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HIGHLIGHTS

• Transmission dynamics of COVID-19 is due to air pollution-to-human transmission rather than human-to-human transmission
• Cities with more than 100 days of air pollution have a very high average number of infected individuals
• Transmission dynamics of COVID-19 has a high association with air pollution of cities in the presence of low wind speed
• Polluting cities in hinterland with low speed of wind have a high number of infected individuals than coastal cities
• A strategy to prevent future epidemics has also to be based on sustainability science and environmental science

ABSTRACT

This study has two goals. The first is to explain the geo-environmental determinants of the accelerated diffusion of COVID-19 that is generating a high level of deaths. The second is to suggest a strategy to cope with future epidemic threats similar to COVID-19 having an accelerated viral infectivity in society. Using data on sample of N = 55 Italian province capitals, and data of infected individuals at as of April 7th, 2020, results reveal that the accelerate and vast diffusion of COVID-19 in North Italy has a high association with air pollution of cities measured with days exceeding the limits set for PM10 (particulate matter 10 μm or less in diameter) or ozone. In particular, hinterland cities with average high number of days exceeding the limits set for PM10 (and also having a low wind speed) have a very high number of infected people on 7th April 2020 (arithmetic mean is about 2200 infected individuals, with average polluted days greater than 80 days per year), whereas coastal cities also having days exceeding the limits set for PM10 or ozone but with high wind speed have about 944.70 average infected individuals, with about 60 average polluted days per year; moreover, cities having more than 100 days of air pollution (exceeding the limits set for PM10), they have a very high average number of infected people (about 3350 individuals). The findings here also suggest that to minimize the impact of future epidemics similar to COVID-19, the max number of days per year that Italian provincial capitals or similar industrialized cities can exceed the limits set for PM10 or for ozone, considering their meteorological conditions, is about 48 days. Moreover, results here reveal that the explanatory variable of air pollution in
26,640 confirmed deaths in Italy and more than 206,560 deaths worldwide. The problem and goals of this investigation are to explain the main factors determining the transmission dynamics of COVID-19 among Italian province capitals. The investigation of second and other subsequent epidemic waves of COVID-19 (European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control, 2020; Quilty et al., 2020). Wells et al. (2020) argue that at the very early stage of the epidemic, reduction in the rate of exportation could delay the importation of cases into cities or nations unaffected or with low number of cases of COVID-19, to gain time to coordinate an appropriate public health response. After that, rapid contact tracing is basic within the epicenter and within and between importation cities to limit human-to-human transmission outside of outbreak cities or countries, also applying appropriate isolation of cases (Wells et al., 2020). For instance, the severe acute respiratory syndrome outbreak in 2003 started in southern China was able to be controlled through tracing contacts of cases because the majority of transmission occurred after symptom onset (Glasser et al., 2011). These interventions also play a critical role in response to outbreaks where onset of symptoms and infectiousness are concurrent, such as Ebola virus disease (WHO, 2020b; Swanson et al., 2018), MERS (Public Health England, 2019; Kang et al., 2016) and other viral diseases (Hoang et al., 2019; European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control, 2020a). Kucharski et al. (2020) claim that the isolation of cases and contact tracing can be less effective for COVID-19 because infectiousness starts before the onset of symptoms (cf., Fraser et al., 2004; Peak et al., 2017). Hellewell et al. (2020) show that effective contact tracing and case isolation are enough to control a new outbreak of COVID-19 within three months, but the probability of control decreases with long delays from symptom onset to isolation that increase transmission before symptoms. In the presence of COVID-19 outbreaks, it is crucial to explain the determinants of the transmission dynamics of this infectious disease for designing strategies to stop or reduce diffusion, empowering health policy with economic, social and environmental interventions. This study focuses on causes of the diffusion of viral infectivity, this study must conclude that a comprehensive strategy to prevent future epidemics similar to COVID-19 has to be also designed in environmental and socioeconomic terms, that is also based on sustainability science and environmental science, and not only in terms of biology, medicine, healthcare and health sector.
the causes of the accelerated diffusion of Coronavirus infection is done with a philosophical approach sensu the scholar Vico\(^1\) (Flint, 1884). The method of inquiry here is also based on Kantian approach in which theoretical framework and empirical data complement each other and are inseparable. In this case, the truth on this phenomenon under study, i.e., transmission dynamics of COVID-19, is a result of synthesis (Churchman, 1971).

### 2.2. Measures

The unit of analysis here is Italian provincial cities. In a perspective of reductionism approach for statistical analysis and decision making, this study focuses on following measures.

- **Pollution.** Total days exceeding the limits set for PM\(_{10}\) (particulate matter 10 µm or less in diameter) or for ozone in the 55 Italian provincial capitals over 2018 year. This measure has stability over time and the strategy of using the year 2018, before the COVID-19 outbreak in Italy, is to consider the temporal health effects of air pollution on population (Brunekreef and Holgate, 2002a,b). In fact, number of days of air pollution within Italian cities is a main factor that affects both health of population and environment (Legambiente, 2019).

- **Diffusion of COVID-19.** Number of infected individuals from 17th March 2020 to 7th April 2020 (Ministero della Salute, 2020). Infected individuals are detected with COVID-19 tests according to following criteria:
  - Have fever or lower respiratory symptoms (cough, shortness of breath) and close contact with a confirmed COVID-19 case within the past 14 days; OR
  - Have fever and lower respiratory symptoms (cough, shortness of breath) and a negative rapid flu test.

- **Meteorological information.** Average temperature in °C, Moisture %, wind speed in km/h, days of rain and fog from 1st February to 1st April 2020 (il Meteo, 2020).

- **Interpersonal contact rates.** A proxy of interpersonal contact here considers the density of population (individual/km\(^2\)) in 2019 of Italian province capitals (ISTAT, 2020).

### 2.3. Data analysis and procedure

This study analyses a database of \(N = 55\) Italian provincial capitals, considering variables in 2018–2019 to explain the relationships between diffusion of COVID-19, demographic, geographical and environmental variables.

Firstly, preliminary analyses of variables are descriptive statistics based on mean (\(M\)), std. deviation (SD), skewness and kurtosis coefficients to assess the normality of distributions and, if necessary to fix the distributions of variables under study with a log-transformation.

Statistical analyses are performed categorizing Italian provincial capitals in groups as follows:

- **Hinterland cities**
- **Coastal cities**

Categorization in:

- Cities with higher wind speed
- Cities with lower wind speed

Categorization in:

- Cities of North Italy
- Cities of Central-South Italy

Categorization in:

- Cities with >100 days per year exceeding the limits set for PM\(_{10}\) or for ozone
- Cities with <100 days per year exceeding the limits set for PM\(_{10}\) or for ozone

Categorization in (2 categories):

- Cities with ≤1000 inhabitant/km\(^2\)
- Cities with >1000 inhabitant/km\(^2\)

Categorization in (3 categories):

- Cities with ≤500 inhabitant/km\(^2\)
- Cities with 500–1500 inhabitant/km\(^2\)
- Cities with >1500 inhabitants/km\(^2\)

Secondly, bivariate and partial correlations verify relationships (or associations) between variables understudy, and measure the degree of association. After that, the null hypothesis (\(H_0\)) and alternative hypothesis (\(H_1\)) of the significance test for correlation is computed, considering two-tailed significance test.

Thirdly, the statistical analysis considers the relation between independent and dependent variables. In particular, the dependent variable (number of infected individuals across Italian provincial capitals) is a linear function of a single explanatory variable given by total days exceeding the limits set for PM\(_{10}\) or ozone across Italian province capitals. Dependent variables have a lag of 1 years in comparison with explanatory variables to consider temporal effects of air pollution predictor on environment and health of population in the presence of viral infectivity by COVID-19 in cities of Italy (\(N = 55\)).

The specification of the linear relationship is a log-log model:

\[
\log y_t = \alpha + \beta \log x_{t-1} + u
\]

\(\alpha\) is a constant; \(\beta\) = coefficient of regression; \(u\) = error term

\(y\) = dependent variable is number of infected individuals in cities

\(x\) = explanatory variable is a measure of air pollution, given by total days exceeding the limits set for PM\(_{10}\) or ozone in cities

This study also extends the statistical analysis with a multiple regression model to assess how different indicators can affect the diffusion of COVID-19. The specification of the linear relationship is also a...
The goal here is to apply an optimization approach to calculate the minimum number of days that cities can exceed the limit set for air pollution and that minimizes the number of infected individuals can suggest implications of proactive strategies and critical decisions of crisis management to cope with future epidemics similar to COVID-19 in society.

Finally, if \( y_t \) is number of infected individuals referred to a specific day, and Eq. (1) is calculated for each day changing dependent variable by using data of infected individuals at day \( t = 1, \ldots, \), \( t = n \), the variation of coefficients of regression \( b_i (i = 1, \ldots, n) \), during quarantine and lockdown in Italy, can be used to assess with a good approximation the possible end of epidemic wave as follows:

\[
\Delta b_{t+1} - \Delta b_t = \Delta b I
\]

\[
\Delta b_{t+2} - \Delta b_{t+1} = \Delta b 2
\]

\[
\ldots
\]

\[
\Delta b_{t-n} - \Delta b_{t-n-1} = \Delta b t + n
\]

Average reduction is \( \Delta \bar{b} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Delta b_i}{n} \)

Decreasing \( b_i \) at day 1, day-by-day, of the constant value \( \Delta \bar{b} \) the \( i \)-th day when \( b_i \) close to 0 (zero), it suggests with a good approximation the ending tail of epidemic wave, *ceteris paribus* (meaning "other things equal") quarantine and lockdown. Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) method is applied for estimating the unknown parameters in linear regression models [1–3]. Statistical analyses are performed with the Statistics Software SPSS® version 24.

