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ABSTRACT

Objective To examine changes in places of dementia-related death following implementation of the national dementia plan and other policy initiatives.

Design Observational study.

Setting Japan between October 1996 and September 2016. Four major changes in health and social care systems were identified: (1) the public long-term care insurance programme (April 2000); (2) community centres as a first access point for older residents (April 2006); (3) medical care system for older people (April 2008) and (4) the national dementia plan (April 2013).

Participants 960,423 decedents aged 65 years or older whose primary cause of death was Alzheimer’s disease, vascular or other types of dementia or senility.

Main outcome measures Place of death which was classified into ‘hospital’, ‘intermediate geriatric care facility’ (rehabilitation facility aimed at home discharge), ‘nursing home’ or ‘own home’.

Results The annual number of deaths at hospital was consistently increased over time from 1996 to 2016 (age-adjusted OR: 6.01; 95% CI 5.81 to 6.21 versus home deaths). Controlling for individual characteristics, regional supply of hospital and nursing home beds and other changes in health and social care systems, death from dementia following the national dementia plan was likely to occur in hospital (adjusted OR: 1.21; 95% CI 1.18 to 1.24), intermediate geriatric care facility (adjusted OR: 1.53; 95% CI 1.48 to 1.58) or nursing home (adjusted OR: 1.64; 95% CI 1.60 to 1.69) rather than at home.

Conclusions As the number of deaths from dementia increased over the decades, in-hospital deaths increased regardless of the national dementia plan. Further strategies should be explored to improve the availability of palliative and end-of-life care at patients’ places of residence.

INTRODUCTION

Dementia is a public health priority with no disease modifying treatments.12 Furthermore, dementia is a life-limiting illness and increases the health burden,3 and dementia-related death is increasingly common.45 Therefore, a palliative care approach should be applied across the disease course in dementia.6 In addition, death in hospital could result from the provision of poor-quality care for patients with dementia.78 Several countries have developed national dementia strategies to help people with dementia to remain in the community as long as possible and die at their usual place of care.910 In addition, a number of dementia-related deaths have occurred in hospital.11–14

Several factors, such as dementia type, age and cognitive impairment, have been suggested to affect the place of dementia-related death.11–14 In addition to these illness-related and individual factors, regional numbers of hospital and nursing home beds that are not specifically allocated to patients with dementia have also been associated with the place of dementia-related death.11 15 16 Japan also has faced a super-ageing society and a marked increase in the number of people with dementia. The national dementia
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Strengths and limitations of this study

► Strengths include being the first study to examine the impact of the national dementia plan on places of dementia-related death in combination with other changes in universal health and social care systems.
► This study took the first crucial step towards the identification of priorities in national dementia strategies and enhanced understanding of appropriate responses to the increase in the number of deaths resulting from dementia.
► Limitations include use of the data from death certificates that did not provide detailed information regarding changes in places of care when close to death.
► The data also lacked information regarding comorbidity.
plan (Orange Plan) was launched in April 2013 to address social challenges. However, little is known about changes in places of dementia-related death following the implementation of the national dementia plan. Empirical evidence involving Japanese individuals could reveal the challenges faced in establishing dementia strategies in an ageing society and enhance understanding of appropriate responses to the increase in the number of deaths resulting from dementia.

The aim of the study was to examine changes in places of dementia-related death following implementation of the national dementia plan in Japan. We hypothesised that the national dementia plan reduced in-hospital deaths from dementia after April 2013.

METHODS

Study design

Data from death certificates, obtained from vital statistics in Japan, were analysed. A detailed description of these data has been reported elsewhere. Data regarding deaths of Japanese residents aged 65 years or older were extracted from those regarding deaths that occurred in Japan between October 1996 and September 2016.

As dementia care services usually involve universal health and social care systems and dementia-specific services, we examined the associations between places of death and changes in health and social care systems and the national dementia plan. Four major changes in health and social care systems were identified (table 1): (1) the public long-term care insurance (LTCI) programme was introduced in April 2000; (2) general community support centres and community-based care services, including group homes, as well as home-care support clinics were introduced in April 2006; (3) a medical care system for older people was introduced in April 2008 and (4) the national dementia plan was launched in April 2013.

