CHARACTERIZING THE ATMOSPHERES OF TRANSITING ROCKY PLANETS AROUND LATE-TYPE DWARFS
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ABSTRACT

Visible and near-infrared spectra of transiting hot Jupiter planets have recently been observed, revealing some of the atmospheric constituents of their atmospheres. In the near future, it is probable that primary and secondary eclipse observations of Earth-like rocky planets will also be achieved. The characterization of Earth’s transmission spectrum has shown that both major and trace atmospheric constituents may present strong absorption features, including important bio-markers such as water, oxygen, and methane. Our simulations using a recently published empirical Earth’s transmission spectrum, and the stellar spectra for a variety of stellar types, indicate that the new generation of extremely large telescopes, such as the proposed 42 m European Extremely Large Telescope, could be capable of retrieving the transmission spectrum of an Earth-like planet around very cool stars and brown dwarfs ($T_{\text{eff}} \lesssim 3100$ K). For a twin of Earth around a star with $T_{\text{eff}} \sim 3100$ K (M4), for example, the spectral features of H$_2$O, CH$_4$, CO$_2$, and O$_2$ in the wavelength range between 0.9 and 2.4 $\mu$m can simultaneously be detected within 100 hr of observing time, or even less for a late-M star. Such detection would constitute proof for the existence of life in that planet. The detection time can be reduced to a few hours for a super-Earth type of planet with twice Earth’s radius.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Since the discovery in 1992 of the first planets outside the solar system (Wolszczan & Frail 1992), the number of planet detections has steadily increased. Although we are not capable of detecting and exploring planets like our own yet, ambitious missions, both ground- and space-based, are already being planned for the next decades, and the discovery of Earth-like planets is probably just a matter of years. Once these planets are found, the efforts will concentrate on the detection and characterization of their atmospheres, including the possibility of finding conditions suitable for life. This will be achieved by either direct detection of the light reflected/emitted by the planet or, if the planet has transits, by in- and out-of-transit comparative spectroscopy (Brown 2001).

Struve (1952) first proposed to search for extrasolar planets using the method of transits, but it has been only recently that several planets, all far larger than the Earth, have been partly characterized using this technique (Charbonneau et al. 2002; Swain et al. 2008 and many more). The technique consists in making precise observations when the planet passes in front of the star (primary eclipse or transit), or before and after the planet is hidden behind the star (secondary eclipse).

Observations of primary transits allow us to obtain the transmission spectrum of a planet atmosphere. When the transit occurs part of the light that reaches the observer has crossed the planetary atmosphere and contains the signatures of its spectroscopically active component gaseous species. Based on Hubble Space Telescope (HST) high-precision spectrophotometric observations, Charbonneau et al. (2002) detected the absorption from sodium in the atmosphere of HD209458b, while Richardson et al. (2007) reported its infrared spectra (7.5–13.2 $\mu$m). Using the Spitzer telescope and this same methodology, Tinetti et al. (2007) and Swain et al. (2008) have recently reported the presence of water and methane, respectively, in the atmosphere of planet HD189733b, first discovered by Bouchy et al. (2005).

Important information about the planet’s albedo and large-scale meteorology can also be derived from secondary eclipses, when the planet passes behind the parent star. Observations just before and during the eclipse have allowed for the characterization of the reflection/emission spectrum of the planet itself. Harrington et al. (2007), for example, reported the direct detection of thermal emission from HD149026b, the hottest exoplanet discovered so far (2300 ± 200 K).

The transmission and emission spectra of exoplanets offer complementary information to understand the composition, thermal balance, and dynamics of their atmosphere. One of the best studied hot Jupiter planets is HD189733b. Swain et al. (2008) reported the first near-infrared transmission spectrum of that planet, which showed the presence of methane and water. Although on thermochemical grounds, carbon monoxide was expected to be abundant in its upper atmosphere it was not identifiable in the spectra. One year later, Swain et al. (2009) again observed the dayside spectrum of HD189733b during a secondary eclipse. They detected the presence of water (H$_2$O), carbon monoxide (CO), and carbon dioxide (CO$_2$), but the signature of methane (CH$_4$) was not distinguishable.

