A human liver cell atlas reveals heterogeneity and epithelial progenitors
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The human liver is an essential multifunctional organ. The incidence of liver diseases is rising and there are limited treatment options. However, the cellular composition of the liver remains poorly understood. Here we performed single-cell RNA sequencing of about 10,000 cells from normal liver tissue from nine human donors to construct a human liver cell atlas. Our analysis identified previously unknown subtypes of endothelial cells, Kupffer cells, and hepatocytes, with transcriptome-wide zonation of some of these populations. We show that the EPCAM+ population is heterogeneous, comprising hepatocyte-biased and cholangiocyte populations as well as a TROP2int progenitor population with strong potential to form bipotent liver organoids. As a proof-of-principle, we used our atlas to unravel the phenotypic changes that occur in hepatocellular carcinoma cells and in human hepatocytes and liver endothelial cells engrafted into a mouse liver. Our human liver cell atlas provides a powerful resource to enable the discovery of previously unknown cell types in normal and diseased livers.

The liver serves as a central metabolic coordinator with a wide array of essential functions, including the regulation of glucose and lipid metabolism, protein synthesis, and bile synthesis. Furthermore, the liver is a visceral organ that is capable of remarkable natural regeneration after tissue loss1. However, the prevalence of liver diseases and mortality associated with them have risen markedly within recent decades2. The liver cellular landscape has barely been explored at single-cell resolution, which limits our molecular understanding of liver function and disease biology. The recent emergence of sensitive single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) methods3 allows us to investigate cell types in healthy and diseased tissue.

To characterize the human liver at single-cell resolution, we developed a robust pipeline for scRNA-seq of cryopreserved and freshly isolated samples of patient-derived human liver and assembled an atlas consisting of 10,372 cells from nine donors. We performed in-depth analysis of all liver cell types with a focus on epithelial liver cell progenitors.

scRNA-seq of the human liver
We used mCEL-Seq24 for scRNA-seq of non-diseased liver tissue from nine patients who underwent liver resections for colorectal cancer metastasis or cholangiocarcinoma without history of chronic liver disease (Fig. 1a, see Methods). We sorted and sequenced viable cells both in an unbiased fashion and by enriching specific cell populations on the basis of cell surface marker expression (Extended Data Fig. 1, see Methods). Because fresh liver tissue material is scarce and difficult to preserve, and biobanks with cryopreserved liver samples represent rich resources, we generated scRNA-seq data from cryopreserved liver samples (see Methods). We then used RaceID3 for the identification of cell types4,5,6 (see Methods). Cells from different patients, isolated from freshly prepared or cryopreserved single-cell suspensions, co-clustered (Extended Data Fig. 1).

Furthermore, fresh and cryopreserved cells from the same patient did not have markedly different gene signatures (Extended Data Fig. 1e–h). However, there were compositional differences both between fresh and cryopreserved samples derived from the same patient and among different fresh (or cryopreserved) samples. We attribute these differences to variability in cell viability and cell type composition across samples.

As scRNA-seq of randomly sampled populations yielded almost exclusively hepatocytes and immune cells (Extended Data Fig. 1i), we applied additional sorting strategies to enrich for endothelial cells (Extended Data Fig. 1a–c) and EPCAM+ cells (see below).

Our atlas comprises all the main liver cell types defined by the expression of marker genes, including hepatocytes, EPCAM+ bile duct cells (cholangiocytes), CLEC4G+ liver sinusoidal endothelial cells (LSECs), CD34+ PECAM+ high macrovascular endothelial cells (MaVECs), hepatic stellate cells and myofibroblasts, Kupffer cells, and immune cells (Fig. 1b–d, Supplementary Table 1). To facilitate interactive exploration of our human liver cell atlas, we created a web interface: http://human-liver-cell-atlas.ie-freiburg.mpg.de/.

Zonation of human liver cell types
Hepatocytes are spatially heterogeneous and zonated along the portal–central axis of the liver lobule5–8. According to metabolic sub-specialization, the liver lobule has been divided into the periportal zone surrounding the portal triad (portal vein, hepatic artery and bile duct), the central zone nearest to the central vein, and the remaining mid zone5,6,8. Whereas previous observations have suggested that nonparenchymal cells such as LSECs and Kupffer cells have specialized subtypes5, it has been hard to demonstrate heterogeneity of these cell types, and most studies have been carried out in rodents.

We were able to directly compare the signatures of MaVECs and LSECs, and identified several previously unknown subpopulations (Extended Data Fig. 2, Supplementary Note 1).
scRNA-seq has been highly informative on hepatocyte zonation in mouse, and the first single-cell analysis of human hepatocyte and endothelial cell zonation at limited resolution was done recently. To infer continuous transcriptome-wide zonation, we reasoned that the major axis of variability for a cell type could reflect gene expression changes associated with zonation. Hence, we ordered LSECs and hepatocytes by diffusion pseudo-time (dpt) [11], here interpreted as pseudo-space, along this axis and applied self-organizing maps (SOMs) to infer co-expression modules (Fig. 2, see Methods).

We first validated our strategy by recovering the previously characterized zonation of mouse hepatocytes (Extended Data Fig. 3a–d). For our human hepatocytes, this approach recovered zonated expression patterns of landmark genes: for example, ALB and PCK1 (periportal module 1), CYP1A2 and CYP2E1 (central/midzonal modules 34 and 24, respectively), and GLUL (central module 33) [7,9] (Fig. 2a, Extended Data Fig. 3e–g, Supplementary Tables 2.3). In total, 1,384 out of 3,395 expressed genes (41%) included in the hepatocyte analysis exhibited significant zonation (Benjamini–Hochberg corrected ANOVA, P < 0.01). Pathway enrichment analysis revealed that periporal hepatocyte modules are enriched in genes involved in biological oxidation, consistent with an oxygen gradient that peaks in the periporal zone, and in the glycogen synthesis pathway (Extended Data Fig. 3h). In accordance with its zonation in mouse hepatocytes, the urea cycle enzyme CPS1 peaks in periporal hepatocytes (Extended Data Fig. 3g). Midzonal hepatocyte modules are enriched in, for example, metabolism...
of xenobiotics by cytochrome P450. Immunostainings for selected genes validate the predicted zonation at the protein level (Fig. 2a).

LYVE1 and CD14 have been identified as markers that distinguish midzonal and central LSECs from perportal LSECs. Analysis of LSEC zonation showed that 806 out of 1,198 expressed genes (67%) exhibited significant zonation (Benjamini–Hochberg corrected ANOVA, \( P < 0.01 \)) (Fig. 2b, Extended Data Fig. 3i, Supplementary Tables 4, 5). Central and midzonal endothelial cells (modules 1 and 3) exhibited peak expression of LYVE1 and FCN3, which encodes a ficolin protein that can switch on the lectin pathway of complement activation. Notably, pathway enrichment analysis of the central and midzonal endothelial modules revealed pathways, such as binding and uptake of ligands by scavenger receptors, that are shared with midzonal hepatocytes (Extended Data Fig. 3j). Together with a more detailed gene expression analysis (Supplementary Note 2) this observation suggests that genes and functions are co-zonated across hepatocytes and endothelial cells.

Finally, a comparison between mouse\(^8,9,13\) and human cells revealed only limited evolutionary conservation of gene expression zonation (Supplementary Note 3, Extended Data Fig. 3k, l, Supplementary Tables 6, 7), reflecting widespread evolutionary changes.

**Human liver immune cell populations**

A detailed analysis of the CD163\(^+\)/VSIG4\(^+\) Kupffer cell compartment revealed subpopulations with distinct gene expression signatures (Supplementary Note 4, Extended Data Fig. 4), in agreement with a recent study\(^10\). Moreover, we detected shared gene expression and pathways between Kupffer cell subsets and endothelial cells (Supplementary Note 4, Extended Data Fig. 4), providing further evidence that different cell types show functional co-operation.

