The earthworm’s diversity and their relationship to the soil physicochemical properties under the stands of perennial plant at the Mount Merapi forest, Yogyakarta, Indonesia
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Abstract. Minarsih S, Hanudin E, Nurudin M. 2021. The earthworm’s diversity and their relationship to the soil physicochemical properties under the stands of perennial plant at the Mount Merapi forest, Yogyakarta, Indonesia. Biodiversitas 22: 3237-3244. The study was to propose earthworm as bioindicator and its correlation to the soil physicochemical properties underneath some perennial plants. Soil samples and earthworm observation was taken out at a depth of 0-10 cm and 10-20 cm under the stands of Acacia decurrens, coffee, Albizia chinensis, bamboo, snake fruit, and Acacia mangium. Soil moisture, temperature and Physico-chemical properties were measured, such as texture, pH, organic C, mineralized C, total N, mineralized N, available P, and base cations (Ca, Mg, K, Na). The results revealed that the earthworms density underneath the stands of coffee was 105.4 ind.m² > snake fruit 92.6 ind.m² > Albizia chinensis 66.7 ind.m² > A. decurrens ≈ bamboo 40.7 ind.m² > A. mangium 37.0 ind.m². The dominant species of the earthworms found at a depth of 0-10 cm consisted of four species, namely: Pheretima hamayana, Pheretima californica, Eudrilus eugeniae, and Eiseniella tetraedra. Meanwhile, the earthworms diversity underneath the stands of coffee was H’=1.26 > A. mangium H’=1.03 > Albizia chinensis H’=0.69 > ≈ bamboo H’=0.59. The soil physicochemical properties was positively correlated to the earthworms density was C-mineralized (r = 0.823) ≥ soil moisture (r = 0.585) ≥ available K (r = 0.529) ≥ available Ca (r = 0.505) ≥ available Mg (0.494). The results could be concluded that labile organic carbon, water, and alkaline cations were the important factors in improving soil biological fertility in the active volcano area.
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INTRODUCTION

The big eruption of 2010 has damaged the biotic and abiotic environment around Merapi Volcano (Surono et al. 2012). The process of soil fertility and plant recoveries has been ongoing since the eruption, but there was a lack of information on the indicators used to view the recovery process. The Cangkringan subdistrict as part of the upland areas seriously affected by the eruption of Merapi volcano in 2010 (Jouset et al. 2013). The effort to improve the land has been done via a reforestation program with various species of plants. It was expected that the plants could prevent landslides and erosions (Idjuddin et al. 2012) and also contribute to fertilize the soil underneath the plants (Yatso and Lilleskov 2016). It is expected that the leaf blossom of the plant will enrich the soil organic matter content so that the process of soil fertility recoveries will take place faster (Agus and Wulandari 2012).

The availability of organic matter in the soil would stimulate the propagation of fauna in the soil such as earthworms (Cesarz et al. 2016; Singh 2018). The earthworms represented soil organisms that played an important role in improving soil productivity (Blouin et al. 2013). They played an important role as organic matter decomposers (Frousz 2017) and soil fertilizing agents through their activities in improving the soil physical, chemical and biological properties (Chauhan et al. 2014; Singh et al. 2016a). Additionally, they also served the function of bioameliorant or soil-improving biological organisms (Chauhan 2014). The presence of the earthworms in an ecosystem might be considered as the bioindicator of soil fertility (Peres et al. 2011; Bartz et al. 2013).

The population of the earthworms was closely correlated to the condition of the environment where they lived (Kanianska et al. 2016). Their population density depended on the soil’s physical and chemical properties and sufficient availability of nutrients. Chauhan (2014) suggested that in addition to environmental factors such as soil moisture and temperature, physical and chemical factors of soil such as texture, structure, pH, organic content, total nitrogen, available phosphor, and available potassium also influenced the number and the distribution of the earthworms. The study proposed earthworm as a bioindicator and its correlation to the soil physicochemical properties underneath some perennial plants.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Site study
The study was conducted at the southern area of Merapi volcano in Cangkringan Subdistrict of Sleman District, Yogyakarta, Indonesia situated at 7°34′32,216″ to 7°40′24,511′ south latitude and 110°26′51,967″ to 110°28′8,793 east longitude and with altitude at the range of 500-1100 m above sea level (Figure 1). The soil samples and the earthworms were collected from the soil underneath the stands of some perennial plants such as; *A. decurrens*, *Albizia chinensis*, bamboo, coffee, fruit snake, and *A. mangium*. Each stand was taken as many as three samples in the area.

