Perlecan and Dystroglycan act at the basal side of the Drosophila follicular epithelium to maintain epithelial organization
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Dystroglycan (Dg) is a widely expressed extracellular matrix (ECM) receptor required for muscle viability, synaptogenesis, basement-membrane formation and epithelial development. As an integral component of the Dystrophin-associated glycoprotein complex, Dg plays a central role in linking the ECM and the cytoskeleton. Disruption of this linkage in skeletal muscle leads to various types of muscular dystrophies. In epithelial cells, reduced expression of Dg is associated with increased invasiveness of cancer cells. We have previously shown that Dg is required for epithelial cell polarity in Drosophila, but the mechanisms of this polarizing activity and upstream/downstream components are largely unknown. Using the Drosophila follicle-cell epithelium (FCE) as a model system, we show that the ECM molecule Perlecan (Pcan) is required for maintenance of epithelial-cell polarity. Follicle cells that lack Pcan develop polarity defects similar to those of Dg mutant cells. Furthermore, Dg depends on Pcan but not on Laminin A for its localization in the basal-cell membrane, and the two proteins bind in vitro. Interestingly, the Dg form that interacts with Pcan in the FCE lacks the mucin-like domain, which is thought to be essential for Dg ligand binding activity. Finally, we describe two examples of how Dg promotes the differentiation of the basal membrane domain: (1) by recruiting/anchoring the cytoplasmic protein Dystrophin; and (2) by excluding the transmembrane protein Neurexin. We suggest that the interaction of Pcan and Dg at the basal side of the epithelium promotes basal membrane differentiation and is required for maintenance of cell polarity in the FCE.

KEY WORDS: Perlecan, Dystroglycan, Laminin, Dystrophin, Neurexin, Polarity, Epithelia, Oogenesis, Drosophila

INTRODUCTION

Dystroglycan (Dg) is a widely expressed extracellular matrix (ECM) receptor and is required for epithelial development, basement-membrane formation, muscle viability and synaptogenesis (Winder, 2001). In vertebrates, Dg is synthesized as a single polypeptide and post-translationally cleaved into the extracellular glycoprotein αDg and the transmembrane protein βDg (Ibraghimov-Beskorovayya et al., 1992). The two subunits are believed to remain attached to one another through non-covalent interaction of the C-terminal region of αDg with the N-terminal region of βDg (Sciandra et al., 2001). αDg shows a dumbbell-like molecular shape in which two less glycosylated globular domains are separated by the mucin-like domain (mucin-domain), a highly glycosylated serine-threonine-proline-rich region (Brancaccio et al., 1995). Laminin (Lam), Agrin, Perlecan (Pcan) and Neurexin (Nrx) serve as ligands for αDg (Ibraghimov-Beskorovayya et al., 1992; Sugita et al., 2001), and Lam G (LG)-like domains mediate the interaction (Hohenester et al., 1999). The binding site on αDg is not known, but proper glycosylation of αDg is generally considered to be crucial for its ligand-binding activity. Recent studies have demonstrated that O-glycosylation within the mucin-domain in required for Lam (Kanagawa et al., 2004) and Pcan binding (Kanagawa et al., 2005), but it is not clear whether the sugar-chains of this domain are directly involved in the interaction or merely play a structural role in supporting the rod-like shape of this region.

The cytoplasmic tail of βDg interacts with Dystrophin (Dys) in muscle cells, and the Dys-homolog Utrophin (Utr) in epithelial cells. Dys/Utr in turn connect to actin filaments of the cytoskeleton. Dg therefore occupies a central position in an ECM-cytoskeleton link disruption of which leads to various types of muscular dystrophies (Cohn and Campbell, 2000). In addition, Dg has been suggested to play a key role in the transduction and modulation of various signaling cascades (Henry and Campbell, 1999; Winder, 2001).

In epithelial cells, reduced expression of Dg has been associated with increased invasiveness of cancer cells (Muschler et al., 2002). In some malignant tumors, e.g. prostate and mammary cancer, the expression of αDg is reduced (Henry et al., 2001; Muschler et al., 2002). Furthermore, the amount of reduction is correlated with the invasiveness of the tumor (Muschler et al., 2002). Recent results suggest that the loss of αDg might be an early event in carcinogenesis rather than being a consequence of neoplastic transformation (Sgambato and Brancaccio, 2005; Sgambato et al., 2003).

