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Abstract We study the entanglement between the internal (spin) and external (position) degrees of freedom of the one-dimensional discrete time quantum walk starting from local and delocalized initial states whose time evolution is driven by Hadamard and Fourier coins. We obtain the dependence of the asymptotic entanglement with the initial dispersion of the state and establish a way to connect the asymptotic entanglement between local and delocalized states. We find out that the delocalization of the state increases the number of initial spin states which achieves maximal entanglement from two states (local) to a continuous set of spin states (delocalized) given by a simple relation between the angles of the initial spin state. We also carry out numerical simulations of the average entanglement along the time to confront with our analytical results.
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1 Introduction

Quantum random walks [1,2] or quantum walks (QW) are the quantum counterparts of the classical random walks. The quantum walker is a qubit, a particle with an internal degree of freedom (spin) on a regular lattice where
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each site represents an external degree of freedom (position). The dynamic evolution of a QW starting from an initial state is given by successive applications of a unitary time evolution operator, which is constituted by a quantum coin and a conditional displacement. While the quantum coin operates only on the qubit’s internal degree of freedom leaving it in a superposition of spin states, the conditional displacement operator associates internal and external degrees of freedom displacing the qubit from a site to the neighbor right (left) site if its spin state is up (down).

The particular dynamics of a QW dramatically changes the spreading behavior of the quantum state leading to a quadratic gain in its dispersion (ballistic behavior), and it creates entanglement between spin and position states. For these features, QW have been widely investigated opening new branches of research in physics, computational science and engineering [3]. They offer insights for building quantum search algorithms [4], for understanding the efficiency of energy transfer in the photosynthesis [5], for performing universal computation in their continuous [6] and discrete time [7] versions, for simulating Dirac-like Hamiltonians [8], and they can be implemented in several experimental platforms [9].

The long-time entanglement in QW has a strong dependence on their initial conditions. The initial state of a QW could be an arbitrary qubit placed in one position (delta-like or local) or distributed over many positions (delocalized state). For a QW starting from a local state, the maximal entanglement is reached asymptotically and only for few specific initial spin states [10,11,12,13] and also for two walkers [14]. The maximal entanglement is also achieved regardless of the initial state through the introduction of a dynamic disorder along the QW, such as a random quantum coin in each time step [15,16]. There are few papers about delocalized initial conditions in QW showing a rich variety of spreading behavior highly dependent of the quantum coin [17,18] and their entanglement content [19,20,21]. However, none of these earlier works address the interplay between delocalization and asymptotic entanglement for all initial spin conditions and two kinds of coins (Hadamard and Fourier) or yet, they do not show a comparison between the average entanglement behavior along the time with their analytical results.

Our main focus here is the impact of the delocalization of the initial state in QW regarding their asymptotic entanglement. We perform all calculations also to the local state in order to contrast with the delocalized cases. In this way this article is organized as follows. In Sect. 2 we review the QW in position and momentum space using a Hadamard coin, the quantification of the entanglement in both spaces and we obtain a general expression for the asymptotic entanglement. In Sect. 3 we investigate the asymptotic entanglement for QW starting from local and delocalized states (Gaussian and rectangular states), we show a way to connect both kinds of asymptotic entanglements and we confront our analytical results with numerical calculations. In Sect. 4 a general conclusion is pictured. Finally, in "Appendix" we extend the calculations of Sect. 2 and the results of Sect. 3 for a QW which evolves by means of a Fourier coin.
2 Mathematical formalism

The quantum walker state $|\Psi\rangle$ belongs to a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_C \otimes \mathcal{H}_P$ where $\mathcal{H}_C$ is a single qubit coin space, a two-dimensional complex vector space spanned by the spin states $\{|\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\rangle\}$ and $\mathcal{H}_P$ is the position space, an infinite-dimensional enumerable vector space spanned by a set of orthonormal vectors $|j\rangle$ where the integer $j$ is the discrete position of the qubit on a one-dimensional lattice. Thus, a general initial state is

$$|\Psi(0)\rangle = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} |a(j,0)|\uparrow\rangle + b(j,0)|\downarrow\rangle \otimes |j\rangle,$$

with the normalization condition,

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} (|a(j,0)|^2 + |b(j,0)|^2) = 1.$$  