But what about the detection and characterization of small, rocky planets? There are presently two space mission capable of finding transiting rocky planets: CoRoT and Kepler. The latter is expected to retrieve between 50 and 640 terrestrial inner-orbit planets, depending on the as-of-yet unknown mass and radius distribution of these planets (Borucki et al. 2009). While most of the targets will be around G and K stars, if a planet was
confirmed around an M star, it would immediately become a candidate for follow-up atmospheric characterization because of the smaller star/planet contrast ratio. Pluto, another space-based mission searching for transiting planets (Catala 2009), could also find suitable targets, but the mission is still pending approval. Finally, ground-based searches for transiting rocky planets can also provide confirmation of suitable candidates. Transits of planets of sizes about twice Earth’s radii have already been observed (Léger et al. 2009; Charbonneau et al. 2009). In particular, the MEarth project (Charbonneau et al. 2009) has detected a transiting super-Earth planet around an M3-type star.

Recently, the optical and near-infrared transmission spectrum of the Earth was obtained during observations of a lunar eclipse (Pallé et al. 2009). It was found that, during the transit, some of Earth’s weakest atmospheric features become much more prominent than in the reflected spectrum. Atmospheric molecules such as O$_3$, O$_2$, H$_2$O, CO$_2$, and CH$_4$ are readily detectable (see Figure 1). Thus, in the optical and near-infrared spectral ranges, the transmission spectra of the Earth (T$_{\text{eff}}$ ~ 255 K) yields much more information content with regards to atmospheric characterization than its reflectance spectrum.

Up to now, observations have revealed a wealth of planetary systems and a wide variety in the physical properties of giant planets. A variety of rocky planet atmospheric composition and chemistry is also expected to be found when their characterization becomes feasible. In particular, for a rocky planet around an M star, since the chemistry of species like O$_3$ and CH$_4$ involves photochemistry and the high probability of the system being tidally locked, its atmospheric composition and dynamics could be quite different than in Earth’s case (Segura et al. 2005; Tartar et al. 2007). However, in order to restrict the number of possible scenarios we have restricted ourselves to an Earth’s twin case. Here, we use a semi-empirical approach to explore the feasibility of characterizing, with current and planned telescopes facilities, the atmospheres of such Earth-like planets beyond our solar system.

2. DETECTION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF ROCKY PLANETS IN THE NEAR FUTURE

In order to characterize a planetary atmosphere through direct detection of the light either emitted by or reflected from the planet, it becomes necessary to remove the large stellar contribution to the photon flux. In the best case scenario, supposing a fully illuminated Earth disk, the contrast ratio between the Sun and Earth is of the order of 10$^{-10}$. This number is obtained as follows:

$$F_e / F_s = a \left( \frac{R_e}{d} \right)^2 + B(T_e) \frac{R_e}{B(T_s)} \left( \frac{R_e}{R_s} \right)^2,$$

where $R_e$ is Earth’s radius, $R_s$ is the Sun’s radius, $d$ is the Sun–Earth distance, and $a$ is Earth’s geometric albedo (Qu et al. 2003) and $B(T_e)$ and $B(T_s)$ are the intrinsic emission for Earth and the Sun, respectively (blackbody-like emission in the case of the Earth). In the visible and near-infrared wavelength ranges discussed in this paper, the second term of the equation is $\leq 10^{-15}$; for Earth, this contribution is negligible as compared to the reflected, or even transmitted, stellar flux. At mid-infrared wavelengths, where the intrinsic luminosity of Earth is significantly larger, the situation may be different (Tinetti et al. 2006). Thus, from hereon, the thermal emission from the planet is neglected from our formulation and discussions. With only a fraction of the illuminated planetary disk visible, the contrast ratios may be closer to 10$^{-11}$.

