Splicing promotes the nuclear export of β-globin mRNA by overcoming nuclear retention elements
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ABSTRACT
Most current models of mRNA nuclear export in vertebrate cells assume that an mRNA must have specialized signals in order to be exported from the nucleus. Under such a scenario, mRNAs that lack these specialized signals would be shunted into a default pathway where they are retained in the nucleus and eventually degraded. These ideas were based on the selective use of model mRNA reporters. For example, it has been shown that splicing promotes the nuclear export of certain model mRNAs, such as human β-globin, and that in the absence of splicing, the cDNA-derived mRNA is retained in the nucleus and degraded. Here we provide evidence that β-globin mRNA contains an element that actively retains it in the nucleus and degrades it. Interestingly, this nuclear retention activity can be overcome by increasing the length of the mRNA or by splicing. Our results suggest that contrary to many current models, the default pathway for most intronless RNAs is to be exported from the nucleus, unless the RNA contains elements that actively promote its nuclear retention.
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INTRODUCTION
Eukaryotic cells contain two major compartments, the nucleoplasm where mRNA is synthesized and processed, and the cytoplasm where this mRNA is translated into proteins. It is currently believed that in vertebrate cells, mRNAs contain specialized cis-acting elements that recruit nuclear export factors and permit their efficient export to the cytoplasm (Palazzo and Akef 2012). This contrasts to the situation in Saccharomyces cerevisiae where nuclear export factors are recruited to the transcript during transcription, regardless of their sequence or the presence of any specialized cis-elements (Palazzo and Akef 2012).

Much of current thinking on this subject has been derived from studies of the main export complex in eukaryotic cells, the transcription export (TREX) complex. In vertebrate cells, it is believed that the TREX complex is primarily loaded onto the RNA in both a splicing and a cap-dependent manner (Zhou et al. 2000; Strässer and Hurt 2001; Masuda et al. 2005). TREX then recruits the heterodimeric nuclear transport receptor TAP/p15 (also known as NXF1/NXT1), which ferries the mRNA across the nuclear pore (Katahira et al. 1999; Stutz et al. 2000). Thus introns act as de facto export-promoting cis-elements and this has been validated by the observation that certain model mRNAs such as fushi tarazu (ftz) and β-globin (βG) are only exported when they contain introns and thus spliced (Luo and Reed 1999; Valencia et al. 2008). In contrast, yeast TREX components are loaded onto mRNAs cotranscriptionally by the action of RNA Pol II (Chávez et al. 2000; Jimeno et al. 2002; Strässer et al. 2002; Zenklusen et al. 2002). The lack of a splicing requirement in yeast makes sense in light of the fact that the vast majority of their protein-coding genes are intronless.

Within this context, it was assumed that human protein-coding genes that are naturally intronless would need some substitute cis-element to recruit the TREX complex in the absence of splicing. This led to the identification of putative cytoplasmic accumulation region-elements (CAR-Es) by the analysis of a handful of human intronless genes (Lei et al. 2011, 2012). This idea was validated by fusing 16 copies of the putative CAR-E consensus element to the 5′ end of the intronless βG mRNA (βG-Δi) (Lei et al. 2012). Inexplicably, the mutation of putative CAR-Es from naturally intronless mRNAs did not affect the nuclear export (Lei et al. 2012), suggesting that the export of these mRNAs may not be dependent on these elements.

In parallel with these studies, several groups have mapped and identified cis-elements within long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs) that promote their nuclear retention. Interestingly, when these elements are eliminated, the lncRNAs...
are typically exported to the cytoplasm even though they lack introns (Miyagawa et al. 2012; Zhang et al. 2014). These observations would indicate that either export-promoting elements are quite plentiful, or that in the absence of any cis-elements, the default pathway for any given RNA is to be exported to the cytoplasm.

Recently we have determined that features, such as a depletion of adenines, high GC-content, to the secretory pathway. These sequences have particular phobic polypeptide that directs the newly synthesized protein sequence coding region (SSCR), which encodes a hydro-

et al. 2007; Cenik et al. 2011). One such region is the signal site (5′UTR) region that inhibited nuclear export (Lee et al. 2015). In contrast, mRNA produced from a fusion gene containing this SSCR, the ftz ORF (thus lacking its nuclear retention element), and βG-Δi (MHC-ftz-Δi-βG-Δi) was efficiently exported (Akef et al. 2013). In light of these results, we reasoned that ftz might contain a cis-element that promotes nuclear export.

