Enhanced anticancer effect of oncostatin M combined with salinomycin in CD133⁺ HepG2 liver cancer cells
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Abstract. Oncostatin M (OSM) induces the differentiation of liver cancer stem cells (LCSCs) and increases sensitivity to the chemotherapeutic agent 5-fluorouracil, whereas salinomycin (Sal) induces apoptosis in cancer stem cells and inhibits the proliferation of liver cancer cells. However, there have been no studies investigating the anticancer effects of combination treatment with OSM and Sal. In the present study, we investigated the synergistic effects of OSM and Sal on LCSCs, the CD133⁺ subpopulations from HepG2 human liver cancer cells. CD133⁺ LCSCs were isolated using an immunomagnetic bead technique and identified through colony formation. After incubating with OSM and Sal, the ability of LCSC proliferation and invasion, as well as apoptosis rates were evaluated, and the expression of stemness-related genes was examined by quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction. Additionally, the secretion of α-fetoprotein (AFP) and albumin (ALB) were analyzed by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. Our results indicated that OSM combined with Sal significantly suppressed LCSC proliferation and invasion and induced apoptosis, as determined by flow cytometry and increases in cleaved caspase-3 levels detected by western blotting. The results of the JC-1 staining assay indicated that this effect involved the mitochondrial pathway. Moreover, combination treatment reduced the expression of CD133 in LCSCs and suppressed stemness-related gene expression. Furthermore, the LCSCs produced lower levels of AFP and higher levels of ALB following combination treatment. In all experiments, combination treatment elicited more efficient anticancer effects on LCSCs as compared with single-drug treatment; therefore, our results demonstrated that combined treatment with OSM and Sal inhibited proliferation and induced differentiation and apoptosis in LCSCs, suggesting combined use of OSM and Sal as a therapeutic strategy for liver cancer.

Introduction

Liver cancer is the third most common malignancy in China, accounting for an estimated 380,000 deaths annually and representing ~54% of liver cancer cases worldwide (1). Liver cancer is also the second most lethal cancer due in part to the resistance to conventional chemotherapeutic drugs and radiation therapy by a subpopulation of tumor cells referred to as cancer stem cells (CSCs). CSCs display distinct immunophenotypes and exhibit the capacity for unlimited self-renewal and heterogeneous-lineage differentiation into different cancer-cell types that comprise the tumor (2,3), making CSCs responsible for tumor initiation, propagation, and tumor heterogeneity. CSCs are more resistant to chemotherapy, and the inability to eradicate CSC populations results in tumor relapse and distant metastasis.

There are currently a significant number of anti-CSC drugs under investigation. Salinomycin (Sal) is a polyether ionophore antibiotic produced through fermentation by Streptomyces albus and that is widely used to treat coccidiosis (4). Following the report by Gupta et al (5) that Sal exhibits the ability to selectively deplete human breast CSCs from tumorspheres, several other groups have demonstrated that Sal inhibited cancer growth and induced apoptosis in different CSCs and cancer types, including liver cancer (6-9). Although the underlying mechanism associated with Sal acting as a chemotherapeutic drug for liver cancer remains unclear, a previous...
study revealed that Sal reduced the proportion of CD133+ cell subpopulations in the liver cancer cell line HepG2, inhibited liver cancer cell proliferation, suppressed cell cycle progression, and induced apoptosis by repressing intracellular Ca2+ and the Wnt/β-catenin-signaling pathway (10). However, similar to breast cancer (11) and glioblastoma (4), phenotypic heterogeneity within CSC subpopulations may exist in liver cancer. Identification of the surface markers CD13, CD24, CD44, CD90, and CD133, and epithelial-cell adhesion molecule (EpCAM) (12-14) in liver cancer cells indicates that heterogeneous LCSCs may not be targeted by a single CSC-specific drug. Therefore, it is necessary to eliminate LCSCs using a combinatorial treatment of Sal and another CSC-specific drug or a drug that may induce undifferentiated CSCs toward a more differentiated state in order to improve the efficacy of liver cancer treatment.

