Age-related functional brain changes in FMR1 premutation carriers
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ABSTRACT

The FMR1 premutation confers a 40–60% risk for males of developing a neurodegenerative disease called the Fragile X-associated Tremor Ataxia Syndrome (FXTAS). FXTAS is a late-onset disease that primarily involves progressive symptoms of tremor and ataxia, as well as cognitive decline that can develop into dementia in some patients. At present, it is not clear whether changes to brain function are detectable in motor regions prior to the onset of frank symptomatology. The present study therefore aimed to utilize an fMRI motor task for the first time in this asymptomatic premutation population.

Premutation carriers without a diagnosis of FXTAS (n = 17) and a group of healthy male controls (n = 17), with an age range of 24–68 years old, were recruited for this cross-sectional study. This study utilized neuroimaging, molecular and clinical measurements, employing an fMRI finger-tapping task with a block design consisting of sequential finger-tapping, random finger-tapping and rest conditions. The imaging analysis contrasted the sequential and random conditions to investigate activation changes in response to a change in task demand. Additionally, measurements were obtained of participant tremor, co-ordination and balance using the CATSYS-2000 system and measures of FMR1 mRNA were quantified from peripheral blood samples using quantitative real-time PCR methodology.

Premutation carriers demonstrated significantly less cerebellar activation than controls during sequential versus random finger tapping (FWEcorr < 0.001). In addition, there was a significant age by group interaction in the hippocampus, inferior parietal cortex and temporal cortex originating from a more negative relationship between brain activation and age in the carrier group compared to the controls (FWEmax < 0.001).

Here, we present for the first time functional imaging-based evidence for early movement-related neurodegeneration in Fragile X premutation carriers. These changes pre-exist the diagnosis of FXTAS and are greatest in older carriers suggesting that they may be indicative of FXTAS vulnerability.

1. Introduction

It is estimated that approximately 1 in 250–810 males in the general population carry the FMR1 premutation; a genetic status conferred by an expansion of the 5′ untranslated CGG repeat region of the FMR1 gene from < 55 repeats to between 55 and 200 repeats (Rifé et al., 2003; Sorensen et al., 2013). Up to 45 repeats is considered to be within the normal range and 45–55 repeats is considered to be an intermediate expansion. CGG repeat regions exceeding 200 usually become methylated, and as such prevents transcriptional regulation, silencing the FMR1 gene. This is known as a full mutation, causing Fragile X Syndrome, which is functionally and pathologically separate from disorders linked to the Fragile X premutation (Tassone and Berry-Kravis, 2010).

Until relatively recently it was believed that carriers of the FMR1 repeat expansion were phenotypically unaffected. However, research carried out over the last two decades has revealed that carriers of the FMR1 premutation are at risk of developing a late onset neurological condition called Fragile X-associated Tremor Ataxia Syndrome (FXTAS), which is characterised by progressive core symptoms of tremor, ataxia and cognitive decline. Males have a 40–60% chance of developing FXTAS, whereas females are at lower risk, with a 8–16% chance of developing the disease (Jacquemont et al., 2004; Hall et al., 2005). The clinical presentation of FXTAS usually begins with subtle cognitive decline, especially in executive function and working memory, which develops into full dementia in about 40% of male patients (Bourgeois et al., 2007). Motor symptoms usually include tremor, ataxia and inability for tandem gait. Parkinsonism can also occur in some individuals with FXTAS, as well as peripheral neuropathy,
autonomic dysfunction and endocrine dysfunction (Loesch et al., 2005; Berry-Kravis et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2013). In addition to these neurological symptoms, a diagnosis of FXTAS requires one or more radiological findings (Hall et al., 2016), including global brain atrophy, increased T2 signal intensity at the middle cerebellar peduncles (known as the MCP sign) and thinning of the corpus callosum (Filley et al., 2015; Brunberg et al., 2002). Evidence suggests that the pathology of FXTAS may be driven primarily by a two to eight fold increase in FMR1 mRNA (Li and Jin, 2012; Garcia-Arocena and Hagerman, 2010). Intranuclear inclusions in multiple brain cell types are a pathological hallmark of FXTAS, and in both FXTAS models and patient brain slices these inclusions have been shown to contain FMR1 mRNA. It is theorised that excess of FMR1 mRNA causes sequestration of RNA binding proteins, forming aggregates and causing cell-wide disruption, resulting in oxidative stress and eventual apoptosis (Tassone et al., 2000; Hagerman and Hagerman, 2013).

