Note

Weight-length relationship, morphometric and meristic characters of the yellow seahorse Hippocampus kuda Bleeker, 1852 (Syngnathiformes: Syngnathidae) from central west coast of India
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ABSTRACT

Eighteen morphometric and five meristic characters of the yellow seahorse Hippocampus kuda Bleeker, 1852 inhabiting the coastal waters of Ratnagiri, central west coast of India, were studied. Morphometric/numerical analysis confirmed the identity of the species from Ratnagiri coastal waters as Hippocampus Kuda Bleeker, 1852. A negative allometric relationship was observed in both genders of H. kuda. The estimated weight-length relationships (WLR) for male and female specimens, respectively were, W=0.0034 SL^{2.8533} and W=0.0078 SL^{2.5253} (r^2>0.95). The biological information on morphometric and meristic characters, WLR and length-length relationship (LLR) provided in this study would be helpful for conservation and management of this vulnerable seahorse species.
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Seahorses, pipefishes, pipehorses and seadragons are members of the family Syngnathidae (Syngnathiformes), which include 320 species under 56 genera (Kuiter, 2009). Seahorses, representing the subfamily Hippocampinae, under the genus Hippocampus are characterised by a fully enclosed brood pouch with a small opening for the transfer of eggs, vestigial caudal fin and a prehensile tail (Lourie et al., 1999; Lourie et al., 2004). Till date, 41 valid species of seahorses are reported around the world (Lourie et al., 2016). The yellow seahorse Hippocampus kuda Bleeker, 1852 is considered as one of the most complex seahorse species with respect to taxonomy, because the name H. kuda, was in use earlier for all non-spiny seahorses in the Indo-Pacific region (Lourie et al., 1999). Distinct morphometric features of H. kuda are: single eye and cheek spine, rounded and backward curled coronet; deeper head and rounded or less developed body spines (Lourie et al., 1999; 2004). An exhaustive taxonomic work by Lourie et al. (1999) suggested that at least 15 names of apparent species are merely synonyms of H. kuda. Species such as Barbour’s seahorse H. harbouri, the Reunion seahorse H. borboniensis, the sea pony H. fuscus and the great seahorse H. kelloggi, which were included under H. kuda species complex, have later been isolated as separate species (Lourie et al., 1999). However, based on recent extensive morphometric and molecular studies by Lourie et al. (2016), it has now been confirmed that H. borboniensis and H. fuscus are also synonyms of H. kuda due to lack of distinguishable morphological, genetic and/or geographic differences from H. kuda.

The escalating trade and worldwide decline in seahorse populations reiterate the need to resolve seahorse taxonomy (Bijukumar et al., 2008). Precise species identification will help to modify fishing practices appropriately to conserve seahorse populations, design protective marine reserves, assess captive breeding potential for seahorses and develop protective legislations (Sreepada et al., 2002; Bijukumar et al., 2008; CITES, 2018).

Weight-length relationship (WLR) has been widely employed in fisheries research because it allows the conversion of growth-in-length equations to growth-in-weight, stock assessment models (Pauly, 1993; Petrakis and Stergiou, 1995) as well as in estimation of biomass from length observations. Furthermore, often WLRs are useful in estimating the condition indices and life history stages, thus allowing morphological comparison of populations from different regions (LeCren, 1951; Petrakis and Stergiou, 1995). Relationships between different types of lengths (length-length relationships, LLR), are also important for comparative growth studies (Kara and Bayhan, 2008). Unfortunately, such information is scanty for H. kuda, which is one of the highly valued seahorse species popularly traded for both, traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) and aquaria (Vincent, 1996). Moreover, H. kuda has been listed as ‘vulnerable’ in...
the IUCN Red List of Threatened Species (Aylesworth, 2014). Also, seahorses are first amongst the marine fishes of commercial importance listed in CITES with regard to regulation of its international trade (CITES, 2018).

