Palaeogeographic reconstruction of a fluvio-marine transitional system in Narmada rift basin, India — Implications on Late Cretaceous global sea-level rise
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Abstract

Rising sea-levels in tectonically active epicontinental basins often lead to varied depositional settings and palaeogeography, mostly influenced by the net accommodation resulting from mutual interference of the extent and nature of landward encroachment by the sea and the net sedimentation. The Cenomanian Nimar Sandstone Formation, Bagh Group, Narmada rift basin, uniquely portrays the effect of sea-level rise within an intra-cratonic setting and attributes to the corresponding palaeogeographic changes in west-central India. An integrated sedimentological–sequence-stratigraphic study of the broadly fining-upward Nimar Sandstone Formation (thickness ~ 20–30 m) depicts the actual nature of changeover from a fluvial to a marine-dominated transitional depositional setting. Detailed sedimentological study reveals total seventeen facies, grouped in five facies associations, viz., the channel-fill facies association (FA-1), the overbank facies association (FA-2), the fluvial-dominated fluvio-tidal facies association (FA-3), the tide-dominated fluvio-tidal facies association (FA-4), and the shoreface facies association (FA-5). Overall facies architecture indicates a west-to-eastward marine encroachment, resulting in stacking of three distinct palaeo-depositional conditions: (i) an initial fluvial system with channel and overbank, changing into a tide-influenced fluvial bay-head delta in the inner estuary, followed by (ii) marine encroachment leading to a tide-dominated central estuary with inter- to sub-tidal settings, and finally, (iii) with further intense marine encroachments, a wave-reworked open shore condition in the outer estuary zone. The overall fining-up succession with a systematic change from fluvial to marine-dominated depositional systems points to a landward shift of the shoreline, signifying a major transgressive event correlated to the Cenomanian global sea-level rise. Characteristic stratal stacking patterns point to four coarsening- and fining-up hemicycles, embedded within the major transgressive succession. These high-frequency cycles attest to the varied interplay of sedimentation, tectonics and sea-level changes, and the resultant net accommodations. A palaeogeographic model is proposed based on the high-frequency transgressive–regressive hemicycles, which envisages the evolution of the depositional environments in relation to the Cenomanian eustatic rise in the intra-cratonic riftogenic fluvio-marine transitional basal setup.
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1 Introduction
The Late Cretaceous time interval is known for intense tectonic activities and significant changes in climatic conditions (Miller et al. 2005; Sames et al. 2016) accompanied by prolonged sea-level rises, leading to worldwide inundations of landmasses (Hancock and Kauffman 1979; Förster et al. 1983; Hilbrecht et al. 1986; Haq et al. 1987; Hallam 1992; Röper and Rothgaenger 1995; Miller et al. 2005; Haq 2014). Several parts of different continents were affected by transgressions during the Late Cretaceous time, including parts of Arctic Canada, Russia, western Europe, eastern Australia, parts of Africa, South America, India, Madagascar, and Borneo. Most of the subcontinents of the supercontinent Gondwanaland were fully or partially submerged at different time intervals during the Late Cretaceous, which led to the formation of shallow epicontinental seas in certain areas. Evidence of Cretaceous sea-level rise in the Indian subcontinent is recorded within thick sedimentary successions of the Cauvery Basin (Nagendra et al. 2011, 2013), Shillong Basin (Singh and Mishra 2000), Kutch Basin (Desai 2013), Bengal-Assam Basin, parts of the Himalayan fold belt, and the Narmada rift basin in west-central India (Acharya and Lahiri 1991; Banerjee et al. 2020).

Such global eustatic changes generally manifest 1st–2nd order sea-level cycles affecting most of the continents, which allow for their successful correlations. However, associated higher-frequency cycles (3rd order, 4th order and beyond), included in the 2nd order cycles, mostly depend on changes in relative sea-level and net accommodation caused by basin-scale tectonism, sedimentations and/or climatic/orbital forcings (Vail et al. 1991; Gale et al. 2002; Haq 2014; Bhattacharya and Bhattacharya 2015). The Cenomanian Stage (98.9–93.5 Ma) provides a suitable window to study such changes, as high-resolution global correlations (100–400 kyr) in terms of sea-level changes and orbital cycles from marine successions revealed five major/minor eustatic events (KCe-1 to KCe-5 of Haq 2014). However, doubt persists regarding actual nature and effect of transgressions in shallow marine and continent-margin transitional depositional areas, and the role of climatic forcings, tectonism, and sedimentation in controlling palaeogeography and shaping resultant stratatal stacking patterns (Bhattacharya et al. 2018). High-resolution sequence-stratigraphic study backed by detailed sedimentary facies analysis is useful to understand the appropriate transitional relationship between fluvial and marine processes in terms of major transgressive–regressive cycles in response to tectono-eustatic changes in such basins (Bhattacharya et al. 2018; Jaiswal et al. 2018).

History of sea-level fluctuations during the Cenomanian time in the southern part of the Indian subcontinent (Cauvery Basin) was documented by Sundaram et al. (2001), Skelton (2003), and Gale et al. (2002). However, the effects may vary significantly in fluvio-marine interactive systems depending on the prevalent tectono-sedimentary equilibrium. Though attempts to understand the nature of the transition between fluvial and marine depositional systems are plenty in the literature (Dalrymple et al. 1992; Greb and Martino 2005; Dalrymple and Choi 2007; van den Berg et al. 2007; Bhattacharya et al. 2012; Bhattacharya and Banerjee 2015; Bhattacharya and Bhattacharya 2015; Bhattacharya et al. 2018; Richards and Bhattacharya 2018; Gugliotta et al. 2019; and references therein), gaps persist in the actual perception of basin-scale facies architecture and stratatal stacking pattern related to characteristic tectono-sedimentary attributes in shallow epicontinental basins.

