Disentangling the relationship between sex-biased gene expression and X-linkage
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X chromosomes are preferentially transmitted through females, which may favor the accumulation of X-linked alleles/genes with female-beneficial effects. Numerous studies have shown that genes with sex-biased expression are under- or over-represented on the X chromosomes of a wide variety of organisms. The patterns, however, vary between different animal species, and the causes of these differences are unresolved. Additionally, genes with sex-biased expression tend to be narrowly expressed in a limited number of tissues, and narrowly expressed genes are also non-randomly X-linked in a taxon-specific manner. It is therefore unclear whether the unique gene content of the X chromosome is the result of selection on genes with sex-biased expression, narrowly expressed genes, or some combination of the two. To address this problem, we measured sex-biased expression in multiple Drosophila species and at different developmental time points. These data were combined with available expression measurements from Drosophila melanogaster and mouse to reconcile the inconsistencies in X-chromosome content among taxa. Our results suggest that most of the differences between Drosophila and mammals are confounded by disparate data collection/analysis approaches as well as the correlation between sex bias and expression breadth. Both the Drosophila and mouse X chromosomes harbor an excess of genes with female-biased expression after controlling for the confounding factors, suggesting that the asymmetrical transmission of the X chromosome favors the accumulation of female-beneficial mutations in X-linked genes. However, some taxon-specific patterns remain, and we provide evidence that these are in part a consequence of constraints imposed by the dosage compensation mechanism in Drosophila.

[Supplemental material is available for this article.]

Sexual dimorphism is widespread among animal species. Because males and females share nearly identical genomes, differences in phenotypes are in part the result of the biased expression of certain genes in one sex or the other (Ellegren and Parsch 2007); these genes are said to have “sex-biased expression.” Selection pressures can differ between males and females, and these sex-specific or sexually antagonistic selection pressures are expected to act on genes with sex-biased expression (Vicoso and Charlesworth 2006; Innocenti and Morrow 2010). X chromosomes are found in females two-thirds of the time, whereas autosomes are equally transmitted via the two sexes. The net selection pressure acting on X-linked genetic variation may therefore be biased in favor of female-beneficial alleles (Rice 1984; Connalon and Clark 2010; Fry 2010). Assuming that genes with sex-biased expression perform sex-specific-beneficial functions (Innocenti and Morrow 2010), the X-linkage of genes with sex-biased expression can thus be a valuable readout of historical sex-specific selection pressures (Vicoso and Charlesworth 2006).

The degree to which the sexes are dimorphic also varies across tissues. For example, some somatic tissues are highly homogenous between the sexes, other somatic tissues are unique to one sex (e.g., male accessory glands in insects, the prostate in mammals), and the gonads are extremely differentiated between males and females. Each tissue expresses a subset of the genes in a genome—the more sexually dimorphic the tissue, the more differentiated is the pattern of female versus male gene expression in that tissue (Jin et al. 2001; Arbeitman et al. 2002, 2004; Parisi et al. 2003, 2004). In addition, the expression breadth of individual genes can range from broad (i.e., the gene is expressed in multiple tissues/developmental stages/environments) to narrow (expressed in very few tissues/stages/environments). Sex-specific selection pressures may differ if a gene is narrowly expressed in a sex-limited reproductive tissue or a tissue shared by both sexes (Meisel 2011). Furthermore, sexually antagonistic selection pressures are expected to be greatest in sexually mature adults and minimal in sexually immature juveniles (Chippendale et al. 2001; Rice and Chippendale 2001; Gibson et al. 2002). The fitness of a genotype is a composite of the performance of that genotype integrated across all tissues, developmental stages, and environments in which the gene(s) is/are expressed. Therefore, if one is to interpret the selection pressures acting on genes based on their X-linkage, one must consider both when and where each gene is expressed in the organism.

Multiple experiments have revealed that genes with male- or testis-biased expression are under-represented on the Drosophila X chromosome, while there is an excess of X-linked genes with female- and ovary-biased expression (e.g., Parisi et al. 2003; Ranz et al. 2003; Sturgill et al. 2007; Mukhaylova and Nurminsky 2011). Additionally, the right arm (XR or neo-X) of the Drosophila pseudoobscura X chromosome (which is autosomal in most other species) also contains a significant deficiency of genes with male-biased expression (Sturgill et al. 2007), suggesting that the paucity
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of X-linked genes with male-biased expression is an emergent property of the Drosophila X chromosome and not intrinsic to the proto-X.

Three hypotheses have been put forth to explain the deficiency of genes with male-biased expression on the Drosophila X chromosome. First, sexually antagonistic selection may prevent the accumulation of X-linked male-beneficial mutations because the X chromosome is preferentially transmitted through females (Rice 1984; Connallon and Clark 2010). Second, male meiotic sex-chromosome inactivation (MSCI) may prevent the expression of X-linked genes during spermatogenesis (Betrán et al. 2002; Henze et al. 2007; Vibranovski et al. 2009), which could decrease the proportion of X-linked genes with testis-biased expression. Third, dosage compensation, via hyperexpression, of the hemizygous X chromosome in males may limit the degree to which X-linked genes can acquire male-biased expression (Vicoso and Charlesworth 2009; Bachtrog et al. 2010). It is still unclear, however, the extent to which sexual antagonism, MSCI, and “dosage limits” each contributes to the deficiency of X-linked genes with male-biased expression.

In mammals, the X chromosome also harbors a unique collection of gene types, but in a way that is thought to differ from the patterns in Drosophila (Vicoso and Charlesworth 2006; Gurwich and Bachtrog 2008). For example, the Drosophila accessory gland and the mammalian prostate are both male-limited reproductive organs that contribute seminal proteins to the ejaculate. The Drosophila melanogaster X chromosome is depauperate in genes encoding accessory gland proteins (ACPs) (Wolfner et al. 1997; Swanson et al. 2001; Mueller et al. 2005) and genes with accessory-gland-biased expression (Mikhaylova and Nurminsky 2011), while there is an excess of X-linked human genes with prostate-biased expression (Lercher et al. 2003).

