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Abstract. We study the zero- and the finite-temperature behavior of the integrable spin-1/2 XXZ periodic chain with an impurity by the algebraic and thermal Bethe ansatz methods. We evaluate the local magnetization on the impurity site at zero temperature analytically and derive the impurity susceptibility exactly from it. In the graphs of the impurity specific heat versus temperature, we show how the impurity spin becomes more liberated from the bulk many-body effect as the exchange coupling between the impurity spin and other spins decreases and that at low temperature it couples strongly to them such as in the Kondo effect. Thus, we observe not only the crossover behavior from the high- to the low-temperature regime, but another from the $N$-site chain to the $(N-1)$-site chain with a free impurity spin. We also show that the estimate of the Wilson ratio at a given low temperature is independent of the impurity parameter if its absolute value is small enough with respect to the temperature and the universality class is described by the XXZ anisotropy in terms of the dressed charge.
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1. Introduction

Several decades have passed since the Kondo effect was discovered experimentally in the 1930s [1] and was first explained theoretically in the 1960s [2]. However, the Kondo problem and related subjects still evoke considerable interest in both theoretical and experimental studies [3–5]. Quantum impurity systems show universal critical behavior at low temperature, which is characterized by the ratio of the impurity susceptibility $\chi_{\text{imp}}$ to the impurity specific heat $c_{\text{imp}}$ with temperature $T$ [6]

$$r = \frac{\pi^2 \chi_{\text{imp}}}{3 c_{\text{imp}} T}.$$  

(1.1)

We call it the Wilson ratio. It was exactly shown by the Bethe ansatz that it is given by 2 for the Kondo model [7, 8].

The effect of an impurity embedded in a 1D interacting quantum system or in a Tomonaga–Luttinger liquid [9] has been one of the topics evoking considerable interest during the 1990s and during the last decade. It has been investigated in a variety of systems by different methods such as renormalization group techniques [10–12], conformal field theories (CFT) [13, 14], numerical techniques with CFT [15, 16] and the Bethe-ansatz method [17–26]. It has recently been studied by functional renormalization group [27] and also experimentally in a quasi-1D conductor [28]. However, it is still rare that the finite-temperature thermodynamic behavior is explicitly and exactly shown by a theoretical method for a large but finite lattice system without making any approximation or assumption.

In this paper, we show explicitly the finite-temperature behavior of an integrable model of the spin-1/2 XXZ periodic chain with a spin-1/2 impurity. We note that the spin part of the Kondo model is quite similar to the Heisenberg spin chain, i.e. the XXX model. It is thus interesting to study the integrable spin-chains with the spin-1/2 impurity which are solved by the Bethe ansatz. In the XXZ impurity model we exactly investigate the effect of an impurity embedded in the interacting quantum spin chain similar to the Kondo model. Schlottmann studied the integrable spin-$S$ XXZ spin chain with one spin-$S'$ impurity [23] in association with the multi-channel Kondo effect [18, 19, 25]. The spin-1/2 impurity in the open spin-1/2 XXX chain was studied by Frahm and Zvyagin [21] and it was shown that the Kondo-like temperature exists, while the periodic XXZ chain with an impurity was studied by Eckle et al [20]. However, the analytic expressions of the impurity magnetization and the impurity specific heat at low temperature in [23] are not accurate enough to evaluate the Wilson ratio correctly. They are not consistent with the numerical estimates evaluated by solving the Bethe ansatz equations, in particular, when the impurity parameter is small.

Moreover, the crossover temperature has not been evaluated numerically, while its analytic expression [23] is not appropriate. The thermodynamic behavior of the spin-1/2 impurities in the spin-1/2 XXZ chain was shown in [24] for several distributions of the impurity parameters and in the homogeneous case the results are consistent with ours. In the current paper, we show how the thermodynamic behavior of the single spin-1/2 impurity depends on the impurity parameter. Although it looks simpler to those of many impurities, it is still important and interesting from the viewpoint of universality and the crossover from the $N$-site to the $(N - 1)$-site chain.
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We consider the integrable model of the spin-1/2 XXZ periodic chain with a spin-1/2 impurity, i.e. the case of $S = S' = 1/2$ in the XXZ impurity model of [23]. We investigate the finite-temperature behavior of the integrable XXZ impurity model by numerically solving the truncated integral equations of the thermal Bethe ansatz [29–32] and evaluate the specific heat and entropy numerically. By plotting graphs of the impurity specific heat and entropy versus temperature we show that the impurity spin gradually becomes a free spin through pseudo-decoupling from other spins, while at low temperature it couples strongly to them such as in the Kondo effect. Here, we call it \textit{pseudo-decoupling}, if the exchange coupling between the impurity spin and other spins is very small but nonzero. It occurs in the current model when the absolute value of the impurity parameter is very large. We then show that the estimate of the Wilson ratio at a given low temperature is independent of the impurity parameter if the absolute value of the impurity parameter is small with respect to the given temperature.

We evaluate the local magnetization at the impurity site under a given small magnetic field at zero temperature and derive its analytic expression by two methods: by identifying the impurity contribution in the Bethe ansatz equations physically; and by calculating it directly through the algebraic Bethe ansatz method [33]. We show that they are consistent. The impurity contribution identified with the Bethe ansatz equations is valid.

We denote the Hamiltonian of the XXZ spin chain with the spin-1/2 impurity consisting of \(N\) sites by \(H_N(x)\), which depends on the impurity parameter, \(x\). If the absolute value of the impurity parameter, \(|x|\), becomes large, the exchange coupling of the impurity spin to neighboring spins becomes small and hence the Hamiltonian of the \(N\)-site chain is decomposed into that of the \((N-1)\)-site chain, \(H_{N-1}(x)\) and that of the impurity site, \(H_{\text{imp}}\), as follows.

\[
H_N(x) = H_{N-1}(0) + H_{\text{imp}} + O(e^{-|x|}) \quad \text{for} \quad |x| \gg 1. \tag{1.2}
\]

As can be seen in section 2 the term \(H_{N-1}(0)\) gives the Hamiltonian of the spin-1/2 periodic XXZ chain with no impurity. Applying a small magnetic field, \(h\), on the whole system of \(M\) down-spins we have \(M < N/2\). Here the Hamiltonian is given by \(H' = H_N(x) - 2h S_z\), where \(S_z\) denotes the eigenvalue of the \(z\)-component of the total spin operator. We assume that the XXZ spin chain, \(H_{N-1}(0)\), has antiferromagnetic interactions. Then, any down-spin on the impurity site is attracted to the \((N-1)\)-site chain due to the antiferromagnetic interactions, since the ground-state energy of \(H_{N-1}(0)\) with \(M\) down-spins decreases as the number of down-spins, \(M\), increases. We thus suggest that the impurity spin tends to be given only by an up-spin and all the \(M\) down-spins on the whole chain tend to be located on the \((N-1)\)-site chain under nonzero magnetic field if the absolute value of the impurity parameter is large, i.e. in the case of pseudo-decoupling. Moreover, if the impurity spin is completely decoupled from other spins, it becomes a free spin. We thus have the crossover from the \(N\)-site chain to the \((N-1)\)-site chain with a free spin located on the impurity site.

In the XXX case of the integrable impurity model the Wilson ratio has been evaluated numerically and systematically by applying the quantum transfer-matrix method [22], in which it is necessary to consider logarithmic corrections for the magnetization at low temperature due to the \(SU(2)\) symmetry [34]. In the XXZ impurity model, however, it is not necessary to consider logarithmic corrections and hence it is easier to derive the susceptibility at a very low temperature.
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The content of the current paper consists of the following. In section 2, we introduce the spin-1/2 XXZ chain with a spin-1/2 impurity and give an explicit expression of the Hamiltonian in terms of the spin operators. We show that the impurity spin operator becomes decoupled from the other spin operators if we send the absolute value of the impurity parameter to infinity. In section 3 we derive an analytic solution to the ground state under a weak magnetic field by the Wiener–Hopf method and present an analytic expression of the impurity susceptibility at zero temperature. We then calculate the local magnetization on the impurity site systematically by the algebraic Bethe ansatz. We confirm the analytical result of the impurity magnetization with numerical plots. Furthermore, we evaluate the impurity susceptibility at zero temperature numerically by solving the Bethe ansatz equations and show that the numerical estimates are consistent with the analytic expression if we make the system size large enough. In section 4 we evaluate the impurity specific heat numerically by solving the truncated integral equations of the thermal Bethe ansatz. In the plots of the impurity specific heat we observe that the impurity spin tends to become a free spin as the absolute value of the impurity parameter becomes very large, which is clearly seen at high temperature, while it couples strongly to other spins at low temperature, i.e. the Kondo effect appears. We give an analytic expression of the impurity specific heat at a low temperature, which is valid if the absolute value of the impurity parameter is small with respect to the given low temperature. We numerically determine the crossover temperature from the high- to low-temperature regimes as a function of the impurity parameter and give a good-fitting formula to the data. In the last section, we derive the universal value of the Wilson ratio at low temperature and express it in terms of the dressed charge of the XXZ spin chain [35]. We show that the estimate of the Wilson ratio gives the universal value if the absolute value of the impurity parameter is small enough with respect to the temperature.

2. Integrable model of an impurity

2.1. An inhomogeneous transfer matrix of the XXZ spin chain

We now introduce one of the integrable XXZ models with a spin-1/2 impurity through the algebraic Bethe ansatz. Let $V_0, V_1, \ldots, V_N$ be 2D vector spaces over complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$. Here, we denote by $N$ the number of sites on the XXZ spin chain. We call $V_0$ the auxiliary space and the tensor product $V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_N$ the quantum space, where $V_j$ corresponds to the $j$th site of the XXZ spin chain for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, N$. On the tensor product $V_0 \otimes V_n$ we define the $R$-matrix by

$$R_{0n}(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b(\lambda) & c(\lambda) \\ b(\lambda) & c(\lambda) & b(\lambda) \\ c(\lambda) & b(\lambda) & 1 \end{pmatrix}_{[0,n]}.$$ (2.1)

Here, the suffix $[0,n]$ means that the matrix is defined for the tensor product $V_0 \otimes V_n$. We define the transfer matrix of the spin-1/2 XXZ spin chain by the trace of the $N$th product of the $R$ matrices $R_{0j}(\lambda - \xi_j)$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, N$, over the auxiliary space 0.

$$\tau_{1\cdots N}(\lambda|\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_N) = \text{tr}_0 R_{0N}(\lambda - \xi_N) \cdots R_{01}(\lambda - \xi_1).$$ (2.2)
where $\xi_j$ ($j=1,2,\ldots,N$) are arbitrary. We call them the inhomogeneity parameters. The functions $b(\lambda)$ and $c(\lambda)$ are given by

$$b(\lambda) = \frac{\varphi(\lambda)}{\varphi(\lambda + i\zeta)}, \quad c(\lambda) = \frac{\varphi(i\zeta)}{\varphi(\lambda + i\zeta)},$$

(2.3)

where $\varphi(\lambda) = \sinh \lambda$ and $\Delta = \cos \zeta$ with $0 < \zeta \leq \pi$ in the gapless regime of the XXZ spin chain. We consider only the gapless regime throughout the paper.

