Membrane Surface-Enhanced Raman Spectroscopy for Cholesterol-Modified Lipid Systems: Effect of Gold Nanoparticle Size
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ABSTRACT: A gold nanoparticle (AuNP) has a localized surface plasmon resonance peak depending on its size, which is often utilized for surface-enhanced Raman scattering (SERS). To obtain information on the cholesterol (Chol)-incorporated lipid membranes by SERS, AuNPs (5, 100 nm) were first functionalized by 1-octanethiol and then modified by lipids (AuNP@lipid). In membrane surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy (MSERS), both signals from 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DOPC) and Chol molecules were enhanced, depending on preparation conditions (size of AuNPs and lipid/AuNP ratio). The enhancement factors (EFs) were calculated to estimate the efficiency of AuNPs on Raman enhancement. The size of AuNP_{100nm}@lipid was 152.0 ± 12.8 nm, which showed an enhancement Raman spectrum with an EF_{2850} value of 111 ± 9. The size of AuNP_{5nm}@lipid prepared with a lipid/AuNP ratio of 1.38 (lipid molecule/particle) was 275.3 ± 20.2 nm, which showed the highest enhancement with an EF_{2850} value of 131 ± 21. On the basis of fluorescent probe analyses, the membrane fluidity and polarity of AuNP@lipid were almost similar to DOPC/Chol liposome, indicating an intact membrane of DOPC/Chol after modification with AuNPs. Finally, the membrane properties of AuNP@lipid systems were also discussed on the basis of the obtained MSERS signals.

INTRODUCTION

Metal nanomaterials, such as gold nanoparticles (AuNPs), have attracted attention in the analysis of biological molecules. Depending on the sizes, the AuNPs show a localized surface plasmon resonance peak, which can be applied in sensor development and surface-enhanced Raman scattering (SERS). In addition, because the surface of AuNPs can be modified by various types of molecules, AuNPs are widely utilized in drug delivery systems, imaging, sensors, and medical engineering. In biological systems, a self-organized lipid membrane has several important roles such as controlling the structure of membrane protein, transporting molecules across the membrane, and signal transduction using mediators. To better understand such emergent functions that have arisen at the membrane interface, localized molecular behavior and lipid membrane property studies are necessary. However, the investigation of the fundamental properties of lipid membranes based on lipid information is challenging. Thus, methods based on SERS are applied for membrane studies, wherein a Raman probe, which is localized in the membrane and emits strong signals when excited by a laser, is usually employed to ensure lipid membranes. Carbonate-capped AuNPs were utilized as artificial ion transporters across biological membranes. Owing to the surface properties, the synthetic nanoparticles (NPs) can interact with lipid membranes. The AuNPs were utilized to determine the surface charge of lipid membranes. SERS techniques are also applied to living cell membrane systems. Raman signals enable the identification of the structural information of lipid molecules in the membrane. In addition, metal nanostructures have discovered the hot spot which produced strong Raman signals. Thus, the location of NPs must be controlled to gain in situ information from the enhanced Raman spectrum.

There has been significant interest in molecular behaviors of membrane components such as cholesterol (Chol) and sphingomyelin. Direct spectroscopy measurements, such as
Raman, infrared, nuclear magnetic resonance, calorimetry, and so forth, have been employed to understand self-assembly behaviors of membrane lipids. However, signal intensities are sometimes problematic for quantitative analysis. The use of fluorescence probe is also a reliable method to study membrane properties, such as 1,6-diphenyl-1,3,5-hexatriene (DPH) for membrane fluidity (1/P) and 6-lauroyl-2-dimethylaminonaphthalene (Laurdan) for membrane polarity (MSERS). These methods are powerful to wheel the lipid membrane studies until now; however, a concern is that the probe signal is indirect. Although an SERS method requires membrane labeling with a plasmonic material (e.g., AuNP), it can directly reflect the molecular information of the target. Thus, the SERS signals obtained from a lipid membrane could provide spontaneous information about lipids (molecular conformation and localization).

