Highly Crystalline TiO$_2$-MoO$_3$ Composite Materials Synthesized via a Template-Assisted Microwave Method for Electrochemical Application
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Abstract: TiO$_2$-MoO$_3$ composite systems were successfully prepared using a template-assisted microwave method at molar ratios TiO$_2$:MoO$_3$ = 8:2, 5:5 and 2:8. The synthesized material systems were comprehensively characterized, in terms of their crystalline structure (XRD and Raman spectroscopy), morphology (SEM, TEM and HRTEM analysis) and parameters of the porous structure (low-temperature N$_2$ sorption). The materials exhibited highly crystalline phases: anatase and hexagonal molybdenum trioxide. Moreover, TEM analysis revealed hexagonal prism particles of MoO$_3$ and nanocrystalline particles of TiO$_2$. The proposed template-assisted microwave synthesis enabled the incorporation of TiO$_2$ particles on the surface of hexagonal particles of MoO$_3$, which resulted in a stable junction between titania and molybdenum trioxide. The values of BET surface area were 57, 29 and 11 m$^2$/g for samples obtained at molar ratios TiO$_2$:MoO$_3$ = 8:2, 5:5 and 2:8, respectively. In electrochemical applications, titanium dioxide plays a crucial role as an intercalation intensifier, in which MoO$_3$ is responsible for current conduction. Taking account of the potential electrochemical applications, the best system was obtained at the molar ratio TiO$_2$:MoO$_3$ = 5:5. The anode could maintain a capacity of 400 mAh/g at current densities in the range 100–1000 mA/g at potential values ranging from 1.00 to 3.30 V vs. Li/Li'. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) confirmed the effective intercalation of lithium ions into the TiO$_2$-MoO$_3$ composite materials.
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1. Introduction

In recent years there has been a significant increase in the popularity of devices powered by lithium-ion batteries (LIBs), due to the need for constant communication and access to information [1]. With the development of mobile devices, new technologies are needed to make energy management more efficient, because the battery is essential for the reliability of such devices. Lithium-ion batteries are the most commonly used reversible cells. To make them suitable for wider use in home, mobile or even automotive devices, batteries should possess certain features: a high number of charge/discharge cycles and long service life, operability over a wide temperature range, safety during use, etc. [2,3]. Electrochemical reversible cells appear to be the most effective among all energy storage devices [4], therefore there is still much ongoing research aimed at improving them. It is valuable to focus on the reduction of production costs, which are relatively high and generate large amounts of waste [5], because lithium-ion cells themselves are a promising replacement for internal combustion engines [6]. They do not emit harmful exhaust gases, which means that they meet the regulations on emission reduction and may contribute to the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions [7,8]. The cells may pose a danger in case of overheating, over-rapid charging [9] or overcharging [10], because in such situations uncontrolled rupture (due to pressure increase) may occur, possibly resulting in an explosion or fire due to the presence of a flammable electrolyte [9,11].

To improve lithium-ion cells, it is necessary to search for new electrode materials, as these determine the cells functional parameters [10]. Depending on the material used, it is possible to adjust power, cell capacity and working safety. To improve performance, transition metal oxides have been tested in this function. They have proved to be an interesting anode material due to their low electrical resistance, high chemical stability and good theoretical capacity [12,13]. Molybdenum trioxide [14,15] appears particularly promising; however, many problems associated with the poor kinetics of Li+ ion diffusion [16] in the MoO3 mass have been observed during research. Therefore, to improve the electrochemical properties, various morphological forms of molybdenum trioxide have been studied, including nanofibers [15] and nanorods [16]. Another approach is to create MoO3 systems together with another oxide [17]. Due to the combination of various physical and electrochemical properties of the components, it is possible to increase the efficiency and usability of such systems. The combination of molybdenum trioxide with titanium dioxide [18] may be an interesting composite material in electrochemistry, since titanium dioxide is easily available and safe, and ensures long-term stability [19,20]. Its disadvantage is the poor conductivity and low diffusion rate of Li+, hence its combination with MoO3 may be a solution to this problem. Furthermore, it should be noted that in the case of titanium dioxide an intercalation/deintercalation mechanism can be proposed based on the available literature [21,22], this type of material being classified as an intercalation anode. Molybdenum trioxide is described as a conversion anode [23].

The synthesis of TiO2–MoO3 composite materials has already been the subject of research. Wang et al. [24] obtained a novel synergistic TiO2–MoO3 core-shell nanowire array for application as an anode material, via hydrothermal synthesis and a controlled electroplating process. The oxide system fabricated with a mass ratio of 1:1 was found to have a high gravimetric capacity, almost equal to the theoretical value of the TiO2–MoO3 materials. Xie et al. [25] obtained a coaxial TiO2/MoO3@CNF material using electrospinning and annealing. The product exhibited excellent lithium storage efficiency; after 300 cycles it had a return capacity of 561 mAh/g at 1000 mA/g. Beside the electrochemical properties of the above-mentioned materials, Li et al. [26] noted that TiO2–MoO3 core-shell materials synthesized via a one-step hydrothermal process offered improved photochromic properties. Furthermore, Liu et al. [27] drew attention to the photocatalytic activity of the TiO2–MoO3 heterostructure. Liu et al. [28] applied TiO2–MoO3 composites in the oxidative dehydrogenation of
lactic acid to pyruvic acid and demonstrated a strong synergistic effect between the MoO₃ and TiO₂ components of the mixed oxide catalyst.

The available scientific literature shows that TiO₂-MoO₃ materials have many potential applications, in areas such as photocatalysis, electrochemistry and dehydrogenation processes. However, in many cases researchers have indicated that the designed morphology is a crucial parameter determining future uses of the materials. Therefore, in [25,26] the hydrothermal and electrospinning methods were used, which make it possible to obtain materials with a specified morphology. On the other hand, one of the significant disadvantages of hydrothermal treatment is the time of the process, and hence the high energy consumption. Therefore, the use of microwave irradiation in the hydrothermal process enables the further development of this synthesis technique. The many advantages of microwave-assisted synthesis over other conventional synthesis methods include rapid and uniform heating, energy savings, higher yield, shorter time of preparation, lower processing cost and the ability to obtain products with narrow particle size distributions [29]. Moreover, Roberts and Strauss [30] found that microwave synthesis may be an element of a broad strategy for environmentally friendly processing. On the other hand, although the microwave method is a very efficient technique for the production of many type of nanomaterials, it is still in the early stages of development, and further research is required to understand the mechanism of the impact of microwaves on the physicochemical properties of synthesized products [31,32]. Microwaves can cause instantaneous as well as steady-state differences in temperature on reactor scales, which must be explained before the design of an industrial microwave reactor [32,33].

