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ABSTRACT

Introduction Ever since the 1960s, Guatemala has been a principle site for global academic research on child growth and nutrition. Nevertheless, Guatemala still has one of the highest rates of child stunting in the world. Since 2012, Guatemala has had a comprehensive national policy on stunting, calling for a renewed investment in innovative, multilevel nutrition interventions and implementation science. Our objective was to perform a systematic search and scoping review of the literature on stunting in Guatemala to identify gaps in research and opportunities for responding to this unique policy opportunity.

Methods We conducted a systematic search and scoping review on stunting in Guatemala, searching the PubMed, Web of Science and PsycINFO databases. Eligible articles were of any design or format, published in English and Spanish from 2000 to 2018. Articles were thematically grouped by those published before (2000–2011) and after (2012–2018) the new national policy initiatives.

Results We identified a total of 1934 articles through database searches. After full-text review, 104 were included in the synthesis. The volume of published articles on stunting increased from a mean of 3.2 to 9.4 articles/year before and after 2012. There was a shift toward articles generating new data on priority populations, including rural indigenous Maya populations (34% vs 61%, χ2 test, p<0.01). However, the proportion of studies conducting implementation evaluations or testing new interventions was low and did not change significantly (34% vs 18%, χ2 test, p<0.07). Among 17 identified intervention studies, only 4 tested multilevel interventions, and there were no published interventions incorporating nutrition-sensitive interventions.

Conclusions A systematic search and scoping review of the literature on child stunting in Guatemala identified critical opportunities for new research in multilevel interventions, nutrition-sensitive interventions and implementation science.

INTRODUCTION

Stunting is the most common paediatric growth disorder in the world and is associated with an increased risk for developmental delay. Guatemala is a populous Central American country with one of the highest rates of stunting in the world, especially among its rural, indigenous Maya population.1 Within Central America, Guatemala has historically always shown the highest prevalence of stunting (figure 1).2

Guatemala has historically been a major site for global paediatric nutrition research. Many key insights into the long-term consequences of stunting have come from studies in Guatemala. For example, the Institute of Nutrition of Central America and Panama (INCAP) longitudinal study is one of the most important, most-cited and longest-running nutrition studies in the world. Begun in the 1960s, the INCAP study demonstrated for the world the importance of early child food supplementation and established the long-term cognitive, educational and economic benefits for these early childhood interventions.3 Other research from Guatemala has
The publication of the WHO’s Child Growth Standards in 2004 had a delayed but significant impact on political discourse about child health in Guatemala. The recognition that stunting was primarily driven by poverty and other social determinants, rather than genetics, led to calls for comprehensive, multisectoral action to improve child health. In 2005, the Guatemalan government ratified into law the National Food and Nutrition Security System (SINASAN in Spanish), which made food security a national right. This led to the development of official national strategies to address healthy nutrition and determinants of malnutrition, coordinated by the National Food and Nutrition Security Council (CONASAN in Spanish) and the Secretariat of Food and Nutrition Security (SESAN in Spanish). Initially, however, these policies were largely strategic and symbolic. However, Guatemala was an early signatory of the global Scaling Up Nutrition initiative and, beginning with the 2012 presidential administration, a comprehensive national plan for stunting with significant budgetary discretion and executive authority was put in place (The Hambre Cero/Zero Hunger initiative and its subsequent iterations). Guatemala is now ranked first in the world on the Hunger and Nutrition Commitment Index.

This political evolution within Guatemala represents an opportunity to study multisectoral interventions for child stunting and to evaluate the public health impact of ambitious national policies. To this end, we conducted a scoping review of stunting research in Guatemala from 2000 to 2018, spanning the period including the Scaling Up Nutrition standards and new national strategies. In particular, we summarised new thematic directions and evidence for nutrition-sensitive and nutrition-specific interventions emerging within this time frame.