The time evolution of a QW state is written as $|\Psi(t)\rangle = U^t |\Psi(0)\rangle$ and the time evolution operator is

$$U = S.(C \otimes 1_P),$$

where $1_P$ is the identity in $\mathcal{H}_P$ and $C$ is the quantum coin. The quantum coin acts over the spin states and generates a superposition of them. Here, we employ the Hadamard$^1$, widely used as a quantum coin,

$$H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$  

The conditional displacement operator

$$S = \sum_j (|\uparrow\rangle \langle \uparrow| \otimes |j+1\rangle \langle j| + |\downarrow\rangle \langle \downarrow| \otimes |j-1\rangle \langle j|),$$

moves the qubit to the right or left conditioned to its internal state, i.e., from site $j$ to $j+1$ ($j-1$) if its spin is up (down), which creates entanglement between spin and position along the time evolution.

The total initial state $|\Psi(0)\rangle$ is pure and since the time evolution is unitary, $|\Psi(t)\rangle$ remains pure. This fact allows us to quantify the entanglement between spin and position by means of von Neumann entropy

$$S_E(\rho(t)) = -Tr(\rho_C(t) \log_2 \rho_C(t)),$$

of the partially reduced coin (spin) state $\rho_C(t) = Tr_P(\rho(t))$ \cite{22}, where $\rho(t) = |\Psi(t)\rangle \langle \Psi(t)|$ and $Tr_P(\cdot)$ is the trace over the positions. Therefore, we can write

$$\rho_C(t) = A(t) |\uparrow\rangle \langle \uparrow| + B(t) |\uparrow\rangle \langle \downarrow| + B(t)^* |\downarrow\rangle \langle \uparrow| + C(t) |\downarrow\rangle \langle \downarrow|,$$

\footnote{In “Appendix” we extend the following calculations for a Fourier coin.}
with \( A(t) = \sum_j |a(j, t)|^2 \), \( B(t) = \sum_j a(j, t)b^*(j, t) \) and \( C(t) = 1 - A(t) \) due to the normalization condition and \( B(t)^* \) is the complex conjugate of \( B(t) \). After diagonalizing \( \rho_C(t) \), we obtain

\[
S_E(\rho(t)) = -\lambda_+(t) \log_2 \lambda_+(t) - \lambda_-(t) \log_2 \lambda_-(t),
\]

where the eigenvalues of \( \rho_C(t) \) are

\[
\lambda_\pm(t) = \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} i \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - A(t)(1 - A(t)) + |B(t)|^2}.
\]

\( S_E(\rho(t)) \) ranges from 0 for separable states up to 1 for maximal entanglement condition. In the context of a numerical simulation, the expression (8) with (9) are used to calculate the entanglement along the time.

To quantify the entanglement in the asymptotic limit for \( t \to \infty \), we need to consider the dual k-space \( \tilde{H}_k \) spanned by the Fourier transformed vectors \( |k\rangle = \sum_j e^{ikj} |j\rangle \) with \( k \in [-\pi, \pi] \), where the initial state (1) is,

\[
|\tilde{\Psi}(0)\rangle = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{dk}{2\pi} [\tilde{a}_k(0) |\uparrow\rangle + \tilde{b}_k(0) |\downarrow\rangle] \otimes |k\rangle,
\]

and the corresponding initial amplitudes are

\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{a}_k(0) &= (|\uparrow\rangle \otimes |k\rangle) |\tilde{\Psi}(0)\rangle = \sum_j e^{-ikj} a(j, 0), \\
\tilde{b}_k(0) &= (|\downarrow\rangle \otimes |k\rangle) |\tilde{\Psi}(0)\rangle = \sum_j e^{-ikj} b(j, 0).
\end{align*}
\]

