Cytosolic PTEN-induced Putative Kinase 1 Is Stabilized by the NF-κB Pathway and Promotes Non-selective Mitophagy*
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Background: Full-length PTEN-induced putative kinase 1 (PINK1) is an important regulator of mitophagy, but the function of its highly labile cytosolic counterpart is often overlooked.

Results: Cytosolic PINK1 is stabilized by TRAF6/NF-κB activation via Lys-63-linked ubiquitination and promotes the removal of apparently healthy mitochondria.

Conclusion: Cytosolic PINK1 can be stabilized to bring about non-selective mitophagy.

Significance: The phenomenon may represent a cytoprotective response to counteract oxidative stress.

The potential cellular function of the 53-kDa cytosolic form of PINK1 (PINK1-53) is often overlooked because of its rapid degradation by the proteasome upon its production. Although a number of recent studies have suggested various roles for PINK1-53, how this labile PINK1 species attains an adequate expression level to fulfill these roles remains unclear. Here we demonstrated that PINK1-53 is stabilized in the presence of enhanced Lys-63-linked ubiquitination and identified TRAF6-related NF-κB activation as a novel pathway involved in this. We further showed that a mimic of PINK1-53 promotes mitophagy but, curiously, in apparently healthy mitochondria. We speculate that this “non-selective” form of mitophagy may potentially help to counteract the build-up of reactive oxygen species in cells undergoing oxidative stress and, as such, represent a cytoprotective response.

Far from being solitary and static structures, mitochondria are now recognized to be dynamic organelles that constantly undergo membrane remodeling through repeated cycles of fusion and fission as well as regulated turnover via a specialized lysosome-mediated degradation pathway known as “mitophagy.” Collectively, these processes help to maintain the quality and, thereby, optimal function of mitochondria and allow the organelle to respond rapidly to changes in cellular energy status. Recent studies have revealed that two genes, whose mutations are linked to familial parkinsonism, i.e. parkin (encoding a ubiquitin ligase) and PINK1 (encoding a serine/threonine kinase), are important for mitophagy (1). According to the proposed model (2), a key initial event that occurs upon mitochondrial depolarization is the selective accumulation of PINK1 on the outer membrane of the damaged organelle. This accumulation allows PINK1 to recruit parkin (3), whose latent ubiquitin ligase activity becomes unmasked along the way, in part because of its phosphorylation by PINK1 (4, 5). PINK1 also phosphorylates ubiquitin, which binds and activates parkin (6, 7). Activated parkin then promotes the ubiquitination and subsequent degradation of many outer mitochondrial membrane proteins (8, 9). During the process, parkin-decorated mitochondria progressively cluster toward the perinucleus region to form mitoaggresomes, which, by virtue of their association with lysosomal components, are removed over time in an autophagy-dependent manner.

Selective mitophagy as described above does not occur in healthy mitochondria because the PINK1 protein containing a mitohondrion-targeting signal at its N terminus is normally imported rapidly into the inner mitochondrial membrane through the sequential actions of the translocase of outer mitochondrial membrane complex and the translocase of inner mitochondrial membrane complex. During the importation process, the full-length PINK1 is progressively modified by a series of mitochondrial proteases to a 53-kDa cleaved form (hereafter designated PINK1-53) that is degraded rapidly by the proteasome (10, 11). Current evidence suggests that PINK1-53 is retrotranslocated to the cytosol and degraded through the N-end rule pathway via the actions of the ubiquitin protein ligase E3 component n-recognition (UBR) family of E3 ligases (12). Although the above proteolytic events would ensure that PINK1 is kept at low levels under normal conditions (and, as such, to prevent unintended mitophagy from occurring), it is intriguing to note that the cell has to go through such an elaborate process (i.e. to synthesize, import, cleave it twice, and degrade the protein) just to restrict its expression. It is attractive, therefore, to speculate that the 53-kDa PINK1 protein may be stabilized under certain conditions to subserve some cellular roles. Supporting this, accumulation of PINK1-53 has been...
reported in the brains of both idiopathic and PINK1-related Parkinson disease patients (13, 14). Moreover, recent studies have also implicated several functional roles for PINK1-53 (including being a neuroprotectant and a promoter of neurite outgrowth as well as an inhibitor of mitophagy) (15–17), although none of these studies describe how this labile species of PINK1 may attain an adequate expression level in the first place to fulfill the suggested roles.

