Characteristics, Secondary Formation and Regional Contributions of PM$_{2.5}$ Pollution in Jinan during Winter
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Abstract: Air pollution is an increasing threat to human health in China. In this study, daily PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ samples were collected simultaneously at the Jinan Environmental Monitoring Station (EMS) in Jinan, China from 15 November 2016 to 15 March 2017. The aim of this work was to improve the understanding of the characteristics and sources of air particles and determine different levels of PM$_{2.5}$ pollution and its constituent elements, water-soluble ions and carbonaceous species. Nitrate (NO$_3^-$), organic materials (OM) and sulfate (SO$_4^{2-}$) were identified as the three main components of PM$_{2.5}$ pollution. With increasing pollution level, the contributions of SO$_4^{2-}$, NO$_3^-$ and NH$_4^+$ increased at greater rates, unlike that of OM. The proportion of SO$_4^{2-}$ exceeded that of NO$_3^-$ and became predominant in severe PM$_{2.5}$ pollution (SP; 250 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ $\leq$ PM$_{2.5}$ $\leq$ 500 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$). This work demonstrates that SO$_4^{2-}$ has a dominant role in SP level and, consequently, requires greater research attention. It is demonstrated that relative humidity (RH) enhances the rate of sulfate formation more than that of nitrate. Therefore, under the current Chinese emergency response measures, it is necessary to further reduce emissions of SO$_2$ and NO$_2$. Four clusters of backward trajectories identified dominant pollution vectors originating from highly industrialized areas that exacerbate the poor air quality in Jinan. It is, therefore, necessary to undertake regional control measures to reduce pollutant emissions.
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1. Introduction

In recent years there have been frequent heavy pollution days in the central and eastern regions of China. Consequently, Chinese authorities and the public are increasingly demanding more stringent prevention and control measures for atmospheric pollution [1]. Serious air pollution not only lowers air quality but also negatively affects human health, both physically and mentally. Therefore, China’s continuously severe air pollution (SP) has caused wide public concern [2]. There have been many studies on air pollution in major areas of China [3–11], of which a large number have proven that secondary inorganic species play an important role in haze formation [3,4,9–11].

Jinan is located in central Shandong Province and is its capital city. The city extends south to Mount Tai (which is the province’s highest peak at 1000 m) and north to the Yellow River. Jinan City
is located close to the Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei (BTH) region and transmits atmospheric pollution to it. The air pollution in Jinan can affect the BTH area and is also affected by surrounding cities.

Previous research has mainly focused on airborne particulate chemical compositions and characteristics, particle size fractions, potential source analyses and health risks in Jinan in recent years [12–16]. Wang et al. (2012) studied the secondary formation of inorganic aerosols in droplet mode through heterogeneous aqueous reactions under haze conditions [12]. Gu et al. (2014) investigated airborne fine particulate pollution in terms of chemical compositions, possible sources and mass closure analysis of PM$_{2.5}$ in Jinan. They identified the major emission sources in Jinan to be coal combustion, biomass burning, secondary sulfates, soil dust, secondary nitrates and vehicle emissions [16]. Cheng et al. (2011) used the potential source contribution function (PSCF) model to analyze the mass concentrations and major chemical components of PM$_{2.5}$ in Jinan from December 2004 to October 2008, which confirmed the potential local and regional sources of secondary sulfates and nitrates in PM$_{2.5}$ in Jinan [17]. However, few studies have specifically addressed PM$_{2.5}$ fine particles at different pollution levels throughout winter in Jinan.

In this study, the temporal-spatial variations in different PM$_{2.5}$ pollution levels were comprehensively analyzed based on the whole period when people heat their homes in Jinan, from 15 November 2016 to 15 March 2017. The role of meteorological parameters in the formation and evolution of PM$_{2.5}$ pollution episodes was investigated in Jinan during winter. We also attempted to identify the constituents most responsible for explosive growth in PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations. Eight severe pollution days were identified, which showed that sulfates dominate the fine particle pollutants in severely polluted conditions in Jinan in winter. Finally, we analyzed 24 h backward trajectories and determined the regional transport effect on Jinan.

