Flexible Low-Temperature Ammonia Gas Sensor Based on Reduced Graphene Oxide and Molybdenum Disulfide
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Abstract: Owing to harsh working environments and complex industrial requirements, traditional gas sensors are prone to deformation damage, possess a limited detection range, require a high working temperature, and display low reliability, thereby necessitating the development of flexible and low-temperature gas sensors. In this study, we developed a low-temperature polyimide (PI)-based flexible gas sensor comprising a reduced graphene oxide (rGO)/MoS2 composite. The micro-electro-mechanical system technology was used to fabricate Au electrodes on a flexible PI sheet to form a “sandwiched” sensor structure. The rGO/MoS2 composites were synthesized via a one-step hydrothermal method. The gas-sensing response was the highest for the composite comprising 10% rGO. The structure of this material was characterized, and a PI-based flexible gas sensor comprising rGO/MoS2 was fabricated. The optimal working temperature of the sensor was 141 °C, and its response-recovery time was significantly short upon exposure to 50–1500 ppm NH3. Thus, this sensor exhibited high selectivity and a wide NH3 detection range. Furthermore, it possessed the advantages of low power consumption, a short response-recovery time, a low working temperature, flexibility, and variability. Our findings provide a new framework for the development of pollutant sensors that can be utilized in an industrial environment.
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1. Introduction

Ammonia is the second most produced chemical in the world and the most common industrial pollutant because of illegal ammonia discharge owing to leakage during chemical processing. Moreover, as a green and clean source of energy, ammonia has been developed for various applications, including use as rocket fuel [1] as well as in fuel cells [2], hydrogen-storage materials [3], and new energy vehicles [4]. This widespread use has resulted in an increase in ammonia leakage during industrial production processes. Thus, it is important to address the problem of ammonia leakage through the implementation of effective ammonia sensors in industries [5]. Presently, the most commonly used gas sensors are semiconductor gas sensors made of metal oxide or polymer materials; they are widely used for detecting the leakage of toxic and harmful gases [6,7]. However, because of their slow desorption characteristics, such sensors require a high working temperature. Furthermore, traditional sensors suffer from problems such as low selectivity, a narrow gas detection range, and high power consumption. Moreover, some sensors exhibit baseline drift and need to be recalibrated frequently [8].

Most ammonia sensors contain silicon or ceramic substrates that are rigid, brittle, and fragile [9], which make the sensors prone to deformation and damage, because industrial environments are complex and industrial gas leakage is often accompanied by stress shock.
These problems can be prevented by developing sensors with flexible substrates, which are expandable, stable, and stretchable [10], making them functional after deformation induced by the impact of external forces. Such sensors can meet the gas-detection requirements in complex and dynamic environments and can be used in the fields with high ammonia concentration, such as industrial preparation of ammonia fuel and industrial ammonia storage.

In recent years, two-dimensional materials such as transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDCs) have gained considerable research attention because of their applicability as gas sensors. TMDCs possess an MX$_2$ structure, where M is a transition metal (molybdenum, tungsten, or titanium) and X is a chalcogen atom (such as sulfur and selenium). The layered structure of TMDCs, with strong in-plane bonding and weak van der Waals interplanar bonding, enables its easy peeling off by mechanical means [11]. Furthermore, TMDCs are notable for their high surface area-to-volume ratio, absence of dangling bonds, strong spin-orbit-coupling interaction, and high gas-adsorption capability [12,13]. TMDC materials possess remarkable layer-related electrical, optical [14], thermal [15], and mechanical properties [16], which can be adjusted easily by various external treatments, such as strain application [17] and size scaling [18]. Thus, TMDC materials have demonstrated potential for applications in nanoelectronics [7], spintronics [19] and as photodetectors [20] and active gas sensors. In addition, it has been reported that molybdenum disulfide (MoS$_2$) sheets can be bent to a radius of 0.75 mm without affecting their electronic properties [21]. Furthermore, MoS$_2$ films possess a high Young’s modulus of up to 300 GPa, continuous crack deformity of up to 11%, and excellent transparency [22], rendering them a candidate material for flexible devices [23–25].

