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Abstract

Purpose of Review To evaluate the efficacy of gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonist (GnRHa) in women with abnormal uterine bleeding (AUB) on anticoagulant therapy.

Recent Findings Prospective observational case series (Canadian Task Force Classification II-3) at University-affiliated teaching hospital. From January 2002 through December 2019, three premenopausal women on warfarin therapy were identified from our clinical practice. After clinical assessment, including Papanicolaou smear, endometrial biopsy, and pelvic sonography, a GnRHa was used to treat their AUB. Two women were receiving warfarin therapy (5–7 mg/day) for previous venous thromboembolism and one for mechanical heart valve replacement associated with Marfan’s syndrome. All patients had additional comorbid conditions and were at high risk for traditional medical or surgical therapies. After treatment with GnRHa, all women reported menstrual reduction at 3 months and remained amenorrheic for 2 to 11 years, two of whom reached menopause.

Summary In properly assessed and selected premenopausal women with AUB receiving anticoagulant therapy and at high risk for traditional therapies, long-term GnRHa was an effective treatment in 3 patients.
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Introduction

Abnormal uterine bleeding (AUB) is used to describe any departure from normal menstruation or from a normal menstruation pattern. The key characteristics of AUB are regularity, frequency, amount, and duration of flow, but each of these may exhibit considerable variability [1]. In general, AUB is experienced by approximately 20 to 30% of premenopausal women [2] and is a common debilitating condition that results in reduced hemoglobin, adversely affects quality of life, and is associated with significant use of health care resources [3].

Gynecologists managing women presenting with AUB deal with medical and/or anatomical conditions that, in conjunction with factors as age, hormonal, metabolic, and body mass index (BMI) changes, may contribute to AUB. Among these conditions are bleeding disorders, cardiovascular conditions requiring prosthesis, thromboembolic events requiring acute thrombolysis and/or long-term thromboprophylaxis, and cerebrovascular accidents. Traditional therapies for AUB, under these
circumstances, may be ineffective, refused, contraindicated, difficult, or risky to perform.

Herein, we report our experience with 3 women with AUB, and various comorbidities and conditions requiring long-term anticoagulation therapy treated with long-term gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) agonist with or without add-back therapy.

The patient demographics, presentation, treatment, and clinical outcomes are summarized in Table 1.

Case 1
A 40-year-old woman, G3P2, BMI 24 kg/m², presented with AUB. She had been diagnosed with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) and carrier of lupus anticoagulant with history of two episodes of deep venous thrombosis (DVT). Consequently, she was on thromboprophylactic treatment using warfarin (Coumadin, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Montreal, QC) 5 mg/day and hydroxychloroquine 200 mg daily by mouth (Plaquenil, Sanofi Canada, Laval, QC). It was thought that the anticoagulation therapy may have been a contributor to her heavy vaginal bleeding and after discussing treatment options, she underwent endometrial ablation using a hot liquid thermal balloon (Thermablate, Idoman Canada, Toronto, Ontario).

Two years after endometrial ablation, she developed progressively increasing abnormal uterine bleeding resulting in iron deficiency anemia and requested additional therapy. After appropriate counseling and consultation with a hematologist, she was started on GnRH agonist therapy (Leuprolide acetate, Lupron, Abbvie Canada, Saint-Laurent, QC), 11.25 mg intramuscular injections every 3 months along with add-back therapy using 1 mg of 17B-estradiol (Estrace, Acerus Pharmaceuticals, Mississauga, ON), and 100 mg of micronized progesterone (Prometrium, Merck Canada, Kirkland, QC) per day. At 11 years of follow-up, she has remained amenorrheic and became menopausal at 51 years. The plan is to discontinue the GnRHa and add-back therapy but keep her anticoagulated indefinitely and reassess annually.

