Human-Based Advanced in vitro Approaches to Investigate Lung Fibrosis and Pulmonary Effects of COVID-19
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The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic has caused considerable socio-economic burden, which fueled the development of treatment strategies and vaccines at an unprecedented speed. However, our knowledge on disease recovery is sparse and concerns about long-term pulmonary impairments are increasing. Causing a broad spectrum of symptoms, COVID-19 can manifest as acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) in the most severely affected patients. Notably, pulmonary infection with Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), the causing agent of COVID-19, induces diffuse alveolar damage (DAD) followed by fibrotic remodeling and persistent reduced oxygenation in some patients. It is currently not known whether tissue scarring fully resolves or progresses to interstitial pulmonary fibrosis. The most aggressive form of pulmonary fibrosis is idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF). IPF is a fatal disease that progressively destroys alveolar architecture by uncontrolled fibroblast proliferation and the deposition of collagen and extracellular matrix (ECM) proteins. It is assumed that micro-injuries to the alveolar epithelium may be induced by inhalation of micro-particles, pathophysiological mechanical stress or viral infections, which can result in abnormal wound healing response. However, the exact underlying causes and molecular mechanisms of lung fibrosis are poorly understood due to the limited availability of clinically relevant models. Recently, the emergence of SARS-CoV-2 with the urgent need to investigate its pathogenesis and address drug options, has led to the broad application of in vivo and in vitro models to study lung diseases. In particular, advanced in vitro models including precision-cut lung slices (PCLS), lung organoids, 3D in vitro tissues and lung-on-chip (LOC) models have been successfully employed for drug screens. In order to gain a deeper understanding of SARS-CoV-2 infection and ultimately alveolar tissue...
regeneration, it will be crucial to optimize the available models for SARS-CoV-2 infection in multicellular systems that recapitulate tissue regeneration and fibrotic remodeling. Current evidence for SARS-CoV-2 mediated pulmonary fibrosis and a selection of classical and novel lung models will be discussed in this review.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is a zoonotic disease caused by the novel Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). SARS-CoV-2 is the seventh strain similar to the common cold, while SARS-CoV and Middle East Respiratory Syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) can result in severe viral pneumonia with a high mortality and have been responsible for two epidemic outbreaks in the twenty-first century (1). Compared to SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-2 is more easily transmitted from human to human which has allowed it to evolve into a worldwide pandemic (2). SARS-CoV-2 enters the human body via the respiratory tract and reaches its initial main target organ, the lung. About one-fourth to one-third of hospitalized patients develop severe complications and require treatment in the intensive care unit for ~10 days or longer (3, 4), which risks a global collapse of the health care system. Countermeasures including curfews to limit the spread of SARS-CoV-2 have caused dramatic economic losses (5). Despite improved management of critically ill patients (6) this situation can only be resolved by effective treatment strategies and COVID-19 vaccines. Four COVID-19 vaccines have already been approved in Europe (ema.europa.eu) and various other vaccines are currently being developed or have entered late-phase clinical trials (7). In parallel, inhibitory compounds are tested for re-purposing (8, 9). *In vitro* models of the respiratory tract have significantly contributed to screening for promising drug candidates such as remdesivir, camostat, imatinib, and Retro-2.1 and have helped elucidating the molecular mechanisms of host-pathogen interactions in more detail (10–15). Increasing knowledge about the course of COVID-19 raised concerns regarding its long-term consequences. Experts warn that SARS-CoV-2 might cause long-lasting or persisting interstitial pulmonary fibrosis, an incurable clinical condition marked by abnormal fibrogenesis in the alveolar wall resulting in a progressive reduction of pulmonary function and gas exchange in the lung (16). Recent studies show that severe or critically ill COVID-19 survivors have reduced diffusion capacity and oxygenation levels compared to mildly or moderately sick patients 4 months after infection (17). Whether these impairments resolve, remain or evolve into persisting pulmonary fibrosis is currently unknown.

This review focuses on the clinical course of COVID-19 in the lung and relates the pathology to the underlying molecular biology. Furthermore, we will discuss interstitial pulmonary fibrosis, with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) as the worst example, and how COVID-19 may lead to pulmonary fibrosis. Finally, we will review available *in vivo* and *in vitro* models of lung fibrosis and SARS-CoV-2 infection to propose the most suited advanced *in vitro* models for studying COVID-19-associated pulmonary fibrosis.

**PATHOGENESIS OF COVID-19 IN THE LUNG**

**Fundamental Processes of Breathing: The Biology and Regeneration of the Lung Epithelium**

The respiratory tract is continuously exposed to inhaled particles and pathogens. Therefore, it is lined by a highly specialized epithelium, which can be divided into conducting airways and alveoli based on their location and primary function. The pseudostratified epithelium in the proximal airways harbors secretory club and goblet cells, which produce a protective layer of mucus toward the lumen. The terminally differentiated ciliated cells convey the mucus layer upwards to clear trapped particles. Basal cells are able to differentiate into secretory or ciliated cells and are therefore considered to represent the progenitor cells of the airway epithelium, though most cell types of the airway epithelium are highly plastic ([18]; Figure 1A). On the distal end, the conducting airways branch into bronchioles and ultimately in the alveoli. These sac-shaped units represent one of the largest body surfaces in constant contact with the environment essential for efficient gas exchange. About 95% of the alveolar surface is covered by highly specialized flattened type I alveolar...
epithelial (ATI) cells (19). They form an ultra-thin epithelial-blood barrier with the pulmonary microvasculature endothelial cells, supporting efficient oxygen and CO₂ passive diffusion (20). Together with ATI cells, type II alveolar epithelial (ATII) cells are the main constituents of the highly differentiated alveolar epithelium, which closely interacts with surrounding cells in the niche including alveolar macrophages (AMΦ), microvascular cells, and fibroblasts (Figure 1B).

ATII cells are cuboidal cells often located at the edges of the alveolar sacs and, as opposed to the flat and large ATI cells, account for a small fraction of the alveolar surface. ATII cells produce pulmonary surfactant, a lipid-protein complex with exceptional surface tension lowering properties (21). By doing so, they sustain the breathing function and protect the delicate alveolar structure from collapsing upon exhalation (21). ATII cells also have a role in innate immunity and take part in surfactant recycling. But most importantly, ATII cells are capable of self-renewal and differentiation into ATI cells, which allows re-epithelialization upon injury (reviewed in (22)).

Already in 1977, Mason and Williams termed ATII cells as "the defender of the alveolus" for their central role in lung homeostasis (23). However, what exactly defines an ATII cell has been a matter of discussion for years (24). In vitro, isolated human ATII cells behave as facultative stem cells giving rise to alveolar organoids containing multiple cell types (25, 26). Recent studies have suggested that different ATII subtypes may coexist within what has been classically considered as ATII cells, including the proposed alveolar epithelial progenitors comprising TM4SF1+ cells which are highly responsive to Wnt signaling (26).

Rising evidence supports the role of other cell types in alveolar tissue repair together with ATII cells. A subset of Hopx+ ATI cells has been suggested as a source of ATII cells via transdifferentiation upon injury (27). Other studies have proven that a rare basal-like p63+ Krt5+ epithelial cell population migrates to sites of injury in the distal lung to re-create the damaged barrier in the mouse [reviewed in (28)]. In humans, such a population has not been found to date, but bronchiolization is a common histologic finding after injury. In addition, the contribution of basaloid cells in the repair process is supported by the finding of basaloid cells in the damaged areas of patients suffering from IPF, an aggressive form of progressive interstitial pulmonary fibrosis with unknown cause, although several risk factors have been identified [reviewed in (28)]. Recently, EpCAM+ CD73+ epithelial cells, which localize at the basal membrane of the respiratory and alveolar epithelium, have also been suggested as progenitors for both, pseudostratified mucociliary and mature alveolar epithelium in the postnatal and adult human lung (29).

Further, the contribution of stromal cells to ATII cell stemness maintenance and tissue repair cannot be neglected. Lung fibroblasts have been shown to support progenitor ATII cell characteristics in vitro and in vivo in mice (25, 30, 31) and human (25), underscoring the relevance of Wnt signals as determinants for ATII cell fate. On the other hand, fibroblasts, and myofibroblasts are also responsible for extracellular matrix (ECM) deposition and wound closure upon alveolar injury (32).

In summary, repair in the alveolar epithelium is characterized by an acute inflammatory phase, progenitor differentiation and migration, wound closure and finally, resolution (33). Upon injury, ATII cells behave as facultative stem cells and activate their regenerative response becoming hyperplastic. These ATII cells will either self-renew, migrate to the site of injury and differentiate into ATI cells, or undergo apoptosis. These processes depend on the balance of different mediators and a complex cell-cell crosstalk in which stromal cells and AMΦ are crucial players (34). Some studies point at the pro-inflammatory and oxidative environment as a driving force for differentiation and repair in the mouse [reviewed in (22)], with Wnt signaling as a key regulator for ATII cell differentiation (35). Further, the relevance of ATII cells in the repair process is highlighted by studies in which ATII-targeted damage or cellular intrinsic alterations, rather genetic or due to aging, lead to aberrant tissue remodeling (36, 37).

It is also important to consider that the lung is subject to mechanical stress and deformation which is essential for several key biological events such as lung development (38) and pulmonary surfactant secretion (39–41). The correlation between alveolar inflation to the corresponding increase in alveolar surface area is still debatable. Nevertheless, during restful breathing, also termed as tidal breathing (defined as 40–80% of TLC, total lung capacity), alveolar linear strain has been suggested to go from 4 to 10% (42–45), up to even higher than 20% during exercise or deep sighs (42, 43, 45, 46). Hence, local mechanical tension and stiffness changes which occur along the repair process converge with the forces supporting breathing (38). In fact, breathing-like cyclic strain has been proven to influence the regenerative epithelial response as shown by wound closure experiments in vitro (47–51). Mechanical ventilation with high tidal volumes, on the other hand, has been observed to amplify lung damage in animal models and in ventilated patients suffering from different respiratory pathologies (52, 53). In fact, mechanical stress has been suggested as an important factor for fibrogenesis (54). Considering this, protective ventilation protocols have been adopted to prevent ventilation-associated lung injury in COVID-19 patients (55).

Besides stretch, the alveolar niche sustains other mechanical forces such as shear stress and surface tension. At the alveolar epithelium, surface tension, and the so-called interfacial stress dominate particularly at low volumes (45). These forces stem from the continuous change in area exposed to the air, its lipid and protein composition, which adapts very quickly to meet different respiratory demands (58, 59), and has been suggested to be refined along breathing cycles in a mechanism assisted by surfactant proteins (60–64). In the context of surfactant exhaustion, higher surface tension may then act as a trigger for further surfactant release to restore alveolar homeostasis. This system fails in pathological conditions in which aberrant...
surfactant composition (65–67) contributes to associated higher surface tension and repetitive tissue damage (54), thus stressing the relevance of surfactant and ATII as a secreting cell in addition to its role in repair.

Altogether, this evidence highlights the complexity of alveolar epithelial repair and the central role played by ATII cells. Hence, we speculate that targeted ATII cell injury such as that caused by SARS-CoV-2 infection may increase alveolar susceptibility to injury and aberrant tissue repair, with severe long-term consequences even after disease resolution.

