Introduction

Delirium is an acute medical emergency, with psychiatric manifestations, which is seen across different treatment settings, with higher prevalence in intensive care units (ICUs) and palliative care setting. It is characterized by poor attention, disturbances in other cognitive functions, motoric alteration, disturbance in sleep, and psychotic symptoms. The prevalence of delirium varies across different settings, with rates as high as 80% among patients admitted in intensive care units (ICUs) and palliative care setting.\(^1\) From an organ failure point of view, delirium represents acute brain failure, occurring due to various causes.\(^2\) The various contributing factors for delirium can be broadly categorized as risk or predisposing and the precipitating or etiological factors.\(^4\) It is the combination of the risk and the precipitating factors which determine the manifestation of delirium.\(^5\) Patients, who are at high risk, may require minor precipitating factors for the development of delirium, whereas those who have lower risk factors may require more or severe precipitating factors.
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for the development of delirium.\textsuperscript{5} As a disorder, delirium is associated with significant negative consequences for the patients and their families in terms of increased mortality, longer duration of ICU and inpatient stay, higher treatment cost, long-term cognitive deficits, a higher risk of developing dementia, and high level of distress to the patients and caregivers.\textsuperscript{6}  

Although it is seen across all the medical-surgical settings, it is often underrecognized and undertreated. Some of the developed countries have recognized the importance of early identification and prevention of delirium in various treatment settings.\textsuperscript{7,8} Many authors have developed bedside assessment instruments to detect delirium at the earliest and have also developed various intervention packages, such as the Hospital Elder Life Program (HELP)\textsuperscript{9} and Assess, Prevent, and Manage Pain, Both Spontaneous Awakening Trials (SAT) and Spontaneous Breathing Trials (SBT); choice of analgesia and sedation; and the Delirium: Assess, Prevent, and Manage Early mobility and Exercise, and Family engagement and empowerment (ABCDEF)\textsuperscript{10} bundle for early detection, prevention, and management of delirium.

Considering its ubiquitousness across all clinical specialties and underrecognition, it is important to understand awareness about delirium. One of the ways to address the issue is to look at the research outcome on the topic. Till today, there is no systematic review focusing on the research output on delirium from India. Evaluating the research output can help in understanding the current level of research and deficits in research. This can help in planning future research on the topic. Accordingly, this systematic review attempted to evaluate the research on delirium from India.

**Methodology**

For this systematic review, literature was searched in various search engines, that is, PubMed and Google Scholar, by using the following terms: “delirium,” “delirious,” “delirium tremens,” “India,” and “organic brain syndrome” in different combinations in December 2019. No filters were used. Additional searches were performed by doing hand searches of the reference list of the published articles to identify more articles. Additionally, the table of content of online issues of various psychiatric and anesthesia journals (irrespective of the indexing status) published from India, were specifically searched for studies focusing on delirium.

To be included in the review, the published papers were required to have the words “delirium,” “delirious,” or “delirium tremens” in the title. However, if the studies did not include these terms in the title but the primary focus of the study was delirium, then these papers were included in the review. Studies describing the psychiatric referral pattern, but which did not focus on the delirium, but in general described the prevalence of delirium in psychiatric referrals, were excluded. Data published only as abstracts of the national conferences were also excluded.

Internet and hand searches yielded 305 articles. \textsuperscript{\scriptsize{\textcopyright} Fig. 1} shows the selection of the articles. Full manuscripts of these articles were reviewed by D.D. and S.K. Out of these articles, 151 had the terms “delirium,” “delirious,” “delirium tremens” in the title and these were included for the review (\textsuperscript{\scriptsize{\textcopyright} Fig. 2}). Additionally, 14 articles were included for the review, although these did not have these terms in the title but had delirium as one of the major outcome parameters. A majority (\(n = 144; 87.3\%\)) of these articles were available in the PubMed search (\textsuperscript{\scriptsize{\textcopyright} Fig. 2}).

**Results**

Out of the 165 articles, it was seen that the majority (85.5\%, \(n = 141\)) of the papers were published in the last one decade (2011–2019), and this was followed by 23 (13.9\%) articles published during the year 2001 to 2010. There was only one article (0.6\%) on delirium before 2001, arising from India. Again, in the years 2011 to 2019, there were at least 10 articles published every year (\textsuperscript{\scriptsize{\textcopyright} Fig. 3}). A majority (87.3\%) of the articles were published in the PubMed indexed journals and about one-third (31.5\%) of these articles had nonpsychiatrist as an author. In terms of the type of papers, majority of the papers were original articles (\(n = 81\), one of which was in the form of a letter to the editor),\textsuperscript{11-91} and these were followed by, case reports (\(n = 58\)),\textsuperscript{92-146} review articles (\(n = 10\)),\textsuperscript{4,150-158} letter to the editor (not as case reports but as a communication; \(n = 13\)),\textsuperscript{81,159-170} editorials (\(n = 2\)),\textsuperscript{171,172} and one clinical practice guideline.\textsuperscript{173} In terms of the institutes, most (37.6\%, \(n = 62\)) of the articles were from the Postgraduate Institute of Medical Education and Research (PGIMER), Chandigarh, and this was followed by National Institute of Mental Health and Neurosciences (NIMHANS), Bengaluru (\(n = 6\)).

In terms of the original paper, out of the 81 papers, a majority (\(n = 50; 61.7\%)\) of these were published in journals published from outside India. About two-fifths (\(n = 33; 40.7\%)\) of the original articles had nonpsychiatrists as an author and about one-fourth (\(n = 19; 23.5\%)\) of these studies focused on ICU patients or ICU health care workers.

As across the globe, the prevalence and incidence of delirium, in studies from India have also varied depending on the study setting (\textsuperscript{\scriptsize{\textcopyright} Table 1}).\textsuperscript{11-36} Majority of the studies have assessed the incidence and prevalence of delirium have relied on the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, fourth edition (DSM-IV)/DSM-IV text revision (TR) criteria.\textsuperscript{15,17,19,20,23,27,29-31} However, some of the studies have used confusion assessment method (CAM)/CAM-ICU.\textsuperscript{13,14,16,18,33,34} The prevalence rates in various ICUs have varied from 16.1 to 68.2\%.\textsuperscript{15-17,19,20,23} Similarly, the incidence of delirium in various ICUs ranges from 8 to 59.6\%.\textsuperscript{15,17,22} One of the studies evaluated delirium in general medical inpatients and reported the prevalence rate to be 19\%,\textsuperscript{11} whereas another study that focused on postoperative patients reported a prevalence rate of 4\%.\textsuperscript{32} One study reported a prevalence of delirium in referrals of consultation liaison psychiatry (CLP) setting and estimated the prevalence to be 33.96\%.\textsuperscript{12} The same study extrapolated the prevalence to all the inpatients and estimated the prevalence to be 0.44\%.\textsuperscript{12} However, this could be an
underestimation, as many patients who develop delirium are not referred to the CLP services.

Studies have also reported the inpatient mortality rates for patients with delirium and the same has varied from 6.6 to 30.7%. However, only occasional studies have compared the mortality data with all the inpatients, who did not develop delirium. One of the studies reported that the inpatient mortality rate for patients with delirium was 12.1% and was higher compared with those patients who were not referred to the CLP services for delirium. Occasional studies have reported long-term mortality in patients of delirium, after 1 to 6 months of inpatient care and the rates have varied from 15.9 to 34.6%.

A total of 23 studies have evaluated the symptom profile of delirium and most of these studies have relied on the Delirium Rating Scale Revised-1998 (DRS-R-98). The majority of these studies have focused on patients...
seen by CLP services, with occasional studies focusing on ICU patients. Some of the studies have also specifically focused on children, elderly, and those with alcohol-withdrawal delirium (AWD). In general, these studies suggest that disturbance in attention, disorientation, and other cognitive functions are present in a majority of the patients. In terms of specific items of DRS-R-98, studies that have been done in CLP setting, in general, report higher frequency of motor agitation, whereas studies in ICUs suggest a higher frequency of motor retardation. The studies which have compared people of different age groups suggest that there are minor variation in the symptom profile of delirium in children, adult, and elderly.

Many studies have evaluated the factor structure of the symptom profile of delirium. As with the symptom profile, most of these studies have again relied on DRS-R-98, with some of the studies using the Memorial Delirium Assessment Scale (MDAS) and Intensive Care Delirium Screening Checklist ICDS scales. One study combined items of DRS-R98, CSE, and MDAS. Most of these studies have consistently recognized a three-factor solution, with some differences in the distribution of symptoms across different factors. In the majority of these studies, the cognitive symptoms load on one factor, the motoric and psychotic symptoms load on the same factor, and the third factor consists of language and thought process abnormalities. The motoric- and psychotic-symptom factors more consistently load on to the same factor across different studies. One study which compared the patients of pure alcohol withdrawal and those with alcohol withdrawal along with some other etiologies contributing to delirium reported subtle differences in the factor structure between the two groups.

Studies have also evaluated motoric subtypes of delirium and have come up with different prevalence rates, which are mostly influenced by the study setting and the assessment scales. Most of the studies have relied upon the Delirium Motor Symptom Scale (DMSS)/amended DMSS. The prevalence rate of hypoactive delirium in ICUs has varied from 26 to 65%, for mixed subtype, ranges from 10.2 to 29%, and for hyperactive subtype, ranges from 15.7 to 56.8%. The prevalence rate of hyperactive delirium is significantly more in studies evaluating the patients in CLP services setting and medical-surgical wards with prevalence varying from 25 to 70% and the prevalence of hypoactive delirium range from 7.3 to 65%. Occasional studies have reported no subtype for approximately 5% of cases.