If the same planet is observed during a transit, according to the area ratios between the Sun and the solid core of Earth, there will be a solid decrease in stellar light, $\Delta F_{sc}$, of the order of 10$^{-5}$:

$$\Delta F_{sc} = \frac{A_{Ec}}{A_S} = \left( \frac{R_e}{R_s} \right)^2.$$

If the planet has an atmosphere, the atmosphere will also block some of the stellar light. This decrease can be calculated as

$$\Delta F_a = \frac{(R_e + h)^2 - R_e^2}{R_s^2},$$

where $h$ is vertical extent of the planet’s atmosphere. Supposing an atmosphere extending to 40 km height, the area ratio, $\Delta F_a$, is of the order of $1.05 \times 10^{-6}$ (Ehrenreich et al. 2006). For saturated atmospheric features, such as some water bands on Earth, the transit would be 10% deeper than that produced by the rocky core occultation alone. It is worth noting that the effective altitude of an exoplanet atmosphere, $h$, will represent the main uncertainty in the calculations. For Earth, at specific wavelengths, the 40 km altitude atmosphere is a conservative estimate, as atmospheric features that exist a few hundred kilometers high in Earth’s atmosphere (i.e., Ca) and in the lower troposphere have both been detected in its transmission spectrum (Pallé et al. 2009).

The comparison between reflected and transmitted stellar fluxes by Earth-like planets around a few types of stars, using the above formulation, is illustrated in Figure 2. In view of the figure, it is possible that for some star–planet configuration, in particular, very close-in planets, the chances of detection might be larger in reflected light, but in general the opposite is true. For a copy of Earth around a solar-type star (1 AU), transmission is always the most favorable configuration. More importantly, the flux contrast of transmission spectroscopy dominates in the habitable zones, where we expect to find extrasolar planets with atmospheric structure and composition close to Earth’s, and which depend on the spectral type of the parent star. This renders the transmission observation as the most technologically promising technique for the study of exoEarth twins at optical and near-infrared wavelengths.
3. EXOPLANET ATMOSPHERIC CHARACTERIZATION FROM GROUND AND SPACE

In this section, we use the empirical stellar and planetary spectra, which we combine to simulate future ground and space-based observations of transiting exoplanets. Once a transiting planet is found, to simulate the repeated observations of a transiting star + planet system. In particular, we have used the models from Allard et al. (2001) for three effective temperatures, \( T_{\text{eff}} \), namely, 5000, 3100, and 2000 K. All three models have \( \log(g) = 5.0 \text{(cgs)} \) and solar metallicity, and are condensed model atmospheres where the layers containing dust particles are sitting below the photosphere. A temperature of 5000 K corresponds to a G-type star for which we take the radius to be that of the Sun. A temperature of 3100 K corresponds to an M4 type star, with a radius of 0.2 solar radius. A temperature of 2000 K corresponds to a surface temperature transition between late-M and early-L types (Golimowski et al. 2004), with a radius taken here to be 0.1 solar radius. Considering the effective temperature–spectral-type calibrations provided by Stephens et al. (2009), Cushing et al. (2008), Vrba et al. (2004), Dahn et al. (2002), and Leggett et al. (2001, 2002), field sources with spectral-type L2 likely have an atmosphere of \( T_{\text{eff}} = 2000 \) K.

In order to simulate the resulting ratio spectrum from two individual spectral pairs (in and out of transit), \( S/N \) we use the following expression:

\[
\frac{S_i}{S_o} = \frac{(S_a - S_{\text{stellar}} \frac{A_a}{A_\star} T_p) + N_i}{S_a + N_o},
\]

where \( S_i \) is the stellar spectrum, \( T_p \) is Earth’s transmission spectrum, and \( A_a \), \( A_\star \), and \( A_\text{stellar} \) are the projected areas of the star, the planet with its atmosphere, and the planetary atmosphere alone, respectively. The terms \( N_i \) and \( N_o \) refer to random Poisson noise added individually to the denominator and the numerator in the equation. Here, the terms \( S_a \), \( T_p \), \( N_i \), and \( N_o \) are \( \lambda \)-dependent.

