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Abstract: The present paper is devoted to a theoretical analysis of sliding friction under the influence of in-plane oscillations perpendicular to the sliding direction. Contrary to previous studies of this mode of active control of friction, we consider the influence of the stiffness of the tribological contact in detail and show that the contact stiffness plays a central role for small oscillation amplitudes. In the present paper we consider the case of a displacement-controlled system, where the contact stiffness is small compared to the stiffness of the measuring system. It is shown that in this case the macroscopic coefficient of friction is a function of two dimensionless parameters—a dimensionless sliding velocity and dimensionless oscillation amplitude. In the limit of very large oscillation amplitudes, known solutions previously reported in the literature are reproduced. The region of small amplitudes is described for the first time in this paper.
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1 Introduction

The interrelation of oscillations and friction is an old problem with fundamental importance for the understanding of friction and for countless practical applications. From the physical point of view, friction is fundamentally a non-stationary process. Brillouin [1] pointed out as early as 1899 that dry friction can occur at low velocities only due to elastic instabilities on the microscale. Vibrations can strongly influence friction [2] and friction often leads to vibrational instabilities [3]. Thus, friction should always be understood as the interplay of dynamics and friction on different spatial and temporal scales. This interplay has many particular aspects which have been studied intensively in the past decades: (I) Influence of vibrations on friction was studied, e.g., in Refs. [4–6]. (II) Frictionally induced oscillations have been studied, e.g., in Refs. [3, 7–9]. (III) The interaction of self-excited vibrations and friction was subject of studies [10–12]. (IV) The interplay of vibrations and oscillations is a central principle of oscillation-based actuation [13–17]. (V) Finally, oscillations may lead to energy dissipation, which of course is intimately connected with all other above mentioned points in Refs. [18–20].

The present paper is devoted exclusively to the aspect (I) of the above list—the direct influence of oscillations on friction. Studies of this influence started in the late 50s and 60s of the 20th century [21–25]. Most models used for the analysis of the active control of friction were based on the study of dynamics of rigid bodies. Only recently it was recognized that the deformability of the bodies and especially the contact stiffness plays a central role in determining the frictional behavior at small oscillation amplitudes and small sliding velocities [26, 27, 6]. However, of the three possible oscillation directions: (a) out-of-plane, (b) in-plane perpendicular to sliding, (c) in-plane
in sliding direction—only the influence of out-of-plane oscillations has been studied in detail so far [28, 29]. Complete studies of friction under in-plane oscillations—both in the sliding direction and perpendicular to the sliding direction—are yet to be performed.

The present paper partially closes this gap and provides an analysis of friction under transverse oscillations—in-plane oscillations perpendicular to the sliding direction. The particular interest in this mode of active control of friction is partly due to the recently demonstrated importance of transverse oscillations both for the stability of macroscopic sliding and the design of robust tribological measurement techniques [30–33].

To achieve qualitative understanding of the corresponding phenomena, we follow the strategy already used in a recent analysis of out-of-plane oscillations [28, 37]: we start with a very simple model, where the contact is modeled as a single spring with constant normal and tangential stiffness in a displacement-controlled setting (i.e., with a very stiff surrounding system). System-dynamical “feedback” from the contact to the surrounding system is thereby neglected.

2 Simplified one-spring model

Let us consider an elastic body that is brought into contact with a flat elastic substrate and then subjected to a superposition of horizontal movement with a constant velocity and sideways oscillations. In the contact of elastic bodies, both normal and tangential contact problems can be reduced to a contact of an elastic body and a rigid substrate with renormalized elastic coefficients [34]. In this paper we further reduce the elastic body to a single spring with some normal stiffness (the magnitude of which does not play any role in the present study) and tangential stiffness $k$. We assume that between the spring and the plane, there is a friction force described by the simplest form of Coulomb’s law of friction [35, 36] with a constant coefficient of friction $\mu_0$. A schematic drawing of the model is shown in Fig. 1: the “body” (the upper point $P$ of the spring) is forced to move with a constant velocity $v_0$ in the $x$-direction, and also to perform a harmonic oscillation in the $y$-direction according to $y_P = y_0 \sin \omega t$.