To investigate this possibility, we divided the ftz gene into four fragments and fused each of these to the 5′ end of βG-Δi (fusion constructs, F1-βG-Δi through F4-βG-Δi, are shown in Figure 1A, for more details see Supplemental Table 1). The plasmids were transfected into U2OS cells and the mRNA was visualized by fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH). To our surprise, all four of the constructs produced mRNA that was partially cytoplasmic (Fig. 1B,C). In contrast, βG-Δi was mainly nuclear, and the fusion of full-length MHC-ftz-Δi to βG-Δi was primarily found in the cytoplasm (Fig. 1B,C), as we had previously seen (Akef et al. 2013).

Our results here could be explained by at least three models:

The simplest model is that each of the four ftz fragments contains a separate nuclear export-promoting element that work in an additive manner with each other or with the SSCR. However, when we investigated the distribution of RNAs generated from various deletion MHC-ftz-Δi deletion constructs (Fig. 1A), all of these were found in the cytoplasm at approximately the same level (Fig. 1D,E). Note that the previously identified nuclear retention element was not present in any of these constructs. Although this result does not rule out the idea that ftz has multiple export-promoting elements, this possibility became less likely.

A second model is that longer intronless mRNAs are simply more efficiently exported than shorter ones. This would explain the relative degrees of cytoplasmic accumulation where MHC-ftz-βG-Δi is more cytoplasmic than any of the fusion constructs (F1-βG-Δi through F4-βG-Δi), which in turn are more cytoplasmic than MHC-βG-Δi (Akef et al. 2013), which is slightly more cytoplasmic than βG-Δi. Indeed, it had been previously found that certain RNA-binding proteins are differentially recruited to RNAs of different

RESULTS

Intronless β-globin mRNA contains a nuclear retention element

Previously we discovered that the intronless fushi tarazu (c-ftz-Δi) mini-gene construct had a cis-element in its 5′ UTR region that inhibited nuclear export (Lee et al. 2015). This element actually mapped to a portion of the plasmid just downstream from ftz and was incorporated into the 5′ end of the mature mRNA. When this element was eliminated, the resulting mRNA was efficiently exported to the cytoplasm, contrary to most currently accepted models of mRNA nuclear export.
Figure 1. Fusions of ftz fragments to full-length \( \beta \)G mRNA are cytoplasmic at steady state. (A) A schematic representation of the different constructs that were used in this figure. (B–E) Plasmids containing the indicated constructs were transfected into human U2OS cells. After 14–18 h, the cells were fixed, permeabilized, and stained for mRNA using a FISH probe directed against \( \beta \)G (B,C) or the MHC SSCR (D,E). The cells were imaged (B,D) and mRNA distribution in the cytoplasm and nucleus was quantified (C,E). Each bar represents the average and standard error of three independent experiments, with each experiment consisting of at least 30 cells. Scale bar = 20 \( \mu m \).
lengths and that these binding events can impact nuclear export (Masuyama et al. 2004; McCloskey et al. 2012). However, this second hypothesis would not be able to explain the efficient export of intronless \textit{ftz}, which is approximately the same size as \( \beta G-\Delta i \).

