A multiscale approach to blast neurotrauma modeling: part II: methodology for inducing blast injury to \textit{in vitro} models
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Due to the prominent role of improvised explosive devices (IEDs) in wounding patterns of U.S. war-fighters in Iraq and Afghanistan, blast injury has risen to a new level of importance and is recognized to be a major cause of injuries to the brain. However, an injury risk-function for microscopic, macroscopic, behavioral, and neurological deficits has yet to be defined. While operational blast injuries can be very complex and thus difficult to analyze, a simplified blast injury model would facilitate studies correlating biological outcomes with blast biomechanics to define tolerance criteria. Blast-induced traumatic brain injury (bTBI) results from the translation of a shock wave in-air, such as that produced by an IED, into a pressure wave within the skull–brain complex. Our blast injury methodology recapitulates this phenomenon \textit{in vitro}, allowing for control of the injury biomechanics via a compressed-gas shock tube used in conjunction with a custom-designed, fluid-filled receiver that contains the living culture. The receiver converts the air shock wave into a fast-rising pressure transient with minimal reflections, mimicking the intracranial pressure history in blast. We have developed an organotypic hippocampal slice culture model that exhibits cell death when exposed to a $530 \pm 17.7$-kPa peak overpressure with a $1.026 \pm 0.017$-ms duration and $190 \pm 10.7$-kPa-ms impulse in-air. We have also injured a simplified \textit{in vitro} model of the blood–brain barrier, which exhibits disrupted integrity immediately following exposure to $581 \pm 10.0$-kPa peak overpressure with a $1.067 \pm 0.006$-ms duration and $222 \pm 6.9$-kPa-ms impulse in-air. To better prevent and treat bTBI, both the initiating biomechanics and the ensuing pathobiology must be understood in greater detail. A well-characterized, \textit{in vitro} model of bTBI, in conjunction with animal models, will be a powerful tool for developing strategies to mitigate the risks of bTBI.
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**In vitro** biological models offer several advantages including ease of accessibility, allowing the sample to be viewed directly, and functional or biochemical measures to be taken before and after injury at multiple time points. Serial sampling helps to determine the evolution of the pathology for identification of not only therapeutic targets but also critical delivery time points to treat bTBI. **In vitro** injury models have the added advantage of precise control over the injury biomechanics. Taken together, these advantages make it possible to correlate biological outcome measures to injury parameters for defining tissue-level tolerance criteria. This is an advantage over using computational models to deduce the tissue-level injury criteria, since computational models can be highly sensitive to assumptions, including material properties, loading conditions, and interface parameters. **In vitro** blast injury lays a strong foundation for the development of bTBI models with increased complexity, facilitating a correlation between microscopic physiological damage witnessed **in vitro** to macroscopic damage and behavioral deficits **in vivo**.

**In vitro** models have increased our mechanistic understanding of TBI caused by blunt trauma or inertial mechanisms (i.e., motor vehicle accidents, falls, assaults) for both the initiating biomechanics and the ensuing pathobiology (Morrison et al., 1998, 2011). The development of **in vitro** blast injury models that recapitulate intracranial blast physics could accelerate future bTBI research in a similar manner. For this reason, we have developed an **in vitro** blast injury model that provides precise control of the overpressure biomechanics for correlating loading parameters to the living biological response (Panzer et al., 2012). To reproduce the intracranial milieu where the shock wave is translated to a fast-rising pressure wave, tissue cultures were submerged in a fluid-filled reservoir to simulate the surrounding brain. The resulting effect is an increase in the duration of the external pressure input that replicated pressure histories measured within the brain in experimental studies (Clemenson and Pettersson, 1956; Romba et al., 1961; Chavko et al., 2007; Saljo et al., 2008). Of particular significance, the blast injury methodology described here is compatible with many **in vitro** biological preparations with only minor adjustments (Panzer et al., 2012); we present data utilizing models of the brain parenchyma (organotypic hippocampal slice culture, OHSC) and the blood–brain barrier (BBB). This work is in conjunction with complementary characterization of test devices for **in vivo** and **in vitro** blast injury and methodology for their implementation with **in vivo** models.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**SHOCK TUBE**