Here we show that PINK1-53 is stabilized in the presence of Lys-63-linked ubiquitination. Importantly, we identified TRAF6 as an E3 ligase responsible for the phenomenon and demonstrated that TRAF6-related NF-κB activation promotes the stability of PINK1-53. Contrary to a recent report (16), we found that PINK1-53 does not inhibit parkin-mediated mitophagy. Instead, it appears to trigger parkin translocation to normal mitochondria, leading to their clearance. Taken together, our study elucidated a novel mechanism by which the otherwise highly labile PINK1-53 may be stabilized and, at the same time, expanded the role of PINK1 to include its participation in “non-selective” mitophagy.

Materials and Methods

Antibodies and Reagents—Antibodies used were as follows: mouse anti-β-actin and anti-FLAG-peroxidase (Sigma); mouse anti-GFP, anti-[c-myc]-peroxidase, and anti-HA-peroxidase (Roche Diagnostics); mouse anti-Tim23 and rabbit anti-Tim20 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology); mouse anti-Lys-63 (clone HWA4C4) (Enzo Life Science); rabbit anti-PINK1 (BC100-494, Novus Biologicals); rabbit mAB anti-p65, anti-1kB kinase β (IKKβ), anti-phospho-1kBα, and rabbit anti-AKT (Cell Signaling Technology), Rhodamine-Red-conjugated antimouse and anti-rabbit IgG, Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated antimouse, Alexa Fluor 647-conjugated anti-mouse and anti-rabbit IgG, and Alexa Fluor 405-conjugated anti-rabbit and anti-mouse IgG (BD Biosciences), and anti-mouse and anti-rabbit peroxidase (GE Healthcare). Chemicals/reagents were used as follows: MitoTracker Red CMXROS (Molecular Probes); 3-methyladenine, dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), 5% CO2 atmosphere. Stably transfected N-terminal GFP-tagged Parkin HEK293 cells, a gift from Keiji Tanaka and Noriyuki Matsuda (Tokyo Metropolitan Institute of Medical Science), were maintained in the abovementioned medium supplemented with 5 μg/ml puromycin. Transfections of cells were carried out using Lipofectamine PLUS (Invitrogen) or X-tremeGENE HP DNA (Roche) transfection reagent according to the instructions of the manufacturer. Transfected cells were lysed with PBS containing 1% Triton X-100 and analyzed by Western blot as described previously (19). The proteasome assay was performed with fresh Triton X-100-soluble fractions as described previously (20).
17.5 mouse fetuses from C57BL/6 were obtained, and their meninges were removed. The cortex was isolated and dissociated with 0.25% trypsin for 20 min, followed by the addition of trypsin inhibitor. Cells were washed, titrated, and resuspended in neurobasal medium supplemented with B27 and GlutaMAX (Gibco). Cells were transfected with the nucleofector kit for mouse neurons (Lonza) according to the instructions of the manufacturer. Briefly, 10^6 cells were pooled and electroporated with 10 μg each of FLAG-mcherry-parkin with the FUGW-IRES-GFP or FUGW-PINK1Δ104-IRES-GFP plasmids. The O-0005 program was used. 200,000 cells were then plated onto 13-mm coverslips coated with 1 μg/ml poly-L-lysine. 4 days later, cells were fixed, stained, and analyzed.

**Immunoprecipitation and Immunocytochemistry—**HEK293 cells were harvested and lysed in radioimmuno precipitation assay buffer (containing 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% SDS, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 1% Nonidet P-40, 10 μg/ml aprotinin, 1 mM PMSF, 1% (v/v) phosphatase inhibitor mixture II and III, and 0.5 mM N-ethylmaleimide) and centrifuged at 13,500 rpm for 15 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was subjected to immunoprecipitation as described previously (21) with anti-PINK1. PINK1 was detected with Clean-Blot immunoprecipitation detection reagent HRP (Thermo Scientific) which specifically recognizes the native primary antibody and as such prevents the interference of the denatured heavy immunoglobulin chain that migrates at similar position as PINK1–53 on SDS-PAGE. For immunocytochemical analysis, HEK293 or GFP-Parkin HeLa cells were treated 24 h post-transfection with the indicated pharmacological reagents. Cells were processed and imaged as described previously (19). Quantitative results reported are an average of at least three experiments.

