DYNAMICS OF THE HEAT SEMIGROUP IN JACOBI ANALYSIS

FRANCESCA ASTENGO AND BIANCA DI BLASIO

Abstract. Let $\Delta$ be the Jacobi Laplacian. We study the chaotic and hypercyclic behaviour of the strongly continuous semigroups of operators generated by perturbations of $\Delta$ with a multiple of the identity on $L^p$ spaces.

1. Introduction

Chaos in the context of strongly continuous semigroups of bounded linear operators in Banach spaces has been introduced by W. Desch, W. Schappacher and G. Webb [5] as a generalization to continuous time of the discrete time case. In their paper [5], the authors give a sufficient condition for a strongly continuous semigroup to be chaotic in terms of the spectral properties of its infinitesimal generator. Moreover they study in detail many examples, mainly the transport equation and second order differential operators with constant coefficients (see also [4]).

The purpose of this paper is to apply the criterion in [5] to study of the dynamics of the (modified) heat semigroup generated by the Jacobi operator (i.e., the generator is a perturbation of the Jacobi operator by a multiple of the identity), which is a second order differential operator with nonconstant coefficients.

Jacobi analysis can be developed as a generalization of the Fourier-cosine transform and has been studied by many authors (see [12]), the main interest being the interplay between the analytic and geometric properties of the Jacobi operator. Indeed, in certain cases, the Jacobi operator is the radial part of the Laplace–Beltrami operator on Damek–Ricci spaces [2], therefore Jacobi analysis includes radial analysis on symmetric spaces of real rank one as a special case.
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The dynamics of the (modified) heat semigroup on non compact symmetric spaces was already studied in [9, 10]. We extend the results in [9] to the context of Jacobi analysis. Therefore, as particular cases, we cover Damek–Ricci spaces and Heckman–Opdam root spaces of rank one, which were not treated in [9].

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we settle notation and recall some basic facts regarding chaotic semigroups, Jacobi analysis and Lorentz spaces. In Section 3 we establish some properties of spherical functions, the weak type (1,1) boundedness of the heat maximal function and the $L^{p,q}$ inversion formula. In Section 4 we apply the results in the previous section to the study of the dynamics of (modified) heat semigroups.

2. Notation and Preliminaries

2.1. Chaotic semigroups. In this paper we follow R. L. Devaney [6], who has defined chaos in metric spaces in the following sense. A continuous map $f$ on a metric space $X$ is said to be chaotic if it is topologically transitive, i.e., some element has a dense orbit, and if the set of its periodic points is dense in $X$. These two conditions imply (see [3]) that $f$ has sensitive dependence on initial conditions.

In [5] the authors have generalized this definition to strongly continuous semigroups as follows.

**Definition 1.** A strongly continuous semigroup $\{T(t) : t \geq 0\}$ on a Banach space $\mathcal{X}$ is said to be hypercyclic if there exists $f$ in $\mathcal{X}$ such that its orbit $\{T(t)f : t \geq 0\}$ is dense in $\mathcal{X}$.

**Definition 2.** A strongly continuous semigroup $\{T(t) : t \geq 0\}$ on a Banach space $\mathcal{X}$ is said to be chaotic if it is hypercyclic and the set of periodic points

$$\{f \in \mathcal{X} : \exists t > 0 \text{ such that } T(t)f = f\}$$

is dense in $\mathcal{X}$.

We denote by $\sigma(B)$ and $\sigma_{pl}(B)$ respectively the spectrum and the point spectrum of a linear operator $B$ on a Banach space $\mathcal{X}$. 
A sufficient condition for a strongly continuous semigroup to be chaotic in terms of the spectral properties of its generator was given by Desch, Schappacher, and Webb [5, Theorem 3.1]:

**Theorem 2.1.** Let $B$ be the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous semigroup \( \{T(t) : t \geq 0\} \) on a separable Banach space $X$. Let $\Omega$ be an open connected subset of $\sigma_{pt}(B)$ which intersects the imaginary axis. For each $z$ in $\Omega$ let $\phi_z$ be a nonzero eigenvector, i.e. $B\phi_z = z\phi_z$. Suppose that for every $f$ in the dual space $X'$ of $X$ the function $F_f : \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$, defined by

\[
F_f = \langle f, \phi_z \rangle
\]

is analytic and does not vanish identically unless $f = 0$. Then the semigroup $\{T(t) : t \geq 0\}$ is chaotic.

