Sleep Disturbances and Predictive Factors in Caregivers of Patients with Mild Cognitive Impairment and Dementia
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Background and Purpose We examined the characteristics of sleep disturbances and sleep patterns in the caregivers of patients with amnestic mild cognitive impairment (aMCI) and dementia.

Methods We prospectively studied 132 patients (60 with aMCI and 72 with dementia) and their caregivers, and 52 noncaregiver controls. All caregivers and controls completed several sleep questionnaires, including the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI). The patients were administered neuropsychological tests and the neuropsychiatric inventory to evaluate their behavioral and neuropsychiatric symptoms of dementia (BPSD).

Results The PSQI global score was 6.25±3.88 (mean±SD) for the dementia caregivers and 5.47±3.53 for the aMCI caregivers. The Insomnia Severity Index (ISI) and short form of the Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS-S) predicted higher PSQI global scores in aMCI caregivers, and higher scores for the ISI, Epworth Sleepiness Scale (ESS), and GDS-S in dementia caregivers. BPSD, including not only agitation, depression, and appetite change in dementia patients, but also depression, apathy, and disinhibition in aMCI patients, was related to impaired sleep quality of caregivers, but nighttime behavior was not. Age and gender were not risk factors for disturbed sleep quality.

Conclusions Dementia and aMCI caregivers exhibit impaired quality of sleep versus noncaregivers. ISI, GDS-S, and ESS scores are strong indicators of poor sleep in dementia caregivers. In addition, some BPSD and parts of the neuropsychological tests may be predictive factors of sleep disturbance in dementia caregivers.
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and are one of the major causes of patients entering nursing homes or related institutions. Recent studies suggest that poor caregiver sleep is linked to lowered quality of life and cognitive function, and an increased risk of the morbidity and mortality related to depression and cardiovascular disease.

Mild cognitive impairment (MCI) is commonly applied to individuals who are not cognitively normal for their age and yet do not have overt dementia. It has been established that about 80% of patients with MCI progress to dementia within 6 years, demonstrating a higher incidence than in normal elderly. Despite some discrepancies in the findings of previous studies, there is growing evidence that MCI patients exhibit BPSD—and especially depression, agitation, apathy, irritability, and anxiety—associated with executive dysfunction. In addition, it has been reported that MCI caregivers are at increased risk of emotional, physical, and social burden, as are the caregivers of patients with Alzheimer’s disease (AD).

It is important to recognize sleep problems in caregivers and to provide appropriate interventions to improve their health outcomes. However, there have been no studies of sleep patterns and sleep disturbances in caregivers of patients with MCI or dementia in a Korean population. In addition, little is known about how the neuropsychiatric features found in MCI influence the sleep quality of caregivers. Accordingly, the aims of the present study were to elucidate the sleep characteristics and disturbances in the caregivers of clinic-based patients with dementia or MCI, in order to determine whether there is any relationship between BPSD in patients with dementia and the quality of sleep experienced by their caregivers.

Methods

Study participants
Caregivers of amnestic MCI (aMCI) or dementia patients (*n* = 132; 60 with aMCI, 72 with dementia), and 56 noncaregiver controls were recruited consecutively from the Department of Neurology at Kyung Hee University Medical Center between January 2011 and June 2013. Patients and caregivers who did not complete the tests or questionnaires were excluded. The patients had been diagnosed with aMCI, probable AD, or probable vascular dementia (VD) by a neurologist. MCI was diagnosed according to the criteria proposed by Petersen. Among the subtypes of MCI, only patients with aMCI were enrolled. Both single- and multiple-domain aMCI were included. The patients with AD met the “probable AD” criteria proposed by the National Institute of Neurological and Communicative Disorders and Stroke—the Alzheimer’s Disease and Related Disorders Association. Patients with VD fulfilled the probable or possible criteria of the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke and Association International pour la Recherché et l’Enseignement en Neurosciences.

The inclusion criteria for caregivers were as follows: 1) 40 years of age or older, 2) caring for a patient with a diagnosis of AD, VD, or aMCI in the caregiver’s home, and 3) providing at least 10 h of care per week. The exclusion criteria for caregivers were any known cause of cognitive decline, such as neurodegenerative disorders, cerebrovascular diseases, psychiatric disorders, metabolic derangements, or current major illness, as follows: 1) cognitive decline due to a neurodegenerative disorder, such as Parkinson’s disease with dementia, frontotemporal dementia, Huntington’s disease, Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease, or hydrocephalus, 2) cognitive impairment due to metabolic derangement, including vitamin deficiency, thyroid disease, or neurosyphilis, 3) mixed dementia satisfying the diagnostic criteria of AD as well as having small-vessel disease on a brain magnetic resonance image, 4) current major illness (e.g., malignancy, clinically significant hepatic, cardiac, renal, or pulmonary diseases), or 5) conditions that could affect sleep disturbance (previous or current psychiatric illness, history of psychiatric drug, rhinolaryngological disease such as paranasal sinusitis, or alcohol abuse).

