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Rearrangement and domestication as drivers of Rosaceae mitogenome plasticity
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Abstract

Background: The mitochondrion is an important cellular component in plants and that functions in producing vital energy for the cell. However, the evolution and structure of mitochondrial genomes (mitogenomes) remain unclear in the Rosaceae family. In this study, we assembled 34 Rosaceae mitogenomes and characterized genome variation, rearrangement rate, and selection signal variation within these mitogenomes.

Results: Comparative analysis of six genera from the Amygdaloideae and five from the Rosoideae subfamilies of Rosaceae revealed that three protein-coding genes were absent from the mitogenomes of five Rosoideae genera. Positive correlations between genome size and repeat content were identified in 38 Rosaceae mitogenomes. Twenty repeats with high recombination frequency (> 50%) provided evidence for predominant substoichiometric conformation of the mitogenomes. Variations in rearrangement rates were identified between eleven genera, and within the Pyrus, Malus, Prunus, and Fragaria genera. Based on population data, phylogenetic inferences from Pyrus mitogenomes supported two distinct maternal lineages of Asian cultivated pears. A Pyrus-specific deletion (DEL-D) in selective sweeps was identified based on the assembled genomes and population data. After the DEL-D sequence fragments originally arose, they may have experienced a subsequent doubling event via homologous recombination and sequence transfer in the Amygdaloideae; afterwards, this variant sequence may have significantly expanded to cultivated groups, thereby improving adaptation during the domestication process.

Conclusions: This study characterizes the variations in gene content, genome size, rearrangement rate, and the impact of domestication in Rosaceae mitogenomes and provides insights into their structural variation patterns and phylogenetic relationships.
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Background

As the cell’s energy factory, the mitochondrion is an organelle essential in angiosperm development, growth, programmed cell death, and male sterility [1]. Each mitochondrion has its own genome, which is usually uniparentally inherited [2]. Compared with plastid genomes, angiosperm mitogenomes vary in size and gene content [3, 4]. Currently known angiosperm mitochondrial genome (mitogenome) sizes range from 66 kb to 11.3 Mb, and the number of protein-coding genes ranges from 19 to 41 (excluding duplicated genes and open reading frames (ORFs)) [4–6]. Most genome size and structure variations occur in non-coding sequences, and these variations are primarily caused by foreign sequence importation, which increases the occurrence of repetitive sequences and recombination events [7–9].
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Numerous inverted and direct repeats play a pivotal role in plant mitogenome size and structural evolution by participating in genome rearrangement, repeat-mediated recombination, insertion, and deletion events [8, 10, 11]. Repeat-mediated homologous recombination in mitogenomes has been investigated in angiosperm plants such as *Picea abies* [12] and *Nymphaea colorata* [13], and positive correlations between repeat length and recombination rate were detected in *Viscum scurruloideum* [4]. Minor to moderate recombination activity was detected among short (< 100 bp) and medium length repeats (100–1000 bp), while larger repeats (> 1000 bp) experienced more frequent recombination activity and isomerization in the genome [14, 15]. Recently, third-generation long-read sequencing technologies have been used to overcome the complexity of short read-based genome assembly, and this technology has proven sensitive at detecting the repeat-mediated recombination activity of large repeats [12, 13].

Mitogenome rearrangement is primarily caused by frequent repeat-mediated recombination [11], supported by the presence of rearrangement breakpoints close to repeats [8]. In plants, mitogenome rearrangements can influence ATP availability, plant growth, cytoplasmic male sterility (CMS), and overall fitness [16, 17]. Aside from the low substitution rate, mitogenomes in angiosperms have obviously different rearrangement rates. Within the genus *Monsonia*, the mitogenome of *M. ciliata* has a tenfold higher rearrangement rate than its sister species; overall, an over 600-fold variance in mitogenome rearrangement rates has been observed among seed plants [8].

Rosaceae has ca. 3000 species in 90 genera and includes herbs, shrubs, and trees adapted to a wide variety of environments [18]. Research on Rosaceae mitogenomes has remained limited despite recent progress in nuclear and chloroplast genomic sequencing of Rosaceae species [19–21]. Only 14 Rosaceae mitogenomes were available in the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) database (last access date: 20 January 2022) (Additional file 1). The evolution and divergence of Rosaceae mitogenomes remain unclear, and limited genetic information regarding Rosaceae mitogenome analysis exists. Third-generation long-read sequencing technologies and a series of assembly software like GetOrganelle [22], SOAPdenovo [23], and Canu [24] have provided the ability to assemble complete mitogenomes. In addition, many Rosaceae nuclear genomes can only employ different parental inheritance modes, such as in the *Malus, Pyrus*, and *Sorbus* genera; information on mitogenomes inherited from the maternal parent provides a chance to determine additional population and domestication information [25, 26].

Here, 38 complete Rosaceae mitogenomes were assembled and annotated (four of which were previously released). Variations in genes and repeat sequences were identified, and recombination and rearrangement events were investigated to explore the expansion and evolution patterns of Rosaceae mitogenomes. Subsequently, short-read sequencing data from 139 pear and 116 apple accessions was used to explore the genetic variations, phylogenetic relationships, and domestication processes in the *Pyrus* and *Malus* genera. We found that domestication and selection contributed to the variations in the mitogenomes of members of the Rosaceae family and resulted in the spread of structurally varied gene sequences.

