Dimeric Transmembrane Orientations of APP/C99 Regulate γ-Secretase Processing Line Impacting Signaling and Oligomerization
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- Aβ42 production and signaling are linked to the 33Gly-x-x-x-Gly37 interface
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- C99 dimeric orientations impact its localization and processing by PSEN1 or PSEN2
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SUMMARY

Amyloid precursor protein (APP) cleavage by the β-secretase produces the C99 transmembrane (TM) protein, which contains three dimerization-inducing Gly-x-x-Gly motifs. We demonstrate that dimeric C99 TM orientations regulate the precise cleavage lines by γ-secretase. Of all possible dimeric orientations imposed by a coiled-coil to the C99 TM domain, the dimer containing the 33Gly-x-x-Gly motif in the interface promoted the Aβ42 processing line and APP intracellular domain-dependent gene transcription, including the induction of BACE1 mRNA, enhancing amyloidogenic processing and signaling. Another orientation exhibiting the 25Gly-x-x-Gly motif in the interface favored processing to Aβ43/40. It induced significantly less gene transcription, while promoting formation of SDS-resistant "Aβ-like" oligomers, reminiscent of Aβ peptide oligomers. These required both Val24 of a pro-β motif and the 25Gly-x-x-Gly interface. Thus, crossing angles imposed by precise dimeric orientations control γ-secretase initial cleavage at Aβ48 or Aβ49, linking the former to enhanced signaling and Aβ42 production.

INTRODUCTION

The amyloid precursor protein (APP) is a type I transmembrane (TM) protein with a long extracellular domain, a single TM α-helix and a C-terminal cytosolic domain with no defined structure (Kang et al., 1987). Either α- or β-secretase first cleaves APP to generate two distinct fragments: a soluble N-terminal fragment (sAPPα or sAPPβ, respectively) and a membrane-anchored C-terminal fragment (α- or β-CTF, respectively) (Weidemann et al., 1989). Both α- or β-CTFs can be processed by γ-secretase to produce the APP intracellular domain (AICD). However, transcriptional activity associated with the release of AICD is preferentially correlated with the processing of the β-CTF or C99 (Belyaev et al., 2010) and only β-CTF can generate the amyloid β (Aβ) peptides. These are present in various lengths ranging predominantly from Aβ34 to Aβ46. It is considered that Aβ40 is predominant in terms of production and that Aβ42 and longer isoforms like Aβ43 are most toxic, due to their higher propensity to aggregate because of the extra hydrophobic residues at the C-terminus. Aβ42 forms the core of amyloid plaques in the brains of patients with Alzheimer’s disease (AD) (Iwatsubo et al., 1994; Szaruga et al., 2017).

The first cleavage by γ-secretase on C99 occurs at the e-site, corresponding to the residue 48 or 49 of the β-CTF, located at the cytoplasmic edge of the TM domain (Qi-Takahara et al., 2005; Takami et al., 2009). The e-site is at a junction between the TM helix and the flexible cytosolic domain, where we showed a helical to coil transition to occur at the structural level (Sato et al., 2009). Support for such an unraveling close to the e-site and progressive cleavage was recently provided by the single particle electron microscopy structure of a complex between a C83 fragment cross-linked to catalytically inactive presenilin 1 (PS1) (Zhou et al., 2019). The cleavage at e-site leads to the formation of different AICD species, usually starting after residue 48 or after residue 49. The membrane-anchored fragment containing the β-amyloid sequence is further trimmed upstream by the γ-secretase carboxypeptidase activity. Two processing lines generate Aβ 46/43/40 and Aβ 45/42 fragments, respectively (Qi-Takahara et al., 2005; Takami et al., 2009). The AICD fragments have been reported to regulate gene transcription and require interaction with binding proteins...
in order to be translocated to the nucleus (Cao and Sudhof, 2001; Pardossi-Piquard et al., 2005). AICD-dependent signal transduction remains still controversial. Its regulatory properties on transcription have been a matter of debate, but experimental evidence associates AICD release to the transcriptional regulation of APP target genes (Pardossi-Piquard et al., 2005).

APP contains three Gly-x-x-x-Gly motifs or glycine zippers at the junction between the extracellular juxtamembrane (JM) and TM regions. It is known from the glycophorin A protein that Gly-x-x-x-Gly and Gly-x-x-x-Ala particular motifs can induce SDS-resistant dimerization of TM proteins by creating close apposition between the glycines in the interacting α-helices (Bormann et al., 1989; MacKenzie et al., 1997). Importantly, SDS-resistant dimerization also requires other residues besides the Gly-x-x-x-Gly motif, and the position of the motif relative to the thickness of the bilayer is also important (Bormann et al., 1989; MacKenzie et al., 1997). The Gly-x-x-x-Gly motifs were reported as key molecular determinants that stabilize the association of the α-helices of APP TM dimers, and that modulate APP processing by the γ-secretase complex (Kienlen-Campard et al., 2008; Munter et al., 2007). The Flemish mutation in which there is an Ala to Gly substitution at position 21, creates a fourth upstream in-register Gly-x-x-x-Gly motif and induces a conformational change by increasing the α-helix at position 21 (Tang et al., 2014). This promotes an increase in Aβ production, confirming the relation existing between APP TM dimerization and APP amyloidogenic processing. Still, the links existing between dimerization and processing remains elusive. For instance, the cryo-EM structure of γ-secretase in complex with C83 (Zhou et al., 2019) and other experimental data (Eggert et al., 2018) does not support the direct processing of C99 dimers. APP dimerization is known to affect its subcellular localization (Eggert et al., 2018; Ben Khalifa et al., 2012) but the precise mechanisms by which TM dimerization regulate the processing (and likely the function) of APP and, more specifically of C99, are not understood.

Here we asked whether a precise dimeric interface of C99 TM helices could influence processing by γ-secretase leading to AICD formation and signaling via ε-cleavage, as well as Aβ accumulation subsequent to processing at γ-sites. We used C99 fusion constructs with a coiled coil dimer (Put3) at different junction points within the TM domain. These Put3 dimeric constructs, referred to as cc-del(X) constructs, allowed us to individually dial-in all possible dimeric interfaces in the C99 TM domain. The effects of each of the fusion proteins, reproducing one at a time the individual dimeric interfaces, were tested in cell lines and primary neurons with respect to signaling, processing and oligomerization. We identified a precise dimeric interface where strong AICD release by γ-secretase is associated to signaling and likely favors the Aβ42 processing line. This orientation seems to be preferentially processed by a presenilin 2 or PS2-dependent γ-secretase. Another interface rotated clockwise from the previous one by 110° is associated with oligomerization of processed amyloid peptides and favors the Aβ43 processing line, preferentially through presenilin 1 or PSEN1-dependent γ-secretase. Strikingly, both of these interfaces are lined with glycines. This difference in TM packing appears to be translated into processing by different γ-secretases dependent on either of the two presenilin (PS1 and PS2) proteins, likely in different subcellular compartments, and into different signaling and oligomerization properties. We discuss how this knowledge can be translated in therapies aimed at preventing amyloid deposition, and we reveal that signaling and processing/oligomerization are supported by close dimeric interface.

RESULTS

Design of the Fusion Proteins Put3 Coiled Coil C99

The left-handed leucine zipper coiled coil of the transcription factor Put3 (Put3-cc) from Saccharomyces cerevisiae was used to create fusion proteins at different junction points within the extracellular JM domain of C99. This strategy has previously been used to determine the effects of dimeric orientation on type II TM proteins (Mattoon et al., 2001), where the N-terminus is cytosolic, and on type I TM proteins where the N-terminus is extracellular (Seubert et al., 2003; Staerk et al., 2011). For the erythropoietin and thrombopoietin receptors, it has been shown by cysteine-mediated cross-linking that dimerization at different orientations imposed at the extracellular end of the TM domains is translated into the correct interfaces at the C-terminal ends of the TM domains (Staerk et al., 2011).

We replaced amino acids 1-17 of the C99 extracellular domain with the Put3-cc (Walters et al., 1997), which exhibits an overall neutral charge that makes it compatible with an extracellular topology. We engineered fusion proteins where the extracellular Put3-cc forces the C99 monomers to adopt each of the seven possible symmetric left-handed orientations downstream of the coiled coil, denoted cc-del0 to cc-del6.
We also created three shorter constructs, denoted cc-del7 to cc-del9 (corresponding to cc-C25 to cc-C27, respectively), where the interfaces are similar to the first three fusion proteins, but where significant residues of the JM region are deleted, as certain motifs such as 16LVFF20 have been reported to exert an inhibitory effect on processing by γ-secretase (Tian et al., 2010) (Figures 1A and 1B). All cc-C99 (cc-del(X)) fusion proteins and wild type C99 are HA-tagged and contain a signal peptide at the N-terminus. The positions of heptad repeats characteristic of left-handed coiled coils are denoted a-g.

**Figure 1. Design of Coiled Coil APP C99 Fusion Proteins**

(A) Representation of the juxtamembrane and transmembrane domains of human APP C99. The positions of the consecutive GxxG motifs in AB numbering are in red. The cleavage sites of α-, β-, and γ- (γ and ε) secretases are indicated by arrows. Ext, external; Int, internal; TM, transmembrane.