We identified an MS4A1\(^+\)/CD37\(^+\) subset of B cells, which corresponds to circulating B cells with upregulated MHC class II components, and a liver-resident MZB1\(^+\) subset of B cells that expresses DERL3, SSR4 and IGHG4 (Extended Data Fig. 5).

Finally, we recovered a population of CD56\(^+\) (also known as NGCAM1\(^+\)) natural killer (NK) cells (cluster 5), as well as CD56\(^+\) (cluster 3) and CD56\(^+\) (cluster 1) CD8A\(^+\) NKT cells, which expressed different combinations of chemokine ligands, granzymes, and killer cell lectin-like receptor genes (Extended Data Fig. 6). In clusters 12 and 18, a number of heat-shock genes are upregulated. These observations demonstrate an unexpected variety of immune cell subtypes in the human liver.

**Putative bipotent epithelial progenitors**

Liver regeneration after tissue damage involves the replication of several types of liver cells, including hepatocytes and cholangiocytes. Furthermore, different types of liver damage lead to specific mechanisms of liver regeneration\(^14,15\). However, the existence of a population of naive adult stem cells in the human liver and its contribution to turnover and regeneration remains controversial. Rare EPCAM\(^+\) cells have been termed hepatic stem cells\(^16\); these can form dense round colonies when cultured and are bipotent progenitors of hepatoblasts, which differentiate into cholangiocytes or hepatocytes both in vitro and in vivo\(^16,17\).

To search for genuine liver progenitor cells, we sorted and sequenced single EPCAM\(^+\) cells from adult human livers. We identified biliary and potential liver progenitor cell surface marker genes that correlated with EPCAM or TROP2 expression; these included TACSTD2 (also known as TROP2), FGFR2, TM4SF4 and CLDN1. Immunohistochemistry confirmed the expression of predicted markers such as ANXA4 and the transcriptional co-activator WWTR1 (Extended Data Fig. 7a).

A focused analysis revealed that the EPCAM\(^+\) compartment is transcriptionally heterogeneous and consists of an ASGR1\(^+\) hepatocyte-biased population, KRT1\(^{high}\)/CFTR\(^{high}\)/ALB\(^{low}\) cholangiocyte populations, and a remaining population of putative naive progenitor cells (Fig. 3a, Extended Data Fig. 7b, c). The EPCAM\(^+\) population exhibits only stochastic expression of the proliferation markers MKI67 and PCNA and is negative for the hepatoblast marker AFP (Extended Data Fig. 7d). Hence, the transcriptional heterogeneity of this population is unlikely to arise as a result of proliferation, and the observed subtypes reside in the normal human liver.

To explore the relatedness of these subpopulations, we reanalysed the EPCAM\(^+\) population with RaceID3 and used StemID2 for lineage reconstruction\(^4,18\) (Fig. 3b, see Methods). This analysis showed that the population in the centre of the t-distributed stochastic neighbour embedding (t-SNE) map (clusters 1, 2, 5, 6, 7) bifurcates into hepatocyte
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low expression in the hepatocyte-biased population (Fig. 3e, Extended Data Fig. 7c). Immunostaining for TROP2 in normal human liver tissue showed specific expression in cells of the bile ducts and bile ductules (Fig. 3f). Notably, TROP2 expression has been found in amplifying oval cells in injured mouse livers.29

The central TROP2<sup>int</sup> population is in itself heterogeneous and contains a MUC6<sup>high</sup> population (cluster 7) (Extended Data Fig. 7c). MUC6 is highly expressed by pancreatic progenitors and multi-potent bile duct tree stem cells,20 which have been proposed to be the origin of the EPCAM<sup>+</sup> hepatic stem cells. The TROP2<sup>high</sup> cholangiocyte clusters comprise a CXCL8<sup>+</sup> population (cluster 8) and an MMP7<sup>+</sup> population (clusters 4 and 13) (Extended Data Figs. 7c, 8e, f), whereas TROP2<sub>low</sub> clusters show upregulation of hepatocyte markers such as ALB, HP, HNF4A and ASGR1 (Fig. 3d, Extended Data Figs. 7c, 8e, f).

The central TROP2<sup>int</sup> population that was stratified as bipotent on the basis of FateID-predicted bias expresses genes that encode early developmental transformation factors such as HES1, which is essential for tubular bile duct formation,21 and PROX1, an early specification marker for the developing liver in the mammalian foregut endoderm that is required for hepatocyte proliferation and migration during development22 (Fig. 3d). Furthermore, this population showed lower expression of hepatocyte genes such as HNF4A, HP and ALB and of cholangiocyte genes such as KRT19 and CFTR compared to the hepatocyte-biased and mature cholangiocyte populations, respectively (Fig. 3d, Extended Data Figs. 7c, 8f). We speculate that we enriched for the TROP2<sup>int</sup> KRT19<sup>high</sup> immature population during cell isolation, as mature bile duct cells require a harsher digestion for their isolation, which can negatively affect other liver cell types. Thus, the actual fraction of KRT19<sup>high</sup> cells in the tissue is presumably higher. We validated the existence of EPCAM<sup>+</sup> KRT19<sup>high</sup> cells in addition to EPCAM<sup>-</sup> KRT19<sup>high</sup> cells in situ by immunofluorescence (Fig. 3g, Extended Data Fig. 7e).

Consistent with our scRNA-seq data, flow cytometry profiles of EPCAM and TROP2 displayed a gradient of TROP2 expression in EPCAM<sup>-</sup> cells, and EPCAM expression correlated with TROP2 expression (Fig. 4a). Moreover, forward and side-scatter profiles of EPCAM<sup>+</sup> cells indicated that the compartment is heterogeneous and consists of populations with different sizes and morphologies (Fig. 4a). On the basis of the distribution of TROP2 expression, we compartmentalized EPCAM<sup>+</sup> cells into three compartments: TROP2<sub>low</sub>–, TROP2<sup>int</sup>–, and TROP2<sup>high</sup>– (Fig. 4a). To confirm that the TROP2<sup>int</sup> population harbours the progenitor population, we attempted to culture bipotent organoids from each compartment. In agreement with our prediction, TROP2<sub>low</sub> cells exhibited the highest organoid-forming capacity, whereas TROP2<sub>high</sub>– cells did not form organoids, and TROP2<sub>int</sub>– cells gave rise to much smaller organoids at a strongly reduced frequency compared to TROP2<sup>int</sup> cells (Fig. 4b). Single-cell culture of TROP2<sup>int</sup> cells demonstrated the organoid-forming capacity of individual cells from this gate, providing evidence for bipotency at the clonal level (Fig. 4c).

As expected, scRNA-seq of the input populations for organoid culture from each compartment showed a marked enrichment of the respective compartment in the original EPCAM<sup>+</sup> data (Fig. 4d, e, Extended Data Fig. 8g, h). Notably, flow cytometry profiles of EPCAM and TROP2 for organoid cells grown from the TROP2<sup>int</sup> compartment recovered TROP2<sub>low</sub>–, TROP2<sup>int</sup> and TROP2<sup>high</sup> populations in the organoids (Fig. 4f).

To elucidate the cell type composition of the organoids in depth, we performed scRNA-seq. Co-analysis of organoid cells and EPCAM<sup>+</sup> cells sequenced directly from patients demonstrated marked transcriptome differences (Fig. 4e). Although EPCAM and CD24 were expressed in cells from both organoids and patients, organoid cells showed lower expression of various genes such as AQP1 and the WNT signalling modulator SFRP5, and higher expression of others, such as the proliferation marker MKI67<sup>+</sup>, reflected by differential enrichment of the corresponding pathways (Fig. 4g, Extended Data Fig. 8i–k). We observed several subpopulations within the organoids, including a non-dividing hepatocyte-biased SERPINA1<sup>high</sup> population and a...
non-dividing KRT19<sup>high</sup> cholangiocyte-biased population, consistent with the signature of the EPCAM<sup>+</sup> cells recovered from the patients (Fig. 4e). This further supports the claim that the TROP2<sup>int</sup> compartment harbours a bipotent progenitor population, which can give rise to hepatocyte and cholangiocyte populations.