Apart from detection noise, there are a large number of factors that can induce variability in the star + planet spectrum, making it difficult in practice to isolate the planetary signal. The stellar spectrum can be affected by stellar activity and jitter, for example (Desort et al. 2007). In this study, we have considered the star as “quiet” over timescales of the duration of a transit (a few hours) and assumed that the effect of different activity levels from one transit to the next is removed due to the differential nature of the measurements. Earth’s atmosphere, however, is also an important source of uncertainty due to molecular absorption features. We discuss this in the next subsection.

Instead of assessing the capabilities of different instrumentation to reach the goal of atmospheric characterization (e.g., BELU et al. 2010), we have chosen to express the requirements in terms of the \( S/N \) necessary in individual observations, which can then be calculated specifically for any designed instrument. For stellar types \( G \) we compute the \( S/N \) value at the peak of the stellar flux, in the interval 0.5–0.6 \( \mu \text{m} \). Thus, the rest of the spectrum has a lower—in specific regions of the spectrum, much lower—\( S/N \). For spectral types \( M \), the \( S/N \) is calculated over the wavelength interval 1.2–1.3 \( \mu \text{m} \). The noise terms \( n_i \) and \( n_o \) have been chosen so that both the in- and out-of-transit spectra have an \( S/N \) of 100, 1000, or 10,000 at 1.2 \( \mu \text{m} \). The ratio spectra analysis extends over the wavelength interval from 0.4 to 2.4 \( \mu \text{m} \), for which the empirical Earth transmission spectrum is available. We have run the majority of our simulations with a spectral resolution of \( R \sim 1000 \) to match that of the empirical Earth’s spectrum.

We have generated thousands of these noisy spectra and co-added them to retrieve a cleaner spectrum. In Figure 3, we illustrate how using a large number of individual noisy spectra progressively increases the \( S/N \) of the resulting combined
Figure 3. Model simulations of the detectability of the atmospheric spectral features of an Earth-like planet around a $T_{\text{eff}} \sim 2000$ K star. In all panels, the green solid line is the real transmission spectrum of the Earth. The black solid line is the average of (a) 20, (b) 100, (c) 500, and (d) 1000 individual ratio spectra. Each individual spectrum had an S/N of 1000 at 1.2 $\mu$m. As more and more spectra are combined, the planetary features become more evident as the noise is reduced. (A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Figure 4. Same as in Figure 3, but the resulting final co-added ratio spectra (black line) have been smoothed using a 10 point running mean. (A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

spectrum. In the figure, the combination of 20, 100, 500, and 1000 individual spectra are plotted in black. The same Earth transmission reference spectrum is plotted in all panels in green. The results are given for an Earth-like planet around a star with $T_{\text{eff}} \sim 2000$ K, where the initial simulated spectra have S/N at 1.2 $\mu$m of 1000. It is seen in Figures 3–5 that the major atmospheric features of the planetary atmosphere are discernible by combining around 100 individual pairs, and are well constrained with 500. The larger amplitude in the noise levels shorter than 0.9 $\mu$m and in the water band regions near 1.5 and 2.0 $\mu$m is due to absorption bands in the star’s atmosphere, which reduce the stellar flux, thus decreasing the S/N of the spectrum. The quality of the spectra shown in the bottom panels of Figure 4 are similar to those of the hot Jupiter transmission
and a 2 Earth’s radius planet is assumed.
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Figure 5. Co-added ratio spectra (black line) smoothed using a 10 point running mean as in Figure 4. Here, however, a $T_{\text{eff}} \sim 3100$ K star ($\sim$M4) is used as the parent, and a 2 Earth’s radius planet is assumed.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Table 1
Detectability of Several Spectral Bands of Water Vapor, Methane, Oxygen, and Carbon Dioxide in the Combined Ratio Spectrum of an Earth-like Planet Transiting Around an M Star