General community support centres serve as a first access point for older residents, including those with dementia, in each local area. Group homes offer small-scale, homelike accommodation for residents with mild to moderate dementia, and residents with dementia in these homes have shown higher quality of life relative to that of those living at home. The medical care system for older people includes prefectural (state) health insurers that cover inhabitants aged 75 years or older in the prefecture. Home-care support clinics offer palliative and end-of-life care services to older patients with cancer and other

### Table 1 Four changes in health and social care systems in Japan

| Period   | System change |
|----------|---------------|
| April 2000 | The public long-term care insurance programme was introduced to provide home-care and residential care services to older inhabitants. |
|          | – Each local (city) government serves as an insurer providing long-term care insurance for older inhabitants. |
|          | – Care managers handle monthly care plans for home-care clients, who usually purchase home-care services from providers other than the care managers. |
|          | – Residential care services consist of special nursing homes, intermediate geriatric care facilities and long-term sanatorium care beds. |
|          | – Special nursing homes are the only facilities that provide permanent residence under long-term care insurance residential services. |
|          | – Intermediate geriatric care facilities provide rehabilitation with the aim of home discharge. |
| April 2006 | General community support centres and community-based care services were introduced into the public long-term care insurance programme. |
|          | – General community support centres serve as a first access point for older inhabitants, including those with dementia, in each local area. |
|          | – Community-based care service providers handle monthly care plans and offer a package of home-based care services to clients. |
|          | – Community-based care services include group homes that accommodate residents with mild to moderate dementia. |
|          | Home-care support clinics were introduced into the public healthcare insurance programme. |
|          | – Home-care support clinics provide home-based end-of-life care for all diseases, including dementia, in collaboration with home-visit nursing care services. |
| April 2008 | A medical care system for older people was introduced into the public healthcare insurance programme. |
|          | – The medical care system for older people established prefectural (state) health insurers to cover inhabitants aged 75 years or older in the prefecture. |
| April 2013 | The national dementia plan (Orange Plan) was launched. |
|          | – Initial-phase intensive support teams were established in general community support centres to provide referrals to ‘medical centres for dementia’ to provide definitive dementia diagnoses and support for people in the early stage of dementia and their families. |
|          | – Psychiatric inpatient care involves behaviour management and assistance in the process of home discharge for people with dementia. |
The independent variables were related to the deceased’s personal characteristics, regional numbers of hospital and nursing home beds per inhabitant and changes in health and social care systems. Information regarding sex, age at death, marital status and municipality of residence was obtained from death certificate data.

Municipality of residence was associated with the number of available hospital and nursing home beds in the decedent’s health region, as follows: available hospital beds per 1000 inhabitants, available special nursing home beds per 1000 inhabitants aged 65 years or older and available fee-based home beds per 1000 inhabitants aged 65 years or older. Regional data were obtained by summing the municipal data for each of the variables (online supplementary table 1). Hospital beds were categorised into general acute care, long-term sanatorium care and psychiatric care. The prefectural government allocates healthcare resources based on health regions (called ‘the secondary tier of medical care’), which usually include multiple municipalities.

During the study period, the number of municipalities decreased from 3252 to 1741 because of a municipal merger. There were 347 health regions as of 1 October 1996, and the boundaries were revised according to the municipal merger. Therefore, each variable was calculated based on the boundaries of the municipalities and health regions as of the latest survey date following death.

Statistical methods
The distribution of places of death was calculated per month from October 1996 to September 2016. Yearly age-adjusted ORs of places of death were calculated using multinomial logistic analysis with reference to the first year (October 1996 to September 1997). Multivariate multinomial logistic analysis was also performed using the place of dementia-related death as the dependent variable and the implementation of the national dementia plan as the independent variable. Other decedent and regional characteristics and three changes in health and social care systems were included as covariates. Because data were collected from decedents nested within health regions, a multilevel model was developed using generalised linear and latent mixed models in Stata (Stata, College Station, Texas, USA). All statistical analyses were performed using Stata SE for Windows, V.15.1 (Stata). The two-tailed significance level was set at .05.