The above considerations provided the motivation for the present study, in which titanium dioxide and molybdenum trioxide were combined into composite systems using a template-assisted microwave method. The obtained composite materials exhibited highly crystalline phases: anatase and hexagonal molybdenum trioxide. The values of the BET surface area were 57, 29 and 11 m²/g for samples obtained at molar ratios TiO₂:MoO₃ = 8:2, 5:5 and 2:8 respectively. Moreover, the incorporation of nanocrystalline particles of TiO₂ on the highly crystalline hexagonal MoO₃ particles was observed, which improved the electrochemical properties of the synthesized materials. Consequently, the use of TiO₂-MoO₃ material at different current densities and over many cycles produced favorable results, confirming the promise of this type of materials for use in lithium-ion cells.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

Titanium(IV) chloride (97%), ammonium heptamolybdate tetrahydrate (99%), Pluronic® P123 (PEG-PPG-PEG M₆₈~5800), hydrochloric acid (36.5–38%), lithium foil (0.75 mm thick), ammonium hydroxide (25%) and ethanol (99%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (USA). Acetylene black (AB), poly(vinylidene fluoride) (PVdF, M₆₈ = 180,000), N-methyl-2-pyrrolidinone (NMP), MoO₃, lithium hexafluorophosphate (LiPF₆), ethylene carbonate (EC) and dimethyl carbonate (DMC) were purchased from Fluka. All reagents were of analytical grade and used without any further purification. The water used in all experiments was deionized.

2.2. Preparation of TiO₂-MoO₃ Composite Systems

To obtain TiO₂-MoO₃ composite systems, a two-step microwave synthesis was used. In the first stage, 100 cm³ of 10% titanium (IV) chloride solution was added to a reactor equipped with a magnetic stirrer (IKA Werke, Staufen, Germany). Then, at a dosing rate of 5 cm³/min, an appropriate amount of ammonium hydroxide was added until the pH reached 10. In the next step, the obtained reaction mixture was subjected to microwave treatment at 180 °C for 15 min with a power of 300 W (SP-D 80, CEM, Matthews, USA). The obtained titanium dioxide was filtered, washed three times with deionized water and dried at 105 °C for 12 h.

Subsequently, the synthesis of TiO₂-MoO₃ composite systems was carried out. A reactor containing 25 cm³ of a 10% solution of ammonium heptamolybdate was placed above a magnetic
stirrer (IKA Werke, Staufen, Germany). Next, 3 M HCl was dosed into the reactor at a rate of 5 cm³/min until the pH decreased to 1. Pluronic P123 was used to incorporate titanium dioxide nanoparticles into the hexagonal structure of molybdenum trioxide. Pluronic® P123 (2 g) was dissolved in 25 cm³ of ethanol, then added to the solution of MoO₃ precursor and mixed for 10 min. An appropriate amount (Table 1) of the titanium dioxide prepared in the first step was sonicated for 10 min, then added to the reaction mixture and stirred for 10 min. Finally, the obtained mixture was subjected to microwave treatment at 140 °C for 15 min with a power of 300 W (SP-D 80, CEM, Matthews, USA). The synthesized composite systems were filtered, washed three times with deionized water and dried at 70 °C for 7 h. Composite materials were synthesized at TiO₂:MoO₃ molar ratios of 8:2, 5:5 and 2:8, labeled as Ti₈Mo₂, Ti₅Mo₅ and Ti₂Mo₈ respectively. Additionally, reference TiO₂ and MoO₃ samples were prepared. The quantities of substrates used for the synthesis of TiO₂-MoO₃ composite systems are given in Table 1.

| Sample       | (NH₄)₂Mo₆O₁₉ (g) | Pluronic® P123 (g) | TiO₂ (g) |
|--------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------|
| Ti₈Mo₂       | 2.5             | 2                 | 4.50    |
| Ti₅Mo₅      | 2.5             | 1.12              | 0.28    |

### 2.3. Characterization of Obtained Materials

The X-ray diffraction (XRD) method was used to determine the crystalline structure of the synthesized materials. A Rigaku Miniflex 600 (Rigaku, Tokyo, Japan) diffractometer operating with Cu Kα radiation (λ = 1.5418 Å) was used. Patterns were obtained over an angular range of 10–80 °. XRD data were analyzed using the Rietveld refinement method using the Fullprof program [34]. The crystallite size of the synthesized materials was determined using the Williamson-Hall method [35], represented by the Equation (1):

\[
\beta \cos \theta = \frac{K\lambda}{D} + 4\varepsilon \sin \theta
\]

where \( \beta \) is the line broadening at half the maximum intensity (FWHM), \( \theta \) is the Bragg angle, \( K \) is a shape factor (0.891), \( D \) is the crystallite size, \( \lambda \) is the X-ray wavelength, and \( \varepsilon \) is the lattice strain.

Nonpolarized Raman spectra were recorded in the spectral range of 100–1200 cm⁻¹, in the backscattering geometry, using the confocal inVia Renishaw micro-Raman system. Excitation light of 488 nm from a tunable Ar-ion laser was used. A Leica50x long working distance microscope objective (LWD) with a numerical aperture (NA) of 0.5 enabled the focusing of the laser beam to a diameter of approx. 2 μm on the sample surface, with a spectral resolution of approx. 2 cm⁻¹. During measurements, the excitation of the laser power was fixed at approximately 5 mW to minimize sample degradation or sample heating. Both data collection and deconvolution of the obtained spectra using the curve fitting method were performed using Renishaw WiRE 3.4 (Reinschaw, Wesemann Dundee, USA) software. For the curve fitting procedure, a mix of Lorentz and Gauss functions was used to obtain spectral parameters such as wavenumber bands.

To determine the morphology and microstructure of the obtained materials, an EVO40 scanning electron microscope (Zeiss, Munich, Germany) was used. Next, a Hitachi HT7700 (Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan) transmission electron microscope working in high contrast (HC) and high resolution (HR) mode was applied. The microscope operated at 100 kV.

The textural properties of the materials based on titania and molybdenum trioxide were determined using an ASAP 2020 physisorption analyzer (Micromeritics Instrument Co., Norcross, USA). The analyzer determines the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) surface area, total pore volume and pore size, applying low-temperature N₂ sorption. Before measurement, the analyzed materials were degassed at 120 °C for 4 h. The surface area was determined using the multipoint BET method using adsorption data for relative pressure \( (p/p_0) \) in the range 0.05–0.30.
X-ray photoelectron spectra were obtained using Mg K\(\alpha\) (\(h\nu = 1253.7\) eV) radiation with a Prevac system equipped with a Scienta SES 2002 (Prevac, Rogow, Poland) electron energy analyzer operating at constant transmission energy (\(E_p = 50\) eV). The base pressure was kept below \(1 \times 10^{-9}\) mbar. The samples were attached to the molybdenum sample holder by means of a double-sided adhesive tape. The binding energy scale of XPS figures was corrected due to charging. Since there was no common reference species for all samples, two anchors were utilized. The binding energy scale for the Ti\(_5\)Mo\(_5\) electrodes was set at \(BE = 291.0\) eV for the C 1s peak component ascribed to (CH\(_2\)-CF\(_2\)) groups from PVdF, and scales for other elements were corrected accordingly. The binding energy scales for the Ti\(_5\)Mo\(_5\) sample were corrected so that the Ti 2p\(_{3/2}\) component of the Ti 2p spectrum was positioned at an identical binding energy to the Ti 2p\(_{3/2}\) component of the Ti 2p spectrum from the Ti\(_5\)Mo\(_5\) electrode before cyclic voltammetry. The calculations of atomic concentrations assumed a homogeneous distribution of elements on the surface.

