**Structure of the TRPA1 ion channel suggests regulatory mechanisms**
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The TRPA1 ion channel (also known as the wasabi receptor) is a detector of noxious chemical agents encountered in our environment or produced endogenously during tissue injury or drug metabolism. These include a broad class of electrophiles that activate the channel through covalent protein modification. TRPA1 antagonists hold potential for treating neurogenic inflammatory conditions provoked or exacerbated by irritant exposure. Despite compelling reasons to understand TRPA1 function, structural mechanisms underlying channel regulation remain obscure. Here we use single-particle electron cryo-microscopy to determine the structure of full-length human TRPA1 to ~4 Å resolution in the presence of pharmacophores, including a potent antagonist. Several unexpected features are revealed, including an extensive coiled-coil assembly domain stabilized by polyphosphate co-factors and a highly integrated nexus that converges on an unpredicted transient receptor potential (TRP)–like allosteric domain. These findings provide new insights into the mechanisms of TRPA1 regulation, and establish a blueprint for structure–based design of analgesic and anti-inflammatory agents.

**Unique architecture of human TRPA1**

A screen of TRPA1 orthologues identified the human channel as the top candidate for structural analysis based on particle size and conformational homogeneity (Extended Data Fig. 1a, b). Purified, detergent-solubilized protein appeared as homogeneous, mono-dispersed particles from which gross architectural features, such as a putative transmembrane core and extensive intracellular domain, could already be discerned (Extended Data Fig. 1b). After exchange into an amphiphilic polymer, TRPA1 samples were imaged using negative-stain and single-particle cryo-EM, as previously described21 (Fig. 1a, b and Extended Data Figs 1g and 2–5). We imaged samples under a variety of conditions, ultimately obtaining high-resolution 3D reconstructions only in the presence of an agonist (allyl isothiocyanate, AITC) or antagonists (HC-030031 with and without A-967079) to 4.24 Å, 3.9 Å and 4.7 Å, respectively, using gold-standard refinement and Fourier shell correlation (FSC) = 0.143 criterion for resolution estimation (Fig. 1c and Extended Data Figs 3–5). Indeed, even two-dimensional (2D) class averages of particles with these additives revealed elements of secondary and tertiary structure, including the tetrameric organization of the channel, well-resolved densities for the putative transmembrane core and a central stalk flanked by convex stems that transition into a highly flexible crescent-shaped element (Fig. 1a and Extended Data Figs 3b, 4b and 5b). This latter element was routinely observed by negative-stain imaging for all orthologues examined (Fig. 1b and Extended Data Fig. 1b, g), and is thus a bona fide and conserved structural feature. In 3D reconstructions, most side chains were seen in sufficient detail to allow de novo atomic model building, which was initially achieved with samples treated with AITC (Fig. 1e and Extended Data Figs 3 and 6). High-resolution information suitable
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for model building spanned residues Lys 446–Thr 1078, excluding only the most distal cytoplasmic regions, a short S1–S2 linker that extends into the extracellular space, and a short helix that links a putative carboxy-terminal β-strand to the coiled-coil domain (Extended Data Fig. 7a, b). Thus, we have resolved the structure of the vast majority of the transmembrane core and proximal cytoplasmic regions involved in subunit assembly and electrophile detection. Together, these regions represent ~50% of the protein. Except where noted, discussion of the structure pertains to the AITC-treated sample.

From a bird’s-eye view, TRPA1 resembles TRPV1 and distantly related voltage-gated potassium (Kv) channels: each subunit consists of six transmembrane α-helices (S1–S6) plus a re-entrant pore loop between S5 and S6; homotetramers are formed through ‘domain
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**Figure 1 | 3D reconstruction of human TRPA1.** a, Representative cryo-EM 2D class averages of TRPA1 (side views, left and middle; end-on view, right). b, Representative negative-stain particles in amphipol. c, 3D density map of TRPA1 from AITC-treated sample filtered to 3.5 Å resolution with each subunit colour-coded. Three views show side, top and bottom. d, Ribbon diagram of rat TRPV1 apo-state atomic model for comparison. e, Ribbon diagram of TRPA1 atomic model for residues Lys 446–Thr 1078, including the last five ankyrin repeats. Channel dimensions are indicated; side, top and bottom views are shown.
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**Figure 2 | Structural details of a single TRPA1 subunit.** a, Linear diagram depicting major structural domains colour-coded to match ribbon diagrams below. Dashed lines and boxes denote regions for which density was insufficient to resolve detailed structure (sequence before AR12, loop containing Cys 665, S1–S2, S2–S3 and S3–S4 linkers, connection between third β-strand and coiled-coil, C terminus subsequent to coiled-coil), or where specific residues could not be definitively assigned (portion of the linker before and after the coiled-coil). b, Ribbon diagrams depicting three views of the TRPA1 subunit.
Coiled-coil and polyphosphate binding

