The Novel DPP-BDT Nanoparticles as Efficient Photoacoustic Imaging and Positron Emission Tomography Agents in Living Mice

Background: Molecular imaging is of great benefit to early disease diagnosis and timely treatment. One of the most striking innovations is the development of multimodal molecular imaging technology, which integrates two or more imaging modalities, largely in view of making the best of the advantages of each modality while overcoming their respective shortcomings. Hence, engineering a versatile and easily prepared nanomaterial with integrating multimodal molecular imaging function holds great promise, but is still a great challenge.

Materials and Methods: We firstly designed and synthesized a BDT-DPP conjugated polymer and then noncovalent self-assembly with phospholipid-polyethylene glycol endowed BDT-DPP with water solubility and biocompatibility. Followed by [Cu] labeling, the acquired multifunctional nanoparticles (NPs) were studied in detail for the photophysical property. The cytotoxicity and biocompatibility of DPP-BDT NPs were examined through MTT assay and H&E stained analysis. In addition, we investigated the accumulation of the NPs in HepG2 tumor models by positron emission tomography (PET) and photoacoustic (PA) dual-mode imaging.

Results and Discussion: The DPP-BDT NPs exhibited excellent optical stability, strong near-infrared (NIR) light absorption as well as fine biocompatibility. After tail vein injection into the living mice, the PA signals in the neoplastic tissues were gradually increased and reached to the maximum at the 4-h post-injection, which was consistent with the PET analysis. Such strong PA and PET signals were attributed to the efficient NPs accumulation resulting from the enhanced permeability and retention (EPR) effect.

Conclusion: The biocompatible DPP-BDT NPs demonstrated to be strong NIR absorption property and PAI sensitivity. Besides, these novel DPP-BDT NPs can act not only as a PA imaging contrast agent but also as an imaging agent for PET.
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Introduction
Precise diagnosis of cancer is the key to modern clinical cancer treatment.\textsuperscript{1-3} The location and size of the tumor and its spreading situation are mainly known through anatomical imaging, such as positron emission tomography (PET), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), computed tomography (CT), optical imaging (OI) and photoacoustic imaging (PAI) technologies, which help us further acquire molecular and physiological information of the tumors.\textsuperscript{4-6} PET possesses great superiority for diagnosing, identifying and visualizing the stages of numerous kinds of tumours.
and it is also the imaging technology to display the in vivo biodistribution of the contrast agents and the physiopathological information owing to its unique advantages for whole-body imaging. However, in consideration of the stochastic annihilation events and the tissue scattering of the gamma rays, PET is not convenient for imaging tissues at a high spatial resolution. Usually, complementation of dual or more kind of imaging modalities provides more accurate and precise information of cancer. Among various biomedical imaging techniques, PAI is an emerging hybrid and potent imaging technique, which is noninvasive with fine spatial resolution and strong optical absorption contrast of biological structures than other commonly used methods. PAI overcomes the depth limits of conventional fluorescence and bioluminescence imaging techniques, providing effective and molecular information of the tumorous tissue with deep penetration up to ~7 mm. Thus far, PAI has been widely adopted in disease tissue detecting applications, such as inflammatory arthritis, evaluations of hepatic function, tumor diagnosis and imaging-guided cancer treatment.

The emergence of nanotechnology has opened a new vision for biomedical research. Currently, numerous near-infrared (NIR) absorption nanomaterials have been served as PAI contrast agents. Some inorganic nanomaterials such as rare-earth-doped materials, Ag$_2$S quantum dots, carbon nanotubes and gold nanoparticles (NPs) have shown fine superiority and performance for in vivo PAI. However, these inorganic nanomaterials always suffer from the long-term toxicity concerns, thus largely restricting their further applications. In addition, some conventional small molecular organic dyes like methylene blue (MB) and indocyanine green (ICG), with effective NIR-absorptive properties possess attractive capabilities for PAI. Despite the superiority for in vivo PAI, the inherent optical instability, expensive cost and difficult modification of these small molecular dyes obviously hinder their further developments. By contrast, conjugated polymer NPs gradually become the ideal candidate for PAI, mainly because of their high π-π delocalized backbone, thus resulting in the strong NIR-absorption and excellent optical stability. For example, some conjugated polymers such as Ppy and PEDOT: PSS have been greatly developed in the field of NIR imaging and phototherapy on account of the numerous superiorities containing splendid photothermal conversion efficiency, high molar extinction coefficient, fine physiological environment stability and ease of preparation. Compared with the FDA-approved ICG, they have higher photostability, which could be hopeful to become a substitute of ICG and used in PAI for imaging-guided therapy and clinical translation.

