Differential Inhibitory Effects of CysLT1 Receptor Antagonists on P2Y6 Receptor-Mediated Signaling and Ion Transport in Human Bronchial Epithelia
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Abstract

**Background:** Cysteinyl leukotriene (CysLT) is one of the proinflammatory mediators released by the bronchi during inflammation. CysLTs exert their biological effects via specific G-protein-coupled receptors. CysLT1 receptor antagonists are available for clinical use for the treatment of asthma. Recently, crosstalk between CysLT1 and P2Y6 receptors has been delineated. P2Y receptors are expressed in apical and/or basolateral membranes of virtually all polarized epithelia to control the transport of fluid and electrolytes. Previous research suggests that CysLT1 receptor antagonists inhibit the effects of nucleotides acting at P2Y receptors. However, the detailed molecular mechanism underlying the inhibition remains unresolved.

**Methodology/Principal Findings:** In this study, western blot analysis confirmed that both CysLT1 and P2Y6 receptors were expressed in the human bronchial epithelial cell line 16HBE14o-. All three CysLT1 antagonists inhibited the uridine diphosphate (UDP)-evoked ISi, but only montelukast inhibited the UDP-evoked ISi. In the presence of forskolin or 8-bromoadenosine 3’5’ cyclic monophosphate (8-BrcAMP), the UDP-induced ISi was potentiated but was reduced by pranlukast and zafirlukast but not montelukast. Pranlukast inhibited the UDP-evoked ISi potentiated by an Epac activator, 8-(4-Chlorophenylthio)-2’-O-methyladenosine 3’,5’-cyclic monophosphate (8-CPT-2’-O-Me-cAMP), while montelukast and zafirlukast had no such effect. Pranlukast inhibited the real-time increase in cAMP changes activated by 8-CPT-2’-O-Me-cAMP as monitored by fluorescence resonance energy transfer imaging. Zafirlukast inhibited the UDP-induced ISi potentiated by N9-Phenyladenosine-3’,5’-cyclic monophosphorothioate, Sp-isomer (Sp-6-Phe-cAMP; a PKA activator) and UDP-activated PKA activity.

**Conclusions/Significance:** In summary, our data strongly suggest for the first time that in human airway epithelia, the three specific CysLT1 receptor antagonists exert differential inhibitory effects on P2Y6 receptor-coupled Ca2+ signaling pathways and the potentiating effect on ISi mediated by cAMP and Epac, leading to the modulation of ion transport activities across the epithelia.
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Introduction

Bronchial asthma is an inflammatory disease that affects millions of people worldwide. Among the proinflammatory mediators released by the bronchi are cysteinyl leukotrienes (CysLTs). They are lipid mediators derived from arachidonic acid by the 5-lipoxygenase (5-LO) pathway [1] and play critical roles in the pathogenesis of asthma [2,3]. CysLTs exert their biological effects via specific G-protein-coupled receptors. To date, there are two cloned human CysLT receptor subtypes, namely CysLT1 and CysLT2 [4]. Specific CysLT1 receptor antagonists, such as montelukast, pranlukast, and zafirlukast, are available for clinical use for the treatment of asthma [5]. Recently, crosstalk between CysLT1 receptor and P2Y6 receptor signaling systems has been reported in human mast cells [6] and monocyte/macrophage-like cells [7]. P2Y6 is a member of the P2Y receptor family that is expressed in the apical and/or basolateral membranes of virtually all polarized epithelia to control the transport of fluid and electrolytes [8,9]. It has been shown that uridine diphosphate (UDP), a selective agonist for the P2Y6 receptor, can also activate the CysLT1 receptor. On the other hand, CysLT1 receptor antagonists could inhibit the effects of the extracellular nucleotide acting at P2Y receptors [10]. Evidence also suggests that CysLTs and UDP do not share the same receptor and the CysLT1 receptor possesses dual CysLT/UDP specificity.

In the airway, chloride (Cl−) secretion and sodium (Na+) reabsorption can be modulated by the activation of multiple P2Y receptors that couple to the phospholipase C (PLC) and calcium-signaling pathway. Recently, work from this laboratory has confirmed that a human bronchial epithelial cell line, 16HBE14o−, expresses...
multiple P2Y receptors mRNA and proteins, including the P2Y6 receptor [11]. UDP could stimulate both calcium (Ca\(^{2+}\)) and 3',5'-cyclic monophosphate (cAMP)-dependent chloride ion secretion in 16HBE14o- cells. An increase in cAMP production could in turn activate both protein kinase A (PKA) and an exchange protein directly activated by cAMP (Epac) [12]. As there is little knowledge regarding the effects of specific CysLT1 receptor antagonists on airway epithelial transport, the aim of this project was to examine their effects on P2Y6 receptor-mediated Cl\(^{-}\) secretion in a human bronchial epithelial cell line (16HBE14o-) and to investigate the possible signal transduction pathway(s) through which the antagonists may act.

**Results**

**Expression of CysLT1 and P2Y6 receptors in the 16HBE14o- cell monolayer**

To examine the presence of CysLT1 and P2Y6 receptors in 16HBE14o- cells, western blot analysis was conducted. The protein expression of CysLT1 and P2Y6 receptors in 16HBE14o-cells was detected as shown in Fig. 1. The CysLT1 receptor polyclonal antibody identified an intense 44-kDa band in whole cell lysates of 16HBE14o- cell monolayers (Fig. 1A, left lane). The specificity of the band was confirmed by the complete abolishment of the immunoreactive signal in 16HBE14o- cells by the CysLT1 receptor polyclonal antibody that had been preadsorbed with specific blocking peptides. The blocking peptides correspond to amino acid residues 318–337 of the human CysLT1 receptor (Fig. 1A, right lane). On the other hand, the P2Y6 receptor was identified as an intense 41-kDa band (Fig. 1B, left lane). The specificity of the band was confirmed by the complete abolishment of the immunoreactive signal by the P2Y6 receptor antibody preadsorbed with specific blocking peptides. The blocking peptides correspond to amino acid residues 322–343 of the human P2Y6 receptor (Fig. 1B, right lane). Western blot analysis demonstrated that 16HBE14o- cells expressed CysLT1 receptors and confirmed our previous finding on P2Y6 receptor expression in this cell line [11].