2.4. Electrochemical Characterization

Electrochemical tests were carried out in an electrochemical coupling system of Swagelok\textsuperscript{®} type. To determine the electrochemical properties of the TiO\(_2\)-MoO\(_3\) composite systems, the obtained materials were used as the working electrode, lithium foil (Whatmann, 0.4–0.6 mm thick) as the counter electrodes and a separator, and lithium hexafluorophosphate (1 M) dissolved in a mixture of ethylene carbonate and dimethyl carbonate (EC/DMC, 1:1 in v/v) as the electrolyte. The working electrodes were prepared using a slurry tape casting procedure. The slurry consisted of 70% wt. TiO\(_2\)-MoO\(_3\) systems, 15% wt. acetylene black and 15% wt. poly(vinylidene fluoride) (PVdF) dissolved in N-methyl-2-pyrrolidinone (NMP). The slurry was tape-cast on copper foil, and then the coated electrodes were dried at 120 °C for 24 h. The electrode was assembled into a coin in an argon-filled glove box.

Cyclic voltammetry (CV) measurements were made on a GTM750 Potentiostat/Galvanostat/ZRA electrochemical workstation (Gamry Instruments, Warminster, USA) over a potential range of 0.01–3.0 V (vs. Li\(^+/\)Li) at a scan rate of 0.1 mV/s.

Galvanostatic charge/discharge tests were conducted on the battery measurement system using various current densities in the range 50–1000 mA/g. The cut-off range was 0.5–3.0 V vs. Li/Li\(^+\) at room temperature (Figure 1).

![Figure 1. Li | LiPF\(_6\) in EC/DMC | Ti5Mo5 battery: (a) charging process and (b) discharging process.](image-url)

Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was performed with the use of a G750 Potentiostat/Galvanostat/ZRA Measurements System (Gamry Instruments, Warminster, USA). Resistance measurements were collected in a frequency range from 0.01 Hz to 100 kHz at room temperature.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Crystalline Structure

The crystalline structure of materials determines their potential applications in various fields. XRD patterns for all synthesized composite materials are presented in Figure 2a. Sharp, clear
diffraction peaks confirm the crystalline nature of all obtained metal oxide samples. For the reference TiO$_2$ sample, the recorded diffraction peaks can be assigned to the anatase crystalline structure (space group $I4_1/ami$, no. 141, JCPDS No. 21-1272) and the respective crystalline planes (101), (004), (200), (105) (204), (116) and (220). The MoO$_3$ synthesized in this work crystallizes in a hexagonal structure (space group $P6_3/m$, no. 176, JCPDS No. 21-0569). Crystalline planes such as (110), (200), (101), (111), (201), (220), (130), (211), (311), (410), (002), (102), (212), (331), (241), (312), (232) and (412) were observed for the MoO$_3$ sample. In the case of the TiO$_2$-MoO$_3$ composite systems, we observed diffraction peaks characteristic for both anatase and hexagonal molybdenum trioxide (h-MoO$_3$) phases. In all cases, the MoO$_3$-phase peaks were narrower, suggesting a larger size of crystallites. The diffractograms were free for all studied TiO$_2$-MoO$_3$ samples.

The formation of titania with an anatase structure using the microwave method was reported by Jena et al. [38] and Suprabha et al. [39]. The obtained TiO$_2$ nanoparticles were applied as a photoactive catalyst. However, Suprabha et al. [39] reported the influence of precipitating agents on the physicochemical properties of the final product, including crystallinity and morphology. These results correspond well with the data on the crystallinity of TiO$_2$ obtained in the present study. Current knowledge concerning the synthesis of a hexagonal structure of MoO$_3$ via the microwave method is not yet sufficient. However, Zakharova et al. [40] reported on electrochemical studies of α- and h-MoO$_3$ synthesized via a microwave-assisted hydrothermal method. Therefore, the obtaining of well-formed crystalline forms of anatase and h-MoO$_3$ is an additional novelty of this work.

The crystallite sizes ($D$) for all samples, together with the lattice strain ($\epsilon$) calculated using the Williamson-Hall method (Eq. 1), are given in Table 2. In all cases, $D$ values for the h-MoO$_3$ phase are larger than for the TiO$_2$ phase. For the pristine MoO$_3$ sample $D$ is close to 100 nm, while for the TiO$_2$ phase (even for a pure sample) it does not exceed 22 nm, and in other cases it remains around 10 nm. Concurrently, the $\epsilon$ values for the TiO$_2$ phase are on average an order of magnitude higher than for the MoO$_3$ phase.

**Figure 2.** X-ray diffraction patterns for all studied TiO$_2$-MoO$_3$ composites, where for the most pronounced peaks, Miller indices are given (a). Example of Rietveld refinement for selected Ti5Mo5 sample (b). The solid line through the experimental points represents a fitted model. The difference between the experimental and theoretical curves is represented by the lower solid line. Upper and lower ticks represent Bragg positions corresponding to the h-MoO$_3$ and anatase phases respectively.
TiO\textsubscript{2}-MoO\textsubscript{3} systems are well described in the existing literature, for example by Liu et al. [41], Kokorin et al. [42], Sivaranjani et al. [43], Silvestri et al. [44] and Li et al. [26]. However, it should be noted that all of these works concern the synthesis of \(\alpha\)-MoO\textsubscript{3} and therefore refer to materials other than those described in the present work. To the best of our knowledge, TiO\textsubscript{2}-MoO\textsubscript{3} systems obtained using microwave treatment have not previously been described in the scientific literature. Only one report is currently available regarding the synthesis of a similar system to that described by us. However, Sviridova et al. [45] did not describe the crystalline structure of this material, which was obtained by spraying thin layers of the respective precursors. The use of the microwave method for the synthesis of a composite system made it possible to obtain high-crystalline materials with a specific structure: anatase and \(h\)-MoO\textsubscript{3}.

### Table 2. Lattice parameters, phase composition, crystallite sizes (\(D\)) and lattice strain (\(\varepsilon\)) for synthesized materials based on TiO\textsubscript{2} and MoO\textsubscript{3}.

| Sample       | TiO\textsubscript{2} | Ti8Mo2 | Ti5Mo5 | Ti2Mo8 | MoO\textsubscript{3} |
|--------------|-----------------------|--------|--------|--------|---------------------|
| Lattice      |                        |        |        |        |                     |
| Parameter    | anatase  \(a\) (Å)    | 3.7959(9) | 3.7892(3) | 3.789(1) | 3.787(3) |
|             | anatase \(c\) (Å)     | 9.502(2) | 9.503(1) | 9.504(5) | 9.516(18) |
|             | \(h\)-MoO\textsubscript{3} \(a\) (Å) | – | 10.5918(6) | 10.578(1) | 10.5813(8) | 10.5717(6) |
|             | \(h\)-MoO\textsubscript{3} \(c\) (Å) | – | 3.7263(3) | 3.7267(5) | 3.7279(3) | 3.7286(7) |
| Composition  | anatase  (% wt.)      | 100    | 77(1)  | 63(1)  | 90(2)  |
|             | \(h\)-MoO\textsubscript{3} (% wt.) | – | 23(1)  | 37(1)  | 10(1)  | 100    |
| \(D\) (nm)  | anatase   (nm)        | 22     | 10     | 13     | 8      |
|             | \(h\)-MoO\textsubscript{3} (nm) | – | 81     | 92     | 75     | 69     |
| \(\varepsilon\) | anatase \(\varepsilon\) | 0.003  | 0.005  | −0.0014 | 0.007  |
|             | \(h\)-MoO\textsubscript{3} \(\varepsilon\) | – | 0.0009 | 0.0015 | 0.0011 | 0.0005 |

Raman spectroscopy was performed to confirm the presence of crystalline structures in the obtained materials. The Raman spectra for TiO\textsubscript{2}-MoO\textsubscript{3} systems and reference samples are presented in Figure 3.

