                    | <0.19              | <0.46           | <5             | 12 (2)        | 0.22 × 0.15 (0.05) [+138] |
| MM9           | 1.3 mm       | 17:20:53.896 | −35:46:59.10 | <0.09                   | <0.12              | <0.24           | 3.0 (0.1)      | 22 (4)        | 0.2 × <0.17 (0.06) [+105] |
| CM1           | 5 cm         | 17:20:51.856 | −35:47:04.48 | 0.12 (0.02)             | 0.23 (0.06)        | 0.35 (0.05)     | <1.0           | <15.5         | <0.34         | 0.4 < 0.34 (0.1) [+5] |
| CM2           | 5 cm         | 17:20:53.384 | −35:46:55.68 | 0.36 (0.05)             | <0.3               | <0.22           | <6             | <6            | <0.34         | 0.4 < 0.34 (0.1) [+5] |

Notes.
[^a]: Reported fitted position and size are from the wavelength given in this column, which corresponds to the most reliable fit for that source.
[^b]: Position uncertainties are better than 50 mas.
[^c]: For detected sources the formal fitting uncertainty is given in parentheses. Upper limits are 3× the local rms. If the angular resolution is insufficient to make an estimate, “conf” is used to indicate “confused.”
[^d]: Uncertainty in fitted size is given in parentheses, the quoted value is the larger of the uncertainties for the two axes; the position angle uncertainties are of order 20°. When a fitted size is <3σ, that axis has been set to < the geometric mean of the synthesized beam of the fitting band.
[^e]: The total flux densities of sources with complex morphologies are the integrated flux densities measured inside the 3σ contour level for each wavelength. MM4-Total includes the emission from MM6. The position is the location of the peak pixel at 1.3 mm.
[^f]: The fitted size at 1.3 mm is 0''27 × 0''21 (0''04) [+89°]; this size is used to determine the MM1B 1.3 mm T_{brightness} in Section 4.1.
[^g]: MM8 is confused with the extended emission from the UCHII region MM3 at wavelengths longer than 1.3 mm.
excellent position agreement with our wideband 1.5 cm continuum data, from which we estimate an absolute position uncertainty of 0°05. Previous H2O maser observations by Migenes et al. (1999) using the VLBA, and by Forster & Caswell (1999) using the VLA, similarly show the maser groups toward MM1, MM3, and CM2. However, we note that the Forster & Caswell (1999) positions must be shifted by approximately −0°6, −1°2 (also see Carral et al. 1997), and the Migenes et al. (1999) positions must be shifted by +0°3, 0°0 for there to be reasonable position agreement with our newer data. Shifts of this magnitude are consistent with the absolute position uncertainties of the older data. While Forster & Caswell (1999) quote an uncertainty of 0°5, the VLA archive reveals that their gain calibrator was several tens of degrees from this low-declination target, so it may be an underestimate. Likewise, the uncertainty of the Migenes et al. (1999) positions is unclear as they apparently did not employ phase referencing. However, they do note that the position for another object in their survey differs from the VLA position by 0°66, suggesting an uncertainty of at least a few tenths of an arcsecond.

In the water maser cube, there are many channels with very strong emission features, and subsequently the images of these channels are dynamic range limited. This effect leads to a channel-dependent noise level. Measured in areas of the image lacking signal, the median rms noise per channel is 22 mJy beam−1, the minimum is 12 mJy beam−1, and the maximum is 440 mJy beam−1. For this reason, care was taken to manually identify the features to fit in each channel. We identify 47 distinct spatial-velocity components. Features at the same spatial position but with frequency gaps in their emission are considered separate components. We then fit a two-dimensional Gaussian to each component in each channel in which it appears. This results in 311 fitted locations. In Table 3, we list the fitted position of each component in its channel of peak flux density as well as the range of contiguous velocities over which it is present.

Figure 5 shows a close-up view of the fitted H2O maser locations toward MM1, MM4, and CM2; the maser spots are color-coded continuously according to their velocity of peak emission. Within MM1, the maser spots show complex kinematics toward the MM1B and MM1D sources. The water masers detected toward MM4A are coincident with the 1.3 mm continuum peak to within the astrometric uncertainty (0°05). The H2O masers toward the 5 cm source CM2 are predominantly blueshifted compared to an assumed LSR velocity of −5.6 km s−1, the average value found for thermal molecular lines in MM1 by Zernickel et al. (2012). Figure 5(a) also shows the orientation of the strong molecular outflow that emanates from the NGC 6334I region. We adopt a position angle for the molecular outflow of +50°, which we have defined by the relative orientation of the VLA NH3 (3, 3) maser peaks northeast and southwest (Kraemer & Jackson 1995) that trace the outflow lobes (see also Bachiller & Cernicharo 1990; Beuther et al. 2007). The driving source of the outflow is heretofore unknown.

