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**Abstract**

**Background:** The emergence and spread of metallo-beta-lactamase (MBL)-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* are growing global public health concerns. One of the most common mechanisms of carbapenem resistance is the production of MBLs, including Verona integron-encoded metallo-beta-lactamase (VIM), New Delhi metallo-beta-lactamase (NDM) and imipenemase (IMP).

**Objectives:** This study aimed to investigate MBLs production among *K. pneumoniae* isolates.

**Methods:** In this study, 240 *K. pneumoniae* isolates were collected from clinical samples in three clinical centers of Isfahan, Iran, during February 2017 and November 2018. All isolates were identified using biochemical, microbiological, and molecular methods, and then antimicrobial susceptibility tests were performed to find MBL-producing isolates via phenotypic and genotypic detection methods. Moreover, the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of antibiotics against MBL-positive strains was determined by E-test. Eventually, the clonal relatedness of the MBL-positive strains was analyzed using both multilocus sequence typing (MLST) and rep-PCR.

**Results:** Overall, 33.7% (81/240) of the isolates were resistant to carbapenems, among which 25 (30.8%) were considered MBL-positive. Among 81 strains resistant to carbapenems, genes encoding *FimH*, *rmpA*, and *mrkD* were detected in 87.6% (71/81), 11.1% (9/81), and 67.9% (55/81) of the isolates, respectively. Besides, *TEM* and *SHV* as antibiotic resistance genes were detected in 49.3% (40/81) and 80.2% (65/81) of the isolates. But, *magA* was not detected in any of the tested isolates. The PCR results revealed that *bla*\textsubscript{VIM-1} was the most prevalent gene (13.6%; 11/81), while both *bla*\textsubscript{IMP-1} and *bla*\textsubscript{NDM-1} were only detected in two isolates. Multilocus sequence typing demonstrated that 15 MBL producers belonged to three sequence types (ST): 11 to ST23, two to ST1147, and two to ST15. Finally, rep-PCR typing showed similar fingerprints with MLST, except for ST23, such that ST23 was discriminated in two clonal groups, suggesting the greater discriminatory power of rep-PCR.

**Conclusions:** Here, we reported the emergence of MBL-producing *K. pneumoniae* in clinical centers of Isfahan, Iran. The findings are alarming and represent the urgent need for the application of infection control programs.
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1. **Background**

*Klebsiella pneumoniae* is a major nosocomial pathogen that causes difficult-to-treat infections worldwide and leads to several hospital-acquired and community-acquired infections, including urinary tract infection, skin and soft tissue infection, wound and bloodstream infection, meningitis, and ventilator-associated pneumonia in healthcare settings (1). Many virulence factors can result in various diseases by overcoming the immune system. Some of the virulence factors of *K. pneumoniae* include capsular polysaccharides, lipopolysaccharide, and fimbrial adhesins. According to the resistance of pathogenic bacteria to different antibiotics leading to defective treatment, finding treatment options has turned into a challenge in the world (2).

The virulence potential of *Klebsiella* can be intensified through multidrug resistance of *K. pneumoniae* against antibiotics used for the treatment of bacterial infections. Beta-lactam antibiotics are usually used for this purpose, and the production of B-lactamase enzymes results in bacterial resistance (3). Healthcare-associated infections with extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL)-producing...
**K. pneumoniae** have become common globally and are associated with increased morbidity and mortality, as well as higher hospitalization (4). Carbapenems are the main antimicrobial agents of choice for treating infections caused by extended-spectrum beta-lactamases (ESBLs) and Amp C-producing Gram-negative bacteria (5).

The main mechanism associated with carbapenem resistance is majorly attributed to the production of beta-lactamases (6). Currently, carbapenem resistance due to metallo-beta-lactamases (MBLs) production is increasingly reported among clinical isolates worldwide. The most common MBLs in clinical isolates include imipenemase (IMP), Verona integron-encoded metallo-lactamase (VIM), and New Delhi beta-lactamase (NDM) encoded by carbapenem-resistance genes blaIMP, blaVIM, and blaNDM, respectively (7). These enzymes use Zn (II) as a vital cofactor for the cleavage of the beta-lactam ring and inactive these antimicrobials. They have a wide spectrum of the substrate and can hydrolyze all bicyclic beta-lactam antibacterial agents, including cephalosporins, penicillins, and carbapenems.

Although MBLs are not able to identify and inactivate monobactams, they are expressed simultaneously with serine beta-lactamases that can hydrolyze these monocyclic beta-lactams (8). The Middle-East is considered an endemic region for carbapenemase-producing *K. pneumoniae*, with the dominance of NDM carbapenemases (9), with the sporadic occurrence of class B VIM and IMP enzymes (10). Reports of MBL-producing *K. pneumoniae* isolates from Iran have markedly increased since last year and have shown a typical geographical distribution, most probably due to the over-prescription of antimicrobial agents or poor infection control in healthcare settings (11, 12). In 2013, blaNDM-associated carbapenem-resistant *K. pneumoniae* was first reported in Iran (13). Since then, blaNDM has been detected in most *Enterobacteriales* species (9, 14, 15). Furthermore, sporadic isolates carrying blaIMP and blaVIM were identified in Iran (16).

