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ABSTRACT

The aim of this research is to test the characteristics of concrete by substitute fine aggregate with iron ore tailings and partial glass powder as in the place of cement. Concrete with waste products such as glass powder and iron ore tailings offer technical, economic and environmental advantages. In this experimental investigation, glass powder is replaced with cement by 10%, 20% and 30% and iron ore tailings with fine aggregates by 30% which is the optimum percentage. To study the role of glass powder and iron ore tailings combination in concrete. The properties such compressive strength, flexural strength, tensile strength and also durability parameters likely water absorption investigation for M40 concrete is carried out with different percentages of glass powder by keeping the iron ore tailings percentage constant. At 30% glass powder substitution as cement and sand with IOT increases concrete effectiveness. The concrete with 10% glass powder & 30% iron ore tailings showed a higher strength compared to the conventional mix for 28 days. Concrete mix containing 10% GP and 30% IOT showed higher flexural strength of 5.05 MPa for 28 days. Splitting tensile strength value is also increasing i.e. for 10% glass powder and 30% IOT, obtained splitting tensile strength was 4.48 MPa and modulus of elasticity value was has also increased. Water absorption experiment consequences results that water absorption decreases with an increase in GP percentage. The concrete workability tends to decrease when with glass powder content increase. Concrete containing 10% glass powder and 30% IOT showed maximum strength and it is considered as the optimum dosage.
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1 Introduction

Concrete is one of the most unique construction materials. It is also used in rigid pavement in roadways. The scarcity of ingredients (cement and fine aggregate) in concrete is a major issue nowadays. We are in need to found alternate materials in construction. So, in this work we made an attempt of utilising combined of Iron ore tailing as a replacement material for cement and glass waste as a replacement material for cement. Iron ore tailings is one of the mine wastes which is available in India. To minimize the negative impact of IOT on environment and low-cost construction, this can be utilized in concrete production as substitute of fine aggregate [1-5]. The viability of the tailings of iron ore as a substitute material on behalf of FA in the concrete for construction of concrete pavements. The transformation of fly ash and IOT into foaming geopolymer leads to the formation of porous structure encouraging Cu²⁺ sorption [6-9]. The Size distribution curve of composite binder containing IOT was very close optimization curve. So, By Adding the IOT Packing density increased [10-14]. Water to binder ratio reduced means, it will give maximum strength. Also, IOT Substitution in with nominal percentage increases the compressive strength of concrete [15-18]. Glass powder is a kind of pozzolanic material because it has that nature and characteristics. Use of glass powder has much influence in setting time and cement expansion [19-22]. Concrete with cement replaced by 15% and 30% glass powder gives more strength as well as lowest porosity [23,24]. The maximum compressive strength (136 MPa) was arrived when 20 % glass waste added in RPC-Reactive
powder concrete [25]. Concrete with Fly ash and glass waste gives improvement in durability characteristics. Concrete with 20 percentages gives the nominal strength as compared to conventional concrete [26-28]. So, from the literature review it’s known that iron ore tailing and glass waste can be used as a replacement material for fine aggregate and cement respectively in concrete. Our Aim and Objective of this study is to combine iron ore tailing and glass waste as a replacement material for sand and cement respectively, then evaluate fresh, hardened and water absorption properties of concrete with and without IOT and glass waste replacement.

2 Materials and Methods

The current study started with literature review. Through literature review we selected the materials (iron ore tailing and glass waste) which was used in this work for sand and cement replacement. Our first experimental work is finding physical properties of Ingredients used in concrete likely cement's physical property (specific gravity, Initial and final setting time), Glass powder's specific gravity, Iron ore tailing’s specific gravity after sieving with 2.36 mm sieve, Fine aggregate’s specific gravity, coarse aggregate’s physical properties (Specific gravity, water absorption, impact and crushing value). After that proportioning the mix as per IS 10262:2009 was done. Followed to that we started casting concrete cubes (for finding compressive strength and water absorption of concrete), Cylinders (for finding Split tensile strength and Modulas of elasticity of concrete) and Prism beam (for finding Flexural strength of concrete). After that the results for concrete with and without IOT and glass waste was analyzed and discussed by comparing previous research work. Materials use in support of experimental study were sand, cement, iron ore tailing glass powder, aggregates and water. The description of each of the materials is given in the following sections.

2.1 Cement and glass powder

OPC 53grade conforming IS12269:2013 [29] is used this experimental examination. Physical properties of cement are given in Table 1. Finely powdered glass powder of specific gravity 2.6 is used. Glass powder used for this study is shown in figure 1.

