Glaucony authigenesis, maturity and alteration in the Weddell Sea: An indicator of paleoenvironmental conditions before the onset of Antarctic glaciation
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Three types of glaucony grains were identified in the late Eocene (~35.5–34.1 Ma) sediments from Ocean Drilling Program (ODP) Hole 696B in the northwestern Weddell Sea (Antarctica). The grains are K2O-rich (~7 wt%) and formed by smectite-poor interstratified ~10 Å glauconite-smectite with flaky/rosette-shaped surface nanostructures. Two glaucony types reflect an evolved (types 1 and 2 glaucony; less mature to mature) stage and long term glauconitization, attesting to the glaucony grains being formed in situ, whereas the third type (type 3 glaucony) shows evidences of alteration and reworking from nearby areas. Conditions for the glaucony authigenesis occurred in an open-shelf environment deeper than 50 m, under sub-oxic conditions near the sediment-water interface. These environmental conditions were triggered by low sedimentation rates and recurrent winnowing action by bottom-currents, leading to stratigraphic condensation. The condensed glaucony-bearing section provides an overview of continuous sea-level rise conditions pre-dating the onset of Antarctic glaciation during the Eocene-Oligocene transition. Sediment burial, drop of O2 levels, and ongoing reducing (postoxic to sulphidic) conditions at Hole 696B, resulting in iron-sulphide precipitation, were a key limiting factor for the glauconitization by sequestration of Fe2+.

Glauconite is the iron-potassium hydrous phyllosilicate mineral typical of the glaucony green marine clay facies, which also includes Fe-rich, 2:1 dioctahedral specimens with expandable layers of randomly interstratified glauconite-smectite. The term glaucony was defined first by Odin and Létolle for marine green sediments formed by mixed layer glauconite-smectite minerals, which differ from the nonexpanding end-member glauconitic mica (i.e. the true glauconite mineral). Glaucony is commonly reported in low-latitude, shallow-marine settings at water depths <500 m, temperatures below 15 °C, and under sub-oxic, partially reducing conditions. It is typically associated with low sedimentation rates and condensed intervals. However, glaucony has also been reported in deep-sea (>2000 m), low-temperature (3–6 °C) environments, and in very shallow marine, estuarine and paleosol settings. Most of the aforementioned settings share several post-depositional physicochemical conditions: (1) low accumulation rates of detrital sediment, (2) long residence times (103–106 years for ancient records, and alternatively <104 years for recent records) of the detrital grains near to the sediment-water interface, (3) granular substratum (siliciclastic or carbonatic; including the muddy filling inside the cavities of carbonate bioclasts) with high permeability and porosity, (4) redox potential (Eh) ~0 mV, (5) seawater pH 7–8, and (6) organic matter-rich, semiconfined micro-environments. In summary, glaucony is a sensitive proxy of low sedimentation rates in the marine realm and constitutes a powerful tool for sedimentological and sequence stratigraphic interpretations, due to its association with well-defined trends of sea-level change.
Glaucony authigenesis in Antarctica has received little attention to date when compared with other mid- to low-latitude Cenozoic to present glaucony records. Cenozoic glaucony-bearing facies have been widely reported in Antarctica and the Southern Ocean (e.g. Tasman Region; Falkland Plateau; Seymour Island; South Orkney Microcontinent; Great Australian Bight; Otway Basin; Supplementary Fig. S1). In spite of all these reported occurrences, the genesis, depositional setting control and paleoenvironmental implications of glaucony in these Antarctic regions are loosely constrained. This information, however, is important because the occurrence of glaucony around the Antarctic margin has been noted in late Eocene sedimentary sequences. Antarctic glaucony deposits thus provide an opportunity to study the poorly-understood paleoenvironmental conditions prior to one of the major climatic transitions in the Cenozoic, the Eocene-Oligocene transition (EOT, ~34–33.6 Ma).