Some reports have suggested that the major ligand for Dg in non-muscle cells might be Pcan, because the binding of αDg to Pcan LG-domains is five times stronger than that to the most active Lam fragment (Andac et al., 1999; Talts et al., 1999). Pcan is the major heparan sulfate proteoglycan in basement membranes (BMs) and connective tissue, and has been implicated in adhesion, proliferation, development and growth-factor binding (Iozzo, 1994). The Pcan core protein consists of five domains and binds to
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a variety of molecules, including FGF-7, Fibronectin, Heparin, Laminin 1, PDGF-B, αDg and Integrins. At the N-terminal domain I and the C-terminal domain V, glucosaminoglycan (GAG) chains are attached that interact with Laminin-1 and Collagen IV and bind to FGF-2, promoting its angiogenic and mitotic activities (Iozzo, 1994). Studies in transgenic mice have shown that Pcan is required for the maintenance of the functional and structural integrity of BMs in the heart, but is not needed for BM assembly per se (Costell et al., 1999).

Not much is known about the function of the interaction between Pcan and Dg. During the development of the neuromuscular junction, binding between Pcan and Dg is required for clustering of acetylcholine esterase at the postsynaptic membrane (Peng et al., 1999). In addition, cell culture studies with Pcan- and Laminin α2-deficient skin fibroblasts revealed that shedding of Dg is increased by the lack of Pcan, but not by lack of Laminin α2 (Herzog et al., 2004). Pcan, Dg and other components of the Dystrophin-glycoprotein complex are conserved in Drosophila and vertebrates (Greener and Roberts, 2000; Voigt et al., 2002). Drosophila Pcan is encoded by terribly reduced optical lobes (trol) and is required for controlling proliferation of neuronal stem cells in the larval brain (Voigt et al., 2002). Pcan has been suggested to act in the ECM by binding, storing and sequestering external signals, including FGF and Hedgehog (Voigt et al., 2002). A role for Pcan in epithelial development has not been reported so far.

Drosophila Dg plays a role in polarizing epithelial cells and the oocyte (Deng et al., 2003). In particular, Dg function has been investigated during the development of the follicle-cell epithelium (FCE). The FCE forms through a mesenchymal-epithelial transition and uses mechanisms operating on the apical, lateral and basal side for epithelial differentiation (Tanentzapf et al., 2000). Contact of follicle cells with the basement membrane and with the germline cells has been suggested to play a role in polarizing the cells. As a result, distinct basal, apical and lateral cell-membrane domains are established by accumulating protein complexes that are actively reinforcing cell-membrane polarity. Loss of Dg leads to an expansion of apical markers to the basal side of the cells and loss of lateral markers. Some Dg mutant cells lose their epithelial appearance, form multiple layers and eventually die (Deng et al., 2003).

The finding that Dg is required for epithelial cell polarity is particularly interesting because of its role during the invasive behavior of cancer cells, but little is known about the molecular mechanism behind this polarizing activity.

In this study, we investigate the hypothesis that Pcan and Dg constitute a basal polarizing cue required for the differentiation of the basal membrane domain and epithelial cell polarity. We chose the FCE as a model system for several reasons: first, all follicle cells are derived from two to three somatic stem cells, making mosaic analysis an excellent tool with which to study gene function in epithelial development (Margolis and Spradling, 1995); second, the trol gene is transcribed in follicle cells (Voigt et al., 2002); and third, we have previously shown that Dg plays a role in follicle-cell polarization (Deng et al., 2003).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fly stocks
Drosophila melanogaster stocks were raised on standard cornmeal-yeast-agar medium at 25°C and are as follows: FRT101, pcan<sup>aufl</sup>/FM7<sup>act-GFP</sup> (a gift from A. Voigt) (Voigt et al., 2002); FRT2A<sup>l</sup> anA<sup>952</sup> (Deng and Ruohola-Baker, 2000); (Henschclife et al., 1993), FRT42D<sup>DG</sup><sup>E23</sup> (Deng et al., 2003); Dg<sup>-</sup>hairpin UAS-Dg<sup>-</sup>Dg (Deng et al., 2003); Dys-hairpin UAS-DsDys (the present study); UAS-Dg<sup>-</sup>C (Deng et al., 2003); UAS-Dg<sup>-</sup>B (this study); act<sup>+</sup>FRT-CD2-FRT=Gal4<sup>+</sup> UAS-GFP (Pignoni and Zippursky, 1997).

The following stocks were obtained from the Bloomington Stock Center: hsFLP, FRT2AGFP/TM3; FRT42D GFP/Cyo; hsFLP, Sco/Cyo, FRT101 GFP, MKRS hsFLP/TM6, act<sup>+</sup>FRT-CD2-FRT<sup>-</sup>=Gal4<sup>-</sup>, UAS-GFP.

Generation of follicle cell clones
Loss-of-function mosaic and follicle-cell clones overexpressing UAS-constructs were induced as previously described (Deng et al., 2003).

Construction of the UAS-Dg-B construct
UAS-Dg-B was constructed by cloning the BgII/Xhol insert of the EST clone SD67067 into the pUAST transformation vector.

