Abstract. Myomas are the most common benign uterine tumors in women of childbearing age, with an incidence of up to 77% and a major impact on women's health. The aim of our study was to provide information concerning the incidence and prevalence of myomas in the patients admitted to a tertiary referral center in Northeastern Romania. This retrospective study conducted at the Iasi ‘Cuza Voda’ University Hospital of Obstetrics and Gynecology (Romania) included 11,538 patients, representing all patients admitted to the gynecology department between January 2013 and December 2019. During the study interval, the number of hysterectomies (total and for myomas) had increased. The rate of laparoscopic surgery showed a small decrease (31.3% from 34%), classic therapy showed a decrease by more than 10% and the hysterectomy rate was tripled. There are few studies in the literature that have established with accuracy the incidence of myomas. In the present study, the prevalence of hysterectomy for myomas was 54.42%. Our results are in agreement with the data in the literature, namely that 40‑60% of all performed hysterectomies are for myomas. The vast majority of admissions for myomas involve a surgical procedure most commonly hysterectomy.

Introduction

Myomas or fibroids are a type of benign uterine smooth muscle tumors, found in women of reproductive age, with an incidence of up to 70% (1-4). Myomas are frequently diagnosed in 20-25% of women of reproductive age (30-40 years) and 30-40% of women older than 40 years (5-9). They often have a negative impact on reproduction. They can be single, but more often multiple, causing significant morbidity and deterioration of quality of life (5,9,10). Most women are asymptomatic, only 30-40% of them present with symptoms such as heavy menstrual bleeding, lower abdominal pain, infertility, recurrent pregnancy loss, dyspareunia, abdominal bloating or constipation, increased urinary frequency or incontinence, anemia from heavy bleeding, fatigue, and pressure symptoms. The severity of symptoms depends on the size, location, and number of myomas (11-14). Based on the relationship between the myoma and the uterine wall, there are three types of myomas: submucous myoma, intramural myoma, and subserous myoma (15).

For most of the women presenting with myomas, the treatment remains, in the end, surgical removal (1,2). Myomectomy is the gold standard treatment in women who wish to preserve their fertility. It can also be performed in those women who desire to preserve the uterus for social, cultural, and psychological reasons, even if they have a complete family (16). The techniques used in gynecological practice include abdominal myomectomy, transvaginal myomectomy, laparoscopic myomectomy, laparoscopically assisted myomectomy via minilaparotomy incision, and robot-assisted laparoscopic myomectomy (1,6-8). Additional interventions to reduce intraoperative bleeding during myomectomy are uterine artery embolization/ligation, pericervical tourniquet placement, intramyometrial vasopressin/epinephrine administration, use of uterotonics (e.g. ergometrine, oxytocin and misoprostol), and antifibrinolytic agents (e.g. tranexamic acid, recombinant factor VIIa) (2,17).

The data regarding the associated epidemiological factors are either well-defined or not yet completely understood. These factors are age, race, heritage, sex hormone imbalance, earlier age at menarche, obesity, lifestyle factors (diet, caffeine, alcohol, smoking, and stress), environmental factors, and possibly many others. In the literature, the impact of these factors remains conflicting. It may be attributed to selection bias, given the fact that studies are based on surgical/symptomatic cases, or on the incidental diagnosis of myomas (5,9,18-20).

The data on racial differences, other than in Caucasian and African American women, are limited (9,18,21).
Though most women are asymptomatic, myoma symptoms can affect the quality of a woman's life.

The incidence and prevalence of myomas remain currently unknown (22-25). Stating the fact that the incidence of myoma varies greatly around the world, the aim of our study was to provide information about the incidence and prevalence of this disease in the gynecological patients admitted to the Iasi ‘Cuza Voda’ University Hospital of Obstetrics and Gynecology in Northeastern Romania.

**Patients and methods**

This retrospective study conducted at the Iasi ‘Cuza Voda’ University Hospital of Obstetrics and Gynecology (Romania) included 11,538 patients, representing all patients admitted to the Gynecology Department between January 2013 and December 2019. All these patients had surgical interventions performed in our hospital from which 4,035 were hysterectomies. From all the 4,035 hysterectomies performed, only 2,196 were performed for myomas.

The present study aimed at establishing the detection rate of myoma cases, as well as the differential diagnosis with other pelvic diseases.

During the study interval, patients with different gynecological conditions were admitted for surgical reasons (laparoscopy, open laparotomy, hysteroscopy). The obstetric patients were excluded from the study. Of the 11,538 patients only 2,786 met the inclusion criteria. They had a surgery prior diagnosis of myoma, histopathologically confirmed afterwards. From these 2,786 patients with myomas, 590 had myomectomy performed and 2,196 had hysterectomy performed for this diagnosis.