Finally, we considered the possibility that \( \beta G \) had a \textit{cis}-element that retained the RNA in the nucleus, but that this activity could be overcome by either splicing (as is the case of the intron containing \( \beta G, [\beta G-i] \)) or by the incorporation of extra sequence into the 5' end of the transcript. If this idea was correct, we reasoned that the incorporation of any random sequence into \( \beta G-\Delta i \), provided that this additional RNA did not contain any additional nuclear retention elements, should promote its export. Indeed we found that mRNA produced from the fusion of the reverse complement of \( \text{MHC-}\text{ftz-}\Delta i \beta G-\Delta i \) to the 5' end of \( \beta G-\Delta i \) (to form \( \text{RC-MHC-}\text{ftz-}\Delta i \beta G-\Delta i \); see Fig. 2A; Supplemental Table 1) was distributed primarily to the cytoplasm in U2OS cells that were either transfected with a plasmid that contains this fusion gene (Fig. 2B,C) or that received this plasmid by microinjection (Fig. 2D). We obtained the same result in microinjected NIH 3T3 cells (Fig. 2E,F), indicating that this effect was not cell-type specific. Furthermore, this distribution was nearly identical to that of \( \text{MHC-}\text{ftz-}\Delta i \beta G-\Delta i \) (Fig. 2B–F). In contrast, a tandem repeat of \( \beta G-\Delta i \) (\( \beta G-\Delta i \beta G-\Delta i \), see Fig. 2A; Supplemental Table 1) was retained in the nucleus, to the identical extent as the original \( \beta G-\Delta i \) (Fig. 2B,C). This result can be explained by the fact that this longer mRNA (\( \beta G-\Delta i \beta G-\Delta i \)) now had a second putative nuclear retention element.

With the data that we collected thus far, we could not rule out the possibility that export-promoting elements were found throughout \( \text{ftz-}\Delta i \) and in the reverse complement of \( \text{MHC-}\text{ftz-}\Delta i \). We reasoned that of all the transcripts that we have tested thus far, the most unlikely place to find an export-promoting element would be in \( \beta G-\Delta i \) itself. We thus started off with the tandem construct (\( \beta G-\Delta i \beta G-\Delta i \)) and deleted portions of the first copy of \( \beta G-\Delta i \) with the goal of eliminating its nuclear retention element (see \( B1-\beta G-\Delta i \) through \( B3-\beta G-\Delta i \); Fig. 2A; Supplemental Table 1). Since it appeared that the size of the insert dictated the level of export, we made only small deletions to the first copy of \( \beta G-\Delta i \). We found that one of the three new fusion constructs (\( B1-\beta G-\Delta i \)) was indeed exported (Fig. 2G,H). When the export versus the total RNA length of the various \( \beta G-\Delta i \) fusion constructs was plotted, we saw a correlation between these two variables (Fig. 3, see black data points). The only exceptions were the \( \beta G-\Delta i \beta G-\Delta i \) tandem construct, \( B2-\beta G-\Delta i \) and \( B3-\beta G-\Delta i \) (Fig. 3, see gray data points), likely because these all had two copies of the nuclear retention element.

From these experiments we concluded that \( \beta G-\Delta i \) has a nuclear retention element near its 3' end. Our data suggested that the activity of this element could be inhibited by simply extending the length of the RNA at the 5' end, or by incorporating an intron in the pre-mRNA. While it is still possible that the B1 region of \( \beta G-\Delta i \) has a nuclear export element, a counter-acting \textit{cis}-element would need to be invoked to explain why \( \beta G-\Delta i \) is not exported in the first place.

### Mapping the nuclear retention elements in \( \beta G-\Delta i \)

To better define the retention element, we tested various deletion constructs of \( \beta G-\Delta i \) (a schematic illustration of the constructs is shown in Fig. 4A, also see Supplemental Table 1). Since all of the constructs contained the MHC SSCR, we could use FISH probes against this common region to visualize all of the deletion constructs. We found that all of these constructs (\( \text{MHC-}\beta G-\Delta i \text{Del5 through Del6} \)) were distributed primarily to the nucleus (Fig. 4B,C). One possible explanation for this result is that there are two redundant nuclear retention elements, both of which map to the region that was deleted to form the \( B1-\beta G-\Delta i \) construct, and thus residing near the 3' end.

