Review

Historical Review of Studies on Cyrtophorian Ciliates (Ciliophora, Cyrtophoria) from China

Zhishuai Qu 1,*, Hongbo Pan 2, Jun Gong 3, Congcong Wang 4, Sabine Filker 5 and Xiaozhong Hu 4,*

1 State Key Laboratory of Marine Environmental Science, College of the Environment and Ecology, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361104, China
2 Engineering Research Center of Environmental DNA and Ecological Water Health Assessment, Shanghai Ocean University, Shanghai 201306, China; hbpan@shou.edu.cn
3 School of Marine Sciences, Sun Yat-Sen University, Zhuhai 519082, China; gongj27@mail.sysu.edu.cn
4 Key Laboratory of Mariculture, Ministry of Education, College of Fisheries, Ocean University of China, Qingdao 266003, China; wangcocoh@163.com
5 Department of Molecular Ecology, University of Kaiserslautern, 67663 Kaiserslautern, Germany; filker@rhrk.uni-kl.de
* Correspondence: zqu@xmu.edu.cn (Z.Q.); xiaozhonghu@ouc.edu.cn (X.H.)

Abstract: The subclass Cyrtophoria are a group of morphologically specialized ciliates which mainly inhabit soil, freshwater, brackish water, and marine environments. In this study, we revise more than 50 publications on the taxonomy, phylogeny, and ecology of cyrtophorian ciliates in China since the first publication in 1925, most of which were carried out in coastal areas. The research history can be divided into three periods: the early stage, the Tibet stage, and the molecular stage. To date, 103 morpho-species (147 isolates) have been formally recorded in China, with ciliature patterns described for 82 of them. A species checklist and an illustrated identification key to the genera are provided. A total of 100 small subunit rDNA sequences have been obtained for 74 taxonomic hits (lowest taxonomic rank to species or genus). These sequences are used for the study of molecular phylogeny. Based on these morphological data and molecular phylogeny analyses, we synthesize the understanding of the phylogeny of cyrtophorian ciliates. We hypothesize that the key evolutionary event of cyrtophorian ciliates lies in the separation of the stomatogenesis zone (postoral kineties) from the left kineties, namely, the formation of an independent “sexual organelle”. We, furthermore, briefly summarize the ecological features of cyrtophorian ciliates and provide a comprehensive bibliography of related research from China. Finally, we give an outlook on the future research directions of these taxa.
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1. Introduction

As evidenced by an increasing number of publications, China is now recognized as one of the hotspots for the study of the taxonomy and systematics of ciliates [1–5]. Among ciliates, cyrtophorians (subclass Cyrtophoria) are a morphologically specialized group that feature a unique combination of morphological characteristics: (1) The cytostome is reinforced by a special buccal structure called the pharyngeal basket which is made up of fibers that are usually organized in the form of nematodesmal rods [6]. (2) The oral ciliature consists of a few short kinetal segments, with usually one preoral kinety (sometimes in several short fragments) and two circumoral kineties (see oral kineties—OK—in Figure 1) [7]. Some species have a degenerated oral structure or more circumoral kineties. During stomatogenesis, the oral kineties are formed by an anticlockwise rotation of the oral anlagen (see stomatogenetic zone—SZ—in Figure 1) [8]. (3) The cilia are mainly restricted to the ventral side, and only a few are located at the anterior part of the dorsal side [7,9]. (4) Some species have organelles adapted to the adhesion on the substrate, such as podites.
(e.g., Dysteria and Hartmannula), glandules (e.g., Trichopodiella), and finger-like tentacles (e.g., Lynchella and Chlamydonella) (Figure 1) [7,9,10]. From more “general” cyrtophorians to highly specialized ones, the left kineties tend to degenerate and move to the frontal and right part of the cell. The right kineties are also inclined and mostly restricted to the right margin as a result of the lateral compression of the body in the order Dysteriida (Figure 1).

Figure 1. Schematic ciliary patterns of cyrtophorian ciliates. (A) Order Chlamydodontida. (B) Order Dysteriida. Abbreviations: EF—equatorial fragment; LK—left kineties; OK—oral kineties; P—podite; PK—postoral kineties; RK—right kineties; SZ—stomatogenetic zone; and TF—terminal fragment.

Most cyrtophorian ciliates live as periphyton and feed on bacteria (including cyanobacteria) and/or eukaryotic microalgae [11–14]. They are widely distributed in a variety of habitats including soil [15,16], freshwater [11,16,17], marine environments [4,6,9,17–22], brackish waters [12,13,23–26], and even glaciers in Antarctica [27,28]. As active bacterivores, some species commonly occur in eutrophic manmade ecosystems, such as Chilodonella uncinata, Gastronauta spp., and Trochilia minuta, which have been used as bio-indicators for monitoring sludge performance in wastewater treatment plants [11,29–32]. Several free-living species thrive in mariculture [33–35] and freshwater fish aquaculture tanks [36]. A few species even live as obligate parasites on the gills and skin of fish [36–40], or on the mucosa of the blowholes of sea mammals [41–43], and hence are target organisms in pathogenic studies [44].

To date, more than 180 cyrtophorian ciliate species are known worldwide, divided into 2 orders, 10 families, and 45 genera [3,8,9]. Several milestones can be identified in the research history: (1) Müller [45] reported two cyrtophorian ciliates (Trithigmostoma cucullulus and Chlamydodon triquetrus, according to the current nomination). (2) Klein [46] first applied silver impregnation (dry silver nitrate) on ciliates and revealed the ciliature of the cyrtophorian Chilodonella uncinata. (3) Kahl [17] summarized more than 80 species with fine descriptions based on previous works (e.g., [47–49]) and his own. (4) Deroux [6,18–22] conducted a long-term, exclusive study mainly on cyrtophorian ciliates, documenting about 50 marine species with fine ciliary patterns. (5) Snoeyenbos-West et al. [50] analyzed, for the first time, the systematic position of cyrtophorian ciliates using SSU rDNA sequences.

In this paper, we review the research on cyrtophorian ciliates in China, covering aspects of taxonomy, molecular phylogeny, and ecology, and provide an up-to-date literature guide to related research. We, furthermore, present a revised phylogeny of cyrtophorian ciliates based on existing morphological knowledge and the molecular phylogenetic tree inferred from updated SSU rDNA sequences. As a closing remark, we give an outlook on the future research prospects of this special group of ciliates.
2. Morphological Taxonomy