In addition, unlike in Drosophila, the mammalian X chromosome has an excess of genes with testis-biased expression (Wang et al. 2001; Lercher et al. 2003; Mueller et al. 2008). A closer examination, however, has revealed that this excess is limited to genes with pre-meiotic expression, whereas post-meiotically expressed genes are under-represented on the X chromosome because of MSCI (Khil et al. 2004). Similarly, the paucity of X-linked testis-expressed D. melanogaster genes may be limited to genes expressed during later stages of spermatogenesis such as meiosis (Vibranovski et al. 2009; Gan et al. 2010). Furthermore, new genes with testis- or male-biased expression tend to be preferentially located on the X or Z chromosome in Drosophila (Zhang et al. 2010a), mammals (Zhang et al. 2010b), and chicken (Ellegren 2011). Therefore, the X- (or Z-) linkage of testis-expressed genes may not differ substantially between taxa.

Analyses of non-reproductive tissues have also revealed similarities in the X-linkage of genes with sex-biased expression in Drosophila and mammals. For example, genes with male-biased expression in mouse brain are over-represented on the X chromosome (Yang et al. 2006), as are genes with male-biased expression in the D. melanogaster head (Chang et al. 2011). Also, genes with female-biased expression in brain, liver, and muscle are over-represented on the mouse X chromosome (Yang et al. 2006), and there is evidence for an excess of X-linked D. melanogaster genes with female- or ovary-biased expression (Paris et al. 2003; Ranz et al. 2003; Mikhaylova and Nurminsky 2011). These results suggest that, while there are some differences in gene content between the Drosophila and mammalian X chromosomes, they may be more similar than previously thought (Vicoso and Charlesworth 2006; Gurwich and Bachtrog 2008). The remaining differences between the X chromosomes could be the result of differences in dosage compensation (Vicoso and Charlesworth 2006), different dominance coefficients of sexually antagonistic genetic variants (Rice 1984), or artifacts of how expression data are collected and analyzed in each taxon. To distinguish between these hypotheses, we must analyze comparable data from Drosophila and mouse using the same approaches.

In addition to the X chromosome harboring a non-random proportion of genes with sex-biased expression, the expression breadth of X-linked genes also differs from that of autosomal genes: The D. melanogaster X chromosome contains a deficiency of narrowly expressed genes (Mikhaylova and Nurminsky 2011), while there is an excess of X-linked narrowly expressed human genes (Lercher et al. 2003). Genes with sex-biased expression in non-reproductive tissues tend to be more narrowly expressed in both D. melanogaster and mouse (Mank et al. 2008; Meisel 2011). Additionally, D. melanogaster genes with female-biased expression are often highly expressed in ovary, and ovary-expressed genes tend to be broadly expressed (Paris et al. 2004; Meisel 2011). It is therefore not clear whether the selection pressures that drive differences in gene content between the X chromosome and the autosomes are acting on genes with sex-biased expression, narrowly expressed genes, or some combination of the two (Mikhaylova and Nurminsky 2011).

The X-linkage of genes with sex-biased expression is an informative metric of sex-specific selection pressures (Vicoso and Charlesworth 2006), but to properly interpret these patterns and compare across taxa, one must control for when and where genes are expressed. To address the limitations of previous studies, we measured sex-biased expression in the heads of four Drosophila species (D. melanogaster, D. pseudoobscura, Drosophila willistoni, and Drosophila mojavensis) using a combination of microarrays and high-throughput RNA sequencing (RNA-seq), in white flies from three species (D. melanogaster, D. pseudoobscura, and D. mojavensis) using RNA-seq, in thorax and abdomen of D. willistoni using RNA-seq, and in larvae of D. melanogaster using microarrays. D. pseudoobscura and D. willistoni are particularly informative because they have independently derived X-autosome fusions (creating neo-X chromosome arms) that involved the same ancestral autosome (see Meisel et al. 2009). We combined these expression measurements with available data on sex-biased and tissue-specific expression from both D. melanogaster and Mus musculus to more comprehensively examine how and why gene content differs between the X chromosome and autosomes in Drosophila and mammals.

Results

X-linkage and sex-biased expression in Drosophila

To confirm the results of microarray analyses (Paris et al. 2003; Ranz et al. 2003; Sturgill et al. 2007), we measured sex-biased expression in whole flies using RNA-seq. As in the microarray experiments, our RNA-seq data show that the Drosophila X chromosome and D. pseudoobscura neo-X contain a dearth of genes with male-biased expression. We also find that genes with female-biased expression are over-represented on the X and neo-X chromosomes, and there is an excess of genes with male-biased expression on the arm homologous to D. melanogaster chromosome 2L (Muller element B) in all species (Fig. 1A), confirming patterns observed previously only in D. melanogaster (Paris et al. 2003; Ranz et al. 2003). Most sex-biased expression is the result of genes
expressed in reproductive tissues (Parisi et al. 2003, 2004), so we measured expression in abdomen from male and female D. willistoni using RNA-seq to determine if the ancestral and neo-X chromosome arms have an excess/deficiency of genes with sex-biased expression. Genes with male-biased expression in D. willistoni abdomen are, indeed, under-represented, and genes with female-biased expression are over-represented on both arms of the X chromosome (Fig. 1A).

