5-Hydroxyconiferyl Aldehyde Modulates Enzymatic Methylation for Syringyl Monolignol Formation, a New View of Monolignol Biosynthesis in Angiosperms*
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S-Adenosyl-l-methionine-dependent caffeate O-methyltransferase (COMT, EC 2.1.1.6) has traditionally been thought to catalyze the methylation of caffeate and 5-hydroxyferulate for the biosynthesis of syringyl monolignol, a lignin constituent of angiosperm wood that enables efficient lignin degradation for cellulose production. However, recent recognition that coniferyl aldehyde prevents 5-hydroxyferulate biosynthesis in lignifying tissue, and that the hydroxylated form of coniferyl aldehyde, 5-hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde, is an alternative COMT substrate, demands a re-evaluation of the role of COMT during monolignol biosynthesis. Based on recombinant aspen (Populus tremuloides) COMT enzyme kinetics coupled with mass spectrometry analysis, this study establishes for the first time that COMT is in fact a 5-hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde O-methyltransferase (AldOMT), and that 5-hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde is both the preferred AldOMT substrate and an inhibitor of caffeate and 5-hydroxyferulate methylation, as measured by $K_m$ and $K_i$ values. 5-Hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde also inhibited the caffeate and 5-hydroxyferulate methylation activities of xylem proteins from various angiosperm tree species. The evidence that syringyl monolignol biosynthesis is independent of caffeate and 5-hydroxyferulate methylation supports our previous discovery that coniferyl aldehyde prevents ferulate 5-hydroxylation and at the same time ensures a co-oxidation of syringyl monolignol via sinapyl aldehyde (Fig. 1B). The evidence also led to the conclusion that in lignifying tissues there is no ferulate 5-hydroxylase per se. When CAlld5H substrates coniferyl aldehyde ($K_m = 2.77 \mu M$) and ferulate ($K_m = 286 \mu M$) were present together, a situation that is likely to exist in vivo, coniferyl aldehyde completely inhibited ferulate 5-hydroxylation in a noncompetitive manner ($K_i = 0.59 \mu M$) (26). This suggests that 5-hydroxyferulate is not a lignin pathway intermediate and would thus obviate methylation of 5-hydroxyferulate to sinapate as a pathway in vivo. This contrasts with the well documented in vitro evidence that COMT methylates 5-hydroxyferulate for syringyl monolignol biosynthesis (20–25). In order to resolve this apparent inconsistency, we re-evaluated angiosperm COMT by characterizing the catalytic properties of a recombinant aspen (Populus tremuloides) COMT and of lignifying xylem proteins from a variety of angiosperm tree species.

Regardless of its importance to tree growth, lignin is problematic to postharvest, cellulose-based wood processing for fiber, chemical, and energy production, because it must be degraded from cellulose at great expense. Certain structural constituents of lignin, such as the guaiacyl moiety, promote monomer cross-linkages that increase lignin resistance to degradation (1–3). In angiosperms, lignin is composed of a mixture of guaiacyl and syringyl monolignols, and can be degraded at considerably less energy and chemical cost than gymnosperm lignin, which consists almost entirely of guaiacyl moieties (4).

As a result, there has been long standing incentive to understand the biosynthesis of syringyl monolignol in angiosperm trees in order to genetically engineer more syringyl lignin in trees to facilitate wood processing (5–8).

For more than four decades, our thinking of syringyl monolignol biosynthesis in angiosperms has followed the doctrine that S-adenosyl-l-methionine-dependent caffeate O-methyltransferase (COMT)$^1$-catalyzed methylation of caffeate and 5-hydroxyferulate constitutes a central pathway for the formation of syringyl monolignol via sinapate (Fig. 1A) (Refs. 9–19, reviewed in Refs. 20–24). This methylation pathway has, however, been challenged, as the involvement in this pathway (Fig. 1A) of the ferulate 5-hydroxylation step (12–14, 25) was recently overturned (26). Based on mass spectrometry characterization of the biochemical function of sweetgum (Liquidambar styraciflua) plant proteins as well as recombinant proteins, Osakabe et al. (26) showed that coniferyl aldehyde 5-hydroxylase (CAld5H)-mediated coniferyl aldehyde 5-hydroxylation followed by COMT-catalyzed methylation of 5-hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde would direct syringyl monolignol biosynthesis via sinapyl aldehyde (Fig. 1B). The evidence also led to the conclusion that in lignifying tissues there is no ferulate 5-hydroxylase per se. When CAlld5H substrates coniferyl aldehyde ($K_m = 2.77 \mu M$) and ferulate ($K_m = 286 \mu M$) were present together, a situation that is likely to exist in vivo, coniferyl aldehyde completely inhibited ferulate 5-hydroxylation in a noncompetitive manner ($K_i = 0.59 \mu M$) (26). This suggests that 5-hydroxyferulate is not a lignin pathway intermediate and would thus obviate methylation of 5-hydroxyferulate to sinapate as a pathway in vivo. This contrasts with the well documented in vitro evidence that COMT methylates 5-hydroxyferulate for syringyl monolignol biosynthesis (20–25).

To whom correspondence should be addressed: School of Forestry and Wood Products, Michigan Technological University, Houghton, MI 49931. Tel.: 906-487-2959; Fax: 906-487-2915; E-mail: vchiang@mtu.edu.

* This work was supported in part by U. S. Department of Agriculture Grant 95-37103-2061 and the U. S. Department of Agriculture McIntire-Stennis Forestry Research Program. The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 U. S. C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

† To whom correspondence should be addressed: School of Forestry and Wood Products, Michigan Technological University, Houghton, MI 49931. Tel.: 906-487-2959; Fax: 906-487-2915; E-mail: vchiang@mtu.edu.

