Presentation and outcomes of early and late onset neonatal sepsis in a Nigerian Hospital
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Abstract

Background: Neonatal Sepsis remains a major cause of morbidity and mortality in neonates despite great advances in antimicrobial therapy and life support measures.

Objectives: To compare the aetiology, risk factors, presentation and outcomes of care between early onset neonatal sepsis (EOS) and late onset neonatal sepsis (LOS).

Methods: Bacterial isolates were identified using blood cultures and antibiotic susceptibility testing was done using disc diffusion method. The risk factors, clinical presentation, laboratory findings and neonatal outcomes of the babies with EOS were compared with LOS. Statistical significance was set at P <0.05.

Results: Neonatal Sepsis was responsible for 16% of Special Care Baby Unit (SCBU) admissions. Of the 72 babies with sepsis, 56 (77.8%) had EOS as against 16 (22.2%) who had late-onset sepsis. Low birth weight (p=0.01) and perinatal asphyxia (p=0.01) were significantly associated with EOS while for LOS, delivery outside the health facility (p=0.01) was the only significant risk factor. Respiratory distress was more significantly observed in EOS (p = 0.01). Neonatal deaths occurred in 32% of babies with EOS while all babies with culture positive LOS survived.

Conclusion: Early onset neonatal sepsis is associated with high likelihood of neonatal mortality. Unsupervised delivery, birth asphyxia and low birth weight are risk factors associated with neonatal sepsis. Efforts to ensure supervised hospital delivery and improvement in neonatal resuscitation may reduce the incidence of neonatal sepsis and its attendant complications.
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Introduction

Neonatal sepsis is a clinical syndrome caused by systemic bacterial infection documented by a positive blood culture in the first four weeks of life.1,2 Neonatal Sepsis remains a major cause of morbidity and mortality in neonates despite great advances in antimicrobial therapy, life support measures and the early detection of risk factors.1 Neonatal sepsis is estimated to cause almost one million deaths and accounts for more than 25% of neonatal deaths worldwide.3 Neonatal Sepsis can be classified as early-onset neonatal sepsis and late-onset neonatal sepsis based on the age of onset. Early-onset sepsis (EOS) is defined as onset of features of sepsis within 72 hrs of life while Late-onset sepsis (LOS) is defined as onset of features of sepsis after 72hrs of life.1,4 EOS is usually caused by organisms acquired from the mother's genital tract or the organism prevalent in the designated place of delivery within the hospital.5,6 Most babies with EOS present with respiratory distress and it is associated with substantial morbidity
and mortality. LOS usually is due to organisms acquired from the environment as well as from the care-givers.

The incidence, risk factors, pattern, antimicrobial sensitivities and mortality differ between the developed and developing countries. While group B Streptococcus is the leading cause of EOS in the developed countries, studies in developing countries have shown Klebsiella spp, Staphylococcus aureus and Escherichia coli as the leading causes. Coagulase negative Staphylococcus aureus is the leading cause of LOS in the developed countries while Staphylococcus aureus remains the leading cause of LOS in developing countries.

Diagnosis of neonatal sepsis requires a high index of suspicion as its symptoms and signs are non-specific. The diagnosis of neonatal sepsis is confirmed by positive blood culture report however, the culture or incubation time may be up to 48 – 72 hours; this is a major limitation to patient management.

This study was conducted to compare the aetiology, related factors, antibiotic susceptibility and outcomes of care between EOS and LOS in a sub-urban tertiary Hospital in South-West Nigeria. This information will serve as an evidence-based template for care of babies presenting with neonatal sepsis in this environment.

Materials and methods

This cross-sectional study was conducted at the Special Care Baby Unit (SCBU) of the Wesley Guild Hospital (WGH), Ilesa in 2009. This is a tertiary hospital and a unit of the Obafemi Awolowo University Teaching Hospitals Complex (OAUTHC), Ile-Ife. Ilesa, the largest town in Ijesaland, is situated on latitude 7º 35’ N and longitude 4º 51’ E, about 200 kilometers North-East of Lagos. The hospital serves as a multi-specialty referral centre for the communities of the Osun, Ondo and Ekiti States of South-Western Nigeria.

