Brain functional connectivity in sleep-related hypermotor epilepsy
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\textbf{A B S T R A C T}

\textbf{Objectives:} To evaluate functional connectivity (FC) in patients with sleep-related hypermotor epilepsy (SHE) compared to healthy controls.

\textbf{Methods:} Resting state fMRI was performed in 13 patients with a clinical diagnosis of SHE (age = 38.3 ± 11.8 years, 6 M) and 13 matched healthy controls (age = 38.5 ± 10.8 years, 6 M).

Data were first analysed using probabilistic independent component analysis (ICA), then a graph theoretical approach was applied to assess topological and organizational properties at the whole brain level. We evaluated node degree (ND), betweenness centrality (BC), clustering coefficient (CC), local efficiency (LE) and global efficiency (GE). The differences between the two groups were evaluated non-parametrically.

\textbf{Results:} At the group level, we distinguished 16 RSNs (Resting State Networks). Patients showed a significantly higher FC in sensorimotor and thalamic regions ($p < 0.05$ corrected). Compared to controls, SHE patients showed no significant differences in network global efficiency, while ND and BC were higher in regions of the limbic system and lower in the occipital cortex, while CC and LE were higher in regions of basal ganglia and lower in limbic areas ($p < 0.05$ uncorrected).

\textbf{Discussion and conclusions:} The higher FC of the sensorimotor cortex and thalamus might be in agreement with the hypothesis of a peculiar excitability of the motor cortex during thalamic K-complexes. This sensorimotor-thalamic hyperconnection might be regarded as a consequence of an alteration of the arousal regulatory system in SHE. An altered topology has been found in structures like basal ganglia and limbic system, hypothesized to be involved in the pathophysiology of the disease as suggested by the dystonic-dyskinetic features and primitive behaviours observed during the seizures.
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\textbf{1. Introduction}

Sleep-related hypermotor epilepsy (SHE), previously known as nocturnal frontal lobe epilepsy (NFLE), is a rare and peculiar form of focal epilepsy characterised by brief (< 2 min) seizures with stereotyped motor patterns, commonly “hypermotor” events, occurring predominantly during sleep (Provini et al., 1999; Provini et al., 2000; Tinuper and Lugaresi, 2002). The diagnostic criteria of SHE were recently revised during an international consensus conference (Tinuper et al., 2016). The differential diagnosis with other non-epileptic nocturnal paroxysmal events, namely parasomnias, can be a challenge (Bisulli et al., 2011; Licchetta et al., 2017; Nobili, 2007; Tinuper et al., 2011) since in SHE interictal and ictal scalp EEGs, as well as neuroradiological findings, are often unrevealing.

Hypermotor seizures were described to arise from various areas of the frontal lobe, as expected, but also from extra-frontal regions, such as more frequently in the temporal lobe, or in the insular cortex, but also in the parietal, occipital and opercular areas (Gibbs et al., 2016). Hyperkinetic automatisms and complex behaviours appear when the ictal discharge involves structures such as the cingulate, frontal and parietal regions, irrespective of its origin (Rheims et al., 2006). These observations suggest the hypothesis that the syndrome affects a broad...
The purpose of this study was to evaluate functional connectivity (FC) in SHE patients compared to healthy controls. We first used the explorative and data-driven approach of ICA to determine whether resting state fMRI can detect RSNs abnormalities in SHE patients, then, in order to further explore the organization of these complex systems, we applied graph theory. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study that attempts to highlight possible alterations in brain FC of SHE patients.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Subjects

Thirteen SHE patients (age = 38.3 ± 11.8 years, range 18–55 years, 6 males, disease duration = 25.6 ± 14.6 years, age at onset = 12.6 ± 6.9 years) and thirteen age and sex matched healthy controls (age = 38.5 ± 10.8 years, range 19–56 years, 6 males) participated to the study.

