Resting metabolic rate in obese diabetic and obese non-diabetic subjects and its relation to glycaemic control
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Abstract

Background: Both obesity and type II diabetes mellitus are associated with insulin resistance and abnormal metabolic reactions. This study was conducted to evaluate resting metabolic rate in obese diabetic patients and to assess its relation to glycaemic control.

Results: This is a case control study conducted in Gabir AbuEliz centre in Khartoum, Sudan. A random sample of 40 obese diabetic patients (cases) and 40 obese non-diabetic subjects (controls) were interviewed and examined clinically to exclude presence of acute or chronic medical illness. Haemoglobin A1c was measured for each participant using the "NycoCard Haemoglobin A1c test" (Axis -Shield/ Norway). Fasting blood sugar was measured using one touch(R) glucometer (LifeScan Canada Ltd). The PowerLab 8/35 with a gas analyzer (AD Instruments, Castle Hill Australia) was used for measurement of VO2, VCO2 and Respiratory exchange ratio (RER). Resting metabolic rate was calculated using the Weir equation. VO2 (mean+−SD) ml/min was significantly higher among cases (209.9+−42.7) compared to the controls (192.4+−28.1), (P = 0.034). Similarly, VCO2 (mean+−SD) ml/min was higher among cases (191.4+−35.0) than controls (178.3+−22.5), (P = 0.05). Resting metabolic rate "RMR" (mean+−SD) kcal/day was higher in obese diabetic patients (1480.7 +/- 274.2) than obese non-diabetic subjects (1362.4+−184.8), (P = 0.027). Participants with high glycated haemoglobin had higher RMR than those with normal glycated haemoglobin (P = 0.016).

Conclusion: It is concluded that resting metabolic rate is significantly higher in obese diabetic patients compared to obese non-diabetics, especially in those with poor glycaemic control.
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Background

Measurement of resting energy expenditure is essential for nutritional assessment, weight loss planning and optimum medical care. Indirect calorimetry is the primary method for metabolic rate measurement. It involves measurement of oxygen consumption (VO2) and carbon dioxide production (VCO2) for calculation of calorific burning rate. The relation between these gases and metabolic rate is defined by the Weir equation which is widely used for metabolic rate calculations [1,2]. Obesity, which is one of the most prevalent serious health problems worldwide, is associated with insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes mellitus. Insulin resistance in obese diabetic individuals is associated with abnormal metabolic reactions in skeletal muscle, liver and adipose tissue. Management and prevention of long term complications in diabetic patients depend on tight glycaemic control. Laboratory indicators of glycaemic control in a diabetic patient are haemoglobin A1c (Hb A1c) and fasting blood sugar (FBS). The quantity of Hb A1c within a red blood cell reflects the average level of glucose to which the cell has been exposed during its life-cycle. Measuring Hb A1c assesses the level of glycaemic control over the previous four weeks to three months. Normal value is about 5% of total haemoglobin in adults. It was recommended that a threshold of ≥6.5%
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HbA1c could be used to diagnose diabetes [3]. Previous studies reported higher resting metabolic rate among diabetic patients compared to the non-diabetics. Weyer and his colleagues in 1999 found progressive increase in resting metabolic rate in patients in whom their glucose tolerance deteriorated from normal glucose tolerance to impaired glucose tolerance to diabetes [4]. They attributed the rise in resting metabolic rate to metabolic changes that occur during early stages of diabetic development [4]. Fontvieille and other researchers suggested that the increased 24-h sedentary energy expenditure may play a role in the weight loss that is often observed in Type 2 diabetic subjects in addition to the energy loss from glycosuria [5]. Similar findings have been reported recently in severely obese diabetic patients [6]. The inconsistent results and the different variables suggested in these studies indicate that estimation of resting metabolic rate in obese diabetic patients using predictive equations may be misleading and requires further investigations, especially in the tropics. Results of a survey published in the past showed that basal metabolic rate values of people in the tropics appear to be lower than values estimated with WHO predictive equations [8]. On the other hand; there is paucity of data regarding relation of resting energy expenditure to glycaemic control in obese diabetic patients. This study was conducted to evaluate resting metabolic rate among these patients and to assess its relation to laboratory indicators of glycaemic control.

