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1 Introduction

It is well known the anticommutator of two supercharge generators closes on the generator of translations, as the supercharges are contained in a super Lie algebra. Some time ago [1–3], it was noted in one dimensional theories, there exists supersymmetric representations where the commutator of two supercharge generators on the fields also and simultaneously closes by defining a new generator that was given the name of “holoraumy”, but the new generator involves the inclusion of an additional temporal derivative.

Thus, on these representations the supercharges augmented by the holoraumy generator have the potential to form a genuine algebra, and not just a super Lie algebra. The one dimensional representations for which this is true are characterized by a distinguishing feature... the engineering dimensions of all the bosons in the representation are identical
and the engineering dimensions of all the fermions in the representation are identical, but

distinct from that of the bosons. Such representations are called one dimensional “valise”
supermultiplets. The algebra of the supercharges closes on these representations.

Subsequently, it was demonstrated \cite{4,5} that such operators exist for 4D, \( \mathcal{N} = 1 \)

representations, as well as 4D, \( \mathcal{N} = 2 \) representations \cite{6}, i.e. on manifolds with more

than one dimension. However, these higher dimensional valise representations only exist

for on-shell (i.e. in the presence of equations of motion) theories. The condition of being

on-shell is necessary for the higher dimensional theories to satisfy the same conditions that

are required on the engineering dimensions of field variables in the one dimensional valise

representations.

The fact that valise representations exist in both off-shell one dimensional supersym-

metrical theories and on-shell higher dimensional supersymmetrical theories is the central

pillar for the concept of “SUSY holography \cite{7}”, i.e. the possibility that the kinematic

structure of higher dimensional SUSY theories can be holographically embedded \cite{4–8} into

one dimensional SUSY theories.

Most recently it has been noted \cite{9} that the “holoraumy” involves both electromagnetic
duality transformations and Hodge duality transformations in a number of “on-shell” su-

permultiplet representations of 4D, \( \mathcal{N} = 1 \) supersymmetry. From this observed behavior,
it was conjectured that more generally the commutator of two supercharges for higher di-

mensional and extended supersymmetrical representations was likely to possess the same

property. It is the purpose of this current work to provide calculational exploration of

this conjecture. The current work will also explore these concepts in the context of higher

dimensional supersymmetrical theories.

2 Examples of holoraumy in higher dimensions

2.1 Lagrangian and transformation laws in 10D, 6D, and 4D

The Lagrangian for the abelian vector supermultiplet takes a unified form in 10D, 6D, and

4D theories where explicitly one finds

\[
\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \lambda^a (\sigma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu \lambda^b ,
\]

(2.1)

with \( F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{[\mu} A_{\nu]} \) and the spinor \( \lambda^a \) is a real (i.e. Majorana) fermionic field. The trans-

formation laws in the 10D, 6D, and 4D theories are all of the exact same form,

\[
D_a A_\mu = (\sigma_\mu)_{ab} \lambda^b ,
\]

\[
D_a \lambda^b = i (\sigma^{\mu\nu})_a^b \partial_\mu A_\nu ,
\]

(2.2)

where the ranges of the vector indices (i.e. \( \mu, \nu, \) etc.) and the spinor indices (i.e. \( a, b, \) etc.)
depend on the spacetime dimension of the bosonic manifold according to:

\[
\mu, \nu, \ldots = 0, 1, 2, \ldots , 9 , \quad a, b, c, \ldots = 1, 2, 3, \ldots , 16 \text{ in 10D} ,
\]

(2.3)

\[
\mu, \nu, \ldots = 0, 1, 2, \ldots , 5 , \quad a, b, c, \ldots = 1, 2, 3, \ldots , 8 \text{ in 6D} ,
\]

(2.4)

\[
\mu, \nu, \ldots = 0, 1, 2, 3 , \quad a, b, c, \ldots = 1, 2, 3, 4 \text{ in 4D} .
\]

(2.5)
The explicit forms of the $\sigma$-matrices are given in appendix B for each respective manifold. These are a reordering and rearrangement of those used in [10]. We choose these new conventions as this leads to a simple dimensional reduction by taking the upper left block of the $\sigma$-matrices in this work.

2.2 10D algebra and holoraumy

The 10D SUSY algebra (expressed in terms of the $D$-operators) is

$$\{ D_a , D_b \} A_\mu = i 2 (\sigma^\nu)_{ab} \partial_\nu A_\mu - \partial_\mu \Lambda_{ab} ,$$

$$\{ D_a , D_b \} \lambda^c = i 2 (\sigma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu \lambda^c + i \Sigma_{ab}^{cd} (\sigma^\mu)_{de} \partial_\mu \lambda^e ,$$

with the gauge term (the right most term in (2.6)) for the boson and the term proportional to the equation of motion term (the rightmost term in (2.7)). These take the respective explicit forms

$$\Lambda_{ab} = i 2 (\sigma^\mu)_{ab} A_\mu ,$$

$$\Sigma_{ab}^{cd} = -\frac{7}{8} (\sigma^\mu)_{ab} (\sigma^\nu)_{cd} + \frac{1}{1,920} (\sigma^{[5]}_{ab} (\sigma^{[5]}_{cd})^{f}_{g}).$$

In calculating the algebra for the fermions, the following Fierz identity is useful:

$$(\sigma^{\mu \nu})_{[a} c (\sigma^\nu)_{b]} d = 2 (\sigma^\mu)_{ab} \delta^c_{d} - \frac{7}{8} (\sigma^\mu)_{ab} (\sigma^\nu)_{cd} (\sigma^\rho)_{fd} + \frac{1}{1,920} (\sigma^{[5]}_{ab} (\sigma^{[5]}_{cd})^{f}_{g}) (\sigma^\rho)_{fd}. \quad (2.10)$$

It can be seen from the expression in (2.10) that the final term is curiously similar in its algebraic structure to the auxiliary field that is required to embed the lowest order open-string correction into the supergeometry of a 10D space construction [11].

The holoraumy is

$$[ D_a , D_b ] A_\mu = i (\sigma_{\mu \nu})_{ab} F^{\nu \rho} = i 2 (\sigma^\nu)_{ab} \partial_\nu A_\rho ,$$

$$[ D_a , D_b ] \lambda^c = -i (\sigma^{\mu \nu})_{[a} c (\sigma^\nu)_{b]} d \partial_\rho \lambda^d .$$

It is readily apparent that the holoraumy calculation in (2.11) does not involve the dual tensor of the 10D Maxwell field strength, at least not with the smallest number of products of linearly independent $\sigma$-matrices as written.\footnote{One can of course define the dual field strength as $\tilde{F}_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \ldots \mu_8} \sim \epsilon_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \ldots \mu_8 [2]} F^{[2]}$ and write the bosonic holoraumy as proportional to $\sigma^{[7]} \tilde{F}_{\mu[7]}$, however, this reduces owing to identity $\sigma_{\mu \nu \alpha} = -\frac{1}{7!} \epsilon_{\mu \nu \alpha [7]} \sigma^{[7]}$.} Instead the Maxwell tensor itself appears. Thus the ubiquitous nature of the relation between holoraumy and electromagnetic duality noted in the work of [9] in the context of 4D, $\mathcal{N} = 1$ theories does not apply in the case of the 10D, $\mathcal{N} = 1$ super Maxwell theory.

2.3 Reduction to 6D

We reduce to 6D by setting to zero the ‘last’ four components of the 10D gauge field $A_\mu$ and ‘last’ eight components of the spinor field $\lambda^a$ according to

$$A_6 = A_7 = A_8 = A_9 = 0, \quad \lambda^a = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad a = 9, 10, \ldots 16 .$$

(2.13)
We consider the remaining field components to depend only on the 6D coordinates. The bosonic algebra reduces to that in eq. (2.6) with indices ranging over the 6D values in eq. (2.4). The bosonic holoraumy reduces to

$$[D_a, D_b]A_\mu = \frac{1}{3}i(\sigma^{[3]}_{ab})\tilde{F}_{\mu [3]},$$

(2.14)

with the four-form dual Maxwell tensor equal to

$$\tilde{F}_{\kappa \lambda \mu \nu} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\kappa \lambda \mu \nu [2]} F^{[2]},$$

(2.15)

and with $\epsilon_{\kappa \lambda \mu \nu \rho \sigma}$ the completely antisymmetric Levi-Civita tensor in 6D. In the above calculations we have made use of the following relationship, valid in 6D

$$\sigma_{\mu \nu \lambda} = \frac{1}{6}\epsilon_{\mu \nu \lambda [3]}\sigma^{[3]}.$$  

(2.16)

Thus, although the duality interpretation of the holoraumy was not present for the theory in ten dimensions, here we have found that it “reappears” for the six dimensional theory. This can obviously be seen as a function of the number of indices versus the dimension of the space-time: 6D is the critical dimension where the dual field strength would be able to appear in the holoraumy with linearly-independent $\sigma$-matrices, owing to the duality relationship in eq. (2.16), and the fact that the dual field strength has four indices in 6D.

### 2.4 Reduction to 4D

We continue and reduce from 4D to 6D by setting to zero

$$A_4 = A_5 = 0, \quad \lambda_5 = \lambda_6 = \lambda_7 = \lambda_8 = 0,$$

(2.17)

and imposing the additional restriction on all remaining field components to depend only on the 4D coordinates. The algebra reduces to that in eq. (2.6) with indices ranging over the 4D values in eq. (2.5). The bosonic holoraumy reduces to

$$[D_a, D_b]A_\mu = 2(\gamma^5 \gamma^\nu)_{ab}\tilde{F}_{\mu \nu},$$

(2.18)

with the dual two-form equal to

$$\tilde{F}_{\mu \nu} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\mu \nu \kappa \lambda}F^{\kappa \lambda}.$$  

(2.19)

In the above calculations we have used

$$(\sigma_{\mu \nu \lambda})_{ab} = i\epsilon_{\mu \nu \lambda \kappa}(\gamma^5 \sigma^{[3]}_{ab}).$$  

(2.20)

The results in (2.14) and (2.18) demonstrate an interesting pattern. Apparently the relationship between the holoraumy of the gauge field and the electromagnetic duality is present in the 6D and 4D theory, but this relationship “evaporates”, and is not valid (2.12) in the 10D theory.

The fact of the “evaporation” raises an interesting question. Ordinarily, and most often one regards 4D, $\mathcal{N} = 4$ theories as being the result of a dimensional reduction from a 10D theory. So are there no on-shell 4D, $\mathcal{N} = 4$ multiplets that have relationships between holoraumy and electromagnetic duality? The most obvious context in which to explore this question is within 4D, $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supergravity theories. However, clearly such an exploration is a substantial undertaking simply due to the complexity of such supermultiplets. There
is an intermediate theory which does not possess such a high degree of complication. There exists a “variant” form [12] of the 4D, \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) Maxwell supermultiplet where one of the spin-0 fields is replaced by a skew second rank tensor. In the following, we will study the issue of a possible relationship between the holoraumy and electromagnetic duality within this supermultiplet.

3 On shell holoraumy results

The basis upon which we undertake the investigation is provided by two separate 4D, \( \mathcal{N} = 2 \) supermultiplets. One consists of the 4D, \( \mathcal{N} = 2 \) vector supermultiplet consisting of component fields, \((A, B, F, G, A_{\mu}, d, \Psi^{a}_{\mu})\) and the second supermultiplet is the 4D, \( \mathcal{N} = 2 \) tensor supermultiplet consisting of component fields, \((\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}, \tilde{F}, \tilde{G}, \tilde{\varphi}, B_{\mu\nu}, \tilde{\Psi}^{a}_{\mu})\). The Latin indices \( i, j, \ldots \) here take on values of 1 and 2. There are four supercovariant derivative operators \( D^{a}_{\alpha} \) and \( \tilde{D}^{a}_{\alpha} \) and their realizations on the component fields were given in the work of [13]. We have included these, but they are relegated to appendix C. An advantage of this formulation is that the \( D^{a}_{\alpha} \) and \( \tilde{D}^{a}_{\alpha} \) operators are each individually off-shell, i.e. close without the use of equations of motion and central charges. This is not the case for the \( D^{a}_{\alpha, \beta} \) and \( \tilde{D}^{a}_{\alpha, \beta} \) operators which has the effect of removing all auxiliary fields from the transformation laws. on-shell algebra results are explained in appendix D.

The only totally on-shell fields from the first 4D, \( \mathcal{N} = 2 \) supermultiplet are \((A, B, A_{\mu}, \Psi^{a}_{\mu})\) and for the second supermultiplet is the 4D, \( \mathcal{N} = 2 \) supermultiplet consisting of component fields, \((\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}, \tilde{\varphi}, B_{\mu\nu}, \tilde{\Psi}^{a}_{\mu})\). Since our goal in this work is only to consider the purely on-shell holoraumy we include the partial off-shell starting point results in an appendix as this contains possible results that will be important for future work and explorations. We perform the standard reduction to the on-shell system, imposing the equations of motion which has the effect of removing all auxiliary fields from the transformation laws. on-shell algebra results are explained in appendix D.

In order to realize an \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) on-shell SUSY system, we will require two independent superspace derivative operators denoted by \( D^{a}_{\alpha} \) and \( \tilde{D}^{a}_{\alpha} \) where the “isospin” label on each take the values \( i = 1, \) and \( 2 \). In the remainder of this section and the next two, we show the on-shell holoraumy results. These are derived from the fully on-shell transformation laws arrived at by taking the transformation laws in appendix C and setting all auxiliary fields to zero \((F = G = d = \tilde{F} = \tilde{G} = 0)\). The fermionic holoraumies are shown upon enforcing the Dirac equation \((\gamma^\mu)a^\ast \partial_\mu \Psi^{a}_{\mu} = (\gamma^\mu)a^\ast \partial_\mu \tilde{\Psi}^{a}_{\mu} = 0\). The terms involving the Dirac equation can be found in the associated Mathematica code that is freely available online through GitHub at https://hepthools.github.io/Data/4DN4Holo/.

3.1 Vector multiplet D–D holoraumy

\[
[D^{a}_{\alpha}, D^{b}_{\beta}] A = -2\delta^{ij}(\gamma^{5}\gamma^{\mu})ab\partial_\mu B + \frac{1}{2}((\sigma^{2})^{ij}(\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu})ab)F_{\mu\nu}, \\
[D^{a}_{\alpha}, D^{b}_{\beta}] B = 2\delta^{ij}(\gamma^{5}\gamma^{\mu})ab\partial_\mu A + \frac{1}{2}((\sigma^{2})^{ij}(\gamma^{5}\gamma^{\mu})ab)F_{\mu\nu}, \\
[D^{a}_{\alpha}, D^{b}_{\beta}] A_{\mu} = (\sigma^{2})^{ij}[((\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{\nu})ab\partial^{\nu}A + i(\gamma^{5}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{\nu})ab\partial^{\mu}B] - \delta^{ij}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\sigma\tau}(\gamma^{5}\gamma^{\nu})abF_{\alpha\beta}, \\
[D^{a}_{\alpha}, D^{b}_{\beta}] \Psi^{k}_{\mu} = i2((\sigma^{2})^{ij}(\gamma^{5})ab\partial_\mu \Psi^{k}_{\nu} + i2((\sigma^{1})^{ij}(\gamma^{5})^{kl} + (\sigma^{3})^{ij}(\gamma^{3})^{kl})(\gamma^{5}\gamma^{\nu})_{ab}(\gamma^{5})_{\nu}^{k}\partial_\nu \Psi^{d}_{\mu}.
\] (3.1)
3.2 Vector multiplet $D$–$\bar{D}$ holonomy

\[
[D^i_a, \bar{D}^j_b] A = i 2 (\sigma^2)^{ij} (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu \bar{B} - \frac{2}{3} \delta^{ij} \epsilon^\mu_{\nu \alpha \beta} (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab} \bar{H}_{\nu \alpha \beta},
\]
\[
[D^i_a, \bar{D}^j_b] B = -2 (\sigma^1)^{ij} (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu \bar{A} + 2 (\sigma^3)^{ij} (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu \bar{\varphi},
\]
\[
[D^i_a, \bar{D}^j_b] A_\mu = 2 \delta^{ij} (\gamma^5)_{ab} \partial_\mu \bar{B} + i (\gamma_{[\mu} \gamma_{\lambda]}_{ab} \partial_\lambda) \{ (\sigma^3)^{ij} \bar{A} + (\sigma^1)^{ij} \bar{\varphi} \} + \frac{2}{3} (\sigma^2)^{ij} \epsilon^\nu_{\mu \alpha \beta} (\gamma^5)_{ab} \bar{H}_{\nu \alpha \beta},
\]
\[
[D^i_a, \bar{D}^j_b] \Psi^k_c = i 2 V^{ijkl} (\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu \bar{\psi}^l_d + 2 V^{ijkl} (\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu)_{ab} (\gamma^\nu)_{cd} \partial_\nu \bar{\psi}^l_d + i 2 V^{ijkl} (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab} (\gamma^5)^d \partial_\mu \bar{\psi}^l_d.
\]

(3.2)

where $V_1^{ijkl}$, $V_3^{ijkl}$, and $V_5^{ijkl}$ are defined in subsection E.5.