2.2. Definition of the XXZ chain with an impurity: $H_{XXZ}(x)$

We now define the Hamiltonian of the impurity model, $H_{XXZ}(x)$. We assume that only the first site has a different value for the inhomogeneity parameter $\xi_1$.

$$\xi_1 = \frac{i\zeta}{2} - x, \quad \text{for} \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

(2.4)

while the inhomogeneous parameters of the other sites $\xi_j$ for $j=2,3,\ldots,N$, have the same value $i\zeta/2$. Here, we assume that the impurity parameter $x$ is real. We define the Hamiltonian of the impurity model, $H_{XXZ}(x)$, by the logarithmic derivative of the transfer matrix of the spin-1/2 XXZ chain as follows.

$$H_{XXZ}(x) = \left. \frac{\varphi(i\zeta)}{2} \frac{d}{d\lambda} \log \tau_{1\cdots N}(\lambda | \xi_1, i\zeta/2, \ldots, i\zeta/2) \right|_{\lambda \rightarrow i\zeta/2}$$

(2.5)

By putting $x = 0$ (i.e. $x \rightarrow 0$), the Hamiltonian $H_{XXZ}(x)$ reduces to the standard homogeneous spin-1/2 XXZ Hamiltonian, which has no impurity. It is clear that the Hamiltonian (2.5) is integrable. It is a consequence of the construction in terms of the algebraic Bethe ansatz.

Let $M$ be the number of down-spins. The Bethe-ansatz equations (BAE) for the impurity Hamiltonian (2.5) are given by

$$(N-1)\theta_1(z_l) + \theta_1(z_l + x) = 2\pi I_l + \sum_{j \neq l}^{M} \theta_2(z_l - z_j), \quad \text{for} \quad l = 1, \ldots, M,$$

(2.6)

where $I_l$ are called the Bethe quantum numbers and they are integers or half-integers according to the following rule.

$$I_l \equiv \frac{N - M + 1}{2} \quad \text{(mod 1)}, \quad \text{for} \quad l = 1, 2, \ldots, M.$$  

(2.7)

Here, the functions $\theta_n(z)$ are given by

$$\theta_n(z) = \left. \frac{i}{\varphi(z)} \log \left[ \frac{-\varphi(z + \frac{i\zeta}{2})}{\varphi(z - \frac{i\zeta}{2})} \right] \right|_{\lambda \rightarrow i\zeta/2}.$$  

(2.8)

We note that in the special case, i.e. for the XXX model with $x = 1$, the BAEs (2.6) are similar to the Kondo model.

By solving the Bethe-ansatz equations (2.6), we can evaluate the energy of the Bethe ansatz eigenvector with $M$ down-spins. Let $z_l$ be a solution of the Bethe ansatz equations (2.6). The energy for the eigenstate with Bethe roots $z_l$ is expressed in terms of the set of Bethe roots $z_l$ as

$$E = -\sum_{l=1}^{M} \frac{\sin^2 \zeta}{\cosh 2z_l - \cos \zeta}.$$  

(2.9)
We note that the energy depends on the impurity parameter $x$, since the solutions $z_j$ contain the information of $x$, though it does not appear explicitly.

### 2.3. Expression of $H_{\text{XXZ}}(x)$ in terms of local spin operators

We present an explicit expression of the XXZ Hamiltonian with a spin-1/2 impurity, $H_{\text{XXZ}}(x)$, defined by equation (2.5) in terms of the local spin operators. The expression is useful for confirming analytic results. Making use of the explicit expression of $H_{\text{XXZ}}(x)$ we can perform the exact diagonalization of the Hamiltonian (2.5) and compare numerical results with analytic or numerical results which are obtained by solving the Bethe ansatz equations (2.6).

Through a direct but straightforward calculation we obtain the following compact expression of $H_{\text{XXZ}}(x)$ in terms of local spin operators $S_j^x$ and $S_j^z$.

$$
H_{\text{XXZ}}(x) = \sum_{n=2}^{N-1} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (S_n^+ S_{n+1}^- + S_n^- S_{n+1}^+) + \Delta \left( S_n^z S_{n+1}^z - \frac{1}{4} \right) \right] 
+ c^+ c^- \left[ \frac{\varphi'(x)}{2} (S_N^+ S_1^- + S_N^- S_1^+) + \Delta \left( S_N^z S_1^z - \frac{1}{4} \right) \right] 
+ c^+ c^- \left[ \frac{\varphi'(x)}{2} (S_1^+ S_2^- + S_1^- S_2^+) + \Delta S_1^z S_2^z \right] 
+ b^+ b^- \left[ \frac{\Delta}{2} (S_N^+ S_2^+ + S_N^- S_2^-) + \Delta S_N^z S_2^z \right] - \frac{\Delta}{4} 
+ b^+ c^- \left[ \varphi'(x) (S_N^+ S_2^- - S_N^- S_2^+) S_1^z - \Delta (S_N^z S_1^- - S_N^- S_1^+) S_2^z \right] 
- \Delta (S_1^+ S_2^- - S_1^- S_2^+) S_N^z, 
$$

where the symbols $b^\pm$ and $c^\pm$ are given by

$$
b^\pm = b(\pm x) = \frac{\varphi(x)}{\varphi(x \pm i\zeta)}, \quad c^\pm = c(\pm x) = \pm \frac{\varphi(i\zeta)}{\varphi(x \pm i\zeta)}. \tag{2.11}
$$

Here, the spin operators $S_j^\pm$ and $S_j^z$ are expressed in terms of the Pauli matrices as follows.

$$
S_j^\pm = \frac{1}{2} \sigma_j^\pm \pm \frac{1}{2} \sigma_j^y, \quad S_j^z = \frac{1}{2} \sigma_j^z, \quad \text{for} \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, N. \tag{2.12}
$$

Some details of the derivation of (2.10) are given in the appendix A.

We note that the XXZ Hamiltonian with the spin-1/2 impurity, $H_{\text{XXZ}}(x)$, given in equation (2.10) is Hermitian when the impurity parameter $x$ is real.

In the cases of $N \leq 10$ we have confirmed explicitly that the lowest energy level of the XXZ Hamiltonian with the spin-1/2 impurity (2.10) is completely consistent with the eigenvalue evaluated through equation (2.9) from the ground-state solution of the Bethe ansatz equations. We, therefore, assume that the quantum numbers $I_\ell$ of the ground state do not change under the existence of the impurity. They are given by

$$
I_\ell = j - \frac{N - M - 1}{2} \quad \text{for} \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, M. \tag{2.13}
$$

We can confirm this assumption by directly diagonalizing the XXZ Hamiltonian with an impurity for a larger number of sites.
We point out that the integrable model of an impurity given by equation (2.5) corresponds to the spin-1/2 case of the integrable XXZ model with the spin-1/2 impurity studied by Schlottmann [23]. Here, we recall that the spin part of the Kondo model [5] is similar to the spin-1/2 XXX chain.

2.4. Pseudo-decoupling of the impurity spin for large $|x|$

If one takes the limit $x \to \infty$, the Hamiltonian (2.10) reduces to

$$H_{\text{XXZ}}(\infty) = \sum_{n=2}^{N-1} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (S_n^+ S_{n+1}^- + S_n^- S_{n+1}^+) + \Delta \left( S_n^z S_{n+1}^z - \frac{1}{4} \right) \right]$$

$$+ \frac{\Delta}{2} \left( S_N^+ S_2^- + S_N^- S_2^+ \right) + \Delta \left( S_N^z S_2^z - \frac{1}{4} \right)$$

$$+ 2\sqrt{1 - \Delta^2} \left( S_N^x S_2^y - S_N^y S_2^x \right) S_1^z. \tag{2.14}$$

The impurity spin, i.e. the spin operators defined on the first site such as $S_1^\pm$ and $S_1^z$, appears only in the last term of the reduced Hamiltonian in equation (2.14). The exchange coupling of the impurity spin to the neighboring spins vanishes in $H_{\text{XXZ}}(\infty)$. In terms of equation (1.2) the bulk part $H_{N-1}(0)$ and the impurity part $H_{\text{imp}}(0)$ correspond to the first two lines and the third line of the right-hand side of (2.14), respectively.

If one takes the XXX limit ($\Delta \to 1$), the terms associated with the impurity site vanish. The Hamiltonian now reduces to the homogeneous spin-1/2 XXX Hamiltonian defined on the $N - 1$ sites from the second site to site $N$:

$$H_{\text{XXX}}(\infty) = \sum_{n=2}^{N} \left[ S_n^x S_{n+1}^x + S_n^y S_{n+1}^y + S_n^z S_{n+1}^z - \frac{1}{4} \right]. \tag{2.15}$$

Under a magnetic field, $h > 0$, the number of down-spins, $M$, becomes smaller than that of the half-filling case, i.e. $M < N/2$. We assume that when the impurity spin is pseudo-decoupled from other spins, we can effectively define the energy of the bulk part $H_{N-1}(0)$ for the eigenstates with $M$ down-spins in the bulk chain and denote it by $E_{\text{bulk}}^{N-1}(M)$. We then suggest that any down-spin on the impurity site will be attracted to the bulk chain since the bulk energy decreases as the number of down-spins in the bulk increases. $E_{\text{bulk}}^{N-1}(M) < E_{\text{bulk}}^{N-1}(M - 1)$ due to the antiferromagnetic interactions. Here, the total energy reduction including the Zeeman term is of the order of $1/N$. We note that the magnetic energy term, $-2hS_z$, in the total Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}'$ does not change whether the impurity spin has an up-spin or down-spin in the sector of $M$ down-spins.

We thus suggest that the impurity spin tends to be given by an up-spin and all the $M$ down-spins on the whole chain tend to be located on the $(N - 1)$-site chain for any number $M$ of down-spins if pseudo-decoupling occurs under a magnetic field. Furthermore, it is consistent with another viewpoint that the impurity spin becomes a free spin if it is completely decoupled from other spins. We therefore have the crossover from the $N$-site chain to the $(N - 1)$-site chain with the free spin on the impurity site as the exchange coupling between the impurity spin and other spins decreases to zero.