Table 1. Summary of Inner Membrane Studies Reported in Literature

| method of study               | amphiphilic molecules                  | refs |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------|------|
| inner leaflet diffusion       | DLPC, DMPE                             | 19   |
| Chol inner surface lipid      | DPPC, egg PC (conc. 5 ± 5 mM)          | 48   |
| inner bacterial membrane via MD simulation | POPE, POPG              | 20   |
| mitochondrial inner membrane with mathematical model | lipid hydroperoxides | 49   |

The mixture was stirred for 3 h at room temperature and then the solution was separated into two phases by incubating for 30 min. The bottom phase was transferred carefully to a round-bottomed flask. The sonication treatment (60 min in a sonication bath) was used for each sonication. The mixed sonication of 10 phases increased the distance between them. The distance between the lipid-coated AuNPs depends on the distance between the lipid-coated AuNPs, and (2) the charged membrane did not cause SERS because the electrostatic repulsion between the lipid-coated AuNPs increases the distance between them. The distance between the two AuNPs is critical for the SERS intensity.32,53

In this study, we aim to develop a SERS-based characterization for the lipid membrane systems, particularly to compare the effect on AuNP size on SERS performances. Herein, two types of AuNPs were employed: the systems utilizing AuNP100nm and AuNP5nm. The prepared AuNP5nm@lipid and AuNP100nm@lipid were characterized on the basis of conventional fluoroscent probe methods and of SERS analysis. Lipid membranes were composed of 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DOPC)/Chol = (60/40). A side-by-side comparison of membrane properties was performed to ensure influences of AuNPs on lipid membranes. In addition, the phase state and membrane properties can be changed from liquid-disordered phase (DOPC-enrich) to liquid-ordered phase (Chol-enrich) depending on the Chol amount.34,35 Then, the obtained results were discussed focusing on the influence of AuNPs, which could directly alter the membrane properties via AuNP–lipid interaction, and also an indirect influence, for example, the altered distribution (local concentration) of Chol because of the presence of AuNPs.

### EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

**Materials.** DOPC was purchased from NOF Corporation (Tokyo, Japan). Chol and citrate-stabilized AuNP100nm (3.8 × 10^10 particles per mL) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). 1-Octanethiol and other chemicals used in this study were purchased from Wako Pure Chemicals (Osaka, Japan) and used without further purification. Citrate-capped AuNP5nm was synthesized according to a previous report. Briefly, a HAuCl4 solution was mixed with an ice-cold NaBH4 solution at 25 °C. The final concentrations of HAuCl4, trisodium citrate, and NaBH4 were 0.25, 1.25, and 1.25 mM, respectively. Cross-flow filtration was performed using a hollow tube (MicroKros Module, Spectrum Laboratories, Inc.) to concentrate AuNPs.

**Preparation of AuNP@Lipid.** The lipid composition used in this study was the mixture of DOPC/Chol (60/40). The AuNP5nm@lipid samples were prepared by mixing 2 mL of 5 nm AuNP solution (total [Au] concentration of 0.14 mM), 3 mL of ethanol, and 10 μL of 1-octanethiol dissolved in chloroform (volume ratio: chloroform/1-octanethiol = 300/1). The mixture was stirred for 3 h at room temperature and then the solution was separated into two phases by incubating for 30 min. The bottom phase was transferred carefully to a round-bottomed flask. The solvent was removed by evaporation under vacuum condition. Afterward, the obtained 1-octanethiol-functionalized AuNPs were kept under high vacuum.
overnight, thus completely removing the solvent. The CHCl₃ solution of lipids was applied to 1-octanethiol-functionalized AuNPs, and the solvents were removed by evaporation. The dried samples were hydrated with distilled water. The lipid/AuNP₅nm ratios used in this study were 1.38 × 10⁴, 2.76 × 10⁴, and 1.38 × 10⁵ [lipid molecules/particle] (for details, see the Supporting Information). The AuNP₁₀₀₀nm@lipid samples were prepared according to the reported method. These data were determined by a scanning transmittance electron microscope and hydrodynamic diameters and size distributions of the AuNP@lipid suspensions were determined at 25 °C using dynamic light scattering (DLS) [Zetasizer Nano (Malvern Instruments Ltd., Tokyo, Japan)]. The samples were measured as prepared (no pretreatment by filter), and no larger aggregates (size > 1 μm) were detected. The size distribution of AuNP₅nm was determined by a scanning transmittance electron microscope (HD-2700B, Hitachi High-Technologies Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) at an accelerating voltage of 80 kV (Figure S1).