All patients signed our hospital informed consent. Institutional review board approval from the Ethics Committee of ‘Cuza Voda’ Iasi University Hospital of Obstetrics and Gynecology was obtained to conduct this retrospective study (10425/2021).

**Statistical analysis.** The emphasis of this study is on proportion estimates. Therefore, the absolute and relative frequencies were computed. For a consistent information estimation, we used the confidence interval with standard 0.95 probability (95% CI). The binomial proportion confidence interval using the Clopper-Pearson (exact method) formula was applied in order to have a minimum coverage probability of 0.95 (conservative method). Computations were made in R version 4.0.2 (2020-06-22) using the package DescTools (version 0.99.40, https://www.rdocumentation.org/packages/DescTools/versions/0.99.40) (26).

**Results**

Of the total number of 11,538 surgeries performed in our gynecology department during 2013-2019, 4,035 were hysterectomies, meaning that approximately one-third of the total surgeries (34.97%) (95% CI: 34.10-35.84) were hysterectomies.

Table I shows the total number of hysterectomies and hysterectomies for myomas, and their corresponding percentage and confidence interval. During the study interval, the number of hysterectomies (total and for myomas) increased from 2013 to 2017.

From 2013 to 2017 there was also an increase in the percentage of hysterectomies from 22.64 to 73.31%, followed by a decrease to 64.45% in 2018, and to 68.57% in 2019, which means that two-thirds of the hysterectomies were performed for myomas. The percentage for the entire period 2013-2019 was 54.42%. As a general rule, confidence intervals are wider for small sample sizes.

In Table II, the total gynecological surgery cases, myoma cases and the percentage of myomas (with 95% CI) from total surgical cases from 2013-2019 are documented. All of these values increased with time, the only exception being the year 2015.

The percentage of myomas from the total surgeries performed, during the study period, almost doubled from 3.40% (2.52-4.46) in 2013 to 6.43% (5.34-7.67) in 2019.

Table III shows, along with the 95% CI, the percentage of each type of surgery for myoma. Studying the values, no general rules, such as increasing or decreasing trend with time are noted. Consequently, we compared the 2019 percentages with the 2013 baseline. The rate of laparoscopic surgery showed a small decrease [34% (2013) to 31.30% (2019)], classic therapy showed a decrease by more than 10% [from 54% (2013) to 29.56% (2019)] and the rate of hysteroscopy rate tripled (12% (2013) to 39.14% (2019)) in 2019 compared to 2013.

Table IV shows that the rate of intramural and subse-

rare myomas had a positive trend from 23.07% in 2013 to 54.29 in 2019, while for the submucous type, the trend was descending from 76.92% in 2013 to 45.69 in 2019. The same positive correlation was observed in uterine myoma cases during this period. The number of uterine myoma cases grew from 5.6% cases in 2013 to 20.03% cases in 2019. Finally, the percentage of surgical methods used are presented in Fig. 1.

**Discussion**

Uterine myomas are the most common indication for hysterectomy worldwide (9,27,28). Ultrasound studies have shown that the prevalence of myoma is much lower in Europe than in the United States, possibly due to racial differences. The prevalence of myoma was highly underestimated in previous epidemiological studies, that only focused on symptomatic patients. Epidemiological studies have become more accurate using more advanced imaging techniques (3D-4D ultrasoundography) (5,7,9,18,19,29,30). In our study, the prevalence of hysterectomy for myomas was 54.42%. Our results are in agreement with the data in the literature, namely that 40-60% of all performed hysterectomies are for myomas. The vast majority of admissions for myomas involve a surgical procedure (94.4%), most commonly hysterectomy (79.2%) (14,31).

As in our hospital all patients were Caucasian, we were unable to determine a race-specific prevalence. Laughlin et al (23) determined the following prevalence for myomas by race: 18% in Black women, 8% in Caucasian women, 10% in Hispanic women, and 13% in an ‘Others’ group, consisting largely of Asian women (23). In regards to Caucasian women, contrary to the above-mentioned study, in our study the prevalence was higher.
Table I. Number and percentage of hysterectomies in the period 2013-2019.