METHODS
Search and inclusion strategy
We searched PubMed, Web of Science and PsycINFO databases. Our PubMed search string was: ‘(nutrition OR malnutrition OR stunting OR underweight OR ‘iron deficiency anemia’ OR ‘low birth weight’ OR ‘maternal nutrition’ OR autonomy OR ‘thousand days’ OR diversity OR productivity OR agriculture OR ‘behavior change’ OR sanitation OR ‘clean water’ OR ‘WASH’ OR ‘maternal nutrition’) AND Guatemala.’ Similar search strategies were used for other databases. Eligible articles were published in English or Spanish between 1 January 2000 and 31 December 2018. All study designs and formats were included. At the stage of content review, we excluded meeting abstracts, articles not including data from Guatemala, and articles which did not explicitly discuss stunting or report on length-for-age and related outcomes. In addition, to allow for the inclusion of grey literature published in Spanish—especially Guatemalan university theses—we searched Google Scholar using the keywords ‘desnutrición crónica’ and ‘Guatemala’. Finally, we manually reviewed the reference lists of included articles to identify additional resources, especially Spanish-language grey literature.

Data extraction and synthesis
We collated candidate articles in a spreadsheet, recording the study location or primary data source, study design and thematic areas, and a summary of findings. Given the predominance of stunting in Guatemala’s indigenous Maya population, we also noted whether studies reported on or disaggregated outcomes by ethnicity. Our final classification taxonomy is given in the online supplementary table 1. Titles were screened by two authors for eligibility, followed by a full-text review by two authors. Areas of disagreement were resolved by a third author. Articles eligible for inclusion after the full-text review are detailed in the online supplementary table 2.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analysis was performed using STATA V.13. Frequencies from the thematic analysis were summarised using percentages. Changes in the proportion of articles reporting on important themes (eg, disaggregating data by ethnicity and studying priority areas) before and after the implementation of Guatemala’s national stunting plan in 2012 were assessed using the $\chi^2$ test.

Patient and public involvement
As a scoping review of existing literature, patients and the public were not involved in the design, conduct, reporting or dissemination of this work. This review is, however, formative research toward new initiatives we and collaborators are developing to promote the public awareness of nutrition research in Guatemala.
charged with leading implementation science efforts to evaluate effective initiatives both within government and private-sector efforts.

Summary of data sources and growth in the literature

Beginning in 2012 (the start of a comprehensive national stunting plan), there was an important increase in the volume of articles on stunting. Of the 104 articles in our review, 38 (37%) were published in 2000–2011 (mean 3.2 articles/year), whereas 66 (63%) were published in 2012–2018 (mean 9.4 articles/year).

Given the growth in the literature on stunting post-2012, we sought to determine if that growth stemmed from the generation of new datasets. A significant number of publications were from already-existing study cohorts. For example, manuscripts drawn from the INCAP Study represented 34% of all articles (table 1). Although an invaluable cohort study which has generated important insights into stunting,4–6 the contemporary members of the INCAP cohort are non-indigenous, middle-class and periurban dwelling—far removed from the policy priorities of the current national agenda. In addition, a number of publications were re-analyses of population-level surveys, including Demographic and Health Surveys (8%) and National Standards of Living Surveys (7%).

In total, however, there was a significant increase in articles generating new study-specific data from 2000–2011 to 2012–2018 (34% vs 61%, \( \chi^2 \) test, \( p=0.01 \)). In addition, the proportion of studies disaggregating data by indigenous ethnicity increased from 2000–2011 to 2012–2018 (26% vs 71%, \( \chi^2 \) test, \( p<0.001 \)).

