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Transmission plays a key role in the evolution of symbiosis. Mixed mode transmission combines horizontal and vertical mechanisms for symbiont acquisition. However, features that enable mixed transmission are poorly understood. Here, we determine the mechanistic basis for the recruitment of the beneficial bacterium, Aeromonas veronii by the leech, Hirudo verbana. We demonstrate that host mucosal secretions complement imperfect symbiotic vertical transmission. First, we show that the A. veronii population within secretions originates from the host digestive tract and proliferates synchronously with shedding frequency, demonstrating the coupling of partner biology. Furthermore, leeches are attracted to these castings with oral contact proving sufficient for symbiont transmission. Leech attraction to mucus is not affected by the symbiont state of either the host or mucus, suggesting that A. veronii exploits preexisting host behavior and physiological traits. A dual transmission mode, integrating multiple layers of host contributions, may prove evolutionarily advantageous for a wide range of symbioses. Using such a strategy, host infection is ensured, while also providing access to a higher genetic diversity of symbionts. Countless host-associated microbes exhibit mixed mode transmission, supporting the use of the leech symbiosis as a model for enhancing our understanding of the specificity, establishment and persistence of microbiotas.
High rates of gene flow within A. veronii populations have been described, and the presence of several mobile genetic elements, specifically prophages and insertion elements, have been identified within the genome of a leech associated A. veronii isolate, HM215, arguing against strict maternal transmission. Such features are lacking in many purely heritable symbionts due to reductive genome evolution within the host (reviewed in2). The presence of these genomic features, coupled with incomplete vertical transmission and the absence of deep host-symbiont co-evolution, suggests that symbiont acquisition may also occur from an unknown extrinsic source following cocoon eclosion.

In this study, we identify the mechanistic basis for the mixed transmission strategy of a beneficial symbiont. Specifically, we demonstrate how periodic shedding of leech mucus serves as a naturally occurring substrate for A. veronii horizontal transfer to conspecific leech individuals. Leech mucosal secretions consist of glucosaminoglycans produced by globose glands irregularly distributed throughout the epidermis and have been suggested to influence an array of biological functions such as protection from desiccation, respiration and conspecific recognition. These are shed by the host at regular intervals, always from the anterior to posterior end, thus providing consistent contact with the digestive tract via the fecal matter. This made mucus a potential candidate to harbor gut symbionts. In support, mucosal secretions provide a substrate for symbiont aggregation and recruitment for many other aquatic animals. As such, we examined the potential for mucosal castings to harbor and transmit viable digestive tract symbionts, thus serving as a mechanistic basis for the horizontal component of a mixed transmission strategy.

We report that mucosal secretions harbor proliferating populations of A. veronii symbionts. Moreover, leeches are attracted to these secretions, which prove sufficient for horizontal transmission of A. veronii. Although symbiont-state did significantly influence leech host exploratory behavior, it did not affect attraction to mucosal castings, suggesting that mutualists are exploiting host behavior and physiological processes that likely evolved for another function prior to the symbiosis. Consistent with this hypothesis, symbiont-infection of mucus did not affect attraction, suggesting that intrinsic properties of the mucus could attract conspecifics, serving to drive host-microbe specificity. These findings suggest that a mixed transmission strategy, exploiting unique facets of host biology, may prove fitness savvy by circumventing trade-offs between transmission modes while also increasing the likelihood of partner encounter for these relatively non-social animals. Remarkably, this strategy may also provide an accessible pool of symbionts with greater genetic flexibility to accommodate environmental changes, while providing alternative lifestyles to A. veronii beyond that of mutualism.

