Environmental Study on Phytoplankton in Garang Watershed, Central Java, Indonesia and Its Water Quality
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Abstract Phytoplankton can be used as an indicator for water environment quality in the watershed area. This research was conducted in Garang Watershed, Central Java, Indonesia. The aims of this research are to study the environmental assessment of Garang Watershed Central Java, Indonesia using phytoplankton diversity and their relationship to water quality. Sampling location was determined based on the Governor Regulation of Central Java Provincial No. 156/2010 about the segmentation of Garang Watershed. Plankton Net No.25 was used for collecting phytoplankton in Garang Watershed. Phytoplankton density ranged from 13 to 53 ind/L. The number of species in the range of 4-8. Diversity index in the range 1.07-2.06. The result of diversity index shown that this rivers is lightly polluted. Phytoplankton stabilization was moderate while phytoplankton evenness was spread. Water quality index in this research are: Phosphate, Nitrate, Nitrite, Ammonia and Fe.

1. Introduction
Garang watershed located at Central Java Province, Indonesia. Upstream Garang watershed is located in the area of Mount Ungaran, Central Java, Indonesia. Downstream is located at the mouth of the Java Sea. The distance of the river on the Garang watershed is 35 km. Garang watershed is divided into 3 zones, namely the upper zone, the middle zone, and the lower zone. Upper zone has a topography of the mountains with the slope of the river bottom is very steep. The flow velocity includes a supercritical flow type. The hydraulic characteristic in the upper zone is the high flow rate so that sediment transport and erosion are also high. Sediment transport is a complex phenomenon associated with nature, randomness and spatial-temporal discontinuity [2]. The middle zone has a hilly topography. The slope of the slope is not as sharp as the upper zone. The lower zone exists in urban areas. This zone has a very sloping riverbed. The symptoms that occur are sedimentation or sedimentation at the bottom of the channel.

Three districts of Garang Watershed are Semarang City, Kendal Regency, and Semarang Regency. The characteristic of the estuary of Garang watershed is a muddy area, and it used for aquaculture of Tilapia Fish. Aquaculture is a beneficial activity to provides economic benefits for the community. Aquatic waste comes from domestic, industrial waste [13], port activities [23], and anthropogenic activities [14]. Waste from anthropogenic activities can be caused by overpopulation.
In 2015 the population of the 3 regions (Semarang City, Kendal Regency, and Semarang Regency) is 1,284,967 people, the year 2016 is 1,370,906 people. Thus, that has increased the population of 85,939 people/year. Large population needs of settlements areas, so the changes in upstream land use into settlements. Land use change has an impact on water quality in the estuary/downstream [5]. Close to the estuary, there are residents who defecate directly in the river or dispose of stool waste directly into the river without processing.

Environmental feasibility for aquaculture can be estimated through quantitative and qualitative measurement of the biota that inhabits these aquatic environment. Plankton used its ecological studies cheaply in cost, and easily. Knowledge of the abundance, diversity and environmental conditions of the resource can be used for the determination of water productivity for aquaculture. Phytoplankton in aquatic systems requires nitrogen and phosphorus as a limiting factor for its growth, in addition to other factors [16]. The purpose of this study was to analyze the relationship of phytoplankton biodiversity with water quality in order to manage the sustainable river. Good water quality for the river is very important because it affects humans, plants, animals and all the elements that utilize the river [22]. Furthermore, they need research about water quality and plankton biodiversity to maintain the watershed ecosystems.

2. Research Method
2.1. Study Area
Garang watershed stretches across 3 districts. Upstream is located in Semarang, Central Java, Indonesia, downstream and centered in Semarang City, and Kendal Regency Central Java, Indonesia. The upper of Garang watershed is a super priority watershed. Upstream is a location to water supplier for Semarang and surrounding areas. Furthermore, it is very important to preserve the function of the environment. The upstream part of Garang Watershed there is many springs. Springs are used as water providers for household and industrial needs. (Figure 1).

