A Non-Invasive Prediction Model for Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease in Adults with Type 2 Diabetes Based on the Population of Northern Urumqi, China
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Background: High prevalence of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) occurs in type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), and about 13% of diabetic patients eventually die of liver cirrhosis or liver cancer. The purpose of our research was to develop a non-invasive predictive model of NAFLD in adults with T2DM.

Patients and Methods: Adult patients diagnosed with T2DM during physical examination in 2018 in Urumqi were recruited, in total 40,921 cases. We chose questionnaire and physical measurement variables to build a simple, low-cost model. Variables were selected by the least absolute shrinkage and selection operator regression (LASSO). The features chosen by LASSO were used to build the nomogram prediction model of NAFLD. The receiver operating curve (ROC) and calibration were used for model validation.

Results: Determinants in the nomogram included age, ethnicity, sex, exercise, smoking, dietary ratio, heart rate, systolic blood pressure (SBP), BMI, waist circumference, and atherosclerotic vascular disease (ASCVD). The area under ROC of developing group and validation group was 0.756 (95% confidence interval 0.750–0.761) and 0.755 (95% confidence interval 0.746–0.763), respectively, and the P values of the two calibration curves were 0.694 and 0.950, suggesting that the nomogram had good disease recognition ability and calibration.

Conclusion: A nomogram constructed with accuracy can calculate the possibility of NAFLD in adults with T2DM. If validated externally, this tool could be utilized as a non-invasive method to diagnose non-alcoholic fatty liver in adults with T2DM.
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Introduction

Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is the main cause of chronic liver disease, the incidence rate of which has been increasing rapidly in the world. NAFLD is usually closely related to obesity and type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM).1,2 According to different reports, the prevalence of fatty liver in patients with T2DM is between 29.6%3 and 87.1%.4 In some patients, simple steatosis can lead to nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), and over time, NASH can lead to cirrhosis and associated sequelae, which includes hepato-cellular carcinoma (HCC).5 NAFLD is a systemic disease associated with...
increased risk of various diseases, such as acute ischemic stroke, which has been found in 42.5% acute ischemic stroke patients. Studies have found there were relations between helicobacter pylori infection and NAFLD. Lacking effective treatment for NAFLD, more irreversible effects on the patient’s metabolic system occur. In China, elderly diabetic patients cannot go for timely, regular liver pathological examinations due to inconvenience and difficulty in getting medical treatment, and other factors. Therefore, early identification of NAFLD patients with T2DM and timely change of their diet and lifestyle are crucial to the prevention of liver diseases and controlling the complications of diabetes.

The prevalence of metabolic syndrome in Xinjiang is at a high level. According to data from the national health examination in 2008, the detection rates of T2DM, NAFLD and obesity in Urumqi, the capital of Xinjiang, were 13.96%, 22.28% and 8.95%, respectively, while the prevalence rates of these diseases in the whole country were 11%, 29.2% and 7.9%, respectively. Therefore, enough attention should be paid to metabolic syndrome in Xinjiang.

In clinics, most of the diagnoses of NAFLD are made via radiology. The most common diagnostic method is abdominal ultrasound, as liver ultrasonography has high accuracy. In addition, semi-quantitative ultrasonography indices are correlated with metabolic derangements and liver histology changes. The use of indices is encouraged, such as the ultrasonographic fatty liver indicator, but the accuracy of ultrasound diagnosis depends on the level of the fabricator, and for people with abdominal obesity, the accuracy of ultrasound diagnosis of fatty liver is more limited. Liver biopsy is the gold standard for diagnosis and staging of NAFLD. However, liver biopsy is invasive, which may result in severe complications and is limited by sampling error. Considering these inherent defects of liver ultrasonography and liver biopsy, an ideal detection method should be non-invasive, repeatable, simple, easy to obtain and low cost. Our research met these requirements, using questionnaire and physical measurement indicators to predict the risk of non-alcoholic fatty liver in patients with T2DM, and is especially useful in developing countries with high rates of disease and poor health care.