The Nimar Sandstone (Cenomanian) Formation, the lowermost litho-unit within the Bagh Group (Late Cretaceous), bears signatures of the contemporary global sea-level rise in west-central India (Jha et al. 2017). Signatures of the Late Cretaceous marine transgression in the Bagh Group are evident and agreed well in terms of different proxies such as sedimentary attributes, palaeontological data, ichnological data, micropalaeontological data and palaeobotanical data (Murty et al. 1963; Chiplonkar and Ghare 1975; Raiverman 1975; Badve and Ghare 1980; Singh and Srivastava 1981; Jafar 1982; Bose and Das 1986; Ganguly and Bardhan 1993; Sanganwar and Kundal 1997; Kholasa et al. 2003; Smith 2010; Jaitly and Ajane 2013; Bhattacharya and Jha 2014; Jha et al. 2017; Bansal et al. 2019; and many others). But, a comprehensive sequence stratigraphic model enumerating the actual nature of coastal onlap and the fluvial-marine interaction has not been attempted and is long overdue. The objective of the present paper is to document the palaeogeographic changes under the fluvio-marine interactive depositional system in the light of high-frequency transgressive–regressive (T–R) cycles. The proposed model will help to understand the effects of higher-frequency sea-level fluctuations in fluvio-marine transitional systems within a global eustatic rise condition.

2 Geological setting
The deposition of the Bagh Group (Late Cretaceous) was started within the Narmada rift basin during the Cenomanian time, directly overlying the Proterozoic Bijawar Group. The Bagh Group is constituted of three litho-units (formations), namely, the lowermost Cenomanian Nimar Sandstone Formation, followed by the Turonian Nodular Limestone Formation, and Coniacian Coralline Limestone Formation at the top (Table 1). In the Narmada rift basin, the Bagh Group is overlain by the Lameta Formation and the Deccan Traps, both of Late Cretaceous age. The presence of various sedimentary facies, ichnofabrics, and
varied palaeontological attributes within the Bagh Group manifests distinct changes in the depositional environment. The rocks are exposed along the northern flank of the Narmada rift basin and occur in patches (Fig. 1). Rocks of the Nimar Sandstone Formation are well exposed in several sections including the Man River near Awaldaman, Sitapuri, Bagh River, Rampura, Bagh Cave, Jobat, and other areas (detail of locations is given in Fig. 1). The Nimar Sandstone Formation is characterized by conglomerate, arenaceous to arkosic sandstone, sandstone–mudstone heteroliths, and mudstone. In the study area, the general strike of beds is N15°E–S15°W to N–S with a dip of 8°–10°. The depositional age of the Nimar Sandstone Formation is considered as Cenomanian (Jaitly and Ajane 2013), which has been determined mostly based on biostratigraphic records preserved in the upper part of the

| Series            | Stage     | Group     | Formation                      | Member       |
|-------------------|-----------|-----------|--------------------------------|--------------|
| Upper Cretaceous  | Coniacian | Bagh Group| Coralline Limestone Formation  | Chirakan      |
|                   | Turonian  |           | Nodular Limestone Formation    | Karondia     |
|                   |           |           | Unconformity/Fault             |              |

Precambrian gneisses, granodiorites and crystalline rocks of the Bijawar Group

Table 1 Generalized lithostratigraphy of the study area (modified after Singh and Srivastava 1981; Jaitly and Ajane 2013)

Fig. 1 a Map of India showing location of the Narmada rift basin; b Geological map of the study area (modified after Jaitly and Ajane 2013), showing distribution of the Bagh Group. Black points marked with numbers (1–15) indicate locations/areas of exposed rock sections from where respective vertical sedimentary lithologs were prepared: 1–Phata; 2–Ghoda; 3–Chikapoti; 4–Dhursai; 5–Akhara; 6–Rampura; 7–Baghini Temple; 8–Bagh; 9–Neemkheda; 10–Dam; 11–Raisinghpura; 12–Ratitalai; 13–Baria; 14–Man River; 15–Sitapuri
Cenomanian (Taylor and Badve 1995) Fragments of stratified sandstone

Facies 1D: Trough cross-strata
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Late Jurassic–Early Cretaceous (Murty et al. 1963) Plant fossil from the lower fluvial portion–Late Turonian

Cenomanian (Chiplonkar 1974)

Facies 1C: Pebbly sandstone

Persistently developed in all sections; moderately-sorted, subrounded sandstone with trough cross-strata; local pebble concentration common; small-scale asymmetrical ripples preserved on bed tops; abundant in all sections with thicknesses of 5 cm – >50 cm.

Onchopristis indicus sp. (fish tooth) from Oyster bed of the Nimar Sandstone Formation; Lamna texana (fish tooth) in Nimar Sandstone Formation in Phata area

Cenomanian (Chiplonkar 1974)

Facies 1B: Matrix-supported conglomerate

Sandy-matrix-rich, texturally immature, lenticular conglomerate, locally interbedded with sandstone; clasts of quartz, jasper, basement rock fragments, and few mud clasts; Clasts sub-rounded, 2–10 cm in diameter, randomly oriented.

A diverse assemblage of calcareous algae from the top of the Nimar Sandstone Formation near Pipaldehla

Cenomanian (Chiplonkar and Badve 1973)

Facies 1A: Clast-supported conglomerate

Lenticular, clast-supported polymictic conglomerate non-conformably overlying basement rocks; facies thickness upto 90 cm; clasts vary in composition, angular to sub-angular, randomly oriented; sandy matrix.