A notable feature of the TRPA1 structure is a well resolved (<4 Å) tetrameric coiled-coil located at the centre of the channel, below the ion permeation pore near the C terminus, where it forms a stalk-like interaction locus for all subunits (Fig. 1e and Extended Data Fig. 7c, d). Although coiled-coils have been shown to mediate subunit assembly for some TRP subtypes, the primary sequence within this region of TRPA1 is predicted to contain α-helices, but not a coiled-coil, per se. Nonetheless, our structure reveals side-chain interactions at ‘a’ and ‘d’ positions throughout the core, consistent with coiled-coil geometry, but distinct from the canonical coiled-coil heptad repeat, in which ‘a’ and ‘d’ positions are typically occupied by hydrophobic residues. Instead, TRPA1 contains two glutamines in staggered ‘d’ positions that may destabilize the structure (Gln 1047) and/or interact through intra-planar hydrogen bonds (Gln 1061) (Fig. 3a–c). Furthermore, residues on the exterior surface of coiled-coils (‘b, c, e–g’ positions) are commonly polar or charged to facilitate inter-helical and solvent interactions, but here we see several hydrophobic or aromatic residues in these locations, of which only half interact with another channel domain (see below). This coiled-coil-based mechanism of subunit interaction differs from that seen in TRPV1, in which ankyrin repeats on one subunit form contacts with a three-stranded β-sheet on the adjacent subunit (Fig. 1d). Thus, TRP channels associate through at least two broad structural mechanisms, irrespective of whether they contain an ankyrin repeat domain (ARD).

Physiological studies have shown that soluble polyphosphates sustain TRPA1 channel activity in excised membrane patches. Indeed, we found that inclusion of inositol hexakisphosphosphate (InsP₆) throughout channel purification was a prerequisite to obtaining mono-dispersed protein. Nevertheless, a mechanistic explanation for this phenomenon has been lacking. Remarkably, we always observed strong densities near the coiled-coil that probably correspond to InsP₆ (Extended Data Fig. 7d, e). Positively charged residues, namely Lys 1046 and Arg 1050 from one coil and Lys 1048 and Lys 1052 from an adjacent coil, associate with this density via four-coordinate charge–charge interactions (Fig. 3d, e). This is consistent with the observation that polyphosphates having at least four phosphate moieties are most effective at supporting TRPA1 function. Interestingly, the presumptive destabilizing core residue (Gln 1047) is located between InsP₆-coordinating side chains (Lys 1046 and Lys 1048), and thus inter-helical cross bridging by InsP₆ could counteract this glutamine-mediated coiled-coil destabilization (Fig. 3a, d). This interaction is reminiscent of a previously established role for InsP₆ as an essential co-factor for adenosine deaminase, and further illustrates how cellular polyphosphates can function as primitive protein stabilization factors.

Pre-S1, TRP domain and reactive sites

The pre-S1 region connects the ARD to S1 and is of particular interest because it contains residues targeted by electrophilic agonists in a preceeding linker region, the primary sequence of which yields little insight into its structure or mechanistic connection to channel gating. Our 3D structures reveal an overall topology for the linker consisting of two helix-turn-helix motifs separated by two putative anti-parallel β-strands (Fig. 4a). Although TRPA1 was not predicted to contain a TRP domain because it lacks a canonical TRP box motif, an α-helix directly after S6 is structurally and topologically analogous to the TRP domain in TRPV1, although located further below the inner membrane leaflet compared to TRPV1.

The linker is integrated with the overlying TRP-like domain through two main structural features: several hydrophobic interactions between stacked α-helices, and formation of a putative three-stranded β-sheet in which two strands are contributed by the linker and one by the sequence after the TRP-like domain (Fig. 4a, b). While an analogous three-stranded β-sheet in TRPV1 mediates subunit interactions, this putative motif in TRPA1 seems to be a freestanding structure (Fig. 1e). Interestingly, the third β-strand connects to a short, poorly resolved α-helix that is almost buried in the inner leaflet of the membrane and forms part of a poorly resolved loop connecting the TRP-like domain with the C-terminal coiled-coil (Extended Data Fig. 7b). Many TRP channels are modulated by membrane phospholipids (such as phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate) and this connecting helix in TRPA1 may provide a structural basis for such regulation.
The TRP-like domain in TRPA1 makes additional contacts with other non-contiguous structures, including the pre-S1 helix and S4–S5 linker, consistent with proposed roles for TRP domains as sites of allosteric modulation. In TRPV1, the TRP domain interconnects with two putative anti-parallel β-strands (pink). A third β-strand (pink) is contributed by residues following the TRP-like domain. Structurally resolved reactive cysteines and lysine (Cys 621, Cys 641 and Lys 710) are shown in ball-and-stick format. The helix-turn-helices are stacked above the ARD (rose). The TRP-like domain forms hydrophobic interactions with the second helix-turn-helix motif and S4–S5 linker. The first helix-turn-helix (containing Cys 621) is integrated with the TRP-like domain through interactions with the intervening second helix-turn-helix. The TRP-like domain also interacts with the pre-S1 helix. Cys 621 is located in a closely packed pocket lined by AR16 and below the second helix-turn-helix above. Cys 621 is shown as a hydrophobicity surface.

Ankyrin repeat domain

The extensive N-terminal ankyrin array is the namesake for TRPA1, yet we have little understanding of its functionality. Indeed, among vertebrate TRP proteins, TRPA1 boasts the longest ARD, variably estimated to consist of 14–18 ankyrin repeats. In our raw micrographs (negative stain and cryo-EM) and 2D class averages, the TRPA1 N terminus is distributed into two distinct densities consisting of well-resolved convex 'stems' followed by a flexible 'crescent' (Fig. 1a, b). In all of our 3D reconstructions, we see density for five well-defined ankyrin repeats (resolved to ~4–5 Å) that contribute to the stems (Fig. 1c and Extended Data Figs 3–5). A homology model predicts that the crescent consists of 11 ankyrin repeats spanning ~100 Å, which may adopt a propeller arrangement that facilitates intersubunit interactions (Extended Data Fig. 8).

