Prevalence and Molecular Characteristics of Avian Pathogenic Escherichia coli in “No Antibiotics Ever” Broiler Farms
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ABSTRACT Avian pathogenic Escherichia coli (APEC) causes significant economic and welfare concerns to the broiler industry. For several decades, prophylactic supplementation of antimicrobial growth promoters was the primary method to control APEC; however, the recent shift to no antibiotics ever (NAE) production has increased colibacillosis incidence. The objectives of this study were to determine the influence of season, flock age, and sample type on the prevalence and virulence of E. coli and to identify the serogroups and antimicrobial susceptibility of virulent and nonvirulent E. coli in NAE broiler farms. Litter, feces, cloacal swabs, and tracheal swabs were collected from 4 NAE farms during spring and summer seasons, and E. coli was isolated and confirmed by PCR. Confirmed E. coli isolates were tested for 5 APEC-virulence-associated genes (VAGs) using quantitative PCR (qPCR). Further, E. coli isolates with all five VAGs (100 isolates) and E. coli isolates without any VAGs (87 isolates) were screened against 11 antimicrobials through Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion assay, and their serogroups were tested using PCR. Data were analyzed using the GLIMMIX procedure of SAS 9.4, and statistical significance was determined at a P value of ≤0.05. Overall, the prevalence of E. coli was not affected by season, flock age, or sample type. However, the prevalence of all tested VAGs decreased from spring to summer (P ≤ 0.002). The frequency of resistance was highest for tetracycline, and serogroups O8 (31%) and O78 (11%) were most frequent in virulent E. coli. In conclusion, there is a high prevalence of virulent E. coli in NAE farms, especially in the spring season.

IMPORTANCE Avian pathogenic Escherichia coli causes one of the most detrimental bacterial diseases to the United States poultry industry, colibacillosis. Colibacillosis leads to decreased performance, early mortality, and subsequent production loss. Previously, colibacillosis was largely mitigated by the use of antimicrobial growth promoters. Due to concerns about antimicrobial resistance, the use of these promoters has been largely removed from the broiler industry. With recent shifts in the poultry industry to NAE broiler production, there is an increase in bacterial disease and mortality. We do not know how this shift to NAE affects APEC prevalence within broiler farms. Therefore, in the current study, we attempted to assess the prevalence and virulence of E. coli within an antibiotic-free broiler environment, assessed antimicrobial susceptibility, and identified the serogroups of virulent and nonvirulent E. coli.
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Escherichia coli is a Gram-negative bacterium of the Enterobacteriaceae family present in many environments, including the gastrointestinal tract (GIT) and mucosal surfaces of poultry. E. coli strains can be found readily in the surrounding broiler environment and are
also released into the environment via feces (1, 2). Extraintestinal pathogenic *E. coli* strains (ExPEC) are *E. coli* strains that cause disease outside the GIT (3). Avian pathogenic *E. coli* (APEC) is a subset of ExPEC that causes localized and systemic infections in poultry that result in significant morbidity and mortality and subsequent production loss (4). Disease caused by APEC in broilers is collectively referred to as avian colibacillosis and is the most common infectious bacterial disease in poultry, often characterized by lesions within the air sacs, the heart, and the liver, followed by septicemia and death (1, 5, 6). Colibacillosis causes decreased performance, early morbidity, and mortality that increase production losses (5).

Most APEC isolates contain plasmid-linked virulence genes acquired through horizontal gene transfer (1, 7). No single, distinct virulence factor distinguishes APEC from other *E. coli* strains (8). However, Johnson and others identified specific plasmid-carried virulence-associated genes (VAGs) *hlyF, ompT, iroN, iss, and iutA* that are frequently found in APEC strains and suggested that these genes could be used to differentiate APEC from nonpathogenic *E. coli* (1). Moreover, APEC isolates belong mostly to serogroups O1, O2, O8, O15, O18, O35, O36, O78, O88, O109, O111, and O115, among the 188 O groups identified for *E. coli*, with O1, O2, and O78 most correlated with colibacillosis (9–11). However, designation to a particular serogroup does not always reflect the virulence of the isolate in question (12). Therefore, serogrouping used in conjunction with other diagnostic tools, such as virulence gene testing, is needed to better detect APEC.

