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ABSTRACT

The study entitled Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management on Growth and Yield of Maize (Zea mays L.) was conducted at Agricultural Research Farm, School of Agriculture, Suresh Gyan Vihar University, Jagatpura, Jaipur, during Kharif season 2018. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with Three replication comprising Ten integrated nutrient management treatments viz. 100% RDF (T1), 50% RDF (T2), 100% RDF + Vermicompost (T3), 50% RDF + Vermicompost (T4), 100% RDF + FYM (T5), 50% RDF + FYM (T6), 50% RDF +25% Vermicompost + 25% FYM +25kg ZnSO4 (T7), 50% RDF +50% Vermicompost + 50% FYM (T8), 100% RDF + 25% Vermicompost + 25% FYM +25 kg ZnSO4 (T9) and 100% RDF + 50% Vermicompost + 50% FYM (T10). On the basis of results revealed that among ten treatment 100% RDF + 25% Vermicompost + 25% FYM + 25kg ZnSO4 (T9) is significantly recorded maximum growth parameters like plant height (cm), Leaf area index, Dry matter accumulation, Crop growth rate and yield parameters grain yield, Stover yield and Harvest index.
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Introduction

Maize (Zea mays L.) is one of the most important cereal crop of the world agricultural economy as food, feed and industrial raw material, which ranked third largest cereal following rice and wheat respectively. Due to its high yield potential, it is called ‘queen of cereals’. India, it is grown over 4 per cent of the net area sown and it is cultivated in an area of 8.69 M ha with annual production and growth of 21.81mt and 3.6%, respectively (Agricultural statistics at In a glance 2017).

Majorly the poor management of fertilizer has key role to play in obtaining low yield productivity, so in order to achieve optimum crop productivity management of nutrients through judicious application of organic sources (FYM, Vermicompost, manures, biofertilizers, etc.) and micronutrient are required furthermore, the fertilize management is one of the most important factors that influence the growth and yield of maize crop. (Ghaffari, et al., 2011). The application of chemical fertilizer can change the soil pH, upset beneficial microbial
ecosystem, increase pest and even contribute to the release of greenhouse gases. Therefore, under this situation, judicious use of integrated nutrient management is best alternatives for sustainable crop productivity while maintain soil fertility status in maize and other cereal based cropping system. This ultimately improves crop yield. The available quantity of animal excreta and crop residues cannot meet the country’s requirements for crop production. Therefore, maximizing the usage of organic waste and combining it with chemical fertilizers and biofertilizer in the form of integrated manure appear to the best alternative (Guldur et al., 2015). Organic manures such as farmyard manure and vermicompost are important components of integrated nutrient management. Organic manures supply the traces amount of micronutrients, which are generally not supplied by the farmers as straight fertilizers. Organic farming is, therefore, the only remedy, which should be encouraged in order to lower down the cost of inputs and to improve soil health. The use of organic manures like FYM and Vermicompost not only helps to maintain the soil productivity by improving the physico-chemical properties of soil but also improves the efficiency of applied chemical fertilizers. It counteracts the ill effects of chemical fertilizers applied to the soil by way of reducing chemical toxicity to the microbes and thereby favours their growth. Besides this, organic manure improves the water holding capacity and cation exchange capacity of the soil, which results into smooth supply of nutrients to the crop plants and finally results into profitable yields. Zinc also plays a very important role in plant better growth, metabolic functions and also in increase protein content in grain because it helps in protein synthesis in grain. Zinc is also increases the plumpness of grain which results better yield of maize crop. Therefore, the present study was executed to evaluate the effect of integrated nutrient management on the growth and yield of maize crop.

