Observed galaxy number counts on the lightcone up to second order: I. Main result
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Abstract. We present the galaxy number overdensity up to second order in redshift space on cosmological scales for a concordance model. The result contains all general relativistic effects up to second order that arise from observing on the past light cone, including all redshift effects, lensing distortions from convergence and shear, and contributions from velocities, Sachs-Wolfe, integrated SW and time-delay terms. This result will be important for accurate calculation of the bias on estimates of non-Gaussianity and on precision parameter estimates, introduced by nonlinear projection effects.

Keywords: gravity, cosmic flows, cosmic web, cosmological perturbation theory

ArXiv ePrint: 1405.4403
1 Introduction

The galaxy fractional number overdensity $\delta_g = \delta n_g/n_g$ at first order of perturbations is usually related to the matter fractional overdensity $\delta_m$ as \[ \delta_g = b\delta_m - \frac{1}{H}(n^i\partial_i)v^2 - 2\kappa, \] (1.1)

where $b = b(z)$ is the galaxy bias, $\partial_i v$ is the galaxy peculiar velocity in the Kaiser redshift-space distortion term and $\kappa$ is the weak gravitational lensing integral. The Kaiser and lensing terms can be thought of as relativistic corrections to $\delta_g$ that are necessary on sub-Hubble scales ($\kappa$ is only significant at higher redshift). There are further relativistic effects that can be important on scales near and beyond the Hubble scale. On these scales, $\delta_g$ is gauge dependent, which means that we have to construct the unique physical number overdensity that is observed on the lightcone, $\Delta_g$. This physical quantity is automatically gauge-invariant and can be computed in any chosen gauge. In Newtonian gauge this gives \[ \Delta_g = b\delta_{mS} - \frac{1}{H}(n^i\partial_i)v^2 - 2\kappa \]

\[ + (3 - b_c)Hv + \left[ b_e - \frac{H'}{H^2} - \frac{2}{\dot{\chi}H} \right] \left[ n^i\partial_i v - \Phi - 2\int_0^\chi d\tilde{\chi} \Phi' \right] - \Phi + \frac{\Phi'}{H} + \frac{4}{\tilde{\chi}} \int_0^\chi d\tilde{\chi} \Phi', \] (1.2)

where $\delta_{mS}$ is in synchronous-comoving gauge (to give the correct definition of bias on large scales), $b_e = 3 + d\ln n_g/d\ln a$ is the evolution bias, $\tilde{\chi}$ is the comoving distance to the source and $\Phi$ is the metric perturbation, where the relativistic Poisson equation is $\nabla^2 \Phi = (3/2)H^2\Omega_m\delta_{mS}$. We have omitted terms evaluated at the observer. These terms are shown below in (2.6). (We have also neglected magnification bias, leaving this for future work [8].)

Here we give the second-order extension of (1.2) on cosmological scales, including all general relativistic effects. The detailed derivation, which is in a general gauge and also includes general dark energy and modified gravity models, is given in an accompanying paper [9]. The second-order extension is relevant for an accurate calculation of the contamination of primordial non-Gaussianity on large scales by second-order projection effects [10].
2 Second-order number counts on the lightcone

We assume a concordance background and at first order we neglect anisotropic stress, vector and tensor perturbations. In Poisson gauge, the metric and peculiar velocity are

\[
ds^2 = a(\eta)^2 \left\{- \left(1 + 2\Phi + \Phi^{(2)}\right) d\eta^2 + 2\omega_i^{(2)} d\eta dx^i + \left[\delta_{ij} \left(1 - 2\Phi - \Psi^{(2)}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \hat{h}_{ij}^{(2)}\right] dx^i dx^j\right\},
\]

\[
v^i = \partial^i v + \frac{1}{2} v^{i(2)}, \quad v^{i(2)} = \partial^i v^{(2)} + \hat{v}^{i(2)},
\]

where we omit the superscript (1) on familiar quantities such as \(\Phi\) and \(\partial^i v\). At second order, the first-order scalars generate vector perturbations \(\omega_i^{(2)}, \hat{v}^{i(2)}\) and a tensor perturbation \(\hat{h}_{ij}^{(2)}\).