2.1. Brief Research History

For this section, we collected and reviewed 50 publications (Table 1) with morphological descriptions, excluding those where the species identification could not be traced and confirmed. To the best of our knowledge, the very first report of cyrtophorian ciliates from China was given by Wang [51] in the year of 1925. He isolated and described three species, *Chilodon caudata* Stokes, 1885, *C. cucullulus* (Müller, 1786) Klein, 1927, and *C. vorax* Stokes, 1887 (current names are *Chilodonella caudata*, *Trithigmostoma cucullulus* and *Chilodonella vorax*, respectively), from freshwater lakes in Nanjing. Only a couple of years later, he amended the list with a new species, *Dysteria amoyensis* Wang, 1934, which was discovered in a marine aquarium in Xiamen [52]. Nie and Ho [53] also established a new species, *Gastronauta fontzoui* Nie and Ho, 1943, extracted from freshwater shrimps; however, they only had a vague morphological description. After an almost three-decade blank, Wang [54] recorded 16 more species, including a new one, during a massive investigation on Mount Everest; unfortunately, only five were described with morphological characteristics. Subsequently, Shen [16] summarized her own novel studies and previous results on ciliate fauna investigation from the Tibet Plateau, and briefly described 22 species (including all the species from Wang [54]). Until then, studies were only conducted using live observation. Since the 2000s, more advanced studies have been based on a combination of live observation and silver staining, mainly focusing on marine and brackish water habitats (e.g., [1,3,4,9,55]). During this period, some scattered studies on soil species and parasites were also published (e.g., [37–40,56]). Research history in China can, therefore, be divided into three stages (Figure 2.1): (A) During the early stage from 1925 to 1973, only a handful of studies were published, with six species reported including two new taxa. (B) The Tibet stage (1974–2000) includes the massive faunal investigations summarized by Wang [54] on Mount Everest and the summary by Shen [16] on the Tibet Plateau. In total, they described 22 species, with one new form. This stage also included a few publications by Song and his collaborator [55,57,58]. (C) The molecular stage began after 2000. From then on, most species were described by both live observation and silver staining (mostly protargol staining). During this period, the number of recorded species, new species and species with ciliature patterns increased strongly. The molecular studies also had a rapid growth in this period (see the Molecular Phylogenetic Studies section below).

### Table 1. Checklist of cyrtophorian ciliate species/isolates with morphological descriptions from China. New species are highlighted in bold. Species are presented with current names. * Ref. [4] by Song et al. summarizes 32 species found by Song’s group (Ocean University of China) from 1991 to 2008. Here, only seven species/populations not published before 2009 are listed. † This species was isolated from marine water, which is different from those populations of *Chilodonella uncinata* isolated from soil or freshwater habitats; thus, we count it as a different species. § Previous name was *Chilodonella parauncinata* [59].

| Publication | Species Name (Current Name) | Ciliature | Site | Habitat |
|-------------|-----------------------------|-----------|------|---------|
| Wang (1925) [51] | *Chilodonella caudata* Stokes, 1885 | - | Nanjing | Freshwater |
| | *Chilodonella vorax* Stokes, 1887 | - | Nanjing | Freshwater |
| | *Trithigmostoma cucullulus* (Müller, 1786) Jankowski, 1967 | - | Nanjing | Freshwater |
| Wang (1934) [52] | *Dysteria amoyensis* Wang, 1934 | - | Xiamen | Marine |
| | *Hartmannula entzi* Kahl, 1931 | - | Xiamen | Marine |
| Nie and Ho (1943) [53] | *Gastronauta fontzoui* Nie & Ho, 1943 | - | - | Freshwater shrimps |
| Wang (1974) [54] | *Chilodonella aplanata* Kahl, 1931 | - | Mount Everest | Soil |
| | *Chilodonella parauncinata* Wang, 1974 | - | Mount Everest | Soil |
| | *Chilodonella uncinata* (Ehrenberg, 1838) Strand, 1928 | - | Mount Everest | Soil |
| | *Odontochlamys contexia* (Kahl, 1931) Blatterer & Foissner, 1992 | - | Mount Everest | Soil |
| | *Pseudochilodonopsis algivora* (Kahl, 1931) Foissner, 1979 | - | Mount Everest | Soil |
Table 1. Cont.

| Publication          | Species Name (Current Name)                  | Ciliature | Site            | Habitat          |
|----------------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|------------------|
| Shen (1983) [16]     | Chilodonella aplanata Kahl, 1931            | -         | Tibetan plateau | Freshwater       |
|                      | Trithigmostoma bavaricepsis (Kahl, 1931) Foissner, 1987 | -         | Tibetan plateau | Sodium sulfate lake |
|                      | Chilodonella capucina (Penard, 1922) Kahl, 1931 | -         | Tibetan plateau | Freshwater       |
|                      | Chilodonella dentata Faugue, 1876           | -         | Tibetan plateau | Freshwater       |
|                      | Chilodonella flaviatilis Stokes, 1885       | -         | Tibetan plateau | Freshwater       |
|                      | Chilodonella granulata Penard, 1922         | -         | Tibetan plateau | Freshwater       |
|                      | Chilodonella nana Kahl, 1928                | -         | Tibetan plateau | Freshwater       |
|                      | Chilodonella parauncinata Wang, 1974        | -         | Tibetan plateau | Freshwater       |
|                      | Chilodonella piscicola Zacharias, 1894      | -         | Tibetan plateau | Freshwater       |
|                      | Chilodonella turgidula Penard, 1922         | -         | Tibetan plateau | Freshwater       |
|                      | Chilodonella uncinata (Ehrenberg, 1838) Strand, 1928 | -         | Tibetan plateau | -                |
|                      | Lophophorina capronata Penard, 1922         | -         | Tibetan plateau | Freshwater       |
|                      | Odontochlamys contexa (Kahl, 1931) Blatterer & Foissner, 1990 | -         | Tibetan plateau | Freshwater       |
|                      | Odontochlamys gourandi Certes, 1891        | -         | Tibetan plateau | -                |
|                      | Pseudochilodonopsis algicora (Kahl, 1931) Foissner, 1979 | -         | Tibetan plateau | Freshwater       |
|                      | Pseudochilodonopsis labiata (Stokes, 1891) Packroff, 1988 | -         | Tibetan plateau | Freshwater       |
|                      | Trithigmostoma cucullulus (Müller, 1786) Jankowski, 1967 | -         | Tibetan plateau | Freshwater       |
|                      | Trochilia minuta (Roux, 1901) Kahl, 1931    | -         | Tibetan plateau | Freshwater       |
|                      | Trochilia palustris Stein, 1859             | -         | Tibetan plateau | Freshwater       |
|                      | Trochilia sulcata (Claparède & Lachmann, 1858) | -         | Tibetan plateau | Freshwater       |
| Song (1991) [55]     | Pseudochilodonopsis marina Song, 1991       | √         | Qingdao         | Marine           |
| Song (1997) [57]     | Chilodonella uncinata (Ehrenberg, 1838) Blatterer & Foissner, 1990 | √         | Qingdao         | Freshwater       |
| Song and Packroff (1997) [58] | Dysteria brasiensis Faria et al., 1922 | √         | Qingdao         | Marine           |
| Gong et al. (2002) [60] | Dysteria cristata (Gourret & Roeser, 1888) Kahl, 1931 | √         | Zhanjiang       | Marine           |
|                      | Dysteria monostyla (Ehrenberg, 1838) Kahl, 1931 | √         | Qingdao         | Marine           |
| Song (2003) [61]     | Chlamydonella derouxi Song, 2003            | √         | Qingdao         | Marine           |
|                      | Orthotrochilia pilata (Deroux, 1976) Song, 2003 | √         | Qingdao         | Marine           |
| Gong and Song (2003) [33] | Dysteria magna Gong & Song, 2003 | √         | Qingdao         | Mariculture water | Marine       |
| Gong et al. (2003) [35] | Dysteria pusilla (Claparède & Lachmann, 1859) Kahl, 1931 | √         | Qingdao         | Mariculture water | Marine       |
| Gong and Song (2004) [34] | Coeloperix sleighi Gong & Song, 2004     | √         | Qingdao         | Mariculture water | Marine       |
| Gong and Song (2004) [62] | Hartmannula angustipilosa Deroux & Dragesco, 1968 Hartmannula derouxi Gong & Song, 2004 | √         | Qingdao         | Mariculture water | Marine       |
| Gong and Song (2004) [63] | Dysteria derouxi Gong & Song, 2004      | √         | Qingdao         | Mariculture water | Marine       |
| Gong et al. (2005) [64] | Chlamydonon mnemosyne Ehrenberg, 1835 Chlamydonon obliquus Kahl, 1931 | √         | Qingdao         | Mariculture water | Marine       |
|                      | Chlamydonon triquetrus (Müller, 1786) Kahl, 1931 | √         | Qingdao         | Mariculture water | Marine       |
| Gong and Song (2006) [65] | Brooklynella sinensis Gong & Song, 2006 | √         | Qingdao         | Mariculture water | Marine       |
| Gong and Song (2006) [66] | Chlamydonella derouxi Song, 2003 Chlamydonella pseudochilodon (Deroux, 1970) | √         | Qingdao         | Mariculture water | Marine       |
|                      | Chlamydonellopsis caikini (Kahl, 1928) Blatterer & Foissner, 1990 | √         | Qingdao         | Mariculture water | Marine       |
| Gong et al. (2007) [67] | Dysteria brasiensis Faria et al., 1922 Dysteria crassipes Claparède & Lachmann, 1859 Dysteria pectinata (Nowlin, 1913) Kahl, 1931 Dysteria semilunaris (Gourret & Roeser, 1886) Kahl, 1931 | √         | Qingdao         | Mariculture water | Marine       |
| Liu et al. (2008) [68] | Dysteria subtropica Qu et al., 2015 Pseudochilodonopsis marina Song, 1991 | √         | Qingdao         | Huizhou          | Marine       |
Table 1. Cont.

