Hollow structures as drug carriers: Recognition, response, and release
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ABSTRACT
Hollow structures have demonstrated great potential in drug delivery owing to their privileged structure, such as high surface-to-volume ratio, low density, large cavities, and hierarchical pores. In this review, we provide a comprehensive overview of hollow structured materials applied in targeting recognition, smart response, and drug release, and we have addressed the possible chemical factors and reactions in these three processes. The advantages of hollow nanostructures are summarized as follows: hollow cavity contributes to large loading capacity; a tailored structure helps controllable drug release; variable compounds adapt to flexible application; surface modification facilitates smart responsive release. Especially, because the multiple physical barriers and chemical interactions can be induced by multishells, hollow multishelled structure is considered as a promising material with unique loading and releasing properties. Finally, we conclude this review with some perspectives on the future research and development of the hollow structures as drug carriers.
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1 Introduction
Over the past few decades, the increasing global concerns regarding disease treatment and medication safety have aroused awareness of the drug delivery system (DDS) [1–4]. Considering the unique characteristics of different materials, and their specific advantages in terms of biocompatibility, stability, and intelligent controlled release, a great number of candidates such as liposomes, polymers, silicon-based materials, hydroxyapatite, metal oxides, and biological macromolecules have been explored [5–8]. A rational structural design on drug carriers can modify the physical and chemical interaction between the drug molecules and the carrier, which is critically important for a drug delivery system.

In the 1960s, Folkman et al. developed silicone rubber as a drug carrier, which prolonged the effective therapy of the drug and aroused much interest on the drug delivery system [9]. In 1974, Hiroshi et al. fabricated hollow ZnO [10], which initiated the era of hollow materials. Following this, research on hollow structures with micro/nano scale as drug carriers sprung up. Years of studies have proved that hollow nanostructures materials are advantageous for drug delivery due to qualities such as high surface-to-volume ratio, low density, and large cavities. The hollow structure serves as a drug reservoir and can promote the sustained drug release, keeping the drug effective for a long period. Hollow cavities are beneficial for high payloads and the shell can provide a physical barrier.

The primary mission of an ideal drug delivery system is to release enough of the drugs it carries. Besides, recognizing the targeted system, and responding to environmental stimuli would make the DDS smarter. In this case, drugs can be released at the targeted site and reach an effective concentration, as well as reduce leakage at non-target sites.

Among the various factors in the drug delivery system, to understand the choice of carrier, drug loading, and release method, the driving forces and the interactions need to be deeply understood. Therefore, the design at molecular level is critical to DDS. From single shelled hollow structure to hollow multishelled structure, the complex architecture would provide abundant space for drug loading and more barriers for drug delivery, which would result in a smarter system. Consequently, we need to systematically understand the series of processes and interactions of the drug delivery system.

In this review, we firstly introduce the structure of the carrier materials which have been applied to DDS, including hollow mesoporous structures, hollow single-shell structures, hollow multi-shell structures, and Janus particles. Later, we introduce various drug loading approaches and the interactions between hosts and guests. Subsequently, we respectively introduce the processes of drug carrier action, namely recognition, response, and release (Fig. 1) with hollow structures. Beginning with an introduction of the recognition, we give the strategies for passive targeting and active targeting, respectively. Then, the various responses to endogenous and exogenous stimulus.
are summarized. In the part of release, some release modes and corresponding strategies for constructing are presented. At the end of this review, some challenges of the hollow nanostructures in drug delivery system and the outlook in material design with hollow multishelled structures are proposed.

2 The structures of hollow carriers

Carrier is primely important for the drug delivery system, and its structure has great influences on the release performance of their loads. The structure affects the path and driving force of the drug molecule in diffusing outward, due to the pore size, the number and thickness of the shells, and the structural symmetry. Based on these structures, various components (e.g. organic, inorganic, or composition) affect the interaction between drugs and carriers, and the behavior changes in the release environment (Fig. 2).

2.1 Hollow mesoporous structure

Mesoporous materials refer to a type of porous material with pores between 2 and 50 nm, which possess the unique characteristics, such as ultra-high specific surface area, large pore volume, adjustable pore size and morphology [11]. They have potential advantages in the fields, including drug delivery, electrocatalysis [12], and photocatalysis [13].

With the prospering of research on hollow structures, researchers have hollowed out porous materials to further improve their performance as drug carriers. The preparation of hollow materials usually uses oil droplets, crystals, hard microspheres as templates [14–16], after the precursor is self-assembled or coated on the microspheres, and the template is removed by high-temperature pyrolysis, etching, etc., to obtain hollow silicon materials [17].

Among them, mesoporous silica is widely utilized in the drug delivery system, owing to its stable skeleton structure, easily modified surface, and good biocompatibility [7, 18–21].
In 2005, Shi et al. increased the ibuprofen loading capacity to 918.6 mg·g\(^{-1}\) through the design of the hollow structure of mesoporous silica [22], which is three times higher than that of the conventional mesoporous materials [23].

Drug release from hollow mesoporous silica (HMS) was controlled by diffusion and sometimes the release profile can be fitted by the Higuchi model [24, 25]. Some researchers have adjusted the structure to control the release rate of drugs, such as pore-size and shell thickness [26]. As shown in Fig. 3(a), Li et al. [25] found that the loading capacity of hollow mesoporous silica with a uniform hollow core increased along with the decreasing thickness of the shell, and meanwhile, the release rate increased as the shell thickness became thinner.

In addition to thickness of shells, the pore size also influence release property. Li and co-workers [27] constructed a series of hollow mesoporous silica nanoparticles (HMSNs) with pore sizes of 3.2, 6.4, and 12.6 nm. The loading efficiency increased with the pore size, and the release rates exhibited pore-size-dependent characteristics both in vitro and intracellular drug release experiments (Fig. 3(b)). Similar conclusions have appeared in other reports [25, 28].

The modification of carrier materials by surface engineering is of great significance for regulating the surface electrical properties, hydrophilicity, and dispersibility of the carrier, thereby improving the drug delivery performance [29]. Typically for the porous silicon materials, apart from traditional oxidation, thermal carbonization, hydrosilylation, and other ways to stabilize and functionalize the surface, it also includes strategies such as coating polymer gating on the outer layer, surface grafting molecules to control the phase transition temperature, and pore modification [30].

