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ABSTRACT

Background: A decline in routine vaccination was reported by some countries early in the COVID-19 pandemic. In the context of the pandemic, determinants of routine childhood vaccination may have changed. Changes over time in parents’ perceptions of routine vaccines and intentions for their children during the pandemic have not been fully explored. Understanding changes provides opportunities to promote routine childhood vaccines and address factors that may compromise parents’ acceptance.

Methods: We conducted longitudinal analysis of two sequential national surveys during the pandemic (Dec 2020 and Oct/Nov 2021) to assess changes over time in Canadian parents’ perceptions of routine childhood vaccines, intentions to vaccinate, access for their children ≤ 17 years, and differences among sociodemographic characteristics. McNemar-Bowker tests were used to determine changes in parents’ responses collected at two time points.

Results: Of the 650 parents in the sample, 25.1% with a child ≤ 6 years and 20.5% with a child 7–17 years perceived that routine childhood vaccines were more important because of the pandemic. Between the two time points, parents’ confidence in the safety (72.8% to 80.2%, p <.001) and effectiveness (81.7% to 85.2%, p = .007) of routine vaccines increased, parents were more engaged in vaccine decision-making (73.4% to 79.8%, p = .006), and everyday stress preventing vaccination decreased (78.8% to 68.5%, p <.001). Acceptance of routine vaccines increased (82.9% to 86.5%, p =.021), but more parents were undecided about influenza vaccination (12.6% to 20.3%, p =.002). Compared to parents with 1 child, those with 2 children reported increased vaccination acceptance (82.6% to 87.4%, p =.024).

Interpretation: Under the spotlight of COVID-19, parents’ confidence in routine vaccines, engagement in decision-making, and vaccination acceptance increased. Vaccination providers should support parents’ decision-making as they navigate routine childhood vaccine uncertainties. Differences in parents’ acceptance of routine and influenza vaccines for their children highlight the need for targeted communication strategies for specific vaccines.

© 2022 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/).

1. Introduction

SARS-CoV-2 has raised global awareness of the importance of infectious disease prevention, highlighting vaccine innovation and its critical role in the transition from pandemic to endemic [1]. The focus on COVID-19 vaccination raises questions about whether the pandemic has influenced perceptions towards existing routine childhood vaccines and parents’ intentions to vaccinate. Prior to the pandemic, significant research focused on vaccine hesitancy as a key determinant of low routine childhood vaccination uptake [2–5]. As posed by Harrison and Wu [6], “Will COVID-19 fix the problem of vaccine hesitancy?”.

A stark decline in routine childhood vaccination was reported by many countries during the first waves of the pandemic (i.e., 2020 and 2021) [7–13], while others experienced an increase in uptake [14]. In 2019 before the COVID-19 pandemic, 78% of 2-year-old children in Canada had received all recommended doses of pertussis-containing vaccine [15], with a notable decline in coverage reported in some provinces at the start of the pandemic (i.e., March-July 2020) [16,17]. Declines were often attributed to stay-
at-home orders, school closures, constraints on health resources and services (i.e., access to personal protective equipment, facility closures), and parents’ fear of COVID-19 transmission when accessing vaccine services [7,16–19]. Regional [20], national [21,22], and international [23,24] immunization regulatory bodies provided urgent recommendations outlining the importance of continued vaccination services during the pandemic, fearing infectious disease outbreaks in at-risk populations [25,26]. Although routine vaccination rates trended towards pre-pandemic levels by January 2021, many regions reported time-delays in recommended coverage targets specific to the vaccine and age of the child [16–19,27]. These studies focus on administrative data and compare routine vaccination rates early in the pandemic to pre-pandemic levels. Few studies [28,29] have explored changes over time during the pandemic, in parents’ perceptions of routine vaccines and their intentions to vaccinate their children.