Blast overpressures were generated with a 76-mm diameter aluminum shock tube with an adjustable-length driver section (25 mm used for the current studies) pressurized with helium and a 1240-mm long driven section (Panzer et al., 2012). The diaphragm was composed of polyethylene terephthalate (PET) membranes, and the thickness of the diaphragm was varied to control the burst pressure. Three piezoresistive pressure transducers (Endevco, San Juan Capistrano, CA, USA) flush-mounted at the exit of the shock tube and oriented perpendicular to the direction of propagation recorded side-on pressure. Analog outputs from the transducers were conditioned using instrumentation amplifiers (gain of 50) and low-pass filters (corner frequency of 40 kHz, Alligator Technologies, Costa Mesa, CA, USA). Signals were digitized with an X-series data acquisition card at 125 kHz using LabVIEW™ 2010 (National Instruments, Austin, TX, USA). Peak overpressure, duration, and impulse were calculated with custom MATLAB code (MathWorks, Natick, MA, USA). Room temperature, ambient pressure, tank pressure, and regulator settings were recorded for each blast.

**IN VITRO RECEIVER**

Cultures were placed in a fluid-filled blast receiver designed for use with the shock tube (Panzer et al., 2012). The **in vitro** blast injury receiver was composed of a polyethylene 57 L reservoir with a polycarbonate test column. The test column extended into the reservoir through a diverging nozzle. The geometry of the receiver resulted in the mitigation of the majority of the internally reflected pressure waves to reduce subsequent mechanical loading of the tissue. The end of the shock tube was placed flush with the top of the receiver and centered on its vertical axis. Turnbuckles were used to align the test column with the axis of the shock tube (Figure 1).

The test column was separated from the reservoir by a 250-μm thick polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) membrane to restrict bulk fluid motion. A PTFE membrane was secured in the middle of the test column for a reproducible sample location in the receiver. A silicone membrane (Specialty Manufacturing Inc., Saginaw, MI, USA) was secured to the open end of the test column with a hose clamp to prevent spray. Membrane materials used in the receiver were carefully chosen for impedance matching with water to prevent unintended reflections. Inclusion of air bubbles was meticulously prevented.

The receiver was filled with water maintained at 37°C with a heating element (Innomax, Denver, CO, USA) affixed to the...
receiver for all *in vitro* blast injuries and blast characterization. The water temperature in the test column was verified and recorded prior to and following each blast injury.

To characterize the loading of the culture sample, two submersible pressure transducers (Millar Instruments, Houston, TX, USA) were inserted into the test column adjacent to the sample. The face of each transducer was oriented into the direction of wave propagation. Data from these transducers were recorded as described above.

**OHSC Culture**

All animal procedures were approved by the Columbia University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC). According to previously published culture methods, P8-10 Sprague-Dawley rat pups were decapitated, and their brains were removed (Morrison et al., 2002, 2006; Cater et al., 2006, 2007; Elkin and Morrison, 2007). Hippocampi were excised and sectioned into 400 μm thick slices using a McIlwain tissue chopper (Harvard Apparatus, Holliston, MA, USA). Slices were separated aseptically in ice-cold Gey’s salt solution supplemented with 25 mM d-glucose (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) using blunt, plastic spatulas (Fisher, Pittsburgh, PA, USA). Slices were plated onto porous Millipore Millicell cell culture membranes (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). Slices were initially fed with Neurobasal medium supplemented with 1 mM L-glutamine, 1 × B27 supplement, 10 mM HEPES, and 25 mM d-glucose (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Culture medium was changed to conditioned full-serum medium (50% Minimum Essential Medium, 25% Hank’s Balanced Salt Solution, 25% heat inactivated horse serum, 2 μM L-glutamine, 25 mM d-glucose, 10 mM HEPES, Sigma) 3 days following plating. OHSC were subsequently fed with conditioned full-serum medium every 2–3 days. OHSC were cultured at 37°C and 5% CO₂.