3.4. Class II CH3OH Maser Emission
On Figure 2(d) we show the fitted locations of five 6.7 GHz (Class II) CH3OH masers detected in the VLA 5 cm data. Masers are detected coincident with MM2 and with the UCHII region MM3. The 19.9 GHz Class II maser transition, previously detected in single-dish observations of this region (Menten & Batrla 1989; Ellingsen et al. 2004), appears in two spots toward the UCHII region MM3. The fitted properties of the 6.7 and 19.9 GHz masers are given in Table 4 (excepting the velocity, since it is unconstrained at the poor velocity resolution of the data). We find excellent position agreement, to within the (relative) position measurement uncertainty of ~5 mas, between the strongest 6.7 GHz maser toward MM3 (CH3OH_6.7-2) and the brightest 19.9 GHz maser (CH3OH_19.9-2). The correlation between these two maser transitions for this maser spot was also reported by Krishnan et al. (2013), albeit with poorer angular resolution by about a
Table 3  
Fitted H$_2$O Maser Properties

| Spot* | R.A. | Decl. | Flux Density$^{bc}$ | Velocity (km s$^{-1}$) |
|-------|------|-------|--------------------|-----------------------|
|       |      |       | (Jy)               | Peak                  |
|       |      |       |                    | Min                   |
|       |      |       |                    | Max                   |

Masers Associated with MM4

|      |      |      |                   |            |
|------|------|------|-------------------|-------------|
| 1    | 17:20:53.100 | -35:47:03.33 | 3.11 (0.31)       | -13.0   |
|      |       |       |                   | -13.5   |
|      |       |       |                   | -12.5   |

Masers Associated with MM3

|      |      |      |                   |            |
|------|------|------|-------------------|-------------|
| 4    | 17:20:53.419  | -35:47:01.27 | 20.9 (2.1)        | -12.5   |
|      |       |       |                   | -16.5   |
|      |       |       |                   | -12.0   |

Masers Associated with MM1

|      |      |      |                   |            |
|------|------|------|-------------------|-------------|
| 18   | 17:20:53.414 | -35:46:58.20 | 6.57 (0.36)       | -5.5     |
|      |       |       |                   | -5.5     |
|      |       |       |                   | -5.0     |

Masers Associated with CM2

|      |      |      |                   |            |
|------|------|------|-------------------|-------------|
| 41   | 17:20:53.400 | -35:46:55.81 | 51.5 (1.0)        | -8.0     |
|      |       |       |                   | -9.5     |
|      |       |       |                   | -7.5     |

Notes:

* Spots are numbered by increasing declination.

$^{bc}$ Fitted value in the channel of peak emission.

$^{c}$ The fitted uncertainty is given in parentheses.
factor of 2 using the Australia Telescope Compact Array. These authors were also able to demonstrate kinematic agreement between the two transitions. The absolute positions reported for the brightest of these masers vary somewhat in the literature but are generally within $\sim 0''.5$ (Caswell 2009; Krishnan et al. 2013) of the values reported in Table 4. We estimate the absolute position uncertainty of our VLA data to be of order 50 mas.

4. DISCUSSION

4.1. SEDs of Continuum Sources

The continuum flux densities from Table 2 have been used to construct the SEDs of all the sources. Those with measurements at multiple wavelengths that can be modeled consistently as dust emission, free–free emission, or a combination of the two phenomena, are shown in Figure 6. The rest of the objects are shown in Figure 7.

Of the three sources detected both at 5 cm and at other wavelength(s), two (MM1B and CM1) exhibit a moderately positive centimeter spectral index ($\alpha_{\text{cm}}$) of about +0.6 (see Figure 6). This value is predicted for ionized gas with radially declining density profile ($n \propto r^{-2}$), which is how we have chosen to model the free–free emission. Specifically, we use model V of Ohnø (1975), which truncates this power law to a constant density ($n_e$) at $r < r_e$, which sets the turnover frequency. Such a density profile is expected for a spherical constant-velocity wind. However, more general models of collimated jets can also produce positive values of $\alpha$, including $+0.6$ (Reynolds 1986). Additionally, positive values of $\alpha$ can arise from a gravitationally trapped hypercompact HII region (HCHII). In this picture, an inward accretion flow of gas becomes ionized as it approaches the central protostar and would exhibit a free-fall electron density profile of $n \propto r^{-3/2}$ (Keto 2007, 2003), for which there is some observational support (van der Tak & Menten 2005). Distinguishing between the possible physical origins outlined above requires sufficient angular resolution to measure the morphology of the emission. An elongated structure would suggest a jet (e.g., Rodríguez et al. 1994), while an unresolved source would favor an HCHII region, particularly if it also had an associated dust component in which it was embedded. Our simple free–free model has three parameters: $n_e$, $r_e$, and the electron temperature ($T_e$); however, due to the paucity of centimeter wavelength points, we fit for a single parameter, $r_e$, assuming a nominal $T_e = 10^4$ K. Based on the apparent turnover frequency, we set $n_e$ to $3 \times 10^3$, $3 \times 10^6$, and $3 \times 10^7$ cm$^{-3}$ for MM1D, MM1B, and CM1, respectively. From the fitted value of $r_e$, we also compute the expected FWHM of the free–free emission, $\theta_{\text{ff}}$, which is shown in Figure 6.