Typing methods can also help trace the transmission of pathogens in healthcare settings, pinpoint the source of infection, and classify virulent strains with reduced antibiotic susceptibility. Several studies have shown repetitive element palindromic PCR (rep-PCR) as a simple, cost-effective, and reproductive method with high resolution that can be used for high or small numbers of bacterial isolates to fingerprint strains of *K. pneumonia*, among other Gram-negative species (16). There has been an excellent correlation between rep-PCR and multilocus sequence typing (MLST) and rep-PCR in *K. pneumonia* fingerprinting. MLST is considered the gold standard for molecular typing of several bacterial species with high comparability, reproducibility, and transferability between labs (17).

### 2. Objectives

This study aimed to determine the presence of MBL genes among carbapenem-resistant *K. pneumoniae* isolates recovered from patients in three teaching hospitals of Isfahan, Iran, and also to determine their clonal relatedness using MLST and rep-PCR.

### 3. Methods

#### 3.1. Bacterial Isolates

In this cross-sectional study, 240 *K. pneumoniae* isolates were collected from 3,500 different clinical specimens (urine, blood, cerebrospinal fluid, respiratory samples, wound, and abscess) of hospitalized patients admitted to Amin, Alzahra, and Beheshti Hospitals of Isfahan. The isolates were identified by standard microbiological and biochemical tests using API 20E (bioMe´rieux, Marcy-l’E´toile, France). Molecular identification was performed using the specific 16S rRNA gene with specific primer sets (forward primer 27F 5’-AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG-3’ and reverse primer 1392R 5’-GGTACCGTACAGGCT-3’) (18). The sequence similarity was analyzed via nucleotide Blast software against the nonredundant GenBank database on the NCBI website and confirmed. The strains were stored at -80°C in Tryptic Soy Broth (MAST, Merseyside, UK) containing 18% glycerol.

#### 3.2. Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing

Susceptibility to antimicrobials was determined using the disk diffusion assay according to the guidelines of the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) M100-S27 criteria (19, 20). All antimicrobial discs were obtained from MAST (MAST Group Ltd., United Kingdom). Moreover, *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922 and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC 27853 were used as the control strains. Eventually, all data were analyzed using WHONET 5.6 software. According to the zone diameter around each antibiotic disk, the results were interpreted as sensitive, intermediate sensitive, and resistant.

#### 3.3. Phenotypic Detection of Metallo-beta-lactamase Production

Simple screening tests for the detection of metallo-beta-lactamase (MBL) production were performed using the meropenem-EDTA Combined Disk Test (MEM-EDTA...
CDT). Briefly, a 0.5 McFarland standard inoculum from overnight cultures was prepared and inoculated on a Mueller-Hinton (MH) agar plate. Two MEM disks were plated on the top of the agar at a distance of 4 - 5 cm from each other. Then, 10 µL of 0.1 M EDTA (Sigma, Germany) was added to one of the MEM disks. Finally, the difference in the zone size (5 mm) between MEM and MEM+EDTA indicated the presence of an MBL (11).

3.4. Determination of ESBL-producing Isolates

To identify ESBL-producing isolates, screening tests were initially performed using cefotaxime and ceftazidime disks according to the CLSI 2018 guideline (21). Then, confirmation tests were performed using the double-disk diffusion method. Escherichia coli ATCC 35218 was used as quality control for ESBL-producing isolates.

3.5. DNA Extraction

The genomic DNA was extracted using the GeneAll Exprep Plasmid kit (GeneAll Biotechnology, Seoul, Korea) according to the manufacturer’s directions. For plasmid extraction, plasmid isolation of strains containing the \( \text{bla}_{\text{NDM}} \) gene was performed by GeneAll Exprep Plasmid kit (GeneAll Biotechnology, Seoul, Korea) according to the manufacturer’s protocol.

3.6. Molecular Detection of Metallo-beta-lactamase Genes, Virulence Factors, and Antibiotic Resistance Genes

Polymerase chain reaction assays were carried out using specific primers for the genes encoding \( \text{bla}_{\text{VIM}} \), \( \text{bla}_{\text{IMP}} \), \( \text{bla}_{\text{NDM}} \), \( \text{bla}_{\text{SIM}} \), \( \text{bla}_{\text{GIM}} \), and \( \text{bla}_{\text{SPM}} \) (Table 1). All carbapenem-resistant isolates were screened by PCR and sequencing as described earlier by Shahcheraghi et al. (13). According to the manufacturer’s directions, after DNA extraction with the GeneAll Exprep Plasmid kit (GeneAll Biotechnology, Seoul, Korea), the PCR experiments were carried out using specific primers for the genes encoding \( \text{magA} \), \( \text{FimH} \), \( \text{rmpA} \), \( \text{mrkD} \), \( \text{TEM} \), and \( \text{SHV} \) (Table 1). For gene amplification, initial denaturation was performed at 95°C for five minutes (35 cycles), 94°C for 30 s, 58°C for 90 s, and 72°C for 90 s, followed by a final extension at 72°C for 10 min (22).