MoS$_2$ exhibits excellent sensitivity to ammonia and can detect ammonia efficiently. However, after gas adsorption, the material cannot be completely restored, which affects sensor performance [21]. In 2012, Li et al. studied the responsiveness of MoS$_2$ materials comprising different layers to NO gas and observed unstable sensor performance after repeated cycle tests, indicating that the materials are not conducive to repeated use [26]. In 2015, Ricciardella et al. reported a graphene-based gas sensor fabricated via chemical vapor deposition. The NH$_3$ detection limits were up to 17 ppm. However, the recovery time was 20 min [27]. In 2016, Long et al. fabricated a MoS$_2$/graphene hybrid structure and developed an ultrasensitive NH$_3$ sensor with a detection limit of 50 ppb. However, its recovery was low [26]. Recently, several reports have been published on the fabrication of mixed MoS$_2$ heterostructures for the improvement of the charge transfer in MoS$_2$ and, therefore, shortening the recovery time. In 2018, Min et al. successfully fabricated a MoS$_2$/reduced graphene oxide (rGO) gas sensor by an aqueous solution mixing method [29]. At 25 °C, the response of a MoS$_2$/rGO material to NO$_2$ was 4-fold that of pure rGO, and the flexural radius of the sensor was up to 14 mm. However, its responsiveness was low, and the deformation curvature and stability need to be improved. In 2020, Sangeetha et al. prepared a MoS$_2$–rGO-composite-based optical-fiber sensor via a coating-modification method to detect formaldehyde gas and to determine its concentration [30]. However, the detection attains saturation at ~500 ppm, which limits the detection of higher gas concentrations. These findings indicate that the use of two-dimensional materials, such as graphene and TMDCs, in gas sensors can enable their operation at low temperatures and improve their gas-sensing performance, while increasing their gas-sensing response. However, problems such as a long response-recovery time, low flexibility, incomplete sensor recovery, and a narrow detection range remain to be solved.

In this study, we developed a low-temperature polyimide (PI)-based flexible gas sensor comprising a MoS$_2$/rGO composite. A heating sensor and gas-sensing electrode were fabricated on the front and back sides of flexible PI sheets via the micro-electro-mechanical-system (MEMS) technology. Sensing materials MoS$_2$ and rGO were synthesized via a one-step hydrothermal method to achieve gas-sensing properties. The morphology of the synthetic materials was characterized by field-emission scanning electron microscopy (SEM), X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis, Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) surface area analy-
sis, Raman spectroscopy, and thermogravimetric analysis (TGA). Further, a gas-sensing test platform was established to verify the flexibility, selectivity, optimal working conditions, and gas-sensing response of the sensor. The mechanism of the sensor was also discussed.

2. Materials and Methods
2.1. Synthesis of Sensing Materials

A graphene oxide (GO) dispersion (2 mg/mL) was prepared via Hummers’ method using graphite powder purchased from XFNANO Materials Tech Co., Ltd. (Nanjing, China) [31]. Further, 5%, 10%, and 15% GO solutions were prepared by stirring GO in 50 mL deionized water; they were used as the experimental precursors. Sodium molybdate dihydrate (Na$_2$MoO$_4$·2H$_2$O, analytical grade, 3.82 g), thiourea (CH$_4$N$_2$S, analytical grade, 3.42 g), and oxalic acid (H$_2$C$_2$O$_4$, analytical grade, 0.5 g) were dissolved in 50 mL of the GO precursor solution and stirred for 1 h at 25 $^\circ$C. Then, the mixture was sonicated for 1 h, transferred to a 60 mL Teflon-lined stainless-steel vessel, and maintained at 190 $^\circ$C for 24 h. After naturally cooling the mixture to 25 $^\circ$C, the precipitate was separated by centrifugation at 2000 rpm for 10 min and washed several times using absolute ethanol and deionized water and then dried by freeze-drying at $-70$ $^\circ$C. Subsequently, the nanocomposites were obtained in a powder form. To obtain pure MoS$_2$, the process was repeated without using GO. Further, a control comprising only rGO was prepared. A schematic of the synthesis process is shown in Figure 1. The obtained material was characterized, and its gas-sensing performance was analyzed.