In contrast to patient cells, organoid cells showed strong downregulation of ALB but expressed AGR2 and other mucin family genes such as MUC5AC and MUC5B, which are normally expressed, for example, in intestinal cells and gastrointestinal cancers<sup>24,25</sup> (Fig. 4g, Extended Data Fig. 8j). These observations reflect that organoid cells express genes that are expressed in other systems, acquire a more proliferative state, and appear to upregulate stem cell-related pathways such as WNT signalling.

In light of these functional validation experiments, the observed gene signature of TROP2<sup>int</sup> cells, and the in situ location of these cells, our data strongly suggest that the putative liver progenitor population can be defined as a subpopulation of bile duct cells.

**Perturbed cell states in liver cancer**

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the most common type of primary liver cancer<sup>26</sup>. To demonstrate the value of our atlas as a reference for comparisons with diseased liver cells, we sequenced CD45<sup>+</sup> and CD45<sup>−</sup> cells from HCC tissue from three patients (Extended Data Fig. 9a, b, see Methods).

We recovered several cell types from the tumours, including cancer cells, endothelial cells, Kupffer cells, NK and NKT cells, and CAR+ liver cells (Fig. 5a, Extended Data Fig. 9c) and compared them to the normal liver cell atlas. Differential gene expression analysis and immunohistochemistry revealed that cancer cells lose the expression of cytochrome P450 genes such as CYP2E1 and CYP2C8 and the peripherally zonated gene CPS1 (Fig. 5b, Extended Data Fig. 9d, e) as well as the metabolic signature of normal hepatocytes (Fig. 5c). They show increased expression of AKR1B10, a known biomarker of HCC with potential involvement in hepatocellular carcinogenesis<sup>27</sup> (Extended Data Fig. 9d). Moreover, immunohistochemistry confirmed that IL32, a pro-inflammatory TNFα-inducing cytokine, is highly upregulated in cancer cells (Fig. 5b).

Overall, cancer cells show upregulation of WNT and Hedgehog signalling pathways, highlighting similarities between EPCAM<sup>+</sup> normal liver progenitors and the observed cancer cell population (Fig. 5c).

Endothelial cells from tumours show upregulation of, for example, extracellular matrix organization genes such as COL4A2 and COL1A1, their fundamental gene signatures, such as expression of ALB but expressed MUC5B, which are normally expressed, for example, in intestinal cells and gastrointestinal cancers (Fig. 5e). These observations reflect that organoid cells express genes that are expressed in other systems, acquire a more proliferative state, and appear to upregulate stem cell-related pathways such as WNT signalling.

**A human liver chimaeric mouse model**

Mice harbouring patient-derived xenografted liver cells are a powerful tool for studying human liver cells and diseases in vivo<sup>28</sup>. To correctly interpret such experiments, it is crucial to understand the differences between cells taken directly from the human liver and human cells that have been transplanted into the mouse liver.

To address this issue, we transplanted human liver cells from patient-derived hepatocyte and non-parenchymal cell fractions into FRG-NOD (Fah<sup>−/−</sup> Rag2<sup>−/−</sup> Il2rg<sup>−/−</sup> non-obese diabetic) mice<sup>30</sup> (HMouse); after engraftment, we sorted single human cells in an unbiased fashion and on the basis of hepatocyte and endothelial cell markers for scRNA-seq (Fig. 6a, Extended Data Fig. 10a). We then compared engrafted cells to our reference atlas and observed that we had successfully transplanted both human hepatocytes and endothelial cells (Fig. 6b, Extended Data Fig. 10b, c), which had maintained their fundamental gene signatures, such as expression of ALB or PCK1 and CLEC4G, PECA1 or CD34, respectively (Extended Data Fig. 10b–f). Nevertheless, many genes were differentially expressed in engrafted cells compared to non-engrafted human liver cells; for example, AKR1B10, which was also expressed by cancer cells from HCC, was expressed in engrafted cells but not non-engrafted cells (Fig. 6c, Extended Data Fig. 10g). Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) of differentially expressed genes revealed that HMouse hepatocytes and endothelial cells showed downregulation of pathways such as haemostasis, and upregulation of WNT and Hedgehog signalling as well as cell cycle genes (Fig. 6d), akin to what we observed in HCC cells and cells from liver organoids.

**Discussion**

We have established a human liver cell atlas, revealing heterogeneity within major liver cell populations and the existence of an epithelial progenitor in the adult human liver.

Our atlas reveals transcriptome-wide zonation of hepatocytes and endothelial cells, and suggests that different liver cell types may cooperate to carry out essential functions. Although we could validate predicted zonation profiles with antibody staining, it will be essential to perform more large scale in situ gene expression analysis.

The EPCAM<sup>+</sup>TROP2<sup>int</sup> population is a strong candidate for potential involvement in homeostatic turnover, liver regeneration, disease pathogenesis and tumour formation. Although our in silico analysis and in vitro organoid culture experiments provide evidence that this
population is bipotent, its lineage potential remains to be demonstrated in vivo.

As demonstrated by our HCC analysis, the atlas provides a key reference for the investigation of liver diseases and will contribute to the development of urgently needed human liver models, including organoids and humanized liver chimaeric mouse models.
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**METHODS**

**Human liver samples.** Human liver tissue samples were obtained from patients who had undergone liver resections between 2014 and 2018 at the Center for Digestive and Liver Disease (Pôle Hépato-digestif) at the Strasbourg University Hospitals, University of Strasbourg, France. For the human liver cell atlas, samples were acquired from patients without chronic liver disease (defined as liver damage lasting over a period of at least six months), genetic haemochromatosis with homozygotic and Y mutation, active alcohol consumption (>20 g/d in women and >30 g/d in men), active infectious disease, pregnancy or any contraindication for liver resection. All patients provided written informed consent. The protocols followed the ethical principles of the declaration of Helsinki and were approved by the local Ethics Committee of the University of Strasbourg Hospitals and by the French Ministry of Education and Research (CPP 10-17, Ministère de l’Éducation Nationale, de l’Enseignement Supérieur et de la Recherche; approval number DC-2016-2616). Data protection was performed according to EU legislation regarding privacy and confidentiality during personal data collection and processing (Directive 95/46/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of the 24 October 1995). Samples (BP1) and tissue blocks were obtained from Biopredic International.

**Tissue dissociation and preparation of single-cell suspensions.** Human liver specimens obtained from resections were perfused for 15 min with calcium-free 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid buffer containing 0.5 mM ethylene glycol tetraacetic acid (Fluka) followed by perfusion with 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid containing 0.5 mg/ml collagenase (Sigma-Aldrich) and 0.075% CaCl₂ at 37 °C for 15 min as previously described49. Then the cells were washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and viable cells were removed by Percoll (Sigma-Aldrich) gradient centrifugation. Part of the isolated cells was further separated into primary human hepatocytes (PHHs) and non-parenchymal cells (NPCs) by an additional centrifugation step at 50g for 5 min at 4 °C. The isolated cells were frozen in liquid nitrogen using the CryoStor CS10 solution (Sigma-Aldrich). Human HCC tissues were dissociated using the gentlemACS Dissociator (Miltenyi Biotec) according to the manufacturer’s protocol.