To test whether genes with sex-biased expression in non-reproductive tissues are over- or under-represented on the X chromosome, we measured expression in heads of males and females of four Drosophila species using microarrays and RNA-seq. A previous analysis of RNA-seq data found an excess of X-linked genes with male-biased expression in D. melanogaster head (Chang et al. 2011), and we confirmed this pattern with our RNA-seq data (Supplemental Fig. 1). While we detect a similar trend in our microarray data, the excess is not significant (Fig. 1B). However, the X-linkage of genes with male-biased expression in non-reproductive tissues is consistent with the pattern in D. melanogaster. For example, genes with male-biased expression in head are neither significantly over- nor under-represented on the X chromosome (Fig. 1B, Supplemental Fig. 1), and genes with male-biased expression in thorax may be under-represented on the X chromosome (Fig. 1C; Supplemental Fig. 2). Therefore, unlike the pattern observed when reproductive tissues are included, there is not a consistent under-representation of X-linked genes with male-biased expression in non-reproductive tissues. On the other hand, genes with female-biased expression in head or thorax tend to be over-represented on the X chromosome (Fig. 1B,C; Supplemental Figs. 1, 2), consistent with the pattern we observe when reproductive tissues are included (Fig. 1A).

We next tested whether ontogenic changes in sex-specific selection pressures (Chippindale et al. 2001; Rice and Chippindale 2001; Gibson et al. 2002) affect the X-linkage of genes with sex-biased expression by measuring gene expression in female and male wandering third instar larvae of D. melanogaster using microarrays. We failed to detect a significant deficiency of X-linked genes with male-biased expression in larvae (Fig. 1D), suggesting that the factors responsible for the paucity of X-linked genes with male-biased expression do not on average act on larval-expressed genes. However, genes with male-biased expression in both larvae and adults may be under-represented on the X chromosome (Supplemental Fig. 3), indicating that the dearth of X-linked genes with male-biased expression may not be solely the result of genes specifically expressed in adults. We also find that genes with male-biased expression in larvae are over-represented on chromosome arm 2L, and genes with female-biased expression are over-represented on the X chromosome, consistent with the patterns observed in adults (Fig. 1). These trends are also observed when we consider genes that have the same sex-biased expression in both larvae and adults (Supplemental Fig. 3).

**On the X-linkage of Drosophila genes with testis-biased expression**

We confirmed that D. melanogaster genes with enriched expression in testis relative to ovary are under-represented on the X chromosome (Supplemental Fig. 4; Sturgill et al. 2007; Vibranovski et al. 2009). Genes with testis-biased expression in mammals are identified by comparing across multiple tissues (e.g., Lercher et al. 2003). To parallel that analysis, we tested for a deficiency of X-linked D. melanogaster genes with testis-biased expression using
microarray measurements of expression from 14 adult tissues (Chintapalli et al. 2007).

First, we applied an approach in which the minimal ratio of testis expression level (microarray signal intensity) to the expression level in other tissues is used as a measure of the degree of testis-biased expression (Mikayilova and Nurminsky 2011), and we failed to detect a significant deficiency of X-linked genes with testis-biased expression (Supplemental Fig. 5). Next, we calculated \( \tau \) (Yanai et al. 2005), a metric of expression breadth that ranges from 0 (for broadly expressed genes) to 1 (for narrowly expressed genes). We applied \( \tau \) cutoffs to call genes as narrowly expressed in a single tissue or broadly expressed in multiple tissues, and we did not observe a significant deficiency of X-linked genes with testis-biased expression (Fig. 2), even at the highest \( \tau \) cutoffs (Supplemental Fig. 6). This result is not because of low power to detect significant differences—genes with testis-biased expression are the largest class of narrowly expressed genes, which means that we have the greatest power to detect significant differences from the expectation. In addition, X-linked genes with testis-biased expression have statistically indistinguishable \( \tau \) values when compared with autosomal genes with testis-biased expression (Fig. 3A; Supplemental Fig. 7), demonstrating that there is not a difference in the degree of testis-biased expression between X-linked and autosomal genes.

Interestingly, genes with testis-biased expression that encode components of the sperm proteome (Dorus et al. 2006; Washbrough et al. 2010) are under-represented on the X chromosome (Fig. 2; Supplemental Fig. 8). Therefore, while genes with enriched expression in testis relative to ovary are under-represented on the X chromosome (Sturgill et al. 2007; Vibranovski et al. 2009), the only genes with testis-biased expression that are deficient on the X chromosome when additional tissues are considered are those that encode proteins incorporated in the ejaculate.

**Dosage compensation, sex-specific selection, and the X-linkage of *Drosophila* genes with accessory-gland-biased expression**

We detect a significant deficiency of genes with accessory-gland-biased expression on the *D. melanogaster* X chromosome (Fig. 2; Supplemental Figs. 5, 6), consistent with the paucity of X-linked ACP genes (Wolfrer et al. 1997; Swanson et al. 2001; Mueller et al. 2005). X-linked genes with accessory-gland-biased expression also tend to have broader expression profiles than autosomal genes with accessory-gland-biased expression (Fig. 3B; Supplemental Fig. 7). Therefore, genes with accessory-gland-biased expression are under-represented on the X chromosome, and those that are X-linked are not as narrowly expressed in accessory gland as are autosomal genes. This suggests that the exclusion of accessory-gland-expressed genes from the X chromosome may be responsible for the dearth of X-linked genes with male-biased expression. However, if we exclude genes with accessory-gland-biased expression, the X chromosome is still depauperate in genes with male-biased expression (Supplemental Fig. 9), demonstrating that the deficiency of X-linked accessory-gland-biased genes is not solely responsible.