**Results**

**Profile of the mitogenomes of Rosaceae species**

In this study, each of the 34 Rosaceae mitogenomes was de novo assembled into a single completely gapless contig with an average coverage depth of 323.52–6550.87× (Additional file 2). Coupled with the four previously released genomes, the sizes of the 38 Rosaceae mitogenomes ranged from 277.76 kb (*Rosa chinensis*: Rochi) to 535.73 kb (*Prunus mume*: Pmum) (Table 1). Genome sizes within the Amygdaloideae varied by up to 150.75 kb (*Sorbus aucuparia*: Sauc (384.98 kb) vs Pmum (535.73 kb)), and this variation increased up to 194.38 kb in Rosoideae species (Table 1). Twenty-four genes appeared in all 38 mitogenomes. Compared with six genera in Amygdaloideae, three protein-coding genes (*rpl5*, *rpl16*, and *sdh3*) were completely lost from the mitogenomes of five genera of the Rosoideae (Fig. 1). Within Rosoideae, *rps14* was lost in *Rosa, Geum*, *Potentilla*, and *Fragaria*, and *rps12* was lost in *Geum, Potentilla*, and *Fragaria*. *Rpl10* was lost in *Fragaria*, and *rps1* was lost in *Rosa* and *Potentilla*. In six Amygdaloideae genera, *rps7* was lost in *Sorbus, Photinia, Malus*, *Eriobotrya*, and *Pyrus*. Two varieties of *Pyrus bretschneideri* (“Yali”: Pbre-Y and “Dangshansuli” Pbre-D) contained copies of the *atp9* and *ccmB* genes. *Malus sylvestris* (Msyl) and *Malus domestica* (“Gala”: Mdom-G; “Yantai fuji 8”: Mdom-Y) contained copies of the *26rrn* and *rps12* genes. The GC content was relatively stable, averaging at about 45% in the 38 Rosaceae mitogenomes, except for the *Rubus chingii* (Ruchi) mitogenome (43.31%), which had a higher percent of chloroplast sequence imports relative to other species (Table 1).

**Repeat sequence variation and correlations between genome size and repeat sequences**

In the 38 mitogenomes, total repeat number changes might be caused by short (< 100 bp) repeat sequences (Fig. 2a; Additional file 3). The number of repeat
### Table 1: Summary of 38 Rosaceae mitogenomes

| ID   | Species name            | Subfamily | GenBank accession number | Genome size (bp) | Number of protein-coding genes | Number of rRNA | Number of tRNA | GC content (%) | Length of plastid-derived sequences (bp/%) |
|------|-------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|------------------------------------------|
| Ejap | *Eriobotrya japonica*   | Amygdaloideae | NC_045228               | 434,980          | 34                             | 3              | 23            | 45.42          | 2039 (0.47)                              |
| Fana-C | *Fragaria ananassa*     | Rosoideae | OM763767                 | 285,543          | 30                             | 3              | 17            | 44.96          | 19,176 (6.72)                            |
| Fana-R | *Fragaria ananassa* ("Camarosa") | Rosoideae | OM763768                 | 285,046          | 29                             | 3              | 18            | 44.98          | 18,507 (6.49)                            |
| Fii  | *Fragaria iiriniae*     | Rosoideae | OM763769                 | 329,263          | 30                             | 3              | 20            | 45.26          | 26,297 (7.99)                            |
| Fman | *Fragaria mandshurica*  | Rosoideae | ON478154                 | 313,021          | 29                             | 3              | 19            | 45.26          | 17,472 (5.73)                            |
| Fnil | *Fragaria nilgerrensis* | Rosoideae | OM763770                 | 315,210          | 29                             | 3              | 19            | 45.26          | 11,742 (3.73)                            |
| Fpen | *Fragaria pentaphylla*  | Rosoideae | ON478155                 | 290,970          | 30                             | 3              | 19            | 45.26          | 12,360 (4.25)                            |
| Fves | *Fragaria vesca*        | Rosoideae | ON478179                 | 312,993          | 30                             | 3              | 20            | 45.20          | 14,340 (4.58)                            |
| Fvir | *Fragaria viridis*      | Rosoideae | ON478156                 | 289,146          | 30                             | 3              | 18            | 45.23          | 12,354 (4.27)                            |
| Gurb | *Geum urbanum*          | Rosoideae | ON556624                 | 335,549          | 31                             | 4              | 23            | 44.42          | 30,222 (9.01)                            |
| Mbac | *Malus baccata*         | Amygdaloideae | ON478159                 | 400,769          | 33                             | 3              | 20            | 45.40          | 40,494 (1.01)                            |
| Mdom-G | *Malus domestica* ("Gala") | Amygdaloideae | ON478160                 | 396,946          | 35                             | 4              | 21            | 45.41          | 2187 (0.55)                              |
| Mdom-Y | *Malus domestica* ("Yantai fuji 8") | Amygdaloideae | MN964891                 | 396,947          | 32                             | 4              | 20            | 45.40          | 1968 (0.50)                              |
| Msie | *Malus sieversii*       | Amygdaloideae | ON478161                 | 385,869          | 34                             | 3              | 21            | 45.38          | 2140 (0.55)                              |
| Msyl | *Malus sylvestris*      | Amygdaloideae | ON478162                 | 396,940          | 35                             | 4              | 21            | 45.41          | 1968 (0.50)                              |
| Pans | *Potentilla anserina*    | Rosoideae | ON478170                 | 294,682          | 28                             | 3              | 21            | 44.46          | 14,320 (4.86)                            |
| Parm | *Prunus armeniaca*      | Amygdaloideae | ON478164                 | 510,346          | 36                             | 3              | 24            | 45.43          | 5483 (1.07)                              |
| Pavi-G | *Prunus avium* ("Glory") | Amygdaloideae | ON478157                 | 444,576          | 36                             | 3              | 23            | 45.62          | 3887 (0.87)                              |
| Pavi-S | *Prunus avium* ("Staccato") | Amygdaloideae | ON478178                 | 444,576          | 36                             | 3              | 23            | 45.62          | 3887 (0.87)                              |
| Pbet | *Pyrus betulifolia*     | Amygdaloideae | ON478165                 | 432,493          | 35                             | 3              | 20            | 45.21          | 2039 (0.47)                              |
| Pbre-D | *Pyrus bretschneideri* ("Dangshansul") | Amygdaloideae | ON763766                 | 458,897          | 37                             | 3              | 21            | 45.21          | 3901 (0.85)                              |
| Pbre-Y | *Pyrus bretschneideri* ("Yali") | Amygdaloideae | ON478180                 | 458,895          | 37                             | 3              | 21            | 45.21          | 3900 (0.85)                              |
| Pcom | *Pyrus communis*        | Amygdaloideae | ON478166                 | 443,525          | 35                             | 3              | 20            | 45.24          | 4195 (0.95)                              |
| Pkan | *Prunus kansakura*      | Amygdaloideae | ON478167                 | 422,215          | 35                             | 3              | 27            | 45.54          | 3975 (0.94)                              |
| Pmir | *Prunus mira*           | Amygdaloideae | ON478168                 | 429,732          | 35                             | 3              | 28            | 45.59          | 2320 (0.54)                              |
| Pmum | *Prunus mume*           | Amygdaloideae | ON478169                 | 535,727          | 35                             | 3              | 28            | 45.45          | 5768 (1.08)                              |
sequences ranged from 112 (Fragaria ananassa cv. “Camarosa”; Fana-C) to 457 (Pnum), and 73.33–90.98% of repeats were less than 100 bp in length (Fig. 2a; Additional file 3). Among species of the Amygdaloideae, the number of short (< 100 bp) repeats in Prunus samples was significantly higher than samples from five other genera (Photinia, Malus, Pyrus, Sorbus, and Prunus) (t-test, P-value = 5.64e−8), while the number of repeats longer than 100 bp was not significantly increased (t-test, P-value = 0.11) (Additional file 3). In Rosoideae, the total repeat number (296) of Ruchi was higher than that of samples from four genera (total repeat number: 112–150) (Fig. 2a), but the total repeat length in Ruchi was lower than in Geum urbanum (Gurb) (Fig. 2b).

