Effect on Yield and Rhizosphere Biota by use of Recommended Dose of Fertilizers in Combination with Biofertilizer Consortium on Rice Fallow Sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor L. moench*)
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**ABSTRACT**

**Aims:** To evaluate the effect of manures in combination with biofertilizers consortium on yield and rhizosphere biota in rice fallow sorghum.  
**Study Design:** The experiment was laid in a Randomized Block Design (RBD) with seven treatments and replicated thrice.  
**Place and Duration of Study:** At Agricultural college farm, Agricultural college, Bapatla during Rabi, 2018-19.  
**Methodology:** After the preliminary layout, Bio-fertilizer consortium contains 500ml each of *Azospirillum*, PSB and KRB in liquid form had been applied per acre along with Vermicompost @ 1 t ha\(^{-1}\) is used as carrier. A high yielding hybrid CSH-16 with a yield potential of 5 to 8 t ha\(^{-1}\) and...

*Corresponding author: E-mail: sreenivaasreddy593@gmail.com*
Sorghum (Sorghum bicolor L. Moench), popularly called as jowar, is an important staple food crop in the world. One of the most important cereal crops after wheat, rice, maize and barley. Sorghum is the staple food crops grown for millions of the people in semiarid tropics. occupies an area of 5.97 M ha with total production of 5.01 M t and a productivity of 1013 kg ha^{-1} [1]. Of late, rabi sorghum has also been introduced in the rice fallows of Krishna zone of coastal Andhra Pradesh. Sorghum cultivation is an emerging scenario in rice fallows and zero tillage. However, there is a prospective situation especially in areas having frugal water resources in rice-fallows of this zone for taking up sorghum as an alternate crop. Practically, the sorghum growing farmers in this area are mostly inclined towards obtaining maximum monetary benefits from sorghum yields. As they are getting higher potential yields and thereby marginal profit could be increased by introducing potential cost-effective and the resource use efficiency technologies. It is now grown in more than 14,000 ha area in rice-fallows of Guntur district alone in state of Andhra Pradesh. It had the highest productivity of 6.9 t ha\(^{-1}\) in 2014-15 in the country [2]. Therefore, necessary to judiciously manage the inflow of inorganic fertilizers and the integration with organic manures and biofertilizer besides adopting general package of practices. The yield and grain quality of sorghum as in rice fallows may be improved by rational application of manures and bio-fertilizer consortium.

2. MATERIAL AND EXPERIMENTAL METHODS/DETAILS/METHODOLOGY

This experiment was conducted at agricultural college farm, bapatla. It is located in coastal region of krishna agro-climatic zone, Andhra pradesh situated at 15° 54' N latitude and 80° 25' E longitude, an altitude of 5.49 metres above the mean sea level (MSL). The treatments consisted of T\(_1\): control, T\(_2\): 100% recommended dose of fertilizers, T\(_3\): 50% RDF+ Biofertilizer consortium, T\(_4\): 75% RDF+ Biofertilizer consortium, T\(_5\): 100% RDF+ Biofertilizer consortium, T\(_6\): 125% RDF+ Biofertilizer consortium, T\(_7\): Biofertilizer consortium. The experiment was laid in a randomized block design (RBD) and replicated thrice with seven treatments. Weather data being recorded during crop period and the weekly mean maximum temperatures with an average of 31.6°C The temperature was higher than optimum for crop as the experimental period is from january to april. The soil property was sandy clay loam in texture, near neutral (pH 6.94), E.C (0.98 ds m\(^{-1}\)), organic carbon (0.4 %) was low, available nitrogen was low (224.6 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) and high in available phosphorus (38 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) and in potassium (482 kg ha\(^{-1}\)). Sorghum cultivar, CSH-16 was developed by Indian Institute of Millets Research, Hyderabad. A high yielding hybrid with a yield potential of 5 to 8 t ha\(^{-1}\) and matures in 110-120 days. Sowing was done manually by using a seed rate of 12 kg ha\(^{-1}\) and adopting a spacing of 45 cm x 15 cm raised well in advance in their respective treatments.

**Results:** Yield attributes, Grain yield and stover yields were obtained maximum with 125% RDF+ Biofertilizers consortium which was on par with 100% RDF+ Biofertilizers consortium. In case of Bacterial, fungal and actinomycetes population were also influenced significantly at harvest compared to initial population of the observed soil and recorded the highest population with 125% RDF+ Biofertilizers consortium treatment.

