Aberrant methylation of ERBB pathway genes in sporadic colorectal cancer

Elzbieta Szmida · Pawel Karpiński · Przemyslaw Leszczynski · Tomasz Sedziak · Wojciech Kielan · Pawel Ostasiewicz · Maria M. Sasiadek

Received: 3 February 2014 / Revised: 9 October 2014 / Accepted: 14 October 2014 / Published online: 1 November 2014
© The Author(s) 2014. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

Abstract The ErbB signalling network plays a crucial role in the growth and progression of several cancers, including colorectal cancer (CRC), and includes potentially drug-targetable genes. Oncogenic activation of the ErbB pathway by mutations and focal amplifications have emerged recently as an important predictive marker of the prognosis of CRC patients. However, in contrast to genetic events, little is known about epigenetic alternations of ErbB-associated genes and their impact on gene expression. Genome-wide methylation in sporadic CRCs (n=12) paired with adjacent normal tissues have been previously analysed by Illumina Infinium HumanMethylation27 (HM27) at 27,578 CpG sites. For confirmation of our initial genome-wide analysis, we used a published HM27 dataset (GSE25062). Subsequently, CpG island methylation of selected ErbB pathway-associated genes was assessed on 233 CRC samples using methylation-sensitive polymerase chain reaction (MS-PCR) and analysed along with various genetic factors associated with CRC [epigenotype, BRAF and KRAS mutations, microsatellite instability (MSI)]. Methylation and expression integration was performed using published datasets including 25 pairs of CRC and normal colon tissues (GSE25062 and GSE25070), and confirmed with real-time PCR. Our previous microarray-based genome-wide DNA methylation analysis of 12 CRCs revealed that four ErbB-associated genes (PIK3CD, PKCβ, ERBB4, ) were differentially methylated in CRCs. This was further confirmed by statistical re-analysis of an HM27 dataset (GSE25062). Frequent methylation at these loci in tumours was subsequently confirmed by MS-PCR (63 %, 43 %, 43 % and 92 %, respectively). Hypermethylation of PKCβ associated with KRAS mutation (\(p=0.04\)), whereas hypermethylation of ERBB4 associated with high-methylation epigenotypes (HME), BRAF mutation and MSI (\(p=0.001, 0.002\) and 0.0002, respectively). One of the four analysed genes (PKCB) was significantly downregulated in CRC tissue, as revealed by real-time PCR and re-analysis of the GSE25062 and GSE25070 datasets. After careful re-analysis of published methylation and expression data, we conclude that methylation of ERBB4, PAK7 and PIK3CD has no functional role in CRC carcinogenesis. In contrast, methylation seems to have a potential impact on the biology of colorectal tumours by negatively modulating the expression of PKCB. Importantly, the relationship between DNA methylation of PKCB and gene expression may warrant further attention in the context of colon cancer chemoprevention and anti-cancer therapy.
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Introduction

The ErbB signalling network participates in cancer development by the transduction of mitogenic signals (Yarden and...
Sliwkowski 2001). It plays a crucial role in many pivotal processes, like cell division, migration, adhesion, differentiation and apoptosis. The contribution of the ErbB pathway to such a wide range of roles is possible because its key proteins, receptor tyrosine kinases (ErbB1 (HER1, EGFR), ErbB2 (HER2), ErbB3 (HER3), ErbB4 (HER4)), are transmembrane proteins which transfer signals from the cell membranes to other pathways, including Ras/Raf/mitogen-activated protein kinase (MEK) or the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K)/Akt pathway (Lemmon and Schlessinger 2010). Their significant role in the development of neoplasia makes the ErbB protein kinases attractive targets for pharmacological intervention. Several ErbB-targeted inhibitors are currently in use, including trastuzumab (Herceptin) for metastatic breast cancer, cetuximab for metastatic colorectal cancer (CRC) and head and neck cancer, and panitumumab for metastatic CRC (Zhang et al. 2007).

Genetic alterations of the ErbB genes have been commonly observed in various cancers (Wu et al. 2009). The amplification of ErbB tyrosine kinases have been detected in several cancer types, including node-negative breast cancer and bladder cancer. whereas point mutations were described in lung, breast and colon cancer (Andrulis et al. 1998; Engelman et al. 2007; Hoque et al. 2010; Sauter et al. 1993).