3.3 Vector multiplet $\bar{D}$–$D$ holonomy

\[
[\bar{D}^i_a, \bar{D}^j_b] A = -2 \delta^{ij} (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu B - \frac{1}{2} (\sigma^2)^{ij} (\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu)_{ab} F_{\mu \nu},
\]
\[
[\bar{D}^i_a, \bar{D}^j_b] B = 2 \delta^{ij} (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu A - i \frac{1}{2} (\sigma^2)^{ij} (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu)_{ab} F_{\mu \nu},
\]
\[
[\bar{D}^i_a, \bar{D}^j_b] A_\mu = -(\sigma^2)^{ij} [(\gamma_{[\mu} \gamma_{\nu]}_{ab} \partial_\nu A + i (\gamma^5 \gamma_{[\mu} \gamma_{\nu]}_{ab} \partial_\nu B) - \delta^{ij} \epsilon^\mu_{\nu \alpha \beta} (\gamma^5 \gamma^\nu)_{ab} F_{\alpha \beta}],
\]
\[
[\bar{D}^i_a, \bar{D}^j_b] \Psi^k_c = -i (\sigma^2)^{ij} (\sigma^2)^{kl} (\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu)_{ab} (\gamma^\nu)_{cd} \partial_\nu \Psi^l_d + 2 i \delta^{ij} \delta^{kl} (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab} (\gamma^5)^d \partial_\mu \Psi^l_d.
\]

(3.3)

3.4 Tensor multiplet $D$–$D$ holonomy

\[
[D^i_a, D^j_b] \tilde{A} = -2 (\sigma^3)^{ij} (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu \tilde{B} + 2 (\sigma^2)^{ij} (\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu \tilde{\varphi} + \frac{2}{3} (\sigma^1)^{ij} \epsilon^\nu_{\mu \alpha \beta} (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab} \tilde{H}_{\nu \alpha \beta},
\]
\[
[D^i_a, D^j_b] \tilde{B} = 2 (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab} [(\sigma^3)^{ij} \partial_\mu \tilde{A} + (\sigma^1)^{ij} \partial_\mu \tilde{\varphi}] - \frac{2}{3} (\sigma^2)^{ij} \epsilon^\nu_{\mu \alpha \beta} (\gamma^5 \gamma^\nu)_{ab} \tilde{H}_{\alpha \beta},
\]
\[
[D^i_a, D^j_b] \tilde{\varphi} = -2 (\sigma^1)^{ij} (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu \tilde{B} - 2 (\sigma^2)^{ij} (\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu \tilde{A} - \frac{2}{3} (\sigma^3)^{ij} \epsilon^\nu_{\mu \alpha \beta} (\gamma^5 \gamma^\nu)_{ab} \tilde{H}_{\alpha \beta},
\]
\[
[D^i_a, D^j_b] \tilde{B}_{\mu \nu} = (\sigma^2)^{ij} \epsilon^\mu_{\nu \delta \lambda} (\gamma^5)_{ab} \partial_\delta \tilde{B} + \epsilon^\mu_{\nu \delta \lambda} (\gamma^5 \gamma^\delta)_{ab} \{ - (\sigma^1)^{ij} \partial_\lambda \tilde{A} + (\sigma^3)^{ij} \partial_\lambda \tilde{\varphi} \}
\]
\[+ \frac{1}{3} \delta^{ij} \epsilon^\lambda_{\mu \alpha \beta} (\gamma^5 \gamma^\lambda)_{ab} \tilde{H}_{\alpha \beta},
\]
\[
[D^i_a, D^j_b] \tilde{\Psi}^k_c = -i (\sigma^2)^{ij} (\sigma^2)^{kl} (\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu)_{ab} (\gamma^\nu)_{cd} \partial_\nu \tilde{\psi}^l_d + i 2 \delta^{ij} \delta^{kl} (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab} (\gamma^5)^d \partial_\mu \tilde{\psi}^l_d.
\]

(3.4)
3.5 Tensor multiplet $D$–$\tilde{D}$ holoraumy

\[ [D_a^i, \tilde{D}_b^j] \tilde{A} = 2(\sigma^1)^{ij}(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu B + \frac{i}{2}(\sigma^3)^{ij}(\gamma^{[\mu} \gamma^{\nu]}_{ab}) \partial_{\mu} F_{\nu}, \]

\[ [D_a^i, \tilde{D}_b^j] \tilde{B} = -i2(\sigma^2)^{ij}(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu A, \]

\[ [D_a^i, \tilde{D}_b^j] \tilde{\varphi} = -2(\sigma^3)^{ij}(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu B + i\frac{1}{2}(\sigma^1)^{ij}(\gamma^{[\mu} \gamma^{\nu]}_{ab}) \partial_{\mu} F_{\nu}, \]

\[ [D_a^i, \tilde{D}_b^j] \tilde{B}_{\mu\nu} = \delta^{ij} \epsilon^{\rho\sigma} (\gamma^{5} \gamma_6)_{ab} \partial_\lambda A + \delta^{ij} (\gamma^{5} \gamma_\mu)_{ab} \partial_{\nu} B - C_{a\mu} (\sigma^2)^{ij} F_{\mu\nu} + i\frac{1}{2}(\sigma^2)^{ij} \epsilon^{\rho\sigma} (\gamma^5)_{ab} F_{\rho\sigma}, \]

\[ [D_a^i, \tilde{D}_b^j] \tilde{\psi}^k_c = i2\tilde{V}_1^{ijkl} (\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu \psi^l_c + 2i\tilde{V}_3^{ijkl} (\gamma^{[\mu} \gamma^{\nu]}_{ab}) (\gamma_\nu)_c d_\mu \psi^d_l + 2i\tilde{V}_5^{ijkl} (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab} (\gamma^5)_c d_\mu \psi^d. \] (3.5)

where $\tilde{V}_1^{ijkl}$, $\tilde{V}_3^{ijkl}$, and $\tilde{V}_5^{ijkl}$ are defined in subsection E.11.

3.6 Tensor multiplet $\tilde{D}$–$D$ holoraumy

\[ [\tilde{D}_a^i, D_b^j] \tilde{A} = 2(\sigma^3)^{ij}(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu \tilde{B} + 2(\sigma^2)^{ij}(\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu \tilde{\varphi} + \frac{2}{3}(\sigma^1)^{ij} \epsilon^{\rho\sigma\beta}(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab} \tilde{H}_{\rho\sigma\beta}, \]

\[ [\tilde{D}_a^i, D_b^j] \tilde{B} = 2(\sigma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu \tilde{B} - 2(\sigma^2)^{ij}(\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu \tilde{\varphi} + \frac{2}{3}(\sigma^1)^{ij} \epsilon^{\rho\sigma\beta}(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab} \tilde{H}_{\rho\sigma\beta}, \]

\[ [\tilde{D}_a^i, D_b^j] \tilde{\varphi} = 2(\sigma^1)^{ij} \epsilon^{\rho\sigma\beta}(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu \tilde{B} - 2(\sigma^2)^{ij}(\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu \tilde{A} - \frac{2}{3}(\sigma^3)^{ij} \epsilon^{\rho\sigma\beta}(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab} \tilde{H}_{\rho\sigma\beta}, \]

\[ [\tilde{D}_a^i, D_b^j] \tilde{B}_{\mu\nu} = -2(\sigma^2)^{ij}(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu \tilde{B} + \epsilon^{\rho\sigma\beta}(\gamma^5 \gamma_\mu)_{ab} \partial_\lambda [- (\sigma^1)^{ij} \tilde{A} + (\sigma^3)^{ij} \tilde{\varphi}] + \frac{1}{3} \delta^{ij} \epsilon^{\rho\sigma\beta}(\gamma^5 \gamma_\mu)_{ab} \tilde{H}_{\rho\sigma\beta}, \]

\[ [\tilde{D}_a^i, D_b^j] \tilde{\psi}^k_c = i2(\sigma^2)^{ij}(\sigma^2)^{kl} (\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu \tilde{\psi}^l_c - i2[(\sigma^1)^{ij}(\sigma^1)^{kl} + (\sigma^3)^{ij}(\sigma^3)^{kl}] (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab} (\gamma^5)_c d_\mu \tilde{\psi}^d. \] (3.6)

4 Exploring electromagnetic rotations on bosons in the on shell results

4.1 Vector holoraumy

Starting with vector transformations, we can write

\[ [D_a^i, D_b^j] A = -2\delta^{ij}(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu B + (\sigma^2)^{ij}(\gamma^{[\mu} \gamma^{\nu]}_{ab}) \partial_{\mu} A_\nu, \]

\[ [D_a^i, D_b^j] B = 2\delta^{ij}(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu A + i(\sigma^2)^{ij}(\gamma^{[\mu} \gamma^{\nu]}_{ab}) \partial_{\mu} A_\nu, \]

\[ [D_a^i, D_b^j] A_\mu = (\sigma^2)^{ij} [([\gamma_\mu, \gamma^\nu])_{ab} \partial_{\nu} A + i(\gamma^{[\gamma_\mu, \gamma']}_{ab} \partial_{\nu} B)] - 2\delta^{ij} \epsilon^{\rho\sigma\beta}(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_{\rho} A_\beta. \] (4.1)
all the bosons as follows:

\[
(E^{ij(VM)}_{ab})_J^I = \begin{pmatrix}
-2\delta^{ij}\epsilon^\mu_\nu \epsilon^\nu_\mu (\gamma^5 \gamma^\rho)_{ab} \partial_\lambda (\sigma^2)^{ij}([\gamma_\mu, \gamma^\lambda])_{ab} \partial_\lambda \\
(\sigma^2)^{ij}([\gamma^\lambda \gamma_\nu])_{ab} \partial_\lambda \\
i(\sigma^2)^{ij}(\gamma^5 \gamma^\rho)_{ab} \partial_\lambda \\
2\delta^{ij}(\gamma^5 \gamma^\lambda)_{ab} \partial_\lambda
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(4.2)

\[
\phi_J = \begin{pmatrix}
A_0 \\
A_1 \\
A_2 \\
A_3 \\
A
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(4.3)

\[
[D_a^i, D_b^j] \left( \begin{array}{c} A_\mu \\ A 
\end{array} \right) = (E^{ij(VM)}_{ab})_J^I \left( \begin{array}{c} A_\nu \\ A 
\end{array} \right).
\]

(4.4)

Using this same type of framework, we can translate the tilded laws into a similar form

\[
[D_a^i, D_b^j] A = -2\delta^{ij}(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu B - (\sigma^2)^{ij}(\gamma^\nu \gamma^\rho)_{ab} \partial_\mu A_\nu,
\]

\[
[D_a^i, D_b^j] B = 2\delta^{ij}(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu A - i(\sigma^2)^{ij}(\gamma^5 \gamma^\nu \gamma^\rho)_{ab} \partial_\mu A_\nu,
\]

\[
[D_a^i, D_b^j] A_\mu = -i(\sigma^2)^{ij}(\gamma_\mu \gamma_\nu)_{ab} \partial_\nu A + i(\gamma^5 \gamma_\mu \gamma_\nu)_{ab} \partial_\nu B - 2\delta^{ij} \epsilon^\alpha_\nu \epsilon^\nu_\alpha (\gamma^5 \gamma^\rho)_{ab} \partial_\alpha A_\beta.
\]

(4.5)

Exchanging some dummy indices and raising and lowering some space time indices, this leads to

\[
(\tilde{E}^{ij(VM)}_{ab})_J^I = \begin{pmatrix}
-2\delta^{ij}\epsilon^\mu_\nu \epsilon^\nu_\mu (\gamma^5 \gamma^\rho)_{ab} \partial_\lambda - (\sigma^2)^{ij}([\gamma_\mu, \gamma^\lambda])_{ab} \partial_\lambda - i(\sigma^2)^{ij}(\gamma^5 [\gamma_\mu, \gamma^\lambda])_{ab} \partial_\lambda \\
(\sigma^2)^{ij}([\gamma^\lambda \gamma^\nu])_{ab} \partial_\lambda \\
i(\sigma^2)^{ij}(\gamma^5 \gamma^\rho)_{ab} \partial_\lambda \\
2\delta^{ij}(\gamma^5 \gamma^\lambda)_{ab} \partial_\lambda
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

(4.6)

So we see that this is the same matrix as the untilded transformation law except with a sign change in all terms associated with the crossing between gauge terms and chiral fields.

For the vector multiplet D–T holoraumy, the vector multiplets are transformed into the tensor multiplets. We have

\[
[D_a^i, D_b^j] A_\mu = 2\delta^{ij}(\gamma^5)_{ab} \partial_\mu \tilde{B} + i(\gamma_\mu \gamma_\lambda)_{ab} \partial_\lambda \{\sigma^2\}^{ij}_\nu \tilde{A} + (\sigma^2)^{ij}_\nu \tilde{\varphi} + 2i(\sigma^2)^{ij}_\nu \epsilon^\mu_\alpha \epsilon^\nu_\beta (\gamma^5)_{ab} \partial_\mu \tilde{B}_{\alpha \beta},
\]

\[
[D_a^i, D_b^j] A = i(\sigma^2)^{ij}_\nu (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu \tilde{B} - 2\delta^{ij} \epsilon^\mu_\nu \epsilon^\nu_\alpha \epsilon^\alpha_\beta (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\beta \tilde{B}_{\alpha \beta},
\]

\[
[D_a^i, D_b^j] B = -2(\sigma^2)^{ij}_\nu (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu \tilde{A} + 2(\sigma^2)^{ij}_\nu (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu \tilde{\varphi}.
\]

(4.7)
This can be succinctly written as

$$\left[ D'_a, \tilde{D}'_b \right] \left( \begin{array}{c} A^\mu \\ \Phi \end{array} \right) = \left( A_{ab}^{ij(VM)} \right)^J_I \left( \begin{array}{c} \tilde{B}_\alpha \beta \\ \tilde{B} \end{array} \right) \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} \tilde{A} \\ \tilde{B} \end{array} \right)$$

(4.8)

$$(A_{ab}^{ij(VM)})^J_I = 
\begin{pmatrix}
(i2(\sigma^2)^{ij}\epsilon_\mu^\lambda \alpha(\gamma^5)_{ab}\partial_\lambda - 2\delta^{ij}(\gamma^5)_{ab}\partial_\lambda) & 0 & i(\sigma^1)^{ij}(\gamma^5\gamma^\lambda)_{ab}\partial_\lambda \\
0 & i2(\sigma^2)^{ij}(\gamma^5\gamma^\lambda)_{ab}\partial_\lambda & 0 \\
-2(\sigma^1)^{ij}(\gamma^5\gamma^\lambda)_{ab}\partial_\lambda & 0 & 2(\sigma^3)^{ij}(\gamma^5\gamma^\lambda)_{ab}\partial_\lambda
\end{pmatrix}$$

(4.9)

where the index $J := \alpha \beta$ for $J = 0, \cdots, 5$.

4.2 Tensor holoraumy

The steps for the three sectors of the bosonic holoraumy on the tensor supermultiplet follows the same steps as used for the vector supermultiplet and yields the results we report through the end of this section.

$$\left[ D'_a, D'_b \right] \tilde{A} = -2(\sigma^3)^{ij}(\gamma^5\gamma^\mu)_{ab}\partial_\mu \tilde{B} + 2(\sigma^2)^{ij}(\gamma^\mu)_{ab}\partial_\mu \tilde{B} + 2(\sigma^1)^{ij}\epsilon_\mu^\nu \gamma \gamma(\gamma^5\gamma^\mu)_{ab}\partial_\lambda \tilde{B}_{\alpha \beta},$$

$$\left[ D'_a, D'_b \tilde{B} = 2(\gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu)_{ab}\left((\sigma^3)^{ij}\partial_\mu \tilde{A} + (\sigma^1)^{ij}\partial_\mu \tilde{B}\right) - 2(\sigma^2)^{ij}\epsilon_\mu^\nu \gamma \gamma(\gamma^5\gamma^\mu)_{ab}\partial_\lambda \tilde{B}_{\alpha \beta},$$

$$\left[ D'_a, D'_b \tilde{B} = -2(\sigma^1)^{ij}(\gamma^5\gamma^\mu)_{ab}\partial_\mu \tilde{B} - 2(\sigma^2)^{ij}(\gamma^\mu)_{ab}\partial_\mu \tilde{A} - 2(\sigma^3)^{ij}\epsilon_\mu^\nu \gamma \gamma(\gamma^5\gamma^\mu)_{ab}\partial_\lambda \tilde{B}_{\alpha \beta},$$

$$\left[ D'_a, D'_b \tilde{B} \mu = (\sigma^2)^{ij}\epsilon_\mu^\nu \gamma \gamma(\gamma^5\gamma^\mu)_{ab}\partial_\lambda \tilde{B} + \epsilon_\mu^\nu \gamma \gamma(\gamma^5\gamma^\mu)_{ab}\partial_\lambda \tilde{B} \mu + (\sigma^3)^{ij}\partial_\mu \tilde{A} + (\sigma^3)^{ij}\partial_\lambda \tilde{B} \mu \right)$$

(4.10)

$$\left( B_{ab}^{ij(TM)})^J_I = 
\begin{pmatrix}
\delta^{ij}\epsilon_{\lambda \beta}(\mu(\gamma^5\gamma^\nu)_{ab}\partial_\lambda - (\sigma^1)^{ij}\epsilon_\mu^\nu \gamma \gamma(\gamma^5\gamma^\mu)_{ab}\partial_\lambda (\sigma^2)^{ij}\epsilon_\mu^\nu \gamma \gamma(\gamma^5\gamma^\nu)_{ab}\partial_\lambda (\sigma^3)^{ij}\epsilon_\mu^\nu \gamma \gamma(\gamma^5\gamma^\nu)_{ab}\partial_\lambda \\
2(\sigma^1)^{ij}\epsilon_\mu^\nu \gamma \gamma(\gamma^5\gamma^\nu)_{ab}\partial_\lambda 0 -2(\sigma^2)^{ij}(\gamma^5\gamma^\mu)_{ab}\partial_\lambda 0 \\
-2(\sigma^2)^{ij}\epsilon_\mu^\nu \gamma \gamma(\gamma^5\gamma^\mu)_{ab}\partial_\lambda 0 -2(\sigma^3)^{ij}(\gamma^5\gamma^\mu)_{ab}\partial_\lambda 0 \\
-2(\sigma^3)^{ij}\epsilon_\mu^\nu \gamma \gamma(\gamma^5\gamma^\mu)_{ab}\partial_\lambda -2(\sigma^3)^{ij}(\gamma^5\gamma^\mu)_{ab}\partial_\lambda -2(\sigma^3)^{ij}(\gamma^5\gamma^\mu)_{ab}\partial_\lambda \\
\end{pmatrix}$$

(4.11)

$$\left[ D'_a, D'_b \right] \left( \begin{array}{c} \tilde{B}_{\mu \nu} \\ \tilde{A} \\ \tilde{B} \end{array} \right) = \left( B_{ab}^{ij(TM)})^J_I \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} \tilde{B}_{\alpha \beta} \\ \tilde{A} \\ \tilde{B} \end{array} \right) = \left( B_{ab}^{ij(TM)})^J_I \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} \Phi \end{array} \right)$$