‡ Present address: School of Forestry and Wood Products, Michigan Technological University, Houghton, MI 49931. Tel.: 906-487-2959; Fax: 906-487-2915; E-mail: vchiang@mtu.edu.

1 The abbreviations used are: COMT, caffeate O-methyltransferase; CAld5H, coniferyl aldehyde 5-hydroxylase; HPLC-UV/MS, high pressure liquid chromatography-UV/mass spectrometry; SIM, selective ion monitoring; CA, caffeate; CAld, coniferyl aldehyde; AldOMT, 5-hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde O-methyltransferase; 4CL, 4-coumarate-CoA ligase; CCoA3H, 4-coumaroyl-CoA 3-hydroxylase; CCoAOMT, caffeoyl-CoA O-methyltransferase; PAL, phenylalanine ammonia lyase; Rt, retention time.
Methylation Reactions in Monolignol Biosynthesis

A traditional methylation pathway for syringyl monolignol biosynthesis involving a putative ferulate 5-hydroxylation step (5H) was added and the stirring was continued for another 15 min.

**Chemical Synthesis of Substrates for Enzymatic Reactions—5-Hydroxyferulate (28), feruloyl-CoA, and 5-hydroxyferuloyl-CoA thioesters (28, 29) were chemically synthesized as described.** 5-Hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde was prepared from 5-hydroxyvanillin. To a stirred mixture of 5-hydroxyvanillin (168 mg, 1 mmol) (30) and monooethyl malonate (31) (0.17 ml) were added successively pyridine (0.4 ml), piperidine (10 μl), and aniline (10 μl), and the resulting solution was stirred at 55 °C for 40 h, cooled on ice, and diluted with 2 ml of ethyl acetate. After acidification with 1 N HCl to pH 2, the resulting mixture was diluted with 10 ml of ethyl acetate and washed with saturated NaCl solution until the washings became neutral, then dried over anhydrous Na2SO4. After evaporation, crude ethyl 5-hydroxyferulate (257.3 mg) was used to synthesize ethyl bis(ethoxymethyl)-5-hydroxyferulate without purification. To a stirred suspension of ethyl 5-hydroxyferulate (239 mg) and ethyl vinyl ether (1.65 ml) in CH2Cl2 (4 ml, dried over Alumina) at 0 °C, 5-10-N-carbomethoxybenzonic acid (9.0 mg) was added, and after stirring the resulting solution at 0 °C for 3 h, 0.3 ml of tetrachlorofuran was added. Following stirring for another 20 min, 5-10-N-carbomethoxybenzonic acid (9.7 mg) was added and the stirring was continued for another 15 min followed by the addition of about 1 ml of triethylamine to stop the reaction. The reaction mixture was poured onto a saturated NaHCO3 solution and extracted with ethyl acetate, and the extracts were washed with a saturated NaCl solution until the washings became neutral and dried over Na2SO4. After evaporation of ethyl acetate, crude ethyl bis(ethoxymethyl)-5-hydroxyferulate was produced and purified by silica gel column chromatography (Kieselgel 60, Merck; solvent: ethyl acetate: n-hexane (1:4)) to give purified ethyl bis(ethoxymethyl)-5-hydroxyferulate (184.0 mg) for synthesizing bis(ethoxymethyl)-5-hydroxyferuloyl alcohol.

To a stirred solution of ethyl bis(ethoxymethyl)-5-hydroxyferulate (184 mg, 0.48 mmol) in anhydrous CH2Cl2 (4 ml, distilled over CaH2) at −78 °C was added dropwise 1 M diisobutylaluminum hydride in hexanes (580 μl, 0.58 mmol) over a period of 15 min, and the resulting solution was stirred for an additional 30 min followed by the addition of 1 ml of methanol, and the reaction mixture was poured onto ethyl acetate, and the ethyl acetate was then washed with saturated NaCl solution twice and dried over Na2SO4 and evaporated to dryness to afford a mixture of bis(ethoxymethyl)-5-hydroxyferuloyl alcohol and ethyl bis(ethoxymethyl)-5-hydroxyferuloyl alcohol (160.2 mg), which was purified by silica gel TLC (Kieselgel 60 F254, Merck; solvent: ethyl acetate:n-hexane (1:2)) to give purified bis(ethoxymethyl)-5-hydroxyferuloyl alcohol (2.1 mg, 1%) and bis(ethoxymethyl)-5-hydroxyferuloyl alcohol (83.9 mg, 51%) to be oxidized back to bis(ethoxymethyl)-5-hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde. To a stirred solution of bis(ethoxymethyl)-5-hydroxyconiferyl alcohol (17 mg, 0.185 mmol) in CH2Cl2 (4 ml, dried over Alumina), activated MnO2 (Aldrich, −85%) (316 mg, 0.48 mmol) in anhydrous CH2Cl2 (4 ml, distilled over CaH2 and Alumina), and 1 M diisobutylaluminum hydride in hexanes (55.3 mg, 0.48 mmol) in anhydrous CH2Cl2 (4 ml, distilled over CaH2) was added, and the resulting mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 6 min followed by dilution with ethyl acetate and washing successively with water and saturated NaCl solution. The organic layer was then dried over Na2SO4 and evaporated to give 5-hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde (33.9 mg, 100%). The structure of 5-hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde was confirmed by 1H and 13C NMR, CH-COSY, 6JC6H, 6JC7H, 6JC8H, and 6JC9H; 13C NMR δ (acetone, 269.0 ppm) δ (acetone-d6, 110.5 ppm) δ (acetone-d6, 126.1 ppm) δ (acetone-d6, 128.3 ppm) δ (acetone-d6, 146.5 ppm) δ (acetone-d6, 149.3 ppm) δ (acetone-d6, 154.4 ppm) δ (acetone-d6, 193.8 ppm); MS m/z (%), 91 (M+, 100), 153 (53.9), 133 (8.9), 123 (21.1), 105 (70). All other chemicals used were obtained from Sigma/Aldrich.

