Single-cycle all-fiber frequency comb
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ABSTRACT

Single-cycle pulses with a deterministic carrier-envelope phase enable the study and control of light–matter interactions at the sub-cycle timescale, as well as the efficient generation of low-noise multi-octave frequency combs. However, current single-cycle light sources are difficult to implement and operate, hindering their application and accessibility in a wider range of research. In this paper, we present a single-cycle 100 MHz frequency comb in a compact, turnkey, and reliable all-silica-fiber format. This is achieved by amplifying 2 μm seed pulses in heavily doped Tm:fiber, followed by cascaded self-compression to yield 6.8 fs pulses with 215 kW peak power and 374 mW average power. The corresponding spectrum covers more than two octaves, from below 700 up to 3500 nm. Driven by this single-cycle pump, supercontinuum with 180 mW of integrated power and a smooth spectral amplitude between 2100 and 2700 nm is generated directly in silica fibers. To broaden applications, few-cycle pulses extending from 6 μm to beyond 22 μm with a long-term stable carrier-envelope phase are created using the intra-pulse difference frequency, and electro-optic sampling yields comb-tooth-resolved spectra. Our work demonstrates the first all-fiber configuration that generates single-cycle pulses and provides a practical source to study nonlinear optics on the same timescale.

I. INTRODUCTION

Since the invention of the first femtosecond lasers, 1 continuous efforts have been invested into pushing the duration of laser pulses toward the limit of a single-cycle. Implementation of sub-two-cycle sources has been achieved using Ti:sapphire technology,2,3 filamentation,4 and optical parametric amplification.5 In 2011, researchers demonstrated single-cycle pulse synthesis utilizing silica fibers,6 and coherent pulse synthesis became another promising approach.7 Nevertheless, all-fiber single-cycle frequency combs with nj pulse energy and a high repetition rate (> 50 MHz) are still absent. Applications exploring sub-cycle light–matter interactions, such as probing valence band electron motion,8 studying sub-cycle electron dynamics,9,10 and sub-cycle current control,11 require nj-level, near single-cycle pulses with precise carrier-envelope-phase (CEP) control/stabilization. In the more classical nonlinear optics field, the envelope-based propagation equations are expected to be able to simulate single-cycle pulses14–16 and possibly describe sub-cycle dynamics.17 However, experimental validation is hindered by the availability of single-cycle sources. Supercontinuum generation (SCG) from a single-cycle driving pulse remains an unexplored field both experimentally and theoretically. Intrinsically, single-cycle pulses have an in-phase octave-spanning spectrum and high peak power (> 100 kW). When generated at high repetition rates and sent into planar waveguides or optical fibers, frequency combs can be coherently and efficiently expanded to multi-octaves.18,19 In addition, such pulses can drive intra-pulse difference frequency generation (IP-DFG) for broadband mid-infrared (MIR) spectra. While the theory describing single-cycle pulse generation via self-compression in optical fibers has been developed in 2005,20 the
technology to make their availability widespread has not previously existed.

A fiber laser source of single-cycle frequency comb has the benefits of being turnkey, permanently aligned, robust, and accessible for researchers outside the laser physics community. This could lead to new research areas and opportunities in multiple fields. Among all wavelengths, the Tm/Ho:fiber band (1900–2200 nm) is most promising and interesting. This wavelength resides at the edge of the MIR while affording good transparency with mature, reliable, and commercially available silica fiber components, leading to unique advantages in terms of amplification, dispersion engineering, and access to still longer wavelengths. Over the past few years, 2 μm lasers reaching two optical cycles by self-compression were reported, with pulse duration as short as 9.4 fs from an all-fiber frequency comb at 100 MHz repetition rate. Unfortunately, accumulated higher order dispersion (HOD, β3 and higher orders) degrades the pulse temporal quality by inducing a pedestal and satellite pulses, making it difficult to reach the single-cycle realm.