RESULTS
Characteristics of the deceased
Of the 982809 places of death resulting from Alzheimer’s disease, vascular or other types of dementia or senility, 21599 were classified as ‘other place, unspecified’ and excluded from the analysis. Of the remaining 961210782 and five lacked information regarding marital status and municipality of residence, respectively. The final sample included in the analysis consisted of 960423 decedents.
26.3% of 960,423 decedents were men, with a mean age at death of 90.9 years. In addition, 73.1% of decedents were married, 24.8% were divorced, and 23.0% were single. The number of deaths at home increased from October 1996 (n=1106, 59.1%) to September 2016 (n=1406, 15.6%; figure 1). The age-adjusted ORs versus home deaths per year showed a consistent increase over the two decades in deaths at hospital, nursing home and intermediate geriatric care facility (online supplementary table 2).

### Outcome data

During the study period, death in hospital accounted for nearly half (n=434795, 45.3%) of all deaths resulting from Alzheimer’s disease, vascular or other types of dementia or senility. In addition, 238429 (24.8%), 220652 (23.0%) and 66547 (6.9%) deaths occurred at home, in nursing homes and in intermediate care facilities, respectively. The number of deaths per month increased gradually from October 1996 (n=1870) to September 2016 (n=8994), and an annual peak was observed regularly in January for over two decades. The number of deaths in hospital increased from October 1996 (n=584, 31.2%) to September 2016 (n=3725, 41.4%). The number of deaths in intermediate geriatric care facilities increased consistently from October 1996 (n=15, 0.8%) to September 2016 (n=851, 9.5%), and the number of deaths in nursing homes increased from October 1996 (n=165, 8.8%) to September 2016 (n=3012, 33.5%). In addition, the number of deaths at home was stable between October 1996 (n=1106, 59.1%) and September 2016 (n=1406, 15.6%; figure 1).

### Regional data

During the study period, the national number of general acute care beds per 1000 inhabitants declined from 10.23 to 7.74. In contrast, the number of long-term sanatorium care beds per inhabitant increased from 0.30 to 2.65, and the number of psychiatric beds per inhabitant remained stable between 2.63 and 2.89. In addition, the number of permanent nursing home beds per 1000 inhabitants aged 65 years or older increased from 12.68 to 15.41, and the number of fee-based home beds per inhabitant increased from 1.57 to 16.81.

### MAIN RESULTS

With decedents’ and regional characteristics and other changes in health and social care systems controlled for, dementia-related death following the implementation of the national dementia plan was more likely to occur in a hospital, an intermediate geriatric care facility or nursing home relative to the patient’s home. Death in hospital was more likely to occur when the cause of death was Alzheimer’s disease or another type of dementia, relative to senility and when the decedent was younger relative to older, female relative to male, of a nationality other than Japanese relative to Japanese or divorced or single relative to widowed. In addition, it was more likely to occur after, rather than before, the introduction of the LTCI programme (April 2000), community general support centres, community-based care services and home-care support clinics (April 2006), a medical care system for older people (April 2008) and when the decedents had been residents in regions with a higher, relative to lower, number of general acute care beds, psychiatric beds, long-term sanatorium care beds, special nursing home beds or fee-based home beds per inhabitant. Decedents who were married at death were less likely to die in hospital relative to their own homes. With the exception of sex, nationality and the number of long-term sanatorium care beds per inhabitant, these variables were also significantly related to an increased likelihood of death in nursing homes or intermediate geriatric care facilities. Male decedents were more likely, relative to female decedents, to die in hospital or an intermediate geriatric care facility (table 3).
DISCUSSION

Contrary to our hypothesis, death from dementia was more likely to occur in hospital, rather than at patients’ homes, even after implementation of the national dementia plan. A yearly age-adjusted likelihood of death in hospital was consistently increased alongside increasing deaths from dementia in Japan over the two decades. An increased likelihood of death in hospital was persistent even controlling for the national dementia plan and other changes in health and social care systems.

