Supplementary information

Recovery of rare earth elements by nanometric CeO$_2$ embedded into electrospun PVA nanofibres

S1. Optical size of CNP

**Determination of the band gap.** The band gap of semiconductors that show negligible absorbance in the sub-band gap energy range can be estimated via Equation S1

$$ (\alpha E_{\text{phot}})^n = C(E_{\text{phot}} - E_g) $$

Eq. (S1)

Where $\alpha$ is the absorption coefficient, $E_{\text{phot}}$ is the photon energy ($=h\nu$), $C$ is a material-dependent constant and $n$ is 2 for direct transition and $\frac{1}{2}$ for indirect transitions. The absorption coefficient $\alpha$ can be determined from UV-Vis spectral data (Taguchi et al. 2009, Lin and Chowdhury 2010) as

$$ \alpha = \frac{2.303 \times 10^3 A \rho}{lc} $$

Eq. (S2)

Where $A$ is the absorbance, $\rho$ is CeO$_2$ (= 7.28 g cm$^{-3}$), $l$ is the optical path length (= 1 cm) and $c$ is the concentration of ceria suspension (g mL$^{-1}$). The intersection of the extrapolated linear part of of Equation S1 (Tauc plot) gives an estimation of the optical band gap (Figure S1b).

**Determination of optical particle size.** When the particle size becomes smaller than the exciton radius, i.e. $\approx 8$ nm for CeO$_2$ (Ramasamy, Mohana and Rajendran 2018), quantum confinement leads to size-dependent enlargement of the band gap and results in a blue-shift in the absorption edge. The particle size can be determined by the following equation (Goharshadi, Samiee and Nancarrow 2011)

$$ E_g = E_{g,b} + \frac{\pi \hbar^2}{2r^2} \left( \frac{1}{m_e} + \frac{1}{m_h} \right) - \frac{1.8e^2}{\delta r} $$

Eq. (S3)

Where $E_{g,b}$ is the bulk band gap (3.19 eV for ceria), $r$ is the particle radius (m), $\hbar$ is the reduced plank constant, $\delta$ is the bulk dielectric constant and $m_e$ and $m_h$ are the effective masses of electron and hole, respectively.

They can both be expressed based on the mass of free electron $m_0$, as $m_e = m_h = 0.4m_0$. When $r$ is very small (comparable to Bohr radius), the third factor in the equation can be neglected. As all variables of Eq. S3 are known, the equation can be solved for $r$, yeilding $r = 2.3$ nm. This value is considered to be the median of a number-average particle size (Dieckmann et al. 2009).
S2. Sketch of dynamic adsorption experiments

Figure S2. Sketch of the system used to test CNP-PVA in dynamic adsorption experiments.

S3. Physico-chemical characterisation of NM-212

The material NM-212 has been the subject of extensive characterisation efforts (Singh et al. 2014, Gosens et al. 2014, Römer et al. 2019). A summary of NM-212 physico-chemical properties is given in Table S1.

Table S1. Physico-chemical characterisation of NM-212

| physio-chemical property | Technique       | Measured property                                      | Value               |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Particle size            | SEM¹            | $d_{TEM}$ median value of the particle size distribution | 28.4 ± 10.4 nm      |
| Particle shape           | TEM¹            | -                                                     | polyhedral particles with variable sizes and morphology |
| Agglomeration size in suspension | AF-FFF/DLS² | $d_{H}$ z-average hydrodynamic diameter                | 174.9 ± 14.4 nm     |
| SSA                      | N₂ adsorption² | $S_{BET}$                                             | 28.3 ± 0.3 m² g⁻¹   |

¹(Singh et al. 2014), ²measured in this study

Figure S3 shows the elugram determined with AF-FFF by UV-Vis detection at $\lambda = 300$ nm and the calculated z-average hydrodynamic diameter $d_{H}$ determined via DLS. The measured $d_{H}$ ranged between 100 and 350 nm, with an average $d_{H} = 174$ nm and a PDI = 0.02. The average $d_{H}$ was calculated by averaging the $d_{H}$ values determined by the full width at half maximum FWHM of the UV-Vis elugram (Caputo et al. 2019). The PDI was calculated as $(\sigma/d_{H,mean})^2$ where $d_{H,mean}$ is the mean $d_{H}$ value and $\sigma$ is its standard error.

Figure S3. Outcome of AF-FFF measurements of NM-212, with the elugram detected UV-Vis detector (continuous line) and $d_{H}$ calculated by DLS (dots).
S4. Evaluation of TEM micrographs

**CNPs.** Particle identification was carried out with the ImageJ software using the Particle Sizer plugin and setting ellipsoid as fitting shape. Selected statistical parameters of the size distribution (mean, median, PDI) are shown in the table below. The particles’ specific surface area was found by applying Eq. S4 (Baldim et al. 2018)

\[
SSA = \frac{6 \exp \left( -2.5s^2 \right)}{D\rho}
\]

Eq. (S4)

Where \( s \) is the dispersity of the distribution, \( D \) is the median Feret min diameter and \( \rho \) is the \( \text{CeO}_2 \) density (\( \approx 7.28 \text{ g cm}^{-3} \)).

**CNP-PVA.** Unlike for CNP, the short and long axis length of nanoceria agglomerates in PVA fibres were determined manually. Figure S4b reports a selection of TEM micrographs showing nanoceria agglomerates.

![Figure S4b. TEM micrographs of CNP-PVA nanofibres with nanoceria agglomerates.](image)

| Parameter                  | Value         |
|----------------------------|---------------|
| median Feret min diameter  | 2.97 nm       |
| standard deviation         | 1.02 nm       |
| mean Feret min diameter    | 3.16 nm       |
| dispersity                 | 0.32          |
| SSA as from Eq. (S4)        | 214.1 m^2 g^-1|

S5 ToF-SIMS mass spectrum of CNP-PVA

![Figure S5. ToF-SIMS mass spectrum of CNP-PVA with peaks associated to PVA (Endo et al. 1997) and CNPs (CeO^+).](image)
S6. Morphological change of CNP_PVA upon water immersion

SEM micrographs of as spun CNP-PVA and CNP-PVA_w (after 4-hour immersion in water) are reported in Figure S6a. In water, PVA electrospun membranes underwent a morphological reorganization from solid fibers to a highly hydrated gel, which collapsed once they were left drying. However, CNP agglomerates remained enclosed in the newly formed PVA hydrogel.

![SEM micrographs of CNP-PVA: as spun CNP-PVA nanofibers (left), and after water immersion imaged using secondary electrons (center) and backscattered electrons (right) with inverted colours (PVA: white)](image)

PVA electrospun membranes have a very high specific surface area and swell extensively in water. Due to the loss of soluble components, the mass of the membrane decreases (Figure S6b). After 4 hours of water exposure, pure PVA membranes have lost 35% of the original mass, while CNP-PVA membranes have lost only 18%. This finding suggests that the mass loss can be mostly ascribed to PVA and not to CNPs.

![Figure S6b. Membrane mass loss due to solubilisation and dissolution in water](image)

S7. Freundlich equilibrium constants

![Figure S7. Freundlich parameter $K_F$ obtained via fitting of adsorption data with the Freundlich equation.](image)
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