Phenotypic Diversity of Ethiopian Coffee (Coffea arabica L.) Accessions Collected from Limmu Coffee Growing Areas Using Multivariate Analysis

Lemi Bekisia*, Tadesse Benti, Getachew Weldemichael

Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research, Jimma Agricultural Research Center, Jimma, Ethiopia

Email address:
lbekisia@gmail.com (L. Bekisia)
*Corresponding author

To cite this article:
Lemi Bekisia, Tadesse Benti, Getachew Weldemichael. Phenotypic Diversity of Ethiopian Coffee (Coffea arabica L.) Accessions Collected from Limmu Coffee Growing Areas Using Multivariate Analysis. American Journal of BioScience. Vol. 9, No. 3, 2021, pp. 79-85. doi: 10.11648/j.ajbio.20210903.12

Received: April 17, 2021; Accepted: May 11, 2021; Published: May 20, 2021

Abstract: Forty seven Coffea arabica L. germplasm accessions collected from Limmu district were field evaluated from 2004/5 to 2013/14 with two commercial check varieties at Agaro Agricultural Research sub center in single plot. The objective of the experiment was to assess the variability among the accessions using quantitative traits. Data for about eight quantitative traits were recorded only once in experimental period, while the yield data were recorded for six consecutive cropping seasons. Cluster, genetic divergence, and principal component analysis were used to assess the variability among the genotypes. The results revealed that average linkage cluster analysis for nine traits grouped the germplasm accessions into three clusters. The number of accessions per cluster ranged from three in cluster III to 25 in cluster II. The clustering pattern of the coffee accessions revealed that the prevalence of moderate genetic diversity in Limmu coffee for the characters studied. The maximum inter-cluster distance was observed between clusters II and III; whereas the minimum inter-cluster distance was observed between clusters I and II. The study highlighted the possibility of using accessions of the distant clusters as potential candidates for the genetic improvement of Limmu coffee through hybridization. Moreover, the principal component analysis showed that the first four PCs extracted about 82% of the total variance of the 49 coffee germplasm accessions and also confirmed moderate diversity among the germplasm accessions since the entire variation cannot be explained in terms of few PCs. This, in turn, indicates that the involvement of a number of traits in contributing towards the overall diversity.
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1. Introduction

Coffee (Coffea arabica L.) is native to the highlands of Southwest Ethiopia (Sylvain, 1958) and belongs to the genus Coffea, in the family Rubiaceae. More recently combination of morphological and molecular data set revealed that Rubiceae (Coffeaeae) is enlarged to encompass eleven genera [5]. Coffee production in the center of origin, Ethiopia, has vital role for the economy, ecology, socio-cultural and spiritual life of the people. Nationally, it is estimated that about 4.5 million farming households are involved in coffee production activities [23]. From the economic point of view, the coffee sector contributes for about 4-5% to the country’s growth domestic product [7] and provides an income for about 25 million people who are engaged in coffee production, processing, distribution, trading, exporting and other related activities [25]. Coffee is Ethiopia’s most important export commodity, accounting for about 27 to 31 percent of the country’s commodity exports averaged over the last four years [7].

Ethiopia ranks first in Africa and fifth in the world after Brazil, Vietnam, Colombia and Indonesia in coffee production and is the tenth coffee exporter with less than 5 percent share of the world. During 2020 cropping season, the total area under production estimated to 540,000 hectares and the production is estimated to be 450,000 metric tons [7]. In Ethiopia, coffee grows under a wide range of environmental conditions between altitudinal ranges from 550 to 2750m...
collecting from Limmu Kosa (Limmu set II), Gomma (Limmu set IV), Southern Ethiopia, east Wollega, Yayu forest of Ethiopia and Amaro Kello, respectively. However, although coffee from Limmu coffee is known for its peculiar winey flavor and fetches premium price in the world market, the 49 coffee accessions which were collected from Gomma (Limmu set III), were not yet systematically characterized for quantitative traits. Therefore, this study was conducted to assess the extent of genetic variation existed among the coffee accessions collected from this area using multivariate analysis.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Description of the Study Site

The experiment was conducted at Agaro agricultural research sub center of Jimma Agricultural Research Center. The center is located at 7° 50' 35'' - 7° 51' 00'' N latitude and 36° 35' 30'' E longitude and at an altitude of 1630 meters above sea level (masl). It is located 397 km southwest of Addis Ababa and about 50 km west of Jimma town. The mean annual rainfall of the area is 1616 mm with an average maximum and minimum air temperatures of 28.4°C and 12.4°C, respectively. The major soil type is Mollic Nitisols with pH of 6.2, organic matter 7.07%, nitrogen 0.42%, phosphorus 11.9 ppm, CEC 39.40 mol (+)/kg [8].