Oncostatin M (OSM), a cytokine of the interleukin-6 family, is a multifunctional cellular regulator produced by CD45+ hematopoietic cells that induces the differentiation of hematoblasts into hematocytes in a distinct OSM-receptor-specific manner. Yamashita et al (12) reported the enhanced differentiation of EpCAM+ liver cancer cells into hematocytes via OSM-receptor signaling, where the OSM receptor is mainly expressed in hepatic stem/progenitor cells and rarely detected in hematocytes (12). OSM was also revealed to induce hepatic differentiation through activation of the signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 pathway, as evidenced by decreased levels of α-fetoprotein (AFP) and cytokeratin and increased albumin (ALB) levels in EpCAM+ liver cancer cells (12). Furthermore, OSM stimulated tissue regeneration and reconstruction, prevented hepatocyte apoptosis, and regulated lipid metabolism, thereby rendering it potentially useful in preventing or treating liver injury (15). In fact, OSM alleviated liver injury in mice by inducing the differentiation of bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells into liver cells (16,17). Sal and OSM have each demonstrated anti-CSC effects in different ways; however, to the best of our knowledge, the synergistic effects of OSM and Sal have not been previously investigated. To address this issue, we examined the anticancer effects of OSM combined with Sal on CD133+ LCSCs. Our results demonstrated the enhanced anticancer effects of the combined treatment as evidenced by increased apoptosis, reduced proliferation, and enhanced differentiation of LCSCs when compared with results observed from treatment with Sal or OSM alone.

Materials and methods

Cell line and culture. HepG2 human liver cancer cells were purchased from the Cell Bank of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China) and were cultured in high-glucose Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium (DMEM; Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) at 37°C and 5% CO2.

Magnetic-activated cell sorting. Briefly, HepG2 cells were harvested and incubated with the anti-CD133 monoclonal antibody conjugated to biotin (Miltenyi Biotec, Inc., Auburn, CA, USA) for 10 min at 4°C, followed by fractionation using a CELLlection Biotin Binder kit (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The sorted CD133+ HepG2 cells were cultured in DMEM/F12 (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) supplemented with 20 ng/ml epidermal growth factor (EGF) and 20 ng/ml basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF; both from PeproTech, Inc., Rocky Hill, NJ, USA), and 2% B27 (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) at 37°C and 5% CO2.

Tumorsphere-formation assay. Briefly, the sorted CD133+ HepG2 cells were cultured in serum-free DMEM/F12 medium supplemented with 20 ng/ml EGF, 20 ng/ml bFGF, and 2% B27. Cells were then seeded on uncoated 6-well culture plates (Corning, Inc., Corning, NY, USA) at a density of 1x10^4 cells/well, with fresh medium added every 3 days (18). Tumorsphere formation was observed and images were captured using an inverted light microscope (Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan).

Analysis of serum-induced differentiation. Cells were suspended and incubated in DMEM/F12 supplemented with 10% FBS at 37°C and 5% CO2. Images of the cells were acquired using an inverted light microscope (Olympus Corporation).

Soft-agar colony formation assay. The 0.5% liquid soft agar was prepared by mixing 5% agar in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) with culture medium (37°C), followed by transfer to a 6-well plate (1.5 ml/well) for solidification at room temperature. Subsequently, the cells mixed with 0.3% liquid agar were seeded in the solidified agar-based 6-well plate at 100 cells/well and cultured for 21 days at 37°C and 5% CO2. Images were acquired every 3 days using an optical light microscope (Olympus Corporation).

