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Stress-Corrosion Cracking Behaviour of Lean-Duplex Stainless Steels in Chloride/Thiosulphate Environments
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Abstract: The stress-corrosion cracking (SCC) behaviour of two lean-duplex stainless steels (DSS 2304 and LDSS 2404) was studied by slow strain-rate tests (SSRT) in 20% NaCl solution at 80 °C (pH about 6) and in NACE TM-0177 solution at 25 °C (pH 2.7), both in the absence and in the presence of thiosulphate ions (S\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2−}). The SCC susceptibility of the two alloys was compared to that of LDSS 2101 investigated in a previous study. LDSS 2404 was always immune to SCC, while DSS 2304 (and LDSS 2101) suffered this corrosion form at specific concentrations. The high SCC resistance of DSS 2404 in both environments was connected to its high Mo content, while the significant SCC susceptibility of LDSS 2101 in NACE TM-0177 solution was likely due to the high Mn content of the alloy.
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1. Introduction

Duplex stainless steels (DSS) are very interesting materials due to the favourable combination of their mechanical properties, weldability and corrosion resistance in various environments. These characteristics support their use in various fields, from the paper to the petrochemical industries and from the construction sector to nuclear energy production [1]. Compared to conventional DSS (i.e., DSS 2205), lean-duplex stainless steels (LDSS) are grades with lower nickel (i.e., LDSS 2101, LDSS 2404) and/or molybdenum (i.e., DSS 2304) content [2,3]. Their employment is considered when they can lead to economical benefits in the design and maintenance of industrial plants or civil construction. Oil and gas equipment often operates in contact with chloride- and sulphide-rich media. The use of LDSS is proposed mostly on the basis of their pitting and stress-corrosion cracking (SCC) resistance in these environments [4]. Many papers deal with the SCC resistance of DSS 2205 [5–9] and superduplex stainless steels [10–13] in hydrogen sulphide (H\textsubscript{2}S) media. By contrast, few data are reported about the SCC behaviour of LDSS in these media. Johansson et al. [4] showed that no SCC was observed at H\textsubscript{2}S levels up to 0.15 bar for LDSS 2101 and 1 bar for DSS 2304 in NACE TM-0177. Ruel et al. [14] found that DSS 2304 had a higher SCC resistance in comparison to LDSS 2101 in 50 g/L NaCl, 5 g/L CH\textsubscript{3}COONa, at pH 2.8, with 50% H\textsubscript{2}S, at the temperature of 20 °C. They also suggested that Mn has a negative effect on SCC resistance, while N has a positive one. The SCC susceptibility of LDSS 2404 in environments containing H\textsubscript{2}S has not yet been investigated. Therefore, the study of the corrosion
performsances of LDSS in simulated oil and gas conditions is reputedly quite important. DSS 2304 was the first commercialized lean duplex. It was developed as a σ-free and low-cost grade, due to the Mo savings (Mo mass % around 0.3%) [1]. Among LDSS currently on the market, the 2101 grade is a suitable candidate for application in the oil and gas industry, in particular for mild well conditions and topside components [4], where it can satisfactorily substitute standard austenitic grades [15,16]. On the contrary, LDSS 2404 is a recently introduced Mo- and N-containing LDSS grade, designed as an economical alternative to the 2205 grade [17]. In our laboratory, we studied the corrosion resistance of LDSS 2101 extensively [18-21]. In particular, we focused on its SCC susceptibility in chloride solutions containing thiourea ions (S\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2-}) [18,20]. The approach to add S\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2-} instead of H\textsubscript{2}S gas in acid salt solutions in order to simulate the aggressiveness of sour well environments was suggested by Tsujikawa et al. [22], in order to study the pitting and SCC susceptibility of alloys in simulated well conditions, while minimizing the health hazards during laboratory tests and reducing the cost of equipment required to operate under safety conditions. In particular, they found that materials susceptible to SCC in H\textsubscript{2}S-containing solutions exhibited the same behaviour in the presence of S\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2-} [23]. Since S\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2-} is thermodynamically metastable, it may undergo disproportion and reduction reactions on the alloy surface to yield elemental S, which can be further reduced to H\textsubscript{2}S, depending on the solution pH and on the alloy corrosion potential [24]. As an example, H\textsubscript{2}S forms spontaneously on a carbon steel surface under free-corrosion conditions in acidic S\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2-} solutions [25]. On the contrary, in the same solutions a continuous surface scratching is needed to detect H\textsubscript{2}S gas formation on a 316-type stainless steel [23], because scratching causes a shift of the alloy-corrosion potential, into the H\textsubscript{2}S stability domain.