Figure 1. Study area: the map of the Indonesia (A), Central Java Province (B), Location of Garang Watershed Sampling Station 1-8, coordinate 6°59’32.5” S and 110°24’10.0” E (C)
2.2. Sampling techniques
Plankton collects in Garang watershed, with 8 sampling station with 7 segments area based on Governor Regulation of Central Java Provincial No. 156/2010. The purpose of this regulation is to manage the river segmentation based on utilization. Segment 1 is an upstream area used for: agriculture area, coffee plantation, settlement, and industries (biscuit, soft drink, textile, and tofu industry). Segment 2 is used for: settlement, agriculture, and industry (iron smelting industry). Segment 3 is used for settlement. Segment 4 is used for: agriculture, settlement, fishery, fishing, and forest. Segment 5 is used for settlement, fisheries. Segment 6 is used for settlement, fishery, industry, and agriculture. Segment 7 is watershed downstream is used for settlement, estuary, fishery, and port. The sampling location is 8 points. Sampling station 1 is a river in the downstream area at Gebugan Village, Bergas sub-district, Semarang Regency. Sampling station 2 is Garang River (Pramuka Street), Banyumanik sub-district near plantations and settlements. Sampling station 3 is Garang River in Tinjomoyo Village, this area near the plantation. Sampling station 4 called Tugu Suharto river, this area is confluent zone between Kreo river and the Garang river. In this area, there is an influence between sediment transport, flow dynamics and river morphology [18]. Waste comes from domestic and industry, thus affecting the water quality of the river [24]. Sampling station 5 is Garang river near fishing pond Sikopek Village, Gunung Pati Sub-district, this area near the plantation. Sampling station 6 is Garang river near Gisik Sari Village, Gunung Pati Sub-district near plantations and settlements. Sampling station 7 is Garang river, they river called Banjir Kanal Barat River, this river near settlements and downstream area. Sampling station 8 is the downstream area, estuary Laut Jawa. Sampling Station is shown in Figure 1(b).

Sampling used Plankton net No 25, with materials: alcohol, lugol, sampling bottles (1 liter) [21], and keep the sample in a cool box to the laboratory for analyzing the sample [16]. Filtered water is 10 liters using a 10-liter tube. Phytoplankton abundance is calculated by the following formula [20].

\[ N = nx \frac{V_1}{V_s} \times \frac{1}{V} \]

where:
N: the amount of all phytoplankton
V: volume of filtered water
Vt: the initial sample volume
Vs: sub-sample volume (fraction)
N: number of phytoplankton in sub-sample Water

2.3. Biodiversity Index
Shannon-Wiener index (H’) is used to summarize the functional diversity of the ciliate communities, and is computed following the equation:

\[ H' = -\sum_{i=1}^{S} P_i (\ln P_i) \]

where
H’ = observed diversity index;
P_i = proportion of the total count arising from the ith functional group;
S = total number of functional group

2.4. Evenness Index
Evenness Index J’ [29], which is expressed by the Shannon information scaled by the maximum information, to measure species evenness for each community:
\[ J' = \frac{H'}{\ln(S')} \]

where
H' = observed diversity index
S = total number of species observed

3. Result and Discussion
3.1. Result
3.1.1. Diversity index
Total Individu, Total Species, Diversity Index, Evenness Index and Dominance Index in Sampling Location shown in Figure 2.

![Figure 2. Total individu, total species, diversity index, evenness index and dominance index in sampling location](image)

The number of individuals, species, diversity index, evenness Index, and phytoplankton dominance in Garang watershed of Central Java, Indonesia are shown in Figure 1. The number of individuals of phytoplankton in Garang watershed was about 13-53 ind/L. The number of species of phytoplankton in Garang watershed was about 4-8. The diversity index of phytoplankton Garang watershed was about 1.07 - 2.06. The evenness index of phytoplankton in Garang watershed was about 0.77 - 0.99. The dominance index of phytoplankton in Garang watershed was about 0.17 - 0.43.