**Molecular Mechanisms of SARS-CoV-2 Infection in the Lung**

The initial step of coronavirus infection involves binding of the viral spike (S) protein to the compatible receptor on the surface of the target cell (68). Like the closely related SARS-CoV,
SARS-CoV-2 uses angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) as an essential entry receptor into human cells. In contrast to SARS-CoV, the receptor binding domain (RBD) of SARS-CoV-2 S protein even exhibits higher binding affinity for human ACE2 (69–72) but seems to be less exposed possibly enabling immune evasion (70). As a consequence, ACE2 affinity of SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 full-length S protein is comparable enabling both viruses to attach to human ACE2 but not to other coronavirus entry receptors such as aminopeptidase N (APN) and dipeptidyl peptidase 4 (DPP4) (11, 73, 74).

Subsequently, proteolytic cleavage of the S protein exposes the fusion domain and enables virus entry into the host cell (75). Multiple proteases can fulfill this function such as transmembrane protease serine subtype 2 (TMPRSS2) and cathepsin B/L in case of SARS-CoV (76–79) or TMPRSS2, cathepsin L, and Furin for MERS-CoV (80, 81). Strong evidence for SARS-CoV-2 S protein priming by TMPRSS2 and cathepsin L has been gathered in vitro (11, 69, 82).

Therefore, cells co-expressing ACE2 and TMPRSS2 can potentially be infected by SARS-CoV-2. Single cell RNA sequencing data analysis has revealed ACE2 expressing cells in multiple organs, though it is generally expressed at low levels. This suggests that ACE2 expression is the limiting factor for SARS-CoV-2 infection (83, 84). However, enriched expression of ACE2 protein and co-expression with TMPRSS2 potentially renders alveolar epithelial cells and enterocytes particularly vulnerable to SARS-CoV-2 (83–85). Accordingly, SARS-CoV-2 RNA is detected prominently in the respiratory tract but occasionally also in the feces and blood of COVID-19 patients (86–88). In the respiratory tract, SARS-CoV-2 is detected in diagnostic samples and tissue specimens from different anatomical sites implying that it can replicate throughout the airway and lung epithelium (74, 89–91). Despite overall low ACE2 expression levels in the respiratory tract, about 20% of lung cells have been found to express ACE2 mRNA (82). The highest levels of ACE2 are reached in the nasal epithelium and gradually decrease from the proximal airways toward the distal lung (82, 83, 92). Accordingly, viral yields are higher in nasal swabs than throat swabs indicating that the nasal epithelium is the initial site of SARS-CoV-2 infection, replication, and shedding (74). The infection can propagate further as ACE2 and TMPRSS2 expression is found throughout the airway epithelium, particularly in ciliated and secretory cells (83, 92). Correspondingly, ciliated cells and goblet cells in the trachea and bronchi are efficiently infected by SARS-CoV-2, whereas basal cells are permissive for SARS-CoV-2 to a lower extent (13, 14, 82, 93, 94); Figure 1C]. The finding that SARS-CoV-2 does not infect ciliated cells of distal lung organoids but exhibits a strong tropism for club cells seems contradictory (95). However, the cell tropism of SARS-CoV-2 might shift among different anatomical sites given the highly variable infection efficiencies reported for in vitro cultured ciliated, goblet and club cells (14, 82, 95). Moreover, ACE2 is upregulated upon interferon (INF) stimulation to protect the tissue during acute lung injury (96). Despite inducing an imbalanced immune response and delayed IFN signaling (97), we cannot rule out that SARS-CoV-2 infection itself might trigger INF-mediated upregulation of ACE2 promoting infection. Taken together, it is likely that SARS-CoV-2 initially infects and replicates in the nasal epithelium, particularly in ciliated cells, achieves high titers in the proximal airways and reaches the alveoli by aspiration through the airways [(74, 82, 90); Figure 1D].

In the alveoli, SARS-CoV-2 can be detected in ATI and ATII cells, endothelial cells and immune cells of deceased COVID-19 patients, which is in line with experimental findings from 3D in vitro models (82, 95, 98–101). Infection of ATI cells, endothelial cells and alveolar immune cells presumably results in a disturbed immune response and persistent inflammation (98–100). However, based on the analysis of single cell RNA sequencing datasets and in vitro infectivity experiments it has been suggested that ATII cells represent the primary target of SARS-CoV-2 in the alveoli [(82, 83, 92, 95, 100–102); Figure 1E]. Notably, increased susceptibility of an ATII cell subpopulation has been consistently reported by in vitro studies (99, 101). Gene expression profiling revealed an apoptotic signature and a strong downregulation of ATII-specific genes including surfactant proteins in heavily infected ATII cell models (101–103). In line with in vitro data, the induction of apoptotic pathways paralleled by a significant downregulation of surfactant protein transcripts is also apparent in ATII cells of COVID-19 patients (103) suggesting that SARS-CoV-2 infection results in the loss of ATII cell identity and function. Ultimately, this potentially leads to reduced surfactant production and consequently, alveolar collapse, massive tissue damage, and scarring (54). Therefore, further investigations on this fatal course of the disease are critical. To date, it is unclear whether these highly infected cells secrete viral particles, what are the immunological and clinical consequences and why a subpopulation of ATII cells seems to be more vulnerable than others. Possibly, SARS-CoV-2 relies on different entry mechanisms among different cell types and subsets. For example, it has been shown that TMPRSS2 is critical for SARS-CoV-2 entry in ATI cells but cathepsin B/L seems to be dispensable (102). Furthermore, as opposed to SARS-CoV, SARS-CoV-2 can exploit a wider range of host factors for cell entry, which can act synergistically with initial ACE2 attachment and TMPRSS2 cleavage. Detailed resolution of the sequence and structure of SARS-CoV-2 S protein has revealed only 73% similarity to SARS-CoV S protein RBD (104) and the presence of a multisubasic site at the S1/S2 subunit boundary of SARS-CoV-2 S protein, which creates a novel furin cleavage site (70, 71, 105). Accordingly, furin overexpression enhances SARS-CoV-2 uptake (82) and has a cumulative effect with TMPRSS2 and cathepsin L on virus entry (70). Processing of the SARS-CoV-2 S protein by furin or other members of the proprotein convertase subtilisin kexin (PCSK) family might be highly relevant during SARS-CoV-2 infection of ATI cells as a recent meta-analysis of human lung single-cell RNA sequencing datasets has demonstrated significant co-expression of ACE2 and PCSK proteases in lung cells (85). Importantly, S protein processing by furin generates a RRAR motif at the S1 C-terminus which is able to bind to Neurilpin-1 (NRP1) and Neuropilin-2 (NRP2) (106). While ACE2 is still required for initial attachment of the virus to the cell surface, NRP1 depletion significantly reduces SARS-CoV-2 uptake (106). Notably, depletion of the multisubasic S1/S2 site in SARS-CoV-2 S
protein decreases the infection efficiency in human lung cells (105) and attenuates pathogenicity in animal models (107). Whether this is due to the loss of interaction with NR1P1 and NR2P2 remains to be demonstrated. However, NR1P1 and NR2P2 are upregulated in the lung tissue of COVID-19 patients (108), which might promote disease progression.

These data indicate that ACE2 expression is critical for SARS-CoV-2 infection and mediates initial attachment (71, 105). At the same time, activation of SARS-CoV-2 S protein by TMPRSS2, cathepsin L, and furin allows it to interact with surface molecules other than ACE2 (70, 106). This is likely to confer wider tissue tropism and promotes transmissibility of SARS-CoV-2. Once SARS-CoV-2 has entered the host cell and released its positive-sense single-stranded RNA genome into the cytoplasm, viral non-structural proteins are translated to generate the viral replication and transcription complex (RTC). Furthermore, coronavirus proteins hijack the translation machinery of the host cell and favor translation of viral mRNA over cellular mRNA, inhibit the innate antiviral IFN response and interfere with normal cell function (109). Infection of alveolar cells potentially results in the most critical disease manifestation due to abrogation of ATII cell function and stimulation of an inflammatory response.

Acute Pathologic Manifestation of COVID-19 in the Lungs

SARS-CoV-2 infection results in a complex symptomatology associated with mild, moderate and severe illness or might even take an asymptomatic course (110–113). In non-hospitalized patients testing positive for SARS-CoV-2 infection, the most prevalent symptoms include cough, dyspnea, loss of smell or taste, fever and chills, myalgia, headache, body aches, sinus congestion, sore throat, nausea, diarrhea and dizziness (110, 111). Surprisingly, subclinical lung opacities and diffuse consolidation have been detected on computed tomography (CT) scans in more than half of asymptomatic COVID-19 patients (112, 114). Moreover, histologic alterations in the alveoli including edema, protein and fibrin exudate, ATII cell hyperplasia and fibroblast proliferation, inflammatory clusters and multinucleated giant cells have been reported in two pre-symptomatic cases of COVID-19 (115). Radiologic lung abnormalities seem to resolve in mildly to moderately symptomatic COVID-19 patients but the regeneration process in these patients is scarcely studied (116, 117).

In contrast, about one-third of patients—mainly elderly men with underlying comorbidities—have a severe course of the disease with a high case fatality rate (3, 4, 118–121). Host factors rather than viral factors seem to be the significant determinants for disease severity. Pre-existing comorbidities, old age, male sex, and blood group other than O have been associated with a higher susceptibility to SARS-CoV-2 and risk for a severe disease course (118, 119, 122, 123). Furthermore, clinical parameters at hospitalization are critical predictors of severe illness. These include elevated levels of coagulation markers (e.g., D-dimers) in the blood (124) and lymphocytopenia, which correlates with increased interleukin (IL)-6 and IL-8 levels and a higher risk of cytokine storm (121). Autopsies have revealed that SARS-CoV-2 infects multiple organs including upper airways, lung, heart, kidney, the vasculature and the brain (125) and as a consequence can manifest extra-pulmonary [reviewed in (126)].

However, most commonly severe COVID-19 patients develop viral pneumonia and suffer from fever, fatigue, dry cough, myalgia and dyspnea (3, 4). In these patients, SARS-CoV-2 replicates in the upper airways and the distal lung, where it causes life-threatening damage to the alveoli (90, 98, 125, 127, 128). Nearly all hospitalized COVID-19 patients present with ground-glass opacities with or without consolidation on chest CT scans that gradually worsen before death (3, 4, 119, 120, 129). Critically ill patients usually develop acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) (3, 4). ARDS can be provoked by various direct or indirect pulmonary insults. Infection, including viral infection, is a major cause for ARDS, being pneumonia the most common underlying pathology (130). ARDS is defined as the clinical manifestation of diffuse alveolar damage (DAD) (131). Correspondingly, typical histologic patterns of DAD including hyaline membrane formation, fibrin exudates, syncytial alveolar epithelial cells, diffuse ATII cell hyperplasia and the replacement of ATII cells by cuboidal ATII-like cells are apparent in the lungs of deceased COVID-19 patients [100, 120, 125, 129]; Figure 1E).