In this work, we designed and prepared an effective versatile nanoplatform based on conjugated polymer to realize in vivo PAI and PET dual-mode tumor imaging. The conjugated polymer DPP-BDT was successfully designed and conducted with the alkyl chain modified diketopyrrolopyrrole (DPP) and benzodithiophene (BDT) units through Stille coupling polymerization. The amphiphilic molecules DSPE-mPEG5000 was employed to encapsulate the as-prepared polymer via self-assembly process. To endow the NPs with PET imaging property, the radionuclide $^{60}$Cu was further labelled to the NPs, affording the final DPP-BDT NPs. The physical properties of the DPP-BDT NPs were studied in detail. In addition, we systematically investigated the DPP-BDT NPs in HepG2 tumors via both PET and PA imaging. After systemic injection of the tumors using DPP-BDT NPs, the neoplastic regions were greatly lighted up, accompanied by the strong PA signals and PET imaging contrast. All in all, the DPP-BDT NPs possessed intrinsic advantages, such as higher biocompatibility, higher photosensitivity and easier preparation. Given its prominent property, DPP-BDT NPs exhibited great promise for further biological applications.

Materials and Methods

Materials

2.5-dioctyl-3,6-bis(5-(trimethylstannyl)thiophen-2-yl)-2,5-Dihydropyrrolo[3,4-c]pyrrole-1,4-dione (DPP, 98%) and 2.6-dibromo-4,8-bis(4,5-dioctylthiophen-2-yl) benzo[1,2-b:4,5-b′]dithiophene (BDT, 98%) were bought from Beijing HWRK Chemical Co., Ltd (Beijing, China). N, N-Dimethylformamide (DMF) and dichloromethane (DCM) were dried and distilled under a nitrogen atmosphere before use. DSPE-PEG5000, DSPE-mPEG5000-NH$_2$, dimethylthiazolyl-diphenyltetrazolium (MTT), agarose, and tetraazacyclododecane-1,4,7,10-tetraacetic acid (DOTA) were obtained from Sigma Aldrich (Shanghai, China). Unless otherwise stated, all starting materials and organic solvents were purchased from commercial suppliers and used without further purification. HepG2 cells and NIH-3T3 cells were purchased from the Shanghai Laboratory Animal Center, Chinese Academy of Sciences (SLACCAS). Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) was obtained from Gene Tech Co. (Shanghai, China) $^{60}$Cu was produced with an onsite cyclotron (GE PETTrace) in Stanford University, and used for the
radiosynthesis with the chemical form of $^{6}\text{CuCl}_2$ (radiochemical purity was 95%). Deionized (DI) water was used for all experimental procedures.

**Synthesis of Conjugated Polymer DPP-BDT**

The DPP (19.87 mg, 0.025 mmol), compound BDT (24.02 mg, 0.025 mmol) and Pd (PPh$_3$)$_4$ (6 mg) were dissolved in 4 mL of anhydrous toluene and transferred into a Schlenk tube (20 mL) under nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction was placed at 110°C and stirred for 24 h. After the Stille-coupling reaction, the solvent of the system was removed via decompression. Then, the obtained black crude product was repeatedly extracted using the mixing liquid of deionized water and chloroform. Afterword, the collected concentrated organic phase was further precipitated in cold methanol for three times. Finally, the generated black solid was dried in a vacuum drying chamber to afford product conjugated polymer DPP-BDT.