Results
Periodically shed mucosal secretions harbor proliferating microbial symbionts. To determine if leech mucosal secretions (Fig. 1A) house viable A. veronii, we assayed the population density of A. veronii using quantitative PCR of the single copy gyrB gene locus from mucus samples at two biologically relevant temperatures representing a pond’s edge in H. verbana’s natural geographical distribution, where breeding between leeches typically occurs in summer months (~23°C), and a pond’s base (~15°C). At both of these temperatures, A. veronii population densities peaked on day 3 and decreased thereafter (Fig. 1B). Although A. veronii harbored within mucus at the two temperatures demonstrated similar growth profiles (Fig. 1B), significant differences in overall density were observed (p = 0.0086, one-way ANOVA; n = 77) with mucus shed at 23°C harboring an overall higher symbiont load over the examination period. With time, A. veronii abundance decreased, indicating either an exhaustion of mucosal resources and/or a dispersal of bacteria from the mucus. In addition, symbiont proliferation peaked approximately when mucus was again secreted by the leech host (Fig. S1), thereby ensuring a continuous supply of seeded mucosal secretions within the environment. Thus, leech gut symbionts are not only viable, but also proliferate in mucus following its secretion in concert with host shedding patterns.

Digestive tract A. veronii seed mucosal castings and are sufficient for symbiont transmission. Mucus is shed from the leech posterior (Fig. 1A), enabling the gut symbionts to potentially seed the secretion through the excretory route. This observation implicates the digestive tract as the origin of the mucosal A. veronii population. To verify this hypothesis, the castings of leeches that had been cleared of their A. veronii gut symbiont via antibiotic treatment (aposymbiotic or APO leeches) were collected and verified to lack A. veronii (Fig. 2A). This observation suggests that digestive tract A. veronii are the likely source of the mucosal symbiont population. To further confirm that gut symbionts are transferred to mucus, both APO and WT leeches were fed a gfp-expressing A. veronii strain at high (2 × 10⁶ CFU/mL) and low (2.5 × 10⁵ CFU/mL) inoculums, which flank the natural A. veronii population levels found within the mucus (Fig. 1B). Additionally, to determine if gut symbionts persistently seed the mucosal castings of the host, the first casting and a casting 60 days post-feeding (representing ~30° mucosal cast since feeding) were collected and spread on nutrient agar plates and subsequently visualized under fluorescent microscopy (Fig. 2B shows a colony obtained from a first mucosal casting), with their identity confirmed using A. veronii specific gyrB-primers (Fig. S2A). APO and wildtype (WT) leeches secreted their mucosal castings at similar temporal intervals (data not shown). Only oral administration of gfp-expressing A. veronii at the high inoculum within blood resulted in detectable concentrations within subsequently shed mucus (Fig. 2C; CFU/ml grouped across first and 30° castings for APO and WT, p = 0.282, Mann Whitney U-test, n = 24). With this high oral administration, the percentage of leeches with A. veronii symbionts...
Digestive tract A. veronii seed mucosal castings, which are sufficient for symbiont transmission. (A) Antibiotic treatment, sufficient to clear gut symbionts (aposymbiotic; APO), also eliminates A. veronii from representative mucosal castings as verified through A. veronii specific gyrB PCR. (B) Leeches fed A. veronii possess this symbiont with their first subsequent mucosal shed. i) Brightfield imaging of bacterial colonies from the mucosal secretions of an APO leech fed gfp-expressing A. veronii mutant HM21S::TN7gfp (2 × 10^4 CFU/mL). ii) FITC fluorescent image of i; hatched circles indicate gfp-expressing A. veronii colony. (C). Fluorescent colony counts within shed mucus of leeches that have been fed gfp-expressing A. veronii. (D). Fluorescent colony counts within shed mucus of leeches exposed to mucus harboring gfp-expressing A. veronii. For C and D, high and low inoculums were matched to symbiont densities observed in mucus (see Fig. 1B); n.s. = not significant.