The recent description of two differential pathologic patterns in the lungs of deceased COVID-19 patients suggests that both, direct cytopathic effect of SARS-CoV-2 and a deleterious inflammatory immune response, can cause fatal alveolar damage (132). As a consequence, marked hypoxia develops and results in the enlargement of the pulmonary vasculature, blood vessel activation and coagulopathies with formation of micro-thrombi in multiple organs (6, 98, 108, 125). About 2% of hospitalized COVID-19 patients ultimately succumb to the disease with respiratory or multi-organ failure as a major cause of death (127, 128, 133). However, it is currently not known whether severely affected COVID-19 survivors will fully recover or may suffer from complications in the resolution phase of ARDS. First results after 4 months indicate that the diffusion capacity is reduced in COVID-19 patients after severe or critical disease (17).

PULMONARY FIBROSIS: A LONG-TERM COMPLICATION OF COVID-19?

Alveolar Damage as a Cause of Interstitial Pulmonary Fibrosis

It has been reported that ARDS can lead to lasting physical impairment after 5 years of follow up (134), including fibrotic pulmonary changes as a consequence of abnormal wound healing (135). Acute alveolar damage (e.g., from viral infection) is followed by the activation of inflammatory and apoptotic responses (136, 137). The alveolar epithelial cell damage triggers a cascade of reactions, including the release of pro-inflammatory cytokines, to activate local immune responses and controlled fibroblast proliferation as well as interstitial fibrogenesis, to initiate primary wound healing mechanisms (138, 139). These effects will normally be reconstituted by recovery of the basal lamina, re-epithelialization of the alveolar epithelium (140),
and the degradation as well as clearance of ECM proteins (141). A precise and controlled repair mechanism following alveolar damage is crucial to terminate progression of the lung remodeling toward pulmonary fibrosis.

However, sustained alveolar injuries together with possible intrinsic factors, such as genetic mutations [e.g., MUC5B, SFTPC, TERT/TERC or TERF-1; (142–145)] or an accelerated aging phenotype (146), can impair the capability of alveolar epithelial cells to proliferate and orderly cover the defect. This provokes chronic alveolar damage that can eventually trigger an uncontrolled fibrotic response (147). This impaired wound healing can generate a disequilibrium in favor of the pro-fibrotic factors such as tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α), platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) or transforming growth factor beta (TGF-β), which will mediate the development and further progression of lung fibrosis (148). Particularly, TGF-β has an essential role in activating fibrotic mechanisms, inducing the perpetuation of exaggerated wound repair (149).

The aberrant wound healing response can lead to additional loss of alveolar epithelial cells by apoptosis (150), induce lung fibrosis by activation of a pro-fibrotic profile in macrophages (151) and maintain the uncontrolled activation and regulation of fibrotic lung fibroblasts mediated by TGF-β (152). This dysfunctional alveolar re-epithelialization favors the uncontrolled proliferation of lung fibroblasts and secretion of ECM proteins that consolidate the fibrotic change (153). Indeed, viral lung infections can trigger DAD on top of interstitial lung diseases (ILD) which is a common histological feature in some stages of ILD progression (154, 155). Given the development of DAD that manifests as ARDS in severely sick COVID-19 patients, it remains to be investigated whether the alveolar wound healing response will eventually result in pulmonary fibrosis and in its worst form IPF.

**Emerging Evidence of COVID-19-Associated Lung Fibrosis**

Long-term follow-up data on recovered COVID-19 patients is currently emerging and insights gained from earlier coronavirus epidemics can allow to predict likely scenarios. The first coronavirus epidemic of the twenty-first century has been caused by SARS-CoV, the causative agent of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS). SARS is an illness that shows typical infection-related symptoms, including fever and pneumonitis, with a recovery time in most patients after 1–2 weeks following the infection. Up to one third of SARS patients can develop severe pulmonary complications, requiring oxygen therapy (156). The acute phase of SARS starts with acute lung damage and edema, bronchial sloughing of ciliated epithelial cells and the deposition of hyaline-rich alveolar membranes, which clinically manifests with impaired oxygen exchange. A progressive phase during the following 2–5 weeks is characterized by fibrin deposition and infiltration of inflammatory cells and fibroblasts. In the last stage, after 1–2 months, pulmonary fibrosis consolidates with collagen deposition and fibroblast proliferation in the interstitial spaces (157–159).

The extent of fibrosis can be a sign of SARS severity and illness duration, as demonstrated in post-mortem studies (160, 161). Radiological features of fibrosis after SARS have been observed at 3 and 6 months after infection in around 30% of the cases, findings that have been confirmed by another study in survivors (162). Ground glass opacities were found 1 month after diagnosis in 45% of SARS patients, underlining the possibility to find early signs of fibrosis in those patients (163). Moreover, a patient's age can also be a critical risk factor in the fibrotic manifestation and long-term damage as older SARS patients have an increased risk for lung fibrosis (164).

Another coronavirus infection—the Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS), shows a similar clinical outcome as SARS. However, radiological abnormalities are more common in MERS (90–100%) than SARS (60–100%), and MERS patients have a higher incidence of ARDS with a higher case fatality rate (≈36%). For both diseases, risk factors like age and male sex are associated with poorer disease outcomes (165).

Early evidence implies that, similarly to SARS and MERS, fibrotic remodeling and scaring occurs in the lungs of severely ill COVID-19 patients. An alarmingly large number of COVID-19 patients reported persistent symptoms, mainly fatigue and dyspnea, even months after first diagnosis in multiple independent surveys (166–168). In line, radiological signs of fibrosis become apparent as early as 3 weeks after diagnosis (169) and persist over months (170, 171). After 3 months, impaired diffusion capacity and persisting radiological abnormalities are observed in many survivors, while others recover completely (172–176). Further studies are ongoing whether radiological and functional impairments are chronic and even progressing. Worrisomely, lung autopsies of deceased COVID-19 patients have revealed the aberrant localization of mucus to the alveolar parenchyma, pathologic signs of proliferative DAD and thickening of the alveolar wall, particularly after a long severe phase (82, 120, 125). These findings suggest that COVID-19 induces lung abnormalities including cases with pulmonary fibrosis. Notably, virus-induced cell fusion has been shown to induce cellular senescence (177). Giant cells are a pronounced feature in COVID-19 lungs which might be due to furin-mediated cleavage of the SARS-CoV-2 S protein at the plasma membrane of ACE2 expressing cells resulting in syncytial alveolar epithelial cells (98). Potentially, this results in the acquisition of a senescent alveolar epithelial cell phenotype that can provoke inflammation and fibrosis (178–180). Moreover, intussusceptive angiogenesis occurs to a greater extent in pulmonary COVID-19 as compared to influenza A pneumonia, suggesting activation of tissue regeneration that follows similar patterns as in pulmonary fibrosis (108).

The possibility to use early anti-fibrotic strategies is currently being investigated (16). The principal feature of anti-fibrotic treatment is preventing the worsening of the disease by slowing down the fibrotic progression in established lung fibrosis, and potentially influencing the cytokine storm by anti-inflammatory effects of these drugs (181). Currently, some clinical studies are investigating both available anti-fibrotic treatments in patients with COVID-19 (recruiting phase): pirfenidone (NCT04282902, NCT04607928) and nintedanib (NCT04541680, NCT04619680).
These results will provide us with new insights into the relevance of the fibrotic changes in COVID-19 and the effectiveness of anti-fibrotic treatment to improve the management of those patients in the future. In parallel, novel treatment strategies might be discovered in vitro, particularly in light of the recent advances in the field of ex vivo tissue cultures, lung organoids, and bioengineered microfluidic devices to study lung fibrosis.

HOW CAN WE STUDY COVID-19-ASSOCIATED PULMONARY FIBROSIS?

In vivo and in vitro Models of Pulmonary Fibrosis

Despite tremendous research efforts for pharmacological interventions over the past decade, pulmonary fibrosis remains one of the most challenging diseases to manage clinically. Although a single model is unable to mirror the progressive and irreversible nature of lung fibrosis, they provide valuable mechanistic insights into fibrogenesis. Animal experimental models have been widely used to understand the complex fibrotic responses and perform early pre-clinical testing for anti-fibrotic drugs. Among them, the bleomycin-induced pulmonary fibrosis model has been most widely used since the 1970’s as the classical standard and best characterized in vivo fibrosis model (182). Contrary to human pulmonary fibrosis, bleomycin-induced fibrosis is temporary, and its inflammatory aspect justified criticism to accurately represent the pathophysiological process in IPF. Aside from bleomycin, fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) has also been widely used to induce experimental lung fibrosis which results in alveolar injury and acute fibrotic reaction that persist up to 24 weeks. Occupational exposure to environmental risk factors has been extensively associated with pulmonary fibrosis (183). Reports suggested that inhalation of silica and asbestos particles in rats results in fibrotic nodule formation which closely mimics prominent features of silicosis and asbestosis in humans with long-term occupational exposure (184, 185). Additionally, whole thorax irradiation in mice has been invaluable to study early inflammatory responses in radiation-induced lung fibrosis (186). It is well-established that IPF includes genetic predisposition affecting genes encoding e.g., surfactant protein-C (SP-C) (187), SP-A (188), Mucin-5B (MUC5B) (189), telomerase reverse transcriptase (TERT), and telomerase RNA component (TERC) (145). These known mutations have paved the way for genetically modified animal models of pulmonary fibrosis. Furthermore, intratracheal delivery of pro-fibrotic cytokines like TGF-β1 (190), TNF-α (191), and IL-1β (192) by adenovirus and lentivirus vectors have been extensively used to recreate mild early inflammation and rapid onset of lung fibrosis in mouse models. Despite the fact that animal models cannot fully recapitulate the complex, progressive and irreversible nature of lung fibrosis in humans, they remain the first line for preclinical testing in lack of appropriate alternatives. Nevertheless, animal models have been proven valuable for gaining a better mechanistic understanding of fibrogenesis, assessing lung function in the course of pulmonary fibrosis and performing pharmacokinetic studies (Figure 2).