The Raman spectrum of the reference TiO\textsubscript{2} sample contains five characteristic bands located at 140 cm\(^{-1}\) (\(E_g\)-symmetry), 195 cm\(^{-1}\) (\(E_g\)), 395 cm\(^{-1}\) (\(B_{1g}\), 520 cm\(^{-1}\) (\(B_{3g}+A_{1g}\)) and 640 cm\(^{-1}\) (\(E_g\)), assigned to the anatase phase of TiO\textsubscript{2} [46]. Furthermore, the Raman spectrum of MoO\textsubscript{3} includes five bands characteristic for the metastable \(h\)-MoO\textsubscript{3} [47,48] phase at 250 cm\(^{-1}\) (\(B_{3g}\)), 485 cm\(^{-1}\) (\(B_{1g}\)), 685 cm\(^{-1}\) (\(B_{3g}\)), 900 cm\(^{-1}\) (\(B_{1g}\)) and 970 cm\(^{-1}\) (\(A_{1g}, B_{1g}\)). For the analyzed TiO\textsubscript{2}-MoO\textsubscript{3} composite systems, both anatase and \(h\)-MoO\textsubscript{3} phases were observed. The results of Raman spectroscopy also confirmed the effect of the molar ratio of the reagents on the crystalline structure of the resulting materials. The presented data are consistent with the results of XRD analysis as described above.

![Raman spectroscopy](image)

Figure 3. The results of Raman spectroscopy for the analyzed materials.
3.2. Morphology

To define the morphology and microstructure of the obtained composite materials, scanning and transmission electron microscopy (SEM and TEM) were performed. We first present the results of SEM (Figure 4).

For the reference TiO$_2$ sample (Figure 4a), irregular-shaped particles and high aggregation were observed, as expected. On the other hand, MoO$_3$ particles have a very well defined anisotropic shape in the form of rods with a hexagonal base (Figure 4e). The morphology obtained for both TiO$_2$ [49–51] and MoO$_3$ [52–54] materials is well described in the scientific literature. The characteristic hexagonal structure of MoO$_3$ and spherical TiO$_2$ particles were found for all of the synthesized TiO$_2$-MoO$_3$ composite systems. Furthermore, it was observed that titanium dioxide particles were deposited on the surface of the hexagonal molybdenum trioxide. This was enabled by the use of the modifier Pluronic P123, which is a popular block copolymer used to obtain mesoporous structures, especially in the soft template method [55–57]. However, it is also increasingly used to support pressure methods (hydrothermal or microwave) and to control the morphology of synthesized materials [58–60].

![Figure 4. SEM images for: (a) TiO$_2$; (b) Ti8Mo2; (c) Ti5Mo5; (d) Ti2Mo8; (e) MoO$_3$.](image)

There is currently a lack of scientific reports regarding the synthesis of TiO$_2$-MoO$_3$ systems. Among others, Silvestri et al. [44] obtained titania-molybdenum trioxide materials, using a calcination procedure. Such composite systems contained nanometric particles with regular shape. In another work, Sivaranjai et al. [43] described the synthesis of the aforementioned systems as thin films. Hydrothermal-assisted synthesis was used by Li et al. [26] to obtain a nanometric core-shell structure with titania as the core and molybdenum trioxide as the shell. Liu et al. [27] used titania nanobelts for the synthesis of TiO$_2$-MoO$_3$ systems. These materials were obtained using hydrothermal treatment and a calcination process and consisted of micrometric disks with a hexagonal shape (MoO$_3$) and titania nanobelts. It is thus clear that different morphologies were obtained in the aforementioned investigations than those presented in our work.

Since the microwave method was not used in any of the studies listed above, it can be assumed that both the use of a surfactant (Pluronic P123) and microwave irradiation are factors which significantly influence the formation of the described morphological structures.

The results of high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) and EDS analysis for materials synthesized at TiO$_2$:MoO$_3$ molar ratios of 8:2 and 2:8 are presented in Figures 5 and 6. Based on the obtained TEM images (Figures 5a and 6a), it was found that single particles of molybdenum trioxide with a length of approx. 14 µm and a width of 5 µm were present in the systems obtained at molar ratios TiO$_2$:MoO$_3$ = 8:2 and 2:8. The size of the particles observed under the microscope is obviously much larger than the size of crystallites (coherently scattering domains) determined from XRD measurements (Table 2): particles are sets of many grains that may be polycrystalline, single-
crystal or amorphous. Similar nanometric average crystallite sizes and micrometric particle sizes for the hexagonal phase of molybdenum trioxide were reported by Chithambararaj et al. [61] and by Lunk et al. [37]. Moreover, rod-like and cubic TiO$_2$ particles were observed in the HRTEM images for the analyzed materials. EDS mapping clearly indicates the deposition of TiO$_2$ on the surface of the MoO$_3$ rods in both cases. Moreover, it should be noted that crystallographic spacing characteristic for the plane (101) of anatase (0.35 nm) and (101) of hexagonal MoO$_3$ (0.37 nm) can be observed in the analyzed materials. The crossing of the crystallographic plane (101) of TiO$_2$ and (101) of MoO$_3$, obtained in the analyzed composite materials, may indicate a surface junction and an associated improvement of the properties of the binary materials compared with the reference samples. Similar observations have previously been reported by Qin et al. [62], who observed a surface junction of titania-based materials synthesized using the sol-gel method. Moreover, Zhand et al. [63] indicated that the surface junction, a special case of heterojunction, may also improve other properties besides the photoactivity of the material. The use of template-assisted microwave synthesis enabled the incorporation of crystalline TiO$_2$ nanoparticles on the surface of the high-crystalline micrometric hexagonal particles and the formation of a stable junction between titania and molybdenum trioxide.

Figure 5. Microstructure of sample Ti8Mo2 in (a) HRTEM images and (b) EDS mapping with elemental map of titanium, molybdenum and oxygen.

Figure 6. Microstructure of sample Ti2Mo8 in (a) HRTEM images and (b) EDS mapping with elemental map of titanium, molybdenum and oxygen.
3.3. Parameters of the Porous Structure

Low-temperature nitrogen sorption (the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller method) is one of the most important physicochemical parameters used to describe the new two-component materials. The nitrogen adsorption/desorption isotherms for the obtained materials are presented in Figure 7.