| Publication | Species Name (Current Name) | Ciliature | Site                      | Habitat                |
|-------------|----------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|------------------------|
| Shao et al. (2008) [69] | Hartmannula sinica Shao et al., 2008 | ✓         | Qingdao                  | Mariculture water      |
| Gong et al. (2008) [70] | Trichopodiella faurei Gong et al., 2008 | ✓         | Qingdao                  | Marine                 |
| Fan et al. (2009) [71] | Chlamydodon obliquus Kahl, 1931 | ✓         | Huizhou                  | Marine                 |
| Pan et al. (2008) [69] | Hartmannula sinica Shao et al., 2008 | ✓         | Qingdao                  | Marine and Mariculture |
| * Song et al. (2009) [4] | Aegyria oliva Claparede & Lachmann, 1859 | ✓         | Qingdao                  | Marine                 |
| Deng et al. (2015) [37] | Chilodonella piscicola | ✓         | Qingdao                  | Marine                 |
| Chen et al. (2012) [23] | Aegyria rostellum Chen et al., 2012 | ✓         | Shenzhen                  | Brackish water         |
| Chen et al. (2011) [72] | Chlamydodon pascidentatus Deroux, 1976 | ✓         | Qingdao                  | Mariculture water      |
| Pan et al. (2011) [73] | Dysteria derouxi Gong & Song, 2004 | ✓         | Qingdao                  | Mariculture water      |
| Pan et al. (2013) [12] | Chlamydodon caudatus Pan et al., 2013 | ✓         | Guangzhou                | Brackish water         |
| Pan et al. (2013) [12] | Chlamydodon parammossyne Pan et al., 2013 | ✓         | Changyi                  | Mariculture water      |
| Pan et al. (2013) [75] | Orthotrochilia sinica Pan et al., 2013 | ✓         | Qingdao                  | Marine                 |
| Zhao et al. (2014) [76] | Cryptoparichthyella Sleighi Gong & Song, 2004 | ✓         | Zhanjiang                | Marine                 |
| Qu et al. (2015) [77] | Pseudochilodonopsis quadrivacuolata Qu et al., 2015 | ✓         | Yantai                  | Mariculture water      |
| Qu et al. (2015) [59] | § Chilodonella apouncinata nom. nov. | ✓         | Qingdao                  | Freshwater             |
| Qu et al. (2015) [78] | Dysteria brasiliensis Faria et al., 1922 | ✓         | Yantai                  | Mariculture water      |
| Pan et al. (2016) [24] | Dysteria ovalis (Gourret & Roesser, 1886) Kahl, 1931 | ✓         | Shanghai                | Brackish water         |
| Publication    | Species Name (Current Name)                      | Ciliature | Site                | Habitat          |
|---------------|-------------------------------------------------|-----------|---------------------|------------------|
| Pan et al. (2017) [79] | **Atopochilodon distichum** Deroux, 1976 **Chlamydonon rectus** Ozaki & Yagiu, 1941 **Coeloperis sinica** Pan et al., 2017 | √         | Hong Kong           | Brackish water   |
|               | **Dysteria compressa** (Gourret & Roeser, 1886) Kahl, 1931 **Odontochlamys alpestris bicylata** Foissner et al., 2002 | √         | Qingdao             | Marine           |
| Qu et al. (2017) [25] | **Aegyria foissneri** Qu et al., 2017 **Lynchella minuta** Qu et al., 2017 | √         | Zhanjiang           | Marine           |
| Chen et al. (2018) [80] | **Aegyria apoliva** Chen et al., 2018 **Trithigmostoma cuctillus** (Müller, 1786) Jankowski, 1967 | √         | Qingdao             | Marine           |
| Li et al. (2018) [39] | **Chilodonella hexasticha** Kiernik, 1909 | √         | Wuhan; Dali; Jiangsu; Hanchuan; Liangshan | Ectoparasite of fish |
|               | **Chilodonella piscicola** Zacharias, 1894 | √         | Wuhan; Dali; Jiangsu; Hanchuan; Liangshan | Ectoparasite of fish |
| Qu et al. (2018) [13] | **Chlamydonon cressidens** Qu et al., 2018 **Chlamydonon oligochaetus** Qu et al., 2018 **Chlamydonon wilberti** Qu et al., 2018 | √         | Qingdao             | Marine           |
| Qu et al. (2018) [81] | **Chlamydonon bourlandi** Qu et al., 2018 **Chlamydonon triquetrus** (Müller, 1786) Kahl, 1931 **Chlamydonon similis** Qu et al., 2018 | √         | Zhanjiang           | Brackish water   |
|               | **Chlamydonon bourlandi** Qu et al., 2018 **Chlamydonon pararoseus** Wang et al., 2019 **Dysteria crassipes** Claparede & Lachmann, 1859 **Dysteria monostyla** (Ehrenberg, 1838) Kahl, 1931 | √         | Zhanjiang           | Marine           |
| Wang et al. (2019) [26] | **Chlamydonon bourlandi** Qu et al., 2018 **Chlamydonon pararoseus** Wang et al., 2019 **Dysteria crassipes** Claparede & Lachmann, 1859 | √         | Zhanjiang           | Marine           |
| Wang et al. (2019) [40] | **Chilodonella uncinata** (Ehrenberg, 1838) Strand, 1928 **Chilodonella hexasticha** Kiernik, 1909 | √         | Hubei               | Ectoparasite of fish |
| Jin et al. (2021) [41] | **Kyaroikeus paracetarius** Jin et al., 2021 | √         | Ningbo              | Parasite of beluga whale |
|               | **Planilamina ovata** Ma et al., 2006 | √         | Ningbo              | Parasite of beluga whale |
| Qu et al. (2021) [14] | **Gastromauta paraoloi** Qu et al., 2021 **Trithigmostoma cuctillus** (Müller, 1786) Jankowski, 1967 | √         | Shenzhen; Qingdao; Zhanjiang | Freshwater |
| Wang et al. (2021) [82] | **Dysteria brasiliensis** Faria et al., 1922 **Dysteria compressa** (Gourret & Roeser, 1886) Kahl, 1931 **Dysteria ozakii** Wang et al., 2021 | √         | Haikou              | Brackish water   |
| Zhao et al. (2022) [83] | **Dysteria brasiliensis** Faria et al., 1922 | √         | Ningbo              | Brackish water   |
|               | **Dysteria crassipes** Claparede & Lachmann, 1859 **Dysteria paracrustipes** Zhao et al., 2022 | √         | Ningbo              | Brackish water   |
| Sum           | 103 species, 147 populations, 39 new species | 82        |                     |                  |
Figure 2. Timeline and accumulated numbers of studied cyrtophorian ciliates (species) in China. The four lines represent the numbers of recorded species, new species, species with ciliature information, and taxonomic hits (lowest taxonomic rank to species or genus) with SSU rDNA sequences. A, B, and C represent three study periods: the early stage (A) 1925–1973, the Tibet stage (B) 1974–2000, and the molecular stage (C) since the 2000s.