The EOT is marked by a rapid increase in benthic foraminifer $\delta^{18}O$ values involving cooling and the growth of a continent-wide Antarctic ice sheet by the earliest Oligocene (oxygen isotope event Oi-1, ~33.6 Ma). Previous work suggests that the initial deepening of the seaway at the EOT led to the isolation of the SOM from the Antarctic Peninsula. Based on geophysical studies of the region, the western and southern-to-southeastern margins of the SOM result from continental rifting and subsequent opening of Powell and Jane basins during the Cenozoic (Fig. 1). Jane basin is a backarc basin related to subduction of the Weddell oceanic lithosphere below the south and southeastern margins of the SOM. Furthermore, hydrothermal circulation associated with the Powell Ridge spreading axis has been reported. Whilst the geodynamic setting of the SOM is fairly well constrained (for details see Supplementary Information), the timing of events and the paleoenvironmental conditions throughout the Cenozoic are poorly understood.

In this contribution we describe, for the first time in the Southern Ocean, a significant Cenozoic (late Eocene) glauconitization event in the vicinity of the SOM shelf (Site 696) therefore predating the EOT (late Eocene ~35.5–34.1 Ma), based on the existing age model (Supplementary Information and Supplementary Fig. S2B). Material and Methods

The ODP Leg 113, Hole 696B (latitude: 61°50.959'S, longitude: 42°55.996'W) was drilled on the SOM in the northwestern Weddell Sea, at 650 m water depth (Fig. 1 and Supplementary Fig. S2A). The recovered sedimentary column (645.6–0 mbsf) consists of three main sediment types (terrigenous, diatomaceous and hemipelagic) spanning the late Eocene to the Quaternary (see Supplementary Information; Supplementary Fig. S2B). Based on dinocyst stratigraphy, Houben et al. determined the depth of sediments recording the EOT and the Oi-1 event from 571.5 mbsf to 569.1 mbsf.

This study focuses on sediments containing high amounts of glaucony grains recovered between 606.9–569.7 mbsf (Subunit VII in Barker et al., Supplementary Information and Supplementary Fig. S2B). Glaucony-bearing samples were selected from different stratigraphic positions throughout the poorly investigated glauconitic packstone facies (Cores S7R-56R; Supplementary Fig. S2C), in order to study potential textural and compositional differences between the glaucony grains. Six thin sections, about 30 μm thick, were prepared by epoxy impregnation in order to fill the pores and consolidate the poorly lithified glauconitic packstone samples.
selected from cores (Supplementary Fig. S2C). In addition, glaucony grains were extracted from the whole rock core samples after sieving fractions of 125–250 μm and 250–500 μm. The coarse sediment fractions were later separated by universal electromagnetic separator UMC-1. Purified glaucony grains were further separated from diagenetic complex growths and aggregates along with other sediment grains under the binocular microscope.

Isolated glaucony grains were studied first under binocular microscope for morphological characterization (see Supplementary Fig. S4B,C). In addition, the textures of the glaucony-bearing packstone facies and of the isolated glaucony grains were examined under petrographic and scanning- and transmission-electron microscope (Fig. 2; Supplementary Figs S3D, S4, S5, S6A). During the petrographic study, several photomicrographs of the glaucony-bearing facies were taken with an OLYMPUS DP20 camera connected to a petrographic OLYMPUS BX60 microscope and captured with the OLYMPUS DP image-management software (Instituto Andaluz de Ciencias de la Tierra, CSIC-UGR). Back-scattered electron (BSE) and secondary electron (SE) images of the glaucony-bearing facies and isolated glaucony grains were obtained with an environmental scanning electron microscope (ESEM) FEI Quanta 400 (CIC, University of Granada). High-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) photomicrographs were obtained with a Titan instrument with XFEG emission gun, spherical aberration corrector and HAADF detector, working at 300 kV, with a resolution of 0.8 Å in the HRTEM mode and 2 Å in the scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) mode (CIC, University of Granada). Selected-area electron diffraction (SAED) patterns were acquired for glaucony and other authigenic mineral packets with the same instrument.

X-ray-diffraction (XRD) diagrams from powder glaucony grain concentrates (reduced in size with an agate mortar) and oriented aggregates of the <2 μm fraction treated with ethylene glycol, were recorded using a PANalytical X’Pert Pro diffractometer (CuKα radiation, 45 kV, 40 mA) equipped with an X’Celerator solid-state linear detector (University of Granada). Data were collected for 10 s per step (20 steps). In the case of the synthesized powder glaucony grains, the identification of phases and the measurement of intensities of each individual peak were carried out with the help of decomposition routines included in the HighScore software. Qualitative elemental analyses were also obtained through the aforementioned ESEM FEI Quanta 400 (CIC, University of Granada), equipped with an energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy system (EDX).