Sampling the earthworms
The collection of earthworm was carried out by hand sorting method, namely by digging the soil with an area of 30x30 cm with a depth of 20 cm. Earthworms were collected from the soil at depths of 0-10 and 10-20 cm, the earthworm found in each layer were collected and cleaned with water, then the number was counted. The number of earthworms obtained is converted into ind.m⁻² to obtain the density of their population. Adult earthworms that have been cleaned from the soil are then made relaxed by putting them in ice water after which the length is measured, the put in a sample bottle that contains 4% formalin, after which it is preserved with 70% alcohol (Rai 2015) for further identification. The identification of earthworms was carried out with the guidelines of Edwards and Lofty (1977) and Hanafiah et al. (2010). The earthworm diversity was expressed in H’ index (Shannon-Wiener’s index) representing the number that resulted from the comparison of individuals of the same species and all of the existing species. It was calculated using the formula:

\[
H' = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{n_i}{n} \right) \ln \left( \frac{n_i}{n} \right)
\]

Where: H’: diversity index, ni: the number of the individuals of the-i and N: the number of the individuals of all species (Fachrul 2008). Soil temperature was measured using digital stick thermometer and soil moisture was measured using moisture meter. Measurement of soil temperature and moisture was done by placing each device into the soil at a depth of 0-10 cm and 10-20 cm.

The criteria of the range of the diversity index were:

- **H’ < 1**: Low diversity, poor, very low productivity as indicated by the presence of heavy pressure and unstable ecosystem.
- **1< H’<3**: Medium diversity, sufficient productivity, sufficiently balanced ecosystem with medium ecological pressure.
- **H’>3**: High diversity, stable ecosystem, high productivity, resistance to ecological pressure.

Figure 1. Soil and earthworms sampling location at the southern area of Merapi volcano in Cangkringan Subdistrict of Sleman District, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

Soil analysis
The samples collected from 0-10 cm and 10-20 cm in depth were air-dried and ground to pass through 2 and 0.5 mm sieve. The texture was analyzed by pipette method, and bulk density (BD) was measured on an oven-dry weight basis of a 100-ml core sample taken at field-moist conditions (Okalebo et al. 1993). Soil pH was determined in suspensions of H₂O (soil: solution ratio 1:2.5) with a
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Soil moisture and temperature condition

The recorded soil temperature and moisture in the studied areas were summarized in Table 1. The vegetation types had significant impact on the soil moisture and the temperature (Sen and Oturk 2017). The moisture content of the soils underneath the stand of the annual crop could be sequenced as follows: fruit snake > coffee > bamboo > A. chinensis > A. decurrens ≈ A. mangium. At soil depths of 0-10 and 10-20 cm had a similar trend in soil moisture. The high soil moisture level under the stands of fruit snake and coffee is due to the interconnected leaf canopy that shades the ground beneath it, causing the sun radiance unable to penetrate directly to the soil. Additionally, the higher clay content of the soil was highly capable of binding water that resulted in high moisture.

Type vegetation has a very significant effect on soil moisture and temperature. Soil moisture under the stand of Fruit snake > Coffee > Bamboo. > A.chinensis > A. decurrens ≈ A. mangium at a soil depth of 0-10 cm. Likewise the sequence at a depth of 10-20 cm. High soil moisture in the soil below the fruit snake and coffee stands is caused by inhibition of evaporation because the soil surface is covered by the interlocking canopy of Fruit snake and Coffee leaves. This is different from other types of stands which have narrower leaf widths so that sunlight hits the soil surface directly as a result of large evaporation.

