Clinical characteristics and prognosis of patient with leptospirosis: A multicenter retrospective analysis in south of China
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Purpose: Leptospirosis is a zoonotic disease caused by pathogenic spirochetes of the genus Leptospira. However, there is currently no consensual definition or diagnostic criteria for severe and different forms of leptospirosis. Therefore, more insight on clinical manifestations, risk factors, and outcomes of leptospirosis is warranted. The identification of leptospirosis with distinct clinical manifestations and prognosis in our population.

Methods: Multiple correspondence analysis and hierarchical classification on principal components were presented to identify different clinical types of leptospirosis. The outcomes were clinical phenotypes, laboratory and imaging findings, and prognosis.

Results: The 95 enrolled patients had median values of 54.0 years (39.0–65.0) for age, 9.0 (7.0–14.0) for total hospital stay lengths, of whom 86.3% was male and 40.0% was transferred to ICU. Three clinical types were distinguished: mild leptospirosis (n=43, 45.3%) with less organ dysfunction and shorter hospital stays; respiratory leptospirosis (n=28, 29.5%) with hemoptysis, and respiratory and circulatory failure; and hepato-renal leptospirosis (n=24, 25.3%) with worst...
liver and kidney dysfunction. Total hospital mortality was 15.8% and was associated with dyspnea and high levels of neutrophil counts.

**Conclusions:** The identification of leptospirosis with distinct clinical manifestations and prognosis in our population may assist clinicians to distinguish leptospirosis-like disease. Moreover, dyspnea and neutrophil count were found to be independent risk factors for severe leptospirosis progression.
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**Introduction**

Leptospirosis is a zoonotic disease caused by pathogenic spirochetes of the genus Leptospira, and is one of widespread acute febrile illness throughout the world, with fatality rate ranging as high as 20-25% in some regions (Leptospirosis worldwide, 1999). Leptospirosis is mostly transmitted to humans by ambient water contaminated with the urine of wild and domestic mammals that have been chronically colonized by Leptospira (Bharti et al., 2003). Specific occupational activities (e.g., farming, veterinary medicine, military training), recreational immersion in water, poor living conditions, and seasonal rainfall in the tropics are commonly connected with the disease (Bharti et al., 2003). In general, human leptospirosis is prevalent in east/southeast Asia, as well as South America (Ricaldi et al., 2013). However, in temperate zones, such as Europe, North America, and Africa (Hartskeerl et al., 2011; Biggs et al., 2013; Dupouey et al., 2014), it has been reported that the incidence of leptospirosis is increasing, due to global warming, hurricanes, or heavy rains with floods and proliferation of urban rodents (Levett, 2001; Pijnacker et al., 2016).

Even though leptospirosis is a serious but underappreciated infectious tropical illness, it continues to be a major public health concern throughout the world. In recent decades, there is a trend towards increasing incidence in many countries (Lee et al., 2016; Holla et al., 2018; Sasmal et al., 2019) including India, South Korea and America. In some endemic areas (Zhang et al., 2012) of China, there are periodically small-scale local outbreaks of human leptospirosis. Leptospirosis presents a diverse array of clinical manifestations, including jaundice, renal failure, thrombocytopenia, and possibly lethal pulmonary hemorrhage (Levett, 2001). Meanwhile, leptospirosis shares common clinical signs with many acute febrile diseases, such as influenza, dengue fever or malaria (Tubiana et al., 2013), causing various degrees of infections (Doudier et al., 2006). Additionally, some clinical symptoms of leptospirosis have been confirmed to be closely related to death, including oliguria, shock, altered mental status, dyspnea, pulmonary infiltrates, and more (Ko et al., 1999; Doudier et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2020). However, there is currently no consensual definition or diagnostic criteria for severe and different forms of leptospirosis. Leptospirosis has been classified in a variety of methods, ranging from clinical manifestations to genetic (Marquez et al., 2017) determination. Therefore, more insight on clinical manifestations, risk factors, and outcomes of leptospirosis is warranted.

This retrospective multicenter analysis was conducted to determine the association between clinical phenotypes of leptospirosis, laboratory findings, treatment strategies and prognosis.