We use only the observed redshift \(z\) in our analysis. In particular, all background quantities are evaluated at the observed, not background, redshift. Thus we do not need to identify the perturbations of redshift (these are derived up to second-order by [11, 12]). We set up a map between redshift space and real space (see figure 1), generalizing the cosmic rulers approach of [6, 14] from first to second order. The observed galaxy has conformal coordinates \(\vec{x}^\mu = (\eta, \vec{x}) = (\eta_0 - \vec{\chi}, \vec{\chi} n^i)\) in redshift space. The real space coordinates are \(x^\mu(\chi) = \vec{x}^\mu(\chi) + \Delta x^\mu(1)(\chi) + \Delta x^\mu(2)(\chi)/2\). The spatial and temporal deviations encode information about volume and lensing distortions. Further details are given in [9]. For example, if we define

\[
\kappa^{(n)} = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\perp i} \Delta x_{\perp i}^{(n)},
\]

then at first order \((n = 1)\) we recover the lensing convergence integral \(\kappa\):

\[
\kappa^{(1)} = \kappa - v_{\parallel o} = \int_0^\kappa d\chi (\vec{\chi} - \bar{\chi}) \frac{\vec{\chi}}{\bar{\chi}} \nabla_\perp^2 \Phi - v_{\parallel o},
\]
with a Doppler correction at the observer. Here \( \perp \) denotes projection into the screen space (with projector \( \mathcal{P}^{ij} = \delta^{ij} - n^i n^j \)), \( \parallel \) indicates projection along the unit line of sight vector \( n^i \), and we define the derivatives

\[
\partial_{\parallel} = n^j \partial_j, \quad \partial_{\perp} = \mathcal{P}^{ij} \partial_j = \partial^i - n^i \partial_{\parallel}, \quad \nabla^2_\perp = \partial_{\perp} \partial_{\perp} = \nabla^2 - \partial_{\parallel}^2 - 2 \chi^{-1} \partial_{\parallel}.
\]  

(2.5)

At first order, we find the observed fractional number overdensity as

\[
\Delta_g = \delta_g + \left( b_c - \frac{\mathcal{H}'}{\mathcal{H}^2} - \frac{2}{\mathcal{H} \chi} \right) \Delta \ln a^{(1)} - \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}} \partial_{\parallel}^2 v + \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}} \Phi' - \frac{2}{\chi} T^{(1)} - 2 \kappa^{(1)},
\]

\[
\Delta \ln a^{(1)} = \frac{\alpha}{a} - 1 = \Phi_o - \Phi_{||o} - \Phi + \partial_{\parallel} v + 2 I^{(1)},
\]

which is in agreement with (1.2) since

\[
T^{(1)} = -2 \int_0^\chi d\chi \Phi, \quad I^{(1)} = -\int_0^\chi d\chi \Phi'.
\]

(2.8)

\( T^{(1)} \) is a radial displacement corresponding to the usual (Shapiro) time delay [5], and \( I^{(1)} \) is the integrated Sachs-Wolfe (ISW) term. We also use

\[
S^{(1)} = -\int_0^\chi d\chi \left( \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial v^2} - \frac{1}{\chi} n^i \partial_i \Phi \right).
\]

(2.9)

At second order we obtain [9]

\[
\Delta g^{(2)} = \delta_g^{(2)} + \Phi^{(2)} - 2 \Phi^{(2)} - \frac{1}{2} \Phi^{(2)} + \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}} \Phi^{(2)} + \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}^2} \Phi^{(2)}' - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathcal{H}'}{\mathcal{H}^2} \Phi^{(2)}' - \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}^2} \partial_{\parallel}^2 \Phi^{(2)} - \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}^2} \partial_{\parallel} v^{(2)}
\]

\[
+ \left( b_c - \frac{\mathcal{H}'}{\mathcal{H}^2} - \frac{2}{\mathcal{H} \chi} \right) \Delta \ln a^{(2)} - \frac{2}{\mathcal{H}} T^{(2)} - 2 \kappa^{(2)} + (\Delta g)^2 - (\delta_g)^2 - 4 \Phi^2 + \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}^2} \left( \partial_{\parallel}^2 v \right)^2
\]

\[
+ \left( \partial_{\parallel} v \right)^2 + \frac{4}{\mathcal{H} \chi} \left( \partial_{\parallel} v \right)^2 + \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}^2} \left( \Phi' \right)^2 + \frac{2}{\mathcal{H}^2} \partial_{\parallel} v \Phi' + \frac{2}{\mathcal{H}^2} \Phi \Phi' + \frac{4}{\mathcal{H}^2} \partial_{\parallel} v \Phi' - \frac{4}{\mathcal{H}^2} \partial_{\parallel} v \Phi' + \frac{2}{\mathcal{H}^2} \partial_{\parallel} v \Phi'
\]

\[
- \frac{2}{\mathcal{H}^2} \partial_{\parallel} v \Phi' + \frac{4}{\mathcal{H}^2} \partial_{\parallel} v \Phi' + \frac{2}{\mathcal{H}^2} \partial_{\parallel} v \Phi' - \frac{2}{\mathcal{H}^2} \partial_{\parallel} v \Phi' - \frac{2}{\mathcal{H}^2} \partial_{\parallel} v \Phi'
\]

