RNA-Guided AsCas12a- and SpCas9-Catalyzed Knockout and Homology Directed Repair of the Omega-1 Locus of the Human Blood Fluke, Schistosoma mansoni
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Abstract: The efficiency of the RNA-guided AsCas12a nuclease of Acidaminococcus sp. was compared with SpCas9 from Streptococcus pyogenes, for functional genomics in Schistosoma mansoni. We deployed optimized conditions for the ratio of guide RNAs to the nuclease, donor templates, and electroporation parameters, to target a key schistosome enzyme termed omega-1. Programmed cleavages catalyzed by Cas12a and Cas9 resulted in staggered- and blunt-ended strand breaks, respectively. AsCas12a was more efficient than SpCas9 for gene knockout, as determined by TIDE analysis. CRISPResso2 analysis confirmed that most mutations were deletions. Knockout efficiency of both nucleases markedly increased in the presence of single-stranded oligodeoxynucleotide (ssODN) template. With AsCas12a, ssODNs representative of both the non-CRISPR target (NT) and target (T) strands were tested, resulting in KO efficiencies of 15.67, 28.71, and 21.43% in the SpCas9 plus ssODN, AsCas12a plus NT-ssODN, and AsCas12a plus T-ssODN groups, respectively. Trans-cleavage against the ssODNs by activated AsCas12a was not apparent in vitro. SpCas9 catalyzed more precise transgene insertion, with knock-in efficiencies of 17.07% for the Kl_Cas9 group, 14.58% for Kl_Cas12a-NT-ssODN, and 12.37% for Kl_Cas12a-T-ssODN. Although AsCas12a induced fewer mutations per genome than SpCas9, the phenotypic impact on transcription and expression of omega-1 was similar for both nucleases.
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1. Introduction

Interest is increasing in functional genomics to investigate helminth parasites, specifically genome editing to investigate pathophysiology, carcinogenesis, and biology. Recent progress showcased the deployment of clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats CRISPR/Cas-programmed genome editing in parasitic flatworms, in particular with the human blood fluke Schistosoma mansoni [1] and the carcinogenic liver fluke, Opisthorchis viverrini [2] as exemplars. However, given that these helminth parasites are nonmodel
organisms, that their genome sequences are often not available or not well curated, and that they are frequently refractory to maintenance in the laboratory, approaches to advance functional genomics using CRISPR/Cas are not yet established or available for wide use in the field of tropical medical and neglected tropical diseases. Nonetheless, our capacity to decipher the molecular pathogenesis of these diseases will be aided by advances in gene editing methodologies [3].

RNA-guided nucleases evolved in archaea and bacteria as adaptive immunity mechanism to destroy phage viruses and other nucleic acid invaders [4,5]. The catalogue of these CRISPR/Cas nucleases is expanding. The $Sp$Cas9 of *Streptococcus pyogenes*, a Class 2, type II Cas enzyme in the phylogeny of Koonin and coworkers [5], dominates diverse gene-editing applications in biomedicine and beyond [6–8], given its programmable, precise double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) cleavage, accomplished by two catalytic domains, RuvC and HNH, of the nuclease at the target site complementary to the guide RNA [9]. A second Class 2 family, the type V nucleases, as represented by Cas12a (formerly known as Cpf1), uses a single RuvC catalytic domain for guide RNA-directed dsDNA cleavage [10,11]. Unlike $Sp$Cas9, Cas12a orthologues recognize a T nucleotide-rich protospacer-adjacent motif (PAM), catalyze the maturation of their own guide CRISPR RNA (crRNA), and induce a dsDNA break, distal to the PAM, bearing staggered 5’- and 3’-ends [10]. These Cas12a features are also attractive for complex genome editing, including in plants [12]. For their maturation, the crRNAs of type II CRISPR-Cas systems require processing by a cognate trans-activating CRISPR RNA [13]. Moreover, the Cas12a nuclease is smaller in size than $Sp$Cas9 and uses a shorter CRISPR RNA (crRNA) for activity [14]. Notably, target site-activated Cas12a exhibits nonspecific *trans* activity against bystander nucleic acids [15,16], an attribute that has been harnessed in a burgeoning range of biosensors [17,18].

Delivery of the CRISPR system reagents into the cells of the multicellular parasite *S. mansoni* in the form of ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complexes may enable immediate and permanent programmed gene editing and obviate concerns with CRISPR reagent longevity or integration in the host cell genome as lentiviral system [1]. Programmed CRISPR/Cas editing using RNP-based delivery can precede analysis of mutated target regions that rely on cloning and CRISPR vector construction, as addressed in our earlier reports [1,2]. Previously, we deployed both RNP and lentiviral-delivered CRISPR/$Sp$Cas9 approaches to knockout (KO) and knock-in (KI) into a blunt end at $Sp$Cas9 cleavage site at the multiple copies of the omega-1 ($\omega_1$) gene in parasite eggs. To advance functional genomics for schistosomes and aiming to improve the mutagenesis and transgene knock-in of CRISPR efficiency in schistosomes, which exhibit AT-rich genomes, we investigated the nuclease activity of *Acidaminococcus* sp. $As$Cas12a ($As$Cas12a) in comparison with $Sp$Cas9. These CRISPR systems differ in key ways: first, $Sp$Cas9 recognizes NGG as the protospacer-adjacent motif (PAM), whereas $As$Cas12a recognizes the T-rich, TTTV, and second, catalysis by $Sp$Cas9 results in blunt double-stranded DNA at three nucleotides 5’ to the PAM, whereas $As$Cas12a results in a staggered cleavage at 23 nt (target strand; T) and 18 nt (nontarget strand; NT) 3’ to the PAM [19]. Comparisons of these two RNA-programmed nucleases in the context of the $\omega_1$ gene of *S. mansoni* may inform decisions for functional genomics manipulations aiming to enhance efficiency of homology-directed repair (HDR) targeting genes expressed by the developing schistosome egg, and indeed other developmental stages [1,20–22].

2. Results
2.1. The Omega-1 Multicopy Gene, Guide RNAs, and Single-Stranded DNA Donor Templates

The gene-editing efficiency of $Sp$Cas9 and $As$Cas12a was compared in assays targeting the $\omega_1$ gene, which encodes a T2 ribonuclease that is secreted by the mature egg of *S. mansoni* into the surrounding host tissues and circumoval granuloma [23]. Specifically, the four copies of $\omega_1$, well annotated in WormBase Parasite [24] (update of 16 September 2021) as hepatotoxic ribonuclease; Smp_334170.2, Smp_334170.1, Smp_334240, and Smp_333930, were targeted for design of guide RNAs (gRNA) and donor-repair templates. These four copies reside on chromosome 1 of *S. mansoni*, with reverse strand
positions at 3,980,364 to 3,982,676, 3,982,108 to 3,984,675, 3,992,964 to 3,995,246, and 3,908,953 to 3,911,250 (PRJEA36577), respectively. These copies share >99% nucleotide sequence identity, are ~2.3 kb in length, are highly AT-rich (65%), and encode an enzyme of 127 (Smp_334170.2, Smp_334240, and Smp_333930) or 115 (Smp_334170.1) amino acid residues in length. Smp_333220, also located on chromosome 1 at 3,925,420–3,927,716, but lacking annotation on the *S. mansoni* annotated genome version 7, year 2021, was also included. Smp_333220 shares >99% chromosomal and mRNA sequence identity with the three ω1 copies (Figure S1b,c). Guide RNAs for SpCas9 and AsCas12a designed with the assistance of the CHOPCHOP webtool; both target the same region of exon 1 of ω1, disrupting its catalytic active site histidine [25], with the programmed cleavage sites for both Cas enzymes in close proximity, separated by three nucleotides (Figure 1a,b).

![Diagram of the *S. mansoni* hepatotoxic ribonuclease omega 1 (ω1) gene structure, target sites for the SpCas9 and AsCas12a, ssODN donors, and partial amino acid sequence with histidine active site: (a) diagram of the ω1 gene (Gene ID; Smp_334170.2 of *S. mansoni* annotated genome version 7,2021) and CRISPR/Cas target sites on coding sequence of the first exon coding for histidine active site, FRKHEFEKHGLCAVEDPQV. The relative positions of crRNA for CRISPR-SpCas9 (red arrow) and AsCas12a (blue arrow) are indicated, along with their 3’PAM (yellow arrow) and 5’PAM (yellow arrow), respectively; (b) programmed cleavage site for SpCas9/sgRNA ~3 nt upstream of PAM 3’TGG (red arrow); and cleavage site for AsCas12a/crRNA at 18–24 nt downstream of a prospective 5’TTTV PAM (blue arrow). The programmed cleavage sites for both enzymes, SpCas9 and AsCas12a, were 3 to 6 nt distance from each other. Fifty residues upstream and downstream of the double-strand break (DSB) were included as the homology arms (HA) of the six-stop codon cassette transgene (payload/cargo). Because cleavage by SpCas9 liberates blunt-ended DNA, only a non-target strand (NT) repair template was provided for HDR (red bar). Sticky-ended DNA results from cleavage by AsCas12a, and hence both nontarget and target strand (T) repair templates for HDR were provided (light and dark blue bars); (c) the DNA donor sequences of CRISPR/SpCas9 (red letters) and CRISPR/AsCas12a with nontarget (light blue letters) or target sequence (dark blue letters) HA where the Cas12a-NT-ssODN donor repairs the antisense DNA and Cas12a-T-ssODN donor repairs the sense stand.

We designed three single-stranded oligodeoxynucleotide (ssODN) donors for homology-directed repair (HDR) of the ω1 gene resulting from the programmed mutation. Given the differing PAM requirements of SpCas9 and AsCas12a, as well as the divergent structures of the DSB—blunt-ended by SpCas9 and sticky-ended by AsCas12a, the designs for the
ssODNs differed for use with each nuclease. Based on earlier findings [1], the design of the ssODN donors included 3′ and 5′ homology arms (HA), each of 50 nt in length, complementary to the target strand for SpCas9 and for AsCas12a, for either the target strand, termed Cas9-ssODN, or for the nontarget-strand donor, termed Cas12a-NT-ssODN. Note that sequences identical to the PAM for AsCas12a and ~18 nt of the protospacer region were retained within the 5′-HA of Cas12a-T-ssODN (Figure 1b,c).