![Figure 7. N\(_2\) adsorption/desorption isotherms for analyzed materials.](image)

Type IV [64] isotherms were observed for all of the analyzed materials. For titania, an H1 hysteresis loop [64] was observed in the range of \((p/p_0)\) from 0.60 to 0.90. In addition, this material had the highest surface area, equal to 119 m\(^2\)/g. This is similar to the surface areas reported by Drunka et al. [65] and Falk et al. [66], who also used the microwave-assisted method for the synthesis of titania. For the second reference material, MoO\(_3\), an H3 hysteresis loop in the range of \((p/p_0)\) from 0.51 to 0.91 was observed, and the BET surface area was 2 m\(^2\)/g. The low surface area of molybdenum trioxide is associated with the high crystallinity (large crystallite size), as indicated using XRD analysis. Similar \(A_{BET}\) values have been reported by other researchers, such as Jittiarporn et al. [67] and Yang et al. [68], who obtained hexagonal MoO\(_3\) using the hydrothermal method. For the material obtained at the molar ratio TiO\(_2\):MoO\(_3\) = 8:2, an H1 hysteresis loop [64] was observed similar to that of the TiO\(_2\) reference sample; however, the surface area was significantly lower (\(A_{BET} = 57\) m\(^2\)/g). A similar pattern was noted for the other two composite systems; the BET surface areas were 29 m\(^2\)/g for the Ti5Mo5 sample and 11 m\(^2\)/g for Ti2Mo8. In addition, an H1 hysteresis loop [64] was observed for the material obtained at an equimolar ratio of reagents and an H3 hysteresis loop [64] for the system synthesized at a TiO\(_2\):MoO\(_3\) molar ratio of 2:8. Furthermore, the pore volume and pore diameter were determined for titania \((V_p = 0.30\) cm\(^3\)/g, \(S_p = 8.6\) nm) and for MoO\(_3\) \((V_p = 0.01\) cm\(^3\)/g, \(S_p = 15.8\) nm). Analysis of the parameters determined for TiO\(_2\):MoO\(_3\) composite systems indicates that a change in molar ratio (decrease of TiO\(_2\) content) causes a significant reduction of pore volume at a similar pore diameter (approximately 9.2 nm for all systems). This is due to the fact that the hexagonal MoO\(_3\) particles are coated with TiO\(_2\) particles, hence the decrease in the TiO\(_2\) molar ratio reduces the thickness of the coating, which results in a significant reduction of pore volume.

The results obtained for TiO\(_2\)-MoO\(_3\) composite systems may be compared with data from previous reports. Li et al. [69], who obtained a TiO\(_2\)-MoO\(_3\) system using a self-supporting ammonia method, reported that for a material with a MoO\(_3\) content of 61\%, a surface area of 37 m\(^2\)/g and a pore volume of 0.08 cm\(^3\)/g were obtained. Similar values were reported by Chary et al. [70], who synthesized materials based on titania and molybdenum trioxide using the hydrothermal method with subsequent air calcination. In this case, for materials containing 6\% and 12\% wt. Mo, the respective \(A_{BET}\) values were 57 m\(^2\)/g and 31 m\(^2\)/g.

3.4. Charging/Discharging Tests

To determine the electrochemical properties of the materials, charge storage kinetics analysis was carried out. The rate capabilities, discharge capacities and coulombic efficiencies of commercial MoO\(_3\) are presented in Figure 8.
The data presented in Figure 8 indicate the rate performance of the electrodes, which is very significant for practical applications in lithium ion-batteries (LIBs). The rate capabilities of MoO₃ were measured from 100 to 1000 mA/g (Figure 8a). At a rate of 100 mA/g, the molybdenum trioxide electrode’s discharge capacity decreased at first from a value of 1190 to 900 mAh/g during the first four cycles and then remained constant at ~900 mAh/g during the next five cycles. The average specific capacities of the MoO₃ were approx. 900, 820, 800 and 700 mAh/g when the current densities were increased to 0.1, 0.2, 0.6 and 1 A/g respectively. The discharge capacity immediately returned to ~810 mAh/g when the current density was reduced to 0.2 A/g after 60 cycles, and the slight capacity changes suggest good resilience of the MoO₃ electrode material at high current densities. Current densities had a significant impact on the discharge capacities, as presented in Figure 8b. Coulombic efficiency at a current density of 500 mA/g after 100 cycles was approx. 95%, while at 100 mA/g it rose to 98%. After approx. 10 cycles, the stability was very good in both cases. For a current density of 100 mA/g, even an increase in capacity and lifetime from 72% to 90% was observed after approx. 30 cycles. For a current density of 500 mA/g, after the same number of cycles, the capacity decreased from 70% to 62%. Thus, the cell works better at lower current densities, maintaining favorable capacities during discharge after up to 70 cycles [23].

Among numerous devices and systems used for energy storage, Li-ion batteries deserve particular attention due to their promising performance parameters, which include high energy density, high operating voltage, low self-discharge rate, good cyclic durability and a wide range of operating temperatures. Currently, one of the greatest challenges in the construction of lithium-ion systems is to find new material solutions that will result in reduced costs, improved operating parameters and cell safety.

In the literature we can read that Li-ion battery anodes fabricated from crystalline MoO₃ nanoparticles display an anomalous reversible capacity of 630 mAh/g when cycled at high rates. The nanoparticle anodes show no degradation of capacity for 150 cycles, whereas micron-sized MoO₃ particles are shown to fail after several cycles. Theoretical calculations elucidate the Li-ion intercalation mechanism and explain the reversible capacity.

Loss of capacity is a common phenomenon for modified MoO₃. With the Ti2Mo8 hybrid, the system had already lost 60% of its capacity after 30 cycles, therefore it was not subjected to more detailed electrochemical analysis. As is well known, pure TiO₂ does not exhibit good electrochemical properties, which was confirmed by the tests for Ti8Mo2, where a significant charge transfer resistance prevented the charging/discharging process.

In the next step, the rate performance was investigated for the selected TiO₂-MoO₃ system (sample Ti5Mo5).

Changes in the rate capability of the TiO₂-MoO₃ electrode (sample Ti5Mo5) as the current density was gradually decreased in a stepwise manner from 100 to 1000 mA/g and finally returned to 100 mA/g are presented in Figure 9.
At a current density of 100 mA/g, the Ti5Mo5 electrode delivered the highest reversible capacity, with unstable values in a range from 400 up to 500 mAh/g. After that point, the current density was relatively stable. Decreasing capacity was recorded during the first three cycles; subsequently, the values were quite stable. Even after 70 cycles, a value of 400 mAh/g was maintained. At higher current densities of 200 mA/g and 500 mA/g, the electrode still exhibited a stable, reversible capacity of 380 mAh/g and 370 mAh/g respectively. When cycled at 1000 mA/g, the average reversible capacity was approx. 330 mAh/g, which is not as high as the theoretical capacity of graphite (372 mAh/g) but still satisfactory. It is due to the presence of the electrochemically undesirable TiO2 that the capacities decrease after charging/discharging in comparison with molybdenum trioxide. According to literature reports [12,23,71], titania-based anode materials are characterized by low inherent theoretical capacity (175 to 330 mAh/g), which confirms much lower capacities. At 30–40 cycles a slightly unstable capacity could be observed. This comparison indicates that carbonaceous materials behave similarly to titanium oxide systems. Notably, when the current density was returned to 100 mA/g, the reversible capacity quickly reached its primary value of 400 mAh/g, suggesting that the Ti5Mo5 electrode could remain stable after many cycles at high current density. This also confirms the long cycle life characteristic for titanium dioxide materials. As can be observed, a TiO2:MoO3 ratio of 5:5 enables good specific capacity for almost all current densities due to the presence of molybdenum trioxide and excellent intercalation of lithium ions due to the presence of titanium dioxide, which results in an electrochemically favorable and safe system. It should be noted that a higher current regime always leads to some capacity problems, but this issue is not particularly visible in the case of the studied systems. The maximum current density at which the capacitance was measured was 1000 mA/g. When the current density changed, the stability of the system was extremely high for every 10 cycles. The MoO3 sample has twice as high specific capacity at almost the same current density after the same number of cycles compared with the TiO2-MoO3 system (sample Ti5Mo5). Capacitive stability at every current density was similar, as in case of the above-mentioned material. Su et al. [72] studied another system using TiO2, namely TiO2/WO3-W (prepared by the laser ablation method), used as a coater, and did not obtain such a stable variation of the specific capacities at higher current densities. For a current density of 1000 mA/g, a capacity of 200 mAh/g was obtained (compared to 300 mAh/g in this study), which confirms that the use of MoO3 as a transition metal oxide and electrochemically active material at high current densities is reasonable.