The PCR condition for the TEM gene was the initial denaturation at 94°C for three minutes (35 cycles), 94°C for 30 s, 45°C for one minute, 72°C for one minute, and a final extension at 72°C for 10 min (23). For the SHV gene, it included amplification at 94°C for three minutes (35 cycles), 94°C for 30 min, 60°C for one minute, 72°C for one minute, and a final extension at 72°C for 10 min (23). Electrophoresis with 1.5% agarose gel was used to analyze the PCR products.

### Table 1. Primers Used in the Present Study

| Target & Sequence (5’ to 3’) | Size (bp) | Reference |
|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| \( \text{bla}_{\text{VIM}} \) | 390       | (24)      |
| F: TTTGGTGGCATATGCAACG       |           |           |
| R: CACATCAGCGCCGATCGCAT      |           |           |
| \( \text{bla}_{\text{NDM}} \) | 761       | (23)      |
| F: GAAACGTAGCCAGCCGTTAG      |           |           |
| R: TGCGGGCGGATAGTGATT        |           |           |
| \( \text{bla}_{\text{SIM}} \) | 570       | (26)      |
| F: TAAGGGGATCGGCTAGG          |           |           |
| R: TATGGCCTGTCGCCAAG          |           |           |
| \( \text{bla}_{\text{GIM}} \) | 477       | (27)      |
| F: TGCAACACCTTGCTG           |           |           |
| R: AACACCACTTGCCATG          |           |           |
| \( \text{bla}_{\text{SPM}} \) | 271       | (27)      |
| F: AAAATCTGGGCMGGCAAGG        |           |           |
| R: ACATTACGCGGGCAAGG          |           |           |
| \( \text{bla}_{\text{IMP}} \) | 234       | (28)      |
| F: GGAATAGGCTGCTAAYTCTC       |           |           |
| R: GTTTTTATCAACTTCCAACC       |           |           |
| \( \text{MagA} \) | 1283      | (22)      |
| F: GGTGCTTTACATCAATG          |           |           |
| R: GCAATGCGCCATTGCTA          |           |           |
| \( \text{rmpA} \) | 516       | (22)      |
| F: ACTGGGCTACCTCTGCTCA        |           |           |
| R: CTTCATAGGCCATCTTTCA        |           |           |
| \( \text{wcaG} \) | 169       | (22)      |
| F: GGTGCGTGCACACTTGTA        |           |           |
| R: ACTATCCGGCAACTTTG          |           |           |
| \( \text{SHV} \) | 200       | (23)      |
| F: AGGATCCACTATGCCACAGCAG     |           |           |
| R: ATTCGATTGGCCCTTGGCGG       |           |           |
| \( \text{IIM} \) | 800       | (23)      |
| F: GATTTACACATTTCCGTGTC       |           |           |
| R: TAAATCGAGCAGCTATCTC        |           |           |

3.7. Determination of Minimum Inhibitory Concentration

Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) values of meropenem, imipenem, cefepime, ceftriaxone, cefotaxime, piperacillin/tazobactam, trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole, amikacin, ciprofloxacin, and doxycycline were interpreted for MBL-positive strains according to the CLSI guidelines using the gradient test strips (BioMerieux, CAT No. 412302) (29). On the other hand, the
MIC of colistin was determined by broth macrodilution method via colistin sulfate (Sigma–Aldrich), and finally, EUCAST breakpoints were used for interpretation (30).

3.8. Molecular Typing

Molecular typing of MBL producers was determined by multilocus sequence typing (MLST) using seven housekeeping genes (\textit{gapA}, \textit{infB}, \textit{mdh}, \textit{pgi1}, \textit{pgi2}, \textit{phoE}, \textit{rpoB}, and \textit{tonB}) as described on the Institute Pasteur’s MLST Website (https://bigsdb.pasteur.fr/klebsiella/klebsiella.html) (Table 2). Repetitive element sequence-based PCR (REP-PCR) was also used for the genotyping of \textit{K. pneumoniae} strains. The primer pairs REP 1 (5’-ATG TAA GCT CCT GGG GAT TCA C -3’) and REP 2 (5’- AAG TAA GTG ACT GGG GTG AGC G -3’) were used (31), and the amplification reaction was performed in a PCR buffer containing 2 µL MgCl\textsubscript{2} (25 mM), 1 µL dNTPs (10 mM), 1 µL of each primer (10 pmol/µL, forward and reverse), 0.1 Taq DNA polymerase (5 U/µL), sterile distilled water, and template DNA in a final volume of 25 µL.

