AtPPRT1, an E3 Ubiquitin Ligase, Enhances the Thermotolerance in Arabidopsis
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Abstract: E3 ubiquitin ligase plays a vital role in the ubiquitin-mediated heat-related protein degradation pathway. Herein, we report that the expression of AtPPRT1, a C3HC4 zinc-finger ubiquitin E3 ligase gene, was induced by heat stress, and the β-glucuronidase (GUS) gene driven by the AtPPRT1 promoter has shown increased activity after basal and acquired thermotolerance. To further explore the function of AtPPRT1 in heat stress response (HSR), we used the atpprt1 mutant and AtPPRT1-overexpressing lines (OE2 and OE10) to expose to heat shock. In this study, the atpprt1 mutant had a lower germination and survival rate than those of Col-0 when suffered from the heat stress, whereas OEs enhanced basal and acquired thermotolerance in Arabidopsis seedlings. When compared to Col-0 and OEs, loss-of-function in AtPPRT1 resulted in lower chlorophyll retention and higher content of reactive oxygen species (ROS) after heat treatment. Moreover, the transcript levels of AtPPRT1 and several heat-related genes (AtZAT12, AtHSP21 and AtHSFA7a) were upregulated to greater extents in OEs and lower extents in atpprt1 compared to Col-0 after heat treated. Hence, we suggest that AtPPRT1 may act as a positive role in regulating the high temperature by mediating the degradation of unknown target proteins.
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1. Introduction

In field production, high temperatures can cause devastating damage to crop yields [1,2]. Therefore, how to improve the heat tolerance of plants is of considerable significance in agricultural production [3]. In the process of plant growth, high temperatures will cause endosperm damage and cell death, thereby reducing the germination rate of seeds [4]. During the seedlings of the plant, heat stress will cause cells to lose water and reduce volume, which makes the plants dwarf, enter reproductive growth in advance and greatly reduce yield [5]. Moreover, at the cellular level, the fluidity of the cell membrane increases with increasing temperatures, which in turn affects the function of related membrane proteins [6]. Inside the cell, temperature affects the activity of various enzymes, which in turn regulates the cell’s metabolic activity. These are the behaviors of plant cells in response to heat stress [7,8]. Plants have evolved different signal pathways in response to heat stress environments. Plants can obtain basic thermotolerance in the exposure of lethal temperature stress [9,10]. They can also get a memory of exposure to sublethal heat stress to acquire thermotolerance and adapt to higher temperature stress [10,11].
Heat stress transcription factor (HSF) and heat-shock protein (HSP) play a key role in heat stress response (HSR). The HSFs transcription factor family has 21 members in *Arabidopsis*, with a conserved DNA binding domain (DBD) at the N-terminus and a region that specifically binds to the heat stress element (HSE) [12]. HSFs are classified into three categories—HSFA, HSFB and HSFC—according to the chain length between the hydrophobic residue and the DBD domain [13]. In HSR, HSFs act as the terminal elements of signal transduction and mediate the expression of HSPs. The expression of HSPs is positively correlated with the thermotolerance of plants [14]. The effect of overexpressing single HSF or HSP gene to improve plant heat resistance is not obvious, indicating that HSF and HSP enhance thermotolerance under synergistic conditions [15]. To date, the molecular mechanism of the heat stress response (HSR) dominated by HSF and HSP needs to be further studied. Previous studies showed that abscisic acid (ABA) could enhance thermotolerance by inducing the expression of HSFA2c and HSPs in tall fescue and *Arabidopsis* [16], so ABA signaling may be cross-linked with HSR. Moreover, jasmonic acid (JA) and salicylic acid (SA) signaling can improve the basic thermotolerance of *Arabidopsis* [17,18].

The ubiquitin-26S proteasome system (UPS) is an important protein degradation pathway in plants [19]. It responds to various external stimuli to promote protein renewal, thereby regulating the ability of cells to maintain their basic functions [20]. In plants, UPS is an important part of defending against environmental stress, such as drought, extreme temperatures and salinity [21,22]. The function of E3 ligase determines their regulatory role in plant stress response pathways [23], and many E3 ligase family members participate in the regulation of HSR. The BPM-CUL3 E3 ligase degrades DREB2A to negatively regulate HSR in *Arabidopsis* [24]. Overexpression of SUMO E3 ligase SISIZ1 enhanced thermotolerance by regulating heat-related genes [25].