After 10–14 days in culture, the baseline health of OHSC immediately prior to injury was assessed by quantifying pre-injury cell death with the fluorescent stain propidium iodide (PI, Invitrogen). OHSC with PI fluorescence greater than 10% in any region (DG, CA3, CA1) were not included in the study (see OHSC Cell Death Quantitative Analysis).

**BBB Model**

Using an endothelial monoculture model of the BBB (bEnd.3, mouse brain microvascular cell line, ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA) a total of 38,000 bEnd.3 cells were seeded on 1.12 cm², poly-l-lysine coated Transwell inserts in a 12-well plate (Corning Costar, Corning, NY, USA) and were cultured for 7 days according to published methods (Simon et al., 2010, 2011). Cells were grown in serum-containing medium [DMEM supplemented with 10% newborn calf serum (Invitrogen) and 4 mM glutamine (Sigma)] and fed every 2–3 days. bEnd.3 cells were cultured at 37°C and 5% CO₂.

Following 7 days in culture, to assess the baseline health of the monolayer immediately prior to injury, images of both bright-field and PI fluorescence were recorded, and baseline trans-endothelial electrical resistance (TEER) was measured. Cell monolayers with TEER less than 12 Ω cm² were not included in the study (see BBB CellDeath Quantitative Analysis; see Trans-endothelial Electrical Resistance).

**Blast Loading**

**OHSC Injury**

Prior to placing cultures in the receiver, individual culture wells were sealed inside sterile bags to prevent contamination, maintain medium pH, minimize bulk flow immediately around the sample, and minimize waste of culture medium. Small sterile bags made of 57 μm thick, low density polyethylene (Whirl Pak, Fort Atkinson, WI, USA) were filled with pre-warmed, serum-free culture medium that had been equilibrated with 5% CO₂/95% O₂ for 10 min. Care was taken to prevent entrapment of air bubbles. The culture and the bag were submerged in the test column of the receiver and oriented perpendicular to pressure wave propagation.

Injured cultures were subjected to a single blast exposure. Following blast exposure, the bag with the culture was immediately removed from the receiver, and the culture was returned to fresh, serum-free medium and incubated. Sham-exposed samples were sealed into bags with equilibrated, warmed, serum-free medium, and submerged in the receiver for an equivalent period, but the shock tube was not fired. Resultant cell death was measured 4 days following blast injury given the delayed cell death response of OHSC exposed to stretch-injury (Morrison et al., 1998, 2002, 2003, 2006; Cater et al., 2006, 2007).

**BBB Injury**

Prior to placing cultures in the receiver, individual Transwells were sealed inside sterile bags to prevent contamination, maintain medium pH, minimize bulk flow immediately around the sample, and minimize waste of culture medium (Whirl Pak). Sample bags were filled with pre-warmed, serum-containing culture medium that had been equilibrated with 5% CO₂/95% O₂ for 10 min. Care was taken to prevent entrapment of air bubbles. The culture and the bag were submerged in the test column of the receiver and oriented perpendicular to the direction of wave propagation.

Injured cultures were subjected to a single blast exposure. Following blast exposure, the bag with the culture was immediately removed from the receiver, and the culture was returned to the incubator in fresh medium. Sham-exposed samples were sealed into bags and submerged in the receiver for an equivalent period, but the shock tube was not fired.

**Excitotoxic Injury**

After OHSC had been imaged for blast-induced cell death, total cell death resultant from an excitotoxic injury was induced. OHSC medium was switched to a 10-mM glutamate solution in serum-free medium. Cultures were incubated for 3 h and then returned to fresh serum-free medium. Cell death resulting from excitotoxic injury was determined 24 h later with PI staining and imaging (see OHSC Cell Death Quantitative Analysis).

**Cell Death Analysis**

**OHSC Cell Death Quantitative Analysis**

PI fluorescence was used to quantify cell death prior to and 4 days following injury and 1 day following excitotoxic injury. OHSC were incubated in 2.5 μM PI in serum-free medium for 1 h before imaging. Images were acquired using an Olympus IX81
microscope with 568/24 excitation and 610/40 emission filters. Following imaging, cultures were returned to fresh, serum-free medium. Cell death was determined for specific OHSC regions (DG, CA1, CA3), as previously described, using MetaMorph [Molecular Devices, Downingtown, PA, USA (Morrison et al., 2002, 2003, 2006; Cater et al., 2006; Elkin and Morrison, 2007)]. In brief, the same threshold for fluorescence was used to analyze all images at each time point. Tissue damage at a given time point was quantified as the percentage area of a specific region exhibiting fluorescence above the threshold. Changes in percent cell death were normalized to maximum cell death resulting from excitotoxic injury.