Some research studies [26-28] helped to clarify the detrimental effect of S\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2-} dissolved in aqueous solutions on the corrosion resistance of stainless steels. They showed that adsorbed sulphur monolayers can form on Fe, Ni, and Cr, due to S\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2-} reduction on the metal surface, also under potential pH conditions in which usual Pourbaix diagrams predict no metal sulphide stability [26]. Moreover, they demonstrated that in neutral chloride solution, S\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2-} exerts a deleterious effect on the pitting resistance of a Fe-17Cr alloy on which the S\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2-} reduction occurs only in correspondence of bare alloy regions, leading to the formation of sulphide islands incorporated into the oxide layer and/or sulphur adsorbed at the metal/oxide interface [27]. Adsorbed sulphur is reported to inhibit repassivation in correspondence of passive film flaws formed by chloride ions, thereby affecting the corrosion resistance of stainless steels [26,29]. Depending on the conditions of the slow strain-rate tests (SSRT), in particular at sufficiently acidic pH, S\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2-} addition in chloride solutions may produce both H\textsubscript{2}S and S, which can inhibit repassivation and catalyse the ingress of hydrogen in the alloys thus inducing sulphide-induced SCC (S-SCC) in stainless steels [28].

In our preceding work [18], SSRT were performed on LDSS 2101 during exposures to 20% NaCl solution at 80 °C (near neutral pH) and to standard NACE TM-0177 solution at 25 °C and pH 2.7, in the absence and in the presence of S\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2-} at various concentrations. LDSS 2101 was susceptible to SCC in 20% NaCl solution at 80 °C in the presence of S\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2-} at 10\textsuperscript{-3} M. However, the susceptibility decreased by increasing S\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2-} concentration, due to a significant selective dissolution at the expense of the ferrite phase. The corrosion potential values recorded during the tests were at the limit of the H\textsubscript{2}S stability domain [23] and well within the stability domains of sulphur adsorbed on Fe, Ni and Cr [26]. Thus, at high S\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2-} concentration, the selective corrosion of the ferrite phase, catalysed by adsorbed sulphur and stimulated by the galvanic coupling with the nobler austenite phase became competitive with SCC, reducing the SCC susceptibility of LDSS 2101, likely through crack tip blunting [30]. In NACE TM-0177 solution at 25 °C and pH 2.7, LDSS 2101 was susceptible to SCC even at 10\textsuperscript{-4} M S\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2-} and the SCC susceptibility increased at increasing S\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2-} concentration up to 10\textsuperscript{-2} M. Under these acidic conditions, the recorded corrosion potential values were significantly more negative than the equilibrium potentials of S\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2-} reduction to both S and H\textsubscript{2}S [24], suggesting that S-SCC was the predominant failure mechanism, particularly at higher S\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2-} concentrations. Our research studies [18] and other literature results [4] showed that, in NACE TM-0177 solution containing S\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2-}
or H₂S, the selective dissolution of the ferrite phase became competitive with S-SCC only at higher pH and temperatures.

In this work, the SCC behaviour of LDSS 2404 and DSS 2304 was studied in 20% NaCl solution at 80 °C and in the standard NACE TM-0177 solution at pH 2.7 and temperature of 25 °C, both in the absence and presence of S₂O₅²⁻, and was compared with LDSS 2101 behaviour under the same test conditions. The adopted S₂O₅²⁻ concentrations were selected on the basis of the results found for LDSS 2101 [18], so 10⁻² and 10⁻¹ M were used in 20% NaCl and 10⁻³ and 10⁻² M were selected for NACE TM-0177 solution at pH 2.7. At the end of the tests, the samples were observed under an optical microscope (OM) to assess the morphology of the corrosive attack.

2. Materials and Methods

The tests were performed on LDSS 2404 and DSS 2304 stainless steels (supplied by Outokumpu S.p.A., Sheffield, United Kingdom, under annealed conditions), having the nominal chemical compositions (in mass %) reported in Table 1 [31], where also the composition of the reference LDSS 2101 is reported. The alloy Pitting Resistance Equivalent Number (PREN) values are also reported. This index, specifically developed to predict the resistance to pitting corrosion of Stainless Steels (SS) [32], is now considered a simplified way to compare SS corrosion performances against the dependence of their chemical composition and was recently applied also to DSS [33]. Usually, it is calculated on the basis of the Cr, Mo and N contents of the SS, from the formula reported in Table 1. The PREN values predict that LDSS 2404 is the most corrosion-resistant alloy because of its high Cr, Mo and N content, while DSS 2304 and LDSS 2101 are expected to present comparable resistance to localized corrosion attack.