2. Experiments

2.1. Site Location and Data Collection

The terrain in Jinan is higher in the south and lower in the north, which is not conducive to the dispersal of pollutants. The total area is 8177 km$^2$ and the resident population is about 7.132 million. The number of motor vehicles is 2.065 million, with an annual growth rate exceeding 10% in recent decades. In 2017, the concentrations of PM$_{10}$, PM$_{2.5}$, SO$_2$, NO$_2$, CO and O$_3$ in the ambient air of Jinan City were 130 µg m$^{-3}$, 63 µg m$^{-3}$, 25 µg m$^{-3}$, 46 µg m$^{-3}$, 2.1 mg m$^{-3}$ and 190 µg m$^{-3}$, respectively [18]. These PM$_{10}$, PM$_{2.5}$, NO$_2$ and O$_3$ concentrations exceed the National Ambient Air Quality Standard’s (GB 3095-2012) secondary standard by 0.9, 0.8, 0.2 and 0.2 times, respectively. Compared to 2016, the concentrations of PM$_{10}$, PM$_{2.5}$ and SO$_2$ decreased, the concentration of CO increased, and the concentrations of NO$_2$ and O$_3$ remained basically the same. Jinan ranked in the bottom 10 out of 74 key environmental protection cities in 2017 in terms of air quality [18]. According to the 2017 Jinan Environmental Quality Brief, PM$_{10}$ was the primary pollutant on 38% of days, which is the highest proportion of all pollutants.

As shown in Figure 1, the sampling site was located on the rooftop of the Jinan Environmental Monitoring Station (EMS) (36°39′47″ N,117°3′18″ E) at about 20 m above ground level and was compliant with HJ 194-2017 (Technical specifications on manual methods for ambient air quality monitoring). The site is in an urban area where the surrounding traffic is relatively dense and there are no major industrial plants. The air pollution source and observation data obtained here were taken to represent the level of air pollution in the urban area of Jinan.

PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ samples were collected simultaneously from 15 November 2016 to 15 March 2017 using an automatic sampling system (PNS 16T-3.1, Comde-Derenda, Stahnsdorf, Germany). This equipment is produced by Comde-Derenda (Wuxi) Measuring Technologies Ltd and meets the atmospheric environment sampling standards of China (HJ 618-2011, HJ 656-2013, HJ 93-2013). This system can continuously monitor particulate matter (PM$_{10}$, PM$_{2.5}$, etc.) with an automatic filter changer (ϕ 47 mm). The sampling time was 23 h (09:00–08:00 the next day) and the sampling flow was
16.7 L/min. The volumetric flow rate was measured with an orifice plate and electronically adjusted with an accuracy of ≤2%.
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**Figure 1.** (a) Map showing the relative locations of Beijing and Jinan. (b) The pink area indicates Jinan city and the black spot is the sampling site Jinan Environmental Monitoring Station (EMS).

### 2.2. Weighing and Chemical Analysis

Quartz fiber and Teflon (φ 47 mm, Whatman) filters were used in this study. A detailed description of sample filter weighing has been presented in previous work (HJ618-2011, HJ656-2013) [19]. Organic carbon (OC) and elemental carbon (EC) concentrations were measured by the IMPROVE thermal optical reflectance method with a DRI Model 2001A [20]. A Thermo Dionex ICS-1500 and ICS-2000 were used to analyze water-soluble anions (F\(^{-}\), Cl\(^{-}\), NO\(_3\)\(^{-}\) and SO\(_4\)\(^{2-}\)) and cations (Na\(^{+}\), NH\(_4\)\(^{+}\), K\(^{+}\), Mg\(^{2+}\) and Ca\(^{2+}\)) according to Zhao et al. [19]. Metal elements (Na, K, As, Cd, Cr, Pb, Mn, Ni, Se, Zn, Co, Cu, V) were measured by ICP-MS (Agilent 7900, USA). Elements Si, Al, Ca, Mg, Fe, Ti and Ba were measured by ICP-OES (Vista-MPX, USA), with a detailed description of the method given by Zhang [21]. Some 110 PM\(_{2.5}\) and 107 PM\(_{10}\) filters were analyzed for mass, water-soluble ions, OC/EC and elements.

