Mechanisms of Insulin Resistance After Insulin-Induced Hypoglycemia in Humans: The Role of Lipolysis
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OBJECTIVE—Changes in glucose metabolism occurring during counterregulation are, in part, mediated by increased plasma free fatty acids (FFAs), as a result of hypoglycemia-activated lipolysis. However, it is not known whether FFA plays a role in the development of posthypoglycemic insulin resistance as well.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS—We conducted a series of studies in eight healthy volunteers using acipimox, an inhibitor of lipolysis. Insulin action was measured during a 2-h hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp (plasma glucose [PG] 5.1 mmol/l) from 5:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m. or after a 3-h morning hyperinsulinemic-glucose clamp (from 10 A.M. to 1:00 P.M.), either during control conditions or during treatment with acipimox.

RESULTS—Plasma adrenaline, norepinephrine, growth hormone, and cortisol levels were unchanged (P > 0.2). Glucose infusion rates (GIRs) during the euglycemic clamp were reduced by morning hypoglycemia in study 2 versus study 1 (16.8 ± 2.3 vs. 34.1 ± 2.2 μmol/kg/min, respectively, P < 0.001). The effect was largely removed by blockade of lipolysis during hypoglycemia in study 3 (28.9 ± 2.6 μmol/kg/min, P > 0.2 vs. study 1) and largely reproduced by replacement of FFA in study 4 (22.3 ± 2.8 μmol/kg/min, P < 0.03 vs. study 1). Compared with study 2, blockage of lipolysis in study 3 decreased endogenous glucose production (2 ± 0.3 vs. 0.85 ± 0.1 μmol/kg/min, P < 0.05) and increased glucose utilization (16.9 ± 1.85 vs. 28.5 ± 2.7 μmol/kg/min, P < 0.05). In study 4, GIR fell by ∼23% (22.3 ± 2.8 μmol/kg/min, vs. study 3, P = 0.058), indicating a role of acipimox per se on insulin action.

CONCLUSION—Lipolysis induced by hypoglycemia counterregulation largely mediates posthypoglycemic insulin resistance in healthy subjects, with an estimated overall contribution of ∼39%. Diabetes 59:1349–1357, 2010

P

Physiological responses to insulin-induced hypoglycemia in humans are well established (1,2). Timely increments in secretion of counterregulatory hormones and specific symptom appearance prevent further fall in plasma glucose concentration (3). Counterregulatory hormones are all similarly critical in defense against hypoglycemia (3). These “anti-insulin” responses last several hours after a hypoglycemic episode ends (4). This condition of posthypoglycemic insulin resistance translating into postmeal hyperglycemia was described first by Somogyi (5) after his observation that overtreatment with evening regular insulin can result in hyperglycemia the following morning (6). In the clinical setting, this process can contribute to the instability of the metabolic control in patients with diabetes (7). With intermediate- and long-acting insulin as well as continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion currently available, fasting hyperglycemia after nocturnal hypoglycemia is either infrequent (7) or modest (8). Posthypoglycemic insulin resistance nevertheless results in significant postmeal hyperglycemia (9).

Mintz et al. (10) and Oakley et al. (11) described reduced rebound hyperglycemia after hypoglycemia in hypophysectomized patients, proposing a role for growth hormone and cortisol in the pathogenesis of posthypoglycemic insulin resistance, whereas Popp et al. (12) documented impaired glucose recovery from acute hypoglycemia induced by an intravenous insulin bolus after β-adrenergic blockade, suggesting an involvement of catecholamines, at least in the acute phase. In the mid-1980s, Bolli et al. (13) provided evidence that posthypoglycemic hyperglycemia in patients with type 1 diabetes is the result of counterregulatory hormonal response to hypoglycemia in concert with prevalent plasma insulin concentration, and that all of the hormones but glucagon may play a role. Long-lasting posthypoglycemic insulin resistance (up to 7–8 h) is induced in its early phase primarily by epinephrine response and in its late phase by growth hormone and cortisol (14–19). The mechanisms by which the counterregulatory hormones adrenaline, growth hormone, and cortisol induce posthypoglycemic insulin resistance are attributed to increased endogenous glucose production (liver and kidney) and suppressed glucose utilization (peripheral tissues, mainly muscles). However, it is possible that other mechanisms, i.e., indirect mechanisms, may also contribute. Indeed, earlier observations (20,21) indicate that activation of lipolysis, i.e., an increase in plasma free fatty acids (FFAs) and glycerol, plays a critical role in mediating the effects of catecholamines and other lipolytic, counterregulatory hormones in the defense against acute, insulin-induced hypoglycemia. It is conceivable that the same mechanisms continue to operate immediately after hypoglycemia and contribute to insulin resistance in subsequent hours.