Flow cytometric detection of cell-surface markers. HepG2 cells and LCSCs were dissociated into single cells, and LCSCs were prepared at a concentration of 2.0x10^5 cells in 0.1 ml of PBS. The fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-conjugated anti-CD133 antibody (1: 20; cat. no. 11-1339-42; Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) was added to the cell suspension, which was subsequently incubated in the dark for 30 min at 4°C. After two washes in PBS, the cells were acquired and analyzed by a flow cytometer (Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA, USA) in order to quantify the number of CD133+ cells.

Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8) assay. First, LCSCs (1x10^5/well) were seeded in each well of a 96-well plate, with 100 µl of medium in each well. Following incubation for 24 h, OSM was added at different concentrations (0, 1, 10 and 100 ng/ml). Triplicate samples were developed for each concentration. Cell viability was assessed at 48, 96 and 144 h using a CCK-8 kit (Beyotime Institute of Technology, Haimen, China) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. LCSCs (1x10^5 cells/well) were also seeded in 96-well plates for 24 h and treated with a series of concentrations of Sal (0, 1, 2, 5, 10, 15, 20 and 25 µM) in triplicate per concentration. After incubation for 24, 48, and 72 h, cell viability was detected using a CCK-8 kit.

Subsequently, the cytotoxicity of OSM, Sal, and OSM + Sal was measured via CCK-8 assay. Briefly, LCSCs (1x10^5 cells/well) were seeded in a 96-well plate containing...
100 µl of medium in each well and treated with OSM (10 ng/ml), followed by Sal (1 µM) 3 days later. The total culture time was 6 days. Cells treated with 1 µg/ml 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) served as positive controls, and culture medium was used as a negative control. Cell viability was determined by CCK-8 assay. Samples were processed in triplicate. Absorbance was measured at 450 nm using a Multiskan GO microplate reader (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). Cell viability was determined and the inhibition ratio was calculated using the following formula: Inhibition ratio (%) = (1 - optical density of the treatment group/optical density of the solvent control) x100.

**Detection of apoptosis by Annexin V-FITC/propidium iodide (PI) staining.** Apoptotic cells were detected using an Annexin V/PI-FITC kit (Nanjing KeyGen Biotech Co., Ltd., Nanjing, China) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, cells were seeded in a 6-well plate (1x10^5 cells/well) and incubated with 10 ng/ml OSM, 1 µM Sal, or both (10 ng/ml OSM + 1 µM Sal) for 6 days at 37°C and 5% CO₂, with 1 µg/ml 5-FU and culture medium used as positive and negative controls, respectively. After washing with PBS, cells (5x10^5) were resuspended in binding buffer (500 µl) and stained with Annexin V-FITC (5 µl) and PI (5 µl) in the dark at room temperature for 5 min before analysis on a flow cytometer within 1 h. The experiment was repeated three times.

**JC-1 assay.** Mitochondrial membrane potential was detected by JC-1 staining assay using a kit (Nanjing KeyGen Biotech Co., Ltd.). Briefly, cells were seeded in a 6-well plate (1x10^5 cells/well) and incubated with 10 ng/ml OSM, 1 µM Sal, or both (10 ng/ml OSM + 1 µM Sal) for 6 days at 37°C and 5% CO₂, with 1 µg/ml 5-FU and culture medium used as positive and negative controls, respectively. After washing with PBS, the cells were resuspended in 500 µl binding buffer, and 5x10^5 cells were stained with JC-1 (5 µl) and PI (5 µl) and incubated in the dark at room temperature for 15 min at 37°C and 5% CO₂. The cells were then resuspended in incubation buffer (500 µl) and analyzed by flow cytometry. The experiment was performed three times.

