Multiple superhyperfine fields in a \{\text{DyFe}_2\text{Dy}\} coordination cluster revealed using bulk susceptibility and \textsuperscript{57}Fe Mössbauer studies
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A \{\text{DyFe}_2\text{Dy}(\text{OH})_2(\text{pmide})_2(p-\text{Me-PhCO}_2)_6\} coordination cluster, where pmide\textsubscript{2} = \text{N-(2-pyridylmethyl)-iminodiethanol}, has been synthesized and the magnetic properties studied. The dc magnetic measurements reveal dominant antiferromagnetic interactions between the metal centres. The ac measurements reveal zero-field quantum tunnelling of the magnetisation (QTM) which can be understood, but not adequately modelled, in terms of at least three relaxation processes when appropriate static (dc) fields are applied. To investigate this further, \textsuperscript{57}Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy was used and well-resolved nuclear hyperfine structures could be observed, showing that on the Mössbauer time scale, without applied field or else with very small applied fields, the iron nuclei experience three or more superhyperfine fields arising from the slow magnetisation reversal of the strongly polarized fields of the Dy\textsuperscript{III} ions.

Introduction

Magnetic bistability in molecules has been extensively studied and analysed in recent years in the context of the so-called Single Molecule Magnets (SMMs). These show slow relaxation of their magnetisation as the result of an inherent energy barrier to spin inversion and this can be estimated from an analysis of the relaxation processes, in the most favourable cases using an Arrhenius law to estimate an effective barrier, \(U_{\text{eff}}\), which suggests a barrier height for spin inversion of the ground spin state via all the intervening microstates. Studies on superparamagnetic nanoparticles rely more on the concept of a blocking temperature which defines the point below which slow relaxation can be observed and this is dependent on time-scale factors for the chosen measurement method. Whereas \textsuperscript{57}Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy (MS) has been the method of choice for investigating superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles,\textsuperscript{1} the simple fact that few groups working on SMMs have incorporated iron into their systems means that Mössbauer spectroscopy has rarely been used to provide added insights into the relaxation processes of SMMs.

An appealing means to increase \(U_{\text{eff}}\) is to incorporate 4f (lanthanide) ions into SMM systems since these possess both high spins and large magnetic anisotropies.\textsuperscript{2} Although this can lead to compounds with \(U_{\text{eff}}\) barriers of up to 800 K for pure lanthanide systems,\textsuperscript{3} the fact that a barrier is there does not mean that it cannot be circumvented and various tunnelling and relaxation processes provide easier routes to the “other side” than having to “go over the top”.

Although the approach of using combinations of highly anisotropic lanthanide and paramagnetic transition metals to give 3d/4f systems often leads to lower \(U_{\text{eff}}\) values, the fact that Quantum Tunnelling of the Magnetisation (QTM) effects can be suppressed still leads to enhanced SMM properties with some examples showing higher blocking temperatures and improved static field properties, i.e. without the need to apply fields to suppress QTM. Such 3d–4f blends can lead to the observation of exotic magnetic effects such as coexistence of two mechanisms for blocking of magnetisation – single-ion and exchange-based.\textsuperscript{4}

Such 3d–4f systems have mostly been investigated using standard bulk susceptibility, including ac studies, as an experimental method to quantify the relaxation processes and this approach is clearly not sufficient. In order to understand the details of the relaxation processes single crystal studies and/or theoretical studies can be of help.\textsuperscript{5,6} In the special case where the 3d ion is Fe\textsuperscript{II}, we have shown how this can act as a sensor for the local anisotropy exerted by the 4f ions using a Mössbauer spectroscopy approach. This makes it possible to sense the local coupling regimes between pairs of metal centres at a microscopic level,\textsuperscript{7–9} as shown for a Dy/Tb system.\textsuperscript{7} This means of going beyond standard magnetic susceptibility techniques provides an important experimental method to assist theoreticians in their quest to improve their descriptions of these challenging systems.
which involve highly anisotropic magnetic centers showing significant spin–orbit coupling. For these purposes we chose to probe the magnetism and Mössbauer spectroscopy of a tetranuclear compound $[\text{DYFe}^{II}_3\text{Fe}^{III}\text{OH}]_{3}(\text{pmide}){(p-Me-PheCO}_2\text{k})_9$ (1), where pmideH$_2 = \text{N}(2\text{-pyridylmethyl}l)\text{iminodiethanol}. \text{In order to extract the iron and dysprosium magnetic contributions the yttrium and aluminium isostructural analogues were also synthesised, namely } [\text{YFe}^{III}_3\text{Y}^{III}\text{OH}]_{3}(\text{pmide}){(p-Me-PheCO}_2\text{k})_9$ (2) and $[\text{DYAl}^{III}_3\text{DY}^{III}\text{OH}]_{3}(\text{pmide}){(p-Me-PheCO}_2\text{k})_9$ (3).