### 3. Results

Descriptive statistics of variables in log scale, based on Italian province capitals \( N = 55 \), indicate normal distributions that are appropriate to apply parametric analyses.

Table 1 shows that hinterland cities have a average higher level of infected individuals than coastal cities. Hinterland cities have also a higher

### Table 1

Descriptive statistics of Hinterland and Coastal Italian province capitals.

|                        | Days exceeding limits set for PM\(_{10}\) or ozone | Infected 17th March 2020 | Infected 7th April 2020 | Density inhabitants/km\(^2\) | Temp °C Feb–Mar | Moisture % Feb–Mar | Wind km/h Feb–Mar | Rain days Feb–Mar | Fog days Feb–Mar |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| **Hinterland cities**   |                                                 |                          |                         |                               |                 |                   |                   |                 |                 |
| \( N = 45 \)           |                                                 |                          |                         |                               |                 |                   |                   |                 |                 |
| Mean                   | 80.40                                           | 497.00                   | 2201.44                 | 1480.11                       | 9.11            | 68.31             | 8.02              | 4.81            | 4.14            |
| Std. Deviation         | 41.66                                           | 767.19                   | 2568.34                 | 1524.25                       | 2.20            | 7.68              | 3.69              | 2.38            | 3.13            |
| **Coastal cities**     |                                                 |                          |                         |                               |                 |                   |                   |                 |                 |
| \( N = 10 \)           |                                                 |                          |                         |                               |                 |                   |                   |                 |                 |
| Mean                   | 59.40                                           | 171.30                   | 944.70                  | 1332.80                       | 10.61           | 74.40             | 11.73             | 5.10            | 3.25            |
| Std. Deviation         | 38.61                                           | 164.96                   | 718.17                  | 2463.04                       | 2.20            | 7.38              | 2.60              | 2.71            | 3.68            |

### Table 2

Descriptive statistics of cities with higher or lower wind speed.

|                        | Days exceeding limits set for PM\(_{10}\) or ozone | Infected 17th March 2020 | Infected 7th April 2020 | Density inhabitants/km\(^2\) | Temp °C Feb–Mar | Moisture % Feb–Mar | Wind km/h Feb–Mar | Rain days Feb–Mar | Fog days Feb–Mar |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| **Cities with lower wind speed** |                                                 |                          |                         |                               |                 |                   |                   |                 |                 |
| \( N = 41 \)           |                                                 |                          |                         |                               |                 |                   |                   |                 |                 |
| Mean                   | 84.32                                           | 536.20                   | 2383.66                 | 1517.41                       | 9.05            | 68.23             | 7.30              | 4.56            | 4.18            |
| Std. Deviation         | 43.31                                           | 792.84                   | 2624.03                 | 1569.70                       | 2.12            | 7.50              | 2.77              | 2.33            | 2.94            |
| **Cities with higher wind speed** |                                                 |                          |                         |                               |                 |                   |                   |                 |                 |
| \( N = 14 \)           |                                                 |                          |                         |                               |                 |                   |                   |                 |                 |
| Mean                   | 53.93                                           | 149.57                   | 770.14                  | 1265.64                       | 10.36           | 72.89             | 12.77             | 5.75            | 3.39            |
| Std. Deviation         | 25.87                                           | 153.55                   | 633.21                  | 2108.31                       | 2.43            | 8.37              | 3.46              | 2.56            | 4.00            |
level of air pollution (average days per year) than coastal cities, in a meteorological context of lower average temperature, lower average wind speed, lower number of rain days and lower level of moisture % than coastal cities (in February-March, 2020).

Table 2 shows that cities with low wind speed (7.3 km/h) have also an average higher level of infected individuals than cities having higher wind speed (average of 12.77 km/h in February-March, 2020). Cities with lower intensity of wind speed have also a higher level of air pollution (average days per year), in a meteorological context of lower average temperature, less rain days, lower level of moisture % and higher average number of days of fog.

Table 3 shows that cities in the central and southern part of Italy have a lower number of infected individuals than cities in North Italy. This result is in an environment with lower level of air pollution (average days per year), higher average temperature, higher average intensity of wind speed, higher number of rain days and lower level of moisture %.

Table 4 confirms previous results considering cities with >100 days exceeding limits set for PM10 or ozone; they have, versus cities with less than 100 days of air pollution, a very high level of infected individuals, in an environment with a higher average density of population, lower average intensity of wind speed, lower temperature, higher average level of moisture % and higher number of days of fog.

Tables 5–6 show results considering the categorization of cities per density of population (individuals/km²). Results reveal that average number of infected individuals increases with average density of people/km², but with an arithmetic growth, in comparison to geometric growth of the number of infected individuals with other proposed categorizations of cities. These findings suggest that density of population per km² is important for transmission dynamics but other factors may support the acceleration of viral infectivity by COVID-19 in association with higher probability of interpersonal contacts in cities having high population density.

In short, results suggest that among Italian province capitals, the number of infected people is higher in: cities with >100 days exceeding limits set for PM10 or ozone, located in hinterland zones, having a low wind speed and lower temperature in °C.

Table 7 shows the association between variables of infected individuals on 17th March and 7th April 2020, and other variables: a correlation higher than 60% (p-value < 0.001) is between air pollution and infected individuals, a lower coefficient of correlation is between density of population and infected individuals (r = 48–53%, p-value < 0.001). Results also show a negative correlation between number of infected individuals and intensity of wind speed (r = −38% and −31%, p-value < 0.05 on 17th March and 7th April 2020 respectively);

### Table 3
Descriptive statistics of Northern and Central-Southern Italian province capitals.

|                  | Days exceeding limits set for PM10 or ozone | Infected 17th March 2020 | Infected 7th April 2019 | Density inhabitants/km² | Temp °C Feb–Mar 2020 | Moisture % Feb–Mar 2020 | Wind km/h Feb–Mar 2020 | Rain days Feb–Mar 2020 | Fog days Feb–Mar 2020 |
|------------------|-------------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
|                  | 2018                                       | 2020                     | 2019                   |                          |                       |                          |                         |                        |                        |
| Northern cities  | N = 45                                     |                          |                        |                          |                       |                          |                         |                        |                        |
| Mean             | 80.51                                     | 515.60                   | 2321.20                | 1448.00                  | 9.05                  | 69.40                    | 7.89                    | 4.80                   | 4.31                   |
| Std. Deviation   | 42.67                                     | 759.18                   | 2504.57                | 1538.10                  | 1.97                  | 7.61                     | 3.15                    | 2.42                   | 3.06                   |
| Central-Southern| N = 10                                     |                          |                        |                          |                       |                          |                         |                        |                        |
| Mean             | 58.90                                     | 87.60                    | 405.80                 | 1477.30                  | 10.88                 | 69.50                    | 12.31                   | 5.15                   | 2.50                   |
| Std. Deviation   | 32.36                                     | 129.98                   | 444.85                 | 2424.50                  | 2.92                  | 9.64                     | 4.44                    | 2.52                   | 3.65                   |

### Table 4
Descriptive statistics of Italian provincial capitals according to days exceeding the limits set for PM10 or ozone.

|                  | Days exceeding limits set for PM10 or ozone | Infected 17th March 2020 | Infected 7th April 2019 | Density inhabitants/km² | Temp °C Feb–Mar 2020 | Moisture % Feb–Mar 2020 | Wind km/h Feb–Mar 2020 | Rain days Feb–Mar 2020 | Fog days Feb–Mar 2020 |
|------------------|-------------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
|                  | 2018                                       | 2020                     | 2019                   |                          |                       |                          |                         |                        |                        |
| Cities with >100 | N = 20                                     |                          |                        |                          |                       |                          |                         |                        |                        |
| Mean             | 125.25                                    | 881.70                   | 3650.00                | 1981.40                  | 9.19                  | 71.30                    | 7.67                    | 4.80                   | 4.88                   |
| Std. Deviation   | 13.40                                     | 1010.97                  | 3238.82                | 1988.67                  | 1.46                  | 7.63                     | 2.86                    | 2.57                   | 2.65                   |
| Cities with <100 | N = 35                                     |                          |                        |                          |                       |                          |                         |                        |                        |
| Mean             | 48.77                                     | 184.11                   | 1014.63                | 1151.57                  | 9.49                  | 68.34                    | 9.28                    | 4.90                   | 3.47                   |
| Std. Deviation   | 21.37                                     | 202.76                   | 768.91                 | 1466.28                  | 2.62                  | 7.99                     | 4.15                    | 2.37                   | 3.44                   |