The noncaregiver controls were clinic-based persons not providing care to a household member. They were recruited in the same proportions of gender and age from a health-promotion center at the same hospital.

Measures

Patient assessment
Participants with dementia or MCI provided demographic characteristics including age, gender, and educational status. All patients underwent a standardized neuropsychological evaluation, the Seoul Neuropsychological Screening Battery (SNSB). This battery includes tests that assess the following characteristics:

1) Attention (forward and backward digit span and letter cancellation test).

2) Language and related functions (spontaneous speech, comprehension, repetition, confrontational naming using the Korean version of the Boston Naming Test, writing, reading, calculation, four elements of Gerstmann syndrome, and ideomotor and buccofacial praxis).

3) Visuospatial functions [interlocking pentagon drawing and the Rey-Osterrieth Complex Figure Test (RCFT), copying].

4) Verbal memory [three-word registration and recall, and the Seoul Verbal Learning Test, immediate recall (IR), 20-min delayed recall (DR), and recognition].

5) Visual memory (RCFT, IR, 20-min DR, and recognition).

6) Frontal and executive function [motor impersistence, contrast program, go-no-go test, fist-edge-palm test, alter-
nating square and triangle, Luria loop, the phonemic and semantic-animals (A) and supermarket (S), Controlled Oral Word Association Test (COWAT), and the Stroop test (word and color reading of 112 items).

The general cognitive status and severity of dementia were evaluated using the Korean Mini-Mental State Examination (K-MMSE),\textsuperscript{19} the Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR) scale,\textsuperscript{20} and the sum of the box score of the CDR. The scores for physical activities of daily living were estimated using the Barthel Activities of Daily Living (B-ADL) index.\textsuperscript{21} We also used the Seoul Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (S-IADL) to assess the instrumental everyday activities of the elderly.\textsuperscript{22} The Korean version of the Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS) was used to assess the level of depressed mood in patients.\textsuperscript{23} Neuropsychiatric derangement was estimated using the Korean version of the Neuropsychiatric Inventory (K-NPI) in patients with dementia or MCI.\textsuperscript{24} K-NPI is a convenient instrument that evaluates both the frequency and severity of abnormal behaviors, including delusions, hallucinations, agitation, depression, anxiety, euphoria, apathy, disinhibition, irritability, and aberrant motor behavior, as well as neurovegetative changes, including nighttime behavior and eating changes over the past 30 days. All patients underwent a comprehensive neuropsychological and functional evaluation carried out by a skilled clinical psychology practitioner who was blinded to the study hypotheses.

**Caregiver and control group assessment**

We collected standard demographic information on caregivers and controls (e.g., age, gender, years of education, and caregiver relationship to the patient). They were provided with a list of 15 health problems and were asked to indicate whether a physician had informed them that they currently have or had ever had each one. Subjective sleep quality was measured using the Korean version of the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI),\textsuperscript{25} which assesses critical elements of the sleep experience on seven subscales: subjective sleep quality, sleep-onset latency, sleep duration, sleep medication use, sleep efficiency, sleep disturbances, and daytime dysfunction (cutoff score >6). The sum of subscale scores yields the global sleep score, which ranges from 0 to 21; scores of >5 distinguish good sleepers from poor sleepers. Cronbach’s alpha in the study was 0.732. We also used the Korean version of the Epworth Sleepiness Scale (ESS)\textsuperscript{26} to evaluate daytime sleepiness, the Insomnia Severity Index (ISI)\textsuperscript{27} to evaluate the presence of insomnia (cutoff score >7), and the Berlin Questionnaire (BQ)\textsuperscript{28} for OSA. The diagnosis of restless legs syndrome (RLS) was based on the diagnostic criteria of the International Restless Legs Syndrome Study Group.\textsuperscript{29} The short form of the GDS (GDS-S) was used to assess the level of depressed mood in caregivers (cutoff score >7).\textsuperscript{30} The caregivers also completed the Korean version of the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MOCA-K) to evaluate general cognitive function.\textsuperscript{31}

