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Prevalence of serum antibodies against *Bartonella* species in the serum of cats with or without uveitis

Jennifer P Fontenelle DVM, Cynthia C Powell DVM, MS, Diplomate ACVO*, Ashley E Hill DVM, MPVM, PhD, Steven V Radecki PhD1, Michael R Lappin DVM, PhD, Diplomate ACVIM

Department of Clinical Sciences, College of Veterinary Medicine and Biomedical Sciences, Veterinary Teaching Hospital, Colorado State University, 300 West Drake Road, Fort Collins, CO 80523, United States

*Bartonella henselae* has been implicated as a causative agent of chronic uveitis in people and in some cats. The objective of this study was to determine whether *Bartonella* species seroprevalence or titer magnitude varies among cats with uveitis, cats without ocular diseases recorded and healthy cats, while controlling for age and risk of flea exposure based on state of residence. There was no difference in seroprevalence rates or titer magnitude between cats with uveitis and cats with non-ocular diseases. Healthy cats were more likely to be seropositive for *Bartonella* species than cats with uveitis. The median *Bartonella* species titer was 1:64 for all groups, although healthy cats were more likely to have higher titers than cats with uveitis and cats with non-ocular disease. The results suggest that serum antibody tests alone cannot be used to document clinical uveitis associated with *Bartonella* species infection.

Date accepted: 21 June 2007

Anterior uveitis in cats can have endogenous or exogenous causes (Powell and Lappin 2001). Exogenous uveitis is the result of an external influence on the eye, such as trauma or surgery, and can generally be diagnosed based upon history and ophthalmic examination. Some causes of endogenous uveitis, such as primary intraocular neoplasia or cataract, also can be diagnosed by ophthalmic examination. However, infectious or immune-mediated causes are not so easily identified because of the lack of adequate diagnostic tests. In these cases, a diagnosis of idiopathic uveitis is made. The infectious agents commonly associated with endogenous uveitis include feline infectious peritonitis virus (Peiffer and Wilcock 1991), feline immunodeficiency virus (FIV) (English et al 1990), feline leukemia virus (FeLV) (Brightman et al 1991), *Toxoplasma gondii* (Lappin et al 1992), feline herpesvirus type 1 (FHV-1) (Maggs et al 1999), and systemic mycoses (Gerdng et al 1994, Medleau et al 1995). However, it is likely that other infectious agents can also cause intraocular inflammation.

Cats are the main reservoir for *Bartonella henselae* and infection of cats and humans is extremely common (Jackson et al 1993, Nutter et al 2004). The organism replicates in endothelial cells, persists within erythrocytes for months to years and can result in a variety of chronic immune-mediated reactions in the host (Kordick and Breitschwerdt 1995, Resto-Ruiz et al 2003). *Bartonella henselae* is the most common cause of cat scratch disease in people and has also been associated with ocular inflammation in humans (Rothova et al 1998, Ormerod and Dailey 1999, Cunningham and Koehler 2000, Wade et al 2000). The first published report of presumptive feline ocular bartonellosis described a cat with chronic anterior uveitis, *B henselae* antibodies in serum, local (intraocular) production of *B henselae* antibodies, and clinical improvement following administration of doxycycline (Lappin and Black 1999). In another report, serum and aqueous humor were collected from client-owned cats with uveitis and cats without uveitis within a humane society (Lappin et al 2000). Aqueous humor was tested for anti-*Bartonella* species IgM and IgG and the results compared to those from the serum to determine whether intraocular inflammation...
production of antibodies against *Bartonella* species was occurring. In addition, aqueous humor was assayed for DNA from *Bartonella* species using a conventional polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assay. Cats with uveitis and cats without uveitis had *B. henselae* DNA amplified from the aqueous humor but only cats with uveitis had intraocular production of antibodies against *B. henselae*. In another study, the authors documented serum antibody production against *Bartonella* species via Western blot immunoassays in cats with uveitis (Ketring et al 2004).

While *Bartonella* species are now considered by some veterinary ophthalmologists to cause acute and chronic uveitis in cats, the number of cats with proven *Bartonella* species-associated uveitis is minimal to date. Additional data are needed to determine the importance of this disease syndrome in cats. The purpose of this study was to compare *Bartonella* species serum antibody test results among groups of cats with or without uveitis.

**Materials and methods**

**Experimental design**

An email was posted on the American College of Veterinary Ophthalmologists list-serve requesting submission of serum and aqueous humor samples from cats with endogenous uveitis for free infectious disease agent testing. Samples were collected from client-owned cats between January 1, 2003 and January 1, 2004. Most cats had been examined by a veterinary ophthalmologist and were suspected to have idiopathic or infectious uveitis (group 1: cats with uveitis). Samples were included whether or not treatments had been administered and whether the inflammation involved the anterior or posterior uvea, or both. Samples were shipped by overnight express on cold packs and, upon receipt, were frozen at −70°C until the serum was tested in this study.