**Conclusion:** The fertilizer requirement with application of 125% RDF+ Biofertilizer can be recommended for coastal region of A.P under rice fallow rabi sorghum in no till conditions. As it resulted in high yield attributes, yields and significant improvement in rhizosphere biota for soil health enhancement.

**Keywords:** Yield; RDF & Biofertilizer consortium; colony forming units per gram of soil (CFU g\(^{-1}\) soil).
ha$^{-1}$ was calculated and expressed in kg ha$^{-1}$. Note: bio-fertilizer consortium contains 500ml each of azospirillum, phosphate solubilizing bacteria (PSB) and potassium releasing bacteria (KRB) in liquid form to be applied per acre along with vermicompost @ 1 t ha$^{-1}$ used as carrier.

2.1 Method for Enumeration of Bacteria, Fungi and Actinomycetes

The enumeration of total bacteria in fresh soil samples was carried out by following serial dilution plate count technique [3] using nutrient agar medium (agar agar-20 g; beef extract-3 g; peptone-5 g; water-1 litre) in laminar air flow chamber. Exactly, 1g of soil was added to 10 ml of sterile water to dilute the population by 10 times, from which 0.1 ml was transferred to another 9.9 ml of sterile water to make it $10^3$ times dilution and it was continued till 106 dilutions and 0.1 ml of the final diluted solution was transferred on to a petri plate with nutrient agar medium and spread with 'l' shaped glass rod and allowed it to grow for one and half days after which colony counts were recorded. The enumeration of total fungi in the fresh soil samples of all treatments was carried out by following the standard serial dilution plate technique using martins rose bengal agar for fungi. Actinomycetes soil samples of all treatments was carried out by following the standard serial dilution plate technique and was enumerated using ken-knight and munaiers medium. The population expressed in terms of colony forming units per gram of soil (cfu g$^{-1}$ soil).

2.2 Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis for the data recorded was done by following the analysis of variance technique for randomized block design with factorial concept as suggested by [4]. Statistical significance was tested by applying f-test at 0.05 level of probability and critical differences were calculated for those parameters which turned to the significant ($p<0.05$) in order to compare the effects of different treatments.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Grain Yield, Stover Yield and H.I

The data on grain yield, stover yield and h.i are presented in Table 1 which revealed that grain and stover yields of sorghum under rice fallows was significantly differed with increased levels of inorganic fertilizers along with biofertilizer consortium upto 125% RDF+ biofertilizer consortium. The maximum grain yield (4135 kg ha$^{-1}$) was recorded with the same treatment which proved its superiority i.e. T$_6$ treatment (125% RDF + biofertilizer consortium) but it remained on par with 100% RDF+Biofertilizer consortium (T$_5$) treatment (3918 kg ha$^{-1}$) and 100% RDF (T$_2$) treatment (3854 kg ha$^{-1}$). The lowest grain yield (2880 kg ha$^{-1}$) was recorded with the control. The treatments T$_6$, T$_5$ and T$_2$ had recorded 43.5, 36, 33.8 percent increase respectively over control. This has promoted better root growth and resulting in higher extraction of nutrients from soil environment to aerial plant parts. The improvement in yield attributes with inorganic fertilizers and biofertilizer consortium consequently resulted in higher grain yield. The enhanced yield could be a result of good drymatter production for grain filling as a result of greater number of leaves and increased photosynthetic activity.

The stover yield of sorghum under rice fallows followed the same trend as that was noticed in respect of grain yield of sorghum crop. Highest stover yield (7524 kg ha$^{-1}$) was recorded with the treatment (T$_6$) supplied with 125% RDF+ Biofertilizer consortium. Lowest stover yield (5529 kg ha$^{-1}$) was recorded with control (T$_1$). The magnitude of increase in stover yield with T$_6$, T$_5$ and T$_2$ was 36.0, 30.3 and 28.9 percent increase respectively over control (T$_1$). Further, this could be ascribed to its positive influence on both vegetative and reproductive phases of the crop which lead to increase in stover yield. Increased photosynthetic rate might have also resulted in higher accumulation of drymatter and ultimately enhanced stover yield. The highest H.I value of (35.5%) was recorded with (T$_6$) treatment during the study followed by T$_5$ (35.3%). However, the control treatment (T$_1$) recorded the lowest H.I (34.2%). Similar results have been reported earlier by scientists viz., Nemade et al.[5], Jat et al.[6], Kishor et al.[7], Yadav et al.[8].