Progressive motor symptoms are therefore a core feature of FXTAS, with clinical signs of the condition only rarely manifesting before 50 years old. It is however possible that significant neurodegeneration is already occurring before clinical features of motor dysfunction emerge. In order to determine whether this is indeed the case we set out to investigate whether subtle differences in brain activation during motor function can be elicited using functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI), even in premutation carriers without a diagnosis of FXTAS. We utilized a finger tapping task which contained both sequential and random finger tapping components. Compared to planned sequential tapping, random, choice-driven tapping is known to elicit higher levels of activation in classical motor regions and rely more upon regions of higher cognitive functioning, such as the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (Gountouna et al., 2010). To the authors knowledge, there have been no previous neuroimaging studies which have examined these functions in premutation carriers, although other studies have investigated brain changes in carriers without FXTAS. A systematic review of neuroimaging studies in premutation carriers provides an overview of these findings (Brown and Stanfield, 2015), including a reduction in brainstem volume in carriers without FXTAS (Cohen et al., 2006), significant correlation between hippocampal volume and anxiety measures in female carriers without FXTAS (Adams et al., 2010), significant elevations in axial and radial diffusivities in male carriers without FXTAS (Hashimoto et al., 2011) and significantly lower BOLD response at the right temporoparietal junction compared to controls during a working memory task in a group of male and female asymptomatic carriers (Kim et al., 2014). Finger-tapping studies in other neurodegenerative disorders, such as Huntington Disease (Bartenstein et al., 1997; Kloppel et al., 2009), Spinocerebellar Ataxia Type 3 (Duarte et al., 2016; Cleary and Ranum, 2014) and Parkinson Disease (Lewis et al., 2011) have demonstrated impaired functional activity in motor regions and dysregulation of compensatory activity, in particular during conditions with higher demand. The finger-tapping task for this study was therefore designed to involve various levels of cognitive and motor complexity using sequential and random tapping conditions, with the intention of contrasting these two conditions during the imaging analysis to isolate regions of changing activations in response to task demands.

The primary aim of this study was therefore to determine whether, compared to controls, differences in brain activation are apparent during motor function in premutation carriers who do not have a diagnosis of FXTAS. The secondary aim was to use this cross-sectional sample to look for preliminary evidence of progressive changes to brain function in premutation carriers by comparing the relationship between age and brain function in premutation carriers and controls. It was hypothesised that differences in brain activation in motor regions, such as the premotor cortex, supplementary motor area and the cerebellum, would be seen between controls and premutation carriers without FXTAS, in addition to differences in other regional involvement of compensatory mechanisms (Lewis et al., 2011; Duarte et al., 2016; Table 1)

| Participant summary data | Control mean | Control SD | Carrier mean | Carrier SD |
|--------------------------|--------------|------------|--------------|------------|
| Age (years)              | 47.6         | 12.9       | 50.4         | 15.1       |
| Verbal IQ                | 112.8        | 13.5       | 111.1        | 15.8       |
| Non-verbal IQ            | 117.4        | 7.7        | 108.1        | 12.7       |
| Composite IQ             | 116.4        | 9.1        | 110.4        | 14.9       |
| FMR1 mRNA level          | 1.9 × 10⁻⁶   | 1.9 × 10⁻⁵ | 3.3 × 10⁻⁶   | 3.3 × 10⁻⁵ |
| Tremor index             | 43           | 7.2        | 32.5         | 10.6       |
| Co-ordination index      | 131.1        | 15.4       | 108.8        | 19.1       |
| Balance index            | 171.9        | 15.6       | 173.0        | 13.0       |

Bartenstein et al., 1997; Minkova et al., 2015; Murta et al., 2016). Finally, we also predicted that the degree of change in brain activation in carriers may show an association with levels of FMR1 mRNA and extent of movement symptoms.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Participants and recruitment