However, most of our understanding of lung fibrosis stems solely from in vitro studies, typically relying on the activation of fibroblasts with pro-fibrotic cytokines in cellular models. Although in vitro fibrosis models represent a robust platform to study cell-specific responses to soluble cues in a controlled setting, cells in vivo are embedded in a complex 3D microenvironment with varied mechanical cues, cell-ECM interactions, differential polarity, and growth factor gradients. Given the strong involvement of fibroblasts and ECM in the pathology of fibrotic diseases, it is particularly important to maintain tissue architecture in human-derived models of fibrosis. Fibrotic tissue explants from patients suffering from a fibroproliferative skin disease, have been shown to retain viability for several days in ex vivo tissue culture, allowing to study molecular mechanisms of fibrosis and test novel therapeutic strategies (193). Recently, precision-cut lung slices (PCLS) have garnered increasing attention as a novel lung ex vivo fibrosis model. Overcoming the classical limitation for the study of human lung cells in 2D cell culture models, PCLS are able to spatially retain the native lung architecture along with fundamental ECM composition, stiffness and responsiveness together with viable lung resident cell populations [(194); Figure 2]. PCLS derived from healthy lung tissue resections closely mimic fibrotic-like changes including increased ECM deposition and alveolar remodeling when induced with a pro-fibrotic cocktail (195). A study in 2018 has reported that induction with TGF-β1 resulted in increased deposition of collagen and ECM proteins in 2 mm³ sections of human lung parenchymal tissues within 1 week in culture (196). The close recapitulation of pathologic processes and the possibility to culture tissue from IPF patients allows to study drug responses ex vivo. Interestingly, nintedanib and pirfenidone exhibit distinct anti-fibrotic potential in mouse and human PCLS underscoring the need for human-derived models of IPF (197). Notch1 inhibition in PCLS derived from IPF patients has shown significant improvement in surfactant protein processing along with decreased ECM deposition and an overall reversal of fibrosis (198). In addition, a study for inhalation-based anti-fibrotic therapies has utilized advanced 3D printing technologies to develop a replica for Ear-Nose-Throat which has been connected to an ex vivo porcine respiratory tract within a sealed chamber. To mimic fibrosis-related alterations, mechanical properties of the lung parenchyma have been modified by reduction of lung compliance and passive ventilation which allowed them to analyze in vivo aerosol regional deposition in a fibrosis-mimicking environment (199). Although a key advantage in using human tissues is the exclusion of cross-species heterogeneity, the significant limiting factor of ex vivo tissue culture is the constant need for fresh tissues. Generally, they are not readily available as the tissues are mostly obtained from “end-stage” pulmonary fibrosis patients after lung transplantation or healthy surrounding tissue from tumor resections used for artificially induced early fibrotic changes ex vivo. Moreover, the complexities associated with long-term cultivation of the lung explants makes it difficult to standardize PCLS technique
for high-throughput testing. Nevertheless, PCLS can be useful to investigate specific aspects of pulmonary fibrosis and viral infection directly in human lung tissue (Figure 2).

Efforts have been undertaken to generate easily accessible, controlled model systems that provide structural and cellular complexity but hold the possibility to increase the throughput. Different cell types in the lung contribute to the pathology of fibrosis and hence the choice of the cell system is an important consideration for in vitro studies (200). Moreover, recent studies have focused more on using mechanically tunable substrates over standard extremely stiff (10^6 kPa) cell culture plastic dishes. Several studies have demonstrated that increased substrate stiffness directly influences (myo)fibroblast activation, differentiation and ECM deposition (201, 202). Instead, seeding fetal-derived fibroblasts on hydrogel beads to mimic the structure of alveolar sacs recreates the patchy areas of myofibroblast proliferation, contraction, and interstitial thickening upon TGF-β1 stimulation as it is observed in IPF patients (203). Tests for novel IPF medication and mechanistic studies on fibroblast invasion of IPF patients have also been undertaken in self-assembled pneumospheres comprising heterogeneous cell populations (204). Additionally, biocompatible and biodegradable cross-linked polymer like Matrigel is a widely used substrate for 3D lung cell culture and organoid modeling for fibrosis. A recent study has analyzed transcriptional signatures of fibrotic lung organoids in order to identify aberrantly expressed genes (205). While multicellular organoids closely capture the minute details of cell-cell and cell-ECM interactions and physiological cellular organization they lack vasculature and air-liquid interface (ALI) [(206); Figure 2]. Recently, it has been shown that ALI promotes differentiation of human pluripotent stem cell (hPSC)-derived alveolar epithelial progenitor cells into ATII-like cells and reduces their transdifferentiation into ATI cells, which occurs

---

**FIGURE 2** Comparison of in vivo and 3D in vitro lung models for COVID-19 and fibrosis research. General aspects of experimental animal models and advanced in vitro models including PCLS, iPSC-derived organoids, mature organoids, 3D in vitro tissues, and LOC are rated based on similarities to human physiology (physiological biomechanics, cell heterogeneity, cell differentiation, long-term model, and 3D microenvironment), genetic manipulation (modifications), the possibility for mechanistic investigations (pathways), and throughput capabilities (throughput). Their applicability to model the diseased state of the lung has been evaluated separately for COVID-19 and IPF. COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; IPF, idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis; iPSC, induced pluripotent stem cells; LOC, lung-on-chip; µenvironment, microenvironment; PCLS, precision-cut lung slices; SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2.
in submerged cultures (207). Stimulation with a pro-fibrotic cocktail results in the loss of SPC+ ATII cells paralleled by an increase in MUC5B+ goblet-like cells mimicking the bronchialization process occurring in the alveoli of IPF patients (207). However, these models still lack biomechanical stimulation (Figure 2).

Advanced microfluidic technologies have been able to overcome these limitations with the development of lung-on-chip (LOC) devices (208). Organ-on-Chip technology is a new field emerging only recently as a system to model human tissues for the application in research and pharmacology. Despite the development of multiorgan systems, it remains challenging to apply the technique for gaining insights into systemic effects and standardize the models for pre-clinical testing. Moreover, microfluidic systems require the optimization of many factors such as the ECM, medium and scaffold material to support optimal cell growth. However, the complexity of Organ-on-Chip technology is also a chance allowing the modulation of a variety of biological, physical, and chemical factors in a controlled and closed system (209). A microfluidic device recreating the alveolar epithelium in ALI and in close contact with a microchannel, that is lined by endothelial cells and perfused with human full blood, has been employed to study pulmonary vascular inflammation and microthrombus formation (210). Furthermore, tiny wounds can be induced to the alveolar epithelium on chip either by trypsin or gastric-like content to mimic alveolar damage taking place in IPF (211) and wound-healing (212). Moreover, micro-tissues generated from human lung fibroblasts have been shown to exhibit enhanced contractility, stiffness and expression of alpha smooth muscle actin (α-SMA), pro-collagen, and EDA fibronectin in response to TGF-β, effects that have been reversed by treatment with pirfenidone (213).

Due to the importance of cyclic stretch for tissue regeneration after lung injury, Stucki et al. developed a breathing LOC model with primary human alveolar epithelial cells and lung endothelial cells. This system incorporates key mechanical forces of the alveoli including 3D cyclical stretch (corresponding to 8% linear strain) and surface tension (through the exposure to ALI) to recreate the complex alveolar microenvironment of the air-blood barrier [(214, 215); Figure 2]. Further advances in these models aiming at integrating pathophysiologic stretch and introducing the often-neglected pulmonary surfactant warrant a bright future for accurate in vitro models of the alveolus. However, the availability of optimal biological material (e.g., high-quality tissue specimens from the relevant anatomical site, high cell viability, and physiological ECM composition) is often challenging and therefore requires further methodological advances in cell culture and tissue processing.

In summary, recent advancements in bio-engineered tissue and cell culture highlights promising platforms for lung fibrosis modeling and drug testing in a clinically-relevant setup (Figure 2). Importantly, lung fibrosis models that are compatible with SARS-CoV-2 infection models will enable investigations on the regenerative phase of COVID-19.

Modeling SARS-CoV-2 Infection and Pathogenesis in the Respiratory Tract

In vivo models of viral infection integrate the full complexity of virulence factors, local and systemic immune responses and recovery. Therefore, animal models are particularly useful to test anti-inflammatory compounds and vaccines to combat infection (216). However, mice, the most widely available laboratory animals, are naturally resistant to SARS-CoV-2 infection (217, 218). The inability of SARS-CoV-2 to bind to murine ACE2 (74) poses the need to study COVID-19 in humanized mouse models expressing human ACE2 (217–220). SARS-CoV-2 infection in these mouse models results in weight loss, pneumonia and pathologic alterations in the lung tissue. However, the organ tropism and severity of symptoms varies among the models depending on the promoter to control human ACE2 expression. Mouse models expressing human ACE2 under the control of murine ACE2 develop rather mild symptoms and all animals spontaneously recover (217, 218). In contrast, severe pneumonia develops in mice expressing human ACE2 under the control of HHF4 or KRT18 promoter (219, 220). However, it remains arguable if these models correctly recapitulate SARS-CoV-2 tissue tropism given non-endogenous ACE2 expression patterns. Alternatively, mutation of the SARS-CoV-2 S protein or serial passaging in mice generates adapted virus to bind to murine ACE2 and infect the murine host (221, 222). These models might better resemble natural host-pathogen interactions in immunocompetent mice and result in mild pneumonia, however, it is unclear whether the mechanisms of mouse-adapted SARS-CoV-2 pathology can be translated to human.

Other animal species are naturally susceptible to SARS-CoV-2 (223). SARS-CoV-2 infects and replicates in ferrets but it is restricted to the upper respiratory tract allowing transmission studies but causing only mild symptoms (224, 225). Natural SARS-CoV-2 infection in golden hamsters and non-human primates involves the distal lung, however, results only in mild to modest pneumonitis and all infected animals spontaneously recover (226–231). Altogether, animal models recapitulate aspects of human COVID-19 such as an age-related risk to develop more severe disease as it has been demonstrated in mice and non-human primates (221, 222, 228, 230, 231). However, there are important differences between laboratory animal models and human COVID-19 pathogenesis (Figure 2). None of the available in vivo models captures the drastic hypoxia and associated coagulopathy, vascular inflammation and multi-organ failure as seen in severely ill COVID-19 patients. Mostly, SARS-CoV-2 infection takes a milder course in experimental animals or results in death by different pathologic mechanisms than in humans. This is likely due to a distinct distribution and affinity of ACE2 and TMPRSS2 for the SARS-CoV-2 S protein and fundamental differences in the immune system (232). Therefore, it is mandatory to complement in vivo data with findings garnered in vitro from human-derived models.

Essential knowledge about SARS-CoV-2 entry receptors, replication kinetics and cell-intrinsic immune response has been gained from in vitro studies using cell lines such as ACE2 overexpressing HeLa cells, the intrinsically IFN-deficient Vero
E6 green monkey kidney cells or cancer cell lines (11, 74, 233). However, the relevance of SARS-CoV-2-induced gene expression changes in lung cancer cell lines such as A549 and Calu-3 remains arguable since these cells have lost the expression of Nkx-2.1, which is a master transcription factor for lung epithelial differentiation (234). Moreover, the lack of native ACE2 expression in some widely studied cell lines (e.g., HeLa, A549) and the absence of an intrinsic innate IFN response in Vero E6 cells hinders the assessment of normal physiological antiviral responses (74, 235, 236). For this reason, the pathologic consequences of SARS-CoV-2 infection should ideally be studied in clinically relevant human cell systems.

Organoids generated from intestine, liver, microvasculature, kidney and airways are susceptible to SARS-CoV-2 infection. These studies have provided more comprehensive information on the SARS-CoV-2 target cell types and innate immune responses that are elicited by the virus (93, 237–240). Moreover, they provided functional evidence for the broad tissue tropism of SARS-CoV-2 as concluded earlier from the in silico analysis of ACE2 expression patterns among different organs and cell types (83). Due to the extraordinary difficulty to model the alveoli in vitro most studies aiming to elucidate the mechanisms of SARS-CoV-2 infection in its primary replication site have focused on the nasal, tracheal or bronchial airway epithelium. Bronchial organoids have been employed to identify target cell types in the upper airways and develop drug screening protocols. However, they do not support efficient SARS-CoV-2 infection (15, 101). This seems to be in disagreement with the higher ACE2 expression and susceptibility to SARS-CoV-2 infection in the upper airway epithelium as compared to alveoli (82). A possible explanation for this discrepancy might be the enrichment of basal progenitor cells in bronchial organoid cultures, which are not the primary target of SARS-CoV-2. Therefore, ALI cultures are more suitable to study SARS-CoV-2 in the upper airways. Human bronchial epithelial cells differentiate into functional ciliated and secretory cells in ALI cultures to form a pseudostratified epithelium capable of mucus production and cilia movement (241). They are efficiently infected by SARS-CoV-2 and produce high viral titers enabling functional studies and drug screening [(14, 82, 242); Figure 2]. In addition, a LOC model of the human bronchial epithelium under constant flow in the blood vessel chamber has recently been developed to study influenza A virus and SARS-CoV-2 infection and has led to the identification of candidate antiviral compounds (243). Hence, drug testing in a LOC device might further refine the number of candidate drugs (Figure 2).