To confirm that this is the case we created a new construct, \( B1-\Delta i \) construct (Fig. 4A). The \( B1 \) region was identical to the first part of the \( B1-\beta G-\Delta i \) tandem construct (see Fig. 2A; Supplemental Table 1) and was missing a 215-nucleotide (nt) fragment that matched the portions of \( \beta G \) ORF that were deleted in \( \text{MHC-}\beta G-\Delta i \text{Del5 and Del6} \) (Fig. 4A; Supplemental Table 1). Since our \( \beta G \) FISH probe could detect this construct, we did not need to add the MHC SSCR to the 5' end. Confirming our suspicions, we found that \( B1-\Delta i \) mRNA was more efficiently exported than \( \beta G-\Delta i \) (Fig. 4D, E). Interestingly, the \( B1-\Delta i \) mRNA was still not as efficiently exported as \( \text{ftz-}\Delta i \) or the \( \text{MHC-}\text{ftz-}\Delta i \beta G-\Delta i \) fusion. This leaves open the possibility that \( \text{ftz-}\Delta i \) may indeed contain nuclear export-promoting elements. Alternatively, the \( B1 \) region may contain additional \textit{cis}-elements that alter mRNA export dynamics.

### The activity of the \( \beta G \) nuclear retention element(s) can be inhibited by splicing

Having uncovered evidence of a nuclear retention element localized to the 3' end of the \( \beta G-\Delta i \) mRNA, we wanted to re-investigate how splicing suppresses this activity. The human \( \beta G \) pre-mRNA has two introns (Fig. 5A), the second one residing within the 215-nt sequence that was required for nuclear retention. We reasoned that either the nuclear retention activity could be overcome by the splicing of any intron, that the endogenous \( \beta G \) introns have some specialized activity that counteracts this retention element, or that the second intron simply disrupted the retention element in the pre-mRNA. To distinguish between these three possibilities, we inserted the \textit{ftz} intron into \( \beta G-\Delta i \) so that it occupies a position where either the first or second endogenous introns normally reside (these being the first or second exon junctions—\( EJ1 \) or \( EJ2 \), see \( \beta G-\text{ftz intron EJ1 and EJ2} \); Fig. 5A). Constructs containing the \( \text{ftz} \) intron at either position were efficiently spliced as assayed by Northern blot (Fig. 5B) and RT-PCR (Fig. 5C). Furthermore, both mRNAs were efficiently
Figure 2. RNA insertions into the 5’ end of βG mRNA promotes nuclear export. (A) A schematic representation of the different fusion constructs that were used in this figure. (B,C) Plasmids containing the indicated constructs were transfected into human U2OS cells. After 14–18 h, the cells were fixed, permeabilized, and stained for mRNA using a FISH probe directed against βG. The cells were imaged (B) and mRNA distribution was quantified (C). Each bar represents the average and standard error of three independent experiments, each consisting of at least 30 cells. (D–F) Plasmids containing the indicated constructs were microinjected into the nuclei of U2OS (D) or NIH 3T3 (E,F) cells. After 20 min, cells were treated with α-amanitin and the newly synthesized mRNA was allowed to be exported for an additional 2 h. Cells were fixed, permeabilized, stained for mRNA using a FISH probe directed against βG and imaged. Examples of mRNA FISH staining in NIH3T3 cells are shown in E. The mRNA distribution was quantified for injected U2OS (D) and NIH3T3 (F), with each bar representing the average and standard error of three independent experiments, with each experiment consisting of at least 30 cells. (G,H) Plasmids containing the indicated constructs were transfected into human U2OS cells. After 14–18 h, the cells were fixed and permeabilized, stained for mRNA using a FISH probe directed against βG, imaged (G) and mRNA distribution was quantified (H). Each bar represents the average and standard error of three independent experiments, each consisting of at least 30 cells. Scale bar = 20 μm.
mRNAs that have premature stop codons and/or aberrant 3′ UTRs (Schweinruber et al. 2013). NMD is activated by translating ribosomes and is inhibited by extended treatments with translation inhibitors. However, treatment of cells with two different translation inhibitors, puromycin and homoharringtonine (HHT) at concentrations that effectively inhibit translation in U2OS cells (Cui et al. 2012), had no effect on the cytoplasmic distribution of either βG-Δi or MHC-βG-Δi Del3, which has a premature termination codon (Fig. 6A).

Thus we conclude that the transcripts are not substrates for NMD.