Table 1. Nominal chemical composition (mass %) and PREN values (PREN = %Cr + 3.3 × %Mo + 16 × %N [32]) of the studied duplex stainless steels (DSS) and the reference lean-duplex stainless steel (LDSS) 2101.

| DSS     | C   | Mn | Cr | Ni | Mo | N   | Fe | PREN |
|---------|-----|----|----|----|----|-----|----|------|
| LDSS 2404 | 0.02 | 3.0 | 24 | 3.6 | 1.6 | 0.27 | bal. | 34   |
| DSS 2304 | 0.02 | -  | 23 | 4.8 | 0.3 | 0.10 | bal. | 26   |
| LDSS 2101 | 0.03 | 5.0 | 21 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 0.22 | bal. | 26   |

The microstructure and the corrosion attack morphologies were documented by observations of the long transversal sections of tensile samples under an optical microscope (OM), after surface polishing with diamond pastes (up to 1 μm) and etching with Beraha’s reagent.

The susceptibility to SCC was evaluated by slow strain-rate tests (SSRT), with a strain rate of 1×10⁻⁶ s⁻¹ [34]. Tensile samples were machined from 1.5 mm-thick steel sheets, with their longitudinal direction parallel to the sheet lamination direction. They had an overall length of 230 cm and a gauge portion of 20 × 5 × 1.5 mm. Their surface was ground (always parallel to the stress direction) down to 800 grit emery papers, rinsed with deionized water, and degreased with acetone. Finally, they were screened by a two-component epoxy varnish, thereby leaving only the gauge portion exposed to the solution.

For SSRT, the tensile samples were inserted in an electrochemical cell filled by the following nitrogen-deaerated and thermostated solutions:

1. 20% NaCl in the absence and in the presence of sodium thiosulphate (Na₂S₂O₅), at concentrations of 10⁻² and 10⁻¹ M, T = 80 °C (measured pH 6); or
2. 5% NaCl + 0.5% CH₃COOH (the basic standard solution NACE TM-0177 [35], without H₂S gas) in the absence and in the presence of Na₂S₂O₅ at concentrations of 10⁻³ and 10⁻² M, T = 25 °C (measured pH 2.7).
SSRT were also performed in demineralized water at 80 °C and in air at 25 °C, as reference conditions. During each test, the stress–strain curve was recorded. Stress (in MPa) is the ratio of the applied load to cross-sectional area of the gauge portion (5 mm × 1.5 mm) in tensile samples, while strain is the ratio of the sample elongation to its original length, as evaluated from the relative movement of the tensile-machine crosshead. The obtained strain values are unitless, but they were expressed in percentage, as they were multiplied by 100. In parallel to stress–strain curve recording, the open circuit potential (E\text{OCP}, versus saturated calomel electrode (SCE)) values were measured. Each test was performed in triplicate.

The SCC susceptibility was evaluated by the ratio (R) between the percentage strain to fracture (ε\text{f} %) in the test solution and that in air (for 25 °C tests) or water (for 80 °C tests). R values equal to or higher than 0.8 were considered an index of immunity to SCC [36].

At the end of the tests, the gauge length section of the samples were observed with an optical stereomicroscope and side surfaces were examined by an OM, after polishing and etching with Beraha’s reagent, with the purpose of analysing crack initiation and morphology.

On unstressed samples with an exposed surface area of 72 mm\textsuperscript{2}, E\text{OCP} measurements were performed during 42 h of immersion in the previously described aggressive solutions. After about 24 h of immersion, on still passive electrodes a scratch was performed by using a glass tip to verify their repassivation capability. At the end of the 42 h immersion, anodic polarization curves were recorded, starting from E\text{OCP}, with a scan rate of 0.2 mV/s.

3. Results

3.1. Microstructures of the Studied Alloys

Figure 1 shows the microstructures of DSS 2304 and LDSS 2404 in their long transversal sections, characterized by elongated austenitic grains (lighter phase) embedded in a ferritic matrix (darker phase). These microstructures are quite similar to those of LSDD 2101 [18] and are typical of rolled-duplex stainless steels. On the three alloys, the volume fraction of ferrite was always quite close to that of austenite (51 ± 1% ferrite and balance austenite), which corresponds to the correct phase balance for these products [37].

![Figure 1](image-url)

**Figure 1.** Microstructure of the long transversal section (parallel to load direction in slow strain-rate tests (SSRT)) of DSS 2304 (a) and LDSS 2404 (b), after etching with Beraha’s reagent.

3.2. Slow Strain-Rate Test (SSRT) Results in 20% NaCl Solution at 80 °C without/with S\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2–}

Figure 2 depicts the stress–strain curves of DSS 2304 and LDSS 2404 acquired during SSRT performed at 80 °C in water and in 20% NaCl solution, in the presence and in the absence of S\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2–} at 10\textsuperscript{-2} and 10\textsuperscript{-1} M concentrations.
Figure 2. Stress–strain curves obtained during SSRT performed on DSS 2304 (a) and LDSS 2404 (b) at 80 °C, in water and in 20% NaCl solution, in the absence and in the presence of $10^{-2}$ and $10^{-1}$ M $S_2O_3^{2-}$.