### Table 5
Descriptive statistics of Italian provincial capitals according to density per km² (2 categories).

|                  | Days exceeding limits set for PM10 or ozone | Infected 17th March 2020 | Infected 7th April 2019 | Density inhabitants/km² | Temp °C Feb–Mar 2020 | Moisture % Feb–Mar 2020 | Wind km/h Feb–Mar 2020 | Rain days Feb–Mar 2020 | Fog days Feb–Mar 2020 |
|------------------|-------------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
|                  | 2018                                       | 2020                     | 2019                   |                          |                       |                          |                         |                        |                        |
| Cities with ≤ 1000 inhabitant/km² | N = 30                                     |                          |                        |                          |                       |                          |                         |                        |                        |
| Mean             | 64.37                                     | 248.37                   | 1144.20                | 510.77                   | 10.01                 | 69.61                    | 9.28                    | 4.08                   | 3.75                   |
| Std. Deviation   | 39.25                                     | 386.95                   | 1065.99                | 282.11                   | 1.95                  | 10.30                    | 4.41                    | 2.37                   | 3.40                   |
| Cities with > 1000 inhabitant/km² | N = 25                                     |                          |                        |                          |                       |                          |                         |                        |                        |
| Mean             | 91.24                                     | 665.08                   | 2967.44                | 2584.40                  | 8.63                  | 69.19                    | 7.99                    | 5.80                   | 4.26                   |
| Std. Deviation   | 40.24                                     | 919.70                   | 3092.46                | 2000.63                  | 2.40                  | 3.59                     | 2.79                    | 2.17                   | 3.03                   |
Correlation is significant at the 0.001 level (2-tailed).
**Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).
*Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

Table 8
Partial correlation.

| Control Variables | Pearson correlation | Log infected 17th March 2020 | Log infected 7th April 2020 |
|-------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Temp °C           | 0.001               | 0.067                        | 0.586                       |
| Moisture %        |                     |                              |                             |
| Wind km/h         | 0.001               | 0.001                        | 0.001                       |
| Feb–Mar 2020      | 50                  | 50                           | 50                          |

Table 6
Descriptive statistics of Italian provincial capitals according to density per km² (3 categories).

| Cities with <500 inhabitant/km² | Days exceeding limits set for PM₁₀ or ozone 2018 | Infected 17th March 2020 | Infected 7th April 2020 | Density inhabitants/km² 2019 | Temp °C Feb–Mar 2020 | Moisture % Feb–Mar 2020 | Wind km/h Feb–Mar 2020 | Rain days Feb–Mar 2020 | Fog days Feb–Mar 2020 |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| N = 17 | Mean | 52.82 | 116.12 | 695.35 | 312.76 | 9.88 | 71.12 | 9.52 | 4.44 | 4.41 |
| | Std. Deviation | 36.87 | 128.13 | 570.58 | 161.34 | 2.12 | 8.91 | 5.73 | 2.79 | 3.79 |
| Cities with 500–1500 inhabitant/km² | N = 22 | | | | | | | | |
| Mean | 84.32 | 430.91 | 1775.73 | 951.32 | 9.04 | 68.50 | 8.37 | 4.34 | 3.75 |
| Std. Deviation | 37.28 | 1103.03 | 3697.89 | 2151.27 | 1.81 | 4.32 | 2.79 | 2.19 | 2.99 |
| Cities with >1500 inhabitant/km² | N = 16 | Mean | 91.19 | 789.00 | 3601.56 | 3355.44 | 4.34 | 3.75 |
| | Std. Deviation | 43.29 | 128.13 | 570.58 | 161.34 | 2.12 | 8.91 | 5.73 | 2.79 | 3.79 |

in fact, wind speed cleans air from pollutants that are associated with possible transmission dynamics of viral infectivity (COVID-19) as it will be explained later.

Table 8 confirms high correlation between air pollution and infected individuals on 17th March and 7th April 2020, controlling meteorological factors of cities under study (r > 59%, p-value < 0.001).

Partial correlation in Table 9 suggests that controlling density of population on 17th March and 7th April 2020, number of infected people is associated with air pollution (r ≥ 48%, p-value < 0.001), whereas controlling air pollution, the correlation between density of population in cities and infected individuals is lower (r = 28–36%). The reduction of coefficients of correlation (r) between infected individuals and air pollution from 17th March to 7th April 2020, and the increase of the association between infected people and density of people in cities over the same time period, controlling mutual variables, suggest that air pollution in cities seems to be an important factor in the initial phase of transmission dynamics of COVID-19 (i.e., 17th March 2020). In the phase of the maturity of transmission dynamics (7th April 2020), with lockdown that reduces air pollution, the mechanism of air pollution-to-human transmission of Coronavirus infection (airborne viral infectivity) reduces intensity, whereas coefficient of human-to-human transmission increases.

These findings are confirmed with hierarchical regression that also reveals how air pollution in cities seems to be a driving factor of transmission dynamics in the initial phase of diffusion of COVID-19 (17th March 2020). In the phase of the maturity of transmission dynamics of COVID-19 (7th April 2020), the determinant of air pollution is important to support the diffusion of viral infectivity of this airborne disease but it reduces the intensity, whereas the factor of human-to-human transmission increases, ceteris paribus (Table 10). This result reveals...
that transmission dynamics of COVID-19 is due to human-to-human transmission but the factor of air pollution-to-human transmission of viral infectivity supports a substantial growth of spatial diffusion of viral infectivity.

Table 11 shows results of the transmission dynamics of COVID-19 considering the interpersonal contacts, measured with density of population in cities understudy. In short, results suggest that density of population explains the number of infected individuals, increasing the probability of human-to-human transmission. However, if we decompose the sample in the cities with ≤100 days exceeding limits set for PM₁₀ or ozone and cities with >100 days exceeding limits set for PM₁₀ or ozone, then the expected increase of number of infected individuals is higher in cities having more than 100 days exceeding limits set for PM₁₀ or ozone per year. In particular,

○ Cities with ≤100 days exceeding limits set for PM₁₀, an increase of 1% in density of population, it increases the expected number of infected individuals by about 0.25%

○ Cities with >100 days exceeding limits set for PM₁₀, an increase of 1% in density of population, it increases the expected number of infected individuals by about 85%!

The statistical output of Table 11 is schematically summarized as follows:

| Cities with ≤100 days exceeding limits set for PM₁₀ | Cities with >100 days exceeding limits set for PM₁₀ |
|---------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| Density of population (coefficient of regression β₁) | Density of population (coefficient of regression β₁) |
| F                                               | F                                               |
| R²                                              | R²                                              |
| 0.25 (p < 0.05)                                  | 0.85 (p < 0.001) |
| 11.9%                                           | 49%                                             |

Fig. 1 confirms, ictu oculi (in the blink of an eye), that the coefficient of regression in cities with >100 days exceeding limits set for PM₁₀ or ozone is much bigger than coefficient in cities with ≤100 days exceeding limits set for PM₁₀ or ozone, suggesting that the mechanism of air pollution-to-human transmission is definitely important to explain the transmission dynamics of COVID-19. Fig. 1A in Appendix confirms this result that viral infectivity of COVID-19 increases with the mechanism of air pollution-to-human transmission (airborne viral infectivity), but the rate of growth of viral infectivity is also supported by a high density of population that sustains the mechanism of human-to-human transmission. The policy implications here are clear: COVID-19 has reduced

### Table 9
Partial Correlation.

| Control variables | Pearson correlation | Log infected 17th March 2020 | Log infected 7th April 2020 |
|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Log density inhabitants/km² 2019 | Log days exceeding limits set for PM₁₀ or ozone 2018 | 0.542 | 0.479 |
|                   | Sig. (2-tailed) N | 0.001 | 0.001 |

### Table 10
Parametric estimates of the relationship of Log Infected 17 March and 7th April 2020 on Log Days exceeding limits set for PM₁₀ and Log Density inhabitants/km² 2019 (Hierarchical regression).

| Model 1A | Model 2A | Model 1B | Model 2B |
|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Step 1: Air pollution | Step 2: Air pollution and Interpersonal contacts | Step 1: Air pollution | Step 2: Air pollution and Interpersonal contacts |
| Log days exceeding limits set for PM₁₀, 2018 | Log days exceeding limits set for PM₁₀, 2018 | Log density inhabitants/km² 2019 | Log density inhabitants/km² 2019 |

| Log infected 17th March 2020 | Log infected 7th April 2020 |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Constant α (St. Err.) | −1.168 (1.053) |
| Coefficient β₁ (St. Err.) | 1.526*** (0.250) |
| Coefficient β₂ (St. Err.) | 0.309* (0.148) |
| F | 37.342*** |
| R² | 0.413 |
| ΔR² | 0.046 |
| ΔF | 37.342*** |

| Log infected 7th April, 2020 | Log density inhabitants/km² 2019 |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Constant α (St. Err.) | 2.552** (0.822) |
| Coefficient β₁ (St. Err.) | 1.077*** (0.195) |
| Coefficient β₂ (St. Err.) | 0.314* (0.111) |
| F | 4.457 (p < 0.05) |
| R² | 11.9% |
| ΔR² | 0.813*** |
| ΔF | 30.480*** |

b = predictors: Log Days exceeding limits set for PM₁₀ or ozone in 2018

c = predictors: Log Days exceeding limits set for PM₁₀ or ozone in 2018; Log Density inhabitants/km² in 2019.

*** p-Value < 0.001.
** p-Value < 0.01.
* p-Value < 0.05.
transmission dynamics on population in the presence of lower level of air pollution and specific environments based on a higher wind speed (e.g., in South Italy). Hence, the effect of accelerated transmission dynamics of COVID-19 cannot be explained without accounting for the level of air pollution and other geo-environmental conditions of the cities, such as high wind speed and temperature.