In our previous studies, we have found that *AtPPRT1* plays a crucial role in response to ABA and salt stress [26,27]. In this study, we further investigated the function of *AtPPRT1* in HSR. The results showed that *AtPPRT1* was induced by heat stress, and *AtPPRT1* enhanced thermotolerance in *Arabidopsis* by inducing the expression of heat-related genes. In addition, *AtPPRT1* also reduced the content of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in *Arabidopsis* seedlings after heat treatment. These results confirmed that *AtPPRT1* plays a positive role in HSR.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Plant Materials and Growth Conditions

The *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Col-0) and the T-DNA insertion mutant atppt1 (SALK_005268C) were used in this study. The *AtPPRT1*-overexpressing lines (OE2 and OE10) and Pro*PPRT1*:GUS transgenic plants were obtained from our previous study [26]. According to previously reported conditions, the vernalized *Arabidopsis* seedlings were sterilized by 0.5% (v/v) bleach solution of NaClO for 15 min. Seeds were sown on MS solid medium (with 1% sucrose and 0.65% agar, pH 5.7) and grown in the growth chamber at 22 °C under a 16-h light/8-h dark photoperiod [28].

2.2. Quantitative Real-Time-PCR (qRT-PCR) Analysis

Total RNA of 7-day-old *Arabidopsis* seedlings after heat stress were isolated with RNAiso Plus reagents (Takara, Kyoto, Japan). The cDNA was synthesized by the PrimerScript RT reagent Kit (Takara, Kyoto, Japan) using 1500 ng RNA. The qRT-PCR analyses using the TB GREEN Premix Ex Taq kit (Takara, Kyoto, Japan) were performed on the Applied Biosystems 7500 real-time PCR system. The relative transcription expression levels were calculated by the delta-delta Ct method, and ACTIN2 acts as an internal reference. Primers of relative genes are shown in Supplementary Table S1 (Supplementary Materials). The accession numbers of genes used in this study are shown in Supplementary Table S2 (Supplementary Materials).
2.3. GUS Histochemical Staining

According to the previous report [29], 7-day-old ProPPRT1::GUS transgenic seedlings grown on MS solid medium were exposed to heat stress, including basal (45 °C for 3 h) and acquired (37 °C for 2 h, 22 °C for 2 h, 45 °C for 2 h) thermotolerance. After heat treatment, the seedlings were collected and stained at 37 °C for 4 h in the GUS staining solution (Real-Times Company, Detroit, MI, USA). The seedlings decolorized with 70% ethanol were placed under a Leica microscope to observe and take pictures.

2.4. Phenotypic Analysis

For germination assays, the seeds from each line were treated with heat stress (37 °C or 45 °C for 3 h) and planted on solid MS medium for 7 days. Subsequently, the germination rates of different genotypes were counted.

For survival assays, 7-day-old seedlings grown on MS solid medium were exposed to heat stress, including basal (45 °C for 3 h) and acquired (37 °C for 2 h, 22 °C for 2 h, 45 °C for 2 h) thermotolerance. After heat treatment, the seedlings were continuously grown in the growth chamber for 2–3 days, and the survival rates of different genotypes were calculated.

For chlorophyll estimation, 50 mg of each genotype seedlings were collected and incubated at 37 °C for 4 h in extraction buffer (H$_2$O, acetone, ethanol = 1:5:5). The absorbance of the clear supernatant was measured at 663 and 645 nm as described previously [30]. The total chlorophyll content (mg/L) was calculated using the following equation, total Chl (mg/L) = $20.2 \times D_{645} + 8.02 \times D_{663}$.

2.5. DAB and H$_2$DCFDA Staining

H$_2$O$_2$ was detected by 3,3′-diaminobenzidine (DAB) staining. According to the previous report [31], 7-day-old different-genotype seedlings grown on MS solid medium were exposed to 45 °C for 3 h, and the seedlings were collected and stained at 22 °C for 5 h in 3,3′-diaminobenzidine (DAB) staining solution (1-mg/mL DAB, adjust to pH 3.0 with HCl). The seedlings decolorized with a decoloring solution (glycerol, acetic acid, ethanol = 1:1:3) were placed under a Leica microscope to observe and take pictures.

