Clinical characteristics and risk factors of *Aeromonas* bloodstream infections in patients with hematological diseases
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**Abstract**

**Background:** To analyze the clinical features, risk factors and outcomes of *Aeromonas* bloodstream infections (BSIs) in patients with hematological diseases to establish an effective optimal therapy against it.

**Methods:** A retrospective study was performed by reviewing medical records of patients admitted to a tertiary blood disease hospital in China. Patients with hematological diseases who suffered from *Aeromonas* bacteremia during January 2002 to December 2020 were enrolled in this study.

**Results:** A total of 63 patients who developed *Aeromonas* bacteremia were enrolled in the study, and 91.9% of patients were neutropenic at the onset of BSIs. The major complications were skin and soft tissue infection (SSTI) (22.2%), followed by gastroenteritis (19.0%) and pneumonia (14.3%). High carbapenem resistance rates (70.8% for imipenem, 71.4% for meropenem) were noted among the cases. Furthermore, *Aeromonas* strains isolated from five individuals developed resistance to quinolone, β-lactams and tigecycline during the therapy. The 30-day mortality rate was 15.9%, while bacteremia with SSTI showed a much worse prognosis, with 50.0% (7/14) of the patients dying within 30 days of initiating the therapy. In the multivariate analysis, SSTI (OR = 28.72; 95% CI, 1.50–551.30; *P* = 0.026) and shock (OR = 47.58; 95% CI, 1.06–2126.80; *P* = 0.046) were independent risk factors for mortality.

**Conclusions:** *Aeromonas* bacteremia usually occurred in patients with neutropenic status, and patients with SSTIs were more likely to show a worse prognosis. Carbapenems should be avoided in patients with *Aeromonas* BSIs and SSTIs given high resistance rate.
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**Introduction**

*Aeromonas* species are gram-negative, oxidase-positive, facultative anaerobic, distributed widely in the aquatic environment, including groundwater, water treatment systems, rivers, and lakes [1, 2]. *Aeromonas* can cause gastroenteritis disease [3], skin and soft tissue infection (SSTI) [4, 5], pneumonia, and bloodstream infections (BSIs) [6, 7]. *Aeromonas* infection can be life-threatening and invasive in immunocompromised individuals. The clinical characteristics and risk factors associated with...
with mortality by *Aeromonas* vary significantly with population and region [8, 9]. BSIs are common among immunocompromised hosts, with the mortality being as high as 68% [10–12]. Carbenem is commonly recommended in high-risk patients with febrile neutropenia because it has a broad-spectrum and activity against gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria. In contrast to other gram-negative bacilli, *Aeromonas* can express various chromosomal β-lactam-induced β-lactamases, resulting in carbapenem resistance and treatment failure [13, 14]. At present, there is a limited research focus on *Aeromonas* BSIs in patients with hematological diseases in China. In this study, we retrospectively analyzed the clinical characteristics of patients with blood diseases complicated with *Aeromonas* BSIs in the past 19 years. We investigated the antibiotic resistance profiles of isolated strains, which can provide infection prevention and treatment recommendations.

**Materials and methods**

**Data collection**

We retrospectively reviewed patients diagnosed with hematologic diseases and *Aeromonas* bacteremia from January 2002 to December 2020 at the Institute of Hematology & Blood Diseases Hospital, a 766-bed tertiary teaching hospital in Tianjin, China. Medical records, including demographic characteristics, clinical symptoms, antimicrobial susceptibility profiles, antibiotic treatment, and outcomes were recorded. A total of 63 patients with hematological disorders complicated with *Aeromonas* BSIs were identified and assessed. Each case was evaluated as clinically relevant by an experienced physician with reference to clinical and laboratory criteria. The local ethics committee approved the study.

**Strain identification and antimicrobial sensitivities**

*Aeromonas* BSI was based on the diagnostic criteria as at least one blood culture being positive. Blood culture was performed by using an automatic blood culture system (BD, USA), and the isolated *Aeromonas* strains were identified with reference to the National Guide to Clinical Laboratory Procedures. VITEK 2 compact (bioMérieux, France) was used to identify the isolates and MALDI-TOF MS (bioMérieux, France) for further confirmation. VITEK 2 Compact AST GN67 and XN04 (bioMérieux, France) were used to conduct antimicrobial susceptibility tests by using an automated system. The Minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) was measured according to the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) M45 document guidelines.