The study was part of a hospital-based prospective study carried out to determine the pattern of bacterial isolates and antibiotic sensitivity among neonates admitted into the SCBU of the hospital. All consecutive babies aged 0–28 days admitted to the SCBU of the WGH with presumed or probable sepsis were recruited. Septicaemia was presumed when a newborn baby has specific risk factors for sepsis like prematurity, delivery outside the hospital, prolonged labour, prolonged rupture of membranes, peri-partum pyrexia and chorioamnionitis without clinical manifestation.

Sepsis was probable in the presence of clinical features adjudged to be suggestive of sepsis, particularly fever or hypothermia, respiratory distress, jaundice, poor feeding, lethargy, poor cry and unexplained poor perfusion.

Babies were stratified into EOS if they presented within 72 hours of birth and LOS if they presented between 73hrs and 28days.

Babies with features suggestive of congenital heart diseases and metabolic disorders such as hypothyroidism were excluded from the study as well as neonates without risk factors or features suggestive of septicaemia.

Information about the age at admission, weight at admission, sex, estimated gestational age (EGA) at delivery, place of birth and details of perinatal events like duration of labour, interval between rupture of fetal membranes and the delivery of baby, occurrence of pyrexia during labour, mode of delivery and primary diagnosis on admission, were obtained with a use of a pre-tested study proforma.

Babies delivered at the WGH were designated inborn babies while babies delivered outside WGH but who were subsequently referred to WGH were designated out-born babies.

Blood culture samples, about 2mls of blood were collected from peripheral veins, under aseptic conditions, into the nutrient and thioglycolate broths prior the commencement of antibiotic therapy. The blood culture broths were immediately sent to the laboratory, where they were incubated at 37ºC for 7 days. Three subcultures were made; at 24 hours, 72 hours and on the 7th day on macconkey and Blood agar media. Organisms isolated were identified by conventional methods as described by Akonai et al. The aerobic isolates were studied in detail using Gram staining, colony characteristics and other biochemical features. Antibiotic susceptibility was determined by the disc-diffusion method using impregnated antibiotic discs. After blood sample collection, the neonates were commenced on empirical antibiotics Ampicillin and Gentamicin based on the recommendations of Owa et al from a study done about twenty years prior to this study in the same centre.

Prolonged rupture of membranes was defined as rupture of fetal membranes greater than 24 hours. Prolonged labour was defined as one lasting greater than 12 hours in the active phase of labour or 24 hours in totality. The
socio-economic stratification was done using the method recommended by Olusanya and Okpere.\textsuperscript{15}

The course of the illness including complications was recorded and compared between those with EOS versus LOS. The outcome of hospitalization was recorded as either discharge in good condition, discharge against medical advice or death. Ethical approval was obtained from the Research and Ethical Clearance Committee of the Obafemi Awolowo University Teaching Hospitals Complex. Written informed consent was obtained from either the parent(s) or the care-giver(s).

Maternal data obtained included age, parity, occupation, educational status, history of antenatal care, details of labour and delivery including the place of delivery, history of peri-partum fever, preterm labour, pre-labour rupture of foetal membranes, prolonged rupture of membranes, duration of labour as well as mode of delivery.

Data analysis was done using the SPSS for Windows software version 15.\textsuperscript{16} Means, standard deviations (SD), proportions and percentages were determined as applicable. The means and standard deviations (SD) were calculated for continuous variables while ratios and proportions were calculated for discrete variables. Univariate and multivariate regression analysis were done to determine association of risk factor and clinical presentation with early and late onset septicemia. P-values < 0.05 was statistically significant.

**Result**

A total of 450 neonates were admitted during the study period into the SCBU. Three hundred and sixty of these babies met the inclusion criteria and were recruited. Of these, 72 babies had neonatal sepsis confirmed by blood culture, hence neonatal sepsis accounted for 16\% of all admissions into the SCBU. Forty-three (59.7\%) of these were in-born and the remaining 29 (40.3\%) were out-born. The mean age of babies who had septicaemia was 78.1±147.5 hours. The mean age of in-born babies with septicaemia was 38.1±98.8 hours and this was not significantly lower than 105.0±168.7 hours for out-born babies with septicaemia (p = 0.06).