In contrast, the alveoli are more challenging to reconstruct. Freshly isolated ATII cells rapidly transdifferentiate into ATI-like cells and are gradually lost in 2D in vitro cultures (244). A more stable ATI cell phenotype can be achieved by deriving ATIII cells from induced hPSCs (245). These ATIII cells can be maintained as organoids for prolonged cell culture but their main limitation is the fetal gene expression signature (10, 246). Seeding hPSC-derived ATI cells in ALI monolayers increases the degree of maturation, facilitates infection from the apical side and maintains the ATII cells, most likely due to the addition of the CHIR99021 Wnt agonist to the medium (102). SARS-CoV-2 infection elicits a rapid NF-κB, TNF, IL-6, and IL-2 signaling driven inflammatory response in infected ATII cells but induces only a modest and delayed IFN response (10, 102). This indicates that hPSC-derived ATII cell models capture the intrinsic antiviral response of ATII cells but not the full spectrum of COVID-19. Nevertheless, hPSC-derived ATII-like ALI cultures are a useful tool to study early events of SARS-CoV-2 infection and discover compounds with anti-viral activity in the alveolar setting (247). Co-culture models of hPSC-derived lung organoids and hPSC-derived macrophages indicate that in this setting macrophages are essential producers of IFN-γ and drive protective or damaging immune responses (248). A major limitation of hPSC-derived alveolar models for high-throughput drug testing is their time- and cost-intensive derivation that involves a multi-step differentiation protocol [(249); Figure 2]. As a consequence, hPSC lines are usually generated from a few donors and maintained for the derivation of ATII cells, which results in a rather homogeneous genetic background. Therefore, they neglect individual genetic predispositions such as polymorphisms in IFN pathway genes or in mucus production and regeneration which might have an impact on disease severity and the fibrotic response after acute phase (250–253). A more heterogeneous cellular composition has been achieved by differentiating fetal lung-derived SOX2+SOX9+ bud tip progenitor cell organoids in 2D ALI cultures. They comprise alveolar-like and bronchial-like cell types and are readily infected by SARS-CoV-2 (254). However, this model meets similar limitations as hPSC-derived alveolar models due to the limited access to donor material and the derivation of differentiated bronchioalveolar ALI cultures from few organoid lines.

The patient-to-patient variability can be captured by adult stem cell derived alveolar organoid models. Alveolar organoids have been generated from HTII-280+-enriched ATII cells (101, 103) or mixed alveolar epithelial cells (13, 100). They maintain an ATII cell subpopulation during prolonged culture. In the intact organoids, ACE2 entry receptor faces the lumen while the basolateral side is exposed to the external milieu. In order to infect the organoids with SARS-CoV-2, the apical side has to be exposed either by apical-out polarization in suspension (95) or mechanical and chemical dissociation for the infection as single cells (13, 101) or as 2D monolayers (100). In contrast to hPSC-derived ATII cell monolayers, adult stem cell derived alveolar organoids nearly entirely lose the ATII cell population upon culture in 2D monolayers (100). Interestingly, it has been shown that ATII cells from dissociated organoids preferentially transdifferentiate into ATI cells in short-term submerged culture resulting in an alveolar-like epithelium. In contrast, maintaining the same cells in long-term ALI culture results in the replacement of alveolar epithelial cells by ciliated and goblet cells to form a pseudostratified airway-like epithelium (100). Notably, the authors describe sustained SARS-CoV-2 infection in submerged alveolar-like monolayers while ALI airway-like monolayers show a slow initial infection followed by exponential viral replication starting on day 2 post-infection. Furthermore, an innate immune response signature that resembles the gene expression signature in the lungs of deceased COVID-19 patients is induced in alveolar-like epithelium cultures (100). The authors conclude that
the proximal airway components of the model are important for SARS-CoV-2 infectivity, while transdifferentiating alveolar epithelial cells subsequently recapitulate the host response (100). Multiple studies have reported the induction of an IFN response and upregulation of inflammatory (NF-κB) pathways upon SARS-CoV-2 infection in alveolar organoids (13, 100, 101, 103). This characteristic allows to study IFN treatment, which has been administered to COVID-19 patients (255), in a physiologically relevant site. Interestingly, IFN treatment on alveospheres at lower doses impairs SARS-CoV-2 infection implying a preventive effect of IFN treatment in COVID-19 patients (103). Despite their utility for screening anti-viral and anti-inflammatory compounds, a major limitation of organoids is the lack of immune cells, a vascular-epithelial compartment and the ability to monitor epithelial barrier integrity (Figure 2).

LOC models have been applied in order to capture the complex physical and cellular microenvironment of the alveoli. They allow co-culture systems, temporal monitoring of trans-epithelial electrical resistance (TEER; a measure of barrier function) and integration of mechanical stretch or shear stress (256). The first study on SARS-CoV-2 infection in a bioengineered alveus has employed an epithelial-endothelial co-culture approach under shear stress, which resulted in the differential expression of SARS-CoV-2 entry receptors as compared to 2D monolayers (99). Interestingly, infection with low SARS-CoV-2 titers induces a downregulation of NRIP1 and ACE2 but an upregulation of TMPRSS2 expression in alveolar epithelial cells illustrating how multiple factors affect SARS-CoV-2 susceptibility and contribute to SARS-CoV-2 spread in the distal lung (99). In agreement with inefficient SARS-CoV-2 infection kinetics in alveolar cultures (13, 82), unproductive SARS-CoV-2 infection has also been observed in the alveolar layer in the LOC (99). However, SARS-CoV-2 infection elicits strikingly different responses among the cell types in co-culture. The alveolar cell layer remains largely intact, in line with previous findings in organoid models (101). In contrast, vascular injury is evident by 3 days post-infection resulting in damaged barrier function (99). This study provides evidence that the lung microvasculature is an essential contributor to model COVID-19 pathology in vitro, particularly in the maintenance of a prolonged pro-inflammatory response and IL-6 secretion (99). Disruption of the air-blood barrier in an alveolus-on-chip SARS-CoV-2 infection model and translocation of the virus to the vascular compartment has recently been demonstrated by another group, though the mechanism of vascular damage seems to be different (257). In the future, the application of LOC co-culture models will provide more mechanistic insights into host-pathogen interaction and inflammation-mediated damage. Moreover, LOC devices are superior to pure epithelial mono-cultures in predicting the protective effect of drugs on barrier integrity and inflammation [(99); Figure 2].

Modeling Alveolar Epithelium Regeneration and COVID-19-Associated Fibrotic Tissue Remodeling

Most in vitro studies on COVID-19 have focused on the acute phase of the disease. However, uncontrolled inflammatory and early fibrotic signatures are typically found in post-mortem lung autopsies of COVID-19 patients after a long disease course (132, 258). Radiologic abnormalities, including small airway abnormalities, are also found in the lungs of recovering COVID-19 patients but their consequences on the patient's quality of life are of yet unknown (170). Therefore, it will be important to dissect the sequela of virus clearance, resolution of the immune response and tissue regeneration in more detail. Unfortunately, it may take years until large patient cohorts have been followed-up for a sufficient amount of time to conclude on the clinical course of fibrotic remodeling. Therefore, it is mandatory to experimentally study lung fibrosis in the aftermath of COVID-19 in dedicated SARS-CoV-2 infection models. For this purpose, a pre-requisite is a model system of the alveoli that supports multicellular composition including epithelial, mesenchymal and immune cells and remains stable over an extended period of time to monitor the acute phase of COVID-19 and subsequent progression to fibrosis.

Animal models provide the complete tissue microenvironment and systemic context for temporally controlled infection. Humanized ACE2 mice develop COVID-19 and pathologic tissue remodeling has been confirmed in their lungs ∼3 days post-infection (217, 219, 220). These studies have been conducted in healthy young or old animals, however, other predispositions than age have not yet been investigated in vivo in the context of COVID-19. Multiple groups have demonstrated that viral infection exacerbates IFP in the bleomycin mouse model (259–261). It will be interesting to investigate COVID-19-associated fibrosis in bleomycin-induced or genetically predisposed (e.g., MUC5B, SP-C, and TERT) animal models. Treatment with anti-fibrotic therapy and prolonged monitoring will eventually identify effective candidate compounds and unravel the mechanisms of tissue regeneration after acute lung injury. Nevertheless, it is questionable that these findings can directly translate to human COVID-19 due to the fundamental differences in lung architecture, regeneration, and immune response [(262); Figure 2]. Pre-clinical drug testing is expected to be more accurate in macaques due to the phylogenic proximity to humans (228, 263). However, few animal experiments are performed in non-human primates due to logistic, financial, and ethical concerns posing the need for reliable in vitro infection models.

With intact alveolar structures and preserved local immune responses, PCLS from animals have proven their utility in the study of viral infection such as influenza A viruses (264). Although pulmonary explants of human proximal and distal airways are susceptible to SARS-CoV-2 infection (265), PCLS technique has not yet been used to investigate COVID-19. Hence, PCLS can be potentially employed to study the action of anti-fibrotic agents within SARS-CoV-2-infected alveolar epithelium. For instance, senolytic combination treatment
(dasatinib and quercetin) possesses anti-fibrotic activity in mouse PCLS (266) and might be an interesting treatment strategy in the context of COVID-19 given the acquisition of a senescence-like phenotype in SARS-CoV-2 infected syncytiot alveolar epithelial cells. Despite the applicability of PCLS for the screening of anti-fibrotic agents, the utility of this model is limited for this particular disease (267). PCLS only permits to study the local immune response, ignoring the important recruitment of circulating immune cells. In addition, short term of culture limits investigations over time (Figure 2).

Alternatively, pulmonary fibrosis has been studied in organoid models, which can be maintained in culture for several weeks and passages. hPSC-derived lung organoids that contain a mix of epithelial and mesenchymal cells have been genetically engineered to develop Hermansky-Pudlak syndrome, a clinical condition with similarities to IPF, and have been sequenced to discover potential new drug targets (205). Despite accurate recapitulation of the disease phenotype and a gene expression signature of early fibrosis, the fibrotic organoids lack some major hallmarks of IPF such as activation of TGF-β signaling. Transcriptional and histologic alterations of IPF have recently been modeled in hPSC-derived ATII-like cell cultures maintained in ALI (207). Given that alveolar epithelial progenitors, an essential contributor of aberrant wound healing, are enriched in hPSC-derived models they have been suggested as suitable model to study pulmonary fibrosis (207). However, since fibrosis predominantly occurs in senescent lungs, it is suboptimal to study fibrosis in an embryonic stem cell derived model system. Therefore, it will be interesting to study fibrotic changes in mixed mature long-term organoid culture after SARS-CoV-2 infection.