Besides genetic alternation, epigenetic changes of the ErbB signalling network and related genes have been reported. These changes include methylation of promoter-associated CpG islands, leading to significant silencing of gene expression (Razin and Cedar 1991). Several studies reported on the methylation of ErbB signalling pathway genes in various cancers, including breast cancer, head and neck cancer, and lung cancer (Das et al. 2010; Scartozzi et al. 2011). However, the overwhelming majority of such studies have dealt with only the EGFR gene (Montero et al. 2006; Petrangeli et al. 1995; Scartozzi et al. 2011). Therefore, in this report, we surveyed 233 CRCs for the methylation of four ErbB signalling members (PIK3CD, PKCB, ERBB4, PAK7) that were initially indicated by our genome-wide DNA methylation analysis and related it to published genome-wide methylation and expression datasets (GSE25062 and GSE25070) (Hinoue et al. 2012; Laczmanska et al. 2013).

Materials and methods

Samples

Examination was carried out on 233 samples of primary, sporadic CRCs obtained from the Second Department of General and Oncological Surgery, Wroclaw Medical University, and from the First Department of Surgical Oncology, Lower Silesian Oncology Center, both in Wroclaw. The mean age of CRC patients was 64.9 years (range 35–88 years). The group consisted of 126 males and 107 females. Expression was examined on six pairs of normal and CRC samples.

Datasets used in this study

Data on methylation profiling (Illumina Infinium 27k) of 12 CRC samples have been published by our group previously (Laczmanska et al. 2013).

The GSE25062 and GSE25070 datasets are part of the same experiment published by Hinoue et al. (2012). GSE25062 consists of genome-wide methylation data (Illumina Infinum 27k) for 125 colorectal tumours and 29 adjacent normal tissues. A minor part of these samples (25 colorectal tumours and matched normal adjacent colonic tissue) have also been analysed for their genome-wide expression profile (HumanRef-8 v3.0, Illumina) and the resulting data were published under accession number GSE25070.

Statistical re-analyses of the methylation and expression data were performed on samples with both types of data available.

Methods

The selection of four ErbB signalling members (PIK3CD, PKCB, ERBB4, PAK7) was based on our previously published data (Laczmanska et al. 2013). Briefly, genome-wide methylation at 27,578 CpG sites (spanning 14,495 genes) was examined in 12 CRC samples paired with adjacent, normal colon tissue using the Illumina HumanMethylation27 (HM27) assay. For additional evaluation of our initial array results, we utilised a large dataset (GSE25062) published by Hinoue et al. (2012).

DNA from tissue was isolated using the Gentra Puregene Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), according to the manufacturer’s manual. Bisulphite treatment of 1 μg of genomic DNA obtained from resected frozen tissues was carried out using the EpiTect Bisulfite Kit (Qiagen).

All CRC cases have been previously characterised for various molecular classifiers, including epigenotype, microsatellite instability (MSI), BRAFV600E and KRAS codon 12 mutations. Briefly, epigenotyping was performed by the use of seven markers and combined bisulphite restriction analysis (COBRA), as described by Yagi et al. (2010). BRAFV600E mutation in tumour tissues was assessed using the mutant allele-specific polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification described by Sapi et al. (2006). Mutations at codon 12 of the KRAS gene were detected by PCR–restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP), as described by Miranda et al. (2006). Microsatellite instability was determined by pentaplex PCR, using the quasimonomorphic markers as described by Buhard et al. (2006).

Oligonucleotide sequences were designed with the MethPrimer online tool (http://www.urogene.org/cgi-bin/
methprimer/methprimer.cgi). The primer sequences and amplification conditions used in this study are described in Table 1. Briefly, PCR was carried out in a 15-μl solution containing 50 ng of the bisulphite-treated DNA, 1× PCR buffer (GeneSys, Wroclaw, Poland), 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.8 mM dNTPs, 0.6 mM forward and reverse primers, and 0.15 U HotStarTaq DNA Polymerase (GeneSys, Wroclaw, Poland). PCR reactions were hot-started at 95 °C for 5 min, subsequently denatured for 30 s at 95 °C, with annealing for 30 s at the appropriate temperature for each primer (Table 1) and an extension for 30 s at 70 °C. Thirty-five cycles were used to amplify the PCR products to the expected sizes in an MJ Mini thermal cycler (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc., Hercules, CA, USA). The products were evaluated using 2.5 % agarose gel.

