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Abstract

The ability to control the interaction of light and matter at the nanoscale is at the heart of the field of nanophotonics. This subdiffractional confinement of light can be achieved through the stimulation of surface polaritons, most notably surface plasmon polaritons (SPPs). However, the high optical losses and lack of tunability of conventional plasmonic materials have hindered major progress in this field. In the search for alternative low-loss and tunable materials, graphene and polar dielectric materials are viewed as potential alternatives to more common metal-based plasmonic materials. In particular, the possibility of combining the tunable nature of graphene SPPs with the high-quality factors and long lifetimes of surface phonon-polaritons (SPhPs) modes supported in polar dielectric materials (e.g. SiC) offers great promise for advanced nanophotonic applications. The combination of graphene SPPs and SPhPs supported in SiC is even more pertinent as this material system can be realized in the form of epitaxial graphene (EG), whereby sublimation of silicon from a SiC results in a surface reconstruction into a graphene surface termination. This offers an ideal technology platform for realizing hybrid SPP-SPhP modes. In this review, we outline advances in graphene plasmonics and the generation of SPhPs in polar materials, in the context of epitaxial graphene. We review recent attempts at realizing such coupling of graphene SPPs with phonon and SPhP modes in SiC, as well as covering such modes in other polar materials and conclude with an overview of advantages and challenges for further advancement of nanophotonics based on graphene on silicon carbide for on-chip light manipulation.

1. Introduction

Graphene is a highly promising material for a variety of applications in the photonics industry due to its exceptional optical, physical, electrical, and mechanical properties \([1, 2]\). One of the most anticipated impacts is found in the potential for miniaturization of devices, where graphene can be transferred to micro- or nano-scale devices to enhance their performance or add additional functionality \([3, 4]\). While the transfer of graphene onto different substrates and pre-fabricated structures is possible \([5, 6]\), another appealing route is the direct growth of graphene on a given material \([7–9]\). A breakthrough was achieved with the epitaxial growth of graphene on silicon carbide, which emerged as an alternative to avoid transfer-based methods \([3]\). The ability to grow graphene directly on a semiconductor substrate enables graphene-based optical, optoelectronic, and electronic devices at scale.

One of the exciting aspects of exploring graphene and silicon carbide is their ability to support surface plasmon polaritons (SPPs) and surface phonon polaritons (SPhPs), respectively. Polaritons provide deep sub-wavelength confinement of light and have enabled many photonic applications such as passive radiative
In planar slabs, excitation of SPPs results in a propagating mode confined to the interface between the material. A SPP is a hybrid mode comprised of light coupled to a coherent oscillation of free-charge carriers. The plasma frequency also defines the upper-frequency limit where SPPs can be stimulated in the material. As such, for mid-wave to long-wave IR light, the focusing of light to nanoscale dimensions is not realistic. As such, wavelengths of light are advantageous for many applications ranging from vibrational spectroscopy enabling insights into the composition of chemicals and materials, provide a non-contact monitor of temperature, offers the promise of minimal free-space attenuation of light by the atmosphere, as well as limiting light-induced damage on samples of interest associated with higher frequency light sources. However, the long-wavelengths that enable these applications also imply that the requisite optical components must also be wavelength scale or larger, limiting opportunities for compact solutions. Thus, there is a strong desire for photonic solutions that enable operations beyond the diffraction limit, which has sparked a substantial body of literature over recent years in polaritonics, and metamaterials. Sub-diffractional confinement with plasmonics

1.1. The diffraction limit—a challenge for miniaturization

Conventional optics make use of materials with a positive real part of the dielectric function to propagate and manipulate electromagnetic (EM) waves at optical frequencies. The benefits associated with the use of plane waves and refractive optics is that they enable propagation and manipulation of light over long distances with comparative ease. However, as a consequence of the diffraction limit first reported by Ernst Abbe in 1873, a beam of light traveling in a medium with refractive index and a converging half-angle \( \theta \), a minimum resolvable size of \( d = \frac{\lambda}{2 \sin \theta} \) results, where NA is the numerical aperture of the lens and \( \text{NA} = n \sin \theta \). For a microscope objective of \( \text{NA} = 1 \), the minimum focal spot is \( d = \frac{\lambda}{2} \), from roughly a quarter of a micron for the blue end of the visible spectrum and extending to several microns for longer-wavelength infrared light [27, 37, 38]. As such, for mid-wave to long-wave IR light, the focusing of light to nanoscale dimensions is not realistic. As such wavelengths of light are advantageous for many applications ranging from vibrational spectroscopy enabling insights into the composition of chemicals and materials, provide a non-contact monitor of temperature, offers the promise of minimal free-space attenuation of light by the atmosphere, as well as limiting light-induced damage on samples of interest associated with higher frequency light sources. However, the long-wavelengths that enable these applications also imply that the requisite optical components must also be wavelength scale or larger, limiting opportunities for compact solutions. Thus, there is a strong desire for photonic solutions that enable operations beyond the diffraction limit, which has sparked a substantial body of literature over recent years in polaritonics, and metamaterials [39].

1.2. Sub-diffractional confinement with plasmonics

While there are a number of reviews discussing the basics of plasmonics [38, 40–44], a brief overview is provided here for clarity. In contrast to traditional refractive optical materials, metallic materials have a negative real part of the dielectric function, whereby free carriers oscillate coherently in response to incident optical fields, screening out the radiation and resulting in high reflectivity. It is within spectral regions where the real part of the permittivity is negative that a material can support SPPs, and thus provide the means to design and manipulate electromagnetic (EM) waves at optical frequencies. The benefits associated with the use of plane waves and refractive optics is that they enable propagation and manipulation of light over long distances with comparative ease. However, as a consequence of the diffraction limit first reported by Ernst Abbe in 1873, a beam of light traveling in a medium with refractive index and a converging half-angle \( \theta \), a minimum resolvable size of \( d = \frac{\lambda}{2 \sin \theta} \) results, where NA is the numerical aperture of the lens and \( \text{NA} = n \sin \theta \). As such, in this review, we focus on aspects related to the hybrid system of graphene on silicon carbide, specifically in regards to epitaxial graphene (EG), highlighting its promise for mid-infrared (MIR) nanophotonic applications featuring low-loss and extreme sub-diffractional confinement. We will highlight how this platform provides unique potential due to the relative ease of fabrication, as well as the favourable properties of both graphene and silicon carbide for a variety of infrared (IR) nanophotonics concepts.

\[
\varepsilon (\omega) = \varepsilon_{\infty} - \frac{\omega_p^2}{\omega^2 + \gamma \omega}
\]

where \( \omega \) is the angular frequency, while \( \omega_p \), and \( \gamma \) are the plasma frequency and damping constant associated with the free-carrier plasma oscillation in the metal, respectively. The plasma frequency designates the highest frequency at which the free carriers in a given material can coherently respond to an incident electromagnetic field, defined as:

\[
\omega_p = \sqrt{\frac{n_e e^2}{m^* \varepsilon_0}}
\]

with \( n, n^* e \), and \( \varepsilon_0 \) representing the free carrier density, the effective mass of the charge carrier, elementary charge, and the permittivity of vacuum, respectively. We also define \( \tau = \frac{1}{\gamma} \) as the relaxation time of free electrons. From (2) the dc- conductivity (\( \sigma_0 \)) of the material can be estimated in terms of plasma frequency [40].

