Risk Factors of Ectopy of Peripherally Inserted Central Catheter in Obese Patients After Weight Reduction Surgery and Related Nursing Interventions
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Abstract: Objective We analyze risk factors of ectopy of peripherally inserted central catheter tip in obese patients after weight reduction surgery and explore effective nursing interventions. Methods We conducted a retrospective analysis of the general data, PICC data, and position of catheter tip of 190 obese patients who had PICC for weight reduction surgery in our hospital from May 2018 to June 2019. We used monofactor and multi-factor logistic regression analysis to investigate the risk factors of peripherally inserted central catheter tip misplacement in obese patients who underwent weight reduction surgery. Results We found 29 cases (15.3%) of ectopy of PICC tip among which 13 cases of misplaced tips were found in internal jugular vein, 5 cases in subclavian vein, 4 cases in brachiocephalic veins, 4 cases in right atrium and 3 cases in axillary vein. The monofactor analysis showed that height, weight, BMI of patients, the vein, arm circumference and experience of operators (how many cases of catheters the operators have inserted) (P<0.05) were the related risk factors of ectopy of PICC tip in obese patients who underwent weight reduction surgery. The multi-factor logistic regression analysis showed that BMI, arm circumference and experience of operators (how many cases of catheters the operators have inserted) (P<0.05) were the independent risk factors of ectopy of PICC tip. Conclusions Since BMI, arm circumference and the cases of catheters the operators have inserted are the factors that may cause ectopy of PICC tip, we advise the operators should have good experience of inserting catheters and the cases of catheters they have inserted should be≥100; Besides, the location of the vein should be determined by ultrasound B before inserting, the length of catheters should be measured by two nurses, and the ectopy of catheter tip can be prevented and corrected by using ultrasonic probe to press the internal jugular vein.
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1. Introduction

Obesity occurs when adipose tissue is accumulated too much and (or) distributed abnormally in the body and body weight is 20% higher than standard weight or BMI is over 24kg/m² [1, 2].

In recent years, minimally invasive weight reduction surgery is not only effective method for morbid obesity but also develops into a metabolic surgery which becomes a new treatment for refractory obesity combined with diabetes [3, 4]. The patients receiving weight reduction surgery are obese and have thick subcutaneous fats. Thus, their blood vessels are less visible and even the large vessels in the upper arm can be seen 2~3cm under the skin under the ultrasound B. Common venipuncture becomes a difficulty for nursing. However, in order for the safety of the patients in operation, construction of venous pathway is required. Because excessive accumulation of subcutaneous fats in the neck and chest causes higher risk of CVC guided by anatomical location, PICC is given prior to weight reduction operation for infusion during or after
2. Data and Methods

2.1. General Data

We retrospectively analyzed the data of 190 obese patients (92 males and 98 females aging from 12 to 68, with an average age of 30±10) who had PICC in weight reduction surgery in our hospital from May 2018 to June 2019. The patients’ weight ranges from 67.3 to 232kg with an average of 118.1±30.1kg, and the BMI from 28.5 to 77.5kg/m² with an average of 41.63±8.76kg/m², arm circumference from 27.5 to 54.5cm with an average of 37±4.8cm. The 29 patients (14 males and 15 females aging from 17 to 57) who had ectopy of catheter tip were assigned to the observation group and the other 161 patients (78 males and 84 females aging from 12 to 68) constituted the control group.

2.2. Methods

We collected the data including sex, age, height, eight, BMI, the inserted vein of the patients and cases of catheterization of operators. The catheters we used were Bard Access Systems, Per-Q-Cath™ Pius Catheter.

2.3. Statistical Methods

We adopted the statistical software SPSS21.0, using two independent sample \( \chi^2 \) to test enumeration data and two independent sample rank sum test Wilcoxon to analyze ranked data. We used multi-factor logistic regression analysis to deal with the independent risk factors of ectopy of PICC in obese patients who underwent weight reduction surgery. When \( P<0.05 \), there was statistical significance (\( \alpha=0.05 \)).