| Num. Spectra | $H_2O$ | $H_2O$ | $H_2O$ | $CH_4$ | $CH_4$ | $O_2$ | $O_2$ | $CO_2$ | $CO_2$ | $CO_2$ | $CO_2$ |
|-------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 20         |      |      |      |       |       |      |      |       |       |       |       |
| 100        |      |      |      |       |       |      |      |       |       |       |       |
| 500        |      |      |      |       |       |      |      |       |       |       |       |
| 1000       |      |      |      |       |       |      |      |       |       |       |       |
| 10000      |      |      |      |       |       |      |      |       |       |       |       |
| $T_{\text{eff}} \sim 2000$ K, $R_p = 1R_e$, $h = 40$ km |
| 20         |      |      |      |       |       |      |      |       |       |       |       |
| 100        |      |      |      |       |       |      |      |       |       |       |       |
| 500        |      |      |      |       |       |      |      |       |       |       |       |
| 1000       |      |      |      |       |       |      |      |       |       |       |       |
| 10000      |      |      |      |       |       |      |      |       |       |       |       |
| $T_{\text{eff}} \sim 2000$ K, $R_p = 1R_e$, $h = 100$ km |
| 20         |      |      |      |       |       |      |      |       |       |       |       |
| 100        |      |      |      |       |       |      |      |       |       |       |       |
| 500        |      |      |      |       |       |      |      |       |       |       |       |
| 1000       |      |      |      |       |       |      |      |       |       |       |       |
| 10000      |      |      |      |       |       |      |      |       |       |       |       |
| $T_{\text{eff}} \sim 2000$ K, $R_p = 2R_e$, $h = 100$ km |
| 20         |      |      |      |       |       |      |      |       |       |       |       |
| 100        |      |      |      |       |       |      |      |       |       |       |       |
| 500        |      |      |      |       |       |      |      |       |       |       |       |
| 1000       |      |      |      |       |       |      |      |       |       |       |       |
| 10000      |      |      |      |       |       |      |      |       |       |       |       |
| $T_{\text{eff}} \sim 3100$ K, $R_p = 1R_e$, $h = 100$ km |
| 20         |      |      |      |       |       |      |      |       |       |       |       |
| 100        |      |      |      |       |       |      |      |       |       |       |       |
| 500        |      |      |      |       |       |      |      |       |       |       |       |
| 1000       |      |      |      |       |       |      |      |       |       |       |       |
| 10000      |      |      |      |       |       |      |      |       |       |       |       |

Notes. Detectability sigma values are given for each band as a function of stellar type, planet radius, and thickness of the planetary atmosphere. Only values above the 2σ level are given. In this table the conclusions apply to a telescope in space, i.e., the influence of telluric absorption from the local atmosphere is not considered. The central wavelength of each band (in μm) is given below the molecule name.

spectra presently retrieved by HST or Spitzer (e.g., Swain et al. 2008; Tinetti et al. 2007).

Obviously, the S/N necessary to detect atmospheric features differs for each atmospheric species, depending on the strength of their respective absorption features. Spectral regions where the parent star is devoid of molecular bands are more favorable for the detection of planetary features. In Table 1, we summarize the detectability of each spectral feature, depending on stellar
spectral type, the planet’s atmospheric thickness, and the number of co-added spectra. In the table, the sigma confidence level is given for the major atmospheric features of H$_2$O, O$_2$, CO$_2$, and CH$_4$, following Pallé et al. (2009). Only confidence levels above 2$\sigma$ are given in the table.