The present series of studies was undertaken 1) to establish whether increased availability of FFA substrate after lipolysis and/or lipid oxidation in response to acute, insulin-induced hypoglycemia plays a role in the pathogenesis of posthypoglycemic insulin resistance, and if so, 2) to quantify its contribution, and 3) to determine whether its
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effects are mediated by the liver or peripheral tissues, or both.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

**Subjects.** The study was carried out according to the Declaration of Helsinki after obtaining written informed consent from all subjects. Eight healthy volunteers (three women and five men) with no family history of diabetes or other endocrine diseases and who were not taking any medications participated in the study, which had been approved by the local ethics committee. Their mean (± SE) age was 28 ± 1.8 years and their mean BMI (kg/m²) was 22.8 ± 0.7. All subjects underwent four different occasions, in random order, with an interval between studies of at least 2 weeks.

**Protocol.** On all occasions, subjects presented to the Clinical Research Center of the Department of Internal Medicine, Endocrinology and Metabolism, University of Perugia at 6:00 a.m. after an overnight fast of 10 h. They were placed on bed rest and maintained a supine position until the end of the experiments at 7:00 p.m. To obtain arterialized-venous blood samples, a dorsal vein of the arm was cannulated retrogradely with a 18-gauge catheter needle, and the hand was maintained at 65°C in a thermoregulated Plexiglas box (22). An antecubital vein of the contralateral arm was cannulated with an 18-gauge catheter needle for infusions. Insulin, stable isotope–labeled tracers, and variable glucose (20% solution) were infused in all studies, whereas a heparin and lipid solution was infused only in study 4. Potassium chloride at the rate of 5 mEq/l was also infused along with saline in all clamp studies to prevent hypokalemia. All infusions were performed with separate syringe pumps (Harvard Apparatus, Inc., The Ealing Co., South Natick, MA). Both forearm venous lines were kept patent by saline solution infused at a rate of 30 ml/h. At 7:00 a.m., a primed 16-ml/h infused to reproduce plasma FFA and glycerol concentrations similar to those obtained at baseline euglycemia in study 1 (hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp), whereas hypoglycemia (3.2 ± 0.1 mmol/l) was allowed to occur in studies 2–4. Plasma glucose and insulin concentrations and rates of glucose infusion were measured during each hour (31). Nonoxidative glucose utilization was calculated by subtracting the rate of glucose oxidation from the total rate of glucose uptake (31). Protein oxidation rate was measured from urinary nitrogen excretion before and during insulin infusion adjusted for changes in serum urea during insulin infusion (32).

**Calculations.** Oxidation rates for carbohydrate and fat were calculated from indirect calorimetric measurements by averaging the data over the 30 min of measurements during each hour (31). Nonoxidative glucose utilization was calculated by subtracting the rate of glucose oxidation from the total rate of glucose uptake (31). Protein oxidation rate was measured from urinary nitrogen excretion before and during insulin infusion adjusted for changes in serum urea during insulin infusion (32).