The pollution conditions in Jinan were divided into five categories as follows. Using the *Technical Regulation on Ambient Air Quality Index*, we categorized PM\(_{2.5}\) conditions as clean (C; PM\(_{2.5}\) ≤ 75 μg m\(^{-3}\)), slightly polluted (S; 75 μg m\(^{-3}\) < PM\(_{2.5}\) ≤ 115 μg m\(^{-3}\)), moderately polluted (M; 115 μg m\(^{-3}\) < PM\(_{2.5}\) ≤ 150 μg m\(^{-3}\)), heavily polluted (HP; 150 μg m\(^{-3}\) < PM\(_{2.5}\) ≤ 250 μg m\(^{-3}\)) and severely polluted (SP; 250 μg m\(^{-3}\) < PM\(_{2.5}\) ≤ 500 μg m\(^{-3}\)).

Atmospheric particulate matter mass reconstruction can estimate the effects of aerosols from different sources on ambient air quality based on the proportions of compounds in different components [22]. In this study, the chemical mass reconstruction method was used to classify organic matter (OM), EC, mineral dust (MD), trace elements (TE), SO\(_4\)\(^{2-}\), NO\(_3\)\(^{-}\), NH\(_4\)\(^{+}\) and Cl\(^{-}\) in PM\(_{2.5}\). The mass of MD was estimated on the basis of oxides of Al, Si, Ca, Fe, Ti, K and Mg as follows [11]:

\[
\text{MD} = [1.89 \times \text{Al}] + [2.14 \times \text{Si}] + [1.4 \times \text{Ca}] + [1.43 \times \text{Fe}] + [1.67 \times \text{Ti}] + [1.2 \times \text{K}] + [1.66 \times \text{Mg}]
\]

The OM content included undetected H, S, N and O and was estimated by multiplying the OC content by a conversion factor (CF) corresponding to the organic molecular carbon weight per carbon weight. The CF ranged from 1.6 to 2.1. In previous work [22–24], CFs of 1.6 ± 0.2 appear to be more accurate for urban aerosols [23]. In Jinan, CFs of 1.4 and 1.8 have been used for urban research before [16,25]. The OM was estimated to be 1.4 times the OC according to our previous study in Jinan [16]. In MD, except for the above elements, the sum of all other element concentrations was defined as the TE concentration. “Other” were considered unidentified mass, measurement or experimental errors et al [26].
3. Results

3.1. General Characteristics of PM$_{2.5}$ Pollution in Winter

Figure 2 presents the variations in the PM$_{2.5}$ concentration and main chemical composition from 15 November 2016 to 15 March 2017. The range of PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations was large at 16.5–413.6 µg m$^{-3}$, and the average mass concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$ during the sampling period were 107.1 ± 75.4 µg m$^{-3}$. It is obvious that there are two extreme PM$_{2.5}$ peaks in Figure 2 coinciding with high concentrations of SO$_4^{2-}$. The general features of the main PM$_{2.5}$ components during the sampling periods are listed in Table 1. The main component in PM$_{2.5}$ was NO$_3^-$, with an average concentration ± standard deviation of 21.9 ± 15.6 µg m$^{-3}$. The second-highest proportions were of SO$_4^{2-}$ and OM, at concentrations of 19 ± 21.2 and 19 ± 11.4 µg m$^{-3}$. Therefore, NO$_3^-$, SO$_4^{2-}$ and OM are the three major species of PM$_{2.5}$. On average, PM$_{2.5}$ accounted for 62% of PM$_{10}$, which indicates that atmospheric particulate matter pollution was mainly dominated by PM$_{2.5}$ in Jinan during winter. The high percentage of PM$_{2.5}$ infers that the primary source is of combustion/secondary aerosol origin, as there was a low fraction of crustal material. Some 110 valid samples were collected during the sampling period, and there were 45, 30, 16, 11 and 8 days of C, S, M, HP and SP PM$_{2.5}$ pollution, respectively. Eight heavy pollution days were identified as 18–20 November 2016 and 2, 4–6, and 18 January 2017.
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**Figure 2.** Time series of PM$_{2.5}$ and main chemical composition.

