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Recently, an antihapten antibody bridge method was proposed for specific
labeling of cell surface antigens for electron microscopy (1). Hapten groups
are coupled to specific antibodies and to certain markers, which are linked at
the site of the designated cellular antigen with antihapten antibody. The idea
of a hapten-sandwich labeling technique had also occurred to us, and we have
developed a similar approach which can be applied simply to the amplification
of any immunoglobulin fraction used for detecting surface antigens, including
alloantigens. We report here hapten-sandwich labeling of Ig, thymus associ-
ated, or $H-2$ antigens on mouse lymphocytes, visualized by fluorescence and
transmission electron microscopy. The use of the same technique for specific
labeling of cells observed with scanning electron microscopy is reported in
another paper.

Our procedure succeeds in discriminating with high specificity between
different cellular antigens in double-labeling experiments, an application sug-
gested, but not realized, when the antihapten bridging method was projected
(1).

Materials and Methods

Antisera and Purified Antibodies.—Antibodies to the haptons $p$-azophenyl $\beta$-D-lactoside
(anti-lac)* and $p$-azophenyl arsonate (anti-ars) were isolated from pooled, high-titer antisera

* Supported by U. S. Public Health Service grant AI-06610 and National Science Founda-
tion grant GB-34328.

1 Nemanic, M. K., D. P. Carter, D. R. Pitelka, and L. Wofsy. 1974. Hapten-sandwich
labeling. II. Immunospecific attachment of cell surface markers suitable for scanning electron
microscopy. Manuscript submitted for publication.

Abbreviations used in this paper: anti-ars, $p$-azophenyl arsonate; anti-lac, $p$-azophenyl
$\beta$-D-lactoside; anti-MBr, rabbit antismouse brain; anti-Mlg, polyvalent antismouse IgG; BSA,
obvine serum albumin; fer, ferritin; Fl, fluorescein; KLH, keyhole limpet hemocyanin; LPS,
lipopolysaccharide; PHA-P, phytohemagglutinin P; Rh, rhodamine; SAS, saturated am-
monium sulfate; TMV, tobacco mosaic virus; VBS, Veronal-buffered saline.
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from rabbits hyperimmunized by standard procedures against hapten conjugates of keyhole limpet hemocyanin (KLH), prepared as previously described (2), and were purified by sepharose affinity chromatography (3). [125I]anti-lac antibodies were prepared by reaction with carrier-free [125I]iodide (New England Nuclear, Boston, Mass.) and chloramine-T (Eastman Organic Chemicals, Rochester, N. Y.) (4).

We obtained polyvalent antirabbit immunoglobulin (anti-MIg) from a goat which received two i.m. injections in complete Freund's adjuvant of 100 μg protein from a 50% saturated ammonium sulfate (SAS) cut of BALB/c serum. Goat antirabbit Ig was prepared in the same manner, and antigoat Ig was made in rabbits by a similar procedure.

Rabbit antirabbit brain (anti-MBr) was prepared essentially as described by Golub (5). It was then heat inactivated at 56°C for 30 min and absorbed at room temperature for 45 min with 1/2 vol of washed, packed mouse red cells. The specificity of the antiserum for T cells was established by its cytototoxicity, in the presence of complement, for various cell suspensions, its effect on the proliferative response of spleen cells to the mitogens phytohemagglutinin P (PHA-P) and lipopolysaccharide (LPS), and its effect on the in vitro antibody response to lac-KLH. At a final dilution range of 1:10-1:40, it killed 38% spleen cells, 70% lymph node cells and 98% thymocytes. This range also reduced the PHA induced [3H]thymidine incorporation by 95% without affecting the response to LPS. It was also shown to ablate the in vitro response to lac-KLH by selective killing of carrier T cells (6).

Anti-H-2K serum, contributed by Mr. D. Carter, Univ. of Calif., Berkeley, was prepared in BALB/c (H-2D) mice by seven i.p. injections, each of approximately 10^5 lymphocytes obtained from CBA spleens and mesenteric lymph nodes. Hapten modification of the anti-MIg, anti-MBr and the anti-H-2 antisera was done on the γ-globulin fractions which precipitated with 40% SAS.

