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We analyzed antimicrobial susceptibility test results reported in healthcare-associated infections by California hospitals during 2014–2017. Approximately 3.2% of *Enterobacteriaceae* reported in healthcare-associated infections were resistant to carbapenems and 26.9% were resistant to cephalosporins. The proportion of cephalosporin-resistant *Escherichia coli* increased 7% (risk ratio 1.07, 95% CI 1.04–1.11) per year during 2014–2017.

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) identified carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* (CRE) as an urgent public health threat and extended-spectrum β-lactamase (ESBL)–producing *Enterobacteriaceae* as a serious public health threat (1). Antimicrobial-resistant pathogens, such as CRE, can spread across regions when infected or colonized patients transfer between healthcare facilities without infection control measures in place to prevent transmission (2). Therefore, tracking regional changes in antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is essential to inform public health prevention and containment strategies.

The Study

Healthcare-associated infection (HAI) pathogen data reported to the National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN) can be used to estimate the prevalence of AMR among hospitals within a region (3–5). Hospitals provide pathogen and antimicrobial susceptibility test results for ≤3 microorganisms when reporting central line–associated bloodstream infections (CLABSI), surgical site infections (SSI), and catheter-associated urinary tract infections (CAUTI) to NHSN (6). Data on molecular mechanisms of resistance are not collected for CLABSI, SSI, or CAUTI.

We applied CDC definitions to identify antimicrobial-resistant phenotypes among *Enterobacteriaceae*, including *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella* species, and *Enterobacter* species, reported in CLABSI, SSI, and CAUTI by general acute-care hospitals in California (3). We included multiple pathogens per HAI if reported. California hospitals report HAI data for ≤28 surgical procedures; we included pathogen data from any SSI reported. We excluded HAI data reported by other hospital types, such as critical access and long-term acute-care hospitals, due to limited HAI data reported by these hospitals.

According to CDC definitions, CRE were resistant to imipenem, meropenem, doripenem, or ertapenem. Extended-spectrum cephalosporin-resistant (ESCR) *Enterobacteriaceae* were resistant to ceftiraxone, ceftazidime, cefepime, or cefotaxime. We applied modified phenotype definitions from Magiorakos et al. to identify multidrug-resistant (MDR), extensively drug-resistant (XDR), and pandrug-resistant (PDR) *Enterobacteriaceae* (7). Susceptibility data for 2 antimicrobial drugs (ceftaroline and fosfomycin) included in these definitions were not available in our NHSN data. Resistance was defined by an isolate’s nonsusceptibility to ≥1 agent (e.g., imipenem) within a category of antimicrobial drugs (e.g., carbapenems) and the total number of antimicrobial categories (≤15) for which the isolate was nonsusceptible. MDR *Enterobacteriaceae* were nonsusceptible to ≥3 antimicrobial categories; XDR *Enterobacteriaceae* were nonsusceptible to all but 1 or 2 antimicrobial categories, and PDR *Enterobacteriaceae* were nonsusceptible to all antimicrobial categories. We also assessed the phenotype difficult-to-treat (DTR) proposed by Kadri et al. (8). DTR included an intermediate or resistant result to all reported agents within carbapenem, cephalosporin, and fluoroquinolone categories, as well as piperacillin-tazobactam and aztreonam when results were available.

We used log binomial regression models to estimate statewide, year-to-year change in the proportion of antimicrobial-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* during 2014–2017. To understand regional differences in CRE and ESCR *Enterobacteriaceae*, we performed a subgroup analysis in which we aggregated HAI data in 2-year increments and measured percentage resistance by county when susceptibility test results for ≥30 *Enterobacteriaceae* were available. CDC has explored risk adjustment for regional-level comparisons using NHSN data and determined unadjusted measures are satisfactory until additional covariates are adopted in NHSN (9).
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During 2014–2017, 305 (91%) of 335 California hospitals reported ≥1 Enterobacteriaceae in HAI with cephalosporin susceptibility test results; 296 (88%) hospitals reported ≥1 Enterobacteriaceae with carbapenem susceptibility test results. The median number of Enterobacteriaceae reported with cephalosporin susceptibility test results by hospitals per year was 8 (interquartile range 16–3), and 6 (interquartile range 14–3) for Enterobacteriaceae with carbapenem susceptibility test results.