Many authors studied sufficient conditions for a strongly continuous semigroups to be hypercyclic (see [9] and the references therein).

### 2.2. Lorentz spaces.

Let $f$ be a measurable function on the measure space $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$. The nonincreasing rearrangement of $f$ is the function $f^*$ on $\mathbb{R}^+$ defined by

\[
f^*(t) = \inf \left\{ s \in \mathbb{R}^+ : \mu(\{x \in X : |f(x)| > s\}) \leq t \right\} \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^+.
\]

The function $f^*$ is nonincreasing, nonnegative, equimeasurable with $f$ and right-continuous. For any given measurable function $f$ on $X$, we define

\[
\|f\|_{L^{p,q}} = \left( \frac{q}{p} \int_0^\infty \left( s^{1/p} f^*(s) \right)^q \frac{ds}{s} \right)^{1/q} \quad 1 \leq p < \infty, \quad 1 \leq q < \infty,
\]

and

\[
\|f\|_{L^{p,\infty}} = \sup \left\{ s^{1/p} f^*(s) : s \in \mathbb{R}^+ \right\} \quad 1 \leq p < \infty.
\]

**Definition 3.** Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $1 \leq q \leq \infty$. The Lorentz space $L^{p,q}(\mu)$ consists of those measurable functions $f$ on $X$ such that $\|f\|_{L^{p,q}}$ is finite.

It is easy to check that $L^{p,p}(\mu)$ coincides with the usual Lebesgue space $L^p(\mu)$, with equality of norms. Moreover, if $q_1 < q_2$, then $L^{p,q_1}(\mu)$ is contained in $L^{p,q_2}(\mu)$ and, if
$1 < p, q < \infty$, the dual space of $L^{p,q}(\mu)$ is $L^{p',q'}(\mu)$. Here and elsewhere in this paper $p'$ and $q'$ are the conjugate exponents of $p$ and $q$, i.e. $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q'} = 1$.

A good reference for Lorentz spaces is [8]. We recall the following multiplication theorem from that paper.

**Lemma 2.2.** [8, p. 271] Let $p_0, p_1$ and $q_0, q_1$ be in $[1, \infty]$. Then there exists a constant $C$ such that for every $f$ in $L^{p_0,q_0}(\mu)$ and $m$ in $L^{p_1,q_1}(\mu)$

$$\|mf\|_{L^{p,q}} \leq C \|m\|_{L^{p_1,q_1}} \|f\|_{L^{p_0,q_0}},$$

where $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_0} + \frac{1}{p_1}$ and $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{q_0} + \frac{1}{q_1}$.

2.3. **Jacobi Analysis.** We recall some facts about Jacobi analysis which we shall need in the sequel. We follow Koornwinder [12] and the normalizations therein. Throughout this paper, $\alpha, \beta$ will be real numbers, with $\alpha \geq \beta \geq -\frac{1}{2}$, $\alpha > -\frac{1}{2}$. We define

$$A(x) = (2 \sinh x)^{2\alpha+1} (2 \cosh x)^{2\beta+1} \quad \forall x > 0.$$

For complex $\lambda$ and $\rho = \alpha + \beta + 1$, let

$$\Delta = -\left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^2 - \frac{A'(x)}{A(x)} \frac{d}{dx}$$

and consider the differential equation

(2.1) $$\Delta u(x) = (\lambda^2 + \rho^2) u(x) \quad x > 0.$$  

Using the substitution $z = -\sinh^2 x$, we can transform equation (2.1) in the well known hypergeometric differential equation

$$z(1-z) u''(z) + (c - (a + b + 1)z) u'(z) - ab u(z) = 0$$

of parameters $a = \frac{1}{2}(\rho - i\lambda)$, $b = \frac{1}{2}(\rho + i\lambda)$, $c = \alpha + 1$.