(4.12)

$$\Phi_J = \left( \begin{array}{c} \tilde{B}_{10} \\ \tilde{B}_{02} \\ \tilde{B}_{03} \\ \tilde{B}_{12} \\ \tilde{B}_{13} \\ \tilde{B}_{23} \\ \tilde{A} \\ \tilde{B} \end{array} \right).$$

(4.13)
Here, the index $I := \mu \nu$ and $J := \alpha \beta$ for $I, J = 0, \ldots, 5$. Then for the tilde transformations

$$
[D^i_a, \tilde{D}^j_b] \tilde{A} = 2(\sigma^1)^{ij}(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu \tilde{B} + 2(\sigma^2)^{ij}(\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\nu \tilde{\varphi} + 2(\sigma^1)^{ij} \epsilon^\nu_{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} (\gamma_5 \gamma^\alpha)_{ab} \partial_\beta \tilde{B}_{ab}.
$$

$$
[D^i_a, \tilde{D}^j_b] \tilde{B} = 2(\sigma^2)^{ij}(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu \tilde{B} + 2(\sigma^2)^{ij}(\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\nu \tilde{A} - 2(\sigma^3)^{ij} \epsilon^\nu_{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} (\gamma_5 \gamma^\alpha)_{ab} \partial_\beta \tilde{B}_{ab}.
$$

$$
[D^i_a, \tilde{D}^j_b] \tilde{\varphi} = 2(\sigma^1)^{ij}(\gamma_5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu \tilde{\varphi} + 2(\sigma^2)^{ij}(\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\nu \tilde{A} - 2(\sigma^3)^{ij} \epsilon^\nu_{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} (\gamma_5 \gamma^\alpha)_{ab} \partial_\beta \tilde{\varphi}.
$$

$$
(B^{ij(TM)})_I = \begin{pmatrix}
\delta^{ij} \epsilon^\lambda_{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} (\gamma^5 \gamma^\lambda)_{ab} \partial_\lambda A - (\sigma^1)^{ij} \epsilon^\mu_{\nu \rho \alpha \beta} (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\rho A - (\sigma^2)^{ij} \epsilon^\nu_{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} (\gamma^5 \gamma^\nu)_{ab} \partial_\beta A + 2(\sigma^3)^{ij} \epsilon^\lambda_{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} (\gamma^5 \gamma^\lambda)_{ab} \partial_\alpha A + 2(\sigma^2)^{ij} (\gamma^5 \nu)_{ab} \partial_\nu A \\
2(\sigma^1)^{ij} \epsilon^\rho_{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} (\gamma^5 \gamma^\rho)_{ab} \partial_\rho A \gamma^5 \gamma^\lambda)_{ab} \partial_\lambda A + 2(\sigma^3)^{ij} \epsilon^\nu_{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} (\gamma^5 \gamma^\nu)_{ab} \partial_\beta A + 2(\sigma^1)^{ij} \gamma^5 \gamma^\alpha)_{ab} \partial_\alpha A + 2(\sigma^2)^{ij} (\gamma^5 \nu)_{ab} \partial_\nu A
\end{pmatrix}
$$

$$
\left(\hat{A}^{ij(TM)}\right)_I = \begin{pmatrix}
\hat{B}^\mu_{ab} \\
\hat{A} \\
\hat{B} \\
\hat{\varphi}
\end{pmatrix} = (A^{ij(TM)})_I \begin{pmatrix}
A_\alpha \\
A \\
B \\
\varphi
\end{pmatrix}
$$

where here $I := \mu \nu$ for $I = 0, \ldots, 5$ and $J := \alpha$ for $J = 0, 1, 2, 3$.

### 5 Exploring electromagnetic rotations on fermions in the on-shell results

The results in the last section refer to the evaluation of the holoraumy calculations on the bosonic fields on-shell. There are equivalent ways to reach results on fermions. One way to
obtain these is by application of the $D_a^i$ and $\bar{D}_a^i$ operators to both sides of the equations in the previous section. Alternately, one can directly obtain them after some algebra in the off-shell formulation so we arrive at the results that follow. Below are the on-shell fermionic holoraumies upon enforcing the Dirac equation $(\gamma^\mu)_a^c \partial_\mu \Psi^k_c = (\gamma^\mu)_a^c \partial_\mu \bar{\Psi}^k_c = 0$. The terms involving the Dirac equation can be found in the associated Mathematica code that is freely available online through GitHub at https://hepthools.github.io/Data/4D4Holo/.

### 5.1 Vector multiplet D–D fermionic on-shell holoraumy

$$[D_a^i, D_b^j] \Psi^k_c = i2 \left[ V_1^{ijkl} (\gamma^\mu)^{ab} + V_2^{ijkl} (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)^{ab} (\gamma^5)^d_c \right] \partial_\mu \Psi_d^l. \quad (5.1)$$

### 5.2 Vector multiplet D–D on-shell fermionic holoraumy

$$[D_a^i, D_b^j] \Psi^k_c = i2 \left[ V_1^{ijkl} (\gamma^\mu)^{ab} \partial_\mu \bar{\Psi}^l_d + i2 \left[ V_2^{ijkl} (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)^{ab} (\gamma^5)^d_c \right] \partial_\mu \Psi_d^l \right]. \quad (5.2)$$

### 5.3 Vector multiplet $\tilde{D}$–$\tilde{D}$ on-shell fermionic holoraumy

$$[\tilde{D}_a^i, \tilde{D}_b^j] \Psi^k_c = i2 \left[ V_1^{ijkl} (\gamma^\mu)^{ab} (\gamma^5)^d_c \right] \partial_\mu \Psi_d^l. \quad (5.3)$$

### 5.4 Tensor multiplet D–D on-shell fermionic holoraumy

$$[D_a^i, D_b^j] \tilde{\Psi}^k_c = i2 \left[ V_1^{ijkl} (\gamma^\mu)^{ab} (\gamma^5)^d_c \partial_\mu \tilde{\Psi}_d^l + i2 \left[ V_2^{ijkl} (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)^{ab} (\gamma^5)^d_c \right] \partial_\mu \tilde{\Psi}_d^l \right]. \quad (5.4)$$

### 5.5 Tensor multiplet D–D on-shell fermionic holoraumy

$$[D_a^i, D_b^j] \tilde{\Psi}^k_c = i2 \left[ V_1^{ijkl} (\gamma^\mu)^{ab} \partial_\mu \tilde{\Psi}_d^l + i2 \left[ V_2^{ijkl} (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)^{ab} (\gamma^5)^d_c \right] \partial_\mu \tilde{\Psi}_d^l \right]. \quad (5.5)$$

### 5.6 Tensor multiplet $\tilde{D}$–$\tilde{D}$ on-shell fermionic holoraumy

$$[\tilde{D}_a^i, \tilde{D}_b^j] \tilde{\Psi}^k_c = i2 \left( V_1^{ijkl} (\gamma^\mu)^{ab} \delta^d_c d + V_2^{ijkl} (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)^{ab} (\gamma^5)^d_c \right) \partial_\mu \tilde{\Psi}_d^l. \quad (5.6)$$

The explicit data about the $\mathcal{V}$-type and $\mathcal{V}$-type coefficient tensors is found by carefully referring respectively to each type of holoraumy (i.e. D–D, D–$\tilde{D}$, or $\tilde{D}$–$\tilde{D}$) acting on the field variable as given in the tables of E.

### 6 Holoraumy points to an infinite-dimensional algebra

#### 6.1 A 2D, (4, 0) superspace truncation

Having found evidence that the commutator of the supercharge operator when acting on valise supermultiplets (either in one dimension or in higher dimensions), indicates an additional algebraic structure, it is natural to study this via examination of the commutator algebra of the holoraumy operator acting on fermions. As can be seen from the systems
analyzed previously such calculations tend to become rather involved. Accordingly, we will follow a path that avoids these by using an appeal to SUSY holography.

It has long been known \(^2\) that theories which realize one degree of extendedness or spatial dimension can be represented by superfields that manifestly realize a lower degree or extendedness or dimensions. In the following, we will use the work of [16] to gain insight into the structures that follow from the equations shown in (4.1)–(4.18).

The work in [16] is focused upon superfields in 2D, \((4, 0)\) superspace. The work provides a complete analysis of all such superfields with the property that a set of propagating bosons reside “lower” in a \(\theta\)-expansion than a set of propagating fermions. This ensures that a dimensional reduction of the results will have to “land” on one of the supermultiplets considered in this section. Also in the following, we will use the notational conventions seen in [16].

The analysis in [16] found there are four and only four distinct supermultiplets we need to consider. In this work they are given the names SM-I, SM-II, SM-III and SM-IV so that we can introduce a “representation label” \(\mathcal{R}\) that takes on these four values. For each value of the “representation label” there are four bosons and four fermions. In order to use as compact a notation as possible, we denote these fields by \(\Phi_{i}^{(\mathcal{R})}\) (bosons with \(i = 1, \ldots, 4\)) and \(\Psi_{\hat{k}}^{(\mathcal{R})}\) (fermions with \(\hat{k} = 1, \ldots, 4\)). However, after obtaining the results in the 2D, \((4, 0)\) superspace, a reduction to a 1D superspace streamlines the results. This amounts to “dropping” all spin-helicity indices on operators (i.e. \(D_{+1} \rightarrow D_{1}, \partial_{4} \rightarrow \partial_{0}\)) and fields \(\Psi_{\hat{k}}^{(\mathcal{R})} \rightarrow \Psi_{\hat{k}}^{(\mathcal{R})}\).

Before we continue, it may be convenient here to discuss the significance of the SM-I, SM-II, SM-III and SM-IV supermultiplets. There are two way to demonstrate this and the presentation to follow with discuss both. One has its origin in the initial discovery of twisted superpotentials [17], “twisted chiral supermultiplets” [18]. The other perspective is a mathematical one of more recent vintage [19, 20].

The works of [17, 18], provided the first in the physics literature of examples in extended SUSY theories, there can exist supermultiplets with identical spectra of component fields, but which nevertheless are inequivalent. The inequivalence of such supermultiplets is manifested in two ways, First the SUSY transformation laws are inequivalent. As shown in the works of [17, 18], this can led to an unexpected result. Some sets of dynamical equations that are consistent with supersymmetry require the simultaneous presence of inequivalent supermultiplets.

A mathematical perspective on this was recently enunciated in the works of [19, 20]. These works inaugurated the use of a mathematical concept (sometimes called the “permutahedron”) to show that the inequivalences of such SUSY representations can easily be detected mapping the transformation laws of the component fields of a SUSY representation onto elements of the permutation group. When this is done, the permutahedron, provides a well-defined metric on the space of one dimensional supermultiplets which easily allows for the identification of the inequivalence.

In appendix G of this work, the SUSY transformation laws of the SM-I, SM-II, SM-III and SM-IV supermultiplets are explicitly given. These are specified by giving four matrices

\(^2\)The reader is directed to the work in [15] to see a more recent demonstration of such and approach.
Table 1. Supermultiplet Transformation Law/Permutation Elements.

| Supermultiplet | $|L_1|$ | $|L_2|$ | $|L_3|$ | $|L_4|$ |
|----------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| SM-I           | (243) | (123) | (134) | (142) |
| SM-II          | (123) | (23)  | (14)  | (1342) |
| SM-III         | (123) | (14)  | (23)  | (1342) |
| SM-IV          | (23)  | (1342)| (1243)| (14)  |

For each supermultiplet. Mapping these onto elements of the permutation group is done by simply taking the absolute values of the entries in the matrices. When this is done, the following relationships are obtained.

In writing these results, we have utilized the cycle notation for the elements of the permutation group of order four as in the works of [19, 20]. The matrix sets of $\{|L_1|, |L_2|, |L_3|, |L_4|\}$ given here apply to each supermultiplet as indicated. For the purpose of the physics vantage point the sets should be considered as unordered sets. With this restriction only the SM-I and SM-II paring will lead to the same type of dynamical properties as discovered for the chiral vs. twisted chiral pair noted in the works published in 1984.

For each representation, the supercharges ($D_I$ with $I = 1, \ldots, 4$) are realized by the transformations

$$D_I \Phi_i^{(R)} = i \left( L_i^{(R)} \right)_{ik} \Psi_k^{(R)} \quad \text{and} \quad D_I \Psi_k^{(R)} = \left( R_i^{(R)} \right)_{ki} \partial_0 \Phi_i^{(R)},$$

(6.1)

where $L_i^{(R)}$ and $R_i^{(R)}$ are matrices whose explicit values depend on the representation under consideration. These values are given in appendix G. These matrices also satisfy the equations.

$$R_i^{(R)} = (L_i^{(R)})^{-1} = (L_i^{(R)})^T.$$

(6.2)

It is a direct calculation to show

$$[D_I, D_J] \Psi_k^{(R)} = 2 \left[ \tilde{V}_{11}^{(R)} \right]_{ij} \partial_0 \Psi_k^{(R)},$$

(6.3)

where

$$\left[ \tilde{V}_{11}^{(R)} \right]_{ij} = -i \frac{1}{2} \left[ (R_I^{(R)})_{ij} (L_J^{(R)})_{jk} - (R_J^{(R)})_{ij} (L_I^{(R)})_{jk} \right].$$

(6.4)

It must be emphasized that the result shown in (6.4) is only valid for valise supermultiplets, and is not valid for general supermultiplets.

Use of the explicit forms of the “$V$-matrices” from the final appendix shows that

$$\left[ \tilde{V}_{11}^{(R)}, \tilde{V}_{KL}^{(R)} \right] = -i 2 \left[ \delta_{JK} \tilde{V}_{IL}^{(R)} - \delta_{IK} \tilde{V}_{JL}^{(R)} + \delta_{IL} \tilde{V}_{JK}^{(R)} - \delta_{JL} \tilde{V}_{IK}^{(R)} \right],$$

(6.5)

a result that is uniformly satisfied on all the representations. In fact, it was proven in [21] that all $\tilde{V}_{11}$ satisfy eq. (6.5), so long as the associated $L_i^{(R)}$ and $R_i^{(R)}$ satisfy the $\mathcal{GR}(d, \mathcal{N})$
“garden algebra”. The factor of two in eq. (6.5) along with the fact that \( \tilde{V}_{IJ}^{(R)} \) squares to the identity demonstrates that the holoraumy operator \( \tilde{V}_{IJ}^{(R)} \) is a representation of \( \mathbb{S} \text{pin}(N) \).

To demonstrate the 4D, \( N = 4 \) VT multiplets relationship to the SM-i multiplets, we dimensionally reduce the transformation laws, choosing temporal gauge \( A_0 = \tilde{B}_{12} = \tilde{B}_{23} = \tilde{B}_{31} = 0 \) and considering all other fields to depend only on time. We then define the \( 16 \times 16 \) \( L_i^{(VT)} \) and \( R_i^{(VT)} \) matrices through eq. (6.1) with \( I = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, 16, \ i, j, \cdots = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, 16, \) and \( k, \tilde{i}, \cdots = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, 16 \) and the identifications

\[
D_I \equiv \left( D^1_a, D^1_b, -\tilde{D}^1_c, -\tilde{D}^1_d \right), \quad i\tilde{\Psi}^{(VT)}_k = \left( \tilde{\Psi}^1_a, \tilde{\Psi}^2_b, \tilde{\Psi}^3_c, \tilde{\Psi}^4_d \right)
\]

and

\[
\Phi_i^{(VT)} = \left( \tilde{A}, \tilde{B}, \int \tilde{F} \; dt, \int \tilde{G} \; dt, \varphi, \tilde{B}_{12}, \tilde{B}_{23}, \tilde{B}_{31}, A, B, \int F \; dt, \int G \; dt, A_1, A_2, A_3, \int d \; dt \right).
\]

The explicit form of the resulting \( L_i^{(VT)} \) matrices are given in appendix H. The \( R_i^{(VT)} \) matrices can be found through the orthogonality relationship (6.2) for all \( I = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, 16 \). The above definitions of \( \Psi^i_k^{(VT)} \) and \( \Phi_i^{(VT)} \) are chosen to line up with those for 4D, \( N = 4 \) vector-chiral (\( VC \)) multiplet of [22, 23] for the fields in common. The definition of \( D_I \) in terms of the \( VT \) supercharges is chosen to identify with the following definition in terms of the \( VC \) supercharges

\[
D_I = \left( D^a, D^1_b, D^1_c, D^1_d \right) \quad \text{for } VC \text{ supercharges}
\]

to align the transformation laws of these two multiplets for the fields in common. The explicit form of the \( L_i^{(VC)} \) matrices defined in [23] can also be found in appendix H. The \( R_i^{(VC)} \) matrices can be found through the orthogonality relationship (6.2) for all \( I = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, 16 \).

Since the two multiplets \( VT \) and \( VC \) describe the same on-shell physics in 4D though clearly have different auxiliary fields, we compare their 1D reductions through the dot-product like gadget to determine if this distinction is made there as well [1]

\[
\mathcal{G}(R, R') = \frac{1}{d_{\text{min}}(N)N(N-1)} \sum_{IJ} \tilde{V}^{(R)}_{IJ} \tilde{V}^{(R')}_{IJ}
\]

where \( d_{\text{min}}(16) = 128 \) and \( d_{\text{min}}(4) = 4 \) and the \( \tilde{V}^{(VT)}_{IJ} \) and \( \tilde{V}^{(VC)}_{IJ} \) are calculated using eq. (6.4) for \( I, J = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, 16 \). Their gadgets are

\[
\mathcal{G}(VT, VC) = \frac{11}{240}, \quad \mathcal{G}(VT, VT) = \frac{43}{480}, \quad \mathcal{G}(VC, VC) = \frac{1}{160}.
\]

Thinking of the gadget as a kind of dot product, we define an “angle” \( \theta(R, R') \) between two representations as [1]

\[
\theta(R, R') = \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{\mathcal{G}(R, R')}{\sqrt{\mathcal{G}(R, R)\mathcal{G}(R', R')}} \right).
\]
Any angle aside from zero indicates two representations are distinct in the sense of the gadget. We find for \( VT \) and \( VC \)

\[
\theta(VT, VC) = 61.04^\circ \tag{6.12}
\]
to four significant figures. This means the gadget can distinguish these two multiplets at the one-dimensional reduction, or adinkra, level.