Potentially more physiologically relevant are ALI models, that can mimic SARS-CoV-2 infection by inhalation and provide a scaffold for epithelial/mesenchymal co-cultures. Yet it is not easy to avoid transdifferentiation of ATII cells, the main alveolar target cells of SARS-CoV-2 and drivers of fibrosis. Recently, the EpiAlveolar 3D tissue culture system has been developed to model micro-particle inhalation and the resulting pro-fibrotic events in the alveolar epithelium ([268]; Figure 2). Repeated exposure over an extended time period is possible, which implies that these models could also be applied to study the progression of acute COVID-19 to pulmonary fibrosis. However, it remains to be tested how efficiently SARS-CoV-2 infects these 3D alveolar tissue culture models and whether immune cells are required to induce fibrosis.

A higher degree of complexity can be achieved in LOC models. In the future, they might enable the investigation of cellular, mechanical, and chemical processes resulting in complex multi-factorial diseases such as pulmonary fibrosis. As compared to conventional cell culture, LOC models support stable cell culture systems over an extended time period, which is particularly relevant for studying pulmonary fibrosis, a disease of older age. However, as compared to the in vivo situation, long-term culture of cells in microfluidic devices remains a limiting factor to date. Nevertheless, aspects of lung inflammatory and fibrotic processes can be studied under nearly physiological conditions in such microfluidic devices. Importantly, it has been shown that substrate stiffness, porosity, and physiologic stretch has to be taken into account when studying tissue regeneration and assessing efficacy of therapeutic compounds (50). In addition, LOC models are well-suited to establish co-cultures enabling to study the sequence of molecular events in COVID-19 at the delicate air-blood barrier in the presence of alveolar resident cell types and peripheral immune cells (Figure 2). Taken together, advanced in vitro models have the potential to provide highly relevant data to discover novel effective treatment strategies for COVID-19, identify predictive biomarkers for a severe course of the disease and elucidate the mechanisms of lung repair in recovering patients.

CONCLUSION

Refined treatment strategies for recently emerged SARS-CoV-2 are becoming available and have improved the management of COVID-19 patients. Evidence has been gathered that particularly critically ill COVID-19 patients suffer from pulmonary dysfunction even months after diagnosis and may possibly never fully recover. SARS-CoV-2 infection of alveolar epithelial cells and an imbalanced inflammatory response result in DAD and trigger a fibrotic response to regenerate the epithelial barrier and lung function. To date, it is not clear whether fibrosis will develop and consequently resolve or progress. IPF, the most severe form of interstitial pulmonary fibrosis, is a fatal disease and few treatment options exist to slow down the progression of chronic fibrosis. It is therefore crucial, to delineate the early mechanisms that drive fibrotic progression after virus clearance. In vivo models capture aspects of human COVID-19 and have been classically used to study progression of IPF. However, due to inter-species anatomical and immunological differences, the translation of basic and pre-clinical animal research to patient-relevant insights often fails (182). The systemic manifestation of COVID-19 and the complex host-pathogen interactions highlight the importance of human-derived models to study the underlying mechanisms of the disease. Lung organoids promote cell-cell and cell-matrix interactions and provide a robust SARS-CoV-2 infection model of the alveoli. Due to their capacity to propagate and the relatively cost-efficient culture methods, patient-derived organoids enable medium-throughput drug screening for precision medicine in cancer and other diseases (269, 270). We expect that personalized therapy will also improve the management of severely ill COVID-19 patients. However, organoids are not ideally suited to construct complex co-cultures or study biomechanical forces, which might be a pre-requisite to gain more insights into COVID-19-associated pulmonary fibrosis. In this regard, PCLS, 3D in vitro tissue culture, and LOC are complementary models to lung organoids providing a higher degree of microenvironmental cues. These models have been used successfully to study IPF and are expectedly permissive for SARS-CoV-2 infection. Moreover, LOC holds the unique opportunity to study SARS-CoV-2 mediated alveolar...
injury at ALI and under stretch. It is well-known that stretch can significantly alter epithelial barrier permeability (215), ATII cell function and tissue regeneration (41, 271, 272). However, studies about the impact of stretch on the acute and recovery phase of COVID-19 are still lacking. The combinatorial application of advanced in vitro models is expected to generate meaningful data on the molecular processes taking place in the lungs of COVID-19 patients and providing insights into disease course before patient data from large cohorts become available. Hopefully, this knowledge will help to improve patient care and prevent fibrosis at an early stage of progression.

REFERENCES

1. Fung TS, Liu DX. Human coronavirus: host-pathogen interaction. Annu Rev Microbiol. (2019) 73:529–57. doi: 10.1146/annurev-micro-020518-115759

2. Li Q, Guan X, Wu P, Wang X, Zhou L, Tong Y, et al. Early transmission dynamics in Wuhan, China, of novel coronavirus–infected pneumonia. N Engl J Med. (2020) 382:1199–207. doi: 10.1056/nejma2002136

3. Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, Ren L, Zhao J, Hu Y, et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. Lancet. (2020) 395:497–506. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31881-3

4. Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, Zhu F, Liu X, Zhang J, et al. Clinical characteristics of 138 hospitalized patients with 2019 novel coronavirus-infected pneumonia in Wuhan, China. JAMA J Am Med Assoc. (2020) 323:1061–9. doi: 10.1001/jama.2020.1585

5. World Bank. Global Economic Prospects, June 2020. Washington, DC: World Bank (2020). doi: 10.1596/978-1-4648-1553-9

6. Gibson PG, Qin L, Puah SH. COVID-19 acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS): clinical features and differences from typical pre-COVID-19 ARDS. Med J Aust. (2020) 213:54–6.e1. doi: 10.5694/mja2.50674

7. Krammer F. SARS-CoV-2 vaccines in development. Nature. (2020) 586:516– 27. doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2798-3

8. Kandimalla R, John A, Abburi C, Vallamkondu J, Reddy PH. Generation of human bronchial organoids for SARS-CoV-2 research. Cell Rep Med. (2020) 1:100059. doi: 10.1016/j.xcrm.2020.100059

9. Sanders JM, Monogue ML, Jodlowski TZ, Cutrell JB. Pharmacologic treatments for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): a review. JAMA J Am Med Assoc. (2020) 323:1824–36. doi: 10.1001/jama.2020.6019

10. Han Y, Duan X, Yang L, Nilsson-Payant BE, Wang P, Duan F, et al. Identification of SARS-CoV-2 inhibitors using lung and colonic organoids. Nature. (2021) 589:270–5. doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2901-9

11. Hoffmann M, Kleine-Weber H, Schroeder K, Krüger N, Herrler T, Erichsen S, et al. SARS-CoV-2 cell entry depends on ACE2 and TMPRSS2 and is blocked by a clinically proven protease inhibitor. Cell. (2020) 181:271–80.e8. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2020.02.052

12. Holwerda M, Vokovik P, Wider M, Thiel V, Dijkman R. Identification of an antiviral compound from the pandemic response box that efficiently inhibits sars-cov-2 infection in vitro. Microorganisms. (2020) 8:1–19. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms81121872

13. Mullay A, Konda B, Garcia G, Yao C, Beil S, Villalba JM, et al. Plasticity of Hopx(−) type I alveolar cells to regenerate type II cells in the lung. Nat Commun. (2015) 6:6727. doi: 10.1038/ncomms7727

14. de Mello Costa MF, Weinier AI, Vaughan AE. Basal-like progenitor cells: a review of dysplastic alveolar regeneration and remodeling in lung repair. Stem Cell Reports. (2020) 15:1015–25. doi: 10.1016/j.stemcr.2020.09.006

15. Wang L, Dorn P, Simillion C, Froment L, Berezowska S, Tschanz SA, et al. EpCAM+CD73+ mark epithelial progenitor cells in postnatal human lung and are associated with pathogenesis of pulmonary disease including lung adenocarcinoma. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol. (2020) 319:L794–809. doi: 10.1152/ajplung.00270.2019

16. Jegher A, Alaraj A, Arai D, Gao J, Kuroda A, Yasuda H, Ishii M, et al. Mimicking the niche of lung epithelial stem cells and characterization of several effectors of their in vitro behavior. Stem Cell Res. (2015) 15:109–21. doi: 10.1016/j.scr.2015.05.005

17. Guler SA, Ebben L, Beigelman C, Bidervaux P-O, Brutsche M, et al. Pulmonary function and radiological features four months after COVID-19: first results from the national prospective observational Swiss COVID-19 lung study. Eur Respir J. (2021) 20203690. doi: 10.1183/13993003.03690-2020

18. Zuzan K, Morrisey EE. Lung regeneration: mechanisms, applications and emerging stem cell populations. Nat Med. (2014) 20:822–32. doi: 10.1038/nm.3642

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

MK, NR, CM, AS, TG, OG, MF-C, NH, and MK-dj contributed to the review, writing, and revising the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This research received financial support from the Bern Center for Precision Medicine (BCPM), the Horizon 2020 (Eurostars) program (project AIM4Doc) and the Lungenliga Bern.
34. Wynn TA, Vannella KM. Macrophages in tissue repair, regeneration, and fibrosis. *Immunity.* (2016) 44:450–62. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2016.02.015

38. Waters CM, Roan E, Navajas D. Mechanobiology in lung epithelial cells: measurements, perturbations, and responses. *Compr Physiol.* (2012) 1:2–19. doi: 10.1002/cphy.c100090

47. Desai LP, Chapman KE, Waters CM. Mechanical stretch decreases surfactant phospholipid mobility in the lung. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol.* (2001) 279:L5–13. doi: 10.1152/ajplung.2000.279.L5

53. Rocco PRM, Marinii JJ. What have we learned from animal models of ventilator-induced lung injury? *Intensive Care Med.* (2020) 46:2377–80. doi: 10.1007/s00134-020-06143-x

55. Lentsz S, Roginski MA, Montrief T, Ramzy M, Gottlieb M, Long B. Initial emergency department mechanical ventilation strategies for COVID-19 hypoventilatory failure and ARDS. *Am J Emerg Med.* (2020) 38:2194–202. doi: 10.1016/j.ajem.2020.06.082

68. Schmidt R, Meier U, Yabut-Perez M, Walmrath D, Grimminger F, Seeger W, et al. Alteration of fatty acid profiles in different pulmonary surfactant phospholipids in acute respiratory distress syndrome and severe pneumonia. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* (2001) 163:95–100. doi: 10.1164/ajrccm.163.1.9903029

72. Ou X, Liu Y, Lei X, Li P, Mi D, Ren L, et al. Characterization of spike glycoprotein of SARS-CoV-2 on virus entry and its immune cross-reactivity with SARS-CoV. *Nat Commun.* (2020). 11:1620. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-15562-9

73. Walls AC, Park Y, Tortorici MA, Wall A, McGuire AT, Veesler D. Structure, function, and antigenicity of the SARS-CoV-2 spike
glycoprotein. *Cell.* (2020) 181:281–92.e6. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2020.02.058

72. Wrapp D, Wang N, Corbett KS, Goldsmith JA, Sihe, C.-L., et al. Cryo-EM structure of the 2019-nCoV spike in the prefusion conformation. *Science.* (2020) 367:1260–63. doi: 10.1126/science.abb2507

