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ABSTRACT

Introduction: The global emergence of antimicrobial resistance among gram-negative (GN) species is a major public health concern. The emergence of pan-resistant superbugs has further led to a serious threat to global public health security. The infections with these bacterial strains are associated with prolonged hospitalization, disseminated infections, and mortality. Newer therapeutic strategies are urgently needed to be explored to avoid the problems of developing further resistance.

Aim and objective: Testing of a novel antibiotic combination regimen to investigate the effectiveness in in vitro sensitivity testing against pan-resistant superbugs.

Materials and methods: In this prospective cross-sectional study, all pan-resistant, non-repeat, consecutive gram-negative bacteria identified through Vitek 2 compact (Biomerieux, France) automated microbiology system from intensive care unit, acute medical wards, and acute surgical wards were selected. And a novel derivative combination antimicrobial ceftriaxone 30 μg + sulbactam 15 μg + EDTA (CSE) was tested on pan-resistant microbes for possible susceptibility through Kirby–Bauer disk diffusion on Mueller–Hinton agar.

Results: Ceftriaxone + sulbactam + EDTA was found effective in vitro against pan-resistant GN bacterial strains (56.94%). Among these pan-resistant GN bacterial strains, pan-resistant Klebsiella and Proteus strains were found highly susceptible (91% and 87%, respectively) to CSE.

Discussion: Ceftriaxone + sulbactam + EDTA can be effective in treating pan-resistant GN bacterial strains as evident by in vitro susceptibility testing. Multiple centers involving both laboratories and patient care centers are required to coordinate and perform such types of studies to further augment the present knowledge and developing therapeutic options for these resistant superbugs.

Keywords: Ceftriaxone + sulbactam + EDTA, Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Gram-negative bacteria, Minimal inhibitory concentrations, Mueller–Hinton agar.

Journal of Medical Academics (2020): 10.5005/jp-journals-10070-0056

INTRODUCTION

A major breakthrough in medicine in the twentieth century was the discovery of antibiotics, the unguarded usage of which over decades has led to the current crisis of rising antimicrobial resistance. Pan-resistant microorganisms have the highest potential of causing the dreaded “microbial holocaust” of mankind. They are defined as microbes possessing resistance to all the available antimicrobials including colistin and tigecycline.1

The risks that these pan-resistant microbes pose to mankind include disseminated infections, delayed recovery, prolonged hospitalization, increased risk of transmission to other patients, and consequent morbidity and mortality. Moreover, they are capable of colonizing inanimate surfaces and create reservoirs, thus rendering all patients and healthcare professionals at risk.2

Pan resistance, being a global health problem, has been expounded upon by the Chennai declaration in 2013, National Treatment Guidelines in 2016, and Indian Council of Medical Research Guidelines in 2017. Steps have been taken at the global level too, under the aegis of WHO.3

Very few studies have defined and delineated the burden of pan resistance and therapeutic options in the scientific research literature. The rate at which newer molecules are being developed is slow and the ones claimed to be effective need to be evaluated for potential therapeutic options.4
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The present study aimed toward in vitro evaluation of a novel derivative combination molecule ceftriaxone + sulbactam + EDTA (CSE) against pan-resistant superbugs at a 1,000-bedded tertiary-care teaching hospital in northern India.

**Materials and Methods**

The present prospective cross-sectional study was conducted from January 2019 to August 2019 at a 1,000-bedded tertiary-care teaching hospital after requisite approvals following all good laboratory sampling, storage, testing, and interpretation practices. All pan-resistant, non-repeat, consecutive gram-negative bacteria (GNB) identified through Vitek 2 compact (Biomerieux, France) automated microbiology system from the intensive care unit, acute medical wards, and acute surgical wards were selected. Patients having infections with susceptible bacteria, gram-positive bacteria, and repeat isolates were excluded.

Vitek 2 compact gram-negative identification cards (GNID) were correlated with respective minimal inhibitory concentrations (MICs) obtained by Vitek 2 compact antimicrobial susceptibility AST-280 cards for lactose fermenters and AST 281 cards for non-lactose fermenters according to the latest Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines.

