Integrated management of *Striga gesnerioides* in cowpea using resistant varieties, improved crop nutrition and rhizobium inoculants
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**Abstract**

*Background*  Cowpea is a grain legume of major importance in sub-Saharan Africa where it is cultivated by smallholder farmers on poor soils and production is often constrained by the parasitic weed *Striga gesnerioides*.

*Method*  Experiments were conducted to assess the potential of rhizobium inoculation to mitigate *Striga* infection and increase cowpea productivity. We infested soils with *S. gesnerioides* and assessed the impact of treatments combining cowpea genotypes and bradyrhizobium inoculation on *Striga* dynamics and cowpea yield. In total, 20 cowpea genotypes were included, of which nine were resistant to *Striga* and 11 were susceptible. In the first experiment these were factorially combined with three inoculation options (two bradyrhizobium strains USDA3384 and IRU2180A, and uninoculated control) in a screenhouse using potted sterile soils. Second, the same trial was repeated in the field with basal phosphorus applied at sowing and a fourth treatment of fertilizer-N (urea) included testing whether N was limiting cowpea growth. The field trial also included a separate treatment with no input that served as a negative check.

*Result*  Significant genotype x treatment interactions were observed in nodule counts, *Striga* attachment, emergence, and cowpea shoot growth in the screenhouse. There were few nodules across all cowpea lines. *Striga* counts were the lowest for resistant varieties with no emerged plants. Rhizobial inoculants depressed *Striga* counts with consistent differences

W. M. Abdullahi · O. Boukar · N. Belko · A. Togola
International Institute of Tropical Agriculture, Kano, Nigeria
e-mail: aswaj@yahoo.com

M. Dianda
Institut de l’Environnement et de Recherches Agricoles, Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso

M. Dianda · I. Dieng
International Institute of Tropical Agriculture, Ibadan, Nigeria

G. S. Mohammed
Center of Dryland Agriculture, Bayero University Kano, Kano, Nigeria

N. Belko
Africa Rice Center, Bouake, Côte d’Ivoire

H. Muhammad
Departament of Plant Biology, Bayero University, Kano, Nigeria

F. Kanampiu · B. Vanlauwe
International Institute of Tropical Agriculture, Nairobi, Kenya

K. E. Giller
Plant Production Systems, Wageningen University, Wageningen, The Netherlands
across cowpea genotypes. Inoculation with IRJ2180A performed the best against *Striga* attachment in resistant genotypes, and against *Striga* emergence in susceptible genotypes. In the field trial, cowpea grown without inputs had the least number of nodules. The genotype x treatment interaction was significant: resistant cowpea genotypes were free of emerged *Striga* while there was much more *Striga* emergence without input addition with susceptible genotypes. A significant genotype x treatment interaction was observed on cowpea grain yield. Yield response to inoculation was clearest with resistant genotypes inoculated with the strain IRJ2180A.

**Conclusion** The integrated use of *Striga*-resistant cowpea lines, basal phosphorus fertilizer and elite bradyrhizobium inoculants is a promising approach to mitigate *Striga* infection and increase cowpea productivity.
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**Introduction**

Cowpea (*V. unguiculata* (L.) Walp.) is a grain legume of high agronomic, nutritional and economic importance in the semi-arid tropics where it is mainly cultivated by resource poor farmers. It constitutes a valuable source of protein in the diets of millions of people (Boukar et al., 2016). About 7.4 million metric tons of cowpea is annually produced worldwide on about 12.6 million hectares (FAOSTAT, 2017). Yet the productivity of cowpea on farmers’ fields remains poor due to numerous abiotic and biotic constraints. Cowpea is often infested by parasitic weed *Striga gesnerioides* (Willd.) Vatke, which is a major biotic constraint to crop production in the Savannah and Sahel agroecologies of West Africa. The degree of infestation of *Striga* is greatest when soil fertility is poor, sometimes causing complete loss of yield and forcing farmers to abandon their cultivated lands (Kamara et al., 2014).

*S. gesnerioides* is an autogamous parasite with a life cycle typical of other agriculturally important *Striga* spp., and a wide host range including cowpea and groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.), many other legumes (*Alysicarpus* spp., *Euphorbia* spp., *Indigofera* spp. and *Tephrosia* spp.) as well as tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum* L.) and *Ipomea* spp. (Berner and Williams, 1998). Adaptations to parasitism of *Striga* species include the ability to produce a large number of tiny seeds with prolonged viability and special germination requirements (Siame et al., 1993). Seeds germinate only after exposure to exogenous germination stimulants, strigolactones, which are derived from root exudates of host and often non-host plant species (referred to as trap crops) (Bouwmeester et al., 2007; Yoneyama et al., 2009; Cardoso et al., 2014). Strigolactones are plant hormones which regulate plant shoot and root architecture in response to the environment (Gomez-Roldan et al. 2008; Cardoso et al., 2014), which also function as host recognition signals for arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (Akiyama et al., 2005) and rhizobia, and trigger seeds of parasitic weeds such as *Striga* spp.to germinate (Soto et al., 2010; Foo and Davies, 2011; Foo et al., 2013).