The determinants of routine childhood vaccination may have changed within the context of the COVID-19 pandemic. Exploring these changes may present a timely opportunity for health officials and policy makers to identify areas to improve vaccination for a potentially captive audience. Therefore, this longitudinal study explores a national sample of parents’ perceptions of routine childhood vaccines, intentions to vaccinate, and access to routine vaccines for their child(ren) ≤ 17 years at two time points during the pandemic, and whether changes in vaccine acceptance vary by sociodemographic characteristics.

2. Methods

2.1. Study design and participants

We conducted two cross-sectional national online surveys with respondents selected from a panel of > 400,000 Canadians from a well-established national polling firm [30]. Initial data collection occurred December 10–24 2020 just as the first COVID-19 vaccine, BNT162b2 (Pfizer-BioNTech), was approved by Health Canada, with prioritized administration for adults most at risk for COVID-19 disease (i.e., long term care residents and healthcare workers) [31]. COVID-19 vaccines were readily available for those ≥ 12 years during the second data collection period (Oct 14 - Nov 12, 2021), however COVID-19 vaccination had not yet been approved for children aged 5–11 years [32]. The overall sample for each survey (Survey 1, Dec 2020, N = 5028; Survey 2, Oct/Nov 2021, N = 6,026) was representative for population size in all provinces, and by sex and age, based on data from the latest Census [33]. Respondents were adults who had access to the internet and were proficient in reading English or French. To ensure rigor and validity [34], respondents had unique URLs and 15% of respondents were contacted by telephone for identity verification.

We purposively sampled minimum quotas of targeted populations. This included a quota of respondents who identified as being a primary caregiver to one or more children ≤ 17 years of age in their home. For longitudinal analysis, parents from 10 Canadian provinces who responded to both surveys were included in this study (N = 650). Two age groups were assessed: children 0–6 years and 7–17 years old. Consistent with other studies [35,36], if parents had multiple children within an age group, they were asked to respond for their youngest child in that group. We estimated the minimal sample size of the target parent population to be 402, based on the maximum variability possible in the outcome variable in the population (i.e., a proportion of 0.50), with a margin of error of +/− 5% and 95% confidence intervals (CI).

Each of the approximately 75-question online surveys took an average of 19 minutes to complete. The survey instrument was developed by drawing from a previous survey of Canadians’ acceptance of routine childhood vaccines [35], previously validated questions about perceptions of vaccination (i.e., 5C’s psychological antecedents of vaccination) [37], areas of focus for our policy partners (including the National Advisory Committee on Immunization Secretariat), and the expertise of our national team of immunization researchers and policy advisors. The draft questionnaires were reviewed by public health experts, pre-tested with team members, and pilot tested with members of the public and revised accordingly. This study received approval from the Health Research Ethics Board at the University of Alberta.

2.2. Measures

Our primary focus was the proportion and direction of difference between each variable at two points during the pandemic to assess for changes in parents’ perceptions and intentions over time. Variables were based on determinants of routine vaccination and included parents’ confidence in the safety, necessity, and effectiveness of routine vaccines, vaccination as a collective action to prevent the spread of disease, vaccination decision-making, competing priorities that prevent vaccination, mandated vaccination, routine childhood and influenza vaccination intention and receipt before and during the pandemic, and a direct question that asked how the pandemic had changed the way that parents think about routine vaccines. Sociodemographic variables included: province, age, level of education, employment status, annual household income, gender, relationship status, number and ages of children, self-identified ethnicity, citizenship, new to Canada in the past 5 years, and languages most often spoken at home. Survey questions are provided in the Appendix (Table A1).

2.3. Statistical analysis

We calculated descriptive statistics (i.e., frequencies and percentages) for variables at each data collection time point. Respondents’ paired data from survey 1 and survey 2 were analyzed using the McNemar-Bowker test with a significance level of 0.05 and 95% CIs to assess differences in participants’ responses to the same survey question at two time points during the pandemic. Sociodemographic factors from survey 2 were analyzed to reflect the most recent demographic data, including stratification by key characteristics. Post hoc tests were used to correct for multiple comparisons and stratum (i.e., Bonferroni’s correction and Stuart–Maxwell test marginal homogeneity in a square table with more than two columns/rows). No data were missing due to the online survey completion requirements. We analyzed data using SPSS version 26.0 (IBM, Chicago, IL, USA).