**Transplantation of human cells into Fah⁻/⁻Rag2⁻/⁻Il2rg⁻/⁻ mice.** Fah⁻/⁻/Rag2⁻/⁻Il2rg⁻/⁻ mice (Fah⁻/⁻/Rag2⁻/⁻ Il2rg⁻/⁻ non-obese diabetic (FRG-NOD) breeding mice were kept at the Inserm Unit 1110 SPF animal facility and maintained with 16 mg/l of L-lysine hydrochloride and 16 mg/l of L-arginine hydrochloride. Aspirin (40 mg/kg; Sigma-Aldrich) in drinking water. Six-week-old male and female mice were intravenously injected with 1.5 × 10⁸ plaque-forming units (pfu) of an adenoviral vector encoding the secreted form of the human urokinase-like plasminogen activator (Ad-uPA)50. Forty-eight hours later, 10⁶ PHHs and 2 × 10⁶ NPCs from the same liver donor, isolated as previously described, were injected intravenously via a 2-gauge needle. For the procedure, mice were kept under gaseous isoflurane anaesthesia and received a subcutaneous injection of buprenorphine (0.1 mg/kg) intramuscularly. Postoperatively, the NTBC was gradually decreased and completely withdrawn in 7 days. The success of the transplantation was evaluated 2 months after the procedure by dosing human albumin in mouse serum as previously described49. This procedure was approved by the local ethics committee and authorized by the French ministry of higher education and research (authorization number #4485-201603113S52125 v3). All procedures are consistent with the guidelines set by the Panel on Euthanasia (AVMA) and the NIH Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals as well as the Declaration of Helsinki in its latest version, and the Convention of the Council of Europe on Human Rights and Biomedicine. The animal research was performed within the regulations and conventions protecting animals used for research purposes (Directive 86/609/EEC), as well as with European and national laws regarding work with genetically modified organs. The animal facility at the University of Strasbourg, Inserm U1110 has been approved by the regional government (Préfecture) and granted authorization number E67-482-7, 2017/08/24.

**Mouse liver cell isolation.** The anaesthetized animal was restrained and the skin sprayed with 70% ethanol. The liver and other inner organs were revealed by cutting through the skin and peritoneum. A 24G needle was carefully inserted into the inferior vena cava and secured with a clamp, and chelating solution (0.05 M HEPES pH 7.2, 10 mM EGTA in HBSS without CaCl₂ and MgCl₂) was run at a low speed (1.5–2 ml/min). The portal vein was then cut and perfusion speed was increased to a flow rate of 7 ml/min. After that, the diaphragm was cut and the anterior vena cava clamped. The chelating perfusion was run for 7 min and then switched to collagenase solution (0.05 M HEPES pH 7.2, 4.7 mM CaCl₂, 20 μg/ml Liberase, Sigma LIBTM-RO) at a flow rate of 7 ml/min for 7 min. The liver was then removed and passed through a 70-μm cell strainer with 10 ml ice-cold PBS without CaCl₂ and MgCl₂. The resulting single-cell suspension was centrifuged at 3000g for 5 min and allowed to settle three times before digestion. FACS. Liver cells were sorted from mixed, hepatocyte, and non-parenchymal cell fractions on an Aria Fusion I using a 100-μm nozzle. Cells from the HCC samples were not fractionated and were sorted directly after tissue digestion. Zombie Green (Biologend) was used as a viability dye. Cells were stained with human-specific antibodies against CD45 (Biologend, cat. no. 304023), PECA1 (Biologend, cat. no. 303111), CD34 (Biologend, cat. no. 343609), CLEC4G (R&D systems, cat. no. FAB2947A), ASGR1 (BD Biosciences, cat. no. 563655), EPCAM (R&D systems, cat. no. FAB960R), and TROP2 (Biologend, cat. no. 363803). Organoids were stained with antibodies against EPCAM and TROP2. For the humanized mouse samples, cells were stained either with antibodies against ASGR1 and PECA1 or with human HA-ABC (BD Biosciences, cat. no. 740704) and mouse H2-Kb (BD Biosciences, cat. no. 553570). Viable cells were sorted in an unbiased fashion or from specific populations based on the expression of markers on the wells of 384-well plates containing lysin buffer.

**Single-cell RNA sequencing and library preparation.** Single-cell RNA sequencing was performed according to the mCEL-Seq2 protocol45,56. Viable liver cells were sorted into 384-well plates containing 240 nl primer mix and 1.2 μl PCR encapsulation barrier, Vapour-Lock (QIAGEN) or mineral oil (Sigma–Aldrich). Sorted plates were centrifuged at 2,200g for a few minutes at 4 °C, snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80 °C until they were processed. We used 160 nl reverse transcription reaction mix and 2.2 μl second-strand reaction mix to convert RNA into cDNA. cDNA from 96 cells was pooled together before clean up and in vitro transcription, generating four libraries from one 384-well plate. We used 0.8 μl AMPure/RNAClean XP beads (Beckman Coulter) per 1 μl sample during all purification steps including library cleanup. Other steps were performed as described in the protocol45,56. Libraries were sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 2500 and 3000 sequencing system (paired-end multiplexing run, high output mode) at a depth of ∼150,000–200,000 reads per cell.

**Quantitative gene expression.** Paired-end reads were aligned to the transcriptome using bwa (version 0.6.2-r126) with default parameters50. The transcriptome contained all gene models based on the human whole genome ENCODE V24 release. All isoforms of the same gene were merged to a single gene locus. Subsequently, gene loci with >75% sequence overlap were merged. The right mate of each read pair was mapped to the ensemble of all gene loci and to the set of 92 ERCC spike-ins in the sense direction. Reads mapping to multiple loci were discarded. The left read contains the barcode information: the first six bases corresponded to the unique molecular identifier (UMI) followed by six bases representing the cell-specific barcode. The remainder of the left read contains a polyT stretch. The left read was not used for quantification. For each barcode, the number of UMIs per transcript was counted and aggregated across all transcripts derived from the same gene locus. The number of observed UMIs was converted into transcript counts using binomial statistics57.

**Single-cell RNA sequencing data analysis.** Overall, 10,572 cells passed the quality control threshold of >1,000 transcripts (Poisson-corrected UMI57) for the normal human liver cell atlas. For cells from the organoids, 1,052 cells passed the quality control thresholds. For cells from HCC, 1,282 cells passed the quality control protocol. From the total of 1,000 transcripts per cell, 511 cells passed the quality control threshold of >1,000 transcripts. All the datasets were analysed using RaceID34. For normalization, the total transcript counts in each cell were normalized to 1 and multiplied by the minimum total transcript count across all cells that passed the quality control threshold (>1,000 transcripts per cell). Prior to normalization, cells expressing >2% of KCNQ1OT1 transcripts, a previously identified marker of low quality cells46, were removed from the analysis. Moreover, transcripts correlating to KCNQ1OT1 with a Pearson’s correlation coefficient of >0.4 were also removed. RaceID3 was run with the following parameters: mincount = 1000, minexpr = 2, minnumber = 10, outmin = 2, cln = 15.

**Diffusion pseudo-time analysis and self-organizing maps.** Diffusion pseudotime (dpt) analysis58 was implemented and diffusion maps generated using the destiny R package. The number of nearest neighbours, k, was set to 100. SOMs were generated using the FateID package on the basis of the ordering computed by dpt as input. Only genes with >2 counts after size normalization in at least a single cell were included for the SOM analysis. In brief, smooth zonation profiles were derived by applying local regression on normalized transcript counts after ordering cells by dpt. Next, a one-dimensional SOM with 200 nodes was computed on these profiles after z-transformation. Neighbouring nodes were merged if the Pearson’s correlation coefficient of the average profiles of these nodes exceeded 0.85. The remaining aggregated nodes represent the gene modules shown in the SOM figures.

**P values for the significance of zonation were derived by binning dpt-ordered profiles into three equally sized bins to perform ANOVA. The resulting P values were corrected for multiple testing using the Benjamini–Hochberg method.** Increasing the number of bins produced similar results.