**Methods**

**Patients**

We retrospectively extracted and analyzed the clinical profile data on leptospirosis-diagnosed patients who were admitted to 9 tertiary care hospitals in Hunan, China, between January 1, 1998, and January 1, 2022. This study was approved by the institutional review boards (IRBs) in Xiangya Hospital of Central South University (No. 202104005). Leptospirosis was diagnosed as one of the following positive tests: microscopic agglutination test (MAT), enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), polymerase chain reaction (PCR) or metagenomic next-generation sequencing on urine or blood, and dark field microscopy (Bleecker-Rovers et al., 2007; Koizumi, 2020). Clinical profiles including demographics, comorbidities, clinical symptoms, laboratory and imaging findings, and prognosis were obtained from the electronic record.

**Definitions**

Patients were classified as having severe leptospirosis (Miallie et al., 2019) if they had required ICU admission. Acute respiratory failure (Roussos and Koutsoukou, 2003) was defined as a significant impairment of pulmonary gas exchange...
functions with inadequate oxygenation (PaO2 <60 mmHg) and carbon dioxide retention (PaCO2 >45 mmHg). Circulatory failure was classified as a collection of clinical syndromes caused by cardiac dysfunction that were characterized by pulmonary congestion, systemic congestion or tissue hypoperfusion. Acute liver injury was characterized as liver damage induced by a variety of factors in the absence of a history of chronic liver disease, accompanied by an increase in serum transaminase and bilirubin levels. Acute renal failure (Ronco et al., 2019) was defined as at least one positive laboratory test within 7 days of the following: creatinine greater than 1.5 times baseline (or a rise of 0.3 mg/dL within any 48-hour period), or urine output less than 0.5 mL/kg for more than 6 hours. Multiple organ failure was described as the dysfunction of two or more organs following severe injury.

Statistical analysis

All data in the current study was analyzed with SPSS 26.0 software (IBM SPSS Inc., USA). Continuous variables with skewed distribution were presented as medians and interquartile ranges, and compared by the Kruskal-Wallis H test. Categorical variables were expressed as frequencies and percentages (%), and analyzed by Chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test. In addition, risk factors were assessed univariately, and variables of statistical and clinical significance in univariate analysis were including in the multivariate logistic regression analysis.

In order to identify different clinical phenotypes of leptospirosis, multiple correspondence analysis (MCA) and hierarchical classification on principal components (HCPC) were conducted using R 4.1.3 (FactoMineR package). The variables including age, sex and smoking history; clinical symptoms on admission (fever; fatigue; myalgia of the lower limbs; arthralgia; altered consciousness; headache; jaundice; vomiting; diarrhea; abdominal pain; dyspnea; cough; hemoptysis; other bleeding such as gastrointestinal hemorrhage, epistaxis, purpura and haematuria); organ dysfunction (acute respiratory failure; circulatory failure; acute liver injury; acute kidney injury) occurred during hospitalization, were used in the model. A value of P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Clinical features of leptospirosis-infected patients with different clusters

A total of 95 patients with leptospirosis from 9 tertiary care hospitals in Hunan China, were enrolled in the study. Patients were classified into 3 clusters based on clinical features with MCA analysis (Figure 1). The clinical features of patients from the 3 categories were compared and presented in Table 1. Cluster 1 (n=43, 45.3%) was the most prevalent clinical phenotype, with the lowest prevalence of organ dysfunction and shortest hospital stay. Cluster 2 (n=28, 29.5%) was characterized by symptoms including dyspnea, coughing, hemoptysis, and respiratory and circulatory failure. Cluster 3 (n=24, 25.3%) was distinguished by acute liver and renal failure in contrast with a low incidence of respiratory and circulatory failure. The difference in age distribution across the groups was not statistically significant (p=0.096), but the percentage of those above 65 was significant higher in cluster 3 of hepato-renal leptospirosis (41.7%), followed by cluster 2 of respiratory leptospirosis (21.4%) and cluster 1 (9.3%, p=0.008). Males constitute a substantially greater proportion of the incidence population than females, but there is no statistically significant difference in the distribution of males and females within each group. Of the 95 patients enrolled for this study, 50 (52.6%) were smokers and 45 (47.4%) were non-smokers, with a significant difference in the distribution between the three groups (p=0.028). At admission, fatigue was the most frequently reported symptoms (n=89, 93.7%), followed by fever (n=87, 91.6%), myalgia of the lower limbs (n=76, 80.0%), cough (n=53, 55.8%), hemoptysis (n=46, 48.4%), dyspnea (n=45, 47.4%), and expectoration (n=34, 35.8%). Myalgia of the lower limbs, altered consciousness, central nervous system symptoms, jaundice, diarrhea, abdominal pain, dyspnea, cough, hemoptysis, expectoration, and chest pain were distributed differently across the three groups of patients.
Laboratory findings across the three clusters of patients