Electron Microscope Markers.—KLH was obtained from giant keyhole limpets, Megathura crenulata (Pacific Bio-Marine Supply Co., Venice, Calif.) by described methods (7). Purified tobacco mosaic virus (TMV) was a gift from Dr. A. Knight, Univ. of Calif., Berkeley. Ferritin (fer), six times crystallized, was purchased from Miles Research, Kankakee, Ill. and purified by the procedure of Nicolson and Singer (8).

Before the markers were modified with hapten-coupling reagents, they were treated at concentrations of 5 mg/ml for 2 h with 0.1% glutaraldehyde (EM grade, 8% solution stored under N2) in 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 6.8. Reactions were terminated in 0.1% 2-aminoethanol. The preparations were dialyzed against 0.25 M borate buffer, pH 8.6, overnight at 4°C, and subsequently against the Veronal-buffered saline, pH 7.2, (VBS) used for staining.

Hapten Modification of Immunoglobulins and Markers.—Various levels of ars modification of [125I]anti-lac antibody were tested to determine how much azocoupling could be achieved with preservation of antibody binding to a lac-sepharose column (3). The [125I]anti-lac preparation, in portions of 0.6 ml borate buffer, 0.25 M, pH 8.5, containing 3 mg protein, was reacted with β-diazoninium phenyl arsonate at concentrations of 5 × 10^-4 M, 2.5 × 10^-4 M, 1.25 × 10^-4 M, and 7.5 × 10^-5 M overnight at 4°C with gentle tumbling. The reactions were quenched by adding 4 mg of bovine serum albumin (BSA) and the samples were then passed over a 2 ml lac-sepharose column to test their binding activity. All subsequent coupling of either lae or ars to both immunoglobulins and markers was done overnight at 4°C with 4 × 10^-4 M diazonium reagents and protein concentrations of 3 mg/ml. The markers KLH, TMV, and fer, were separated from free hapten by chromatography on Bio-Gel A-1.5 M columns (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Richmond, Calif.). All preparations were finally dialyzed against VBS, pH 7.2. The modified immunoglobulin preparations were kept frozen till use, and markers were kept at 4°C in 10^-2 M sodium azide.

[3H]diazonium phenyl β-lactoside, prepared as previously described (9), was added to cold reagent and reacted with rabbit gamma globulin (Pentex Biochemical, Kankakee, Ill.) to determine the number of lac-hapten groups coupled under standard reaction conditions, 4 × 10^-4 M reagent and 2 × 10^-2 M Ig, overnight at 4°C. The reaction was terminated by pre-
cipitation of Ig in 50% SAS. Lac-azocoupled rabbit Ig was added as cold carrier, and the
protein was purified by passage through G-50 Sephadex in 0.1 M acetate, pH 7.0.
Specific activity of the tritiated lac-diazonium reagent was determined by characterization
of the mono-azo resorcinol derivative (lac-resorcinol), prepared by reaction of the diazonium
in > 500 times molar resorcinol excess in 0.1 M acetate at pH 4.5.
Lac-resorcinol was purified by repeated precipitation at pH 2, and the extinction
determined by reference to the lac-mono-azo derivative of N-acetylhistidine by procedures
previously reported (10). \( \varepsilon_{490} = 2.2 \times 10^4 \), \( \lambda_{\text{max}} = 380\text{nm} \) at pH 4.5. [\(^3\)H]lac-resorcinol was
purified by thin-layer chromatography on Silica-gel (Brinkmann Instruments, Inc., West-
bury, N.Y.) 0.25 mm, in 6:1:1 butanol-acetic-water (\( R_f = 0.5 \)) and in 1:1 acetone-ethyl acetate
(\( R_f = 0.5 \)).
Tritiated compounds were counted in a Nuclear-Chicago scintillation counter, (Des Plaines,
Ill.) ISO CAP-300; protein samples were counted in PPO-POPOP-toluene containing 5%
Bio-Solv (Beckman). Counter efficiency was determined by addition of an internal standard,
tritiated toluene (New England Nuclear). \(^{125}\)I samples were counted in a Nuclear-Chicago,
Automatic Gamma Well Counter.

**Cell Preparations.**—We used unimmunized BALB/c mice of both sexes, aged 6-12 wk. Cell
susensions were obtained by teasing in VBS, and passing through glass wool columns equil-
ibrated with VBS containing 0.05% of BSA (obtained as a 35% solution from Pentex Bio-
chemicals). Spleen lymphocytes were separated on a Ficoll-Hypaque gradient (11), then
washed twice in VBS containing 0.05% BSA. Thymocyte and lymph node suspensions were
obtained directly with two such washes of the glass wool column effluent. During all manipu-
lations the cells were kept at 4°C and in the presence of \( 10^{-2} \) M sodium azide, unless other-
wise indicated.