Leeches display attraction to mucus shed by conspecifics irrespective of host symbiont state. After shedding, mucosal secretions capable of transmitting the A. veronii symbiont may float through the water or become caught on debris. Therefore, for mucus to be an effective substrate for transmission, leeches must seek out these castings to enable the transfer of microbes. Here, we sought to determine if leeches are attracted to mucus. Furthermore, because symbiont status affects host behavior in other systems, we sought to determine if symbiont-state altered any mucus-oriented behavior. We began by examining the influence of symbiont-state on the baseline behavior of leeches to determine what effects, if any, the antibiotic treatment had on locomotion. APO and WT leeches were placed into an experimental arena (Fig. 3A) and their movements within the arena tracked for ten minutes (Fig. 3B). Heat maps indicating the proportion of time leech subjects spent within an overlaid 10 × 10 grid (Fig. 3C) were generated. No significant difference was found between WT and APO leeches in average speed (Fig. S3) (\( p = 0.7595 \), Student’s \( t \)-test, \( n = 33 \)), indicating that antibiotics do not affect the ability of the leech to move. In general, leeches were positively thigmotactic (Fig. 3C), preferring contact with the corners and edges of the tank. Interestingly, the two experimental groups did differ in the proportion of time spent away from the edge of the arena (Fig. 3D). APO leeches spent significantly more time in the center of the arena (Fig. 3E, \( p = 0.017 \), Student’s \( t \)-test, \( n = 33 \)). A willingness to enter the center of an arena is classically associated with “risky” exploratory behavior in vertebrates and invertebrates, suggesting that leeches lacking A. veronii in their digestive tract produce more exploratory behavior relative to WT leeches.

We hypothesized that the increase in exploratory behavior would raise the likelihood that leeches would encounter the mucosal castings of conspecifics. We therefore examined the behavior of WT and APO leeches in an arena containing two mesh bags: one containing a WT mucus sample and a second empty bag serving as a control (Fig. 4A). The movement of individual leeches within the arena was tracked (Fig. 4B), and the average time distribution of individual leech movement within the experimental arena was determined (Fig. 4C). To investigate leech preference for mucus, we determined the amount of time spent in each of four 2 × 1 sub-regions of 10 × 10
likely exploited this preexisting host biological trait. Infection. This result is consistent with the suggestion that occurs between conspecific leeches (Fig. 4C), regardless of

grid (Fig. 4C; overlaid in silico as above). These regions contained the mucus sac, the empty sac, or one of two control regions on the top and bottom sides of the arena (black boxes; Fig. 4C). Leeches in general exhibited a strong preference for the region containing the mucus filled sac relative to the empty sac (Figs. 4D and 4E) (p = 0.0024, one-way ANOVA, n = 37), indicating that leeches are attracted to the mucus of conspecifics. Leeches make frequent, repeated oral contact with the mucus in both our colony tanks and during experiments when the mucus is in the mesh sacs, which is consistent with previous reports that suggest that leeches use mucus for conspecific recognition. However, WT and APO leeches did not differ in the amount of time spent in proximity to the mucus (Fig S4A; p = 0.609, Student’s t-test, n = 36), or in their latency to first investigate the mucus (Fig S4B; p = 0.78, Student’s t-test, n = 23). Therefore, we conclude that shed mucus attracts leeches regardless of host symbiont state, providing an efficient mechanism for transmission of A. veronii between leeches.

A. veronii infection of mucus does not impact host attraction. The lack of influence of host symbiont state on attraction to mucus suggested that A. veronii is exploiting a preexisting host physiological process most likely derived for another function separate from symbiosis. Alternatively, it is possible that the attraction of leeches to the mucosal castings of conspecifics depends upon the presence of symbionts in the mucus. To determine if the presence of symbionts in the mucus affects host attraction, WT leeches were placed in the behavior arena with two mesh bags; one containing mucus from an APO leech and one containing mucus from a WT leech (Fig. 5A). No significant difference was observed in the time spent in the vicinity of WT versus APO mucus samples (Fig. 5B; p = 0.46, one-way ANOVA, n = 18), indicating that an inherent attraction to mucosal secretions occurs between conspecific leeches (Fig. 4C), regardless of A. veronii infection. This result is consistent with the suggestion that A. veronii likely exploited this preexisting host biological trait.