**Table 1.** Average concentrations and chemical compositions of PM$_{2.5}$ during the sampling period.

| PM$_{2.5}$ Components | Average µg m$^{-3}$ | SD± | Median µg m$^{-3}$ | PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ |
|----------------------|--------------------|-----|-------------------|---------------------|
| mass                 | 107.1              | 75.4| 86.8              | 0.6                 |
| MD$^b$               | 8.5                | 5.2 | 7                 | 0.2                 |
| TE$^b$               | 1.1                | 0.7 | 1                 | 0.5                 |
| OM$^b$               | 19                 | 11.4| 15.8              | 0.8                 |
| EC                   | 4.9                | 3.4 | 4                 | 0.7                 |
| SO$_4^{2-}$           | 19                 | 21.2| 12.4              | 0.8                 |
| NO$_3^-$             | 21.9               | 15.6| 17.8              | 0.8                 |
| NH$_4^+$             | 11.6               | 10.7| 8.8               | 0.8                 |
| Cl$^-$               | 4.1                | 3   | 3.3               | 0.8                 |

a: Standard deviation. b: MD represents mineral dust; TE represents trace elements; OM represents organic matter.

Figure 3 displays a PM$_{2.5}$ composition spectrum characteristic diagram. NO$_3^-$ (21.9 µg m$^{-3}$), SO$_4^{2-}$ (19.0 µg m$^{-3}$), OC (13.6 µg m$^{-3}$) and NH$_4^+$ (11.6 µg m$^{-3}$) were the four dominating components
and comprised nearly 60% of the total mass. In addition, a correlation analysis between PM$_{2.5}$, gaseous pollutants and meteorological parameters is shown in Table S1. PM$_{2.5}$ exhibited good positive relationships with CO, SO$_2$, NO$_2$ and RH, but not O$_3$, which is consistent with previous results [8,27].

The mass closures of PM$_{2.5}$ are presented in Figure 4. According to the results of PM$_{2.5}$ reconstruction, NO$_3^-$, OM and SO$_4^{2-}$ were the three main components in the constructed PM$_{2.5}$ and, on average, accounted for 19.9%, 19.1% and 15.7% of the total PM$_{2.5}$, respectively. There were almost the same proportions of MD and NH$_4^+$, at 10.2% and 9.9%, respectively. The small fraction of MD is consistent with the inferred result that there was a high percentage of PM$_{2.5}$. The proportion of EC (5.0%) in PM$_{2.5}$ was slightly greater than that of Cl$^-$ (4.1%). Other unanalyzed substances, such as water, or experimental analysis errors [26], accounted for 14.8% of the PM$_{2.5}$.

![Figure 3](image-url)  
**Figure 3.** Average concentrations of chemical components in PM$_{2.5}$ (data shown in blue are the primary component >1.0 μg m$^{-3}$, while data shown in red are <1.0 μg m$^{-3}$).

![Figure 4](image-url)  
**Figure 4.** Average chemical reconstruction of PM$_{2.5}$ during the sampling period.