Materials and Methods

A field experiment was conducted at Agriculture Research Farm, Suresh GyanVihar University during Kharif seasons of year 2018. The experiment laid out in randomized block design with three replicated. The total treatment combinations were Ten. The experimental site was situated at 26.9° North latitude and 75.7° East longitude with average rainfall 546.2 mm. The soil of experimental field was Sandy loamy soil normal pH (8.48), organic carbon (0.71), low in NPK (0.0 , 8.0, 10.0). The field was ploughed and given pre-sowing irrigation. After the preparatory tillage, field was divided into 30 different plots of 4m x 5m size. The pretreated seed of variety K-25 hybrid maize (Kanchan Gangabrand) were sown by dibbling method in between the rows by using maize seed at the rate of 25kg/ha with a spacing of 60x30cm on 27 July, 2018. RDF (Recommended dose of fertilizer) of NPK for Maize is 150, 75, 75 kg/ha and ZnSO₄ is 25 kg/ha . Applied 1/3 N and full of dose P₂O₅, K₂O and ZnSO₄ as basal and remaining dose of N was applied as topdressing in two split at knee high stage and at Pre-tasselling stage. The amount of vermicompost and FYM was applied at per treatment wise. The field was kept free from weeds by manual hoeing. Plant protection measures and irrigations whenever required were provided in same manner for all the treatments. Regular biometric observations were recorded at periodic intervals of 30DAS, 60DAS, 90 DAS and at harvest stage. Yield attributes parameters were recorded just before harvesting of crop. The crop was harvested on 10 November 2018 when about 80 per cent of the cobs turned yellowish and grains became hard and then tied in the labelled bundles. The sun dried weight of bundles was recorded. The cobs were removed from the plants, dried and threshed with hand
operated maize sheller. Thus grain yield of each plot was recorded.

**Results and Discussion**

**Growth character**

The plant height recorded at 30, 60, 90 and at harvest was statistically analyzed and furnished in (Table 1 and fig 1). Plant height differed significantly due to different treatments at all stages of crop growth. The plant height was found to increase progressively with advancement in growth of the crop up to harvest. At 30 DAS, among the treatments, the plant height (34.0 cm) was obtained with application of entire treatment of 100% RDF + 25% Vermicompost + 25% FYM + 25kg ZnSO₄ (T₉) which was on at par with the application of 100% RDF + 50% Vermicompost + 50% FYM (T₁₀) and 50% RDF + 25% Vermicompost + 25% FYM + 25kg ZnSO₄ (T₇). The beneficial effect of organic manures on leaf area index might be due to synthesis of certain phytohormones and vitamins and more interception of solar radiation and synthesis of more chlorophyll which resulted in higher leaf area index in maize. Similar results has also been reported by Kannan et al. (2013). While the treatment of 50% RDF (T₂) had results were lower.

Data pertaining to Dry matter accumulation is one of the important parameters reflecting the growth of a crop, is a prerequisite for higher yields, which indicated other biosynthetic process associated during the development sequences. The total dry matter production at harvest differed significantly among various treatments. The maximum total dry matter accumulation was observed with application of 100% RDF + 25% Vermicompost + 25% FYM + 25kg ZnSO₄ (T₉) and it was at par with the treatments 100% RDF + 50% Vermicompost + 50% FYM (T₁₀) and 50% RDF + 25% Vermicompost + 25% FYM + 25kg ZnSO₄ (T₇) significantly superior to rest of the treatments. Significantly higher dry matter accumulation in fertilizer treated plots might be due to greater solubility and accelerated release of nitrogen besides providing favorable rhizosphere for maize to utilize higher quantum of nutrients. Increased dry matter accumulation in vermicompost and FYM treated plots might be attributed due to the continuous steady release of nutrients which might have enabled the leaf area duration to extend, thus favoring the plants to
increase the photosynthetic rate which in turn, could have led to higher accumulation of dry matter. Similar results were reported by Grazia et al., (2003), Arun Kumar et al. (2007) and Sanjiv and James (2010). While the lowest dry matter accumulation was recorded under the 50% RDF (T2).

The highest CGR is observed at 100% RDF + 25% Vermicompost + 25% FYM +25kg ZnSO₄, while minimum CGR was recorded under 50% RDF. In general Crop growth rate in the early stages due to the absence of vegetation and low percentage of light absorption is lower, but with the rapid increases in the rate of plant growth that occurs because the level of development of leaves and thus absorption of solar radiation increases. Similar result also reported by Sabri et al., (2000).

