Ophthalmic nepafenac use in the Netherlands and Denmark
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ABSTRACT.

Purpose: To describe nepafenac use in the Netherlands and Denmark with reference to its approved indications. For context, we also describe the use of ketorolac and diclofenac.

Methods: We identified users in the PHARMO Database Network (the Netherlands, 2008–2013) and the Danish national health registers (Denmark, 1994–2014). We described prevalence of cataract surgery and duration of use in patients with cataract surgery with and without diabetes.

Results: In the Netherlands, 9530 nepafenac users (mean age, 71 years; 60% women) contributed 12 691 therapy episodes, of which 21% had a recently recorded cataract surgery. Of 2266 episodes in adult non-diabetic patients with cataract surgery, 60% had one bottle dispensed (treatment duration ≤ 21 days).

Of 441 episodes in adult diabetic patients with cataract surgery, 90% had up to two bottles dispensed (≤ 60 days).

Denmark had 60 403 nepafenac users (mean age, 72 years; 58% women) and 73 648 episodes (41% had recorded cataract surgery). Of 26 649 nepafenac episodes in adult non-diabetic patients with cataract surgery, 92% had one bottle dispensed. Of 3801 episodes in adult diabetic patients with cataract surgery, 99.8% had up to two bottles dispensed.

Use patterns of nepafenac, ketorolac and diclofenac were roughly similar in the Netherlands, but not in Denmark.

Conclusion: Less than half of therapy episodes were related to cataract surgery; around 90% of episodes with surgery were within the approved duration. Under-recording of ophthalmic conditions and procedures was a challenge in this study.
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Introduction

Nepafenac is an ophthalmic non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID) sold under the trade name Nevanac®. Nepafenac 1 mg/ml has been available in Europe since 2007 for the prevention and treatment of postoperative pain and inflammation after cataract surgery, in the first 2 weeks after surgery in adults. Treatment can be extended to the first 3 weeks of the postoperative period as directed by the clinician. In 2011, the European Commission approved a new indication for Nepafenac 1 mg/ml: reduction in the risk of postoperative macular oedema associated with cataract surgery in patients with diabetes, for use up to 60 days after surgery. Following this, an application for a line extension was submitted to register nepafenac 3 mg/ml with the indication ‘prevention and treatment of postoperative pain and inflammation associated with cataract surgery’, which was granted in 2013. In July 2016 (after the end of the study period), a new indication was approved for nepafenac 3 mg/ml: reduction in the risk of postoperative macular oedema associated with cataract surgery in patients with diabetes.

Other ophthalmic NSAIDs are approved for broader indications; for example, ophthalmic ketorolac is approved for prevention and relief of eye inflammation after eye surgery in adults in the UK (Allergan Pharmaceuticals Ireland 2014), and diclofenac is approved for mydriasis during surgery, to control pain and inflammation after eye surgery or injury and to control symptoms of seasonal allergic conjunctivitis (Excelvision S.A.S. 2015).

As part of the risk management plan for nepafenac agreed between the European Medicines Agency and Alcon Inc., we performed a
postapproval safety study (PASS). We evaluated the dispensing of nepafenac with regard to the approved indications, focusing on age, use related to cataract surgery and duration of use in patients with cataract surgery with and without diabetes. To provide context to the findings, we compared the dispensing of nepafenac with that of other ophthalmic NSAIDs (ketorolac and diclofenac).

Materials and Methods
This was a descriptive observational drug utilization study of users of nepafenac, ketorolac and diclofenac. The study was conducted in the PHARMO Database Network (PHARMO) of the PHARMO Institute for Drug Outcomes Research in the Netherlands and in the network of national health registers in Denmark. The study period was 01 September 2008 through 31 October 2013 in the Netherlands and 01 January 1994 through 31 December 2014 in Denmark.

Data sources
PHARMO Database Network is a population-based network of electronic healthcare databases that combines data from different primary and secondary healthcare settings in the Netherlands. These different data sources, including data from general practices, inpatient and outpatient pharmacies, clinical laboratories, hospitals and more, are linked on a patient level through a validated algorithm. The longitudinal nature enables a follow-up of more than 4 million (25%) residents of a well-defined population in the Netherlands for an average of 10 years (Herings 1993).