All of the sources detected at 1.3 mm and at least one other wavelength exhibit 1.3 mm emission dominated by dust emission. In order to constrain the dust temperature ($T_{\text{dust}}$), we fit the SED of each of these sources with a modified graybody function (e.g. Rathborne et al. 2010). Given the sparsity of measured points, including a lack of data near the peaks of the SEDs, we are unable to accurately constrain $T_{\text{dust}}$, the graybody opacity ($\kappa_e$), and the grain emissivity index ($\beta$) simultaneously. Instead, we first chose to use a fixed value of $\beta = 1.7$, which corresponds to the mean value found for the massive star-forming filament OMC-2/3 based on detailed fitting of Herschel and ground-based 2 mm imaging with comparable angular resolution (Sadavoy et al. 2016). This value of $\beta$ is also consistent with the value found with submillimeter Fourier transform spectroscopy of three hot cores (Friesen et al. 2005). This emissivity index also matches that of

### Table 4

| Name           | Fitted Position (J2000) | Flux Density (Jy) |
|----------------|------------------------|------------------|
|                | R.A.       | Decl.        |                      |
| CH$_3$OH 6.7-1 | 17:20:53.176 | −35:46:59.183 | 5.5 (0.2)            |
| CH$_3$OH 6.7-2 | 17:20:53.371 | −35:47:01.541 | 10.8 (0.1)           |
| CH$_3$OH 6.7-3 | 17:20:53.43  | −35:47:03.44  | 0.53 (0.02)          |
| CH$_3$OH 6.7-4 | 17:20:53.44  | −35:47:01.54  | 0.33 (0.03)          |
| CH$_3$OH 6.7-5 | 17:20:53.45  | −35:47:00.58  | 0.14 (0.03)          |
| CH$_3$OH 19.9-1| 17:20:53.37  | −35:47:01.96  | 0.38 (0.04)          |
| CH$_3$OH 19.9-2| 17:20:53.375 | −35:47:01.546 | 2.22 (0.02)          |

Note. *Due to poor velocity resolution, the maser spots are spectrally unresolved, hence the flux densities are lower limits.

Figure 5. The locations of the H$_2$O masers are shown on zoomed 1.3 mm images (grayscale) for MM1, MM4, and CM2 in panels (a), (b), and (c), respectively. In each panel the 311 H$_2$O masers are over-plotted as color coded + symbols. The velocity color scale is given between panels (a) and (b); it is centered on $-5.6$ km s$^{-1}$ (color-coded green), the average velocity for MM1 found by Zernickel et al. (2012). On panels (a) and (b) a green 7 mm contour is overlaid (same level as shown on Figure 2), and on panel (c) a magenta 5 cm contour is overlaid (0.168 mJy beam$^{-1}$). Panels (a) and (b) also show gray 1.3 mm continuum contours at 1.2 ($1\sigma$) × [50, 110, 180] mJy beam$^{-1}$ and 1.2 ($1\sigma$) × [5, 15, 50] mJy beam$^{-1}$, respectively. The orientation of the strong molecular outflow from this region (P.A. +50$^\circ$; Section 3.3) is shown by the red and blue arrows on panel (a); its precise origin is not known.
the OH6 model for grains with thin ice mantles at high gas density (i.e., column 6 of Ossenkopf & Henning 1994). The model with the best-fit $T_{\text{dust}}$ is shown for each source (for which it is constrained), overlaid on its SED in Figure 6. The uncertainties on the fitted parameters were obtained by computing the standard deviation of the fitted parameters obtained from fitting an ensemble of 1000 realizations of the SED in which the flux density measurements were adjusted by Gaussian random variations according to the individual flux density uncertainties. The dust opacity ($\tau_\nu$) shown in Table 5 is calculated from the SED-modeled $T_{\text{dust}}$ and the brightness temperature $T_{\text{brightness}}$, which is computed in the Rayleigh–Jeans limit using the 1.3 mm flux density and fitted size from Table 2. In the case of high dust opacity, $T_{\text{brightness}}$ will approach the physical temperature ($T_{\text{dust}}$). The generally good agreement between the fitted values of $\tau_\nu$ (Figure 6) and the computed value of $\tau_{\text{dust}}$ (Table 5) shows that our modeling approach is self-consistent.

Of the Figure 6 sources, we were unable to obtain a satisfactory fit for MM1D using the assumption of $\beta = 1.7$, because larger values are required to match the 7 mm flux density. The fit shown in Figure 6 uses $\beta = 2.4$, suggesting a
difference in grain properties toward this source. There are dust
grain models based on laboratory measurements that predict
high values of $\beta$ (2.6) for silicates at low temperatures (Agladze
et al. 1996) as well as in warm ($T = 100$ K) disks around
young stars (Pollack et al. 1994). However, in the latter case,
the high values of $\beta$ are restricted to somewhat shorter
wavelengths ($\lambda = 0.1–0.65$ mm), with $\beta$ decreasing to 1.5 in
the millimeter regime. On the other hand, laboratory measure-
ments of amorphous silicate dust analogues with olivine
composition show values of $\beta \geq 3.0$ at 1.2 mm at temperatures
as high as 200 K (Coupeaud et al. 2011). Also, some models of
dust aggregates predict submillimeter (500–850 $\mu$m) $\beta$ values
as high as 3.0–3.4 when large grains (up to 100 $\mu$m) dominate
the dust mass (Ormel et al. 2011). Finally, the assumption of a
single constant value of $\beta$ can be too simplistic when the
maximum grain size reaches a few hundred microns, leading to
significant changes in the emissivity curve at wavelengths of a
few millimeters (Pérez et al. 2012). Although more data and
more realistic (i.e., non-isothermal) modeling of the SED will
be required to confirm such a large value of $\beta$, it is at least
plausible given that previous simulations have found that
accretion onto massive protostars requires a modification to the
standard grain population of the interstellar medium (Wolfire &
Cassinelli 1986; Edgar & Clarke 2004). We note that only with
the spatial resolution now achievable with ALMA (<250 au)
can such variations in $\beta$ between individual massive protostars
be reliably resolved and probed in a large number of objects.

