PETECHIAL LESIONS IN A PATIENT WITH COVID-19\(^{\ddagger,*}\)

Dear Editor,

Rash, urticaria, and varicelliform presentations have already been associated with SARS-CoV-2,\(^1,2\) Pernio-like lesions, classically associated with lupus erythematosus, have also been reported\(^3,4\).

A 39-year-old female patient presented fever, dry cough, and odynophagia two weeks prior. After a presumptive diagnosis of COVID-19, she was treated with azithromycin, acetylcysteine, vitamin C, and zinc. On the tenth day, she presented anosmia, worsening of the cough, and painless, non-pruritic lesions on the fingers.

The patient reported hepatic steatosis and systemic arterial hypertension (she used losartan, atenolol, hydrochlorothiazide, and amlodipine, daily).

The physical examination revealed erythematous macules on the third, fourth, and fifth left fingers (Figs. 1 and 2). Skin biopsy of the lesion of the fourth finger and swab (the same as that used to collect oro- and nasopharynx fluids) of the collected skin tissue were performed. After this procedure, the swab was placed in a tube with saline for reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR).

Histopathological examination revealed a discrete focus of spongiosis in the epidermis, with slightly increased volume of keratinocytes, cytoplasmic vacuolization, and elongated and hyperchromatic nuclei. Apparently, there was pale intranuclear inclusion. In the dermis adjacent to these focal areas, there was a slight interface change with lymphohistiocytic permeation of the basal layer, perivascular inflammatory infiltrate, and vessels with intermingled nuclear debris (Fig. 3).

The SARS-CoV-2 virus was not identified by RT-PCR in the samples collected from the nasopharynx and skin. The anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgM antibody in peripheral blood was reactive and the IgG was non-reactive. Serological tests for dengue fever (DENV), Zika (ZIKV), and chikungunya (CHKV) virus were negative.

The patient was treated with topical corticosteroids, three times a day, for five days, with disappearance of the cutaneous lesions.

In Italy, the majority of SARS-CoV-2 patients developed pernio-like lesions on the tenth day of illness, similar to the present case.\(^1\) However, more localized acral edema, pain, and/or itching was observed in more than 70% of cases.\(^1,4\) There are reports of pernio-like lesions associated with COVID-19, with vacuolar changes in the basal layer with apoptotic keratinocytes and lichenoid inflammatory...
infiltrate in the papillary and reticular dermis. There is no description of a viral cytopathic effect, as in the case reported here.

There is still no gold standard technique for the identification of SARS-CoV-2 in the skin. In the autopsy of patients with COVID-19, a swab was introduced directly into the lung tissue. In that study, a sample collected was positive, by RT-PCR, for SARS-CoV-2. From this observation, a similar methodology was adopted for the present case.

In patients with skin rash, from tropical countries, several viruses should be investigated; DENV, ZIKV, and CHIKV are among the main ones. In the present case, there was also the possibility of a drug eruption, since in addition to antihypertensive drugs, the patient also used azithromycin and acetlysysteine before the onset of the skin condition. This hypothesis was ruled out by the histopathological examination. The IgM antibody reagent for SARS-CoV-2, negative serologies for DENV, ZIKV, CHIKV, and histopathological findings suggest that the lesions presented here are associated with COVID-19.

Patients with a clinical and/or laboratory picture of COVID-19 with cutaneous manifestations should be clinically and histopathologically evaluated by dermatologists, for the correct diagnosis and therapeutic conduct.
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Eruptive lentiginosis confined to areas of regressing psoriatic plaques after adalimumab treatment

Dear Editor,

Eruptive lentiginosis confined to areas of regressing psoriatic plaques is a rare phenomenon. Initially described after phototherapy, several other treatment regimens used in psoriasis including topical and systemic biologic agents, have been reported to induce lentigines. The author reports a new case of eruptive lentiginosis following treatment with adalimumab.

A 45-year-old female with no relevant medical history presented to this department with multiple brownish lesions in areas previously occupied by psoriatic plaques seven months after initiating adalimumab. She denied having applied any topical treatment or having received any sun exposure. Physical examination revealed grouped brown macules over previously affected areas (Fig. 1). The patient had suffered from chronic plaque psoriasis since adolescence, proven to be refractory to topical therapies, methotrexate, and cyclosporine. Phototherapy was not performed in this patient. She was not taking any other medications. A punch skin biopsy showed hyperpigmentation of the basal layer, consistent with lentigo. No treatment was initiated due to patient refusal. The lesions remained stable throughout one year of follow-up.

Lentigines confined to resolved psoriatic plaques have been rarely mentioned in the literature. The literature features reports following topical treatments and biological therapies used in psoriasis. Among biological therapies, eruptive lentiginosis has been reported in relation to infliximab, adalimumab, etanercept, ustekinumab and secukinumab. To the best of the author’s knowledge, to date there is only one case reported associated with classic systemic therapies.

The pathophysiology is not well documented. Some cytokines produced in psoriatic skin are known to stimulate melanogenesis and might be responsible for the lentigines. In addition, Wang et al. reported that IL-17 and TNF can affect both the growth and pigment production of melanocytes, which may contribute to the pigmentation changes associated with psoriasis. In turn, it has been suggested that eruptive lentiginosis is an exaggerated recovery in pigment production, associated with greater disease severity or greater inhibition of cytokines with treatment.

To date, no effective therapy has been reported. Lentigines appear within the first months of treatment and may persist with no or little improvement. Although it does not require an interruption of the treatment, close follow-up is recommended.

In conclusion, the author presents a new case of eruptive lentiginosis confined to areas of regressing psoriatic plaques after adalimumab. Given the development of novel biological treatments and new therapeutic targets, new cases of eruptive lentiginosis are likely to appear. Clinicians need to be aware of the potential side effects of biological therapies due to their increasing use.

Figure 1  Multiple brownish macules in areas previously occupied by psoriatic plaques.