Male premutation carriers without FXTAS and a group of age-matched healthy male controls were recruited through the Fragile X Society, UK. Invitation letters were sent to 1000 individuals of known Fragile X families across the UK via the existing database held by the Fragile X Society charitable association. Eligible participant age range was between 20 and 70 years. Potential participants falling out with an age range of 20–70 years, with a diagnosis of FXTAS or from a Fragile X family but without a genetic diagnosis of the premutation were excluded. All participants had normal or corrected-to-normal vision. All participants underwent genetic testing for FMR1 CGG repeat length (Table 1). All participants gave fully informed written consent and were screened for MRI eligibility and safety. The study was approved by South East Scotland Research Ethics Committee, NHS Lothian.

2.2. Imaging methods

All MRI data was acquired using a 3.0 Tesla Siemens MRI scanner using an 8 channel head coil. For the acquisition of functional images the TR was 1560 ms, the TE (echo time) was 26 ms, the flip angle was 66°, the FOV (field of view) was 220 mm, slice thickness was 5 mm and slice number per volume was 26. Slice order was interleaved and bottom up in the axial orientation. Each participant also underwent a T1-weighted magnetization prepared rapid acquisition gradient echo (MPRAGE) sequence, in addition to fMRI sequences, for the purpose of image preprocessing. For the MPRAGE acquisition the TR was 2300 ms, the TE was 2.98 ms, the flip angle was 9°, the FOV was 256 mm, slice thickness was 1 mm and slice number per slab was 160. Structural and functional images were acquired during the same scanning session.

The finger-tapping task designed and used for this study utilized a block design and consisted of three conditions, based on Gountouna et al., 2010. In the sequential tapping condition, participants were asked to tap their thumbs and index fingers on trigger buttons in a predetermined sequence in time with a flashing symbol. In the random tapping condition, participants were asked to tap their thumbs and fingers on the trigger buttons in time with the flashing symbol in a random order of their choosing. The final condition consisted of a flashing fixation cross, where participants were asked to rest and watch the screen (Fig. 1). The purpose of this fixation/rest condition was to allow a control condition minimising possible noise from visual stimulus. The flashing symbol appeared once every second, for a duration of 0.5 s. Each condition block had a total duration of 30 s, including a 2 second prompt screen, and was repeated 4 times during the task.
functional task was programmed using Presentation™ software, and was visually presented to participants using goggles that fit onto the scanner head coil. All participants were given instructions to the task prior to the scan, and were given practice time to memorise the tapping sequence for the sequential condition.

2.3. fMRI analysis

Statistical analysis on fMRI data was carried out using Statistical Parametric Mapping software (SPM12) (Wellcome Department of Clinical Neurology) using standard procedures.

The functional images from all participants were preprocessed using the following steps: 1) images were realigned, estimated (for optimal transformation from individual images to the reference using SPM12 default quality, separation, smoothing, num passes, interpolation, wrapping and weighting parameters) and resliced 2) images were slice timed, adjusting for interleaved and bottom up slice order 3) subsequent images were then coregistered with the source structural image from the T1-weighted MPRAGE anatomical scan 4) coregistered images were segmented into grey matter, white matter and CSF outputs 5) from the T1-weighted MPRAGE anatomical scan 6) and at the same time slice order was adjusted 7) and the slices were realigned with respect to each other. Following these steps, the structural images were then slice ordered and histogram equalised.

Movement was controlled for by adding realignment parameters for each participant as a multiple regressor into the GLM.

2.4. Tremor, balance and co-ordination measurements

Although no participants had frank symptoms of FXTAS, detailed quantification of tremor, balance and co-ordination was conducted to examine for sub-threshold symptomatology using the CATSYS 2000 PC-based test system. This system has previously been used in other studies to establish that carriers without FXTAS exhibit worse co-ordination scores and to generate estimates of age-related prevalence of tremor and ataxia in carriers (Allen et al., 2008; Aguilar et al., 2008). Here, index scores were obtained as standard deviations of normal human performance, as defined by the CATSYS 2000 test battery, with performance indices above 1 being better than normal human performance and indices below 1 being below normal human performance (Danish Product Development Ltd., 1988).