The soil temperatures tend to fluctuate with altitude. The higher a place, the smaller the light intensity that reaches the surface so that the soil temperature will drop. The result of Purwantara (2015) states that the difference in air temperature based on altitude still follows the Braak formula, which is that every 100 m increase in air temperature drops 0.610°C. The soil underneath the stand of the A. decurrens growing at an altitude of 1.173 m asl possess the lowest temperature. In general, the soil temperatures at depths of 0-10 and 10-20 cm below the annual crop had the same tendency that was A. mangium > Coffee > Fruit snake. > Bamboo. > A. chinensis > A. decurrens.

The type and density of earthworms

The earthworm abundance and distribution are strongly influenced by the environmental conditions and the ecological status of the system (Pelosi et al. 2015). The result of earthworm identification was carried out using the guidelines of Edwards and Lofty (1985) and Hanafiah et al. (2010), there were three families of the earthworms identified, namely: Megascoleidae, Acanthodrilidae, and Lumbricidae. The family Megascoleidae of the genus Pheretima consisted of two species, namely: Pheretima hamayana and Pheretima californica. The family Acanthodrilidae of the genus Eudrillus possesses the species Eudrillus eugeniae. The family Lumbricidae was represented by the genus Eisenia of the species Eiseniella tetraedra. Each of them was illustrated in Figure 2.

The density and diversity index of earthworms underneath the stands of some perennial plants was presented in Table 2. Mostly earthworms found at the depth of 0-10 cm, while at the depth of 10-20 cm just in a few numbers (in the range of 3.7 to 6.7 ind.m-2) and only observed in the soil underneath the stands of A. decurrens, coffee, and A. chinensis. The abiotic factors like pH, moisture, soil texture and organic carbon have strong positive effect on the distribution of earthworm (Singh et al. 2016a). Earthworm biodiversity and distribution have been found to be positively correlated with type of vegetation and moisture content at the different collection sites and also varied according to soil habitat, soil tillage and land used pattern (Singh et al. 2016b). Research conducted by Tongkaemkaew et al. (2018) states that earthworms were found in the topsoil. Organic matter is the main energy source for the activity of the earthworms (Chauhan 2014). The distribution of the organic matter in the soil influenced the distribution pattern of the earthworms. The organic C content at the depth of 10-20 cm of the soil underneath the stands of A. mangium, A. chinensis and fruit snake was lower than that at the depth of 0-10 cm so that more earthworms presented at the layer.

| Types of vegetation | Altitude of the studied area (m asl) | Soil Moisture (%) | Soil Temperature (°C) |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
|                     |                                     | 0-10 cm | 10-20 cm | 0-10 cm | 10-20 cm |
| A. decurrens        | 1173                                | 13.00c  | 13.33c  | 20.93c  | 21.23c  |
| Coffee              | 728                                 | 31.67b  | 27.00b  | 24.83ab | 24.80ab |
| A. mangium          | 763                                 | 13.00c  | 13.00c  | 25.40a  | 26.50a  |
| A. chinensis        | 833                                 | 14.67c  | 14.33c  | 23.17b  | 23.87b  |
| Bamboo              | 452                                 | 19.33bc | 17.33bc | 23.37b  | 25.53b  |
| Fruit snake         | 482                                 | 54.00a  | 66.67a  | 23.85ab | 24.27b  |

Note: Mean value followed by different letter was statistically based on Duncan different at 0.05 level.
revealed that biodiversity and distribution of earthworms were positively correlated with vegetation type and moisture content at different collection sites and also varied according to soil habitat, tillage (Briones and Schmidt 2017; Moos et al. 2017), and land-use patterns.

### The soil physical and chemical properties underneath the perennial plants

The soil physical properties included the distribution of particle density and bulk density was summarized in Table 3. The vegetation types had significant impact on the bulk density at each soil depth and on the distribution of the particle density of the soil at the depth of 0-10 cm, but had insignificant impact at the depth of 10-20 cm. The soil texture class was at range of sandy loam to sandy clayey loam.