\[
+ \frac{2}{\mathcal{H}^2} \partial_{\parallel} v \partial_{\parallel} \Phi' - \frac{2}{\mathcal{H}^2} \partial_{\parallel} v \partial_{\parallel} \Phi' + \frac{2}{\mathcal{H}^2} \partial_{\parallel} v \partial_{\parallel} \Phi' - \frac{2}{\mathcal{H}^2} \partial_{\parallel} v \Phi'
\]

\[
\left[ -\frac{8}{\mathcal{H} \Phi} - \frac{4}{\mathcal{H}^2} T^{(1)} - \frac{4}{\mathcal{H}^2} \kappa^{(1)} \right] \Delta \ln a^{(1)}
\]

\[
+ \left[ \frac{2}{\mathcal{H}^3} \left( \frac{\mathcal{H}'}{\mathcal{H}^2} + \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}^2} \right) - \frac{\mathcal{H}''}{\mathcal{H}^4} + 2 \left( \frac{\mathcal{H}'}{\mathcal{H}^2} \right)^2 + \frac{\mathcal{H}'}{\mathcal{H}^2} - b_c + \frac{d \ln b_c}{d \ln a} - \frac{2}{\mathcal{H}^2} \right] \left[ \Delta \ln a^{(1)} \right]^2
\]

\[
+ \left[ \frac{2}{\mathcal{H}^2} \partial_{\parallel} v + \frac{2}{\mathcal{H}^2} \partial_{\parallel} \Phi' + 4 \Phi' - \frac{8}{\mathcal{H}} \Phi - 2 \partial_{\parallel} \Phi - 2 \partial_{\parallel} \Phi - \frac{4}{\mathcal{H}^2} \kappa^{(1)} - \frac{2}{\mathcal{H}^2} T^{(1)} \right] T^{(1)}
\]

\[
\left[ -2 \chi \left( \frac{d}{d \chi} \frac{\Phi + \frac{1}{\mathcal{H} \mathcal{H}^2} \partial_{\parallel} \Phi}{d \chi} \right) + \frac{\mathcal{H}'}{\mathcal{H}^2} \partial_{\parallel} v - \frac{\mathcal{H}'}{\mathcal{H}^2} \Phi' + \frac{1}{\mathcal{H} \Phi} + \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}^2} \partial_{\parallel} v + \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}^2} \partial_{\parallel} v \Phi + \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}^2} \partial_{\parallel} v \Phi'
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}^2} \partial_{\parallel} v \Phi + \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}^2} \partial_{\parallel} v \Phi + \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}^2} \partial_{\parallel} v \Phi + \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}^2} \partial_{\parallel} v \Phi' \right] T^{(1)} + 48 \int_0^\chi d\chi \left( \Phi \Phi' \right)
\]
At second order the lensing convergence term (2.3) is

\[
-32 \int_0^\chi \frac{d\chi}{\chi} \left( \frac{\chi}{\chi} \Phi' \right) - 8 \left( \frac{\chi}{\chi} \frac{d}{d\chi} \Phi + \frac{\bar{\chi}}{\chi} \frac{d}{d\bar{\chi}} \partial_\parallel \Phi + 2 \Phi \right) \int_0^\chi \frac{d\bar{\chi}}{\bar{\chi}} \left( \frac{\bar{\chi}}{\bar{\chi}} \Phi' \right) \\
+ \frac{8}{\chi} \int_0^\chi \frac{d\chi}{\chi} \left[ - \Phi^2 + 4 \Phi I(1) - 2 S_1^{(1)} S_1^{(1)} \partial_\parallel \delta_{ij} \right] + 8 \left( \Phi - I(1) \right) \int_0^\chi \frac{d\bar{\chi}}{\bar{\chi}} \left( \frac{\bar{\chi}}{\bar{\chi}} \left( 2 \partial_\parallel \Phi \right) \right) \\
+ (\bar{\chi} - \chi) P^{m n} \partial_\parallel \partial_\parallel \delta_{n} \Phi) - 4 \left[ \int_0^\chi \frac{d\bar{\chi}}{\bar{\chi}} \left( \frac{\bar{\chi}}{\bar{\chi}} \Phi' + (\bar{\chi} - \chi) P_{j}^{m} P^{m j} \partial_\parallel \partial_\parallel \delta_{n} \Phi \right) \right] \\
\times \left[ \int_0^\chi \frac{d\bar{\chi}}{\bar{\chi}} \left( \frac{\bar{\chi}}{\bar{\chi}} \Phi' + (\bar{\chi} - \chi) P_{j}^{m} P^{m j} \partial_\parallel \partial_\parallel \delta_{n} \Phi \right) \right] - 2 \left[ + \bar{\chi} \partial_{\parallel i} (-3 \Phi + \delta_{g}) \right]
\]

