Spontaneous pneumoperitoneum with duodenal diverticulosis in an elderly patient: a case report
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Abstract

Background: Pneumoperitoneum commonly occurs as a result of a viscus perforation and usually presents with peritoneal signs requiring emergent laparotomy. Spontaneous pneumoperitoneum is a rare condition characterized by intraperitoneal gas with no clear etiology.

Case presentation: We herein report a case in which conservative treatment was achieved for an 83-year-old male patient with spontaneous pneumoperitoneum that probably occurred due to duodenal diverticulosis. He had stable vital signs and slight epigastric discomfort without any other signs of peritonitis. A chest radiograph and computed tomography showed that a large amount of free gas extended into the upper abdominal cavity. Esophagogastroduodenoscopy showed duodenal diverticulosis but no perforation of the upper gastrointestinal tract. He was diagnosed with spontaneous pneumoperitoneum, and conservative treatment was selected. His medical course was uneventful, and pneumoperitoneum disappeared after 6 months.

Conclusion: In the management of spontaneous pneumoperitoneum, recognition of this rare condition and an accurate diagnosis based on symptoms and clinical imaging might contribute to reducing the performance of unnecessary laparotomy. However, in uncertain cases with peritoneal signs, spontaneous pneumoperitoneum is difficult to differentiate from free air resulting from gastrointestinal perforation and emergency exploratory laparotomy should be considered for these patients.
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Background

Pneumoperitoneum is caused by perforation of intraperitoneal organs in more than 90% of patients, which necessitates emergency laparotomy [1, 2]. Spontaneous pneumoperitoneum is a rare condition characterized by intraperitoneal gas without gastrointestinal tract perforation, for which no clear etiology has been identified. If properly diagnosed, some cases of spontaneous pneumoperitoneum can be managed conservatively. However, spontaneous pneumoperitoneum without a known cause is difficult to diagnose.

We herein report a case in which an elderly patient with pneumoperitoneum, but without symptoms of peritonitis, was successfully treated with conservative therapy. We also discuss the possible etiology and clinical issues in the management of this rare condition.

Case presentation

An 83-year-old Japanese male patient was referred to our hospital because of a pneumoperitoneum on a chest X-ray film taken by his primary doctor for examination of the cardiac function (Fig. 1). He had a history of treatment for skin cancer, prostate cancer, paroxysmal atrial fibrillation, and laparotomy for appendicitis and bilateral inguinal hernia. The patient took edoxaban tosylate hydrate, bisoprolol fumarate, and famotidine. He did not smoke or consume alcohol. He did not have a fever, dyspepsia, appetite loss, abdominal pain, vomiting, or constipation until the first visit. A physical examination revealed slight epigastric discomfort without any signs of peritonitis. His vital signs were as follows: body temperature, 35.3°C; pulse rate, 65 beats/min;
respiration rate, 18 breaths/min; and blood pressure, 114/71 mmHg. The laboratory data showed a normal white blood cell count of 6300/μl (normal range 3300–8600), a C-reactive protein level of 0.01 mg/dl (normal range < 0.30), and Hb 11.5 g/dl (13.7–16.8). All other laboratory data were within the normal ranges. Abdominal computed tomography (CT) revealed a large amount of free air in the abdomen without fluid collection (Fig. 2).

Based on these findings, the patient was diagnosed with spontaneous pneumoperitoneum. We started conservative treatment, and his general condition remained stable. The clinical course was subsequently uneventful. The laboratory data were normal during the observation period. To explore the cause of pneumoperitoneum, we planned esophagogastroduodenoscopy (EGD) and colonoscopy. He had two large duodenal diverticula and no evidence of perforation in the upper gastrointestinal tract on EGD (Fig. 3). Some sigmoid colonic diverticula were detected by colonoscopy. After 6 months, he had no symptoms, and the intraperitoneal gas spontaneously disappeared.