All subjects provided written informed consent to participate. The protocol was approved by the Kyung Hee University Medical Center Institutional Review Board.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS software (ver. 18.0 for Windows, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The cutoff for statistical significance was set at \( p < 0.05 \) (two-tailed). The \( t \)-test was used to compare the demographic and clinical characteristics between patients with aMCI and dementia. The mean scores for caregiver ratings and participant assessment variables for the different groups (aMCI and dementia caregivers and noncaregivers) were analyzed. One-way analyses of variance (ANOVAs) were used to detect age and education differences between the groups, and \( \chi^2 \) significance tests were used to detect between-group differences in gender, RLS, and high OSA risk. Multivariate ANOVA (MANOVA) was used to examine differences in mean PSQI global scores between the groups, while Tukey’s honest significant difference test was used for post-hoc comparisons. Product-moment correlation coefficients (Pearson’s \( r \)) were computed to determine associations among demographic variables, quality of sleep experienced by caregivers, and other predictor variables. Multiple regression analysis with stepwise selection was implemented to determine abnormalities in cognitive function tests in each domain. The data are presented as mean±SD values, and the cutoff for statistical significance was set at \( p < 0.05 \). This process enabled us to determine any significant predictor among the demographic and clinical characteristics.

**Results**

**Baseline characteristics of the patients**

The demographic characteristics of the patients with aMCI or dementia, including the results of \( t \)-tests, are given in Table 1. Patients with aMCI were younger (70.22±6.47 years vs. 74.83±6.29 years, \( t = -4.14 \)) and more educated (duration of education: 6.89±4.32 years vs. 5.27±4.94 years, \( t = 1.98 \)) than patients in the dementia group. As might be expected based on the selection criteria for the three groups, there were significant differences among the groups with respect to K-MMSE, CDR, B-ADL, and S-IADL scores, and all items of the SNSB (not presented in Table 1). The dementia group was more depressed than the aMCI group.
Baseline characteristics of the caregivers and the noncaregiver controls
The caregivers were aged 58.14±11.62 years. Although the caregivers were quite well-educated, the noncaregiver controls were more educated and obtained significantly higher scores on the MOCA-K. 51.7% of the patients with aMCI were cared for by their spouses, while 38.0% of the dementia patients were. There was no difference between aMCI caregivers and dementia caregivers in terms of MOCA-K scores. The GDS-S score was significantly higher for the dementia caregivers (4.33±3.53) than for the control group (2.33±2.94). Caregivers did not differ from controls with respect to the number of health problems (Table 2).

Comparisons of characteristics and sleep quality among aMCI and dementia caregivers and the noncaregiver controls
A one-way ANOVA was carried out on the sleep scales, including ESS, ISI, RLS, BQ, and daytime sleep time. MANOVA was also conducted for each component score and the global PSQI score. Post-hoc analysis revealed that compared to noncaregivers, dementia caregivers obtained higher ISI scores (F=4.003, p=0.020), lower PSQI components of subjective sleep quality (F=6.519, p=0.002), longer sleep latency (F=6.880, p=0.001), shorter sleep duration (F=4.004, p=0.020), and took more sleep medication (F=3.465, p=0.033) (Table 3). On the other hand, aMCI caregivers exhibited lower sleep efficiency (F=4.193, p=0.017) than their noncaregiver counterparts. The PSQI global score was significantly higher for both the aMCI and dementia caregivers (F=8.148, p<0.001) than for noncaregivers, but did not differ significantly between aMCI and dementia caregivers.

Association between caregivers’ relationship with their patient and sleep characteristics
Subgroup analyses between caregivers’ relationships were performed, with the exception of three caregivers (two aMCI and one dementia caregivers) who were siblings of the patients (Table 4). The offspring group included sons, sons-in-law, daughters, and daughters-in-law. The sleep latency was longer and the sleep efficiency was lower in the spouse group than in the offspring group. The PSQI global score was significantly higher in the aMCI spouse group (6.53±3.69) than in the offspring group (4.57±3.10), but this was not the case.
for the dementia spouse group.

**Relationships between PSQI and patient variables and caregiver measures**

Among the aMCI caregivers, bivariate correlations revealed a significant relationship between PSQI global score and the age of the caregiver \((r=0.283, p=0.029)\), education years of the caregiver \((r=-0.381, p=0.003)\), ISI score \((r=0.786, p<0.001)\), and K-MMSE score of the patient \((r=0.273, p=0.035)\) (Table 5). In the items of the SNSB, RCFT-IR \((r=0.332, p=0.010)\) and RCFT-DR \((r=0.328, p=0.011)\) scores of the aMCI patients and the COWAT-A scores \((r=0.235, p=0.047)\) of the dementia patients were significantly related to PSQI global scores (data not presented). Among dementia caregivers, ISI and GDS-S scores were correlated with both global and subjective sleep quality as measured using the PSQI.