The bulk density (BD) varied with the composition and the proportion of the soil composing materials. The bulk density was at ranged of 1.22-1.60 g.cm$^{-3}$. The highest value was found in the soil underneath the stands of fruit snake and the lowest one was found in the soil underneath the stands of Bamboo. The higher the soil BD the higher the density of the soil because of it has a smaller pore amount.

The chemical properties of the soil underneath the stands of some forest trees were presented in Tables 4.A and 4.B. The vegetation types did not have any significant impact on pH/H$_2$O. The pH/H$_2$O value was at ranged of 5.64-6.31 and according to Eviati and Sulaeman (2012) it was classified into acid to slightly acid. The pH/H$_2$O values of the soil underneath the stands of some perennial plants at the depth of 0-10 cm were arranged in the sequence of Coffee > Bamboo > A. chinensis > Fruit snake > A. mangium > A. decurrens.

The vegetation types had significant impact on the organic-C content, the available P and K at the depth of 0-10 cm, but unsignificant impact at the depth of 10-20 cm. The soil C-organic content underneath of the stands of some perennial plants ranged from 1.41 to 3.86% and categorized into the criteria of low to high rate. The

---

**Table 2. Population earthworm under the stands of some perennial plants**

| Types of vegetation | Earthworm density (ind.m$^{-2}$) | Index of Shannon-Winner (H') |
|---------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
|                     | 0-10 cm | 10-20 cm | Total |                     |
| A. decurrens        | 37.0    | 3.7      | 40.7  | 1.090               |
| Coffee              | 98.7    | 6.7      | 105.4 | 1.095               |
| A. mangium          | 37.0    | 0.0      | 37.0  | 1.030               |
| A. chinensis        | 63.0    | 3.7      | 66.7  | 0.687               |
| Bamboo              | 40.7    | 0.0      | 40.7  | 0.586               |
| Fruit snake         | 92.6    | 0.0      | 92.6  | 0.686               |

---

The life of earthworms is strongly influenced by environmental conditions such as humidity, temperature, and soil physicochemical properties (Hackenberger and Hackenberger 2014). The highest population of earthworm was observed in the soil underneath coffee plant around 105.7 ind.m$^{-2}$. The second-largest population of the earthworm was found under the stand of fruit snake. Both of these commodities have an interlocking leaf canopy so that the soil surface is covered by a canopy, this causes soil moisture to be high enough so that earthworms can live and develop optimally. Other than that farmers often applied cow manure on coffee and fruit snake crops to increase productivity, while the other plants were not fertilized at all. The treatment had implications to the earthworm population in the soil underneath coffee and fruit snake was higher than other plants. Therefore the earthworm population under the annual crop could be sorted as follows: coffee > fruit snake > A. chinensis > Bamboo ≥ A. decurrens > A. mangium.

The diversity index of the earthworms ranged from low to medium (Fachrul 2008; Brower et al. 1998). The diversity index of the earthworms in the soil underneath the stands of Coffee > A. decurrens > A. mangium > A. chinensis > Fruit snake > Bamboo. Singh et al. (2016b)
sequence of the soil C-organic content was as follow: Coffee > Bamboo > *A. mangium* > Fruit snake > *A. chinensis* > *A. decurrens*. The highest C-organic content was found in the soil under the stands of Coffee. It was related to the C resources not only from the litter of the coffee, but also from the cow manure applied once in 6 months by the farmer.

The available P in the soil was at ranged of 2.47 - 60.52 ppm (very low to high rate). The sequence of the P content in the soil underneath the stands of the Fruit snake > Coffee > *A. decurrens* > *A. mangium* > *A. chinensis* > Bamboo. In general, the maximum availability of P was found in the soil with the pH ranging from 5.5 to 7.0 and its availability decreased if the pH of the soil was lower than 5.5 or higher than 7.0. Additionally, the decomposition of the organic matter producing organic acid and CO₂ could increase the P availability in the soil.