Let $\$_2\$_F_1$ denote the Gaussian hypergeometric function. The Jacobi function $\varphi_\lambda = \varphi^{(\alpha,\beta)}_\lambda$ of order $(\alpha, \beta)$

$$\varphi_\lambda(x) = \$_2\$_F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}(\rho - i\lambda), \frac{1}{2}(\rho + i\lambda); \alpha + 1, -\sinh^2 x\right) \quad x \in \mathbb{R}$$

is the unique even smooth function on $\mathbb{R}$ which satisfies $u(0) = 1$ and the differential equation (2.1).
DYNAMICS OF THE HEAT SEMIGROUP IN JACOBI ANALYSIS

Therefore the function \( \lambda \mapsto \varphi_\lambda(x) \) is analytic for all \( x \in \mathbb{R} \). Moreover \( \{ \varphi_\lambda \} \) is a continuous orthogonal system on \( \mathbb{R}^+ \) with respect to the weight function \( A \).

We consider on \( \mathbb{R}^+ = (0, \infty) \) the measure \( \mu \) which is absolutely continuous with respect to the ordinary Lebesgue measure and has density \( A \). When \( 1 \leq p \leq \infty \) we denote by \( L^p(\mu) \) the ordinary Lebesgue space on \( \mathbb{R}^+ \) with respect to the measure \( \mu \).

Note that

\[
|A(x)| \leq C \begin{cases} 
  x^{2\alpha+1} & 0 < x < 1 \\
  e^{2\rho x} & x \geq 1.
\end{cases}
\]

The Jacobi transform \( f \mapsto \hat{f} \) is defined by

\[
\hat{f}(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} f(x) \varphi_\lambda(x) \, d\mu(x),
\]

for all functions \( f \) on \( \mathbb{R}^+ \) and complex numbers \( \lambda \) for which the right hand side is well defined.

Let \( D^s(\mathbb{R}) \) be the space of smooth even functions on \( \mathbb{R} \) with compact support and denote by \( D^s(\mathbb{R}^+) \) the space of the restrictions to \( \mathbb{R}^+ \) of functions in \( D^s(\mathbb{R}) \). Note that

\[
(\Delta f)^\triangle = (\lambda^2 + \rho^2)\hat{f}, \quad \forall f \in D^s(\mathbb{R}^+).
\]

The following inversion formula holds for functions in \( D^s(\mathbb{R}) \) [12, p. 9]

\[
f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \hat{f}(\lambda) \varphi_\lambda(x) |c(\lambda)|^{-2} d\lambda, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}
\]

where \( c(\lambda) \) is a multiple of the meromorphic Harish-Chandra function given by the formula

\[
c(\lambda) = \frac{2\rho^{-i\lambda} \Gamma(\alpha + 1) \Gamma(i\lambda)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(\rho + i\lambda)) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(\rho + i\lambda) - \beta)}.
\]

Moreover the Jacobi transform \( f \mapsto \hat{f} \) extends to an isometry from \( L^2(\mu) \) onto \( L^2(\mathbb{R}, \frac{1}{2\pi} |c(\lambda)|^{-2} d\lambda) \).

The following formula holds

\[
\varphi_\lambda(x) \varphi_\lambda(y) = \int_0^\infty \varphi_\lambda(u) W(x, y, u) \, d\mu(u)
\]

where the kernel \( W \) is explicitly known (see [12, p. 58]). Thus one can define the (generalized) translation operator

