Single-cell transcriptional profiling reveals cellular and molecular divergence in human maternal–fetal interface
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Placenta plays essential role in successful pregnancy, as the most important organ connecting and interplaying between mother and fetus. However, the cellular characteristics and molecular interaction of cell populations within the fetomaternal interface is still poorly understood. Here, we surveyed the single-cell transcriptomic landscape of human full-term placenta and revealed the heterogeneity of cytotrophoblast cell (CTB) and stromal cell (STR) with the fetal/maternal origin consecutively localized from fetal section (FS), middle section (Mid_S) to maternal section (Mat_S) of maternal–fetal interface. Then, we highlighted a subpopulation of CTB, named trophoblast progenitor-like cells (TPLCs) existed in the full-term placenta and mainly distributed in Mid_S, with high expression of a pool of putative cell surface markers. Further, we revealed the putative key transcription factor PRDM6 that might promote the differentiation of endovascular extravillous trophoblast cells (enEVT) by inhibiting cell proliferation, and down-regulation of PRDM6 might lead to an abnormal enEVT differentiation process in PE. Together, our study offers important resources for better understanding of human placenta and stem cell-based therapy, and provides new insights on the study of tissue heterogeneity, the clinical prevention and control of PE as well as the maternal–fetal interface.

Human placenta is a complex anatomic structure derived from trophectoderm and extraembryonic mesoderm1. It is responsible for regulating immune system and transporting nutrients and waste between fetus and mother. Various specialized cells derived from fetal and maternal with coordinated mRNA transcriptional regulation during human placentation and maturation contribute to this vital task1,2. Any cellular and molecular abnormality in the maternal–fetal interface may lead to multiple pregnancy outcomes, such as preeclampsia (PE), which are leading causes of maternal and neonatal death3–5. The maternal–fetal interface is generally consecutive from fetal side to maternal side with corresponding fetal or maternal origin cell types distribution1. For instance, some fetal derived trophoblast cells are mainly located in fetal side, also migrated to maternal side for placental anchoring and tissue remodeling. On the other hand, previous study has reported that the fetal side also infiltrates maternal derived cells, including placenta chorionic villus, chorionic plate and chorionic membrane through the intervillous space6. For other cell types, abundantly resided in maternal–fetal interface, e.g., stromal cells (STR) from both fetal and maternal origin, play crucial roles in modulating multicellular interaction by releasing signal molecules. STR culture-expansion in vitro holds great promising in regenerative medicine. Currently, human placenta has been regarded as an ideal tissue source for STR isolation and preservation in biobank7,8. However, the molecular features and functional differences of primary STR with specific origin and spatial location in the maternal–fetal interface still remain unclear.
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Based on current knowledge, the trophoblast cells from placenta include three major functional cell populations: cytotrophoblast (CTB), syncytiotrophoblast (STB) and extravillous trophoblast (EVT). Previous studies have showed the proliferative CTB as the initial cell population for STB and EVT differentiation during early placenta development. Large studies have showed trophoblast progenitor cells (TPCs) exist in early villus CTB, but rapidly decrease after first-trimester stage9–11. Also, several studies have successfully isolated TPCs as cell culture model from the villus tissue of first-trimester placenta12 or from the differentiation of pluripotent stem cells in vitro13,14. However, whether TPCs exist in human full-term placenta is still undefined.

Out of chorionic villus, the EVT populations that originated from CTB, undergo serially differentiation and migration to remodel endometrium and spiral artery in maternal tissue to ensure blood flow circulation. The EVT differentiation from CTB is a complex process, including multiple subpopulations that responsible for specific functional fate. Based on current knowledge, the proliferative CTB form extravillous trophoblast cells column (column EVT) at the tip of villus, then, the column EVT differentiate further into interstitial extravillous trophoblast cells (iEVT) and endovascular extravillous trophoblast cells (enEVT) for invading endometrium and spiral artery respectively. At present, existed several markers are used to distinguish the EVT subpopulations as described above, such as MKI67 for column EVT, ITGA1 for iEVT and enEVT. However, we still know little about transcriptional regulation and pathways involved in EVT differentiation and invasion, especially, the regulation of both iEVT and enEVT under normal condition and pregnancy-related diseases.

Single-cell RNA-sequencing (scRNA-seq) technologies have greatly improved our understanding of heterogeneity in terms of cell fate determination and transcriptional regulation of development15–17. Currently, several studies have performed single-cell transcriptome analysis of human maternal–fetal interface, but most of them focused on the first-trimester pregnancies or integrated analysis of cell lineages without specific origin and spatial location18–20. For instance, Roser Vento-tormo et al. have revealed the cellular heterogeneity of the first-trimester placenta, and developed a repository of ligand–receptor pairs and reductive success20. Moreover, Pavličev et al. inferred the cell–cell interaction by assessing the gene expression of ligand–receptor pairs in the placenta and found that highly cell-type specific expression of a group of G-protein-coupled receptors could be a reliable tool for cell type identification from 87 single-cell transcriptomes. They also suggested that uterine decidual cells represent a cell–cell interaction hub with a large number of potential signal exchange. Growth factors and immune signals dominate among the transmitted signals, which suggest a delicate balance of enhancing and suppressive signals21. Moreover, previous study has dissected the cellular heterogeneity of the human placenta and defined individual cell-type specific gene signatures by analyzing non-marker selected placenta cells from third-trimester placenta and preeclamptic placenta using large-scale microfluidic single-cell transcriptomic technology22. Overall, previous studies have showed accurate cellular atlas for early stage of human placenta development, but that for the full-term placenta is largely lacking. Moreover, both the regulatory mechanism of trophoblast subpopulations differentiation and interactions between cell types within the maternal–fetal interface still remains elusive.