2.2. Species List and Classification

As of May 2022, a total of 103 morpho-species (147 isolates) have been reported in China which can be assigned to 8 families and 31 genera (Table 1). Among them, 82 species with ciliature (mainly from protargol staining) have been reported. Three new genera, Aporthotrochilia Pan et al., 2012, Heterohartmannula Pan et al., 2012, and Paracyrtophoron Chen et al., 2012, and thirty-nine new species have been erected. Here, we list the genus classifications regarding the species (with reported morphology) found in China. The class, subclass, order and family assignments are mainly based on Lynn [8] and subsequent modifications (see references in Table 1). Accordingly, Figures 3 and 4 provide an illustrated key to identify the genera existing in China on the basis of morphological characters (mainly ciliary patterns).

A list of cyrtophorian genera recorded in China and their systematic assignments.

Class Phyllopharyngea de Puytorac et al., 1974
Subclass Cyrtophoria Fauré-Fremiet in Corliss, 1956

Order Chlamydodontida Deroux, 1976

Family Chilodonellidae Deroux, 1970

Chilodonella Strand, 1928
Odontochlamys Certes, 1891
Pseudochilodonopsis Foissner, 1979
Phascolodon Stein, 1859
Trithigmostoma Jankowski, 1967

Family Chlamydodontidae Stein, 1859

Chlamydodon Ehrenberg, 1835
Paracyrtophoron Chen et al., 2012

Family Gastronautidae Deroux, 1994

Gastronauta Engelmann in Bütschli, 1889
Family Lynchellidae Jankowski, 1968
- *Atopochilodon* Kahl, 1933
- *Chlamydonella* Deroux in Petz et al., 1995
- *Chlamydonellopsis* Blatterer & Foissner, 1990
- *Coeloperix* Deroux in Gong & Song, 2004
- *Lynchella* Jankowski, 1968

Family Plesiotrichopidae Deroux, 1976
- *Trochochilodon* Deroux, 1976
- *Incertae sedis* Lophophorina Penard, 1922

Order Dysteriida Deroux, 1976
Family Dysteriidae Claparède & Lachmann, 1859
- *Agnathodysteria* Deroux, 1976
- *Dysteria* Huxley, 1857
- *Microxysma* Deroux, 1977
- *Mirodysteria* Kahl, 1933
- *Trochilia* Dujardin, 1841

Family Hartmannulidae Poche, 1913
- *Aegyria* Chen et al., 2012
- *Aporthroprochilia* Pan et al., 2012
- *Brooklynella* Lom & Nigrelli, 1970
- *Chlamydonyx* Deroux, 1976
- *Hartmannula* Poche, 1913
- *Heterohartmannula* Pan et al., 2012
- *Orthotrochilia* Deroux in Song, 2003
- *Trichopodiella* Corliss, 1960
- *Trochlioides* Chen et al., 2011

Family Kyaroikeidae Sniezek & Coats, 1996
- *Kyaroikeus* Sniezek, Coats & Small, 1995
- *Planilamina* Ma et al., 2006

---

**Figure 3.** Illustrated key for the identification to cyrtophorian genera found in China. All the illustrations are original. The arrows and colored circles indicate dichotomic characteristics. Abbreviations: CSB—cross-striated band; TF—terminal fragment.
2.3. Comments on New Taxa Described from China

Three new genera and thirty-seven new species have been established in China. We carefully examined all these new taxa using the descriptions in the original publications and by checking the deposited specimens, and found that the establishment of one genus was not necessary, and the name of one species was already pre-occupied.

Paracyrtophoron Chen et al., 2012, was established mainly because it differed from its closest congener Cyrtophoron by “the lack of a fragment near anterior ends of right kineties and transpodial fragments in the posterior portion of the ventral surface” [23]. Here, the “fragment near anterior ends of right kineties” seems to be a structure only described in Cyrtophoron isagogicum [6], but not in other Cyrtophoron species; thus, it cannot be used as a promising generic difference. Additionally, it is not convincing that the transpodial fragments can be considered as a genus-level discrepancy, because these fragments are not necessarily present among the species of the related genus Chlamydodon (e.g., present in C. pararoseus, but absent in C. wilberti) [26,81]. Therefore, we doubt the necessity and the validation of the establishment of Paracyrtophoron from Cyrtophoron.

Furthermore, we have some comments on another genus, Aporthotrochilia Pan et al., 2012. It has been stated that the difference between Aporthotrochilia and Orthochilia is that the former has fragments on the right, posterior part of the frontoventral kineties and a higher number of terminal fragments [74]. This description is, however, somewhat unclear. In our opinion, the outer right kineties in Aporthotrochilia are interrupted in the middle, which leaves anterior parts (called “several terminal fragments” by the original authors) and posterior parts (corresponding to the posterior fragments). This is supported by L, S and T in the original publication [74], in which the anterior fragments are clearly a part of the outer right kineties. Thus, Aporthotrochilia has only one terminal fragment. Nevertheless, this interruption of the outer right kineties could be considered as a sufficient generic difference, and the establishment of the new genus is hence reasonable.

