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Abstract
With the vigorously growing demand of the steel industry, corrosion resistance alloys, clean energy industries, and a variety of engineered infrastructure or technology, high-grade nickel ores are being exhausted gradually in the world. This review outlines metallurgical processes for nickel production from various nickel sulfide ores resources, particularly focusing on recent developments in metallurgical processes to identify potential trends and technical requirements in nickel metallurgy. The main methods have been extensively reviewed for nickel extraction from nickel sulfide ores which maybe are potentially applicable to provide new ideas for smelting technology innovation of nickel and even other similar metals. The main metallurgical methods include pyrometallurgical and hydrometallurgy, containing smelting, leaching, and purification. The advantages and disadvantages of each typical process have been analyzed and compared in this review, and a special emphasis is put forth. Biological metallurgy is highly selective for recovery of nickel and the most promising method recommended for future research and development. Moreover, ion exchange offers useful method for extraction and purification of nickel. In addition, many of the typical new methods involved are also introduced in this article.
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Introduction

Nickel is one of the metals with extremely high strategic value in the development of national economy. Nickel has attracted continuous attentions owing to its superior performances such as good ductility, corrosion resistance, high-temperature resistance, and high strength, which have been considered as an essential basic material for high-temperature and high-strength alloys, heat-resistant materials, and stainless steel. Its application involves many fields such as metallurgy, machinery manufacturing, transportation, defense, and aerospace [1–3]. In addition, with the emergence of ecological and environmental problems, developing clean energy and achieving sustainable economic and social development have become the mainstream in current world. In terms of the emerging strategic industry of power batteries, ternary cathode materials containing nickel are replacing lithium iron phosphate as the key development direction of anode materials for power batteries because of their high capacity density [4–6]. Moreover, global photovoltaic, wind power, and other new energy sources and the need for large-scale energy storage in microgrids are increasing [7, 8]. Nickel, as an indispensable key material in the fields mentioned above, is expected to have explosive growth in demand, which will make the development of the nickel industry more imaginative. In order to gain an edge in emerging industries, the research and development of new technologies for further low-cost and efficient production of nickel have become one of the most concerned research hotspots in the field of nickel technology.

Keeping in view of the widespread data on resources of nickel and status of different technologies for nickel extraction, this article presents a brief overview about nickel sulfide ore characteristics and summarizes the different types of upgradation metallurgical processing used to enhance diverse kinds of nickel sulfide ores found in different parts of world. An overview about the future trends of nickel industry observed in the field of metallurgy techniques has also been discussed along with the challenges and gap areas associated with conventional ore processing methods.

Characteristics of Nickel Sulfide Ore Resources

The world reserves of nickel are estimated at 94 million tons of nickel metal content, which is rich in resources [9]. The regional distribution of global nickel reserves is relatively concentrated. The top three countries, Indonesia, Australia, and Brazil, all account for more than 10%, which together account for about 60% of the global nickel reserves. The land-based resources available for the preparation of nickel mainly include nickel sulfide ore and nickel oxide ore, and the sulfide-oxide ratio in current nickel reserves is believed to be about 4:6.

Sulfide ores originated from hydrothermal processes related to volcanic areas. Nickel, copper, cobalt, iron, and other precious metals such as gold or platinum group metals contained in magma crystallized and precipitated in the form of sulfide, which eventually evolved into a nickel sulfide deposit [10, 11, 13]. The forms and distribution of main nickel-bearing minerals in nickel sulfide deposits are shown in Table 1. In addition to the mentioned, other associated nickel-free minerals such as chalcopyrite (CuFeS2), pyrrhotite (Fe7S8), chromite [(Mg, Fe)·Cr2O4], and gangue compositions (ferric oxide, silicon dioxide, magnesium oxide, calcium oxide, and aluminum oxide, etc.) also are contained in nickel sulfide deposits [14–16]. For some low-grade nickel sulfide ore from