2.2. Experimental Material

Forty seven C. arabica L. germplasm accessions collected during 2004/5 from the Gomma district in Jimma zone and two commercial varieties were used for this study (Table 1). The experiment was superimposed on eight years old trees which were planted in July 2005 and grown under uniform shade of Sesbania sesban.

| Collection No. | Zone | Woreda | Peasant Association | Specific Location | Altitude (m) |
|---------------|------|--------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------|
| L-1/2004      | Jimma | Gomma  | Choche Lemi        | Meto              | 1500        |
| L-2/2004      | Jimma | Gomma  |                     |                   | 1500        |
| L-3/2004      | Jimma | Gomma  |                     |                   | 1500        |
| L-4/2004      | Jimma | Gomma  |                     |                   | 1500        |
| L-5/2004      | Jimma | Gomma  |                     |                   | 1510        |
| L-6/2004      | Jimma | Gomma  |                     |                   | 1500        |
| L-7/2004      | Jimma | Gomma  |                     | Loko              | 1460        |
| L-8/2004      | Jimma | Gomma  |                     |                   | 1460        |
| L-9/2004      | Jimma | Gomma  |                     |                   | 1460        |
| L-10/2004     | Jimma | Gomma  |                     |                   | 1460        |
| L-11/2004     | Jimma | Gomma  |                     |                   | 1450        |
| L-12/2004     | Jimma | Gomma  |                     | GiYo              | 1540        |
| L-13/2004     | Jimma | Gomma  |                     |                   | 1510        |
| L-14/2004     | Jimma | Gomma  |                     |                   | 1510        |
| L-15/2004     | Jimma | Gomma  |                     |                   | 1510        |
| L-16/2004     | Jimma | Gomma  |                     | Wode/andode       | 1520        |
| L-17/2004     | Jimma | Gomma  |                     | Wodde             | 1500        |
| L-18/2004     | Jimma | Gomma  |                     |                   | 1500        |
| L-19/2004     | Jimma | Gomma  |                     |                   | 1500        |
| L-20/2004     | Jimma | Gomma  |                     |                   | 1500        |
| L-21/2004     | Jimma | Gomma  |                     | Bonsile           | 1540        |
| L-22/2004     | Jimma | Gomma  |                     | Lemi              | 1560        |
2.3. Experimental Design, Management and Season

The study was conducted from 2004/5 to 2013/14 cropping season. The experiment was laid out in single non-replicated plot. Spacing between trees and plots was two meter. All the agronomic practices were applied uniformly according to the recommendations [9].

2.4. Data Collected

Data were collected on nine quantitative traits using the coffee descriptors of international plant genetic resource institute (IPGRI, 1996) (Table 2).

Table 2. Quantitative characters studied and their descriptions as per IPGRI descriptor of 1996.

| Characters | Unit | Description |
|------------|------|-------------|
| 1 Height up to first primary branch | Cm | This character was measured using a tape meter from the ground to first primary branch |
| 2 Total plant height | Cm | This character was measured from the ground level to the tip of the tree using a tape meter |
| 3 Girth | Cm | The diameter of the main stem was measured at five cm above the ground using a digital caliper |
| 4 Length of the longest first primary branches | Cm | The length the longest first primary branches were measured using a tape meter |
| 5 Number of primary branches | No. | Total number of primary branches were counted per tree |
| 6 Number of main stem nodes | No. | This was recorded by counting number of nodes from bottom to the top of the tree |
| 7 Average inter node length of main stem node | Cm | This was computed per tree as (TPH–HUP)/NMSN-1, where TPH = total plant height, HUP =height up to first primary branch, NMSN = number of main stem nodes |
| 8 Canopy diameter | Cm | This was measured in cm using tape meter from east-west and north-south and taking the average as (EW+ NS)/2 |
| 9 Yield per hectare | Qt | Weight of fresh cherries per tree was recorded and converted into clean coffee per hectare. Finally, five years mean yield data were used for analysis |

2.5. Data Analysis

The variability among genotypes was assessed using descriptive statistics tools such as mean, range and standard deviation. Hierarchical clustering was employed using the similarity coefficients among the 49 coffee genotypes. Clustering was performed by employing the method of average linkage clustering strategy and the appropriate numbers of clusters were determined from the values of Pseudo F and Pseudo T² statistics.