**Discussion**

Pneumoperitoneum occurs as a result of a hollow viscus perforation in more than 90% of patients [1, 2]. Pneumoperitoneum without hollow viscus perforation is a rare phenomenon called spontaneous pneumoperitoneum or non-surgical pneumoperitoneum. Gantt et al. classified the cause of non-surgical pneumoperitoneum as intra-thoracic, abdominal, gynecologic, and iatrogenic [3]. The intra-thoracic causes include mechanical ventilation, cardiopulmonary resuscitation, and pneumothorax, thought to be dissection into the abdominal cavity via transdiaphragmatic or transmediastinal routes. Abdominal causes include pneumatosis cystoides intestinalis (PCI), jejunal diverticulosis, constipation, and colonic pseudo-obstruction [3–9]. Gynecological causes include sexual activity or spa jet-induced pneumoperitoneum [3, 10]. The iatrogenic causes include endoscopic procedures and a postsurgical state [11].

In cases with an abdominal etiology, PCI is the most frequent cause. PCI is characterized by multiple intramural gas-filled cysts in any portion of the gastrointestinal tract. Rupture of these submucosal and subserosal cysts causes pneumoperitoneum. In some pneumoperitoneum cases, diverticular disease and constipation accompany PCI [6, 7]. This may be caused by increased intestinal pressure with or without PCI. In these patients, small amounts of gas may pass through the micropores in the wall of the thinned diverticula or intestinal wall, which can only pass intestinal gas and not intestinal contents. Duodenal diverticulosis, as seen in our patient, can also rarely cause spontaneous pneumoperitoneum. In the present case, the patient had slight epigastric discomfort during the first visit to our hospital, at which time duodenal pressure was considered to be increased for some reason. Given that the duodenal diverticulum was located on the abdominal cavity side, we suspected that intestinal gas had passed through the thinned duodenal diverticulum, thereby resulting in spontaneous pneumoperitoneum.
According to a review of cases of spontaneous pneumoperitoneum, 45 cases (23.0%) in 196 patients required exploratory laparotomy [4]. If abdominal pain and distension are minimal, and peritoneal signs, fever, and leukocytosis are absent, conservative management should be considered for nonsurgical pneumoperitoneum [12]. However, spontaneous pneumoperitoneum poses significant management dilemmas for surgeons, especially when peritoneal signs are present [13, 14]. Although Tani et al. suggested that conservative treatment may be applicable for spontaneous pneumoperitoneum with peritoneal signs, exploratory laparotomy should be considered when signs and symptoms of peritonitis are present [15]. When the site of perforation is not detected during the operation, valvular pneumoperitoneum may also be present due to microperforation of the gastrointestinal tract. Valvular pneumoperitoneum is defined as a valve-like arrangement that permits the escape of air but inhibits the leakage of liquid contents with changes in the pressure within the gastrointestinal tract [16]. Imabun et al. reported a case of recurrent valvular pneumoperitoneum in a patient in whom microperforation of a minute gastric ulcer was detected at autopsy [17]. Thus, when nonsurgical pneumoperitoneum is suspected radiologically but peritoneal signs are present, surgical management may be acceptable. In our institution, we determine the surgical indications for spontaneous pneumoperitoneum based on the presence of peritoneal irradiation sign during physical examination, the inflammatory findings of laboratory tests, and intraabdominal fluid collection of CT. Moreover, operations were uneventful in most adult nonsurgical pneumoperitoneum cases for which surgery is performed.

**Conclusion**

With thorough medical history taking, physical examinations, appropriate laboratory tests, and radiological findings, it might be possible to diagnose spontaneous pneumoperitoneum and thereby avoid unnecessary operations. However, in uncertain cases with peritoneal signs, spontaneous pneumoperitoneum should be differentiated from free air resulting from gastrointestinal perforation and emergency exploratory laparotomy should be performed.

**Abbreviations**

CT: Computed tomography; EGD: Esophagogastroduodenoscopy; PCI: Pneumatosis cystoides intestinalis

**Acknowledgements**

Not applicable.