**Fluorescent Labeling of Cells.**—40% SAS cuts of the antibody preparations, anti-ars, anti-
lac, goat antirabbit Ig, and rabbit antigoat Ig were conjugated with fluorescein (Fl) or tetra-
methyl rhodamine (Rh) isothiocyanate by dialysis at 4°C for 20 h against the dye (12). Un-
reacted dye was removed from the fluorescent conjugates by passage over Sephadex G-25 and
fractionation on DEAE cellulose. The fluorescent antihapten antibodies were used in con-
jugation with the corresponding hapten-modified anti-MBr or anti-MIg to reveal the per-
centages of cells in thymus, spleen, and lymph node suspensions which bore T-associated or
Ig surface markers. Approximately 0.1 to 0.2 ml of the cell surface antibody, at a concentra-
tion of 3-5 mg/ml, was added to an equal volume of a cell suspension containing approxi-
mately \( 10^7 \) cells/ml VBS with 0.05% BSA and \( 10^{-2} \) M azide, and incubated at 4°C for 20
min. The cells were then washed in a BSA gradient consisting of equal volumes of 5% and
10% BSA in VBS with \( 10^{-2} \) M azide, followed by one wash in azide-containing VBS. The
subsequent labeling with the second layer of fluorescent antihapten antibody was done simi-
larly.

The fractions of MIg- or MBr-bearing cells found in lymphoid cell suspensions by this
labeling method were compared with those obtained by a conventional indirect method: un-
modified goat anti-MIg, followed by Rh-rabbit antigoat Ig; or unmodified rabbit anti-MBr,
followed by Fl-goat antirabbit Ig. Fluorescence was examined in a Leitz Orthoplan microscope
(E. Leitz, Inc., Rockleigh, N.J.) using a 150W Xenon lamp with two KP490 filters and one
K510 for Fl, and a 200W mercury lamp with S546, BG36, and K610 filters for Rh.

**Labeling for Electron Microscopy.**—The reagents required in electron microscope labeling
were applied sequentially with intervening washes similar to those used in the fluorescent
staining. The sequence of additions was lac-anti-MBr, anti-lac, lac-KLH, ars-anti-MIg, anti-
ar, and ars-fer. Lac-KLH and ars-fer were used at a concentration of 1 mg/ml protein rather
than at the concentration of 3-5 mg/ml protein which was used for the antibody layers. Con-
trol preparations were done by omitting the haptenate anti-cell surface antibody layer and,
in some cases, also the bridging layer of antihapten antibody. Unless indicated otherwise, cell
labeling was done at 4°C in the presence of \( 10^{-2} \) M azide. After labeling, the cells were fixed
for 10 min at room temperature in 1% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M cacodylate buffer, pH 7.35. They were then washed once with cacodylate buffer, and post-fixed for 15 min at room temperature with 1% OsO₄ in the same buffer. After centrifugation at 300 g, the cell pellet was embedded in 2% agarose in 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.30. This gel was then cut into two to four pieces, dehydrated, and embedded in epon. Sections of 400-500 Å in thickness, cut from experimental and control blocks, were stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate and examined on a Phillips 300 (Phillips Electronic Instruments, Mount Vernon, N.Y.) or an RCA EMU-3F electron microscope (RCA Electronic Components, Harrison, N.Y.). Untreated and glutaraldehyde-treated markers were examined by negative-stain techniques before and after hapten conjugation. The markers were allowed to settle on a carbon film-coated grid and were negative-stained with 1% sodium phosphotungstate solution.

RESULTS

Effect of Hapten-Coupling on Antibody-Binding Activity.—Table I shows the effect of reacting [125I]anti-lac antibody with various concentrations of ars-

| Molar ratio reagent: Ab | CPM unbound |
|------------------------|-------------|
| 0                      | 5           |
| 3                      | 12          |
| 5                      | 16          |
| 10                     | 24          |
| 19                     | 32          |

TABLE I

Effect of Diazonium Reaction on [125I]Anti-Lac Binding to Lac-Sepharose

diazonium reagent on specific adsorption to a lac-sepharose column. About 70% of the antibody which had been reacted at a molar ratio of 19:1, reagent to antibody, was retained by the column.