3. Results

3.1. Sociodemographic characteristics of parent sample

The sample of parents who answered both surveys (N = 650) was proportionately representative of the national population by self-identified ethnicity, language spoken most often at home, citizenship, marital status of parents, and number of children [38]. As seen in Table 1, 65.4% of parents were from the provinces of Ontario and Quebec, followed by Alberta and British Columbia (21.4%), and 77.2% were between the ages of 30–49. Over half of parents had a university degree (59.5%), an annual household income ≥ $80,000 (56.6%), and 74.2% were employed full-time. Over half of respondents identified as a woman (55.4%), 86.5% were married or in a common-law relationship. Half of parents had 1 child (50.9%), with the remaining parents having 2 or more children (49.1%). One-third of parents (32.6%) had only preschool-
Two-thirds of parents self-identified as White (62.3%), were a Canadian citizen by birth (68.8%), and spoke English most often at home (61.1%). Thirty-seven percent of parents self-identified as a Racialized minority and 8.2% of parents were new to Canada within the past 5 years.

### Table 1

| Characteristics | Category | n (%) |
|-----------------|----------|-------|
| **Province of residence** | British Columbia | 68 (10.5) |
| | Alberta | 71 (10.9) |
| | Saskatchewan and Manitoba | 47 (7.2) |
| | Ontario | 241 (37.1) |
| | Quebec | 184 (28.3) |
| | Atlantic provinces | 39 (6.0) |
| **Age** | 15–29 years | 46 (7.1) |
| | 30–39 years | 231 (35.5) |
| | 40–49 years | 271 (41.7) |
| | 50–59 years | 96 (14.8) |
| | ≥ 60 years | 6 (0.9) |
| **Highest level of education** | High school or less | 61 (9.4) |
| | Non-university certificate or diploma (college/apprenticeship) | 196 (30.2) |
| | University degree/Bachelor's or more than a Bachelor's | 387 (59.5) |
| | Prefer not to answer | 6 (0.9) |
| **Employment status** | Full-time (>37 h per week) | 482 (74.2) |
| | Part-time (<37 h per week) | 73 (11.2) |
| | Unemployed | 77 (11.8) |
| | Prefer not to answer | 18 (2.8) |
| **Annual household income** | < $40,000 | 58 (8.9) |
| | $40,000–79,000 | 170 (26.2) |
| | ≥ $80,000 | 368 (56.6) |
| | Prefer not to answer | 54 (8.3) |
| **Gender** | Woman | 360 (55.4) |
| | Man | 288 (44.3) |
| | Gender minority | 2 (0.3) |
| **Relationship status** | Not married | 85 (13.1) |
| | Married/common-law | 562 (86.5) |
| | Prefer not to answer | 3 (0.5) |
| **Number of children in household (0–17 years old)** | 1 child | 331 (50.9) |
| | 2 children | 247 (38.0) |
| | 3 or more children | 72 (11.1) |
| **Parents with a preschool and/or schoolaged child(ren)** | Preschool aged child(ren) (0–6 years old) only | 212 (32.6) |
| | School aged child(ren) (7–17 years old) only | 339 (52.2) |
| | Preschool and school-aged children (0–6 & 7–17 years) | 99 (15.2) |
| **Ethnic or cultural origin** | White | 405 (62.1) |
| | Racialized minority | 207 (31.8) |
| | Indigenous | 34 (5.2) |
| | Prefer not to answer | 4 (0.6) |
| **Citizenship** | Canadian citizen by birth | 447 (68.8) |
| | Canadian citizen by immigration | 135 (20.8) |
| | Permanent resident | 55 (8.7) |
| | Temporary resident | 12 (1.9) |
| | Prefer not to answer | 1 (0.2) |
| **New to Canada within the last 5 years** | Yes | 53 (8.2) |
| | No | 597 (91.8) |
| **Language spoken most often at home** | English | 397 (61.1) |
| | French | 162 (24.9) |
| | Other languages | 91 (14.0) |