The time evolution operator \( U \) can be rewritten as [23],

\[
U_H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} e^{-ik} & e^{-ik} \\ e^{ik} & -e^{ik} \end{pmatrix},
\]

once the conditional displacement operator \( S \) is diagonal in the Fourier representation,

\[
S_k = |\uparrow\rangle \langle \uparrow| \otimes e^{-ik} |k\rangle \langle k| + |\downarrow\rangle \langle \downarrow| \otimes e^{ik} |k\rangle \langle k|.
\]

Let us introduce the state \( |\Phi_k(t)\rangle = \langle k|\tilde{\Psi}(t)\rangle \), such that the one-step time evolution is \( |\Phi_k(t + 1)\rangle = U_H |\Phi_k(t)\rangle \). By calculating the eigenvectors of \( U_H \), we obtain

\[
|\Phi_k^\pm \rangle = \frac{1 + \cos^2 k + (\cos k \sqrt{1 + \cos^2 k})^{-1/2} \left( e^{-ik} e^{-i\omega_k} - e^{-ik} \right)}{\sqrt{2} e^{-i\omega_k}} |\Phi_k\rangle,
\]

and the eigenvalues are \( \pm e^{i\omega_k} \) and the frequency \( \omega_k \) given by \( \sin \omega_k = \sin k/\sqrt{2} \) with \( \omega_k \in [-\pi/2, \pi/2] \) [23][10].

The time evolution starting from an initial state \( |\Phi_k(0)\rangle \) is \( |\Phi_k(t)\rangle = (U_H)^t |\Phi_k(0)\rangle \) and through the spectral decomposition of \( U_H \), it could be written in the following way,

\[
|\Phi_k(t)\rangle = e^{-i\omega_k t} \langle \Phi_k^+ |\Phi_k(0)\rangle |\Phi_k^+\rangle + (-1)^t e^{i\omega_k t} \langle \Phi_k^- |\Phi_k(0)\rangle |\Phi_k^-\rangle.
\]
This expression allows us to get the time evolution of each spin amplitude from the corresponding initial amplitude. Therefore, calculating the integrals
\begin{align*}
A(t) &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{dk}{2\pi} \left| \tilde{a}_k(t) \right|^2, \quad (16) \\
B(t) &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{dk}{2\pi} \tilde{a}_k(t) \tilde{b}_k^*(t), \quad (17)
\end{align*}
and inserting them in (8) using (9), we have the entanglement as a function of the amplitudes in the momentum space.

To obtain the asymptotic entanglement, we must take the limit for \( t \to \infty \) in (15), then the time dependence vanishes in (16) and (17), thereby we have
\begin{align*}
\overline{A} &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{dk}{2\pi} \left\{ \frac{1}{2(3 + \cos(2k))} \left[ 4|\tilde{a}_k(0)|^2 + \tilde{a}_k^*(0)\tilde{b}_k(0) + \tilde{a}_k(0)\tilde{b}_k^*(0) + 2|\tilde{b}_k(0)|^2 
\right.ight. \\
& \quad \left. + \left( \tilde{a}_k^*(0)\tilde{b}_k(0) + \tilde{a}_k(0)(2\tilde{a}_k^*(0) + \tilde{b}_k^*(0)) \right) \cos(2k) 
\right. \\
& \quad \left. - i \left( \tilde{a}_k^*(0)\tilde{b}_k(0) - \tilde{a}_k(0)\tilde{b}_k^*(0) \right) \sin(2k) \right\}, \quad (18) \\
\overline{B} &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{dk}{2\pi} \left\{ \frac{\cos k - i \sin k}{3 + \cos(2k)} \left[ \left( \tilde{a}_k^*(0)\tilde{b}_k(0) + \tilde{a}_k(0)\tilde{b}_k^*(0) \right) 
\right. \\
& \quad \left. + |\tilde{a}_k(0)|^2 - |\tilde{b}_k(0)|^2 \right) \cos k - i \left( \tilde{a}_k^*(0)\tilde{b}_k(0) - \tilde{a}_k(0)\tilde{b}_k^*(0) \right) \sin k \right\}, \quad (19)
\end{align*}
where \( \overline{A} \) is \( A(t \to \infty) \). After inserting these Eqs. (18) and (19) into (8), we have
\begin{equation}
\mathcal{S}_E(\Delta) = -\lambda_+ \log_2(\lambda_+) - \lambda_- \log_2(\lambda_-), \quad (20)
\end{equation}
with \( \lambda_{\pm} = (1 \pm \sqrt{\Delta})/2 \), a general expression to the asymptotic entanglement in terms of a characteristic function,
\begin{equation}
\Delta(\overline{A}, \overline{B}) = 1 - 4 \left[ \overline{A}(1 - \overline{A}) + |\overline{B}|^2 \right], \quad (21)
\end{equation}
which contains all the information about the initial state, since \( \overline{A} \) and \( \overline{B} \) are functions of the initial amplitudes in the k-space.