In some non-mammalian species, such as insects and snakes, TRPA1 exhibits relatively low sensitivity to electrophiles and is, instead, activated by heat. Chimaeric and mutagenesis studies have identified regions within the ARD that specify thermal or chemical responsiveness, suggesting that the ARD can communicate with the pore. In this regard, packing interactions sterically link the ankyrin repeats in the stem with the overlying helix-turn-helix motifs of the linker region through hydrophobic and some polar interactions (Fig. 5a). This is propagated upwards and terminates within the TRP-like domain, thereby forming a network of packed interactions capable of transducing information from the ARD to the pore (Fig. 5a). Also of note are close side-chain interactions between the coiled-coil region and AR12, as well as AR16 and the first helix-turn-helix, which probably stabilize the well-resolved intracellular stems, contributing to channel assembly (Fig. 5b, c).

Pore and antagonist binding site

The central cavity in the ion permeation pathway of AITC-treated TRPA1 (resolved to 3.5–4.5 Å) grossly resembles that of TRPV1 in exhibiting two major constrictions (Fig. 6a, b). There are, however, some notable differences. For example, the outer pore domain of TRPA1 contains two pore helices, reminiscent of bacterial voltage-gated sodium channels, where the second helix probably acts as a negatively charged conduit for attracting cations and repelling anions.

Figure 4 | Cytoplasmic domains form an integrated nexus. a, Domain architecture and web of interactions between the TRP-like domain (blue) and pre-S1 helix (orange), the overlying S4–S5 linker (purple) and underlying linker region, consisting of two helix-turn-helix motifs (green and yellow) separated by two putative anti-parallel β-strands (pink). A third β-strand (pink) is contributed by residues following the TRP-like domain. Structurally resolved reactive cysteines and lysine (Cys 621, Cys 641 and Lys 710) are shown in ball-and-stick format. The helix-turn-helices are stacked above the ARD (rose). b, The TRP-like domain forms hydrophobic interactions with the second helix-turn-helix motif and S4–S5 linker. The first helix-turn-helix (containing Cys 621) is integrated with the TRP-like domain through interactions with the intervening second helix-turn-helix. c, The TRP-like domain also interacts with the pre-S1 helix. Cys 621 is located in a closely packed pocket lined by AR16 and below the second helix-turn-helix above. Cys 621 is shown as a hydrophobicity surface.
from the channel mouth \(^{38}\) (Fig. 6a). By contrast, TRPV1 and \(K_{\alpha}\) channels contain only a single pore helix \(^{21,39}\). Moreover, the steeper shape of the outer pore region in TRPA1 is distinct from the wider outer vestibule seen in TRPV1 (closed state) and bacterial \(Na_{\alpha}\) channels, but more reminiscent of \(K_{\alpha}\) channels \(^{38,39}\).

Differences are also seen in the presumptive gates. First, the upper gate in TRPV1 is formed by two residues (Gly 643 and Met 644), whereas that of TRPA1 is formed by one (Asp 915). Here, we see a restriction point measuring 7.0 Å between diagonally opposed Asp 915 residues, which is narrower than that seen in TRPV1 (7.6 Å) in the activated state, but potentially wide enough (≥6 Å) to accommodate partially dehydrated calcium ions \(^{20,40,41}\) (Fig. 6a, b and Extended Data Fig. 7). Interestingly, Asp 915 has previously been implicated in controlling calcium permeability in mouse TRPA1 (ref. 18). Second, the lower gate in rat TRPV1 is formed by a single constriction in S6 at residue Ile 679, whereas that of human TRPA1 consists of two hydrophobic seals formed by Ile 957 and Val 961, creating an increasingly constricted funnel whose narrowest point (6.0 Å) is sufficient to block conduction of rehydrated cations (Fig. 6a, b and Extended Data Fig. 7g). Thus, we may have caught TRPA1 in an intermediate configuration in which the upper gate is partially open and the lower gate closed. Alternatively, the upper constriction may not constitute a regulated gate owing to a more highly scaffolded structure afforded by the second pore helix. Distinguishing between these possibilities will require stabilizing TRPA1 in distinct functional states under conditions amenable to structural analysis.

HC-030031 and A-967079 represent the two main classes of TRPA1 antagonists \(^{7,42,43}\). We determined structures of TRPA1 in the presence of HC-030031, alone, or together with A-967079. Remarkably, the double-antagonist structure reveals a unique density within a pocket formed by S5, S6 and the first pore helix (Fig. 6c and Extended Data Fig. 7h–j). This density was not observed in the structure with HC-030031 alone, and thus probably corresponds to A-967079. Structural changes, such as those associated with binding at the newly formed pocket, are mobile elements involved in gating. As such, HC-030031 and A-967079 may act as a molecular wedge to inhibit opening of the lower gate by impeding movement of these elements. In the case of TRPV1, classic vanilloid ligands occupy a site within the lower S2–S4 bundle. Moreover, local anaesthetics inhibit \(Na_{\alpha}\) channels by binding to discrete sites along the S6 pore-lining surface to block the selectivity filter or activation gate \(^{46,49}\). Therefore, the A-967079 binding pocket described here constitutes a novel pharmacological site. Lastly, the mutations described above do not impair HC-030031 antagonism, suggesting that these two compounds bind to discrete sites (Fig. 6d and Extended Data Fig. 9g). We were unable to identify a second density corresponding to HC-030031, perhaps owing to its lower affinity, leaving its binding site and mechanism of action unresolved.