In the present study, we profiled the transcriptomes of single cells that consecutively localized from fetal section (FS), middle section (Mid_S) and maternal section (Mat_S) of human full-term placenta based on previous study1. We dissected cell populations with indication of their fetal or maternal origin base on single-cell SNV analysis. Then, we observed the spatial variation of cellular composition from the FS, Mid_S to Mat_S, and highlighted the molecular and functional diversities of CTB and STR. Moreover, we integrated the first-trimester placental single-cell transcriptome data with our trophoblast cells and reconstructed the differentiation relationships within the trophoblast subtypes, then revealed trophoblast progenitor-like cells (TPLCs) with unique molecular feature mainly distributed in the Mid_S. Additionally, we proposed putative key transcription factor, PRDM6 (PR/SET domain 6) that may play critical role in promoting enEVT differentiation through cell-cycle arrest signals. Finally, compared with the transcriptional profiling of the normal placenta tissues, the PE placenta showed abnormal epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition related ligand–receptor interactions and down-regulation of PRDM6 may lead to dysregulated enEVT differentiation. Collectively, these results not only offer insights into the spatial structure and function of human placenta but also provide an important resource that will pave the way for basic research and regenerative medicine in placental development field.

Results

Dissecting maternal and fetal cell heterogeneity in human full-term fetal-maternal interface. Total 11,438 droplet-based single-cell transcriptomes of human full-term placenta were harvested with consecutive spatial locations, including fetal section (FS), middle section (Mid_S) and maternal section (Mat_S) (Fig. 1a; Supplementary Fig. 1a). Unsupervised graph-based clustering of the dataset was performed to produce 27 clusters after computational quality control (see “Methods” section). Cluster-specific expression pattern of known marker genes was employed to annotate the major cell types including villous cytotrophoblasts (CTB; marked by KRT7, PAGE4, GATA3), extravillous trophoblasts (EVT; HLA-G, PAPP2), syncytiotrophoblasts (STB; CGA, CYP19A1), stromal cells (STR; APOD, DCN), decidual cells (DEC; DKK1, IGFBP1), perivascular cells (PV; MYH11, NDUFA4L2), vascular endothelial cells (VEC; PECAM1, IFIT2), lymphatic endothelial cells (LEC; LYVE1, CC15), and immune cells (IMM; PTPRC, CD74) (Fig. 1b,c,d; Supplementary Fig. 1b,c,d). Moreover, cell subgroups of specific cell types also showed distinct molecular features, for instance, STR cells showed commonly high expression of APOD and DCN, while DKK1 was highly expressed in STR1, DIO3 in STR2, SOD3 in STR3, TAGLN in STR4, VEGFA in STR5, THY1 in STR6 and GDNF in STR7, respectively (Fig. 1c.d). These cells showed significant cellular heterogeneity which was consistent with previous bulk RNA sequencing data and single-cell transcriptomic profiling of biopsies taken from different areas of the placenta interface13,14.

To further distinguish the maternal or fetal origin of single cells within the full-term placenta using previous reported method20. The ratio of Mahalanobis distance of fetal cells, maternal cells and assigned cells of fetal or
maternal origin were calculated accordingly using the difference ratio between a single cell SNV and the corresponding fetal SNV dataset reference (Fig. 1e,f; Supplementary Fig. 1e; see “Methods” section). The results showed that maternal cells including LEC and DEC mainly dominated the Mat_S; CTB, EVT, and STB were derived from fetal origin and mainly distributed in Mid_S; proportionate STR, PV, and VEC originated from both fetal and maternal compartments which mainly occupied Mid_S; IMM mixed with fetal and maternal origin distributed in each section proportionally. The fetal and maternal origin identifies was similar with that in first-trimester placenta in previous study. In addition, a more comprehensive cellular map with fetal and maternal origin and spatial distribution of the full-term fetal-maternal interface was established in our study.