3 Results

Our calculations starting from a local state followed by two delocalized states. In all cases, we consider a general spin state,
\begin{equation}
|\psi_s(0)\rangle = \cos \frac{\alpha}{2} |\uparrow\rangle + e^{i\beta} \sin \frac{\alpha}{2} |\downarrow\rangle, \quad (22)
\end{equation}
represented in the Bloch sphere [25] in Fig. [1]
Consider the spin state (22) and the probability distribution $|\Psi_L(0)|^2 = \delta(j)$ in (1) to get a local state,

$$|\Psi_L(0)\rangle = |\Psi_s(0)\rangle \otimes |0\rangle. \quad (23)$$

These amplitudes can be rewritten in the k-space by using (11) as $a_k(0) = \cos(\alpha/2)$ and $b_k(0) = e^{i\beta} \sin(\alpha/2)$ and inserting them in (18) and (19), after integrating both equations we reach

$$A = \frac{1}{2} + \left(\frac{1}{4} - f\right) (\cos \alpha + \sin \alpha \cos \beta), \quad (24)$$

$$B = \frac{1}{2} + 2f \sin \alpha \sin \beta, \quad (25)$$

where $f = -(1 - \sqrt{2})/4$. Thus, by inserting them into (21), we have a characteristic function for local state,

$$\Delta H(\alpha, \beta) = (3 - 2\sqrt{2})[1 + \sin(2\alpha) \cos \beta]. \quad (26)$$

Figure 2 (a) shows the asymptotic entanglement calculated from (26) in (20) starting from a local state for any initial spin state. The maximum entanglement is $S_E \sim 1.0$ for $(\alpha, \beta) = (3\pi/4, 0)$ and $(\pi/4, \pi)$. The minimum entanglement is $S_E \sim 0.736$ for $(\alpha, \beta) = (\pi/4, 0)$ and $(3\pi/4, \pi)$ [10,11].

3.2 Delocalized states

Let us consider a Gaussian probability distribution,

$$|\Psi_G(0)|^2 = \frac{\exp(-j^2/2\sigma_0^2)}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_0^2}}, \quad (27)$$
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Fig. 2 Asymptotic entanglement $S_E$ starting from (a) local state (23) and (b) Gaussian state (28) with $\sigma_0 = 1$ as function of the angles $\alpha$ and $\beta$ from the initial spin states amplitudes.

with an initial dispersion $\sigma_0$ and since $|\Psi_s(0)\rangle$ is the initial spin state, the discrete Gaussian state $^2$ could be written as,

$$|\Psi_G(0)\rangle = \sum_{j = -\infty}^{+\infty} |\Psi_s(0)\rangle \otimes \frac{\exp\left(-j^2/4\sigma_0^2\right)}{(2\pi\sigma_0^2)^{1/4}} |j\rangle. \quad (28)$$

In the same way as in the previous case, using (11) to rewrite the Gaussian amplitudes in the k-space and changing the sum of amplitudes in $j$ by their

$^2$ The Gaussian states were defined between $[-1000, 1000]$, i.e., for $\sigma_0 = 30$ the discrete sum of the normalization condition gives an error below of 0.001%.
Fig. 3 Asymptotic entanglement $S_E$ for (a) $\beta = 0$ and (b) $\beta = \pi/2$ for $\alpha \in [0, \pi]$.

