Virtual Compton scattering measurements in the nucleon resonance region
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Abstract. We report on new measurements of the electric Generalized Polarizability (GP) of the proton \(\alpha_E\) in a kinematic region where a puzzling dependence on momentum transfer has been observed, and we have found that \(\alpha_E = (5.3 \pm 0.6_{\text{stat}} \pm 1.3_{\text{sys}}) \times 10^{-4} \text{ fm}^3\) at \(Q^2 = 0.20 \text{ (GeV/c)}^2\). The new measurements, when considered along with the rest of the world data, suggest that \(\alpha_E\) can be described by either a local plateau or by an enhancement in the region \(Q^2 = 0.20 \text{ (GeV/c)}^2\) to 0.33 \((\text{GeV/c})^2\). The experiment also provides the first measurement of the Coulomb quadrupole amplitude in the \(N \rightarrow \Delta\) transition through the exploration of the \(p(e,e'p)\gamma\) reaction. The new measurement gives \(CMR = (-4.4 \pm 0.8_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.6_{\text{sys}})\)\% at \(Q^2 = 0.20 \text{ (GeV/c)}^2\) and is consistent with the results from the pion electroproduction world data. It has been obtained using a completely different extraction method, and therefore represents a strong validation test of the world data model uncertainties.

1 Introduction

The polarizabilities of a composite system such as the nucleon [1] are fundamental structure constants, just as its size and shape, and can be accessed experimentally by Compton scattering processes. In the case of real Compton scattering (RCS), the incoming real photon deforms the nucleon, and by measuring the energy and angular distributions of the outgoing photon one can determine the global strength of the induced current and magnetization densities, which are characterized by the nucleon polarizabilities. Although the electric, magnetic and some of the spin polarizabilities are known with reasonable accuracy from Compton scattering experiments, little is known about the distribution of polarizability density inside the nucleon. We can gain access to this information through the virtual Compton scattering (VCS) process where the incident real photon is replaced by a virtual photon [2]. The virtuality of the photon allows us to map out the spatial distribution of the polarization densities. In this case it is the momentum of the outgoing real photon \(q'\) that defines the size of the perturbation while the momentum of the virtual photon \(q\) sets the scale of the observation. In analogy to the form factors for elastic scattering, which describe the charge and magnetization distributions, VCS gives access to the deformation of these distributions under the influence of an electromagnetic field perturbation as a function of the distance scale. The structure dependent part of the process is parametrized by the Generalized Polarizabilities (GPs) which can be seen as Fourier transforms of local polarization densities (electric, magnetic, and spin) [3]. The GPs are therefore a probe of the nucleon dynamics, allowing us, e.g., to study the role of the pion cloud and quark core contributions to the nucleon dynamics at various length scales.

The GPs depend on the quantum numbers of the two electromagnetic transitions involved in the Compton process and typically a multipole notation is adopted. Initially ten independent lowest-order GPs were defined [4]; it was shown [5,6] that nucleon crossing and charge con-
jugation symmetry reduce this number to six, two scalar 
\((S = 0)\) and four spin, or vector GPs \((S = 1)\). The two 
scalar GPs, the electric and the magnetic, generalize the 
well known static electric \(\alpha_E\) and magnetic \(\beta_M\) polariz-
abilities obtained in real Compton scattering \([7-10]\). Con-
trary to atomic polarizabilities, which are of the size of 
the atomic volume, the proton electric polarizability \(\alpha_E\) \([8]\) is 
much smaller than the volume scale of a nucleon \((\text{only a few } \% \text{ of its volume})\). The small size of the polarizabil-
ities reveals the extreme stiffness of the proton as a direct 
consequence of the strong binding of its inner constituents, 
the quarks and gluons, while representing a natural indica-
tion of the intrinsic relativistic character of the nucleon. In 
most recent theoretical models the electric GP \(\alpha_E\) is pre-
predicted to decrease monotonically with \(Q^2\). The observed 
smallness of \(\beta_M\) relative to \(\alpha_E\) can be explained by the 
existence of the competing paramagnetic and diamagnetic 
contributions, which nearly cancel. Furthermore, the \(\beta_M\)
polarizability is predicted to go through a maximum before 
decreasing. This last feature is usually explained by the 
dominance of diamagnetism due to the pion cloud at 
long distance, or small \(Q^2\), and the dominance of paramag-
etism due to a quark core at short distance \((\text{large } Q^2)\).