2.2. Fabrication of Flexible Gas Sensors

The structural design of the flexible gas sensor is shown in Figure 2. The sensor chip included a Pt heating layer, a flexible PI insulating substrate, and a sensitive layer comprising a Pt interdigital electrode, to form a sandwiched structure. The dimensions of the sensor chip were 5 mm $\times$ 2.5 mm $\times$ 50 $\mu$m.

The flexible gas sensors were fabricated as follows: PI films (50 $\mu$m), employed as the substrates, were cleaned three times via sequential ultrasonication in acetone and isopropanol. Then, the substrates were heated at 100 $^\circ$C for 10 min and blow-dried with N$_2$. Heating electrode coils and interdigital electrodes, both with a thickness of 20 $\mu$m, were fabricated through photolithography. Pt was used to fabricate the electrodes on both sides. To improve the mechanical properties, metal pads of the same size were prepared on the rear side of each pair of electrode pads. The electrode pads and conductor were
connected using a conductive adhesive silver paste, and the electrical signal data were collected through a data acquisition unit.

Figure 2. (a) Schematic of the structure of the flexible sensor, and (b) photograph of the flexible sensor.

2.3. Characterization of Sensing Materials

The sensing materials were analyzed via XRD analysis (Ultima IV, Rigaku, Tokyo, Japan) by using a high-intensity Cu Kα source (λ = 0.154 nm) at a scan rate of 5°/min, across a 2θ range of 5°–75°. The crystallization phase and crystallinity of the samples were identified from the XRD patterns obtained. The surface morphologies of the samples were analyzed via FE-SEM (JEOL JSM-7500F, Tokyo, Japan). Further, the samples were subjected to Raman analysis (Renishaw inVia Raman spectrometer, Renishaw plc., Wotton-under-Edge, UK), and the characteristic peaks of graphene contained in the sample were analyzed from the spectra obtained. Furthermore, the sensing materials were placed in a vacuum tube, degassed at 120 °C for 12 h, and the N₂ adsorption–desorption curves of the samples were obtained at 350 °C by using a specific surface area and porosity analyzer (ASAP 2460 Version 3.01, Micromeritics Instrument (Shanghai) Ltd., Shanghai, China). The gas-adsorption capacity of the sensing materials was evaluated using the BET equation. A thermal image analyzer (Flir C3, West Malling, Kent, UK) was used to evaluate the heat. The thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) and differential thermal analysis (DTA) of the sensing materials were analyzed by ZCT-B-type differential thermal gravimetric analysis.

2.4. Test Platform for Gas Sensing

An appropriate amount of terpineol was added to the as-prepared samples and ground separately in a pestle and mortar for 1 h each to obtain 5%, 10%, 15%, pure rGO, and pure MoS₂ slurries. Then, for each sample, a small amount of the slurry was coated onto the surface of the flexible interdigital electrode and dried at 80 °C for 1 h. Further, to improve the stability and repeatability of the sensors, they were aged at 60 °C for a week in air. The sensing measurements were carried out on an experimental platform that was developed in our laboratory. The resistance of the MoS₂/rGO sensor was measured via the two-wire resistance measurement method. The platform comprised a closed sensor chamber (60 L), low-power circulating fan, data acquisition card, and computer-controlled software system, as shown in Figure 3. The volume of the gas was calculated corresponding to the concentration, and a calibrated gas sampler (e.g., syringe) was used to inject a certain volume of gas into the test chamber. For example, 2 µL corresponded to 10 ppm, and 100 µL corresponded to 500 ppm. Because the volume of the chamber was much larger than that of the injected gas, the gas-sampling error was neglected. The gas was rapidly and evenly distributed by using a fan. When the test gas was adsorbed onto the sensing material, the resistance of the sensing material changed and the electrical signal was measured. Simultaneously, the control software recorded the data by using a data-acquisition unit.
3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Sensor Flexibility Test