### 3.2. Chemical Compositions of Different Pollution Levels

The mass concentrations of OM, EC, MD, TE, SO$_4^{2-}$, NO$_3^-$, NH$_4^+$ and Cl$^-$ increased with increasing concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$; however, their proportions varied. Figure 5 lists the average
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**Figure 5.** Average chemical compositions of PM$_{2.5}$ during the sampling period.
percentages of the main constituents in PM$_{2.5}$ at different pollution levels. Differences from the C-level to SP-level are noticeable, especially for OM, SO$_4^{2-}$ and NO$_3^{-}$. As shown in Figure 5, the average proportion of OM declined consistently as the pollution level increased. This decrease in OM from the C to HP level in Jinan in winter is the same at that observed in Beijing [28,29], indicating that there is a decreasing contribution of carbonaceous matter with increasingly severe pollution levels. The average percentages of NO$_3^{-}$ in the five pollution categories (from lowest to highest) were 16.9%, 21.8%, 22.9%, 24.8% and 15.9%. The peak value coincides with HP level pollution, which suggests an inverted V-shaped trend in the dataset. Figure S1 emphasizes the changes in the proportions of SO$_4^{2-}$ and NO$_3^{-}$ over the whole sampling period. Figure S1b also shows an inverted V-shaped curve. The potential origin of this unusual relationship is that higher PM$_{2.5}$ levels suppress the NO$_3^{-}$ formation rate. A detailed discussion can be seen in Section 4.2. The average proportions of SO$_4^{2-}$ and NH$_4^{+}$ increased relative to that of NO$_3^{-}$. From the HP to SP pollution levels, the percentage of SO$_4^{2-}$ increased from 18.6% to 24.6%, or about 6.0%. The NH$_4^{+}$ proportion showed a small increase with pollution level, while that of Cl$^-$ remained approximately constant. Other proportion had small increases up to the HP level and more obvious increases from HP to SP. These may be attributed to measurement errors, improper multiplier(s), missing source(s) and particle-bound water [26]. PM$_{2.5}$ pollution becomes more hygroscopic, with abundant inorganic water-soluble ions, as RH increases [30]. Particle-bound water in PM$_{2.5}$ maybe the “other” species that caused a significant increase in its concentration at high PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations. All these data indicate that the formation of heavy haze is mainly promoted by secondary inorganic species, especially SO$_4^{2-}$.

The most obvious chemical composition characteristic in PM$_{2.5}$ was that the concentration and mass percentage of SO$_4^{2-}$ increased significantly from the HP to SP level and far exceeded those of NO$_3^{-}$. Hence, SO$_4^{2-}$ became the greatest constituent during the serious pollution event. This result indicates that the regulation and reduction of SO$_4^{2-}$ gas precursors during heavy pollution events are extremely important.

Although eight serious PM$_{2.5}$ pollution days were observed, all the data show that sulfate contributed most to severe PM$_{2.5}$ pollution. The molar ratio of ammonium to sulfate was used to infer their existent forms in the particles. As shown in Table S2, the concentration of NH$_4^{+}$ was strongly
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correlated with those of SO$_4^{2-}$ and NO$_3^-$, with Spearman correlation coefficients of 0.94 and 0.90, respectively. Therefore, NH$_4^+$ neutralized SO$_4^{2-}$ first. In the atmosphere, if NH$_4^+$ and SO$_4^{2-}$ are combined into (NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$, the molecular ratio of NH$_4^+$ and SO$_4^{2-}$ would be 2:1. If only NH$_4$HSO$_4$ was formed, the molecular ratio would be 1:1 [31]. In this study, the average molecular concentration of $\rho$(NH$_4^+)/\rho$(SO$_4^{2-}$) was $3.5 \pm 1.0$, with values of 3.3, 3.8, 3.7, 3.3 and 2.8 for pollution levels C, S, M, HP and SP, respectively. The Spearman correlation coefficient of NH$_4^+$ and Cl$^-$ was 0.58, so NH$_4^+$ neutralized SO$_4^{2-}$ to form (NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$, while the remaining NH$_4^+$ combined with NO$_3^-$ and Cl$^-$ to generate NH$_4$NO$_3$ and NH$_4$Cl.