VCS is accessed experimentally by exclusive photon 
etroproduction. The main kinematic variables are the 
CM 3-momenta \(q_{cm}\) and \(q^*_cm\) of the initial and final 
photons respectively, the CM angles of the outgoing real pho-
ton \(\vec{q}_{cm}\), the polar angle \(\theta_{\gamma^*}\), and the azimuthal an-
gle \(\phi\), and the 4-momentum transfer squared \(Q^2\). The 
photon electroproduction amplitude can be decomposed into 
the Bethe-Heitler (BH), the Born, and the non-Born con-
tributions. The BH and VCS Born parts are well known 
and entirely calculable with the nucleon electromagnetic 
(EM) form factors as inputs, while the non-Born part in-
volves the structure dependent component, parametrized 
by the GPs. In order to extract the GPs from measurements 
of photon electroproduction cross sections one can utilize 
two methods. The first method involves the Low 
Energy Theorem (LET) \([4]\) and is valid below pion thresh-
hold only. The second method involves the Dispersion Re-
lations (DR) approach \([11-13]\) and its domain of validity 
includes the \(\Delta(1232)\) resonance up to the \(N\pi\pi\) thresh-
old. When the VCS reaction is measured in the \(\Delta(1232)\) 
resonance region the VCS non-Born part offers access to 
additional information, such as the \(N \to \Delta\) transition 
form factors \([14]\). An extensive experimental and theo-
retical effort has focused on this subject in the past two 
decades. Particular attention has been addressed to the 
two quadrupole transition amplitudes, the Electric and the 
Coulomb, which offer a path for the exploration of the 
non-spherical components in the nucleon wavefunction. A 
review of this topic is presented in \([15]\).  

2 The experimental measurements  
The measurements were performed at \(Q^2 = 0.20 \text{ (GeV/c)}^2\) 
and in the \(\Delta(1232)\) resonance region. The experiment 
aims to study the electric Generalized Polarizability of 
the proton \(\alpha_E\) in a region where two MAMI experi-
ments \([16,17]\) have identified an unexpected enhancement 
of \(\alpha_E\), at \(Q^2 = 0.33 \text{ (GeV/c)}^2\), that can not be accommo-
dated along with the other experimental measurements at 
different \(Q^2\) with a single dipole fall-off in \(Q^2\). In this 
work an additional opportunity is also presented to ac-
cess for the first time the \(N \to \Delta\) quadrupole ampli-
tudes through the measurement of the photon channel 
and to offer a valuable cross check to the world data. The 
two quadrupole transition amplitudes have so far 
been explored only through pion electroproduction mea-
surements. In this work we have explored the Coulomb 
quadrupole for the first time through the VCS reaction, 
providing a measurement through a different reaction 
mechanism and within a completely different theoretical 
framework. 

The experiment kinematics focused on \(\theta_{\gamma^*} > 120^\circ\) in 
order to avoid the kinematic region where the BH process 
dominates and to allow for the sensitivity to the mea-
sured signal to be maximal. The VCS cross section was 
measured, as well as the in-plane azimuthal asymmetry 
of the VCS cross section with respect to the momentum 
transfer direction 

\[ A_{\phi_{\gamma^*},\gamma^*=0} = \frac{\sigma_{\phi_{\gamma^*},\gamma^*=0} - \sigma_{\phi_{\gamma^*},\gamma^*=180}}{\sigma_{\phi_{\gamma^*},\gamma^*=0} + \sigma_{\phi_{\gamma^*},\gamma^*=180}}. \]

The asymmetry allows for part of the cross section’s sys-
tematic uncertainties to be suppressed thus improving the 
precision of the measurements and amplifying the sensi-
tivity to the measured amplitudes. 

The experiment utilized the A1 spectrometer setup at 
MAMI \([18]\). A 1.1 GeV unpolarized electron beam with 
a beam current of 20 \(\mu\)A was employed on a 5 cm liquid 
hydrogen target, while the beam was rastered across the 
target to avoid boiling. The recoil proton and the scat-
tered electron were detected in coincidence with spec-
trometers A and B \([18]\), while the undetected photon of 
the VCS reaction was identified through the missing mass 
spectrum. Each spectrometer contains two vertical drift 
chambers, two scintillator planes, and a Cherenkov detec-
tor, while both spectrometers offer a momentum resolu-
tion of \(10^{-4}\) . The experimental setup offered a better than 
1 ns (FWFM) resolution in the coincidence timing spec-
trum, while the subtraction of the random coincidences 
introduced a minor uncertainty as a result of the small 
contribution of the random coincidences under the coinci-
dence timing peak and of the excellent timing resolution. 
The photon electroproduction events were further identi-
fied through the missing-mass reconstruction as shown in 
fig. 1 (right panel), where one can clearly observe the pho-
ton peak as well as the \(\pi^2\)-electroproduction peak which 
also falls within the spectrometer acceptance, with the two 
peaks being very well separated. An extensive description 
of the experimental arrangement and parameters, as well 
as of the data analysis can be found in \([19,20]\).

