Efficacy of subantimicrobial-dose doxycycline against nitrosative stress in chronic periodontitis
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Aim: To evaluate the effectiveness of subantimicrobial-dose doxycycline (SDD) as an adjunct to scaling and root planing (SrP) treatment against the nitrosative stress of moderate to advanced chronic periodontitis.

Methods: Adults with untreated chronic periodontitis (n=174) were randomly administered SrP+SDD (n=87) (20 mg of doxycycline twice daily) or SrP+placebo (n=87) treatment for 3 months. At baseline and after 3 months, the probing depths (PD), bleeding on probing (bOP) and clinical attachment level (CAL) were measured, and a gingivomucosal biopsy was collected to assay the induction of nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) and 3-nitrotyrosine (3NT), and blood was collected to assay for total nitrites and nitrates (NOx) and 3NT.

Results: Compared to baseline, at the completion of treatment, significant decreases in the levels of tissue iNOS and 3NT and serum NOx and 3NT were observed in both groups. SrP+SDD yielded a greater reduction in the gingivomucosal and serum nitrosative stress markers than did SrP+placebo. PD, BOP, and CAL reduction were correlated with the nitrosative stress parameters.

Conclusion: On a short-term basis, SDD therapy may be used as an adjunct to SRP treatment against nitrosative stress in moderate to advanced chronic periodontitis.
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Introduction

Periodontitis is a multifactorial disease caused by the interaction of microbial agents present in the bacterial plaque, host susceptibility, and environmental factors[1]. When stimulated with bacteria or bacterial components, human monocytes, endothelial cells, macrophages, and gingival fibroblasts produce inflammatory mediators that perpetuate and amplify chronic periodontal inflammation through numerous autocrine and paracrine loops[2]. Epidemiological and interventional studies have revealed close associations between periodontal disease and systemic diseases, such as cardiovascular disease, preterm delivery and low birth weight, diabetes mellitus, respiratory infections, osteoporosis, and cancer[3-5]. The main causes of periodontitis-related systemic diseases are thought to be the oral-hematogenous spread of bacteria and the excessive release of periodontal inflammatory mediators[3]. Accordingly, therapeutic strategies for periodontal disease have been directed towards two different and complementary paths: antimicrobial therapy and host modulation. Three categories of host-modulating agents have been tested experimentally and clinically in periodontal therapy: antiproteases (tetracyclines), anti-inflammatory drugs, and bone-sparing drugs (antiresorptive agents such as bisphosphonates)[2, 4]. Tetracyclines are the most extensively investigated host-modulatory agents, and subantimicrobial-dose doxycycline (SDD) is widely used as an adjunct to scaling and root planing (SRP). The therapeutic effects of SDD are executed via collagenase, osteoclast and cytokine inhibition in alveolar bone, connective tissue and epithelium[6-8].

In recent years, growing evidence of the involvement of nitric oxide (NO) and nitro-oxidative stress in chronic periodontitis has highlighted the need to improve diagnostic and treatment algorithms[9]. NO is produced in vivo from L-arginine by nitric oxide synthase (NOS). nNOS/NOS1 and eNOS/NOS3 are constitutive isoforms of the enzyme found in neuronal and endothelial cells, respectively. Inducible NOS
Nitric oxide synthase (NOS2/iNOS) is expressed by various cells, such as macrophages and fibroblasts, in response to inflammatory stimuli, and it produces large amounts of NO. Since large amounts of NO are toxic, NO has been implicated in the pathophysiology of several inflammatory conditions\cite{9,10,11,12}. In such diseases, under conditions of high and sustained NO production, reactive nitrogen oxide species (RNS) (such as nitrogen dioxide, NO$_2$; dinitrogen trioxide, N$_2$O$_3$; and peroxynitrite, ONOO-) are formed by reactions with reactive oxygen species (ROS), and may result in nitrosative or oxidative stress\cite{13,14}. Some experimental and clinical evidence indicates that pharmacological inhibition of iNOS triggers anti-inflammatory responses, which may be of clinical use\cite{12,13}. Furthermore, since NO can interact with other inflammatory mediators, it may be part of a system of dual or multiple inhibitors of the inflammatory cascade\cite{14}.

