AUTOMATED AND PERMANENT LONG-RANGE TERRESTRIAL LASER SCANNING IN A HIGH MOUNTAIN ENVIRONMENT: SETUP AND FIRST RESULTS
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ABSTRACT:

A terrestrial laser scanner (TLS) of the type RIEGL VZ-6000 has been permanently installed and automated at Hintereisferner glacier located in the Ötztal Alps, Austria, to identify snow (re)distribution from surface height changes. A first case study is presented that shows and discusses detected snow distribution at the glacier after a snowfall event, together with concurrent snow erosion and deposition caused by avalanches. The paper shows the potential of a TLS in a high mountain environment, which is also applicable to other environmental mapping applications. It introduces the setup of the TLS system, its automation procedure, and a first and preliminary uncertainty analysis. TLS data are generally influenced by four uncertainty sources: atmospheric conditions, scanning geometry, mechanical properties, and surface reflectance properties. The first three sources have significant influence on the TLS data at Hintereisferner, whereby the total accuracy of the TLS system is estimated to be in a range of a few decimetres, subject to ongoing more detailed data analysis.

1. INTRODUCTION

Terrestrial laser scanning (TLS) is an active sensing system that rapidly acquires high-resolution 3D point clouds of the Earth’s surface by emitting and receiving a pulsed laser light. Recent developments made it possible to scan at long (>2 km) ranges. The number and variety of remote sensing applications of TLS instruments continues to increase, including engineering and environmental mapping applications. One result of this development is the RIEGL VZ-6000, a long range TLS introduced by RiegI Laser Measurement Systems, which is suitable for remote sensing of snow and ice due to the scanning wavelength of 1064 nm at distances up to 6 km. The pioneer publication reporting the use of the RIEGL VZ-6000 for glaciological applications originates from measurements in 2013 on Haut Glacier d’Arolla in the Swiss Alps (Gabbud et al., 2015). This study investigated glacier surface ablation at seasonal and daily scales and shows that the scanner provides mean surface changes of 0.050±0.002 m² m⁻² d⁻¹ at seasonal scale at ranges up to 3.7 km. The paper laid a good groundwork for scanning glaciers at a long range but misses, unfortunately and despite obvious noise in the data, to provide an uncertainty assessment of the scanner.

Consequently, the application and validation to monitor glacier mass balance with the RIEGL VZ-6000 has been tested for various glaciers smaller than 0.5 km² in the Swiss Alps (Fischer et al., 2016) and for Urumqi Glacier No. 1, eastern Tien Shan, China (Xu et al., 2019). These studies compared the ggetic results to direct glaciological mass balance measurements and showed comparable results for both methods. However, these studies were applied to small glaciers at seasonal time scales and with maximum ranges of approx. 2.5 km and again without an extensive uncertainty assessment. To our knowledge, there has not yet been a study published concerning the quality control of TLSs on glaciers ranging longer than 2 km at daily or even hourly resolution.

Subsequently, the permanent installation of TLSs is worldwide rare. We are aware of installations of RIEGL VZ-2000 laser scanners at beaches to investigate coastal dynamics in the Netherlands (Yos et al., 2017) (Anders et al., 2019) and in Belgium (Deruyter et al., 2020). This research is similar to laser scanning at glacierized environments, because sandy coast dynamics are comparable with snow cover dynamics as it occurs on high spatiotemporal scales (Comola and Lehning, 2017; Cowell et al., 2005). On the other hand, atmospheric conditions at shoreline regions are incomparable with conditions in high relief, mountainous terrain.

Other examples of automated and/or permanent TLSs include near-real-time change detection of the Séchilienne landslide in France (Kromer et al., 2017), a snow study site in the eastern Sierra Nevada to review TLS positional accuracies (Hartzell et al., 2015), a site in Finland to monitor structural and phenological dynamics of boreal forest canopy (Campos et al., 2021) and a study area in the Western Austrian Alps to monitor potential avalanche slopes in high-alpine terrain (Adams et al., 2014). The limitations of these studies are that the scanners were installed for shorter periods of time (weeks to seasons) at shorter ranges (Kromer et al., 2017) (Campos et al., 2021) (Adams et al., 2014) or a scanner operating at a wavelength unsuitable for snow and ice was used (Hartzell et al., 2015).