Based on molecular studies, Teske et al. (2005) found that *H. kuda* population inhabiting east and west coasts of India are genetically distinct and *H. kuda* population from India were the oldest one. Therefore, the present study was undertaken to update the knowledge on morphological features which could be useful for comparing Indian populations of *H. kuda* with that from the rest of the world. Dead specimens of *H. kuda* landed as incidental catches in gillnets (mesh size 2.5 to 4.0 cm) operated by local fishermen in the Mirya Creek, Ratnagiri (17°02′52″N; 73°16′08″E) at water depths of 2-10 m, formed the study material. The habitat and bottom topography mostly comprises oyster beds with small rock pebbles, rocky bottom with brown seaweed, *Sargassum* sp. and sandy bottom. Fishes were sexed based on the presence or absence of a brood pouch and morphometric measurements as well as meristic characters were taken on wet seahorses (60 male and 58 females) at the landing site. Morphometric characters such as height (Ht), standard length (SL), head length (HL), trunk length (TrL), tail length (TaL), snout length (SnL), snout depth (SnD), head depth (HD), spine width (SW), pectoral fin base length (PL) and dorsal fin base length (DL) were taken with the help of a caliper with 0.1 mm precision (Lourie et al., 1999; Lourie, 2003; Lourie et al., 2004). Coronet height (CH) was measured as vertical distance between tip of the coronet and first spine of cleithral ring. Trunk length on 4th trunk ring (TD4) was recorded as narrowest distance between the superior and inferior trunk ridges on 4th trunk ring whereas, trunk length on 9th trunk ring (TD9) were recorded as narrowest distance between the superior and inferior trunk ridges on 9th trunk ring. Meristic counts included the number of trunk rings (TrR), tail rings (TaR), dorsal fin rays (DF), pectoral fin rays (PF) and anal fin rays (AF) which were counted as described by Lourie et al. (1999). For WLR studies, weight measurements of wet individuals were also taken.

Mann-Whitney *U* test (Siegel, 1956) was used to test significant difference between meristic counts of male and female specimens. The WLRs in *H. kuda* was established for males and females, using the formula \( W = aSL^b \) and linear regression analysis, \( \log W = a + b \log SL \), where ‘\( a \)’ is the intercept of the regression curve and ‘\( b \)’ is the regression coefficient (slope). The degree of association between the variables was computed by the determination coefficient, \( r^2 \). A Student *t*-test was applied to determine whether the regression coefficients (\( b \)) obtained from the linear regression differed significantly from the isometric value of 3. Significant difference was tested at a 5% level, represented as p<0.05.

The entire body colour of freshly landed wet specimens was observed to be bright yellow, orange with small reddish spots and brownish black. The shape of the coronet was observed to be round, medium size and overhanging towards the back with a cup like depression on top. In freshly landed fishes, prominent round bumps with white patch were observed on 1-, 4-, 7- and 11- TrR, whereas bumps and patches were observed on 5-, 9-, 13-, 17-, 20-, 23-, 26-, 29-, 32- and 34- or 35- TaR on the dorsal side. Dorsal fin originated between 9th and 10th TrR and terminated between 1st and 2nd TaR.

The minimum, maximum and mean values of measured morphometric characters (±SD) of male and female specimens of *H. kuda* collected from Ratnagiri are presented in Table 1. No gender-wise significant difference in measured morphometric characters (SL, Ht, TrL, PFH, DFH, AFH, PL, DL, AL, TD4, TD9 and SW) was discernible (p>0.05).