**Concluding remarks**

TRPA1 is a sensor for chemical irritants and a major contributor to chemonociception. We now show that key residues involved in irritant detection are solvent accessible and lie within a putative allosteric nexus converging on an unpredicted TRP-like domain, suggesting a structural basis in which TRPA1 functions as a sensitive, low-threshold electrophile receptor. An important next step is to visualize electrophile-evoked conformational changes that are associated with gating, a goal that will require robust stabilization of TRPA1 under conditions amenable to structural studies. Our analysis of how InsP \(_3\) stabilizes the channel represents a step in this direction. The physiological purpose of AITC-evoked responses (Fig. 6d and Extended Data Fig. 9), further corroborating assignment of this antagonist site. It has been proposed that A-967079 forms H-bond interactions with Ser 873 and/or Thr 874, which are also located at the bottom of the putative binding pocket \(^{47}\) (Fig. 6c). Consequently, orientation of the phenyl ring of the antagonist in proximity to Phe 909 may stabilize ligand binding through π–π interactions. In fact, in the double-antagonist structure, Phe 909 and additional key residues move closer to the A-967079 density, suggesting that drug binding occurs via an ‘induced fit’ mechanism involving movements in all three aforementioned regions that comprise the pocket (Fig. 6c, compare to AITC model in white).

A-967079 may hinder channel activity through coordinated binding to S5, S6 and first pore helix domains, which in TRPV1 are mobile elements involved in gating. As such, A-967079 may act as a molecular wedge to inhibit opening of the lower gate by impeding movement of these elements. In the case of TRPV1, classic vanilloid ligands occupy a site within the lower S2–S4 bundle. Moreover, local anaesthetics inhibit \(Na_{\alpha}\) channels by binding to discrete sites along the S6 pore-lining surface to block the selectivity filter or activation gate \(^{46,49}\). Therefore, the A-967079 binding pocket described here constitutes a novel pharmacological site. Lastly, the mutations described above do not impair HC-030031 antagonism, suggesting that these two compounds bind to discrete sites (Fig. 6d and Extended Data Fig. 9g). We were unable to identify a second density corresponding to HC-030031, perhaps owing to its lower affinity, leaving its binding site and mechanism of action unresolved.

**Figure 5** | Structural integration of the ARD. 

a, The interdigitated convex ‘stem’ region of the ARD consisting of AR12–AR16 (only AR15 and AR16 are shown; rose) couples to the allosteric TRP-like domain (blue) through interactions with two intervening helix-turn-helix motifs (green and yellow) of the linker region. AR15–AR16 stacking is stabilized through hydrophobic interactions. AR16 is also connected to the overlying first helix-turn-helix motif through hydrophobic and polar interactions. b, c, The ARD and linker region make connections with the coiled-coil through a series of hydrophobic, polar and potentially π-cation interactions involving residues in AR12 and AR13 (b) as well as AR16 and the first helix-turn-helix of the linker region (c). Coiled-coil π-helices from the same and neighbouring subunit are coloured orange and purple, respectively.
the InsP₆ interaction is unclear. Perhaps by stabilizing the coiled-coil domain, polyphosphates function as second messengers that, together with cytosolic calcium and G proteins, modulate TRPA1 activity when phospholipase-C-coupled receptors hydrolyse phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate to generate inositol polyphosphates.

Our study uncovers several similarities between TRPA1 and TRPV1 that probably represent pan-TRP features. Both channels assemble as homotetramers that exhibit domain swapping within the transmembrane core, and possess an ion permeation pathway controlled by two restriction points, the lower of which involves a conserved isoleucine residue. Additional modes of intersubunit interactions may regulate channel assembly and/or facilitate concerted conformational changes after co-factor binding or agonist-evoked gating, akin to domain swapping in the transmembrane core. Additionally, an α-helix subsequent to S6 (TRP domain or structural analogue) probably operates as a conserved allosteric regulatory structure that engages in extensive interactions with pore-forming domains. High-resolution structures of additional TRP subtypes will no doubt expand on this preliminary list of common and distinct features that account for the great functional diversity of TRP ion channels.

Online Content Methods, along with any additional Extended Data display items and Source Data, are available in the online version of the paper; references unique to these sections appear only in the online paper.
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METHODS

FSEC screening of TRPA1 species orthologues. Ten TRPA1 species orthologues (human, mouse, rat, fruit bat, vampire bat, Caenorhabditis elegans, zebrabfish isoform A, zebrabfish isoform B, rat snake and rattlesnake) were screened by fluorescence size exclusion chromatography (FSEC) as previously described30. (Extended Data Fig. 1a). In brief, orthologues were subcloned into a pCDNA3.1(+) vector containing an N-terminal enhanced green fluorescent protein (eGFP) tag. Then, 16 h after transient transfection in the presence of 3 μM ruthenium red, HEK293 cells were washed with PBS, collected in buffer A (50 mM Tris, 37.5 mM sucrose, 5 mM EDTA, 1 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 1 mM phytic acid (InsP6, Sigma), 1X protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche), pH 7.5) and lysed by sonication on ice. Cell debris was cleared by centrifugation (8,000 × 20 min) at 4 °C and membrane pellets were collected by ultracentrifugation (100,000g × 1 h) at 4 °C. The resulting pellets were resuspended in buffer B (20 mM HEPES, 150 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 1 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), 1 mM InsP6, 1X protease inhibitor cocktail, pH 8.0) and membranes were solubilized with 10 mM MNG-3 for 1.5 h at 4 °C (ref. 31). Detergent-insoluble material was removed by ultracentrifugation as above and the supernatant was separated on a Superose 6 column in buffer C (20 mM HEPES, 150 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM InsP6, 0.5 mM MNG-3, pH 8.0). The eluent from the superose 6 column was passed through a Pasco fluorometer (FP-2020 Plus) fitted with a flow cell described in the manufacturer’s instructions. The fluorometer settings were: excitation 488 nm, emission 509 nm. On the basis of the FSEC screen, the vampire bat TRPA1 orthologue was initially pursued for structural studies; however, this sample did not supply sufficient protein homogeneity nor did it retain the ARD diffuse skirt subsequent to exchange into amphipols. Therefore, the ten species orthologues were further screened by negative-stain imaging of mallows-binding protein (MBP)-tagged constructs to identify the human TRPA1 orthologue as the most promising target for structural analysis.