**BBB cell death quantitative analysis**

PI fluorescence was used to quantify cell death prior to, 2 and 8 h after injury. Cell monolayers were incubated in 2.5 μM PI for 1 h in serum-free medium before imaging. Images were acquired using an Olympus IX81 microscope with 568/24 excitation and 610/40 emission filters. Images were examined using MetaMorph (Molecular Devices, Downingtown, PA, USA). In brief, the same threshold for fluorescence was used to analyze all images at each time point. Tissue damage at a given time point was quantified as the number of dead cells per area of a specific region exhibiting fluorescence above the threshold. Following imaging, PI medium was aspirated completely, and the cultures were returned to fresh, supplemented culture medium.

**TRANS-ENDOTHELIAL ELECTRICAL RESISTANCE**

Changes in TEER were quantified using an Endohm-12 chamber electrode connected to an EVOMX Epithelial Voltohmmeter (World Precision Instruments), taking into account the TEER of cell-free Transwell filters. TEER values were normalized to the membrane surface area. TEER measurements were taken immediately prior to and after injury. Sham-exposed samples were processed identically to blast-exposed cultures.

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

A univariate general linear model was used to analyze the complete data set for each region of the OHSC with cell death as the dependent variable and experimental group (sham, injured) as the fixed factor (SPSS v. 19, IBM, Armonk, NY, USA, significance *p < 0.05). Independent samples t-tests were used to analyze the results of injured and sham-exposed BBB cultures, with TEER as the dependent variable (SPSS v. 19, significance *p < 0.05).

**RESULTS**

Characterization of the shock tube was performed without the receiver in place (Figure 2). Pressure time-histories recorded at the end of the shock tube were typical of a Friedlander wave (Figure 2B) and demonstrated good inter-test consistency (Figure 2A). Duration of the positive pressure phase was correlated with peak overpressure, and the relationship was well-defined by a second-order polynomial fit (Figure 2C). The impulse was modeled by a second-order polynomial fit (Figure 2D). For the open tube, the impulses were plotted as a function of peak overpressures for each blast and fit to a second-order polynomial (n = 78). For the open tube, the impulses were plotted as a function of peak overpressures for each blast and fit to a second-order polynomial (n = 78).
FIGURE 3 | Characterization of the shock tube and fluid pressures with receiver in place. (A) An in-air pressure transducer (blue trace) located at the exit of the shock tube recorded pressure transients in-air for a shock produced from a 508-μm thick burst membrane with the receiver in place. The peak pressure in-air of the incident shock wave upon exiting the shock tube is denoted by “I,” and the peak pressure of the reflection is denoted as “R.” Two submersible transducers, located above or below the sample holder (including culture well, bag, and PTFE membrane; red and green traces, respectively) demonstrated the absence of attenuation through the in vitro set-up. (B) The peak overpressures from the submersible transducer below the sample holder were correlated to peak pressures measured by the in-air transducers. (C) The durations within the fluid-filled receiver were plotted against peak overpressures measured within the fluid-filled receiver, with no correlation to pressure found. (D) The impulses within the fluid-filled receiver were correlated to peak overpressures measured within the fluid-filled receiver and approximated using a linear fit.

also correlated with peak overpressure and was well-defined by a second-order polynomial as well (Figure 2D).