(B) Representation of HA-C99 and cc-del(X) constructs. The coiled-coil dimerization domain of Put3 was fused to consecutive residues of the juxtamembrane sequence of C99 starting at residue 17 (AB numbering) and where n is the first amino acid of the sequence. All seven possible registers of C99 TM dimers were imposed by successive N-terminal deletion. Constructs cc-C9918-99 (cc-del0) to cc-C9927-99 (cc-del9) are referred to as cc-del(X) with X from 0 to 9, respectively. cc-del7 to 9 have the same orientation as cc-del0 to 2, respectively, but without the 16LVFF20 motif. All fusion proteins contain a signal peptide (SP) and an HA tag upstream the Put3 sequence. Only HA-C99 harbors the WO-2 epitope. The Y188 antibody (Cter) is directed against the C-terminus of the C99 sequence.

(C) Helical wheel diagram showing the heptad repeats in cc-del(X). Position of heptad repeats are denoted a to g with the dimeric interface considered to be at positions a and d. See also Figures S1 and S2.

(cc-C9918-99 to cc-C9924-99, respectively). We also created three shorter constructs, denoted cc-del7 to cc-del9 (cc-C9925-99 to cc-C9927-99, respectively), where the interfaces are similar to the first three fusion proteins, but where significant residues of the JM region are deleted, as certain motifs such as 16LVFF20 have been reported to exert an inhibitory effect on processing by γ-secretase (Tian et al., 2010) (Figures 1A and 1B). All cc-C99 (cc-del(X)) fusion proteins and wild type C99 are HA-tagged and contain a signal peptide at the N-terminus. The positions of heptad repeats characteristic of left-handed coiled coils are denoted a-g.
with interface residues in “a” and “d” positions, with edge residues close to the interface in “e” and “g” positions and with residues outside of the interface in “b”, “c”, and “f” positions (Figure 1C). The cc-del0, cc-del1, and cc-del2 constructs exhibit the same orientations as the cc-del7, cc-del8, and cc-del9 constructs, respectively.

Validation of the TM Orientations of Fusion Proteins cc-del(X)

The dimer interface can be predicted for each fusion protein (Figures S1A and S1B). To test the model of the predicted orientation of the cc-del(X) constructs, a cysteine was substituted for Gly29 (G29C) in all the constructs (Figures S1A and S1B). Because this Cys residue is unique in the cc-del(X) fusion proteins, its position can be used as a readout for the dimerization interface by cross-linking studies. We used the Cys-dependent and irreversible cross-linking agent N, N′-1,2-phenylenediamineimide (o-PDM) that forms dimers if two proteins are separated by a distance of 6–10 Å. We have predicted, based on α-helical wheel diagrams, which constructs can form dimers due to the presence of Cys residues within the interface itself (represented in red), with Cys residues in close enough proximity (represented in blue) or with Cys residues too far apart to dimerize (represented in green) (Figure S1B).

Cross-linking experiments were performed with living cells and validated the orientations imposed by the coiled coil structure in the JM domain. Western blot analysis using the C-terminal (Cter) APP antibody showed all cc-del(X) G29C constructs were expressed in CHO cells at the expected size of 14 kDa (Figure S1C). Cells treated with o-PDM are able to form intermolecular bonds maintaining stable dimers in denaturing and reducing conditions. In the presence of o-PDM, we observed the formation of cross-linked dimers for cc-del3 G29C and cc-del6 G29C, consistent with the hypothesis that these two constructs should have G29C in the dimeric interface (positions “d” and “a” of a heptad repeat). The cc-del0, cc-del2, cc-del7 and cc-del9 were also able to form dimers, the two cysteines being close enough to the interface via edge residues, placed at “e” or “g” positions of the heptad repeat. Specifically, G29C will be located in “g”, “e”, “g”, and “a” for cc-del0, cc-del2, cc-del7 and cc-del9, respectively. The other dimers that do not cross-link exhibit C29 at positions “f”, “c”, and “b”, namely cc-del1, cc-del4, cc-del5, and cc-del8, respectively. To validate that our constructs obeyed the predictions downstream of Gly29, we introduced a Cys mutation at position 37 (G37C) in cc-del4, cc-del6, cc-del7, and cc-del9. In the presence of o-PDM, we observed dimers in cc-del4 G37C and cc-del7 G37C but not in cc-del6 G37C and cc-del9 G37C (Figure S1C). This is in agreement with our predictions as G37C was expected to be in the dimeric interface for cc-del4 and cc-del7 (positions “d” and “a” of the heptad repeat), respectively, but outside the interface for cc-del6 and cc-del9 (position “b” and “f”, respectively).

While the TM domain of C99 adopts an α-helix secondary structure (Nadezhdin et al., 2012; Tang et al., 2014; Zhou et al., 2019), it has been demonstrated that unraveling occurs upstream of the ε-site, starting around residues 48-49 (Sato et al., 2009). This unraveling is followed by a short cytosolic β-strand downstream, which is induced by the contact with PS1 in the γ-secretase complex (Zhou et al., 2019). We asked whether our engineered dimers allow this level of flexibility or whether they are maintained in a rigid conformation at the C-terminus of the TM domain. For this purpose, we substituted L49 with cysteine (L49C) in all ten cc-del(X) fusion proteins. Cross-linking with o-PDM could be detected for all fusion proteins, consistent with unraveling at that position, similar to that described for wild-type C99 (Figure S1D) (Sato et al., 2009; Zhou et al., 2019).

The cryo-EM structure of γ-secretase in complex with C83 (Zhou et al., 2019) does not support processing of dimers. Our previous work also pointed to the need for unwinding of the α-helix around γ-sites for processing (Sato et al., 2009). The enzymatic cleavage of stable dimers is therefore very unlikely, although binding of CTF dimers to PS complexes may occur previous to entry in the catalytic site and actual cleavage. We used the cc-del6 G29C construct which form stable dimers upon crosslinking (Figure S1C) to assess its processing in dimeric form in a cell-free assay by measuring AICD release. We observed the release of AICD after incubation of isolated whole-cell membrane for 2 hr at 37°C when cc-del6 G29C was not crosslinked, and this release did not stained when cc-del6 G29C was previously crosslinked with o-PDM into stable dimers (Figure S2A). As an additional control, we verified that o-PDM did not by itself impede cleavage by γ-secretase, as AICD release could be observed with or without crosslinking upon processing of cc-del6 without the G29C mutation (Figure S2B). These results are in line with previous reports (Sato et al., 2009; Zhou et al., 2019) and suggest that neither C99 nor our constructs can be cleaved in dimeric form. Still, differences in addressing of C99 dimers and/or in initial docking of C99 dimers to γ-secretase are likely to affect their processing and functional properties.
cc-del7 Favors Transcriptional Activity and AICD Production by γ-Secretase Activity

The release of AICD was measured in CHO cells which were co-transfected with the HA-C99 or cc-del(X) fusion proteins, Fe65, Tip60Gal4, Gal4RE-luciferase as a reporter gene (Cao and Sudhof, 2001) and the pRLTK (renilla luciferase) control reporter to normalize for transfection efficiency (Figure 2A). The level of transcription was significantly increased, as expected with the HA-C99 when compared to the mock control, but strikingly only with cc-del7 among the cc-del(X) constructs (Figure 2B). For the other constructs, the level of transcriptional activity was not significantly different when compared to the mock control. It appears therefore that only one precise TM dimeric orientation (cc-del7) promoted APP-dependent nuclear signaling.

To determine whether this effect was truly due to the activity of cc-del(X) and the release AICD associated with transcriptional activity, we created stable cell lines using murine embryonic fibroblasts deficient for APP (MEF APP−/−). Western blotting with antibodies directed against the C-terminus of C99 (Cter) or the HA epitope indicated that cc-del(X) fusion proteins exhibited comparable levels of expression in MEF APP−/− cells (Figure 2C).

Within these stable cell lines, qPCR was used to analyze the expression of the Egr-1 gene known to be an APP downstream target (Hendrickx et al., 2013). Egr-1 is an immediate-early response gene regulating the transcription of late response genes important for synaptic plasticity processes, especially the maintenance of long-term potentiation (Jones et al., 2001). Exposure of mice to a novel environment induces Egr-1 expression in an APP-dependent manner (Hendrickx et al., 2013). We therefore chose Egr-1 as it is transiently induced via APP, and appears as a readout for the signaling function of APP upon cleavage by γ-secretase. We observed a decrease in the expression of Egr-1 in MEF APP−/− compared to MEF APP+/+ cells (Figure 2D). The expression of Egr-1 was restored in MEF APP−/− cells expressing HA-C99 or cc-del7 but not the other constructs. Importantly, Egr-1 is a transcriptional activator of the β-secretase (Bace1) and its inhibition decreases amyloidogenic processing in Alzheimer mouse models (Qin et al., 2016). We used qPCR to assess whether Bace1 expression was also increased in cells stably expressing cc-del7. The expression of Bace1 was decreased in MEF APP−/− compared to MEF APP+/+. Its expression was restored in MEF APP−/− cells stably expressing HA-C99 or cc-del7 but not in MEF APP−/− cells stably expressing a mock vector or cc-del6 (Figure 2E). In agreement with previous results (Qin et al., 2016), the expression profile of Bace1 mimicked the one observed for Egr-1.