**Conservation of zonation between human and mouse.** Expression data from Halpern et al.59 (GE2 accession code GSE84498) were used for the analysis. The human sample was manually clustered twofold based on the quality control. The transcript count data were analysed using RaceID3 to determine cell types, with parameter mincount = 1,000 and cln = 6. A subgroup of clusters was identified as hepatocytes on the basis of marker gene expression and used for dpt and SOM
analysis, as was done for the human data. To obtain a similar number of genes, only genes with at least 1.5 counts after size normalization in at least a single cell were included. To identify orthologues between human and mouse for the references used in this study and by Halpern et al. as provided by the authors, we first identified pairs of orthologs based on identical gene identifiers upon capitalization of all letters. We further computed mutual blastin (run with default) best hits. The final list comprises 16,670 pairs of orthologs. Conservation of zonation was assessed using Pearson’s correlation of zonated expression profiles after binning the human data into nine equally sized bins, akin to the nine zones derived in Halpern et al. Conservation of zonation of endothelial cell clusters was evaluated based on published mouse data from Halpern et al. using classification into four spatially stratified populations. To calculate Pearson’s correlation coefficient between human and mouse endothelial cells, a diffusion-pseudotime analysis was performed for all human cells mapping to endothelial cell clusters and these profiles were discretized into four equally sized bins.

**Lineage analysis of the EPCAM+ compartment.** For a separate analysis of the EPCAM+ population, all cells from clusters 4, 7, 24 and 39 were extracted and reanalysed using RaceD3 with the parameters minntal = 1000 and minexpr = 2, minnu = 10 outmic = 2, and default parameters otherwise. StemIDZ was run on these clusters with chr = 10, nmode = TRUE and kkk = 3. FateD was run on the filtered and feature-selected expression matrix from RaceD3, with target clusters inferred by FateID using ASGR1 plus ALB and CXCL8 plus MPP7 as markers for hepatocyte and cholangiocyte lineage target clusters, respectively. Using KRT19 and CFTR as mature cholangiocyte markers yields highly similar results.

**Differential gene expression analysis.** Differential gene expression analysis between cells and clusters was performed using the diffexpfn function from the RaceID package. First, negative binomial distributions reflecting the gene expression variability within each subgroup were inferred on the basis of the background model for the expected transcript count variability computed by RaceD3. Using these distributions, a P value for the observed difference in transcript counts between the two subgroups was calculated and corrected for multiple testing using the Benjamini–Hochberg method as described.

**Pathway enrichment analysis and gene set enrichment analysis.** Symbol gene IDs were first converted to Enrez gene IDs using the clusterProfiler package. Pathway enrichment analysis and GSEA were implemented using the ReactomePA package. Pathway enrichment analysis was done on genes taken from the different modules in the SOMs. GSEA was done using the differentially expressed genes inferred by the diffexpfn function from the RaceID package.

**Validation of protein expression using the Human Protein Atlas.** Immunostaining images were collected from the Human Protein Atlas (https://www.proteinatlas.org).

**Immunofluorescence.** Human liver tissue was fixed overnight in 3.7% formaldehyde (Fix) or cryopreserved and fixed in 2.5% paraformaldehyde for 20 min (Extended Data Fig. 7e) and EPCAM (SABA200704, Sigma, Fig. 3g, PA-519832, Invitrogen, Extended Data Fig. 7e) antibodies was 1:100 in 100 μl PBS. The tissue was then blocked in 10% FBS with 5 min in 0.025% Triton 100× magnification. The tissue was cryosectioned into 7-μm sections. The tissue was washed twice for 5 min in 0.025% Triton 1× PBS. The tissue was then blocked in 10% FBS with 1% BSA in 1× PBS for 2 h at room temperature. The dilution used for the anti-human KRT19 (HPA002465, Sigma, Fig. 3g; MA-12663, Invitrogen, Extended Data Fig. 7e) and EPCAM (SABA200704, Sigma, Fig. 3g, PA-519832, Invitrogen, Extended Data Fig. 7e) antibodies was 1:100 in 100 μl 1× PBS with 1% BSA. The antibodies were incubated overnight at 4°C in the dark. The tissue was washed twice with 0.025% Triton 1× PBS and then incubated with secondary antibodies donkey anti-rabbit IgG-AF488 (A21206, Thermo Fisher Scientific), Fig. 3g) and goat anti-mouse IgG-AF568 (A11019, Thermo Fisher Scientific), Fig. 3g) or sheep anti-mouse IgG-AF488 (515-545-062, Jackson Immunoresearch), Extended Data Fig. 7e at 1:200 dilution and donkey anti-rabbit IgG-RRX (117-295-152, Jackson Immunoresearch), Extended Data Fig. 7e at 1:100 dilution in 1× PBS with 1% BSA for 1 h at room temperature. The tissue was then washed twice with 0.025% Triton 1× PBS. DAPI Fluoroundament-G (Southern Biotech) was added to the tissue and a coverslip placed on top. Imaging was done using a Zeiss confocal microscope LSM780 (Fig. 3g) or ZEISS Axio Vert.A1 (Extended Data Fig. 7e). Images were taken at 63× magnification.

**Organoid culturing.** Organoid culturing was done as previously described.

The cell populations from the EPCAM+ compartment were sorted on an Aria Fusion I using a 100-μm nozzle into tubes containing culture medium supplemented with 10 μl ROCK inhibitor (Y-27632, Sigma–Aldrich). After sorting, cells were centrifuged in order to remove the medium and then resuspended in 25 μl Matrigel. Droplets of the Matrigel solution containing the cells were added to the wells of a 24-well suspension plate and incubated for 5–10 min at 37°C until the Matrigel droplets were overlaid with 250 μl liver isolation medium and then incubated at 37°C, 5% CO2. After 3–4 days, the liver isolation medium was replaced with liver expansion medium. For the single-cell culture, from each patient, single cells from the TROP2+ gate were sorted into the wells of a non-tissue-culture-treated 96-well plate containing medium with 5% Matrigel. Organoids were passaged 14 days after isolation and then passaged multiple times 5–7 days after splitting. For FACS, single-cell suspensions were prepared from the organoids by mechanical dissociation followed by TrypsLE (Life Technologies) digestion as previously described. Organoid cells were sequenced 5 days after splitting and 17 days after initially sorting the cells for the culture.

**Step-by-step protocol.** A detailed protocol for scRNA-seq of cryopreserved human liver cells is available at Protocol Exchange 44.

**Reporting summary.** Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this paper.

**Data availability.** Data generated during this study have been deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) with the accession code GSE124395. The human liver cell atlas can be interactively explored at http://human-liver-cell-atlas.ie-freiburg.mpge.de.
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Extended Data Fig. 1 | See next page for caption.
Extended Data Fig. 1 | scRNA-seq analysis of normal liver resection specimens from nine adult patients. 

a, FACS plot for CD45 and ASGR1 staining from a mixed fraction (hepatocyte and non-parenchymal cells). 
b, FACS plot for PECAM1 and CD34 staining from a mixed fraction. 
c, FACS plot for CLEC4G staining from a mixed fraction. 

a–c, n = 6 independent experiments. 
d, t-SNE map showing the IDs of the nine patients from whom the cells were sequenced. Cells were sequenced from freshly prepared single-cell suspensions for patients 301, 304, 325 and BP1, and from cryopreserved single-cell suspensions for patients 301, 304, 308, 309, 310, 311, 315 and 325. Cells were sorted and sequenced mainly in an unbiased fashion from non-parenchymal cell, hepatocyte and mixed fractions for patients 301 and 304. Non-parenchymal and mixed fractions were used to sort specific populations on the basis of markers. 