Construction of the Dys-hairpin
A 492 bp fragment common to the three transcripts of the Dys gene was amplified from cDNA with the primers CGGTACCTGATCGCTCA-GTAATTGCAGGCT and AAGATCCGGTTCGGAAGGTATTGGT. After digestion with KpnI/BamHI, the fragment was cloned both into pbUescript II (Stratagene), forming the pKS-dys, and into pEGFP-N1 (Clontech), forming pEGFP-N1-dys. Inversion of the sequence was carried out by excision of the Nhel/BamHI fragment of the pEGFP-N1-dys construct and subsequent ligation with a 148 bp SacI linker into pKS-Dys, cut with SpeI/BamHI. The 1132 bp KpnI fragment containing the inverse sequence was separated by the linker and inserted into pUAST. Before transformation the construct was verified by restriction analysis and sequencing.

Glycoprotein extraction
Glycoprotein was extracted at 4°C in the presence of protease inhibitors according to a modification of the methods of Smallheiser and Kim (Smallheiser and Kim, 1995) and Collins (Collins et al., 2001). Drosophila embryos (100 mg) were added to 1 ml cold Tris-buffered saline [TBS, 25 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 100 mM NaCl, plus 1% Triton-X100 and 4% protease inhibitors, homogenized and then incubated for 1 hour with rotation.

Wheat-germ agglutinin (WGA)-agarose (Vector Laboratories) were used to capture glycoproteins: 500 μl WGA beads were incubated with the homogenate overnight, centrifuged and washed twice with TBS buffer containing 0.1% triton-X100 and 4% protease inhibitors (Roche Diagnostics). The WGA agarose was eluted twice with 250 μl TBS buffer containing 0.1% triton-X100, 4% protease inhibitors and 0.3 M N-acetyl glucosamine (Sigma) under agitation for 10 minutes. The eluates were pooled, and then renatured by overnight dialysis in TBS buffer at 4°C.

To remove non-specific binding components prior to immunoprecipitation experiments, we subjected the glycoprotein extract to a preclearing step using 5% (v/v) rabbit serum for 1 hour, followed by 1 hour incubation with 5% (v/v) of a 50% of protein A sepharose (PAS). The beads were collected by centrifugation, at 10,000 g, washed thoroughly and resuspended in 50 μl of immune complexes and incubated overnight with gentle agitation.

Anti-Dystroglycan antibody (anti-Dg<sup>gp</sup>) was absorbed onto PAS beads to saturation by incubation overnight with gentle agitation. The beads were recovered by centrifugation at 10,000 g for 2 minutes and washed thoroughly with TBS. Approximately 25 μl of anti-Dg<sup>gp</sup>-absorbed PAS beads were added to 500 μl of immune complexes and incubated overnight with gentle agitation. The beads were collected by centrifugation, at 10,000 g for 2 minutes, and washed three times with binding buffer. Controls of boiled anti-Dg<sup>gp</sup>, no anti-Dg<sup>gp</sup> and rabbit serum were included in all experiments. The
RESULTS

Perlecan is required for integrity of the follicle cell epithelium

The FCE has a typical apicobasal polarity; the apical side faces the germ cells, and the basal side faces the basement membrane (Fig. 1A). Pcan can be detected around stage 1-2 of oogenesis in vesicle-like dots inside or between the follicle cells, and in the basal lamina after stage 3 (Fig. 1B). As development proceeds, Pcan accumulates in the BM, and the dot-like staining disappears (Fig. 1B). Similar to Lam, Pcan can be detected in stripes that run perpendicular to the anteroposterior axis of the egg (Fig. 1C). A strong expression of Pcan can also be seen in the muscular sheath surrounding the ovariole (Fig. 1B). To determine whether Pcan is required for FCE development, we induced somatic follicle-cell clones homozygous for the trol loss-of-function allele trolnull. trolnull completely and exclusively lacks the Pcan-coding region (Voigt et al., 2002). In a clone of homozygous trolnull cells, hereafter called trol clone, the dot-like Pcan staining is completely absent (Fig. 1D). Small trol clones that were induced during later stages still show Pcan protein localization in the BM (data not shown). trol clones frequently loose epithelial tissue organization. In egg chambers of stage 6-9, about 50% of the clones displayed a multilayer phenotype (n=44, Fig. 1E). In addition, mutant follicle cells were aberrantly placed between the germ cells (Fig. 1E). These results clearly show that Pcan is required for the integrity of the FCE.