MoO3 oxide (nano) has a high capacity of 838 mAh/g based on the conversion reaction [73,74]. Unfortunately, it has the disadvantage of changing the volume of MoO3 during the introduction of lithium ions. Additionally, extraction leads to powdering of particles and discontinuities in transport paths of electrons and ions, which results in a serious loss of capacity [75]. In addition, MoO3 nanoparticles tend to aggregate, increasing mass, which extends ion diffusion pathways and reduces electrochemical active sites, leading to reduced performance [76]. To address these drawbacks, the dispersion of MoO3 nanoparticles into two-dimensional (2D) materials has been proposed. It has been demonstrated that 2D materials, due to the matrix, can prevent aggregation of MoO3 nanoparticles during cycles. It is known that MoS2 as a 2D material has high theoretical capacity (~670 mAh/g) and good capacity, thus it is expected that good electrochemical performance will be obtained with
MoO$_2$/MoS$_2$ composite. MoO$_2$/MoS$_2$ composites show increased cyclic stability and storage of lithium ions [77]. Therefore, research on the production of various composites, including MoO$_2$/carbon (MoO$_2$/carbon nanotubes [78], hybrid MoO$_2$/carbon nanowires [79] and MoO$_2$/graphene [80]) is another effective route to improve electrochemical efficiency.

MoO$_2$ materials are still undergoing many modifications. There are many approaches described in the literature, and the effects are varied. Generally, one cannot assume that a poorer capacity signifies a poorer anode material. As mentioned earlier, there are many factors involved. The Table 3 gives some examples for comparison.

| Anodes                      | Capacity (mAh/g) | Current Density (A/g) | Cycle Number | References |
|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|--------------|------------|
| Coated MoO$_2$ (graphene)   | 560              | 1                     | 200          | [81]       |
| MoO$_2$/MoO$_x$ heteronanotubes | 790             | 0.2                   | 140          | [82]       |
| F-doped MoO$_x$             | 905              | 0.2                   | 50           | [83]       |
| MoO$_2$/C microballs        | 733              | 2                     | 300          | [84]       |
| MoO$_2$/CNT                 | 420              | 0.2                   | 100          | [85]       |

To analyze the electrochemical performance of the TiO$_2$-MoO$_3$ system, a galvanostatic charge/discharge test was performed. The curves for electrodes containing the aforementioned selected composite system (sample Ti5Mo5) during the initial three cycles at 50 mA/g are presented in Figure 10.

![Selectiv discharge/charge voltage profiles of sample Ti5Mo5 at a current density of 50 mA/g.](image)

The charging/discharging curves, which show the three-step process of intercalation and deintercalation of lithium ions, are presented in Figure 10. It should be taken into account that, initially, the discharge and charge curves do not show a stable potential dependence on capacity. After the first cycle, the discharging/charging capacity was 1227 mAh/g, and after the 100th cycle, it was 744 mAh/g. The potential decreases when the cell is discharged, which is not a positive phenomenon in terms of stability and performance. In addition, the capacity of the cell decreases by approx. 500 mAh/g after 100 charging cycles. The shape of the curves is very similar to those described by Subba Reddy et al. [86]; however, in that study MoO$_2$ was used as the cathode. The total reversible capacity was equal to 750 mAh/g after 100 cycles, which indicates that its capacity is twice as high when used an anode as when used as a cathodic material. It should be noted that in this study the system includes titanium oxide, which increases the intercalation of lithium ions, hence its use increases the stability as well as the capacity of the cell. Significant changes in the reversible capacity after 1–100 cycles were only visible at a voltage lower than 1.5 V. Moreover, the curves indicate lower system stability during charging and discharging. There was no clearly defined plateau.
3.5. Cyclic Voltammetry and Impedance Spectroscopy Tests

The materials used as the electrode in lithium-ion batteries usually exhibit electrochemical activity to react with lithium ions. To distinguish the electrochemical activity of the oxide materials, cyclic voltammetry (CV) analysis was used. The CV curves for reference samples are shown in Figure 11.

![Figure 11](image)

**Figure 11.** Cyclic voltammograms at a scan rate of 0.5 mV/s for TiO$_2$ and MoO$_3$ when not forming a composite system.

Titania exhibited a cathodic peak at a potential of 1.5 V (intercalation/deintercalation of Li$^+$) and an anodic peak at 2.3 V. Molybdenum trioxide presents good cyclic stability after the second and third cycles. During the first cycle, reversal of the reaction from oxidation to reduction and vice versa occurs at a lower potential of 2.5 V, and not 3 V as in other cases. At this potential, an irreversible electrode reaction could be observed. Slight reduction of peaks is visible at 1 V, 1.4–1.5 V and 1.75–2.1 V. Oxidizing peaks are well exposed at voltages of 1 V and 1.8 V.

In the next stage of CV tests, the selected TiO$_2$-MoO$_3$ system (sample Ti5Mo5) was analyzed (Figure 12).

![Figure 12](image)

**Figure 12.** Cyclic voltammograms at a scan rate of 0.5 mV/s for Ti5Mo5 forming a composite system for: (a) 1–2 cycles and (b) 1–3 cycles.

The peaks for MoO$_3$ are not visible in Figure 12 because the electrode was able to swell, which remains a major problem with the use of titanium dioxide as part of an anode material. As can be seen in Figure 12a, at the first cycle a reduction peak was observed at a potential of 1.4 V and an oxidizing peak at a voltage of 2.0 V. The oxidizing peak in the second cycle shifts to 2.5 V. Thus, at 2.0 V an irreversible charging occurred. The cathodic peak in the voltage range 1.5–2.0 V is due to Li$^+$ intercalation into the titanium dioxide surface. According to Lim et al. [87], two phases may be present in the studied system: the first with a low amount of Li$^+$ and the second rich in Li$^+$. The Li-poor phase is tetragonal Li$_{0.01}$TiO$_2$, and the second is orthorhombic Li$_{0.55}$TiO$_2$. The results obtained suggest good cyclic stability of the system, because only the first cycle was a stabilizing cycle, while
cycles 2 and 3 were identical (Figure 12b), which corresponds to high cyclic stability. Cycle 4 was not included in this work, because it was identical to cycles 2–3. The disappearance of molybdenum trioxide peaks is also associated with the hundred times greater BET surface area of titanium dioxide. At the same time, molybdenum trioxide is a very good sorbent, hence its surface does not have to be very large, although titanium dioxide will be responsible for the reduction and oxidation peaks. This is caused by the fact that titanium dioxide pores are almost two times smaller than those of MoO₃, which increases the adsorption capacity and leads to a greater intercalation of lithium ions. Lim et al. [87] obtained similar cyclic voltammetry results to those presented in Figure 12, using a TiO₂-C system. This comparison is used here because 30% of the anode material consisted of carbon materials, which can also have a notable impact on the occurrence of, for example, higher and thinner oxidizing peaks. The use of carbon further increases the intercalation and adsorption ability of the surface, because of the large surface area and small pores. The presence of anodic/cathodic peaks confirms that TiO₂-MoO₃ may be practically used in LIBs as an anode material.