Studies Evaluating other Aspects of Delirium in ICU Patients

Besides focusing on the incidence, prevalence, symptom profile, factor structure, and mortality in patients with delirium, studies involving ICU patients have also evaluated the risk factors and have validated scales such as ICDSC, MDAS, and CAM-ICU. These studies suggest that these scales have good psychometric properties in ICU patients for detecting delirium. One study which compared CAM-ICU and ICDSC suggests that compared with ICDSC, CAM-ICU has higher sensitivity and diagnostic odds ratio (84%, 86.1). One of the studies evaluated the incidence and prevalence of delirium in patients admitted to ICU and compared these figures with the psychiatric referral rates and reported a wide gap in the actual incidence and prevalence of delirium in ICU patients and those referred to psychiatry CLP services. Studies that have evaluated the risk factors, have, in general, reported mechanical ventilation to be an important risk factor for delirium. Some of these studies also suggest that compared with those who do not develop delirium, those with delirium have higher mortality rate and significantly longer duration of ICU stay.

Studies Focusing on Patients with Alcohol-Withdrawal Delirium

Five studies have primarily focused on patients with AWD. One study evaluated the service model for patients with AWD and reported that compared with...
## Table 1  Prevalence and incidence of delirium

| Study (year) | Treatment setting | Diagnostic system used | Sample size | Prevalence | Incidence | Inpatient mortality | Mortality at follow-up |
|--------------|-------------------|------------------------|-------------|------------|-----------|---------------------|------------------------|
| Inpatient setting | | | | | | |
| Khurana et al (2002) | General medical inpatients | ICD-10 | 100, elderly | 19% | 8% | | |
| Grover et al (2009) | Inpatient | ICD-10 | 238,777, 3,092<sup>a</sup> | 0.44% | 33.96%<sup>a</sup> | 6.6%<sup>a</sup> | |
| Rai et al (2014)<sup>13</sup> | Neurology inpatient | CAM | 52 | | | | | 34.6% (1–3 months of F/U) |
| Khurana et al (2011)<sup>14</sup> | Inpatient, elderly | CAM | 400 | 27.47% | | 14.75% | |
| ICU setting | | | | | | |
| Grover et al (2018)<sup>15</sup> | ICU | DSM-IVTR | 66 | 68.2% | 59.6% | | |
| Kumar et al (2016)<sup>16</sup> | ICU | CAM-ICU | 31 | 16.1% | | – | – |
| Grover et al (2014)<sup>17</sup> | CCU | DSM-IVTR | 152 elderly | 24.34% | 13.4% | 19.2% | |
| Jayaswal et al (2019)<sup>18</sup> | Medical ICU | CAM-ICU RASS | 280 | 31.4% | 9.9% | | 15.9 (1-month F/U) |
| Sharma et al (2012)<sup>19</sup> | RICU | DSM-IV | 140 | 53.6% | 24.4% | | 30.7% |
| Lahariya et al (2014)<sup>20</sup> | CCU | DSM-IV | 309 | 18.7% | 9.3% | | 27% |
| Bamalwa et al (2016)<sup>21</sup> | Cardiac ICU | | 50 | | | | 16% |
| Shyamsundar et al (2009)<sup>22</sup> | Medical/cardiac ICU | | 120 | | | | 10.3% |
| Barman et al (2018)<sup>23</sup> | Multidisciplinary ICU | DSM-IVTR | 310 | 45% | | | |
| Consultation liaison setting | | | | | | |
| Grover et al (2013)<sup>24</sup> | CLP | DSM-IV TR | 331 | – | – | | 12.4% |
| Grover et al (2014)<sup>25</sup> | CLP | DSM-IVTR | 49, CAP | | | | 10% |
| Grover et al (2019)<sup>26</sup> | CLP | DSM-IVTR | 103 | | | | 10.7% |
| Grover et al (2012)<sup>27</sup> | CLP | DSM-IVTR | 97 | | | | 12.1% | 27.83% (6 months of F/U) |
| Grover et al (2012)<sup>28</sup> | CLP | ICD-10 | 109, elderly | | | | 16.5% |
| Grover et al (2014)<sup>29</sup> | CLP | DSM-IV TR | 321 | | | | 10.3% |
| Grover et al (2013)<sup>30</sup> | CLP, AWD | DSM-IVTR | 112 | | | | 13.4% |
| Grover et al (2016)<sup>31</sup> | CLP, AWD | DSM-IVTR | 112 | | | | 13.4% |
| Surgery and postoperative setting | | | | | | |
| Dhakharia et al (2017)<sup>32</sup> | Postoperative setting | | 824 | 4% | | | |
| Kumar et al (2017)<sup>33</sup> | Postoperative (cardiac surgery) | CAM-ICU | | | | | 17.5% |
| Chrispal et al (2010)<sup>34</sup> | Delirium in patient undergoing hip fractures surgery | CAM | 81 | | | | 21% |

(continued)
the emergency services treatment group alone, emergency services plus comprehensive inpatient addiction treatment group had fewer relapses. Other studies have focused on risk factors associated with AWD, symptom profile of delirium, and factor structure of symptom profile. One study evaluated the genetic variations associated with the development of delirium tremens and reported that delirium tremens was significantly associated with presence of T allele (GT and TT; rs1824024) of muscarinic cholinergic receptor 2 (CHRM2).

### Studies Involving Patients Seen in Medical-Surgical Consultation Liaison Setting

Maximum numbers of studies done in the medical-surgical setting (Table 5) have not been limited to any one particular ward. Available data suggest that delirium is the most common diagnosis made by the CLP team, diagnostic concordance of delirium between psychiatrists and physicians is low, and mortality of patients with delirium is more than those without delirium. The most common reason for referral of patients with delirium to CLP teams is abnormal behavior or patient’s noncooperation for treatment.

Factors that predict a delay in referral of patients with delirium include prevalent delirium at admission, sleep–wake disturbance, the specialty of referral, presence motor retardation, being admitted to medical ward/medical ICUs, and absence of comorbid axis-1 psychiatry diagnoses. In terms of symptom/clinical profile, available data suggest that there are subtle differences between patients seen in medical-surgical wards and emergency setting. Available data also suggest subtle differences in the symptom profile of patients with different subtypes of delirium, patients of different age groups (children, adult, and elderly), and those with and without premorbid cognitive deficits. In terms of symptom profile, available data also suggest that attention deficit is the core symptom of delirium. One study showed a prevalence of catatonic symptoms in about one-third of the patients with delirium. Inpatient mortality in patients with delirium is associated with lower age (<65 years) and more frequent use of restraining before the development of delirium. Many studies have focused on the risk factors of delirium, and these studies have come up with some of the common risk and etiological factors, and other factors specific to treatment setting. One study validated the concordance between DSM-IV and DSM-5 criteria and reported considerable variability depending on how the criteria are interpreted, with very strict adherence to the text detailing DSM-5, leading to a reduction in the number of cases diagnosed with delirium; however, when a more “relaxed” approach is used, DSM-5 criteria is comparable to DSM-IV criteria.

### Studies Focusing on Postoperative Patients

Studies that have focused on postoperative patients (Table 5) have mainly attempted to identify the risk factors for delirium in patients of cancers undergoing surgery, patients undergoing surgery for hip fracture, and children undergoing ophthalmic examination under general anesthesia with sevoflurane.

### Studies Focusing on the Health Care Professionals

Studies evaluating the opinion of the health care professionals (Table 5) suggest that most of the ICU clinicians do not assess patients for delirium on regular basis, consider the prevalence rates of delirium, especially among those patients on mechanical ventilation to be lower than what is reported in the literature. In terms of assessment, those ICU clinicians, who assess patients for delirium, mostly rely on the CAM-ICU scale and use haloperidol for the management of delirium. Data also suggest that most of the ICU clinicians are aware of the importance of early mobilization of ICU patients but are not able to practice the same due to lack of support staff and safety concerns. One study, which evaluated the practice of ABCDEF bundle across the globe and

### Table 1 (continued)

| Study (year)          | Treatment setting                                                                 | Diagnostic system used | Sample size | Prevalence | Incidence | Inpatient mortality | Mortality at follow-up |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------|------------|-----------|--------------------|------------------------|
| Khanna et al (2018)   | Pediatric patients undergoing ophthalmic examination under desflurane            | PAED scale             | 100         | 24%        |           |                    |                        |
| Sethi et al (2013)    | Pediatric patients undergoing cataract surgery under sevoflurane and desflurane | PAED scale             | 88          | 18.18% in the sevoflurane group 20.45% in the desflurane group |          |                    |                        |
### Table 2: Frequency (%) of DRS-R-98 items in various studies

| Study (year) | Sample size and setting | Sleep–wake cycle disturbances | Perceptual disturbance | Delusions | Lability of affect | Language | Thought process abnormality | Motor agitation | Motor retardation | Disorientation | Attention deficits | Short-term memory | Long-term memory | Visuospatial ability |
|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-------------------|---------|-----------------------------|----------------|-------------------|---------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| Grover et al (2012)\(^a\) | 151, CLP | 100 | 76.2 | 27.8 | 77.5 | 76.8 | 69.5 | 94 | 39.1 | 100 | 100 | 97.4 | 93.4 | 96.7 |
| Grover et al (2011)\(^b\) | 109, CLP, elderly | 97.2 | 78.9 | 35.8 | 62.4 | 79.8 | 74.3 | 89.0 | 32.1 | 95.4 | 97.2 | 91.8 | 65.1 | 63.3 |
| Jain et al (2011)\(^c\) | 86, CLP | 100 | 76.7 | 37.2 | 59.3 | 73.3 | 89.5 | 89.5 | 31.4 | 100 | 97.7 | 97.7 | 40.7 | 98.8 |
| Mattoo et al (2012)\(^d\) | 100, CLP | 99 | 35 | 14 | 94 | 90 | 92 | 94 | 9 | 100 | 100 | 91 | 97 | 93 |
| Arya et al (2013)\(^e\) | 84, CLP | 100 | 80.9 | 60.7 | 94 | 92.8 | 76.2 | 86.9 | 53.6 | 98.8 | 98.8 | 97.6 | 48.8 | 76.2 |
| Grover et al (2012)\(^f\) | 97, CLP | 96.7 | 80 | 33.3 | 90 | 73.3 | 73.3 | 93.3 | 33.3 | 100 | 100 | 93.3 | 53.3 | 60 |
| Grover et al (2014 and 2013)\(^g\) | 321, CLP, adult vs. elderly | 98.1 | 79.4 | 45.2 | 85.7 | 87.5 | 84.7 | 90.3 | 50.8 | 98.8 | 99.7 | 95.6 | 52.6 | 63.2 |
| Grover et al (2016)\(^h\) | 112, CLP, AWD | 100 | 75 | 48.2 | 87 | 85.7 | 87 | 94.6 | 25 | 99.1 | 100 | 92.9 | 65.2 | 68.8 |
| Sharma et al (2017)\(^i\) | 75, RICU | 94.7 | 5.3 | 0 | 73.3 | 90.6 | 100 | 46.6 | 53.3 | 81.3 | 100 | 73.3 | 57.3 | 58.6 |
| Grover et al (2018)\(^j\) | 66, ICU | 100 | 48.9 | 37.8 | 64.4 | 42.2 | 53.3 | 73.3 | 80 | 84 | 97.8 | 64.4 | 40 | 33.3 |
| Dhoble and Vankar (2009)\(^k\) | 100, CLP | 92 | 74 | 67 | 62 | 46 | 62 | 72 | 58 | 84 | 95 | 90 | 94 | 90 |