Onchopristis indicus sp. (fish tooth) in Nimar Sandstone Formation in Phata area

Cenomanian (Taylor and Badve 1995)

Facies types Description Interpretation

| Facies types                  | Description                                                                 | Interpretation                                                                 |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Facies 1A: Clast-supported    | Lenticular, clast-supported polymictic conglomerate non-conformably overlying | Deposited as small channel lag or longitudinal braided bars of low-sinuous streams (Miall 2006; Malaza et al. 2013). |
| conglomerate                  | basement rocks; facies thickness upto 90 cm; clasts vary in composition,    |                                                                                |
|                               | angular to sub-angular, randomly oriented; sandy matrix.                    |                                                                                |
| Facies 1B: Matrix-supported   | Sandy-matrix-rich, texturally immature, lenticular conglomerate, locally    | Debris flow deposit (Miall 1982) with scooping of underlying cohesive, unconsolidated mud (Bhattacharya and Bhattacharya 2012). |
| conglomerate                  | interbedded with sandstone; clasts of quartz, jasper, basement rock        |                                                                                |
|                               | fragments, and few mud clasts; Clasts sub-rounded, 2–10 cm in diameter,    |                                                                                |
|                               | randomly oriented.                                                          |                                                                                |
| Facies 1C: Pebbly sandstone   | Persistently developed in all sections; moderately-sorted, subrounded      | Alternate pebble-rich and pebble-poor layers indicate alternate high-energy and |
|                               | coarse- to medium-grained sandstone with large-scale trough cross-strata    | relatively low-energy conditions, respectively; migration of bars in low-sinuous  |
|                               | (set thickness 50–55 cm) changing to small trough cross-strata (set        | high-gradient meandering streams (McGowen and Garner 1970; Miall 1982).         |
|                               | thickness 20–30 cm) near top of beds; facies thickness upto ~2.5 m; angular  |                                                                                |
|                               | to sub-angular, poorly-sorted pebble layers separate the sandstone beds.    |                                                                                |
| Facies 1D: Trough cross-       | Medium- to coarse-grained, moderately-sorted, angular to subrounded        | Migration of channel bars (Best and Kostaschuk 2002); pebbles indicates       |
| stratified sandstone          | sandstone with trough cross-strata; local pebble concentration common;      | fluctuating energy.                                                          |
|                               | small-scale asymmetrical ripples preserved on bed tops; abundant in all     |                                                                                |
|                               | sections with thicknesses of 5 cm – >50 cm.                                 |                                                                                |
interpretations of facies types and facies associations in the frame of prevalent process-response models and mechanisms of deposition, and (ii) preparation of lithologies from different parts and their correlation to understand the spatio-temporal distribution of different facies types and the depositional conditions.

The sequence-stratigraphic study is primarily based on the identification and interpretation of different stratigraphic stacking patterns, viz., coarsening-up (progradational) and fining-up (retrogradational), in the correlated sections, followed by the interpretation of sediment packages (cycles) of different frequencies.

4 Results
4.1 Sedimentological facies architecture
Sedimentologically, the siliciclastic succession of the Nimar Sandstone Formation (average ~ 20–30 m thick) is represented by seventeen facies types grouped in five distinct facies associations (FA-1–FA-5). Brief descriptions and interpretations of the facies types in different facies associations are depicted in Tables 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7, respectively. Representative photographs of the facies types of different facies associations (FA-1–FA-5) are represented in Figs. 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6, respectively.

Facies association FA-1 (Fig. 2) consists of four facies types, viz., the matrix-supported conglomerate (facies 1A), the matrix-supported conglomerate (facies 1B), the pebbly sandstone (facies 1C) and the trough cross-stratified sandstone (facies 1D) (see Table 3). All the facies types are characterized by a very coarse-grained immature nature with an abundance of pebbles. Facies types mostly conform to large channel geometries with occasionally sharp erosional basal contacts. The sediment fill pattern in individual channel geometries depicts an abundance of pebbles near the basal part often associated with large trough cross-strata, changing to smaller trough cross-strata in coarse-grained sandstone in the upper part. Overall facies architecture of FA-1 indicates a channel-fill facies association where sedimentation took place as channel-filling basal lag to point bar in a low-sinuosity meandering stream of relatively high energy.

The facies association FA-2 (Table 4; Fig. 3) is characterized by relatively fine-grained rocks. It is consisted of interbedded sandstone–mudstone (facies 2A) and plane-laminated sandstone (facies 2B). The facies types are characterized by an overall sheet-like geometry with alternations of thin-bedded, plane-laminated to ripple cross-stratified sandstone grading to mudstone. Such geometry and the close association of this facies association with channel-fill facies association (FA-1) indicate deposition of FA-2 sediments in overbank areas of channels.

The facies types in the facies association FA-3 (Table 5; Fig. 4) include the large-scale trough cross-stratified sandstone (facies 3A) and the planar cross-stratified sandstone (facies 3B), which characteristically bear mud-draped foresets, and lateral accretion of ripple cross-sets with reactivation surfaces within channel-fill cross-stratified sandstone beds. Such signatures indicate tidal influence (Bhattacharya et al. 2015, 2018) within a fluvial-dominated fluvio-tidal depositional setting.

The facies association FA-4 (Table 6; Fig. 5) consists of five different facies types, namely, the heterolithic sandstone–mudstone (facies 4A), the mud-clast-bearing conglomerate (facies 4B), the plane-laminated sandstone (facies 4C), the bioturbated sandstone (facies 4D) and the green sandstone (facies 4E). Abundant bi-directional ripple and climbing ripple cross-strata with mud-draped foresets, presence of glauconite in sandstone, trough cross-strata in sandstone with abundant mud, and an abundance of marine invertebrate trace fossils, etc. all indicate a strong tidal control within the fluvial system during the sedimentation of the tide-dominated fluvio-tidal facies association (FA-4).

