REPRODUCTIVE BIOLOGY OF KLEIN’S SOLE, SYNAPTURICHTHYS KLEINII (ACTINOPTERYGII: PLEURONECTIFORMES: SOLEIDAE), OFF TUNISIAN COAST (CENTRAL MEDITERRANEAN)

Zouhour BOUAIN*, Lobna BOUDAYA, Abderrahmen BOUAIN, and Lassad NEIFAR

Laboratoire de Biodiversité et Ecosystèmes Aquatiques, Faculté des Sciences de Sfax, Université de Sfax, Sfax, Tunisia

Bouain Z., Boudaya L., Bouain A., Neifar L. 2018. Reproductive biology of Klein’s sole, Synapturichthys kleinii (Actinopterygii: Pleuronectiformes: Soleidae), off Tunisian coast (central Mediterranean). Acta Ichthyol. Piscat. 48 (1): 61–69.

Background. Klein’s sole, Synapturichthys kleinii (Risso, 1827), is a rare fish, included in the IUCN Red List as Data Deficient, and its biology is poorly known. Biological investigations of S. kleinii have been surprisingly rare in Tunisian waters. In the presently reported study, we investigated the reproductive period, size at first sexual maturity, and fecundity of S. kleinii along the Tunisian waters, with the aim of achieving the first complete and comprehensive description of the reproduction of this fish in the Mediterranean Sea.

Materials and methods. A total of 294 specimens of S. kleinii were sampled over two years, off the Tunisian coasts. Sex ratio was estimated through the seasons of the year and the size classes. The stage of maturity was determined macroscopically only for females. Monthly gonadosomatic index (GSI), monthly hepatosomatic index (HSI), and condition factor (K) were calculated for males and females of S. kleinii. Size at first maturity was estimated only for females during the spawning season. Eighteen mature females at spawning stage were collected, weighed, and fixed in 4% formaldehyde solution for fecundity estimation.

Results. Annual sex ratio showed significant differences between males and females. In specimens exceeding 27 cm in total length females outnumbered males significantly. The Klein’s sole reproduction period started in October and ended in January. The gonadosomatic index reached highest values in November for females and males. Females attained sexual maturity at 20.53 cm total body length (TL). Total fecundity ranged from 3647 to 23174 eggs. We found a low correlation coefficient between total fecundity and total length, but a high correlation coefficient between eviscerated weight and ovary weight.

Conclusion. Our results constitute a preliminary baseline for monitoring changes in the biological indexes linked to the reproduction cycle and length at first sexual maturity of S. kleinii. The presently acquired data will enable biologists to assess the status of this fish and develop culture technology in natural waters and will be useful for the fishery biologists and conservation biologists, for successful development, management, production, and ultimate conservation of this favoured Tunisian food fish.
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INTRODUCTION

Soled species occur along the Tunisian coasts and are among the most commercially demanded and expensive food fish in Tunisia, with an estimated annual production of 486 t per year (Anonymous 2014). However, the available statistical data Anonymous (2014) only cover the family Soleidae as a whole. Among those, Klein’s sole, Synapturichthys kleinii (Risso, 1827), is a rare species (Cabral 2002, Dallavalle and Chanet 2009, Tous et al. 2015) present in the eastern Atlantic, the Mediterranean Sea and the western Indian Ocean (Heemstra and Gon 1986). It lives in shallow waters, at depths of between 20 and 200 m (Heemstra and Gon 1986, Quéro et al. 1986) and is captured by catch and generally marketed with other soles such as Solea aegyptiaca Chabanaud, 1927 and Solea senegalensis Kaup, 1858, which are the most common soled species in Tunisia. The Klein’s sole is included in the IUCN Red List as Data Deficient. Given its scarcity and shallow water habitat, it is certainly impacted by habitat loss and non-targeted fishing pressure (Tous et al. 2015). It is therefore important and therefore we believe that the biology of this species should be better known.