The majority of βG-Δi at steady state is stable and retained in the nucleus

It remained possible that the high nuclear/cytoplasmic ratio of βG-Δi was due to the fact that this mRNA was exported and rapidly degraded in the cytoplasm. For this to be true, mRNA decay would have to be at least as fast as nuclear export. The half rate of export (the time it takes to export half of all the nuclear mRNA) for spliced βG is ~1 h (Valencia et al. 2008; A Akef and AF Palazzo, unpubl.). To determine whether the distribution of βG-Δi was due to a high turnover rate, we measured its half-life by treating transfected cells with the transcriptional inhibitor a-amanitin at levels which completely block transcription of microinjected plasmids (Gueroussov et al. 2010), and monitored the amount of βG-Δi mRNA remaining after various time points. Note that a-amanitin treatment completely blocks transcription within the first 5 min of treatment (Gueroussov et al. 2010; A Akef and A Palazzo, unpubl.). Surprisingly, we could not detect any turnover of the mRNA even after a 4 h treatment (Fig. 6B), despite the fact that a pretreatment with a-amanitin prevented the synthesis of this transcript (“Pretreatment,” Fig. 6B). Indeed it had been previously reported that the half-life of βG-Δi ranges from 8 to 15 h (Lu and Cullen 2003). We found that this mRNA remained in the nucleus throughout the entirety of the time course and was not exported (Fig. 6C). Thus most of the βG-Δi mRNA that is present in the cell at steady state is relatively stable, yet fails to be exported to the cytoplasm. We obtained similar results when we investigated how the 5′ SS motif affected the nuclear export of ftz mRNA (Lee et al. 2015). This motif promoted the rapid turnover of about half of the mRNA in the first hour, while the portion that evaded this initial decay step built up over time in the nucleus and represented the majority of the ftz mRNA that was present at steady state. Like βG-Δi, this stable nuclear fraction of ftz mRNA remained trapped in the nucleus and was not a substrate for export.

From these results we conclude that the element present at the 3′ end of the βG-Δi mRNA acts as a nuclear retention element. It is also likely that this element promotes the rapid decay of a fraction of the newly synthesized mRNA, as we had previously observed with the 5′ SS motif (Lee et al. 2015).
The length of the poly(A)-tail is unaltered by the nucleocytoplasmic distribution of the mRNA

Previous studies have suggested a correlation between splicing and the 3' processing of βG RNA (Millevi et al. 2002; Lu and Cullen 2003). Therefore, we wanted to investigate whether the lack of export was due to alterations in the poly(A)-tail length. Using the extension poly(A) test (ePAT) method, we compared the 3' processing of βG-Δi, an mRNA that is neither spliced nor exported, βG-i, an mRNA that is both spliced and exported, and MHC-ftz-Δi-βG-Δi, which is not spliced but exported to the cytoplasm (see Fig. 2A). As shown in Figure 6D, the poly(A) tails of βG-i, βG-Δi, and MHC-ftz-Δi-βG-Δi mRNAs were of similar size (~120–150 nts, see Materials and Methods section). No signal was obtained from untransfected cells or when the reverse transcriptase step was omitted.

From these results, we conclude that the poly(A)-tail length did not significantly change between spliced and unspliced βG mRNAs. Importantly, these results rule out the possibility that the nuclear retention of βG-Δi can be simply attributed to inefficient polyadenylation.
To gain further insight into how this nuclear retention element functions, we decided to reevaluate whether components of the TREX complex are recruited to the RNA in a splicing-dependent manner. As we have done previously (Akef et al. 2013; Lee et al. 2015), we expressed various reporters in U2OS cells and then from these lysates immunoprecipitated UAP56 (see Fig. 7A), a central component of the TREX complex, and analyzed the precipitated RNA by RT-qPCR. To our surprise, we found that βG-Δi was more highly enriched in the UAP56 precipitates than the spliced version of the transcript (βG-i) (Fig. 7B). This coprecipitation was likely specific because 7SL, a highly abundant ncRNA which is exported by exportin-5 in vertebrates independently of the TREX complex (Takeiwa et al. 2015), was not significantly enriched in the precipitates (Fig. 7C). This result suggests that the retention element traps the mRNA in a UAP56-bound state.

