Lethal and temperature-sensitive mutations and their suppressors identify an essential structural element in U2 small nuclear RNA
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U2 snRNA is an essential component of the splicing apparatus in eukaryotic cells. Three possible secondary structures for the highly conserved 5' half of U2 snRNA are consistent with U2 phylogenetic sequence variation. To distinguish among these models and to test the function of U2 structural elements, we made >35 mutations in the yeast U2 snRNA gene. Some of the mutations were designed in pairs so that combinations could be made that would restore base-pairing to differentiate helix requirements from primary sequence requirements. The mutations identify an essential stem-and-loop structure adjacent to the branchpoint interaction region. A conserved complementarity to the loop just upstream of the Sm site and an additional conserved stem-loop are dispensable for U2 function, even in the background of a previously identified large internal deletion. Non-Watson-Crick base appositions at the 53-62 base pair in the essential stem lead to a variety of temperature and KCl-sensitive phenotypes, as well as an accumulation of unspliced precursors in vivo. Chemical structure probing of U2 RNA in vivo reveals that the bulk of U2 in a yeast cell adopts a structure in good agreement with that deduced from genetic results. We suggest that this stem-loop is not a binding site for an intrinsic U2 snRNP protein but may interact with other factors during spliceosome assembly or splicing.

[Key Words: U2 snRNA; eukaryotic cells; splicing apparatus; stem–loop structure]

Received August 14, 1990; revised version accepted September 24, 1990.
cryptic branchpoints are easily recruited when wild-type branchpoints are removed [see Green 1986], and it is difficult to identify convincing U2–branchpoint complementarity at some metazoan branchpoints [Hartmuth and Barta 1988]. However, improvement of match to UACUAAC of a mammalian branchpoint improves the efficiency of its use [Reed and Maniatis 1988; Zhuang et al. 1989], perhaps because of an intrinsic sequence-specific binding activity of the U2 snRNP [Nelson and Green 1989]. As in yeast, compensatory mutations in the branchpoint recognition region of human U2 improve the use of altered branchpoints [Wu and Manley 1989, Zhuang and Weiner 1989]. It remains to be seen whether differences in branchpoint recognition between yeast and metazoans involve only the relative contributions of sequence-specific U2 binding versus accessory factors or whether there is a more fundamental difference.

In trypanosomes and nematodes, a trans-splicing process mechanistically similar to cis-splicing takes place where a 5' exon is donated by an snRNA-like molecule to the body of an mRNA, which in the case of nematodes, can also undergo cis-splicing [for reviews, see Laird 1989, Nilsen 1989]. Trans-splicing in trypanosomes requires intact U2 snRNA [Tschudi and Ullu 1990], but trypanosome U2 is highly divergent from yeast and metazoan U2 in the region that base-pairs to the pre-mRNA [Tschudi et al. 1986, 1990, Mottram et al. 1989]. Five trypanosome branchpoints have been mapped, and none contain clear complementarity to this region of trypanosome U2 [Patztel et al. 1989]. Of two nematode branchpoints mapped, one contains good complementarity to nematode U2, which is not divergent from mammalian U2 [Thomas et al. 1990], and one does not [Hannon et al. 1990]. In branchpoint sequence conservation and requirements for strong U2 complementarity, branchpoint selection during trans-splicing seems more similar to metazoan splicing than to yeast and will probably rely more on accessory factors than base-pairing.

In spite of the apparent differences in branchpoint recognition, the primary sequences of the first 110–120 nucleotides of U2 RNA are highly conserved among yeast, mammals, and trypanosomes. Extra sequences found in yeast but not metazoan U2 are not essential for splicing because deletion derivatives of yeast U2 lacking the extra sequences function in vivo [Igel and Ares 1988; Shuster and Guthrie 1988]. In addition, derivatives of human U2 can functionally replace yeast U2 in vivo (Shuster and Guthrie 1990; S. Seiwert and M. Ares, unpubl.), suggesting that little of the phylogenetic variation in U2 sequence between metazoans and yeast has much relevance to the mechanism of U2 action. Analysis of the pattern of nucleotide substitutions in the highly conserved first 110–120 nucleotides of U2 from different organisms has led to the identification of several pairs of short stretches of sequence which, although variable in primary sequence, remain complementary by compensatory covariation and may represent RNA duplex [Guthrie and Patterson 1988; Ares and Igel 1989]. Unfortunately, current phylogenetic data do not distinguish among three possible structures for the conserved region of U2.

The mechanism by which factors stimulate U2 snRNP binding to pre-mRNA is unknown. Structural domains of U2 RNA or the U2 snRNP required for catalysis or interaction with auxiliary factors during spliceosome assembly or splicing have not been identified. To test structure models and to determine the importance of U2 structural elements to the role of U2 in splicing, we have constructed and tested >35 mutations of the yeast U2 gene. Surprisingly, of the three conserved phylogenetically supported helices we tested, only one is essential for U2 function. The loop formed by this helix has a conserved primary sequence and an essential function. This is the only indispensable stem-loop structure identified so far in U2 snRNA.

Results

Three possible secondary structures are consistent with phylogenetic data

The analysis of phylogenetic variation in U2 sequence has suggested the presence of three helices in the region from nucleotide 48 to 105 [Fig. 1; see Guthrie and Patterson 1988, Ares and Igel 1989]. Two of the helices formed by pairing A [nucleotides 48–53] with A' [nucleotides 62–67] and B [nucleotides 54–61] with B' [nucleotides 98–105] would form a pseudoknot [Pleij et al. 1985] if they existed simultaneously [Fig. 1b], whereas the C-C' helix [nucleotides 68–74 paired to 78–83] would lie outside. Two unpseudoknotted structures are consistent with phylogenetic data, one proposed by Keller and Noon [1985; Fig. 2a] consisting of the C-C' and the B-B' helices, and the other [Fig. 1c] consisting of only the A-A' and the C-C' helix. Phylogenetic data will superimpose all conserved helices, so that in cases where conformational change occurs, more than one of the proposed structures may be important. Phylogenetic comparisons alone cannot distinguish which of the three secondary structures is functionally relevant.