Figure 7. Spectral energy distributions of seven of the sources detected at only one wavelength, or for which the millimeter data are difficult to reconcile with the 7 mm upper limits using known grain models. Solid lines show a spectral index $\alpha = 4.6$ (corresponding to $\beta = 2.6$ for optically thin dust), dashed lines show $\alpha = 3.7$ ($\beta = 1.7$), and the dotted line (on the MM2B panel) shows $\alpha = 2.0$ (optically thick emission). The dash-dot line (on the CM2 panel) shows $\alpha = -0.5$ (derived from the 5 cm data, see Section 4.2.7), indicative of non-thermal emission.
We expect such studies will offer an important avenue of future research.

Similar to Brogan et al. (2009) and Hunter et al. (2013b), we estimated dust-derived gas masses for each source using the 1.3 mm continuum flux densities ($S_{1.3\text{ mm}}$) from Table 2 and the equation:

$$M_{\text{gas}} = \frac{3.24 \times 10^3 S_{1.3\text{ mm}} \text{(mJy)} D^2 \text{(kpc)} R C_{\tau_{\text{dust}}}}{J(\nu, T_{\text{dust}}) \nu^3 \text{(GHz)} \kappa_{1.3\text{ mm}}}$$

(1)

where $J(\nu, T_{\text{dust}}) = 1/[\exp(h\nu/T_{\text{dust}}) - 1]$ ($h$ and $k$ are the Planck and Boltzmann constants, respectively). We assumed a distance of 1.3 kpc and a gas to dust ratio of $R = 100$. The opacity correction is $C_{\tau_{\text{dust}}} = \tau_{\text{dust}}/(1 - \exp(-\tau_{\text{dust}}))$. For the dust opacity coefficient, $\kappa_{1.3\text{ mm}}$, we used 1 cm$^2$ g$^{-1}$, appropriate for grains with ice mantles in regions of high gas density (10$^8$ cm$^{-3}$), consistent with our nominal value of $\beta = 1.7$ (Ossenkopf & Henning 1994). The derived properties for each source including $T_{\text{brightness}}$, $T_{\text{dust}}$, $\tau_{\text{dust}}$, $M_{\text{gas}}$, and the molecular hydrogen column density ($N_{\text{H}_2}$) and number density ($n_{\text{H}_2}$), assuming spherical geometry, are given in Table 5. For sources for which $T_{\text{dust}}$ could not be constrained by the current SEDs (Figure 7), we use a range of $T_{\text{dust}}$ consistent with other clues about the nature of each source, as described in Section 4.2. Finally, Table 5 also contains the estimated Stefan–Boltzmann luminosities of the sources implied by the measured brightness temperature ($T_{\text{brightness}}$) and, where available, by the fitted dust temperature ($L_{\text{dust}}$). The implications of these values are discussed in Section 4.2.

4.2. Nature of Individual Sources

4.2.1. The Massive Hot Multi-core MM1

The fact that we have resolved MM1 into seven components, six with high brightness temperatures (107–254 K; Table 5), indicates a cluster of hot cores all located within a projected radius of 1000 au. We term this exciting new phenomenon a “hot multi-core.” The SEDs for MM1B, MM1D, and MM1F, for which we could constrain $T_{\text{dust}}$ are shown in Figure 6, while the SEDs for the other four MM1 sources (MM1A, MM1C, MM1E, and MM1G) are shown in Figure 7 and discussed further in Section 4.2. $T_{\text{dust}}$ for these four sources was set to a range, with the lower limit set to $T_{\text{brightness}} + 1\sigma$ (Table 5), and the upper limit set to 450 K, slightly larger than the highest fitted dust temperature (MM1B).

While the high observed values of $T_{\text{brightness}}$ imply that high dust temperatures are required, the uncertainties in $T_{\text{dust}}$ obtained from the SED models are large due to the lack of measurements near the peak of the Planck curve. Nevertheless, our compact size measurements allow us to determine whether or not the sources are likely to be centrally heated. In models of accretion flows onto massive protostars (e.g., Wolfire & Cassinelli 1986), the dust emission is expected to become optically thick in the inner regions, leading to an effective photosphere. By taking the observed radius and brightness temperature, the Stefan–Boltzmann equation gives a lower limit to the bolometric luminosity ($L_{\text{brightness}}$) under the assumption of spherical symmetry (e.g., van der Tak & Menten 2005). With the exception of MM1E and MM1G, the $L_{\text{brightness}}$ of each of these objects is $>1500 L_{\odot}$. By comparison, an externally heated cloud of radius 250 au and distance 1000 au from a 10$^5 L_{\odot}$ protostar can absorb and re-emit at most $\sim1500 L_{\odot}$. Thus, we can safely conclude that four of the cores (MM1A-D) are centrally heated and contain protostars, while MM1F is a borderline case.

