**Abstract**

Objective: This study sought to investigate the prevalence of eight oral Treponemas (*Treponema denticola, T. amylovorum, T. maltophilum, T. medium, T. pectinovorum, T. socranski, T. vicentii and T. lecithinolyticum*) in teeth with endodontic treatment failure and periapical lesion.

Methods: Samples were taken from 40 root canals presenting endodontic failure and periapical lesion. DNA extraction was performed and Nested-PCR technique was used for the detection of *Treponema* species using specific primers.

Results: Treponemas was detected in 56.5% of the samples analyzed (22/39). Individual root canals yielded a maximum of 6 target *Treponema* species. *T. denticola* (30.8%) and *T. maltophilum* (30.8%) were the most frequently detected species followed by *T. medium* (20.5%), *T. socranski* (20.5%), *T. pectinovorum* (17.9%) and *T. vicentii* (17.9%). Positive association was verified between *T. denticola* and *T. maltophilum* and *T. medium* (P<.05). *T. lecithinolyticum* was positively associated with intraradicular post (P<.05).

Conclusion: The present study revealed that a wide variety of *Treponema* species plays a role in persistent/secondary infection turning the root canal microbiota even more complex than previously described by endodontic literature. (Eur J Dent 2013;7:61-68)
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**Introduction**

The persistence of symptoms or the presence of periapical lesion which remains unchanged, increased or appeared after endodontic treatment suggest that endodontic retreatment is necessary.1-4 It has long been known that microorganisms resistant to instrumentation or medication (persistent infection) and those contaminating the root canal through coronary leakage [secondary
infection) after endodontic treatment are one of the main responsible for endodontic failures.5-9

Culture methods revealed that the bacterial etiology of post-treatment apical periodontitis is a Gram-positive bacterial infection.2,5,10 However, molecular methods have indicated a more complex microbiota with the involvement of Gram-negative bacteria, such as *Prevotella* spp., *Porphyromonas* spp. and *Treponema* spp.7-8,11-12 *Treponema* spp., a very fastidious, Gram-negative, motile spirochete, is known as an important periodontal pathogen isolated from the subgingival plaque.13-16 The 16S rRNA-based analysis revealed an unexpected diversity of oral Treponema species in the subgingival pocket. Among these, 8 species have been identified and named: *T. denticola*, *T. vicentii*, *T. socranskii*, *T. pectinovorum*, *T. maltophilum*, *T. medium*, *T. amylovorum* and *T. lecithinolyticum*.13-21

Due to the microbial similarity between periodontal pockets and root canal microbiota, some species have been detected in primary endodontic infection.22-24 Therefore, they might participate in the pathogenesis of periradicular lesions in unsuccessful endodontic treatment.8,25-26 Currently, no clinical study has focused on the investigation of different *Treponema* species in failed root canals treatment.

The aim of this study was to detect by nested-PCR the presence of eight species of *Treponema* (*T. denticola*, *T. amylovorum*, *T. maltophilum*, *T. medium*, *T. socranskii*, *T. pectinovorum*, *T. vicentii*, and *T. lecithinolyticum*) in those cases needing endodontic retreatment, in order to investigate the possible great diversity of *Treponema* spp. in persistence/secondary endodontic infection.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

The present study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the Piracicaba Dental School [State University of Campinas, Piracicaba, São Paulo, Brazil], and informed consent was obtained from all subjects.

**Patient Selection**

Patients in need of endodontic retreatment were selected on the basis of clinical and radiographic examination. Patients who had received antibiotic treatment during the preceding 3 months or who had systemic disease were not included in this study.

**Sampling Procedure**

The methods followed for the microbiologic procedures performed in this study have been previously described.27,28 Clinical features were recorded and samples were collected from 40 teeth with endodontic failure and periradicular lesion. The teeth were isolated from the oral cavity with a rubber dam, and the disinfection of their external surfaces and the surrounding field was carried out by using 30% hydrogen peroxide followed by 2.5% NaOCl. The solutions were inactivated with 5% sodium thiosulfate to avoid interference with bacteriologic sampling. The sterility of the external surfaces of the crown was checked by taking a swab sample from the crown surface and streaking it on blood agar plates, which was incubated aerobically and anaerobically. A 2-stage access preparation was performed. The access cavity was made without the use of water spray but under manual irrigation with sterile saline solution and by using sterile high-speed diamond bur. This first stage was performed to promote a major removal of the contaminants [microorganisms and endotoxins]. In the second stage before entering the pulp chamber, the access cavity was disinfected following the decontamination protocol described above. Its sterility was checked by taking swab samples of the cavity surface and streaking on to blood agar plates, with subsequent incubation at 37°C under both aerobic and anaerobic conditions. A new sterile bur was used, accomplished by irrigation with sterile/endotoxin-free saline, to access the canal. Root-filling materials were removed by rotary instrumentation [Gates-gllidden drills #5, 4, 3, 2 (Dentsply-Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) and Hero-file #20.06 (MicroMega, Besançon, France)] and K-files in a crown-down technique without the use of chemical solvent, accomplished by irrigation with sterile/endotoxin-free solution.