\[
\sigma_0 = \frac{n_e e^2}{m^*} = \omega_p \tau \varepsilon_0
\]

The plasma frequency also defines the upper-frequency limit where SPPs can be stimulated in the material. A SPP is a hybrid mode comprised of light coupled to a coherent oscillation of free-charge carriers. In planar slabs, excitation of SPPs results in a propagating mode confined to the interface between the...
polaritonic medium and the surrounding dielectric (e.g. air), which offers the opportunity to compress the electromagnetic energy to deeply subwavelength scales [46–49]. SPPs can also be realized in highly confined geometries through the formation of deeply subdiffractive cavities and nanostructures. SPPs have enabled several applications, such as biosensors [50], enhanced photovoltaic cells [51, 52], photothermal therapies [13, 53, 54], optical interconnects [55], and metamaterial-based concepts and devices [56–62]. However, for most applications, the optical losses must be low. Thus, the imaginary part of the dielectric permittivity must be small, specifically much smaller than the absolute value of the real part. In this respect, metals such as Au, Ag, Al, and Cu are considered as the best plasmonic materials in the visible spectrum [47, 63, 64]. Yet, the plasma frequency of metals in the visible range results in much larger ($< \sim 1000$) real dielectric permittivities than the adjacent dielectric ($\sim 1–10$) in the MIR, hence a thin metal film produces weak confinement of light in the MIR range. Moreover, the carrier density of metals cannot be dynamically tuned. Metal-based SPP modes can only be tuned with the use of alloys (this also reduces the mobility and increases impurity scattering) or by adjusting their geometrical parameters in the design stage [65]. Studies focused on identifying alternative plasmonic materials with low optical losses have been conducted on heavily doped semiconductors and conductive oxides [37, 65–69]. However, one of the challenges with the semiconductors is that excess doping in semiconductors typically degrades mobility and thus increases the losses [65], with the notable exception of materials such as n-type CdO, where the carrier mobility (imaginary part of the dielectric function) is found to increase (decrease) as the doping density is increased, even up to levels as high as $3 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-3}$ [70–72]. However, such a material is the exception, and thus, in most cases, there is a fundamental trade-off between controlling the plasma frequency for spectral tuning, and realizing sufficiently low losses for a given application. This has motivated research to expand into a broader range of polaritonic materials, such as 2D and polar materials [42, 73, 74].

2. Dispersion relation of SPP modes

Much of the physics of polaritonic modes can be understood by exploring the dispersion of plasmonic materials [75–77]. Considering a planar interface between a non-dispersive dielectric material featuring a positive permittivity $\varepsilon_d$ and a conducting material (e.g. doped semiconductor) with a complex dielectric function $\varepsilon_m(\omega)$, exhibiting a negative real part, a deeply subwavelength evanescent SPP mode can be established at this interface (see figure 1(a)) with wave vector $k_x$.

The dispersion of the SPP ($k_{SPP}$) mode can be obtained from the solution to Maxwell’s equation for the two-layer system discussed above, once sufficient boundary conditions are applied [40, 78], with the in-plane wavevector defined as:

$$k_x = k_0 \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_m(\omega)}{\varepsilon_m(\omega) + \varepsilon_d}}$$

where $k_0 = \frac{c}{\lambda}$ represents the wavevector in free space. Using the complex dielectric function of doped InAs [63] within equation (4), we can calculate the dispersion of the SPP mode at the surface of a doped InAs slab and air (figure 1(c)). The dispersion curve indicates that at low frequencies, the SPP mode lies near the dispersion of light in free space (red, so-called ‘light-line,’ figure 1(c)), indicating that the SPP has a wavelength similar to that of light propagating in air. However, as the frequency is increased, the dispersion curve and light-line separate, with the $k_{SPP}$ becoming significantly larger than free-space light $k_{SPP} > k_0$, asymptotically approaching the SPP resonant frequency ($\omega_{SPP} = \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_m}}$) [78]. Here $\omega_{SPP}$ stands for the frequency of SPP resonance. At this frequency, the wavevector converges to its largest value ($k_{SPP} \rightarrow \infty$), thus the corresponding SPP wavelength ($\lambda_{SPP}$) becomes vanishingly small ($\lambda_{SPP} \rightarrow 0$). It is this exceptional wavelength reduction that enables the desired strong confinement of optical fields to subdiffractive dimensions [63, 78]. However, this also imposes a large momentum mismatch with free space light that must be overcome for the excitation of SPP modes.

There are several ways to manipulate incident light to match that of an SPP mode [38]. One method is to shrink the wavelength of light through a high index prism [79–81], which is placed on (Kretschmann configuration), or in close proximity (Otto configuration) to the polaritonic surface. When the angle of the incident ($\theta_i$) light in the prism and the adjacent material is greater than a critical angle ($\theta_c$), total internal reflection occurs, which results in an evanescent mode that can launch an SPP of the same wavevector and frequency. Alternatively, the launching of SPPs can be realized through a grating, whereby the reduced momentum of diffractive modes dictated by the periodicity of the grating [82] can be used to slow down the incident light for this purpose. Finally, Mie-scattering from sub-wavelength polaritonic nanoparticles defines a fixed wavevector for the polariton mode dictated by the size of the structure, resulting in a defined resonant frequency [83–85]. Further details concerning the dispersion of SPP modes and coupling techniques were discussed in these references [38, 40, 75, 78, 86].
Figure 1. (a) Schematics illustrating the SPP mode at the flat interface between air and a conductor (left), and the penetration depth (how the field intensities decay) in dielectric and conductor (right), (b) The calculated permittivity (real and imaginary) of doped InAs using the Drude model (1). (c) The calculated dispersion relation of the air and doped InAs’s interface compared with the dispersion of light in the free space (solid red line). The horizontal broken line denotes the position of surface plasmon resonance frequency ($\omega_{spp} \approx 27.7$ THz). (d) The calculated figures of merit for three-length scales characteristics of SPP mode in doped InAs. The calculations were performed using the data for doped InAs ($\varepsilon_{\infty} = 12.3$, $\omega_p = 1.74 \times 10^{14}$ rad s$^{-1}$, and relaxation time $\tau = 8.85 \times 10^{-14}$ s) from [67].