**Results.** Data in text are given as means ± SE. Statistical analysis was performed by using mixed-model repeated-measures ANOVA, with Huynh-Feldt adjustment for nonsphericity. Post hoc comparisons (Newman-Keuls test) were carried out to pinpoint specific differences on significant interaction terms. P < 0.05 was considered to indicate statistically significant difference. A sample size of eight was chosen based on the calculation that it achieves 85% power to detect a difference of 0.60 μmol/kg/min between study 3 (lipolysis blocked by acipimox) and study 4 (lipolysis blocked by acipimox and plasma FFAs replaced) with an SD of 0.60 μmol/kg/min and a significance level (alpha) of 0.05 using a two-sided one-sample t test. We conducted the statistical analyses using NCSS/PASS 2007 software (Kaysville, UT).

**RESULTS**

**Plasma glucose and insulin concentrations and rates of glucose infusion.** In t1, plasma glucose was maintained at baseline euglycemia in study 1 (hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp; Fig. 1). In studies 2–4, plasma glucose was allowed to decrease to a nadir of 3.2 ± 0.1 μmol/l between 30 and 180 min (P > 0.2 between studies 2 and 4). Therefore, plasma glucose increased to euglycemic levels of study 1 by 300 min and remained euglycemic until the end of the study (540 min).

**Plasma insulin was not different in the four studies.** Hypoglycemia in study 2 resulted in lower glucose infusion rates required to maintain euglycemia between 300 and 420 min of t2 compared with euglycemic study 1 (3.1 ± 1.3 vs. 6.1 ± 1.5 μmol/kg/min, P = 0.037). However, when lipolysis was blocked by acipimox in study 3, the rate of glucose infusion increased to values similar to those of
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In study 1 (5.9 ± 6.1 vs. 12 ± 2.2 μmol/kg/min, P > 0.2). Finally, when FFAs and glycerol were replaced in study 4, the rate of glucose infusion was again reduced to values similar to those of study 2 (4.1 ± 1.9 vs. 3.1 ± 1.3 μmol/kg/min, P = 0.124). In the hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp of t3 (420–540 min), the rate of glucose infusion required to maintain euglycemia was reduced by morning hypoglycemia in study 2 (510–540 min, 16.8 ± 2.3 vs. 34.1 ± 2.2 μmol/kg/min, study 2 vs. study 1, respectively, P < 0.001), but the effect was largely removed by blockade of lipolysis during hypoglycemia in study 3 (28.9 ± 2.6 μmol/kg/min, P > 0.2 vs. study 1), and largely reproduced by replacement of FFAs and glycerol in study 4 (22.3 ± 2.8 μmol/kg/min, P < 0.03 vs. study 1) (Fig. 1).

**Rates of endogenous glucose production, glucose utilization, and glucose and lipid oxidation.** Hyperglycemia in study 2 compared with study 1 euglycemia resulted in increase in endogenous glucose production, suppression of utilization and oxidation of glucose, and stimulation of lipid oxidation in t3 (Fig. 2). In particular, endogenous glucose production and lipid oxidation were significantly greater (9.0 ± 0.9 vs. 5.9 ± 0.3 μmol/kg/min, P = 0.01, 5.5 ± 0.5 vs. 4.0 ± 0.4 μmol/kg/min, P = 0.07, study 2 vs. 1, respectively). Blockade of lipolysis (study 3) largely reversed these effects, which were reproduced by replacement of FFAs and glycerol (study 4). In addition, in t3, the morning hypoglycemia of study 2 compared with the euglycemic study 1, respectively resulted in lower suppression of endogenous glucose production (2 ± 0.3 vs. 0.1 ± 0.1 μmol/kg/min) lower oxidation (4.2 ± 0.45 vs. 6.95 ± 0.7 μmol/kg/min, P < 0.01) and utilization (16.9 ± 1.85 vs. 31.9 ± 3.15 μmol/kg/min, P = 0.001) of glucose, and less suppression of lipid oxidation (5 ± 0.45 vs. 3.1 ± 0.3 μmol/kg/min, P = 0.01). However, blockade of lipolysis (study 3) largely reversed all these changes (endogenous glucose production 0.85 ± 0.1 μmol/kg/min, glucose oxidation 8.8 ± 1.05 μmol/kg/min, glucose utilization 28.5 ± 2.7 μmol/kg/min, lipid oxidation 2.9 ± 0.25 μmol/kg/min). Finally, replacement of FFAs and glycerol largely reproduced the effect observed in study 2 (Fig. 2).