Perlecan is required for maintaining cell membrane polarity

To determine whether the breakdown of epithelial organization in trol clones is caused by a defect in cell polarity, we analyzed the distribution of a collection of cell-polarity markers. Bazooka (Baz), a component of the Baz-complex, is normally expressed in the apical membrane domain. In trol clones, Baz is generally enriched and expanded to the cytoplasm (Fig. 2A,B). Crumbs (Crb) and Patj are components of the Crb complex, which is expressed apically. Although Patj localization is not changed in trol clones (Fig. 2C),
Crb staining is frequently reduced (Fig. 2D). Armadillo/β-catenin (Arm) is a cytoplasmic component of the adherens junctions located at the apical side of the lateral membrane. Arm expression appeared to be slightly elevated and expanded to all cell membranes (Fig. 2E,F). Discs-large (Dlg) is normally localized at the lateral membrane domain as part of the Dlg complex. In trol clones, Dlg staining is strongly reduced (Fig. 2G). These results demonstrate that loss of Pcan leads to cell-polarity defects.

**Perlecan domain V can bind to Dystroglycan in vitro**

The polarity defects observed in trol clones are reminiscent of those caused by the lack of Dg (Deng et al., 2003). Pcan and Dg interact as ligand and receptor in vertebrates, which prompted us to investigate whether this interaction is conserved in the fly. As domain V of mouse Pcan has been shown to be a high-affinity ligand for αDg (Talts et al., 1999), we performed a co-immunoprecipitation experiment using recombinantly expressed *Drosophila* Pcan domain V (PcanV) and embryonic protein extract enriched for glycoproteins. Different amounts of purified PcanV were added to the glycoprotein extract and immunoprecipitation was performed with an antibody directed against the C terminus of Dg (anti-Dg\textsubscript{pep}). Western blot analysis showed that in addition to Dg, PcanV was present in the precipitate (Fig. 3). No co-immunoprecipitation of PcanV occurred in the absence of glycoprotein extract, with boiled Dg-antibody or with rabbit serum (Fig. 3). The experiment was repeated twice, with similar results, with different glycoprotein preparations (data not shown). Although we have previously used anti-Dg\textsubscript{pep} to immunoprecipitate all major forms of Dg (data not shown) only a ~120 kDa band was detected in the precipitate which might reflect the abundance of this form (compare with Fig. 5C).

**Perlecan is required for Dystroglycan localization**

We next investigated the effect of Pcan on the distribution of Dg and vice versa. Using an antibody directed against the cytoplasmic domain of Dg, anti-Dg\textsubscript{cyto}, we found that in trol clones, Dg is frequently lost from the basal cell membrane (Fig. 4A). Some variability in this phenotype was observed, which probably reflected perdurance of Pcan in the ECM (data not shown). Occasionally, apical expression of Dg seemed to be increased in the clone (Fig. 4B). Pcan, however, was normally localized in Dg clones (Fig. 4C). A disruption of the filamentous organization was not obvious (Fig. 4D).

To investigate whether Pcan is required specifically for localization of Dg, or also affects other ECM receptors, we determined Integrin (Int) localization in trol clones using antibodies

---

**Fig. 2. Perlecan is required for cell membrane polarity.** trol clones show defects in the distribution of various cell polarity markers (filled arrowheads indicate normal; open arrowheads disrupted protein localization). (A, B) Baz (red) is generally enriched and expanded into the cytoplasm. (C) Patj (red) is not affected by the loss of Pcan. (D) Apical localization of Crb (red) is frequently reduced. (E, F) Arm (red) expression is slightly elevated and expanded to all cell membrane. (G) Dlg (red) is strongly reduced. A’-G’ show red channels only. Clones are marked by the loss of GFP and outlined by broken lines. Nuclei of follicle cells in ectopic positions are marked with a circle. Green, GFP; blue, DNA.

**Fig. 3. Dystroglycan and Perlecan can bind in vitro.** Western blots showing co-immunoprecipitation of PcanV with anti-Dg\textsubscript{pep}. Embryonic glycoprotein extract was mixed with 5 μg PcanV (R1), 0.5 μg PcanV (R2) or 0.05 μg PcanV (R3), and precipitated with anti-Dg\textsubscript{pep}. Controls were: no glycoprotein extract added to reaction mixture (C1), precipitation reaction with boiled Dg antibody (C2), only primary antibody loaded (C3), primary antibody exchanged for rabbit serum (C4). The precipitates were probed with anti-PcanV (upper panel) or anti-Dg\textsubscript{pep} (lower panel). Pure PcanV (PcanV 0.05 μg) and glycoprotein extract (input) were loaded as a positive control. Some of the minor Dg bands in the glycoprotein extract (input) are probably due to protein degradation.
Perlecan and Dystroglycan in polarity