Thermal cycling was carried out as previously described (31). The amplicon fragments were separated using electrophoresis on a 2% agarose gel with a 100 bp DNA size marker (Sigma Chemicals, Ontario, Canada). The amplicons were visualized under UV following staining, and the banding patterns of each strain were recorded by using Gel Doc (Biorad, Hercule, CA, USA). The REP-PCR fingerprints were analyzed by the GelJ gel analysis package (32), and the similarity of strains was determined using the Dice coefficient for comparison and unweighted pair group method with arithmetic averages (UMGMA) method for clustering.

4. Results

4.1. Bacterial Isolates

As described earlier, 240 \textit{K. pneumoniae} isolates were collected from different clinical specimens from hospitalized patients admitted to three different hospitals of Isfahan from February 2017 to November 2018. All nonduplicated \textit{K. pneumoniae} clinical isolates were identified by microbiological and molecular methods. The isolates were collected from different specimens, including tracheal secretions (n = 130; 54.1%), urine (n = 50; 20.8%), blood (n = 30; 12.5%), pleural fluid (n = 12; 5%), wound secretions (n = 10; 4.1%), and other specimen sources (n = 8; 3.3%). Eighty (33.3%) isolates were collected from ICU wards, whereas the remaining isolates were recovered from other wards.

4.2. Antimicrobial Susceptibility

The results of antibiotic susceptibility testing revealed that the highest resistance rate was against ampicillin (98%) and piperacillin (98%), followed by cefazolin (97.9%), aztreonam (91.8%), amoxicillin/clavulanic acid (91.7%), and tobramycin (88.8%). The rates of resistance to imipenem, meropenem, doripenem, ertapenem, and amoxicillin among the isolates were 58.2%, 64.3%, 64.3%, 66.4%, and 79.9%, respectively. On the other hand, the highest susceptibility was against tigecycline (90.9%), gentamicin (71.9%), nalidixic acid (58.7%), nitrofurantoin (58.7%), and ceftazidime (62.5%). The percentages of resistance to other antimicrobial agents are shown in Table 3.

4.3. Phenotypic Detection of Carbapenemases

Among 240 \textit{K. pneumoniae} isolates, 81 (33.7%) strains were resistant to carbapenems. Out of 81 isolates, 25 (30.8%) were considered positive for carbapenemases with the MEM-EDTA CDT test.

Table 2. Primers Used for MLST

| Target (MLST) | Sequence (5’ to 3’) | Size (bp) | No. of Alleles | Temp (°C) |
|--------------|---------------------|----------|----------------|----------|
| \textit{gapA} | F: TGAAATAGACTCCACTCACGG | 450 | 6 | 60 |
|              | R: CITCAGAAGGGCGTTTGATGGCTT |         |              |          |
| \textit{Mdh} | F: CCCAATCGGTCTACGGTCAG | 477 | 10 | 50 |
|              | R: CGGTGTTTCCAGCGGAGCAG |         |              |          |
| \textit{Pgi1} | F: GAGAAAAACCTGGCTGACTGCTGGC | 432 | 6 | 50 |
|              | R: CGGCGGACGGCCTTAAAGGTTAAT |         |              |          |
| \textit{phoE} | F: ACTCTACCGCAACACCGACTCTTCG | 420 | 14 | 50 |
|              | R: TGATCAGAACCTGGTATGGTAT |         |              |          |
| \textit{infB} | F: CIGGCGTGGACACACATACCCG | 318 | 10 | 50 |
|              | R: GCCTTCAGCTGACCAACCCT |         |              |          |
| \textit{tonB} | F: CTTTATACCTCGGTACATCAGGTT | 414 | 21 | 45 |
|              | R: ATTCGCCGGGTGCRGCGAG |         |              |          |
| \textit{Pgi2} | F: CIGCCTGGCGGTGATCGGCA | 501 | 8 | 50 |
|              | R: TTATAGGGCGTTCATCCGGG |         |              |          |
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### Table 3. Antimicrobial Susceptibility Patterns of 240 *Klebsiella pneumoniae* Isolates Collected from Three Clinical Centers in Isfahan, Iran