**Preparation of DPP-BDT NPs**

The DPP-BDT NPs were prepared through a nanoprecipitation approach. Shortly, the conjugated polymer DPP-BDT (2.0 mg), DSPE-mPEG5000 (18 mg) and DSPE-mPEG5000-NH-NOTA (2 mg) were dispersed in 2 mL of THF. Then, the mixing liquid was quickly dropped into a mixture of THF (2 mL) and deionized water (18 mL) under uninterrupted sonication for 2 min. The remanent organic solvent was swept away by nitrogen blowing upon the system surface under stirring at 45°C. Finally, the concentrated solution was re-dispersed in phosphate buffer solution (PBS) to afford DPP-BDT NPs. The NPs were stored in a refrigerator for further in vitro characterizations and in vivo experiments.

**Preparation of Radioactive $^{6}\text{Cu}$ Labeled DPP-BDT NPs**

To prepare radiometal $^{6}\text{Cu}$-labeled DPP-BDT NPs, DOTA was conjugated on the DPP-BDT NPs surface according to the reference procedure. Typically, DOTA was activated by EDC and NHS at pH 5.5 for 30 min with a molar ratio of DOTA/EDC/NHS = 10:5:4. The activated DOTA was then added into 200 μL amine-based DPP-BDT NPs and incubated at a pH of 8.5. The mixture was then incubated at 40°C for 1 h, and the radiolabeled DPP-BDT NPs were applied to a size-exclusion column (PD-10 column) and eluted with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) as the mobile phase. The final product was washed out by PBS and passed through a 0.22-im Millipore filter into a sterile vial for in vivo PET. Aliquots of the mixture were removed from the solution at predetermined time intervals and filtered through 30 kDa cutoff filters and the radioactivity of filtrates was then measured. The $^{6}\text{Cu}$-labeled DPP-BDT NPs were incubated in buffer and run ITLC to analyze $^{6}\text{Cu}$ dissocation. Samples were assayed in triplicate. Those results showed that $^{6}\text{Cu}$ was effectively connected to DPP-BDT NPs, and the radiochemical yield was 33.6 ± 5.9%. The serum stability test of $^{64}\text{Cu}$-labeled DPP-BDT NPs was described in the section of experiments in supplementary file. The nuclide labeled[4Cu]-DPP-BDT NPs were only used in the experiment of in vivo mice PET imaging, and for in vitro and in vivo PAI and other experiments DPP-BDT NPs were used.

**Characterization of DPP-BDT NPs**

Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra were recorded on a Bruker Ultra Shield Plus 400 MHz spectrometer (1H, 400 MHz; Bruker Electronics, Billerica, MA, USA) using tetramethylsilane as the internal standard. UV-vis-NIR spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu UV–3600 UV-vis-NIR spectrophotometer (Tokyo, Japan). All UV tests were conducted at room temperature. Gel permeation chromatography (GPC) analysis of the neutral polymers was carried out on Shim-pack GP–80 X columns with THF as the eluent and polystyrene as the standard at a flow rate of 1.0 mL min⁻¹. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images were acquired on a HT7700 transmission electron microscope operating at an acceleration voltage of 100 kV. Solutions were dropped onto Formvar-graphite-coated copper grids (300 mesh; Electron Microscopy Science, Hatfield, PA, USA) and air-dried for TEM imaging. Dynamic light scattering (DLS) experiments were carried out by using ALV/CSG −3 laser light scattering spectrometers at a scattering angle of 90°. A CONTIN analysis was used to extract the Rh data. The methyl thiazolyl tetrazolium (MTT) assay was conducted using a Power Wave XS/XS2 microplate spectrophotometer (BioTek, Winooski, VT, USA). PA spectra and PA/US coregistered images were acquired with a LAZR instrument (Visual Sonic Vevo 2100 LAZR Imaging System). PET imaging was performed using Inveon micro PET scanner (Siemens Medical Solutions).

**Cell Culture**

HepG2 cells and NIH3T3 cells were incubated in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) supplemented with 1% antibiotics (penicillin streptomycin) and 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS). All cells were incubated in a humidified...
incubator with 5% CO₂, and the temperature maintained at 37°C, and the medium exchange was operated every 1–2 days. HepG2 and NIH3T3 Cells were in the logarithmic phase of growth in all experiments.