Although there are various methods of surface engineering, one should consider the interaction force between the drug molecule and the carrier, and the interaction between carriers and cells or microorganisms, so as to strengthen the ability of controlled release, physiological stability, cell targeting properties [31]. For example, researchers can adjust the surface roughness of a material to control its biological properties such as cell capture and adhesion [32, 33]. Song et al. constructed a hollow pollen-like mesoporous silica material. The rough surface topology increases the adhesion to bacteria, thus exhibiting enhanced lysozyme transfer characteristics and antibacterial activity [34].

Modifying the inorganic materials with polymers is another common strategy of surface engineering. Zhu et al. covered polyelectrolyte multilayer coatings on HMS. Results showed that the carrier had a smaller loading capacity and a slower release rate, which can be contributed to the double barrier provided by the polymer multilayers and the mesoporous layer [35].

In addition to coating the mesoporous silica with polymers [36, 37], other functional materials such as nanoparticles [38, 39], and metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) [40], can also improve the controlled release of drugs and be introduced as smart response by compounding with silica. Jia et al. fabricated a DOX/HMS@ZIF capsule (DOX = doxorubicin) as drug carrier by coating ZIF on the outer surface of HMS [40]. After that, the loading capacity of DOX can reach up to 44 wt.%.
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(a) Schematic illustration for the preparation of HMSNs (scale bars are 100 nm) and their use in drug loading and release (reproduced with permission from Ref. [25], © American Society of Chemical 2016). (b) Representative schematic illustration of controlling DOX release rate by tuning the pore sizes of HMSNs to 3.2, 6.4, and 12.6 nm, and in vitro cytotoxicity of DMSNs with different pore sizes against MCF-7 and MCF-7/ADR cells (reproduced with permission from Ref. [27], © American Society of Chemical 2011).
Hollow mesoporous organosilica nanoparticles (HMONs) not only inherit the advantages of mesoporous silica, but also construct an organic–inorganic hybrid structure, and they have attracted widespread attention in the field of biomedicine [41–44]. Compared with inorganic mesoporous silica, the organic groups in HMONs are homogeneously and covalently incorporated in the pore at the molecular level, which endow them with versatile organic–inorganic hybrid frameworks, and therefore bring improved biocompatibility, enhanced thermal stability and controllable wetting ability [45]. Chen et al. developed a new generation HMONs with multiple organic groups as DOX carriers. The incorporation of thioether can response to the reduction microenvironment by disulfide bonds, and its easily-cleaved property by ultrasound endows HMONs with high intensity focused ultrasound (HIFU)-responsive drug release performances. In addition, the organosilica carrier has a higher biocompatibility, tumor accumulations and enhanced therapeutic efficiency against cancer [46].

Moreover, the hetero hydrophobic/hydrophilic composition in HMONs can be designed to adapt the environment in vivo. Yang et al. noted that compared with inorganic silica, the HMONs showed the better sustained release property owing to the strong hydrophobic interaction between HMONs and the guest when using them as antigen carriers [47]. In addition, the intrinsic hydrophobicity of HMONs endues them with stronger interaction with antigen presenting cells, resulting in endolysosomal escape.

Apart from silicon-based materials, carbon nanomaterials show great application prospects in drug delivery, owing to their high specific surface area, long residence time in vivo, good biological inertness, high cell uptake efficiency, and excellent drug release characteristics [48]. Ahmad et al. reported mono-dispersed mesoporous hollow carbon nanospheres for the delivery of antimicrobials vancomycin [49]. By designing mesoporous hollow carbon (MHC) materials with different pore sizes and wall thicknesses, the MHC materials possess a vancomycin loading capacity of 861 mg·g⁻¹. Initial fast release followed by sustained release of drugs displayed excellent antibacterial performance.

2.2 Hollow single-shell structure

2.2.1 Liposome

Liposomes are composed of phospholipid bilayers. The hydrophobic tails of phospholipid molecules tend to gather to avoid the water, while the hydrophilic heads are exposed to the water phase and form closed vesicles with a bilayer structure. Liposomes have been widely utilized in the drug delivery system since the 1960s owing to their excellent targeting, stability, biocompatibility and ease of modification [50, 51]. With the development of hollow structure, liposomes have drawn more attention from researchers. In clinical trials, liposome drug delivery systems have been applied to the targeted delivery of various anti-cancer drugs including both hydrophobic and hydrophilic drugs.

Transdermal diffusion and cell fusion are two methods of which liposome carriers can release drugs. With liposome gels for external use, drug molecules enter the skin through transdermal diffusion. Kirjavainen et al. studied the influence of phospholipid liposomes on the skin permeability of model drugs, and the results indicated that permeabilities of certain model drugs were substantially increased when ethanol was present in donors with egg yolk lecithin [52]. While oral liposomes are used, the drug usually adheres to and fuses with the cells in the gastrointestinal tract to release the drug [53].

There are liposomes that have special properties, such as temperature-sensitivity, pH-sensitivity, and light-sensitivity, which endows them with corresponding responsive release of drugs. The report introduces a temperature-sensitive liposome drug delivery system [54]. The liposome is loaded with superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles. Because the composite can increase the localized temperature by the alternating magnetic field, the structure transition takes place on the thermosensitive liposome to help realizing the controlled release of DOX. Accompany with the advantages of transport across a blood–brain barrier and selectively target for brain glioma, the composite displays a higher cellular uptake property (Fig. 4(a)).

2.2.2 Polymeric capsules

Another subset of hollow organic materials, polymeric capsules, have been developed as carriers for a variety of drugs, enzymes, genes, and proteins [55]. Their polymeric shell can increase the stability of loaded proteins and nucleic acids, and protect them from degradation by proteases and nucleases. Besides, the properties of nanocapsules can be adjusted by screening different functional monomers or cross-linking agents, such as surface charge, long cycling and degradability. There are common polymers, such as poly lactic acid (PLA); poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA) [56–58], that are widely used in drug delivery systems have been applied to the targeted delivery of various anti-cancer drugs including both hydrophobic and hydrophilic drugs.