\[
\tau_x f(y) = \int_0^\infty f(u) W(x, y, u) \, d\mu(u) \quad \forall f \in D^s(\mathbb{R}^+)
\]
and the (generalized) convolution of functions $f, g$ in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^+)$, say, by
\[
f \ast g(x) = \int_0^\infty \tau_x f(y) g(y) \, d\mu(y),
\]
so that
\[
(f \ast g)^\vee = \hat{f} \hat{g}.
\]
The function $W$ is nonnegative, supported in $|x - y| \leq u \leq x + y$ and symmetric in its three variables, so that $f \ast g = g \ast f$. From (2.3) with $\lambda = i\rho$ it follows
\[
\int_0^\infty W(x, y, u) \, d\mu(u) = 1.
\]
Moreover
\[
\int_0^\infty \tau_x f \, d\mu = \int_0^\infty f \, d\mu \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_0 f = f.
\]
Finally, the Young inequality holds [12, p. 61]
\[
\|f \ast g\|_r \leq C \|f\|_p \|g\|_q \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1 + \frac{1}{r}.
\]

2.4. **Heat kernel.** Let $f$ be in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and consider the initial value problem for the heat equation
\[
\begin{aligned}
\Delta u(t, x) &= \partial_t u(t, x) \quad t > 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R} \\
\lim_{t \to 0^+} u(t, x) &= f(x).
\end{aligned}
\]
One can show that the solution $u$ to the problem (2.5) can be written as $u(t, x) = f \ast h_t(x)$, where the function $h_t$ is called heat kernel and it is defined on the Jacobi transform side by
\[
\hat{h}_t(\lambda) = e^{-t(\lambda^2 + \rho^2)} \quad \forall t > 0, \ \lambda \in \mathbb{C}.
\]
The heat kernel $h_t$ is a nonnegative function in $L^p(\mu)$ for all $p \geq 1$ and $\|h_t\|_1 = 1$ [1].

For every $p$ in $[1, \infty]$ denote by $\Delta_p$ the closure in $L^p(\mu)$ of the operator $\Delta$ with domain $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^+)$. By the Young inequality (2.4), the family of operators $\{H_p(t)\}_{t>0}$ defined by
\[
H_p(t) f = h_t \ast f \quad \forall f \in L^p(\mu)
\]
is a strongly continuous symmetric semigroup on $L^p(\mu)$ whose generator is $\Delta_p$.

The sharp estimate for the heat kernel was established in [11]
\[
h_t(x) \simeq t^{-\alpha-1} (1 + t + x)^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}} (1 + x) e^{-\rho x - \rho^2 t} e^{-x^2/4t} \quad \forall x > 0, \ t > 0.
\]
Here \( f(x) \simeq g(x) \) stands for \( C_1 g(x) \leq f(x) \leq C_2 g(x) \), for some constants \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \).

3. The \( L^p \) inversion formula

Since for real values of \( \lambda \), we have \( |\varphi_{\lambda}| \leq \varphi_0 \leq 1 \) (see [12, p. 53]), the function space

\[
L^1_0(\mu) = \{ f : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{C} : \text{measurable and } f\varphi_0 \in L^1(\mu) \}
\]

contains \( L^1(\mu) \) and the Jacobi transform \( \hat{f} \) is well defined as a function on \( \mathbb{R} \) when \( f \) is in \( L^1_0(\mu) \).

In the next results we show that the Lorentz spaces \( L^{p,q}(\mu), 1 < p < 2, 1 \leq q \leq \infty \) are subspaces of \( L^1_0(\mu) \).

When \( 1 \leq p < \infty \) we define

\[
S_p = \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\text{Im} (\lambda)| \leq \left| 1 - \frac{2}{p} \right| \rho \right\}.
\]

Note that \( S_p = S_{p'} \), when \( p > 1 \). By \( S_p^\circ \) and \( \partial S_p \) we denote respectively the interior and the boundary of \( S_p \). It is well known that from the estimate [12, p. 53]

\[
|\varphi_{\lambda}(x)| \leq C (1 + x) e^{(|\text{Im} (\lambda)| - \rho)x} \quad \forall x \geq 0, \lambda \in \mathbb{C},
\]

(3.1) it follows that if \( 1 < p < 2 \) and \( \lambda \) is in \( S_p^\circ \) then \( \varphi_{\lambda} \) is in \( L^{p'}(\mu) \). A more precise result holds (see [14] for the case of Damek–Ricci spaces).