For late-M and L stars, in order to discriminate the planetary spectra, it is necessary to achieve an S/N of 10$^4$ or larger in the combined spectrum (see Figure 3). Retrieval of planetary features below 0.8–0.9 $\mu$m is too challenging even for an extremely large telescope due to the reduced stellar flux at these wavelengths. An S/N of 10$^4$ across the whole spectrum would be necessary to extract the planetary spectrum. The stellar water features near 1.4 and 1.9 $\mu$m also make it difficult to detect any planetary features. Thus, the most favorable wavelength range for the planet characterization is the spectral window from 0.9 to 1.3 $\mu$m. Within this range, the water vapor features at 1.13 $\mu$m and the oxygen features at 1.06 and 1.26 $\mu$m are the easiest transitions to identify. Methane at 2.26 $\mu$m and carbon dioxide at 1.6 $\mu$m can also be identified but they require slightly larger S/N and therefore more integration time.

To put this result in context, we can take as an example the projected 42 m European Extremely Large Telescope (E-ELT). The typical object for this kind of characterization campaign would be a M star with magnitude in the Johnson’s V band of 12 or brighter. On the other hand, the integration time of the observations of a transiting planet has to be in the order of minutes, as the transit of a rocky planet around an M star only lasts for typically about 0.5–2 hr. Integration times at shorter timescales (seconds) would most probably be photon-starved. The online E-ELT Exposure Time Calculator (http://www.eso.org) predicts an S/N of about 1000 for an on-source integration time of 3 minutes, for single exposures of a star with $m_V = 12$ and $\Delta m = 1000$. Thus, about 500 spectra (roughly 25 hr of integration time) will need to be combined to achieve the final desired S/N necessary to detect enough spectral features (water vapor, oxygen, and methane) as to characterize the planet as inhabited (if Earth-like). Including both the in- and out-of-transit spectra makes a total of 50–100 hr of observations, including overheads. However, adding the uncertainty introduced by the telluric contribution always present in ground-based observations can increase the difficulty of the experiment (see the next section).

For mid- and early-type M stars the S/N necessary to retrieve the planetary spectrum rises to about 10$^5$ and requires longer integration times. In Table 2, we have computed the in-transit integration time required to observe the presence of water, water + oxygen, and water + oxygen + either methane or carbon dioxide for different stellar types and planet radii.

These results are valid for Earth twin planets, but planets exist in a large variety of sizes and evolutionary histories. In particular, we have already discovered several so-called super-Earths, rocky planets larger than the Earth but still theoretically capable of supporting life (Tarter et al. 2007). If we consider a planet with a $2R_e$, with a 40 km extended atmosphere, around a star with $T_{\text{eff}} \sim 2000$ K, the prospects for detection increase quickly. Making the necessary assumption that atmospheric composition would be the same as that of the Earth, we could detect with large confidence the simultaneous presence of H$_2$O, CH$_4$, O$_2$, and CO$_2$ in its atmosphere with about 20 spectra of S/N = 1000 at 1.2 $\mu$m. This would imply the detection of life in its atmosphere and could be accomplished in only a few hours of integration time.

### Table 2

| Star $T_{\text{eff}}$ | Planet Size | H$_2$O | H$_2$O + O$_2$ | H$_2$O + O$_2$ + (CH$_4$/CO$_2$) |
|----------------------|-------------|--------|----------------|-------------------------------|
|                      | Re          | 40     | 25             | 25                            |
| 2000 K               | Re          | 100    | 5              | 5                             |
| 2000 K               | 2$\times$Re | 40     | 5              | 5                             |
| 2000 K               | 2$\times$Re | 100    | 5              | 5                             |
| 3100 K               | Re          | 40     | 170            | 170                           |
| 3100 K               | Re          | 100    | 5              | 50                            |
| 3100 K               | 2$\times$Re | 100    | 5              | 50                            |
| 5800 K               | Re          | 100    | >500           | >500                          |
| 5800 K               | 2$\times$Re | 100    | >500           | >500                          |

**Notes.** The format of the table corresponds to the fact that in most cases water vapor is the most easily detectable feature, followed by oxygen and then carbon dioxide and methane. In other cases, the S/N necessary for the detection is reached simultaneously (note that here we consider only the timescales corresponding to the time needed to integrated 5, 20, 100, 500, 1000, and 10,000 individual spectra). A detection confidence level of 2$\sigma$ is set as the lower limit. The amount of time is expressed in hours, and the total necessary telescope time (not including overheads) would be twice this amount to acquire the necessary out-of-transit observations. Note that the times in the last column on the table refer to the detection of the triple fingerprint, equaling our best indication of the planet being inhabited.