There are also three-length scales that play a crucial role in the characterization of SPP modes. The first is $\lambda_{spp}$, which defines the period of the oscillating surface charge density and the subdiffractional field extent and distribution. The $\lambda_{spp}$ can be obtained from the real part of the SPP wave vector ($k_{spp}$), ($\lambda_{spp} = \frac{2\pi}{k_{spp}}$). The second is the length-scale of the SPP propagation ($L_p$) and refers to a distance over which the evanescent field will decay to $1/e$ from the maximum intensity along the direction of propagation and is related to the imaginary wavevector ($k_{spp}'$), ($L_p = \frac{1}{2k_{spp}'}$). Usually, the propagation length of the SPP mode will vary from a few hundred nms to hundreds of microns depending on the loss and the magnitude of the negative real part of the dielectric function of the polaritonic medium. For localized resonant structures, the performance metric is typically designated via the quality factor, defined as $\omega_{res}/\Delta \omega$, where $\omega_{res}$ and $\Delta \omega$ represents the resonant frequency and linewidth of the SPP resonance. The Q-factor defines the number of field oscillations that the SPP mode will undergo within the cavity before dissipation [27]. The third is the evanescent extent of the polaritonic fields (in plasmonic material and dielectric), which denotes the distance over which the intensity of field decays $1/e$ of the maximum intensity in the direction perpendicular to the propagation direction ($\delta_{spp} = \frac{1}{\text{Imag}(k_z)}$) (figure 1(a) right). These three length scales for polaritonic modes are provided in figure 1(d) using the figures of merit ($\lambda_{spp}/\lambda_0$, $L_p/\lambda_{spp}$ and $\lambda_0/\delta_{spp}$) for doped InAs. One ca notice from figure 1(d) that the ratio of the free-space wavelength ($\lambda_0$) and penetration depth (yellow curve) increases asymptotically towards the SPP resonance frequency (here, $\omega_{spp} \approx 27.7$ THz), which indicates the enhanced confinement at this frequency. On the other hand, the ratio of propagation length to SPP wavelength (orange curve) drops significantly near $\omega_{spp}$ indicating a substantial propagation loss for the SPP mode.

3. Graphene for infrared optics

Graphene has attracted significant research attention since the initial studies into its properties in exfoliated [2], chemical vapor decomposition (CVD) [87, 88], and epitaxial [3] forms were first reported. Graphene exhibits exceptional optical and electrical properties [2, 89–92], which originate from massless Dirac fermions [93, 94] that serve as the charge carriers, which exhibit high mobility. This results in ballistic carrier transport over micrometers at room temperature in suspended flakes [95, 96]. SPP modes excited in
graphene display superior confinement to those in conventional metals and doped semiconductors, with recent experiments demonstrating the highest reported SPP confinement [29, 97–100]. It also displays relatively low optical losses and can support SPPs throughout the far- to mid-infrared, which makes graphene an exceptional material for plasmonics throughout this spectral range [99, 101, 102]. Moreover, as a 2D material with a zero bandgap (see figure 2 for electronic band structure of graphene), the optical properties of graphene can be altered via electrostatic gating or chemical doping over a broad range of frequencies and thus enables the development of tunable, planar optics [56, 96, 98, 103–110].

With the above-stated properties, graphene has attracted significant research interest for several prospective applications in nanophotonics [103], such as novel solar cells [112–114], optical sensors [115, 116], photodetectors [117–121], ultrafast lasers [116, 122, 123], and many others [24].

3.1. Graphene growth

Several ways to produce graphene have been reported, such as micromechanical exfoliation of single-crystal graphite [2], chemical vapour decomposition on metals and insulators [124–126], unzipping of carbon nanotubes [127], and chemical reduction of graphene oxide [128]. Even though good quality graphene can be produced via most of these synthesis techniques, one of the challenges is that the transfer of the material is required for further processing and device fabrication. In contrast, thermal decomposition of a bulk SiC substrate was introduced as a suitable alternative path to obtain a transfer free, homogeneous, and large-area graphene for electronic and photonic applications [8, 129–131]. This technique consists of subliming Si from the SiC sample at a high temperature ~1400°C under the vacuum/atmospheric pressure conditions. This results as the vapour pressure of carbon is insignificant compared to the silicon at such temperatures, resulting in the surface carbon atoms reconstructing to form graphitic layers [8, 132] (figure 3(a)).

Ideally, one would benefit from having graphene directly on a silicon substrate for diverse technological applications using conventional CMOS processes. Thus e.g. on 3C-SiC on Si was developed to meet this purpose [3, 8, 9, 133, 134]. The two approaches widely used by researchers to produce e.g. on 3C-SiC on Si are the above mentioned thermal decomposition method (figure 3(b)) [130, 133, 135, 136], as well as metal-mediated approaches that consist of deposition of a metal layer such as nickel or cobalt on 3C-SiC on Si sample followed by heating the sample to the temperature between 700°C to 1200°C. Under such conditions, SiC atoms react with the metal layer and form a metal silicide. The carbon atoms released during this process rearrange to the surface throughout the cooling phase to form graphitic layers [8, 132] (figure 3(a)).

Furthermore, a catalytic alloying technique for e.g. on SiC on Si was invented [7, 139]. This approach consists of the deposition of a double layer of nickel and copper on 3C-SiC on Si substrate, which followed by heating the sample at 1100°C for 1 h (figure 3(c)). The removal of excess carbon and metal silicide on the surface of the sample after graphitization is realized through subsequent wet chemical etching, which results in a uniformly distributed graphene on 3C-SiC on Si [140]. Further details about e.g. growth are available in the following references [7, 132, 140, 141].
3.2. Surface plasmon in graphene

The surface conductivity of graphene \([30, 142]\), can be calculated using the well-known Kubo formalism \([143, 144]\).

\[
\sigma(\mu_c, \omega, T, \gamma) = \frac{2e^2}{\pi \hbar} \left( \frac{\omega + i2\gamma}{\omega + i(2\gamma/\sqrt{3})} \right) - \ln \left( \frac{e^{\frac{-\mu_c}{k_B T}}}{e^{\frac{-\mu_c}{k_B T}} + 1} \right)
\]

with \(f(E)\) describing the Fermi distribution function, which is given by the following expression.

\[
f(E) = \left(1 + e^{\left(\frac{E - \mu_c}{k_B T}\right)}\right)^{-1}
\]

Two distinct solutions result from equation (5), with one expressing intra-band (electron and phonon scattering) contributions, while the other stands for inter-band (bound electron) contributions \([145]\):

\[
\sigma_{\text{intra-band}} = \frac{e^2}{\pi \hbar} \left( e^{\frac{\mu_c}{k_B T}} + 2 \ln \left( e^{\frac{\mu_c}{k_B T}} + 1 \right) \right)
\]

\[
\sigma_{\text{inter-band}} = \frac{e^2}{\pi \hbar} \left[ \theta(\hbar \omega - 2|\mu_c|) + \frac{i}{\pi} \ln \frac{\hbar \omega - 2|\mu_c|}{\hbar \omega + 2|\mu_c|} \right]
\]

where \(\theta(\hbar \omega - 2|\mu_c|)\) defines a step function. The conductivity of graphene can be obtained by summing (7) and (8).

\[
\sigma = \sigma_{\text{intra-band}} + \sigma_{\text{inter-band}}
\]

For graphene with a carrier density of \(~10^{12}\) cm\(^{-2}\) the intra-band contribution dominates the conductivity of graphene at MIR and far-infrared (FIR) frequencies, while the inter-band contribution dominates graphene conductivity at the near-infrared (NIR) and visible regimes \([31]\). The effective permittivity of graphene (\(\varepsilon_g(\omega)\)) can be approximated from its conductivity \([146]\), using equation (9) through the following:

\[
\varepsilon_g(\omega) = \varepsilon_0 + \frac{\sigma(\omega)}{\omega \Delta}
\]

where \(\Delta\) describes an effective thickness of the graphene layer.