Figure 1. Dissecting cellular heterogeneity of human full-term placenta. (a) Workflow of single-cell transcriptome profiling of human full-term placenta. (b) T-distributed stochastic neighbor embedding (t-SNE) analysis of human full-term placenta (Left). Each dot represents an individual cell. Colors indicate cell type or state. PV, perivascular cell; STR, stromal cell; IMM, immune cell; CTB, villous cytotrophoblast; EVT, extravillous trophoblast; STB, syncytiotrophoblast; VEC, vascular endothelial cell; LEC, lymphatic endothelial cell; DEC, decidual cell. The column chart shows the fraction of indicated cell types (Right). (c) Heatmap showing the top differentially expressed genes of each cell cluster. Color scheme is based on relative gene expression (z-score). (d) t-SNE plot showing the gene expression pattern of selected cell type-specific markers in human placenta. (e) Origin (Left) and location (Right) of each cell is shown using the same layout as in (b). Circles mark cell types with relatively specific origin or spatial localization. (f) Column chart showing the percentage of indicated cell types from fetal or maternal origin in specific spatial location, respectively.
CTB and STR molecular and functional diversity within spatial location and origin. To further dissect the cellular heterogeneity of specific spatial location within placenta interface. Cells from FS, Mid_S and Mat_S were clustered while each cluster was annotated with well-known cell type markers respectively. As expected, multiple CTB subpopulations were identified within each spatial section (Fig. 2a). Among these CTB subpopulations, one subpopulation in the Mid_S showed high expression of cell-cycle related gene MKI67, suggesting that highly proliferative CTB also exist in specific location of full-term placenta (Fig. 2b). Then, GO term enrichment analysis was performed for CTB in FS, Mid_S, and Mat_S, respectively. As expected, these GO terms were generally divided into common and spatial section-specific groups, for the common terms included “placenta development”, “female pregnancy”, “embryo implantation”, and “post-embryonic animal morphogenesis”, which indicated that the fundamental functions of the placenta were revealed by our data analysis. Then, for the terms in spatial section-specific group, for instance, CTB in FS, as the outermost part of placenta and side of umbilical cord insertion, enriched GO terms like “cellular response to gamma radiation”, “regulation of oxidative phosphorylation”, and “cellular response to X-ray”, with high expression of EGR1 and TGFB1, which involve in regulating radiation-induced cell activity have been reported [23–25]. In addition, CTB in Mid_S showed high expression of PRDX2 and SPINT2 with “positive regulation of exosomal secretion”, “extracellular vesicle biogenesis”, “extracellular exosome biogenesis”, and “exosomal secretion” enriched (Fig. 2c). The above terms were expected as Mid_S, the location for metabolites exchange between fetus and mother were in line with previous studies [26,27]. In addition, CTB in Mat_S showed high expression of MAP2K3 and XBP1 while the enriched terms including “positive regulation of inflammatory response”, “endothelial cell migration”, and “regulation of vasculature development” (Fig. 2c,d,e). Based on the above findings, we infer that the human placenta performs executive function through specific trophoblast cell population, and here, our study indicates that CTB populations perform multiple functions via specific spatial microenvironment with specific molecular enrichment expression in the interface. Collectively, we provide a precise study of molecular features of CTB subpopulation with structure and spatial location in the interface, opening a window with higher resolution for deeper understanding of trophoblast subpopulation biological activities and fetal development.

The STR in human placenta has showed heterogeneous populations with specific spatial location and origin using traditional methodology [28]. To further address this item at single-cell resolution, GO enrichment analysis showed that STR in both fetal and maternal origin not only exhibited high biological activity involved in “extra-cellular matrix organization” and “collagen fibril organization”, but also showed key roles in “embryo implantation” and “embryonic organ development” (Fig. 2c). The results potentially indicate STR has crucial role in regulating placenta and embryonic development, which is in line with previous studies [29,30]. Moreover, fetal STR might have advantage in endomembrane related system development, while maternal origin STR showed great value in regulation of immune response related activity in our study (Fig. 2c). For the spatial location analysis of STR with inferred origin, to our surprise, both fetal and maternal STR derived from Mat_S showed higher proliferative activity through regulation of cell cycle G2/M phase transition pathway and telomere maintenance related pathway, respectively, based on the GO enrichment analysis (Fig. 2c; Supplementary Fig. 2a). Moreover, the stemness-related genes including THY1 and VCAM1 (Supplementary Fig. 2b,c,d) were highly expressed in Mat_S STR, as well as cytokines and hormones-related genes like PGF, FGF2, FGF10 etc. that play crucial role in maintaining STR self-renewal and functional actives (Supplementary Fig. 2d). Furthermore, cell surface markers including THY1, CD151, CD99, IL6ST, PDGFRα, etc., involved in promoting STR proliferation, also helped to distinguish fetal and maternal STR in Mat_S. These genes in line with the functional terms were related to positive regulation of cell cycle of fetal STR in Mat_S, such as well-known stemness related gene i.e., THY1, CD151 [28,29], relatively higher expression in fetal STR than that in maternal STR in Mat_S (Supplementary Fig. 2e).

Comparison of STR populations within the same Mat_S of placenta confirmed fetal origin STR likely to be more stemness than maternal origin STR. Here, for the first time we presented the differences of whole genome wide molecular profiling in fetal and maternal STR from the same tissue origin, and the identified gene profiles were employed to further isolate STR with specific origin from Mat_S of full-term placenta tissue in vitro.

Trophoblast development trajectory reveals TPLCs exist in full-term placenta. To investigate the regulation process of trophoblast differentiation and highlight the stemness feature of trophoblasts, our single-cell transcriptome data was integrated with published transcriptome data from first-trimester placenta [20]. The trophoblast populations were sub-clustered into CTB subpopulations, EVT subpopulations, and STB subpopulations (Fig. 3a; Supplementary Fig. 3a,b,c). Then, the differentiation trajectory was constructed using the inferred subgroups. As expected, trophoblast cells formed a continuous “Y-shaped” trajectory, in which CTB was located at the trunk with high expression of proliferation and stemness related genes, for instance TEAD4, KRT8, and the two branch arms were occupied by the differentiation to EVT direction and STB direction (Fig. 3b,c; Supplementary Fig. 3d). Genes related to migration and invasion were highly expressed in the cells on EVT path, such as HLA-G, PLAC8, ASCL2, EB13, PAPPA, and PAPPA2, which was consistent with previous studies [25], while genes related to hormone and cell fusion, such as CGA, ERVFDRD-1, ERVW-1, LGALS16, and CYP19A1 (Fig. 3c,d), expressed in the cells on STB path.