CD45− and CD45+ cells were sorted from all patients. CLEC4G+ LSECs were sorted by FACS from patients 308, 310, 315 and 325. EPCAM+ cells were sorted by FACS from patients 308, 309, 310, 311, 315 and 325.

e, t-SNE map highlighting data for fresh and cryopreserved cells from patients 301, 304 and 325. Although minor shifts in frequencies within cell populations are visible, transcriptomes of fresh and cryopreserved cells co-cluster. Differential gene expression analysis of fresh versus cryopreserved cells, for example, for endothelial cells of patient 325 in cluster 10 (f), did not reveal any differentially expressed genes.

d, e, n = 10,372 cells. 
f, Bar plot showing the number of differentially expressed genes (Benjamini–Hochberg corrected P < 0.01; see Methods) between fresh and cryopreserved cells within each cluster for patient 325 (top; n = 2,248 cells) and patients 304 (n = 959 cells) and 301 (n = 1,329 cells) (bottom). Only clusters with more than five cells from fresh and cryopreserved samples were included for the computation. 

g, Scatter plot of mean normalized expression across fresh and cryopreserved cells from patient 325 in endothelial cells of cluster 10 (no differentially expressed genes, left; n = 101 cells) and cluster 11 (maximal number of differentially expressed genes across all clusters, right; n = 272 cells). Red dots indicate differentially expressed genes (Benjamini–Hochberg corrected P < 0.01; see Methods). Diagonal (solid black line) and log2 fold changes of 4 (broken black lines) are indicated. Almost all differentially expressed genes for cluster 11 exhibit log2 fold changes of less than 4. 

h, Bar plot showing the fraction of sorted cells which passed quality filtering (see Methods) after scRNA-seq. Error bars are derived from the sampling error assuming binomial counting statistics. 

f, fresh samples; C, cryopreserved samples. 

i, t-SNE map highlighting cells sequenced from mixed plates representing unbiased samples for patients 301 and 304. Without any enrichment strategy, hepatocytes and immune cells strongly dominate and endothelial cells and EPCAM+ cells are rarely sequenced. 

j, Table of patient information. CCM, colon cancer metastasis; ICC, intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma; LR, liver resection.
Extended Data Fig. 2 | The endothelial cell compartment is a heterogeneous mixture of subpopulations. a, Expression heat map of genes upregulated in endothelial cell clusters (Benjamini–Hochberg corrected $P < 0.01; n = 1,830$ cells; see Methods). For each cluster the top ten upregulated genes were extracted and expression of the joint set is shown in the heat map across all endothelial cell clusters. Genes were ordered by hierarchical clustering. b, Expression $t$-SNE maps for the LSEC and MaVEC marker genes $\text{PECAM1, CLEC4G, CD34, CLEC4M and FLT1}$. c, Expression $t$-SNE maps for $\text{VWF, AQP1, CCL21, TFF3, UNC5B and IGFBP5}$. d, Expression $t$-SNE maps for $\text{CPE and CLU}$. e, Expression $t$-SNE map for $\text{H19}$. f, Colour bars indicate log 2 normalized expression. $n = 10,372$ cells. f, Immunostaining of $\text{CD34, CLEC4G, PECAM1 and AQP1}$ in normal liver tissue from the Human Protein Atlas. The portal area for $\text{AQP1}$ is enlarged to show positive staining of both bile duct cells and portal MaVECs (black arrows).
Extended Data Fig. 3 | See next page for caption.
**Extended Data Fig. 3 | Evolutionary conservation of zonation profiles.**

**a,** Diffusion maps highlighting inferred dpt and Alb expression (left), and a self-organizing map for mouse hepatocyte single-cell RNA-seq data (right; see Methods). See Fig. 2 for details. 

**b,** Heat map showing the spatial hepatocyte zonation profiles (nine zones) inferred by Halpern et al. using the same ordering of genes as in **a, c,** Pearson's correlation coefficient of zonation profiles inferred by Halpern et al. and our dpt approach after discretizing dpt-inferred zonation profiles into nine equally sized bins. We found that 1,347 out of 1,684 genes (80%) above the expression cutoff exhibited a positive correlation between the two methods. 

**d,** Pearson's correlation coefficient as a function of average normalized expression. Negative correlations are enriched at low expression, and Pearson's correlation of zonation profiles positively correlates with expression (Spearman's $R = 0.25$; $n = 1,684$ genes). 

**e,** t-SNE map of single-cell transcriptomes highlighting the clusters generated by RaceID3, run separately on hepatocytes (clusters 11, 14, and 17 in Fig. 1c). The map reveals a major group of hepatocyte clusters and a number of small clusters that co-express T cell-related genes, B cell-related genes or progenitor genes. 

**f,** t-SNE maps highlighting the expression of ALB, the immune cell marker gene PTPRC, the B cell marker gene IGKC, and the progenitor marker gene EPCAM. The colour bar indicates log, normalized expression. Co-expression of hepatocyte and immune cell markers could either indicate the presence of doublets or be due to spillover of highly expressed genes such as ALB between cells during library preparation. For the zonation analysis (Fig. 2), only cells in clusters 3, 7, 19, 4, 2, 9, 8 and 11 from the map in **e** were included. 

**g,** Immunostaining for the perportal markers CPS1, PCK1, MTHFS, and GATM from the Human Protein Atlas. The zonation module containing each gene in the SOM (Fig. 2a) is indicated in parentheses. P, portal tracts; C, central veins. 

**h,** Pathways enriched for genes in hepatocyte central/mid modules 24 and 33 (top; $n = 659$ genes) and perportal modules 1 and 3 (bottom; $n = 422$ genes) (compare with Fig. 2a). 

**i,** Immunostaining of the central marker ENG from the Human Protein Atlas. The zonation module in the SOM (Fig. 2b) is indicated in parentheses. 

**j,** Pathways enriched for genes in endothelial central/mid modules 1 and 3 (top; $n = 422$ genes) and perportal module 20 (bottom; $n = 73$ genes) (compare with Fig. 2b). 

**h, j,** $P$ values in the pathway enrichment analysis were calculated using a hypergeometric model and adjusted using the Benjamini–Hochberg method (see Methods). 

**k,** Pearson's correlation coefficient of hepatocyte zonation profiles of orthologue pairs of human and mouse genes. Mouse data are from Halpern et al. ($n = 967$ genes) 

