Excimer laser atherectomy combined with drug-coated balloon angioplasty for the treatment of chronic obstructive femoropopliteal arterial disease
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Abstract. The aim of the present retrospective study was to evaluate the feasibility, safety and the primary results following application of excimer laser atherectomy (ELA) combined with adjunctive drug-coated balloon angioplasty (DCBA) as the first-line endovascular treatment for patients with chronic obstructive femoropopliteal arterial disease. The baseline characteristics and angiographic variables of all patients who underwent ELA for stable chronic obstructive femoropopliteal arterial disease at Tianjin First Central Hospital (Tianjin, China) between May and December 2017 were collected. Information on clinical characteristics, including the 12-month primary patency rate, technical success rate, procedural success rate, bailout stenting rate, target lesion revascularization and major adverse events, was obtained following review of the patients’ medical records. A descriptive analysis was performed on all variables. Kaplan-Meier curves were plotted for the primary patency rate. The present study included 17 consecutive patients (age, 68.9±7.4 years; 94.1% males) who were followed up for 12 months after the intervention. Adjunctive BA was performed in 100% of the cases. The occlusion length was 23.3±8.9 cm (range, 5.6-40.5 cm). The technical success rate was 100% and the procedural success rate was 82.4%. Bailout stenting was required in 5 of the 17 patients (29.4%) and the 12-month primary patency rate was 82.4%. The clinically driven target lesion revascularization rate was 5.9% at 12 months. An embolic protection device was used in 23.5% of the patients. The following adverse events were reported: Distal embolization requiring treatment, 5.9% (1 patient with embolic protection device); and flow-limiting dissection requiring treatment, 5.9%. In the present study, there were no major adverse events (all-cause death, unplanned major amputation or target lesion revascularization) at 30 days after the intervention. Therefore, ELA combined with adjunctive DCBA for the treatment of chronic obstructive femoropopliteal arterial disease appears to be safe, practicable and associated with a high procedural success rate; furthermore, endoluminal-driven atherectomy may effectively reduce the requirement for stent placement in the lower limb arteries and is associated with long-term patency.

Introduction

Endovascular stent technology is a method commonly employed for treating peripheral arterial disease (PAD) and recent developments in this field have advanced conventional treatments, including percutaneous transluminal angioplasty (PTA), which is commonly used in patients with chronic lower limb PAD, e.g. elastic recoil, residual stenosis and flow-limiting dissection (1-6). However, secondary interventions may be required in several patients with PAD treated with stenting due to femoropopliteal in-stent restenosis, which is a regular defect associated with long and complex lesions (7,8). It has been reported that femoropopliteal in-stent restenosis occurs during the first year of treatment in 18-40% of patients who have undergone stenting in the femoropopliteal segment (9,10).

Aggressive debulking may be applied for intravascular plaque treatment, hyperproliferative endometrial tissue and clearance of thrombi. The debulking is performed using an excimer laser, an ultraviolet (308 nm) cold-tipped laser that delivers ultra-short energy bursts (0.05 vs. 0.3-2.0 mm/pulse in the hot-tip laser). The photochemical, photothermal and photo-mechanical energy delivered by the excimer laser interacts with tissue, breaks down molecular bonds and creates vapor bubbles that generate kinetic energy. Long total superficial femoral artery (SFA) occlusions, as in the Peripheral Excimer Laser Angioplasty trial, as well as below-the-knee lesions in critical limb ischemia patients have been treated with excimer laser, providing improved results over those obtained with standard PTA alone, as well as excellent limb-salvage rates (11-13). The excimer laser probe is capable of ’step-by-step’ debulking and hence, excimer laser atherectomy (ELA) outperforms other mechanical atherectomy treatments (14).
With the advent of drug-coated balloon (DCB) technology, a potential novel treatment modality for femoropopliteal arterial disease has become available (with or without debulking). DCBs have been associated with inhibition of restenosis in several randomized studies on native SFA lesions with good results (15-17). DCBs alter the mitotic process of the smooth muscle cells and, in turn, confer improvements that may be sustained for at least 2 years. However, the effectiveness of DCB angioplasty (DCBA) has been reported to decline after the first year post-intervention (18,19). The use of DCBA as an additional treatment following ELA has been proven to be superior to ELA treatment alone (20-22). In addition, the abovementioned studies have reported that DCBA treatment is critical for the success and sustained durability of ELA.