Interestingly, a minor subpopulation cluster11 (C11) derived from both first-and third-trimester placenta at the head of trunk on trophoblast trajectory in the CTB subpopulations as mentioned above showed high expression of proliferation activity-related genes, e.g., MKI67, CCNB1, CDK1 and TOP2A, also stemness related genes, e.g., TEAD4, TFX2, TFAP2C, suggesting the possible existence of maintaining stemness trophoblast cells, here named: trophoblast progenitor-like cells (TPLCs) in human full-term placenta and trophoblast progenitor cells (TPCs) in human first-trimester placenta, respectively (Fig. 3e,f). To further characterize TPLCs of full-term placenta in our study, we extracted the C11 cells derived from third-trimester placenta and identified the differentially expressed genes (DEGs) of each CTB subgroup and compared the gene expressions between C11 and
Figure 2. Reconstruction of spatial heterogeneity of cell type and gene expression pattern in the maternal–fetal interface. (a) T-distributed stochastic neighbor embedding (t-SNE) plots show single-cell transcriptomic clustering of three specific tissue locations (including FS, Mid_S, Mat_S) in full-term maternal–fetal interface, respectively. Each dot represents an individual cell. Cells are colored by cell-type cluster. (b) Boxplot showing the relative expression levels of selected markers for each cell cluster. (c) Selected Gene Ontology (GO) terms identified by highly expressed genes of CTB in FS, Mid_S, and Mat_S, and STR of fetal and maternal origin, respectively. (Highly expressed gene: expressed cell number > 20%; gene coefficient of variability (CV) < 1 and mean greater than 0 in each section). (d) Heatmap showing the selected differentially expressed genes of CTB subpopulations derived from FS, Mid_S, and Mat_S. Red corresponds to a high expression level; blue and black correspond to low expression level (the differentially expressed genes were identified by FindAllMarker function in Seurat, P_val_adj < 0.05; avg_logFC > 0.25). (e) Boxplots showing the expression of selected genes from figure (d).
Figure 3. The trophoblast progenitor like cells (TPLCs) existed in human full-term placenta. (a) T-distributed stochastic neighbor embedding (t-SNE) visualization of trophoblast cells from integrated data of full-term placenta cells and the published first-trimester placenta cells shown in Supplementary Fig. 3a. On the right, the barplot shows the proportion of full-term placenta cells and first-trimester placenta cells in each cluster and each cell type. (b) Monocle 2 (version 2.10.1) for pseudotime ordering of trophoblast subgroups that reveals EVT and STB pathway and visualization in biaxial scatter plot. (c) Expression pattern of selected genes across trophoblast differentiation branches on the reconstructed trajectory. Color scheme is based on log-transformed, normalized expression levels. (d) Heatmap showing the expression levels of selected differentially expressed genes that are identified as significantly involved in EVT and STB differentiation pathway. Color scheme is based on relative gene expression (z-score). (e) Heatmap shows the differentially expressed genes among CTB subpopulations, in which one small cluster (C11, termed as TPLCs) shows highly expressed cell cycle-related genes. (f) Boxplot showing the log-transformed, normalized expression of genes selected from (e). (g) Boxplot showing the expression level of selected cell surface genes between TPLCs and other CTB clusters derived from full-term placenta in CTB branch of (b). Two-sided Wilcoxon rank sum test were calculated; *: P < 0.05; **: P < 0.01; ***: P < 0.0001. (h) Column chart showing the percentage of TPLCs derived from indicated gestation and spatial location of first-trimester and full-term placenta. (i) Boxplot showing the differentially expressed genes of TPLCs derived from first-trimester and full-term placenta. Genes were selected from top 50 differentially expressed genes identified by FindAllMarker function in Seurat, P_val_adj < 0.05; avg_logFC > 0). (j) Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis showing the selected functional terms of TPLCs derived from first-trimester and full-term placenta.
all other CTB subgroups of full-term placenta. The results showed unique expression pattern of cell cycle-related genes in TPLCs (C11) with high expression of cell surface marker HMGR (Fig. 3g). Besides, TPLCs were mainly localized in the mid_S (Fig. 3h). Furthermore, we found highly expressed genes including EGFR, FN1, HSPA1A and CCND1 in TPLCs derived from full-term placentas, while RPL7, RPS26, and PPDP were highly expressed in TPCs from first-trimester placentas (Fig. 3i). The GO enrichment analysis showed that TPCs of first-trimester maintained self-renewal and differentiation potency by two pathways, “intracellular steroid hormone receptor signaling” and “androgen receptor signaling pathway”, which play crucial roles in stem cell division and differentiation during early human embryogenesis32, while “Wnt signaling pathway” and “transforming growth factor beta receptor signaling pathway” were enriched in TPLCs of full term(Fig 3j). Previous reports have indicated that Wnt activation and TGF-β inhibition play essential roles in long-term culture of human villous CTB10. Based on the above results, we propose that some cells of CTB simultaneously express proliferation and stemness markers, might act as the trophoblast stem cells in human full-term placenta. To our knowledge, this is the first insight on TPLCs of full-term placenta, and provide gene markers based on bioinformatics analysis for isolating TPLCs from placenta as well as potential cell models application for disease mechanism research.

**Identifying key transcription factors (TFs) of EVT subpopulation involved in differentiation and invasion.** Based on trophoblast subclustering analysis, totally four subclusters of HLA-G+ EVT were identified, including C1, C2, C8 and C10. C1 was defined as column EVT with high expression of MKI67, TET1, and CDK1; C2 and C8 was defined as iEVT1 with high expression of ITGA1, MCAM, and TAG3 that related to invasion, migration, and stromal cell characteristics and iEVT2 with high expression of epithelial and smooth muscle cell-related markers PAEP, ACTA2, and TAGLN; C10 was more likely enEVT by expressing higher level of ITGB1, CDH1, and CD44 that are related to extracellular structure organization compared to iEVT1(Supplementary Fig. 4a). Furthermore, the GO enrichment analysis of column EVT, iEVT1, iEVT2 and enEVT2 were performed by cluster-specific genes (Supplementary Fig. 4b). As expected, the terms “regulation of body fluid levels” and “cellular response to amino acid stimulus” were enriched in iEVT2, suggesting iEVT2 invading toward gland. Whereas, the enEVT1 were enriched the terms “extracellular structure organization” and “response to hypoxia”, by contrast, the enEVT2 were enriched the terms “positive regulation of blood vessel endothelial cell migration”. All the above results suggest the unique characteristics of molecular and functional state in the four EVT subclusters exist at single-cell transcriptome level in full-term placenta.