In this paper, we present an all-fiber-integrated solution that realizes pulses at the limit of a single-cycle and 100 MHz repetition rate. The frequency comb spectrum covers greater than two octaves—from 700 to 3500 nm. Figure 1(a) illustrates the concept of this work. It is noteworthy that we achieve single-cycle pulses and this spectrum using cascaded self-compression exclusively in silica fiber and without any additional optical elements or materials. This achievement is built on a novel amplifier with only 14 cm of core-pumped, double-clad Tm-doped fiber (2C-TDF) that provides ~460 mW of power. After chirped-pulse-amplification (CPA), cascaded self-compression in silica fibers leads to 6.8 fs pulses with 374 mW average power. The configuration uses only polarization-maintaining (PM) silica fiber components, and the output polarization extinction ratio (PER) is more than 15 dB. Full nonlinear simulations show excellent agreement with our experimental results, both in the spectral and temporal domains. Wavelengths greater than 2200 nm are typically considered beyond the conventional working range of silica fibers. However, we show that efficient supercontinuum (SCG) up to 3.5 μm is indeed feasible driven by single-cycle pulses, promising new opportunities in coherent SCG and filling the gap between experiment and simulations from eight years ago.

By simply propagating in an additional 2.5 cm of nonlinear fiber, we generate a smooth supercontinuum spectrum covering 2.1–2.6 μm, with more than 180 mW between 2.1 and 2.8 μm. Finally, by focusing the 6.8 fs pulse into a single crystalline CdSiP2 (CSP) crystal, we generate an 860 μW MIR spectrum centered at 8.5 μm through IP-DFG. The MIR pulse electrical field is retrieved by electro-optical sampling (EOS), yielding a pulse duration of about 63 fs or 2.3 optical cycles. Similarly, pumping an orientation-patterned
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**FIG. 1.** (a) Concept of the experiment creating MIR frequency combs based on a single-cycle all-fiber pump at 2 μm. (b) Experimental setup of the Tm-doped fiber amplifier. All optical components in this setup are polarization maintaining. T1: terminated port. MLL: mode-locked laser. ISO: isolator. WDM: wavelength division multiplexer. EDF: Er-doped fiber. HNLF: highly nonlinear fiber. 2C-TDF: double-cladding Tm-doped fiber. TEC: thermal-electric controller. (c) Self-frequency-shifted soliton in the 2 μm range, which is used as a seed pulse (blue trace). A longer HNLF length and higher 980 nm diode pump power leads to a soliton shift up to 2.27 μm.
gallium arsenide (OP-GaAs) crystal provides a 270 μW MIR spectrum until 25 μm with a corresponding pulse duration of 120 fs (3.3 optical cycles). EOS averaging resolves the 100 MHz comb tooth structure.

II. SINGLE-CYCLE ALL-FIBER LASER

A straightforward fiber-integrated frequency shift from a 1.5 μm Er: fiber laser allows access to the Tm amplifier band near 2 μm.29-32 As illustrated in Fig. 1(a), the 2 μm acts as the seed to the 2C-TDF CPA. The most straightforward, general, and broadband approach to suppress HOD accumulation is to reduce the CPA fiber length, which is a unique solution for Tm-doped fiber CPAs. Compared with Yb3+ and Er3+ ions, Al-doped silica glass can host much higher concentration of Tm3+ ions without clustering,23 leading to commercially available highly doped TDFs. Thus, we employ highly doped Tm:fiber in the present CPA, successfully reducing the gain length by 14 times compared to our previous design.26 Short gain fiber length greatly suppresses HOD accumulation and outputs even higher average power, leading to better pulse quality, shorter pulse duration, and higher peak power.