The complete set of \( \hat{V}^{(VT)}_{1J} \) do not furnish a representation of \( \mathcal{S}pin(16) \), however they do furnish a representation of \( \mathcal{S}pin(8) \), i.e. satisfy eq. (6.5), for the subset \( I, J, K, L = 1, 2, \ldots 8 \) of \( 16 \times 16 \) matrices as well as for the subset \( I, J, K, L = 9, 10, \ldots 16 \) of \( 16 \times 16 \) matrices. The two \( \mathcal{S}pin(8) \) subalgebras satisfying (6.5) for \( N = 8 \) can be understood as arising from the two off-shell 4D, \( N = 2 \) submultiplets. Thus it is not surprising that the \( \hat{V}^{(VC)}_{1J} \) do not enjoy such a \( \mathcal{S}pin(8) \) substructure of \( 16 \times 16 \) matrices as it is the dimensionless reduction of a single 4D, \( N = 2 \) off-shell multiplet and a 4D, \( N = 2 \) on-shell multiplet as demonstrated explicitly in [22]. As neither the full 4D, \( N = 4 \) vector-tensor multiplet nor the full 4D, \( N = 4 \) vector-chiral multiplet close off-shell, it is expected [20] that the non-closure terms for the complete sets \( \hat{V}^{(VT)}_{1J} \) and \( \hat{V}^{(VC)}_{1J} \) each take the form

\[
\left[ \hat{V}^{(R)}_{1J}, \hat{V}^{(R)}_{KL} \right] = -i2 \left[ \delta_{JK} \hat{V}^{(R)}_{1L} - \delta_{IK} \hat{V}^{(R)}_{1J} + \delta_{IL} \hat{V}^{(R)}_{1K} - \delta_{JL} \hat{V}^{(R)}_{1K} \right] + \mathcal{N}_{1JKMN} \hat{V}^{(R)}_{MN} \tag{6.13}
\]

for some non-closure coefficients \( \mathcal{N}_{1JKMN} \). Further analysis of the substructures within \( \hat{V}^{(VT)}_{1J} \) and \( \hat{V}^{(VC)}_{1J} \) can be found in the Mathematica code on GitHub at https://hepthools.github.io/Data/4DN4Holo/.

We conclude this section by focusing on representations that satisfy eq. (6.4), such as the four SM-i multiplets. We will uncover associated 1D infinite dimensional algebras with holoraumy matrices as essential building blocks. As a notational device, we can make a definition \( \Delta^{[i]}_{[j]} \equiv \frac{1}{2} [D_{i}, D_{j}] (\partial_{0})^{p-1} \). In terms of this notation, the equation in (6.3) can be cast into the form

\[
\Delta^{[i]}_{[j]} \Psi^{(R)}_{k} = \left[ \hat{V}^{(R)}_{1j} \right]_{\ell \ell} \partial_{0} \Psi^{(R)}_{\ell k} . \tag{6.14}
\]

Applying the operator \( \partial_{0}^{-1} \) to both sides of this yields

\[
\Delta^{[i]}_{[j]} \Psi^{(R)}_{k} = \left[ \hat{V}^{(R)}_{1j} \right]_{\ell \ell} \Psi^{(R)}_{\ell k} . \tag{6.15}
\]

The equation in (6.14) additionally implies

\[
\Delta^{[i]}_{[j]} \Delta^{[i]}_{[k]} \Psi^{(R)}_{k} = \left[ \hat{V}^{(R)}_{1j} \right]_{\ell \ell} \partial_{0} \left( \Delta^{[i]}_{[j]} \Psi^{(R)}_{k} \right) , \tag{6.16}
\]

and

\[
\Delta^{[i]}_{[j]} \Delta^{[i]}_{[kl]} \Delta^{[i]}_{[MN]} \Psi^{(R)}_{k} = \left[ \hat{V}^{(R)}_{1j} \right]_{\ell \ell} \partial_{0} \partial_{0} \partial_{0} \left( \Delta^{[i]}_{[j]} \right) \Psi^{(R)}_{k} , \tag{6.17}
\]

so that furthermore the result in (6.16) implies

\[
\left[ \Delta^{[i]}_{[j]} , \Delta^{[i]}_{[kl]} \right] \Psi^{(R)}_{k} = - \left( \left[ \hat{V}^{(R)}_{1j} , \hat{V}^{(R)}_{1k} \right] \right)_{\ell \ell} \partial_{0} \partial_{0} \Psi^{(R)}_{\ell k} , \tag{6.18}
\]
and next by use of (6.5) we obtain

\[
\left[ \Delta^{[1]}_{13}, \Delta^{[1]}_{kl} \right] \Psi^{(R)}_k = 2i \left( \delta_{JK} \tilde{V}^{(R)}_{ij} - \delta_{IK} \tilde{V}^{(R)}_{jL} \right)_{kh} \left( \partial_k \partial_0 \Psi^{(R)}_h \right) + 2i \left( \delta_{IL} \tilde{V}^{(R)}_{jk} - \delta_{jL} \tilde{V}^{(R)}_{IK} \right)_{kh} \left( \partial_k \partial_0 \Psi^{(R)}_h \right).
\]

(6.19)

Using (6.14), this can be rewritten in the form

\[
\left[ \Delta^{[1]}_{13}, \Delta^{[1]}_{kl} \right] \Psi^{(R)}_k = i 2 \left( \delta_{JK} \left( \Delta^{[2]}_{il} \Psi^{(R)}_k \right) - \delta_{IK} \left( \Delta^{[2]}_{jl} \Psi^{(R)}_k \right) \right) + i 2 \left( \delta_{IL} \left( \Delta^{[2]}_{jk} \Psi^{(R)}_k \right) - \delta_{jL} \left( \Delta^{[2]}_{IK} \Psi^{(R)}_k \right) \right).
\]

(6.20)

and to this equation, we can apply the differential operator \( \partial_0^{S+T-2} \) where \( S \geq 1 \) and \( T \geq 1 \). This yields

\[
\left[ \Delta^{[S]}_{13}, \Delta^{[T]}_{kl} \right] \Psi^{(R)}_k = i 2 \left( \delta_{JK} \left( \Delta^{[S+T]}_{il} \Psi^{(R)}_k \right) - \delta_{IK} \left( \Delta^{[S+T]}_{jl} \Psi^{(R)}_k \right) \right) + i 2 \left( \delta_{IL} \left( \Delta^{[S+T]}_{jk} \Psi^{(R)}_k \right) - \delta_{jL} \left( \Delta^{[S+T]}_{IK} \Psi^{(R)}_k \right) \right).
\]

(6.21)

This makes it apparent there exists a definition of a closure property of the collection of operators \( \Delta^{[S]}_{13} \) acting on the valise supermultiplet as in this equation.

Next we apply the operator \( \partial_0^{S+T+U-3} \) where \( S \geq 1 \), \( U \geq 1 \), and \( T \geq 1 \) to the equation in (6.17) to derive

\[
\Delta^{[S]}_{13} \Delta^{[T]}_{kl} \Delta^{[U]}_{MN} \Psi^{(R)}_k = \left[ \tilde{V}^{(R)}_{MN} \right]_{hj} \left[ \tilde{V}^{(R)}_{kl} \right]_{ij} \left[ \tilde{V}^{(R)}_{ij} \right] \left( \partial_0^{S+T+U} \Psi^{(R)}_j \right),
\]

(6.22)

and due to the form of this equation, it immediately follows that

\[
\left( \left[ \Delta^{[S]}_{13}, \left[ \Delta^{[T]}_{kl}, \Delta^{[U]}_{MN} \right] \right] + \left[ \Delta^{[T]}_{kl}, \left[ \Delta^{[U]}_{MN}, \Delta^{[S]}_{13} \right] \right] + \left[ \Delta^{[U]}_{MN}, \left[ \Delta^{[S]}_{13}, \Delta^{[T]}_{kl} \right] \right] \right) \Psi^{(R)}_k = 0.
\]

(6.23)

The results in (6.14)–(6.23) indicate the set of operators \( \Delta^{[R]}_{13} \) (with \( R \geq 0 \), form an infinite dimensional algebra when acting on a valise supermultiplet representation. Thus the holoraumy operator \( \tilde{V}^{(R)}_{ij} \) being derived from one of the higher dimensional SUSY representations \( R = \text{SM-I, SM-II, SM-III, or SM-IV} \) provides the linkage from higher dimensional SUSY to an infinite-dimensional extension of \( \mathfrak{Spin}(N) \).

While in past works we have used the fermionic holoraumies in explanations of their algebraic significance to identify different 4D supermultiplets \([1, 3–6, 16]\), the significance of the bosonic holoraumies remains unclear. This is a question currently under study.

7 Conclusion

In this work, we have explored (to a greater extent than previously) the range of validity of the interconnection between Hodge duality, noted in the work of [9], and the concept of holoraumy. We find that up to six dimensions such a relation holds in supersymmetrical Maxwell theories. However, beyond this, in the case of Maxwell theory, the interconnection
vanishes. This would suggest that in four dimensional theories, it could appear that the connection cannot hold beyond 4D theories with \( \mathcal{N} = 2 \) supersymmetry. However, by explicit calculations within the context of the 4D, \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) supersymmetry such connections are present for the 4D, \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) vector-tensor supermultiplet. Finally, by reduction to 1D SUSY QM theories, evidence was given that the holoraumy operator is both a representation of \( \mathcal{S}\text{pin}(N) \) and a member of a set of an infinite number of generators that are closed and satisfy a Jacobi identity. Thus holoraumy appears to be a part of an infinite-dimensional extension of \( \mathcal{S}\text{pin}(N) \).

Now let us discuss the important distinctions between the standard formulation of the abelian (VC) multiplet versus the (VT) multiplet. For this comparison, we look at the absolute values of the \( L_1^{(VC)} \) and \( L_1^{(VT)} \) matrices listed in appendix H.

\[
\begin{align*}
|L_1^{(VC)}| &= \gamma_1^{(1)} \otimes |L_1^{(SM-I)}| + \gamma_1^{(2)} \otimes |L_1^{(SM-I)}| + \gamma_2^{(3)} \otimes |L_1^{(SM-I)}| + \gamma_1^{(4)} \otimes |L_1^{(SM-II)}| \\
|L_{1+4}^{(VC)}| &= \gamma_1^{(1)} \otimes |L_1^{(SM-I)}| + \gamma_1^{(2)} \otimes |L_1^{(SM-I)}| + \gamma_2^{(3)} \otimes |L_1^{(SM-I)}| + \gamma_1^{(4)} \otimes |L_1^{(SM-II)}| \\
|L_{1+8}^{(VC)}| &= \gamma_1^{(1)} \otimes |L_1^{(SM-I)}| + \gamma_1^{(2)} \otimes |L_1^{(SM-I)}| + \gamma_2^{(3)} \otimes |L_1^{(SM-I)}| + \gamma_1^{(4)} \otimes |L_1^{(SM-II)}| \\
|L_{1+12}^{(VC)}| &= \gamma_1^{(1)} \otimes |L_1^{(SM-I)}| + \gamma_1^{(2)} \otimes |L_1^{(SM-I)}| + \gamma_2^{(3)} \otimes |L_1^{(SM-I)}| + \gamma_1^{(4)} \otimes |L_1^{(SM-II)}| \\

|L_1^{(VT)}| &= \gamma_1^{(1)} \otimes |L_1^{(SM-I)}| + \gamma_1^{(2)} \otimes |L_1^{(TM)}| + \gamma_2^{(3)} \otimes |L_1^{(SM-I)}| + \gamma_1^{(4)} \otimes |L_1^{(SM-II)}| \\
|L_{1+4}^{(VT)}| &= \gamma_1^{(1)} \otimes |L_1^{(SM-I)}| + \gamma_1^{(2)} \otimes |L_1^{(TM)}| + \gamma_2^{(3)} \otimes |L_1^{(SM-I)}| + \gamma_1^{(4)} \otimes |L_1^{(SM-II)}| \\
|L_{1+8}^{(VT)}| &= \gamma_1^{(1)} \otimes |L_1^{(SM-I)}| + \gamma_1^{(2)} \otimes |L_1^{(TM)}| + \gamma_2^{(3)} \otimes |L_1^{(SM-I)}| + \gamma_1^{(4)} \otimes |L_1^{(SM-II)}| \\
|L_{1+12}^{(VT)}| &= \gamma_1^{(1)} \otimes |L_1^{(SM-I)}| + \gamma_1^{(2)} \otimes |L_1^{(TM)}| + \gamma_2^{(3)} \otimes |L_1^{(SM-I)}| + \gamma_1^{(4)} \otimes |L_1^{(SM-II)}|. 
\end{align*}
\]

Considering the absolute values allows us to map these matrices into elements associated with the permutahedron \([19, 20]\). At this permutahedron level, it is clearly seen that the difference between the two supermultiplets corresponds to switching the TM to \( SM-I \) (called \( TS \) and \( CS \) in \([19, 20]\), respectively).

\[\text{\textit{Aim at high things but not presumptuously.}}\]
\[\text{\textit{Endeavor to succeed-expect not to succeed.}}\]

\[\text{— Michael Faraday}\]
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\[\text{— 17 —}\]
A Useful gamma matrix identities

A.1 Definitions and conventions

Note that here and throughout, we use the “unweighted brackets” to indicate symmetrization or antisymmetrization of two indices, regardless of whether they are spinor or spacetime indices. We use the following representation for the gamma matrices in 4D:

\[(\gamma^0)_{\alpha\beta} = i\sigma^3 \otimes \sigma^2, \quad (\gamma^1)_{\alpha\beta} = I_2 \otimes \sigma^1, \quad (\gamma^2)_{\alpha\beta} = \sigma^2 \otimes \sigma^2, \quad (\gamma^3)_{\alpha\beta} = I_2 \otimes \sigma^3, \quad (\gamma^5)_{\alpha\beta} = i\sigma^3 \otimes I_2, \quad (A.1)\]

where \(I_2\) is the 2 \times 2 identity matrix, and \(\sigma^1, \sigma^2, \sigma^3\) are the Pauli matrices. Also in 4D we have the \(\gamma^5\) matrix:

\[(\gamma^5)_{\alpha\beta} = i\gamma^0 \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3 = -\sigma^2 \otimes I_2, \quad (A.2)\]

The totally antisymmetric Levi-Civita tensor \(\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\) is defined by \(\epsilon^{0123} = -1\). The spacetime indices of the gamma matrices and the Levi-Civita tensor are lowered and raised using the mostly plus Minkowski metric \(\eta_{\mu\nu}\) and its inverse \(\eta^{\mu\nu}\) respectively:

\[\gamma^\mu \eta_{\mu\nu} = \gamma^\nu, \quad \gamma^\mu \eta^{\mu\nu} = \gamma^\nu, \quad (A.3)\]

The spinor indices are lowered and raised using the spinor metric \(C_{ab}\) and its inverse \(C^{ab}\) respectively:

\[(\gamma^\mu)^a C_{ab} = (\gamma^\mu)_{ab}, \quad C^{ab} (\gamma^\mu)_c = (\gamma^\mu)^{ab}, \quad (A.4)\]

where the spinor metric and its inverse are defined by

\[C_{ab} = -i\sigma^3 \otimes \sigma^2, \quad C_{ab} C^{cd} = \delta_d^c. \quad (A.5)\]

A.2 Gamma matrix identities

\[(\gamma^{(\mu \gamma^\nu)})_{\alpha\beta} = 2\eta^{\mu\nu} \delta_{\alpha\beta} \quad (A.6)\]

\[\gamma^{\mu5} = -\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu \quad (A.7)\]

\[\gamma^{\mu5} \gamma^\rho = \eta^{\mu\rho} \gamma^5 + \eta^{\rho5} \gamma^\mu - \eta^{\mu\rho} \gamma^5 - i\epsilon_\sigma \eta^{\mu\rho\sigma} \gamma^\sigma \quad (A.8)\]

\[\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\rho = \delta^\nu_\mu \gamma^\rho + \delta^\rho_\mu \gamma^\nu - \eta^{\mu\rho} \gamma^\nu + i\epsilon_\sigma \eta^{\mu\rho\sigma} \gamma^\sigma \quad (A.9)\]

\[\gamma^5 \gamma^{[\alpha\beta]} = -\frac{i}{2} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} \gamma_{[\mu\nu]} \quad (A.10)\]

\[\gamma^{\mu5} \gamma^{[\alpha\beta]} = 2\eta^{\mu\alpha\beta} + i2\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} \gamma^5 \gamma^\nu \quad (A.11)\]

\[\gamma^{[\alpha\beta]} \gamma^\mu = -2\eta^{\alpha\beta\mu} + i2\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} \gamma^5 \gamma^\nu \quad (A.12)\]

\[\gamma^5 \gamma^{[\alpha\beta]} = \frac{1}{2} \gamma^5 (\gamma^{(\alpha5)} + \gamma^{(\alpha5)}) = -\frac{i}{4} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} \gamma_{[\mu\nu]} + \eta^{\alpha\beta} \gamma^5 \quad (A.13)\]

\[\gamma^5 \gamma^{\mu5} = -2\eta^{\alpha5\mu\beta} \gamma^5 + 2i\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\mu\lambda} \gamma^\lambda \quad (A.14)\]

\[(\gamma^\mu \gamma^5)_a = 4\delta_a^b \quad (A.15)\]
\[
\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma_\mu = -2 \gamma^\nu \\
(\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\rho \gamma_\mu)_a^b = 4 \eta^{\nu \rho} \delta_a^b \\
(\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\rho \gamma^\sigma \gamma^5)_a^b = 4 i \epsilon^{\mu \nu \rho \sigma} .
\]

A.3 Spinor index symmetries

\[
C_{ab} = -C_{ba} \tag{A.19}
\]

\[
(\gamma_\mu)_{ab} = (\gamma_\mu)_{ba} \tag{A.20}
\]

\[
(\gamma^5)_{ab} = -(\gamma^5)_{ba} \tag{A.21}
\]

\[
(\gamma^\mu \gamma^5)_{ab} = -(\gamma^\mu \gamma^5)_{ba} \tag{A.22}
\]

\[
(\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu)_{ab} = -(\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu)_{ba} \tag{A.23}
\]

\[
(\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu)_{ab} = -(\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu)_{ba} \tag{A.24}
\]

\[
(\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu)_{ab} = -(\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu)_{ba} . \tag{A.25}
\]

B Useful higher D sigma matrix identities

The defining relationship of the sigma matrices is

\[
(\sigma_{\mu \nu})_{ab} = 2 \eta_{\mu \nu} \delta_a^b , \tag{B.1}
\]

with \( \eta_{\mu \nu} \) mostly plus

\[
\eta_{\mu \nu} = \text{diag}(-1, +1, +1, \ldots, +1). \tag{B.2}
\]

The three form matrix is

\[
(\sigma_{\lambda \mu \nu})_{ab} = \frac{1}{3!} (\sigma_{[\lambda} \sigma_\mu \sigma_\nu])_{ab} . \tag{B.3}
\]

Denoting \( n \)-antisymmetric indices as \([n]\), the \( n \)-form matrix is

\[
\sigma_{[n]} = \sigma_{a_1 a_2 a_3 \ldots a_n} = \frac{1}{n!} \sigma_{[a_1} \sigma_{a_2} \sigma_{a_3} \ldots \sigma_{a_n]} \tag{B.4}
\]

where the matrix indices either both down or both up for odd \( n \) and one down and one up for even \( n \).