**Size Distributions of AuNP@Lipid.** The hydrodynamic diameters and size distributions of the AuNP@lipid suspensions were determined at 25 °C using dynamic light scattering (DLS) [Zetasizer Nano (Malvern Instruments Ltd., Tokyo, Japan)]. The samples were measured as prepared (no pretreatment by filter), and no larger aggregates (size > 1 μm) were detected. The size distribution of AuNP₅nm was determined by a scanning transmittance electron microscope (HD-2700B, Hitachi High-Technologies Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) at an accelerating voltage of 80 kV (Figure S1).

**Raman Measurements of AuNP@Lipid.** The Raman spectra of liposomes, AuNP₅nm@lipid, and AuNP₁₀₀₀nm@lipid were measured using a confocal Raman microscope (LabRAM HR-800, Horiba Ltd., Kyoto, Japan). A 532 nm YAG laser of 100 mW was used for excitation, and a 20× objective lens was used to focus the laser beam. The spatial resolution in the measurements was ca. 50 μm × 50 μm (x–y) and ca. 5 μm (z). The prepared AuNP@lipid particles were small (diameter less than 1 μm). All the spectra reported here were measured with an accumulation time of 20 s, and each spectral data were accumulated five times. The measurements were carried out on a temperature-controlled Peltier plate, which kept the sample temperature at 25 °C. The background signal (water) was removed to obtain the actual Raman intensity of lipids (for details, see the Supporting Information).

**Calculation of EF.** To investigate the Raman signal, the EF value was calculated using the following equation

\[
EF = \frac{I_{\text{MSERS}}}{C_{\text{MSERS}}} / \frac{I_{\text{liposome}}}{C_{\text{liposome}}}
\]  

where \(I_{\text{MSERS}}\) represents the Raman intensity obtained in AuNP@lipid, and \(C_{\text{MSERS}}\) represents the total concentration of lipid in AuNP@lipid. The \(I_{\text{liposome}}\) represents the Raman intensity obtained in DOPC/Chol (60/40) liposomes (no modification with AuNPs), wherein the total lipid concentration (=\(C_{\text{liposome}}\)) was 100 mM. The value of \(I/C\) indicates a normalized Raman intensity by applied lipid concentration. Raman spectrum measurements were conducted at least three times, and the average value of each peak intensity was employed to calculate EF.

**Fluorescence Emission Spectra of Laurdan.** Ten microliter of 100 μM Laurdan in ethanol was mixed with 12.5 μL of vesicle suspension, and the sample solution was diluted with water to a total volume of 1 mL. The molar ratio of total lipid/probe was 100/1. The sample solutions were incubated for 30 min at room temperature. Then, the fluorescence spectrum of Laurdan was recorded with an excitation wavelength of 340 nm, at emission wavelengths from 400 to 600 nm. The membrane polarity (GP₃₄₀) at different temperatures was determined from

\[
GP_{340} = \frac{I_{440} - I_{490}}{I_{440} + I_{490}}
\]  

where \(I_{440}\) and \(I_{490}\) are the emission intensities of Laurdan at 440 and 490 nm wavelengths, respectively.