(continued)
| Study (year) | Sample size and setting | Sleep–wake cycle disturbances | Perceptual disturbance | Delusions | Lability of affect | Language | Thought process abnormality | Motor agitation | Motor retardation | Disorientation | Attention deficits | Short-term memory | Long-term memory | Visuospatial ability |
|-------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-------------------|---------|--------------------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Grover et al (2014) | 98 CLP, elderly | 96.8 | 66.3 | 32.7 | 81.6 | 82.7 | 76.5 | 83.7 | 52 | 98 | 100 | 86.7 | 55.1 | 51 |
| Grover et al (2014) | 53, CLP | 97.8 | 57.7 | 31.7 | 59.2 | 52.6 | 65.3 | 88.8 | 36.2 | 93.6 | 96.4 | 91.8 | 69.1 | 81.2 |
| Grover et al (2014) | 255, CLP | 98 | 65.9 | 37.6 | 53.7 | 68.3 | 82.9 | 93.2 | 40 | 98.5 | 99.5 | 99.5 | 83.4 | 92.2 |
| Grover et al (2015) | 107, CLP, elderly | 75.7 | 55.1 | 49.1 | 71 | 88.8 | 95.3 | 68.2 | 54.2 | 98.1 | 98.1 | 89.7 | 92.5 | 96.3 |
| Grover et al (2012) | 53, CLP | 100 | 81.2 | 20.7 | 83 | 81.1 | 79.2 | 94.3 | 34 | 100 | 100 | 98.6 | 94.6 | 93.1 |
| Grover et al (2014) | 461, CLP | 95.4 | 71.6 | 33 | 61.6 | 74.8 | 74 | 90.9 | 29.7 | 96.1 | 94.8 | 83.1 | 52.5 | 56.6 |
| Grover et al (2012) | 30, CAP | 96.1 | 80.3 | 40.8 | 78.9 | 85.8 | 76.3 | 90.8 | 50.0 | 97.4 | 100 | 98.7 | 51.3 | 57.9 |
| Grover et al (2016) | 76, CLP | 100 | 73.7 | 34.2 | 82.9 | 96.1 | 96.1 | 98.7 | 1.3 | 96.1 | 97.4 | 97.4 | 93.4 | 94.7 |
| Grover et al (2019) | 59, CLP | 100 | 50.5 | 62.1 | 98.1 | 98.1 | 100 | 97.1 | 73.8 | 100 | 99 | 98.1 | 88.3 | 85.4 |
| Lahariya et al (2016) | 309, CCU | 100 | 70 | 22 | 100 | 59 | 90 | 73 | 40 | 94 | 100 | 100 | 86 | 66 |
| Range | 75.7–100 | 53–81.2 | 0–67% | 59.2–100 | 5–98.1 | 53.3–100 | 46.6–98.7 | 1.3–80% | 81.3–100 | 93.8–100 | 64.4–100 | 40–97% | 40–97% |

Abbreviations: AWD, alcohol withdrawal delirium; CAP, children and adolescents; CCU, coronary care unit; CLP, consultation liaison psychiatry services; ICU, multispecialty intensive care unit; RICU, respiratory ICU.
| Study (year)                  | Sample size | Treatment setting | Scales used                  | Percentage of variance explained (%) | Factor structure                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|------------------------------|-------------|-------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Shyamsundar et al (2009)     | 120         | ICU               | MDAS                         | 62.7                                 | Factor I (“cognitive disturbance”): impaired digit span, short-term memory impairment, disorientation, and inattention Factor II (“behavioral abnormality”): altered psychomotor activity, perceptual disturbances, delusions, disorganized thinking, sleep–wake cycle disturbances, and reduced awareness |
| George et al (2011)          | 53          | ICU               | ICDSC                        | 56.2                                 | Factor I (altered sensorium/psychopathology): altered level of consciousness, inattention, disorientation, hallucination/delusion/psychosis, psychomotor agitation, and inappropriate speech or mood Factor II (sleep–wake cycle problems): sleep–wake cycle disturbances and fluctuation of symptoms |
| Jain et al (2011)            | 86          | CLP               | DRS-R-98 separately and DRS-R-98, MDAS, and CSE combined | 47.8                                 | Factor I (“cognitive”): abnormalities of language, thought process, orientation, attention, short-term memory, long-term memory, visuospatial ability, reduced level of consciousness (awareness), and perseveration or prolonged latency Factor II (“behavioral”): sleep–wake cycle disturbances, delusions, perceptual disturbances including hallucinations, motor agitation, inverse of motor retardation, lability of affect, distractibility, irritability, and temporal onset of symptoms |
| Grover et al (2011)          | 151         | CLP, drug naive   | DRS-R-98                     | 47.32                                | Factor I (cognitive): attention, orientation, short-term memory, long-term memory, and visuospatial ability Factor II (sleep and motoric disturbances): sleep–wake cycle disturbances, delusions, perceptual disturbances, lability of affect, motor agitation, and inverse of motor retardation Factor III (thought, language, and fluctuations): language, thought process abnormality, temporal onset of symptoms, and fluctuations |
| Grover et al (2012)          | 109         | CLP, elderly      | DRS-R-98                     | 43.5                                 | Factor I (cognitive): thought disturbance, short-term memory, long-term memory, and visuospatial disturbance Factor II (cognitive and diagnostic factor): disturbance of attention and concentration and the three items of diagnostic significance (temporal onset, fluctuation, and presence of physical disorder) Factor III (psychotic and motoric symptoms): perceptual disturbances, delusions, and the motoric disturbances |
| Mattoo et al (2012)          | 100         | CLP, mixed adult and elderly | DRS-R-98                    | 48.5 59                              | 2-factor model Factor I (cognition and thinking): delusions, language disturbances, thought process abnormality, attention, orientation, short-term memory, long-term memory, visuospatial ability, and temporal onset of symptoms Factor I (circadian): motoric disturbances and fluctuations Factor II (cognitive): attention, orientation, short-term memory, long-term memory, visuospatial ability, and fluctuation Factor II (circadian and psychosis): sleep disturbances, delusions, hallucinations, and motoric disturbances Factor III (higher order thinking): language disturbances, thought process abnormality, and temporal onset of symptoms |
| Grover et al (2013)          | 321         | CLP, mixed adult and elderly | DRS-R-98                    | 45.8                                 | Factor I (psychotic and motoric disturbances): sleep–wake cycle disturbances, delusions, perceptual disturbances, lability of affect, motor agitation, inverse of motor retardation, and fluctuation Factor II (cognitive): language, thought process abnormality, short-term memory, long-term memory, and visuospatial ability Factor III (diagnostic factor): attention, orientation, temporal onset of symptoms, and physical disorder |
| Grover et al (2013)          | 245         | CLP, adult        | DRS-R-98                     | 46.75                                | Factor I (psychotic and motoric disturbances): sleep–wake cycle disturbances, delusions, perceptual disturbances, lability of affect, motor agitation, inverse of motor retardation, and fluctuation Factor II (cognitive): language, thought process abnormality, short-term memory, long-term memory, and visuospatial ability Factor III (diagnostic factor): attention, orientation, temporal onset of symptoms, and physical disorder |

(continued)
included the opinion of clinicians from India too, although
did not provide India specific data, suggests that in Asia, 80% of the clinicians implement delirium monitoring, with CAM-ICU being the most common scale for assessment. However, in terms of the prevalence of delirium, again the awareness was low, with only 2% of the clinicians from Asia believed that >60% of the patients in the ICU have delirium. One of the studies specifically focused on nurses. In this study, the authors assessed the knowledge of the nurses before an education program for delirium and showed that providing knowledge about delirium to the nurses was associated with significant improvement in the knowledge and practice of nurses toward delirium.