**Discussion**

We have shown that leech mucus can provide a hospitable environment for A. veronii and can serve as a mechanism for horizontal transmission through its ability to attract conspecific leeches. Seed populations of A. veronii in the mucus originate from the digestive tract and mucosal administration of A. veronii is sufficient for transmission of the symbiont to novel leech hosts. Although oral administration at high inoculum in blood also enabled transmission, the higher efficiency of symbiont transfer via mucus and the improbability that a leech would encounter prey with such high A. veronii blood infections, implicate mucus as the natural transmission vehicle. Furthermore, to serve as an effective transmission substrate, leeches would have to actively seek out the mucus and consistent with this we found that leeches are attracted to the mucosal secretions of conspecifics.

Since different Hirudo spp. overlap geographically, but still maintain a high degree of Aeromonas spp. selectivity, we would predict attraction to the mucus of conspecifics over mucus of other leech species. A similar scenario arises with the strict feeding preferences of different species of Anopheles mosquitoes that enable the tight coupling with species of the Plasmodium protozoa, suggesting that host ecological preferences can have a significant impact on microbial symbioses. A similar host preference for the mucus of conspecifics could drive Aeromonas symbiont selectivity. Alternatively, leech-specific differences in mucosal content may support one species of Aeromonas over another.

As in mice, leeches lacking gut symbionts displayed more exploratory behavior, which we hypothesized would increase their likelihood of encountering the mucus of conspecifics. However, the symbiont status of both the leech and the mucus itself do not have an impact on the time leeches spend near the mucus, indicating that leech attraction to mucus likely preceded the use of mucus for symbiont transmission. However, through this hitchhiking mechanism, the leech is also likely ensured access to an initial and more genetically diverse supply of this necessary symbiont.

The success of the mixed transmission strategy employed by H. verbana and A. veronii relies on host contribution, specifically an extrinsic substrate synthesized by the host, which is capable of harboring gut symbionts at concentrations that do not occur while A. veronii is free-living. Host-generated mucus has been shown to facilitate symbiont recruitment in a number of aquatic animals. For instance, hydra (Hydra vulgaris) maintains a mucus layer, called
Leeches spend significantly more time at the mesh sac containing mucus (circles) are placed. (D). A leech investigating a mucus filled sac. (E).

Figure 4 | Leeches are attracted to mucus shed by conspecifics. (A). A leech within the behavioral analysis arena; mesh bags that are either empty or contain mucus are visible on either side of the arena. (B). A representative leech movement track obtained during a single recording session; arrow indicates location of mesh sac containing mucus. (C). Average time distribution of leech movement in the behavior arena, warmer colors indicate a greater proportion of time spent in that square. Black boxes indicate regions of interest measured for each side of the arena representing the top, bottom, and each side in which mesh sacs (black circles) are placed. (D). A leech investigating a mucus filled sac. (E). Leeches spend significantly more time at the mesh sac containing mucus ($p = 0.0024$, one-way ANOVA, $n = 37$).

the glycocalyx, where prospective symbionts are recruited and localized during early embryogenesis. In Euprymna scolopes, the Hawaiian bobtail squid, mucus enables biofilm formation and the aggregation of its light organ symbiont, Vibrio fischeri, prior to the initiation of the symbiosis from a complex milieu of microbes. Horizontal transmission in other model systems requires either direct physical contact, such as sexual transmission, or a vehicle to connect partners (reviewed in1).

Horizontal transmission involves distinct tradeoffs relative to a free-living lifestyle. For example with horizontal transmission, energy is typically required for processes such as chemotaxis, motility and adherence to ensure appropriate host encounter and colonization. These costs result in transcriptional profile differences, and potentially investment in structural features (i.e. flagella, pili, etc.) that facilitate horizontal transmission. Consequently, we suggest for a mixed strategy to persist, a host-derived substrate must exist which concentrates symbionts and perhaps even attracts other potential hosts, as in the case of leech mucosal castings, so as to maximize the likelihood of transmission and, theoretically, lessen the cost associated with horizontal transmission.