**Activation of the P2Y6 but not the CysLT1 receptor stimulated increases in \(I_{SC}\) and \([Ca^{2+}]_{i}\) in 16HBE14o- cells**

UDP is the specific agonist of the P2Y6 receptor [13,14], which has been shown to regulate ion transport processes in airway epithelial cells via both Ca\(^{2+}\) and cAMP-dependent pathways [11]. First, the effect of apical application of UDP on \(I_{SC}\) was investigated using a conventional Ussing chamber study. Fig. 2A shows the representative recordings of \(I_{SC}\) in response to the apical application of 30–300 \(\mu\)M UDP. The addition of UDP to the apical side rapidly increased \(I_{SC}\). The \(I_{SC}\) change consisted of biphasic responses, namely an initial transient peak (first peak) and a second increase within 2 min (second peak). In another series of experiments, the intracellular calcium concentration was measured in 16HBE14o- cells grown on glass coverslips by using a fluorescent technique. Fig. 2B shows the representative recordings of \([Ca^{2+}]_{i}\) in response to 30–300 \(\mu\)M UDP application. The changes in \([Ca^{2+}]_{i}\) were obtained by measuring the maximal increase of the 340/380 nm fluorescence ratio upon stimulation.Fig. 2C and 2D summarize the change of \(I_{SC}\) (\(\Delta I_{SC}\)) and fluorescence ratio (\(\Delta\)Ratio) induced by UDP application, respectively. Apical application of UDP elicited a concentration-dependence increase in the first peak of \(I_{SC}\) with a UDP concentration of 100 \(\mu\)M eliciting the maximal increase in \(I_{SC}\). The increase in the second peak of \(I_{SC}\) also exhibited a concentration-dependence relationship with the apical application of UDP, again with 100 \(\mu\)M UDP eliciting the maximal increase in \(I_{SC}\). The addition of basolateral UDP evoked only a small and variable increase in \(I_{SC}\) in both phases (data not shown). Due to the small response of basolateral UDP-induced \(I_{SC}\), only \(I_{SC}\) induced by apical addition of UDP was investigated further. The increase in \([Ca^{2+}]_{i}\) upon the addition of UDP also exhibited concentration dependency, again with 100 \(\mu\)M UDP inducing the largest increase in \([Ca^{2+}]_{i}\). In our previous study, the UDP-induced \([Ca^{2+}]_{i}\) increase was abolished and the increase in \(I_{SC}\) response was greatly attenuated when the cells were treated with BAPTA-AM, an intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) chelator. The results therefore confirmed our previous findings that UDP could stimulate a calcium-dependent \(I_{SC}\) in 16HBE14o- cells [11].

Although CysLT1 receptor protein was expressed in 16HBE14o-cells, activation of the receptor by its agonists, CysLT1 C4 (LTC4) or LTD4 [15], did not stimulate any discernible increase in \([Ca^{2+}]_{i}\), and had no effect on the subsequent increase in Fura-2 ratio induced by UDP (UDP alone: 0.060±0.004; +LTC4: 0.049±0.005; +LTD4: 0.052±0.004, p>0.05). As shown in Fig. 2E, the presence of LTC4 or LTD4 also did not affect the basal \(I_{SC}\) and the subsequent UDP-evoked \(I_{SC}\) (UCP alone: 29.3±2.1 \(\mu\)A/cm\(^2\); +LTC4: 22.4±2.4 \(\mu\)A/cm\(^2\); +LTD4: 21.6±4.8 \(\mu\)A/cm\(^2\), n=6, p>0.05). It appears that 16HBE14o- cells do not possess functional CysLT1 receptors that couple to the calcium-signaling pathway and thus did not exhibit any changes in \(I_{SC}\).

**CysLT1 Receptor Antagonists and P2Y6 Receptor**

The functional relationships between the CysLT and P2Y receptors have been highlighted in several recent reports. For example, it has been shown that CysLT1 receptor antagonists inhibit the effects of nucleotides acting at P2Y receptors in U937 cells [10]. Therefore, the effect of three commonly used CysLT1 antagonists (montelukast, pranlukast, and zafirlukast) on P2Y6 receptor-coupled \(I_{SC}\) was measured in 16HBE14o- cells. The

![Figure 1. Western blotting analysis showing the protein expression of CysLT1, and P2Y6 receptors in 16HBE14o- cells.](https://example.com/figure1.png)
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epithelia were pretreated with various concentrations (0.3–3 μM) of CysLT1 antagonists at the apical side, followed by apical application of 100 μM UDP. Addition of montelukast, pranlukast or zafirlukast (up to 3 μM) alone did not stimulate any increase in ISc. Fig. 3A shows the representative recordings of ISc in response to the application of 100 μM UDP in the absence (control) or presence of montelukast (1 μM). Fig. 3B summarizes the changes of the first and second peaks of ISc in response to the application of 100 μM UDP after apical pretreatment with montelukast. In the presence of apical montelukast (0.7, 1, and 3 μM), the UDP-induced first peak was significantly reduced. The second peak of ISc was not affected by montelukast.

Similar responses were obtained when the epithelia were stimulated with UDP in the presence of pranlukast (Fig. 3C) or
zafirlukast (Fig. 3E). The UDP-induced first $I_{SC}$ peak was significantly inhibited by apical pretreatment of the epithelia with 1 and 3 μM pranlukast (Fig. 3D) or zafirlukast (Fig. 3F). Again, the UDP-induced second $I_{SC}$ peak was not inhibited by the apical application of pranlukast or zafirlukast. For the highest concentration of CysLT1 receptor antagonists tested (3 μM), approximately 50% of the UDP-evoked first peak of $I_{SC}$ was inhibited. All three CysLT1 receptor antagonists failed to inhibit the apical UDP-evoked $I_{SC}$ (first and second peaks) when applied to the basolateral aspect of the epithelia (data not shown). Similar experiments were conducted using a P2Y2/P2Y4 agonist, UTP (100 μM). Interestingly, all three CysLT1 receptor antagonists (1 μM) failed to inhibit the UTP (P2Y2/P2Y4)-mediated increase in $I_{SC}$ (control: 9.7 ± 0.9 μA/cm²; +montelukast: 8.6 ± 1.8 μA/cm²; +pranlukast: 8.8 ± 1.0 μA/cm²; +zafirlukast: 9.2 ± 1.3 μA/cm², n = 5, p > 0.05). Although P2Y1 receptors are expressed in 16HBE14o- cells [11], their specific agonist 2-methio- adenosine diphosphate (100 μM) failed to elicit any increase in $I_{SC}$ (n = 4).