The use of antimicrobial growth promoters (AGPs) was the main barrier against the incidence of colibacillosis. They protect birds by modifying gut microbiota, reducing GIT inflammation, and improving the physical health of the GIT (13). However, due to increased concerns over antimicrobial resistance, broiler operations have limited their antibiotic usage. In 2011, the annual Agricultural Resource Management Survey concluded that 48% of broiler grow-out operations raised broilers without antibiotics and provided antibiotics only when birds were sick (14). Recently, it was estimated that over 50% of the broiler industry raises broilers without any antibiotics (https://www.nationalchickencouncil.org/questions-answers-antibiotics-chicken-production-2/#:~:text=As%20of%20April%202019%2C%20more%20than%2050%25%20of%20those%20questions%20and%20address%20some%20of%20those%20concerns). Broilers raised under NAE policy are not allowed to receive any antimicrobials in feed, water, supplementation, or injection at any point in the bird’s lifetime (15). Removal of AGPs has increased mortality within NAE broiler production by 25% to 50% compared to that in conventional production (16). The mortality rate in NAE is 4.2%; whereas conventional broiler production has a mortality rate of only 2.9% (13). With greater disease incidence and mortality rate, it is crucial to understand the prevalence and virulence characteristics of *E. coli*, one of the most common avian pathogens, within NAE farms.

Information on APEC prevalence or virulence in commercial NAE broiler production is lacking. Therefore, the objectives of this study were to (i) determine the prevalence, virulence, and antimicrobial susceptibility of *E. coli* in NAE commercial broiler farms and (ii) determine the influence of season, age of flock, and type of sample on the prevalence and virulence of *E. coli* in NAE commercial broiler farms.

**RESULTS**

**E. coli prevalence and virulence.** In total, 512 samples, including 128 litter samples, 128 fecal samples, 128 cloacal swabs, and 128 tracheal swabs, were collected from the four NAE farms. A total of 2,432 presumptive *E. coli* isolates were collected from the MacConkey agar plates. Of the samples collected in the current study, 93% (477/512) tested positive for *E. coli*. Of the 477 *E. coli*-positive samples, 76.7% (366/477) were positive for *iroN*, 72.3% for *ompT* (345/477), 94.3% for *hlyF* (450/477), 82.4% for *iss* (393/477), and 90.8% samples were positive for *iutA* (433/477). Moreover, 68.1% (325/477) samples were positive for all five VAGs, and only 12.6% (60/477) samples were negative for all five VAGs. A total of 2,127 isolates were confirmed as *E. coli* with the presence of *ybbW*. Of the 2,127 *E. coli* isolates, 29.7% (631/2,127) of isolates tested positive for all five VAGs and only 4.1% (87/2,127) isolates tested negative for all five of the VAGs.
Overall, for all tested VAGs, there was a greater prevalence of \textit{E. coli} with VAGs in samples collected in the spring growing season than in those collected in the summer growing season (Fig. 1; \( P < 0.002 \)). The prevalence of \textit{iroN} in \textit{E. coli}-positive samples decreased from spring (95.8%) to summer (57.7%, \( P < 0.001 \)). The prevalence of \textit{ompT} in \textit{E. coli}-positive samples also decreased from spring (96.1%) to summer (47.9%, \( P < 0.001 \)). The prevalence of \textit{hlyF} in \textit{E. coli}-positive samples decreased slightly from spring (99.2%) to summer (91.4%; \( P < 0.002 \)). The prevalence of \textit{iss} and \textit{iutA} genes in \textit{E. coli}-positive samples also decreased from spring (94.5% and 97.2%) to summer (67.6% and 83.3%, respectively; \( P < 0.001 \)). Finally, 80.6% of the samples collected in the spring contained \textit{E. coli} with all five VAGs compared to only 13.0% of the samples collected in the summer (\( P < 0.003 \); Fig. 2).

The flock age influenced the prevalence of \textit{ompT}, \textit{hlyF}, and \textit{iutA} (Fig. 3). The prevalence of \textit{ompT} in \textit{E. coli}-positive samples increased from 76.7% on day 28 to 90.1% on day 56 (\( P < 0.001 \)). Virulence gene \textit{hlyF} had the greatest prevalence in \textit{E. coli}-positive samples with 99.2% on day 28; however, prevalence decreased to 96.0% on day 56 (\( P < 0.002 \)). The prevalence of \textit{iutA} in \textit{E. coli}-positive samples decreased from 96.7% on day 28 to 92.3% on day 56 (\( P < 0.021 \)). Prevalence of \textit{iroN} and \textit{iss} genes was not influenced by the day of flock. The prevalence of \textit{iroN} was 87.3% on day 28 and 83.3% on day 56 (\( P = 0.236 \)). The prevalence of \textit{iss} was 88.6% on day 28 and 85.0% on day 56 (\( P = 0.238 \)).

