Isolation and Characterization of Marine Endophytic Fungi from Seaweeds, and Bioactivity of their Crude Extracts
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Abstract

The main objective of the study was to observe the antioxidant, cytotoxicity and anticancer activity of 12 marine endophytic fungi extracts. Marine endophytic fungi were isolated from 11 species of seaweeds, collected from Kovalam (coralgrown), Chennai and Mandapam, Ramanathapuram district in Tamil Nadu, India. The isolated fungi were extracted with ethyl acetate solvent for bioactivity assay. DPPH assay was performed for antioxidant study. The extracts from Chaetomium sp., Phomopsis sp., Acremonium sp., Aspergillus niger, Xylaria sp., Cladosporium sp., and Fusarium sp., showed greater antioxidant potential compared to other fungal extracts. The cytotoxicity assay was carried out in the Vero cell line and the anticancer activity was found in HEp2 cell line (Human Epidermoid carcinoma cells) and in A549 cell line (Human Lung Carcinoma - Epithelial cells). We observed that Phomopsis sp. fungal extract was more toxic to both the cancer cells, but, comparatively, the same extract exhibited less toxicity against the normal Vero cells. The IC50 values for other 11 different fungal extracts against Vero cells were lesser than the IC50 value of the respective extract against A549 cancer cells, indicating the toxicity of these 11 different fungal extracts.
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INTRODUCTION
Endophyte (Gr. endon, within; phyton, plant) - the word was first coined by de Bary. Endophytes are an endosymbiotic group of microorganisms that can be readily isolated from any microbial and plant growth medium and they may colonize in plants and microbes. Endophytic organism plays a key role in discovery of novel bioactive secondary metabolites, these metabolites are alkaloids, quinones, steroids, saponins, tannins, terpenoids and phenolic acid. Bioactive secondary metabolites of endophytic organisms serve as a vital sources for antimicrobial, anti-insect, anticancer and many more properties.

The endophytic microorganisms can be bacteria, fungi, actinomycetes, or viruses. While they express a variety of symbiotic lifestyles ranging from parasitism to mutualism. Terrestrial endophytic organisms – chiefly fungi and bacteria which reside the living interior parts of plant tissue without causing any harmful effect to the host. There are roughly 3,00,000 various plant species inhabiting our planet and it can be expected that each one has a complex community endophytic microorganism.

Marine endophytes – Bacteria and fungi have a firm relations with soft-bodied marine organisms, these organism does not have a clear structural protection mechanisms, and thus rely on chemical protection by the production of secondary metabolites. Fungi found in almost all the corners of marine habitat, namely marine plants such as algae, driftwood, mangrove plants and in marine invertebrates - sponges, corals, ascidians, holothurians and vertebrates (mainly fungi). Apart from all the various marine organisms, algae is utmost ubiquitous sources of marine endophytic fungi for biochemical research. Marine endophytic fungi, which colonize internal tissue of their hosts without causing any diminishing effect, have substantiated to be crucial sources of bioactive natural products with a subtle structure and dynamic pharmaceutical activity.

Marine-derived secondary metabolites (SM) are low molecular mass, organic compounds. That are dissimilar to primary metabolites, secondary metabolites are not precisely involved in the growth, development or reproduction of the producing organism. The marine environment is an affluent source of secondary metabolites with implicit application in specialized product development. The amelioration of secondary metabolites from marine resources has been a key factor for intensive research due to their bioactive nature.

Marine-derived secondary metabolites and anticancer activity
Cancer is characterized as the uncontrolled growth of abnormal cells that affect various organs of the body and invasion into normal tissues. Cancer cells can disseminate to other sections of the body and produce new tumors. Dissemination of cells becomes uncontrolled and ends in death. The annual death toll from cancer is expected to rise to 17.5 million by 2050, simply due to projected population growth and ageing, as well as lifestyle and environmental influences, including smoking and exposure to carcinogenic agents. Numerous current therapeutic methods are ineffective in treating certain cancers, and multidrug-resistant tumors intensify treatment challenges. The discovery of potential and active novel chemotherapeutic agents isolated from natural sources is a key factor in curing debilitating diseases such as cancer.