**Experimental section**

**General information**

All chemicals and solvents used for synthesis were obtained from commercial sources and used without further purification. All reactions were carried out under aerobic conditions.

$N$-(2-pyridylmethyl)iminodiethanol was prepared according to the literature procedure.$^{10}$ The elemental analyses (C, H, and N) were carried out using an Elementar Vario EL analyzer. Fourier transform infrared spectra (4000 to 400 cm$^{-1}$) were measured on a Perkin-Elmer Spectrum GX spectrometer with samples prepared as KBr discs.

**Synthesis of $[\text{Fe}^{III}_2\text{DY}_2(\mu_3\text{-OH})_3(\text{pmide}){(p-Me-PheCO}_2\text{k})_9] \text{2MeCN}$ (1)**

$N$-(2-pyridylmethyl)iminodiethanol (100 mg, 0.5 mmol) in MeCN (5 ml) was added to a solution of $\text{FeCl}_2\cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (50 mg, 0.25 mmol), $\text{DYCl}_3\cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (94 mg, 0.25 mmol) and 4-methylbenzoic acid (144 mg, 1 mmol) in MeCN (20 ml) and MeOH (5 ml). After 10 min of stirring, Et$_3$N (0.42 ml, 3 mmol) was added, leaving the solution stirring for a further 0.5 h. The final solution was filtered and left undisturbed. After leaving overnight pale yellow single crystals suitable for X-ray analysis were collected and air dried. Yield: 61% (187.5 mg, based on 4-methylbenzoic acid). Anal. calc (found) % for $\text{Fe}_3\text{DY}_3\text{Cl}_{16}\text{H}_6\text{O}_{18}$ $\text{MeCN}\text{MeOH}$ 3.35H$_2$O: C, 47.53 (47.29); H, 4.85 (4.61); N, 4.56 (4.51). Selected IR data (KBr, cm$^{-1}$) for 1: 3503 (br), 2975 (w), 2854 (m), 1591 (s), 1543 (s), 1096 (s), 905 (s), 723 (s), 672 (s), 593 (s). Note that this compound can also be prepared starting from Fe$^{III}$ salts.

**Synthesis of $[\text{Fe}^{III}_3\text{Y}_2(\mu_3\text{-OH})_3(\text{pmide}){(p-Me-PheCO}_2\text{k})_9] \text{2MeCN}$ (2)**

Compound 2 was synthesised in a similar manner as for 1 but with $\text{YCl}_3\cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ in place of $\text{DYCl}_3\cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ Yield: 58% (152.8 mg, based on 4-methylbenzoic acid). Anal. calc (found) % for $\text{Fe}_2\text{Y}_2\text{Cl}_{16}\text{H}_6\text{O}_{18}$ $\text{MeCN}\text{MeOH}$: C, 47.53 (47.29); H, 4.85 (4.61); N, 4.56 (4.51). Selected IR data (KBr, cm$^{-1}$) for 1: 3503 (br), 2975 (w), 2854 (m), 1593 (s), 1541 (s), 1099 (s), 906 (s), 723 (s), 672 (s), 593 (s). Note that this compound can also be prepared starting from Fe$^{III}$ salts.

**Mössbauer spectroscopy**

Mössbauer Spectra were acquired in transmission geometry with a conventional spectrometer incorporating an Oxford Instruments Mössbauer-Spectromag 4000 Cryostat, equipped with a $^{57}\text{Co}$ source (3.7 GBq) in a rhodium matrix in the constant-acceleration mode. Isomer shifts are given relative to $\alpha$-Fe at 300 K.