* Sociodemographic characteristics provided from survey 2; data collection October/November 2021.
* Atlantic provinces include Prince Edward Island, Nova Scotia, New Brunswick, and Newfoundland and Labrador.
* Racialized minority groups including Black, Latin/Central American, Arabic/West Asian/North African, East Asian, South Asian, and any respondents who selected one of these groups and White.
* Indigenous respondents are individuals who self-identified as First Nations, Métis, or Inuk.
* Permanent resident refers to a landed immigrant.
* Temporary resident includes non-permanent residents, such as those in Canada on a work or study visa.

aged children (0–6 years), 52.2% only had school-aged children (7–17 years), and 15.2% had children in both age categories. Two-thirds of parents self-identified as White (62.3%), were a Canadian citizen by birth (68.8%), and spoke English most often at home (61.1%). Thirty-seven percent of parents self-identified as a Racialized minority and 8.2% of parents were new to Canada within the past 5 years.

### 4. Parents’ perceptions of routine vaccination during the COVID-19 pandemic

Fig. 1 shows that 25.1% of parents with a child aged 0–6 years and 20.5% of parents with a child aged 7–17 years reported in survey 2 (Oct/Nov 2021) that they perceived routine childhood vaccines as being more important because of the COVID-19 pandemic. As seen in Table 2, there were statistically significant increases over time in parents’ confidence in routine vaccines (72.8% to 80.2%, p < .001), agreement that they weigh the benefits and risks of vaccination to make the best decision possible (73.4% to 79.8%, p = .006), and that routine vaccines are effective (81.7% to 85.2%, p = .007). Parents increasingly disagreed that everyday stress would prevent them from getting a routine vaccine over time (68.5% to 78.8%, p < .001). There was an increase over time in parents’ acceptance of routine childhood vaccines during the pandemic (82.9% to 86.5%, p = .021), and a decrease in parents who reported no intent/no receipt of routine childhood vaccines (12.5% to 8.3%, p = .021).
Finally, parents were increasingly undecided about vaccinating their children against influenza (12.6% to 20.3%, p < .002).

Of the 111 parents in survey 1 who were uncertain or would not accept routine childhood vaccination during the pandemic, 19.8% reported that they were waiting for the pandemic to be over and 18.0% were worried about COVID-19 transmission at the appointment (Fig. 2). Of the 88 parents in survey 2 who were uncertain or would not accept routine childhood vaccination during the pandemic, parents were less concerned about COVID-19 transmission at the appointment (9.1%), were waiting for the pandemic to be over (19.3%), or were not sure if appointments were happening (17.0%).

Table 3 shows parents’ acceptance of routine childhood vaccines stratified by sociodemographic characteristics. Compared to other provinces, those from Ontario reported an increase over time in vaccine acceptance for their children during the pandemic (80.4% to 87.1%, p = .007) and a decrease in no intent/no receipt (15.4% to 7.5%, p = .007). Parents with 2 children reported an increase over time in routine vaccine acceptance for their children (82.6% to 87.4%, p = .024), and a decrease in no intent/no receipt (11.3% to 6.1%, p = .024), compared to parents with 1 child. No other sociodemographic factors were significant (see Appendix Table A2).

Table 4 shows parents’ reported vaccination status of their children before the pandemic, in comparison with parents’ vaccine acceptance for their children at two points during the pandemic. Compared to children’s pre-pandemic vaccination status, parents of children aged 0–6 and 7–17 years reported a decrease in routine vaccine acceptance at the time of survey 1 (93.5% to 85.5% and 92% to 80.9%, respectively). Parents reported a slight increase in routine vaccine acceptance for survey 2 (89.7% and 84.9% respectively). However, these results are not significant, as CIs overlap.