Sample type influenced only VAGs \textit{ompT} and \textit{iutA} (Fig. 4). The prevalence of \textit{ompT} was greatest in cloacal swabs at 90.0%, with less prevalence in feces, litter, and tracheal swabs at 86.3%, 84.8%, 73.9%, respectively (\( P \leq 0.045 \)). For \textit{iutA}, the prevalence in \textit{E. coli}-positive samples was greatest in the cloacal swabs at 97.9%, with less prevalence in the feces, tracheal swabs, and litter at 95.2%, 94.5%, and 88.5%, respectively (\( P \leq 0.041 \)).

\textit{E. coli} antimicrobial susceptibility. The CLSI-established susceptibility ranges are reported in Table 1. Virulent \textit{E. coli} overall had a greater frequency of antimicrobial resistance, except to cefoxitin, compared to nonvirulent \textit{E. coli} (Table 2). For resistance to tetracycline,
virulent E. coli exhibited resistance with a frequency of 62% (62/100 isolates) and a zone of inhibition (ZI) of 9.26 mm, more than that of nonvirulent E. coli at 18.4% (16/87 isolates) and 19.4 mm \( (P < 0.001) \). For resistance to sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim, virulent E. coli exhibited antimicrobial resistance with a frequency of 29% (29/100) and a ZI of 23.0 mm, compared to that of nonvirulent E. coli at a frequency of 8.05% (7/87) and ZI of 27.29 mm \( (P \leq 0.001) \). For resistance to streptomycin, virulent E. coli had resistance frequency at 39% and a mean ZI of 11.6 mm and classified as resistant, compared to nonvirulent E. coli at a frequency of resistance at 25.3% (22/87) and a ZI of 13.0 mm \( (P \leq 0.046) \). For antimicrobial resistance to ampicillin, virulent E. coli exhibited a frequency of 30% (30/100) and ZI of 14.4 mm, compared to the nonvirulent E. coli that had a frequency of 17.2% (15/87) and a ZI of 17.1 mm \( (P \leq 0.042) \). As in Fig. 5, virulent isolates were resistant to 7 of the 11 antimicrobials tested and nonvirulent E. coli isolates were resistant to only 2 out of 11 antimicrobials tested.

**E. coli serogrouping.** The same 100 virulent E. coli strains and the 87 nonvirulent E. coli strains used in antimicrobial susceptibility testing were also tested for nine serogroups associated with APEC: O1, O2, O8, O15, O18, O35, O78, O88, and O109. The identification frequency of serogroups for both nonvirulent and virulent E. coli strains can be found in Table 3. In total, only 23 out of 87 nonvirulent isolates and only 51 out of 100 virulent isolates belonged to one of the tested O-serogroups. No isolates from either group tested positive for the O1 serogroup. Virulent E. coli was associated more with serogroups O8 (31%) and O78 (11%) \( (P < 0.001) \). Nonvirulent E. coli was more associated with serogroup O8 (9.2%), followed by serogroup O35 (8.05%) \( (P < 0.004) \).
E. coli prevalence and virulence. There was a very high prevalence of the five tested VAGs in E. coli isolated from NAE farms. The E. coli isolates collected from healthy broilers and environmental samples in NAE facilities in this study had a prevalence of VAGs similar to that of APEC isolates collected from colibacillosis-affected broilers in previous clinical studies (1, 17–20). For instance, in a study conducted by Kim et al., 79 APEC isolates collected from broilers with colibacillosis had a prevalence of VAGs at 79.7, 89.9, 93.7, 78.5, and 91.1% for genes iroN, ompT, hlyF, iss, and iutA, respectively (20). With VAG prevalence similar to that of APEC as described by Kim et al., the current study indicated a possibility of high prevalence of APEC within NAE environment, thus exposing broilers to a greater risk of colibacillosis infection.

While the overall prevalence of APEC-like virulent isolates was high, growing season influenced the prevalence. Notably, the prevalence of samples with the five VAGs was highest in spring (80.6%), with a significant decrease into warmer months (13.0%; Fig. 2). Fluctuations in environmental temperature, humidity, and housing conditions greatly influence APEC prevalence. The steep decrease of virulent E. coli in warmer months (April to July) might be explained by decreased environmental humidity and increased tunnel ventilation, which is kept at a maximum to cool broiler house. These conditions reduce environmental moisture, litter water activity, and subsequent bacterial proliferation (21, 22). Dry conditions could limit APEC prevalence; however, more research is needed on the house conditions, local climate, and litter conditions throughout multiple seasons to be conclusive. Also, APEC prevalence could vary greatly in different geographical regions (11, 19, 23, 24).