Electron probe microanalysis (EPMA) of the main glaucony-forming elements was carried out with a Cameca SX100 automated electron microprobe (CIC, University of Granada) in the wavelength dispersive mode under the following conditions: acceleration voltage 15 kV, probe current 15 nA, and an electron beam diameter 5 μm allowing point-by-point element determination. In addition, elemental XR images were obtained with the same Cameca SX100 instrument operated at 20 kV and 205 nA beam current, with step (pixel) size of 2 μm and counting time of 45 ms. The images were processed with Imager software (R. L. Torres-Roldán & A. García-Casco, unpublished) and consist of the XR signals of Kα lines of the elements (colour-coded; expressed in counts) and with voids, polish defects, and all other mineral phases masked out, overlain onto a grey-scale base-layer calculated with the expression $\sum_i [[\text{counts/nA per s} \cdot A_i]], \text{(where } A \text{ is atomic number, and } i \text{ is Si, Ti, Al, Fe, Mn, Mg, Ca, Ba, Na, K, P, S and O}])$, which contains the basic textural information of the scanned areas.

The same powder used for the XRD analyses was also used for the spectrometric study of color. The coexistence of both Fe$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{3+}$ in the structure of silicate minerals is responsible for the occurrence of light absorption that affects their colour$^{29}$. Diffuse reflectance spectra in the ultraviolet – visible – near infrared (UV–VIS–NIR) were recorded from powder samples at room temperature on a Varian Cary 5E UV–VIS–NIR spectrophotometer (200–2000 nm) (CIC, University of Granada).

**Results**

Green-clay authigenesis within the late Eocene glauconitic packstone facies at ODP Hole 696B (Supplementary Figs S2B–C, S3A–E, S4A) has occurred mainly by the transformation of pellets (glauconitized grains of 125–500 μm; Fig. 2A,B; Supplementary Figs S4, S5) set in sandy to silty smectite-rich, mixed terrigenous-carbonatic sediments (Supplementary Fig. S3A–E). Besides siliceous biogenous and authigenic components, the major mineral components found into clay size fractions are quartz, alkali feldspar and clay minerals (Supplementary Fig. S3B). Previous studies$^{17,30}$ identified and quantified these clay minerals as smectite (common to exclusive: >70%), illite (rare to abundant: <70%) and chlorite (rare to common: ~10%). In addition, we observe very rare dark green, oriented microcrystalline glauconitized mineral (mica)-grains with sub-angular morphology, although cerebroid grains and grain fragments similar to type 2 glaucony are also common. These grains are frequently cracked, show smooth, uniform internal structure in BSE images (Fig. 2D; Supplementary Fig. S5E) and by plain-polarized light images (Supplementary Fig. S5A–C).

Other authigenic minerals present in the matrix between glaucony grains include the following, in addition to zeolite already described by Barker et al.$^{17}$: (a) pyrite as framoidal aggregates and crystalline replacements of...
Figure 2. Glauconitic packstone facies. (A,B) Plain-polarized light (PPL) photomicrographs of glaucony grains of types 1, 2 and 3. (C) PPL photomicrograph of a glauconitized mineral (mica)-grain (yellow arrow). (D) SEM photomicrograph (BSE) showing the spotty flaky texture in section of a type 1 glaucony grain (white arrow) and the smooth interior of type 3 glaucony (yellow arrow). Note cracks and poorly altered rims associated with type 3 glaucony. (E,F) SEM photomicrographs (SE) showing the nanostructure of the surface of type 1 glaucony, with probable bacterial threads (ill-defined globules/caterpillar structures; yellow arrow) and coccolid-like forms (white arrows). (G) Energy-dispersive X-ray (EDX) analysis of type 1 glaucony. (H) SEM photomicrographs (SE) showing the development of type 1 glaucony nanostructure from ill-defined globules/caterpillar to low packing size/density lamellar-flaky. (I) SEM photomicrograph (SE) showing type 2 glaucony nanostructure with well-developed evolved flaky honeycombed-shaped structures. (J) HRTEM image showing well-defined ~10Å lattice fringes of glauconite crystal and related interstratified smectitic area.