| Class              | Name of Species   | Average       |
|--------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| Chlorophyceae      | Arthrodesmus sp.   | 0-5(0.63±1.65) |
|                    | Pediastrum sp.     | 0-1(0.13±0.33) |
| Bacillariophyceae  | Biddulphia sp      | 0-8(3.00±3.46) |
|                    | Chaetoceros sp     | 0-9(2.88±3.79) |
|                    | Coscinodiscus sp   | 0-9(4.86±2.29) |
|                    | Cyclotella sp      | 0-7(0.88±2.32) |
|                    | Grammatophota sp   | 0-4(0.50±1.32) |
|                    | Guinardia sp       | 0-8(2.71±3.24) |
|                    | Nitzschia sp       | 0-8(2.63±3.04) |
Table 1. shown that data types of phytoplankton found in Garang Watershed, Semarang, East Java, Indonesia. there are four classes found in Garang watershed are: Chlorophyceae, Bacillariophyceae, Cyanophyceae and Dynophyceae. The most phytoplankton was found in Garang watershed is Chaetoceros sp.

Table 2. Variety of water quality in Garang Watershed

Table 2 show that the study of water quality in Garang Watershed are: Phosphate, Nitrate, Ammonia, Nitrite and Fe. Phosphate range in a research study is 0.366–2.177 mg/L. Nitrate range in a research study is 0.010-0.530 mg/L. Nitrate is affected by rainwater runoff, industrial waste and domestic waste [15]. Nitrite range in a research study is 0.002-0.283 mg/L. Faeces of grazing animals carry pathogenic bacteria, protozoa and can be contaminated with nitrates and nitrates. This is an environmental problem for people who only use groundwater for their lives [4]. At sampling station 6 there are residents who have chicken farms, this is thought to have an effect on the high content of nitrate and nitrite. Ammonia range in a research study is 0.010–2.030 mg/L. Suspended solids affect ammonia in the waters. It is important to know the abundance of ammonia-oxidizing microorganisms and their response to the environment [27]. Fe range in the research study is 0.04-0.82 mg/L.

3.2. Discussion
3.2.1. Diversity index, evenness index and dominance index
When phytoplankton diversity \( (H') \), if \( H'<1 \) then the community of biota is declared unstable [16]. \( H' \) ranges from 1-3 then the stability of the biota community is moderate (moderate). \( H'> 3 \) means the
stability of the biota community is in a prime (stable) condition. In all sampling area, \( H' \) is moderate stable criteria. The waters condition is good if it has an increasing \( H \) value [16]. The evenness of the number of species is not the same and there is a tendency of dominance in the phytoplankton community. The diversity index on phytoplankton ranges from 0.90 to 1.52. The evenness index was calculated to find out how big the equality of the spread of the number of individuals at the community level both at each location and season. The evenness index close to 1 indicates evenness among species is evenly distributed when close to 0 indicates evenness among species is low [1]. The evenness index on phytoplankton ranged from 0.38 to 0.71.

The dominance in each location indicates the dominance of a certain species in an ecosystem. The dominance index close to 0 indicates that there are no dominant species, whereas close to 1 indicates the dominance of certain types within the community [1]. This dominance index indicates that there is no tendency of the dominance of certain phytoplankton type. The number of individuals and species of phytoplankton found in this study is relatively lower compared to previous studies in the waters of Semarang Bay which is the mouth of the Garang watershed (downstream/estuary area) by the research [1]. This research has shown that the dominance index on phytoplankton ranged from 0.38 to 0.62. The dominant index of zooplankton ranges from 0.08 to 0.16.

The dominant phytoplankton species are *Pleurosygma* sp., *Coscinodiscus* sp., *Biddulphia* sp. and *Chaetoceros* sp. Algae blooms can be caused by algae from the genus *Chaetoceros* and *Ceratium*, blooming algae harmful to fish life. Red tide is caused by plankton *Chaetoceros* sp. [23]. Diatom *Coscinodiscus* sp. lives in a turbulent environment, not in calm waters that contain low nutrient concentrations [3]. The morphology of diatom that was protected by the cell walls was an important factor to tolerate the environmental changes. This factor also enabled diatom to win the competition in occupying the living space and obtaining nutrients. The diatoms living in tropical areas had structures (spicules, seat, and hard cell walls) and chemical defense beneficial to survive in the environment that has numerous predators. The chemical defense was used to survive from the smaller predators while the structural defense was used to survive from the bigger predators. Even the cell wall structure could absorb 30% of UVB radiation. It could survive from high light exposure [19].