Cytotoxicity Assay

NIH3T3 cells were used for the cytotoxicity experiment of DPP-BDT NPs. The cells were incubated in DMEM medium at 37°C in CO₂ atmosphere for 24 h. Cells were then cocultured with DPP-BDT NPs at different concentrations (0.01, 0.1, 1, 10, and 100 μg/mL). Next, on the basis of vendor’s protocol, added 20 μL the standard 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazoliumbromide (MTT) into the 96-well plates were incubated for 4 h, removed the mediums containing MTT and replaced with dimethyl sulphoxide (DMSO, 100 μL). The absorbance of each well was tested by a microplate reader (Infinite M1000, TECAN, USA). A negative control group was tested with a definite volume of saline mixed with culture medium. Cell viability was expressed as the ratio of the absorbance of the cells incubated with DPP-BDT NPs to that of the without DPP-BDT NPs treated cells.

Animals and Tumor Model

A clear statement of ethical approval for involving animals in the study along with the full name of the ethics committee has been provided in the revised manuscript. This study was performed in strict accordance with the NIH guidelines for the care and use of laboratory animals (NIH Publication No. 85–23 Rev. 1985) and was approved by the Institutional Animal Use and Care Committee of Shan Xi Medical University (Approval No. 2016LL141, Taiyuan, China).

In vivo studies, 5–7 weeks, 18 ± 2 g, athymic female nude mice were purchased from the Beijing Vital River Laboratory Animal Technology Co. Ltd. And housed in sterile cages with enough food and water, and had the constant temperatures 26°C. HepG2 tumor model was used for in vivo tumor PA and histology analysis were described in the section of experiments in supplementary file.

After 30 days of post-injection, all mice were conducted euthanasia and collected with major organs including lung, spleen, liver, kidney and tumor tissues. And major organs were embedded in optimal cutting temperature (Tissue-Tek® O.C.T.) compound and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, and sliced into 10-μm-thick, stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E), examined by a digital microscope. The blood hematology and biochemistry analysis were described in the section of experiments in supplementary file.

Histology Analysis

Small-Animal PET

The small animal PET imaging of tumor-bearing mice was performed at 2, 4 and 24 h post-injection on a Siemens Inveon micro PET/CT. Each mouse was injected with 68Cu radionuclide (70.0 ± 5.0 MBq) labeled DPP-BDT NPs through the tail vein (n=3). PET scanning was conducted at 2, 4 and 24 h post-injection (pi). Mouse was anesthetized with isoflurane (5% for induction and 2% for maintenance in 100% O2). Under a laser beam indication of the scanner, mouse was placed in the prone position and near the center of the field of view (FOV) for 5 min static scans. The final whole-body PET images were acquired by the 3D reconstruction, using Irw4.0 software by two-dimensional ordered-subsets expectation maximization (OSEM) algorithm. Noted that, no attenuation correction was performed. The PET quantitative analysis of the tumor and normal organs was calculated by region of interest drawn, and the intensity was defined as a percentage of the injected radioactive dose per gram of tissue (%ID/g).

Histology Analysis

After 30 days of post-injection, all mice were conducted euthanasia and collected with major organs including lung, spleen, liver, kidney and tumor tissues. And major organs were embedded in optimal cutting temperature (Tissue-Tek® O.C.T.) compound and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, and sliced into 10-μm-thick, stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E), examined by a digital microscope. The blood hematology and biochemistry analysis were described in the section of experiments in supplementary file.
Statistical Analysis
The SPSS 12.0 software was used to analyse the experimental data. PA signal intensities were measured by region of interest (ROI) analysis using OsirIX. Measurement data are expressed as the mean ± standard deviations (SD). A Student’s t-test was applied to identify significant differences in cell viability assays and one-way or two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was conducted in multiple group comparison. The value of $p < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