**Authors’ contributions**

TU contributed to the study concept and design, data collection, and analysis and interpretation of data. TT, TY, TS, and SH were involved in the decision to publish or the preparation of the manuscript. AY contributed to the study supervision. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

**Funding**

The authors declare that this study was not funded externally.

**Availability of data and materials**

All data supporting this article are included in the published article.

**Ethics approval and consent to participate**

Not applicable.

**Consent for publication**

Informed consent was obtained from the patient for the publication of this case report and any accompanying images.

**Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

**References**

1. McGlone FB, Vivion CG Jr, Meir L. Spontaneous pneumoperitoneum. Gastroenterology. 1966;51:393–8.
2. Winek TG, Mosty HS, Grout G, Luallin D. Pneumoperitoneum and its association with ruptured abdominal viscus. Arch Surg. 1988;123:709–12.
3. Gantt CB Jr, Daniel WW, Hallenbeck GA. Nonsurgical pneumoperitoneum. Am J Surg. 1977;134:411–4.
4. Mulaski RA, Sippel JM, Osborne ML. Pneumoperitoneum: a review of nonsurgical causes. Crit Care Med. 2000;28:2638–44.
5. Mulaski RA, Ciccolo ML, Rappaport WD. Nonsurgical causes of pneumoperitoneum. West J Med. 1999;170:41–6.
6. Aganovic L, Lee YK, Chu PK, Cassidy FH. Recurrent non-surgical pneumoperitoneum due to jejunal diverticulosis. J Emerg Med. 2012;43:e175–9.
7. Yamana I, Noritomi T, Takeno S, Tatsuya H, Sato K, Shimaoka H, et al. Spontaneous pneumoperitoneum due to constipation. Case Rep Gastroenterol. 2015;9:361–5.
8. Brown MW, Brown RC, Orr G. Pneumoperitoneum complicating endoscopy in a patient with duodenal and jejunal divertica. Gastrointest Endosc. 1986;32:120–1.
9. Prasannan S, Kamar S, Gul YA. Spontaneous pneumoperitoneum associated with colonic pseudo-obstruction. Acta Chir Belg. 2004;104:739–41.
10. Williams TC, Kanne JP, Lalani TA. Jacuzzi jet-induced pneumoperitoneum. Emerg Radiol. 2004;10:259–61.
11. Spinelli N, Nfonsam V, Marcet J, Velanovich V, Frattini JC. Postoperative pneumoperitoneum after colorectal surgery: expectant vs surgical management. World J Gastrointest Surg. 2012;4:152–6.
12. Tanaka R, Kameyama H, Nagahashi M, Kanda T, Ichikawa H, Hanyu T, et al. Conservative treatment of idiopathic spontaneous pneumoperitoneum in a bedridden patient: a case report. Surg Case Rep. 2015;1:69.
13. Pitiakoudis M, Zieos P, Okonomomou A, Kirmanidis M, Kouklakis G, Simopoulos C. Spontaneous idiopathic pneumoperitoneum presenting as an acute abdomen: a case report. J Med Case Rep. 2011;5:86.
14. Ilbazlıoğlu N, Gökçe ON, Kivrak MM, Kocamer B. Spontaneous idiopathic pneumoperitoneum with acute abdomen. Ulus Cerrahi Derg. 2013;31:110–2.
15. Tani T, Shirai Y, Sagawa M, Wani-Fuchi T, Yagi K, Satoh M, et al. Conservative management of idiopathic pneumoperitoneum masquerading as peritonitis: report of a case. Surg Today. 1995;25:265–7.
16. Shiger HA. Valvular pneumoperitoneum. JAMA. 1932;99:2177–80.
17. Imabun S, Miyata M, Tanaka Y, Hashimoto T, Yamaguchi T, Sunada S, et al. Recurrent valvular pneumoperitoneum caused by a minute gastric ulcer: a case report. Jpn J Surg. 1991;21:571–5.

Publisher’s Note
Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.