For microbial sampling, a sterile paper point was introduced into the full length of the canal (as determined with a preoperative radiograph), and kept in place for 60 s. In the cases that had been previously irrigated with saline, as many paper points as possible were used to absorb all liquid or fluid inside the canal. The paper point samples from the root canal were transferred immediately to a transport medium VMGA III and were
kept at -20ºC. Afterwards, the tubes containing the samples were shaken during 60 seconds and then 300uL of the transport medium were used to perform DNA extraction with QIAamp DNA Minikit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. After extraction, DNA was kept at -20ºC.

**Detection of Treponema species by Nested-PCR**

The isolated DNA was first amplified with universal prokaryotic ribosomal 16S primer. This universal reaction were performed in a total volume of 50uL containing 10 uL of extracted DNA; 5uL of 10X PCR buffer; 1.5µL of 25 mmol/L MgCl2; 4µL of a mixture of each deoxynucleoside triphosphate (100mmol/L solution in a 10-fold dilution); 1µL of 25 pmol forward-universal primer (5’ GAGAGTTT-GATYMTGGCTCAG 3’) and 1µL of 25 pmol of reverse-universal primer (5’ GAAGGAGGTGWTCCARCCGCA 3’); 0.5µL of 5U/mL Platinum Taq DNA Polymerase. The reagents were synthesized and provided by Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA, USA). Samples were previously subjected to 4-minute denaturation at 94ºC, followed by 30 cycles of 45-second denaturation at 94ºC, 45-second annealing at 60ºCs, 1.5-minute extension at 72ºC, and a final extension at 72ºC for 15 minutes in automated thermal cycler (GenePro Bioer, China).28 Positive controls were performed with standard stain, whereas negative controls corresponded to the reaction mixture without DNA. 

**Treponema spp.** were identified by using a second nested amplification with species-specific 16S rRNA primers for *T. denticola, T. amylovorum, T. maltophilum, T. medium, T. socranskii, T. pectinovorum, T. vicentii, and T. lecithinolyticum*. Primer sequence and cycles are shown in Table 1, as previously described by Willis et al.29 The reactions were performed with standard stain, whereas negative controls corresponded to the reaction mixture without DNA.

**RESULTS**

All samples were positive for bacterial DNA as determined by the use of ubiquitous primer except for one negative sample, which was discarded. On the other hand, no positive results were observed in the negative-control sample regarding the presence of bacterial DNA.

The following radiographic/clinical features were observed in the 39 root canals analyzed: radiolucent area (39/39), inadequate root filling or restoration (30/39); presence of spontaneous pain (5/39), tenderness to percussion (11/39), and sinus tract (6/39). Eighteen out of the 39 teeth analyzed presented intra-radicular post (Table 2).

*Treponema* species were detected in 56.5% of the root canal samples analyzed (22/39). Individual root canals yielded a maximum of 6 target *Treponema* species, which was detected in 2.56% of the root canal samples analyzed (1/39) (Table 2). The most frequently detected species were *T. denticola* (30.8% - 12/39), *T. maltophilum* (30.8% - 12/39), *T. medium* (20.5% - 8/39) and *T. socranskii* (20.5% - 8/39), followed by *T. pectinovorum* (17.9% - 7/39) and *T. vicentii* (17.9% - 7/39) (Table 2). Low detection levels were observed for *T. lecithinolyticum* (10.2% - 4/39) and *T. amylovorum* (7.6% - 3/39) (Table 2). In addition, *T. lecithinolyticum* was positively associated with intra-radicular post (P<.05).
A combination of two or more *Treponema* species was detected in 18 out of the 39 root canals investigated (Table 2). Positive associations were found between *T. denticola* and *T. maltophilum* \((p = 0.002, \text{ odds ratio (OR)} = 11.500, \text{ confidence bound (CB)} = 2.316 \text{ - } 57.101)\) such as with *T. medium* \((p = 0.006, \text{ OR} = 12.500, \text{ CB} = 2.002 \text{ - } 78.051)\). No correlation was found between presence of any *Treponema* species and development of clinical or radiographic findings \((P > 0.05)\).