**Methods**

This is a case control study conducted in Jabir Abu-Eliz centre in Khartoum- Sudan, in the period from January to August 2012. A random sample of 40 obese diabetic patients (cases) was selected from 190 patients attended for follow up during that period. A sample of 40 obese non-diabetic subjects (controls) was selected from the co-patients. Inclusion criteria for both groups were age 35 to 50 years old, body mass index \( \geq 30 \text{ kg/m}^2 \), non-smoker, normotensive, afebrile and with no symptoms or signs of acute or chronic infection. Cases were known diabetics for at least one year, either on diet control (27 patients) or stopped taking their anti-diabetic medications for at least two days before presentation (13 patients), whereas controls were non-diabetics. Both groups were matched according to age, sex, height, weight, physical activity, socioeconomic status and area of residence. An anonymous interviewer-based questionnaire, requesting information about daily exercise and present health status was applied to each participant. Clinical examination was carried out to exclude signs of acute or chronic medical abnormalities. Height and weight of each participant was measured using standardized height and weight scales. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight (in kilograms)/height (in meters\(^2\)). Five micro litre of blood was taken for Haemoglobin A1c measurement using the “NycoCard Haemoglobin A1c test” (Axis -Shield/ Norway); with a measuring range of 4-15% HbA1c. Fasting blood sugar was measured using one touch® glucometer (LifeScan

### Table 1 Characteristics of cases and controls in the study group

| Parameter | Study group | Min | Max | Mean | SD | P value |
|-----------|-------------|-----|-----|------|----|---------|
| Age (y)   | Cases       | 35  | 50  | 45.03| 5.09| 0.564   |
|           | Controls    | 35  | 50  | 44.33| 5.69|         |
| Height (m)| Cases       | 1.49| 1.81| 1.63 | 0.08| 0.094   |
|           | Controls    | 1.55| 1.83| 1.66 | 0.08|         |
| Weight (kg)| Cases     | 69  | 105 | 85.00| 7.70| 0.486   |
|           | Controls    | 78  | 105 | 86.52| 11.35|         |
| BMI (kg/m\(^2\)) | Cases | 30.02| 40.37| 32.04| 2.08| 0.328   |
|            | Controls    | 30.04| 38.85| 32.29| 2.06|         |
| Surface area (m\(^2\)) | Cases | 1.69 | 2.30 | 1.96 | 0.128| 0.078   |
|            | Controls    | 1.85 | 2.31 | 2.01 | 0.126|         |

### Table 2 Oxygen consumption (VO2), carbon dioxide production (VCO2) and respiratory exchange ratio (RER) among diabetic and non-diabetic participants

| Parameter | Diabetic | Non-diabetic | Diabetic | Non-diabetic | Diabetic | Non-diabetic |
|-----------|----------|--------------|----------|--------------|----------|--------------|
|           | N = 40   | N = 40       | N = 40   | N = 40       | N = 40   | N = 40       |
| Minimum   | 153.0    | 143.0        | 155.0    | 129.0        | 0.7      | 0.8          |
| Maximum   | 311.0    | 261.0        | 309.0    | 228.0        | 1.2      | 1.1          |
| Mean      | 209.9    | 192.4        | 191.4    | 178.3        | 0.93     | 0.93         |
| SD        | 42.7     | 28.1         | 35.0     | 22.5         | 0.16     | 0.11         |
| CI        | 196.2-223.5 | 183.4-201.4 | 180.2-202.6 | 171.1-185.5 | 0.88-0.98 | 0.90-0.97 |
| P value   | 0.034    | 0.050        | 0.050    | 0.868        |          |              |
Canada Ltd. The PowerLab 8/35 (AD Instruments, Castle Hill Australia) data acquisition systems, comprising hardware and software, were used with bio amplifier, thermistor, spirometer, gas analyzer, gas mains chamber and a computer windows 7 program to record and analyze physiological signals from participants. They allowed measurement of oxygen consumption (VO2), carbon dioxide production (VCO2), respiratory ventilation (VE) and respiratory exchange ratio (RER). All participants were fasting overnight. They were allowed to rest in a thermally neutral room for 30 minutes before measurements. Each test took less than 30 minutes, with at least 5 minutes steady state. The steady state was defined as minimal variation (< 10%) in VO2, VCO2, VE and RER. Resting metabolic rate was calculated using the Weir equation as follows [1,2]: 