To date, little is known on ELA with adjunctive DCBA with regard to patency and target lesion revascularization rates associated with the treatment of stable chronic occlusive disease of the femoropopliteal artery. The aim of the present study was to assess the safety, feasibility and the primary results of ELA combined with adjunctive DCBA therapy in chronic obstructive femoropopliteal arterial disease.

Materials and methods

Study design and patient samples. The present study analyzed the clinical data of 17 consecutive patients with symptomatic chronic obstructive femoropopliteal arterial disease who were hospitalized at Tianjin First Central Hospital (Tianjin, China) between May and December 2017. The analysis included patients aged between 59 and 83 years who were diagnosed with either intermittent claudication or critical limb ischemia (Rutherford categories 3-5) (23). The patients’ ankle-brachial index was ≤0.8 in the target limb in all cases. All patients were treated with ELA (Turbo-Elite laser catheter, Spectranetics; Philips Medical Systems, Inc.), followed by angioplasty using DCBs (Orchid paclitaxel-coated balloon catheter; Acotec Scientific). In addition, Nitinol stents (Everflex; Medtronic Scientific) were only implanted in cases with suboptimal morphology and characteristics, according to the TransAtlantic Inter-Society Consensus (TASC) II classification system (6).

Endovascular procedure. All patients were treated using a standard technique and by the same operators, and were administered dual antiplatelet therapy [100 mg/day (d) aspirin and 75 mg/d clopidogrel] at the time of the procedure. Percutaneous access to the common femoral artery was obtained in all patients. The approach of contralateral crossover was preferred in the majority of cases. Unfractionated heparin was recommended during the endovascular procedure at sufficient doses (Initial dose, 100-150 U/kg; subsequent administrations, 50 U/kg/h) to maintain an activated clotting time of ≥250 sec. In all cases, a 7F or 8F Flexor Balkin Sheath or short sheath (Cook Medical) were employed to establish access. Stenosis/occlusion grade and lesion length were determined angiographically (Fig. 1A). The distal run-off status was also evaluated. The preferred technique used was endoluminal recanalization, which allowed for directly crossing the obstruction with the guidewire [0.035-inch Radiofocus angled soft hydrophilic (Terumo Medical); 0.018-inch V18 control wire (Boston Scientific)] and/or catheters [4F MPA catheter (Cordis); 2.6F CXI (Cook Medical)] avoiding the intentional subintimal approach. A total of seven cases suffered retrograde puncture of the normal artery at the distal end of the occlusion, or retrograde distal run-off vessels (SFA, posterior tibial artery, peroneal artery; Fig. 1B). The excimer laser (CVX-300 Excimer Laser System; Spectranetics; Philips Medical Systems, Inc.; wavelength, 308 nm) was used and the Turbo-Elite laser catheter (2.0-2.5 mm, Spectranetics; Philips Medical Systems, Inc.) that was suitable for the vessel included CT angiography in all patients. The patients underwent follow-up evaluation at 12 months with duplex ultrasound and ankle-brachial index. Clinical examination was performed during the follow-up visits.