A main question for supporting a strategy to prevent future epidemics similar to COVID-19 is: What is the maximum number of days that cities can exceed the limits set for PM$_{10}$ or ozone per year, before that the combination between air pollution and meteorological conditions triggers a take-off of viral infectivity (epidemic diffusion) with damages for health of population, economy and society?

The function based on Table 12 is:

$$y = 1844.216 - 46.221 x + 0.485 x^2$$

$$y = \text{number of infected individuals on 7th April 2020}$$

$$x = \text{days exceeding limits of PM$_{10}$ or ozone in Italian provincial capitals}$$

The minimization of this function is performed imposing first derivative ($y'$) equal to zero (0):

$$Dy/dx = y' = -46.221 + 0.97x = 0$$

$$x = 46.221/0.97 = 47.65, \text{ i.e., 48 days exceeding limits of PM$_{10}$ or ozone in Italian provincial capitals}$$

This finding suggests that the max number of days that Italian provincial capitals can exceed per year the limits set for PM$_{10}$ (particulate matter 10 μm or less in diameter) or for ozone, considering the meteorological conditions, is about 48 days (Fig. 2). Beyond this critical point, the analytical and geometrical output suggests that environmental inconsistencies, because of the combination between air pollution and meteorological conditions, trigger a take-off of viral infectivity

Table 11

| Dependent variable | Model of cities with <100 days exceeding limits set for PM$_{10}$ or ozone, 2018 | Model of cities with >100 days exceeding limits set for PM$_{10}$ or ozone, 2018 |
|--------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Log infected       | Log density inhabitants/km$^2$ 2019                                       | Log density inhabitants/km$^2$ 2019                                       |
| 7th April, 2020    | Constant $\alpha$ $= 4.976$ ($0.786$)                                    | Constant $\alpha$ $= 1.670$ ($1.491$)                                    |
|                    | Coefficient $\beta_1$ $= 0.252$                                                 | Coefficient $\beta_1$ $= 0.849^{***}$                                    |
|                    | (St. Err.) $(0.120)$                                                      | (St. Err.) $(0.205)$                                                     |
|                    | $R^2$ $(0.119 (0.812))$                                                   | $R^2$ $(0.488 (0.738))$                                                 |
|                    | $F = 4.457^{***}$                                                        | $F = 17.168^{***}$                                                      |

Note: Explanatory variable: Log Density inhabitants/km$^2$ in 2019.

$^{***}$ p-Value $< 0.001$.

$^*$ p-Value $< 0.05$.  

Fig. 1. Regression line of Log Infected individuals 7th April, 2020 on Log Density inhabitants/km$^2$ 2019, considering the groups of cities with days exceeding limits set for PM$_{10}$ or ozone $<, \geq 100$ days. Note: This result reveals that transmission dynamics of COVID-19 is due to two mechanisms given by: human-to-human transmission (based on density of population) and air pollution-to-human transmission (airborne viral infectivity); in particular, in polluting cities, the accelerated diffusion of viral infectivity is also due to mechanism of air pollution-to-human transmission that may have a stronger effect than human-to-human transmission!
epidemic diffusion) with damages for health of population, economy and society.

Finally, the reduction of unstandardized coefficient of regression in Table 13, from 17th March to 7th April 2020, suggests a deceleration of the diffusion of COVID-19 over time and space. The question is: how many days are necessary to stop the epidemic, ceteris paribus (quarantine and lockdown)?

Let, the average reduction of the coefficients of regression $B_i$ at 7th April 2020 equal to $\delta = -0.0236$, let the coefficient $B$ at 7th April 2020 equal to 1.077, the date when $B$ is close to 0 (zero) in Italy, with a constant reduction day by day of the value $\delta$ from 7th April 2020 onwards, is about 22 May 2020 or thereabouts (this date is a good approximation of when the coefficient $B$ may be lower than 0.05, suggesting a very low number of infected individuals in Italy).

4. Phenomena explained: the accelerated diffusion of COVID-19

Considering the results just mentioned, the fundamental questions are:

Why did this Coronavirus infection spread so rapidly in Italy (and other countries)?

How is the link between geographical and environmental factors and accelerated diffusion of COVID-19 in specific regions?

Fig. 3 shows COVID-19 outbreak in North Italy with number of infected individuals and days exceeding the limits set for PM$_{10}$ or ozone. Statistical analyses here for $N = 55$ Italian provincial capitals confirm the significant association between high diffusion of viral infectivity of Coronavirus Disease 2019 and air pollution. Studies show that the diffusion of viral infectivity depends on the interplay between host factors and environment (Neu and Mainou, 2020; Morawska and Cao, 2020). In this context, it is critical to explain how air quality can affect viral dissemination at national and global level (Das and Horton, 2017). Many ecological studies have examined the association between the incidence of invasive pneumococcal disease, respiratory virus circulation and various climatic factors (McCullers, 2006; Jansen et al., 2008). These studies show that in temperate climates, the epidemiology of invasive pneumococcal disease has a peak of incidence in winter months (Dowell et al., 2003; Kim et al., 1996; Talbot et al., 2005).

Brunekreef and Holgate (2002a,b) argue that, in addition to climate factors, the health effects of air pollution have been subject to intense investigations in recent years. Air pollution is ubiquitous in manifold urban areas of developed and developing nations. Air pollution has gaseous components and particulate matter (PM). The former includes ozone ($O_3$), volatile organic compounds (VOCs), carbon monoxide (CO) and nitrogen oxides ($NO_x$) that generate inflammatory stimuli on the respiratory tract of individuals (Glencross et al., 2020). PM has a complex composition that includes metals, elemental carbon and organic carbon (both in hydrocarbons and peptides), sulphates and nitrates, etc. (Ghio et al., 2012; Wooding et al., 2019).

Advanced countries, such as in Europe, have more and more smog because of an unexpected temperature inversion, which traps polluting emissions from the city near ground-level mainly in winter season. The ambient pollution mixes with moisture in the air to form a thick fog that affects the health of people in the city (Wang et al., 2016; Bell et al., 2004). The exposure to pollutants, such as airborne particulate matter and ozone, generates respiratory and cardiovascular diseases with increases in mortality and hospital admissions (cf., Langrish and Mills, 2014). Wei et al. (2020) analyze the effect of heavy aerosol pollution in northern China-(characterized by high PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations in a wide geographical area)- that impacts on environmental ecology, climate change and public health (cf., Liu et al., 2017, 2018; Jin et al., 2017). The biological components of air pollutants and bio aerosols are...
also include bacteria, viruses, pollens, fungi, and animal/plant fragments (Després et al., 2012; Frööhlich-Nowoisky et al., 2016; Smets et al., 2016). Studies show that during heavy aerosol pollution in Beijing (China), 50%–70% of bacterial aerosols are in sub micrometer particles, 0.56–1 mm (T. Zhang et al., 2019; cf., Zhang et al., 2016). As bacteria size typically ranges from 0.5 to 2.0 mm (Després et al., 2012), they can form clumps or attach to particles and transport regionally between terrestrial, aquatic, atmospheric and artificial ecosystems (Smets et al., 2016). Moreover, because of regional bio aerosol transportation, harmful microbial components and bacterial aerosols have dangerous implications on human health and also plantation (cf., Van Leuken et al., 2016). Harmful bio aerosol components (including pathogens, antibiotic-resistant bacteria and endotoxins) can cause severe respiratory and cardiovascular diseases in society (Charmi et al., 2018). In fact, the concentration of microbes, pathogens and toxic components significantly increases during polluted days, compared to no polluted days (Liu et al., 2018). In addition, airborne bacterial community structure changes with pollutant concentration, which may be related to bacterial sources and multiplication in the air (T. Zhang et al., 2019). Studies also indicate that microbial community composition and bioactivity are significantly affected by particle concentration (Liu et al., 2019). To put it differently, the atmospheric particulate matter harbors more microbes during polluted days than sunny or clean days (Wei et al., 2016). These studies can explain one of the driving factors of higher diffusion of COVID-19 in the industrialized regions of Nord Italy, rather than in other part of Italy (Tables 1–6). In fact, viable bio aerosol particles and high microbial concentration in particulate matter play their non-negligible role during air pollution and transmission dynamics of viral infectivity (T. Zhang et al., 2019; Morawska and Cao, 2020). For instance, studies on airborne bacteria in PM_{2.5} from the Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei regions in China reveal that air pollutants are main factors in shaping bacterial community structure (Gao et al., 2017). Xie et al. (2018) indicate that total bacteria concentration is higher in moderately polluted air rather than in clean or heavily polluted air. Liu et al. (2018) argue that bacterial concentration is low in heavily pollution of PM_{2.5} and PM_{10}, whereas the pathogenic bacteria concentration is very high in heavy and moderate pollution. Sun et al. (2018) study bacterial community during low and high particulate matter (PM) pollution and find out that predominant species vary with PM concentration. In general, bio aerosol concentrations are influenced by complex factors, such as emission sources, terrain, meteorological conditions and other climate factors (Zhai et al., 2018). Wei et al. (2020) investigate the differences between inland and coastal cities in China (Jinan and Weihai, respectively) to explain the influence of topography, meteorological conditions and geophysical factors on bio aerosol. Results suggest that from clean days to high polluted days, bacterial community structure is influenced by bacterial adaptation to pollutants, chemical composition of pollutants and meteorological conditions (cf., Sun et al., 2018). Moreover, certain bacteria from Proteobacteria and Deinococcus-Thermus have high tolerance towards environmental stresses and can adapt to extreme environments. As a matter of fact, bacilli can survive to harsh environments by forming spores. Moreover, certain bacteria with protective mechanisms can survive in highly polluted environments, while other bacteria cannot withstand such extreme conditions. In particular, bacteria survive in the atmosphere adapting to ultraviolet exposure, reduced nutrient availability, desiccation, extreme temperatures and other factors. In short, in the presence of accumulated airborne pollutants, more microorganisms might be attached to particulate matter. Thus, in heavy or severe air pollution, highly toxic pollutants in PM_{2.5} and PM_{10} may inhibit microbial growth. Numerous studies also indicate that the combination between meteorological conditions and air pollution creates an appropriate environment for microbial community structure and abundance, and diffusion of viral infectivity (cf., Jones and Harrison, 2004). Zhong et al. (2018) argue that static meteorological conditions may explain the increase of PM_{2.5}. In general, bacterial communities during aerosol pollution are influenced by bacterial adaptive mechanisms, particle composition, and meteorological conditions. The particles could also act as carriers, which have complex adsorption and toxicity effects on bacteria (Wei et al., 2020). Certain particle components are also available as nutrition for bacteria and the toxic effect dominates in heavy pollution. The bacterial adaptability towards airborne pollutants can cause bacterial survival or death for different species. Groulx et al. (2018) argue that microorganisms, such as bacteria and fungi in addition to other biological matter like endotoxins and spores, commingle with particulate matter air pollutants. Hence, microorganisms may be influenced by interactions with ambient particles leading to the inhibition or enhancement of viability (e.g., tolerance to variation in seasonality, temperature, humidity, etc.). Moreover, Groulx et al. (2018) claim that in the case of microbial agents of communicable disease, such as viruses, the potential interactions with pollution may have public health implications. Groulx et al. (2018, p. 1106) describe an experimental platform to investigate the implications of viral infectivity changes:

Preliminary evidence suggests that the interactions between airborne viruses and airborne fine particulate matter influence viral stability and infectivity .... The development of a platform to study interactions between artificial bio aerosols and concentrated ambient particles provides an opportunity to investigate the direction, magnitude and mechanistic basis of these effects, and to study their health implications.... The interactions of PM_{2.5} with φΦ bacteriophages decreased viral infectivity compared to treatment with HEPA2-filtered air alone; By contrast, φΦX174, a non-enveloped virus, displayed increased infectivity when treated with PM_{2.5} particles relative to controls treated only with HEPA-filtered air.

Thus, the variation in bacterial community structure is related to different pollution intensities. Wei et al. (2020) show that Staphylococcus increases with PM_{2.5} and is the most abundant bacteria in moderate pollution. In heavy or severe pollution, bacteria that are adaptable to harsh environments, increase. In moderate pollution, PM_{2.5} might harbor abundant bacteria, especially genera containing opportunistic

| Day         | Unstandardized coefficient B | Standard Error | Sign. | $R^2$ | $\Delta = B_i - B(i-1)$ |
|-------------|------------------------------|----------------|-------|------|--------------------------|
| 17th March, 2020 | 1.526                        | 0.250          | 0.001 | 0.400 |                          |
| 19          | 1.362                        | 0.238          | 0.001 | 0.370 | -0.164                   |
| 21          | 1.360                        | 0.234          | 0.001 | 0.377 | -0.002                   |
| 22          | 1.340                        | 0.232          | 0.001 | 0.375 | -0.020                   |
| 23          | 1.322                        | 0.231          | 0.001 | 0.370 | -0.018                   |
| 24          | 1.320                        | 0.228          | 0.001 | 0.376 | -0.002                   |
| 25          | 1.285                        | 0.227          | 0.001 | 0.364 | -0.035                   |
| 26          | 1.287                        | 0.223          | 0.001 | 0.375 | +0.002                   |
| 27          | 1.291                        | 0.221          | 0.001 | 0.380 | +0.004                   |
| 28          | 1.289                        | 0.202          | 0.001 | 0.424 | +0.002                   |
| 29          | 1.246                        | 0.216          | 0.001 | 0.375 | -0.043                   |
| 30          | 1.236                        | 0.209          | 0.001 | 0.386 | +0.010                   |
| 31          | 1.143                        | 0.198          | 0.001 | 0.375 | -0.093                   |
| 1st April, 2020 | 1.162                        | 0.201          | 0.001 | 0.376 | +0.019                   |

Arithmetic mean $\Delta = 6 = -0.0236$
pathogens. Therefore, effective measures should control health risks caused by bio aerosols during air pollution, especially for immunocompromised, such as elderly and other fragile individuals. This study may support the results here and explain the high mortality in Italy because of COVID-19 in individuals having previous respiratory and cardiovascular diseases and other disorders: in fact, the percentage of deaths compared to the total who tested positive for COVID-19 in Italy is of about 80% in individuals aged >70 years with comorbidities as of April 26, 2020 (Istituto Superiore Sanità, 2020; cf., WHO, 2020c). Papi et al. (2006) also indicate that chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is significantly exacerbated by respiratory viral infections that cause reduction of forced expiratory volume in 1s (FEV1) and airway inflammation (cf., Gorse et al., 2006). Ko et al. (2007) report that the most prevalent viruses detected during acute exacerbations of COPD in Hong Kong were the influenza A virus and coronavirus. De Serres et al. (2009) also point out that the influenza virus frequently causes acute exacerbations of asthma and COPD. Moreover, the study by Wei et al. (2020) argues that air pollution in the coastal city of Weihai in China was slightly lower than the inland city of Jinan. This study supports our results that coastal cities in Italy have a lower air pollution and the diffusion of viral infectivity by COVID-19 is lower than hinterland cities having a high level of air pollution (cf., Tab. 1). Wei et al. (2020, p. 9) also suggest that different air quality strategies should be applied in inland and coastal cities: coastal cities need start bioaerosol risk alarm during moderate pollution when severe pollution occurs in inland cities.

Other studies have reported associations between air pollution and reduced lung function, increased hospital admissions, increased respiratory symptoms and high asthma medication use (Simoni et al., 2015; Jalaludin et al., 2004). In this context, the interaction between climate factors, air pollution and increased morbidity and mortality of people and children from respiratory diseases is a main health issue in society (Darrow et al., 2014). Asthma is a disease associated with exposure to traffic-related air pollution and tobacco smoking (Liao et al., 2011). Many studies show that exposure to traffic-related outdoor air pollutants (e.g., PM10, with an aerodynamic diameter ≤ 10 μm, nitrogen dioxide NO2, carbon monoxide CO, sulfur dioxide SO2, and ozone O3) increases the risk of asthma or asthma-like symptoms (Shankardass...
et al., 2009). Especially, high levels of PM_{10} increases cough, lower respiratory disorders and lower peak expiratory flow (Ward and Ayres, 2004; Nel, 2005). Weinmayr et al. (2010) provide strong evidence that PM_{2.5} may be an aggravating factor of asthma in children. Furthermore, asthma symptoms are exacerbated by air pollutants, such as diesel exhaust, PM_{10}, NO_{x}, SO_{2}, O_{3} and respiratory virus, such as adenovirus, influenza, parainfluenza and respiratory syncytial virus (Jaspers et al., 2005; Murdoch and Jennings, 2009; Murphy et al., 2000; Wong et al., 2009). The study by Liao et al. (2011) confirms that exacerbations of asthma have been associated with bacterial and viral respiratory tract infections and air pollution. Some studies focus on the effect of meteorology and air pollution on acute viral respiratory infections and viral bronchiolitis (a disease linked to seasonal changes in respiratory viruses) in the first years of life (Nenna et al., 2017; Ségala et al., 2008; Vandini et al., 2013, 2015). Carugno et al. (2018) analyze respiratory syncytial virus (RSV), the primary cause of acute lower respiratory infections in children: bronchiolitis. Results suggest that seasonal weather conditions and concentration of air pollutants seem to influence RSV-related bronchiolitis epidemics in Italian urban areas. In fact, airborne particulate matter may influence the children’s immune system and foster the spread of RSV infection. This study also shows a correlation between short- and medium-term PM_{10} exposures and increased risk of hospitalization because of RSV bronchiolitis among infants. In short, manifold environmental factors—such as air pollution levels, circulation of respiratory viruses and colder temperatures—induce longer periods of time spent indoors with higher opportunities for diffusion of infections between people. In fact, high diffusion of COVID-19 in North Italy is in winter period (February–March 2020). Studies also show that air pollution is higher during winter months and it has been associated with increased hospitalizations for respiratory diseases and other disorders (Ko et al., 2007a; Medina-Ramón et al., 2006). Moreover, oscillations in temperature and humidity may lead to changes in the respiratory epithelium, which increase susceptibility to infections (Deal et al., 1980). Murdoch and Jennings (2009) correlate the incidence rate of invasive pneumococcal disease (IPD) with fluctuations in respiratory virus activity and environmental factors in New Zealand, showing how incidence rates of IPD are associated with the increased activity of some respiratory viruses and air pollution. Another side effect of air pollution exposure is the high incidence of mumps. In fact, Hao et al. (2019) show that exposure to NO_{2} and SO_{2} is significantly associated with higher risk of developing mumps. Instead, Yang et al. (2020) show that the exposure of people to SO_{2}, NO_{2}, O_{3}, PM_{10} and PM_{2.5} is associated with hand, foot, and mouth diseases. Moreover, the effect of air pollution in the cold season is higher than in the warm season. Shepherd and Mullins (2019) analyze the relationship between arthritis diagnosis in those over 50 and exposure to extreme air pollution in utero or infancy. In particular, this study links early-life air pollution exposure to later-life arthritis diagnoses, and suggests a particularly strong link for Rheumatoid arthritis. The study by Shepherd and Mullins (2019) also argue that exposure to smoke and air pollution in the first year of life is associated with a higher incidence of arthritis later in life. Overall, then, these studies suggest complex relationships between people, meteorological conditions, air pollution and viral infectivity over time and space.

