Using telemedicine to screen for retinopathy of prematurity
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Telemedicine is increasingly being used in ophthalmology for diagnosis, education and research. Combined with digital imaging, telemedicine has been successful in screening for diabetic retinopathy and diagnosing macular diseases and glaucoma.1 The introduction of the digital pediatric fundus camera has enabled the use of telemedicine in the detection and monitoring of a variety of pediatric eye diseases, including retinopathy of prematurity, retinoblastoma and nonaccidental trauma.1

Retinopathy of prematurity is a vascular proliferative disease of the retina that can result in severe complications leading to blindness in preterm infants. Binocular indirect ophthalmoscopy is the gold standard for eye examinations. Timing of the examinations is critical, and they are usually started while the infant is in the neonatal intensive care unit (ICU). The Canadian Paediatric Society has developed guidelines to screen infants for retinopathy of prematurity.2 Timely treatment substantially reduces the occurrence of complications and the risk of blindness.3

Limited access to appropriately timed eye examinations may result from a shortage of experienced ophthalmologists trained to screen and treat retinopathy of prematurity. At-risk infants in remote areas are sometimes transferred to a central (level III) neonatal ICU for each examination. Transfers of infants from level III nurseries to more remote ones are often postponed to ensure the eye examinations take place. Both options incur substantial health care costs, are associated with increased morbidity and are inconvenient for families.

Each year, more than 4000 premature infants in Canada undergo multiple eye examinations for the screening of retinopathy of prematurity. Fewer than 150 of them are found to have severe retinopathy requiring treatment.4

How are digital imaging and telemedicine combined to screen for retinopathy of prematurity?

The addition of the pediatric fundus camera as a telemedicine tool brings expertise from central neonatal ICUs to the bedside in remote units. Imaging of the infant retina is performed differently from imaging of the adult retina. The pediatric fundus camera is a wide-angle digital camera that is placed in contact with the eye to allow photography of the peripheral retina. RetCam (Clarity Medical Systems, Pleasanton, Calif.) is the most commonly used system. At the remote site, neonatal personnel trained in the use of the camera take images of the infant’s retinas. The images are then uploaded to a secure server at a central reading centre. An ophthalmologist at the reading centre evaluates the images using software that facilitates detailed image analysis. A final report and a management plan are sent back to the remote unit. This system uses a store-and-forward technique to share images.5−7

An alternative to the store-and-forward system is the use of a real-time, two-way audio–video connection. The ophthalmologist in the reading centre and personnel in the remote neonatal ICU communicate directly during the imaging session using mobile video-teleconferencing devices on a secure Internet-based connection. The video camera of the teleconferencing unit at the remote site

Key points

- Timely screening for and treatment of retinopathy of prematurity reduce the risk of blindness in infants.
- The level of access to experienced ophthalmologic care is suboptimal in remote areas.
- The combination of telemedicine and digital imaging using a pediatric fundus camera may improve access to screening services.
- A real-time audio–video connection allows optimal supervision of imaging personnel and connects ophthalmologists directly with parents.
is positioned to allow direct guidance from the central reading site. Fine adjustment of light and focusing parameters is critical in obtaining clear images for safe evaluation of the severity of the disease. (A video showing the use of telemedicine and digital imaging to screen an infant for retinopathy of prematurity is available in Appendix 1, www.cmaj.ca/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1503/cmaj.131357/-/DC1).

The acquisition of high-quality images with a pediatric fundus camera by personnel without prior expertise in contact ophthalmic imaging is a skill that takes time to master and may require prolonged support from experienced specialists.8 Data from two telemedicine screening programs for retinopathy of prematurity5,6 suggest the need for repeat imaging, particularly in younger infants.7 Because each screening examination may have associated systemic and ocular morbidities (e.g., changes in blood pressure, desaturation episodes and retinal hemorrhage), eliminating unnecessary imaging sessions is important for the safety of these fragile infants. The real-time video connection provides opportunities for continuing education and supervision of personnel performing the screening examinations at the remote unit to obtain imaging of optimal quality while maintaining patient safety. In addition, it facilitates direct parent–physician communication.

The Ontario Telemedicine for Retinopathy of Prematurity (ONTROP) project is a pilot program started in 2009 that uses real-time video communication. This project is described in Appendix 2 (available at www.cmaj.ca/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1503/cmaj.131357/-/DC1).

Who will benefit from this application of telemedicine?

Telemedicine and digital imaging can potentially provide access to resources for screening preterm infants in underserved neonatal ICUs located far from major treatment centres.5,6 The number of infants who need to be screened has increased, whereas the number of ophthalmologists willing to screen and treat retinopathy of prematurity has decreased. The reasons for this decrease include limited reimbursement, time constraints and liability risks,4,10 as well as scope of practice limited to adults and a lack of training or experience in screening and treating the disease.10 Many screening programs have been developed to use telemedicine and digital imaging.5,6,11 One example is the current model being used in India, where an estimated 65 000–130 000 infants require screening for retinopathy of prematurity each year.11 The program consists of 18 rural and semiurban centres where technicians have been trained to use a portable digital fundus camera and a store-and-forward imaging system.11

What is the evidence so far?

A systematic review of screening strategies using digital imaging for retinopathy of prematurity concluded that the current evidence base is not sufficient to recommend the routine use of retinal imaging by neonatal units to identify infants who have severe retinopathy.12 However, multiple community-based studies reported positively on the potential for telemedicine screening in remote areas to detect disease that will eventually require treatment.5–7 In a retrospective analysis of the first four years of its telemedicine initiative to screen for retinopathy of prematurity, the Stanford University Network for Diagnosis of Retinopathy of Prematurity reported that none of the infants who needed treatment were missed.5 Weaver and Murdock6 reported that a telemedicine screening program in Montana had 100% sensitivity in the detection of infants with retinopathy of prematurity who eventually required treatment. Similarly, the authors of a multicentre study in Germany that involved five peripheral neonatal ICUs reported that the combination of wide-field digital imaging and telemedicine was safe and effective in detecting potentially blinding retinopathy of prematurity.7

Jackson and colleagues13 analyzed the cost-effectiveness of telemedicine and digital imaging versus standard examination by ophthalmologists for the management of retinopathy of prematurity. They found that telemedicine was more cost-effective than standard binocular indirect ophthalmoscopy.

What can we expect in the future?

Guidelines are lacking on the use of telemedicine and digital imaging to screen for retinopathy of prematurity in infants. Until further evidence is available from large, multicentre randomized controlled trials, the combination of telemedicine and digital imaging with a real-time two-way audio–video connection appears to be a useful alternative to binocular indirect ophthalmoscopy for neonatal ICUs where on-site screening services are not available.

A video showing the use of telemedicine and digital imaging to screen an infant for retinopathy of prematurity is available in Appendix 1, at www.cmaj.ca/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1503/cmaj.131357/-/DC1.
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