**NF-κB Inhibitors, shRNA Treatment, and Luciferase Assay—**HEK293 cells were transfected with untagged PINK1 alone or with either control, p65, or IKKα shRNA. For NF-κB inhibitor experiments, 36 h after transfection, the cells were washed with fresh medium and treated with 20 μM BMS-34551 (Sigma) or 20 μM SC-514 (Calbiochem) for 1 h and, subsequently, with 10 ng/ml TNFα for 4 h. For the shRNA experiment, 24 h after transfection, cells were treated with 5 μg/ml puromycin for 20 h to select for transfected cells. 20 h later, cells were washed with fresh medium and treated with 10 ng/ml TNFα for 4 h. For the NF-κB luciferase assay, HEK 293 cells were also transfected with the pSEAP2-control vector and the pNFκB-MetLuc2 reporter vector (Clontech). Media was collected after TNFα treatment, and the luciferase assay was performed on 10× diluted media with the Ready-To-Glow™ dual secreted reporter assay kit (Clontech) in accordance with the instructions of the manufacturer. Technical triplicates and experimental triplicates were performed. Relative luciferase activity was calculated by normalizing the luciferase values with the pSEAP values. Luminescence (fold difference) was computed by normalizing the relative luciferase activity to untreated cells or cells transfected with control shRNA.

**Statistical Analysis—**Statistical significance for all quantitative data obtained was analyzed using Student’s t test (*, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.001).
Results

Lys-63-linked Ubiquitination Stabilizes PINK1-53—Under normal conditions, PINK1-53 protein is highly unstable, even when its precursor full-length protein is overexpressed in cells (Fig. 1A). Appreciable amounts of PINK1-53 could only be observed in the presence of proteasome inhibitors (e.g. MG132, PSI, lactacystin) but not autophagy inhibitors (e.g. 3-methyladenine, bafilomycin), suggesting that the cleaved PINK1 species is rapidly and selectively degraded by the proteasome (Fig. 1A). Conversely, in the presence of CCCP, a chemical uncoupler that collapses the mitochondrial membrane potential (ΔΨm) and prevents the importation of PINK1 into the mitochondria, full-length PINK1 but not PINK1-53 accumulates selectively (Fig. 1A). Together, these results demonstrate the highly labile nature of the PINK1-53 protein under normal growth conditions and support the current model of PINK1 processing.

Given that the stability of a protein may be enhanced by Lys-63-linked ubiquitination, we wondered whether this form of ubiquitin modification that is typically uncoupled from the proteasome could influence the stability of the otherwise short-lived PINK1-53 protein, which is presumably normally ubiquitinated via linkages associated with proteasomal degradation. To examine this, we coexpressed full-length untagged PINK1 in HEK293 cells in the absence or presence of HA-tagged wild-type or mutant ubiquitin species that we have reported previously to either support (i.e. Lys-63, K48R) or prevent (i.e. Lys-48, Lys-63R) Lys-63 ubiquitin chain formation (20). MG132-treated PINK1-transfected cells were included as a positive control. Consistent with the proteasome-independent role of Lys-63-linked ubiquitination, we found that the level of PINK1-53 is increased in the presence of Lys-63 HA-ubiquitin coexpression and, to a comparable extent, to that found in MG132-treated PINK1-transfected cells (Fig. 1B). This increase in PINK1–53 level was also observed with Lys-48-Arg HA-ubiquitin coexpression. Comparatively, PINK1-53 expression is reduced dramatically in transfected cells coexpressing wild-type, Lys-48, or Lys-63-Arg HA-ubiquitin (Fig. 1B). Our results therefore suggest that enhanced Lys-63-linked ubiquitination can stabilize PINK1-53. To complement these findings, we repeated our experiments with Ubc13 (which specifies for Lys-63-linked ubiquitination and/or mitochondrial function, including TRAF6, UCHL1, CHIP, Mulan, March5, RNF-11, RNF-144B, and RNF-185 (24). Among these, we found that TRAF6 overexpression could appreciably enhance the level of PINK1-53 (Fig. 2, A–D). Interestingly, Murata et al. (25) have demonstrated recently that TRAF6 interacts with and stabilizes full-length PINK1 (25). Here we found that TRAF6 also dramatically promotes the level of PINK1-53. We further found that, in the presence of A20, a deubiquitinating enzyme known to disrupt the formation of Lys-63-linked chains by TRAF6 (26), the stabilization of PINK1 by TRAF6 is reduced in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 2E), suggesting that the phenomenon is dependent on Lys-63-linked ubiquitination. Notably, A20 coexpression can also overcome Ubc13-mediated stabilization of PINK1-53 (Fig. 2F).