Using [3H]diazoniumphenyl β-lactoside, it was determined that reaction of 4 × 10⁻⁴ M reagent with 2 × 10⁻⁵ M rabbit gamma globulin resulted in coupling 5.3 lac groups/mol Ig. No determination was made on the extent of azocoupling with ars-diazonium reagent under the same conditions, although spectral observation showed no major difference in azo-absorbance between the lac- and ars-modified Ig conjugates.

Lac-anti-MBr, prepared by our standard procedure, was compared with unmodified anti-MBr in complement-mediated cytotoxicity against lymph node and thymic lymphocytes and was found to be 60% less effective (Fig. 1).

Fluorescent Labeling of Cells that bear M1g or MBr Surface Antigens.—Mouse spleen and thymus lymphocyte preparations were each treated with ars-anti-M1g and Lac-anti-MBr sera, followed by Rh-anti-ars and Fl-anti-lac antibodies. In each preparation, cells showed either rhodamine or fluorescein staining, in patchy rings; no significant fraction of doubly staining cells was observed. Table II shows the percentage of cells positive for MBr and M1g in one series of fluorescent assays.
Fig. 1. A comparison of the complement-dependent cytotoxicity of rabbit antimouse brain antibody before (anti-MBr, ●) and after lac-modification (lac-anti-MBr, △). Dead cells were scored by trypan blue uptake.

TABLE II

Fluorescent Labeling of Cells that Bind Hp-Coupled Antibodies

| Lymphocytes | anti-anti-MIg, Rh-anti-ars | lac-anti-MBr, Fl-anti-lac |
|-------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
|             | % Rh                     | % Fl                     |
| Spleen      | 60                       | 30                       |
| Thymus      | <2                       | >95                      |

In several experiments, the fraction of Ig-bearing lymphocytes in a spleen cell suspension was determined after labeling aliquots in two different ways: either as above, with ars-anti-MIg and Rh-anti-ars, or with unmodified anti-MIg and Rh-rabbit antigoat Ig. Both labeling procedures yielded the same fraction of rhodamine stained cells. Parallel experiments compared the labeling of thymus-associated antigens in spleen, lymph node, and thymus by two procedures: either with lac-anti-MBr and Fl-anti-lac, or with unmodified anti-MBr and Fl-goat antirabbit Ig. The results in Table III show that both procedures yielded Fl-staining in virtually all thymus cells; but small fractions of the splenic and lymph node T cells which were detected with the conventional indirect method were not scored as positive with the Fl-antihapten.
TABLE III

Fluorescent Labeling of MBr Bearing Cells by Two Procedures

| Lymphocytes   | lac-anti-MBr, Fl-anti-lac | anti-MBr, Fl-anti-rabbit Ig |
|---------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Spleen        | 32                        | 38                          |
| Thymus        | >95                       | >95                         |
| Lymph node    | 70                        | 85                          |

technique. While most T cells stained strongly with both procedures, somewhat lower fluorescence intensity was achieved with the Fl-anti-lac reagent.

In all assays where fluorescent antihapten antibody was used, controls showed that no significant staining occurred unless the cells were first treated with the appropriately hapten-coupled anti-MIg or anti-MBr reagent. The hapten-sandwich technique was also applied to fluorescent labeling of H-2 antigens. Lac-anti-H-2K, followed by Fl-anti-lac antibody, stained all cells in CBA spleen cell suspensions, but did not label BALB/c (H-2D) spleen cells.

**Electron Microscopic Visualization of Cell Surface Labeling.**—Fig. 2 shows electron micrographs of two preparations of ars-KLH, one of which was treated with glutaraldehyde before conjugation with hapten. The conjugate prepared without prefixing appears amorphous, whereas the other shows the discrete structures typical of KLH as a marker in electron microscopy. Stabilization of KLH and other markers with glutaraldehyde did not significantly increase the number of intermolecular aggregates. To verify that glutaraldehyde pre-treated hapten conjugates are suitable cell surface markers, we labeled aliquots of a spleen cell suspension with ars-anti-MIg and anti-ars antibody followed either by prefixed ars-KLH, ars-fer, or ars-TMV. Fig. 3 shows examples of cells labeled with the prefixed ars-KLH (a) and ars-TMV (b) markers; cells labeled with prefixed ars-fer are exhibited in Figs. 4 and 5.