### 3.2.2. Water quality

Household wastewater containing auxiliary residues and cleaning agents, agricultural runoff containing fertilizers and industrial waste from fertilizer, detergent, and soap industries are the main sources of phosphates in aquatic environments. Most sources of industrial waste and domestic waste in urban areas [9]. Phosphate can be caused by detergent concentration. Detergent affects the aquatic environment, caused by foam, limit oxygen production, and cause eutrophication [12]. Foam on the surface can limit oxygen production, inhibit aeration in the aquatic environment which causes an increase in oxygen demand which causes the dissolution of dissolved oxygen. High concentrations affect the life cycle of the organism: ovum and larval phase [12]. Overall, the detergent effect reduces oxygen concentration, changes in watercolor, increases turbidity and sedimentation, and decreases biological activity [12]. The highest concentration of phosphate in the sampling station 2, they are many industrial and domestic settlement in the near river. Nitrate is the main form of nitrogen in the water. Nitrate is a major nutrient for plant growth. Nitrate concentrations of more than 0.2 mg/L may result in the occurrence of eutrophication (enrichment) of the aquatic environment. The oligotrophic waters have a nitrate content between 0-1 mg/L [7]. Upstream of Garang watershed used for corn and cassava agriculture, furthermore they are many pesticides in this area. In the aquatic environment receiving runoff from agricultural areas containing fertilizers and pesticides, nitrate levels can reach 1.000 mg/L. Nitrate levels for drinking water are not allowed to exceed 10 mg/L [7]. These compounds are transferred to the environment through different sources including by-products of waste decomposition. The effects of high nitrate and phosphate can increase the toxic phytoplankton severely in waters near the waters around the world [10].
environment above 0.2 mg/L can be harmful to many aquatic organisms [8]. In sampling station 8 which is an area of aquaculture [25], is thought to have an effect on the amount of ammonia waste derived from fish feed. This can cause a bad influence on the aquatic environment. Nitrite in the sampling area: 0.002-0.28, good condition in the watershed area of nitrite is from 0.4 to 0.8 mg/l [16]. Fe as a nutrient for life processes in plants and microorganisms but becomes toxic at higher concentrations. Fe range in fish at sampling station 7-8 is 15.86 mg/kg to 306.3 mg/kg. Concentration limit Fe according to World Health Organization is 0.3 mg/kg.

3.2.3. Relationship between phytoplankton and water quality
Abiotic factors can cause dominance of Ceratium sp. [21]. In sampling station 6, the decomposition process releases mineral elements. Nitrogen, phosphorus, and other important nutrients, this causes high phosphate concentrations [21]. Several research has shown that the ecological features of planktonic silica, such as species diversity, taxonomic uniqueness, and diversity of sizes/uniqueness, can be used as potential bioindicators of water quality status [28]. Plankton biomass is influenced by nitrogen, phosphorus, silica, and eutrophication. Nitzschia sp and Dinophysis sp can be caused dinoflagellate blooms. It is a bad condition for the aquatic environment [14].

The most dominating phytoplankton are Rhizosolenia Sp., Chaetoceros Sp., and Bacteriastrum Sp. this phytoplankton is natural foods for fish that live in the sea [1]. Cyanobacteria, for example, Oscillatoria sp. is a plankton that can photosynthesize. Morphology of Oscillatoria sp. is in the form of filaments. An abundance of Oscillatoria sp. affect DO concentrations in the aquatic environment, the habitat in fresh water and calm water area [19]. Increases of green algae (Pediastrum Sp., Scenedesmus Sp., Coelastrum Sp. etc.) can be caused harmful algal blooms (HABs) in coastal waters, and open sea. Synedra Sp is algae are bioindicators showing that aquatic ecosystem has high nitrate and phosphate levels. Ceratium sp is non-toxic but requires high O₂, furthermore, it can reduce dissolved oxygen. It can be concluded, land degradation has encouraged the use of inorganic fertilizers by farmers, which is finally washed out of the land through surface runoff and residual irrigation water. Residues from inorganic fertilizers, in the form of nitrate and phosphate solutions, are carried to the water flow in the river, which ultimately impacts on water quality [26].