integration in $x$, we have

$$\left( \tilde{a}_k(0), \tilde{b}_k(0) \right) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp \left( -x^2/(4\sigma_0^2) - ikx \right) \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma_0^2)^{1/4}} dx |\Psi_s(0)\rangle. \quad (29)$$

After integrating (29), the imaginary terms vanish, therefore, we have

$$\left( \tilde{a}_k(0), \tilde{b}_k(0) \right)^T = \left( 8\pi\sigma_0^2 \right)^{1/4} e^{-k^2\sigma_0^2/2} |\Psi_s(0)\rangle, \quad (30)$$

are the Gaussian initial amplitudes in the k-space. Inserting (30) in (18) and (19), after numerical integration we obtain the same Eqs. (24) and (25), however $f$ is a function of initial dispersion $\sigma_0$ given by $f(\sigma_0) = \epsilon/\sigma_0^2$, where the constant $\epsilon \sim 0.0327$. Then, the characteristic function for Gaussian states is

$$\Delta_H(\alpha, \beta, \sigma_0) = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - 4f(\sigma_0) \right)^2 \left[ \cos \alpha + \sin \alpha \cos \beta \right] + \left( 4f(\sigma_0) \right)^2 \left[ \sin \alpha \sin \beta \right]^2. \quad (31)$$

Figure 2(b) shows the asymptotic entanglement starting from a Gaussian state obtained from (31) with $\sigma_0 = 1$ in (20) for any initial spin state. There is a continuous range of spin states with maximum entanglement, and the minimum entanglement is $S_E \sim 0.343$, for the same angles of local case.

To investigate if the asymptotic entanglement has any dependence on the shape of the initial delocalized state, we also study a QW starting from a rectangular state. The rectangular probability distribution is $|\Psi_R(0)\rangle = 1/(2a+1)$ if $-a \leq j \leq a$ and 0, otherwise, then a rectangular state is given by

$$|\Psi_R(0)\rangle = \sum_{j=-a}^{a} |\Psi_s(0)\rangle \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{2a+1}} |j\rangle. \quad (32)$$

Since the numerical difference between the discrete sum and integration of the amplitudes with $\sigma_0 = 1$ is around $10^{-4}$, and this difference is even smaller for larger $\sigma_0$. 

---

The text mentions the asymptotic entanglement $S_E$ for different values of $\beta$ and $\alpha$. It describes the integration process and the resulting expressions for the Gaussian initial amplitudes in the k-space. The characteristic function for Gaussian states is derived, and it is shown that there is a continuous range of spin states with maximum entanglement, with a minimum entanglement of $S_E \sim 0.343$ for the same angles of local case.

Furthermore, the text discusses the study of a QW starting from a rectangular state, with a rectangular probability distribution $|\Psi_R(0)\rangle = 1/(2a+1)$ for $-a \leq j \leq a$ and 0 otherwise. A rectangular state is given by a discrete sum of the amplitudes with a small numerical difference from the continuous integration.
We obtain their amplitudes in the k-space using (11) such as the previous cases. After the sum we have,

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\tilde{a}_k(0) \\
\tilde{b}_k(0)
\end{pmatrix}
= \frac{\sin(ka + k)}{\tan(k/2)} - \cos(ka + k) \begin{bmatrix}
|\tilde{\Psi}_s(0)\rangle \\
\sqrt{2a + 1}
\end{bmatrix},
\tag{33}
\]

and by inserting these amplitudes in (18) and (19) and performing a numerical integration, we have the same Eqs. (24) and (25), which leads us to the same characteristic function obtained from the Gaussian state in (31), however in this case \( f(a) = \epsilon/a \) where \( \epsilon \sim 0.0684 \) with \( a = (\sqrt{12\sigma_0^2 + 1} - 1)/2 \).