There are more than 1000 Marine fungal-derived structurally unique secondary metabolites have already been published. Despite the absence of marine-derived fungal secondary metabolites

| Table 1. Seaweeds collected from Kovalam (Covelong), Chennai |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| Kovalam (Covelong), Chennai |
| Chaetomorpha antenina |
| Grateloupia lithophila |
| Ulva lactuca |
| Garcilaria corticata |

| Table 2. Seaweeds collected from Mandapam, Ramanathapuram district |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Mandapam, Ramanathapuram district |
| Garcilaria solicornia |
| Turbinaria conides |
| Gercilaria edulis |
| Halimeda macroloba |
| Stoechospermum marignatum |
| Gelidiella acerosa |
| Sargassum swartzii |
in current clinical research, plenty of secondary metabolites are designated as a chemotherapy agent due to the anticancer activity. There is a particular concern and focus in discovering and studying the potential of marine derived fungal secondary metabolites and screening for anticancer activity, leads to the identification of more effective compounds.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Collection of seaweeds

Seaweeds are collected from Kovalam (covelong), Chennai - Coordinates: 12.7925°N 80.2530°E and Mandapam, Ramanathapuram district - Coordinates: 9.28°N 79.12°E. Seaweeds were first observed, then collected according to differentiation in morphology and colour. Collected seaweed samples were then transferred gently into Polythene zip-lock sample cover. Collected seaweed samples were quickly taken to a laboratory and then seaweed was gently washed in sterile water. Seaweed samples are then gently pressed with a sterile tissue paper to remove wetness from seaweed samples. Seaweed samples were further sterilized to remove external microorganisms for the isolation of endophytic fungi.

Isolation of endophytic fungi from seaweeds.

Seaweeds samples were cut into little fragments of exactly 1 x 1 cm and washed three times with sterile seawater to eliminate surface debris. Little fragment of seaweed sample was immersed in EtOH 70% for 60 - 80 seconds for surface sterilization. Small fragments of seaweed tissue were soaked dried with a sterile cotton cloth (or rinse with sterile artificial sea water) to cease the sterilization with EtOH 70%. Fragment of seaweed sample was kept carefully on the surface of a Petri plate containing potato dextrose agar medium with sterilized forceps so, that the freshly cut edges are in direct contact with the agar surface. Seal the Petri plates with parafilm, label and store it at 20 - 25°C. Fungal growth from the cut seaweed fragments usually initiate’s after 2-3 days until 14 days after the onset of the experiment [10]. Different fungal growth were observed from different seaweed sample. The individual fungal growth was isolated by removing hyphal tips growing out of the cut seaweed fragments with a sterile loop onto a fresh Petri plate containing fresh

| Collected Seaweeds | Isolated Marine endophytic fungi |
|--------------------|---------------------------------|
| (A) Chaetomorpha antenina | 1. Chaetomium sp. |
|                     | 2. Penicillium sp. |
|                     | 3. Phomopsis sp. |
|                     | 4. Xylaria sp. |
|                     | 5. Cladosporium sp. |
|                     | 6. Fusarium sp. |
| (B) Grateloupia lithophila | 1. Phomopsis sp. |
|                     | 2. Rizopus sp. |
|                     | 3. Acremonium sp. |
|                     | 4. Aspergillus niger |
| (C) Ulva lactuca | 1. Chaetomium sp. |
|                     | 2. Phomopsis sp. |
|                     | 3. Acremonium sp. |
|                     | 4. Aspergillus niger |
|                     | 5. Cladosporium sp. |
| (D) Garcilaria corticata | 1. Penicillium sp. |
|                     | 2. Cladosporium sp. |
|                     | 3. Fusarium sp. |
|                     | 4. Ascmaycetes sp. |
| (E) Garcilaria solicornia | 1. Chaetomium sp. |
|                     | 2. Rizopus sp. |
|                     | 3. Aspergillus niger |
|                     | 4. Cladosporium sp. |
|                     | 5. Ascmaycetes sp. |
| (F) Turbinaria conides | 1. Penicillium sp. |
|                     | 2. Aspergillus sp. |
|                     | 3. Aspergillus niger |
|                     | 4. Fusarium sp |
| (G) Gercilaria edulis | 1. Chaetomium sp. |
|                     | 2. Penicillium sp. |
|                     | 3. Phomopsis sp. |
| (H) Halimedia macroloba | 1. Phomopsis sp. |
|                     | 2. Acremonium |
|                     | 3. Cladosporium sp. |
| (I) Stoechospermum marignatum | 1. Penicillium sp. |
|                     | 2. Aspergillus terrus |
|                     | 3. Acremonium sp. |
|                     | 4. Xylaria sp. |
|                     | 5. Cladosporium sp. |
| (J) Gelidiella acerosa | 1. Penicillium sp. |
|                     | 2. Aspergillus sp. |
|                     | 3. Phomopsis sp. |
|                     | 4. Aspergillus niger |
|                     | 5. Xylaria sp. |
|                     | 6. Cladosporium sp. |
|                     | 7. Ascmaycetes sp |
| (K) Sargassum swartii | 1. Penicillium sp. |
|                     | 2. Phomopsis sp. |
|                     | 3. Rizopus sp. |
|                     | 4. Aspergillus niger |
|                     | 5. Xylaria sp. |
|                     | 6. Fusarium sp. |
potato dextrose agar medium. The isolated marine endophytic fungi from the host marine algae were identified through microscopic observation and fungal genus were compared with standard monographs. They were distinguished from each other by their cultural characteristics such as colony morphology, growth rates, hyphal mat characteristics, and pigmentation of the fungal colony and medium\(^{11-16}\). All the marine endophytic fungal isolates were documented and maintained in potato dextrose agar slants.