Diagnosis of SHE was made according to the criteria recently proposed by Tinuper et al. (2016). Ten out of thirteen patients had a diagnosis of SHE confirmed by video-EEG, while three had a clinical, video-documented diagnosis. Six patients had infrequent attacks (monthly or yearly attacks), while seven patients had weekly or nightly attacks. All but two were being treated with antiepileptic drugs. Further clinical details can be found in Table 1 and in supplementary Table 2.

All the subjects gave written consent to study participation, and the study was approved by the local Ethical Committee.

2.2. Brain MRI acquisition

Acquisitions were performed with a 1.5 T GE Signa HDx 15 scanner equipped with an 8-channel head coil. All the subjects underwent a standardized MR protocol that included 9 min of resting state fMRI data acquisition. The data were pre-processed separately. Functional images were corrected for slice timing and head-motion (MCFLIRT, Motion Correction FMRIB’s Linear Image Registration Tool, Jenkinson et al., 2012) and resampled to a 2×2×2 mm³ resolution. After this, functional images were co-registered into MNI space (FMRIB’s Linear Image Registration Tool, Jenkinson et al., 2002). A spatial smoothing kernel (FWHM = 6 mm) and a high-pass temporal filter (cut-off = 100 s) were applied.

Functional images were linearly (FLIRT with BBR method, Boundary Based Registration, Greve and Fischl, 2009) registered to a T1-weighted brain structural image (FSPGR, fast spoiled gradient-echo, pure axial slices, TR/TE = 12.3 ms/5.2 ms, FOV = 25.6 cm, n = 256, 1 mm isotropic).

2.3. Data pre-processing

The data pre-processing was conducted using FSL (Jenkinson et al., 2012, Smith et al., 2004). For each subject, the two resting state runs were pre-processed separately. Functional images were corrected for slice timing and head-motion (MCFLIRT, Motion Correction FMRIB’s Linear Image Registration Tool, Jenkinson et al., 2002). A spatial smoothing kernel (FWHM = 6 mm) and a high-pass temporal filter (cut-off = 100 s) were applied.

Functional images were linearly (FLIRT with BBR method, Boundary Based Registration, Greve and Fischl, 2009) registered to a 3D T1-weighted volumetric image, and the latter were non-linearly warped to the MNI (Montreal Neurological Institute) template using FNIRT (Andersson et al., 2007) with a subsequent resample to 2×2×2 mm³. Functional images could then be aligned to MNI space as well by combining these two transformations.

2.4. IC analysis

IC analysis was performed using a probabilistic approach as implemented in MELODIC (Multivariate Exploratory Linear Optimized Decomposition into Independent Components 3.14 FSL tool, Smith,
2004); a single-session ICA was run and the so obtained components were manually classified between signal and noise, based on knowledge of RSN patterns and of typical artifact characteristics, as described in the literature (Beckmann et al., 2005; De Luca et al., 2006; Kelly Jr et al., 2010; Griffanti et al., 2017), using a conservative approach. Data denoising was then performed by regressing out the noise signals.

Functional images were registered to the MNI template to perform a temporally concatenated group ICA. To generate subject-specific RSN maps a dual regression approach (Filippini et al., 2009) was used, feeding into the regressions all the group components. RSN maps were then averaged across the two runs of the same subject.

2.5. Groupwise variation in RSNs

Considering the exploratory nature of these analyses, we compared all the signal RSN components across groups. Voxelwise group statistical comparisons were conducted using a nonparametric bootstrap method (FSL randomise, Winkler et al., 2014), with 5000 permutations. Age and sex were added as confounding regressors. Moreover, to control for the effect of possible local structural differences, individual modulated grey matter maps were included as voxelwise confounding regressors: grey matter maps, obtained from the T1-w images with FAST (Zhang et al., 2001), were modulated by the Jacobian maps of the non-linear transformation to MNI template in order to take into account the amount of deformation.

Statistical significance was set at $p < 0.05$ FWE-corrected for multiple comparisons with TFCE (Threshold-Free Cluster Enhancement). A further correction for multiple comparisons (Bonferroni correction) was necessary to take into account the number of different components that were compared between the two groups.