Total RNA from the frozen tissues was isolated using the TriPure reagent (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany). Transcription RNA to ssDNA was carried out with the Transcriptor First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany), according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Quantitative real-time PCR (QPCR) was conducted using LightCycler 480 Probes Master (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany) in a total volume of 10 μl using a LightCycler 480 Real-Time PCR System (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany). The PCR conditions were as follows: denaturation at 95 °C for 5 min, followed by a further 50 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 10 s, annealing at 58 °C for 30 s and extension 72 °C for 10 s. The sequences of the primer was taken from the Universal ProbeLibrary Assay Design Center for Human (http://www.roche-applied-science.com) and they are: qRT-PKCB 5’AGGGATTC CAGTGCCAAGT3’ , 5’GAGGCTGGACCCTTGT CAG3’ , qRT-ACTB 5’ATGGCAATGAGCGGTCC3’ and 5’CGTGGATGCCACAGGACT3’. ACTB was used as the reference gene. The relative levels of gene expression were performed using the LightCycler 480 Instrument II software with advanced relative quantification for all samples. All experiments were repeated in duplicate.

Statistical analysis

Linear regression was used to assess differential methylation from HM27 data using MethLAB software (Kilaru et al. 2012). All p-values were corrected using Benjamini–Hochberg (B-H) false discovery rate correction. All probes with difference in β-values ≥0.20 and significant B-H-corrected p-values between cancer and normal tissues were retained.

The Pearson Chi-squared test (if all expected cell frequencies were ≥5) or Fisher’s exact test was used to test whether the presence of a clinical/molecular correlate is associated with the methylation of a CpG island. The tests used were two-sided. The VassarStats online package was used to carry out the necessary statistical tests and calculate the confidence intervals for the odds ratio (http://vassarstats.net/).

Pearson correlation was used to investigate the correlation between the DNA methylation and expression (Fellows 2012).

Differential expressions were determined by the Cyber-T algorithm (Blazejczyk et al. 2007). All p-values were corrected using B-H false discovery rate correction. All probes with significant B-H-corrected p-values were retained.

### Table 1

| Gene   | Annealing (°C) | Primer sequences | Product size (bp) |
|--------|----------------|------------------|------------------|
| PAK7   | 60             | FM 5’GATATTGGTGTA AAATCGT3’ | 200              |
|        |                | RM 5’CAATTAAATAA AACTACCGAT3’ |                 |
|        |                | FUM 5’GAATATTTGGTTAT AAGTTG3’ |                 |
|        |                | RUM 5’CAATTAAATAAA ACTACCCGAAT3’ |             |
|        |                | FM 5’GAAATTGGTTTTTTTATGG3’ |                 |
|        |                | RM 5’ACAACTAATAAAA TCTAAAGC3’ |                 |
|        |                | FUM 5’GAATATTTGGTTAT AAGTTG3’ | 257              |
|        |                | RUM 5’CAATCGGAAATAAATCATCG3’ | 256              |
|        |                | FM 5’GAAATTGGTTTTTTTATGG3’ |                 |
|        |                | RM 5’ACAACTAATAAAA TCTAAAGC3’ |                 |
|        |                | FUM 5’GAATATTTGGTTAT AAGTTG3’ |                 |
|        |                | RUM 5’CAATCGGAAATAAATCATCG3’ |                 |
|        |                | FM 5’GAAATTGGTTTTTTTATGG3’ |                 |
|        |                | RM 5’ACAACTAATAAAA TCTAAAGC3’ | 145              |
|        |                | FUM 5’GAATATTTGGTTAT AAGTTG3’ |                 |
|        |                | RUM 5’CAATCGGAAATAAATCATCG3’ | 147              |

FM/RM: forward and reverse primers specific to methylated sequence

FUM/RUM: forward and reverse primers specific to unmethylated sequence
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Results

We have previously described genome-wide methylation at 27,578 CpG sites (spanning 14,495 genes) in 12 CRC samples assessed by the HM27 assay (Laczmanska et al. 2013). This analysis revealed that four ErbB-associated genes (PIK3CD, PKCB, ERBB4, PAK7) were differentially methylated, as compared to normal control tissues. This was subsequently confirmed by re-analysing a GSE25062 dataset of 25 CRC and 25 adjacent normal tissues (Fig. 1). Subsequently, four genes [PIK3CD (cg23166362), PKCB (cg05436658), ERBB4 (cg07015629), PAK7 (cg12645220)] have been selected for further investigation on the group of 233 CRCs that have been previously characterised for various molecular classifiers, including epigenotype, MSI, BRAFV600E and KRAS codon 12 mutations (see Supplementary Fig. S1) (Karpinski et al. 2012). Given the uncertainty of intermediate- and low-methylation epigenotypes reported previously by our group, we decided to combine both epigenotypes into one group (IME/LME) (Karpinski et al. 2012).

