Underlying reasons for post-mortem diagnosed lung cancer cases – A robust retrospective comparative study from Hungary (HULC study)
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Objective: The Hungarian Undiagnosed Lung Cancer (HULC) study aimed to explore the potential reasons for missed LC (lung cancer) diagnosis by comparing healthcare and socio-economic data among patients with post-mortem diagnosed LC with those who were diagnosed with LC during their lives.
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**Methods:** This nationwide, retrospective study used the databases of the Hungarian Central Statistical Office (HCSO) and National Health Insurance Fund (NHIF) to identify patients who died between January 1, 2019 and December 31, 2019 and were diagnosed with lung cancer post-mortem (population A) or during their lifetime (population B). Patient characteristics, socio-economic factors, and healthcare resource utilization (HCRU) data were compared between the diagnosed and undiagnosed patient population.

**Results:** During the study period, 8,435 patients were identified from the HCSO database with LC as the cause of death, of whom 1,203 (14.24%) had no LC-related ICD (International Classification of Diseases) code records in the NHIF database during their lives (post-mortem diagnosed LC population). Post-mortem diagnosed LC patients were significantly older than patients diagnosed while still alive (mean age 71.20 vs. 68.69 years, p<0.001), with a more pronounced age difference among female patients (difference: 4.57 years, p<0.001), and had significantly fewer GP (General Practitioner) and specialist visits, X-ray and CT scans within 7 to 24 months and 6 months before death, although the differences in GP and specialist visits within 7–24 months did not seem clinically relevant. Patients diagnosed with LC while still alive were more likely to be married (47.62% vs. 33.49%), had higher educational attainment, and had more children, than patients diagnosed with LC post-mortem.

**Conclusions:** Post-mortem diagnosed lung cancer accounts for 14.24% of total lung cancer mortality in Hungary. This study provides valuable insights into patient characteristics, socio-economic factors, and HCRU data potentially associated with a high risk of lung cancer misdiagnosis.
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**Introduction**

Every year, 2.1 million people are newly diagnosed with lung cancer (LC) and 1.8 million people die of LC worldwide, making it the most frequently diagnosed cancer and the leading cause of cancer-related mortality (1). Historically, Hungary has always been positioned at the top of LC incidence ranking among European countries (2, 3). However, a number of recent epidemiological studies have consistently reported lower incidence and mortality rates of LC for Hungary, than previous publications (4, 5). These differences may be attributed to differences in data collection methodology and at least partly to the relatively high autopsy rate for hospital deaths in Hungary which leads to a higher likelihood of diagnosing post-mortem LC, than in other countries with lower autopsy rates (4, 6). This hypothesis is supported by several studies which suggest that post-mortem diagnosed LC may account for a clinically relevant proportion of all LC cases (7–10).

McFarlane et al. conducted a review of post-mortem reports at a university hospital and found that 28% of primary lung cancers detected during autopsy had not been diagnosed while the patient was still alive (7). A Hungarian study based on 2,000 autopsies performed at two university hospitals found that 59% of LC cases were newly detected during autopsy, raising attention to the common misdiagnosis of the disease (9). In 2000, Egerváry et al. examined 250 consecutive autopsies in a specialized pulmonology center in Hungary and found that the false negative rate for LC diagnosis was 9%, however, Marel et al. reported a much higher proportion of undiagnosed LC (44%) in a 2-year study comparing autopsy findings with clinical data in 2015 (8, 10).

Although significant improvements in diagnostic accuracy have likely resulted in a decrease in the rate of LC misdiagnosis since early studies, more recent reports suggest that there is still room for improvement in this field and highlight the need for the identification of factors leading to LC misdiagnosis. In
Hungary, the comprehensive database of the National Health Insurance Fund Administration (NHIF) contains data from all patients who are diagnosed with and die of LC, while the database of the Hungarian Central Statistical Office (HCSO) contains cause-specific mortality data for all cancer types including LC based on medical certificates of death. Comparing the two databases allows for the identification of post-mortem diagnosed LC cases and the exploration of factors leading to LC misdiagnosis.

Therefore, the aim of the Hungarian Undiagnosed Lung Cancer (HULC) study was to explore the potential reasons for missed LC diagnoses by comparing healthcare and socioeconomic data of patients with post-mortem diagnosed LC and those who were diagnosed with LC during their lives.