**Table.1** Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth component of *Kharif* maize (*Zea mays* L.)

| Treatments                                      | Plant Height (cm) 90 DAS | Leaf area 90 DAS | Plant dry weight (g) 90 DAS | Plant growth rate (g plant⁻¹ day⁻¹) 90 DAS |
|------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| T₁- 100% RDF                                    | 125.0                    | 2.75             | 45.8                        | 0.428                                    |
| T₂- 50% RDF                                     | 117.3                    | 2.01             | 37.3                        | 0.378                                    |
| T₃ -100% RDF + Vermicompost (5 t ha⁻¹)          | 141.0                    | 3.98             | 63.7                        | 0.775                                    |
| T₄ -50% RDF + Vermicompost (5 t ha⁻¹)           | 139.3                    | 3.21             | 58.3                        | 0.700                                    |
| T₅ -100% RDF + FYM (12.5 t ha⁻¹)                | 140.3                    | 3.54             | 62.7                        | 0.764                                    |
| T₆ - 50% RDF + FYM (12.5 t ha⁻¹)                | 137.0                    | 3.12             | 56.6                        | 0.664                                    |
| T₇- 50% RDF +25% Vermicompost (5 t ha⁻¹) + 25% FYM (12.5 t ha⁻¹) + 25 kg ZnSO₄ | 148.3                    | 4.21             | 83.0                        | 1.176                                    |
| T₈-50% RDF + 50% Vermicompost (5 t ha⁻¹) + 50% FYM (12.5 t ha⁻¹) | 144.0                    | 4.02             | 78.7                        | 1.116                                    |
| T₉-100% RDF+25% Vermicompost (5 t ha⁻¹) + 25% FYM (12.5 t ha⁻¹) + 25 kg ZnSO₄ | 159.7                    | 4.89             | 93.0                        | 1.317                                    |
| T₁₀-100% RDF+50% Vermicompost (5 t ha⁻¹) + 50% FYM (12.5 t ha⁻¹) | 154.3                    | 4.67             | 88.7                        | 1.252                                    |
| S. Em(±)                                        | 2.8                      | 0.7              | 5.3                         | 5.6                                      |
| C.D (P = 0.05)                                  | 8.3                      | 2.2              | 15.8                        | 16.5                                    |
Table 2 Effect of integrated nutrient management on grain, stover yield and Harvest index of maize (Zea mays L.)

| Treatments                                      | Kernel yield (quintal ha⁻¹) | Stover yield (quintal ha⁻¹) | Harvest index (%) |
|------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|
| T₁ - 100% RDF                                  | 43.3                        | 115.2                      | 27.30             |
| T₂ - 50% RDF                                   | 31.4                        | 101.2                      | 23.69             |
| T₃ - 100% RDF + Vermicompost (5 t ha⁻¹)        | 60.2                        | 139.5                      | 29.97             |
| T₄ - 50% RDF + Vermicompost (5 t ha⁻¹)         | 56.3                        | 133.3                      | 29.54             |
| T₅ - 100% RDF + FYM (12.5 t ha⁻¹)              | 59.2                        | 137.0                      | 30.19             |
| T₆ - 50% RDF + FYM (12.5 t ha⁻¹)               | 54.2                        | 129.7                      | 29.27             |
| T₇ - 50% RDF +25% Vermicompost (5 t ha⁻¹) + 25% FYM (12.5 t ha⁻¹) + 25 kg ZnSO₄ | 64.2                        | 146.6                      | 30.45             |
| T₈ - 50% RDF + 50% Vermicompost (5 t ha⁻¹) + 50% FYM (12.5 t ha⁻¹) | 62.5                        | 143.0                      | 30.42             |
| T₉ - 100% RDF + 25% Vermicompost (5 t ha⁻¹) + 25% FYM (12.5 t ha⁻¹) + 25 kg ZnSO₄ | 71.3                        | 153.7                      | 31.40             |
| T₁₀ - 100% RDF + 50% Vermicompost (5 t ha⁻¹) + 50% FYM (12.5 t ha⁻¹) | 68.2                        | 148.7                      | 31.30             |
| S. Em                                          | 6.24                        | 9.2                        | 0.95              |
| C.D (P = 0.05)                                 | 18.4                        | 27.2                       | 2.83              |