The number of deaths resulting from Alzheimer’s disease, vascular or other types of dementia or senility increased over two decades. Furthermore, a higher mortality rate was observed in winter, relative to those observed in other seasons, similar to findings in Western countries. Our sample was similar to other countries in demographic characteristics such as age and sex. However, the proportion of deaths for which Alzheimer’s disease or another type of dementia was the primary cause in Japan (1.0%) was lower relative to those observed in Portugal (5.5%) and Belgium (9.8%). The number of deaths resulting from senility was higher relative to those resulting from Alzheimer’s disease or vascular or other types of dementia. Moreover, clinical diagnosis of dementia could have been under-reported as a primary cause of death in both Japan and European countries. Although home-care support clinics address palliative and end-of-life care for home-dwelling people with dementia, home-based end-of-life care services were provided mainly to patients with cancer. Some health and social care professionals do not recognise dementia as a life-limiting illness. Therefore, national dementia strategies should address the dementia knowledge deficits among health and social care professionals.

In contrast to Western countries, in which most deaths resulting from dementia occurred at nursing homes, hospital was the predominant place of dementia-related death in Japan. It should be noted that hospital deaths resulting from Alzheimer’s disease, vascular or other types of dementia or senility were less frequent relative to other conditions requiring palliative care, such as malignant neoplasm, in Japan (84.9% in 2016). Therefore, the high prevalence of hospital deaths in Japan stemmed from general end-of-life care practices rather than dementia-specific care. People with advanced dementia are sometimes transferred to hospital from their usual place of care to receive life-sustaining treatment in Japan. For example, special nursing home residents with dementia were typically transferred to hospital (21.5% of all discharges of residents with dementia), and tube feeding was introduced for those with no expectation of improved quality of life. Hospital transfers of actively dying people with advanced dementia is ill advised, as it leads to aggressive medical interventions that are more burdensome to the patient than they are beneficial. The national dementia plan increased the promotion of advanced care plans concerning decisions to forgo life-sustaining medical treatment at the active dying phase. Nonetheless, there

Figure 1  Time trends for the number and distribution of places of death resulting from Alzheimer’s disease, vascular or other types of dementia and senility per month. The figure indicates a stacked graph of the number of deaths from Alzheimer’s disease, vascular or other types of dementia and senility counted per month. Blue, orange, green and red areas represent death in hospital, an intermediate geriatric care facility, a nursing home and the patient’s own home. Intermediate geriatric care facilities provide rehabilitation with the aim of home discharge. Nursing homes include special nursing homes and fee-based homes for older people. Special nursing homes are the only facilities that provide permanent residence under long-term care insurance residential services. Fee-based homes for older people are housing facilities provided in accordance with the Public Aid for the Aged Act, offer additional care and are comparable to extra care housing in England. Vertical lines represent the timing of changes in health and social care systems; in April 2000, the public long-term care insurance programme was introduced; in April 2006, community general support centres, community-based care services and home-care support clinics were implemented; in April 2008, a medical care systems for older people was established and in April 2013, the national dementia plan was launched.
is no advanced care planning legislation in Japan. While the national dementia plan provides initial-phase intensive support teams to support people in the early stages of dementia, advanced care planning is not included in the activities of initial-phase intensive support teams. Even when special nursing homes have residents’ preference for end-of-life care documented, there is a risk of hospital transfer when close to death, despite residents’ or their relatives’ preferences. Advanced care planning legislation is required to help people who are diagnosed with dementia plan ahead and avoid treatment, such as hospital transfer when close to death, which is more burdensome than it is beneficial.

Death from dementia was more likely to occur in hospital, rather than at patients’ homes, even after implementation of the national dementia plan. The plan introduced a ‘circulating system of health and social care’ via which people with dementia are allocated to a place of care that involves the management of behaviour that is challenging in home-care settings and returns patients to their own homes when this behaviour has improved. The circulating system then provides psychiatric inpatient care involving behaviour management and assistance in the process of home discharge for people with dementia. The large proportion of hospital deaths in our sample could imply that, contrary to expectations, the circulating system failed to help home-dwelling people with dementia to live in the community. Furthermore, inpatients with a primary diagnosis of dementia were usually treated in psychiatric beds (68.6% as of June 2014), with long annual durations of hospitalisation and a high in-hospital mortality rate (18.2% of all discharges). Patients with dementia in psychiatric hospitals were more likely to die in hospital when the provision of in-home nursing services in their regions of residence was limited. Therefore, community mental health systems that provide behaviour management should be established to help people with dementia to remain at home and avoid long-term hospital stays in psychiatric beds.