Farmer practices to combat *Striga* weeds range from hand-pulling emerged *Striga* plants, crop rotations / intercropping to improve soil fertility, the use of trap crops that stimulate suicidal germination of *Striga* seeds, to the selection of resistant crop varieties. Promising methods to manage *Striga* arising from research include the use of mineral fertilizers (Jamil et al., 2011), chemicals (Kanampiu et al., 2001, 2003), intercropping (Rusinamhodzi et al., 2012), improved tolerant/resistant germplasm (Lane et al. 2003) and biological control (Mabrouk et al., 2007a). However, yield loss attributable to *Striga* is increasing because many promising *Striga* control methods suffer from limited adoption and utility (Silberg et al., 2021; Jamil et al., 2021). Low uptake of agricultural technologies is driven by multiple socioeconomic constraints at the farm or higher levels, resulting from lack of/ or competing use of land, labour, cash, or organic resources, and reluctance of farmers to experiment with new methods (Oswald, 2005; Giller et al., 2011; Kanampiu et al., 2018).

An integrated approach is required using direct and indirect measures in a concerted manner to prevent damage of parasitism, and ultimately to eradicate the seed bank (Rubiales and Fernandez-Aparicio, 2012). Integrated soil fertility management (ISFM) (Vanlauwe et al., 2010), including incorporation of legumes into cropping systems (Franke et al., 2018; Vanlauwe et al., 2019; Kamara et al., 2020) can contribute to reducing *Striga* infection. Many leguminous
crops such as cowpea can stimulate suicidal germination of seeds of *Striga* spp. that infect cereals as non-host species (Berner and Williams, 1998), and are used as trap crops to reduce *Striga* spp. in cereal-legume rotation and intercropping systems (Midega et al., 2014; Hooper et al., 2015).

Strains of rhizobacteria have been identified with potential for controlling the parasitic weed *Orobanche crenata* Forsk. in inoculated pea (Mabouk et al., 2007a, b). Inoculation of pea with compatible rhizobia was reported to affect *O. crenata* by reducing seed germination, and decreasing root infection with limited capacity for tubercle development and necrosis of attachments (Mabouk et al., 2007a, b). Considering that the key factors determining productivity or performance of legume technologies range from the genotypes of both the legume (*G*₁) and the associated root nodule rhizobia (*G*₂), the test climate and soil environments (*E*), to the agronomic management used (*M*), such relationship can be expressed as - (*G*₁ × *G*₂) × *E* × *M* (Giller et al., 2013). For a given environment, understanding in the same way the relationship between the effectiveness of various combinations of symbiotic partners (*G*₁ × *G*₂) and management options (*M*), and the variability in legume infection by *Striga* spp. is of major interest in view of explaining the potential of matching legume variety and inoculant strain in controlling *S. gesnerioides*.

*Striga*-resistant varieties and promising lines of cowpea have already been identified (Lane et al., 2003; Boukar et al., 2016), which provide a key entry point for testing integrated *Striga* management options. Genotypes of cowpea (Lane et al., 2003) and many cereals such as rice (Rodenburg et al., 2017), sorghum (Rodenburg et al., 2006) and maize (Badu-Apraku et al., 2020) are reported to combine improved degrees of resistance and tolerance to *Striga*. Resistance to *Striga* spp. refers to genotype ability to support fewer infections, whereas tolerance denotes its potential to show less damage for similar infection levels when compared with other varieties of the same species (Rodenburg et al., 2006). Resistant varieties can induce the germination of *Striga* seeds while preventing the parasite from attaching to host roots or killing the attached parasitic plants (Badu-Apraku et al., 2020).

In this paper, we present the results of an integrated evaluation of BNF technologies consisting of crop varieties, rhizobium inoculants, and the application of phosphorus (P) and nitrogen (N) fertilizers. The objectives are (i) to evaluate underscreen-house conditions the potential of rhizobia as biocontrol agents against *S. gesnerioides* in *Striga*-resistant and susceptible cowpea varieties, (ii) to assess the relative performance of rhizobial inoculants to control *Striga* infection of cowpea in the field as compared with standard mineral fertilisation, and (iii) to identify the most effective combination of rhizobia, cowpea genotype and fertilizer for optimal control of *S. gesnerioides*.

**Materials and methods**

Experimental site and soil description

Two sets of experiments were conducted in 2014 in Kano (Kano state, northern Nigeria) under screen-house and field conditions. A screen-house trial was carried out under artificial irrigation during the dry season at the Kano Station of International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA). A subsequent field trial was conducted under natural rainfall during the following rainy season at the IITA research farm (12°10′42″N, 8°32′39″Eat 500 m above sea level), located at Minjibir village 45 km north of Kano. Both locations fall under the Sudan savanna agro-ecological zone, where climate is dry with a unimodal distribution of 700–800 mm annual rainfall (Ronner et al., 2016) over a short growing season (about 120 days) from July to September. The mean monthly rainfall and temperature in 2014 at Minjibir are shown in Fig. 1.

