Pilot investigation into osteotome hard surface coating and cutting-edge degradation
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Abstract

Background

Osteotomes are bone cutting tools commonly reused in orthopedic surgical procedures. Despite undergoing rigorous cleaning, visual inspection and sterilization procedures between every use, the condition of the cutting blade edge is commonly not qualitatively assessed. Subjective feedback from surgeons suggests a large variation in osteotome cutting edge sharpness is found during use. This study seeks to investigate the retention of osteotome cutting-edge sharpness by comparing the wear resistance of as-supplied, electroless nickel, and titanium nitride coated osteotomes following a series of bone cutting tests.

Methods

Changes in edge sharpness were assessed using visual inspection, depth penetration testing that quantified change in the blade sharpness index and scanning electron microscopy visual analysis. Visual inspection of each osteotome blade edge was then compared to qualitative blade sharpness index measurement.

Results

After use, no cutting-edge damage or change in blade sharpness was detected by visual examination of all three osteotomes however the as-supplied osteotome demonstrated 50% loss of blade sharpness index compared to 30% and 15% reduction for the electroless nickel and titanium nitride coated osteotomes respectively. This finding was supported by scanning electron microscopy evaluation that found greater mechanical damage had occurred along the cutting-edge of the as-supplied osteotome compared to the two coated with wear resistant materials.

Conclusions

The rapid loss of blade sharpness found in the as-supplied osteotome supports the degradation in cutting performance frequently reported by surgeons. The findings from this study demonstrates blade sharpness index better detects cutting edge wear compared to visual inspection. Results from this pilot study also suggest the coating of osteotomes in hard-wearing biocompatible materials assists in retaining cutting edge sharpness over multiple uses. Further study using a larger sample
size is required to validate these findings.

Background

Osteotomes are used during surgery where bone needs to be refractured or removed using a chiseling motion. Stainless steel is commonly used in the manufacture of osteotomes, mainly for its low cost, biocompatibility, and ability to resist corrosion. Although this type of steel has multiple positive benefits, it lacks the ability to maintain a sharp edge when used as a cutting tool, compared to other harder metal alloys.

It is important that the osteotome cutting-edge remains sharp throughout the operation to minimize the percussion force required to cut bone. A blunt osteotome could produce bone fragments and bone micro-fractures rather than clean cuts, potentially causing damage to the surrounding soft tissue and leading to poor surgical outcomes [1].

Despite the osteotome commonly receiving visual inspection during post-operative cleaning and sterilization procedures it is questionable as to how effectively this method assesses cutting-edge wear and damage. For the surgeon, there are a few signs that the osteotome cutting-edge is degrading during use. The most apparent indicators of a blunt osteotome are loss of cut accuracy as the tool takes the path of least resistance and more frequent mallet use [2].

This work had two objectives:

1. To determine if the state of osteotome blade sharpness can be accurately assessed by visual inspection.
2. To determine if hard surface coating provides better retention of cutting-edge sharpness compared to an osteotome in the as-supplied state.

Methods

This study utilized three identical and new commercially available osteotomes (RU 5331-30, Rudolf Medical GmbH, Fridingen, Germany). One osteotome was electroless nickel coated to a thickness of 8 μm, another was titanium nitride (TiN) coated using physical vapor deposition (PVD) to a thickness of 2 μm and the remaining one was left in the as-supplied state.

All osteotomes initially underwent visual cutting-edge inspection and blade sharpness index (BSI)
testing (described later in more detail), to benchmark cutting-edge sharpness. Each osteotome then underwent a series of bone cutting cycles with the visual and BSI assessments repeated after each of the four bone cutting tests. Upon completion of testing, a small sample of the cutting-edge was removed from each osteotome to be subjectively compared for wear and damage using scanning electron microscopy (SEM) techniques. (described later).

**Bone Cutting Protocol**

Bone cutting entailed holding the osteotome at an inclination with the aid of a cutting jig that replicated the procedure commonly used during surgery. Each bone cutting cycle removed a 40 mm length of cuticle bone to a depth of 5 mm along the axial direction of the freshly excised adult bovine femur. The jig held the bone in position and aligned the osteotome cutting direction along the femur bone longitudinal axis via a lower vee-groove, shown by Figure 1. The cutting jig also ensured that the osteotome inclination was maintained constant at 44 degrees, determined from the rake angle of the osteotome cutting edge.

**Visual Assessment**

Qualitative visual assessment of osteotome cutting-edge condition entailed unmagnified visual inspection under bright natural light and included the acquisition of photographic images using a digital single lens reflex (DSLR) camera. Initial visual benchmarking was undertaken on all new osteotomes and then repeated after each of the four bone-cutting cycles.