| Mineral name       | Chemical formula | Location                     | Ni content (wt%) |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|
| Heazlewoodite      | $\text{Ni}_3\text{S}_2$ | USA, Australia               | ~73             |
| Millerite          | $\text{NiS}$    | Australia, Canada, USA       | 64–65           |
| Polydymite         | $\text{Ni}_3\text{S}_4$ | Germany                     | ~58             |
| Maucherite         | $\text{Ni}_7\text{As}_8$ | Germany                    | ~52             |
| Niccolite/Nickeline| $\text{NiAs}$  | Switzerland, Germany, Canada, Australia | ~44 |
| Violarite          | $\text{Ni}_3\text{FeS}_4$ | Canada                      | ~39             |
| Gersdorffite       | $\text{NiAsS}$ | Australia, Morocco          | ~35             |
| Pentlandite        | $(\text{Ni,Fe})_9\text{S}_8$ | Russia, South Africa, Canada, Australia, Norway | ~34 |
| Breithauptite      | $\text{NiSb}$  | Canada, Norway, Australia, Italy, India | 32–33 |
| Annabergite        | $\text{Ni}_3\text{H}_2\text{O}_6[\text{AsO}_4]_2$ | Germany                  | 29–30           |
| Siegenite          | $(\text{Co, Ni})_2\text{S}_4$ | Germany, Czech Republic, USA, Zaire | 28–29 |
| Rammelsbergite     | $\text{NiAs}_2$ | Canada, Germany             | ~28             |
lean ore regions, for example, a sulfide mine in Shaanxi, China, due to the grade of nickel sulfide raw ore generally between 0.3 and 3.0%, it is necessary to enrich the ore by mineral processing before smelting. Sulfide ores are crushed and ground in order to liberate nickel minerals by selective flotation, in place of, or in conjunction with magnetic separators. After that, nickel concentrate (containing 6–20% Ni) can be collected. The enriched concentrate contains fuel composition in the form of iron sulfide, which can reduce the energy consumption of smelting and facilitate the comprehensive utilization of resources. Coupled with the mature smelting technologies, nickel sulfide ore is currently the most important source of mineral production in the nickel industry, which contributes approximately 70% of nickel production [17].

However, with the long-term mining of nickel sulfide ore and none major breakthroughs in the exploration of new resources, its reserves are declining gradually. Relatively, the difficulty of traditional mines mining, beneficiation, and smelting also increases. Therefore, it is of great significance to further develop low energy consumption and sustainable technologies to extract nickel from different types of nickel sulfide ores.

**Main Metallurgical Process**

Extracting nickel from sulfide ore generally refers to the process of melting nickel from nickel sulfide ore into low-nickel matte or leaching nickel into solution. Its metallurgical technologies are primarily concerned with pyrometallurgy and hydrometallurgy. The overall process flowchart of nickel extraction from nickel sulfide ores is shown in Fig. 1.

**Pyrometallurgy**

Extracting nickel from nickel sulfide ore by the pyrometallurgical as the main production process of nickel at present, its core step is matte smelting. The major chemical reactions of the matte-producing process could be described as follows [18]:

1. \[2\text{FeS} + 3\text{O}_2 = 2\text{FeO} + 2\text{SO}_2\]  
2. Slagging reaction: \[2\text{FeO} + \text{SiO}_2 = 2\text{FeO} \cdot \text{SiO}_2\]  
3. Matte producing: \[x\text{FeS} + y\text{MS} = [x\text{FeS} \cdot y\text{MS}]\]

The matte (eutectic melts) with low melting point formed by different metal sulfide (MS) and ferrous sulfide can be interfused completely in liquid state. However, the matte is incoherence with slag because of different densities. Therefore, different MS can be effectively enriched in the matte during the melting process, while the impurity oxides can be separated by combining with silicon dioxide to form slag. The low-grade nickel matte obtained by the matte smelting process should be further enriched by converter blowing. Blowing air into the furnace and adding quartz as a flux, iron, ferrous sulfide, and other impurities in the low-grade nickel matte make slag with quartz after oxidized. As a result, the high-grade nickel matte with a higher content of the valuable metal (such as nickel, cobalt, and copper, etc.) and slag with low valuable metal levels can be collected. Meanwhile, part of the matte and other volatile impurities may be discharged with flue gas after oxidized, resulting in partial loss.

Currently, the main smelting processes of nickel sulfide ore involve flash smelting and pool smelting [19–22]. Spraying the deeply dehydrated powder concentrate from the top

---

**Fig. 1** Overall flowchart for nickel extraction from nickel sulfide ores
into the reactor at 1450–1550 °C during flash smelting. The advantage of flash smelting is that the decomposition, oxidation, and melting of sulfide can be accomplished quickly, generally in 2–3 s. The time efficiency and reaction completion of matting process are improved synchronously. In contrast, matte producing as an intermediate process is undergone in bath smelting. The mixture of sulfide concentrate, calcine of partial oxidation, nickel-bearing revert, and fluxes is smelted at 1200–1300 °C. Finally, metal sulfides (nickel matte) and oxide (slag) can be generated after a series of chemical reactions, melting and dissolving process. The low-grade nickel matte produced by flash smelting and bath smelting needs further converting to produce the high-grade nickel matte to meet the treatment requirements of refining process. The typical production process of high-grade nickel matte is shown in Fig. 2. Then, after grinded, copper and nickel contained in the high-grade nickel matte can be separated preliminarily by flotation, and the concentrate of copper and nickel can be obtained, respectively. The nickel concentrate is smelted and blown to prepare nickel sulfide electrode, and electrodeposition nickel can be produced by electrowinning finally.