The $\epsilon_f$ % obtained in 20% NaCl solution for both alloys were very close to those measured in water and the samples presented a ductile type fracture like that shown in Figure 3a. Thus, the alloys were not susceptible to SCC in this environment. With the addition of $10^{-2}$ M $S_2O_3^{2-}$, $\epsilon_f$ % of DSS 2304 decreased from 47 (obtained in water at 80 °C) and 45 (obtained in chloride solution without $S_2O_3^{2-}$) to about 37%. The sample presented a fracture of a more brittle type (Figure 3b), in comparison to that shown in Figure 3a, with black corrosion products deposited on the gauge length near to the fracture surface. As expected [9,25,38], iron sulphides were detected in that region by scanning electron microscopy/energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (SEM–EDS) analyses.

Figure 3. Macrograph acquired on the gauge length of DSS 2304 sample after SSRT in 20% NaCl solution at 80 °C, in the absence (a) and in the presence of $10^{-2}$ M $S_2O_3^{2-}$ (b).

The micrograph of the long transversal section (parallel to the load direction in SSRT) presented in Figure 4a reveals the presence of selective corrosion of the ferrite phase, with a tendency to form crack-like attacks in some points along the sample gauge length.

When $S_2O_3^{2-}$ concentration was increased to $10^{-1}$ M, $\epsilon_f$ % increased to a value close to that obtained with only chloride solution. The sample presented a moderately ductile type fracture, with black corrosion products close to the fracture region. In this case, only a selective attack of the ferrite phase can be observed by OM (Figure 4b). As already observed for LDSS 2101 [18], the increase in $S_2O_3^{2-}$ concentration induced a significant dissolution of the ferrite phase and a reduction of attack localization and crack propagation.

In the presence of $S_2O_3^{2-}$, the $\epsilon_f$ % values of LDSS 2404 slightly decreased (Figure 2b) in comparison to that obtained in the absence of $S_2O_3^{2-}$, but no significant differences could be detected with the variation of $S_2O_3^{2-}$ concentration from $10^{-2}$ to $10^{-1}$ M.
All 2404 samples tested in the presence of S\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}²⁻ showed a diffused corrosion attack with dark corrosion product formation (Figure 5a). The micrograph of the long transversal section of the sample tested in the presence of S\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}²⁻ at 10⁻² M, reported in Figure 5b, only evidences a scarcely penetrating selective attack of the ferrite phase. This corrosion form characterized also the sample tested in the presence of 10⁻¹ M S\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}²⁻.

Table 2 shows the average εᵢ % values from all SSRT, including the εᵢ % standard deviations.

| Test Solution               | DSS 2304 | LDSS 2404 |
|-----------------------------|----------|-----------|
| water 80 °C                 | 47 ± 1   | 45 ± 1    |
| 20% NaCl                    | 45 ± 1   | 45 ± 2    |
| 20% NaCl + 10⁻² M S\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}²⁻ | 37 ± 2   | 39 ± 3    |
| 20% NaCl + 10⁻¹ M S\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}²⁻ | 42 ± 3   | 39 ± 3    |
Figure 6 presents the $E_{\text{OCP}}$-strain trends acquired during SSRT on DSS 2304 and LDSS 2404. In the absence of $\text{S}_2\text{O}_3^{2-}$, the $E_{\text{OCP}}$ of DSS 2304 tested around $-0.33 \text{ V}_{\text{SCE}}$, while that of LDSS 2404 showed slightly more positive values (around $-0.25 \text{ V}_{\text{SCE}}$ at the end of the test). These $E_{\text{OCP}}$ values are compatible with the formation of a protective oxide layer [28]. In the presence of $10^{-2} \text{ M } \text{S}_2\text{O}_3^{2-}$, the $E_{\text{OCP}}$ of DSS 2304 shifted towards more negative values, reaching a plateau at $-0.47 \text{ V}_{\text{SCE}}$, with a further dropping to about $-0.5 \text{ V}_{\text{SCE}}$ at the end of the test. Conversely, in this environment, the $E_{\text{OCP}}$ of LDSS 2404 was initially similar to that measured in the only chloride solution (red dashed curve), but, after a few hours of the test, it dropped to values more negative than $-0.4 \text{ V}_{\text{SCE}}$, then reaching about $-0.45 \text{ V}_{\text{SCE}}$. When $\text{S}_2\text{O}_3^{2-}$ concentration was increased to $10^{-1} \text{ M}$, both $E_{\text{OCP}}$ of DSS 2304 and that of LDSS 2404 stabilized at around $-0.43 \text{ V}_{\text{SCE}}$. As already noticed for LDSS 2101 [18], all $E_{\text{OCP}}$ values recorded in deaerated 20% NaCl solution at 80 °C (measured pH 6) in the presence of $\text{S}_2\text{O}_3^{2-}$ were located at the limit of the stability domain of $\text{H}_2\text{S}$ [23] and fell well within the domain of adsorbed sulphur on the metal surfaces [26,28].