**Blade Sharpness Index**

The method adopted to qualitatively assess cutting-edge performance in this study was based on work by McCarthy et al. (2010) that applies the dimensionless BSI index number [3]. This procedure assesses blade sharpness using a depth penetration test where the osteotome cutting-edge is forced into a soft wax substrate enabling objective evaluation of sharpness without damaging the fine edge. BSI can be regarded as a function of blade tip radius and force required to initiate the cut [4]. The test apparatus consisted of a hinged arm that allowed slight vertical motion with negligible change in angular position holding the osteotome with the cutting-edge facing down into the wax substrate, Shown by Figure 2A. A standardized load applied on top of the osteotome forced the osteotome
cutting-edge to penetrate the wax, shown by Figure 2B.

Initial sharpness benchmarking was undertaken on all new osteotomes. Sharpness assessments were then repeated after each of the four bone-cutting cycles for all three osteotomes. Each wax sample was subsequently sectioned, and depth of blade penetration measured using an optical microscope, enabling the BSI to then be calculated [3].

*Scanning Electron Microscopy*

Upon completion of all four bone-cutting cycles, and subsequent visual and BSI assessments, each osteotome was then thoroughly cleaned and sterilized before a small 5 mm x 10 mm sample of the cutting edge was removed by wire spark-erosion. Each cutting-edge sample then underwent SEM analysis (Hitachi SU-70) to qualitatively assess and compare the degree of wear and mechanical damage incurred to each osteotome blade upon completion of four bone-cutting cycles.

**Results**

*Visual Assessment*

Upon completion of all bone-cutting tests, and after cleaning and sterilization, visual assessment failed to detect any degradation in cutting-edge condition in any of the osteotomes. Figure 3 presents an image of the as-supplied osteotome upon completion of four bone-cutting cycles. There was no detectable difference in blade condition between any of the three osteotomes.

*Blade Sharpness Index*

The trend in reduction of BSI, representing degradation in cutting-edge between the as-supplied and coated osteotomes, is presented by Figure 4. All osteotomes experienced loss of blade sharpness, with the TiN PVD coating demonstrating the best wear resistance. Of note is the lower baseline sharpness of the electroless nickel coated osteotome prior to undertaking bone cutting when compared to the other two.

Percentage change in BSI, presented by Figure 5, demonstrates the rapid decline in blade sharpness experienced by the as-supplied osteotome compared to the two coated samples.

*Scanning Electron Microscopy Examination*

SEM image x500 magnification of the three osteotome blade samples identified different cutting-edge
damage and variation in wear along the cutting face. The TiN PVD coated osteotome demonstrated a sharp cutting edge with minor mechanical damage and slight scratching along face of blade, shown by Figure 6. This contrasted with the electroless nickel coated osteotome cutting edge that displayed a smooth rounded cutting edge and minor scratching along the blade face, shown by Figure 7. Of note is the as-supplied osteotome cutting edge that demonstrated both significant mechanical damage along the cutting edge and deep scratching along the blade face, shown by Figure 8.

Discussion

Visual Inspection.

Our study has shown that visual examination is unable to detect any degradation in the cutting-edge of any of the three osteotomes tested. This includes the as-supplied osteotome that experienced a 50% loss in BSI over the four bone cutting tests. While visual inspection might detect catastrophic damage in the cutting-edge, our study demonstrates this technique is unable to detect and gauge normal wear up to a level of 50% loss in BSI, as demonstrated in the as-supplied osteotome.

Blade Sharpness

There are currently various methods of maintaining osteotome sharpness. These range from periodic professional sharpening through to a surgeon hand sharpening the tool using ceramic or diamond sharpening stones or fine grit whetstones either prior to or during each use. Evaluation of the effectiveness of professionally sharpening blunt osteotomes has shown that edge sharpness is only ever at best partially restored [5].