We use the following representation for the sigma matrices in 10D, a reordering and rearrangement of those used in \cite{10}.

\[
(\sigma^\mu)_{ab} = \begin{cases} 
I_2 \otimes I_2 \otimes I_2 \otimes I_2 \\
I_2 \otimes I_2 \otimes I_2 \otimes \sigma^1 \\
\sigma^3 \otimes I_2 \otimes \sigma^2 \otimes \sigma^2 \\
I_2 \otimes I_2 \otimes I_2 \otimes \sigma^3 \\
I_2 \otimes \sigma^2 \otimes I_2 \otimes \sigma^2 \\
\sigma^1 \otimes I_2 \otimes \sigma^2 \otimes \sigma^2 \\
\sigma^2 \otimes \sigma^1 \otimes I_2 \otimes \sigma^2 \\
\sigma^2 \otimes \sigma^2 \otimes I_2 \otimes \sigma^2 \\
\end{cases}, \quad (\sigma^\mu)^{ab} = \begin{cases} 
-I_2 \otimes I_2 \otimes I_2 \otimes I_2 \\
I_2 \otimes I_2 \otimes I_2 \otimes \sigma^1 \\
\sigma^3 \otimes I_2 \otimes \sigma^2 \otimes \sigma^2 \\
I_2 \otimes I_2 \otimes I_2 \otimes \sigma^3 \\
I_2 \otimes \sigma^2 \otimes I_2 \otimes \sigma^2 \\
\sigma^1 \otimes I_2 \otimes \sigma^2 \otimes \sigma^2 \\
\sigma^2 \otimes \sigma^1 \otimes I_2 \otimes \sigma^2 \\
\sigma^2 \otimes \sigma^2 \otimes I_2 \otimes \sigma^2 \\
\end{cases}. \tag{B.5}
\]
The above representation allows for a simple reduction to 6D and 4D by taking the upper left block of the first six and four $\sigma$-matrices, respectively. Specifically, we have in 6D

\[
\begin{align*}
(\sigma^\mu)^{ab} &= \begin{cases} 
I_2 \otimes I_2 \otimes I_2 \\
I_2 \otimes I_2 \otimes \sigma^1 \\
I_2 \otimes \sigma^2 \otimes \sigma^2 \\
I_2 \otimes I_2 \otimes \sigma^3 \\
\sigma^2 \otimes \sigma^1 \otimes \sigma^2 \\
\sigma^2 \otimes \sigma^3 \otimes \sigma^2
\end{cases}, & (\sigma^\mu)^{ab} &= \begin{cases} 
-I_2 \otimes I_2 \otimes I_2 \\
I_2 \otimes I_2 \otimes \sigma^1 \\
I_2 \otimes \sigma^2 \otimes \sigma^2 \\
I_2 \otimes I_2 \otimes \sigma^3 \\
\sigma^2 \otimes \sigma^1 \otimes \sigma^2 \\
\sigma^2 \otimes \sigma^3 \otimes \sigma^2
\end{cases},
\end{align*}
\] (B.6)

and in 4D

\[
\begin{align*}
(\sigma^\mu)^{ab} &= \begin{cases} 
I_2 \otimes I_2 \\
I_2 \otimes \sigma^1 \\
\sigma^2 \otimes \sigma^2 \\
I_2 \otimes \sigma^3
\end{cases}, & (\sigma^\mu)^{ab} &= \begin{cases} 
-I_2 \otimes I_2 \\
I_2 \otimes \sigma^1 \\
\sigma^2 \otimes \sigma^2 \\
I_2 \otimes \sigma^3
\end{cases}.
\] (B.7)

C SUSY transformation laws

The 4D, $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Abelian Vector-Tensor Supermultiplet can be formulated in terms of four sets of transformation laws implemented on the two distinct 4D, $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supermultiplets as presented in the work of [13]. The first two transformation laws are the standard 4D, $\mathcal{N} = 2$ transformation laws acting solely on the component fields within the vector supermultiplet and separately acting solely on the component fields within the tensor supermultiplet. The remaining two describe supersymmetry variations on the component fields between the vector and the tensor supermultiplets. To distinguish these two types of SUSY charges, we use the symbols $D_i^a$ for the first type and $\tilde{D}_i^a$ for the second type.

C.1 D-type SUSY VM realization

The notations $(A, B, A_\mu, \Psi^i_a, F, G, d)$ denote the component fields of the 4D, $\mathcal{N} = 2$ vector multiplet. These transform as under the operator $D_i^a$ as,

\[
\begin{align*}
D_i^A &= \delta^{ij} \Psi^j_a, \\
D_i^B &= i\delta^{ij} (\gamma^5 \gamma_\mu)^b_i \Psi^j_b, \\
D_i^F &= \delta^{ij} (\gamma^\mu)_a^b \partial_\mu \Psi^j_b, \\
D_i^G &= i(\gamma^3)^{ij} (\gamma^5 \gamma_\mu)^b_i \partial_\mu \Psi^j_b, \\
D_i^{A_\mu} &= i(\gamma^2)^{ij} (\gamma^\mu)_a^b \Psi^j_b, \\
D_i^d &= i(\sigma^1)^{ij} (\gamma^5 \gamma_\mu)^b_i \partial_\mu \Psi^j_b, \\
D_i^{\Psi^j_a} &= \delta^{ij} \{i(\gamma^\mu)_ab \partial_\mu A - (\gamma^5 \gamma_\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu B - iC_{ab}F\} \\
&\quad + (\gamma^5)_{ab} \{i(\sigma^3)^{ij} G + (\sigma^1)^{ij} d\} + \frac{1}{4}(\sigma^2)^{ij} (\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu)_{ab} F_{\mu \nu}, \quad (C.1)
\end{align*}
\]

under the action of $D_i^a$. 
C.2 D-type SUSY TM realization

The notations \((\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}, \tilde{\Psi}^i, \tilde{\Phi}, \tilde{G})\) denote the component fields of the 4D, \(\mathcal{N} = 2\) tensor multiplet. These transform as under the operator \(\tilde{D}_a\) as,

\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{D}_a^i \tilde{A} &= (\sigma^3)^{ij} \tilde{\Psi}^j_a, \\
\tilde{D}_a^i \tilde{B} &= i\delta^{ij}(\gamma^5)_a^b \tilde{\Psi}^j_b, \\
\tilde{D}_a^i \tilde{F} &= \delta^{ij}(\gamma^\mu)_a^b \partial_\mu \tilde{\Psi}^j_b, \\
\tilde{D}_a^i \tilde{G} &= i\delta^{ij}(\gamma^5\gamma^\mu)_a^b \partial_\mu \tilde{\Psi}^j_b, \\
\tilde{D}_a^i \tilde{\varphi} &= (\sigma^1)^{ij} \tilde{\Psi}^j_a, \\
\tilde{D}_a^i \tilde{B}_{\mu\nu} &= -i/4(\sigma^2)^{ij}(\gamma_\mu \gamma_\nu)_a^b \tilde{\Psi}^j_b, \\
\tilde{D}_a^i \tilde{\Psi}^j_b &= \delta^{ij}\{-(\gamma^5\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu \tilde{B} - iC_{ab} \tilde{F} + (\gamma^5)_{ab} \tilde{G}\}
+ i(\gamma_\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu \{(\sigma^3)^{ij} \tilde{A} + (\sigma^1)^{ij} \tilde{\varphi}\} + i(\sigma^2)^{ij} \epsilon_\mu^{\alpha\beta}(\gamma^5\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\nu \tilde{B}_{\alpha\beta}. 
\end{align*}
\]

C.3 \(\tilde{D}\)-type SUSY VM realization

The transformation of the VM fields under the operator \(\tilde{D}_a\) look as,

\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{D}_a^i \tilde{A} &= i(\sigma^2)^{ij} \tilde{\Psi}^j_a, \\
\tilde{D}_a^i \tilde{B} &= -(\sigma^2)^{ij}(\gamma^5)_a^b \tilde{\Psi}^j_b, \\
\tilde{D}_a^i \tilde{F} &= i(\sigma^2)^{ij}(\gamma^\mu)_a^b \partial_\mu \tilde{\Psi}^j_b, \\
\tilde{D}_a^i \tilde{G} &= i(\sigma^1)^{ij}(\gamma^5\gamma^\mu)_a^b \partial_\mu \tilde{\Psi}^j_b, \\
\tilde{D}_a^i \tilde{\varphi} &= -i(\sigma^3)^{ij} \tilde{\Psi}^j_a, \\
\tilde{D}_a^i \tilde{A}_\mu &= \delta^{ij}(\gamma_\mu)_a^b \tilde{\Psi}^j_b, \\
\tilde{D}_a^i \tilde{\Psi}^j_b &= i(\sigma^2)^{ij}\{-(\gamma^5\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu \tilde{B} - iC_{ab} \tilde{F} + (\gamma^5)_{ab} \tilde{G}\}
+ i(\gamma_\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu \{(\sigma^3)^{ij} \tilde{A} + (\sigma^1)^{ij} \tilde{\varphi}\} - \delta^{ij}\epsilon_\mu^{\alpha\beta}(\gamma^5\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\nu \tilde{B}_{\alpha\beta}. 
\end{align*}
\]

C.4 \(\tilde{D}\)-type SUSY TM realization

The transformation of the TM fields under the operator \(\tilde{D}_a\) look as,

\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{D}_a^i \tilde{A} &= -(\sigma^1)^{ij} \tilde{\Psi}^j_a, \\
\tilde{D}_a^i \tilde{B} &= -(\sigma^2)^{ij}(\gamma^5)_a^b \Psi^j_b, \\
\tilde{D}_a^i \tilde{F} &= i(\sigma^2)^{ij}(\gamma^\mu)_a^b \partial_\mu \tilde{\Psi}^j_b, \\
\tilde{D}_a^i \tilde{G} &= -(\sigma^2)^{ij}(\gamma^5\gamma^\mu)_a^b \partial_\mu \tilde{\Psi}^j_b, \\
\tilde{D}_a^i \tilde{\varphi} &= (\sigma^3)^{ij} \tilde{\Psi}^j_a, \\
\tilde{D}_a^i \tilde{B}_{\mu\nu} &= -1/4\delta^{ij}[\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\nu]_{a}^b \tilde{\Psi}^j_b, \\
\tilde{D}_a^i \tilde{\Psi}^j_b &= i(\sigma^2)^{ij}\{i(\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu \tilde{A} - (\gamma^5\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu \tilde{B} - iC_{ab} \tilde{F}\}
+ (\gamma^5)_{ab}\{(\sigma^1)^{ij} \tilde{G} - (\sigma^3)^{ij} \tilde{d}\} - \frac{i}{4}\delta^{ij}\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu)_{ab} \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}. 
\end{align*}
\]
A complete discussion of the algebra associated with these transformations can be found the work given in [13].

D Algebra

The algebra of the full transformation laws shown in appendix C is as in [13]. The on-shell transformation laws are found by imposing the equations of motion, effectively setting all auxiliary fields to zero. The on-shell bosonic algebra is equivalent to the bosonic algebra in [13] upon setting all auxiliary fields to zero. Some of the results of the on-shell algebra for the fermions are shown below, the rest follow a similar structure and can be found explicitly in the Mathematica code on GitHub.\(^3\) We have

\[
\begin{align*}
\{D_a^i, D_b^j\} \psi^k &= 2i \delta^{ij} (\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu \psi^k + \left( Z^{ijkl} \right)_{abc} d(\gamma^\nu)_{de} \partial_e \psi^l \\
\{\bar{D}_a^i, \bar{D}_b^j\} \bar{\psi}^k &= 2i \delta^{ij} (\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu \bar{\psi}^k + \left( \bar{Z}^{ijkl} \right)_{abc} d(\gamma^\nu)_{de} \partial_e \bar{\psi}^l \\
\{D_a^i, \bar{D}_b^j\} \bar{\psi}^k &= 2i \delta^{ij} (\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu \bar{\psi}^k + \left( Z^{ijkl} \right)_{abc} d(\gamma^\nu)_{de} \partial_e \psi^l \\
\{\bar{D}_a^i, D_b^j\} \psi^k &= 2i \delta^{ij} (\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu \psi^k + \left( \bar{Z}^{ijkl} \right)_{abc} d(\gamma^\nu)_{de} \partial_e \bar{\psi}^l
\end{align*}
\]

(D.1)

with

\[
\left( Z^{ijkl} \right)_{abcd} = -i \frac{3}{4} \delta^{ij} \delta^{kl} (\gamma^\alpha)_{ab} (\gamma^\nu)_{cd} - \frac{i}{32} \delta^{ij} \delta^{kl} ([\gamma^\alpha, \gamma^\nu])_{ab} ([\gamma^\alpha, \gamma^\nu])_{cd} \\
- \frac{i}{4} (\sigma^1)^{ij} (\sigma^1)^{kl} (\gamma^\alpha)_{ab} (\gamma^\nu)_{cd} + i \frac{1}{32} (\sigma^1)^{ij} (\sigma^1)^{kl} ([\gamma^\alpha, \gamma^\nu])_{ab} ([\gamma^\alpha, \gamma^\nu])_{cd} \\
+ i \frac{1}{32} (\sigma^3)^{ij} (\sigma^3)^{kl} ([\gamma^\alpha, \gamma^\nu])_{ab} ([\gamma^\alpha, \gamma^\nu])_{cd} - \frac{1}{4} (\sigma^3)^{ij} (\sigma^3)^{kl} (\gamma^\alpha)_{ab} (\gamma^\nu)_{cd} \\
- \frac{i}{4} (\sigma^2)^{ij} (\sigma^2)^{kl} (\gamma^5)_{ab} (\gamma^5)_{cd} - \frac{3}{4} (\sigma^2)^{ij} (\sigma^2)^{kl} C_{ab} \delta_{cd} \\
- \frac{3}{4} (\sigma^2)^{ij} (\sigma^2)^{kl} (\gamma^5)_{ab} (\gamma^5)_{cd}
\]

(D.2)

and the terms of the form \(\bar{Z}, Z, \) and \(\bar{Z}\) found explicitly in the Mathematica code on GitHub.\(^3\)

For the cross terms we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\{D_a^i, \bar{D}_b^j\} \psi^k &= 2i \chi^{ijkl} (\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu \bar{\psi}^l + 2i \chi^{ijkl} ([\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu])_{ab} (\gamma^\nu)_{cd} \partial_e \psi^l \\
+ 2i \chi^{ijkl} (\gamma^\nu)_{ab} (\gamma^\nu)_{cd} \partial_e \bar{\psi}^l &+ 2i \chi^{ijkl} (\gamma^5)_{ab} (\gamma^5)_{cd} \partial_e \psi^l \\
+ 2i \chi^{ijkl} (\gamma^5)_{ab} (\gamma^5)_{cd} \partial_e \bar{\psi}^l &+ 2i \chi^{ijkl} (\gamma^\nu)_{ab} (\gamma^\nu)_{cd} \partial_e \psi^l \\
+ 2i \chi^{ijkl} \gamma^{\lambda \nu} \partial_\mu \psi^l &+ 2i \chi^{ijkl} \gamma^{\lambda \nu} \partial_\mu \bar{\psi}^l \\
\{\bar{D}_a^i, D_b^j\} \bar{\psi}^k &= 2i \tilde{\chi}^{ijkl}(\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu \psi^l + 2i \tilde{\chi}^{ijkl} ([\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu])_{ab} (\gamma^\nu)_{cd} \partial_e \bar{\psi}^l \\
+ 2i \tilde{\chi}^{ijkl} (\gamma^\nu)_{ab} (\gamma^\nu)_{cd} \partial_e \psi^l &+ 2i \tilde{\chi}^{ijkl} (\gamma^5)_{ab} (\gamma^5)_{cd} \partial_e \bar{\psi}^l \\
+ 2i \tilde{\chi}^{ijkl} (\gamma^5)_{ab} (\gamma^5)_{cd} \partial_e \psi^l &+ 2i \tilde{\chi}^{ijkl} (\gamma^\nu)_{ab} (\gamma^\nu)_{cd} \partial_e \bar{\psi}^l
\end{align*}
\]

(D.3)

\(^3\)https://hepthools.github.io/Data/4DN4Holo/.
\[ + 2i\tilde{\chi}^{ijkl}(\gamma^5\gamma_{\nu})_{ab}(\gamma^5\gamma_{\nu}\gamma^\mu)c^d\partial_\mu\Psi^l_d + 2i\tilde{\chi}^{ijkl}(\gamma^5)^c_d\partial_\mu\Psi^l_d + 2i\tilde{\chi}^{ijkl}(\gamma^5\gamma_{\nu})_{ab}(\gamma^5\gamma_{\nu})_{ab}\psi_{\mu}\Psi^l_d \]

\[ \chi^{ijkl} = \alpha_x \delta_i^j(\sigma^2)^{kl} + \beta_x(\sigma^1)^{ij}(\sigma^3)^{kl} + \delta_x(\sigma^3)^{ij}(\partial^1)^{kl} + \kappa_x(\sigma^2)^{ij}(\partial^3)^{kl}. \]

\[ \tilde{\chi}^{ijkl} = \alpha_x \delta_i^j(\sigma^2)^{kl} + \beta_x(\sigma^1)^{ij}(\sigma^3)^{kl} + \delta_x(\sigma^3)^{ij}(\partial^1)^{kl} + \kappa_x(\sigma^2)^{ij}(\partial^3)^{kl}. \]

\[
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
\chi^{ijkl} & \alpha & \beta & \delta & \kappa \\
\hline
\chi_1^{ijkl} & i/4 & -3/4 & 3/4 & -i/4 \\
\hline
\chi_{2}^{ijkl} & -i/8 & -1/8 & 1/8 & i/8 \\
\hline
\chi_{3}^{ijkl} & -i/16 & 3/16 & -3/16 & 5i/16 \\
\hline
\chi_{4}^{ijkl} & i/4 & -1/4 & 1/4 & i/4 \\
\hline
\chi_{5}^{ijkl} & -9i/16 & -1/16 & 1/16 & i/16 \\
\hline
\chi_{6}^{ijkl} & 3i/16 & -5/16 & 5/16 & i/16 \\
\hline
\chi_{7}^{ijkl} & -i/128 & -1/128 & 1/128 & i/128 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

The above demonstrates the closure of the on-shell algebras \{\mathcal{D}_a^i, \mathcal{D}_b^j\} and \{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_a^i, \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_b^j\} upon enforcing the equations of motion \((\gamma^\mu)^a_d\partial_\mu\psi^l_e = (\gamma^\mu)^a_d\partial_\mu\tilde{\psi}^l_e = 0\) as expected.