| Code     | Antibiotic Name       | R% | I% | S% | 95% CI       |
|----------|-----------------------|----|----|----|-------------|
| AMK_ND10 | Amikacin              | 65.2| 1  | 33.8| 49.8 - 60.8 |
| AMP_ND10 | Ampicillin            | 98  | 0  | 2  | 87.9 - 90.1 |
| SAM_ND10 | Ampicillin/Sulbactam  | 85.6| 1  | 14.4| 68.9 - 86.0 |
| CZO_ND10 | Cefazolin             | 97.9| 0  | 2  | 90.6 - 99.2 |
| FEP_ND10 | Cefepime              | 70.6| 0  | 29.4| 70.0 - 86.8 |
| CTX_ND10 | Cefotaxime            | 79.9| 0  | 20.1| 87.9 - 98.1 |
| CTI_ND10 | Cefotetan             | 85.4| 2  | 14.6| 59.2 - 78.1 |
| FOX_ND10 | Cefoxitin             | 88.7| 1  | 10.3| 73.4 - 89.3 |
| CPT_ND10 | Cefotaxime            | 94.9| 1  | 4.1 | 87.9 - 98.1 |
| CAZ_ND10 | Cefazidime            | 80.9| 1  | 19.1| 86.7 - 97.5 |
| CHL_ND10 | Chloramphenicol       | 32.2| 3.1| 64.7| 6.7 - 20.7  |
| CIP_ND5  | Ciprofloxacin         | 80.7| 1  | 18.3| 78.0 - 92.4 |
| COL_ND10 | Colistin              | 41  | 0  | 95.9| 0.8 - 9.4   |
| DOR_ND10 | Doripenem             | 64.3| 3.1| 32.7| 53.9 - 73.5 |
| DOX_ND10 | Doxycycline           | 45.7| 33.7| 20.6| 26.5 - 46.1 |
| ETP_ND10 | Ertapenem             | 66.4| 2  | 31.6| 59.2 - 78.1 |
| FOS_ND100| Fosfomycin            | 36.5| 18.4| 45.1| 18.3 - 36.5 |
| GEN_ND10 | Gentamicin            | 85.7| 0  | 14.3| 76.8 - 91.7 |
| IPM_ND10 | Imipenem              | 58.2| 9.2| 32.7| 47.8 - 67.9 |
| IPM_NE  | Imipenem              | 55.1| 9.2| 35.7| 44.7 - 65.1 |
| MEM_ND10 | Meropenem             | 64.3| 4.1| 31.6| 53.9 - 73.5 |
| MEM_NE  | Meropenem             | 65.3| 1  | 33.7| 54.9 - 74.4 |
| MNO_ND10| Minocycline           | 37.6| 16.3| 46.1| 19.3 - 37.7 |
| TGP_ND100| Piperacillin/Tazobactam| 68.6| 3.1| 28.4| 68.3 - 86.0 |
| TCY_ND10 | Tetracycline          | 87.7| 2  | 10.2| 76.8 - 91.7 |
| TCC_ND75 | Ticarcillin/Clavulanic acid| 86.7| 1  | 10.2| 78.0 - 92.4 |
| TOB_ND10 | Tobramycin            | 88.8| 0  | 11.2| 80.4 - 94.0 |
| SXT_ND10 | Trimethoprim/Sulfamethoxazole| 78.6| 8.2| 13.3| 68.9 - 86.0 |
| ATM_ND10 | Aztreonam             | 91.8| 0  | 8.2 | 84.0 - 96.1 |
| CXM_ND10 | Cefuroxime            | 95.9| 0  | 4.1 | 89.3 - 98.7 |
| AMC_ND20 | Amonidin/Clavulanic acid| 91.7| 1  | 5.2 | 78.0 - 92.4 |
| TGC_ED15 | Tigecycline           | 7.1 | 2  | 90.9| 0 - 6.3    |
| NET_ND10 | Netilmicin            | 81.4| 8.2| 10.4| 61.2 - 79.9 |

### 4.4. Molecular Detection of Virulence Factors and Antibiotic Resistance Genes

Out of the six genes investigated, three genes (*bla*<sub>SPM</sub>, *bla*<sub>GIM</sub>, and *bla*<sub>SIM</sub>) were not detected in any of the tested isolates. Three MBLs, *bla*<sub>VIM</sub>, *bla*<sub>IMI</sub>, and *bla*<sub>NDM</sub>, were detected in 11/81 (13.6%), 2/81 (2.4%), and 2/81 (2.4%) isolates, respectively (Figures 1, 2, and 3). The sequences were deposited in GenBank and released (accession numbers: GI:1812714301, GI:1812714303, GI:1812714305, GI:1812714306, GI:1812714307, GI:1812714308, GI:1812714310, GI:1812714312, GI:1812714314, GI:1812714316, GI:1812714318, GI:1784624133, GI:1784624134, GI:1784624137, GI:1784624138). Among the 81 strains that were resistant to carbapenems, genes encoding FimH, rmpA, and mrrD were detected in 87.6% (71/81), 11.1% (9/81), and 67.9% (55/81) of the isolates, respectively. But, *magA* was not detected in any of the tested isolates. In
ESBL isolates, TEM and SHV as antibiotic resistance genes were detected in 49.3% (40/81) and 80.2% (65/81) of the isolates, and in non-ESBL isolates, their percentages were 5.03% (8/159) and 1.25% (2/159), respectively.