It has been established that APEC can play a significant role in mortality in broilers at an early age (25). In contrast to our results, Varga et al. found no significant correlation between age group and VAGs in APEC isolates collected from colibacillosis-affected broilers (26). However, Pedroso et al. concluded that as chicken age increased, microbial diversity within chicken gastrointestinal tracts also increased (27). Conversely, multiple studies conclude that VAG diversity in broiler GIT declines as birds age, with young birds possessing a more

**Table 1**

| Gene | Amplicon size (bp) | Sequence (5'-3') | Description |
|------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------|
| iroN | 553               | AATCCGCGAAAGACGAAACGCCCCTGTTGGGCAACCCCGTCTTGTGACTTT | Salmochelin siderophore receptor gene |
| ompT | 496               | TCATCCCCGGAGACCTCCTGCTACTATTAGGGTTCGATCGCTTCTTGATAC | Episomal outer membrane protease gene |
| hlyF | 450               | GGGCAACAGTGTTTAGGCGGCGGTGTAACGCCATTTAGCCTTCTCAG | Putative avian hemolysin |
| iss  | 323               | CAGCAACCCCCAACACTTGAGGAGCGATCGCAGGGGACAAAGAAACCCAAGGC | Episomal increased serum survival gene |
| iutA | 302               | GGCCTGGACATCATGGGAACTGCGGCTGGGAAACGGTGAGAAATCG | Aerobactin siderophore receptor gene |

**Table 2**

| O-genotype | Associated O serogroup | Target gene | Primer name | Sequence (5'-3') | Size (bp) | Reference |
|------------|------------------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------|
| Og1        | O1                     | wzx         | Og1-F       | GTGAGCAAAAATGGAAATAAGGAACG | 1098      | 43        |
|            |                        |             | Og1-R       | CGTGATAGAATACCATCTCAG | 813       | 44        |
| Og2        | O2                     | wzx         | Og2-F       | TGGCCGTTGCTGATATCCTCGGGA | 448       | 43        |
|            |                        |             | Og2-R       | TACAGAGCTGCGGAAAATCGTCA | 608       | 45        |
| Og8        | O8                     | orf469      | Og8-F       | CCAGAGGCTAATACGAAAAATACAG | 551       | 43        |
|            |                        |             | Og8-R       | GCAGAGTTAGTACAAAAGGTACG | 303       | 45        |
| Og15       | O15                    | wzy         | Og15-F      | TGGGCATGATTGGTAGTAC    | 992       | 44        |
|            |                        |             | Og15-R      | AGGGAAGAAACGCCCTCTTTA | 781       | 43        |
| Og18       | O18                    | wzy         | Og18a-F     | GTCGTTGAGATGTTAAGTAG   | 360       | 45        |
|            |                        |             | Og18-R      | CTACTACCTACTTCCTACTCACTCGACACG | 551 | 45 |
| Og35       | O35                    | wzy         | Og35-F      | TGAATCGCTCTTGATTTGTTGTTG | 992       | 44        |
|            |                        |             | Og35-R      | AGAATCAGAAACCTCGGGGCAATTT | 781       | 43        |
| Og78       | O78                    | wzx         | Og78-F      | GGTATGCGTTGGTGTTGTA    | 303       | 45        |
|            |                        |             | Og78-R      | AAGACGATACACCTCCTGCAG | 409       | 45        |
| Og88       | O88                    | wzy         | Og88-F      | GTCGTTGAGATGTTAAGTAG   | 551       | 45        |
|            |                        |             | Og88-R      | GCAGAGTTAGTACAAAAGGTACG | 303       | 45        |
| Og109      | O109                   | wzy         | Og109-F     | GTCGTTGAGATGTTAAGTAG   | 551       | 45        |
|            |                        |             | Og109-R     | GCAGAGTTAGTACAAAAGGTACG | 303       | 45        |
diverse microbiota, possibly due to colonization from the surrounding environment (28–30). This fluctuation may reduce pathogenic *E. coli* within the poultry GIT over time, resulting in a change in VAG prevalence as flock age increases, as seen in Fig. 3. However, a combination of host, microbial, and environmental changes contribute to pathogenic APEC prevalence (31) within the current study, and more research in NAE on pathogenic *E. coli* prevalence and influencing factors such as flock age is needed.