Expression of Aspen COMT cDNA in Escherichia coli and Purification of COMT Recombinant Protein—Polymerase chain reaction was employed to introduce the SpH1 site at the 5'-end and HindIII site at the 3'-end of the coding sequence of the aspen COMT (6) using a sense primer (5′-ATCCGATGCAGTGATGTCGCTCAAGCTGTAAC-3′) and an antisense primer (5′-GAGGAGGTCGCTCAAGCTGTAAC-3′). The DNA polymerase chain reaction product was first cloned into a pCR2.1 vector (Invitrogen), from which the SpH1 and HindIII fragment containing the COMT coding region was then cloned into a pQE-32 (Qiagen Inc.) expression vector to fuse a His-tag at the N-terminal of COMT cDNA sequence. After sequencing to confirm the accuracy of the aspen COMT-pQE construct, the construct was transferred into E. coli M15-pREP4 strain. The induction and expression of recombinant aspen COMT were conducted according to Li et al. (32). The M15-pREP4, cell strain containing pQE-32 vector without a COMT cDNA insert was used as the control. After harvesting by centrifugation (2000 × g for 10 min), the cell pellet was processed to affinity purify the COMT protein using the HisBind Resin affinity purification system (Novagen) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The purification was monitored by SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and Western blot analysis, as described (32). After dialysis, the purified recombinant aspen COMT protein (200 μg/ml) was stored in 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.5) containing 2 mM β-mercaptoethanol and 30% glycerol at −20 °C until use for enzyme activity assay.

Enzyme Reaction Kinetics of Recombinant Aspen COMT Protein—Organic solvent-mediated COMT-catalyzed activity assay (27, 33) was modified to allow a direct quantification of the reaction products by HPLC/UV Mass Spectrometry (MS) analysis for the enzyme kinetic studies. The reaction volume was 300 μl containing 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 2 mM MgCl2, 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 100 μM S-adenosyl-L-methionine, 1 μg of purified recombinant COMT protein (24 pmol) (boiled protein was used as the control), and varying concentrations of substrates (cafeate, 5–800 μM; 5-hydroxyferulate, 0.25–50 μM; 5-hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde, 0.25–50 μM). For inhibition kinetics, two sets of 5-hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde concentrations, (0.25, 0.5, 0.75, and 1 μM) and (0.75, 1, 2, and 4 μM), were used to assay the 5-hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde-induced inhibition of COMT-mediated methylation of 5-hydroxyferulate and cafeeate, respectively. 5-Hydroxyferulate (10, 50, and 200 μM) was used as the inhibitor for COMT-catalyzed reactions with 5-hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde and cafeeate, respectively. Two sets of cafeeate concentrations (25, 50, 100, and 150 μM and 10, 50, 100, and 200 μM) were tested for their inhibition effect on COMT-mediated methylation of 5-hydroxyferulate and 5-hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde, respectively. The reaction mixture was incubated at 30 °C for 5 min and the reaction was terminated by adding 10 μl of 12 N HCl, and after adding 500 ng of a-carboxylate as the internal standard, the reaction mixture was analyzed directly by HPLC UVMS.

Methylation and 5-Hydroxylation Activities of Plant Proteins from Stem Secondary Developing Xylem—Developing xylem tissue (10 g) from each tree species was ground in liquid nitrogen and homogenized at 4 °C for 2 min in 25 ml of extraction buffer (0.1 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.5) containing 0.25 mM succrose, 1 mM EDTA, 1% polyvinylpyrrolidone, 0.05 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, and 1 μM leupeptin. The homogenate was filtrated and centrifuged at 10,000 × g for 10 min at 4 °C, and the supernatant was further centrifuged at 100,000 × g for 90 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was then used for the COMT enzyme assay following the protocols described above, except the concentration of each substrate was fixed at 100 μM and the crude protein quantity at 15 μg.

**FIG. 1.** A, traditional methylation pathway for syringyl monolignol biosynthesis involving a putative ferulate 5-hydroxylation step (5H). B, coniferyl aldehyde 5-hydroxylation/methylation pathway for syringyl monolignol biosynthesis.
(boiled protein was used as the control). The pellet was resuspended in 1 ml of phosphate buffer (50 mM, pH 7.5) containing 0.1 mM EDTA, 30% glycerol, and 1 μg/ml leupeptin, and used immediately for the 5-hydroxylation activity assay according to Osakabe et al. (26), and the reaction mixture was analyzed directly by HPLC UV/MS. Protein concentrations were determined by using the Bradford dye reagent (Bio-Rad) with bovine serum albumin as the standard.