Figure 1(b) shows the complete laser layout, sitting on a 25 × 45 cm breadboard. A 1.56 μm, 100 MHz repetition rate Menlo Systems figure 9 mode-locked laser (MLL) is amplified from 20 to 190 mW in a backward-pumped PM erbium-doped fiber (EDF). A dedicated port on the MLL is used to phase lock the two degrees of freedom of the frequency comb. After self-compression, the pulse duration decreases to about 35 fs when entering PM highly nonlinear fiber (HNLF1). At 1.55 μm, the HNLF1 group velocity dispersion (GVD) is ≈7.82 ps²/km and nonlinear parameter is 10 (W km)⁻¹. The soliton self-frequency shift (SSFS) from HNLF1 seeds the thulium-doped fiber amplifier (TDFA). We selected the 1930 nm soliton wavelength for optimal CPA performance, shown by the blue trace of Fig. 1(c). Notably, this seed soliton covers the bandwidth of TDF emission (1800 ~ 2200 nm). When the HNLF1 length increases to 60 cm and diode power increases to 1 W (1500 mA), the soliton continues to shift up to 2.27 μm with 20 mW average power. This soliton could be directly used in NH₃, CO, and CH₄ spectroscopy. Further shifting is stopped by perturbation from high dispersion slope and/or higher loss at longer wavelength.34 The seed soliton is stretched with 1 m PM2000D fiber, reaching a near-normal dispersion in the TDF and to avoid noticeable nonlinear effects in CPA. An isolator (ISO2) follows the PM2000D to minimize backward reflections and increase the seed PER. After the PM2000D, ISO2, and wavelength division multiplexer (WDM4), the 1930 nm seed soliton has about 20 mW average power with 93 nm 3 dB bandwidth. A small segment of 2C-TDF (PM-TDF-10P/130-HE, 10 μm core diameter) was spliced between WDM3 and WDM4. The 2C-TDF and increase efficiency. However, the current limiting factor of the total fiber length comes from the PM fiber splitter, not the pump wavelength.

An off-axis parabolic (OAP) metal mirror (0.2 dB loss) collimates the laser output. The pulse duration is characterized by a second-harmonic-generation frequency resolved optical gating (SHG-FROG) setup that uses all reflective optics and then further confirmed by simulation using the same HNLF2 parameters as shown in Ref. 26. After collimation, the pulse front tilt can be perfectly compensated with a 1 mm fused silica window. We show the experimentally recorded and numerically reconstructed FROG spectrogram in Fig. 2(a) over a ±150 fs range, indicating a good agreement. From the spectrogram, the entire central/main pulse is in phase. To estimate the pulse quality, the FROG scan is increased to ±800 fs range. Figure 2(b) shows the FROG retrieved and simulated pulse in the temporal domain with 1% reconstruction error, defined by equation (A.2) of Ref. 35. Negligible energy exists beyond ~200 or ~500 fs, so we only plot ~200 to 500 fs [the inset of Fig. 2(b)]. Zooming into ±200 fs, the experimental pulse duration is 6.8 fs or 1.05 optical cycle at 1930 nm. The experimental pulse matches well with the simulated pulse (6.7 fs) in both pulse shape and duration. This TDFA generates remarkably minor satellite pulses and concentrates more power in the main pulse. Notably, the pulse has very little pedestal, owing to the small HOD accumulation. More than 50% of the power is in the central pulse, leading to higher than 215 kW peak power. The ratio of the integrated relative intensity noise, σ, is 0.03% (100 Hz to 10 MHz), detailed in the supplementary material.

To record the compressed output spectrum, we used three spectrometers for visible, near-infrared, and MIR bands. Yokogawa 6374 and 6375 cover the spectrum from 350 to 1200 nm and 1200 to 2400 nm, respectively. An ARCoptix MIR spectrometer together...
FIG. 2. (a) Experimental (left) and reconstructed (right) SHG-FROG spectrogram. (b) Retrieved (solid) and simulated (dashed) pulse in the temporal domain. Inset: pulse temporal structure from \(-200\) to \(500\) fs. (c) Experimentally recorded spectrum (solid) superimposed with simulation (dashed) of the 6.8 fs pulse. The noise floor of each spectrometer is plotted in gray. Note that the green light around 500 nm is in a higher order mode. (d) Output spectrum after further propagating the pulse in longer HNLF2 length. For spectroscopic applications, we also indicate the absorption range of several greenhouse gas and CO after 2 \(\mu\)m. In (c) and (d), all spectra are scaled to experimentally measured power.