Based on these assumptions, the aim of this study was to locally and systemically assess the effect of adjunctive SDD treatment on nitrosative stress in moderate to advanced chronic periodontitis.

### Materials and methods

#### Materials

The following primary monoclonal antibodies were used: anti iNOS (R&D Systems, RD-MAB9502, MN, USA), anti 3-nitrotyrosine (3NT) (Novus Europe, KA0445, ABNOVA, Cambridge, UK). Mouse IgG1 Negative Control (X0931), and diaminobenzidine (LSAB System-HRP kit K0679) were purchased from Dako (Glostrup, Denmark), and 3NT ELISA Kit (KA0445) was purchased from ABNOVA c/o EMBLEM (Heidelberg, Germany). Sulfanilamide (SULF), N-(1-naphthyl)ethylenediamine dihydrochloride (NEDD), vanadium(III) chloride (VCl$_3$), methanol, and diethyl ether were purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany) and Sigma-Aldrich (Taufkirchen, Germany). All chemicals were of analysis grade.

#### Study population

The test group ($n=174$), consisting of subjects with untreated chronic periodontitis, was recruited from the Department of Periodontology, University of Medicine and Pharmacy Iuliu Hatieganu, Cluj Napoca, Romania. Their medical and dental histories were obtained, and the patients underwent clinical and radiographic evaluation during a pre-screening visit in order to diagnose periodontal disease\cite{13,14}. The inclusion criteria were as follows: age, 30–60 years; at least 16 teeth present; at least 3 teeth in the same sextant; diagnosis of moderate to advanced chronic periodontitis; at least 2 sites with probing depths (PD) ≥5 mm together with bleeding on probing (BOP); ≥5-mm clinical attachment level (CAL) loss; and radiographic evidence of alveolar bone height loss. The exclusion criteria included chronic treatment with any medication known to affect periodontal status, such as antibiotics and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; clinically significant or unstable organic diseases; compromised healing potential, such as with connective tissue disorders or bone metabolic diseases; females who were pregnant or lactating, or who were of child-bearing potential and not utilizing birth control or abstinence; documented allergies to tetracycline; cigarette smokers; active infectious diseases; and immunocompromised patients or those taking steroid medications. All dental care related to this trial was provided at no cost to the enrolled participants.

Subjects who were eligible based on the clinical pre-screening visit and provided written informed consent were recruited and randomized between May 2008 and May 2011. The last subject completed the clinical trial in July 2011. The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the ethics committee of University of Medicine and Pharmacy “Iuliu Hatieganu,” Cluj-Napoca, Romania.

#### Study design and periodontal clinical measurements

This was a double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomized, 3-month clinical study with 2 treatment arms: SRP+SDD or SRP+placebo. The SRP+SDD group received doxycycline capsules (20 mg of doxycycline, twice daily) and the SRP+placebo group received placebo capsules (filled with cornstarch, twice daily). The study design included 8 visits (Figure 1). Patient eligibility was evaluated during the pre-screening visit (visit 1). After 1 week, during the screening visit (visit 2), the periodontal status at 6 aspects per tooth (mesio-buccal, mid-buccal, disto-buccal, mesio-lingual, mid-buccal, disto-lingual) was assessed.

Figure 1. Study design: visit 1=pre-screening; visit 2=screening and chronic periodontitis diagnosis; visit 3=baseline periodontal clinical examination, blood and gingival tissue sample collection, full-mouth scaling of all supragingival and subgingival deposits of plaque and calculus, beginning of SDD or placebo treatment; visit 4, 5, 6, and 7=root planing and SDD or placebo treatment; visit 8=evaluation of periodontal clinical indices, blood and gingival tissue sample collection. SRP=scaling and root planing; SDD=subantimicrobial-dose doxycycline.
lingual, and disto-lingual) was assessed using a standard manual periodontal probe (DB764R, Aesculap AG, Tuttingen, Germany). At the baseline visit (visit 3), which took place 1 week after the screening, and at the evaluation visit (visit 8), which was performed 3 months after the baseline visit at the time of therapy completion, the clinical periodontal indices PD, BOP and CAL were measured.