HPLC UV/MS Analysis of Products from Enzymatic Reaction with Recombinant and Plant Proteins—Without solvent extraction, the enzymatic reaction mixture (50 μl) was directly injected onto a Supelcosil ABZ-Plus column (25 cm × 2.1 mm × 5 μm, Supelco) maintained at 40 °C with a flow rate of 0.25 ml/min and a gradient program of 20% acetonitrile in 10 mM formic acid (pH 2.5) for 12 min and then 20–100% acetonitrile from 12 to 16 min, and held at 100% acetonitrile for 5 min. The column was equilibrated in 20% acetonitrile for 5 min before each injection. The LC system was a Hewlett-Packard LC/MSD 1100 with a diode array detector and atmospheric pressure electrospray ionization (ESI) mass spectral detection. Sinapyl aldehyde: UV (measured in 20% acetonitrile in 10 mM formic acid, pH 2.5) λmax, I 244 nm, λmax, II 344 nm, λmin 275 nm; MS (70 V) m/z (%), 207 (100), 192 (69.0), 177 (11); retention time (Rt) 10.64 min/UV, 10.79 min/MS (the flow path separation between UV detection and MS detection was 0.15 min). Sinapate: UV, λmax, I 238 nm, λmax, II 322 nm, λmin 264 nm; MS (70 V) m/z (%): 224 (12), 223 (100), 208 (36.0); Rt 9.45 min/UV, 9.59 min/MS, Ferulate: UV λmax 266 nm, λmin 222 nm; MS (70 V) m/z (%): 193 (100), 178 (43), 162 (13), 134 (13); Rt 10.80 min/UV, 10.95 min/MS. Based on these properties of the authentic compounds, the detection and identification of the reaction products by the diode array detector was at their respective λmax (344 nm for sinapyl aldehyde, 322 nm for sinapate and ferulate) and MS detection was in selective ion monitoring (SIM) negative ion mode (m/z 207.1 for sinapyl aldehyde, 223.1 for sinapate, 193.1 for ferulate, and 163.1 for o-coumarate) with a fragmentor voltage of 70 at which [M – H]+ is usually the base peak in the MS spectrum.

RESULTS

Traditionally, functional characterization of lignin pathway O-methyltransferase has not given consideration to the purity and structural identity of enzymatic reaction products in question. In this study an approach to directly quantify the structurally confirmed products was adapted. Non-radioactive S-adenosyl-L-methionine was used as the methyl donor and the reaction mixture was directly subjected to HPLC separation without solvent extraction to avoid variability in extraction efficiency for different organic compounds. The product structural identity was corroborated by diode array UV and MS signature comparison to authentic standards. A major advantage of the current approach is that it allows unequivocal quantification of reaction products derived from the simultaneous reaction with an array of substrates. This enabled characterization of the protein-multisubstrate interactions likely to be typical of lignifying tissues where monolignol biosynthetic pathway intermediates, such as coniferyl aldehyde derivatives, are present together (26).

Structural Confirmation of COMT Enzymatic Reaction Products Using E. coli-expressed and Purified Recombinant Aspen COMT—5-Hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde, 5-hydroxyferulate (both were chemically synthesized, see “Experimental Procedures”), and caffeate, were incubated individually with purified recombinant Aspen COMT from E. coli. Diode array detector (at 344 nm, the λmax of sinapyl aldehyde) analysis of reaction products from 5-hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde (denoted as Sample in green in Fig. 2A) revealed a single peak with a retention time (Rt) of 10.64 min which co-eluted with authentic sinapyl aldehyde. No such peak could be detected in control (boiled protein) reaction (purple). To confirm the authenticity of this sinapyl aldehyde peak, the control and sample HPLC eluants were analyzed by MS, and the mass spectral data was acquired in the SIM mode targeting the [M – H]+ fragment ion of m/z 207 from sinapyl aldehyde. The total ion chromatogram of the sample eluent (red) showed a major peak at 10.79 min, which was absent in the control (black) and corresponds to the sinapyl aldehyde peak at 10.64 min (green) detected by diode array detector. Furthermore, the relative intensities of the fragmented ions (Fig. 2A, inset) derived from this 10.79-min MS peak were identical to those from authentic sinapyl aldehyde, confirming that the aldehyde precursor can be methylated during monolignol biosynthesis and that COMT can catalyze this reaction. Using the same analytical system, the COMT-catalyzed methylation of 5-hydroxyferulate (Fig. 2B) and caffeate (Fig. 2C) for the formation of sinapate and ferulate, respectively, were also confirmed. We then conducted the kinetics of COMT-catalyzed reactions.

Kinetic Analysis of COMT-catalyzed Methylation of 5-Hydroxyconiferyl Aldehyde, 5-Hydroxyferulate, and Caffeate—Lineweaver-Burk analysis indicated that E. coli-expressed COMT recombinant protein exhibited a similar turnover rate with each of the three different phenolic substrates used (Table I). However, COMT showed the highest affinity for 5-hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde with a Vmax/Km value 5 and 31 times those for 5-hydroxyferulate and caffeate, respectively, overturning the conventional claim that 5-hydroxyferulate is the best substrate (16–19, 28, 34). The kinetic data further suggest that COMT is more specific for 5-methylation than for 3-methylation, and that, in the presence of all three potential substrates, COMT-catalyzed methylation of 5-hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde would be the dominant reaction leading to syringyl monolignol biosynthesis. In light of the discovery of Osakabe et al. (26) that noncompetitive inhibition among substrates modulates lignin pathway CaDiS5H activity, we tested whether the COMT function may also be regulated by its substrates. A mixture of equal molar caffeate, 5-hydroxyferulate and 5-hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde was incubated with recombinant COMT. This mixed substrate reaction resulted in a complete inhibition of caffeate and 5-hydroxyferulate methylation, but the conversion of 5-hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde into sinapyl aldehyde was conserved (Fig. 2D). Thus, while CaD5H function is modulated by coniferyl aldehyde (26), COMT activity, likewise, is regulated by its substrate 5-hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde.