Genetic divergence between clusters was determined using the generalized Mahalanobis’s D² statistics [14] using the equation: \( D^2_p = ((X_i - X_j)S^{-1}(X_i - X_j)) \)

Where: \( D^2_p \) is the distance between any two groups i and j; \( X_i \) and \( X_j \) are the p mean vectors of accessions i and j, respectively.

\( S^{-1} \) is the inverse of the pooled covariance matrix.

The D2 values obtained in this study for pairs of clusters were considered as the calculated values of Chi-square (X2) and were tested for significance both at 1% and 5% probability levels against the tabulated value of X2 for ‘P’ degree of freedom, where P is the number of characters considered [21]. The dendrogram was built based on the average linkage method. Principal component analysis was performed to assess the contribution of each morphological
character in grouping the 49 coffee accessions. Clustering, genetic divergence and principal component analysis were performed using SAS version 9.3 [19].

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Range and Mean Value

The range, mean and standard deviation of nine morphological traits of 49 Limu coffee germplasm accessions is presented in Table 3. In general, genotypes showed wider range for different morphological traits. Total plant height ranged from 207 to 361cm with an average of 263.27cm. Likewise, height up to first primary branches ranged from 17.6 to 37.8cm with an average of 28.14cm (Table 3). Stem diameter (Girth) also ranged from 3.8 to 5.4cm with an average of 5.08cm. Length of the longest primary branch, number of primary branches and number of main stem nodes ranged from 66.7-117.7, 53.2-86 and 27.8-45.2, respectively. Besides, average internode length of main stem, average canopy diameter and coffee yield also showed wider range of 5.63-8.15, 155.4-204.5 and 3.09-19.17, respectively.

The presence of a wide range between minimum and maximum values for each trait indicates the existence of considerable variation among the germplasm accessions studied (Table 3). Such variation in the coffee germplasm collection is an opportunity for coffee researchers to improve traits of interest through parent selection, hybridization and recombination of desirable genotypes [1]. In this study, different genotypes showed best performance for each trait, for example, L42/2004 was the tallest genotype. The check variety, F-59, showed the highest stem diameter (Girth) and yield. Similarly, among the tested accessions, L32/2004 showed the highest values for height up to the first primary branches and average canopy diameter. Moreover, L35/2004 showed the highest values for length of the first primary branch and average internode length of the main stem node, respectively.

| Characters     | Range                    | Mean   | Std  |
|---------------|--------------------------|--------|------|
| TPH (cm)      | 207 for L34/2004 - 361 for L42/2004 | 263.27 | 30.54|
| HUFPB (cm)    | 17.6 for L02/2004 - 37.8 for L32/2004 | 28.14 | 3.8  |
| GIRTH (cm)    | 3.8 for L09/2004 - 5.74 for F-59 | 5.08  | 0.40 |
| LLFPB (cm)    | 66.7 for 744 – 117.7 for L23/2004 | 91.01 | 11.48|
| NPB (no.)     | 53.2 for L38/2004 – 86 for L35/2004 | 67.88 | 6.36 |
| NMSN (no.)    | 27.8 for L38/2004 – 45.2 for L35/2004 | 35.38 | 3.44 |
| AILMS (cm)    | 5.63 for L15/2004 – 8.15 for L39/2004 | 6.63  | 0.60 |
| ACD (cm)      | 155.4 for L09/2004 – 204.5 for L32/2004 | 175.82 | 12.41|
| Yield (qt/ha) | 3.09 for L09/2004 – 19.17 for F-59 | 10.31 | 3.43 |

TPH= total plant height, HUFPB = height up to first primary branch, LLFPB= length of longest first primary branch, NPB= number of primary branches, NMSN= number of main stem node, AILMS= average internode length of main stem, ACD= average canopy diameter. CY= coffee yield and Std. = standard deviation.