Table 1: Cement Physical property

| Sl no | Properties       | Values obtained | Requirement as per IS 12269:2013 |
|-------|------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|
| 1     | Specific gravity | 3.15            |                                  |
| 2     | Initial setting time | 60 minutes | Should be more than 30 minutes |
| 3     | Final setting time | 450 minutes | Should be less than 600 minutes |

Figure 1: Glass powder
2.2 Iron Ore Tailings and fine aggregate

Iron ore tailing passing through 2.36mm IS sieve is used for this experimental programme. Specific gravity of IOT was discovered to be is 3.31. Iron ore tailing used for this study was shown in figure 2. The sand used does not contain any organic matter and it is free from impurities. SG of river sand be determined as per IS 2386- Part I: 1963[30]. River sand conforming IS383: 1970 [31], passing through sieve 4.75 mm is worn for present investigation as fine aggregate. The specific gravity was found to be 2.70. Sieve analysis results of fine aggregate given in Table 2.

![Figure 2: Raw iron ore tailings and crushed iron ore tailings](image)

Table 2: Sieve analysis result of fine aggregate (Sample-1000 gms)

| Is sieve (mm) | Weight Retained (gram) | % Weight Retained | Cumulative % Weight Retained | % Weight passing | IS 383:1970 Requirement for grading Zone-2 |
|--------------|------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|------------------|------------------------------------------|
| 10           | -                      | -                 | -                            | 100              | 100                                      |
| 4.75         | 0                      | 0                 | 0                            | 100              | 90-100                                   |
| 2.36         | 26.3                   | 2.63              | 2.63                         | 97.37            | 75-100                                   |
| 1.18         | 178                    | 17.8              | 20.43                        | 79.57            | 55-90                                    |
| 0.60         | 250                    | 25                | 45.43                        | 54.57            | 35-59                                    |
| 0.30         | 382                    | 38.2              | 83.63                        | 16.37            | 8-30                                     |
| 0.15         | 147.2                  | 14.72             | 98.35                        | 1.65             | 0-10                                     |

2.3 Coarse aggregate

Stone of size 10mm&20mm size which be locally available is used as coarse aggregate. In current analysis, the aggregates were 20 mm and 10 mm in size, respectively proportion 60 % and 40% by weight are used. Confirms to IS 383: 1970 [31] “specifications for coarse and fine aggregates”. Physical properties of CA given in Table 3 and sieve analysis report of CA given in Table 4.

Table 3: Coarse aggregate Physical property

| Sl no | Tests            | Results | IS Recommendation (IS 383:1970) |
|-------|------------------|---------|----------------------------------|
| 1     | Specific gravity | 2.8     | -                                |
| 2     | Water absorption | 1.7     | < 2%                             |
| 3     | Impact value     | 10.9    | < 30%                            |
| 4     | Crushing value   | 13.8    | 30% maximum for Road, Runway and Pavement |
### Table 4: coarse aggregate sieve result (Sample-5kg)

| IS sieve size (mm) | Weight Retained (kg) | % weight Retained | Cumulative % Weight retained | % passing | IS 383:1970 Requirement (% passing graded aggregate of nominal size 20mm) |
|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 40                | 0                    | 0                 | 0                           | 100       | 100                                                                     |
| 20                | 0.21                 | 4.2               | 4.2                         | 95.8      | 95-100                                                                  |
| 10                | 3.48                 | 69.6              | 73.8                        | 26.2      | 25-55                                                                   |
| 4.75              | 1.27                 | 25.4              | 99.2                        | 0.8       | 0-10                                                                    |

### 2.4 Water and Chemical Admixtures

Water is very important in concrete mix; it directly affect strength property plus the workability of mix. Specific quantity of water is required for hydration reaction to gain strength. Adequate water should add in concrete mix to attain desired strength and workability. Super plasticizing admixture is a type of high range water reducing chemical admixture. In this study conplast SP430 is used in concrete mixes as chemical admixture to make keep concrete in workable condition and to avoid particle segregation (gravel, coarse and fine sand).

### 2.5 Mix design

We followed IS10262:2009 code for proportioning various ingredients in concrete Mix. The weights of ingredients for concrete mix with and without IOT and glass waste are given in table 5 below.