Soil sampling was done before land preparation by taking soil cores from 0 to 15 cm depth at random points describing a “Z” pattern across the whole field. The cores were bulked and mixed thoroughly to provide a composite sample, and a subsample was analysed for physical and chemical properties according to standard procedures (IITA, 1989) in the analytical laboratory of the International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA), Ibadan, Nigeria. The abundance of soil bradyrhizobia was determined by the plant infection technique (Vincent, 1970) (Table 1). The soil was coarse textured and texture fell within the loamy sand and sandy loam class (Table 1). Soil organic carbon
(9 g kg\(^{-1}\)) was relatively low and total nitrogen (0.36 g kg\(^{-1}\)) in the very low N fertility class. The concentration of available Cu (1.07 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) was low and there was relatively high concentration of Mn (46 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) and Fe (178 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) due to the slightly acidic pH (5.6) of the soil (Table 1). Available soil P in the field (8.6 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) was as low, as is common in sandy savanna soils with low organic matter content (Kwari et al., 2011).

Cowpea and Striga seed sources

Twenty cowpea genotypes (Table 2) were compared in both screen-house and field station experiments. These lines have earlier shown variable responses to *Striga* ranging from lack of infection even at high *Striga* infestation rates (resistant genotypes) to presence of parasitism even at low *Striga* infestation (susceptible genotypes) (Muranaka et al., 2011). Seeds of cowpea lines were obtained from the Genetic Resource Center (GRC) of IITA. The *Striga* seeds were harvested in 2010 from a research farm at Malam Madori in Jigawa State, a *Striga* hot-spot where cowpea is widely cultivated. *Striga* seeds were manually threshed and cleaned to remove chaff. Seeds were then surface-sterilized with a sodium hypochlorite solution (NaOCl, 3.0%) for

### Table 1  Soil chemical characteristics and most-probable number (MPN) of rhizobia at the Minjibir site

| Soil property     | Value               |
|-------------------|---------------------|
| pH (H\(_2\)O)     | 5.6                 |
| N (g kg\(^{-1}\)) | 0.36                |
| Bray P (mg kg\(^{-1}\)) | 8.61               |
| C (g kg\(^{-1}\))  | 9                   |
| Sand (g kg\(^{-1}\)) | 800                |
| Silt (g kg\(^{-1}\)) | 80                 |
| Clay (g kg\(^{-1}\)) | 120                |
| Ca (cmol kg\(^{-1}\)) | 1.29               |
| Mg (cmol kg\(^{-1}\)) | 0.57               |
| K (cmol kg\(^{-1}\)) | 0.19               |
| Na (cmol kg\(^{-1}\)) | 0.40               |
| Zn (mg kg\(^{-1}\)) | <0.01              |
| Cu (mg kg\(^{-1}\)) | <0.01              |
| Mn (mg kg\(^{-1}\)) | 0.46               |
| Fe (mg kg\(^{-1}\)) | 0.78               |
| ECEC              | 2.45                |
| Rhizobia (MPN)    | \(1.7 \times 10^3\) |
3 min (Berner and Williams, 1998). Thereafter, the seeds were thoroughly rinsed with tap water through a fine sieve and left to air-dry in the laboratory.

**Bradyrhizobium strains and inocula preparation**

*Bradyrhizobium* sp. strain USDA 3384 (National Rhizobium Culture Collection, Beltsville, Maryland, USA) and *B. japonicum* strain IRJ 2180A were obtained from the soil microbiology laboratory of IITA. These area reference broad host-range rhizobia strain (Foster et al., 1998; Hashem et al., 1997) and an elite soybean inoculant strain (Sanginga et al., 2002; Okogun and Sanginga, 2003), respectively. Each strain was grown in yeast extract mannitol medium for five days to reach $10^9$ cell ml$^{-1}$, which was used as liquid inoculant in screen-house studies. Bradyrhizobial broths were used to prepare peat-based inoculants to coat seed for field experiments. Standard peat carrier (APT, USA) was sterilized by gamma irradiation (Ghana Atomic Energy Commission, Accra, Ghana) in bags containing 50 g of peat each. Upon radiation, each bag was aseptically injected with 50 ml of the appropriate rhizobial broth, injection hole sealed, contain thoroughly mixed and then cured in the same bag at 28 °C for two weeks to obtain at least $10^9$ cell g$^{-1}$ inoculant (Hoben and Somasegaran, 1982).