The facies association FA-5 (Table 7; Fig. 6) is represented by the fossil-bearing sandstone (facies 5A), the Thalassinoides–Ophiomorpha-bearing thinly-laminated sandstone–mudstone (facies 5B), the wave-ripple-bearing sandstone (facies 5C) and the massive mudstone (facies 5D). The abundance of symmetrical wave ripples, presence of marine invertebrate fossils and trace fossils, and the prevalence of both sand and mud are the characteristics of this facies association, which

---

Table 4 Brief descriptions and interpretations of facies types within the overbank facies association (FA-2)

| Facies types                  | Description                                                                 | Interpretation                                                                 |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Facies 2A: Interbedded        | Laterally persistent, alternate thick to thin sandstone grading to red mudstone beds; sheet-like geometry; crude plane lamination in sandstone. | Alternate traction and suspension load deposition under relatively low-energy conditions (Reineck and Singh 1980); sheet-like geometry indicates an overbank setting (Leopold and Wolman 1957). |
| sandstone–mudstone            |                                                                             |                                                                                   |
| Facies 2B: Plane-laminated    | Plane-laminated, fine- to medium-grained sandstone beds, few cm to more than 10 cm in thickness; planar bedding show parting lineation; well sorted, subrounded to rounded quartz with few plagioclase feldspar and lithic fragments; small current ripples on top surface of beds; bioturbation by Skolithos isp. Common. | Plane-laminated sandstone with parting lineations indicates supercritical upper flow regime (Paola et al. 1989), possible during peak flood time (Miall 1977); ripples near top of beds indicate subcritical flow in shallow channels (Paola et al. 1989). |
| sandstone                     |                                                                             |                                                                                   |
Table 5 Brief descriptions and interpretation of facies types within the fluvial-dominated fluvo-tidal facies association (FA-3)

| Facies types | Description | Interpretation |
|--------------|-------------|----------------|
| Facies 3A: Large-scale trough cross-stratified sandstone | Metre-scale trough cross-strata in medium-grained, moderately-sorted sandstone; grains subrounded; bed thickness 20–50 cm; thickness of cross-strata sets > 30 cm; normal grading in cross-strata set; mud-draped foresets with lateral accretion; convolute laminations present locally. | Large-scale trough cross-strata with lateral accretion and mud-draped foresets indicate migration of bars by tidal currents (Bose and Das 1986); convolute laminae indicate liquefaction and fluidization during deposition (Jha et al. 2017). |
| Facies 3B: Planar cross-stratified sandstone | Lenticular-shaped, medium- to coarse-grained, tabular planar cross-stratified sandstone with locally dispersed pebble layers; thickness of cross-bed sets 10–35 cm; each set records coarse- to medium-grained sandstone near base grading into fine-grained sandstone; thin mud layers present on foresets. | Downstream migration of 2-D ripples under lower flow-regime (Harms et al. 1982) in channel bar; thin mud veneers indicate tidal fluctuations. |

Table 6 Brief descriptions and interpretation of facies types under the tide-dominated fluvo-tidal facies association (FA-4)

| Facies types | Description | Interpretation |
|--------------|-------------|----------------|
| Facies 4A: Heterolithic sandstone–mudstone | 2–10 cm-thick, fine- to medium-grained, moderately-sorted sandstone alternating with thick, massive to laminated mudstone; sandstone beds characterized by ripple cross-strata with mud-draped foresets and lateral accretion against frequent reactivation surfaces, sigmoidal cross-strata, bi-directional ripple cross-laminae, climbing ripples with sinuous, bifurcated crests, and broad-crested symmetrical wave ripples; mud-dominated units characterized by wavy to lenticular bedding; bed thickness 5–20 cm; sand lenses 2–4 cm in thickness, asymmetrical and discontinuous with internal mud-draped laminae become continuous up-section; thin interbedded mudstone and fine-grained, plane-laminated sandstone laminae also present, with sandstone laminae thickness 1–8 mm and mudstone laminae thickness 0.5–2 mm. | Mutually opposite cross-strata set indicate tidal fluctuations (Klein 1971; Boersma and Terwindt 1981; Elliott 1986); symmetrical ripples and combined flow ripples manifest interference of tides and waves; wavy and lenticular beddings indicate pronounced suspension fall-out over alternate traction current; alternation of laminated sandstone–mudstone indicates tidal inclined heterolithic strata (IHS) in low-energy tidal setting (Reineck and Wunderlich 1967, 1969; Reineck and Singh 1980); SSDS indicates density contrast among the litho-units during deposition (Jha et al. 2017). |
| Facies 4B: Mud-clast-bearing conglomerate | Lenticular, sharp (erosional) based conglomerate with mud clasts; bed thickness 5–10 cm; Size of the mud clasts 0.2–3 cm with frequent bending; angular to subangular mud clasts show yellow to brown, faded violet, and white colour. | Scouring of mud from underlying unconsolidated mud bed by high-energy current/wave and rapid deposition without significant transportation (Bhattacharya and Bhattacharya 2012; Eide et al. 2016). |
| Facies 4C: Plane-laminated sandstone | Fine-grained plane-laminated sandstone beds (bed thickness of 2–25 cm) with intermittent thin, impermeant mudstone layers; soft-sediment deformation structures (SSDS) such as convolute laminae, load and flame structures. | Suspension deposit during slack phase (Reineck and Singh 1980); SSDS indicates density contrast among the litho-units during deposition (Jha et al. 2017). |
| Facies 4D: Bioturbated sandstone | Medium- to coarse-grained, crudely laminated sandstone with subangular grains; thickness 40 cm – 2.5 m, increasing in the west than in the east; laminae partly destroyed by bioturbation; diversity and intensity of bioturbation increase towards west; vertical and horizontal U-shaped, Y-shaped and J-shaped burrows of Thalassinoides and Ophiomorpha, with subordinate Planolites, Skolithos, Palaeophycus, Arenicolites and Rosselia; concentration of various shell fragments along with microscopic bivalve shells. | Intense bioturbation indicates nutrient-rich, oxygenated conditions during deposition; burrowing by Thalassinoides–Ophiomorpha-dominated ichnossemblage indicates a nearshore setting (Buatois and Mángano 2011). |
| Facies 4E: Green sandstone | Coarse-grained, well-sorted and rounded, massive, green sandstone; predominates in the western part of the study area; locally, small trough cross-strata present; facies thickness 0.4–2 m; glauconite abundant. | Deposition from to-and-fro movements of sand grains by shallow marine waves; glauconite also indicates shallow marine condition. |
| Facies types | Description | Interpretation |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|
| Facies 5A: Fossil-bearing sandstone | Fine- to medium-grained sandstone with local pebble layers; gastropods (Tunitella) with bivalve (Cardium) near base; dominance of bivalves increases up-section; Gastropod shells often mutually oppositely oriented; symmetrical low-amplitude long wave-length ripples, ladder-back ripples and flat-topped ripples with bifurcated crest lines; near the upper part shells of the oyster Ostrea (height 4–10 cm) are abundant. | Association of Tunitella and Cardium shells indicates shallow marine depositional conditions. The abundance of Tunitella indicates well-oxygenated, nutrient-rich, warm water conditions (Malarkodi et al. 2009). Ostrea abundantly lives in bays and estuaries and/or in nearshore subtidal environments (Khosla and Sahni 2000). Small symmetrical ripples indicate wave activity in shallow waters (Bhattacharya and Jha 2014). |
| Facies 5B: Thalassinoides–Ophiomorpha-bearing thinly-laminated sandstone–mudstone | Red, thinly-laminated sandstone–mudstone alternations, with alternate bioturbated and non-bioturbated units; primary laminae disturbed by abundant large Thalassinoides and Ophiomorpha burrows; thin laminae in mudstone; small symmetrical ripple on sandstone beds. | Thick mudstone alternating with fine-grained sandstone indicates low-energy conditions (Reineck and Singh 1980); intense bioturbation (Thalassinoides and Ophiomorpha) indicates oxygenated, nutrient-rich shallow marine environments; small, symmetrical ripples indicate reworking by waves. |
| Facies 5C: Wave-ripple-bearing sandstone | Coarse-grained, moderately sorted sandstone with subrounded grains; thickness of beds 10–15 cm; flat-topped symmetrical ripples with continuous, bifurcated crests on sandstone beds; smaller ladder-back ripples in the troughs of the major ripples; chevron-shaped internal laminae with irregular bottom set. | Coarse grain size and symmetrical ripples with chevron laminae indicate moderate-energy wave conditions (Harms et al. 1975). |
| Facies 5D: Massive mudstone | Red, friable, thick (6–120 cm) mudstone, devoid of sedimentary structures; quartz, dark opaque minerals, and mica, with unaltered to partly-altered feldspar grains; well-developed near Sitapuri; bioturbation not observed; sharp contact with the overlying Nodular Limestone Formation. | Suspension fallout of fine sediments under very low energy conditions; absence of bioturbation indicates relatively anoxic conditions and an increased water depth. |