**Magnetic measurements**

The magnetic susceptibility measurements were obtained using a Quantum Design SQUID magnetometer MPMS-XL in the temperature range 1.8–300 K. Measurements were performed on polycrystalline samples restrained in eicosane and contained in sealed plastic bags. Magnetisation isotherms were collected at 2, 3, 5 K between 0 and 7 T. Alternating current (ac) susceptibility measurements were performed with an oscillating field of 3 Oe and ac frequencies ranging from 0.05 to 1500 Hz. The magnetic data were corrected for the sample holder and the diamagnetic contribution.

**X-ray analysis**

The X-ray data were collected on an Oxford Diffraction Supernova $E$ diffractometer, using $\text{Mo-K}_{\alpha}$ radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å) from a microfocus source. Structures were solved by dual-space direct methods using SHELXT$^{11a}$ and refined against $F^2$ using SHELXL-2014$^{11b}$ with anisotropic displacement parameters for all non-hydrogen atoms. Organic hydrogen atoms were placed in calculated positions; the coordinates of H(1) were refined. Crystallographic data for the structures of 1 and 3 have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as supplementary publications CCDC 1428524 and 1428525. Copies of the data can be obtained from: https://summary.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/structure-summary-form.

**Results and discussion**

**Structural description**

The three compounds are isostructural and we describe here compound 1 in detail. The crystal parameters of the three compounds are summarised in Table 1. Compound 1 (Fig. 1) crystallises in the monoclinic space group $C2/c$ and has a similar core motif to the previously reported $\text{Fe}^{III}_2\text{DY}_2$ coordination clusters formed from the reaction of triethanolamine derivatives and (substituted) benzoate co-ligands, in which a central $\text{Fe}^{III}_2$ unit is flanked by two Dy centres.$^{8,9}$

The compound is essentially isostructural to the previously reported $\text{Fe}_2\text{DY}_2$ compounds prepared with the $\text{H}_3\text{tea}$ (triethanolamine) ligand.$^{8,9}$ As shown in Fig. 1, in compound 1 the chelating alcohol arm of the triethanolamine ligand attached to the Dy centres is substituted by a pyridine containing fragment, which affects the local environments of these metal ions. The two Fe$^{III}$ ions are six coordinate with octahedral geometries and an average Fe–O bond length of 2.001 Å. The two Dy$^{III}$ ions are nine coordinate with monocapped square antiprismatic geometries with an average Dy–O/N bond length of 2.441 Å. The Fe–Fe distance is 3.211(0) Å and the intramolecular Dy–Dy
The closest intermolecular Dy–Dy distance is 6.101(1) Å. The closest intermolecular Dy–C1/C1/C1/Dy distance is 7.951(1) Å. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles of compounds 1 and 3 are summarised in Table 2.

Static magnetic properties

Direct-current (dc) magnetic susceptibility studies of 1 were performed under an applied magnetic field of 300 Oe in the 300–1.8 K temperature range (Fig. 2). The value of χT at 300 K of 35.46 cm³ K mol⁻¹ is slightly lower than the expected value of 36.84 cm³ K mol⁻¹ for two high-spin Fe III ions (S = 5/2, g = 2, and C = 4.37 cm³ K mol⁻¹) and two Dy III ions with S = 5/2, L = 5, 6H15/2, g = 4/3 and C = 14.17 cm³ K mol⁻¹.

There is a steady decrease of the χT product on decreasing the temperature from 300 to 100 K, a more rapid decrease from 100 to 2.5 K, reaching a value of 25.00 cm³ K mol⁻¹ below 2.5 K, suggesting that antiferromagnetic interactions between paramagnetic centres are present in this molecule. The last minor increase of χT to 25.80 cm³ K mol⁻¹ below 2.5 K is due to weak ferromagnetic interactions. The thermal depopulation of the DyIII excited states, the sublevels of the 6H15/2 state, is also partially responsible for the continuous decrease of χT below 100 K.
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Table 2  Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles of compounds 1(Fe$_2$Dy$_2$) and 3(Al$_2$Dy$_2$)