Protein expression and purification. TRPA1 species orthologues were subcloned into a pFastbac vector containing a mammalian cytomegalovirus promoter (Pcam) and an N-terminal MBP tag for baculovirus transduction-based expression in HEK293GnTI™ cells as previously described29. For protein expression, HEK293 GnTI™ cells (lacking N-acetylgalactosaminyltransferase 1), grown in suspension at 37 °C in an orbital shaker, were transfected when cell density reached 1 × 10^6–1.5 × 10^6 per ml and were supplemented with 3 μM ruthenium red. Sodium butyrate was added to the culture 24 h after transduction at a final concentration of 10 mM to boost protein expression. Cells were collected 48 h after transduction and were broken by passing through an emulsifier twice in buffer A. Cell debris was cleared by centrifugation (8,000 × 20 min). Membranes were collected by ultracentrifugation (200,000g × 1 h) and solubilized in buffer B. Membranes were stored at −80 °C or solubilized with 10 mM MNG-3 for 2 h at 4 °C. Detergent-insoluble material was removed by ultracentrifugation (30,000g × 30 min) and the supernatant was mixed with amylose resin (New England Biolabs) for 2 h at 4 °C. The resin was washed with buffer D (20 mM HEPES, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM InsP6, pH 8.0) containing 0.5 mM MNG-3 and bound protein was eluted with the same buffer supplemented with 40 mM maltose.

For orthologue screening, eluted protein was used directly for negative-stain electron microscopy EM imaging (Extended Data Fig. 1b). For preparation of samples for cryo-EM analysis, MBP-tagged TRPA1 was mixed with PMAL-C8 (Affymetrix) at a 1:3 (w/w) dilution with gentle agitation overnight at 4 °C. Detergent was removed with Bio-Beads SM-2 (Bio-Rad) for 1 h at 4 °C, and the beads were subsequently removed over a disposable polypropylene column. The eluent was cleared by centrifugation before further purification on a superose 6 column in buffer E (20 mM HEPES, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM InsP6, pH 8.0). The peak corresponding to tetrameric MBP-tagged TRPA1 was collected for analysis by cryo-EM. Protein was supplemented with 2.5% (v/v) glycerol and mixed with agonist (100 μM AITC, Sigma) or antagonists (50 μM HC-030031 and 50 μM A-967079, 2% (v/v) DMSO, Tocris) for 10 min at room temperature before applying to grids. In PMAL-C8, purified TRPA1 remained stable and monodispersed (Extended Data Figs 1g, 3a, 4a and 5a). All pickers were then screened visually and bad particles identified in the visual screening were removed interactively. The selected particles were further screened by a reference-free 2D classification.

The 28 Å resolution 3D reconstruction was determined to an overall resolution of 28 Å using gold-standard refinement procedure (Extended Data Fig. 2). Dose fractionated cryo-EM images were recorded using a semi-automated acquisition program UCCSImage4 (written by X. Li). Images were recorded with a defocus in the range from 1.8 to 3.5 μm.

Subsequently, three data sets were collected on TF30 Polara electron microscope (FEI Company) operated at 300 kV, equipped with a K2 Summit direct electron detector camera (Gatan). Images were recorded using super-resolution counting mode following an established protocol31. Specifically, images from TF30 were recorded at a nominal magnification of ×31,000, corresponding to a calibrated physical pixel size of 1.22 Å per pixel on the specimen. The dose rate on the camera was set to be 82 counts (corresponding to 9.9 electrons) per physical pixel per second. The total exposure time was 6.5 h, leading to a total accumulated dose of 41 electrons per Å^2 on the specimen. Each image was fractionated into 30 subframes, each with an accumulation time of 0.2 s per frame.

All dose-fractionated cryo-EM images were recorded using a semi-automated acquisition program UCCSImage4 (written by X. Li). Images were recorded with a defocus in a range from 1.5 to 2.8 Å.

Image processing. SamViewer, an interactive image analysis program written in Python, was used for all 2D image display and particle picking. Negative-stain EM images were 2 × 2 binned for manual particle picking. Defocus was determined using CTFFIND3 (ref. 34). Individual particles were cut out and normalized to have a mean of 0 and a standard deviation of 1. For 2D classification, particles were first corrected for contrast transfer function (CTF) by flipping the phase using ‘cftcorr’ (written by X. Li), and subject to six cycles of correspondence analysis, k-means classification and multi-reference alignment, using SPIDER operations ‘CA S’, ‘CL KM’ and ‘AP SH’.