Placement of the receiver below the shock tube significantly altered the pressure recorded by the transducers at the end of the shock tube (Figure 3A). Similar to the principles of transmission for acoustic waves, the pressure history revealed two waves produced from the interaction of the incident shock wave with the top surface of the fluid-filled receiver. The incident pressure of the shock wave exiting the shock tube (Figure 3A, point “I”) remained discernable in the pressure trace as the first peak. However, the subsequent, larger peak (Figure 3A, point “R”) was due to the shock wave reflecting off the liquid surface and re-entering the shock tube. The presence of the receiver effectively altered the pressure history, affecting the peak pressure, duration, and impulse. These parameters were highly sensitive to the placement and interaction of the receiver with the shock wave, so we have chosen to characterize the applied blast loading by the pressure history in the open tube configuration and by the pressure experienced directly by the biological sample (Chavko et al., 2011). The close temporal relationship and the significantly higher magnitude of the pressure of the reflected wave can make it difficult to identify the peak pressure of the incident shock wave. Misappropriation of the reflection as the incident shock could confound interpretation of the loading conditions and lead to the erroneous conclusion that the presence of an object amplified the incident shock wave.

Transducers located on the upstream and downstream side of the sample holder (culture well, bag, and PTFE membrane) recorded the pressure transients within the fluid-filled receiver (Figure 3A). The peak overpressures measured by the upstream and downstream transducers were 405 ± 17.0 and 405 ± 15.0 kPa with durations of 1.8 ± 0.036 and 1.8 ± 0.004 ms, respectively (n = 3). These results indicated that the propagation of the pressure wave was not affected by the presence of the culture well, bag, or PTFE membrane. The relationship between the peak incident pressure (in-air) and the peak pressure in the sample receiver was linear ($R^2 = 0.90474$) over the pressure range tested (Figure 3B). As peak pressure increased, the duration values remained consistent, increasing slightly over the upper range of pressures but did not correlate with the incident pressure (Figure 3C). The durations ranged from 1.384 to 2.248 ms. Impulse correlated linearly ($R^2 = 0.9331$) to peak overpressure measured in the fluid-filled receiver (Figure 3D). Impulse values ranged from 265.6 to 757.6 kPa-ms.

Exposure of OHSC to 530 ± 17.7 kPa peak incident pressure, 1.026 ± 0.017 ms duration, and 190 ± 10.7 kPa-ms impulse in-air increased cell death 4 days following blast (Figure 4). Injured tissue cultures experienced significantly more cell death 4 days following blast as compared to sham-exposed samples in all regions of the hippocampus (Figure 4A). Injured tissue appeared darker in bright-field images (Figure 4B), indicative of ultrastructural...
In vitro blast injury methodology

FIGURE 4 | In vitro blast injury of OHSC. OHSC were exposed to 530 ± 17.7 kPa peak incident overpressure, 1.026 ms duration ± 0.017 ms, and 190 ± 10.7 kPa-ms impulse in-air. (A) Injured OHSC experienced increased cell death 4 days following blast. Cell death increased significantly across all regions of injured OHSC as compared to sham-exposed cultures (*p < 0.05; SEM; Sham n = 21; Injured n = 13). (B) Bright-field and fluorescent images of injured tissue revealed significant PI staining 4 days following injury. (C) Sham-exposed cultures maintained normal morphology with minimal cell death over the 4-day period following injury.

Figure 4

DISCUSSION

Tissue-level injury criteria for the brain from blast loading have yet to be published. In vitro models of the brain have proven to be highly predictive of the brain's response to injury in vivo and additionally allow for precise control and characterization of injury biomechanics (Morrison et al., 2011). The choice of the blast injury model and its characterization are critical for reproducing operationally relevant loading histories. With a realistic injury model, understanding the energy transfer to the tissue and the resultant biological response can begin. The in vitro blast injury methodology described here benefits from twofold utility to this end: (1) an easily modifiable receiver to accommodate various in vitro biological models and (2) a high degree of characterization for correlating primary blast exposure to biological outcomes. Development of an in vitro injury risk-function coupled with a strong understanding of damage mechanisms will supplement in vivo studies to facilitate an understanding of the intrinsic and extrinsic signals essential in the overall, neurological outcome following blast injury.