| Compounds | 1 (M = Fe$^{III}$) | 3 (M = Al$^{III}$) |
|-----------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Dy1–O2    | 2.3215(17)        | 2.3200(15)        |
| Dy1–O3    | 2.3416(16)        | 2.3308(16)        |
| Dy1–O1    | 2.3990(17)        | 2.4214(16)        |
| Dy1–O8    | 2.4285(18)        | 2.4371(17)        |
| Dy1–O7    | 2.4332(17)        | 2.4046(16)        |
| Dy1–O9    | 2.4388(17)        | 2.4212(16)        |
| Dy1–O5    | 2.4434(17)        | 2.4371(17)        |
| Dy1–O1    | 2.4538(16)        | 2.4538(16)        |
| Dy1–O2    | 2.4620(16)        | 2.4620(16)        |

Dy–Dyinter 7.951(1) 8.093(2)

Symmetry code: (i)

M1–O1 2.0584(17) 1.9496(17)
M1–O1i 2.0548(16) 1.9347(17)
M1–O6i 2.0058(17) 1.8977(17)
M1–O4 1.9944(17) 1.8875(17)
M1–O2 1.9738(17) 1.8701(17)

Dy–Dyintra 6.101(1) 6.055(1)

M1–M1i 3.211(0) 3.024(1)
M1i–O3–Dy 106.07(7) 106.90(7)
M1–O1–Dy 100.90(6) 100.85(7)
M1i–O1–Dy 101.28(7) 101.44(7)

Dy–Dyinter 7.951(1) 8.093(2)

Symmetry code: (i) –x + 1/2, –y + 3/2, –z + 1.

Dynamic magnetic properties

{Fe$_2$Dy$_2$} (1). In order to probe potential slow magnetisation relaxation, ac magnetic measurements were carried out. For 1, under zero applied dc field, only one relaxation process seems to operate within the frequency window of our measurements (0–1500 Hz) (Fig. 4). After a quick screening at different dc fields (0–3000 Oe) (Fig. 4) an optimum dc field (1000 Oe) was found, at which the minimum of the characteristic frequency was observed and by applying this dc field full peaks in the temperature and frequency dependent out of phase ($\chi''$) susceptibility measurements were observed (Fig. 5). The presence of peaks that shift to lower frequency as the temperature decreases is indicative of slow magnetisation relaxation. The anisotropic energy barrier was found by fitting using the Arrhenius equation, $\tau = t_0 e^{U/kT}$, giving $U_{\text{eff}} = 16.2$ K (11.2 cm$^{-1}$) ($t_0 = 2.6 \times 10^{12}$ s) (Fig. 6).

From the quick screening of the frequency dependence of the in-phase and out-of-phase components of the ac magnetic susceptibility (Fig. 4) it can be observed that at high fields (2000–3000 Oe) additional peaks develop with maxima which

Fig. 2  Temperature dependence (left) of the $\chi T$ product at 300 Oe for 1, Fe$_2$Dy$_2$, Fe$_2$Y$_2$ (the solid line is the best fit to the experimental data for 2) and 3, Al$_2$Dy$_2$. The comparison curves (right) of Fe$_2$Dy$_2$ and Al$_2$Dy$_2$ + Fe$_2$Y$_2$ along with the curve for 3, Al$_2$Dy$_2$ enlarged in the region below 15 K.
may lie at lower frequencies (0.1–1 Hz). These observations motivated us to revise our search for the optimal dc field. Therefore, a measurement was made using smaller increments between dc field values (Fig. 7 and 8). Remarkably, with increasing dc fields from 25 to 10 000 Oe we have observed three different relaxation processes: one at high frequencies (100–1500 Hz) and small fields (25–200 Oe), a second one at intermediate frequencies (1–100 Hz) and intermediate fields (200–800 Oe), and the third one at very small frequencies (0.1–1 Hz) and higher fields (1000–10 000 Oe). What is fascinating, is that with increasing dc field there is a gradual transition between these three processes and, simultaneously, gradual quenching of all of them. This result undoubtedly indicates that in compound 1 there are at least three relaxation processes with different relaxation times, which can be identified by non-zero dc field ac susceptibility measurements. At fields above 10 000 Oe the relaxation rate (due to the direct processes) is so fast that $\chi''$ can no longer be observed at frequencies below 1500 Hz (Fig. 8).