**Treatments, experimental design and crop management**

In a first experiment, test soil was artificially infested with *Striga* to assess responses of 20 cowpea genotypes to three inoculation options under screen-house conditions. Inoculation treatments comprised of the two bradyrhizobial inoculants used separately, and an uninoculated control. Sixty treatments were studied consisting of $20 \times 3$ factorial combinations of both factor levels. Treatments were established in three replications using a split plot arrangement where inoculation options were randomly assigned to main plots and cowpea genotypes to sub-plots as to minimize cross contamination. Cowpea plants were grown...
on potted-soil infested with *Striga* seeds using a modified protocol of Singh and Emechebe (1990). A mixture of top soil and river sand (2:1; v/v) was prepared and sterilized by autoclaving (120 °C for 1 h) prior to incorporating *Striga* seed. The soil used in screen-house trial was sampled from the field where the field trial was conducted. Growth units consisted of plastic pots (13 cm, height and width) containing ca. 2.5 kg pot⁻¹ soil mixture. Four seeds of cowpea were planted and inoculated with 1 ml of bradyrhizobial broth pot⁻¹. The growth units were subsequently irrigated with tap water as necessary on alternate days to ensure adequate moisture and good germination. Pots were thinned to two seedlings at 2 weeks after planting then fertilized with 180 mg P as single super phosphate (SSP, 18% P). Seedling leaves were further sprayed with a combined insecticide (35 ml of Lamdacyhalothrine and 75 ml of Cypermethrin plus Dimethoate in 15 l sprayer) to control insect pests.

In the second experiment conducted in the field, responses of *Striga* infection and crop performance of the same cowpea varieties to five treatments were tested on land heavily infested with *Striga* (five plants m⁻² on average). The treatments were a negative check with no input (inherent soil fertility), a control treatment which received only P fertilizer, the two inoculants applied together with P fertilizer, and a treatment which received urea-N and P fertilizer (Table 3). We refer to the inoculant treatments and the N fertilizer treatment jointly as “N sources”. P fertilizer was applied at the rate of 30 kg P ha⁻¹ as single-super phosphate and N applied as urea at a rate of 20 kg N ha⁻¹. All fertilizer was applied at sowing in furrows and covered with soil to minimise losses. The experiment was set up as a split plot with 20 cowpea genotypes and 5 soil fertility treatments, replicated three times. The field was mown, harrowed and plant debris removed. The field was then ridged at 0.75m inter-ridge spacing. Cowpea seeds were pre-treated with fungicide-insecticide Apron Star (20% w/w Thiamethoxam, 20% w/w Metalaxyl-M, 2% w/w Difenoconazole) to prevent soil-borne pests attack on seeds and seedlings. Plots (6 ridges×4 m) were planted manually at the rate of three seeds per hill with 0.2 m intra-row spacing. Immediately before planting, cowpea seed was coated with peat-based inoculants (10 g kg⁻¹ seed) using a solution of gum arabic (ca. 20 g l⁻¹) as sticker. Pre-emergence herbicide Paraquat and Pendimethalin (3 l ha⁻¹) was sprayed immediately after sowing to control weeds. Two weeks after planting, plots were thinned to two plants per hill and SSP fertilizer was applied at the rate of 30 kg P ha⁻¹. Lamdacyhalothrine 25 EC (0.7 l ha⁻¹) and Cypermethrin, plus Dimethoate (1 l ha⁻¹) was applied at flowering stage to control insect pests. The field was maintained free of weeds except *Striga* plants by regular manual weeding at three, six and eight weeks after planting.

### Data collection and statistical analyses

In the screen-house study, plants were harvested at 64 days after planting (DAP). Potted plants were cut at the soil level and pots were gently flushed with tap water to discard soil from the root systems for count assessment of nodules, *Striga* attached to roots and emerged *Striga*. All plant parts were oven-dried separately at 65 °C for 48 h for biomass. In the field trial data were collected from the flowering stage onward. Twenty plants were randomly uprooted from border rows of each plot, nodules recovered, counted and oven-dried as above for dry mass assessment. Number of emerged *Striga* plants was monitored three times from the two central rows of plots until 70 DAP and cumulative number calculated. At maturity, the same inner rows were harvested, shoots, pods and grains air-dried and weight recorded.

Biomass data (cowpea and *Striga* dry weights) from the screen-house trial, and grain yield from the field study were analysed using a linear mixed model where genotype, strain, and genotype x strain were considered as fixed effects, and replicate, genotype x replicate as random effects. Count data across screen-house and field experiments (number of nodules, *Striga* attachments and emerged plants) were analysed using a generalized mixed model.
(McCullagh and Nelder, 1989) assuming a Poisson distribution. Least-squares means and the associated standard errors derived from the mixed model were computed. Custom hypothesis tests were used to perform contrast comparisons between specific groups among cowpea genotypes and N-sources (Tables 4 and 5). All data were analysed using MIXED (linear mixed model) and GLIMMIX (generalized linear mixed model) procedures in SAS/STAT software, Version 9.4 of the SAS System for Windows (SAS Institute, 2019).