We introduce the automated and permanent long-range TLS system *Im Hinteren Eis* (Sect. [2]) at a valley glacier in the Austrian Alps. Our aim is to clarify its automation process (Sect. [2.1]) and identify the uncertainty sources influencing the accuracy of the system (Sect. [3] and [4]). In addition, a case study during a
snowfall event is shown in Sect. 4.2. The results are discussed, combined with an outlook on the continued TLS quality assessment and the planned glaciological research (Sect. 5).

2. TERRESTRIAL LASER SCANNER IM HINTEREN EIS: TECHNICAL SETUP

A RIEGL VZ-6000 was installed close to Hintereisferner (HEF), a valley glacier located in the Rofental catchment in the Ötztal Alps, Austria, to identify snow (re)distribution from surface height changes. The glacier has a length of approx. 6.3 km ranging between the Weißkugel mountain peak (3739 m a.s.l.) and the glacier tongue at 2460 m a.s.l. (data from 2018). The glacier is part of the Open Air Laboratory Rofental (Strasser et al., 2018) and has been a key research site for glaciological studies since the early days of glacier research (Hess and Blümcke, 1899). Continuous long-term mass balance observations dating back to the year 1952/53 (Kuhn et al., 1999), as well as velocity and ice thickness change measurements since 1894 (Span et al., 1997) are available. The glacier is classified as one of the key reference glaciers by the World Glacier Monitoring Service.

The installation of the RIEGL VZ-6000 at HEF was planned in 2014/15 and finally installed in September 2016 (Fig. 1). The scanner is located on the Austrian-Italian border at an altitude of 3250 m a.s.l. The container in which the scanner is installed was too heavy to be carried by a helicopter and thus a temporary road was made to the mountain ridge and covered again after installation was done. The final position of the container is close to the mountain top Im Hinteren Eis (IHE, Fig. 2) blue dot in Fig. 3. The container is equipped with a desktop PC enabling remote access and control over the scanner. The container has windows that have to be opened before scanning and the scanner is protected with a robust industrial protective housing, which is a hermetically-sealed cover with thermo-electric coolers and forced-air cooling to stabilize temperature conditions in the harsh environment. The power supply to the container is achieved via a power line from the nearby ski resort Alpin Arena Schnals/Senales (South Tyrol, Italy).

The Digital Elevation Model (DEM) at one-metre-resolution retrieved from the point cloud data covers an area of 62.8% of the glacier in comparison to the glacier outlines of the airborne laser scanning (ALS) data acquisition from 2017. These gaps in glacier coverage are mainly related to concave areas in the accumulation zone of the glacier, partially at elevations higher than the TLS position. In that sense, an installation of the system at a higher altitude would have been more advantageous, but this was not possible due to the local topography and power supply. The maximum field of view (FOV) is 360° in the horizontal and 60° (+30°/+30°) in the vertical direction (RIEGL, 2019a). A scan covering HEF has 157° and 40° FOV in horizontal and vertical direction, respectively. A pulse repetition rate (PRR) of 30 kHz and an angular step width of 0.01° implies a scanning time of approx. 45 min and results in roughly 43 million points.

Besides the scanner being the core instrument of this study, an eddy-covariance (EC) flux tower (Aubinet et al., 2012; Stiper, ski and Kotach, 2015) is located close to the TLS container to observe standard meteorological quantities as well as turbulent fluxes and turbulence kinetic energy (TKE). Two automatic webcams were installed on the TLS container deliver-
to be defined in step 2a. All scans are saved under the same project name, but at IHE, for every new scan a new scan position is defined. The scan pattern depends on whether a complete glacier or detail scan was made. The measurement program is the PRR and can be set to 30, 50, 150 and 300 kHz. The RIEGL VZ-6000 also has a built-in digital camera. Images are automatically taken if the scan acquisition is in daylight time with an appropriate camera exposure time, to ensure that images are not over- or underexposed. After these parameters are defined, the scan starts (step 2b). The scan data is saved locally on the scanner. After a scan is completed, the data is copied to the desktop in the container at IHE (step 3) and the scanner is shutdown (step 4). In step 5, the connection between protective housing and scanner is turned off and the windows of the container are closed again. In order to guarantee a zero-loss data transmission under sometimes unstable connections between IHE and the FTP data server, it is decided to cut the scan data and the corresponding images in blocks of 15 MB (step 6). If the connection breaks down, it is not needed to repeat the entire upload of the scan to the FTP server, but single blocks can be uploaded again. The upload of a scan to the FTP server (step 7) depends on the size of a scan, but generally, for a complete scan of the glacier (angular step width: 0.01°; PRR: 30 kHz) without images, it takes roughly 3 hours. After uploading, the scan is downloaded from the FTP server to a local computer in Innsbruck (step 8) and merged again (step 9). The scan is visually checked on artefacts (e.g. due to disturbance by unfavourable atmospheric conditions such as clouds, fog, or precipitation) before archived on a local server. The processing and analysis of the scan data can be started subsequently (step 10). The post-processing of the raw data is done using SAGA-LIS, which includes direct georeferencing, data registration, compression and filtering. The TLS data from the scan position are transformed from the scanner’s own coordinate system (SOCs) into a global coordinate system (GLCS). The location of each scan is fixed in the GLCS after direct georeferencing. However as the orientation of each scan is continually adjusted to compute the best fit, the attitude angles of each scan campaign are different. Multi-temporal registration, also called relative registration, set the processed layer of an ALS campaign in September 2013 as a reference, where stable areas in the study area are used for quality control.