Kernel yield

In the present investigation the grain yield was significantly influenced by integrated nutrient management treatments in maize. The highest grain yield (71.3 q ha⁻¹) was obtained with the application of entire treatments 100% RDF + 25% Vermicompost + 25% FYM + 25 kg ZnSO₄ (T₉) which was on par with 100% RDF + 50% Vermicompost + 50% FYM (T₁₀) 50% RDF + 25% Vermicompost + 25% FYM + 25 kg ZnSO₄ (T₇) and significantly superior to other treatments. There was an increase of 30% more yield in best treatment T₉ (100% RDF + 25% Vermicompost + 25% FYM + 25 kg ZnSO₄) when compared to that of T₂ (50% RDF) which recorded the lowest grain yield (31.4 q ha⁻¹).

The increase in yield under these treatments was because of favorable influence of nutrient application on the growth and yield attributes of maize. The improvement in grain yield under treatments involving organic fertilizer vermicompost and FYM might be due to the improvement in soil physico-chemical properties (viz., pH, bulk density, infiltration rate and microbial biomass carbon) and optimum availability of nutrients and organic
carbon which acted as the growth and yield enhancing characters of maize crop. Further the grain yield of maize mainly depends upon the final plant population and yield of individual plant, the latter in turn depends upon the number of cobs per plant and the weight of grains per cob which resulted in higher grain yield in maize. Similar results were also reported by Khadtare et al., (2006), Gosavi et al., (2009), Keerthi et al., (2013).

**Stover yield**

The stover yield of maize almost similar trend in Stover yield was observed as it was noticed in grain yield of maize. The highest stover yield (153.7 q ha\(^{-1}\)) was obtained with the application of entire treatments 100% RDF + 25% Vermicompost + 25% FYM + 25 kg ZnSO\(_4\) (T\(_9\)) which was on par with 100% RDF + 50% Vermicompost + 50% (T\(_{10}\)), 50% RDF + 25% Vermicompost + 25% FYM + 25 kg ZnSO\(_4\) (T\(_7\)) and significantly superior to other treatments. While the lowest Stover yield (101.2 q ha\(^{-1}\)) was recorded with 50% RDF (T\(_2\)). The result are in close accordance with those reported by Rani et al., (2013). Sanjiv, K.V and Pitchai, J. (2010).

**Harvest index**

As regarded harvest index it was not influenced markedly due to different treatments (Table 12). The highest harvest index (31.40) was obtained under the application of entire treatments 100% RDF + 25% Vermicompost + 25% FYM + 25 kg ZnSO\(_4\) (T\(_9\)) which was on par with 100% RDF + 50% Vermicompost + 50% (T\(_{10}\)), 50% RDF + 25% Vermicompost + 25% FYM + 25 kg ZnSO\(_4\) (T\(_7\)) Excellent growth and development of maize plant under higher nutrient environment during critical period of crop growth might have resulted in higher harvest index under these treatments, while 50% RDF resulted in the lowest value of harvest index (21.96). These findings are in agreement with the findings obtained by Grazia et al., (2003), Katarki et al., (2004).

Based on the findings of the present investigation, it can be inferred that the application of FYM and vermicompost along with chemical fertilizer proved in significantly enhancing the growth attributes and yield. All the treatments showed significant influence on growth and yield of maize. Form the present study it was observed that 100% RDF + 25% Vermicompost + 25% FYM + 25 kg ZnSO\(_4\) gave the best result. Our results indicated that, organic fertilizer can be a better supplement of inorganic fertilizer to produce better growth and yield of maize.
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