5. Discussion

5.1. Changes in parents’ perceptions of routine childhood vaccines

In October/November 2021 during the second wave of the COVID-19 pandemic [i.e., when the Delta variant (B.1.617.2) was prominent and prior to the Omicron variant (B.1.1.529)], almost 25% of parents in our study reported that they perceived routine childhood vaccination as being more important as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic. We found an increase over time in parents’ perceived importance of routine vaccines in general (e.g., childhood and adult routine vaccines) and significant increases in parents’ reported confidence in their safety and effectiveness. Findings suggest that the COVID-19 pandemic has positively influenced Canadian parents’ perceptions about the importance of routine vaccines in preventing infectious diseases. Conversely, a study from the United States [29] found an increase in parents’ routine childhood vaccine hesitancy and risk perception, and unchanged confidence in routine vaccines during the pandemic (September 2020 - February 2021). However, this was a regional study (N = 252) and measures of perceived risk and confidence in routine vaccines focused on trustworthiness in government and health systems [4,29]. Therefore, differences in population characteristics, government policy, and vaccine delivery may account for discrepancies.

Parents in our study reported an increase over time in weighing the benefits and risks of routine vaccines when engaging in decision-making. It is possible that the global attention on the benefits, risks, and efficiency of COVID-19 vaccines in conjunction with parents’ ongoing (over a long period of time) active engagement in COVID-19 vaccine decision-making for their children, may have translated into active engagement in routine vaccine decision-making. Research has shown that trusting relationships with health care providers supports parents’ confidence and acceptance of routine vaccines when deciding whether to vaccinate their children [4,18]. Our results demonstrate that under the spotlight of the pandemic, parents are actively weighing the benefits and risks of routine vaccines. Therefore, a critical opportunity exists for frontline care providers to support parents’ decision-making as they navigate vaccination uncertainties.

Over time more parents agreed that everyday stress would not prevent access to routine vaccines, suggesting that everyday stressors were perceived less as a barrier to accessing vaccines as the pandemic progressed. Along with increased perceptions of the importance, safety, and effectiveness of routine vaccines, parents in our study may have been more motivated to actively overcome perceived barriers to accessing routine vaccines. It is noteworthy that parents in Canada were less likely to encounter barriers to accessing routine childhood vaccines later in the pandemic due to the resumption of preschool-aged and school-based vaccination programs [39,40].

5.2. Changes in parents’ acceptance of routine and influenza vaccines

We found a significant increase over time in parents’ acceptance of routine vaccines for their children during the pandemic. Results may be explained in-part by parents’ perceptions that routine childhood vaccines were more important, safe, and effective. It is also noteworthy that parents’ lower acceptance reported in December 2020 may be reflective of stay-at-home measures [7,16], school and vaccination service disruptions [40], initial absence of COVID-19 vaccines (i.e., Pfizer-BioNTech was approved in Canada December 9, 2020) [41], and fear of COVID-19 transmission at vaccination appointments [8,12,18]. Accordingly, our results suggest that parents were more concerned about COVID-19 transmission at vaccination appointments in December 2020 than they were in October/November 2021, when COVID-19 vacci-
nes were widely accepted and available for persons aged 12 year and older within Canada [42]. Furthermore, parents in our study reported high routine childhood vaccination receipt before the pandemic, with a marked decrease in routine childhood vaccine acceptance in December 2020, and subsequent increasing acceptance in October/November 2021. Results are consistent with other Canadian [17,19,27] and international [9,10] studies that found a significant decrease in routine childhood vaccination rates early in the pandemic, compared to before the pandemic, with a gradual recovery in vaccination rates as the pandemic progressed. Data collection in our study occurred prior to the more contagious COVID-19 Omicron variant (B.1.1.529), which may have further affected parents’ perceptions and acceptance of routine childhood vaccines.