Within the SHE patients group, correlations with clinical variables (disease duration and seizure frequency) were performed, with the same nonparametric bootstrap method, only for the brain areas that showed significant differences between patients and controls.

2.6. Graph theoretical analysis

A graph theoretical approach was applied to assess topological and organizational properties at the whole brain level.

Following common practice in the field, binary undirected graphs were constructed. Nodes were defined with the subject-specific brain parcellations performed by FreeSurfer (Fischl et al., 2004). In all, 85 ROIs were included, 66 within cortical regions (left and right) and 19 within subcortical (left and right, apart from the brainstem).

The mean time series of each region was evaluated by averaging the time series of all voxels within that ROI. Pearson’s correlation between the time series of each pair of ROIs was calculated. We set negative correlations, i.e. negative edge weights, to zero, as the neurophysiological interpretation of these remains controversial (Buckner, 2010) and it has been shown that zero-weighting might also improve the reliability of graph theoretical measures (Chiang et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2011). The average of the correlation matrices obtained from the two scans per subject was then used for subsequent analyses.

As there is no unique standard to choose only one proper threshold to obtain binary graphs from correlation matrix, it is quite common to define on the basis of small world and connection properties of our data (see supplementary material for further details). This range is comparable to other studies in literature (Chiang et al., 2014). Each value of densities sets the number of links, allowing brain graphs with the same number of links to be compared. Links with the highest correlation coefficients were selected.

A wide number of topological measures have been defined and applied to brain networks (Rubinov and Sporns, 2010). They can be classified based on the scale in global measures and in local/node-specific. They can also be classified according to the type of information they provide, such as measures of centrality, of functional segregation or of functional integration, as in the classification by Rubinov and Sporns (2010).

We evaluated node degree (ND), betweenness centrality (BC), clustering coefficient (CC), local efficiency (LE) and global efficiency (GE). We also identified hub nodes, defined as those having a central role within a network, by considering nodes with a normalized ND or BC value at least one standard deviation greater than the average of the parameter over the network (Tian et al., 2011).

In order to compare network properties between different groups of subjects over a range of density, we followed the approach, described in the study of Tian and colleagues (Tian et al., 2011), of comparing properties of the brain graphs by integrating the topological metric over the selected range of network densities. Network measures were calculated with the toolbox BCT (Brain connectivity Toolbox, Rubinov and Sporns, 2010) and the toolbox matlabBGL, within MATLAB R2010b. Comparisons between groups were performed with a nonparametric bootstrap method (FSL randomise, Winkler et al., 2014), with 10,000 permutations. Age and sex were added as a confounding regressor. Statistical significance was set at $p < 0.05$ after FWE-correction for multiple comparisons.

3. Results

Structural images were visually inspected by experts in neuroradiology (RL, CaT). Ten patients had normal scan while three showed malformation of cortical development (two focal cortical dysplasia and one left frontal heterotopia) (Table 1).

Movement in resting state data did not exceed 1 mm of displacement or 2° of rotation in any direction, in any subject.

3.1. IC analysis

At the group level, we obtained 30 components, 16 of which were RSNs (Fig. 1).

3.2. Groupwise variation in RSNs

When comparing SHE patients and controls, we observed the most extended higher FC in sensorimotor network and thalamus (RSN component n.12 in Fig. 1), as can be seen in panel A of Fig. 2. Small but significant differences in the posterior DMN (RSN component n.14 in Fig. 1, see Fig. 2B) and in visual cortex (RSN component n.15 in Fig. 1, see Fig. 2C) were also found. These differences are statistically significant and corrected for multiple comparisons at the voxel level. When taking into account the multiple comparisons issue due to the number of components we compared (i.e. 16) by applying the Bonferroni correction, we obtained a corrected threshold of $p = 0.0031$ and in this case only the differences in motor and precuneus regions within sensorimotor network survived (Table 2).

Correlations between ICA results and clinical variables lead to no significant results.

3.3. Graph theoretical analysis

None of the parameters exhibited group differences significant under full correction for multiple comparisons, but considering the exploratory nature of the present study we report $p < 0.05$ uncorrected results, with the strong caveat that false positive results are likely to have been included.