DEVELOPMENT

A possible explanation for the alteration of Dg localization in trol cells is that Pcan influences localization of Dg indirectly through other Dg ligands. Although analysis of perlecan knockout mice demonstrated that Pcan is dispensable for basement membrane formation in general (Costell et al., 1999), studies in mouse embryonic stem cells suggested a role for Pcan in organizing Lam in the ECM (Henry et al., 2001). Furthermore, recent findings suggested a trimolecular complex of Pcan, Lam and Dg (Kanagawa et al., 2005). We therefore determined Lam distribution in trol clones. Lam is expressed at the basal side of the FCE and in the muscular sheath (Fig. 4H). Even in very large trol clones, Lam is clearly present; however, the staining appeared to be diffuse relative to the wild type (Fig. 4I). To test directly whether Lam is required for Dg localization, we induced clones homozygous for lanA−/−, a null allele of lanA (Henchcliffe et al., 1993). The lanA gene codes one of the two Drosophila α-chains. The second α-chain (Wing blister) is not expressed in the FCE with exception of the border cells (data not shown). Although medium-sized lanA clones did seldom show reduction of Lam (Fig. 4J), Lam staining was almost completely abolished when the entirely FCE were composed of lanA mutant cells. Lam (red) is almost completely absent. (L) Three egg chambers whose FCE are entirely composed of lanA mutant cells. Dg (red) is still localized at the basal membrane. Clones are marked by the loss of GFP (green) and indicated by broken lines. (A'–L') Red channels only.

We conclude that Dg depends on Pcan for localization in the basal membrane domain. Our results further suggest that this dependency is specific for Pcan and Dg.

Perlecan-dependent Dystroglycan lacks the mucin-like domain

Glycosylation of Dg is widely accepted to be essential for its function, and recent results suggest an important role for O-glycosylation in the mucin-like domain for binding to Lam (Kanagawa et al., 2004) and Pcan (Kanagawa et al., 2005). In this context, it is interesting that Drosophila Dg, unlike vertebrate Dg, is subjected to alternative splicing which results in at least two shorter forms of Dg that lack the putative mucin-like domain (Deng et al., 2003). In addition to the longest Dg-mRNA (Dg-C), which codes for
a protein (Dg-C) with a calculated molecular weight of 130 kDa, two shorter mRNAs (Dg-A and Dg-B) are generated that code for proteins with calculated molecular weight of 111 kDa (Dg-A) and 105 kDa (Dg-B) (Fig. 5A). Both shorter mRNAs lack exon 8, which contains the coding sequences for the putative mucin-domain consisting of 52 potential O-linked glycosylation sites that are clustered within a stretch of 80 amino acids (Fig. 5B).

In our hands, western blotting of embryonic protein extracts with anti-Dg antibodies reveals two major bands of ~120 kDa and ~200 kDa. Both bands are recognized by two different antibodies directed against the cytoplasmic domain of Dg (anti-Dgcyto and anti-Dgpep) (Fig. 5C). The high discrepancy between predicted (105, 111, 130 kDa) and the observed molecular weight (200 kDa) suggests that at least one form of Dg is subjected to substantial posttranslational modifications. To test whether these modifications take place within the mucin domain, we used an antibody specific for the region encoded by exon 8 (anti-Dgex8). Anti-Dgex8 recognized only the ~200 kDa band (Fig. 5C), suggesting that the ~200 kDa band represents Dg-C, while the ~120 kDa band, represents both Dg-A and/or Dg-B. Taken together, our findings suggest that Dg-C contains a mucin domain, which is lacking in Dg-A and Dg-B.

To determine which of the Dg forms is actually expressed in the FCE, we compared the staining pattern of anti-Dgex8 and anti-Dgcyto. Staining with anti-Dgex8 showed that Dg is highly concentrated in the basal membrane of the FCE throughout oogenesis (Fig. 5D, arrow in F). Dg-C is expressed in the muscular sheath (yellow asterisks in E and G) but absent from the basal membrane of the FCE (arrow in G). Red, Arm; green, Dg. (H-J) Ectopic expression of Dg-B (H,J) and Dg-C (I) induces ectopic accumulation (arrows) of Lam (H) and Pcan (I,J). Cells expressing the Dg construct are marked by GFP (green). Red indicates Lam (H) and Pcan (I,J). (H'-J') Red channels only.

To directly test the role of the mucin-domain for the interaction with Pcan and Lam, we ectopically expressed Dg-C and Dg-B in the FCE. As previously described, overexpression of Dg-C induced ectopic accumulation of Lam (Deng et al., 2003; data not shown). Staining with anti-Dgex8, however, revealed that the mucin-domain containing form Dg-C is expressed in the muscular sheath surrounding the egg chamber, but is absent from the basal membrane of the follicle cells (Fig. 5E,G). The dot-like staining present in the FCE is unspecific staining because it still can be observed in a Dg follicle cell clone (data not shown).