### E Holoraumy

In the results of this section, we present only new results by deriving the holoraumies for these supermultiplets under the action of the four operators \(\mathcal{D}_a^i\) and \(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_a^i\). This will be undertaken in three sectors, the \(\mathcal{D}-\mathcal{D}\) sector, \(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}-\tilde{\mathcal{D}}\) sector, and the \(\mathcal{D}-\tilde{\mathcal{D}}\) sector. In this section and the next, calculations are from the full transformation laws in appendix C and we will sometimes refer to these as “off-shell” in the sense that the underlying \(\mathcal{N} = 2\) tensor and vector multiplets close off-shell although of course the composite \(\mathcal{N} = 4\) vector-tensor multiplet does not.

#### E.1 Vector multiplet \(\mathcal{D}-\mathcal{D}\) bosonic holoraumy

In the following equations, the \(\mathcal{D}-\mathcal{D}\) subsector of the holoraumy is presented on the bosonic fields of the 4D, \(\mathcal{N} = 2\) vector supermultiplet. We find

\[
[\mathcal{D}_a^i, \mathcal{D}_b^j]A = -2\delta^{ij}[(\gamma^5\gamma^\mu)_{ab}\partial_\mu B + iC_{ab}F] + 2(\gamma^5)^{ij}[(\sigma^3)^{ij}G + (\sigma^1)^{ij}d]
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{2}(\sigma^2)^{ij}(\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu)^{ab}F_{\mu\nu},
\]

\[
[\mathcal{D}_a^i, \mathcal{D}_b^j]B = 2\delta^{ij}[(\gamma^5\gamma^\mu)_{ab}\partial_\mu A + (\gamma^5)^{ab}F] + i2C_{ab}[(\sigma^3)^{ij}G + (\sigma^1)^{ij}d]
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{2}(\sigma^2)^{ij}(\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu)^{ab}F_{\mu\nu},
\]

\[
[\mathcal{D}_a^i, \mathcal{D}_b^j]F = 2\delta^{ij}[-iC_{ab}\square A + (\gamma^5)^{ab}\square B] - 2(\gamma^5\gamma^\mu)^{ab}\partial_\mu [(\sigma^3)^{ij}G + (\sigma^1)^{ij}d]
\]

\[
- 2(\sigma^2)^{ij}(\gamma^\mu)^{ab}\partial_\mu F_{\mu\nu},
\]

- 23 -
\[ [D^i_a, D^j_b]G = 2(\sigma^3)^{ij}[(\gamma^5)_{ab}\Box A + iC_{ab}\Box B + (\gamma^5\gamma^\mu)_{ab}\partial_\mu F] + 2(\sigma^2)^{ij}(\gamma^\mu)\partial_\mu d \]
\[ - 2(\sigma^1)^{ij}(\gamma^5\gamma^\mu)_{ab}\partial^\nu F_{\mu\nu}, \]
\[ [D^i_a, D^j_b]d = 2(\sigma^1)^{ij}[(\gamma^5)_{ab}\Box A + iC_{ab}\Box B + (\gamma^5\gamma^\mu)_{ab}\partial_\mu F] - 2(\sigma^2)^{ij}(\gamma^\mu)_{ab}\partial_\mu G \]
\[ + 2(\sigma^3)^{ij}(\gamma^5\gamma^\mu)_{ab}\partial^\nu F_{\mu\nu}, \]
\[ [D^i_a, D^j_b]A_\mu = (\sigma^2)^{ij}[(\gamma^5\gamma^\mu)_{ab}\partial_\nu A + i(\gamma^5\gamma^\mu)_{ab}\partial_\nu B + 2(\gamma_\mu)_{ab}F] \]
\[ + 2(\gamma^5\gamma^\mu)_{ab}[(\sigma^1)^{ij}G - (\sigma^3)^{ij}d] - \delta^{ij}\epsilon^{\nu\alpha\beta}(\gamma^5\gamma^\nu)_{ab}F_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (E.1) \]

for the fields of the vector supermultiplet holoraumy of this type.

E.2 Vector multiplet \(\tilde{D}^\alpha\tilde{D}^\beta\) bosonic holoraumy

\[ [\tilde{D}^i_a, \tilde{D}^j_b]A = 2(\sigma^2)^{ij}[i(\gamma^5\gamma^\nu)_{ab}\partial_\mu \tilde{B} - C_{ab}\Box \tilde{F} - i(\gamma^5)_{ab}G] - \frac{2}{3}\delta^{ij}\epsilon^{\nu\alpha\beta}(\gamma^5\gamma^\mu)_{ab}\tilde{H}_{\nu\alpha\beta}, \]
\[ [\tilde{D}^i_a, \tilde{D}^j_b]B = 2(\sigma^2)^{ij}[i(\gamma^5)_{ab}\Box \tilde{F} + C_{ab}\Box \tilde{G}] + 2(\gamma^5\gamma^\mu)_{ab}\partial_\mu [-\langle\sigma^1\rangle^{ij}\Box \tilde{A} + (\sigma^3)^{ij}\partial_\nu \tilde{\varphi}], \]
\[ [\tilde{D}^i_a, \tilde{D}^j_b]F = i2(\sigma^2)^{ij}[-(\gamma^5)_{ab}\Box \tilde{B} + (\gamma^5\gamma^\mu)_{ab}\partial_\mu \tilde{G}] + i2C_{ab}[(\sigma^1)^{ij}\Box \tilde{A} - (\sigma^3)^{ij}\partial_\nu \tilde{\varphi}], \]
\[ [\tilde{D}^i_a, \tilde{D}^j_b]G = i2(\sigma^1)^{ij}(\gamma^\mu)_{ab}\partial_\nu \tilde{G} + 2\delta^{ij}(\gamma^5)_{ab}\Box \tilde{\varphi}, \]
\[ [\tilde{D}^i_a, \tilde{D}^j_b]d = -i2(\sigma^3)^{ij}(\gamma^\nu)_{ab}\partial_\mu \tilde{G} + 2\delta^{ij}(\gamma^5)_{ab}\Box \tilde{A}, \]
\[ [\tilde{D}^i_a, \tilde{D}^j_b]A_\mu = 2\delta^{ij}[(\gamma^5)_{ab}\partial_\nu \tilde{B} - (\gamma^5\gamma^\mu)_{ab}\partial_\nu \tilde{G}] + i(\gamma^5\gamma^\nu)_{ab}\partial_\mu [(\sigma^3)^{ij}\partial_\nu \tilde{A} + (\sigma^1)^{ij}\partial_\nu \tilde{\varphi}] \]
\[ + i\frac{2}{3}(\sigma^2)^{ij}\epsilon^{\nu\alpha\beta}(\gamma^5)_{ab}\tilde{H}_{\nu\alpha\beta}, \quad (E.2) \]

where \(\tilde{H}_{\nu\alpha\beta} = \partial_\nu \tilde{B}_{\alpha\beta} + \partial_\alpha \tilde{B}_{\beta\nu} + \partial_\beta \tilde{B}_{\nu\alpha}\).

E.3 Vector multiplet \(\tilde{D}^\alpha\tilde{D}^\beta\) bosonic holoraumy

\[ [\tilde{D}^i_a, \tilde{D}^j_b]A = -2\delta^{ij}[2(\gamma^5\gamma^\mu)_{ab}\partial_\mu B + iC_{ab}F] + 2(\gamma^5)_{ab}[(\sigma^3)^{ij}G + (\sigma^1)^{ij}d] \]
\[ - \frac{1}{2}(\sigma^2)^{ij}(\gamma^\nu\gamma^\nu)_{ab}F_{\mu\nu}, \]
\[ [\tilde{D}^i_a, \tilde{D}^j_b]B = 2\delta^{ij}[(\gamma^5\gamma^\mu)_{ab}\partial_\mu A + (\gamma^5)_{ab}F] + 2iC_{ab}[(\sigma^3)^{ij}G + (\sigma^1)^{ij}d] \]
\[ - i2(\sigma^2)^{ij}(\gamma^5\gamma^\mu)_{ab}F_{\mu\nu}, \]
\[ [\tilde{D}^i_a, \tilde{D}^j_b]F = 2\delta^{ij}[-iC_{ab}\Box A + (\gamma^5)_{ab}\Box B] - 2(\gamma^5\gamma^\mu)_{ab}\partial_\mu [(\sigma^3)^{ij}G + (\sigma^1)^{ij}d] \]
\[ + 2(\sigma^2)^{ij}(\gamma^\mu)_{ab}\partial^\mu F_{\mu\nu}, \]
\[ [\tilde{D}^i_a, \tilde{D}^j_b]G = 2(\sigma^3)^{ij}[(\gamma^5)_{ab}\Box A + iC_{ab}\Box B + (\gamma^5\gamma^\mu)_{ab}\partial_\mu F] + 2(\sigma^2)^{ij}(\gamma^\mu)_{ab}\partial_\mu d \]
\[ + 2(\sigma^1)^{ij}(\gamma^5\gamma^\mu)_{ab}\partial^\mu F_{\mu\nu}, \]
\[ [\tilde{D}_a^i, \tilde{D}_b^j]d = 2(\sigma^1)^{ij}(\gamma^5)_{ab}\Box A + iC_{ab}\Box B + (\gamma^5\gamma^\mu)_{ab}\partial_\mu F_i, \]
\[-2(\sigma^2)^{ij}(\gamma^\mu)_{ab}\partial_\mu G - 2(\sigma^3)^{ij}(\gamma^5\gamma^\mu)_{ab}\partial^\mu F_{i\mu}, \]
\[ [\tilde{D}_a^i, \tilde{D}_b^j]A_\mu = -(\sigma^2)^{ij}[i(\gamma_{[\mu}\gamma_{\nu]})_{ab}\partial^\nu A + i(\gamma^5\gamma_{[\mu}\gamma_{\nu]})_{ab}\partial^\nu B + 2(\gamma_\mu)_{ab}] \]
\[-2(\gamma^5\gamma_\mu)_{ab}[(\sigma^1)^{ij}G - (\sigma^3)^{ij}d] - \delta^{ij}\epsilon^\lambda_\mu\delta(\gamma^5\gamma_\delta)_{ab}F_{\lambda\kappa}. \quad (E.3) \]

**E.4 Vector multiplet D–D fermionic holoraumy**

Following on in a similar fashion, the D–D subsector of the holoraumy is presented on the fermionic fields of the 4D, \( \mathcal{N} = 2 \) vector supermultiplet next.

\[ [D_a^i, D_b^j]\Psi^k_c = i2[V_1^{ijkl}(\gamma^\mu)_{ab}\delta^d_c + V_2^{ijkl}(\gamma^5\gamma^\mu)_{ab}(\gamma^5)_c^d] \partial_\mu \Psi^l_d + iV_3^{ijkl}[C_{ab}(\gamma^\lambda)_c^d + (\gamma^5)_{ab}(\gamma^5\gamma^\lambda)_c^d + (\gamma^5\gamma^\nu)_{ab}(\gamma^5\gamma^\lambda)_c^d] \partial_\lambda \Psi^l_d \]
\[ + iV_4^{ijkl}[C_{ab}(\gamma^\lambda)_c^d + (\gamma^5)_{ab}(\gamma^5\gamma^\lambda)_c^d + (\gamma^5\gamma^\nu)_{ab}(\gamma^5\gamma^\lambda)_c^d] \partial_\lambda \Psi^l_d \]
\[ + iV_5^{ijkl}[(\gamma^\mu)_{ab}(\gamma^\mu\gamma^\lambda)_c^d + \frac{1}{8}(\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu\gamma^\lambda)_{ab}(\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu\gamma^\lambda)_c^d] \partial_\lambda \Psi^l_d, \quad (E.4) \]

where the factors of \( V_x^{ijkl} \) are defined by the expression

\[ V_x^{ijkl} = \hat{a}_x(\sigma^1)^{ij}(\sigma^2)^{kl} + \hat{b}_x[(\sigma^1)^{(ij}(\sigma^1)^{kl)} + (\sigma^3)^{(ij}(\sigma^3)^{kl})] + \hat{c}_x\delta^{ij}\delta^{kl}, \quad (E.5) \]

and the corresponding coefficients \( \hat{a}_x, \hat{b}_x, \) and \( \hat{c}_x \) are given in the following table.

| \( V \) | \( \hat{a} \) | \( \hat{b} \) | \( \hat{c} \) |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1  | 1  | 0  | 0  |
| 2  | 0  | 1  | 0  |
| 3  | 0  | 0  | -1 |
| 4  | 0  | 1  | 0  |
| 5  | -1 | 0  | 0  |

**E.5 Vector multiplet D–\( \tilde{D} \) fermionic holoraumy**

The calculation yields

\[ [D_a^i, \tilde{D}_b^j]\Psi^k_c = i2[V_1^{ijkl}(\gamma^\mu)_{ab}\partial_\mu \tilde{\Psi}^l_c + i2[V_2^{ijkl}(\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu)_{ab}(\gamma^\nu)_c^d + V_3^{ijkl}(\gamma^5\gamma^\mu)_{ab}(\gamma^5)_c^d] \partial_\mu \tilde{\Psi}^l_d + i2[V_4^{ijkl}(\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu)_{ab}(\gamma^\nu\gamma^\lambda)_c^d + V_5^{ijkl}(\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu)_{ab}(\gamma^5\gamma^\lambda)_c^d] \partial_\lambda \tilde{\Psi}^l_d \]
\[ + i2[V_6^{ijkl}(\gamma^5\gamma^\nu)_{ab}(\gamma^5\gamma^\lambda)_c^d + V_7^{ijkl}(\gamma^5\gamma^\nu\gamma^\lambda)_c^d] \partial_\lambda \tilde{\Psi}^l_d + i2[V_8^{ijkl}(\gamma^5\gamma^\nu\gamma^\lambda)_c^d + V_9^{ijkl}(\gamma^5\gamma^\nu\gamma^\lambda)_c^d] \partial_\lambda \tilde{\Psi}^l_d, \quad (E.6) \]

and in these equations, we have introduced \( V_x^{ijkl} \) by use of the definition \( V_x^{ijkl} = iV_x^{irkl}(\sigma^2)^{ij} \), with \( V_x^{irkl} \) defined in eq. \( (E.5) \), where the corresponding coefficient \( \hat{a}_x, \hat{b}_x, \) and \( \hat{c}_x \) are given in the following table.
E.6 Vector multiplet $\hat{D}$–$\hat{D}$ fermionic holoraumy

The calculation yields

$$\hat{D}_a^i \cdot \hat{D}_b^j \Psi^k_c = i \left[ \frac{1}{2} V_{ijkl}^1 (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{\alpha\beta} (\gamma^5)_c \right] \partial_\mu \Psi^l_d$$

$$+ i V_{ijkl}^2 (\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu)_{\alpha\beta} (\gamma^\nu)_c \partial_\mu \Psi^l_d$$

$$+ i V_{ijkl}^3 (\gamma^\mu \gamma^\lambda)_{\alpha\beta} (\gamma^\lambda)_c \partial_\mu \Psi^l_d$$

$$+ i V_{ijkl}^4 (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{\alpha\beta} (\gamma^5 \gamma^\nu)_{\chi\nu} \partial_\mu \Psi^l_d$$

along with the corresponding coefficients $\hat{\alpha}_x$, $\hat{\beta}_x$, and $\hat{\kappa}_x$ given in the following table.

|       | $\hat{\alpha}$ | $\hat{\beta}$ | $\hat{\kappa}$ |
|-------|----------------|---------------|----------------|
| $V_1$ | 1/4            | 1/4           | 1/4            |
| $V_2$ | $-1/8$         | $-1/8$        | 1/8            |
| $V_3$ | 3/4            | $-1/4$        | $-3/4$         |
| $V_4$ | $-1/4$         | $-1/4$        | 0              |
| $V_5$ | $-1/32$        | $-1/32$       | 0              |
| $V_6$ | $-1/4$         | 1/4           | 1/2            |
| $V_7$ | $-1/4$         | 1/4           | $-1/2$         |
| $V_8$ | 1/2            | 0             | $-1/4$         |

The next series of calculations turn to the results for the holoraumy calculations for the 4D, $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supermultiplet.