The entire Danish population is provided free tax-supported medical care by the National Health Service (Thygesen & Ersboll 2014). For administration and maintenance of this healthcare system, numerous administrative and health registries have been established. The three main data sources for this study were the Danish Prescription Registry, the Danish National Patient Registry and the Central Person Registry. The Danish Prescription Registry contains data on all prescription drugs dispensed to Danish residents since 1995 (Kildemoes et al. 2011). The data include the type of drug, date of dispensing and quantity dispensed. Drugs are categorized according to the Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical Index (Kildemoes et al. 2011). The Danish National Patient Register contains nationwide data on all non-psychiatric hospital admissions since 1977 and all outpatient specialist contacts in hospital setting since 1995 (Schmidt et al. 2015). Discharge/contact diagnoses are coded using ICD-8 (1977–1993) and ICD-10 (1994–present). The Central Person Registry contains records of deaths and migrations, which allowed us to follow patients appropriately (Schmidt et al. 2014). Data sources were linked by the civil registry number, a unique identifier assigned to all Danish residents since 1968 (Schmidt et al. 2014).

Study population
Patients became eligible for cohort entry after 6 months of enrolment in the data source. In Denmark, the cohort comprised only new users: patients entered the cohort with the first dispensing for the drug after 6 months free of dispensing for that drug. In the Netherlands, patients entered the cohort regardless of previous ophthalmic NSAID use. Follow-up continued until the earliest of disenrollement from the database, emigration, death or end of the study period. Multiple episodes of use of one or more of the study drugs by individual patients were included if they occurred during follow-up.

Analysis
Drug use was ascertained from community pharmacy dispensing records (Kildemoes et al. 2011). Therapy episodes were created by linking together consecutive dispensings without treatment gaps.

The indication is not recorded in either data source but was derived from diagnoses and procedures dated within 30 days before and 30 days after the prescription dispensing date.

In the Netherlands, cataract surgeries were identified from procedures in hospital discharge records in the Hospitalisation Database in PHARMO. This database captures only admissions longer than 24 hrs and admissions less than 24 hrs for which a bed is required. As the ophthalmic procedures in this study were performed mainly during outpatient visits, the number of ophthalmic procedures is likely to be underestimated. In Denmark, cataract surgeries were identified from diagnostic and procedure codes in hospital discharge records (Schmidt et al. 2015) and from the DUSAS registry, which records procedures conducted by primary care specialists. During the conduct of this study, evidence of underrecording of cataract surgery in the Danish registries appeared (Solborg Bjerrum et al. 2013, 2015).

Sensitivity analyses implemented in both populations to address under-recording of cataract surgery included expanding the list of ophthalmic conditions for which nepafenac is not approved but for which physicians may have been providing prescriptions. Additionally, in PHARMO, we identified the presence of correspondence between general practitioners and ophthalmologists and expanded the interval in which the indication was sought to within 60 days before or after the start of the therapy episode, or 6 months before. In Denmark, we conducted subgroup analyses in patients whose surgeries were likely to be captured better in our data sources: the sicker patients (Charlson comorbidity score ≥ 3) and the elderly (age ≥ 80 years) (Solborg Bjerrum et al. 2013, 2015).

Because we did not have information on when patients stopped therapy, but we did have information on the number of dispensed bottles, we used the number of dispensed bottles as a proxy for duration of therapy for nepafenac. We assumed that one bottle of nepafenac (3 ml or 5 ml) represented treatment for up to 21 days and two bottles represented treatment for up to 60 days. Because the macular oedema indication for nepafenac was approved in late 2011, we looked at the number of bottles used in two periods, before June 2011 and after January 2012 (before approval of the second indication, the approved indication would require no more than one bottle per therapy episode). We also present information on duration of use in days based on physician instructions (sparingly available) or (more frequently) the maximum possible duration based on...
the approved dosing and the size of the bottle (e.g. one 3-ml bottle of nepafenac 3 mg/ml would last up to 60 days at 1 drop/day). As we do not have direct evidence that all dispensed medication was used, this is likely an overestimation of the treatment duration. Furthermore, the package leaflet recommends discarding any remaining content 4 weeks after opening the bottle to minimize the risk of infection (Alcon 2015).

Patient baseline characteristics and medication use were determined in the 6 months prior to cohort entry for nepafenac, ketorolac and diclofenac. We also determined drug use over time and concomitant use of other ophthalmic medications and selected systemic medications. Drug use in the Netherlands in 2013, quantified from 1 January to 31 October, was extrapolated to 31 December for graphic display.

The study and its protocol were registered in the EU PAS Register on 27 November 2013, prior to the start of data collection (http://www.encepp.eu/encepp/viewResource.htm?id=13363).