A calibration was performed to the central momenta 
of the two spectrometers by simultaneously optimizing the 
experimental missing mass peak position and width. An 
additional constraint in determining the actual moment-
um settings of both spectrometers is offered by the fact 
that in the asymmetry measurements the electron spec-
The two peaks corresponding to the photon and to the $\pi^0$ are very well separated. The photon peak has been multiplied by a factor of 10 so that it can be clearly seen in the figure. The inserted panel shows the center of the photon missing mass peak before (gray circle) and after (black box) the momentum calibration as a function of the different run numbers.

In order to determine the experimental cross section the five-fold solid angle is calculated through a Monte Carlo simulation that offers an accurate description of the experimental setup, including the intrinsic resolution of the detectors and energy losses. The radiative corrections have been included in the simulation and they are accounted for as described in [21]. In order to extract the experimental cross section the generated events in the simulation are weighted with a cross section using the DR calculation of [11,12]. The calculation includes the BH+Born and the non-Born contributions, where one can utilize different parameterizations for the non-Born part. For the non-Born part a realistic initial parametrization is applied based on the current knowledge of the GPs as well as that of the $N \to \Delta$ transition amplitudes, the experimental cross sections are determined, and the scalar GPs are then set as free parameters and are extracted utilizing the DR framework [19,22]. Then the process is repeated by using the extracted parameters as a new input in the simulation cross section, and the amplitudes of interest are then extracted again. This procedure converges quickly and the extracted values for the GPs are at that point finalized. If the procedure is repeated by utilizing a different initial parametrization for the non-Born part the converging results are independent of the initial input parameters.

For the electric Generalized Polarizability the extracted value is $\alpha_E = (5.3 \pm 0.6 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-4} \text{fm}^3$ at $Q^2 = 0.20 \text{ (GeV/c)}^2$, where the first uncertainty is statistical and the second is the systematic one. The magnetic GP was also treated as a free parameter in the analysis but was constrained within a very large uncertainty, something to be expected since the experiment kinematics were

The BH+Born contribution accounts for $\approx 20\%$ of the total cross section (see fig. 2) for all experimental settings. The primary sources of systematic uncertainties involve the uncertainties in the momenta and the angles of the two spectrometers, the luminosity, the knowledge of the acceptance, and the radiative corrections. For the spectrometer momenta the effect of an uncertainty of $\pm 0.1 \text{ mr}$ for each one of the two spectrometers. The effect of these uncertainties varies among the settings but is in principle of the order of $\pm 1\%$. The uncertainty to the solid angle, the luminosity, and the radiative corrections added quadratically is $\approx \pm 2.5\%$. The statistical uncertainty on the cross section is typically smaller, ranging between 1.5% and 2%.

If the procedure is repeated by utilizing a different initial parametrization for the non-Born part the converging results are independent of the initial input parameters.
optimized for the measurement of $\alpha_E$. The spin dependent part of the GPs has been accounted for in the analysis through the predictions of the DR calculation, as typically done in all similar measurements in the past. The effect of using different parameterizations for the proton form factors in the analysis was explored since these quantities enter the calculation of the BH+Born cross section. A systematic study was performed by applying different parameterizations in the analysis, and the variation of the $\alpha_E$ results was determined to be $\pm 0.3 \times 10^{-4}$ fm$^3$. An uncertainty of $\pm 0.4 \times 10^{-4}$ fm$^3$ is associated with the uncertainty of the resonant dipole and quadrupole amplitudes. These values were treated as systematic uncertainties and were integrated into the overall systematic uncertainty.

The experimental measurements offer sensitivity to the $N \rightarrow \Delta$ transition Coulomb quadrupole amplitude, which is typically quantified through the CMR ratio to the dominant magnetic dipole amplitude. The Coulomb quadrupole has been previously measured at the same $Q^2$ through the $p(e,e'p)\pi^0$ reaction, utilizing the same experimental setup as in this work, giving the result $CMR = (-5.09 \pm 0.28_{stat}^{+syst} \pm 0.30_{mod})\%$ [23]. If this amplitude is set as a free parameter in the analysis of the VCS measurements we derive the value of $CMR = (-4.4 \pm 0.8_{stat} \pm 0.6_{syst} \pm 0.3).\%$, which is in very good agreement with the result derived from the pion channel measurement [23]. In this case the central value for the electric GP increases slightly to $\alpha_E = 5.4 \times 10^{-4}$ fm$^3$. In fig. 3 the measured cross sections and asymmetries for a fixed $Q^2 = 0.20$ (GeV/c)$^2$ are presented. The solid line corresponds to the DR calculation with the extracted values for $\alpha_E$ and for CMR. The dashed and the dotted curves show the effect from a variation to the central value of $\alpha_E$, from $3 \times 10^{-4}$ fm$^3$ to $8 \times 10^{-4}$ fm$^3$, while the dash-dot-dot and the dash-dot curves show the effect from the variation to the CMR value, from 0% to $-7%$.