Knowing that APP-dependent gene expression is associated with the release of AICD, we next assessed the production of AICD via a cell free experimental approach. Whole-cell membranes from MEF APP−/− cells expressing different cc-del(X) constructs were isolated with hypotonic buffer and ultracentrifugation. The membrane lysates were analyzed by Western blot using an antibody directed against APP C-terminus at two time points, 0 hr and 2 hr of incubation at 37°C in the absence or presence of a γ-secretase inhibitor (DAPT). The results indicated an increase in intensity of the band corresponding to AICD after 2 hr compared to the initial time point for all constructs tested. Strikingly, this increase was much stronger for cc-del7 than for cc-del6 or HA-C99 (Figure 2F). Quantification of the ratio of AICD produced confirmed a significant increase for cc-del7 when compared to the other constructs (Figure 2G). The bands considered (below 6 kDa) were strongly reduced in the presence of DAPT, indicating that they truly correspond to AICD produced by the γ-secretase.

cc-del7 Orientation Favors AICD-Dependent Signaling in Human Neuronal Cell Lines and in Primary Neurons

To test whether the cc-del(X) fusion proteins exerted the same effects in human neuronal cells, we used the SH-SYSY human neuroblastoma cell line, which exhibit neuronal properties upon differentiation with retinoic (Agholme et al., 2010). We generated SH-SYSY cell lines stably expressing cc-del(X) by lentiviral transduction followed by puromycin selection. The expression profile of fusion proteins was tested 7 days after differentiation by Western blotting (Figure 3A). We next examined whether dimeric orientation also exerted an effect on gene expression in the neuronal cell line. Egr-1 expression increased for HA-C99 and for cc-del7, while the expression remained at a similar level to mock infected SH-SYSY cells for the other constructs (Figure 3B).

We further tested whether our model was also relevant for rat primary cortical neurons. Primary neurons were transduced with lentiviruses expressing mock vector, HA-C99, cc-del6 and cc-del7. The protein
Figure 2. Signaling and Gene Expression via AICD Production of cc-del7 Fusion Proteins

(A) Transactivation assay to monitor the release of transcriptionally active AICD. Cleavage at the ε-site releases AICD, which translocates to the nucleus together with Fe65 and Tip60Gal4. The AICD/Fe65/Tip60Gal4 complex transactivates the Gal4RE reporter gene. Ext, external; Cyto, cytoplasm; TM, transmembrane; Nuc, nucleus.

(B) Transcriptional activity of the cc-del(X). Data are mean values ± SEM (n = 3, N = 3). Statistical analysis: non-parametric multiple comparisons Steel’s test with control (mock). *p < 0.05.

(C) Western blots of HA-C99 and cc-del(X) constructs stably expressed in MEF APP/C0/C0, revealed with the APP Cter (top) or the HA antibody (bottom).

(D) Egr-1 mRNA of MEF cells described above (C). Results (Means ± SEM; n = 3; N = 3) are given as fold induction compared to control (APP/C0/C0 mock).

(E) Bace1 mRNA of MEF cells described above (C). Results (Means ± SEM; n = 3; N = 3) are given as fold induction compared to control (APP/C0/C0 mock).

(F) AICD production in MEF APP/C0/C0 expressing cc-del(X) constructs. Total membrane extracts analyzed by Western blotting and revealed with APP Cter.

(G) Quantification of AICD. Results (Means ± SEM; n = 3, N = 2) are given as AICD:HA-C99 or AICD:cc-del(X) ratios, with AICD:HA-C99 ratio fixed at 1. Statistical analysis (D, E and G): one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s multiple comparison test. ns: non-significant, *: p < 0.05, **: p < 0.005, ***: p < 0.001, ****: p < 0.0001.
Figure 3. Regulation of the Transcriptional Activity by the cc-del7 Construct

(A) Cell lysates SH-SYSY cells stably expressing HA-C99 or cc-del(X) constructs analyzed by Western blotting and revealed with the APP Cter antibody.

(B) Egr-1 mRNA levels in SH-SYSY cells. Results (Means ± SEM; n = 3; N = 3) are given as fold induction compared to control (mock). Statistical analysis: one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s multiple comparison test. *p < 0.05.

(C) Rat cortical neurons were transduced with lentivirus expressing mock vector (GFP), HA-C99, cc-del6 and cc-del7 or non-infected (ni). Neuronal lysates were analyzed 4 days after infection by Western blotting and revealed APP Cter antibody (right).

(D) Egr-1 mRNA levels in primary neurons. Results (Means ± SEM; n = 3; N = 3) are given as fold induction compared to control the mock. Statistical analysis: one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s multiple comparison test. ns: non-significant, *p < 0.05.

(E) Mouse cortical neurons were transduced with lentivirus expressing HA-C99, cc-del6 and cc-del7 or non-infected (ni). Cells were stained with MAP2 neuronal marker (orange), HA antibody (green) and DAPI (blue) and analyzed by confocal microscopy. See also Figure S3.
expression profile was examined by immunoblotting with the Cter APP antibody (Figure 3C). In line with our results in cell lines, Egr-1 gene expression was significantly increased in primary neurons transduced with HA-C99 and cc-del7, confirming their gene regulation activity (Figure 3D).

APP dimerization is known to affect its subcellular localization (Eggert et al., 2009) and processing (Ben Khalifa et al., 2012). Confocal microscopy on differentiated SH-SYSY cells revealed that HA-C99 presented a diffuse expression. For the cc-del6 construct, the localization appeared mainly associated with the plasma membrane, while the cc-del7 seemed to exhibit predominantly intracellular localization (punctae) (Figure S3). We next examined whether these features were similar in primary neurons transduced with HA-C99, cc-del6 or cc-del7. HA-C99 and cc-del7 were essentially present in the soma with little membrane localization. In sharp contrast, cc-del6 seemed also present at the membrane and displayed important localization in neuronal processes (Figure 3E).

**cc-del6 Favors the Production of SDS-Resistant Oligomers by γ-Secretase Processing**

So far, the cc-del7 construct displayed unique features compared to other cc-del(X) proteins by promoting the release AICD associated with gene regulation. Apart from AICD, the processing of APP by γ-secretase produces a class of Aβ peptides directly involved in senile plaque formation. To assess in detail the amyloidogenic profile of all the cc-del(X) fusion proteins, CHO cells transiently expressing cc-del(X) constructs were lysed and separated by SDS-PAGE (sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis), then analyzed by Western blotting. The constructs were observed as monomers at the expected size (14-15 kDa) with the Cter antibody. Additional bands were detected at a lower size corresponding likely to a C83-like CTF (Figure 4A). Strikingly, the anti-HA antibody also detected a band around 28 kDa and 60 kDa uniquely for cc-del6. As we did not observe these bands with the Cter APP antibody, we infer the identities of these bands to be oligomeric “Aβ-like” species that we call “oligo**” or “oligo***” corresponding to the 28 kDa and 60 kDa, respectively. Importantly, expression of HA-C99 also led to the appearance of low levels of SDS-resistant 28 kDa oligomers, and those were also only recognized by the anti-HA antibodies, suggesting they lack C-term epitopes.

To analyze whether the abovementioned oligomeric bands were produced by γ-secretase activity, we generated cell lines stably expressing cc-del(X) in MEFs deficient for presenilin 1 and presenilin 2 (MEF dPSEN−/−), which are devoid of γ-secretase activity. The expression profile of cc-del(X) constructs was identical in MEF APP−/− cells (Figure 4B) and CHO cells (Figure 4A), with only MEF APP−/− cc-del6 exhibiting oligomeric bands around 28 kDa and 60 kDa. We compared the expression profiles of cc-del6 in MEF APP−/− and MEF dPSEN−/− cells. No oligomeric bands were observed in MEF dPSEN−/− cc-del6 cells, indicating that processing by the γ-secretase is mandatory for production of the SDS-resistant 28 kDa and 60 kDa oligomeric bands.

We further investigated whether oligomers detected in cell lysates are also released in the culture medium. The release of cc-del(X) fragments was measured in the supernatant of CHO cells by immunoprecipitation with anti-HA antibodies. We observed the presence of the two bands at 28 kDa and 60 kDa secreted in the supernatant for cc-del6 (Figure 4C) and also the presence of cc-del(X) monomers. Importantly, upon higher exposure, we noticed for all the cc-del(X) constructs, the presence of a band around 6 kDa. This band likely corresponds to the monomer of the Aβ-like protein (Aβ*) produced by the cc-del(X) constructs.

We next assessed whether these Aβ-like oligomers could also be detected in primary neurons transduced with lentiviruses expressing HA-C99, cc-del6 and cc-del7. Immunoblotting with the HA antibody (Figure 4D) showed that cc-del6 is able to form oligomers in primary neuronal cultures, like in the other cell lines tested.

**The Production of SDS-Resistant Oligomers Requires a Pro-β Motif and a Specific Orientation of Aβ-like Peptides**

CHO cells were also transfected with the constructs without the Put3 coiled coil system, differing only by the precise deletions in C99 (Figure S4A). This was performed in order to investigate whether the oligomerization profile of C99 is impacted by the deletions in the absence of the Put3 coiled coil. All constructs up to del5 expressed SDS-resistant oligomers in cell lysates (Figure S4B). Further deletions (del6-9) impaired insertion in the membrane as the TM segment became too short ( unlike the Put3 fusions which always provide extra Leu residues) and did not give rise to any oligomers. These results indicate that progressive deletions at the outset of the TM domain do not by themselves induce oligomerization and that Put3 by
Figure 4. cc-del6 Orientation in Conjunction with pro-β Motif Favors the Production of SDS-Resistant Oligomers by γ-Secretase Processing

(A) Analysis of the profile of cc-del(X) constructs in denaturing conditions. Cells lysates were analyzed by Western blotting and revealed with APP Cter antibody (left) or HA antibody (right).