### Table 5: weight of ingredients

| Mix Number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|------------|---|---|---|---|---|
| Material   | GP-0% IOT-0% | GP-0% IOT-30% | GP-10% IOT-30% | GP-20% IOT-30% | GP-30% IOT-30% |
| Cement(kg/m³) | 394 | 394 | 354.6 | 315.2 | 275.8 |
| Glass powder (kg/m³) | - | - | 39.4 | 78.8 | 118.2 |
| Fine aggregate (kg /m³) | 807.408 | 862.12 | 858.49 | 854.86 | 852.44 |
| Coarse aggregate (kg /m³) | 1156.28 | 1156.28 | 1151.4 | 1146.53 | 1143.28 |
| Water (lit /m³) | 157.6 | 157.6 | 157.6 | 157.6 | 157.6 |
| Super plasticizer (lit /m³) | 7.88 | 7.88 | 7.88 | 7.88 | 7.88 |

### 3 Results

#### 3.1 Fresh Properties of concrete

Concrete Workability is the trait of fresh concrete mix, which represents the mixing, transporting, moulding, compacting ability of concrete. Workability is directly depending on water- cement ratio; workability rises with the rise in water to cement relation. Workability of fresh concrete is helpful to knowing the bleeding and segregation. 100mm is the top diameter, 200mm is the bottom diameter and 300mm is the height of slump cone. Temping rod was used to compact and remove the air voids. Slump value of concrete measured in ‘mm’ as per IS 1199: 1959 [32]. Slump value for various mixes given in Table 6.
Table 6: Slump values of mixes

| Concrete mix          | Mix Number | Slump(mm) |
|-----------------------|------------|-----------|
| Control mix           | 1          | 45mm      |
| 0% GP and 30% IOT     | 2          | 43mm      |
| 10% GP and 30% IOT    | 3          | 41mm      |
| 20% GP and 30% IOT    | 4          | 39mm      |
| 30% GP and 30% IOT    | 5          | 38mm      |

3.2 Hardened Properties of concrete

Density of cubes for all concrete mix with varying glass powder and constant iron ore tailings as replacement was determined. Density of concrete cubes was determined on concrete cube of 100mm x 100mm x 100 mm size at 7 and 28 days curing. The density of different mixes at 7 days shown in the below table 7.

Table 7: Density of mixes

| Concrete mix          | Mix Number | Density(kg/m³) |
|-----------------------|------------|---------------|
| Control mix           | 1          | 2456          |
| 0% GP and 30% IOT     | 2          | 2510          |
| 10% GP and 30% IOT    | 3          | 2521          |
| 20% GP and 30% IOT    | 4          | 2604          |
| 30% GP and 30% IOT    | 5          | 2548          |

The compressive strength value is important criteria for study the properties of concrete. To found the compressive strength a cube of 100*100*100mm casted and the testing of cubes were done in compression testing machine capacity 2000kN, as per IS516: 1959 [32]. Maximum load at which the sample fails can be written down to calculate compressive strength. Compressive strength results for various mixes shown in figure 3.

Figure 3: Compressive strength of concrete of all mixes
Similar to compressive strength values, flexural strength values, splitting tensile strength values for 10% glass powder concrete mix were maximum. Hence for concrete mix with 10% glass powder and 30% IOT flexural strength is maximum. Split tensile strength results for various mixes shown in figure 4.

![Split Tensile strength N/mm²](image)

**Figure 4: Splitting tensile strength of all mixes**

Same as the compressive strength test results, flexural strength for 10% glass powder concrete mix showed maximum strength. Hence for concrete blend with 10% glass powder and 30% IOT, flexural strength be maximum. Flexural strength results for various mixes shown in figure 5.

![Flexural strength N/mm²](image)

**Figure 5: Flexural strength of concrete mixes for 28 days**

Absorption of Water test conducted on 100*100*100 size cubes. From the test result values, water absorption for mixes decreasing with increasing percentage of GP. Water absorption results for various mixes shown in figure 6.
Compressive strength predominantly affects stress to strain value of concrete. Maximum Young’s Modulus of concrete achieved at 10% substitution of cement with glass powder. Modulus of elasticity results for various mixes shown in figure 7.

4 Discussion

Study was conducted to find the optimum percentage of replacement of glass waste as cement and Iron ore tailing as fine aggregate. It is observed that concrete with 10 percent glass waste and 30 percent IOT gives more compressive strength comparing all mix including conventional concrete mix. Result from experimental investigation was discussed as follows.