Similar to conventional plasmonics, a TM polarized wave can be used to excite an SPP mode in graphene. By considering such a wave with the following field components \((E_x, H_y, E_z)\), one can solve Maxwell’s
equations with appropriate boundary conditions to get the following relation for the dispersion of graphene [41, 109].

$$\frac{\varepsilon_d}{\sqrt{k_{spp}^2(\omega) - \varepsilon_d k_d^2}} + \frac{\varepsilon_m(\omega)}{\sqrt{k_{spp}^2(\omega) - \varepsilon_m(\omega) k_d^2}} = -\frac{i\sigma(\omega)}{\omega \varepsilon_0}$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

where $\sigma(\omega)$ represents the frequency-dependent conductivity of graphene (figure 4).

Equation (11) can be solved to produce the following expression or the dispersion of SPP mode in graphene [41].

$$k_{spp}(\omega) = k_0 \frac{(\varepsilon_d + \varepsilon_m(\omega)) \hbar \omega}{4 \alpha E_F} \left(1 + i(\tau \omega)^{-1}\right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

where $\frac{\varepsilon_d}{\varepsilon_m \omega^2} \approx \frac{1}{\gamma}$, is the fine structure constant.

In the MIR and FIR spectral regions ($\sim 3 \mu m - 300 \mu m$), the intra-band transitions dominate the optical behaviour of graphene, causing it to act as a metallic film and support an SPP mode once the sufficient conditions for SPP excitation are fulfilled [147]. Thanks to the 2D nature of collective excitations of carriers in graphene, this SPP mode is confined significantly more than in conventional plasmonic materials, as the wavelength of graphene SPP mode can be much smaller than the wavelength of light at the same frequency [99]. Moreover, exceptional confinement of the SPP mode in graphene can be understood by comparing its dispersion with that of a thin layer of a doped semiconductor (e.g. InAs) of comparable $\omega_p$. The SPP dispersion of a thin-film of InAs can be calculated using the following relation as in [148–150].

$$1 + \frac{\varepsilon_n k_{spp}}{\varepsilon_n k_{spp}} = j \tan \left(k_{spp} \delta d\right) \left(\frac{\varepsilon_n k_{spp}}{\varepsilon_n k_{spp}} + \frac{\varepsilon_n k_{spp}}{\varepsilon_n k_{spp}}\right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

where $d$ is the film thickness and $k_{spp} = \left(\frac{\varepsilon \omega^2}{\varepsilon_n} - k_{||}\right)^{1/2}$ (n = 1, 2, 3) is the longitudinal wavenumber of the SPP supported on the film and the surrounding medium and $k_{||} = k_{spp}$. Using the assumption that $\varepsilon \omega^2 \ll k_{||}^2$ [149], we can calculate and compare the dispersion of a 10-nm thick layer of a doped InAs to graphene.

Both graphene and InAs exhibit almost equivalent negative real permittivity values close to $\omega_p$ (figure 5(a)). However, a clear deviation can be noticed near this frequency (figure 5(b)), where the $k_{spp}$ for graphene is much larger than doped InAs near the plasma frequency (55.4 THz). This suggests that SPP modes are more strongly confined in graphene than in doped InAs.

We also used the two figures of merit (FOMs) [151], to compare the performance of doped InAs against graphene qualitatively. The first FOM is associated with the propagation of an SPP mode (FOM$_{prop}$), which is given by the $L_p$ normalized to $\lambda_{spp}$, while the second is a measure of the lateral confinement (FOM$_{conf}$) of SPP mode at the interface and can be calculated as the ratio between $\delta_{spp}$ and $\lambda_0$.

$$\text{FOM}_{prop} = \frac{L_p}{\lambda_{spp}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

$$\text{FOM}_{conf} = \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_{spp}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

Graphene displays stronger confinement than InAs of the same $\omega_p$ conditions (figure 5(c)). However, in terms of propagation, InAs SPPs do exhibit a larger FOM than graphene. It is worth noting that the relaxation time of $t = 8.85 \times 10^{-14}\text{s}$ used in figure 5(c) is low for graphene, but reasonable enough to allow a sensible comparison of its dispersion with that of doped InAs.
Figure 5. Dispersion relation of SPP in graphene compared with a doped InAs thin film. (a) The calculated permittivity, inset shows the frequency where permittivity is near zero for graphene and InAs. For InAs permittivity is zero at $\omega_p = 55.4$ THz. (b) Dispersion relation of SPP modes in both materials. (c) FOM for propagation length and spatial confinement of SPP modes in graphene and doped InAs. The calculation for dispersion relations were performed using equations (1), and (13) for the doped InAs and (10 & 12) for graphene. ENZ conditions are $E_{\text{ENZ, graphene}} = \frac{\omega_p}{2\pi c} = 27.7$ THz and relaxation time $\tau = 8.85 \times 10^{-14}$ s for both materials.

Due to the higher polariton confinement of graphene, the 2D material is very promising for the fabrication of novel metamaterial-based devices and nanophotonic applications at the discussed spectral regions [41, 98, 99, 102, 109, 152–154].

3.3. Overview of graphene plasmonics

As discussed specifically for graphene in a number of reviews over the past few years [31, 41, 105, 155], graphene has the major advantage of broad tunability, enabling spectral tuning over much of the MIR to FIR spectral range. While this will be described in this section, it is worth highlighting that recent work has also been able to show exotic physics, such as photodection [156] and emission [157, 158], as well as nonlocality [159–162], and polariton properties controlled by moire lattice formation [163] in stacked layers. This highlights that while graphene plasmonics research has spanned the past decade, there are still new phenomena that are identified regularly within this material. In particular, it is worth highlighting that bilayer graphene may also hold many benefits in terms of infrared optoelectronics [155, 164, 165]. However, for the purpose of this review, we will focus on the tunable properties of graphene plasmons as it pertains to their interactions with silicon carbide.