Summary of trends in research focus

Given national calls to prioritise multisectoral interventions for stunting and evaluate the implementation characteristics of stunting programme, we grouped articles into four major study designs: observational studies, implementation science, single-level and multilevel interventions (figure 3). In both 2000–2011 and 2012–2018, most studies were observational (61% and 71%, respectively). A small proportion of studies, 8% in 2000–2011 and 9% in 2012–2018, evaluated the implementation of programming. No studies of multilevel interventions were published before 2012 and only 4 (6%) after. The proportion of single-level interventions fell from 24% to 6% from 2000–2011 to 2012–2018. In total, the proportion of all implementation and intervention studies was 34% from 2000 to 2011 and 18% from 2012 to 2018 (\( \chi^2 \) test, \( p=0.07 \)). Finally, we also classified articles based on whether they included priority areas in Guatemala’s national stunting plan (see box 1). In 2000–2011, 53% of articles studied at least one of these areas; this proportion was not statistically different from that in 2012–2018 (61%; \( \chi^2 \) test, \( p=0.43 \)).

Summary of intervention studies

We summarised studies reporting interventions (table 2). There were 17 intervention studies published between

---

**Figure 2** Flow diagram depicting results of the literature search and review procedure.

**Box 1** Ten evidence-based priority areas included in Guatemala’s national stunting plan

- Support maternal breastfeeding.
- Improve complementary feeding after 6 months of age.
- Improve hygiene knowledge and practices, including handwashing.
- Vitamin A supplementation.
- Zinc supplementation during acute diarrhoeal illness.
- Multiple micronutrient powder supplementation.
- Deworming and vaccination.
- Iron and folic acid supplementation for pregnant women.
- Iodisation of salt.
- Micronutrient fortification of basic foodstuffs.
Table 1  Selected primary data sources/cohorts and resulting publications on stunting in Guatemala

| Source                                             | Publications, 2000–2018, n | Years of data collection                                                                 | Description                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Demographic and Health Surveys40                   | 8                           | 1987, 1995, 1998–99, 2002, 2008–2009, 2014–2015                                                                                             | Representative, population-based sampling using standardised questions and indicators permitting cross-country comparisons on important topics, including household composition, fertility and family planning, maternal and child health indicators, and child growth and nutrition. |
| INCAP Study3                                       | 35                          | 1969–1977, 1988–1989, 1991–1996, 1996–1999, 1997–1999, 2002–2004, 2005–2007                                                                 | A cluster-randomised study of the effect of early complementary feeding and health services for pregnant and lactating women and children from birth to 7 years of age, carried out in four villages in eastern Guatemala in 1969–1977, with subsequent multigenerational follow-up of the cohort, yielding insights into the impact of complementary feeding on growth and long-term outcomes, such as economic productivity, schooling and non-communicable diseases. |
| Global Network for Women’s and Children’s Health Research41 | 5                           | 2008–present                                                                              | Funded through a public–private partnership between the National Institutes of Health of the United States and the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, a multicountry collaborative which includes a maternal newborn health registry, as well as multiple clinical trials. |
| National Standards of Living Survey42              | 7                           | 2000, 2006, 2011, 2014                                                                   | A representative, population-based survey run by the Guatemalan Institute of Statistics, which includes data on socioeconomic indicators, poverty, work conditions, as well as child growth and other health indicators. |
| Universidad del Valle de Guatemala Longitudinal Study43 | 1                           | 1953–1999                                                                                | The Universidad del Valle de Guatemala Longitudinal Study was a study of human growth and intelligence that enrolled children from seven urban and two rural schools, with regular measurements. The data are partly cross-sectional and partly longitudinal and are still only partially collated but may include observations on >130000 children. |

INCAP, Institute of Nutrition of Central America and Panama.

2000 and 2018. Of these, many were studies of the INCAP cohort, reporting long-term outcomes in adults who had participated as children in the previous 1960s supplementation intervention (47%, n=8). These included reports on positive long-term educational, cognitive and economic outcomes.16–18 Two others examined the effects of early supplementation on cardiovascular disease risk factors.18 Two final INCAP studies reported on the intergenerational impact of the intervention, finding that children born to women who had been supplemented themselves as children had improved growth.20