A non-invasive model is already being used to predict occurrence of liver cell inflammation and cardiovascular complications in NAFLD patients. Nomogram is a graphical representation of the individual’s risk classification. Although it has been widely used in other diseases, it is rarely used in the prediction of fatty liver. To the best of our knowledge, only one study had established a risk prediction model for NAFLD in T2DM, although it was limited by the small sample size and demand for blood indicators. Our aim was to establish a non-invasive model of nomogram based on large sample size, simple questionnaire and physical measurement data (without blood indicators), so as to accurately predict the risk of NAFLD in patients with T2DM.

**Patients and Methods**

**Patient Selection**

The physical examination data in the present study came from 643,439 samples of Urumqi residents in 2018. The government provides free physical examination to all residents in Xinjiang. The included samples cover all administrative areas in Urumqi, making it the largest and most formal examination in history for all the people. The examinations were carried out by medical teams in each administrative region, and all medical personnel received rigorous training, which ensures the accuracy of the examination results. After the investigation, all the data were summarized at the Health Management Hospital of Xinjiang Medical University, and we got the data from the Health Management Hospital.

As mentioned in the previous paragraph, the physical examination data used to support the findings of this study are stored and managed by the health management institute of Xinjiang medical university, and the data can only be used after applying for approval to the health management institute. Since I am an employee of the health management institute, my students and I participated in the collection of this medical examination data, and I have obtained approval to use these data for all my research.

Among 643,439 physical examination subjects, 52,365 adult patients with type 2 diabetes were screened out. 11,443 self-reported frequent drinkers were excluded from these patients. Totally, 40,922 T2DM cases were included in the final study, and all the patients in the included study signed written informed consent for this study. This study was conducted in
accordance with the principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Ethics Committee and institutional Review Committee of the Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous Region CDC.

**Diagnosis of Diabetes**
The diagnostic criteria for diabetes recommended by the American Diabetes Association (ADA) in 1997 were used in this study: fasting blood glucose ≥ 7.0mmol/l, or people who self-reported having T2DM and took hypoglycemic drugs; the final incidence of diabetes was 13.96%, which was equivalent to the incidence of previous studies, excluding gestational diabetes and type 1 diabetes.

**Diagnosis of NAFLD**
Diagnostic criteria and exclusion criteria for NAFLD:

i) diagnostic criteria: liver blips with fine density, enhanced near-field echo, and mild or obvious attenuation of far-field echo; liver and kidney echo contrast increased; the structure of intrahepatic lumen is blurred, which may be accompanied by mild to moderate liver enlargement. ii) Exclusion criteria: heavy drinking, that is, weekly drinking was converted into alcohol intake, >140g for male and b> 70g for female; viral hepatitis, mainly hepatitis B and C; autoimmune hepatitis; drug-induced hepatitis; some genetic diseases, including Wilson’s disease, hemochromatosis, etc; anemia; long-term use of corticosteroids; patients with fatty liver caused by endocrine diseases. After summarizing all the results of physical examination, two doctors from hepatology checked the diagnosis results of fatty liver, which were consistent with the preliminary diagnosis. Finally, the prevalence of non-alcoholic fatty liver in patients with T2DM in Urumqi was 37.39%.

**Variable Definitions**
Co-variables included in this study: 1) demographic information: age, gender, ethnicity and career; 2) living habits, exercise, smoking and dietary habits; 3) indicators of body measurement: body mass index (BMI), blood pressure, heart ratio, waist circumference (WC), abdominal examination, fundus examination and B-ultrasound; 4) other relative diseases.

In the study, the age was divided into: “18–29”, “30–39”, “40–49”, “50–59”, “60–69”, “70–79” and “over 80” group; ethnicity consisted of: “Han”, “Uygur”, “Kazak”, “Hui”, “Mongolian” and “other nationalities” group. Smoking condition was divided into non, ever or current group; exercise: someone who exercised more than three times a week for the previous six months was defined as “yes” others were defined as “no”; dietary habits: “meat based”, “meat balanced”, and “vegetarian based”.

