Inhibition of the 3CL Protease and SARS-CoV-2 Replication by Dalcetrapib
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ABSTRACT: The severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) 3CL protease is a promising target for inhibition of viral replication by interaction with a cysteine residue (Cys145) at its catalytic site. Dalcetrapib exerts its lipid-modulating effect by binding covalently to cysteine 13 of a cholesteryl ester transfer protein. Because 12 free cysteine residues are present in the 3CL protease, we investigated the potential of dalcetrapib to inhibit 3CL protease activity and SARS-CoV-2 replication. Molecular docking investigations suggested that dalcetrapib-thiol binds to the catalytic site of the 3CL protease with a delta G value of −8.5 kcal/mol. Dalcetrapib inhibited both 3CL protease activity in vitro and viral replication in Vero E6 cells with IC50 values of 14.4 ± 3.3 μM and an EC50 of 17.5 ± 3.5 μM (mean ± SD). Near-complete inhibition of protease activity persisted despite 1000-fold dilution after ultrafiltration with a nominal dalcetrapib-thiol concentration of approximately 100 times below the IC50 of 14.4 μM, suggesting stable protease–drug interaction. The inhibitory effect of dalcetrapib on the SARS-CoV-2 3CL protease and viral replication warrants its clinical evaluation for the treatment of COVID-19.

INTRODUCTION

The severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) is the agent responsible for the COVID-19 pandemic. Its genome encodes several proteins, including the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase, main protease (3CLpro), and papain-like protease (PLpro), whose activities represent rate-limiting steps in viral replication. Upon entry of the virus in cells, its genome is released as a single-stranded positive RNA and translated into a large viral polyprotein using the host’s machinery. Following the autocatalytic cleavage of 3CLpro, the polyprotein is then cleaved at 14 different sites, 11 of these by that protease, and its recognition sequence at most of the locations was found to be Leu–Gln↓(Ser/Ala/Gly). The catalytic site of the cysteine proteases contains the Cys145–His41 dyad for 3CLpro and the classic Cys112–His273–Asp287 triad for the papain-like protease.1

Therapeutic options directly targeting the SARS-CoV-2 are presently limited to the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase inhibitor remdesivir.2 3CLpro cleaves polypeptide sequences exclusively after a glutamine residue and thus represents an ideal drug target because, to the best of our knowledge, no human host-cell protease is known with this substrate specificity.1 Peptidomimetics were designed to inhibit 3CLpro based on the structure of the binding pocket.3 The hydroxymethylketone derivative PF-008352314 inhibits 3CLpro and viral replication and is currently in the phase 1 clinical trial. The free cysteine at the catalytic site of proteases from multiple coronaviruses is located in a hydrophobic pocket and represents a potential therapeutic target.5 In addition, the coronavirus 3CL protease contains 12 free cysteine residues (i.e., not forming stable Cys–Cys bonds), thus not playing an identified role in the 3D structure of the protease.6 After the structure of 3CLpro of SARS-CoV was described,7 inhibitors were identified with EC50 values in the micromolar range.7 More recently, large chemical libraries have been screened in silico to identify inhibitors of this protease for the SARS-CoV-2.8–9 Potential thiol-reactive drugs targeting 3CLpro should preferably be relatively hydrophobic to enter and remain in its pocket, thus excluding several medications such as the hydrophilic captopril (log P < 1; https://hmdb.ca/metabolites/HMDB0015328).

Repurposing of currently available drugs has been proposed as a potentially efficient approach against COVID-19.10–16 Dalcetrapib is a lipid modulator that acts by binding covalently to a cysteine residue (Cys13) in a hydrophobic pocket of the
the target cholesteryl ester transfer protein, and this bond is a prerequisite for its pharmacological activity. Dalcetrapib-thiol also binds to a cysteine residue (Cys133) in a hydrophobic pocket of MD2, a regulator of the toll-like receptor 4 receptor signaling pathway. Dalcetrapib is a lipophilic thio-ester with a log $P$ close to 7.0. The pharmacologically active free thiol form of dalcetrapib is generated by nonspecific esterases and lipases present in body fluids such as plasma, cell homogenates, and intracellular milieu or in vitro by exposure to basic pH. The current study was conducted to test the hypothesis that dalcetrapib inhibits 3CLpro and the replication of the SARS-CoV-2.