For all of the 38 Rosaceae samples, genome size showed a significantly high correlation with repeat number (phylogenetic generalized least squares: PGLS, $R^2_{adj} = 0.35$, P-value = 0.51) appeared between total repeat length and genome size (Fig. 2d), and repeats longer than 1000 bp also showed low correlation with genome size ($R^2_{adj} = −0.02$ and $−0.03$) (Additional file 4: Fig. S1d, h). However, negligible correlations ($R^2_{adj} = −0.02$, $−0.08$, and $−0.11$) appeared between total repeat length and genome size in 88 (one sample per species) seed plant mitogenomes (Additional file 4: Fig. S3a-c), and repeats > 500 bp showed high correlations with genome size (Additional file 4: Fig. S3d, e). Total repeat length (except for length > 1000 bp) showed significant correlation ($R^2_{adj}$ ranged from 0.67 to 0.90, P-value < 1.00e−3) with genome size

| ID | Species name                      | Subfamily      | GenBank accession number | Genome size (bp) | Number of protein-coding genes | Number of rRNA | Number of tRNA | GC content (%) | Length of plastid-derived sequences (bp/%) |
|----|----------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|------------------------------------------|
| Psal | Prunus salicina                  | Amygdaloideae  | ON478171                 | 508,005         | 39                            | 3              | 23             | 45.43         | 3057 (0.60)                              |
| Pser | Photinia sericeifolia            | Amygdaloideae  | ON556623                 | 473,561         | 34                            | 4              | 21             | 45.25         | 2062 (0.44)                              |
| Psib | Prunus sibirica                  | Amygdaloideae  | ON478172                 | 510,187         | 35                            | 3              | 26             | 45.42         | 5905 (1.16)                              |
| Pyed | Prunus yedoensis                 | Amygdaloideae  | ON478173                 | 456,900         | 35                            | 3              | 26             | 45.56         | 3883 (0.85)                              |
| Pysb | Pyrus sinkiangensis x bretschneideri (“Hongxiangsu”) | Amygdaloideae | ON478158                 | 441,852         | 35                            | 3              | 20             | 45.21         | 2039 (0.46)                              |
| Pyuc | Pyrus ussuriensis x communis (“No.1 Zhongai”) | Rosoideae   | ON478163                 | 441,853         | 35                            | 3              | 20             | 45.21         | 2039 (0.46)                              |
| Rochi | Rosa chinensis                   | Rosoideae      | ON478174                 | 277,763         | 31                            | 3              | 18             | 45.35         | 6000 (2.16)                              |
| Rorug | Rosa rugosa                      | Rosoideae      | ON478175                 | 302,947         | 31                            | 3              | 20             | 45.24         | 8863 (2.93)                              |
| Ruchi | Rubus chingii                    | Rosoideae      | ON478176                 | 472,138         | 32                            | 3              | 35             | 43.31         | 77,163 (16.34)                          |
| Sauc | Sorbus aucuparia                 | Amygdaloideae  | NC_052880                | 384,977         | 33                            | 2              | 23             | 45.39         | 3763 (0.98)                              |
| Spoh | Sorbus pahuschanensis            | Amygdaloideae  | ON478177                 | 396,857         | 32                            | 3              | 20             | 45.36         | 3044 (0.77)                              |
| Stor | Sorbus torminalis                | Amygdaloideae  | NC_052879                | 386,758         | 31                            | 3              | 18             | 45.31         | 3837 (0.99)                              |

*The accession name is shown in parentheses*
In addition, several mitogenomes may have an overrepresentation of repeat content (Additional file 5). For example, *Hyoscyamus niger* (total repeat length: 133.17 kb) had a similar genome size to *Prunus salicina* (Psal) (501.40 vs. 508.00 kb), but the total repeat length was about 3.97-fold of Psal (33.56 kb). Additionally, 50 mitogenomes, with genome sizes ranging from 271.60 to 525.67 kb, were selected, and 38.49- and 80.47-fold changes in total repeat length and numbers of repeat sequences were identified (Additional file 4: Fig. S4; Additional file 5).

**Recombination of repetitive sequences**

Based on long sequencing reads of 33 samples in ten genera, repeat length showed a relatively high correlation with recombination frequency (Pearson correlation coefficient: $R = 0.60$, $P$-value < 2.2e–16) (Fig. 2g). Higher recombination frequencies were observed for long (> 1000 bp) repeats than for medium (100–500 bp and 501–1000 bp) or short (< 100 bp) repeats (Fig. 2h), and percentages of long repeats associated with homologous recombination were higher than that of short and medium repeats (Table 2). A total of 341 recombination events were identified, and 1–35 recombination events appeared in each of the 33 mitogenomes (Additional file 6). Among short repeats (< 100 bp), only 2.45% (164/6707), underwent homologous recombination, but this percentage increased to 86.84% (33/38) for the long repeats (> 1000 bp) (Table 2). Among the 341 repeats exhibiting recombination activity, 81.82% (27/33) of the long repeats recombined with a frequency greater than 20%, and 83.54% (137/164) of the short repeats had recombination frequencies lower than 1%. Twenty repeats had over 50% recombination frequency (Additional file 6). In *Pyrus*, a repeat of 2040 bp length and 25.31% recombination frequency in Pbre-Y exhibited 77.61–79.37% recombination frequency in “Hongxiangsuli” (*Pyrus sinkiangensis × bretschneideri*: Pysb), *Pyrus betulifolia* (Pbet), and “No.1 Zhong’ai” (*Pyrus ussuriensis × communis*: Puc). In *Pyrus communis* (Pcom), this repeat was shortened to 1841 bp, and the recombination frequency reached 89.69% (Additional file 6).
Rearrangement rates of the 38 mitogenomes