#### 3.1. Ground-based Observations and Telluric Lines

The results given in Table 1, however, only hold true for space-based telescopes. One of the major challenges for ground-based telescopes will be to accurately account for the telluric spectral features of Earth’s atmosphere. Each individual spectrum will need to be “decontaminated” of the local atmospheric signatures to clearly retrieve the target’s spectrum.

To estimate the effect on feature detectability, we have included in our models the effects of telluric lines. Ideally, we should combine a high-resolution transmission spectrum of the Earth with a high-resolution telluric spectrum, the two spectra being Doppler-shifted from each other as dictated by the target drift velocity. Subsequently, the combined spectrum should be convolved to the spectral resolution and point-spread function (PSF) appropriate to the observing instrument (Bailey et al. 2007). However, this procedure is not feasible if we are to use the empirical Earth’s transmission spectrum measured by Pallé et al. (2009), which has a moderate spectral resolution and no Doppler shift. Here, we have decided to use for the telluric spectrum a modeled spectrum at the spectral resolution of the measurements. In order to accomplish this, we have convolved each of our individual spectra with a synthetic telluric spectrum before adding the noise. To simulate realistic conditions, the telluric spectra were different for the in- and out-of-transit spectra for each individual ratio. Under such an assumption, Equation (4) can be rewritten as

$$S_i = \frac{S_o}{S_o} \left( S_i - SI_{\text{eff}} \frac{A_i}{A_o} + S_i \frac{A_i}{A_o} T_p S_i \right) + N_i$$

where $S_i$ and $S_o$ are the in- and out-of-transit telluric spectra of the local atmosphere (on top of the telescope), respectively, and are equal to 1.0 at all $\lambda$s for a space-based telescope.

The telluric spectra were calculated line by line in the spectral direction by evaluating the optical thickness at the zenith.
Figure 6. Model simulations of the detectability of the atmospheric spectral features of a super-Earth-like planet around $T_{\text{eff}} \sim 2000$ K star, by co-adding 500 individual ratio spectra. Data have been smoothed using the same running mean as in Figure 4. The four subpanels correspond to the (a) full range of modeled wavelengths, and a zoom in on the region around the (b) O$_2$ at 1.26 $\mu$m, (c) CO$_2$ at 1.6 $\mu$m, and (d) CH$_4$ at 2.26 $\mu$m molecular absorption features. Over the full spectral range, only the strong features of the local telluric water vapor, unsuccessfully removed from the data, are visible. In the other three subpanels, the vertical scale indicates the spectral absorption anomalies over each displayed spectral range. The green line is the Earth’s transmission spectrum normalized to the vertical scale.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

(A. García-Muñoz et al. 2011, in preparation), using the latest version of HITRAN (Rothman et al. 2009). The model assumes the US1962 standard atmosphere for all of gas constituents but water. For the latter molecule, we randomly varied its abundance by a factor from 1/2 to 2 relative to the standard profile, although such variability range is probably exceedingly large (Garcia-Lorenzo et al. 2010). Over the course of a few hours the changes in atmospheric concentration of O$_2$, CH$_4$, and CO$_2$ are assumed to be negligible.

The major effect of adding the telluric spectra is that detecting H$_2$O vapor in the atmosphere of rocky planets is no longer possible. The telluric variability on Earth completely prevents our model from reaching sufficient S/N even with thousands of spectra. Nevertheless, the rest of the atmospheric constituents are still detectable with the same integration times given in Tables 1 and 2. This is because the molecular transitions of these features occur far from those of water vapor. In Figure 6, we plot the results of co-adding 500 ratio spectra observed through Earth’s atmosphere that we have defined. The figure zooms on three different spectral features occurring far from any water vapor transitions.