Of the 72 babies with sepsis, 56 (77.8\%) had EOS as against 16 (22.2\%) who had LOS. The mean gestational age at delivery was 36.1±4.6 weeks for the babies with EOS and 38.1±1.7 weeks for babies with LOS (p = 0.09). Two in five babies with EOS were preterm compared with only one preterm baby presenting with LOS. The association between preterm birth and EOS was statistically significant. (p = 0.01).

The mean weight of the babies with EOS was 2.40±0.94 kg and was significantly less than 3.11±0.67 kg for the babies with LOS (p = 0.01). Almost half of the babies with EOS were LBW compared with one in eight babies with LOS. Low birth-weight babies were significantly more likely to present with EOS (p = 0.04).

Thirty-five (62.5\%) of 56 babies with EOS and two (12.5\%) of 16 babies with LOS had perinatal asphyxia. The higher percentage of babies with EOS compared to LOS who had perinatal asphyxia was statistically significant (p = 0.01). Half of the babies with LOS were delivered outside health facilities while 14.3\% of babies with EOS were not delivered in health facilities. This was statistically significant (p = 0.01). Gender, social class, and prolonged rupture of membrane as shown in Table 1, did not significantly influence the risk of EOS or LOS among the population studied.
The clinical features of the babies with EOS and LOS are shown in Table 2. Hypothermia, pallor, poor activity, poor suckling and respiratory distress were more commonly observed in EOS than LOS. However, only respiratory distress was more significantly observed in EOS (p = 0.01). Fever and irritability were more common in babies with LOS.

| Clinical Features | Babies with EOS | Babies with LOS | Odd’s ratio (95% CI) | P-value |
|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------|
| Convulsion        | 9               | 3               | 0.96 (0.67-1.36)     | 1.00    |
| Diarrhea          | 1               | 1               | 0.64 (0.16-2.56)     | 0.93    |
| Vomiting          | 1               | 1               | 0.64 (0.16-2.56)     | 0.92    |
| Poor activity     | 35              | 3               | 1.49 (1.13-1.97)     | <0.01   |
| Irritability      | 1               | 4               | 0.24 (0.04-4.17)     | <0.01   |
| Fever             | 9               | 8               | 0.62 (0.39-0.98)     | 0.01    |
| Jaundice          | 10              | 5               | 0.83 (0.57-1.21)     | 0.42    |
| Pallor            | 7               | 1               | 1.14 (0.85-1.54)     | 0.80    |
| Hypothermia       | 22              | 2               | 1.29 (1.04-1.61)     | 0.05    |
| Sclerema          | 1               | 0               | 1.29 (1.14-1.46)     | 1.00    |
| Poor suck         | 27              | 4               | 1.23 (0.97-1.56)     | 0.17    |
| Respiratory       | 33              | 2               | 1.51 (1.17-1.98)     | <0.01   |

Table 3 shows no significant difference in the laboratory features between EOS and LOS in this study. However, the mean laboratory results as shown in Table 4 revealed that the mean platelet count and random blood sugar were lower among the neonates with EOS.
Staphylococcus aureus was the predominant bacterial isolate in both early onset (69.0%) and late onset (76.5%) sepsis in this study. Gram positive isolates were the most common isolates in both early onset (77.2%) and late onset (83.3%) neonatal sepsis in this study.

The antibiotics with the highest sensitivities were Ciprofloxacin (86.7%), Cefuroxime (82.7%), Ceftriaxone (81.3%), the rest is as shown in Table 5.

Eighteen (18) of the 72 babies with confirmed neonatal sepsis died giving overall mortality rate of 25%. All the eighteen deaths were recorded in babies with EOS, meaning that 32% of the babies with confirmed EOS died.

