Human papillomavirus prevalence and type distribution in urine samples from Norwegian women aged 17 and 21 years: A nationwide cross-sectional study of three non-vaccinated birth cohorts
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Abstract

Background: The aim of the current study was to assess the HPV prevalence in unscreened and unvaccinated young women living in Norway, to provide important baseline data for early estimation of the impact of the HPV vaccination program.

Methods: A total of 13,129 self-sampled urine samples from two complete birth-cohorts of 17-year old women born in 1994 and 1996 and one third of a birth-cohort of 21-year old women born in 1990, were analysed for the presence of 37 HPV types using PCR and a DNA hybridization technique.

Results: In the two birth cohorts of 17-year old women, HPV was detected in 19.9% (95% CI 18.8–20.9) and 15.4% (95% CI 14.5–16.3), respectively. High-risk HPV types were detected in 11.2% (95% CI 10.3–12.0) and 7.6% (95% CI 6.9–8.2), respectively, while vaccine types were detected in 7.4% (95% CI 6.7–8.1) and 6.0% (95% CI 5.4–6.6), respectively. Among the 21-year old women HPV was detected in 45.4% (95% CI 42.9–47.8), whereas high-risk types were detected in 29.8% (95% CI 27.5–32.0). Vaccine types (HPV 6, 11, 16, 18) were detected in 16.2% (95% CI 14.4–18.1).

Conclusion: This large population based study confirms that HPV testing in urine samples is easy and highly feasible for epidemiological studies and vaccine surveillance in young women. HPV was very common and a broad spectrum of HPV types was identified. Differences in HPV prevalence was seen both between age groups and between the two birth cohorts of 17-year old women.

© 2016 Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Infection with an oncogenic type of human papillomavirus (HPV) is a pre-requisite for developing cervical pre-cancerous lesions and carcinomas. More than 40 HPV types are known to infect the human anogenital tract. At least 12 types are considered carcinogenic and are commonly referred to as high-risk types [1–3].

Vaccination against HPV infection was introduced in the Norwegian childhood immunization program in the school year 2009/2010. All girls born in 1997 and later have been offered the vaccine in the 7th grade at age 11–12 years. No publically funded catch-up vaccination for the older age groups has been introduced. The 4-valent vaccine, Gardasil® is used in the program. The vaccine offers protection against HPV 16 and 18, which cause about 70% of invasive cervical carcinomas [4], as well as the low-risk types 6, 11, the main etiologic agent for external genital warts [5,6].

Knowledge of the baseline HPV prevalence and type distribution in unscreened and unvaccinated birth cohorts is essential for estimating the impact of HPV vaccination. However, few population-based studies have been conducted to assess the prevalence of HPV and type distribution in pre-teens or young adults. Smaller
studies of unvaccinated women from Scotland and the Netherlands show an HPV prevalence in urine of 4.4–32.2% in the age groups of 14–16 and 20–21 years, respectively [7,8]. A few studies have assessed the prevalence of circulating HPV types in Norway, generally focusing on HPV types present in cervical precancerous or cancerous lesions or in women visiting gynaecology clinics [9–15]. Less is known about the HPV prevalence and genotype distribution in women in their late teens or early twenties, who have not yet been invited to participate in the national screening program against cervical cancer. The aim of the current study was to describe the HPV type prevalence in young women in Norway who have not been offered the vaccine against HPV as part of the national childhood immunization program. We were also interested in comparing the HPV prevalence between 17-year olds and 21-year olds and between two birth cohorts of 17-year olds to document natural fluctuations of HPV prevalence.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Enrolment, sample collection, and study sample

Women eligible for the study were identified through the Norwegian Population Register. In 2011, an invitation letter was sent to all women born in 1994 residing in Norway as of January 1st 2011, except some born at the end of 1994, in total 83.0% of the birth cohort (Fig. 1). In 2013, a total of 99.5% of the women born in 1996 were invited to participate in the study. The invitation was sent the same month the women became 17 years (in 2011 and 2013, respectively). Women born between August and December in 1990 were invited in the period January to May 2012. The HPV prevalence in this age-group was expected to be at least twice the HPV prevalence in the 17-year olds. Therefore, only women born between August and December were invited, in total 30.8% of the birth cohort. From the initial birth cohort list obtained at the beginning of the year of sample collection, some were not invited due to missing address, invalid social security number, death, or emigration.