X-linked genes with accessory-gland-biased expression have lower expression levels in accessory gland than similarly narrowly expressed autosomal genes (Fig. 4A; Supplemental Fig. 10), suggesting that limits imposed by dosage compensation may be responsible for the dearth of genes with accessory-gland-biased expression on the X chromosome (Vicoso and Charlesworth 2009; Bachtrog et al. 2010). In comparison, the accessory-gland-expression levels of broadly expressed genes do not differ significantly between the X chromosome and the autosomes (Fig. 4A). If dosage limits in accessory gland were responsible for the paucity of X-linked genes with male-biased expression, we would expect to see the greatest deficiency of X-linked genes with male-biased expression among those genes that are highly expressed in accessory gland. Using sex-biased expression calls from our RNA-seq data, we found that genes with male-biased expression that are expressed in accessory gland at low, moderate, and high levels are all under-represented on the X chromosome (Fig. 4B). This suggests that dosage limits in accessory gland are not solely responsible for the deficiency of X-linked genes with male-biased expression. However, genes with male-biased expression that are

---

**Figure 2.** X-linkage of *D. melanogaster* broadly and narrowly expressed genes. (Barplots) Percent of *D. melanogaster* genes with narrow expression (\( \tau > 0.7 \)) in each of four sex-limited tissues, with testis-biased expression and detectable in the sperm proteome (testis-SP), narrowly expressed in any of 14 tissues (narrow), narrowly expressed in one of 10 non-sex-limited tissues (no sex) or broadly expressed genes (\( \tau \leq 0.4 \)) that are X-linked. (Dashed lines) Percent of the entire genome that is X-linked. (*) Observed values that significantly differ from the expectation based on the size of the X chromosome as determined by a permutation test; (**) \( P < 0.01 \), (***) \( P < 0.001 \).

**Figure 3.** Distribution of \( \tau \) for each *D. melanogaster* chromosome arm. (Boxes) Interquartile range; (horizontal line in the middle of the boxes) median value; (whiskers) 1.5 x the interquartile range (outliers were omitted), (dashed line) genome-wide average. Significant differences between \( \tau \) for a given chromosome and the rest of the genome were assessed using a Mann-Whitney test; (*) \( P < 0.05 \), (**) \( P < 0.005 \). Distributions of \( \tau \) were calculated for (A) genes with testis-biased expression (\( \tau > 0.7 \)), (B) genes with accessory-gland-biased expression (\( \tau > 0.7 \)), and (C) all genes.
with male-biased expression. We therefore conclude that dosage limits in the accessory gland are partially responsible for the dearth of X-linked genes (Meisel 2011; Mikhaylova and Nurminsky 2011), we do not expect to observe a deficiency of genes with male-biased expression on the X chromosome when we control for expression breadth. However, there is a significant deficiency of X-linked genes in D. melanogaster with male-biased expression among both broadly and narrowly expressed genes (Fig. 5A; Supplemental Figs. 12, 13), suggesting that the dearth of X-linked genes with male-biased expression is independent of expression breadth. The excess of X-linked genes with female-biased expression is also independent of expression breadth (Fig. 5A; Supplemental Figs. 12, 13), further demonstrating that the non-random X-linkage of genes with sex-biased expression is not a by-product of the correlation with expression breadth.

On the other hand, narrowly expressed genes with non-sex-biased (unbiased) expression are not significantly under-represented on the X chromosome (Fig. 5; Supplemental Figs. 13, 14). Additionally, there is not a significant difference in the proportion of narrowly expressed genes with unbiased expression that are X-linked when compared with the proportion of more broadly expressed genes with unbiased expression ($P = 0.102$, FET) (Fig. 5B), and this result is robust to most t cutoffs (Supplemental Table 1). We therefore conclude that the deficiency of X-linked narrowly expressed genes is an artifact of the correlation between expression breadth and sex bias, but the deficiency of X-linked genes with male-biased expression and excess with female-biased expression are independent of expression breadth.

Reconciling the differences in gene content between the Drosophila and mouse X chromosomes

To examine the apparent differences in gene content between the Drosophila and mammalian X chromosomes (Vicoso and Charlesworth 2006; Gurbich and Bachtrog 2008), we analyzed expression measurements from mouse in a similar manner as the Drosophila data described above. First, using microarray data (Yang et al. 2006), we confirmed that the mouse X chromosome has an excess of genes with female-biased expression in brain, liver, and muscle (Fig. 6A), much like the excess of X-linked genes with female-biased expression in Drosophila (Fig. 1). In addition, there is an excess of X-linked genes with male-biased expression in brain and a deficiency of X-linked genes with male-biased expression in muscle (Fig. 6A), demonstrating that in both Drosophila (Fig. 1) and

Expression breadth, sex-biased expression, and X-linkage in Drosophila

We confirmed that the D. melanogaster X chromosome has a dearth of narrowly expressed genes and an excess of broadly expressed genes (Fig. 2; Supplemental Figs. 5, 6), and we also found that X-linked genes tend to have broader expression profiles than autosomal genes (Fig. 3C). Additionally, the deficiency of narrowly expressed genes on the X chromosome remains when genes that are narrowly expressed in sex-limited tissues are excluded (Fig. 2; Supplemental Figs. 5, 6), indicating that genes with accessory-gland-biased expression are not solely responsible for the deficiency of X-linked narrowly expressed genes.

If the paucity of X-linked genes with male-biased expression is a by-product of the correlation between expression breadth and sex bias (Meisel 2011; Mikhaylova and Nurminsky 2011), we do not expect to observe a deficiency of genes with male-biased expression on the X chromosome when we control for expression breadth. However, there is a significant deficiency of X-linked genes in D. melanogaster with male-biased expression among both broadly and narrowly expressed genes (Fig. 5A; Supplemental Figs. 12, 13), suggesting that the dearth of X-linked genes with male-biased expression is independent of expression breadth. The excess of X-linked genes with female-biased expression is also independent of expression breadth (Fig. 5A; Supplemental Figs. 12, 13), further demonstrating that the non-random X-linkage of genes with sex-biased expression is not a by-product of the correlation with expression breadth.