### RESULTS

**Docking of Dalcetrapib-thiol.** We performed molecular docking using SwissDock to evaluate the potential binding modes of dalcetrapib-thiol in the active site pocket of 3CLpro. In addition to standard docking, we also used the steric-clashes alleviating receptor (SCAR) protocol by mutating the protease-reactive cysteine to glycine (C145G), which is an efficient approach to probe the possibility of covalent binding of ligands to receptors. The poses of dalcetrapib-thiol with the lowest delta $G$ values (−8.5 kcal/mol) in both standard and SCAR protocols revealed very similar binding of this molecule in the active site of the main protease (Figure 2). In the standard protocol, dalcetrapib-thiol binds to the active site of the main protease with its carbonyl group anchored through a hydrogen bond by the main chain amide group of Glu166. Meanwhile, its aromatic ring is inserted in the S1 subsite, which recognizes the Gln residue (P1 residue) of substrate proteins, and sandwiched between Glu166 and Asn142, whereas its 2-ethylbutyl and cyclohexane moieties are snugly bound in the S2 and S4 subsites. Particularly, the van der Waals contacts with Met49, Met165, His41, and Gln189 appear to be crucial for the stabilization of dalcetrapib-thiol.

![Figure 1](https://pubs.acs.org/journal/acsodf)
The sulfur atom of dalcetrapib-thiol is 3.6 Å away from the Cys145 thiol of the protease in the standard protocol (Figure 2A,B), whereas this distance is shortened to 2.0 Å in the SCAR protocol (Figure 2C,D). This indicates the feasibility of disulfide bond formation between dalcetrapib-thiol and Cys145 of 3CLpro, and the binding pose of dalcetrapib-thiol obtained in the standard protocol could be in the trajectory toward the disulfide bond formation. The creation of a covalent bond could be facilitated by slight rotations or changes of both the aromatic ring of dalcetrapib-thiol and the thiol group of Cys145 of the main protease in order to achieve appropriate bond angles. Several ligands were bound to the active site of the main protease with their aromatic rings bound to the S1 site (e.g., PDB SR84), as observed in our docking trials.

Inhibition of Enzymatic Activity of 3CLpro by Dalcetrapib. We evaluated the ability of dalcetrapib to inhibit 3CLpro of the SARS-CoV-2 in vitro (Figure 3). Dalcetrapib was first de-esterified by basic hydrolysis of the ester bond to release the thiol form as described in the method section (Figure 1B). Incubation with the substrate was performed in the presence of 1 mM DTT. Some experiments where DTT was added during preincubation and protease activity, although lowered, allowed us to compute an IC_{50} and we observed slightly higher IC_{50} values (36.9 μM). Therefore, we chose to perform the preincubations in the absence of a reducing agent for the results reported in the manuscript. When dalcetrapib-thiol was incubated for 24 h in the presence of 3CLpro at room temperature before addition of the substrate, the IC_{50} was 14.4 ± 3.3 μM (mean ± SD) (Figure 3A). Shorter incubation of dalcetrapib-thiol for 1 h with the main protease resulted in a much higher IC_{50} of 75 ± 54 μM (data not shown).

The reversibility of the inhibitory activity of dalcetrapib was then evaluated. Dalcetrapib-thiol was incubated for 24 h with 3CLpro, and the solution obtained was then submitted to three cycles of dilution and filtration through a 3 kDa molecular weight cutoff membrane, thus allowing elution of free dalcetrapib-thiol (Figure 3B). Once 3CLpro was exposed to a high concentration of dalcetrapib-thiol, near-complete inhibition (97.6%) of protease activity persisted despite elution of dalcetrapib-thiol, which brought its nominal concentration down to 0.2 μM (Figure 3C), suggesting the presence of stable protease–drug interaction. Exposure to 10 mM of the reducing agents DTT or TCEP at that stage did not result in recovery of protease activity (data not shown).

When dalcetrapib-disulphide was incubated for 24 h in the presence of 3CLpro at room temperature with 1 mM DTT before addition of the substrate, the IC_{50} was 72.5 ± 9.1 μM (data not shown).