Results and Discussion
Properties and Characterization of DPP-BDT NPs
To prepare DPP-BDT NPs, we first synthesized the organic conjugated polymer DPP-BDT via Stille coupling polymerization according to previous studies, and its structure was shown in Figure 1A. The polymers possessed a conjugated framework based on the DPP and benzodithiophene BDT units, in which octyl segments were introduced to the DPP and BDT unit, respectively. The DPP unit appeared strongly electron withdrawing and highly absorbing in the visible region, while the BDT possessed planar conjugation, good region regularity and easy modification, which had been served as the most promising donor-acceptor units for conjugated polymers. With the featured planar and large molecular structure to facilitate conjugated π–π stacking and charge transfer, BDT and DPP had been acted as electron donor-acceptor polymers. The curve of gel permeation chromatography (GPC) depicted in Figure 1B, the elution time of the DPP-BDT appeared unimodal distribution, and the number-average ($M_n$) was 29,000 with a comparatively narrow polydispersity index approximately 1.73, by GPC measurement. The resulting conjugated polymer was further characterized by 1H NMR spectra (Figure S1). Those results confirmed that the DPP-BDT was successfully synthesized via a Stille-coupling reaction. The typical amphiphilic molecules DSPE-mPEG5000 were used to encapsulate the DPP-BDT. Upon directly dispersed in aqueous solution, the polymer and amphiphilic molecules rapidly formed into NPs via noncovalent hydrophilic-hydrophobic interaction with hydrophilic parts of amphiphilic molecules as the external shell and hydrophobic DPP-BDT moieties as the internal core. As a result, the DPP-BDT micelles NPs were then constituted by self-assembly process. In order to endow the NPs with the performance of positron emission tomography (PET) imaging, some amount of DSPE-mPEG5000 with macrocyclic chelator NOTA at the terminus can be adopted for radiometal$^{64}$Cu labeling, mainly because NOTA chelator offers efficient chelation with radiometal$^{64}$Cu. As shown in Supporting Figure S2 serum stability studies of$^{64}$, u-labeled DPP-BDT NPs were subsequently conducted to validate the stability of$^{64}$u labeling in vitro and the feasibility for in vivo applications. After incubating with mouse serum at 37 °C for 40 h, above 95%$^{64}$Cu still remained intact on DPP-BDT NPs at all the tested time points. Since PET imaging detected isotope rather than nanoparticles per se, high radio-stability in serum made$^{64}$Cu-labeled PPB-BDT NPs preferable for in vivo imaging and truly reflected the distribution of nanoparticle.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) imaging manifested the spherical morphology of DPP-BDT NPs with fine homogeneity and monodispersity with the similar average particle diameter of around 31.3 ± 2.8 nm (Figure 1D). Moreover, the as-prepared NPs prepared exhibited excellent water-solubility with dark green color, and the hydrodynamic sizes of DPP-BDT NPs were further measured by dynamic light scattering (DLS). The DLS results illustrated that the hydrodynamic radius (Rh) of DPP-BDT NPs was 32 ± 2.9 nm (Figure 1E). The DPP-BDT NPs had remarkable colloidal stability, and no DPP-BDT molecule leaching out of the NPs, which was evidenced by the unaltered size after being incubated in bovine serum PBS buffer at 37°C for 48 h (Figure S3). Such a good colloidal stability of DPP-BDT NPs can be ascribed to the strong π–π and hydrophobic interactions among planar DPP-BDT molecules in the NPs core and the high-density amphiphilic molecules in the NPs surface.

To further evaluate the DPP-BDT NPs for PAI, we firstly investigated the photophysical capacities of the NPs. As described in Figure 1C, the conjugated polymer NPs possessed a double-peak absorption in the NIR region ranging from 650 to 800 nm. The NPs had the optical absorption of longer wavelength mainly because the DPP electron-deficient element and BDT electron-rich element give rise to the powerful intramolecular charge transfer (ICT) effect. Besides, the short wavelength absorption of the NPs resulted from the π–π* transition of the semiconducting polymer framework. Importantly, after 60 min of sustaining laser exposure (Figure S5), PPD-BDT NPs were found to be greatly photostability (only 5% reduced absorption). In contrast, the FDA-approved indocyanine green (ICG) dye obviously reduced optical absorption (above 80%) after laser exposure as displayed in Figure S4. Thus, the as-prepared DPP-BDT NPs possessed excellent optical stabilities for biological imaging.
The PA potencies of DPP-BDT NPs were researched in extracorporal experiment. We first studied the PA spectrum of DPP-BDT NPs to acquire the optimal excitation wavelength for subsequent in vitro and in vivo PAI. From the PA spectrum (Figure 1F), it was clear that the maximum PA signal of DPP-BDT NPs was at 680 nm, which was roughly accorded with its optical absorption spectrum. Hence, 680 nm was selected as the excitation laser wavelength in our system to obtain optimal PA signals. We further evaluated the PA amplitudes of DPP-BDT NPs at 680 nm with different NPs concentrations in aqueous solution. Figure 1G demonstrates the DPP-BDT NPs possessed a bright PA signal contrast and the PA signals of the NPs were linearly increased with the incremental concentration from 1 to 80 μg/mL (Figure 1H). Hence, the fine photostability, strong NIR absorption property as well as efficient PA contrast effect of the PPD-BDT NPs made it a promising candidate for in vivo PAI.