A pan-Canadian environmental scan [40] from our research team reported disruptions to routine childhood vaccination services in all provinces. Of particular interest are differences in the recovery of vaccination rates between provinces, which are likely influenced by differences in vaccine service delivery. Studies conducted in provinces whose preschool-aged and infant vaccinations are primarily administered by nurses in community health centres

Table 2
Changes in parents’ responses at two time points during the pandemic.

| Variable | Category | Survey 1 | Survey 2 | McNemar Bowker p-value |
|----------|----------|----------|----------|------------------------|
|          |          | Dec 2020 n (%) | Oct/Nov 2021 n (%) |                      |
| Parents’ perceptions of routine vaccines | Routine vaccines are more important | 149 (22.9) | 176 (27.1) | p = .166 |
|          | The pandemic has not changed how I think about routine vaccines | 480 | 459 (70.6) |
|          | Routine vaccines are less important | 21 (3.2) | 15 (2.3) |
|          | Agree, more likely to vaccinate | 473 (72.8) | 521 (80.2) | p < .001 |
|          | Neutral | 112 (17.2) | 78 (12.0) |
|          | Disagree, less likely to vaccinate | 65 (10.0) | 51 (7.8) |
|          | Agree, more likely to vaccinate | 476 (73.2) | 457 (70.3) | p = .268 |
|          | Neutral | 98 (15.1) | 97 (14.9) |
|          | Disagree, less likely to vaccinate | 76 (11.7) | 96 (14.8) |
|          | Agree, more likely to vaccinate | 550 (84.6) | 559 (86.0) | p = .698 |
|          | Neutral | 64 (10.8) | 55 (8.5) |
|          | Disagree, less likely to vaccinate | 36 (5.5) | 36 (5.5) |
|          | Agree, more likely to vaccinate | 477 (73.4) | 519 (79.8) | p = .006 |
|          | Neutral | 110 (16.9) | 94 (14.5) |
|          | Disagree, less likely to vaccinate | 63 (9.7) | 37 (5.7) |
|          | Agree, more likely to vaccinate | 445 (68.5) | 512 (78.8) | p < .001 |
|          | Neutral | 130 (20.0) | 68 (10.5) |
|          | Disagree, less likely to vaccinate | 75 (11.8) | 70 (10.8) |
|          | Agree | 531 (81.7) | 554 (85.2) | p = .007 |
|          | Neutral | 90 (13.8) | 57 (8.8) |
|          | Disagree | 29 (4.5) | 39 (6.0) |
|          | Agree | 456 (70.2) | 445 (68.5) | p = .207 |
|          | Neutral | 106 (16.3) | 109 (16.8) |
|          | Disagree | 88 (13.5) | 96 (14.8) |
| Routine childhood and influenza vaccine acceptance | Intent/receipt | 539 (82.9) | 562 (86.5) | p = .021 |
|          | No intent/no receipt | 81 (12.5) | 54 (8.3) |
|          | I don’t know | 30 (4.6) | 34 (5.2) | p = .087 |
|          | Received | 279 (42.9) | 252 (38.8) |
|          | Not received | 349 | 367 (56.5) |
|          | Not eligible | 11 (1.7) | 19 (2.9) |
|          | I don’t remember | 11 (1.7) | 12 (1.8) |
|          | Intent to vaccinate | 296 (45.5) | 277 (42.6) | p = .002 |
|          | Undecided | 82 (12.6) | 132 (20.3) |
|          | No intent to vaccinate | 256 (39.4) | 221 (34.0) |
|          | Not eligible | 16 (2.5) | 20 (3.1) |