Results

The Netherlands

Participants and drug dispensing

The study cohort comprised 9530 nepafenac users with 1.3 therapy episodes per person, 5351 ketorolac users (1.4 episodes per person) and 4536 diclofenac users (1.3 episodes per person). While the number of episodes was similar for the three drugs in 2009, only dispensing of nepafenac continued increasing thereafter, with a slight decrease in the last year of the study period (Fig. 1). Of nepafenac users, 60% were women, 17% were diabetic and 39% were using antithrombotic agents (Table 1). The demographics, health characteristics and dispensing of ophthalmic and systemic drugs to users...

---

Table 1. Patient characteristics at baseline, the Netherlands (2008–2013) and Denmark (1994–2014)

| Variables                              | the Netherlands                       | Denmark                                      |
|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
|                                        | Users of nepafenac (n = 9530)         | Users of ketorolac (n = 5351)                |
|                                        | n (%)                                 | n (%)                                        |
|                                        | Users of diclofenac (n = 4536)        | Users of ketorolac (n = 54 185)              |
|                                        | n (%)                                 | n (%)                                        |
|                                        | Users of diclofenac (n = 131 440)     | Users of ketorolac (n = 131 440)             |
|                                        | n (%)                                 | n (%)                                        |
| **Age (years)**                        |                                       |                                               |
| ≤ 18                                   | 27 (0.3)                              | 24 (0.4)                                     |
| > 18                                   | 9503 (>99)                            | 5327 (>99)                                   |
| **Mean (SD)**                          | 71 (11)                               | 70 (13)                                      |
| **Female sex**                         | 5758 (60)                             | 3227 (60)                                    |
| **Systemic conditions**                |                                       |                                               |
| Diabetes mellitus*                     | 1608 (17)                             | 786 (15)                                     |
| Autoimmune disorders                  | 104 (1)                               | 60 (1)                                       |
| Bleeding disorders                     | 4 (<0.1)                              | 1 (<0.1)                                     |
| **Use of systemic medications**       |                                       |                                               |
| Antithrombotic agents                 | 3738 (39)                             | 1838 (34)                                    |
| NSAIDs                                 | 1463 (15)                             | 811 (15)                                     |
| Steroids                               | 814 (9)                               | 393 (7)                                      |
| **Charlson comorbidity score**         |                                       |                                               |
| 0                                      | –                                     | –                                            |
| 1–2                                    | –                                     | –                                            |
| ≥3                                     | –                                     | –                                            |

NSAIDs = non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; SD = standard deviation.

Medical conditions and drug use were ascertained in the 6 months prior to the index date.

* Based on dispensing records and/or diagnostic codes.

† The comorbidity analysis was included in Denmark after information regarding underrecording of cataract surgery performed in private hospitals became available, and that older and sicker patients were more likely to have surgery in public hospitals, where cataract surgery is recorded more reliably.
of ketorolac and diclofenac were very similar to those of nepafenac users (Table 1).

**Age**

The average age of users of nepafenac was 71 years at cohort entry. Of 12 691 nepafenac therapy episodes, 34 episodes (0.3%) were in persons aged 18 years or younger (Table 2). Similarly, few episodes of ketorolac or diclofenac dispensing were in children, less than 1% for both ketorolac and diclofenac.

**Cataract surgery, cataract diagnosis, other diagnoses**

Of the 12 657 nepafenac episodes in adults, 21% were within the 30 days before and 30 days after cataract surgery (24% within 60 days before and 60 days after the start of the therapy episode and 12% in the 6 months before the start of the episode) (Table 2). Of the 79% without a record of cataract surgery within the 30 days before and 30 days after cataract surgery and diabetes (two bottles were a proxy for the authorized duration ≤ 21 days). Up to two bottles were involved in 90% of the 441 episodes in adult patients with cataract surgery and diabetes (two bottles were a proxy for the authorized duration ≤ 60 days). Of 2013 therapy episodes with recorded cataract surgery in adults starting between 01 September 2008 and 30 June 2011 (when only the first indication had been approved), 56% were for one bottle (Table S1). Later, between 01 January 2012 and 31 October 2013 (when both indications had been approved), 78% of 626 therapy episodes in adults with recorded cataract surgery were for the authorized duration (one bottle in patients with cataract surgery and no diabetes, or up to two bottles in patients with recorded cataract surgery and diabetes). With some variation, the distributions of duration of use of ketorolac and diclofenac were not very different from that of nepafenac (Table 3). Ketorolac use in patients not undergoing cataract surgery tended to be longer than use of nepafenac or diclofenac.