Fig. 2 Field photographs. a Clast-supported conglomerate (facies 1A) showing angular to sub-angular clasts of basement gneissic rock, exposed in the Rampura section. Length of pen is 14.5 cm. b Matrix-supported conglomerate (facies 1B), exposed in Bagh section. Arrows indicate occurrence of mud clasts. Diameter of coin is 2.5 cm. c Pebby sandstone (facies 1C) showing alternate pebble-rich and pebble-free sandstone beds, exposed in the Neemkheda section. Length of pen is 15 cm. d Trough cross-stratified sandstone (facies 1D), exposed along the river bank of Baria section. Length of hammer is 30.5 cm.
together indicate a significant amount of wave influence during deposition of the shoreface facies association (FA-5).

Detailed vertical sedimentary logs, showing the occurrence of facies types and facies associations in the 15 sections, from the western, central, and eastern parts of the study area are presented in Figs. 7, 8 and 9, respectively. These logs reveal that —

i) the lower part of the succession is mostly comprised of immature sediments of FA-1 and FA-2, and is overlain by

ii) sediments of the fluvial-dominated fluvio-tidal facies association (FA-3), gradually changing vertically and laterally into sediments of the tide-dominated fluvio-tidal facies association (FA-4), commonly occupying the middle part of the succession and, finally,
iii) sediments of the shoreface facies association (FA-5) predominate along with tide-dominated facies associations (mostly FA-4) in the upper part of the succession.

At different stratigraphic levels in the middle part of the studied succession, layers with various soft-sediment deformation structures (SSDS), e.g., convoluted laminae, pseudo-nodules, load and flame structures, syn-sedimentary faults, slumps, and sand dykes, have been recorded by Jha et al. (2017).

Alternate fluvial (FA-1 and FA-2) and fluvial-dominated tidally-influenced sediments (FA-3)
followed by tide-dominated wave-reworked sediments (FA-4 and FA-5) constitute distinct depositional cycles within a mixed fluvio-marine depositional setting. Cyclic occurrences of the tidally-influenced sediments of FA-4, overlain by the wave-dominated sediments of FA-5 in the middle–upper part of the succession indicate repeated changes in water-depth over short intervals under a tidal to wave interactive system as a product of periodic marine inundations and intercalations. Such repetitive fining-up cycles indicate the inundation of fluvio-tidal channels by marine waters within a typical estuarine setting (Nichols 2009). In the study area, overall dominance of tidal features over wave features points to a tide-dominated wave-influenced estuary (Jha et al. 2017).

The overall fining-up character, increasing marine dominance up-section, and prevalence of landward palaeocurrent directions indicate an estuarine setting. Within the fluvio-marine interactive setting, three major sub-environments are envisaged:

i) A fluvial-dominated zone initially, with the transition from fluvial channels (FA-1) to floodplain (FA-2), with few tide-affected fluvial deposits (FA-3) in the basal part of the succession, mainly prevails in the eastern and central part of the study area.

ii) A mixed-energy central estuary zone in the middle–upper part of the succession, which consists of transitional fluvio-tidal channels (FA-3) and

Fig. 6 Field photographs. a Fossil-bearing sandstone (facies 5A) showing gastropod (G) and bivalve shells (B) on sandstone bedding plane, near Akhara. Length of pen is 14.5 cm. b Ostrea shells in facies 5A, occurring near the Bagh–Akhara road. Diameter of coin is 2.5 cm. c Symmetrical flat-topped wave ripples with small-scale ladder-back ripples in facies 5A, preserved near Akhara. Length of pen is 14.5 cm. d Thalassinoides–Ophiomorpha-bearing thinly-laminated sandstone–mudstone (facies 5B) showing well-preserved vertical burrows (arrows). The section is exposed near Sitapuri. Length of hammer is 30.5 cm. e Wave-ripple-bearing sandstone (facies 5C) showing symmetrical wave ripples with bifurcating crests (arrows), exposed in the Dam section. Length of pen is 14.5 cm. f Massive mudstone (facies 5D) overlain by white-coloured Nodular Limestone Formation, north of Sitapuri. The contact is marked by dashed yellow line. Man for scale is 170 cm tall.
tidal flats associated with tidal channels and bars (FA-4) overlying the fluvial systems in the study area.

iii) A wave-dominated shore environment in the outer estuary zone (top part of FA-4 with FA-5), occupying the upper part of the succession, occurs dominantly in the west and central part and gradually diminishes towards the eastern part of the study area.