Definitions and outcome measures. The primary efficacy endpoint of the present study was the primary patency rate at 12 months. Secondary endpoints included the following: Technical success and acute procedural success rates, clinically driven target lesion revascularization during the 12-month follow-up, bailout stenting during the index procedure, ankle-brachial index and change in the Rutherford category score. Primary patency was defined as <50% diameter stenosis as assessed by duplex ultrasound in the absence of target lesion revascularization, amputation and/or surgical bypass. The primary safety endpoint was assessed as follows: Adverse events occurring during and after the intervention, prior to discharge, at the 30-day follow-up (including urgent target lesion thrombolysis/thrombectomy due to thrombosis/embolism, flow-limiting dissection, perforation, major bleeding and lower extremity bypass) and major adverse events (including all-cause death, unplanned major amputation and target lesion revascularization).
diameter was inserted via a V18 control wire (Fig. 1C). The laser catheter was advanced 0.5-1 mm/sec. The angiographic results of ELA are depicted in Fig. 1D-F. Following ELA, PTA was routinely performed for ≥1 min with pressure-only balloons (Pacific/Admiral Xtreme/Amphirion Deep; Medtronic Vascular) matched to the reference vessel diameter (Fig. 1G). Subsequently, adjunctive DCBA was performed with a paclitaxel-coated (3 µg/mm²) balloon catheter (Orchid; Acotec Scientific) for 3 min (Fig. 1H). Bailout stenting was used for the treatment of flow-limiting dissection or suboptimal angiographic results with residual stenosis (>30%). The use of a distal embolic protection device and the number of passes and energy delivered by ELA were at the operator's discretion. Completion angiography was then performed with distal run-off vessels to evaluate evidence of distal embolization. A successful procedure was assumed if a straight-line flow from the iliac arteries through ≥1 tibial artery to the pedal arteries in the foot was achieved. The final angiographic results are depicted in Fig. 1I-K. A Perclose ProGlide Suture-Mediated Closure System (Abbott Vascular) was used for hemostasis of the common femoral artery access site and a bandage with mild compression was applied for 24 h.

Patients were instructed to continue taking aspirin for ≥12 months and clopidogrel for ≥6 months following the procedure. Vessel calcification was graded angiographically using the following criteria as previously described (27): None/mild, no radio-opacities; moderate, radio-opacities appearing unilaterally on the arterial wall or for a length of <1 cm prior to contrast injection or digital subtraction angiography; and severe, radio-opacities appearing bilaterally on the arterial wall and extending for a length of >1 cm prior to contrast injection or digital subtraction angiography.

Statistical analysis. Continuous variables are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation and categorical variables as n (%). The primary patency rate was analyzed using Kaplan-Meier curves. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference. The pre-procedure ankle-brachial index was compared to the follow-up values using a paired t-test. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 23.0 (IBM Corp.).

Results

Patient characteristics. The patients' demographic and clinical characteristics are summarized in Table I. The mean age of the patients was 68.9±7.4 years and 94.1% of the patients were male. The patients had multiple comorbidities, with a high frequency of hypertension (76.5%), hyperhomocysteinemia (58.8%), cerebrovascular disease (52.9%), smoking history (76.5%) and diabetes (52.9%). The baseline ankle-brachial index of the treated leg was 0.36±0.25. The majority of the patients were severely symptomatic. In detail, a Rutherford category of 3 was determined in 52.9%, a category of 4 in 17.7% and a category of 5 in 29.4% of the cases. The mean hospitalization time was 15.5±2.4 days. The angiographic and procedural detailed characteristics are listed in Table II. The majority of the cases required a contralateral cross-over approach to cross the lesion (88.2%) and 7 cases (41.2%) required retrograde puncture of the normal artery at the distal end of the occlusion. Therefore, lesion crossing was achievable in all patients, including those with heavily calcified lesions, without the assistance of the step-by-step technique. The mean lesion length was 23.3±8.9 cm (range, 5.6-40.5 cm) and 58.8% of the lesions were TASC II type D. All patients had chronic total occlusion in the femoropopliteal artery. Pre-interventional angiography revealed occlusion of the SFA in 41.2% and of the SFA + popliteal artery in 58.8% (including the P1-P3 segment), whereas certain patients also displayed iliac...
artery lesions (17.7%). The lesions were pre-treated with ELA and PTA in all cases. Turbo Elite catheters were used, mainly 2-mm (41.2%), 2.3-mm (41.2%) and 2.5-mm (17.6%) in the femoral and popliteal segments. Proper lumen sizing was generally assured by angioplasty using long (8-20-cm) balloons. The number of pressure-only balloons and DCBs was 2.8±1.2 and 1±0/patient, respectively. One TASC II type D patient experienced flow-limiting dissection. Bailout stenting was required in 5/17 patients who were recanalized by ELA and adjunctive drug-coated BA due to flow-limiting dissection (5.9% of the patients) and recoil (residual stenosis of >30%; 23.5% of the patients). The mean stent length was 182±50.4 mm. The mean number of run-off vessels was 2.1±0.8/patient. A total of 6 patients had a 1-vessel run-off, 4 had a 2-vessel and 7 had a 3-vessel run-off.