The conservation status of Mediterranean marine fishes has been assessed using the IUCN Red List Categories and Criteria (Abdul Malik et al. 2011). This assessment was carried out during the course of two workshops, the first held in Istanbul (Turkey) in November 2007, and the second in Sète (France) in February 2008, as well as through
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correspondence with the relevant experts. It highlights the substantial lack of information on the conservation status of nearly one-third of these Mediterranean marine fishes, which were categorised as Data Deficient. Studying red list species would be useful for fishery biologists or fishery decision makers to impose adequate regulations for sustainable fishery management.

Biological investigations of *Synapturichthys kleinii* living in the Mediterranean Sea have been surprisingly rare. Results of the first biological study of *S. kleinii* in the Mediterranean Sea was published by Cau and Deiana (1983), with brief information on the reproduction of this fish in Sardinian waters (central-western Mediterranean). Some other studies focused on the biometry of *S. kleinii* found off the coast of Syria (Ali et al. 2015) and parasitology in Corsica (Bartoli et al. 2001), Tunisia (Derbel et al. 2007), and Portugal (Marques et al. 2009, 2011).

In the presently reported study, we investigated the reproductive period, size at first sexual maturity, and fecundity of *Synapturichthys kleinii* off the Tunisian coasts, with the aim of obtaining a comprehensive description on the reproductive cycle of this fish in the Mediterranean Sea. This information constitutes an important basis for understanding population dynamics and sustainable fisheries.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

A total of 294 specimens of *Synapturichthys kleinii* were randomly sampled within two years, from April 2014 through March 2016, at four fishing localities along the Tunisian coasts: Beni Khiar, Sayeda, Sfax, and Zarzis (Fig. 1, Table 1). Specimens were collected by bottom trawlers operating off the eastern Tunisian coast at depths between 30 and 200 m. However, catches were lower during August and September as this period corresponds to the biological rest season in the Gulf of Gabès, during which trawling is forbidden for months.

Samples were brought fresh to the laboratory and total length (TL) to the nearest 0.1 cm, eviscerated weight (*W*$_e$), liver weight (*W*$_l$), and gonad weight (*W*$_g$) to the nearest 0.01 g were recorded for each specimen.

To determine the sex ratio of *Synapturichthys kleinii*, the gonad was examined macroscopically according to Fishelson and Galil (2001). Sex ratio was estimated across seasons and size classes. Departures from the 1 ÷ 1 ratio were tested by *χ*² test, with one degree of freedom at the level α = 0.05. The maturity stage was determined macroscopically on the basis of colour, aspect of oocytes, and shape of the ovary, as well as its size in relation to the body cavity. Five stages were identified (Table 2) according to a modified sexual maturity scale proposed by Vallinsneri et al. (2001), Afonso-Dias (2005), and Ahmed et al. (2010) for different species of Soleidae. For males, the maturity stages and the size at first maturity were difficult to identify because testes did not display an important macroscopic development like the ovaries.

In order to evaluate the gonadal development during the annual sexual cycle and to determine the spawning season, the monthly gonadosomatic index (GSI) was calculated for males and females, as follows:

\[
GSI = 100\frac{W_g}{W_e}\]

The monthly hepatosomatic index (HSI) and condition factor (*K*) were also calculated for each sex, as follows:

\[
HSI = 100\frac{W_l}{W_e},
\]

\[
K = 100000\frac{W_e}{TL^3}
\]

### Table 1

| No. | Site     | Coordinates  | *n* |
|-----|----------|--------------|-----|
| 1   | Beni Khiar | 36°28′01″N, 11°55′09″E | 66  |
| 2   | Sayeda   | 35°40′7′′N, 10°53′32′′E | 83  |
| 3   | Sfax     | 34°44′26″N, 10°45′37″E | 118 |
| 4   | Zarzis   | 33°30′14″N, 11°06′43″E | 27  |
|     | **Total**|              | **294** |

*n* = number of fish collected.