| Clusters | No. of gen. | Proportion | Genotypes                      |
|----------|-------------|------------|--------------------------------|
| I        | 21          | 42.86      | L27/2004, L47/2004, L44/2004, L16/2004, L18/2004, L10/2004, L11/2004, L13/2004, L40/2004, L31/2004, L32/2004, L25/2004, L26/2004, L39/2004, L07/2004, L14/2004, L46/2004, L41/2004, L45/2004, L35/2004 and L24/2004 |
| II       | 25          | 51.02      | L08/2004, L22/2004, L04/2004, L06/2004, L15/2004, L17/2004, L19/2004, L21/2004, L20/2004, L30/2004, L36/2004, L37/2004, L28/2004, L05/2004, L38/2004, L12/2004, L29/2004, L03/2004, L02/2004, L33/2004, L35/2004, 49(744), 48(F-59), L34/2004, L09/2004 and L01/2004 |
| III      | 3           | 6.12       | L42/2004, L43/2004, L23/2004, L44/2004, L47/2004, L44/2004, L16/2004, L18/2004, L10/2004, L11/2004, L13/2004, L40/2004, L31/2004, L32/2004, L25/2004, L26/2004, L39/2004, L07/2004, L14/2004, L46/2004, L41/2004, L45/2004, L35/2004 and L24/2004 |
| Total    | 49          | 100        |                                |

3.2. Cluster Analysis

The D² value based on the mean value of coffee germplasm accessions resulted in classifying the 49 accessions into three groups Table 4. This indicates that, the tested coffee germplasm accessions are moderately divergent. Cluster II was the largest with 25 germplasm accessions (51.02%) followed by cluster I with 21 germplasm accessions (42.86%) of the total coffee accessions. However, the third cluster had only three accessions (6.12%). In this study, accessions collected from different collection sites were grouped together, for instance, accessions collected from all collection sites were clustered together in cluster I. In support of this, Bayetta [3] reported that morphological variation is more important than variation in geographic origin as indicator of genetic diversity in coffee. Seyoum (2003) has also reported that accessions collected from Gambella, Kullo, Kefta, Illubabor, Wello, Wellega, Maji, Harar, and Sidamo were clustered together, despite the fact that the accessions were collected from different geographic origins. In addition, in the present study, accessions collected from the same kebeles were clustered into different clusters, suggesting the existence of genetic diversity within each collection sites. Lemi and Ashenafi [13] also reported that,
accrions collected from different origins were clustered together. Therefore, this diversity could be exploited further to increase the genetic base of specialty coffee varieties for each coffee producing agro ecologies in the country.

Figure 1. Dendrogram showing similarities among 49 coffee germplasm accessions evaluated for nine quantitative traits.

3.3. Cluster Mean Characterization

The clusters mean for nine characters (Table 5) revealed that, the cluster III with three accessions had the highest mean values for the characters namely; total plant height, height up to first primary branches, girth, length of the longest first primary branches, number of primary branch, number of main stem nodes and coffee yield. Therefore, the coffee accessions grouped under this cluster may serve as suitable source for these traits for future breeding purpose. Besides, cluster I with 21 coffee accessions had the highest mean values for the character of average internode length of the main stem and average canopy diameter but coffee accessions grouped under this cluster had the lowest mean values for other traits. On the other hand, cluster II with 25 coffee accessions had medium values for the nine morphological characters investigated. From the present study, it can be concluded that hybridization between the genotypes belonging to clusters showing maximum divergence and complementarity for traits of interest will lead to accumulation of genes in a single variety. Therefore, using the mean value of clusters for different characters and performance of the genotypes grouped in the respective cluster could be useful to design viable hybridization programme for improvement of particular trait of coffee.

Table 5. Mean values of nine quantitative traits for three clusters of 49 coffee germplasm accessions tested at Agaro.

| Characters | Clusters          | Cluster I | Cluster II | Cluster III |
|------------|-------------------|-----------|------------|-------------|
| TPH        |                   | 281       | 28.01      | 335.63**    |
| HUFPB      |                   | 28.10     | 9.98       | 29.53**     |
| GIRTH      |                   | 5.17      | 5.43       | 6.92        |
| LLFPB      |                   | 89.25     | 92.14      | 93.93**     |
| NPB        |                   | 70.43     | 6.31       | 76.27**     |
| NMSN       |                   | 36.54     | 33.89      | 39.87**     |
| AILMS      |                   | 6.97      | 6.13       | 6.92        |
| ACD        |                   | 179.24**  | 173.05*    | 175         |
| CY         |                   | 10.24     | 9.98       | 13.51**     |

TPH= total plant height, HUFPB= height up to first primary branch, LLFPB= length of the longest first primary branch, NPB= number of primary branches, NMSN= number f main stem node, AILMS= average internode length of main stem, ACD= average canopy diameter. CY= coffee yield. ** and * represent higher and lower cluster mean values, respectively.

3.4. Genetic Divergence Analysis

Genetic divergence as measured by Mahalanobis [14]
generalized distance ($D^2$) has been known as one of the important statistical tools to provide a rational basis for selection of parents in hybrid variety development programs. Mahalanobis distance ($D^2$) of the three clusters of 49 coffee germplasm accessions based on nine quantitative traits is presented in Table 6.