The laboratory findings were summarized in Table 2. The distribution of leukocytes, platelets, hemoglobin, neutrophil ratio, and lymphocyte ratio in routine blood tests varied significantly among the three groups of patients. In comparison to cluster 1 and cluster 2, the cluster 3 group had significantly more white blood cells (12.5 [10.6-17.3] vs. 9.8 [6.7-12.1], p = 0.017), but significantly lower platelets (52.5 [37.8-100.0] vs. 109.0 [65.5-223.0], p = 0.009) than other groups. In comparison to cluster 1, hemoglobin levels were significantly lower in the cluster 2 group, but not in the other groups. Additionally, the distribution of myocardial injury mediators was also considerably varied between the three groups of patients. Cluster 3 had a lower median albumin (27.5 [24.2-30.1] vs. 34.5 [30.6-37.7] vs 31.3 [29.4-33.0], p < 0.001), and higher levels of total (87.0 [38.0-205.0] vs. 15.3 [10.7-21.8] vs. 19.5 [12.6-38.2], p < 0.001) and direct bilirubin (53.0 [20.1-156.0] vs. 6.1 [4.4-11.2] vs. 9.6 [5.2-19.5], p < 0.001), which was in accordance with the classification methods in the article. Cluster 3 patients exhibited higher levels of renal dysfunction indicators such as blood urea nitrogen (22.6 [11.3-25.6] vs. 7.8 [5.0-10.4] vs. 11.3 [8.3-14.8], p < 0.001), creatinine (276.0 [141.0-453.0] vs. 76.0 [66.3-119.0] vs. 120.0 [98.4-174.0], p < 0.001)
Creatine kinase and creatine kinase isoenzymes were significantly higher in cluster 2 patients than those in cluster 1 and cluster 3. Patients in cluster 3 exhibited significantly longer prothrombin time, activated partial thrombin time, and higher fibrinogen level than patients in the other clusters, with no statistically significant differences in thrombin time, d-dimer, globulin, alanine aminotransferase, aspartate aminotransferase and inflammatory mediators across the three groups.

Organ dysfunction and outcomes of different clusters

We compared the organ dysfunction and outcomes across the various clusters in Table 3. Organ dysfunction occurred in the following proportion of all hospitalized leptospirosis patients: acute kidney injury (n=39, 41.1%), acute respiratory failure (n=38, 40.0%), acute liver injury (n=33, 35.1%), circulatory failure (n=31, 32.6%), multiple organ functional impairment (n=38, 40.0%), with significant differences...
between the three clusters. Specially, a post-hoc two-by-two assessment of significance levels using the Bonferroni method revealed that the incidence of acute respiratory failure and acute kidney injury was significantly different between any of two clusters. The incidence of acute liver injury was less common in cluster 2 (8 [28.6%]) and cluster 3 (7 [29.2%]), resulting in more possibility to develop into severe leptospirosis requiring ICU admission (23 [82.1%] vs. 5 [11.6%] vs. 10 [41.7%], p < 0.001), more endotracheal intubation (17 [60.7%] vs. 0 [0.0%] vs. 5 [20.8%], p < 0.001) and longer ICU stays (8.0 [1.0-10.7] vs. 0.0 [0.0-0.0] vs. 0.0 [0.0-3.5], p < 0.001). In terms of prognosis, 80 (84.2%) patients recovered from the disease while 15 (15.8%) patients died. Individually, patients in cluster 2 (19 [67.9%] vs. 4 [9.3%] vs. 15 [62.5%], p = 0.037) and cluster 3 (7 [29.2%] vs. 20 [87.0%], p = 0.036) were significant predictors for ICU admission. However, no promising predictors for mortality were identified in the current study (data not shown).

