Cardiopulmonary resuscitation training for schoolchildren and its effects on their prosocial behavior and knowledge: Mixed methods research design
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Abstract

Background: The benefits of cardiopulmonary resuscitation training for schoolchildren are well known, but the appropriate age for introducing training is still being discussed. This is a very important issue, since out-of-hospital cardiac arrest is a major public health concern. The objective of this study is to investigate the effects of implemented cardiopulmonary resuscitation training on the knowledge and prosocial behavior of children in the last three grades of Slovenian elementary schools, and to identify the experience of training instructors.

Methods: A mixed methods research design was employed, using a cohort study with testing before and after training, and focus groups. Research was conducted in 15 Slovenian elementary schools offering cardiopulmonary resuscitation training. Focus groups included training instructors and developers. Data was collected with a structured questionnaire from April to June 2018 and analyzed using univariate, bivariate, and multivariate analyses. The three focus groups were convened in September and October 2018. Content analysis of the discussion transcriptions was conducted. The sample included 764 schoolchildren aged 12.5–14.5 years before cardiopulmonary resuscitation training and 566 schoolchildren after training. Three non-homogeneous focus groups included eight cardiopulmonary resuscitation instructors.

Results: Significant progress in knowledge was noted after training implementation, with the greatest progress seen in the youngest age group (mean age 12.5). Male gender increased the level of self-confidence ($\beta=0.114; p=0.007$). Cardiopulmonary resuscitation knowledge influenced helping behavior ($\beta=0.111; p=0.008$), while internal motivation to help others was influenced by age ($\beta=0.116; p=0.006$) and level of knowledge ($\beta=0.111; p=0.008$). Analysis of the focus groups yielded two themes: (a) the effects of cardiopulmonary resuscitation training on schoolchildren, and (b) the systemic
responsibility of the school system and professional bodies.

Conclusions: Significant progress in schoolchildren’s cardiopulmonary resuscitation knowledge after training was established. Early introduction of training is recommended. Cardiopulmonary resuscitation knowledge influences the development of schoolchildren’s prosocial behavior, as it raises awareness of the responsibility to help others and increases self-confidence to provide bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation. We can conclude that early Cardiopulmonary resuscitation training for children is crucial. It should be a mandatory part of school curricula in those countries where Cardiopulmonary resuscitation is not yet mandatory.

Background

Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA) is a major public health concern accounting for a substantial number of deaths worldwide. Each year, over 700,000 people in Europe and the USA suffer from OHCA. The survival to hospital discharge rate following OHCA remains low, ranging from 5 to 10% [1, 2, 3]. Bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) is crucial for improving the chances of survival of sudden cardiac arrest patients and their neurological outcomes [4]. Bystander CPR rates differ widely across European countries [3]. It is estimated that at least 15% of the population would have to be trained in CPR for a significant increase of survival rates from cardiac arrest, but this cannot be achieved through voluntary trainings for the lay population alone; therefore, mandatory training of schoolchildren would be an important measure [5, 6].

Awareness of the importance of CPR must be raised in early childhood education [7], as CPR training improves the safety culture in schools and shifts the responsibility from adults to children, which could result in long-term structural changes [6]. The introduction of CPR training in schools has been advocated by the World Health Organization [5]. In Scandinavia, teaching schoolchildren CPR has increased lay bystander
CPR rates, resulting in higher survival rates after OHCA. Besides that, the productivity of society raises and consequently, the costs of health care decline [8]. Teaching the importance of OHCA recognition and CPR skills should begin early, as schoolchildren have greater motivation and learn faster than adults and also maintain learning abilities [9, 10]. In some countries, CPR training for schoolchildren is already mandatory, in others it is being gradually introduced into curricula, with two hours of CPR training a year being recommended [11]. Nevertheless, the appropriate age to start CPR training remains controversial. If children learn CPR at a young age, they will not forget lifesaving skills, much like swimming or bike-riding skills [7]. In addition, children can serve as CPR multipliers as they may pass on the acquired awareness and CPR skills to family members and friends [12]. The advantages of early CPR teaching are reflected in the prosocial behavior of schoolchildren, such as helping behavior, increased confidence in the positive outcome of resuscitation, internal motivation to help people requiring assistance, and development of empathy [13, 14, 15, 16, 17].