The values of $L_{\text{brightness}}$ also allow us to estimate upper limits to the dust temperature. Because MM1 dominates the total millimeter continuum emission from NGC 6334I, it is likely responsible for a large fraction of the bolometric luminosity of this deeply embedded region: $1.5 \times 10^7 L_{\odot}$. The young massive star powering MM3 (the UCHII region) must also
provide a significant fraction. Its production rate of ionizing photons \((5 \times 10^{37} \text{ s}^{-1}, \text{De Pree et al. 1995})\), when corrected from 1.7 to 1.3 kpc, corresponds to a B0 zero-age main sequence star with a luminosity of \(2.5 \times 10^4 L_{\odot}\) (Thompson 1984). This spectral type is consistent with the range inferred for the ionizing source via near-infrared spectroscopy of the UCHII region (Bik et al. 2005). As a result, even if the bulk of the emission from this star is re-radiated in the far-infrared and millimeter regime, MM3 can account for at most 1/6 of the total luminosity of NGC 6334I. Thus, allowing for some contribution by MM2 and MM4, the luminosity of MM1 may be as high as 2/3 of the total, i.e., \(1 \times 10^5 L_{\odot}\). Given the similar 1.3 mm flux densities of the four brightest sources, MM1A-MM1D, we estimate that each one emits on average \((2-3) \times 10^4 L_{\odot}\). If so, then a realistic upper limit to their temperatures at their observed radii of \(\sim 300\) au is \(\sim 300\) K. Being a factor of two more compact than the rest, MM1B may indeed be hotter than this limit, as suggested by its SED fit. In any case, MM1B and MM1D are the two sources with the hottest dust.

As discussed in Section 4.1, free–free emission with a rising spectrum that remains unresolved at high angular resolution and is embedded in strong dust continuum emission at shorter wavelengths is likely to originate from an HCHII region. The emission from MM1B falls into this category (see Figure 6), with a fitted size \(< 220\) au. Thus the emission from this source likely originates from a combination of an HCHII region surrounded by warm dust. In contrast, as described in Section 3.2, the longer-wavelength emission from MM1D appears to shift slightly to the north with increasing wavelength, a hallmark of free–free jet emission due to the expected increase in angular size of the jet major axis with wavelength (Reynolds 1986). The SED of MM1F is consistent with warm dust emission, with no detectable free–free emission.

Additional evidence for the jet interpretation for the longer-wavelength data from MM1D comes from the fact that this source is the loci of red and blueshifted \(H_2O\) masers (Section 3.3; Figure 5). The kinematics of these masers are complex, but there is a general trend of redshifted emission toward the northeast of MM1D and blueshifted emission to the southwest. Given that this gradient is consistent with the orientation of the large-scale molecular outflow observed in this region (see Section 3.3), we suggest that MM1D is the driving source, with the water masers tracing the innermost jet/outflow structure. Higher angular resolution and sensitivity multi-wavelength imaging will be required to confirm and constrain the properties of the putative MM1D jet. There is also a cluster of water masers just south of MM1B that form a linear structure, suggestive of a jet, though without a clear increase in velocity with distance.

Given the close proximity of MM1B and MM1D (projected separation of 440 au) and their multiple signs of protostellar activity (including having the highest dust temperatures), they may represent a proto-binary system, or at least a transient bound system that has resulted from gravitational interaction. The plausibility of this hypothesis is supported by N-body simulations of OB clusters that produce a succession of transient bound systems with a mean periastron starting around \(\sim 700\) au at early times and declining to \(50-200\) au at a cluster age of 1–2 Myr (Pfalzner & Oelczak 2007). We note that all of the MM1 components are located within \(1240\) au of MM1B, corresponding to a volume of \(\sim 3 \times 10^{-7}\) pc\(^3\). Even if only a few of the components contain central protostars, the number density would be \(\sim 10^3\) pc\(^{-3}\), which is already above the estimated order of magnitude required for interactions to lead to binary mergers (\(\sim 10^2\) pc\(^{-3}\); Bonnell & Bate 2005).

To test whether the system is gravitationally bound will require identifying and resolving the kinematics of the gas associated with these objects.

### 4.2.2. What is the Origin of the Strange SEDs for MM1A, MM1C, MM1E, and MM1G?

The SEDs for MM1A, MM1C, MM1E, and MM1G are shown in Figure 7. In all four cases, a dust model with \(\alpha_{\text{mm}} = 3.7 (\beta \approx 1.7, \text{dashed line})\), anchored at the 1.3 mm flux density, is in good agreement with the observed 3 to 1.3 mm spectral index. However, in all four cases, this dust model also predicts that the 7 mm emission should have been easily detectable at the \(10\sigma\) (MM1E and MM1G) to \(30\sigma\) (MM1A and MM1C) level, in stark contrast to the data. Indeed, MM1C should also have been marginally detected at 1.5 cm based on the ALMA data. There are several possibilities for the origin of these discrepant SEDs: (1) calibration errors; (2) mismatched uv-coverage; (3) unusual dust spectral properties; and (4) source variability. We discuss each of these in turn below. Perhaps the simplest explanation is calibration errors, either in the VLA data, especially at 7 mm, or the ALMA data at 3 and 1.3 mm. However, as shown in Figure 6, many sources show entirely normal SEDs that are well fit by dust with \(\beta \approx 1.7\), along with a free–free component in some cases. Additionally, the absolute calibrations were re-examined carefully and are believed to be accurate to better than \(10\%\). Likewise, mismatched uv-coverage leading to different degrees of spatial filtering for example is very unlikely to be an explanation because (a) these “strange SED” sources have similar fitted sizes to all the other detected sources (Apart from the UCHII region MM3), and (b) the 7 mm data actually have better uv-coverage at short baselines compared to either the 3 mm or 1.3 mm data. We also explored whether a steeper dust emissivity spectral index \(\beta\) could explain the data, in a similar way to MM1D (see Section 4.1). The SED plots for MM1A, MM1C, MM1E, and MM1G (Figure 7) are also over-plotted with a solid line with \(\alpha_{\text{mm}} = 4.6\) corresponding to \(\beta \approx 2.6-3.0\), the steepest values found in laboratory measurements of \(100-200\) K grains in the literature (Agladze et al. 1996; Coupeaud et al. 2011). We find that the 7 mm non-detections of MM1E and MM1G are marginally consistent with this steeper \(\beta\), but these two sources would need to be somewhat optically thick to account for the shallower slope between 3 and 1.3 mm. In contrast, the non-detection of 7 mm continuum emission for MM1A and MM1C remains a mystery even with this steep \(\beta\). Thus, at least for MM1A and MM1C we are left with the intriguing possibility that the flux density of these two sources has grown significantly between 2011, when the 7 mm data were acquired, and 2015, when the ALMA data were acquired.

