Spatially regulated adult neurogenesis
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ABSTRACT

Adult neurogenesis has been the center of attention for decades. Neuroscientists hope to understand the mechanism underlying this phenomenon that might provide a unique perception of brain repair in future. Neurogenesis is referred to the process in which neuronal stem cells and progenitors generate new neurons in non-pathologic setting. Although there are some similarities between two neurogenic regions including hippocampus and olfactory bulb, however, there are some important differences. Regardless of the unique functional roles of ongoing neurogenesis in olfactory bulb and hippocampus, the differences are in terms of consequence of neurogenesis, origin of newly born neurons, responding receptors to nicotine exposure, neuronal migration and GABAergic input between two regions. In this paper, we have briefly reviewed the differences of adult neurogenesis between olfactory bulb and hippocampus.
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Introduction

The century old dogma of neurobiology was based on the doctrine stated by the prominent histologist Ramon Y Cajal that “In the adult centres, the nerve paths are something fixed, ended and immutable. Everything may die, nothing may be regenerated”.1,2 According to this prevailing hypothesis, the process of regeneration of functional neurons from progenitor cells could only occur in embryonic state of human brain.2,3 However, contrary to this old dogma, past decade witnessed tremendous novel studies in neuroscience yielding to the acceptance of the phenomena of adult neurogenesis.2,9 Several studies have demonstrated that in adult mammalian brain neurons undergo mitosis, migrate, and integrate into existing circuities.2,9 The process of adult neurogenesis is also shown to exist in two specific regions such as dentate gyrus hippocampus and subventricular zone of the lateral ventricle or olfactory bulb, which are referred as “neurogenic regions”.6,9 All stages of neuronal development do occur during adult neurogenesis as it occurs as part of embryonic and postnatal development processes such as mitosis of precursor cells and formation of mature and functioning new neurons. Although there are some similarities between neurogenesis in olfactory bulb and hippocampus, there are distinct differences in certain aspects of neurogenesis in these two neurogenic regions. In this article, we have analysed such spatially regulated differences in the process of neurogenesis.

Major differences between two neurogenic brain regions

Neuronal replacement versus neuronal addition

Imayoshi and his colleagues studied labeled neuron stem cells (NSCs) in R26-LacZ mice in which tamoxifen (antagonist of estrogen receptor in breast tissue) was administered for 4 days at the age of 2 months.10 Careful analysis of labeled cells showed increased proliferation of these cells in granular layer of olfactory bulb. In addition, peri-glomerular cells were also found to have increased. After 6 months, labeled granular cells reached to 40% and increased to about 50-60% after 12-18 months. Stability in the number of labeled cells could be due to labeling saturation. This observation also indicated that although small population of pre-existing cells remained the same without replacement, but highly significant numbers of pre-existing cells in olfactory bulb were replaced with new labeled neurons by 12 months of tamoxifen treatment. According to these findings, it could be concluded that neurogenesis is an important factor contributing to neuronal replacement in olfactory bulb throughout the life.10

The same research group also studied R26-CFP mice, administered tamoxifen at 2-month age, but examined labeled cells in dentate gyrus.10 Unlike in olfactory bulb, newly born labeled granular cells increased and integrated into granular layers in the dentate gyrus. The increase in number also corresponded to density of granular cells. This study also showed that ablation of neurogenesis in mice hindered increase in number of granular cells in dentate gyrus without any effect on neuronal circuitries (Fig. 1). This study clearly highlighted the distinct consequence of neurogenesis in dentate gyrus and olfactory bulb. In the case of dentate gyrus, neurogenesis results in increased number of neuronal cells whereas in olfactory bulb pre-existing neurons gets replaced since the size of olfactory bulb is fairly stable throughout lifetime.10

Neuronal migration

In adult mice, though distance between subventricular zone (SVZ) and olfactory bulb is only a few millimetres, but for a very small neuron cell it is not easy to travel. Neuronal cells initially migrate along the wall of the lateral ventricle then along rostral migratory stream (RMS) to the olfactory bulb. The cells move together and form a chain along RMS (Fig. 2).11,12 Contrary to cell migration in olfactory bulb; newly born cells in dentate gyrus take a short journey to reach inner granular cells. Rapid extension of axons of immature granular cells into CA3 area of hippocampus has been
observed during four to eight days after mitosis (Fig. 3). 13

Responding receptors to chronic nicotine exposure

Mechawar et al investigated the effect of chronic exposure to nicotine on mice. 14 Twenty-one days after labeling cells with 5-bromodeoxyuridine (5'BrdU), number of labeled cells in granular cell layer in olfactory bulb of mice chronically exposed to nicotine was much lower than the same cells in olfactory bulb of mice exposed to saline (Fig. 4). 14 Presence of the same number of labelled cells in nicotine and saline exposed mice lacking β2-nAChR, a high-affinity receptor for nicotine, confirmed critical role of these receptors in apoptosis. It can be concluded that these types of nicotinic receptors are actively involved in survival of new born granular cells in olfactory bulb. 14

Study done by Arbrous and his colleagues showed that nicotine self-administration also decreased the number of BrdU-IR in granular cell layer of dentate gyrus of male Sprague dawley rats in a dose dependent fashion. 15 Unlike in olfactory bulb, effect of nicotine is not mediated by activation β2-nAChR in dentate gyrus; instead nicotinic receptor called alpha-bungarotoxin (alpha-Bgt-aChRs) induces suppressor protein 53 and the cdk inhibitor p21. Since nicotine is cytotoxic to undifferentiated cells in hippocampus, alpha-Bgt-aChRs receptors participate in neuronal proliferation not differentiation in hippocampus. 16 The role of alpha-Bgt-aChRs in hippocampus differs from the role that β2-nAChR plays in survival of newly born neurons in olfactory bulb.