**Concomitant medications**

The frequency of use of concomitant medications varied somewhat across drugs, with concomitant ophthalmic steroid use around 80% or higher in patients with recorded cataract surgery and lower in patients not undergoing cataract surgery (Table 4). In patients with ketorolac

---

**Table 2. Medical conditions associated with therapy episodes of Ophthalmic nepafenac, ketorolac and diclofenac in adults, the Netherlands (2008–2013) and Denmark (1994–2014)**

| Variables                                    | the Netherlands |                                            | Denmark                                      |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
|                                              | Nepafenac       | Keturolac                                   | Diclofenac                                   |
|                                              | Episodes        | Episodes                                    | Episodes                                     |
|                                              | (N = 12691)     | (N = 7540)                                  | (N = 5950)                                   |
|                                              | n (%)           | n (%)                                       | n (%)                                        |
| Patients aged > 18 years                     |                 |                                             |                                              |
| Number of episodes                           | 12 657 (<99)    | 7508 (<99)                                  | 9515 (<99)                                   |
| Cataract surgery                             | 2707 (21)       | 1437 (19)                                   | 916 (15)                                     |
| Refractive procedures                        | 1 (<0.1)        | 1 (<0.1)                                    | 0 (0)                                        |
| Ophthalmic conditions                        |                 |                                             |                                              |
| Cataract                                     | 4503 (36)       | 2606 (35)                                   | 2023 (34)                                    |
| Dry eyes/Sjögren syndrome                    | 8 (0.1)         | 5 (0.1)                                     | 8 (0.1)                                      |
| Uveitis/iritis                               | 13 (0.1)        | 4 (0.1)                                     | 5 (0.1)                                      |
| Ophthalmic manifestations of allergy         | 3 (<0.1)        | 4 (0.1)                                     | 14 (0.2)                                     |
| Ocular pain                                  | 7 (0.1)         | 4 (0.1)                                     | 8 (0.1)                                      |
| Macular oedema                               | 6 (<0.1)        | 2 (<0.1)                                    | 1 (<0.1)                                     |
| Vitreous-related disorders                   | 17 (0.1)        | 0 (0)                                       | 5 (0.1)                                      |
| Infectious conjunctivitis                    | 21 (0.2)        | 10 (0.1)                                    | 26 (0.4)                                     |
| Blepharitis/ste/re/chalazion                 | 21 (0.2)        | 8 (0.1)                                     | 5 (0.1)                                      |
| Eye infection/inflammation                   | 11 (0.1)        | 8 (0.1)                                     | 15 (0.3)                                     |
| Ophthalmic correspondence                    | 4763 (38)       | 2227 (30)                                   | 2172 (37)                                    |
| Episodes in patients aged > 18 years          |                 |                                             |                                              |
| with Charlson score ≥ 3                      |                 |                                             |                                              |
| Cataract surgery                             |                 |                                             |                                              |
| Episodes in patients aged ≥ 80 years          |                 |                                             |                                              |
| Cataract surgery                             |                 |                                             |                                              |

Data were ascertained within the 30 days before and 30 days after the start date of the therapy episode. In this table, results from the Netherlands only or from Denmark only represent database-specific sensitivity analyses.
prescriptions, concomitant dispensing of ophthalmic NSAIDs was high, around 65%.

**Denmark**

**Participants and drug dispensing**

The study cohort comprised 60 403 users of nepafenac (1.2 episodes per person), 54 185 users of ketorolac (1.9 episodes per person) and 131 440 users of diclofenac (1.4 episodes per person). Dispensing of nepafenac increased during the study period, while dispensing of ketorolac and diclofenac was approximately stable, with a mild increase towards the end of the study period (Fig. 2). Of nepafenac users, 58% were women; 12% had diabetes and 23% used antithrombotic agents (Table 1). The demographics, health characteristics and dispensing of ophthalmic and systemic drugs in users of ketorolac and diclofenac were similar to those in nepafenac users, although users of ketorolac and diclofenac seemed to be somewhat younger and had lower Charlson comorbidity scores (Table 1).

**Age**

The mean age of nepafenac users at cohort entry was 72 years. Of 73 648 nepafenac therapy episodes, 237 episodes (0.3%) were in persons aged 18 years or younger (Table 1). Few episodes of ketorolac or diclofenac dispensing occurred in children, 3% for ketorolac and 4% for diclofenac in Denmark.