**DISCUSSION**

Analysis of our data demonstrated that a wide variety of *Treponema* species do play a role in failed root canal treatment, particularly showing a predominance of *T. denticola* and *T. maltophilum* species.

Researches have shown a predominance of Gram-positive bacteria and the important role of *E. faecalis* in persistent/secondary infection mainly due to the resistance of this bacteria.\(^2,5,10,37\) Data concerning the detection of this species in endodontic treatment failures vary widely. Pinheiro et al.\(^2\), using culture technique revealed that *E. faecalis* were the most commonly isolated microorganism in root-filled teeth with periapical lesions. On the other hand, Foschi et al.\(^37\) and Fouad et al.\(^38\), using PCR, detected *E. faecalis* in 72% and 22% of the cases, respectively. Gomes et al.\(^39\) isolated *E. faecalis* in 42% and 76% of root-filled teeth by culture and PCR respectively. Preliminary studies of our samples showed an occurrence of 30% of *E. faecalis* by culture and 40% by nested-PCR.

Culture is the only method that detects microbial viability (ability to reproduce) and therefore, what grows on culture media is directly related to the number of microbial cells present in the infection. For this reason, *E. faecalis* has been found in great number in root-filled canals investigated by this method. However, culture depends on the viability of the bacteria and requires methodology for bacterial isolation, growth and detection. Moreover, some of the bacteria involved in endodontic infections are nutritionally fastidious and extremely sensitive to oxygen. Consequently, culture can fail to detect some microorganisms present in endodontic infections, such as *Treponema* spp.\(^40\)

In retreatment cases the number of microorganisms is even lower and/or the number of microbial cells can be lost during the procedure to remove the previous root filling. As a consequence, the number of cells sampled can be lower than the detection rate of the culture method.\(^39\) Therefore, molecular methods that are more sensitive may be necessary to better describe the infection composition and have indicated a more complex microbiota.\(^7,8,11-12,37,39\)

### Table 1. PCR primer pairs used for detection of 8 *Treponema* species in teeth with endodontic failure by Nested-PCR.

| Microorganism | Primer pairs (5’-3’) | Amplicon size | Cycles |
|---------------|----------------------|--------------|--------|
| *T. denticola* | F: TAA TAC CGA ATG TGC TCA TT TAC A T<br> R: TCA AAG AAG CAT TCC TTC TTC TTA | 316 bp | Initial denaturation at 95°C for 2min and 36 cycles of: 94°C for 30s, 60°C for 1min, 72°C for 2min and a final step 72°C for 10min. |
| *T. amylovorum* | F: AGA GTT TGA TCC TGG TCT AG<br> R: CTC ACG CCT TTA TTC GTG AG | 193 bp | Initial denaturation at 95°C for 2min and 36 cycles of: 94°C for 30s, 60°C for 1min, 72°C for 2min and a final step 72°C for 10min. |
| *T. maltophilum* | F: AGA GTT TGA TCC TGG TCT AG<br> R: CCT ATT GTG CTT ATT CAT CAG GC | 438 bp | Initial denaturation at 95°C for 2min and 36 cycles of: 94°C for 30s, 60°C for 1min, 72°C for 2min and a final step 72°C for 10min. |
| *T. medium* | F: AGA GTT TGA TCC TGG AG<br> R: CCT TAT GAA GCA CTG AGA GTA TTC | 192 bp | Initial denaturation at 95°C for 2min and 36 cycles of: 94°C for 30s, 60°C for 1min, 72°C for 2min and a final step 72°C for 10min. |
| *T. socranskii* | F: GAT CAC TGT ATA CCG AAG GTA GAC AG<br> R: TAC ACT TAT TCC TCG GAC AG | 285 bp | Initial denaturation at 95°C for 2min and 36 cycles of: 94°C for 30s, 60°C for 1min, 72°C for 2min and a final step 72°C for 10min. |
| *T. pectinovorum* | F: AGA GTT TGA TCC TGG CTC AG<br> R: ATA TAT CTC CAA CTT ATA TGA CCT | 194 bp | Initial denaturation at 95°C for 2min and 36 cycles of: 94°C for 30s, 60°C for 1min, 72°C for 2min and a final step 72°C for 10min. |
| *T. vincentii* | F: AGA GTT TGA TCC TGG CTC AG<br> R: AAT ACT TCT TAT GAA CAT TGA GAC | 193 bp | Initial denaturation at 95°C for 2min and 36 cycles of: 94°C for 30s, 60°C for 1min, 72°C for 2min and a final step 72°C for 10min. |
| *T. lecithinolyticum* | F: CTT GCT CCT TCC TGA GAG TGG CCG<br> R: ACG CAT CCG TAT CTC TAC GAA CTT | 950 bp | Initial denaturation at 95°C for 2min and 36 cycles of: 94°C for 30s, 60°C for 1min, 72°C for 2min and a final step 72°C for 10min. |
Treponema spp. play an important role in periodontal disease, and have been investigated in endodontic infections by molecular methods. However, little is known about this microorganism in persistent/secondary infection. Yet, no clinical study has focused on the investigation of different Treponema species in root canals after endodontic treatment failure.