Studies Focusing on the Experience of Patients with Delirium
Three studies (Table 5) have evaluated the patients’ experience and distress after the resolution of symptoms of delirium. These studies suggest that about one-fourth (28.3%) to one-third (35%) of patients remember their experience of delirium. Those who could remember their experience reported moderate-to-severe levels of distress. Those who remembered their experience, in general,
described it as a state of fearfulness, anxiety, confusion, and feeling strange.\textsuperscript{72} One of the studies reported that the most common distressing themes which were recollected were fear and visual hallucination.\textsuperscript{53} In terms of symptom profile, recall of delirium experience was associated with a higher prevalence of perceptual and language disturbances, and a higher severity of delirium. Those who could not remember their delirium experience also were distressed and more often reported moderate-to-severe distress. One of the studies evaluated the patient experience in terms of posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and reported that 30.5% of the patients had substantial symptoms of PTSD, 22% (n = 13) had probable symptoms of PTSD, and 15.3% were considered to have partial symptoms of PTSD after the resolution of delirium. Again, the experience of PTSD was associated with higher severity of delirium and in terms of specific symptoms, it was associated with higher mean scores for the items of motor agitation and attentional deficits.\textsuperscript{26}

### Studies Focusing on Caregivers of Patients with Delirium

Two studies have focused on family caregivers.\textsuperscript{47,73} One of the studies reported that the most common distressing themes which were recollected were fear and visual hallucination.\textsuperscript{53} In terms of symptom profile, recall of delirium experience was associated with a higher prevalence of perceptual and language disturbances, and a higher severity of delirium. Those who could not remember their delirium experience also were distressed and more often reported moderate-to-severe distress. One of the studies evaluated the patient experience in terms of posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and reported that 30.5% of the patients had substantial symptoms of PTSD, 22% (n = 13) had probable symptoms of PTSD, and 15.3% were considered to have partial symptoms of PTSD after the resolution of delirium. Again, the experience of PTSD was associated with higher severity of delirium and in terms of specific symptoms, it was associated with higher mean scores for the items of motor agitation and attentional deficits.\textsuperscript{26}

### Effectiveness of Medications in the Treatment of Delirium

Few studies (\textsuperscript{74-78}) have evaluated the effectiveness of various antipsychotic medications in the management of delirium in CLP setting.\textsuperscript{74-77} Three of these studies\textsuperscript{74-76} suggest that the atypical antipsychotics like olanzapine, risperidone, and quetiapine are equally effective as haloperidol. One retrospective study also showed the effectiveness of risperidone.\textsuperscript{77} One double-blind randomized controlled trial (DBRCT) compared the beneficial effect of melatonin with placebo in patients admitted to ICU with organophosphorus poisoning.\textsuperscript{78} This study showed that the use of melatonin is associated with a lower prevalence of delirium after 3 days and patients receiving melatonin spend lower time in delirium when compared with a placebo group.

### Prevention of Postoperative Emergence Delirium

It is well known that the use of flurane in anesthesia among children and adolescents is associated with a
higher rate of emergent delirium and agitation. Given the same, many studies (⇒Table 7)79-91 have evaluated the beneficial effects of additional use of agents, like ketamine (low and high doses),79 midazolam,80,82,83,85 dexmedetomidine,81-83,86,87,89,90 propofol,81 glycopyrrolate,82 and bupivacaine84 in reducing the incidence of delirium. Some of the studies have also evaluated different doses of the same medications,79,87 whereas others have evaluated the different techniques of administration of these drugs85 and some of the studies have evaluated the different timing of giving these medications.80 In general, available data suggest that the incidence of emergent delirium is lower with dexmedetomidine when compared with other agents (ketamine, midazolam, and clonidine) and placebo.81,83,88,89,90 Compared with the use of bupivacaine only, the use of adjuvant ketamine along with bupivacaine is protective against emergence delirium in children.84

Table 5  Findings reported in different studies done in different treatment settings

| Study (year)          | Setting | Findings                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|-----------------------|---------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Grover et al (2017)   | ICU     | • Wide gap in the actual incidence and prevalence of delirium in ICU patients and those referred to psychiatry consultation liaison services                                                              |
| Mohan et al (2015)    | Neuro ICU | • Compared the severity of symptoms of delirium, as assessed by DRS-R-98, between patients with and without MRI changes  <br>• Those with neuroimaging changes had lower severity of delirium, compared with those without neuroimaging changes |
| Jayaswal et al (2019) | Medical ICU | • Factors, which significantly predispose the persons to develop delirium include tobacco use, chronic liver disease, and past episodes of delirium  <br>• Factors which significantly precipitate delirium include mechanical ventilation, hypoxia, fever, raised levels of bilirubin and creatinine, and use of benzodiazepines  <br>• Delirium was significantly associated with longer ICU stay and 1-month postdischarge mortality |
| George et al (2011)   | Medical ICU | • A score of 3 or more on ICDSC, is an indicator of delirium  <br>• When the original cut-off of 4 was used, sensitivity and specificity were 75% and 74%, respectively; but a cut-off of 3 led to increase in sensitivity to 90%, but the specificity of 61.54%  <br>• The ICDSC had good internal consistency, with Cronbachα of 0.754  <br>• The ICDSC had good internal consistency, with Cronbachα  <br>• The ICDSC had good internal consistency, with Cronbachα of 0.754 |
| Sharma et al (2012)   | RICU    | • Predisposing factors identified in univariate analysis: higher age, higher Glasgow coma scale score, increased APACHE II score, hyperuricemia, hypoalbuminemia, presence of acidosis, abnormal alkaline transferase levels, use of mechanical ventilation, higher number of total medication received and use of sedative, steroids and insulin  <br>• Compared with those without delirium, patients with delirium had significantly longer duration of ICU stay and higher mortality rates  <br>• Risk factors associated with mortality in patients with delirium: Age, multiple organ failure, hypoactive delirium and higher DRS-R-98 scores |
| Barman et al (2018)   | ICU     | • Evaluated the diagnostic accuracy of CAM-ICU and ICDSC  <br>• The inter rater agreement (kappa coefficient) between nurse and intensivist was 0.86 (95% CI: 0.8–0.9) and 0.89 (95% CI: 0.83–0.96) for CAM-ICU and ICDSC respectively  <br>• Overall sensitivity and specificity were 84.4% (95% CI: 70.5–93.5%) and 94.6% (95% CI: 84.9–98.9%) for CAM-ICU, 77.8% (95% CI: 62.9–88.8%) and 94.6% (95% CI: 84.9–98.9%) for ICDSC.  <br>• CAM-ICU has higher sensitivity and diagnostic odds ratio (84%, 86.1) compared with ICDSC |
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### Table 5 (continued)

| Study (year)     | Setting                                           | Findings                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|------------------|---------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Lahariya et al  | CCU, n = 309                                      | • Risk factors for delirium, identified in binary logistic regression analysis: hypokalemia, Sequential organ failure assessment score, presence of cognitive deficits, receiving more than three medications, sepsis, hyponatremia, presence of cardiogenic shock, having undergone coronary artery bypass grafting, left ventricular ejection fraction <30, currently receiving opioids, age more than 65 years, presence of diabetes mellitus, presence of uncontrolled diabetes mellitus, history of seizures, presence of congestive cardiac failure, having undergone angioplasty, presence of atrial fibrillation, ongoing depression, currently receiving/taking benzodiazepines, warfarin, ranitidine, steroids, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, higher total number of medications, presence of raised creatinine, anemia, hypoglycemia, acute physiology, and chronic health evaluation II score and Charlson’s comorbidity index score  
  • Mortality rate (27%) was significantly higher among those with delirium, compared with those without delirium (1%)  
  • The duration of ICU stay was significantly longer for those with delirium, compared with those who did not develop delirium  
  • Risk factors for mortality among patient with delirium: uncontrolled diabetes mellitus, cardiogenic shock, sepsis, presence of diabetes mellitus, higher APACHE-II score, higher SOFA score, and higher Charlson’s score |
| Shyamsundar et al (2009) | Medical and cardiac ICU, n = 120 | • Validation of memorial delirium rating scale (MDAS)  
  • The scale has good interrater agreement (0.92; 95% confidence interval, 0.81–0.96) and test–retest reliability (0.93; 95% CI: 0.83–0.97)  
  • The MDAS had good internal consistency (Cronbachα: 0.89)  
  • The MDAS had good internal consistency (Cronbachα)  
  • A ROC showed that the optimal threshold for screening as MDAS total score of at least 10  
  • This cut-off has high sensitivity (100%), specificity (95.45%), positive predictive value (75%), and negative predictive value (100%) |
| Alcohol withdrawal delirium |
| Sarkar et al (2017) | Psychiatry setting, n = 80 | • Factors associated with development of alcohol withdrawal delirium include: Heavy drinking, continuous pattern of drinking, past history of delirium, alcohol induced psychosis, and presence of cognitive deficits |
| Baby et al (2017) | Emergency and inpatient services  
  Emergency (n = 111)  
  Comprehensive inpatient addiction treatment (CIAT) (n = 107) | • Patients who received both emergency and comprehensive inpatient addiction treatment had better regular follow-up compared with patients treated in the emergency services alone at 6 months  
  • Comprehensive inpatient addiction treatment also resulted in better combined follow-up (regular follow-up and telephonic follow-up)  
  • Compared with emergency services treatment group alone, emergency services plus comprehensive inpatient addiction treatment group had fewer relapses |
| Grover et al (2016) | n = 112, AWD | • Factor structure of pure AWD is different from AWD with associated etiologies |
| Grover et al (2013) | CLP, n = 112, AWD | • Compared with those with delirium due to medical-surgical causes, patients with delirium associated with alcohol withdrawal more often have delusions and thought process abnormality; and less often have motor retardation, long term memory deficits, and visuospatial ability |
| Malhotra et al (2018) | n = 210 patients with alcohol dependence and 200 controls | • Delirium tremens was significantly associated with T allele carrying status (GT and TT [rs1824024]) of muscarinic cholinergic receptor 2 (CHRM2) |
Table 5 (continued)