2.2. Absence of Trans Activity of Activated AsCas12a against the ssODN Donors

Previous reports dealing with Lachnospiraceae bacterium ND2006 Cas12a and Francisella novicida Cas12a describe indiscriminate trans-activity unleashed on ssDNA after gRNA activation and target binding of Cas12a [15,26]. Our goal here was to compare SpCas9 and AsCas12a in schistosomes and, given that we used an orthologous AsCas12a from Acidaminococcus sp. BV3L6 (IDT), in both KO and KI assays, we sought to confirm whether the ω1 gene-activated AsCas12a might also exhibit trans-activity against the donor single-stranded templates that we planned to use in KI assays, Cas12a-T-ssODN and Cas12a-NT-ssODN, in addition to RNA-guided double-stranded DNA cleavage activity.

Accordingly, we carried out in vitro AsCas12a nuclease activity assays using RNPs targeting a 426 bp amplicon of the exon 1 of ω1 (Figure 2a–c). These assays confirmed that the crRNA was highly active and following incubation at 37 °C for 30 min had cleaved the double-stranded amplicon at the programmed cleavage site into two fragments of 265 and 161 bp, as expected (Figure 2b; Agilent Bioanalyzer gel). In contrast, the activated AsCas12a-RNP complex failed to digest either of the single-stranded oligodeoxynucleotide (ssODN) templates, ssODNs Cas12a-T-ssODN or Cas12a-NT-ssODN, after 120 min at 37 °C (Figure 2c). As seen in the gel image from BioAnalyzer, the ssODNs remained intact at 124 nt. Single-stranded DNA standards ranging in size from <40 to 200 nt were included in the assay (Figure 2c). In summary, the findings suggested that omega-1 target gene-activated AsCas12a would not exhibit indiscriminate trans-nuclease activity against the ssODNs within schistosome cells (although an in vitro surrogate assay cannot faithfully mimic conditions within a viable schistosome egg).

---

**Figure 2.** Knock-in by homology-directed repair: (a) The location of primers used for 5′- and 3′- transgene integration copy number.
(purple lines) knock-in PCR (5′int-F with 24 nt-6stp-R and 24 nt-6stp-F with 3′int-R) and internal positive control (control-F and -R). The primers for next-generation sequencing are indicated as NGS-F and NGS-R. All primers are located outside of the homology arms; HAL and HAR (purple boxes) sequences to avoid false positive amplification from DNA donor. The 24 nt-6stp-F and -R primers are highly specific for the stop codon transgene and should not anneal to the wild type schistosome gene. The green box shows the position of the 24 nt six-stop codon transgene. (b) The AsCas12a target recognition activates specific 426 bp dsDNA amplicon template (lane 1) cleavage into 265 bp and 161 bp (lane 3) products. The crRNA/AsCas12a RNP complex of ~40 nt is seen in lanes 2 and 3; (c) No specific ssDNA cleavage was seen on the Cas12a-NT-ssODN or Cas12a-T-ssODN donors at 124 nt as in lanes 1 and 2, respectively. The crRNA/AsCas12a RNP (~40 nt in lanes 3–9) containing activated AsCas12a did not show indiscriminate ssDNA digestion at 30 min, 60, and 120 min in lanes 4–5, 6–7, and 8–9, respectively. Lanes 4, 6, and 8 show the uncut 124 nt of Cas12a-NT-ssODN (top band) with Cas12a-crRNA (lower band), and lanes 5, 7, and 9 show 124 nt of Cas12aT-ssODN (top band) with Cas12a-crRNA (lower band); (d) The PCR detection of the integration sites in the transgenic eggs and control. The amplified control product (lanes C) for the wildtype sequence was 790 bp in length while those for the 5′ (lanes 5′) and 3′ (lanes 3′) flanking regions of the transgenic sequence were 235 bp and 182 bp due to KI of Cas-9 ssODN, Cas12a_NT-ssODN, and Cas12a_T-ssODN donor templates, respectively. Lane M is a 1kb Plus DNA ladder (Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA); mock (only buffer electroporation) served as the negative control for transgene integration; (e,f) The estimation of transgene copy number in egg DNA were calculated by qPCR, comparing with known quantity of the 24 nt transgene in 235 bp (5′ integration PCR fragment) ligated-pCR4-TOPO plasmid DNA (size 4191 bp) standard curve; y = −4.0964ln(10) + 44.821, R² = 0.9923 (data not shown). There was the confirmation of 3′ integration of 3.61 ± 0.25, 4.44 ± 0.84, and 4.19 ± 1.22 of transgene copy from KI_Cas9, KI_Cas12a_NT-ssODN, and KI_Cas12a_T-ssODN, respectively (one-way ANOVA, multiple comparisons, 95% CI of diff, p-value at 0.4057, 0.6264, and 0.9155, not significant). Asymmetric HDR was revealed by 5′ transgene integration of 2.72 ± 0.42, 5.06 ± 0.54, and 1.64 ± 0.39 copy number from KI_Cas9, KI_Cas12a_NT-ssODN, and KI_Cas12a_T-ssODN, respectively. The asterisk symbols *, ***, and **** indicate statistical significance at p = 0.0214, p = 0.0001, and p < 0.0001, respectively (one-way ANOVA, multiple comparison), while ‘ns’ indicates not statistically significant. The control primers used for amplification from both the control and experimental groups, followed by Sanger sequencing results, were monitored for efficiency of programmed CRISPR editing firstly by TIDE followed by NGS and CRISPresso2 of the NGS reads; (g,h) 5′int-F and 3′int-R are genome specific primers, and 24 nt-6stp-F and 24 nt-6stp-R are transgene-specific primers. From the findings, we confirmed that programmed CRISPR/AsCas12a gene editing was active in schistosome eggs, and higher than for SpCas9 by TIDE analysis (ANOVA, ****, p < 0.0001, n = 12). The estimation of CRISPR efficiency from the 12 biological replicates (each black dot in panels g and h) KI_Cas9 (wide range of CRISPR efficiency from 0.46–2.23 among 12 biological replicates) was higher than for KO-based treatments. The estimated CRISPR efficiency in schistosome eggs (LE) from CRISPR/AsCas12a (green dots in panels g and h, n = 8) was at least 1.5% in most samples. There were no statistically significant differences in CRISPR efficiency among the KI_Cas9 vs. KI_Cas12a (panel h) from Sanger sequencing along with TIDE analysis.

2.3. Quantification of Transgene Copy Number by External Standard Curve

An external standard curve design was employed to estimate transgene copy number in genomics DNA of the schistosome eggs (Figure 2e,f). The standard curve is based on simple linear regression (y = −4.0964ln[10] + 44.821, R² = 0.9923) established with logarithm-10-transformed initial DNA input, plasmid pCR4, which encodes the six-stop codon cassette [1], as the dependent and the Ct value from the qPCRs as the independent variable (not shown). We estimated the transgene copy number on the 5′ and 3′ of KI site in ω1 by converting the measured Ct values to log copy numbers using the equations obtained from the standard curves. Subsequently, dividing the means by the antilog of each result, we arrived at an estimate of the transgene copy number. A 790 bp product amplified for the control wildtype sequence and amplicons of 235 bp and 182 bp for the 5′
and 3′ flanking regions, respectively, of the KI genomic DNA containing the six-stop codon transgene were analyzed, which revealed 3′-side transgene integration values of 3.61 ± 0.25, 4.44 ± 0.84, and 4.19 ± 1.22 from the KI_Cas9, KI_Cas12a-NT-ssODN, and KI_Cas12a-T-ssODN treatment groups, respectively (p = 0.4057, = 0.6264, and = 0.9155; NS; one-way ANOVA, multiple comparisons, 95% CI for differences of the means) (Figure 2e). However, the presence of asymmetrical HDR was revealed by significant differences among the copy number values for the 5′ side transgene integration estimates: 2.72 ± 0.42, 5.06 ± 0.54, and 1.64 ± 0.39 for the KI_Cas9, KI_Cas12a-NT-ssODN, and KI_Cas12a-T-ssODN groups, respectively (p = 0.0214, p = 0.0001 and p ≤ 0.0001, *, ***, ****, respectively; one-way ANOVA, multiple comparisons).

2.4. Estimation of CRISPR Efficiency of SpCas9 and AsCas12a

RNP complexes of SpCas9-sgRNA and AsCas12a-CRISPR RNA (crRNA) targeting ω1 were delivered to the schistosome eggs by electroporation [1]. The efficiency of programmed mutation was assessed by TIDE analysis of Sanger sequence chromatograms [26,27], followed by targeted amplicon NGS and analysis of the reads using CRISPResso2 [28,29]. Twelve independent biological replicates were carried out by TIDE analysis. AsCas12a-programmed KO led to significantly more insertion–deletion (indels) than were induced with SpCas9 (p < 0.001, paired, two-tailed t = 7.450, df = 11) (Figure 2g). The mean CRISPR efficiency for KO with SpCas9 was 0.89% ± 0.43 (range, 0.30–1.31%) and 1.83% ± 0.29 (range, 1.39–2.54%) with AsCas12a, when compared with the control (mock) groups. Programmed mutation by SpCas9 was more efficient in the presence of donor ssODN, i.e., KI versus KO groups, 1.43 ± 0.4, range 0.51–2.31% (Figure 2g,h). By contrast, differences in mutation efficiency were not apparent between the KO and KI groups using AsCas12a; 1.75% ± 0.63 (range 1.11–2.69%) and 1.54% ± 0.49 (range 1.82–2.20%) for Cas12a-NT ssODN and Cas12a-T ssODN, respectively. From our result, there was no statistical significance of HDR efficiency between Cas12a-NT ssODN and Cas12a-T-ssODN in CRISPR/Cas12a KI experiment (Figure 2h). For deeper and high throughput analysis, both on target KO and KI, efficiency was also investigated by CRISPResso2 from pooled-genomic DNA samples by target amplicon reads by MiSeq 2 × 250 bp configuration sequencing (Illumina).