4. Conclusion and Recommendation
This research has described the physical, chemical and biological variables of aquatic ecosystems to assess water pollution and ecological status. The results showed that the aquatic environment was moderately polluted with a phytoplankton diversity index of 1.07-2.06. This research needs to be continued because it is useful for maintaining the ecological status of aquatic environments. Pollution of the aquatic environment by domestic and industrial waste is a problem that needs to be solved by the relevant government in collaboration, with the purpose of reducing the risk of disease in the community.

Acknowledgements. Authors would like to thank to the Ministry of Research, Technology and Higher Education of the Republic of Indonesia and who gave the fund through, with Contract Number: 069/LPPM UPGRIS/SP2H/PPM UPGRIS/SP2H/PENELITIAN/2017 and LPPM Universitas PGRI Semarang, with Contract Number: 65/SKK/LPPM/PASCA DOKTOR/VIII/2018. All staff laboratory of Fish Resources and Environmental Management, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Science Diponegoro University for helping the Plankton Identification.

References
[1] Adinugroho M, Subiyanto, and Haeruddin  2014  Komposisi dan distribusi plankton di perairan Teluk Semarang  Saintifikasi 16(2) pp 39–48
[2] Berghout A and Meddi M  2016 Sediment transport modelling in Wadi Chemora during flood flow events Journal of Water and Land Development 31 pp 23–31
[3] Bengfort M M, Feudel U, Hilker F M, and Malchowa H  2014 Plankton blooms and patchiness
generated by heterogeneous physical environments Ecological Complexity 20 pp 185–194

[4] Budisatria I G S, Udo H M J, Van der Zijpp A J, Murti T W, and Baliarti E 2007 Air and water qualities around small ruminant houses in Central Java – Indonesia Small Ruminant Research 67 pp 55–63

[5] Giri S, Qiu Z, and Zhang Z 2018 Assessing the impacts of land use on downstream water quality using a hydrologically sensitive area concept Journal of Environmental Management 213 pp 309-319

[6] Hernández J J C, Fernández L P S, Vargas L A, Ochoa V J A C and Trinidad J F M 2013 Water quality assessment in shrimp culture using an analytical hierarchical process Ecological Indicators 29 pp 148–158

[7] Humaira R, Izmiarti, and Zakaria I J 2016 Composition and community structure of zooplankton in littoral zone of Talang Lake West Sumatra Prosiding Seminar Nasional Masyarakat Biodiversitas Indonesia 2(1) pp 55-59

[8] Ibrahim T N B, Othman F, and Mahmood N Z 2017 Assessment of water quality of Sembilang River receiving effluent from controlled Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) landfill in Selangor IOP Conf. Series: Materials Science and Engineering 210

[9] Kundu S, Coumar, Vassanda M, Rajendiran, Ajay S, and Rao A S 2015 Phosphates from detergents and eutrophication of surface water ecosystem in India Current Science 108(7) pp 1320-1325

[10] Lomoljo R M, Ismail A, Yap C K 2009 Nitrate, ammonia and phosphate concentrations in the surface water of Kuala Gula Bird Sanctuary West Coast of Peninsular Malaysia Pertanika Journal Trop. Agric. Sci 32(1) pp 1-5

[11] Madhu N V, Martin G D, Haridevi C K, Nair M, Balachandran K K, and Ullas N 2017 Differential environmental responses of tropical phytoplankton community in the southwest coast of India Regional Studies in Marine Science 16 pp 21-35

[12] Minareci O, Öztürk M, Egemen O, and Minareci E 2009 Detergent and phosphate pollution in Gediz River, Turkey African Journal of Biotechnology 8 (15) pp 3568-3575