\{YFe\textsubscript{III}\textsubscript{2}Y\} (2). Here no frequency-dependent behaviour was observed in zero or 1000 Oe applied dc field. Hence, the Fe\textsubscript{2} fragment does not give rise to SMM behaviour, which is as expected since the antiferromagnetic Fe\cdots Fe interaction leads to an S = 0 ground state.

\{DyAl\textsubscript{2}Dy\} (3). In contrast to compound \{DyFe\textsubscript{III}Dy\} (1), the temperature dependent $\chi''$ has a strong out-of-phase signal below 11 K at zero dc field with a maximum at 8 K for the highest frequency ($\nu$) of 1500 Hz. The peaks in the frequency dependent $\chi''$ (Fig. 9) measurements become temperature independent below 3 K, indicating a possible quantum regime. The data for the corresponding measurements under an applied dc field 1000 Oe are shown in Fig. 10. At temperatures above 6 K the relaxation data is roughly linear and can be analysed using the Arrhenius law (Fig. 11) revealing very similar values for the thermal energy barrier to magnetisation relaxation of $U_{\text{eff}} = 38.7$ K and $\tau_0 = 1.06 \times 10^{-6}$ s under zero field and $U_{\text{eff}} = 41.5$ K $\tau_0 = 9.95 \times 10^{-7}$ s under an applied field of 1000 Oe. The barrier heights are thus significantly larger than the value of 16.2 K found from the analysis of the data obtained under an applied field of 1000 Oe for the Fe\textsubscript{III}Dy\textsubscript{2} compound.

The single crystal X-ray analysis shows that the molecules in all three compounds are centrosymmetric and it might be expected that there should not be any electronic differences between the individual Dy sites. This would imply that compounds 1 and 3 should show similar relaxation behaviour. Thus, since from the above studies we see different dynamic magnetic behaviour this must be related to the Dy–Fe exchange interactions in 1 which markedly decrease the $U_{\text{eff}}$ barrier from that found for 3 and which in zero dc field becomes unquantifiable because the out-of-phase susceptibility signals lie at frequencies above the 1500 Hz we can apply with our SQUID. Thus, only on application of a dc field was it possible in compound 1 to slow down the relaxation processes and to extract the magnetic parameters. As mentioned above, to test how the dc field can affect the dynamic magnetic behaviour in compound 3, experiments with similar conditions to those for 1 were performed to investigate the temperature, $\chi''(T)$, and frequency, $\chi''(\nu)$, behaviour under
an applied field of 1000 Oe (Fig. 10). The obtained results are essentially the same as those obtained in zero dc fields (Fig. 9) and from the shapes of the $\chi''(T)$, $\chi''(v)$ curves and Arrhenius plots (Fig. 11) one can conclude the presence in this compound of at least three relaxation processes. To prove this, a $\chi''(v)$ measurement in dc fields of 0–4000 Oe was performed (Fig. 12). As was found for $\{\text{DyFe}^{III}\text{Dy}\}$ (1), on increasing the dc field, three clear transitions and their gradual quenching were observed.

These observations suggest that the SMM behaviour for the $\{\text{DyFe}^{III}\text{Dy}\}$ (1) and $\{\text{DyAl}_{2}\text{Dy}\}$ (3) compounds arises from the presence of dysprosium ions in the molecules and that the different dynamic magnetic behaviour in dc field for 1 is attenuated by the presence of Fe$^{III}$–Dy$^{III}$ exchange interactions in 1.

Furthermore, an examination of the likely direction of the easy axes of the Dy$^{III}$ ions in 3 using the Magellan software, reveals (Fig. 13) that these lie parallel to each other, as required by the centrosymmetric structure, and subtend angles of 53° to the Dy–Dy vector and 42° to the Al–Al vector. It can further be noted that the axis for Dy(1) is oriented almost along the Dy(1)–O(2) bond, such that it subtends angles of 29° and 78° with the Dy(1)–Al(1) and Dy(1)–Al(1$'$) vectors, respectively. We can therefore expect that in the Fe$^{II}$Dy$_2$ compound 1, the Fe site Fe(1) will be influenced mainly by the hyperfine field from Dy(1), whereas that at Fe(1$'$) will be mainly influenced by the field from Dy(1$'$).