Case 2
A 54-year-old lady, G6P6, BMI 42 kg/m², was referred to our clinic with dysmenorrhea and iron deficiency anemia with hemoglobin of 80 g/L. She always had heavy menstrual bleeding but it became much worse in the last 3 years following anticoagulant therapy. She had 2 episodes of DVT and unprovoked pulmonary embolism (PE) 3 years prior and she was on 5 mg of warfarin daily. A 52-mg levonorgestrel intrauterine system (LNG-IUS, Mirena, Bayer, Mississauga, ON) had been tried for 6 months but it was removed for making her

Table 1 Summary of patients’ demographics, presentation, treatment and clinical outcomes

| Case 1 | Age (years) | BMI (kg/m²) | Presentation | Treatment | Outcome |
|--------|-------------|-------------|--------------|-----------|---------|
| Case 1 | 40          | 24          | AUB, SLE, Carrier of lupus anticoagulant, Two episodes of DVT | Failed endometrial ablation, Leuprolide acetate 11.25 mg every 3 months plus 1 mg Estrace and 100 mg Prometrium daily | 11 years: amenorrhea, menopause |
| Case 2 | 54          | 42          | AUB, Two episodes of DVT, Unprovoked PE, Morbid obesity, Hypertension, Type-2 diabetes, Gastroesophageal reflux, Sleep apnea | Failed LNG-IUS, Failed DMPA, Goserelin 10.8 mg every 3 months | 2 years: amenorrhea, menopause |
| Case 3 | 39          | 34.5        | AUB, Marfan’s syndrome, Prosthetic aortic valve, Multiple surgical procedures for aortic dissection and thoracoabdominal aneurysm, Amaurosis fugax, Hypertension, 5 transient ischemic attacks, Psoriatic arthritis | Goserelin 10.8 mg every 3 months plus add-back therapy norethindrone acetate 5 mg/day | 2 years: amenorrhea |
bleeding unpredictable. In the last 8 months, she had also been treated with a course of depomedroxyprogesterone acetate (DMPA, Pfizer, Mississauga, ON) 150 mg every 3 months but it was causing breakthrough bleeding and lower abdominal pain.

She had multiple medical conditions including morbid obesity, hypertension, diabetes type-2, gastroesophageal reflux, sleep apnea, and bursitis for which she was taking multiple medications.

After assessment of her pelvic organs including an endometrial biopsy indicating pseudodecidualized endometrium, she agreed to be treated with GnRH agonist and she was given goserelin (AstraZeneca, Mississauga, ON) 10.8 mg subcutaneously every 3 months with iron supplements. After 3 months, she became amenorrheic and her hemoglobin increased to 132 g/L. At 2 years, she was doing well on goserelin injections with no reported vaginal bleeding and the treatment was stopped due to achieving natural menopause at 56 years.

**Case 3**

A 39-year-old, G0P0, BMI of 34.5 kg/m² woman, presented with shortness of breath, chronic fatigue, and heavy menstrual bleeding (HMB) associated with iron deficiency anemia with hemoglobin of 79 g/L. Her uterine bleeding was cyclic in nature every 30 days, lasting up to 7 days and described as heavy associated with clots and moderate/severe dysmenorrhea.

She had been previously diagnosed with polycystic ovarian syndrome (PCOS) and she provided a positive family history of Marfan’s syndrome. The patient herself also had been diagnosed with atypical Marfan’s syndrome and she had multiple surgical procedures including a Bentall procedure for a dissection of the aortic arch with mechanical aortic valve replacement. She also had an elephant trunk procedure. After 10 months of the second procedure, her chronic thoracoabdominal dissection which extended down to the common iliac arteries and their bifurcation bilaterally became quite complex with multiple lumens and she began developing pseudocoarctation symptoms. Therefore, she underwent thoracoabdominal aneurysm repair with cardiopulmonary bypass. Later, she developed amaurosis fugax from thrombi on her prosthetic aortic valve with decrease in max and mean gradient observed by echocardiography.

In addition, the patient was known to have hypertension, psoriatic arthritis, degenerative disc disease, and provided a history of at least 5 transient ischemic attacks (TIA) for which, together with her mechanical aortic valve replacement, she required lifetime anticoagulation. Consequently, she was on warfarin, 5 to 7 mg together with 81 mg of aspirin daily in conjunction with antihypertensive medications.