**Large-scale cultivation of endophytic fungi from seaweeds.**

Small fragments of fungal mycelium were transferred aseptically with a sterile bent inoculation loop in a 1000 ml Erlenmeyer flask containing the sterilized Potato Dextrose Broth medium. Fungal growth is carried out at room temperature under stationary condition. Based upon the fungal growth, Potato Dextrose Broth medium was incubated for 3–4 weeks.

**Collection of crude extracts from endophytic fungi**

Fungal cultured Potato Dextrose Broth was first filtered with a Büchner funnel and mycelium remains were discarded. Fungal cultured Potato dextrose broth was once again filtered through a glass funnel using Whatman qualitative filter paper, fungal culture filtrate collected in 1000 ml beaker. The Fungal cultured filtrate was then transferred into a separation funnel, equal volumes of ethyl acetate was added into a separation funnel and mixed rigorously. An aqueous phase and ethyl acetate phase was formed in a separating funnel, the aqueous phase was affably separated from the separation funnel and discarded. Ethyl acetate

---

*Fig. 1.* (A) *Chaetomorpha antenina*. (B) *Grateloupia lithophila*. (C) *Ulva lactuca*. (D) *Garcilaria corticata*. (E) *Garcilaria solicornia*. (F) *Turbinaria conides*. (G) *Garcilaria edulis*. (H) *Halimedia macroloba*. (I) *Stoechospermum marignatum*. (J) *Gelidiella acerosa*. (K) *Sargassum swartzii*. 
phase was then separated and collected in 1000 ml Erlenmeyer flasks, collected ethyl acetate extract was then transferred to 1000 ml Round-bottom flask. Ethyl acetate fungal extract was then dried under vacuum using a rotary evaporator at 40°C, this process takes about 40 minutes. At the end of the process Ethyl acetate solvent was collected in receiving round-bottom flask and the fungal extract was retained in evaporating round-bottom flask. Fungal extracted was observed as a dry solid or oily residue in evaporating round-bottom flask. Fungal extracted was removed from the round-bottom flask by eluting the fungal extract with ethyl acetate solvent. Eluted fungal extract was dispensed in sterile vials and then fungal extracts were air dried at room temperature. After air drying, dried fungal extracts were stored in the freezer for furthered bio-activity screening.

1, 1-Diphenyl-2-picryl-hydrazyl (DPPH) Assay

Buffered methanol with acetic acid were used for the preparation of the DPPH stock solution, 40 ml of 0.1M acetate buffer (pH 5.5) added to 60 ml of methanol to prepare buffered methanol. All the chemical and solvents used in the study were analytical grade. The reaction tubes were wrapped in aluminium foil and kept at 30°C for 30 minutes in darkness, due to the photosensitivity of the chemical used in the study. The optical density of the sample was measured by spectrophotometric at 517 nm and

![Fig. 2. GC-MS chromatogram analysis showed multiple peaks which indicating the presence of many compounds in crude endophytic fungal Ethyl acetate extract.](image-url)
the data obtained were presented as percentage scavenging activity.

Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) Analysis

Gas chromatography–mass spectrometry were performed by using a JEOL GC Mate II GC-MS spectrometer. The initial temperature of this instrument was at 50°C for 2 minutes. After this period the temperature of the oven was increased to 250°C. At the rate of 10°C/min maintained for 15 minutes. The temperature of the injection port was assured at 220°C and flow rate of helium at 1ml/min. Analyte ionization voltage was 70 electron volt (eV). The samples were injected in split less method. The Mass spectral scans range was at the rate of 50-650 (m/z). Application of computer in analysing GC-MS electron–ionization
mass spectra, utilizing the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) software Ver.2.1 MS data library, acquired spectrum were compared and to identify the compounds.

Bioactivity Assay

Cell cytotoxicity assay in Vero cell line.

The weighed fungal crude extracts were first dissolved in 25μL of DMSO and then mixed on the vortex mixer. 5 ml of MEM was added to the DMSO dissolved fungal crude extracts. The extracts were filtered through Whatman syringe filter (pore size-0.2μm). The filtered crude extract was made up into 1 ml stock. 100μL of diluted fungal extracts was added in the 96 well plates. The 96 well plates were kept in 5% CO₂ incubator at 36°C. Vero cells observed subsequently 24 hours, 48 hours and 72 hours.

Anticancer activity in HEp2 and A549 cell lines.

The weighed fungal crude extracts were first dissolved in 25μL of DMSO and then mixed on a vortex mixer. 5 ml of MEM was added to the DMSO dissolved fungal crude extracts. The dissolved fungal crude extracts were filtered through Whatman syringe filter (pore size-0.2μm). The filtered crude extract was made up into 1 ml stock. 100μL of diluted fungal extracts was added in the 96 well plates. The 96 well plates were kept in 5% CO₂ incubator at 36°C and HEp2 and A549 cells were observed after 24 hours, 48 hours and 72 hours.

MTT assay

MTT assay is one the prominent in-vitro assay utilised for the assessment of cell viability, proliferation of cells and cell cytotoxicity. The main principle of the MTT is that yellow coloured compound 3-(4, 5-Dimethylthiazol-2-yl) -2, 5-diphenyltetrazolium Bromide) is converted into blue Formazan derivative (2E, AZ) – (4, 5-Dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-3, 5-diphenylformazan by mitochondrial reductase. Formazan crystals are then solubilised with Dimethyl Sulfoxide (CH₃)₂SO and released solubilised formazan reagent is measured spectrophotometrically. Since the reduction of MTT can occur only in metabolically active cells, the level of activity is a measure of the viability of the cells.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Collected seaweeds

Totally 11 seaweeds were collected from two different coastal areas in Tamil Nadu, south India. 4 seaweeds were collected from Kovalam (Covelong), Chennai, Coordinates - 12.7925°N 80.2530°E and 7 seaweeds were collected from Mandapam, Ramanathapuram district, Coordinates -9.28°N 79.12°E were shown in (Table 1 & 2), (Fig. 1).

Isolated endophytic fungi from seaweeds

A total of 12 marine endophytic funguses was isolated from different genus of seaweeds.

| No. | Name of the fungi | DPPH activity (%) |
|-----|------------------|-------------------|
| 1.  | Chaetomium sp.   | 96.41             |
| 2.  | Penicillium sp.  | 46.94             |
| 3.  | Aspergillus sp.  | 49.35             |
| 4.  | Aspergillus terrus | 45.63          |
| 5.  | Phomopsis sp.    | 97.86             |
| 6.  | Rhizopus sp.     | 48.02             |
| 7.  | Acremonium sp.   | 96.14             |
| 8.  | Aspergillus niger| 95.32             |
| 9.  | Xylaria sp.      | 97.86             |
| 10. | Cladosporium sp. | 95.79             |
| 11. | Fusarium sp.     | 95.02             |
| 12. | Ascomycete sp.   | 46.41             |

Table 5. Half maximal inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀) of crude endophytic fungal Ethyl acetate extract

| No. | Isolated marine endophytic fungi | IC₅₀ values in Vero cell line |
|-----|----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| 1.  | Chaetomium sp.                   | 95 μg/ml                     |
| 2.  | Penicillium sp                   | 89 μg/ml                     |
| 3.  | Aspergillus sp.                  | 92 μg/ml                     |
| 4.  | Aspergillus terrus               | 128 μg/ml                    |
| 5.  | Phomopsis sp.                    | 66 μg/ml                     |
| 6.  | Rhizopus sp.                     | 170 μg/ml                    |
| 7.  | Acremonium sp.                   | 126 μg/ml                    |
| 8.  | Aspergillus niger                | 119 μg/ml                    |
| 9.  | Xylaria sp.                      | 154 μg/ml                    |
| 10. | Cladosporium sp.                 | 126 μg/ml                    |
| 11. | Fusarium sp.                     | 92 μg/ml                     |
| 12. | Ascomycetes sp.                  | 172 μg/ml                    |
A microscopic examination of the isolated marine endophytic fungal spores revealed various genus of endophytic fungi they were shown in (Table 3).