**Definitions**

Neutropenia was defined as an absolute neutrophil count (ANC) below $0.5 \times 10^9$/L, or the ANC is expected to decrease below $0.5 \times 10^9$/L over the 48 h. Severe neutropenia was defined as the ANC below $0.1 \times 10^9$/L. Unsolved neutropenia was defined as neutropenia lasting longer than 14 days or not resolved in 14 days after the onset of BSIs. *Aeromonas* bacteremia was defined as present when blood cultures were positive for *Aeromonas*. The date of blood culture collection was defined as the onset of BSI. Empirical treatment choices of antibiotics were defined as appropriate when they were sensitive in vitro in the first 24 h after BSIs. Definitive treatment was the choice of antibiotics after the susceptibility results were available. Previous antibiotic exposure was defined as at least 72 h of antibiotic use within 30 days before BSIs.

**Statistical analyses**

For categorical variables, the Pearson’s Chi-square or Fisher’s exact test was applied. Quantitative data were expressed as medians with ranges, while qualitative data were expressed as a proportion ratio of at least three individuals. Correlational analyses was conducted by Spearman’s test of a cross contingency table. $P<0.05$ was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference. Various variables were evaluated as potential risk factors for 30-day mortality in univariate and multivariate analyses by using a logistic regression model. SPSS software 24.0 (Statistical Product and Service Solutions, Chicago, IL, USA) was used to analyze the data.

**Results**

**Clinical characteristics of the enrolled patients**

A total of 63 patients (age: 4–63 years; median age: 31 years; 40 men, 23 women) were finally included in the study. The clinical characteristics of these patients are summarized in Table 1. Acute myeloid leukemia (AML) (34, 54.0%) was the most common disorder, followed by acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) (17, 27.0%), severe aplastic anemia (SAA) (9, 14.3%), myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS) (2, 3.2%), and acute heterozygosis leukemia (AHL) (1, 1.6%). In patients with acute leukemia, 53.8% (28/52) were in complete remission. Of all patients, 48 received chemotherapy, 4 received allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (allo-HSCT), and 7 received immunosuppression therapy. In total, 91.9% of the patients had neutropenia at the onset of BSIs. In these neutropenic patients, 78.9% (45/57) had severe neutropenia condition. The median duration of neutropenia was 6 days (2–39) after BSIs.
Neutropenia was considered unresolved in 29% of the patients. Hospital-acquired bacteremia was recorded in 57 (90.5%) cases.

All patients had fever (>38°C) at the time of positive blood culture collection. Regarding the accompanying clinical complications, 14 (22.2%) patients developed SSTIs, 12 (19.0%) patients had digestive tract symptoms, 9 (14.3%) patients had pneumonia, 8 (12.7%) patients had experienced shocks, and 7 (11.1%) patients had mucositis. Twenty-one patients had antibiotic exposure in the 30 days preceding *Aeromonas* bacteremia.

The clinical characteristics of patients with *Aeromonas* bacteremia were compared based on their clinical outcomes (Table 1). No significant differences were noted in the age, sex, underlying hematologic diseases, status of leukemia, treatment of hematologic diseases, or antimicrobial exposure between survivor and non-survivor groups. Unresolved neutropenia after BSIs (90.0% vs. 17.3%, *P* < 0.001) was more common in non-survivor group, and the patients with combined shock or SSTI showed higher mortality rates (shock: 87.5% vs. 5.5%, *P* < 0.001; SSTI 50% vs. 6.1%, *P* < 0.001).