| Study (year)       | Setting                | Findings                                                                                                                                 |
|--------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Prinika and Sharma (2016) | Emergency and CLP n = 100 | • Compared clinical profile of patients of delirium seen in emergency and CLP set-up- Subtle differences noted between the two groups   |
| Grover et al (2017) | CLP n = 219             | • Delirium is the most common diagnosis made by the CLP team  
• Diagnostic concordance between the diagnosis of delirium made by the psychiatrist and that made by the physicians/surgeons is low |
| Grover et al (2013) | CLP n = 331             | • Inpatient mortality rate 12.4%  
• In comparative analysis, inpatient mortality was associated with lower age(<65 years), high rate of alcohol dependence, more frequent use of restrain prior to development of delirium  
• In regression analysis lower age (<65 years) and more frequent use of restrain prior to development of delirium |
| Grover et al (2015) | Elderly (≥60 years)    | • Presence of premorbid cognitive deficits is more often associated with development of delirium prior to getting admitted to the hospital, compared with those without premorbid cognitive deficits  
• Those with and without cognitive deficits prior to onset of delirium do not differ in terms of frequency and severity of various symptoms of delirium, severity of delirium as assessed by using DRS-R98, DRS-R98 cognitive domain score, DRS-R98 noncognitive domain score, motoric subtypes, risk factors for development of delirium, various associated etiologies, mean number of risk factors for delirium, and mean number of associated etiologies |
| Grover et al (2014) | CLP n = 98 Elderly ≥60 years | • Compared with hyperactive subtype, significantly higher proportion of patients with hypoactive subtype had thought process abnormality and motor retardation  
• When the hyperactive and mixed motoric subtype groups were compared, patients with mixed subtype group had significantly higher prevalence of thought process abnormality and motor retardation  
• When hypoactive and mixed subtype were compared, patients with mixed subtype had significant higher frequency of perceptual disturbances, delusions and motor agitation  
• The three motoric subtypes do not differ significantly, in terms of severity of cognitive symptoms, however, there was some difference in the severity of noncognitive symptoms across the various motoric subtypes |
| Grover et al (2016) | CLP n = 76              | • Attention deficits in patients with delirium influence the severity of cognitive and noncognitive symptoms of delirium  
• The mean HMSE score has significant correlation with DRS-R-98 severity score, DRS-R-98 cognitive subscale score, DRS-R-98 noncognitive domain subscale score, and DRS severity score without attention score. |
| Grover et al (2014) | CLP n = 461 Retrospective | • Longer duration of psychiatric referral after the onset of delirium is associated with: older age, presence of and higher severity of sleep disturbance, presence of and higher severity of motor retardation, presence of visuospatial disturbances, presence of fluctuation of symptoms, being admitted to medical ward/medical intensive care units, and absence of comorbid axis-1 psychiatry diagnoses  
• In the regression analysis, longer duration of psychiatric referral after the onset of delirium is associated with presence of sleep disturbance, presence motor retardation, being admitted to medical ward/medical intensive care units, and absence of comorbid axis-1 psychiatry diagnoses |
| Study (year) | Setting | Findings |
|-------------|---------|----------|
| Grover et al (2013) | CLP \( n = 321 \) (adult sample 245; geriatric 76) | • When the patients in the adult and geriatric group were compared, no significant difference was seen between the two groups, except for the adult group having statistically higher prevalence and severity scores for thought process abnormalities and lability of affect  
• The two groups were similar for the mean number of etiologies associated with delirium  
• When the two groups were compared for the etiological factors, patients in the adult group more often had hepatic derangement, substance intoxication, withdrawal, and postpartum causes; in contrast lung disease, and cardiac abnormalities were more common in the elderly group |
| Grover et al (2014) | CLP \( n = 49 \), CAP | • Different subtypes of delirium do not differ from each other in terms of frequency and severity of various symptoms of delirium except for minor differences  
• Compared with those with hypoactive delirium, those with hyperactive and mixed subtype more often have hallucination |
| Rajlakshmi et al (2013) | CLP \( n = 84 \) | • Attention deficit is a core symptom of delirium  
• Cognitive deficits are quite prevalent in patients with delirium and correlate with overall severity of delirium |
| Grover et al (2014) | CLP \( n = 205 \) | • Two-fifths \( (n = 80; 39\%) \) of patients with delirium have two or more catatonic symptoms  
• When the diagnosis of catatonic syndrome was considered, 32\% and 12.7\% were observed to have catatonia as per the Bush Francis Catatonia screening instrument and DSM-5 criteria, respectively  
• Catatonic syndrome was more common in women and in those who had onset of delirium prior to hospitalization  
• Among the delirium subtypes, hypoactive delirium was more commonly associated with catatonic syndrome |
| Grover et al (2012) | CLP \( n = 30 \)  
CAP | • Compared with adults, children and adolescents had lower frequency of long-term memory and visuospatial disturbances  
• Compared with the elderly, children, and adolescents had higher frequency of lability of affect  
• In terms of severity of symptoms, compared with adults, the children and adolescents have lower severity of sleep–wake disturbances, abnormality of thought, motor agitation, orientation, attention, short-term memory, long-term memory, and visuospatial abilities  
• When compared with elderly, children and adolescents have higher severity of lability of affect and lower severity of language disturbances, short-term memory, and visuospatial abilities |
| Grover et al (2009) | CLP \( n = 46 \)  
(retrospective)  
CAP | • The most common underlying pathology was various infections followed by neoplasms  
• Besides clouding of consciousness, all patients exhibited sleep–wake cycle disturbance and impaired orientation |
| Study (year)       | Setting             | Findings                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|-------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Grover et al      | n = 3,092 Retrospective | • The prevalence of delirium in all inpatients ranged from 0.28 to 0.53% (mean = 0.44%), with higher prevalence among the elderly  
• Delirium forms the largest diagnostic category (30.77–38.95%) of all referred cases with a mean of 33.96%  
• In 80% of the cases, the referral for delirium was made for abnormal behavior or patient’s noncooperation for treatment |
| Meagher et al     | CLP n = 321         | • When the hyperactive and hypoactive subtypes of delirium were compared, patients with hyperactive delirium had significantly higher prevalence of perceptual disturbances, delusions, lability of affect and motor agitation; however, patients with hypoactive delirium had higher prevalence of thought process abnormality and motor retardation  
• When hyperactive and the mixed subtype were compared, significantly higher prevalence of thought process abnormality and motor retardation were seen in the mixed subtype group  
• When the mixed and hypoactive subtype were compared, patients with mixed subtype had significantly higher prevalence of perceptual disturbances, delusions, lability of affect, and motor agitation  
• No significant differences emerged for the cognitive symptoms as assessed on DRS-R-98 across the different motoric subtypes |
| Grover et al      | CLP n = 100 (replication study) | • Validation of DMSS                                                                                                                                                                                    |
| Meagher et al     | CLP n = 487         | • Development of abbreviated version of DMSS-4                                                                                                                                                           |
| Gupta et al       | CLP n = 80          | • Factors which predict delayed diagnosis of delirium include prevalent delirium at admission, sleep–wake disturbance, and specialty of referral                                                               |
| Meagher et al     | CLP n = 768 Retrospective | • Evaluated the concordance between DSM-IV and DSM-5 criteria of delirium  
• The concordance between DSM-IV and DSM-5 criteria varies considerably depending on the interpretation of criteria  
• Overly strict adherence to the text detail, as described in DSM-5, can lead to reduction in the number of cases diagnosed with delirium; however, a more “relaxed” approach renders DSM-5 criteria comparable to DSM-IV criteria with minimal impact on their actual application and is thus recommended |
| Khurana et al     | Medical-surgical ward n = 100 | • Risk factors for delirium: preexisting cognitive deficits, neurological illnesses, urinary tract infections, visual impairment, hearing impairment, current proteinuria, leukocytosis, raised blood ammonia, hyponatremia, and potassium level disturbances |
| Kumar et al       | n = 80              | • Risk factors commonly associated with hyperactive subtype: alcohol and other substance use disorders  
• Risk factors commonly associated with hypoactive subtype: other psychiatric disorders such as schizophrenia and mood disorders, infection, and chronic medical conditions  
• Compared with hyperactive subtype, cognitive impairments were significantly higher among those with hypoactive subtype |
| Rai et al (2014)  | Neurology inpatient setting n = 52 Mean age = 65 years | • Factors frequently associated with delirium are leukocytosis and hyponatremia  
• Duration of hospital stay is longer and mortality rate is higher in patients of delirium in whom cause of delirium could not be ascertained |
### Table 5 (continued)

| Study (year) | Setting | Findings |
|--------------|---------|----------|
| Mushtaq et al (2014) | Medical-surgical inpatients, n = 40 (in the delirium group) | • Hypoactive delirium had more cognitive impairment compared with hyperactive delirium (p = 0.001) |
| Dhakharia et al (2017) | Postoperative stage after cancer surgery, n = 824 | • Postoperative delirium is significantly associated with older age, history of addiction, presence of respiratory complications, sepsis, ICU stay >24 hours (p < 0.05), and electrolyte impairment (p < 0.05) |
| Chrispal et al (2010) | Delirium in patients undergoing hip fractures surgery, n = 81, Elderly (≥ 60 years) | • Risk factors for delirium: presence of dementia, duration of surgery >2.5 and preoperative packed cell volume <25 (OR = 8.07)  
  • Precipitating factors: postoperative infections, metabolic abnormalities and vascular events  
  • Patients with delirium had longer hospital stays and poor ambulation at discharge |
| Khanna et al, (2018) | n = 100 | • Longer duration of preoperative fasting duration is a risk factor for postoperative emergence delirium in children undergoing ophthalmic examination under general anesthesia with sevoflurane |
| Chawla et al (2014) | Survey of ICU clinicians, n = 659 | • Majority (65.6%) of the responders reported that they were not assessing delirium in the ICU regularly  
  • About two-thirds of the responders (65%) considered that the incidence of delirium in mechanically ventilated patients is less than 10%; 31% responders reported incidence of delirium in mechanically ventilated patients was in the range of 10–50 and only 2% of the responders reported that more than half of their mechanically ventilated patients experience delirium  
  • 73% responders responded when asked about use of delirium scale  
  • Confusion Assessment Method-ICU (CAM-ICU) was the most preferred method of delirium assessment  
  • Haloperidol was the most commonly used agent for delirium  
  • Majority of the respondents were aware of the benefit of early mobilization, but lack of support staff, and safety concerns were the main obstacles to its implementation |
| Morandi et al (2017) | n = 1,521 clinicians | • Worldwide ABCDEF (Assessing Pain, Both Spontaneous Awakening and Breathing Trials, Choice of Drugs, Delirium monitoring/management, Early exercise/mobility, and Family Empowerment) survey  
  • Delirium monitoring was implemented in 70% of ICUs, but only 42% used a validated delirium tool  
  • Although India specific data are not available, in Asia 80% of the clinicians implemented delirium monitoring, with CAM-ICU being the most common scale for assessment  
  • From Asia, only 2% of the clinicians believed that >60% of the patients in the ICU have delirium |
| Varghese et al (2014) | n = 32 | • Educational program for nurses  
  • Providing knowledge about delirium to the nurses was associated with significant improvement in the knowledge and practice of nurses toward delirium among the nurses in the educational group. |
| Study (year) | Setting | Findings |
|-------------|---------|----------|
| Grover et al, (2019)<sup>26</sup> | CLP \(n = 103\) | • 30.5% of the patients had substantial symptoms of PTSD, 22% \(n = 13\) had probable symptoms of PTSD and 15.3% were considered to have partial symptoms of PTSD after resolution of delirium  
• When those with and without PTSD were compared, those with PTSD symptoms had significantly higher prevalence of fluctuation of symptoms, while experiencing delirium and had significantly higher mean scores for the items of motor agitation, attentional deficits, higher total severity score on the DRS-R-98 and higher DRS-R-98 total score. Higher severity of delirium was associated with higher severity of PTSD symptoms |
| Grover and Shah (2011)<sup>72</sup> | CLP \(^{n = 53, \text{patients with delirium}}\) | • 28.3% of patients remembered themselves to be confused and rest had no recollection of the same  
- Those who could remember their experience had moderate (26.7%), severe (40.0%) and very severe (33.3%) level of distress  
- Of those who could not remember their delirium experience, most of them had moderate (44.7%) to severe (26.3%) distress. Those who remembered their experience in general described it as a state of fearfulness, anxiety, confusion and feeling strange |
| Grover et al (2015)<sup>53</sup> | CLP \(n = 203\) | • One-third (35%) of the patients were able to recollect their experiences during the delirium, and the majority (86%) of them were distressed by these experiences  
- About half of the patients who reported the experience to be distressing reported it to be of moderate level of distress  
- The most common distressing themes which were recollected were fear and visual hallucination. When the patients who could recall and who could not recall their experience of delirium were compared, recall of delirium experience was associated with a higher prevalence of perceptual and language disturbances and a higher severity of delirium |
| Studies focusing on caregivers |  |  |
| Grover and Shah (2013)<sup>73</sup> | CLP \(n = 72\) caregivers of 53 patients with delirium | • Symptoms of delirium that led to severe or very severe distress in more than two thirds of the caregivers included decreased sleep, increased motor activity, attempts to remove intravenous lines, tubings, and attempts to get out of bed when they were actually required to lie down |
| Grover and Shah (2012)<sup>47</sup> | CLP \(n = 72\) caregivers | • About one-third of the caregivers (36.11%) attributed the symptoms of delirium to nonorganic causes like supernatural beliefs, emotional stress resulting from physical illness or various social factors, attention seeking behavior, or a result of religious disobedience |