Significant differences (p<0.05) were observed gender-wise in morphometric characters such as HL, HD, SnD, SnL, TaL and CH. Meristic counts recorded are presented in Table 2. The number of TrR was 11 in both male and female *H. kuda*, whereas, in males the median number of TaR was 35 (32-37), while in females it was 33 (33-36). Results of Mann–Whitney *U* test showed that the number of TaR, PF and DF varied significantly between male and female (p<0.05). Morphometric and meristic characters recorded in specimens of *H. kuda* collected from Ratnagiri coast were similar to those described earlier by Lourie et al. (1999; 2004), Choo and Liew (2004) and Thangaraj and Lipton (2011). Comparative assessment of the morphometric and meristic characters measured, with those described by Lourie et al. (1999), confirmed the taxonomic identity of seahorse species inhabiting coastal waters of Ratnagiri, as *H. kuda*. Due to obvious difficulties in differentiation, *H. fuscus* is often misidentified as *H. kuda* (Thangaraj and Lipton, 2011). But very recently, studies by Lourie et al. (2016) confirmed that *H. fuscus* is a synonym of *H. kuda* due to lack of prominent differences in morphological characters, geographical distribution and genetics between these two species. Maximum height of seahorse species is a quite useful parameter for taxonomical differentiation, as it allows elimination of some seahorse species. For example, a smaller-sized seahorse species like Japanese seahorse, *H. mohnikoi* (max height, 8 cm) cannot be a larger-sized seahorse species like *H. kuda* (max height, 17 cm) (Lourie et al., 2004). The recorded maximum height of freshly caught male (18.8 cm) and female (18.5 cm) specimens of *H. kuda* in the present study were relatively higher
Table 1. Morphometric characters of *H. kuda* inhabiting the coastal waters of Ratnagiri, central west coast of India

| Morphometric character | Male \((n = 60)\) | Female \((n = 58)\) | Mean as % of SL |
|------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Standard length        | 12.90          | 13.30          | 17.18 ± 1.89   |
| Height                 | 12.10          | 12.50          | 15.63 ± 1.64   |
| Head length            | 2.25           | 2.60           | 2.82 ± 0.24*   |
| Snout length           | 0.85           | 1.00           | 1.40 ± 0.15*   |
| Snout depth            | 0.30           | 0.30           | 0.41 ± 0.05*   |
| Head depth             | 1.25           | 1.35           | 1.64 ± 0.12*   |
| Trunk length           | 3.90           | 4.20           | 4.54 ± 0.37    |
| Tail length            | 7.60           | 7.60           | 9.84 ± 1.03*   |
| Coronet height         | 0.70           | 0.60           | 0.85 ± 0.07*   |
| Pectoral fin height    | 0.35           | 0.40           | 0.53 ± 0.07    |
| Dorsal fin height      | 0.45           | 0.40           | 0.56 ± 0.06    |
| Anal fin height        | 0.50           | 0.26           | 0.42 ± 0.05    |
| Pectoral fin base length | 0.50         | 0.45           | 0.63 ± 0.07    |
| Dorsal fin base length | 1.15           | 1.25           | 1.48 ± 0.15    |
| Anal fin base length   | 0.10           | 0.26           | 0.22 ± 0.06    |
| TD4                    | 0.85           | 0.80           | 1.06 ± 0.11    |
| TD9                    | 1.50           | 1.40           | 1.79 ± 0.17    |
| Spine width            | 0.35           | 0.45           | 0.59 ± 0.12    |

*Indicate significant difference between two genders \((p<0.05)\)

Table 2. Meristic counts of male and female specimens of *H. kuda* collected from the coastal waters of Ratnagiri, central west coast of India

| Meristic character | Male \((n = 60)\) | Female \((n = 58)\) | \(U\) |
|--------------------|----------------|----------------|------|
| Trunk rings        | 11             | 11             | 11   |
| Tail rings         | 32             | 33             | 33   |
| Dorsal fin rays    | 17             | 17             | 17   |
| Pectoral fin rays  | 16             | 16             | 16   |
| Anal fin rays      | 4              | 4              | 4    |

Than previously reported for the same species (17 cm) by Lourie *et al.* (2004). The higher maximum height recorded in male and female specimens of *H. kuda* in the study region compared to previous reports could be attributed to absence of commercial exploitation (target fishing and seahorse trade) and food availability.

Different types of length-length relationships (LLR) determined separately for the two genders and pooled data of *H. kuda* are presented in Table 3. All LLRs showed significant positive correlation between different length measurements. LLR of fish species under various environmental conditions will allow more reliable comparative assessment between populations (Kara and Bayhan, 2008). Furthermore, knowledge on LLR is of great importance for comparative growth studies (Moutopoulos and Stergiou, 2002). Considering the scanty information on LLR in syngnathid fishes, the LLR reported for *H. kuda* during the present study, might be of use for comparison of different populations of *H. kuda* from various geographical regions.