Montelukast, but not pranlukast and zafirlukast, inhibited UDP-evoked $[Ca^{2+}]_{i}$ increase in 16HBE14o- cells

Because UDP could elicit a calcium-dependent increase in $I_{SC}$, we tested whether the three CysLT1 antagonists could inhibit UDP-evoked calcium signals. Addition of montelukast, pranlukast

![Figure 3. Effect of CysLT1 receptor antagonists, montelukast, pranlukast, and zafirlukast, on UDP-evoked $I_{SC}$ responses.](image-url)
or zafirlukast (up to 3 μM) alone did not stimulate any increase in [Ca^{2+}]. The UDP-induced [Ca^{2+}] was reduced by 17.5±2.2%, 50.9±2.9%, and 36.1±3.4% in the presence of 0.7, 1, and 3 μM montelukast, respectively (Fig. 4A). In contrast to the effect of montelukast, the UDP-induced [Ca^{2+}] was not significantly reduced by pranlukast and zafirlukast up to 3 μM. Therefore, although all three CysLT1 antagonists could inhibit UDP-evoked \( \text{ISC} \) pranlukast and zafirlukast failed to cause any inhibitory effects on calcium signals evoked by UDP. Similar experiments were conducted using the P2Y$_2$/P2Y$_4$ agonist UTP (100 μM) to stimulate the cells. All three CysLT$_1$ receptor antagonists (1 μM) failed to inhibit the UTP (P2Y$_2$/P2Y$_4$)-mediated increase in [Ca^{2+}], (control: 0.015±0.001 unit; +montelukast: 0.013±0.001 unit; +pranlukast: 0.016±0.001 unit; +zafirlukast: 0.017±0.001 unit, \( n=5 \), \( p>0.05 \)). The P2Y$_2$ receptor agonist 2-methioadenosine diphosphate (100 μM) did not cause any discernible increase in [Ca^{2+}], \( n=4 \).

The increase in [Ca^{2+}], evoked by UDP is caused by the coupling of P2Y$_6$ receptors to PLC and hence the production of the inositol trisphosphate (IP$_3$) [13]. To test the effect of montelukast on P2Y$_6$ receptor-dependent calcium signaling, two pharmacological agents, U73122 and xestospongin C (XeC), were used. U73122 is a specific inhibitor of PLC [16], whereas XeC is a novel blocker of the IP$_3$ receptor [17]. In the experiment, the cells were perfused with normal K-H solution containing 2.5 mM Ca^{2+}, which was then changed to a nominally Ca^{2+}-free K-H solution. XeC in various concentrations was added 10 min before the application of 100 μM UDP. Under the pretreatment of the epithelia with 0.1, 1 or 3 μM XeC, the UDP-induced [Ca^{2+}] response was reduced to 73.7±1.7, 50.6±3.5, and 1.1±1.0% of the control response (Fig. 4B), respectively. Similarly, U73122 (0.1, 1, 3 μM) reduced the UDP-induced [Ca^{2+}] response to 64.3±3.5, 40.7±5.6, and 5.2±2.1% of the control response, respectively. The data demonstrated that P2Y$_6$ receptors are coupled to the PLC/IP$_3$ signaling pathway, leading to an increase in [Ca^{2+}],

To test whether montelukast could interfere with the PLC/IP$_3$ signaling pathway, experiments were performed by coinoculation of the 16HBE14o- cells with both montelukast (1 μM) and XeC (0.1 μM). In the presence of montelukast and XeC, the UDP-induced [Ca^{2+}] response was reduced to 71.9±5.2% of the control response, which is not statistically different from the response in the presence of XeC alone (\( n=6 \), \( p>0.05 \)). Therefore, coinoculation of XeC and montelukast failed to cause additional inhibition of the UDP-induced [Ca^{2+}] increase. The data suggest that montelukast may interfere with the signaling pathway at a level upstream of the IP$_3$-induced Ca^{2+} release. To investigate whether montelukast may act upstream of the IP$_3$-induced Ca^{2+} release, the epithelia were coincubated with both U73122 (0.1 μM) and montelukast (1 μM). Similarly, the data demonstrated that coinoculation of the epithelia with both U73122 and montelukast reduced the UDP-induced [Ca^{2+}] response to 63.2±2.6% of the control response, which is not statistically different from that in the presence of U73122 alone (\( n=5 \), \( p>0.05 \)). Taken together, the data suggest that montelukast may interfere with the calcium-signaling pathway at a level upstream of PLC activity and the production of IP$_3$.

CysLT$_1$ antagonists inhibited the UDP-evoked Cl$^-$ secretion in the presence of cAMP elevating agents, Epac or PKA activator

It has been shown recently by several studies that activation of P2Y$_6$ receptors leads to the activation of Ca$^{2+}$- and cAMP-dependent Cl$^-$ secretion across airway epithelial cells [11,18,19], possibly by increasing cellular cAMP production [11,20]. Increases in cAMP production could in turn activate two downstream signaling molecules, PKA and exchange protein directly activated by cAMP (Epac) [12], which could then regulate epithelial Cl$^-$ secretion [21]. In this study, the effect of CysLT$_1$ receptor antagonists on the potentiating effect of cAMP-elevating agents ( forskolin, 8-Br-cAMP) and specific Epac [8-(4-Chlorophenylthio)-2′-O-methyladenosine-3′,5′-cyclic monophosphonate; 8-CPT-2′-O-Me-cAMP] and PKA [N$^6$-Phenyladenosine- 3′, 5′- cyclic monophosphorothioate, Sp- isomer; Sp-6-Phe-cAMP] activators was examined. The potentiator was added about 10 min prior to the application of UDP.

In the first series of experiments, general cAMP elevating agents, such as forskolin and 8-Br-cAMP were employed. As shown in Fig. 5, forskolin (1 μM) greatly potentiated both the first and second peaks of \( \text{ISC} \) induced by UDP. The percentage increases of the first and second peaks were 198.0±9.2% (UDP: 17.50±1.95 μA/cm$^2$; +Forskolin: 34.75±2.40 μA/cm$^2$; \( p<0.05 \), \( n=4–6 \)) and 120±13.4% (UDP: 21.30±3.90 μA/cm$^2$; +Forskolin: 25.60±2.85 μA/cm$^2$; \( p<0.05 \), \( n=4–6 \)), respectively. Similarly, 8-Br-cAMP, a cAMP analog, potentiated the first and second peaks of \( \text{ISC} \) to 165.5±13.78% (8-Br-cAMP: 28.96±3.80 μA/cm$^2$; \( p<0.05 \), \( n=4–6 \)) and