4. Discussion

4.1. Meteorological Conditions

Wind speed (WS) and relative humidity (RH) are the most important meteorological factors influencing the mass concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$, as demonstrated in previous studies [10,11]. In this study, RH and WS had great impacts on PM$_{2.5}$, which is consistent with the study of Tian [11]. PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations (Figure 6a) showed a decreasing dependence on WS but increasing dependence on RH (Figure 6b). As shown in Figure 6, WS was an influential factor and had a negative relationship with PM$_{2.5}$ concentration, with a Spearman correlation coefficient ($\rho$) of 0.2. Compared with WS, RH had a greater impact on PM$_{2.5}$ concentration and was positively correlated with it ($\rho = 0.6$).

![Figure 6](image-url)

Figure 6. Relationships between PM$_{2.5}$ concentration and wind speed (WS, a) and relative humidity (RH, b) (note: ** indicates $\rho < 0.01$).

Figure 7 shows the relationships between RH and the proportions of SO$_4^{2-}$ and NO$_3^-$ in PM$_{2.5}$. The ratio of SO$_4^{2-}$ obviously increased with increasing RH in PM$_{2.5}$, with $\rho = 0.7$. As shown in Figure 7b, the low coefficient values seem to indicate that RH is slightly positively related to nitrate. Aqueous reactions may make a more significant contribution to the formation of sulfate than of nitrate with increasing PM$_{2.5}$ concentration. Hence, high RH was more favorable for the formation of sulfate. In this study, Figure 7 demonstrates that hygroscopic secondary inorganic ions significantly increased with increasing RH during winter, which is consistent with previous studies [10,32].
Sulfate and nitrate mainly originate from the conversion of SO\(_2\) and NO\(_2\) gaseous precursors [33]. The sulfate oxidation rate (SOR) and nitrate oxidation rate (NOR) were used to evaluate the degrees of SO\(_2\) and NO\(_2\) conversion in the atmosphere. The larger the SOR and NOR values, the more SO\(_2\) and NO\(_2\) are converted to sulfate and nitrate. A value of SOR > 0.1 is often used to indicate the presence of secondary conversion [34,35]. SOR and NOR were calculated based on the following formulas: SOR = \(n - \text{SO}_2^2\)/(\(n - \text{SO}_2^2\) + \(n - \text{SO}_2\)) and NOR = \(n - \text{NO}_3^-\)/(\(n - \text{NO}_3^-\) + \(n - \text{NO}_2\)), where \(n - \text{SO}_2^2\), \(n - \text{SO}_2\), \(n - \text{NO}_3^-\), and \(n - \text{NO}_2\) represent the molecular concentrations of sulfate, sulfur dioxide, nitrate and nitrogen dioxide, respectively.

Figure 8 presents the relationships between the daily average values of SOR, NOR, RH and \(O_3\) in PM\(_{2.5}\). SOR and NOR demonstrate opposite trends with RH and \(O_3\). The concentration of \(O_3\) decreased with increasing RH, indicating weak photochemical reactivity. At RH < 40%, there was a lesser influence of SOR, but SOR increased rapidly from <0.2 up to nearly 0.5 at RH > 60% in PM\(_{2.5}\). At the same time, we quantified the molecular ratio of SO\(_2^2\) to SO\(_2\), which reflects sulfur partitioning between the particle and gas phases in PM\(_{2.5}\). This had the same trend as that of SOR to RH, as shown in Figure S2. Similar SO\(_2^2\) evolution has been observed in Xi’an and Beijing [36]. Figure 8b shows that \(O_3\) contributed more to NOR than RH in PM\(_{2.5}\), as the trend in \(O_3\) was consistent with that of NOR at RH < 60%.
Meteorological conditions were recorded by the EMS during the sampling period. The average ozone (O$_3$) concentrations at the EMS were 40.4, 45.5, 29.6, 29.0 and 7.7 μg m$^{-3}$ for pollution levels of C to SP, while RH levels were 40.1%, 47.4%, 59.3%, 65.1% and 81.5%, respectively. The average SOR values from the C to SP pollution levels were 0.09, 0.13, 0.20, 0.24 and 0.33, while those of NOR were 0.11, 0.21, 0.24, 0.30 and 0.27, respectively. We can see that the formation rate of NO$_3^-$ was faster than that of SO$_4^{2-}$ from the C-level to HP-level; however, differences occurred at the SP-level. With decreases in O$_3$ concentration accompanied by increases in RH, the NO$_3^-$ formation rate was slower than that of SO$_4^{2-}$ at the SP-level. SO$_4^{2-}$ can be formed through oxidation of SO$_2$ by hydroxyl radicals in a gas phase reaction or by oxidants (e.g. H$_2$O$_2$, O$_3$) in an aqueous phase reaction [37]. Nitrate is predominantly formed by the gas-phase reaction of NO$_2$ and OH radicals and by heterogeneous reactions of nitrate radicals (NO$_3$) [38]. With increases in pollution level, photochemical reactions in the gas phase are suppressed [10]. RH plays an important role in SO$_2$ conversion, which is consistent with the relationship between SO$_4^{2-}$ and RH (Figure 7a and Figure S2). It is further suggested that this part of SO$_4^{2-}$ increases due to aqueous phase secondary formation at the SP-level. However, the oxidation of NO$_2$ was weakened. Concentrations of NO$_2$ and SO$_2$ increases by 30% and 50% respectively from the HP to SP level. Figure 5 shows that nitrate and sulfate concentrations increased by 13% and 131% from the HP to SP level, respectively. NO$_2$ is not only a precursor of nitrate but is also an important oxidant in sulfate formation during severe pollution days [39]. This can also explain the trends in nitrate and sulfate concentrations from the HP to SP level. Thus, the dual functions of RH and NO$_2$ accelerate the formation of sulfate during SP-level pollution.