Organotypic hippocampal slice culture were chosen for this study because they have proven to be biofidelic in recapitulating the progressive neurodegenerative cascades and delayed cell death observed in animal models of neurodegeneration (Morrison et al., 1998, 2002, 2011; Sundstrom et al., 2005; Cater et al., 2006, 2007). After blast exposure, cell death in OHSC was largely isolated to the principal cell layers in all regions of the hippocampus (i.e., pyramidal and granule cells), similar to cell death patterns observed in in vitro models of inertial injuries (i.e., stretch or shear). Although the biomechanics of blast (low strain, high strain rate) and inertial injuries (large strain, relatively low strain rates) are fundamentally different, the similar pattern observed suggests that similar
FIGURE 5 | Trans-endothelial electrical resistance (TEER) and bEnd.3 monolayer viability following blast injury. Cultures were exposed to 581 ± 10.0 kPa peak overpressure with a 1.067 ± 0.006-ms duration and 222 ± 6.9 kPa-ms impulse in-air. (A) An acute decrease in TEER was observed for the injured cultures immediately following injury to 75 ± 7% of pre-exposure levels (*p < 0.05; SEM; Sham n = 6; Injured n = 6). Bright-field and PI fluorescence images were taken immediately prior to, 2 and 8 h following injury. (B) The number of dead cells in injured cultures as compared to controls was not significantly higher at the pre-injury, 2 h post-injury, and 8 h post-injury time points tested. (C) Blast exposure resulted in no change in morphology and only minimal cell death (white arrows) at 2 and 8 h post-exposure. (D) Sham exposure resulted in no change in morphology or PI staining, indicating a lack of cell death.

Cell types are vulnerable to both loading conditions (Morrison et al., 1998, 2002, 2003, 2006; Cater et al., 2006, 2007). Future studies will determine whether the pathobiology initiated by both injuries differs. Studies in the rat have observed dose-dependent induction of axonal damage, activation of apoptotic transcription factors, and cell death in the hippocampus after exposure to 154 and 240 kPa incident overpressure with associated 1.7 and 2 ms durations, respectively (Kaur et al., 1995; Saljo et al., 2002a,b). These changes occurred between 2 h and 21 days following injury, suggesting that blast initiates an extended pathobiology that results in progressive neurodegenerative changes (Saljo et al., 2003). One limitation of these findings reported by Kaur et al. (1995) was that the overpressure history was exceedingly complex, being formed from an explosive charge detonated within a closed bunker. Saljo et al. (2002a,b) utilized the same blast parameters under more controlled conditions, using an explosive-driven blast tube; however, a limitation of their studies was that the thorax was not protected during exposure such that the response could have been due to pulmonary effects of blast. A primary conclusion from our study was that principal cells of the hippocampus were vulnerable to primary blast injury without a complex overpressure history. One limitation of our study is that we did not investigate the time-course of this cell death response; therefore we were unable to report whether cell death was acute or delayed. Future studies are necessary to explore the induced pathobiology in more detail, specifically to determine OHSC tolerance and mechanisms of cell death. However, our results do establish the feasibility of inducing hippocampal cell death in response to a pressure transient that mimics operationally relevant primary blast loading.

Previous studies have reported an acute increase in BBB permeability as observed by IgG immunoreactivity in rat brain at 3 and 24 h following exposure to a shock wave of 120 kPa (duration not reported) or 240 kPa peak overpressure with 4 ms duration (Readnower et al., 2010; Garman et al., 2011). This acute disruption of the barrier was consistent with our findings that TEER of endothelial monolayers—an indicator of BBB integrity—decreased immediately after exposure to overpressure. In vivo, BBB damage was reported to be more severe in the cerebral cortex and underlying striatum contralateral to the direction of the impinging shock wave (Garman et al., 2011). The authors speculated that diffraction of the shock wave around the skull produced a localized region of imploding shock amplification on the contralateral side, suggesting that their reported pressures may have been underestimates of the in situ pressures required to induce BBB damage. One limitation of our data presented for disruption of endothelial monolayer integrity is the lack of a TEER time-course recorded following exposure to blast overpressure. Previous studies have reported restoration of the compromised BBB 72 h following blast, evidenced by the return of IgG immunoreactivity to control levels (Readnower et al., 2010; Garman et al., 2011). In addition, we found that cell death of blast-exposed cultures was not significantly higher than that of sham-exposed cultures at each time point tested, further ruling out the possibility of cell death as the underlying cause for acute changes in TEER following...
injury. The slightly elevated number of dead cells in both sham-exposed and injured groups at 2 h post-injury may have been due to sample handling involved with sham and blast injury. Less propidium iodide staining at the 8-h time point following injury is thought to be the result of detachment of dead cells during the staining process. Together, these data suggest that BBB disruption could be a transient phenomenon not caused solely by cell death, with a time-course and mechanisms of repair that warrant further investigation.