Using site-directed mutagenesis, we constructed U2 mutations designed to disrupt potential helices to test the models and eliminate one or more from consideration. Mutations were made singly and in pairs, designed such that the combination of the two would restore complementarity using different primary sequences. Additional mutations were made to delete structural domains that appeared to tolerate multiple-base substitutions. The mutant U2 genes were tested by a plasmid shuffling technique [Fig. 1d] on the basis of the observation that yeast cells that become lys2' by introducing the wild-type U2 gene and the lys2' gene [Barnes and Thorner 1986]. Cells lacking the U2, LYS2 plasmid arise spontaneously at a frequency of ~1–5% per cell.
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Figure 1. Three phylogenetically supported secondary structure models and the genetic scheme used to test them. (a) Open structure with A-A’ unpaired first proposed by Keller and Noon (1985); (b) pseudoknotted structure with all phylogenetically supported complementarities paired; (c) alternative structure with B-B’ unpaired; (d) genetic scheme for testing U2 mutations for function. A strain carrying a lethal chromosomal U2 disruption and a wild-type or marked (pseudo-wild-type) U2 gene on a LYS2 centromere plasmid is transformed to leucine independence with a LEU2 plasmid carrying the mutant U2 gene to be tested. Any dominant effects of the mutation would be apparent immediately. To test the mutant U2 for function and to reveal any recessive phenotypes associated with the U2 mutation, cells are replica-plated to medium containing α-AA as the primary nitrogen source to select for cells that have lost the LYS2 plasmid containing the wild-type U2 gene. Such cells arise spontaneously and can grow on this medium only if the mutant U2 on the LEU2 plasmid is functional.

generation, and such cells can grow on α-AA only if they contain a functional U2 gene on a second plasmid. Derivatives of this strain were constructed containing the different U2 mutations on a second centromere plasmid and were replicated to α-AA medium. Failure of such strains to give rise to viable lys2− mitotic segregants is taken as an indication that the U2 mutation on the second plasmid is lethal, whereas efficient growth after replicating to α-AA indicates that the mutation is not lethal.

A lethal mutation in A can be suppressed by a compensatory mutation in A’ that is itself lethal

To test the requirement for complementarity between nucleotides 48–54 [A] and 61–67 [A’] we made two triple mutations that disrupt this complementarity, one in A and one in A’ [Fig. 2a], as well as the “double” [sex­tup­le] mutation smA, which is a combination of tmA and tmA’, and which restores the complementarity. Figure 2b shows that plasmid shuffling results from these and other U2 mutations. Cells containing the wild-type U2, LEU2 plasmid grow efficiently after shuffling [Fig. 2b, spot A2], whereas cells containing the same plasmid deleted of the U2 promoter and 5’ half of the gene do not [spot A1]. The mutations that disrupt the A-A’ complementarity tmA [spot B1] or tmA’ [spot B2] are unable to grow after loss of the wild-type U2 gene on α-AA, whereas the compensatory sextuple mutation, which restores complementarity using a different primary sequence, is able to grow [Fig. 2b, spot B3].

To eliminate the possibility that failure to function was due to poor synthesis or stability of the mutant RNA, we performed primer extension on RNA from the strains before shuffling. These strains contain two U2 genes: a pseudo-wild-type gene containing a mutation of C121 to U on a LYS2 plasmid, and the mutant U2 being tested, which carries the natural C residue at position 121, on a LEU2 plasmid. We monitored the relative amounts of the two U2 RNAs in these cells by primer extension using dideoxy-ATP in place of dATP [Fig. 2c]. A primer [23T] annealed to the pseudo-wild-type RNA can only be extended under these conditions to the altered U at position 121, whereas primer annealed to the mutant RNA can be extended to the next U in the template at position 118. The C121U mutation in the pseudo-wild-type gene is viable [Fig. 2b, spot A3] and has a mild effect on the steady-state level of U2 when present in cells with a true wild-type gene, because it is not accumulated to the same extent as wild-type U2 in these cells [Fig. 2c, lane 3]. We know that this effect is not due to the plasmid construct, because a strain carrying the wild-type U2 on the LYS2 plasmid and the C121U pseudo-wild-type U2 on the LEU2 plasmid produces the same relative amounts of wild-type and pseudo-wild-type U2 RNA as that shown in Figure 2b, lane 3 [data not shown].

The mutations tmA and tmA’, which disrupt stem A, do not cause U2 to fail to accumulate to a lesser extent than other functional versions of U2 [Fig. 2c, lanes 4 and 5], eliminating poor synthesis or accumulation of the mutant RNA as an explanation for lack of function. We conclude that consequences of disruption of stem A or the formation of aberrant alternate U2 secondary structures are the reasons for the failure of these mutants to function. Restoration of stem A with a different primary sequence or prevention of alternate foldings due to restored complementarity in the smA mutant is consistent with function, suggesting that stem A is essential for U2 function.

The phylogenetically conserved complementarity between loop B and B’ is dispensable

A similar set of triple mutations was constructed in the B-B’ complementarity [Fig. 2a], with very different results. Neither triple mutation tmB [Fig. 2b, spot C1] nor tmB’ [spot C2] is lethal or has any discernible temperature-sensitive phenotype [data not shown], whereas the sextuple mutation smB is viable but is heat and cold sensitive [spot C3 and data not shown]. Because of the potential for residual complementarity in the tmB and tmB’ mutations, we made quintuple mutations qmB and qmB’, and the compensatory dectuple dmB. The qmB
mutation is lethal (spot D1), whereas qmB' is not (spot D2). Support for the importance of this complementarity might have come if qmB' could suppress the lethal effect of qmB, but this is not the case, as the compensatory decuple mutation is also lethal (spot D3). These results suggested that loop B might be essential (or that the qmB mutation induces a lethal alternative folding of U2) and that the B' region is dispensable.

Two additional mutations were made to confirm these results and to test the idea that loop B is essential. The first was a replacement of loop B with a tetraloop sequence. Tetraloops are 4-nucleotide loop sequences that increase the thermal stability of the helices that they top, probably by special self-interactions or stacking with the helix (Tuerk et al. 1988). Although other possibilities exist, we felt that a tetraloop would be least likely to induce alternative foldings that would disrupt stem A, a structure shown here to be important for U2 function. This mutation, BTL, has nucleotides 54-61 deleted and replaced with UUCG, forming the acceptable but not typical tetraloop GUUCGC (see Tuerk et al. 1988), and is lethal (Fig. 2b, spot E1). This suggests that some feature of loop B (primary sequence, secondary or tertiary structure, orientation relative to the end of the helix, or contribution to the stability of the helix) is essential for U2 function. A mutation dlB', which replaces the B' sequence (as well as everything from the base of stem-loop C to the Sm site) with a short run of purines
not obviously complementary to B, is not lethal [spot E3], confirming that B-B' complementarity is not essential for splicing. This mutation is slightly cold sensitive [data not shown]. Primer extension reveals that for all mutations in the B and B' regions, defective U2 RNA synthesis or stability, as reflected by the relative steady-state level of the mutant RNA, is not the explanation for the failure of the lethal mutations to function [Fig. 2c, lanes 7–14]. We conclude that the U2 secondary structure model best reflecting that required for performance of essential U2 functions is shown in Figure 1c.