Global efficiency showed no significant differences between SHE.
patients and healthy controls.

Local measures (i.e. node degree, betweenness centrality, clustering coefficient and local efficiency) were altered for SHE patients compared to healthy controls within the basal ganglia, limbic system, frontal lobe, visual cortex, parietal lobe, temporal lobe, brainstem and cerebellum (Table 3, Fig. 3).

A number of areas were common hubs for SHE patients and healthy controls, while some areas were hubs only for the group of patients and other areas were hubs exclusively for the group of healthy controls (Table 4, Fig. 4).

4. Discussion

In this study, we evaluated resting state FC in SHE patients using both ICA and graph theoretical approaches.

4.1. Groupwise variation in ICA RSNs

Conventional brain MRI is usually normal in sporadic and genetic forms of SHE. Thus, previous neuroimaging studies applied functional techniques to explore the autosomal dominant form of SHE (ADSHE), clinically indistinguishable from sporadic cases (Tinuper et al., 2016). Studies using PET and SPECT techniques showed altered uptake mainly within the striatum, basal ganglia, mesencephalic and frontal regions (Fedi et al., 2008; Hayman et al., 1997; Picard et al., 2006) whereas mild but widespread alteration were found in a combined study of magnetization transfer and diffusion weighted imaging (Ferini-Strambi et al., 2000).

The most relevant result in the present study is the higher FC in thalamus and sensorimotor cortex of SHE patients compared to the control group.
The thalamus does not markedly show up in our group ICA sensorimotor RSN component’s spatial map, and this is consistent with the fact that the thalamus is not typically considered part of the motor network. Nevertheless, when comparing the FC between the two groups, differences that are located in the thalami are found. This should not be unexpected with resting state fMRI ICA: differences in FC can be also found in brain regions that were not significantly included in the initial group spatial map of the component, as the permutation testing identifies any region where the association between the time-course of a network and the subject-specific functional signal differs among groups.

The role of the thalamus in the pathophysiology of different forms of epilepsy is well known, and previous studies have shown altered thalamic FC in temporal lobe epilepsy (Chen et al., 2015) and idiopathic generalized epilepsy (Kim et al., 2014; Masterton et al., 2012; Moeller et al., 2011). A recent EEG-fMRI study (Bagshaw et al., 2017) specifically demonstrated alterations in thalamic functional connectivity in idiopathic generalized epilepsy patients, showing a higher thalamocortical connectivity in patients. However, when thalamic functional connectivity was investigated in non-SHE frontal lobe epilepsy patients, no significant differences with healthy controls were found (Dong et al., 2016).

The alteration within motor and thalamic regions that we found in sporadic SHE is in line with the genetics of the corresponding familiar form and their common clinical and neurophysiological findings.

In ADSHE, primary genetic defects were isolated to the genes coding for the subunits of the neuronal nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChRs) (Tinuper et al., 2016), and functional studies of mutant nAChRs have demonstrated their epileptogenic role in ADSHE (Marini and Guerrini, 2007).

As cholinergic neurons system modulates sleep and arousal at the level of thalamus - where mutant nAChRs present a high density (Marini and Guerrini, 2007) - and cortex, this network was linked to sleep-related disorders. Consistently, PET studies suggested a hyper-activation of the cholinergic pathway ascending from the brainstem in ADSHE patients (Picard et al., 2006).