3. Results

3.1. Location Through Chest X-ray for PICC in 190 Obese Patients Receiving Weight Reduction Surgery

There were 29 (15.3%) cases of ectopy of catheter tip among which 13 cases of misplaced tips were found in internal jugular vein, 5 cases in subclavian vein, 4 cases in brachiocephalic veins, 4 cases in right atrium and 3 cases in axillary vein. The data are shown in the Table 1.

| Location of catheter tip | Observation group n=29 | Control group n=161 |
|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| Ectopy of catheter internal jugular vein | 13 (44.83) | 0 (0.00) |
| subclavian vein | 5 (17.24) | 0 (0.00) |
| brachiocephalic vein | 4 (13.79) | 0 (0.00) |
| right atrium | 4 (13.79) | 0 (0.00) |
| axillary vein | 3 (10.34) | 0 (0.00) |
| Right position of catheter | Lower 1/3 of superior vena cava (T5-T7) | 0 (0.00) | 161 (100) |

3.2. Variable Assignment Table of Regression Analysis

We used monofactor analysis and multi-factor logistic regression analysis to analyze factors associated with ectopy of catheter tip. The variable assignment of regression analysis is shown in the following Table 2.

| Variables | Assigned value |
|-----------|----------------|
| Sex | Female→0, Male→1 |
| Age | \( \leq 60→0, >60→1 \) |
| Height | \( \leq 170cm→0, >170cm→1 \) |
| Weight | \( \leq 100kg→0, >100kg→1 \) |
| BMI | \( 28\leq BMI<30→0, 30\leq BMI<40→1, BMI\geq 40→2 \) |
| Arm circumference | \( \leq 30cm→0, >30cm→1 \) |
| Inserted vein | Left basilic vein→0, Right basilic vein→1, left brachial vein→2, Left median cubital vein→3 |
| Cases of inserting catheters | \( \leq 100\) cases→0, \( >100\) cases→1 |

3.3. Monofactor Analysis of Ectopy of PICC in Obese Patients Having Received Weight Reduction Surgery

The monofactor analysis found that the weight, BMI, arm circumference of the patients, inserted vein and cases of inserting...
catheters were the related factors of ectopy of PICC in obese patients having received weight reduction surgery (P<0.05) as shown in the Table 3.

| Variables                      | Observation group (n=29) | Control group (n=161) | Statistics | P      |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|------------|--------|
| Sex                            |                          |                       |            |        |
| Male                           | 14 (48.28)               | 83 (51.85)            |            | 0.000< |
| Female                         | 15 (51.72)               | 83 (51.85)            |            | 0.986  |
| Age                            |                          |                       |            |        |
| ≤60                            | 27 (93.10)               | 157 (97.52)           |            | 3.812< |
| >60                            | 2 (6.90)                 | 4 (2.48)              |            | 0.051  |
| Height                         |                          |                       |            |        |
| ≤170cm                         | 21 (72.41)               | 98 (60.87)            |            | 1.399< |
| >170cm                         | 8 (27.59)                | 63 (39.13)            |            | 0.237  |
| Weight                         |                          |                       |            |        |
| ≤100kg                         | 10 (34.48)               | 63 (39.13)            |            | 6.911< |
| >100kg                         | 19 (65.52)               | 98 (60.87)            |            | 0.009  |
| BMI                            |                          |                       |            |        |
| I in obesity 28-30             | 1 (3.45)                 | 6 (3.73)              |            | -3.332<|
| II in obesity 30-40            | 5 (17.24)                | 80 (49.69)            |            | 0.001  |
| III in obesity ≥40            | 23 (79.31)               | 75 (46.58)            |            |        |
| Arm circumference              |                          |                       |            |        |
| ≤30cm                          | 0                       | 13 (8.07)             |            | 12.628<|
| >30cm                          | 29 (100)                 | 148 (91.93)           |            | 0.002  |
| Inserted vein                  |                          |                       |            |        |
| Left basilic vein              | 22 (75.86)               | 149 (92.55)           |            | -2.745*|
| Right basilic vein             | 3 (10.34)                | 6 (3.73)              |            | 0.006  |
| Left brachial vein             | 2 (6.90)                 | 3 (1.86)              |            |        |
| Left median cubital vein       | 2 (6.90)                 | 3 (1.86)              |            |        |
| Cases of inserting catheters   |                          |                       |            |        |
| ≤100 cases                     | 18 (62.07)               | 51 (31.68)            |            | 23.448# |
| >100 cases                     | 11 (37.93)               | 110 (68.32)           |            | 0.002  |