Materials and methods

This retrospective, longitudinal study was based on the NHIF and HCSO databases. The NHIF is a nationwide health insurance system which covers almost 100% of the Hungarian population and collects specific information regarding all in- and outpatient visits, including patients’ ID and ICD-10 codes, as well as prescriptions of reimbursed drugs. Furthermore, the NHIF database records the exact dates of all interventions as well as their recorded ICD-10 codes. The HCSO is a professionally independent, self-managed government office which collects mortality data covering 100% of the Hungarian population. Medical certificates of death are collected from hospitals, general practitioners (GPs), and autopsy departments. Hungarian physicians are the only ones entitled to determining the cause of death, as registered in the HCSO database. HCSO finally defines the underlying cause of death based on WHO guidelines.

The current analysis included patients with a diagnosis of LC (ICD-10 code: C34) who died between January 1, 2019, and December 31, 2019. LC was defined as follows: (i) patients fulfilling the inclusion criteria in the NHIF database and having C34 in the HCSO database constituted the diagnosed and deceased LC population (population B); (ii) patients without C34 codes in the NHIF database who had LC as the cause of death in the HCSO database were considered undiagnosed and deceased LC patients (post-mortem diagnosed LC, population A). Consequently, the undiagnosed LC population was identified solely from the HCSO database. Despite having no ICD-10 C34 code records in the NHIF database, these patients had NHIF data regarding healthcare recourse use, allowing for the evaluation of healthcare interventions 2 years before the time of death.

The following patient ID-based information was collected from the NHIF: number of physician visits (GP and specialist visits), days of hospitalization, number of chest X-rays and chest CTs. We gained the following information from HCSO databases: marital status, number of children at the time of death, the size of the settlement where the deceased person lived at the time of death, educational status, age, sex, and the type of physician identifying the cause of death.

Patient socioeconomic characteristics and healthcare resource utilization data were compared between the diagnosed and deceased LC population and the undiagnosed and deceased population (post-mortem diagnosed). The percentages of patients having GP or specialist visits or hospitalizations, X-ray, or CT diagnoses within 6 months and 7–24 months before death were also compared between the two groups. Furthermore, we examined the differences in annual GP and specialist visits per capita, the annual number of X-ray or CT diagnoses, and mean days of hospitalization also separately within 6 months and 7–24 months before death. Marital status, number of children at the time of death, educational status, and the size of the settlement and main Hungarian region where the deceased person lived at the time of death were also compared.

The mean age at death, the mean annual number of GP visits, specialist visits, hospital days, X-ray and CT examinations were compared by independent samples t-test. The different aspects of the socio-economic status were compared by Pearson’s chi-squared test. About 920 diagnosed lung cancer patients had no C34 record as the cause of death in the HCSO database, therefore, their socio-economic parameters were not available for our analysis.

All calculations were performed with R version 3.5.2 (20/12/2018). The protocol of our study was approved by the National Ethical Board for Health Research (IV/3047-3/2021/EKU).

Results

Between January 1, 2019 and December 31, 2019, altogether 8,435 patients were identified from the HCSO database with LC as the underlying cause of death selected for statistical disclosure, of whom 1,203 (14.24%) had no LC-related ICD code records in...
the NHIF database (post-mortem diagnosed LC population). In this post-mortem diagnosed LC population, the diagnosis of LC as the main cause of death was established by a pathologist in 842 cases, and LC-related death was reported by other physicians in 361 cases. Only patients with LC as the main cause of death established by a pathologist following autopsy (post-mortem identified LC) were included in further analyses. This patient population was compared to patients who died in 2019 and had LC-related ICD code records in the NHIF database (n=7,545) between 2009 and the time of death (LC diagnosed during life) cases (Figure 1).

The mean age of patients with a post-mortem diagnosis of LC was 71.20 years; 69.59 years among males and 73.77 years among females, all significantly higher than the mean age of patients who were diagnosed with LC during their lives (68.69, 68.33, and 69.20 years, respectively, and p<0.001, p=0.006, and p=0.001, respectively). In the post-mortem diagnosed LC population (population A), 63.27% of females were aged ≥70 years, compared to only 42.66% in population B. There was no relevant difference in the distribution of sex between the two evaluated populations; the proportion of men was 61.52% in the post-mortem diagnosed LC population and 59.36% among those who were diagnosed during their lifetime (Table 1).