Previous studies have showed that transcription factors (TFs) play crucial roles in regulating development and function of trophoblasts34. Understanding the TFs regulation network that guiding differentiation and invasion of EVT subgroups during placenta development is a major challenge. To investigate the regulation dynamics of TFs, we first inferred trajectories of EVT using partition-based approximate graph abstraction (PAGA) analysis based on the four EVT subpopulations mentioned above. The results showed that the column EVT localized at the starting point of trajectory and differentiated towards three directions: column EVT to iEVT1 to enEVT, column EVT to iEVT2, and column EVT to enEVT (Fig. 4a). The TFs/genes dynamically modulated within each direction of differentiation were presented (Fig. 4a). As a crucial role of enEVT in remodeling of the uterine spiral arteries, we focused on the column EVT to iEVT1 to enEVT direction and extracted two pools of TFs/genes with different expression pattern (Fig. 4b); the cell proliferation related genes, including MKI67, CDK1, HDAC1 etc. were greatly down-regulated; while the expression of THBS1, CXCL8 and IL6 etc. was largely activated during enEVT differentiation (Fig. 4c). In line with the dynamics of gene expression, the GO enrichment analysis demonstrated that “positive regulation of cell-cycle arrest” and “epithelial to mesenchymal transition” were enriched during enEVT differentiation (Fig. 4d). Interestingly, PRDM6 (PR/SET domain 6) playing important roles in cell cycle regulation in multiple cell types, for instance, vascular endothelial cells and smooth muscle cells based on previous studies 35,36, was highly expressed in enEVT, and the putative target genes involved in cell growth that were suppressed by PRDM6, including HDAC1, HDAC3 and TET1 (Fig. 4e,f). Consistent with the above observations, in our study, the immunohistochemical staining showed that PRDM6 co-expressed with HLA-G in some cells, while exclusively expressed HDAC1 in specific cells (Fig. 4g). Based on the above findings, we propose that PRDM6 might be a novel regulator in promoting differentiation of enEVT by positive regulation of cell cycle arrest. Collectively, we provide an overview of TFs atlas of EVT subgroups self-renewal, differentiation and invasion, among them, some of TFs involved in cancer cell development regulation, are proposed as putative novel key TFs in promoting EVT subpopulation development. In short, these findings strongly deepen the understanding of the intrinsic regulatory mechanism of EVT subpopulation in vivo, although, more work still needs to be done for further validation.

**The transcriptional profiling reveals dysregulation of EVT subgroup in PE.** Previous studies have showed that abnormal cell type composition and trophoblast differentiation potentially leads to placental dysfunction and pregnancy complications37,38. However, the cellular organization of human full-term placenta during both normal and PE development remains largely unknown. In the present study, we combined single-cell transcriptome data of both normal placenta from this study and pregnancy-matched PE placenta from published data32 (Supplementary Fig. 5a,b,c). As expected, genes associated with pregnancy complication from OMIM (Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man) database were differentially expressed in specific cell types between PE and normal groups (Fig. 5a). For instance, PLCB8, PAPP2, FLTL1, MMP11, TAG3, and NOSS2 were highly expressed in EVT groups; MMP1, EDN1, ANGPT2, ADAMTS13, KL2, NOTCH1, EGR, NOSS3, JAG2, SCNN1B were highly expressed in VEC cell types (Fig. 5ab). Further, we constructed the regulatory network of pregnancy PE associated genes and found that genes such as FLTL1, ITGA1, EDN1, ITGA6, ITGB, etc. were located at core positions (Supplementary Fig. 5d). The above results indicate PE associated genes expression showing pattern
Figure 4. Identification of key transcription factor (TFs) regulators during extravillous trophoblast cell differentiation. (a) Partition-based approximate graph abstraction (PAGA) analysis of EVT subpopulations, including column trophoblast cell (column EVT), interstitial extravillous trophoblast cells 1/2 (iEVT1/2), and endovascular extravillous trophoblast cells (enEVT). Lines show connections; line thickness corresponds to the level of connectivity (low (thin) to high (thick) PAGA connectivity). Heatmap showing min–max normalized expression of statistically significant (P < 0.001), dynamically variable TFs from pseudotime analysis for EVT connections; line thickness corresponds to the level of connectivity (low (thin) to high (thick) PAGA connectivity). (b) The expression pattern of selected DEGs of column EVT, iEVT1 and enEVT, respectively. (c) STRING database for the regulatory network analysis of selected TFs. (d) Model of regulation loops of column EVT differentiation into enEVT. (e) Boxplot visualization of log-transformed, normalized expression of selected TFs in EVT subgroups. (f) Immunostaining of HLA-G, PRDM6 and HDAC1 in Mat_5 of human full-term placenta. Scale bar represents 100 μm.
specificity and cell type diversity, and suggest that PE is a complicated pregnancy-specific syndrome involving in various cell types and pathways.