The baseline comorbidities considered in this study were atherosclerotic vascular disease (ASCVD), heart failure, bronchitis, renal failure, chronic nephritis, precordial pain, eye diseases, psychosis, diabetic nephropathy and anemia, defined as yes and no. In this study, ASCVD included cerebrovascular, coronary or peripheral disease; participants were asked to answer the question “have you ever had a heart attack, or do you have a stent or a bridge?”, if the answer was affirmative, it was considered as ASCVD. Diabetic nephropathy is one of the most important complications in diabetic patients and has become the second cause of end-stage renal disease at present, the diagnosis of which is traditionally based on microalbuminuria (MA), and renal failure is a pathological condition in which partial or complete loss of renal function is caused by various chronic kidney diseases in the later stages; chronic nephritis is also called chronic glomerulonephritis, this form of nephritis develops slowly and causes few symptoms in its early stages. But as the condition gets worse, this condition can cause severe kidney damage and kidney failure, which may develop after a sudden disease; heart failure, also known as congestive heart failure and congestive cardiac failure, is a decline in cardiac function caused by various heart diseases, including shortness of breath, fatigue, palpitation, edema of lower limbs, etc; precordial pain is the primary cardiac-related complaint, and it is a symptom that can be caused by many diseases; eye diseases include retinal hemorrhage, papilledema and cataract; psychosis is defined as a condition that affects the way our brain processes information, which causes the patient to lose touch with reality; psychosis is a symptom, not an illness, mental or physical illness, substance abuse, or extreme stress or trauma can cause it;  anemia refers to a common clinical symptom when the volume of red blood cells in human peripheral blood is decreased below the lower limit of the normal range. In our research, the diagnosis of all of these diseases was based on the main complaint of the patient.
Statistical Analysis
Xinjiang physical examination data contained a large scale of samples, data cleaning is of great importance. We first deleted more than 200 variables that were not relevant to the study (such as the time of physical examination, the information of inputator, etc.) or had a large number of missing values, and finally 23 variables were included in our study. The mode was used to fill the null values in categorical variables, and the mean value was used to fill the null values of continuous variables.

The samples were randomly assigned to the development group (n=28,645) and the validation group (n=12,276) on a ratio of 7:3. When comparing the baseline characteristics of the modeling group and the verification group, for the continuous variables, the normality test was performed, when meeting normal distribution, the t-test would be used or the rank sum test was used. Chi-squared or Fisher’s exact test was used for categorical variables. Differences with a two-sided P-value of <0.05 were deemed statistically significant. The appendix lists the results of logistic regression analysis of risk factors for NAFLD in T2DM by gender (Table S1; Figure S1 and S2).

The open source Python software Version 3.7.2 (https://www.python.org) was used for data cleaning; the open source R software Version 3.6.1 (http://www.r-project.org) was used for statistical analysis and modeling: the data of development and validation group were randomly divided by CARET-package; variable selection of LASSO regression was performed by glmnet-packages; establishing and calibrating nomogram model were done with RMS-package; the score of nomogram was calculated by nomogramEx-package; the ROCR-package and PROC-package was used to plot ROC curve.

Results
The Missing Data of Covariates
Figure 1 showed the missing data of all covariates, the bar chart described the missing proportion of 23 variables (career 0.02%, exercise 0.81%, smoking 0.88%, dietary ratio 7.91%, heart rate 0.79%, DBP 4.42%, SBP 4.41%, BMI 0.30%, waist 2.49%, and no loss for other variables). The graph was sorted by samples missing components, and the red represents missing data. As shown in the figure, the proportion of samples without missing values is the largest among all samples, and the proportion of samples only missing dietary ratio was second.

Baseline Situation (Table 1)
Among the 40,921 diabetic patients in this study, 28,645 and 12,276 were randomly assigned to the development group and validation group, respectively. The comparison of baseline data showed that there was no significant difference between the development group and the validation group (P > 0.05).

Characteristics’ Selection
As shown in Figure 2A, Figure 3 and B, LASSO screened out 11 variables from 23 covariates, including age, ethnicity, sex, exercise, smoking, dietary ratio, heart rate, systolic blood pressure (SBP), BMI, waist circumference, and ASCVD (Table 2).