3 Discussion and conclusions

In this experiment we have performed a measurement of $\alpha_E$ in a kinematic region where a puzzling dependence on the momentum transfer has been observed. The result for the electric GP is shown in fig. 4, along with the world data from Bates [24], MAMI [16, 17, 25], JLab [26, 22] and the RCS [8]. The new result suggests that $\alpha_E$ follows a fall-
Fig. 5. Left panel: the CMR measurement from this work (solid circle) and from [23,33–39] (open symbols) are presented. All data points are shown with their total experimental uncertainties (statistical and systematic) added in quadrature. The theoretical predictions of Sato-Lee [40] (full and bare), the large-Nc calculation [41], and the DSEM [42] are also shown. Right panel: the CMR measurement from this work (solid circle) is shown along with all the available theoretical calculations: MAID [43,44], DMT [45], SAID [46–48], Sato-Lee [40], Capstick [49], HQM [50], the Lattice-QCD calculation [51], the large-Nc calculation [41], the DSEM [42], the linear $\sigma$-model (LSM) [52], the ChEFT of Pascalutsa-Vanderhaegen (PV) [53] and the Gail-Hemmert (GH) [54].

off with $Q^2$ after the Bates data point [24] at $Q^2 = 0.06$ (GeV/c)$^2$. Then $\alpha_E$ can be described by either an enhancement, or by a local plateau, in the range of $Q^2 = 0.20$ (GeV/c)$^2$ to 0.33 (GeV/c)$^2$, before it then continues with a more drastic fall-off towards the JLab measurement at $Q^2 = 0.9$ (GeV/c)$^2$. A dipole fall off of $\alpha_E$ that has been suggested by the Bates [24] and the JLab [26,22] data, but not supported by the MAMI [16,17] ones, is consistent only with the lower end of the $\alpha_E$ experimental uncertainty of our results at 0.20 (GeV/c)$^2$. Another MAMI experiment [32] has performed measurements of the electric GP in the same momentum transfer region and its upcoming results are expected to shed more light into the $Q^2$ dependence of $\alpha_E$. The $\alpha_E$ polarizability has been studied within a variety of theoretical frameworks, as exhibited in fig. 4, and the new measurement offers new input, and constraints, to these calculations. Our results are in agreement with the NLO HbhChPT [28] calculation that includes the Delta isobar in the $\epsilon$-expansion. The NLO BCHPT calculation with Delta degrees of freedom by [27] has a relatively large uncertainty (shown by the blue band) and can not discriminate between the results of the new and previous MAMI experiments. The predictions of the NRQCM [29], Effective Lagrangian Model [30], and the Linear Sigma Model [31] tend to underestimate the magnitude of $\alpha_E$, as also suggested by the rest of the world data. It has to be noted that all theoretical calculations predict a monotonic fall off of $\alpha_E$ and none of them is able to account for a non trivial $Q^2$ dependence that the world data suggest.

An extraction of the CMR has been performed for the first time through the measurement of the $p(e, e'p)\gamma$ reaction. The new result is presented in fig. 5 (left panel), along with the results from the pion channel measurements [23, 33–39]. One can note the excellent agreement between the photon and the pion channel results at $Q^2 = 0.20$ (GeV/c)$^2$. The data are compared to the theoretical predictions of Sato-Lee [40] (full and bare), the DSEM [42], and the linear $\sigma$-model (LSM) [52]. One can note that the Sato Lee prediction has a remarkable success in describing the $Q^2$ evolution of the Coulomb quadrupole amplitude, providing a strong support to the interpretation within the model that the $\Delta$ resonance consists of a bare quark-gluon core and a pion cloud, and the large pion cloud contribution to CMR can be seen by comparing the Sato Lee “full” and “bare” curves. One can further observe that the dashed curve of the Sato Lee “bare” component is qualitatively similar to the prediction of a model based on the Dyson-Schwinger Equation of QCD [42] (DSEM) which does not include the pion degree of freedom, and thus the agreement between the data and the Sato Lee prediction suggests a possible link between the bare quark-core of a dynamical model and the genuine QCD dynamics. In fig. 5 (right panel) the results are compared to all available theoretical calculations: the phenomenological analysis of MAID [43,44], DMT [45], SAID [46–48] and the...
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