In a series of experiments, mouse spleen, lymph node, or thymus preparations were labeled for electron microscopic visualization of both MIg and MBr surface antigens. Each cell suspension was treated with lac-anti-MBr, anti-lac antibody, and lac-KLH, as well as with ars-anti-MIg, anti-ars antibody, and ars-fer. Cells in each of the lymphoid populations showed either exclusive labeling with the MIg (ars-fer) marker, a predominance of the MBr (ars-KLH) marker, or the absence of either. Figs. 4-7 show lymphocytes from the double-labeling experiments. Fig. 4 shows a field of splenic lymphocytes containing one cell heavily labeled with the lac-KLH MBr marker, one exclusively with the ars-fer MIg marker, one completely unlabeled cell, and one with only a trace of ars-fer. (The identity of the markers on the labeled cells was ascertained at higher magnification.) Fig. 5 shows an MIg-bearing spleen lymphocyte which is labeled with ars-fer on or near the base of microvilli. Fig. 6 shows a capped thymus lymphocyte labeled with the lac-KLH MBr marker; in this experiment, labeled cells were incubated at room temperature for 20 min, without azide,
Fig. 2. Upper photo: an azocoupled ars-KLH preparation. Lower photo: an ars-KLH preparation azocoupled after stabilization of the KLH with glutaraldehyde (see Materials and Methods). The black line indicates 0.2 μ. × 69,000.

before glutaraldehyde fixation. Fig. 7 shows a lac-KLH labeled splenic T lymphocyte at high magnification. It can be seen that some ars-fer is interspersed in the lac-KLH aggregates. Most ars-fer-labeled cells, presumably B lymphocytes, exhibited virtually no lac-KLH, and unlabeled lymphocytes.
and reticulocytes were remarkably free of either marker. In contrast, cells with the lac-KLH marker usually showed some (10% or less) inclusion of ars-fer in the aggregates of attached label; exclusive areas of ars-fer labeling were not observed on these cells.

In double-labeling experiment controls, where the antihapten antibodies and conjugated markers were added without prior treatment with anti-cell surface antibodies, cells were always free of label.

DISCUSSION

By the hapten-sandwich method, cell surface antigens can be labeled specifically for visualization with fluorescence and electron microscopy. Our procedure uses azocoupling of haptens to modify both antibodies and markers, which can then be linked by the proper antihapten antibodies.

The simple azocoupling procedure permits the addition of amplifying hapten groups to immunoglobulins with a high degree of retention of antibody-binding activity (Table 1). Thus, the immunoglobulin fraction of any specific antiserum can be converted easily to a reagent that can be used conveniently and economically in an indirect (sandwich) labeling scheme. This method is particularly suited to labeling with alloantisera, which generally cannot be amplified by antibodies made in another species.

We have been able to overcome one limitation encountered in earlier attempts to use hapten-markers, namely, that chemical modification appears to
Fig. 4. Cells from a double-labeling experiment to identify MBr (lac-KLH) and MIg (ars-fer) antigens on mouse spleen lymphocytes. The cell in the upper right corner is extensively labeled with lac-KLH; the one at lower left is labeled with ars-fer; at lower right is a completely unlabeled cell section; there may be a trace of ars-fer on the cell at upper left. The identity of the markers was established at higher magnification.
FIG. 5. From a double-labeling experiment, a spleen lymphocyte labeled exclusively with the ars-fer M1g marker. The lower photo shows concentrations of label at the base of microvilli. Upper, $\times 20,000$; Lower, $\times 50,000$. 
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Fig. 6. From a double-labeling experiment, a thymocyte capped with the lac-KLH MBr marker. X 16,000.
disrupt some larger molecular weight markers that have multiple subunits (1). Mild glutaraldehyde treatment before conjugation with hapten protects the proposed marker (Fig. 2), while leaving tyrosine and histidine residues free for azocoupling. As a result, we have been successful in using hapten-modified hemocyanin (Fig. 3 a) and tobacco mosaic virus (Fig. 3 b) as markers not only for transmission, but also for scanning electron microscopy.\textsuperscript{1} The prefixing of markers before Hp-modification makes available a wide selection of distinct labeling combinations. This, in turn, makes feasible the simultaneous labeling of more than one surface antigen, which could not be done with the earlier antihapten bridge procedure (1).