Establishment of the Nomogram
Logistic multiple regression analysis showed that the 11 variables screened by LASSO were significant. In addition, among the 11 covariates, the maximum variance expansion factor (VIF) was 1.979 and the minimum was 1.004, indicating that there was no multicollinearity among them.

Factors with statistically significant differences were determined by the multiple logistic regression model. Nomogram prediction model was constructed to predict the possibility of NAFLD in T2DM patients(Figure 3). If each factor corresponded to the upper scale, the score of that factor could be obtained. By adding the scores of each factor, the total score could be calculated. According to total scores, the probability of each individual developing NAFLD could be calculated. The higher the score, the more likely the individual was to develop NAFLD.

Take an example of nomogram usage: a sample was randomly selected from the developing set, a 45 year old man, Han nationality, no exercise, balanced diet, current smoking, heart rate was 90, systolic blood pressure (SBP) was 140 (mmHg), BMI was 28 (kg/m²), waist circumference was 85 (cm), no ASCVD history, so total score was 168.405, and the corresponding possibility of NAFLD was close to 80%.
Validation of the Nomogram

The accuracy of the model was evaluated in terms of AUC values and Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness of fit test. The sensitivity and specificity of cut-off in development group were 69.7% and 67.7% and in validation group were 67.0% and 69.8%, respectively. And the AUC value of development group and validation group were 0.756 (95% confidence interval 0.750–0.761) and 0.755 (95% confidence interval 0.746–0.763) respectively (Figures 4A and B and 5A and B). The calibration chart also showed good agreement between nomogram’s predicted value and the actual probability of disease. When $p>0.05$, the calibration ability of the model is good. The calibration curve of the development group and validation group showed $p=0.654$ and $p=0.950$, respectively. All of which were greater than 0.05, indicating that the model had good calibration ability.

Discussion

In this study, we aimed to establish an NAFLD screening model only based on questionnaire indicators and physical measurement. The model had the following advantages: low cost, repeatable, graphic display and easy to use, which was particularly suitable for areas with high epidemiological risk and low socioeconomic status, such as Xinjiang, China. Risk factors in this nomogram included age, ethnicity, sex, exercise, smoking, dietary ratio, heart rate, systolic blood pressure (SBP), BMI, waist circumference, and ASCVD.

Previously recognized results showed that poor living habits such as lack of exercise and poor diet were the main
Table 1 Characteristics of the Development Set and Validation Set