Repeat-mediated recombination may further contribute to the rearrangement of mitogenomes [8]. In this study, eleven mitogenomes (Prunus mira: Pmir, Fragaria vesca: Fves, Eriobotrya japonica: Ejap, Pben, Ruchi, Rosa rugosa: Rorug, Potentilla anserina: Pans, Photinia serratifolia: Fser) were selected for further analysis. The results indicated that repeat-mediated recombination plays a significant role in the rearrangement of mitogenomes. The frequency of repeat-mediated recombination was found to be positively correlated with the total repeat number and length. The correlation coefficients were calculated using the Pearson's correlation coefficient, and the p-values were determined using a two-tailed Student's t-test. The distribution of recombination frequencies for the four repeat types based on repeat length was also examined. The results showed a distribution of recombination frequencies with a peak at a repeat length of approximately 100 bp. Overall, the findings suggest that repeat-mediated recombination is a critical factor in the rearrangement of mitogenomes in Rosaceae species.
Table 2  Recombination statistics on four types of repeats among 33 mitogenomes

| Sample       | Number (%) of repeats<sup>a</sup> with recombination activity |
|--------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
|              | Repeat length < 100 bp | 100 bp ≤ repeat length ≤ 500 bp | 500 bp < repeat length ≤ 1000 bp | Repeat length > 1000 bp |
| Fana-C       | 2 (1.98)               | 1 (9.00)                          | NA                              | NA                      |
| Fana-R       | 11 (9.91)              | 6 (54.55)                         | NA                              | NA                      |
| Fii          | 3 (2.68)               | 1 (3.70)                          | 0 (0.00)                        | 1 (100.00)              |
| Fman         | 3 (2.70)               | 1 (5.00)                          | NA                              | NA                      |
| Fnil         | 8 (5.97)               | 1 (6.67)                          | NA                              | NA                      |
| Fpen         | 2 (1.75)               | 3 (12.50)                         | 3 (100.00)                      | NA                      |
| Fves         | 0 (0.00)               | 1 (5.00)                          | NA                              | NA                      |
| Fvir         | 2 (1.68)               | 1 (6.25)                          | 1 (100.00)                      | NA                      |
| Mbac         | 6 (3.68)               | 11 (32.35)                        | NA                              | 1 (50.00)               |
| Mdom-G       | 4 (2.47)               | 3 (10.34)                         | 1 (100.00)                      | 2 (100.00)              |
| Msie         | 1 (0.66)               | 1 (3.45)                          | NA                              | 2 (66.67)               |
| Msyl         | 2 (1.30)               | 5 (16.67)                         | 1 (100.00)                      | 1 (100.00)              |
| Pans         | 1 (0.78)               | 2 (13.33)                         | NA                              | 1 (100.00)              |
| Parm         | 7 (1.99)               | 7 (15.91)                         | 0 (0.00)                        | 1 (100.00)              |
| Pavi-G       | 4 (1.11)               | 0 (0.00)                          | 0 (0.00)                        | 1 (100.00)              |
| Pavi-S       | 4 (1.11)               | 1 (2.44)                          | 0 (0.00)                        | 1 (100.00)              |
| Pbet         | 5 (2.81)               | 5 (12.20)                         | 0 (0.00)                        | 1 (100.00)              |
| Pbre-Y       | 5 (2.56)               | 9 (20.00)                         | 1 (33.33)                       | 2 (100.00)              |
| Pcom         | 2 (1.14)               | 0 (0.00)                          | 1 (16.67)                       | 1 (100.00)              |
| Pkan         | 7 (1.96)               | 0 (0.00)                          | 1 (100.00)                      | 1 (100.00)              |
| Pmir         | 11 (4.04)              | 6 (18.18)                         | NA                              | 2 (100.00)              |
| Pmmum        | 6 (1.47)               | 3 (6.82)                          | 0 (0.00)                        | 3 (100.00)              |
| Psal         | 0 (0.00)               | 0 (0.00)                          | 3 (60.00)                       | NA                      |
| Psb          | 4 (1.15)               | 0 (0.00)                          | 0 (0.00)                        | 1 (100.00)              |
| Pyed         | 13 (3.49)              | 19 (47.50)                        | 1 (50.00)                       | 2 (100.00)              |
| Pysb         | 3 (1.69)               | 6 (14.29)                         | 0 (0.00)                        | 1 (50.00)               |
| Pyuc         | 3 (1.70)               | 2 (4.65)                          | 1 (100.00)                      | 1 (50.00)               |
| Rochi        | 21 (17.07)             | 6 (46.15)                         | 2 (100.00)                      | NA                      |
| Rorug        | 3 (2.44)               | 0 (0.00)                          | 2 (100.00)                      | NA                      |
| Ruchi        | 6 (2.58)               | 6 (10.17)                         | 0 (0.00)                        | 2 (100.00)              |
| Spoh         | 5 (3.18)               | 10 (28.57)                        | NA                              | 1 (100.00)              |
| Gurb         | 7 (6.36)               | 4 (11.43)                         | 0 (0.00)                        | 3 (100.00)              |
| Pser         | 3 (1.50)               | 4 (8.70)                          | 2 (28.57)                       | 1 (100.00)              |
| Total        | 164 (2.45)             | 125 (11.64)                       | 19 (40.43)                      | 33 (86.84)              |

<sup>a</sup>NA means repeat type was in the sample

<sup>b</sup>Percent = number of repeats with recombination/number of total repeats × 100%

<sup>c</sup>Repeats were divided into four types including short repeats (length < 100 bp), two types of medium repeats (100 bp ≤ length ≤ 500 bp and 500 bp < length ≤ 1000 bp), and long repeats (length > 1000 bp).