The distinct layers with abundant SSDS have been interpreted as seismites by Jha et al. (2017). The
seismite layers mark phases of a substantial shift in depositional conditions from fluvial-dominated to a transgressive tidal- and wave-influenced estuarine system related to basinal subsidence caused by reactivation of the Son-Narmada South Fault during sedimentation of the Nimar Sandstone Formation (Jha et al. 2017).

4.2 Stratal stacking patterns and T–R cycles
Vertical and lateral distributions of the litho-units depict an overall fining-upward retrogradational cycle for the entire Nimar Sandstone Formation. Four section correlations are made: (i) in the Akhara–Phata area, the westernmost of the basin, incorporating sections 1–5 (Fig. 10); (ii) in the Bagh area, the central of the basin, incorporating sections 6–11 (Fig. 11); (iii) in the Man River–Ratitalai area, the easternmost of the study area, including sections 12–15 (Fig. 12); and (iv) along a nearly dip-parallel section over the whole study area (Fig. 13), incorporating, from west to east, logs of Chikapoti, Akhara, Bagh, Raisinghpura, and Sitapuri. The correlations provide clear evidence of stratal stacking patterns along the length (W–E) and width (N–S) of the basin, and reflect the dominance of marine-influenced facies types in the western logs in comparison to the easternmost ones. Similarly, a gradual diminishing abundance of channel-fill facies types (mostly FA-1) is observed from east to west in the study area. Within the overall fining-up trend, high-frequency alternate coarsening-up (progradational) and fining-up (retrogradational) hemicycles are visible in almost all the litho-logs (Figs. 10, 11, 12 and 13).

The overall retrogradational pattern with the gradual changeover from dominant fluvial systems in the lower part, followed by mixed fluvial-tidal systems in the middle part and mixed tidal- and wave-dominated open marine systems in the upper part of the studied succession points to the overall transgressive nature of the succession. The transgressive succession is also evident from the systematic change in abundance of fluvial to marine facies from east to west, which signifies a west-to-eastward marine incursion controlling the sedimentation at different areas. The smaller coarsening- and fining-up hemicycles embedded within this major
transgressive cycle manifest high-frequency changes in base level and accommodation.

The Nimar Sandstone Formation is conformably overlain by the Nodular Limestone Formation without any visible break in sedimentation. The Nodular Limestone Formation has been interpreted as a restricted shallow marine platform–tidal flat deposit (Ruidas et al. 2018; Bansal et al. 2019). Thus, it remains inconclusive at this stage whether the major transgressive event that affected the entire Nimar Sandstone Formation succession also continued during the deposition of the overlying limestone units.

4.3 High-frequency T–R cycles

Four distinct high-frequency hemicycles (Figs. 10, 11, 12, 13, 14 and 15) are observed within the major transgressive cycle: (i) the lowermost coarsening-up hemicycle (named as L-C), followed up by (ii) a fining-up hemicycle (named as L-F) in the lower–middle part of the succession, (iii) another coarsening-up hemicycle
(named as U-C) in the middle–upper part of the succession, and (iv) an upper fining-up hemicycle (named as U-F) occurring near the top of the entire succession.

The lowermost coarsening-up (progradational) hemicycle (L-C) is most prevalent in the central and eastern part of the area (Figs. 11, 12) and depict a stratal stacking pattern formed by the lateral and vertical amalgamation of channel-fill deposits (FA-1), intermittently separated by overbank deposits (FA-2). Overall, FA-1 sediments are much more abundant than FA-2 sediments.

A fining-up (retrogradational) hemicycle (L-F) commonly overlies the L-C hemicycle and occupies the lower to middle part of the succession in the central and eastern parts of the study area (Figs. 11, 12 and 13). However, in the western part, the L-C hemicycle is absent and the succession starts with the L-F hemicycle (Fig. 10). This fining-up succession shows a stratal stacking pattern formed predominantly by overbank deposits (FA-2) with isolated, detached units of channel-fill sediments (FA-1). Overall, the overbank deposits are more abundant than the channel-fill sediments within this hemicycle.

Both L-C and L-F are overlain by another coarsening-up (progradational) hemicycle (U-C), mostly occupying the middle to upper part of the succession. The stratal architecture within this hemicycle depicts a progradational stacking pattern with successively stacked fluvial-dominated fluvio-tidal deposits (FA-3) and intermittent finer-grained tide-dominated fluvio-tidal deposits (FA-4) (Fig. 15). Both the channel and the overbank deposits are characterized by abundant tidal signatures. Preserved tidal signatures help to differentiate this hemicycle from earlier hemicycles apart from the change in stacking pattern from fining-up below to coarsening-up above. The coarsening-up stacking pattern is produced by the local amalgamation of tide-affected fluvial channels in the middle and upper part of the U-C hemicycle.

The topmost fining-up hemicycle (U-F) caps the earlier hemicycles throughout the entire area. A fining-up, retrogradational stratal stacking pattern is observed with an abundance of FA-4 facies types accompanied by FA-5 facies types.
facies types. Marine depositional signatures are most prevalent within this hemicycle in comparison to all other hemicycles, which include sheet sandstone bodies with abundant wave-produced features, heterolithic units with shallow-marine invertebrate fossils and trace fossils, and thick- to thin-bedded tidal heteroliths. Evidence of fluvial sedimentary processes in terms of facies types of FA-1, FA-2 or FA-3 are lacking or absent within this hemicycle. The thickness of the sediment package of U-F also varies significantly, with maximum thickness and sand-rich composition in the western part, and a gradually diminishing thickness and change to a mud-rich composition towards the east. This hemicycle is directly overlain by the Nodular Limestone Formation.