**Figure 4. Effect of CysLT$_1$ receptor antagonists, montelukast, pranlukast, and zafirlukast on UDP-evoked [Ca$^{2+}$] responses.** (A) Cells were stimulated with UDP in the absence (control) or presence of different concentrations of CysLT$_1$ receptor antagonists applied 10 min prior to the addition of UDP (100 μM). (B) The UDP-evoked [Ca$^{2+}$] responses were inhibited by different concentrations of an IP$_3$ receptor antagonist, XeC, or a PLC inhibitor, U73122. Each column represents the mean ± S.E. (\( n=4–6 \)). (*, \( p<0.05 \), one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post-hoc test compared with control). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0022363.g004
Forskolin and 8-Br-cAMP are general cAMP level elevators that cannot distinguish between their two downstream targets, PKA and Epac. Therefore, in the second series of experiments, specific Epac or PKA activator were used. 8-CPT-2′-O-Me-cAMP and Sp-6-Phe-cAMPs were thus employed to potentiate the UDP-induced ISC. 8-CPT-2′-O-Me-cAMP is a specific activator of Epac [22] that potentiated the first peak of ISC to 140.3±7.2% (8-CPT-2′-O-Me-cAMP: 24.5±1.3 μA/cm², p<0.05, n=4–6), but the second peak was not significantly potentiated. Sp-6-Phe-cAMP is a potent PKA activator. However, it does not activate Epac and thus can serve as an Epac negative control [23,24]. Sp-6-Phe-cAMP potentiated the first peak of ISC but not the second peak. The first peak of ISC was increased to 128.1±6.6% of the control response (Sp-6-Phe-cAMP: 22.4±1.5 μA/cm², p<0.05, n=4–6).

To test the inhibitory effect of different CysLT₁ antagonists, montelukast, pranlukast, or zafirlukast (1 μM) were added together with various potentiators (1 μM) 10 min prior to the addition of UDP (100 μM). As summarized in Fig. 5F, the UDP-evoked ISC (first peak) in the presence of cAMP elevating agents (forskolin and 8-Br-cAMP), Epac (8-CPT-2′-O-Me-cAMP) or PKA (Sp-6-Phe-cAMP) activator was suppressed by different CysLT₁ receptor antagonists. Pranlukast inhibited the effects of forskolin (43.7±6.0% of control), 8-Br-cAMP (42.1±6.1% of control), and 8-CPT-2′-O-Me-cAMP (33.6±9.6% of control). Zafirlukast inhibited the effect of 8-Br-cAMP (63.0±5.3% of control) and Sp-6-Phe-cAMP (70.3±4.2% of control). Montelukast did not possess any inhibitory effects. None of the CysLT₁ antagonists inhibited the second peak of ISC (data not shown). Taken together, pranlukast may inhibit the UDP-induced first peak ISC mediated by Epac, while zafirlukast is likely to inhibit the effect mediated by PKA. Montelukast, however, does not exert similar inhibitory action.

To examine whether the inhibitory action of pranlukast occurs through Epac, we monitored Epac1 activation by using a cyan fluorescent protein (CFP)-Epac-yellow fluorescent protein (YFP) fusion construct, which has been used by others to detect real-time changes in [cAMP] via a fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET)-based approach [25–27]. As expected, the Epac activator 8-CPT-2′-O-cAMP (50 μM) evoked about a 20% increase in the CFP/FRET emission ratio, which indicates a global

Figure 5. Inhibition by CysLT₁ receptor antagonists of the potentiating effect of cAMP and Epac on UDP-evoked ISC. Representative recordings of ISC showing the response to the apical application of 100 μM UDP alone (A) or in combination with pretreatment of the epithelia with 1 μM forskolin (B), 8-Br-cAMP (C), 8-CPT-2′-O-Me-cAMP (D), or Sp-6-Phe-cAMP (E) 10 min prior to the application of UDP. (F) Summarized data showing the potentiating effect of cAMP or Epac on UDP-evoked ISC could be inhibited by different CysLT₁ receptor antagonists. Control refers to the ISC responses of the epithelia to UDP in the presence of various potentiating agents. Each column represents the mean ± S.E. (n=4–6). (*, p<0.05, one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post-hoc test compared with control).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0022363.g005
increase in cAMP (Fig. 6B and C). Pranlukast could inhibit the activation of Epac mediated by 8-CPT-2'-O-Me-cAMP. Fig. 6D summarizes the effect of CysLT1 receptor antagonists on the CFP/FRET emission ratio. Each column represents the mean ± S.E. (n=8-10). (*, p<0.05, Student’s t-test compared with control). (E) Confluent 16HBE14o- cells were treated with either vehicle alone (control), 100 μM UDP, or UDP with different CysLT1 receptor antagonists (1 μM) for 5 min. PKA activity was measured as a function of fluorescence intensity. (F) Summarized data showing the relative fluorescence level as compared with the control level. Each column represents the mean ± S.E. (*, p<0.05, n=4, one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post-hoc test).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0022363.g006

Zafirlukast, but not montelukast or pranlukast, inhibited UDP-activated PKA activity

The cAMP-dependent pathway underlying the inhibitory effects of montelukast, pranlukast, and zafirlukast on UDP was also studied by a PKA activity assay. PKA activity in 16HBE14o- cells was measured by a PKA assay that measures the phosphorylation of Kemptide, a synthetic substrate specific for PKA. The PKA activity was measured as a function of fluorescence intensity. As shown in Fig. 6E, phosphorylated peptides migrated towards the positively charged anode. The application of 100 μM UDP for 5 min significantly increased PKA activity by 150.0±20.2% when compared with that in untreated cells. The UDP-induced PKA activity was suppressed after pretreatment with zafirlukast (1 μM) to 82.0±15.1% (p<0.05, n=4), whereas montelukast (1 μM) and pranlukast (1 μM) did not significantly inhibit UDP-induced PKA activity. Summarized data are shown in Fig. 6F. Addition of montelukast, pranlukast, zafirlukast, LTC4, or LTD4 (1 μM) did not significantly affect the PKA activity of the 16HBE14o- cells.

Figure 6. Effects of CysLT1 receptor antagonists on Epac activation and PKA activity. (A) The monochrome CFP and FRET images showing the cytosolic distribution of the fluorescent Epac probe in 16HBE14o- cells transfected with CFP-Epac-YFP. (B) Representative pseudocolor images of CFP/FRET emission ratios before and after the addition of 8-CPT-2'-O-Me-cAMP. (D) Real-time cAMP changes (normalized CFP/FRET emission ratio) recorded in cells stimulated with 50 μM 8-CPT-2'-O-Me-cAMP with or without 1 μM pranlukast shown in (B). The agents were added at time zero. (C) Summarized data showing the effect of CysLT1 receptor antagonists on the CFP/FRET emission ratio. Each column represents the mean ± S.E. (n=8-10). (*, p<0.05, Student’s t-test compared with control). (E) Confluent 16HBE14o- cells were treated with either vehicle alone (control), 100 μM UDP, or UDP with different CysLT1 receptor antagonists (1 μM) for 5 min. PKA activity was measured as a function of fluorescence intensity. (F) Summarized data showing the relative fluorescence level as compared with the control level. Each column represents the mean ± S.E. (*, p<0.05, n=4, one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post-hoc test).
when compared with untreated control (one-way ANOVA, \( n = 3 \), \( p > 0.05 \)).