Figure 6. $E_{\text{OCP}}$-strain trends of DSS 2304 (a) and LDSS 2404 (b) during SSRT in 20% NaCl solution at 80 °C, in the absence and presence of $10^{-2}$ and $10^{-1} \text{ M } \text{S}_2\text{O}_3^{2-}$.

Figure 7 shows the $E_{\text{OCP}}$-time trends recorded on DSS 2304 and LDSS 2404 electrodes during static immersions of 42 h in 20% NaCl solution at 80 °C, in the absence and in the presence of $\text{S}_2\text{O}_3^{2-}$ at $10^{-2}$ and $10^{-1} \text{ M}$ concentrations. In the absence of $\text{S}_2\text{O}_3^{2-}$, both alloys exhibited fast oscillations of $E_{\text{OCP}}$ around $-0.1/-0.15 \text{ V}_{\text{SCE}}$ values and a scratch on the electrode surface after 24 h of immersion produced only a spike to about $-0.2 \text{ V}_{\text{SCE}}$, followed by fast repassivation (Figure 7a,b). This suggests that the alloys are able to maintain the integrity of the air-formed passive film. When $10^{-2} \text{ M } \text{S}_2\text{O}_3^{2-}$ was added to the 20% NaCl solution, the $E_{\text{OCP}}$ values of DSS 2304 became more strongly negative from the beginning of the test, stabilizing at values close to $-0.45 \text{ V}_{\text{SCE}}$, in agreement with those measured during the SSRT in the same solution. This indicates that the air-formed passive film of DSS 2304 is no more compact and protective in this environment.

Figure 7. $E_{\text{OCP}}$-strain trends of DSS 2304 (a) and LDSS 2404 (b) during immersion in 20% NaCl solution at 80 °C, in the absence and presence of $10^{-2}$ and $10^{-1} \text{ M } \text{S}_2\text{O}_3^{2-}$.
Conversely, E_{OCP} of LDSS 2404 was about −0.15 V_{SCE} in this solution until a scratch was produced, which determined a significant drop of E_{OCP} to values lower than −0.40 V_{SCE} (in agreement with trends observed during SSRT). These values were then maintained until the end of the test. This suggests that on this alloy the passive film is more stable than that formed on DSS 2304, but its repassivation at large flaws (scratches) is hindered by the likely conversion of S_2O_3^{2−} to sulphur and scarcely protective sulphides on the bare metal surface [27]. In the presence of 10^{−1} M S_2O_3^{2−}, both alloys exhibited E_{OCP} values in the −0.45 ÷ −0.5 V_{SCE} range, indicating active conditions. It is reasonable that the higher the S_2O_3^{2−} concentration, the higher the adsorbed sulphur coverage, which tends to inhibit the repassivation of film flaws and stimulate the corrosion attack, particularly on the less noble ferrite phase. Galvanic coupling with austenite may favour this selective attack [30,39–42].

Figure 8 presents the anodic polarization curves recorded in 20% NaCl solution without or with 10^{−2} M S_2O_3^{2−} on both alloys, after 42 h of immersion. In the absence of S_2O_3^{2−}, both alloys were passive and in spite of the scratch they exhibited an anodic curve with a wide passive-potential range spanning from E_{OCP} up to a potential value where a rapid current increase was detected, due to pitting corrosion (E_{pit}). LDSS 2404 showed a higher E_{pit} and a lower passive-current density in comparison to DSS 2304, evidence of a superior passive-layer protectiveness in this environment. In a solution containing 10^{−2} M S_2O_3^{2−}, DSS 2304 was not scratched, because it was in an active state since the beginning of the test, with E_{OCP} values around −0.45 V_{SCE}. Its final anodic polarization curve shows a relatively low anodic slope, confirming that in this environment the passive film is markedly damaged. Conversely, LDSS 2404 which exhibited a noble E_{OCP} during the immersion and underwent a 250 mV decrease after scratching, displayed a polarization curve typical of pseudopassive corrosion behaviour, evidenced by quite a high anodic slope of the polarization curve and relatively high passive currents (about 3 × 10^{−5} A cm^{−2}). These are likely connected to the presence of the artificial defect in the oxide film. The steep anodic slope testifies to the high intrinsic corrosion resistance of the alloy in spite of the defect. In the presence of 10^{−1} M S_2O_3^{2−} (curves not shown), both alloys resulted active just after the immersion, due to the significant environmental aggressiveness, and polarization curves similar to that exhibited with DSS 2304 in 10^{−2} M S_2O_3^{2−} were obtained.