**Lemma 3.1.** The following estimates hold.

(i) Let \( 1 < p < 2 \). If \( \lambda \) is in \( S_p^\circ \) then \( \varphi_{\lambda} \) is in \( L^{p',q}(\mu) \) for any \( q \) in \([1, \infty]\).

(ii) If \( 1 \leq p < 2 \) and \( \lambda \) is in \( \partial S_p \) then \( \varphi_{\lambda} \) is in \( L^{p',\infty}(\mu) \).

(iii) If \( p = 2 \) and \( \lambda \) is real, then \( \varphi_{\lambda}/(1 + x) \) is in \( L^{2,\infty}(\mu) \).

**Proof.** Let \( 1 < p < 2 \). It suffices to show that (i) holds with \( q = 1 \). By (3.1), when \( \lambda \) is in \( S_p^\circ \) for some \( \varepsilon > 0 \),

\[
|\varphi_{\lambda}(x)| \leq C e^{-(\frac{2}{p} + 2\varepsilon)x} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^+,
\]

therefore

\[
\varphi^*_\lambda(t) \leq C \psi^*(t) \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^+,
\]
where $\psi(x) = e^{-(\frac{1}{p}+2\varepsilon)x}$. We now compute $\psi^*$. By equation (2.2) we have

$$
\psi^*(t) = \inf \left\{ s \in \mathbb{R}^+ : \int_0^{r_{\log(s^{-1/(2/p+2\varepsilon))}}} A(x) \, dx \leq t \right\}
$$

$$
\leq C \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
 t^{-\left(\frac{1}{p}+\varepsilon\right)} & t > 1 \\
 \text{const} & t < 1.
\end{array} \right.
$$

We conclude that $\psi^*$ belongs to $L^{p,q}(\mu)$ for any $q$ in $[1, \infty]$.

The proofs of (ii) and (iii) are similar. If $p = 2$ and $\lambda$ is real we apply again (3.1).

If $|\text{Im}(\lambda)| = (2/p - 1)\rho$ and $1 \leq p < 2$, we use the inequality [7]

$$
|\varphi_{\lambda}(x)| \leq C_\varepsilon e^{-|\text{Im}(\lambda)|+(\rho)x} \quad \forall x \geq \delta > 0.
$$

□

Denote by $P_p$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, the parabolic region

$$
P_p = \{ \lambda^2 + \rho^2 : \lambda \in S_p^\circ \}.
$$

Corollary 3.2. Let $2 < p < \infty$. For any $z$ in the parabolic region $P_p$ there exists a nonzero $\phi_z$ in $L^p$ such that $\Delta \phi_z = z \phi_z$.

Note that Corollary 3.2 implies that the parabolic region $P_p$ is contained the point spectrum $\sigma_{pt}(\Delta_p)$, for $2 < p < \infty$.

Corollary 3.3. $L^{p,q}(\mu) \subset L^1(\mu)$, $1 < p < 2$, $1 \leq q \leq \infty$.

Proof. Use the multiplication theorem with $f$ in $L^{p,q}$ and $m = \varphi_0$ which is in $L^{p',q'}$. □

So, when $f$ is in $L^{p,q}$, we can define the Jacobi transform $\hat{f}$ as a function on $\mathbb{R}$ and actually $\hat{f}$ turns to be holomorphic in $S_p^\circ$.

Corollary 3.4. If $f$ is in $L^{p,q}(\mu)$, $1 < p < 2$, $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, then $\hat{f}$ is a bounded function in the strip $S_p$ holomorphic in $S_p^\circ$.

Proof. Use Lemma 3.1 and the Morera Theorem. □

We include the next result, which we were unable to find in the literature (see [2] in the case of Damek–Ricci spaces).
Proposition 3.5. The heat maximal operator

\[ H^* f(x) = \sup_{t>0} |h_t \ast f(x)| \quad f \in \mathcal{D}^i(\mathbb{R}^+) \]

is of weak type \((1,1)\) and of strong type \((p,p)\).