Expression of Epac in 16HBE14o- cells

As our data suggest pranlukast inhibited the activation of Epac, we further studied the distribution of endogenous Epac 1 and Epac 2 in 16HBE14o- cells by immunostaining (Fig. 7). Epac 1 staining (red) of 16HBE14o- cells was mostly localized to the cytoplasm of 16HBE14o- cells. The signal intensity of Epac 1 was stronger than that of Epac 2, which may suggest a higher and more uniform expression of Epac 1 in these cells. In the control experiment, omission of the primary antibodies resulted in a complete loss of the immunofluorescence.

Discussion

Crosstalk between CysLT1 receptor and P2Y6 receptors

In the airway, Cl\(^{-}\) secretion and Na\(^{+}\) reabsorption can be modulated by the activation of multiple P2Y receptors. The coordinated regulation of Cl\(^{-}\) secretion and Na\(^{+}\) reabsorption is necessary to maintain the thickness and composition of airway surface liquid, which in turn affects airway mucus clearance [28]. We have demonstrated previously that 16HBE14o- cells express multiple P2Y receptors, including P2Y1, P2Y2, P2Y4, and P2Y6. When activated by UDP, P2Y6 receptors are coupled to Ca\(^{2+}\) - and cAMP-dependent pathways, thereby leading to transepithelial Cl\(^{-}\) secretion [11]. Recently, it was discovered that crosstalk exists between P2Y6 and CysLT1 receptors [6,29]. CysLT1 is the receptor of CysLTs, which are key mediators of airway inflammatory disorders. Both P2Y and CysLT1 receptors belong to the superfamily of G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs), and previous studies have suggested functional and structural similarities between these two receptors [30]. CysLT1 receptor antagonists inhibit the effects of nucleotides acting at P2Y receptors in monocyte-macrophage-like cell lines [10]. CysLT1 receptor antagonists inhibit UDP- but not carbachol-induced [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_i\) increases, suggesting that the antagonists specifically

Figure 7. Immunolocalization of Epac 1 and Epac 2 in 16HBE14o- cells. With the use of specific antibodies, immunofluorescence staining of Epac 1 (A) and Epac 2 (C) were localized to the cytoplasm of 16HBE14o- cells, as indicated by the red colour. Under the conditions specified in the Methods section, the signal intensity of Epac 1 was stronger than that of Epac 2, which may suggest a higher and more uniform expression of Epac 1 in these cells. In the negative control (E), omission of the primary antibodies resulted in a complete loss of the immunofluorescence. The corresponding images taken in brightfield were shown in B, D and F. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0022363.g007
interact with the P2Y receptor signaling pathway. However, the detailed molecular mechanism underlying the inhibition remains unresolved. More importantly, whether similar inhibitory effects could be observed in human airway epithelia remains unknown. In the present study, the effects of three specific CysLT1 antagonists on P2Y6 receptor-mediated signaling pathways and ion transport in human bronchial epithelial 16HBE14o- cells were examined.

In this study, the protein expression of P2Y6 and CysLT1 receptors in 16HBE14o- cells was demonstrated by western blotting. Activation of apical P2Y6 receptors by UDP elicited a concentration-dependent increase in both [Ca\(^{2+}\)]_i and [Ca\(^{2+}\)]_o, confirming their role in stimulating transepithelial Cl\(^-\) secretion in human airway epithelia [11,18,31]. However, stimulation of the CysLT1 receptors with LTC4 or LTD4 failed to elicit any receptor-mediated [Ca\(^{2+}\)]_i or [Ca\(^{2+}\)]_o increases. The CysLT1 receptor is primarily coupled to G\(_{q/11}\) protein, which leads to activation of the PLC/IP3 pathway with intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) mobilization in different cell types [30]. In cells that express both types of receptors, the addition of LTD4 and UDP caused a robust increase in [Ca\(^{2+}\)]_i, [7], and LTC4 could cross-desensitize UDP receptors [6]. In addition, 16HBE14o- cells possess an active biosynthetic pathway for the production of leukotrienes, such as LTC4, [32]. Profita et al. have shown that 16HBE14o- cells expressed functional CysLT1 receptors that coupled to the STAT-1 signaling pathway. The authors, however, had to enhance the expression of CysLT1 receptor by transfecting the cells with a construct encoding the receptor to circumvent the low and variable receptor expression in the cells [33]. Therefore, the fact that no CysLT1 receptor-mediated [Ca\(^{2+}\)]_i response was observed in this study may be related to the low-level expression of the receptor, or the receptor may be coupled less efficiently to G\(_{q/11}\) protein. Moreover, the CysLT1 receptor agonists (LTG4 and LTD4) and antagonists (montelukast, pranlukast and zafirlukast) at 1 \(\mu M\) had no effect on either FRET ratio or PKA activity. These data further suggest that 16HBE14o- cells do not possess functional CysLT1 receptors. However, we could not exclude the possibility that the CysLT1 receptor may still couple to other cellular signaling pathways without interfering with the P2Y6 receptor-mediated Ca\(^{2+}\) and ISC responses.

**CysLT1 antagonists inhibited UDP-induced ISC**

To examine whether the CysLT1 antagonists would have any effect on P2Y6 receptor-mediated ion transport processes, the effects of three commonly used CysLT1 antagonists, montelukast, pranlukast, and zafirlukast, on UDP-induced ISC were examined. They are potent and selective CysLT1 receptor antagonists marketed for the treatment of asthma [34] and are sold under the trade names of Singularia, Ultair, and Accolate, respectively [35]. The cells were pretreated with CysLT1 antagonists 10 min before addition of UDP. In general, all three CysLT1 antagonists inhibited the first peak of UDP-induced ISC with montelukast inhibiting the peak at a lower concentration than that of pranlukast and zafirlukast. However, the second ISC peak was not influenced by these antagonists. Therefore, all three CysLT1 receptor antagonists, when applied to the apical but not basolateral side of the epithelia, are capable of inhibiting the transient but not the sustained component of the ISC, resulting in the inhibition of Cl\(^-\) secretion across the airway epithelia.