(B) Western blot of MEF APP+/+, MEF double knockout for presenilin 1 and 2 (dPSEN+/−/−). Detection was performed using the HA-tag antibody.

(C) Analysis of extracellular media. Immunoprecipitation was performed on the supernatant. CHO cells were expressing HA-C99 and cc-del(X) constructs, analyzed by Western blottting and revealed with HA antibody (top). At high exposure (bottom), monomeric Aβ-like (Aβ*) was detected.

(D) Neuronal lysates were analyzed 4 days after infection by Western blotting and revealed with HA antibody.

(E) Top: Representation of HA-C42/43 and cc-Aβ42/43 constructs. Bottom: Analysis of the profile of truncated forms (C42/C43) of cc-del(X) constructs and Aβ-like peptides (top) in denaturing conditions. Cell lysates were analyzed by Western blotting and revealed with HA antibody (bottom).

See also Figures S4–S6.
providing the required hydrophobicity allows membrane insertion, cell-surface localization, in addition to imposing precise orientations.

The expression of cc-del(X) in dimeric form was verified by transient transfection in CHO cells by blue native PAGE with C-ter APP antibody and the presence of oligomers with HA antibody (Figure S4C). The presence of bands at the expected size around 20 kDa (C-ter antibody) confirmed that all cc-del(X) fusion proteins are able to form dimers. We also observed (with the HA antibody) the presence of bands around 66 kDa for most constructs with highest levels in cc-del3, cc-del5 and cc-del6. Those results, correlated to the previous results (Figure 4A), show that although all the constructs are detected as dimers in native conditions, only cc-del6 is able to form SDS-resistant oligomers. Importantly, oligomers induced by other constructs, notably cc-del3 and cc-del5, are not SDS-resistant.

Next, we used the Tango algorithm to assess whether the addition of Put3 could provide an environment favoring the production of the SDS-resistant oligomers observed upon cc-del6 processing. The Tango algorithm predicts the cross-β aggregation propensity of amino acids sequences (referred to as pro-β motif) based on physico-chemical principles. Its accuracy was previously validated on a set of 250 peptides including the amyloid beta peptide (Fernandez-Escamilla et al., 2004). As expected, the C-terminal segment of the different forms of Aβ-like peptides was predicted to have a high propensity for cross-β aggregation in all cc-del(X) constructs (Figure S6A). In cc-del0 to cc-del2, all or part of the 16LVFF20 motif (due to the single amino acid deletion) was also predicted to have a high propensity for cross-β aggregation (Figure S5A), in agreement with previous studies (Fernandez-Escamilla et al., 2004). Strikingly, cc-del6 was the only construct beyond cc-del2 to contain a pro-β motif, 20ALLLV24, according to the algorithm.

The difference between cc-del6 and cc-del7 was the Val at position 24 present in cc-del6 and coming from endogenous C99 sequence, but deleted in cc-del7. We mutated Val24 in cc-del6 to Ala and Gly25 to Val in cc-del7 (Figure S5B) to assess whether this motif (ALLLV) was key for the production of SDS-resistant oligomers. The mutations were predicted to reduce cross-β aggregation propensity in cc-del6 and increase cross-β aggregation in cc-del7 without changing their dimeric TM orientation (Figure S5C). Strikingly, the V24A mutation in cc-del6 resulted in total disappearance of SDS-resistant oligomers (Figure S5D). In contrast, the presence of this pro-β motif in cc-del7 did not result in the release of SDS-resistant oligomers (Figure S5D). To assess whether this motif could be sufficient to form SDS-resistant oligomers in other constructs, we inserted the ALLLV motif in all constructs from cc-del0 to cc-del7 while keeping their respective orientation. With the exception of cc-del6, no other orientation led to the accumulation of SDS-resistant oligomers upon processing, even in the presence of the pro-β ALLLV motif (Figure S6). This motif was thus required, but not sufficient for the production of SDS-resistant oligomers, and the precise dimeric orientation imposed by cc-del6 appeared to be essential as well.

To discriminate the contribution of different TM orientations of Aβ-like peptides from that of different lines of cleavage possibly followed by cc-del6 and cc-del7, we created truncated forms of HA-C99, cc-del6, and cc-del7 constructs (with and without the predicted mutations) by adding a stop codon at position 42 and 43 (amyloid beta numbering) (Figure 4E, top). These constructs will be equivalent to the products of cleavage via either the 42 or the 43 lines. As expected, direct transfection of WT C42 and C43 led to the production of SDS-resistant oligomers. The direct transfection of truncated forms of cc-del6 at position 42 and 43 both led to the production of a much larger amount of SDS-resistant oligomers (Figure 4E). In line with our previous results, the V24A mutation blocked the formation of SDS-resistant oligomers in the cc-del6 context (Figure 4E). Importantly, direct transfection of the truncated forms of cc-del7 with or without the G25V mutation did not result in the apparition of SDS-resistant oligomeric bands (Figure 4E). The cc-del6 orientation thus appeared required for the stability of these oligomers.

**cc-del6 and cc-del7 Are Differentially Processed by PSEN1 and PSEN2-Dependent γ-Secretase**

Presenilins (PSEN1 or PSEN2) form the catalytic subunits of γ-secretases. PSEN2-dependent γ-secretase is mainly localized in endosomes and lysosomes, whereas PSEN1-dependent γ-secretase is more present at the cell membrane (Sannerud et al., 2016). Our confocal images suggested that cc-del7 had a more intracellular localization, possibly in endo-lysosomal compartments, whereas cc-del6 was more present at the cell membrane and in neuronal processes in primary neurons (Figures 3E and S3). To assess whether cc-del6 and cc-del7 were differentially processed by PSEN1 and PSEN2-dependent γ-secretase, we infected
MEF cells deficient for presenilin 1 (PSEN1−/−), presenilin 2 (PSEN2−/−), or both (dPSEN−/−), with C99, cc-del6, cc-del7 or a mock lentiviral vector (Figure 5A). Within these stable cell lines, qPCR was used to measure the expression of the two AICD target genes associated with cc-del7 processing. Strikingly, both Egr1 and Bace1 expression, previously shown to be increased upon cc-del7 processing (Figures 2D and 2E), was higher in PSEN1−/− cells in comparison to PSEN2−/− cells. A statistically significant increase in both Egr1 and Bace1 expression could only be observed in PSEN1−/− cells infected with either C99 or cc-del7 but not in PSEN1−/− infected with cc-del6 (Figures 5B and 5C). These results are in line with the

![Figure 5. Differential Processing of cc-del6 and cc-del7 by PSEN1 and PSEN2](image-url)

(A) Western blots of HA-C99, cc-el6, and cc-del7 constructs stably expressed in MEF WT, PSEN1−/−, or PSEN2−/−, revealed with the APP Cter antibody.

(B) Egr-1 mRNA of MEF cells described above (A). Results (Means ± SEM; n = 3; N = 3) are given as fold induction compared to control (dPSEN−/−).

(C) Bace1 mRNA of MEF cells described above (A). Results (Means ± SEM; n = 3; N = 3) are given as fold induction compared to control (dPSEN−/−).

(D) Western blots of HA-C99, cc-el6 and cc-del7 constructs stably expressed in MEF WT, PSEN1−/− or PSEN2−/−, revealed with the anti-HA antibody.

(E) Quantification of oligo*. Results (Means ± SEM; n = 4; N = 2) are given as oligo*:CTF-cc-del6 ratios, with oligo*:CTF-cc-del6 WT ratio fixed at 1. Statistical analysis (B, C and E): one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s multiple comparison test. ns: non-significant, *: p < 0.05, **: p < 0.005, ***: p < 0.001, ****: p < 0.0001.

See also Figure S3.
idea that cc-del6 and cc-del7 are targeted to different subcellular compartments and suggest that cc-del7 orientation favors PSEN2-dependent γ-secretase processing.

We further tested whether the profile of SDS-resistant oligomers associated with cc-del6 was impacted by the knock-out of PSEN1 or PSEN2. Western blot analysis with an anti-HA antibody revealed more than 200% increased accumulation of SDS-resistant oligomers in PSEN2−/− cells infected with cc-del6 (Figure 5D). Still, oligomers were detected in PSEN1−/− cells indicating that they can be produced by either PS1- or PS2-dependent γ-secretase complexes. The higher accumulation of SDS-resistant oligomers in PSEN2−/− MEFs in comparison to WT MEFs infected with cc-del6 tends to indicate that oligomers are preferentially produced by PS1-dependent γ-secretases, PSEN1 being slightly upregulated in PSEN2-deficient cells (Stanga et al., 2018).