To examine whether PINK1-53 is ubiquitinated by TRAF6 without the confounding presence of ectopic full-length PINK1, we generated a truncation mutant of PINK1 that is deleted of the N terminus 104 residues (i.e. PINK1Δ104) (Fig. 3A). The PINK1Δ104 mutant shares sequence identity with PINK1-53, except for its first amino acid residue, which is methionine, from the start codon instead of phenylalanine, a modification that allows PINK1Δ104 to escape the N-rule degradation pathway (12). Consistent with this, we found that PINK1Δ104 is robustly expressed in the absence of proteasome inhibition (Fig. 3A). Notably, overexpressed PINK1Δ104 resides predominantly in the cytosol without appreciable localization (if at all) to the mitochondria (Fig. 3B). This is in agreement with the observations reported by others (12). As an initial investigation, we checked whether PINK1Δ104 may be directly ubiquitinated by overexpressed HA-tagged Lys-63 ubiquitin, especially given our earlier finding that PINK1-53 is stabilized in the presence of Lys-63 ubiquitin overexpression (Fig. 1B).
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For this purpose, we immunoprecipitated PINK1Δ104 from transfected cells coexpressing HA-Ub-Lys-63 and observed robust PINK1 ubiquitination in the presence but not absence of the ubiquitin mutant (Fig. 3C), suggesting that PINK1-53 can be modified via Lys-63-linked ubiquitin chains. Next we examined the role of TRAF6 in promoting the ubiquitination of PINK1Δ104. We used exogenous wild-type ubiquitin because we wished to know whether TRAF6 would assemble Lys-63-linked chains on PINK1Δ104 when given the choice. In the presence (but not absence) of TRAF6 and wild-type HA-tagged ubiquitin, PINK1Δ104 immunoprecipitates display a PINK1-positive high molecular weight laddering pattern that is immunoreactive for anti-HA (Fig. 3, D and E), indicating that PINK1Δ104 is ubiquitinated by TRAF6. The specificity of this TRAF6-mediated reaction is illustrated by the absence of the clear laddering pattern when E3 is substituted by a related member, TRAF3 (Fig. 3D). When probed with an antibody specific for Lys-63-linked ubiquitin topology, the PINK1- and HA-positive high molecular weight bands that are generated in the presence of TRAF6 exhibit robust staining for the ubiquitin chain (Fig. 3E). These results suggest that Δ104 PINK1 is ubiquitinated by TRAF6 and via Lys-63-linked ubiquitination. Therefore, it appears that the fate of the labile PINK1-53 cytosolic species may be altered en route to its degradation by TRAF6-mediated Lys-63-linked ubiquitination in a manner that would result in its stabilization.

**NF-κB Pathway Activation Stabilizes PINK1-53**—The involvement of TRAF6, a transducer of the NF-κB pathway, as a regulator of PINK1 stability suggests that NF-κB signaling activation might be relevant here. To address this, we treated PINK1-expressing cells with TNFα and PMA, which are known pharmacological activators of the pathway. We found that both TNFα and PMA treatment, like TRAF6 overexpression, result in the stabilization of PINK1-53 without exerting any apparent effects on proteasome function (Fig. 4A). Furthermore, we found that the stability of PINK1-53 is reduced significantly in TNFα-treated PINK1-expressing cells in the presence of A20 coexpression (Fig. 4B), suggesting again that Lys-63-linked ubiquitination is important for PINK1-53 stabilization.