Results

Striga infection, nodulation and plant growth in the screen-house

At harvest 64 DAP, the majority of inoculated cowpea plants were poorly nodulated as they had reached physiological maturity (Fig. 2). The occurrence of nodulation appeared to be inversely-related to infection of cowpea roots by Striga, with most plants exhibiting either nodules or Striga attachments (Fig. 3B, C). In addition, the smallest values for cowpea dry weight were found on plants with the least number of nodules (Fig. 3A).

There was a differential response of cowpea genotypes to inoculation treatments in shoot dry weight ($P = 0.0155$, Table 4). Resistant varieties grew the best, yet were not responsive to inoculation in pots. By contrast, susceptible lines showed substantial responses to inoculation with an average of 63% increase in shoot dry weight ($P \leq 0.0001$, Table 4; Fig. 4A). Similarly, there were large differences between susceptible cowpea varieties which had significantly larger counts of emerged Striga plants ($P = 0.0047$) and number of Striga attachments to cowpea than resistant varieties ($P \leq 0.0001$) (Fig. 3; Table 4; Fig. 4B-C). The number of emerged Striga plants from pots was the greatest (2.5 plant$^{-1}$) for susceptible genotypes grown without inoculation (control treatment) ($P = 0.0168$; Fig. 4B). Moreover, inoculation with strain IRJ 2180A was the most effective totally in preventing emergence of Striga plants in the potted susceptible genotypes ($P = 0.0246$; Fig. 4C). On average, the smallest numbers of Striga attachments to cowpea roots were observed in resistant varieties ($P = 0.0046$), and in inoculated plants ($P = 0.0065$, Table 4; Fig. 4D-E). When compared with the uninoculated (control) treatments, addition of rhizobial inoculants was slightly more effective in reducing Striga attachments on resistant (90%) than susceptible (80%) varieties ($P = 0.0168$; Fig. 4D). Resistant genotypes performed best against Striga attachment to root with strain IRJ 2180A inoculant ($P = 0.0246$; Fig. 4E).

Table 4 Summary of analysis of variance (ANOVA) of nodule number, cowpea shoot and Striga dry weights, and counts of plants and attachment of Striga for cowpea grown in potted-soils under screen-house conditions

| Effect                      | df | Nodule number(number plant$^{-1}$) | Cowpea shoot dry weight (g plant$^{-1}$) | Striga dry weight (g pot$^{-1}$) | Striga count (number pot$^{-1}$) | Striga attachment (number plant$^{-1}$) |
|-----------------------------|----|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Variety                     | 19 | 0.33 NS                           | 8.75 ****                               | 1.32 NS                         | 0.87 NS                          | 1.87 NS                               |
| (R vs. S)                   | 1  | -0.74 NS                          | -8.29 ****                              | 3.65 **                         | 3.01 **                          | 4.53 **                               |
| Inoculation                 | 2  | 1.86 NS                           | 6.10 **                                 | 11.64 ****                      | 5.99 **                          | 54.23 ****                            |
| (Inoculant vs. Control)     | 1  | 1.87 NS                           | 3.48 ***                                | -1.45 ****                      | -2.80 **                         | -10.29 **                             |
| (IRJ vs. US)                | 1  | 0.42 NS                           | 0.30 NS                                 | 1.5 *                           | -2.23 *                          | -2.39 *                               |
| Inoculation*variety         | 38 | 1.84 *                            | 1.78 NS                                 | -1.84 *                         | 2.00 **                          | 4.38 ****                             |
| (Inoculant vs. Control @ R) | 1  | 1.76 NS                           | -1.10 NS                                | -1.84 *                         | -0.02 NS                         | -2.8 NS                               |
| (Inoculant vs. Control @ S) | 1  | 0.95 NS                           | 5.69 ****                               | -4.54 ****                      | -4.30 ****                       | -14.22 ****                           |
| (IRJ vs. US @ R)            | 1  | -0.51 NS                          | 0.98 NS                                 | 0.29 *                          | 0.21 NS                          | -0.64 NS                              |
| (IRJ vs. US @ S)            | 1  | 0.98 NS                           | -0.48 NS                                | -2.21 *                         | -3.35 **                         | -2.82 **                              |

*: **: ***: ****: $P < 0.05$, $P < 0.01$, $P < 0.001$, and $P < 0.0001$, respectively; NS: Not significant