### Clinical characteristics and 30-day outcomes of patients with SSTI concomitant infections

Accompanying SSTI was recorded in 14 (22.2%) cases, which were cited as the most common case of involvement. None of the 14 patients had a history of trauma or surgery. The clinical characteristics of these patients are depicted in Table 2; Fig. 1. The 30-day mortality rate was 50% (7/14) in these patients. All patients were neutropenic at the outset of *Aeromonas* BSIs, among which 11 patients had severe neutropenia. Of the SSTI cases, seven patients had necrotizing infections; only one was recorded.
|   | Sex | Age | Underlying condition | CR ANC (10^9/L) | Duration of neutropenia (Days) | Clinical presentation | Sites of involvement | Necrotizing SSTI | Shock | Pathogen | CR-Aeromonas | CA or HA | Empirical therapy | Appropriate initial empirical treatment | Outcomes |
|---|-----|-----|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|-------|-----------|---------------|---------|------------------|------------------------------------------|----------|
| 1 | M   | 4   | AA                   | NA 0.21         | 24                          | Persistent groin pain | Groin               | N               | N     | Aeromonas sobria | Y              | CA CAZ, SMZ | N                | Death                                |          |
| 2 | F   | 6   | ALL                  | N 0.01          | 18                          | Serve pain, skin bullae and necrosis | Lower limbs and perineum | Y               | Y     | Aeromonas sobria | N              | HA MEM     | Y                | Death                                |          |
| 3 | M   | 21  | MDS                  | N 0             | 10                          | Serve pain, muscle swelling and necrosis | Lower limbs           | Y               | N     | Aeromonas hydrophila | Y              | CA IPM     | N                | Death                                |          |
| 4 | M   | 12  | ALL                  | N 0.03          | 12                          | Serve pain, muscle swelling and necrosis | Lower limbs and buttocks | Y               | N     | Aeromonas hydrophila | Y              | HA MEM     | N                | Amputation                           |          |
| 5 | M   | 13  | AA                   | NA 0            | 4                           | Red papules and firm nodules in skin with pain | The four limbs         | N               | N     | Aeromonas hydrophila | Y              | HA SCF     | Y                | Survival                             |          |
| 6 | M   | 27  | AML                  | CR 0.05         | 7                           | Unilateral lower limb pain and muscle swelling | Unilateral lower limb  | N               | N     | Aeromonas hydrophila | Y              | HA IPM     | N                | Survival                             |          |
| 7 | M   | 27  | AA                   | NA 0.07         | 15                          | Serve pain, ecchymoses, necrosis | Starts at the knees and ankles then spreads throughout the body | Y               | Y     | Aeromonas sobria   | Y              | HA IPM     | N                | Death                                |          |
| 8 | M   | 51  | ALL                  | N 0             | 10                          | Skin swelling, bullae formation and necrosis | Lower limbs, abdomen and perineum | Y               | Y     | Aeromonas hydrophila | Y              | HA MEM, IPM | N                | Death                                |          |
| 9 | M   | 54  | AA                   | NA 0.03         | 18                          | Rhabdomyolysis: muscle pain, weakness, and dark urine | Muscles and multiple organs | Y               | Y     | Aeromonas hydrophila | Y              | HA MEM     | N                | Death                                |          |
| 10| M   | 63  | AA                   | NA 0.28         | 24                          | Muscle pain and swelling | Lower limbs           | N               | N     | Aeromonas sobria   | Y              | HA IPM     | N                | Survival                             |          |
| 11| M   | 30  | AML                  | N 0.27          | 21                          | Muscle pain             | Lower limbs           | N               | N     | Aeromonas hydrophila | NA             | HA MEM     | NA               | Survival                             |          |
Table 2 (continued)

| Sex | Age | Underlying condition | CR ANC (10^9/L) | Duration of neutropenia (Days) | Clinical presentation | Sites of involvement | Necrotizing SSTI | Shock | Pathogen | CR-Aeromonas CA or HA | Empirical therapy | Appropriate initial empirical treatment | Outcomes |
|-----|-----|----------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--------|-----------|--------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------|----------|
| 12  | M   | 30                   | ALL            | N 0.04                        | 22                    | Balanoposthitis     | Genitals        | N      | Aeromonas hydrophila | NA                | HA MEM                 | NA                    | Survival          |
| 13  | M   | 11                   | ALL            | N 0.01                        | 18                    | Subcutaneous abscess on the left abdomen | Abdomen | N      | Aeromonas hydrophila | NA                | HA SCF MEM              | NA                    | Survival          |
| 14  | M   | 27                   | AML CR 0       | 41                            | The four limbs       | Skin swelling, bullae formation and necrosis | Y              | Y      | Aeromonas sobria     | NA                | HA IPM TZIP CIP       | NA                    | Death             |