Note: # means χ² value, * means u value.

3.4. Multi-factor Logistic Regression Analysis of Independent Risk Factors of Ectopy of PICC in Obese Patients Having Received Weight Reduction Surgery

The multi-factor logistic regression analysis found that BMI, arm circumference of the patients and cases of inserting catheters of the operators were the independent risk factors of ectopy of PICC in obese patients having received weight reduction surgery as shown in the following Table 4.

| Variables                      | β     | S. E  | P      | OR    | 95% CI          |
|--------------------------------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-----------------|
| BMI                            | 1.878 | 0.549 | 0.006  | 6.539 | (2.229, 19.188) |
| Arm circumference              | -0.954| 0.448 | 0.034  | 0.385 | (0.160, 0.928)  |
| Cases of inserting catheters   | -2.161| 0.523 | 0.000  | 0.115 | (0.041, 0.321)  |

4. Discussion

Obesity is associated with diabetes, high pressure, coronary heart disease, sleep apnea and many other health, social and psychological problems which threaten human health [6]. Weight reduction surgery is the longest effective treatment for obesity and its complications so far [7-9]. PICC is an important pathway for the protection of blood vessels, intraoperative treatment and postoperative infusion in weight reduction surgery for obese patients. However, PICC-related complications such as ectopy of catheter tip, mechanical phlebitis and venous thrombosis are severe problems. Among them, the ectopy of catheter tip is the most common and affects the effect of PICC. The current analysis finds 29 cases of ectopy of PICC catheter tip in 190 obese patients, accounting for 15.26%. Monofactor analysis shows that weight, BMI, arm circumference of the patients, inserted vein and cases of catheters the operators have inserted are the related risk factors of ectopy of PICC catheter tip in obese patients who have received weight reduction surgery. The multi-factor logistic regression analysis shows that BMI, arm circumference of the patients and cases of catheters the operators have inserted are the independent risk factors of ectopy of PICC catheter tip.

4.1. Analysis of Association Between Independent Risk Factors and Ectopy of PICC Catheter Tip

4.1.1. BMI

Among the 29 cases of ectopy of PICC tip, the cases of BMI over 30kg/m² account for 96.55%. In the neck, chest and armpit of 21 patients, the subcutaneous fats are abnormally
accumulated which presses the local blood vessels, leading to deformation and narrowing of blood vessels. As a result, it is difficult to insert catheters to the vessels resulting 5 cases of catheter misplaced in subclavian vein, 3 cases in axillary vein and 13 cases in internal jugular vein. Because it is hard to turn the head towards the puncture side and touch the shoulder, internal jugular vein cannot be compressed causing the ectopy of catheter tip.

4.1.2. Arm Circumference

The arm circumference of 29 patients in the observation group are over 30cm and their subcutaneous fats thicken. Only the large vessels 2-3cm under the skin can be seen under the guidance of the ultrasound. The thickness of subcutaneous fats is hardly considered when predicting the length of catheter prior to catheterization. As a result, the catheter cannot be sent to its right position. In the observation group, 4 cases of catheter tips only reach brachiocephalic vein.