**cc-del6 and cc-del7 Orientations Favors Distinct Processing Lines by Tripeptide Cleavage by γ-Secretase**

A key goal was to assess whether different dimeric TM orientations of C99 favored distinct processing lines. We first created a specific protocol to identify the composition of the oligomers produced upon cc-del6 processing by mass spectrometry analysis (Figure S7A). The oligomeric bands observed from the medium after IP (Figure S7A arrow 1) can be disrupted with formic acid (Figure S7A arrow 2). The elutes obtained after IP with formic acid were analyzed by mass spectrometry (Figure S7A arrow 3). The oligomeric bands were composed of Aβ(1−40) that contained residues 40 and 43 of the Aβ sequence at the C-terminus (C40 and C43, respectively) (Figure 6A). Mass spectrometry data are shown in Figure S8. Next, we assessed whether our fusion proteins followed the tripeptide cleavage model of γ-secretase and that cc-del6 thus followed the processing line Aβ(1−40)→Aβ(1−43)→Aβ(1−46)→Aβ(1−48). According to Bolduc et al. (2016), presenilins contain three putative S′ pockets that can each accommodate one amino acid at a time and occupancy of these three pockets precedes cleavage of a tripeptide. The S1′ and S3′ pockets are large and can easily accommodate any amino acids. The smaller S2′ pocket is less prone to accommodate large aromatic residues like phenylalanine. As a consequence, placing an aromatic residue at a given position is predicted to reduce overall cleavage beyond this point, although it was shown that γ-secretase was able to skip multiple residues to cleave at a further position (Bolduc et al., 2016). If cc-del6 follows the tripeptide cleavage model, mutating the amino acids at position 44 and 45 to phenylalanines would decrease further processing and result in accumulation of C46. Similarly, preventing initial e-cleavage by mutating the residues at position 50-51 to phenylalanine should reduce overall processing.

In agreement with these predictions, we observed decreased production of SDS-resistant oligomers in presence of the V50F-M51F mutation in cc-del6 and absence of such oligomers in HA-C99 (Figure 6B). In contrast, the V44F-I45F mutation led to increased accumulation of SDS-resistant oligomers in HA-C99 and even more importantly in cc-del6 (Figure 6B). In order to identify the composition of these oligomers produced upon cc-del6 processing, we used the protocol described above for mass spectrometry analysis of cell lysates after IP (Figure S7B). Optimization of the detection enabled the identification of the C-termi

us of C46, C43 and C40 in the lysates of cells expressing cc-del6 V50F-M51F (Figure S6C). In contrast, the band observed in cells expressing cc-del6 V44F-I45F was mainly composed of C46 containing the V44F-I45F mutation. We also detected a small amount of C45 with the V44F-I45F mutation (Figures 6C and S9), which can be interpreted as a side effect of the substitution to phenylalanines. Mass spectrometry data are given in Figures S9 and S10. Together, these results give strong evidence that cc-del6 obeys the tripeptide cleavage of γ-secretase and that it follows the Aβ46→Aβ43→Aβ40 processing line.

We also investigated the processing of cc-del7. We reasoned that if the cc-del6 orientation promoted the line of cleavage starting at position 49, a rotation of 110° counter-clockwise, like in cc-del7, bringing the more distal 33Gly-x-x-37 in the interface, would lead to less tilt and thus an initial cleavage upstream at position 48 and thereby to the Aβ42 processing line. cc-del7 produced oligomers based on native gels, but the instability of Aβ-like peptide oligomers in SDS did not allow the acquisition of conclusive results by mass spectrometry analysis with a similar protocol as the one used for cc-del6, although suggestive data indicated the possibility of the Aβ48 line of cleavage.

To enhance the chance of purifying cc-del7-derived Aβ-like peptides and possibly aggregates/oligomers of cc-del7 products, we used MEFs knock-out for either PSEN1 or PSEN2 that we infected with cc-del7. It has been shown that in such MEFs, compensatory overexpression of the remaining PSEN occurs (Stanga et al., 2018).
Figure 6. Processing Lines Favored by cc-del6 and cc-del7 Orientations

(A) Mass spectrometry analysis of oligomers from culture medium produced upon cc-del6 processing after disruption with formic acid. The results are summarized in the table. XCorr values are given for MS/MS spectra identified by Sequest. Spectra are available in Figure S9.

(B) Analysis of the profile of HA-C99, cc-del6, and cc-del7 constructs with or without the V44F-I45F and V50F-M51F mutations in denaturing conditions. Cells lysates were analyzed by Western blotting and revealed with APP Cter antibody (left) or HA antibody (right).

(C) Mass spectrometry analysis of oligomers from cell lysates produced upon cc-del6 processing with the V44F-I45F or V50F-M51F mutations after disruption with formic acid. The results are summarized in the table. XCorr values are given for MS/MS spectra identified by Sequest. Spectra or PRM traces are available in Figures S9 and S10.

(D) Mass spectrometry analysis of Commassie Blue positive bands detected in PSEN1/−/− or PSEN2/−/− MEFs infected with cc-del7 (Figure S11). The results are summarized in the table. PRM traces results are available in Figure S12. See also Figures S7–S12.
et al., 2018), possibly triggering the processing of APP substrates. In addition, a recent study showed that longer Aβ forms (Aβ42) were preferentially produced by PS1 and PS2 in endocytic compartments to generate an intracellular Aβ pool (Sannerud et al., 2016). This observation is fitting with our data indicating that Aβ-like peptides produced by cc-deletion processing accumulate in cell lysates. In addition, PS1 and PS2 have been involved in autophagy and lysosomal proteolysis (Lee et al., 2010; Neely et al., 2011; Coen et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2012); their absence thus favors the accumulation of cleavage products that would normally be degraded by these pathways. Confocal microscopy also showed large accumulation of HA-positive intracellular vesicles in SH-SY5Y cells infected with cc-del7 (Figure S3), suggesting that cc-del7 associated oligomers could be present in stress granules that could tend to accumulate when phagocytosis is impaired.

We detected large accumulation of aggregated proteins by Ponceau red and by Coomassie Blue staining in MEFs PS1 or PS2 KO infected with cc-del7 (Figure S11). These oligomeric bands were relatively stable in NP-40 but sensitive to SDS unlike cc-del6 oligomers. We analyzed the Coomassie blue positive band, ranging from 49-62 kDa and recognized by HA antibodies, by mass spectrometry. We detected with high levels of confidence C36 and C39 peptides in these bands (Figures 6D and S12). More specifically, mass spectrometry showed the presence of C39 and C36 in PSEN1 --/-- cc-del7 and C39 in PSEN2 --/-- cc-del7. These fragments were not detected in a band of the corresponding size from non-infected MEFs. In line with our hypothesis, mass spectrometry analysis also detected the presence of p62, a marker of autophagosome, in Coomassie Blue positive bands in both PSN1 and PSN2 KO MEFs infected with cc-del7 but not in negative control. Together, these results indicated that products from the Aβ42->Aβ39 processing line are detectable in cells expressing the cc-del7 construct.

A Model for the Choice of γ-Secretase Cleavage Lines as a Function of Dimeric Orientation

A model of the dimeric orientations adopted by cc-del7 and cc-del6 based on the solved structure of the TM domain of APP (pdb: 2LOH) and modified according to (Sato et al., 2009; Zhou et al., 2019) is shown in Figure 7. We hypothesize that dimerization via the Gly-x-x-x-Gly motifs will affect the accessibility and configuration for initial binding of γ-secretase on dimeric C99 by exposing ε-sites and, subsequently, γ-sites for AICD and Aβ release, respectively. Specifically, as a function of which Gly-x-x-x-Gly motif is in the interface, the tilt of the helices will differ. Crossing at the N-terminus of the TM domains (for cc-del6) with Gly25 and Gly29 in interfacial “d” and “a” positions will result in a higher tilt at the C-terminus, when compared to crossing in the middle of the TM domain (for cc-del7). A higher tilt will lead to a lower position exposed up at the ε-cleavage site. After initial binding to the PS complex as a dimer, the dimeric substrate would be uncoupled to allow processing.

In Figure 7 (left panel), with the helical lateral view, we show that the C99 cc-del6 dimer contains the 25Gly-x-x-x-Gly37 motif in the interface, which forms a more upstream crossing interface that requires a higher tilt of the helices. This exposes the amide bond of an amino acid further down the helix, at or after residue 49 within the ε-site. At those positions, the helix is already unraveled, as we have proven for our constructs as well with the L49C cross-linking (Figure S1). Cleavage at residue 49 would release AICD and process up-stream at the y43 and y40 sites for releasing Aβ43 and Aβ40-like peptides, respectively (Qi-Takahara et al., 2005; Takami et al., 2009). The model that we propose fits very well with the experimental data in cell free assays for AICD production and mass spectrometry for the Aβ isoforms production, where we see for cc-del6 a preferential cleavage at C46, C43, and C40.

In Figure 7 (right panel), with the helical lateral view, we show that C99 cc-del7 contains 33Gly-x-x-x-Gly37 in the interface with Gly33 and Gly37 interfacial “d” and “a” positions. Our data in MEFs deficient for PSN1 or PSN2 suggest that initial cleavage could occur at residue 48. This residue would be preferred in this more parallel dimer. Following tripeptide cleavage by γ-secretase, such initial cleavage at ε-site 48 would favor the Aβ42 processing line and an interesting overlap between signaling and generation of Aβ42 could be invoked.

DISCUSSION

Our main observations are that C99 TM domain dimerization affects its cleavage by γ-secretase, and that different functional outcomes in terms of signaling and Aβ oligomerization depend on two of the seven possible symmetric dimeric orientations of the C99 TM domain, before and after processing. We have employed coiled coils to impose precise dimeric interfaces. We validated the predicted interfaces by Cys-cross-linking. We found that one dimeric interface (cc-del7) leads to enhanced release of AICD associated with Egr-1 gene expression as well as its downstream target Bace1. This orientation favors initial cleavage
at position 48 and thereby the Aβ42 processing line. Another orientation, cc-del6 generates Aβ-like peptides that form SDS-resistant oligomers. Cleavage of cc-del6 at residue 49 leads to formation of SDS-resistant oligomers ending at positions 43 and 40 of Aβ. SDS-resistance of these oligomers requires the presence of a pro-β motif in addition to a precise dimeric orientation of Aβ-like peptides.