In the graphs of the impurity specific heat in section 4, we show how the impurity spin becomes free from the other spins in the case of large absolute values of $x$.

doi:10.1088/1742-5468/2014/11/P11020
Finite-temperature behavior of an impurity in the spin-1/2 XXZ chain

3. Magnetic susceptibility due to impurity

3.1. The Bethe ansatz equations with an impurity

We shall calculate the magnetic susceptibility by adding a small magnetic field $h$ to the Hamiltonian defined by (2.5):

$$H' = H_{XXZ}(x) - 2h \sum_{n=1}^{N} S_n^z. \tag{3.1}$$

We recall that the Hamiltonian $H_{XXZ}(x)$ is expressed explicitly in terms of spin operators in (2.10).

We assume that the Bethe quantum numbers $I_\ell$ of the ground state do not change under the existence of the impurity. By taking the thermodynamic limit of the BAE (2.6) through the Euler–Maclaurin formula, we derive the following integral equation.

$$\rho(z, x) + \int_{-B}^{B} a_2(z - z')\rho(z', x)dz' = \frac{1}{N} \{ (N - 1)a_1(z) + a_1(z + x) \}. \tag{3.2}$$

Here, parameter $B$ denotes the Fermi point determined by the magnetic field $h$ and $\rho(z, x)$ the density of the Bethe roots in the ground-state solution of the BAE (2.6), where functions $a_n(z)$ for $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ are defined by

$$a_n(z) = \frac{\theta_n(z)}{2\pi} = \frac{i}{2\pi} \frac{\varphi(i\zeta)}{\varphi(z + \frac{i\zeta}{2})\varphi(z - \frac{i\zeta}{2})}. \tag{3.3}$$

We note that we have the infinite Fermi point, $B = \infty$, for $h = 0$.

We define the Fourier transform of a given function $f(z)$ by

$$\tilde{f}(k) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(z)e^{ikz}dz. \tag{3.4}$$

We denote by $\rho_0(z, x)$ the root density for the ground-state solution of the BAE with no magnetic field for (2.5). We now derive the analytic expression of $\rho_0(z, x)$. By taking the Fourier transform of the following integral equation

$$\rho_0(z, x) + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} a_2(z - z')\rho_0(z', x)dz' = \frac{1}{N} \{ (N - 1)a_1(z) + a_1(z + x) \}, \tag{3.5}$$

we have

$$\tilde{\rho}_0(k, x) = \frac{(N - 1 + e^{-ikx})\tilde{a}_1(k)}{N(1 + \tilde{a}_2(k))}. \tag{3.6}$$

Here $\tilde{a}_1(k)$ and $\tilde{a}_2(k)$ are given by

$$\tilde{a}_1(k) = \frac{\sinh \frac{k}{2} (\pi - \zeta)}{\sinh \frac{k\pi}{2}}, \quad \tilde{a}_2(k) = \frac{\sinh \frac{k}{2} (\pi - 2\zeta)}{\sinh \frac{k\pi}{2}}. \tag{3.7}$$

Taking the inverse transform of (3.6), one finds

$$\rho_0(z, x) = \frac{1}{2N\zeta} \left\{ (N - 1) \text{sech} \frac{\pi z}{\zeta} + \text{sech} \frac{\pi(z + x)}{\zeta} \right\}. \tag{3.8}$$

In the case of $x = 0$, it reduces to the bulk density, $\sigma_0(z)$, which is given by

$$\sigma_0(z) = \frac{1}{2\zeta} \text{sech} \frac{\pi z}{\zeta}. \tag{3.9}$$
Hereafter, we shall sometimes abbreviate $\rho(z, x)$ and $\rho_0(z, x)$ as $\rho(z)$ and $\rho_0(z)$, respectively.

We shall evaluate the largest value of the impurity parameter $x$ for which thermodynamic expressions are valid. We shall compare several numerical data obtained for the system of a finite number of $N$ with such analytic expressions that are derived in the thermodynamic limit. We approximately estimate it by setting $\sigma_0(x) > 1/N$. If the root density is smaller than $1/N$, then the continuous approximation to the discrete distribution of the Bethe roots should not be good. From figure 1 we have $x = 1.2$ for $N = 50$.

We introduce a function $R(z)$ by

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (a_2(z-z')R(z-z'')) \, dz = a_2(z''-z') - R(z''-z')
$$

or equivalently by

$$
\tilde{a}_2(k)\tilde{R}(k) = \tilde{a}_2(k) - \tilde{R}(k).
$$

Multiplying $R(z-z'')$, the integral equations (3.2) and (3.5) are merged into the following.

$$
\rho(z) = \rho_0(z) + \int_{|z'|>B} R(z-z')\rho(z') \, dz'.
$$

In the Wiener–Hopf method the integral equation (3.12) plays a fundamental role.

We denote by $s^z(x)$ the magnetization per site under a magnetic field $h$. We shall give the relation between the Fermi point $B$ and the magnetic field $h$ by the Wiener–Hopf method in section 3.2. We recall that the energy eigenvalue of the Hamiltonian $H_{XXZ}(x)$ is given by

$$
\frac{E}{N} = -\pi \sin \zeta \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{M} a_1(z_j).
$$

We consider the difference of the ground-state energy per site under a magnetic field $h$ from that of no magnetic field and we denote it by $\epsilon(x)$. Then, physical quantities such
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as \( s^z(x) \) and \( e(x) \) are written in terms of the integrals of the root density \( \rho(z) \) as follows.

\[
s^z(x) = \frac{1}{2} - \int_{|z|<B} \rho(z)dz = \frac{\pi}{2\pi - 2\zeta} \int_{|z|>B} \rho(z)dz, \tag{3.14}
\]

\[
e(x) = -\pi \sin \zeta \int_{|z|<B} a_1(z)\rho(z)dz - \left( -\pi \sin \zeta \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} a_1(z)\rho_0(z)dz \right)
= \pi \sin \zeta \int_{|z|>B} \sigma_0(z)\rho(z)dz. \tag{3.15}
\]

Hereafter, we shall often abbreviate the magnetization per site and the energy per site simply as the magnetization and the energy, respectively.

3.2. Wiener–Hopf integral equation with an impurity

We define function \( y(z, x) \) by

\[
y(z, x) = \rho(z + B, x). \tag{3.16}
\]

By making use of the invariance of equation (3.2) under the replacement of \( z \) and \( x \) by \( -z \) and \( -x \), respectively, we show the following relation.

\[
y(z, -x) = \rho(-z - B, x). \tag{3.17}
\]

We define functions \( \tilde{y}_\pm(k, x) \) by

\[
\tilde{y}_\pm(k, x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H(\pm z)y(z, x)e^{ikz}dz. \tag{3.18}
\]

Here \( H(z) \) is the Heaviside step function; \( H(z) = 1 \) for \( z > 0 \) and \( H(z) = 0 \) for \( z < 0 \). In terms of functions \( y(z, \pm x) \) the integral equation (3.51) is now expressed as follows.

\[
y(z, x) = \rho_0(z + B) + \int_0^{\infty} R(z - z')y(z', x)dz'
+ \int_0^{\infty} R(z + z' + 2B)y(z', -x)dz', \tag{3.19}
\]

\[
y(z, -x) = \rho_0(-z - B) + \int_0^{\infty} R(z - z')y(z', -x)dz'
+ \int_0^{\infty} R(z + z' + 2B)y(z', x)dz'. \tag{3.20}
\]

We now assume that the Fermi point \( B \) is very large. The magnetization and the energy are expressed in terms of functions \( \tilde{y}_\pm(k, x) \) as follows.

\[
s^z(x) \simeq \frac{\pi}{2\pi - 2\zeta} \left\{ \tilde{y}_+(0, x) + \tilde{y}_+(0, -x) \right\}, \tag{3.21}
\]

\[
e(x) \simeq \frac{\pi \sin \zeta}{\zeta} e^{-\frac{\pi B}{\zeta}} \left\{ \tilde{y}_+(\frac{i\pi}{\zeta}, x) + \tilde{y}_+(\frac{i\pi}{\zeta}, -x) \right\}. \tag{3.22}
\]

Here, we recall that equation (3.22) is valid only for large values of \( B \): \( \exp(-\pi B/\zeta) \ll 1 \).

It is known that integral equations such as equations (3.19) and (3.20) can be solved by the Wiener–Hopf method (see [5], [36] and [32]). In the following analysis, we ignore
the terms with $R(z + z' + 2B)$ in equations (3.20) since the corrections from them are negligible for $h \ll 1$. Expressing $k_n = \pi i (2n + 1)/\zeta$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we thus have

$$\hat{y}_+(k, x) = \frac{i}{N \zeta} G_+ \left( \frac{\zeta k}{2} \right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n G_+ \left( -\frac{\zeta k}{2} \right) e^{ik_n B}}{k + k_n} \left( N - 1 + e^{-ik_n x} \right),$$

(3.23)

where functions $G_\pm (k)$ are given by

$$G_+(i\pi z) = \sqrt{2\pi \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\gamma} \right) \left( \frac{(\gamma - 1)\gamma^{-1}}{\gamma} \right)^z} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma z + 1)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + z) \Gamma((\gamma - 1)z + 1)},$$

with $\gamma = \pi/\zeta$ and the following relation.

$$G_- (z) = G_+ (-z).$$

Then we have

$$s^z(x) = \frac{\pi}{2\pi - 2\zeta} \frac{2i}{N \zeta} G_+(0) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n G_+ \left( -\frac{\zeta k}{2} \right) e^{ik_n B}}{k_n} \left( N - 1 + \cosh ik_n x \right),$$

(3.26)

e(\pi r) = \frac{\pi \sin \zeta}{\zeta} e^{-\pi^2/n} \frac{2i}{N \zeta} G_+ \left( \frac{i\pi}{2} \right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n G_+ \left( -\frac{\zeta k}{2} \right) e^{ik_n B}}{\frac{ik}{\zeta} + k_n} \left( N - 1 + \cosh ik_n x \right).$$

(3.27)

However, the Fermi point $B$ still remains unknown. It is determined as a function of $h$ by the following condition.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial B} (e(x) - 2hs^z(x)) = 0.$$  

(3.28)

We therefore have

$$\exp \left( -\frac{\pi B}{\zeta} \right) = \frac{G_+(0)\zeta h}{(\pi - \zeta) \sin \zeta G_+ \left( \frac{i\pi}{2} \right)}.$$  

(3.29)

The relation between the Fermi point $B$ and the magnetic field $h$ is explicitly shown in figure 2. The Fermi point $B$ plays the role of the cut-off in the integral of equation (3.2). The cut-off $B$ goes to infinity in the limit of $h \to 0$. However, it dramatically decreases to values such as 2 or 3, which are not very large, when $h$ becomes non-zero such as $h = 10^{-3}$.