However, the results indicated that the nickel content in slag is high in the above both smelting methods. Therefore, it is necessary to dilute the slag before recovering, which undoubtedly increases the cost of treatment. To sum up, although realizing large capacity and high efficiency, there are still some defects existing in the extracting nickel process from sulfide ore by the pyrometallurgical, such as high energy consumption, serious nickel loss, high emission intensity of smelting waste gas, and high risk of secondary pollution to the environment. Simultaneously, with the increasing mining intensity of nickel sulfide ore in the world, mineral grade is decreasing year by year. However, the traditional pyrometallurgical matte smelting has higher requirements on the grade of nickel concentrate, so it is no longer suitable for the treatment of complex nickel sulfide ore containing multimetals.

Wang et al. [23, 24] proposed a one-step extraction process of nickel from nickel sulfide concentrates by iron addition. The mixture of concentrate and iron after roasted at 800 °C for 240 min, ~96.8% of nickel could be extracted in the form of ferronickel alloy. In this solid-state nickel extraction process, it can be achieved that recovered nickel values into alloy and simultaneously retained the bulk of sulfur of the nickel sulfide concentrate in the solid iron sulfide, thus mitigating the potential sulfur dioxide emissions.

Hydrometallurgy

Hydrometallurgical process for nickel sulfide ore was developed in 1970s, which is to transfer valuable metals to liquid phase from solid ore by chemical leaching, and then extracts valuable metals by solvent extraction, chemical
precipitation, crystallization, and other means [25–27]. Generally during a hydrometallurgical process of nickel sulfide ore, high-grade nickel matte or nickel concentrate is used as raw material.

Pressure Leaching

Considering that the most phases of nickel sulfide ore have stable crystal structure, in order to further strengthen the mass transfer process between leaching agent and mineralogical phase, pressure leaching is usually used to improve the extraction rate of nickel. According to the different leaching agents, there are two ways of pressure leaching: pressure ammonia leaching and pressure acid leaching.

Pressure Ammonia Leaching

Under a certain E-pH condition, nickel and cobalt in mineralogical phase can enter into the solution by forming ammine complexes in ammonia—ammonium solution while other impurity metals remained in the residue, so as to realize the selective leaching of nickel and cobalt from nickel sulfide concentrate [28–30]. The complexation reactions during this process are as follows [31]:

\[
\text{NiS} + 2\text{O}_2 + 6\text{NH}_3 = \text{Ni}(\text{NH}_3)_6 \cdot \text{SO}_4
\]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

\[
4\text{CoS} + 9\text{O}_2 + 20\text{NH}_3 + 2(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4 = 2\text{[Co(NH}_3)_6\text{]}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (5)

Aqueous ammonia and air are used as oxidants, and two-stage leaching is carried out at ~ 80 °C and ~ 900 kPa. After that, the leaching residue is washed by counter current filter. The leach solution is boiled to recover the ammonia, while the thiosulphates and thiosulfonates are dismutated for precipitating to copper of high purity [32, 33]. The flow of pressure ammonia leaching is shown in Fig. 3.

The recovery rate of nickel, cobalt, and copper can reach 90%, 50%, and 88%, respectively, through ammonia leaching process. It can be realized that the resource utilization

![Fig. 3 Typical flowchart of pressure ammonia leaching process](image-url)
of sulfur in nickel sulfide concentrate by pressure ammonia leaching. Meanwhile, it indicates that ammonia leaching process is suitable for the treatment of refractory polymetallic ore. However, high equipment requirements and low efficiency of precious metal extraction limit the application of ammonia leaching process in industrial production, which also causes it has been gradually replaced.

Pressure Acid Leaching (PAL) In consideration of the special mineralogical characteristics of nickel sulfide ore, oxygen is regarded as a common oxidant in nickel extraction from nickel sulfide ores. According to Henry's law, the extraction velocity can be significantly increased with the increasing of oxygen partial pressure. Thus, oxygen pressure acid leaching has getting more and more attention in recent years.