\[
- \frac{\bar{\chi}}{\bar{\chi}} \partial_{\parallel i} \left( - \Phi' + \partial_{\parallel} \Phi + 2 \partial_\parallel \Phi \right) + \frac{2}{\bar{\chi}} \left( \partial_{\parallel} \Phi + \partial_{\parallel} \partial_\parallel \Phi - \frac{2}{\bar{\chi}} \partial_{\parallel} \partial_\parallel \Phi \right)
\]

\[
+ 4 \left[ - 3 \bar{\chi} \partial_{\parallel i} \Phi + \bar{\chi} \partial_{\parallel i} \delta_{g} + \frac{1}{\bar{\chi}} \partial_{\parallel i} \Delta \ln a^{(1)} \right] + \frac{\bar{\chi}}{\bar{\chi}} \partial_{\parallel i} \Phi' - \frac{\bar{\chi}}{\bar{\chi}} \partial_{\parallel i} \partial_{\parallel} \Phi - \frac{2}{\bar{\chi}} \partial_{\parallel} \partial_{\parallel} \Phi
\]

\[
+ \frac{2}{\bar{\chi}} \partial_{\parallel i} \Phi \left[ S^{(1)}_{\perp} - v_{\parallel i} v_{\parallel o} - 2 v_{\parallel o} - 2 \Phi^2 + 20 \Phi \right] + 2 \left[ \Phi - I(1) \right] - \int_0^\chi \frac{d\bar{\chi}}{\bar{\chi}} \left( \frac{\bar{\chi}}{\bar{\chi}} \left( 2 \partial_\parallel \Phi + (\bar{\chi} - \chi) P^{m n} \partial_\parallel \partial_\parallel \delta_{n} \Phi \right) \right] \}
\]

\[
\left[- 2 v_{\parallel o} \left( -3 \Phi + \partial_\parallel \Phi \right) + \frac{1}{\bar{\chi}} \partial_{\parallel i} \Delta \ln a^{(1)} + 4 \partial_{\parallel i} T^{(1)} \right] + \frac{2}{\bar{\chi}} \partial_{\parallel i} \Phi
\]

\[
- \frac{\bar{\chi}}{\bar{\chi}} \partial_{\parallel i} \left( - \Phi' + \partial_{\parallel} \Phi + 2 \partial_\parallel \Phi \right) - 8 S^{(1)}_{\perp},
\]

(2.10)

where

\[
\Delta \ln a^{(2)} = - \Phi^{(2)} + \partial_{\parallel} v^{(2)} + \bar{v}^{(2)} + 3 \Phi^2 - \partial_{\parallel} v^2 + \partial_{\parallel i} v \partial_{\parallel j} v - 2 \partial_{\parallel i} v \Phi
\]

\[
- \frac{2}{\bar{\chi}} \left( \Phi - \partial_{\parallel} v \right) \left( \Phi' + \partial_{\parallel} \Phi^2 \right) - 4 \left[ 3 \Phi + \frac{1}{\bar{\chi}} \partial_{\parallel} ^2 v - \frac{1}{\bar{\chi}} \Phi' - 2 \bar{\chi} \partial_{\parallel} \Phi \right] I^{(1)}
\]

\[
+ 2 \partial_{\parallel} \left( \Phi - \partial_{\parallel} v \right) T^{(1)} + \frac{8}{\bar{\chi}} \left( \Phi - \partial_{\parallel} v \right) S^{(1)}_{\parallel} \Phi
\]

\[
- 2 \left[ \bar{\chi} \partial_{\parallel i} \left( \Phi + \partial_{\parallel} v \right) - \partial_{\parallel i} v \right] \partial_{\parallel i} T^{(1)} + 2 I^{(2)} + 2 \left( I^{(1)} \right)^2 + 4 \delta_{i j} S^{(1)}_{\parallel} S^{(1)}_{\parallel}
\]

\[
- 8 \int_0^\chi d\bar{\chi} \left( \Phi \Phi' + \Phi^{(2)} - v^{(2)}_{\parallel o} + 3 \Phi^2 - 4 \Phi \delta_{i o} v_{\parallel o} + v_{k o} v^{(k)}_{\parallel o}
\]

\[
+ 2 \left( \Phi o - v_{\parallel o} \right) \right) \left[ - 3 \Phi - \frac{1}{\bar{\chi}} \partial_{\parallel} ^2 v + \frac{1}{\bar{\chi}} \Phi' + 2 \bar{\chi} \partial_{\parallel} \Phi + 4 I^{(1)} \right]
\]

\[
- 2 v_{\parallel o} \left[ \bar{\chi} \partial_{\parallel i} \left( \Phi + \partial_{\parallel} v \right) + 2 S^{(1)}_{\parallel} \right],
\]