Low-dose images of frozen hydrated MBP–TRPA1 collected on TF20 were 2 × 2 binned, resulting in a pixel size of 1.89 Å for image processing. For particle picking, images were further 2 × 2 binned to a pixel size of 3.8 Å. An ab initio 3D reconstruction was first determined using the TF20 data set, using a probabilistic initial 3D model generation procedure (PRIME) implemented in Simple package28. This reconstruction served as an initial reference model for subsequent maximum likelihood-based 3D classification and auto-refinement procedure using RELION37. The final 3D reconstructions with C4 symmetry were determined to an overall resolution of 28 Å using gold-standard refinement procedure (Extended Data Fig. 2).

Dose fractionated super-resolution image stacks of frozen, hydrated MBP–TRPA1 images collected using K2 Summit camera were first binned 2 × 2 × 2 resulting in a pixel size of 1.22 Å for motion correction and further image processing. Each image stack was subjected to whole-frame motion correction38, and a sum of all subframes in each image stack was used for further processing. Particle picking was performed using a previously described procedure implemented in a Python script ‘samautopick.py’. 2D class averages generated from manually picked particles were then screened visually and bad particles identified in the visual screening were removed interactively. The selected particles were further screened by a reference-free 2D classification.

The 28 Å resolution 3D reconstruction was low-pass filtered to a resolution of 60 Å, and used as the initial reference model for the 3D classification procedure.
using RELION. Stable classes were then iteratively refined and reclassified to obtain the most homogeneous subset for the final 3D reconstruction. All refinements follow the gold-standard refinement procedure, in which the data set was divided into two half sets, and refined independently. Once the refinement is converged, the final data set was subjected to a movie processing and particle polishing procedure implemented in RELION. A mask is generated to remove unstructured densities, such as those corresponding to the crescent and PMAL-C8, before calculating the final FSC curve. The final resolutions were estimated using the FSC = 0.143 criterion on corrected FSC curves in which the influences of the mask were removed. Local resolution was estimated from unblinned and unsharpened raw density maps using ResMap within the RELION suite. The initial and final number of particles, as well as resolution for each data set is reported in Extended Data Table 1.

Model building and refinement. For ab initio model building in COOT, maps amplified with different negative temperature factors were used. Atomic model building was carried out mostly using the ATTC-treated cryo-EM map and cross-validated with the single and double antagonists-treated cryo-EM maps. For the transmembrane domain, a homology model generated with HHpred based on TRPV1 (PDB code 3J5P; ref. 21) was placed into the cryo-EM density map as a placeholder. For the ARD, we first generated sequence-based homology models of individual ankyrin repeats using secondary structure predictions, repeat prediction servers and available literature. These homology models were placed into the density map based on their position in the sequence, starting from the most C-terminal repeat. A total of five ankyrin repeats were properly placed into the well-resolved density of the ARD. Connections between these fitted ankyrin repeats were then built into the densities. For the remaining density, including the coiled-coil domain, linker between the last ankyrin repeat and the S1 helix, a polyanalanine model was first built and amino acid assignment to the polyanalanine model was then achieved based mainly on the clearly defined side chains densities of bulky residues. The entire model was then manually adjusted to fit the densities. Connectivity and side-chain rotamer positions were cross-validated using the two other maps. Clear densities were observed for residues 644–679, 748–763 and 786–802, but the resolutions of these domains are insufficient for atomic model building. The connection between the TRP-like domain and the coiled-coil domain is only partially resolved. At low σ isosurface, weak densities were seen to link the TRP-like domain to a short helical-like density in the inner leaflet of the membrane (Extended Data Fig. 7b) and then to the coiled-coil domain. We did not attempt to build a model for this linker region. The ~30 remaining most C-terminal residues were not resolved, probably forming part of the crescent density. The first 444 amino acids could not be modelled into the crescent density. Sequence-based prediction identified at least 11 additional ankyrin repeats. A speculative model was built by positioning a sequence-based homology model of 11 ankyrin repeats symmetrically into the crescent density (Extended Data Fig. 8e).

After the initial model building and local energy minimization, the entire model was subject to reciprocal space refinement. Amplitudes of the final density map were corrected by a frequency-dependent scaling factor determined by comparing the experimental maps with a reference map calculated from the model, using a program ‘ampcorr’ written by X. Li. A soft-edged mask was generated based on the built atomic model to mask out densities of PMAL-C8, N-terminal crescent density, and other parts where model building was not attempted. The masked maps were put into an artificial unit cell with P1 symmetry and converted to MTZ format using CCP4 program sftools. The resulting reflection files were used to perform maximum likelihood refinement using PHENIX with secondary structure restraints, reference model restraints, and automatic optimization of experimental/stereochemistry weights. The reference model was generated from the built models using the geometry minimization function in PHENIX. The refined atomic model was further visualized in COOT. A few residues with side chain moving out of the density during the refinement were fixed manually, followed by further refinement following the same procedure. The final structure was validated using MolProbity.

For cross-validation to prevent overfitting, we followed procedures as previously described. In brief, the coordinates of the refined atomic structures were displaced randomly by 0.2 Å using PHENIX (DDB tools) to remove potential model bias. The displaced model was then refined against one of the half maps in reciprocal space. FSC curves were calculated between the resulting model and half map 1 (‘work’, that is, used for refinement), the resulting model and half map 2 (‘free’, that is, not used for refinement), and the resulting model and the summed map (Extended Data Fig. 6). There is no significant separation between work and free FSC curves, suggesting that the model was not overfitted. The final model exhibits good geometry and all refinement parameters are listed in Extended Data Fig. 6.