Abbreviations: APACHE, Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation; AWD, alcohol withdrawal delirium; CAM-ICU, confusion assessment method for the intensive care unit; CAP, children and adolescents; CCU, coronary care unit; CI, confidence interval; CLP, consultation liaison psychiatry; DMSS, delirium motor symptom scale; DRS-R-98, delirium rating scale revised-1998; ICDSC, intensive care delirium screening checklist; ICU, intensive care unit; MMSE, Mini Mental State Examination; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; OR, odds ratio; PTSD, posttraumatic stress order; RICU, respiratory intensive care unit; ROC, receiver operating curve; SOFA, Sequential Organ Failure Assessment.
### Table 6  Efficacy of various medications in management of delirium

| Study (year) | Medications compared | Sample size | Diagnostic measure | Trial design | Setting | Outcome measure | Outcome |
|--------------|----------------------|-------------|---------------------|--------------|---------|-----------------|---------|
| Jain et al (2017) | Haloperidol (1–4 mg/day) vs. olanzapine (2.5–10 mg/day) | Haloperidol \((n = 53)\) vs. Olanzapine \((n = 47)\) | DSM-IV | Open-label RCT | CLP | MDAS | Both medications effective in management of delirium; Haloperidol = olanzapine |
| Grover et al (2016) | Haloperidol (0.25–1.25 mg/day) vs. quetiapine (12.5–75 mg/day) | Haloperidol \((n = 32)\) vs. Quetiapine \((n = 31)\) | DSM-IV | Single-blind RCT | CLP | DRS-R-98 MMSE | Both medications effective in management of delirium; Haloperidol = quetiapine |
| Grover et al (2011) | Haloperidol (0.25–10 mg/day) vs. olanzapine (1.25–20 mg/day) vs. risperidone (0.25–4 mg/day) | Haloperidol \((n = 21)\) vs. Olanzapine \((n = 23)\) vs. Risperidone \((n = 20)\) | DSM-IV | Single-blind RCT | CLP | DRS-R-98 MMSE | All the medications effective in management of delirium; Haloperidol = olanzapine = risperidone |
| Gupta et al (2005) | Risperidone (0.5–2 mg/day) | Risperidone \((n = 7)\) | – | Retrospective study | CLP | – | Six out of the seven patients were either significantly improved or recovered at the last follow-up |
| Vijaykumar et al (2016) | Melatonin (3 mg/day) vs. placebo | Melatonin \((n = 26)\) vs. Placebo \((n = 26)\) | – | DBRCT | ICU | Delirium in patients with organophosphorus poisoning | CAM-ICU | Patients receiving melatonin had significantly lower time to be delirium free compared with placebo group; Prevalence of delirium was significantly lower in the melatonin group, compared with the placebo group after day 3 |

**Abbreviations:** ≈ No statistically significant difference; CAM-ICU, confusion assessment method for the intensive care unit; CLP, consultation liaison psychiatry; DBRCT, double-blind randomized controlled trial; DRS-R-98, delirium rating scale revised-1998; DSM IV, diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders, fourth edition; MDAS, memorial delirium rating scale; MMSE, mini mental state examination;

### Table 7  Studies evaluating the efficacy of various measures in prevention of emergence delirium

| Study (year) | Medications compared | Sample size | Trial design | Setting | Outcome measure | Outcome |
|--------------|----------------------|-------------|--------------|---------|-----------------|---------|
| Avidan et al (2017) | Placebo (normal saline) vs. low-dose ketamine (0.5 mg/kg) vs. high dose ketamine (1.0 mg/kg) | Placebo \((n = 222)\) vs. Low-dose ketamine \((n = 227)\); High-dose ketamine \((n = 223)\) | Multicentric DBRCT | Postsurgical | CAM, CAM-ICU | No decrease delirium in older adults after major surgery; Might cause harm by inducing negative experiences |
| Gonsalvez et al (2018) | Midazolam at the induction (0.03 mg/kg) vs. midazolam (0.03 mg/kg) administered 10 minutes before the end of surgery | At Induction \((n = 40)\) vs. 10 minutes before the end of surgery \((n = 40)\) | DBRCT | Pediatric surgery | PAED scale | No difference between the two groups in the incidence of emergence delirium in children undergoing sevoflurane anesthesia |
Table 7 (Continued)

| Study (year) | Medications compared | Sample size | Trial design | Setting | Outcome measure | Outcome |
|--------------|----------------------|-------------|--------------|---------|----------------|---------|
| Makkar et al (2015) | Dexmedetomidine (0.3 μg/kg) vs. propofol (1 mg/kg) vs. saline 0.9% | Dexmedetomidine (n = 32) Propofol (n = 36) Saline (n = 32) | RCT | Pediatric surgery (infraumbilical surgery) | PAED scale | • Compared with normal saline, dexmedetomidine leads to significant reduction in the incidence of emergence delirium but this occurs at the cost of higher incidence of sedation in the recovery period |
| Trivedi et al (2016) | Group K: premedication with inj. glycopyrrolate 0.01 mg/kg, inj. ketamine 2 mg/kg Group M: premedication with inj. glycopyrrolate 0.01 mg/kg and inj. midazolam 0.05 mg/kg, inj. ketamine 2 mg/kg Group D: after premedication with inj. glycopyrrolate 0.01 mg/kg and inj. dexmedetomidine 0.5 μg/kg, ketamine 2 mg/kg | Group K (n = 30) Group M (n = 30) Group D (n = 30) | DBRCT | Surgical setting (patients undergoing laparoscopic ligation, skin grafting, dilatation and curettage, endoscopic procedures, and excision of small swelling) | MDAS | • Incidence of delirium was least with use of dexmedetomidine, and the difference was statistically significant compared with the ketamine only group • Incidence of delirium was also lower with use of midazolam compared with the ketamine only group |
| Prabhu and Mehandale (2017) | Comparison of oral dexmedetomidine (4 μg/kg) vs. oral midazolam (0.5 mg/kg) as premedication to prevent emergence agitation after sevoflurane anesthesia in pediatric patients | Midazolam (n = 45) Dexmedetomidine (n = 45) | DBRCT | Postoperative | PAED scale | • Compared with midazolam, dexmedetomidine was associated with lower incidence of emergence agitation |
| Sinha and Sood (2012) | Comparison of caudal block with bupivacaine (0.25% 0.5mL/kg) vs. bupivacaine (0.25% 0.5 mL/kg) and ketamine (0.5 mL/kg) and no caudal block in pediatric anesthesia with sevoflurane | n = 150 Children | DBRCT | Postoperative | PAED scale | • Compared with use of bupivacaine only, use of adjuvant Ketamine along with bupivacaine is protective against emergence delirium children, following sevoflurane anesthesia |
### Table 7 (Continued)