2.5. Estimates of Indels from NHEJ and/or HDR Using NGS Reads from Targeted Amplicons

Pooled gDNAs (above) were also used as templates for targeted amplicons for NGS and CRISPResso2 employed to assess the efficiency of DNA repairs; nonhomology end-joining (NHEJ) and transgene KI from homology-directed repair (HDR) from the NGS reads. Comparison of the mutations among all four copies of the ω1 gene also were undertaken. Whereas TIDE analysis using Sanger direct sequencing chromatograms was used above to estimate % efficiency of CRISPR manipulations in individual biological replicates, here, targeted amplicons from pools of gDNAs from the biological replicates were used to acquire libraries of reads (Amplicon EZ, Genewiz). More than 150,000 reads from each NGS library were obtained. Analysis of the reads using CRISPResso2 provided increased sensitivity of detection of programmed gene editing than TIDE, as expected [30]. Specifically, there were 12.26%, 1.66%, and 0.12% modified sequences (NHEJ) for KO-Cas9, and 9.27%, 1.33%, and 0.28% of NHEJ for KO-Cas12a, when compared with the WormBase Parasite reference sequences (identical amplicon from Smp_334170.1, Smp_334170.2, Smp_334240, Smp_333930, and Smp_333870, respectively (Figure 3a,b). A small number of reads were assessed to be ambiguous by CRISPResso2, 0.49% and 0.93% for KO-Cas9 and KO-Cas12a, respectively (Figure 3a,b). Deletions were the only type of indel detected, for both SpCas9 and AsCas12a. In similar fashion to other studies [31–33], deletion of large sizes resulted from programmed AsCas12a editing (from 2 to 26 bp deletion size) at the programmed DSB, compared to 3 nt at the DSB programmed from SpCas9 (Figure 3c,d provide representative allele sequences). Both enzymes showed a preference to mutate the reference Smp_334170 copy, and also Smp_334240, rather than the Smp_333930 and/or Smp_333870 copies of omega-1. The numbers of indels arising from NHEJ with SpCas9 and with AsCas12a both
increased in the presence of an ssODN donor repair template. The total NHEJ percentages were 15.67%, 28.71%, and 21.43% from KI-Cas9-NT-ssODN, KI-Cas12a-NT-ssODN, and KI-Cas12a-T-ssODN, respectively (Figure 4a–c). Deletion size as determined by CRISPResso2 for the KI-Cas9 group was larger than for KO-Cas9, increasing up to 13 bp length deletions. Similarly, base deletion size from KI-Cas12a was larger than KO-Cas12a, with deletions of 42 bp in size detected (Figure 4d–f). Levels of ambiguous results were estimated at 1.66%, 1.03%, and 0.73% from KI-Cas9, KI-Cas12a-NT-ssODN, and KI-Cas12a-T-ssODN, respectively.

Figure 3. NHEJ assessment from *S. mansoni* eggs at the target \(\omega 1\) gene following delivery of SpCas9 and AsCas12a RNP complexes by electroporation: (a–d) CRISPResso2 analysis of the Illumina sequence reads revealed nonhomologous end-joining (NHEJ) mutations at targeted loci in schistosome eggs. Allele-specific editing outcomes of CRISPR-SpCas9 and -AsCas12a gene editing in schistosome multiple copies of \(\omega 1\) Smp_334170.2, Smp_334170.1, Smp_334240 (100% gene sequence identical; Figure S1b). Mutagenesis frequencies for all loci including Smp_333930 and Smp_333870 were included in CRISPResso2 analysis (Figure S1b). The results were from NGS libraries of pooled genomic DNA from seven biological replicates of KO_Cas9 (panels a, c) and KO_Cas12a (panels b, d). The sequence reads assigned to reference genes, Smp_333930 and Smp_333870 loci, by the CRISPresso2 to achieve accurate quantification of programmed mutation of the multiple copies of \(\omega 1\) from SpCas9 and AsCas12a; (a) the bar chart shows the assignment of each read to the wild type (unmodified) and NHEJ with 12.26%, 1.66%, and 0.12% (total 14.04%) from KO_Cas9 on references and other two loci, respectively; (b) shows the assigned NHEJ with 9.27%, 1.33%, and 0.28% (total 10.88%) from KO_Cas12a on references and two highly identical copies of \(\omega 1\); (c,d) the majority of NHEJ indels from both nucleases were nucleotide substitutions followed by gene deletions; (c) Mutations were induced in all copies of \(\omega 1\); however, most editing was seen on the reference target site. Only deletions were revealed in this experiment, 3 nt deletion downstream from expected cut side (red arrow) was the majority of NHEJ mediated from SpCas9. The larger deletion from 2 to 26 nt at downstream of expected sticky end cut sites (red arrows) were revealed from NHEJ. CRISPResso2 interpreted \(\leq 1.0\%\) of the reads as ambiguous. Ambiguous alignments: 0.49% and 0.93% that could not be attributed uniquely to one of these loci are shown in the right-hand side bar of each graph.

To estimate the frequency of HDR events, we included the donor sequence as a parameter in the CRISPResso2 analysis. More HDR events mediated by CRISPR/SpCas9 were detected than with CRISPR/AsCas12a; 17.07% vs. 14.56% and 12.37%, in similar fashion to our earlier findings [34]. However, in SpCas9 samples, more imperfect HDR
events were seen (0.94%) than in the AsCas12a groups (0.41% and 0.68%) (Figure 4a–h). Frequently, imperfect HDR event alleles from both Cas nucleases were missing several residues (2–5 nt) on the 5′ side of the programmed DSB. We note that this mutation repair outcome may have impacted quantification of the 5′ KI transgene copy number, especially in KI-Cas12a-T-ssODN samples which contained 5 nt imperfect HDR repair on the six-stop codon cassette (primer probing sequence). Imperfect HDR at the 5′ side might result from synthesis-dependent strand annealing [35].

![Figure 4](image_url)

**Figure 4.** HDR and induction of NHEJ at the ω1 target in the presence of donor ssODN templates: (a–c) show that the percentage of NHEJ from schistosome eggs that introduced RNP along with ssODN were higher in the presence of ssODN donor templates than in their absence. There were totals of 15.67% (13.22 + 1.16 + 0.52), 28.71% (22.31 + 6.17 + 0.23), and 21.43% (9.62 + 11.56 + 0.25) editing efficiency in the KI_Cas9, KI_Cas12a_NT-ssODN, and KI_Cas12a_T-ssODN groups, respectively (blue or green bars in each graph); (d–f) Most mutations were substitutions and deletions located downstream of the programmed double-stranded breaks (DSB), with the DSBs indicated by red arrows; (g–i) A variety of sizes of base deletions from 1 to 15 bp in length were seen in the KI_Cas9, KI_Cas12a_NT-ssODN groups while large deletions, up to 40 bp, were evident as major deletions detected in the KI_Cas12a_T-ssODN group. The percentage of perfect HDR in the three experimental groups, KI_Cas9, KI_Cas12a_NT-ssODN, and KI_Cas12a_T-ssODN were 17.07%, 14.58%, and 12.37%, respectively (red bars). In addition, imperfect HDRs occurred in all KI treatment groups, likely as the result of interruption of 5′ end HDR gene repair by competing NHEJ activity with the result that deletion of 2–5 nt were seen along with donor transgene insertion (black bars in panels g,h,i); the frequency of this event was 0.94, 0.41, and 0.68% among the KI_Cas9, KI_Cas12a_NT-ssODN, and KI_Cas12a_T-ssODN groups.

2.6. Transcription of ω1 Interrupted by Programmed Mutation by SpCas9 and AsCas12a

Primers specific for the four annotated copies of ω1 gene (Figure S1c) were employed to investigate transcription by real-time quantitative PCR. Relative expression levels of ω1 mRNA in negative controls and experimental KO or KI eggs were compared with wild type. Significantly reduced levels of ω1 were seen in eggs following the experimental CRISPR manipulations for gene KO and KI. Transcription of ω1 was reduced, as follows: 63.76% ± 5.57, 76.88% ± 11.33, 71.81% ± 10.91, 98.69% ± 0.44, and 80.04% ± 0.09 in the KO_SpCas9, KI_SpCas9, KO_Cas12a, KI_Cas12a-NT-ssODN, and Cas12a-T-ssODN groups, respectively. Notably, LE subjected to KI_Cas12a_NT-ssODN retained <2% ω1 transcript levels of the mock control (Figure 5a), and the level in the KI_Cas12a-NT-ssODN group was significantly lower than the KI_Cas12a-T-ssODN group, KI_Cas9-ssODN. Significant differences were not apparent between the KO_Cas9 and KO_Cas12a groups, generally. There were no statistic differences in levels of transcription among the negative control
groups (Figure 5a). Differences among treatment groups were assessed using ANOVA with multiple comparisons ($p \leq 0.01$ to $\leq 0.0001$; $n = 4$; $F = 11.77$).
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**Figure 5.** Reduction of the expression of $\omega 1$ as assessed by quantitative real-time PCR and immunoblot analysis; (a) Reduction of $\omega 1$ transcript levels from KO_Cas9 (blue dots), KI_Cas9 (blue dots), KO_Cas12a (green dots), KI_Cas12a_NT-ssODN (green dots), and KI_Cas12a_T-ssODN eggs (green dots) compared with control groups (black dots) after normalization with wild type (WT) egg RNA (100% as discontinued line). The means represent four independent biological replicates; (b,c) Soluble egg antigen; SEA (in duplicates) was sized in SDS-PAGE gels, transferred to PVDF, and probed with anti-recombinant omega-1 (r$\omega 1$) rabbit IgG. Levels of $\omega 1$ protein, migrating at 30 kDa, were reduced in all treatment groups. The percentage of $\omega 1$ expression in both KO and KI experimental groups were compared to $\omega 1$ levels in WT SEA (100%) (one-way ANOVA, multiple comparison, **, $p \leq 0.01$, ***, $p \leq 0.001$, ****, $p \leq 0.0001$; ‘ns’ indicates not statistically significant).

2.7. Protein Levels Ascertained by Western Blotting

An anti-recombinant $\omega 1$ rabbit IgG was used to investigate levels of omega-1 in lysates (soluble egg antigen; SEA) of LE. SEA (200 ng) from the three controls, i.e., the mock, enzyme-only, and mixed gRNAs-only groups, and from the KO and KI groups with SpCas9 or AsCas12a was size-fractionated by SDS-PAGE and transferred to PVDF and probed with the rabbit antibody (Figure 5b). Following densitometric analysis of the resulting signals, the levels of omega-1 remaining in the SEA were estimated at 64% ± 11.65, 43.88% ± 6.02, 49.27% ± 4.19, 37.9% ± 2.28, and 52.16% ± 10 in the KO_Cas9, KI_Cas9, KO_Cas12a, KI_Cas12a_NT-ssODN, and KI_Cas12a_T-ssODN groups, respectively. The differential intensity of $\omega 1$ expression was not diminished among the gRNA-only groups (Figure 5b,c). By contrast, $\omega 1$ protein levels were markedly diminished among all the experimental treatment groups when compared with the controls ($p \leq 0.0001$) (ANOVA, $F = 27.05$, $n = 4$). Significant differences among for levels of $\omega 1$ among the KO and KI SEA samples were not apparent (Figure 5c).