E.7 Tensor multiplet $D$–$D$ bosonic holoraumy

$$[D_a^i, D_b^j] \tilde{A} = 2 (\sigma^3)_{ij} \left[ - (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{\alpha\beta} \partial_\mu \tilde{B} - i C_{ab} \tilde{F} + (\gamma^5)_{ab} \tilde{G} \right] + 2 (\sigma^3)_{ij} (\gamma^\mu)_{\alpha\beta} \partial_\mu \tilde{\varphi}$$

$$+ \frac{2}{3} (\sigma^1)_{ij} \epsilon^\nu_{\rho\sigma} (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{\alpha\beta} \tilde{H}_{\rho\sigma\beta},$$

$$[D_a^i, D_b^j] \tilde{B} = 2 \delta_{ij} \left[ (\gamma^5)_{ab} \tilde{F} + i C_{ab} \tilde{G} \right] + 2 (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu ((\sigma^3)_{ij} \tilde{A} + (\sigma^1)_{ij} \tilde{\varphi})$$

$$- \frac{2}{3} (\sigma^2)_{ij} \epsilon^\nu_{\rho\sigma} (\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \tilde{H}_{\rho\sigma\beta},$$
\[ [D^i_a, D^j_b] \tilde{F} = 2\delta^{ij} [(\gamma^5)_{ab} \Box \tilde{B} - (\gamma^5)_{ab} \partial_{\mu} \tilde{G}] - i 2C_{ab} \Box [(\sigma^3)^{ij}\tilde{A} + (\sigma^1)^{ij}\tilde{\varphi}] \]
\[ - i \frac{1}{3}(\sigma^2)^{ij} \epsilon_\lambda^{\nu\alpha\beta}(\gamma^5 \gamma^5 \gamma^5)_{ab} \partial_\mu \tilde{H}_{\nu\alpha\beta}, \]
\[ [D^i_a, D^j_b] \tilde{G} = 2\delta^{ij} [iC_{ab} \Box \tilde{B} + (\gamma^5)_{ab} \partial_\mu \tilde{F}] + 2(\gamma^5)_{ab} \Box [(\sigma^3)^{ij}\tilde{A} + (\sigma^1)^{ij}\tilde{\varphi}] \]
\[ + \frac{1}{3}(\sigma^2)^{ij} \epsilon_\lambda^{\nu\alpha\beta}(\gamma^5 \gamma^5 \gamma^5)_{ab} \partial_\mu \tilde{H}_{\nu\alpha\beta}, \]
\[ [D^i_a, D^j_b] \tilde{\varphi} = 2(\sigma^1)^{ij} [(-\gamma^5 \gamma^5)_{ab} \partial_\lambda \tilde{B} - iC_{ab} \tilde{F} + (\gamma^5)_{ab} \tilde{G}] - 2(\sigma^2)^{ij}(\gamma^5)_{ab} \partial_\lambda \tilde{A} \]
\[ - \frac{2}{3}(\sigma^3)^{ij} \epsilon_\lambda^{\nu\alpha\beta}(\gamma^5 \gamma^5)_{ab} \tilde{H}_{\nu\alpha\beta}, \]
\[ [D^i_a, D^j_b] \tilde{B}_{\mu\nu} = (\sigma^2)^{ij} \left[ \epsilon_{\mu\nu\delta} \lambda (\gamma^5)_{ab} \partial_{\lambda} \tilde{B} - \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_{[\mu} \gamma_{\nu]} B + iC_{ab} \tilde{F} - \frac{1}{2} i(\gamma^5 \gamma^5)_{ab} \tilde{G} \right] \]
\[ + \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \lambda (\gamma^5 \gamma^5)_{ab} \partial_{\lambda} \left[ - (\sigma^1)^{ij} \tilde{A} + (\sigma^3)^{ij} \tilde{\varphi} \right] + \frac{1}{3}(\sigma^3)^{ij} \epsilon_\lambda^{\nu\alpha\beta}(\gamma^5 \gamma^5)_{ab} \tilde{H}_{\nu\alpha\beta}. \]  
(E.8)

**E.8** Tensor multiplet D–\(\tilde{D}\) bosonic holoraumy

\[ [D^i_a, D^j_b] \tilde{A} = 2(\sigma^1)^{ij} [(\gamma^5 \mu)_{ab} \partial_{\mu} B + iC_{ab} F] - 2\delta^{ij}(\gamma^5)_{ab} d + \frac{1}{3}(\sigma^3)^{ij}(\gamma^5 \mu)^{ab} F_{\mu\nu} \]
\[ [D^i_a, D^j_b] \tilde{B} = -2(\sigma^2)^{ij} [(\gamma^5 \mu)_{ab} \partial_{\mu} A + (\gamma^5)_{ab} F] \]
\[ [D^i_a, D^j_b] \tilde{F} = -2(\sigma^2)^{ij} [(\gamma^5)_{ab} \Box A + i(\gamma^5)_{ab} B] \]
\[ [D^i_a, D^j_b] \tilde{G} = 2(\sigma^2)^{ij} [-(\gamma^5)_{ab} \Box A + C_{ab} \Box B - i(\gamma^5 \gamma^5)_{ab} \partial_{\mu} F] \]
\[ + i 2(\gamma^5)_{ab} \partial_\mu [(\sigma^1)^{ij} G + (\sigma^3)^{ij} d] - \frac{1}{2}(\sigma^2)^{ij}(\gamma^5 \gamma^5)_{ab} \partial_\lambda F_{\mu\nu} \]
\[ [D^i_a, D^j_b] \tilde{\varphi} = -2(\sigma^3)^{ij} [(\gamma^5 \mu)_{ab} \partial_{\mu} B + iC_{ab} F] + 2\delta^{ij}(\gamma^5)_{ab} G + \frac{i}{3}(\sigma^1)^{ij}(\gamma^5 \mu)^{ab} F_{\mu\nu} \]
\[ [D^i_a, D^j_b] \tilde{B}_{\mu\nu} = \delta^{ij} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \gamma^5 \gamma^5(\gamma^5)_{ab} \partial_{\lambda} A + \delta^{ij}(\gamma^5 \gamma^5)_{ab} \partial_{\mu} B - C_{ab}(\sigma^2)^{ij} F_{\mu\nu} \]
\[ + \frac{i}{3}(\sigma^2)^{ij} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(\gamma^5)_{ab} F_{\rho\sigma}. \]  
(E.9)

**E.9** Tensor multiplet D–\(\tilde{D}\) bosonic holoraumy

\[ [\tilde{D}^i_a, \tilde{D}^j_b] \tilde{A} = 2(\sigma^3)^{ij} [(\gamma^5 \gamma^5)_{ab} \partial_{\mu} \tilde{B} + iC_{ab} \tilde{F} - (\gamma^5)_{ab} \tilde{G}] \]
\[ + 2(\sigma^2)^{ij}(\gamma^5)_{ab} \partial_\mu \tilde{\varphi} + \frac{2}{3}(\sigma^1)^{ij} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \gamma^5 \gamma^5(\gamma^5)_{ab} \tilde{H}_{\nu\alpha\beta} \]  
(E.10)
\[ [\tilde{D}^i_a, \tilde{D}^j_b] \tilde{B} = 2\delta^{ij} [(\gamma^5)_{ab} \tilde{F} + iC_{ab} \tilde{G}] - 2(\gamma^5 \gamma^5)_{ab} \partial_\mu [(\sigma^3)^{ij} \tilde{A} + (\sigma^1)^{ij} \tilde{\varphi}] \]
\[ + \frac{2}{3}(\sigma^2)^{ij} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(\gamma^5)_{ab} \tilde{H}_{\nu\alpha\beta} \]
\[ [\tilde{D}^i_a, \tilde{D}^j_b] \tilde{F} = 2\delta^{ij} [(\gamma^5)_{ab} \Box \tilde{B} - (\gamma^5 \gamma^5)_{ab} \partial_\mu \tilde{G}] + 2iC_{ab} [(\sigma^3)^{ij} \Box \tilde{A} + (\sigma^1)^{ij} \Box \tilde{\varphi}] \]
\[ + \frac{i}{3}(\sigma^2)^{ij} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(\gamma^5 \gamma^5)^{ab} \partial_\lambda \tilde{H}_{\nu\alpha\beta}. \]  
(E.12)
\[ [\tilde{D}_a^i, \tilde{D}_b^j] G = 2\delta^{ij}(iC_{ab}\Box \tilde{B} + (\gamma^5\gamma^\mu)_{ab}\partial_\mu \tilde{F}) - 2(\gamma^5)_{ab}((\sigma^3)^{ij}\Box \tilde{A} + (\sigma^1)^{ij}\Box \tilde{\varphi}) - \frac{1}{3}(\sigma^2)^{ij}e^\mu_{\nu\alpha\beta}(\gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu)_{ab}\partial_\lambda \tilde{H}_{\nu\alpha\beta} \] 
\[ (E.13) \]
\[ [\tilde{D}_a^i, \tilde{D}_b^j] \tilde{\varphi} = 2(\sigma^1)^{ij}((\gamma^5\gamma^\mu)_{ab}\partial_\mu \tilde{B} + iC_{ab}\tilde{F} - (\gamma^5)_{ab}\tilde{G}) - 2(\sigma^2)^{ij}(\gamma^\mu)_{ab}\partial_\mu \tilde{A} - \frac{2}{3}(\sigma^3)^{ij}e^\mu_{\nu\alpha\beta}(\gamma^5\gamma^\mu)_{ab}\tilde{H}_{\nu\alpha\beta} \] 
\[ (E.14) \]
\[ [\tilde{D}_a^i, \tilde{D}_b^j] \tilde{B}_{\mu\nu} = (\sigma^2)^{ij}\left\{ e_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda\delta}(\gamma^5)_{ab}\partial_\lambda \tilde{B} + \frac{1}{2}(\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu)_{ab}\tilde{F} + i\frac{1}{2}(\gamma^5\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu)_{ab}\tilde{G}\right\} + e_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda\delta}(\gamma^5\gamma^\delta)_{ab}\partial_\lambda ((\sigma^1)^{ij}\tilde{A} - (\sigma^3)^{ij}\tilde{\varphi}) + \frac{1}{3}\delta^{ij}e^{\epsilon\alpha\beta}_{\mu}(\gamma^5\gamma^\epsilon)_{ab}\tilde{H}_{\kappa\alpha\beta} \] 
\[ (E.15) \]

E.10 Tensor multiplet D–D fermionic holoraumy

\[ [D_a^i, D_b^j]\tilde{\Psi}_c^k = i2\mathcal{V}_1^{ijkl}(\gamma^5\gamma^\mu)_{ab}(\gamma^5)_{c}d^\mu\tilde{\Psi}_d^j + i2\mathcal{V}_2^{ijkl}(\gamma^\mu\gamma^\lambda)_{ab}(\gamma^\lambda)_c\partial_\mu\tilde{\Psi}_d^j \]
\[ + i\mathcal{V}_3^{ijkl}(\gamma^\mu\gamma^\lambda)_c\partial_\lambda\tilde{\Psi}_d^j + i\mathcal{V}_4^{ijkl}(\gamma^5\gamma^\mu)_{ab}(\gamma^5\gamma^\lambda)_{c}d^\lambda\tilde{\Psi}_d^j \]
\[ + i\mathcal{V}_5^{ijkl}(\gamma^5\gamma^\mu)_{ab}(\gamma^5\gamma^\lambda)_{c}d^\lambda\tilde{\Psi}_d^j \] 
\[ (E.16) \]

along with the corresponding coefficients $\hat{\alpha}_x$, $\hat{\beta}_x$, and $\hat{\kappa}_x$ given in the following table.

|   | $\hat{\alpha}$ | $\hat{\beta}$ | $\hat{\kappa}$ |
|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| $\mathcal{V}_1$ | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $\mathcal{V}_2$ | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathcal{V}_3$ | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathcal{V}_4$ | 0 | 0 | -1 |
| $\mathcal{V}_5$ | 0 | -1 | 0 |

E.11 Tensor multiplet D–D fermionic holoraumy

The holoraumy calculation yields

\[ [D_a^i, D_b^j]\tilde{\Psi}_c^k = i2\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_1^{ijkl}(\gamma^\mu)_{ab}\partial_\mu\tilde{\Psi}_b^j + i2\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_2^{ijkl}(\gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu)_{ab}(\gamma^\nu\gamma^\lambda)_{c}d^\mu\tilde{\Psi}_d^j \]
\[ + i2\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_3^{ijkl}(\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu)_{ab}(\gamma^\nu)_{c}d^\mu\tilde{\Psi}_d^j + i2\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_4^{ijkl}(\gamma^\mu\gamma^\lambda)_{ab}(\gamma^\lambda)_{c}d^\mu\tilde{\Psi}_d^j \]
\[ + i2\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_5^{ijkl}(\gamma^5\gamma^\mu)_{ab}(\gamma^5\gamma^\lambda)_{c}d^\mu\tilde{\Psi}_d^j + i2\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_6^{ijkl}(\gamma^5\gamma^\mu)_{ab}(\gamma^5\gamma^\lambda)_{c}d^\mu\tilde{\Psi}_d^j \]
\[ + i2\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_7^{ijkl}(\gamma^5\gamma^\mu)_{ab}(\gamma^5\gamma^\lambda)_{c}d^\mu\tilde{\Psi}_d^j \] 
\[ (E.17) \]

In these equations, we have introduced $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_x^{ijkl}$ by use of the definition $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_x^{ijkl} = i\mathcal{V}_x^{ijkl}(\sigma^2)^{ij}$ where the corresponding coefficient $\tilde{\alpha}_x$, $\tilde{\beta}_x$, and $\tilde{\kappa}_x$ are given in the following table.
E.12 Tensor multiplet $\tilde{\alpha}$–$\tilde{\beta}$ fermionic holoraumy

$$[\tilde{D}_i^a, \tilde{D}_j^b] \tilde{\Psi}^k_c = i2 \{ \mathcal{V}_1^{ijkl} (\gamma^\mu)_{ab} + \mathcal{V}_2^{ijkl} (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab} (\gamma^5)_{c} d \} \partial_\mu \tilde{\Psi}^l_d$$

$$+ i \mathcal{V}_3^{ijkl} \left[ (\gamma^\mu)_{ab} (\gamma^\mu \gamma^\lambda)_{c} d + \frac{1}{8} (\gamma^{[\mu} \gamma^\nu)_{ab} (\gamma_{\nu]\gamma_i\gamma^\lambda})_{c} d \right] \partial_\lambda \tilde{\Psi}^l_d$$

$$+ i \mathcal{V}_4^{ijkl} \left[ (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab} (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu \gamma^\lambda)_{c} d + C_{ab}(\gamma^\lambda)_{c} d + (\gamma^5)_{ab} (\gamma^5 \gamma^\lambda)_{c} d \right] \partial_\lambda \tilde{\Psi}^l_d$$

$$+ i \mathcal{V}_5^{ijkl} \left[ (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab} (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu \gamma^\lambda)_{c} d - C_{ab}(\gamma^\lambda)_{c} d - (\gamma^5)_{ab} (\gamma^5 \gamma^\lambda)_{c} d \right] \partial_\lambda \tilde{\Psi}^l_d,$$

(E.18)

along with the corresponding coefficients $\hat{\alpha}_x$, $\hat{\beta}_x$, and $\hat{\kappa}_x$ given in the following table.

| $\hat{\alpha}$ | $\hat{\beta}$ | $\hat{\kappa}$ |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| $\mathcal{V}_1$ | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathcal{V}_2$ | 0 | -1 | 0 |
| $\mathcal{V}_3$ | -1 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathcal{V}_4$ | 0 | 0 | -1 |
| $\mathcal{V}_5$ | 0 | 1 | 0 |

F Alternative off-shell D–$\tilde{\alpha}$ fermionic holoraumy and anticommutator

The cross term fermion calculations can take several different forms. In section E we used the $V$ and $\mathcal{V}$ coefficients, which correspond to two different pauli matrix bases which emphasize i-j symmetries, and a gamma basis which can most easily be used for imposing equations of motion in the on-shell case. Here, different variations are included, particularly with ‘Y’ coefficients which are in the same basis as the results from [13].