CR complete remission, ANC absolute neutrophil count, M male, F female, CA community-acquired, HA hospital-acquired, AML acute myeloid leukemia, ALL acute lymphoblastic leukemia, AA aplastic anemia, MDS myelodysplastic syndromes, CAZ ceftazidime, MEM meropenem, IPM imipenem, SMZ trimethoprim-sulphamethoxazole, SCF cefoperazone/sulbactam, TZIP piperacillin/tazobactam, CIP ciprofloxacin, NA not applicable or detected, CR Aeromonas carbapenem resistant-Aeromonas
patient survived, albeit with an amputation. The main symptoms of necrotizing infection presentation were severe pain at the involved sites, swelling, erythematous, crepitus and bullous skin lesions (Fig. 1A–C). The most common area of necrotizing soft tissue infection was the limbs, which involved seven patients. Other commonly affected regions included the groin, buttocks, perineum and abdomen. One patient developed rhabdomyolysis, which was characterized by muscle pain, hematuria and elevated creatine kinase, and the patient died in 48 h. In other cases, only muscle pain and swelling were recorded, and these patients survived. Among these SSTIs isolates, A. hydrophila was the most prevalent species, followed by A. sobria. The isolates were resistant to carbapenem in all cases, except one.

**Antibiotic resistance and resistance transformation**

Automated systems for antimicrobial susceptibility tests were conducted in 2014. The results of the 49 Aeromonas clinical isolates are shown in Table 3. The sensitivity of Aeromonas to cephalosporins, quinolones and aminoglycosides was above 90%, which was higher than that of beta-lactam/beta-lactamase inhibitor combination antimicrobials (piperacillin/tazobactam, 67.3%). In comparison with other beta-lactams, the resistance rates of carbapenems were much higher (imipenem, 70.8%, and meropenem, 71.4%). Remarkably, 12 Aeromonas strains isolated from 5 individuals changed from susceptible to resistant for different antimicrobial agents during the treatment (Table 4). The time interval between susceptible to resistant was 2, 6, 7, 8 and 19 days, respectively. The types of antibiotics that had resistance transformation included quinolones, carbapenems, cephalosporins, piperacillin/tazobactam and tigecycline. Most of the drugs with antimicrobial resistance transformation had a history of exposure to such types of antimicrobial agents. Quinolones were the most common drugs involved in resistance transformation, as noted in 4 of the patients.

**Time distribution of infection**

The detection times of Aeromonas BSI were recorded from 2002 to 2020 (Fig. 2). We observed a trend of greater frequency occurring between July and November.
### Table 3 In vitro susceptibilities of 49 clinical isolates of *Aeromonas* spp

| Antimicrobial agent         | Resistant rate (n) | Breakpoints |
|----------------------------|-------------------|-------------|
|                            | S             | R               |
| Aztreonam                  | 8.2 (49)       | ≤ 4 ≥ 16        |
| Cefuroxime                 | 8.2 (49)       | ≤ 8 ≥ 32        |
| Ceftriaxone                | 8.2 (49)       | ≤ 1 ≥ 4         |
| Ceftazidime                | 6.1 (49)       | ≤ 4 ≥ 16        |
| Cefepime                   | 0.0 (49)       | ≤ 8 ≥ 32        |
| Piperacillin/tazobactam    | 32.7 (49)      | ≤ 16/4 ≥ 128/4  |
| Meropenem                  | 71.4 (49)      | ≤ 1 ≥ 4         |
| Imipenem                   | 70.8 (48)      | ≤ 1 ≥ 4         |
| Ciprofloxacin              | 4.1 (49)       | ≤ 1 ≥ 4         |
| Levofloxacin               | 2.1 (48)       | ≤ 2 ≥ 8         |
| Gentamicin                 | 4.1 (49)       | ≤ 4 ≥ 16        |
| Amikacin                   | 0.0 (49)       | ≤ 16 ≥ 64       |
| Tegacycline                | 0.0 (40)       | ≤ 2 ≥ 8         |
| Trimethoprim/Sulfamethoxazole | 22.5 (49)   | ≤ 2/38 ≥ 4/76  |

(n = 47,74.6%) with the least frequent occurring between December and March (n = 2, 3.2%).

### Discussion

Our study involved 63 cases of *Aeromonas* bacteremia. To the best of our knowledge, this is the largest single-center study until date in patients with hematological diseases. We retrospectively reviewed the laboratory and clinical characteristics as well as the treatment outcomes.

Over 19 years. *Aeromonas* BSIs demonstrated high mortality in patients with accompanying complications of SSTI or shock. Furthermore, we discovered that *Aeromonas* isolates were more resistant to carbapenem than other β-lactam antibiotics. These results together provided useful information for the better treatment of *Aeromonas* bacteremia.