Figure 3. (A) Reflected light microscope (RLM) photomicrograph of pyrite frambooids (yellow arrow) and pyritized formerly siliceous skeletons (white arrows). (B) SEM photomicrograph (SE) displaying silica lepispheres (opal-CT; yellow arrows) on the surface of type 2 glaucony. (C) High-resolution transmission electron microscope (HRTEM) photomicrograph of glaucony flakes (white arrows) and respective selected-area electron diffraction (SAED) pattern displaying intense [001] reflections centered near 10 Å, and of low cristobalite (opal-C; yellow arrow). (D) Silica mineral phases under HRTEM, with their respective SAED patterns: (1) opal-A, characterized by no diffracted intensities (i.e. amorphous silica); (2) opal-C, characterized by sharp, intense (101) reflections centered ~4.0 Å; and (3) microcrystalline quartz, depicted by (101) reflections centered near 3.3 Å. Scale bars (SAED patterns): 2 Å/nm.
siliceous bioclasts (Fig. 3A; Supplementary Fig. S5G,H), and (b) silica minerals as amorphous silica (opal-A), lepispheres of opal-CT, low cristobalite (opal-C), and microcrystalline quartz (Fig. 3B–D). The occurrence of silica minerals is rarely observed in our SEM analysis, except for rare silica lepispheres found outside of glaucony grains (Fig. 3B). Silica particles were detected mainly by HRTEM analysis including electron diffraction (Fig. 3C,D).

XRD air-dried spectrums of paramagnetic fraction rich in glaucony grains display a slightly higher-spacing reflection than expected for pure glauconite (~10.8 Å) according to the higher-order \(d_{00l}\) basal peaks (e.g. \(003\); Fig. 4A). After ethylene-glycol treatment, this first basal reflection splits into various peaks, of which the highest intensity at ~9.8 Å spacing with a tale toward lower angles corresponds to a glauconite-smectite mixed layer \(34\) (Fig. 4A,B). XRD data also indicates a significant secondary discrete smectite content, as evidenced by \(d_{001}\) peak splitting at ~17 Å after glicolation (Fig. 4A,B).

UV–Vis–NIR color spectroscopy of glaucony grains displays gradually decreasing levels of absorbance of both Fe\(^{2+}\)–Fe\(^{3+}\) charge transfer and Fe\(^{2+}\) dd absorption bands (at 750 and 1125 nm respectively; Fig. 4C). After ethylene-glycol treatment, this first basal reflection splits into various peaks, of which the highest intensity at ~9.8 Å spacing with a tale toward lower angles corresponds to a glauconite-smectite mixed layer \(34\) (Fig. 4A,B). XRD data also indicates a significant secondary discrete smectite content, as evidenced by \(d_{001}\) peak splitting at ~17 Å after glicolation (Fig. 4A,B).

According to mineral chemistry analysis, type 2 displays slightly higher values in K\(_2\)O, Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) (total Fe), and Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) than type 1 (Table 1 and point-by-point analyses in Supplementary Table S1). Rims of type 3 grains show the lowest content of K\(_2\)O and Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\), and the highest in Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) content compared to types 1 and 2 (Table 1 and point-by-point analyses in Supplementary Table S2). However, the values in the cores of type 3 grains range between those of the rims in the same grains and of the grains of types 1 and 2 values. The X-ray maps of K, Fe and Al were constructed to show the changes in compositions between green cores and brownish areas (in the rims and cracks) (Fig. 5A; Supplementary Fig. S6 and Table S2). Brownish rims/cracks of type 3 glaucony were revealed as a Fe-bearing dioctahedral smectite (nontronite) phase according to their textural, mineral and chemical characteristics. Furthermore, HRTEM images at the core-rim contact of type 3 glaucony display significant differences of composition (Fig. 6), which is compatible with the coexistence at the nanoscale of both glaucony and nontronite.