Proof. Since \(\|h_t\|_1 = 1\) for every \(t > 0\) and by \((2.4)\), the heat maximal operator is trivially bounded on \(L^\infty(\mu)\). We now prove the weak \(L^1\)-estimate. From this estimate and the Marcinkiewicz Interpolation Theorem the thesis follows.

As usual, we shall deal with the small time maximal function

\[ H_0^* f(x) = \sup_{0<t\leq 1} |h_t \ast f(x)| \quad f \in \mathcal{D}^i(\mathbb{R}^+) \]

and the large time maximal function

\[ H_\infty^* f(x) = \sup_{t>1} |h_t \ast f(x)| \quad f \in \mathcal{D}^i(\mathbb{R}^+) \]

separately. Note that

\[ H_\infty^* f \leq |f| \ast [\sup_{t>1} h_t]. \]

From the heat kernel estimate \((2.6)\) it follows that \(\sup_{t>1} h_t(x) = O((1 + x)^{-1/2} e^{-2 \rho x})\) when \(x\) is large so that \(H_\infty^*\) is of weak type \((1,1)\). Indeed, if \(k(x) = \cosh(x)^{-2\rho}\), Liu \([13, \text{Lemma 3.2}]\) proved that

\[ \tau_x k(y) \leq C \cosh(x)^{-2\rho} \quad \forall y > 0, \]

so that

\[ |f| \ast k(x) = \int_0^\infty |f(y)| \tau_y k(y) A(y) dy \leq \cosh(x)^{-2\rho} \|f\|_1. \]

Therefore

\[ \mu \{ x : |f| \ast k(x) > \lambda \} \leq \mu \{ x : (\cosh x)^{2\rho} < C \|f\|_1/\lambda \} \]

\[ = \int_0^{x_0} A(u) \, du \]

\[ \leq C (\cosh x_0)^{2\rho} = C \|f\|_1/\lambda. \]

where \(x_0 > 0\) is such that \((\cosh x_0)^{2\rho} = C \|f\|_1/\lambda\) (if any, otherwise \(x_0 = 0\) and the weak type inequality is trivial).
In order to prove that $H_0^*$ is of weak type $(1, 1)$, we first note that the estimates of the heat kernel imply that when $0 < t \leq 1$,
\[
0 \leq h_t(x) \leq C e^{-x^2/4} = k_1(x) \quad x > 1
\]
\[
h_t(x) \simeq k_t(x) \quad 0 < x \leq 1,
\]
where
\[
k_t(x) = t^{-(\alpha+1)} e^{-x^2/4} \quad t, x > 0.
\]

Let $\chi$ denote the characteristic function of the interval $[-1, 1]$, and write
\[
H_0^* f(x) \leq \sup_{0 < t \leq 1} |((1-\chi)h_t) \ast f(x)| + \sup_{0 < t \leq 1} |(\chi h_t) \ast f(x)| \leq k_1 \ast |f|(x) + C \sup_{0 < t \leq 1} (\chi k_t) \ast |f|(x)
\]
Since the kernel $k_1$ is integrable, the operator $f \mapsto k_1 \ast f$ is $L^1$-bounded.

For the estimate of the other term we will use the weak type $(1, 1)$ boundedness of a Hardy–Littlewood maximal function. Let $X_r$ denotes the characteristic function of $[-r, r]$, normalized so that $\int X_r \, d\mu = 1$ and define
\[
Mf = \sup_{r > 0} X_r \ast |f|.
\]
Liu [13] proved that the operator $M$ is of weak type $(1, 1)$.