**Fluorescence Polarization Measurements.** To measure membrane fluidity, 0.4 μL of 100 μM DPH ethanol solution was mixed with 12.5 μL of vesicle suspension. The sample solution was diluted with water to a total volume of 1 mL. The molar ratio of total lipid/probe was 250/1. Before fluorescence polarization measurements, the samples were incubated for 30 min at room temperature in the dark. After incubation, the fluorescence polarization of DPH was measured using a fluorescence spectrophotometer (FP-8500, Jasco, Tokyo, Japan) (Ex = 360 nm, Em = 430 nm). Fluorescence polarizers were set on the excitation and emission light pathways. With the emission polarizer angle of 0°, the fluorescence intensities obtained with the emission polarizer angle 0° and 90° were defined as \(I_{∥}\) and \(I_{⊥}\), respectively. With the emission polarizer angle of 90°, the fluorescence intensities obtained with the emission polarizer angle 0° and 90° were defined as \(i_{∥}\) and \(i_{⊥}\), respectively. The polarization \(P\) was then calculated using

\[
P = \frac{I_{∥} - GL_{⊥}}{I_{∥} + GL_{∥}}
\]

where \(G(=i_{∥}/i_{⊥})\) is the correction factor. Because polarization is inversely proportional to fluidity, the membrane fluidity was evaluated by the reciprocal of polarization (1/P).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Characterization of AuNP@Lipid Self-Assembly.** AuNP₁₀₀₀nm@lipid and AuNP₅nm@lipid were prepared based on the same protocol. The hydrodynamic diameters of the self-assemblies were determined by DLS (Figure 1, Table 2). The

![Figure 1. Size distributions of particles. (a) AuNP₅nm@lipid (just after prepared), (b) AuNP₁₀₀₀nm@lipid (after 60 min ultrasonication), (c) AuNP₁₀₀₀nm@lipid (just after prepared), and (d) AuNP₁₀₀₀nm@lipid (after 60 min ultrasonication). Lipid compositions were DOPC/Chol (60/40). All samples were measured at 25 °C.](image-url)
average sizes of AuNP100nm@lipid and AuNP5nm@lipid were 209.4 ± 26.5 and 352.5 ± 37.4 nm, respectively. Ultrasound sonication (60 min) reduces the interparticle aggregates.\(^d\) Consequently, the average sizes of AuNP100nm@lipid and AuNP5nm@lipid were decreased to 152.0 ± 12.8 and 275.3 ± 20.2 nm, respectively. In the following experiments, the ultrasound-treated AuNP@lipid samples were used.

**MSERS Signals Obtained by AuNP100nm@Lipid Systems.** To clarify the modification model of the lipid membrane, the role of AuNPs in SERS from the lipid membrane was studied by selecting two AuNPs with different sizes [5 nm (small) and 100 nm (large)]. In a previous study, AuNP100nm@lipid samples were prepared to analyze the surface region of the membrane leaflet.\(^a\) Figure 2 shows MSERS of several lipid/AuNP ratios were tested for MSERS: lipid/AuNP ratios such as 1.38 × 10\(^{-5}\) [total lipid: 0.5 mM (red line)], 2.76 × 10\(^{-5}\) [total lipid: 1.0 mM (green line)], and 1.38 × 10\(^{-3}\) [total lipid: 5.0 mM (purple line)]. The embedding of AuNP5nm was first modified with 1-octanethiol as the hydrophobic dispersing agent, which could then be embedded into the lipid bilayers. Small AuNPs could be located between the bilayer membrane, owing to their small size (approximately 5 nm), and the small space between the bilayer leaflet. In theory, NPs (diameter, ca. 5 nm) can be inserted into lipid bilayers.\(^b\) The synthesized small AuNP5nm was implemented in DOPC/Chol (60/40) membranes. Figure 3 shows that the peak at 2872 cm\(^{-1}\) was derived from Chol, while the peak at 2852 cm\(^{-1}\) originated from the hydrocarbon chain of the phospholipid (herein DOPC). The mechanism for the 100 nm AuNP systems was as follows (also see Suga et al.\(^{30}\)): the 100 nm AuNP acts as a core, and the lipids were coated around the AuNPs. In our previous works, the sonication treatment (60 min in sonication bath) decreased the aggregation of AuNP100nm@lipid particles. For longtime incubation, some AuNP100nm@lipid particles were aggregated with each other. Simultaneously, the lipid membranes were sandwiched between the AuNPs (hot spot). Although the lipid membrane of AuNP100nm@lipid system does “not” show a bilayer structure, the membrane properties are quite similar to the lipidosome systems.