The highest prevalence of VAGs, especially *ompT* and *iutA*, is associated with cloacal swabs and feces samples. In agreement with other studies, the current study suggests that the broiler GIT may serve as a reservoir for pathogenic APEC (28) and introduce pathogenic *E. coli* to the surrounding environment (32). Pathogenic *E. coli* could then be retained in the litter, and as broilers ingest, forage, and are in constant contact with the litter, litter is therefore an essential environmental factor that can contribute to disease (33). Litter has been shown to influence gut microbiota, with wet litter showing bacterial taxa similar to that of fecal samples (2). Reused litter may harbor pathogenic bacteria (34) and may increase the prevalence of VAGs in *E. coli* through horizontal transfer of virulent plasmids such as pAPEC-O2-R pAPEC-O2-ColV (35). Prevalence of *ompT* and *iutA* was 84.8% and 88.5%, respectively, in *E. coli*-positive litter samples, suggesting a high retainment of VAGs by *E. coli* in the surrounding environment.

**TABLE 3** Oxoid antimicrobial disk concentration, CLSI susceptibility range, and associated drug class for each antimicrobial used for the Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion assay (38)

| Antimicrobial                        | Conc | Susceptibility *E. coli* ATCC 25922 | Drug class       |
|--------------------------------------|------|-------------------------------------|------------------|
| Chloramphenicol                      | 30 μg| 21–27 mm                            | Phenicol         |
| Cephalothin                          | 30 μg| 15–21 mm                            | 1st gen cephalosporin |
| Streptomycin                         | 10 μg| 12–20 mm                            | Aminoglycoside   |
| Nalidixic acid                       | 30 μg| 22–28 mm                            | Quinolone        |
| Erythromycin*                        | 15 μg| 18–25 mm                            | Macrolide        |
| Tetracycline                         | 30 μg| 15–22 mm                            | Tetracycline     |
| Sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim        | 25 μg| 23–29 mm                            | Sulfa            |
| Ampicillin                           | 10 μg| 15–22 mm                            | Penicillin       |
| Cefoxitin                            | 30 μg| 23–29 mm                            | 2nd gen cephalosporin |
| Ceftriaxone                          | 30 μg| 29–35 mm                            | 3rd gen cephalosporin |
| Polymyxin B                          | 300 μg| 13–19 mm                          | Polymyxin        |

*Erythromycin does not have an effect on Gram-negative bacteria and was used as a negative control for *E. coli* isolates.*
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**E. coli antimicrobial susceptibility.** There was greater frequency and degree of antimicrobial resistance seen in the virulent *E. coli* group than in the nonvirulent *E. coli* group. However, compared to that of APEC isolates from other studies, antimicrobial resistance in the current study was slightly lower (18, 20). For example, Mohamed et al. reported that 97.4% and 92.3% of APEC isolates were resistant to tetracycline and sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim (18), respectively. However, only 62% and 29% of the virulent field *E. coli* isolates collected in this study were resistant to tetracycline and sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim. This decrease in antimicrobial resistance in virulent *E. coli* is notable, suggesting a reduction in antimicrobial resistance in virulent *E. coli* isolates present in the NAE broiler environment compared to that in APEC isolates collected from conventional facilities (36). On average, virulent *E. coli* strains were resistant to seven antimicrobials and nonvirulent *E. coli* strains were resistant to only three. Multidrug resistance in APEC is associated with the transfer of antibiotic resistance genes (7). Phenotypic antimicrobial resistance observed in the highly virulent *E. coli* strains suggests that the NAE field *E. coli* isolates carry antimicrobial resistance genes and may contribute to colibacillosis infection in NAE broilers.

**E. coli serogroups.** Serogroup identification was successful in only 51% of virulent *E. coli* isolates and 26% of nonvirulent *E. coli* isolates. Of these, serogroup O8 was most prevalent in virulent isolates at 31%, followed by O78 at 11%. Our results are not consistent with APEC studies, as predominant serogroups were O1, O2, and O78 (1, 19, 37), whereas minimal O1 and O2 prevalence was found in the current study. The O78 was also the predominant identified serogroup in APEC isolates, with an occurrence of 17% or greater (1, 19, 20, 38). The prevalence of serogroup O8 is atypical of other recent APEC studies, in which no more than 2% of APEC was identified to be serogroup O8 (1, 19, 20, 39). More research is warranted in NAE and on the relationship between APEC and serogroup, as virulent *E. coli* was most associated with serogroup O8. If serogroup O8 continues to be the predominant serogroup in other NAE facilities as seen in this study, the O8 serogroup could elude producers to potential pathogenic *E. coli* prevalence within the NAE environment. Serogroup O35 was highly associated with nonvirulent *E. coli* and may not be related to pathogenic *E. coli* as once thought. In APEC studies, serogroup O35 was recovered in 1.5% or less of APEC isolates (1, 20, 38) and may not be a reliable serogroup to distinguish pathogenic *E. coli* from other environmental *E. coli* isolates.