Let $\nu(y) = \int_0^y A(x) \, dx$ and $G(y) = \int_0^y \tau_x |f|(u) A(u) \, du$. Note that $G(y) \leq \nu(y) M\tau_x |f|(0)$.
Applying the size estimate (2.2), we get
\[
(\chi k_t) \ast |f|(x) = \int_0^1 \tau_x |f|(y) k_t(y) \, d\mu(y)
\]
\[
= - \int_0^1 G(y) k'_t(y) \, dy + G(1) k_t(1)
\]
\[
\leq M\tau_x |f|(0) \int_0^1 \nu(y) (-k'_t(y)) \, dy + G(1) k_t(1)
\]
\[
\leq M\tau_x |f|(0) \int_0^1 \nu'(y) k_t(y) \, dy
\]
\[
= M f(x) \int_0^1 k_t(y) A(y) \, dy \leq M f(x).
\]
The thesis follows. \qed
The standard method of approximation using the heat kernel gives the following inversion formula for functions in the Lorentz space \( L^{p,q}(\mu) \), with \( 1 < p < 2, \, q \geq 1 \) (see [14] for the case of Damek–Ricci spaces).

**Proposition 3.6.** Let \( f \) be in \( L^{p,q}(\mu) \), with \( 1 < p < 2, \, q \geq 1 \) or \( f \) in \( L^1 \cup L^2(\mu) \). If \( \hat{f} \) is in \( L^1(\mathbb{R}, |c(\lambda)|^{-2}d\lambda) \), then for almost every \( x \) in \( \mathbb{R}^+ \),

\[
(3.3) \quad f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \hat{f}(\lambda) \varphi_\lambda(x) |c(\lambda)|^{-2} d\lambda.
\]

**Proof.** We can write \( f = f_1 + f_2 \), where \( f_1 \) is in \( L^1(\mu) \) and \( f_2 \) is in \( L^2(\mu) \) [8]. Then for every \( t > 0 \), we have \( f * h_t = f_1 * h_t + f_2 * h_t \). Since the heat maximal operator is of weak type \((1, 1)\) and \( g * h_t(x) \to g(x) \), for \( t \to 0 \), whenever \( g \) is smooth and compactly supported, it follows that there exist measurable sets \( E_1, E_2 \) of null measure such that \( f_1 * h_t(x) \to f_1(x) \) for all \( x \) in \( cE_1 \) and \( f_2 * h_t(x) \to f_2(x) \) for all \( x \) in \( cE_2 \), as \( t \to 0 \). This implies that if \( x \) is not in \( E_1 \cup E_2 \) then \( f * h_t(x) \to f(x) \) as \( t \to 0 \). Moreover \( \mu(E) \leq \mu(E_1) + \mu(E_2) = 0 \).

Since \( \hat{f} \) is in \( L^1(\mathbb{R}, |c(\lambda)|^{-2}d\lambda) \), for every test function \( \psi \) we have

\[
\langle f * h_t, \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \hat{f}(\lambda) e^{-t(\lambda^2 + \rho^2)} \varphi_\lambda(x) |c(\lambda)|^{-2} d\lambda \psi(x) d\mu(x).
\]

Using the Dominated Convergence Theorem we now get the result. \( \square \)

### 4. Dynamics of the heat semigroup

For every \( p \) in \([1, \infty]\) denote by \( \Delta_p \) the closure in \( L^p(\mu) \) of the operator \( \Delta \) with domain \( \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^+) \). As previously observed the family

\[
e^{-t\Delta_p} f = h_t * f \quad \forall f \in L^p(\mu)
\]

is a strongly continuous semigroup on \( L^p(\mu) \).

In this section we study the dynamics of the shifted semigroup

\[
e^{-t(\Delta_p - \theta)} : L^p(\mu) \to L^p(\mu).
\]

**Theorem 4.1.** Let \( 2 < p < \infty \). Then for all \( \theta > \theta_p = \rho^2 - \rho^2 \left( \frac{2}{p} - 1 \right)^2 \) the semigroup

\[
e^{-t(\Delta_p - \theta)} : L^p(\mu) \to L^p(\mu)
\]

is chaotic.
Proof. We apply Theorem 2.1. By Corollary (3.2), the parabolic region $P_p$ is contained in the point spectrum $\sigma_{pt}(\Delta_p)$ and the corresponding eigenfunctions are given by the appropriate Jacobi functions. The vertex of the parabolic region $P_p$ is at the point

$$\theta_p = \rho^2 - \rho^2 \left\lfloor \frac{2}{p} - 1 \right\rfloor^2 = 4\rho^2 \frac{1}{pp'}$$

and hence the point spectrum of $(\Delta_p - \theta)$ intersects the imaginary axis for all $\theta > \theta_p$.