3.3.1. Tunability of surface plasmon polariton in graphene

The linear dispersion of the Dirac fermions in graphene is the basis of its broadband tunability, which makes it superior to other plasmonic materials [41]. Typically, the charge carriers induced in graphene through doping can be increased from on the order of $10^{11}$ cm$^{-2}$ to $10^{13}$ cm$^{-2}$ [166]. Pradeep et al using Hall effect measurements have recently reported carrier concentrations exceeding such values, reporting $3 \times 10^{13}$ cm$^{-2}$ and $7 \times 10^{13}$ cm$^{-2}$ for e.g. on SiC/Si(100) and e.g. on SiC/Si(111), respectively [167]. Additionally, the carrier concentration in graphene can be increased up to $10^{14}$ cm$^{-2}$ through electrostatic gating [168]. Such broad tunability has enabled the realization of numerous novel photonic devices and applications, such as tunable signal modulators [169–174], optical detectors [98, 121, 175, 176], and filters [177, 178] along with
many others. As previously shown in equations (5)–(12), both the conductivity and SPP dispersion of graphene depends directly upon the Fermi energy, but are also strongly sensitive to the nature of the surrounding dielectric environment, frequency, electronic band structure, and densities of states of the carriers [31]. For monolayer graphene, the Fermi energy can be calculated as: 

$$E_F = \sqrt{\pi \hbar^2 v_F^2 n},$$

where $\hbar$ represents the reduced Planck constant, while $v_F$ and $n$ represent the graphene Fermi velocity ($\approx 10^6 \text{m/s}$) and carrier concentration, respectively. Thus, the control of the carrier density can be simply represented via the Fermi energy in EG [179, 180] and free-standing graphene [181–183].

Due to the strong sensitivity to local materials and interfaces in the proximity of graphene, these changes in the environment can induce doping in graphene through charge transfer processes, where p-doping can result from polymers with nitrogen, fluorine, and oxygen constituents, while n-doping can be induced in graphene on metallic samples [31]. Thus, careful materials selection is needed to control the carrier density and doping and thus has become one of the most common practices in controlling its electronic properties.

For example, Hu et al demonstrated the use of an ion-gel to induce a broadly tunable SPP mode at a fixed frequency of 1270 cm$^{-1}$ using low voltage modulation of about 4 V tuned from the Dirac point [188] (see figure 6). Furthermore, by encapsulating graphene between layers of hexagonal boron nitride, it is possible to maximize the propagation length [97]. Further works concerning graphene SPP tunability can be found in these references [99, 105–107, 189–192].

However, one of the advantages of having graphene grown directly on SiC in the context of nanophotonics is that the graphene SPPs can couple directly with SPhPs supported in the underlying SiC within the long-wave IR. Within a spectral range between approximately 10.3 to 12.5 µm a hybrid mode can be formed with enabling properties, such as robust confinement, and broad spectral tunability [193–195]. However, before we discuss such hybridization, let us start by providing an overview of the fundamentals governing the excitation of the SPhPs in polar dielectric materials. In the next section, we discuss the physics behind the excitation of SPhPs in SiC and the application of these modes for nanophotonics.

### 4. Surface phonon polaritons in silicon carbide

While SPPs are derived from the coupling of coherent free-carrier oscillations with light, the collective ionic oscillations of a polar crystal enable the stimulation of SPhPs [23, 27]. These modes are analogous to SPPs and can be supported within the spectral region known as the Reststrahlen band [84, 196], which is bound between longitudinal (LO) and transverse optic phonon (TO) frequencies [197]. SPhP modes have been...
Figure 7. (a) Measured FT-IR spectra (red curve) of 4H-SiC indicates the high reflectivity nature of SiC within the Reststrahlen band, while the Raman spectra indicate the positions of optical phonon modes [27]. (b) The calculated dielectric function of 4H-SiC showing real and imaginary permittivity, the broken vertical black lines indicate the position of TO (left at 23.9 THz) and LO (right at 29.2 THz) frequency, the two parallel vertical dashed lines (LO and TO) represent the Reststrahlen band, (c) Dispersion of surface phonon in 4H-SiC, and light is propagating in free space calculated using relation (4). The calculation was performed using 4H-SiC data for $\omega_{\text{TO}} = 797 \text{ cm}^{-1} (23.9 \text{ THz})$, $\omega_{\text{LO}} = 973 \text{ cm}^{-1} (29.2 \text{ THz})$, and $\Gamma = 4.7 \text{ cm}^{-1} (0.1 \text{ THz})$.

demonstrated in a wide variety of polar materials such as SiO$_2$ [198], GaAs [199, 200], SiC [197, 201], hexagonal [202, 203] and cubic BN [204], and revealed strong field (sub-diffraction) confinement with relatively low optical losses [23]. Moreover, due to the optic phonon nature of SPhP modes, they are resonant in the MIR to THz spectral ranges, a region of great interest for applications in thermal imaging and chemical spectroscopy. Above all, SPhP modes have been reported with high resonance quality factors of up to 300 in the far-field, and 400 in the nearfield with long lifetimes on the order of one to hundreds of picoseconds [205, 206], with a very recent record high-quality factor of 501 realized in hBN [207]. This is in comparison to SPPs, which feature quality factors typically on the order of 10, resulting from scattering lifetimes of tens to a few hundred femtoseconds [32, 197]. These effects thus identify polar dielectrics as low-loss materials compared to their SPP counterparts [27, 84, 197]. In the following section, we discuss the dispersion of SPhP modes using SiC as a case study.

4.1. Dispersion of surface phonon polariton in silicon carbide

In order to understand the physics associated with the excitation of SPhP modes in SiC, it is worthwhile to look back on the optical properties and phenomena that cause metals to support SPPs. Similar to metals, polar dielectric materials also exhibit high reflectivity and negative real part of the permittivity within the Reststrahlen band (figures 7(a)–(b)) [27, 208]. The dielectric function of such polar materials thus deviates from Drude materials (e.g. metals and doped semiconductors) in that the negative permittivity is only observed within the Reststrahlen band, and thus is typically defined by the so-called TOLO formalism provided in equation (16):

$$\varepsilon(\omega) = \varepsilon_\infty \left(1 + \frac{\omega_{\text{TO}}^2 - \omega^2}{\omega_{\text{LO}}^2 - \omega^2} \right)$$

where $\omega_{\text{TO}}$ and $\omega_{\text{LO}}$, represent the TO and LO phonon frequencies, respectively, while $\Gamma$ defines the damping constant associated with optic phonon modes, respectively.

A plane wave incident on the surface of a polar material may stimulate an evanescent wave within the Reststrahlen band, provided methods for overcoming the momentum mismatch between the incident free-space light and the polaritonic mode are employed as described previously [38].
4.2. Silicon carbide nanophotonics

Analogous to plasmonic materials, the surface of SiC can be carefully tailored, and nanostructure patterns fabricated into it that can support long-lived localized SPhP modes [209–214]. These SPhPs are known to be tightly confined at subwavelength scales and exhibit high-quality factors with expected Purcell enhancements on the order of about three order magnitudes higher than what is attainable with noble-metal and doped semiconductor plasmonic structures [197, 215]. Furthermore, akin to plasmonics, nanoscale patterning of SiC can also be employed to induce gratings and thereby enable phase matching for excitation of propagating modes [210]. The ability to couple localized resonances with propagating modes in SiC has been proposed as a means to realize photonic integrated systems similar to those with SPP modes [216]. One such hybrid localized/propagating SPhP mode is the so-called monopole resonance that results from a coupling between a propagating SPhP and a modified longitudinal dipole when nanostructures are fabricated into the same polaritonic material [32, 217–221].