**Cataract surgery, cataract diagnosis, other diagnoses**

Of the 73 411 nepafenac-use episodes in adults, 41% had cataract surgery (Table 2). Less than 1% each had refractive procedures or ophthalmic conditions within 30 days before and 30 days after the start date of the therapy episode (Table 2). For both ketorolac and diclofenac, dispensing associated with cataract surgery in adults was lower: 11% of ketorolac episodes and 10% of diclofenac episodes. Using an expanded list of ophthalmic conditions that might have triggered the dispensing of ophthalmic NSAIDs, 12% of nepafenac-, 12% of ketorolac- and 43% of diclofenac-use episodes in patients with no cataract surgery were associated with these conditions (Table 5). Among patients more likely to have better capture of cataract surgery, those aged 80 years or older and those with high comorbidity (Charlson comorbidity index score of 3 or more), 44% of nepafenac episodes were associated with cataract surgery (Table 2).

**Number of bottles**

Of 73 411 nepafenac-use episodes in adults, 4% involved 3-ml bottles and 96% of episodes involved 5-ml bottles (Table 3). Approximately 92% of the 26 649 nepafenac episodes in patients with cataract surgery and without diabetes involved one bottle; 99.8% of the

---

**Table 3. Number of bottles per therapy episode for ophthalmic nepafenac, ketorolac and diclofenac in adults, the Netherlands (2008–2013) and Denmark (1994–2014)**

|                           | the Netherlands |                                                   | Denmark |
|---------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------------------|---------|
|                           | Recorded cataract surgery | No cataract surgery | Recorded cataract surgery | No cataract surgery |
|                           | Diabetes n (%) | No diabetes n (%) | Diabetes n (%) | No diabetes n (%) | Diabetes n (%) | No diabetes n (%) |
| Number of episodes        | 441 | 2266 | 1735 | 8215 | 3801 | 26 649 | 4872 | 38 089 |
| Number of bottles (3 ml)* | 139 | 98 | 1023 | 98 | 222 | 95 | 1589 | 5 |
| Number of bottles (5 ml)  | 1260 | 1360 | 1212 | 6086 | 74 | 3319 | 91 | 23 416 | 91 |
| Drug supply duration     | 102 | (98) | 1360 | 60 | 1240 | 71 | 5924 | 72 |
| ≤21 days                  | 252 | 1363 | 6068 | 1217 | 15 | 1967 | 52 | 13 856 | 52 |
| >21–60 days               | 188 | 859 | 547 | 1963 | 21 | 1834 | 48 | 12 793 | 48 |
| Number of episodes        | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 30 | 4 | 0.2 | 30 | 203 | 4 |
| Duration                  | 0.1 | 6 | 0.5 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ≤21 days                  | 162 | 888 | 681 | 535 | 72 | 648 | 47 | 4845 | 51 |
| >21–60 days               | 58 | 321 | 688 | 427 | 24 | 737 | 53 | 4721 | 49 |
| Number of episodes        | 182 | 734 | 889 | 1110 | 1707 | 16178 | 8326 | 151 | 543 |
| Duration                  | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 20 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 20 | 168 | 4 |
| ≤21 days                  | 109 | 525 | 328 | 1584 | 39 | 992 | 58 | 9318 | 56 |
| >21–60 days               | 73 | 207 | 541 | 2358 | 57 | 523 | 31 | 4589 | 28 |
| Drug supply duration      | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 20 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 20 | 168 | 4 |
| ≤21 days                  | 109 | 525 | 328 | 1584 | 39 | 992 | 58 | 9318 | 56 |
| >21–60 days               | 73 | 207 | 541 | 2358 | 57 | 523 | 31 | 4589 | 28 |

* In the Netherlands, 3-ml bottles were used in only two episodes. For 86 episodes in Denmark, the size of the bottle was not recorded as 3 or 5 ml.
3801 episodes in patients with cataract surgery and diabetes involved up to two bottles. Of 7684 therapy episodes with recorded cataract surgery in adults when only the first indication had been approved, 81% were for one bottle (Table S1). Later, when both indications had been approved, 96% of 19 980 therapy episodes in adults with recorded cataract surgery were for the approved durations. The duration of ketorolac use in patients with cataract surgery was similar to that of nepafenac. In patients not undergoing cataract surgery, there was a tendency towards shorter treatments with ketorolac. Use of diclofenac in all patient groups tended to be shorter than use of nepafenac.