3. Discussion

The omega-1 ($\omega 1$) extracellular T2 ribonuclease secreted by the mature egg of _S. mansoni_ is a key modulator of pathogenesis and transmission of schistosomiasis [23,36–38]. Given the
central role of the egg in disease and in the transmission [38] and given the potential of CRISPR/Cas gene editing to advance understanding of this and other neglected tropical diseases, access to omega-1-negative eggs can provide information to confirm the role of this ribonuclease in disease transmission. Functional knockout (KO) of the \( \omega_1 \) gene and the resulting immunologically impaired phenotype have showcased the novel application of CRISPR/\( \text{Sp} \)Cas9 and its utility for functional genomics in schistosomes [4,22,39,40]. In view of this progress, and the demonstrated tractability of \( \text{Sp} \)Cas9-catalyzed KO of the omega-1 gene, we here compared the performance of a second RNA-guided Cas nuclease, the \( \text{As} \)Cas12a nuclease of Acidaminococcus sp., in terms of efficiency and precision with those for \( \text{Sp} \)Cas9.

Guide RNAs for both \( \text{Sp} \)Cas9 and \( \text{As} \)Cas12a were designed to anneal to protospacer regions that are essentially at the same target site; the programmed DSBs were separated by only three nucleotide residues, on the nontarget strand of the target gene. The optimal protospacer-adjacent motif for \( \text{As} \)Cas12a, TTTV [11,41], is expected to occur abundantly in the AT-rich genome of \( S. \)mansoni [42]. Programmed DSB catalyzed by \( \text{As} \)Cas12a results in a staggered strand break of the target gene sequence, which can enhance efficiency of HDR [41]. For RNPs assembled from the nuclease and cognate crRNA, \( \text{As} \)Cas12a was significantly more efficient for gene knockout than \( \text{Sp} \)Cas9, as assessed by TIDE, presumably reflecting NHEJ-catalyzed repair and gene silencing.

We also investigated HDR-mediated gene editing with \( \text{Sp} \)Cas9 and \( \text{As} \)Cas12a delivered by electroporation in the presence of the RNPs and donor repair templates. Each donor ssODN template for \( \text{Sp} \)Cas9- and \( \text{As} \)Cas12a-programmed KI included a transgene cassette of 24 nt in length encoding six-stop codons [1] but in which the homology arms differed slightly on the nontarget strand due to the discrete positions of the predicted DSB for each nuclease. For programmed KI catalyzed by CRISPR/\( \text{As} \)Cas12a, we also included, as the donor template, the reverse complement sequence of the six-stop codons cassette flanked by the target strand sequence as the homology arms. This additional donor was included in our analysis, given concern with respect to the known indiscriminate trans-activity by activated \( \text{As} \)Cas12a against single-stranded DNA [15], and especially for the ssODN with NT homology arms which retains the PAM and partial (~18 nt) protospacer sequence [41,43,44].

RNA-guided \( \text{Sp} \)Cas9 cleavage led to higher levels (~4% higher) of precise knockout compared to that obtained with \( \text{As} \)Cas12a but markedly less precise knock-in (~6–13%). Deletions were the frequent mutation type seen with both \( \text{Sp} \)Cas9 (deletions of 3 nt in length) and \( \text{As} \)Cas12a (deletions of 2 to 26 nt in length) due to NHEJ repair. Using conditions optimized previously for \( \text{Sp} \)Cas9 KI [1], CRISPR/\( \text{Sp} \)Cas9 induced higher (~3%) HDR levels than CRISPR/\( \text{As} \)Cas12a to insert the transgene for both \( \text{Cas}12a \)-NT-ssODN and \( \text{Cas}12a \)-T-ssODN donors. Both \( \text{Sp} \)Cas9- and \( \text{As} \)Cas12a-edited eggs exhibited reduced levels of transcription and expression of omega-1. A spectrum of chromosomal alleles was apparent following programmed knock-in of the ssODN repair templates. Both target strand and nontarget strand donors were provided. Whereas accurate HDR was catalyzed by both \( \text{Sp} \)Cas9 and \( \text{As} \)Cas12a, precise repair accounted for only a minority of the payload integrations. In some cases, the two sides of the DSB programmed by \( \text{As} \)Cas12a were repaired by different pathways, e.g., one side repaired by HDR and the other by NHEJ, since mutant alleles that included only the left or only the right side of the transgene were observed [45]. Moreover, accurate HDR was significantly more frequently detected in the presence of the nontarget strand compared with target strand donor.

These findings may have been expected based on reports with other cells and species that indicate that integration of a repair template is not always precise [46,47]. In addition, \( \text{Sp} \)Cas9 may lead to higher levels of HDR correction than \( \text{As} \)Cas12a in some contexts, including in HEK293T and pluripotent stem cells where misintegration patterns indicate that the two sides of a DSB are repaired by separate cellular repair pathways including the involvement of microhomology as a driver of the misintegrations [34].
In addition to its guide RNA-programmed gene editing and DSB activity, 
AsCas12a and related Type 2 subtypes V and VI nucleases display target substrate bound, nonspecific 
trans-activity against ssDNA, dsDNA, and/or RNA substrates [48,49]. Accordingly, we 
speculated that activated AsCas12a associated with the target exon 1 site in omega-1 
may digest the donor ssODN provided as the repair template. If so, our investigation 
of comparative efficiency of AsCas12a vs. SpCas9 catalyzed knock-in would have been 
compromised. To address this possibility, we performed a series of assays in vitro with 
both nonactivated and activated AsCas12a. Under the conditions and time frame of 
the reactions, commercially-sourced AsCas12a, 37 °C, 500 mM NaCl, 20 mM sodium acetate, 
0.1mM EDTA, 0.1 mM Tris-phosphine solution, and 120 min reaction duration, we failed to 
detect degradation of the ssODNs. Although our assays do not faithfully reproduce the 
physiological environment of the transfected schistosome eggs in vivo, we failed to detect 
discriminate trans-activity against the ssODNs. However, lack of apparent AsCas12a 
trans-activity in vitro does not preclude that indiscriminate trans-activity does not take 
place within the nucleus of the cells within the egg. The RNP may not have been retained 
at the programmed target site, perhaps degraded by cellular enzymes and/or displaced 
by the cellular repair machinery following cleavage before trans-activity damaged the 
donor ssODN or other phenomena including protection of cellular DNA by histones. 
Nonetheless, both SpCas9 and AsCas12a delivered similar knock-in performance in these 
ichtosome eggs.

Whereas enhanced mutation efficacy of AsCas12a over SpCas9 has been reported, 
the reverse outcome also has been seen [30,51]. Comparisons among studies are not straightforward because of differences in species, target genes, delivery method, and temperature, 
among other confounders. In addition, three orthologues of AsCas12a, FnCas12a from 
Franciscella novicida U112, LbCas12a from Lachnospiraceae bacterium ND2006, and AsCas12a from 
Acidianococcus sp. BV3L6 have been widely studied in diverse eukaryotes [8] and other orthologues also have been reported [52,53]. AsCas12a is active at 37 °C whereas some others 
are catalytically active at lower temperatures, which has enabled studies with zebrafish [54], 
insects, and maize [53], and environmental and diagnostic biosensing [15,55,56]. 

AsCas12a provided enhanced programmed knockout activity compared to SpCas9 
at a specific target site in the omega-1 gene. By contrast, both nucleases delivered similar 
performance for HDR-based transgene insertion. Although these findings do not enable 
extrapolation to performance of AsCas12a for CRISPR/Cas-based gene editing of other 
ichtosome genes, they confirm that Cas12a is active in schistosomes and expand the 
available nuclease tools for functional genomics in helminth parasites [22,57]. Optimization 
of the AsCas12a-based approach with respect to ratios of guide RNA:AsCas12a and/or 
ssODN, format of donor(s), and/or temperature will be informative [15,44,58]. We antic 
ipate that AsCas12a will find increasing use in functional genomes for AT-rich genomes, 
including schistosomes and other platyhelminths [59]. As transgene insertion into the 
zygote within the newly released egg has already been described and is shown to enable 
establishment of lines of transgenic schistosomes, advances with gene editing approaches 
to this key developmental stage of the schistosome life cycle portend progress with the 
derivation of informative gain-of-function lines of transgenic schistosomes.

4. Materials and Methods
4.1. Ethics Statement

Mice experimentally infected with S. mansoni, obtained from Schistosomiasis Resource 
Center (SRC) at the Biomedical Research Institute (BRI), MD were housed at the Animal 
Research Facility of the George Washington University (GW), which is accredited by 
the American Association for Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care (AAALAC no. 
000347) and has an Animal Welfare Assurance on file with the National Institutes of 
Health, Office of Laboratory Animal Welfare, OLAW assurance number A3205-01. All 
procedures employed were consistent with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory 
Animals. The Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) at GW approved
the protocol used for maintenance of mice and recovery of schistosomes. Swiss albino mice were euthanized seven weeks after infection with *S. mansoni*, livers were removed at necropsy, and schistosome eggs recovered from the livers [60]. The liver eggs, termed “LE”, population is expected to include eggs of a spectrum of age ranging from newly released by the female schistosome through to mature eggs containing a fully developed miracidium [61]. LE were maintained in DMEM medium supplemented with 20% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS), 2% streptomycin/penicillin at 37 °C under 5% CO₂ in air for 18–24 h before use in gene editing assays (below) [1,60,62–64].