Oxygen and acid are used as oxidant and leaching agent, respectively, valuable metals can be extracted from nickel sulfide concentrate into the solutions by pressure acid leaching, which is used as a prominent hydrometallurgical process industrially for the production of nickel. By controlling the leaching conditions, it can be realized that the leaching rate of nickel and cobalt is higher than 85%, while the leaching of copper and other heavy metals is inhibited [25, 34]. The main reactions during the pressure acid leaching process are shown as following [31, 34]:

$$2\text{Ni} + \text{O}_2 + 2\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 = 2\text{NiSO}_4 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$$

$$2\text{Cu} + \text{O}_2 + 2\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 = 2\text{CuSO}_4 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$$

Nickel sulfide leaching reactions are as follows:

$$2\text{Ni}_3\text{S}_2 + \text{O}_2 + 2\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 = 2\text{NiSO}_4 + 4\text{NiS} + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$$

$$8\text{NiS} + \text{O}_2 + 2\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 = 2\text{Ni}_3\text{S}_4 + 2\text{NiSO}_4 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$$

Under a hypoxia condition, copper in the solution can enter into the slag as follows:

$$\text{NiS} + \text{CuSO}_4 = \text{CuS} + \text{NiSO}_4$$

$$4\text{Ni}_3\text{S}_4 + 9\text{CuSO}_4 + 8\text{H}_2\text{O} = \text{Cu}_8\text{S}_3 + 9\text{NiS} + 3\text{NiSO}_4 + 8\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$$

$$\text{Ni}_3\text{S}_2 + 2\text{CuSO}_4 = \text{NiSO}_4 + \text{NiS} + \text{Cu}_2\text{S}$$

The PAL process was applied for the first time in industry at Outokumpu Harjavalta Refinery [35]. Valuable metals were leached from the high-grade nickel matte by threestage of atmospheric pressure leaching and one-stage of pressure leaching. The leaching rates of nickel and cobalt were up to 98% and 97%, respectively. Based on this, the process had been improved by Fukang Smelting Plant in Xinjiang of China. The technical route of one-stage atmospheric pressure leaching combine with one-stage pressure leaching was carried out successfully for solving the problem of difficult separation of nickel and cobalt during the leaching process of high-grade nickel matte. Furthermore, significant shortening of the process flow was achieved in the new route though eliminating the electrolytic deposition process for copper removal [36]. In addition, according to the different properties of raw materials, a typical sulfuric acid leaching process adopting two stages of high pressure leaching of copper and nickel matte was proposed by Sherritt Gordon Mines of Canada, and its process flow is shown in Fig. 4.

The total recoveries of nickel, cobalt, copper, and sulfur were more than 99.9% after the two-stage pressure acid leaching. The mechanisms of leaching are as follows [27, 37]:

$$\text{(Fe, Ni)}_x\text{S}_y + 45\text{O}_2 + 36\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 = 36\text{NiSO}_4 + 18\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 + 36\text{H}_2\text{O} + 64\text{S}^0$$

$$2\text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{S} + (1 - x)\text{O}_2 + 2(1 - x)\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 = 2(1 - x)\text{FeSO}_4 + 2\text{SO}_2 + 2(1 - x)\text{H}_2\text{O}$$

$$\text{Mg}_3\text{Si}_4\text{O}_{10}(\text{OH})_2 + 3\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 = 3\text{MgSO}_4 + 4\text{SiO}_2 + 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$$

$$\text{FeCuS}_2 + 2\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 + \text{O}_2 = \text{FeSO}_4 + 4\text{CuSO}_4 + 2\text{S}^0 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$$

Part of the sulfur can be converted into sulfuric acid for sustaining to react with minerals, which is favorable for reducing the total acid consumption and improving the purity of the product effectively [27]. However, coating layer formed by another part of sulfur potentially covers valuable metals resulting in a decrease in metal leaching rate. The disadvantage of this process is that it has poor adaptability to raw materials and is only suitable for leaching high-grade ores. Furthermore, this process also possesses some defects as high requirements on equipment and poor continuous production capacity.