Next we examined the recruitment of TAP (also known as NXF1) to βG-Δi. Due to the fact that we do not have an antibody that reliably immunoprecipitates endogenous TAP, we coexpressed a FLAG-tagged version of the construct along with βG-Δi in U2OS cells and precipitated this exogenous protein from the lysates using anti-FLAG antibodies, as we had done previously (Lee et al. 2015). We found that although βG-Δi mRNA was present in the immunoprecipitates, it was there at much lower levels than a spliced form of βG (Fig. 7D). This result may suggest that βGΔi mRNA is not trapped in TAP-associated form, as

**UAP56 is recruited to the βG-Δi mRNA**

To gain further insight into how this nuclear retention element functions, we decided to reevaluate whether components of the TREX complex are recruited to the RNA in a splicing-dependent manner. As we have done previously (Akef et al. 2013; Lee et al. 2015), we expressed various reporters in U2OS cells and then from these lysates immunoprecipitated UAP56 (see Fig. 7A), a central component of the TREX complex, and analyzed the precipitated RNA by RT-qPCR. To our surprise, we found that βG-Δi was more highly enriched in the UAP56 precipitates than the spliced version of the transcript (βG-i) (Fig. 7B). This coprecipitation was likely specific because 7SL, a highly abundant ncRNA which is exported by exportin-5 in vertebrates independently of the TREX complex (Takeiwa et al. 2015), was not significantly enriched in the precipitates (Fig. 7C). This result suggests that the retention element traps the mRNA in a UAP56-bound state.

Next we examined the recruitment of TAP (also known as NXF1) to βG-Δi. Due to the fact that we do not have an antibody that reliably immunoprecipitates endogenous TAP, we coexpressed a FLAG-tagged version of the construct along with βG-Δi in U2OS cells and precipitated this exogenous protein from the lysates using anti-FLAG antibodies, as we had done previously (Lee et al. 2015). We found that although βG-Δi mRNA was present in the immunoprecipitates, it was there at much lower levels than a spliced form of βG (Fig. 7D). This result may suggest that βGΔi mRNA is not trapped in TAP-associated form, as
what we saw for UAP56. As expected, 7SL was not significantly enriched in the TAP precipitates.

In summary, these results suggest that the presence of introns, and hence their splicing, is not the sole determinant of UAP56 recruitment to the βG mRNA, as the standard model would suggest. Our data suggest that the retention element in βGΔi may prevent efficient TAP recruitment, and perhaps this recruitment event becomes more efficient by the act of splicing. However, at this point we cannot rule out the possibility that this retention element recruits some dominant-acting complex that inhibits export regardless of whether or not TAP is recruited to the RNA.

DISCUSSION

There has been an ongoing debate as to whether splicing is required for the efficient export of mRNAs in vertebrate cells. Underlying this debate is the question of whether mRNAs are natural substrates for nuclear export by the TREX complex in the absence of either splicing, or any other export-promoting event. Our data suggest that the retention element in βGΔi may prevent efficient TAP recruitment, and perhaps this recruitment event becomes more efficient by the act of splicing. However, at this point we cannot rule out the possibility that this retention element recruits some dominant-acting complex that inhibits export regardless of whether or not TAP is recruited to the RNA.
element. The idea that nuclear export requires specialized signals is based on two pieces of data. First, the export of certain model mRNAs, such as ftz and β-globin, was found to be greatly enhanced by splicing (Luo and Reed 1999; Valencia et al. 2008). Second, the recruitment of nuclear export factors to the mRNA in HeLa-derived nuclear extracts was also found to be enhanced by splicing (Zhou et al. 2000; Masuda et al. 2005; Cheng et al. 2006; Dufu et al. 2010; Chi et al. 2013). There are, however, a few problems with this view. First, many cDNA-derived mRNAs are well exported despite the fact that their endogenous genes contain introns (Palazzo and Akef 2012). Second, TREX components are known to associate with intronless mRNAs in vivo and are required for their export (Rodrigues et al. 2001; Taniguchi and Ohno 2008; Hautbergue et al. 2009; Akef et al. 2013; Lee et al. 2015). Our new study, coupled with a previous report (Lee et al. 2015) now resolves some of this debate. It appears that certain cDNA-derived mRNAs, including many of the ones being studied in various nuclear export labs, contain nuclear retention elements. Although we cannot rule out the possibility that a wide range of nuclear-export promoting elements exist in addition to these retention elements, on the whole we now believe that in the absence of any specialized cis-element, mRNAs are substrates for nuclear export (Fig. 8). This also resolves an apparent difference that emerged between mRNA export in vertebrates and yeast, standing of all the ones being studied in various nuclear export labs, contain nuclear retention elements. Although we cannot rule out the possibility that a wide range of nuclear-export promoting elements exist in addition to these retention elements, on the whole we now believe that in the absence of any specialized cis-element, mRNAs are substrates for nuclear export (Fig. 8). In light of our new understanding, we can now reinterpret some other published data. It had been previously reported that the fusion of 16 CAR-E motifs to the 5′ end of the βG-Δi mRNA promoted export, while the fusion mutant versions of these CAR-Es did not (Lei et al. 2012). Our new data suggest that a simple extension of the βG-Δi mRNA promotes export by overcoming nuclear retention elements present at the 3′ end of the transcript (Fig. 8). Looking closely at the mutant CAR-E insertions, they contained a high level of pyrimidines, and were found to be associated with poly-pyrimidine track-binding (PTB) protein as assessed by mass spectrometry analysis (Lei et al. 2012). Interestingly, it has been previously reported that PTB inhibits nuclear RNA export (Roy et al. 2013). Thus it is possible that the mutant CAR-Es acted as nuclear retention elements.