An increased likelihood of death in hospital was also observed after other changes in health and social care systems: the introduction of the LTCI programme, community general support centres and community-based care services, the medical care system for older people and national dementia plan. The large proportion of hospital deaths in our sample could imply that, contrary to expectations, the circulating system failed to help home-dwelling people with dementia to live in the community. Furthermore, inpatients with a primary diagnosis of dementia were usually treated in psychiatric beds (68.6% as of June 2014), with long annual durations of hospitalisation and a high in-hospital mortality rate (18.2% of all discharges). Patients with dementia in psychiatric hospitals were more likely to die in hospital when the provision of in-home nursing services in their regions of residence was limited. Therefore, community mental health systems that provide behaviour management should be established to help people with dementia to remain at home and avoid long-term hospital stays in psychiatric beds.

### Table 3: Multinomial logistic regression analysis of places of death resulting from Alzheimer’s disease, vascular or other types of dementia and senility

| OR, 95% CI: reference=own home | Hospital | Nursing home | Intermediate geriatric care facility |
|--------------------------------|---------|--------------|--------------------------------------|
| **Primary cause of death; reference=senility** | | | |
| Alzheimer’s disease | 1.91 (1.86 to 1.95)* | 1.76 (1.71 to 1.81)* | 1.91 (1.84 to 1.99)* |
| Vascular or other type of dementia | 1.84 (1.80 to 1.88)* | 1.96 (1.92 to 2.01)* | 2.65 (2.58 to 2.73)* |
| Age, year | 0.97 (0.96 to 0.97)* | 0.99 (0.99 to 0.99)* | 0.99 (0.993 to 0.996)* |
| Sex, male | 1.04 (1.02 to 1.05)* | 0.66 (0.65 to 0.67)* | 0.79 (0.77 to 0.81)* |
| Nationality, Japanese | 0.80 (0.71 to 0.89)* | 0.99 (0.86 to 1.12) | 1.13 (0.93 to 1.38) |
| **Marital status: reference=widowed** | | | |
| Married | 0.81 (0.79 to 0.82)* | 0.62 (0.61 to 0.64)* | 0.70 (0.68 to 0.72)* |
| Divorced | 1.42 (1.37 to 1.46)* | 2.20 (2.12 to 2.27)* | 1.20 (1.14 to 1.26)* |
| Single | 1.23 (1.19 to 1.28)* | 1.60 (1.54 to 1.66)* | 1.12 (1.06 to 1.18)* |
| **Changes in health and social care systems** | | | |
| Long-term care insurance programme (April 2000) | 1.88 (1.82 to 1.95)* | 2.03 (1.94 to 2.12)* | 3.11 (2.91 to 3.31)* |
| General community support centres, community-based care services and home-care support clinics (April 2006) | 1.25 (1.21 to 1.29)* | 1.57 (1.52 to 1.63)* | 1.70 (1.62 to 1.79)* |
| A medical care system for older people (April 2008) | 1.48 (1.44 to 1.52)* | 1.96 (1.90 to 2.03)* | 2.09 (2.00 to 2.18)* |
| National dementia plan (April 2013) | 1.21 (1.18 to 1.24)* | 1.64 (1.60 to 1.69)* | 1.53 (1.48 to 1.58)* |
| **Regional supply of health and social care services** | | | |
| General acute care beds per 1000 inhabitants | 1.01 (1.01 to 1.02)* | 1.02 (1.01 to 1.02)* | 1.03 (1.02 to 1.03)* |
| Psychiatric beds per 1000 inhabitants | 1.10 (1.10 to 1.11)* | 1.06 (1.05 to 1.06)* | 1.08 (1.07 to 1.09)* |
| Long-term sanatorium care beds per 1000 inhabitants | 1.05 (1.04 to 1.05)* | 1.02 (1.01 to 1.02)* | 1.01 (0.99 to 1.01)* |
| Special nursing home beds per 1000 inhabitants aged 65 years or older | 1.00 (1.00 to 1.01)* | 1.02 (1.02 to 1.02)* | 1.01 (1.01 to 1.01)* |
| Fee-based home beds per 1000 inhabitants aged 65 years or older | 1.02 (1.02 to 1.02)* | 1.02 (1.02 to 1.02)* | 1.01 (1.00 to 1.01)* |