4.3. Regional Transport

In order to study the impact of regional transport on Jinan (36°39′47″ N, 117°3′18″ E) at the different pollution levels, 24 h backward trajectories from 15 November 2016 to 15 March 2017 were calculated. An altitude of 100 m AGL was set as the average flow field of the atmospheric boundary layer of the study area and start times of 00:00, 06:00, 12:00 and 18:00 UTC each day were used. The backward trajectory clusters were calculated by the TrajStat model, which is a plugin in MeteoInfo [40], and 472 effective trajectories of the simulation were clustered. There were 286 “polluted trajectories” during days where the PM$_{2.5}$ concentration was >75 μg m$^{-3}$. Four main transmission paths were obtained. The hourly PM$_{2.5}$ concentration data were imported into the TrajStat model obtained from the Municipal Environmental Monitoring Centre.

As shown in Figure 9 and Table S3, cluster 1, accounting for the largest trajectories (27.1%), came from the southwestern Shandong Province, Jining, Zaozhuang and Xuzhou, and the transmission distance was relatively short. The average mass concentration of PM$_{2.5}$ in cluster 1 was 114.4 μg m$^{-3}$, of which the number of PM$_{2.5}$ pollution trajectories accounted for 36.4% of the 286 polluted trajectories. Cluster 2 came from the northeastern part of Beijing, Chengde and Tianjin to Jinan. The transmission distance was the longest and the air mass movement was the fastest. The average PM$_{2.5}$ concentration was 63.4 μg m$^{-3}$. It was the least polluted trajectory of the four clusters, only accounting for 10.8% of the particulate pollution during this investigation. Cluster 3 came from the eastern coastal (Bohai) direction, reaching Jinan via Dongying and Zibo, and accounted for 26.9% of the total number of trajectories. Dongying and Zibo are highly industrialized with abundant petroleum resources and ceramic industries. The average PM$_{2.5}$ concentration reached a maximum level of 148.5 μg m$^{-3}$, which was the result of enriched emissions of primary pollutants in cluster 3. The PM$_{2.5}$-polluted trajectories accounted for 32.9% of the total polluted trajectories. Cluster 3 was the most polluted trajectory of the four types of air mass. In addition, the RH was high in this air mass because it came from Bohai, which may have further facilitated secondary conversion. Cluster 4 came from Liaocheng and the average PM$_{2.5}$ mass concentration was 126.0 μg m$^{-3}$, accounting for 19.7% of the total number of trajectories. The PM$_{2.5}$-pollution trajectories accounted for 19.9% of the total number of polluted trajectories.
Winter air pollution in Jinan is likely to mostly come from these surrounding areas, given their high-emission intensities. Jinan is located in the central part of Shandong Province between 36°01′ and 37°32′ N latitude and 116°11′ to 117°44′ E longitude, which is about 420 km from Beijing. Jinan and its surrounding cities can influence each other. Previous studies have shown that Shandong Province is the most important contributor to particulate matter pollution in Tianjin [41]. In April 2017, China implemented the National Research Program for Key Issues in Air Pollution Control, China. The main causes of heavy pollution in the BTH region and surrounding areas have been determined to be local accumulation, regional transport and secondary formation [42]. This heavy pollution episode in Jinan is a comprehensive result of multiple causes rather than a single one. Hence, to effectively reduce pollution, regional joint prevention is necessary and inevitable.