By comparing figure 2 with the profile of the root density $\rho_0 (z)$ shown in figure 1, we suggest that the Wiener–Hopf method is valid only when the impurity parameter $x$ is small enough such as $|x| < 1$ so that the small peak at $z = -x$ in the root density $\rho_0 (z)$ is located in the interval between the Fermi point $z = -B$ and the origin $z = 0$.

### 3.3. Impurity susceptibility at zero temperature

We recall that the symbol $s^z(x)$ denotes the magnetization per site in the XXZ spin chain which has the spin-1/2 impurity with impurity parameter $x$. Through the Wiener–Hopf method, the magnetization per site $s^z(x)$ is given by

$$s^z(x) \simeq \frac{i\pi}{N \zeta (\pi - \zeta)} G_+(0) G_- \left( \frac{-i\pi}{2} \right) \frac{G_+(0)\zeta h}{(\pi - \zeta) \sin \zeta G_+ \left( \frac{i\pi}{2} \right)} \left( N - 1 + \cosh \frac{\pi x}{\zeta} \right)$$

$$= \frac{2\zeta h}{N \pi (\pi - \zeta) \sin \zeta} \left( N - 1 + \cosh \frac{\pi x}{\zeta} \right).$$

(3.30)

Here, the magnetic field $h$ is very small, so that only linear terms of $h$ may appear.
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Figure 2. Fermi point $B$ as a function of the magnetic field $h$.

We define the magnetic susceptibility per site, $\chi(x)$, by

$$\chi(x) = \left. \frac{\partial^2 s^z(x)}{\partial h} \right|_{h=0}. \quad (3.31)$$

Consequently, we have

$$\chi(x) = \frac{4\zeta}{N\pi(\pi - \zeta)\sin\zeta} \left( N - 1 + \cosh\frac{\pi x}{\zeta} \right). \quad (3.32)$$

We now extract the impurity susceptibility $\chi_{\text{imp}}$ induced by the spin-1/2 impurity of parameter $x$. We first define the magnetization impurity $s_{\text{imp}}^z(x)$ by

$$Ns^z(x) = (N - 1)s^z(0) + s_{\text{imp}}^z(x). \quad (3.33)$$

Here, $Ns^z(x)$ gives the total magnetization of the XXZ spin chain with the impurity parameter $x$. We express it by $S_{\text{tot}}^z = Ns^z(x)$. We define the impurity susceptibility $\chi_{\text{imp}}(x)$ by

$$\chi_{\text{imp}} = \left. \frac{\partial^2 s_{\text{imp}}^z(x)}{\partial h} \right|_{h=0}. \quad (3.34)$$

The impurity susceptibility is therefore given by

$$\chi_{\text{imp}}(x) = \frac{4\zeta}{\pi(\pi - \zeta)\sin\zeta} \cosh\frac{\pi x}{\zeta}. \quad (3.35)$$

3.4. Local magnetization at the impurity site

3.4.1. Derivation through the algebraic Bethe ansatz. We now derive the local magnetization at the impurity site, $s_1^z = \frac{1}{2}\langle \sigma_1^z \rangle_{g,h}$, under a small magnetic field $h$ at zero temperature. We take the expectation value of the local operator $\sigma_1^z$ for the ground state under the magnetic field $h$. Here we note that if the magnetic field is zero, the local magnetization at the impurity site vanishes.

We now define the monodromy matrix of the spin-1/2 XXZ spin chain by the $N$th product of the $R$ matrices $R_{0j}(\lambda - \xi_j)$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, N$.

$$R_{0,1\ldots N}(\lambda|\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_N) = R_{0N}(\lambda - \xi_N) \cdots R_{01}(\lambda - \xi_1), \quad (3.36)$$
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where $\xi_j (j = 1, 2, \ldots, N)$ are the inhomogeneity parameters given by $\xi_1 = i\zeta/2 - x$ and $\xi_2 = \xi_3 = \cdots = \xi_N = i\zeta/2$. We define the operator-valued matrix elements of the monodromy matrix by

$$\begin{pmatrix}
A(\lambda) & B(\lambda) \\
C(\lambda) & D(\lambda)
\end{pmatrix} = R_{0,1\ldots N}(\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_N).$$

(3.37)

We denote the ground state under a small magnetic field $h$ by $|\Psi_{g,h}\rangle$. We express the ground-state solution of the Bethe-ansatz equations with $M$ down-spins under a magnetic field $h$ as $\lambda_b$ for $b = 1, 2, \ldots, M$. We have

$$|\Psi_{g,h}\rangle = B(\lambda_1) \cdots B(\lambda_M)|0\rangle$$

(3.38)

where $|0\rangle$ denotes the vacuum state, which has no down-spin. The Hermitian conjugate vector is given by

$$\langle \Psi_{g,h} | = (|\Psi_{g,h}\rangle)^\dagger = (-1)^M \langle 0|C(\lambda_1) \cdots C(\lambda_M).$$

(3.39)

We, therefore, define the impurity magnetization $s_1^\uparrow$ by

$$\frac{1}{2}\langle \sigma_1^\uparrow \rangle_{g,h} = \frac{1}{2}\langle \Psi_{g,h} | \sigma_1^\uparrow | \Psi_{g,h} \rangle / \langle \Psi_{g,h} | \Psi_{g,h} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\langle 0|C(\lambda_1) \cdots C(\lambda_M) \sigma_1^\uparrow B(\lambda_1) \cdots B(\lambda_M)|0\rangle}{\langle 0|C(\lambda_1) \cdots C(\lambda_M)B(\lambda_1) \cdots B(\lambda_M)|0\rangle}. $$

(3.40)

We now evaluate it through the expectation value of the local operator $e_{11}^{22}$ as follows.

$$\frac{1}{2}\langle \sigma_1^\uparrow \rangle_{g,h} = \frac{1}{2} - \langle e_{11}^{22} \rangle_{g,h}$$

(3.41)

where $e_{a,b}^{11}$ denote the two-by-two matrices with only nonzero matrix element 1 at the entry of $(a,b)$ for $a,b = 1, 2$. Hereafter, we call $\langle \sigma_1^\uparrow \rangle_{g,h}$ the local magnetization at the impurity site, not $s_1^\uparrow = \langle \sigma_1^\uparrow \rangle_{g,h}/2$, for simplicity.

We introduce the Gaudin matrix $\Phi_{a,b}^\prime(\{\lambda_a\})$ with real parameters $z_k$ defined by $\xi_k = i\zeta/2 + z_k$ for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, N$, as follows.

$$\Phi_{a,b}^\prime = 2\pi i N \left( \tilde{\rho}(\lambda_a) \delta_{a,b} + \frac{1}{N} a_2(\lambda_a - \lambda_b) \right)$$

(3.42)

where function $\tilde{\rho}(\lambda)$ is defined by

$$\tilde{\rho}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} a_1(\lambda - z_k) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{b=1}^{M} a_2(\lambda - \lambda_b).$$

(3.43)

Putting the ground-state solution under a magnetic field, $\{\lambda_a\}$, with $z_1 = x$ and $z_2 = \cdots = z_N = 0$, we have

$$\tilde{\rho}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{N} \left( (N - 1)a_1(\lambda) + a_1(\lambda + x) \right) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{b=1}^{M} a_2(\lambda - \lambda_b)$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} \left( (N - 1)a_1(\lambda) + a_1(\lambda + x) \right) - \int_{-B}^{B} a_2(\lambda - \lambda')d\lambda' + O(1/N^2)$$

$$= \rho(\lambda) + O(1/N^2).$$

(3.44)

Here, we recall that $\rho(\lambda)$ denotes the density of the Bethe roots for the ground-state solution under magnetic field $h$ satisfying (3.2).
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Making use of the formula of the Quantum Inverse Scattering Problem [33]
\[ e^{22}_1 = D(\xi_1)(A(\xi_1) + D(\xi_1))^{-1} \] (3.45)
and Slavnov's scalar product formula we show
\[ \langle e^{12}_1 \rangle_{g,h} = \sum_{a=1}^{M} \det((\Phi'(\lambda_\beta)\Phi'(\lambda_\alpha) - \{\lambda_\beta\} \cup \{\xi_1\})) \]
\[ = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{a=1}^{M} \frac{1}{\rho(\lambda_a)} \rho^I(\lambda_a, x) + O(1/N^2) \]
\[ = \int_{-B}^{B} \rho^I(\lambda, x)d\lambda + O(1/N^2). \] (3.46)
Here \( \rho^I(\lambda, x) \) denotes the solution of the integral equation
\[ \rho^I(z, x) + \int_{-B}^{B} a_2(z - z')\rho^I(z', x)d' = a_1(z + x), \] (3.47)
and the matrix elements of the \( M \times M \) matrix \( \Psi'(\{\lambda_\beta\} \setminus \{\lambda_\alpha\} \cup \{\xi_1\}) \) are given by
\[ \Psi'_{k,c} = \begin{cases} 2\pi i a_1(\lambda_a + x), & \text{if } b = a \\ \Phi'_{k,c} & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases} \] (3.48)

### 3.4.2. Analytic expression of the impurity magnetization for small \(|x|\). We consider the case where the absolute value of the impurity parameter \( x \) is small enough with respect to a given value of magnetic field \( h \). We assume that it is much smaller than the Fermi point \( B \): \(|x| \ll B \), where \( B \) is related to the magnetic field \( h \) by (3.29). In this case, we solve equation (3.47) by the Wiener–Hopf method. We define \( \rho^I_0(z, x) \) by the solution of the integral equation (3.47) with \( B = \infty \). Explicitly, we have \( \rho^I_0(z, x) = \sigma_0(z + x) \). We define function \( y^I(z, x) \) by
\[ y^I(z, x) = \rho^I(z + B, x). \] (3.49)
Since the equation (3.47) does not change by replacing \( z \) and \( x \) by \(-z\) and \(-x\), respectively, we have \( y^I(z, -x) = \rho^I(-z - B, x) \). We now define functions \( \tilde{y}^{I\pm}_k(k, x) \) by
\[ \tilde{y}^{I\pm}_k(k, x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}(\pm z)y^I(z, x)e^{ikz}dz. \] (3.50)
By solving the integral equation
\[ \rho^I(z, x) = \rho^I_0(z, x) + \int_{|z'|>B} R(z - z')\rho^I(z', x)d' \] (3.51)
we evaluate \( \langle e^{12}_1 \rangle_{g,h} \) through the following.
\[ \int_{-B}^{B} \rho^I(z, x)dz = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{\pi}{2\pi - 2\zeta} \int_{|z|>B} \rho^I(z, x)dz \right\} \]
\[ = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{\pi}{2\pi - 2\zeta} \left\{ \tilde{y}^{I\pm}_k(0, x) + \tilde{y}^{I\pm}_k(0, -x) \right\} \right\}. \] (3.52)
We thus obtain the analytic expression of the impurity magnetization
\[ \langle \sigma^I_1 \rangle_{g,h} = \frac{4\zeta}{\pi(\pi - \zeta)} \cosh \left( \frac{\pi x}{\zeta} \right) h + O(1/N^2). \] (3.53)
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Here, we recall that the magnetic field $h$ is related to the Fermi point $B$ by (3.29).