McDonald et al. [25] treated a high iron–low nickel concentrate by pressure oxidation at 250 °C, and the extraction of base metals from such nickel sulfide concentrates is rapid. The controlled oxidation of feed sulfide minerals demonstrated that the hydrothermal oxidation of pentlandite occurs via violarite and subsequently vaesite. Under the conditions employed, the oxidation of pyrrhotite generates both pyrite and marcasite as intermediates while chalcopyrite was noted to oxidize via a covellite intermediate. Furthermore, the oxidation of nickel sulfides, iron sulfides, and copper sulfides occurs at different rates. Muszer et al. [38] defined the behavior of complex copper mineral phases by studying the pressure leaching process of copper sulfide concentrate. Provis et al. [39] presented a semi-empirical...
mathematical model for the acid-oxygen pressure leaching of nickel–copper matte; the primary controlling factor in the leaching process is found to be galvanic inhibition of the more highly oxidized copper and nickel sulfide species by less oxidized species, particularly nickel alloy and nickel subsulfide. Huang et al. [27] investigated the recovery of copper, nickel, and cobalt from the acidic pressure leaching solutions of a low-grade sulfide flotation concentrates. The technique proposed by Huang et al. [27] included four main steps: acidic pressure leaching—solvent extraction separation of copper—high-temperature hydrolysis precipitation removing of iron—selectively precipitated of nickel and cobalt using sodium sulfide. It was reported that the total percent recovery of copper could reach 95% or more and that of nickel and cobalt was all more than 99%. In the processing, the percent removal of impurities, such as iron, magnesium, and calcium, was all also near to 99%.

**Atmospheric Leaching**

**Atmospheric Acid Leaching (AL)** Atmospheric acid leaching is considered as a potential technological route because it is conducted at a low temperature and requires open vessels avoiding the need of expensive autoclaves and has the advantages of simple process, low energy consumption, and simple operation. AL process generally uses nickel sulfide concentrate as raw material, the main phases of which are nickel subsulfide, cuprous sulfide, and nickel–cobalt alloy [40, 41]. In the presence of sulfuric acid and oxygen, the solubility of metallic nickel, nickel subsulfide, and cuprous sulfide is different, as completely dissolved, partially dissolved, and undissolved, respectively. The following reactions take place during the leaching [42]:

\[
3\text{MS} + 8\text{HNO}_3 = 2\text{NO} + 3\text{M(NO}_3)_2 + 3\text{S}^0 + 4\text{H}_2\text{O} \tag{17}
\]

\[
\text{FeS} + 4\text{HNO}_3 = \text{Fe(NO}_3)_3 + \text{NO} + \text{S}^0 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \tag{18}
\]

\[
\text{M(NO}_3)_2 + \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 = \text{MSO}_4 + 2\text{HNO}_3 \tag{19}
\]

\[
\text{S}^0 + 2 \text{HNO}_3 = \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 + 2\text{NO} \tag{20}
\]

\[
2\text{NO} + \text{O}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} = \text{HNO}_3 + \text{HNO}_2 \tag{21}
\]

AL process has the advantages of simple process, low energy consumption, less equipment investment, and easy control of operating conditions [43, 44], but its
disadvantages, such as low leaching rate, difficult separation of leaching solution, high nickel content in leaching residue and large amount of waste water, also increase in cost of production.

**Water Leaching** A pyrometallurgical pretreatment followed by hydrometallurgical processing plays an important role in the treatment of nickel sulfide ore. Water leaching is a typical combination process of hydro- and pyrometallurgy.

- **Chloridizing Roasting—Water Leaching**

  In recent years, due to its good adaptability to feedstock and pollution-free treatment of sulfur, chloridizing roasting—water leaching as an alternative low-energy technology has attracted wide attention in the processing of sulfide minerals [45, 46]. Generally, chlorine-containing reagents characterized with variety and low price of origin materials, such as chlorine, hydrogen chloride, calcium chloride, sodium chloride, magnesium chloride, and aluminum chloride, are widely used as chlorinating agents in the process of roasting. Raw mineral materials are mixed with chlorinating agents and roasted at 300–450°C. After that, nickel and cobalt in the roasted product are existed in the form of soluble chlorides, which easily dissolve into the solution during water leaching. Because of high reactivity and leaching efficiency, chlorination roasting—water leaching possesses unique advantages for the treatment of low-grade nickel sulfide ore.