**Montelukast inhibited UDP-induced [Ca\(^{2+}\)]_i**

Recently, we have demonstrated that P2Y6 receptors couple to both cAMP- and Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent pathways in 16HBE14o- cells [11]. For the Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent pathway, upon the coupling of UDP to P2Y6 receptors, there is an elevation of [Ca\(^{2+}\)]_i, which is caused by the activation of PLC and thus an increased production of IP3. In our experiments, upon the addition of UDP, there was a concentration-dependent increase in [Ca\(^{2+}\)]_i. Unlike the biphasic ISC response, the UDP-induced [Ca\(^{2+}\)]_i response is monophasic. For the [Ca\(^{2+}\)]_i measurements, the cells were stimulated with UDP in a Ca\(^{2+}\)-free solution to remove the complication and involvement of Ca\(^{2+}\) influx. The results demonstrated that only montelukast inhibited the UDP-evoked [Ca\(^{2+}\)]_i increase, indicating that montelukast interferes with UDP-coupled calcium mobilization. Our data are partly consistent with those of a previous study showing similar inhibition by montelukast in a human monocyte-like cell line [10]. However, in our study, pranlukast did not cause any concentration-dependent inhibition of [Ca\(^{2+}\)]_i, change. Therefore, the inhibitory effect of CysLT1 antagonists on P2Y6-coupled calcium signaling pathway may be cell type-specific. Additionally, the inhibitory effect appears to be specific to the P2Y6 receptor, as montelukast failed to inhibit the P2Y6/P2Y1 receptor-mediated increases in [Ca\(^{2+}\)]_i and ISC. The cellular mechanism underlying the effect of montelukast was further investigated in 16HBE14o- cells treated acutely with pharmacological agents inhibiting the P2Y6/PLC-IP3, signaling cascade at different levels. For the first series of experiments, the most downstream target, IP3 receptors, was inhibited by XeC, a novel blocker of the IP3 receptor [17]. In the presence of XeC, the UDP-induced [Ca\(^{2+}\)]_i increase was inhibited. However, coincubation of a low concentration of XeC and montelukast did not cause an additional inhibition of the UDP-induced [Ca\(^{2+}\)]_i increase when compared with the inhibition caused by montelukast alone. The data suggest that monothelukast may interfere with the pathway at a level upstream of the IP3-induced Ca\(^{2+}\) release, U73122, a specific inhibitor of PLC [16], also reduced the UDP-induced [Ca\(^{2+}\)]_i increase. Similarly, coincubation of a low concentration of U73122 and montelukast failed to induce additional inhibition of the UDP-induced [Ca\(^{2+}\)]_i increase when compared with the inhibition caused by montelukast alone. The data rule out the possible interference of montelukast on PLC activity. Moreover, our data showed that only montelukast applied at the apical but not basolateral compartment could inhibit the [Ca\(^{2+}\)]_i increase induced by UDP. Therefore, montelukast is likely to interfere with the pathway at a level upstream of PLC activity and the production of IP3, probably at the receptor level. It has been proposed that the functional inhibition of nucleotide-elicited effects by leukotriene antagonists was mediated through direct allosteric interaction with the P2Y receptor [10], which is phylogenetically related to CysLT1 receptors [36]. However, the detailed molecular interaction remains unknown and requires further investigation. Another possibility is that the CysLT1 antagonists exerted their inhibitory effects via an as yet unidentified signaling mechanism common to P2Y6 receptors, but not characteristic of other P2Y receptor subtypes.

**Pralnukast and Zafirlukast inhibited UDP-induced ISC via different mechanisms**

Airway epithelial Cl\(^-\) secretion is stimulated by two important cytosolic second messengers, [Ca\(^{2+}\)]_i, and cAMP, and the latter could also potentiate Ca\(^{2+}\)-mediated Cl\(^-\) secretion in intestinal T84 cells [37]. PKA and Epac are two downstream targets of cAMP that transduce diverse cellular actions [12,38]. In intestinal epithelial cells, cAMP/PKA may potentiate Cl\(^-\) secretion by phosphorylating apical cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR) Cl\(^-\) channels. Other potential targets include basolaterally located Na\(^+\)/2Cl\(^-\)/K\(^+\) cotransporters or cAMP-dependent K\(^+\) channels [39]. The effect of Epac on epithelial Cl\(^-\) secretion or ion channel activity is less well understood. A recent
study suggested that Epac could regulate intestinal Cl⁻ secretion via a PKA-independent mechanism by stimulating the PLC/IP₃-mediated calcium signaling pathway in intestinal epithelial T84 cells [21]. However, we were not able to detect any increase in [Ca²⁺], induced by Epac activators (Ko et al., unpublished data).

The addition of forskolin also could not stimulate any increase in [Ca²⁺], in 16HBE14o- cells [11]. In this study, we investigated whether the three CysLT₁ receptor antagonists interfered with the potentiating effects of cAMP and Epac on UDP-mediated Cl⁻ secretion. Cells were treated with drugs activating the adenylate cyclase-cAMP-PKA/Epac signaling cascade at different levels. Firstly, two general cAMP level elevators, forskolin and 8-Br-cAMP, were used. Forskolin is an adenyl cyclase activator, while 8-Br-cAMP is a cAMP analog; therefore, both could increase the intracellular level of cAMP. The first and second peaks of the IₑC response were potentiated by forskolin and 8-Br-cAMP. Our data demonstrated that pranlukast and zafirlukast inhibited the first peak of the UDP-induced IₑC potentiated by forskolin or 8-Br-cAMP. However, montelukast did not inhibit the first peak of potentiated IₑC. On the other hand, the second peak of the potentiated IₑC was not inhibited any of the three CysLT₁ antagonists. The data demonstrated that the the UDP-evoked Cl⁻ secretion potentiated by the presence of various cAMP elevating agents was inhibited by pranlukast and zafirlukast but not montelukast.

As mentioned above, cAMP has two downstream targets, PKA and Epac, which cannot be distinguished by general cAMP level elevators. Thus, 8-CPT-2'-O-Me-cAMP, a specific activator of Epac [22], was used to investigate the effect of CysLT₁ antagonists on Epac-potentiated IₑC induced by UDP. 8-CPT-2'-O-Me-cAMP potentiated the first peak but not the second peak of the UDP-induced IₑC. The 8-CPT-2'-O-Me-cAMP-potentiated first peak of UDP-induced IₑC was inhibited only by pranlukast. It appears that pranlukast could reduce the IₑC response potentiated through the action of Epac. There are two possibilities for the inhibitory mechanism. Pranlukast may inhibit the UDP-induced IₑC through inhibition of cAMP production or Epac activation. However, it is unlikely that pranlukast inhibits the production of cAMP because pranlukast also inhibited the UDP-induced IₑC potentiated by the general cAMP analog 8-Br-cAMP. To confirm that pranlukast reduces the IₑC response by acting through Epac, FRET was employed. In the study, we used a FRET-based CAMP indicator, CFP-Epac-YFP. 8-CPT-2'-O-Me-cAMP activated Epac, which caused a significant increase in the FRET emission ratio. In the presence of pranlukast, the increase in the FRET emission ratio elicited by 8-CPT-2'-O-Me-cAMP was reduced, indicating that pranlukast inhibited the activation of Epac. These data further confirms that pranlukast reduces UDP-evoked responses by acting through the action of Epac, which is expressed in 16HBE14o- cells as shown by immunocytochemistry. The expression of Epac 1 but not Epac 2 in 16HBE14o- cells is consistent with the observation that Epac 1 mRNA is expressed ubiquitously, whereas Epac 2 mRNA is predominately expressed in the brain and endocrine tissues [40].