(2.11)

At second order the lensing convergence term (2.3) is

\[
\kappa^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\chi d\bar{\chi} \left( \frac{\bar{\chi}}{\bar{\chi}} \right) \bar{\chi} \frac{\bar{\chi}}{\bar{\chi}} \bar{\chi} \Phi \Phi' + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\chi d\bar{\chi} \left( - 2 \partial_{\parallel} \omega^{(2)} \right)
\]

\[
- 4
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
+ \frac{4}{\chi} \omega^{(2)} \nu + \mathcal{P}^{ij} \nabla^2 \hat{h}_{ik}^{(2)} - \frac{3}{\chi} \hat{h}^{(2)} - 2 \left( \frac{2}{\chi} I^{(1)} + 2 \int_{0}^{\chi} d\chi \Phi' + T^{(1)} + \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}} \Delta \ln a^{(1)} \right) \\
\times \int_{0}^{\chi} d\chi \left( \frac{\hat{\chi}}{\chi} \nabla^2 \Phi - 2S_{\perp}^{(1)} \left[ - \partial_{\perp} T^{(1)} - \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}} \partial_{\perp} \Delta \ln a^{(1)} + 2 \int_{0}^{\chi} d\chi \left( \hat{\chi} - \hat{\chi} \right) \frac{2}{\chi} \partial_{\perp} \Phi' \right] \\
+ 4 \int_{0}^{\chi} d\chi \frac{\hat{\chi}}{\chi} \left[ \hat{\chi} \partial_{\perp} S_{\perp}^{(1)} + \frac{2}{\chi} \Phi S_{\parallel}^{(1)} - \Phi \partial_{\perp} S_{\parallel}^{(1)} \right] \right) \\
- 4 \int_{0}^{\chi} d\chi \left( \frac{\hat{\chi}}{\chi} \right) \left\{ \left( \hat{\chi} - \hat{\chi} \right) \left[ \left( \hat{\chi} \partial_{\perp} \Phi - 2 \hat{\chi} \partial_{\perp} T^{(1)} \right) \partial_{\perp} \Phi + \left( \Phi - 2T^{(1)} \right) \nabla^2 \Phi \right] \right\} \\
- 2\omega_{||}^{(2)} - \nu^{(2)} + 3 \frac{h_{||}^{(2)}}{\chi} + 6 \Phi_{||} v_{||}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2} v_{||}^{(1)} v_{||}^{(2)} - \left( v_{||}^{(1)} \right)^2 \\
+ 2 \left( \Phi_{||} - v_{||}^{(2)} \right) \left[ - \int_{0}^{\chi} d\chi \left( \hat{\chi} \nabla^2 \Phi \right) + 2 \int_{0}^{\chi} d\chi \left( \hat{\chi} - \hat{\chi} \right) \frac{\hat{\chi}}{\chi} \nabla^2 \Phi \right] + \frac{2}{\chi} v_{||}^{(1)} \left( 2 \chi I^{(1)} \right) \\
+ 2 \int_{0}^{\chi} d\chi \Phi' + 2T^{(1)} + \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}} \Delta \ln a^{(1)} \right) + v_{||}^{(1)} \left( 2S_{\parallel}^{(1)} - 4 \partial_{\parallel} T^{(1)} \right) \\
+ 2 \int_{0}^{\chi} d\chi \left( \Phi^{(2)} + 2\omega^{(2)} + \psi^{(2)} - \frac{1}{2} \hat{h}_{||}^{(2)} \right) \right). \\
(2.12)
\end{align*}
\]

The second order forms of (2.8)–(2.9) are

\[
\begin{align*}
I^{(2)} &= - \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\chi} d\chi \left( \Phi^{(2)} + 2\omega^{(2)} + \psi^{(2)} - \frac{1}{2} \hat{h}_{||}^{(2)} \right), \\
S_{\perp}^{(2)} &= - \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\chi} d\chi \left[ \partial_{\perp} \left( \Phi^{(2)} + 2\omega^{(2)} + \psi^{(2)} - \frac{1}{2} \hat{h}_{||}^{(2)} \right) + \frac{1}{\chi} \left( -2\omega_{\perp}^{(2)} + n^{k} \hat{h}_{ij}^{(2)} \mathcal{P}^{ij} \right) \right], \\
T^{(2)} &= - \int_{0}^{\chi} d\chi \left( \Phi^{(2)} + 2\omega^{(2)} + \psi^{(2)} - \frac{1}{2} \hat{h}_{||}^{(2)} \right). \\
(2.13)
(2.14)
(2.15)
\end{align*}
\]