This workflow mostly runs smoothly, besides occasional power problems caused by lightning strikes in summer. In December 2020 the mechanical container windows broke down but, thanks to the protective housing, data acquisition was still possible.
3. METHODOLOGY

The TLS system IHE provides an excellent setting not only for glacier monitoring and detailed data collection, but also to test the data quality of a long-range permanent TLS system. It is known that four major factors influence the quality of scanning data (Soudarissanane, 2016; Friedli et al., 2019), namely 1) atmospheric conditions, 2) scanning geometry, 3) mechanical properties, and 4) surface reflectance properties. All four factors appear in their respective orders of magnitude at HEF. After the scanning process had been automated, tests on atmospheric conditions, scanning geometry and mechanical properties were performed, as well as a case study after snowfall.

1. The accuracy of the scanner is strongly influenced by atmospheric conditions, having impact on the refraction and time of flight of the laser beam and the stability of the device. The range between laser source and target is not directly measured, but derived from the time of flight between the emitted and received pulse. The time of flight is dependent on the group velocity in the air at the laser wavelength, which changes on changing air temperature, water vapour pressure and air pressure (RIEGL, 2019b). According to the manufacturer (RIEGL, 2019b), a change in temperature of 1 °C yields a change in correction of +1 parts per million (ppm) and a change in air pressure of 10 hPa yields a change in correction of −2.7 ppm. This atmospheric correction in ppm is used as scaling factor and a change of 50 ppm results in a change of the measured range of 50 nm at a target range of 1000 m. Pressure differences from the accumulation zone to the tongue of HEF roughly differ 100 hPa and the temperature differences are in the range of several degrees, so the atmosphere influences the time of flight of the measurement.

Second, the atmospheric refraction of the laser beam is changed, leading to differences in the pulse lengths [Flach, 2000; Böckem et al., 2000; Soudarissanane, 2016; Friedli et al., 2019; Hejbudzka et al., 2012; Reiterer, 2012]. The main atmospheric factors to take into account are the air temperature field, water vapour pressure, air turbulence, ambient light, and the optical depth of the atmosphere. The magnitude of the atmospheric effects was tested by making hourly scans during 26 hours (5 and 6 November 2020) in low-wind conditions. The differences between those scans are assumed to originate from changes in the atmospheric conditions, as no snow redistribution could be expected.

Last, the atmosphere influences the stability of the TLS, as the container with the device might experience vibrations induced by strong winds or air turbulence. As this can be tested with the inclination sensors of the device, this is elaborated further in Sect. 4.3.