While the time-resolved reaction of the instantaneous friction force on the loading history is also of interest, in this paper we consider exclusively the forces in the steady state, averaged over one period of oscillation. In this connection it is important to lay down the terminology used in the paper: all processes referring to the time scale much smaller than the period of one oscillation are considered here as “microscopic” while the processes and quantities running or defined on the time scale much larger than the period of one oscillation are called “macroscopic”. Our goal is to determine the macroscopic values of normal and tangential forces (meaning their average values over one oscillation period) and the corresponding macroscopic coefficient of friction. The above-mentioned time-resolved reaction, on the contrary, refers to the microscopic scale; it will be considered in a separate paper.

Figure 2 shows the system projected onto the contact plane $(x, y)$ for the cases when the immediate contact point sticks (Fig. 2(a)) and for the sliding state (Fig. 2(b)). In the sticking state, the velocity of the “foot point” $Q$ is zero.

As the vector of the spring force is determined uniquely by two quantities: the elongation $l$ and the inclination angle $\theta$ to the direction of the macroscopic movement, it is convenient to write equations in terms of these two quantities.

The coordinates of the upper end of the spring can be written as

$$x_P = v_0 t,$$
$$y_P = y_0 \sin \omega t$$

(1)
and the corresponding velocities

\[ \begin{align*}
&\dot{x}_p = v_0, \\
&\dot{y}_p = y_0 \omega \cos \omega t
\end{align*} \]

(2)

**Sticking phase:** if the immediate contact point is sticking then the equations for the angle \( \theta \) and the length \( l \) are

\[ \begin{align*}
\dot{\theta} &= \frac{y_0 \omega \cos \omega t \cos \theta - v_0 \sin \theta}{l} \\
\dot{l} &= y_0 \omega \cos \omega t \sin \theta + v_0 \cos \theta
\end{align*} \]

(3)

(4)

where \( y_0 \omega \cos \omega t \cos \theta - v_0 \sin \theta \) is the component of the velocity of the point P in the direction perpendicular to the elongation \( l \) and \( y_0 \omega \cos \omega t \sin \theta + v_0 \cos \theta \) is the velocity component of the same point in the direction of the elongation (see Fig. 2(a) for illustration).

These equations remain valid as long as the elongation \( l \) remains smaller than the critical value

\[ l < l_0 = \frac{\mu_0 F_z}{k} \]

(5)

**Slipping phase:** after the elongation \( l \) reaches the critical value \( l_0 \), it does not increase further, but remains equal to \( l_0 \). Note that due to the equilibrium conditions in the immediate contact point Q, its movement occurs always in the direction of the elongation. Thus it has no velocity component perpendicular to the direction of \( l \). The angular velocity of the direction of the elongation \( l \) is given by the ratio of the difference of the transversal velocity components of points P and Q to the (constant) length \( l_0 \). However, as the transversal component of velocity of point Q is zero, Eq. (3) remains valid, except that \( l \) has to be replaced by \( l_0 \):

\[ \dot{\theta} = \frac{y_0 \omega \cos \omega t \cos \theta - v_0 \sin \theta}{l_0} \]

(6)

This equation remains valid as long as the projection of the velocity of point P on the direction of \( l \) remains positive:

\[ y_0 \omega \cos \omega t \sin \theta + v_0 \cos \theta > 0 \]

(7)

This condition guarantees that the point Q is following P in the direction of the elongation. Otherwise it stops until the condition Eq. (5) is fulfilled again.