The effectiveness and outcome of CPR and automated external defibrillator (AED) training programs depend on the instructor’s skills, knowledge and teaching tools (lecture, hands-only, instructional video, social networks) [16]. The school nurse who is the only healthcare professional at school has a pivotal role as an instructor, coordinator, and policy advocate for CPR and AED training [18].

Our research aimed to investigate the effect of implemented CPR training with the use of AED on schoolchildren’s CPR knowledge and their prosocial behavior in the last three grades of elementary school in Slovenia. In addition, we were interested in the training instructors’ experiences and opinions on the effects of implemented CPR training. We see the main contribution of this research in offering background for policy/decision-makers to involve CPR training as a mandatory part of school curricula. Research showed positive
effects of training schoolchildren in CPR and so it is very important to involve the CPR training in the school curricula early enough. We found out that children of 12.5 years made the most progress in CPR knowledge.

Methods

Design

A mixed methods research design was employed. The aim was to achieve a more comprehensive approach to the investigated topic, measurements, and the analysis and interpretation of findings [19]. A cross-sectional cohort research method was conducted to obtain quantitative data and a focus groups research method was employed to obtain qualitative data. Both methods were combined in the interpretation stage.

Setting and participants

Cross-sectional study

The cross-sectional study included Slovenian elementary schools which offered CPR training in April and May 2018. CPR training is not mandatory in Slovenian school curricula. Schools make the decision themselves whether or not to include CPR training in their programs. For research purposes, instructors covered the same educational content and used the same teaching methods; they followed the national CPR program based on the European Resuscitation Council guidelines.

Thus, the cross-sectional study included all schoolchildren of the seventh, eighth, and ninth grades of 15 elementary schools which provided CPR training. The data was collected twice: firstly, when 893 schoolchildren were asked to fill out a questionnaire before the training and 764 (85.6%) responded; and secondly, one to two months following the implemented training only for schoolchildren who participated in it (n = 764) and 566 responded (74.1%).
No significant differences were established in the sample structure prior to and after CPR training according to gender, age distribution, parents’ education, and body mass index (BMI) of schoolchildren, which means that both samples were uniform. The gender distribution in the sample is fairly equal, with just under 50% of participants being boys and just over 50% being girls. Children in the final three grades of elementary school were aged 12–15 years. The mean age of seventh-graders, eighth-graders and ninth-graders was 12.5, 13.5 and 14.5 years, respectively. Distribution of schoolchildren among the three grades was equal prior to and after training.

Focus group

Out of 12 invited experts, eight participated in focus groups: instructors and CPR program developers working as nursing professionals in primary health care. Six participants were women, two were men, and their average length of service was 21.4 years (SD = 12.8). One participant held a master’s degree in nursing, six were registered nurses, one was an emergency care assistant nurse. To start the debate, semi-structured guiding questions were used.

Instrument

Cross-sectional research instrument

Knowledge of CPR was measured using a structured questionnaire consisting of four sections with 27 nominal-level binary questions based on focus group discussions and previous research [15, 20, 21, 5, 6]. Each correct answer was awarded one point; the point total was provided to compare results prior to and after CPR training. In the regression models, the independent variable ‘Knowledge’ was used with a score range of 0 to 15. According to the final point count, respondents were categorized: excellent (15–13
points), very good (12–11 points), good (10–9 points), satisfactory (8–7 points), and unsatisfactory (6 points or less). The level of prosocial behavior was assessed according to the level of knowledge. Respondents were divided into two groups: the first included those with excellent knowledge of CPR and the second those with less than excellent CPR knowledge.