Pser, *Geum urbanum*: Gurb, *Sorbus pohuashanensis*: Spoh and *Malus sieversii*: Msie) were chosen to represent eleven genera. About 13.97–22.87% of the mitochondrial sequences were shared among all eleven genera, and more than 29 rearrangements were identified between the Amygdaloideae and Rosoideae subfamilies (Fig. 3a). Twenty-one to 33 rearrangement events were identified in five Rosoideae genera, and 5–20 rearrangement events were identified in six Amygdaloideae genera. The rearrangements were then evaluated within each genus to avoid complications resulting from the high sequence divergence between genera [12]. In *Malus*, 91.76–95.31% of sequences were shared (Fig. 3c), and seven to nine rearrangement events were identified between *Malus baccata* (Mabc) and the other four apples. One or two rearrangements were detected between the remaining four accessions (Msie, Msyl, Mdom-Y, and Mdom-G). About 88.97–94.40% of sequences appeared within the six pears (Fig. 3d), and four to seven rearrangements were identified between Pysb and Pyc and the Pbre-D and Pbre-Y species. Unexpectedly, no rearrangement events were detected between Pysb and Pyc, despite their maternal parents coming from two pear systems (*Pyrus ussuriensis*) (Fig. 3d). In *Prunus*, only 50.97–65.11% of sequences were shared, and 0–26 rearrangements were identified (Fig. 3e). In *Fragaria*, 66.89–77.20% homologous sequences and 0–17 rearrangements were identified between two pear systems (*Pyrus baccata*) (Fig. 3d). In *Fragaria*, only 50.97–65.11% of sequences were shared, and 0–26 rearrangements were identified (Fig. 3e). In *Prunus*, the highest rearrangement rate (7.69 rearrangement events per million years ago (Mya)) was identified in the divergence between *Malus baccata* (Mabc) and the other three species (Additional file 4: Fig. S5a), and the pair-wise rearrangement rates (4.32 to 5.56) between Mabc and the other four samples (Fig. 3c) were higher than the others (from 0 to 2.00). In *Pyrus*, 2.88 rearrangement events/Mya were identified in Pcom (Additional file 4: Fig. S5b), and six rearrangement events which occurred at 0.05 Mya resulted in an extremely high rearrangement rate (120 rearrangement events/Mya) which experienced an increase in the pair-wise rearrangement rate between Pysb and Pyc, despite their maternal parents coming from two pear systems (*Pyrus ussuriensis*). Variations in the rearrangement rate were also identified at both the inter-genus (Fig. 3a, b) and intra-genus (Fig. 3c-f, Additional file 4: Fig. S5) levels. An over 10-fold variation in rearrangement rate (0.16–2.80) occurred among eleven genera, seven of which were lower than one (from 0.16 to 0.84) (Fig. 3b). The estimated common ancestor of Ejap, Msie, Pser, Pyc, and Spoh had a rearrangement rate as low as 0.18 after divergence with *Prunus*, which increased to 0.24 in Ejap, 1.14 in Pser, 2.45 in Pyc, and 2.80 in Spoh. Within *Malus*, the highest rearrangement rate (7.69 rearrangement events per million years ago (Mya)) was identified in the divergence between *Malus baccata* (Mabc) and the other three species (Additional file 4: Fig. S5a), and the pair-wise rearrangement rates (4.32 to 5.56) between Mabc and the other four samples (Fig. 3c) were higher than the others (from 0 to 2.00). In *Pyrus*, 2.88 rearrangement events/Mya were identified in Pcom (Additional file 4: Fig. S5b), and six rearrangement events which occurred at 0.05 Mya resulted in an extremely high rearrangement rate (120 rearrangement events/Mya) which experienced an increase in the pair-wise rearrangement rate between Pysb and Pbre-D (Fig. 3d). Variations in the rearrangement rate were also identified in *Prunus* and *Fragaria* (Fig. 3e, f; Additional file 4: Fig. S5c, d). Nine rearrangement events which occurred about 1.73 Mya in *Prunus avium* (“Glory”: Pavi-G and
“Staccato”: Pavi-S) resulted in a higher rearrangement rate than other species in Prunus (Additional file 4: Fig. S5c). Two Fragaria wild species (Fragaria mandschurica: Fman and Fves) experienced a threefold greater increase in rearrangement rate (10.52) than the other wild Fragaria species (0–3.35), and the rearrangement rate of Fragaria ananassa (“Royal Royce”: Fana-R and Fana-C) was 6.42 (Additional file 4: Fig. S5d).

Mitogenomes reveal pear maternal phylogeny

The nuclear genome of pears is composed of biparental genetic background due to its self-incompatibility [21]. Compared with the nuclear genome phylogeny, the mitogenome phylogeny reveals the maternal relationship between different pear species. DNA re-sequencing data from 139 pear accessions were mapped to the “Dangshansuli” mitogenome (Additional file 7) to generate a SNP-based matrix, which included 85 Asian (52 cultivated and 33 wild) and 54 European (29 cultivated and 25 wild) pears. Our phylogenetic analysis of the associated mitogenomes revealed two groups, Asian and European pears (Fig. 4a). Among the Asian clade, three subclades were further subdivided, namely clades 1 and 3, which consisted of most of the Asian cultivated pear accessions, while clade 2 contained the wild Asian pear accessions. Cultivars of Pyrus pyrifolia, P. ussuriensis, and P. bretschneideri were mixed in clades 1 and 3. Four P. sinkiangensis cultivars clustered in the European group and one in the Asian group. Consistently, PCA (Fig. 4b) and structural analysis (Fig. 4c) also showed that Asian cultivated pears were divided into two groups.

Identification of selective sweeps and divergent deletion types in mitogenomes

In 139 pear accessions, 1046 SNPs and 118 INDELs were identified (Fig. 5, Table 3), with only 95 SNPs (9.08%, Additional file 8) and two INDELs (1.69%, Additional file 8) and two INDELs (1.69%, Additional file 8) being located in genes. To identify the specific regions under selection, selective sweeps were identified based on the diversity of the pear mitogenomes (Fig. 6a, b). For Asian pears, 5.88% (27.00 kb/458.90 kb) of the regions showed selective sweep signatures containing four protein-coding genes and one tRNA (Additional file 10). For European pears, there were selective sweep signatures for 2.18% (10.00 kb/458.90 kb) of sequences, which contained three protein-coding genes. No overlapping selective sweeps were detected between Asian and European pears based on the mitogenomes.