**Impact of BPSD in patients on caregiver sleep quality**

The mean composite scores of the K-NPI of subscales and prevalence in the entire patient cohort are given in Table 6. Among the aMCI caregivers, depression \((r=0.279, p=0.013)\), apathy \((r=0.261, p=0.013)\), and disinhibition \((r=0.319, p=0.013)\) were associated with high PSQI global scores (Table 5). Among the dementia caregivers, there were significant interactions between PSQI global score and agitation/aggression \((r=0.287, p=0.006)\), depression \((r=0.339, p=0.001)\), and appetite changes \((r=0.322, p=0.007)\). Depression \((r=0.292, p=0.013)\) and appetite changes \((r=0.324, p=0.006)\) were also associated with decreased subjective sleep quality.

**Variables associated with sleep disturbances**

Stepwise simultaneous multiple regression analyses were conducted to assess variables related to sleep disturbance. The dependent variable was the PSQI global score, and the independent variables were age, gender, education years, the MOCA-K, GDS-S, ESS, and ISI scores of caregivers, the K-MMSE, S-IADL, and B-ADL scores of patients, and scores on the subscales of the K-NPI, including agitation/aggression, depression, apathy, disinhibition, and appetite changes, which are strongly correlated with BPSD. The final model explained 64.1\% \((F(2, 57)=53.653, p<0.001)\) and 65.5\% \((F(3, 68)=45.953, p=0.002)\) of the variance in PSQI global scores in the aMCI and dementia caregiver groups, respectively. The data given in Table 7 indicate that ISI \((β=0.696, r=8.055, p=0.001)\) and GDS-S \((β=0.209, r=2.417, p=0.019)\) scores predicted higher PSQI global scores in aMCI caregivers. Moreover, ISI \((β=0.636, r=8.724, p=0.001)\), ESS \((β=0.234, r=3.223, p=0.002)\), and GDS-S \((β=0.245, r=3.439, p=0.001)\) scores made independent contributions to the variance of the quality of sleep experienced by dementia caregivers.

---

**Table 3. Comparison of means (SDs) on sleep questionnaires and the PSQI for caregivers**

|                        | aMCI caregiver \(n=60\) | Dementia caregiver \(n=72\) | Controls \(n=56\) | \(F\)  |
|------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|------|
| ESS                   | 2.52±3.57\(^a\)        | 1.79±2.82\(^a\)            | 4.34±3.41\(^a\)   | 9.954\(^*\) |
| 10-24, n [%]          | 5 (8.3)                 | 2                           | 1                 |      |
| RLS, n [%]\(^1\)      | 1 (0.0)                 | 2.1 (1.4)                   | 2 (3.6)           | 0.203 |
| ISI\(^1\) high risk, n [%] | 5.22±6.36\(^a\)       | 6.39±6.29\(^a\)            | 3.43±4.67\(^a\)   | 4.003 |
| BQ\(^1\)              | 6 (10)                  | 13.2 (26.4)                 | 6 (10.7)          | 1.148 |
| PSQI subscales\(^1\)  |                        |                             |                   |      |
| 1. Subjective sleep quality | 1.13±0.72\(^a\)     | 1.31±0.76\(^a\)            | 0.84±0.68\(^a\)   | 6.519\(^*\) |
| 2. Sleep latency       | 1.12±1.18\(^b\)        | 1.46±1.24\(^a\)            | 0.70±0.99\(^a\)   | 6.880\(^*\) |
| 3. Sleep duration (hour) | 1.37±1.10\(^a\)      | 1.53±1.06\(^a\)            | 1.02±0.86\(^a\)   | 4.004\(^*\) |
| 4. Sleep efficiency    | 0.47±0.79\(^a\)        | 0.36±0.76\(^a\)            | 0.11±0.45\(^a\)   | 4.193\(^*\) |
| 5. Sleep disturbance (%)| 0.65±0.52             | 0.69±0.46                   | 0.71±0.43         | 0.255 |
| 6. Sleep medication    | 0.18±0.65\(^a\)        | 0.33±0.82\(^a\)            | 0.03±0.19\(^a\)   | 3.465\(^*\) |
| 7. Daytime dysfunction | 0.55±0.87             | 0.56±0.79                   | 0.38±0.59         | 1.071 |
| 8. PSQI global score   | 5.47±3.53\(^a\)        | 6.25±3.88\(^b\)            | 3.79±2.71\(^a\)   | 8.148\(^*\) |
| Sleep latency [min]    | 28.21±25.18\(^a\)      | 35.76±34.22\(^a\)          | 21.21±26.59\(^a\) | 3.907\(^*\) |
| Sleep duration (hour)  | 6.36±1.47             | 6.20±1.31                   | 6.51±1.30         | 0.955 |
| Sleep efficiency (%)   | 88.41±9.79\(^b\)       | 89.17±9.33\(^a\)           | 90.49±9.38\(^a\)  | 7.425\(^*\) |
| Daytime sleep time [min]\(^1\) | 20.13±34.45     | 16.27±31.36                 | 22.98±32.85       | 0.672 |