The available potassium content in the soil was at ranged from very low to medium rate. The sequence of the potassium content underneath the stands of Coffee > Fruit snake > Bamboo > *A. mangium* > *A. chinensis* > *A. decurrens*. The highest potassium content was found in the soil underneath the stands of Coffee and Fruit snake and it might be the result of the application of the cow manure once in 6 months.

The vegetation types had unsignificant impact on the total N content at the depth of 0-10 cm, but significant at the depth of 10-20 cm. The total N content in the soil underneath the stands of some perennial plants was ranged from low to medium rate (0.10 to 0.25%). The sequence of the total N content of the soil underneath the forest trees was Bamboo > Coffee > *A. chinensis* > Fruit snake > *A. decurrens* > *A. mangium*. The highest total N content was obtained on the soil under the stands of Bamboo, as the litter of this plant also contained a relatively high N of 2.73% (Baroroh et al. 2015). Tu et al. (2014) also asserted that N returned to the soil in the form of leaves, stems and branches of Bamboo was 5.07 g m⁻² year⁻¹.

The vegetation types had very significant impact on the available Ca and Mg contents. The available Ca content in the soil was categorized into very low with the following sequence: Coffee > Fruit snake > Bamboo > *A. mangium* > *A. chinensis* > *A. decurrens*. The available Ca resulted from volcanic material coming from the eruption of the volcano in 2010. However, the available Ca decline due to leaching process.

Magnesium is an important element in the chemical structure of chlorophylls. The magnesium was required by plants for their enzymatic activities related to carbohydrate metabolism and especially citric acid cycle that played an important role in cell respiration (Guo et al. 2016). The available Mg content in the soil was ranged from low to high rate. The sequence of the Mg content of the soil underneath the forest trees was as follow: coffee > Fruit snake > Bamboo > *A. mangium* > *A. chinensis* > *A. decurrens*.

The vegetation types had very significant impact on mineralized C and N content, either at depth of 0-10 cm or 10-20 cm. The mineralized C ranged from 1.30-11.30 mg CO₂. The sequence of the mineralized C content of the soil underneath the stands of the perennial plants was as follow: Coffee > Fruit snake > Bamboo > *A. chinensis* > *A. decurrens* > *A. mangium*. While mineralized N ranged from 1.08-4.84 mg.kg⁻¹. The sequence of the N-mineralized content of the soil underneath the stands of the perennial plants was as follow: *A. decurrens* > *A. mangium* > Coffee > *A. chinensis* > Bamboo > Fruit snake.

**The correlation of soil properties and the earthworm population**

The correlation of the environmental factors and the density of the earthworm population were summarized in Table 5. The results of the correlation analysis showed that the mineralized C significantly correlated to the density of the population of the earthworms. The mineralized carbon or soil respiration was one form of the labile organic C as a reflection of soil microorganism activity. The greater the respiration of the soil indicated that the number of microorganisms present in the soil was also greater. Brown (1995) states that earthworms can affect the population of microflora and fauna in the soil directly or indirectly through three main mechanisms: (i) commination, burrowing and casting; (ii) grazing; (iii) dispersal. Uvarov (2016) also mentioned that the presence of earthworms resulted in the respiration of soil systems tended to increase.

The soil moisture also significantly correlated to the density of the earthworms population. Bessolitsyna (2012) studied the effect of soil moisture and different soil types in the landscape of southern middle Siberia and found that the abundance and distribution of earthworms were mainly affected by soil moisture. Fulfilling their requirement of the soil’s moisture, they would move deeper to the soil with more moisture when the surface of the ground was dry. Lalthanzara et al. (2011) suggested that there was different fluctuation of the density of the earthworm’s population along with the change of the soil moisture in different months.

The available K and Mg in the soil also significantly correlated to the density of the earthworm’s population. It was consistent with the results of the studies by Lalthanzara et al. (2011) and Kanianska et al. (2016). Zhu et al. (2013) showed that earthworms could activate and change K and Mg into the ones that were easier uptake-by the plants through their activities of consuming, digesting, absorbing and excreting nutrients.