Our interpretation of these results is that COMT associated with monolignol biosynthesis is a 5-hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde O-methyltransferase (AldOMT) with some affinity for caffeate and 5-hydroxyferulate. Therefore, we refer to this OMT enzyme as AldOMT, and propose that these plant OMT genes encoding EC 2.1.1.6 (35) also encode a 5-hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde O-methyltransferase. To understand how 5-hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde may inhibit AldOMT function with 5-hydroxyferulate and caffeate in vivo, we studied AldOMT enzyme inhibition kinetics.

AldOMT Enzyme Inhibition Kinetics—Kinetic analysis showed that 5-hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde competitively inhibited AldOMT-catalyzed methylation of 5-hydroxyferulate (Fig. 3A) with a Ki of 0.26 μM (Fig. 3A, inset). On the other hand, 5-hydroxyferulate was also a competitive inhibitor for the methylation of 5-hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde (Fig. 3B), but with a Ki (Fig. 3B, inset) over 400 times that for 5-hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde. Furthermore, the Ki value for 5-hydroxyferulate as an inhibitor of AldOMT-catalyzed methylation of 5-hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde is over 7-fold higher than the Km (Table I) for
FIG. 2. HPLC separation of products from enzymatic reactions with recombinant COMT and plant xylem proteins. Reaction conditions and product separation and identification are described under “Experimental and Procedures.” A, HPLC-UV (344 nm) and HPLC-MS (SIM, 70 V; m/z 207.1) chromatograms of control (boiled recombinant protein: purple, UV; black, MS) and sample (purified recombinant COMT protein: 24 pmol, green, UV; red, MS) reaction mixtures with 5-hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde (50 μM) as the substrate (Rt 6.83 min/UV). The internal standard (I.S.) was o-coumarate (Rt 19.00 min/UV). Inset A, negative ion electrospray (NI-ES) mass spectrum (scanning mode at 70 V) of the reaction product sinapyl aldehyde (Rt 10.79 min/MS, 10.64 min/UV) is identical to that of the authentic standard. B, HPLC-UV (322 nm) and HPLC-MS (SIM, 70 V; m/z 223.1) chromatograms of control (boiled recombinant protein: purple, UV; black, MS) and sample (purified recombinant COMT protein: 24 pmol, green, UV; red, MS) reaction mixtures with 5-hydroxyferulate (50 μM) as the substrate (Rt 6.03 min/UV). The internal standard (I.S.) was o-coumarate (Rt 19.00 min/UV) and the only product was identified as sinapate based on its identical retention time (9.45 min/UV) and UV spectral property to those of the authentic standard. Inset B, NI-ES mass spectrum (scanning mode at 70 V) of the reaction product (Rt 9.59 min/MS) is also identical to that of the authentic sinapate. C, HPLC-UV (322 nm) and HPLC-MS (SIM, 70 V; m/z 193.1) chromatograms of control (boiled recombinant protein: purple, UV; black, MS) and sample (purified recombinant COMT protein: 24 pmol, green,
5-hydroxyferulate as an AldOMT substrate. These kinetic data clearly indicate that methylation of 5-hydroxyferulate *in vivo* is unlikely to take place in the presence of 5-hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde, whereas 5-hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde methylation would not be affected by 5-hydroxyferulate. This identifies for the first time a substrate-sensitive methylation mechanism involved in syringyl monolignol biosynthesis in that the presence of 5-hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde would eliminate the production of sinapate from 5-hydroxyferulate *in vivo*. Similarly, 5-hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde was a competitive inhibitor (Fig. 4A) of AldOMT-catalyzed methylation of caffeate with a $K_i$ of 2.1 $\mu M$ (Fig. 4A, inset), whereas caffeate competitively inhibited methylation of 5-hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde (Fig. 4B) with a considerably higher $K_i$ of 121.7 $\mu M$ (Fig. 4B, inset). These inhibition kinetic data together with the 30-fold higher $V_{\text{max}}/K_m$ value for 5-hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde than for caffeate (Table I) suggest that AldOMT-mediated methylation of 5-hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde is unlikely to be affected by caffeate, whereas caffeate methylation would be blocked in the presence of 5-hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde. However, it could be argued that the *in vivo* concentrations of these competing acid substrates may be presented at levels high enough to relieve their inhibited reactions. Using HPLC/MS, we then analyzed the quantities (micrograms/g fresh tissue) of these phenolic acids in methanol extracts from xylem cells. The concentration of caffeate was estimated to be about 0.003 $\mu M$ and no ferulate could be detected, arguing strongly against that the *in vivo* concentrations of these acids would be sufficient to overcome their inhibited enzymatic reactions. This and the kinetic properties illustrated above and previously (26) further attest to the idea that, in the presence of coniferyl aldehyde derivatives, the acid pathway is not a viable option. In the absence of 5-hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde, however, Lineweaver-Burk analysis further indicated that the methylation of caffeate and 5-hydroxyferulate would not be effectively inhibited by 5-hydroxyferulate ($K_i = 58.4 \mu M$, Fig. 5A) and caffeate ($K_i = 75 \mu M$, Fig. 5B), respectively. These kinetic data, in light of our early finding that ferulate 5-hydroxylation/methylation can occur in the absence of coniferyl aldehyde, lead to the conclusion that ferulate 5-hydroxylation/methylation may take place when CAld5H/AldOMT-mediated coniferyl aldehyde 5-hydroxylation/methylation is absent. This suggests that CAld5H/AldOMT modulation may be a part of a regulatory mechanism associated with cell wall development involving both lignification and the biosynthesis of other phenolics which utilize ferulate 5-hydroxylation/methylation pathway in angiosperms. To test whether these inhibitory effects on recombinant protein are also true for native plant proteins, methylation reactions mediated by proteins from lignifying stem secondary xylem were studied.