Transdermal diffusion and cell fusion are two methods of which liposome carriers can release drugs. With liposome gels for external use, drug molecules enter the skin through transdermal diffusion. Kirjavainen et al. studied the influence of phospholipid liposomes on the skin permeability of model drugs, and the results indicated that permeabilities of certain model drugs were substantially increased when ethanol was present in donors with egg yolk lecithin [52]. While oral liposomes are used, the drug usually adheres to and fuses with the cells in the gastrointestinal tract to release the drug [53].

There are liposomes that have special properties, such as temperature-sensitivity, pH-sensitivity, and light-sensitivity, which endows them with corresponding responsive release of drugs. The report introduces a temperature-sensitive liposome drug delivery system [54]. The liposome is loaded with superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles. Because the composite can increase the localized temperature by the alternating magnetic field, the structure transition takes place on the thermosensitive liposome to help realizing the controlled release of DOX. Accompany with the advantages of transport across a blood–brain barrier and selectively target for brain glioma, the composite displays a higher cellular uptake property (Fig. 4(a)).
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2.2.2 Polymeric capsules

Another subset of hollow organic materials, polymeric capsules, have been developed as carriers for a variety of drugs, enzymes, genes, and proteins [55]. Their polymeric shell can increase the stability of loaded proteins and nucleic acids, and protect them from degradation by proteases and nucleases. Besides, the properties of nanocapsules can be adjusted by screening different functional monomers or cross-linking agents, such as surface charge, long cycling and degradability. There are common polymers, such as poly lactic acid (PLA); poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA) [56–58], that are widely used in drug delivery systems have been applied to the targeted delivery of various anti-cancer drugs including both hydrophobic and hydrophilic drugs.
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delivery systems and have good biocompatibility and stability [59]. For non-degradable polymer materials, drug release is determined by a concentration gradient. In contrast, the release for degradable polymer carriers is controlled by both the molecular diffusion rate and the carrier degradation rate [60]. It would also be beneficial to develop smart hollow polymer carriers with environmental response, such as temperature [33, 61] and pH response [56, 62]. Lee and coworkers constructed a hollow porous PLGA microsphere as a drug carrier for dexamethasone (DEX) [56]. Owing to the high permeability, the DEX encapsulation and loading efficiencies were 70% and 0.7%. Here, the release is controlled via two mechanisms: concentration diffusion and PLGA microsphere erosion and degradation.

Liposomes and polymers are distinctive, and their combination results in surprising discoveries. Farokhzad et al. developed a hollow lipid-polymer-lipid hybrid nanoparticle as RNA carrier [63]. The encapsulation efficiency was approximately 82%. Compared to single-component polymer, the RNA encapsulation efficiency increased by more than 10 times (Fig. 4(b)).

2.2.3 Metal–organic frameworks

Metal–organic framework is a powerful participant in drug carriers. Researchers compiled some comprehensive reviews, which summarized the applications of MOFs in drug delivery and cancer therapy over the past decades [4, 64, 65].

The higher porosity and larger specific surface areas of hollow MOFs than solid counterparts endow the opportunity for the efficient adsorption and storage of drug molecules [66–68]. Tian and co-workers constructed a multifunctional platform by using a hollow porphyrinic meal–organic framework (H-PMOF) nanoparticle as the drug carrier [67]. DOX and indocyanine green were loaded with an ultrahigh loading capacity of 635%, higher than that of the nonhollow PMOF. Furthermore, the photodynamic therapy was more effective owing to a shorter diffusion distance of the generated O₂.

2.3 Hollow multishell structure (HoMS)

HoMS is an assembly of multiple shells with voids between the individual shells. Compared with hollow spheres, HoMS has more interfaces, a larger specific surface area, and a multi-stage cavity structure, and is widely used in energy conversion and storage, catalysis, drug sustained release, and other fields [69–71]. HoMS has attracted more attentions in drug delivery systems for their special hierarchical structures, which significantly prolong the duration of drug release.

The driving force of drugs released from HoMS is mainly controlled by the concentration gradient. The unique multishell structures contribute to the sustained release by hindering the diffusion of drug molecules. Ma et al. showed that hollow multishelled porous CaCO₃ possessed a high drug loading capacity with 245.33 μg·mg⁻¹ and a sustained-release drug profile up to 115 h [72]. The drug release kinetics were fitted to the Higuchi model and characterized with three stages due to the hierarchical shell and multi-level tunnel structures.

Silicon materials with a hollow multi-shell structure, which combine the advantages of the multi-level release from HoMS and the biocompatibility from silicon, can be utilized in cancer therapy [73, 74]. Teng et al. prepared a multi-shelled periodic mesoporous organosilica (PMO) hollow sphere which was perfectly biocompatible for human breast cancer (MDA-MB-231) cells and killed 84% of cells within 48 h at a DOX concentration of 4 μg·mL⁻¹ (Fig. 5(a)) [75].

Notably, spatiotemporal ordering was found to be a unique property of HoMS in drug release. Since HoMS can provide different storage sites and various interactions for drug molecules, the release also shows the time sequence accordingly. As shown in Fig 5(b) [76], the drugs adsorbed on the surface are easily released at the initial stage, resulting in burst release; the drugs in the cavity through π–π stacking interactions contribute to the following sustained release stage and also the responsive release stage; the drug molecules that form hydrogen bonds with the surface also contribute to part of the responsive release. Therefore, it is a multi-level release process induced by physical barriers and chemical interaction.

HoMS has many advantages in drug sustained release, but it is still in the early stages of development for application in this field. As an example, heterogeneous HoMSs with different inner and outer layer components can be loaded with different drugs by adjusting the surface properties to achieve sequential sustained release of multiple drugs.

2.4 Janus particles

Janus particles have attracted significant attention as smart drug carriers owing to their unique dual properties. Janus particles can be synthesized with diversified forms (e.g., cauliflower-like, dumbbell-like, and core–shell towards cauliflower-like structures) and used in the delivery of two cargoes simultaneously.