Feldman [222, 223] was the pioneer in developing our understanding of optic phonons within SiC, with Nienhaus [201] and Nakashima and Harima [224] extending our understanding substantially when they revealed excitation of surface phonons in 3 C, 4 H and 6 H-SiC using Auger electron spectroscopy, high-resolution electron energy loss spectroscopy and with Raman scattering techniques. Tiwald et al [225] reported a widely employed dielectric function model for SiC that subsequently enabled the seminal works of Hillenbrand et al [226], and Greffet et al [227], which revealed the first experimental studies of SPhPs in this material. Hillenbrand et al [226] used scanning near field optical microscopy (SNOM) to investigate propagating SPhPs on 4 H-SiC surfaces. By mapping the spatial amplitude (proportional to reflection) and phase (absorption) of the infrared optical response of the material resulting from the scattering of incident infrared laser light off of a metalized atomic force microscope tip near the SiC surface, they revealed a contrast in the near field amplitude of the sample at frequencies within the Reststrahlen band. This is shown in figures 8(a)–(c), where the brightness of the SNOM image displays enhancement in the scattering amplitude of incident optical fields on the surface of 4 H-SiC in comparison to the surrounding Au. At the same time, Greffet et al [227], used SiC-based gratings to induce spatially coherent thermal emission (figures 8(d)–(e)). This was followed by Taubner et al exploring superlenses in the near field [213] and additional work from the Shvets group, which demonstrated prism coupling for chemical sensing platforms [228, 229].

Subsequently, Schuller et al demonstrated that far-field measurements of the resonant localized modes [214], and that they could serve as a basis for a polarized narrowband IR thermal source [230]. Caldwell et al demonstrated the wide flexibility and tunability of silicon carbide–based nano-antennas, where they explored sub-diffractional, localized SPhPs in nanopillar arrays fabricated in 6 H-SiC [197]. Extraordinarily narrow resonance linewidths (ranging from 4 to 24 cm$^{-1}$), were reported, with corresponding quality factors of about 40 to 135, which exceeded typical values in pure plasmonic resonators by about an order of magnitude (figures 9(a)–(c)). Similarly, Wang et al demonstrated the ability to realized resonant SPhP modes through creating metal apertures on the surface of SiC, which offered quality factors as high as 60 [231]. More recently, quality factors of a few hundred have since been reported in this material system [232, 233]. The model reported by Caldwell et al [197] revealed coupling of the localized SPhP modes to extremely small modal volumes $V_{\text{mod}} \frac{\lambda^3}{2}$, which yielded potential Purcell enhancements of $1.9 \times 10^6$ to $6.4 \times 10^7$, far larger than what can be theoretically realized with SPPs. Furthermore, in work by Chen et al [232], they reported that the resonance intensities remain almost unaffected irrespective of variation in the filling fraction of the nanopillars, resulting from the strong coupling between the localized and propagating SPhPs supported in this system. Gubbin et al (2016), built on this work, investigating the strong coupling, localization, and propagation of SPhP modes in SiC-based resonators (cylinders of micron size) [210]. In their study, the nanoresonators were fabricated into SiC wafers to induce the localized SPhP modes and to act as grating coupler for excitation of propagating SPhPs (figures 9(d)–(f)). From the tunability of the SPhP dispersion, they found a spectral anti-crossing occurred between localized and propagating modes, which confirmed strong coupling between them. It is worth noting that such an anti-crossing is only achievable if the Rabi frequency (oscillation frequency of a Rabi cycle undertaken for a given atomic transition in a given EM field) exceeds the losses of the combined modes [234].

Recently, Gubbin et al used 4 H-SiC nanopillars to demonstrate strong coupling between transverse and longitudinal SPhPs and theoretically modelled this behaviour through the realization of hybrid excitations referred to as longitudinal-transverse-phonon-polaritons (LTPP). These strongly coupled modes were observed experimentally by tuning localized SPhP monopolar resonance into the spectral proximity of a zone-folded LO phonon intrinsic in SiC polytypes with higher degrees of hexagonality [235]. Such coupling between longitudinal and transverse fields offers the potential opportunity to electrically stimulate optically active modes, with their work paving the way towards the development of novel MIR emitters. Similarly, Folland et al recently demonstrated that SPhPs in SiC could couple to molecular vibrational transitions in a
liquid, paving the way for a new methodology for creating vibrational polaritons and strong coupling in a liquids \[236\]. Further opportunities for ultrafast modulation of SPhPs was demonstrated by Dunkelberger \textit{et al} through the injection of free carriers into localized SPhP resonators \[237\].

Nevertheless, the challenges associated with excitation of the SPhP modes in polar materials are that their responses are limited to the narrow, material-specific Reststrahlen bands, which hinders the exploitation of these modes over a broad frequency ranges \[208, 238\]. Further, the fast spectral dispersion in the dielectric function within this band also infers that the SPhPs will result in modes with exceptionally slow group velocities, thereby limiting propagation lengths despite the long polariton lifetimes \[27\]. While efforts to overcome such limitations are underway via the crystalline hybrid concept \[239\], these challenges remains a significant roadblock. On the other hand, the experiment conducted by combining polar materials with graphene has revealed an ability to overcome the restrictions of SPPs and SPhPs through the electromagnetic hybrid concept \[239\], which relies on the formation of phonon-plasmon hybrid modes \[22, 194, 240–243\]. These experiments demonstrate the possibility to develop devices with low-losses as a result of the long lifetimes of SPhPs and the broad spectral tenability of graphene SPPs. For instance, hybrid SPP-SPhP modes in graphene and hBN were demonstrated to propagate longer than the pure polaritons in either material \[244\]. Moreover, the coupling of graphene SPPs with SPhPs in polar materials is supported by the recent advancement in synthesis techniques, which enabled graphene to be grown directly on a given substrate, perhaps most importantly on silicon \[130, 139, 140\]. In the following section, we will review the current literature as it pertains to hybrid SPP-SPhP modes within graphene on silicon carbide heterojunctions.