Fig. 1. Sampling sites (1–4) of *Synapturichthys kleinii* along the Tunisian coast in the central Mediterranean Sea (inset above); site details are provide in Table 1.
Monthly values of all indices were compared using a one-way ANOVA test (Dagnélie 1970). The results are presented as the mean (± standard error), and the significance level used for the tests was \( P < 0.05 \).

The size at first maturity was estimated only for females during the spawning season for the mature specimens in stages III, IV, and V. This is defined as the total length at which 50% of females are mature and was estimated by means of a logistic function fitted to the proportion of the mature specimens grouped in 1 cm length class (TL). To estimate the size at first sexual maturity \( TL_{50} \), we calculated the proportion \( P_i \) of mature individuals by sex and by size class:

\[
P_i = 100 M_i / N_i^{-1}
\]

where \( M_i \) is the number of mature individuals in the size class and \( N_i \) is the number of examined individuals in the same size class \( i \). The data were fitted to a logistical function by using the software FISHPARAM\(^*\). The proportion of mature fish at size TL was calculated using the equation:

\[
\left( 1 + e^{-\left( TL - TL_{50}\right)} \right)^{-1}
\]

which is the curvature parameter (King 2007). This function allows the estimation with precision the lengths \( TL_{10} \), \( TL_{50} \), and \( TL_{90} \) that are often required by the majority of fisheries science software to carry out fish stock assessments (Pauly 1980, Ghorbel et al. 1996).

Total fecundity was estimated for 18 mature females of \( Synapturichthys kleini \) at spawning stage. The ovaries were collected, weighed, and fixed in 4% formaldehyde solution for fecundity estimation. After 3 months, each sample was carefully washed with running water. Three subsamples weighing between 8% and 12% of the total gonad weight were taken separately from the anterior, middle, and posterior regions of each ovary. Oocytes were separated from ovarian tissues by means of a teasing needle and their diameters were measured using an eye-piece micrometre on the stereomicroscope. We have therefore taken into account the oocytes which are not completely ripe, but which were in vitellogenesis with a compact cytoplasm, and are thus liable to be spawned in the season of reproduction. The mean diameter of oocytes was determined by the results of measurements of 798 oocytes from 3 subsamples. In fact, oocyte diameter provides a measure for determining whether the fish spawned once or several times in a breeding season (Ahmed et al. 2010).

The relative fecundity, that is the total number of eggs per unit of total length, eviscerated weight, and gonads weight were estimated by applying regression equation (Bagenal 1971)

\[
F = aX^b
\]

where \( F \) is the fecundity, \( X \) is an independent variable, and \( a \) and \( b \) were estimated by using the multiplicative regression model.

### RESULTS

**Sex ratio.** Among the 294 specimens examined during the study period, 166 were females (56.46%) and 128 were males (43.53%). Body length of the females in the catches ranged from 17.3 to 30 cm with the mean (± SD) assuming the value 24.3 ± 2.51 cm. Body length of the males was 19.6–27.7 cm, with the mean (± SD) of 23.1 ± 2.75 cm (Table 3).

The overall sex ratio was 1.29 ± 1 which is statistically significantly different from 1 ± 1 (\( \chi^2 = 4.91, \alpha < 0.05 \)); in any case, females almost predominated over males. The seasonal variations in the sex ratio of Klein’s sole did not vary significantly throughout the year except in autumn (\( \chi^2 = 6.26, \alpha < 0.05 \)) where it reached 1.75 ± 1 in favour of females (Fig. 2).

The size variations in the sex ratio of Klein’s sole did not vary significantly in size classes < 27 cm (0.02 < \( \chi^2 < 3.45 \), \( \alpha > 0.05 \)). Noticeably, females predominated males for fishes larger than this size, with 4.80 < \( \chi^2 < 7.54 \), \( \alpha < 0.05 \) (Fig. 3).