The release behavior is significantly affected by the structure of composite particles [77–79]. Janus particles are allowed to collect two completely different chemicals, such as hydrophobicity, polarity, functionality, and surface charge differences, as a result of their asymmetrical and unique structure. The existence of two distinct faces makes these particles attractive colloids that can be utilized to simultaneous detection and treatment of cells [80]. These biocompatible Janus nanoparticles have a bipolar affinity to human cells and can carry a drug and detect the targeted
Simultaneous drug delivery and cell detection result in Janus particles being super-smart and multifunctional. A dual-compartment Janus mesoporous silica nanocomposite (UCNP@SiO2@mSiO2@PMO) was fabricated by Li et al. [78], and used as the carrier for both hydrophobicity/hydrophilicity dual guests, which can be further applied into nano biomedicine for heat and NIR light bimodal triggered dual drugs release (Fig. 6(a)).

In addition to the overall structural asymmetry, the morphology can also be constructed as a Janus particle which is symmetrical on the outside but has a difference in hydrophilicity and hydrophobicity on the inside. Chen et al. reported a Tai Chi-like ultrasmall nanoparticles-stacked oleic acid-NaYF4:Yb,Er@hollow porous SiO2 [82], which resembled a symmetrical silicon hollow sphere from the outside, and embodied a hydrophobic interior for water insoluble drugs and a hydrophilic SiO2 surface for water soluble guests, offering an efficient combination therapy (Fig. 6(b)).

3 The interaction between drug and hollow carriers

Besides the component and structure of carrier materials, the drug-loading method and the carrier–drug interaction play important roles in the behavior of the delivery system.

3.1 Drug loading

An outstanding drug release system should have a large drug loading capacity to reduce the dosage of carriers. Different drug loading mechanisms affect the interaction between host and guest and determine it can be effectively released in the required environment.

For efficient drug action, improving the drug loading efficiency is critical in drug carrier research. The combination of drug carriers and drugs is related to their intrinsic properties as well as the method of drug loading.

The selection of drug loading method is intricately connected to the synthesis of carriers and the properties of drug molecules, and also has influences on the behaviors of drug release. In view of the special approach of the preparation of hollow structure materials, the strategies are usually divided into preloading and post loading.

3.1.1 Pre-loading Strategies

The pre-loading method means that the drug molecules are loaded prior to the formation of carriers. Generally, the precursors of carriers are directly immersed in the drug solution, and the concentration gradient drives the drug into the carrier. As an example, Zhang et al. mixed a certain amount of methylene blue (MB) and tetraethyl orthosilicate (the precursor of SiO2) [83].

The solvent evaporation approach is to dissolve the drug and the polymer in a volatile organic solvent which is immiscible with water, ultrasonically emulsify the solution and finally the solvent is evaporated to form polymer nanoparticles. Sung et al. used the W1/O/W2 preparation method to load the hydrophobic drug rifampicin into PLGA microspheres [84], which effectively solved the problem of low loading efficiency of hydrophobic drugs, increasing the entrapment efficiency to 73.4%.

For hollow structures prepared by the template method, the pre-loading approach sometimes refers to loading the drug on a template and then coating other substances on the outside [85]. Zhao et al. presented a mild method of preloading the DOX in CaCO3 sphere and then coated with mesoporous silica [86]. After the template of CaCO3 was removed, the drug was stored in the cavity. This method could bring a higher encapsulation efficiency and provide a new idea for nano-sized shape-controllable hollow drug therapeutics.

3.1.2 Post-loading strategies

The post loading method refers to loading the drug after the carriers have been synthesized.

The solvent adsorption approach means immersing the prepared carriers in the drug solution, and the drug is adsorbed on the surface or in the cavity of the materials. Since the drug concentration outside the carrier is higher than inside, the drug molecules diffuse into it under the driving force of the

Figure 6  (a) Schematic presentation for dual-control drug release systems by using the dual-compartment mesoporous Janus nanocomposites (reproduced with permission from Ref. [78], © American Chemical Society 2014). (b) Schematic illustration of the synthesis, modification and application of the Yin Yang-like OA-UCNPs@HPS NPs (reproduced with permission from Ref. [82], © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2019).
3.2 Interaction

The loading efficiency and the following drug release are strongly influenced by the interaction. The loading mechanism of drugs on carriers can be categorized into physical adsorption (molecular interaction) and chemical bonding (Fig. 7).

3.2.1 Physical adsorption

Weak molecular interaction mainly consists of van der Waals forces, hydrogen bonding, hydrophobic interaction, etc.

Considering the understanding in the interaction forces between carriers and drugs is helpful in optimizing the loading strategy, here we discuss the various interaction forces in this section. For hollow liposomes, hydrophobic interactions play an important role. Water-soluble drugs are stored in the hollow cavity, while hydrophobic drugs are stored in the phospholipid bilayers. Besides, Cationic lipids have good affinity with negatively charged cells, and therefore the drug molecules can be adsorbed on the carrier by electrostatic interaction [88]. Xu et al. utilized the interaction to bind negatively charged DNA chains to cationic liposomes, and release them in cells [89]. Apart from liposomes, electrostatic interactions also exist between drugs and other carriers with opposite charges. The positively charged DOX molecules could form a strong adsorption with the negatively charged surface of mesoporous silica, for instance [25, 37].

Moreover, π–π stacking refers to a weak interaction that often occurs between aromatic rings. Some aromatic compounds, such as doxorubicin, doxycycline, mitoxantrone are likely to anchor to the carbon-based inorganic drug carriers [49, 90], or covalent organic frameworks (COFs) [91]. Chen et al. found that DOX was loaded onto multi-walled carbon nanotubes via π–π stacking and then released under acidic condition by destroying the interaction [90].

3.2.2 Chemical bonding

Chemically combined drugs on carrier materials can be obtained by constructing amide, hydrazone bond, and disulfide bond between molecules and carriers [92]. Su et al. synthesized docetaxel-loaded polymeric complex micelles via two hydrazone linkages in the backbone, and the pH-responsive drug release improved the therapeutic efficiency in cancer treatments [93].

In a word, interaction determines the stability of the action of drugs and carriers, thereby affecting its series of dynamic behaviors. Considering HoMS can provide multiple interfaces and spaces for drug loading, there are alternated physical and chemical interactions during the drug loading, and provide promising properties in drug release.

