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Identification of a Novel Homozygous Missense (c.443A>T:p.N148I) Mutation in BBS2 in a Kashmiri Family with Bardet-Biedl Syndrome
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Background. Bardet-Biedl syndrome (BBS) is a rare autosomal recessive inherited disorder with distinctive clinical feature such as obesity, degeneration of retina, polydactyly, and renal abnormalities. The study was aimed at finding out the disease-causing variant/s in patients exhibiting clinical features of BBS. Methods. The identification of disease-causing variant was done by using whole exome sequencing on Illumina HiSeq 4000 platform involving the SeqCap EZ Exome v3 kit (Roche NimbleGen). The identified variant was further validated by Sanger sequencing. Results. WES revealed a novel homozygous missense mutation (NM_031885: c.443A>T:p.N148I) in exon 3 of the BBS2 gene. Sanger sequencing confirmed this variant as homozygous in both affected subjects and heterozygous in obligate parents, demonstrating autosomal recessive inheritance pattern. To the best of our knowledge, this variant was not present in literature and all publically available databases. The candidate variant is predicted to be pathogenic by a set of in-silico softwares. Conclusion. Clinical and genetic spectrum of BBS and BBS-like disorders is not completely defined in the Pakistani as well as in Kashmiri population. Therefore, more comprehensive genetic studies are required to gain insights into genotype-phenotype associations to facilitate carrier screening and genetic counseling of families with such disorders.
1. Introduction

Bardet-Biedl syndrome (BBS; OMIM 209900) is a sporadic and genetically heterogeneous developmental syndrome. Primary clinical features of the syndrome include retinal degeneration, polydactyly, hypogonadism, severe kidney problems, and mental retardation along with obesity. Other secondary features associated with BBS are T2DM (type 2 diabetes mellitus), cardiovascular problems, hepatic diseases, hypothyroidism, and abnormal tooth development. The proposed clinical diagnosis of BBS is based on the presence of four major signs or three major and two minor ones. It is stated that BBS patients have insulin resistance that causes impairments in glucose metabolism and insulin sensitivity which subsequently leads to type 2 diabetes [1-4].

The prevalence of BBS in populations where the consanguineous marriages are low is relatively less, like in the population of Northern Europe and North America which ranges between 1/100,000 and 1/160,000, respectively, and in Tunisia which has been estimated at 1/156,000 [5]. However, it is more common in Kuwaiti Bedouins (1/13,500), the Faroe Islands (1/3,700), and Newfoundland (1/17,000) [6, 7]. These prevalence variations are the result of multiple reasons such as consanguinity, which is a social custom in nations such as Pakistan, Iran, Kuwait, and Middle East. The worldwide comprehensive epidemiological data is very less regarding BBS; even the Ashkenazi Jews, being apparently the most genetically studied founder population, have also not yet been exposed regarding BBS. So it is crucial to indicate that several of the document frequencies were not tailored to molecular investigations; consequently, the available number should be treated with care. Till now, very few cases of BBS have been documented in Asia, South America, Africa, and Eastern Europe, and an inclusive study remains to be done in these populations [8, 9].

To date, 21 BBS loci (BBS1-C8or f37/BBS21) (Table 1) have been implicated for BBS whose mutations would explain around 80.0% of affected patients [10, 11]. The study presented here was aimed at determining the clinical and genetic basis of BBS in two patients from a consanguineous Kashmiri family using whole exome screening, to broaden our understanding of spectrum, nature, and molecular basis of BBS in the Kashmiri population.

Table 1: List of candidate genes for autosomal recessive congenital BBS.