Variability in the bulk dust emission from a deeply embedded protostellar source is theoretically plausible even on significantly shorter timescales of weeks to months (Johnstone et al. 2013). Furthermore, the high density of protostellar sources in MM1 increases the likelihood of an outburst due to an accretion event resulting from a disk instability driven by a recent encounter between two protostars.
1.3 mm spectral index, which is also evident as a significant compact residual at the peak of the emission of MM2A when the emission is fit with a single Gaussian. The relatively warm best-fit $T_{\text{dust}}$ of 152 K for the MM2A-core is consistent with it being a known source of copious hot core line emission (see for example Zernickel et al. 2012), although it exceeds the richness of other moderate-temperature hot cores (e.g., in NGC 6334I (N), G11.92–0.61, G19.01–0.03, and G18.67+0.03; Brogan et al. 2009; Cyganowski et al. 2011, 2012). We have also discovered a weaker source of 7 and 1.3 mm emission MM2B 0\circ.03 (≈400 au) to the northwest of the MM2A-core. The class II 6.7 GHz CH$_3$OH maser detected toward MM2 (CH$_3$OH 6.7–1; Table 3.4) lies in between the MM2A-core and MM2B but is slightly closer to MM2B (0\circ.011 versus 0\circ.020). The SED of MM2B exhibits a 7–1.3 mm spectral index of 1.63 ± 0.44, in stark contrast to the value of 3.45 ± 0.11 found for the MM2A-core (see Figure 6). The spectral index of MM2B is thus consistent with optically thick emission, possibly from a high column of dust. However, the presence of a small, dense HCHII region with a high turnover frequency cannot be ruled out. In any case, like MM1, it appears that MM2 harbors more than one massive protostar (MM2A-core and MM2B). Future higher resolution and sensitivity observations will be required to confirm this possibility.

4.2.3. The Hot Core MM2

We find the continuum emission of MM2 to be significantly more compact than MM1. As described in Sections 3.1 and 3.2, MM2 exhibits a distinct core-halo morphology in its 3 to 1.3 mm spectral index, which is also evident as a significant compact residual at the peak of the emission of MM2A when the emission is fit with a single Gaussian. The relatively warm best-fit $T_{\text{dust}}$ of 152 K for the MM2A-core is consistent with it being a known source of copious hot core line emission (see for example Zernickel et al. 2012), although it exceeds the richness of other moderate-temperature hot cores (e.g., in NGC 6334I (N), G11.92–0.61, G19.01–0.03, and G18.67+0.03; Brogan et al. 2009; Cyganowski et al. 2011, 2012). We have also discovered a weaker source of 7 and 1.3 mm emission MM2B 0\circ.03 (≈400 au) to the northwest of the MM2A-core. The class II 6.7 GHz CH$_3$OH maser detected toward MM2 (CH$_3$OH 6.7–1; Table 3.4) lies in between the MM2A-core and MM2B but is slightly closer to MM2B (0\circ.011 versus 0\circ.020). The SED of MM2B exhibits a 7–1.3 mm spectral index of 1.63 ± 0.44, in stark contrast to the value of 3.45 ± 0.11 found for the MM2A-core (see Figure 6). The spectral index of MM2B is thus consistent with optically thick emission, possibly from a high column of dust. However, the presence of a small, dense HCHII region with a high turnover frequency cannot be ruled out. In any case, like MM1, it appears that MM2 harbors more than one massive protostar (MM2A-core and MM2B). Future higher resolution and sensitivity observations will be required to confirm this possibility.

4.2.4. The Enigmatic Source MM4A

The nature of the compact source MM4A remains an enigma. The high observed $T_{\text{brightness}} = 97$ K suggests that the gas must be quite warm. The SED for MM4A (Figure 6) is well fit by a graybody dust model with $T_{\text{dust}} = 98$ K, the measured diameter of $\sim414$ au, and a high optical depth at 1.3 mm ($\tau_{\text{dust}} \sim 5$). The mass of this source is $\sim12 M_\odot$ while the minimum luminosity derived from its $T_{\text{brightness}}$ and radius is $\sim240 L_\odot$. The mean gas density is the second highest in the region (after MM9) $\sim1.8 \times 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-3}$. Based on current data there is no evidence for a free–free component. This source is curiously lacking in compact thermal spectral line emission, despite its warm dust temperature (Hunter et al. 2006, 2013a, p. 1; Zernickel et al. 2012). As we will show in a future paper, this is also true of the spectral line data that accompany the ALMA continuum data presented here—though many lines are seen in absorption against the strong continuum emission, none shows an emission peak toward this source. The detection of water maser emission toward MM4A straddling the LSR velocity of the protocluster (see Figure 5(b)) is the first confirmation that this source is a member of the cluster and not an interloping background object. Despite the fact that MM4A is very optically thick, the lack of thermal line emission toward this source, given its high $T_{\text{brightness}}$, remains difficult to understand since one might expect to see molecular lines from the outermost layers. The other similarly line-free, compact (sub) millimeter continuum source that has been reported in a massive protocluster is G11.92-0.61 MM2, but it has a much lower dust temperature ($T_{\text{dust}} < 20$ K) and is hypothesized to be a massive pre-stellar core (Cyganowski et al. 2014). MM4A may trace a later stage of development after the collapse of a pre-stellar core has begun but prior to a hot core.