An overview of the methylation frequencies in the studied CRC samples is given in Table 2. Overall, the incidence of hypermethylation at the PAK7 genes was the highest (91 %), whereas hypermethylation at the ERBB4 and PKCB genes was the lowest (43 % each). With regard to the clinicopathological characteristics (sex, tumour localisation), no association could be observed. However, there was a relationship between the PKCB and KRAS mutations (p=0.042) and a significant association between ERBB4 methylation and BRAFV600E mutation, MSI (p=0.001 and p=0.002, respectively) and high-methylation epigenotypes (HME) (p=0.0002).

To explore the links between differential methylation and the expression of selected genes, we utilised data obtained by colorectal cancers (CRCs) and paired adjacent normal tissues (GSE25070) (Hinoue et al. 2012).
Hinoue et al. with the Illumina HumanRef-8 v3.0 Expression BeadChip that contain the transcripts level for 25 CRCs and paired adjacent normal tissues (GSE25070) (Hinoue et al. 2012). In this dataset, significant downregulation of expression between cancerous and normal tissue was revealed for one of four genes (PKCB). Subsequently, Pearson correlation analysis of methylation (GSE25062) and expression (GSE25070) data in 25 paired tissues has shown a negative correlation between methylation and expression for the PKCB gene \((r = -0.627)\) (Fig. 2a). We confirmed the results of reanalysis by performing real-time PCR on six selected normal (N) and tumour (C) pairs (Fig. 2b).

Discussion

In this study, we assessed the methylation of four members of the ErbB signalling network (PIK3CD, PKCB, ERBB4, PAK7) and related it to a number of important clinical and molecular features in order to assess whether methylation of these genes is dependent on defined molecular/clinical features that may promote increased methylation of a given gene. Several studies have shown alterations in the expression of the candidate genes in various cancers, including gastrointestinal and other epithelial cancers, whereas few, if any, focused on epigenetic changes of the above-mentioned genes in CRC (Sawyer et al. 2003).

ERBB4 (HER4 receptor tyrosine kinase) methylation has been previously reported in breast carcinomas and significantly associated with worse patient prognosis (Das et al. 2010). In our study, we identified 43 % CRC samples with ERBB4 promoter methylation which correlated with HME tumour status, MSI and \(BRAF^{V600E}\) mutation. Given that MSI and \(BRAF^{V600E}\) mutation are molecular correlates specific for HME tumours, it can be postulated that ERBB4 promoter methylation is, rather, related to HME than specifically to the two other above-mentioned molecular correlates (Yagi et al. 2010). Concerning the biological role of ERBB4 methylation, recent studies demonstrated that the ERBB4 locus is occupied by the H3K27me3 (histone 3 lysine 27 trimethylation) histone mark in normal colon tissue (Enroth et al. 2011). Given that the H3K27me3-associated genes tend to be transcriptionally silent in normal tissue and hypermethylated in tumours, it can be speculated that ERBB4 methylation has no biological meaning in colorectal carcinogenesis (Kouzarides 2007).

PAK7 (p21-activated kinase 7, also known as PAK5) belongs to a family of six genes that activate the cell-survival signalling pathway. Unlike PAK4, the deletion of PAK7 is not sufficient to induce tumours in mice; however, PAK7 driver mutations have been described in cancers (Fumari et al. 2013). Two recent studies demonstrated that: (i) PAK7 is overexpressed during colorectal and gastric cancer progression (Gong et al. 2009; Gu et al. 2013); (ii) PAK7 knock-down suppresses gastric cell lines proliferation (Gu et al. 2013). In contrast, we demonstrated very frequent methylation of PAK7 in our cases (91 %). This finding was also supported by our reanalysis of the published HM27 dataset (GSE25062) and data obtained in CRCs by genome-wide methylation analysis in three other studies where PAK7 has been found to be frequently methylated (Sproul et al. 2012; Xu et al. 2012). This suggests that the PAK7 mRNA levels may not be determined by methylation extent alone or the location of biologically relevant methylation has not been properly addressed; thus,