The phylogenetically conserved stem–loop C is also dispensable for splicing

The existence of stem–loop C is well supported by phylogenetic variation and is conserved in all U2 RNAs [Guthrie and Patterson 1988; Ares and Igel 1989]. We made triple mutations tmC and tmC' [Fig. 2a], both of which are viable, although tmC' is slightly cold sensitive [data not shown]. The cold-sensitive phenotype is suppressed in the compensatory double (sextuple) mutant smC and is not due to poor synthesis or accumulation of U2 [data not shown]. More effective in demonstrating the dispensable nature of this element is the complete deletion of stem–loop C, dlC, which is neither lethal [Fig. 2b, spot F1] nor temperature sensitive [data not shown]. Because tmC suppresses the cold-sensitive phenotype of tmC', we conclude that C and C' base-pair with each other, however, the structure formed by this interaction, stem–loop C, is not essential for splicing or growth at high or low temperature.

Deletion of both stem–loop C and the B' region as in mutant dlC, does not result in a functional U2 [Fig. 2b, spot F2], even though the RNA is synthesized and accumulated efficiently [Fig. 2c, lane 16] and each element can be deleted independently without lethality [Fig. 2b, spots E3 and F1]. This may mean that either the two regions are functionally equivalent and redundant although structurally dissimilar, which seems unlikely, or that each single mutation is slightly affected and the additive or synergistic effect of the combination reduces U2 function to below a necessary threshold for viability. Another possibility is that the particular juxtaposition of sequences in the double deletion creates an alternative RNA structure inconsistent with function.

Functional equivalents of stem–loop C or B' are not found in the internal dispensable U2 sequences

All mutations described so far have been made in an otherwise wild-type copy of the U2 gene. Previously, we have shown that U2 sequences from positions 123 to 1081 are dispensable for splicing [Igel and Ares 1988; see also Shuster and Guthrie 1988]. Although we considered it unlikely, we wanted to test the possibility that functional equivalents of stem–loop C and B' might reside in the region between positions 123 and 1081. To do this, we introduced the dlC, dlB', and dlCB' mutations into the U2 minigene lacking sequences between 123 and 1081 [dlBD; Igel and Ares 1988] and tested them by plasmid shuffling. Cells containing only the wild-type minigene dlBD are viable [Fig. 3a, spot A1, Igel and Ares 1988], as are those containing the mini-dlC [spot B1] or mini-dlB' [spot B2]. As with the full-length U2, the mini-dlCB' U2 is lethal [spot B3]. A blot of RNA from strains grown after shuffling out the full-length wild-type U2 plasmid shows that the cells contain only the appropriate mini-U2 RNAs [Fig. 3b, lanes 4, 5]. The mini-dlCB' transcript accumulates well [lane 6], indicating that it fails to function for a reason other than poor accumulation. We conclude that the dispensable nature of the stem–loop C and the B' sequence element observed in the background of the full-length gene is not due to functional reiteration of these elements between positions 123 and 1081.

An experiment to add to the mini-U2 RNA a stem–loop found in yeast U2 at positions 633–650 that is structurally equivalent to stem–loop III of metazoan U2 [Ares and Igel 1989; B. Seraphin, pers. comm.], is also presented here. Addition of the stem–loop does not disrupt function [Fig. 3a, spot A2] but also does not correct the altered 3'-end formation or poor accumulation of the internally deleted transcript relative to wild type that we reported previously for the dlBD gene [Fig. 3b, lane 3; Igel and Ares 1988 and data not shown].

Single-base changes in the highly conserved primary sequence of loop B have little effect on cell viability

Inspection of the loop B sequence from a variety of organisms reveals a conserved primary sequence with the consensus NG/UUAAPyNN [Fig. 4a], which is represented in yeast by the sequence UGUAAACAA. As shown above, the triple mutation tmB changes this to UGUAGUUA without effect on viability, whereas qmB changes it to UCUUUUC and deletes it and replaces it with UUCCG, producing lethal phenotypes. To test the importance of this conserved loop sequence, we altered two phylogenetically invariant nucleotides, U56 and A57, changing each to C or G [Fig. 4b]. A phylogenetically consistent change C59 to U was also constructed. All the mutants are viable [Fig. 4c], and although U56C slightly reduces the steady-state level of U2 RNA [Fig. 4d], no temperature-sensitive phenotype is evident in any of the single-base changes in the loop [data not shown]. We conclude that some structural feature of the loop B is essential for U2 function but that the phylogenetically conserved primary sequence found in the loop is not essential for splicing.

Non-Watson–Crick base appositions at the G53–C62 base pair have growth phenotypes consistent in severity with expected severity of helical disruption

To provide evidence against the possibility that stem A is not really essential itself, but that the tmA and tmA' mutations are lethal because they sponsor the formation of alternate RNA structures that occlude adjacent essen-
fig. 2a,b, spot E2). Single-base changes U49A, A66U, and the double mutation U49A : A66U (see Fig. 4b) are viable [Fig. 4c, spots B1, B2, and B3] and have no temperature-sensitive phenotype (data not shown), indicating that U-U or A-A apposition at base pair 49–66 is not sufficient to disrupt function in vivo. A U-U apposition at base pair 50–65 generated by the single-base change A65G is also viable [spot A3] and not temperature sensitive [not shown]. In contrast, all of the mutations we have made in the 53–62 base pair that result in non-Watson –Crick base appositions have growth-deficiency phenotypes. Changing C62 to G results in a G-G apposition and is lethal [spot C2], but this is not due to poor synthesis or accumulation of the mutant RNA [Fig. 4d, lane 7]. Mutation G53C resulting in a C-C apposition is viable when shuffled at 30°C [Fig. 4c, spot C1] but grows slowly at 30°C and not at all at 18 or 36°C [Fig. 5]. G53A results in an A-C apposition and is viable [Fig. 3a, spot D1], but is cold sensitive, as well as sensitive to medium containing high salt, especially at 36°C [Fig. 5]. C62U results in a G-U apposition and is viable [Fig. 4c, spot D2]. Although commonly found in RNA helices, a G-U base pair at 53–62 of U2 is not sufficient to allow wild-type growth and produces a cold-sensitive phenotype [Fig. 5]. Finally, both standard Watson –Crick base pairs we made using double mutations G53C : C62G producing a C-G apposition and G53A : C62U producing an A-U apposition are viable [Fig. 4c, spots C3 and D3] and have wild-type growth characteristics [Fig. 5]. Accumulation of all the mutant U2 RNAs in the presence of the pseudo-wild-type gene is efficient enough that poor synthesis or accumulation of the mutant RNA cannot explain the phenotypes [Fig. 4d].