Introducing dimensionless variables and operators

\[ \tau = \omega t, \quad (\quad)' = \frac{d}{d\tau}, \quad \tilde{y}_0 = \frac{y_0}{l_0}, \quad \tilde{l} = \frac{l}{l_0}, \quad \tilde{v}_0 = \frac{v_0}{l_0 \omega} \]

(8)

one can rewrite Eqs. (3) and (4) as

\[ \begin{align*}
\theta' &= \frac{1}{\tilde{l}} \left( \tilde{v}_0 \sin \theta + \tilde{y}_0 \cos \theta \cos \tau \right), \quad \text{for stick phase} \\
\tilde{l}' &= \tilde{y}_0 \sin \theta \cos \tau + \tilde{v}_0 \cos \theta, \quad \text{for stick phase}
\end{align*} \]

(9)

(10)

and Eqs. (6) and (7) as

\[ \begin{align*}
\theta' &= -\tilde{v}_0 \sin \theta + \tilde{y}_0 \cos \theta \cos \tau, \quad \text{for slip phase} \\
\tilde{y}_0 \sin \theta \cos \tau + \tilde{v}_0 \cos \theta > 0. \quad \text{for slip phase}
\end{align*} \]

(11)

(12)

The goal of our study is to determine the average force component in the sliding direction

\[ \langle F_z \rangle = \langle k \cdot l \cdot \cos \theta \rangle = \mu_0 F_z \langle \tilde{l} \cdot \cos \theta \rangle \]

(13)

(where \( \langle \ldots \rangle \) denotes averaging over one period of oscillation in the steady state) and the corresponding macroscopic coefficient of friction defined as

\[ \mu_{\text{macro}} = \frac{\langle F_z \rangle}{F_z} = \mu_0 \langle \tilde{l} \cdot \cos \theta \rangle \]

(14)
or the normalized coefficient of friction

\[
\bar{\mu}_{\text{macro}} = \frac{\mu_{\text{macro}}}{\mu_0} = \langle \hat{I} \cdot \cos \theta \rangle
\]  

(15)

Note that all the Eqs. (9)–(12) as well as definition, Eq. (15), depend only on two dimensionless parameters \( \tilde{y}_0 \) and \( \tilde{v}_0 \). We therefore present all the results of this paper as function of these parameters.

### 3 Static coefficient of friction

If the system starts from the neutral state with zero tangential force and moves slowly in the \( x \)-direction, while at the same time oscillating in the \( y \)-direction with amplitude \( y_0 \) (see Fig. 3), then the macroscopically seen (average) spring force will be increasing until the critical state shown in Fig. 3 is reached. In this state, the component of the spring force in the \( x \)-direction is equal to

\[
F_x = \mu_0 F_z \sqrt{1 - y_0^2 / l_0^2}
\]

and remains unchanged during the entire oscillation cycle.

Thus, the average coefficient of friction in this state, which we can interpret as the static coefficient of friction is given by

\[
\bar{\mu}_{\text{macro, static}} = \sqrt{1 - \tilde{y}_0^2 / \tilde{l}_0^2} = \sqrt{1 - y_0^2}
\]

(16)

Fig. 3 Critical state of a system with oscillation amplitude \( y_0 \) and very slow motion in the \( x \)-direction.

### 4 Continuous sliding and stick-slip motion

It is intuitively clear that at sufficiently high sliding velocities \( \tilde{v}_0 \), the contact point will be in the sliding state all the time, while at smaller sliding velocities the motion will consist of a sequence of stick and slip phases. On the parameter plane (\( \tilde{v}_0, \tilde{y}_0 \)), the region of continuous sliding is separated from the stick-slip region by a boundary that can be found numerically by solving Eq. (11), which is valid in the region of continuous sliding, and checking the fulfillment of the condition (12). Figure 4 shows the areas of the continuous and intermittent sliding and the boundary line between them.

#### 4.1 Small oscillation amplitudes \( \tilde{y}_0 \ll 1 \)

As can be seen from Eq. (11), in this case the angle also remains small, so that we can set in Eq. (11) \( \sin \theta \approx \theta \) and \( \cos \theta \approx 1 \):

\[
\theta' + \tilde{v}_0 \theta = \tilde{y}_0 \cos \tau
\]

(17)

The steady-state solution of this equation is given by

\[
\theta = \frac{\tilde{y}_0}{\sqrt{1 + \tilde{v}_0^2}} \cos (\tau + \varphi)
\]

(18)

with

\[
\tan \varphi = -1 / \tilde{v}_0
\]