**Table 2. Particle Size of AuNP@Lipid**

| system                | size [nm] |
|-----------------------|-----------|
| AuNP\(_{100nm}\)@lipid| 4.8\(^a\) |
| AuNP\(_{100nm}\)@lipid + sonication (60 min) | 209.4 ± 12.8\(^d\) |
| AuNP\(_{100nm}\)@lipid + sonication (60 min) | 352.5 ± 25.4\(^d\) |

\(^a\)Synthesized based on the reported protocol.\(^b\)Purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used as received (see Figure S1). \(^c\)Size was determined by transmission electron microscopy (see Figure S1). \(^d\)Size was determined by DLS.

AuNP\(_{100nm}\)@lipid ([total lipid: 1 mM (blue line)], compared to conventional liposome (100 mM, orange line). AuNP\(_{100nm}\)@lipid shows high Raman signals even in low lipid concentration (1 mM). The insertion of AuNP\(_{100nm}\) successfully enhanced the Raman intensities both in the fingerprint (500–2000 cm\(^{-1}\)) and in the C–H stretching regions (2700–3100 cm\(^{-1}\)): peaks were clearly observed at 714 cm\(^{-1}\) [choline head group (DOPC)], 2825 cm\(^{-1}\) [symmetric stretching –CH\(_2\)= (DOPC)], and 2872 cm\(^{-1}\) [asymmetric –CH\(_2\)= (Chol)]. The enhancement in the fingerprint region was relatively weaker than that in the C–H stretching region. The enhancement of AuNP\(_{100nm}\)@lipid was further found to be sensitive to the membrane thickness,\(^c\) suggesting that a hot spot can be formed in the thicker membrane region, that is, Chol-enriched domains. In the C–H stretching region (2700–
The uptake of small AuNPs in the inner lipid membrane, which and CH2 asymmetric stretching, respectively. The ratio of inner membrane leakage was induced with small AuNP5nm and AuNP100nm@lipid systems. The EF values of AuNP@lipid obtained in this study are listed in Table 3. The EF values at several points (714, 1468, 2852, 2872, and 2930 cm−1), corresponding to ν(N−CH2) symmetric, ν(CH2) symmetric, ν(CH2) asymmetric (from Chol), and ν(CH3) symmetric, respectively, are compared. The average EF values of AuNP100nm@lipid systems were 94 ± 22.0, 53.2 ± 27.0, 18.4 ± 3.9, and 25.9 ± 9.9, respectively. The EF values of AuNP@lipid systems were almost similar to those of liposome systems. Thus, it is assumed that 1-octanethiol hardly disturbs the membrane formation. Herein, DPH and Laurdan were applied to prepare AuNP@lipid systems, and the 1/P and GP340 values were calculated on previous reports.49,50 By comparing the difference of membrane properties between AuNP-modified membranes and pure liposomes, it is possible to discuss whether the insertion of AuNPs alters the apparent membrane properties or not.

For AuNP100nm@lipid system, the lipid membrane leaflet was attached on the 1-octanethiol-functionalized AuNP100nm. The results of fluorescent probe studies (1/P and GP340) indicated no significant influence on the modification of AuNP100nm. This suggests that the hot spot can be constructed between Chol-enriched domain, and then, the Raman enhancement could be preferentially induced at the Chol-enriched domain. However, AuNP100nm@lipid is not suitable for charged membranes because the electrostatic repulsion inhibits the contact between particles (data not shown). Usually, the lipid/probe ratio ≈ 100/1 is employed because the excess amount of fluorescent probes might disturb the membrane properties. In AuNP5nm@lipid systems, slight differences of membrane fluidity and polarity could be caused by the insertion of AuNP. It is also notable that the membrane fluidity and polarity are significantly altered by the amount of Chol.44,45,46 Therefore, possible reasons are that (1) the presence of AuNP5nm slightly made the membrane disordered or that (2) Chol molecules could be accumulated around AuNP5nm and the relative Chol amount in the membrane.