*: Main and interaction effects tested with an F test; others (contrasts) tested with a t test
Table 5 Summary of analysis of variance (ANOVA) of nodule number, count of emerged *Striga* and cowpea grain yield of cowpea grown under field conditions

| Effect                          | df  | *F* or t values | *Striga* count (number 72.86 ha −1 ) | Cowpea grain Yield (kg ha −1 ) |
|---------------------------------|-----|-----------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|                                  |     |                 | Nodule number (number plant −1 )    |                                 |
| Variety (V)                     | 19  | 1.75 *          |                                     |                                 |
| (R vs. S)                       | 1   | -1.90NS         |                                     |                                 |
| Management (M)                  | 4   | 280.00****      | 5.13***                             | 27.36****                       |
| (No input vs. Others)           | 1   | 19.06 ****      | -4.12 ****                         | 16.77 ****                      |
| (Urea vs. Inoculants)           | 1   | 9.74 ****       | -0.91 NS                            | 2.40 *                          |
| (IRJ2180A vs. USDA3384)         | 1   | 18.76 ****      | 0.06 NS                             | 9.46 ****                       |
| (P vs. P+N)                     | 1   | 6.99 ****       | -1.73 NS                            | -1.64 NS                        |
| M x V                           | 76  | 0.79NS          | 2.51****                            | 3.92****                        |
| (No Input vs. Others @ R)       | 1   | 12.12 ****      | 0.00 NS                             | 14.36 ****                      |
| (No Input vs. Others @ S)       | 1   | 14.70 ****      | -18.75 ****                         | 9.63 ****                       |
| (Urea vs. Inoculants @ R)       | 1   | 7.08 ****       | 0.00 NS                             | 2.24*                           |
| (Urea vs. Inoculants @ S)       | 1   | 6.73 ****       | -3.03 **                            | 1.21 NS                         |
| (IRJ vs. USDA @ R)              | 1   | -13.23 ****     | 0.00 NS                             | -8.49****                       |
| (IRJ vs. USDA @ S)              | 1   | -13.35 ****     | -0.17 NS                            | -5.08****                       |
| (P vs. P+N @ R)                 | 1   | 4.89 ****       | 0.00 NS                             | 1.05 NS                         |
| (P vs. P+N @ S)                 | 1   | 5.00 ****       | -6.55 ****                          | -3.12**                         |

*: Main and interactions’ effects tested with an F test; others (contrasts) tested with a t test; ***, **** and ****: *P < 0.05, P < 0.01, P < 0.001, and *P < 0.0001, respectively; NS: Not significant

Fig. 2 Average nodule number of 20 cowpea genotypes inoculated with bradyrhizobia under screenhouse conditions. Error bars represent the standard errors of difference between means.
Striga infection, nodulation and plant growth in the field trial

At early cowpea flowering stage, the number of nodules was 14.9 nodules plant\(^{-1}\) on average with significant differences among varieties (\(P=0.0315\)), and between nutrient management options (\(P \leq 0.0001\); Table 5; Fig. 5A-D). The least nodule number (5.3 nodules plant\(^{-1}\)) was found for cowpea managed without any input (\(P \leq 0.0001\); Fig. 5A). The application of Pfertilizer alone improved nodulation (13.1 nodules plant\(^{-1}\)), but to a lesser extent than when P and N sources were combined (\(P \leq 0.0001\); Fig. 5B). Addition of urea resulted in less nodules (13.3 nodules plant\(^{-1}\)) than when rhizobial inoculants were applied (21.5 nodules plant\(^{-1}\)) (\(P \leq 0.0001\); Fig. 5C).
Among the inoculant treatments, the largest number of nodules was found in the presence of the strain IRJ 2180A (29.8 nodules plant\(^{-1}\); \(P \leq 0.0001\), Table 5; Fig. 5D).

Overall, strong positive relationships were found between nodule number and cowpea yield and negative relationships with *Striga* emergence (data not shown). Emergence of *Striga* plants decreased with increasing nodule number, which led to improved cowpea yield. The density of *Striga* plants was highest for the less well nodulated cowpea plants managed without any input (\(\leq 5\) nodules plant\(^{-1}\)) and lowest for the abundantly-nodulated plants in the P+ IRJ 2180A treatment (\(\geq 25\) nodules plant\(^{-1}\)). For most cowpea genotypes, grain yield had a significant negative correlation with *Striga* count.

Significant variety x management interactions was observed in the cumulative count of emerged *Striga* plants (\(P \leq 0.0001\), Table 5). Plots with resistant cowpea varieties were remarkably free of emerged *Striga* almost throughout the field experiment (Fig. 6A-D). Susceptible varieties had stronger infection with *Striga* count dependent upon the management option.
**Fig. 5** A-D Average nodule number of cowpea plants grown under five management options under field conditions; No input: No fertilization; + P: phosphorus; urea: urea-N; IRJ 2180A and USDA 3384: bradyrhizobial inoculants; Error bars represent the standard error of means.

**Fig. 6** A-D Average number of emerged *Striga* plants for cowpea grown under five management options in the field; No input: No fertilization; + P: phosphorus; urea: urea-N; IRJ 2180A and USDA 3384: bradyrhizobial inoculants; Error bars represent the standard error of means.
Sprouting of Striga was densest without any amendment (no input) compared with nutrient addition ($P \leq 0.0001$, Table 5; Fig. 6A). On average, managing susceptible cowpea varieties with various combinations of P and N-sources led to more than 2.6-fold reduction in the number of Striga plants relative to plots without any input (Fig. 6A). Effectiveness in the control ranged from the least with P alone to the highest for P combined with N-sources (Fig. 6B). However, emerged Striga count when fertilized with urea was comparable with that for rhizobial inoculants (Fig. 6B).