**Real-time reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR).** LCSCs (1x10^6 cells/well) were plated in a 6-well plate and incubated with 10 ng/ml OSM, 1 µM Sal, or both (10 ng/ml OSM + 1 µM Sal) for 6 days at 37°C and 5% CO₂, with 1 µg/ml 5-FU and culture medium used as positive and negative controls, respectively. Total RNA was extracted using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), and first-strand cDNA was synthesized using a PrimeScript RT kit (Takara Bio, Inc., Otsu, Japan). Primers used for PCR are presented in Table I. cDNA was then used in qPCR reactions to analyze NANOGEN, OCT4, and c-MYC expression using FastStart SYBR Green master mix (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany) in a 10-µl reaction volume on a PikoReal 96 Real-Time PCR system (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). cDNA was denatured for 10 min at 95°C, and PCR was run for 45 cycles, with each cycle including steps of 5 sec at 95°C and 20 sec at 60°C. Polymerization of cDNA was performed for 10 min at 72°C, and 5-FU (1 µg/ml) and culture medium were used as positive and negative controls, respectively. Experiments were performed in triplicate. Average CT values of the target genes were normalized to controls as ∆ΔCq (19). The ratio of each gene against 28S levels was calculated by standardizing the ratio of each control to the unit value.

**Western blotting.** The expression of cleaved caspase-3 and total caspase-3 in LCSCs was evaluated by western blotting. Cells (1x10^5/well) were seeded in a 6-well plate and incubated with 10 ng/ml OSM, 1 µM Sal, or both (10 ng/ml OSM + 1 µM Sal) for 6 days at 37°C and 5% CO₂, with 1 µg/ml 5-FU and culture medium used as positive and negative controls, respectively. Cells were lysed in 1 ml radioimmunoprecipitation buffer containing 10 µl phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride. Protein concentrations were determined with a bicinchoninic acid assay kit (Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology). The proteins (50 µg each) were separated by 12% sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis at 180 V for 1 h, followed by transfer to a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane at 100 V for 1 h at room temperature. After blocking in 5% non-fat milk in Tris-buffered saline for 1 h, the membrane was incubated overnight at 4°C with anti-cleaved caspase-3 antibody (1:1,000; cat. no. 9664), anti-total caspase-3 antibody (1:1,000; cat. no. 9662) (both from Cell Signaling Technology, Inc., Danvers, MA, USA) and anti-β-actin antibody (1:1,000; cat. no sc-517582; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., Dallas, TX, USA), washed five times for 5 min each with Tris-buffered saline containing 0.5% Tween-20, and then incubated for 1 h at room temperature with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies anti-rabbit IgG (1:5,000; cat. no. 7470) and anti-mouse IgG (1:3,000; cat. no. 7076) (both from Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.). The membrane was washed as previously described, and protein bands were visualized by X-ray film by enhanced chemiluminescence (GE Healthcare, Chicago, IL, USA).

**Transwell invasion assay.** An invasion assay was performed using 6.5-mm Transwell plates with sterile 8.0-µm pore polycarbonate membrane inserts (Corning, Inc.) and covered with a thin layer of BD Matrigel (BD Biosciences, San Diego, CA, USA). Briefly, 1x10^5 cells/well were seeded in a Transwell plate and treated with 10 ng/ml OSM, 1 µM Sal, or both.

### Table I. Primer sequences.

| Target gene | Sequence (5'-3') | Product size, bp |
|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 28S         | F: TTTACCAAGCGTTGAGATTGT  R: TGTCTGAACCTCGGTTCTT   | 146              |
| OCT4        | F: GCAAGCTTGGCTCGAGAGGAT  R: AGCCCAAGTGGTACGGAAGAC  | 269              |
| NANOG       | F: CCTGATTCTCTTACAACGTGCC  R: TGCTATTCTTCCGCCAGTTG    | 292              |
| c-MYC       | F: CACACGACAGGACTCTGAGGAG  R: ACTTGACCCTCTTGGCAGCAGG  | 239              |

F, forward; R, reverse.
FU et al.: ANTICANCER EFFECT OF ONCOSTATIN M AND SALINOMYCIN

(10 ng/ml OSM + 1 µM Sal), with 1 µg/ml 5-FU and culture medium used as positive and negative controls, respectively. DMEM/F12 medium supplemented with 10% FBS was loaded into the bottom chamber through the insert as a chemostatic factor. After incubation for 6 days at 37°C and 5% CO₂, the media in the upper and lower chambers were removed, and cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (500 µl) for 20 min, washed twice with PBS (500 µl), and stained with crystal violet (400 µl) for 20 min. Cells were counted on an inverted light microscope, and the mean value was determined from counts of five random fields.

Enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). Cells were seeded in a 6-well plate at 1x10⁶/well and incubated with 10 ng/ml OSM, 1 µM Sal, or both (10 ng/ml OSM + 1 µM Sal) for 6 days at 37°C and 5% CO₂, with 1 µg/ml 5-FU and culture medium used as positive and negative controls, respectively. AFP and ALB in the supernatant were detected by quantitative ELISA using kits (R&D Systems, Inc., Minneapolis, MN, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Statistical analyses. Data are presented as the means ± standard deviation and analyzed using SPSS (v.17.0; SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). One-way analysis of variance followed by a Tukey-Kramer multiple comparisons test were used to compare the corresponding data, and P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Results

Isolation and characterization of CD133⁺ HepG2 cells. Prior to cell sorting, CD133 expression was analyzed by flow cytometry in order to evaluate the proportion of CD133⁺ cells in the liver cancer HepG2 cell line. The

Figure 1. Isolation and characterization of CD133⁺ LCSCs. (A) Optical micrographs presenting the morphology of LCSCs were obtained by magnetic-activated cell sorting over 10 days. Scale bar, 400 µm. (B) Serum-induced differentiation of LCSCs into adherent cells. Scale bar, 100 µm. (C) Growth and proliferation of LCSCs, and formation of colonies in soft agar over 21 days evaluated by soft-agar colony formation assay. Scale bar, 50 µm. (D) LCSC percentage in HepG2 cells before and after magnetic-activated cell sorting evaluated by flow cytometry. LCSCs, liver cancer stem cells.

Figure 2. OSM and Sal reduce LCSC viability. (A and B) LCSCs treated with (A) Sal (0-25 mM) at 24, 48 and 72 h or (B) OSM (0, 1, 10 and 100 ng/ml) at 48, 96 and 144 h were assessed for cell viability using a CCK-8 assay. The data revealed that Sal and OSM reduced LCSCs in a concentration- and time-dependent manner. Data represent the means ± standard deviation. OSM, oncostatin M; Sal, salinomycin; LCSC, liver cancer stem cell.
results revealed that CD133+ cells accounted for 2.5% of unsorted HepG2 cells. To verify the stemness of CD133+ HepG2 cells, tumorsphere formation, colony formation, and serum-induced differentiation assays were performed in vitro. In the tumorsphere-formation assay, the sorted CD133+ HepG2 cells cultured in the serum-free DMEM/F12 medium grew in the form of suspended individual cells on Day 1 and began to aggregate into clusters on Day 3. As time passed, the small clusters gradually increased both in size and number and became visible to the naked eye on Day 10 (Fig. 1A). In the serum-induced differentiation assay, sorted CD133+ HepG2 cells cultured for 7 days were resuspended in a basal medium supplemented with 10% FBS, resulting in a layer of adherent confluent cells on Day 1 and began to aggregate into clusters on Day 3. As time passed, the small clusters gradually increased both in size and number and became visible to the naked eye on Day 10 (Fig. 1A). In the serum-induced differentiation assay, sorted CD133+ HepG2 cells cultured for 7 days were resuspended in a basal medium supplemented with 10% FBS, resulting in a layer of adherent confluent cells on Day 3 (Fig. 1B). In the colony formation assay, CD133+ HepG2 cells (100 cells/well) were inoculated onto soft agar, with small cell aggregates forming on Day 3 and micro-colonies detected on Day 5. Small colonies were visible to the naked eye on Day 7, and tumorspheres were observed after culturing for 21 days (Fig. 1C). Additionally, flow cytometric analyses revealed that before and after isolation by magnetic-activated cell sorting, 23.52 and 96.58% of cells, respectively, were CD133+ (Fig. 1D).