5 Discussion

5.1 Interpretation of the high-frequency T–R cycles
The lowermost coarsening-upward hemicycle (L-C), characterized by highly amalgamated channel-fill units and a high channel/overbank sediment ratio, signifies deposition in unconfined fluvial channels without any connection to marine processes. Lateral and vertical shifts in channel stacking pattern and amalgamation indicate frequent channel avulsion with a significantly low rate of aggradation of overbank deposits. The overall stratal stacking pattern points to a high-amalgamation systems tract (HAST) developed in a low-accommodation, upstream-controlled fluvial depositional system (Catuneanu 2017).

The overlying fining-up hemicycle (L-F), characterized by stacking pattern dominated by overbank deposits and a low channel/overbank sediment ratio, signifies deposition in confined isolated channels with a low rate of channel avulsion or migration and a high rate of overbank aggradation. Such a stratal stacking pattern is identified as a low-amalgamation systems tract (LAST) (Catuneanu 2017), indicating high accommodation due to basinal subsidence and raised river profile leading to flooding and deposition of finer overbank deposits over the earlier sand-depositing areas. This led to a fining-up retrogradational succession (FA-2 over FA-1) in most areas. The predominance of mud-rich floodplain deposits manifests rising base level conditions, leading to: (i) raising of the river profile, (ii) more deposition than the incision, and (iii) low channel avulsion and aggradation. Such rising of the base level can be considered as an indirect effect of the rising and encroaching sea, which was not far away. Close overlap of this hemicycle by the overlying tide-dominated facies association supports this interpretation.

The stratal stacking pattern and the associated facies architecture in the overlying hemicycles (U-C and U-F)
indicate clear signatures of marine influence. Thus, a shift in the depositional conditions from an upstream-controlled fluvial setting to a downstream-controlled, marine-influenced transitional setting can be envisaged at the boundary of the L-F hemicycle and the U-C hemicycle. Significant marine influence, in association with basinal tectonics and sediment supply controlled the creation of net accommodation and the resultant stratal stacking patterns. SSDS-bearing beds both above and below the said transition are interpreted as seismites (Jha et al. 2017), which signifies strong control of the basinal tectonism caused by reactivation of faults and...
basinal sagging in controlling the accommodation and sedimentation (Bhattacharya et al. 2016; Bhattacharya and Saha 2020).

The overlying coarsening-up hemicycle (U-C) in the middle to upper part of the succession, characterized by low to high tidal influence, shows a stacking pattern dominated by tide-reefered channel and overbank deposits (FA-3 and FA-4). Additional accommodation was available, as evident from high-accumulation of the tide-affected overbank deposits (FA-4) and low to high amalgamation of tide-influenced channels (FA-3). This net accommodation creation was controlled by a rising base level and landward encroaching sea, which was over-paced by a high rate of sedimentation led by both fluvial and tidal systems. The overall progradational stratal stacking pattern with less aggradation indicates a regressive condition, equivalent to a lowstand systems tract (LST) in the fluvio-marine transitional setting. In some areas (e.g., Bagh, Rampura, etc., Figs. 11, 13), particularly in places where the fluvial channels were strongly affected by tidal currents during this marine encroachment, tidally reworked coarser-grained clastics led to stacked, amalgamated thick channel-fill sandstone units (of FA-3). Such progradational stacking pattern confirms a lowstand condition, where a significant amount of sediment supplied by river was reworked by the encroaching tidal currents and was deposited as bayhead deltas and tidal bars in river mouth areas.

The lowstand stratal package is overlain by the topmost fining-up hemicycle (U-F) with a retrogradational
stratal stacking pattern formed by predominantly tide- and wave-produced sediments of FA-4 and FA-5 (Figs. 10, 11, 12 and 13). The prevalence of wave- and tide-controlled sedimentation with a low or rare signature of fluvial deposition suggests a coastal onlapping, which covered the earlier fluvial (HAST and LAST) and the fluvio-tidal (LST) deposits. A clear shift from pure fluvial depositional processes to open coastal depositional systems in different parts of the basin (Figs. 10, 11, 12 and 13) undoubtedly attests to a landward shift of the shoreline. Thus, the fining-up retrogradational stratal package in U-F represents a transgressive systems tract (TST). Minor variations in the stacking pattern within this TST in different areas are caused by varying water energy in different parts of the basin during the marine flooding event, which led to varied depositions from (i) wave-reworked shoreface sands with marine bivalves, gastropods, and trace fossils in the western part (more open marine), to (ii) finer-grained clastics with abundant *Ostrea*, *Turritella* and trace fossils (*Thalassinoides*) of marginal marine affinity, characteristic of brackish water conditions in the eastern part (landward, sheltered estuary-like). The contact with the overlying Nodular Limestone Formation (Fig. 14) is considered as the end of transgression documented by the Nimar Sandstone Formation succession; however, demarcating any definite surface (e.g., the maximum flooding surface) is not feasible due to the relatively poor preservation of features in outcrops and restricted lateral continuity of features.

5.2 Sequence stratigraphic architecture
In absence of absolute age data of the Nimar Sandstone Formation (as mentioned in Table 2), the chronological attributes of bounding surfaces and major events become problematic in the study area. The major transgressive cycle encompassing the entire Nimar Sandstone Formation succession definitely indicates a transgression. Since the lower contact of the Nimar Sandstone Formation is fault-bound, the actual starting age of the deposition is difficult to determine. The top boundary with the overlying Nodular Limestone Formation is conformable and indicates inland continuation of marine sedimentation. Thus, we may consider the major fining-up cycle in the Nimar Sandstone Formation as part of a major, continuous transgressive event. So, assigning the proper status of this major transgressive cycle in terms of sequence elements (sequence or systems tracts) is tricky and is avoided here.