| Characteristics          | Development Set (n = 28,645) | Validation Set (n = 122,76) | P-value |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| Age (years), n(%)        |                               |                             |         |
| 18–29                    | 200(0.70)                     | 72(0.59)                    | 0.380   |
| 30–39                    | 563(1.97)                     | 241(1.96)                   |         |
| 40–49                    | 206(7.19)                     | 920(7.49)                   |         |
| 50–59                    | 4600(16.06)                   | 1990(16.21)                 |         |
| 60–69                    | 8683(30.31)                   | 3604(29.36)                 |         |
| 70–79                    | 9503(33.18)                   | 4149(33.80)                 |         |
| ≥ 80                     | 3035(10.60)                   | 1300(10.59)                 |         |
| Ethnicity, n(%)          |                               |                             |         |
| Han                      | 20,809(72.64)                 | 8908(72.56)                 | 0.692   |
| Uygur                    | 3263(11.39)                   | 1393 (11.35)                |         |
| Kazak                    | 401 (1.40)                    | 173 (1.41)                  |         |
| Hui                      | 3,252 (13.35)                 | 1639 (13.35)                |         |
| Mongolian                | 36 (0.13)                     | 24 (0.20)                   |         |
| Other nationalities      | 311 (1.09)                    | 139 (1.13)                  |         |
| Sex, n(%)                |                               |                             | 0.623   |
| Male                     | 12,085(42.19)                 | 521(42.46)                  |         |
| Female                   | 16,560(57.81)                 | 7064(57.54)                 |         |
| Career, n(%)             |                               |                             |         |
| Trader or service people | 12,684(44.28)                 | 5408(44.05)                 | 0.602   |
| Agricultural workers     | 13,148(45.90)                 | 5638(45.93)                 |         |
| Factory workers          | 1582(5.52)                    | 723(5.89)                   |         |
| Soldiers                 | 359(1.25)                     | 146(1.19)                   |         |
| Others                   | 872(3.04)                     | 361(2.94)                   |         |
| Exercise, n (%)          |                               |                             | 0.703   |
| No                       | 12,740(44.48)                 | 5434(44.27)                 |         |
| Yes                      | 15,905(55.52)                 | 6842(55.73)                 |         |
| Smoking, n (%)           |                               |                             | 0.193   |
| Non                      | 25,467(88.91)                 | 10,871(88.55)               |         |
| Ever                     | 639(2.33)                     | 256(2.09)                   |         |
| Current                  | 2539(8.86)                    | 1149(9.36)                  |         |
| Dietary ratio, n (%)     |                               |                             | 0.246   |
| Vegetarian based         | 1160(4.05)                    | 495(4.03)                   |         |
| Meat balanced            | 24,630(85.98)                 | 10,623(86.53)               |         |
| Meat based               | 2855(9.97)                    | 1158(9.43)                  |         |
| ASCVD, n(%)              |                               |                             | 0.735   |
| No                       | 23,623(82.47)                 | 10,106(82.32)               |         |
| Yes                      | 5022(17.53)                   | 2170(17.68)                 |         |
| Diabetic nephropathy, n (%) | 28,325(98.88)               | 12,156(99.02)               | 0.230   |
| No                       | 320(1.12)                     | 120(0.98)                   |         |
| Yes                      | 28,465(99.37)                 | 12,206(99.43)               |         |
| Renal failure, n(%)      |                               |                             | 0.534   |
| No                       | 28,465(99.37)                 | 12,206(99.43)               |         |
| Yes                      | 180(0.63)                     | 70(0.57)                    |         |

(Continued)

Table 1 (Continued).

| Characteristics          | Development Set (n = 28,645) | Validation Set (n = 122,76) | P-value |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| Chronic nephritis, n(%)  |                               |                             |         |
| No                       | 28,545(99.65)                 | 12,229(99.62)               | 0.665   |
| Yes                      | 100(0.35)                     | 47(0.38)                    |         |
| Congestive heart failure, n(%) | 28,632(99.55)            | 12,270(99.51)               | 0.693   |
| No                       | 130(0.45)                     | 60(0.49)                    |         |
| Yes                      | 28,202(98.05)                 | 12,095(98.09)               | 0.812   |
| Precordial pain, n(%)    |                               |                             |         |
| No                       | 28,303(98.81)                 | 12,118(98.71)               | 0.461   |
| Yes                      | 342(1.19)                     | 158(1.29)                   |         |
| Eye diseases, n(%)       |                               |                             | 0.935   |
| No                       | 28,518(99.56)                 | 12,223(99.57)               |         |
| Yes                      | 127(0.44)                     | 53(0.43)                    |         |
| Psychosis, n(%)          |                               |                             | 0.433   |
| No                       | 27,383(95.59)                 | 11,713(95.41)               |         |
| Yes                      | 1262(4.41)                    | 563(4.59)                   |         |
| Bronchitis, n(%)         |                               |                             | 0.322   |
| No                       | 24,990(87.24)                 | 10,665(86.88)               |         |
| Yes                      | 3655(12.76)                   | 1611(13.12)                 |         |
| Anemia, n(%)             |                               |                             | 0.749   |
| No                       | 28,537(99.62)                 | 12,233(99.65)               |         |
| Yes                      | 108(0.38)                     | 43(0.35)                    |         |
| Tuberculosis, n(%)       |                               |                             | 0.617   |
| No                       | 26,033(93.32)                 | 26,023(93.55)               | 0.801   |
| Yes                      | 291(0.98)                     | 312(0.98)                   |         |
| BMI (kg/m²)              | 26.03±3.38                    | 26.02±3.55                  |         |
| SBP (mmHg)               | 130(120–141)                  | 130(120–141)                | 0.314   |
| DBP (mmHg)               | 78(70–82)                     | 78(70–82)                   | 0.416   |
| Heart rate (counts per minute) | 76(70–81)                   | 76(70–81)                   | 0.614   |
| Waist circumference (cm) | 90.41±10.43                   | 90.35±10.40                 | 0.617   |