Table 3: Comparison between laboratory features of Early (EOS) and Late onset sepsis (LOS)

| Laboratory features on admission | Babies with EOS Nº (%) | Babies with LOS Nº (%) | P-value |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------|
| Anaemia                          | 11 (19.6)               | 4 (25.0)                | 0.91*   |
| Hyperglycaemia                   | 2 (3.6)                 | 2 (12.5)                | 0.45*   |
| Hypoglycaemia                    | 9 (16.1)                | 2 (12.5)                | 1.00*   |
| Leucocytosis                     | 13 (23.2)               | 7 (43.8)                | 0.11    |
| Metabolic Acidosis               | 27 (48.2)               | 8 (50.0)                | 0.90    |
| Neutropenia                      | 10 (17.9)               | 1 (6.25)                | 0.46*   |
| Neutrophilia                     | 7 (12.5)                | 2 (12.5)                | 1.00*   |
| Thrombocytopenia                 | 40 (71.4)               | 11 (68.8)               | 0.84    |

* Yate’s correction applied

Table 4: The Mean (SD) of laboratory features among the 72 babies with sepsis

| Laboratory feature                          | EOS (n=56) Mean (SD) | LOS (n=16) Mean (SD) | T   | P   |
|---------------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----|-----|
| Haematocrit (%)                             | 48.8 (8.8)           | 42.6 (9.3)           | 2.47| 0.02|
| White blood cell count ($\times 10^9$/L)    | 9657.5 (5605.1)      | 10376.3 (6092.6)     | 0.44| 0.66|
| Platelet count ($\times 10^9$/L)            | 130325.0 (34906.7)   | 156062.5 (58049.9)   | 2.22| 0.03|
| Random blood sugar (mmol/L)                 | 3.7 (2.3)            | 5.7 (5.0)            | 2.24| 0.03|

Table 5: Antibiotic sensitivity pattern of the bacterial isolates

| ORGANISMS             | ANTIBIOTICS      | S. Aureus (n=53) Nº (%) | Klebsiella (n=8) Nº (%) | AHS* (n=6) Nº (%) | Pseudomonas aeruginosa (n=5) Nº (%) | E. Coli (n=2) Nº (%) | Proteus spp (n=1) Nº (%) |
|-----------------------|------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| Ampicillin            | 14 (26.4)        | 1 (12.5)                | 2 (33.3)                | 0 (0.0)          | 0 (0.0)                             | 0 (0.0)             | 0 (0.0)                  |
| Amoxycillin-Clavulanic acid | 45 (84.9)        | 4 (50.0)                | 6 (100)                 | 2 (40.0)         | 0 (0.0)                             | 0 (0.0)             | 1 (100)                  |
| Cloxacillin           | 15 (28.3)        | 0 (0.0)                 | 0 (0.0)                 | 0 (0.0)          | 0 (0.0)                             | 0 (0.0)             | 0 (0.0)                  |
| Ciprofloxacin         | 46 (86.8)        | 7 (87.5)                | 4 (66.7)                | 5 (100)          | 2 (100)                             | 1 (100)             | 1 (100)                  |
| Cefuroxime            | 49 (92.6)        | 4 (50.0)                | 3 (50.0)                | 3 (60.0)         | 2 (100)                             | 1 (100)             | 1 (100)                  |
| Ceftriaxone           | 44 (83.0)        | 5 (62.5)                | 5 (83.3)                | 4 (80.0)         | 2 (100)                             | 1 (100)             | 1 (100)                  |
| Carbenicillin         | 40 (75.5)        | 3 (37.5)                | 3 (50.0)                | 5 (100)          | 0 (0.0)                             | 1 (100)             | 1 (100)                  |
| Gentamicin            | 24 (45.3)        | 3 (37.5)                | 1 (16.7)                | 3 (60.0)         | 2 (100)                             | 1 (100)             | 1 (100)                  |
| Erythromycin          | 32 (60.4)        | 1 (12.5)                | 5 (83.3)                | 0 (0.0)          | 0 (0.0)                             | 0 (0.0)             | 0 (0.0)                  |
| Streptomycin          | 25 (47.2)        | 3 (37.5)                | 0 (0.0)                 | 1 (20.0)         | 1 (50.0)                            | 0 (0.0)             | 0 (0.0)                  |