Figures were prepared using UCSF Chimera (34), residue interactions were assigned with a 5 Å cut-off, and pore radii were calculated using the HOLE program (35).
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Extended Data Figure 1  |  Pre-cryo-EM screening of TRPA1 species orthologues and purification of human TRPA1. a, FSEC traces from eGFP–TRPA1 fusion proteins. Void volume and peak corresponding to tetrameric channels are indicated. b, Representative section of negative-stain micrographs showing typical structure of tetrameric MBP-tagged TRPA1 from various species, as indicated (text colour matches traces in a). Particles from species orthologues exhibited highly similar shapes, except rattlesnake TRPA1, which were not homogenous and tended to aggregate. The human TRPA1 orthologue was chosen after negative-stain screening owing to exemplary homogeneity of individual particles. c, Cartoon diagram of MBP-tagged construct used for single-particle cryo-EM studies. d, MBP-tagged TRPA1 construct is active when transduced in HEK293T cells as assessed by calcium imaging (scale bar indicates relative calcium levels: low (blue) to high (red)). e, Gel filtration profile (Superose 6) of MBP-tagged TRPA1 after detergent solubilization, purification on amylose affinity resin, followed by exchange into PMAL-C8. Peaks correspond to void (1), tetrameric MBP–TRPA1 (2), and excess PMAL-C8 (3). f, Material from peak 2 migrates as a single, homogenous band (173 kDa) on SDS–PAGE (4–12% gradient gel, Coomassie stain). g, PMAL-C8-stabilized MBP–TRPA1 appears as homogenous particles with a clear crescent density by negative-stain imaging.
Extended Data Figure 2 | Initial single-particle cryo-EM study of TRPA1.

a, Raw micrograph of MBP–TRPA1 recorded using a scintillator-based CMOS camera. b, 2D class averages of MBP–TRPA1 particles. c, Euler angle distribution of initial 3D reconstruction. d, FSC curve of final 3D reconstruction. e, Final 3D reconstruction of MBP–TRPA1 at 28 Å resolution. This 3D reconstruction was used as the initial model for subsequent cryo-EM studies of TRPA1 using a direct electron detection camera.
Extended Data Figure 3 | Single-particle cryo-EM studies of TRPA1 with agonist (AITC). a, Raw micrograph of MBP–TRPA1 with agonist (AITC) recorded using K2 Summit operated in super-resolution counting mode. b, Gallery of 2D class averages. c, Euler angle distribution of all particles included in calculating the final 3D reconstruction. The size of the ball is proportional to the number of particles in this specific orientation. d, Selected slice views of the unsharpened 3D density map. The views are oriented in parallel with the membrane plane. The numbers of slices are marked. e, Two views of TRPA1 density map filtered to 6 Å resolution and displayed in two different isosurface levels (high in yellow and low in grey). At low isosurface level, density contributed by PMAL-C8 is visible. f, FSC curves between two independently refined half maps (red) and between the final combined density map and the map calculated from atomic model (blue). g, Voxel histogram corresponding to local resolution. There are significant numbers of voxels with higher than 4 Å local resolution. h, Final 3D reconstruction coloured with local resolution. i, Cryo-EM densities of the S4, S4–S5 linker, pore helices, S6, TRP-like domain, and coiled-coil in longitudinal cross sections are superimposed on an atomic model. Only two diagonally opposed subunits are shown for clarity. Dashed ovals indicate regions highlighted at sides.
Extended Data Figure 4 | Single-particle cryo-EM studies of TRPA1 with antagonist (HC-030031). a, Raw micrograph of MBP–TRPA1 with single antagonist HC-030031 recorded using K2 Summit operated in super-resolution counting mode. b, Gallery of 2D class averages. c, Euler angle distribution of all particles included in calculating the final 3D reconstruction. The size of the ball is proportional to the number of particles in this specific orientation. d, FSC curve between two independently refined half maps. e, Three different views of the final density map. f, Voxel histogram corresponding to local resolution. g, Final 3D reconstruction coloured with local resolution.
Extended Data Figure 5  Single-particle cryo-EM studies of TRPA1 with double antagonist (HC-030031 and A-967079). a, Raw micrograph of MBP–TRPA1 with double antagonists recorded using K2 Summit operated in super-resolution counting mode. b, Gallery of 2D class averages. c, Euler angle distribution of all particles included in calculating the final 3D reconstruction. The size of the ball is proportional to the number of particles in this specific orientation. d, FSC curve between two independently refined half maps. e, Three different views of the final density map. f, Voxel histogram corresponding to local resolution. g, Final 3D reconstruction coloured with local resolution.
Extended Data Figure 6 | Refinement of de novo atomic model of TRPA1 determined from cryo-EM density maps. a, Statistics of atomic model refinement. b, FSC curves between the density map calculated from the refined model and half map 1 (work, green curve), half map 2 (free, red curve) and summed map (blue).
Extended Data Figure 7 | Detailed views of unique structural features in TRPA1. a, Density map showing the location of a poorly resolved α-helix within the S1–S2 linker that extends into the extracellular space. b, Density map and α-carbon trace for an α-helix in the inner membrane leaflet located within a flexible loop connecting the third β-strand to the C-terminal coiled-coil. c, Cross section of the density map corresponding to Fig. 3d. d, Cross section of the density map corresponding to Fig. 3c. InsP₆ density is depicted in orange. e, Size of the density corresponding to InsP₆ (yellow) is consistent with an InsP₆ molecule. f, g, Cryo-EM densities of Asp 915 (f), and Ile 957 and Val 961 (g) along the pore are superimposed on the atomic model; both panels represent views along the four-fold axis, showing residues from each subunit of the homotetrameric channel. h, i, Density maps and ribbon diagrams of atomic models showing the location of Phe 909 in AITC (h) and double antagonist (i) samples. Density of A-967079 is indicated in the latter. j, Size of the density corresponding to A-967079 (yellow) is consistent with a A-967079 molecule. The resolution of these ligand densities (6 Å) is insufficient to propose a precise model for ligand binding. The positioning of coordinates for ligands represents only the scale-context and does not present any proposed mode of interaction with the channel.
Extended Data Figure 8 | Distal N terminus contains an ankyrin-repeat-rich region that forms a crescent-shaped density surrounding the main body of the particle. a, Sequence alignment indicates that the N terminus of human TRPA1 contains at least 16 ankyrin repeats. The last five can be modelled into all human TRPA1 density maps. b, 2D class averages of negatively stained MBP–TRPA1 in PMAL-C8. c, Three selected 2D class averages indicating dimension of the crescent-shaped density. d, A homology model for the first 11 predicted ankyrin repeats spanning a dimension of ~100 Å, suggesting that the crescent-shaped density can accommodate at least 11 ankyrin repeats. e, f, Two models for the extended ankyrin repeats are superimposed on the human TRPA1 core atomic model determined by single-particle cryo-EM. Resolution of the crescent is insufficient to determine confidently extended ARD orientation, but which could assemble as a propeller (e) or independent wings (f). On the basis of the combined movement of the crescent density in distinct negative-stain particles (b), we favour a propeller orientation.
Extended Data Figure 9 | Characterization of human TRPA1 Phe909Thr sensitivity to A-967079.  