|                   | PIK3CD | p-Value | PKCB | p-Value | ERBB4 | p-Value | PAK7 | p-Value |
|-------------------|--------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|------|---------|
| Gender            |        |         |      |         |       |         |      |         |
| Male              | 78     | 48      | 55   | 71      | 59    | 67      | 113  | 13      |
| Female            | 68     | 39      | 0.79 | 46      | 61    | 0.92    | 42   | 65      | 0.24   | 101   | 6     | 0.19 |
| Distance          |        |         |      |         |       |         |      |         |
| Distal            | 99     | 67      | 73   | 93      | 68    | 98      | 155  | 11      |
| Proximal          | 47     | 20      | 0.13 | 28      | 39    | 0.76    | 33   | 34      | 0.24   | 59    | 8     | 0.17 |
| MSI               | 14     | 5       |      | 10      | 9     | 15      | 4    | 18      |        | 1     |
| MSS               | 132    | 82      | 0.17 | 91      | 123   | 0.39    | 87   | 128     | 0.001  | 196   | 18    | 1     |
| BRAF+            | 11     | 9       |      | 9       | 11    | 15      | 5    | 18      |        | 1     |
| BRAF−            | 135    | 78      | 0.45 | 92      | 121   | 0.88    | 86   | 127     | 0.002  | 196   | 17    | 1     |
| KRA+             | 44     | 23      |      | 36      | 31    | 30      | 37   | 62      |        |       |       |
| KRA−             | 102    | 64      | 0.54 | 65      | 101   | 0.042   | 71   | 95      | 0.77   | 152   | 14    | 0.8   |
| HME               | 17     | 9       |      | 14      | 12    | 20      | 6    | 24      |        | 2     |
| IME/LME           | 127    | 78      | 0.72 | 87      | 120   | 0.25    | 81   | 126     | 0.0002 | 190   | 17    | 1     |
| All CRCs (%)      | 146/233 (63) | 87 | 101/233 (43) | 132 | 101/233 (43) | 132 | 214/233 (91) | 19 |
further studies are needed in order to elucidate the relation of methylation and PAK7 expression in CRC (van Vlodrop et al. 2011).

PIK3CD encodes the delta isoform of phosphoinositide-3 kinase (p110δ) that transmits signals inside cells by phosphorylating inositol lipids in cellular membranes (Tzenaki and Papakonstanti 2013 ). PIK3CD is expressed mainly in leucocytes; however, the expression of PIK3CD was also evidenced in non-leucocyte cancer cell lines, including breast carcinoma, melanoma and glioma (Kok et al. 2009; Sawyer et al. 2003). Notably, in the same study, the expression of PIK3CD in two colorectal cell lines was not evidenced (Sawyer et al. 2003). As in the case of ERBB4 mentioned above, a recent study revealed occupation of the PIK3CD locus by H3K27me3.

**Fig. 2** a Correlation plot between DNA methylation and gene expression of the PKCB gene. The plot was generated using data from 25 CRCs and paired adjacent normal tissues (GSE25070 and GSE25070) (Hinoue et al. 2012). b Relative expression of the PKCB gene in six selected normal (N) and tumour (C) pairs. The dark grey bars indicate tumours with PKCB methylation. A decrease in the relative expression level could be noticed in tumours with PKCB methylation.
histone mark in normal colon tissue, which likely results in PIK3CD being prone to hypermethylation in tumours without a direct impact on colorectal carcinogenesis (Enroth et al. 2011).

PKC beta (PKCB) is one of the protein kinase C isoforms involved in regulating cell proliferation and survival. PKCB is a component of the VEGF signalling pathway, which may promote tumour-directed angiogenesis (Spalding et al. 2008). Uregulated PKCB has been found in multiple human tumours, including CRCs (Gökmen-Polar et al. 2001). It has been shown that enhanced expression of PKCB induces tumorigenesis in mice colon (Graff et al. 2005). Recently, it has been found that PKCB hypermethylation is dependent on transcription factor PROX1 (prospero-related homeobox 1) high expression levels in colon cancer (Hagiwara et al. 2012). In a recent study, Skog et al. (2011) found that ~30 % of CRCs displayed high PROX1 expression, which was associated with poor patient outcome. In our study, we found a comparable frequency of PKCB methylation (43 % of cases). Given the inter-relation between PROX1 expression and PKCB methylation, together with the importance of PROX1 expression as the CRC prognostic factor, PKCB methylation may be potentially utilised as the indirect PROX1 expression marker in CRC.