The high prevalence of arousal disorders, parasomnias, in the personal and family histories of patients with SHE suggests a common impairment in the pathway controlling arousal in both disorders (Bisulli et al., 2010). SHE patients have more micro-arousals, and sleep-related motor attacks tend to arise during unstable sleep, with intense cyclic micro-arousal activity often occurring pseudoperiodically (Nobili et al., 2007; Parrino et al., 2006). Frequently, seizure onset corresponds to the occurrence of K-complexes generated in the thalamus, that probably provoke periodic cortical arousal (Parrino et al., 2006). This finding supports the hypothesis that thalamocortical projections may trigger the epileptic foci (Montagna et al., 1990; Tinuper and Lugaresi, 2002). K-complexes are considered an EEG epiphenomenon of an underlying mechanism that maintains the sleep state by gating external sensory information during sleep. Co-registered EEG-fMRI during spontaneous sleep showed that K-complexes correlated with bilateral increased signal in thalami, insula, precentral gyri, superior temporal gyri and in the posterior midline cortex (Caporro et al., 2012) supporting the hypothesis that K-complexes genesis is related to activity of regions beyond the thalamus, such as primary sensorimotor cortices.

Given all these observations, the altered thalamic FC in SHE patients showed in our study reflects the dysfunction of the arousal regulatory system, to date the main pathophysiological mechanism assumed in this disorder (Bisulli et al., 2010; Parrino et al., 2006; Tinuper and Lugaresi, 2002).
A higher functional connectivity is not always ascribable to a positive compensatory mechanism, it might also reflect a pathologic over-synchronization in brain activity.

The other findings of our study are the detection of higher connectivity in the precuneus and in the occipital cortex. The involvement of the precuneus is consistent with the impairment of DMN demonstrated in frontal lobe epilepsy both in adults (Cao et al., 2014) and children patients (Widjaja et al., 2013), and alterations within the occipital cortex has previously been observed with ICA in pediatric frontal lobe epilepsy (Widjaja et al., 2013).

### 4.2. Graph theoretical analysis

Brain functional networks present the property of small-worldness, which supports both integrated and distributed information processing and maximizes the efficiency of propagating information at a relatively low cost (Achard and Bullmore, 2007). In our study the networks were studied in a range of link densities that assured this property was low cost (Achard and Bullmore, 2007). In our study the networks were studied in a range of link densities that assured this property was low cost (Achard and Bullmore, 2007).

In this regime, as for brain network organization our patients showed an unaltered global efficiency. In other forms of epilepsy, global efficiency was found to be both altered or unchanged (Liao et al., 2010; Pedersen et al., 2015; Ridley et al., 2015; Vlooswijk et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2014).

Hubs evaluation was unable to identify a hub in the caudate nucleus of SHE patients, although it is a hub for control subjects. This can be considered consistent with the impaired functionality of basal ganglia in the disorder (Fedi et al., 2008). Consistently with our ICA results, the bilateral precentral gyri and right precuneus are hubs specifically for patients.

Overall, alterations of topographical properties have been found in brain regions that are in agreement with the hypotheses about the pathophysiology of the disease and previous data from neuroimaging studies. It is unsurprising that some topological measures are either increased or decreased in different nodes of the networks, since this would be the expected outcome of an aberrant dynamic reconfiguration of functional brain. Specifically, variations of centrality graph measures as ND and BC affect the same frontal areas (pars opercularis, anterior cingulate and infero- orbital frontal cortex) suggested by the icctal semiology. Intercital PET and ictal SPECT in SHE patients showed a hypoperfusion in left frontopolar regions of one patient and hyperfusion in right parasagittal midfrontal regions of another patient (Hayman et al., 1997). Using PET scans of acetylcholine receptor tracers and of FDG, Picard and colleagues (Picard et al., 2006) showed a lower uptake of acetylcholine in patients compared to healthy controls in the prefrontal cortex while the FDG-PET showed a reduced glucose metabolism in orbitofrontal cortex.

Higher CC and LE in caudate nucleus and globus pallidus are in line with the hypothesis that the epileptic discharge acts as a trigger for the appearance of inborn motor patterns, that the basal ganglia can contribute to the beginning of the locomotion (Tassinari et al., 2005). Functional impairment of the basal ganglia in ADSHE patients has also been shown in the form of a reduction of dopamine uptake (Fedi et al., 2008) and a lower uptake of acetylcholine receptor in the right caudate.