**AldOMT Enzymatic Activities of Plant Xylem Proteins**—Ten angiosperm tree species were randomly selected to test whether the 5-hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde-modulated AldOMT function observed for recombinant aspen AldOMT is common to angiosperm species. Soluble protein extracts isolated from secondary developing xylem of these plants were found to exhibit methylation activities with caffeate, 5-hydroxyferulate, and 5-hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde when these substrates were present individually (Table II). When these substrates were mixed

| Substrate                  | $K_m$ (mM) | $V_{\text{max}}$ (nmol/min/mg) | $k_{\text{cat}}$ (min$^{-1}$) |
|----------------------------|------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 5-Hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde| 2.6 ± 0.3  | 2.0 ± 0.3                     | 24.9 ± 3.5                    |
| 5-Hydroxyferulate          | 15.0 ± 0.6 | 2.2 ± 0.3                     | 28.1 ± 4.2                    |
| Caffeate                   | 75.1 ± 3.3 | 1.85 ± 0.1                    | 23.1 ± 1.1                    |

**Fig. 3.** Kinetic analysis of the inhibition of 5-hydroxyferulate and 5-hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde methylation by 5-hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde and 5-hydroxyferulate, respectively. Kinetic experiments are described under “Experimental and Procedures.” A, Lineweaver-Burk plot of purified recombinant aspen COMT-catalyzed methylation of 5-hydroxyferulate (10 to 800 $\mu M$) in the presence of 5-hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde at different concentrations (as shown). Inset A, a plot of the apparent $K_m$ for the substrate 5-hydroxyferulate versus the corresponding inhibitor 5-hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde concentration at which it was obtained. Slope = $K_i/K_m$. B, Lineweaver-Burk plot of purified recombinant aspen COMT-catalyzed methylation of 5-hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde (0.25 to 50 $\mu M$) in the presence of 5-hydroxyferulate at different concentrations (as shown). Inset B, a plot of the apparent $K_m$ for the substrate 5-hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde versus inhibitor (5-hydroxyferulate) concentration, as described in inset A.

**TABLE I** Kinetic parameters of methylation of various phenolic substrates by purified recombinant aspen COMT protein

| Substrate                  | $K_m$ (mM) | $V_{\text{max}}$ (nmol/min/mg) | $k_{\text{cat}}$ (min$^{-1}$) |
|----------------------------|------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 5-Hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde| 2.6 ± 0.3  | 2.0 ± 0.3                     | 24.9 ± 3.5                    |
| 5-Hydroxyferulate          | 15.0 ± 0.6 | 2.2 ± 0.3                     | 28.1 ± 4.2                    |
| Caffeate                   | 75.1 ± 3.3 | 1.85 ± 0.1                    | 23.1 ± 1.1                    |

**Table I** Kinetic parameters of methylation of various phenolic substrates by purified recombinant aspen COMT protein

Values were mean ± S.D. (n = two independent assays).

**UV; red, MS** reaction mixtures with caffeate (50 $\mu M$) as the substrate (Rt 6.68 min/UV). The internal standard (I.S.) was o-coumarate (Rt 19.00 min/UV) and the only product was identified as ferulate based on its identical retention time (9.45 min/UV) and UV spectral property to those of the authentic standard. **Inset C**, NI-ES mass spectrum (scanning mode at 70 V) of the reaction product (Rt 10.95 min/MS; 10.80 min/UV) is also identical to that of the authentic ferulate. D, HPLC-MS (SIM, 70 V; m/z 193.1, 179.1, and 209.1 (0.00–8.00 min); m/z 193.1, 207.1, and 223.1 (8.00–15.00 min) m/z 163.1 (15.00–21.00 min)) extracted ion chromatograms of control (boiled aspen xylem protein extracts: IS) and sample (aspen xylem protein extracts, 15 $\mu g$ of total protein) reaction mixtures with 5-hydroxyferulate (50 $\mu M$, brown, m/z 209.1, Rt 6.18 min/MS), caffeate (50 $\mu M$, red, m/z 179.1, Rt 6.83 min/MS), and 5-hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde (50 $\mu M$, green, m/z 193.1, Rt 6.98 min/MS) as substrates. The internal standard (I.S.) was o-coumarate (m/z 163.1, Rt 19.15 min/MS). The only reaction product detected was sinapyl aldehyde (blue, m/z 207.1, Rt 10.79 min/MS) based on its identical retention time and UV and MS spectral properties to those of the authentic standard.
and incubated with plant proteins, enzymatic methylation activities with caffeate and 5-hydroxyferulate were either abolished or drastically reduced, while methylation of 5-hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde became the dominant reaction (Table II). Thus, the biochemical characterization of recombinant as well as extracted plant proteins from various species provide unambiguous evidence that 5-hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde inhibits the enzymatic formation of ferulate and sinapate from caffeate and 5-hydroxyferulate, respectively, arguing against the involvement of ferulate and sinapate in syringyl monolignol biosynthesis in angiosperms. The irrelevance of ferulate to syringyl monolignol biosynthesis is in line with our previous recombinant CAld5H kinetic data showing that coniferyl aldehyde blocks ferulate 5-hydroxylation (26). The present evidence that sinapate is not involved in syringyl monolignol biosynthesis is consistent with the blocked biosynthesis of 5-hydroxyferulate (26) and with reports that proteins from actively lignifying tissues of various angiosperms do not utilize sinapate as a substrate for CoA ligation (36–38). To strengthen our present data it was necessary to test whether coniferyl aldehyde-induced inhibition of CAld5H activity with ferulate is also true for the plant CAld5H enzyme.