Figure 2 shows the mean annual number of GP visits, specialist visits, and hospital days within 7–24 and within 6 months before the time of death in the post-mortem diagnosed (population A) and in the diagnosed and deceased LC population (population B). The majority of post-mortem diagnosed patients had GP visits, specialist visits, or hospitalizations within 24 months before the time of death (92.4%, 92.6%, and 94.4%, respectively).

The mean annual number of GP visits 7–24 months before death was significantly higher among patients who were diagnosed with LC during their lives compared to post-mortem diagnosed LC patients (10.63 vs. 13.75, respectively, p<0.001) (Supplementary Table 1). Corresponding numbers within 6 months before death were 15.06 and 21.49 in population A and population B, respectively (p<0.001). The mean annual number of specialist visits was also significantly higher in population B vs. population A both within 7–24 months (19.20 vs. 9.18, p<0.001) and within 6 months before death (30.13 vs. 16.89, p<0.001). The mean annual number of hospital inpatient days was higher but not statistically significantly among diagnosed and deceased LC patients vs. post-mortem diagnosed LC patients within 7–24 months (23.00 vs. 20.63, p=0.094), and significantly higher within 6 months before death (70.11 vs. 55.55 days, p<0.001) (Figure 2).

Within 24 months prior to the time of death, 66.0% of post-mortem diagnosed LC patients underwent X-ray examinations and 74.2% had CT scans (any types), compared to 67.0% and 74.6% in patients who were diagnosed during their lives. Within

---

**TABLE 1 Patient characteristics of the study population.**

|                        | Post-mortem diagnosed deceased patients (A) | Diagnosed lung cancer patients (B) |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
|                        | Male           | Female          | Total | Male      | Female         | Total |
| No of patients         | 518            | 324             | 842   | 4,479    | 3,066          | 7,545 |
| Mean age (SD)          | 69.59 (±10.01) | 73.77 (±10.58)  | 71.20 (±10.43) | 68.33 (±8.96) | 69.20 (±9.59) | 68.69 (±9.23) |
| Difference A vs B (year, 95%CI; p -value) | 1.26 (0.36-2.16; p=0.006) | 4.97 (3.37-5.78; p<0.001) | 2.51 (1.78-3.25; p<0.001) | 124 (7.99-1.87%); 195 | 3.22 (4.09-14.78%); 135 |
| Distribution of age cohorts (% of total) | 20-50 | 15 | 2.90% | 3 | 0.93% | 18 | 2.14% | 124 | 2.77% | 71 | 2.32% | 195 | 2.58% |
|                        | 51-60 | 73 | 14.09% | 33 | 10.19% | 106 | 12.59% | 661 | 14.76% | 461 | 15.04% | 1,122 | 14.87% |
|                        | 61-70 | 211 | 40.73% | 83 | 25.62% | 294 | 34.92% | 1,938 | 43.27% | 1,226 | 39.99% | 3,164 | 41.94% |
|                        | 70<   | 219 | 42.28% | 205 | 63.27% | 424 | 50.36% | 1,756 | 39.21% | 1,308 | 39.66% | 3,064 | 40.61% |
7 to 24 months before death, the mean annual number of X-ray examinations was 0.44 in the post-mortem diagnosed LC population and 1.37 among those who were diagnosed with LC during their lives; the difference was significant (p<0.001) (Figure 3; Supplementary Table 1).

Within 6 months before death, the mean annual number of X-ray examinations was 1.65 and 2.78 in population A and population B, respectively (p<0.001). The mean annual number of chest CT scans was also significantly higher in population B vs. population A both within 7–24 months (0.90 vs. 0.14, p<0.001) and within 6 months before death (1.90 vs. 1.01, p<0.001).