*Significance level p<0.05; multilevel multinomial logistic regression analysis, log likelihood=−1101972.80, random effect of health region=0.23 (variance 0.005).
home-care support clinics. These policy initiatives aimed to establish home-based health and social care services, to enable older people to stay in their own homes for as long as possible. However, several issues, such as lack of coordination between health and long-term care services and termination of care management when patients are admitted to intermediate geriatric care facilities, special nursing homes or hospitals, have remained.\(^7\) Notably, the availability of fee-based home beds and psychiatric beds was associated with an increased likelihood of death in hospital or an intermediate geriatric care facility. Although intermediate geriatric care facilities provide intermediate care for older patients who require rehabilitation and aim to be discharged home within 3 months, 44.4\(^\%\) of discharged patients were admitted to hospital.\(^35\) The results of the analysis indicated that several transitions could have occurred in end-of-life care, as follows: (1) from a fee-based home to a hospital, (2) from a fee-based home to an intermediate geriatric care facility and (3) from a hospital to an intermediate geriatric care facility. Therefore, the national dementia plan could have failed to address several issues in end-of-life care, against the background of an increasing number of dementia-related deaths. Furthermore, universal health and social care systems should improve care coordination and continuity across various care settings.

There has also been a significant increase in the number of deaths outside hospital. A trend towards an increasing number of deaths in nursing homes was observed during the study period. In addition, the number of fee-based home beds in Japan increased over the two decades for which data were analysed. Greater regional capacity of fee-based home beds was significantly related to the occurrence of dementia-related deaths in nursing homes. This finding is similar to results observed in Finland, where changes to the service structure decreased institutional long-term care facilities and increased the availability of sheltered housing with care.\(^14\) The changes in Japanese health and social care systems were based on the ‘ageing in place’ policy and aimed at the establishment of an integrated community care system.\(^36\) The ‘ageing in place’ policy included providing older people access to end-of-life care at nursing homes as well as their own homes. These measures directed at end-of-life care may have resulted in increased deaths outside hospital and inside other facilities. Although group homes were introduced into the LTICI programme and deaths in group homes were included in deaths at patients’ own homes, the number of deaths that occurred at patients’ own homes generally remained unchanged. In addition, several group homes did not provide end-of-life care to residents.\(^35\) Furthermore, residents in fee-based homes exhibited more severe healthcare needs relative to those observed in residents of special nursing and group homes.\(^36\) Therefore, the increase in the number of deaths in nursing home could have resulted from the increase in the availability of fee-based homes as places of residence to address healthcare needs. End-of-life care for persons with dementia may be better than at home in some cases due to the presence of severe challenging behaviours and the prolonged period of disability. However, as mentioned above, nursing home residents with dementia were typically transferred to hospital in Japan.\(^29\) Capacity of end-of-life care in nursing homes should be improved to increase deaths outside hospital but in usual place of care including nursing homes.

### Strengths and weaknesses of this study

The primary strength of the study lies in the examination of the impact of the national dementia plan on places of dementia-related death in combination with other changes in universal health and social care systems. Other strengths also include the generalisability by use of the national data that are broadly representative of the Japanese population. This study took the first crucial step towards the identification of priorities in national dementia strategies. However, the analyses were subject to limitations associated with mortality data in vital statistics. The data from death certificates did not provide detailed information regarding changes in places of care when close to death. In-hospital deaths of long-stay patients could not be distinguished from hospital transfers of dying residents from their own or nursing homes. The data also lacked information regarding comorbidity. However, as Alzheimer’s disease, vascular or other dementias, senility and conditions other than physical illness were recorded as the primary cause of death, a certain level of homogeneity could be assumed in the sample. In addition, dementia might not have been recorded in the death certificates of a number of decedents, such as those whose cause of death was aspiration pneumonia resulting from swallowing difficulty caused by dementia.

### Conclusion and policy implications

Hospital was the predominant place of dementia-related death, regardless of the implementation of the national dementia plan and other policy initiatives. The national dementia plan could have failed to reduce several transitions in end-of-life care against the background of the increasing number of dementia-related deaths. Further strategies should be explored to address (1) advanced care planning legislation, (2) the construction of a community mental health system for behaviour management and (3) care coordination and continuity across various care settings to improve the availability of palliative and end-of-life care at patients’ places of residence.
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