### Table I. Demographic and clinical characteristics of the subjects (n=17).

| Variable                                      | Value                        |
|-----------------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Age (years)                                  | 68.9±7.4 (59-83)            |
| Male                                         | 16 (94.1)                    |
| Medical history                              |                              |
| DM                                           | 9 (52.9)                     |
| Hypertension                                 | 13 (76.5)                    |
| Dyslipidemia                                 | 4 (23.5)                     |
| CAD                                          | 6 (35.3)                     |
| Prior PCI/bypass surgery                      | 2 (11.8)                     |
| CVD                                          | 9 (52.9)                     |
| COPD                                         | 1 (5.9)                      |
| Carotid stenosis                             | 6 (35.3)                     |
| Renal artery stenosis                        | 4 (23.5)                     |
| Hyperhomocysteinemia                         | 10 (58.8)                    |
| Homocysteine (µmol/ml)                       | 24.3±12.2 (11.8-119.7)       |
| Current or former smoker                     | 13 (76.5)                    |
| History of other diseases<sup>a</sup>         | 4 (23.5)                     |
| Target lesion side ABI                       | 0.36±0.25 (0-0.82)           |
| Pre-operative Rutherford category            |                              |
| 3                                            | 9 (52.9)                     |
| 4                                            | 3 (17.7)                     |
| 5                                            | 5 (29.4)                     |
| Hospital stay, days (range)                  | 15.5±2.4 (9-28)              |
| Total cost, dollars (range)                  | 18.689±3,347.3 (11,576-25,941) |
| CTO                                          | 17 (100)                     |
| Target lesion side                           |                              |
| Right                                        | 9 (53.0)                     |
| Left                                         | 8 (47.0)                     |

*<sup>a</sup>Nodular goiter, heart dysfunction, lumbar spondylosis. Continuous values are expressed as the means ± standard deviation (range) and categorical data are presented as n (%). DM, diabetes mellitus; CAD, coronary artery disease; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; CVD, cerebrovascular disease; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; ABI, ankle-brachial index; CTO, chronic total occlusion.

### Table II. Detailed angiographic and procedural characteristics for the patients (n=17).

| Variable                                      | Value                        |
|-----------------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Access approach                               |                              |
| Contralateral cross-over                      | 15/17 (88.2)                 |
| Antegrade                                     | 2/17 (11.8)                  |
| Adjunctive access                             | 7/17 (41.2)                  |
| Retrograde distal SFA/popliteal artery PI     | 5/7 (71.4)                   |
| Retrograde PTA                                | 1/7 (14.3)                   |
| Retrograde peroneal artery                    | 1/7 (14.3)                   |
| TASC II                                       |                              |
| B                                            | 5/17 (29.4)                  |
| C                                            | 2/17 (11.8)                  |
| D                                            | 10/17 (58.8)                 |
| Lesion location                               |                              |
| IA                                           | 3/17 (17.7)                  |
| Only SFA                                      | 7/17 (41.2)                  |
| Only popliteal artery                         | 0/17 (0)                     |
| Only SFA and popliteal artery                 | 10/17 (58.8)                 |
| Calcification                                 |                              |
| None/mild                                     | 10/17 (58.8)                 |
| Moderate                                      | 3/17 (17.7)                  |
| Severe                                        | 4/17 (23.5)                  |
| FPA occlusion length (cm)                     | 23.3±8.9 (5.6-40.5)          |
| Below-knee runoff                             | 2±0.8                        |
| 0                                            | 0/17 (0)                     |
| 1                                            | 6/17 (35.3)                  |
| 2                                            | 4/17 (23.5)                  |
| 3                                            | 7/17 (41.2)                  |
| Laser parameters                              |                              |
| Laser catheter size (mm)                      | 2.2±0.2                      |
| 2.0                                          | 7/17 (41.2)                  |
| 2.3                                          | 7/17 (41.2)                  |
| 2.5                                          | 3/17 (17.6)                  |
| Number of balloons/patient                    | 2.8±1.2                      |
| Number of DCBs/patient                        | 1±0                          |
| DCB diameter (mm)                             | 4.5±0.7                      |
| DCB length (mm)                               | 210.9±90.0                   |
| EPD                                          | 4/17 (23.5)                  |
| Bailout stenting                              | 5/17 (29.4)                  |
| Stent length (mm)                             | 182±50.4                     |
| Reason for bailout stenting                   |                              |
| Recoil (residual stenosis >30%)               | 4/17 (23.5)                  |
| Flow-limiting dissection                      | 1/17 (5.9)                   |