One continuous region from 185 to 190 kb showed a selective sweep signature in Asian pears, and P. betulifolia had deletions in this region (DEL-D, Pbre-D: 183.74–199.80 kb) (Fig. 6c). DEL-D was divided into three parts (Del1, Del2, and Del3); Del1 and Del3 were mitochondrial-specific sequences, and Del2 was similar to the chloroplast genome sequence (100% BLASTN identity). Therefore, we only analyzed the frequency of Del1 and Del3 in the four pears groups. Sixty-six percent (22/33) of Asian wild pears contained Del1, and the frequency was significantly (chi-square test, P-value = 9.39e−15) higher than Asian cultivars (1.92%) (Fig. 6d). However, this divergence did not appear in European pears, and 92% of European wild pears and 100% of European cultivated pears did not contain Del1. This phenomenon also appeared in Del3, for which a significantly different frequency (chi-square test, P-value = 3.11e−16) was observed between Asian wild and cultivated pears (Fig. 6e). As pears spread to the Middle East and Europe, most European wild and cultivated pears did not contain the Del1 (Fig. 6f).

A deletion (DEL-M) (Malus domestica cv. “Gala”: 180,287–186,952 bp), in a part of Del1, was also identified in M. sieversii (Fig. 6g), and DEL-M showed significantly (chi-square test, P-value < 0.01) different frequencies between wild and cultivated apples (Fig. 6h, Fig. 6i; Additional file 11). Compared with Rosoideae, large fragments (> 1 kb) of Del1 were identified in Amygdaloideae mitogenomes. Lengths of 1589–4201 bp of Prunus mitogenomes were mapped to Del1. A total of 8519 bp

(See figure on next page.)

Fig. 3 Rearrangement event and rate analysis. a Number and rate of pair-wise rearrangement events between eleven genera. Black numbers represent the rearrangement events (pair-wise rearrangement events), and red numbers represent the pair-wise rearrangement rates. b Rearrangement rates in eleven genera. The numbers of rearrangement events per million years are displayed on branches of the phylogeny. c-f The pair-wise analysis of rearrangement events and rate within Malus (c), Pyrus (d), Prunus (e), and Fragaria (f). Upper-right heatmaps represent the pair-wise rearrangement rates. Red numbers represent the rearrangement rates, and black numbers represent the rearrangement events. Bottom-left figures display the synteny analysis between two samples. Red represents direct, and blue represents inverted. Numbers under the sample ID represent the percent of shared sequences.
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of sequence in the Pser mitogenome could be mapped to Del1. In Malus, a total of 6951 bp of sequence in the Mdom-G, Mdom-Y, and Msyl could be mapped to Del1. Spoh also contained 6133 bp of sequence mapping to Del1. More than 4000 bp of Del3 sequence was identified from the Pbre-D, Pbre-Y, Pcom, Mbac, Sauc, and Stor mitogenomes. Only 681 bp of Del3 was identified from Pysb and Pyuc, and 599–602 bp of mitogenome sequences of Prunus was mapped to Del3. Furthermore, the nuclear sequence mapping results showed that less than 10% of DEL-D sequences were shared with the nuclear sequences in Fragaria, Rubus, and Rosa, but this percentage increased in Prunus (5.69–44.06%), Malus (22.99–55.60%), and Pyrus (21.04–33.15%) (Additional file 11).

**Discussion**

**Gene loss and genome variation in 38 mitogenomes of Rosaceae**

Mitogenomes have variable gene content [27] and genome structure [11]. Thirty-eight mitogenomes from members of the Rosaceae were assembled and annotated to characterize the variations. Consistent with Fabaceae [9] and Poaceae [28], gene loss appeared before Rosaceae speciation, and the loss of rpl2, rps10, rps11, rps19, and rps2 may have occurred in an ancestor
of Rosaceae. In addition, rps2 and rps11 were lost in all eudicots [27], indicating the more ancient losses of genes rpl2, rps10, and rps19. Within Rosaceae, rpl16, sdh3, and rpl5 were absent in five genera of Rosoideae, which represent shrub and herb species, and rps12 was lost in three herb genera (Geum, Fragaria, and Potentilla) (Fig. 1). These gene losses might affect the translocation and splicing of mitochondrial genes [29] and further influence plant development, reproduction, and other morphological and physiological traits, such as stunting in maize [30], distorted leaves in Arabidopsis [31], stress responses in Oryza sativa [32], and the parasitic lifestyle of V. scurulloideum [4].

In this study, the genome sizes of the 38 mitogenomes were highly correlated with short (< 100 bp) and medium (100 bp ≤ repeat length ≤ 500 bp) repeat lengths (Fig. 2e, f; Additional file 4: Fig. S2a, b, e, f), indicating that repeat sequences may be related to the divergence of mitogenome sizes in Rosaceae. The DNA repair hypothesis suggests that repeat sequences are formed by non-homologous end joining and break-induced replication (BIR) and further drive genome expansion at evolutionary time scales [33]. However, this phenomenon was not consistently observed in 88 seed plant mitogenomes, and several mitogenomes had a burst in repeat sequences, which indicated that other mechanisms may drive genome size variation such as gains or losses of entire chromosomes [34], abundant rearrangements, or loss of non-coding sequences [35].

**Table 3** Summary of the SNPs and INDELs in 139 pear accessions

| Population                  | Total variants | INDEL | SNP  |
|-----------------------------|----------------|-------|------|
| All accessions              | 1164           | 118   | 1046 |
| Asian wild group            | 815            | 82    | 733  |
| Asian cultivated group      | 806            | 90    | 716  |
| European wild group         | 613            | 60    | 553  |
| European cultivated group   | 194            | 20    | 174  |
Although repeats with lengths longer than 1000 bp showed a low correlation with genome size (Additional file 4; Fig. S2) in Rosaceae mitogenomes, they contained higher recombination frequencies than repeats with lengths shorter than 500 bp. Large mitochondrial repeats (> 1000 bp) undergo high-frequency reciprocal recombination to subdivide the genome in other plant species [36]. In addition, twenty repeats had recombination frequencies greater than 50%, indicating that a “master circle” was not the main conformation. High sub-genomic conformations have been observed in vivo, as exemplified in Silene [5], Cucumis [37], and Selaginellaceae [38], and no master conformation appeared in Saccharum officinarum [39]. Moreover, more than one repeat containing such recombination frequencies indicated that many conformations may appear at the same time (Additional file 6).