Prosocial behavior was gauged with an ordinal scale of opinions consisting of 22 items. The level of agreement was measured on a 5-point scale (1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neither Agree Nor Disagree, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree).

The reliability of items on prosocial behavior was good (α = 0.82). The demographic part of the questionnaire included questions on age, grade, month and year of birth, body weight, body height, and parental educational level.

**Focus group instrument**

Semi-structured guiding questions were used for the focus groups and expanded as the discussion developed. Research reliability was ensured by following the prescribed methodology: the appropriate number of focus groups (n = 3) and the number of participants in each group (n = 8), which ensured data saturation in the third focus group.

**Data collection**

Cross-sectional study data was collected twice from April to June 2018: prior to CPR training and one to two months following the training (May, June 2018). Children’s knowledge and prosocial behavior prior to and after the training were recorded. Focus groups were convened after the implemented CPR training, in September and October 2018. Only three expert focus groups were conducted, as the third group achieved theoretical saturation and the scope of the investigated issue was revealed. The focus groups were led by a moderator employing a semi-structured questionnaire. All
selected research participants received an invitation with a brief description of the research, general topics, and partial results of the quantitative research. The average duration of each focus group was 60 minutes. Discussions were recorded with participants’ written consent.

Data analysis

Data was analyzed using IBM software SPSS Statistics v. 22.0. Descriptive statistics and bivariate methods were used (t-test, one-way ANOVA). The common variables were defined using principal axis factoring (PAF) with orthogonal Varimax rotation. The correlation between the dependent variable ‘Prosocial Behavior’ and the independent variables was established using linear and multiple regression. The regression models included only predictor variables for which a significant correlation with dependent variables was established beforehand. The level of statistical significance was set at \( p \leq 0.05 \).

For qualitative data, the method of thematic content analysis was employed. All recordings were transcribed verbatim and the texts were read several times. After coding units were identified, coding was conducted and categories and key themes were defined. Each focus group was ascribed a corresponding code. The nominal identity of a transcription was lost while the traceability of content was ensured.

Results

CPR knowledge and prosocial behavior prior to and after CPR training

Significant progress in knowledge was noted in most CPR-related procedures one or two months after the training. The greatest progress was in placing AED electrodes in the right positions, and in the chest compression rate and depth (all \( p = 0.001 \)). However, training did not increase the knowledge of other AED-related procedures like checking responsiveness and breathing before using AED (Table 1).
The mean level of the variable ‘Knowledge’ was 9.5 (SD = 2.0) prior to and 11.5 (SD = 2.0) after CPR training (p = 0.001).

Prior to the training, ninth-graders (average age of 14.5 years) had the highest level of knowledge (M = 10.0; SD = 2.03), followed by seventh-graders (average age of 12.5 years) (M = 8.96; SD = 2.19) and eighth-graders (average age of 13.5 years) (M = 9.37; SD = 1.98) being behind by a point on average. The differences in CPR knowledge between age groups were significant (p = 0.001). After the training, the level of knowledge increased in seventh-graders (M = 11.62; SD = 2.04), eighth-graders (M = 11.43; SD = 2.10), and ninth-graders (M = 11.52; SD = 2.00). The increase in the level of knowledge for each age group when comparing the results prior to and after the training was significant (p < 0.05). After CPR training, all age groups had a comparable level of CPR knowledge. However, seventh-graders achieved the largest absolute difference in knowledge level (from 8.96 to 11.62 points on average).

The total means for items gauging prosocial behavior ranged between 3.39 and 4.49 prior to training and 3.96 and 4.61 after training. Factor analysis of these items revealed three constructs based on 15 opinions (loadings < 0.4). The three constructs explained 32.0% of the measured phenomenon’s variance with three variables: F1—confidence (α = 0.711), F2—helping behavior (α = 0.691), and F3—internal motivation (α = 0.643). All the obtained factors extracted with the regression coefficient method were used in further statistical analysis (Table 2).