The two interfaces are related and rotated relative to each other by 110°. cc-del7 forms a dimer that crosses the TM helices at the middle 33Gly-x-x-x-Gly 37 TM motif, while cc-del6 crosses the helices upstream at the 25Gly-x-x-Gly 29. Our data and model argue that the initial cleavage at the ε-site differs because the tilt of helices differs exposing different sites to the γ-secretase, the higher the tilt in cc-del6 dimer, the lower start of cleavage (at 49).

Importantly, the cc-del7 fusion protein exhibits the same dimeric interface as cc-del0, but with a shorter extracellular region. It has been reported that the 16LVFF 20 motif forms a β-sheet that prevents processing of C99 by γ-secretase (Hu et al., 2017; Tian et al., 2010). This particular motif is absent in the cc-del7, but...
In pathological conditions, it is possible that the generated Aβ peptides, like Aβ42, might then change their orientation by rotating clockwise 110° to the same orientation where 33Gly-x-x-Gly37 motif would come into the interface, corresponding to the orientation of cc-del6, and form stable Aβ oligomers. The shift from cc-del7 to cc-del6 would result in shifting the helix crossing point from Gly33x-x-x-G37 closer up to the extracellular JM region at 25Gly-x-x-Gly29. Such an upward shift might alter interactions with membrane lipids (Zhou et al., 2019) and favor SDS resistance of the released Aβ peptides, just like in the case where the initial cleavage would occur at or after residue 49. The proportions of C99 that adopt one or the other dimeric interfaces might depend on their precise intracellular localization, membrane composition, pH, and temperature, as the precise interaction between the γ-secretase and its substrates, especially intermediates between Aβ49 and Aβ40 were shown to depend on temperature (Szaruga et al., 2017).

Because oligomers are nucleated by the coiled coil helices, we suggest the possibility that such oligomers might adopt transient α-helical structure during initial nucleation. We showed that SDS resistance is reminiscent of the similar resistance of the glycophorin A TM dimer (Bormann et al., 1989) and requires (i) close apposition of the mediated by close apposition of a specific 25Gly-x-x-Gly29 motif in the interface and (ii) a pro-β motif for secondary structure stabilization as suggested by the work of Misra et al. (2016). The fusion of Put3 coiled coil with the TM-cytosolic domain of C99 generates a novel sequence where Val24 of C99 is key for oligomerization, allowing the reconstitution of a pro-β motif. This is line with previous findings that substitution of Val17 (among others) in the 16LVFF20 motif similarly impedes SDS-resistance (Hilbich et al., 1992). Interestingly, SDS-resistant Aβ oligomers were detected in brains from Alzheimer’s patients (Roher et al., 1996).

According to our model and to the cross-linking data in Figure S1, the cc-del6 construct exhibits a particular orientation in which two upstream glycines, Gly25 and 29 of the 25Gly-x-x-Gly29 motif are in the interface in “d” and “a” positions. Thus, we suggest that while these Gly residues mediate helix crossing before cleavage, they might also mediate initial nucleation of oligomers after cleavage. The observation that the other cc-del(X) fusion proteins exhibiting different orientations also dimerize (Figure S4C), produce Aβ-like monomers, but do not lead to the formation of SDS-resistant oligomers, even in presence of a...
pro-β motif (Figure S6), shows that the precise dimeric TM orientation with a particular Gly-x-x-Gly motif in the interface is key for the production of stable Aβ-like oligomers.

We show that cc-del6 obeys the tripeptide cleavage by γ-secretase, with processing likely starting at position 49, trimming in sections of three residues occurs (Bolduc et al., 2016), allowing the formation of Aβ46, -43 and -40. Several studies have shown that APP amyloidogenic processing leads to higher Aβ40 production compared to Aβ42 (10:1 ratio), but one study has demonstrated that Aβ43 is more common than Aβ40 in amyloid plaque cores found in AD brains (Welander et al., 2009). The aggregation propensity and potential pathogenic role of Aβ43 have been suggested (Saito et al., 2011; Veugelen et al., 2016) and recently documented (Szaruga et al., 2017). We suggest that different TM orientations can result in different lines of cleavage but that production of stable, SDS-resistant oligomers is linked with the specific TM orientation imposed in cc-del6 together with the presence of a central pro-β motif such as the 20ALLLV24 present in cc-del6 sequence or the 16LVFF20 motif of the human Aβ sequence.

The role of the precise dimerization of the C99 TM dimerization in signaling and processing might open novel therapeutic avenues to limit amyloidogenic processing. We posit that the identification of pathological conformations of C99 dimers could pave the way for the design of small molecules, nanobodies or monobodies which would intracellularly target specific conformation of C99 dimers associated with amyloidogenic processing. Sencanski et al. (2019) recently described the use of nanobodies to selectively target the active conformation of the β2-adrenergic receptor. Together with their high specificity, the small size of such biomolecular tools could provide interesting therapeutic avenues for intracellular targeting of pathological protein conformations, including in the context of Alzheimer’s Disease. These results might also lead to the identification of the physiologic targets of APP signaling, which remain poorly known as does the physiological ligand for APP and related proteins APLP1 and APLP2. One provocative scenario is that in aged individuals a higher degree of signaling by APP is required to compensate for age-related hypoxia and senescence. Such overuse of the pathway modeled by cc-del7 would drive formation of pathogenic amyloidogenic peptides and possibly induce a self-perpetuating amyloidogenic loop by increasing the expression of Egr1 and its downstream target Bace1. Cholesterol is also known to affect the conformation and stability of C99 dimers (Tang et al., 2014; Dominguez et al., 2016). An alternative scenario is that the hypercholesterolemia observed in mouse models (Umeda et al., 2012) and in postmortem analysis of the brain of patients with AD (Lazar et al., 2012) could favor conformation of C99 dimers recapitulating cc-del6 and cc-del7. We suggest that our fusion protein cc-del7 could become a model of constitutive active APP or Aβ useful for identification of physiologic APP signaling targets. Finally, this model would also explain pathologic signaling by Familial cases of Alzheimer’s disease (FAD), where certain mutations favor dimerization via the Gly-x-x-Gly motifs like cc-del7 (Tang et al., 2014). Such mutations were recently also reported as somatic acquired mutations in sporadic Alzheimer’s cases, thus increasing the relevance of understanding the mechanisms behind the pathogenicity of FAD mutations (Lee et al., 2018).

**Limitations of the Study**

Despite providing multiple lines of evidence for the role of APP TM dimeric orientation in the processing of C99 dimers and Aβ oligomerization properties, our study required the use of engineered coiled-coil constructs which reproduce all possible conformation of C99 TM dimers. Some of them might not be readily adopted in physiopathological conditions. The conclusions brought in this study should be explored in animal models using compound designed to modulate the natural C99 TM dimeric orientations. Finally, the precise localization of C99 dimers and their association with subcellular compartmentalization of PSEN1 and PSEN2 should be further investigated in subsequent studies.

**Resources Availability**

**Lead Contact**

Requests for further information and resources should be directed at the lead contact, Pr. Pascal Kienlen-Campard (pascal.kienlen-campar@uclouvain.be).

**Materials Availability**

Plasmids coding for our engineered coiled-coil constructs generated in this study will be made available on request, but we may require a payment and/or completed Materials Transfer Agreement if there is potential for commercial application.
Data and Code Availability
This study did not generate data set/code.
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All methods can be found in the accompanying Transparent Methods supplemental file.
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Transparent Methods

cDNA constructs
Wild type C99 with a HA-tag was cloned into the pLVX-CMV bicistronic retroviral vector. cDNAs coding for the ten fusion proteins cc-C9918-99 to cc-C9927-99 (referred to cc-del0 to cc-del9) and their associated mutants were generated by overlap extension using PCR with synthetic nucleotides. All constructs were sequenced by Macrogen.

Primary neuronal cultures
Primary cultures of cortical neurons were prepared from E18 rat (for western blots and qPCR experiments) or mouse (for confocal experiments) embryos. The cortex was dissected and dissociated in HBSS depleted of calcium and magnesium. HBSS (with calcium and magnesium) was added and the mixture centrifuged through Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS) for 10 min at 1000g to pellet cells. Cells were plated at a density of 1.6 x 10^6 cells/cm² (for western blots and qPCR experiments) or 100,000 cells/cm² (for confocal experiments) in culture dishes pre-treated with 10 µg/ml of poly-L-lysine in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and cultured for 7 days in vitro in Neurobasal® medium supplemented with 2% v/v B-27® supplement medium and 1mM L-glutamine (all from Life Technologies) at 37 °C, 5% CO₂ in a humidified incubator. Half of the medium was renewed every 2-3 days prior to infection.