2.5. Molecular measurements

DNA was isolated from blood samples for both control and carrier participants. The assay for CGG repeat length in the FMR1 gene was carried out using a PCR-only approach based on Triplet Repeat Primed PCR design (AmpliDeX® PCR/CE FMR1 reagents). Whole blood was collected from all participants and processed to isolate total RNA. Total RNA was then reverse transcribed into cDNA, using RT-PCR methodology. RT reactions were performed in 25 μl aliquots containing 10 μl of patient total RNA sample, 12.5 μl 2 × RT buffer and RT enzyme mix (Invitrogen Superscript). RT thermal cycling conditions were as follows: 25 °C for 10 min, 50 °C for 30 min, 85 °C for 5 min and 4 °C for 15 min. 1 μl of RNase H was subsequently added to each aliquot and the samples were incubated at 37 °C for 20 min. Each sample was then diluted to a cDNA concentration of 6 ng/μl. These dilutions were then used to quantify FMR1 mRNA using quantitative PCR (qPCR). In the present investigation, the FMR1 ampiclon is a 130 bp product and the following primer pair was used: forward primer 5′-AGGACGTTGAGCTGCTTCT-3′, starting at bp185, reverse primer 5′-TTATGCGGAGACTCAGAAA-3′ starting at bp314. The FMR1 primer pair was validated (primer efficiency = 101.01%, R² = 0.998) and aliquots were prepared in triplicate for each sample, with each aliquot containing 0.6 μl 300 nM forwards primer, 0.6 μl 300 nM reverse primer, 10 μl SYBR Green (Qiagen), 11.8 μl H₂O and 1 μl 6 ng/μl cDNA sample. An additional control was run in triplicate on each plate with cDNA replaced by H₂O. Thermal cycling conditions for the qPCR were as follows: 95 °C for 15 min, (94 °C for 20 s, 59 °C for 30 s and 32 °C for 30 s) × 35 cycles, then 95 °C for 1 min, 60 °C for 30 s and 90 °C for 30 s.

All FMR1 mRNA measurements were normalised to 18 sRNA measurements from the samples (average primer efficiency = 91.28%, R² = 0.994), which were also ran in triplicate on the same qPCR plate as the FMR1 mRNA probes. All samples underwent qPCR analysis on the same plate as their age-matched counterpart. Carrier FMR1 mRNA was then additionally normalised to age-matched control.

2.6. Statistical analyses

Imaging analyses are detailed above. Further statistical analyses were carried out in SPSS Statistics 22. A two-tailed t-test was used to discern differences between the pretreatment and control groups for the tremor, balance and coordination measurements, in addition to the FMR1 mRNA data. Simple linear regressions were also performed on
extracted raw voxel values from significant clusters against the movement and molecular variables. All significance levels were assumed at \( p < 0.05 \).

3. Results

3.1. Participants

Male premutation carriers without FXTAS \((n = 17, \text{mean age } 50.4\text{ years, SD} = 15.1)\) and a group of age-matched healthy male controls \((n = 17, \text{mean age } 47.6\text{ years, SD} = 12.9)\) were recruited for this study. CGG repeat testing revealed that 12 carriers were within the premutation range, 1 carrier was in the intermediate range, 3 carriers were mosaic for repeat size and one carrier was borderline for the full mutation. All control subjects were within the normal CGG repeat length range (Supplementary Table 1). All participants were right handed, apart from one control and two carriers, and all participants had an IQ > 80, as measured by the KBIT Second Edition Intelligence Test (Pearson). Participant summary data is shown in Table 1.

3.2. Between group imaging results

Between group full factorial analysis revealed that the premutation group had a significantly lower cluster of BOLD response \((\text{FWE}_{\text{corr}} < 0.001, \text{T} = 5.59)\) at the bilateral lobules VI of the cerebellum, left lobule V and the left hippocampus (subiculum) compared to controls. The maximum of the cluster was located at \([-26,2,36]\) (Fig. 2a).