(19)

This equation is valid for small angles, i.e.

\[
\frac{\tilde{y}_0}{\sqrt{1 + \tilde{v}_0^2}} \ll 1
\]

(20)

The borderline between small and large angles is shown in Fig. 4 by a dashed line. Substituting Eq. (18) into the condition for continuous sliding, Eq. (12), we can rewrite this condition as

\[
\frac{\tilde{y}_0^2}{\sqrt{1 + \tilde{v}_0^2}} \cos (\tau + \varphi) \cos \tau + \tilde{v}_0 > 0
\]

(21)

Fig. 4 Area of continuous sliding and area of stick-slip motion (separated by the bold line) over the two system parameters. Also shown are the regions of small and large angles according to Eq. (20) (dashed line).
or
\[
\frac{\dot{y}_0^2}{2\sqrt{1+\dot{v}_0^2}} \cos(2\tau + \phi) + \dot{v}_0 + \frac{\ddot{y}_0^2}{2(1+\dot{v}_0^2)} > 0 \tag{22}
\]

It is fulfilled for any \( \tau \) if
\[
\dot{y}_0^2 < 2\dot{v}_0 (1+\dot{v}_0^2) \left[ \sqrt{1+\dot{v}_0^2} + \dot{v}_0 \right] \tag{23}
\]

Expanding the right-hand-side of Eq. (23) up to the terms of second order in \( \dot{v}_0 \), we obtain the condition \( \dot{y}_0^2 = 2\dot{v}_0 (1+\dot{v}_0^2) \) for the border line. Solving it with respect to \( \dot{v}_0 \) gives
\[
\dot{v}_{0,\text{crit}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 + \frac{1}{2}\dot{y}_0^2 - \frac{1}{2}}} \tag{24}
\]

This limiting case is displayed in Fig. 5.

4.2 Large oscillation amplitudes \( \dot{y}_0 >> 1 \)

In this case the motion occurs almost perpendicular to the direction of the average velocity and during most of the oscillation period the contact is sliding. Only in the vicinity of the “turning points” there arises the possibility of stick, because both components of the driving velocity become small. The first turning point corresponds to \( \tau = \pi / 2 \). Introducing a new variable \( \xi = \dot{v}_0 (\tau - \pi / 2) \), we can rewrite Eq. (11) as
\[
\frac{d\theta}{d\xi} = -\sin \theta - \frac{\ddot{v}_0}{\dot{v}_0^2} \xi \cos \theta \tag{25}
\]

The behavior described by this equation depends on the single parameter \( \ddot{v}_0 / \dot{v}_0^2 \). Its numerically determined critical value is \( \ddot{v}_0 / \dot{v}_0^2 \approx 4.5 \). Thus for the critical velocity we get
\[
\dot{v}_{0,\text{crit}} \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{4.5}} \ddot{v}_0^{1/2} \approx 0.47 \cdot \ddot{v}_0^{1/2} \tag{26}
\]

While this equation describes the asymptotic behavior very well, a slightly more complex equation can be constructed to approximate the complete dependence, both for small and large oscillation amplitudes:
\[
\dot{v}_{0,\text{crit}} = \frac{0.47\ddot{v}_0^{1/2}}{1+\ddot{v}_0^{1/2}} \tag{27}
\]

This approximation is shown in Fig. 6 together with Eq. (26) and the numerical results.

5 The macroscopic coefficient of friction over the entire parameter space

For each point \( (\dot{v}_0, \ddot{y}_0) \) in the parameter plane, there is a macroscopic coefficient of friction \( \mu_{\text{macro}} \). It is displayed over the entire parameter space in Fig. 7.

In the Fig. 8 the same dependence is shown by cuts of the plot in Fig. 7 along the \( \dot{v}_0 \)-axis.

While the exact quantitative description of the coefficient of friction on the entire parameter plane is complicated, the general structure of the dependence is relatively simple and is determined by a small number of “cornerstone” features. The general classification of various behaviors is very similar to that...
The macroscopic coefficient of friction displayed over the two system parameters. The region of continuous sliding is above the bold line.