The invitation was sent by mail and included information about the study, an informed consent form, and a pre-franked envelope for returning the signed informed consent. Women who consented to participate received a sample kit and instructions for obtaining a first void urine sample together with a pre-franked return envelope. The sample device contained a preservative (boric acid), to prevent bacterial growth. The urine samples were shipped by mail in an insulated envelope. The sample device contained a preservative (boric acid), to prevent bacterial growth. The urine samples were shipped by mail and kept cold and analysed within four hours or stored at −80 °C until further analysis. An aliquot was sent to the Norwegian HPV Reference Laboratory (Akershus University Hospital) for isolation of nucleic acids and HPV genotyping. HPV results were not routinely communicated to the participants, but were provided upon request. Participants could withdraw from the study at any time. All participants were rewarded with two cinema tickets for their contribution.

A total of 13,129 women contributed with a urine sample. The participation rates were similar for the northern, middle, western, southern and eastern region of Norway, and ranged from 14.2 to 17.8% for the 21-year olds and 16.9–22.3% for the 17-year olds.

Linkage to the immunization register for individual vaccination status was not performed since the current study population is largely unvaccinated. These young women were not offered vaccine against HPV as part of the national immunization program. According to distribution numbers, very few vaccine doses have been distributed for sale to this group.

The study was approved by the Regional Committee for Medical and Health Research Ethics and the Norwegian Data Protection Authority.

2.1.1. Isolation of nucleic acids

Nucleic acids were isolated using Boom’s isolation method [16] and the automatic NucliSENS easyMAG extraction device (bio-Mérieux Corporate, Marcy l’Etoile, France). Total nucleic acids were kept cold and analysed within four hours or stored at −80 °C until analysis.

Validation of sample adequacy and HPV genotyping

Human β-globin quantitative real-time PCR for validation of sample adequacy and HPV genotyping using PCR and DNA hybridization and Luminex based technology was performed as previously described [17,18]. The HPV genotyping method detects 37 HPV types; 12 high-risk HPV types (16, 18, 31, 33, 35, 39, 45, 51, 52, 56, 58, 59), six probable high-risk types (26, 53, 66, 68, 73, 82), and 19 undetermined or low-risk types (6, 11, 30, 40, 42, 43, 54, 61, 67, 69, 70, 74, 81, 83, 86, 87, 89, 90, 91)[1,2].

In order not to compete with the HPV PCR, the β-globin PCR was run in a separate reaction. The PCR products were kept frozen at −20 °C until further analysis.

Upon Luminex detection of values in the range from the cut-off value up to two times the cut-off value for any HPV-type, a re-analysis was performed in duplicate. Individual cut-off values for each HPV-type were calculated for each run based on the level of background noise. Cut-off modification values and factors for cross-hybridization correction used to calculate the cut-off were adapted from the WHO HPV reference laboratory in Sweden. A total of 202 samples (1.5%) did not give a valid result for β-globin or for HPV, and were excluded from the analyses.

![Fig. 1. Flow-chart study population.](image-url)
2.1.2. Statistical analyses

The prevalence of HPV types was defined as the number of positive specimens divided by the total number of specimens with valid PCR result (β-globin and Luminex). We calculated the corresponding 95% Wald confidence intervals (CIs).

Chi squared tests were used to test for differences between proportions. All tests were two-sided, and \( p < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant. The data were analysed with STATA/SE version 13.0 (StataCorp, College Station, Texas, USA).

3. Results

HPV prevalence by birth cohort is shown in Table 1. The overall HPV prevalence in urine specimens from 21-year-old women was 45.4% (95% CI 42.9, 47.8). High-risk types were detected in 29.8% (95% CI 27.5, 32.0), corresponding to 65.5% of all HPV positive samples. The vaccine types 6, 11, 16, and 18, were detected in 16.2% (95% CI 14.4, 18.1), corresponding to 35.7% of all HPV positive samples. Multiple infections were observed in 26.1% (95% CI 23.9, 28.2).