On the other hand, narrowly expressed genes with non-sex-biased (unbiased) expression are not significantly under-represented on the X chromosome (Fig. 5; Supplemental Figs. 13, 14). Additionally, there is not a significant difference in the proportion of narrowly expressed genes with unbiased expression that are X-linked when compared with the proportion of more broadly expressed genes with unbiased expression ($P = 0.102$, FET) (Fig. 5B), and this result is robust to most t cutoffs (Supplemental Table 1). We therefore conclude that the deficiency of X-linked narrowly expressed genes is an artifact of the correlation between expression breadth and sex bias, but the deficiency of X-linked genes with male-biased expression and excess with female-biased expression are independent of expression breadth.

Reconciling the differences in gene content between the Drosophila and mouse X chromosomes

To examine the apparent differences in gene content between the Drosophila and mammalian X chromosomes (Vicoso and Charlesworth 2006; Gurbich and Bachtrog 2008), we analyzed expression measurements from mouse in a similar manner as the Drosophila data described above. First, using microarray data (Yang et al. 2006), we confirmed that the mouse X chromosome has an excess of genes with female-biased expression in brain, liver, and muscle (Fig. 6A), much like the excess of X-linked genes with female-biased expression in Drosophila (Fig. 1). In addition, there is an excess of X-linked genes with male-biased expression in brain and a deficiency of X-linked genes with male-biased expression in muscle (Fig. 6A), demonstrating that in both Drosophila (Fig. 1) and

Expression breadth, sex-biased expression, and X-linkage in Drosophila

We confirmed that the D. melanogaster X chromosome has a dearth of narrowly expressed genes and an excess of broadly expressed genes (Fig. 2; Supplemental Figs. 5, 6), and we also found that X-linked genes tend to have broader expression profiles than autosomal genes (Fig. 3C). Additionally, the deficiency of narrowly expressed genes on the X chromosome remains when genes that are narrowly expressed in sex-limited tissues are excluded (Fig. 2; Supplemental Figs. 5, 6), indicating that genes with accessory-gland-biased expression are not solely responsible for the deficiency of X-linked narrowly expressed genes.
mammals, the X-linkage of genes with male-biased expression is tissue-dependent.

We used mapped expressed sequence tags (ESTs) from adult mouse testes and unfertilized mouse oocytes to identify genes with enriched expression in testis relative to ovary. As in D. melanogaster (Supplemental Fig. 4; Sturgill et al. 2007; Vlavianovski et al. 2009), there is a deficiency of X-linked genes with testis-enriched expression (Supplemental Fig. 15). We next used mapped ESTs from 25 mouse tissues to calculate ρ, and we found that there is an excess of X-linked genes with testis-biased expression (Fig. 6B; Supplemental Fig. 16). However, the testsis samples include a mixture of cell types from different developmental stages, including embryos (which should contain only pre-meiotic cells unaffected by MSCI) and adults (with pre-meiotic, meiotic, and post-meiotic cells) (Khil et al. 2004). To adequately mirror our analysis of the Drosophila data (Fig. 2), we only included EST libraries from adult testis, and we failed to detect a significant excess or deficiency of X-linked genes with testis-biased expression (Fig. 6B). This is true for most EST libraries and ρ cutoffs (Supplemental Figs. 16, 17). On the other hand, genes with biased expression in embryonic testis are over-represented on the X chromosome (Fig. 6B; Supplemental Fig. 16). Therefore, when similar analyses are performed on comparable tissue samples, genes with testis-biased expression in adults are found at their expected frequencies on both the Drosophila and mouse X chromosomes.

The mammalian prostate and Drosophila accessory gland are analogous male-specific organs that secrete seminal proteins necessary for male fertility. The D. melanogaster X chromosome has a paucity of genes with accessory-gland-biased expression (Fig. 2), and, similar to the pattern observed in humans (Lercher et al. 2003), there is an excess of X-linked mouse genes with prostate-biased expression (Fig. 6B; Supplemental Fig. 16). We hypothesize as to why the patterns differ between Drosophila and mammals in the Discussion.

While the Drosophila X chromosome appears to be deficient in narrowly expressed genes (Fig. 1; Mikhaylova and Nurminsky 2011), the mammalian X chromosome is enriched for narrowly expressed genes (Fig. 6B; Supplemental Fig. 16; Lercher et al. 2003; Deng et al. 2011). Sex bias and expression breadth are correlated in mouse (Mank et al. 2008; Meisel 2011), so we tested whether the non-random X-linkage of genes with sex-biased or narrow expression is an artifact of this correlation. When we exclude genes that are narrowly expressed in sex-limited tissues, there is no longer significant excess of X-linked narrowly expressed mouse genes (Fig. 6B; Supplemental Fig. 16). Additionally, narrowly expressed genes with non-sex-biased expression are not significantly over-represented on the mouse X chromosome (Fig. 6C,D; Supplemental Figs. 18, 19). Therefore, as in Drosophila, narrowly expressed mouse genes merely appear to be non-randomly X-linked because of the correlation between sex bias and expression breadth. Lastly, the mouse X chromosome has an excess of genes with female-biased expression that are not narrowly expressed (Fig. 6C; Supplemental Fig. 18), much like the excess of X-linked Drosophila genes with female-biased expression (Fig. 5A).

**Discussion**

Our analyses combined measurements of sex-biased expression and expression breadth to determine more precisely what types of genes are over- or under-represented on the Drosophila and mouse X chromosomes so that we can infer the historical effects of sex-specific selection pressures. We have attempted to consider all possible confounding factors and correlations exhaustively, and our results suggest the following conclusions (summarized in Table 1).