3.2 Microbial Population (cfu g$^{-1}$ soil) of Rice Fallow-Sorghum

The results of microbial populations were presented in Table 2 and Table 3, which was depicted in Fig.1 and Fig.2 had significantly influenced the increase in bacteria population at harvest compared to initial population of the experimental soil. The bacteria, fungal and actinomycets colonies were observed during two stages of crop growth i.e at 15 days after application of biofertilizer consortium and later at harvest stage.
Table 1. Grain yield (kg ha\(^{-1}\)), straw yield (kg ha\(^{-1}\)) and H.I (%) of rice fallow-sorghum as influenced by inorganic fertilizers with Biofertilizer consortium

| Treatments                              | Grain yield | Straw yield | Harvest index |
|-----------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|
| \(T_1\) - Control                       | 2880        | 5529        | 34.2          |
| \(T_2\) - 100% Recommended dose of fertilizers | 3854        | 7129        | 35.1          |
| \(T_3\) - 50% Recommended dose of fertilizers + Biofertilizer consortium | 3184        | 6049        | 34.5          |
| \(T_4\) - 75% Recommended dose of fertilizers + Biofertilizer consortium | 3460        | 6505        | 34.7          |
| \(T_5\) - 100% Recommended dose of fertilizers + Biofertilizer consortium | 3918        | 7209        | 35.3          |
| \(T_6\) - 125% Recommended dose of fertilizers + Biofertilizer consortium | 4135        | 7524        | 35.5          |
| \(T_7\) - Biofertilizer consortium only | 3016        | 5706        | 34.6          |
| S.Em ±                                 | 198.4       | 419.3       | 1.1           |
| CD (P=0.05)                            | 612         | 1292        | NS            |
| CV (%)                                 | 9.8         | 11.1        | 5.8           |

Table 2. Microbial population (cfu g\(^{-1}\) soil) of rice fallow-sorghum after 15 days as influenced by inorganic fertilizers with biofertilizer consortium

| Treatments                              | Microbial population |
|-----------------------------------------|----------------------|
|                                        | Bacteria\((10^6)\)| Fungi\((10^4)\)| Actinomycetes\((10^3)\)|
| \(T_1\) - Control                       | 15.7                  | 2.2               | 9.3           |
| \(T_2\) - 100% Recommended dose of fertilizers | 22.3                  | 3.1               | 16.3          |
| \(T_3\) - 50% Recommended dose of fertilizers + Biofertilizer consortium | 25.7                  | 4.4               | 20.7          |
| \(T_4\) - 75% Recommended dose of fertilizers + Biofertilizer consortium | 30.3                  | 4.7               | 24.3          |
| \(T_5\) - 100% Recommended dose of fertilizers + Biofertilizer consortium | 32.7                  | 5.2               | 27.7          |
| \(T_6\) - 125% Recommended dose of fertilizers + Biofertilizer consortium | 37.3                  | 6.4               | 30.3          |
| \(T_7\) - Biofertilizer consortium only | 23.6                  | 4.5               | 15.9          |
| S.Em ±                                 | 1.7                   | 0.2               | 1.3           |
| CD (P=0.05)                            | 5.1                   | 0.7               | 4.1           |
| CV (%)                                 | 10.6                  | 9.5               | 10.8          |
Table 3. Microbial population (CFU G-1 soil) of rice fallow-sorghum harvest as influenced by inorganic fertilizers with Biofertilizer Consortium

| Treatments                                                                 | Bacteria (10^6) | Fungi (10^3) | Actinomycetes (10^4) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|----------------------|
| T1  - Control                                                             | 43.3            | 3.5          | 28.9                 |
| T2  - 100% Recommended dose of fertilizers                               | 68.7            | 4.5          | 47.6                 |
| T3  - 50% Recommended dose of fertilizers + Biofertilizer consortium      | 63.3            | 5.4          | 44.3                 |
| T4  - 75% Recommended dose of fertilizers + Biofertilizer consortium      | 72.3            | 6.6          | 49.3                 |
| T5  - 100% Recommended dose of fertilizers + Biofertilizer consortium     | 79.7            | 7.1          | 52.7                 |
| T6  - 125% Recommended dose of fertilizers + Biofertilizer consortium     | 88.3            | 8.2          | 57.3                 |
| T7  - Biofertilizer consortium only                                       | 59.3            | 5.2          | 33.8                 |
| S.Em ± CD (P=0.05)                                                        | 4.3             | 0.4          | 3.2                  |
| CV (%)                                                                    | 13.5            | 1.3          | 9.7                  |
|                                                                            | 10.6            | 9.5          | 10.8                 |
|                                                                            | 11.1            | 12.2         | 12.1                 |