Suppose now that $\theta > \theta_p$ and let $\Omega_\theta$ denote the set $(P_p - \theta) \setminus \{z \in \mathbb{R} : z \leq \rho^2 - \theta\}$, i.e.

$$\Omega_\theta = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} : z = \lambda^2 + \rho^2 - \theta \mid \text{Im}(\lambda) < (1 - \frac{2}{p})\rho \right\} \setminus \{z \in \mathbb{R} : z \leq \rho^2 - \theta\}.$$ 

Then $\Omega_\theta$ is an open, connected subset of the point spectrum of $(\Delta_p - \theta)$ that intersects the imaginary axis.

Since $(\Omega_\theta + \theta - \rho^2) \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x < 0\} = \emptyset$ we choose an analytic branch $\sqrt{z + \theta - \rho^2}$ of the square root so that $\text{Re}\sqrt{z + \theta - \rho^2} > 0$, for every $z$ in $\Omega_\theta$. Note that $z \mapsto \sqrt{z + \theta - \rho^2}$ maps $\Omega_\theta$ onto the open strip

$$\left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Re}\lambda > 0, \mid\text{Im}\lambda\mid < \rho (1 - \frac{2}{p}) \right\}.$$ 

For every $z$ in $\Omega_\theta$ we choose the eigenfunction $\phi_z$ defined by

$$\phi_z = \varphi_\lambda \quad \text{where} \quad z = \lambda^2 + \rho^2 - \theta,$$

so that $(\Delta_p - \theta)\phi_z = z\phi_z$. As in Theorem 2.1 for every $f$ in $L'^{(\mu)}$, we define the function $F_f : \Omega_\theta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$F_f(z) = \langle f, \phi_z \rangle = \hat{f}(\sqrt{z + \theta - \rho^2}).$$

Then by Corollary 3.3 the function $F_f$ is holomorphic since it is the composition of two holomorphic functions. Moreover $F_f = 0$ implies $f = 0$ by the inversion formula (3.3).

In the following theorem we prove that the semigroup

$$e^{-t(\Delta_p - \theta)} : L^p(\mu) \rightarrow L^p(\mu)$$

is not chaotic when $1 < p \leq 2$ for every $\theta$ in $\mathbb{R}$.
**Theorem 4.2.** Let $1 < p \leq 2$ and $\theta$ in $\mathbb{R}$. Then the semigroup
\[ e^{-t(\Delta_p - \theta)} : L^p(\mu) \to L^p(\mu) \]
does not have periodic elements. Moreover when $1 < p < 2$ it is not hypercyclic.

**Proof.** Let $1 < p \leq 2$, $\theta$ in $\mathbb{R}$ and $f$ a periodic point in $L^p(\mu)$ for $e^{-t(\Delta_p - \theta)}$. Then there exists $t > 0$ such that $h_t * f = f$ or equivalently \( (e^{-t(\lambda^2 + \rho^2 - \theta)} - 1) \hat{f}(\lambda) = 0 \) for every $\lambda$ in $S^p_\mu$ when $1 < p < 2$ and for almost every real $\lambda$ when $p = 2$. By the inversion formula (3.3) $f = 0$ and the first part follows.

Let $1 < p < 2$ and assume that the semigroup $e^{-t(\Delta_p - \theta)}$ is hypercyclic. Then, the dual operator $(\Delta_p - \theta)' = \Delta_{p'} - \theta$ of its generator would have empty point spectrum [5, Theorem 3.3]. This a contradiction and the thesis follows. \[
\square
\]
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