As for the socio-economic analysis, the results showed that the percentage of married patients was significantly lower in the post-mortem diagnosed LC population compared to those diagnosed with LC during their lives (33.49% vs. 47.62%, respectively) (Table 2). In the post-mortem diagnosed LC population, 23.72% of patients were divorced, 12.28% were single, and 30.51% were widowed at the time of death, compared to 20.40%, 8.81%, and 23.17% among patients...
diagnosed with LC during their lives (p<0.001 for all parameters). Patients diagnosed with LC post-mortem were significantly more likely to be less educated: 53.27% of population A patients had only primary education (0–8 classes of elementary school), versus 41.94% of patients constituting population B (p<0.001). Post-mortem diagnosed LC patients had fewer children at the time of death: 44.15% had no child (vs. 31.67% in population B, p<0.001), 16.59% had only one child, and 26.46% had 2 children. There was no significant difference between population A and B in terms of settlement size (p=0.485).

Discussion

The nationwide, retrospective HULC study provided a unique opportunity to explore the underlying reasons for the significant proportion of post-mortem diagnosed LC patients in the whole LC patient population and to identify potential drivers leading to the delayed diagnosis of such a severe condition.

Significant cross-country differences between autopsy rates limit the international comparison of mortality data. Discrepancies in LC mortality rates reported for Hungary by different studies highlight the importance of conducting analyses which take into account the impact of missed LC diagnoses to allow for the appropriate interpretation of comparative data. As described later in the discussion, the autopsy rate for all deaths has been outstandingly high in Hungary over the past few decades compared to other European countries (35.2% in Hungary vs 12.1% as EU average), as shown by the European Health Information Gateway (6). During autopsy, there is a significant chance for the detection of previously undiagnosed LC. A study in the Czech Republic found a 44% higher incidence of LC in autopsy reports compared to clinical settings (8), and other publications also reported a 11% to 28% higher incidence of cancer in autopsy reports than those reported by clinicians (7, 11). Previous Hungarian studies also found that a significant proportion of LC was only diagnosed post-mortem (9). At the Semmelweis University of Budapest, among patients who died in hospital and underwent autopsy, 59% of lung cancers detected during autopsy were previously undiagnosed and 50% of lung

| Table 2 Socio-economic status of Hungarian post-mortem diagnosed LC patients and patients who were and diagnosed while still alive. |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| **Main Hungarian regions**      | **Number of patients** | **% of population** | **Chi-square** |
| Total                           | Post mortem (A) | Diagnosed (B) | Post mortem (A) | Diagnosed (B) |  |
| **Marital status**              |                 |                 |                 |                 |  |
| Divorced                        | 199             | 1,346           | 23.72%          | 20.40%          | p<0.001  |
| Single, unmarried               | 103             | 581             | 12.28%          | 8.81%           |  |
| Married                         | 281             | 3,142           | 33.49%          | 47.62%          |  |
| Widow                           | 256             | 1,529           | 30.51%          | 23.17%          |  |
| Total identified                | 839             | 6,598           | 99.64%          | 87.45%          |  |
| **Education**                   |                 |                 |                 |                 |  |
| Base education - 0-8 classes    | 416             | 2656            | 53.27%          | 41.94%          | p<0.001  |
| Secondary school                | 300             | 3010            | 38.41%          | 47.53%          |  |
| High school                     | 65              | 667             | 8.32%           | 10.53%          |  |
| Total identified                | 781             | 6,333           | 92.76%          | 83.94%          |  |
| **Number of children**          |                 |                 |                 |                 |  |
| 0                               | 362             | 2043            | 44.15%          | 31.67%          | p<0.001  |
| 1                               | 136             | 1190            | 16.59%          | 18.45%          |  |
| 2                               | 217             | 2205            | 26.46%          | 34.19%          |  |
| 3+                              | 105             | 1,012           | 12.80%          | 15.69%          |  |
| Total identified                | 820             | 6,450           | 97.39%          | 85.49%          |  |
| **Size of settlement**          |                 |                 |                 |                 |  |
| 1 - 9,999                       | 354             | 2782            | 42.09%          | 42.02%          | p=0.485  |
| 10,000 - 49,999                 | 184             | 1590            | 21.88%          | 24.01%          |  |
| 50,000 -                        | 147             | 1111            | 17.48%          | 16.78%          |  |
| Budapest                        | 156             | 1,138           | 18.55%          | 17.19%          |  |
| Total identified                | 841             | 6,621           | 99.88%          | 87.75%          |  |

LC, lung cancer.