2. The influence of the scanning geometry is related to the incidence angle and the footprint diameter of the laser beam on the surface. The smaller the incidence angle and footprint, the more accurate the point in the point cloud. The beam divergence $\beta$ of the RIEGL VZ-6000 is 0.12 mrad (RIEGL 2019a). This means that the diameter of a laser spot perpendicular to the glacier surface at 2 km range is 24 cm and increases 12 cm for every kilometre further away from the scanner. The incidence angle $\alpha$ to the surface has been calculated with the terrain normal $n$ and the laser beam direction $l$ (Schaer et al., 2012) in Eq. 1:

$$\alpha = \arccos \left( \frac{l \cdot n}{|l||n|} \right)$$

The footprint at the glacier surface is elliptical and the major axis $M$ of this theoretical footprint has been calculated (Sheng, 2008) with this incidence angle, the beam divergence and the range $R$ from the TLS to the point of interest in Eq. 2 under the assumption that the surface surrounding the point of interest is planar.

$$M = 2R \cos \alpha \cdot \frac{\sin \beta}{\cos 2\alpha + \cos \beta}$$

3. Mechanical properties involve the positional uncertainty of the scanning mechanism and specifically the inclination of the scanner. Preliminary scans showed a radial pattern of stripes from the scanner position to the glacier. To investigate the cause of these stripes, the results of the internal inclinations sensors of the RIEGL VZ-6000 were examined. The minimal angular step width of the scanner is 0.002°, but the accuracy of the internal inclination sensors in the device are ±0.008° (RIEGL 2019a). A deviation of 0.008° indicates a vertical deviation of 18 cm at a range of 2 km from IHE.

4. Surface reflectance properties include the characteristics of the scanned surface. The area of interest contains three main surface types: snow, ice, and rocks. According to the manufacturer, the RIEGL VZ-6000 is especially applicable to snow and ice, because the reflectance of snow and ice is strong at the used wavelength of 1064 nm (Deems et al., 2013). The different surfaces mainly have implications on the received intensity and can make it possible to distinguish between the three surface types. It is also possible to determine the glacier snow line and the snow cover extent, but the snow density cannot be derived from the intensity data (Prantl et al., 2017). We do not consider the surface reflectance properties further, as we do not specifically investigate intensity data and we assume the influence of the reflectance properties on the accuracy of the surface geometry to be negligible (Hölle et al., 2007).

As the uncertainty sources are well elaborated, we present data from the TLS system showing glacier surface changes after a snowfall event of approx. 1.5 m of fresh snow in December 2020.

4. RESULTS

4.1 Atmospheric conditions

The comparison of hourly scans during 26 hours in wind still conditions showed significant differences from scan to scan (Fig. 5). After one particular two-hour period data showed a positive difference of roughly 10 cm in the accumulation zone and negative values of about 3 cm at the glacier tongue. Even more negative values occurred at the area around Station Hinterreis and the TLS observations suggest a surface increase at the slope south of the glacier, although these artefacts are likely caused by quickly changing atmospheric conditions. After sunrise, local turbulence increases due to the heating of the slopes. To reduce this effect, the daily scans at HEF are taken during...
nighttime. There is less influence of ambient light, furthermore, the ambient atmosphere is assumed to have a stable stratification with limited turbulence, according to the observations from the EC station at IHE. The scans taken during the nighttime do not show the apparent local changes as mentioned above. We estimate the uncertainty caused by the atmosphere of the daily nighttime scans in the centimetre range.

Figure 5. Difference plot of the scans made at 6 November 2020 between 12:11 and 14:06. The differences are given in m. The permanent TLS IHE (blue dot), Station Hintereis (red dot), the mountain top Weißkugel (lime green triangle), the Austrian-Italian border (green) and the borders of HEF according to ALS data of 2017 (black) are also given.

4.2 Scanning geometry

The incidence angles of the laser beam from IHE to every grid cell are calculated (Fig. 6) and are far from perpendicular. The order of magnitude of incidence angles is approx. 70-85° at the glacier tongue and 60-70° in the direction of Weißkugel. The most favorable incidence angles are found in the direction of Station Hintereis. Due to the oblique incidence angles at the study area, the footprint of the laser is elliptical and the major axis of this footprint also increases (Fig. 7). The minimal footprint (major axis ellipse) at the glacier is found between the direct line between IHE and Station Hintereis and is about 15 cm, but rapidly increases to metre-range in the accumulation zone. These footprints are larger than the grid cell size of one metre and lead to large positional uncertainties in far range. The distribution of the footprint is assumed to be Gaussian (Schaer et al., 2012) and thus 1σ is approx. 1/3 of the largest extent of the footprint. The uncertainty caused by these large footprints are not yet quantified, but is expected to be in the order of decimetres and strongly depends on the distance from the scanner to the surface.