Levels of prosocial behavior prior to and after CPR training were measured. The greatest increase after the training was seen in confidence (p = 0.001), a moderate increase in helping behavior (p = 0.003), while the level of internal motivation prior to and after the training remained the same. Schoolchildren with excellent CPR knowledge displayed a higher level of prosocial behavior in all areas (‘Confidence’ / p = 0.001; ‘Helping Behavior’
Three regression models were developed; the dependent variable for each was one of the prosocial behavior components gauged after the training. The first regression model (Table 3) revealed that confidence can be explained with participant gender (p = 0.007). After CPR training, gender explained 1.1% of the total ‘Confidence’ variability, with boys being more confident. The second regression model revealed that knowledge explained (p = 0.008) 1.1% of the total variability of ‘Helping Behavior’. The third regression model revealed that higher age of respondents was linked to greater internal motivation (p = 0.006), as was greater knowledge (p = 0.008). These two variables explained 2.1% of the variability of ‘Internal Motivation’, a prosocial behavior dimension. Schoolchildren who are older and have more knowledge are more motivated to help.

Instructors’ perspectives on and experiences with CPR training

Following text analysis, two main themes were developed: (a) Effects of CPR training on schoolchildren, and (b) Systemic responsibility of the school system and professional affiliations. Randomly selected examples of instructors’ comments, positive and negative views on CPR training, and suggestions for school curriculum developers and responsible authorities are presented in Table 4.

Discussion

The effects of implementing CPR training with the use of AED on the knowledge of schoolchildren and their prosocial behavior was investigated. The study demonstrated an improvement in theoretical knowledge after CPR training. The provided training clearly increased the prevalence of schoolchildren’s prosocial behavior. Our findings indicate that CPR training alone can raise not only children’s level of CPR knowledge, but also prosocial behavior.

Specifically, children showed an improvement after training in theoretical knowledge on
the question of which information has to be provided to paramedics in case a person is found unconscious and the correct position of an unconscious victim. Virtually all schoolchildren were familiar with the emergency telephone number in Slovenia, a result is comparable to the findings of previous studies [10], which underlies the positive effects of trainings. The greatest progress in 566 schoolchildren was seen in the knowledge of actions to be taken with an unconsciousness person, the placement of AED electrodes in correct positions, and the frequency and depth of chest compressions. Knowledge on the latter point after training was similar to findings reported from other studies [10]. Most of the schoolchildren in our research knew the right answer on how to use an AED; however, they were not as familiar with the fact that the emergency telephone number can also be dialed to receive instructions on its use. Schoolchildren are capable of determining whether the victim is conscious, calling for help, providing relevant information on the victim, and using AED [22]. Our research showed some progress on the correct CPR of a drowning victim, but the percentage of incorrect answers still remains high. Therefore, CPR instructions to schoolchildren should be simple and delivered uniformly for all causes of OHCA.

Our results revealed that the level of schoolchildren’s theoretical knowledge on CPR was higher after CPR training and that the level of knowledge was retained one to two months after training. Similarly, other researchers found not only greater knowledge after CPR training, but also its retention [23, 20, 24]. Prior to CPR training, the level of CPR knowledge was highest in children with a mean age of 14.5 years, while children with mean ages of 12.5 and 13.5 years were less successful. After the implemented CPR training, the level of knowledge increased in all age groups. The greatest progress was seen in the youngest age group (mean age 12.5), because children in this group had to gain the most knowledge to be on par with their older peers. Therefore, we support early
introduction of CPR training. Other authors have made similar recommendations [25, 22, 16, 24]. What is more, younger schoolchildren have a greater capacity to learn the practical aspects of resuscitation compared to older children [24]. In Germany, research showed that the ability to implement practical CPR interventions among 10-year-olds was the same as among 13-year-olds [15]. However, schoolchildren aged 13 or more have greater theoretical knowledge [15, 24, 26]. Considering the assessed effectiveness of CPR training in schoolchildren, some advocate the introduction of CPR training between the ages of 10 and 11. In this age group, schoolchildren have the necessary intellectual capacity and, on average, an appropriate body weight to provide effective chest compressions [15, 16]. Moreover, younger schoolchildren know how to place electrodes on the chest fast and effectively, while also ensuring safety beforehand [16, 24].