Bold value: total identified.
cancers diagnosed during patients’ lives were not confirmed by autopsy (9). In a study conducted at the specialized National Korányi Institute of Pulmonology, post-mortem diagnosed lung cancer only accounted for 9% of all lung cancer cases, however, the authors estimated that the false negative rate for the pre-autopsy diagnosis of primary lung cancer was as high as 56% (10). A recent study suggests that lung cancer has the highest diagnostic error rates among cancer types (22.5% vs. 2.4% for prostate cancer), which may be attributed to lower-than-recommended screening rates despite public health efforts and resulting delays in diagnosis (12).

The higher the autopsy rate in a country, the higher the chance to detect previously undiagnosed lung cancer. Autopsy rate for all deaths has been outstandingly high in Hungary since 1990, when it was more than double of the mean European Union member (51.0% vs. 22.2%). During the mid-90s and early 2000s, the difference decreased, then in 2019 it was three times higher in Hungary than the European Union average (35.2% vs. 12.1%). While the autopsy rate has been decreasing in most European countries, it remains high in Hungary (6) (Figure 4). The reason for the high autopsy rate in Hungary is partly a tradition and partly the fact that according to Hungarian law (351/2013. [X. 4.]), autopsy is obligatory for all patients deceased in healthcare facilities unless there are acceptable moral, religious or other grounds for objection. The high rate of autopsy in Hungary, together with a nationwide health insurance database open for research purposes, provides a unique opportunity to investigate the underlying reasons for the missed diagnosis of lung cancer. Connecting the HCSO database, which collects data on the cause of death from hospitals, GPs, and Departments of Pathology, with the NHIF database, which records all healthcare data from almost 100% of the Hungarian population, allowed for the identification of lung cancer patients who were diagnosed post-mortem. We found that post-mortem diagnosed lung cancer accounted for a significant proportion of all lung cancer-related deaths reported for the WHO: 14.24% of patients with lung cancer as the main cause of death had no lung cancer-related ICD code records in the NHIF database, meaning that they had not been diagnosed with lung cancer during their lifetime. Most European countries have considerably lower autopsy rates, than Hungary, which corresponds to a much lower chance of detecting lung cancer post-mortem. Therefore, we examined age-standardized rates of lung cancer mortality in Hungary in 2019 after deducting post-mortem diagnosed lung cancer cases to allow for a better cross-country comparison of mortality rates. Age-standardized mortality was found to be 70.95/100,000 persons in men and 36.54/100,000 persons in women in 2019 using the European Standard Population 1976, which are still among the highest in Europe based on Ferlay’s report from 2018 (3), and partially contradicts our previous estimates (4, 13), which also could be explained by the more restrictive definition of lung cancer. We can conclude that although Hungarian lung cancer mortality rates have been overestimated in cross-country comparisons, but lung cancer still represents a significant burden in Hungary, accounting for one quarter of cancer mortality. These findings underline the importance of developing and implementing more efficient lung cancer management strategies in Hungary in the upcoming years.

Of 8,435 cause-specific deaths in 2019, 842 cases of LC were diagnosed post-mortem by a pathologist. Considering that the autopsy rate of hospital deaths was 35.2% in 2019, we can assume that the actual number of missed LC diagnoses may be even higher. Applying the methodology of the Czech study mentioned before
8,424 post-mortem diagnosed cases with a 35.2% autopsy rate may correspond to 2,392 undiagnosed LC cases annually, resulting in 9,985 cause-specific deaths per year, much higher than the 8,435 deaths registered in our database. However, this extrapolation may have serious limitations as the suspicion of LC may have increased the likelihood of autopsy in patients who were admitted to the hospital in late stages of the disease.