It was different from the results of the studies by Chauhan (2014) showing that there was a close correlation between the density of the earthworms population and the organic matter content. The results of the study showed that there was not any significant correlation between the total organic matter content and the density of the earthworm population. Probably, it correlated to the palatability or the likeness of the earthworms in consuming the nutrients from the litter (Rief et al. 2012). Yatso and Lilleskov (2016) found that the litter and the soil type significantly influenced the development of the earthworms. The earthworms ate the nutrients resulting from the organic matter with various C/N ratios, but they prefer organic matter with a low C/N ratio. The litter of the falling leaves on the surface of the ground was generally with a high C/N ratio.
Table 3. The bulk density, soil fraction percentage and texture class of the soil

| Types of vegetation | Bulk Density (g.cm⁻³) | Soil Fractions | Texture class |
|---------------------|-----------------------|----------------|---------------|
|                     | 0-10  | 10-20 | 0-10  | 10-20 | 0-10  | 10-20 | 0-10  | 10-20 |
| A. decurrens        | 1.56ab | 1.42abc | 68.83abc | 59.99a | 26.40a | 33.75a | 4.77c | 6.26b | Sandy loam |
| Coffee              | 1.39bc | 1.37b   | 63.87bcd | 64.37a | 29.70a | 27.88a | 6.43c | 7.75b | Sandy loam |
| A. mangium         | 1.47ab | 1.46ab  | 77.41ab | 80.17a | 10.80b | 9.73a  | 11.80c | 10.10b | Sandy loam |
| A. chinensis      | 1.47ab | 1.50ab  | 82.41a  | 80.83a | 9.47b  | 8.78a  | 8.12c  | 10.39b | Sandy loam |
| Bamboo              | 1.22c  | 1.22c   | 56.95cd | 58.89a | 20.85ab | 16.63a | 22.20b | 24.48a | Sandy clay loam |
| Fruit snake       | 1.60a  | 1.54a   | 47.63d  | 47.87a | 18.57ab | 16.44a | 33.80a | 35.69a | Sandy clay loam |

Table 4A. The chemical properties of the soil underneath the stands of some perennial plants

| Types of vegetation | pH/H₂O | C-org (g.kg⁻¹) | Total N (g.kg⁻¹) | P₂O₅(mg.kg⁻¹) | Available K (meq 100g⁻¹) |
|---------------------|--------|----------------|------------------|---------------|------------------------|
|                     | 0-10  | 10-20 | 0-10  | 10-20 | 0-10  | 10-20 | 0-10  | 10-20 | 0-10  | 10-20 |
| A. decurrens        | 5.7a   | 6.4a  | 14.03b | 22.98a | 1.10a  | 1.88abc | 15.16b | 5.17a  | 0.04bc | 0.03a |
| Coffee              | 6.3a   | 6.14a | 38.53a | 33.04a | 2.33a  | 2.14ab  | 35.44ab | 8.60a  | 0.43a  | 0.21a |
| A. mangium         | 5.8a   | 6.26a | 26.13ab | 16.59a | 0.98a  | 1.11d   | 14.59b | 12.58a | 0.07abc | 0.03a |
| A. chinensis      | 5.9a   | 5.99a | 16.52b | 23.06a | 2.32a  | 1.36cd  | 10.81b | 2.47a  | 0.041c | 0.02a |
| Bamboo              | 6.0a   | 6.25a | 37.50a | 33.44a | 2.47a  | 2.45a   | 9.78b  | 2.66a  | 0.27abc | 0.17a |
| Fruit snake       | 5.8a   | 5.89a | 22.90ab | 20.61a | 1.41a  | 1.52bcd | 60.52a | 59.47a | 0.39ab  | 0.42a |