4.1.3. Cases of Catheters Operators Have Inserted

Research [10] shows that the operator inserting the catheters should have inserted at least 10 cases of catheters independently and no catheterization-related adverse events have occurred. The success rate of PICC catheterization depends on the operators’ skill and experience [11]. As we all know, PICC catheterization is an invasive operation and has unpredictable risks [12, 13]. Hence, identification and measures of the risks are positively correlated with the cases of catheters the operators have inserted. Among the 29 cases of ectopy of catheters in the observation group, 18 cases (62.07%) of catheters are inserted by operators who have inserted catheters less than 100 cases before.

4.2. Nursing Strategies

4.2.1. A New Method to Determine the Length of Catheters

In this study, logistic regression analysis shows that arm circumference is an independent risk factor of ectopy of the PICC catheter tip. The length of the inserted catheter needs to be measured before PICC catheterization. The method is to measure the distance from the puncture point to the right sternoclavicular joint and then back to the third intercostal space at the right edge of the sternum. Because of the thick subcutaneous fat in obese patients, there are two difficulties in determining the length of the catheter. One is the inaccurate anatomical positioning: the third intercostal space is not obvious in obese patients, so it is difficult to determine its location. Estimated location results in a much longer catheter. In the observation group, 4 cases of catheter tips are inserted into the right atrium. The other difficulty is that the third intercostal space can be detected but the length of the catheter determined by the location of the third intercostal space is shorter than the actually inserted length [14]. In summary, when determining the length of catheter for obese patients, the thickness of the subcutaneous fat between the puncture point and the puncture vessels plus 5cm is equivalent to the length from the sternoclavicular joint to the third intercostal space at the right edge of the sternum. Among the 148 patients in the control group whose arm circumference are more than 30cm, the length of the inserted catheter is determined in this way, and the catheter tip can reach the right position.

4.2.2. Reducing Resistance to Catheter Insertion Via Change of Upper Limb Position

The patients with BMI over 30kg/m² in the observation group account for more than 96.55%. Excessive accumulation of subcutaneous fats in the neck, chest, axilla, etc., compress local blood vessels, causing deformation and narrowing of the patient's blood vessels. Anatomically, changes in the position of the shoulder affect the condition of the subclavian vein. The patients need to change the position of their upper limbs during different stages of insertion so that the catheters can be successfully inserted into the right location. In the control group, when the catheter tip is at the head of the subclavian vein under the ultrasound B scan, the patients need to move their limbs 5cm up towards head from abduction at 90 degrees and the catheter is inserted 3cm deeper, and then the patients should be assisted to adduct their upper limbs 10 cm closer towards their legs in order to reduce the pressure of the subcutaneous fats in the chest on blood vessels so that the catheter can pass through the subclavian vein. In the control group, among patients with a BMI ≥40kg/m² there are less ectopic catheter tips than those in the observation group.

4.2.3. Cases of Catheters Operators Have Inserted

The success rate of PICC puncture depends on the operator's skills and proficiency. The cases of catheters an operator has inserted and his/her skill are proportional to the occurrence of risks during the catheterization [12, 15-17]. In this study, operators who have inserted more than 100 cases of catheters have less ectopy of catheter tip in their catheterization for obese patients. In the observation group, 62.07% of ectopic catheter tips are done by operators who have inserted catheters less than 100 cases and the other 37.93% of the ectopic catheters are performed by operators who have inserted more than 100 cases of catheters. Therefore, we suggest that the skill and experience of operators should be taken into consideration (More than 100 cases are appropriate) when performing PICC catheterization in obese patients.

5. Conclusions

In summary, when operators have the experience of inserting over 100 cases of catheters and can apply their puncture skill and techniques to overcome difficulties and eliminate risks during the catheterization for obese patient, the length of the catheter is determined by two people via the above new method, and the patients have received instructions on changes of limb position during catheterization to reduce the difficulty of inserting the catheter due to the compression of the blood vessels by accumulation of subcutaneous fats, the occurrence of ectopy of PICC catheter tip can be reduced. Accordingly, intraoperative and postoperative infusion of obese patients can be ensured. Therefore, these nursing strategies are worthy of clinical application.
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