To determine which of the Dg forms is actually expressed in the FCE, we compared the staining pattern of anti-Dgex8 and anti-Dgcyto. Staining with anti-Dgex8 showed that Dg is highly concentrated in the basal membrane of the follicle cells throughout oogenesis (Fig. 5D,F) and in the muscular sheath surrounding the egg chambers (data not shown). Staining with anti-Dgcyto, however, revealed that the mucin-domain containing form Dg-C is expressed in the muscular sheath surrounding the egg chamber, but is absent from the basal membrane of the follicle cells (Fig. 5E,G). The dot-like staining present in the FCE is unspecific staining because it still can be observed in a Dg follicle cell clone (data not shown).

To directly test the role of the mucin-domain for the interaction with Pcan and Lam, we ectopically expressed Dg-C and Dg-B in the FCE. As previously described, overexpression of Dg-C induced ectopic accumulation of Lam (Deng et al., 2003; data not shown). We found that Dg-B, which differs from Dg-C only by the lack of exon 8, was equally able to induce Lam accumulation (Fig. 5H). Furthermore, both forms induced ectopic accumulations of Pcan (Fig. 5I,J). Taken together, our results suggest that interaction between Dg and Pcan (and possibly Lam) does not require the mucin-like domain of Dg.
**Dystroglycan is required for localization of Dystrophin and vice versa**

Determining which molecular mechanisms Dg employs to maintain cell-membrane polarity requires knowledge about proteins that interact with the cytoplasmic domain of Dg. Two prime candidates are Dys and Utr, which are both represented by the single Dystrophin (Dys) gene in the fly (Greener and Roberts, 2000). The C-terminal Dys-binding site in the cytoplasmic domain of Dg is well conserved in the fly (Greener and Roberts, 2000). To determine whether the interaction between Dg and Dys is conserved as well, we induced Dg follicle-cell clones and tested whether removal of Dg affected the intracellular localization of Dys. Whereas in wild-type follicle cells, Dys is localized at the basal membrane (Fig. 6A), in Dg mutant cells, the basal Dys staining was clearly reduced (Fig. 6B).

To test whether Dys is required for Dg localization, we used a Dys-hairpin construct, dsDys, to reduce levels of the Dys protein in follicle cells (Fig. 6C). The reduction of Dys led to a reduction of Dg in the basal membrane (Fig. 6D). In trol clones, a diffuse cytoplasmic distribution of Dys was observed in instead of the basal localization (Fig. 6E), which is in agreement with our previous observation that Pcan is required for Dg localization. These results suggest that the interaction between Dg and Dys is likely to be conserved in the fly and that Dg plays a role in the differentiation of the basal membrane by recruiting and/or anchoring Dys.

**Dystroglycan is required for Neurexin and Contactin, but not for Neuroglial localization**

Previous studies suggested that the cell-surface receptor Neurexin (Nrx) binds Dg in the brain (Sugita et al., 2001), prompting us to determine whether the Drosophila homolog Neurexin IV (Baumgartner et al., 1996) is a likely candidate for binding to Dg and whether NrxIV is a specific membrane-polarity marker in follicle cells. In Drosophila, Nrx IV has been shown to be required for the formation of septate junctions (SJ) in epithelia and glia (Baumgartner et al., 1996). Epithelial SJ are located towards the apical end of the lateral membrane, basal to the adherens junction. In the FCE, incipient SJ have been observed at stage 6 that have matured into pleated SJ by stage 10 (Muller, 2000). To our knowledge, expression of NrxIV in the developing follicular epithelium has not been reported so far. We found that NrxIV is expressed in a dynamic pattern throughout oogenesis in both germline and follicle cells (Fig. 7A). During early stages, NrxIV appears to be unevenly distributed in the cytoplasm of follicle and germline cells. As oogenesis proceeds, NrxIV disappears from the cytoplasm of both cell types. In the follicle cells, NrxIV accumulates first at the basal side and then gradually disappears from the basal membrane and accumulates at the basal side of the lateral membrane (Fig. 7B). During SJ development, NrxIV forms a complex with Neuroglian (Nrg) and Contactin (Cont), and all three proteins are interdependent for SJ localization (Faireu-Sarailh et al., 2004). To determine whether a similar complex exists in the follicle cell epithelium, we performed a triple staining.