The characteristic function has the same analytical form (31) for both delocalized states. For large initial dispersion \( \sigma_0 \gg 1 \), we reach

\[
\Sigma_H(\alpha, \beta) \sim (1/2)[\cos \alpha + \sin \alpha \cos \beta]^2.
\tag{34}
\]

Since \( \Sigma_E = 1 \) for \( \Delta = 0 \), we have

\[
\cos \beta = -\cot \alpha,
\tag{35}
\]

a maximal entanglement condition for high delocalization. On the opposite way, for \( \alpha = \pi/4 \) and \( \beta = 0 \), \( \Sigma_E \to 1 \) for larger \( \sigma_0 \), as we can see in Fig. 3 which compares the asymptotic entanglement for local, Gaussian and rectangular states for (a) \( \beta = 0 \) and (b) \( \beta = \pi/2 \). The delocalized states have maximum entanglement for both values of \( \beta \) in agreement with (35).

Connecting local and delocalized states We have obtained an analytical expression for the asymptotic entanglement for local and delocalized states using the same theoretical framework, although there is a lack of connection between these two cases. In attempt to fulfill this requirement, we have to impose a renormalization of the spin amplitudes \( \tilde{a}_k(0) \) and \( \tilde{b}_k(0) \) for a Gaussian state, i.e., \( |\tilde{\Psi}_G(0)\rangle /\text{Erf}(\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_0)^1/2 \) where \( \text{Erf}(z) = (2/\sqrt{\pi}) \int_0^z e^{-u^2} du \) in order to keep it normalized for \( 0 < \sigma_0 < 1 \). After an extensive numerical integration, we get approximate expressions \( A \) and \( B \) analogous to the previous cases, although \( f \) is

\[
f(\sigma_0) = 0.0365 \{\pi/2 - \text{ArcTan}[3.937(\sigma_0 - 0.8)]\},
\tag{36}
\]

such that, \( f(\sigma_0 \to 0) \sim -(1 - \sqrt{2})/4 \) to recover the local case. Figure 4 shows \( \Sigma_E \) over the Bloch sphere, starting from local to Gaussian states enhancing the high entanglement region from two spots up to a strip around the Bloch sphere with a maximum entanglement which obeys (35).

---

4 The minimum entanglement condition for Gaussian and rectangular states obeys a power law \( \Sigma_E \sim 0.3463\sigma_0^{-1.59} \) and \( \Sigma_E \sim 0.4874\sigma_0^{-0.853} \), respectively, both obtained by curve fitting.
3.3 Numerical simulations

On the one hand, the long-time entanglement in the position space can be obtained through an iterative computational way after many time steps calculated by (8). The asymptotic entanglement, on the other hand, can be straightforward calculated by Fourier analysis as showed above. However, what is the general behavior of the entanglement along the time evolution? How many steps are necessary to be close enough to the asymptotic entanglement? In order to enlighten these questions, first we perform a numerical simulation of the average entanglement $\langle S_E(t) \rangle$ along the time for all cases. Second, for delocalized cases, we make a comparison between the average asymptotic entanglement and the average entanglement numerically evaluated as function of the initial dispersion.

Figure 5 shows the average entanglement $\langle S_E(t) \rangle$ as function of the time steps starting from a (a) Gaussian and (b) rectangular states, both for distinct values of initial dispersion $\sigma_0$ together with the local state. In both insets, we compare the asymptotic entanglement $\langle S_E \rangle$ with the $\langle S_E(1000) \rangle$ numerically obtained after 1000 time steps as function of the initial dispersion $\sigma_0$ being the difference smaller than 0.3% and 1.2%, respectively, for (a) Gaussian and (b) rectangular cases. The average asymptotic entanglement $\langle S_E \rangle$ has a dependence on the initial dispersion $\sigma_0$ being always greater than $\langle S_E \rangle \sim 0.688$ for both delocalized cases. Fitting the asymptotic curve (red) gives us a $\sigma_0^2$ decay, $\langle S_E \rangle_G(\sigma_0) \sim 0.0835\sigma_0^{-2} + 0.688$ for Gaussian states and a $\sigma_0$ decay, $\langle S_E \rangle_R(\sigma_0) \sim 0.1205\sigma_0^{-1} + 0.688$ for rectangular cases, both obtained by means of numerical integration.