A novel derivative combination antimicrobial ceftriaxone 30 μg + sulbactam 15 μg + EDTA was tested on pan-resistant microbes for possible susceptibility through Kirby–Bauer disk diffusion on Mueller–Hinton agar. Ceftriaxone + sulbactam + EDTA zone ≥21 mm for Enterobacteriaceae, and ≥23 mm for Acinetobacter and *Pseudomonas* were considered susceptible. Data were analyzed under descriptive statistics using Microsoft Excel.

**Results**

Pan resistance was observed in 114/2,533 (45%, 95% CI 37.6–53.8%) multidrug-resistant gram-negative (GN) pathogens. The distribution of pan resistance included *Proteus mirabilis* 4/114 (3.51%, 95% CI 1.9–5.2%), *Klebsiella pneumoniae* 12/114 (10.53%, 95% CI 6.1–17.5%), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* 37/114 (32.46%, 95% CI 24.6–31.5%), and *Acinetobacter baumannii* 21/114 (18%, 95% CI 12.4–26.5%). The distribution of wards and samples have been depicted in Table 1 and Figure 1, 82/114 (56.94%, 95% CI 63.1–79.4%) multidrug-resistant gram-negative (GN) pathogens. The distribution of pan resistance included 4/44 (9%, 95% CI 2.5–17%) *Proteus mirabilis*, 37/114 (32.46%, 95% CI 24.6–31.5%), and 12/114 (10.53%, 95% CI 6.1–17.5%) *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. The distribution of wards and samples have been correlated with respective minimal inhibitory concentrations (MICs) obtained by Vitek 2 compact antimicrobial susceptibility AST-280 cards for lactose fermenters and AST 281 cards for non-lactose fermenters according to the latest Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines.

A novel derivative combination antimicrobial ceftriaxone 30 μg + sulbactam 15 μg + EDTA was tested on pan-resistant microbes for possible susceptibility through Kirby–Bauer disk diffusion on Mueller–Hinton agar. Ceftriaxone + sulbactam + EDTA zone ≥21 mm for Enterobacteriaceae, and ≥23 mm for *Acinetobacter* and *Pseudomonas* were considered susceptible. Data were analyzed under descriptive statistics using Microsoft Excel.

**Discussion**

The study revealed an increasing incidence of pan resistance in GN Enterobacteriaceae and non-fermenters which are increasingly causing healthcare-associated infections, especially encountered in tertiary-care teaching hospitals due to referrals and transfers across various healthcare facilities. Pan resistance develops clinically in vivo due to antimicrobial stress. The study is a pilot study for in vitro determination of susceptibility through Kirby–Bauer disk diffusion and can be augmented by microbroth dilutions as attempted for other antimicrobials using Vitek 2 compact for standardization and quality control.

Ceftriaxone + sulbactam + EDTA has been promising in vitro against *Klebsiella* and *Proteus*, which are emerging healthcare-associated pathogens. Ceftriaxone + sulbactam + EDTA has as it has EDTA as a cheating agent. Ceftriaxone + sulbactam + EDTA has the potential to become first-line therapy for serious and disseminated infections in tertiary care.

There are limited treatment options for pan-resistant pathogens. Monotherapy in pan resistance is ineffective requiring combination-therapy with high-dose tigecycline and colistin, or imipenem–cilastatin with colistin. Taurodilone and fifth-generation cephalosporins (ceftobiprole, ceftolozane, cefaroline, etc.) are new and promising drugs for pan-resistant GNB. However, the supply of these newer antimicrobials against GN pathogens is discouragingly scarce.

The advances being made toward global public health security are being threatened by rampanty emerging pan resistance. We must recognize this threat and construct an intensive strategy, develop infrastructure, foster expertise, and take coordinated...
steps to tackle it. The emerging global challenge of pan resistance requires stringent monitoring to control the spread of these organisms across geographical borders. An overwhelming patient population, limited access to health facilities, poor antimicrobial stewardship, and a rising immunocompromised population are the emerging problems faced by developing nations in the tropics. These settings are very significant, as they may form the cradle for emerging pan resistance in the world. There is an imminent need for continuous global surveillance across all geographical regions and serious political commitment.¹³,¹⁰

**CONCLUSION**

Ceftriaxone + sulbactam + EDTA can be effective in treating pan-resistant infections by Enterobacteriaceae and Proteus, as evident by in vitro susceptibility. Multiple centers involving both laboratories and patient care centers are required to coordinate and perform studies to augment the present knowledge and conclude on emerging resistance.
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