In the canonical pathway, the NF-κB-related transcription factors are bound and inhibited by the IkB proteins. Activators of the pathway induce the activation of the IKK complex (comprising three members, i.e. IKKα, IKKβ, and NF-κB essential modulator (NEMO)) that is responsible for the phosphorylation of IkBα and its subsequent proteasomal degradation via ubiquitination (Fig. 4C). To dissect the role of the pathway in stabilizing PINK1-53 further, PINK1 was coexpressed in the presence of wild-type IKKβ, which is expected to promote NF-κB signaling. Alongside this, an inactive IKKβ mutant (K44M) and a constitutively active counterpart (S177E/S181E) were included as controls. In the presence of wild-type or S177E/S181E IKKβ coexpression, PINK1-53 was stabilized to a comparable extent to that brought about by TRAF6 (Fig. 4D). This stabilization effect is abolished when wild-type IKK is substituted by the inactive K44M mutant (Fig. 4D). Along the same line of investigation, we coexpressed PINK1 with wild-type IkBα along with its dominant-negative (S32A/S36A) mutant, both of which were expected to repress NF-κB activation. As anticipated, neither of the IkBα species leads to appreciable stabilization of PINK1-53 (Fig. 4E). Moreover, both wild-type and mutant IkBα (along with the IKKβ K44M mutant) are able to block TNFα-mediated enhancement of the PINK1-53 level (Fig. 4F). Therefore, the negative effects of IkBα need to be repressed for PINK1-53 stabilization to occur in the presence of NF-κB activation. The importance of this is illustrated further by the ability of the constitutively active S177E/S181E IKKβ mutant to promote the expression of PINK1-53 in the presence...
of wild-type IκBα but not the dominant-negative species (Fig. 4E). Again, none of the genetic components of the NF-κB pathway that were tested here has any effects on 20S proteasome activity (data not shown), suggesting that their respective effect on PINK1-53 is independent of the proteasome. As an alternative approach, we repeated our experiments in the presence of shRNA directed at silencing p65 (a subunit of NF-κB) or IKKβ expression. Both strategies led to a significant reduction in the level of PINK1-53 in TNFα-treated PINK1-expressing cells relative to control cells transfected with non-targeting shRNA (Fig. 4G). The efficacy of the p65 and IKKβ shRNAs in reducing their expression and, thereby, NF-κB activation was ascertained by means of phospho-IκBα immunoblotting and a NF-κB-linked luciferase assay (Fig. 4G). Additionally, we also tried to pharmacologically inhibit the pathway via treatment of cells with BMS-34551 or SC-514, which are commercially available selective inhibitors of IKK. Similarly, we saw a reduction in the level of PINK1-53 in TNFα-treated PINK1-expressing cells.

FIGURE 3. PINK1-53 is ubiquitinated by TRAF6 via Lys-63-linked chains. A, left panel, schematic showing the domains present in WT PINK1 and PINK1Δ104. Right panel, anti-PINK1 immunoblot of cell lysates prepared from HEK293 cells transfected with either vector, untagged PINK1, or PINK1Δ104. The anti-AKT blot served as a loading control. MTS, mitochondrial-targeting sequence. B, representative confocal images of HEK293 cells overexpressing untagged PINK1Δ104 immunostained with anti-PINK1 (green) and anti-Tim23 (red). Note that PINK1Δ104 does not colocalize with Tim23. C, anti-PINK1 and anti-HA (UbK63) immunoblots of PINK1Δ104 immunoprecipitates from cells expressing HA-tagged Lys-63 ubiquitin or PINK1Δ104 alone or in combination as indicated. This experiment was duplicated. D, representative anti-PINK1 and anti-HA immunoblots showing the extent of ubiquitination (Ub) in PINK1 immunoprecipitates (IP-PINK1) from control or PINK1Δ104-transfected cells expressing HA-ubiquitin in the absence or presence of myc-TRAF6 or HA-TRAF3. The expression of PINK1 and HA-ubiquitin is shown in the INPUT blots. E, the same as D, except that TRAF3 is omitted and the blots include anti-UbLys-63 staining. These experiments were repeated at least three times.
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FIGURE 4. The NF-κB pathway activation stabilizes PINK1-53. A, representative immunoblots of at least three experimental sets showing the expression level of PINK1-53 in untreated PINK1-transfected HEK293 cells or those treated with vehicle (DMSO), 10 ng/ml TNFα, or 10 ng/ml PMA for 4 h. Shown are the chymotrypsin-like proteasome activities of lysates prepared from these cells. B, representative anti-PINK1 and anti-GFP immunoblots of lysates prepared from TNFα-treated cells expressing PINK1 alone or with GFP-A2O. C, schematic depicting the components of the NF-κB signaling pathway. NEMO, NF-κB essential modulator. D, representative immunoblots of at least three experimental sets showing the expression level of full-length PINK1 and PINK1-53 in PINK1-transfected HEK293 coexpressing FLAG-WT, inactive (K44M), or a constitutively active (S177E/S181E) IKKβ or myc-TRAF6, as indicated. E, representative immunoblots of at least three experimental sets showing the expression level of PINK1-53 in PINK1-transfected HEK293 coexpressing HA-WT, or dominant negative S32/36A IκBα. F, representative anti-PINK1 and anti-FLAG immunoblots of cell lysates prepared from TNFα-treated HEK293 cells overexpressing untagged PINK1 with FLAG-K44M IKKβ, HA-WT IκBα, or mutant IκBα. This experiment was duplicated. G, representative immunoblots showing the levels of PINK1-53 in the presence or absence of p65, IKKβ shRNA, or non-targeting control shRNA (NT) or a pharmacological inhibitor of IKK, i.e. BMS-34551 (BMS) or SC-514. The blots were stripped and reprobed with actin as a loading control. Portions of cell lysates were also immunoblotted for NF-κB-related components. Numbers indicate the average -fold (Avg. Fold) change in the densitometric level of PINK1-53. The bar graph shows the activity of NF-κB in a separate luciferase-based assay in the absence or presence of p65 and IKKβ shRNA or a pharmacological inhibitor of IKK, i.e. BMS-34551 or SC-514 (*, $p < 0.05$). These experiments were repeated three times.