4 Conclusion

The main aspect emphasized here is the effect of delocalization of the initial state regarding the generation of entanglement. We have obtained the asymptotic entanglement in QW starting from local and two kind of delocalized states, as well as a way to connect them through analytical and numerical analysis. For delocalized states, we found out a simple relation between the initial angles of the spin amplitudes (35), which always leads to the maximal
entanglement for Hadamard and Fourier (see "Appendix") walks. This relation for both cases expands our knowledge on maximal entanglement achievement from two specific spin states (local) up to a continuous set of initial spin states (delocalized). It is worth mentioning that the QW from delocalized states considered here have ballistic spreading since their evolution is ordered, as opposed to the diffusive behavior for disordered scenarios [15,16].

Finally, we hope that our findings help improve the development of entanglement generation protocols and the experimentalists can test our results in different experimental platforms [9].
In order to make it easier to manipulate, let us write a spectral decomposition of \( | \ \rangle \) with eigenvectors \( | \ \rangle \). At this point, we are able to write the time evolution of initial spin states (\( \beta = 0 \)) unlike the Hadamard (\( \beta = \pi/2 \)) [2]. The time evolution operator in the k-space considering a Fourier coin is

\[
U_F = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} e^{-ik} & ie^{ik} \\ e^{ik} & -e^{-ik} \end{pmatrix},
\]

with eigenvectors \( | \Phi^\pm_k \rangle \) given by

\[
| \Phi^\pm_k \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( 1 + \sin^2 k \pm \sin k \sqrt{1 + \sin^2 k} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -e^{-ik} \left( \sin k \pm \sqrt{1 + \sin^2 k} \right) \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},
\]

and the eigenvalues are

\[
\lambda^\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \cos k \mp i \sqrt{1 + \sin^2 k} \right).
\]

If we define a frequency \( \omega_k \) such that \( \cos(\omega_k) = \cos k / \sqrt{2} \) implies that

\[
\lambda^\pm = \cos(\omega_k) \mp i \sin(\omega_k) = e^{\mp i \omega_k}.
\]

At this point, we are able to write the time evolution \( | \Phi_k(t) \rangle = (U_F)^t | \Phi_k(0) \rangle \) by means of a spectral decomposition of \( U_F \)

\[
| \Phi_k(t) \rangle = e^{-i \omega_k t} \langle \Phi^+_k \rangle | \Phi_k(0) \rangle \langle \Phi^+_k | + e^{i \omega_k t} \langle \Phi^-_k \rangle | \Phi_k(0) \rangle \langle \Phi^-_k |.
\]

In order to make it easier to manipulate, let us write

\[
A^\pm_k = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \sin^2 k \pm \sin k \sqrt{1 + \sin^2 k} \right)^{-1},
\]

\[
u^\pm_k = -e^{-ik} \left( \sin k \pm \sqrt{1 + \sin^2 k} \right),
\]

in the eigenvectors [39], and since the initial state is \( | \Phi_k(0) \rangle = \left( \tilde{a}_k(0), \tilde{b}_k(0) \right)^T \) therefore we have

\[
| \Phi_k(t) \rangle = e^{-i \omega_k t} A^+_k \left( \begin{pmatrix} u_+^k \rangle^* \tilde{a}_k(0) + \tilde{b}_k(0) \rangle \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \nu^+_k \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}
+ e^{i \omega_k t} A^-_k \left( \begin{pmatrix} u_-^k \rangle^* \tilde{a}_k(0) + \tilde{b}_k(0) \rangle \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \nu^-_k \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},
\]