Species of Treponema have different virulence factors that can contribute to their pathogenicity.
They can produce proteolytic enzymes, adhere to and invade diverse host cells. *Treponema* species are endowed with motility, which allows them to penetrate the tissues, thus increasing their pathogenicity. They are also able to inhibit both lymphocyte function and polymorphonuclear neutrophils. Moreover, they have lipopolysaccharide (LPS) in their membrane as they are Gram-negative microorganisms. Their endotoxin has a significant toxic effect on the host, thus exacerbating the inflammatory response and enhancing the damage caused.15,16,34

In the current study, the positive detection of *Treponema* spp. in failed root canals is in agreement with the literature.8,25,26 Because of the difficulties in isolating and identifying *Treponema* spp., the use of nested-PCR protocol in this study was justified by the higher sensitivity and specificity of the assay when compared to the single PCR method.22,24

The frequent isolation of *Treponema* spp. (in more than 50% of the root canals analyzed) supports the role of such microorganisms in persistent/secondary infection.8,25-26 Montagner et al24 found *Treponema* species in 90% of the root canals associated with abscess.

Data obtained in the present study revealed combinations of two or more *Treponema* species found in 18 out of the 39 root canals analyzed. The pathogenicity enhanced by additive effects is an important feature of mixed infection,35 as this may contribute to the maintenance of apical periodontitis.2,10,24

*T. denticola* (30.8%) and *T. maltophilum* (30.8%) were the most frequently detected species found in the present study. Siqueira et al25 found *T. denticola* in 11 out of the 21 primary infected root canals investigated (51.4%) by using 16S rDNA-based polymerase chain reaction (PCR). In addition, Montagner et al24 used nested-PCR and found *T. denticola* in 8 out of the 20 symptomatic primary infection.

It is worth to point out that no previous study had reported the participation of *T. maltophilum* in secondary infection. This species have been detected in teeth with primary endodontic infection. However, Siqueira & Roças34 did not detect *T. maltophilum* in teeth with abscess of endodontic origin.

No correlation was found between the presence of a specific *Treponema* species and development of any clinical symptomatology in root canals with persistent/secondary infection. In contrast, the highest incidence of *Treponema* spp. in acute cases indicates their high pathogenicity, which may suggest the association of these species with signs and symptoms.9,23,32,34,37

**CONCLUSION**

The detection of a wide variety of *Treponema* species in persistent/secondary infection indicates that the root canal microbiota seems to be even more complex in teeth with endodontic treatment failure than that previously shown in the endodontic literature.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

This work was supported by the Brazilian agencies FAPESP (08/57551-0; 09/07760-5; 10/51113-1; 10/17877-4; 10/19136-1) & CNPq (302575/2009-0; 150557/2011-6). The authors deny any conflicts of interest.