[13] Mulik J, Sukumaran S, Srinivas T, and Vijapure T. 2017. Comparative efficacy of benthic biotic indices in assessing the Ecological Quality Status (EcoQS) of the Stressed Ulhas Estuary India Marine Pollution Bulletin 120 pp 192–202

[14] Nuriasih D M, Anggoro S and Haeruddin. 2017 Saprobic Analysis to Marina Coastal, Semarang City IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science 116 pp 1-6

[15] Pham T L 2017 Comparison between Water Quality Index (WQI) and Biological Indices, Based on Planktonic Diatom for Water Quality Assessment in the Dong Nai River, Vietnam Pollution 3(2) pp 311-323

[16] Pirzan A M and Petrus R P M 2008 Relationship between phytoplankton diversity and water quality of Bauluag Island in Takalar Regency, South Sulawesi Biodiversitas 9 pp 217-221

[17] Pratiwi H, Damar A, and Sulistiono S 2018 Phytoplankton community structure in the estuary of Donan River, Cilacap, Central Java, Indonesia Biodiversitas 19(6) pp 2104-2110

[18] Ribeiro M L, Wampfser F, and Schleiss A J 2014 Morphodynamic changes in a natural river confluence due to a hydropower modified flow regime Swiss Competences in River Engineering and Restoration

[19] Setiabudi G I, Bengen D G, Effendi H, and Radjasa O K 2016 The community structure of phytoplankton in seagrass ecosystem and its relationship with environmental characteristics Biosaintifika 8 (3) pp 257-269

[20] Sidabutar T, Bengen D G, Wouthuyzen S, and Partono T 2016 The abundance of phytoplankton and its relationship to the N/P ratio in Jakarta Bay Indonesia Biodiversitas 17(2) pp 673-678

[21] Sihombing V S, Gunawan H, and Sawitri R 2017 Diversity and community structure of fish, plankton and benthos in Karangsong Mangrove Conservation Areas, Indramayu, West Java, Indonesia Biodiversitas 18(2) pp 601-608
[22] Sutadian A D, Muttil N, Yilmaz A G, and Perera B J C 2018 Development of a water quality index for rivers in West Java Province Indonesia Ecological Indicators 85 pp 966–982

[23] Tjahjono A, Bambang A N and Anggoro S 2018 Plankton and heavy metal correlation from commercial vessels in port of Tanjung Emas Semarang E3S Web of Conferences 31

[24] Ujiarti R M D, Anggoro S, Bambang A N, and Purwanti F 2018 Water quality of the Garang River, Semarang, Central Java, Indonesia based on the government regulation standard. Journal of Physics: Conference Series 1025

[25] Ujiarti R M D, Anggoro S, Bambang AN, and Purwanti F 2018 Water quality and the heavy metal occurrence of fish in polluted watershed Indonesian Food and Nutrition Progress 15(1) pp 18-27

[26] Valiant R 2014 Tantangan dalam pengelolaan sumberdaya air untuk mencapai lingkungan lestari berkelanjutan: potret Daerah Aliran Sungai (DAS) Brantas Makalah disajikan untuk Seminar Pekan DAS Brantas 2014 Teknik Pengairan. Fakultas Teknik Universitas Brawijaya Malang

[27] Wang C, Shan B, Zhang H, and Zhao Y 2014 Limitation of spatial distribution of ammonia-oxidizing microorganisms in the Haihe River, China, by heavy metals Journal of Environmental Sciences 26 pp 502–511

[28] Xu H, Yong J, and Xu G 2016 Bioassessment of water quality status using a potential bioindicator based on functional groups of planktonic ciliates in marine ecosystems Marine Pollution Bulletin 110 pp 409–414

[29] Zhang H, John R, Pen Z, Yuan J, Chu C, Du G, and Zhou S 2012 The relationship between species richness and evenness in plant communities along a successional gradient: a study from Sub-Alpine Meadows of the Eastern Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau, China PLoS ONE 7(11)