The Vitro Cytotoxicity Assay

The cytotoxicity of DPP-BDT NPs to NIH3T3 cells was assessed by MTT assay (Figure 2A). The cellular activity test indicated that DPP-BDT NPs were not obviously cytotoxic to NIH3T3 cells. DPP-BDT NPs were an excellent biocompatible nanomaterial, and more than 96% of the NIH3T3 cells remained alive despite being exposed in DPP-BDT NPs (0.50 mg mL⁻¹) for 24 h. This result suggested that DPP-BDT NPs were favorable for a variety of biomedical applications.
In vivo PAI of DPP-BDT NPs

Inspire by the strong PA contrast potency of DPP-BDT NPs in vitro, the in vivo PAI was further conducted. We studied the tumor accumulation performance of the DPP-BDT NPs in HepG2 tumor mice. The HepG2 tumor models were performed through implanting HepG2 cells in the nude mice. After tail vein injection of DPP-BDT NPs, the PA and ultrasound (US) images were recorded for investigating the PAI sensitivity of DPP-BDT NPs. As exhibited in Figure 2B, at pre-injection of the NPs, the very weak PA signal of tumorous region was detected mainly because of the inherent NIR optical absorption of hemoglobin.\(^\text{35}\) The PAI signals at 0 h can as the control animal without NPs injection. After injection DPP-BDT NPs into mice, the PA signals in the neoplastic tissues gradually increased and reached the peak at 4h-postinjection. Such strong PAI signal observed was attributed to the efficient NPs accumulation resulting from the enhanced permeability and retention (EPR) effect of tumor tissue. At 24 h post-injection, the PA signals a little decreased while appearing in the whole tumor region, indicating the DPP-BDT NPs had successfully penetrated to inner region of the tumor.

To visually probe the accumulation of DPP-BDT NPs in living mice, PAI was further used to evaluate the biodistribution of DPP-BDT NPs in vivo over time. HepG2 neoplastic mice were performed euthanasia at 24 h post-injection. The major organs including spleen, liver, heart, intestine, kidney, lung, muscle and tumor tissue were collected and conducted ex vivo PAI (Figure 2C and D).

At 24 h post-injection, we found that the strongest PA signals concentrated in the liver, spleen, and followed by the tumor and kidney, the rest of the organs had shown fewer signals. It was mainly ascribed to the reticuloendothelial system as well as the enhanced penetration and retention effect of tumor.

![Figure 2](image_url)

**Figure 2** (A) The cell viability of the different concentration of DPP-BDT NPs. (B) PA images, ultrasound (US) images, and overlaid PA (red) and US images (grey) of tumor before and after tail vein injection of 200 μL of DPP-BDT NPs in living mice (excitation wavelength = 680 nm for PAI). (C) The histogram between PA intensity and different organs after the mice are sacrificed. (D) The PA intensity of different organs; the size of red areas represent the PA intensity.
In vivo PET Imaging by $^6$Cu-DPP-BDT NPs