The combined treatment with OSM and Sal inhibited LCSC migration to a greater extent than Sal or OSM alone (P<0.05) according to Transwell-migration assay (Fig. 3A and B), indicating that OSM combined with Sal treatment effectively suppressed the malignant potential of LCSCs. Furthermore, CCK-8 assay revealed that combination (OSM + Sal) treatment exhibited a more potent suppressive effect on LCSC proliferation than either drug alone (P<0.05; Fig. 3C).

Sal and OSM induce LCSC apoptosis. Treatment with Sal, OSM, or both increased the percentage of Annexin V-positive LCSCs to 24.01, 13.13 and 40.16% of total cells, respectively, as compared with 12.71% in the 5-FU positive-control group (P<0.05; Fig. 4A). Additionally, JC-1 staining revealed that 59.26, 72.02 and 82.79% of cells exhibited a loss of mitochondrial membrane potential upon treatment with OSM, Sal, and OSM + Sal, respectively (P<0.05; Fig. 4B). Moreover, cleaved caspase-3 levels were higher and total caspase-3 were lower in cells treated with OSM + Sal than in those treated with the individual drugs according to western blot analysis (P<0.05; Fig. 4C and D). These results indicated that the combination drug treatment more potently induced apoptosis in LCSCs than either drug alone, and that this effect was likely mediated by the mitochondrial apoptosis pathway.

Sal and OSM induce the expression of stem cell differentiation-related markers. The fraction of LCSCs expressing CD133 after treatment with OSM, Sal, or both was 51.90, 61.31 and 36.48%, respectively, as compared with 97.20% for the blank control group and according to flow cytometric analysis. Therefore, combination treatment reduced the size of the CD133+ population to a greater extent than individual drug
treatments (P<0.05; Fig. 5A). Additionally, real-time qPCR analysis revealed that *NANOG*, *c-MYC*, and *OCT4* levels were lower in cells treated with OSM + Sal than in those treated with Sal or OSM alone (P<0.05; Fig. 5B). Moreover, the decrease in AFP secretion and the increase in ALB secretion were greater in cells receiving combination treatment as compared with the other experimental and 5-FU positive-control groups (P<0.05; Fig. 5C and D). These results indicated that treatment with OSM combined with Sal potently suppressed the stemness characteristics of LCSCs.

**Discussion**

CD133 is a prominent cell-surface marker used to identify CSCs. CD133 was originally found on hematopoietic stem/progenitor cells and neural stem cells and was subsequently reported to be expressed in CSCs from many types of malignancies, including brain, prostate, pancreatic, lung, and colon cancers (20). Recently, Ma et al (21) reported CD133 as a potent CSC marker in liver cancer cell lines, including HepG2 and xenograft tumors. The CD133⁺ subpopulation constitutes one of the most immature stages of liver cancer cells and is more resistant to conventional chemotherapeutic agents, such as 5-FU (21,22), due to the expression of genes important for the self-renewal and proliferative properties of stem/progenitor cells. These genes include those encoding β-catenin involved in the Wnt-signaling pathway, which plays a critical role in cell proliferation, migration, and differentiation. In the present study, CD133 was used as a marker for the isolation of subsets enriched with CSCs from the liver cancer cell line HepG2 by magnetic-activated cell sorting, and it was revealed that CD133⁺ HepG2 CSCs (termed LCSCs) accounted for 2.5% of all HepG2 cells, which was consistent with the range 1.16 to 4.37% reported previously (23). Therefore, this finding was in agreement with the hypothesis that CSCs represent a small subpopulation of cells within solid or non-solid tumors. Highly purified LCSCs (>96%) were subsequently obtained and formed colonies in the second passage after a 3-week culture period, with the identity of the LCSCs confirmed by their differentiation potential, soft-agar colony formation, and surface-marker expression.