Figure 1. Gel electrophoresis of the \( \text{bla}_{\text{NDM}} \) gene with 761 bp size in two Klebsiella pneumoniae isolates (K1 and K2). L: DNA marker 100 bp, P: Positive control, N: Negative control

Figure 2. Gel electrophoresis of the \( \text{bla}_{\text{IMP}} \) gene with 232 bp size in two Klebsiella pneumoniae isolates (K1 and K2). L: Ladder 50 bp, P: Positive control, N: Negative control

4.5. Determination of Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC)

The MICs of different antibiotics against MBL-positive strains are shown in Table 4. In all the MBL-positive isolates, the maximum resistance rates (MIC > 256 \( \mu \)g/mL) were observed for beta-lactam antibiotics. Except for two isolates (one NDM producer and one VIM producer), the other strains were sensitive to colistin, with MICs ranging from 0.125 to 1 \( \mu \)g/mL (Table 4).

4.6. Multilocus Sequence Typing

The clonal relationship of the 15 MBL-producing \( K. \) pneumoniae isolates based on MLST analysis showed that these isolates belonged to three different sequence types (STs), of which ST23 was the most predominant ST (11/15, 66.6%) followed by ST147 (2/15, 13.3%) and ST15 (2/15, 13.3%) (Table 5).

4.7. Repetitive Element Sequence-based PCR Typing

The gel electrophoresis image of rep-PCR products is presented in Figure 4. The predominant fragments in DNA fingerprints were determined with sizes of 390 bp, 600 bp, and 1500 bp in MBL-producing \( K. \) pneumoniae strains, and the sizes of bands varied from 300 bp to 1500 bp. The strain similarity among the strains was detected with GelJ version 3.0 software (Java Application, Logroño, Spain) using the Dice method for determining the similarity of strains and UPMGA for clonal clustering. This software allowed us to draw a phylogenetic tree for strains based on the presence of a variety of genetic heterogeneities among their populations. The cluster analysis and the obtained dendrogram are shown in Figure 5.

Based on the electrophoresis and clustering analysis, of 15 MBL-producing \( K. \) pneumoniae strains, 11 \( \text{bla}_{\text{VIM}} \)-harboring \( K. \) pneumoniae were grouped in four main clusters. Indeed, two \( \text{bla}_{\text{VIM}} \)-producing \( K. \) pneumoniae strains were grouped in one similar clone, and nine \( \text{bla}_{\text{VIM}} \)-producing \( K. \) pneumoniae strains were grouped in a separate clonal group. According to Figure 1 \( K. \) pneumoniae strains 14 and 15 belonging to ST147 showed 100% similarity, and \( K. \) pneumoniae strains 12 and 13 belonging to ST15 showed 100% similarity. Clearly, strains A, B, and C were resistant to carbapenem; however, they were negative for genes coding for MBLs and were used as negative controls.
Table 4. Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) of Routine Antibiotics for the Studied MBL-positive Strains

| Strain | Gene | MEM  | IMP  | COL  | CIP  | CAZ  | CTX  | CPT  | SXT  | AMK  | FEP  | DOX  |
|--------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| 1      | blaVIM | > 32 | 16   | 1    | 32   | > 256 | > 256 | > 256 | 16   | > 256 | > 256 | 32   |
| 2      | blaVIM | 6    | 16   | 0.125| > 32 | > 256 | > 256 | > 256 | 32   | > 256 | > 256 | 32   |
| 3      | blaVIM | > 32 | 32   | 0.75 | 16   | > 256 | > 256 | > 256 | 32   | > 256 | > 256 | 2    |
| 4      | blaVIM | 16   | 8    | 0.5  | > 32 | > 256 | > 256 | > 256 | 32   | > 256 | > 256 | 2    |
| 5      | blaVIM | 8    | 8    | 0.25 | > 32 | > 256 | > 256 | > 256 | 16   | > 256 | > 256 | 24   |
| 6      | blaVIM | > 32 | 24   | 0.75 | > 32 | > 256 | > 256 | > 256 | 32   | > 256 | > 256 | 24   |
| 7      | blaVIM | > 32 | 24   | 0.25 | > 32 | > 256 | > 256 | > 256 | 32   | > 256 | > 256 | > 32 |
| 8      | blaVIM | > 32 | 12   | 4    | > 32 | > 256 | > 256 | > 256 | 0.25 | > 256 | > 256 | 24   |
| 9      | blaVIM | 16   | 16   | 1    | > 32 | > 256 | > 256 | > 256 | > 32 | > 256 | > 256 | 12   |
| 10     | blaVIM | 8    | 8    | 1    | > 32 | > 256 | > 256 | > 256 | 2    | > 256 | > 256 | 24   |
| 11     | blaVIM | 12   | 8    | 0.125| 16   | > 256 | > 256 | > 256 | > 32 | < 256 | > 256 | 1    |
| 12     | blaVIM | 12   | 16   | 0.5  | > 32 | > 256 | > 256 | > 256 | > 32 | > 256 | > 256 | 16   |
| 13     | blaVIM | > 32 | 16   | 6    | > 32 | > 256 | > 256 | > 256 | > 32 | > 256 | > 256 | 12   |
| 14     | blaNDM | > 32 | 16   | 1    | > 32 | > 256 | > 256 | > 256 | > 32 | > 256 | > 256 | 24   |
| 15     | blaIMP | 18   | 12   | 1    | > 32 | > 256 | > 256 | > 256 | > 32 | > 256 | > 256 | 18   |