in the presence of these inhibitors (Fig. 4G), the effects of which on NF-κB signaling are again confirmed by the abovementioned assays. Taken together, our results strongly suggest that the NF-κB pathway is a major regulator of the stability of PINK1-53, which may occur at various levels of the pathway upstream or downstream of TRAF6.

The PINK1-53 Mimetic Parkin-mediated Mitophagy in the Apparent Absence of Mitochondrial Depolarization—Interestingly, Fedorowicz et al. (16) recently reported that cytosolic cleaved PINK1 (i.e. PINK1-53) represses parkin translocation to mitochondria and, consequently, inhibits mitophagy (16). Given this finding and our results above, it is attractive to speculate that TRAF6/NF-κB activation may potentially counteract mitophagy through the promotion of PINK1-53 expression. As an initial approach to examine this, we overexpressed PINK1Δ104 (which mimics PINK1-53) in GFP-parkin-expressing HeLa cells and subjected them to CCCP treatment to see whether mitophagy is retarded. Two time points (i.e. 4 h and 24 h) post-CCCP treatment were chosen to reflect the two key aspects of the mitophagy process, i.e. coaggregation of parkin with mitochondria (visualized by means of Tim23 staining) and clearance of parkin-decorated mitochondria, respectively. Surprisingly, in the presence of PINK1Δ104 expression, neither the coaggregation of parkin with mitochondria nor the subsequent clearance of these structures was affected (Fig. 5A). Moreover, unlike wild-type PINK1 that is colocalized with parkin/Tim23-positive mitochondria in CCCP-treated cells, PINK1Δ104 remains in the cytosol in the midst of juxtanuclear parkin-mitochondrion clustering (Fig. 5B). Similar observations were made when we repeated the experiment in HEK293 cells cotransfected with parkin and PINK1Δ104 (Fig. 5C). Therefore, PINK1Δ104 expression does not appear to retard mitophagy in our hands. We were unsure about the discrepancy between our finding and that reported by Fedorowicz et al. (16). However, we noted that Fedorowicz et al. (16) used valinomycin instead of CCCP as a mitochondrial depolarizer in their experimental paradigm. We therefore repeated our experiments by treating PINK1Δ104-transfected GFP-parkin-expressing HeLa cells with 1 μM valinomycin for 1.5 and 16 h to replicate the conditions described by Fedorowicz et al. (16). Interestingly, using this paradigm, we found that, at 1.5 h post-valinomycin treatment, HeLa-GFP Parkin cells expressing PINK1Δ104 show a significant reduction in the percentage of parkin-mitochondrion colocalization compared with control-treated cells (Fig. 6, A and B). This is similar to what Fedorowicz et al. (16) have reported. However, at 16 h post-valinomycin treatment, we again found that the expression of PINK1Δ104 did not compromise the clearance of damaged mitochondria (Fig. 6, A and C). Taken together, our results do not support a role for PINK1-53 as an inhibitor of parkin-mediated mitophagy in the presence of CCCP. In the presence of valinomycin, PINK1Δ104 appears to moderately retard parkin translocation to the mitochondria, although it does not provide strong inhibition to parkin-mediated mitophagy.