The lines show the macroscopic coefficient of friction over the dimensionless velocity. They represent vertical cuts through the surface shown in Fig. 7. The graphs are shown for \( \tilde{y}_0 = 0, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, \ldots, 2 \). The amplitude \( \tilde{y}_0 = 1 \) is highlighted with the bold solid line. The round dots represent the border line, here indicating the critical macroscopic coefficient of friction over the critical velocity. The squares mark the static coefficient of friction as given by Eq. (16).

given in Ref. [37] for the case of normal oscillations:

- Without oscillations, the macroscopic coefficient of friction is constant and equal to its microscopic value \( \mu_0 \), thus \( \tilde{\mu}_{macro} = 1 \).
- With increasing oscillation amplitude, the static coefficient of friction decreases according to Eq. (16) and vanishes at \( \tilde{y}_0 = 1 \) (bold line in Fig. 8).
- Further increase of the oscillation amplitude leads to further decrease of the macroscopic coefficient of friction at finite sliding velocities while the static coefficient of friction remains zero.
- The qualitative behavior of the coefficient of friction as a function of velocity is different for the cases of small \( \tilde{y}_0 \ll 1 \) and large \( \tilde{y}_0 \gg 1 \) oscillation amplitudes:

1. In the region of small oscillation amplitudes, the coefficient of friction is roughly speaking increasing monotonically from its static value to the value corresponding to the point of continuous sliding. After this point, the coefficient of friction increases very slowly and can be approximately assumed to be constant. The critical velocity of continuous sliding thus retains at least approximately the meaning of the “critical velocity of controllability” of friction introduced in Ref. [37]. A more detailed analysis of this range of oscillation amplitudes, which is of most interest to applications, is provided in the next section.

2. In the region of large oscillation amplitudes, the differentiation between the cases of continuous sliding and intermittent sliding loses its importance, so that one can define a law of friction that is valid with good accuracy in the whole range of sliding velocities. A detailed analysis is given in the next Section. Note that this case was the only one considered in the earlier studies of the influence of sideways oscillations on friction Refs. [4, 5, 2].

6 Coefficient of friction at low and high oscillation amplitudes

6.1 Coefficient of friction at low oscillation amplitudes

The simple structure of the frictional law in the region of small oscillation amplitudes, \( \tilde{y}_0 \ll 1 \), is illustrated in Fig. 9, where the dependencies of the coefficient of friction on the velocity are shown in normalized variables: the deviation of the macroscopic coefficient

![Fig. 9 Displayed are transformed lines from Fig. 8 so that the square markers of the static friction coefficient and the round markers of the critical friction coefficient lie on top of each other. Displayed in this figure are only lines that lie above the bold line in Fig. 8: \( \tilde{y}_0 = 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, \ldots, 0.9 \), the bold line itself is not displayed here. The approximation Eq. (28) of this “master curve” is shown with red crosses.](image-url)
of friction from its static value normalized by the difference between the value of the border line between stick-slip and continuous sliding vs. velocity normalized by the critical velocity of continuous sliding.

One can see that at small oscillation amplitudes these dependences collapse with acceptable accuracy to a single “master curve”, which can be approximated with

$$\frac{\mu_{\text{macro}} - \mu_{\text{macro, static}}}{\mu_{\text{macro, crit}} - \mu_{\text{macro, static}}} = 1 - \left[ \frac{3}{4} \left( \frac{\tilde{v}_0}{\tilde{v}_{\text{0,crit}}} - 1 \right)^2 + \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{\tilde{v}_{\text{0,crit}}}{\tilde{v}_0} - 1 \right)^4 \right]$$

(28)

which coincides with the velocity dependence in the case of out-of-plane oscillations considered in Ref. [28] (shown with red crosses in Fig. 9). After passing the critical value of velocity the coefficient of friction changes only very slowly.

Thus, for small oscillation amplitudes the “law of friction” is completely determined by the value of the static coefficient of friction, Eq. (16), the value of the coefficient of friction in the critical state and the more or less universal transition between both points, Eq. (28).