![Figure 8](image-url)

*Figure 8.* Polarization curves recorded on DSS 2304 and LDSS 2404 after 42 h immersion in 20% NaCl solution at 80 °C, in the absence and in the presence of 10^{−2} M S_2O_3^{2−}.

These tests suggest that in 20% NaCl solution the alloys are scarcely affected by the presence of flaws in the passive film, such as those artificially produced or those caused by tensile straining during
SSRT, because fast spontaneous healing of the passive film occurs. This may hinder both SCC and general corrosion attack of the alloys in this environment.

$S_2O_3^{2-}$ addition affects the alloy oxide stability of DSS 2304 under dynamic (Figure 6) and also under static (Figures 7 and 8) conditions, at both $S_2O_3^{2-}$ concentrations. On this alloy, active E_OCP values are detected in conjunction with selective ferrite corrosion (static and SSRT) and SCC attack (SSRT at $10^{-2}$ M $S_2O_3^{2-}$ concentrations). $S_2O_3^{2-}$ is also aggressive towards LDSS 2404 (Figures 6 and 7). In $10^{-2}$ M $S_2O_3^{2-}$, the alloy is passive under static conditions, but undergoes a 250 mV shift of E_OCP towards the negative direction if the film is damaged by tensile strain (Figure 6) or by scratching (Figures 6–8), due to the onset of ferrite corrosion. The histogram of Figure 9 compares the R index values calculated for DSS 2304 and LDSS 2404 to those obtained for LDSS 2101 [18]. An R value of 0.79 indicates a slight susceptibility to SCC of DSS 2304 in 20% NaCl solution at 80 °C in the presence of the lowest tested $S_2O_3^{2-}$ concentration ($10^{-2}$ M). The same behaviour was observed for LDSS 2101 [18]. No SCC susceptibility was detected for LDSS 2404.

![Figure 9.](image_url) R index of the studied LDSS in 20% NaCl solution at 80 °C, in the absence and in the presence of $10^{-2}$ and $10^{-3}$ M $S_2O_3^{2-}$.

The different behaviour of the studied alloys can be predicted from their PREN values (Table 1) and is in strong correlation with their Mo content. In particular, LDSS 2404 with its Mo percentage about 5 times higher than that of DSS 2304 and LDSS 2101 ensures the best corrosion behaviour. Literature results [43,44] show that alloyed Mo reduces SCC and pitting-corrosion susceptibility of Ni–Cr–Mo–Fe alloy in a H_2S-Cl^- environment, due to the formation of stable molybdenum sulphide in the outer layer of the surface film which, being cation-selective, protects the inner chromium oxide layer from $Cl^-$ attack. Moreover, Mo containing SS and DSS grades also exhibit a higher pitting-corrosion resistance in $Cl^-/S_2O_3^{2-}$ environments in comparison to low-Mo grades [45].

3.3. SSRT Results in NACE TM-0177 Solution at 25 °C without/with $S_2O_3^{2-}$

Figure 10 depicts the stress–strain curves of DSS 2304 (a) and LDSS 2404 (b) acquired during SSRT performed in air at 25 °C and in NACE TM-0177 solution at 25 °C, in the absence and in the presence of $10^{-3}$ and $10^{-2}$ M $S_2O_3^{2-}$.

In the absence of $S_2O_3^{2-}$, both alloys present stress–strain curves quite similar to those recorded in air at the same temperature, suggesting that they do not suffer SCC in this environment. At the lowest tested $S_2O_3^{2-}$ concentration ($10^{-3}$ M), DSS 2304 showed a stress–strain curve similar to that recorded in air (Figure 10) and a ductile-type fracture, without secondary cracks. At the highest tested $S_2O_3^{2-}$ concentration ($10^{-2}$ M), this alloy underwent a significant $\varepsilon_f$ % reduction and several secondary cracks, likely developed from pits [30] (Figure 11), were detected in the gauge length portion of tensile specimens, characterized by a brittle fracture type. These secondary cracks propagated within the $\alpha$
phase and followed both $\gamma/\gamma$ and $\alpha/\gamma$ grain boundaries, but an intense selective attack of the ferrite phase was not observed. Like DSS 2304, LDSS 2101 [18] also exhibited decreasing $\varepsilon_f$ % at increasing $S_2O_3^{2-}$ content in the NACE TM-0177 solution.

Figure 10. Stress–strain curves obtained during SSRT performed in air at 25 °C and in NACE TM-0177 solution at 25 °C and pH 2.7, in the absence and in the presence of $10^{-3}$ or $10^{-2}$ M $S_2O_3^{2-}$ on DSS 2304 (a) and on LDSS 2404 (b).