4 Recognition

In a smart targeted drug delivery system, recognition is the first step in the drug delivery process, and it is also an important function of the drug delivery system. With a targeted carrier being designed reasonably, it can effectively deliver the drug to the targeted site aiming to achieve the specific targeted delivery, as well as reducing the toxic side effects of the drug and distribution of the drug in normal tissues, which improves the utilization of drugs.

In contrast to solid nanoparticles, a hollow structure is composed of a shell and cavity, and the two parts can independently carry the functions of target recognition and drug storage. The outer shell is modified with an aptamer to realize the targeting; while the internal cavity is utilized as a drug reservoir and is not interfered upon external modification to ensure the activity of chemical molecules. Because of the targeting, the non-specific uptake of drugs in this site is reduced. Meanwhile, since the drugs are stored in the internal cavity of the hollow structures, the barrier of the outer shell is beneficial in further reducing the leakage of drugs [94]. Also, the outer shell layer interacts with biological components such as proteins on the cells through modification, which can determine the biodistribution and pharmacokinetic behavior of the drug-carrying system in vivo, avoiding a large amount of uptake by the reticuloendothelial system (RES) [95].

According to the type of mechanism, targeting is usually divided into passive targeting [96] and active targeting [97] (Figs. 8(a) and 8(b)).

4.1 Passive targeting

Passive targeting refers to taking advantages of the physiological structural characteristics of tissues and organs to make the carrier produce natural distribution differences in vivo to achieve targeting. Passive targeting mostly depends on the size effect of the drug or its carrier. For example, when intravenously injection is adopted, particles larger than 7 μm are usually trapped by small capillaries in the lungs through mechanical filtration; particles larger than 200 nm are easily consumed by the reticuloendothelial system of the spleen and liver [98]. Therefore, a drug carrier with an appropriate size can be prepared according to the retention characteristics of different target organs for particles of different sizes.

In addition to the particle size, the properties of the particle surface, such as its electronegativity, hydrophobicity, and surface tension, also affect the distribution of drugs. For example, particles with a negative surface charge are easily taken up by the liver, while particles with a positive surface charge are easily taken up by the lungs [99].

4.2 Active targeting

Active targeting mainly refers to giving the drug or its carrier the ability to actively bind to the target, such as by coupling a probe molecule that can specifically bind to the target molecule on the cells; or applying an external field in vitro [100, 101].

Supramolecular action is a promising method in targeting system, which is mainly based on non-covalent bonds, such as hydrogen bonds, electrostatic interactions, π–π interactions, van der Waals interactions [102, 103]. The supramolecular nanovalve switch with adjustable host–guest function can realize the opening of specific lesions, ensuring the targeted delivery
of drugs, and provide the possibility for targeted, reversible and controllable treatment [104, 105]. For example, Guo and co-workers constructed a guanidinium-modified calix[5]arene pentadecyl ether (GC5A-12C) [105], and the photosensitizer (PS) was strongly bonded into it through the noncovalent host–guest interaction. When the carrier encountered a biomarker overexpressed in tumor tissues, the biomarker displaced PS, leading to the release of PS (Fig. 8(c)).

Another commonly used strategy is to modify the ligand on the surface of the carriers, such as antibody, peptide, protein, and small molecules [106]. When the carriers bring the drug into the tissue, the carriers can accumulate on the cell surface in large quantities and cause cell internalization relying on the specific recognition effect between the receptor and the ligand [91, 95, 107–109].

In addition to tumor cell targeting, the antibacterial agent-loaded carrier can also be modified to construct a bacterial-targeted drug delivery system. It is able to use peptidoglycan and other glycolipids on the bacterial cell wall to modify the corresponding ligand on the surface of the carrier, such as N-(2-aminoethyl)-3-aminopropyltrimethoxy-silane (DAMO), poly(propyleneimine) third-generation dendrimer (G3), trehalose. It can specifically recognize bacteria but not human host cells. For example, Yan and co-workers constructed a hollow oblate mesoporous silica (HOMSNs) as a drug delivery system for antimicrobial activities against mycobacteria [110]. Trehalose was used as the targeting ligand and isoniazid as the antibacterial agent. The exhibited enhanced antibacterial activity in vitro contributed to the increased interactions between HOMSNs and mycobacteria in the presence of trehalose. Similarly, some molecules can act as targeting agents for Gram-positive bacteria. Gao and co-workers designed a biodegradable supramolecular material based on cationic polyasparagine derivatives and column[5] aromatic hydrocarbons (CP[5]A) [111]. In order to improve the biocompatibility of Q-PAsp (BDA), CP[5]A was introduced into the polymer antibacterial agent through the supramolecular host–guest method, thereby obtaining a selective targeting of Gram-positive bacteria, and reducing the resistance of antibacterial drugs. Considering heterogeneous HoMS can provide different interfaces for molecule grafting, the multiple active targeting can be realized with heterogeneous HoMS. Then different shells can be targeted to different organelle and increase the curative effect [71].

Another strategy is to apply an appropriate force in vitro. For example, a magnetic substance is introduced into the carrier matrix, under the effect of an external magnetic field, and then the pharmaceutical carrier may be aggregated with blood circulation to tumor tissues. Yue et al. [112] fabricated an iron oxide nanotube for the magnetically targeted paclitaxel (PTX) delivery. Under a condition of external magnetic field, the amount of PTX absorbed by cells increased significantly, and the killing effect of PTX on tumor cells was also improved.

In many studies, active targeting and passive targeting functions work together. For example, in tumor treatment, the passive targeting of enhanced permeability and retention effect (EPR) in mice is used to enrich the drug-loaded particles at the tumor site, and then the modified ligand is utilized to achieve precise release of the drug in the targeted portion [113–115]. Jiang et al. developed a hyaluronic acid (HA)-coated nanogel core–shell structure as a drug carrier, which can be enriched in tumor tissues under the combined effect of the EPR effect and HA active targeting [116].

5 Response

Stimulus-responsive release occurs when certain conditions are triggered, which is consisted of endogenous stimulus and exogenous stimulus. Research on the response of drug delivery systems to endogenous stimulus mainly focuses on the tumor microenvironment (TME), including pH, enzyme, and glutathione (GSH). In addition, the response to the exogenous stimulus also has practical application significance, such as the responsive release to temperature and light.