**Use of Mössbauer spectroscopy to provide a wider timescale for following the relaxation processes**

Although in compound 1 the multiple relaxation processes could not be detected at zero-field for the ac magnetic measurements, and noting that the characteristic measuring time for such measurements is usually between $\sim 10$ and $6.6 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}$, it was, however, possible to probe the situation on a different timescale.
using zero-field $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy (Fig. 14(a–e)). $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy provides a $\sim 10^{-7}$ s time window and it has been shown that this spectroscopy is useful not only for defining the total spin of iron entities in electronically very complicated Fe$_x$Dy$_y$ molecules,\textsuperscript{17} but also can give the spin structure of those entities and possible spin orientation of the Dy components of the molecules. Here we can extract the same information and show how this can provide very detailed microscopic information which cannot be extracted from other standard magnetic techniques for such challenging systems.

The form and characteristics of the Mössbauer spectra of the dinuclear Fe$^{III}$ unit prove an ideal probe of the low-temperature relaxation dynamics in the neighbouring Dy$^{III}$ cations. For an ideal antiferromagnetic dimer (such as might exist in the \{YFe$_2$Y\}) the spin expectation value on each site is 0. However, if such an ideally isolated dimer were present in a cluster, this would be revealed by the magnetically split low temperature Mössbauer spectra and the two iron sites would give rise to zero hyperfine fields. An inspection of the Mössbauer spectrum at 3 K, zero field (Fig. 14(a)), suggests that in this complex the ferric sites exhibit considerable internal hyperfine fields of $\sim$ 9–12 T. While the obtained spectra look similar to the familiar six-line pattern of $^{57}$Fe in a magnetically ordered state with intermediate relaxation time (relaxation spectra), the processes leading to the observed pattern are considerably more complex.

All attempts to simulate these spectra with a relaxation model failed. The fact that the pattern does not arise from relaxation effects could be confirmed by applying an external magnetic field. This should sharpen the magnetic lines, but here we see the completely opposite effect. The sextets start to vanish (see Fig. 14(b–d)) and at fields above 1 T (Fig. 14(e)) the Mössbauer spectra exhibit patterns typical of a diamagnetic complex.\textsuperscript{8,9,13} These spectra cannot be modelled as a combination of a doublet and a sextet and the six lines cannot be reconciled with any single hyperfine pattern, again suggesting that multiple processes are in operation.

Normally, when an external magnetic field is applied to a simple antiferromagnetic iron compound, an individual sextet is typically broadened at small applied field. At larger applied field this is split into two sextets, one corresponds to the vector sum of the hyperfine field and the applied field (corresponding to the “normal” case at low applied fields) and the other to the vector difference.\textsuperscript{18} Here, however, we see three overlapping magnetic patterns in the zero-field Mössbauer spectra.
These can be explained by the presence of multiple relaxation processes within the Dy ions leading to the presence of three internal dipolar fields with different strengths and/or directions interacting with the $^{57}$Fe nuclei.

An alternative scenario would be that what is observed is due to the resolution of the nuclear hyperfine structures corresponding to the various crystal field states of the $^6S$ ion, Fe$^{III}$. However, the values for the observed internal field (9–12 T) are too small to arise from a mixture of the three possible states: $M_S = \pm \frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{3}{2}$ and $\pm \frac{5}{2}$, since the expected hyperfine fields for each component are $\sim 11$, $\sim 33$ and $\sim 55$ T, respectively. Therefore we conclude that the magnetic patterns are molecule-based and result from slow intracluster relaxations in conjunction with internal molecular dipolar fields. These internal fields result from the non-reversal of the magnetisation mediated via ground and/or excited states $M_J$ of the Dy$^{III}$ ions at low temperature being sensed by the ferric cations.