4.1 Fresh property and density

From slump cone test, we get to know that concrete with zero percent glass waste as cement and 30 percentage as FA gives more slump (43 mm) comparing all other mix. Also, the result shows that when increase in glass waste replacement percentage with 30 percentages IOT leads to reduction in Slump value.
So, through this research we found that glass waste (alone) as cement will increase workability but when we combine both IOT and glass waste in concrete then it will not enhance the workability of concrete mix. So combined IOT and Glass waste was not suitable where there is a need of high workability.

Concrete with 20% GP as cement + 30% IOT as fine aggregate was given 6% more density (2604 kg/m$^3$) by comparing conventional concrete density (2456 kg/m$^3$). From results, we found that, when increase in GP percentage with 30 percent IOT will leads to increase in density of concrete. So we can combine both iron ore tailing and glass waste and use in high density kind of special concrete where density playing important role.

4.2 Hardened properties and water absorption of concrete

Compressive strength of conventional concrete at age 7, 14 and 28 days was 25.56 N/mm$^2$, 31.43 N/mm$^2$ and 43.19 N/mm$^2$ respectively. When replacing GP with 30% IOT results in high strength in all mix, but concrete with 10% GP and 30% IOT gives 54% (39.5 N/mm$^2$), 39% (N/mm243.70) and 20% (52.11 N/mm$^2$) more strength at age of 7, 14 and 28 days. From results we observed that, 10 percentage of GP with 30% IOT is the nominal mix percentage to produce concrete with high compressive strength. Concrete with 20% and 30% Glass powder gives 10% (47 N/mm$^2$) and 21% (43.33 N/mm$^2$) less compressive strength compared to concrete with 10% GP and 30% IOT at 28 days. We found the optimum mix of combined IOT and Glass waste replacement (10%GP+ 30% IOT) to attain maximum compressive strength. Also, if increase in GP takes place with constant 30% IOT then there will be a decrease in compressive strength.

At 28 days, the split tensile strength of conventional concrete was found 2.78 N/mm$^2$, it was 61% less compared to tensile strength of concrete with 10% GP + 30% IOT (4.48 N/mm$^2$). Results show that there was an increase in tensile strength when GP replaced as cement with 30% IOT. But about 10% GP, there was a reduction in tensile strength. So we can fix 10% GP waste as nominal replacement percentage to get high tensile strength. In Split tensile strength test we found combined IOT and GP (10% GP + 30% IOT) replacement can enhance the tensile potency of concrete element but not more than 5 percentages.

Flexural strength of concrete with 10% GP+ 30% IOT was 5.52 N/mm$^2$ at 28 days; it was 9 percentages more comparing to Conventional concrete flexural strength 5.05 N/mm$^2$. From results it observed that 10 percentage GP and 30% IOT produces more flexure concrete comparing all other mixes. We noticed that combined IOT and Glass waste (Optimum dosage = 10% GP + 30% IOT) will help to increase the flexural strength of concrete but not more. So combined IOT and glass waste concrete not preferable where high flexural strength needed.

Concrete with 10% GP with 30% IOT gives maximum Modulas of elasticity 36.09 * 10^3 MPa at 28 days .it was 9 percentages more compared to conventional concrete 32.85 * 10^3 MPa. From investigation we concluded that use of Glass powder with 30 percentages IOT results in increment of young’s Modulas, but 10% GP + 30% IOT produces maximum. So we found through optimum dosage of combined concrete with IOT and glass waste (10% GP + 30% IOT) can help to enhance the elasticity of the specimen but not at high level.

Concrete with 30% Glass powder and 30 Percent IOT absorbing less water 1.84% at 28 days, it was 41 percentage less compared to water absorption of conventional concrete 2.6%. So when percentage of GP replacement increases means, it will reduce the water absorption level of concrete. Combined glass waste and IOT concrete element (30% GP + 30% IOT) can perform well in terms of water absorption criteria.

5 Conclusions

Through this current study we archived following consequences. Firstly, we found that the combined IOT and Glass waste (10% GP + 30% IOT) at optimum percentage of replacement as fine aggregate and cement can be performing good in all strength aspect likely compressive strength, Split tensile Strength, Flexural Strength and Modulas of elasticity of concrete. But when comes to fresh properties of concrete
aspect, there will be no significant enhancement in workability and flow ability in concrete because of combined effect of IOT and glass waste. In durability aspect we found that there was a reduction in water absorption when increase in glass waste powder with 30 percent IOT as fine aggregate. So, from our research findings we are concluding that Concrete with IOT and glass waste combination was suitable to use in construction industry to reduce the demand of cement and river sand.
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