The proposed intercalation/deintercalation mechanism during charging and discharging should take account of the presence of both TiO₂ and MoO₃ as well as the carbonaceous material which is a part of the electrode material. TiO₂ is mostly responsible for the intercalation/deintercalation process and, according to Figure 14, the main mechanism is de-/intercalation, as expressed by Equation (2).

\[ (-) \text{TiO}_2 + \text{Li}^+ + e^- \leftrightarrow \text{LiTiO}_2 \] (2)

Hence, \((-) \text{Ti}^{3+} + e^- \leftrightarrow \text{Ti}^{2+}\) (3)

Equation (2) represents the mechanism associated with the disordered and ordered TiO₂ surface proposed by J. Lu et al. [23] and X. Lu et al. [71]. In this study, we propose mechanisms of transition to Ti²⁺, as presented in Equation (4) and (5).

\[ (-) \text{LiTiO}_2 + \text{Li}^+ + e^- \leftrightarrow \text{Li}_2\text{TiO}_2 \] (4)

Hence, \((-) \text{Ti}^{3+} + e^- \leftrightarrow \text{Ti}^{2+}\) (5)

The mechanism of reduction of Ti⁴⁺ to Ti³⁺ can proceed straight to oxidation state +2, omitting +3, as has been described in the literature [29].

According to Figure 1, conversion is expected to be the main mechanism during the charging/discharging process of molybdenum oxides, but it was not confirmed whether the oxide transforms to lower oxidation states of molybdenum than +4. If the molybdenum ion (+6) does not transform to +2 to form MoO because of the presence of TiO₂, the conversion process is not confirmed, which is in agreement with the obtained CV diagrams (Figure 12), in which molybdenum peaks were not observed. If the conversion is possible, the mechanism in this study would be based on Equation (6–9), which are found in the literature [23,72,88].

\[ V > 1.5V \text{MoO}_3 + x\text{Li}^+ + xe^- \leftrightarrow \text{Li}_x\text{MoO}_3 \text{ (x } \approx 0 - 2 \text{) } \] (6)

\[ \text{Li}_x\text{MoO}_3 + (6 - x)\text{Li}^+ + (6 - x)e^- \leftrightarrow \text{Mo} + 3\text{Li}_2\text{O} \] (7)

\[ \text{Mo} + 2\text{Li}_2\text{O} \leftrightarrow \text{MoO}_2 + 4e^- + 4\text{Li}^+ \] (8)

\[ \text{MoO}_2 + \text{Li}_2\text{O} \leftrightarrow \text{MoO}_3 + 2e^- + 2\text{Li}^+ \] (9)

At the same time, because of the presence of carbon in the form of graphite (GR) and acetylene black (AB) as part of the anode material, the reaction given by Equation (10) may occur, as proposed by Li et al. [23].

\[ (-)\text{Li}_x\text{C} \leftrightarrow \text{C} + x\text{Li}^+ + xe^- \] (10)

The Nyquist charts consist of two parts: a semi-circle in the high–mid frequency region and a linear curve in the low frequency region. Impedance values were measured after preparation of the electrode and after cell charging and discharging (Figure 13). The presence of a semicircle indicates
the resistances associated with the exchange of charges between materials and electrolyte and the formation of the SEI (solid electrolyte interface) layer, and the more SEI layers that are formed, the longer the cell life—as well as the higher the cyclic stability and safety—that can be obtained. Resistance due to the electrolyte $R_{el}$ is not visible because it was negligibly small ($\approx 0-2$ $\Omega$). Resistance associated with the transfer of charges $R_{ct}$ was equal to 205 $\Omega$ and 150 $\Omega$ before and after the process respectively. The SEI ($R_{SEI}$) layer was formed in a range from 2 to 205 $\Omega$ and from 2 to 150 $\Omega$ before and after the process respectively. After the reversible process, the impedances due to linear parts are much smaller, which is due to the accumulation of Li$^+$ ions through diffusion as this transport mechanism is intensified. Additionally, the diameter of the semicircle is much smaller, which is due to overcoming the resistance of exchange of charges due to electrode reactions after charge/discharge and a smaller SEI layer, because providing energy makes it possible to overcome the resistance and increase the conductivity. The occurrence of inclined linear curves at an angle of approx. 45 degrees indicates the occurrence of the so-called Warburg element, offering infinite diffusion to a flat electrode, occurring regardless of frequency. Similar curves were obtained by Zhou et al. [76] for MoO$_2$ carbon fibers, which confirms the reduction process of Mo$^{+6}$ to Mo$^{+4}$ at the Li metallic cathode in this case. The SEI layer is unstable and is degraded after the process of charging/discharging, which is very common and confirmed for molybdenum oxides [23].

![Figure 13. Nyquist plots and substitute circuit for Ti5Mo5 system before and after charge/discharge.](image)

During charging, Li$^+$ is removed from the cathode and transferred to the anode (intercalation), and electrical energy (because of the presence of an electrical circuit) is applied, hence the conversion of electrical energy to chemical energy is observed. TiO$_2$ enables the intercalation of lithium ions. Both mechanisms—conversion and intercalation—are observed here (Figure 14). SEM images show intercalated lithium ions and their incorporation into the structure of molybdenum trioxide.
3.6. Surface Composition

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy was applied to investigate the surface compositions of the Ti5Mo5 composite sample after its preparation, as well as Ti5Mo5 electrodes before and after cyclic voltammetry experiments. The estimated surface atomic concentrations of elements identified on the surface of these samples are shown in Table 4.

Table 4. Elemental surface composition of sample Ti5Mo5 as well as Ti5Mo5 electrodes before and after cyclic voltammetry experiments, calculated based on XPS data.

| Sample                                      | Surface Concentration of Elements | Atomic Percent |
|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|
|                                             | C  | O  | Mo | Ti | F | Li | P | Cu |
| Ti5Mo5                                      | 13 | 62 | 13 | 12 | - | - | - | -  |
| Ti5Mo5 electrode before CV experiments      | 54 | 12 | 2  | 2  | 30| - | - | -  |
| Ti5Mo5 electrode after CV experiments       | 18 | 32 | 3  | -  | 12| 31| 2 | 2  |

The determined surface composition of the material Ti5Mo5 corresponds well with the previous data on the phase composition of that material. The atomic percentage ratio Ti:Mo was found to be 12:13, which is very close to the molar ratio of 1:1 confirmed using XRD analysis. Based on the stoichiometric composition of the system consisting of TiO2 and MoO3, the atomic percentage of oxygen atoms on the surface should be 63%, while the observed concentration of oxygen atoms was 62%. The surface of this material was marginally contaminated by adventitious carbon.

During the slurry tape casting procedure used to form the Ti5Mo5 electrode, 70% wt. TiO2-MoO3 oxide systems were mixed with 15% wt. of acetylene black and 15% wt. of poly(vinylidene fluoride) (PVdF) dissolved in N-methyl-2-pyrrolidinone (NMP). The resulting surface composition of the
electrode was very different from that calculated with the assumption of a homogeneous blend of substrates. The inorganic parts of the electrode, TiO$_2$ and MoO$_3$ oxides, are barely exposed to the surface. Their atomic concentrations were equal, but amounted to only 2%. The majority of the surface consisted of carbon and PVdF, which screen the transition metal ions.