**Abbreviations:** BMI, body mass index; ASCVD, atherosclerotic vascular disease; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; SBP, systolic blood pressure.

risk factors for T2DM, fatty liver, obesity and other chronic diseases, which was the same as the results of our study. In addition, this paper proved that smoking was a risk factor of NAFLD and at present, there are few studies about the relation between smoking and NAFLD. Smoking was confirmed to be associated with advanced fibronecrosis in a large, multi-center cohort clinical research network of non-alcoholic steatohepatitis. Considering the direct influence of smoking on the liver, patients should be encouraged to quit smoking to reduce...
the risk of cardiovascular disease and hypertension in this high-risk population. As in previous studies, the prevalence of NAFLD was highest in those who were middle aged, and men compared to women.  

Xinjiang is the largest minority region in China. Different ethnic groups have different living habits, which may be the cause of the difference in the prevalence of non-alcoholic fatty liver. This study found that the prevalence among Kazakhs and Uyghurs was low, and that it was highest among Hans, which is consistent with previous studies.  

There is a strong association between liver diseases and T2DM, which is higher than expected by a correlation between two very common diseases. Overexpression of hepascocin in diabetic patients with NAFLD may facilitate increased hepatic lipid accumulation. NAFLD was also closely related to other diseases such as arteriosclerosis, cerebral and cardiovascular strokes, and colon tumor. NAFLD is considered as the “hepatic manifestation of the metabolic syndrome”, and increases the risk of ASCVD through effects on synthesis of lipoproteins, coagulation proteins, and inflammatory factors.

In view of the gender differences in NAFLD, we established the NAFLD screening models for both men and women in the appendix. In the female model, smoking was not selected by LASSO, but ASCVD and eye diseases had greater influence on females than males. Zhang et al reported that the presence of diabetes conferred the potential for a greater risk of vascular complications and inflammatory disease in females compared with males due to some factors, including the contribution of sex hormones and sex-specific risk factors. Models based on different sexes have a good degree of discrimination and prediction ability in the development set (AUC = 0.750 for females, AUC = 0.764 for males) and
validation set (AUC = 0.754 for females, AUC = 0.764 for males).

There are several advantages to this study. First, as far as we know, this was the first study in China to develop and evaluate a model for predicting NAFLD prevalence in patients with T2DM. Second, the data for this study came from a large, representative national health examination. Third, the variables we used were easy to get from the physical examination data, which is especially useful in less developed regions. Fourth, the nomogram that we developed uses non-invasive variables and it includes four adjustable variables: waistline, BMI, eating habits and smoking. Thus, it meant that we could control the occurrence and development of NAFLD by suggesting balanced diet, strengthening exercise, and quitting smoking.

Because our study came from a large census rather than a special survey on diabetes or fatty liver disease, we did not have the family history of diabetes. In fact, a flaw in China’s health system in the last century has led to a large number of undiagnosed disease histories. Therefore, family history of diabetes is not an accurate variable and cannot be adjusted to improve the disease.

This study also had some limitations. First of all, our study was based on a cross-sectional survey and cannot predict long-term trends. Second, there was a lack of some important potential risk factors, NAFLD is strongly related to bacteria in the gut, and some tumors are also related to NAFLD. Our physical examination data did not contain these detection indicators. Third, in addition to the measurement of blood pressure, all other measurement indicators were
Table 2 Multivariate Logistic Regression Analysis for Risk Factors Associated with Hypertension in the Development Group (N = 28,645)