**Conclusion.** In conclusion, there was a high prevalence of virulent *E. coli* within NAE farms. Virulent *E. coli* was notably higher in spring than in summer, suggesting that environmental factors influence VAG prevalence. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing revealed greater antimicrobial resistance in virulent *E. coli* than in nonvirulent *E. coli*, which may limit treatment options in colibacillosis outbreaks. Serogroups O78 and O8 were identified as the predominant serogroups in the virulent *E. coli* isolates. While O78 is consistent with other studies, serogroup O8 may be an important serogroup to monitor in NAE broilers. Considering the high virulence of *E. coli*, NAE producers should adopt measures to control colibacillosis outbreaks. These could potentially include vaccination, prebiotics and probiotics, enhanced water and feed hygiene, and housing management strategies.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Experimental design.** Four commercial poultry farms from the same integrator under the NAE policy were selected in Mississippi. At each farm, two houses of mixed-sex broilers were chosen for sample collection. Sample collection was performed for two flock grow-outs, 61 days in length: one flock during the spring/winter months (February to April 2019) and one flock during the summer months (April to July 2019). Sample collection occurred on day 28 and day 56, consisting of litter, feces, cloacal swabs, and tracheal swabs.

All samplings in this trial were in compliance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Agriculture Animals in Research and Teaching (Federation of Animal Science Societies, 2010) and the Mississippi State University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC, Animal Welfare Assurance no. 17-224).

**Sample collection.** Eight litter samples, approximately 20 g each, were collected aseptically with a gloved hand from four quadrants of two poultry houses per farm on each sampling day. Litter was collected at no more than approximately 2.5 cm in depth in the house and placed into a 200 mL Whirl-Pak bag (Nasco Sampling/Whirl-Pak, Madison, WI, USA). Each sample was pooled from random spots within a quadrant and gloves were changed between samples. Similar sample collection was conducted for fecal samples collecting approximately 15 g per sample using sterile tongue depressors (SKU:25-705, Puritan, Guilford, Maine). Feces samples were collected from undisturbed droppings on the litter floor.
Using a similar sampling plan, eight cloacal swabs per farm were collected on each sampling day. A random, apparently healthy bird that was bright, alert, and active was selected in each quadrant and was swabbed using a sterile cotton swab (SKU: 25-806, Puritan, Guilford, ME). Briefly, the swab was placed into the cloaca of the bird, gently rotated clockwise around the inside the cloaca approximately three times, and immediately placed in a sterile culture tube (catalog no. 149569C Fisherbrand, Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA) containing 5 mL of buffered peptone water (BPW; Difco, Sparks, MD).

Eight tracheal swabs were collected from the same birds. While the bird was carefully restrained, the mouth of the bird was opened and the dry, sterile cotton swab was carefully inserted into the trachea via the opening of the larynx. The swab was inserted past the larynx gently, rotated clockwise three times, and then removed. This process was performed as gently and as quickly as possible to reduce stress to the bird. The tracheal swab was then immediately placed in a sterile culture tube (catalog no. 149569C Fisherbrand, Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA) containing 5 mL of BPW.

Isolation and identification of *Escherichia coli*. From collected litter, 10 g of litter was weighed aseptically and placed into a new 200 mL Whirl-Pak plastic bag with 90 mL of BPW, and the bag was stomached for 60 s. For fecal samples, 5 g of feces and 15 mL of BPW were used. Culture tubes containing cloacal and tracheal swabs in 5 mL of BPW were vortexed for 30 s. Using a sterile inoculation loop (Fisherbrand, Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA), a loop full of suspension was streaked on duplicate MacConkey agar plates (Difco, Sparks, MD).

All MacConkey plates were incubated aerobically at 37°C for 24 h. Only colonies that appeared convex, smooth, pink, slightly mucoid, and sticky were selected (40). If present, two single colonies from each positive plate were transferred aseptically into culture tubes containing 3 mL of brain heart infusion broth (BHI; Difco, Sparks, MD). The culture tubes were incubated aerobically at 37°C for 24 h. Culture tubes were vortexed for 5 s. Then, 1.5 mL of the colony culture was placed into CryoELITE cryogenic vials (Wheaton Scientific Products, Millville, NJ) containing 400 μL of 80% glycerol and stored at −80°C and 150 μL was transferred to a 0.2 mL Py Pompe PCR microtube (MidSci, St. Louis, MO).