An important further observation from the Mössbauer spectra is that there is no noticeable change in the pattern in the spectra measured between 0–1000 Oe (0–0.1 T) applied fields (Fig. 14(a and b)). Given the $\sim 10^{-7}$ s time window of Mössbauer spectroscopy this suggests that on this time scale no relaxation processes are affected by application of a field, whereas there is a clear change within the window of the ac-susceptibility measurements as shown in Fig. 14(f and h). On the other hand, when fields stronger than 1000 Oe are applied in the ac-susceptibility measurement, we can observe that the ac signals become broader (Fig. 14(g and i)) and also that the magnetic spectra in the Mössbauer start to disappear (Fig. 14(c and d)) with the finale occurring at 2.5 T. Here the antiferromagnetically coupled Fe$_2$ unit is able to dominate the magnetic structure of the cluster and is no longer influenced by the internal field from the Dy$_{III}$ ions as a result of the very fast relaxation rate (faster than $10^{-7}$ s$^{-1}$) of the magnetic states. At the intermediate field of 1 T (Fig. 14(d)) a clear minor magnetic onset is still seen in the Mössbauer spectra indicating the presence of a small component of slowly relaxing magnetic states within the Dy$_{III}$ ions. This last observation is also supported by the ac data at 1 T which show a broad shoulder at low frequencies (Fig. 14(i)).

The observed effects from the magnetic and spectroscopic studies are expected, since on increasing the dc field we enhance the spin-phonon direct relaxation mechanisms of the Dy$^{III}$ ions. Here the one-phonon relaxation between the two components of the doublets has rates which are proportional to the 3rd power of their Zeeman splitting, i.e., to the third power of the applied dc field (Oe). Usually, fields weaker than 1 T are enough to accelerate this relaxation.

The presence in the Mössbauer spectra at 3 K of the two overlapping hyperfine patterns (doublet and sextet) with an approximate ratio of 50:50 is noteworthy since a similar effect has been observed in all the reported Fe$^{III}$Dy$_2$ compounds sharing the same core structure. This is a clear indication of the presence of two different hyperfine interactions at the
iron sites, but seems at first sight at odds with the fact that the molecular structure suggests that the Fe centres are identical. This observation is, however, in line with a spin model where one Fe$^{III}$ of the AF coupled dimer is aligned parallel and the other is antiparallel to the easy axes of the Dy ions.

As discussed above, from the Magellan analysis, the anisotropy directions on the Dy$^{III}$ centres lie parallel to each other, but twisted with respect to the central metal dimer, at least for the analysis on the $\text{DyAl}_2\text{Dy}^3$ compound 3. It can be noted that this result is also in line with the results from $ab\ initio$ calculations performed on a structurally very similar $\{\text{DyFe}_2\text{Dy}\}$ system. This thus implies that the spins on the central dimer are aligned antiparallel to each other along this anisotropy direction. We can also note that previous studies on related $\{\text{LnFe}_2\text{Ln}\}$ systems, those where Ln = Gd have revealed that the antiferromagnetic Fe–Fe interaction is much stronger than the ferromagnetic Fe–Gd interaction and that the magnetic data can be fit using two $J$ values. In both studies the central Fe$_2$ unit

**Fig. 10** Temperature dependence (upper) and frequency dependent (lower) of the in phase (left) and out-of-phase (right) components of the ac magnetic susceptibility for $\text{3(Al}_2\text{Dy}_2)$ at 1000 Oe.

**Fig. 11** Arrhenius plots for $\text{3(Al}_2\text{Dy}_2)$ under zero (left) and 1000 Oe (right) dc field.
was found to be strongly antiferromagnetically coupled and in one case the Fe–Gd interaction was found to be essentially zero\textsuperscript{20} and in the other approximately 40 times smaller than the Fe–Fe interaction.\textsuperscript{13}

It is of interest that in the former study,\textsuperscript{20} a triangularly arranged \{Fe\textsubscript{2}Gd\} system was also reported and in this case it proved necessary to analyse the magnetic data in terms of a frustrated system. From the magnetic data presented in Fig. 2 it can be seen that the low temperature $\chi T$ vs. $T$ data for Fe\textsubscript{2}Dy\textsubscript{2} (1) and the “composite” data for \{Fe\textsubscript{2}Y\textsubscript{2} (2) plus Al\textsubscript{2}Dy\textsubscript{2} (3)\} essentially coincide, suggesting that also here the Fe–Dy interactions are very small compared with the central Fe–Fe interaction in the Fe\textsubscript{2}Dy\textsubscript{2} compound\textsuperscript{1}. Thus we can safely rule out needing to apply a triangularly frustrated model to describe our observations and we suggest a different scenario.