In terms of the design of a smart responsive drug carrier, there are two aspects to be considered. The first strategy is using materials that are sensitive to pH, enzyme, temperature, and other stimuli. The other is through the functionalization of materials, such as the construction of the interaction between host and guest, which can respond to a specific stimulus, and thus exhibit different release properties (Fig. 9).

5.1 Endogenous stimulus

The endogenous stimulus response mainly refers to the response to the tumor microenvironment. The TME is mainly composed of tumor cells and surrounding tissue cells. The acidic environment in the tumor microenvironment, enzymes, GSH in the cytoplasm and nucleus, etc. can all be used as endogenous stimuli to enable the responsive release of drugs in tumor
triggers the response. As shown in Fig. 10(b), Wang et al. leading to a change in the configuration of the polymer, which bubbles generated by NaHCO₃ punched holes in the PLGA tissues or cells, so as to improve the tissue distribution of drugs, and reduce their side effects of drugs.

5.1.1  Response to pH

pH-responsive nanocarriers can be used for the controlled release of drugs in the weak acid microenvironment of tumors and play an active role in biomedical applications such as targeted release of drugs and targeted therapy of cancer.

Developing an acid-labile nanocarrier is one of the strategies to construct pH-responsive drug delivery [117–120]. For example, poly(propylene, CaCO₃ [121], ZnO [122], MnO [123], MOFs [40] can swell or degrade in an acidic environment, resulting in drug release at the TME. Ke et al. designed a poly(l-lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA) hollow microsphere containing NaHCO₃ [57]. The anti-cancer drug DOX was encapsulated through physical adsorption to avoid a change in the drug activity. Once entered into an acidic environment, the CO₂ bubbles generated by NaHCO₃ punched holes in the PLGA shell to release the drug.

This work changed the driving force through the design of chemical reactions, combined polymer degradation and drug diffusion, and provided a platform for the design of polymer-based drug carriers (Fig. 10(a)). Besides, hydroxyapatite (HAp) materials dissolve when the pH value is smaller than 5, exhibiting acid sensitivity. Weerasuriya and co-workers [124] enclosed anticancer drugs in hollow hydroxyapatite nano-carriers and they found that HAp was completely dissolved at pH 5, which facilitates targeted delivery to tumor tissue.

The realization of a pH-responsive carrier can also be achieved by constructing materials that undergo protonation under acidic conditions. Examples include weakly basic polymers with basic groups such as amino, pyridine, and imidazole groups, or weakly acidic polymers with carboxylic acid groups [125]. These functional groups react as follows under acidic conditions:

\[ X^- + H^+ \rightarrow HX \]

The charge and structure change after the group is protonated, leading to a change in the configuration of the polymer, which triggers the response. As shown in Fig. 10(b), Wang et al. developed a unique composite which coated AuNC/Fe(OH)₃ with PAA to realize a pH-responsive release [126]. PAA can be protonated under acidic conditions, which weakens the electrostatic force between drugs and carriers, inducing the drug release.

5.1.2  Response to glutathione

High concentrations of glutathione in the tumor environment can trigger a redox-responsive release by cleaving the disulfide bond, which is between the carrier and the drug molecule.

In response to reduced glutathione, the following reaction occurs:

\[ S-S + GSH \rightarrow 2SH + GSSG \]

GSH acts as a reducing agent to break the disulfide bond (SS), generating oxidized glutathione (GSSG) by itself, and reducing the disulfide bond to thiol group (–SH).

A redox-responsive drug delivery system can be obtained through the construct of a disulfide bond [127]. Generally, the conjugate is coated on the surface of the carrier, or used as a gatekeeper to lock the pores. Commonly used disulfide bonding-forming conjugates include cyclodextrin (CD) [128–130], poly(b-amino esters) (PAE) [131], chitosan (CS) [132], and transferrin [133]. Silicon particles are commonly used framework materials for preparing responsive carriers. Lu et al. [128] developed a hollow mesoporous organosilica nanoparticle, and α-cyclodextrin (α-CD) was chosen as the capping molecule. The DOX loading capacity reached to 1,060 mg·g⁻¹ owing to the hollow cavity, and the redox-responsive release was observed owing to the breaking of the S–S in the thioether group (Fig. 11(a)).

Shi and co-workers constructed a redox-responsive gene release by the decoration of PAE via a disulfide linker on the large pore-sized hollow mesoporous organosilica [131], which was applied to co-deliver siRNA and DOX. Antitumor effect exhibits the siRNA/DOX@HMONs-ss-PAE group has the highest antitumor activity with only 7.61% of tumor volume, owing to the controlled co-delivery of siRNA and DOX in the tumor microenvironment with high GSH concentration (Fig. 11(b)).

GSH-response improved the release amount and treatment efficiency of anti-tumor drugs. Moghaddam et al. [134] reported a redox-responsive release of DOX from a hollow mesoporous sphere. As a result, 60% of DOX was released in two weeks with the existence of 10 mM GSH, while only 14% was released without GSH. In addition to a single GSH response, a triple-responsive (pH, reduction, and light) hollow mesoporous silica nanocarrier fabricated by Zhao et al. [135] indicated greater potential for controlled release of drugs and disease treatment in complex pathological environments.

5.1.3  Response to enzymes

Enzyme substrate fragments are coupled to the host material through covalent bonds, hydrophobic interactions or electrostatic interactions to give the nanocarrier enzyme-sensitive properties. The expression levels of some kinds of enzymes are related to the occurrence and development of tumors, such as metalloprotease, cathepsin B, hyaluronidase, secretory phospholipase, alkaline phosphatase, prostate antigen, oxidoreductase, α-amylase and γ-glutamyl transferase. The release of the drug depends on the specific binding of the enzyme (E) to the substrate (S):

\[ E + S \rightarrow E-S \]

Surface functionalized hollow mesoporous silica was often used as an enzyme-induced drug carrier. As shown in Fig. 12(a), Zhu and co-workers combined the hollow mesoporous silica with enzyme-degradable poly(L-lysine) to construct an enzyme-
triggered drug and gene co-delivery system. The in vitro release behavior indicated that the release rate of fluorescein and cytosine–phosphodiester–guanine oligodeoxynucleotide can be controlled by changing the concentration of the enzyme [136].