### Risk factors for ICU admission in severe leptospirosis patients

Univariate logistic regression analysis of risk factors for ICU admission in patients with severe leptospirosis revealed that dyspnea, altered consciousness, neutrophil count, lactate dehydrogenase, blood urea nitrogen, creatine kinase isoenzyme, activated partial thrombin time, d-dimer, c-reactive protein were significantly associated with ICU admission (Table 4). Several factors in univariate analysis plus age, gender and smoking situation were involved in the multivariate logistic regression analysis, which suggested that dyspnea (OR=19.051, 95%CI: 1.218-692.730, p = 0.037) and neutrophil count (OR=1.611, 95%CI: 1.033-2.513, p = 0.036) were the predictors for mortality. However, no promising predictors for mortality were identified in the current study (data not shown).

### Discussion

Leptospirosis is a zoonosis of protean manifestations (Peter, 1982) and can be easily misdiagnosed. Our study found a significantly higher incidence of leptospirosis in male than in female, which was consistent with the results of most previous studies (Kawaguchi et al., 2008). This might be related not only to occupation and exposure (Alvarado-Esquivel et al., 2015; Lau et al., 2016), but also to gender-based genetic and immune susceptibility (Puca et al., 2018). The age of onset was concentrated around the age of 50, which might be associated with more frequent exposure to risk factors for leptospirosis such as farming and fishing in this age group (Puca et al., 2018). These demographic features were less helpful for diagnosis.

Furthermore, the symptoms spectrum of leptospirosis is extremely broad, and there is no good clinical method to differentiate patients with leptospirosis by demographics, clinical symptoms, laboratory testing, and prognosis. In the current study, we conducted a MCA analysis based on clinical features, laboratory findings and outcomes, and identified three subtypes of populations with differences in ICU admission and mortality rate, which could be effective indicators for prognosis of patients with leptospirosis. Traditionally, distinct clinical syndromes of leptospirosis have been considered to be linked to specific serogroups. However, this view was questioned by some authorities (Edwards and Domm, 1960; Alexander et al., 1963; Feigin and Anderson, 1975), and more intense study over the past 50 years has refuted this hypothesis. In humans, severe leptospirosis is frequently but not invariably caused by serovars of the icterohaemorrhagiae serogroup. The infection of the specific serovars largely depend on the geographic location and the ecology of local maintenance hosts.

We identified three clusters: mild leptospirosis (cluster 1), respiratory leptospirosis (cluster 2), and hepato-renal leptospirosis (cluster 3). The current study did not identify a subtype of neurological leptospirosis, while, notably, we discovered that respiratory and hepato-renal leptospirosis had a higher prevalence of altered awareness and CNS symptoms than mild leptospirosis. Therefore, we speculated that neurological leptospirosis was implicated in the kind of respiratory and hepato-renal leptospirosis due to the low incidence of neurological leptospirosis, and the neurological symptoms were concealed by pulmonary or digestive symptoms, and the limitation of statistical approach. Studies suggested that neurological symptoms occurred in 10-15% of cases and were often neglected, so we should consider leptospirosis-associated neurological symptoms in areas where leptospirosis is endemic (Puca et al., 2017; Nabby et al., 2020). Mild leptospirosis of Cluster 1, which accounted for 45.3% of our patients, had the best prognosis among three clusters in this study, which was highly accordance with anicteric leptospirosis. The anicteric leptospirosis commonly begins abruptly and frequently presents with vague symptoms such as headache, myalgia (particularly in the calf muscles), ocular abnormalities, fever, nausea, vomiting, rash and epistaxis. Puca E et al. also showed that ocular and cutaneous involvement of leptospirosis usually did not cause serious complications and death (Puca et al., 2016). Cluster 2, mainly characterized by respiratory failure, had the high rate of endotracheal intubation that required ICU admission, was comparable with a pattern previously described in severe leptospirosis (Gouveia et al., 2008; Herath et al., 2019). The incidence of respiratory leptospirosis was about 10% in Brazil and French (Gouveia et al., 2008; Miallhe et al., 2019), compared to 29.5% in our population. Cluster 3 was distinguished by acute liver and kidney failure in contrast with
slightly lower incidence of respiratory and circulatory failure. Second, as a temperate zone, there were still some outbreaks and a high incidence of leptospirosis in Hunan. Leptospirosis is a neglected zoonosis that causes considerable public health concerns throughout the world, particularly in Southeast Asian countries such as China, Indonesia, Vietnam, Malaysia, Cambodia and South Korea (Zhang et al., 2012; Loan et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2019). It was discovered that leptospirosis morbidity rates varied across China’s provinces, with the warm and moist areas of the southern and central China being the predominant regions of leptospirosis infection (Zhang et al., 2020). Frequent rainfall can flush leptospirosis-contaminated soil into bodies of water, increasing the risk of human exposure to leptospirosis.