73. Letko M, Marzi A, Munster V. Functional assessment of cell entry and receptor usage for SARS-CoV-2 and other lineage B betacoronaviruses. *Nat Microbiol.* (2020) 5:562–9. doi: 10.1038/s41564-020-0668-y

74. Zhou P, Yang, X.-L., Wang, X.-G., Hu B, Zhang L, Zhang W, et al. A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat origin. *Nature.* (2020) 579:270–3. doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-122-7

75. Millet JK, Whittaker GR. Host cell proteases: critical determinants of coronavirus tropism and pathogenesis. *Virus Res.* (2015) 202:120–34. doi: 10.1016/j.virusres.2014.11.021

76. Simmons G, Gosalia DN, Rennekamp AI, Reeves JD, Diamond SL, Bates P. Inhibitors of cathepsin L prevent severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus entry. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* (2005) 102:11876–81. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0505577102

77. Matsuyama S, Nagata N, Shirato K, Kawase M, Takeda M, Taguchi F. Efficient activation of the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus spike protein by the transmembrane protease TMPRSS2. *J Virol.* (2010) 84:12658–64. doi: 10.1128/JVI.01542-10

78. Glowacki I, Bertram S, Müller MA, Allen P, Soilleux E, Pfeifferle S, et al. Evidence that TMPRSS2 activates the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus spike protein for membrane fusion and reduces viral control by the humoral immune response. *J Virol.* (2011) 85:422–34. doi: 10.1128/JVI.02232-10

79. Shulla A, Heal-Sargent T, Subramanya G, Zhao J, Perlman S, Gallagher T. A transmembrane serine protease is linked to the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus receptor and activates virus entry. *J Virol.* (2011) 85:873–82. doi: 10.1128/JVI.02062-10

80. Shirato K, Kawase M, Matsuyama S. Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection mediated by the transmembrane serine protease TMPRSS2. *J Virol.* (2013) 87:12552–61. doi: 10.1128/JVI.01890-13

81. Millet JK, Whittaker GR. Host cell entry of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus after two-step, furin-mediated activation of the spike protein. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* (2014) 111:15214–9. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1407087111

82. Hou YJ, Okuda K, Edwards CE, Martinez DR, Asakura T, Dinnon KH, et al. Detection of SARS-CoV-2 in different types of clinical specimens. *JAMA.* (2020) 323:185–92. doi: 10.1001/jama.2020.100558

83. Sungnak W, Huang N, Bécavin C, Berg M, Queen R, Litvinukova M, et al. Single-cell meta-analysis of SARS-CoV-2 entry genes across tissues and demographics. *Nat Med.* (2020) 26:886–9. doi: 10.1038/s41591-020-0820-9

84. Zhao X, Chen K, Zou J, Han P, Hao J, Han Z. Single-cell RNA-seq data analysis on the receptor ACE2 expression reveals the potential risk of different human organs vulnerable to 2019-nCoV infection. *Front. Med.* (2020) 14:185–92. doi: 10.1007/s11684-020-0754-0

85. Muus C, Luecken MD, Eraslan G, Sikkema L, Waghray A, Heimberg G, et al. Single-cell meta-analysis of SARS-CoV-2 entry genes across tissues and demographics. *Nat Med.* (2021) 27:546–59. doi: 10.1038/s41591-020-1227-z

86. Pan Y, Zhang D, Yang P, Poon LLM, Wang Q. Viral load of SARS-CoV-2 in clinical samples. *Lancet Infect Dis.* (2020) 20:411–2. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30113-4

87. Wang W, Xu Y, Gao R, Lu R, Han K, Wu G, et al. Detection of SARS-CoV-2 in different types of clinical specimens. *JAMA.* (2020) 323:1843–4. doi: 10.1001/jama.2020.3786

88. Zhang W, Du RH, Li B, Zheng XS, Yang XL, Heaton BE, et al. Human lung stem cell-based alveolosphere provide insights into SARS-CoV-2-mediated interferon responses and pneumocyte dysfunction. *Cell Stem Cell.* (2020) 27:890–919.e10. doi: 10.1016/j.stem.2020.06.004

89. Andersen KG, Rambaut A, Lipkin WI, Holmes EC, Garry RF. The proximal origin of SARS-CoV-2. *Science.* (2020) 367:1260–63. doi: 10.1126/science.abb2507

90. Hoffmann M, Kleine-Weber H, Pöhlmann S. A multibasic cleavage site in the spike protein of SARS-CoV-2 is essential for infection of human lung cells. *Mol Cell.* (2020) 78:779–84.e5. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2020.04.022

91. Dold T, Weigelt K. Molecular pathogenesis of SARS-CoV-2 associated with fatal coronavirus disease. United States. *Emerg Infect Dis.* (2020) 26:2005–15. doi: 10.3201/eid2609.200295
109. V'kovski P, Kratzel A, Steiner S, Stalder H, Thiel V. Coronavirus biology and replication: implications for SARS-CoV-2. *Nat Rev Microbiol.* (2020) 19:155–70. doi: 10.1038/s41579-020-00468-6

110. Adorni F, Prinelli F, Bianchi F, Giacomelli A, Pagani G, Bernacchia D, et al. Self-Reported symptoms of SARS-CoV-2 infection in a nonhospitalized population in Italy: cross-sectional study of the EPICOBID19 web-based survey. *JMI&R Public Heal Surveyl.* (2020). e21866. doi: 10.2196/1866

111. Bergquist SH, Partin C, Roberts DL, O'Keefe JB, Tong EJ, Zreloff J, et al. COVID-19 in the United States: a narrative review. *Am J Med.***(2020) 137:362–7. doi: 10.1016/j.amjmed.2020.3012

112. Inui S, Fujikawa A, Iitsu M, Kumishima N, Watanabe S, Suzuki Y, et al. Chest CT findings in cases from the cruise ship diamond princess with coronavirus disease (COVID-19). *Radiol Cardiothorac Imaging.* (2020). 2:e200110. doi: 10.1148/rct.2020200110

113. Tian S, Hu W, Niu L, Liu H, Xu H, Xiao SY. Pulmonary pathology of early-phase 2019 novel coronavirus (COVID-19) Pneumonia in Two Patients With Lung Cancer. *J Thorac Oncol.* (2020) 15:700–4. doi: 10.1016/j.jto.2020.02.010

114. Lan L, Xu D, Ye G, Xia C, Wang S, Li Y, et al. Positive RT-PCR test results in patients recovered from COVID-19. *JAMA.* (2020) 323:1502–3. doi: 10.1001/jama.2020.2783

115. Rogliani P, Calzetta L, Coppola A, Puxeddu E, Sergiacomi G, Damato D, et al. Are there pulmonary sequelae in patients recovering from COVID-19? *Respir Res.* (2020) 21:186. doi: 10.1186/s12931-020-01550-6

116. Chen G, Wu D, Guo W, Cao Y, Huang D, Wang H, et al. Clinical and immunological assessment of asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infections. *Sci Rep.* (2020) 10.1038/s41598-020-75848-2

117. Rogliani P, Calzetta L, Coppola A, Puxeddu E, Sergiacomi G, Damato D, et al. Are there pulmonary sequelae in patients recovering from COVID-19? *Respir Res.* (2020) 21:186. doi: 10.1186/s12931-020-01550-6
Surolia R, Li FJ, Venosa A, Katzen J, Russo SJ, et al. Expression of mutant STFpc in murine alveolar epithelia drives spontaneous lung fibrosis. J Clin Invest. (2018) 128:4008–24. doi: 10.1172/JCI92987

Nathan N, Giraud V, Picard C, Nunes H, Dastot-Le Moal F, Copin B, et al. Germline STFPA1 mutation in familial idiopathic interstitial pneumonia and lung cancer. Hum Mol Genet. (2016) 25:1457–67. doi: 10.1093/hmg/ddw194

Sebold MA, Wise AL, Speer MC, Steele MP, Brown KK, Loyd JE, et al. A common MUC5B promoter polymorphism and pulmonary fibrosis. N Engl J Med. (2011) 364:1503–12. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoai103660

Boutanquió PM, Burguy O, Beltramo G, Bellaye PS, et al. TRIM33 prevents pulmonary fibrosis by impairing TGF-β1 signalling. Eur Respir J. (2020) 55:1901346. doi: 10.1183/13993003.01346-2019

Miyazaki Y, Araki K, Vesin C, Garcia I, Kapanzi Y, Whitsett JA, et al. Expression of a tumor necrosis factor-alpha transgene in murine lung causes lymphocytic and fibrosing alveolitis. A mouse model of progressive pulmonary fibrosis. J Clin Invest. (1995) 96:250–9. doi: 10.1172/JCI118029

Kolb M, Margetts PL, Anthony DC, Fitzosi F, Gauldie J. Transient expression of II-1betta induces acute lung injury and chronic repair leading to pulmonary fibrosis. J Clin Invest. (2001) 107:1529–36. doi: 10.1172/JCI125568

Karkampf SA, Kruithoff BP, Kloe N, Obdeijn MC, van der Laan AM, Tanke HJ, et al. Novel ex vivo culture method for the study of dupuytren’s disease: effects of TGFβ1 receptor modulation by antisense oligonucleotides. Mol Ther Nucleic Acids. (2014) 3:e142. doi: 10.1038/mtna.2013.69

Gerkenks M, Alsfadfi HN, Wagner DE, Lindner M, Burgstaller G, Königshoff M. Generation of human 3D Lung Tissue Cultures (3D-LTCs) for disease modeling. J Vis Exp. (2019). doi: 10.3791/58437

Alsfadfi HN, Staab-Weijnitz CA, Lehmann M, Lindner M, Peschel B, Königshoff M, et al. An ex vivo model to induce early fibrosis-like changes in human precision-cut lung slices. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol. (2017) 312:L896–902. doi: 10.1152/ajplung.00084.2017

Roach KM, Sultcliffe A, Matthews L, Elliott G, Newby C, Amrani Y, et al. A model of human lung fibrogenesis for the assessment of anti-fibrotic strategies in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. Sci Rep. (2018) 8:342. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-18559-9

Lehmann M, Buhl L, Alsfadfi HN, Klee S, Hermann S, Mutze K, et al. Differential effects of nintedanib and pirfenidone on lung alveolar epithelial cell function in ex vivo murine and human lung tissue cultures of pulmonary fibrosis. Respir Res. (2018) 19:175. doi: 10.1186/s12931-018-0876-y

Wasnich R, Korfle P, Piskulak K, Henneke I, Mahavadi P, et al. Restored alveolar epithelial differentiation and reversed human lung fibrosis upon Notch inhibition. bioRxiv [Preprint]. (2019). doi: 10.1101/80498

Montigaud Y, Périmel S, Dubas J, Ceclerc L, Sua M, Goy C, et al. Development of a three-dimensional bioengineering technology to generate lung tissue for personalized disease modeling. Stem Cells Transl Med. (2017) 6:622–33. doi: 10.5966/sc tm.2016.0192

Asano S, Ito S, Takahashi K, Furuya K, Kondo M, Sokabe M, et al. Matrix stiffness regulates migration of human lung fibroblasts. Physiol Rep. (2017) 5:1–11. doi: 10.1484/phy2.13281