In t3, nonoxidative rates of glucose utilization (total glucose utilization rates minus glucose oxidation rates from indirect calorimetry) were 78, 75, 69, and 86% in studies 1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively, of the overall glucose utilization. The replacement of FFA levels in study 4 with concomitant administration of acipimox significantly increased nonoxidative rates of glucose utilization compared with study 3 (P = 0.02).

**Plasma counterregulatory hormones concentrations.** Baseline plasma concentrations of all counterregulatory hormones were not different in studies 1–4 (Fig. 3). Plasma glucagon decreased slightly in the euglycemic time segment t1 of study 1. In contrast, in the hypoglycemia studies 2–4, plasma glucagon increased at 180 min and then returned to baseline values. Plasma adrenaline did not change in study 1, whereas it increased similarly in studies 2–4 by 180 min and, subsequently, returned to baseline values by 240 min. Plasma norepinephrine concentrations increased slightly between 30 and 180 min and were not different in all four studies. Plasma growth hormone did not change (baseline 2.0 ± 0.3 μg/dl) in the euglycemic study 1. In studies 2–4, plasma growth hormone peaked at 180 min (12 ± 0.3, 17 ± 2, 9.4 ± 1.8 μg/dl, respectively, P < 0.01 vs. study 1). There was a trend of a greater response of plasma growth hormone in study 3 compared with study 2 (P = 0.07) and study 4 (P = 0.08). Plasma cortisol decreased slightly in the euglycemic study 1, whereas it increased similarly in studies 2–4 (P < 0.05 vs. study 1) at 180 min. Afterward, plasma cortisol decreased to baseline values by 300 min.

In t3, plasma concentrations of counterregulatory hormones glucagon, adrenaline, norepinephrine, growth hormone, and cortisol were not different among the four studies.

**Plasma FFA, glycerol, β-hydroxybutyrate, lactate, and alanine concentrations.** In t1, plasma FFAs decreased after initiation of insulin infusion from an averaged baseline of 0.40 ± 0.03 to a nadir of 0.09 ± 0.02.

---
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FIG. 2. Glucose utilization, endogenous glucose production, glucose oxidation, and lipid oxidation in study 1 (euglycemia), study 2 (hypoglycemia), study 3 (hypoglycemia + acipimox), and study 4 (hypoglycemia + acipimox + heparin + intralipid). The diagonal area depicts \( t_1 \) (0–180 min, euglycemia or hypoglycemia), the white area depicts \( t_2 \) (180–420 min, euglycemia or recovery to hypoglycemia), and the dotted area depicts \( t_3 \) (420–540 min, euglycemic clamp) of each study.

mmol/l at 180 min with no differences in studies 1–3 (Fig. 4). After discontinuing insulin infusion (180 min), plasma FFAs returned to values similar to those of baseline by 420 min of \( t_2 \). In the same segment of \( t_2 \), plasma FFA levels were at all times less suppressed in study 2 compared with studies 1 and 3 \((P < 0.05)\). Finally, replacement of FFAs and glycerol in study 4 reproduced plasma FFA concentrations similar to those of study 2 and greater than those of studies 1 and 3 \((P < 0.05)\).

After an initial suppression, plasma glycerol concentrations increased by 240 min \((150 \pm 23 \text{ mmol/l})\) in study 2. In studies 1 and 3, plasma glycerol concentrations were suppressed throughout, whereas in study 4 exogenous lipid emulsion produced plasma concentrations in the range of those of study 2. Plasma \( \beta \)-hydroxybutyrate followed a pattern similar to that of plasma FFA in all studies, although it was higher in study 2 compared with study 4.