Cell cultures and transfection
Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cell lines (ATCC) were grown in Ham’s F12 medium (Thermofisher Scientific) and were supplemented with 10% of FBS (Sigma-Aldrich). The MEF (Murine Embryonic Fibroblast) APP/- cell lines, MEF dPS/- (presenilin 1 and 2 knock out) and the human neuroblastoma SH-SY5Y cell lines (ATCC) were grown in DMEM/F12 medium (Thermofisher Scientific) and were supplemented with 10% of FBS. SH-SY5Y cells were differentiated into neurons with 10 µM of retinoic acid (Sigma-Aldrich) after 7 days. All cell cultures were maintained at 37 °C in a humidified incubator (5% CO₂). For transient transfection, cells were seeded at a density of 3.10^5 cells/well 24h before transfection (2 µg of pLVX-CMV DNA/well) with lipofectamine 2000™ according to the manufacturer’s instruction (Invitrogen). The SH-SY5Y were treated with 300 nM of trichostatin A (TSA) during 24h after differentiation prior to RNA extraction.
Cross-linking studies

The ten cc-del(X) fusion proteins were mutated by directed mutagenesis (Gly29 into Cys) to generate the cc-del(X) G29C constructs. After transfection, CHO cells were washed in PBS and resuspended in 1 ml of PBS containing 1 mM MgCl₂ and 0.1 mM CaCl₂. Cross-linking was performed with a final concentration of 100 μM of the crosslinker N, N'-1, 2-phenylenedimaleimide (o-PDM) dissolved in DMSO for 10 min at room temperature. Cells were lysed in NP40 lysis buffer containing 2% of β-mercaptoethanol to quench the reaction. Lysates were analyzed by Western blotting, with an antibody directed against the C-terminus fragment of APP (Cter).

Generation of cell lines

MEF and SH-SY5Y cell lines stably expressing the different cc-del(X) fusion proteins were established by viral transduction. Viruses were generated by transient transfection in HEK cells with the vectors psPAX2 and pMD2.G (Clontech) using the calcium phosphate method, according to the manufacturer’s instruction (Promega). Viruses were then concentrated with Centricon filter units (Merck Millipore). Infected cells were selected with 20 μM of puromycin for 1 week and maintained in culture with 2 μM of the antibiotic.

Western blotting and blue native gel electrophoresis

For SDS/LDS-PAGE electrophoresis, cells were commonly lysed in Laemmli 2X buffer. For isolation of Coomassie Blue positive bands associated with cc-del7, Laemmli lysis buffer was replaced with NP-40 lysis buffer. LDS sample buffer (ThermoFisher Scientific) was added to the lysates and the mix was boiled 10 minutes at 70°C. Proteins (25 µg) were separated on Bolt™ 4-16% Bis-Tris Protein Gels (Invitrogen) and transferred onto nitrocellulose membrane via iBlot gel transfer stacks (Invitrogen). For Blue Native PAGE, cells were lysed in native blue buffer, DDM 10% (Invitrogen) and 100X protease inhibitor (Pierce). After centrifugation (100,000 g, 1 h at 4 °C) supernatants were collected (15 µg of proteins) and 5% of sample buffer added. Protein lysates were separated using the Novex® NativePAGE™ Bis-Tris gel system (Invitrogen). The electrophoresis and transfer were performed as per manufacturer’s instructions. Membranes were incubated overnight at 4 °C with primary antibody at following dilutions: 1:1,000 anti-HA, [3F10] (Roche); 1:2,500 anti-Amyloid Precursor Protein [Y188] (referred to Cter - Abcam); 1:5,000 anti-Amyloid β, WO-2 MABN10 (Merck Millipore). Membranes were washed, and further incubated with the secondary antibody (1:5,000 anti-rat [7077S]; anti-rabbit [7074S]; anti-mouse [7076S] (Cell Signaling Technology)) conjugated
to horseradish peroxidase followed by ECL (Thermo Scientific). Detection of membranes was performed with the Vilber Fusion Solo S system.

**Immunoprecipitation and immunoblotting**

CHO cells were transfected with HA-C99 and cc-del(X) expression plasmids. The supernatant was collected, lyophilized, resuspended in water and pre-cleared with recombinant protein G agarose (Invitrogen). Immunoprecipitation of lysates was performed after lysis in NP-40 lysis buffer. Immunoprecipitation was performed with a monoclonal anti-HA antibody (Roche). Immunoblotting was performed as described above, using a different HA-Tag antibody (C29F4 Rabbit mAb #3724 - Cell Signaling Technology) as primary antibody.

**Mass spectrometry**

For the identification of peptides, samples were reduced and alkylated before digestion O/N with trypsin at 30 ℃ in 50 mM NH₄HCO₃ [pH 8.0]. Peptides were dissolved in solvent A (0.1% TFA in 2% ACN), directly loaded onto reversed-phase pre-column (Acclaim PepMap 100, Thermo Scientific) and eluted in backflush mode. Peptide separation was performed using a reversed-phase analytical column (Acclaim PepMap RSLC, 0.075 x 250 mm, Thermo Scientific) with a linear gradient of 4%-36% solvent B (0.1% FA in 98% ACN) for 36 min, 40%-99% solvent B for 10 min and holding at 99% for the last 5 min at a constant flow rate of 300 nl/min on an EASY-nLC 1000 UPLC system. The peptides were analyzed by an Orbitrap Fusion Lumos tridrid mass spectrometer (ThermoFisher Scientific). The peptides were subjected to NSI source followed by tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS) in Fusion Lumos coupled online to the UPLC. Intact peptides were detected in the Orbitrap at a resolution of 120,000. Data-dependent ions were selected for MS/MS using HCD setting at 35; ion fragments were detected in the lontrap. The resulting MS/MS data was processed using Sequest HT search engine within Proteome Discoverer 2.3 against a homemade protein database containing the sequences of HA-C99 and cc-del(X) expression plasmids. Trypsin was specified as cleavage enzyme allowing up to 2 missed cleavages, 2 modifications per peptide and up to 2 charges. Mass error was set to 10 ppm for precursor ions and 0.1 Da for fragment ions. Oxidation on Met was considered as variable modification. Peptide spectral matches (PSM) were filtered using charge-state versus cross-correlation scores (Xcorr) and manually validated. For Parallel Reaction Monitoring (PRM) experiments, a targeted mass list was included for the potential C-term sequences, considering potential oxidation of Met and charge state +2. MS1 spectra were obtained at a resolution of 120,000 with an AGC target of 1E6 ions and a maximum injection time of 50 ms, Targeted-MS2 spectra were acquired with
an AGC target of 5E4 ions and a maximum injection time of 100ms at a resolution of 30.000. Targeted-MS2 scans were analyzed by Skyline 19.1.0.193 and manually validated.

**Dual luciferase assays**

CHO cells were transfected as described above with the following plasmids: cc-del(X) vectors, Fe65, Gal4Tip60 and Gal4RE-luc as reporter gene, according to the procedure previously described (Huysseune et al., 2007). The firefly luciferase activity was standardized with the Renilla luciferase reporter pRLTK. Both luciferase activities were measured with the Dual Glo™ luciferase assay system (Promega).

**AICD detection**

MEF APP-/- cc-del(X) cells were grown in 10 cm dishes. Prior to lysis, cells were washed in PBS, collected in cold hypotonic buffer (1 mM MOPS, 1 mM KCl, pH 7.0) and incubated at 25 °C for 25 min. Mechanical lysis was performed by syringe and lysate was clarified at 1,000g for 15 min at 4 °C. The post nuclear fraction was centrifuged at 16,000g for 40 minutes. Pellets were solubilized in trisodium citrate (150 mM, pH 6.4). Samples were incubated either on ice (considered as time point 0 h) or at 37 °C for 2 h, then analyzed by Western blotting revealed with the APP Cter antibody. Quantification of AICD was performed with Bio-1D software.

**Assessment of γ-secretase ability to cleave stable dimers**

Cell lines were seeded at a density of 2 x 10^6 per 10 cm dish for 48 hours. They were washed twice with PBS and re-suspended in PBS with 1mM MgCl2 and 0.1 mM CaCl2 with 100 µM of the crosslinker N,N'1,2-phenylenedimaleimide (o-PDM) or DMSO (negative control) for 10 minutes at room temperature. Cells were then washed twice with PBS and collected in cold hypotonic buffer (1 mM MOPS, 1 mM KCl, pH 7.0) with 2% β-mercaptoethanol to quench the reaction and 100X protease inhibitor cocktail (Pierce). Lysates were subsequently processed for AICD detection as described above.

**RNA extraction and quantitative real time PCR**

Total RNA was purified using RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen). Reverse transcription (RT) was performed with the reverse transcriptase core kit using a T3 thermocycler (Westburg). Quantitative (q) real time PCR (q-RT PCR) was performed with the MESA Green qPCR MasterMix Plus for SYBR Assay® (Eurogentec) using the Applied Biosystems™
StepOnePlus™ Real-Time PCR System. The relative amplification of cDNA fragments was calculated by the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method with GAPDH as control.

**Confocal microscopy**

SH-SY5Y cells and mouse primary neurons expressing cc-del6, cc-del7 and HA-C99 were grown on chamber slides with coverglass (ThermoFischer Scientific) or on pre-coated poly-D-lysine coverslips in 24 well plates, respectively. SH-SY5Y cells were differentiated over 7 days with retinoic acid as described above. Cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 minutes, permeabilized, and then blocked with 0.5% Triton X-100 in phosphate-buffered saline containing 100 µg/mL of goat γ-globulin (Jackson Immunoresearch Laboratories) for 1 h at room temperature. Cells were incubated overnight at 4 °C with primary antibodies (rat anti-HA antibody, 1:500, Roche; mouse anti-MAP2 antibody, 1:1,000, Sigma-Aldrich) and then incubated for 1h at room temperature with DAPI and secondary antibodies (goat anti-rat IgG antibody coupled to Alexa 568, 1:500, Invitrogen/Life Technologies; goat anti-mouse IgG antibody coupled to Alexa 488, 1:500, Invitrogen/Life Technologies). Slides were examined by confocal microscopy using a confocal server spinning disc Zeiss platform equipped with a x100 objective.

