Magnetic proximity effect at the 3D topological insulator/magnetic insulator interface
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Abstract

The magnetic proximity effect is a fundamental feature of heterostructures composed of layers of topological insulators and magnetic materials since it underlies many potential applications in devices with novel quantum functionality. Within density functional theory we study magnetic proximity effect at the 3D topological insulator/magnetic insulator (TI/MI) interface in Bi$_2$Se$_3$/MnSe(111) system as an example. We demonstrate that a gapped ordinary bound state which spectrum depends on the interface potential arises in the immediate region of the interface. The gapped topological Dirac state also arises in the system owing to relocation to deeper atomic layers of topological insulator. The gap in the Dirac cone is originated from an overlapping of the topological and ordinary interfacial states. This result being also corroborated by the analytic model, is a key aspect of the magnetic proximity effect mechanism in the TI/MI structures.

PACS numbers: 73.20.-r, 75.70.Tj, 85.75.-d
To efficiently realize the potential of three dimensional (3D) topological insulators (TIs) in spin electronic and magnetic storage applications, it is advisable to integrate the TI layers into hybrid heterostructures containing the layers of ferromagnetic (FM) or antiferromagnetic (AFM) materials [1, 2]. One has to tune these heterostructures in such a way that the spectrum of the 3D TI surface electron states should be easily accessible to an exchange field influence of FM (AFM) layer without significant spin dependent scattering of these states on magnetic ions. At the same time, the spin dependent transport of carriers in 3D TI should be controllable. These demands are not easily feasible because of a number of obstacles. On the one hand, a serious problem is to find the FM or AFM material which forms a high-quality interface with the TI material and at the same time provides a strong magnetic interaction with it. On the other hand, the physics of the exchange coupling at the TI/FM (AFM) boundary is not yet well understood. In principle, there exist different possibilities to provide an exchange field influence from a magnetic material on the surface electron states of a 3D TI.

One way is to use the effect of the surface magnetic order in 3D TIs with chemisorbed magnetic impurities. While the local magnetic moments of impurities are arranged inside a thin layer with the thickness of the order of their diffusion length into TI and form magnetically ordered overlayer, the region of spin polarization of carriers near the 3D TI surface may be significantly larger due to magnetic proximity effect. This type of order can be realized by deposition of magnetic ions of 3d-metals (Mn, Fe, Cr, Co) on Bi$_2$Te$_3$, Bi$_2$Se$_3$ or Sb$_2$Te$_3$ [3–6]. When the surface concentration of ions is relatively high an indirect exchange coupling among their local magnetic moments mediated by the surface states of 3D TI can arise [7]. As a result the system becomes unstable with respect to FM order with a spontaneous magnetization along the normal axis, which is accompanied by opening a gap in a spectrum of the Dirac surface states [7, 11]. However, if the energy of exchange coupling is smaller than the reciprocal lifetime of the TI surface state due to impurity disorder scattering, both the FM ordering and the energy gap should be suppressed.

Another way to induce magnetic order on the surface of 3D TI’s is coating with an external FM or AFM overlayer. This has been done in Ref. [12] where FM order was induced in Bi$_{2-x}$Mn$_x$Te$_3$ ($x=0.09$) by a magnetic proximity effect through the deposited Fe overlayer in the temperature range well above the intrinsic Curie temperature of the bulk Bi$_{2-x}$Mn$_x$Te$_3$. Unfortunately, in the case of metallic FM (AFM) materials the TI
surface states near the TI/FM(AFM) interface should be significantly altered due to their hybridization with the bulk states of FM (AFM) metal. While DFT calculations are absent for this type of structures, one can suppose that the spectrum of the TI surface states should even lose helical features due to the alternation, since the density of states of the metallic FM (AFM) overlayer is much larger than the density of surface states of the 3D TI.

The film of traditional FM (AFM) insulator (below called magnetic insulator, MI) adjacent to TI is a most promising candidate to manipulate the helical states of 3D TI by means of magnetic proximity effect \cite{13}. Such a way may diminish the surface scattering via continuum states of the magnetic film, contrary to the metallic film case. Recently, several MIs (EuO, EuS, EuSe, MnSe, MnTe, RbMnCl\textsubscript{3}) with compatible magnetic structure and relatively good lattice matching with TIs (Bi\textsubscript{2}Te\textsubscript{3}, Bi\textsubscript{2}Se\textsubscript{3} or Sb\textsubscript{2}Te\textsubscript{3}) are identified, and the best candidate material is found to be the large gap AFM semiconductor MnSe \cite{14}.