**DISCUSSION**

The present study demonstrates that competition among structurally similar pathway intermediates as substrates may modulate enzyme activities to guide the phenolic metabolism for monolignol formation. Specifically, here we show for the first time that substrate-modulated enzymatic methylation directs syringyl monolignol biosynthesis. 5-Hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde inhibited both 5-hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde and 5-hydroxyferulate methylation by CAld5H. Kinetic analysis of the inhibition of caffeate and 5-hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde methylation by 5-hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde and caffeate, respectively. Kinetic experiments are described under “Experimental Procedures.” A, Lineweaver-Burk plot of purified recombinant aspen COMT-catalyzed methylation of caffeate (10–800 μM) in the presence of 5-hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde at different concentrations (as shown). Inset A, a plot of the apparent $K_m$ for the substrate caffeate versus inhibitor (5-hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde) concentration, as described above. B, Lineweaver-Burk plot of purified recombinant aspen COMT-catalyzed methylation of 5-hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde (0.25–50 μM) in the presence of caffeate at different concentrations (as shown). Inset B, a plot of the apparent $K_m$ for the substrate 5-hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde versus inhibitor (caffeate) concentration, as described above.

**CAld5H Enzymatic Activities of Plant Xylem Proteins**—Microsomal proteins isolated from secondary developing xylem tissue of the same 10 species used above were characterized for their 5-hydroxylation activities with ferulate and coniferyl aldehyde. Consistent with recombinant CAld5H function (26), xylem microsomal proteins from each plant exhibited a significantly higher rate of 5-hydroxylation for coniferyl aldehyde than for ferulate (Table III). However, in reactions of plant microsomal proteins with mixed substrates of ferulate and coniferyl aldehyde, enzymatic 5-hydroxylation of ferulate was completely abolished, whereas coniferyl aldehyde 5-hydroxylation/methylation activity was not compromised (Table III), in conformity with recombinant CAld5H function (26) and validating the idea that coniferyl aldehyde 5-hydroxylation/methylation is a general mechanism in angiosperms directing syringyl lignin biosynthesis.
aldehyde; SAld, sinapyl aldehyde.

4CL product (36–38). 4-Coumaroyl-CoA (CCoA3H) such as that reported in monolignols (Fig. 6). 4-Coumarate two hydroxycinnamates that are likely to be metabolized into coniferyl aldehyde, 4-coumarate methylation appear to be widely distributed in angiosperms (Tables II and III).

5-Hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde and coniferyl aldehyde modulation appear to be widely distributed in angiosperms (Tables II and III). In the presence of coniferyl aldehyde and 5-hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde, 4-coumarate 3 and caffeate 5 are the only two hydroxycinnamates that are likely to be metabolized into monolignols (Fig. 6). 4-Coumarate 3, which is formed from cinnaurate 2 by the action of a highly substrate-specific P450 monoxygenase of the CYP73 family, cinnaurate 4-hydroxylase (39–41), is the most preferred substrate of 4-coumarate:CoA ligase (4CL), and 4-coumaroyl-CoA ester ligase (4CL) such as that reported in Silene dioica (42), parsley (43), Zinnia (44), and Lithospermum erythrorhizon (45), although these activities may actually be polyphenol oxidases (45–47). Thus, the conversion of 4-coumarate 3 to caffeoyl-CoA 6 via 4-coumaroyl-CoA 4 represents one lignin precursor pathway. Alternatively, 4-coumarate 3 can be enzymatically 3-hydroxylated into caffeate 5 (48, 49), mediated by 4-coumarate 3-hydroxylase as shown by Kojima and Takeuchi (49), and in turn caffeate 5 can be activated by 4CL into caffeoyl-CoA 6 (38, 50, 51) for further metabolism into downstream intermediates, representing another possible biosynthetic pathway for monolignol biosynthesis. It needs, however, to be stressed that neither CCoAOMT nor 4-coumarate 3-hydroxylase have been cloned and their activities have not been unambiguously demonstrated in actively lignifying tissues of any plant species (23).

Effect of 5-hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde on the O-methyltransferase activity of developing xylem proteins from various angiosperm tree species

| Species                  | CA to FA | 5OHFA to SA | 5OHCAld to SAld | CA + 5OHFA + 5OHCAld to FA | SA | Ald |
|--------------------------|----------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|----|-----|
| Aspen (P. tremuloides)   | 12.5     | 15.6        | 12.8            | 2.2                         | 0.1| 13.7|
| Acacia (A. mangium × auriculiformis) | 12.4 | 27.9 | 37.8 | 0.7 | 0 | 19.0 |
| Basswood (T. americana)  | 11.2     | 25.6        | 39.4            | 0.6                         | 0.7| 23.2|
| Black ash (F. americana) | 11.0     | 18.9        | 46.8            | 0.8                         | 0  | 15.3|
| Hophor beam (O. virginiana) | 8.9    | 17.9        | 20.8            | 0.6                         | 0  | 27.9|
| Red maple (A. rubrum)    | 16.1     | 24.7        | 37.0            | 0.4                         | 0  | 14.1|
| Sugar maple (A. saccharum) | 15.2 | 21.2        | 45.7            | 0.5                         | 0.2| 17.7|
| Sweetgum (L. styraciflua) | 7.7   | 12.7        | 16.2            | 2.2                         | 0  | 19.2|
| White birch (P. papyrifera) | 9.6   | 19.4        | 7.6             | 0.4                         | 0  | 11.1|
| Yellow birch (B. alleghaniensis) | 11.9 | 25.0        | 20.8            | 0.5                         | 0.2| 22.1|