Table 4B. The chemical properties of the soil underneath the stands of some perennial plants

| Types of vegetation | Available Ca (meq 100g⁻¹) | Available Mg (meq 100g⁻¹) | Mineralized C (mg.CO₂) | Mineralized N (mg.kg⁻¹) |
|---------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
|                     | 0-10  | 10-20 | 0-10  | 10-20 | 0-10  | 10-20 | 0-10  | 10-20 |
| A. decurrens        | 0.073c | 0.110b | 0.27c  | 0.29b  | 2.10b  | 1.30b  | 4.84a  | 4.11a |
| Coffee              | 1.507a | 0.900a | 6.78a  | 5.53a  | 11.30a | 11.20a | 2.63b  | 3.71ab |
| A. mangium         | 0.510bc | 0.193b | 0.55bc | 0.25b  | 2.04b  | 2.20b  | 3.07ab | 2.35bc |
| A. chinensis      | 0.237c | 0.113b | 0.31c  | 0.20b  | 2.75c  | 2.38b  | 1.80b  | 1.73c |
| Bamboo              | 0.780b | 0.713a | 3.94ab | 3.51ab | 6.70ab | 6.58ab | 1.62b  | 1.52c |
| Fruit snake       | 1.030a | 1.137a | 4.79a  | 6.00a  | 10.00a | 9.80a  | 1.10b  | 1.08c |

Note: For Tables 3, 4A, 4B: Mean value followed by different letter was statistically based on Duncan different at 0.05 level.

Table 5. The coefficient correlation (r) of soil physico-chemical properties and earthworms’ population.

| Soil parameter (X) | Regression equation | Coefficient correlation (r) |
|--------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|
| Moisture           | Y = 10.3 +2.17X     | 0.59*                      |
| Temperature        | Y = -29.68+3.85X    | 0.12                       |
| Bulk density       | Y= -59.56+8.35X     | 0.22                       |
| Clay               | Y=57.12+0.39X       | 0.07                       |
| Silt               | Y=59.97+0.15X       | 0.03                       |
| Sand               | Y = 79.93+0.26X     | -0.07                      |
| pH H₂O             | Y=438.2+83.79X      | 0.44                       |
| Organic C(C-org)   | Y=57.07+0.22X       | 0.05                       |
| Available P        | Y=43.34+0.84X       | 0.35                       |
| Available N        | Y=68.58+3.30X       | -0.05                      |
| Available K        | Y=36.93+125.14X     | 0.53*                      |
| Available Na       | Y=30.01-246.7X      | 0.16                       |
| Available Ca       | Y=24.46+55.57X      | 0.51*                      |
| Available Mg       | Y=34.90+10.04X      | 0.49*                      |
| Mineralized C      | Y=2.40+1.28X        | 0.82**                     |
| Mineralized N      | Y=8.37-0.96X        | -0.06                      |

Note: *: significant at the 0.05 level, **: very significant at the 0.01 level.

The earthworm population correlated unimportantly with the mineralized and total N. The earthworms played an important role in accelerating the decomposition process of the litter (Rief et al. 2012). The organic form of N transformed into the mineral form in the soil. The mineralized N consisted of NH₄ (ammonium) and NO₃ (nitrate). The nitrate was a mobile anion so easily leached out from the soil dominated by a sand fraction. In spite of ammonium as a cation, but is presented in the soil with low content of clay, so it will be easily leached.

In conclusion, the earthworms population at the upper area of Merapi volcano was significantly influenced by the types of vegetation with the following sequence: Coffee > Fruit snake > A. chinensis > A. decurrens > Bamboo > A. mangium. -There were four species of the earthworm found in the location of the study: P. hamayana, P. californica, E. eugeniae, and E. tetraedra. The diversity index of the earthworms in the soil underneath the stands of Coffee > A. mangium > A. chinensis > Fruit snake > Bamboo. The physical and chemical properties of the soil positively correlated to the density of the population of the earthworms, which were mineralized-C ≥ soil moisture ≥
available K ≥ available Ca ≥ available Mg. The results could be concluded that the labile carbon, water, and alkaline cations were the important factors in improving the soil biological fertility in the upland area of an active volcano.
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