Fig. 1. Microbial population (CFU g -1 soil) of rice fallow-sorghum at 15 days after application as influenced by inorganic fertilizers with Biofertilizer consortium
Maximum bacterial population (37.3x10^6 cfu g^-1 soil) at 15 days after application was noticed in treatment (T_6) which was significantly superior to rest of treatments. The treatment (T_5) recorded (32.7) followed by (T_4) treatment. Minimum population obtained in control treatment (15.7x10^5 cfu g^-1 soil). At harvest, similar trend was noticed as that was observed at 15 days after application of biofertilizer consortium, the maximum population (88.3) was observed with (T_6) treatment followed by (T_5) treatment (79.7x10^5 cfu g^-1 soil). High bacteria population was observed, when compared with fungal population due to the short generation time and high multiplication rate. The increase in bacterial population may be due to application of biofertilizers consortium. Interaction of roots of a plant with its neighboring plant might have increased bacterial population with closer density. This result is in agreement with [10]. An increase in fungal population was observed at harvest compared to initial population of the experimental soil. Fungal population (6.4x10^5 cfu g^-1 soil) was observed at T_3 days of in the treatment (T_6) on par with treatment (T_5) recorded (5.2) followed by (T_4) treatment (4.7x10^5 cfu g^-1 soil). At harvest, population (8.2) noticed in the treatment (T_6) remained on par with (T_5) treatment (7.1). The results of actinomycetes population was significantly influenced with application of inorganic and biofertilizer consortium, at 15 days after application, maximum population (30.3) was noticed in the treatment (T_6) followed by the treatment T_5 (27.7). Minimum population obtained in control treatment (9.3 x10^4 cfu g^-1 soil). Population of actinomycetes at harvest in (T_6) treatment (57.3) and was on par with T_5 (52.7) and T_4 treatment (49.3 x10^4 cfu g^-1 soil).

The results suggested that combined application of inorganic fertilizers and biofertilizers favored the augmentation of microbial population and the activities such as biological nitrogen fixation, phosphorus solubilization and availability of plant nutrients. These results are in accordance with findings of Gautami et al. [9] Padmaja et al.[10].

4. CONCLUSION

Grain and stover yields were recorded maximum in 125% RDF+ biofertilizers consortium treatment. In the Rhizosphere biota such as Bacterial, fungal and actinomycetes populations were influenced significantly at harvest with 125% RDF+ biofertilizers consortium and reported in almost all parameters compared with other treatments. Thus, can be recommended for coastal region of a.p under rice fallow rabi sorghum in no-till conditions.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Authors are highly thankful to the professors and supporting staff of the department of agronomy, agricultural college, bapatla. Especially to Acharya n.g.ranga agricultural university for necessary help during the study.
COMPETING INTERESTS
Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES
1. Agricultural statistics at a glance. Directorate of economics and statistics, Department of agriculture and cooperation; 2018. Available: www.indiastat.com.
2. Chapke RR, Babu S, Subbarayudu B, Tonapi VA. Growing popularity of sorghum in rice fallsows: An IIMR case study. Bulletin, icar-indian institute of milletsresearch,Hyderabad; 2017.
3. Dhingra OD, Sinclair JB. Basic plant pathology methods. CEC press, London;2000.
4. Gomez KA, Gomez AA. Statistical procedures in Agricultural Research. New York Chichester Wiley. 1984;2nd edition:680.
5. Nemade S, Ghorade RB, Deshmukh JP, Barabde NP. Influence of integrated nutrient management and split application of nitrogen on productivity, uptake of Kharif sorghum and soil fertility status. International Journal of Plant Science. 2013;8(2):326-329.
6. Jat MK, Purohit HS, Choudhary SK, Singh B, Dadarwa RS. Influence of INM on yield and nutrient uptake in sorghum-barley cropping sequence. International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2018;6(3):634-638.
7. Kishor K, Kaushik MK, Yadav VK, Gautam P, Chugh A. Effect of fertility levels on Yield and Yield attribute of different Sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench] genotypes. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2017;6(4):541-543.
8. Yadav AK, Singh P. Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield, protein content, nutrient content and uptake of sorghum [sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench]. Innovative farming. 2016;1(2): 30-34.
9. Goutami N, Rani PP, Pathy RL, Babu PR. Soil properties and biological activity as influenced by nutrient management in rice- fallow sorghum. International Journal of Agricultural Research, Innovation and Technology. 2015;5(1):10-14.
10. Padmaja HK, Sheikh S, More SD. Impact of integrated nutrient management on microbial population and availability of nutrients in kharif sorghum. Journal of Soil and Crops. 2012;22(2):327-333.

© 2021 Reddy et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/68219