### Table 2

ICA results: brain areas showing a significantly higher FC in SHE compared to controls within sensorimotor network (A), DMN (B) and visual network (C).

| Brain Region | p value | Extent (mm³) x (mm) y (mm) z (mm) |
|--------------|---------|----------------------------------|
| A            |         |                                  |
| PostCG L     | 0.0004  | 21,016  - 42  - 32  54           |
| PostCG R     | 0.0004  | 18,320  38  - 30  56             |
| PreCG L      | 0.0004  | 19,336  - 4  - 20  58            |
| PreCG R      | 0.0004  | 17,712  36  - 24  64             |
| PC L         | 0.0008  | 7312    - 14  - 46  50           |
| PC R         | 0.0004  | 7984    6  - 52  70              |
| SMA L        | 0.0008  | 4592    - 2  - 14  58            |
| SMA R        | 0.0008  | 3616    4  - 12  72              |
| SPL L        | 0.0004  | 7944    - 18  - 50  62           |
| SPL R        | 0.0008  | 8392    14  - 52  68             |
| Thal L       | 0.0162  | 6818    - 6  - 26  8             |
| Thal R       | 0.0188  | 6128    6  - 30  10              |
| B            |         |                                  |
| AngG R       | 0.0350  | 104     42  - 58  20             |
| PC R         | 0.0120  | 1320    18  - 60  34             |
| CentrOp L   | 0.0312  | 64      - 60  - 20  18           |
| C            |         |                                  |
| IntCalc L   | 0.0100  | 392     - 4  - 70  10            |
| IntCalc R   | 0.0082  | 1584    2  - 68  12              |
| LingG L     | 0.0388  | 168     - 10  - 64  - 2          |
| LingG R     | 0.0120  | 224     8  - 70  4               |

Brain regions identification was based on the Harvard Oxford Atlas. Coordinates are in MNI space (mm). P values shown in bold are significant after Bonferroni correction for the number of RSNs considered. PostCG: postcentral gyrus, PreCG: precentral gyrus, PC: precuneus, SMA: supplementary motor area, SPL: superior parietal lobe, Thal: thalamus, AngG: angular gyrus, CentrOp: central operculum cortex, IntCalc: intracalcarine cortex, LingG: lingual gyrus, L: left, R: right.

2002). A higher functional connectivity is not always ascribable to a positive compensatory mechanism, it might also reflect a pathologic over-synchronization in brain activity.

### Table 3

Whole brain graph analysis measures results.

| Brain Region | ND | BC | CC | LE |
|--------------|----|----|----|----|
| Posterior cranial fossa |     |     |    |    |
| Brainstem |     |     | ↑ |    |
| Cerebellum cortex L | ↓ |     |   |    |
| Cerebellum cortex R | ↓ |     |   |    |
| Basal ganglia |     |     |   |    |
| Caudate nucleus L |   | ↓ | ↑ |    |
| Caudate nucleus R |   | ↓ | ↑ |    |
| Pallidum R |     |     |   |    |
| Frontal lobe |     |     |   |    |
| Caudal middle frontal R |   | ↓ |   |    |
| Lateral orbito frontal L |   |   | ↑ |    |
| Lateral orbito frontal R |   |   | ↑ |    |
| Pars opercularis L | ↑ | ↑ |   |    |
| Pars triangularis L |   |   | ↑ |    |
| Pars triangularis R |   | ↑ |   |    |
| Precentral L |     |     |   |    |
| Parietal lobe |     |     |   |    |
| Superior parietal L | ↑ | ↑ |   |    |
| Supramarginal L | ↑ |     |   |    |
| Temporal lobe |     |     |   |    |
| Fusiform R |     |     |   |    |
| Transverse temporal |   |     | ↑ |    |
| Limbic system |     |     |   |    |
| Amygdala L | ↑ |     |   |    |
| Amygdala R |     |     |   |    |
| Insula R | ↑ |     |   |    |
| Isthmus cingulate L | ↑ |     |   |    |
| Isthmus cingulate R | ↑ |     |   |    |
| Parahippocampal L |     |     |   |    |
| Parahippocampal R |   |     |   |    |
| Posterior cingulate L |   |     | ↑ |    |
| Rostral anterior cingulate L |   |     |   |    |
| Rostral anterior cingulate R |     |     |   |    |
| Visual system |     |     |   |    |
| Cuneus R |     |     |   |    |
| Lateral occipital L |   |     | ↑ |    |
| Pericalcarine L |   |     | ↑ |    |
| Pericalcarine R |   |     | ↑ |    |