The analytic expression (3.53) of the impurity magnetization is consistent with the impurity susceptibility (3.35). Therefore, it follows that the identification of the impurity contribution to the magnetic susceptibility in terms of the Bethe ansatz equations is valid. Here, we note that expression (3.53) is valid only when the absolute value of impurity parameter $x$ is small enough, such as $|x| < B$ so that we can apply the Wiener–Hopf method to solve the integral equation (3.47).

3.4.3. Pseudo-decoupled case. We now consider the case where the absolute value of the impurity parameter $x$ is large. For instance, we assume that it is larger than the logarithm of the system size $N$: $|x| > \ln N$. It follows that we have $\exp(-|x|) < 1/N$ and the energy gap due to the exchange interaction between the impurity site and the neighboring sites is smaller than the bulk excitation energy gaps, which are of the order of $1/N$ and hence can be ignored.

If the absolute value of the impurity parameter $x$ is very large, such as $x \gg \ln N$ and $x \gg B$, we can show that the impurity magnetization, $\langle \sigma^z_1, g, h \rangle$, approaches the largest value 1 exponentially with respect to the impurity parameter $x$. The right-hand side of the linear integral equation (3.47), which gives the source term to it, is proportional to $\exp(-2x)$ for large values of $x$, which leads to the following.

$$1 - \langle \sigma^z_1, g, h \rangle \sim \exp(-2x) \quad \text{for } x \gg B \text{ and } x \gg \ln N. \quad (3.54)$$

We now show that the impurity magnetization becomes easily saturated even with an infinitesimally small magnetic field, if pseudo-decoupling occurs. In fact, even if the absolute value of the impurity parameter $x$ is very large, such as $x \gg \ln N$, we can take a very small magnetic field $h$ such that the value of the Fermi point $B$ is much larger than $|x|$. Then, we can apply the Wiener–Hopf method to the integral equation (3.47) and we obtain the expression (3.53) of the impurity magnetization for a very small magnetic field $h$ with a large value of $x$.

We estimate the small magnetic field $h$ for which the expression (3.53) is valid in the case of pseudo-decoupling. If we determine the magnetic field by $2h(M) = E(M - 1) - E(M)$ in the $N$-site chain with $M - 1$ down-spins, then $h(M)$ is given by the order of $1/N$. However, the magnetic field is much smaller than $O(1/N)$ if pseudo-decoupling occurs. We now assume the following conditions.

$$1/N > \exp(-x) > \exp(-B), \quad (h)^{1/p_0} \propto \exp(-B), \quad (3.55)$$

where $p_0 = \pi/\zeta$. For instance, $p_0 = 3$ for $\zeta = \pi/3$. We suggest that the magnetic field $h$ which triggers the impurity magnetization is given by $h < 1/N^3$, which is very small. We thus expect that if we add a small magnetic field $h$ such as $h \sim 1/N^3$, then the local magnetization at the impurity site readily approaches the largest value 1.

The result is consistent with another viewpoint that the impurity spin becomes a free spin if the exchange coupling with other spins vanishes. It is clear that a free spin becomes saturated with an infinitesimally small magnetic field.

3.4.4. Numerical estimates of the impurity magnetization. We now confirm the analytic results of the impurity magnetization by numerical data. We plot the numerical estimates
3.5. Numerical estimates of the impurity susceptibility

We now evaluate numerically the magnetic susceptibility by calculating the energy eigenvalues through solutions of the Bethe ansatz equations. For a given number $M$ satisfying $M \leq N/2$ we solve the BAE (2.6) for the ground state with $M$ down-spins and evaluate the ground state energy $E(M)$ through the solution by making use of equation (2.9). We evaluate the energy $E(M - 1)$ for the ground state with $M - 1$ down-spins. Then, we determine the magnetic field $h(M)$ by the following relation.

$$2h(M) = E(M - 1) - E(M).$$

(3.56)

Here we recall that the total magnetization $S_{\text{tot}}^z$ is given by $S_{\text{tot}}^z = (N - 2M)/2$ in the case of $M$ down-spins. We define the total susceptibility $\chi_{\text{tot}}(M)$ under magnetic field $h(M)$ by

$$\chi_{\text{tot}}(M) = \frac{(2S_{\text{tot}}^z(M - 1) - 2S_{\text{tot}}^z(M))/(h(M - 1) - h(M))}. (3.57)$$

We can evaluate the susceptibility at $h = 0$, i.e. $\chi_{\text{tot}}(M)$ for $M = N/2$, by taking the difference through equation (3.57). It is close to the definition (3.31) of the susceptibility by the derivative of $2S_{\text{tot}}^z$ with respect to magnetic field $h$. The susceptibility per site $\chi(x)$ is given by $\chi(x) = \chi_{\text{tot}}/N$. We then evaluate the
impurity susceptibility $\chi_{\text{imp}}(x)$ from the total susceptibility $\chi_{\text{tot}}$ by the following relation.

$$\chi_{\text{tot}} = (N-1)\chi(0) + \chi_{\text{imp}}(x)^3.$$  

The numerical estimates of the impurity susceptibility $\chi_{\text{imp}}(x)$ at $h = 0$ are plotted against impurity parameter $x$ in figures 4 and 5. The impurity susceptibility increases with respect to the absolute value of impurity parameter $x$, which is due to the Kondo effect. The numerical estimates (blue dots) are consistent with the analytic formula (3.35) (red line) in figures 4 and 5. Here, the typical system size $N$ for the data of figures 4 and 5 is given by $N = 10^3$ and $10^4$, respectively. We suggest that if the system size $N$ is large enough, then the numerical estimates of the impurity susceptibility $\chi_{\text{imp}}(x)$ at $h = 0$

3 Numerically we have evaluated the susceptibility $\chi_{\text{tot}}$ at $h = 0$ and then the impurity susceptibility $\chi_{\text{imp}}(x)$ at $h = 0$ as follows. We make a graph of magnetization $2S^z = N - 2M$ versus $h(M)$ and interpolate the data points of $2S^z$ versus $h(M)$ as a polynomial of $h$ of some degree. We employ the ratio $2S^z_{\text{tot}}(M - 1)/h(M - 1)$ at $M = N/2$ as the susceptibility $\chi_{\text{tot}}$ at $h = 0$ assuming that $S^z_{\text{tot}}(M) = 0$ and $h(M) = 0$ for $M = N/2$. Here, we note that the total susceptibility $\chi_{\text{tot}}$ is $O(N)$, i.e. of the order of the system size $N$. We repeat the evaluation of $\chi_{\text{tot}}$ for different values of the system size $N$ and plot the total susceptibility divided by $N$, $\chi_{\text{tot}}/N$, against the inverse of the system size, $1/N$. Then, we interpolate the data points of $\chi_{\text{tot}}/N$ versus $1/N$ as a polynomial of $y = 1/N$ of some degree and employ the coefficient of the $y^1$ term as the shift $\Delta \chi = \chi_{\text{imp}}(x) - \chi(0)$. By adding the susceptibility per site with no impurity, $\chi(0)$, to it, we obtain $\chi_{\text{imp}}(x)$.
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are consistent with the analytic formula (3.35). For instance, the numerical estimates of \( \chi_{\text{imp}}(x) \) for \( N = 100 \) shown in figure 4 only from \( x = -1 \) to 1 are smaller than the corresponding values of the analytic formula (3.35) if the absolute value of impurity parameter \( x \) is larger than 2: \( |x| > 2 \), while those of \( N = 10^4 \) are rather close to the analytic curve (3.35) even for \( |x| = 3 \) as shown in figure 5.

By applying the Wiener–Hopf method formulated in [36] we can show that the \( x \)-dependence of the susceptibility per site \( \chi(x) \) changes if we add higher-order terms with respect to the expansion parameter \( \exp(-\pi B/\zeta) \). However, it seems that the same \( x \)-dependence as in equation (3.35) is reproduced for the impurity susceptibility at zero magnetic field since higher-order terms as in [36] do not remain when \( h \) is small.

In [23], it is stated that the impurity susceptibility \( \chi_{\text{imp}} \) should depend on the parameter \( x \) such as \( \exp(\pi|x|/\zeta) \) in terms of the notation of the present paper, which is different from \( \cosh(\pi x/\zeta) \). However, the exponential dependence is not exact as an analytic solution in the Wiener–Hopf method. Furthermore, it is not consistent with the numerical estimates of the impurity susceptibility \( \chi_{\text{imp}} \) even for small \( x \) (see also figure 4).

4. Specific heat due to impurity

4.1. Thermal Bethe ansatz

We now evaluate the specific heat by solving the truncated integral equations of the thermal Bethe ansatz [29–32]. We explicitly show how the specific heat depends on the impurity parameter \( x \). We restrict the anisotropy parameter \( \zeta \) as \( \zeta = \pi/3 \) throughout this section.