Abbreviations: MEM, Meropenem; IMP, Imipenem; COL, Colistin; CIP, Ciprofloxacin; CAZ, Ceftazidime; CTX, Cefotaxime; CPT, Ceftaroline; SXT, Trimethoprim/Sulfamethoxazole; AMK, Amikacin; FEP, Cefepime; DOX, Doxycycline

Table 5. Clinical Information and Molecular Characteristics of 15 Metallo-beta-lactamase (MBL)-Producing Klebsiella pneumoniae Strains

| Patient/Strain | Carbapenemase Gene | Sequence Type | Specimen | Hospitalization Unit | Resistance Phenotype | CDT |
|----------------|---------------------|---------------|----------|----------------------|----------------------|-----|
| 1              | blaVIM              | ST23          | Urine    | ICU                  | MDR                  | Positive |
| 2              | blaVIM              | ST23          | Urine    | ICU                  | XDR                  | Positive |
| 3              | blaVIM              | ST23          | Urine    | ICU                  | XDR                  | Positive |
| 4              | blaVIM              | ST23          | Tracheal | ICU                  | MDR                  | Positive |
| 5              | blaVIM              | ST23          | Tracheal | ICU                  | MDR                  | Positive |
| 6              | blaVIM              | ST23          | Sputum   | ICU                  | MDR                  | Positive |
| 7              | blaVIM              | ST23          | Tracheal | Men                  | MDR                  | Positive |
| 8              | blaVIM              | ST23          | Tracheal | ICU                  | PDR                  | Positive |
| 9              | blaVIM              | ST23          | Tracheal | ICU                  | XDR                  | Positive |
| 10             | blaVIM              | ST23          | Tracheal | ICU                  | XDR                  | Positive |
| 11             | blaVIM              | ST23          | Bronchial| ICU                  | XDR                  | Positive |
| 12             | blaVIM              | ST147         | Bronchial| ICU                  | XDR                  | Positive |
| 13             | blaNDM              | ST147         | Bronchial| ICU                  | PDR                  | Positive |
| 14             | blaIMP              | ST15          | Bronchial| ICU                  | XDR                  | Positive |
| 15             | blaIMP              | ST15          | Bronchial| ICU                  | XDR                  | Positive |
**Figure 4.** Repetitive element sequence-based PCR patterns of MBL-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* strains. L: Ladder 250 bp

**Figure 5.** Dendrogram of MBL-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* strains. C: Cluster
According to these results, rep-PCR was able to demonstrate clonal relationships between some of the isolates that belonged to the same ST or clonal complex by MLST. Indeed, according to the results, rep-PCR could discriminate between isolates belonging to the same ST (ST23), indicating that this method might have more discriminatory power compared to MLST.

5. Discussion

Klebsiella pneumoniae is a major nosocomial pathogen that causes difficult-to-treat infections worldwide (23). Klebsiella pneumoniae is the cause of nosocomial and community-acquired infections, virulence-associated genes, fimbriae, and siderophores, which can cause infection. Drug-resistant, especially ESBL-producing, K. pneumoniae strains contribute to treatment failure and increase morbidity and mortality in patients. The prevalence of MBL-producing K. pneumoniae has increased in recent years in Iran (11, 12, 14). In the present study, 81 carbapenem-non-susceptible K. pneumoniae isolates were collected, among which 15 isolates were confirmed to produce MBLs.

Consistent with our data, several studies showed that the prevalence rates of ESBL-producing K. pneumoniae isolates in a teaching hospital were 53.8% (33) and 57.14% (34). A similar study reported a prevalence of 35% (35), which is approximately close to the current study’s percentage. Also, a study reported that among K. pneumoniae clinical isolates from Imam Khomeini hospital in Tehran, 55.4% were ESBL producers (36). In contrast, lower percentages of ESBL-producing isolates were reported from the Middle East and some other countries. It seems that different policies in using antibiotics in each region are the cause of the contradiction (37).

Minimum inhibitory concentration determinations revealed that all MBL-producing K. pneumoniae isolates were highly resistant to beta-lactam antibiotics, with significant resistance to ciprofloxacin and amikacin, in line with previous reports (12, 13). The resistance rate to ciprofloxacin has been reported differently in the studies. These rates in some studies such as Derakhshan et al. (38), Liu et al. (39), and Ranjbar et al. (40) studies were 44.4%, 37.1%, and 52.5%, respectively. On the other hand, some studies have reported rates below 20% (23, 41). Interestingly, all of our MBL-producing K. pneumoniae isolates were multidrug-resistant. Moreover, all isolates retained susceptibility to colistin, except for two isolates. Consistent with previous studies, our findings showed colistin as the most effective antibiotic against these isolates (12, 42). This finding can indicate its high importance for treating Gram-negative infections with carbapenems.