4.2. CRISPR/Cas Target Design and Single-Stranded DNA Donors

The ω₁-gene-specific guide RNA (gRNA) for *Sp*Cas9 and *As*Cas12a were designed to target all four copies of the hepatotoxic ribonuclease ω₁ gene. All four are located in the *S. mansoni* genome updated annotation of version 7 as the data accessed on 3 October 2021 (updated 16 September 2021 on Worm Base ParaSite, https://parasite.wormbase.org/Multi/Search/Results?species=all;idx=;q=Hepatotoxic%20ribonuclease%20omega-1;site=ensemblunit&filter_species=Schistosoma_mansoni_prjea36577); Smp_334170.1, Smp_334170.2, Smp_334240, and Smp_333930 by an online CRISPR design tool, CHOPCHOP [65–67]. There are 99–100% sequence and coding sequence identity among those copies (Figure S1a), which encode an enzyme of 115 amino acids in length. These ω₁ copies are located on chromosome 1 at nucleotide (nt) positions 3,980,364 to 3,984,675, 3,992,964 to 3,995,246, and 3,908,953 to 3,911,250, respectively. The guide RNA (gRNA) targets exon 1 of ω₁ complimentary to the catalytic active site histidine (within FRKHEFKHGCAVEDPQV) codons for the gRNAs programming both *Sp*Cas9 and *As*Cas12a. In addition, the gRNAs exhibited similarly high predicted CRISPR efficiency scores along with an absence of predicted off-target cleavages, as predicted using the CHOPCHOP algorithm using Smp_334170.2 as template (Figure 1a,b). Specifically, the sequence of the gRNA used with *Sp*Cas9 was 5’-GCATGGTTTGTGTGCAGTTG-3’, 20 nt in length, and that for *As*Cas12a was 5’-AAAAGCATGGTTTGTGTGCA-3’, 20 nt on exon 1 of Smp_334170.2, Smp_334240, and Smp_333930 or exon 4 of Smp_334170.1 (Figure S1a). The expected cut sites of two nucleases are discrete, being 3 and 2 nt apart from each other on the nontarget (NT) and target (T) strands, respectively (Figure 1a).

Single-stranded oligodeoxynucleotide donors (ssODN) were designed to insert the 24 bp encoding six-stop codons into the programmed cleavage target. The homology arms used in the ssODN donor with *Sp*Cas9 was the nontarget strand flanking both the 3’ and 5’ sides of the blunt-ended DSB, termed here Cas9-ssODN, as described previously [1]. The homology arms of the two donor ssODNs used with *As*Cas12a (cut at 18 nt and 23 nt on NT and T strands, leading to a sticky-ended DSB) were either the target strand or the nontarget strand sequences (Figure 1b,c), here termed Cas12a-NT-ssODN (HA complementary to T strand at 23 nt cut site) and Cas12a-T-ssODN (containing HA reverse complementary reverse to NT strand at 18 nt cut site), respectively. The complementary reverse of the 24 nt stop codon cassette was also used in the Cas12a-T-ssODN donor, aiming for HDR insertion of the stop codons in the translated forward strand of the gene (Figure 1c).

4.3. CRISPR Reagents

Alt-R® CRISPR-*Sp*Cas9 and Alt-R® CRISPR-*As*Cas12a reagents, including Alt-R® S.p. HiFi Cas9 nuclease V3, synthetic gRNA (sgRNA) [9,65,66], Alt-R® A.s. Cas12a nuclease V3 and crRNA, ssODN synthesis (Ultramer DNA oligos), and electroporation enhancer buffers were from Integrated DNA Technologies (IDT) (Coralville, IA, USA). Figure 1c provides the nucleotide sequences of the gRNAs and donor ssODNs.

4.4. Ribonucleoprotein (RNP) Assembly and Delivery by Electroporation

Stock solutions of the gRNAs for use with *Sp*Cas9 and *As*Cas12a and of the ssODNs were prepared at 1.0 μg/μL in Opti-MEM medium (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA). Each gRNA was combined with the respective nuclease at a 1:1 ratio to form an RNP complex
as described [1]. The mixture was incubated at room temperature for 15 min, after which 3 µL of Alt-R® SpCas9 or AsCas12a electroporation enhancer was added. The mixture was transferred to a chilled 4 mm electroporation cuvette (BTX), containing ~5000 LE in 100 µL Opti-MEM. LE in the presence of RNP s and the other reagents were subjected to square wave electroporation with a single pulse of 125 volts for 20 ms (ElectroSquarePorator, ECM830, BTX San Diego, CA, USA). In KI assays, 6 µg of ssODN was included in the cuvette before electroporation. Following delivery of the RNPs, LE were maintained for 10 days at 37 °C, 5% CO₂ in air. In addition to experimental, gene-edited groups, control groups included LE electroporated in Opti-MEM (mock), mixed-Cas enzymes only, mixed-sgRNAs only, and mixed-ssODNs only. Experimental and control groups were otherwise treated similarly.

4.5. PCR to Detect a Multiple Stop Codon Containing Transgene

Genomic DNAs were extracted from LE using the DNAzol® RT reagent (Molecular Research Center Inc., Cincinnati, OH, USA), and the concentration and purity determined by spectrophotometry (Nanodrop 1000, Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA, USA). The HDR target site(s) on either the 5′ or 3′ KI transgene were amplified independently with two pairs of primer (Figure 2a): (1) 5′KI: 5′int-F and 24 nt-6stp-R primers and (2) 3′KI: 24 nt-6stp-F and 3′int-R using the GoTaq DNA polymerase mix (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) and 200 nM of each primer. The thermal cycling included denaturation at 95 °C, 3 min, followed by 30 cycles of 94 °C, 30 s, 56 °C, 30 s and 72 °C, 30 s, and a final extension for 5 min at 72 °C. Thereafter, the amplicons (10 µL) were size-separated by agarose gel electrophoresis, stained with ethidium bromide, and imaged using the ChemiDoc Imaging System (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). The expected sizes for the 5′KI and 3′KI amplicons were 235 and 182 bp, respectively (Figure 2d). A positive-control PCR to amplify ~790 bp flanking the DSB was included.

4.6. Real-Time qPCR to Estimate Transgene Copy Numbers

An SYBR green quantitative PCR-based approach was used to estimate transgene copy numbers using two pairs of primers: 5′KI and 3′KI, as detailed (Figure 2a,d), with the SsoAdvanced Universal SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad). Briefly, one ng of genomic DNA (gDNA) was amplified with 10 µL of 2 × SsoAdvanced Universal SYBR Green Supermix and 200 nM of each primer, using the CFX Connect Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad). PCR conditions included denaturation at 95 °C, 3 min, followed by 30 cycles of 94 °C, 30 s, 56 °C, 30 s and 72 °C, 30 s and a final extension at 72 °C for 5 min, with the signals collected at the annealing step in each cycle. Analysis of transgene copy number in the pooled gDNAs from LE involved comparison of the qPCR signals obtained using a 10-fold serial dilution series of known quantities of the 24 nt six-stop codon transgene in 235 bp (5′ integration PCR fragment) ligated-pCR4-TOPO plasmid DNA (size 4191 bp) to establish a standard curve [68]. The standard curve was based on a linear regression generated using logarithm-10-transformed initial DNA input as the dependent variable and the Ct number of the qPCR signal as the independent variable (Ct values in each dilution were measured in triplicate). Ct numbers were used to fit into the linear regression to derive the estimation of transformed DNA amount for the transgene [69,70]. The concentration of the 5′KI-transgene-ligated-pCR4-TOPO plasmid was measured (NanoDrop 1000), and the corresponding copy number was calculated as follows:

\[
\text{DNA copy number} = \frac{6.02 \times 10^{23} \text{(copy/mol)} \times \text{DNA quantity (g)}}{\text{DNA length (bp)} \times 660 \text{(g/mol/bp)}}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Data were collected from four biological replicates. The 5′KI and 3′KI transgene copy numbers from the SpCas9 and AsCas12a treatments were plotted using GraphPad Prism v9 (Figure 2e,f).
4.7. Tracking of INDELs by Decomposition (TIDE) Analysis

Genomic DNAs from LE exposed to the RNPs were isolated as described [1]. The target omega-1 locus in the replicates of gDNAs was amplified using a control primer pair (Figure 2a). The amplicon of 790 bp in size from control and experimental groups, both KO and KI focused manipulations, was purified by NucleoSpin® Gel and PCR clean-up (Macherey-Nagel, Bethlehem, PA, USA) after which the nucleotide sequence was determined by the Sanger method (Genewiz, South Plainfield, NJ, USA). Sanger sequence traces from the twelve independent replicates of the experimental groups for both SpCas9- or AsCas12a- [27]-catalyzed gene editing manipulations were analyzed and compared with reads from the control (mock) group using the tracking of indels by decomposition (TIDE) algorithm [71–73]. TIDE provides a rapid and informative assay and also informs decisions on whether proceed to more detailed high-throughput, next-generation sequencing (NGS) of targeting amplicons [74].

4.8. On-Target Amplicon Next-Generation Sequencing

Subsequently, the Amplicon-EZ next-generation sequencing approach (Genewiz) was used for deeper coverage of the ω1 coding sequence mutations and provided >150,000 reads per sample. Amplicons of 426 bp obtained with the primers bearing Illumina partial adapter sequences at the 5′ end-NGS-F and NGS-R (Table S1) flanking the programmed DSBs for CRISPR/SpCas9 and CRISPR/AsCas12a were sequenced using Illumina chemistry. Partial Illumina adapters were added to 5′ end of the forward and reverse primers forward sequencing read: 5′-ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCT-3′, reverse sequencing read: 5′-GACTCGAGTTTCACGCTCTTCCGATCT-3′. The amplicons were purified using the NucleoSpin® Gel and PCR clean-up kits from Macherey-Nagel (Bethlehem, PA, USA), after which quantification and qualification of purified band(s) were undertaken using the Agilent DNA 1000 kit and BioAnalyzer (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Illumina NGS was performed with 2 × 250 bp configuration sequencing without fragmenting the amplicons. Raw reads in FASTQ format were analyzed for mutations resulting from NHEJ and HDR by CRISPREsso2 [29] using the reference ω1 sequences, Smp_334170 and Smp_333930, including Smp_333870 (this latter is annotated as an uncharacterized protein but shares >99% identity) (Figure S1b). The default parameters were used to estimate indel and HDR events. The sequence reads from the Amplicon-EZ runs are available at GenBank Bioproject PRJNA415471, BioSample SAMN07823308, SRA study SRP126685, accessions SRR: 13498338-13498342, 15971309-15971320, and 6374209-6374210.