Following appropriate history, physical examination, and assessment of her AUB, the management of this patient became quite a challenge due to limited options for both traditional diagnostic tests and therapeutic modalities.

Treatment options for this patient were even more challenging since traditional hormone therapies may be contraindicated in fully anticoagulated patients. Although there is some experience with anticoagulated patients treated with endometrial ablation using intrauterine balloons [4] and/or resectoscopic surgery [5], we felt that endometrial ablation was not an appropriate option for this patient being a high surgical risk and also being less than 40 years of age which is known factor for increased ablation failure.

We have previously published good clinical outcomes with the use of LNG-IUS in women with AUB and anticoagulant therapy [6]. However, this patient refused our proposed treatment with LNG-IUS. As a result, we proposed long-term treatment with a GnRH agonist with add-back therapy and she was treated with goserelin 10.8 mg injections subcutaneously every 3 months and norethindrone acetate (NETA) 5 mg daily.

At 2 years of follow-up, the patient was amenorrheic, maintained normal hemoglobin levels (provide the value) and satisfied with her treatment.

**Discussion**

Oral anticoagulation therapy has been reported to increase menstrual blood loss [7, 8], with 70% of women on anticoagulant therapy experiencing changes in their menstrual cycle. Fifty percent experience a greater number of menstrual days, and 66% heavier menstrual bleeding, with a significant number of women experiencing flooding and passing clots [9].

Treatment of women with AUB and anticoagulant therapy can be challenging since both surgical and medical therapies may be refused, contraindicated, or difficult to perform due to various comorbid conditions.

**Surgical Interventions**

**Endometrial Ablation**

**Thermal Balloon Endometrial Ablation** We have previously reported on 11 high-risk surgical candidates with AUB and anticoagulant therapy (coumadin) treated with thermal balloon (TheraChoice, Gynecare, J&J, New Jersey) endometrial ablation without stopping their anticoagulant therapy. At a median follow-up of 11 months, 7 (64%) reported improvement in menstrual blood loss, 3 (27%) of whom had amenorrhea [4].
**Endometrial Resectoscopic Ablation** Goldenberg et al. reported on 11 patients with hematologic disorders, who had menometrorrhagia and failed medical therapy, treated with resectoscopic endometrial ablation. After 1-year follow-up, the patient’s satisfaction was high (10/11) with an average increase in hemoglobin level of 20 g/L [5].

**Hormonal Therapies**

In general, medical management of women with AUB includes any one of or combinations of estrogens, progestins, androgens, and GnRH agonists. However, the role of these agents in anticoagulated women with AUB has not been well determined and in fact, most, if not all of them, may be ineffective or contraindicated.

After an international survey among physicians, on handling hormonal contraceptive in anticoagulant-associated bleeding in premenopausal patients with venous thromboembolism (VTE) risk, they found the management in clinical practice is heterogeneous [10].

**Estrogens**

The use of estrogen in anticoagulated women requiring contraception remains controversial. According to the World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines, hormonal therapy with estrogen is category 4 (what is category 4?) and the “use of estrogen-containing contraceptives confers an unacceptable health risk” during established anticoagulant treatment of women with venous thromboembolism” [11]. In contrast, the Scientific and Standardization Committee of the International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis recommends that women can continue oral contraceptive and estrogen replacement hormonal therapy while on anticoagulant therapy [12]. This was supported by an additional study which reported that hormonal therapy was not associated with an increased risk of recurrent VTE in women receiving therapeutic anticoagulation [13].

**Progestins**

Treatment with progestins or progestin-loaded IUS has been tried and is considered relatively safe as a first line of treatment for patients with multiple risk factors that preclude definitive surgical treatment such as hysterectomy.

**Oral and Injectable Progestins** There was a difficulty in finding studies that evaluated oral or injectable progestin as a treatment for women on anticoagulation therapy with abnormal uterine bleeding. In a Cochrane review, women with abnormal uterine bleeding on oral cyclic progestin for 21 days had significantly less blood loss [14]. There were few studies on patients using anticoagulant therapy with oral or injectable progestin as contraceptive method. In terms of contraception, the WHO labeled oral and injectable progestin as category 2 (advantage outweigh risk) [11].