**REFERENCES**

1. Ricucci D, Grondal K, Bergenholtz G. Periapical status of root-filled teeth exposed to the oral environment by loss of restoration or caries. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2000;90:354-359.
2. Pinheiro ET, Gomes BPFA, Ferraz CCR, Sousa ELR, Teixeira FB, Souza-Filho FJ. Microorganisms from canals of root-filled teeth with periapical lesions. Int Endod J 2003;36:1-11.
3. Gomes BPFA, Pinheiro ET, Jacinto RC, Zaia AA, Ferraz CCR, Souza-filho FJ. Microbial analysis of canals of root-filled teeth with periapical lesions using polymerase chain reaction. J Endod 2008;34:537-540.
4. Pinheiro ET, Gomes BPFA, Ferraz CCR, Teixeira FB, Zaia AA, Souza Filho FJ. Evaluation of root canal microorganisms isolated from teeth with endodontic failure and their antimicrobial susceptibility. Oral Microbiol Immunol 2003;18:100-103.
5. Sundqvist G, Figdor D, Persson S, Sjogren U. Microbiologic analysis of teeth with failed endodontic treatment and outcome of conservative re-treatment. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 1998;85:86-93.
6. Siqueira-Junior JF. Aetiology of root canal treatment failure: why well-treated teeth can fail. Int Endod J 2001;34:1-10.
7. Siqueira-Junior JF, Roças IN. Polymerase chain reaction-based analysis of microorganisms associated with failed endodontic treatment. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2004;97:85-94.
8. Gomes BPFA, Jacinto RC, Pinheiro ET, Sousa ELR, Zaia AA, Ferraz CCR, Souza-Filho FJ. Molecular analysis of filtrator alocis, Tannereilla forsythia and Treponema denticola associated with primary endodontic infections and failure endodontic treatment. *J Endod* 2006;32:937-940.

9. Sauaia TS, Gomes BPFA, Pinheiro ET, Zaia AA, Ferraz CCR, Souza-Filho FJ. Microelectrode evaluation of intracoronal sealing materials in endodontically treated teeth. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* 2006;102:242-246.

10. Molander A, Reit C, Dahlen G, Kvist T. Microbiological status of root-filled teeth with apical periodontitis. *Int Endod J* 1998;31:1-7.

11. Gomes BPFA, Jacinto RC, Pinheiro ET, Sousa EL, Zaia AA, Ferraz CCR. Porphyromonas endodontalis, Prevotella intermedia and *Porphyromonas gingivalis* in nongenics lesions detected by culture and by PCR. *Oral Microbiol Imunol* 2005;20:211-215.

12. Vianna ME, Horz HP, Gomes Gomes BPFA, Conrads G. Microarrays complement culture methods for identification of bacteria in endodontic infections. *Oral Microbiol Imunol* 2005;20:253-258.

13. Wyss C, Choi BK, Schupbach P, Guggenheim B, Gobel UB. Treponema maltophilum sp. nov., a small oral spirochete isolated from human periodontal lesions. *Int J Syst Bacteriol* 1996;46:745-752.

14. Umemoto T, Nakawara F, Hoshino E, Okada K, Fukunaga M, Namikawa I. Treponema medium sp. Nov., isolated from human subgingival dental plaque. *Int J Syst Bacteriol* 1997;47:67-72.

15. Fenno JC, McBride BC. Virulence factor of oral Treponemes. *Anaerobe* 1998;4:1-17.

16. Chan EC, McLaughlin R. Taxonomy and virulence of oral spirochetes. *Oral Microbiol Imunol* 2000;15:1-9.

17. Smibert RM, Genus III. Treponema Schaudinn 1905, 1728AL. In: Krieg NR, Holt JG. ed *Berger’s manual of systematics of bacteria*. Baltimore: Williams & Williams. 1984. p.49-57

18. Chan EC, Siboo R, Keng T, Psarra N, Hurley R; Cheng SL; Lugovaz I. Treponema denticola [ex Brumpt 1925] sp. nov., nom. rev., and identification of new spirochete isolates from periodontal pockets. *Int J Syst Bacteriol* 1993;43:176-203.

19. Wyss C, Choi BK, Schupbach P, Guggenheim B, Gobel UB. Treponema amylovorum sp. nov., a saccharolytic spirochete of medium size isolated from an advanced human periodontal lesion. *Int J Syst Bacteriol* 1997;47:842-845.

20. Wyss, C, Choi BK, Schupbach P, Moter A, Guggenheim B, Gobel UB. Treponema lecithinolyticum sp. nov., a small saccharolytic spirochaete with phospholipase A and C activities associated with periodontal diseases. *Int J Syst Bacteriol* 1999;49:1329-1339.

21. Dewhrist FE, Tamer MA, Ericson RE, Lau CN, Levanos VA, Boches SK, Galvin JL, Paster BJ. The diversity of periodontal spirochetes by 16S rRNA analysis. *Oral Microbiol Imunol* 2000; 15: 196-202.