with 2750 \(\pm\) 250 nm and 2.8–5.3 \(\mu\)m bandpass (BP) filters covers the remaining range. The collimated laser beam passes the 2750 nm BP filter at about 45\(^\circ\) incident angle, shifting the BP filter center to about 2500 nm. In Fig. 2(c), we show the recorded spectrum at 374 mW output power, together with noise floors of the spectrometers. No residual 1.55 \(\mu\)m pump exists at any output levels. Self-compressed pulses typically yield a structured central region of the spectrum and smooth wings favoring the long wavelength side.\(^{20,25,26,36–38}\) Such distinct features still exist in both experiment and simulations when self-compression yields single-cycle pulses [Fig. 2(c)], also predicted by other group.\(^{20}\) These “fingerprints” can serve in the empirical estimation of the optimal HNLF length for self-compression. The recorded spectrum covers more than two octaves directly from this all-fiber system. The carrier-envelope-offset frequency has more than 30 dB signal-to-noise ratio at 100 kHz resolution, detailed in the supplementary material. Surprisingly, the spectrum expands even beyond 2.4 \(\mu\)m and continues up to 3.5 \(\mu\)m. Considering the high peak power, short propagation length, silica glass transmission up to 4 \(\mu\)m,\(^{27}\) and removal of OH bonds in optical fibers,\(^{39,40}\) it is reasonable to observe the spectrum beyond 3 \(\mu\)m. As a result, one can expect that a longer HNLF2 length might generate even more power after 2.4 \(\mu\)m. The peaks at 2.7, 3, and 3.5 \(\mu\)m are not predicted by our modeling—they most likely originate from dispersive waves\(^{28}\) or four-wave-mixing.

We simulated the single-cycle pulse formation using the generalized nonlinear Schrödinger equation (GNLSE) detailed in Ref. 15, including the Raman response, shock term, and up to sixth order of dispersion. Our past study\(^{20}\) showed that the HNLF2 GVD is \(-13\) ps\(^2\)/km and the nonlinear parameter is 3.1 (W \(\cdot\) km\(^{-1}\)). Using the GNLSE, our simulation covers the 2 \(\mu\)m seed soliton after HNLF1 until the output of HNLF2. In Fig. 2(c), the simulation results are superimposed with the experimental spectrum. The simulation shows good agreement with the experiment even at single-cycle pulse duration and reproduces all features at the short wavelength.
side until 400 nm. This implies that the GNLS is still valid at a single-cycle and that the GNLS approach is precise to use as a design tool to model pulse formation in the single-cycle domain. It should be noted that the green light is produced in a higher order mode and cannot be predicted by our model. In addition, our power sweep from 200 up to 374 mW (eight cycles to single-cycle) shows good matching between numerical (GNLS simulation) and experimental (FROG retrieval) results, detailed in the supplementary material. Nevertheless, a more precise simulation of single-cycle pulse formation and further propagation will greatly benefit from complete knowledge of HNLF properties. Based on previous research, the important factors are the fiber geometry, W-shaped dispersion profile, wavelength-dependent effective area, wavelength-dependent propagation loss, and dispersion fluctuations. Those parameters might help us to correctly identify the origin of the three peaks after 2.4 μm [Fig. 2(c)].

It has been widely accepted that the significant frequency comb coverage beyond 2.2 μm is not feasible in an all-silica fiber system. This is because (1) coherent SCG is hindered by the increased propagation loss in silica fibers and (2) rare earth emission beyond 2.2 μm is strongly suppressed by multi-phonon relaxation. The greenhouse gases and atmospheric pollutants have absorption peaks between 2 and 2.6 μm, making this an important spectroscopic region. To extend the fiber laser spectrum beyond the silica window, people have invested significant effort in soft-glass fibers and planar waveguides. When pumped at 1.5 μm, Si₃N₄ (SiN) is a promising waveguide platform for this purpose, and dual-comb spectroscopy at 2–3 μm has been demonstrated. Still, an all-fiber source providing a flat and bright spectrum in this range can be a more integrated, accessible, and robust solution for frequency comb spectroscopy. In addition, the intrinsic high mode quality of a single mode fiber allows easy SCG collimation with a commercial OAP collimator. With our single-cycle source, we show efficient SCG generation beyond 2.2 μm in a small segment of silica fiber. Both the short pump pulse and short nonlinear fiber lead to better SCG coherence, which is critical for frequency comb spectroscopy.