Effect of feruloyl-CoA on the 5-hydroxylase activity of developing xylem proteins from various angiosperm tree species

The following abbreviations are used: CA, caffeate; FA, ferulate; 5OHFA, 5-hydroxyferulate; SA, sinapate; 5OHCAld, 5-hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde; SAld, sinapyl aldehyde.
5-hydroxyconiferyl alcohol effectively and thus arguing against a pathway from coniferyl alcohol 11 to sinapyl alcohol 12 via 5-hydroxyconiferyl alcohol 18, as proposed by Fukushima et al. (56) and Chen et al. (57). However, the suggestion by Chen et al. (57) that oxidation of coniferyl alcohol 11 to coniferyl aldehyde 8 might lead to the biosynthesis of sinapyl alcohol 12 through sinapyl aldehyde 10 is consistent with our demonstration that coniferyl aldehyde 5-hydroxylation followed by AldOMT-mediated methylation directs syringyl monolignol biosynthesis in angiosperms. Taken together, these results provide evidence that ring substitution-specific methylation directs monolignol biosynthesis in angiosperms. CCoAOMT mediates specifically the ring-3 methylation of guaiacyl intermediate caffeoyl-CoA 6 for the biosynthesis of common substrates for both guaiacyl and syringyl monolignols, and AldOMT, catalyzing distinctly the ring-5 methylation of 5-hydroxyconiferyl aldehyde 9, is specific for syringyl monolignol biosynthesis. This is in line with the finding that suppression of CCoAOMT activity in transgenic tobacco reduced the biosynthesis of both guaiacyl and syringyl moieties, and that down-regulation of AldOMT (or previously COMT) preferentially attenuated syringyl monolignol biosynthesis (58). The specific function of CCoAOMT in catalyzing ring-3 methylation of guaiacyl derivative caffeoyl-CoA 6 is also consistent with its role as the major lignin pathway methylating enzyme in loblolly pine (33), a gymnosperm containing primary guaiacyl lignin. The commonality of a guaiacyl moiety-specific methylation function for CCoAOMTs in both angiosperm and gymnosperm species is in agreement with the highly conserved amino acid sequences of CCoAOMTs in these species (35). The amino acid sequences of AldOMTs (or previously COMT), however, differ significantly from those of CCoAOMTs (35), suggesting that AldOMT’s specific function in the biosynthesis of syringyl monolignol was evolved after the divergence of angiosperms from gymnosperms, and that CCoAOMTs function remained in angiosperms after the divergence. Thus, in angiosperms, two distinct hydroxylation/methylation pathways regulate the biosynthesis of guaiacyl and syringyl mono-

FIG. 6. Biosynthetic pathway from phenylalanine 1 to coniferyl alcohol 11 and sinapyl alcohol 12 for the formation of guaiacyl-syringyl lignin in angiosperms. PAL, phenylalanine ammonia-lyase; C4H, cinnamate 4-hydroxylase; C3H, 4-coumarate-3-hydroxylase; 4CL, 4-coumarate-CoA ligase; CCoAOMT, caffeoyl-CoA O-methyltransferase; CCR, cinnamoyl-CoA reductase; CAD, cinnamoyl alcohol dehydrogenase.
lignols. CCoA3H (or 4-coumarate 3-hydroxylase)/CCoAOMT, in conjunction with cinnamate 4-hydroxylase, 4CL, cinnamoyl-CoA reductase, and cinnamoyl alcohol dehydrogenase, are associated with the biosynthesis of guaiacyl monolignol 11, whereas CAld5H/AldOMT divert the guaiacyl pathway at coniferyl aldehyde 8 into the biosynthesis of syringyl monolignol 12 (Fig. 6).

Our results demonstrate conclusively that lignin is not the sink for ferulate 13, 5-hydroxyferulate 14, and sinapate 15. Instead, these hydroxycinnamates are the substrates of glucosyltransferases for the formation of their glucosyl esters, and become the acyl donors for esterification to thecell walls, where they contribute to cross-linkages that increase cell wall stiffness (59, 60). When lignin biosynthesis is interrupted, the diminished levels of coniferyl and 5-hydroxyconiferyl aldehydes may, for example, be a part of a signaling mechanism triggering a diversion of lignin precursors into hydroxycinnamates for cell wall cross-linkages during a defense response. This is consistent with our recent discovery that lignin reduction in transgenic aspen trees did not alter the stem cell wall morphology but was accompanied by a drastic increase in wall-bound hydroxycinnamate esters (8). For normal cell wall development, CAld5H/AldOMT-mediated coniferyl aldehyde 5-hydroxylation and methylation divert precursor flow away from the ferulate pathway (Fig. 6) to streamline the lignification process. Taken together, our results provide clarification to previous ambiguous perceptions concerning certain aspects of monolignol biosynthesis in angiosperms and a biological insight into a defense response involving a CAld5H/AldOMT-modulated deposition of both lignin and other cell wall cross-linkages that may be crucial to growth and development in angiosperm trees.
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