Sources of newly born neurons in neurogenic regions

Previous studies have showed that multipotential and self-renewing stem cells in subependyma are sources for newly born neurons traveling along RMS and join to olfactory bulb as interneurons. 17 It was assumed that stem cells residing in sub granular layer of adult hippocampus are source of newly born neurons. One study tested this assumption by using two different methods, which yielded same results. The study indicated that although stem cells with long-term self-renewing and multipotentiality reside in adjacent-subependyma regions, but neuronal stem cells do not exist in dentate gyrus. The study also suggested neuronal progenitors with limited self-renewal capacity as the source of newly

---

**Fig. 1:** Ablation of neurogenesis inhibits the increase of the granule cell number in the dentate gyrus. (a) Experimental design. (b–e) The number of newly formed granule cells (DCX+ and BrdU+NeuN+) decreased in the dentate gyrus of tamoxifen-treated Line 4/NSE-DTA mice compared with the oil-treated mice. (f) Quantification of the number of NeuN+ granule cells in the dentate gyrus of oil- and tamoxifen-treated Line 4/NSE-DTA mice. (g–r) HE staining (g,i,k,m,o,q) and immunostaining for NeuN (h,j,l,n,p,r) of the dentate gyrus of oil- and tamoxifen-treated Line 4/NSE-DTA mice. (s,t) Early-born NeuN+ granule cells labeled with BrdU survived similarly in oil- and tamoxifen-treated Line 4/NSE-DTA mice. (u) Quantification of the number of NeuN+ BrdU+ granule cells in the dentate gyrus of oil- and tamoxifen-treated Line 4/NSE-DTA mice. NeuN+ granule cells were labeled with BrdU before oil or tamoxifen treatment. *P 0.05, t test. Scale bars represent 50 mm. Nat Neurosci. 2008;11:1153–61.

**Fig. 2:** Generation of new interneurons in the olfactory bulb from neural stem cells in the subventricular zone (SVZ). Adult neurogenesis in the SVZ/olfactory systems undergoes four developmental stages. Stage 1. Proliferation: stem cells (blue) in the SVZ of the lateral ventricles give rise to transient amplifying cells (light blue). Stage 2. Fate specification: transient amplifying cells differentiate into immature neurons (green). Adjacent ependymal cells (gray) of the lateral ventricle are essential for neuronal fate specification by providing inhibitors of gliogenesis. Stage 3. Migration: immature neurons (green) migrate with each other in chains through the rostral migratory stream (RMS) to the olfactory bulb. The migrating neurons are ensheathed by astrocytes. Once reaching the bulb, new neurons then migrate radially to the outer cell layers. Stage 4. Synaptic integration: immature neurons differentiate into either granule neurons (Gr, orange) or periglomerular neurons (PG, red). These unusual interneurons lack an axon and instead release their neurotransmitter from the dendritic spines at specialized reciprocal synapses to dendrites of mitral or tufted cells. The specific properties of each stage are summarized below, mainly on the basis of studies in adult mice. Annu. Rev. Neurosci. 2005. 28:223–50.
generated neurons in adult dentate gyrus of rodent.\textsuperscript{17}

Non-synaptic versus synaptic release of GABA

Liu et al. demonstrated the existence of non-synaptic GABAergic signalling between neuroblasts and glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP).\textsuperscript{18} In this study, inhibition of GABA receptors increased the number of GFAP-cell expressing proliferation whereas stimulation of GABA release from neuroblasts of SVZ stem cell progeny attenuated GFAP-cell proliferation.\textsuperscript{18}

In another interesting study, it is showed that type-II cells that express GABA receptors and actively divide into progenitors cells in adult dentate gyrus receive GABAergic input from local mature hippocampal circuitry. It is also logical to suggest that neuronal progenitor cells in SGZ have synapses with GABAergic interneurons in hippocampus.\textsuperscript{19} According to the result of these two studies, non-synaptically and synaptically released GABA affect proliferation of stem cells in SVZ and type-II cells in dentate gyrus of hippocampus.\textsuperscript{18,19}

Conclusion

Deeper understanding of differences of adult neurogenesis in olfactory bulb and hippocampus at molecular and cellular level could be a prerequisite for use of neuronal stem cells for therapies in future. The knowledge of different mechanisms involving conducting proliferation, differentiation, and apoptosis of neuronal stem cells in olfactory bulb and hippocampus not only enables selection of appropriate stem cells based upon the patient’s need but also addresses the issue of personalized treatment of brain injury or brain disease by individualizing the cell culture environment.
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