Calculations also completed in the improved Pauli basis with old gamma basis

$$[D_i^a, D_j^b] \Psi^k_c = X_1^{ijkl} (\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu \tilde{\Psi}^l_d + X_2^{ijkl} (\gamma^\nu)_{ab} (\gamma^\nu)_{c} d \partial_\mu \tilde{\Psi}^l_d$$

$$+ X_3^{ijkl} (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab} (\gamma^5 \gamma^\nu)_{c} d \partial_\mu \tilde{\Psi}^l_d + X_4^{ijkl} (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu)_{ab} (\gamma^5 \gamma^\nu)_{c} d \partial_\mu \tilde{\Psi}^l_d$$
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\[ X_{x}^{ijkl} = \alpha_{x} \delta^{ij}(\sigma^{2})^{kl} + \beta_{x}(\sigma^{1})^{ij}(\sigma^{3})^{kl} + \delta_{x}(\sigma^{3})^{ij}(\sigma^{1})^{kl} + \kappa_{x}(\sigma^{2})^{ij}\delta^{kl}. \]
F.1 \textbf{Y basis}

An alternative basis for the holorauma is similar to that used for the algebra in [13]. In this basis, we have

\begin{equation}
[D^\alpha_a, \tilde{D}^\beta_b]\Psi^k_c = 2iY^{ijkl}_1(\gamma_\mu)_ab\partial_\mu \tilde{\Psi}^l_d + 2iY^{ijkl}_2(\gamma_\mu \gamma^\nu)_ab(\gamma_\nu)c\partial_\mu \tilde{\Psi}^l_d
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
+ 2iY^{ijkl}_3(\gamma_\nu)ab(\gamma_\nu)c\partial_\mu \tilde{\Psi}^l_d + 2iY^{ijkl}_4(\gamma_\mu \gamma^\nu)_ab(\gamma_\nu)c\partial_\mu \tilde{\Psi}^l_d
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
+ 2iY^{ijkl}_5(\gamma_5 \gamma_\mu)_ab(\gamma_5 \gamma_\nu)c\partial_\mu \tilde{\Psi}^l_d + 2iY^{ijkl}_6(\gamma_5 \gamma_\nu)_ab(\gamma_5 \gamma_\nu)c\partial_\mu \tilde{\Psi}^l_d
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
+ 2iY^{ijkl}_7(\gamma_5 \gamma_\mu)_ab(\gamma_5 \gamma_\mu)c\partial_\mu \tilde{\Psi}^l_d + 2iY^{ijkl}_8(\gamma_5 \gamma_\nu)_ab(\gamma_5 \gamma_\nu)c\partial_\mu \tilde{\Psi}^l_d
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
Y^{ijkl}_x = \alpha_x(\sigma^1)^ij(\sigma^3)^kl + \beta_x(\sigma^1)^jk(\sigma^3)^il + \delta_x(\sigma^1)^jk(\sigma^3)^il + \kappa_x(\sigma^1)^il(\sigma^3)^jk. \tag{F.9}
\end{equation}

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
$W^{ijkl}_1$ & $\alpha$ & $-\beta$ & $\delta$ & $\kappa$ \\
\hline
& $(-1/2)$ & $(-3/2)i$ & $(3/2)i$ & 0 \\
\hline
$W^{ijkl}_2$ & 0 & 0 & 0 & $(-1/4)$ \\
\hline
$W^{ijkl}_3$ & 1/4 & $(-1/4)i$ & $(1/4)i$ & 0 \\
\hline
$W^{ijkl}_4$ & 0 & 0 & 0 & $(1/4)$ \\
\hline
$W^{ijkl}_5$ & $(-1/2)$ & $(-1/2)i$ & $(1/2)i$ & $(-1)$ \\
\hline
$W^{ijkl}_6$ & 1 & 0 & 0 & $(1/2)$ \\
\hline
$W^{ijkl}_8$ & $(-1/2)$ & $(-1/2)i$ & $(1/2)i$ & $(-1)$ \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
$\tilde{W}^{ijkl}_1$ & $\alpha$ & $-\beta$ & $\delta$ & $\kappa$ \\
\hline
& $(1/2)$ & $(-3/2)i$ & $(3/2)i$ & 0 \\
\hline
$\tilde{W}^{ijkl}_2$ & 0 & 0 & 0 & $(-1/4)$ \\
\hline
$\tilde{W}^{ijkl}_3$ & $(-1/4)$ & $(-1/4)i$ & $(1/4)i$ & 0 \\
\hline
$\tilde{W}^{ijkl}_4$ & 0 & 0 & 0 & $(1/4)$ \\
\hline
$\tilde{W}^{ijkl}_5$ & $(-1/2)$ & $(-1/2)i$ & $(1/2)i$ & 1 \\
\hline
$\tilde{W}^{ijkl}_6$ & $(-1/2)$ & 0 & 0 & $(1/4)$ \\
\hline
$\tilde{W}^{ijkl}_8$ & $(1/2)$ & $(-1/2)i$ & $(1/2)i$ & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}
Explicit form of $L$-matrices and $\tilde{V}$-matrices for $(4,0)$ formulations

\[
L_{1}^{(SM-I)} = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \quad L_{2}^{(SM-I)} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1
\end{pmatrix}, \quad (G.1)
\]

\[
L_{3}^{(SM-I)} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \quad L_{4}^{(SM-I)} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
L_{1}^{(SM-II)} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \quad L_{2}^{(SM-II)} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1
\end{pmatrix}, \quad (G.2)
\]

\[
L_{3}^{(SM-II)} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \quad L_{4}^{(SM-II)} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
L_{1}^{(SM-III)} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \quad L_{2}^{(SM-III)} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \quad (G.3)
\]

\[
L_{3}^{(SM-III)} = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}, \quad L_{4}^{(SM-III)} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
L_{1}^{(SM-IV)} = \begin{pmatrix}
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1
\end{pmatrix}, \quad L_{2}^{(SM-IV)} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \quad (G.4)
\]

\[
L_{3}^{(SM-IV)} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \quad L_{4}^{(SM-IV)} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
\tilde{V}_{12}^{(SM-I)} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & -i & 0 & 0 \\
i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -i \\
0 & 0 & i & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{V}_{13}^{(SM-I)} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & -i & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & i \\
i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -i & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]
\[
V_{14}^{(SM-I)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & -i & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad V_{23}^{(SM-I)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & -i & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
V_{24}^{(SM-I)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \\ i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad V_{34}^{(SM-I)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \\ i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
V_{12}^{(SM-II)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & i \\ -i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad V_{13}^{(SM-II)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \\ i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & i \\ i & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
V_{14}^{(SM-II)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & i \\ 0 & 0 & -i & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 & 0 \\ -i & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad V_{23}^{(SM-II)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i & 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
V_{24}^{(SM-II)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \\ i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -i & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad V_{34}^{(SM-II)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \\ i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & i \\ 0 & 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
V_{12}^{(SM-III)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & i \\ -i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad V_{13}^{(SM-III)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \\ i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
V_{14}^{(SM-III)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & i \\ 0 & 0 & -i & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 & 0 \\ -i & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad V_{23}^{(SM-III)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i & 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
V_{24}^{(SM-III)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \\ i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad V_{34}^{(SM-III)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & -i & 0 \\ 0 & -i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
V_{12}^{(SM-IV)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & -i & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad V_{13}^{(SM-IV)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & -i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]

\[(G.5)\]
\( \tilde{V}_{14}^{(SM-IV)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & i \\ 0 & 0 & -i & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 & 0 \\ -i & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{V}_{23}^{(SM-IV)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & i \\ 0 & 0 & -i & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 & 0 \\ -i & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \)

\( \tilde{V}_{24}^{(SM-IV)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i & 0 & 0 \\ -i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & i \\ 0 & 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{V}_{34}^{(SM-IV)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad \text{(G.8)} \)

### H Explicit form of \( L \)-matrices for the 4D, \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) vector-tensor multiplet and the 4D, \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) vector-chiral multiplet

To succinctly and efficiently write these matrices in tensor product notation, we will define a new symbol \( \gamma^{A}_{(i)} \). The definition of this symbol is to begin with the Klein Vierergruppe element \( \gamma^{A} \) and then set all entries to zero except for the \( i \)-th row. A couple examples are

\[ \gamma^{2}_{(3)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^{3}_{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{(H.1)} \]

as the \( \gamma^{A} \) are in matrix form

\[ \gamma^{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^{3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^{4} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{(H.2)} \]

We also introduce the Boolean notation of [24] to multiply the \( L_{i}^{(SM-I)} \) from appendix G for embedding into the \( L_{i}^{(VT)} \)

\[ (p_{1}2^{0} + p_{2}2^{1} + p_{3}2^{2} + p_{4}2^{3})_{b} = \begin{pmatrix} (-1)^{p_{1}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (-1)^{p_{2}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (-1)^{p_{3}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & (-1)^{p_{4}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad p_{i} = 0, 1. \quad \text{(H.3)} \]

So for example

\[ (5)_{b}L_{2}^{(SM-I)} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad \text{(H.4)} \]
With this, we can succinctly write the $L_I^{(VC)}$ matrices as

$$L_I^{(VC)} = \gamma_1^{(1)} \otimes L_I^{(SM-I)} + \gamma_2^{(1)} \otimes L_I^{(SM-I)} + \gamma_3^{(1)} \otimes L_I^{(SM-I)} + \gamma_4^{(1)} \otimes L_I^{(SM-II)} \quad (H.5)$$

$$L_{I+4}^{(VC)} = \gamma_1^{(1)} \otimes \left[ (5)_b L_I^{(SM-I)} \right] + \gamma_2^{(2)} \otimes \left[ (10)_b L_I^{(SM-I)} \right] + \gamma_3^{(3)} \otimes \left[ (8)_b L_I^{(SM-I)} \right] + \gamma_4^{(4)} \otimes \left[ (7)_b L_I^{(SM-II)} \right] \quad (H.6)$$

$$L_{I+8}^{(VC)} = \gamma_1^{(1)} \otimes \left[ (5)_b L_I^{(SM-I)} \right] + \gamma_2^{(2)} \otimes \left[ (10)_b L_I^{(SM-I)} \right] + \gamma_3^{(3)} \otimes \left[ (8)_b L_I^{(SM-I)} \right] + \gamma_4^{(4)} \otimes \left[ (7)_b L_I^{(SM-II)} \right] \quad (H.7)$$

$$L_{I+12}^{(VC)} = \gamma_1^{(1)} \otimes \left[ (5)_b L_I^{(SM-I)} \right] + \gamma_2^{(2)} \otimes \left[ (10)_b L_I^{(SM-I)} \right] + \gamma_3^{(3)} \otimes \left[ (8)_b L_I^{(SM-I)} \right] + \gamma_4^{(4)} \otimes \left[ (7)_b L_I^{(SM-II)} \right]. \quad (H.8)$$

With this same notation, the $L_I^{(VT)}$ matrices are

$$L_I^{(VT)} = \gamma_1^{(1)} \otimes L_I^{(SM-I)} + \gamma_2^{(2)} \otimes L_I^{(TM)} + \gamma_3^{(3)} \otimes L_I^{(SM-I)} + \gamma_4^{(4)} \otimes L_I^{(SM-II)} \quad (H.9)$$

$$L_{I+4}^{(VT)} = \gamma_1^{(1)} \otimes \left[ (1)_b L_I^{(SM-I)} \right] + \gamma_2^{(2)} \otimes \left[ (14)_b L_I^{(TM)} \right] + \gamma_3^{(3)} \otimes \left[ (8)_b L_I^{(SM-I)} \right] + \gamma_4^{(4)} \otimes \left[ (7)_b L_I^{(SM-II)} \right] \quad (H.10)$$

$$L_{I+8}^{(VT)} = \gamma_1^{(1)} \otimes \left[ (14)_b L_I^{(SM-I)} \right] + \gamma_2^{(2)} \otimes \left[ (15)_b L_I^{(TM)} \right] + \gamma_3^{(3)} \otimes \left[ (15)_b L_I^{(SM-I)} \right] + \gamma_4^{(4)} \otimes \left[ (7)_b L_I^{(SM-II)} \right] \quad (H.11)$$

$$L_{I+12}^{(VT)} = \gamma_1^{(1)} \otimes \left[ (0)_b L_I^{(SM-I)} \right] + \gamma_2^{(2)} \otimes \left[ (14)_b L_I^{(TM)} \right] + \gamma_3^{(3)} \otimes \left[ (8)_b L_I^{(SM-I)} \right] + \gamma_4^{(4)} \otimes \left[ (15)_b L_I^{(SM-II)} \right] \quad (H.12)$$

where the $L_I^{(TM)}$ are

$$L_1^{(TM)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad L_2^{(TM)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (H.13)$$

The $R_I^{(VC)}$ and $R_I^{(VT)}$ matrices satisfy the trace-orthogonality relation in eq. (6.2) for all $I = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, 16$.

We note that the $L_I^{(VC)}$ are identified with the $L_I^{[0]}$ and $L_I^{[2]}$ from [23] with $I = 1, 2, 3, 4$ as

$$L_I^{(VC)} = L_I^{[0]}, \quad L_{I+4}^{(VC)} = L_I^{[3]}, \quad L_{I+8}^{(VC)} = L_I^{[1]}, \quad L_{I+12}^{(VC)} = L_I^{[2]} \quad (H.14)$$

per the identification of the supercharges as in eq. (6.8).

\footnote{Here we correct two typos in [23]: $L_2^{[2]}$ should have $(12)_b(23)$ instead of $(4)_b(23)$ for its $\gamma_4^{(1)}$ term and $L_4^{[2]}$ should have $(13)_b(1243)$ instead of $(13)_b(1234)$ in its $\gamma_4^{(1)}$ term in the conventions of [23].}
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References

[1] S.J. Gates Jr., T. Hübsch and K. Stiffler, Adinkras and SUSY Holography: Some explicit examples, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 29 (2014) 1450041 [arXiv:1208.5999] [insPIRE].

[2] S.J. Gates Jr., T. Hübsch and K. Stiffler, On Clifford-algebraic dimensional extension and SUSY holography, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 30 (2015) 1550042 [arXiv:1409.4445] [insPIRE].

[3] M. Calkins, D.E.A. Gates, S.J. Gates Jr. and K. Stiffler, Adinkras, 0-branes, Holoraumy and the SUSY QFT/QM Correspondence, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 30 (2015) 1550040 [arXiv:1501.00101] [insPIRE].

[4] S.J. Gates Jr. et al., A Lorentz covariant holoraumy-induced “gadget” from minimal off-shell 4D, $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supermultiplets, JHEP 11 (2015) 113 [arXiv:1508.07546] [insPIRE].

[5] W. Caldwell et al., On the four-dimensional holoraumy of the 4D, $\mathcal{N} = 1$ complex linear supermultiplet, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 33 (2018) 1850072 [arXiv:1702.05453] [insPIRE].

[6] S.J. Gates Jr. and S.-N. Hazel Mak, Examples of 4D, $\mathcal{N} = 2$ holoraumy, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 34 (2019) 1950081 [arXiv:1808.07946] [insPIRE].

[7] S.J. Gates Jr., W.D. Linch III and J. Phillips, When superspace is not enough, UMDEPP-02-054 [CALT-68-2387] [hep-th/0211034] [insPIRE].

[8] M. Calkins, D.E.A. Gates, S.J. Gates Jr. and W.M. Golding, Think Different: Applying the Old Macintosh Mantra to the Computability of the SUSY Auxiliary Field Problem, JHEP 04 (2015) 056 [arXiv:1502.04164] [insPIRE].

[9] S.J. Gates Jr. et al., On the Ubiquity Of Electromagnetic-Duality Rotations in 4D, $\mathcal{N} = 1$ Holoraumy Tensors for On-Shell 4D Supermultiplets, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 35 (2020) 2050008 [arXiv:1906.02971] [insPIRE].

[10] S.J. Gates Jr., Y. Hu, H. Jiang and S.-N. Hazel Mak, A codex on linearized Nordström supergravity in eleven and ten dimensional superspaces, JHEP 07 (2019) 063 [arXiv:1812.05097] [insPIRE].

[11] S.J. Gates Jr. and S. Vashakidze, On $D = 10$, $\mathcal{N} = 1$ Supersymmetry, Superspace Geometry and Superstring Effects, Nucl. Phys. B 291 (1987) 172 [insPIRE].

[12] M. Sohnius, K.S. Stelle and P.C. West, Off Mass Shell Formulation of Extended Supersymmetric Gauge Theories, Phys. Lett. B 92 (1980) 123 [insPIRE].

[13] S.J. Gates Jr. and K. Stiffler, Exploring the Abelian 4D, $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Vector-Tensor Supermultiplet and Its Off-Shell Central Charge Structure, JHEP 03 (2019) 129 [arXiv:1812.04236] [insPIRE].

[14] W. Siegel, Unextended Superfields in Extended Supersymmetry, Nucl. Phys. B 156 (1979) 135 [insPIRE].

[15] K. Becker and D. Butter, 4D $\mathcal{N} = 1$ Kaluza-Klein superspace, JHEP 09 (2020) 091 [arXiv:2003.01790] [insPIRE].
[16] S.J. Gates Jr., K. Iga, L. Kang, V. Korotkikh and K. Stiffler, Generating all 36,864 Four-Color Adinkras via Signed Permutations and Organizing into ℓ- and ℓ̃-Equivalence Classes, *Symmetry* **11** (2019) 120 [arXiv:1712.07826] [SPIRE].

[17] S.J. Gates Jr., Superspace Formulation of New Nonlinear Sigma Models, *Nucl. Phys. B* **238** (1984) 349 [SPIRE].

[18] S.J. Gates Jr., C.M. Hull and M. Roček, Twisted Multiplets and New Supersymmetric Nonlinear Sigma Models, *Nucl. Phys. B* **248** (1984) 157 [SPIRE].

[19] A.J. Cianciara, S.J. Gates Jr., Y. Hu and R. Kirk, The 300 “correlators” suggests 4D, \( \mathcal{N} = 1 \) SUSY is a solution to a set of Sudoku puzzles, *JHEP* **05** (2021) 077 [arXiv:2012.13308] [SPIRE].

[20] D.D. Bristow, J.H. Caporaletti, A.J. Cianciara, S.J. Gates Jr., D. Levine and G. Yerger, A note on exemplary off-shell constructions of 4D, \( \mathcal{N} = 2 \) supersymmetry representations, *JHEP* **04** (2022) 104 [arXiv:2012.14015] [SPIRE].

[21] S.-N. Hazel Mak and K. Stiffler, 4D, \( \mathcal{N} = 1 \) Matter Gravitino Genomics, *Symmetry* **11** (2019) 217 [arXiv:1812.07680] [SPIRE].

[22] S.J. Gates Jr., J. Parker, V.G.J. Rodgers, L. Rodriguez and K. Stiffler, An Extended Detailed Investigation of First and Second Order Supersymmetries for Off-Shell \( \mathcal{N} = 2 \) and \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) Supermultiplets, *Symmetry* **7** (2015) 1080 [arXiv:1106.5475] [SPIRE].

[23] M. Calkins, D.E.A. Gates, S.J. Gates Jr. and B. McPeak, Is it possible to embed a 4D, \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) supersymmetric vector multiplet within a completely off-shell adinkra hologram?, *JHEP* **05** (2014) 057 [arXiv:1402.5765] [SPIRE].

[24] I. Chappell II, S.J. Gates Jr. and T. Hübsch, Adinkra (in)equivalence from Coxeter group representations: A case study, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **29** (2014) 1450029 [arXiv:1210.0478] [SPIRE].