**Table 1.** Electron probe microanalysis (EPMA) of the glaucony types displaying the average K\(_2\)O, Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\)\(^*\) (total) and Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) values (wt %). \(^*\)Total Fe expressed as Fe\(^{3+}\). Min-max values in parentheses. For complete information of the point-by-point EPMA microanalysis see Supplementary Tables S1 and S2.

| Types (wt %) | 1 | 2 | 3 |
|-------------|---|---|---|
|             | cores | rims | cores | rims |
| K\(_2\)O     | 6.96 (6.41–7.55) | 7.28 (6.58–7.86) | 5.80 (5.24–6.39) | 5.07 (4.33–5.25) |
| Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\)* | 27.02 (24.31–28.08) | 27.45 (26.33–29.69) | 23.62 (21.40–26.57) | 20.56 (17.85–23.43) |
| Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) | 2.91 (2.33–3.45) | 3.39 (2.75–4.68) | 5.23 (2.95–5.98) | 4.80 (4.08–6.88) |

**Figure 4.** (A) Powder X-ray diagrams of air-dried and ethylene-glycol treated late Eocene glaucony concentrates, with indication of d value. Note strong reflection at ~9.8 Å of smectite-poor interstratified glauconite-smectite (green arrow), and the ~17 Å peak of a secondary smectite (red arrow). (B) Deconvoluted (001) peak at ~10 Å of ethylene-glycol-treated glaucony. Smectite-poor interstratified glauconite-smectite (green arrow), and secondary smectite (red arrow) are also indicated. Note that deconvoluted green and yellow peaks (reflections at 11.6 Å and 9.8 Å, respectively) correspond to R3 mica(0.9)/smectite (see for comparison Fig. 8.7 of Moore and Reynolds\(^{33}\)). (C) UV–VIS–NIR spectra of glaucony grains from core samples 56R and 57R.
Discussion

Paleoenvironmental implications of glaucony maturity. The glauconitization process has been divided into four evolutionary stages according to specific morpho-textural, mineralogical and geochemical characteristics of glaucony grains: (1) nascent, (2) slightly evolved, (3) evolved, and (4) highly evolved. Late Eocene ODP Hole 696B types 1 and 2 glaucony are interpreted to be mature (evolved: ~7% K$_2$O; Table 1 and Fig. 7), smectite-poor interstratified ~10 Å glauconite-smectite (Figs 2 and 4A,B). The time required to produce an autochthonous evolved glaucony grain is considered to be higher than 10$^5$ years for ancient glaucony-bearing records. Whilst, Bornhold and Giresse estimated that recent glaucony off Vancouver Island, formed during the transgressive phase following the Last Glacial Maximum through the last 10$^3$–10$^4$ years. We interpret the moderately sorted, irregular-shaped types 1 and 2 glaucony grains at ODP Hole 696B to have been formed in situ (autochthonous sensu Amorosi), in low-energy environments. The composition and surface texture of the type 1 grains, which show less crystalline surface textures and preserve bacterial-like morphologies similar to those described in comparable glauconitized settings, indicate that they are less mature than type 2. Classic microfacies criteria allows to establish a relationship between the winnowing of fine-grained particles, sorting and rounding of grains and the intensity of hydrodynamic processes. According to their shape, sorting and proportion of grains and matrix (i.e. glauconitic packstone texture), types 1 and 2 glaucony grains may have been episodically winnowed and exposed at the sea bottom during a period of low sedimentation rate and stratigraphic condensation lasting for a few tens of thousands years (for details see Supplementary Information and Supplementary Fig. S7). When the glaucony is immature (nascent stage sensu Odin and Matter), any transport experienced by the soft, clayey pellets can result in their disaggregation. Evolved, cracked glaucony grains would be also vulnerable to mechanical breakdown into smaller, less regular fragments during physical transport or intense bioturbation. Neither grain disaggregation nor mechanical breakdown is observed in the studied sediments. The type