Data are given as means±SD or percentages. a>b, Tukey’s honestly significant difference post hoc testing was performed to assess differences among subgroups. \(^*p<0.001, \dagger p<0.01, \ddagger p<0.05\) (two-tailed). One-way ANOVA, \(^a\)Chi-squared test, \(^b\)MANOVA.

aMCI: amnestic mild cognitive impairment, ANOVA: analysis of variance, BQ: Berlin Questionnaire, ESS: Epworth Sleepiness Scale, ISI: Insomnia Severity Index, MANOVA: multivariate ANOVA; min: minute, PSQI: Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index, RLS: restless leg syndrome.
Table 4. Comparison of means (SDs) on sleep questionnaires and the PSQI for caregivers according to relationship

|                  | Spouse group | Offspring group | t     | p     |
|------------------|-------------|----------------|-------|-------|
| aMCI caregivers [n=58, spouse group (n=30), offspring group (n=28)] | | | | |
| Mean age         | 69.77±10.52 | 47.07±6.27     | 9.89  | <0.001|
| ESS              | 1.53±2.46   | 3.75±4.27      | -2.40 | 0.021 |
| ISI              | 6.23±6.73   | 4.32±6.09      | 1.13  | 0.263 |
| PSQI subscales   |             |                |       |       |
| 1. Subjective sleep quality | 1.30±0.70 | 1.00±0.72  | 1.61  | 0.114 |
| 2. Sleep latency  | 1.50±1.22   | 0.75±1.04      | 2.50  | 0.015 |
| 3. Sleep duration | 1.53±1.17   | 1.21±1.03      | 1.10  | 0.276 |
| 4. Sleep efficiency | 0.77±0.94 | 0.18±0.48  | 3.05  | 0.004 |
| 5. Sleep disturbance | 0.73±0.45 | 0.61±0.57  | 0.94  | 0.354 |
| 6. Sleep medication | 0.20±0.66 | 0.18±0.67  | 0.12  | 0.903 |
| 7. Daytime dysfunction | 0.50±0.90 | 0.64±0.87  | -0.61 | 0.542 |
| Global score     | 6.53±3.69   | 4.57±3.10      | 2.18  | 0.033 |
| Sleep latency (min) | 33.67±27.81 | 23.04±21.86     | 1.61  | 0.113 |
| Sleep duration (hour) | 6.17±1.52 | 6.53±1.46     | -0.91 | 0.367 |
| Sleep efficiency (%) | 84.27±10.39 | 92.36±7.25    | -3.46 | 0.001 |
| Daytime sleep time (min) | 29.33±38.57 | 11.82±28.28 | 1.98  | 0.053 |
| Dementia caregivers [n=71, spouse group (n=27), offspring group (n=44)] | | | | |
| Mean age         | 68.48±9.47  | 51.89±8.02     | 7.90  | <0.001|
| ESS              | 1.78±2.90   | 1.68±2.72      | 0.14  | 0.889 |
| ISI              | 8.07±6.56   | 5.14±5.80      | 1.97  | 0.053 |
| PSQI subscales   |             |                |       |       |
| 1. Subjective sleep quality | 1.41±0.89 | 1.23±0.68  | 0.91  | 0.371 |
| 2. Sleep latency  | 1.81±1.33   | 1.20±1.13      | 2.06  | 0.043 |
| 3. Sleep duration | 1.41±1.08   | 1.59±1.06      | -0.70 | 0.486 |
| 4. Sleep efficiency | 0.67±0.96 | 0.18±0.54   | 2.40  | 0.022 |
| 5. Sleep disturbance | 0.74±0.45 | 0.66±0.48   | 0.72  | 0.477 |
| 6. Sleep medication | 0.52±1.01 | 0.28±0.68  | 1.32  | 0.194 |
| 7. Daytime dysfunction | 0.63±0.88 | 0.50±0.73  | 0.67  | 0.505 |
| Global score     | 7.19±4.42   | 5.59±3.43      | 1.70  | 0.094 |
| Sleep latency (min) | 45.46±44.16 | 29.26±25.26     | 1.74  | 0.090 |
| Sleep duration (hour) | 6.42±1.43 | 6.08±1.25     | 1.05  | 0.299 |
| Sleep efficiency (%) | 85.70±11.95 | 91.40±6.65   | -2.27 | 0.029 |
| Daytime sleep time (min) | 22.78±38.24 | 12.56±26.19 | 1.33  | 0.189 |