Patients with hematological diseases are at a high risk of infection because of their disease features and receiving HSCT or chemotherapy [15]. Cases included in this study mainly consisted of acute leukemia patients (82.6%) and SAA patients (14.3%), and over 90% of *Aeromonas* BSIs occurred during the neutropenic stage. Neutropenia was not resolved in two weeks in 29.0% of the cases. Although underlying diseases were common in *Aeromonas* BSIs, such as solid tumors, liver cirrhosis, and hematological malignancies [16, 17], few previous studies have focused on *Aeromonas* BSIs in patients with hematological diseases [16, 18]. Limited information is available on antibiotic resistance in hospital-acquired infections.

All of the isolated were clinically relevant by clinical and laboratory criteria, and the following factors were taken into account: all the patients had fever at the time of the blood culture; trained nurses collected blood culture specimens by venipuncture of peripheral at the bedside; disinfecting steps had been properly followed in the blood collection with 2% chlorhexidine gluconate at the venipuncture site. Reller and colleagues showed that patients with neutropenia were significantly more likely to have a positive blood culture representing true bacteremia [19]; 91.9% of patients were neutropenic at the onset of BSIs.

In our study, most infections (90.5%) were hospital-acquired. Approximately 50% of the patients had only fever, and their clinical presentation of *Aeromonas* bacteremia was not specific, which can be challenging to clinicians. SSTIs are common complications, but their symptoms vary across patients. Although local inoculation can be a source of the SSTI in immunocompetent subjects [20, 21], none of the patients in our study had previous trauma or surgery. Moreover, signs and symptoms of SSTIs occurred at the same time as BSIs or later than BSIs, which suggests that the infection could be secondary to BSIs in most patients infected with *Aeromonas* rather than the source of BSIs (Fig. 1D).
### Table 4  Resistance transformation in 5 patients and the outcomes

| Case | Pathogen              | Antibiotic treatment | Time interval | MICs (the 1st isolate - the final isolate) | Outcomes |
|------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------------|----------|
|      |                       |                      |               | FEP | TZP | MEM | IPM | CIP | LVX | GEN | TGC |       |
| 1    | *Aeromonas sobria*    | CAZ, ET, SMZ, TGC, FEP, IPM, CIP | 19            | <1–64 | <4 | >16 | <1 | 1–>4 | 1–>8 | >16 | NA | Death |
| 2    | *Aeromonas sobria*    | IPM, AK, SCF, MEM   | 2             | <1  | <4–128 | <0.25–>16 | <1–4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | NA | Survival |
| 3    | *Aeromonas hydrophila* | SCF, CIP, IPM, ET   | 7             | <1  | >128 | >16 | >16 | 1–>4 | 1 | <1 | 1 | Survival |
| 4    | *Aeromonas hydrophila* | MEM, ET, LVX, CRO   | 8             | <1  | >128 | >16 | 8 | 1–>4 | 1–>8 | <1 | 1–>8 | Amputation |
| 5    | *Aeromonas sobria*    | MEM, TGC, SCF       | 6             | 2   | >128 | >16 | >16 | >4 | 2–>8 | <1 | 1–>8 | Survival |

**Bold format, the resistant transformation antibiotics**

*MEM* meropenem, *IPM* imipenem, *CAZ* ceftazidime, *ET* etimicin, *SMZ* thimethoprim-sulphamethoxazole, *TGC* tegacycline, *SCF* cefoperazone/sulbactam, *CIP* ciprofloxacin, *LVX* levofloxacin, *FEP* cefepime, *CRO* ceftriaxone, *AK* amikacin, *GEN* gentamicin, *NA* not detected
mortality rate of patients with SSTIs was significantly higher (50.0%) than that of patients without SSTIs in this study. One surviving patient underwent amputation due to *Aeromonas* infection. Since *Aeromonas* can cause rapidly fatal infection in these patients, it should be considered an important pathogen for patients with hematological diseases when they have BSIs accompanied by complications of the SSTI. *Aeromonas* can cause mild to severe SSTIs [5, 22, 23]. The presentation includes cellulitis, myonecrosis, rhabdomyolysis and clostridium like gangrenous [24–26]. Although rhabdomyolysis is rarely described in patients with *Aeromonas* bacteremia, it is always fatal [17, 27]. In this study, one patient developed rhabdomyolysis and then rapidly developed secondary renal failure developed rapidly and died within 48 h.