We further investigated the imaging properties of $^6$Cu-DPP-BDT NPs. Herein, the biodistribution and tumor accumulation efficiency of the $^6$Cu-DPP-BDT NPs in the HepG2 tumor model was demonstrated by dual model imaging of PET and PA. PET imaging can provide whole body scanning of mice to indicate the tumor and quantify the accumulation of $^6$Cu-DPP-BDT NPs in the tumor. For PET imaging, HepG2-tumor-bearing mice were intravenously injected with the $^6$Cu labeled DPP-BDT NPs (Figure 3A). The tumor and the major organ uptake of the $^6$Cu-DPP-BDT NPs were calculated by ROI analysis (Figure 3B). A representative PET imaging showed the ROI settings and the distribution of the nanoparticles in Figure S5. The $^6$Cu radioactivity in the tumors increased after injection of $^6$Cu-DPP-BDT NPs and reached to the maximum at the 4 h post-injection, and then decreased over time. Quantification analysis revealed that the tumor uptake values of $^6$Cu were $4.67 \pm 0.59$, $6.25 \pm 0.47$, and $5.81 \pm 0.67$% ID/g at 2, 4, and 24 h, respectively (Figure 3B). In addition to the tumor, abundant $^6$Cu radioactivity was detected in the livers ($9.19 \pm 2.3$% ID g$^{-1}$), spleens ($5.79 \pm 3.5$% ID g$^{-1}$) and kidneys ($5.07 \pm 1.9$% ID g$^{-1}$) at 24 h post-injection, while there was little in the other major organs, indicating the uptake of $^6$Cu-DPP-BDT NPs in mainly through tumor, kidneys and reticuloendothelial system. Since PET imaging showed different slices, the slice selected in Figure 3 did not include the kidney, so the signal of the kidney cannot be detected. In the supplementary data (Supporting Figure S6), we selected the layer that contained the kidneys, which showed the kidney signals. These results showed that DPP-BDT NPs as the active platform to load $^6$Cu can efficiently combine the DPP-BDT’s intrinsic photoacoustic properties with radioactive properties together for dual-modality imaging.

PET imaging provides high sensitivity and quantitative tracking of radiotracers, but lacks resolving morphology. PA imaging with deep tissue penetration and high spatial resolution was excellent complementary imaging techniques for PET. Due to the limit penetration of laser, the PA imaging usually monitors probe distribution in a specific organ, likes the tumor, liver, or kidney. We can analyze the DPP-BDT NPs distribution inside of the tumor with PA imaging for more regional details and with PET imaging for more whole-body information. But it is hard to register PA images to PET images for colocalization analysis like scientists rarely merge ultrasound imaging to CT imaging for colocalization. Overall, PET and PAI can serve as an excellent synergistic imaging.

In vivo Cytotoxicity Assay

The in vivo cytotoxicity of DPP-BDT NPs in mice was further examined through hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining after 14 d treatment during the study period. Following tail vein injection of DPP-BDT NPs, H&E staining images of major normal organs did not show any significant damage or inflammation to the heart, liver, spleen, lungs, and kidney in mice from different animal groups (Figure 4). Compared to the control group, the blood chemical and biochemical indicators of all treated mice were almost no changes, suggesting the high biosafety of the DPP-BDT NPs (Supporting Figure S7). The above results suggested that DPP-BDT NPs were an ideal agent with low toxicity for PAI/PET imaging-guided cancer therapy.

Conclusion

In summary, we successfully designed and prepared an organic DPP-BDT NPs, with good biocompatibility and excellent optical stability, for photoacoustics (PA) and

Figure 3  In vivo study of PET of $^6$Cu-labeled DPP-BDT NPs. (A) Representative decay-corrected coronal (top) and transaxial (bottom) small-animal PET images (left) and overlaid CT (grey) and PET (color) images (right) of HepG2 tumor (region enveloped by white dotted line) acquired at 2, 4, and 24 h after tail vein injection of $^6$Cu-radiolabeled (70.0 ± 5.0 MBq) DPP-BDT NPs. (B) The biodistribution of $^6$Cu-radiolabeled DPP-BDT NPs in mice ($n = 3$) at 2, 4, and 24 h after tail vein injection. The radioactive signal from each organ was calculated using a region of interest drawn over the whole organ region.
positron emission tomography (PET) imaging in living mice. We systematically investigated the biodistribution and accumulation of the DPP-BDT NPs in HepG2 tumor models after intravenous injection by PA and PET imaging. The results revealed that the strongest PA signals in the liver, and next spleen, and followed by tumors and kidney, the rest of the organs had shown fewer signals, which was consistent with the PET analysis. At last, H&E stained images proved the NPs are an ideal HepG2 tumor nanoagent with low cytotoxicity for PA/PET application.
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