In the second part of our research, we compared the level of prosocial behavior prior to and after CPR training. After training, participants showed the most significant increase in confidence to help others, helping behavior somewhat increased, while the level of internal motivation remained the same prior to and after CPR training. In children of the final three grades of elementary school, increased CPR knowledge led to increased prosocial behavior. Similar conclusions were also reached by previous researchers [13, 23]. As in our research findings, previous studies showed that CPR training boosts the confidence of schoolchildren [13]. Our findings showed that boys were more confident than girls.

CPR training for schoolchildren significantly increased their self-worth and moral responsibility towards themselves and the people around them [23]. CPR training reduced the fear of making a mistake in persons providing assistance to cardiac arrest victims, raised self-confidence, and promoted children’s helping behavior [15]. Our research results also showed that, after CPR training, the percentages of those willing to help
others increased. Similar studies stress the importance of early learning and implementation of training in intervals, because they believe that recurring trainings strengthen the prosocial and social behavior of schoolchildren [16]. Similarly, previous studies demonstrated that early learning of helping others makes schoolchildren better understand the importance of helping others and evolves their capacity for expressing empathy [27].

We were also interested in the instructors’ experiences and opinions on the effects of the conducted CPR training. Analysis of the focus groups suggests training in CPR has to start at an early stage, with the content and teaching tools adjusted to the children’s age.

The European Resuscitation Council Initiative and World Health Organization Statement endorse making CPR training a mandatory part of school curricula, as this would have a significant influence on the public health issue related to sudden cardiac arrest [5, 11, 28]. Focus group results showed obstacles for introducing CPR training in Slovenian schools, most notedly that CPR is at the moment an elective subject, not part of the mandatory school curricula. Furthermore, current instructors have been found to have different levels of knowledge and skills, highlighting the need for setting minimum criteria for certified CPR instructors. Closer cooperation with professional associations, which should set the minimum criteria for the implementation of trainings and for the potential instructors, is also required. According to the focus group members, these minimum criteria are: introduction of mandatory CPR training in school curricula, appropriate qualifications of instructors who must follow ERC recommendations on CPR, appropriate teaching methods employed by instructors, appropriate equipment and tools, the ability to adapt the content according to children’s age, trainings in small groups, refreshment of knowledge both for instructors and schoolchildren. Support and guidance of instructors and other adults involved is crucial for the development of a responsible and emphatic
approach towards teaching schoolchildren CPR [29].

Our results revealed the benefits and advantages of teaching schoolchildren CPR. It can be concluded that early CPR training for children is crucial and should be introduced as a mandatory part of school curricula in those countries where CPR is not yet mandatory.

Limitations And Strengths

A strength of the presented research is the sample size. The validity of conclusions could be increased if the methodology included an assessment of practical CPR skills. The strength of correlations in the quantitative part of the research is low due to the proportions of factorial analysis variance explained, so these findings represent the basis for further improvements of the research instrument. The third focus group sample size was small since only two of the four invited participants responded by the given date.

However, the third focus group was not cancelled due to ethical principles; it was implemented and in-depth conclusions were made.

Conclusions

The research findings provide the basis for decision-makers to introduce CPR training into the mandatory part of school curricula. We must also be aware that the endeavors for CPR topics to become a mandatory part of school programs can only be successful by employing a comprehensive, responsible approach and with the awareness of social responsibility. Nevertheless, it is very important to involve the CPR training into curricula. The youngest age group (12.5 years) made the most progress in CPR knowledge.