At baseline, full-mouth scaling of all supragingival and subgingival deposits of plaque and calculus was performed using both hand and ultrasonic instruments, along with local anesthetic, as needed. After the scaling, patients were randomized to the SRP+SDD ($n=87$) and SRP+placebo ($n=87$) groups, and coded bottles with corresponding capsules were provided. The patients were instructed to take the capsules twice a day at approximately 12-h intervals, 1 h before meals.

Over the following 4 weeks (visits 4, 5, 6, 7), patients returned for root planing sessions (1 quadrant weekly) performed with local anesthesia, following a clockwise route starting from the upper right and ending at the lower right quadrant.

A single experienced periodontist performed all the clinical measurements and the non-surgical periodontal therapy during the trial. At the baseline and evaluation visits, samples were collected for gingival histological examination and blood tests.

Histopathological and immunohistochemical analysis

In all patients, tooth extractions were indicated. Thirty-six patients with chronic periodontitis that provided written consent were selected for gingival biopsies; in each group, 6 tooth extractions were performed at baseline, and 12 tooth extractions were performed at the completion of treatment. Immediately after the extraction of the tooth, a piece of gingival tissue was excised using a scalpel. The biopsies were fixed in 10% phosphate-buffered formalin for 24 h, embedded in paraffin wax, cut into 3–5-μm sections, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (HE) and Masson’s Trichrome (TRI).

A semi-quantitative analysis for edema, fibrosis, necrosis, and dysplasia was performed, with a score of 0–4: 0 (none); 1 (mild); 2 (moderate); 3 (marked); or 4 (severe, diffuse). The inflammatory cell influx, independent for neutrophils and mononuclear cells, was evaluated by counting the number of cells in 20 high-power fields (Cell B basic imaging software, Olympus), and defined as: 0 (0–5 inflammatory cells); 1 (5–10 inflammatory cells); 2 (10–30 inflammatory cells); or 3 (more than 30 inflammatory cells)\cite{12,17–19}.

For immunohistochemistry, the sections were incubated with primary monoclonal antibodies against iNOS and 3NT, diluted in 1% phosphate-buffered saline-bovine serum albumin\cite{20}. The secondary antibody (labeled streptavidin biotin) was applied, followed by incubation with diaminobenzidine. After washing with distilled water, the slides were counterstained with Mayer hematoxylin for 5 min. Negative controls for each sample were prepared by replacing the primary antibody with mouse IgG1 Negative Control. The number of strongly dense immunoreactive cells was counted in 5 randomly selected microscopic high-power fields (400×) (35.450 μm² each). Semi-quantitative scoring of the stained sections was employed. The proportion of immunopositive cells from the total number of inflammatory cells was expressed as a percentage. Measurements were performed 3 times in representative sections\cite{15,21,22}. We used an Olympus BX51 microscope with an Olympus SP 350 digital camera. Cell B basic imaging software (Olympus) was used for the semi-automated counting of infiltrated leukocytes and immunoreactive cells.

Serum nitric oxide evaluation

Fasting venous blood samples were collected, and serum was separated after centrifugation at 1500×g for 10 min. The samples were assayed immediately or stored until analysis at -80°C for biochemical tests and at -20°C for enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISAs).

The Griess reaction was used as an indirect assay to determine the total serum nitrite (NO$_2^-$) and nitrate (NO$_3^-$) as a measure of the degree of NO production (NO). Serum samples were passed through 10-kDa filters (Sartorius AG, Goettingen, Germany) and deproteinized by methanol/diethyl ether (3/1, v/v) (sample: methanol/diethyl ether, 1:9, v/v)\cite{22}. In brief, 100 μL of VCl$_2$ (8 mg/mL) was added to 100 μL of the supernatant for the reduction of nitrate to nitrite, followed by the addition of the Griess reagents, 50 μL of SULF (2%) and 50 μL of NEDD (0.1%). After 30 min of incubation at 37°C, the absorbance was read at 540 nm. Serum NO$_x$ was expressed as nitrite μmol/L\cite{23}.