We consider the string solutions for the BAE at \( \zeta = \pi/3 \). It is known that there are only three different types of solutions \( z_j \) (\( j = 1, 2, 3 \)) for \( \zeta = \pi/3 \). Explicitly, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
z_1 &= z_1^R \\
z_2, \pm &= \begin{cases} z_2^R + \frac{i \zeta}{2} & \text{for } z_2^R > 0 \\
z_2^R - \frac{i \zeta}{2} & \text{for } z_2^R < 0 \
\end{cases} \\
z_3 &= z_3^R + \frac{\zeta}{2} p_0, \quad p_0 = \frac{\pi}{\zeta},
\end{align*}
\]

where each \( z_j^R \) gives the real part or the center of the string solution \( z_j \). We note that \( \sinh(z \pm \frac{\zeta}{2} p_0 i) = \pm i \cosh z \). In terms of string solutions the BAE is now expressed as follows.

\[
\frac{1}{N} \{ a_j(z + x) + (N - 1)a_j(z) \} = \text{sign}(q_j)(\rho_j(z) + \rho_j^h(z)) + \sum_{k=1}^{3} T_{jk} * \rho_k(z) \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, 3.
\]

Here, unknown functions \( \rho_j(z) \) and \( \rho_j^h(z) \) denote the particle and hole densities, respectively, with respect to the real part \( z_j^R \) of the string solutions \( z_j \) and the symbol \( f \ast g \) denotes the convolution of given functions \( f \) and \( g \). The functions \( a_j(z) \) for \( j = 1, 2, 3 \) are given by

\[
a_j(z) = \frac{1}{2 \pi} \frac{2 \sin \zeta q_j}{\cosh 2z + \cos \zeta q_j},
\]
where \( q_j \) are given by
\[
q_1 = 2, \quad q_2 = 1, \quad q_3 = -1. \tag{4.4}
\]
The matrix \( T \) is defined by
\[
T_{jk} = \begin{pmatrix}
a_2 & a_1 & -a_1 \\
a_1 & a_2 & -a_2 \\
-a_1 & -a_2 & a_2
\end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.5}
\]
Here we note that \( a_1 \) and \( a_2 \) are the same functions, as defined by (3.3). By making use of the identity
\[
a_2 = -a_3 \tag{4.6}
\]
we reduce the number of equations of (4.2) from 3 to 2.

We now express physical quantities in terms of \( \rho_j(z) \) and \( \rho_{j}^h(z) \). The energy of this system is described by
\[
e(x, T) = \kappa \sum_{j=1}^{3} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} a_j(z) \rho_j(z) \, dz, \tag{4.7}
\]
where \( \kappa \) is given by
\[
\kappa = -\pi \sin \zeta, \tag{4.8}
\]
and the entropy is expressed as
\[
s = \sum_{j=1}^{3} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \rho_j(z) \log \left( 1 + \frac{\rho_j^h(z)}{\rho_j(z)} \right) + \rho_j^h(z) \log \left( 1 + \frac{\rho_j(z)}{\rho_j^h(z)} \right) \right) \, dz. \tag{4.9}
\]
We take the free energy \( f = e - Ts \) (\( T \) : temperature) with respect to \( \rho_j \) under the conditions (4.2). It is then clear that the thermal equilibrium condition \( \delta f = 0 \) is equivalent to
\[
\log \eta_j = \frac{\kappa}{T} a_j + \sum_{k=1}^{3} \text{sign}(q_k) T_{jk} \ast \log(1 + \eta_j^{-1}), \tag{4.10}
\]
where functions \( \eta_j \) are defined by
\[
\eta_j = \frac{\rho_j^h}{\rho_j}. \tag{4.11}
\]
The impurity parameter \( x \) does not remain after taking into account the variation with respect to \( \rho_j \) and \( \rho_j^h \) under the constraint of BAE (4.2) and hence the above equations are the same as those of the homogeneous spin-1/2 XXZ spin chain. By putting it back to free energy, its final form is given by the following.
\[
f = -\frac{T}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{3} \text{sign}(q_j) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( (N - 1)a_j(z) + a_j(z + x) \right) \log(1 + \eta_j(z)^{-1}) \, dz. \tag{4.12}
\]
equations (4.10) are solved numerically [31]. As previously mentioned, \( j = 2 \) and \( j = 3 \) indicate the same equation. Only two of the three eta-functions are independent and the equations can be reformulated as follows.
\[
\begin{cases}
  h_1 = \log \left[ 1 + \exp \left( -\frac{\pi}{T} b_1 - 2b_2 * h_1 - 2b_1 * h_2 \right) \right], \\
  h_2 = \log \left[ 1 + \exp \left( -\frac{\pi}{T} b_2 - b_1 * h_1 - 2b_2 * h_2 \right) \right].
\end{cases} \tag{4.13}
\]
where \( h_j \) and the Fourier transforms of \( b_j \) are given by
\[
h_j(z) = \log(1 + \eta_j^{-1}(z)),
\]
\[
\tilde{b}_1(\omega) = \frac{\tilde{a}_1}{1 - \tilde{a}_2}, \quad \tilde{b}_2(\omega) = \frac{\tilde{a}_2}{1 - \tilde{a}_2}.
\]
Explicitly, we have
\[
b_1(z) = \frac{3 \cosh \frac{3z}{2}}{\sqrt{2\pi \cosh 3z}}, \quad b_2(z) = \frac{3}{4\pi \cosh \frac{3z}{2}}.
\]
Here, the expressions of functions \( b_j \) are specific to \( \zeta = \frac{\pi}{3} \). In terms of these functions, the free energy is written by
\[
f = -\frac{T}{N} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \alpha_1 h_1 + \alpha_2 \left( 2h_2 + \frac{\kappa}{T} b_2 + b_1 * h_1 + 2b_2 * h_2 \right) \right\} \, dz,
\]
\[
\alpha_j(z) = (N - 1) a_j(z) + a_j(z + x).
\]
The specific heat is calculated by the same approach. We set the following quantities.
\[
u_j = T^2 \frac{\partial h_j}{\partial T}, \quad v_j = \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial T}.
\]
Then, the specific heat \( c(x, T) = \frac{\partial e}{\partial T} \), \( e = -T^2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial T} \left( \frac{f}{f} \right) \) becomes
\[
c(x, T) = \frac{1}{N} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \alpha_1 v_1 + \alpha_2 (2v_2 + b_1 * v_1 + 2b_2 * v_2) \right\} \, dz.
\]
During the procedure, we have its energy
\[
e = \frac{1}{N} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \alpha_1 u_1 + \alpha_2 (2u_2 - \kappa b_2 + b_1 * u_1 + 2b_2 * u_2) \right\} \, dz.
\]
The equations for \( u_j \) are given by
\[
\begin{align*}
u_1 &= \left( 1 - e^{-h_1} \right) \left\{ \kappa b_1 - 2b_2 * u_1 - b_1 * u_2 \right\} \\
u_2 &= \left( 1 - e^{-h_2} \right) \left\{ -\kappa b_2 - b_1 * u_1 - 2b_2 * u_2 \right\},
\end{align*}
\]
and those for \( v_j \) by
\[
\begin{align*}
v_1 &= \frac{1}{T^2} \frac{u_1^2}{e^{\pi z} - 1} + \left( 1 - e^{-h_1} \right) \left\{ -2b_2 * v_1 - 2b_1 * v_2 \right\} \\
v_2 &= \frac{1}{T^2} \frac{u_2^2}{e^{\pi z} - 1} + \left( 1 - e^{-h_2} \right) \left\{ -b_1 * v_1 - 2b_2 * v_2 \right\}.
\end{align*}
\]

### 4.2. Specific heat with an impurity near zero temperature

We consider the impurity specific heat, \( c_{\text{imp}}(x, T) \), i.e. the specific heat on the impurity site with parameter \( x \) at temperature \( T \). We define it by
\[
Nc(x, T) = (N - 1)c(0, T) + c_{\text{imp}}(x, T).
\]
Here, the specific heat per site is denoted by \( c(x, T) \). We note that the specific heat of the total system \( C_{\text{tot}}(x, T) \) is given by \( Nc(x, T) \): \( C_{\text{tot}}(x, T) = Nc(x, T) \).

If temperature \( T \) is very low and the absolute value of impurity parameter \( x \) is small enough with respect to the temperature, we suggest the analytical expression of \( c_{\text{imp}}(x, T) \) from that of the magnetic impurity susceptibility \( \chi_{\text{imp}}(x, T) \) as
\[
c_{\text{imp}}(x, T) = \frac{2\zeta T}{3\sin \zeta} \cosh \frac{\pi x}{\zeta}.
\]
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Figure 6. Impurity specific heat $c_{\text{imp}}(x, T)$ versus $x$ in a narrow range of $x = 0$ at $T = 10^{-3}$ for $\zeta = \frac{\pi}{3}$. Red curve is given by equation (4.25).

Figure 7. Impurity specific heat $c_{\text{imp}}(x, T)$ versus impurity $x$ at $T = 10^{-3}$ for $\zeta = \frac{\pi}{3}$.

In figure 6 the impurity specific heat $c_{\text{imp}}(x, T)$ at low temperature $T = 0.001$ is plotted against impurity parameter $x$. Here, the numerical estimates of the impurity specific heat $c_{\text{imp}}(x, T)$ in the interval from $x = -1.0$ to $1.0$ near the origin of $x = 0$ are completely well fitted as a function of $x$ to the analytic expression (4.25). There is no fitting parameter. The impurity specific heat (4.25) reduces to the low-temperature result of the homogeneous XXZ spin chain (see e.g. [30]), when we put $x = 0$. We suggest that the expression (4.25) of the impurity specific heat at low $T$ should be valid for any value of $\zeta$ in the massless regime, although we evaluated it only for $\zeta = \frac{\pi}{3}$.

The impurity specific heat $c_{\text{imp}}(x, T)$ is plotted against parameter $x$ in a wider range of $x$ in figure 7. At $x = 0$ the graph is convex and almost flat. It increases with respect to $|x|$ and reaches two peaks at $x = \pm 2.36$. We observe in figure 7 that the impurity spin becomes close to a free spin at $T = 10^{-3}$ for large values of $|x|$ such as $|x| > 2.36$: The impurity specific heat $c_{\text{imp}}(x, T)$ approaches zero as $|x|$ increases. Here, we note that the specific heat of a free spin vanishes under zero magnetic field at any temperature.

We suggest that the peak positions $x = \pm x_p$ in the graph of $c_{\text{imp}}(x, T)$ against parameter $x$ is approximately given by the solution of the following equation

$$T = 1/ \cosh \frac{\pi x}{\zeta}.$$ (4.26)
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Figure 8. Impurity specific heat $c_{\text{imp}}(x,T)$ versus temperature $T$ for $\zeta = \frac{\pi}{3}$, and $x = 0.3$ (red), 0.5 (orange), 0.7 (yellow), 1.0 (green), 1.5 (blue), 2.0 (purple), 3.0 (gray), 10.0 (brown).

Figure 9. Impurity entropy $s_{\text{imp}}(x,T)$ versus temperature $T$ $\zeta = \frac{\pi}{3}$, $x = 0.5$ (red), 1.0 (orange), 1.5 (yellow), 2.0 (green), 3.5 (blue).