Only two previous studies have investigated degradation in uncoated osteotome cutting performance and sharpness [5, 6]. Bloom, Ransom, Antunes, & Becker (2011), evaluated osteotome sharpness after repeated use, regular maintenance and sharpening, by analyzing three identical osteotomes used in nasal dorsal hump reduction surgery [5]. In this study and after each use, degradation in osteotome blade sharpness was measured by holding the osteotome stationary while a single suture was incremented toward the blade with increasing force until the suture was broken. During this investigation, each osteotome was sharpened after three, six and nine surgical uses. This entailed the first two osteotomes being hand sharpened by the surgeons using different methods while the third
osteotome was professionally sharpened. The study found the cutting edge of all three osteotomes experienced significant deterioration in sharpness during use and that both hand and professional sharpening was unable to restore the cutting edge to its original degree of sharpness. Two hospital maintained osteotomes were included to compare the earlier findings with real world conditions. Of note, the cutting edge of the hospital maintained osteotomes were significantly duller compared to the three osteotomes that had been tested 9 times. This study concluded that osteotomes may not be suitable for reuse, even after sharpening, and that edge retention is difficult to retain with repeated use [5]. A later study by the same group (Ransom, Antunes, Bloom, & Becker, 2012) focused on comparing the sharpness of osteotomes from five major manufacturers [6]. Like our study, this earlier investigation involved each osteotome making 40mm cuts but using artificial rather than real bone. Baseline sharpness values were similarly determined for each new osteotome prior to change in sharpness being assessed after successive cutting cycles. Like our study for the as-supplied osteotome, each osteotome demonstrated progressive loss of cutting-edge sharpness, and degradation of the osteotome cutting-edge was rapid and unavoidable.

**Hard Coating**

To improve osteotome cutting edge durability, efforts have been focused on improving the wear resistance by applying a hard-wearing coating such as TiN before comparing their performance to uncoated as-supplied tools. Several authors have previously demonstrated the possible advantages of using coated instruments [7-10] but have so far neglected the osteotome. In our study, baseline BSI values show the electroless nickel coating process leads to slight dulling of the cutting-edge to a level equivalent to the as-supplied osteotome after one cutting cycle of use. This finding is supported by the post bone cutting SEM analysis that showed a smooth and rounded cutting edge, shown by Figure 7. This increase in cutting edge radius is thought to be caused by the electroless nickel coating process. With the TiN PVD coating being much thinner, there was a lower increase in blade cutting edge radius, leading to no difference in BSI between the TiN PVD coated and as-supplied osteotomes prior to use.

After four bone cutting cycles the percentage change in BSI shows the as-supplied osteotome
experiences the greatest cutting-edge wear rate compared to the other two osteotomes coated in wear resistant materials. This is demonstrated by the as supplied osteotome having lost 50% of its BSI, which equates to requiring twice as much impact force to realize the same cut as when new. This compares to 10% and 35% loss of BSI for the TiN PVD and electroless nickel coated osteotomes respectively. These findings are also supported by SEM examination of the cutting-edges of each of the three osteotomes tested, with the TiN PVD coated osteotome showing the least cutting-edge mechanical damage and cutting face scratching.

Limitation
This pilot investigation was limited to one sample of each osteotome so any variation in performance is not detected. A prospective study investigating cutting blade edge degradation using a greater sample size should be conducted.

Conclusions
Visual inspection does not detect osteotome cutting-edge wear up to a level of 50% loss in BSI. Other means of in-field assessment of blade sharpness should be considered to better assess blade sharpness prior to osteotome reuse. This could include BSI testing after each surgical procedure to monitor the inevitable loss in sharpness.

TiN PVD coating provides superior cutting-edge wear and damage resistance compared to electroless nickel coated and as-supplied osteotomes and should be considered for use by manufacturers as a way to improve osteotome blade durability and reduce the need for sharpness testing after each use.
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Figures
Figure 1

Cutting jig holding osteotome at a controlled angle during longitudinal axial cut along sectioned controlled length of adult bovine femur bone.

Figure 2

A). Schematic of blade sharpness index testing using standardised force to press blade into soft wax substrate. B). Blade penetration δ into soft wax substrate.
Figure 3

Image of as-supplied osteotome cutting edge upon completion of four bone cutting cycles displaying no evidence of loss of blade sharpness.
Figure 4

Trend of change in blade sharpness index from baseline spanning four bone cutting cycles.

= TiN PVD coated osteotome, electroless nickel coated osteotome, = as-supplied osteotome.
Figure 5

Percentage change from baseline blade sharpness index over four consecutive bone cutting cycles. = TiN PVD coated osteotome, electroless nickel coated osteotome, = as-supplied osteotome.
Figure 6

SEM image of the TiN PVD coated osteotome cutting edge at x500 magnification showing minor mechanical damage along cutting edge and slight scratching along face of blade.
Figure 7

SEM image of the electroless nickel coated osteotome cutting edge at x500 magnification showing rounded cutting edge and minor scratching along face of blade.
Figure 8

SEM image of the as-supplied osteotome cutting edge at x500 magnification showing significant mechanical damage along cutting edge and deep scratching along face of blade.