**Monthly variations in maturity stages.** When the gonads are small and outside the spawning season, a squash mount technique was performed to determine the sex. Monthly variations in the sexual maturity of females of \( Synapturichthys kleini \) are provided in Fig. 4. Immature females (stage I) were found throughout the year (\( n = 46 \)). Developing ovaries (Stage II) started to be visible in February through September (\( n = 26 \)). Maturing ovaries (stage III) appeared in March through October (\( n = 18 \)). Mature females in stage IV were found in October through

---

Table 2: Macroscopic scale of female gonads of \( Synapturichthys kleini \)

| Stage            | Description                                                                 |
|------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Stage I: Immature or resting | Ovaries consist of two thin colourless parallel filaments; no oocytes visible                            |
| Stage II: Developing | Ovaries increase in length and width becoming opaque, white-pinkish; no oocytes visible        |
| Stage III: Maturing       | Ovaries increase considerably in volume occupying half of visceral cavity, appearing to be 2–3 mm thick, yellow-orange with small oocytes visible under stereomicroscope |
| Stage IV: Spawning       | Ovaries occupying almost entire peritoneal cavity, orange, with evident hydrated oocytes, which are extruded copiously under light pressure |
| Stage V: Post-spawning   | Ovaries are empty or partially empty, flaccid and reddish with predominantly opaque oocytes |

\footnote{\url{http://www.fc.up.pt/pessoas/ptsantos/GCRN/fishparm.pdf}}
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January (n = 54). Females in stage V were caught from November through February (n = 22).

Sexual cycle. Monthly fluctuations of gonadosomatic index (GSI) values of *Synapturichthys kleini*

**Fig. 3.** Body length frequency distribution of *Synapturichthys kleini* sampled along the Tunisian coast: females, n = 166; males, n = 128

**Table 3**

Basic biological and morphometric data of *Synapturichthys kleini* collected in Tunisian marine coastal waters during presently reported study

| Season | Month | Number of fish | Total length range [cm] |
|--------|-------|----------------|------------------------|
|        |       | Females | Males | Females | Males |
| Winter | December | 27 | 16 | 18.4–28.3 | 17.0–27.0 |
|        | January | 5 | 5 | 23.5–25.5 | 20.5–24.6 |
|        | February | 15 | 12 | 18.1–28.5 | 19.6–26.0 |
| Spring | March | 9 | 7 | 21.7–29.0 | 15.5–25.6 |
|        | April | 9 | 7 | 18.5–26.5 | 23.5–26.8 |
|        | May | 10 | 7 | 22.0–28.0 | 17.4–25.4 |
| Summer | June | 18 | 17 | 18.7–28.7 | 19.3–27.2 |
|        | July | 11 | 19 | 17.3–30.0 | 21.3–28.6 |
|        | August | 4 | 4 | 27.0–28.0 | 21.0–26.2 |
| Autumn | September | 5 | 3 | 21.3–25.0 | 17.8–24.0 |
|        | October | 12 | 9 | 18.0–27.5 | 18.0–27.5 |
|        | November | 41 | 22 | 20.5–29.3 | 19.6–27.7 |
| Total | | 166 | 128 | 17.3–30.0 | 15.5–28.6 |
monthly variation for both sexes (female ANOVA = 6.00, \( P < 0.05 \); male ANOVA = 7.05, \( P < 0.05 \)). It ranged from 0.64 to 0.83 for females (Fig. 6A) and 0.66 to 0.88 for males (Fig. 6B).

**Size at first sexual maturity.** The percentage of mature females of *Synapturichthys kleinii* in each length class of 1 cm interval is plotted in Fig. 7. The female’s length at first maturity TL\(_{50}\) was estimated at 20.53 cm (\( R^2 = 0.989 \)), and TL\(_{25}\) and TL\(_{75}\) were respectively 19.96 and 21.03 cm. However, the smallest mature female was 19.5 cm.

**Fecundity.** Two oocyte categories were observed in ovaries: the first one displayed a transparent cytoplasm, having not started vitellogenesis, with a diameter strictly < 700 µm. The second one included oocytes with a compact cytoplasm, having accomplished vitellogenesis, with a diameter ≥ 700 µm. However, those with a diameter = 700 µm contain 40% yolked and 60% unyolked oocytes. Yolked oocytes having a diameter of between 700 µm and 1260 µm were counted and their mean diameter was found to be 900 µm (± 63.9). The frequency distributions of oocyte diameters show two peaks at 900 and 1200 µm, indicating an asynchronous oocyte organization (Fig. 8).