From the experimental data, and in particular the insights from the Mössbauer spectroscopy, we can propose the following model to describe the magnetic dynamics and a possible magnetic structure for the core of 1. Firstly, we conjecture that the anisotropy axes for the ground and low lying Kramer doublets of both Dy\textsuperscript{III} ions are aligned in such a way that they are either parallel or antiparallel to the hyperfine fields at the central \textsuperscript{57}Fe nuclei. Then, if the reversal of magnetisation of the two Dy\textsuperscript{III} ions occurs at a rate which is slower than approximately $10^7$ s\textsuperscript{-1}, the internal fluctuating dipolar fields will appear to be fixed within the timescale for the Mössbauer transitions. Since the central Fe\textsuperscript{III} unit is an antiferromagnetically coupled dimer of two octahedral iron centres, it is most likely that the axial zero-field splitting parameter $D > 0$ and thus that the ground state is $|\pm 1/2\rangle$. In magnetically diluted systems (e.g. 1–10\% doping) the reversal of this spin at low temperatures should be slow and result in a resolved hyperfine structure corresponding to $B_{hf} = 1.1$ T.\textsuperscript{21} However, we can exclude this possibility, because compound 1 is not a magnetically diluted system and due to strong spin–spin interactions the relaxation in the $|\pm 1/2\rangle$ state is very fast since it involves only a change of $M_s$ of $|\pm 1\rangle$ between the $|+1/2\rangle$ and the $|−1/2\rangle$ ground states. The only barrier for fast relaxation in this state is the presence of an internal molecular magnetic field arising from slow magnetisation reversal of the spins of the Dy\textsuperscript{III} ions. Since the main local magnetic axes on the Dy\textsuperscript{III} ions are co-parallel to each other as concluded from magnetic susceptibility measurements of the isostructural compound \{DyAl\textsubscript{2}Dy\} (3) (Fig. 3), the Magellan analysis (Fig. 13), and from the previously reported \textit{ab initio} results on a \{DyFe\textsubscript{2}Dy\} compound analogous to 1,\textsuperscript{13} the relaxation in the $|\pm 1/2\rangle$ state of the iron ion with the hyperfine internal field having the same direction as the magnetic field experienced from the non-reversal of magnetisation of two Dy\textsuperscript{III} neighbours will be slowed down. The field experienced at this iron site is a sensitive function of coordination geometry...
and main magnetic axes of the ground or/and excited Kramer doublets relative to the global field of the molecule. This would explain the observation of an average hyperfine field of ~11 T determined from the magnetic onsets in 1, but the reason why a magnetic hyperfine interaction is only observed at one iron site is a puzzling feature requiring further study.

Conclusions

In conclusion, we have described the role of $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy in understanding lanthanide anisotropy in Fe–Dy containing molecular clusters and how sensitive this method is for identifying very fine details of microscopic metal–metal communication and changes in the electronic structure of anisotropic weakly interacting lanthanide ions. For the first time we suggest an indirect approach for detecting the multi-level electronic nature of the Dy$^{III}$ ions. From the Mössbauer spectra a superposition of several sextets is observed with the hyperfine fields originating from the interaction of the iron nuclei spin moments with the dipolar fields originating from magnetic substates of the Dy$^{III}$ ions.

The effects observed in this study strongly suggest that under zero applied dc field the absence of the signal in the out of phase ac susceptibility experiments does not mean that the system is solely dominated by ground state magnetic tunnelling. Thus, other relaxation processes (e.g. direct, Orbach or Raman) are occurring, but are too fast to be detected by ac susceptibility measurements. However, they are slow enough to be sensed by the neighbouring iron nuclei and registered by Mössbauer spectroscopy, which probes magnetic relaxation on a much faster time scale. When dc fields (25 to ~4000 Oe) are applied, then the relaxation of all these processes becomes slow enough for the peak maxima to fall within the frequency range of modern magnetometers, and, of course, still slow enough to be characterised by Mössbauer spectroscopy.

In short, we present a study which demonstrates the decisive role that the timescale of measurement techniques plays in helping to unravel the details of relaxation processes in magnetic materials.
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