On the other hand, Sp-6-Phe-cAMP served as an Epac-negative control because it activates PKA but not Epac [23,24]. Sp-6-Phe-cAMP also potentiated the first peak but not the second peak of UDP-induced IₑC. The UDP-induced IₑC first peak potentiated by Sp-6-cAMP was inhibited by zafirlukast, but not by montelukast or pranlukast. The cAMP-dependent PKA pathway underlying the effects of montelukast, pranlukast, and zafirlukast on UDP was further studied by a PKA activity assay. The data showed that UDP-induced PKA activity was suppressed only in the presence of zafirlukast, confirming that zafirlukast could reduce the IₑC response acting through the action of PKA. Taken together, these findings imply that montelukast, pranlukast, and zafirlukast differentially inhibited the second messenger-mediated changes in IₑC induced by the apical application of UDP. In particular, pranlukast could inhibit the cAMP-dependent pathway potentiated through the action of Epac, while zafirlukast may inhibit the same pathway potentiated through PKA. Montelukast, however, does not interfere with the cAMP-dependent pathway. However, we could not exclude the possibility that the CysLT₁ antagonists may have direct effects on apical Cl⁻ channels, which await further investigation.

P2Y₆ receptors and inflammatory response

Aside from regulation of ion transport in various epithelia, P2Y receptors, including P2Y₆, have been implicated as important players in the initiation, amplification, and spread of acute inflammation as well as the downregulation of chronic inflammation [41,42]. Recently, it was shown for the first time that P2Y₆ receptors modulate the release of the chemokines CCL20 and IL-8 from human nasal epithelial cells and thereby could alter immune cell recruitment in airways [43]. The specific agonist for P2Y₆ receptors, UDP, stimulates the production of proinflammatory cytokines in retinal pigment epithelial cells [44] and monocytic cells [45]. In an ulcerative colitis mouse model, both P2Y₆ and P2Y₆ receptor mRNA and protein expression was upregulated in the inflammatory response of intestinal epithelial cells. Stimulation of the intestinal epithelial cells with the P2Y₆ receptor agonist UDP resulted in an increased expression and release of IL-8 [46]. Montelukast, pranlukast, and zafirlukast are now widely used clinically for the treatment of asthma and allergic rhinitis. Our data suggest that they may act through a mechanism of action that is independent of CysLT₁ receptor antagonism. Therefore, it is possible that part of the antiinflammatory effect of CysLT₁ receptor antagonists in asthma is mediated through the P2Y₆-mediated signaling pathway, hence ameliorating the proinflammatory responses. In airway epithelia, it is important to maintain the volume or height of the liquid (air surface liquid, ASL) that lines the surface of airway epithelia. Enhancing fluid and electrolyte transport may improve airway surface hydration and improve mucus clearance, which is hypersecreted in various respiratory diseases, such as asthma. Stimulation of various P2Y receptors, including P2Y₆ receptors, will increase Cl⁻ secretion into the lumen of the airway, which subsequently drives water secretion due to the high water permeability of the airway epithelia. Therefore, the inhibition of P2Y₆ receptor-mediated Cl⁻ secretion may have the opposite effect by reducing the hydration of the airway surface, which therefore compromises the innate immunity of the airway epithelia.

In summary, our data demonstrate that the three specific CysLT₁ receptor antagonists have different pharmacological actions against the P2Y₆ receptor-mediated signaling pathway in 16HBE14o- cells. Although all antagonists inhibited the UDP-evoked IₑC increase, only montelukast inhibited Ca²⁺ signaling, while pranlukast and zafirlukast inhibited the potentiation effect of cAMP signaling mediated by Epac and PKA, respectively. The data showed that these three specific CysLT₁ receptor antagonists are not as highly specific as previously thought, and this may provide implications for the clinical use of these compounds in asthma or other inflammatory conditions. Therefore, further studies should be undertaken to evaluate the efficiency and underlying cellular mechanisms of the therapeutic rationale. With respect to this study, the detailed mechanisms of CysLT₁ antagonists on inflammation-related P2Y receptors should be further investigated to uncover the crossregulatory mechanisms.
between CysLT₁ and P2Y receptors, and more importantly, the impact on airway epithelial transport.

**Materials and Methods**

**Cell Culture**

All experiments were performed using the immortalized cell line 16HBE14o-, which was derived from bronchial surface epithelial cells [47]. Standard culture techniques were used as described previously [11,48]. In brief, cells were maintained in Minimum Essential Medium with Earle’s salt supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum, 1% (v/v) L-glutamine, 100 IU/ml penicillin, and 100 μg/ml streptomycin. Cells were cultured on plastic flasks coated with fibronectin and collagen (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA) and were incubated in humidified 95% air-5% CO₂ at 37°C.