In the present paper, we study the physics of magnetic proximity effect at the TI/MI interface within first-principles DFT calculations for the Bi\textsubscript{2}Se\textsubscript{3}/MnSe(111) system as an example. We show that two types of interfacial bound states (referred as the topological and interfacial ordinary state, respectively) appear at the TI side of the interface. These states have different physical origins, spatial distributions and energy spectra. Namely, the topological state stems from a breaking of the $Z_2$ invariant of TI at the boundary with MI. This state is located relatively distant from the interface plane; its spectrum is gapped and lies inside the bulk energy gap of TI. In contrast, the interfacial ordinary state results from the crystal symmetry breaking at the TI/MI interface. This state is located nearby the interface and is strongly spin polarized, its spectrum is gapped and lies far below the bulk energy gap of TI due to the band bending at the TI side of the interface.

For structural optimization and electronic bands calculations we use the Vienna Ab Initio Simulation Package \cite{15, 16} with generalized gradient approximation (GGA) \cite{17} to the exchange correlation potential. The interaction between the ion cores and valence electrons was described by the projector augmented-wave method \cite{18, 19}. The Hamiltonian contains scalar relativistic corrections, and the spin-orbit interaction (SOI) is taken into account by the second variation method \cite{20}. To describe correctly the highly correlated Mn-$d$ electrons we include the correlation effects within the GGA+$U$ method as developed in Ref. \cite{21}.

To simulate the Bi\textsubscript{2}Se\textsubscript{3}/MnSe(111) heterostructure, the in-plane lattice constant of the MnSe is fixed to that of Bi\textsubscript{2}Se\textsubscript{3}. The most stable structure of bulk MnSe is a cubic NaCl-type...
FIG. 1. (Color on-line) (a) Crystal structure of the Bi$_2$Se$_3$/MnSe(111) fcc-type interface (half of the supercell is shown); (b) Atomic charge difference with respect to the electronic charge of bulk-like atoms; (c) Total electrostatic potential averaged over $xy$ planes $V_{xy}$ (red line) and change of the potential with respect to that in the central parts of the MnSe ($\Delta V_{\text{MI}}$, light blue line) and Bi$_2$Se$_3$ ($\Delta V_{\text{TI}}$, dark blue line) slabs. Dashed line shows Boltzmann fit for $\Delta V_{\text{TI}}$. Vertical black lines mark position of atomic layers; vertical green lines denote borders of Bi$_2$Se$_3$ QLs; $z = 0$ corresponds to the interfacial plane.

The optimization of MnSe with the fixed parameter in (111) plane leads to 10% contraction of Mn-Se interlayer distance in the [111] direction. The typical values of correlation parameters $U = 5.0$ eV, and $J = 1.0$ eV are appropriate for cubic MnSe, while for distorted MnSe they give Mn $d$ states within the gap. For this reason $U = 6.0$ eV, repelling Mn $d$ bands into
FIG. 2. (Color on-line) Electronic structure of Bi$_2$Se$_3$/MnSe heterostructure. Size of red circles correspond to the weight of the states in 5 layers of MnSe, closest to interface; dark and light blue circles denote weight of the states in the first and second QLs of Bi$_2$Se$_3$ near interface. The projected bulk bands are shown in palevioletred and rosybrown for Bi$_2$Se$_3$ and MnSe, respectively.

the conduction band is used for the Bi$_2$Se$_3$/MnSe(111) heterostructure calculations. It is important that the orthorhombic distortion of MnSe keeps the Mn magnetic moment equal to $\pm 4.58\mu_B$ (it is $\pm 4.57\mu_B$ in cubic structure with experimental lattice parameters) as well as antiferromagnetic ordering along the [111] direction. It means that in the direction perpendicular to the interface the orthorhombically distorted MnSe will provide the same magnetic exchange coupling as the cubic MnSe.