**U2 mutations cause accumulation of unspliced pre-U3 RNA in vivo**

To determine whether the U2 mutations affect splicing and to begin to correlate growth phenotype of the mutations with their effect on RNA processing, we examined splicing of pre-U3 RNA. Both yeast U3 genes SNR17A and SNR17B [Hughes et al. 1987] contain introns with nontypical branchpoint sequences (GACUAAC instead of UACUAAC, Myslinski et al. 1990), and it seemed possible that splicing of the U3 introns might be more sensitive to U2 mutations than other introns. An oligonucleotide complementary to a region common to U3A and U3B was labeled, annealed to RNA from the mutants, and extended with reverse transcriptase. Because the two U3 genes have different size introns we can detect accumulation of both pre-U3A and pre-U3B in the same reactions [Fig. 6]. U3 is a relatively stable abundant RNA, so we did not expect to see a decrease in the amount of spliced U3 in the mutants [Fig. 6]. We observe an increase in the levels of both pre-U3 RNA species in cells carrying the U2 mutations. The ratio of pre-U3 to U3 in mutants G53C, G53A, and C62U, all of which display conditional growth defects, is increased [Fig. 6, lanes 3, 5, and 6]. The identification of the tran-

---

**Figure 3.** Conserved elements are dispensable in the mini-U2 background. (a) Functional test of mini-U2 genes lacking different conserved elements. HI70 carrying mutant U2 plasmids were spotted and replicated to α-yl medium and analyzed in the legend to Fig. 2b. (b) RNA from shuffled HI70 carrying only the mutant U2 plasmid (lanes 1–5) or from HI70 that carries a nonfunctional U2 and cannot be shuffled (lane 6) was fractionated on a urea–polyacrylamide gel, blotted to nylon membrane, and probed with a U2 oligonucleotide. [Lane 1] Wild-type U2, [lane 2] dlBD, [lane 3] dlBD + III, [lane 4] dlBD – diC, [lane 5] dlBD – diB'; [lane 6] dlBD – diCB'. (b) RNA from shuffled HI70 carrying only the mutant U2 plasmid (lanes 1–5) or from HI70 that carries a nonfunctional U2 and cannot be shuffled (lane 6) was fractionated on a urea–polyacrylamide gel, blotted to nylon membrane, and probed with a U2 oligonucleotide. [Lane 1] Wild-type U2, [lane 2] dlBD, [lane 3] dlBD + III, [lane 4] dlBD – diC, [lane 5] dlBD – diB'; [lane 6] dlBD – diCB'. (b) RNA from shuffled HI70 carrying only the mutant U2 plasmid (lanes 1–5) or from HI70 that carries a nonfunctional U2 and cannot be shuffled (lane 6) was fractionated on a urea–polyacrylamide gel, blotted to nylon membrane, and probed with a U2 oligonucleotide. [Lane 1] Wild-type U2, [lane 2] dlBD, [lane 3] dlBD + III, [lane 4] dlBD – diC, [lane 5] dlBD – diB'; [lane 6] dlBD – diCB'. (b) RNA from shuffled HI70 carrying only the mutant U2 plasmid (lanes 1–5) or from HI70 that carries a nonfunctional U2 and cannot be shuffled (lane 6) was fractionated on a urea–polyacrylamide gel, blotted to nylon membrane, and probed with a U2 oligonucleotide. [Lane 1] Wild-type U2, [lane 2] dlBD, [lane 3] dlBD + III, [lane 4] dlBD – diC, [lane 5] dlBD – diB'; [lane 6] dlBD – diCB'. (b) RNA from shuffled HI70 carrying only the mutant U2 plasmid (lanes 1–5) or from HI70 that carries a nonfunctional U2 and cannot be shuffled (lane 6) was fractionated on a urea–polyacrylamide gel, blotted to nylon membrane, and probed with a U2 oligonucleotide. [Lane 1] Wild-type U2, [lane 2] dlBD, [lane 3] dlBD + III, [lane 4] dlBD – diC, [lane 5] dlBD – diB'; [lane 6] dlBD – diCB'. (b) RNA from shuffled HI70 carrying only the mutant U2 plasmid (lanes 1–5) or from HI70 that carries a nonfunctional U2 and cannot be shuffled (lane 6) was fractionated on a urea–polyacrylamide gel, blotted to nylon membrane, and probed with a U2 oligonucleotide. [Lane 1] Wild-type U2, [lane 2] dlBD, [lane 3] dlBD + III, [lane 4] dlBD – diC, [lane 5] dlBD – diB'; [lane 6] dlBD – diCB'. (b) RNA from shuffled HI70 carrying only the mutant U2 plasmid (lanes 1–5) or from HI70 that carries a nonfunctional U2 and cannot be shuffled (lane 6) was fractionated on a urea–polyacrylamide gel, blotted to nylon membrane, and probed with a U2 oligonucleotide. [Lane 1] Wild-type U2, [lane 2] dlBD, [lane 3] dlBD + III, [lane 4] dlBD – diC, [lane 5] dlBD – diB'; [lane 6] dlBD – diCB'. (b) RNA from shuffled HI70 carrying only the mutant U2 plasmid (lanes 1–5) or from HI70 that carries a nonfunctional U2 and cannot be shuffled (lane 6) was fractionated on a urea–polyacrylamide gel, blotted to nylon membrane, and probed with a U2 oligonucleotide. [Lane 1] Wild-type U2, [lane 2] dlBD, [lane 3] dlBD + III, [lane 4] dlBD – diC, [lane 5] dlBD – diB'; [lane 6] dlBD – diCB'. (b) RNA from shuffled HI70 carrying only the mutant U2 plasmid (lanes 1–5) or from HI70 that carries a nonfunctional U2 and cannot be shuffled (lane 6) was fractionated on a urea–polyacrylamide gel, blotted to nylon membrane, and probed with a U2 oligonucleotide. [Lane 1] Wild-type U2, [lane 2] dlBD, [lane 3] dlBD + III, [lane 4] dlBD – diC, [lane 5] dlBD – diB'; [lane 6] dlBD – diCB'. (b) RNA from shuffled HI70 carrying only the mutant U2 plasmid (lanes 1–5) or from HI70 that carries a nonfunctional U2 and cannot be shuffled (lane 6) was fractionated on a urea–polyacrylamide gel, blotted to nylon membrane, and probed with a U2 oligonucleotide. [Lane 1] Wild-type U2, [lane 2] dlBD, [lane 3] dlBD + III, [lane 4] dlBD – diC, [lane 5] dlBD – diB'; [lane 6] dlBD – diCB'. (b) RNA from shuffled HI70 carrying only the mutant U2 plasmid (lanes 1–5) or from HI70 that carries a nonfunctional U2 and cannot be shuffled (lane 6) was fractionated on a urea–polyacrylamide gel, blotted to nylon membrane, and probed with a U2 oligonucleotide. [Lane 1] Wild-type U2, [lane 2] dlBD, [lane 3] dlBD + III, [lane 4] dlBD – diC, [lane 5] dlBD – diB'; [lane 6] dlBD – diCB'. (b) RNA from shuffled HI70 carrying only the mutant U2 plasmid (lanes 1–5) or from HI70 that carries a nonfunctional U2 and cannot be shuffled (lane 6) was fractionated on a urea–polyacrylamide gel, blotted to nylon membrane, and probed with a U2 oligonucleotide. [Lane 1] Wild-type U2, [lane 2] dlBD, [lane 3] dlBD + III, [lane 4] dlBD – diC, [lane 5] dlBD – diB'; [lane 6] dlBD – diCB'. (b) RNA from shuffled HI70 carrying only the mutant U2 plasmid (lanes 1–5) or from HI70 that carries a nonfunctional U2 and cannot be shuffled (lane 6) was fractionated on a urea–polyacrylamide gel, blotted to nylon membrane, and probed with a U2 oligonucleotide. [Lane 1] Wild-type U2, [lane 2] dlBD, [lane 3] dlBD + III, [lane 4] dlBD – diC, [lane 5] dlBD – diB'; [lane 6] dlBD – diCB'.
Figure 4. Function of mutations in the essential stem-loop. (a) Consensus sequence derived by phylogenetic comparison of sequences in loop B (see Guthrie and Patterson 1988; Ares and Igel 1989). Note that in some organisms the nucleotides at the ends of the loop could form a Watson–Crick base pair, extending the helix and shortening (and possibly rotating) the loop. (b) Mutations in the essential stem-loop. The numbered yeast sequence is shown, and the changes are indicated. Not shown are the double mutants U49A : A66U, G53C : C62G, and G53A : C62U, the triple mutation tmB, the quintuple mutation qmB, and the tetraloop substitution BTL (see text and Fig. 2). (c) Plasmid shuffling of stem–loop mutations to test function. Cultures of H170 transformed with various U2 plasmids were replicated to -AA as in Figs. 2b and 3a. Growth after 4 days at 30°C is shown. Strains contain the following U2 plasmids: (A1) Wild type; (A2) 3‘ half of the gene; (A3) A65G; (B1) U49A; (B2) A66U; (B3) U49A : A66U; (C1) G53C; (C2) C62G; (C3) G53C : C62G; (D1) G53A; (D2) C62U; (D3) G53A : C62U; (E1) tmA; (E2) tmA'; (E3) smA. (A4) U56C; (B4) U56G; (C4) A57G; (D4) A57C; (E4) C59U; (A5) tmB; (B5) qmB; (C5) BTL; (D5) 3‘ half of gene; (E5) wild type. (d) Accumulation of mutant U2 RNAs. RNA from H170 strains prior to shuffling was analyzed by primer extension as in Fig. 2c. Samples are wild type [lane 1]; d1A [lane 2]; tmA [lane 3]; tmA' [lane 4]; smA [lane 5]; G53C [lane 6]; C62G [lane 7]; G53C : C62G [lane 8]; G53A [lane 9]; C62U [lane 10]; G53A : C62U [lane 11]; U56C [lane 12]; U56G [lane 13]; A57G [lane 14]; A57C [lane 15]; tmB [lane 16]; qmB [lane 17]; BTL [lane 18].