From the above-mentioned three determining parameters of the law of friction at low oscillation amplitudes, static coefficient of friction, critical velocity of continuous sliding and coefficient of friction at the critical velocity, two are already known and given by Eq. (16) and Eq. (27), respectively. We now consider the macroscopic coefficient of friction $\tilde{\mu}_{\text{macro,crit}}$ directly on the border line. For very low values of $\tilde{y}_0$, the coefficient of friction in the area of continuous sliding (including the border line) can be calculated by substituting Eq. (18) into Eq. (15):

$$\tilde{\mu}_{\text{macro}} = \left\langle \cos (\kappa \cos (\tau + \phi)) \right\rangle$$

(29)

with

$$\kappa = \frac{\tilde{y}_0}{\sqrt{1 + \tilde{v}_0^2}}$$

(30)

Expanding Eq. (29) up to the second power of $\kappa$ gives

$$\tilde{\mu}_{\text{macro}} = 1 - \frac{\kappa^2}{2} \left( \cos^2 (\tau + \phi) \right) = 1 - \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{\tilde{y}_0^2}{1 + \tilde{v}_0^2} \right)$$

(31)

For large velocities, the coefficient of friction tends to the limiting value $\tilde{\mu}_{\text{macro}} = 1$, as it should. At the borderline defined by $\tilde{v}_0 \approx \tilde{y}_0^2 / 2$ in the first approximation we get

$$\tilde{\mu}_{\text{macro,crit}} = 1 - \frac{\tilde{y}_0^2}{4}$$

(32)

6.2 Coefficient of friction at high oscillation amplitudes

In the case $\tilde{y}_0 \gg 1$, for most of the oscillation cycle the contact point Q is in the sliding state with the possible exception of “turning points” which, however, do not substantially influence the average coefficient of friction. In this case, in Eq. (11), the derivative on the left-hand-side can be neglected compared with the terms on the right-hand side and this equation can be written as

$$0 = -\tilde{v}_0 \sin \theta + \tilde{y}_0 \cos \theta \cos \tau$$

(33)

Hence,

$$\tan \theta = \frac{\tilde{y}_0}{\tilde{v}_0} \cos \tau$$

(34)

For the macroscopic coefficient of friction, we obtain, using Eq. (15):

$$\tilde{\mu}_{\text{macro}} = \left\langle \cos \theta \right\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \tan^2 \theta}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\tau}{\sqrt{1 + \left( \frac{\tilde{y}_0}{\tilde{v}_0} \right)^2 \cos^2 \tau}}$$

(35)

where

$$K(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\tilde{v}_0}) = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\tilde{v}_0}} \sin^2 \tau}$$

(36)

is the complete elliptic integral of the first kind. The dependence Eq. (35) is shown in Fig. 10. This dependence reproduces the results of earlier studies of this mode of active control of friction in Refs. [4, 5, 2]. Equation (35) shows that the coefficient of friction at large oscillation amplitudes is a function of a single
parameter combination  \( \frac{\omega y_0}{\nu_0} \) \( \frac{\tilde{y}_0}{\tilde{v}_0} \) = \( \frac{\omega y_0}{\nu_0} \). Figure 10 shows that the dependences of the normalized coefficient of friction on the parameter \( \tilde{y}_0 / \tilde{v}_0 \) really do tend towards the “master curve” given by Eq. (35) (red crosses in Fig. 10).

7 Summary

We presented a general theoretical analysis of the influence of transverse oscillations on the macroscopically observed coefficient of friction. Unlike previous works, we explicitly took into account the contact stiffness. The natural length scale of the system is the elongation \( l_0 \) at which sliding starts, which depends on the normal force, the contact stiffness and the coefficient of friction according to Eq. (5). The natural scale of velocity is given by the sliding velocity \( \nu_0 \). Oscillation introduces an additional variable having the dimension of length—the oscillation amplitude, \( y_0 \), and an additional quantity having the dimension of velocity, \( l_0 \omega \). We have found that the dependence of the coefficient of friction on velocity is completely determined by two dimensionless parameters: the dimensionless amplitude of oscillation \( \tilde{y}_0 = y_0 / l_0 \) given by the ratio of the above two characteristic lengths; and dimensionless velocity, \( \tilde{v}_0 = \nu_0 / l_0 \omega \).