Given that an overlap likely exists between CD133⁺ and other cell-surface phenotypes of LCSCs, including EpCAM⁺ (12), possibly due to tumor heterogeneity, LCSCs may not be sensitive to a single CSC-specific drug. Previous studies reported that Sal and OSM have each demonstrated anti-LCSC effects *in vivo* and *in vitro* via their distinct...
molecular pathways (10,12,13,24). In the present study, we assessed and compared the effects of treatment with Sal and OSM individually and in combination on LCSCs. The results revealed that LCSC proliferation was inhibited by Sal, OSM, and their combinatorial treatment, although the latter had a more potent effect. This was also true for induction of LCSC apoptosis and differentiation. Apoptosis resistance is a major factor associated with tumorigenesis and drug resistance (25). Caspase-3 is a downstream executioner caspase associated with the apoptotic process and that mediates protein cleavage of procaspases 3, 6, 7 and 9, as well as other caspase substrates important to the molecular mechanisms of apoptosis. Previous studies revealed that Sal induced caspase-mediated cell death in ovarian epithelial carcinoma, lung cancer cell lines, nasopharyngeal carcinoma, and colorectal CSCs (26‑29). In the present study, enhanced early apoptosis of LCSCs after combination treatment with OSM and Sal was observed, according to JC‑1 and Annexin V‑FITC assays and caspase-3 activity, which suggested induction of apoptosis through the mitochondrial pathway. However, a more detailed investigation of the underlying mechanism of apoptosis in this context is required.

Stem cell-related transcription factors, such as OCT4, NANOG, and c-MYC, play critical roles in maintaining stemness and regulating downstream genes involved in self-renewal and differentiation. Recently, the genes encoding these proteins were linked with abnormal growth and oncogenic transformation and have frequently been reported as overexpressed in human cancers (3). In the present study, we found significant reductions in the OCT4, NANOG, and c-MYC mRNA expression in LCSCs treated with the drug combination as compared with OSM or Sal treatment alone or results from the 5-FU group, suggesting an enhanced antiproliferative effect elicited by the drug combination on LCSCs. Moreover, combination treatment suppressed LCSC migration and invasion according to Transwell assays, possibly due in part to downregulated signaling associated with the focal adhesive kinase and extracellular signal-regulated kinase 1/2 pathways (14). ALB is produced by hepatocytes and represents a significant marker of hepatocyte differentiation; however, it is produced at lower levels in liver cancer cells. Conversely, AFP is expressed in liver cancer cells and represents a highly effective marker of liver cancer. In the present study, we observed decreased AFP levels and increased ALB levels following treatment with OSM or Sal alone and also after combination treatment, indicating induction of LCSC differentiation toward hepatocytes, although more pronounced effects were observed following treatment with OSM alone and both drugs. Similarly, OSM used along with hepatocyte growth factor...
induced the differentiation of human mesenchymal stem cells from various sources into functional hepatocyte-like cells (16,30). Although the underlying mechanism remains unclear, one hypothesis suggests that OSM modulates liver cancer differentiation via the C-X-C chemokine receptor type 7/extracellular signal-regulated kinase/hepatocyte nuclear factor 4α cascade (31).

In conclusion, the enhanced capability of the drug combination of OSM and Sal in suppressing LCSC proliferation and invasion, as well as its ability to induce early stage apoptosis and LCSC differentiation, in vitro was revealed. A potential limitation of this study was the use of a CCK-8 assay to assess cell viability following treatment with Sal, which is known to affect mitochondrial function such as mitochondrial ion translocation and respiration (32-34). However, previously published studies have also employed this assay to determine cell viability following Sal treatment (35-38). Although in vivo studies are required to validate these findings, and drug toxicity and side effects need to be evaluated, our findings indicated that combination therapy with OSM and Sal represents an important first step in the development of drug-combination therapy for liver cancer treatment.
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