By contrast, LDSS 2404 maintained high ductility, comparable to that obtained in air at 25 °C, also in the presence of $S_2O_3^{2-}$ at both tested concentrations, as shown in Figure 10. The ductile-type fracture obtained on this alloy in air and in the presence of $10^{-2}$ M $S_2O_3^{2-}$ is shown in Figure 12.

Figure 11. Macrograph (a) and micrograph (b) of the long transversal section of DSS 2304 sample after SSRT in NACE TM-0177 solution at 25 °C and pH 2.7, in the presence of $10^{-2}$ M $S_2O_3^{2-}$.

Figure 12. Macrograph of LDSS 2404 sample after SSRT in air at 25 °C (a) and in NACE TM-0177 solution at 25 °C and pH 2.7, in the presence of $10^{-2}$ M $S_2O_3^{2-}$ (b).
The $E_{\text{OCP}}$-strain curves for DSS 2304 and LDSS 2404 during SSRT in NACE TM-0177 solution containing or not containing $S_2O_3^{2-}$ are presented in Figure 13. Without $S_2O_3^{2-}$, the $E_{\text{OCP}}$ of DSS 2304 set around $-0.3$ V$_{\text{SCE}}$ and that of LDSS 2404 to slightly more positive values (about $-0.24$ V$_{\text{SCE}}$) towards the end of the test, at which a stable passive layer forms on both alloys [28]. When $S_2O_3^{2-}$ was added, all $E_{\text{OCP}}$ values shifted towards more positive values with the exception of that recorded on DSS 2304, in the presence of the highest $S_2O_3^{2-}$ concentration ($10^{-2}$ M). In this case, the $E_{\text{OCP}}$ values decreased down to $-0.5$ V$_{\text{SCE}}$, likely due to the synergistic effect of $Cl^-$ and $S_2O_3^{2-}$ [24], favouring the development of pits from which a crack propagated. Under these acidic pH conditions, such a negative potential value permits the reduction of $S_2O_3^{2-}$ to S and $H_2S$ [22,23] on the crack’s walls and favours H penetration and embrittlement of the alloy, inducing S-SCC. In this environment, a concentration of $10^{-4}$ M $S_2O_3^{2-}$ was sufficient to induce S-SCC on LDSS 2101 and SCC susceptibility increased at increasing $S_2O_3^{2-}$ concentration, because more $H_2S$ formed on the active sites of the sample surface [18].

![Figure 13. $E_{\text{OCP}}$-strain trends of DSS 2304 (a) and LDSS 2404 (b) during SSRT in NACE TM-0177 solution at 25 $^\circ$C and pH 2.7, in the absence and presence of $10^{-3}$ and $10^{-2}$ M $S_2O_3^{2-}$.](image)

Actually, at this low pH, the nobler $E_{\text{OCP}}$ values of $-0.14/-0.3$ V$_{\text{SCE}}$ achieved by LDSS 2404 in the presence of $S_2O_3^{2-}$ and by DSS 2304 in diluted $S_2O_3^{2-}$ solutions are also compatible with the reduction of $S_2O_3^{2-}$ to adsorbed sulphur and then to $H_2S$ [26,28]. However, it is likely that, at these nobler $E_{\text{OCP}}$ values, only small amounts of S and $H_2S$ can be produced that may be insufficient to stabilize metastable pits from which SCC develops [21].

During static immersions in NACE TM-0177 solution containing $10^{-2}$ M $S_2O_3^{2-}$, $E_{\text{OCP}}$-time trends (Figure 14) were recorded for 42 h on DSS 2304 and LDSS 2404 electrodes.

![Figure 14. $E_{\text{OCP}}$-time trends of DSS 2304 and LDSS 2404 during immersion in NACE TM-0177 solution at 25 $^\circ$C and pH 2.7, in the presence of $10^{-2}$ M $S_2O_3^{2-}$.](image)
In spite of the absence of applied stress, the results were similar to those obtained during SSRT and shown in Figure 13. In fact, also under static conditions, the $E_{OCP}$ of DSS 2304 shifted rather quickly in the negative direction, from an initial value of $-0.25 \text{V}_{SCE}$ down to $-0.5 \text{V}_{SCE}$. In this test, the electrode was not scratched. Conversely, LDSS 2404 exhibited stable noble $E_{OCP}$ values close to $-0.1 \text{V}_{SCE}$, indicating the presence of passive conditions, capable of recovering quickly after scratching (at about 24 h of immersion, Figure 14). In Figure 15, the final anodic polarization curves are shown. They confirm that DSS 2304 is under active conditions, while LDSS 2404 exhibit a passive behaviour, with passive currents around $10^{-5} \text{A cm}^{-2}$ or lower, in spite of the presence of the scratch.