4.9. Quantitative Real-Time PCR

Total RNA of LE was isolated using the RNAzol® RT reagent (Molecular Research Center) according to the manufacturer’s instruction. RNA concentration and purity were determined (Nanodrop 1000 spectrophotometer, Thermo Fisher). Ten nanograms of RNA was treated with DNase I to remove residual DNA then converted to cDNA by Maxima First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The ω1-specific product was amplified using SsoAdvanced Universal SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad) on CFX96 real-time PCR (Bio-Rad) using the primers as described [1], ω1-RT-F and ω1-RT-R (Table S2). These primers amplify all annotated ω1 transcript copies; Smp_334170.1, Smp_334170.2, Smp_334240, and Smp_333930 (Figure S1c). The PCR reaction was denatured at 95 °C for 30 s, 40 amplification cycles each consisting of denaturation at 95 °C for 15 s and annealing/extension at 60 °C for 30 s. The output was analyzed in CFX manager software (Bio-Rad). Relative expression of ω1 was calculated using the 2 -ΔΔCt method and normalized to schistosome GAPDH; SmGAPDH (Smp_0569701) expression using specific primers as in Table S2 [75]. Data of four biological replicates were compared to wild type LE and fold change reported as mean ± SE (n = 4).
4.10. Western Blot Analysis

Soluble egg antigen (SEA) of LE was extracted by mechanical lysis (Funakoshi motorized pestle; Diagnocine, Hackensack, NJ, USA) in RIPA buffer (Millipore Sigma) supplemented with protease inhibitors (Protease Inhibitor Cocktail I, Millipore Sigma), as described [1]. The lysate was clarified by centrifugation at 13,000 rpm, 15 min, 4 °C after which protein concentration was determined by the Bradford method [76]. Ten micrograms of SEA were size-separated through SDS-PAGE polyacrylamide gradient gels, 4–12% gel, w/v (Bolt Bis-Tris Plus, Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific) before transferring to PVDP membranes (Transblot Turbo Transfer System, Bio-Rad). Polyclonal anti-ω1 IgG antibodies were purified from the rabbit anti-recombinant ω1 (rω1) sera, raised as follows. Two NZ white rabbits, E12983 and E12984, were immunized with 30 µg of recombinant omega-1 RNase T2 of S. mansoni (rω1) (produced in planta by agroinfiltration of leaves of Nicotiana benthamiana [77]) in Complete Freund’s Adjuvant and boosted four times at two-week intervals using 15 µg rω1 in Incomplete Freund’s Adjuvant each time. Blood was collected 66 days after the first immunization and IgGs were isolated from sera using an immobilized protein A ligand. The concentrations of the purified antibodies were 1.31 mg/mL (E12983) and 1.51 mg/mL (E12984). These antibodies have been assigned Research Resource Identifier (RRID) AB_2889886 [78]. PVDF membranes were probed with the E12983 IgG antibody, diluted 1:1000, for 18 h at 4 °C in PBS-Tween (0.5%), 5% nonfat powdered milk, washed 3 times in PBS-Tween (wash buffer), and probed with goat anti-rabbit IgG (H + L) horse radish peroxidase conjugate (Life Technologies, Thermo Fisher Sciences, San Diego, CA, USA), diluted 1:5000, for 60 min at 23 °C with gentle shaking. Following washing (3 times in wash buffer), the membrane was exposed to a peroxidase substrate (Clarity Western ECL Substrate, Bio-Rad) for 5 min at 23 °C, after which chemiluminescence was quantified and imaged (Chemi-Doc MP System, Bio-Rad). The Image Lab software (Bio-Rad) was used to quantify the ω1 signals. The percentage of ω1 expression from the experimental and control groups was established by comparison with that of wild type SEA, assigned as 100%.

4.11. Single-Stranded DNA Donors as a Potential Substrate for Indiscriminate Trans Activity of Activated AsCas12a

Previous reports reveal that the trans-activity of wild type AsCas12a prefers ssDNAs over dsDNAs and was inactive toward dsDNA in the presence of magnesium (II) ions [79]. The AsCas12a exhibited not only endonuclease activity on both dsDNA, but also cis- and trans-activity [80]. Accordingly, to investigate whether activated AsCas12a could degrade the ssODN donors used in this study by indiscriminate trans-activity, several in vitro AsCas12a-RNP digestion assays were carried out. These included the dsDNAs containing the AsCas12a target sequence used in this study and 5′PAM (TTTG) as positive enzymatic activity. A 426 bp dsDNA template was amplified using the NGS study primers. Activity of AsCas12a-RNP against the dsDNA template released fragments of 265 and 161 bp (Figure 2b) at 37 °C for ≥30 min, as predicted in 1× NEB Buffer 2.1 (500 mM NaCl, 20 mM sodium acetate, 0.1 mM EDTA, 0.1 mM tris(2-carboxyethyl) phosphine (TCEP), 50% glycerol). AsCas12a activity was inactivated by the addition of Proteinase K (1 µL at 20 mg/mL) at 56 °C for 10 min. Electropherograms of the digestion products were obtained using the BioAnalyzer (Agilent DNA1000) to visualize the size of templates and fragments. To investigate indiscriminate trans-cleavage of ssODNs, similar in vitro digestion reaction was carried out, as above, but with the addition of 50 ng of either AsCas12a-NT-ssODN or AsCas12a-T-ssODN. A mixture of AsCas12a-RNP complex in 1× NEB Buffer 2.1 and ssODN was incubated at 37 °C for 30, 60, and 120 min before terminating the reaction by addition of proteinase K at 56 °C for 10 min and visualizing as above. The ssDNA ladder (SimPlex Sciences, NJ, USA) ranging from 10–200 bp was included as the single-stranded DNA marker. Trans-activity of Alt-R AsCas12a V3-RNP complex was not apparent against the ssODNs under these conditions in vitro (Figure 2c).
4.12. Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism version 9.1 (GraphPad Prism, La Jolla, CA, USA). Graphs were plotted as the mean with bars to show the standard error of the mean. The differences among groups were assessed by one-way ANOVA. 

\( p \) values of \( \leq 0.05 \) were considered statistically significant.

4.13. Data Submission

Nucleotide sequences reads of the amplicons are available at Bioproject PRJNA415471 as recently accessed on 27 October 2021, [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/PRJNA415471](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/PRJNA415471) and GenBank accession PRJNA415471, BioSample, SAMN07823308, SRA, SRP126685.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following supporting information can be downloaded at: [https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/ijms23020631/s1](https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/ijms23020631/s1).

**Author Contributions:** W.I., C.C. and P.J.B. designed the experiments, analyzed the data, and drafted the manuscript with input from all the co-authors; C.C. and W.I. performed the gene editing-focused experiments; V.H.M., W.L., A.M., T.O., Y.N.A. and M.M.-K. contributed the parasite materials to the experiments, to data collection, and reviewed and edited the manuscript; P.J.B. and W.I. supervised the project; P.J.B., W.I., and M.M.-K. arranged the funding. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was funded by Wellcome Trust, Strategic Award number 107475/Z/15/Z.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Not applicable.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** Source data are available from the corresponding authors.

**Acknowledgments:** We thank Cornelis Hokke, Leiden University Medical Center, The Netherlands, for the gift of recombinant *S. mansoni* omega-1. We thank the Thailand Research Fund, the Royal Golden Jubilee Ph.D. program, and Dalina Tanyong, Mahidol University, Thailand, for support for C.C. to perform the work at GWU. This research was funded in whole, or in part, by the Wellcome Trust (award number 107475/Z/15/Z, titled “Functional Genomics Flatworms Initiative”, K. H. Hoffmann, principal investigator). For the purpose of Open Access, the authors have applied a CC BY public copyright license to any Author Accepted Manuscript version arising from this submission. Schistosome-infected mice and snails were provided by the NIAID Schistosomiasis Resource Center of the Biomedical Research Institute, Rockville, Maryland through NIH-NIAID Contract HHSN272201000051I for distribution through BEI Resources.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare that they do not have competing interests.

**References**

1. Ittiprasert, W.; Mann, V.H.; Karinshak, S.E.; Coghlan, A.; Rinaldi, G.; Sankaranarayanan, G.; Chaidee, A.; Tanno, T.; Kumkhaek, C.; Prangtaworn, P.; et al. Programmed genome editing of the omega-1 ribonuclease of the blood fluke, *Schistosoma mansoni*. *Elife* 2019, 8, e41337. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
2. Arunsan, P.; Ittiprasert, W.; Smout, M.J.; Cochran, C.J.; Mann, V.H.; Chaiyadet, S.; Karinshak, S.E.; Sripa, B.; Young, N.D.; Sotillo, J.; et al. Programmed knockout mutation of liver fluke granulin attenuates virulence of infection-induced hepatobiliary morbidity. *Elife* 2019, 8, e41463. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
3. Hoffmann, K.F.; Brindley, P.J.; Berriman, M. Halting harmful helminths. *Science* 2014, 346, 168–169. [CrossRef]
4. Jinek, M.; Chylinski, K.; Fonfara, I.; Hauer, M.; Doudna, J.A.; Charpentier, E. A programmable dual-RNA-guided DNA endonuclease in adaptive bacterial immunity. *Science* 2012, 337, 816–821. [CrossRef]
5. Koonin, E.V.; Makarova, K.S.; Wolf, Y.I. Evolutionary genomics of defense systems in archaea and bacteria. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* 2017, 71, 233–261. [CrossRef]
6. Charpentier, E. CRISPR-Cas9: How research on a bacterial RNA-guided mechanism opened new perspectives in biotechnology and biomedicine. *EMBO Mol. Med.* 2015, 7, 363–365. [CrossRef]
7. Huang, C.H.; Lee, K.C.; Doudna, J.A. Applications of CRISPR-cas enzymes in cancer therapeutics and detection. *Trends Cancer* 2018, 4, 499–512. [CrossRef]
8. Mustafa, M.I.; Makhawi, A.M. SHERLOCK and DETECTR, CRISPR-cas systems as potential rapid diagnostic tools for emerging infectious diseases. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 2020, 59, e00745-20. [CrossRef]
9. Nishimasu, H.; Ran, F.A.; Hsu, P.D.; Konermann, S.; Shehata, S.I.; Dohmae, N.; Ishitani, R.; Zhang, F.; Nureki, O. Crystal structure of Cas9 in complex with guide RNA and target DNA. Cell 2014, 156, 935–949. [CrossRef]