Hopefully, Earth’s atmosphere, however, will not remain an insurmountable impediment for long. Although it was always considered technically too challenging, the evaluation and careful removal of systematic errors have pushed detectability limits, and ground-based characterization at near-infrared wavelengths is already a reality (Sing & López-Morales 2009; Swain et al. 2010). In fact, there are several data analysis strategies that when applied can push the results of ground-based observatories toward those in Table 1. In particular, each individual spectral observation can be a priori decontaminated using synthetic models, or when the instrumental configuration allows for it, using calibration stars observed simultaneously. Moreover, because of their different nature, there are some spectral features that appear in Earth’s globally averaged transmission spectrum but not in the telluric absorption spectrum on top of the telescope. For example, the absorption bands of atmospheric dimers (O$_2$--O$_2$ and O$_3$--N$_2$; Smith & Newnham 2000) are weak features in the local atmosphere telluric spectrum because the path of light through the atmosphere is short (Pallé et al. 2009). These spectral features, in combination with standard calibration techniques, can be used to improve the data analysis and make sure that the final retrieved spectrum is clear of telluric contamination.

Finally, we must note that we are conducting our simulations at a spectral resolution of $R \sim 1000$. Thus, we cannot take advantage of the Doppler displacement of the star + planet absorption features to separate them from the telluric features. Future highly stable high-resolution spectrographs mounted on large aperture telescopes will surely take advantage of this phenomenon (e.g., Snellen et al. 2010) to improve the detectability limits set up in Tables 1 and 2. Resolving powers of the order of 60,000 are enough to distinguish Doppler shifts of a few km s$^{-1}$.

4. CONCLUSIONS

In the very near future, the characterization of extrasolar planet atmospheres through transit spectroscopy will enter the domain of super-Earth and Earth-like planets. The goal will be to detect atmospheric molecules such as O$_3$, O$_2$, H$_2$O, CO$_2$, and CH$_4$ that, if seen in combination, will not only provide information about the composition and evolution of the planet, but also hint at the presence of life. Here, we have used a semi-empirical approach to explore the feasibility of such a characterization, with current and planned telescopes facilities.
We have shown how transmission spectroscopy during primary transits is a much more favorable approach (at least in the visible and near-IR ranges) for exoplanet characterization than secondary transit (except for very close-in, “hot,” uninhabitable, rocky planets).

Our simulations using an empirical terrestrial transmission spectrum, and observed stellar spectra for a variety of stellar types, indicate that the new generation of extremely large telescopes, such as the proposed 42 m E-ELT, could be capable of retrieving the transmission spectrum of an Earth-like planet around stars with $T_{\text{eff}} \lesssim \sim 3100$ K. For G-type stars, however, the required S/N will still be beyond technical reach.

For a twin of Earth around an M4 star ($T_{\text{eff}} \sim 3100$ K), for example, the spectral features of H$_2$O, CH$_4$, CO$_2$, and O$_3$ in the wavelength range between 0.9 and 2.4 $\mu$m can simultaneously be detected within 100 hr of observing time. The detection time can be reduced to a few hours for stars with $T_{\text{eff}} \lesssim \sim 2000$ K or for a super-Earth planet with twice Earth’s radius. This would constitute proof for the existence of life on that planet.

The major remaining issue toward characterization will be the effects of Earth’s atmosphere, as large aperture ELT telescopes will surely be ground-based. Our simulations indicate that including the telluric spectrum of the atmosphere into the models prevents reaching sufficient S/N in all wavelength ranges where water transitions are present, water vapor being the major atmospheric variable. Nevertheless, in wavelength ranges where water vapor variability is not present, the detection of O$_2$, CH$_4$, and CO$_2$ is still possible.

Research by E. Pallé was supported by a “Ramon y Cajal” fellowship. Partial financial support for M. R. Zapatero Osorio comes from Spanish project 200950I010.
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