4.1. Air pollution, immune system and genetic damages

The composition of ambient particulate matter (PM) varies both geographically and seasonally because of the mix of sources at any location across time and space. A vast literature shows short-term effects of air pollution on health, but air pollution affects morbidity also in the long run (Brunekreef and Holgate, 2002a,b). The damages of air pollution on health can be explained as follows. Air pollutants exert toxic effects on respiratory and cardiovascular systems of people; in addition, ozone, oxides of nitrogen, and suspended particulates are potent oxidants, either through direct effects on lipids and proteins or indirectly through the activation intracellular oxidant pathways (Rahman and MacNee, 2000). Studies of animal and human in-vitro and in-vivo exposure have demonstrated the powerful oxidant capacity of inhaled ozone with activation of stress signaling pathways in epithelial cells (Bayram et al., 2001) and resident alveolar inflammatory cells (Mochitate et al., 2001). Lewtas (2007) shows that exposures to combustion emissions and ambient fine particulate air pollution are associated with genetic damages. Long-term epidemiologic studies report an increased risk of all causes of mortality, cardiopulmonary mortality, and lung cancer mortality associated with higher exposures to air pollution (cf., Coccia, 2012, 2014; Coccia and Wang, 2015). An increasing number of studies—investigating cardiopulmonary and cardiovascular disorders—shows potential causative agents from air pollution combustion sources.

About the respiratory activity, the adult lung inhales approximately 10–11,000 L of air per day, positioning the respiratory epithelium for exposure to high volumes of pathogenic and environmental insults. In fact, respiratory mucosa is adapted to facilitate gaseous exchange and respond to environmental insults efficiently, with minimal damage to host tissue. The respiratory mucosa consists of respiratory tract lining fluids; bronchial and alveolar epithelial cells; tissue resident immune cells, such as alveolar macrophages, dendritic cells, innate lymphoid cells and granulocytes; as well as adaptive memory T and B lymphocytes. In health, the immune system responds effectively to infections and neoplastic cells with a response tailored to the insult, but immune system must not respond harmfully to the healthy body and benign environmental influences. A well-functioning immune system is vital for a healthy body. Inadequate and excessive immune responses generate manifold pathologies, such as serious infections, metastatic malignancies and auto-immune conditions (Glencross et al., 2020). In particular, immune system consists of multiple types of immune cells that act together to generate (or fail to generate) immune responses. In this context, the relationships between ambient pollutants and immune system is vital to explain how air pollution causes diseases and respiratory disorders in the presence of Coronavirus infection. Glencross et al. (2020) show that air pollutants can affect different immune cell types, such as particle-clearing macrophages, inflammatory neutrophils, dendritic cells that orchestrate adaptive immune responses and lymphocytes that enact those responses. In general, air pollutants stimulate pro-inflammatory immune responses across multiple classes of immune cells. In particular, the association between high ambient pollution and exacerbations of asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is consistent with immunological mechanisms. In fact, diseases can result from inadequate responses to infectious microbes allowing fulminant infections, inappropriate/excessive immune responses to microbes (leading to more collateral damages than the microbe itself), and inappropriate immune responses to self/environment, such as likely in the case of COVID-19. Glencross et al. (2020) also discuss evidence that air pollution can cause diseases by perturbing multicellular immune responses. Studies confirm associations between elevated ambient particulate matter and worsening of lung function in patients with COPD (Bloemsma et al., 2016), between COPD exacerbations and both ambient particulate matter and ambient pollutant gases (Li et al., 2016; Papi et al., 2006) and similarly for asthma exacerbations with high concentration of ambient pollutants (Orellano et al., 2017; Zheng et al., 2015). In short, associations between ambient pollution and airways exacerbations are stronger than associations with development of chronic airways diseases. Glencross et al. (2020) argue that ambient pollutants can directly trigger cellular signaling pathways, and both cell culture studies and animal models have shown profound effects of air pollutants on every type of immune cell
studied. In addition, studies suggest an action of air pollution to augment Th2 immune responses and perturb antimicrobial immune responses. This mechanism also explains the association between high air pollution and increased exacerbations of asthma – a disease characterized by an underlying Th2 immuno-pathology in the airways with severe viral-induced exacerbations. As inhaled air pollution deposits primarily on respiratory mucosa, potential strategies to reduce such effects may be based on vitamin D supplementation. Studies show that plasma levels of vitamin D, activated by ultraviolet B, are significantly higher in summer and fall than winter and spring season, in a latitude-dependent manner (Barger-Lux and Heaney, 2002). Since the temperature and hour of sun depend on latitude, Oh et al. (2010) argue that adequate activated vitamin D levels are also associated with diminished cancer risk and mortality in specific populations (Lim et al., 2006; Grant, 2002). For instance, breast cancer incidence correlates inversely with the levels of serum vitamin D and ultraviolet B exposure, which have the highest intensity in summer season. The relationships between adequate supply of vitamin D and low cancer risk are relevant to breast cancer, colon, prostate, endometrial, ovarian, and also lung cancer (Zhou et al., 2005). In the context of this study, and considering the negative effects of air pollution on human health and transmission dynamics of Coronavirus infection, summer season may have two-fold effects to reduce diffusion of COVID-19:

1) hot and sunny weather increases temperature and improves air circulation in environment that can reduce air pollution, and as result alleviate transmission of COVID-19 (cf., Ko et al., 2007a; Medina-Ramón et al., 2006; Wei et al., 2020; Dowell et al., 2003; Kim et al., 1996; Talbot et al., 2005);

2) sunny days and summer season induce in population a higher production of vitamin D that reinforces and improves the function of immune system to cope with Coronavirus infection and other diseases (cf., Oh et al., 2010).

5. Discussion and suggested strategies to prevent future epidemics similar to COVID-19

At the end of 2019, medical professionals in Wuhan (China) were treating cases of pneumonia and respiratory disorders that had an unknown source (Li et al., 2020; Zhu and Xie, 2020; Chan et al., 2020; Backer et al., 2020). Days later, researchers confirmed that the illnesses were caused by a new coronavirus (COVID-19). By January 23, 2020, Chinese authorities had shut down transportation going into and out of Wuhan, as well as local businesses, in order to reduce the spread of the Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2020; Public Health England, 2020; Manuell and Cukor, 2011). It is the beginning of several quarantines set up in China and other countries around the world to cope with transmission dynamics of COVID-19. Quarantine is the separation and restriction of movement of people who have potentially been exposed to a contagious disease to ascertain if they become unwell, in order to reduce the risk of them infecting others (Brooks et al., 2019). In short, quarantine can generate a strong reduction of the transmission of viral infectivity. In the presence of COVID-19 outbreak in North Italy, Italian government has applied the quarantine and lockdown from 11 March 2020 to 3 May 2020 for all Italy, adding also some holidays thereafter. In fact, Italy was not able to prevent the diffusion of Coronavirus infection and has applied quarantine as a recovery strategy to lessen the health and socioeconomic damages caused by this pandemic. In addition, Italy applied non-pharmaceutical interventions based on physical distancing, school and store closures, workplace distancing, to avoid crowded places, similarly to measures applied to COVID-19 outbreak in Wuhan (cf., Prem et al., 2020). The benefits to support these measures until May 2020 are aimed at delaying and reducing the height of epidemic peak, affording health-care systems more time to expand and respond to this emergency and, as a result reducing the final impact of COVID-19 epidemic in society. In general, non-pharmaceutical interventions are important factors to reduce the epidemic peak and the acute pressure on the health-care system (Prem et al., 2020; Fong et al., 2020). However, Brooks et al. (2019) report: “negative psychological effects of quarantine including post-traumatic stress symptoms, confusion, and anger. Stressors included longer quarantine duration, infection fears, frustration, boredom, inadequate supplies, inadequate information, financial loss, and stigma. Some researchers have suggested long-lasting effects. In situations where quarantine is deemed necessary, officials should quarantine individuals for no longer than required, provide clear rationale for quarantine and information about protocols, and ensure sufficient supplies are provided. Appeals to altruism by reminding the public about the benefits of quarantine to wider society can be favourable”.

This strategy, of course, does not prevent future epidemics similar to the COVID-19 and it does not protect regions from future Coronavirus disease threats on population. Nations have to apply proactive strategies that anticipate these potential problems and prevent them, for reducing the health and economic impact of future epidemics in society.