In addition to the INCAP publications, the remaining intervention studies were also primarily single-level dietary supplementation studies. Begin et al evaluated the protein and micronutrient composition of a fortified cereal product in an individually randomised trial, finding no significant difference in stunting for four different compositions.21 Mazariigos et al found no impact on stunting in infants of supplementation with zinc or phytate-reduced corn.22 Krebs et al and Newman et al reported outcomes from a Global Network for Women’s and Children’s Health Research study, showing no impact on stunting for dietary supplementation with meat or a fortified cereal product.23 One final study showed improved stunting in children supplemented with a fortified cereal product but was not controlled.24

Using a taxonomy previously described to classify determinants of nutrition (online supplementary table 3), we found two studies that involved interventions on more than one nutrition determinant.25 Both included dietary...
| Study                  | Design                  | Nutrition specific Interventions* | Brief summary                                                                                                                                 |
|----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Li et al\(^{16}\)    | Cluster randomised, single-level | Dietary supplementation          | INCAP Study publication, reporting educational attainment outcomes for adults who had previously participated in the prior childhood supplementation trial |
| Stein et al\(^{20}\) | Cluster randomised, single-level | Dietary supplementation          | INCAP Study publication, reporting the intergenerational impact of prior childhood supplementation trial on birth length                           |
| Conlisk et al\(^{19}\) | Cluster randomised, single-level | Dietary supplementation          | INCAP Study publication, reporting reduced fasting glucose among men who had participated in the prior childhood supplementation trial on birth length. Among supplemented women, the effect was only seen among those born thin |
| Stein et al\(^{44}\) | Cluster randomised, single-level | Dietary supplementation          | INCAP Study publication, reporting improvement in some adult cardiovascular risk factors and no evidence for increased cardiovascular risk among those who had participated in the prior childhood supplementation trial |
| Begin et al\(^{21}\) | Individually randomised, single-level | Dietary supplementation          | Reports no differential impact on stunting for four different supplemental foods, containing either bovine serum concentrate or whey protein concentrate, with or without micronutrients |
| Hoddinott et al\(^{17}\) | Cluster randomised, single-level | Dietary supplementation          | INCAP Study publication, reporting the positive economic impact on adult income for those who had participated in the prior childhood supplementation trial |
| Stein et al\(^{45}\) | Cluster randomised, single-level | Dietary supplementation          | INCAP Study publication, reporting the positive impact on reading comprehension and abstract reasoning among adults who had participated in the prior childhood supplementation trial |
| Maluccio et al\(^{18}\) | Cluster randomised, single-level | Dietary supplementation          | INCAP Study publication, reporting improved grade completion and reading and nonverbal cognition among adults who had participated in the prior childhood supplementation trial |
| Behrman et al\(^{46}\) | Cluster randomised, single-level | Dietary supplementation          | INCAP Study publication, reporting improvements in offspring growth, including stunting, for women who had participated in the prior childhood supplementation trial |
| Mazariegos et al\(^{22}\) | Individually randomised, single-level | Dietary supplementation          | In a rural indigenous population, reports lack of impact on stunting of either zinc supplementation or low-phytate corn |
| Krebs et al\(^{23}\) | Cluster randomised, single-level | Dietary supplementation          | A Global Network for Women's and Children's Health Research multi-country study, including rural Guatemala, showing no impact of meat or fortified cereal supplementation on stunting |
| Newman et al\(^{47}\) | Cluster randomised, single-level | Dietary supplementation          | A Global Network for Women's and Children's Health Research study. Exploration of between-group differences from the negative Krebs et al\(^{23}\) study, showing that between-group variation or issues with protocol fidelity did not explain the lack of intervention impact on stunting |
| Jensen et al\(^{46}\) | Cluster randomised, multiple-level | Dietary supplementation, complementary feeding practices | Multi-level intervention, including food supplementation and behaviour change interventions around complementary feeding practices, showing improvements in household dietary diversity |
| Palacios\(^{24}\) | Quasi-experimental, single-level | Dietary supplementation          | Prenalysis and postanalysis showing improvements in the linear growth of a fortified cereal product |