3 Weak lensing shear and rotation terms

We can simplify \( \Delta_{\gamma}^{(2)} \) by explicitly introducing the weak lensing shear \( \gamma_{ij}^{(1)} \) and rotation \( \phi_{ij}^{(1)} \), defined by

\[
\gamma_{ij}^{(1)} = - \partial_{\perp} \left( \Delta x_{ij}^{(1)} \right) - \mathcal{P}_{ij} \kappa^{(1)}, \quad \phi_{ij}^{(1)} = - \partial_{\perp} \left( \Delta x_{ij}^{(1)} \right). \\
(3.1)
\]

These do not contribute to the observed number counts at first order but quadratic products do contribute at second order.

Then (2.10) becomes

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{\gamma}^{(2)} &= \delta_{\gamma}^{(2)} + \Phi^{(2)} - 2\psi^{(2)} - \frac{1}{2} \hat{h}_{||}^{(2)} + \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}} \psi^{(2)} - \frac{1}{2} \hat{h}_{||}^{(2)} + \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}} \Phi^{(2)} - \frac{1}{2} \hat{h}_{||}^{(2)} - \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}} \partial_{||}^{2} \nu^{(2)} - \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}} \Phi \partial_{||}^{2} \nu^{(2)} + \left( \delta_{\gamma}^{(2)} + \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}} \eta^{(2)} \right)^{2} - 6\Phi^{2} + \left( \partial_{||} \nu^{(2)} \right)^{2} \\
&+ \left( b_{0} - \frac{\mathcal{H}'}{\mathcal{H}^{2}} - \frac{2}{\mathcal{H}^{2}} \right) \Delta \ln a^{(2)} - \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}} T^{(2)} - 2\kappa_{||}^{(2)} + \left( \Delta_{\gamma}^{(2)} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}^{2}} \left( \partial_{||}^{2} \nu^{(2)} \right)^{2} - 6\Phi^{2} + \left( \partial_{||} \nu^{(2)} \right)^{2} \\
&+ \frac{4}{\chi} \mathcal{H} \left( \partial_{||} \nu^{(2)} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}^{2}} \left( \Phi^{(2)} \right)^{2} - \left( \delta_{\gamma}^{(2)} \right)^{2} + \frac{2}{\mathcal{H}} \partial_{||} \nu \Phi' + \frac{2}{\mathcal{H}} \Phi \Phi' + \frac{2}{\mathcal{H}^{2}} \Phi \Phi' + \frac{4}{\mathcal{H}^{2}} \Phi \partial_{||} \nu \Phi' + \frac{4}{\mathcal{H}^{2}} \partial_{||} \nu \partial_{||} \nu \Phi' \\
&- \frac{4}{\mathcal{H}} \Phi \partial_{||}^{2} \nu - \frac{2}{\mathcal{H}^{2}} \Phi \partial_{||}^{2} \nu - \frac{2}{\mathcal{H}} \Phi \partial_{||} \Phi + \frac{2}{\mathcal{H}^{2}} \Phi \frac{d\Phi}{d\chi} - \frac{2}{\mathcal{H}^{2}} \partial_{||} \nu \Phi' + \frac{2}{\mathcal{H}^{2}} \partial_{||} \nu \partial_{||}^{2} \Phi \\
&- 2 \frac{\mathcal{H}'}{\mathcal{H}^{3}} \Phi \partial_{||}^{2} \nu + \frac{6}{\mathcal{H}} \partial_{||} \nu \partial_{||}^{2} \nu + \frac{2}{\mathcal{H}^{2}} \partial_{||}^{2} \nu \Phi - \frac{2}{\mathcal{H}^{2}} \Phi \partial_{||}^{2} \nu - \frac{2}{\mathcal{H}^{2}} \partial_{||}^{2} \nu \Phi - \frac{2}{\mathcal{H}^{2}} \partial_{||}^{2} \nu \Phi' \\
&- \frac{2}{\mathcal{H}^{2}} \Phi \partial_{||}^{2} \nu + \frac{6}{\mathcal{H}} \partial_{||} \nu \partial_{||}^{2} \nu + \frac{2}{\mathcal{H}^{2}} \partial_{||}^{2} \nu \Phi - \frac{2}{\mathcal{H}^{2}} \Phi \partial_{||}^{2} \nu - \frac{2}{\mathcal{H}^{2}} \partial_{||}^{2} \nu \Phi - \frac{2}{\mathcal{H}^{2}} \partial_{||}^{2} \nu \Phi'
\end{align*}
\]
+ \frac{2}{H} \partial_{\perp i} v \partial_{\parallel j} \Phi - \frac{2}{H} \partial_{\perp i} v \partial_{\perp j} \partial_{\parallel} v + \frac{2}{H} \partial_{\perp i} v \partial_{\parallel j} v - \partial_{\perp i} v \partial_{\perp j} v + \frac{2}{H^2} \partial_{\perp i} v \partial_{\parallel j} v + \frac{2}{H} \partial_{\parallel} v \nabla^2 v