10. Jeon, Y.; Choi, Y.H.; Jang, Y.; Yu, J.; Goo, J.; Lee, G.; Jeong, Y.K.; Lee, S.H.; Kim, I.S.; Kim, J.S.; et al. Direct observation of DNA target searching and cleavage by CRISPR-Cas12a. Nat. Commun. 2018, 9, 2777. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

11. Zetsche, B.; Gootenberg, J.S.; Abudayyeh, O.O.; Slaymaker, I.M.; Makarova, K.S.; Essletzbichler, P.; Volz, S.E.; Joung, J.; van der Oost, J.; Regev, A.; et al. Cpf1 is a single RNA-guided endonuclease of a class 2 CRISPR-Cas system. Cell 2015, 163, 759–771. [CrossRef]

12. Malzahn, A.A.; Tang, X.; Lee, K.; Ren, Q.; Sretenovic, S.; Zhang, Y.; Chen, H.; Kang, M.; Bao, Y.; Zheng, X.; et al. Application of CRISPR-Cas12a temperature sensitivity for improved genome editing in rice, maize, and Arabidopsis. BMC Biol. 2019, 17, 9. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

13. Charpentier, E.; Richter, H.; van der Oost, J.; White, M.F. Biogenesis pathways of RNA guides in archaeal and bacterial CRISPR-cas adaptive immunity. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 2015, 39, 428–441. [CrossRef]

14. Liu, Y.; Han, J.; Chen, Z.; Wu, H.; Dong, H.; Nie, G. Engineering cell signaling using tunable CRISPR-Cpf1-based transcription factors. Nat. Commun. 2017, 8, 2095. [CrossRef]

15. Chen, J.S.; Ma, E.; Harrington, L.B.; Da Costa, M.; Tian, X.; Palefsky, J.M.; Doudna, J.A. CRISPR-Cas12a target binding unleashes indiscriminate single-stranded DNase activity. Science 2018, 360, 436–439. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

16. Smith, C.W.; Nandu, N.; Kachwala, M.J.; Chen, Y.S.; Uyar, T.B.; Yigit, M.V. Probing CRISPR-Cas12a nuclease activity using double-stranded DNA-templated fluorescent substrates. Biochemistry 2020, 59, 1474–1481. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

17. Kellner, M.J.; Koob, J.G.; Gootenberg, J.S.; Abudayyeh, O.O.; Zhang, F. SHERLOCK: Nuclease acid detection with CRISPR nucleases. Nat. Protoc. 2019, 14, 2986–3012. [CrossRef]

18. Li, S.-Y.; Cheng, Q.-X.; Wang, J.-M.; Li, X.-Y.; Zhang, Z.-L.; Gao, S.; Cao, R.-B.; Zhao, G.-P.; Wang, J. CRISPR-Cas12a-assisted nucleic acid detection. Cell Discov. 2018, 4, 20. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

19. Banakar, R.; Schubert, M.; Collingwood, M.; Vakulskas, C.; Eggenberg, A.L.; Wang, K. Comparison of CRISPR-Cas9/Cas12a ribonucleoprotein complexes for genome editing efficiency in the rice phytoene desaturase (OsPDS) gene. Rice 2020, 13, 4. [CrossRef]

20. Takaki, K.K.; Roca, F.J.; Budinich, K.A.; Evitt, N.H.; Cao, Z.; Freilich, E.S.; Chen, G.; Qi, J.; Lan, Y.; Kohli, R.; Shi, J. High-performance CRISPR-Cas12a genome editing for combinatorial genetic screening. PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis. 2021, 15, e0008814. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

21. Takaki, K.K.; Rinaldi, G.; Berriman, M.; Pagan, A.; Ramakrishnan, L.; Pagán, A.J. Tumor necrosis factor and Schistosoma mansoni egg antigen omega-1 shape distinct aspects of the early egg-induced granulomatous response. PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis. 2021, 15, e0008814. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

22. Sankaranarayanan, G.; Berriman, M.; Rinaldi, G. An uneven race: Genome editing for parasitic worms. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 2021, 19, 621. [CrossRef]

23. Schwartz, C.; Fallon, P.G. Schistosoma “Eggs-iting” the host: Granuloma formation and egg excretion. Front. Immunol. 2018, 9, 2492. [CrossRef]

24. Bolt, B.J.; Rodgers, F.H.; Shafie, M.; Kersey, P.J.; Berriman, M.; Howe, K.L. Using wormBase paraSite: An integrated platform for exploring helmint genomic data. Methods Mol. Biol. 2018, 1757, 471–491. [CrossRef]

25. Luhtala, N.; Parker, R. T2 Family ribonucleases: Ancient enzymes with diverse roles. Trends Biochem. Sci. 2010, 35, 253–259. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

26. Gier, R.A.; Budinich, K.A.; Evitt, N.H.; Cao, Z.; Freilich, E.S.; Chen, Q.; Qi, J.; Lan, Y.; Kohli, R.M.; Shi, J. High-performance CRISPR-Cas12a genome editing for combinatorial genetic screening. Nat. Commun. 2020, 11, 3455. [CrossRef]

27. Jacobsen, T.; Tofali, F.; Liao, C.; Manchalu, S.; Gray, B.N.; Beisel, C.L. Characterization of Cas12a nucleases reveals diverse PAM profiles between closely-related orthologs. Nucleic Acids Res. 2020, 48, 5624–5638. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

28. Pinello, L.; Canver, M.C.; Hoban, M.D.; Orkin, S.H.; Kohn, D.B.; Bauer, D.E.; Yuan, G.C. Analyzing CRISPR genome-editing experiments with CRISPResso. Nat. Biotechnol. 2016, 34, 695–697. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

29. Clement, K.; Rees, H.; Canver, M.C.; Gehrke, J.M.; Farouni, R.; Hsu, J.Y.; Cole, M.A.; Liu, D.R.; Joung, J.K.; Bauer, D.E.; et al. CRISPResso2 provides accurate and rapid genome editing sequence analysis. Nat. Biotechnol. 2019, 37, 224–226. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

30. Sentmanat, M.F.; Peters, S.T.; Florian, C.P.; Connelly, J.P.; Pruett-Miller, S.M. A Survey of validation strategies for CRISPR-Cas9 editing. Sci. Rep. 2018, 8, 888. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

31. An, Y.; Geng, Y.; Yao, J.; Fu, C.; Lu, M.; Wang, C.; Du, J. Efficient genome editing in populus using CRISPR/Cas12a. Front. Plant Sci. 2020, 11, 593938. [CrossRef]

32. Dumeau, C.E.; Monfort, A.; Kissling, L.; Swarts, D.C.; Jinek, M.; Wutz, A. Introducing gene deletions by mouse zygote electroperoration of Cas12a/Cpf1. Transgenic Res. 2019, 28, 525–535. [CrossRef]

33. Kissling, L.; Monfort, A.; Swarts, D.C.; Wutz, A.; Jinek, M. Preparation and electroperoration of Cas12a/Cpf1-guide RNA complexes for introducing large gene deletions in mouse embryonic stem cells. Methods Enzymol. 2019, 616, 241–263. [CrossRef]

34. Santos, L.; Mention, K.; Cavusoglu-Doran, K.; Sanz, D.J.; Bacalhau, M.; Lopes-Pacheco, M.; Harrison, P.T.; Farinha, C.M. Comparison of Cas9 and Cas12a CRISPR editing methods to correct the W1282X-CFTR mutation. J. Cyst. Fibros. 2021, in press. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
35. Straume, A.H.; Kjaerner-Semb, E.; Ove Skaftnesmo, K.; Guralp, H.; Kleppe, L.; Wargelius, A.; Edvardsen, R.B. Indel locations are determined by template polarity in highly efficient in vivo CRISPR/Cas9-mediated HDR in Atlantic salmon. Sci. Rep. 2020, 10, 409. [CrossRef]

36. Steinfeld, S.; Andersen, J.F.; Cannons, J.L.; Feng, C.G.; Joshi, M.; Dwyer, D.; Caspar, P.; Schwartzberg, P.L.; Sher, A.; Jankovic, D. The major component in schistosome eggs responsible for conditioning dendritic cells for Th2 polarization is a T2 ribonuclease (omega-1). J. Exp. Med. 2009, 206, 1681–1690. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

37. Everts, B.; Perona-Wright, G.; Smits, H.H.; Hokke, C.H.; van der Ham, A.J.; Fitzsimmons, C.M.; Doenhoff, M.J.; van der Bosch, J.; Mohrs, K.; Haas, H.; et al. Omega-1, a glycoprotein secreted by Schistosoma mansoni eggs, drives Th2 responses. J. Exp. Med. 2009, 206, 1673–1680. [CrossRef]

38. Costain, A.H.; MacDonald, A.S.; Smits, H.H. Schistosome egg migration, mechanisms, pathogenesis and host immune responses. Front. Immunol. 2018, 9, 3042. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

39. Shu, P.D.; Lander, E.S.; Zhang, F. Development and applications of CRISPR-Cas9 for genome engineering. Cell 2014, 157, 1262–1278. [CrossRef]

40. McVeigh, P.; Maule, A.G. Can CRISPR help in the fight against parasitic worms? eLife 2019, 8, e44382. [CrossRef]

41. Swarts, D.C.; Jinek, M. Mechanistic insights into the cis- and trans-acting DNase activities of Cas12a. Mol. Cell 2019, 73, 589–600.e4. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

42. Protasio, A.V.; Tsai, I.J.; Babbage, A.; Nichol, S.; Hunt, M.; Aslett, M.A.; De Silva, N.; Velarde, G.S.; Anderson, T.J.; Clark, R.C.; et al. A systematically improved high quality genome and transcriptome of the human blood fluke Schistosoma mansoni. PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis. 2012, 6, e1455. [CrossRef]

43. Swarts, D.C. Making the cut(s): How Cas12a cleaves target and non-target DNA. Biochem. Soc. Trans. 2019, 47, 1499–1510. [CrossRef]

44. Swarts, D.C.; Jinek, M. Cas9 versus Cas12a/Cpf1, Structure-function comparisons and implications for genome editing. Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. RNA 2018, 9, e1481. [CrossRef]