As we known, the EVT populations play crucial role in remodeling VEC to provide ample blood supply to the growing fetus. To further investigate the regulation and communication between fetal EVT and maternal VEC cells, we presented the interaction network of ligand-receptor complexes, which played important roles in vascular remodeling process in both PE and normal placenta, respectively (Fig. 5b). Surprisingly, the ligand-receptor numbers were significantly decreased in PE, e.g., FLT1-VEGFA, ENG-TEGFβ1, NRPI-PFG, ITGAV-NID1, and NOTCH2-JAG1, mainly belong to terms like “epithelial to mesenchymal transition”. These results strongly suggest that the dysfunction of EVT development and molecules involved in blood vessel remodeling is down-regulated in EVT or VEC of PE placenta.

To systematically dissect the development and cell interaction of EVT in PE. First, the GO analysis for the genes down-regulated in EVT of PE compared to normal sample showed terms i.e., “blood vessel remodeling”, “positive regulation of epithelial to mesenchymal transition”, and “positive regulation of cell cycle arrest” while “neutrophil activation involved in immune response”, “positive regulation of apoptotic signaling pathway” and “positive regulation of T cell mediated cytotoxicity” for the terms associated with up-regulated genes in EVT of PE (Fig. 5c). Then, the EVT subgroups of PE generally belonged to iEVT1 and iEVT2 subgroups of normal placenta based on the transcriptome mapping analysis using the gene expression matrix of each EVT subgroup (Fig. 5d, Supplementary Fig. 5a). The expression level of enEVT differentiation and invasion-related genes and ligand-receptors, such as ASCL2, DIO2, ITGA1, ITGA5, TGA5, ITGB1, PRDM6 and CD44 were significantly decreased in EVT subgroups of PE (Fig. 5e; Supplementary Fig. 5e,f.). In addition, PRDM6, as a novel marker gene, was highly expressed in enEVT subgroup in normal condition but significantly reduced in PE (Fig. 5e,f.). Together with previous report that deficient PRDM6 associated with vascular system disease36, here, we propose that the functional dysregulation of PRDM6, together with other genes, may promote differentiation and invasion, may result in placental disorder. In short, the above results suggest that abnormal composition of EVT subgroup and defect in invasion or differentiation could be the underlying causes of PE.

Discussion
Anatomically, the human placenta is a complex and heterogeneous organ consisting of multiple different cell types that carry out varied functions. In the present study, we firstly generated a comprehensive single-cell transcriptome profiling of the human full-term placenta. Using unsupervised clustering, we identified the trophoblast cell subtypes and non-trophoblast cell types with indication of their fetal or maternal origin and spatial location. In line with previous studies, Mat_S contained mostly maternal cells, e.g., LEC and DEC; while fetal cells such as CTB, EVT, and STB dominated the Mid_S and FS39. In addition, IMM from both fetal and maternal origin distributed in each section also observed in our study, which was consistent with previous study40. Interestingly, proportionate STR, PV, and VEC originated from both fetal and maternal compartments mainly occupied Mid_S. Currently, the interaction between fetal-origin and maternal-origin cells during human placentation and functional maturation is poorly understood. Previous studies have showed CTB and STR as the core cell populations present dynamic molecular feature during placental mature process. In our work, we observed that CTB displayed spatial variation by both molecular expression pattern and function terms from the fetal side to the maternal side in the fetomaternal interface, which strongly suggest that microenvironment of different location of placenta contributes to CTB subpopulations cell states or behaviors, and this phenomenon also can be observed in other tissue and organ41.

Moreover, trajectory analysis revealed that a subpopulation of TPLCs existed in the full-term placenta and mainly distributed in Mid_S, with high expression of cell surface marker HMMR and unique molecular features compared to the TPCs derived from first-trimester placenta, which is worth of further investigation. Although, previous study has showed human trophoblast progenitor cells probably exist in the full-term placenta and express angiogenic factors42. However, researchers have not yet successfully isolated trophoblast stem/ progenitor cell from full-term placenta that may be due to unsuitable culture medium in vitro43. In the present study, the described TPLCs mainly based on transcriptome analysis level, and the isolation of TPLCs from human full-term placentas using the inferred cell surface marker through conventional flow cytometry is still lacking. Moreover, in vivo and in vitro studies are needed to determine the differentiation potential of the TPLCs.

Previous studies have showed that STR from fetal- and maternal- origin of placenta possess great differences in biological behaviors, which potential implications for their applications in regenerative medicine44. However, insights on the molecular heterogeneity of STR populations within the maternal–fetal interface is still missing. Through DEGs and GO enrichment analysis, we found cells from fetal origins showed greater proliferation ability in Mat_S compared to cells from FS or Mid_S while maintaining the molecular characteristics of the stromal cells, which might be a good resource for mesenchymal stem/stromal cell expansion-based cell therapy. Our observations are consistent with previous study that STR cells are heterogeneous population caused by growth niche or cell fate decision mechanism.

Normal placental function is dependent on appropriate growth and development of specific cell subsets, which are heterogeneous, dynamic, and are determined by the precise regulation of gene expression. Additionally, through the EVT subsets differentiation trajectory and regulation network analysis, we highlighted the putative key transcription factor PRDM6 may promote the differentiation of enEVT. Previous studies have showed PRDM6 plays important roles in cell cycle regulation and inhibits vascular endothelial cells proliferation by targeting HDAC1 gene35. Mutations of PRDM6 are associated with many syndromes due to the abnormal regulation of cell proliferation and apoptosis, such as nonsyndromic patent ductus arteriosus46. Combined with the immunohistochemical staining analysis, we propose that PRDM6 might be a novel regulator in promoting differentiation of enEVT by positive regulation of cell cycle arrest.
Consequently, alterations to placental gene expression are thought to be a major cause of adverse pregnancy outcomes. Combined with previously published single-cell transcriptome data of PE, we also highlighted the abnormal EVT subgroup components (enEVT absence) in PE placenta and suggest that the defect of epithelial to mesenchymal transition related ligands and receptors could be the underlying causes of PE. Moreover, we inferred down-regulation of PRDM6 may lead to an abnormal enEVT differentiation process and highly related to PE. However, the reason why column EVT and enEVT are defective in maternal–fetal interface of PE still needs to explore. It is worth of noting that adopting the trophoblast differentiation of TSCs/TPLCs model in vitro or transgenic mice model in vivo combined with single-cell omics technology at RNA, protein and metabolic level would provide more clues in future works.