**Western Blot**

Cells grown in culture flasks were lysed in radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer [1% NP-40, 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate, 0.5% deoxycholic acid, 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 150 mM NaCl] supplemented with protease inhibitors [5 μg/ml aprotinin, 1 μg/ml leupeptin, 1 μM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 1 mM sodium fluoride, 1 mM sodium orthovanadate, 2 mM β-glycerophosphate, 1 mM ethylene glycol tetraacetic acid, 1 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid]. The cell lysate was collected, and the supernatant was harvested after centrifugation at 20,000 × g for 20 min at 4°C. The total protein content in each sample was determined using the Bradford assay (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). Forty micrograms of protein were used for western blotting and separated by 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. Separated proteins were electroblotted to a polyvinylidene fluoride membrane (Immobilon-P, Millipore Corporation, Billerica, MA) by using a wet transfer system (Bio-Rad). Membranes were blocked for 1 h at room temperature by using 1% bovine serum albumin in phosphate buffered saline containing 0.05% Tween 20 and incubated overnight at 4°C with specific CysLT₁ receptor polyclonal antibody (1:300, rabbit polyclonal anti-CysLT₁, no. 120500, Cayman Chemical, Ann Arbor, MI) or P2Y₆ receptor antibody (1:200, no. APR-011, rabbit polyclonal anti-P2Y₆, Alomone, Jerusalem, Israel). The positions of positive bands were detected by incubation with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-rabbit immunoglobulin G (Dako, Glostrup, Denmark) and visualized using an enhanced chemiluminescence detection system (Amersham Biosciences, Piscataway, NJ). The apparent molecular masses were calculated from the positions of positive bands detected by incubation with excess fluorescent dye-labeled secondary antibody, the coverslip was then transferred to a closed perfusion chamber mounted on an inverted microscope (Olympus IX70, Center Valley, PA) equipped with a ×20 water immersion objective (numerical aperture 0.6). The excitation light source was provided by a multi-wavelength illuminator (Polychrome IV, TILL Photonics, GmBH, Hilden, Germany) that enables rapid interchange between two excitation wavelengths (340 and 380 nm). The emitted fluorescence was passed through a 400-nm dichroic mirror, filtered at 510 nm, and then collected using a digital cooled CCD camera (Quantix, Photometrics, Tucson, AZ). Images were digitized and analyzed using MetaFluor Imaging Software (v.7.5, Molecular Devices, Downingtown, PA). Fura-2 ratios were used to represent changes in [Ca²⁺].

**Measurement of short-circuit current (Iₛₑ)**

Confluent 16HBE14o- cells were used to measure Iₛₑ as described previously [37]. The monolayers were cultured on Transwell-COL membranes (Costar, Cambridge, MA) with a 0.4-μm pore diameter (culture area 0.2 cm²), mounted in an Ussing chamber, and bathed in normal Krebs-Henseleit (K-H) solution. To generate a favorable gradient for Cl⁻ exit, a basolateral-to-apical Cl⁻ gradient was applied across the monolayers by changing the apical K-H solution to one with a reduced Cl⁻ concentration [47]. The potential difference was clamped to 0 mV, and Iₛₑ was simultaneously measured using a voltage-clamp amplifier (VCC:MC6; Physiologic Instruments, San Diego, CA). A transepithelial potential difference of 1 mVs was applied periodically, and the resultant change in the current was used to calculate the transepithelial resistance using Ohm’s law. Cells reached confluence after 10 days with a resistance greater than 150 Ω·cm².

**Measurement of PKA activity**

Confluent 16HBE14o- cells grown on 6-well plates were incubated with vehicle alone or UDP in the presence or absence of different CysLT₁ receptor antagonists for 5 min. The PKA activity was assayed using the PepTag® non-radioactive cAMP-dependent protein kinase assay system (Promega, Madison, WI) as described previously [37]. The phosphorylated and nonphosphorylated samples were separated on a 0.8% agarose gel at 100 V for 15 min. The gel was photographed, and the fluorescence intensity was quantified by the FluorChem™ 8000 imaging system.

**Measurement of intracellular calcium concentration ([Ca²⁺]ᵢ)**

Calcium signals in cells grown on glass coverslips were measured as previously described [11,49]. Briefly, cells were loaded with Fura-2 by incubation (45 min, 37°C) in K-H solution containing 3 μM Fura-2-AM and 1.6 μM pluronic F127. The Fura-2-loaded cells were washed with K-H solution, and the entire coverslip was then transferred to a closed perfusion chamber mounted on an inverted microscope (Olympus IX70, Center Valley, PA) equipped with a ×20 water immersion objective (numerical aperture 0.6). The excitation light source was provided by a multi-wavelength illuminator (Polychrome IV, TILL Photonics, GmBH, Hilden, Germany) that enables rapid interchange between two excitation wavelengths (340 and 380 nm). The emitted fluorescence was passed through a 400-nm dichroic mirror, filtered at 510 nm, and then collected using a digital cooled CCD camera (Quantix, Photometrics, Tucson, AZ). Images were digitized and analyzed using MetaFluor Imaging Software (v.7.5, Molecular Devices, Downingtown, PA). Fura-2 ratios were used to represent changes in [Ca²⁺].

**Real-time monitoring of cAMP by FRET**

Real-time cAMP changes in living cells were monitored using CFP-Epac-YPF, an Epac-based polypeptide FRET reporter [27]. FRET imaging experiments were performed using the MetaFluor Imaging System (with FRET module) similar to that described above. 16HBE14o- cells were transfected with the Epac-based cAMP sensor. Cells on glass coverslips were placed on the inverted microscope and excited at 436 nm. CFP and YFP images were
Materials and solutions

The bicarbonate-buffered K-H solution contained the following components (in mM): NaCl, 117; NaHCO₃, 25; KCl, 4.7; MgSO₄, 1.2; KH₂PO₄, 1.2; CaCl₂, 2.5; and d-glucose, 11; its pH was 7.4 when bubbled with 5% CO₂/95% O₂. The nominally Ca²⁺-free solution was prepared by omitting Ca²⁺ from the above solution. The low Cl⁻ solution (10 mM) was prepared by isosmotically replacing NaCl, KCl, CaCl₂, and MgCl₂ with Na-gluconate, K-gluconate, Ca-gluconate, and Mg-sulfate, respectively. The membrane-permeant acetoxymethylester (AM) forms of the membrane-impermeant probes (Eugene, OR). UDP, forskolin, and 8-Br-cAMP were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Before the use of UDP, this nucleotide (10 mM) was incubated (1 h at 37°C) in 4-[2-hydroxyethyl]-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid-buffered saline containing hexokinase (10 IU/ml, Boehringer, Mannheim, Germany) and 22 mM d-glucose to remove contaminating nucleotide triphosphates. The resulting solution was then aliquoted and stored at −20°C. Montelukast, pranlukast, and zafrulukast were purchased from Cayman Chemical. 8-CPT-2′′-O-Me-cAMP and Sp-6-Phe-cAMP were obtained from BIOLOG (Bremen, Germany). All other general laboratory reagents were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich, and all cell culture reagents were obtained from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA, USA).

Data analysis

Pooled data are presented as means ± standard errors (S.E.), and values of n refer to the number of experiments in each group. Experimentally induced changes (Δ) in the Fura-2 fluorescence ratio and ΔIₖ[at] were quantified by measuring each parameter at the peak of a response and subtracting the equivalent values measured immediately prior to stimulation. Statistical comparisons between original data before normalization were performed using one-way analysis of variance with Bonferroni post-hoc test, with a p < 0.05 considered significant.
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