Cont was weakly expressed during early stages of oogenesis (Fig. 7C). A dot-like staining was observed that appeared to be associated with the cell membrane (Fig. 7D). At later stages of oogenesis, Cont expression accumulated at the apical side of the lateral membrane (Fig. 7E). As described earlier (Wei et al., 2004), Nrg was expressed at the lateral membrane (Fig. 7F). All three proteins co-localized in dot-like structures, which appear to be associated with tripalpitate cell junctions (Fig. 7G).
Patj is a cytoplasmic PDZ domain protein that forms an apical complex with the transmembrane protein Crb (Klebes and Knust, 2000). In contrast to Patj, Crb is frequently reduced in trol clones (Fig. 2D). A similar loss of Crb was observed in embryonic salivary gland after ectopic expression of Dg (Deng et al., 2003), suggesting that the apical enrichment of Dg in trol clones might cause the reduction of Crb. Furthermore, our results confirm the existence of a Crb-independent localization and retention mechanism for Patj in the FCE that has been suggested earlier (Tanentzapf et al., 2000). Another difference between trol and Dg clones lies is the ability of the cells to survive.. Whereas Dg clones eventually die, trol clones can survive until later stages of oogenesis (data not shown). Studies of embryoid bodies deficient in Dg revealed an accelerated level of apoptosis, which has led to the proposal that Dg has a role in cell survival (Li et al., 2002).

The overall similarity of the trol− and Dg− phenotypes suggests that the two proteins act in the same ‘polarity pathway’. In support of this view is our finding that, in trol clones, Dg is frequently lost from the basal-cell membrane (Fig. 4A,B). This effect seems to be specific because: (1) Dg is unaffected by the lack of Lam A (Fig. 4L); and (2) βPS remains localized in the basal membranes of trol mutant cells that have lost Dg (Fig. 4E-G). Pcan could stabilize Dg at the basal cell surface, either by direct binding or indirectly through interaction with other cell-matrix or cell-surface proteins. Recent findings suggested a trimolecular complex of Pcan, Lam and Dg (Kanagawa et al., 2005). However, a role for Lam in stabilizing Dg in the FCE is unlikely, because Lam is not required for Dg localization (Fig. 4L). Our findings that Pcan domain V can be co-immunoprecipitated with Dg, supports the view that Pcan stabilizes Dg at least in part by direct binding. These results suggest that direct interaction of the ECM molecule Pcan with the transmembrane protein Dg is required for the maintenance of follicle cell polarity.

In this context, it is interesting that mouse Dg is continuously shed from the cell surface of normal cutaneous cells by proteolytic cleavage of βDg (Herzog et al., 2004). Cell culture studies with Pcan- and Lam α2-deficient skin fibroblasts further revealed that shedding of Dg is increased by the lack of Pcan, but not by the lack of Lam α2 (Herzog et al., 2004). Drosophila Dg appears not to be processed into an α and a β subunit (Fig. 5C) (Deng et al., 2003). The antibody used to detect Dg in trol− cells was directed against the cytoplasmic domain (anti-Dgcyto), so clearly at least the intracellular domain of Dg, and probably the whole protein, is lost from the cell membrane in these cells. One might speculate that the loss of Dg in trol clones represents an elevated turnover of Dg, thereby altering the cell-matrix interaction and activity of Dg in the FCE, as shedding of Dg might do in the vertebrate system. In both systems, Pcan, but not Lam, could function to counteract this mechanism and to stabilize Dg at the cell membrane, but the expression pattern of Pcan and Dg makes clear that other mechanisms of stabilizing Dg expression must exist during early stages of oogenesis, when Pcan is not yet present in the ECM.

A function for Dg without mucin-domain

Glycosylation of Dg is widely accepted to be essential for its function, and recent results suggest an important role for O-glycosylation in the mucin-domain for binding to Lam (Kanagawa et al., 2004) and Pcan (Kanagawa et al., 2005). To date, it is unclear whether the sugar-chains in the mucin-domain are directly involved in the interaction or whether they play a primarily structural function required for proper presentation of the ligand-binding domain. The following findings suggest that, in Drosophila, binding of Pcan and Dg does not require the mucin-domain: first, the form of Dg that is expressed at the basal side of the FCE and depends on Pcan for its maintained localization does not contain the mucin-like domain (Fig. 5E,G); second, ectopic expression of Dg leads to ectopic accumulation of Lam and Pcan independent of the presence of the mucin domain (Fig. 5H-J); and third, one single band of ~120 kDa was detected in embryonic protein extracts in overlay binding assays with PcanV (M. Friedrich and R. Timpl, unpublished). The size of this band corresponds to the size of the two Dg forms Dg-A and Dg-B, which lack the mucin-domain. Our results suggest that the mucin-
domain plays a structural role that might not be required in the specific surroundings of the FCE. Another possibility is that presence or absence of the mucin-like domain might regulate binding affinity and/or selectivity.

To our knowledge, this study is the first demonstrating a function for a Dg splicing variant lacking the mucin-like domain. It will be interesting to find out whether different Dg forms carry out different functions.