  Kershner et al. [47] roasted nickel sulfide ore with sodium chloride at 450°C for 2 h and then leached the roasted product with dilute hydrogen chloride. When the pH value was 1, the leaching rates of nickel and cobalt reached ~90% and ~95%, respectively. Mukherjee et al. [48] used sodium chloride as chlorinating agent for the chloridizing roast of sulfide concentrate. The results showed that the extraction rates of nickel and copper were all up to 99% by water leaching after roasted at 350°C for 4 h. V. A. Imideev et al. [49] optimized the roasting process of nickel sulfide concentrate with sodium chloride. The product obtained after being roasted with the mass ratio of sodium chloride to concentrate was 1:2 at 400°C for 1.5 h, was carried out by water leaching, the leaching rates of nickel, copper and cobalt could reach 84.3%, 87.7% and 92.9%, respectively. Li et al. [50] proposed a novel temperature-programmed ammonium chloride roasting—water leaching process to extract nickel from polymetallic sulfide minerals. The results indicated that sulfide chlorination occurred because of ammonium chloride and the formed intermediates, including metal chlorides and chlorine, and the final extraction rate of nickel can reach ~97%. Cui et al. [51] reported a new selective chlorination roasting and water leaching process to treat complex nickel sulfide ore using anhydrous aluminum chloride as the solid chlorination agent. The chlorination mechanism analysis showed that the predominant matters contributing to the chlorination of talc, lizardite, and magnetite were aluminum chloride (both solid or gas) and the generated hydrogen chloride; however, the chlorination of metal sulfide (pentlandite, chalcopyrite, and pyrite) was mostly contributed by the generated chlorine. However, chlorination has strong corrosiveness to equipment, which reduces the economic benefits of this process.

- **Sulfating Roasting—Water Leaching**

  The sulfating roasting process of nickel sulfide ore is essentially a process of selective oxidation of metals in minerals. In this process, air or oxygen-enriched air is generally used as oxidant, and a multiphase reaction takes place between solid phase and gas phase. After roasting, valuable metals such as nickel, cobalt, and copper are converted into sulfate, while iron is converted into ferrous oxide, so as to realize the separation of nickel and iron by water leaching. The involved main reactions of valuable metals taking place in roasting are as follows [52]:

  \[ 2\text{MS} + 3\text{O}_2 = 2\text{MO} + 2\text{SO}_2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (22)

  \[ 2\text{SO}_2 + \text{O}_2 = 2\text{SO}_3 \]  \hspace{1cm} (23)

  \[ \text{MO} + \text{SO}_3 = \text{MSO}_4 \]  \hspace{1cm} (24)

  The saturated vapor pressure of ferric sulfate at roasting temperature (generally at 500–700°C) is much higher than that of the sulfate of valuable metals (nickel, copper, and cobalt). Thus, iron mainly exists in the form of ferric oxide in the calcine. Theoretically, the effective separation of cobalt, nickel, copper, and iron can be achieved by water leaching. However, the gas phase reaction rate of Eqs. (23) is slow in the actual mineral reaction system, and the surface of mineral particles is easy to be coated by products during the sulfation as shown in Eqs. (24), resulting in the low extraction rates of cobalt, nickel, and copper. In order to optimize the process of sulfating roasting, Thornhill et al. [53] used sodium sulfate as roasting agent to improve the leaching rate of nickel. The reason is that sodium sulfate can destroy the stable structure of nickel ferrite (NiFe₂O₄) and make it transform into nickel sulfate. Yu et al. [54] also discussed the influence mechanism of sodium sulfate on the sulfating roasting of nickel sulfide concentrate. The sulfating reaction interface can be moistened by composite sulfate melt, leading to an increase in activation sites of the interface. Meanwhile, the melt coated by the reaction interface acts as the medium for sulfur trioxide diffusion into the inner unreacted sulfide core, which realizes the efficient transportation of sulfur trioxide through the chemical balance between sulfate ion and thiosulfate ion and further promotes
the sulfate formation of nickel. Mu et al. [55] reported a process for synchronous extraction of nickel and copper from a mixed oxide-sulfide nickel ore in a low-temperature roasting system. In this process, sodium sulfate was used as an additive for improving the extraction of nickel by converting into Na-pyrosulfate, and more than 97% of nickel could be extracted after roasting at 450 °C for 4 h. Liu et al. [56] used ammonium sulfate roasting–water leaching process to treat low-grade nickel sulfide ore. Firstly, ammonium sulfate and nickel sulfide ore were mixed according to the mass ratio of 4:5, and then roasted at 400 °C for 2 h for water leaching. The leaching rates of nickel, copper, iron, and magnesium were 83.48%, 76.24%, 56.43%, and 62.15%, respectively. Cui et al. [57] reported a two-stage roasting–water leaching process for extracting valuable metals from copper–nickel sulfide concentrate. In the first stage, ammonium sulfate was added and roasting was carried out at 500 °C for 2 h; in the second stage, sodium sulfate was added for roasting at 680 °C for 2 h; finally, the leaching rates of nickel, copper, and cobalt were 92.1%, 97.6%, and 99.3% by leaching for 2 h in water at 95 °C.

In conclusion, the sulfate roasting of nickel sulfide ore has the advantages of high efficiency, short process, and strong adaptability to raw materials, but there are also some disadvantages such as high energy consumption, high cost, and waste gas.