scripts is aided by the analysis of RNA from a prp4 strain, which carries a temperature-sensitive U4 snRNP protein (Banroques and Abelson 1989; Xu et al. 1990). Growth of this strain at permissive temperature shows low levels of the two pre-U3 species [lane 13], which increase after a 45-min shift to restrictive temperature [lane 14]. We have repeated these results more precisely by excising the U3 bands, measuring the amount of spliced U3 in the strains, and determining the amount of unspliced pre-U3 in RNA samples with identical amounts of spliced U3, with the same results as those in Figure 6. The ratio of pre-U3 to U3 observed in stem A mutants smA [lane 2], G53C : C62G [lane 4], and G53A : C62U [lane 7] is near wild-type levels, indicating that in addition to the growth phenotype, suppression by compensatory double mutations extends to the splicing phenotype. Splicing of pre-U3 in loop B mutants [lanes 8–12] is similar to that of wild type [lane 1], consistent with their wild-type growth characteristics. We conclude that the mutations that disrupt U2 stem A structure reduce the efficiency of splicing and that this reduction in splicing contributes to the growth phenotype.

The effect of mutations on splicing of U3 is not correlated with the expected severity of helical disruption. For example, the effect of the cold-sensitive mutation C62U [Fig. 6, lane 6] on U3 splicing is more severe than that of the barely viable mutant G53C [Fig. 6, lane 3]. This raises the possibility that different mutations could be debilitated in different steps of splicing or could affect splicing of different transcripts differentially. Given different rates of turnover of spliced and unspliced transcripts of different types and the number of essential genes with introns in yeast, the relationship between inhibition of splicing by a U2 mutation and the effect on cell growth is likely to be extremely complex.
The bulk of U2 RNA in yeast cells adopts a secondary structure consistent with the impact of the mutations