A new species of Chilodonella, C. parauncinata, was suggested by Qu et al. [59], and the separation from its congeners is undoubtable. However, the authors neglected the preoccupation of the species name, which was also a new species established by Wang [54] from Mount Everest (no deposited type material). This highlights the importance of an extensive literature search, especially for taxonomic study. C. parauncinata Wang, 1974, was originally published in Chinese; we provide herein a translation of the vague diagnosis of the poorly known species (no staining information): “Cell length 45–48 µm in vivo; body

Figure 4. Illustrated key for the identification to cyrtophorian genera found in China (Figure 3 continued). All the illustrations are original. The arrows and colored structures indicate dichotomic characteristics. Abbreviations: NR—nematodesmal rods; PK—postoral kineties; and Pr—preoral kinety.
shape irregularly oval, beak-shaped protrusion to left in anterior end; posterior end more or less rounded, concave on right margin; four right and four or five left kineties; isolated from soil on the Mount Everest”. These two isolates can be clearly distinguished by the numbers of somatic kineties: the form in Qu et al. [59] had five right (vs. four) and six or seven left kineties (vs. four or five). Therefore, *Chilodonella parauncinata* sensu Qu et al., 2015 is a junior primary homonym, and it is permanently invalid according to article 57.2 of the ICZN [84]. Thus, we replace *Chilodonella parauncinata* sensu Qu et al., 2015 with a new name, *Chilodonella apouncinata* nom. nov.

**Chilodonella apouncinata** nom. nov.

*Chilodonella parauncinata*—Qu et al., J. Eukaryot. Microbiol. 2015, 62, 267–279 (primary homonym, non *Chilodonella parauncinata* Wang, 1974).

**ZooBank registration number of present paper.** urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:CBB2291F-05EC-4840-8979-01F28FF6DB83.

**ZooBank registration number of Chilodonella apouncinata** nom. nov. urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:5E887B0E-B6DC-462A-9C20-9A1CE6721CE44.

**Type specimen.** See Qu et al. [59] (p. 269, Figure 3H).

**Type locality.** See Qu et al. [59] (p. 275).

**Deposition of type materials.** See Qu et al. [59] (p. 275).

**Etymology.** The species-group name *apouncinata* is a composite of the Greek adjective *apo*- (from) and the species-group name *uncinata*, indicating that the species is similar to *Chilodonella uncinata*.

**Morphological description and morphogenesis.** See Qu et al. [59] (pp. 269–271).

**Comparison with congeners.** See Qu et al. [59] (pp. 272–273).

3. Molecular Phylogenetic Studies

A new perspective on the systematics of cyrtoophorid ciliates emerged at the turn of the millennium with the advent of molecular techniques. Molecular research corresponds to the molecular stage of morphological research (stage C in Figure 2.1). The first two SSU rDNA (small subunit ribosome DNA) sequences from China of the species *Dysteria derouxi* (AY378112) and *Hartmannula derouxi* (AY378113) were released in 2003. Shortly after that, the number of species studied with molecular sequences increased rapidly. By May 2022, 100 SSU rDNA sequences of Chinese origin had been deposited in the GenBank database with to 74 taxonomic hits (lowest taxonomic rank to species or genus), of which 66 had verifiable morphological records (Table 2). The molecular signatures (mainly the SSU rDNA) were then used to study the phylogeny of cyrtoophorian ciliates. By this, Li and Song [85] revealed the phylogenetic positions of *Dysteria derouxi* and *Hartmannula derouxi*, the monophyly of families Chilodonellidae, Chlamydodontidae and Dysteriidae, and the clustering of Hartmannulidae with *Isochona* species (subclass Chonotrichia). Gong et al. [70] constructed phylogenetic trees using SSU rDNA and group I introns. Although only a few representatives were involved, the possible close relationship of Hartmannulidae and the subclass Chonotrichia was also indicated.

### Table 2. NCBI-deposited SSU rDNA sequences of cyrtoophorian ciliates from China. One hundred sequences in total belonging to seventy-four cyrtoophorid taxonomic hits.

| Taxonomic Hit                  | Accession(s) | Taxonomic Hit                  | Accession(s) |
|-------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|--------------|
| *Aegyria apoliva*              | FJ998028     | *Dysteria ovalis*              | KX258193     |
| *Aegyria foissneri*            | KX364493     | *Dysteria ozakii*              | MW046154     |
| *Aegyria oliva*                | FJ998029     | *Dysteria paracrassipes*       | OL527698     |
| *Agnathodysteria littoralis*   | KC753482     | *Dysteria paraprocera*         | KM103263     |
| *Aporhithrochilia pulex*       | HQ605947     | *Dysteria pectinata*           | FJ870068     |
| *Atopochilodon distichum*      | KT461933     | *Dysteria procera*             | DQ057347     |
Table 2. Cont.

| Taxonomic Hit                        | Accession(s)       | Taxonomic Hit                        | Accession(s)       |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|
| **Brooklynella sinensis**            | KC753483           | **Dysteria proarafons**              | KM103261           |
| § Chilodonella apouncinata           | KJ509197           | § Dysteria sp.                        | FJ868205           |
| Chilodonella hexasticha              | MH342041, MH342042, MH342045, MH342046 | Dysteria semilunaris               | KX258194           |
| Chilodonella piscicola               | MH341624, MH342043 | Dysteria subtropica                   | KC753494           |
| Chlamydotodon bournlandi             | MG566059, MG5882887 | Gastronauta paraloisi                | MW072507           |
| Chlamydotodon caudatus               | JQ904058           | Hartmannula derouxi                  | AY378113           |
| Chlamydotodon mnemosyne              | FJ998031           | Hartmannula sinica                   | EF623827           |
| Chlamydotodon obliquus                | FJ998030           | Heterohartmannula fungi              | HQ605946, FJ868204 |
| Chlamydotodon oligochaetus            | KY496620           | Kyaroikus paracretarius              | MN830168           |
| Chlamydotodon paramnemosyne           | JQ904059           | Lychella minuta                      | KX364494           |
| Chlamydotodon parareseus              | MK882886           | Lynchella nordica                    | FJ998036           |
| Chlamydotodon rectus                  | KT461932           | ³Lynchella sp.                       | FJ998036           |
| Chlamydotodon salinus                 | JQ904057           | Microxysma acutum                    | JN870069           |
| Chlamydotodon similis                 | KY496621           | Mirodysteria decora                  | JN870020           |
| Chlamydotodon tripetrous              | KX302700, MG566058 | Odontochlamys alpestris biciliata    | KC753484           |
| Chlamydotodon wibrerti                | MG566056           | Paracyrtophilanthropic              | FJ998035           |
| Chlamydotonella derouxi               | KJ509198           | Planilamina ovata                    | MN830169           |
| Chlamydotonella irregularis           | KC753486           | Pseudochilodonopsis mutabilis        | JN870121, KR611083 |
| Chlamydotonellopsis calcisini        | FJ998033, KC753487 | Pseudochilodonopsis flaviatilis      | JN870121, KR611083 |
| Coeloperix sinica                    | FJ998034           | Pseudochilodonopsis mutabilis        | KR611084, KC753498 |
| Coeloperix sleighi                   | KC753489           | Pseudochilodonopsis quadrivacuolata  | KR611082           |
| * Coeloperix sp.                     | FJ998034           | * Pseudochilodonopsis sp.1           | KC753495           |
| Dysteria brasilensis                 | EU242512, MW046155, OL527700-OL5277004 | * Pseudochilodonopsis sp.2          | KC753496           |
| Dysteria compressa                   | KC753491, MW046156 | * Pseudochilodonopsis sp.3           | KC753497           |
| Dysteria crassipes                   | FJ868206, KC753492, KC753493, MK882889, OL527699 | * Spirodysteria kahli               | KC753499           |
| Dysteria cristata                    | KC753488           | Trichopodiella faurei                | EU515792, KC753500, FJ870071 |
| Dysteria derouxi                     | AY378112, KX302697 | Trithigmostoma cacicularbes          | FJ998037, MW116158, MW116159 |
| Dysteria lanceolata                  | KC753490           | Trochiloides recta                   | JN870171           |
| Dysteria monostyla                   | MK882888           | Trochiloides flavus                  | JN870171           |
| Dysteria nabia                       | KM103262           | Trochiloides flavus                  | JN870171           |