It is likely that these nuclear retention elements are widely distributed, as many cDNA-derived RNAs are known to be retained in the nucleus (some examples include the major late adenovirus RNA [Luo et al. 2001], a fragment of the Xenopus Smad 3 gene [Valencia et al. 2008], Slu7 and DDRX3 [Lei et al. 2012]). There have also been quite a few reports describing how the association of certain RNA-binding proteins to an RNA promotes its nuclear retention. Factors that are known to have this activity include not only PTB, but also hnRNP A2 (Levesque et al. 2006), U170K (Hacisuleyman et al. 2014). Our data suggest that although splicing may not be required for the export of every RNA, splicing does help to export those that have certain nuclear retention elements, such as the one found in the βg gene (Fig. 7). Interestingly, splicing does not effectively promote the export of mRNAs that contain a 5′ splice site motif in their 3′ UTR (Lee et al. 2015), or nuclear retention elements found in certain lncRNAs (Hacisuleyman et al. 2014).

Large-scale analyses of mRNA distribution have indicated that a significant fraction of human mRNAs is predominantly distributed to the nucleus at steady state (Djebali et al. 2012). This diverse distribution of mRNA has a profound effect on the proteome of human cells. Ultimately a deeper understanding of all the cis-elements that govern nuclear retention and export will help us to understand how various mRNAs are either exported or retained in the nucleus.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plasmid constructs

MHC-ftz-Δi, c-ftz-Δi, MHC-βG-Δi, MHC-ftz-Δi-βG-Δi, βG-Δi, βG-i, in pCDNA3 were described previously (Palazzo et al. 2007; Valencia et al. 2008; Akef et al. 2013; Lee et al. 2015). The series of MHC-ftzΔi and MHC-βG-Δi deletions were performed using primers that anneal upstream and downstream of the sequence to be deleted. After the PCR, the products were treated with DpnI, polynucleotide kinase (PNK) and ligated using T4 DNA ligase. The F1-βG-Δi to F4-βG-Δi constructs were generated using restriction-free cloning (van den Ent and Löwe 2006), where the respective sequence was amplified using c-ftz-Δi as a template. The PCR products were run on a gel and purified using DNA extraction kit (Qiagen). The purified products were inserted right after the start codon of βG-Δi using a PCR reaction. The RCMHC-ftz-Δi-βG-Δi and βG-Δi-βG-Δi were constructed by amplifying MHC-ftz-Δi or βG-Δi using a reverse primer that contained a HindIII site just upstream of the stop codon. The PCR products were digested with HindIII and ligated into βG-Δi pcDNA3 that was cut with the same enzyme. The series of B1-βG-Δi to B3-βG-Δi constructs were generated by amplifying 330-nt sequences from βG-Δi using a reverse primer that contained a KpnI site and ligated into βG-Δi pcDNA 3 that was cut with the same enzyme. To generate B1-Δi construct, a forward primer that anneals downstream from the XhoI site and a reverse primer that anneals...
to the end of the B1 insert in the B1-βG-Δi construct were used in a PCR reaction that had βG-Δi as a template. The PCR products were DpnII treated, PPK treated, and ligated using T4 DNA ligase. The βG-ftz intron EJ1 and βG-ftz intron EJ2 constructs were generated by amplifying the intron of ftz-i using primers that anneal at their 5′ ends to the endogenous exon–exon junctions 1 and 2 of βG-Δi. These introns were then inserted into βG-Δi by restriction-free cloning PCR amplification. DH5α E. coli cells were transformed with the cloned plasmids. A description of the various constructs used in the study is shown in Supplemental Table 1.