DNA isolation of suspected *E. coli* isolates. Microtubes were centrifuged for 3 min at 3,884 relative centrifugal force (rcf) in a VWR mini centrifuge. The supernatant was carefully discarded, and the pellet was not disturbed. Then, 150 μL of nuclease-free water (Fisher Bioreagents, Fair Lawn, NJ) was added back to the microtube containing the pellet. Microtubes were vortexed and heated at 98°C for 5 min. Microtubes were centrifuged again for 3 min. The supernatant containing DNA was then transferred to a new microtube. The prepared DNA templates were stored at 4°C for future use.

**Confirmation of *E. coli* isolates using qPCR.** We first confirmed isolates as *E. coli* by detecting the presence of the *ybbW* gene, which is present in all *E. coli* strains, using forward primer 5′-TATTGCGCAAATCTGGCTCCG-3′ and reverse primer 5′-GAATGGCAAATGCGCAT-3′ (Eurofins Genomics, Louisville, KY) (41). The reaction mixture contained 5 μL PowerUp SYBR green (Applied Biosystems, Thermo Fisher Scientific), 0.25 μL of 10 μM forward primer, 0.25 μL of 10 μM reverse primer, and 3.5 μL of nuclease-free water for a total of 9 μL of template per reaction and 1 μL DNA template. Real-time PCR was run using a QuantStudio 3 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, US) using a 0.1 mL MicroAmp Fast 96-well reaction plate (Applied Biosystems, Life Technologies Corporation, Warrington, UK) and MicroAmp clear adhesive film (Applied Biosystems, Life Technologies Corporation, Warrington, UK). Real-time PCR parameters consisted of an initial denaturation at 95°C for 20 s, PCR stage of 40 cycles of 95°C for 1 s, 60°C for 20 s, with a melting curve analysis performed in a range of 60°C to 95°C at 0.5°C per 5 s increments. Confirmation of the *ybbW* was noted with a melt curve plot of an amplification wave seen at approximately 80.5°C in QuantStudio Design and Analysis Software v3.1.5.

Confirmed *E. coli* isolates were further screened for the presence of five VAGs, *iutA*, *iron*, *hly*, *iss*, and *ompT*, as shown in Table 5. The PCR mixture remained the same as listed above, except for the forward and reverse primers of each respective VAG. Real-time PCR parameters consisted of an initial denaturation at 95°C for 20 s, PCR stage of 40 cycles of 95°C for 1 s, 60°C for 20 s, with a melting curve analysis performed in a range of 60°C to 95°C at 0.5°C per 5 s increments.

**Serogrouping of *E. coli* isolates using PCR.** Of the virulent *E. coli* isolates, those positive for all five VAGs, 100 were randomly selected, and all 87 nonvirulent *E. coli* (that contained none of the tested VAGs) were used for serogrouping and antimicrobial susceptibility testing. Isolates were tested for nine common serogroups historically associated with APEC O1, O2, O6, O15, O18, O35, O78, O88, and O109. Serogroup reference strains used for gene confirmation and for PCR positive controls were acquired from the *E. coli* Reference Center, Department of Food Science, College of Agricultural Sciences, Pennsylvania State University. Serogroups and associated primers are listed in Table 5. The reaction mixture consisted of 0.25 μL of 10 μM forward primer, 0.25 μL of 10 μM reverse primer, 5 μL of PowerUp SYBR green, and 3.5 μL of nuclease-free water for a total of 9 μL per reaction and 1 μL DNA template. Real-time PCR was run for serogroups O8, O15, O18, O35, and O109 with parameters of an initial denaturation at 95°C for 20 s, followed by a PCR stage of 40 cycles of 95°C for 1 s, 60°C for 20 s, with a melting curve analysis performed in a range of 60°C to 95°C at 0.5°C per 5 s increments. Due to large gene size, traditional PCR was used to identify serogroups O1, O2, O78, and O88 in a Mastercycler (Model 5435 Mastercycler gradient S, Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany). The PCR mixture contained 0.25 μL of 10 μM forward primer and 0.25 μL of 10 μM reverse primer, 5 μL of GoTaq Green master mix 2× (Promega, Madison, WI), and 3.5 μL of nuclease-free water and 1 μL DNA template. PCR parameters consisted of an initial denaturation step of 95°C for 5 min, 40 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 15 s, annealing at 57°C for 30 s, and extension at 72°C for 45 s, with a final extension at 72°C for 5 min. All PCR products were analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis using a 1.5% agarose gel stained with SYBR Safe DNA gel stain (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) in 1× Tris-acetate-EDTA (TAE) buffer. The results were visualized under UV light using the Kodak Gel Logic 200 Imaging System (Eastman Kodak Co., Rochester, NY).

**Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of *E. coli* isolates.** The Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion assay (42) was used to test the antimicrobial susceptibility of the same 100 virulent *E. coli* isolates and all 87 of the
TABLE 4 Number of virulent and nonvirulent E. coli isolates resistant to antimicrobials tested in this study using Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion assay; resistance was determined by susceptibility range established by Clinical & Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI).<sup>a</sup>

| Isolate virulence | No. of isolates resistant to: | AMP 10 (µg) | CEF 30 (µg) | CEFTRI 30 (µg) | CEPH 30 (µg) | CHLOR 30 (µg) | ERYTH 15 (µg) | NA 30 (µg) | PB 300 µg | STREP 10 (µg) | SXT 25 (µg) | TET 30 (µg) |
|-------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------------|
| Nonvirulent       | 87                            | 15          | 30          | 36             | 25          | 10           | 86          | 19        | 42        | 22          | 7         | 16          |
| Virulent          | 100                           | 30          | 23          | 48             | 32          | 13           | 100         | 23        | 45        | 39          | 29        | 62          |
| Chi-square P value| 0.042                         | 0.082       | 0.364       | 0.629          | 0.915       | 0.282        | 0.850       | 0.558     | 0.046     | 0.001       | <0.001    |             |

<sup>a</sup>AMP, ampicillin; CEF, cefoxitin; CEFTRI, ceftriaxone; CEPH, cephalothin; CHLOR, chloramphenicol; ERYTH, erythromycin; NA, nalidixic acid; PB, polymyxin B; STREP, streptomycin; SXT, sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim; TET, tetracycline.
TABLE 5 Number of virulent (isolates with all 5 virulence genes) and nonvirulent (isolates without any of the tested virulence genes) E. coli isolates belonging to each tested O-serogroupa

| Isolate virulence | No. of isolates belonging to: | Total isolates identified |
|-------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|
|                   | O1  | O2  | O8  | O15 | O18 | O35 | O78 | O88 | O109 |
| Nonvirulent       | 87  | 0   | 1   | 8   | 0   | 3   | 7   | 0   | 1    | 3    | 23   |
| Virulent          | 100 | 0   | 0   | 31  | 2   | 3   | 0   | 11  | 5    | 0    | 51   |

Chi-square P value 0.282 0.001 0.185 0.862 0.004 0.001 0.136 0.061

aA total of 100 virulent and 87 nonvirulent isolates were tested against serogroups O8, O15, O18, O35, and O109 using real-time PCR and O1, O2, O78, and O88 using traditional PCR.

nonvirulent E. coli isolates. Antimicrobial disks and associated susceptibility ranges for E. coli ATCC 25922 are listed in Table 1. E. coli isolates were inoculated into sterile culture tubes containing 3 mL BHI and incubated aerobically for 24 h at 37°C. Culture tubes were vortexed, 200 μL of the solution was transferred to 1.5 mL of sterile phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), and turbidity was adjusted against the 0.5 McFarland standard. A sterile cotton swab was dipped into the solution, and a Mueller-Hinton (Difco, Sparks, MD) plate was swabbed by sweeping the entire surface of the plate three times, rotating the plate 60° each time. A total of nine plates for each isolate were swabbed and allowed to dry for 5 min. Oxoid antimicrobial disks (Oxoid Ltd., Hants, UK) were spaced equally using sterile forceps. A total of 11 different antimicrobial disks were placed onto the three plates, and each isolate was replicated three times. Once all disks were placed, the Mueller-Hinton plates were incubated at 35°C for 18 h. The zone of inhibition (ZI) of each disk was measured to the nearest millimeter, and if no zone was present, the result was recorded as 0. Zones of inhibition were compared against the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) standards for antimicrobial susceptibility ranges (Table 1) (38).

Statistical analysis. For each virulence-assessment proportion, a correlation was calculated by dividing the number of samples that were positive for the gene by the total number of samples collected. Prevalence of virulent genes of E. coli was analyzed by the generalized linear model using GLIMMIX procedure of SAS 9.4 (Cary, NC) with season, day of age, and sample type serving as independent variables. The ZI was then determined as susceptible or resistant compared to the ATCC 25922 E. coli susceptibility range as seen in Table 1. Frequency of antimicrobial resistance for the virulent E. coli and nonvirulent E. coli groups was analyzed with Chi-square test in SAS 9.4. All analyses were performed by the SAS 9.4 software, with a significance level of 0.05.
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