### Table 4. Concomitant medication use by recorded cataract surgery and diabetes for ophthalmic nepafenac, ketorolac and diclofenac therapy episodes, the Netherlands (2008–2013) and Denmark (1994–2014)

| Variables | the Netherlands | Denmark |
|-----------|----------------|---------|
|           | Recorded cataract surgery | No cataract surgery | Recorded cataract surgery | No cataract surgery |
| Diabetes  | No diabetes | Diabetes | No diabetes | Diabetes | No diabetes |
| n (%)     | n (%)       | n (%)    | n (%)       | n (%)    | n (%)       |
| Nepafenac |                       |          |              |          |             |
| Number of episodes | 441 | 2268 | 1735 | 8247 | 3801 | 26 659 | 4874 | 38 314 |
| Ophthalmic medications |              |          |              |          |             |
| Other NSAIDs* | 44 (10) | 196 (9) | 24 (1) | 133 (2) | 33 (0.9) | 194 (0.7) | 50 (1) | 513 (1) |
| Steroids   | 395 (90) | 2025 (89) | 1032 (59) | 4837 (59) | 2729 (72) | 19 221 (72) | 3282 (67) | 25 517 (67) |
| Prostaglandin analogues | 9 (2) | 50 (2) | 30 (2) | 223 (3) | 162 (4) | 1258 (5) | 203 (4) | 1801 (5) |
| Other ophthalmic medications | 113 (26) | 598 (26) | 774 (45) | 3597 (44) | 439 (12) | 3448 (13) | 778 (16) | 7048 (18) |
| Systemic medications |              |          |              |          |             |
| Antithrombotic agents | 155 (35) | 532 (23) | 592 (34) | 1590 (19) | 1591 (42) | 6007 (23) | 1980 (41) | 7447 (19) |
| NSAIDs     | 24 (5) | 148 (7) | 94 (5) | 520 (6) | 277 (7) | 1774 (7) | 405 (8) | 2517 (7) |
| Steroids   | 21 (5) | 93 (4) | 70 (4) | 337 (4) | 128 (3) | 967 (4) | 159 (3) | 1389 (4) |
| Ketorolac  |                       |          |              |          |             |
| Number of episodes | 222 | 1216 | 968 | 5134 | 1385 | 9573 | 5487 | 85 889 |
| Ophthalmic medications |              |          |              |          |             |
| Other NSAIDs* | 148 (67) | 793 (65) | 25 (3) | 114 (2) | 21 (2) | 149 (2) | 53 (1) | 663 (0.8) |
| Steroids   | 209 (94) | 1175 (97) | 193 (20) | 935 (18) | 1324 (96) | 8936 (93) | 1347 (25) | 15 938 (19) |
| Prostaglandin analogues | 10 (5) | 31 (3) | 22 (2) | 112 (2) | 39 (3) | 386 (4) | 230 (4) | 1949 (2) |
| Other ophthalmic medications | 174 (78) | 870 (72) | 454 (47) | 2637 (51) | 123 (9) | 1151 (12) | 1280 (23) | 23 151 (27) |
| Systemic medications |              |          |              |          |             |
| Antithrombotic agents | 68 (31) | 239 (20) | 362 (37) | 928 (18) | 536 (39) | 2030 (21) | 1957 (36) | 10 864 (13) |
| NSAIDs     | 13 (6) | 79 (6) | 57 (6) | 310 (6) | 96 (7) | 641 (7) | 682 (12) | 8384 (10) |
| Steroids   | 12 (5) | 47 (4) | 37 (4) | 170 (3) | 51 (4) | 343 (4) | 233 (4) | 3452 (4) |
| Diclofenac |                       |          |              |          |             |
| Number of episodes | 182 | 734 | 890 | 4144 | 1707 | 16 210 | 8351 | 158 093 |
| Ophthalmic medications |              |          |              |          |             |
| Other NSAIDs* | 1 (1) | 12 (2) | 37 (4) | 142 (3) | 20 (1) | 155 (1) | 67 (0.8) | 840 (0.5) |
| Steroids   | 152 (84) | 576 (78) | 527 (59) | 2202 (53) | 899 (53) | 8452 (52) | 2097 (25) | 37 402 (24) |
| Prostaglandin analogues | 11 (6) | 21 (3) | 31 (3) | 165 (4) | 50 (3) | 690 (4) | 377 (5) | 3177 (2) |
| Other ophthalmic medications | 22 (12) | 81 (11) | 301 (34) | 1565 (38) | 286 (17) | 2940 (18) | 3676 (44) | 82 373 (52) |
| Systemic medications |              |          |              |          |             |
| Antithrombotic agents | 65 (36) | 168 (23) | 304 (34) | 943 (23) | 596 (35) | 2936 (18) | 2505 (30) | 12 201 (8) |
| NSAIDs     | 8 (4) | 32 (4) | 38 (7) | 358 (9) | 157 (9) | 1323 (8) | 1034 (12) | 14 289 (9) |
| Steroids   | 12 (7) | 25 (3) | 36 (4) | 153 (4) | 76 (4) | 754 (5) | 327 (4) | 4510 (3) |

NSAIDs = non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs.