For $T = 10^{-3}$ we have $x = 2.53$ with $\zeta = \pi/3$. For $T = 10^{-2}$, $c_{\text{imp}}(x,T)$ has two peaks with $x_p = 1.59$, while the solution of equation (4.26) is given by $x = 1.77$.

4.3. Impurity specific heat at finite temperatures

We show that the impurity spin has two aspects at finite temperatures: the Kondo effect at low temperature and the tendency to become a free spin through pseudo-decoupling at high temperature. Similar to the impurity specific heat $c_{\text{imp}}(x,T)$, we define the impurity entropy $s_{\text{imp}}(x,T)$ by

$$Ns(x,T) = (N - 1)s(0,T) + s_{\text{imp}}(x,T).$$

Here, the entropy of the total system, $S_{\text{tot}}(x,T)$, is given by $S_{\text{tot}}(x,T) = NS(x,T)$. Note that we evaluate the entropy by $s = (e - f)/T$ from the energy $e$ and the free energy $f$.

The numerical estimates of the impurity specific heat $c_{\text{imp}}(x,T)$ and the impurity entropy $s_{\text{imp}}(x,T)$ are plotted in figures 8 and 9, respectively, against temperature $T$. We observe the following: (i) The impurity specific heat $c_{\text{imp}}(x,T)$ has a peak approximately at $T = 0.4$ in figure 8 for small absolute values of parameter $x$ such as $|x| = 0.3$; (ii) The impurity specific heat $c_{\text{imp}}(x,T)$ grows linearly with respect to the temperature $T$.
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Figure 10. Specific heat shift $\Delta c(x, T)$ versus temperature $T$ for $\zeta = \frac{\pi}{3}$, $N = 100$: $x = 0.3$ (red), 0.5 (orange), 0.7 (yellow), 1.0 (green), 1.5 (blue), 2.0 (purple), 3.0 (gray), 10.0 (brown).

near zero temperature and the gradient of the linear specific heat becomes larger as the impurity parameter $x$ increases; (iii) The peak position of the impurity specific heat $c_{\text{imp}}(x, T)$ shifts to lower temperatures as the impurity parameter $x$ increases; (iv) The peak value of the impurity specific heat $c_{\text{imp}}(x, T)$ decreases as the peak position shifts to lower temperatures.

We suggest that the Kondo effect leads to the peaks in the graphs of the impurity specific heat $c_{\text{imp}}(x, T)$ versus temperature $T$ in figure 8. We recall that the Bethe ansatz equations (2.6) in the XXX limit with $x = 1$ are similar to those of the Kondo model [5]. Furthermore, it seems that the increase of the gradient of the impurity specific heat $c_{\text{imp}}(x, T)$ at low temperature is consistent with the analytic expression (4.25).

At high temperatures such as $T = 1.0$, the impurity entropy $s_{\text{imp}}(x, T)$ approaches the value $\ln 2 \approx 0.69$ for any value of $x$, as shown in figure 9. It is standard that the impurity spin becomes independent of the other spins in the chain at high temperature.

4.4. Crossover temperature

We now determine the crossover temperature between the two regimes: the low-temperature regime where the Kondo effect is dominant and the high-temperature regime where the impurity spin tends to become a free spin through pseudo-decoupling.

By the difference $\Delta c(x, T) = c(x, T) - c(0, T)$, we define the shift of the specific heat per site with impurity parameter $x$ from that of the homogeneous chain at temperature $T$. Here, we have from equation (4.25) the following expression at low temperature.

$$\Delta c(x, T) = \frac{2\zeta T}{3N \sin \zeta} \left( \cosh \frac{\pi x}{\zeta} - 1 \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.28)

The numerical estimates of the specific heat shift $\Delta c(x, T)$ are plotted against temperature $T$ in figures 10 and 11. Each dotted curve shows the graph of the data of $\Delta c(x, T)$ with a fixed value of impurity parameter $x$.

We define the crossover temperature by the temperature at which the specific heat shift $\Delta c(x, T)$ vanishes. We plot the estimates of the crossover temperature $T_X$ as a function of the impurity parameter $x$ in figure 12. Furthermore, we find that the following formula gives a good fitting curve to the numerical estimates of the crossover temperature.

$$T_X = \frac{a}{\cosh bx}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.29)
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Figure 11. Specific heat shift $\Delta c$ with small values of impurity $x$ for $\zeta = \frac{\pi}{3}$ and $N = 100$. $x = 0.3$ (red), 0.2 (orange), 0.1 (yellow), 0.05 (green), 0.01 (blue).

Figure 12. Crossover temperature $T_X$ versus impurity parameter $x$. Estimates of $T_X$ for each $x$ (blue dots). Fitting curve: $T_X = a \cosh bx$ with $a = 0.269$, $b = 1.160$ (red curve).

where the best estimates of parameters $a$ and $b$ are given by $a = 0.269$ and $b = 1.160$, respectively.

It is suggested from the impurity susceptibility (3.35) that the Kondo temperature $T_K$ is given by $T_K \propto 1/\cosh(\pi x/\zeta)$. However, the numerical estimate of parameter $b$ of crossover temperature $T_X$ in (4.29) is given by almost half the value of $\pi/\zeta = 3$. Thus, the functional form of the crossover temperature $T_X$ is the same with the Kondo temperature $T_K$, while the estimate of the parameter $b$ is different.

5. Wilson ratio

5.1. Universality at low temperature for small $|x|$  

We recall that we have defined the Wilson ratio by equation (1.1), which is given by the ratio of the impurity susceptibility $\chi_{\text{imp}}(x)$ to the impurity specific heat $c_{\text{imp}}(x, T)$ with some normalization factor. We recall that the impurity susceptibility is given by equation (3.35) as

$$\chi_{\text{imp}}(x) = \frac{4\zeta}{\pi(\pi - \zeta) \sin \zeta} \cosh \frac{\pi x}{\zeta}.$$
We have shown in section 4 that when the absolute value of the impurity parameter $x$ is small, the impurity specific heat $c_{\text{imp}}(x, T)$ is given by equation (4.25) for low temperature. Thus, by making use of (3.35) and (4.25), we evaluate the Wilson ratio (1.1) in the XXZ impurity model for small $x$ at low temperature $T$ by

$$ r = \frac{\pi^2}{3} \frac{\chi_{\text{imp}}}{c_{\text{imp}}/T} = \frac{2\pi}{\pi - \zeta}. $$

We observe that the Wilson ratio $r$ does not depend on the impurity parameter $x$. Therefore, the Hamiltonians of the XXZ impurity model with the same value of $\zeta$ but different values of $x$ are classified in the same universality class.

Furthermore, the Wilson ratio (5.1) is expressed in terms of the dressed charge $Z$ as

$$ r = 4Z^2. $$

Here, the dressed charge $Z$ denotes the dressed charge function $Z(\lambda)$ evaluated at the Fermi point; $Z = Z(B)$. The dressed charge $Z(\lambda)$ is defined by the solution of the integral equation [35].

$$ Z(\lambda) + \int_{-B}^{B} a_2(\lambda - \mu)Z(\mu)d\mu = 1. $$

It is shown in [35] that by applying the Wiener–Hopf method to equation (5.3) for very small magnetic field $h$ we have

$$ Z(B) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2(\pi - \zeta)}}. $$

Therefore, we obtain relation (5.2). The Wilson ratio of the XXZ impurity model (2.10) is expressed in terms of the dressed charge of the XXZ spin chain.

From the CFT viewpoint, we present a physical argument for the Wilson ratio expressed with the dressed charge (5.2) at low temperature for small $|x|$ in appendix B.

### 5.2. Numerical estimates of the Wilson ratio

The numerical estimates of the Wilson ratio are plotted in figure 13 against impurity parameter $x$. Here, we have evaluated the Wilson ratio numerically by making use of the estimates of the impurity susceptibility $\chi_{\text{imp}}(x)$ of figure 5 in section 3 and those of the impurity specific heat $c_{\text{imp}}(x, T)$ at low temperature with $T = 10^{-3}$ of figure 7 in section 4.

For small absolute values of impurity parameter $x$ such as $|x| < 1$ the estimate of the Wilson ratio is almost constant with respect to $x$ and given by 3.0. We suggest that the low-temperature impurity effect with small $|x|$ is due to the Kondo effect and the estimate of the Wilson ratio is consistent with equation (5.1). Thus, the universality class is described by the XXZ coupling through the dressed charge as shown in equation (5.2).

For large absolute values of impurity parameter $x$ such as $|x| > 1$, however, the estimate of the Wilson ratio shown in figure 13 increases with respect to $|x|$. We suggest that it reflects the crossover of the impurity spin becoming a free spin, for which the Wilson ratio is given by infinity. However, we suggest that the numerical estimate of the Wilson ratio larger than 3.0 such as for $|x| > 1.4$ is not universal and may depend on the temperature $T$ at which the impurity specific heat $c_{\text{imp}}(x, T)$ is evaluated. We expect that the region of $x$ where the estimate of the Wilson ratio is given by 3.0 becomes wider if the temperature $T$ decreases.
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Figure 13. Wilson ratio $r$ versus impurity parameter $x$ for $\zeta = \frac{\pi}{3}$ through the numerical estimates of $\chi_{\text{imp}}(x)$ and $c_{\text{imp}}(x,T)$ which are at zero temperature with $N = 10^4$ and at low temperature with $T = 10^{-3}$, respectively (see also, equation (4.26)).
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Appendix A. Expression of $H_{\text{XXZ}}(x)$ with spin operators

We now derive expression (2.10) of the XXZ Hamiltonian with a spin-1/2 impurity which is defined by the logarithmic derivative of the transfer matrix as shown in equation (2.5). Here, we express the Hamiltonian explicitly in terms of local spin operators $S^\pm_j$ and $S^z_j$.