4.2.5. Newly Detected Millimeter Sources: MM5–MM9

We detect five new millimeter sources with 1.3 mm flux densities in the range of 9–98 mJy. With a new total of nine millimeter sources, these detections more than double the multiplicity of this protocluster previously reported by Hunter et al. (2006). Unsurprisingly, the newly detected millimeter sources have either relatively low gas masses, temperatures, or both. The best-fit $T_{\text{dust}}$ for MM6, MM7, and MM9 (Figure 6) are 25, 32, and 15 K, respectively, with gas masses ranging from 2.6 to 8.2 $M_\odot$. For the two new sources detected only at 1.3 mm, we note that their longer wavelength upper limits are easily consistent with dust emission from normal grains ($\beta = 1.7$). In order to calculate their properties, we assume a range of $T_{\text{dust}}$ starting from a minimum value appropriate to the coolest dense cores in clustered environments (15 K, Friesen et al. 2009) up to a moderately warm internally heated source (40 K). This range of $T_{\text{dust}}$ yields mass estimates for MM5 and MM8 of 0.1–0.9 $M_\odot$. However, given the low $T_{\text{brightness}}$ of these two sources (7–8 K), coupled with their small measured diameters ($<240$ au), it seems likely that they are on the colder side of the adopted range.

While the newly detected sources are almost certainly young stellar objects of some form, their evolutionary state remains unclear. Their flux densities are in the range of the most massive Class 0 protostars in more nearby regions of low-mass star formation. For example, 1.3 mm observations of Class 0 sources in Perseus by Tobin et al. (2015) found flux densities of 6.1 to 751.8 mJy at 230 pc, equivalent to 0.2 to 23.4 mJy at the distance of NGC 6334I. Three of the newly detected objects (MM5, MM8 and MM9) fall in this range. On the other hand, the compact sizes we measure (four have radii <140 au) suggest that the emission could arise from protoplanetary disks in Class I or II sources. Observations of six such disks in Taurus found 1.3 mm flux densities in the range 97.8–685 mJy and fitted FWHM sizes of 32–160 au (Kwon et al. 2015). Only the strongest of these (HL Tau) would be detectable at 1.3 kpc at the sensitivity of the current ALMA 1.3 mm data. However, more massive disks around Herbig Ae/Be stars, such as the 0.2 $M_\odot$ disk around Mac CH12 (Mannings & Sargent 2000), would be detectable. Its 1.3 mm flux density of 44 mJy (Osterloh & Beckwith 1995) would be 19 mJy at the distance of NGC 6334I, and is thus well-matched to MM5, MM8, and
MM9. We note that the theory of massive star formation via competitive accretion (Bonnell et al. 2004; Bonnell & Bate 2006) would naturally predict the coeval existence of low-mass protostars, as described by Smith et al. (2009). Indeed, recent ALMA studies of massive protoclusters (Cyganowski et al. 2016; Henshaw et al. 2016) have revealed evidence for both low-mass and high-mass cores forming coevally in the same parent clump.

4.2.6. Filamentary Emission Associated with MM1, MM2 and MM4

In addition to the compact dust sources that we have identified and discussed, the fainter filamentary dust emission extending from MM1, MM2, and MM4 is of considerable interest. The most notable structures (Figure 2) extend to the northwest of MM1G (total 1.3 mm flux density ~120 mJy) and the southwest of MM4A (~20 mJy). These structures could be the inner remnants of a protocluster hub–filament system such as reported in recent ALMA imaging of the infrared dark cloud G35.39-0.33 (Henshaw et al. 2016) and predicted in hydrodynamic simulations (Smith et al. 2014, 2016). Alternatively, such filaments could be signatures of a Rayleigh–Taylor instability that has been invoked to channel material toward accreting massive protostars (Krumholz et al. 2009). Deeper imaging of the dust emission with greater dynamic range may reveal additional structures along with less massive embedded protostars and/or protoplanetary disks.

4.2.7. Newly Detected Centimeter Sources: CM1 and CM2

Only one of the new sources has a potential infrared counterpart. The position of CM1 corresponds to within 0.6” with the near-infrared reflection nebula I-4 identified by Hashimoto et al. (2008) and to within 0.74 with the Spitzer IRAC-selected Class I YSO 417569 identified by Willis et al. (2013). There are no counterparts in the X-ray survey of Chandra (Feigelson et al. 2009; Townsley et al. 2014). Although CM1 lies out at the ~25% response level of the 1.3 mm ALMA primary beam, its non-detection places an upper limit on the dust-derived gas mass of <0.33 $M_\odot$ (for $T_\text{dust} = 30$ K). The positional correlation with a star combined with its centimeter spectral index of +0.6, similar to MWC 349 (Martin-Pintado et al. 1989; Olnon 1975), suggests that it traces an ionized stellar wind.