Curiously, during the course of our investigations, we noticed that even in vehicle (DMSO)-treated GFP-parkin-expressing HeLa cells, the presence of PINK1Δ104 tends to promote the clustering of parkin with mitochondria at the perinucleus region (Fig. 7A), which is widely taken to be a preamble to mitophagy. Quantification analysis revealed that about 80%
GFP-parkin cells transfected with PINK1Δ104 exhibit this feature of parkin-mitochondria coaggregation (Fig. 7A). Again, a similar observation was made in HEK293 cells cotransfected with parkin and PINK1Δ104 (Fig. 7B). Importantly, after a prolonged period, a significant percentage of these PINK1Δ104-transfected GFP-parkin-expressing HeLa cells exhibit a reduced level or clearance of mitochondria (Fig. 7A). To exclude the trivial possibility that PINK1Δ104 expression may result in mitochondrial depolarization that leads to parkin-mediated mitophagy, we repeated the experiment in the presence of MitoTracker Red, a red fluorescent dye that stains mitochondria in live cells, and its accumulation is dependent upon mitochondrial membrane potential. Interestingly, GFP-parkin coaggregated with MitoTracker Red-positive mitochondria in the presence of PINK1Δ104 coexpression (Fig. 7C), suggesting that cytosolic PINK1Δ104 (and, thereby, PINK1-53) can promote the translocation of parkin to apparently normal mitochondria to result in their subsequent clearance. Finally, given our demonstration that NF-κB activation can stabilize PINK1-53, we wondered whether it could also result in the removal of mitochondria. To examine this, we treated cells expressing wild-type PINK1 with PMA. As anticipated, we found that pharmacological activation of NF-κB significantly increases the clearance of mitochondria in these cells (Fig. 8). Therefore, the stabilization of PINK1-53 expression appears to promote mitophagy in a non-selective manner.
The NF-κB Pathway Regulates PINK1 Stability

As an additional line of investigation, we wondered whether PINK1-53 could influence parkin-mediated mitophagy in neurons. Although controversial, a number of groups have reported parkin accumulation on mitochondria in CCCP-treated primary neurons as well as in induced pluripotent stem cell-derived human neurons (27–29). Using this paradigm, we examined whether PINK1Δ104 could promote the accumulation of parkin on mitochondria in the absence of CCCP treatment. For this purpose, we transfected primary mouse cortical neurons with PINK1Δ104 linked to an IRES-driven GFP (PINK1Δ104-IRES-GFP) in the presence of mcherry-tagged parkin cotransfection. As a control, we substituted PINK1Δ104-IRES-GFP with IRES-GFP. We found that the percentage of parkin-mitochondria colocalization at 1.5 h of valinomycin treatment (with the total number indicated) (7, p < 0.05) and (G) mitochondrial clearance at 16 h of valinomycin treatment. N, normal; A, aggregated (parkin-positive); R/C, reduced/cleared) with at least 50 cells analyzed in each set. These experiments were repeated three times.

Discussion

Taken together, we demonstrated here that Lys-63-linked ubiquitination stabilizes the otherwise rapidly degraded PINK1-53 and, at the same time, identified TRAF6-related NF-κB activation as a key pathway involved in this. We also showed that PINK1-53 may trigger parkin translocation to apparently normal mitochondria, leading to non-selective mitophagy. In essence, our findings have expanded our understanding regarding the biology of cytosolic PINK1.

To date, research on PINK1 has largely focused on understanding the function of the full-length protein, which has been demonstrated recently by several groups to be an important regulator of mitophagy (28, 30). Comparatively fewer studies have looked into the role of cytosolic PINK1, perhaps because of its labile nature. Besides mitophagy, PINK1 is also known to confer cytoprotection against a wide spectrum of insults, including pro-oxidant compounds and proteasome toxins (31, 32). Although it remains unclear whether mitochondrially localized or extramitochondrial PINK1 is mediating the protective effects, studies that utilized a cytosolic PINK1 mimetic have revealed its ability to confer cytoprotection. For example, Haque et al. (33) showed previously that PINK1 devoid of its mitochondrion-targeting signal motif could still protect neurons against the toxic effects of 1-methyl-4-phenylpyridine (33). More recently, using a PINK1 F104A mutant that favors the production of PINK1-53 and a PINK1 P95A mutant that predominantly expresses the full-length protein, Deas et al. (17) arrived at the conclusion that N-terminally cleaved (i.e. not full-length) PINK1 protects cells against the build-up of oxidative stress, although the mechanism underlying this protection was not well defined. That cytosolic PINK1 is mediating the cytoprotection of PINK1 is a reasonable proposition, considering that the full-length species is usually imported rapidly into the mitochondria, where it is cleaved rapidly and that its stabilization is taken to be a specific preamble to mitophagy. However, the first argument holds for cytosolic PINK1, which, for the most part is a highly labile species that is acted upon by the proteasome, presumably as soon as it re-enters the cytosol from the mitochondria, where it is produced (12). Therefore, for whatever function PINK1-53 is expected to fulfill outside the mitochondria, it first has to be around long enough to perform the function. Notably, a number of studies have indicated that cytosolic PINK1 may be stabilized by chaperones such as Hsp90 and Bag5 (34, 35). Here we provide an alternate mechanism for cytosolic PINK1 stabilization through its ubiquitination via TRAF6-ligand. We also identified TRAF6 as an E3 ligase responsible for this, which recruited our interest in examining the role of the NF-κB pathway in promoting the stability of PINK1-53. We found that pharmacological or genetic activation of the NF-κB pathway resulted in PINK1-53 stabilization. Interestingly, and in a reciprocal fashion, PINK1 has been demonstrated by others to promote IL-1β-mediated inflammatory signaling via the positive regulation of TRAF6 and the associated NF-κB pathway (36). Taking this into consideration, we are tempted to think that PINK1-53 may have a role to play in inflammation. Whether this involves its effects on mitophagy remains to be investigated.