Based on our results, more patients die of undiagnosed lung cancer, than the number of patients dying of hepatocellular or bladder cancer in Hungary, which demonstrates the magnitude of the problem. It is therefore crucial to understand the potential factors that may lead to lung cancer misdiagnosis. In our study, post-mortem diagnosed lung cancer patients were significantly older, than patients who were diagnosed with lung cancer during their lives, especially among female patients. Older age and female sex increase the risk of lung cancer misdiagnosis, while the risk of developing cancer including lung cancer also increases with age (5, 14). Limited data are available on age-dependent rates of cancer misdiagnosis, however, several studies have demonstrated age-dependent delays in the lung cancer patient pathway, which may eventually lead to an increase in the risk of cancer misdiagnosis with advancing age (15). In addition, a recent editorial report from the UK investigated uncertainties faced by GPs about the initiation of cancer diagnostic procedures for patients who are older or frail, have a worse quality of life or comorbidities (16). The report highlights that although the risk of cancer increases with age (especially over the age of 75), elderly patients usually do not qualify for national screening programs due to the upper age limit, which decreases their chance for an accurate diagnosis. Furthermore, elderly patients may have a lower awareness of cancer-related symptoms and are less likely to be referred to specialists due to their quality of life, comorbidities, and the perceived burden of potentially complex investigations, which, together with the increased risk of cancer, may partly explain the high number of missed LC diagnoses found in our study.

In our study, a higher level of education was associated with a lower risk of post-mortem lung cancer diagnosis. The awareness of cancer risk, the recognition of cancer-related symptoms, and the willingness to seek help for early diagnosis are higher among people with higher education levels. A recent study conducted in the U.S. showed that the perceived lifetime risk of pancreatic cancer was significantly higher among people with high school education compared to those with lower educational attainment, leading to a higher chance of cancer detection (17). A recent Hungarian study identified education level as one of the most relevant factors leading to delays in the recognition of testicular cancer-related symptoms and found better survival rates among patients with higher levels of education (18). In a robust Danish study, the risk of advanced-stage lung cancer was lower in people with higher education levels, but higher in people living alone. In addition, higher levels of education were associated with fewer delays between referral and diagnosis and resulted in a higher proportion of early-stage diagnoses (19). These findings suggest that low educational attainment may be associated with delayed cancer diagnosis and a higher proportion of post-mortem detected cases, which is confirmed by our current study. Therefore, strategies for the timely mobilization of potentially high-risk lung cancer patients should involve more focus on populations with lower levels of education and individuals living in social isolation.

In our HULC study, marital status and the number of children were also associated with the risk of lung cancer misdiagnosis. Our findings show that married people and those with a higher number of children had a lower risk of lung cancer misdiagnosis, which is in line with previous findings. A recent meta-analysis confirmed the important role of marital status on the early detection of cancer by the higher odds for late-stage breast cancer and malignant melanoma among unmarried people (20). The results highlight the importance of reducing health disparities in the unmarried population. A meta-analysis assessing the impact of socio-economic factors on stage at diagnosis and survival of female patients with gynecological cancers found a 28% increased risk of late-stage diagnosis and a 20% higher risk of death among unmarried female cancer patients, confirming the significant protective effect of marriage against mortality associated with gynecological cancers (21). The protective effect of marriage may be explained by lifestyle and health-related behaviors, as close partners may recognize cancer-related symptoms in time and encourage their spouse to participate in screening or seek medical advice (22). Marriage may also reduce risk-taking behavior, increase the chance of optimal diet and exercise, offer protective benefit through family assistance and care, and provide better social support through an extended family network (20, 23, 24). The Danish registry-based study mentioned above also showed an increased risk of advanced-stage lung cancer in people living alone (19). Marital status and the number of children may therefore be indirectly associated with the risk of post-mortem lung cancer diagnosis as post-mortem detected cancers are usually in advanced stages.

One of the main findings of our HULC study is that patients who were diagnosed with lung cancer only after death had roughly the same annual number of GP and specialist visits within 2 years before the time of death, suggesting that late or missed diagnosis cannot be attributed to the limited access to healthcare resources. Although post-mortem diagnosed lung cancer patients had a slightly lower number of encounters with their GPs (10.63/year vs. 13.75/year), they were having check-ups almost every month. On the other hand, post-mortem diagnosed patients underwent significantly less diagnostic imaging (X-ray and CT scans) within 7–24 months before death compared to patients who were diagnosed with LC during their lives. Considering the similar number of GP visits between the two patient groups, this discrepancy suggests that the suspicion of lung cancer was not raised during GP visits. During the 6 months before death, the number of physician visits, hospitalizations, X-ray examinations, and CT scans showed a marked increase in the post-mortem diagnosed
physicians several months before diagnosis (26). Hippisley-Cox cancer-related symptoms were often reported to primary care therefore, GPs have a key role in improving outcomes (25). The early signs of the disease.