Inhibition of SARS-CoV-2 Replication by Dalcetrapib. SARS-CoV-2 plaque formation was linearly reduced when Vero E6 cells were preincubated with increasing concentrations of dalcetrapib for 1 h before addition of the coronavirus and during the viral replication phase resulting in an EC_{50} of 17.5 ± 3.5 μM (Figure 4). In contrast, the cytotoxic concentration CC_{50} value was greater than 1 mM. For comparison, the EC_{50} of the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase inhibitor remdesivir was 6.2 μM under experimental conditions used for dalcetrapib.

When Vero E6 cells were preincubated with dalcetrapib-disulphide 1 h before addition of the coronavirus, the EC_{50} was greater than 100 μM (data not shown). This suggests that under the experimental conditions, the disulphide was not cell membrane-permeable, efficiently converted to the free thiol of dalcetrapib, or did not dissolve in the cell culture medium.

**DISCUSSION**

Dalcetrapib was shown in the current study to bind to the catalytic site of the main 3CL protease with a delta G value of −8.5 kcal/mol and to inhibit both its activity in vitro and SARS-CoV-2 replication with IC_{50} values of 14.4 ± 3.3 μM and an EC_{50} of 17.5 ± 3.5 μM, respectively. Persistence of near-complete inhibition of protease activity despite elution of dalcetrapib-thiol suggests stable protease–drug interaction.

In our docking trials, dalcetrapib-thiol binds in the active site of the SARS-CoV-2 3CLpro with its carbonyl group anchored to the amide group of Glu166. The latter amino acid is necessary for substrate recognition, protease dimerization, and alteration of proteolytic activity. Numerous hydrophobic interactions appear to be crucial for the stabilization of dalcetrapib-thiol in the pocket. The feasibility of disulfide bond formation between dalcetrapib-thiol and Cys145 of 3CLpro is supported by the distance between their sulfur atoms (3.6 Å). This distance was shortened to 2.0 Å in the SCAR protocol.

Dalcetrapib inhibited the enzymatic activity of 3CLpro with an IC_{50} of 14.4 μM when dalcetrapib and the enzyme were preincubated for 24 h. The IC_{50} increased to 75 μM when the preincubation time was shortened to 1 h (data not shown). A similar behavior of dalcetrapib was previously observed in the inhibition of the cholesteryl ester transfer protein, with the IC_{50} decreasing from 1178 to 45 nM with prolongation of the incubation time from 1 to 24 h. This time-dependent inhibition of activity in vitro may reflect the time necessary for
de-esterification of dalcetrapib and formation of stable interaction with the target protein. The persistence of almost complete inhibition of enzymatic activity once 3CLpro had been previously exposed to a high concentration of dalcetrapib despite reduction of the drug concentration down to 0.2 μM suggests stable protease–drug interaction. Thus, both covalent binding of dalcetrapib-thiol to Cys145 and hydrophobic interaction with the lipophilic binding pocket of 3CLpro5 may contribute to prevent their dissociation. The presence of 12 cysteine residues not forming Cys–Cys bonds in the amino acid sequence of 3CLpro of SARS coronaviruses is quite unusual and may have a regulatory role not yet identified.5 Several attempts to demonstrate the covalent binding of dalcetrapib-thiol to Cys145 by mass spectrometry were made. The presence of a free Cys (145) in the catalytic site as well as a total of 12 free cysteines in 3CLpro and the requirement of DTT to stabilize 3CLpro made it very difficult to demonstrate covalent binding to a single free cysteine. DTT or a similar reducing agent is required to maintain the protease activity, but dalcetrapib itself binds reversibly to DTT.31 The interaction of dalcetrapib-thiol with these free cysteines is under investigation. Regarding the selectivity of dalcetrapib-thiol, preliminary investigations suggest that the inhibition of 3CLpro by dalcetrapib-thiol is selective since the IC50 for inhibition of the second cysteine proteinase of the SARS-CoV-2, the papain-like protease,1 is 1011 μM (data not shown). This greater than 70 times selectivity for 3CLpro could be explained by the fact that the catalytic site of the main 3CLpro contains the Cys145–His41 dyad, whereas it is the classic Cys112–His273–Asp287 triad for the PLpro.