The high-frequency T–R cycles resemble different systems tracts, viz., L-C as HAST, L-F as LAST, U-C as LST, and U-F as TST, depending on characteristic stratal stacking patterns with assemblages of different facies.
associations (discussed earlier). Nature of the transition between the HAST and the LAST is gradual, rendering no definite bounding surface between them in field exposures. The changeover from the LAST and the overlying LST is commonly marked by initiation of tidal influence within the channel deposits and the progradation of these channel systems over the underlying overbank deposits. Sharp channel bases are locally identified in individual exposures, but poor preservation quality with lack of lateral continuity restricts the identification of a definite bounding surface between the LAST and the LST. Similarly, the changeover from LST to the topmost TST is not marked by any distinct surface such as the maximum regressive surface (MRS) and is mostly identified by the gradual change in the stratal stacking pattern from coarsening-up (U-C) to fining-up (U-F). The facies architecture near the upper boundary of the TST is not uniform throughout the sections and, in turn, makes it difficult to demarcate a definite terminating surface such as the maximum flooding surface (MFS). However, the Nodular Limestone Formation overlies this topmost TST throughout the study area, and definitely indicates the culmination of the transgressive event within the Nimar Sandstone Formation succession. Such variations in the facies architecture, causing difficulty in lateral correlation and identification of bounding surfaces of the sequence/systems tracts are due to the complex sedimentary facies distribution in the evolving fluvo-estuarine system within the riftogenic basin.

5.3 Palaeogeography
The Late Cretaceous global sea-level rise led to significant marine transgressions that affected part of western and central India (Acharya and Lahiri 1991; Singh and Mishra 2000; Desai 2013). This led to seawater inundation of large areas of central Indian land, resulting in a shift in depositional conditions from pure continental to marine/marginal marine. One such example of marine transgressions and change in depositional conditions is well recorded in the Upper Cretaceous Bagh Group, preserved within the Narmada rift basin.

Analysis of the Nimar Sandstone Formation succession in the light of transgressive–regressive cycles reveals a major transgressive event affecting the upper part of the succession. The initial fluvial system depicts an amalgamation of channel and overbank deposits under low-to-high accommodation conditions, mostly controlled by changing river equilibrium profile due to tectonic subsidence and varied sediment supply. This caused thick accumulation of channel-fill and overbank deposits in the lower part of the Nimar Sandstone Formation. An east–west flowing river system is reconstructed, with coarse sediments dominating in the east and fine sediments in the west. In the western part of the basin, the fluvial system was affected by tidal intermixing, indicating a significant marine encroachment and fluvio-tidal interference (Fig. 16). The effect of tidal influence is more pronounced in the upper transgressive hemicycle (U-C), which records prevalent drowning of the underlying fluvial system. The effect of this drowning was more dominant in the western part and less in the eastern part, indicating that the marine encroachment took place from west to east with the development of a tide-influenced estuarine system in the west changing to more fluvial-dominated bay-head delta systems in the east. Overall facies architecture indicates that an initial fluvial depositional setting was engulfed by the development of a tide-influenced estuarine system (Fig. 16), where three distinct depositional zones can be deciphered, namely,

i) a fluvo-tidal interactive inner estuary zone, developed in the eastern part of the basin, characterized by the tide-reworked thick channel-fill and overbank deposits,

ii) a tide-dominated central estuary zone, developed in the central part of the basin, characterized by development of tidal sand bars and sand- and mud-flats based on the extent of inundations, mutual strength of tidal and fluvial systems, and type of net accommodation created, and

iii) a tidal- and wave-dominated outer estuary part, developed in the western part of the basin, characterized by more open marine tide- and wave-reworked sediments of shore environments.

Successive stacking of high-frequency transgressive-regressive cycles was caused by fluctuations in the base level in response to the Cenomanian sea-level rise along with net sedimentation controlled by the basin subsidence caused by reactivation of basin marginal faults in the Narmada rift basin (Jha et al. 2017). During the late stage of the transgression, outer estuarine open marine conditions encroached further landwards inundating the entire basin, which led to shallow, low-energy conditions with deposition of the Nodular Limestone Formation as an apron covering the underlying Nimar Sandstone Formation. Thus, the shift in depositional conditions from fluvial-dominated to a transgressive tidal- to wave-influenced estuarine system attests to significant tectono-sedimentary changes accompanied by prolonged events of marine transgressions in the Narmada rift basin during the Late Cretaceous.

6 Conclusions
The detailed facies analysis and sequence stratigraphic analysis of the Cenomanian Nimar Sandstone Formation helps to sort out the facies architecture in the fluvo-marine interactive estuarine system. Based on the
present study, we can frame the following conclusive remarks:

1) Detailed facies analysis records deposition of the lower part of the succession in a fluvial setting, which changed up-section into a transgressive fluvo-marine estuarine setting with: (i) a fluviol-dominated inner estuary zone, with the transition from fluvial to tide-affected fluvial deposits, (ii) a transitional central estuary zone consisting of transitional fluviol-tidal channels and tidal flats, associated with tidal channels and bars, and (iii) a wave-dominated shallow-marine outer estuary zone. This evolution is time-transgressive with a gradual shift of different depositional conditions in space and time.

2) Reactivation of basin-marginal faults during the Cenomanian time caused sequential subsidence of the land part within the Indian Plate, which enhanced the effective landward incursion of seawater due to the Cenomanian sea-level rise.

3) The major transgression is attributed to the Cenomanian global eustatic rise, whereas the high-frequency T–R cycles indicate systematic variation in net sediment supply, change in accommodation space related to tectonic subsidence, and base level fluctuations, resulting in characteristic stratal stacking patterns.

4) The proposed tectono-sedimentary model based on the integrated sedimentological–sequence-stratigraphic study helps to reconstruct the palaeogeography in central India during the Late Cretaceous. Such a palaeogeographic model can be applied to similar transgressive fluviol-marine sedimentary successions formed in passive margin rift basins, where transgression is controlled by both eustatic changes and tectonic subsidence.
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