| Variables                          | β     | Odds Ratio | 95% CI  | Z value | P value |
|------------------------------------|-------|------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Age(years), n(%)                   |       |            |         |         |         |
| 18–29                              | Ref   | 1.000      | Ref     | —       | —       |
| 30–39                              | 0.601 | 1.824      | 1.154–2.943 | 2.522   | 0.012   |
| 40–49                              | 0.720 | 2.056      | 1.346–3.220 | 3.245   | 0.001   |
| 50–59                              | 0.651 | 1.917      | 1.263–2.986 | 2.971   | 0.003   |
| 60–69                              | 0.551 | 1.734      | 1.144–2.697 | 2.522   | 0.012   |
| 70–79                              | 0.327 | 1.386      | 0.913–2.158 | 1.492   | 0.136   |
| ≥ 80                               | 0.048 | 1.049      | 0.686–1.643 | 0.215   | 0.830   |

| Ethnicity, n(%)                    |       |            |         |         |         |
| Han                                | Ref   | 1.000      | Ref     | —       | —       |
| Uygur                              | −0.497| 0.608      | 0.554–0.668 | −10.368 | <0.001  |
| Kazak                              | −0.564| 0.569      | 0.448–0.720 | −4.654  | <0.001  |
| Hui                                | −0.111| 0.895      | 0.824–0.972 | −2.639  | 0.008   |
| Mongolian                          | −0.226| 0.797      | 0.366–1.657 | −0.592  | 0.554   |
| Other nationalities                | −0.357| 0.700      | 0.526–0.924 | −2.486  | 0.013   |

| Sex, n(%)                          |       |            |         |         |         |
| Female                             | Ref   | 1.000      | Ref     | —       | —       |
| Male                               | 0.576 | 1.779      | 1.676–1.888 | 19.005  | <0.001  |

| Exercise                           |       |            |         |         |         |
| No                                 | Ref   | 1.000      | Ref     | —       | —       |
| Yes                                | −0.552| 0.576      | 0.544–0.610 | −18.983 | <0.001  |

| Smoking, n(%)                      |       |            |         |         |         |
| Non                                | Ref   | 1.000      | Ref     | —       | —       |
| Ever                               | 0.520 | 1.683      | 1.408–2.009 | 5.740   | <0.001  |
| Current                            | 0.963 | 2.619      | 2.375–2.890 | 19.222  | <0.001  |

| Dietary ratio, n(%)                |       |            |         |         |         |
| Vegetarian based                   | Ref   | 1.000      | Ref     | —       | —       |
| Meat balanced                      | −0.081| 0.923      | 0.808–1.054 | −1.191  | 0.234   |
| Meat based                         | 0.848 | 2.334      | 2.003–2.722 | 10.839  | <0.001  |

| Heart rate (counts per minute)     | 0.008 | 1.008      | 1.006–1.009 | 5.699   | <0.001  |
| SBP (mmHg)                         | 0.007 | 1.007      | 1.006–1.009 | 9.088   | <0.001  |
| BMI (kg/m²)                        | 0.173 | 1.189      | 1.177–1.202 | 31.485  | <0.001  |
| Waist circumference (cm)           | 0.020 | 1.020      | 1.016–1.024 | 10.798  | <0.001  |
| ASCVD, n(%)                        |       |            |         |         |         |
| No                                 | Ref   | 1.000      | Ref     | —       | —       |
| Yes                                | 0.540 | 1.716      | 1.599–1.843 | 14.891  | <0.001  |

Abbreviations: β, the regression coefficient; CI, confidence interval; BMI, body mass index; SBP, systolic blood pressure; ASCVD, atherosclerotic vascular disease.

only a single measurement, which might cause deviation of the results.

**Conclusion**

With the great progress of scientists in understanding the pathogenesis and treatment of NAFLD, the prediction and early recognition of NAFLD have become more meaningful. We have developed a nomogram model with high accuracy and recognition ability. It could calculate the risk of NAFLD quickly and simply. Our model makes up for shortcomings of missed detection of traditional detection methods. The
prediction model has been shown in a nomogram, which consists of five adjustable variables for guidance to a healthy life. However, the incidence of children’s NAFLD is increasing year by year, and it attracts more and more attention of society. In the future, we hope to have accurate prediction of children’s disease model, and provide support for children’s NAFLD prevention guidelines and interventions.
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