Approximately 3.2% of Enterobacteriaceae reported in HAI during 2014–2017 were resistant to carbapenems and 26.9% of Enterobacteriaceae reported in HAI were cephalosporin resistant. We observed increases in the proportions of Enterobacteriaceae that were ESCR and MDR during 2014–2017; these changes were driven by E. coli (Table 1). We observed a 7% (risk ratio [RR] 1.07; 95% CI 1.04–1.11) annual increase in the proportion of E. coli resistant to cephalosporins and a 4% (RR 1.04; 95% CI 1.02–1.06) annual increase in the proportion of E. coli with an MDR phenotype during 2014–2017 (Table 1). The proportion of E. coli exhibiting carbapenem resistance also increased 24% (RR 1.24; 95% CI 1.00–1.56) per year during 2014–2017.

### Table 1. Carbapenem and cephalosporin resistance among Enterobacteriaceae reported in healthcare-associated infections by California hospitals, 2014–2017*

| Antimicrobial agent | 2014 No. (%) isolates† | % R | 2015 No. (%) isolates† | % R | 2016 No. (%) isolates† | % R | 2017 No. (%) isolates† | % R | Change | Risk ratio (95% CI) | p value |
|---------------------|-------------------------|-----|------------------------|-----|------------------------|-----|------------------------|-----|---------|-------------------|---------|
| **Enterobacteriaceae** | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Carbapenems | 2,747 (60.2) | 3.1 | 3,310 (64.2) | 3.1 | 3,409 (64.2) | 3.5 | 3,247 (65.1) | 3.0 | 1.00 | 0.98 |
| Cephalosporins | 3,303 (74.3) | 24.0 | 3,837 (76.2) | 27.7 | 4,020 (77.4) | 27.5 | 3,885 (79.6) | 28.0 | 1.04 | 0.001 |
| DTR | 2,298 (50.0) | 2.2 | 2,786 (53.5) | 2.0 | 2,916 (54.5) | 2.1 | 2,856 (56.6) | 1.6 | 0.92 | 0.16 |
| MDR | 4,500 (98.0) | 38.8 | 5,129 (98.5) | 43.3 | 5,228 (97.6) | 43.8 | 4,942 (97.9) | 44.0 | 1.04 | <0.001 |
| **Escherichia coli** | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Carbapenems | 1,623 (59.9) | 1.0 | 1,969 (64.9) | 0.7 | 1,969 (64.6) | 1.1 | 1,893 (66.7) | 1.2 | 1.24 | 0.05 |
| Cephalosporins | 1,890 (71.1) | 22.9 | 2,158 (72.4) | 28.0 | 2,229 (74.2) | 27.1 | 2,147 (76.8) | 29.7 | 1.07 | <0.001 |
| DTR | 1,323 (48.6) | 0.5 | 1,577 (51.7) | 0.3 | 1,615 (52.7) | 0.5 | 1,613 (56.3) | 0.4 | 0.99 | 0.95 |
| MDR | 2,669 (98.0) | 42.8 | 3,004 (98.4) | 47.0 | 2,998 (97.8) | 47.1 | 2,812 (98.2) | 49.2 | 1.04 | <0.001 |
| **Enterobacter spp.** | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Carbapenems | 489 (62.1) | 3.7 | 550 (62.9) | 6.9 | 602 (63.7) | 5.2 | 559 (63.0) | 5.4 | 1.06 | 0.51 |
| Cephalosporins | 701 (94.1) | 29.8 | 786 (94.5) | 30.9 | 855 (94.9) | 33.6 | 811 (94.5) | 30.8 | 1.02 | 0.47 |
| DTR | 488 (60.6) | 0.2 | 554 (61.8) | 0.5 | 608 (63.1) | 0.5 | 564 (61.2) | 0.5 | 0.79 | 0.50 |
| MDR | 789 (98.0) | 43.5 | 883 (98.5) | 53.0 | 940 (97.5) | 55.6 | 897 (97.3) | 50.3 | 1.04 | 0.01 |
| **Klebsiella spp.** | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Carbapenems | 635 (59.7) | 8.8 | 791 (63.2) | 6.6 | 838 (63.8) | 7.9 | 795 (63.3) | 5.7 | 0.90 | 0.07 |
| Cephalosporins | 712 (68.3) | 21.4 | 893 (73.1) | 24.2 | 936 (72.6) | 22.8 | 927 (75.5) | 21.5 | 0.94 | 0.76 |
| DTR | 487 (45.7) | 8.8 | 655 (52.1) | 7.2 | 693 (52.4) | 7.2 | 679 (53.8) | 5.7 | 0.88 | 0.06 |
| MDR | 1,042 (97.8) | 25.1 | 1,242 (98.7) | 27.7 | 1,290 (97.5) | 27.2 | 1,233 (97.7) | 27.8 | 1.03 | 0.21 |

*Carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae were resistant to imipenem, meropenem, doripenem, or ertapenem. Enterobacteriaceae resistant to ceftriaxone, ceftazidime, cefepime, or cefotaxime were carbapenem-resistant. MDR Enterobacteriaceae were nonsusceptible to ≥3 antimicrobial categories; XDR Enterobacteriaceae were nonsusceptible to all but 1 or 2 antimicrobial categories and pandrug-resistant Enterobacteriaceae were nonsusceptible to all antimicrobial categories (n = 15). DTR Enterobacteriaceae were intermediate or resistant to all reported agents within carbapenem, cefepime, and fluoroquinolone categories, as well as piperacillin-tazobactam and aztreonam when results were available. DTR, difficult-to-treat; MDR, multidrug-resistant; % R, percentage resistant.

†The number and percentage of Enterobacteriaceae with antimicrobial susceptibility test results as a proportion of the overall number reported (i.e., with or without antimicrobial susceptibility test results).
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We observed decreasing trends in carbapenem resistance (RR 0.90; 95% CI 0.80–1.01) and in the DTR phenotype (RR 0.88; 95% CI 0.77–1.00) among Klebsiella species reported in HAI. Among Enterobacteriaceae assessed for the DTR phenotype, Klebsiella species accounted for 86% (n = 193) of DTR isolates and comprised 23% of the overall total of Enterobacteriaceae analyzed among HAI. In addition, 1 XDR Klebsiella pneumoniae was reported in HAI during 2014–2017 and no PDR Enterobacteriaceae were reported.

Percentages of CRE and ESCR phenotypes varied by county and reporting years (Table 2; Figures 1, 2). Carbapenem and cephalosporin resistance was higher in California regions more densely populated with hospitals and residents, such as the greater Los Angeles region and San Francisco Bay area. Counties with hospitals reporting <30 Enterobacteriaceae may still have antimicrobial-resistant HAI or receive patients from healthcare facilities where antimicrobial resistance is endemic.

Several factors limit the interpretation of our results. Only 4 years of data were available for measuring AMR trends. Selective reporting of susceptibility test results may have restricted sample sizes and increased the potential for sampling bias to affect our results. Furthermore, there may be differences in how California hospitals and laboratories interpret MIC breakpoints or changes in how breakpoints are applied over time. Data on molecular mechanisms of resistance are not collected in CLABSI, SSI, or CAUTI, which limits our understanding of how transmissible elements, including ESBL and carbapenemases, may contribute to the trends we observed.

**Conclusions**

Increases in carbapenem, cephalosporin, and MDR E. coli reported in HAI by California hospitals are concerning, given that E. coli are common causes of both hospital and community-associated infections. ESBL-producing E. coli have been reported in community-associated urinary tract infections among patients in California, with estimates of resistance among E. coli from 5% up to 17% in complicated pyelonephritis (10,11). MDR and DTR Enterobacteriaceae further limit treatment options and present