We demonstrated that PKCB methylation is more frequent in tumours with KRAS mutation (Table 2; p-value ≤0.04). Interestingly, Calcagno et al. indicated that KRAS mutation inhibits expression of PKCB in mouse distal colon. Thus, it can be speculated that PKCB methylation observed in our study may be dependent, to some extent, on the KRAS mutation status.

Most notably of all, enzastaurin (a PKCB inhibitor) has shown promising results as an effective and selective suppressor of colon tumour proliferation in a mouse model (Graff et al. 2005). Therefore, PKCB has been recognised as a potential target for the chemoprevention of CRC (Glimelius et al. 2010). In our study, we have shown the negative effect of methylation on PKCB expression. In this light, enzastaurin-dependent chemoprevention may have little or no effect in patients with decreased PKCB expression. It might be of particular interest to further evaluate the methylation of PKCB as a potential marker of enzastaurin chemoprevention.

Conclusions

In this study, we demonstrated frequent methylation of the ErbB signalling network (PIK3CD, PKCB, ERBB4, PAK7) in colorectal cancer (CRC). After careful re-analysis of published methylation and expression data, we conclude that the methylation of ERBB4, PAK7 and PIK3CD has no functional role in CRC carcinogenesis. In contrast, methylation seems to have a potential impact on the biology of colorectal tumours by negatively modulating the expression of PKCB. Given the role of PKCB as the potential target for anti-cancer therapy, further investigation of PKCB methylation and expression in CRC could be of great importance for the development of future therapeutic strategies.

Acknowledgements This study was supported by a grant from the State Committee for Scientific Research, Polish Ministry of Scientific Research and Information Technology no. N N401 601438/2010–2013.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits any use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are credited.

References

Andnulis IL, Bull SB, Blackstein ME, Sutherland D, Mak C, Sidlofsky S, Pritzker KPH, Hartwick RW, Hanna W, Lickley L, Wilkinson R, Qzilbash A, Ambus U, Lipa M, Weizel H, Katz A, Baida M, Mariz S, Stoik G, Ducarnare P, Strongiharn D, Geddie W, McCready D (1998) neu/erbb-2 amplification identifies a poor-prognosis group of women with node-negative breast cancer. Toronto Breast Cancer Study Group. J Clin Oncol 16:1340–1349

Blazejczyk M, Miron R, Nadon R (2007) FlexArray: a statistical data analysis software for gene expression microarrays. Genome Quebec, Montreal, Canada

Buhard O, Cattaneo F, Wong YF, Yim SF, Friedman E, Flejou JF, Duval A, Hamelin R (2006) Multipopulation analysis of polymorphisms in five mononucleotide repeats used to determine the microsatellite instability status of human tumors. J Clin Oncol 24:241–251

Das PM, Thor AD, Edgerton SM, Barry SK, Chen DF, Jones FE (2010) Reactivation of epigenetically silenced HER4/ERBB4 results in apoptosis of breast tumor cells. Oncogene 29:5214–5219

Engelman JA, Zejnilullah K, Gale C-M, Lifshits E, Gonzales AJ, Shimamura T, Zhao F, Vincent PW, Naumov GN, Bradner JE, Althaus IW, Gandhi L, Shapiro GI, Nelson JM, Heymach JV, Meyerson M, Wong K-K, Jänne PA (2007) PF00299804, an irreversible pan-ERBB inhibitor, is effective in lung cancer models with EGFR and ERBB2 mutations that are resistant to gefitinib. Cancer Res 67:11924–11932

Emroth S, Rada-Iglesias A, Andersson R, Wallerman O, Wanders A, Pählman L, Komorowski J, Wadelius C (2011) Cancer associated epigenetic transitions identified by genome-wide histone methylation binding profiles in colorectal cancer samples and paired normal mucosa. BMC Cancer 11:450