In recent years, mixed transmission strategies have been recognized as more prevalent than originally anticipated (reviewed in4). Many symbionts previously thought to be exclusively vertically transmitted may also be transferred horizontally, albeit at low rates. In fact, symbionts that are exclusively vertically transmitted are rare and currently limited to the obligate mutualists of certain invertebrate taxa (reviewed in12). A variety of circumstances could factor against exclusive vertical transmission. Population bottlenecks during vertical transmission and a lack of available horizontal gene transfer opportunities result in reduced genetic variation within the symbiont population (reviewed in3), limiting any potential adaptation to a changing environment. Importantly, environmental changes to which the host cannot adapt will also result in an evolutionary dead end for its obligate symbiont. Therefore a mixed transmission strategy, which has traditionally been considered transitory in nature, can provide persistent benefits to both host and symbiont, and thereby be positively correlated and selected for. While vertical transmission guarantees that host offspring harbor symbionts, additional complementation via horizontal transmission serves to increase genetic variability of its microbiota, which can enhance the ability of the host to cope with a dynamic environment. However, the degree of genetic variability provided to leech symbionts with mixed relative to vertical modes of transmission is unknown. Furthermore, the microbe also benefits due to lifestyle opportunities other than mutualism.

It is clear that H. verbana uses a mixed transmission mode for its pioneer symbiont, A. veronii. It remains to be seen however, the degree to which a mixed mode of transmission represents a snapshot in the transition from horizontal to vertical transmission, or if the system represents a stable strategy. Additionally, it remains to be seen how conspecific attraction to mucus may contribute to the high specificity of Aeromonas and leech associations, as Hirudo spp. do geographically overlap. Survival in these secretions could be a mechanism to select for the appropriate symbionts. Similar investigations into the transmission of additional leech symbionts using this mechanism would also be critical in understanding the importance of mucus towards the continuance and stability of the host microbiota. Future work should also explore the role of mixed mode of
transmission in the succession of various members of the microbiota within hosts, as many members of the microbiota are thought to be transmitted as species assemblages\(^4\). It is possible that a mixed mode of transmission benefits both host and symbiont sufficiently to negate antagonistic selective pressures between vertical and horizontal transmission, particularly if there is considerable host contributions with both modes.

### Methods

(a) **Leech husbandry.** Wild type (WT) *H. verbana* leeches were obtained from Leeches USA (Westbury, NY, USA) and maintained in sterilized, Leech Strenght Instant Ocean H\(_2\)O (0.004% I0, LSIO) in the Department of Biology at West Virginia University at either 15 \(^\circ\)C or 23 \(^\circ\)C. Leeches were maintained in defibrinated bovine blood (Hemostat, CA). Aposymbiotic (APO) leeches, in this study referred to leeches lacking *A. veronii* gut symbiont, were generated through the administration of at least one blood meal containing an antibiotic cocktail of 15 \(\mu\)g/ml of kanamycin (Km) and 10 \(\mu\)g/ml of rifaximin (Rif).

(b) **Mucosal secretion sampling.** Tanks were cleaned to remove all mucus and fresh LSIO added (denoted as Day 0). Mucosal samples gathered the following day were considered 1 day old or were aged at the temperatures at which they were extracted for 3.5 or 8 days within LSIO. All samples were snap frozen at \(-80^\circ\)C until further processing. To determine host mucosal secretion rate, individual leeches were maintained in LSIO, with daily examination for the shed castings.

(c) **Symbiont population dynamics.** The population dynamics of *A. veronii* symbionts within host mucus at 1, 3, 5 and 8 days following secretion were determined by utilizing real-time quantitative PCR (q-PCR). DNA isolation from mucus was performed following a Holmes-Bonner protocol\(^5\). Analyses were performed in an iCycler iQ Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) using Bio-Rad SsoFast EvaGreen Supermix, 10 \(\mu\)m of primers (QT-AvgyrB For and QT-AvgyrB Rev q-PCR for the amplification of *A. veronii* (Table 1)), and 2 \(\mu\)L of DNA template as described\(^6\). Quantification of the amplicons relative to standard curves was performed using Bio-Rad CFX Manager software v2.0. The respective DNA concentrations (ng/ul) of samples were used for the normalization of copy numbers. All assays were performed in triplicate and replicates were averaged for each sample.