Other Leaching There are other two routes in hydrometallurgical process for nickel extraction: (i) electrolysis refining/electrolysis (using soluble anode, crude nickel anode, and nickel sulfide anode) and (ii) selective leaching—electrolyte purification—electrodeposition. Among them, the second route has been developed rapidly and applied more widely. Electrolyte can also be used as one of the criteria for system selection, such as chlorination and sulfuric acid systems. Figure 5 shows a typical technological flow chart of chloridizing leaching—electrolyte purification—electrodeposition process. In this process, due to high chemical activity of chlorine, high solubility of generated chloride and strong complexing ability to impurities, nickel, cobalt, and copper in high-grade nickel matte can dissolve into the solution at normal temperature and pressure. Moreover, by adjusting the feeding rate of chlorine and raw materials, the redox potential in the leaching process can be controlled to realize continuous leaching. In addition, the system of ammonia, acid, and water can be selected as medium for leaching process.

Eksteen et al. [58] reported the outcomes of exploratory research relating to the atmospheric pressure and ambient temperature leaching of nickel and cobalt from a Western Australian low-grade, disseminated, nickel–cobalt sulfide ore, and the subsequent recovery by ion exchange (IX) using alkaline glycine solutions in mildly oxidizing environments. The study showed that even though glycine-based leach rates for nickel and cobalt are slow, no passivation was observed and about 83.5% nickel and 76.3% cobalt were extracted at room temperature using conventional bottle rolls over a 672 h period using a multistage extraction (i.e., leachate decant and reagent refresh with either new reagent or recycled barren raffinate). Amilton et al. [59] reported commercial chelating resins that can be applied in leach solutions with different compositions, and also possible innovations for uses of chelating resins to recover metals from mining process and mining tailings.
Other Metallurgical Processes

At present, the resources of high-grade and easy to treat nickel ore are diminishing, and more refractory low-grade nickel sulfide ore, oxidized ore, and mixed ore will eventually become the main source of nickel. Therefore, many methods with wider adaptability to raw materials have been developed, aiming at the efficient use of various existing nickel resources.

Bioleaching Process

Bioleaching is generally considered to be one of the effective technologies to directly extract metals and replace the traditional beneficiation and metallurgy process. Due to its characteristics of low investment, low cost, simple process flow, and environmental-friendly, bioleaching is suitable for treating low-grade and other refractory mineral resources and is considered to be the most promising process to replace the traditional leaching technology [60–62]. Its application in metallurgical industry has involved a variety of metals, among which the extraction of copper, uranium, and gold, etc. from ore has been industrialized. The bioleaching process of nickel and cobalt is still in the experimental stage. Bioleaching uses the oxidation or reduction of microorganisms to soak nickel and cobalt out of ore (or deposit) and make them enter the solution [63, 64]. The valuable components can be separated from the original minerals in the form of soluble or precipitation, which is the direct effect of bioleaching process. Moreover, the process of obtaining valuable components through the reaction of biological metabolites and minerals is the indirect effect of the bioleaching process. The schematic diagram of biological leaching of sulfide ores is shown in Fig. 6.

According to the characteristics of nickel ore resources in China [65], the research on bioleaching mainly focuses on low-grade nickel sulfide ore. The current research results show that low-grade nickel ore resources (lean ore and tailings) had good bioleachability, and tailings were easier to leach than lean ore. But currently, the mechanism of the bioleaching process is undefined in addition to the slow bioleaching kinetics. Furthermore, the bio-oxidation process is an exothermic process, and each kind of bacteria can only adapt to a certain temperature range. Consequently, when the bio-oxidation process reaches a certain temperature, engineering problems such as overheating in the reactor have become the bottleneck of application.

New Metallurgical Processes

Wang et al. [66, 67] proposed a new process route for treatment of nickel complex minerals. Ionic liquids were used as solvents to selectively dissolve the reconstituted products, and then electrolysis/molten salt electrolysis is performed to obtain valuable metals and precious metals, respectively. The specific process flow is shown in Fig. 7.