As analysis of FMR1 CGG repeat length revealed a number of carriers in the sample to be mosaic or borderline for the full mutation, a third group was created of mosaic and borderline carriers to examine whether they were skewing the imaging results. The groups for the univariate analysis of variance analysis were defined as the following: control \((n = 17)\), premutation \((n = 13)\) and mosaic/borderline carriers \((n = 4)\). A statistically significant group \(\times\) age interaction was identified in BOLD response at the significant cluster maximum voxel \([-26,2,36]\) \((p < 0.001)\), and plotting of group \(\times\) age extracted voxel data demonstrates that both the premutation and the mosaic/borderline group differ significantly to controls but not to each other (Fig. 3b).

3.3. Age-related between group imaging results

In the analysis of age related differences, a significant group \(\times\) age interaction was seen in a cluster centred in left Brodmann Area (BA) 17 in the primary visual cortex, which extended to the left hippocampus (cornu ammonis), the left inferior parietal cortex and the left temporal lobe \((\text{FWE}_{\text{corr}} < 0.001, \text{T} = 5.22)\). The maximum of the cluster is located at \([-26,2,36]\) (Fig. 3a). Plotting extracted values from this cluster indicated there was a negative relationship between age and activation in this cluster in the premutation group, while the relationship was positive in the control group. Within group regression analysis revealed both of these relationships to be significant (Table 2).

A univariate analysis of variance was also carried out on this significant cluster to ensure anomalous CGG repeat lengths were not causing a bias in the imaging results. The groups for the univariate analysis of variance analysis were defined as the following: control \((n = 17)\), premutation \((n = 13)\) and mosaic/borderline carriers \((n = 4)\). A statistically significant group \(\times\) age interaction was identified in BOLD response at the significant cluster maximum voxel \([-26,2,36]\) \((p < 0.001)\), and plotting of group \(\times\) age extracted voxel data demonstrates that both the premutation and the mosaic/borderline group differ significantly to controls but not to each other (Fig. 3b).

3.4. Tremor, balance and co-ordination results

Although none of this population of carriers had a diagnosis of FXTAS, the CATSYS-2000 system identified carriers as having significantly worse tremor and co-ordination scores than controls \((p = 0.002, p = 0.001)\), and balance showed no difference between the groups \((p = 0.931)\). Both populations had mean performance indices of better than normal human performance on the co-ordination and balance measurements and below normal human performance on the tremor measurement (Table 1).

3.5. FMR1 mRNA analysis

Quantitative PCR analysis revealed that the premutation carriers did not have significantly higher levels of FMR1 mRNA relative to 18 sRNA when measured from peripheral blood samples \((p = 0.3223)\) (Fig. 4a). However, normalization of carrier FMR1 mRNA relative to 18 sRNA to age-matched control data showed that some carriers have up to a 7-fold increase in FMR1 mRNA when compared directly to an age-matched control (Fig. 4b). To examine again whether the borderline or mosaic carriers were causing anomalies in the data, these individuals were again examined separately from the other premutation carriers.

![Fig. 2. Between group imaging results](Image)
Borderline or mosaic carrier FMR1 mRNA level relative to 18 sRNA and normalised to age-matched control show no significant differences compared to other carriers.

3.6. Linear regression analyses

Simple linear regression analyses were carried out on the imaging, clinical and FMR1 mRNA variables of interest (Supplementary Fig. 1, Supplementary Table 2). Extracted raw voxel data from the cluster global maxima originating from both between group analysis and the group × age interaction analysis were regressed against measures of tremor, co-ordination, balance and FMR1 mRNA levels. No significant associations were identified in either carriers or controls (Supplementary Fig. 1a and 1b).

4. Discussion

This is the first study to examine brain activation during a motor task in FMR1 premutation carriers who do not have frank symptoms of FXTAS. Consistent with the primary hypothesis, despite the lack of clinical symptomatology, differences between carriers and controls were identified when contrasting random and sequential finger-tapping conditions in this fMRI task in the bilateral lobules VI of the cerebellum, left lobule V and the left hippocampus (subiculum).