* The category other NSAIDs reflects all other NSAIDs except the one being reported.

Fig. 2. Episodes of Use of Nepafenac, Ketorolac and Diclofenac, Denmark
Table 5. Ophthalmologic conditions related to potential off-label use of nepafenac, ketorolac and diclofenac, Denmark, 1994–2014

| Condition                        | Nepafenac episodes | Ketorolac episodes | Diclofenac episodes |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
|                                  | (n = 73 648)       | (n = 102 334)      | (n = 184 361)       |
| No cataract surgery              | 43 188 (59)        | 91 376 (69)        | 164 444 (90)        |
| Cataract                         | 270 (6)            | 908 (1)            | 2550 (2)            |
| Keratitis                        | 143 (0.3)          | 305 (0.3)          | 2890 (2)            |
| Conjunctivitis                   | 2470 (6)           | 9284 (10)          | 64 454 (39)         |
| Foreign body                     | 63 (0.1)           | 166 (0.2)          | 4059 (2)            |
| Ocular trauma                    | 113 (0.3)          | 191 (0.2)          | 7693 (5)            |
| Hordeolum                        | (n < 3)            | (n < 3)            | 37 (<0.1)           |
| Chalazion                        | 3 (<0.1)           | 12 (<0.1)          | 42 (<0.1)           |
| Blepharitis                      | 8 (<0.1)           | 32 (<0.1)          | 515 (0.3)           |
| Welder’s eye                     | 24 (0.1)           | 147 (0.2)          | 7147 (4)            |
| Traumatic corneal lesion         | 90 (0.2)           | 36 (<0.1)          | 99 (0.1)            |
| Acute allergic conjunctivitis    | 7 (<0.1)           | 97 (0.1)           | 123 (0.1)           |
| Cystoid macular oedema           | 62 (0.1)           | 10 573 (12)        | 70 814 (43)         |
| Any of the above                 | 5235 (12)          | 10 573 (12)        | 70 814 (43)         |

These are results from a sensitivity analysis implemented in Denmark. Results from similar analyses in the Netherlands are presented in Table 3.

Concomitant use
Patterns of concomitant drug use varied somewhat for the three drugs (Table 4). Concomitant use of ophthalmic steroids was higher for patients with cataract surgery, but the difference was small in nepafenac users.

Discussion
This was a collaborative drug utilization study in two European countries to describe the use of nepafenac, with 9530 patients with one or more nepafenac dispensings in the Netherlands and 60 403 in Denmark. We observed increased nepafenac dispensing during the study period in both populations. Context is provided by similar analyses for ophthalmic preparations of ketorolac and diclofenac. Patterns of dispensing of the three drugs were roughly similar in the Netherlands, but not in Denmark.

There are three main aspects related to the indication for nepafenac: age (nepafenac is indicated in adults), presence of cataract surgery (nepafenac is indicated for use hrs before and several weeks after cataract surgery) and duration of treatment (up to 21 days in patients undergoing cataract surgery and up to 60 days after cataract surgery in patients with diabetes). Regarding age, 99.7% of nepafenac therapy episodes in the Netherlands and Denmark occurred in patients older than 18 years. Of the therapy episodes in adults, 21% in the Netherlands and 41% in Denmark had codes for cataract surgery. We believe this is an underestimate due to incomplete capture of cataract surgeries in the data sources in both countries. In the Netherlands, 60% of adult patients with recorded cataract surgery and no diabetes used one bottle per episode, and 90% of adult patients with recorded cataract surgery and diabetes used up to two bottles. In Denmark, duration of use was within the authorized limits for 92% of adult patients with recorded cataract surgery and no diabetes and for 99.8% of those with cataract surgery and diabetes. Using maximum days’ supply would likely overestimate unapproved product use (i.e. off-label use); however, using the number of bottles is potentially underestimating unapproved product use.