Fellows I (2012) Deducer: a data analysis GUI for R. J Stat Softw 49:1–15

Furnari MA, Jobes ML, Nekrasova T, Minden A, Wagner GC (2013) Functional deficits in pak5, pak6 and pak5/pak6 knockout mice. PLoS One 8:e61321

Glimelius B, Lahn M, Gawande S, Cleverly A, Darstein C, Musib L, Liu Y, Spindler KL, Frödin JE, Berglund A, Byström P, Qvortrup C, Jakobsen A, Pfeiffer P (2010) A window of opportunity phase II study of enzastaurin in chemonaive patients with asymptomatic metastatic colorectal cancer. Ann Oncol 21:1020–1026

Gökmen-Polar Y, Murray NR, Velasco MA, Gatalica Z, Fields AP (2001) Elevated protein kinase C betaII is an early promotive event in colon carcinogenesis. Cancer Res 61:1375–1381
Gong W, An Z, Wang Y, Pan X, Fang W, Jiang B, Zhang H (2009) P21-activated kinase 5 is overexpressed during colorectal cancer progression and regulates colorectal carcinoma cell adhesion and migration. Int J Cancer 125:548–555

Graff JR, McNulty AM, Hana KR, Konicek BW, Lynch RL, Bailey SN, Banks C, Capen A, Goode R, Lewis JE, Sams L, Hess KL, Campbell RM, Iversen PW, Neubauer BL, Brown TJ, Musil L, Geeganage S, Thornton D (2005) The protein kinase Cbeta-selective inhibitor, Enzastaurin (LY317615.HCl), suppresses signaling through the AKT pathway, induces apoptosis, and suppresses growth of human colon cancer and glioblastoma xenografts. Cancer Res 65:7462–7469

Gu J, Li K, Li M, Wu X, Zhang L, Ding Q, Wu W, Yang J, Mu J, Wen H, Ding Q, Lu J, Hao Y, Chen L, Zhang W, Li S, Liu Y (2013) A role for p21-activated kinase 7 in the development of gastric cancer. FEBS J 280:46–55

Hagiwara K, Ito H, Murate T, Miyata Y, Ohashi H, Nagai H (2012) PROX1 overexpression inhibits protein kinase C beta II transcription through promoter DNA methylation. Genes Chromosomes Cancer 51:1024–1036

Hinoue T, Weisenberger DJ, Lange CP, Shen H, Byun HM, Van Den Berg M, Dalkilic S, Pastorello G, Groden J, Eusmane S, Lu J, Auerbach R, Fanger D, Lin M (2011) Hypomethylation at CpG islands is common in human cancers: a DNA methylation profile of 30 cancer types. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 108:16822–16826

Hoeque MO, Braj M, Rosenbaum E, Poeta ML, Pal P, Begum S, Dasgupta S, Carvalho AL, Ahrendt SA, Westra WH, Sidransky D (2012) Genetic and epigenetic analysis of erBB signaling pathway genes in lung cancer. J Thorac Oncol 5:1887–1893

Karpinski P, Szmita E, Misiak B, Ramsey D, Leszczynski P, Bebenek M, Sedziak T, Grzebieniak Z, Jonkisz A, Lebioda A, Sasiadek MM (2013) Protein tyrosine phosphatase receptor-like kinases. Cell 141:1117–1128

Klein MJ, O'Connor J, Grillo SL, Arroyo J, Querfeld C, Czarnetta D, Cottom K, Zhang W, Shao J, Dejaco C, Perrella MA, Stokoe D, Zhang J, Morin PG (2011) AKT inhibition in colorectal cancer suppresses PI3K and mTOR signaling through the AKT pathway, induces apoptosis, and suppresses tumor growth in human colon cancer and glioblastoma xenografts. Cancer Res 71:5084–5093

Kok K, Nock GE, Verrall EA, Mitchell MP, Hommes DW, Peppelensbosch MP, Vanhaesebroeck B (2009) Regulation of p110delta PI 3-kinase gene expression. PLoS One 4:e5145

Kouzarides T (2007) Chromatin modifications and their function. Cell 128:693–705

Laczkanska I, Karpinski P, Bebenek M, Sedziak T, Ramsey D, Szmita E, Sasiadek MM (2013) Protein tyrosine phosphatase receptor-like genes are frequently hypermethylated in sporadic colorectal cancer. Int J Cancer 130:2565–2574