The differential responses of the cowpea genotypes to management options resulted in large grain yield differences at harvest ($P \leq 0.0001$, Table 5; Fig. 7A-D). Overall, grain yield was more than doubled in response to nutrient supply compared with no input treatments, with increase being marginally larger for resistant (128%) than susceptible (119%) lines (Fig. 7A). On average in addition, grain yield in susceptible varieties was more responsive to P alone (+16%) than P + N treatments ($P \leq 0.01$, Table 5; Fig. 7B). When P was applied the yield response of the cowpea varieties to inoculant was significantly greater (ca. +10%) than with urea ($P = 0.0174$; Fig. 7B). Overall, cowpea yielded substantially more grain (31–34% more) with inoculant strain IRJ 2180A than USDA 3384 ($P \leq 0.0001$; Fig. 7D). Also, resistant varieties outperformed susceptible lines in yield responses to inoculation, which was most obvious in the presence of inoculant strain IRJ 2180A ($P = 0.0043$; Fig. 7D).
Discussion

Our results demonstrate that integration of resistant varieties, fertilizer application and inoculation with *Bradyrhizobium* could effectively control *Striga* in cowpea. A pot experiment was used first to investigate genotypic variability in cowpea responses to co-inoculation with rhizobia and *Striga* in the screen-house. Although the cowpea plants were poorly-nodulated by time of harvest (< 1 nodule plant\(^{-1}\) on average; Fig. 2), plant infection by *Striga* was strongly reduced as compared to the control without inoculation. Poor nodulation in the screen-house could be partly due to the harvest of mature plants, as well as the soil sterilization which would have killed off arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungi as well as native rhizobia. In addition to the well-established role of AM in plant uptake of phosphorus in P-deficient soils which supports good nodulation and nitrogen fixation in legumes (Hayman, 1986, de Novais et al., 2020), there is evidence that AM fungi can suppress *Striga* infection in cereals. While the precise mechanisms need to be elucidated, AM both suppress germination of *Striga* seeds and attachment to host roots, leading to reduced root colonisation and emergence of *Striga* plants (Gworgwor and Weber 2003; Lendzemo et al., 2005,2006), by altering the release of *Striga* seeds germination stimulants in host root exudates (Akiyama et al., 2005; Lendzemo et al., 2007). This suggests that further studies on the role of the tripartite interaction of the host legume, AM and rhizobia on *Striga* suppression are warranted. On average, the resistant cowpea varieties had the least number of *Striga* attachments to the roots as well as the number and weight of emerged *Striga* shoots (Fig. 3). Surprisingly, resistant varieties were also found to be the most responsive to rhizobial inoculants for the control of *Striga*: compared with the uninoculated control plants of these varieties, there was as high as a tenfold reduction in the number of *Striga* attachments which resulted in cowpea plants almost clean of the parasites (Fig. 4).

In comparison with some practices recommended against *Striga* such as hand or hoe-weeding and conventional biocontrol, which operate only when the parasite has already infected the crop and subsequently developed emerged shoots, eradicating the seed bank and preventing root colonization by *Striga* may be the ideal solution to its control (López-Ráez et al., 2008; Kountche et al., 2019). The use of crop varieties that combine resistance with high tolerance levels is presently suggested among the most promising and easy to adopt control options against the weed *Striga*, particularly when combined also with other management practices (Rodenburg et al., 2006, 2017; Badu-Apraku et al., 2020). Although much literature has emphasised the importance of integrated technologies involving improved crop germplasm, companion legumes, fertilizer and crop management option for control of *Striga* spp. (Tesfaye and Ejeta, 2011; Midega et al. 2014; Randrianjafizanaka et al., 2018; Kamara et al., 2020), little attention has so far been paid to the potential contribution of rhizobial inoculants against the weed. Our study shows the potential of using rhizobial inoculants as part of integrated management to aid *Striga* control. We provide insights into how resistant varieties, fertilizer and rhizobial inoculants can be combined to manage *Striga* in cowpea, although our results need to be further confirmed across more locations and seasons.

Cowpea lines grown in fields infested with *S. esenrioides* readily formed root nodules without inoculation, demonstrating the presence of adequate native populations of compatible rhizobia (Fig. 5). Unlike other legume crops such as soyabean which have a symbiotic requirement for specific rhizobial strains, cowpea is a promiscuous host, able to form root nodules with a broad range of highly diverse rhizobia (Giller, 2001). On the other hand, poor soil fertility is a major constraint to the proper establishment and function of legume symbiosis in sub-Saharan Africa (Vanlauwe et al., 2019). The improved nodulation of cowpea in response to addition of P is consistent with widespread reports of soil P limitations for growth and production of grain legumes in sub-Saharan Africa (Ronner et al., 2016; vanHeerwaarden et al., 2018; Belete et al., 2019; Rurangwa et al., 2018). It appears that cowpea generally needs to be fertilized with P, except in a few locations such as in Ghana where field-grown cowpea genotypes nodulated well without any nutrient addition (Adjei-Nsiah et al., 2008).