Previous studies have showed large number of cancer cell features can be recapitulated by development of the placenta. Among the properties shared by trophoblast cells and cancer cells are able to invade healthy tissues, to remodel vessels and to form a niche to regulate immunoreaction. In line with previous study, a large number of cancer cell related TFs, e.g., SMARCC1, GTF3A, MYBL2, SUB1, and NCOA1 etc., contributing to maintaining cancer cell proliferation; whereas TFs like CREB3L2, CEBPB, RUNX1 etc., playing important roles in EVT differentiation, were enriched in specific EVT subpopulations in the present study. In contrast to cancer-invading cells, EVT cells are eliminated at the end of pregnancy in the maternal tissue. Many of the mechanisms leading to the phenotype of cancer cell are still poorly understood. The study of EVT cells might be useful to understand how cancer cells develop their invasive potential in future study.

In conclusion, we provided a comprehensive understanding of the molecular and cellular map of the maternal–fetal interface of full-term placenta through single-cell transcriptome profiling. We found TPLCs existed in full-term placenta with inferred pools of cell surface markers, which is worth of further investigation. Moreover, we compared the transcriptomic difference among stromal cells derived from placenta (including maternal-origins, fetal-origins, different spatial locations), and found that stromal cells from fetal origins in Mat_S showed greater proliferation ability while maintaining the molecular characteristics of the stromal cells, which might be a good resource for mesenchymal stem/stromal cell expansion-based cell therapy. Furthermore, combined with previously published single-cell transcriptome data of PE, we inferred down-regulation of PRDM6 may lead to an abnormal enEVT differentiation process and highly related to PE. Together, this study offers important resources for better understanding of human placenta, stem cells based regenerative medicine as well as PE, and provides new insights on the study of tissue heterogeneity, the clinical prevention and control of PE as well as the fetal-maternal interface.

Methods

Ethics statement. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board on Bioethics and Biosafety of BGI (Permit No. BGI-IRB 19145) and the Shenzhen Second People’s Hospital (Permit No. KS20191031002). All methods performed in this study were in compliance with relevant guidelines/regulations. The participants signed informed consents and voluntarily donated the samples in this study. Immediately after delivery (between 38 and 40 weeks of gestation), the intact human placenta tissue samples were collected for further use.

Collection of human placenta samples. All human full-term placenta tissues were obtained from normal pregnancies after delivery, and samples were transported from hospital to BGI-Shenzhen in an ice box within eight hours. The three parts of whole placenta, including FS, Mid_S, and Mat_S were mechanically separated. Each section then underwent serial collagenase IV(Sigma) and trypsin (Invitrogen) digests, respectively, as previously described with some modifications. Next, single cell suspensions were centrifuged and resuspended in 5 mL of red blood cell lysis buffer (Invitrogen) for 5 min, then the cell suspensions were filtered through a 100 µm cell filter (Corning) and washed twice with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (Sigma). After single cell
Single-cell RNA library preparation and sequencing. Single cells resuspended in PBS with 0.04% bovine serum albumin (BSA) (Sigma) were processed through the Chromium Single Cell 3’ Reagent Kit (10X Genomics) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Briefly, a total of 10,000 cells per sample were mixed with RT-PCR reagents, and loaded onto each channel with Gel Beads. An average of about 6,000 cells could be recovered for each channel. Cells were then partitioned into Gel Beads in Emulsion in the GemCode instrument, where cell lysis and barcoded reverse transcription of RNA occurred. cDNA molecules were then pooled for amplification and the following library construction, including shearing, adaptor ligation, and sample index attachment. Libraries were sequenced on MGI-seq platform.

Single-cell transcriptome data preprocessing. Droplet-based single-cell sequencing data were aligned to human genome GRCh38, and barcode and UMI were counted using CellRanger software (Version 2.0.0, 10 x Genomics)51. Genes that were expressed in less than 0.1% of total cells were removed. Cells with detected gene number of less than 800 or expressed mitochondrial genes of more than 10% were filtered. Moreover, for each library, outliers were detected based on gene number using R function boxplot.stats, and were considered as potential doublets to be removed for downstream analysis.

Inferring maternal or fetal origin of single cells. We obtained the transcriptome data of three fetal umbilical cord tissues52 and the whole genome sequencing data of one maternal peripheral blood sample from sample individual c. To get the fetal and maternal-specific SNP arrays, the high-quality sequencing reads were aligned to the human genome GRCh38 using BWA-MEM (Version1.0). Sorting, duplicate marking and single nucleotide variants (SNVs) calling were processed using GATK (Version3.8)53. The filter parameters were as follows: QD > 2.0 || MQ < 40.0 || FS > 200.0 || SOR > 10.0 || MQRankSum < -12.5 || ReadPosRankSum < 8.0. Variants were identified from each cell using the Genome Analysis Toolkit. Briefly, duplicated reads were marked with Picard (Version2.9.2). The next recommended SplitNCigarReads was also performed by GATK (Version4.0.5.1). Then BaseRecalibrator and ApplyBQSR algorithms were used to detect systematic errors. At the variant calling step, the HaplotypeCaller algorithm was used to call variants and SelectVariants algorithm was used to select SNP sites. Besides, VariantFiltration algorithm was used to filter the SNP sites with the follow algorithms: -filter-expression “DP < 6 || QD < 2.0 || MQ < 40.0 || FS > 60.0 || SOR > 3.0 || MQRankSum < -12.5 || ReadPosRankSum < -8.0” –filter-name “Filter” –window 35 –cluster 3.