By simply increasing the HNLF2 length, we found that the optimal length for the flat SCG spectrum is 5 cm. In Fig. 2(d), we show the power spectral density of the SCG from two HNLF segments at the same EDFA pump power with 1 nm resolution. The average output power is 340 mW from 5 cm of HNLF and 350 mW from 4 cm of HNLF, which is a result of photon energy loss due to Raman interaction. The 5 cm HNLF2 SCG has two flat regions, covering 2–2.6 μm and 2.8–3.2 μm. A 5 mm ultraviolet fused silica window placed in the beam path can block the spectrum beyond 2.8 μm, proving that the spectrum is not an artifact. The total power from 2.1 to 2.8 μm is 180 mW, and the region after 2.8 μm contains 200 μW power. This SCG directly from HNLF provides higher power than SiN waveguides in the range of 2–2.8 μm and comparable power beyond 2.8 μm. Tapering of the HNLF could be a method to improve the dispersive wave efficiency at longer wavelengths.

III. MIR GENERATION AND CHARACTERIZATION

In this section, we show MIR IP-DFG using two types of nonlinear crystals, OP-GaAs and CSP, as an immediate application demonstration of the single-cycle pump. The comb teeth in the MIR pulses are resolved using EOS. Therefore, this also serves as a direct proof that the pump is a comb source with a long-term stable repetition rate and carrier-envelope-offset frequency.

Coherent light sources in the MIR frequency range (3–25 μm) are critical for molecular spectroscopy as applied to fundamental materials science and chemistry, environmental monitoring, the determination of protein structure, combustion analysis, and medical diagnostics, such as breath analysis. With high peak power and a broad spectrum, the output pulse is suitable to produce MIR pulses through IP-DFG, which we explore with both OP-GaAs and CSP. Other crystals, such as GaSe and ZnGeP₂, crystals, were studied by several groups, and an overview of different crystals can be found in Ref. 67. The boxed part of Fig. 3 illustrates the experimental setup for IP-DFG. After OAP1, the collimated beam passes through 5 mm of MgF₂ to provide extra dispersion tunability for higher IP-DFG efficiency. Two OAP focal lengths are used to maximize the MIR generation in different crystals. An OAP with 2.54 cm focal length focuses the laser to 9 μm diameter at full-width-half-maximum (FWHM), while a focal length of 3.8 cm provides a FWHM of 12 μm.

CSP has good MIR transmission, high damage threshold, good thermal conductivity, large bandgap, reduced loss for 2 μm pumps, and high nonlinear. In addition, its relatively flat refractive index in the MIR window is beneficial for broadband MIR spectrum generation. A 500 μm thick type-II CSP crystal is used in this setup with 9 μm focal spot size. A three-dimensional translation stage, together with a rotation mount, gives us full spatial tunability of the CSP crystal. OP-GaAs, on the other hand, allows MIR transmission beyond 15 μm and enables quasi-phase matching and we also investigated several 1 mm-thick OP-GaAs crystals of different periods. In this case, the 2 μm pump avoids the two-photon-absorption process in OP-GaAs. OAP3 collects the output MIR and residual pump light. The OP-GaAs crystal is anti-reflection coated for 6 ~ 15 μm. Notably, this is the first experiment studying IP-DFG in OP-GaAs crystals. After a 4.5 μm longpass filter, we used a thermal power meter to measure the MIR power and analyze the spectra with dual-comb EOS. The same MIR spectrometer mentioned previously is used for real-time optimization of MIR power.