Figure 5. Chemical composition obtained by electron probe microanalysis (EPMA). (A) X-ray (Kα) mappings showing abundances of K, Fe and Al with voids, polish defects, and all other mineral phases masked out, overlain onto a grey-scale base-layer calculated with the expression $\sum (\text{counts}) \cdot A_i$, (where A is atomic number, and i is Si, Ti, Al, Fe, Mn, Mg, Ca, Ba, Na, K, P, S and O), which contains the basic textural information of the scanned areas. Color scales represent counts (cts) with warmer colors representing more intense X-ray signals. Altered rims (red arrows) have lower K and Fe contents, and higher Al content relative to cores (black arrows). (B) Triangular diagrams Al-K-Fe showing the chemical domains of glaucony and nontronite. First diagram to the left corresponds to the accumulated number of pixels obtained from X-ray (qualitative) maps presented in A. The second diagram to the right corresponds to single-spot quantitative EPMA analyses (a.p.f.u.). (C) HRTEM photomicrograph showing reversal of the glauconitization process. False color image (phase map) with colors corresponding to AEM compositions of: interstratified glauconite-smectite, (Si$_{3.35}$Al$_{0.29}$Fe$^{3+}_{0.01}$)$_4$(Al$_{0.34}$Mg$_{0.00}$Fe$^{3+}_{0.68}$)$_2$(K$_{0.77}$Ca$_{0.03}$)$_0.80$ (green color), and nontronite, (Si$_{3.73}$Al$_{0.27}$)$_4$(Al$_{0.30}$Mg$_{0.41}$Ti$_{0.03}$Fe$^{3+}_{1.30}$)$_2$(K$_{0.43}$Ca$_{0.03}$)$_0.46$ (red color).
2 cracked but non-fragmented glaucony therefore indicate that grains formed in situ and that low-energy conditions prevailed during glauconitization (Supplementary Figs S4C, S5C). This implies that glaucony at Hole 696B was preserved from burial for a long time, favouring increased maturity. The abundance of mature glaucony at Hole 696B is consistent with the formation of an autochthonous condensed section, as also described at several sites in Western Europe by Amorosi38.

The real nature of glauconitized substrates is often difficult to determine. Fecal pellets are the main substrate for glaucony formation in both recent and ancient sediments13. Recent fecal pellets are documented to be produced in shelf environments (<100 m water depth) by carbonate ingesting organisms including crustaceans and gastropods39,40, and contain vast quantities of undigested organic matter and clay- and silt-sized material40. Glauconitized pellets could be interpreted as fecal pellets in origin based on their specific morphology and internal texture41. Likewise, carbonate mud (micritic) aggregates packed into burrows or accumulated at or near the surface opening of burrows can be interpreted as fecal products of invertebrate organisms39. At ODP Hole 696B, rounded- and lobate-shaped glauconitized pellets (Supplementary Fig. S4B,C), frequently filling cavities left by the burrowing activity (e.g. pellet-lined burrow Ophiomorpha; Supplementary Fig. S3C), were observed. Furthermore, pellets which can be interpreted as fecal in origin (Supplementary Fig. S3G) were observed underlying the glauconitic section (Subunit VIID of Barker et al.17; organic-rich, sandy mudstone facies including diverse calcareous faunas; see Supplementary Fig. S3F). Therefore, macroscopic and microscopic evidences suggest that glauconitized pellets deposited at the SOM shelf are likely of fecal origin. Glauconization is thought to be concomitant with rising sea levels, as it favours the successive availability of shallower substrates to be glauconitized3.

In addition it allows for decreased terrigenous inputs preventing rapid burial that would inhibit the authigenic process. A similar evolutionary pattern is envisaged in the study area between the zone of production of pellets and the zone of major glauconitization. At Hole 696B, inner- to middle-shelf (20–100 m water depth) calcareous faunas (Subunit VIID of Barker et al.17; Supplementary Fig. S3F) underlie the glauconitic section, which attest to a very shallow marine environment prior major glauconitization. In addition, Owens and Sohl42 reported that Cretaceous-Tertiary low alumina glaucony from New Jersey-Maryland Coastal Plains characterizes the middle