As expected from previous studies, our results confirmed a consistent varietal effect on *Striga* infection with a clear discrimination between resistance and susceptible cowpea lines in the field (Lane et al., 2003; Muranaka et al., 2011). *Striga* plants were not observed in the plots planted with
resistant cowpea genotypes and significant interactions between the variety and management were evident. This confirms the importance of resistant varieties to control *Striga* (Rodenburg et al., 2006; Badu-Apraku et al., 2020). Alleviation of nutrient deficiency through addition of P fertilizer and either rhizobial inoculant or N fertilizer also suppressed *Striga* (Fig. 6.), as poor soil fertility is known to favour the spread of *S. gesnerioides*. In a similar vein, Jamil et al. (2011) showed N and P fertilizers reduced secretion of *Striga* seed germination stimulants in maize resulting in less *Striga* infection.

We further found a large difference in performance of the two rhizobial strains. Strain IRJ 2180A was much more effective in promoting cowpea nodulation and in suppressing *Striga* than strain USDA 3384. Our findings suggest that the improved nutritional status of cowpea is the most likely mechanism through rhizobial inoculants contributed to mitigate *Striga* infection. This could be mediated through reduced strigolactone production from inoculated cowpea plants leading to less *Striga* germination, and/or the ability of more vigorous plants to tolerate and escape the deleterious effects of *Striga*. It could also involve mechanisms of induced systemic resistance in the host roots by rhizobia, which leads to mechanical and chemical barriers against parasitic weeds (Mabrouk et al., 2007a, b, 2008, 2010). *Rhizobium leguminosarum* strain P.SOM reduces pea root infection by the parasitic weed *O. crenata* through inhibition of seed germination (more than 3-fold less parasite seeds germinated, with a large proportion of necrotic sprouted seeds), and attachment (up to 7-times less tubercles per plant, Mabrouk et al., 2014). Histological studies have shown that lignification of host endodermal and pericycle cells may be an additional resistance mechanism that prevents parasite penetration at the initial stage of infection, and leads to necrosis and death of developed tubercles (Perezde Luque et al., 2005). Further research is needed to elucidate the mechanisms. The effective reduction in the number of *Striga* plants in the field consistently resulted in better cowpea grain yields, and differences were consistent with trends in the repression of the parasite (Figs. 6 and 7). The increase of cowpea yield obtained here with the combined use of improved germplasm, fertilizers and rhizobial inoculants is a clear application of the concept of integrated soil fertility management (ISFM) needed for the sustainable intensification of African agriculture (Vanlauwe et al., 2015).

The productivity of cowpea grown without any inputs was very poor (211–280 kg ha\(^{-1}\)), the choice of cowpea genotype was clearly the most relevant starting point to increase yields. The use of improved cowpea seed alone led to the highest impacts both in the control of the weed (46 times less infection) and grain yield (+33%). As a second step, P fertilization was effective for controlling *Striga* in susceptible varieties (38% less emerged plants), though responses in grain yield remained strongest for resistant lines (2.6-compared with 2.1-fold increase for susceptible lines). Effective rhizobial inoculants formed a third level of intervention. For the susceptible lines, the most effective strain (IRJ 2180A) led to additional decrease (52%) in *Striga* emergence and enhancement in grain yield (18%) over and above the effects of P fertilization alone (442 kg ha\(^{-1}\)). For the resistant varieties also, responses were almost similar with around 56% less *Striga* emergence and 15% increase in grain yield in comparison with P fertilization alone (715 kg ha\(^{-1}\)). This suggests overall a sequence of improved germplasm, P fertilizer and rhizobial inoculant as steps towards implementation of ISFM.

**Conclusion**

We have demonstrated the potential of using bradyrhizobial inoculants as effective biocontrol agents as part of an integrated strategy against *S. gesnerioides* weed infection of cowpea. Field-grown cowpea was confirmed to perform well in terms of grain yield and in control of infection by *Striga* when improved germplasm was used together with P fertilization. The use of appropriate cowpea genotypes is therefore suggested to be a suitable entry point for ISFM application, followed by P fertilizer and rhizobium inoculants. P fertilization was found to be crucial to both cowpea productivity and its responsiveness to rhizobial inoculants. Further evidence of a strong genotypic host plant x rhizobia strain interaction highlights the possibility for further selection of combinations to counter *Striga* damage. Whilst these are promising results, further investigations are needed to confirm the robustness these control options across more agroecological environments and seasons.
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