**X-linkage and sex-biased expression in non-reproductive tissues**

Consistent with previous results (e.g., Parisi et al. 2003; Yang et al. 2006; Chang et al. 2011), we showed that genes with sex-biased expression in non-reproductive tissues in both Drosophila and mammals are often non-randomly X-linked. Genes with female-biased expression are consistently over-represented on the X chromosome in both taxa (Figs. 1, 5A, 6), possibly because the female-biased transmission of the X chromosome favors the retention of alleles/genes with female-beneficial functions (Bice 1984; Connallon and Clark 2010). The X-linkage of genes with male-biased expression varies across tissues, changes throughout development, differs between taxa, and can depend on the methodology used to measure expression (Figs. 1, 5A, 6; Supplemental Fig. 1). The proportion of X-linked genes with male-biased expression in Drosophila head, for example, seems particularly labile (Fig. 1B; Supplemental Fig. 1). We do, however, find some support for the hypothesis that stronger net selection in females prevents the accumulation of X-linked genes with male-biased expression in non-reproductive tissues (Figs. 1, 5A; Supplemental Figs. 2, 9; Parisi et al. 2003).
X-linkage and sex-biased expression

Interestingly, we detect an excess of X-linked genes with female-biased expression in larvae (Fig. 1C). If this excess is the result of female-beneficial substitutions being favored because of the X-chromosome's transmission bias, it would contradict the prediction that sexually antagonistic selection pressures are minimal in juveniles (Chippindale et al. 2001; Rice and Chippindale 2001; Gibson et al. 2002). However, third instar larvae have developing gonads (Hartenstein 1993), and this pattern could be driven by the excess of X-linked genes with ovary-biased expression (Fig. 2). In addition, while genes with male-biased expression in larvae are found on the X chromosome at the expected proportion (Fig. 1C), genes with male-biased expression in both larvae and adults may be under-represented on the X chromosome (Supplemental Fig. 3). The male-biased expression profile found in adults begins to manifest in the larval stages (Graveley et al. 2011), suggesting that selection on genes expressed in adults could affect the X-linkage of some larval-expressed genes. Additional data should be collected from larvae lacking gonadal tissues and from earlier stages of development to further interrogate how ontogenic changes in sexually antagonistic selection can affect the X-linkage of genes encoding proteins that perform sex-beneficial functions.

X-linkage and biased expression in male reproductive tissues

The *D. melanogaster* X chromosome has a deficiency of ACP genes (Wolfner et al. 1997; Swanson et al. 2001; Mueller et al. 2005) and genes with accessory-gland-biased expression (Fig. 2; Mikhaylova and Nurminsky 2011). We hypothesize that this is the result of dosage limits on X-linked genes in males, and these dosage limits in accessory gland appear to be partially responsible for the paucity of genes with male-biased expression on the *Drosophila* X chromosome (Fig. 4B). Our expression data from larvae support this hypothesis. Male third instar larvae contain gonads with cells that have begun spermatogenesis (Fuller 1993), but they have yet to develop accessory glands (Hartenstein 1993). There is not a significant deficiency of X-linked genes with male-biased expression in larvae (Fig. 1D), suggesting that genes expressed in accessory gland make an important contribution to the dearth of X-linked genes with male-biased expression in adults. Genes with testis-biased expression that encode components of the sperm proteome are also under-represented on the *Drosophila* X chromosome (Fig. 2). Both ACPs and sperm proteins must be synthesized at high levels (Wolfner et al. 2005), which could exacerbate the dosage limits imposed by being X-linked.

The *Drosophila* accessory gland plays an important role in male fertility, secreting proteins that are incorporated into the seminal fluid, which mediate post-mating inter- and intrasexual conflict (Swanson and Vaccquer 2002; Ravi Ram and Wolfner 2007). While it is tempting to invoke sexually antagonistic selection models (e.g., Rice 1984; Patten and Haig 2009; Connallon and Clark 2010) to explain the paucity of X-linked ACP genes, these models assume intralocus sexual antagonism. *Drosophila* male reproductive proteins, such as ACPs, are thought to mediate intersexual conflict by interacting with proteins expressed in the female reproductive tract (e.g., Yapi et al. 2008). Therefore, new models will be required to examine whether interlocus sexual conflict can explain the non-random X-linkage of male reproductive proteins.

Mammalian genes with prostate-biased expression, unlike *Drosophila* ACPs, are over-represented on the X chromosome (Fig. 6B; Lercher et al. 2003), as are mouse genes with testis-biased expression prior to meiosis (Fig. 6B; Khil et al. 2004). X-chromosome dosage in eutherian mammals is equilibrated by up-regulation of X-linked expression in both sexes followed by random inactivation of one X in each female cell (Payer and Lee 2008), which does not appear to impose dosage limits on highly expressed X-linked genes in males.

The excess of genes with prostate-biased and early spermatogenic expression on the mammalian X chromosome (Fig. 6B; Lercher et al. 2003; Khil et al. 2004) could be the result of sex-specific selection pressures. Unlike *Drosophila* ACPs, mammalian seminal proteins do not alter female physiology at a large scale (Pitnick et al. 2009), but they do mediate male–male sexual selection (Pizzari and Parker 2009). Therefore, the selection pressures on genes with prostate- and testis-biased expression likely act in a male-limited manner. If this is the case, the female-biased transmission of the X chromosome will not affect the X-linkage of these genes. We hypothesize that the hemizygous transmission of the X chromosome in males allows for the selective fixation of male-beneficial recessive mutations in X-linked mammalian genes (Charlesworth et al. 1987), promoting the accumulation of genes expressed in male reproductive tissues on the X chromosome.