* Parents responded in reference to routine vaccines in general, not specific to routine childhood vaccines.
* Parents reported children’s receipt of seasonal influenza vaccine for the 2019–2020 influenza season in survey 1, and for the 2020–2021 influenza season in survey 2.
* Influenza vaccination is not publicly funded for healthy children in some Canadian provinces.
* Parents reported intention to vaccinate their child against influenza for the 2020–2021 influenza season in survey 1, and the 2021–2022 influenza season in survey 2.
reported a return to pre-pandemic vaccination rates by May/June 2020 [17,27]. In Ontario, preschool-aged and infant routine vaccines are primarily administered by family physicians and pediatricians, therefore were directly impacted by the suspension of in-person appointments [19,43]. Accordingly, studies found continued delays in the recovery of routine vaccination rates and coverage as late as Nov/Dec 2020 [16,19,44,45]. Parents from Ontario in our study also reported the lowest routine vaccination acceptance in December 2020, compared to other provinces, with the highest increase in acceptance October/November 2021. Results suggest that routine childhood vaccination delivery methods in Ontario were more negatively impacted by public health measures to prevent the spread of COVID-19 than other provinces. In 2020, the World Health Organization’s list of 13 global health threats for the next decade included delivering health during crises and stopping infectious diseases [46]. Thus, it is critical that the delivery of routine childhood vaccines is safeguarded through tailored strategies that ensure accessibility and inclusion [18,26].

Finally, over time parents were increasingly undecided about whether to vaccinate their children against influenza. Similar to our study, Sokol and Grummon [47] found that the COVID-19 pandemic may exacerbate polarity between routine childhood and influenza vaccination, with a marked decrease in parents’ influenza vaccination intentions for their children. Childhood influenza vaccination is typically lower than that of routine vaccines [48,49], with parents reporting concerns with its necessity and effectiveness compared to routine childhood vaccines [5]. In the context of the COVID-19 pandemic, determinants of influenza vaccination

---

**Fig. 2.** Parents’ reasons for not accepting routine childhood vaccines during the pandemic, among parents who indicated they were uncertain or declining routine vaccines.

**Table 3**

Routine childhood vaccine acceptance during the pandemic stratified by sociodemographic characteristics.

| Variable           | Category                        | Survey 1 n (%) | Survey 2 n (%) | McNemar p-value |
|--------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| **Language**       |                                 |                |                |                 |
| English (n = 397)   | Intent/receipt                  | 327 (82.4)     | 343 (86.4)     | p = .15         |
|                    | No intent/no receipt            | 51 (12.8)      | 35 (8.8)       |                 |
|                    | I don’t know                    | 19 (4.8)       | 19 (4.8)       |                 |
| French (n = 162)   | Intent/receipt                  | 136 (84)       | 138 (85.2)     | p = .103        |
|                    | No intent/no receipt            | 18 (11.1)      | 10 (6.2)       |                 |
|                    | I don’t know                    | 8 (4.9)        | 14 (8.6)       |                 |
| Other (n = 91)     | Intent/receipt                  | 76 (83.5)      | 81 (89.0)      | p = .429        |
|                    | No intent/no receipt            | 12 (13.2)      | 9 (9.9)        |                 |
|                    | I don’t know                    | 3 (3.3)        | 1 (1.1)        |                 |
| **Province**       |                                 |                |                |                 |
| British Columbia and Prairies (n = 186) | Intent/receipt | 155 (83.3) | 161 (86.6) | p = .559 |
|                    | No intent/no receipt            | 20 (10.8)      | 18 (9.7)       |                 |
|                    | I don’t know                    | 11 (5.9)       | 7 (3.8)        |                 |
| Ontario (n = 241)  | No intent/no receipt            | 194 (80.5)     | 210 (87.1)     | p = .007        |
|                    | Intent/receipt                  | 37 (15.4)      | 18 (7.5)       |                 |
|                    | I don’t know                    | 10 (4.1)       | 13 (5.4)       |                 |
| Quebec (n = 184)   | No intent/no receipt            | 155 (84.2)     | 159 (86.4)     | p = .053        |
|                    | Intent/receipt                  | 10 (5.4)       | 10 (5.6)       |                 |
|                    | No intent/no receipt            | 8 (4.3)        | 13 (7.1)       |                 |
| Atlantic (n = 39)  | No intent/no receipt            | 35 (89.7)      | 32 (82.1)      | p = .407        |
|                    | Intent/receipt                  | 3 (7.7)        | 6 (15.4)       |                 |
|                    | I don’t know                    | 1 (2.6)        | 1 (2.6)        |                 |
| **Number of Children** |                                 |                |                |                 |
| 1 child (n = 331)  | Intent/receipt                  | 278 (94.0)     | 285 (86.1)     | p = .488        |
|                    | No intent/no receipt            | 42 (12.7)      | 32 (9.7)       |                 |
|                    | I don’t know                    | 11 (3.3)       | 14 (4.2)       |                 |
| 2 children (n = 247) | Intent/receipt             | 204 (82.6)     | 216 (87.4)     | p = .024        |
|                    | No intent/no receipt            | 28 (11.3)      | 15 (6.1)       |                 |
|                    | I don’t know                    | 15 (6.1)       | 16 (6.5)       |                 |
| ≥ 3 children (n = 72) | No intent/no receipt          | 57 (79.2)      | 61 (84.7)      | p = .611        |
|                    | Intent/receipt                  | 11 (15.3)      | 7 (9.7)        |                 |
|                    | I don’t know                    | 4 (5.6)        | 4 (5.6)        |                 |