Notably, this study revealed that 40% of hospitalized leptospirosis cases in a temperate zone was adequately severe to transfer to ICU ward, 23.2% of patients required endotracheal intubation and longer ICU stays. The death rate for icteric leptospirosis has been reported to be as high as 5–15% (Levett, 2001) and 30–70% for leptospirosis-associated pulmonary hemorrhage syndrome (Dolhnikoff et al., 2007; Gouveia et al., 2008), compared to 20.8% and 60.7%, respectively, in our population. Leptospira interrogans serogroup Icterohaemorrhagiae serovar Lai (Hu et al., 2014) was the most common pathogen in Chinese leptospirosis patients, which was also the causative pathogen in 75% of the pulmonary diffuse hemorrhagic leptospirosis. The 15.8% mortality rate was slightly higher than the mean case fatality ratio of 6.85% (Costa et al., 2015), and the majority of leptospirosis deaths was due to renal failure and/or gastrointestinal, pulmonary, or cerebral hemorrhage (Vijayachari et al., 2008; Dong and Chen, 2021) caused by extensive capillary damage including extensive renal necrosis and glomerular atrophy. Moreover, leptospirosis increased the permeability and engorgement of blood vascular cells (Narayanavari et al., 2015; Matsumaga and Haake, 2018; Zavala-Alvarado et al., 2020). Given the prevalence and predominance of L. interrogans in China, the mortality rate was significantly higher than in other countries.

In this study, 11 patients were diagnosed using metagenomic next-generation sequencing (mNGS) of clinical samples including blood or alveolar lavage fluid. Several diagnostic methods have been used to confirm leptospirosis infection including pathogen culture, pathogen-specific antibodies detection, and genetic way such as mNGS. However, several limitations exist for above testing, for example: (1) Leptospirosis culture is less helpful and not commonly used for clinical diagnosis since it is technically difficult to culture due to the demand of fastidious culture media and long incubation time (Rodríguez et al., 2014); (2) The traditional antibody test of MAT is the most commonly used method to confirm leptospirosis infection (Sykes et al., 2011), while identifying infecting serovar is difficult based on the cross-reactive MAT tests due to laboratory variation and differences in host-specific humoral immune responses (Markovich et al., 2012); (3) Several ELISAs for detecting IgM, IgG, or both antibodies have been developed to detect specific antibodies in leptospirosis, however, it has not been priorly recommended due to lower sensitivity compared to MAT test; (4) Genetic identification, such as mNGS, have become widely available for the diagnosis of leptospirosis although it is relatively expensive than traditional methods (Harkin et al., 2003). However, false-negative results can be avoided when facing low bacterial loads due to disease phase, complicated immune response, or administration of antimicrobial drugs (Levett et al., 2005; Waggoner and Pinsky, 2016). Thus, to some extends, mNGS, as a method allowing for high-throughput and massively parallel sequencing, benefits leptospirosis patients a lot, particular for those patients with suspicious symptoms, negative traditional testing, or emergent conditions, thus avoiding inadequate diagnosis, delayed