+ \left( - \frac{8}{\chi H} \Phi - \frac{4}{\chi} \Phi' - \frac{2}{H} \frac{d}{H} \frac{\partial}{\partial} g - \frac{4}{\chi H^2} T^{(1)} - \frac{4}{\chi H} \kappa^{(1)} - \frac{1}{\chi} \Phi' \right) \Delta \ln a^{(1)}

+ \left[ \frac{\chi}{H} \left( \frac{H'}{H^3} + 1 \right) - \frac{H''}{H^3} + 2 \left( \frac{H'}{H^2} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{H'}{H^2} \right)^2 - \frac{d}{H} \frac{\ln b}{\delta} - \frac{2}{\chi^2 H^2} \right] \times \left[ \Delta \ln a^{(1)} \right]^2

+ \left( \frac{2}{H} \partial_{\parallel}^2 v + \frac{2}{H} \partial_{\parallel} \Phi' + 4 \Phi' - \frac{8}{\chi} \Phi + 2 \partial_{\parallel} \Phi - 2 \partial_{\parallel} \delta - \frac{4}{\chi} \kappa^{(1)} \right) \frac{1}{\chi} \Phi' \left( - \frac{2}{\chi^2} T^{(1)} \right) T^{(1)}

+ 4 \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} - \frac{2}{\chi} \left( \frac{d}{d \chi} \Phi' + \frac{1}{H} \frac{d}{d \chi} \partial_{\parallel} \Phi' \right)

\times \int_0^\chi \frac{d \chi}{\chi} \left( \frac{\chi}{\chi} \Phi' - 32 \int_0^\chi \frac{d \chi}{\chi} \left( \frac{\chi}{\chi} \Phi' \right) + \frac{8}{\chi} \int_0^\chi \frac{d \chi}{\chi} \left( - \Phi' - 4 \Phi T^{(1)} - 2 S_{\perp}^2 \right) \right)

- 2 \gamma^{(1)} - 2 \Phi' \left( - \Phi' - 2 \Phi T^{(1)} - 2 S_{\perp}^2 \right)

+ 2 \partial_{\parallel} \Phi \left[ \frac{2}{H} \left( \partial_{\perp} \Phi + \partial_{\perp} \partial_{\parallel} v \right) - \frac{2}{H} \partial_{\perp} v \right] \left[ - \Phi' + 2 \Phi T^{(1)} - 2 S_{\perp}^2 \right]

+ \frac{1}{H} \partial_{\perp} \Delta \ln a^{(1)} + \chi \partial_{\perp} \Phi' - \frac{2}{H} \partial_{\perp} \partial_{\parallel} v - \frac{2}{H} \partial_{\perp} \partial_{\parallel} \Phi - \frac{2}{H} \partial_{\perp} \partial_{\parallel} \Phi + \frac{2}{H} \partial_{\perp} \partial_{\parallel} \Phi S_{\perp}^{(1)} - v_{\perp} v_{\perp} v

- 12 \Phi o^2 + 12 \Phi o v_{\perp} + (\Phi o - v_{\perp}) \left( - 4 \Phi' - \frac{8}{\chi} \Phi T^{(1)} + 2 \left( \frac{H'}{H^3} + 1 \right) \frac{\partial_{\parallel}^2 v}{\partial_{\parallel} v} \right)

+ \left( - \frac{H'}{H^3} + 1 \right) \Phi' \left( - \frac{2}{H} \partial_{\perp} \partial_{\parallel} \Phi - \frac{2}{H} \partial_{\perp} \partial_{\perp} \Phi + \frac{2}{H^2} \partial_{\parallel} \Phi + \frac{1}{H} \chi \partial_{\perp} \partial_{\parallel} \Phi - \frac{1}{H} \chi \partial_{\perp} \partial_{\parallel} \Phi \right)

- \chi \partial_{\perp} \left( - \Phi' + 2 \Phi T^{(1)} + 2 \partial_{\parallel} \Phi - 8 S_{\perp}^{(1)} \right),

\text{(3.2)}

where \(2 \gamma^{(1)} = \gamma_{ij}^{(1)} \gamma^{ij} \). Explicit expressions for \(\gamma_{ij}^{(1)}\) and \(\partial_{ij}^{(1)} \partial_{ij}^{(1)}\) are