Continuous values are expressed as the means ± standard deviation (range) and categorical data are presented as n/total (%). SFA, superficial femoral artery; PTA, posterior tibial artery; IA, iliac artery; FPA, femoropopliteal artery; DCB, drug-coated balloon; EPD, embolic protection device; BTK, below the knee; ATA, anterior tibial artery; ELA, excimer laser atherectomy; TASC II, TransAtlantic Inter‑Society Consensus II classification.
Procedural outcomes. A summary of the procedural outcomes is provided in Table III. The primary patency rate was 82.4% at 12 months (Table III; Fig. 2), meeting the primary efficacy endpoint. Two patients with duplex ultrasound evidence of restenosis had an ankle-brachial index of >0.7 and were Rutherford category 1-2; therefore, repeat intervention was not performed. Technical and procedural success rates were 100 and 88.2%, respectively. One TASC II type C patient experienced distal embolization intra-operatively, when balloon dilation of the target lesion after ELA resulted in emboli to the distal popliteal artery, which was successfully treated with rotational thrombectomy (Rotarex®; Straub Medical). Another TASC II type D patient experienced flow-limiting dissection intra-operatively, which was successfully treated with bailout stenting. The rate of clinically driven target lesion revascularization at 12 months was 5.9% (1/17). One patient who reported rest pain was diagnosed with clinically significant acute re-occlusion with duplex ultrasound at 2 months post-intervention and underwent rotational thrombectomy due to acute thrombosis. In patients who underwent repeat intervention, there was restenosis at the edge of the stent. Ulcer healing were observed in all patients with immediate procedural success. None of the patients underwent amputation and no deaths were reported throughout the follow-up period. Table IV summarizes the changes in the ankle-brachial index immediately post-intervention and at 12 months compared with that pre-intervention. There was a significant improvement in the ankle-brachial index from 0.36±0.25 prior to the intervention to a mean value of 0.94±0.13 prior to discharge (P<0.001). In the follow-up period, no significant decrease in the ankle-brachial index (0.81±0.26) compared with the post-interventional control value (0.94±0.13) was obtained (P>0.05). At 6 and 12 months, there were more patients with Rutherford categories of 0 and 1 compared with those at baseline (Fig. 3). Overall, the Rutherford category had improved at 12 months when compared with that at baseline. At baseline, 52.9, 17.7 and 29.4% of the patients were Rutherford category 3, 4 and 5, respectively, and at 12 months, 47.0, 29.4, 11.8 and 11.8% of the patients were Rutherford category 0, 1, 2 and 3, respectively.