### TABLE 3 Organ dysfunction and outcomes of different clusters.

| Organ dysfunction, n[%] | Total (n=95) | Cluster 1 Mild leptospirosis (n=43) | Cluster 2 Respiratory leptospirosis (n=28) | Cluster 3 Hepato-renal leptospirosis (n=24) | p Value |
|-------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------|
| Acute respiratory failure | 38 (40.0%) | 1 (2.3%) | 28 (100%) | 9 (37.5%) | <0.001 |
| Circulatory failure | 31 (32.6%) | 7 (16.3%) | 15 (53.6%) | 9 (37.5%) | 0.004 |
| Acute liver injury | 33 (35.1%) | 7 (16.3%) | 6 (21.4%) | 20 (87.0%) | <0.001 |
| Acute kidney injury | 39 (41.1%) | 5 (11.6%) | 13 (46.4%) | 21 (87.5%) | <0.001 |
| Multiple organ failure | 38 (40.0%) | 4 (9.3%) | 19 (67.9%) | 15 (62.5%) | <0.001 |

Clinical Outcomes

- **Endotracheal intubation, n[%]**
  - Total: 22 (23.2%)
  - Cluster 1: 0 (0.0%)
  - Cluster 2: 17 (60.7%)
  - Cluster 3: 5 (20.8%)
  - p Value: <0.001

- **ICU admission, n[%]**
  - Total: 38 (40.0%)
  - Cluster 1: 5 (11.6%)
  - Cluster 2: 23 (82.1%)
  - Cluster 3: 10 (41.7%)
  - p Value: <0.001

- **ICU stay length, median (IQR), days**
  - Total: 9.0 (7.0-14.0)
  - Cluster 1: 9.0 (7.0-12.2)
  - Cluster 2: 10.0 (6.0-17.0)
  - Cluster 3: 11.0 (6.2-17.0)
  - p Value: 0.773

- **Death, n[%]**
  - Total: 15 (15.8%)
  - Cluster 1: 0 (0.0%)
  - Cluster 2: 8 (28.6%)
  - Cluster 3: 7 (29.2%)
  - p Value: <0.001

Data are presented as medians (IQR) and n (%). P values were calculated by Kruskal-Wallis H test, Chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test, as appropriate. P values indicate differences among different clusters. IQR, interquartile range; ICU, intensive care unit.
treatment, and increased morbidity and mortality. Over the past five years, mNGS testing has been widely used for clinical diagnosis of microbiology examinations (Simner et al., 2018) due to the advantages of quicker diagnosis, higher sensitivity, and even detecting pathogen subtypes as well. Therefore, to some extents, mNGS can avoid some disadvantages of traditional testing, and represent as a promising supplementary tool for some uncommon pathogen diagnosis.

In the current study, we identified several possible predictors, such as dyspnea and neutrophil count, for ICU admission in hospitalized leptospirosis. However, no significant predictors were determined to be associated with mortality of leptospirosis in the current investigation, which might be due to the small sample size. Age has already been shown as a significant predictor of leptospirosis mortality (Chang et al., 2005). Oliguria, hypotension and abnormal chest auscultation were all found to be independent predictors of severe leptospirosis mortality in a Polynesian cohort (Doudier et al., 2006). According to a French study, the following variables including dyspnea, oliguria, increased white blood cell count, repolarization abnormalities on electrocardiograms and alveolar infiltrates on chest radiographs were reported to be associated with leptospirosis mortality (Dupont et al., 1997). Additionally, a Thai cohort demonstrated that hypotension, oliguria, hyperkalaemia, and presence of pulmonary rales were linked to the mortality of leptospirosis. While oliguria has been frequently shown to be related to mortality in numerous earlier studies, our findings are different, and we believe they are justified. Patients in the current study were admitted to tertiary care referral centers with fully functional haemodialysis units, and the patients with AKI were dialyzed whenever indicated. This, we believe, is the cornerstone of leptospirosis care and is likely to have resulted in a decrease in AKI mortality.