The records’ database in the Specialized Infectious Diseases Laboratory at Colorado State University was searched for feline serum samples tested over the same time period and then sequentially selected based on availability of adequate sample volume for additional testing and presence of clinical illness without mention of ocular disease (group 2: clinically ill cats without ocular disease noted). Group 3 (healthy cats) consisted of sequentially selected, healthy cats for which adequate sample was available for additional testing. Samples were collected between April 1, 1998 and April 1, 2000. Age, sex, and geographical location (state in the USA) were recorded for each case. Each sample had been previously submitted for infectious disease testing. The most commonly requested tests included *Toxoplasma gondii*, FeLV, FIV, and coronavirus. The samples had been stored at −20°C or −80°C until used in this study.

All samples were thawed and assayed in an IgG enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) for the detection of antibodies against *B. henselae* (Lappin et al 2000). A 1:64 dilution of positive control, negative control, and suspect sera were each assayed in quadruplicate wells and the mean absorbencies calculated. Mean absorbance values were converted to %ELISA units by use of the following formula: (test sample mean absorbance minus the negative control sample mean absorbance)/(positive control sample mean absorbance minus the negative control sample mean absorbance) multiplied by 100. An individual cat was considered positive for *B. henselae* antibodies if the %ELISA value was greater than the mean %ELISA value plus 3SD of the pre-inoculation samples for a group of 26 specific pathogen-free cats (10 kittens at 8 weeks of age and 16 cats at 3 years of age). Positive suspect serum sample results were converted to estimated titers ranging from 1:64 to 1:4096 by comparing to a standard curve.

**Statistical evaluation**

Age, flea risk, clinical manifestation and *B. henselae* titer were entered into a spreadsheet and analyzed by use of a commercially available statistical software package (SAS, SAS Institute, Cary, NC, version 9.1). Whether or not cats in this study had been exposed to *Ctenocephalides felis* was unknown. Therefore, cats were classified as having ‘high’ or ‘low’ flea risk based upon state of origin (Jameson et al 1995). Cats from the following geographic areas (states) were categorized as having low risk of flea exposure: Alaska, Arizona, Colorado, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, Utah, and Wyoming. Cats from all other states were considered to have a high risk of flea exposure. Relationships between *B. henselae* serological status (positive or negative) and clinical presentations were first assessed by Fisher’s exact test. Additionally, logistic regression was used to assess the influence of serological status, age, and risk of flea exposure on clinical presentation. Cats were
grouped into five age classes of approximately equal sample sizes (<2, 2 to <5, 5 to <8, 8 to <11, and ≥11 years of age). Relationships between *B. henselae* titer magnitude and clinical manifestations were assessed by determining median titers for each group and evaluated with Wilcoxon’s rank sum test. Significance was defined as *P* < 0.05.

**Results**

Group 1 consisted of 113 cats with uveitis. Of the cats, 42 were from low flea risk states, 65 were from high flea risk states, and state was unknown for seven cats. *Bartonella* species seroprevalence was 54.9% (62 of 113 cats) with titers ranging from 0 to 1:512 and a median titer of 1:64.

Group 2 (clinically ill cats without ocular disease noted) consisted of 156 cats. Of the cats, 60 were from low flea risk states, 92 were from high risk states, and state was unknown for four cats. *Bartonella* species seroprevalence rate was 62.8% (98 of 156 cats) with titers ranging from 0 to 1:1024 and a median titer of 1:64.

Group 3 (healthy cats) consisted of 97 cats. Of the cats, 26 were from low flea risk states, 70 were from high risk states, and state was unknown for one cat. *Bartonella* species seroprevalence rate was 70.1% (68 of 97 cats) with titers ranging from 0 to 1:8192 and a median titer of 1:64.

In the initial statistical evaluation, group 3 cats had a greater seropositive rate than group 1 cats (*Table 1, P* = 0.0322). After the addition of variables (age and risk of flea exposure), the effect of group was still significant (*P* = 0.0126). The likelihood of being seropositive for *Bartonella* species increased with higher flea risk (*P* < 0.0001; odds ratio (OR) = 2.731) but decreased as age increased (*P* = 0.0035; OR = 0.779) (*Table 2*).

Median titers were equal among groups; however, Wilcoxon’s rank sum test revealed that the mean rank of titers in group 3 was significantly greater than that in group 1 (*P* = 0.0024). **Figure 1** provides a bar graph of the distribution of *Bartonella* species antibody titers.

**Discussion**

Due to its retrospective nature, there are several limitations to this study. Samples were submitted by multiple veterinary clinicians (both board certified ophthalmologists and general practitioners) and the motivation to submit sera for testing differed among groups. Thus one of the major weaknesses of this study is the lack of a consistent ophthalmic examination among cases. It is possible that the eyes of some cats in the control groups were not extensively examined and may have had uveitis. This difference between groups may be heightened as cats in group 1 were presented for ophthalmic disease and were examined by a board certified veterinary ophthalmologist.