Total fecundity ranged from 3647 to 23 174 oocytes with a mean number of 9509 ± 1139 oocytes. For the
relative fecundity, the number of oocytes per length varied between 162 and 842 with a mean of 376 ± 41.5 oocytes per cm length. It ranged between 43 and 133 with a mean of 82 ± 6.4 per gram of eviscerated weight. It also varied between 700 and 2021 with a mean of 1290 ± 89.2 oocytes per gram of gonad weight.

Plots of fecundity versus total length (Fig. 9A), eviscerated weight (Fig. 9B) and gonad weight (Fig. 9C) indicated a regression model.

**DISCUSSION**

This paper represents the second investigation regarding the reproductive biology of *Synapturichthys kleinii* in the Mediterranean Sea after the study of Cau and Deiana (1983) in Sardinian waters.

Sex ratio is an important indicator of population state and the sustainability of species (Kartas and Quignard 1984). This ratio is generally close to 1:1 for the majority of species (Nikolski 1963). However, our study revealed that this ratio differs significantly for *Synapturichthys kleinii*. Its seasonal variations show a significant difference only in autumn. This difference was probably related to their reproductive biology. Accordingly, this period corresponds to the peak of reproductive activity. The departure of sex ratio from unity (1:1) can be the result of several factors, such as partial segregation of mature forms through habitat preference (Ahmed et al. 2010) or due to differential migration and/or other behavioural differences between sexes, rendering one sex more likely to be caught than the other (Mehanna 2014). This could also be explained by a polygenic mating system (one male choosing to mate with two or more females) (Farias et al. 2015).

The size difference between the sexes can be explained by the differential growth rate of the adults between the two sexes, with females attaining larger sizes than males (Andrade 1998). The difference in growth between the sexes may be caused by a distinct difference in metabolism between the two sexes (Pauly 1994). This difference could also be attributed to differential mortality, either natural or as a result of trawling (Mehanna 2014).

Macroscopic investigation of female gonads revealed the presence of five stages of ovary development that characterize the sexual cycle of *Synapturichthys kleinii* off the Tunisian coasts. Monthly variations in the maturity stages of *Synapturichthys kleinii* confirmed that the spawning season of this species extends from October to January. Cau and Deiana (1983) concluded that the spawning season of *S. kleinii* from Sardinian waters extended only from October to November in contrast to our finding that the species is an autumn/winter spawner in Tunisian waters. Our results are consistent with the findings of many other researchers who worked on flatfishes and the common conclusion was that flatfishes are generally winter spawners (Zaki and Hamza 1986, Vallisneri et al. 2001, Ahmed et al. 2010). Such differences in the spawning period of this species could indicate the role of environmental conditions in the timing of their reproductive cycle (Baggerman 1980, Wootton 1982, 1984, Bye 1984, Jiménez et al. 1998). The asynchrony in the spawning between old and young females could explain the extended spawning season in Tunisian waters. For example, females in October, which is the spawning period (Stage IV), with TL > 25 cm represent 65% and those with TL < 25 cm represent 35%. In November, these two percentages are switched. This result confirms that the younger females spawn later than the older ones.

Analysis of GSI indexes are in agreement with the percentage of individuals in each developmental stage.
The highest values of GSI were obtained in autumn, which was the period when the highest percentage of females at stage IV was recorded (49%: mean percentage of females during the spawning period). Comparing GSI of males and females, it can be seen that the values obtained for females (mean value from 1.35 to 5.28) were much higher than those determined for males (mean value from 0.09 to 0.27). A significant decrease in the mean GSI of males and females indicated the post-spawning and the sexual rest.

