From India—International Aortic Summit: There Is Nothing Impossible for Those Who Will Try!
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The aorta is a dynamic living structure, central to all vital organs with its aortovascular branches. At the center of the affair, the aorta is afflicted with aneurysm disease, a complex condition that warrants attention and a multidisciplinary huddle to understand and ameliorate its dire effects. The International Aortic Summit in Chennai, India, is not just a conference, but a confluence of multidisciplinary specialties and teams joined around a rounded table of innovation and collaboration.

The 9th International Aortic Summit 2020 (IAS2020) was a witness to such confluence and was not hindered by the untoward effects of the pandemic. We streamed live globally bringing esteemed colleagues to deliver an eye and reflective practice on their experiences and expertise. Viewed globally by over 42 countries, IAS2020 was organized into structured sessions and platforms to cover all profiles of aortic disease and surgery.

Aortic surgery practice in India was a major focus during the Summit. Discussants touched the outcome and available resources. The aim was to incentivize practicing surgeons and young aspiring colleagues to form a solid practice and surgical approach structured, devised, and based on clinical evidence and research.

Sessions dedicated to pioneers in aortic surgery were a mere reflection of sheer and driven practices. Those were imperative to impose the way the future is evolving and to incite young surgeons to follow pursuit.

Keynote speakers including Drs Joseph Coselli and Joseph Bavaria delivered their shrewd expert opinions on aortic surgery over their decades of experience; the key points and sessions are listed in ►Tables 1 and 2. There were discussions on a wide range of topics related to thoracic and abdominal aortic aneurysms, aortic dissections, genetics pertaining to the aorta, interventional aortic radiology, and novel endovascular aorto-vascular innovations. The summit was a relishing opportunity to the current trend of aortic surgery in India and advances made across the years, best told by B.V.V., the Summit Director and propeller of innovation in aortic surgery management in India.

Following on to IAS2020, we developed a strong collaboration with AORTA and reflected on the key topics selected from the core of IAS2020. The selected topics were the crux of the two consecutive days of the summit and formed this focused issue. The articles collated were debates on pertinent topics which remain controversial and require profound attention. Practical sessions highlighted during the summit were invigorated and supported by contributors including innovative surgical approaches that emerged over the past years. The endovascular side of the program was thoroughly covered adding a touchstone and face value to furthering aortic surgery in current and future eras. The thoracoabdominal part was again a station that intrigued the audience during the Summit and after. As such, articles were collated to support this stem and to a prime foundation for future events.

With the success of the 9th IAS, we are promising our readers, subscribers, and followers that the 10th IAS will see through live operating workshops performed by esteemed colleagues’ debates to induce guidance and appropriate decision-making, and case-based discussions to highlight surgical perspectivity (►Fig. 1).
Table 1 Salient features of keynote lectures

| Speaker                          | Title                                                                 | Key Point                                                                                       |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Prof. Joseph Coselli, Houston, TX | Thoracic Aortic Aneurysm Surgery: Don't Quit                           | Cardiac surgical devices and prostheses have undergone multiple innovations and developments in the last few decades. To work with our colleagues closely—cardiologists, radiologists, and vascular surgeons. Enumerated the developments in aortic arch surgery over the years. |
| Prof. Joseph Bavaria, Philadelphia, PA | Type A Dissection: From the 30/30 club disaster to an upcoming Innovative decade | Most important concept in future is in regard to “distal aorta”:
Index proximal operations will be driven and conceived by the availability of new technology endografts.
Proximalization of the conduct of aortic arch operations will continue.
Index operations “tactics” will be driven to reduce total cardiopulmonary bypass time.
Achieve better accumulation of outcomes data on the global stage. |