In the euglycemic study 1, plasma lactate (baseline 1.0 ± 0.2 mmol/l) did not change. In studies 2–4, plasma lactate baseline values were similar to those of study 1, however plasma concentrations increased and peaked at 180 min \((1.5 \pm 0.24, 1.6 \pm 0.17,\) and \(1.5 \pm 0.16 \text{ mmol/l},\) studies 2, 3, and 4, respectively); afterward, they decreased to baseline values by 240 min.

Plasma alanine concentrations did not change significantly from baseline in all studies. In \( t_3 \), baseline (420 min) plasma FFA, glycerol, and \( \beta \)-hydroxybutyrate concentrations were significantly higher in studies 2 and 4 than studies 1 and 3. However, after insulin infusion, plasma FFA, glycerol, and \( \beta \)-hydroxybutyrate concentrations decreased in study 2 to concentrations similar to those of studies 1 and 3. In study 4, these metabolites followed the same pattern observed in studies 1–3. Plasma lactate concentrations increased slightly and similarly in all studies. Plasma alanine did not change, although levels tended to be higher in studies 3–4 \((P = 0.081)\) (Fig. 4).

Effect of acipimox per se on insulin action. Mean values of GIR and rates of endogenous glucose production and glucose utilization calculated during the last 30 min of the euglycemic clamp in \( t_3 \) allow estimation of the likely contribution of acipimox per se, independent of the decrease in circulating FFA levels, on insulin action. In study 3, inhibition of lipolysis by acipimox determined an increase in GIR, paralleled by a similar increment of glucose disappearance, of ~72% compared with study 2 \((28.9 \pm 2.6 \text{ vs. } 16.8 \pm 2.3 \text{ mmol/kg/min, respectively, } P = 0.009)\). When plasma FFA and glycerol levels were replaced by infusing lipids and lipolysis was still blocked by acipimox in study 4, GIR was still higher by ~33% compared with study 2 \((22.3 \pm 2.8 \text{ mmol/kg/min, vs. study 2, } P = 0.026)\). This indicates a role of acipimox per se on insulin action and
quantifies its relative contribution to the effects observed in study 3. Accordingly, the overall contribution of lipolysis to posthypoglycemic insulin resistance was estimated to be ~39%.

DISCUSSION

The results indicate the following: First, the counterregulatory hormonal response to hypoglycemia contributes to reduced insulin action up to 9 h after an acute hypoglycemic episode. Second, this posthypoglycemic insulin resistance is generated by the counterregulatory hormones that act in part indirectly by activating lipolysis (contribution of ~39%), and in part directly, i.e., by lipolysis-independent mechanisms (contribution of ~60%). Third, the mechanisms of posthypoglycemic insulin resistance induced by lipolysis include increase in endogenous

**FIG. 3.** Plasma counterregulatory hormones glucagon, adrenaline, norepinephrine, cortisol, and growth hormone in study 1 (euglycemia), study 2 (hypoglycemia), study 3 (hypoglycemia + acipimox), and study 4 (hypoglycemia + acipimox + heparin + intralipid). The diagonal area depicts $t_1$ (0–180 min, euglycemia or hypoglycemia), the white area depicts $t_2$ (180–420 min, euglycemia or recovery to hypoglycemia), and the dotted area depicts $t_3$ (420–540 min, euglycemic clamp) of each study.
glucose production, suppression of peripheral utilization and oxidation of glucose, and increase lipid oxidation. Thus, the adipose tissue plays a pivotal role in determining and sustaining posthypoglycemic insulin resistance in humans.

In a late phase of hypoglycemia, a large part of the anti-insulin effects of counterregulatory hormones, mainly catecholamines, on production and utilization of glucose is not direct but is mediated by stimulation of lipolysis (20,21).

The novel finding of the present study is that hypoglycemia-induced lipolysis also exerts long-lasting effects by blunting insulin action after restoration of euglycemia.