**LNG-IUS** Few studies have described the use of LNG-IUS in anticoagulated women presenting with AUB [6, 15, 16]. A randomized trial divided 40 women receiving anticoagulant therapy and complaining of menorrhagia to LNG-IUS vs. no intervention. Women treated with LNG-IUS had significant reduction in blood loss with increase in hemoglobin level after 3 and 6 months follow-up compared with non-intervention group [15]. A prospective study by Vilos et al. described 10 premenopausal women receiving warfarin therapy, after excluding endometrial pathology treated with LNG-IUS. At 5 years, the LNG-IUS remained effective in 70% of patients [6]. Although the use of the LNG-IUS had been reported to be an effective treatment in this group of women, in a survey conducted by Pisoni et al. on 23 patients on anticoagulant and used LNG-IUS to treat menorrhagia, 17 only returned the questionnaire,11.8% experienced worsening of bleeding, and 5.9% of them had no improvement of their AUB [16].

**GnRH Agonists**

GnRH agonists are one of the medical approaches for the management of dysfunctional uterine bleeding [17] through inducing hypo-estrogenism and endometrial atrophy, which subsequently improve hemoglobin level [18].

To our knowledge, there are only two published case reports describing the management of heavy bleeding associated with anticoagulation using GnRHa [19”, 20”]. The first case report described a patient with menorrhagia and fibroid uterus who presented with unstable angina and was treated with anticoagulant therapy. After heparin therapy, her bleeding became extremely heavy and she was started on goserelin depot 3.6 mg subcutaneously monthly, and warfarin simultaneously. The patient underwent a stent procedure and 2 months later, the warfarin and goserelin depot were stopped and the patient underwent hysterectomy [19”].

Another case report described a patient with positive heterozygous Factor V Leiden on long-term anticoagulation after an episode of DVT and pulmonary embolism. Due to episodes of persistent menometorrhagia and decreased hemoglobin level, the patient was started on GnRHa (leuprolide acetate 3.75 mg monthly) for 3 months. During treatment, the uterine bleeding decreased but did not stop completely so treatment was changed to a progestin intrauterine device placement and long-term oral progestin with improvement of the patient’s abnormal uterine bleeding [20”].

In the present case series, we report the use of GnRH agonist in 3 fully anticoagulated patients at high risk of recurrent VTE and/or PE. They were satisfied without the need to
discontinue their anticoagulant, no new VTE and they were in complete amenorrhea.

In two young patients who are less than 50 years old, we decided to start them on add-back therapy as long-term use of GnRHa has adverse effect on bone density [21]; add-back therapy was suggested to avert bone loss and vasomotor symptoms without nullifying GnRHa therapeutic effect [22, 23]. Both estrogen/progestin and progestin only were prescribed as add-back therapy with GnRH agonist [22, 23]. Norethindrone acetate is, unique only progestin, used as add-back therapy, has both estrogenic and androgenic properties, which effectively reduce GnRHa side effects [24, 25].

### Add-Back Therapy

Add-back therapy is a low-dose hormone used to alleviate side effect of GnRHa while preserving its therapeutic efficacy. Current literature is mostly studying add-back therapy on patients with endometriosis or uterine fibroid, especially how to reduce the side effects of long-term use of GnRHa and hypoestrogenic state including progressive bone loss. In a prospective study, young women on GnRHa was followed for 1 year; patients who did not receive add-back treatment lost 6.3% of bone marrow density (BMD) [26]. In another randomized trial, add-back therapy with either regimen (norethindrone acetate 5 mg/day alone or norethindrone acetate 5 mg/day plus conjugated equine estrogens 0.625 mg/day) successfully preserved bone health and improved quality of life [27].

Weighing risk of VTE and risk of bleeding should be kept in mind when treating women in reproductive age suffering from anticoagulation-associated heavy menstrual bleeding and each patient should have an individualize treatment.

### Conclusion

In properly assessed and selected premenopausal women with AUB receiving anticoagulant therapy and at high risk for traditional therapies, long-term GnRHa was an effective treatment in 3 patients.
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