5. Hybrid phonon-plasmon polaritons in graphene on polar materials

One of the essential aspects of graphene is that it can couple strongly to the various dielectric substrates for diverse applications \[170\]. However, one of the biggest challenges is to maintain its exotic properties while
transferring it onto those substrates (most of the synthesis techniques are built on ex-situ growth where the transfer of graphene flakes is required for device fabrication and characterization) [132]. For instance, suspended single-layer graphene can have carrier mobilities of about 200,000 cm²V⁻¹s⁻¹, but this mobility drops considerably once graphene is placed on a dielectric or an insulating substrate such as SiO₂ [95]. Surface optic phonons from the dielectric substrate induce increased scattering of the free carriers in graphene and serve as one of the predominant factors limiting the carrier mobility. This can be mitigated by placing graphene on an appropriate substrate, such as hBN [97, 244], where the mobility can be much higher.

As discussed previously, different ways to synthesize e.g. on silicon carbide on silicon have been developed [132, 133, 140]. The essential advantage of this growth technique is that the graphene layers are directly grown on the substrate. Thus, no transfer is required for optical/electrical characterization and device fabrication, which prevents damage to the graphene layers, eliminates deleterious effects from residual polymers, and thus provides the most straightforward avenue towards maintaining the intrinsic properties of graphene.

This is a benefit for the development of EG-based photonic devices (e.g. photonic crystal cavities) on SiC on Si, thanks to the well-established silicon fabrication techniques and scalability [245, 246]. Moreover, one can optimize the geometry of this heteroepitaxial system containing graphene and SiC on Si to couple their SPP-SPhP modes. To understand this coupling within the EG/SiC system, in the following section, we analyze the dispersion relation for epitaxial graphene on SiC.

5.1. Dispersion of hybrid phonon-plasmon mode in epitaxial graphene on silicon carbide

Much of the physics of hybrid SPP-SPhP within the EG/SiC system can be extracted from the dispersion relation of this material system. To analyze the effect of coupling, however, it is worth looking back to the dispersion of each material involved in the coupling. As discussed earlier, the dispersion of the large wavevectors of SPP modes in graphene at frequencies approaching the plasma frequency results in a large momentum mismatch with free-space light. This results in extremely short polariton wavelengths and, thus, strong field confinement of SPPs in graphene. On the other hand, the dispersion curve of SPhPs in SiC coincides with the light line at the TO phonon and asymptotically approaches large momentum values near the LO phonon (figure 10(b)). Hwang et al realized that hybridization between graphene and SiC polaritons modifies their dispersion curves [242], where two different energy regions arise (symbolized by ω₁ and ω₀) separated by a gap (forbidden zone) between surface optic phonon frequency (ωSO) and ωTO [194, 242]. ωSO defines the asymptotic limit over which the optic phonon mode can be stimulated on a planar surface, and it is related to ωTO as ωSO = ωTO√ε₁/ε∞ + 1 [242], where ε₁ and ε∞ define the static and high-frequency
Figure 10. Dispersion relation of (a) SPP in the free-standing graphene (b) the dispersion of phonon polariton in a free-standing 3C-SiC. (c) The hybrid phonon-plasmon mode in air/graphene/3C-SiC material system ($\omega_+$ and $\omega_-$). Show the two regions resulting from the coupling between SPP mode in graphene and SPhP mode of 3C-SiC. (d) A comparison between the dispersion curve of free-standing graphene (blue), 3C-SiC (black curve), and air/graphene/3C-SiC (green curve) system. The wave vector is normalized to the speed of light in the free space '$c$'. The calculation for the dispersion graphene on SiC was performed using equation (12) with Fermi energy $E_F = 1$ eV (245 THz) and dielectric properties data for 3C-SiC $\omega_{LO}=118$ meV (28.5 THz) and $\omega_{TO}=97.3$ meV (23.5 THz) and $\Gamma = 0.6$ meV (0.1 THz) [27].

dielectric constants of the material, respectively. One can notice from figure 10(c) that for lower frequencies the wavevector of the hybrid system drops towards zero ($k \to 0$), with the modes in the lower region ($\omega_-$) behaving more like SPP modes, while those in the upper region ($\omega_+$) exhibiting characteristics more akin to the SPhPs and converge towards surface optic phonon frequency ($\omega_+ \to \omega_{SO}$). On the other hand, for higher wavevectors ($k \to \infty$) the mode in the lower region ($\omega_-$) converges towards $\omega_{TO}$ and behaves more like SPhP mode, (see figure 10(b) for SPhP dispersion in 3C-SiC), while the modes in the upper $\omega_+$ region exhibit SPP-like features (figure 10(a)). These can be understood from the strong coupling between SPhPs supported in the substrate and the collective SPP mode and electronic degrees of freedom in graphene [41]. Note that the green lines for the dispersion curve of graphene/3C-SiC differ from the bulk phonon polariton observed in SiC, and this is because of the presence of graphene [194, 242].
5.2. Graphene on silicon carbide photonics

The successful synthesis of e.g. on 3C-SiC/Si wafers was a substantial leap forward as such a system enabled large area and uniform growth of graphene on a desirable substrate, which benefits the advancement of photonic and electronic research fields [3, 247]. SiC has been used in micro/nano-electronics for many years, and studies on growing pristine graphene on different polytypes of SiC have been conducted for more than a decade [3, 132]. However, there is still a need to get further insights into the interactions between graphene and SiC, such as carrier dynamics that can be affected by the range of coupling and interference effects that result from optic-phonon/free-carrier interactions. Recent progress in obtaining graphene from hetero-epitaxial 3C-SiC on silicon [7, 130, 140], holds promise for potentially adopting and translating this powerful approach to create a platform for nanophotonics in a silicon-compatible material system.

A study investigating the coupling of SPP and SPhP modes in epitaxial graphene on SiC was conducted using dispersion measurements via angle-resolved electron energy loss spectroscopy (AREELS) [243]. In this work, graphene was epitaxially synthesized on a 6H-SiC (0001) substrate. AREELS measurements were performed on epitaxial graphene and bare hydrogen (H)-etched SiC (6 H-SiC (0001)) at room temperature and a pressure of $2 \times 10^{-10}$ torr. Robust coupling of about $130 \text{ meV}$ (much higher than $20 \text{ meV}$ measured from the coupling of the SPP to SPhP modes in GaAs [248]) was observed between the dipolar E fields [249]. This coupling originated from the collective oscillation of $\pi$-electron charges in graphene and the collective vibrations of SiC. The carrier density of graphene was recorded to be in the range of $10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ as a result of the charge transferred from the surface of SiC to the graphene sheet [243]. The momentum of phonon modes in SiC caused these transferred charges to oscillate, a phenomenon which is understood to be the source of unusually strong anti-crossings observed in the measured dispersion curve of the coupled system (see figure 11(a)). Subsequently, Kosh, Seyller & Schaefer, conducted another study about plasmon and phonon coupling where high-resolution electron energy loss spectroscopy (HREELS) was utilized to reveal strong coupling of these modes in graphene on 6 H-SiC (0001) with a higher carrier density in the range of $1.5 \times 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ at the long wavelength limit [194]. The spectra of energy loss recorded (see figure 11(b)) confirmed the existence of coupled plasmon and phonon modes separated by $\omega_{\text{S0}}$ and $\omega_{\text{T0}}$. Coupling was also theoretically confirmed in figure 11(c), whereby using the dielectric function, the spectra of the energy losses, and dispersion of the two coupled modes was calculated. Later, Kosh et al again realized the coupled phonon-plasmon modes in e.g. on SiC [193]. In their study, they used HREELS and a dielectric function model to unveil coupling for epitaxial graphene to the buffer layer and in quasi-freestanding graphene on both oxygen and hydrogen-intercalated SiC (0001) (See figures 11(d)–(f)). They revealed the coupling of internal modes to occur even for bilayer graphene with asymmetric inversion, which results from disparity of charges across the layers. They also revealed that interface modification through intercalation cannot quench plasmon-phonon coupling in the e.g. on SiC substrate.