| Study (year)         | Medications compared                                                                 | Sample size | Trial design | Setting          | Outcome measure                                                                 | Outcome                                                                                     |
|----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|--------------|------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Perumal et al (2015) | Midazolam premedication (0.02 mg/kg iv) for ketamine-induced (1 mg/kg) emergence   | n = 30      | Observational study | Postoperative    | Self-designed criteria (presence of purposeless and hallucinatory behavior)    | • Mild emergence delirium was noted in 13.3% of patients at 30 minutes, incidence of which increased to 16.7% at 1 hour, which reduced to 13.3% at 2 hours |
| Mukherjee et al (2015) | Intranasal dexmedetomidine (1 µg/kg) and clonidine (4 µg/kg) in patients receiving sevoflurane-based general anesthesia | n = 80      | Double-blind parallel group study | Postoperative    | PAED scale                                                              | • Incidences of emergence delirium were significantly lower in the dexmedetomidine group, when compared with clonidine up to the first 15 minutes; however, later the two groups were comparable  
• Overall compared with dexmedetomidine group, higher proportion of patients in the clonidine group had emergence delirium |
| Begum et al (2019)   | Bolus dexmedetomidine (0.4 µg/kg) vs. low-dose Infusion (0.4 µg/kg/h) in children undergoing sevoflurane anesthesia | n = 48      | DBRCT        | Postoperative    | PAED scale                                                              | • Compared with children who received low dose infusion, those who received bolus dexmedetomidine had lower proportion of patients with PAED scale score of >10 |
| Shenoy et al (2018)  | Transversus abdominis plane block supplementation during iliac crest bone graft harvesting Additional TAP block along with local infiltration Vs. wound infiltration only | n = 143     | Simple random sampling | Postoperative    | Watch a scale score of >2                                                  | • Compared with the control group, emergence delirium was lower among the patients who received TAP block (2.4 vs. 9.5%) |
Table 7 (Continued)

| Study (year) | Medications compared | Sample size | Trial design | Setting | Outcome measure | Outcome |
|--------------|----------------------|-------------|--------------|---------|----------------|---------|
| Priye et al (2015) | Dexmedetomidine as an adjunct in post-operative analgesia following cardiac surgery: 12-hour infusion of normal saline and group B received a 12-hour infusion of dexmedetomidine 0.4 μg/kg/h | n = 64 | Double-blind study | Postoperative | RASS | Although the incidence of delirium with dexmedetomidine was less, both the groups did not differ statistically |
| Sharma et al (2019) | Single dose of dexmedetomidine 1 μg/kg vs. volume matched saline for intraoperative hemodynamic and postoperative recovery profile in children undergoing adenotonsillectomy | n = 60 Children | Placebo-controlled study | Intra- and postoperative | PAED scale | |
| Singh et al (2012) | EA in pediatric patients under isoflurane, sevoflurane or desflurane anesthesia | n = 75 (3 groups of 25 each) Children | DBRCT | Postoperative | PAED scale | Incidence and intensity of EA were comparable in all three groups |

Abbreviations: CAM, confusion assessment method; CAM-ICU, confusion assessment method for the intensive care unit; DBRCT, double blind randomized controlled trial; EA, emergence agitation; Inj., injection; MDAS, memorial delirium assessment scale; PAED, pediatric anesthesia emergency department; RASS, Richmond agitation-sedation scale; TAP, transversus abdominis plane.

Table 8  Case reports focusing on delirium

| Study (year) | Findings |
|--------------|----------|
| Medication associated delirium | |
| 1 | Kasim et al (2019) | Levetiracetam associated delirium in an elderly |
| 2 | Ghoshal et al (2015) | Levofloxacin induced anaphylaxis and acute delirium |
| 3 | Raj and Murthy (2013) | Levofloxacin induced delirium |
| 4 | Chowdhry et al (2015) | Fluoroquinolones: an underrecognized cause for delirium |
| 5 | Nasiruddin et al (2014) | Acute delirium in an elderly woman following zoledronate administration |
| 6 | Kaur et al (2017) | Delirium induced by albendazole–ivermectin combination |
| 7 | Mane and Angane (2019) | Cyclopentolate 1% eye drops induced delirium in a Child |
| 8 | Sharma et al (2012) | Bath salts-induced delirium |
| Delirium associated with psychotropics | |
| 9 | Das et al (2019) | Delirium associated with discontinuation of sertraline in an elderly |
| 10 | Sharma and Aggarwal (2010) | Delirium associated with olanzapine therapy in an elderly male with bipolar affective disorder |
| 11 | Dixit et al (2015) | Valproate induced delirium due to hyperammonemia in a case of acute mania |
| 12 | Muraleedharan et al (2015) | Valproate induced hyperammonemic delirium |
### Table 8 (Continued)

| Study (year) | Findings |
|--------------|----------|
| **Delirium associated with psychotropics** | |
| 13 Pradeep (2008) | Valproate monotherapy induced-delirium due to hyperammonemia |
| 14 Khanra et al (2016) | Unusual case of delirium after restarting clozapine |
| 15 Ghosh et al (2014) | Mirtazapine-associated hyponatremia presenting as delirium |
| 16 Manjunatha et al (2011) | Delayed onset, protracted delirium and aspiration pneumonitis associated with use of a combination of clozapine and ECT |
| 17 Kumar et al (2003) | Delirium associated with combination of clozapine and ECT |
| 18 Sadananda et al (2013) | Delirium during the course of electroconvulsive therapy in a patient on lithium carbonate treatment |
| 19 Selvaraj and Prahraj (2012) | Delayed onset and prolonged interictal delirium following electroconvulsive therapy |
| 20 Sarangula et al (2016) | Post injection delirium/sedation syndrome associated with olanzapine depot injection |
| 21 Garg et al (2019) | Delayed onset post injection delirium/sedation syndrome associated with olanzapine pamoate a case report |
| 22 Punnoose et al (2017) | Low body mass index a risk factor for post-injection delirium/sedation syndrome with depot olanzapine |
| 23 Upadhyay et al (2017) | Post injection delirium/sedation syndrome with long-acting olanzapine pamoate |
| 24 Venkatesan et al (2019) | Post-injection delirium/sedation syndrome after 31st long-acting olanzapine depot injection |
| **Delirium associated with alcohol or opioid withdrawal** | |
| 25 Mattoo et al (2012) | Refractory delirium tremens |
| 26 Ram et al (2017) | Lorazepam precipitated alcohol withdrawal delirium |
| 27 Charan et al (2011) | Genital self-mutilation in alcohol withdrawal state complicated with delirium |
| 28 Saddichha et al (2008) | Delayed-onset delirium tremens |
| 29 Talikoti et al (2012) | Delirium tremens with hollow viscus perforation |
| 30 Das et al (2005) | Naltrexone precipitating delirium in a patient with opioid dependence |
| 31 Das et al (2017) | Opioid withdrawal presenting as delirium |
| 32 Sharma et al (2017) | Opium withdrawal delirium |
| 33 Raj et al (2017) | Complicated opioid withdrawal in delirium without convulsions |
| 34 Ghosh et al (2013) | Acute delirium due to parenteral tramadol |
| 35 Narayan et al (2015) | Varenicline induced delirium in an alcohol and nicotine dependent patient |
| 36 Mattoo et al (2011) | Zolpidem withdrawal delirium |
| 37 Sharan et al (2007) | Intoxication delirium associated with zolpidem |
| **Delirium associated with specific physical illnesses** | |
| 38 Padhy et al (2008) | Delirium in a child with nephrotic syndrome |
| 39 Manamohan et al (2017) | Delirium in an elderly with chronic lymphocytic leukemia |
| 40 Manappallil (2016) | Delirium in a patient with Parkinson's disease |
Table 8 (Continued)

| Study (year) | Findings |
|--------------|----------|
| **Delirium associated with specific physical illnesses** |
| 41 Singh (2017) | Pancreatic pseudocyst with delirium in an alcohol dependent male |
| 42 Khanna (1988) | Hypopituitarism presenting as delirium |
| 43 Pappachan and Agrawal (2019) | Postoperative delirium |
| 44 Nag et al (2016) | Sepsis associated delirium mimicking postoperative delirium as the initial presenting symptom of urosepsis in a patient who underwent nephrolithotomy |
| 45 Jebaraj et al (2005) | Tuberculous meningitis masked by delirium in an alcohol-dependent patient |
| 46 Upadhyaya et al (2011) | Delirium and catatonia in a person with alcohol dependence with tubercular meningoencephalitis |
| 47 Raina et al (2019) | Hyperactive delirium and bilateral ptosis: bilateral thalamic infarcts due to artery of Percheron occlusion |
| **Prolonged or persistent delirium** |
| 48 Sireesha et al (2013) | Prolonged delirium secondary to hypoxic–ischemic encephalopathy following complete hanging |
| 49 Grover et al (2014) | Persistent delirium |
| 50 Thukral et al (2013) | Conundrum of prolonged delirium |
| 51 Achalia et al (2018) | Protracted delirium tremens |
| **Delirious mania** |
| 52 Soni et al (2015) | Delirious mania in elderly |
| 53 Chawla et al (2018) | Identification and management of “delirious mania”: a rare clinical entity |
| 54 Bipeta and Khan (2012) | Delirious mania |
| **Management of delirium** |
| 55 Gupta et al (2004) | Olanzapine for delirium in Parkinsonism |
| 56 Mahajan et al (2010) | Use of propofol as an adjuvant therapy in refractory delirium tremens |
| 57 Danivas et al (2010) | Electroconvulsive therapy in the treatment of delirious mania |
| 58 Narayanaswamy et al (2012) | Successful use of oxazepam in the treatment of delirium tremens |

Abbreviation: ECT, electroconvulsive therapy.

been reported that the incidence of emergence agitation is comparable across the use of most flurane anesthetic agents.91

**Case Reports focusing on Delirium**

A total of 58 case reports/series have focused on delirium as the primary outcome/issue (Table 8).92-149 Some of these case reports have reported association of delirium with medications such as levetiracetam,92 levofloxacin,93,94 fluoroquinolones,95 zoledronate,96 a combination of albendazole and ivermectin,97 and use of cyclopentolate eye drops.98 One report presented information about delirium associated with bath salts.99 In terms of psychotropics, delirium has been reported with discontinuation of sertraline,100 use of olanzapine,101 valproate associated hyperammonemia,102-104 restarting of clozapine,105 mirtazapine associated hyponatremia,106 use of a combination of clozapine and electroconvulsive therapy (ECT),107,108 use of a combination of lithium and ECT,109,110 and postinjection delirium/sedation syndrome associated with olanzapine depot injection.111-115 Some of the case reports/case series focus on substance-related delirium,116-128 either during the withdrawal phase or intoxication phase. The case reports also cover topics
like refractory delirium tremens, delayed onset delirium tremens, delirium tremens with hollow viscus perforation, genital self-mutilation in alcohol withdrawal state complicated with delirium, delirium during opioid withdrawal, naltrexone precipitating delirium, delirium due to use of parental tramadol, varenicline-induced delirium, and delirium associated with zolpidem withdrawal or intoxication. Delirium has also been reported in association with various physical illnesses such as nephrotic syndrome, chronic lymphocytic leukemia, Parkinson's disease, pancreatic pseudocyst, hypopituitarism, postoperative state, sepsis, tuberculous meningitis, and thalamic infarcts. One case report has focused on long-standing delirium secondary to hypoxic–ischemic encephalopathy following complete hanging. Some of the reports have primarily focused on persistent or prolonged or protracted delirium and delirious mania. Only four reports talked about interventions for delirium, with one focusing on the use of oxazepam in the treatment of delirium tremens, the use of olanzapine for delirium in Parkinsonism, use of propofol as adjuvant therapy in refractory delirium tremens, and ECT for management of delirious mania.