Figure 6. HRTEM EDX maps of potassium. (A) and (B) High-angle annular dark field (HAADF) STEM image and corresponding EDX map showing the distribution of K in the core-rim boundary of type 3 glaucony. (C) Combined EDX map of K and HAADF image with corresponding EDX spectra of the interstratified glauconite-smectite (core) and Fe(III)-smectite (nontronite rim). The yellow, green and blue boxes indicate the position of EDX analysis.
to outer shelf environment with depths of >50 m. The low Al concentrations of types 1 and 2 glaucony at Hole 696B (Al$_2$O$_3$ < 10%; Table 1) thus suggest water depths greater than 50 m. Furthermore, the abundance, maturity and evolution of the substrates subject to glauconitization fit well with most of the described features in condensed glauconitic sections formed at the shelf-slope transition\(^3\). Therefore, a continuous sea-level rise must have occurred in order to shift the glauconitization zone over the pelleted substrate deposited in the vicinity of the SOM shelf. Hence, we infer that the sea-level rise involved an upward reduction in the supply of clastic/siliciclastic detritus during glauconitization (e.g., glaucony maturation), ultimately leading to the formation of a transgressive condensed sediment sequence (Supplementary Fig. S7).

Microscopic features and geochemistry of glaucony grains provide additional insights about the environmental conditions prevailing during its formation. Environmental quiescence and low sedimentation rates favoured the appropriate physico-chemical regime to prevail for the Fe(III)-smectite-to-glauconite reaction (glaucony maturation) to occur at ODP Hole 696B (Fig. 7). Thus, sub-oxic and moderately reducing bottom water conditions near the sediment-water interface induced shallow burial diagenesis on a glaucony-bearing bioturbated substrate (Supplementary Figs S3C,D; S4A). Furthermore, winnowing must have been active at the SOM shelf during glauconitization to stir slightly the mud-fraction and provide sub-oxygenation allowing bioturbation. Sub-oxic conditions may have favoured the rapid degradation of organic matter along with the partial dissolution of detrital clay minerals, Fe-(oxy)hydroxides, K-feldspar, bio-opal and carbonates, leading to neoformation of the Fe(III)-smectite precursor for glauconite (Fig. 7). The singular occurrence of mineralized spheroidal and tubular capsules (i.e. bacterial remains on type 1 glaucony; Fig. 2F and Supplementary Fig. S4D) suggests that microbial activity played some role in the formation of the Fe(III)-smectite precursor. Similar processes are reported in a modern setting of the West Pacific Ocean\(^{43}\). Microbial activity has also been reported in glauconite-bearing sediments formed in different geological palaeogeographic settings, such as are the Jurassic-Cretaceous condensed sections of Tethyan margins\(^{44,45}\) or the epicontinental Upper Jurassic Georgiev Formation of the Western Siberia Basin\(^{11,32,36}\). It seems likely that microbial oxidation of organic matter was critical in creating favourable redox conditions for iron fixation in the octahedral layer of nascent glauconitic structures (Fig. 7).

Figure 7. Model for glauconitization in the studied grains (following the ideas of Odin and Matter\(^31\); and Baldermann et al.\(^6\)). (1) Microbial oxidation of organic matter (~10–10$^3$ years). (2) Neoformation of Fe(III)-smectite precursor (~10$^3$–10$^4$ years). (3) Fe(III)-smectite to glauconite reaction (~10$^4$–10$^6$ years). Glaucony maturation involves the chemical change of Si, Al$^{VI}$, Mg, Ca and Na by Al$^{IV}$, Fe (Fe$^{2+}$) and K, from smectitic glauconite (nascent stage) to glauconitic mica (highly evolved stage). Microtextures also attest glaucony maturation from globules and caterpillar structures, maybe related in origin to authigenesis of clays associated with bacterial structures (cf. Eder et al.\(^11\); Zanin et al.\(^32\); Sánchez-Navas et al.\(^36\)) to the flaky honeycombed and rosette structures typical of green clay crystals.
The origin of the chemical elements necessary for glauconitization remains controversial. Normal seawater contains low concentrations of Fe, Al and Si, which do not provide favourable conditions for direct glauconite precipitation; in contrast, K concentrations are appreciable (~0.4 ppt). Under these conditions, seawater is unlikely to contribute significant Fe to glauconitization but is a viable source of K. In addition, Fe in the present-day Southern Ocean is the primary factor limiting productivity, as determined by mesoscale Fe-enrichment experiments. Therefore, continental supply related to physical weathering of terrigenous particles rich in Fe, K and Si is the most cited viable source for glauconitization in shallow marine sediments. The FeO (total Fe) content of autochthonous types 1 and 2 glaucony (>27 wt%; Table 1) is higher than most reported open shelf glaucony-bearing deposits. In modern oceans, high Fe-supply sources are likewise available in high-productivity outer shelf to slope upwelling zones, where glaucony often occurs in condensed horizons. Several pathways can supply iron to the Fe-limited Southern Ocean at the present day: estuarine and groundwater inputs, shelf/slope sediment resuspension, hydrothermalism, glacial runoff, sea-ice melt and atmospheric deposition. In the study area, we propose that the high Fe-enrichment of types 1 and 2 grains could be related to continent-derived Fe supply due to weathering of the adjacent South Orkney Islands (see location in Supplementary Fig. S2A). This enrichment can be either coetaneous to glauconitization at Hole 696B or be related to previous intense chemical weathering during Paleogene hyperthermals. Alternatively, other processes could lead to the high Fe-enrichment of types 1 and 2 glaucony, such as high productivity induced by regional upwelling, or hydrothermal venting related to the Powell Ridge spreading axis.
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most probably constitutes one type of intra-sequential grains that are altered (oxidized) from grains with similar characteristic to in situ type 2 glaucony. These altered grains may have been transported from nearby areas of the SOM shelf affected either by subaerial weathering or by hydrothermal vents and deposited next to in situ and non-altered grains of types 1 and 2. An alternative hypothesis could consider alteration of type 3 glaucony grains to have been synchronous with green-clay authigenesis at Hole 696B, but neither evidences of oxidation of autochthonous types 1 and 2 glaucony nor other mineral particles or matrix have been identified. Likewise, physical characteristics of type 3 grains evidence mechanical breakdown subject to transport from their place of formation.