Figure 11 summarizes schematically the main findings of the present paper. Contrary to the previous figures, we use the non-normalized coefficient of friction and the non-normalized sliding velocity \( \nu_0 \), as this better highlights the main tendencies and is easier to compare with experiment.

As in the case of out-of-plane oscillations discussed in Ref. [33], there is qualitatively different behavior in the case of oscillation amplitudes smaller than some critical value (which in the present case is given by \( y_0 = l_0 \)) and in the case of large oscillation amplitudes.

In the case of large amplitudes, the behavior is relatively simple and coincides with the well-known solution obtained in Ref. [4] and later in Ref. [5] which, however, never could be fitted to experimental results Ref. [15]. In this case the static friction force is identically zero and the coefficient of friction is increasing monotonically according to the more or less universal law given by Eq. (35) tending to the microscopic value in the limit of very high velocities. In dimensional variables it reads:

\[
\mu_{\text{macro}} = \mu_0 \frac{2}{\pi} K\left( \frac{\nu_0}{\nu_0} \right)
\]

In the case of small oscillation amplitudes, there is a final static friction coefficient. In this region, the law of friction is roughly determined by three parameters: the static coefficient of friction, Eq. (16) or in non-normalized form:

\[
\mu_{\text{macro,static}} = \mu_0 \sqrt{1 - \frac{y_0^2}{l_0^2}}
\]
and the coefficient of friction at this velocity, Eq. (32):

$$
\mu_{\text{macro,crit}} = \mu_0 \left( 1 - \frac{1}{4} \frac{v_0^2}{l_0^2} \right)
$$

(40)

At larger velocities, the coefficient of friction has a very slowly changing plateau.

Let us briefly discuss the physical mechanism of the reduction of friction by transverse oscillations. In the case of out-of-plane oscillations, this reduction is exclusively due to the stick-slip motion: during the stick-phase the force of friction is smaller than the sliding frictional force; therefore, the average frictional force is smaller than the force at stationary sliding [28]. In the case of transverse oscillations, there are two main causes of friction reduction: (a) the occurrence of phases of stick and (b) the deflection of the local force of friction in the contact point from the direction of the macroscopic sliding. The first of these mechanisms is common for all kinds of active control of friction by oscillations. The second one is characteristic only for the case of transverse oscillations considered in the present paper. While the absolute value of the sliding force remains constant, the macroscopic coefficient of friction is determined by the projection of the force on the direction of the macroscopic sliding which in the case of transverse oscillations does not coincide with the direction of macroscopic sliding. Thus, it is always reduced compared to the absolute value of the sliding friction by the average value of $\cos \theta$, where $\theta$ is the angle between the sliding direction and the direction of the instant force of friction. This mechanism manifests itself in Eq. (15). Due to this second mechanism, the reduction of friction occurs even in the cases of continuous sliding.

8 Outlook

In the future, several problems have to be considered that have not been studied yet. From the three basic oscillation directions till now only two have been studied in detail, with account of the contact stiffness—the out-of-plane oscillations [28], and the in-plane sideways oscillations (present paper). The complete study of the active control of friction by the in-plane oscillations in the sliding direction is still open.

Further generalization of the present work could lead to consideration of contacts under simultaneous oscillations in many directions. An example of such a study carried out in Ref. [14] shows that multi-mode “active control of friction” leads to some qualitatively new effects such as actuation due to symmetry breaking.

Finally, let us mention that the present study can be extended by consideration of the contact dynamics on the time scale that was classified as “microscopic” in the present study. This would lead to non-local (temporal) dependences of the frictional force on the loading, or in other words the kinetics of the coefficient of friction. The basics for such a consideration are already given by Eqs. (9)–(12) but have not been an explicit subject of study in the present paper.
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