To verify the applicability of the rGO/MoS\textsubscript{2} flexible gas sensor in wearable and variable electronic equipment, the mechanical properties such as bending and fatigue resistance of the device were evaluated. In this study, the change in device resistance with the number of bends was investigated. A bending experiment was conducted using a bending machine (Bending system, Custom-made setup), as shown in Figure 4a, and the precision of this test was 0.9°. The results of the bending experiment showed that continuous mechanical bending slightly increased the resistance. As shown in Figure 4b, although a small increase in resistance is observed owing to the tensile effect, the resistance of the device is maintained after 1000 bends. Furthermore, the response of the rGO/MoS\textsubscript{2} sensor to the change in NH\textsubscript{3} gas concentration at different bending angles (0°, 30°, 45°, and 90°) was studied. The deviation owing to the inherent resistance of the substrate was eliminated by calibration. As shown in Figure 4c, the sensor demonstrates excellent gas-sensing performance at bending angles of 0°–90°. The fabricated device exhibited relatively stable conductivity and high bending resistance. The experimental results show that the fabricated rGO/MoS\textsubscript{2} sensor possesses mechanical flexibility and can be applicable in complex industrial environments.

3.2. Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

The morphologies of the synthesized samples were studied via SEM; the images are shown in Figure 5. The SEM images of pure MoS\textsubscript{2} (Figure 5a,b) show high-density flower-like nanospheres, which is the characteristic morphology of MoS\textsubscript{2} [32]. The SEM images of pure rGO (Figure 5c,d) show that the rGO sheet comprises wrinkles and curls, indicating its considerably thin characteristic. The SEM images of the 10% rGO/MoS\textsubscript{2} composite material (Figure 5e–h) confirm the good combination between rGO flakes and flower-like MoS\textsubscript{2} nanospheres. Curd-like MoS\textsubscript{2} in the rGO/MoS\textsubscript{2} composite is arranged in a lamellar layer. Compared with that of pure MoS\textsubscript{2} (700 nm), the diameter of the curd-like structure (150 nm) is less, as shown in Figure 5a,e. Furthermore, the number of spherical structures is significantly large, which indicates the effective participation of MoS\textsubscript{2}. Thus, the specific surface area of the material is higher, and the active material can be used more effectively in the electron-transport process.

During the hydrothermal treatment, GO is reduced to rGO. Owing to a partial overlap or combination, GO self-assembles into a flexible structure. MoS\textsubscript{2} in the composite material mainly comprises a finite-layer structure and is tightly coupled with the rGO sheets. In Figure 5f, the connected network formed by the layered or stacked MoS\textsubscript{2} attached to rGO can be clearly observed; this structure is beneficial to the acceleration of resistance transmission in the sensor. The layered stack structure formed in the 10% rGO/MoS\textsubscript{2} composite is conducive to the improvement of the mechanical properties of the material. This structure
can considerably expand the interface contact area and improve the sensitivity of the sensor. Figure 5h shows the discontinuity and irregularity of the layered structure, the MoS$_2$ curd-like particles are scattered in a disorderly manner, and the continuous running line of rGO is distorted, forming multiple defects. These defects enable an increase in the number of sites for the adsorption process and gas analysis, provide active energy sites, and improve gas detection efficiency [33].

Figure 4. Flexibility test: (a) photograph of sensor bending, (b) variation in device resistance with bending times, and (c) relationship between the response and NH$_3$ gas concentration (100–600 ppm) at different bending angles.

Figure 5. SEM images at different magnifications: (a,b) MoS$_2$, (c,d) rGO, and (e–h) 10% rGO/MoS$_2$.

Furthermore, we characterized the 10% rGO/MoS$_2$ composite via energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDXS); the elemental distribution maps are shown in Figure 6. In
addition to Mo and S, C was detected. The composite contains a large amount of C and O, indicating the existence and even distribution of rGO in the composite.