Data are given as means±SD or percentages. Offspring group included sons, sons-in-law, daughters, and daughters-in-law. aMCI: amnestic mild cognitive impairment, ESS: Epworth Sleepiness Scale, ISI: Insomnia Severity Index, min: minute, PSQI: Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index.

Discussion

In this study we examined sleep patterns and disturbances thereof experience by the caregivers of patients with aMCI or dementia. We also investigated sleep scales, neuropsychological tests, and a neuropsychiatric inventory to assess correlations between quality of sleep and various clinical characteristics. Clinic-based patients with aMCI or dementia who had mild-to-moderate dementia were enrolled, and they would be expected to exhibit lower CDR scores and a lower prevalence of BPSD compared with institutionalized patients.

As expected, and consistent with previous studies, the caregivers of dementia patients experienced a lower quality of sleep than did noncaregivers. Interestingly, the BPSD and cognitive impairments of aMCI patients with preserved activities of daily living impinged on the sleep quality experienced by their caregivers. In addition, the PSQI global score (6.25±3.88) tended to be lower than those found in previous studies of dementia caregivers,\textsuperscript{32,33} and similar to those reported for the caregivers of patients with Parkinson’s disease.\textsuperscript{34} However, in contrast to previous studies finding that the severity of dementia directly impacted upon the sleep disturbances experi-
enced by caregivers, we found that the PSQI global score did not vary with the CDR score. Not only were the global PSQI scores worse in dementia caregivers than in noncaregivers, but so also was the subjective sleep quality of dementia caregivers. Although polysomnography (PSG) and self-reported sleep questionnaires revealed that dementia caregivers perceive their sleep to be generally worse than that of noncaregiver controls in some studies, this perception did not correspond with objective sleep assessments. In agreement with other studies, the present results revealed decreased sleep duration in dementia caregivers, which was lower than the needs of a normal adult (7–9 h/day), and increased sleep latency. Moreover, ISI was the most powerful contributor to sleep disturbances in dementia caregivers, and 38% of patients with ISI scores of >7 complained of moderate-to-severe insomnia. Caregivers who are woken frequently can fall into iatrogenic sleep routines that are part of an ineffective attempt to compensate for having their nightly rest disturbed by cat-napping throughout the day, drinking coffee to stay awake, or consuming alcohol to help fall asleep, progressing eventually to a

### Table 5. Correlations between the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI) and patient variables and caregiver measures according to caregiving group