Discussion

SFA disease accounts for 40-50% of patients with PAD (28). The first clinical manifestation is frequently the appearance of intermittent claudication. In patients with critical limb ischemia, more severe consequences may occur, which are associated with an increased risk of amputation and mortality (29). It is currently estimated that the incidence of PAD in Chinese patients aged >60 years is 20% (30). A study by Luo et al (31) demonstrated that the all-cause mortality rate for PAD was 22%. Regarding treatment, bypass surgery is considered to be the gold standard for infra-inguinal chronic occlusions (32). However, patients, particularly diabetics, with critical limb ischemia are not considered as candidates for bypass surgery due to the multiple comorbidities and high surgical risk. Subsequently, endovascular therapy has been increasingly used due to its lower morbidity and mortality rates compared with those of bypass surgery. BA plus stenting is the most commonly used technique for revascularization in femoropopliteal arterial disease. However, revascularization of the femoropopliteal arteries with stable long-term results remains a challenge for endovascular specialists. While self-expanding Nitinol stents have demonstrated excellent immediate results, restenosis remains a limitation in the femoropopliteal segment, with restenosis rates of 20-37% at 12 months (2,10,33) and ≤49% at 2 years (4). This makes in-stent occlusion another significant clinical stumbling block. Lesion crossing and recanalization of chronic total occlusions in the femoropopliteal arteries is often challenging.

Table III. Procedural outcomes.

| Variable                              | Value        |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|
| Primary patency rate at 12 months     | 14/17 (82.4) |
| Technical success rate                | 17/17 (100)  |
| Procedural success rate               | 15/17 (88.2) |
| Clinically-driven TLR at 12 months    | 1/17 (5.9)   |
| Adverse events during hospitalization and at 30-day follow-up |          |
| Embolism in all patients              | 1/17 (5.9)   |
| Embolism in patients with EPD         | 1/4 (25)     |
| Distal Embolization requiring treatment | 1/17 (5.9) |
| Thrombosis                            | 0/17 (0)     |
| Flow-limiting dissection              | 1/17 (5.9)   |
| Perforation                           | 0/17 (0)     |
| Major bleeding                        | 0/17 (0)     |
| MAEs                                  |              |
| All-cause death                       | 0/17 (0)     |
| Unplanned minor/major amputation      | 0/17 (0)     |
| TLR                                   | 0/17 (0)     |

The categorical data are presented as n/total (%). EPD, embolic protection device; MAEs, major adverse events; TLR, target lesion revascularization.
ELA involves employing photochemical, photomechanical and photothermal processes to debulk and ablate tissue (thrombi, atherosclerotic plaques) and has been proven to be a useful supplement to chronic obstructive arterial treatment (11,34). The patency rates of successfully treated lesions (freedom from target lesion revascularization) have been reported to be 96.6% at 12 and 82.7% at 24 months (14). The same study also reported the expected limb-salvage rates at 12 and 24 months to be 100 and 94%, respectively. Therefore, ELA appears to be an effective treatment for potential long occlusion and complex disease, since it provides a better angiographic result and lowers the requirement for stenting. High success rates of recanalization have been demonstrated in large earlier trials, even in long symptomatic SFA occlusions (35). In 2009, Dave et al (36) published a prospective multi-center study (17 hospitals in the US) evaluating the safety and efficacy of ELA in the treatment of primary femoropopliteal artery occlusive disease. Previously published studies (the LACI phase 2 and LACI Belgium studies) have reported on the success of ELA-assisted angioplasty in the lower extremities (12,13). The LACI phase 2 study evolved from a 25-patient phase I registry to enrollment of 145 patients with critical limb ischemia. Restoration of the straight-line flow to the foot was achieved in 89% of the patients, and adjunctive PTA and stenting were employed in 96 and 45% of cases, respectively, with a patency rate at 6 months of 83%. The 6-month limb-salvage rate was 92.5%, with a major amputation rate of 8%. The LACI Belgium study included 48 patients with critical limb ischemia (13). In the majority of the patients, the straight-line flow to the foot was restored, and adjunctive PTA and stenting were in use in 80 and 52.9% of cases, respectively. The 6-month patency rate was at 76% and the limb salvage rate at 6 months was 90.5%, with a major amputation rate of 8%.