5.1. Suggested proactive strategies to prevent future epidemics similar to COVID-19

Daszak et al. (2020) argue that to prevent the next epidemics similar to COVID-19, research and investment of nations should focus on:

1) surveillance among wildlife to identify the high-risk pathogens they carry

2) surveillance among people who have contact with wildlife to identify early spillover events

3) improvement of market biosecurity regarding the wildlife trade.

In addition, the application of best practices of high surveillance and proper biosafety procedures in public and private institutes of virology that study viruses and new viruses to avoid that may be accidentally spread in surrounding environments with damages for population, vegetation and overall economy of nations. In this context, international collaboration among scientists is basic to address these risks and support decisions of policymakers to prevent future pandemic that creates huge socioeconomic issues worldwide (cf., Coccia and Wang, 2016). In fact, following the COVID-19 outbreak, The Economist Intelligence Unit (EIU) points out that the global economy may contract of about 2.5% and Italy by −7% of real GDP growth in 2020 (EIU, 2020). Italy and other advanced countries should introduce organizational, product and process innovations to cope with future epidemics and environmental threats, such as the expansion of hospital capacity and testing capabilities, reduction of diagnostic and health system delays (also using devices with artificial intelligence, new Information and Communication Technologies for alleviating and/or eliminating effective interactions between infectious and susceptible individuals), and finally of course the development of effective vaccines and antivirals that can counteract future global public health threats in the presence of new epidemics similar to COVID-19 (Chen et al., 2020; Wilder-Smith et al., 2020; Riou and Althaus, 2020; Yao et al., 2020; cf., Coccia, 2015, 2017a,b,c; 2020; Coccia, 2020). New technology, for years to come, can cope with consequential epidemic outbreaks, also redefining the way governments interact with their citizens, such as the expanded use of surveillance tools, defending against cyberattacks and misinformation campaigns, etc. (cf., Coccia, 2016a,b, 2019). This study here shows that accelerated diffusion of COVID-19 is also likely associated with high air pollution and specific meteorological conditions (low wind speed, etc.) of North Italy and other Northern Italian regions that favor the transmission dynamics of viral infectivity. North Italy is one of the

4 Socioeconomic shocks can lead to a general increase of prices, high public debts, high unemployment, income inequality and as a consequence violent behavior (Coccia, 2016, 2017a,b,c).
European regions with the highest motorization rate and polluting industrialization (cf., Legambiente, 2019). In 2018, the daily limits for PM$_{10}$ or ozone were exceeded in 55 provincial capitals (i.e., 35 days for PM$_{10}$ and 25 days for ozone). In 24 of the 55 Italian provincial capitals, the limit was exceeded for both parameters, with negative effects on population and subsequent health problems in the short and long run. In fact, Italian cities having a very high number of polluted days are mainly in North Italy: e.g., Brescia with 150 days (47 days for the PM$_{10}$ and 103 days for the ozone), Lodi with 149 days (78 days for the PM$_{10}$ and 71 days for the ozone), -these are two cities with severe COVID-19 outbreak-, Monza (140 days), Venice (139 days), Alessandria (136 days), Milan (135 days), Turin (134 days), Padua (130 days), Bergamo and Cremona (127 days), Rovigo (121 days) and Genoa (103 days). These provincial capitals of the River Po area in Italy have exceeded in 2018 at least one of the two limits just mentioned. The first city not located in the Po Valley is Frosinone (Lazio region in the central part of Italy) with 116 days of exceedance (83 days for the PM$_{10}$ and 33 days for the ozone), followed by Avellino, a city close to Naples in South Italy (with total 89 days: 46 days for PM$_{10}$ and 43 days for ozone) and Terni with 86 days (respectively 49 and 37 days for the two pollutants). Many cities in Italy are affected by air pollution and smog because of traffic, domestic heating, industries and agricultural practices and private cars that continue to be by far the most used means of transportation (more than 39 million cars in 2019).

A major source of emissions of nitrogen oxides into the atmosphere is the combustion of fossil fuels from stationary sources (heating, power generation, etc.) and motor vehicles. In Italy, the first COVID-19 outbreak has been found in Codogno, a small city close to Milan (Lombardy region in North Italy, see Fig. 3). Although local lockdown on February 25, 2020, the Regional Agency for Environmental Protection showed concentrations of PM$_{10}$ beyond the limits in almost all of Lombardy region. The day after, February 26, 2020, the high intensity of wind speed swept the entire Po Valley, bringing to Lombardy region a substantial reduction in the average daily concentrations of PM$_{10}$ (i.e., lower than 50 micrograms of particulate matter/m$^3$ of air). These observations associated with statistical analyses here suggest that high concentration of nitrogen dioxide of particulate air pollutants emitted by motor vehicles, power plants, and industrial facilities in North Italy seems to be a platform to support the diffusion of viral infectivity (cf., Groulx et al., 2018), increase hospitalizations for respiratory disorders (cf., Carugno et al., 2018; Nenna et al., 2017), increase asthma incidence (cf., Liao et al., 2011) and induce damages to the immune system of people (cf., Glencross et al., 2020). As a matter of fact, transmission dynamics of COVID-19 has found in air pollution and meteorological conditions of North Italy an appropriate environment and population for an accelerated diffusion that is generating more than 26,640 deaths and a huge number of hospitalizations in a short period of time (February–March–April 2020).

An indirect effect of quarantine and lockdown in Italy is the strong reduction of airborne Nitrogen Dioxide Plummet and PM$_{10}$ over Northern Italy. The maps in Fig. 4 by ESA (2020) show concentrations of nitrogen dioxide NO$_2$ values across North Italy before the quarantine and lockdown in January 2020 and during the quarantine and lockdown in February–March 2020. The reduction in NO$_2$ pollution is apparent in all North Italy. Therefore, the non-pharmaceutical measures taken to cope with the COVID-19 outbreak (e.g., quarantine, closure of schools, the reduction of traffic, etc.), particularly restrictive in the first phase on regions of Northern Italy, have allowed a drastic reduction of concentrations of fine particulate matter, nitrogen dioxide and other polluting substances on the Po Valley (Fig. 4). For instance, in Piedmont, one of the regions of North Italy also having a high COVID-19 outbreak (24 820 infected individuals on 26 April 2020), the concentration of air pollution since the beginning of March 2020 has ever exceeded the limit values of PM$_{10}$ and has always remained below 50 μg/m$^3$ everywhere. Overall, then, the vital indirect effect of quarantine and lockdown in Italy and other European countries is a reduction in a short time of NO$_2$ and air pollution, improving the quality of environment that may reduce, associated with quarantine, physical distancing and other inter-related factors, the transmission dynamics of COVID-19. A study by Q. Zhang et al. (2019) shows that with the implementation of air policy in China, from 2013 to 2017, fine particle (PM$_{2.5}$) concentrations have significant declined nationwide with health benefits. A possible threat in future is that after the quarantine and lockdown, the industrial activity of regions in North Italy has to resume at an intense pace of production and in next winter-fall season 2020–2021 there may be again high air pollution and meteorological conditions that can lead to other waves of viral infectivity of COVID-19 and/or other dangerous viruses at local and regional level. Of course, non-physical distancing and other long-run factors play a critical part in mitigating transmission dynamics of future epidemics similar to COVID-19, in particular when measures of physical distancing, school and store closures, workplace distancing, prohibition for crowded places are relaxed. The suggested strategy that North Italy and other industrialized regions has to apply:-considering their geographical location, meteorological conditions and polluting industrialization, -is to avoid to overcome the limits set of PM$_{10}$ and other pollutants, following more and more sustainable pathways of growth. One of the findings here suggests that the max number of days per year that Italian provincial capitals can exceed the limits set for PM$_{10}$ (particulate matter 10 μm or less in diameter) or for ozone, considering the meteorological condition has to be less than 50 days. Beyond this critical point, the study suggests that environmental inconsistencies because of the combination between air pollution and meteorological conditions trigger a take-off of viral infectivity (epidemic diffusion) with damages for health of population and, as result for economy and society. Italy must design and set up necessary measures to drastically reduce the concentrations of pollution and improve air quality in cities. Italy has to respect Legislative Decree 155/2010 that establishes a maximum number of 35 days/year with concentrations higher than 50 μg/m$^3$ in cities (cf., Law n. 155 of the year 2000). As a matter of fact, the quarantine and other non-pharmaceutical interventions can reduce the impact of viral infectivity in the short term, but to prevent future epidemics similar to COVID-19, Italy and advanced nations have more and more to sustain a sustainable growth. The environmental policy to cope with future consequential coronavirus threats has to be also based on sustainable technologies that reduce air pollution improving the quality of air and environment for population (cf., Coccia, 2005, 2006, 2018; Coccia and Watts, 2020). Italy must support, more and more, sustainable mobility as engine of socioeconomic change and redesign cities for people using an urban planning that improves public respiratory health. Moreover, in the presence of the association between air pollution, climate$^5$ and high diffusion of viral infectivity, Italy and other advanced nations have to reduce the motorization rate of polluting machines with a transition to new electric vehicles, generating a revolution in society. It is basic to encourage sustainable mobility, by enhancing local, urban and commuter public transport with electric vehicles and creating vast Low Emission Zones within cities. Italy and Europe have to launch a real sustainable growth roadmap with the aim of complete zero emissions in all inter-related socioeconomic systems. Some studies done in the past show the causality of the reduction of air pollution on health benefits. For instance, Pope (1989) describes the case of a labor dispute that shut down a large steel mill in the Utah Valley for 14 months in 1987. Toxicological studies of particulate matter collected before, during, and after the strike, in the Utah Valley case, provide strong evidence of a causal relation between exposure to ambient particulate matter, mortality and morbidity. Ambient particulate matter concentrations as well as respiratory hospital admissions were clearly decreased during the strike, increasing to prestrike levels after the dispute ended (Pope, 1989; cf., the reduction of mortality described by Pope, 1996). Another example is the

$^5$ Some studies show that in addition to human-to-human contact, ambient temperature is an important factor in the transmission and survival of coronaviruses (Zhu et al., 2020) as well as temperature variation and humidity may also be important factors affecting the COVID-19 mortality (Ma et al., 2020).
reductions in acute-care visits and hospital admissions for asthma in Atlanta (GA, USA) in conjunction with low air pollution due to traffic restrictions taken during the 2000 Olympic games (Friedman et al., 2001).