Discussion

This systematic review suggests that there is a limited research on delirium from India. However, a good thing is that in recent times, delirium has received more research attention, compared with about a decade back. This review further suggests that although psychiatrists have performed the majority of research in the area of delirium, in the recent years, other specialists too, especially, anesthetists have also shown interest in delirium and some of the studies have focused on incidence/prevalence of delirium in ICUs, postoperative delirium, and emergent delirium. Another important aspect that is evident from the available literature is that most of the studies have been based on standard evaluation instruments.

Although there are methodological differences across different studies, available data from India suggest that the prevalence and incidence of delirium in various ICUs have varied from 16.1 to 68.2 and 8 to 59.6%, respectively. In terms of specific non-ICU setting, the prevalence rates vary, depending on the study setting. When one compares the incidence and prevalence figures with the available western literature, it can be said that these figures from India are comparable to those reported from other parts of the globe. Although, no much information is available in terms of the practices to assess delirium and the knowledge about delirium of clinicians from various specialties, few studies which involved clinicians from India, suggest that most of the ICU clinicians do not assess patients for delirium on regular basis and consider the prevalence rates of delirium, especially among those patients on mechanical ventilation to be lower than what is reported in the literature. Data also suggest that there is a low concordance rate between the diagnosis made by the psychiatrist and other clinicians and most of the ICU clinicians are aware of the importance of early mobilization of ICU patients but are not able to practice the same due to lack of support staff and safety concerns. A study, which focused on improving the knowledge of the nurses, showed that it was associated with significant improvement in the knowledge and practice of nurses toward delirium. Taken together, it can be said that the incidence and prevalence of delirium in various treatment settings in India are high and comparable to the rest of the world. However, many times, clinicians do not focus on this entity due to lack of awareness and do not screen patients for delirium regularly. Accordingly, it can be said that there is a need to improve the knowledge base, awareness, and change in clinical practice to identify delirium and manage the same in the Indian context to reduce the negative impact of the same on the patients and their caregivers. In India, ICU facilities and ventilators are also a scarcity. Accordingly, prevention and early identification of delirium can reduce the duration of ICU stay and the number of days on ventilators. This would help more patients to utilize these scarce resources. Mental health professionals need to play an important role in improving the awareness of other clinicians about delirium. Mental health professionals can train the clinicians and nurses to identify the patients who are at higher risk of delirium, screen patients, if not all inpatients, then patients at high risk for delirium on day-to-day basis, institute reorientation cues, other behavioral measures, and environmental measures to improve the outcome of patients with delirium.

In terms of mortality, data from India suggest inpatient mortality in patients with delirium is 6.6 to 30.7% and some data suggest that this mortality rate is more than that seen in patients without delirium. Postdischarge, over 1 to 6 months after discharge, the mortality increases to approximately 15.9 to 34.6%. Considering these, it can be said that there is a need to improve the awareness of clinicians and other medical professionals about the negative impact of delirium on mortality rates. This may be an important motivating factor for clinicians to manage delirium in their patients.

One of the major areas of research on delirium from India is symptom profile and the factor structure of the symptoms. In general, studies involving the patients in the CLP setting suggest that the majority of the patients of delirium have symptoms of attention deficits, disorientation, sleep–wake cycle disturbances, and motor agitation. Studies that have focused on different subgroups suggest that there are minor differences in the symptom profile of patients belonging to different age groups (elderly, adult, and children), different motoric subtypes, and those with AWD. Further, when one compares the symptom profile of those reported for patients in CLP setting and the ICUs, it is evident that patients in the CLP setting have a higher frequency of motor agitation, whereas studies in ICUs suggest a higher frequency of motor retardation. These differences possibly actually reflect the differences in the study design than the actual difference in the symptom profile. Studies that have evaluated patients in ICUs have focused on all the patients in the ICUs and hence, they are more often able to include hypoactive patients too.
which form a small proportion of patients referred to the CLP setting. When one compares the data from India, the findings from India align with studies from other parts of the world.176

Studies that have evaluated the factor structure of symptoms of delirium suggest that symptoms cluster on to two to three factors. In the majority of these studies, various cognitive symptoms load onto the same factor, the motoric and psychotic symptoms load together, and the third factor consists of language and thought process abnormalities. In general, data suggest that the motoric and psychotic symptoms more consistently load on to the same factor across different studies. These findings are also supported by the existing literature from other parts of the world.177

In terms of other research endeavors, occasional studies from India have attempted to validate various instruments used for screening and diagnosing delirium.22,23,52 The instruments which have been validated and evaluated for some psychometric properties in studies from India include ICDSC, MDAS, CAM-ICU, DMSS, and development of DMSS-4 item version. Accordingly, this area of research requires a major boost in the form of development of new instruments and validation of other existing instruments. This will possibly help to study delirium in a much better way.

Although alcohol dependence is reported to be one of the major substances of abuse in India, AWD has received limited research attention. In terms of AWD, available data suggest that compared with emergency services treatment only, emergency services plus comprehensive inpatient addiction treatment is associated with fewer relapses.58 Based on this, it can be said that there is a need to develop service models, which will have the mechanism of transferring patients of AWD from emergency settings to inpatient settings after initial stabilization.

Available data also suggest that delirium is the most common diagnosis made by the CLP team.62 Other studies from India, which have focused on the prevalence of various psychiatric disorders among patients seen in CLP setting, suggest that the prevalence of delirium ranges from 0.2 to 0.53% in all the admitted patients.78 These findings suggest that delirium should form a major focus for CLP training. Further, it can be said that focusing on delirium in undergraduate training can lead to a reduction in stigma associated with psychiatry, in medical professionals.

Some of the available data from India suggest that symptoms of delirium are associated with significant distress in patients and their caregivers. Accordingly, there is a need to develop supportive intervention programs for these patients to minimize the negative impact of delirium on the sufferers and their caregivers.

In terms of intervention, almost all the studies have focused on one or the other pharmacological agents in the prevention and management of delirium.74-78 These studies suggest that atypical antipsychotics, like olanzapine, risperidone, and quetiapine, are not inferior to haloperidol in the management of delirium. Data from other countries also support the same.179 However, it is important to note that the trials evaluating these molecules are of small sample size and short duration. In terms of prevention, most of the studies have focused on reduction in the incidence of emergent delirium in children receiving flurane anesthesia and these studies suggest that additional use of agents, like ketamine (low and high doses), midazolam, dexmedetomidine, propofol, glycopyrrolate, and bupivacaine are of some benefit.

Limitations of the Literature and Future Directions
If one looks at the available literature, it is evident that although delirium occurs at the interface of psychiatry and other clinical specialties, in general, there is a lack of interdisciplinary research in this area. Further, there is a lack of multicentric studies on delirium and there are only occasional attempts at collaboration with researchers from other parts of the globe.174 Further, only the Indian Psychiatric Society has come up with the management guidelines for delirium,173 with none of the other professional associations from India, coming up with any other recommendations on the subject. Keeping this in mind, there is a need to develop collaborations at the institutional level to start multidisciplinary research and also develop collaboration across various institutes. This will not only lead to an improvement in the research output on the subject but will also help in developing intervention models which can be implemented in resource-constrained countries like India. Further, these collaborations will also help to develop instruments that may be more suitable for the Indian setting. Further, forming a multidisciplinary professional society for delirium, like the American Delirium Society and European Delirium Society, can provide a platform for further exchange of ideas and collaborative research.

If one closely examines the available literature from India, except for a few studies, most of the studies have been limited to approximately 100 participants or less. Accordingly, these studies can be considered as underpowered and collaborative research can address this issue further. One of the important examples of delirium research comes from Italy, in which like-minded researchers joined together for the “Delirium Day” research, which focused on point prevalence of delirium in 1,867 elderly patients across 108 acute and 12 rehabilitation wards.180 In this study, delirium was assessed across all the centers on the same day. If researchers from India can take a lead from this, doing this kind of research can help in improving the data and understanding about delirium.

Across the globe, nonpharmacological measures are considered to be the first-line management for delirium and a lot of efforts have been made to evaluate various nonpharmacological treatment bundles, such as HELP program and ABCDEF bundle.9,10 Available data suggest that these programs are very useful in the prevention, early identification, and management of delirium.78 However, none of the studies have evaluated the various nonpharmacological measures for the prevention and management of delirium in the Indian setting. This area requires a major boost if we need to improve the outcome of delirium.

One of the major strengths of India is family system.181 Whenever a person from the family falls ill, their family is always available to support the person. However, except
for the occasional study, there is no research from India, as to how we can utilize this asset to improve the outcome of patients with delirium.

One of the major areas of research, which has not caught the attention of researchers from India, is an evaluation of biomarkers of delirium. One of the major risk factors for delirium is dementia. However, this group of patients has also not received any major attention. As the elderly population is on the rise and India is already an aging country, we need to focus on understanding delirium in this group of patients.

Conclusion
To conclude, this systematic review suggests that although there is limited research on delirium from India, in recent years there is an increase in research output concerning delirium. Available data suggest that most of the studies have focused on the incidence, prevalence, and symptom profile of patients of delirium.
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