After the CV experiments, the elemental surface composition of the Ti$_5$Mo$_5$ electrode again changed considerably. Since the electrode was exposed to the electrolyte, the presence of phosphorus and lithium (from LiPF$_6$) was expected. The presence of small amounts of copper results from the copper foil used as a contact. Surprisingly, titanium was not observed on the surface of the used Ti$_5$Mo$_5$ electrode. Since other analytical methods used to evaluate the composition of this material did not indicate the total removal of titanium compounds, it is reasonable to assume that the titanium compounds are screened by other components of the system. Therefore, titanium atoms could not be detected, since the information depth of Ti 2p photoelectrons emitted from that material does not exceed a value of approximately 10 nm. However, the presence of molybdenum atoms in the absence of titanium atoms implies the detachment of MoO$_3$ and TiO$_2$ particles during the electrochemical experiments. The surface concentration of lithium was very high (31% at.), almost equal to the concentration of oxygen atoms (32% at.). The surface of the analyzed sample was also less abundant in carbon than before the CV experiments.

High-resolution X-ray photoelectron spectra (Figure 15) were acquired to analyze the chemical composition of the surface of that material and its evolution during the preparation stage and CV experiments. The XPS Ti 2p signal was observed as a spin-orbit coupling doublet. In the case of the Ti$_5$Mo$_5$ composite sample, the Ti 2p$_{3/2}$ component of that transition was located at a binding energy of 458.8 eV, with the Ti 2p$_{1/2}$ to Ti 2p$_{3/2}$ shift equal to 5.5 eV. These values are characteristic for pure TiO$_2$ [89]. After preparation of the Ti$_5$Mo$_5$ electrode, the position of Ti 2p peaks was unchanged, which indicates that there is no chemical transformation of titanium compounds during the preparation stage. As mentioned before, the XPS Ti 2p signal was not detected for the sample exposed to the CV experiments, therefore, it was not possible to evaluate the evolution of TiO$_2$ during the electrochemical process.

The XPS Mo 3d signal (Figure 15) was observed for the Ti$_5$Mo$_5$ system at a binding energy of 232.7 eV (the position of the Mo 3d$_{5/2}$ component). The shift between Mo 3d$_{5/2}$ and Mo 3d$_{3/2}$ peaks was equal to 3.15 eV. Similarly to the evaluation of titanium, the XPS peaks for molybdenum confirmed the presence of MoO$_3$ [90]. However, a slight asymmetry at the low energy side of the Mo 3d$_{5/2}$ component was noted. Therefore, a deconvolution of the Mo 3d spectrum envelope was performed. The observed asymmetry can be well corrected assuming the presence of a second spin-orbit doublet shifted towards the low energy region. The maximum of the Mo 3d$_{5/2}$ component of that doublet is located at 231.4 eV. This value is attributed to the presence of Mo$^{6+}$ ions. They constitute approx. 20% of the total Mo 3d signal observed for that sample. It is assumed that the hydrothermal procedure used to prepare the materials results in a partial disordering of the oxygen sub-net of MoO$_3$, inducing the formation of Mo$^{4+}$ ions.

There was no significant change in the Mo 3d signal on the surface of the Ti$_5$Mo$_5$ electrode in comparison to that observed in the Ti$_5$Mo$_5$ system. The second Mo 3d doublet corresponding to Mo$^{4+}$ ions constituted approx. 17% of the total Mo 3d signal, which is considered to be within experimental error.

A significant change was observed for the Mo 3d line after the CV experiment. The principal maximum of the Mo 3d$_{5/2}$ component was not shifted; however, a new feature of the envelope was observed at a binding energy of approx. 230 eV. The deconvolution procedure revealed a new spin-orbit doublet formed in the Mo 3d spectrum. Its maximum was located at 230.4 eV, and its intensity reached approx. 20% of the total Mo 3d signal. The location of the maximum at approx. 230 eV can be attributed to the formation of Mo$^{4+}$ ions in the material [90,91]. The existence of a similar Mo 3d peak was attributed to the formation of Li$_x$MoO$_3$ compound during the preparation of thin film electrodes [92]. Therefore, a partial reduction of the Mo$^{4+}$ ions present in MoO$_3$ to Mo$^{4+}$ ions is suggested.
The photoelectron spectra of oxygen and lithium correlate well with the data for titanium and molybdenum. Considering the pristine Ti5Mo5 material, only oxygen atoms from TiO2 and MoO3 should contribute to the XPS O 1s signal. Indeed, a distinct line with a maximum located at 530.2 eV was observed. This binding energy value is characteristic for bulk atoms of both TiO2 and MoO3[91–94]. There is no change in the position of the O 1s peak after preparation of the Ti5Mo5 electrode. However, a significant shift of the XPS O 1s line was observed for that sample after the CV experiments. The maximum of the O 1s line is located at a binding energy of 531.8 eV. This binding energy region can be attributed to different oxygen-bearing compounds. Since the XPS Mo 3d signal is weak, the electrons originating from Mo-O compounds are not considered to be the main contributors to that peak. The electrolyte contains some organic compounds as carbonates. C=O or COOR type bonds are the origin of XPS O 1s components in the binding energy region of approx. 531.5 eV [92,95]. Therefore, they cannot be disregarded. This region might also represent a surface component of transition metal oxides or Me-OH bonds. However, comparison of the atomic concentrations of molybdenum atoms (3%) and oxygen atoms (32%) rules out that explanation. The XPS Li 1s spectrum acquired for the sample after the CV experiment indicated that the maximum of the Li 1s line is located at a binding energy of 56.4 eV. The position of the XPS Li 1s line at approx. 56 eV generally corresponds with Li+ ions [95,96]. The position of the XPS O 1s line observed for Li2O was at 531.2 eV, similar to that observed for the Ti5Mo5 electrode. It should also be considered that the concentrations of oxygen and lithium atoms are both very high. Therefore, it is supposed that Li+ ions can form Li-O bonds.

4. Conclusions

One of the goals of this work was to use the template-assisted microwave method for synthesis of highly crystalline TiO2-MoO3 materials. Moreover, the use of this novel synthesis method enabled the incorporation of titania nanocrystalline particles on the hexagonal MoO3 structure. The covering of the hexagonal MoO3 structured surface with titania nanocrystalline particles depends on the TiO2:MoO3 molar ratio. Additionally, the molar ratio influences the physicochemical parameters, such as the crystalline structure (crystallite size and phase composition) and the BET surface area,
which varies within the range 11–57 m²/g. Furthermore, it should be emphasized that the synthesized TiO₂-MoO₃ composite systems included only two crystalline phases: anatase and hexagonal molybdenum trioxide.

In terms of electrochemical applications, it should be emphasized that the monoxides such as titanium dioxide impact the safety of the cell, whereas molybdenum trioxide exhibits high capacity and low cost, but its SEI layer is unstable and irregular cyclic voltammetry cycles were observed—values of $R_{SEI}$ lay in a range from 2 up to 205 Ω and from 2 up to 150 Ω before and after the process. Moreover, the resistance associated with the transfer of charges, $R_o$, was equal to 205 Ω and 150 Ω before and after the process. Additionally, after the 100th cycle the discharge capacity was equal to 744 mAh/g, which significantly exceeds the values for pure TiO₂. The use of the TiO₂-MoO₃ materials significantly facilitates the cathode selection process, increases the battery life and stability at various current densities and many cycles, and offers a promising electrochemical innovation for lithium-ion cells.
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