The detection of MBL-producing K. pneumoniae strains in the clinical laboratory could be significant for the determination of suitable therapeutic strategies and limiting the spread of these strains (42). Preliminary screening of MBL producers was done using blαNDM, blαSIM, blαNDM, blαSIM, blαGIM, and blαSIM via specific primers (Figures 2, 3, and 4). The results revealed that three MBLs, blαNDM, blαSIM, and blαNDM,were detected in 11/81 (13.6%), 2/81 (2.4%), and 2/81 (2.4%) isolates, respectively. In recent years, the detection of MBLs and other antibiotic resistance genes of K. pneumoniae has been reported in several areas of Iran (10-12). However, in the present study, none of the isolates harbored blαNDM, blαGIM, and blαSIM genes; similar results were reported by Solgi et al. (12).

In another inconsistent study, the PCR analysis detected blαNDM and blαNDM genes in 71/100 (71%) and 97/100 (97%) isolates, respectively. Also, a study in Brazil reported lower prevalence rates of blαNDM (2.06%) and blαSIM (0.72%) than in the current study (38). In ESBL isolates, TEM and SHV as antibiotic resistance genes were detected in 49.3% (40/81) and 80.2% (65/81) isolates, and in non-ESBL isolates, their percentages were 5.03% (8/159) and 1.25% (2/159), respectively. About the SHV gene, two studies reported that its frequency was significantly lower than 70% (23, 44). Consistent with our study, the reported frequency of TEM by Feizabadi et al. was almost similar to our result (44). In this study, among 81 strains resistant to carbapenems, genes encoding FimH, rmpA, and mrkD were detected in 87.6% (71/81), 11.1% (9/81), and 67.9% (55/81) of the isolates, respectively. In addition, the percentages of the frequency of FimH, rmpA, and mrkD reported by Hosseini et al. were similar to our results (45).

It seems that the reason for contradictions in studies is the differences in infection control systems and therapeutic regimens in different countries (46). In this study, three STs (ST23, ST147, and ST15) were identified among 15 MBL-positive K. pneumoniae isolates. Among them, ST23 with 11 isolates was the predominant MBL-producing K. pneumoniae that was disseminated across four wards. Importantly, all of the ST23 isolates carried the blαSIM gene. This result suggests that VIM-1-producing K. pneumoniae ST23
strains circulated in our studied hospitals. Therefore, it is necessary to identify the clonal relatedness among MBL-producing *K. pneumoniae* strains to strengthen the surveillance and reporting system in hospital infection control programs. As reported, ST23 has been linked to the spread of OXA-48, VIM, and NDM-1 in various countries (10, 12). In line with the report by Solgi et al. (12), which described that ST147 was NDM-1-producing *K. pneumoniae* in Iran, 13.3% (2/15) of the NDM-1-positive isolates belonged to ST147 in this study (Table 5). These results suggest that the nosocomial clonal spread of NDM-1-producing ST147 *K. pneumoniae* plays a significant role in the detection of blaNDM-1.

In the present study, two IMP-1-producing *K. pneumoniae* were isolated from two patients in two different wards that belonged to ST15. As known, *K. pneumoniae* ST15 represents a single locus variant of ST14 and is currently widely disseminated among OXA-48, and NDM-1-producing *K. pneumoniae* isolates in many regions of the world (12, 47). Clearly, IMP-producing *K. pneumoniae* isolates have spread in Europe and Asia, but have been rarely reported in other regions (47, 48). Interestingly in our study, ST15 has not been previously linked with IMP-1 production. Moreover, most MBL-producing *K. pneumoniae* strains with the same STs showed similar rep-PCR fingerprints, except for ST23, according to the results of rep-PCR typing. Indeed, isolates belonging to ST23 were discriminated in two different clusters with rep-PCR, such that two *K. pneumoniae* strains were grouped in one cluster, while nine strains were grouped in another cluster. This suggests the higher discriminatory power of rep-PCR compared to MLST in the present study. Similar reports have been made previously for ESBL-producing *K. pneumoniae* (49).

5.1. Conclusions

According to these results, rep-PCR could be considered a suitable tool for the rapid identification of strains with high epidemic potential that may be required for local outbreak studies. Overall, the present study showed a mini-outbreak of VIM-1-producing *K. pneumoniae* that belonged to ST23. The MIC of different antibiotics against MBL-positive strains indicated high antibiotic resistance. The prevalence of *K. pneumoniae* isolates harboring blaVIM, blaNDM, and blaIMP is a rising threat in Iran. Therefore, infection control strategies are of importance to prevent the transmission of these organisms in Iran. According to our results, the presence of virulent factors in the development of infections through *K. pneumoniae* can be considered an important step. Therefore, they play an important role in the spread of infections caused by *K. pneumoniae*.
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