| Table 2. Carbapenem and cephalosporin resistance among Enterobacteriaceae reported in healthcare-associated infections by California hospitals, aggregated by county, 2014–2017* |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                                | 2014–2015       | 2016–2017       | 2014–2015       | 2016–2017       |
| **Carbapenems**                | No. (%)         | No. (%)         | No. (%)         | No. (%)         |
| County                         | isolates†       | % R             | isolates†       | % R             |
| Alameda                         | 280 (72.4)      | 1.8             | 342 (84)        | 2.0             |
| Butte                          | 40 (97.6)       | 0               | 46 (93.9)       | 0               |
| Contra Costa                   | 141 (50.5)      | 5.7             | 197 (57.8)      | 3.0             |
| Fresno                         | 324 (91.3)      | 0               | 379 (95.5)      | 0.3             |
| Imperial                       | 33 (100)        | 0               | NS              | NS              |
| Kern                           | 165 (97.6)      | 1.8             | 137 (89)        | 0.7             |
| Kings                          | 35 (100)        | 0               | 33 (100)        | 0               |
| Los Angeles                    | 1,294 (46.9)    | 6.6             | 1,477 (49.6)    | 7.1             |
| Marin                          | 38 (97.4)       | 0               | NS              | NS              |
| Monterey                       | 85 (95.5)       | 0               | 111 (78.7)      | 0               |
| Napa                           | NS              | NS              | 31 (93.9)       | 0               |
| Orange                         | 365 (56.6)      | 3.6             | 363 (54.7)      | 4.1             |
| Placer                         | 70 (70.0)       | 1.4             | 108 (89.3)      | 1.9             |
| Riverside                      | 233 (56.0)      | 3.4             | 249 (55.8)      | 2.4             |
| Sacramento                     | 381 (86.6)      | 1.0             | 392 (81.8)      | 3.3             |
| San Bernardino                 | 301 (67.9)      | 3.0             | 337 (72.9)      | 3.0             |
| San Diego                      | 657 (53.8)      | 4.0             | 573 (50.8)      | 3.1             |
| San Francisco                  | 405 (96.4)      | 1.2             | 432 (96.0)      | 2.1             |
| San Joaquin                    | 61 (71.8)       | 0               | 53 (54.1)       | 3.8             |
| San Luis Obispo                | NS              | NS              | NS              | NS              |
| San Mateo                      | 137 (98.6)      | 0.7             | 107 (98.2)      | 2.8             |
| Santa Barbara                  | 113 (99.1)      | 1.8             | 106 (98.1)      | 0.9             |
| Santa Clara                    | 370 (55.2)      | 1.4             | 557 (69.2)      | 1.8             |
| Shasta                         | NS              | NS              | NS              | NS              |
| Solano                         | 91 (95.8)       | 6.6             | 121 (99.2)      | 0.8             |
| Sonoma                         | 71 (68.3)       | 0               | 99 (92.5)       | 1.0             |
| Stanslaus                      | 92 (62.2)       | 2.2             | 98 (65.2)       | 1.0             |
| Tulare                         | NS              | NS              | NS              | NS              |
| Ventura                        | 42 (29.0)       | 0               | 61 (48.4)       | 3.3             |
| Yuba                           | NS              | NS              | 36 (97.3)       | 2.8             |

*Carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae were resistant to imipenem, meropenem, doripenem, or ertapenem. Enterobacteriaceae resistant to ceftriaxone, ceftazidime, cefepime, or cefotaxime were carbapenem resistant. The percentage of resistant Enterobacteriaceae is not shown when <30 Enterobacteriaceae are reported within a county. NS, not shown; % R, percentage resistant.

†The number and percentage of Enterobacteriaceae with reported antimicrobial susceptibility test results as a proportion of the overall number reported (i.e., with or without antimicrobial susceptibility test results).
management challenges, particularly in outpatient settings when there are no oral antimicrobial treatment options.

AMR prevention and containment strategies may depend on the local prevalence. For example, prompt detection and rapid, aggressive containment responses to individual AMR cases can be effective in low-prevalence regions. Admission screening and empiric use of transmission-based precautions for patients at high risk for AMR might be more feasible in higher-prevalence regions.

Healthcare facilities can prevent HAI and the spread of AMR by implementing best practices in infection control and antimicrobial stewardship. State and local health departments can coordinate prevention efforts across the healthcare continuum, investigate and control outbreaks in healthcare facilities, and set expectations for healthcare facilities to communicate patients’ AMR infection and colonization status during all patient transfers. Decreasing trends in carbapenem resistance and in the DTR phenotype among *Klebsiella* species, often the focus of AMR containment efforts, indicate the potential effectiveness of such prevention strategies (5). Nonetheless, increases and regional variation in carbapenem-resistant and ESCR *E. coli* highlight the urgent need for ongoing, local infection prevention and antimicrobial stewardship efforts.
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A class of broad-spectrum β-lactam antibiotics, structurally similar to penicillins, with the substitution of a carbon atom (carba-) for a sulfur atom. This substitution creates a double bond on the pentane ring, which becomes a pentene ring (-penem).

The first carbapenem, thienamycin (theion ["sulfur"] + enamine [an unsaturated compound that forms the backbone of the molecule] + -mycin [suffix for drugs produced by Streptomyces spp.]), was discovered in 1976 in culture broths of the newly recognized species Streptomyces cattleya. Thienamycin rapidly decomposes in the presence of water, which limits its clinical utility.

The first carbapenem approved for use in the United States was imipenem, the stable N-formimidoyl derivative of thienamycin, in 1985. Resistance to imipenem, encoded on a mobile genetic element, was first identified in Pseudomonas aeruginosa in Japan in 1991, and carbapenemase-producing organisms have since spread globally.
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