In this study, an over tenfold variation in rearrangement rate occurred between eleven genera of Rosaceae (Fig. 3b), and this variation also occurred within genera (Additional file 4; Fig. S5). In addition, at least 600-fold variation in rearrangement rate was identified in seed plants [8], and some studies found that environmental stress [40–43] and nuclear gene variation (like MSH1 and RECA) [44] might contribute to mitogenome rearrangement. In Malus, Mbac originates from Siberia, Msie is distributed in Central Asia, and Msyl is distributed in Western Europe [45]. Pyrus spreads from southwest China to Europe [21]. Fragaria is widespread in Asia, Europe, and North America [46, 47]. Prunus spreads from Asia to Europe [48, 49]. These different geographical distributions and environmental changes might be one reason for the variation in rearrangement rate among Rosaceae species.

Domestication may have been involved in the evolution and expansion of mitogenomes
Human selection has modified many crop traits, and cultivated crops are divergent from their wild progenitors [50]. DEL-D in selective sweep regions supports that selection drives mitogenome variation in pears. Formed by multi-step processes, DEL-D finally became fixed in Asian cultivated pears during domestication (Fig. 6). Functional mitochondrial gene formation includes multiple steps and can cause phenotypic changes, biological diversity, and further benefits for natural adaptation [51]. DEL-D was formed by multi-recombination events, sequence imports, and new ORF formations (Fig. 6i), which may become a new resource conferring phenotypic or metabolic changes and contributing to adaptations to environmental stress. Afterwards, selection may quickly drive the allele frequency changes to improve the adaptive ability of the population [52]. DEL-D frequency is very different between Asian cultivated and wild pears and between Asian and European wild pears (Fig. 6d, e). DEL-M also had a significantly different frequency between Asian and European apples and between M. sieversii and cultivated apples (Fig. 6h). The selection sweeps and deletion frequency changes might aid in adaptation to environmental changes or be fit for human needs [53, 54].

Mitochondrial variants shed new insights on the maternal relationships between Pyrus species
The topology based on the newly assembled mitogenomes provides insights into the maternal phylogenetic relationships of Pyrus species, and it presents an alternative framework to that based on nuclear sequences [21]. Compared with nuclear-based phylogenetic analysis, Asian cultivated pears were divided into clade 1 and clade 3, and the three main cultivated pear species (P. pyrifolia, P. bretschneideri, and P. ussuriensis) were mixed in clades 1 and 3, suggesting the mitogenome divergence process produced two main maternal lines in Asian cultivated pears. What is more, the divergence occurred in the maternal parents of M. domestica, and the selection of fruit size, flavor, or unilateral compatibility in crosses may be responsible for this divergence [55]. Five P.
Fig. 6 (See legend on previous page.)

a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j
sinkiangensis cultivars were divided into Asian and European groups indicating that the maternal parents of P. sinkiangensis came from both Asian and European pears. Most Asian wild pear accessions were divergent from the cultivated species, and Pyrus calleryana (Pyw_ca), Pyrus xerophila (Pyw_xe), Pyrus phaeocarpa (Pyw_ph), and Pyrus serrulata (Pyw_se) showed a close relationship with cultivated pears indicating that introgression of maternal parents might happen because of cross-hybridization and adjacent distribution.

Conclusions
In this study, in-depth comparisons showed the evolutionary patterns of 38 mitogenomes in Rosaceae. Apparent gene losses and shrinkage of the mitogenome size occurred in the Amygaloideae and Rosoideae subfamilies. Repeat content may lead to genome size variations and primarily drive the dynamics of genome structure by homologous recombination and genomic rearrangements. We estimated the absolute rearrangement rate of Rosaceae mitogenomes, and variations in rearrangement rates were also identified in Prunus, Malus, Pyrus, and Fragaria genera. Two divergent maternal lineages were identified in Asian cultivated pears, and free hybridization might explain the mixed maternal lines of cultivated pears indicating that introgression of maternal parents of cultivated pears might happen because of cross-hybridization and adjacent distribution.

Identification of plastid-derived and repeat sequences
To identify plastid-derived sequences, the 38 mitogenomes were searched against the corresponding plastid genomes in the BLASTN program using an e-value cutoff of 1e−6 and a word size of 7, simultaneously. Repeats identified in the 38 mitogenomes were carried out using similar methods [64], and the BLASTN program was used to search each mitogenome against itself, using an e-value limit lower than 1e−6 and a word size of 7. The Caper/R package was used to perform the phylogenetic generalized least squares (PGLS) analysis to identify correlations between genome sizes and repeat sequences in the 38 Rosaceae mitogenomes. For the analysis of Fabaceae and seed plants (including 14 and 88 genera), only one accession per genus was chosen.

Identification of repeat-mediated homologous recombination events
To detect active, repeat-mediated, homologous recombination events within the long sequencing reads, we first
built up mitochondrial read databases of 33 mitogenomes (the five other samples were excluded due to lack of long sequencing data). We used the 33 mitogenome assemblies as a reference to obtain candidate mitochondrial sequences from whole DNA long sequencing reads by BLASTN, using an e-value cutoff of 1e−100. Candidate mitochondrial reads were further searched against chloroplast genome sequences (Additional file 13) to remove putative plastid reads with overall alignment coverage of > 85% of the read length, and the clean reads were self-corrected using Canu v1.8 [24]. Finally, we obtained 33 mitochondrial read databases (Additional file 14) and used similar methods [13] to identify repeat-mediated homologous recombination events. Briefly, each repeat pair with 200 bp of up- and down-stream sequence was extracted as reference sequences and used to build two recombinant sequences (repeat pairs with 100% BLASTN identity) or six recombinant sequences (repeat pairs were lower than 100% BLASTN identity) (Additional file 4: Fig. S7). Then, the mitochondrial reads were blasted against the reference and recombinant sequences, and reads having identities above 99% and hit coverages of 200 bp in two flanking regions were selected.