**l,** Pearson's correlation coefficient of endothelial cell (including MVECs and LSECs) zonation profiles of orthologue pairs of human and mouse genes ($n = 977$ genes). Mouse data are from Halpern et al. See Methods for details.
Extended Data Fig. 4 | The human liver contains different Kupffer cell populations. a, Expression t-SNE maps of marker genes for Kupffer cell subtypes. The colour bar indicates log₂ normalized expression (n = 10,372 cells). b, Major pathways upregulated in the CD1C⁺ antigen-presenting (n = 12 genes) and LILRB5⁺ metabolic/immunoregulatory (n = 35 genes) Kupffer cell subsets as revealed by Reactome pathway analysis. The number of genes in each pathway is shown on the x axis. P values were calculated using a hypergeometric model and adjusted using the Benjamini–Hochberg method. c, Expression heat map of genes upregulated in Kupffer cell clusters (Benjamini–Hochberg corrected P < 0.01, see Methods). For each cluster, the top ten upregulated genes were extracted and expression of the joint set is shown in the heat map across all Kupffer cell clusters. Genes were ordered by hierarchical clustering.
Extended Data Fig. 5 | The human liver contains different B cell populations. Expression t-SNE maps of the markers for the B cell subtypes. The colour bars indicate log₂ normalized expression (n = 10,372 cells).
Extended Data Fig. 6 | Heterogeneity of NK and NKT cells in the human liver. a–c. Expression t-SNE maps of inferred markers of cluster 5 (a), cluster 1 (b) and cluster 3 (c). Cluster 5 comprises mainly CD56+CD8A− NK cells, some of which show upregulated CCL4. Cluster 1 comprises CD56−CD8A+ NKT cells, which show upregulated CCL5. Cluster 3 consists of both CD56+ and CD56−CD8A+ NKT cells. Clusters 1 and 3 express T cell receptor components exemplified by CD3D co-receptor expression. d. Differential expression of killer cell lectin-like receptor genes across the different populations shown in a–c. e. Differential expression of granzyme genes across the different populations shown in a–c. Colour bars indicate log₂ normalized expression. a–e, n = 10,372 cells.
Extended Data Fig. 7 | See next page for caption.
Extended Data Fig. 7 | scRNA-seq identifies marker genes expressed by EPCAM+ cells. a, Expression t-SNE maps (left) for EPCAM, CD24, FGFR2, TACSTD2, CLDN1, TM4SF4, WWTR1 and ANXA4 (n = 10,372 cells) and immunohistochemistry from the Human Protein Atlas (right) for CLDN1, TM4SF4, WWTR1, and ANXA4. b, Expression t-SNE maps for ASGR1 and CFTR (n = 10,372 cells). c, t-SNE maps showing expression of KRT19, ALB, TACSTD2 and MUC6 in the EPCAM+ compartment (n = 1,087 cells). a–c, Colour bars indicate log2 normalized expression. d, Expression heat map of proliferation marker genes (MKI67, PCNA), AFP, and identified markers of the EPCAM+ compartment. Genes highlighted in red correspond to newly identified markers of the EPCAM+ compartment. The heat map comprises all clusters to show the specificity of the markers for the progenitor compartment. The expression analysis confirms the absence of proliferating and AFP+ cells. e, Immunofluorescence labelling of EPCAM and KRT19 on human liver tissue. EPCAM+ KRT19low/- cells (solid arrow) in the canals of Hering (asterisk) and EPCAM+ KRT19+ cells (broken arrow) in the bile duct (arrowhead) are indicated. Nuclei are stained with DAPI. Scale bar, 10 μm (n = 3 independent experiments).
Extended Data Fig. 8 | See next page for caption.
Extended Data Fig. 8 | The EPCAM+ compartment segregates into different major subpopulations. a, Separate RaceID3 and StemID2 analyses of the EPCAM+ and hepatocyte populations reveal a lineage tree connecting EPCAM+ cells to mature hepatocytes via an EPCAM+ hepatocyte progenitor cluster (part of the EPCAM+ population in Fig. 3b). Shown are links with StemID2 P < 0.05. The node colour highlights transcriptome entropy. b, Two-dimensional diffusion map representation of the population shown in a, highlighting expression of the hepatocyte marker ALB (left), EPCAM (centre), and the mature cholangiocyte marker CFTR (right). The maps suggest continuous transitions from the EPCAM+ compartment towards hepatocytes and mature cholangiocytes. c, Expression t-SNE map of EPCAM (top) and the hepatocyte marker ASGR1 (bottom) for the population shown in a. Colour bars indicate log2 normalized expression. b, c, n = 3,877 cells. d, Expression heat map of de novo identified markers of the EPCAM+ compartment, highlighting the expression distribution within clusters of this population only (Fig. 3). e, Expression heat map of all genes that were differentially expressed in the more mature clusters, belonging to the groups denoted as ‘hepatocyte fate’ and ‘cholangiocyte fate’. For each of these clusters, the top ten upregulated genes (Benjamini–Hochberg corrected P < 0.01) were selected, and the joint set of these genes is shown in the figure. f, Expression t-SNE maps of CXCL8, MMP7 and HP. Colour bars indicate log2 normalized expression. d–f, n = 1,087 cells. g, Normalized expression counts of ALB, KRT19 and TACSTD2 in cells sequenced from the gates in Fig. 4a (n = 293 cells). Centre line, mean; boxes, interquartile range; whiskers, 5% and 95% quantiles; data points, outliers. h, t-SNE map of RaceID3 clusters for organoid cells and EPCAM+ cells from patients (Fig. 3), including cells sorted from the gates in a. i, Expression t-SNE maps of EPCAM, CD24 and AQP1 in organoid cells and EPCAM+ cells from patients. j, Expression t-SNE maps of SFRP5, ALB, AGR2 and MKI67. Colour bars indicate log2 normalized expression. h–j, n = 2,870 cells. k, GSEA of genes that are differentially expressed between organoid and EPCAM+ liver cells from patients (Benjamini–Hochberg corrected P < 0.01, n = 11,610 genes; see Methods).
Extended Data Fig. 9 | See next page for caption.
Extended Data Fig. 9 | Cell types from patient-derived HCC exhibit perturbed gene expression signatures. a, FACS plot of CD45 and ASGR1 staining on cells from HCC samples (n = 3 independent experiments). b, Symbol t-SNE map showing the IDs of HCC patients (n = 11,654 cells). c, t-SNE map showing RaceID3 clusters for normal liver cells co-analysed with cells from HCC tissues (n = 3 patients). d, Expression heat map of genes that are differentially expressed between cancer cells from HCC and normal hepatocytes (Benjamini–Hochberg corrected P < 0.05 and log2 fold change > 1.6; n = 256 cells; see Methods). Genes highlighted in red correspond to differentially expressed genes validated by immunohistochemistry. e, Immunostaining of CPS1 and CYP2C8 in normal liver and HCC tissues from the Human Protein Atlas. f, Expression heat map of genes that are differentially expressed between endothelial cells from HCC and normal endothelial cells from MaVEC and LSEC clusters. Benjamini–Hochberg corrected P < 0.05; log2 fold change > 1.5; n = 1,936 cells; see Methods). Genes highlighted in red correspond to differentially expressed genes validated by immunohistochemistry. g, Immunostaining of CD34, LAMB1, AQ1 and PLVAP in normal liver and HCC tissues from the Human Protein Atlas. h, Heat map of genes that are differentially expressed between normal and HCC-resident NK and NKT cells (Benjamini–Hochberg corrected P < 0.05; n = 2,754 cells; see Methods). i, Heat map of genes that are differentially expressed between normal and HCC-resident Kupffer cells (Benjamini–Hochberg corrected P < 0.05; n = 991 cells; see Methods). j, GSEA of genes that are differentially expressed between normal and HCC-resident NK and NKT cells (n = 15,442 genes). k, GSEA of genes that are differentially expressed between normal and HCC-resident Kupffer cells (n = 15,442 genes).
Extended Data Fig. 10 | Transplanted human liver cells in a humanized mouse model exhibit a distinct gene signature compared to cells within the human liver. a, t-SNE map of RaceID3 clusters of liver cells from patients co-analysed with cells from the humanized mouse liver model. b, Expression t-SNE maps of the hepatocyte marker gene ALB. c, Expression t-SNE maps of the endothelial marker CLEC4G. d, Expression t-SNE maps of HP, PCK1 and CCND1. e, Expression t-SNE maps of the liver endothelial cell zonated genes LYVE1, FCN3 and CD14. f, Expression t-SNE maps of PECAM1, CD34 and AQP1. a–f, Colour bars indicate log2 normalized expression. n = 10,683 cells. g, Heat maps of genes that are differentially expressed between hepatocytes (n = 3,175 cells) and endothelial cells (n = 1,710 cells) from patients (human hepatocytes and human endothelial cells) and from the humanized mouse model (HMouse hepatocytes and HMouse endothelial cells). Benjamini–Hochberg corrected P < 0.05; see Methods.
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Sample size
No statistical tests or analyses were used to predetermine sample size. The patient material was limited, and we followed standards in the field. Reproducibility was assessed based on co-clustering of single-cell transcriptome data from different patients.

Data exclusions
No exclusion was applied to the raw data. For the final count matrix, prior to normalization of single-cell RNA-seq data, cells expressing >2% of Kcnq1ot1 transcripts, a previously identified marker of low quality cells were excluded from the analysis. Moreover, transcripts correlating to Kcnq1ot1 with a Pearson’s correlation coefficient >0.65 were also removed as detailed in the Methods.

Replication
For the normal human liver cell atlas, cells were sequenced from 9 patients. From the hepatocellular carcinoma samples, cells were sequenced from 3 patients. Attempts at replication were successful.

Randomization
One group of randomly selected normal liver samples and another group of randomly selected HCC samples were analyzed. No randomization was required.

Blinding
Blinding was not used. Normal liver material and HCC material were used in the study, and measurement and data did not require subjective judgement from the investigators.
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Obtaining unique materials
Human liver tissue samples were obtained from patients who had undergone liver resections between 2015 and 2018 at the Center for Digestive and Liver Disease (Pôle Hépato-digestif) of the Strasbourg University Hospitals University of Strasbourg, France. For the human liver cell atlas, samples were acquired from patients without chronic liver disease (defined as liver damage lasting over a period of at least six months), genetic hemochromatosis with homozygote C282Y mutation, active alcohol consumption (> 20 g/d in women and > 30 g/d in men), active infectious disease, pregnancy or any contraindication for liver...
Samples (patient BP1) and tissue blocks were obtained from Biopredic International. All unique materials used are readily available from the authors.