Figure 4(a) shows the MIR pulse electrical field after ~30 min averaging (or 2¹⁴ waveforms) of the dual-comb EOS, indicating a

![FIG. 3. Experimental setup for MIR frequency comb generation and EOS pulse characterization. The boxed part generates the MIR power, and the rest of the setup is for EOS characterization. OAP: off-axis parabolic mirror, MIR crystal: OP-GaAs or CSP crystal, Sp. filter: short pass filter, OAP: off-axis parabolic mirror, W.Pol: Wollaston polarizer, C1 & C2: collimators 1 and 2, balanced PD: balanced photodetector, and Oscil: oscilloscope.](image-url)
FIG. 4. (a) The electrical field of the MIR pulse from CSP. The MIR pulse is about two optical cycles. (b) The MIR pulse spectrum from CSP after Fourier transform. The resolution is 1 GHz. (c) The EOS retrieved electrical field from OP-GaAs. This sample has a period of 79 μm. (d) MIR spectrum from OP-GaAs with three poling periods. The spectra come from Fourier transform of the corresponding electrical field with 1 GHz resolution. The CO$_2$ absorption line at 15 μm is clear. Inset: resolved comb lines spaced by 100 MHz near the peak of the MIR spectrum.

near transform-limited electric field, as detailed in the supplementary material. The pulse duration is about 63 fs or close to two optical cycles at 8.5 μm. The corresponding spectrum is shown in Fig. 4(b). The MIR power is measured to be 860 μW after the longpass filter without correction of Fresnel reflection. At the −20 dB level, the spectrum covers from 7 to 10 μm. The dips at about 10.5 and 12 μm are due to impurity contamination in CSP. Water absorption lines are recorded around 6–7 μm. This spectrum covers the absorption bands of many molecules, such as O$_3$, CH$_3$OH, and OCS, and the dip at 15 μm is due to CO$_2$. The inset of Fig. 4(b) shows a zoomed comb structure at the peak of the MIR spectrum with 100 MHz line-spacing after averaging for five hours (216 samples).

The transmission window of CSP goes up to 10 μm, as indicated in Fig. 4(b). In order to generate MIR combs at a longer wavelength, we switched to OP-GaAs crystals. The OP-GaAs crystals lead to most efficient MIR IP-DFG with 5 cm of HNLF2, whose spectrum is shown in Fig. 2(d). At 5 cm HNLF length, we estimated the peak power to be more than 110 kW. Meanwhile, this pump pulse provides more power at the phase-matched signal wavelength, leading to more efficient conversion than directly sending the 6.8 fs pump. Three-photon absorption is not observed, as 3.8 cm focal length OAP gives higher MIR power than OAP with 5 cm focal length. In addition, MIR power is stable over at least 4 h, so we believe that no photodarkening occurred in the crystal. In Figs. 4(c) and 4(d), we show the electric field and spectrum from OP-GaAs. The MIR pulse duration is about 120 fs for all three samples. In Fig. 4(d), we show the MIR spectrum calculated from the Fourier transformed EOS signal. These OP-GaAs samples are coated with anti-reflection (AR) coating for the 2 μm band and the MIR band up to about 14 μm. However, the AR coating becomes less effective beyond this wavelength, giving rise to the Etalon fringes seen in the spectra in Fig. 4(d). The vibration of CO$_2$ at 15 μm is well-pronounced. All three samples generate about 270 μW MIR power measured after the longpass filter. The spectrum covering 9–11 μm is interesting for frequency comb spectroscopy, especially for gases with fundamental resonant frequency in this range, such as CH$_3$OH, NH$_3$, and CH$_3$Br.