The Bi$_2$Se$_3$/MnSe(111) interface was studied by constructing a superlattice composed
of Bi$_2$Se$_3$ and MnSe slabs. Two types of interfaces with Mn interfacial atomic layer are geometrically possible: fcc-type interface, where interfacial Mn atom is situated in the fcc-hollow position ontop of the Bi$_2$Se$_3$ slab (Fig. 1(a)) and hcp-type interface, where interfacial Mn atom is situated in the hcp-hollow position (not shown). The constructed supercells contain two interfaces. To avoid the interface-interface interaction the slabs of 7 quintuple layers (QLs) of Bi$_2$Se$_3$ and of 31(33) atomic layers of MnSe were used for the fcc-type (hcp-type) interface. The interfacial space (separation distance between Bi$_2$Se$_3$ and MnSe slabs) as well as the atomic positions within the first (closest to the interface) QL of Bi$_2$Se$_3$ and 5 near-interface atomic layers of MnSe were optimized while interatomic distances within the middle part of both slabs were fixed. The total energy optimization shows that the fcc-type interface gains an energy of 115 meV with respect to the hcp-type heterostructure. For this reason in the following we will focus on the fcc-type Bi$_2$Se$_3$/MnSe(111) interface.

As far as the charge transfer and charge redistribution is the common feature for any interface we have estimated this effect by implementing the Bader charge analysis [25]. In Fig. 1(b) the atomic charge difference with respect to the total electronic charge of central (bulk-like) atoms of Bi$_2$Se$_3$ and MnSe slabs is shown. In contrast to small oscillation of the charge in the MnSe slab and in inner QLs of Bi$_2$Se$_3$ a large charge redistribution is found in the interfacial QL. Such a change in electron charge density within the first QL results in substantial modification of the electrostatic potential which shows modulated band-bending behavior within the interfacial QL (Fig. 1(c)). Owing to the strong modification of the 1-st QL potential the localized states of the interfacial QL split off from the conduction band and spread across the gap (Fig. 2). Additionally, two types of the states arise at -0.6 – -0.8 eV in the local bulk energy gap: the gapped (56 meV) interfacial state and degenerate at the $\bar{\Gamma}$ point two spin-split states, localized in the second QL, which are split off from the gap edges. The latter states are similar to those which reside near the bottom of the local valence band gap in the TIs of Bi$_2$Se$_3$ family (they were studied in detail in Sb$_2$Te$_3$ [26]) but here they appear at higher energy owing to that the lower part of this gap is filled by the MnSe bulk states. The former, interfacial state, is similar to the state with a gap of $\sim$ 54 meV found in Ref. [14], which was assumed as a gapped Dirac cone. Next interesting feature in the spectrum is that the topological Dirac state, being localized in the outermost QL on the free Bi$_2$Se$_3$ surface, survives in the formation of the interface relocating to the second QL. The small thickness of the Bi$_2$Se$_3$ slab (4QLs) in Ref. [14] did not allow to catch
Fig. 3. (Color on-line) (a) A magnified view of electronic structure of Bi$_2$Se$_3$/MnSe(111) \(E(k)||\) ranges correspond to dashed (green) frame marked in Fig. 2; (b) Spatial localization of the topological (red) and interfacial (blue) states at the \(\bar{\Gamma}\) point, hatched area covers the Bi$_2$Se$_3$ layers with induced magnetization.

A veritable Dirac state, relocating into the second QL.

A magnified view of the Dirac state is shown in Fig. 3(a). As one can see, the cone is gapped at the \(\bar{\Gamma}\) point. Fig. 3(b) shows that the topological state tends to leave the layers with induced magnetization. Thus the gap of 8.5 meV in the Dirac cone is provided by an overlap of the topological and spin-polarized interfacial states within the first QL (Fig. 3(b)). The induced magnetization at the interface is limited to three layers of Bi$_2$Se$_3$. The magnetic moment on the second layer Bi is 0.04 \(\mu_B\) while it is smaller on Se atoms (\(\leq 0.01 \mu_B\)).