### Table 3. Summary of Peak Assignments and EF Values for AuNP5nm@Lipid and AuNP100nm@Lipid Systems

| Raman shift [cm⁻¹] | assignment | EF, AuNP5nm@lipid | EF, AuNP100nm@lipid |
|---------------------|-------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| 714                 | ν(N−CH2)    | 94 ± 22.0         | 129.3 ± 7.5         |
| 873                 | ν(N−CH3)    | 53.2 ± 27.0       | 181.4 ± 19.8        |
| 1062                | ν(C−C)trα   | 18.4 ± 3.9        | 68.7 ± 3.0          |
| 1087                | ν(C−C)gauche| 61.2 ± 26.0       | 63.9 ± 1.8          |
| 1126                | ν(C−C)trα   | 25.9 ± 9.9        | 318.2 ± 8.4         |
| 1298                | τ(CH2)      | 48.9 ± 30.7       | 116.0 ± 33.9        |
| 1442                | τ(CH2)      | 77.3 ± 25.8       | 103.2 ± 27.7        |
| 1668                | ν(ROH)−Chol | 55.7 ± 9.5        | 128.2 ± 35.3        |
| 1738                | ν(C=O)      | 90.3 ± 17.9       | 66.1 ± 10.1         |
| 2852                | ν(CH2)      | 111.0 ± 9.0       | 131.3 ± 20.5        |
| 2872                | ν(CH3)      | 120.9 ± 8.8       | 139.4 ± 22.4        |
| 2930                | ν(CH2)      | 124.4 ± 9.2       | 139.2 ± 21.2        |
| 2960                | ν(CH3)      | 147.7 ± 5.5       | 149.7 ± 24.7        |

49−51 Cited from the literature. 49−51 Calculated from three reproducible experiments, total lipid concentration was 1 mM. 49−51 Calculated from three reproducible experiments, total lipid concentration was 0.5 mM.
slightly decreased. The membrane can be segregated into DOPC-enriched domain [liquid-disordered phase] and Chol-enriched [liquid-ordered (lo) phase] domain. It is assumed that AuNP5nm could be interactive with Chol-enriched domain; as a result, the lo-phase preferred Raman enhancement can be obtained. Although further investigations are needed, the AuNP5nm@lipid system is potentially applicable for various systems, including the membranes modified with charged species.

Investigation of Lipid Membrane Properties Based on Raman. In Raman analysis for lipid membranes, both fingerprint (500–2000 cm\(^{-1}\)) and C–H stretching regions (2700–3100 cm\(^{-1}\)) can be used to know the properties of lipid membranes.\(^{36-38}\) Because the peaks at 2850 and 2890 cm\(^{-1}\) correspond to the symmetric and asymmetric vibrational modes of the –CH\(_3\)– group, the peak intensity ratio, \(R = I_{2890}/I_{2852}\) is indicative of the hydrocarbon chain packing density.\(^{39}\) The fingerprint region of the Raman spectrum, in approximately the 1000–1200 cm\(^{-1}\) range, is known to be a highly sensitive range for reporting chain–chain interactions (chain torsion: \(S = I_{1090}/I_{1120}\)).\(^{31}\) Furthermore, the Chol peak independently appears at 2872 cm\(^{-1}\), and the peak ratio of \(I_{2872}/I_{2852}\) reflects the Chol amount in the membrane (see Figure S3). On these bases, the MSERS signals obtained by AuNP@lipid systems are compared with liposome (Figure 5). In common to AuNP100nm@lipid, AuNP5nm@lipid, and liposome, they resulted in the values of \(R < 1\) and \(S > 1\), indicating the liquid phase because of the membrane

![Figure 4. Membrane fluidity and polarity analyses. (a) Relationship of Chol amount and membrane fluidity (1/P) in DOPC membranes (liposome systems). (b) Comparison of 1/P values. (c) Relationship of Chol amount and membrane polarity (GP340) in DOPC membranes (liposome systems). (d) Comparison of GP340 values. Lipid compositions were DOPC/Chol (60/40). All samples were measured at 25 °C. At least three reproducible spectra were obtained for each system. Error bar represents a standard deviation of each data.](image)