First, we note that the $R$-matrix at zero rapidity $R_{i,j}(0)$ gives the permutation operator $P_{i,j}$ acting on the tensor product space $V_i \otimes V_j$: $R_{i,j}(0) = P_{i,j}$. We consider the two factors of the logarithmic derivative (2.5), separately.

$$H_{\text{XXZ}}(x) = \frac{\varphi(i\zeta)}{2} \left[ \tau_{1\cdots N} \left( \frac{i\zeta}{2} | \xi_1, \frac{i\zeta}{2}, \ldots, \frac{i\zeta}{2} \right) \right]^{-1}$$

$$\times \left[ \frac{d}{d\lambda} \tau_{1\cdots N} \left( \lambda | \xi_1, \frac{i\zeta}{2}, \ldots, \frac{i\zeta}{2} \right) |_{\lambda \to i\zeta} \right]. \quad (A.1)$$

For the first factor of (A.1) we have

$$\tau_{1\cdots N} \left( \frac{i\zeta}{2} | \xi_1, \frac{i\zeta}{2}, \ldots, \frac{i\zeta}{2} \right) = \Pi^{2\cdots N} R_{N,1}(x), \quad (A.2)$$

where $\Pi^{2\cdots N}$ denotes the cyclic permutation operator, which is expressed as the product of permutation operators: $\Pi^{abc\cdots d} = P_{ad} \cdots P_{ac} P_{ab}$. For the second factor of (A.1) we have

$$\frac{\varphi(i\zeta)}{2} \left[ \frac{d}{d\lambda} \tau_{1\cdots N} \left( \lambda | \xi_1, \frac{i\zeta}{2}, \ldots, \frac{i\zeta}{2} \right) |_{\lambda \to i\zeta} \right]$$

$$= \sum_{n=2}^N \text{tr}_0 [P_{0N} \cdots h_{0n} \cdots P_{02} R_{01}(x)] + \text{tr}_0 [P_{0N} \cdots P_{02} h_{01}(x)], \quad (A.3)$$
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where symbols $h_{i,j}(\lambda)$ denote the derivatives of the $R$-matrices with respect to rapidity $\lambda$

$$h_{i,j}(\lambda) = \frac{\varphi(i\zeta)}{2} \frac{d}{d\lambda} R_{i,j}(\lambda). \quad (A.4)$$

For equation (A.3) we consider the following three cases.

- For $3 \leq n \leq N$ we have
  $$\operatorname{tr} \left[ \mathcal{P}_{0N} \cdots \mathcal{P}_{0n} \cdots \mathcal{P}_{02} R_{01}(x) \mathcal{P}_{0n} \cdots \mathcal{P}_{01} \right] = \Pi^{2 \cdots n-1} R_{n-1,1}(x) \Pi^{n-1,n+1 \cdots N} h_{n-1,n}. \quad (A.5)$$
  Applying the inverse of (A.2)–(A.5), we have
  $$R_{N,1}^{-1}(x) \left( \Pi^{2 \cdots N} \right)^{-1} \Pi^{2 \cdots n-1} R_{n-1,1}(x) \Pi^{n-1,n+1 \cdots N} h_{n-1,n} = \tilde{h}_{n-1,n}. \quad (A.6)$$
  Here, we used the abbreviation $\tilde{A}_{i,j} := P_{i,j} A_{i,j}$.

- For $n = 2$ we have
  $$\operatorname{tr} \left[ \mathcal{P}_{0N} \cdots \mathcal{P}_{0h} \mathcal{P}_{02} R_{01}(x) \right] = \Pi^{2 \cdots N} h_{N,2} R_{N,1}(x). \quad (A.7)$$
  Then, by applying the inverse of (A.2), we have
  $$R_{N,1}^{-1}(x) \left( \Pi^{2 \cdots N} \right)^{-1} \Pi^{2 \cdots N} h_{N,2} R_{N,1}(x) = \tilde{R}_{N,1}^{-1}(x) \tilde{h}_{12} \tilde{R}_{N,1}(x). \quad (A.8)$$

- For $n = 1$ we have
  $$\operatorname{tr} \left[ \mathcal{P}_{0N} \cdots \mathcal{P}_{02} h_{01}(x) \right] = \Pi^{2 \cdots N} h_{N,1}(x), \quad (A.9)$$
  then
  $$R_{N,1}^{-1}(x) \left( \Pi^{2 \cdots N} \right)^{-1} \Pi^{2 \cdots N} h_{N,1}(x) = \tilde{R}_{N,1}^{-1}(x) \tilde{h}_{N,1}(x). \quad (A.10)$$

Taking the sum of these terms, we have

$$H_{XXZ}(x) = \tilde{R}_{N,1}^{-1}(x) \tilde{h}_{N,1} + \tilde{R}_{N,1}^{-1}(x) \tilde{h}_{12} \tilde{R}_{N,1}(x) + \sum_{n=3}^{N} \tilde{h}_{n-1,n}. \quad (A.11)$$

We now write expression (A.11) in the form of matrices. The third term of (A.11) is exactly the same matrix which appears in the XXZ Hamiltonian. Actually, we have

$$\sum_{n=3}^{N} \tilde{h}_{n-1,n} = \sum_{n=3}^{N} \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\Delta & 1 \\ -\Delta & 1 & -\Delta \\ 1 & -\Delta & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{[n-1,n]}$$

$$= \sum_{n=3}^{N} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (S_n^+ S_{n+1}^- + S_n^- S_{n+1}^+) + \Delta (S_n^z S_{n+1}^z - \frac{1}{4}) \right]. \quad (A.12)$$
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The first term of (A.11) is given by
\[
\tilde{R}_{N,1}(x) \hat{h}_{N,1}(x) = \frac{c^+ c^-}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\Delta & \varphi'(x) \\ -\Delta & \varphi'(x) & 0 \end{pmatrix}^N_{[N,1]}
\]
\[
\therefore \frac{\varphi'(x)}{2} \left( S_N^x S_1^- + S_N^- S_1^+ \right) + \Delta \left( S_N^z S_1^z - \frac{1}{4} \right) . \tag{A.13}
\]
Here, we recall the notation given in equation (2.11). The second term of (A.11) is given by
\[
\tilde{R}_{N,1}(x) \hat{h}_{12} \tilde{R}_{N,1}(x)
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} + S_N^z \right) + c^- \left( \frac{1}{2} - S_N^z \right) b^+ S_N^- \left( \frac{1}{2} + S_N^- \right) + \Delta \left( \frac{1}{2} + S_N^z \right) \left( \frac{1}{2} + S_N^- \right) \right]_{[1]}
\]
\[
\times \left( -\Delta \left( \frac{1}{2} - S_N^z \right) \right) \left( \frac{1}{2} + S_N^z \right) \left( \frac{1}{2} + S_N^- \right) \right]_{[1]}
\]
\[
\times \left( \frac{1}{2} + S_N^z \right) + c^+ \left( \frac{1}{2} - S_N^z \right) b^+ S_N^- \left( \frac{1}{2} + S_N^- \right) + \Delta \left( \frac{1}{2} + S_N^z \right) \left( \frac{1}{2} + S_N^- \right) \right]_{[1].} \tag{A.14}
\]
By a straightforward calculation, we derive the rest of (2.10).

**Appendix B. A possible CFT argument for the Wilson ratio**

We explain the Wilson ratio (5.2) expressed in terms of the dressed charge through a physical argument based on the possible CFT. We assume that the low-lying excitation spectrum of the XXZ spin chain with the spin-1/2 impurity is described by a CFT.

First, we derive the ratio of the bulk susceptibility \( \chi_{s,\text{bulk}} \) to the bulk specific heat \( C_{\text{bulk}} \).

The magnetic susceptibility \( \chi_{s,\text{bulk}} \) of the homogeneous XXZ spin chain with no impurity is given by [35]
\[
\chi_{s,\text{bulk}} = \frac{4Z^2}{\pi v_{s,\text{bulk}}^2}, \tag{B.1}
\]
where \( v_{s,\text{bulk}} \) is the group velocity of spin excitation at the Fermi point; \( v_s = d\epsilon/dk(B)/2\pi\sigma(B) \) with \( \epsilon(k) \) being the dressed energy. For the homogeneous XXZ spin chain with no impurity the specific heat \( C_{\text{bulk}} \) at low temperature is given by
\[
C_{\text{bulk}} = \frac{\pi}{3v_{s,\text{bulk}} T}. \tag{B.2}
\]
For the bulk susceptibility and the bulk specific heat we thus have
\[
\frac{\pi^2}{3} \frac{\chi_{s,\text{bulk}}}{C_{\text{bulk}} / T} = 4Z^2. \tag{B.3}
\]
We now extend the calculation for the homogeneous chain [35] to the XXZ chain with the spin-1/2 impurity. We thus have
\[
\chi_{s,\text{bulk}} + \frac{1}{N} \chi_{s,\text{imp}} = \frac{4Z^2}{\pi (v_{s,\text{bulk}} + v_{s,\text{imp}} / N)} \approx \frac{4Z^2}{\pi v_{s,\text{bulk}} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{N} \frac{v_{s,\text{imp}}}{v_{s,\text{bulk}}} \right)} \tag{B.4}
\]
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where we have assumed the contribution of the impurity in the Fermi velocity, \( v_\text{s}^{\text{imp}}/N \). It follows from the assumption that the low-lying excitation spectrum of the XXZ spin chain with the spin-1/2 impurity is given by the CFT of central charge \( c = 1 \) that the specific heat is given by

\[
C_\text{bulk} + \frac{1}{N} C_\text{imp} = \frac{\pi T}{3(v_\text{s}^{\text{bulk}} + v_\text{s}^{\text{imp}}/N)} \approx \frac{\pi T}{3v_\text{s}^{\text{bulk}} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{N} \frac{v_\text{s}^{\text{imp}}}{v_\text{s}^{\text{bulk}}} \right)}. \tag{B.5}
\]

Thus, the Wilson ratio for the impurity susceptibility \( \chi_\text{s}^{\text{imp}} \) and the impurity specific heat \( C_\text{imp} \) is given by

\[
\frac{\pi^2}{3} \frac{\chi_\text{s}^{\text{imp}}}{C_\text{imp}/T} = \frac{\pi^2}{3} \frac{4Z^2/\pi v_\text{s}^{\text{bulk}}}{v_\text{s}^{\text{imp}}/v_\text{s}^{\text{bulk}}} = 4Z^2. \tag{B.6}
\]

We have thus obtained the Wilson ratio given by equation (5.1).

For integrable impurity models such as the Anderson and the Kondo models the boundary CFT is applied to characterize the impurity susceptibilities of the models [37]. However, it seems that it is important to show that some conformal field theory describes the low-lying excitation spectrum of the XXZ spin chain with the spin-1/2 impurity.

We note that the above argument for the Wilson ratio in equation (B.6) does not depend on the ratio of the group-velocity impurity to the bulk one \( v_\text{s}^{\text{imp}}/v_\text{s}^{\text{bulk}} \). However, in the current paper, it is important to evaluate the impurity contributions \( \chi_\text{s}^{\text{imp}} \) and \( C_\text{imp} \) so that they are consistent with numerical estimates, as shown in sections 3 and 4.
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