The hepatosomatic index and the condition factor presented the same trend during the sexual cycle. These two indexes decrease during the spawning period and reach a minimum when the GSI in maximum. This indicates that this fish probably stocks its energy reserves in liver and muscle. Such reserves might be sequentially transferred to the ovary for gonad maturation and to be used for the energetic requirements of spawning.

The presently reported study is the first attempt to determine the size at first maturity of Synapturichthys kleinii from the central Mediterranean Sea. The size at sexual maturity is an important indicator in fishery management and legal catch size (Lucifora et al. 1999, Wang et al. 2003). Off the Tunisian coasts, Klein’s sole females mature at 20.53 cm of total body length. This value is lower than that found for the same species swimming off the Sardinian coast TL♂ 50 = 29 cm (Cau and Deiana 1983). This size corresponds to practically the maximum size that we have observed for this species along the Tunisian coasts TL max = 30 cm. Given that the data of Cau and Deiana (1983) recorded in the Sardinian waters are quite old, it is possible that the strategy of S. kleinii has changed for this area as found by Porcu et al. (2010) for Bathypterois dubius Vaillant, 1888. Indeed, the length at first sexual maturity varies greatly from one species to another and within the same species. The difference of TL♂ 50 from one area to another could be due to an increase in fishing pressure (Hard et al. 2008, Lappalainen et al. 2016), which can lead to moderation in growth and a decrease in maturation size (Mcphail et al. 2001). In fact, the Gulf of Gabès suffers from overfishing, which may decrease the size at first sexual maturity of S. kleinii in this area and may extend its reproduction period (Bradai unpublished).

The frequency distributions of oocyte diameter (stage IV) indicate that spawning occurs in at least two batches. Total fecundity (stage IV) is not strongly related to the total fish length (R² = 0.264). However, it is highly related to the eviscerated weight (R² = 0.569) and gonad weight (R² = 0.658) (Fig. 9). The total fecundity of Synapturichthys kleinii females ranged between 3647 and 23 174 eggs for lengths between 22.5 and 27.5 cm respectively. This is considerably lower than that reported for other soleid species.

In fact, the total fecundity of Solea aegyptiaca in Egyptian waters ranged between 9898 and 39 505 eggs for lengths between 15.8 and 30.5 cm respectively (Ahmed et al. 2010). Mehanna (2014) found that the total fecundity of Solea solea ranged between 270 000 to 1 200 000 eggs for lengths between 16 and 33.5 cm in the Bardawil Lagoon. Variation in fecundity between localities and species can likely be explained by environmental factors and food intake by the individual (Simpson 1951, Bagenal and Tesch 1978) and by biological parameters such as age and size. The variation in fecundity is very common in fish and has been reported by many researchers (Reddy et al. 1991, Bhuiyan et al. 2006). Numerous factors like different stock of fish, nutritional status (Gupta et al. 1967), racial characteristics (Das 1977), time of sampling, maturation stage, and changes in environmental parameters (Reddy et al. 1991) have so far been reported to affect the fecundity both within the species, localities and between fish populations. Reproductive potential of the fishes is also influenced by the availability of space and food (Islam et al. 2012).

In the presently reported work, the relative fecundity of Synapturichthys kleinii varied from 162 to 842 eggs per cm of total length. To compare with other studies, Ahmed et al. (2010) mentioned that the relative fecundity of Solea aegyptiaca in Mediterranean Egyptian waters ranged from 616 to 1270 eggs per cm. This difference can be explained by the greater growth rate of S. aegyptiaca compared with S. kleinii.

CONCLUSION

This work constitutes a contribution to the knowledge of the reproductive biology of an endangered species of Soleidae included in the IUCN Red List as a Data Deficient. Information on the biology of Synapturichthys kleinii is rare or almost non-existent throughout the world. Our results contribute to a preliminary basis for monitoring the changes in biological indexes linked to the reproduction cycle and length at first sexual maturity of S. kleinii.

This study will help biologists to determine the status of this fish and to develop aquaculture technology in natural waters. Furthermore, this will be useful for fishery and conservation biologists, for the successful development, management, production and ultimate conservation one of the most fish of this region.
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