**Cell lines and tissue culture**

Human osteosarcoma (U2OS) cells were maintained in high glucose DMEM (Wisent) containing 10% FBS (Wisent) and antibiotics (Sigma). Mouse fibroblasts (NIH 3T3) were maintained in high glucose DMEM containing 10% calf serum (Wisent) and antibiotics (Sigma). The following drugs were used: puromycin (Sigma) was used at 200 μM, homoharringtonine (Tocris Bioscience) was used at 5 μM, and α-amanitin (Sigma) was used at 1 μg/mL.

**Transfection, microinjection, FISH, and immunostaining**

Cells were plated on 22 × 22 mm acid-washed cover slips (VWR) in 35 mm mammalian tissue culture dishes (Thermo Scientific) for 24 h prior to injection or transfection. Cells were transfected using GenJet in vitro DNA Transfection Reagent for U2OS (SigmaGen Laboratories) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. DNA microinjections were performed as previously described (Gueroossou et al. 2010). Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) mRNA staining was performed as previously described (Gueroossou et al. 2010). The probe oligonucleotide sequences used included anti-fsz (GTGCGATGCTTCCTGCTGTCGGTGTGATGGCGGACCACACACCCCAT), anti-βG (CTTCATCCAGCTCAGTATACCCGACGCAGCTTCTCCTCCGAGTGCA), and anti-MHC probe (TCTGATTGCAGGCAAGGGCGGAGGCACAGCAGCTTTTTCG). The RNA was reverse-transcribed using Superscript III (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. qPCR was performed by mixing the cDNA with Power Sybr Green Master Mix (Invitrogen) and the reaction was run on a CFX384 Touch Real Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad). The efficiency of the IP reaction was confirmed by separating the cell lysate and immunoprecipitates by SDS–PAGE, transferring the proteins to nitrocellulose and immunoblotting for UAP56 using rabbit anti-UAP56 antibodies (Sigma).

**RNA immunoprecipitation**

RNA IP was performed as previously described (Akef et al. 2013; Lee et al. 2015). FLAG-TAP plasmid (inserted in p3xFlag-CMV-10 vector, as previously described [Lykke-Andersen et al. 2001]) was cotransfected with the various reporter plasmids. For UAP56 pull-downs, cell lysate from transfected cells was incubated for 10–14 h with either rat anti-UAP56 antibodies (Yamazaki et al. 2010) or rat preimmune serum prebound to protein G sepharose (Invitrogen). For FLAG-TAP pull-downs, cell lysate from transfected cells was incubated with ANTI-FLAG M2 Affinity Gel beads (A2220, Sigma–Aldrich) or protein A beads for 2.5 h. cDNA was synthesized using SuperScript III (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. qPCR was performed by mixing the cDNA with Power Sybr Green Master Mix (Invitrogen) and the reaction was run on a CFX384 Touch Real Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad). The efficiency of the IP reaction was confirmed by separating the cell lysate and immunoprecipitates by SDS–PAGE, transferring the proteins to nitrocellulose and immunoblotting for UAP56 using rabbit anti-UAP56 antibodies (Sigma).

**SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL**

Supplemental material is available for this article.
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