| Year | Total hysterectomies n (% of the total) | Hysterectomies for myomas n (% of the total) | Percentage % (95% CI) |
|------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 2013 | 468 (11.59)                            | 106 (4.82)                                  | 22.64 (18.93-26.71)   |
| 2014 | 527 (13.07)                            | 200 (9.11)                                  | 37.95 (33.79-42.24)   |
| 2015 | 535 (13.25)                            | 229 (10.43)                                 | 42.80 (38.56-47.12)   |
| 2016 | 543 (13.46)                            | 312 (14.20)                                 | 57.45 (53.17-61.65)   |
| 2017 | 652 (16.15)                            | 478 (21.78)                                 | 73.31 (69.74-76.67)   |
| 2018 | 664 (16.46)                            | 428 (19.49)                                 | 64.45 (60.68-68.1)    |
| 2019 | 646 (16.01)                            | 443 (21.17)                                 | 68.57 (64.83-72.14)   |
| Total| 4,035 (100)                            | 2,196 (100)                                 | 54.42 (52.87-55.96)   |

Table II. Number and percentage of myomas from the total gynecological surgeries.

| Year | Total surgeries n (% of the total) | Myomas n (% of the total) | Percentage of myomas from surgeries (95% CI) |
|------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| 2013 | 1,468 (12.72)                     | 50 (8.47)                 | 3.40 (2.53-4.46)                             |
| 2014 | 1,610 (13.95)                     | 81 (13.72)                | 5.03 (4.01-6.21)                             |
| 2015 | 1,531 (13.26)                     | 58 (9.83)                 | 3.78 (2.84-4.87)                             |
| 2016 | 1,675 (14.51)                     | 74 (12.54)                | 4.41 (3.48-5.51)                             |
| 2017 | 1,741 (15.08)                     | 104 (17.62)               | 5.97 (4.90-7.19)                             |
| 2018 | 1,726 (14.95)                     | 108 (17.30)               | 6.25 (5.16-7.50)                             |
| 2019 | 1,787 (15.48)                     | 115 (19.49)               | 6.43 (5.34-7.67)                             |
| Total| 11,538 (100)                      | 590 (100)                 | 5.11 (4.71-5.53)                             |

Table III. Types of surgery for myomas.

| Year | Myoma cases, n (% from total) | Laparoscopy cases, n (%) (95% CI) | Classic cases, n (%) (95% CI) | Hysteroscopy cases, n (%) (95% CI) |
|------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 2013 | 50 (8.47)                    | 17 (34.00) (21.20-48.76)         | 27 (54.00) (39.32-68.18)      | 6 (12.00) (4.53-24.31) |
| 2014 | 81 (13.73)                   | 31 (38.27) (27.68-49.74)         | 41 (50.62) (39.27-61.91)      | 9 (11.11) (5.20-20.04) |
| 2015 | 58 (9.83)                    | 19 (32.75) (21.00-46.34)         | 28 (48.28) (34.95-61.78)      | 11 (18.97) (9.86-31.40) |
| 2016 | 74 (12.54)                   | 18 (24.32) (15.09-35.69)         | 37 (50.00) (38.14-61.85)      | 19 (25.68) (16.22-37.15) |
| 2017 | 104 (17.63)                  | 27 (25.97) (17.85-35.48)         | 43 (41.34) (31.77-51.42)      | 34 (32.69) (23.81-42.58) |
| 2018 | 108 (18.31)                  | 40 (37.04) (27.94-46.86)         | 33 (30.55) (22.05-40.15)      | 35 (32.41) (23.71-42.09) |
| 2019 | 115 (19.49)                  | 36 (31.30) (22.98-40.61)         | 34 (29.56) (21.42-38.79)      | 45 (39.14) (30.16-48.67) |
| Total| 590 (100%)                   | 188 (31.87) (28.11-35.79)        | 243 (41.19) (37.18-45.27)     | 159 (26.94) (23.4-30.72) |

Table IV. Distribution of the types of myomas.

| Year | Uterine myoma cases, n (% from total) | Submucous cases, n (%) (95% CI) | Intramural cases, n (%) (95% CI) | Subserous cases, n (%) (95% CI) |
|------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 2013 | 156 (5.60)                           | 120 (76.92) (69.51-83.28)       | 22 (14.10) (9.05-20.56)         | 14 (08.97) (4.99-14.59) |
| 2014 | 281 (10.09)                           | 166 (59.07) (53.07-64.87)       | 67 (23.84) (18.98-29.26)        | 48 (17.08) (12.87-22.00) |
| 2015 | 287 (10.30)                           | 191 (66.55) (60.76-71.98)       | 63 (21.95) (17.29-27.19)        | 33 (11.49) (8.04-15.76) |
| 2016 | 386 (13.85)                           | 247 (63.98) (58.97-68.78)       | 99 (25.64) (21.36-30.31)        | 40 (10.36) (7.50-13.84) |
| 2017 | 582 (20.89)                           | 341 (58.59) (54.46-62.620)      | 149 (25.60) (22.10-29.35)       | 92 (15.80) (12.93-19.02) |
| 2018 | 536 (19.24)                           | 250 (46.64) (42.35-50.96)       | 190 (35.44) (31.39-39.66)       | 96 (17.91) (14.75-21.42) |
| 2019 | 558 (20.03)                           | 255 (45.69) (41.50-49.93)       | 203 (36.37) (32.37-40.52)       | 100 (17.92) (14.82-21.36) |
| Total| 2,786 (100)                           | 1,570 (56.35) (54.48-58.20)     | 793 (28.46) (26.79-30.17)       | 423 (15.18) (13.86-16.57) |
Available data from different studies are difficult to compare because of the differences in the study population and screening methods (22-25). Findings from our study are based on a small sample size, thus the results should be considered with caution.