![Figure 5. Analyses of lipid membrane properties by Raman. (a) Chain packing, \(R = I_{2890}/I_{2852}\). (b) Chain torsion, \(S = I_{1090}/I_{1120}\). (c) Chol amount, \(I_{2872}/I_{2852}\). Lipid compositions were DOPC/Chol (60/40). All samples were measured at 25 °C. At least three reproducible spectra were obtained for each system. Error bar represents a standard deviation of each data.](image)
composition of DOPC/Chol (60/40). The Chol amount of AuNP$_{5nm}$@lipid seems to be slightly higher than the liposome systems. MSERS of AuNP$_{100nm}$@lipid systems indicated the most ordered membrane properties. This could be due to the location of AuNPs: AuNP$_{5nm}$, which might be accumulated into Chol-enriched domain (i.e., l$\rangle$ phase). Given that Chol could be heterogeneously distributed in membranes, the hot spot generated in AuNP$_{100nm}$@lipid systems could be a Chol-enriched domain, wherein the membrane is relatively ordered because of enriched Chol.

The Raman intensity of liposome at the total lipid concentration below 10 mM was so weak and usually under the detection limit. Because MSERS measurements were performed at a total concentration below 1 mM, the EF values strongly depend on whether the hot spot is generated or not. The hot spot of AuNP$_{100nm}$@lipid could be induced between the contacted surfaces of AuNP$_{100nm}$@lipid particles, whereas the octanethiol-functionalized AuNP$_{5nm}$ could be incorporated into lipid membranes and then could induce the hot spot inside the membrane. Although further studies are required to investigate the critical reasons for the SERS intensity differences between peaks, the AuNP$_{5nm}$@lipid systems could induce relatively stronger peaks in the fingerprint regions as compared to AuNP$_{5nm}$@lipid systems. The incorporation of AuNP$_{5nm}$ induced the membrane lipids exiting closely to the AuNPs, which could increase the Raman signals.

## CONCLUSIONS

The AuNP-modified DOPC/Chol self-assemblies were prepared to obtain SERS. The Raman signals at the fingerprint region obtained in AuNP$_{5nm}$@lipid systems were slightly stronger than those obtained in AuNP$_{100nm}$@lipid systems. The membrane properties of AuNP@lipid systems and liposomes were compared; in fluorescent probe studies, negligible differences were observed between AuNP$_{100nm}$@lipid and liposome, while the Raman peak intensity analyses suggest the enhanced Chol signals in AuNP$_{100nm}$@lipid. The hot spot of AuNP$_{100nm}$@lipid could be induced between the contacted surfaces of AuNP$_{100nm}$@lipid particles, whereas the octanethiol-functionalized AuNP$_{5nm}$ could be incorporated into lipid membranes and then could induce the hot spot inside the membrane. Considering these results, the AuNP$_{100nm}$@lipid and AuNP$_{5nm}$@lipid systems can be applied to analyze the surface and inner membrane regions, respectively.

This approach will shed lights in characterizing liquid-ordered versus liquid-disordered membrane phases and in detecting the AuNP-associated lipids in membrane systems. Considering the results obtained in this work, slight differences were observed both in fluorescent probe analyses and in SERS. Given an interaction between AuNPs and lipid (or lipid membrane), it can be suggested that (1) AuNP$_{5nm}$ itself disturbs the membrane ordering and (2) the insertion of AuNP$_{5nm}$ altered the distribution of Chol in the membrane. From a relatively stronger Chol signal in AuNP$_{100nm}$@lipid systems, a direct interaction between AuNPs and lipid (especially Chol) should be considered. Although careful studies are required to get more accurate information about AuNP-modified lipid membrane, the SERS method has potential to investigate a wide variety of fractional contents of Chol in membranes and at low lipid/AuNP ratio for a specific application.
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