6. Conclusive observations: lessons that have to be learned and limitations of this study

The intensity of human interactions with Earth system has accelerated in recent decades, because of urban development, population growth, industrialization, deforestation, etc., with changes in physical, biological, and chemical processes in soils and waters. In particular, human activity, driven by a high level of world population that is about eight billion (U. S. Census Bureau, 2020), has induced changes to Earth’s surface, cryosphere, ecosystems, and climate that are now so great and rapid, advancing the geological epoch of Anthropocene (Crutzen and Stoermer, 2000; Foley et al., 2013). The beginning of the Anthropocene at around 1780 CE marks the huge growth of human population and carbon emissions as well as atmospheric CO2 levels (Ellis et al., 2013). The scale of carbon emissions, because of polluting industrialization, is leading to a rise in atmospheric greenhouse gases at a unparalleled rate associated with gradual rise in carbon dioxide (Glikson, 2013). In this era of Anthropocene, the health effects of air pollution have been subject to intense study in recent years. Exposure to airborne particulate matter and ozone is associated with increases in mortality, hospital admissions for respiratory and cardiovascular diseases and other health disorders (Kampa and Castanas, 2008; Hoek et al., 2013). The idea that high levels of air pollution have a detrimental effect on human health is now rarely contested, and acute exposures to high concentrations of air pollutants exacerbate cardiopulmonary disorders in human population (Langrish and Mills, 2014).

This study shows that factors determining the diffusion of epidemics similar to COVID-19 are due to manifold elements, given by:

1. General factors that are the same for all locations and associated with biological characteristics of viruses, such as incubation time, effects on infected and susceptible people, etc.
2. Specific factors that are different for each location and even for each individual, such as level of air pollution over time and space, meteorological conditions of specific location (e.g., temperature and wind speed), population density of areas, economic wealth, cultural characteristics (religious habits, food culture, etc.), organization and efficiency of healthcare sector, facilities and equipment in health sector, immune system of people, average age of population, sex of people, race, socioeconomic status, occupational factors, etc.

The main results of the study here, based on case study of COVID-19 outbreak in Italy, can explain and generalize the factors determining transmission dynamics of Coronavirus disease in regions with polluting industrialization. In particular,

- The acceleration of transmission dynamics of COVID-19 has a high association with air pollution of cities measured with days exceeding the limits set for PM_{10} or ozone.
- Cities having more than 100 days of air pollution (exceeding the limits set for PM_{10} or ozone), they have a very high average number of infected individuals (about 3600 infected individuals on 7th April 2020), whereas cities having less than 100 days of air pollution, they have a lower average number of infected individuals (about 1000 infected individuals).
- Hinterland cities, with high number of average days exceeding the limits set for PM_{10}, have a very high number of infected people on 7th April 2020 (arithmetic mean is about 2200 infected individuals, with average polluted days more than 80 days per year). Coastal cities, also having days exceeding the limits set for PM_{10} or ozone, have an arithmetic mean of about 940 infected individuals, with average polluted days about 60 per year. In addition, coastal cities have an average higher intensity of wind speed (about 12 km/h) than hinterland cities (8 km/h) and statistical analysis reveals a negative coefficient of correlation between number of infected individuals and of wind speed ($r = -31\%, p-value < 0.05$): in fact, wind speed and other climate factors can clean air from pollutants that are associated with transmission dynamics of Coronavirus disease.
- Air pollution in Italian cities under study seems to be a more important predictor in the initial phase of transmission dynamics (on 17th March 2020, $b_{1} = 1.27, p < 0.001$) than human-to-human transmission ($b_{2} = 0.31, p < 0.05$). Subsequently, the factor of air pollution reduces intensity of the diffusion of Coronavirus infection (on 7th April 2020 $b_{1} = 0.81, p < 0.001$) also because of indirect effect of lockdown, whereas coefficient of human-to-human transmission does not change ($b_{2} = 0.31, p < 0.01$). This result reveals that accelerated transmission dynamics of COVID-19 is due to the mechanism of air pollution-to-human transmission in addition to human-to-human transmission.
- To minimize future epidemics similar to COVID-19, the max number of days per year that Italian provincial capitals and other cities with polluting industrialization can exceed the limits set for PM_{10} (particulate matter 10 μm or less in diameter) or for ozone, considering their meteorological conditions, has to be less than 50 days.

Hence, high concentration of nitrogen dioxide and particulate air pollutants emitted by motor vehicles, power plants, and industrial facilities in North Italy seems to be a platform to support the diffusion of viral infectivity (Groulx et al., 2018), increase hospitalizations for respiratory virus bronchiolitis (cf., Carugno et al., 2018; Nenna et al., 2017), increase asthma incidence and other respiratory disorders (Liao et al., 2011) and induce damages to the immune system of people (Glencross et al., 2020). Beelen et al. (2013) report the need to draw attention to the continuing effects of air pollution on health of people. A socioeconomic strategy to prevent future epidemics similar to the COVID-19 is also the reduction of pollution with fruitful environmental and health effect by rationalizing of manufacturing industry in a perspective of sustainable development and by de-industrializing polluting activities in the geographical development of capitalism. De-industrialization of polluting industries and sustainable development impose often huge social costs in the short term for people, households, and families but they have long-run benefits for human societies. Studies show that public and environmental health policy interventions have the potential to reduce morbidity and mortality in Europe (cf., Raaschou-Nielsen et al., 2013). In fact, the improvements of air quality have been accompanied by demonstrable benefits to human health. Pope et al. (2009) report that PM_{2.5} concentrations fell by a third from the early 1980s to the late 1990s across major US metropolitan areas, with each 10 μg/m³ reduction associated with an increase in life expectancy of 0.61 years. Because of health problems of polluting industrialization, Wei et al. (2020) suggest different air pollution regulations in regions having varied geographical and climatic conditions, and different bio aerosol pollution. In particular, Wei et al. (2020) suggest that different air quality strategies should be applied in inland and coastal cities, e.g., coastal cities need start bioaerosol risk alarm during moderate pollution when severe pollution occurs in inland cities. Guo et al. (2019) argue that haze pollution is a serious environmental problem affecting cities, proposing a sustainable urban planning directed to improve public respiratory health. In brief, the long-term benefits of sustainable economic development are basic for the improvement of environment, atmosphere, air quality and especially health of population as well as for the reduction of possible factors determining accelerated diffusion of viral infectivity and Coronavirus diseases in winter and fall seasons (Blackaby, 1978; Blusteen and Harrison, 1982; Pike, 2009).

These findings here are consistent with correlational studies that health effects of air pollution exposure can extend beyond cardiopulmonary systems, affecting diffusion of epidemics similar to COVID-19. Hence, it is important to reinforce empirical evidence related to association between air pollution and inter-related factors of the transmission dynamics of viruses similar to COVID-19, to help policy makers to develop proactive strategies for the control of environment, reduction of air pollution and polluting industrialization, and to prevent the diffusion of future Coronavirus diseases. The complex problem of epidemic threats has to be treated with a proactive approach of dissolution: it means to redesign the strategies and protocols to cope with future epidemics in such way as to eliminate the conditions that caused accelerated diffusion of COVID-19, thus enabling nations to do better in the future than the best it can do today (Ackoff and Rovin, 2003, pp. 9–10; Bundy et al., 2017). This study reveals interesting results of transmission dynamics of COVID-19 outbreak given by two mechanisms that may have accelerated the diffusion of viral infectivity in Italy: air pollution-to-human transmission and human-to-human transmission that create dangerous interaction over time and space. However, these conclusions are tentative. There are several challenges to such study, particularly in real time. Sources may be incomplete, or only capture certain aspects of the on-going outbreak dynamics; there is need for much more research in to the complex relations between diffusion of Coronavirus diseases, levels of air pollution, meteorological factors, immune systems of population and other determinants within and between countries. Overall, in the presence of polluting industrialization of cities and mechanisms of diffusion of viral infectivity also based on air pollution-to-human transmission (airborne viral infectivity diseases), this study must conclude that a comprehensive strategy to prevent future epidemics similar to COVID-19 has also to be designed in environmental and socioeconomic terms, that is in terms of sustainability science and environmental science, and not only in terms of biology, medicine, healthcare and health sector.
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