45. Canaj, H.; Hussmann, J.A.; Li, H.; Beckman, K.A.; Goodrich, L.; Cho, N.H.; Li, Y.J.; Santos, D.A.; McGeever, A.; Stewart, E.M.; et al. Deep profiling reveals substantial heterogeneity of integration outcomes in CRISPR knock-in experiments. bioRxiv 2019, 841098. [CrossRef]

46. Paix, A.; Folkmann, A.; Goldman, D.H.; Kulaga, H.; Grzelak, M.J.; Rasoloson, D.; Paidemarry, S.; Green, R.; Reed, R.R.; Seydoux, G. Precision genome editing using synthesis-dependent repair of Cas9-induced DNA breaks. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci USA 2017, 114, E10745–E10754. [CrossRef]

47. Ranawakage, D.C.; Okada, K.; Sugio, K.; Kawaguchi, Y.; Kuninobu-Bonkohara, Y.; Takada, T.; Kamachi, Y. Efficient CRISPR-Cas9-mediated knock-in of composite tags in zebrafish using long ssDNA as a donor. Front. Cell Dev. Biol. 2020, 8, 598634. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

48. Nguyen, L.T.; Smith, B.M.; Jain, P.K. Enhancement of trans-cleavage activity of Cas12a with engineered crRNA enables amplified nucleic acid detection. Nat. Biotechnol. 2016, 34, 863–868. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

49. Kim, J.; Hur, K.J.; Kim, J.; Hur, J.K.; Been, K.W.; Yoon, S.H.; Kim, J.S. Genome-wide analysis reveals specificities of Cpf1 endonucleases in mammalian cells. J. Exp. Med. 2016, 234, 86–868. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

50. Hur, J.K.; Kim, K.; Been, K.W.; Baek, G.; Ye, S.; Hur, J.W.; Ryu, S.M.; Lee, Y.S.; Kim, J.S. Targeted mutagenesis in mice by electroporation of Cpf1 ribonucleoproteins. Nat. Biotechnol. 2016, 34, 807–808. [CrossRef]

51. Tóth, É.; Czene, B.C.; Kulesár, P.L.; Krausz, S.L.; Tálás, A.; Nyeste, A.; Varga, É.; Huszár, K.; Weinhardt, N.; Ligeti, Z.; et al. Mb- and FnCpf1 nucleases are active in mammalian cells: Activities and PAM preferences of four wild-type Cpf1 nucleases and of their altered PAM specificity variants. Nucleic Acids Res. 2018, 46, 10272–10285. [CrossRef]

52. Zhang, Y.; Ren, Q.; Tang, X.; Liu, S.; Malzahn, A.A.; Zhou, J.; Wang, J.; Yin, D.; Pan, C.; Yuan, M.; et al. Expanding the scope of plant genome engineering with Cas12a orthologs and highly multiplexable editing systems. Nat. Commun. 2021, 12, 1944. [CrossRef]

53. Bi, F.; Banerji, S.; Zitnik, M.; Barabási, A.L.; et al. Enhanced Cas12a editing in mammalian cells and zebrafish. Nucleic Acids Res. 2019, 47, 4169–4180. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

54. Ma, P.; Meng, Q.; Sun, B.; Zhao, B.; Dang, L.; Zhong, M.; Liu, S.; Xu, H.; Mei, H.; Liu, J.; et al. MeCas12a, a highly sensitive and specific system for COVID-19 detection. Adv. Sci. 2020, 7, 2001300. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

55. Nguyen, P.Q.; Soenksen, L.R.; Donghia, N.M.; Angenent-Mari, N.M.; de Puig, H.; Huang, A.; Lee, R.; Slomovic, S.; Galbersanini, T.; Lansberry, G.; et al. Wearable materials with embedded synthetic biology sensors for biomolecule detection. Nat. Biotechnol. 2021, 39, 1366–1374. [CrossRef]

56. Zamanian, M.; Andersen, E.C. Prospects and challenges of CRISPR/Cas genome editing for the study and control of neglected vector-borne nematode diseases. FEMS J. 2016, 283, 3204–3221. [CrossRef]

57. Hendel, A.; Bak, R.O.; Clark, J.T.; Kennedy, A.B.; Ryan, D.E.; Roy, S.; Steinfeld, I.; Lunstad, B.D.; Kaiser, R.J.; Wilkens, A.B.; et al. Chemically modified guide RNAs enhance CRISPR-Cas genome editing in human primary cells. Nat. Biotechnol. 2015, 33, 985–989. [CrossRef]
59. International Helminth Genomes Consortium. Comparative genomics of the major parasitic worms. Nat. Genet. 2019, 51, 163–174. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

60. Dalton, J.P.; Day, S.R.; Drew, A.C.; Brindley, P.J. A method for the isolation of schistosome eggs and miracidia free of contaminating host tissues. Parasitology 1997, 115, 29–32. [CrossRef]

61. Jurberg, A.D.; Goncalves, T.; Costa, T.A.; de Mattos, A.C.; Pascarelli, B.M.; de Manso, P.P.; Ribeiro-Alves, M.; Pelajo-Machado, M.; Peralta, J.M.; Coelho, P.M.; et al. The embryonic development of Schistosoma mansoni eggs: Proposal for a new staging system. Dev. Genes Evol. 2009, 219, 219–234. [CrossRef]

62. Mann, V.H.; Morales, M.E.; Rinaldi, G.; Brindley, P.J. Culture for genetic manipulation of developmental stages of Schistosoma mansoni. Parasitology 2010, 137, 451–462. [CrossRef]

63. Mann, V.H.; Suttiprapa, S.; Skinner, D.E.; Brindley, P.J.; Rinaldi, G. Pseudotyped murine leukemia virus for schistosome transgenesis: Approaches, methods and perspectives. Transgenic Res. 2014, 23, 539–556. [CrossRef]

64. Lu, Z.; Sankaranarayanan, G.; Rawlinson, K.A.; Offord, V.; Brindley, P.J.; Berriman, M.; Rinaldi, G. The transcriptome of Schistosoma mansoni developing eggs reveals key mediators in pathogenesis and life cycle propagation. Front. Trop. Dis. 2021, 2, 713123. [CrossRef]

65. Labun, K.; Montague, T.G.; Gagnon, J.A.; Torres Cleuren, Y.N.; Valen, E. CHOPCHOP v2: A web tool for the next generation of CRISPR genome editing. Nucleic Acids Res. 2016, 44, W272–W276. [CrossRef]

66. Labun, K.; Montague, T.G.; Krause, M.; Valen, E. CHOPCHOP v3: Expanding the CRISPR genome editing web toolbox beyond genome editing. Nucleic Acids Res. 2019, 47, W171–W174. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

67. Montague, T.G.; Cruz, J.M.; Gagnon, J.A.; Church, G.M.; Valen, E. CHOPCHOP: A CRISPR/Cas9 and TALEN web tool for genome editing. Nucleic Acids Res. 2014, 42, W401–W407. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

68. Shirvani, R.; Barshan-Tashnizi, M.; Shahali, M. An investigation into gene copy number determination in transgenic yeast; The importance of selecting a reliable real-time PCR standard. Biologicals 2020, 65, 10–17. [CrossRef]

69. Lee, C.; Kim, J.; Shin, S.G.; Hwang, S. Absolute and relative QPCR quantification of plasmid copy number in Escherichia coli. J. Biotechnol. 2006, 123, 273–280. [CrossRef]

70. Yuan, J.S.; Burris, J.; Stewart, N.R.; Mentewab, A.; Stewart, C.N., Jr. Statistical tools for transgene copy number estimation based on real-time PCR. BMC Bioinform. 2007, 8 (Suppl. 7), S6. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

71. Meshalkina, D.A.; Glushchenko, A.S.; Kysil, E.V.; Mizgirev, I.V.; Frolov, A. SpCas9- and LcCas12a-mediated DNA editing produce different gene knockout outcomes in zebrafish embryos. Genes 2020, 11, 740. [CrossRef]

72. Brinkman, E.K.; Chen, T.; Amendola, M.; van Steensel, B. Easy quantitative assessment of genome editing by sequence trace decomposition. Nucleic Acids Res. 2014, 42, e168. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

73. Brinkman, E.K.; van Steensel, B. Rapid Quantitative Evaluation of CRISPR Genome Editing by TIDE and TIDER. Methods Mol. Biol. 2019, 1961, 29–44. [PubMed]

74. Germini, D.; Tsfasman, T.; Zakharova, V.V.; Sjaskte, N.; Lipinski, M.; Vassetzky, Y. A Comparison of Techniques to Evaluate the Effectiveness of Genome Editing. Trends Biotechnol. 2018, 36, 147–159. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

75. Livak, K.J.; Schmittgen, T.D. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2 −ΔΔCT Method. Methods 2001, 25, 402–408. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

76. Kielkopf, C.L.; Bauer, W.; Urbatsch, I.L. Bradford assay for determining protein concentration. Cold Spring Harb. Protoc. 2020, 4, 102269. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

77. Wilbers, R.H.; Westerhof, L.B.; van Noort, K.; Obieko, K.; Driessen, N.N.; Everts, B.; Gringhuis, S.I.; Schramm, G.; Govers, A.; Smant, G.; et al. Production and glyco-engineering of immunomodulatory helminth glycoproteins in plants. Sci. Rep. 2017, 7, 45910. [CrossRef]

78. Bandrowski, A.; Brush, M.; Grethe, J.S.; Haendel, M.A.; Kennedy, D.N.; Hill, S.; Hof, P.R.; Martone, M.E.; Pols, M.; Tan, S.C.; et al. The Resource Identification Initiative: A cultural shift in publishing. Brain Behav. 2016, 6, e00417. [CrossRef]

79. Bi, L.; Yan, J.; Zhang, Y.; Li, W.; Zeng, C.; Zhao, W.; Hou, X.; Zhang, C.; Dong, Y. CRISPR-Cas12a possesses unconventional DNase activity that can be inactivated by synthetic oligonucleotides. Mol. Ther. Nucleic. Acids 2020, 19, 1043–1052. [CrossRef]

80. Li, S.Y.; Cheng, Q.X.; Liu, J.K.; Nie, X.Q.; Zhao, G.P.; Wang, J. CRISPR-Cas12a has both cis- and trans-cleavage activities on single-stranded DNA. Cell Res. 2018, 28, 491–493. [CrossRef]