In the TME, the expression and activity of enzymes are significantly different from the outside. Using an enzyme responding to control drug release can improve therapeutic efficiency and reduce damage to the human body. α-CD can be enzymatically hydrolyzed by α-amylase, which consequently is used as a gatekeeper of enzyme-responsive drug carriers [137].

Another example is modifying the hollow carbon nitride nanosphere (GHCS) with polysaccharide hyaluronic acid, and then using it as a drug carrier for photochemical internalization. HA can be degraded into fragments by intracellular lysosomal hyaluronidase (Hyal) to enable cargo release, thereby achieving an enzyme-triggered drug release (Fig. 12(b)) [138].

5.2 Exogenous stimulus
In addition to the endogenous stimuli brought by the TME, external environmental stimuli including light and temperature can also coordinate tumor therapy.
5.2.1 Response to near-infrared (NIR)

According to different wavelengths of the light, the response should be part to ultraviolet (UV), visible, or NIR. Among them, near-infrared light is easier to penetrate the skin and tissues, then reach the tumor. Therefore, NIR light-responsive nanomaterials possess potential for applications in nanomedicine and phototherapy.

Carbon [139, 140], gold [141], and upconversion nanoparticles (UCNPs) [142] were often utilized in NIR responsive drug carriers owing to their NIR absorption capacity. Lee and co-workers [139] fabricated the hollow mesoporous silica/carbon nanoparticles as a DOX carrier. With efficient NIR photothermal conversion and high DOX loading capacity, the polyethylene glycol-Si/C-DOX NPs are demonstrated to have remarkable cancer-cell killing ability via combinational chemotherapeutic therapy (Fig. 13(a)). UCNPs can convert NIR light into different wavelengths of light in UV and visible regions and can avoid related damage to the tissue from direct UV irradiation [143]. A yolk–shell NaYF₄:Yb,Tm@NaLuF₄ UCNPs was synthesized by Li et al. [144] and was then used as a carrier for anti-cancer drug chlorambucil. A high loading capacity of 49% was realized, along with a high photolysis efficiency of 88%, and the drug can only be triggered by photolysis without pre-released in living animal tissues (Fig. 13(b)).

5.2.2 Response to temperature

Temperature-sensitive drug carriers are commonly found in temperature-sensitive hydrogels, such as poly(N-isopropyl acrylamide) (PNIPAM) and its complexes [145–149]. This type of hydrogel has a certain proportion of hydrophilic and hydrophobic groups. A change in temperature can affect the intramolecular and intermolecular interactions of these groups with water, thereby changing the network structure of the hydrogel.
Regarding the source of heat, in addition to photo-thermal, magnetothermal also matters. Song et al. used mPEG-b-PHEP micelles as nano-carriers, encapsulating magnetic nanocubes and tumor-killing drug emodin, to achieve magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)-guided combined treatment of malignant tumors with magnetic resonance imaging [150]. Under magnetothermal stimulus, the chemotherapeutic drug emodin can be rapidly released from the viscous PHEP core of micelles, and its release rate is significantly better than that of traditional micelles with polylactic acid as the core, which improves the treatment efficiency significantly.

Zhao et al. developed a smart nanocarrier, where the hollow gold nanosphere was used as the drug reservoir as well as photothermal conversion agent, and the surface was modified with a temperature-sensitive polymer and AS1411 aptamer, so as to achieve light and heat-oriented cell uptake and treatment [149]. The polymer undergoes a phase transition at lower critical solution temperature (LCST), from a swollen hydrated state to a shrunken hydrophobic state, allowing cell entry in a controlled manner.

Aside from light and temperature, factors such as pH, humidity, and bacterial concentration in the ecological environment will also bring about the responsive release of guests [151]. And the HoMS system can be endowed with multiple responses no matter the endogenous or the exogenous stimulus, thus bringing a bright future for smart drug release.

6 Release

With progress in pharmaceutical technology, other sophisticated controlled-release platforms are being developed. Carriers with controlled delivery of drugs can overcome problems caused by conventional free drugs, including poor solubility, limited stability, rapid clearing, and in particular, lack of selectivity. Hollow material has become an important research direction over past decades owing to their great application potentials in fields like bio-imaging, controlled release, tumor therapy, and tissue engineering.

6.1 Single mode release

Release kinetics are divided into zero order release, first order release, the Higuchi model, etc. [152–154]. Zero-order release is
a constant-rate release, usually observed in oral, transdermal drug delivery [155]. The first-order means that the release concentration is proportional to the release rate, and it is suitable for the case where the drug is evenly distributed in the carrier. The Higuchi model is that the release amount is proportional to the 1/2 power of time, which is suitable for the case where the drug is unevenly distributed on the carrier.

According to the plasma concentration, drugs can be classified into ordinary preparation, sustained-release drugs, and controlled-release drugs [156]. Ordinary preparation is a rapid decrease in blood drug concentration, which requires multiple administrations; the sustained release drug is a preparation that slowly releases the drug at a non-constant rate, and the drug concentration decreases slowly with time. Controlled release drug refers to the release of the drugs regularly and quantitatively, keeping the plasma drug concentration within a constant range.

### 6.2 Multi-level release

In the aspect of plasma concentration control and ecological management, it is necessary for drug concentration to be environmentally adaptable, that is, to be able to adjust the dose as needed. As a result, multi-level release plays an important role. Multi-level release refers to that the drug delivery through carriers that can be divided into multiple stages (≥ 2) with different rates. The driving force of multi-stage release is the concentration gradient that is jointly affected by physical barriers and chemical diffusion, no matter the continuous or the stimulus response release stage.

Lu and co-workers [157] constructed the yolk-shell nanoparticles as a multi-stage release platform for ibuprofen. In the first 1 h, drug molecules absorbed on the surface were quickly released, and reached to the plateau in the following 5 h. In the second stage, the release reached another plateau and releasing 63% of loaded drugs. In the third stage, molecules absorbed in the pores were released more slowly, and finally arrived at 75%.