To confirm that the functional picture of the secondary structure of U2 that emerges from the genetic analysis is consistent with the actual conformation of U2, we probed the structure of U2 in vivo using dimethylsulfate (DMS). DMS reacts with N3 of cytosine and N1 of adenine residues if they are not involved in base-pairing or other hydrogen bonds (Inoue and Cech 1985; Moazed et al. 1986). When modified RNA is used as a template for primer extension, A and C residues methylated at these positions cause strong stops to reverse transcriptase, allowing modified sites to be mapped (Inoue and Cech 1985; Moazed et al. 1986). We examined the accessibility of A and C residues in the 5' domain of yeast U2 as found in yeast cells (Fig. 7). Nucleotides A36, A39, and C41 in the branchpoint interaction region are accessible, consistent with the observed accessibility of this region of the U2 snRNP to oligonucleotides and RNase H in vitro (Black et al. 1985; Krainer and Maniatis 1985). Because A36 has been shown genetically to participate in a base-pairing interaction with the second U of UACUAAC (Parker et al. 1987), the accessibility of this nucleotide suggests that the bulk of U2 in vivo is not engaged in base-pairing to introns. Nucleotides C51 and A52 in the A region and A65, A66, and, to a lesser extent, A64 in the A' region are protected, consistent with the A-A' base-pairing. Although we expect from phylogenetic (Guthrie and Patterson 1988; Ares and Igel 1989) and genetic (this work; Fig. 4) data that C62 and possibly A61 should be unreactive, they are partially reactive. This could be because of the tendency for the ends of helices to breathe or because the RNA may adopt other conformations part of the time, so that the reactivities of two or more pools of U2 in different conformational states are superimposed. It is also possible that some of the protections we observe are due to RNA–protein interactions, rather than base-pairing. Nucleotides in the B region A57, A58, C59, and especially A60 are reactive consistent with these being part of a loop. Consistent with a lack of pairing of B to B' is the accessibility of B' nucleotides A103, C104, and A105. The reactivity of nucleotides in C and C' is consistent with stem–loop C in the model. In general, regions of U2 identified as stems by the genetic results are relatively protected...
A single secondary structure model is supported by phylogenetics, genetics, and chemical probing

We have used site-directed mutagenesis to dissect the secondary structure of U2 snRNA. Of three possible structures predicted by phylogenetic data, two are eliminated in terms of essential function in splicing by the phenotypes of the mutations in the B' sequence. The remaining structure model is supported by the demonstration of a requirement for stem A and the loop containing the B sequence. Structure probing of U2 snRNA in vivo confirms that the bulk of U2 snRNA is folded in a manner consistent with our interpretation of the impact of the mutations. A new feature of U2 structure not formerly appreciated is the stem A-A' and the loop B. The potential interaction between the A and A' sequence was not supported by early phylogenetic comparisons because A and A' are highly conserved in primary sequence. This structural element is essential for U2 function in vivo. To simplify the nomenclature of U2 structural elements we would suggest that the element formed by the A-A' pairing and loop B be called stem-loop Ilb, and the C-C' stem-loop be called stem-loop Ilb, as indicated in Figure 7.

Only a very limited region of U2 snRNA is essential for splicing

Previous work on the structure and function of U2 snRNA has revealed that stem-loop I, stem-loop III, and stem-loop IV are not essential for splicing of at least certain pre-mRNA substrates. Yeast sequences containing a homolog of stem III [Ares and Igel 1989; B. Seraphin, pers. comm.] are dispensable for U2 function in vivo [Igel and Ares 1988, Shuster and Guthrie 1988]. Stem-loop IV is also dispensable in yeast in vivo, but growth is affected [Shuster and Guthrie 1990]. U2 RNAs lacking stem-loop III or stem-loop IV complement splicing in Xenopus oocytes depleted of functional U2 [Hamm et al. 1989; Pan and Prives 1989]. A mutation tested by Hamm et al. [1989] lacking stem-loop I is also able to complement splicing of an adenovirus major late leader substrate injected into frog oocytes depleted of U2 snRNA; but in a similar assay using SV40 late pre-mRNA, this deleted U2 did not appear to function [Pan and Prives 1989]. Although studies using oligonucleotide-directed RNase H cleavage of this region of U2 seemed to demonstrate a requirement for stem-loop I in vitro [Black et al. 1988; Krainer and Maniatis 1985], it is possible that other elements of U2 snRNP structure are perturbed by this method.

Yeast splicing extracts depleted of U2 can be complemented with synthetic U2 RNA lacking both stem-loops III and IV [McPheeters et al. 1989]. Taken together with our results on the conserved stem-loop C (Ilb) and the B' sequence (Figs. 2 and 3), the only elements indispensable for splicing are the Sm site [Hamm et al. 1989; Pan and Prives 1989], which is essential for snRNP assembly and nuclear transport [for review, see Mattaj]

Discussion

Figure 7. The secondary structure model is consistent with chemical probing of U2 structure in vivo. (a) Sequence of the first 120 nucleotides of yeast U2 folded into the secondary structure model derived from genetic and phylogenetic considerations. Labeled are the branchpoint interaction region, the Sm site, and the phylogenetically conserved complementarities A-A', B-B', and C-C'. As stem-loop II of the Keller and Noon [1985] model is now broken into two stem-loops in this model, they are labeled Ilb and Ilb. Relative accessibility of U2 nucleotides to DMS in vivo is indicated as follows: (solid minus) Poor reactivity; (open minus) slight reactivity; (solid plus) good reactivity; (open plus) strong reactivity. (b) An in vivo structure probing experiment. Unmodified total RNA from wild type was used to generate a sequence derived from U2 RNA as a template. Reaction in lane U contained ddATP; (lane G) ddGTP, (lane A) ddGTP, (lane C) ddGTP, (lane A) ddTTP. The lane indicated by the asterisk (*) is RNA modified by DMS in vivo for 2 min at 3°C and then stopped. Lane SC is a "stop control", where cells were exposed to DMS after the addition of the stop solutions to show that reactivities are not induced by the extraction procedure. Note that because dideoxynucleoside termination occurs with incorporation of the terminating nucleotide, the modified sites cause stops one nucleotide short of the corresponding dideoxynucleoside termination product.

from DMS modification, and those interpreted not to be required to pair for function are more reactive, demonstrating that the bulk of U2 in yeast cells adopts a conformation similar or identical to the functionally important conformation identified by the mutations.
branchpoint sequences can be detected (Nelson and et al. 1988; Garcia-Blanco et al. 1989; Kramer 1989; Zamore 1989). Prior to or concomitant with U2 snRNP binding (Ruskin et al. 1988), the branchpoint recognition region pairs with the pre-mRNA via the polypyrimidine region; stem-loop Ila (this work, Figs. 2 and 4). Stem-loop Ila is not required to bind the A’ and B’ proteins, because a deletion of *Xenopus* U2 including all of stem-loop Ila is assembled into a snRNP-immune-precipitable by anti-Sm, anti-A’ or anti-B’ antibodies (Hamm et al. 1989). The Sm site is essential for snRNP assembly and nuclear transport (see Mattai 1988); however, this region is shared by a family of U-snRNAs and is not unique to the U2 snRNP. Because tight binding of the only known intrinsic U2-specific snRNP proteins is dispensable for splicing (Hamm et al. 1989), the only U2-specific elements of the U2 snRNP available for essential interactions with other splicing factors are the RNA of the branchpoint interaction region and stem-loop Ila. The branchpoint recognition region pairs with the pre-mRNA branchpoint at some time during splicing and contributes to the specificity of branchpoint selection in mammalian cells and yeast (Parker et al. 1987; Wu and Manley 1989; Zhuang and Weiner 1989), although this does not exclude other roles.