Dalcetrapib decreased plaque formation by the SARS-CoV-2 grown on Vero E6 cells linearly with increasing drug concentrations, with an EC50 of 17.5 μM. This value is in the order of magnitude of remdesivir IC50 of remdesivir (6 μM) in the same assay. Thus, inhibition of 3CLpro and SARS-CoV-2 replication supports the interaction of dalcetrapib with this critical enzyme. The general concern that formation of covalent protein adducts may result in potential safety issues has not been observed in extensive and large chronic animal and human safety studies of dalcetrapib. This may be explained by the relatively high lipophilicity of dalcetrapib, which preferentially occupies the lipophilic pocket within the protein and not exposed at the surface. In contrast, the IC50 values of...
Dalcetrapib-disulfide for inhibition of the enzymatic activity of 3CLpro and SARS-CoV-2 replication were 72 and >100 μM, respectively. The lower IC50 obtained with dalcetrapib-thiol shows that the latter, not dalcetrapib-disulfide, is the active form against the SARS-CoV-2.

Other mechanisms could be involved in a potential beneficial effect of dalcetrapib in the prevention of SARS-CoV-2 infection. Indeed, two cysteine residues, Cys480 and Cys488, of the viral spike protein have been recently shown to be important for the binding of the spike protein to the ACE2 receptor,32 and thiol-reactive substances including dalcetrapib (JTT-705) have shown to inhibit this important interaction and prevent syncytia formation and viral entry into cells.33 Hati and Bhattacharyya34 showed that the redox status plays an important role in the stability of key disulfide bonds present in both the spike protein and the ACE2 receptor.

Extensive preclinical and clinical investigations indicate that dalcetrapib displays a satisfactory oral bioavailability and safety profile. A single dose of radiolabeled 14C-dalcetrapib 100 mg/kg administered orally to rats reaches plasma levels of 11.2 to 17.7 μM dalcetrapib equivalents at 0.5 and 2 h post-dose.35 These plasma levels are comparable to those obtained in patients receiving single doses of 1200 to 3000 mg. Dalcetrapib has been studied across a dose range of 300 to 3900 mg per day in completed and ongoing clinical trials. At 600 mg daily, the current dose was intended for long-term administration in cardiovascular patients,36 maximum plasma concentrations (tmax) of dalcetrapib-thiol are achieved 4–6 h after dosing, and the plasma half-life (t1/2) is approximately 31 h. Multiple doses of 1200 and 3900 mg achieved maximum plasma concentrations (Cmax) of between 13.8 and 22.2 μM, respectively, and plasma exposure of dalcetrapib was consistent with the dose proportionality. Dalcetrapib was safe and well-tolerated at all doses studied.

Dalcetrapib distributes in all tissues of rodents receiving multiple oral doses of 14C-dalcetrapib including the trachea, lungs, heart, and gastrointestinal tract where concentrations reach 111.6 and 68.5 μM dalcetrapib equivalents in the liver at 2 and 24 h, respectively.37 These results support the potential clinical relevance of our findings, given the observed IC50 values for dalcetrapib inhibition of 3CLpro activity and viral replication of 14.4 and an EC50 of 17.8 μM.

In rats, the percentages of an administered oral dose excreted into the feces as dalcetrapib-ester and dalcetrapib-thiol plus dalcetrapib-disulfide are 41.1 and 17.8%, respectively. The corresponding values following oral administration in monkeys are 11.6 and 22.2%.35 In humans administered with a single 600 mg dose, the majority of the drug-related material (mean of 53.4%) is excreted in feces as dalcetrapib-thiol or dalcetrapib-disulfide corresponding to a mean of 31.5% (range, 22.3–40.6%) of the administered dose. These results suggest that the intestine is exposed to high concentrations of dalcetrapib and dalcetrapib-thiol, which may contribute to potential antiviral activity of dalcetrapib at the gastrointestinal level. Because the ACE2 receptor for the SARS-CoV-2 is highly expressed in enterocytes38,39 and may be responsible for gastrointestinal involvement, high exposure of the intestinal tract to dalcetrapib may diminish virus replication locally.