Table 2 The key points of all the sessions over 2 days

| Day 1 |
|-------|
| Aortic Surgery in Asia–I: |
| Dr. Kay-Hyun Park, South Korea | Extensive thoracic aortic replacement (ascending to distal descending thoracic) without FET via a single median sternotomy | • Extensive thoracic aorta replacement is feasible via median sternotomy and transpericardial approach  
• Frozen elephant trunk should be reserved for desperate cases who cannot undergo two-stage operation at a short interval |
| Dr. Worawong Slisatkorn, Thailand | Total arch replacement in octogenarian | • Total arch replacement can be performed in octogenarians with acceptable outcomes  
• Team expertise and close perioperative care are mandatory to improve the operative result |
| Dr. Saeid Hosseini, Iran | Outcomes of reoperation after acute Type A aortic dissection | Challenging issues include  
• Whether to repair or replace the aortic root?  
• The effect of the thrombosed false lumen on reoperation, partial thrombosis an independent risk factor  
• The extent of distal operation in the index surgery |
| Dr. Thodur Vasudevan, New Zealand | Total body floss: a concept in difficult endovascular repair and a novel case | • Unusual method of through and through wire access  
• Multiple tortuosities  
• Out of the box solutions  
• Wire from the apex to femoral vessels  
• Substernal access |
| Dr. George Joseph, India | Total arch fenestrated endovascular repair after surgical replacement of ascending aorta | • Residual Type A dissection can be treated by endovascular total arch fenestrated repair  
• Short, kinked ascending aortic surgical grafts pose a challenge to arch TEVAR  
• Surgeons should attempt to replace as much of the ascending aorta as possible during the initial surgery and provide a landing zone for future arch TEVAR |
| Dr. Murali Krishnawami, India | Thoracoabdominal aneurysm—total endovascular repair | • FEVAR needs careful planning and meticulous execution  
• Needs multiple accesses and multiple catheters, wires, and sheaths  
• Can be performed by experienced centers with excellent results |
| Dr. Arunkumar, India | The current role of transesophageal echocardiography in acute aortic syndrome | • Transesophageal echocardiogram plays a significant role inside the operative room  
• A good adjuvant tool for open aortic repair and endovascular procedures |
| Day 1 |  |
|---|---|
| **Valve in Acute Aortic Dissection:** |  |
| Dr. Malakh Shrestha, Germany<br>Spare the aortic valve—I do it my way! |  |
| Dr. Roberto Di Bartolomeo, Italy<br>Aortic valve sparing with Valsalva graft |  |
| Dr. Laurent de Kerchove, Belgium<br>When and how I repair the aortic valve in chronic and acute aortic regurgitation |  |
| Dr. Ruggero DePaulis, Italy<br>Biological or mechanical conduits in aortic root dissection? |  |
| Dr. Michael Borger, Germany<br>Management of iatrogenic Type A aortic dissection |  |
| **Aortovascular Science:** |  |
| Dr. Wael Awad, United Kingdom<br>Risk prediction model in aortic aneurysm surgery—unmet clinical equipoise |  |
| Dr. Benjamin Adams, United Kingdom<br>Does adding a root replacement in Type A aortic dissection repair provide better outcomes? |  |
| Dr. John Elefteriades, United States<br>Update on genetic dictionary |  |
| Dr. Ourania Preventza, United States<br>Extent of repair for Type I. How long and why? |  |
| Dr. Arminder Jassar, United States<br>The changing surgical approaches for Type A dissection |  |

(Continued)
### Aortic Surgery in Asia – II:

| Presenter | Topic |
|-----------|-------|
| Dr. Bashi Velayudhan, India | Acute aortic syndrome (AAS) in India—What do we know? |
| Dr. Shiv Choudhary, India | Inexpensive way to manage the arch in acute Type A dissection |
| Dr. Zile Meharwal, India | Raising the bar... Hemodynamics in the small aortic root |
| Dr. Mohammed Idhrees, India | Decision-making in acute aortic dissection |
| Dr. Karthikeyan, India | Gated CTA of aorta–optimal imaging of aortic disease |

### TEVAR/Hybrid Aortic:

| Presenter | Topic |
|-----------|-------|
| Dr. Heinz Jakob, Germany | FET innovation |
| Dr. Nimesh Desai, United States | Sequential branched arch TEVAR |
| Dr. Martin Czerny, Germany | Midterm results of branched endovascular aortic arch repair |
| Dr. Martin Grabenwoger, Austria | Management of Type 1 endoleak following TEVAR |
| Dr. Edward Chen, United States | Redo-aortic arch surgery |
| Dr. Maximilian Kreibich, Germany | dSINE for FET and TEVAR |
| Dr. Cherri Abraham, United States | Treatment of PAU and IMH in the thoracic aorta |

- Possibility of a lower incidence of the acute aortic syndrome as compared to the western world (due to diabetes mellitus)
- Majority of patients are in the younger age group
- Last 15 years—more centers to handle AAS
- Distal aorta in Marfan’s syndrome—still a challenge