For 17-year-old women born in 1994, the overall HPV prevalence was 19.9% (95% CI 18.8, 20.9). High-risk types were detected in 11.2% (95% CI 10.3, 12.0). Vaccine types 6, 11, 16, and 18, were detected in 7.4% (95% CI 6.7, 8.1). Multiple infections were observed in 9.2% (95% CI 8.5, 10.0). Among all positive HPV samples, 56.2% were high-risk types and 37.1% were positive for any vaccine type.

For 17-year-old women born in 1996, the overall HPV prevalence was 15.4% (95% CI 14.5, 16.3). High-risk types were detected in 7.6% (95% CI 6.9, 8.2). Vaccine types 6, 11, 16, and 18, were detected in 4.8% (95% CI 4.3, 5.3). Multiple infections were observed in 6.0% (95% CI 5.4, 6.6). Among all HPV positive samples, 49.1% were positive for high-risk types, and 31.2% were positive for any vaccine type.

The HPV prevalence was significantly higher \( (p < 0.001) \) in 21-year-old women as compared to the 17-year-old women combined for HPV overall (45.4% vs. 17.5%), high-risk HPV types (29.8% vs. 9.3%), probable high-risk HPV types (8.2% vs. 3.1%), low-risk HPV types (29.1% vs. 10.0%), vaccine HPV types (16.2% vs. 6.0%), and multiple infections (26.1% vs. 7.5%). Moreover, the prevalence was significantly higher \( (p < 0.001) \) among 17-year-old girls born in 1994 than 17-year-olds born in 1996 for HPV overall, high-risk HPV types, low-risk HPV types, vaccine HPV types, and multiple infections, whereas the prevalence of probable high-risk types was not significantly different \( (p=0.45) \).

Table 1.

| HPV | 21 yr 1990 (N=1565) | 17 yr 1994 (N=5468) | 17 yr 1996 (N=5894) |
|-----|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
|     | n | % (95% CI) | n | % (95% CI) | n | % (95% CI) |
| Total | 710 | 45.4 (42.9–47.8) | 1087 | 19.9 (18.8–20.9) | 907 | 15.4 (14.5–16.3) |
| High-risk (HR) | 466 | 29.8 (27.5–32.0) | 611 | 11.2 (10.3–12.0) | 445 | 7.6 (6.9–8.2) |
| Probably HR | 129 | 8.2 (6.9–9.6) | 174 | 3.2 (2.7–3.6) | 173 | 2.9 (2.5–3.4) |
| Low-risk | 455 | 29.1 (26.8–31.3) | 640 | 11.7 (10.9–12.6) | 500 | 8.5 (7.8–9.2) |
| Vaccine types | 254 | 16.2 (14.4–18.1) | 403 | 7.4 (6.7–8.1) | 283 | 4.8 (4.3–5.3) |
| Multiple infection | 408 | 26.1 (23.9–28.2) | 504 | 9.2 (8.5–10.0) | 352 | 6.0 (5.4–6.6) |

Wald's method was used to calculate 95% CIs.

4. Discussion

This study is the first of a series of nationwide, population-based, cross-sectional studies with the aim to estimate the early impact of the HPV vaccination program in Norway. We assessed HPV prevalence in self-sampled urine specimens in 17- and 21-year-old women who have not been offered the vaccine against HPV as part of the national childhood immunization program.

All the 37 HPV types included in the HPV Luminex assay were detected in our study sample. Knowledge of the prevalence of high-risk HPV types 16 and 18 as well as the low-risk HPV types 6 and 11 in the population prior to vaccination is of primary interest for future studies of the impact of the 4-valent vaccine. The vaccine types HPV16 and 18 were detected in nearly half of the HPV high-risk positive samples across all age groups, which correspond well with previous results from unvaccinated 20–21-year old women in Scotland [8]. Of the other vaccine types, HPV 6 was common, whereas HPV 11 was quite rare. These results are in
Fig. 2. Prevalence of high-risk and probably high-risk HPV types in urine samples from unvaccinated Norwegian women by birth cohort.