The cerebellum is a brain region which is strongly associated with movement initiation and processing, as well as being a site of degeneration in FXTAS (Berry-Kravis et al., 2007). We now show a pre-symptomatic functional BOLD response alteration in the cerebellum, extending into the hippocampus, during a finger-tapping task in a premutation population. Pertinently, recent evidence shows that carriers without FXTAS display a faster age-related decrease in cerebellar volume than controls. Significantly larger ventricles and significant group × age interactions in the brainstem and whole brain volumes

![Table 2](image)

Table 2: Statistical results of within group regression analyses between participant age and BOLD activation at [−26,2,36].

| Group       | DV       | IV       | β       | Standard error | Adjusted R² | p Value |
|-------------|----------|----------|---------|----------------|-------------|---------|
| Control     | Age      | BOLD response at [−26,2,36] | 0.763   | 0.001          | 0.554       | < 0.001 |
| Premutation | Age      | BOLD response at [−26,2,36] | −0.595  | 0.001          | 0.312       | 0.012   |

![Fig. 3](image)

Fig. 3. Age-related between group imaging results a) Significant group × age interaction (FWEcorr < 0.001, t = 5.22) at the left BA17, left hippocampus (CA), left inferior parietal cortex and left temporal in the sequential > random condition contrast. Cluster co-ordinate of maximum voxel: −26,2,36, k = 2105, normalised voxel size: 2 mm³ b) Fit linear regression lines comparing positive control BOLD response and age relationship compared to negative premutation carrier BOLD response and age relationship at maximum cluster voxel [−26,2,36].

![Fig. 4](image)

Fig. 4. FMR1 mRNA quantification. a) FMR1 mRNA amount relative to 18 sRNA control for carrier and control groups b) Carrier FMR1 mRNA amount relative to 18 sRNA normalised to age-matched control levels and control FMR1 mRNA amount relative to 18 sRNA normalised to control average.
were also identified in carriers without FXTAS (Wang et al., 2017). Sensorimotor mapping of the cerebellum labels lobule V of the cerebellum as primarily related to movements of the hand and finger-tapping tasks have previously been found to reliably activate cerebellar lobule V, with more cognitively demanding tasks also recruiting lobule VI (Stoodley et al., 2012; Grodd et al., 2001). Our finding of significantly lower activation at lobule VI of the cerebellum may well reflect deficits in recruitment during higher levels of demand during the task, in addition to a lower level of basic sensorimotor processing at lobule V. The hippocampus is not routinely cited as being significantly activated during within group analyses of fMRI motor tasks, however the extension of the significant between group cluster to the hippocampal subiculum may also indicate a deficit in more diffuse recruitment in response to a change in task demand (De Guio et al., 2012; Berns et al., 1999). This type of evidence of significant differences between carriers without FXTAS and controls during a motor task is suggestive of neurological vulnerability to disease prior to onset. A significant group × age interaction was also identified that revealed that, compared to controls, carriers showed a significantly more negative association between age and activation in the left BA17, left hippocampus (cornu ammonis), left inferior parietal cortex and left temporal lobe. Within group regression analysis of this data revealed that both the positive age-related correlation in the control group and the negative age-related correlation in the premutation group were significant. In normal elderly populations, finger-tapping has been seen to elicit over-recruitment of the occipito-temporo-parietal regions, suggesting an increased level of visual or mental strategizing (Zapparoli et al., 2013). This idea of compensatory activity is reflected in our regression analysis, with activation in the tempo-parietal cluster identified in this analysis showing a positive linear relationship with increasing age in the control population. However, this cluster shows significant deactivation in relation to age in the premutation group, suggesting that there is degeneration affecting the functional compensatory response to changes in movement demands.