**Dg promotes differentiation of the basolateral membrane domain**

Contact with the ECM is important for polarization of several epithelia, including the vertebrate kidney epithelium (Eaton and Simons, 1995) and the *Drosophila* midgut (Yarnitzky and Volk, 1995), dorsal vessel (Haag et al., 1999) and follicular epithelia (Tanentzapf et al., 2000). In Madin-Darby canine kidney (MDCK) cells, contact with the ECM results in the formation of a basal membrane domain and in long-range effects on the differentiation of the non-basal domain (Vega-Salas et al., 1987). Similar long-range effects of ECM contact during the establishment of polarity have been observed in the *Drosophila* FCE (Tanentzapf et al., 2000).

Our results suggest that, after the initial polarization, ECM-cell contact mediated by Pcan and Dg plays a role in the maintenance of cell polarity. The expansion of Arm and the reduction of the lateral marker Dlg in Dg and trol clones might indicate a long-range effect of Dg on cell polarity. It is generally accepted that Dlg functions by preventing invasion of apical proteins and adherens-junction components into the lateral domain, suggesting that the reduction of Dlg in Dg and trol clones is the cause for the expansion of Arm in these clones. The molecular mechanisms underlying the effect of Dg on Dlg remain unknown, but our results show two clear short-range effects of Dg on the differentiation of the basolateral membrane domain: first, the recruitment and/or anchoring of the cytoplasmic protein Dystrophin and, second, the exclusion of the basolateral protein NrxIV.

**Interaction of Dg and Dys is conserved in *Drosophila***

In vertebrates, the cytoplasmic tail of βDg binds to Dys in muscle cells and its homolog Utr, in epithelial cells. Dys/Utr, in turn, connects to actin filaments of the cytoskeleton. Mutations in Dys cause a reduction of the expression of Dg in the sarcolemma (Ibraghimov-Beskrovnaya et al., 1992). In *Drosophila*, Dg and Dys are interdependent for their localization in the basal membrane of the FCE (Fig. 6) and in wing imaginal discs (M.S., unpublished), suggesting that the interaction between both proteins is conserved. Provided that *Drosophila* Dys also interacts with actin filaments, this result could explain the defects in basal actin organization that were observed in Dg clones (Deng et al., 2003).

In contrast to Dg clones, an abundant cytoplasmic localization of Dys was observed in trol clones. Further experiments are required to understand the precise molecular mechanisms underlying the observed defects in protein localization.

Our results raise the issue of whether Dys is also required for cell polarity. We observed that in Dys clones, the polarity marker Baz is clearly reduced, indicating a polarity defect in these cells (data not shown). The difference to Dg clones in which Baz is not affected, and trol clones, in which Baz expression is elevated, indicates that Dys might play a Dg-independent role in cell polarity and that the subcellular localization of Dys could play a role for its function.

**Dg and NrxIV localization**

Like Pcan and Lam, Neurexins contain several LG-like modules and have been described as putative interaction partners for Dg in the brain (Sugita et al., 2001). Our results suggest that, in the *Drosophila* FCE, Dg is required to exclude NrxIV from the basal membrane domain. Whether a direct interaction between Dg and NrxIV is involved in this process remains to be seen.

NrxIV is generally regarded as an integral component of pleated SJ. We were surprised by the finding that NrxIV is located basally to the region where SJ form, in a position that might correspond to...
the border between the lateral and basal cell membrane domains. The precise function of NrxIV during SJ development in the follicular epithelium remains to be elucidated.

In the embryo, NrxIV forms a complex with Nrg and Cont, and all three proteins are interdependent for SJ localization (Faivre-Sarrailh et al., 2004). The co-localization of NrxIV, Nrg and Cont in dot-like structures, and the fact that Cont co-localizes with ectopic NrxIV in Dg clones, suggest that molecular interactions between NrxIV, Cont and Nrg also occur in the FCE.

A basal ‘polarizing cue’ in the FCE

On the basis of our observations, we propose that Pcan and Dg provide a basal ‘polarizing cue’ required for differentiation of the basal membrane and maintenance of epithelial cell polarity in the FCE. Binding of the ECM molecule Pcan to its receptor Dg stabilizes Dg in the basal membrane. Dg is required for stabilizing Dlg at the lateral membrane, which in turn prevents apical markers and ZA components from invading the basolateral membrane domain. In addition, Dg forms a complex with Dys at the basal membrane and exerts a function in excluding NrxIV from the basal membrane. Further investigations will be required to understand the molecular mechanisms underlying the effect of Dg on Dlg localization and the roles of Dys and NrxIV in this process. Hopefully, a better understanding of the function of Dg in epithelial cell polarity will also shed some light on its role in cancer.
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