To elucidate the obtained results we develop an analytical model for the magnetic proximity effect in the TI/MI heterostructure, which is based on a recently proposed method to describe the formation of the bound in-gap electron states at the interface between 3D TI and a normal insulator [27]. Our model differs from an approach routinely used in the study of the TI/MI interface [13] when the existence of the exchange term in the phenomenological Hamiltonian of the 2D Dirac-like states is simply postulated.
We write the full electron energy of the TI/MI heterocontact in the following form:

\[
\Omega = \int_{z>0} dr \Theta^+(r) H_t(-i\nabla)\Theta(r) + \int_{z<0} dr \Phi^+(r) H_m(-i\nabla)\Phi(r) + \Omega_I, \tag{1}
\]

\[
\Omega_I = \int dr [\Theta^+(r)V(r)\Phi(r) + \Phi^+(r)V^+(r)\Theta(r)]. \tag{2}
\]

In the TI half-space \((z > 0)\), the four bands \(k \cdot p\) Hamiltonian \(H_t\), proposed in Ref. 28 for the narrow-gap semiconductors of the Bi\(_2\)Se\(_3\) family, describes the low energy and long wavelength bulk electron states near the \(\Gamma\) point of the Brillouin zone. In the MI half-space \((z < 0)\), the electron states are modeled within the effective mass approximation by the four bands Hamiltonian without SOI, \(H_m\). The intrinsic magnetization of MI is assumed to be perpendicular to the TI/MI interface plane \((z = 0)\). The spinors \(\Theta(r)\) and \(\Phi(r)\) are smooth and continuous envelope functions in the right and left half-spaces, respectively. The term \(\Omega_I\) involves intermixing of the TI and MI electron states at the interface via the effective potential of hybridization \(V(r)\).

Following the variational procedure 27, one can show that the energy functional (1)-(2) has two distinct bound states: ordinary and topological states with the exponentially decaying asymptotics far from the interface: \(\Phi(z \to -\infty) = 0\) and \(\Theta(z \to \infty) = 0\). One of them, the interfacial ordinary state, is localized near the interface and exponentially decays into the TI half-space with a scale length \(z_o\). The charge redistribution near the interface causes significant shift of the ordinary state spectrum relative to the TI bulk energy spectrum 27. As seen from the Boltzmann fit for \(\Delta V_{TI}\) in Fig. 1(c), in the Bi\(_2\)Se\(_3\)/MnSe system, the band bending on the TI side of the interface is \(\sim 0.8\) eV so that interfacial ordinary state is sunk into the region of the bulk valence band. Furthermore, due to the hybridization (2) with the orbitals of the MI outermost layer that exhibits the out-of-plane magnetization \(M\), the interfacial ordinary state becomes spin polarized.

Another state at the interface, the topological state, is not directly influenced by the interface potential since \(\Theta_t(z = 0) = 0\). This topological state is remote from the interface at the distance \(z_t < z_o\); note the lengths \(z_o\) and \(z_t\) are determined by the material parameters of TI. Thus the topological state experiences a magnetic effect of MI through the magnetic proximity effect, when the exchange field induced by the spin polarization of the interfacial ordinary state penetrates deep into the TI half-space. The magnetic proximity-induced gap at the Dirac point in the electron spectrum of the topological state is estimated as
\[ \Delta \sim JMS|V|^2, \]  where \( J \) is the exchange interaction strength in TI, \( S \sim \int_0^\infty dz |\Theta_o\Theta_t|^2 \) is the overlap integral of the ordinary and topological states in TI. The analytical results in detail will be represented elsewhere.

In summary, on the base of DFT calculations performed on the Bi\(_2\)Se\(_3\)/MnSe system, we scrutinized the magnetic proximity effect at the 3D TI/MI interface. We have shown that the charge redistribution and the mixing of the TI orbitals with the MI orbitals at the interface causes drastic modifications of the electron structure near the TI/MI interface. The calculation data reveal the presence of the interfacial ordinary state confined within the nearest interfacial QL of TI which slowly decays into MI. This state is shifted downwards to the local energy gap owing to the near-interface band bending. The state is gapped and spin polarized due to the hybridization with the MI states. On the other hand, the topological state is mainly localized in the second QL showing an overlap with the interfacial ordinary state which in this way mediates indirect exchange coupling between MI and the topological state. The topological state acquires the energy gap at the Dirac point proportional to the overlap of the topological and interfacial ordinary states. The key DFT results are supported by the analytical model. The unveiled mechanism of the magnetic proximity effect in the TI/MI structure provides a pathway to integrate TIs in spintronic devices.
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