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Statistics

For all statistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.

- n/a: Confirmed
- The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
- A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
- The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided
- Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.
- A description of all covariates tested
- A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
- A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
- For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. F, t, r) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and P value noted
  - Give P values as exact values wherever suitable.
- For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
- For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
- Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen’s d, Pearson’s r), indicating how they were calculated

Our web collection on statistics for biologists contains articles on many of the points above.

Software and code

Policy information about availability of computer code

Data collection: Provide a description of all commercial, open source and custom code used to collect the data in this study, specifying the version used OR state that no software was used.

Data analysis: R (v3.5.0, ggplot2 (v3.3.0))

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Portfolio guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A description of any restrictions on data availability
- For clinical datasets or third party data, please ensure that the statement adheres to our policy

All sequencing data and associated metadata is available on Sequence Read Archive (PRJNA881035). The raw fastq files may be downloaded from this repository using prefetch or similar: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/PRJNA881035. All source data used to make graphs are included in the supplementary data file.
Human research participants

Policy information about: studies involving human research participants and Sex and Gender in Research.

Reporting on sex and gender

Use the terms sex (biological attribute) and gender (shaped by social and cultural circumstances) carefully in order to avoid confusing both terms. Indicate if findings apply to only one sex or gender, describe whether sex and gender were considered in study design whether sex and/or gender was determined based on self-reporting or assigned and methods used. Provide in the source data disaggregated sex and gender data where this information has been collected, and consent has been obtained for sharing of individual-level data; provide overall numbers in this Reporting Summary. Please state if this information has not been collected. Report sex- and gender-based analyses where performed, justify reasons for lack of sex- and gender-based analysis.

Population characteristics

Describe the covariate relevant population characteristics of the human research participants (e.g. age, genotypic information, past and current diagnosis and treatment categories). If you filled out the behavioural & social sciences study design questions and have nothing to add here, write “See above.”

Recruitment

Describe how participants were recruited. Outline any potential self-selection bias or other biases that may be present and how these are likely to impact results.

Ethics oversight

Identify the organization(s) that approved the study protocol.

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

Field-specific reporting

Please select the one below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.

- Life sciences
- Behavioural & social sciences
- Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences

For a reference copy of the document with all sections, see nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-fai.pdf

Life sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

Sample size

Sample size calculations were not performed however the number of samples chosen was based off of previous studies in the field of mitochondrial heteroplasmy. In addition, the paired nature of the POL2 experiment provided additional statistical power to detect differences between Mito-SIPE and long-range PCR amplification.

Data exclusions

There were no data exclusions in our study.

Replication

Samples were sequenced again on different lanes and different sequencing runs to confirm the reproducibility of our findings.

Randomization

All samples were randomized during mitochondrial DNA preparation and long range PCR amplification. They were then randomised again during library preparation and the service provider was blinded to the genotypes and nature of each sample.

Blinding

The researcher was blinded to each sample at tissue harvest and post-sequencing alignment.

Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

Materials & experimental systems

n/a Involved in the study

- Antibodies
- Eukaryotic cell lines
- Palaeontology and archaeology
- Animals and other organisms
- Clinical data
- Dual use research of concern

Methods

n/a Involved in the study

- ChIP-seq
- Flow cytometry
- MRI-based neuroimaging
Eukaryotic cell lines

Policy information about cell lines and Sex and Gender in Research

Cell line source(s) | HepG2 Merck; 8501430-1VL.
Authentication | The cell lines were not authenticated.
Mycoplasma contamination | Cells tested negative for mycoplasma.
Commonly misidentified lines (See ICLAC register) | Name any commonly misidentified cell lines used in the study and provide a rationale for their use.

Animals and other research organisms

Policy information about studies involving animals, ARRIVE guidelines recommended for reporting animal research, and Sex and Gender in Research

Laboratory animals | C57BL/6 and POLG mice were both used in these experiments.
Wild animals | Provide details on animals observed in or captured in the field; report species and age where possible. Describe how animals were caught and transported and what happened to captive animals after the study (if killed, explain why and describe method; if released, say where and when) OR state that the study did not involve wild animals.
Reporting on sex | Both male and female mice were used in this study. However, as the main concern of our experiments was the difference in performance between methodologies, we do not hypothesize that sex has a significant impact on our findings.
Field-collected samples | For laboratory work with field-collected samples, describe all relevant parameters such as housing, maintenance, temperature, photoperiod and end-of-experiment protocol OR state that the study did not involve samples collected from the field.
Ethics oversight | All animal protocols were reviewed and approved by the National Human Genome Research Institute (NHGRI) Animal Care and Use Committee prior to animal experiments.

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.