22. Siqueira-Junior JF, Roças IN. PCR-based identification of Treponema maltophilum, *T. amylovorum*, *T. medium* et *T. lecithinolyticum* in primary root canal infections. *Arch Oral Biol* 2003;48:495-502.

23. Baumgacter JC, Lhemaleelakul SU, Xia T. Identification of spirochetes (Treponemes) in endodontic infections. *J Endod* 2003;29:794-797.

24. Montagner F, Jacinto RC, Signoretti FGC, Gomes BPFA. Treponema species detected in infected root canals and acute apical abscess exudate. *J Endod* 2010;36:1796-1799.

25. Siqueira-Junior JF, Roças IN, Faveri A, Santos KNR. Detection of Treponema denticola in endodontic infection by 16S rRNA gene-directed polymerase chain reaction. *Oral Microbiol Imunol* 2000;15:335-337.

26. Roças IN, Siqueira-Junior JF, Santos KNR, Coelho AMA. Red Complex (Bacteroides forsythus, Porphyromonas gingivalis, and *Treponema denticola*) in endodontic infections: a molecular approach. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* 2001;91:468-471.

27. Martinho FC, Chiesa WMM, Leite FRM, Cirelli JA, Gomes BPFA. Antigenic activity of bacterial endodontic contents from primary root canal infection with periapical lesions against macrophage in the release of interleukin-1beta and tumor necrosis factor alpha. *J Endod* 2010;36:1467-1474.

28. Martinho FC, Chiesa WMM, Leite FRM, Cirelli JA, Gomes BPFA. Antigenicity of primary endodontic infection against macrophages by the levels of PGE2 production *J Endod* 2011;37:602-607.

29. Gomes BPFA, Pinheiro ET, Gadê-Neto CR, Sousa ELR, Ferraz CCR, Zaia AA, Souza-Filho FJ. *Microbiological examination of infected root canal contents* *Oral Microbiol Imunol* 2004;19:71-76.

30. Paster BJ, Boches SK, Galvin JL, Ericson RE, Lau CN, Levanos VA, Sahasrabudhe A, Dewhrist FE. Bacterial diversity in human subgingival plaque. *J Bacteriol* 2001;183:3770-3783.

31. Willis SG, Smith KS, Dunn VL, Gapter LA, Riviere KH, Riviere GR. Identification of seven Treponema species in health and disease-associated dental plaque by Nested-PCR. *J Clin Microbiol* 1999;37:867-869.

32. Siqueira-Junior JF, Roças IN. Treponema species associated with abscesses of endodontic origin. *Oral Microbiol Imunol* 2004;19:336-339.

33. Sakamoto M, Siqueira-Junior JF, Roças IN, Benno Y. Diversity of spirochetes in endodontic infection. *J Clin Microbiol* 2009;47:1352-1357.
34. Tsai JP, Shi W. Analysis of gene expression in Treponema denticola with differential display polymerase chain reaction. *Oral Microbiol Imunol* 2000;15:305-308.

35. Dixon DR, Darveau RP. Lipopolysaccharide heterogeneity: innate host responses to bacterial modification of lipid A structure. *J Dent Res* 2005;84:584-595.

36. Foschi F, Izard J, Sasaki H, Sambri V, Prati C, Muller R, Stashenko P. Treponema denticola in disseminating endodontic infections. *J Dent Res* 2006;85:761-765.

37. Foshi F, Cavrini F, Montebognoli L, Stashenko P, Sambri V, Prati. Detection of bacteria in endodontic samples by polymerase chain reaction assays and association with defined clinical signs in Italian patients. *Oral Microbiol Imunol* 2005;20:289-295.

38. Fouad Af, Zerella J, Barry J, Spangberg LSW. Molecular detection of Enterococcus species in root canals of therapy-resistant endodontic infections. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* 2005;99:112-118.

39. Gomes BPFA, Pinheiro ET, Sousa ELR, Jacinto RC, Zaia AA, Ferraz CCR, Souza-Filho, FJ. Enterococcus faecalis in dental root canals detected by culture and polymerase chain reaction. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* 2006;102:247-253.

40. Nissan R, Makkar SR, Sela MN, Stevens R. Whole genomic DNA-probe for detection of Porphyromonas endodontalis. *J Endod* 2000;26:217-220.