**Further constraints: limiting factor for glauconitization.** Subsequent transgression favoured burial, falling O2 levels, and ongoing reducing sulphidic conditions at Hole 696B during early diagenesis. The increasingly reducing conditions from suboxic-postoxic to sulphidic environments during deepening and sediment burial allowed enough production of S2− in pore waters from marine SO42−, which favoured the removal of Fe2+ for iron-bearing mineral precipitation. Diagenetic pyrite formed later, as revealed in petrographic and BSE images, either within the fine-grained matrix of the sediment (and often within the matrix surrounding the periphery of glaucony grains: e.g. Supplementary Fig. S5G) or infilling fissures within glaucony grains due to compaction (Supplementary Fig. S5H). Baldermann et al. stated that when Eh conditions within the fecal pellets micromilieu turn to low values and anoxic conditions, the green-clay forming process stops and pyrite forms. In our study site, iron-sulphide precipitation was thus a limiting factor for glauconitization by sequesteration of Fe2+ as described in comparable glaucony-bearing facies11,18. A significant decrease in acidity of pore waters, as previously discussed, along with sediment porosity reduction and compaction with increased burial depth also favoured significant silica diagenesis at ODP Hole 696B (Fig. 3B–D). Consequently, sulphate reduction and silica diagenesis played a major role in diagenetic reactions observed throughout the glaucony-bearing facies in the studied section.

**Conclusions**

The integrated sedimentological, mineralogical and geochemical characterization of glaucony facies at ODP Hole 696B assesses the physico-chemical conditions prevailing during glauconitization in the Antarctic late Eocene sediments. These conditions occurred in the open marine, shelf-slope transition of the SOM under sub-oxic moderately reducing conditions near to the sediment-water interface. The required environmental conditions were triggered by low sedimentation rates leading to stratigraphic condensation. Recurrent winnowing by bottom currents stirred the mud fraction slightly and provided sub-oxygenation at that site. This study represents the first well-documented case of late Eocene autochthonous, mature (evolved; K2O-rich ~7 wt%), smectite-poor inter-stratified ~10 Å glauconite-smectite occurrence in Antarctica. Therefore, glaucony authigenesis is utilized here as a reliable paleoenvironmental indicator for Antarctic Cenozoic climate history. Glaucony authigenesis thus marks the base of a transgressive condensed sequence deposited at the SOM shelf margin during the late Eocene. Results from this work provide important new insights into changing paleoceanographic conditions during a late Eocene transgressional event, just before the EOT. Further work is needed to understand the implications of this transgression for the investigation into the growth of the continent-wide ice sheet and/or the controversial opening/deepening of the Drake Passage.
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