MSCI and the X-linkage of genes with testis-biased expression

We detect a paucity of X-linked *D. melanogaster* genes with enriched expression in testis relative to ovary (Supplemental Fig. 4), but not when we examine genes with testis-biased expression compared with multiple tissues (Fig. 2). The previously noted deficiency of X-linked genes with testis-enriched expression (Sturgill et al. 2007; Vibranovski et al. 2009) is likely a methodological artifact for the following reasons. First, genes with ovary-biased expression are over-represented on the X chromosome (Fig. 2). Second, testis-expressed genes tend to be narrowly expressed (Meisel 2011), and narrowly expressed genes are under-represented on the X chromosome (Fig. 2). Furthermore, ovary-expressed genes are broadly expressed (Meisel 2011), and there is an excess of X-linked broadly expressed genes (Fig. 3C). While the relationship between expression breadth and X-linkage appears to be an artifact of the
correlation between expression breadth and sex-biased expression (Fig. 5), direct comparisons between testis and ovary expression do not control for this. Therefore, by comparing testis and ovary expression, one artificially obtains evidence for a paucity of X-linked testis-enriched genes. The lack of a deficiency of X-linked genes with testis-biased expression does not, however, reveal insights into the debate over MSCI in Drosophila (Hense et al. 2007; Vibranovski et al. 2009; Meiklejohn et al. 2011; Mikhailova and Nurminsky 2011). Mouse genes with testis-biased expression are also not under-represented on the X chromosome even when meiotic tissues are included (Fig. 6B; Khil et al. 2004), and MSCI is well documented in mouse (Turner 2007). On the other hand, our results as well as others—e.g., the X chromosome is deficient in genes with male-biased expression even when testis-expressed genes are excluded (Sturギill et al. 2007)—suggest that, even if MSCI occurs in Drosophila, it is not an important proximate cause of the paucity of X-linked genes with male-biased expression.

Conclusions
In summary, our results suggest that most of the observed differences in X-chromosome content between Drosophila and mammals are confounded by the correlation between sex bias and expression breadth, or they are affected by taxon-specific data collection and approaches to the analysis. The female-biased transmission of the X chromosome appears to favor the accumulation of female-beneficial alleles/genes on the X chromosome in both taxa, but the effects on male-beneficial alleles/genes are not consistent between taxa or across tissues. While models assuming intralocus sexual antagonism may explain many of these patterns, further work is required to develop theoretical models of the effects of interlocus conflict on the X-linkage of genes that are under sex-specific selection pressures.

Methods
Samples
Flies were grown under the following conditions: D. melanogaster (y w+/v for whole fly; Oref for head and larvae) at 22°C on cornmeal media; D. pseudoobscura (Drosophila Species Stock Center [DSSC] 14011-0121-94) at 22°C on banana-opuntia media; D. willistoni (DSSC 14030-0811.25) at 18°C on cornmeal media; D. mojavensis (DSSC 15081-1352.22) at 22°C on banana-opuntia media. For the whole flies from D. melanogaster, D. pseudoobscura, and D. mojavensis, 5- to 7-d-old females and males were sampled. For the head samples, newly eclosed D. melanogaster, D. pseudoobscura, and D. mojavensis were aged in vials (males and females together) for 7 d. The sexes were separated on day 7 under CO2 and stored in separate vials for 24 h. Flies were flash-frozen on dry ice after 24 h and stored at −80°C; heads were dissected from frozen flies using forceps on ceramic plates chilled on dry ice. Males and females of newly eclosed D. willistoni were separated under CO2 and aged for 5–7 d; whole flies were placed live in Ringers solution, and heads, thoraxes, and abdomens were dissected; samples from each segment were flash-frozen in liquid N2 and stored at −80°C. RNA was extracted from the samples using TRIzol (Invitrogen) following the manufacturer’s instructions.

Microarrays
Microarray measurements of gene expression were performed on RNA samples from the heads of D. melanogaster using the stock Nimblegen 60-mer array (Dmel r. 4.2.1), heads of D. pseudoobscura and D. mojavensis using custom Nimblegen 50-mer arrays (Zhang et al. 2007), and larvae of D. melanogaster using Agilent 4-sector 60-mer arrays (G2519F). Four biological replicates were collected of the head microarray data, and three biological replicates were collected of the larval microarray data. Total RNA was reverse-transcribed to cDNA, samples were dye-labeled, and hybridization was performed per the manufacturers’ instructions. For the head data, RMA normalization was performed using NimbleScan v 2.4 software (Roche Nimblegen Inc.). We tested the null hypothesis that a gene is expressed at the same level in females and males using a moderated t-test implemented in the LIMMA package of Bioconductor in the R statistical software environment (R Development Core Team 2009) with the empirical Bayes function to pool sample variances toward a common value (Smyth 2004). For the larval data, the LIMMA package was used to normalize channel intensities between arrays (Smyth and Speed 2003) and test for differential expression between samples (Smyth 2004). P-values from statistical tests were corrected for the false discovery rate (FDR) using the Benjamini and Hochberg (1995) adjustment. Additional information can be found in GEO accessions GSE23309 and GSE31722.

RNA-seq
Illumina libraries were prepared using RNA extracted from males and females from the following samples: D. melanogaster whole fly, D. melanogaster head, D. pseudoobscura whole fly, D. pseudoobscura head, D. willistoni head, D. willistoni thorax, D. willistoni abdomen, D. mojavensis whole fly, D. mojavensis head. Standard Illumina library sample preparation procedures were used: Poly-adenylated mRNA was isolated using either the QiAGEN Oligotex mRNA kit or oligo-dT Dynabeads (Invitrogen); mRNA was fragmented using alkaline hydrolysis; double-stranded cDNA was created using random hexamer primers; sequencing adaptors were ligated to the cDNA; fragments were size-selected by gel purification; and the size-selected fragments were amplified by PCR. Samples were run on the following instrumentation: Illumina Genome Analyzer I (one lane each of male and female D. melanogaster, D. pseudoobscura, and D. mojavensis head samples), Illumina Genome Analyzer II (one lane each of male and female D. melanogaster, D. pseudoobscura, and D. mojavensis whole fly samples; three lanes each of male and female D. melanogaster head samples; three lanes each of male and female D. pseudoobscura head samples; four lanes each of male and female D. mojavensis head samples; one lane each of male and female D. willistoni head, thorax, and abdomen samples), Illumina HiSeq (one lane each of male and female D. melanogaster, D. pseudoobscura, and D. mojavensis whole fly samples; one lane each of male and female D. willistoni head samples). Additional details can be found in GEO accessions GSE20348, GSE20882, GSE19989, GSE28078, and GSE31723 (Graveley et al. 2011; Malone and Oliver 2011). A full list of the NCBI Sequence Read Archive runs can be found in Supplemental Table 2.