**a, b,** Ratiometric calcium imaging of HEK293 cells transiently transfected with wild-type (a) or Phe909Thr mutant (b) human TRPA1. Cells were stimulated with AITC (250 μM) with (right) or without (left) pre-application of A-967079 (10 μM).  

**c–h,** Representative recordings from oocytes expressing wild-type (c–e) or Phe909Thr mutant (f–h) human TRPA1 activated with AITC (200 μM) before co-application of A-967079 (10 μM) (c and f), HC-030031 (100 μM) (d and g), or ruthenium red (10 μM) (e and h).  

**i,** Chemical structures and molecular masses of compounds used in this study.

Extended Data Figure 9 | Characterization of human TRPA1 Phe909Thr sensitivity to A-967079.  

**a, b,** Ratiometric calcium imaging of HEK293 cells transiently transfected with wild-type (a) or Phe909Thr mutant (b) human TRPA1. Cells were stimulated with AITC (250 μM) with (right) or without (left) pre-application of A-967079 (10 μM).  

**c–h,** Representative recordings from oocytes expressing wild-type (c–e) or Phe909Thr mutant (f–h) human TRPA1 activated with AITC (200 μM) before co-application of A-967079 (10 μM) (c and f), HC-030031 (100 μM) (d and g), or ruthenium red (10 μM) (e and h).  

**i,** Chemical structures and molecular masses of compounds used in this study.
**Extended Data Table 1 | Summary of human TRA1 structure determinations by single-particle cryo-EM**

|                     | hTRPA1 | hTRPA1 – AITC | hTRPA1 – HC03031+A967079 | hTRPA1 – HC03031 |
|---------------------|--------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| **Voltage (kV)**    | 200    | 300           | 300                      | 300              |
| **Magnification**   | 80000  | 31000         | 31000                    | 31000            |
| **Defocus range (μm)** | 1.8-3.5 | 1.5-2.8    | 1.5-2.8                  | 1.5-2.8          |
| **Electron dose (e- /Å²)** | 25           | 41          | 41                      | 41               |
| **Pixel size (Å)**  | 3.7821 | 1.2156       | 1.2156                   | 1.2156           |
| **Image size (px)** | 2048 x 2048 | 3838 x 3710 | 3838 x 3710            | 3838 x 3710     |
| **Number of images** | 110     | 1160          | 708                      | 810              |
| **Number of frames per image** | -       | 30           | 30                      | 30               |
| **Particle box (px)** | 80       | 300          | 300                     | 300              |
| **Initial particle number** | 28856   | 232500       | 106050                  | 122653           |
| **Final particle number / %** | 4874 / 16.9 % | 43585 / 18.7 % | 20733 / 19.6 % | 21930 / 17.9 % |
| **Resolution (Å)**   | 28      | 4.24         | 3.92129                 | 4.675            |
| **Accuracy rotations** | 6.71    | 1.412        | 1.42                    | 1.876            |
| **Accuracy translations** | 1.337   | 0.606        | 0.602                   | 0.763            |

We determined three structures of human TRA1 in complexes with agonist (AITC) and antagonists (HC-03031 + A-967079). The 200 kV data were collected on a phosphor-scintillator-based CMOS camera. All other data sets were collected using a K2 Summit direct electron detection camera.
CORRIGENDUM
doi:10.1038/nature14871

Structure of the TRPA1 ion channel suggests regulatory mechanisms
Candice E. Paulsen, Jean-Paul Armache, Yuan Gao, Yifan Cheng & David Julius

Nature 520, 511–517 (2015); doi:10.1038/nature14367

In this Article, the raw micrographs shown in Extended Data Figs 4a and 5a were inadvertently identical images. The panel originally shown in Extended Data Fig. 4a is the correct one, and Extended Data Fig. 5a has now been corrected. This error does not alter any conclusions or statements associated with the study.