Lemmon MA, Schlessinger J (2010) Cell signaling by receptor tyrosine kinases. Cell 141:1117–1128

Miranda E, Destro A, Malesci A, Ballard E, Bianchi P, Baryshnikova E, Franchi G, Morenghi E, Laghi L, Gennari L, Roncalli M (2006) Genetic and epigenetic changes in primary metastatic and nonmetastatic colorectal cancer. Br J Cancer 95:1101–1107

Montoro AJ, Marcela Diaz-Montero C, Mao L, Yousef EM, Estecio M, Shen L, Issa J-JP (2006) Epigenetic inactivation of EGFR by CpG island hypermethylation in cancer. Cancer Biol Ther 5:1494–1501

Petralonghi E, Lubrano C, Ravanella L, Vacca A, Cardillo MR, Salvatori L, Sciarra F, Frati L, Gulino A (1995) Gene methylation of oestrogen and epidermal growth factor receptors in neoplastic and perineoplastic breast tissues. Br J Cancer 72:973–975

Razin A, Cedar H (1991) DNA methylation and gene expression. Microbiol Rev 55:451–458

Sapió MR, Posada D, Troncone G, Pettinato G, Palombini L, Rossi G, Fenzi G, Vitale M (2006) Detection of BRAF mutation in thyroid papillary carcinomas by mutant allele-specific PCR amplification (MAAs). Eur J Endocrinol 154:341–348

Sauter G, Moch H, Moore D, Carroll P, Kirschmann R, Rew K, Mikhailov M, Gudat F, Waldman F (1993) Heterogeneity of erbB-2 gene amplification in bladder cancer. Cancer Res 53: 2199–2203

Sawyer C, Sturge J, Bennett DC, O’Hare MJ, Allen WE, Bain J, Jones GE, Vanhaesebroeck B (2003) Regulation of breast cancer cell chemotaxis by the phosphoinositide 3-kinase p110delta. Cancer Res 63:1667–1765

Sciarra F, Frati L, Gulino A (1995) Gene methylation of oestrogen receptor in breast cancer. Cancer Biol Ther 5:1494

Shen L, Issa J-PJ (2006) Epigenetic inactivation of EGFR by CpG island methylator phenotype colon cancers. Genome Res 16:21–33

Spalding AC, Zeitlin BD, Wilder-Romans K, Davis ME, Nor JE, Lawrence TS, Ben-Josef E (2008) Enzastaurin, an inhibitor of PKCbeta, enhances antiangiogenic effects and cytotoxicity of radiation against endothelial cells. Transl Oncol 1:195–201

Sproul D, Kitchen RR, Nestor CE, Dixon JM, Sims AH, Harrison DJ, Ramsahoye BH, Meehan RR (2012) Tissue of origin determines cancer-associated CpG island promoter hypermethylation patterns. Genome Biol 13:R84

Tzenaki N, Papakonstanti EA (2013) p110delta PI3 kinase pathway: emerging roles in cancer. Front Oncol 3:40

van Vlodrop IJ, Niessen HE, Derks S, Baldeckijs MM, van Criekinge W, Herman JG, van Engeland M (2011) Analysis of promoter CpG island hypermethylation in cancer: location, location, location! Clin Cancer Res 17:4225–4231

Wu WK, Tse TTM, Sung JYY, Li ZJ, Yu L, Cho CH (2009) Expression of ErbB receptors and their cognate ligands in gastric and colon cancer cell lines. Anticancer Res 29:229–234

Xu Y, Hu B, Choi AJ, Gopalab B, Lee BH, Kalady MF, Church JM, Ting AH (2012) Unique DNA methylome profiles in CpG island methylator phenotype colon cancers. Genome Res 22:283–291

Yagi K, Akagi K, Hayashi H, Nagae G, Tsuji S, Isagawa T, Midorikawa Y, Nishimura Y, Sakamoto H, Seto Y, Aburatani H, Kaneda A (2010) Three DNA methylation epigenotypes in human colorectal cancer. Clin Cancer Res 16:21–33

Yarden Y, Sliwkowski MX (2001) Untangling the ErbB signalling network. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 2:137–146

Zhang H, Berezov A, Wang Q, Zhang G, Dredin J, Murali R, Greene MI (2007) ErbB receptors: from oncogenes to targeted cancer therapies. J Clin Invest 117:2051–2058