Reads were processed using the standard Illumina pipeline (see GEO accessions), and all reads longer than 36 bp were shortened to 36 bp to avoid biases introduced by different read lengths and to eliminate low-quality sequences at the 3’ end of longer reads. For paired-end runs, we only used the reads in the forward direction. The reads were aligned to the annotated protein-coding
sequences using BWA (Li and Durbin 2009) and Bowtie (Langmead et al. 2009). The following annotations were used: D. melanogaster r5.36, D. pseudoobscura r2.19, D. willistoni r1.3, and D. mojavensis r1.3. Only the longest annotated protein-coding sequence was used for each gene. Reads that mapped to multiple locations were not counted, and genes with fewer than 50 mapped reads (males and females combined) were excluded from subsequent analyses. We then tested for differential expression between male and female samples using DESeq (Anders and Huber 2010), edgeR (Robinson et al. 2010), and Cufflinks (Trapnell et al. 2010), using P-values corrected for an FDR of 0.05 (Benjamini and Hochberg 1995). Our results are robust to the alignment algorithm and statistical analysis used (Supplemental Fig. 1) unless otherwise mentioned above.

**Additional sex-biased expression data sets**

We obtained microarray measurements of sex-biased expression in whole D. melanogaster and head from SEBIDA (Gnad and Parsch 2006). We also obtained microarray measurements of sex-biased gene expression from four mouse tissue samples (Yang et al. 2006). For the mouse data, we used the results of a Mann-Whitney test to assign genes to sex-biased expression categories (Mank et al. 2008), and we applied an FDR cutoff of 0.05. This was done separately for each tissue. We called genes as sex-biased in mouse (Fig. 6C,D) if they were assigned to a sex-biased expression class in one tissue and were either put in the same sex-biased expression class or not called as sex-biased in all other tissues.

**Expression breadth**

Microarray signal intensities (S) from 14 adult D. melanogaster tissues (brain, eye, thoracicoabdominal ganglion, salivary gland, crop, midgut, tubule, hindgut, heart, fat body, ovary, testis, accessory gland, and spermatocha) were obtained from FlyAtlas (Chintapalli et al. 2007). Measurements from spermatocha of virgin and mated females were averaged to obtain a single spermatocha signal because they are well correlated (Meisel 2011). Genes were said to be moderately expressed in a tissue if S ≥ 100 and highly expressed if S ≥ 1000, per the curators' instructions (Chintapalli et al. 2007).

The number of ESTs mapping to each gene in the mouse genome was obtained for 25 tissues (adipose, bladder, blood, bone, bone marrow, brain, dorsal root ganglion, eye, vagina, intestine, heart, inner ear, liver, lung, lymph node, mammary gland, muscle, pancreas, prostate, skin, spinal cord, spleen, sympathetic ganglion, testis, and thymus) from UniGene build 190. Additionally, the following individual EST libraries were extracted from adult or embryonic testis: 254 (Yuan et al. 1995), 483, 1336 (Marra et al. 1999), 1784, 2511, 2555, 2594, 18007 (Okazaki et al. 2002), 2547 (Carninci et al. 2003), and 7009 (Strausberg et al. 2002).

Expression breadth was calculated two ways. In the first approach (applied only to the D. melanogaster data), we calculated the minimal ratio of the expression level in a particular tissue relative to all other tissues (Mikhaylova and Nurminsky 2011). In the second approach, we calculated a metric of expression breadth as follows:

\[
\tau = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1 - \log_{2} \frac{S_i}{S_{\text{max}}}}{N - 1},
\]

where N is the number of tissues analyzed, S_i is the expression level in tissue i (signal intensity in FlyAtlas and number of ESTs mapped to the gene standardized by the total number of mapped ESTs in that tissue for the UniGene data), and S_{\text{max}} is the maximum expression level across all tissues (Yanai et al. 2005; Larracuent et al. 2008; Mank et al. 2008; Meisel 2011). In the FlyAtlas data, all S_i ≤ 1 were set to 1 so that log(S_i) = 0. If multiple probes were present for a given gene, the median S across all probes was used for that gene. In the UniGene data, all genes with fewer than three mapped ESTs across all 25 tissues were removed, and S_i < 2 were set to 2 for the remaining genes. The τ value for each gene was used to determine if the gene is narrowly or broadly expressed using various cutoffs.

**Sperm proteome**

Genes were said to encode proteins in the sperm proteome if they were detected in at least one of two mass spectrometry analyses of D. melanogaster sperm proteins (Dorus et al. 2006; Wasbrough et al. 2010).

**Statistical analysis**

We permuted the chromosomal locations of the genes in our data sets 1000 times to estimate a null distribution of the number of genes in each expression class on each chromosome arm in Drosophila and each chromosome in mouse. Depending on the comparison being made, this was either done for all genes or a subset of genes under consideration. All permutations were performed in the R statistical package (R Development Core Team 2009).

**Data access**

All microarray and RNA-seq data presented in this manuscript have been submitted to the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo) under accession numbers GSE23309, GSE31722, and GSE31723.
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