**Figure 6.** Energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDXS) maps of 10% rGO/MoS$_2$.

### 3.3. Powder XRD Analysis

In the XRD patterns of MoS$_2$ and the 10% rGO/MoS$_2$ composite material, no peaks corresponding to other elements are observed (Figure 7), indicating the high purity of the composite material. In the diffraction pattern of MoS$_2$, the main peaks at 14.15$^\circ$, 33.77$^\circ$, and 58.49$^\circ$ can be attributed to the (002), (100), and (110) planes of MoS$_2$, respectively (JCPDS card No. 37-1492). We calculated the lattice spacing ($d = 6.26$ nm) from the (002) peak at $2\theta = 14.15^\circ$ using the Bragg equation, $2d \sin \theta = n \lambda$ ($d$ is the interplanar spacing, half of the diffraction angle ($2\theta$), $n = 1$, $\lambda = 0.154$ nm). In contrast, the rGO/MoS$_2$ composite material exhibits two different peaks at $2\theta_1 = 9.38^\circ$ and $2\theta_2 = 18.78^\circ$, and correspond to $d_1 = 9.42$ nm and $d_2 = 4.82$ nm, respectively. The relationship between $d_1$ and $d_2$ indicates that the composite forms a layered structure with a wider interlayer spacing than that of pure MoS$_2$. This may be attributed to the effect of the hydrothermal treatment that caused GO reduction and the disappearance of most of the oxygen-containing functional groups, resulting in the formation of numerous defects in the composite structure. Some of these defect positions combine with MoS$_2$ to form a new interlayer structure [34]. This new type of defect location provides active energy sites for the adsorption of various gas molecules, which is beneficial for the improvement of gas sensitivity.

### 3.4. Raman Spectroscopy

The Raman spectrum of pure MoS$_2$ (Figure 8) features the characteristic peaks of MoS$_2$ at 372 and 403 cm$^{-1}$, which are $E^{12g}$ and $A^{2g}$ peaks, respectively, thereby confirming that the material is MoS$_2$. The $E^{12g}$ peak is owing to the internal molecular vibration of the sulfur atoms relative to the atomic layer of MoS$_2$, and the vibration of the $A^{2g}$ peak is attributed to the out-of-layer movement of the sulfur atoms in the MoS$_2$ relative to the axis of the non-MoS$_2$ layer. In the 10% rGO/MoS$_2$ composite, the $E^{12g}$ and $A^{2g}$ peaks of MoS$_2$ are evident, and the characteristic peaks ascribed to the D and G bands of rGO are present at 1349 and 1585 cm$^{-1}$, respectively, which proves that rGO has been successfully added to the mixture. The intensity ratio $I_D/I_G$ of peaks D and G serves as an important parameter to evaluate the degree of order and defects of carbon materials. Generally, the smaller the $I_D/I_G$ value, the higher the degree of order of carbon materials [35]. After calculation, the $I_D/I_G$ value of the 10% rGO/MoS$_2$ composite was approximately 1.39, which was higher than those of rGO (~1.03) and GO (~0.91), indicating that the size of GO decreased after reduction, resulting in the increase in the D peak intensity [36]. In addition, studies have
shown that the increase in the ID/IG value indicates the improvement of the electrical properties of GO [37], which is beneficial to improve the gas-sensing response speed of the composite.

![Figure 7. X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of MoS$_2$ and the 10\% rGO/MoS$_2$ composite.](image)

![Figure 8. Raman spectra of MoS$_2$ and the 10\% rGO/MoS$_2$ composite.](image)

3.5. Specific Surface Area and Porosity Analysis

The specific surface area is one of the most important parameters in the evaluation of gas-sensing materials. To explore the influence of rGO addition on the specific surface area of MoS$_2$, we conducted a N$_2$ adsorption–desorption test on pure rGO, pure MoS$_2$ and the 10\% rGO/MoS$_2$ composite. The relevant results are shown in Figure 9. The specific surface areas (Table 1) of pure rGO, pure MoS$_2$ and the 10\% rGO/MoS$_2$ composite were 17.4157, 2.2204 and 23.2786 m$^2$/g, respectively, which showed an approximately ten-fold increase for the as-prepared composite. Thus, rGO addition significantly increased the specific surface area of MoS$_2$, enhancing its ability to adsorb and desorb gases.
Figure 9. N₂ adsorption and desorption isotherms of (a) pure rGO, (b) pure MoS₂ and the (c) 10% rGO/MoS₂ composite.