Since the initial development of nepafenac for ophthalmic use, there have been concerns that it may be prescribed for use beyond the approved indications. Indeed, through the years, reports that describe or recommend the use of nepafenac for a number of ophthalmic conditions and procedures have been published (Wilson et al. 2015; maintenance of mydriasis during ophthalmic surgery (Cervantes-Coste et al. 2009; Zanetti et al. 2012; Sarkar et al. 2015; Rodrigues et al. 2016) and treatment for diabetic macular oedema (Callanan & Williams 2008), cystoid macular oedema (Warren & Fox 2008), pain after photorefractive keratectomy (Caldwell & Reilly 2008; Faktorovich & Melwani 2014; ), recalcitrant macular degeneration (Chen et al. 2010; Libondi & Jonas 2010), macular oedema after epiretinal surgery (Schoenberger et al. 2011), pain and inflammation after vitrectomy surgery (Naithani et al. 2012), acute central choroiditis (Alkin et al. 2013), postoperative inflammation after small-gauge vitrectomy (Nagpal et al. 2014), ocular discomfort after vitreous injections (Ulrich 2014) and postoperative pain associated with pterygium surgery (Ozcimen et al. 2015). However, we did not find published studies describing the frequency of nepafenac use or dispensing for the approved indication or other conditions in routine health care, as we did in this study.

The main limitation of this study is the incompleteness of capture of cataract surgeries and other ophthalmic conditions in the data sources. In the Netherlands, the Hospitalisation Database in PHARMO would miss ambulatory procedures. As many of the ophthalmic procedures in this study are performed during outpatient visits, the number of ophthalmic procedures is likely to be underestimated. In Denmark, cataract surgeries conducted in public hospitals are recorded in the Danish National Patient Registry (Schmidt et al. 2015). In 2002, to shorten the wait for this surgery, cataract surgeries started being offered by private hospitals or clinics at government expense (Solborg Bjerrum et al. 2013). Reporting these surgeries to the Danish National Patient Registry has been voluntary since 2002 and mandatory since 2004 or 2006 (Solborg Bjerrum et al. 2013, 2015). However, during the course of this study, evidence surfaced that some surgeries occurring in the private setting were not systematically recorded in the Danish National Patient Registry. In a study of infectious endophthalmitis, a dreaded but rare complication of cataract surgery, the authors found that only 59% of those cases that by manual chart review were found to be related to cataract surgery had a record of surgery in the registry. It could be estimated that 48% of surgeries performed in hospitals were recorded, while this proportion was 38% for private clinics (Solborg Bjerrum et al. 2013). Older patients and patients with a high level of comorbidity were more likely to have their surgery performed in a hospital. Thus, sensitivity analyses were designed and implemented in both data sources to address this underrecording.
In the Netherlands, we observed that 57% of nepafenac episodes had an ophtalmic procedure, condition or correspondence within 1 month before or after the start of the episode. In Denmark, we found a larger percentage of therapy episodes with recorded cataract surgery in younger and healthier patients (with less underrecording for nepafenac than for ketorolac or diclofenac) or more use of the drugs unrelated to cataract surgery in younger and healthier patients. In the Netherlands, a national cataract registry exists. This registry, maintained by the ophthalmic society Nederlands Oogheelkundig Gezelschap, does not include detailed information on medication use and would therefore not be suitable for our study. We considered linking the PHARMO Database Network and the cataract registry. However, in 2011, only 50% of cataract surgeries were entered into this registry; linkage to this cataract registry would not have solved the limitation.

Another limitation is that we did not have information on whether prescriptions were written to cover treatment for one or two eyes. However, based on the observation that 86% of patients in the Netherlands had surgery in only one eye and that cataract surgeries in fellow eyes are separated by a few weeks in Denmark (Sølborg Bjerrum et al. 2015), we assumed that therapy episodes were for a single eye.

In conclusion, based on this evaluation of 12.691 nepafenac therapy episodes in the Netherlands and 73.648 in Denmark, we can confirm that practically all dispensing of nepafenac is in adults. In both populations, less than half of the therapy episodes occurred in patients with recorded cataract surgery; however, substantial under-recording of cataract surgery occurs in Denmark and is likely in the Netherlands. For this reason, our observed figures should be seen as the upper limit of the true number. Based on the dispensed number of bottles, the estimated duration of treatment is appropriate for the approved indication in 60% of adult patients without diabetes and 90% of adult patients with diabetes in the Netherlands, and in 92% and 99.8%, respectively, in Denmark. The underrecording of ophthalmic conditions in automated healthcare databases used in pharmacoepidemiology is higher than initially expected and challenges research in this important indication.
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