In general, the content of iron in nickel sulfide ore is high, and it is rich in precious metals and other refractory metals. Ionic liquid can achieve selective extraction of copper, cobalt, and nickel [68, 69]. The remaining slag mainly contains iron oxide and preliminarily enriched precious metals and refractory metals. Iron oxide contained in the slag can be electrolyzed in alkaline aqueous solution to prepare micro–nano-iron. However, it is still very difficult to realize the extraction, separation, and refining of precious/refractory metals through the current process, and new methods need to

Fig. 6 Schematic diagram on biological leaching of sulfide ores
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be further sought. In recent years, the development of molten salt electrolysis process continues to attract attention, the most typical of which are FFC Cambridge process [70, 71] and SOM method [72, 73]. Numerous studies [74–77] have shown that a variety of refractory metals such as tantalum, niobium, titanium, and some superalloys can be successfully prepared by molten salt electrolysis. It verifies the feasibility of treatment complex multicomponent composite ore using molten salt electrolysis. Simultaneously, a new idea can be provided that precious metals and refractory metals can be separated and produced from multicomponent slag by molten salt electrolysis.

**Conclusion and Prospect**

By comparing the main methods of extracting nickel from nickel sulfide ore listed above, it can be found that the typical pyrometallurgical process is simple, large in ore throughput, and easy to realize an industrial application. However, its disadvantages, such as high energy consumption, low added value of products, large loss of nickel, and the necessary waste-gas treatment, limit its development. Hydrometallurgy has become the mainstream technology for extracting nickel from nickel sulfide ores, with the advantages of high recovery rate and high product purity. Nevertheless, the high cost of wastewater treatment increases the capital investment of hydrometallurgical technology. Biometallurgy is regarded as the most promising clean technology in future because of its advantages of low energy consumption, low cost, and high metal recovery rate, but its shortcomings such as long leaching cycle, difficult to cultivate required bacteria, and susceptibility to pollution in the treatment process hindered its large-scale industrial application.

In recent years, with the depletion of global nickel sulfide concentrate resources and the rapid growth of nickel demand, the contradiction between nickel supply and demand has become increasingly prominent. Therefore, the efficient and clean extraction of nickel resources from nickel sulfide ore has important strategic significance for ensuring its supply safety and promoting the sustainable and healthy development of strategic emerging industries such as new energy vehicles. Based on the above analysis of nickel extraction technology from nickel sulfide ore and its advantages and disadvantages, the development trends and relevant suggestions for further strengthening the research on nickel extraction technology from nickel sulfide ore are put forward as follows:

1. Atmospheric acid leaching will still be the preferred process for hydrometallurgical treatment of low-grade nickel sulfide ore. Although collaborative leaching can effectively shorten the process flow and reduce energy consumption and production costs, it is still in the laboratory research stage. Therefore, how to further expand the processing scale and increase the nickel recovery rate are the focus and difficult point that urgently needs to be broken. Moreover, the selective extraction of valuable metals cannot be achieved in the atmospheric leaching of nickel sulfide ore. It should be recommended to further strengthen the basis and application of selective extraction of nickel from nickel sulfide ore.

2. Chlorination roasting process provides a cost-effective way to deal with low-grade nickel sulfide ore and tailings. With the development of new materials, the corro-
sion problem of reaction equipment has been alleviated, but how to efficiently separate and purify the different metal components in the leachate is still the focus of attention.

(3) Nickel extraction technology from nickel sulfide ore based on “sulfated roasting–water leaching” can achieve high efficiency and clean extraction of nickel, but how to improve the utilization efficiency of sulfur in sulfated roasting is a bottleneck problem that needs to be solved urgently.

(4) Bioleaching has significant advantages in the treatment of low-grade nickel sulfide ore, but how to shorten the leaching period, improve the leaching efficiency, and develop strains that are easy to cultivate and adapt to different environments are still the bottleneck problems restricting its large-scale and extensive application.

On the other hand, with the decrease of high-grade and easily treatable nickel sulfide ore resources, the production of nickel increasingly depends on low-grade and refractory nickel oxide ore and nickel mixed ore. The development of related smelting technologies has promoted the energy saving and emission reduction of nickel industry to a large extent and has a broad development prospect. Furthermore, the waste brought from the industrial production process, such as spent Ni-based super alloys, batteries, solutions, and catalysts, can be used as the secondary resource of nickel, and it is also one of the important resources for the extraction of nickel in the nickel industry at present [10]. In the United States, even in the context of deadly COVID-19 global pandemic, the secondary recovery and refining of nickel scrap produces about one hundred thousand tons of nickel in 2020, which overshoots the metal gross from ore processing and matte refining sources combined [9]. Nickel production from the secondary materials has a great potential because only ~40% of the available nickel-bearing scrap is currently being recycled. Based on the demand for effective use of resources, strengthening the comprehensive utilization of secondary resources and developing material recycling technology can further promote the sustainable development and the energy saving and emission reduction of the metallurgical industry.
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