**Statistical analysis**

The statistical analyses were performed with JMP pro 13 software. If more than two groups were compared, for parametric test ANOVA with post hoc tests as indicated were used or for non-parametric test Kruskall-Wallis with post hoc tests as indicated were used. (*, p < 0,05; **, p < 0,01; ***, p < 0,001). The number of biological replicate (n) analyzed is indicated in figure legends along with the number of independent experiment (N).

**Modeling dimers with different interfaces**

Helical wheel diagrams have been drawn from grigoryanlab website (https://grigoryanlab.org/). Modeling of the cc-del6 and cc-del7 were performed with PyMol software and readapted from the structure 2loh.pdb (Nadezhdin et al., 2012).
**Supplementary Figures**

**Supplementary Figure 1. Validation of the coiled-coil C99 fusion proteins.**

A. Representation of the cc-del(X) and the corresponding cc-del(X) G29C, G37C and L49C sequences with cc-del0 as an example. Residues deleted in cc-del(X) constructs are in grey. Introduction of cysteine at position 29 (G29C) is highlighted in red. The mutation allows crosslinking with N,N'-1,2-phenylenedimaleimide (o-PDM) if two cysteines residues are close enough. B. Alpha helical prediction of the precise position of amino acids residues with the cysteine mutation at Gly29. Cross-linking predictions are indicated by a color code associated to the position Cys29 in the helical diagram. Cys29 is indicated in red, blue or green if it is present at the interface, at the border of the interface or outside the interface, respectively. C. Crosslinking study on living cells. CHO cells were transfected with the ten cc-del(X) G29C vectors or four cc-del(X) G37C vectors and treated (+) or not (-) o-PDM. Cell lysates were analyzed by Western Blotting and revealed with APP Cter antibody. D. Crosslinking study on living cells. CHO cells were transfected with the ten cc-del(X) L49C vectors and treated (+) or not (-) with o-PDM. Cell lysates were analyzed by Western Blotting and revealed with APP Cter antibody. Top: high exposure, bottom: low exposure. Related to Figure 1.

**Supplementary Figure 2. Assessment of γ-secretase ability to cleaved crosslinked dimers.**

A. Representative Western Blot of MEFs cells APP-/- stably expressing the cc-del(6) construct with the G29C mutations analyzed by (L)SDS-PAGE followed by immunoblot with 1:1000 anti C-terminal antibody [Y188] (Abcam) to detect crosslinked dimers and cc-del(6)/CTFs (low exposure) and AICD release (long exposure). B. Representative Western Blot of MEFs cells stably expressing the cc-del(6) construct without the G29C mutations analyzed by (L)SDS-PAGE followed by immunoblot with 1:1000 anti C-terminal antibody [Y188] (Abcam) to assess the effect of o-PDM on γ-secretase activity. Related to Figure 1.

**Supplementary Figure 3. Subcellular localization of cc-del(X) in SH-SY5Y cells**

Cells were differentiated by retinoic acid treatment for 7 days, stained with HA antibody (green) and DAPI (blue) and analyzed by confocal microscopy. White arrows indicated localization of cc-del(X) constructs. Related to Figure 3 and 5.
Supplementary Figure 4. Oligomerization properties of C99\textsuperscript{n-99} and cc-C99\textsuperscript{n-99}.

A. Deletions of amino acids 18 to 27 in the C99 sequence followed by fusion with HA-tag in the N-terminal part. B. Profile of deletion in the N-terminal of C99. CHO cells were transiently transfected with mock vector, HA-C99 and one of the ten truncated C99 (where n is the first amino acid of the sequence). Cells were lysed and analyzed by SDS-PAGE followed by immunoblotting with Cter APP antibody (top) or HA antibody (bottom). C. Profile of coiled coil in native condition. CHO cells were transiently transfected with cDNA of mock vector, HA-C99 and the ten coiled coil constructs. Cells were lysed and analyzed on native page followed by immunoblotting with APP Cter antibody (top) or HA antibody (bottom). Related to Figure 4.

Supplementary Figure 5. \(\beta\)-aggregation propensity of cc-del(X) constructs.

A. Beta-aggregation propensity prediction using the Tango algorithm (Fernandez-Escamilla et al., 2004) for cc-del(X) constructs. B. Partial sequence of cc-del6/7 constructs. The additional amino acid (Val\textsuperscript{24}) present in cc-del6 compared to cc-del7 is shown in bracket. Mutations are indicated by arrows. Amino acid sequences predicted to have a high propensity for cross-\(\beta\) aggregation are in bold. C. \(\beta\)-aggregation propensity prediction of cc-del6 and cc-del7 with and without the V24A and G25V mutations, respectively. Analysis done using the Tango algorithm (Fernandez-Escamilla et al., 2004). D. Analysis of the profile of cc-del(X) constructs and A\(\beta\)-like peptides in denaturing conditions. CHO cells were transiently transfected with mock vector, HA-C99, the cc-del6 and cc-del7 constructs with or without the indicated mutation or their respective truncated forms at position 42 or 43. Cell lysates were analyzed by Western Blotting and revealed with HA antibody. Related to Figure 4.

Supplementary Figure 6. Effect of pro-\(\beta\) ALLLV motif in cc-del(x) constructs.

Analysis of the profile of cc-del(X) constructs with or without the pro-\(\beta\) ALLLV motif in denaturing conditions. CHO cells were transiently transfected with mock vector, HA-C99 or cc-del(x) constructs with or without the pro-\(\beta\) ALLLV motif. Cell lysates were analyzed by Western Blotting and revealed with HA antibody. Related to Figure 4.

Supplementary Figure 7. Sample preparation for mass spectrometry.

A. Sample preparation for mass spectrometry (MS) analysis of oligomers associated with cc-del6. Conditioned media of CHO cells expressing cc-del6 were immunoprecipitated and analyzed by Western blotting before (1) or after treatment with formic acid (2). Samples treated
with formic acid were further analyzed by tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS). B. Sample preparation for mass spectrometry (MS) analysis for oligomers associated for cc-del6 V44F-I45F and cc-del6 V50F-M51F. Cell lysates of CHO cells expressing cc-del6 were immunoprecipitated as described in (A) and analyzed by Western blotting before (1) or after treatment with formic acid (2). Samples treated with formic acid were further analyzed by tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS) (3). For each condition, the lysates of three confluent 15cm² dishes were pooled. Related to Figure 6.

Supplementary Figure 8. Identification of Aβ C-terminus in cc-del6 by LC-MS/MS.

Identification of C40 and C43 peptides by LC-MS/MS. The LC-MS spectrum shows MS² obtained from a +2 charged parent ion of m/z 543.3223 (C40) and 693.902 (C43) respectively, which upon HCD fragmentation gave rise to the y- and b- series of daughter ions allowing confirmation of the identified peptide sequences. Related to Figure 6.

Supplementary Figure 9. Identification of Aβ C-terminus in cc-del6 V44F-I45F by LC-MS/MS.

A. Identification of C45 and C46 peptides by LC-MS/MS. The upper LC-MS/MS spectrum shows MS² obtained from a +2 charged parent ion of m/z 832.982 (C45), which upon HCD fragmentation gave rise to the y- and b- series of daughter ions allowing confirmation of the identified peptide sequences. The lower chromatogram shows peak area contribution from a +2 precursor charged parent ion of m/z 882.5069 (C46) (small insert) and the corresponding coeluting transitions for 10 y- and b-daughter ions determined by a PRM experiment. B. Relative quantification of C45 and C46 peptides. The panels show the precursor ions intensities from C45 and C46 parent ions determined from the PRM experiment. Related to Figure 6.

Supplementary Figure 10. Identification of Aβ C-terminus in cc-del6 V50F-M51F by LC-MS/MS.

Identification of C40, C43 and C46 peptides by LC-MS/MS. The upper LC-MS/MS spectrum shows MS² obtained from a +2 charged parent ion of m/z 543.3228 (C40), which upon HCD fragmentation gave rise to the y- and b- series of daughter ions allowing confirmation of the identified peptide sequences. The lower chromatograms show peak area contribution from a +2 precursor charged parent ion of m/z 693.9118 (C43) or 847.5111 (C46) (small inserts) and
the corresponding coeluting transitions for y- and b-daughter ions determined by a PRM experiment. Related to Figure 6.

**Supplementary Figure 11. Coomassie Blue Staining of MEFs infected with cc-del(x).**

Coomassie Blue Staining of MEF cells WT, PSEN1 -/- or PSEN2 -/- infected with C99, cc-del6 or cc-del7 in denaturing conditions. Related to Figure 6.

**Supplementary Figure 12. Identification of Aβ C-terminus in cc-del7 by LC-MS/MS.**

Identification of C39 and C36 peptides by LC-MS/MS. The chromatogram shows peak area contribution from a +2 precursor charged parent ion of m/z 493.7875 (C39) or m/z 387.2322 (C36) (small inserts) and the corresponding coeluting transitions for y- and b-daughter ions determined by a PRM experiment. Related to Figure 6.
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