\[ \text{RMR (kcal)} = [(\text{VO2}) (3.941) + (\text{VCO2})(1.11)] 1440 \text{ min}. \]

The research conforms to the ethical principles of medical research developed by the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki [9]. Ethical clearance was given by the Research Committee (Faculty of Medicine/University of Al-Neelain). Approval was obtained from the Ministry of Health. Written consents were obtained from each participant before entry into the study. All data obtained with questionnaire and biochemical analysis were analyzed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 19. The chi square test was used to test distribution of categorical variables. The differences between test and control groups were assessed with the student’s t test. Statistical significance was accepted when P value is ≤ 0.05.

### Results
Table 1 describes characteristics of cases (n = 40) and controls (n = 40) in the study group. Male: Female ratio was 1:1.5 in both groups. Statistical analysis showed insignificant difference between the two groups in age (P = 0.564), height (P = 0.094), weight (P = 0.486), body mass index (P = 0.328) and surface area (P = 0.078).

Table 2 shows that VO2 (mean ± SD) ml/min was significantly higher among cases (209.9 ± 42.7) compared to the control group (192.4 ± 28.1), (P = 0.034). Similarly, VCO2 (mean ± SD) ml/min was higher among cases (191.4 ± 35.0) than controls (178.3 ± 22.5), (P = 0.05); whereas the mean respiratory exchange ratio (RER) is almost equal in the two groups (0.93 ± 0.16 and 0.93 ± 0.11 respectively). Table 3 shows higher resting metabolic rate "RMR" (mean ± SD) ml/min was higher among cases (191.4 ± 35.0) than controls (178.3 ± 22.5), (P = 0.05); whereas the mean respiratory exchange ratio (RER) is almost equal in the two groups (0.93 ± 0.16 and 0.93 ± 0.11 respectively). Table 3 shows higher resting metabolic rate "RMR" (mean ± SD) among cases (1480.7 ± 274.2; CI = 1393.0-1568.4) than controls (1362.4 ± 184.8; CI = 1303.3-1421.5), (P = 0.027). RMR was significantly higher among participants with abnormal glycated haemoglobin than those with normal glycated haemoglobin (P = 0.016; Table 4). Insignificant difference was found between those with normal and those with abnormal fasting blood sugar (P = 0.561; Table 5).

### Discussion
Measurement of energy expenditure is an important element in the estimation of energy requirements in man. In addition to its importance in scientific research, it is becoming an essential tool in maintenance of a healthy body weight. Obese subjects, especially those with type II diabetes mellitus, may need special consideration because insulin resistance in these individuals is associated with abnormal metabolic reactions. In this study, a significant difference in RMR was found between obese diabetic and obese non-diabetic subjects. The difference was associated with higher rate of oxygen consumption and carbon dioxide production that caused rise in resting metabolic rate among the diabetic

| Table 3 Resting metabolic rate (RMR) among diabetic and non-diabetic participants |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Parameter                       | Diabetic (n = 40) | Non-diabetic (n = 40) |
| Minimum                         | 1155.6          | 1057.3          |
| Maximum                         | 2238.8          | 1787.3          |
| Mean ± SD                       | 1480.7 ± 274.2  | 1362.4 ± 184.8  |
| CI                              | 1393.0-1568.4   | 1303.3-1421.5   |
| P value                         | 0.027           |                 |