* No corresponding morphological data. § The deposited species name in NCBI is Chilodonella parauncinata.
All subsequent work followed the systematic scheme proposed by Lynn [8] that cypertophorian ciliates belong to the subclass Cyrtophoria, with two orders assigned: Chlamy- 
dodontida Deroux, 1976 and Dysteriida Deroux, 1976. Gao et al. [86] comprehensively 
analyzed the phylogeny of cypertophorian ciliates using SSU rDNA sequences from 7 families 
and 17 genera, and portrayed the overall phylogenetic structure for the first time, which 
then became the template for the following studies: The non-monophyly of the order 
Chlamydomontida and the monophyly of Dysteriida were confirmed; a new family, Pithiti-
dae Gao et al., 2012, was established based on its special phylogenetic position and unique 
morphological feature; and the position of the family Plesiotrichopidae was found to be 
uncertain. However, we agree with Lynn [87] that the sequence of Plesiotrichopus, the type 
genus of Plesiotrichopidae, is still missing; thus, the certainty of the family transfer needs 
further testing in the future. Following that, Chen et al. [88] conducted complementary 
analyses with additional SSU rDNA sequences. The phylogenetic topology was consistent 
with that in Gao et al. [86], but with further discussion on some newly sequenced taxa such 
as Brooklynella. Based on these results, the possible evolutionary pattern of cypertophorian 
ciliates was proposed. However, this evolutionary routine was without sufficient references 
from either morphological data or molecular phylogeny. The authors also showed the 
prediction of the secondary structures of the hypervariable region 4 (V4) of the SSU rDNA 
of the representative cypertophorian genera. Later, Wang et al. [89] analyzed the phylogeny 
of class Phyllopharyngea—including Cyrtophoria—using two genes: the mitochondrial 
SSU rDNA (mtSSU rDNA) and the nuclear SSU rDNA. The phylogenetic results were gen-
erally consistent with previous works. Recently, Pan et al. [90] employed high-throughput 
sequencing to obtain the genomic and transcriptomic data of seven species, including 
five cypertophorians. The emphasis of this paper, however, was to solve the uncertain 
phylogenetic position of Synhymenia, and was not focused on cypertophorian ciliates.

Other works involving molecular phylogeny (SSU rDNA) were combined with mor-
phological descriptions, serving as a complementary method for species identification [12– 
14,24–26,37,39,41,59,74,77–81].

In the present work, we reconstruct a comprehensive phylogenetic tree inferred from 
updated SSU rDNA sequences (Figure 5). Sequence selection and alignment, and phyloge-
netic tree construction methods are described in the Supplementary Materials. The topo-
logy of the present phylogenetic tree is consistent with previous works (e.g., [14,41,59,77– 
81,85,88–90]), and similar conclusions can be drawn: (1) The order Clamydomontida 
are monophyletic, while the order Dysteriida are non-monophyletic. (2) The subclass 
Chonotrichia are nested within Dysteriida, on the level of the family Hartmannulidae. 
(3) Kyarokeidae display as a subfamily of Dysteriidae. (4) Gastronautidae represent a 
transitional family among Chilodonellidae, Chlamydomontidae, and Lynchellidae.
Figure 5. Phylogenetic tree inferred from SSU rDNA sequences. The trees were reconstructed by two algorithms: IQTREE and MrBayes. Support values from the two methods are provided at the branching points (IQTREE/MrBayes). Bold dots at the branching points indicate full support from both analyses. “-” indicates discrepancy between the topologies of IQTREE and the MrBayes trees. The scale bar represents five substitutions per hundred nucleotide positions.

4. Proposed Phylogeny

With some key members not yet uncovered, as well as missing molecular representatives, a detailed evolutionary relationship (phylogeny) of the subclass Cyrtophoria cannot be drawn satisfactorily. As mentioned above, Chen et al. [88] have attempted to illustrate the evolutionary relationships among the recognized cyrtophorian genera. However, these relationships were not strictly based on morphological and molecular data. For example, the solid separation of the two orders, Chlamydodontida and Dysteriida, were not supported by molecular phylogenetic analyses (Figure 5), and the positions of Chlamydonodon and Cyrtophoron at the end of the Lychellidae branch were confusing. Nevertheless, what we can infer is that there is an obvious discrepancy between the considerable knowledge on the morphological diversity of cyrtophorian ciliates and their phylogeny and evolution.
It has been emphasized that the morphogenetic data have to be taken into account in order to reconstruct evolutionary and phylogenetic relationships in ciliates. However, investigations show that the morphogenetic process of cyrtophorians seems quite identical among all groups [6,13,14,19,57,59,91,92], thus providing only limited information. Based on the review of the morphological (including feeding- and motility-associated morphological traits) and phylogenetic works mentioned above, and the one constructed ourselves (Figure 5), we propose the phylogeny of 29 genera from 9 families for which molecular data are available (Figure 6).

Figure 6. Evolutionary pattern of the subclass Cyrtophoria inferred from species with both morphological and molecular data. All illustrations are original.