The ROS production in the seedlings was detected by using fluorescent dye 2′,7′-dichlorofluorescein diacetate (H$_2$DCFDA) (Maokang, Shanghai, China), as described previously [32]. The 7-day-old different-genotype seedlings grown on MS solid medium were exposed to 45 °C for 3 h, and the seedlings were collected and stained at 22 °C for 15 min in 50-µM H$_2$DCFDA (dissolved in MES-KCl buffer, MES 10 mM, KCl 50 mM, adjust to pH 5.5 with HCl). The seedlings washed with distilled water were placed under a Leica confocal microscope. The fluorescence in cotyledons and roots of seedlings were detected by using an excitation wavelength of 488 nm.

2.6. Measurement of H$_2$O$_2$ and MDA

The 7-day-old different-genotype seedlings grown on MS solid medium were exposed to 45 °C for 3 h, and the seedlings were detected for hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$) and malondialdehyde (MDA) content analysis by using the H$_2$O$_2$ assay kit and MDA assay kit (jiancheng, Nanjing, China).

3. Results

3.1. Expression Pattern Analysis of AtPPRT1 under Heat Stress

To investigate the expression pattern of AtPPRT1 in HSR, 7-day-old Col-0 seedlings were exposed to heat stress. Under basal thermotolerance at 37 °C, the expression of AtPPRT1 continually increased and reached a maximum peak with approximately 4-fold at 3 h (Figure 1(Aa)). The acquired thermotolerance assay showed an increased transcript level of AtPPRT1 that reached at 3.2-fold after 37 °C treated for 2 h, but subsequently, it decreases to 1.2-fold after 22 °C treated for 2 h, which was still higher than that
under normal conditions (approximately 1-fold). Finally, under continuous heat-stress, the transcript level of AtPPRT1 again increased and reached at 2.7-fold after 45 °C treated for 2 h (Figure 1(Ab)), indicating that AtPPRT1 is induced by heat stress.

GUS histochemical staining was performed on 7-day-old AtProPPRT1::GUS transgenic plants after basal or acquired thermotolerance. Under nonstress conditions, the GUS gene driven by the AtPPRT1 promoter was mainly expressed in veins and hypocotyl vascular bundles of 7-day-old seedlings. Under basal or acquired thermotolerence, GUS activity was significantly upregulated in cotyledons and hypocotyls of Arabidopsis seedlings (Figure 1(Ba,b)). In transgenic seedlings, the expression of the GUS gene was also induced by heat stress, and the upregulation trend of the GUS gene was similar to AtPPRT1 (Figure 1(Ca,b)). These results indicated that heat stress enhances the activity of AtPPRT1 promoter, and AtPPRT1 may play a crucial role in HSR.

Figure 1. Expression of AtPPRT1 was induced by heat stress. (A) Transcript level of AtPPRT1 under (a) basal and (b) acquired heat thermotolerance. Error bars represent ± SD (n = 3, * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, t-test); (B) 7-day-old ProPPRT1::GUS transgenic seedlings used for GUS histochemical staining under (a) basal and (b) acquired heat thermotolerance. Scale bar: 1 mm; (C) expression of the GUS gene under (a) basal and (b) acquired heat thermotolerance. Error bars represent ± SD (n = 3, * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, t-test).
3.2. *AtPPRT1* Enhances Thermotolerance in Arabidopsis

To further explore whether *AtPPRT1* is involved in HSR, seeds germination rates and seedlings survival rates under heat shock were analyzed in different genotypes. Under control conditions, there was no difference in the germination rate and chlorophyll content of each genotype. After 3 h treatment at 45 °C, the germination rates of OEs were significantly higher than those of Col-0, whereas *atpprt1* showed greatly lower germination rates than Col-0 after 3 h treatment at 37 °C and 45 °C (Figure 2). Similarly, OEs and *atpprt1* mutant showed contrary phenotypes in survival rates of *Arabidopsis* seedlings after basal and acquired thermotolerance (Figure 3A,B). In addition, seedlings of OEs showed increased chlorophyll retention and *atpprt1* showed reduced chlorophyll retention under heat stress with regard to the high temperature treated Col-0 (Figure 3C).