(d) **Behavioral testing.** Behavioral assays, comparing baseline locomotion between WT and APO leeches, were performed by placing leech individuals within tanks (44.5 cm \(\times\) 36.2 cm) filled with 10 L of sterile LSIO. Fresh LSIO and a new sterilized unit of the experimental tank were generated. Experiments were performed in the behavioral arena and the behavior of WT or APO leeches observed over a 10 minute period. These sacs served the purpose of containment, to control the location of mucus in our experiments, and to facilitate visualization as the mucus is translucent. It should be noted that this mesh is porous, enabling leeches to still make physical contact. The sides containing the empty or mucus mesh balls were switched between individual trials to eliminate any potential side bias. The objective of the third behavioral assay was to determine if the presence of symbionts in the mucosal castings affected attraction to the mucus. WT leeches were placed in the behavioral arena with mesh sacs containing mucus from either WT or APO leeches. The sides containing the WT or APO mucus mesh balls were switched between leech individuals to eliminate any potential side bias.

(e) **Transmission assays.** To assess whether symbions introduced into the digestive tract of *H. verbana* were capable of establishing within mucosal secretions, APO and WT leeches were fed 5 \(\mu\)L of defibrinated bovine blood supplemented with either a low (250 CFU/mL) or high (20,000 CFU/mL) inoculum of a constitutively *gfp*-expressing *A. veronii* mutant, HM215:TsT7gfp (kindly provided by the GeLab Univ. of Connecticut). To assess both *A. veronii* transmission and stability within mucus through time, the first mucus and a mucus shed approximately sixty days following feeding were obtained and examined for the presence of HM215:TsT7gfp by homogenizing and spreading the samples on selective nutrient agar plates (LB + Km (100 \(\mu\)g/mL) + Streptomycin (Str; 100 \(\mu\)g/mL)) incubated overnight at 30 \(^\circ\)C. Plates were then screened for *gfp*-expressing colonies using a Nikon Eclipse Ti inverted fluorescence microscope and images were taken using NIS Elements Imaging Analysis Software. Single colonies were confirmed to be *A. veronii* through PCR using *gfp* primers (Table 1) and sequencing of amplicons.

(f) **Statistical analyses.** The data were analyzed using JMP 10 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA) Statistical. Statistically significant differences in symbiont densities within secreted mucus through time were determined by performing F-tests, followed by the appropriate t-test (Mann-Whitney or Student’s). To compare frequency of *A. veronii* colonies expressing *gfp* within mucus following oral administration, Pearson’s chi-squared tests were used. ANOVAs were performed to determine if leeches demonstrated side preference in experimental arenas during behavioral assays. Mean APO and WT leech speed (mm traveled/sec) was determined and compared using a two-tailed Student’s t-test. Lastly, the exploratory behavior of APO and WT individuals was measured by calculating total time spent in the inner 6 \(\times\) 6 matrix (denoting the center of the arena) and compared between the two groups with a Student’s t-test.

### Table 1 | Primer list and amplification settings

| Primer                  | Sequence | Amplicon size (bp) | Amplification conditions |
|-------------------------|----------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| Aeromonas veronii gyrB B (gyrB) | 5\'-GCA GAT TGG CGA CAG CAC-3\' | 154 cycles of 30 sec at 95 \(^\circ\)C, 30 sec at 53 \(^\circ\)C, and 30 sec at 72 \(^\circ\)C | |
| AvgyrB-F                | 5\'-ACT CAC CTC GTC GGG TTC C-3\' | 75 cycles of 5 sec at 98 \(^\circ\)C, and 5 sec at 53 \(^\circ\)C | |
| AvgyrB-R                | 5\'-CTT GCT GTA GTC TTC CTC C-3\' | 75 cycles of 5 sec at 98 \(^\circ\)C, and 5 sec at 53 \(^\circ\)C | |

*Each amplification reaction was initiated with a 3 min denaturation step at 95 \(^\circ\)C, excluding the Aeromonas veronii gyrB B (gyrB) qPCR, which contained an initial 2 min denaturation step.*