**Species tree construction and divergence time estimation**

A total of 38 Rosaceae chloroplast genomes (Additional file 13) were used for phylogenetic analysis and divergence time estimation. The coding sequences of 76 chloroplast protein-encoding genes of the 38 Rosaceae samples (Additional file 15) and an outgroup, *Vitis vinifera* (NC_007957), were aligned. Phylogenetic trees were constructed using IQ-TREE [65]. Divergence time estimation was conducted by MCMCtree of PAML 4.9 [66] with the following parameters: burn-in of 5,000,000 iterations, sample frequency of 5000, and the MCMC process was performed 20,000 times. Three calibration points were used: one fossil of *Prunus* found in Shandong (> 44.3 Mya) [67], one fossil of *Rubus* (47.8 to 41.3 Mya) [68], and the estimated divergence time (130 to 123 Mya) between *V. vinifera* and Rosaceae [69].

**Rearrangement event identification in Rosaceae mitogenomes**

To infer the rearrangement rate between eleven genera, multiple alignments of all pair-wise combinations of the mitogenomes of the eleven genera (*Pmir*, *Pser*, *Gurb*, *Fves*, *Ejap*, *Pbet*, *Ruchi*, *Rorug*, *Pans*, *Spoh*, and *Msi*) were conducted using Mauve v2.0 [70] to analyze locally collinear blocks (LCBs) in each mitogenome with default parameters, and pairwise rearrangement distances in terms of a minimum number of rearrangements were inferred using GRIMM with the circle chromosome option [71]. To explore the rearrangement rate of different branches of the tree, eleven samples were used in MLGO to infer the ancestral genome arrangement [72]. The rearrangement events between each node and neighboring nodes were calculated by GRIMM [71]. The rearrangement rate was calculated using the rearrangement events by dividing the absolute time of each branch. In addition, the number of pair-wise rearrangements was divided by double divergence time between the two samples to calculate the mean pair-wise rearrangement rate. *Pyuc* (for *Pyrus*), *Fragaria viridis* (for *Fragaria*), *Msyl* (for *Malus*), and *Prunus armeniaca* (for *Prunus*) were chosen as the reference genomes for their respective genera to adjust the direction of other mitogenomes for rearrangement analysis, and the rearrangement rate within the genera *Pyrus*, *Malus*, *Prunus*, and *Fragaria* were calculated using the same calculation methods used for inter-genera analysis.

**SNP and INDEL calling of 139 pear accessions**

Together, with the published re-sequencing data of 113 pears [21], we also selected another 26 pear accessions to perform next-generation sequencing using the same method on the HiSeq 2000 platform (Additional file 7). We used the “Dangshansuli” mitogenome as a reference for SNP and INDEL calling. Raw data of 139 pear accessions were trimmed by Trimmomatic v0.39 [57]. Clean data was mapped to the reference genome using Burrows-Wheeler Alignment v0.7.16 (BWA) [73]. SAMtools [74] was used to convert the sequence alignment mapping file (SAM) into a binary SAM (BAM) file. Then, the removal of duplicated reads was performed using the Picard software (http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/). Variant identification and filtering were performed using GATK v4.1.4 [75]. Finally, all SNPs and INDELS with minor allele frequencies (MAF) of > 0.01 and max-missing rate of < 0.1 were extracted for subsequent analysis. SNPeff v4.3t [76] was used for SNP and INDEL annotation.

**Phylogenetic tree construction, PCA, and population structure analysis**

All SNPs for each sample were connected one by one as a single locus to make fasta files using an in-house python script, and then IQ-TREE [65] was used to generate the phylogenetic tree with the maximum likelihood method, and the best model was detected using the “MF” function. We set the ultrafast bootstrap replication number as 1000. To evaluate the relationships, PCA and population structure analysis were performed using plink v1.90b [77] and admixture v1.3 [78].

**Diversity analysis and selection sweep identification**

Pi (\(\pi\)) and \(F_{ST}\) were calculated by VCFtools v0.1.16 [79] with a 1000-bp sliding window and 500-bp steps in pear.
To further identify the regions with signals of selection sweeps in cultivated pears, regions (1000-bp window) with signals for selective sweeps were identified with reference to previous criteria: the top $F_{ST} > 0.1$, $\pi_{wild}/\pi_{cul}$ ratio > 2 based on common SNPs in the pear mitogenomes [80].

**Frequency of deletion analysis**

To further evaluate the frequency of the deletion (DEL-D) in 139 pear accessions, BEDTools v 2.18 [81] was used to calculate the mapping coverage of DEL-D in the 139 pear accessions. First, DEL-D was divided into three parts (Del1, Del2, and Del3), and the read depths of each part (Idep) were calculated respectively. Furthermore, the whole-genome depth of each accession (Wdep) was calculated. To avoid the differences in sequencing depth in the 139 accessions, we used a ratio of Idep divided by Wdep to evaluate the presence and absence of the deletion. Fortunately, the ratio of Del1 was divided into two levels, namely low (0.24–0.72) and high (6.94–142.98), with a high ratio representing Del1 being present in the mitogenome of this accession and a low ratio representing absence. This phenomenon also appeared in Del3. Due to Del2 sharing homology with chloroplast sequences, we excluded Del2 from further analyses. The frequency of Del1 and Del3 in different pear populations were calculated, and the two-tailed Student’s $t$-test was used to identify the significant differences. The same strategy was used to detect the frequency of the deletion (DEL-M: 6666 bp) in 116 apple accessions.

To detect the origin of the deletion sequence, we used a BLASTN search to detect the homologous sequence in 30 Rosaceae mitochondrial and nuclear genomes. The inferred putative origin of the intracellular transfer and nuclear-shared sequences were identified by performing BLASTN searches of mitogenomes against nuclear DNA, with an $e$-value cutoff lower than 1e−100 and hit length more than 100 bp, and the ggplot2 package (https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/ggplot2/index.html) was used for visualization. An in-house python script was used to calculate the total length of homologous sequences from each mitochondrial and nuclear genome. ORFs with a minimum length of 150 bp were identified in DEL-D using ORFfinder (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/orffinder/).

**Supplementary Information**

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12915-022-01383-3.
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