**Table 1.** Relationships between *Bartonella henselae* seroprevalence rates among cats with uveitis (group 1), ill cats without recorded ocular disease (group 2), and healthy cats (group 3).  

| Group       | Seroprevalence number | *P* value* of positives (%) |
|-------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|
| Group 1 (n = 113) | 62 (54.9%)          |                              |
| Group 2 (n = 156) | 98 (62.8%)          | 0.2094                        |
| Group 3 (n = 97)  | 68 (70.1%)           | 0.0322                        |

*Fisher’s exact test was used to compare group 1 to group 2 and group 1 to group 3.

**Table 2.** Influence of clinical presentation (group), risk of flea exposure and age on serological status  

| Effect            | *P* value |
|-------------------|-----------|
| Group             | 0.0126    |
| Flea-risk         | <0.0001   |
| Age class*        | 0.0035    |

*Cats were grouped into five age classes of approximately equal number: <2, 2 to <5, 5 to <8, 8 to <11 and ≥11 years of age.

**Fig 1.** Distribution of *Bartonella* species antibody titers in cats with or without uveitis. Group 1: cats with uveitis, group 2: ill cats without ocular disease noted, and group 3: healthy cats. The serum *Bartonella* species titer for one cat in group 3 was 1:8192. This value was not included in the graph.
ophthalmologist, whereas those in groups 2 and 3 were not. In addition, the complete medical record was not available; therefore, the ophthalmic findings could not be used to accurately classify cats as having anterior uveitis, posterior uveitis, or panuveitis. Due to the association between flea infestation and B henselae, cats in this study were classified into high or low flea risk categories by geographic location (state) and it was shown that presence of Bartonella species antibodies was associated with high flea risk. However, knowledge of the physical presence of fleas would have been more accurate as flea prevalence can vary within states and can also be dependent upon the housing status of individual cats (indoor vs outdoor). Lastly, because there is serological cross-reactivity between B henselae and other Bartonella species, results of the antibody test in this study cannot be used to document specific exposure to B henselae. However, in most studies that have used culture or genetic sequencing to evaluate the Bartonella species causing feline infection in the United States, B henselae is the most common (Guptill et al 2004, Lappin et al 2006).

Although most people that are exposed to B henselae are subclinically infected, cat scratch disease occurs in a small percentage. Cat scratch disease was estimated at 9.3 cases per 100,000 people per year in the United States (Jackson et al 1993). Approximately 5–10% of people with cat scratch disease are reported to have ocular manifestations such as Parinaud’s oculoglandular syndrome, optic neuritis, vitritis, retinitis or anterior uveitis (Ormerod and Dailey 1999, Wade et al 2000). It is not known why some people develop ocular bartonellosis and others do not. It may relate to the host response to the organism’s DNA within the eye by PCR and by detecting local antibody production within the eye (Lappin et al 2000). As Bartonella species is an intracellular bacterium and most cats with uveitis have some degree of at least microscopic hyphema, the detection of B henselae DNA in aqueous humor does not prove ocular infection. In addition, the organism in erythrocytes could enter the eye via hemorrhage induced during anterior chamber paracentesis. Detection of local antibody production within the eye can be used as an indirect method of diagnosing local tissue infection and has been previously used with T gondii (Lappin et al 1992), FHV-1 (Maggs et al 1999), and B henselae (Lappin et al 2000). Further studies of this type are needed on greater numbers of cats with and without uveitis in order to determine if an epidemiologic association between B henselae and anterior uveitis exists. It is also possible that comparison of serum and aqueous humor B henselae antigen recognition patterns between cats with uveitis and those without ocular disease could identify improved diagnostic parameters (Freeland et al 1999).

Using this study design, there was no difference in seroprevalence between cats with uveitis and cats with non-ocular diseases; however, healthy cats were more likely to be seropositive for Bartonella species than cats with uveitis. Although there was overlap in the magnitude of serum titers and the median serum titers were identical (1:64) in all three groups, the healthy cats were more likely to have higher titers than cats with uveitis. These results suggest that Bartonella species antibody titer magnitude is unlikely to correlate with the presence of disease. Previous studies have found an increasing proportion of cats seropositive for Bartonella species with increasing age which may be related to an increased chance of exposure over time (Chomel et al 1995, Guptill et al 2004). In this study, the
likelihood of being seropositive for *Bartonella* species decreased with increasing age. It is unclear why there are differences between these studies; they may merely be due to sample selection. For example, it is possible that older cats in this study were more likely to be administered antibiotics or flea control products.

In summary, the results of this study document that the presence or magnitude of *Bartonella* species serum antibodies cannot be used alone to document ocular bartonellosis in cats. Until more sensitive and specific diagnostic tests are identified, one can only suspect *B. henselae* as a possible cause of uveitis if *B. henselae* DNA or antibodies directed against the organism are detected in blood or aqueous humor; if other known causes of uveitis are eliminated, and if their clinical signs resolve following administration of appropriate antibiotic therapy.
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