Table 1. Specific surface area ($S_{\text{BET}}$) and average pore diameter of MoS₂ and the 10% rGO/MoS₂ composite.

| Parameters | rGO   | MoS₂  | 10% rGO/MoS₂ |
|------------|-------|-------|--------------|
| $S_{\text{BET}}$ (m²/g) | 17.4157 | 2.2204 | 23.2786 |
| Average pore size (nm) | 5.4186 | 11.2850 | 7.7910 |

3.6. TGA

We conducted TGA on the prepared 10% rGO/MoS₂ composite; the results are shown in Figure 10. Within the test temperature range of 30–900 °C, the mass loss of the composite occurs in four stages. The small mass loss of 10% rGO/MoS₂ in the first stage (30–300 °C) can be attributed to dehydration during physical adsorption. In the second stage (300–400 °C), the mass loss can be attributed to the thermal decomposition of the remaining oxygen-containing functional groups on rGO. In this stage, there is an obvious endothermic peak in the differential thermal analysis (DTA) curve at 400 °C. In the third stage (400–780 °C), the DTA and TG remains in a steady state. In the fourth stage (780–900 °C), the mass loss of the composite is due to the oxidation and pyrolysis of the carbon in rGO. This indicates that the material can maintain stable physical and chemical properties over 30–300 °C, and the heating temperature of the material should not be higher than 300 °C. This result is in line with that reported in another paper [38].

Figure 10. Thermogravitometric analysis (TGA) and differential thermal analysis (DTA) curves of the 10% rGO/MoS₂ composite.

3.7. Heating Electrode Test

Temperature has an important influence on the response-recovery time and sensitivity of the sensor. To optimize the working conditions of the sensor, the relationship between
the heating temperature and the input power of the heating electrode was studied. The sensor temperature \(T_0\) before heating was 22 \(^\circ\)C, and the heater used different power levels to obtain the temperature \(T\). Figure 11 shows the linear relationship between the heating power and the temperature change.

Figure 11. Relationship between the heating power and temperature.

3.8. Gas-Sensing Response Parameters

Figure 12 shows a representative response curve obtained for 10% rGO/MoS\(_2\) upon exposure to 100 ppm NH\(_3\) at 141 \(^\circ\)C. As shown in the figure, the resistance increased from 2983 \(\Omega\) (in air) to 3080 \(\Omega\) (in NH\(_3\) atmosphere). When the NH\(_3\) gas enters the gas-sensing test chamber, the test resistance increases. Because of the electron-donating property of NH\(_3\) molecules, the composite exhibits the p-type behavior of a semiconductor channel. In Figure 12, the relative response is defined as \(\text{Response} = R_g / R_a\), where \(R_a\) is the basic resistance in air, and \(R_g\) is the test resistance. Response time \(t_{\text{response}}\) is defined as the time required for the sensor to attain 90% of the maximum resistance value. Similarly, recovery time \(t_{\text{recovery}}\) is defined as the time required for the maximum value to decrease to 10% of the maximum value.

Figure 12. Representative response obtained upon exposing the gas-sensing material to 100 ppm of NH\(_3\) gas. \(R_a\) represents the basic resistance in air, \(R_g\) represents the test resistance value, \(t_{\text{response}}\) represents the response time, and \(t_{\text{recovery}}\) represents the recovery time.
The response parameters of the 10% rGO/MoS$_2$ sensor to 100 ppm NH$_3$ at 141 °C were $t_{\text{response}} = 17$ s, $t_{\text{recovery}} = 56$ s, and $\text{Response} = 1.26$. The limit of detection (LoD) of the sensor was 10 ppm.