IV. FURTHER WORK AND CONCLUSION

To conclude, we presented an all-PM-fiber approach to provide 2 μm single-cycle optical pulses using only commercial components. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time that single-cycle pulses are created directly at 100 MHz repetition rate using an all-fiber configuration. This single-cycle source can be CEP-stabilized and accessible to most researchers in the optics community with turnkey and maintenance-free operation. Due to the high doping concentration of 2C-TDF, we managed to use the most straightforward, most broadband, and most reliable approach to suppress the HOD accumulation. This configuration is general, i.e., it is possible to scale up the peak power, repetition rate, and even the operation wavelength. For example, commercially available TDFs can have a small signal absorption of 300 dB/m at 1.55 μm, so it is possible to scale the average power in a similar TDFA length as would be required to achieve comparable pulse energy at GHz repetition rates. Similarly, using 1.6 μm pump and/or TDF with higher doping concentration can provide much higher average power. Such improvements could explore the possibility of fiber-based sub-cycle pulse generation using self-compression. Customized fibers, such as photonic crystal fiber or fluoride fiber, for the self-compression stage should make MW peak powers accessible in an all-fiber configuration. We would also like to point out that Tm-doped or Ho-
doped silicate and germanate fiber can reach higher doping concentration. As a result, a similar approach could apply to these gain fibers as well, leading to sub-2 cycle pulses at 2.1 μm range.

We then demonstrated two immediate applications of the single-cycle driving pulse—SCG and IP-DFG. Pumped by the single-cycle pulses, we further introduce an all-fiber approach to efficiently provide frequency comb spectra up to 3.5 μm, with the possibility to improve the efficiency. Notably, the spectrum almost covers the entire MIR transmission window of silica glass. Coherent SCG in silica fibers with such coverage has only been showed numerically, proving the possibility of new research aspects with single-cycle driving pulses. With 180 mW and a flat spectrum in 2.1–2.8 μm, this all-fiber system should be ideal for frequency comb spectroscopy aimed at monitoring greenhouse gases and atmospheric pollutants in this spectral window. The power spectral density of the comb exceeds or is on par with some of the most carefully designed waveguides for this wavelength window. Special fibers, such as silica photonic crystal fibers or soft-glass fibers, can be spliced with low loss to our laser and lead to a broader spectrum with higher power beyond 3 μm. In addition, we anticipate more power to be generated beyond 2.8 μm by tapering the silica PM-HNLF.

Finally, we demonstrated MIR frequency comb generation using IP-DFG. With a CSP crystal, we generated 860 μW, 63 fs CEP stable pulse centered at 8.5 μm. OP-GaAs, with better transmission in MIR, yields the spectrum centered from 9.5 to 11 μm, with 270 μW power. Using EOS, we can easily observe the CO₂ resonance near 15 μm in only 3 m beam path length. The MIR spectrum centered around 10 μm is particularly interesting for high sensitivity spectroscopy. In addition, the resolved MIR comb lines also showed that the stabilized commercial frequency comb can be converted to single-cycle pulses in the all-fiber configuration with low noise and timing jitter. The single-cycle fiber laser and the IP-DFG setup are operated for at least five hours per day since September 2020. The laser output power, spectrum, pulse duration, and PER remain the same, and no maintenance has been performed. The IP-DFG spectrum and power remain the same for both OP-GaAs and CSP crystals.

In pushing the limits of pulse duration and spectral bandwidth, our effort has revealed the need for additional future research in several areas. First, improved simulation tools will be essential for accurate simulation of the single-cycle pulse driven SCG or IP-DFG. For example, for MIR generation, more work is needed to further improve the efficiency from OP-GaAs and understand the pulse propagation dynamics in the crystal. We also note that our simulations indicate the possibility of achieving sub-cycle pulses by self-compression. However, more work is required both theoretically and experimentally to characterize and understand the associated nonlinear optics in the sub-cycle regime. Second, when using our laser with free space components, pulse front tilt is inevitable due to the two-octave spectrum. At 6.8 fs pulse duration, it is important to avoid or compensate the pulse front tilt. Finally, it is well-known that EDF can induce extra dispersion around its gain/absorption peak. Currently, no resonant dispersion from TDF was noticed—it is absent in both interferometry dispersion measurement and MLL with heavily doped TDF (300 dB/m pump absorption). However, our configuration is different, having 20× gain within 14 cm gain fiber. The contribution of TDF resonant dispersion in our system remains to be explored.

**SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL**

See the supplementary material for relative intensity noise measurement, temporal pulse evolution, carrier-envelope measurement, and more details on the mid-infrared comb.
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