| Table 4 Resting metabolic rate (RMR) in relation to hemoglobin A1c |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Parameter                   | HbA1c <6.5% (n = 46) | HbA1c ≥6.5% (n = 34) |
| Minimum                     | 1057.3               | 1155.6              |
| Maximum                     | 1898.5               | 2238.8              |
| Mean ± SD                   | 1363.4 ± 195.4       | 1500.1 ± 273.1      |
| CI                          | 1305.4-1421.5        | 1404.9-1595.4       |
| P value                     | 0.016                |                     |

| Table 5 Resting metabolic rate (RMR) in relation to fasting blood sugar |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Parameter                  | Fasting blood sugar (< 126 mg/dL) | Fasting blood sugar (≥ 126 mg/dL) |
| Minimum                    | 954.7                          | 1050.6            |
| Maximum                    | 2824.6                         | 2926.4            |
| Mean ± SD                  | 1358.6 ± 330.8                 | 1407 ± 403.5      |
| CI                         | 1264.5-1452.6                  | 1256.4-1557.8     |
| P value                    | 0.561                          |                   |
patients. In previous studies, many mechanisms have been suggested to explain similar findings. One of the proposed mechanisms was an increase in gluconeogenesis [10]. It has been suggested that the increased free fatty acid concentration in diabetic patients contributes to the excessive rates of gluconeogenesis and therefore to increased rate energy expenditure in these patients. Indian patients with type II diabetes mellitus showed higher rate of energy expenditure correlating with increased endogenous release of glucose from the liver [5]. In support with this mechanism, a reduction in resting energy expenditure was reported, following improvement in glycaemic control [11]. Similarly in this study, we found lower resting metabolic rates in patients with well controlled diabetes mellitus, as indicated with normal glycated haemoglobin, compared to those with poor control. However, insignificant relation with fasting blood sugar was also found. This indicates that, glycaemic control has long term rather than short term effect on resting energy expenditure. Other proposed mechanisms include abnormal protein metabolism [12], increased sympathetic activity [13] and hyperglucagonaemia [14]. Diabetic patients in poor control show an increased protein turnover, requiring an increased protein synthesis, which is associated with higher rate of energy release [12,15]. There is an evidence that sympathetic nervous system activity is increased in hyperinsulinemic individuals with type 2 diabetes compared with non-diabetic control subjects [13]. On the other hand, it has been found that lowering glucagon levels during insulin deprivation decreased the resting metabolic rate by more than 7% [14]. These findings indicate that high levels of glucagon, which is a known finding in diabetes mellitus, may be responsible for the rise in energy expenditure among diabetic patients [15]. Recently it has been suggested that glucagon might be responsible for both the hyperglycaemia and the occasional hypoglycaemia seen in diabetic patients [16].

It is worth noting that, variation in resting metabolic rate between individuals of the same ethnicity is not uncommon. In a previous study, it was reported that basal metabolic rate values in a population varied from as low as 1027 kcal/day to as high as 2499 kcal; with a mean of 2017 kcal/day. We also noted that, intra-individual variation in rate of energy release within the same subjects was within a range of 5% [18].

One of the major limitations in this study is matching cases and controls. Although our objective measurements showed insignificant difference between the two groups in weight and height, we can’t exclude recall bias in other factors like age, residence and physical activity. In addition, we can’t confirm that one third of our diabetic participants missed their anti-diabetic medications two days before the study. However, this appears to be a common practice [19]. In one study, more than 50% of patients with insulin dependent diabetes mellitus missed their insulin dose [19].

**Conclusion**

This study showed that resting metabolic rate is significantly higher among obese diabetic patients compared to obese non-diabetics. High resting metabolic rate has a significant relation with abnormal level of glycated haemoglobin but not with high fasting blood sugar, indicating that poor glycaemic control has a long term, rather than a short term effect on resting metabolic rate.
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