Fig. 3. Prevalence of undetermined or low-risk HPV types in urine samples from unvaccinated Norwegian women by birth cohort.
accordance with a Swedish study [19]. In contrast, the prevalence of HPV 6 was similar to the prevalence of HPV 11 in a Dutch study [7].

HPV high-risk types were detected in a large proportion of the samples and were similar to what has been reported in other European studies, both regarding types detected and prevalence [7,8,20]. The prevalence increased with age and was found to be two- to three times higher in 21-year old women compared to 17-year olds. Increasing prevalence with age is in line with several studies [20–23]. All together, these observations confirm that infection with HPV vaccine types or other high-risk types is common in young Norwegian women.

The HPV prevalence differed between the two birth cohorts of 17-year olds. The prevalence was significantly higher among girls in the 1994 birth cohort as compared to the 1996 birth cohort. The regional participation pattern was similar in the two birth cohorts (results not shown), thus regional differences in HPV prevalence do not explain the difference between these two birth cohorts. The finding may be a result of natural fluctuations in the prevalence of HPV. Also, a few of the participants in the study may have received the HPV-vaccine outside the national childhood immunization program. According to data from the Norwegian immunization register, approximately 3% of all girls born in 1996 and 2% of all girls born in 1994 have been vaccinated with three doses of the 4-valent vaccine (unpublished data). We do not suspect the small proportion of individuals in the cohorts already vaccinated to differentially affect the HPV results. The assumption that the difference in HPV prevalence between the two cohorts of 17-year olds is not due to vaccination is supported by the prevalence of non-vaccine HPV types which is also generally lower in the 1996 birth cohort compared to the 1994 birth cohort.

A major strength of the current study is the population-based design and large sample. However, the low participation rate may cause selection bias if willingness to participate is systematically associated with certain sexual behaviours increasing the risk of HPV, or vaccination status which would reduce the risk of HPV. Nevertheless, the aim of the HPV surveillance program is to monitor changes in prevalence and type distribution over time and we believe this potential bias to be comparable from year to year, so the comparison of HPV prevalence’s across birth cohorts is still expected to be valid.

Considering the young age of the study subjects, taking a less intrusive urine sample is for ethical reasons preferred over a cervical sample. Testing for HPV in urine samples may not be comparable to testing cervical smears, as detection of HPV in urine may not be representative for HPV infections in the cervix. This has been shown in several studies where in general the HPV prevalence is lower when HPV DNA is isolated from urine compared to when HPV DNA is isolated from the cervical smears [8,24]. Accordingly, the HPV prevalence observed in our study is most likely an underestimate of the true prevalence in cervical specimens. Nevertheless, our large study confirms that HPV testing in urine samples is easy to implement and highly feasible for epidemiological studies and vaccine surveillance in young women, as also stated in other studies [25–28].

Further surveillance of the early impact of the HPV vaccination program in Norway will include urine samples from both vaccinated and not vaccinated birth cohorts. Changes in the HPV prevalence over time will be documented. Additionally, the surveillance program is planned to include routine HPV-testing of cervical histological samples with cancerous and pre-cancerous lesions. So far, only girls in the 7th grade in Norway has been offered the HPV vaccine. Thus, it will take several more years before the vaccine effectiveness including these endpoints can be estimated.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, this large population based study confirms that HPV testing in urine samples is easy and highly feasible for epidemiological studies and vaccine surveillance in young women. We have assessed the prevalence and genotype distribution of HPV in urine specimens from young women from a largely unvaccinated population, providing important baseline data for early estimation of the impact of the HPV vaccination program in Norway. HPV was frequently detected. A broad spectrum of HPV types was identified and multiple infections were prevalent. HPV was detected two to three fold more frequently in 21-year old women compared to 17-year old women, and there were also differences between the two 17-year old birth cohorts. The vaccine specific HPV types 6, 16 and 18 were quite common in young Norwegian women, whereas the vaccine type HPV 11 was quite rare.
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