4.3 Mechanical properties

Fig. 8 shows the radial stripes probably induced by inaccuracies in the inclination sensors of the scanner. The inclination measurements are 1 Hz, but approx. 5.6 scan lines are scanned per second at a PRR of 30 kHz and a vertical FOV of 40°. This means that the inclination from scan line to scan line has been interpolated in the device. The inclination values of the scans made at 6 November 2020 are shown in Fig. 8. If the TLS would have scanned 360° (horizontal FOV), the data show a sine wave, as plotted with a least squares method in Fig. 8. This is caused by the slightly tilted installation of the scanner to ensure a direction of view down to the glacier. Furthermore, the inclination values show noise larger than the accuracy of the inclination sensors (±0.008°). The standard deviation of the different inclination data sets also differs from scan to scan (See values in the legend of Fig. 8) and increases with increas-
ing TKE values, possibly indicating vibrations of the scanner in turbulent air conditions. No significant relationship between inclination values and strong wind conditions has been found. Last, the stability of the container has been investigated. The mean roll and pitch value for every scan since 2016 has been calculated, but no movement in a distinct direction has been identified. On the other hand, the inclination sensors show deviations depending on the season, which might be caused by cooling and warming of the container. More research, for example with an Inertial Measurement Unit (IMU) mounted on the container, is needed to prove this hypothesis. The combination of the interpolation of the inclination data and the noise at the signal causes outliers in the data, which become more apparent if elevation differences between two time steps are calculated. We computed the outliers of single points to be in a decimetre range, but this averages out as soon as grids are calculated. Therefore, the radial pattern is more visible further away from the scanner, as less points per grid cell are measured and thus an outlier has bigger influence on the final grid height calculation. We estimate the final uncertainty assigned to the given mechanical properties at a one-metre-resolution grid to be approx. 10 cm.

4.4 Case study: snowfall December 2020

The TLS system is able to capture differences before and after snowfall events bigger than the uncertainty of the device. Fig. shows the grid differences between 1 and 10 December 2020, suggesting an increase in snow depth of approx. 1.5 m on the glacier. This is in correspondence with snow depth measurements on 17th of December and an observed precipitation of 166 mm at the precipitation gauge at IHE. Avalanches are also observed, as they erode and deposit several meters of snow (boxes in Fig.).

5. CONCLUDING REMARKS

Glacier surface changes caused by snowfall and snow redistribution by wind play a pivotal role in glacier mass balance studies (Mott et al., 2008; Dadic et al., 2010). Other studies have already shown the possibility to capture the yearly and seasonal glacier mass balance with TLSs (Gabbud et al., 2015; Fischer et al., 2016; Xu et al., 2019), but so far it has not been possible to distinguish glacier mass balance at higher temporal resolution. If more knowledge is gained about the snow redistribution, our knowledge about the distributed surface mass balance (Cogley et al., 2011) improves.

This study presents the setup and configuration of the permanent long-range laser scanning system at Hinteresferner. The three main sources (atmospheric conditions, scanning geometry and mechanical properties) influencing the data quality have already been studied in other environmental settings (Fey and Wichmann, 2016; Hartzell et al., 2015; Friedli et al., 2019; Hejbdzka et al., 2012), but not yet been quantified at ranges >2 km at high spatiotemporal resolution. Despite these inaccuracies, the TLS IHE is already able to capture surface changes after snowfall events bigger than the uncertainty of the
device (Fig 9) and we expect that it is possible to capture higher resolution processes, such as snow redistribution on the glacier, after a detailed quality assessment of the TLS data at HEF. At this point, the accuracy of the total system is estimate in the lower decimetre-range and ongoing analysis aims for a detailed quantification of the atmospheric conditions and mechanical properties. The scanning geometry will be further investigated with the decomposition of the major axis of the footprint in x-, y- and z-components to get the standard deviation in these directions. Generally, the main source causing uncertainty in an automated and permanent long-range TLS system depends on the setup of the system, and all mentioned uncertainties increase by increasing scanning distances. Concluding, the installation of the RIEGL VZ-6000 at HEF with scanning distances up to 4.6 km and an estimated accuracy in the decimetre-range is an one-of-a-kind setup, which not only enables to acquire the geodetic glacier mass balance of HEF, it also promises high potential for snow cover change studies and other environmental sciences. Second, the data acquired by the system extends the data set with over 150 years of hydro-meteorological and glaciological observations of the Open Air Laboratory Rofental (Strasser et al., 2018).
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