In addition to imaging data, the present study utilized clinical measurements of tremor, co-ordination, balance and FMR1 mRNA to further characterise the participant sample. Performance on the tremor and co-ordination measurements was significantly worse in carriers, suggesting subtle non-clinical symptoms. Balance however was not significantly different than in controls, and this may be explained by balance being affected later on during FXTAS progression, as falls are not often reported in early disease stages, meaning that balance symptomatology is not present in this asymptomatic or pre-clinical sample. None of these variables exhibited an association with the raw voxel data extracted from the between group analysis at the cluster at the bilateral lobules VI of the cerebellum, left lobule V and the left hippocampus (subiculum). It is possible in this case that a relatively small sample size for this study may have led to these results not reaching significance. Additionally, in the absence of overt FXTAS, it may be that symptomatology and functional brain changes are not yet developed enough to display a significant relationship, and a cross-sectional asymptomatic sample in this case may have led to a bias towards carriers with a lower FXTAS risk, especially in the older participants. Tremor, co-ordination and balance as measured by the CATSYS-2000 system also did not prove to be significantly associated with raw voxel data extracted from the age-related significant cluster at the left BA17, left hippocampus (cornu ammonis), left inferior parietal cortex and left temporal lobe. This may be due to the likelihood of this predominantly limbic cluster being more relevant to compensatory activity and motor imagery, instead of overt motor processing and associated deficits, in addition to the aforementioned limitations regarding power to detect a small effect size and the present study’s cross-sectional design.

Measurements of FMR1 mRNA from peripheral blood samples did not show any relationship with significant differences in BOLD response, in either the between group or age-related analysis. FMR1 mRNA levels are thought to be one of the primary molecular driving forces of neurodegenerative processes in FXTAS, and it is therefore somewhat surprising that FMR1 mRNA amount is not related to these functional imaging changes. Our findings contrast with previous studies that support the hypothesis that early signs of brain changes associated with FXTAS correlate with changes in mRNA levels or metabolism (Cohen et al., 2006; Adams et al., 2007). These studies found that hippocampal volume and IQ scores were correlated with FMR1 mRNA in premutation carriers. However, our findings are in agreement with other studies that found mRNA measurements from peripheral blood may be only weakly correlated with brain expression (Tassone et al., 2007; Cohen et al., 2006; Leehey et al., 2008). It is possible however that variation in asymptomatic premutation carrier populations in terms of future FXTAS penetrance may be a contributing factor to the discrepancies in the findings into FMR1 mRNA levels in carriers. To elucidate this further, work in the field should attempt to strive towards methodology that can more directly identify molecular changes in the brain in vivo or post mortem.

Previous functional MRI research studies have also observed functional abnormalities in premutation carriers without FXTAS. During associative memory recall tasks, increases in activation at the parietal cortex is suggestive of compensatory mechanisms within premutation carrier groups, given that performance in the task was not significantly different to control subjects (Koldewyn et al., 2008). This type of neural compensation may be important in the carrier phenotype, allowing individuals to counteract processing deficiencies and appear outwardly asymptomatic. Findings of reduced activation in the limbic system of carriers is also further evidence of the higher incidences of social and psychiatric problems in carrier populations, and may indeed be linked to structural findings, such as volume loss at the amygdala (Hessl et al., 2011). Additionally, during a scanner task that involved the presentation of neutral faces to participants, premutation carriers were observed to respond to this type of stimuli with greater overall brain activation, which again may be reflective of social difficulties and neuropsychological abnormalities (Hessl et al., 2007). On the whole, fMRI in FMR1 premutation carriers has shown a reduction in the BOLD signal at multiple different brain regions in response to varied stimuli. However, the literature is not without conflicting results, and some research groups have demonstrated no significant differences in comparison to control groups during functional testing (Wang et al., 2012). This absence of differences between groups may arise for many different reasons, one such important reason being that the heterogeneity of the premutation phenotype and percentage of FXTAS penetrance may cause studied groups of carriers to vary considerably. The present study however is consistent with the existence of altered BOLD response in carriers who do not show clinical signs of FXTAS.

Overall, we find novel results pertaining to motor function and response to variation in task demand using functional neuroimaging in Fragile X premutation carriers. Our results show brain changes in carriers in the cerebellar and limbic regions which are likely to reflect functional impairment of compensatory mechanisms and response to increased task demand. These changes pre-exist the diagnosis of FXTAS and are most marked in older carriers, suggesting that they may be indicative of FXTAS vulnerability. Future longitudinal studies are required to confirm this.
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