**What is the role of stem–loop Ila in U2 function?**

The remaining U2-specific element, stem–loop Ila (positions 48–67), is an excellent candidate for a U2 snRNP structure that might interact with factors that either assemble the U2 snRNP with the pre-mRNA (Ruskin et al. 1988; Garcia-Blanco et al. 1989; Kramer 1989; Zamore and Green 1989) or with other components of the spliceosome. Because stem–loop Ila of U2 is conserved from mammals to trypanosomes, we expect it to have a conserved function in both *cis* - and *trans*-splicing. Branchpoint selection in yeast and mammalian cells appears to differ and is only beginning to be understood in trypanosomes (see introductory section); hence, any proposal for the function of the stem–loop in this process will need to account for these differences. Stem–loop Ila is not essential for snRNP assembly, as assayed by Sm protein binding or binding of U2-specific proteins A’ and B’ in *Xenopus* (Hamm et al. 1989), and our observation that mutations in this region do not grossly destabilize U2 RNA in vivo would suggest that this might also be true in yeast. Mutations that disrupt the Sm site of yeast U5 and prevent snRNP protein binding tend to cause poor accumulation of U5 (Jones and Guthrie 1990), and we have seen no evidence of anything similar in any of our stem–loop Ila mutations (Figs. 2c and 4d).

Mammalian systems require auxiliary factors that interact with the pre-mRNA via the polypyrimidine region prior to or concomitant with U2 snRNP binding (Ruskin et al. 1988; Garcia-Blanco et al. 1989; Kramer 1989; Zamore and Green 1989). A weak, branchpoint sequence-specific, U2AF-independent binding of U2 snRNPs to branchpoint sequences can be detected (Nelson and Green 1989); and although this binding could be an intrinsic property of the U2 snRNP itself, the possibility of a second factor that contributes to binding of the U2 snRNP to branchpoint sequences in mammalian cells cannot be excluded (Nelson and Green 1989). In yeast, the presence of auxiliary factors is deduced by premRNA commitment studies using extracts depleted of U2 (Legrain et al. 1988; Ruby and Abelson 1988; Seraphin and Rosbash 1989). Transcripts lacking the UACUAAC sequence are poor competitors for a factor required to form a commitment complex on a wild-type transcript in the absence of U2 (Seraphin and Rosbash 1989). Although yeast introns do not always contain an obvious polypyrimidine stretch (Parker and Patterson 1987), a simple reconciliation of the data might be that functional equivalents of the mammalian factors in yeast recognize UACUAAC instead of polypyrimidine. In any case, as the only U2-specific structural element besides the branchpoint interaction region, stem–loop Ila may represent the part of U2 recognized by a common, conserved extrinsic factor that influences branchpoint selection or U2 snRNP binding to premRNA. As such, this region of U2 could be a target for the regulation of splicing.

The proximity of stem–loop Ila to the branchpoint interaction region and its conserved nature also suggests that it could be involved in a conserved step of splicing after spliceosome assembly. This region could participate in the splicing reactions either indirectly as a binding site for catalytic factors with roles in the later steps of splicing or, possibly, as part of a catalytic element itself.

**What is the functional contribution of phylogenetically conserved but dispensable RNA structures?**

Dispensable U2 sequences do not have an essential role in the splicing reactions, but if conservation implies important function, the finding that several phylogenetically conserved U2 RNA structures are dispensable for viability may seem disturbing. In wild-type yeast, U2 function may not be growth rate limiting; hence, mutations lacking a detectable phenotype could still be reduced in function. Mutations scored as nonlethal, that is, those that disrupt stem–loop IIb or the conserved B-B’ complementarity, could cause defects in a step of snRNP biogenesis or metabolism required under conditions other than those we have tested. The dispensable structures could also contribute to function in a manner not measurable in the laboratory but that may manifest itself only after many generations. *Xenopus* U2 stem–loop IV is dispensable for splicing even though it is required for binding the U2-specific snRNP proteins A’ and B’ suggesting that binding of these proteins is dispensable for splicing (Hamm et al. 1989). Certain ribosomal protein genes of *Escherichia coli* can be deleted without affecting viability, indicating that their binding to rRNA is dispensable for translation (Dabbs 1985). Phylogenetic conservation of certain elements of rRNA is very broad but clearly not essential for 2141
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translation because organisms that lack them exist [Woese et al. 1983]. A likely function for dispensable RNA elements and dispensable RNA–protein interactions may be to adjust the relative rates of competing, but distinct, RNA folding pathways, most of which would render the folded RNA nonfunctional. RNA and RNP stability could also be affected. In cases such as yeast U2, where the activity or steady-state level of the RNA can be reduced without catastrophic effects, the requirement for efficient RNA folding pathways or high abundance of the RNA or RNP may not be stringent enough to reduce the growth rate upon mutation.

Conservation of RNA sequences and structures may also arise by a “lesser evil” mechanism. This idea holds that certain highly conserved structures have no function themselves but are maintained because they are compatible with the structure of adjacent RNA elements that have essential functions. Mutations in these elements usually function more poorly because alternative foldings can be generated that occlude or disrupt adjacent functional elements, as even a single-base change in RNA can create a new set of unexpected complementarities. This may explain the high degree of conservation surrounding the branchpoint interaction region of U2 or the presence of seemingly nonessential stem–loops adjacent to essential sequences. Conservation need not imply function in this case but may imply the failure of equally compatible variant sequences to arise by mutation and be fixed. These relic RNA elements would be conserved by default.

Materials and methods

Oligonucleotide mutagenesis

The 0.95-kb HindIII fragment of the yeast U2 gene [LSR1, Ares 1986, SNR20, Parker et al. 1987] was cloned in the appropriate orientation in M13mp10 and grown in E. coli RZ1032 for mutagenesis according to Kunkel (1985). Typically, the mutagenic oligonucleotide was phosphorylated using ATP and polynucleotide kinase (NEB) and annealed to the uracil-containing DNA, which was transformed into JM101 and grown in RZ1032 for mutagenesis according to Kunkel (1985). Typically, the mutagenic oligonucleotide was phosphorylated using ATP and polynucleotide kinase (NEB) and annealed to the uracil-containing DNA, which was transformed into JM101 and grown in RZ1032 for mutagenesis according to Kunkel (1985).