The inter cluster distance ranged from 13.09 to 44.08. The largest distance between the clusters were detected between cluster II and III (44.08), and I and III (17.87), while the shortest distance was observed between cluster I and II (13.09). The chi-square test indicates that there were significant inter-cluster distances between cluster I and III, and II and III. This indicated that the genotypes present in these clusters may give a high heterotic response and better segregates [14]. Similar results have been reported on Limmu coffee accessions [18, 10] and [13]. However, there was no significant inter-cluster distance between cluster I and II, indicating that crossing genotypes from these clusters would not result in heterosis due to the low level of heterozygosity. Hybridization between the genotypes of distant clusters is likely to generate superior and transgressed segregants. Therefore, while selecting parents for hybridization program, inter cluster distances essential to be taken into consideration.

Table 6. Inter-cluster distances for 49 coffee germplasm accessions studied for nine quantitative traits.

| Clusters | I   | II  | III   |
|----------|-----|-----|-------|
| I        | 0   | 13.09** | 17.87* |
| II       | 0   | 0    | 44.08** |

**= Highly Significant at $P=0.01(\chi^2) = 21.67$, *= Significant at $P=0.05(\chi^2) = 16.92$, ns= non -significant at $P=0.05(\chi^2) = 16.92$.

3.5. Principal Component Analysis

Using Eigenvalue greater than one as a measure for significance of a principal component (PC), four PCs extracted about 82% of the total variance of the 49 coffee germplasm accessions (Table 7). Among these, the first three PCs explained about 70% of the variance. Likewise, the second PC accounts for about 20% of the total variance, mainly from variations in average internode lengths of the main stem, length of the longest primary branches, number of main stem nodes and number of primary branches. The third principal component accounted for 16% of the total variation. Length of the longest first primary branch, height up to the first primary branches, average canopy diameter and average internode length of the main stem played major role for the differentiation among the accessions. The major contributors to the fourth PC accounts for about 12% of the total variance were average internode length of the main stem, height up to first primary branches and total plant height. Generally, the PC analysis confirmed that moderate diversity of the coffee germplasm accessions since the entire variation cannot be explained in this few PCs. This, in turn, indicates the involvement of a number of traits in contributing towards the overall diversity. The contribution of several morphological traits to the overall variation in coffee accessions was also observed in other similar studies [16, 18, 10] and [16].

Table 7. Eigenvectors and eigenvalues of the first four principal components (PC) of nine quantitative traits of coffee germplasm accessions collected from Limmu.

| Characters | PC1 | PC2 | PC3 | PC4 |
|-----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| TPH       | 0.44| 0.01| 0.28| 0.39|
| HUFPB     | -0.04| 0.26| 0.47| -0.49|
| GIRTH     | 0.41| 0.27| 0.04| -0.31|
| LLFPB     | 0.01| -0.41| -0.55| 0.11|
| NPB       | 0.50| -0.32| -0.04| 0.03|
| NMSN      | 0.48| -0.35| -0.07| 0.01|
| AILMS     | 0.03| 0.43| 0.36| 0.64|
| ACD       | 0.28| 0.37| -0.46| -0.03|
| CY        | 0.27| 0.38| 0.20| -0.28|
| Eigenvalue| 3.07| 1.79| 1.44| 1.05|
| Proportion| 0.34| 0.20| 0.16| 0.12|
| Cumulative| 0.34| 0.54| 0.70| 0.82|

TPH= total plant height, HUFPB= height up tp first primary branch, LLFPB= length of the longest first primary branch, NPB= number of primary branches, NMSN= number f main stem node, AILMS= average internode length of main stem, ACD= average canopy dieter. CY= coffee yield and PC1-PC4= principal components.

4. Conclusion

The $D^2$ value based on the mean value of coffee germplasm accessions resulted in classifying the coffee accessions into three distinct clusters. The significant inter-cluster distances between clusters in this study indicated that there is a high opportunity for obtaining transgressive segregates and maximize heterosis by crossing germplasm accessions belonging to these clusters. Therefore, grouping of accessions by multivariate methods could be of considerable practical value to the coffee breeders so that representative accessions could be chosen from such clusters for hybridization programs. Moreover, despite all traits contributes for the observed variability, number of primary branches, number of main stem nodes, total plant height and girth explained the lion share for the variability of coffee accessions and could be used as a selection criterion for improving the production and productivity of the crop.
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