Wilkinson DC, Alva-Ornelas JA, Sucre JMS, Vijayaraj P, Durra A, Richardson W, et al. Development of a three-dimensional bioengineering technology to generate lung tissue for personalized disease modeling. Stem Cells Transl Med. (2017) 6:622–33. doi: 10.5966/sc tm.2016.0192

Surolia R, Li FJ, Wang Z, Li H, Dソuза K, Thomas V, et al. Vimentin intermediate filament assembly regulates fibroblast invasion in fibrogenic lung injury. JCI Insight. (2019) 4:e123253. doi: 10.1172/jci.insight.123253

Strikoudis A, Csielak A, Loffredo L, Chen Y-W, Patel N, et al. Modeling of fibrotic lung disease using 3D organoids derived from human pluripotent stem cells. Cell Rep. (2019) 27:3709–23.e5. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2019.05.077
disease pathogenesis and transmissibility. Clin Infect Dis. (2020) 71:2428–46. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciaa325

227. Chandrashekar A, Liu J, Martinot AJ, McMahen K, Mercado NB, Peter L, et al. SARS-CoV-2 infection protects against rechallenge in rhesus macaques. Science. (2020) 369:812–7. doi: 10.1126/science.abc3776

228. Lu S, Zhao Y, Wu W, Yang Y, Gao J, Wang J, et al. Comparison of nonhuman primates identified the suitable model for COVID-19. Signal Transduct Target Ther. (2020) 5:157. doi: 10.1038/s41392-020-00269-6

229. Munster VJ, Feldmann F, Williamson BN, van Doremalen N, Pérez-Pérez L, Schulz J, et al. Respiratory disease in rhesus macaques inoculated with SARS-CoV-2. Nature. (2020) 585:68–72. doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2324-7

230. Rockx B, Kuiken T, Herfst S, Bestebroer T, Lamers MM, Oude Munnink BB, et al. Comparative pathogenesis of COVID-19, MERS, and SARS in a nonhuman primate model. Science. (2020) 368:1012–5. doi: 10.1126/science.abb7314

231. Yu P, Ji F, Xu Y, Li F, Liu P, Liu J, et al. Age-related rhesus macaque models of COVID-19. Anim Model Exp Med. (2020) 3:93–7. doi: 10.1002/ame2.12108

232. Ehaideb SN, Abdullah ML, Abuyassin B, Bouchama A. Evidence of a wide gap between COVID-19 in humans and animal models: a systematic review. Crit Care. (2020) 24:29. doi: 10.1186/s13054-020-03304-8

233. Chu H, Chan JFW, Yuen TT, Shuai H, Yuan S, Wang Y, et al. Comparative tropism, replication kinetics, and cell damage profiling of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV with implications for clinical manifestations, transmissibility, and laboratory studies of COVID-19: an observational study. Lancet Microbe. (2020) 1:e14–23. doi: 10.1016/S2666-5247(20)30004-5

234. Abo KM, Ma L, Matte T, Huang J, Alysandratos KD, Werder RB, et al. Human iPSC-derived alveolar and airway epithelial cells can be cultured at air-liquid interface and express SARS-CoV-2 host factors. bioRxiv [Preprint]. (2020). doi: 10.1101/2020.06.03.132639

235. Emeny JM, Morgan MJ. Regulation of the interferon system: evidence that vero cells have a genetic defect in interferon production. J Gen Virol. (1979) 43:247–52. doi: 10.1099/0022-1317-43-1-247

236. Jia HP, Look DC, Shi L, Hickey M, Pewe L, Netland J, et al. ACE2 receptor expression and severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection depend on differentiation of human airway epithelia. J Virol. (2005) 79:14614–21. doi: 10.1128/JVI.79.23.14614-14621.2005

237. Krüger J, Groß R, Conzelmann C, Müller JA, Koepe I, Sparrer KM, et al. Drug inhibition of SARS-CoV-2 replication in human pluriplotent stem-cell-derived intestinal organoids. Cell Mol Gastroenterol Hepatol. (2020) 11:935–48. doi: 10.1016/j.jcmgh.2020.11.003

238. Monteil V, Kwon H, Prado P, Hagelkrüys A, Wimmer RA, Stahl M, et al. SARS-CoV-2 infection protects against rechallenge in rhesus macaques. Animal and translational models of SARS-CoV-2 infection and COVID-19. Crit Care. (2020) 11:935–48. doi: 10.1016/j.jcmgh.2020.11.003

239. Stanifer ML, Kee C, Cortese M, Zumaran CM, Triana S, Mukenhirn DR, et al. MUC5B promoter polymorphism and development of acute respiratory distress syndrome. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. (2019) 198:1342–5. doi: 10.1164/rccm.201801-0140LE

240. Abo KM, Ma L, Matte T, Huang J, Alysandratos KD, Werder RB, et al. Human iPSC-derived alveolar and airway epithelial cells can be cultured at air-liquid interface and express SARS-CoV-2 host factors. bioRxiv [Preprint]. (2020). doi: 10.1101/2020.06.03.132639

241. Jonsdottrir HR, Dijkman R. Coronaviruses and the human airway: a universal system for virus-host interaction studies. Virol J. (2016) 13:244. doi: 10.1186/s12985-020-00718-6

242. V’kovski P, Gultom M, Kelly JN, Steiner S, Russeil J, MANGEAT B, et al. Differentiation of human alveolar epithelial cells in primary culture: morphological characterisation and synthesis of cavinol-1 and surfactant protein-C. Cell Tissue Res. (2003) 311:31–45. doi: 10.1007/s00441-002-0653-5

243. Tamó L, Hibauoi Y, Kallos S, Alves MP, Albrecht C, Hostettler KE, et al. Generation of an alveolar epithelial type II cell line from induced pluripotent stem cells. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol. (2018) 315:L921–32. doi: 10.1152/ajplung.00357.2017

244. Chen YW, Huang XQ, de Carvalho ALRT, Ho SH, Islam MN, Volpi S, et al. A three-dimensional model of human lung development and disease from pluripotent stem cells. Nat Cell Biol. (2017) 19:542–9. doi: 10.1038/nccell3510

245. Jacob A, Voelde M, Roberts DA, Thomas DC, Villacorta-Martin C, Alysandratos KD, et al. Derivation of self-renewing lung alveolar epithelial type II cells from human pluripotent stem cells. Nat Protoc. (2019) 14:3300–32. doi: 10.1038/s41596-019-0220-0

246. Chan K, Zheng J, Mok Y, Li Y, Liu YN, Chu CM, et al. SARS: prognosis, outcome and sequelae. Respir. (2003) 8:536–40. doi: 10.1146/1483.2003.00522.x

247. Lamers MM, van der Vaart J, Knoops K, Riesebosch S, Breugem TI, Mykytyn AZ, et al. An organoid-derived bronchioalveolar model for SARS-CoV-2 infection of human alveolar type II-like cells. EMBO J. (2020) 40:e105912. doi: 10.15252/embj.2020105912

248. Duan F, Guo L, Yang L, Han Y, Thakur A, Nilsson-Payant BE, et al. Modeling COVID-19 with human pluripotent stem-cell-derived cells reveals synergistic effects of anti-inflammatory macrophages with ACE2 inhibition against SARS-CoV-2. Res Square [Preprint]. (2020). doi: 10.21203/rs.3.rs-62758/v1

249. Jacob A, Voelde M, Roberts DA, Thomas DC, Villacorta-Martin C, Alysandratos KD, et al. Derivation of self-renewing lung alveolar epithelial type II cells from human pluripotent stem cells. Nat Protoc. (2019) 14:3300–32. doi: 10.1038/s41596-019-0220-0

250. Li H, Steiner S, Winter TB, Russeil J, Mangeat B, et al. Differentiation of human alveolar epithelial cells in primary culture: morphological characterisation and synthesis of cavinol-1 and surfactant protein-C. Cell Tissue Res. (2003) 311:31–45. doi: 10.1007/s00441-002-0653-5

251. Chen YW, Huang XQ, de Carvalho ALRT, Ho SH, Islam MN, Volpi S, et al. A three-dimensional model of human lung development and disease from pluripotent stem cells. Nat Cell Biol. (2017) 19:542–9. doi: 10.1038/nccell3510
264. Krimmling T, Schwegmann-Weßels C. Comparison of mono- and co-infection by swine influenza A viruses and porcine respiratory coronavirus in porcine precision-cut lung slices. *Res Vet Sci.* (2017) 115:470–7. doi: 10.1016/j.rvsc.2017.07.016

265. Hui KPY, Cheung MC, Perera RAPM, Ng KC, Bui CHT, Ho JCW, et al. Tropism, replication competence, and innate immune responses of the coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 in human respiratory tract and conjunctiva: an analysis in *ex-vivo* and *in-vitro* cultures. *Lancet Respir Med.* (2020) 8:687–95. doi: 10.1016/S2213-2600(20)30193-4

266. Lehmann M, Korfei M, Mutze K, Klee S, Skronska-Wasek W, Alsafadi HN, et al. Senolytic drugs target alveolar epithelial cell function and attenuate experimental lung fibrosis *ex vivo*. *Eur Respir J.* (2017) 50:1602367. doi: 10.1183/13993003.02367-2016

267. Liu G, Betts C, Cunoosamy DM, Åberg PM, Hornberg JJ, Sivars KB, et al. Use of precision cut lung slices as a translational model for the study of lung biology. *Respir Res.* (2019) 20:162. doi: 10.1186/s12931-019-1131-x

268. Barosova H, Maione AG, Septiadi D, Sharma M, Haeni L, Balog S, et al. Use of epialveolar lung model to predict fibrotic potential of multiwalled carbon nanotubes. *ACS Nano.* (2020) 14:3941–56. doi: 10.1021/acsnano.9b06860

269. Berkers G, van Mourik P, Vonk AM, Kruisselbrink E, Dekkers JF, de Winter-de Groot KM, et al. Rectal organoids enable personalized treatment of cystic fibrosis. *Cell Rep.* (2019) 26:1701–8.e3. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2019.01.068

270. Karkampouna S, La Manna F, Benjak A, Kiener M, De Menna M, Zoni E, et al. Patient-derived xenografts and organoids model therapy response in prostate cancer. *Nat. Commun.* (2021) 12:1117. doi: 10.1038/s41467-021-21300-6

271. Sanchez-Esteban J, Cicchiello LA, Wang Y, Tsai SW, Williams LK, Torday JS, et al. Mechanical stretch promotes alveolar epithelial type II cell differentiation. *J. Appl. Physiol.* (2001) 91:589–95. doi: 10.1152/jappl.2001.91.2.589

272. Liu Z, Wu H, Jiang K, Wang Y, Zhang W, Chu Q, et al. MAPK-Mediated YAP activation controls mechanical-tension-induced pulmonary alveolar regeneration. *Cell Rep.* (2016) 16:1810–9. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2016.07.020

**Conflict of Interest:** NR and NH are employed by AlveoliX AG. OG and TG are shareholder and in the scientific board of AlveoliX AG. MK and MK-dJ are collaborators of AlveoliX AG.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 Kiener, Roldan, Machahua, Sengupta, Geiser, Guenat, Funke-Chambour, Hobi and Kruithof-de Julio. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.