The separation of the two orders, Chlamydomontida and Dysteriida, is mainly based on the form of the cell (dorso-ventrally compressed in the former and laterally compressed in the latter) and the posterior adhere organelles (podite and/or glandule absent in the former and present in the latter). However, nothing has been mentioned about the trend of the morphogenesis-related postoral kineties (see stomatogenesis zone—SZ—in Figure 1). In the order Chlamydomontida, the postoral kineties are not well separated from either the left or right kineties (Lynchellidae, Chlamydomontidae, and Trithigmostoma), or are merged as the inner part of the left kineties (Chilodonellidae and Gastronauta). Despite the highly degenerated left kineties, the postoral kineties are still connected with the left kineties in Hartmannulidae. Then, the postoral kineties tend to separate from the left kineties and become independent in Dysteriidae and Kyaroikeidae. We here hypothesize that the key evolutionary event of cyrtophorian ciliates lies in the separation of the stomatogenesis zone (postoral kineties) from the left kineties, namely, the formation of an independent “sexual organelle”.

As shown in Figure 5, the phylogenetic positions of the families Lynchellidae, Chilodonellidae, Chlamydomontidae, Hartmannulidae, and Dysteriidae are clear, with strong support from abundant morphological and molecular data [86,88,89]. In contrast, the positions of the families Pithitidae, Gastronautidae, Plesiotrichopidae, and Kyaroikeidae appear vague, mainly because of the lack of morphological and/or molecular data. It should be kept in mind that one should not over interpret the topology of phylogenetic trees derived from limited molecular data. Pithitidae and Plesiotrichopidae comprise only a few morpho-species and only one molecular representative each, which largely obscures phylogenetic study. Nevertheless, we agree with Gao et al. [86] that
these two families are intermediate groups between the orders Chlamydodontida and Dysteriida, mainly from the aspect of morphological comparison. Similarly, although Gastronutidae has only one sequence positioned within the family Chilodonellidae, this family is valid and represents an intermediate group within the order Chlamydodontida based on its unique combination of morphological characteristics [14]. The separation of Kyaroikeidae (Kyaroikeus and Planilamina) from Dysteriidae is not well supported by either morphological or molecular data, and Kyaroikeidae species could be seen as highly specialized Dysteriidae adapted to parasitism [41]. Therefore, we place it at the basal position of Dysteriidae.

Several intermediate genera are also recognized: Trithignonstoma belongs to the family Chilodonellidae, but shares some characteristics with the Chlamydodontidae family, which is why it is placed at the basal position within the Chilodonellidae [14]. Brooklynella, Trochilioides and Microxysma represent transitional genera between Hartmannulidae and Dysteriidae [86,88] with intellectual morphological characteristics [65,72,75,76].

5. Ecology

5.1. Sites, Habitats, and Distribution

China is characterized by a vast diversity of habitats, including plateau regions, deserts, rain forests, wetlands, rivers, lakes, coastal areas, and deep sea. Accordingly, it is reasonable to assume that there should also be a high degree of ciliate biodiversity. However, apart from in the very early stage, respective investigations have mostly been carried out along coastal areas (Figure 7), while other habitats are only sporadically studied. The sampled sites can, therefore, be categorized into coastal areas and inland areas. Coastal areas can further be classified into three parts: (1) The northern part (the coasts of the Bohai Sea and the Yellow Sea) is located in a temperate zone. Sampling sites include Qingdao, Yantai, Changyi, and Dongying. (2) The eastern part (the estuary of Yangtze River) includes Shanghai, Ningbo, and Hangzhou. (3) The southern part (the coasts of the Southern China Sea and the estuary of the Pearl River) includes Xiamen, Huizhou, Hong Kong, Shenzhen, Guangzhou, Zhuhai, Zhanjiang, and Haikou. The inland areas cover the Tibet Plateau (including Mount Everest), Gansu province, and cities along the Yangtze River and its tributary (Dali, Lhasa, Huzhou, Hanchuan, Wuhan, Nanjing, and Changzhou).

The investigated areas covered five habitat types or lifestyles (Figure 7), namely, marine, brackish water, freshwater, soil, and parasitism. Studies on the northern coastal areas focused mainly on marine habitats (coastal waters, indoor or open sea mariculture waters), while studies on the eastern and southern coasts were mainly conducted from brackish waters. Freshwater habitats were mainly investigated along the Yangtze River and its tributary, and on the Tibetan Plateau. Most evidence of cyrtophorian parasitic lifestyles also comes from these areas. Soil cyrtophorians were mainly isolated from Gansu and Mount Everest.

Many studies on pelagic and soil habitats indicate that the dispersal and distribution of ciliates follow the moderate endemicity model (e.g., [93]). Apparently, this also holds true for cyrtophorian ciliates. Currently, more than one third of cyrtophorians discovered in China are new and possibly endemic, while the rest can be found in other countries or on other continents as well. The only attempt to analyze the biogeographic distribution patterns of cyrtophorian ciliates was briefly conducted on a well-studied genus: Chlamydodon [13]. This work summarized the historical studies on the morphology of this genus worldwide, showed the global distribution of Chlamydodon species, and indicated possible cosmopolitan and endemic species. However, as stated by the authors, the analyses were very limited, mainly because of the scattered studies on this genus. This limit also applies to the studies on cyrtophorians in China. For instance, a cosmopolitan species, Chlamydodon memnosyne, has only been formally reported once in a publication from China [64], but sampled and recorded in master’s and PhD theses several times (by personal communication). This issue prevents a thorough diversity study and also hinders intra- and interspecific comparisons for both morphological and molecular aspects. There-
fore, in order to obtain more detailed data (at population level) with a higher geographical resolution, extensive sampling must be carried out in different habitats at a larger scale.

Figure 7. Sampling sites and habitat categories (or lifestyles) of studied cyrtophorian ciliate populations from China. The dots represent study sites, and the sizes of the dots indicate the number of populations studied. Colors represent different habitat types or lifestyles.

5.2. Lifestyles

Most cyrtophorian species display a free-living periphyton life, and can thus be easily sampled by gently scratching the substrate or enrichment with artificial substrates such as glass slides [35,67]. Some species are found mainly in aquaculture water bodies, especially mariculture waters [33–35] such as Dysteria crassipes [67,79]. A few species exhibit a parasitic lifestyle. Chilodonella uncinata [40], C. piscicola [37,39], and C. hexasticha [38–40] have been reported to be opportunistic or obligate parasites on gills and the skin of freshwater fish. Furthermore, two mammal parasites, Kyaroikeus paracetarius and Planilamina ovata, were isolated from the mucus of an unhealthy captive beluga [41].