Continued
supplementation with the addition of complementary feeding interventions.\(^{26-29}\) The first was a large, cluster-randomised trial evaluating combinations of family food rations, fortified cereal or micronutrient powder supplements, and behaviour change around complementary feeding practices. The greatest improvements were seen among clusters that received both family food rations and infant supplements.\(^{26}\) In addition, the cluster which received the behaviour change intervention showed improvements in diet diversity, including for food groups not provided in the ration.\(^{26}\) The second study was a small individually randomised trial that provided a food ration and micronutrient supplement to both control and intervention groups and a complementary feeding behaviour change intervention to the intervention group. For families receiving the behaviour change intervention, diet diversity improved, including for food groups not provided in the food ration, although improvements in growth were not significant.\(^{27}\) This study also measured developmental outcomes, finding improvements in development in both arms.\(^{28}\)

Finally, given the guidance provided by the Scaling up Nutrition framework and Guatemala’s new policy interest in interventions targeting both immediate (nutrition-specific) and underlying (nutrition-sensitive) determinants of child growth,\(^{11-25}\) we classified all intervention studies by inclusion of nutrition-specific or nutrition-sensitive interventions. As noted above, we found only two studies intervening on multiple determinants of growth, and we found no studies evaluating any nutrition-sensitive interventions (table 2).

### Summary of implementation science evaluations

Finally, we summarised articles dealing with implementation analysis around stunting interventions (table 3). In total, we identified nine implementation studies published between 2000 and 2018. Bennett conducted an ethnographic study of child nutrition in a rural Maya town, concluding that differences in many nutrition-specific targets—such as behaviours around complementary feedings—do not correlate well with improvements in stunting. Instead, she noted the ‘syndemic’ nature of stunting, highlighting the need to target root social causes, including nutrition-sensitive components.\(^{30}\) Fort et al evaluated the impact of a new “inclusive health” primary care initiative. They found that the model improved health equity and healthcare utilisation but that stunting and wasting remained very high. Similar to Bennett, they concluded that multisectorial social programme—not just health system strengthening—are needed for stunting.\(^{31}\)

Three additional studies were qualitative investigations of the implementation of child nutrition programme. Schooley and Morales examined Project Concern International’s group-based Positive Deviance approach for caregivers of malnourished children. They found that the method was less effective in Guatemala than in other countries where it had been implemented, in part because of difficulties engaging both male and female caregivers.\(^{32}\) Chary et al found that, in rural communities, child malnutrition was so prevalent that it became ‘normalised’ with low awareness that it was a significant health problem.\(^{33}\) Overcoming this required consensus building and conscientisation to mobilise community resources. Finally, Davis et al documented barriers to implementing ready-to-use therapeutic foods for stunting. They found an important barrier was conflicting public health messages; for example, mothers were reluctant to feed children because of misunderstandings around messaging on the duration of exclusive breastfeeding.\(^{34}\)
### Table 3  Summary of articles engaging implementation science themes around stunting and stunting-related interventions in Guatemala 2000–2018