Analysis of RNA

RNA was isolated from yeast cultures (50 ml at A600 = 0.5–1.0) after collection by centrifugation and resuspension in 250 μl of AK buffer (AK buffer is per 100 ml: 1 g tris(2-carboxymethyl)aminophenol, 6 g sodium p-aminosalicylate, 1.17 g sodium chloride, and 6 ml water-saturated phenol), in water (Loening 1969). After addition of 0.5 ml of 65°C phenol, cells were vor-

Construction of plasmids and yeast strains

Plasmid shuffling

U2 mutations carried on the LEU2 plasmid were introduced into HI70 by transformation and selection for leucine independence. Cultures from single colonies were grown on synthetic complete medium lacking leucine and lysine and replicated onto medium containing α-aa (Aldrich) as the primary nitrogen source [Chattoo et al. 1979]. Replicates were incubated at 18, 23, 30, and 36°C for 3–5 days, and patches were scored for uniform growth. Viable U2 mutations allow growth of cells that spontaneously lose the YCpLYS2–U2 plasmid.

Plasmid shuffling

U2 mutations carried on the LEU2 plasmid were introduced into HI70 by transformation and selection for leucine independence. Cultures from single colonies were grown on synthetic complete medium lacking leucine and lysine and replicated onto medium containing α-aa (Aldrich) as the primary nitrogen source [Chattoo et al. 1979]. Replicates were incubated at 18, 23, 30, and 36°C for 3–5 days, and patches were scored for uniform growth. Viable U2 mutations allow growth of cells that spontaneously lose the YCpLYS2–U2 plasmid.

Strains carrying only the mutant U2 were isolated by re-streaking on α-aa at 30°C and were tested for temperature sensitivity by streaking on rich medium (YPD) or YPD plus 1 M KCl [YPDK, Tollervey and Guthrie 1985] at different temperatures and noting colony size after 3–6 days.

Analysis of RNA

RNA was isolated from yeast cultures (50 ml at A600 = 0.5–1.0) after collection by centrifugation and resuspension in 250 μl of AK buffer (AK buffer is per 100 ml: 1 g tris(2-carboxymethyl)aminophenol, 6 g sodium p-amino salicylate, 1.17 g sodium chloride, and 6 ml water-saturated phenol), in water (Loening 1969). After addition of 0.5 ml of 65°C phenol, cells were vor-

Strain MA70 was constructed beginning with MA2c1B (Igel and Ares 1988), which is MATa, leu2-3,112, ura3-52, his4-619, snr20:: URA3 and carries the YCpILYS2 plasmid. Spontaneous lys2—mutants were selected by plating on α-aa [Chattoo et al. 1979] and were tested for spontaneous reversion and complementation of lysine auxotrophy by the YLS2 plasmid. One derivative with a stable lys2—phenotype was transformed with the YCpLYS2–U2 plasmid. After growth in nonselective medium, cells were plated and tested to identify spontaneous mitotic segregants that had lost the mini-U2 plasmid with the LEU2 gene. One such segregant was called MA70 and is MATa, leu2-3,112, ura3-52, his4-619, snr20:: URA3, lys2 and carries the YCpLYS2–U2 plasmid. After testing the C121U mutation by plasmid shuffling [see below] to ensure that it was not lethal, HI70 was constructed. HI70 is identical to MA70 except that it contains the YCpLYS2–U2C121U plasmid.
texted for 30 sec at high speed. The mixture was incubated for 30 min at 65°C and given a total of three additional 30-sec vortexings at 10-min intervals. The mixture was cooled on ice and spun for 5–10 min in a microcentrifuge. The aqueous phase was removed, and the phenol phase and interface were re-extracted by vortexing with 250 μL of AK buffer. After centrifugation, the aqueous phases were pooled and extracted once with phenol/chloroform/isoamyl alcohol, 25 : 24 : 1, once with chloroform and, after addition of sodium acetate (pH 5.2) to 0.3 M, were precipitated with ethanol, rinsed with 70% ethanol, dried, and resuspended in water. Yield is usually 1 mg total RNA per 50 ml cells at A600 = 1.0.

In general, 10 μl primer extension reactions were performed as follows: An appropriate amount of total RNA (usually 2–10 μg) was incubated with 0.2 ng of kinase-labeled primer in 6.5 μL of water plus 0.5 μL of 10× RT buffer (10× RT buffer is 12.5 mM Tris-Cl, 175 mM KCl) for 2 min at 65°C and then for 30 min at the appropriate temperature. Optimum annealing temperature was determined empirically for each primer. Samples were chilled on ice and 7 μL of a cocktail containing (per reaction) 0.5 μL of 10× RT buffer, 0.5 μL of 0.1 M dithiothreitol, 1 μL of 0.1 M magnesium chloride, 0.5 μL of 2.5 mM each deoxynucleoside triphosphate, 0.25 μL 1 mg/ml actinomycin D, and 0.25 μL of AMV reverse transcriptase (Life Sciences, 17-22 U/μL). Reactions were incubated at 42°C for 30–45 min and terminated by the addition of 5 μL of a solution containing 30 mM EDTA, 10 μg/ml RNase A, and 0.6 M sodium acetate (pH 5.2). After incubation at 42°C for 5–10 min, 5 μL of 0.2% SDS, 20 μg/ml proteinase K, and 0.6 M sodium acetate (pH 5.2) were added, and the incubation was continued at 65°C for 15 min. The sample was ethanol-precipitated, dried, and suspended in 98% formamide and dyes for electrophoresis.

To measure the relative accumulation of the mutant U2 RNAs, Hi70 transformed with the mutant plasmids was grown in synthetic complete medium lacking leucine and lysine (Sherman et al. 1986) Primer 23T (GCTCTTCCGCCTC-ATTATTTA) was annealed to 2.5 μg of each RNA at 37°C and extended with reverse transcriptase and all four deoxynucleoside triphosphates as described previously. Primer extension reactions were set up with unmodified RNA, and each was doped before the addition of the reverse transcriptase cocktail with 0.5 μL of one of the following deoxynucleoside triphosphate stocks: ddA, 0.75 mM; ddC, 1 mM; ddG, 0.75 mM; ddT, 1.5 mM. Reverse transcription products were separated on 7% urea/6% polyacrylamide gels, dried, and autoradiographed.
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