Administration of acipimox (study 3) suppressed lipolysis (as reflected by plasma FFA and glycerol concentrations) and markedly reduced lipid oxidation. This was associated with suppression of endogenous glucose production by 42%, increased glucose utilization by 64%, and increased glucose oxidation by 100%.

FIG. 4. Plasma nonglucose substrates free fatty acids, glycerol, β-OH-butyrate, lactate, and alanine in study 1 (euglycemia), study 2 (hypoglycemia), study 3 (hypoglycemia + acipimox), and study 4 (hypoglycemia + acipimox + heparin + intralipid). The diagonal area depicts $t_1$ (0–180 min, euglycemia or hypoglycemia), the white area depicts $t_2$ (180–420 min, euglycemia or recovery to hypoglycemia), and the dotted area depicts $t_3$ (420–540 min, euglycemic clamp) of each study.
The mechanisms of regulation of glucose metabolism by fatty acids is not completely understood. The initial hypothesis of competition between FFAs and glucose for oxidation has been proposed by Randle et al. based on studies in vitro (33). Increased availability and oxidation of free fatty acids would increase levels of acetyl-CoA and citrate. The former inhibits pyruvate dehydrogenase, which in turn decreases glucose oxidation. The latter inhibits phosphofructokinase, which in turn decreases glycolysis and glucose utilization. Other studies in humans have demonstrated that the overall effect of elevation of levels of free fatty acids is increased lipid oxidation and suppressed oxidation and utilization of glucose (34), thus creating a condition of free fatty acid–induced insulin resistance (35). However, in addition to the hypothesis of Randle et al., it is likely FFAs interfere with insulin-stimulated glucose transport activity in muscles and induce insulin resistance by altering insulin signaling through insulin receptor substrate–1–associated phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase, resulting in decreased insulin-stimulated glucose transport activity (36).

It is likely that both glycerol and FFA contributed to the increase in the rate of hepatic glucose production observed in study 4 compared with study 3 (20). However, the design of the present experiments does not allow us to distinguish between the relative contribution of glycerol and FFAs to the increased hepatic glucose production.

All counterregulatory hormones increased in response to hypoglycemia (studies 1–3). However, with the exception of growth hormone (GH), which tended to be greater when lipolysis was blocked (study 3) compared with when lipolysis was allowed to occur (study 2) or FFA levels and glycerol were replaced (study 4), their levels were similar in studies 1–3. This effect on GH can be related to the lack of suppressive action of lower FFA levels on growth hormone secretion in study 3 (37).

From our study it is not possible to define the relative role of the individual counterregulatory hormones in the posthypoglycemic insulin resistance. However, because counterregulatory hormones were not affected by blockade of lipolysis, with the exception of GH, one might be tempted to speculate that, in addition to catecholamines (12), GH might direct, to some extent, the phenomenon of free fatty acid–induced posthypoglycemic insulin resistance. Indeed, earlier evidence points toward a critical role of GH and cortisol in insulin resistance after insulin-induced hypoglycemia (17,35). The elevation of norepinephrine in study 1 in which there was no hypoglycemia has to be considered as a response to insulin per se during the hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp in $t_1$ and $t_3$. In fact, hyperinsulinemia per se stimulates sympathetic neural activity including norepinephrine elevation (38).

It is interesting to note that in one study the suppressive effect of acipimox on FFA and glycerol levels did inhibit recovery from hypoglycemia in a model of acute hypoglycemia induced by a 30-min insulin infusion in healthy subjects (39). However, the study did not examine the same effect in a model of more clinical prolonged hypoglycemia. It is possible that increased FFA and glycerol levels to hypoglycemia decrease insulin response during subsequent hypoglycemia. In fact, whereas antecedent hypoglycemia blunts neuroendocrine (and symptomatic) responses to subsequent hypoglycemia (4), Davis and Tate have shown that FFA levels and glucose infusion rates during subsequent afternoon hypoglycemia were higher and lower, respectively, after antecedent morning hypoglycemia compared with antecedent morning euglycemia, resulting in greater insulin resistance compensating for diminished neuroendocrine responses (40). More recently, it has been shown that insulin resistance can last up to 18 h after two brief episodes of antecedent hypoglycemia (4). In contrast, posthypoglycemic insulin resistance after antecedent hypoglycemia has not been studied in type 1 diabetic subjects. However, in those individuals, antecedent hypoglycemia is the major cause of hypoglycemia-associated autonomic failure syndrome, which, by reducing both symptoms of and physiological defense against developing hypoglycemia, favors severe hypoglycemia (41).