Let us consider \( | \Phi_k(t) \rangle = \left( \tilde{a}_k(t), \tilde{b}_k(t) \right)^T \) where

\[
a_k(t) = e^{-i \omega_k t} A^+_k \left( \begin{pmatrix} | u_+^k \rangle |^2 \tilde{a}_k(0) + u_+^k \tilde{b}_k(0) \rangle \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u^+_k \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}
+ e^{i \omega_k t} A^-_k \left( \begin{pmatrix} | u_-^k \rangle |^2 \tilde{a}_k(0) + u_-^k \tilde{b}_k(0) \rangle \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u^-_k \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
b_k(t) = e^{-i \omega_k t} A^+_k \left( \begin{pmatrix} | u_+^k \rangle \tilde{a}_k(0) \rangle + u_+^k \tilde{b}_k(0) \rangle \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u^+_k \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}
+ e^{i \omega_k t} A^-_k \left( \begin{pmatrix} | u_-^k \rangle \tilde{a}_k(0) \rangle + u_-^k \tilde{b}_k(0) \rangle \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u^-_k \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]
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Since $\hat{b}_k(t)$ is the simplest, we can use it to calculate the entanglement through the expressions,

$$ C(t) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{dk}{2\pi} |\hat{b}_k(t)|^2, $$

$$ B(t) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{dk}{2\pi} |\hat{b}_k(t)|^2. $$

When $t \to +\infty$, the time-dependent terms vanish \[23\], leading to

$$ C = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{dk}{2\pi} \left\{ (A_k^+)^2 |u_k|^2 |\hat{a}_k(0)|^2 + (u_k^+)^* \hat{a}_k(0) \hat{b}_k(0) + |\hat{b}_k(0)|^2 \right\} $$

$$ + (A_k^-)^2 |u_k|^2 |\hat{a}_k(0)|^2 + (u_k^-)^* \hat{a}_k(0) \hat{b}_k(0) + |\hat{b}_k(0)|^2 \right\}, \hspace{1em} (50) $$

$$ F = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{dk}{2\pi} \left\{ (A_k^+)^2 |u_k|^2 |\hat{a}_k(0)|^2 + (u_k^+)^* \hat{a}_k(0) \hat{b}_k(0) + |\hat{b}_k(0)|^2 \right\} $$

$$ + (A_k^-)^2 |u_k|^2 |\hat{a}_k(0)|^2 + (u_k^-)^* \hat{a}_k(0) \hat{b}_k(0) + |\hat{b}_k(0)|^2 \right\}. \hspace{1em} (51) $$

After inserting the Eqs. \[50\] and \[51\] in the entanglement Eq. \[4\], we reach the asymptotic entanglement given by \[20\] as function of a characteristic function \[21\].

**Local Initial State** Let us consider the initial local state given by k-space amplitudes $\hat{a}_k(0) = \cos(\alpha/2)$ and $\hat{b}_k(0) = e^{i\beta} \sin(\alpha/2)$ and inserting them in \[52\] and \[53\] to obtain the characteristic function for the Fourier walk,

$$ \mathcal{F}_F(\alpha, \beta) = (3 - 2\sqrt{2}) [1 - \sin(2\alpha) \sin \beta], \hspace{1em} (52) $$

which satisfies $\mathcal{F}_F(\alpha, \beta + \pi/2) = \mathcal{F}_F(\alpha, \beta)$ and the maximum entanglement is reached for $(\alpha, \beta) = (\pi/4, \pi/2)$ and $(3\pi/4, -\pi/2)$.

**Gaussian Initial State** Inserting the Gaussian k-space amplitudes \[54\] in \[20\] and \[21\] leads us to the characteristic function of Fourier walk starting from a Gaussian state,

$$ \mathcal{F}_F(\alpha, \beta, \sigma_0) = \frac{1}{2} (1 - 4f(\sigma_0))^2 [\cos \alpha - \sin \alpha \sin \beta]^2 + (4f(\sigma_0))^2 [\sin \alpha \cos \beta]^2, \hspace{1em} (53) $$

that also satisfies $\mathcal{F}_F(\alpha, \beta + \pi/2) = \mathcal{F}_F(\alpha, \beta)$. However, for this case $f(\sigma_0) \to 1/4$ when $\sigma_0 \gg 1$, therefore for a large initial dispersion we have,

$$ \mathcal{F}_F(\alpha, \beta) \sim (\sin \alpha \cos \beta)^2, \hspace{1em} (54) $$

which gives a maximum entanglement for $\beta = \pm \pi/2$ for any $\alpha$ as showed in Fig. \[5\].
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