1. 1-Diphenyl-2-picryl-hydrazyl (DPPH) Assay

The antioxidant activity of DPPH of isolated marine endophytic fungal ethyl acetate extracts was shown in (Table 4). DPPH radical react with suitable reducing agents then losing colour stoichiometrically with the number of electrons consumed, which is measured spectrophotometrically at 517 nm. The extracts from Chaetomium sp., Phomopsis sp., Acremonium sp., Aspergillus niger, Xylaria sp., Cladosporium sp., Fusarium sp., Ascomycetes sp., showed multiple peaks which indicate the presence of many compounds. Comparison of the GC-MS mass spectra constituents with the National Institute of Standard and Technology (NIST) library is shown in (Fig. 2).

**Cell cytotoxicity assay in Vero Cells**

The results acquired through MTT assay for Vero cell line showed a dose-dependent

---

**Table 6.** 50 % Maximal inhibition of inhibitory concentration (IC_{50}) of crude Ethyl acetate extract endophytic fungi

| Isolated Endophytic marine fungi | IC_{50} Values in HEP2 Cell Line | AS49 Cell Line |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|
| 1. Chaetomium sp.               | 72 μg/ml                        | 106 μg/ml     |
| 2. Penicillium sp.              | 89 μg/ml                        | 114 μg/ml     |
| 3. Aspergillus sp.              | 112 μg/ml                       | 134 μg/ml     |
| 4. Aspergillus terrus           | 136 μg/ml                       | 165 μg/ml     |
| 5. Phomopsis sp.                | 37 μg/ml                        | 52 μg/ml      |
| 6. Rizopus sp.                  | 161 μg/ml                       | 185 μg/ml     |
| 7. Acremonium sp.               | 139 μg/ml                       | 167 μg/ml     |
| 8. Aspergillus niger            | 151 μg/ml                       | 166 μg/ml     |
| 9. Xylaria sp.                  | 158 μg/ml                       | 175 μg/ml     |
| 10. Cladosporium sp.            | 125 μg/ml                       | 134 μg/ml     |
| 11. Fusarium sp.               | 95 μg/ml                        | 134 μg/ml     |
| 12. Ascomycetes sp.             | 164 μg/ml                       | 185 μg/ml     |
decrease in the percentage of cell viability. The IC\textsubscript{50} of each extract was shown in (Table 5). The microscopic observation of cytotoxicity of \textit{Phomopsis} sp. against Vero cells showed in (Fig. 3). The dose-dependent effect of \textit{Phomopsis} sp., against Vero cell viability shown in (Fig. 4).

**Anticancer Activity Assay in HEp2 and A549 Cancer Cell Line**

The results procured through MTT assay for HEp2 cell line were compared to the result of A549 cell line shown in (Table 6) and also the microscopic observation of two cancer cells (for \textit{Phomopsis} sp.,) was shown in (Fig. 5 & 6). The cancer cell lines have shown a dose-dependent decrease in the percentage viability. The half maximal inhibitory concentration (IC\textsubscript{50}) value was observed for \textit{Phomopsis} sp. shown in (Fig. 7 & 8).

**CONCLUSION**

The extracts from \textit{Chaetomium} sp., \textit{Phomopsis} sp., \textit{Acremonium} sp., \textit{Aspergillus niger}, \textit{Xylaria} sp., \textit{Cladosporium} sp., and \textit{Fusarium} sp., showed greater antioxidant potential compared to other. GC-MS chromatogram analysis of the ethyl acetate crude fungal extract showed multiple peaks which indicate the presence of many bioactive compounds. Cell cytotoxicity assay showed different fungal crude extracts exhibited inhibitory concentration 50 (IC\textsubscript{50}) at various concentrations in Vero cell line. Anticancer activity assay results exhibited that all the crude fungal extracts except \textit{Phomopsis} sp., were toxic to both the cancer and normal cells. Further purification of \textit{Phomopsis} sp., crude fungal extracts will exhibit an efficacious result against cancer cells.
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