| OMIM ID | Gene      | Function                  | Cytogenetic position |
|---------|-----------|---------------------------|----------------------|
| 209900  | BBS1      | BBSome protein            | 11q13                |
| 615981  | BBS2      | BBSome protein            | 16q21                |
| 600151  | BBS3/ARL6 | GTPase                    | 3p12-p13             |
| 615982  | BBS4      | BBSome protein            | 15q22.3q23           |
| 615983  | BBS5      | BBSome protein            | 2q31                 |
| 604896  | BBS6/MKKS | Part of chaperonin complex| 20p12                |
| 615984  | BBS7      | BBSome protein            | 4q27                 |
| 608132  | BBS8/TTC8 | BBSome protein            | 14q32.1              |
| 607968  | BBS9/B1   | BBSome protein            | 7q14                 |
| 615987  | BBS10     | Part of chaperonin complex| 12q21.2              |
| 602290  | BBS11/TRIM32 | E3 ubiquitin ligase    | 9q31-q34.1           |
| 615989  | BBS12     | Part of chaperonin complex| 4q27                 |
| 609883  | BBS13 MKS1| Centriole migration       | 17q23                |
| 610142  | BBS14/CEP290/NPHP6 | Basal body: RPGR interaction| 12q21.3           |
| 613580  | BBS15/WDFPCP | Basal body: localization cx of septins and ciliogenesis| 2p15                |
| 613524  | BBS16 SDCCAG8 | Basal body: interacts with OFD1| 1q43-q44          |
| 606568  | BBS17/LZTFL1 | BBSome associated         | 3p21.31              |
| 613605  | BBS18/BBIP1 | BBSome                    | 10q25.2              |
| 615870  | BBS19/IFT27 | Non-BBSome                | 22q12.3              |
| 608040  | BBS20/IFT74 | Non-BBSome                | 9p21.2               |
| 614477  | BBS21/C8ORF37 | Non-BBSome               | 8q22.1               |

2. Methods

2.1. Ethics Statement. This study was permitted by the ethical committee/institutional review board of University of Azad Jammu and Kashmir (AJ&K). Written informed consent was obtained from the legal guardians of the affected subjects and also from the normal adults who participated in this study for the genetic testing and publication of photographs. After a detailed interview from the elders of the patients, the pedigree was built using a method presented...
by Bennett et al. [12]. The pedigree of the family provided a significant indication of autosomal recessive inheritance pattern.

2.2. Genomic DNA Extraction and Whole Exome Sequencing. In order to investigate the disease-causing gene/s, peripheral blood samples were collected from both patients and clinically healthy subjects of the family. Genomic DNA extraction was done using phenol chloroform method [13]. For whole exome sequencing, we selected a clearly affected male (IV-3). Library enrichment for whole exome sequencing was conducted using SeqCap EZ Exome v3 kit (Roche NimbleGen). After that, enriched samples were sequenced by using an Illumina HiSeq 4000 (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). The average mean depth of the sequencing reads was kept up to 36x, and each read from the target region was covered ~94%.

2.3. Variant Calling. After the removal of adaptors and low-quality reads, the qualified raw fastq file was processed as per the recommendations of (GATK) “Best Practices for Germline SNP & Indel Discovery.” The clean sequences were mapped to UCSC Genome Browser hg19 by using Burrows-Wheeler Aligner (BWA-MEM v0.7.12), and subsequently, PCR duplicates were removed by using Picard v1.141. Finally, variant calling was done by using the GATK v2 Unified Genotyper.

2.4. Variant Annotation and Prioritization. All noncoding, synonymous variants with minor allele frequency (MAF) ≤ 0.01 in publicly available databases including Exome Aggregation Consortium (ExAC) (http://exac.broadinstitute.org/), 1000 Genomes, and Genome Aggregation Database (gnomAD) (https://gnomad.broadinstitute.org/) were excluded from the analysis. Only splice site, synonymous splice site variants, nonsense, nonsynonymous, indels that affect coding regions of gene were used for interpretation. Furthermore, the potential deleterious effect of the pathogenic variant on the structure and function of the protein was evaluated by using a set of in silico algorithms: SIFT (http://sift.jcvi.org/), PolyPhen2 (http://genetics.bwh.harvard.edu/pph2/), Mutation Taster (http://www.mutationtaster.org/), FATHMM (http://fathmm.biocompute.org.uk/index.html), Likelihood Ratio Test (LRT), and Condel [14].

2.5. Sanger Validation. The validation of expected candidate variant and extended segregation of candidate variants in all family members was done through Sanger sequencing.