![Figure 15. Polarization curves recorded on DSS 2304 and LDSS 2404 after 42 h immersion in NACE TM-0177 solution at 25 °C and pH 2.7, in the presence of $10^{-2} \text{M S}_2\text{O}_3^{2-}$.](image)

The histogram of Figure 16 compares the R index values calculated for DSS 2304 and LDSS 2404, to those obtained for LDSS 2101 [18] in NACE TM-0177 solution at 25 °C, in the absence and in the presence of $\text{S}_2\text{O}_3^{2-}$. DSS 2404 was never susceptible to SCC independent of $\text{S}_2\text{O}_3^{2-}$ concentration, as R values were always very close to 1. By contrast, DSS 2304 (and LDSS 2101) showed an increase in SCC susceptibility at increasing $\text{S}_2\text{O}_3^{2-}$ content. A $10^{-2} \text{M}$ concentration of $\text{S}_2\text{O}_3^{2-}$ was necessary to induce SCC failure on DSS 2304, while a $10^{-4} \text{M} \text{S}_2\text{O}_3^{2-}$ addition was sufficient for LDSS 2101 [18]. Moreover, the latter alloy was significantly more susceptible to SCC in comparison to DSS 2304 at concentrations of $10^{-3}$ and $10^{-2} \text{M}$.

In NACE TM-0177 solution, LDSS 2101 results significantly more susceptible to SCC in comparison to DSS 2304 (Figure 16), and a $\text{S}_2\text{O}_3^{2-}$ concentration of $10^{-4} \text{M}$ is high enough to induce a slight SCC susceptibility on this alloy [18]. This behaviour may be connected to the presence of a relevant content of Mn, which has a detrimental effect on pitting-corrosion resistance [14,46]. A PREN formula different from that reported in Table 1 has been proposed [47,48], in order to rate alloys regarding their pitting-corrosion resistance and including the influence of Mn content. According to this formula (PREN$_{\text{Mn}} = %\text{Cr} + 3.3\%\text{Mo} + 30\%\text{N} - 1\%\text{Mn}$), LDSS 2101 is less resistant (PREN$_{\text{Mn}} = 24$), than DSS 2304 ($\text{PREN}_{\text{Mn}} = 27$) and LDSS 2404 ($\text{PREN}_{\text{Mn}} = 34$). Considering that in Cl$^-$/H$_2$S solutions SCC cracks are reputed to develop from pits [30], a high Mn content may contribute to affect pitting and thus SCC resistance in SSRT. In LDSS 2404, the detrimental effect of Mn is counterbalanced by the high content of Mo, so ensuring high SCC resistance [31,46].

In S$_2$O$_3^{2-}$ containing 20% NaCl solution at 80 °C, the detrimental influence of Mn is likely less relevant to explain differences in SCC behaviour of the studied alloys, because pitting is not detected. Instead, the selective corrosion of the ferrite phase appears competitive with SCC. Therefore, LDSS
2101 and DSS 2304 show almost the same behaviour, while LDSS 2404 maintains high performance because of its high Mo content.

![Figure 16. R index of the studied LDSS in NACE TM-0177 solution at 25 °C and pH 2.7, in the absence and presence of 10^{-3} and 10^{-2} M S_{2}O_{3}^{2−}.](image)

4. Conclusions

1. During SSRT, the studied LDSS grades did not exhibit SCC in 20% NaCl solution at 80 °C and in NACE TM-0177 solution at 25 °C and pH 2.7, in the absence of S_{2}O_{3}^{2−}.

2. The addition of S_{2}O_{3}^{2−} in 20% NaCl solution at 80 °C produced selective corrosion of the ferrite phase in all alloys.

3. In 20% NaCl solution containing S_{2}O_{3}^{2−}, a selective corrosion attack on the ferrite phase was in competition with SCC. The latter corrosion form prevailed at the lowest tested S_{2}O_{3}^{2−} concentration (10^{-2} M) on both DSS 2304 and LDSS 2101. At 10^{-1} M, ferrite dissolution produced crack blunting and SCC was not observed. LDSS 2404 was immune to SCC at both S_{2}O_{3}^{2−} concentrations.

4. In NACE TM-0177 solution at 25 °C and pH 2.7 containing S_{2}O_{3}^{2−}, no selective ferrite corrosion was observed. On LDSS 2101 and DSS 2304, the higher the S_{2}O_{3}^{2−} concentration, the stronger the alloy susceptibility to S-SCC. LDSS 2404 was immune to SCC.

5. The SCC behaviour of the three alloys studied strongly depended on their composition. In particular, the presence of a significant amount of Mo increased the SCC resistance of LDSS 2404 in both environments, while the high Mn content in LDSS 2101 negatively affected the alloy SCC resistance in NACE TM-0177 solution containing S_{2}O_{3}^{2−}, where pits play an important role as crack initiators.
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