Serum levels of protein-bound 3NT adduct formed by the nitration of tyrosine-containing proteins were estimated using an ELISA Kit. The results were expressed as 3NT μmol/L\cite{24}.

Statistical analysis

All results were expressed as the mean±standard deviation (SD) whenever data were normally distributed; otherwise, the median and [Q1; Q3] were reported (Q1=first quartile; Q3=third quartile). Statistical comparisons between two independent groups were performed using the Student’s $t$-test (with equal and unequal variances according to the results of the F-test) whenever data were normally distributed; otherwise, a non-parametric test was applied. The Student’s paired $t$-test was applied to compare baseline values with follow-up values for normally distributed data. Statistical comparisons between the two treatment groups were performed using ANOVA tests. Pearson’s and Spearman’s correlation analyses were used to calculate statistical relationships between parameters. Values of $P<0.05$ were considered to be statistically significant. Analyses were performed using SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences) 16.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc, USA).

Results

There were no significant differences in age or sex between the two treatment groups (Table 1). Subjects within the groups were generally well matched. All 174 patients that enrolled for the study completed the trial. No complications or infections were observed throughout the study period.
Periodontal clinical measurements
Significantly smaller values of PD, BOP, and CAL were observed at 3 months compared to baseline in both investigated groups (Table 2). At baseline, there were no differences in the periodontal clinical indices between the two groups. At 3 months, only the PD ($P=0.002$) and BOP ($P=1.15E-07$) scores were smaller in the SRP+SDD group than in SRP+placebo group.

Histopathological and immunohistochemical analysis
Gingival samples stained prior to treatment with HE and TRI presented with an intense inflammatory cell infiltrate, predominantly mononuclear and focally distributed, with many lymphocytes and plasma cells, a discrete presence of macrophages, and the foci of polymorphonuclear leukocytes (PMNs). In addition, edema, fibrosis, and necrosis were found. After 3 months, all SRP+placebo group parameters had smoothly decreased ($P<0.05$), but in the SRP+SDD group, they were significantly reduced ($P<0.001$) (Table 3).

The immunohistochemical analysis of iNOS at baseline revealed that inflammatory cells, some epithelial cells, and some fibrocytes were iNOS+ PMNs had demonstrated the most intense immunostaining (Figure 2A, Table 4). After 3 months of treatment, both groups showed reduced iNOS+ staining, mostly due to the decreased expression of iNOS in the mononuclear leukocytes (Figure 2B, 2C, Table 4). The reduction in iNOS+ leukocytes was smaller in the SRP+placebo group than in the SRP+SDD group ($P<0.001$). Based on the analysis of the percentage of total iNOS+ leukocytes, it was found that SRP+placebo caused no significant reduction in iNOS, while SRP+SDD caused a small decrease (Table 4). After the treatment, there were significant correlations between iNOS+ staining and clinical indices only in the SRP+SDD group ($r=0.71-0.85$).

At baseline, positive staining for 3NT was found in the epithelium, infiltrated leukocytes, and collagen fibers (Figure 2D, Table 4). The inhibitory effect in the SRP+SDD group was better than that in the SRP+placebo group ($P<0.01$). The SRP+placebo group showed reduced 3NT staining, mostly in the mononuclear leukocytes (Figure 2E, Table 4), while in the SRP+SDD group, it was reduced in all types of leukocytes.

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of the patients.

| Parameter          | SRP+placebo | SRP+SDD |
|--------------------|-------------|---------|
| $n$ (174)          | 87 87       | 87 87   |
| Age (years)        |             |         |
| Mean               | 43.12 43.12 | 41.5    |
| Average            | 30–60       | 30–60   |
| Gender (M/F)       | 43/44       | 42/45   |

SRP=scaling and root planning; SDD=subantimicrobial-dose doxycycline.