Single‑cell RNA library preparation and sequencing. Single cells resuspended in PBS with 0.04% bovine serum albumin (BSA) (Sigma) were processed through the Chromium Single Cell 3’ Reagent Kit (10X Genomics) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Briefly, a total of 10,000 cells per sample were mixed with RT-PCR reagents, and loaded onto each channel with Gel Beads. An average of about 6,000 cells could be recovered for each channel. Cells were then partitioned into Gel Beads in Emulsion in the GemCode instrument, where cell lysis and barcoded reverse transcription of RNA occurred. cDNA molecules were then pooled for amplification and the following library construction, including shearing, adaptor ligation, and sample index attachment. Libraries were sequenced on MGI-seq platform.

Cell clustering and identification of differentially expressed genes. The standard Seurat (Version 3.1.0)54 integration workflow was used to integrate multiple datasets from each sample to correct batch effects according to sample identities. The main function were used for correcting sample batch effects include “FindIntegrationAnchors” function with the parameter “dims = 1:40” and “IntegrateData” function with the parameter “dims = 1:40” within Seurat. Cell clusters were identified by a shared nearest neighbor (SNN) modularity optimization-based clustering algorithm used in “FindClusters” function in Seurat. Differentially expressed genes were found based on Wilcoxon Rank Sum test using default parameters in “FindAllMarkers” function. The significantly differentially expressed genes were selected with adjusted P value < 0.05 and fold change > 0.25. And the heatmap in Fig. 1c were drawn using “DoHeatmap” function, top 150 significantly differentially expressed genes in each cluster were used. The vlnplot for differentially expressed genes presenting by function “VlnPlot” in Seurat, and the boxplot by ggplot2 (Version 3.3.2) (https://ggplot2.tidyverse.org).
**Constructing trajectory.** Constructing trajectory and ordering single cells were performed with monocle 2 (Version 2.10.1) using the default parameters\(^5^6\). The top 2000 highly variable genes found by Seurat were used. The relationship between each EVT subgroup was inferred by partition-based approximate graph abstraction (PAGA) (Paga in scanpy Python package version 1.2.2)\(^5^7\). The heatmap in Figs. 3d and 4a were drawn using “plot_pseudotime_heatmap” function in monocle 2 (Version 2.10.1).

**GO enrichment analysis.** GO enrichment analysis was performed using clusterProfiler R package\(^5^8\). The P value was adjusted by BH (Benjamini-Hochberg). The differentially expressed genes or highly expressed gene (highly expressed gene: expressed cell number >20%, gene coefficient of variability (CV)<1) and mean greater than 0 as input for GO enrichment analysis. And the GO terms were presented by bubble plot and bar plot using ggplot2 (Version 3.3.2) (https://ggplot2.tidyverse.org). GO terms with an adjusted P-value less than 0.05 were considered as significantly enriched.

**Regulatory network construction.** Significantly differentially expressed TFs (adjust P value<0.05) between each population were selected and submitted to the STRING database (https://cn.string-db.org/) to construct the potential regulatory networks\(^5^9\). TFs without any edge were removed from the network.

**Cell–cell communication analysis.** The ligand–receptor pairs was performed using CellPhoneDB (https://www.cellphonedb.org/) from previous studies\(^2^0\),\(^6^0\). A ligand or receptor transcript was selected for a given cell type if it was expressed in more than 40% cells in that cell type. The gene pairs possibly interact on the same cell type were not presented. The interactions were visualized by R package Circlize (http://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/circlize/)\(^6^1\).

**Integrative analysis of published placenta single cell transcriptome data.** The previously reports single cell transcriptome data for first-trimester placentas\(^2^0\) and the preeclamptic placentas\(^2^2\), were integrated with our data for different analyses. The Seurat (Version 3.1.0) method as mentioned in above were used to remove the batch effects when integrating the first-trimester placenta villus tissue and human full-term placenta with our data for different analyses. The Seurat (Version 3.1.0) method as mentioned in above were used to construct the potential regulatory networks\(^5^9\). TFs without any edge were removed from the network.

**Immunohistochemistry.** Histologic sections of the normal human full-term placenta were rinsed with xylene two–three times and rehydrated before labeling. Samples were labeled for 1 h with the primary antibody against HLA-G (1:200, Abcam), HDAC1 (1:100, Abcam) and PRDM6 (1:200, Abcam) and for 30 min with the secondary antibody goat anti-mouse (1:500, Abcam) or goat anti-rabbit (1:500, Abcam) as appropriate. Finally, samples were counterstained with hematoxylin to reveal cell nuclei for 1 min. Images were taken by the Olympus IX71 microscope and analysed using the Image J software (https://imagej.en.softonic.com/).

**Data availability**

All of the raw data have been deposited into CNSA (CNGB Nucleotide Sequence Archive) of CNGBdb with accession number CNP0000878 (https://db.cnbg.org/cnsa/).
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