### Strengths and limitations

This study was limited by a few factors that should be considered. First, this was a retrospective study in which a

| Variables                                      | Univariate analysis | Multivariate analysis |
|------------------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| OR (95%CI) | p value             | OR (95%CI)           | p value             |
| Age       | 1.026 (1.000-1.053) | 0.048                | 1.043 (0.968-1.124) | 0.270 |
| Male      | 0.747 (0.230-2.423) | 0.627                | 0.347 (0.007-18.282) | 0.601 |
| Smoking   | 0.492 (0.214-1.132) | 0.095                | 0.973 (0.086-11.012) | 0.982 |
| **Clinical symptoms**                          |                     |                       |                     |
| Fever     | 0.642 (0.150-2.738) | 0.549                |                       |       |
| Fatigue   | 1.358 (0.236-7.813) | 0.731                |                       |       |
| Myalgia   | 0.525 (0.190-1.447) | 0.213                |                       |       |
| Jaundice  | 0.915 (0.363-2.307) | 0.851                |                       |       |
| Vomiting  | 0.634 (0.201-1.997) | 0.436                |                       |       |
| Dyspnea   | 6.588 (2.630-16.504) | <0.001            |                       | 0.007 |
| Hemoptyis | 1.891 (0.823-4.342) | 0.133                |                       |       |
| Altered consciousness | 3.297 (1.159-9.380) | 0.025                |                       | 0.268 |
| **Laboratory findings on admission**           |                     |                       |                     |
| White blood cell count, ×10⁹/L                 | 1.077 (0.993-1.167) | 0.072                |                       |       |
| Platelet count, ×10⁹/L                        | 0.996 (0.991-1.001) | 0.082                |                       |       |
| Neutrophil count                              | 1.121 (1.016-1.238) | 0.023                | 1.611 (1.033-2.513) | 0.036 |
| Eosinophil count                              | 0.100 (0.005-2.205) | 0.144                |                       |       |
| Albumin, g/L                                  | 0.913 (0.868-1.003) | 0.060                |                       |       |
| Total bilirubin, µmol/L                       | 0.998 (0.995-1.002) | 0.421                |                       |       |
| Alanine aminotransferase, U/L                  | 0.999 (0.997-1.002) | 0.683                |                       |       |
| Lactate dehydrogenase, U/L                    | 1.005 (1.002-1.008) | 0.003                | 1.004 (0.988-1.019) | 0.631 |
| Blood urea nitrogen, mmol/L                   | 1.059 (1.005-1.116) | 0.033                | 1.066 (0.898-1.265) | 0.465 |
| Creatinine, µmol/L                            | 1.002 (0.999-1.005) | 0.119                |                       |       |
| Creatine kinase isoenzyme, U/L                | 1.025 (1.004-1.046) | 0.022                | 1.010 (0.964-1.059) | 0.672 |
| Activated partial thrombin time, s            | 1.046 (1.003-1.092) | 0.036                | 1.060 (0.922-1.218) | 0.414 |
| D-dimer, mg/mL                                | 1.701 (1.044-2.773) | 0.033                | 0.686 (0.257-1.832) | 0.452 |
| C-reactive protein, mg/L                      | 1.010 (1.002-1.018) | 0.009                | 1.005 (0.991-1.019) | 0.519 |

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.
relatively small subset of leptospirosis patients from Hunan. Second, selection bias may have been introduced since female were less likely to be included, and a large cohort study would be warranted to further strengthen our findings. Thirdly, limited cases of neurological leptospirosis were included in the study, thus, the conclusions may not apply to patients with neuro-leptospirosis.

Conclusions

In the current study, we identified three phenotypes of leptospirosis with distinct clinical features and prognosis, which would assist clinicians in recognizing leptospirosis-like illness while also providing prognostic predictors. Additionally, dyspnea and neutrophil count were found to be independent risk factors for severe leptospirosis progression. When patients are infected with leptospira, it is prudent to consider more rigorous surveillance and therapy.
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