Table 2. Periodontal clinical indices parameters of the patients.

| Parameter          | Baseline mean±SD | SRP+placebo 3 month mean±SD | $P$ | SRP+SDD 3 month mean±SD | $P$ |
|--------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|-----|-------------------------|-----|
| PD (mm)            | 6.18±0.22        | 3.42±0.37                   | 9.06E-13 | 5.90±0.16 | 8.26E-12 |
| BOP (%)            | 66.00±2.50       | 44.60±3.10                  | 5.41E-07 | 65.4±1.02     | 6.24E-10 |
| CAL (mm)           | 5.69±0.50        | 4.1±0.81                    | 0.001 | 5.80±1.50       | 1.93E-06 |

PD=probing depths; BOP=bleeding on probing; CAL=clinical attachment level; SRP=scaling and root planning; SDD=subantimicrobial-dose doxycycline; $P$=statistical significance baseline compared with 3 months.

Table 3. Periodontal semi-quantitative analysis for inflammatory cell influx, edema, fibrosis and necrosis in gingivomucosal tissue of the patients.

| Parameter          | Baseline mean±SD | SRP+placebo 3 month mean±SD | $P$ | SRP+SDD 3 month mean±SD | $P$ |
|--------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|-----|-------------------------|-----|
| PMN                | 3±0.10           | 2.09±0.05                   | 4.31E-13 | 2.90±0.08     | 2.39E-29 |
| Monocytes          | 2.09±0.07        | 1.97±0.04                   | 0.0482 | 2.09±0.09     | 0.0045 |
| Eosinophils        | 0.81±0.04        | 0.63±0.05                   | 0.0432 | 0.81±0.04     | 0.0553 |
| Edema              | 3.84±0.05        | 3.4±0.08                    | 0.0269 | 3.72±0.08     | 0.0552 |
| Fibrosis           | 1.98±0.07        | 1±0.08                      | 8.3E-16 | 2±0.06         | 3.07E-18 |
| Necrosis           | 3.98±0.07        | 3.88±0.08                   | 0.0324 | 3.89±0.06     | 0.0038 |

PMN=polymorphonuclear leukocytes; SRP=scaling and root planning; SDD=subantimicrobial-dose doxycycline; $P$=statistical significance baseline compared with 3 months.
The percentage of total 3NT⁺ leukocytes did not significantly decrease in the SRP+placebo group, but in the SRP+SDD group, there was a mild reduction (Table 4). In both the SRP+placebo (r=0.54–0.77) and SRP+SDD (r=0.99) groups, 3NT immunostaining was correlated with iNOS expression. A positive correlation between 3NT immunostaining and the reduction of clinical indices (r=0.65–0.89) was also observed.

**Serum nitric oxide evaluation**

After 3 months of treatment, serum NOx levels registered a small decrease in the SRP+placebo group and a more significant reduction in the SRP+SDD group (P<0.01) (Table 4). Serum NOx levels were correlated with the clinical periodontal indices (r=0.58–0.91) and the immunohistological staining of iNOS and 3NT (r=0.59–0.86).

Low serum values of 3NT were definitive after 3 months of treatment in both groups, with a more significant inhibitory effect in the SRP+SDD group than in the SRP+placebo group (P<0.001) (Table 4). There was a satisfactory correlation of serum 3NT with the clinical indices (r=0.65–0.94), tissue iNOS and 3NT (r=0.61–0.82).

**Discussion**

In this interventional study, we analyzed nitrosative stress in patients with moderate to advanced chronic periodontal disease that were treated with SRP+SDD or SRP+placebo. The basic supposition was that nitrosative stress damages the periodontal tissue and alveolar bone and may induce systemic inflammatory responses. The magnitude of these consequences depends on the body’s defense mechanisms against free radicals. The study was performed in an attempt to systemically and locally assess the efficacy of SRP+SDD in reducing nitrosative stress in moderate to advanced chronic periodontitis.