Moreover, the strong coupling of SPPs to localized SPhP modes in graphene and periodic gratings (micro-cavities) fabricated into SiC substrates (to induce localized and propagating modes in the system) was theoretically studied for tunable SPPs at infrared frequencies [250]. In that study, they considered monolayer graphene on a SiC grating, and they used numerical calculations with the finite element method (FEM) [251] to investigate the optical responses. It was reported that as SiC will behave as a near-perfect reflector within the Reststrahlen band, this can enable a cavity effect sufficient to launch graphene SPP standing waves [208]. Rabi splitting in the absorption spectra confirmed the presence of strong coupling of the localized SPhP resonant modes supported on the SiC grating and SPP resonances in graphene cavity modes. The resultant hybrid mode displayed the characteristics of each constituent (SPPs in graphene and SPhPs modes in SiC). The tunability of the mode in their device was also revealed by varying the chemical potential of graphene, and by changing the cavity width, where they observed a shift in absorption peaks. Moreover, Qing et al [174], theoretically demonstrated that an ultrathin layer of MoS$_2$ and hBN sandwiched between SiC and graphene can support extremely confined SPhPs with confinement factors over 100 and a tunable hybrid SPP-SPhP mode which was used for an electro-optic modulator with over 95% of modulation depth. Further works on the hybrid coupling of the SPP-SPhP modes in e.g. on SiC were performed in the following references [195, 241, 252–254].

5.3. Propagation and spatial confinement of hybrid SPP-SPhP mode in graphene on silicon carbide

As previously discussed in section 2, the propagation length and the degree of confinement of SPP/SPhP modes have a considerable influence on their use in diverse photonics applications. However, it is not easy to find a plasmonic material with low propagation losses and strong confinement simultaneously. For example, the strong spatial confinement of graphene SPPs via the extremely short polariton wavelengths are understood to be limiting its propagation length to few tens of microns [255] and affects its use for some of the applications where long propagation lengths are required, such as in information processing and communications [256, 257]. As mentioned previously, the long lifetimes of SPhP modes do not necessarily
result in commensurate increases in propagation lengths, with typical values reported falling in a range that is of an order comparable to that for SPPs [27, 238, 258].

To quantify the properties of the hybrid graphene/SiC material system, we used equations (14) and (15), and calculated FOMs for graphene SPP and SiC SPhP modes and compared them with that of the hybrid SPP-SPhP mode (figure 12). While SiC along exhibits a slightly higher FOM in comparison to graphene SPPs (figure 12(a)), graphene outperforms SiC SPhPs in terms of spatial confinement (figure 12(b)). One can notice that the hybrid graphene/SiC polaritons provide an improved FOM for spatial confinement in comparison to those of the individual materials (yellow and purple curves in figure 12(b)). However, the propagation FOM for the SPP-SPhP mode in the hybrid graphene on SiC system seems to be not as good as the FOMs of the individual SPP and SPhP modes in SiC and graphene (figure 12(a)). As reported by Lu et al., FOMs for propagation and spatial confinement can be further improved by adjusting the chemical potential or carrier density in graphene [255]. For instance, by increasing the carrier density in graphene from $1 \times 10^{12}$ cm$^{-2}$ (used in the calculation for yellow curves in figure 12) to $1 \times 10^{13}$ cm$^{-2}$ (and mobility of $\sim 100$ cm$^2$/Vs) as reported in [167] for graphene/SiC system), we can improve the propagation at the expense of the confinement FOMs of hybrid SPP-SPhP mode in graphene on SiC system (purple curves in figure 12). Note that the improved FOM for propagating hybrid SPP-SPhP mode occurs near to $\omega_{TO} (\sim 23.5$ THz) and at the frequency $\sim 28$ THz (within the Reststrahlen band), the spectral position where SPhP mode in SiC can couple with graphene’s SPP mode (see purple curve in figure 12(a)).

Such improvements of SPP-SPhP modes was experimentally revealed for graphene coupled to hBN, which resulted in extended propagation lengths for the hybrid mode that were 1.5–2.0 times longer than the...
individual modes [238, 244]. Furthermore, this offers the opportunity to increase the propagation or lateral confinement depending on the desired applications.

6. Conclusions and outlook

The sub-diffractional confinement of light offered by the hybridization of SPPs and SPhPs is a very promising gateway to further advancement of photonic integrated systems. However, in order to realize sub-diffraction photonics for any anticipated applications, the choice of the constituent materials and tailoring of the operational spectrum are two critical factors that need special consideration. Photonic systems with low optical losses and dynamically tunable can offer a broader range of spectral and function flexibility, and graphene can offer such opportunities while supporting tightly confined optical fields. The coupling of graphene with polar materials that support low loss SPhPs offers further opportunities to improve the loss characteristics beyond what is achievable in graphene SPPs alone in MIR and FIR frequencies. Thus, we note that realization of high performance (low optical losses and tunable) nanophotonic systems could be best achieved via a tailored combination of multiple materials to generate electromagnetic hybrid modes in a device. For example, such strongly coupled modes could offer the opportunity for electrically driven, narrow-band optical modulators or emitters.

While in most instances strong coupling between SPPs in graphene with SPhPs in polar substrates requires the graphene to be mechanically transferred [132, 259, 260], e.g. offers the opportunity to realize epitaxially grown graphene directly on SiC [3, 9, 261], offering unique opportunities to couple tunable graphene SPPs with long-lifetime SPhPs in SiC in a single versatile optical platform. Here we reported that such a combination can actually improve the propagation length and spatial confinement simultaneously for
these hybrid modes over the individual constituents, offering additional benefits for nanophotonic applications and device designs; however, this requires that experimental works be conducted to validate this prediction.

This recent progress in the quality and control of epitaxial graphene on hexagonal SiC wafers and cubic SiC on silicon opens unique opportunities for the fabrication over large scales of any complex three-dimensional optical nanodevices, which could also be integrated with silicon processing technologies. Although much development is still required, potential sought-after IR applications would range from low-loss photonic waveguides to highly sensitive chemical and imaging detection devices on-chip, able to interact with free-space photons at stand-off distances [262].
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