These signs and symptoms should raise the suspicion of lung cancer and should be followed by a referral to chest X-ray examination which rarely provides false negative findings for lung cancer (28). The importance of GP education was demonstrated by a large U.K. study in which the implementation of the 3-year National Awareness and Early Diagnosis Initiative (NAEDI) resulted in an 80.8% increase in the number of community-ordered chest X-rays, an 8.8% increase in the proportion of patients diagnosed at early stages (I-II), and a 9.3% reduction in the proportion of patients diagnosed with late-stage disease (III/IV). The number of X-ray referrals increased after only 3 GP education sessions, which considerably contributed to the overall increase in the diagnosis of early-stage cancer (29). The U.K. NAEDI is an illustrative example for a successful nationwide campaign to raise public awareness of the early symptoms of common cancers and could provide guidance for countries with a particularly high burden of lung cancer, such as Hungary.

Patient reluctance to seek medical advice after experiencing cancer-related symptoms increases the risk of late-stage disease at diagnosis (30). Patient-related delays may derive from the lack of awareness regarding symptoms and risk factors, as well as insufficient awareness about the effectiveness of cancer treatments and the benefits of early detection (31). The success of awareness campaigns in terms of early detection and the facilitation of cancer diagnosis has been demonstrated by several studies. In the U.K., a 6-week combined public awareness campaign and GP education programme significantly increased the probability of GP visits due to having a cough and the number of chest X-ray referrals and promoted lung cancer diagnoses among those at the highest risk for lung cancer with high rates of smoking and high levels of social deprivation (32). Another study from the U.K. also found a significant increase in the recognition of persistent cough as a lung-cancer related symptom and a significant increase in the proportion of early-stage lung cancer diagnosis after an awareness campaign (33). In the CHEST Australia Trial, encouraging long-term heavy smokers to seek medical help for respiratory symptoms as early as possible led to a 40% increase in respiratory consultations (34). In a study from Scotland, a one-time consultation with a nurse at the GP’s office and a self-help manual resulted in much earlier GP visits after the development of chest symptoms (35). In summary, the implementation of awareness campaigns and GP educational programmes has the potential to decrease the proportion of late-stage and post-mortem lung cancer diagnoses in Hungary, especially in high-risk patient populations. The introduction of a nationwide low-dose CT screening program may also have the potential to improve the proportion of early lung cancer diagnoses. In the local HUNCHEST program conducted among asymptomatic male and female residents aged 50–79 years with or without known risk factors, low-dose CT screening detected LC in 1.5% of 1,890 screened participants, 86.2% of which were diagnosed at an early stage (36). Our retrospective study has certain strengths and limitations. We were able to explore the underlying reasons for post-mortem lung cancer diagnoses in a robust patient population due to the high autopsy rate of Hungary and strict reporting requirements towards the HCSO as well as the comprehensive nature of the NHIF database covering almost 100% of the Hungarian population. In addition, the two databases allowed for the analysis of data within 2 years prior to the time of death both in the diagnosed and undiagnosed lung cancer population. This included the analysis of socio-economic factors which were found to have an important role in late diagnosis. On the other hand, we were not able to provide detailed information on the stage of lung cancer at the time of diagnosis or ECOG performance status, and we did not have any data regarding the histology of lung cancer in the post-mortem diagnosed patient population.

**Conclusions**

In conclusion, patients with a post-mortem diagnosis of lung cancer were older, had lower educational attainment, and were more likely to be single compared to patients who were diagnosed with lung cancer during their lives. Elderly unmarried women with a low level of education and fewer children at the time of death were at the highest risk for the misdiagnosis of lung cancer during their lives. However, post-mortem diagnosed lung cancer patients also had access to the healthcare system as demonstrated by the number of GP and...
specialist visits within 2 years before death, although these encounters did not lead to the detection of lung cancer-related signs and symptoms as shown by the low number of chest X-rays and CT scans performed before death. The findings highlight the importance of public and GP awareness campaigns which may facilitate earlier diagnosis and decrease the proportion of late-stage and post-mortem diagnosed lung cancer, especially among at-risk populations.
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