| Parameter | Baseline | SRP+placebo | SRP+SDD | Baseline | SRP+SDD |
|-----------|----------|-------------|---------|----------|---------|
|           | mean±SD  | 3 month     | mean±SD | 3 month  | mean±SD |
| iNOS⁺ Leukocytes | 265.8±14 | 181.9±11.7 | 1.05E-93 | 265.9±1.29 | 92.8±21.4 | 1.1E-123 |
| iNOS⁺ PMN    | 172.3±19.9 | 133.3±34.5 | 1.58E-16 | 173.02±2.09 | 79.3±29.1 | 9.67E-88 |
| iNOS⁺ Monocytes | 121.3±31.7 | 22.8±3.0 | 5.7E-68 | 122.6±2.77 | 13.5±7.7 | 9.67E-88 |
| % iNOS⁺ Leukocytes | 94.8±3.4 | 90.75±5.75 | 0.06 | 93.9±0.36 | 81.9±10.4 | 0.05 |
| % iNOS⁺ PMN | 219.5±16.5 | 137.3±20.05 | 1.07E-27 | 217.7±4.54 | 101.9±12.73 | 3.44E-70 |
| 3NT⁺ Leukocytes | 129.9±13.9 | 93.3±12.75 | 0.0087 | 128.3±3.85 | 71.3±9.62 | 1.85E-32 |
| 3NT⁺ PMN     | 89.7±8.07 | 44.1±3.14 | 5.35E-15 | 91.5±3.31 | 30.6±6.89 | 7.3E-72 |
| % 3NT⁺ Leukocytes | 92.3±1.12 | 87.7±1.21 | 0.06 | 90.7±0.94 | 82.9±8.98 | 0.05 |
| NOx (µmol/L) | 69.89±2.43 | 58.69±3.01 | 0.0416 | 68.74±7.31 | 47.16±3.10 | 4.85E-24 |
| 3NT (µmol/L) | 465.57±18.63 | 199.00±10.29 | 3.69E-43 | 464.93±5.76 | 81.43±15.86 | 4.86E-57 |

iNOS⁺=induced nitric oxide synthase positive cells; 3NT⁺=3-nitrotyrosine positive cells; PMN=polymorphonuclear leukocytes; NOx=serum nitrates and nitrates; 3NT=serum 3-nitrotyrosine; SRP=scaling and root planning; SDD=subantimicrobial-dose doxycycline; P=statistical significance baseline compared with 3 months.
In contrast to supragingival plaque, which is repeatedly destroyed by mastication and/or toothbrushing, subgingival plaque is protected from mechanical removal. Consequently, the subgingival plaque can be considered to be a persistent infection that activates host immuno-inflammatory responses. Currently, most patients with moderate to advanced periodontitis can be treated with nonsurgical therapies for plaque removal[25]. In addition, several host-modulatory drugs have been developed to control the immune and inflammatory pathways that are responsible for the breakdown of periodontal tissue. Tetracyclines have pleiotropic therapeutic effects that are mediated by extracellular (ie, inhibition of MMPs) and cellular (ie, inhibition of cytokines, iNOS, and PLA2) inhibitory mechanisms or by their pro-anabolic effect (ie, stimulation of collagen production and osteoblast activity). These mechanisms are unrelated to the antibiotic activity of these drugs[6, 7]. In the last few decades, many clinical studies have described the beneficial long-term effects of SDD adjunctive to SRP on the basis of the significant reduction in periodontal indices that is observed with this treatment[27, 26, 27]. SRP+SDD therapy also reduced the levels of biomarkers of inflammation and the local destruction of the collagen and bone in periodontal pockets[28, 29], gingival crevicular fluid[6, 7, 30], and saliva[31, 32]. The optimal duration of SRP+SDD therapy is unknown and probably varies from one patient to another. Other studies have indicated that 90% of the results are attained after 3 months. Therefore, patients selected for this study were treated for 3 months and then were reassessed[25].

At baseline, histopathological analysis of the HE- and TRI-stained slides for the cellular composition of the gingival tissue revealed the already described ongoing inflammatory changes associated with periodontitis[12, 14, 21]. The use of SRP+placebo and SRP+SDD caused a significant reduction in cellular infiltrate, edema, fibrosis, and necrosis, demonstrating an important anti-inflammatory effect. NO is an important inflammatory mediator with both direct and indirect effects. Direct effects include the chemical reactions in which NO reacts directly with its biological target. The indirect effects are mediated by RNS derived from NO metabolism. The direct effects are very rapid reactions that occur at low NO concentrations, and they generally involve heme proteins, such as guanulate cyclase, cytochrome P450, and hemoglobin. The indirect effects require NO to be activated by superoxide (O2−) or oxygen to form RNS, which undergo further reactions with their respective biological targets. The indirect effects can be further subdivided into nitrosative and oxidative stress. Nitrosative stress occurs when intermediates are produced from nitrosated thiol, hydroxyl, and amine groups[33]. The localization and effects of nitrosative stress may be assessed by an analysis of nitrosative damage biomarkers isolated from tissues and biological fluids.