Hypoglycemia can be common also in people with type 2 diabetes, particularly under intensive glucose treatment (42,43). Whether the phenomenon of posthypoglycemic insulin resistance, demonstrated in healthy subjects in our present study, operates in people with type 2 diabetes who are already insulin resistant to some degree, thus contributing to worsened posthypoglycemia (hyper)glycemia, is not known.

Acipimox, a nicotinic acid analog and a potent inhibitor of lipolysis (44), is an established therapy for dyslipidemia. The antilipolytic action of acipimox is mediated through suppression of intracellular cAMP levels, with the subsequent decrease in cAMP-dependent protein kinase activity, leading to the reduced activity of hormone-sensitive lipase (45). Compared with nicotinic acid, acipimox has fewer side effects (light flushing) and a longer duration of action (46). In addition, by lowering circulating FFAs, acute administration of acipimox has been shown to improve insulin sensitivity in lean (47) and obese subjects and people with type 2 diabetes (48,49). The results of the present study are in line with earlier reports (50) indicating that acipimox improves insulin sensitivity by decreasing circulating FFA levels. In addition, our data show that acipimox also directly enhances insulin sensitivity. In fact, in study 3, GIR required to maintain euglycemia during the clamp ($t_3$) was greater than in study 4, in which acipimox was given as in study 3 and plasma FFA levels were replaced by infusion of lipids, but higher than in study 2. If acipimox had no effects on insulin sensitivity, GIR would have approximately matched rates observed in study 2. In addition, glucose oxidation was not affected by acipimox, suggesting that the increase in GIR stimulated by acipimox (~33%) must be accounted for by glucose storage as glycogen (nonoxidative glucose utilization).

Although our study reports the new finding that lipolysis induced by hypoglycemia counterregulation mediates in part posthypoglycemic insulin resistance, it has limitations. First, the study sample size was limited. It was, however, adequately powered to examine the issue and conducted under carefully controlled conditions. Second, although acipimox has been extensively adopted in metabolic studies (21,37,49,50), its use to investigate the role of lipolysis in posthypoglycemic insulin resistance may have exerted enhancing effects on in vivo insulin action independent of lipolysis and plasma FFA levels. We attempted to overcome this problem by planning study 4 to correct for the direct effects of acipimox on insulin action. Third, the results of our study have been obtained in healthy subjects and may not be immediately extrapolated to subjects with diabetes until specific studies are performed. Despite these limitations, the present study speaks to a major role of lipolysis in the pathogenesis of posthypoglycemic insulin resistance.

In conclusion, the present study demonstrates that the
activation of lipolysis by counterregulatory hormones in response to hypoglycemia (indirect effects) accounts for ~39% of the total effect on late posthypoglycemic insulin resistance. It is tempting to speculate that the late posthypoglycemic insulin resistance originates as a defensive mechanism to protect against recurrence of hypoglycemia after recent, antecedent hypoglycemia episode by limiting peripheral utilization and oxidation of glucose, thus increasing its availability for the brain. However, in subjects with type 1 diabetes, and long-term type 2 diabetes (in which pancreatic B-cell function is either totally or largely lost), posthypoglycemic insulin resistance may result in significant hyperglycemia especially after a meal, and interfere with day-long blood glucose control (7). In addition, in insulin-resistant type 2 diabetic patients, the reduced insulin action after hypoglycemia may exaggerate the preexisting insulin resistance and aggravate the cardiovascular risk.
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