| PSQI | aMCI caregivers [n=60] | Dementia caregivers [n=72] |
|------|------------------------|---------------------------|
|      | Global sleep quality   | Subjective sleep quality  | Global sleep quality | Subjective sleep quality |
| Caregiver age | 0.283*                 | 0.262*                    | 0.125                | 0.075 |
| Caregiver education | -0.381*               | -0.309*                   | -0.087               | -0.077 |
| Caregiver gender | 0.072                 | 0.133                     | -0.081               | -0.091 |
| ESS | 0.048                  | 0.006                     | 0.436*               | 0.226 |
| ISI | 0.786*                 | 0.740*                    | 0.740*               | 0.710* |
| BQ | 0.114                  | 0.093                     | 0.194                | 0.192 |
| MOCA-K (caregiver) | -0.214                | -0.135                   | -0.250*              | -0.202 |
| GDS-S (caregiver) | 0.508*                 | 0.405*                    | 0.396*               | 0.286* |
| Patient age | -0.115                 | -0.057                   | -0.024               | -0.057 |
| Patient education | -0.031              | 0.065                     | 0.007                | 0.026 |
| K-MMSE (patient) | 0.273*                | 0.328*                    | 0.003                | 0.066 |
| CDR | 0.106                  | 0.053                     | 0.157                | 0.132 |
| CDR-SB | 0.184                | 0.252                     | 0.111                | 0.095 |
| B-ADL | 0.104                | 0.027                     | -0.066               | -0.078 |
| S-IADL (present) | 0.143                 | 0.262*                    | 0.184                | 0.154 |
| S-IADL (potential) | 0.075                | 0.316*                    | 0.163                | 0.137 |
| GDS (patient) | -0.007                | -0.066                    | 0.395*               | 0.002 |
| K-NPI |                      |                           |                      |
| 1] Delusion | -0.029                | 0.043                     | 0.208                | 0.222 |
| 2] Hallucination | -0.092               | -0.205                    | 0.008                | -0.012 |
| 3] Agitation/aggression | 0.254                | 0.169                     | 0.287*               | 0.166 |
| 4] Depression/dysphoria | 0.276*               | 0.210                     | 0.339*               | 0.292* |
| 5] Anxiety | -0.001                | 0.012                     | 0.182                | 0.029 |
| 6] Elation/euphoria | n/a                 | n/a                       | -0.091               | -0.002 |
| 7] Apathy | 0.261*                | 0.244                     | 0.127                | 0.073 |
| 8] Disinhibition | 0.319*               | 0.241                     | 0.096                | 0.009 |
| 9] Irritability | 0.014                 | -0.054                    | 0.053                | 0.012 |
| 10] Aberrant motor behavior | 0.094               | 0.157                     | 0.025                | -0.011 |
| 11] Sleep/nighttime behavior | 0.171               | 0.104                     | -0.103               | 0.038 |
| 12] Appetite/eating disorder | -0.041              | -0.098                    | 0.322*               | 0.324* |
| K-NPI total score | 0.236                | 0.152                     | 0.204                | 0.161 |

Values are correlation coefficients. *p<0.01, †p<0.05 (two-tailed).

aMCI: amnestic mild cognitive disorder, B-ADL: Barthel Activities of Daily Living Index, BQ: Berlin Questionnaire, CDR: Clinical Dementia Rating, CDR-SB: the sum of the box score of the CDR, ESS: Epworth Sleepiness Scale, GDS: Geriatric Depression Scale, GDS-S: short form of the Geriatric Depression Scale, ISI: Insomnia Severity Index, K-MMSE: Korean Mini-Mental State Examination, K-NPI: Korean version of Neuropsychiatric Inventory, MOCA-K: Montreal Cognitive Assessment-Korean version, n/a: not applicable, S-IADL: Seoul-Instrumental Activities of Daily Living.
A novel finding of our study was that agitation/aggression, depression, and appetite changes as reflected by the K-NPI scores were significantly related to global sleep quality, whereas nighttime behavior was not. Previous studies found that the nighttime behaviors of care recipients precipitated caregiver awakening, and that it can be difficult for vulnerable caregivers to fall asleep again. Although nocturnal behavioral disturbances are no longer present, disrupted sleep patterns persist. Another point of difference of the present study is that agitation/aggression, apathy, and depression in patients are correlated with the quality of sleep experienced by their caregivers. A recent study found that increased neuropsychiatric symptoms and worse executive functioning of MCI patients were related to a greater caregiver burden, and that caregivers with a greater burden reported lower life satisfaction and social support, and a greater need for support services.

Mean PSQI global scores for aMCI caregivers were not above the cutoff; however, significant differences in sleep efficiency and PSQI global score were found between these caregivers and their noncaregiver counterparts. The ISI score, which was positively associated with PSQI global score, appeared to be higher for aMCI caregivers than for noncaregivers, but the difference did not reach statistical significance. Insomnia was experienced by 29% (18/60) of the aMCI caregivers. In fact, primary sleep disorders such as OSA, RLS, and periodic limb movement disorder are more common in older adults, but they frequently go undiagnosed, and so may play unrecognized roles in many of the sleep complaints reported by caregivers.

There is growing evidence that sleep is significantly impaired in patients with MCI at both the objective and subjective levels, and might be useful as a surrogate marker of preclinical disease. In terms of the impact of BPSD on sleep disturbance, it is worth noting that disinhibition, apathy, and depression in patients are correlated with the quality of sleep experienced by their caregivers. A recent study found that increased neuropsychiatric symptoms and worse executive functioning of MCI patients were related to a greater caregiver burden, and that caregivers with a greater burden reported lower life satisfaction and social support, and a greater need for support services. In addition, sleep disturbances in MCI patients could affect the quality of sleep experienced by their caregivers. Further studies concerning MCI caregivers are needed.