Comparison of the results of the present study with those reported by the above-mentioned studies (12,13) indicated similar lesion site observations and similar clinical characteristics of the patients. The LACI 2 and the LACI Belgium studies only included patients who were poor surgical candidates; however, in the present study, all patients with chronic total occlusion undergoing peripheral revascularization procedures were included, and ELA was employed in combination with DCBA in order to treat femoropopliteal arterial disease. This change in the patient criteria may explain the differences in the patency rates (82.4% at 12 months) as well as bailout stenting rates (29.4%) between the present study and the two LACI trials. Other possible explanations may be the reduced
use of stents, smaller patient samples, the selection criteria and the single-center design of the present study. The ELA technique offers a theoretical advantage when compared with PTA, which is the reduced stretching of the vessel achieved by the ablation effect that allows for remodeling of the vascular lumen. Satisfactory long-term patency and limb-salvage rates are supported by the results of the present study and are in agreement with other published results on mechanical atherectomy (Rotarex or TurboHawk) (40). The in-stent restenosis incidence is more frequently encountered among diabetic patients and, during long-term endovascular intervention therapy, the high incidence of in-stent restenosis may lead to unprofitable use of stents. Subsequently, ELA may offer better results than DCB, as well as reduce the requirement for stenting.

An experience with 40 patients undergoing unsuccessful angioplasty of TASC C and D lesions in femoropopliteal arteries treated via ELA was reported by Wissgott et al (41). Their study reported a mean occlusion length of 17.5 cm (range, 12-25 cm). Recanalization using the step-by-step method of crossing was performed with an excimer laser catheter (catheter diameter range, 1.7-2.5 mm). Balloon dilation was performed in all cases after successful crossing and stent placement was required in 10% of the procedures. The initial technical success rate of 90% (36/40) resulted in a primary patency rate of 58.9% after 12 months. No serious complications attributable to the intervention were reported. The present study involved significantly longer occlusion lesions (23.3 vs. 17.5 cm) and more TASC II D cases compared with those in the above-mentioned study. In addition, DCBs were used in the present study, which may explain for the higher primary patency and lower target lesion revascularization rates in the present study. Furthermore, all patients exhibited a marked improvement in their Rutherford category and their ankle-brachial index that persisted at the 12-month follow-up.

Lüdtke et al (42) reported that 15 patients (15/67, 22%) who underwent ELA-assisted PTA of the femoropopliteal arteries were treated via a retrograde transpopliteal approach. Technically successful recanalization was achieved in 14 of the 15 patients. There were no major adverse events regarding the laser atherectomy or popliteal access site. Compared with the results reported by Lüdtke et al (42), 7 patients of the present study required a retrograde recanalization access (including distal SFA/popliteal artery P1 segment, posterior tibial artery and peroneal artery). The technical success rate was 100%. Retrograde access achieves a high recanalization rate in femoropopliteal arterial disease when conventional crossing attempts have failed. An advantage of the retrograde approach may be easier entry into the occlusion due to a softer distal cap. The popliteal approach was used more frequently compared with other access approaches. Sangiorgi et al (43) reported technical success rates of ~96% in long TASC C and D lesions, and proposed the transpopliteal access as a safe and effective alternative when antegrade recanalization attempts have failed.

The present study takes into consideration the cost of ELA combined with DCB in the treatment of femoropopliteal arterial disease, and cost is one of the drawbacks of laser technology. It was estimated that the cost of ELA combined with DCB was higher compared with that of standard techniques (PTA and stenting), with a mean cost of 18,689 dollars per procedure.

The limitations of the present study include its observational retrospective design, the small patient sample and the lack of a control group with the use of angioplasty combined with stenting for comparison. To date, no published randomized data are available to evaluate the efficacy of ELA combined with that of adjunctive DCB in the treatment of chronic obstructive femoropopliteal arterial disease.

The results of the present study suggest that the ELA technique achieved a good technical success rate with a low complication rate in the treatment of TASC II B, C and D lesions. The use of ELA reduced the requirement for stenting in the lower limb arteries. The present study also demonstrated that the ELA approach may be valuable and effective even in long, complex occlusive lesions. Therefore, future large-scale randomized studies addressing complex cases of obstructive femoropopliteal arterial disease should be encouraged.
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