The complex environment puts forward higher requirements for the adaptability of drug carriers. During the sterilization, an ideal antibacterial system needs to meet the following requirements: (1) the rapid release of antibiotics to the environment at the concentration required for bacteriostatic treatment or sterilization; (2) maintenance of this concentration for a long time to prevent bacterial growth; (3) autodetection of foreign pathogens and self-release of the reserved antibiotics.

Zhao et al. [76] used hollow multi-shelled TiO₂ as a drug carrier and antibacterial agent methylisothiazolinone (MIT) as a model drug. MIT molecules adsorbed in the outer surface can be discharged quickly as the weaker driving force required, thus bringing out the bactericidal concentration. Subsequently, the π-π stacked drug molecules in the HoMS' cavity slowly desorb and diffuse into the environment, resulting in long-term sustained release, which is conducive to bioclean surroundings for a long period of time. More importantly, when pathogens are added into the environments, HoMSs can release the stored drugs timely, thereby killing the bacteria. The difference in the adsorption characteristics of different spatial parts of HoMSs and the multi-level physical barrier effects of the shells, make the molecules in different adsorption states and adsorption sites sequentially release at different time.

Self-decomposable materials are one type of carrier with multi-level performance. Li’s group worked on the design of SiO₂-based self-decomposable nanoparticles as effective drug carriers [83, 158, 159]. In these works, the release of drug molecules was accompanied with the carrier decomposition, forming hollow spheres gradually until SiO₂ was completely degraded.

### 6.3 Sequential release

In more complex pathological situations, a combination of multiple drugs is required, and the delivery of different drugs has specific requirements regarding spatial and temporal ordering [160]. The design of sequential release carriers provides a solution to this problem.

Currently, there are three challenges in constructing a multi-drug sequential release carrier: (1) The chemical reaction among multiple drugs needs to be avoided when they are applied; (2) the drug loading capacity should satisfy the required amount of various drugs; (3) the release order of different drugs needs to be controlled. In order to address these issues, the current research mainly focuses on establishing multi-space drug carriers, separating different drugs in different physical spaces; adopting different loading methods and different adsorption modes according to the characteristics of the carriers and drugs; applying different release driving forces to programmatically control the sequential release of drugs.

Hollow structures provide a platform for sequential drug release. A direct approach to realize the sequential release is loading different drugs into distinct spatial positions of the carrier. In the absence of external environmental stimuli, the concentration gradient as the only driving force will bring a temporal-staggered release, and the release rates of the two guest molecules are different, although the overlap releasing of drugs is inevitable sometimes [161–164]. Dou et al. obtained an O-carboxymethyl chitosan microspheres (O-CMCS) hydroxyapatite collagen scaffold [164]. Two kinds of growth factor related to bone regeneration, bone morphogenetic protein-2 (BMP-2) and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), were loaded into O-CMCS and hydroxyapatite collagen, respectively. The release behavior of these two different loads was studied. Results presented that the VEGF stored externally burst out significantly, reaching 75.45% in the first day, while 16.2% of the BMP-2 molecules stored internally were released over the same duration.

If different stimuli are applied to the two parts, the difference in spatial position combined with the response to the environmental stimulus will work together to drive a more controllable sequential release [165, 166]. For example, Cong et al. synthesized a hybrid dual-responsive microcontainer, in which the pH-responsive polymer microspheres were loaded with 5(6)-carboxyfluorescein diacetate (CFDA) [165]. Then stellate mesopore silica nanoparticles grafted with temperature-sensitive poly(N-isopropylacrylamide) (PNIPAM) were used as the carriers for Nile red, and they were modified on the exterior surfaces of CFDA contained polymer microspheres by Pickering emulsion method. When the temperature rose above the LCST temperature of PNIPAM, Nile red was released; while the pH dropped to 4, CFDA in the polymer microspheres were released (Fig. 14(a)). Similarly, Xu et al. constructed an organic core–shell structure of PS(P2VP-b-PAA); copolymer@PNIPAM [166]. The inner core was loaded with hydrophilic Fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC), and the outer shell was loaded with hydrophobic Nile red. By in situ loading, the co-loading of hydrophilic and hydrophobic drugs was realized. The multi-drug system also has the ability to respond to multiple stimuli. The configuration of the outer polymer chain was affected by temperature variations, thereby stimulating the release of the Nile red, while the lower pH can stimulate the release of FITC by modifying the structure of the polymer, so as to achieve programmatic and controllable sequential release (Fig. 14(b)).
7 Conclusion and prospect

As participants in the drug delivery system, the hollow structures possess great advantages: Hollow cavities and shells contribute to large loading capacity; a tailored structure helps control the release rate; variable compounds adapt to flexible application; surface modification facilitates smart responsive release. This review has concluded the three processes of drug delivery system, which are recognition, response and release, determining by the driving force and the molecular interaction. Therefore, precise control on the carrier’s structure is crucially important. Although many achievements have been made in related research, more efforts are still necessary in the material design for advanced drug delivery systems.

First of all, in terms of synthesis, precise synthesis for hollow structures with specific structures is necessary. Especially in case of multi-level drug carriers, the pores, internal cavity structure, and surface regulation need be precisely controlled. We can expect a heterogeneous HoMS with different interlayer compositions or HoMS with multi-cores can achieve multi-level and sequential drug delivery easily. For example, a heterogeneous HoMS can provide various interfaces and spaces, and then divide the drug molecules in the physical space. By adjusting the dynamic induced by a physical barrier and chemical interaction caused by multiple shells, the load and sequential release of multiple drugs can be achieved, which has great potential for application in combination therapy. Furthermore, if solid particles can be assembled into hollow structures when entering the body, they will greatly promote the development of modern medicine.

Secondly, research on smart and sequential release drug delivery systems is just started. We can expect the carriers can automatically find the target and release the drug at the corresponding location according to environmental factors such as pH difference and concentration difference, which are the goals of self-responsive and self-motive smart carriers. Considering HoMS has the great potential in sequential drug delivery, what we should take account into is how to reveal the unique property of HoMS by rational design to realize a better therapeutic effect. This is not only to improve patient tolerance clinically, but also to address the scientific issues of spatiotemporal ordering. These require researchers to have a more in-depth and precise understanding of the release mechanism and integrate the previous release principles. We are confident that hollow nanostructures will have expansion applications in drug delivery in the near future.
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