5. Conclusions

The chemical characteristics and formation of five different PM$_{2.5}$ pollution levels were investigated in winter, from 15 November 2016 to 15 March 2017, in Jinan, along with local meteorological parameters. Sulfate was observed to have a dominant role in severe PM$_{2.5}$ pollution. Daily PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations varied from 16.5 to 413.6 µg m$^{-3}$ with a mean ± SD of 107.1 ± 75.4 µg m$^{-3}$. The three main components in PM$_{2.5}$ pollution were NO$_3^−$, OM and SO$_4^{2−}$ which, on average, accounted for 19.9%, 19.1% and 15.7% of the total PM$_{2.5}$, respectively. The fraction of OM decreased (from 21.2% to 14.6%) and the proportion of SO$_4^{2−}$ increased (from 13.6% to 24.6%) as the pollution level increased from the C-level to SP-level, while that of NO$_3^−$ had an inverted V-shaped relationship with pollution level and peaked with HP-level pollution (NO$_3^−$ proportions = 16.9%, 21.8%, 22.9%, 24.8% and 15.9% with increasing pollution levels, respectively). The most obvious PM$_{2.5}$ characteristic was that the concentration and mass percentage of SO$_4^{2−}$ increased significantly from the HP to SP level and far exceeded those of NO$_3^−$, thereby becoming the largest constituent of SP-level PM$_{2.5}$ pollution.

The RH increased according to PM$_{2.5}$ pollution level, which is favorable for the formation of inorganic species. As the RH increased, so did the proportion of sulfate in PM$_{2.5}$. The proportion of NO$_3^−$ appeared to have a minor increasement as RH increases. SOR and NOR demonstrated opposite variations with RH and O$_3$. The linear relationship between SOR and RH was similar to that between SO$_4^{2−}$ and RH in PM$_{2.5}$. The variation in NOR was the same as that of nitrate. At the HP to SP level, RH was more favorable to sulfate conversion than to nitrate. RH increased with decreases in O$_3$, which is more favorable for the aqueous phase formation of sulfate. At the same time, NO$_2$, an important oxidant, was favorable to sulfate formation, leading to faster sulfate production and worsening air quality.
pollution in a repeating cycle. Therefore, according to current emergency response measures, it is necessary to further reduce the emissions of SO$_2$ and NO$_2$.

Finally, 24 h air mass backward trajectories were determined to study the impact of regional transport on Jinan at different pollution levels. Four clusters were obtained, indicating that 26.3% of the air mass came from the north and was relatively clean. The other three clusters, which were the main transmission paths affecting air quality in Jinan, came either from a coastal area or highly industrialized cities. They accounted for 73.7% of the total air trajectories and had slow air mass movement.

In general, RH corresponds with higher PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations and more gaseous pollutants, leading to faster sulfate production and more severe haze pollution in Jinan in winter. Meanwhile, pollution in Jinan is also affected by regional transport, especially from other cities in Shandong Province. The Chinese government has begun to undertake regional collaboration to effectively control pollution emissions in the BTH and surrounding cities. As an important city in the BTH pollution transmission channel, Jinan should start reducing its emissions.
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