\(\gamma_{ij}^{(1)} = - \mathcal{P}_{ij} v_{\perp} o - n_{ij} v_{\perp} o \left( - \frac{H'}{H^3} + 1 \right) \left( \chi \partial_{\perp} \partial_{\parallel} \Phi \right) - \mathcal{P}_{ij} \kappa^{(1)},\)

\(\partial_{ij}^{(1)} \partial_{ij}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{P}_{ij} v_{\perp} o \left( - \frac{H'}{H^3} + 1 \right) \left( \chi \partial_{\perp} \partial_{\parallel} \Phi \right) - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{P}_{ij} \kappa^{(1)} \chi \partial_{\perp} \partial_{\parallel} \Phi + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{P}_{ij} \kappa^{(1)} \chi \partial_{\perp} \partial_{\parallel} \Phi \left( \chi \partial_{\perp} \partial_{\parallel} \Phi \right) + \chi \partial_{\perp} \partial_{\parallel} \Phi \left( \chi \partial_{\perp} \partial_{\parallel} \Phi \right) .\)

\text{(3.3)}

\text{(3.4)}

\section{4 Galaxy bias}

Fluctuations of galaxy number density are related to the underlying matter density fluctuation \(\delta_m\) on cosmological scales by a local bias. In order to define this correctly, we need to choose an appropriate frame where the baryon velocity perturbation vanishes. The standard assumption at first order is that the baryon velocity is equal to the CDM velocity on large
scales, i.e. well above the nonlinear scale. Since we are dealing with large scales, it seems reasonable to extend the standard assumption to second order. Then the baryon rest frame coincides with the CDM rest frame and in ΛCDM, this rest frame is defined up to second order by the comoving-synchronous gauge (S) [13, 16–20]. In this gauge, the galaxy and matter overdensities are gauge invariant [21]. The S-gauge is defined by the conditions $g_{00} = -1$, $g_{0i} = 0$ and $v^i = 0$. Then

$$\delta_g = \delta_g - b_c H v + 3 H v,$$

$$\delta_g^{(2)} = \delta_g^{(2)} - b_c H v^{(2)} + 3 H v^{(2)} + \left(b_c H' - 3 H' + H^2 \frac{d \ln b_c}{d \ln a} + b_c^2 H^2 - 6 b_c H^2 + 9 H^2\right) v^2,$$

$$+ H b_c v v' - 3 H v v' - 2 H b_c v \delta_g - 6 H v \delta_g + 2 v \delta_g'$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \xi \left(-b_c H \partial_i v + 3 H \partial_i v + 2 \partial_i \delta_g - (b_c - 3) H \nabla^2 \right) (v \nabla^2 v' - v' \nabla^2 v)$$

$$- 6 \partial_i \Phi \partial^i v - 6 \Phi \nabla^2 v + \frac{1}{2} \partial_i \xi \partial^i \nabla^2 v + \frac{1}{2} \partial_i v \partial^i \nabla^2 \xi + \partial_i \partial_j \xi \partial^i \partial^j v).$$

Note the useful relation $v = \xi'/2$.

Then the scale-independent bias at first and at second order (down to mildly nonlinear scales) is given by

$$\delta_g = \delta_g^{(2)} - b_c \partial_i v + 3 H \partial_i v + 2 \partial_i \delta_g$$

$$- (b_c - 3) H \nabla^2 \left( v \nabla^2 v' - v' \nabla^2 v \right)$$

$$- 6 \partial_i \Phi \partial^i v - 6 \Phi \nabla^2 v + \frac{1}{2} \partial_i \xi \partial^i \nabla^2 v + \frac{1}{2} \partial_i v \partial^i \nabla^2 \xi + \partial_i \partial_j \xi \partial^i \partial^j v).$$

Expressions (4.2)–(4.4) can then be substituted into (2.10), thus incorporating the bias correctly.

5 Conclusions

In this letter, we have for the first time given the observed galaxy counts to second order in redshift space on cosmological scales for a ΛCDM model, including all general relativistic effects. This is given by (2.10), and by (3.2) when we make explicit the lensing shear and rotation contribution.

Our result allows for an investigation of whether general relativistic effects are measurable beyond the linear approximation in the mildly nonlinear regime in future surveys. The second-order effects, especially those involving integrals along the line of sight, may make a non-negligible contribution to the observed number counts which in turn could be important for precision cosmology with galaxy surveys. (Compare related work by [11, 22, 23] on second-order corrections to cosmological distances.)

We have carefully treated the scale-independent galaxy bias up to second order using the comoving-synchronous gauge, in order to correctly incorporate bias in the galaxy overdensity. Our results will in particular be important for an accurate analysis of the ‘contamination’ of primordial non-Gaussianity by relativistic projection effects [10].
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