The double-labeling experiments to detect cells with M1g or MBr antigens
have illustrated the high degree of specificity attainable with our hapten-sand-
wich procedures (Table II, Figs. 4–7). In populations of cells bearing immuno-
globulin or thymus-associated antigens, the appropriate Rh- and Fl-antihapten
antibodies clearly discriminated B from T cells. Similarly, examination of
lymphocyte sections in the electron microscope revealed that the hapten-
markers (ars-fer for MIg and lac-KLH for MBr) segregated on different cell
surfaces. Backgrounds of nonspecific labeling appear to be extremely low, since
most ars-fer-labeled cells showed virtually no lac-KLH, and some lymphocytes
and all reticulocytes were completely free of either marker. MBr bearing cells,
which labeled with lac-KLH, generally showed some of the ars-fer (MIg)
marker. This may not be due primarily to the presence of Ig on the T cells.
It may be that some ars-fer tends to adhere nonspecifically to cell surface-
bound lac-KLH, since the ars-fer was usually included in the lac-KLH patches
and appeared most often not to be directly attached to membrane. Controversy
about Ig on or in T cells is sufficiently complicated so that we hesitate to assess
the relevance of our results in this regard without further experiments, in-
cluding attempts to correlate labeling with a determination of whether the ob-
served Ig is intrinsic to the labeled cells (13).

The advantages of using readily prepared Hp-conjugated antibodies for
fluorescent labeling of alloantigens, as well as for electron microscopic visualiza-
tion of any cell surface antigen, are obvious. This method is clearly more effec-
tive for fluorescence visualization than direct staining with Fl-anti-cell surface
antibodies, where no more than 1–2 fluorescent groups can be attached without
significant inactivation of antibody. For example, detection of T cells by direct
staining with Fl-anti-θ is not practical (14). Table III shows, however, that the
Fl-anti-Hp labeling procedure is somewhat less sensitive than a conventional
indirect method using unmodified antimouse antibodies from one species and
amplifying with anti-Ig from another. Both procedures readily detected B cells
and both detected an equal number of MBr-bearing thymus cells. However, a
small fraction of the cells scored for MBr in lymph node and spleen by con-
tentional indirect staining escaped detection with the Fl-anti-Hp reagent
(Table III). A difference in labeling efficiency would be expected simply from a
difference in the amplification factor in the compared procedures: a maximum
of 5.3 with the Hp-modified antibody, whereas the effective antigenic valence
for unmodified Ig may be over ten (15). Of course, fewer Hp-modified anticell
surface antibodies must be bound to begin with, as indicated by somewhat re-
duced binding of ars-anti-lac to lac-sepharose (Table I) and diminished cyto-
toxic activity of lac-anti-MBr (Fig. 1).

Since a large variety of antibodies against azophenyl haptens can be pre-
pared and purified easily, the procedure described here lends considerable
versatility to the hapten-sandwich method. We believe it should be possible to
increase the sensitivity of the hapten-sandwich method still further by using
other reactions for hapten conjugation that permit maximal retention of anti-
body activity (e.g., amidination [16]). This is desirable not only to permit
detection of sparsely occurring antigens (T cells with little thymus-dependent
antigen may be of special interest), but to clarify whether certain antigens are
systematically associated on a lymphocyte surface. Active antibodies with many
attached hapten (or radioactive) determinants may also be very useful re-
agents in a range of biological studies.

SUMMARY

A hapten-sandwich procedure has been developed for specific labeling of cell
surface antigens for fluorescence or electron microscopy. Haptens are azo-
coupled to immunoglobulins specific for a cell surface antigen; the hapten-
modified cell-bound antibodies can then be visualized by adding fluorescent
antihapten antibody, or by adding antihapten antibody followed by hapten-
modified markers for electron microscopy. Virus or high molecular weight pro-
tein markers are lightly cross-linked before conjugation with hapten to prevent
their disruption. Such stable hapten-modified markers, and the accessibility of
many different purified anti-azophenyl-hapten antibodies, make it feasible to
distinguish more than one membrane antigen in a given labeling experiment.
When mouse lymphoid cell populations are labeled with separate markers for
Ig and for thymus-associated antigens, many cells exhibit the Ig marker ex-
clusively or the thymic marker predominantly, and some cells are completely
free of label.

We appreciate the truly exceptional technical assistance of Ms. S. Bartalsky.
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