*S: Alpha Haemolytic Streptococcus
Discussion

The prevalence of neonatal sepsis from the study was 16% of all neonatal admissions into the SCBU. The study revealed that EOS was more common than LOS, however, *Staphylococcus aureus* was the most prevalent isolate in both groups. EOS was found to be more common among preterm, low birth weight babies and babies who suffered perinatal asphyxia. Out-born delivery was the identified risk factor for LOS in the study. Hypothermia, respiratory distress, poor suck and poor activity were the significant manifestations of EOS; fever and irritability were the symptoms significantly associated with LOS in this study. Low hematocrit, thrombocytopenia and hypoglycaemia were associated with EOS.

The neonatal sepsis prevalence rate of 16% observed from our study is similar to the reports of Ogunlesi et al\textsuperscript{17} from another hospital in South-West Nigeria, but less than 22% reported by Iregbu et al\textsuperscript{18} in Northern Nigeria and 23.9% reported by Ojukwu et al\textsuperscript{19} in South-Eastern Nigeria. This shows variation in prevalence across geographical locations within the country. This study reveals that EOS is more common than LOS and this finding is similar to findings from previous studies.\textsuperscript{10,20,21} This finding could probably be explained by the time of presentation in relation to the place of delivery especially since LOS was found commonly among out-born babies. The higher prevalence of LOS among out-born babies may be related to the non-availability of a Paediatrician or medical personnel at delivery and the non-specific symptoms of neonatal sepsis may have caused delay in presentation. Also, the kind of care received by these babies after delivery vis-à-vis cord care and poor feeding practices could account for the increased incidence of sepsis among this group of babies.\textsuperscript{22} Factors such as poor hygiene, poor cord care, bottle feeding and parenteral fluids as well as instrumentation have all been documented to increase the prevalence of community acquired LOS.\textsuperscript{23}

Prematurity was found to be associated with EOS from our study; this is similar to findings from a study in Israel;\textsuperscript{24} however it is at variance with the findings of West and Tabansi\textsuperscript{10} in South-East Nigeria which stated that prematurity was more associated with LOS probably because of prolonged hospital stay and increased risk of nosocomial infection. Prematurity is one of the leading causes of early neonatal admission, hence symptoms of sepsis are readily noted by the Paediatricians while the babies are on admission. Prematurity is usually associated with increased susceptibility to infections.\textsuperscript{1} This finding can also be explained by the time of sample collection in these babies; blood samples in this study were taken at the time of admission of the babies.

EOS was more common among babies who had perinatal asphyxia. This is similar to the findings of Bulkowstein\textsuperscript{24} in Israel. Asphyxia generally impairs the immune response of the neonate,\textsuperscript{1} this probably was responsible for the increased prevalence of EOS in the affected infants. The increased risk of EOS in babies with perinatal asphyxia may be a result of the need for vigorous resus-
Male gender was observed to be at higher risk for both EOS and LOS which is similar to the findings in Port Harcourt as well as the findings of Dawodu et al in Saudi Arabia. Female gender has been demonstrated to be protective in patients with sepsis, whereas male gender may be deleterious due to a diminished cell-mediated immune response and cardiovascular functions. Male sex hormones, i.e., androgens, have been found to suppress cell-mediated immune responses while female sex hormones have protective effects and this finding probably confers natural advantages on females in septic conditions.

The clinical features of neonatal sepsis are non-specific and vague. However, some symptoms are more likely to be found in EOS compared to LOS and vice versa. In this study, hypothermia, respiratory distress, poor activity and poor suck were more common among the babies with EOS which is similar to findings from West and Tabansi, as well as Sankar et al. Hypothermia occurred more frequently in babies with EOS as against fever in babies with LOS in this study. This is probably due to the fact that most of the babies with EOS are LBW and preterm babies are likely to respond to infection with hypothermia. The observed hypothermia in EOS may also be due to the difficulty with temperature control usually exhibited by preterm and LBW babies. Though, fever, convulsion, irritability and jaundice were found to be more common in LOS, only fever and irritability were significantly associated with LOS.