5.3. Food Source and Feeding Types

Cyrtophorian ciliates mainly feed on bacteria (including cyanobacteria) and/or eu-karyotic microalgae, and they are obligate bacterivores, algivores, or omnivores [12–14]. This could be inferred by a direct check of food vacuoles or cultivation attempts using grain-enriched bacteria. Some of this information can be used for species identification. For instance, Pseudochilodonopsis algivora is filled with algae inclusions, which is a promising character to quickly identify this species [16]. Some species such as Aegyria oliva, A. paroliva, Chlamydodon bourlandi, C. obliquus, and Paracyrtophoron tropicum have unusual violet digested inclusions that can be used to aid species identification [4,23,26,82]. We have tried to summarize food inclusions by checking descriptions, photomicrographs, and cultivation attempts in the literature. A large part of cyrtophorian ciliates feed on microalgae (e.g., Chlamydodon, Hartmaniella, Pseudochilonopsis, and Trithigmostoma). This can be best explained by their strong pharyngeal basket which is adapted to undertake microalgae food. Small, spherical algae are mostly observed as food inclusions (e.g., [12,16,55,59]). Large diatoms are also commonly found, and most of them belong to Naviculaceae (e.g., [14,24,80,81]), while Cyclotella is casually identified [24]. Thus far, filamentous cyanobacteria have only been reported in Chlamydodon mnemosyne [64] and
a *Chilodonella* species [59]. Bacterivorous species are also common, especially for those species found in the aquaculture waters (*Chilodonella* spp. and *Dysteria* spp.). These species can be cultivated by the enrichment of bacteria by adding rice or wheat grains. A few species (*Pseudochilodonopsis marina*, *Dysteria brasiliensis*, and *Gastronauta paraloisi*) have been reported to feed on both bacteria and small, spherical algae, and thus are possibly omnivorous [14,55,67,68,77]. No predation has been found in cyrtophorian ciliates. Although *Aegyria oliva* has been reported once to contain small scuticociliates and *Aspidisca* in its inclusions [4], this was likely the result of non-selective feeding, and no predatory behavior was confirmed.

5.4. Abundance

Cyrtophorian ciliates have relatively low abundance in natural habitats compared to other ciliates, but some species (bacterivores or omnivores) are found to be quite abundant in aquaculture or saprobic environments, such as *Dysteria* spp. [26,67,77] and *Gastronauta paraloisi* [14]. Although some diatom consumers, such as *Trithigmostoma*, may be dominant when food diatoms are enriched [14,81], the population density of obligate algivores is usually low.

5.5. Others

A few studies have reported that dysteriid species (mainly *Dysteria*) have possible ectosymbiotic bacteria (most likely bacterial epibionts) on cell surface, e.g., *Aegyria foissneri* [25], *Dysteria brasiliensis* [67], *D. compressa* [80], *D. crassipes* [26,67,79], *D. lanceolata* [72], *D. monostyla* [26], *D. paraprocera* [79], and *D. subtropica* [68,79]. The occurrence and the type of these bacteria seem to be environment-induced [67,77]. However, the exact function of these bacteria is yet unknown.

6. Prospects

With almost a century of research history, studies on the taxonomy, phylogeny, and ecology of cyrtophorian ciliates in China have accumulated substantial results. In addition to this, we offer an outlook on the future research of this group of ciliates.

1. Despite the considerable number of studies published in China, as described above, it is clear that there are still many unknown species that prevent a more detailed and cohesive systematic scheme of Cyrtophoria. Thus, more investigations into fauna need to be conducted on a large regional scale with different habitats. In contrast to massive investigations from marine and brackish water habitats, sampling from freshwater and soil as well as extreme environments such as hypersaline lakes (mostly on the Tibetan Plateau, western China) and cold regions (northern China) are urgently needed;

2. The de facto standard for the taxonomic study of ciliates combining morphology (live observation and silver staining) and molecular phylogeny [94] has been well practiced for Cyrtophoria over the last decade. As high-throughput sequencing becomes cost-efficient and more effective bioinformatic tools are developed, it is possible to use these techniques to perform phylogenomic reconstruction, as indicated by [91]. Thus, in the near future, genomic/transcriptomic data should also be included in taxonomy or phylogenetic study routines to achieve higher phylogenetic resolution;

3. Similarly to other ciliate groups, species separation/circumscription is still a major problem for the taxonomy of cyrtophorian ciliates. Different geographical populations of the same species should be recorded, described and compared on the aspects of morphology and different marker genes;

4. Attention should be paid to the ectosymbiotic bacteria (or bacterial epibionts) on the cell surface of *Dysteria*, with emphasis on trophic function as well as possible phylogenetic signals to their hosts;

5. Detailed ecological roles (niches) of cyrtophorian ciliates should be elucidated. This could be performed by annual or seasonal sampling, cultivation experiments, and
checking food vacuoles or fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) to detect species occurrence, food inclusion, and trophic relationships (autecology).
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**Glossary**

General terminology regarding to the morphological structures of cyrtophorian ciliates.

- **Cross-striated band (CSB):** Tubular band with stripes along the cell periphery between ventral and dorsal sides. It can be complete, interrupted or highly shortened. The function is likely to reinforce the cell. Common in the genera Chlamydodon and Coeloperix.

- **Cyrtos (pharyngeal basket):** In some ciliates (including Cyrtophoria), the pharyngeal is highly specialized with supporting fibers and a bunch of microtubes, forming a complex structure. A short fragment on the equatorial position of the right margin of the cell, seldom another one on the left margin (e.g., Chlamydonella). This structure is sometimes difficult to detect. No cilia generated.

- **Equatorial fragment (EF):** A tubular secretion cavity at the posterior part of the cell. The secretion of the mucus or thread can adhere the cell to the substrate. Common in the suborder Dysteriida.

- **Glandule (G):** Two clearly separated parts can be observed; it is called heteromeric. Two forms of heteromeric macronucleus are classified, centrally heteromeric (families Chilodonellidae and Gastromonadidae) and parallelly heteromeric (other families).

- **Heteromeric macronucleus:** An individual rod consists of a bunch of microtubes. Several to many rods around the cytostome, supporting the cytopharyngeal basket. The number of rods is a relatively stable feature, which is often used for species separation. Short kinetal fragments around the cytostome, usually two or several circumoral kineties in front of cytostome, and one preoral kinety on the anterior left. Those kineties are usually composed of dikinetids.

- **Nematodesmal (pharyngeal) rods (NR):** A cone-shaped organelle on ventral side, at the posterior part of the cell. A hollow, tubular secretion cavity can be often observed. The function is to anchor the cell to the substrate, or to assist the ciliate to crawl on the substrate. Common in the suborder Dysteriida.
According to the position to the cytostome, the somatic kineties are divided into three parts: right kineties (RF), postoral kineties (PK), and left kineties (LK). The postoral kineties are responsible for the formation of anlagen during the morphogenesis, but sometimes are not obvious, thus are regarded as part of left kineties in many taxa, e.g., Chilodonella, Dysteria. For species in Dysteriidae, the left kineties are often referred as left frontal kineties.

**Somatic kineties (SK):**

**Stomatogenetic zone (SZ):**

The area to form oral anlagen during the stomatogenesis. For ciliophorian ciliates, this zone is located at the equator of postoral kineties.

**Tentacle(s):**

Finger-like tentacles on the ventral side. The function is to adhere the cell to the substrate. These tentacles can be found in species in Lynchellidae.

**Terminal fragment (TF):**

One to several short kinetal fragments near the anterior part of right kineties in anterior end of the cell; on dorsal side or anterior margin of ventral side. Cilia long and rigid. In some species, the kinetids in the posterior end of right kineties are densely arranged, which assists cell adhesion to substrate.

**Transpodial segment (TS):**

---
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