* Prairie provinces include Alberta, Saskatchewan, and Manitoba (collapsed due to sample size).

[46] World Health Organization. (2023). Global health threats for the next decade. Retrieved from https://www.who.int/health-topics/next-decade/global-health-threats-list/

[47] Sokol, J. P., & Grummon, J. (2021). Parental intention to vaccinate children against influenza during the COVID-19 pandemic. Vaccine, 39(1), 132-138.
in children are poorly understood. Considering the low prevalence of influenza disease during the pandemic, it is possible that parents prioritized COVID-19 and routine vaccines over influenza vaccine for their children.

5.3. Strengths and limitations

We collected novel information from a nationally representative sample of parents at two critical time points during the pandemic, just as the first COVID-19 vaccine was approved for persons ≥ 18 years in Canada, and before their approval in those aged 5–11 years. Our study captured how parents’ perceptions and intentions about routine childhood vaccines were influenced by the COVID-19 pandemic, and how these factors changed over time. However, our sample was selected from a pre-existing panel of individuals, so even though representative by province, age, and sex, respondents may have characteristics and responses different from the general Canadian population. Data were self-reported, therefore some variables (i.e., children’s pre-pandemic routine vaccination status) may be affected by recall and desirability bias. Finally, parents’ routine vaccination intentions and receipt of vaccines for their children were collected as one variable in our survey. Intentions to vaccinate may not translate into future receipt of vaccines, therefore further research is needed to understand whether differences in parents’ perceptions and intentions for their children have influenced the behavior of routine vaccine uptake.

6. Conclusion

Routine vaccination is an effective public health intervention that prevents the transmission of infectious diseases. The COVID-19 pandemic has highlighted the importance of vaccination in the prevention of COVID-19 disease, which consequently has highlighted the importance of routine vaccines. Our study demonstrates that the pandemic has positively influenced parents’ confidence in and acceptance of routine vaccines for their children. As the pandemic progressed, parents were increasingly engaged in vaccine decision-making and motivated to overcome barriers to access vaccines. Therefore, within the spotlight of the COVID-19 pandemic, a unique opportunity exists for vaccine service providers to support parents’ decision-making as they navigate routine vaccine uncertainties. Differences in parents’ acceptance of routine and influenza vaccines for their children highlight the need for targeted communication strategies for specific vaccines.
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