2.6. 3D Modeling of the Protein. The amino acid sequence of BBS2 protein was retrieved from the NCBI database (http://
Table 2: A brief diagnostic assessment of both patients.

|                           | Case I (IV-2) | Case II (IV-3) |
|---------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Sex/age (years)           | F/28          | M/26          |
| Height (cm)/weight (kg)   | 146/71        | 150/73.5      |

**Major phenotypes**
- Retinitis pigmentosa: + +
- Obesity: + +
- Hypogonadism: + +
- Polydactyly (feet): + -
- Polydactyly (hands): + +
- Renal anomalies: + +
- Cognitive impairment: + +

**Minor phenotypes**
- Hearing loss: — —
- Menstruation in female: Yes —
- Micro penis: — Yes
- Syndactyly: — —
- Diabetes mellitus: + +
- Heart problems: — —
- Tooth pattern: Irregular —
- Short neck, low nose bridge: + +
- Speech development: Delay —
- Growth condition: Normal Normal
- Behavioral expression: Hyperactive —
- Eyesight: Weak Weak
- Facial morphology: Normal Normal

www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/), imported to pBlast search against Protein Data Bank (PDB). *Chaetomium thermophilum* (PDB: 6RXT) was used as a template for modeling of the three-dimensional structure of wild and mutant *BBS2* protein.

3. Results

3.1. Clinical Report. In this study, a consanguineous Kashmiri family exhibiting clinical features of BBS was recruited from district Bagh Azad Jammu and Kashmir. The diagnosis was ascertained by following a previously established criteria for district Bagh Azad Jammu and Kashmir. The diagnosis was performed in all patients by a combination of Sanger sequencing and whole exome sequencing (WES).

3.2. Molecular Findings. After exclusion of all the noncoding, nonsynonymous, and rare variants with MAF ≤ 0.01 across all assessed populations including 1000 Genomes, ExAC, and gnomAD, we got 21,664 variants in individual IV-3. All these filtration steps are mentioned in Figure 2. Then, based on the information collected from the previous literature (OMIM/PubMed) and pedigree illustration, we initially focused on homozygous variants; there were a total of 20 homozygous variants, and 3 of the variants were predicted to be damaging by SIFT and PolyPhen2 (Figure 2, Table 3). We then focused on the missense *BBS2* variant (NM_031885:c.443A>T:p.N148I) as the gene has been reported to be involved in BBS. The substitution of g16:56545099T>A occurs in exon 3 of the *BBS2* gene, leading to the N148I amino acid change. Sanger validation was done, and the variant was perfectly segregated among the individuals. Both patients were homozygous (Figure 3(c)), and their obligate parents were heterozygous (Figure 3(b)) for the mutation. However, the rest of the individuals were either heterozygous carrier or homozygous normal (Figure 3(a)).

This variant has not been reported before in the literature and also in any publicly available database. The variant was predicted to be potentially pathogenic by a set of other prediction algorithms as mentioned above.

4. Discussion

In this study, by using whole exome sequencing, we found a novel homozygous missense variant in the *BBS2* gene in a Kashmiri family. In addition, we also revealed WES combined with Sanger sequencing can be an effective strategy for molecular genetic diagnosis of BBS-like syndrome. BBS is a ciliopathy disorder that involves various body systems and mostly follows an autosomal recessive inheritance pattern; however, triallelic inheritance is also reported as a possible mechanism by some studies [18–20]. There are 21 genes that are associated with BBS so far; among those, *BBS1* and...
Table 3: Potential deleterious nonsynonymous variants investigated through WES screening in affected individual (IV-3) from a Kashmiri family having clinical manifestations of BBS.

| Gene | Ref | Alt | Amino acid substitution | Chr: position (Hg19) | 1000 Genomes gnomAD | ExAC | SIFT | PolyPhen2 | OMIM disease |
|------|-----|-----|-------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|------|------|-----------|--------------|
| BBS2 | T   | A   | N148I                   | Chr16:56545099      | NA                   | NA   | NA   | NA        | Bardet-Biedl syndrome |
| PKD2L1 | A  | T   | I520N                   | Chr10:102054392     | NA                   | 0.000007953 (0.00006533) | 0.00001647 (0.00006056) | Damaging | Benign |
| AOC2 | C   | T   | R279W                   | Chr17:40997478      | NA                   | 0.0002333 (0.0001960) | 0.0002 (0.0001) | Damaging | Possibly damaging |