Interestingly, CM2 is detected in continuum only at 5 cm yet it harbors the strongest water maser in the NGC 6334I region. Comparison of images made from each of the 5 cm basebands independently (centered at 5 and 7 GHz, see Table 1) suggests that the spectral index ($S_\nu \sim \nu^{\alpha}$) of CM2 at these frequencies is of order ~0.5, indicating that the emission is non-thermal. The lack of any associated dust emission at 1.3 mm from CM2 argues against it being powered by an embedded protostar. However, the coincidence of a nearly 500 Jy H2O maser with this source, consistent with the systemic velocity of the cluster, makes it very unlikely that it is of extragalactic origin. The spectral index matches that reported for a highly variable radio source near the core of IRAS 20126+4104 which is interpreted to be gyrosynchrotron radiation due to electrons spiraling in the magnetosphere of a low-mass pre-main sequence (PMS) star (Hofner et al. 2007). It is notable that chromospherically active PMSs with radio emission are typically time variable and they also often emit in X-rays. Unfortunately, we do not have multi-epoch data at 5 GHz to check the radio variability, and this source is not detected in the Feigelson et al. (2009) Chandra X-ray survey of the NGC 6334 star-forming complex. However, Feigelson et al. (2009) note that NGC 6334I is in a highly obscured region and has a locally high X-ray background level, suggesting that there are likely to be additional faint low-mass sources that would be detected in a longer exposure.

On the other hand, the powerful maser emission of CM2 also makes it analogous to the Turner–Welch (TW) object of W3OH-H2O, which lies at the center of expansion of a powerful water maser jet (Hachisuka et al. 2006) and has a continuum spectral index of ~0.5, which has been interpreted as a synchrotron jet from a late O-type or early B-type star (Reid et al. 1995). But the TW object is also a hot core associated with strong 1.4 mm dust continuum emission (Chen et al. 2006), which CM2 does not exhibit. Thus, CM2 appears to be a unique object and requires further investigation into its continuum variability and polarization properties, as well as a multi-epoch VLBI study of its water maser emission.

5. CONCLUSIONS

Our new ALMA and VLA radio continuum observations spanning 5 cm to 1.3 mm with comparable resolution—as fine as 220 au—have revealed exciting new features and phenomena in the NGC 6334I massive protocluster.

1. The dominant millimeter source, MM1, is resolved into seven compact ($r \sim 300$ au) components within a radius of 1000 au, four of which have brightness temperatures exceeding 200 K, implying minimum luminosities of $10^4 L_\odot$ and indicative of central heating. We interpret this structure as a “hot multi-core” in which at least four massive protostars are independently heating their surrounding cocoons of gas and dust. Several components of MM1 exhibit steep millimeter SEDs indicative of either unusual dust spectral properties or time variability.

2. The two hottest dust sources, MM1B and MM1D, also exhibit free–free emission consistent with a HCHII region and a jet, respectively, as well as associated water maser structures. The close pairing of these two objects (440 au separation) suggests that they form either a proto-binary star or a transient bound system. We suggest that MM1D is the driving source of the large-scale bipolar outflow from this region based on the water maser kinematics.

3. The secondary hot core region, MM2, is less extended than MM1, yet also contains evidence for multiple components, MM2A and MM2B, with significantly different millimeter spectral indices consistent with optically thin and optically thick dust, respectively. A 6.7 GHz Class II CH3OH maser lies between the two objects.

4. The enigmatic continuum source MM4A shows a 1.3 mm brightness temperature of 97 K, and a fit to its SED implies a dust optical depth of $\approx$5 at 1.3 mm. Our detection of water maser emission at the systemic velocity of the cluster proves that it is not a background object. The lack of thermal molecular lines remains difficult to understand, given the physical conditions, and we suggest that it may trace a rare evolutionary phase of a high-mass protostar.

5. In addition to the four previously known millimeter sources, we detect five new objects at 1.3 mm whose
emission may originate from circumstellar disks around low- to intermediate-mass protostars. We also detect two new objects at centimeter wavelengths, one of which (CM1) has an infrared stellar counterpart and anSED consistent with an ionized stellar wind. The other (CM2) has a non-thermal spectral index (−0.5) and is associated with the strongest water maser emission in the cluster. While it shares these two characteristics with W3OH-H2O, CM2, in contrast, has no dust emission and may represent a unique object.

In summary, the picture of NGC 6334I emerging from these new observations is a diverse collection of young stellar objects in various states of formation and activity. In addition to the Trapezium-like arrangement of the four principal objects MM1-MM4, we now have evidence that two of these objects are themselves multiple systems, extending the analogy to the Orion Trapezium stars to a second level. Our results support the conclusion from Orme et al. (2011) that Trapezium-like systems appear frequently in the formation of a cluster. Oort-Becklin-Neugebauer (1951) and Bonnell & Goodwin 2011 -body simulations that Trapezium-like systems are themselves multiple systems, extending the analogy to the Orion Trapezium stars to a second level. Our results support the conclusion from a collection of young stellar objects in various states of formation and activity. In addition to the Trapezium-like arrangement of the four principal objects MM1-MM4, we now have evidence that two of these objects are themselves multiple systems, extending the analogy to the Orion Trapezium stars to a second level. Our results support the conclusion from Orme et al. (2011) that Trapezium-like systems appear frequently in the formation of a cluster. Oort-Becklin-Neugebauer (1951) and Bonnell & Goodwin 2011 -body simulations that Trapezium-like systems are themselves multiple systems, extending the analogy to the Orion Trapezium stars to a second level. Our results support the conclusion from Orme et al. (2011) that Trapezium-like systems appear frequently in the formation of a cluster.
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