Results of the quantitative and qualitative research clearly demonstrate the positive effects of training schoolchildren in CPR. We found that the level of CPR knowledge correlated with prosocial behavior of schoolchildren, an important factor in their social development and the development of values, opinions, and beliefs. This research gives an
important contribution to public health policy. It provides criteria for implementation of training schoolchildren in CPR, which is one of crucial factors of higher survival rate at cardiac arrest.
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Tables

**Table 1** CPR knowledge of schoolchildren prior to and after CPR training

| Assessment                                                                 | prior to training | after training | chi-square statistic (p-value) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|
| Phone number for medical emergencies in Slovenia is 112.                  | Wrong answer      | 54            | 7.1                           | 6   | 1.1                         | 27.244 (0.001) |
|                                                                           | Right answer      | 710           | 92.9                          | 560 | 98.9                        |
| I have to tell the healthcare dispatcher my location.                     | Wrong answer      | 80            | 10.5                          | 35  | 6.2                         | 7.566 (0.006)  |
|                                                                           | Right answer      | 684           | 89.5                          | 531 | 93.8                        |
| I have to tell the healthcare dispatcher what happened.                   | Wrong answer      | 95            | 12.4                          | 50  | 8.8                         | 4.339 (0.037)  |
|                                                                           | Right answer      | 669           | 87.6                          | 516 | 91.2                        |
| I have to tell the healthcare dispatcher how many people need assistance. | Wrong answer      | 190           | 24.9                          | 108 | 19.1                        | 6.265 (0.012)  |
|                                                                           | Right answer      | 574           | 75.1                          | 458 | 80.9                        |
| An unconscious person must be rolled onto their side.                     | Wrong answer      | 206           | 27.0                          | 85  | 15.0                        | 27.144 (0.001) |
|                                                                           | Right answer      | 558           | 73.0                          | 481 | 85.0                        |
| An automated external defibrillator is an electronic device capable of recognizing if a person's heart is still beating. | Wrong answer      | 357           | 46.7                          | 188 | 33.2                        | 25.544 (0.001) |
|                                                                           | Right answer      | 407           | 53.3                          | 378 | 66.8                        |
| When a person is showing no signs of life or is unresponsive, I have to secure the scene, locate possible victims, and dial 112. | Wrong answer      | 275           | 36.0                          | 139 | 24.6                        | 19.836 (0.001) |
|                                                                           | Right answer      | 489           | 64.0                          | 427 | 75.4                        |
Breathing is checked by tilting the victim's head back, listening for breathing sounds over their mouth, and looking to see if the chest is rising or falling.

The chest should be compressed 5 centimeters in depth.

The image of a chest has different positions marked; please indicate where AED electrodes must be placed.

Prior to using an AED, check the victim's responsiveness and breathing, then switch on AED and follow the voice instructions.

When using an AED, dial 112 and wait for instructions on how to use the device.

Start resuscitating a drowning victim by checking their breathing, give them five initial breaths, and then follow with chest compressions.

Legend: AED = automated external defibrillator; n = number; % = percentage; p = borderline statistical significance of 0.05 and less

Table 2 Descriptive statistics and factor analysis results on prosocial behavior
Prior to training Statements

| Statements                                                                 | Prior to training |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| If I noticed a victim who was not moving or showing signs of life, I would begin CPR immediately, because I believe in myself.* | 759 3.43 1.09     |
| If I noticed a victim who was not moving or showing signs of life, I would begin CPR immediately, because I am not afraid of injuring them.* | 762 3.39 1.18     |
| I would not dare to provide CPR before receiving training.*               | 761 3.42 1.23     |
| If someone falls down in front of me and shows no signs of life, I would start CPR.* | 763 3.84 1.10     |
| I would dare to provide CPR after receiving training.*                    | 759 4.06 0.98     |
| I am willing to help others because I would also expect help when in need. | 760 4.49 0.71     |
| If someone falls down in front of me, I would help him.*                 | 761 4.49 0.77     |
| I like to help others when they are in need.*                            | 762 4.29 0.79     |
| I help because I can recognize when a person is not showing signs of life.* | 757 3.89 0.87     |
| If I noticed a group of people only observing a victim not showing signs of life, I would start providing help immediately. | 761 4.21 0.89     |
| I notice when my friends and classmates need help.                       | 760 4.18 0.85     |
| Helping others gives me satisfaction.                                    | 759 4.27 0.82     |
| I feel for classmates and friends who are very ill or were struck by misfortune. | 759 4.34 0.82     |
| I think one of the best things is being able to help others.             | 757 4.14 0.88     |
| When friends ask for my help, I don’t hesitate and help them right away. | 760 4.29 0.78     |