Consistent with the literature, extensive iNOS expression was noted within infiltrating cells at sites of chronic periodontitis. We also observed that resident cells, like epithelial cells and fibrocytes, were intensely iNOS+. The role of NO in modulating PMN infiltration may vary with the type of tissue and the presence of inflammatory disease, but it is thought that low levels of NO reduce inflammatory cell adhesion to the endothelium, and high levels of NO stimulate it. Like other studies, our findings at baseline confirm that high levels of NO induced by iNOS stimulate leukocyte accumulation within the periodontal tissue and reduce clinical periodontal indices[14, 19, 33]. Consequently, in both groups, iNOS reduction diminished inflammatory cell infiltrate, and these were correlated with changes in the periodontal clinical parameters. The toxicity of NO is enhanced by the formation of peroxynitrite (ONOO−). Peroxynitrite originates from the reaction between NO and superoxide (O2−)[33]. The compound itself or its secondary metabolites can cause tyrosine nitration in proteins, producing a 3NT footprint that is detectable in vivo. Nitrated proteins have been identified in some inflammatory diseases, coronary artery disease, chronic renal failure, rheumatoid arthritis, diabetes mellitus, and cystic fibrosis[34]. There is evidence that a number of less abundant proteins (ie, a1-proteinase inhibitor, cytochrome P450, chemokines, manganese superoxide dismutase, and cyclooxygenase) and peptides have altered functions after protein nitration, which may work as negative feedback in the inflammatory process[20]. However, basal low-level 3NT has been detected under physiological conditions in most tissues and plasma. That is why complete inhibition of 3NT may not be desirable, as this compound possesses physiological functions, such as the processing of anti-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines, which are needed for host defense. Basal protein nitration in tissue is most likely due to cNOS activity, because iNOS inhibition does not reduce the basal levels of nitrated protein[34, 35]. In the study population, the positive correlation between iNOS and 3NT staining in the periodontal tissue at baseline proved that this effect was not attributed to physiological nitration, but rather, it was due to increased iNOS expression. Consequently, it may be an indication of increased nitrosative stress[20]. The significant changes in periodontal iNOS and 3NT suggest that these tests may be useful for the evaluation of the severity of periodontal damage.

Periodontal bacterial products trigger host immune and inflammatory responses, activating pro-inflammatory media tors. These molecules travel via the blood to other organs and tissues, and this may influence their function and activate a systemic inflammatory response. Numerous lines of evidence support links between the formation of NO-derived oxidants and the development of systemic diseases. Hereby, a very important issue in periodontology is whether periodontal blood markers may predict systemic consequences. Most commonly, RNS have been tracked by measuring some stable metabolites, such as nitrates and nitrites[56]. Previously, increased NO, levels in the saliva and gingival crevicular fluid were found[14, 21, 36]. The subjects treated with SRP+SDD showed a highly significant reduction in serum NO, and 3NT levels. More importantly, these results were correlated with improved tissue levels of iNOS and 3NT, along with the periodontal clinical indices. Consequently, serum NO synthesis markers may reflect periodontal NO release and can be used...
as systemic nitrosative stress markers.

The limitations of this study are related to the relatively small number of tissue biopsies (12/group) that were collected and analyzed.

In conclusion, adjunctive SDD host-modulatory therapy with SRP in moderate to advanced chronic periodontitis may be useful against local and systemic inflammatory responses by reducing the generation of nitrosative stress. The ability of SDD to downregulate local and systemic nitrosative stress in vivo seems to be linked to its inhibitory effect on iNOS. Nitrosative stress reduction was correlated with improvements in periodontal clinical indices. These results prove the utility of systemic nitrosative markers in chronic periodontitis diagnosis and prognosis.
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