Different types of myoma have different impacts on fertility. The submucosal type lowers the fertility rate, intramural myomas may impair fertility, and the subserous type often has no impact on the fertility rate (32,33).

The incidence of submucous myoma subtype is particularly high. These findings are in agreement with our results (18,25). In our study the prevalence of submucous myoma from 2013-2019 was 56.35%; 28.46% for intramural myoma and 15.18% for subserous myoma.

Hysteroscopic transcervical resection of myomas also has some limitations, namely the potential conversion to laparotomy and incomplete resection. Many studies have compared the clinical outcomes of abdominal hysterectomy and laparoscopic or hysteroscopic surgery without reaching any conclusions concerning outcomes, advantages, and disadvantages of laparoscopic and hysteroscopic surgery (15,34-36). In our study 2,196 patients underwent hysterectomy for myoma and 590 myomectomy (243 patients with open myomectomy, 188 patients with laparoscopic myomectomy, and 159 patients hysteroscopic myomectomy).

It is quite difficult to assess accurately the incidence and prevalence of uterine myoma as these increase with aging. Myomas can seriously impact the lives of women and also are a significant healthcare burden. It has been estimated that the costs for uterine myomectomy/hysterectomy, in terms of care, adverse obstetric outcomes, and workhours lost, are higher compared to other benign gynecologic diseases (37). In the last decade, several conservative treatments have been developed for the management of uterine myomas (27,37-39).

The symptoms caused by myomas can affect the quality of life and sexual function of the patients. Despite the recent pharmacological and radiological interventions, surgery remains one of the main treatment options for symptomatic myomas in women of reproductive age. Postoperative quality of life and sexual function can be used to assess surgical outcomes in association with complication rates, blood loss, and duration of surgery (40-45).

Few studies have evaluated the patient-reported outcomes after surgical treatment. Radosa et al conducted a systematic literature search of PubMed. He found 29 articles but none of them had assessed the postoperative quality of life and sexual function in patients undergoing surgical treatment for myoma (45).

Uterine myomas remain the most common diagnosis among women admitted to our hospital. It is very important for the practitioner to understand the physical and psychological needs of these patients. Because many patients consider the uterus as a sexual organ, as a symbol of one's fertility, the surgeon must fathom its importance. This is the main reason why after hysterectomy most patients suffer an emotional trauma with a decrease in self-esteem, anxiety, and depression.

The lack of appropriate education and misconception in which concerns the uterus as a sexual organ might complicate or even worsen a preexisting psychiatric condition with major impact on sexual life.

The association between myomas and infertility may determine an increased level of stress in a couple, with a major impact on social life and relationship with the partner.

In conclusion, despite the substantial impact on gynecologic morbidity, relatively little is known about the etiology of myomas. Given the high frequency of asymptomatic myomas, the most accurate estimation of their prevalence and incidence comes from epidemiologic studies using ultrasound evaluation. A clear insight into the epidemiology of myomas is still not yet complete. Future research on the risk factors may help with prevention and may provide new conservative techniques. Considering their significant morbidity and impact on quality of life, more research focused on determining the risk factors associated with myoma onset and growth is needed.

Figure 1. Surgical methods used for uterine fibroids.
Our hospital is a tertiary referral center and thus the study was affected by the implicit statistical bias. Thus, an overestimation of hysterectomy for uterine myomas might occur because many of the cases treated in our department required difficult and complex surgical interventions, often these cases being referred to us from other gynecology services.

One major limitation of this study is that we did not apply a questionnaire for a better exposure of symptoms. A prospective study is needed to validate the impact of myomas on the quality of life using a questionnaire to evaluate symptoms of depression, infertility-related stress, and social aspects in postoperative patients. We still miss the means to accurately estimate these aspects. The limitation of our study is the one that opens for us new perspectives for further research.
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