The estimated values of WLR parameters are presented in Table 4. In the present study, the calculated ‘*b*’ values for males (2.8533) and females (2.5253) are indicative of negative allometric growth (<3.0). A significant difference in *b* values of male and female *H. kuda* \((p<0.05)\) was also observed. The estimated WLR was, \(W = 0.0034 SL^{2.8533}\) for males and \(W = 0.0078 SL^{2.5253}\) for females.

A similar negative allometric growth pattern has been observed in laboratory reared *H. kuda* juveniles \((*b* = 2.76)\) by Choo and Liew (2006) and in wild caught males \((*b* = 2.42)\) and females \((*b* = 1.72)\) by Vaitheeswaran and Venkataramani (2012) from Thoothukudi, south-east coast of India. Negative allometric growth pattern has also been observed in a few other seahorse species as well
| Gender | n   | Standard length (cm) | Weight (g) | 95% C.I. | r²  |
|--------|-----|----------------------|------------|----------|-----|
|        | Min | Max                  | Min        |          |     |
| Male   | 60  | 12.9                 | 20.50      | 5.0129   | 18.8993 0.0034 | 2.8533 2.6341-3.0739 0.9605 |
| Female | 58  | 13.3                 | 20.40      | 5.6778   | 17.2145 0.0079 | 2.5253 2.3115-2.7398 0.9542 |

(Gurkan and Taskavak, 2007; Basusta et al., 2014; Vieira et al., 2007; Balasubramanian and Murugan, 2017).

Gurkan and Taskavak (2007) observed a 'b' value of 2.47 in the long snouted seahorse, *H. guttulatus* collected from Aegean Sea, Turkey. Vieira et al. (2014) observed negative allometric growth (*b* = 2.95) in the short snouted seahorse, *H. hippocampus* inhabiting Ria Formosa, SW Iberian coast. Similarly, Basusta et al. (2014) observed negative allometric growth pattern in *H. hippocampus* (*b* = 2.41) and *H. guttulatus* (*b* = 2.84) inhabiting eastern Black Sea and Balasubramanian and Murugan (2017) observed negative allometric growth (males *b* = 2.7; females *b* = 2.5) in *H. kelloggi* off Coromandel Coast, south-east coast of India. Many authors have hypothesised that the WLR depends on abiotic (temperature, salinity), biotic and biological factors (season, food availability, habitats, gonad development, health) and anthropogenic activities (habitat alteration/destruction, pollution and fishing pressure) (Gurkan and Taskavak, 2007; Vieira et al., 2014; Balasubramanian and Murugan, 2017). However, absence of targeted fishing and seahorse trade and bottom trawling as well as ample availability of live prey organisms over the oyster and *Sargassum* beds (pers. observ.) at the collection sites do not support either of the above contentions. Therefore, the most plausible explanation for negative allometric growth observed in different seahorse species seems to be linked more to their body structure rather than to other abiotic, biotic and anthropogenic factors. Seahorses have distinct morphological differences from other bony fishes for e.g., they have a layer of skin stretched over a series of bony plates *in lieu* of scales (Ginsburg, 1937; Harris et al., 2007). Furthermore, they lack fleshy muscles inside their body and much of the muscle tissue are confined to the prehensile tail, dorsal fin muscle support and superior trunk region (Thomson, 1993; Hale, 1996). Presence of scales, muscles, heavy jaws and well developed fins (especially caudal fin) which contribute to much of the weight in other fin fishes (more towards isometric or positive allometric WLR) are completely lacking in seahorses. Hence, a significant difference in weight and length proportions in seahorses might explain the negative allometric growth.

In conclusion, a detailed analysis of morphometric and meristic characters presented here would be helpful in identification of *H. kuda*. WLR derived in the present study might be useful to estimate weight parameter when only length data is known. Future studies with larger samples size would provide greater insights into the population characters of *H. kuda* and will aid conservation and management of the species inhabiting the study region.
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