Previously published in vitro models of bTBI have reported the incident overpressure of the blast wave but not the loading conditions at the tissue-level (Leung et al., 2008; Arun et al., 2011; Connell et al., 2011). Without a tissue-level biomechanical context, it is difficult to make quantitative comparisons between biological outcomes from different studies given the potential for vastly different loading conditions. In a high-throughput model of blast, rodent- and human-derived neuroblastoma cell lines were injured by exposure to a single overpressure of 145 kPa; however, the study reported the paradoxical finding of reduced injury after multiple exposures (Arun et al., 2011). For this study, cell cultures in 96-well plates were exposed to blast inside the shock tube. The injury biomechanics at the sample-level were not reported. In a different in vitro blast injury model, the excised spinal cord was subjected to strains as high as 60% with a jet of gas produced by a blast tube, which did not accurately reproduce the low strain, high strain rate biomechanics of blast (Connell et al., 2011). In each of these previous studies, overpressures were applied directly to the culture preparations, so the loading conditions were not representative of internal physiological loading conditions. Our studies benefited from a novel fluid-filled receiver, which propagated a fast-rising pressure wave through the tissue to reproduce in situ intracranial biomechanics (Panzer et al., 2012). In addition, the receiver allowed for measurement of the injury parameters that directly interact with the tissue.

Combining tissue culture models with blast modeling held additional challenges related to the maintenance of important culture conditions during injury. Many of these challenges were surmounted by encasing the cultures within sterile, media-filled bags. Critically, we showed that the bag, sample holder, and culture wells did not alter the passage of the pressure wave. Isolating the cultures from the fluid in the receiver prevented infections, which was absolutely required for analysis of cell death over 4 days. To maintain physiologic pH during injury, culture medium was equilibrated with 5% CO2/95% O2, which was critical since pH changes can induce excitotoxicity of pyramidal neurons (Pringle et al., 2000) and interneurons (Wang and Xu, 2011; Zhao et al., 2011). The fluid in the receiver was maintained at 37˚C to prevent hypothermia, which is highly neuroprotective in multiple models of neurodegeneration (Dietrich et al., 1994; Tymianski et al., 1998; Lawrence et al., 2005). The sample bags also reduced bulk fluid flow in the immediate vicinity of the cultures, thereby reducing unwanted tissue deformation after passage of the pressure wave.

Development and implementation of a simplified model of blast injury for the definition of blast tolerance criteria is only a first step toward an understanding of the acute and long-term pathobiology of bTBI. However, there were some limitations associated with this study. Blast exposure was simulated as a Friedlander wave without the complexity caused by reflections that occur operationally. Currently our device is not configured to reproduce more complex blasts but could be modified to do so (Panzer et al., 2012). Preparation of OHSC was a lengthy and technically challenging culture process with a culture period of 2 weeks prior to injury to ensure tissue health and maturation (Morrison et al., 2003). bEnd.3 cells were chosen to model the BBB; however, bEnd.3 cells are a cell line, and therefore may respond differently to blast as compared to primary endothelial cells. Although the shock tube requires minimal set-up, the preparation of the in vitro biological samples to prevent infection and control physiologic parameters during exposure decreased throughput.

In vitro models of the brain parenchyma (OHSC) and BBB were exposed to simulated blast loading with our unique system consisting of a shock tube and a specialized receiver, resulting in cell death in OHSC and disruption of tight junction integrity in our BBB cultures. Our in vitro blast model benefited from the ability to measure the loading conditions at the level of the cell or tissue sample. Future studies will utilize our well-characterized blast injury model and methods along with biomarkers previously shown to be valuable for bTBI to correlate injury biomechanics to biological responses.
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