Thrombocytopenia, neutropenia and hypoglycaemia were more commonly observed among the neonates with EOS when compared to those with LOS. Also, the mean haematocrit, mean platelet count and mean random blood sugar were all significantly lower among the babies with EOS compared with LOS. The findings of thrombocytopenia and neutropenia are similar to the result of Bulkowstein et al. Thrombocytopenia may be a presenting sign of neonatal sepsis and can last as long as 3 weeks; 10-60% of infants with sepsis have thrombocytopenia. Platelets are being speculated to play a role in the innate immune response to bacterial agents in the body by acting as sentinel cells that alert the innate immune system to the presence of bacterial agents in the body. Also, immune mediated thrombocytopenia has also been hypothesized. The neutropenia may be due to the fact that newborns are unable to mount adequate immune response in the first week of life as a result of immaturity of their immune system which puts preterm babies at a higher risk of sepsis. Hypoglycaemia can probably be explained by poor suckling and poor reserve which characterizes LBW and prematurity and these groups of babies had high prevalence of EOS in this study while the babies with LOS were mainly term babies who were older and probably were able to tolerate the stress of sepsis better. In addition, babies with LOS are also able to mount adequate or appropriate ketogenic response compared to babies who had EOS who are mostly LBW and preterm babies.

There was no difference in the bacterial isolates derived from babies with EOS and LOS. *Staphylococcus aureus* was predominant in both groups of neonates and this is similar to the findings of Fadero in Osogbo, Nathoo in Zimbabwe and Haque in Saudi Arabia. This however is different from what obtains in the developed countries where group B *Streptococcus* (GBS) is the most common isolate in EOS. These differences may be explained by environmental factors as women at term in developed countries in Europe and America show invasive GBS colonization rates of up to 30% thus posing a risk for GBS sepsis in their infants. This contrasts with the scenario in developing countries where the prevalence of GBS in pregnant women at term is low, and even when colonization occurs, it is usually with the non-invasive species. The bacterial isolates in this study were sensitive to Ciprofloxacin, Cefuroxime and Ceftriaxone in that order but showed significant resistance to commonly used antibiotics such as Ampicillin, Cloxacillin and Gentamicin. This finding is similar to what Kayange et al reported from Tanzania. This buttresses the need for continuous review of empirical antibiotics used in the treatment of NNS with a view to changing it as appropriate. Ojukwu et al from Abakaliki recommended the use of Ceftriaxone and Gentamicin as empirical therapy while awaiting blood culture result. The use of Ceftriaxone in the newborn has its attendant problem of worsening neonatal jaundice which may make it unsuitable as empirical antibiotic. Ciprofloxacin is also not recommended in the neonatal period because of its feared complication of arthropathy coupled with the recent publications by the European Medicines Agency.
Agency on quinolones. Cefuroxime is recommended as the first line empirical antibiotic based on the results of this study. Given the high rate of in-vitro resistance to Ampicillin and Gentamicin which is the current empirical antibiotics there is a need for modification of practice. EOS usually manifest as a fulminant, multisystem infection with a high case fatality rate and this was reflected in the high mortality rate of 32.1% for EOS observed in this study compared to 100% survival in the neonates with LOS. The high mortality seen in the babies with EOS is much higher than 15.8% recorded by a Boma and Tabansi in Port Harcourt. The reason for this may also be due to the fact that other clinical conditions such as complications of prematurity contributed to the high mortality among this group of patients. A high index of suspicion is needed in the management of this category of babies and concerted efforts should be made to reduce delivery of preterm and low birth weight babies; pregnant women should also be encouraged to deliver at the appropriate facilities in order to ensure clean and safe delivery of their babies.

**Conclusion**

Early onset neonatal sepsis is associated with high likelihood of neonatal mortality. Unsupervised delivery, birth asphyxia and low birth weight are risk factors associated with neonatal sepsis. Efforts to ensure supervised hospital delivery and improvement in neonatal resuscitation may reduce the incidence of neonatal sepsis (both EOS and LOS) and its attendant complications.
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