As mentioned earlier, Fedorowicz et al. (16) reported recently that cytosolic cleaved PINK1 (i.e. PINK1-53) represses parkin translocation to mitochondria and, consequently, inhibits mitophagy. This is an attractive mechanism because it provides an additional layer of regulation to ensure that mitophagy does not occur under normal conditions. However, using virtually the same PINK1-53 mimetic, we were unable to see a strong retardation of parkin-mediated mitophagy by PINK1-53 in cells subjected to CCCP or valinomycin treatment, although the PINK1-53 mimetic does appear to moderately retard the translocation of parkin to the mitochondria in cells treated with valinomycin. We used similar conditions as those reported by
Fedorowicz et al. (16) and are therefore unsure about the discrepancy. However, because cleaved PINK1 is known to be degraded rapidly under normal conditions, even in systems that overexpress the full-length protein, we find it difficult to envision that a rapidly degraded PINK1 species would normally act to inhibit mitophagy through its binding to parkin. If it were to be the case, the interaction of cleaved PINK1 with parkin should preclude its degradation by the proteasome and allow its appreciable detection under normal conditions. Moreover, overexpressed parkin should, in theory, be able to overcome the inhibition by endogenous cleaved PINK1 through mass effect to result in mitophagy, but this is not the case, according to the observations by Fedorowicz et al. and others (2, 16).

Instead of retarding mitophagy, we observed the reverse taking place, i.e. PINK1-53 promotes mitophagy under conditions where mitochondria are not overtly depolarized. Why a cell would mediate the clearance of apparently normal mitochondria is bewildering. However, this is not unprecedented. For example, cancer cells within the tumor mass growing under hypoxic conditions are known to rid themselves of mitochondria to facilitate energy generation via glycolysis. Furthermore, recent reports also demonstrated that, in cells undergoing prolonged hypoxia, mitophagy may help to reduce the level of reactive oxygen species and, thereby, cell death and may represent an adaptive metabolic response (37). On the basis of these results and the recognition that the NF-κB signaling pathway,
The NF-κB Pathway Regulates PINK1 Stability

which stabilizes PINK1-53, is a stress response mechanism that is largely protective in nature, we propose that the removal of normal mitochondria via a PINK1-53-mediated mechanism could serve as a protective mechanism under conditions of stress. It is well known that the mitochondria, being the site of the electron transport chain, are one of the main sources of oxidative stress. Therefore, it is conceivable that the removal of mitochondria under conditions where reactive oxygen species are elevated might serve as an attempt by the cell to mitigate the stress.

Finally, pertaining to our findings above, we are cognizant that the majority of our studies were carried out in cultured cell lines, i.e., whether our results are relevant in the neuronal context awaits further characterization. Although we provided a piece of evidence in our study above, suggesting that PINK1-53 may potentially influence parkin-mediated neuronal mitophagy, we feel that this result, although interesting, is preliminary in nature, even though we conducted the study according to the experimental paradigm reported previously by others (27–29). A comprehensive characterization in primary neurons (involving different time points, autophagy markers, and NF-κB activation) needs to be carried out before we can confirm the role of PINK1-53 in neuronal mitochondrial physiology. This is pertinent particularly in view of the controversy surrounding parkin-mediated mitophagy in neurons (38). Furthermore, we utilized ectopically expressed PINK1 in our studies largely because of the notorious lack of specificity of current commercially available PINK1 antibodies in recognizing the endogenous protein. For this purpose, we used an untagged version of PINK1 to avoid artifacts arising from epitope tagging, which is another common problem when working with PINK1. Notwithstanding these caveats, our current findings elucidate a mechanism by which a short-lived PINK1 species may be stabilized and support a functional role for this protein in normal cellular physiology. Together with others who have investigated the function of cytosolic PINK1, our report emphasizes the need to understand this often overlooked PINK1 species better, and we hope that it will pave the way for more studies.
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