![Figure 2](image)

**Figure 2.** *AtPPRT1* enhances the thermotolerance of *Arabidopsis* seeds during germination. (A,B) Germination rate of each line after heat stress. Error bars represent ± SD (n = 50, *p* < 0.05, **p** < 0.01, *t*-test).

![Figure 3](image)

**Figure 3.** *AtPPRT1* enhances thermotolerance in *Arabidopsis* seedlings. (A,B) Survival rate of each line after heat stress. Error bars represent ± SD (n = 50, *p* < 0.05, **p** < 0.01, *t*-test); (C) the chlorophyll content of each line after heat stress. Error bars represent ± SD (n = 3, *p* < 0.05, **p** < 0.01, *t*-test).

3.3. *AtPPRT1* Reduced the Accumulation of ROS Caused by Heat Stress in Arabidopsis

Heat stress leads to the continuous accumulation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in plants. Previous studies have shown that the interruption of ROS scavenging systems will exacerbate the damage of heat stress to plants, indicating that ROS plays an important role in HSR [33]. The accumulation of ROS caused by heat stress directly reflects the degree of plant oxidation and damage. We detected the accumulation of ROS in different genotypes by DAB and H2DCFDA staining.
Seven-day-old Arabidopsis seedlings were exposed to 45 °C for 3 h, and the seedlings were collected for DAB and H$_2$DCFDA staining. The results of DAB staining showed that hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$) was largely accumulated in atpprt1 and less accumulated in OEs after 45 °C for 3 h, compared with Col-0 (Figure 4A). Moreover, we detected the production of ROS in 7-day-old seedling of Col-0, atpprt1, OE2 and OE10 by using the fluorescent dyes H$_2$DCFDA. The seedlings were exposed to heat shock (45 °C for 3 h) for studying the physiological response in cotyledon and root of seedlings. The results showed that the fluorescence intensity in the cotyledon and root cells of atpprt1 was dramatically increased when compared with Col-0 and OEs under heat stress (Figure 4B). To further investigate the degree of ROS-caused membrane oxidative damage in different genotypes, H$_2$O$_2$ and malondialdehyde (MDA) were quantified under normal and heat stress conditions. The results demonstrated that the H$_2$O$_2$ and MDA contents of each line showed no significant difference under normal conditions. However, when the seedlings grown on MS solid medium were exposed to 45 °C for 3 h, the H$_2$O$_2$ and MDA contents of atpprt1 seedlings were higher than those of Col-0 and OEs, which indicated that loss-of-function in AtPPRT1 increases plant sensitivity to heat stress (Figure 4Ca,b). These results were consistent with the lower survival rate of atpprt1 seedlings after heat shock, indicating that the E3 ligase AtPPRT1 functions as a positive regulator in HSR through affecting the contents of ROS under heat stress.

**Figure 4.** AtPPRT1 reduces tissue damage caused by heat stress. (A) DAB-staining assay of 7-day-old seedlings of Col-0, atpprt1, OE2 and OE10 after heat stress. Scale bar: 1 mm; (B) detection of ROS in 7-day-old seedlings of different genotypes by using H$_2$DCFDA. Seedlings from Col-0, atpprt1, OE2 and OE10 were exposed to 45 °C for 3 h. Fluorescence of ROS (green) was imaged in cotyledon and root cells, and the images were collected after 15 min; (C) content of (a) H$_2$O$_2$ and (b) MDA in Col-0, atpprt1, OE2 and OE10 before and after heat stress. Error bars represent ± SD (n = 3, * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, t-test).
3.4. Altered Expression of AtPPRT1 Leads to Altered Induction of Heat-Related Genes

To further confirm whether the expression of heat-related genes may be affected by AtPPRT1, we examined the transcript levels of AtPPRT1 and several downstream genes in HSR, such as AtZAT12, AtHSP21 and AtHSFA7a in different genotypes. The 7-day-old seedling of Col-0, atpprt1, OE2 and OE10 were exposed to 45 °C for 3 h and the seedlings were collected for qRT-PCR analysis. Our results showed that the expression of AtPPRT1 and heat-inducible genes were induced to lower extents in atpprt1 and greater degrees in OEs compared to Col-0 after heat stress, confirming that AtPPRT1 acts as a positive regulator in HSR (Figure 5).