ND: node degree, BC: betweenness centrality, CC: clustering coefficient, LE: local efficiency; ↑: higher parameter in SHE compared to healthy controls, ↓: lower parameter in SHE compared to healthy controls, −: no differences between the groups. Brain areas are grouped into posterior cranial fossa, basal ganglia, frontal lobe, parietal lobe, temporal lobe, limbic system and visual system. For brevity, only areas that showed a difference are reported (see supplementary Table 1 for the complete list of areas). P values of significant differences and median values across the groups are reported in supplementary material (supplementary Table 3).
Alterations of connections between basal ganglia (specifically caudate nucleus, globus pallidus and putamen) and both limbic and frontal areas were also described in non-SHE frontal lobe epilepsy patients, when functional connectivity itself, and not network organization, was explored (Dong et al., 2016). For focal epilepsy patients, network measures have also been used to describe the lateralization of alterations (Ridley et al., 2015). A large cohort of patients with well-defined epileptic foci is crucial for this type of evaluation. For SHE patients, without invasive EEG recording, the localization of the epileptogenic zone and its lateralization is often not straightforward.

For all the FC alterations discussed in the present study, it is not possible to state whether the affected areas are related to the epileptogenic zone per se or to the cumulative damage due to the chronic epileptic activity.

Some limitations of our study should be acknowledged. The number of cases is relatively small for a neuroimaging study, thus further investigations of resting state FC will be necessary to corroborate these findings. However, SHE is a rare disease (estimated prevalence in the Emilia-Romagna region of Italy: 1.8–1.9 per 100,000 residents, Vignatelli et al., 2015). Moreover, a simultaneous EEG-fMRI registration was not performed, but the resting state acquisitions were performed during the interictal period and scalp interictal EEG is typically normal in this disorder. In order to minimize the risk of subjects falling asleep, we performed the resting state acquisitions in two distinct runs (approximately 5 min each) and interacted with the patients during the interval between them. However, in the clinical history of our SHE population the risk of falling asleep in relaxing condition was not referred, consistently with the absence of pathological level of excessive daytime sleepiness that was demonstrated in a previous study (Vignatelli et al., 2006). Therefore, the expected level of sleepiness between the two groups was the same and could not explain the alterations found in SHE patients group. In any case, further studies with EEG-fMRI co-registration will be crucial to corroborate our findings, and possibly correlating resting state fMRI and interictal EEG. Moreover, it will also be of interest to compare SHE patients with patients with non-SHE epilepsy, ideally non SHE-frontal lobe epilepsy, or with sleep disturbances such as parasomnia.

### 5. Conclusions

In conclusion, in this first resting state study in SHE patients we explored FC using a combined ICA and graph theory approach, in order to obtain an accurate investigation of network alterations. In recent years, in fact, the concept of epileptic networks has become widely accepted, considering the clinical manifestation of epilepsy as a consequence of pathological functional network dynamics, rather than only of the pathological alteration of a specific region (Iannotti et al., 2016).

Despite the heterogeneity in our SHE population, characterizing the core of the disease, a common substrate of functional alterations was described. We detected higher connectivity in sensorimotor and thalamic regions, which is in agreement with the hypothesis of a peculiar excitability of the motor cortex during thalamic K-complexes. The sensorimotor-thalamic hyperconnection might be regarded as a
consequence of an alteration of the arousal regulatory system. No alterations in global properties of the brain network were found, while altered topology was found within basal ganglia and limbic areas, i.e. structures hypothesized to be involved in the pathophysiology of the disease as suggested by the dystonic-dyskinetic features and primitive behaviours observed during seizures.

Appendix A Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2017.12.002.
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