3.9. Optimization of Working Temperature and Mixing Ratio

The working temperature of the sensor has a significant impact on its performance; therefore, it is critical to determine the optimal working temperature for analyzing sensor performance. To identify the optimal working temperature of the sensor, we obtained the response curves of the gas sensors made of composites containing different rGO content upon exposure to 100 ppm NH$_3$ in the temperature range of 25–200 °C, as shown in Figure 13. Figure 13 shows that the response value of the sensor changes with temperature. The response value of pure MoS$_2$ to 100 ppm NH$_3$ gas increases with an increase in the temperature, reaches the peak at 141 °C, and gradually decreases when the temperature continues to increase. With an increase in the temperature, the sensor response demonstrates an “increase–peak–decrease” trend. Under the same concentration and temperature conditions, the response values of pure rGO and pure MoS$_2$ materials to NH$_3$ were not high. In contrast, the response values of the composites with rGO and MoS$_2$ were significantly higher. Among all composites, the performance of the 10%-rGO-doped composite was the highest, and the response value to 100 ppm NH$_3$ gas attained a peak value of 1.26 at 141 °C. Although the sensor had a certain response at room temperature (25 °C), higher response was obtained upon heating. Therefore, we considered 141 °C and the 10% rGO/MoS$_2$ composite to be the optimal working temperature and sensing material, respectively. Furthermore, we fabricated the gas sensor under the optimal conditions and studied its performance.

Figure 13. Response of pure MoS$_2$, pure rGO, and rGO/MoS$_2$ composites with different graphene contents to 100 ppm of NH$_3$ at different operating temperatures.

Figure 14 shows the continuous transient resistance–response–recovery curve of the sensor comprising the 10% rGO/MoS$_2$ composite upon exposure to NH$_3$ gas at concentrations of 50–1750 ppm at the optimal operating temperature. It is evident that the resistance of the sensor increases with an increase in the NH$_3$ concentration, indicating its NH$_3$-sensing ability. The change curve (Figure 15) of sensor resistance with the NH$_3$ concentration in an NH$_3$-flushed environment reflects the relationship between the NH$_3$ concentration and the sensor resistance value. The resistance of the sensor comprising the 10% rGO/MoS$_2$ composite exhibits a linear relationship with the NH$_3$ concentration in the range of 50–1500 ppm. The sensitivity of the sensor is 0.06672, and the linearity is relatively high ($R^2 = 0.948$). When the gas concentration was higher than 1500 ppm, the resistance
value did not change significantly, indicating that the sensor became saturated at a high concentration of NH$_3$.

![Graph showing variation in response of 10% rGO/MoS$_2$ sensor to different concentrations of NH$_3$.](image)

**Figure 14.** Variation in the response of the 10% rGO/MoS$_2$ sensor to different concentrations of NH$_3$.

![Graph showing response-concentration curve of 10% rGO/MoS$_2$ sensor.](image)

**Figure 15.** 10% rGO/MoS$_2$ sensor response–concentration curve.

Furthermore, selectivity is an important parameter of the NH$_3$ sensor in practical applications. Figure 16 shows the responses of the 10% rGO/MoS$_2$ sensor to various gases, including Cl$_2$, NO, NO$_2$, and a few typical volatile organic compounds (VOCs). The different responses of the sensor are mainly owing to the inherent chemical properties and reactivities of the gas molecules. It is evident that the response of the sensor to NH$_3$ is much higher than that toward other gases. In addition, the response of the 10% rGO/MoS$_2$ composite to 10 ppm NH$_3$ is much higher than that to 100 ppm VOCs. All these observations confirm the high selectivity of the rGO/MoS$_2$ sensor for NH$_3$ sensing.

### 3.10. Sensing Mechanism

Gas sensing involves the processes of adsorption and desorption of gas molecules and the use of a sensitive platform. Recent theoretical studies have revealed the negative surface adsorption energy ($-250$ MeV) of NH$_3$ molecules on the surface of MoS$_2$ [39]. In addition, the first principles calculation reveals the partial charge (E) transfer from the adsorbed NH$_3$ to the potential MoS$_2$ channels. NH$_3$ acts as an electron donor, and electrons are transferred from NH$_3$ to MoS$_2$, resulting in the decrease in MoS$_2$ hole density, weaken of MoS$_2$ conductivity, and increase in resistance [40]. Moreover, due to the existence
of oxygen-containing functional groups and structural defects on rGO, the addition of rGO can provide more adsorption sites and is more conducive to the adsorption of gas, compared with those of pure MoS$_2$.