The safety, pharmacokinetic properties, and potential drug interactions of dalcetrapib have been examined extensively40–42 and indicate that it may be safely administered with a large number of commonly used medications. In addition, Derks et al.43 demonstrated that dalcetrapib was safe and well-tolerated after a single dose of up to 4500 mg and multiple doses up to 3900 mg for 7 days, without QT prolongation even at these very high dosages. Dalcetrapib has been administered for 3 years to 7938 patients in a cardiovascular outcome study at a dose of 600 mg per day without major safety issues.40 Dalcetrapib currently undergoes clinical evaluation in the DalGenE phase 3 study,41 a cardiovascular outcome trial of 6149 patients with a recent acute coronary syndrome and a specific genotype in the ADCY9 gene.42 Inhibition of both polymerase and protease enzymes by remdesivir and dalcetrapib, respectively, could be envisioned in patients with severe COVID-19 as these medications exhibit complementary mechanisms of action.

Inhibition of the main 3CL protease and replication of the SARS-CoV-2 warrant the clinical evaluation of dalcetrapib as the first orally bioavailable and safe compound targeting the catalytic site of this enzyme for the treatment of COVID-19. A phase 2 clinical study is currently ongoing to determine the effect of dalcetrapib in patients with confirmed mild to moderate COVID-19, ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT04676867.

**METHODS**

**Docking Methodology.** Molecular docking was performed to evaluate the potential binding modes of dalcetrapib-thiol within the active site pocket of the SARS-CoV-2 main 3CL protease. To assess this, docking trials were done with SwissDock.26 For the dalcetrapib-thiol molecule, we investigated if it could be sufficiently close to react with the catalytic cysteine Cys145 forming a disulfide bond by using two strategies. The first one is a standard docking of the molecule in the catalytic site. The second uses the “stericclashes alleviating receptor (SCAR)” protocol by mutating the receptor-reactive cysteine to glycine (C145G),26,27 which is an efficient approach to probe the possibility of covalent binding of ligands to receptors.

Inspection of all available crystal structures (152 structures as of June 17, 2020) of the SARS-CoV-2 main 3CL protease revealed noticeable conformational flexibility of the active site pocket. We used several representative structures (PDB 6LU7, 2GLX, 6W63, 5RG1, 6YB7, 7BQY, 5RH8, and 5RHA) in our docking trials. The best results were obtained using 6W63. Validation of the docking protocols was carried out by self-docking of the noncovalent inhibitor X77 in 6W63, leading to an r.m.s.d. of 0.88 Å between the best docked pose (with a delta G of −9.4 kcal/mol) and the crystallographic structure. This validates the docking with SwissDock. The 3D coordinates of dalcetrapib-thiol (ZINC000013813331) were obtained from the ZINC database (zinc.docking.org)46 in the MOL2 file format. The geometry and energy minimizations of the ligands were done with the command-line program obminimize from the OpenBabel47 package using the steepest descent algorithm and the MMFF94s force field. For the docking of dalcetrapib-thiol using the SCAR protocol, the catalytic cysteine Cys145 was mutated to a glycine prior to docking via SwissDock.

**Determination of Dalcetrapib 3CLpro IC50.** Proteolytic activity of 3CLpro was measured by cleavage of a fluorescently quenched peptide substrate. Dalcetrapib was first de-esterified by basic hydrolysis of its thioester bond. Dalcetrapib stock at 25 mM in ethanol was diluted to 2.5 mM in 1 mM NaOH and incubated for 30 min at 37 °C. Dalcetrapib-thiol was then diluted in an assay buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH of 7.3, 100
mM NaCl, and 1 mM EDTA) with a protease for inhibition assay. Recombinant SARS-CoV-2 3CLpro (0.05 μM) (R&D Systems) in the protease assay buffer was preincubated in the presence or absence of dalcetrapib-thiol (0.2–400 μM) for 24 h at room temperature. Enzymatic reactions were then started in a black half-volume microplate by addition of 50 μM DABCYL-KTSAVLQSGFKRM-E (EDANS) (GenScript) as a substrate and incubated in protease buffer in presence of 1 mM DTT. Fluorescence (excitation, 360 nm/emission, 460 nm) was measured at room temperature every 45 s for 1 h on a Synergy H1 plate reader (Biotek). Activity was reported as the slope of the blanked linear part of the kinetic curve. Activity was estimated from duplicate measurements. We used GraphPad Prism 8.4.3 to analyze data and used inhibitor vs response (four parameters) curves to determine the values of IC₅₀.