Average allele frequency across global populations (Allele frequency in South Asians only) | Pathogenicity predictions
BBS10 are mostly reported from North America and Europe, respectively, whereas BBS2, BBS4, BBS5, and BBS12 are more prevalent in the Middle East and North Africa [11, 21, 22]. Based on previous and our current study, only eight BBS mutated genes (BBS1 (OMIM #209901) [23], BBS2 (OMIM #606151), BBS3/ARL6 (OMIM #608845), BBS5 (OMIM #603650) [24], BBS8/TTC8 (OMIM #608132) [25, 26], BBS9 (OMIM #615986) [9, 27], BBS10 (OMIM #610148) [28–30], and BBS12 (OMIM #610683)) [31] have been reported in the Pakistani population.

This study focuses on a consanguineous Kashmiri family having two affected individuals, one male (IV-3) and one female (IV-2) (Figure 1(a)). Both affected individuals exhibit established major symptoms of BBS, including obesity,
retinitis pigmentosa, renal abnormalities, polydactyly, and learning disabilities. Other minor features and intrafamilial phenotypic variations were also noted among the affected individuals, like the affected female (IV-2) displayed more significant intellectual disability compared to her brother (IV-3). Bilateral postaxial polydactyly of both fingers and toes was identified upon clinical investigation in individual IV-2. However, the affected male (IV-3) has the only polydactyly of fingers. All these interfamilial and intrafamilial phenotypic variations are well described in BBS by previous studies as well [8, 32, 33].

WES revealed a novel homozygous missense variant (NM_031885: c.443A>T:p.N148I) in exon 3 of the BBS2 gene. The position of the amino acid (N148) is highly conserved across different species (Figure 3(d)), and substitution is predicted to be damaging by in-silico analysis. This mutation was not present in any public database including dbSNP, 1000 Genomes, and ExAC. 3D protein modeling also indicated that the amide Asn residue in the mutant is replaced by Ile residue. The residue at position 148 in wild and mutant type is present at the loop region (Figures 4(a) and 4(b)). The mutation of polar amide side chain residue by nonpolar side chain caused a shortage of β-sheet in the nearby network as shown in Figure 4(d). As a consequence of this different, nature of amino acids and possibly different surface area may alter the function of the protein. The key function of the BBS genes is that they are involved in IFT (intraflagellar transport) and ciliary function. Seven genes (BBS1, BBS2, BBS4, BBS5, BBS7, BBS8, and BBS9) are involved in the formation of the BBSome complex, and BBS6, BBS10, and BBS12 encode protein for the BBS chaperone complex that has a significant role in the maintenance and regulation of the BBSome [11, 34]. Mutations in BBS2 genes are harmful because BBS2 directly interacts with BBS7 and BBS9 to form the core complex that is vital for complete formation of the BBSome and ciliogenesis [1, 35].

Previous studies have reported that the BBS2 gene is the third most frequent BBS gene to harbor mutational load (12.0%) after BBS10 (20.0%) and BBS1 (23.0%) [36, 37]. The cytogenetic location of the BBS2 gene is on chromosome 16q21 and has 18 coding exons that encode 721 amino acid protein. There is a 45-amino acid coiled region in between exons 9 and 10, and this is flanked by peptide chain regions on both sides [38].

Although genetic studies have reported a total of 81 mutations including 49 missense/stop mutations (HMID, accessed on 30.12.2020) in the BB2 gene, only one mutation has been reported in the Pakistani population so far. This variant (Arg413Ter) was stated by Kordestani et al. in an annual meeting abstract in 2006 [39], and no detailed clinical information is provided in the abstract.

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, the present study reported a second novel missense c.443A>T:p.N148I mutation in the BBS2 gene in a Kashmiri family from Pakistani origin. Furthermore, bioinformatics prediction and protein modeling confirmed the deleterious consequences of the identified variant on the BBS2 protein structure. These results can be valuable in the future for more efficient analysis, genetic counseling, and prenatal diagnosis and also for population-specific screening.
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