![Figure 16. Response of the 10% rGO/MoS$_2$ sensor to various gases.](image)

In the process of hydrothermal preparation of rGO/MoS$_2$ composites, due to the existence of oxygen-containing functional groups on the surface of GO, Mo$^{4+}$ is adsorbed on the surface of graphene because of the electrostatic interaction, which provides nucleation sites for the growth of MoS$_2$ materials. Compared with that of pure MoS$_2$, the size of MoS$_2$ in the composite is smaller and the active edge is more exposed, thus increasing the number of active sites for the gas-sensing reaction and, consequently, effectively improving the gas-sensing response [41]. The Fermi level of MoS$_2$ is higher than that of graphene, and the addition of a small amount of rGO is beneficial. When the two are in contact, the heterostructure formed by the rGO/MoS$_2$ composite separates the carriers into electrons and holes under the action of the potential field. The electron transfer ability of the composite is enhanced under the action of the potential barrier at the heterojunction interface to accelerate the electron transfer, therefore effectively improving the gas-sensing response time. When the content of added rGO exceeds the threshold (20% rGO/MoS$_2$), a large amount of rGO is accumulated, which easily forms a network between the electrodes. Due to the high conductivity of rGO, the resistance adjustment of the composite is weakened, which reduces the sensitivity of the gas sensor. In addition, high content of rGO easily covers the active site of MoS$_2$ and hinders the interaction between NH$_3$ and MoS$_2$. Therefore, the sensing performance of 10% rGO/MoS$_2$ is optimal.

4. Conclusions

rGO/MoS$_2$ composites were synthesized via a one-step hydrothermal method. The result showed that the NH$_3$ detection performance of the rGO/MoS$_2$ composites was the highest when the content of rGO was 10%. It was found by structural analysis that there were various defects on MoS$_2$ and rGO, which served as the active sites for the adsorption of gas molecules. The flower-like MoS$_2$ nanospheres in the rGO/MoS$_2$ composite formed thin layers. Thus, when the diameter of the flower-ball structure decreased, the number of spherical structures significantly increased and the specific surface area of the material increased, thereby resulting in the enhancement of the electron-transport capacity of the active material. The XRD analysis results confirmed that most oxygen-containing functional groups in the rGO/MoS$_2$ composites disappeared and their structures possessed numerous defects, which enabled the formation of a new interlayer structure. This resulted in an increase in the number of active energy sites, which was conducive to the improvement of the gas-sensing properties. The TGA results indicated that the composites could maintain
stable physical and chemical properties at temperatures below 300 °C. The optimal working temperature of the sensor in this study was 141 °C, and the properties of the sensing materials were stable at this temperature.

In this study, we used the MEMS technology to fabricate a heating electrode and gas-sensing electrode on a flexible PI sheet with Pt to form a “sandwiched” sensor structure. We developed a gas-sensing test platform and measured the response parameters of the 10% rGO/MoS$_2$ sensor to 100 ppm NH$_3$ at 141 °C. The response time, recovery time, and response value were 17 s, 56 s, and 1.26, respectively, for a test range of 50–1500 ppm of NH$_3$. The LoD of the sensor was 10 ppm. The flexible chip maintained stable gas-sensing performance under deformation at 30°, 45°, and 90° bending angles, and its performance was stable after 1000 bending tests. The fabricated sensor demonstrated stable conductivity and high bending resistance.

This sensor possessed the ability to detect NH$_3$ across a broad concentration range and demonstrated high sensing performance, low power consumption, fast recovery response, low-temperature operation, and substrate flexibility. Further, the sensor possesses the advantages of having a small volume, high flexibility, and stable performance. It is suitable for mass production and for remote and distributed measurement. It provides a new approach for the detection of pollutants in industrial environments and the atmosphere.
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