Moreover, Schelenz’s study confirmed that patients with hematological disorders had a higher risk of BSIs than those with other cancers [28]. Carbapenem antibiotics are one of the most important empiric therapy for patients with hematological diseases as they exhibit a broad spectrum activity against gram-negative bacilli [29]. Unfortunately, after using carbapenems for a short time, carbapenem resistance emerges, including *Aeromonas* [30]. However, *Aeromonas* can exhibit rare phenotypes such as carbapenem resistance and cephalosporin susceptible. In this study, the resistance rate of *Aeromonas* to cephalosporins was less than 10%, while the resistance rate of carbapenems widely used in patients with hematological diseases was as high as 70%. This unusual resistance phenotype was related to its drug resistance mechanism, in which *Aeromonas* can produce b-metallo-β-lactamase (MBL) *cphA*, which is not commonly expressed but can be inducible and hydrolyze carbapenems [13, 31, 32]. More than 75% of *Aeromonas* carry *cphA* [13]. Meanwhile, the special mechanism of carbapenem resistance also develops false sensitivity in disk diffusion and E-test susceptibility testing [13]. Based on the findings in our study, caution use of carbapenem in patients with typical necrotizing SSTI symptoms accompanying BSIs is warranted.

In this study, the susceptible rate of *Aeromonas* to aminoglycosides and quinolones was > 90%, which is similar to the results of other research results [10, 33]. In conformance to other studies, it is worth noting that resistance induction was also found during the treatment period in five cases [30, 34]. Four patients developed quinolones resistance. One patient developed carbapenems, or piperacillin/tazobactam or tigecycline resistance. In three patients, the occurrences of antibiotic resistance were seen in two different types of antibiotics, which was the first reported. This is an important clinical finding suggesting that monitoring blood cultures and antibiotic susceptibilities should be considered during a treatment process, especially among patients with immunocompromised conditions.

A total of 10 patients died within 30 days, presenting with an overall mortality rate of 15.9% in this study, which was lower than that reported previously [8, 16, 28].

### Table 5 Logistic regression modeling evaluating risk factors for mortality in patients with *Aeromonas* BSIs

| Item                                | Univariate logistic regression | Multivariate logistic regression |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|                                     | OR & 95% CI          | P value                      | OR & 95% CI | P value |
| Male                                | 2.348 (0.423–13.047) | 0.329                         | /           | /       |
| Age                                 | 0.999 (0.958–1.042)  | 0.96                          | /           | /       |
| ANC at the onset of BSI             | 6.414 (0.019–2130.026) | 0.53                         | /           | /       |
| Unresolved neutropenic             | 21.7 (2.373–198.447)  | 0.006                         | 14.003 (0.799–245.273) | 0.071 |
| Shock                               | 66.667 (5.772–770.001) | 0.001                         | 47.575 (1.064–2126.803) | 0.046 |
| Gastroenteritis                     | 0.833 (0.086–8.044)  | 0.875                         | /           | /       |
| Skin and soft tissue infection      | 27.75 (4.134–186.274)  | 0.001                         | 28.716 (1.496–551.300) | 0.026 |
| Appropriate empirical treatment     | 0.192 (0.034–1.076)   | 0.061                         | /           | /       |
| Antimicrobial exposure              | 2.727 (0.580–12.831)  | 0.204                         | /           | /       |
This finding could be associated with the common use of combination antibiotics in our patients with hematological diseases [36]. *Aeromonas* BSI was mainly detected at found in the neutropenia stage, and unresolved neutropenia was has been associated with high mortality. The symptoms varied among patients, with some patients reporting only fever before the positive results of blood culture, presented with fever of unknown origin, and the survival rate was high. Moreover, the mortality rate of patients receiving appropriate treatment demonstrated lower rate than that of patients who did not (Fig. 3D). Since only 10 patients had fatal outcomes, no significant correlation was recorded between appropriate treatment and outcomes. Our study found that SSTI and shock were independent risk factors for *Aeromonas* BSI mortalities. However, there were several limitations in our study. First, it was a retrospective and single-center study involving a small study group; therefore, the results may not be a true representative of other subject populations. Second, we used the VITEK auto system to perform susceptibility tests, this type of phenotypic method could fail to detect carbapenem resistance[13]. Third, since we didn’t preserve these isolates strains, molecular identification was not done in our study. Conventional microbiological identification methods had limitations in the accurate identification of *Aeromonas* to the species level.
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