Testing for Reversibility of 3CLpro Inhibition by Dalcetrapib-thiol. Dalcetrapib-thiol was then diluted in assay buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH of 7.3, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, and 1 mM DTT) with protease for inhibition. The recombinant SARS-CoV-2 3CL protease (0.05 μM) (R&D Systems) in the protease assay buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH of 7.3, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, and 1 mM DTT) was preincubated in the presence or absence of dalcetrapib-thiol (200 μM) for 24 h at room temperature. Half of the solutions were diluted 1000-fold with the assay buffer by three cycles of 10-fold dilution and concentrated back to the original volume using 3 kDa Amicon Ultra centrifugal filters. Enzymatic reactions were then started by addition of 50 μM DABCYL-KTSAVLQSGFKRM-E (EDANS) (GenScript) as a substrate. Fluorescence (excitation, 360 nm/emission, 460 nm) was measured at room temperature every 45 s for 1 h using a Synergy H1 plate reader (Biotek). Activity was reported as the slope of the blanked linear part of the kinetic curve. Activity was estimated from duplicate measurements. We used GraphPad Prism 8.4.3 to analyze data.

Plaque Reduction Assay. Vero E6 cells were first grown in a 6-well plate at a confluence of 80–100%. On the day of infection, a viral suspension of the SARS-CoV-2 was made in MEM + 2% FBS + 1% antibiotics. The viral titer must result in about 40 plaques in nontreated wells. An aliquot of 0.4 mL of the viral suspension was added to each well, and plates were incubated at room temperature during 60 min for viral adsorption. After this step, the medium was removed, and an overlay consisting of agarose and an equal volume of each drug concentration in MEM 1X + 2% FBS + 1% antibiotics (final concentration) was added to each well in triplicate. Thus, with four plates, we could test seven concentrations of the drug plus the negative (no drug) control in triplicate. The plate was then incubated at 37 °C for 3–4 days. After incubation, the cells were fixed with 0.5 mL of 3.7% formaldehyde during 30–60 min. The agarose was then removed, and the cells were stained with crystal violet (0.8% in 50% ethanol). The number of viral plaques in each well was determined using an inverted microscope. The EC₅₀ value, which corresponds to the concentration of a drug reducing the number of plaques by 50% compared to control wells, was then determined.

MTS Cell Cytotoxic Assay. The effect of concentrations of dalcetrapib from 1 mM to 1 μM on Vero E6 viability was assessed by incubating cultured cells in 96-well plates for 3 days in an MEM culture medium and 2% serum. Then, 10 μL of “CellTiter 96 aqueous one solution cell proliferation assay” (#G3580 Promega) that contains tetrazolium [3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-5-(3-carboxymethoxyphenyl)-2-(4-sulfophenyl)-2H-tetrazolium] (MTS) was added to each plate and incubated for 3 h. Absorbance at 490 nm is proportional to the quantity of the formazan product and directly proportional to the number of living cells in culture.

Analysis of Dalcetrapib, Dalcetrapib-thiol, and Dalcetrapib-disulfide by UPLC. Hydrolysis of dalcetrapib-thioester to the thiol and formation of a disulfide are rapid under physiological conditions. Reliable quantitation could only be achieved by reducing all forms (thiol, disulfides, and ester) under basic conditions and analyzing total dalcetrapib-thiol after cleavage of disulfide bonds with DTT and derivatization. Dalcetrapib (100 μM) was incubated in neutral ethanol/water (3/1; v/v), alkaline 2 mM NaOH in ethanol/water (3/1; v/v) at pH 12.2, and acidic solutions of 2 mM HCl in ethanol/water (3/1; v/v), pH of 3.4, for 30 min and 4 h at room temperature. Incubated samples were neutralized, and 10 μL was used for ultraperformance liquid chromatography (UPLC) analysis. An analytical column CORTECS UPLC T3 1.6 μm (Waters 186008499) was used with an HPLC pump and a detector from Waters Acquity. A gradient was run from 100% mobile phase A (water/acetonitrile (1/1; v/v)) to 100% mobile phase B (water/acetonitrile (1/9; v/v)) at 0.3 mL/min between 1.5 and 5.0 min.
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