Our results differ from those of previous studies in several respects. Caregivers’ depressive symptoms were not only significant contributors to the variance in caregiver sleep quality, but were also significantly correlated with the measured global quality of sleep for both aMCI and dementia caregivers. Caregivers scored below the cutoff for depressive symptoms in GDS-S in other studies. Nevertheless, 13% of aMCI caregivers and 17% of dementia caregivers scored above the cutoff (of 8) in the GDS-S in the present study. In one longitudinal study concerning clinic-based patients, caregiver depression and subjective burden were found to be the most powerful predictors of the onset of new caregiver sleep disturbances. Another point of difference of the present study is that aging and gender were not risk factors for disturbed sleep quality, in contrast to some previous studies in which the participants were limited to older females. Of course, most dementia
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Table 7. Standardized regression coefficients for sleep quality (n=132)

|                          | Model 1 | Model 2 | Model 3 |
|--------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| aMCI caregivers (n=60)   |         |         |         |
| Caregiver age            | 0.156   | 0.132   |         |
| Caregiver gender         | 0.025   | 0.023   |         |
| GDS-S (caregiver)        | 0.209   | 0.209*  |         |
| ESS                      | 0.022   | 0.086   |         |
| ISI                      | 0.786*  | 0.696*  |         |
| Agitation/aggression     | 0.084   | 0.020   |         |
| Depression               | 0.057   | 0.004   |         |
| Apathy                   | 0.022   | 0.012   |         |
| Disinhibition            | 0.105   | 0.127   |         |
| Appetite changes         | 0.047   | 0.040   |         |
| R²                       | 0.618   | 0.653   |         |
| Adjusted R²              | 0.611   | 0.641   |         |
| Δ F                      | 93.643  | 5.843   |         |

Dementia caregivers [n=72]

|                          |         |         |         |
|--------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Caregiver age            | -0.076  | -0.069  | -0.085  |
| Caregiver gender         | -0.151  | -0.130  | -0.085  |
| GDS-S (caregiver)        | 0.106   | 0.272*  | 0.245†  |
| ESS                      | 0.263   | 0.234   | 0.234†  |
| ISI                      | 0.740*  | 0.692*  | 0.636*  |
| Agitation/aggression     | 0.081   | 0.061   | -0.005  |
| Depression               | 0.155   | 0.109   | 0.102   |
| Apathy                   | 0.011   | -0.022  | -0.001  |
| Disinhibition            | 0.016   | -0.022  | -0.047  |
| Appetite changes         | 0.110   | 0.106   | 0.105   |
| R²                       | 0.548   | 0.619   | 0.670   |
| Adjusted R²              | 0.541   | 0.608   | 0.655   |
| Δ F                      | 84.751  | 12.969  |         |

* p<0.001, † p<0.01, ‡ p<0.05 (two-tailed).

Caregivers are older females; however, as the prevalence rates of dementia and/or MCI increase, we assume that caregivers of various ages and relationships to patients will be needed to perform caregiving duties.

In addition, the spouse caregivers in the present study complained more about sleep disturbances than did the offspring caregivers. In one cross-sectional study, family caregivers were more impaired than nonfamily caregivers with regard to sleep quality." We postulate that this can be attributed to differences in the role of caregiving among family members.

This study was subject to several limitations. First, it involved only a single center in Korea, which limits the generalizability of the results; multicenter studies are thus needed. Second, only clinic-based patients were enrolled, and most of patients had mild-to-moderate dementia. Furthermore, we did not investigate the pattern of nocturia and mobility among the patients, both of which could affect their caregivers’ sleep. Third, we did not include nonamnestic MCI patients, who probably have other dementias, such as frontotemporal dementia, dementia with Lewy bodies, or VD. In addition, due to the small sample of caregivers for patients with VD, we could not evaluate its various subtypes or study the relationship between K-NPI score and sleep quality stratified according to dementia subtype (i.e., AD vs. VD). Fourth, we did not perform PSG or actigraphy, which would facilitate objective evaluations of caregiver sleep.

The findings of this study demonstrate that the quality of sleep is worse for caregivers of dementia and aMCI patients than for noncaregivers. ISI, depressive mood, and ESS were strong indicators of poor sleep among dementia caregivers, and some BPSD and aspects of neuropsychological test results appear to be early indicators of caregiver sleep disturbances.
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