- Lack of expensive devices should not preclude lifesaving surgery in aortic dissection
- It is possible to operate with successful results in a resource constrained setup

- Small aortic root poses a challenge for surgeons
- Patient prosthesis mismatch (PPM) is common with small aortic root
- All measures should be taken to avoid PPM

- Decision-making in the management of acute aortic dissection is crucial
- When Plan A is not smooth, always choose Plan B
- Select the best and simplest option which suits the team

- Imaging with CT plays a central role in diagnosis to allow expedited management
- Helps for clinical risk assessment and establishing a definitive diagnosis
- CT scans to be performed with aim of motion-free images
- Dedicated injection protocol should be used

- E-vita open neo has a family of graft variations
- The graft suits all kinds of aortic pathologies

- Index arch operations will be driven and conceived by the new availability of new technology endografts

- Total endovascular aortic arch is a safe and reproducible technique
- Primarily for nonsurgical candidates
- Pathology determines the mode of treatment
- Creation of aortic centers with the entire armamentarium will aid in doing the right things in the right patients
- Will reduce the need for combined vascular/endovascular procedures

- Treatment strategy for Type I endoleak after TEVAR has to be decided on a case-by-case basis
- Decision endovascular–hybrid–surgery (FET) dependent on– Anatomy of the aortic arch
  - Risk profile of the patient (suitable for surgery?)
  - Is it possible to create a proximal landing zone for an endovascular extension by aortic arch rerouting procedures?
- Open surgery by the FET technique can be performed with good results when Type I endoleak cannot be treated by endovascular techniques?

- Redo-aortic arch surgeries are high-risk and technically demanding procedures performed with acceptable morbidity and mortality
- Careful operative planning and execution are paramount for optimal clinical outcome
- Operative outcomes were impacted by several factors including cardiac function and surgical complexity, but not by prior aortic procedures

- dSINE may develop at any time after FET procedure and the risk of dSINE development after FET procedure is substantial
- No independent predictors for the development of dSINE were identified, but Thoraflex has a stiffer distal end as compared with E-Vita
- Reinterventions for dSINE were associated with a very good clinical outcome

- Penetrating aortic ulcer and intramural hematoma are both clinically complex and part of a clinical spectrum of acute aortic syndromes
- Treatment strategies include reduction in aortic wall stress and tailoring the surgical approach to the patient and lesion
Table 2 (Continued)

| Downstream Aorta: | Day 2 |
|------------------|-------|
| Dr. Anthony Estrera, United States | • Individualized standard of approach for aneurysm patient using FLAP (fragility/life expectancy/anatomy/pathology) |
| Open techniques in TAAAR in aneurysm repair | • Vital importance of the team effort |
| | • Open and endovascular techniques are complimentary to each other and not competitive with one another |
| Dr. Joseph Coselli, United States | • Patients with chronic dissection tend to undergo extensive thoracoabdominal repair |
| Open techniques in TAAAR in chronic dissection | • A variety of operative strategies are targeted to aortic dissection |
| | • When compared with patients without dissection, those with chronic dissection are inclined to get extensive repair |
| Dr. Leonard Girardi, United States | • Thoracoabdominal aneurysm emergencies are high-risk undertaking |
| Emergency thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysm scenario management | • Endovascular and open repair techniques performed with similar operative risk in experience centers |
| | • Open repair is associated with fewer early- /late- reinterventions |
| Dr. Roberto Chiesa, Italy | • Close follow-up is essential after TEVAR |
| Open thoracoabdominal following endovascular intervention | • Open TAAAR conversion is technically challenging |
| | • Surgery can be performed with acceptable results in centers with an “aortic team” |
| | • Increased mortality in cases of infection and retrograde dissection |
| Dr. Germano Melissano, Italy | • Spinal cord ischemia prevention requires optimizing all aspects of the procedures |
| Spinal cord ischemia in open and endovascular repair | • CSF drainage is a valid adjunct; however, it comes with several potential serious complications |
| | • The problem is still not resolved, and more research is needed |

Abbreviations: ARR, aortic root replacement; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; CTA, computed tomography angiography; dSINE, distal stent graft induced new entry; FET, frozen elephant trunk; FEVAR, fenestrated aneurysm repair; IMH, intramural hematoma; PAU, penetrating atherosclerotic ulcer; TAAD, Type A aortic dissection; TAAAR, thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysm repair; TEVAR, thoracic endovascular aortic repair;
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