Legend: CPR – cardiopulmonary resuscitation; M = mean on a 5-point scale (1 - Strongly Disagree / 5 - Strongly Agree), n = number of answers; SD = standard deviation, F1 = factor loading values ‘Confidence’ F2 = factor loading values ‘Helping Behavior’; F3=
factor loading values ‘Internal Motivation’. *Differences in mean values between the first and second data collections are statistically significant (p<0.05)

Table 3 Regression analysis of the effect of sociodemographic variables on prosocial behavior – AFTER TRAINING

|                  | Non-standardized coefficients | Standardized coefficients | β  |
|------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|----|
| Confidence       | 0.262                        | 0.034                     | 0.114 |
| Gender           | 0.133                        | 0.049                     | 0.114 |
| Helping Behavior | -0.305                       | 0.142                     | 0.111 |
| Knowledge        | 0.032                        | 0.012                     | 0.111 |
| Internal Motivation | -1.326                    | 0.3701                    | 0.116 |
| Age              | 0.068                        | 0.025                     | 0.116 |
| Knowledge        | 0.033                        | 0.012                     | 0.111 |

Legend: B = regression coefficient; β = standardized regression coefficient, T = t statistic; F = F statistic; p = significance; R²pop = proportion of variance explained;
Table 4 Suggestions from instructors

| THEMES | SELECTED EXAMPLES OF COMMENTS | POSITIVE EFFECTS OF CPR TRAINING | NEGATIVE EFFECTS OF CPR TRAINING |
|--------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Effects of CPR training on schoolchildren | (F3/U1): “They’re mainly willing to help others; they notice when a friend needs help.” (F1/U1): “When a kid had an episode of hypoglycemia, his classmate called for help”. (F2/U1): “After the training they’re kind of more confident, I think they’re also happy they can try chest compressions and talk to each other about that, it’s kind of an internal satisfaction that I sense in the workshops.” (F1/U1): “It’s definitely an investment in our children, so to say, to teach them early enough about resuscitation.” (F1/U3): “The point of the workshops is that you’re not scared of consequences in an actual situation when someone falls down, so that most kids aren’t scared...” | Increased prosocial behavior. Raising awareness of the responsibility to help others. Positive effect on emotional development. Less fear. Increased confidence. Satisfaction and independence of schoolchildren. | Resuscitation topics are not mandatory in the curriculum of Slovenian elementary schools. More difficult entry of instructors into the school setting. Instructors have different levels of knowledge on CPR training. |
| Systemic responsibility of the school system and professional affiliations | (F2/U2): “I think it’s important that topics on resuscitation are included in the school curriculum as mandatory, it has to be done at the national level and everything connected to it” and (F3/U1): “…sure, topics have to be adapted for the age group… but even first-graders can bring an AED, position the stickers and switch it on. It’s been proven that even little kids can help that way. Even in daycare, like if they watch a video of how compressions are done.” (F1/U2): “…someone would have to assume the overall management of the instructors who would then be giving trainings, just like the section does, that’s how it should be. I think it’s in the best interest that trainings are the same across Slovenia, not that we have to get creative about how to incorporate guidelines.” | Long-term memory of storing information on resuscitation procedures. |

Legend: F1-3/U1-3: F1-3 = focus group number; U1-3: identification of focus group participant