![Figure 5. Transcript levels of heat stress-responsive genes were analyzed. Changes of AtPPRT1, AtZAT12, AtHSP21 and AtHSFA7a in each line were analyzed by qRT-PCR before and after heat stress treatment. Error bars represent ± SD (n = 3, * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, t-test).](image)

4. Discussion

In this study, the transcript level of AtPPRT1 was induced by heat stress for both basal and acquired thermotolerance. The GUS gene driven by the AtPPRT1 promoter was upregulated in transgenic seedlings after heat treatment, indicating that AtPPRT1 responses to high temperature. After heat stress, the seed germination rates and seedling survival rates of OEs were significantly higher than Col-0, and the transcript levels of HSP gene (AtHSP21) and HSF gene (AtHSFA7a) were upregulated to greater extents in OEs and lower extents in atpprt1 compared to Col-0. HSFA7a is an activator of transcription, which plays a positive role in HSR [34]. HSP21 belongs to small heat shock proteins (sHSP), which is rapidly induced and accumulated under heat stress. Previous studies have shown that the overexpressing of HSP21 enhanced thermotolerance in Arabidopsis and HSP21 also involves a response to temperature-dependent oxidative stress [35,36]. According to previous studies, the C2H2 zinc finger transcription factor ZAT12 is involved in multiple abiotic stresses and could act as crucial roles in reactive oxygen signaling [37]. These results indicated that AtPPRT1 induces the expression of heat-related genes and may enhance basic and acquired thermotolerance by reducing the accumulation of ROS in Arabidopsis. Thus, we suggest that AtPPRT1 plays a positive role in HSR, and we inferred that the degraded target protein downstream of AtPPRT1 is a negative regulator in the heat-signaling pathway. Moreover, AtPPRT1 is localized in the mitochondria [26], and the substrate protein may be located in the mitochondria or nucleus. Heat stress may promote the degradation of the substrate protein by AtPPRT1 in the cytoplasm through nucleocytoplasmic transport—just like KEG degrades ABI5 [38].
Interestingly, in our previous study, it was found that AtPPRT1 negatively regulates ABA-mediated drought responses in Arabidopsis. AtPPRT1 plays the opposite role in heat and drought stress responses, and we speculate that AtPPRT1 is involved in the negative crosstalk between heat and ABA stress responses. There have been reports related to this situation. For example, C3HC4-RING finger E3 ligaseAtAIRP4 can positively regulate ABA-mediated drought responses in Arabidopsis, but overexpression ofAtAIRP4 reduced salt tolerance during the stage of post-germination root growth.AtAIRP4-overexpressing lines enhanced sensitive responses to salt and osmotic stresses at seed germination, but the seedlings did not exhibit obvious differences among root length of different genotypes under osmotic stress, soAtAIRP4 may not be involved in osmotic-regulated in the salt signaling pathway. Therefore, the mechanism ofAtAIRP4 involved in the reverse regulation of salt and drought stress responses needs further study [39]. In addition, RING-type E3 ubiquitin ligasesAtATL78, OsDIRP1 and CaPUB1 have opposite phenotypes in cold and drought stress responses, and they play different functions in cold and drought signaling pathways [40–42]. We speculate thatAtPPRT1′s ubiquitinated substrate maybe two proteins that play opposite roles in heat and drought stress responses. Therefore, it is necessary to identify the targets ofAtPPRT1, and this will be the next work in the future.

5. Conclusions

We have presented phenotypic and genetic evidence thatAtPPRT1 is induced by heat stress and enhances thermotolerance by reducing tissue damage caused by heat stress. In conclusion, AtPPRT1 could positively regulate the plant responses to heat stress through induction of the heat shock protein (AtHSP21), heat stress transcription factor (AtHSFA7a) and heat-related gene (AtZAT12) (Figure 6). In addition, the target substrates ofAtPPRT1 and the detailed heat-resistant regulation mechanism needs to be further study.

Figure 6. Model illustrating howAtPPRT1 responds to heat stress in Arabidopsis.
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