**Antibodies**

**Antibodies used**

| Antibody | Catalog Number | Dilution |
|----------|----------------|----------|
| CD45 (Biolegend, Cat 304023 Clone HI30 Lot B253585) | 1:100 |
| PECAM1 (Biolegend, Cat 303111 Clone WM59 Lot B230255) | 1:100 |
| CD34 (Biolegend, Cat 343609 Clone 561 Lot B226708) | 1:100 |
| CLEC4G (R&D systems, Cat FAB2947A Clone #845404 Lot ADX017051) | 1:100 |
| ASGR1 (BD Biosciences, Cat 564655 Clone 8D7 Lot 8101863) | 1:100 |
| EPCAM (R&D systems, Cat FAB960R Lot AETV0117121) | 1:50 |
| TROP2 (Biolegend, Cat 363803 Clone NY18 Lot B213566) | 1:50 |
| KRT19 (HPA002465 Lot A104888) | 1:100 |
| EPCAM (SAB4200704 Clone Ber-EP4 Lot 046M4864) | 1:100 |
| donkey anti-rabbit IgG-AF488 (A21206 Lot 2045215) | 1:200 |
| goat anti-mouse IgG-AF568 (A11019 Lot 1841756) | 1:200 |

**Validation**

The positive antibody signals for FACS were validated based on control samples that were not stained with the antibodies.

- CD45 antibody: Knapp W, et al. 1989. Leucocyte Typing IV. Oxford University Press. New York. Kishihara K, et al. 1993. Cell 74:143.
- PECAM1 antibody: Schlossman S, et al. Eds. 1995. Leucocyte Typing V Oxford University Press. New York. Muczynski KA, et al. 2003. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol. 14:1336. (IF)
- CD34 antibody: Croockewit AJ, et al. 1998. Scand. J. Immunol. 47:82. Rosenzweig M, et al. 2001. J. Med. Primatol. 30:36.
- CLEC4G antibody: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28270408
- ASGR1 antibody: Mizuno M, Yamada G, Nagashima H. Development of a monoclonal antibody identifying an antigen which is segregated to the sinusoidal and lateral plasma membranes of rat hepatocytes. J Gastroenterol. 1986; 21(3):238-244.
- EPCAM antibody: Detects human EpCAM/TROP-1 in direct ELISAs and Western blots. In direct ELISAs, less than 1% cross-reactivity with recombinant human (rh) ALCAM, rhBCAM, rhMCAM, rhNCAM L1, and recombinant mouse OCAM is observed. TROP2 antibody: Huang H, et al. 2005. Clin. Cancer Res. 11:4357.
- KRT19 antibody: Used for the Human Protein Atlas, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16000376
- EPCAM antibody: EpCAM in carcinogenesis: the good, the bad or the ugly van der Gun BT, et al. Carcinogenesis 31(11), 1913-1921, (2010)
- donkey anti-rabbit IgG-AF488: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25225625
- goat anti-mouse IgG-AF568: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26897458

**Animals and other organisms**

Policy information about studies involving animals: ARRIVE guidelines recommended for reporting animal research

**Laboratory animals**

- Six week old male and female Fah−/−/Rag2−−/Il2rg−−/− (FRG) mice kept at the Inserm Unit 1110 SPF 742 1,3 cyclohexanedione (NTBC) in drinking water were used in the experiments.

**Wild animals**

- The study did not involve wild animals.

**Field-collected samples**

- The study did not involve samples collected from the field.

**Human research participants**

Policy information about studies involving human research participants

**Population characteristics**

The study enrolled biomaterials from 9 patients without chronic liver disease; the patients were 5 M and 4 F with age ranging from 34 to 77 yrs old and underwent liver resection for colon cancer metastasis (n=8) and intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma (n=1). The resected tissue distant from the tumor was used. The study also involved biomaterial from 3 patients (1 M and 2 F, age 65-71 yrs old) affected by hepatocellular carcinoma who underwent surgical tumor resection.

**Recruitment**

Only patients who had liver resections because of colorectal cancer metastasis or cholangiocarcinoma were selected for this study. These patients are hospitalised and receive cancer treatment. Therefore, the effect of medication, surgical procedure (such as ischemia) or the presence of other diseases outside the resected normal tissue (e. g. colorectal cancer, cholangiocarcinoma) on liver cell gene expression cannot be excluded. However, for ethical and regulatory reasons it is virtually impossible to obtain liver tissue from normal volunteers without any co-morbidity since it requires an invasive intervention. The participants were recruited at the Novel Hopital Civil of Strasbourg. The indication for liver resection was made independently by the treating surgeons. Among patients undergoing liver resection for colorectal cancer metastasis or cholangiocarcinoma, no clinical exclusion criteria were applied, and samples from patients of different ages and gender were used in the study. The protocols followed the ethical principles of the declaration of Helsinki and were approved by the local Ethics Committee of the University of Strasbourg Hospitals and by the French Ministry of Education and Research (Ministère de l’Éducation Nationale, de l’Enseignement Supérieur et de la Recherche; approval number DC-2016-723 2616). One sample (patient BP1) was obtained from the company Biopredic along with all required documentation and approvals.
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Methodology

Sample preparation

Human liver specimens obtained from resections were perfused for 15 minutes with calcium-free 4- (2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazine ethanesulfonic acid buffer containing 0.5 mM ethylene glycol tetraacetic acid (Fluka) followed by perfusion with 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazine ethanesulfonic acid containing 0.5 mg/mL collagenase (Sigma-Aldrich) and 0.075% CaCl2 at 37°C for 15 min as previously described. Then the cells were washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and nonviable cells were removed by Percoll (Sigma-Aldrich) gradient centrifugation. Part of the isolated cells was further separated into primary human hepatocytes (PHH) and non-parenchymal cells (NPCs) by an additional centrifugation step. The isolated cells were frozen in liquid nitrogen using the CryoStor® CS10 solution (Sigma-Aldrich) or processed directly.

FACS. Liver cells were sorted from mixed, hepatocyte, and non-parenchymal cell fractions on an Aria Fusion I using a 100 μm nozzle. Cells from the HCC samples were not fractionated and were sorted directly after tissue digestion. Zombie Green (Biolegend) was used as a viability dye. Cells were stained with human specific antibodies against CD45 (Biolegend), PECAM1 (Biolegend), CD34 (Biolegend), CLEC4G (R&D systems), ASGR1 (BD Biosciences), EPCAM (R&D systems), and TROP2 (Biolegend). Organoids were stained with antibodies against EPCAM and ASGR1. For the humanized mouse samples, cells were stained either with antibodies against ASGR1 and PECAM1 or human HLA-ABC (BD Biosciences) and mouse H2-Kb (BD Biosciences). Viable cells were sorted in an unbiased fashion or from specific populations based on the expression of markers into the wells of 384 well plates containing lysis buffer.

Instrument

Aria Fusion I

Software

Flowjo

Cell population abundance

The EPCAM+ compartment made up around 0.2-1.5% of the viable liver cell populations from different patients. CD45+ population made up around 20-30% of the viable liver cell populations. The CD45-ASGR1- population made up around 20-30% of the viable cell populations. CLEC4G+ cells made up around 6% of the viable cell populations.

Gating strategy

FSC/SSC gates excluded cells with very low FSC and were set to include populations of different sizes and morphologies and big enough so as not to exclude any liver cell populations. Only single cells were gated from this gate by gating out doublets based on FSC-H/FSC-W and SSC-H/SSC-W. Viable cells that had a negative signal for the zombie green dye were then gated on. Positive signals for the viability dye and the antibodies against the markers were determined after comparing to an unstained samples and samples stained only with the viability dye which was gated on viable cells.

☐ Tick this box to confirm that a figure exemplifying the gating strategy is provided in the Supplementary Information.