| Study | Design | Brief summary |
|-------|--------|---------------|
| Bennett\(^{30}\) | Dissertation | Uses the theoretical framework of a ‘syndemic’ to examine stunting in a rural Maya community. Finds that improvements in classic intervention targets, like maternal education, do not correlate well with improvements in stunting. Highlights the need to also address geographic isolation, environmental and WASH infrastructure, and inadequacy of subsistence agriculture to provide food security |
| Schooley and Morales\(^{32}\) | Qualitative | Reviews Project Concern International use of group-based Positive Deviance approaches with indigenous families to improve child growth and nutrition. The impact of the methodology was less marked than that seen in other countries, and this was in part explained by difficulty engaging both caregivers and by men not being supportive of their wives’ participation |
| Fort et al\(^{31}\) | Dissertation | Examines the implementation of an innovative primary care model focused on inclusive and culturally appropriate care in a rural indigenous health district. Finds that the model improved equity in access to care, healthcare utilisation and vaccination. Both stunting and wasting remained very high, however, the authors emphasised that multisectorial social programme and not just health system strengthening are needed |
| Pelletier et al\(^{35}\) | Qualitative | Reviews the effectiveness of national efforts to scale up nutrition policy. Conclusions include that high-level political attention to stunting is not sufficient to achieve change, which requires sustained actions from mid-level actors and entrepreneurs and better investments in frontline organisational capacity |
| Chary et al\(^{33}\) | Qualitative | Explores community and caregiver perceptions of stunting in an indigenous community. Child malnutrition is highly prevalent and therefore ‘normalised’ with low awareness that it is a significant health problem, requiring significant consensus building in order to mobilise community resources |
| Paz\(^{37}\) | Mixed methods | One of several annual assessments that aim to monitor the actions, interventions and advancements in public policy and service delivery in line with the 1000 days framework and national plans to reduce stunting. Describes deficits in infrastructure, service delivery, and knowledge, action, and practices observed in site visits with both healthcare providers and community members |
| Davis et al\(^{34}\) | Qualitative | Documents implementation barriers to community-based supplementary feeding for stunting, including misunderstandings related to messaging around exclusive breastfeeding and how these conflict with messaging around the need to provide timely complementary feeding, as well as sharing of supplements among family members, and the need to standardise messaging and therapeutic benefit communicated by staff to beneficiaries |
| Pulgar\(^{36}\) | Qualitative | Analysis of the Food Insecurity and Malnutrition in Guatemala Project that aimed to evaluate food security and nutrition policies to advocate for effective policies around stunting. Primarily concludes that there is a need to strengthen national research efforts around outcomes, update technical recommendations based on newly available nutritional and food security data, and strengthen private and university sector collaborations with the government |

WASH, Water, Sanitation and Hygiene.

Three final studies examined policy documents around child nutrition. Pelletier et al in a multi-country review including Guatemala examined the effectiveness of national strategies to scale up nutrition policy. They concluded that political will and legislation was often undermined when mid-level actors were not empowered to enact and adapt policy as appropriate and when the frontline organisational capacity to deliver new initiatives was limited.\(^{35}\) Pulgar conducted a similar analysis, concluding that a lack of national research and technical capacity limited the ability to innovate and evaluate, and calling for improved academic and public–private sector collaborations.\(^{36}\) Finally, Paz conducted a systematic audit of frontline public health facility capacity and quality of care, documenting the breaches between national policy and local reality and calling for better investments in frontline personnel and infrastructure.\(^{37}\)

**DISCUSSION**

Guatemala has the highest prevalence of stunting in Central America, and one of the highest in the world (figure 1). Guatemala has also served as a major site for child nutrition research, since the famous INCAP cohort study in the 1960s to the present day.\(^{5}\) Historically, the political will to address stunting in Guatemala has been
low. However, since 2012, the Government of Guatemala has called for comprehensive approaches incorporating both nutrition-specific and nutrition-sensitive interventions; implementation science; prioritisation of rural populations; and stronger academic partnerships.11 Here, we conducted a systematic search and scoping review of the literature on stunting in Guatemala from 2000 to 2018 to investigate the impact these policy trends have had.

Taking 2012 as an inflection point, we found several positive signs that the field has responded to calls for innovation on stunting. For example, the volume of articles on stunting rose more than threefold. Similarly, there was a shift toward new data on prioritised populations, and the number of studies reporting data disaggregated by ethnicity rose. At the same time, however, most studies remained observational in nature, with no change in the proportion of intervention or implementation science studies or in priority topical areas (box 1, figure 3).

In terms of interventions (table 2), prior to 2012, most studies were follow-up analyses of adults in the INCAP cohort who had received intervention decades prior. Post-2012, there were several new interventions studied, including three randomised clinical trials that evaluated different dietary supplementation or complementary feeding approaches.23 27 29 However, there was a clear lack of multilevel interventions, with only two studies in the entire period 2000–2018 addressing more than one determinant of child growth. Furthermore, we did not find studies evaluating any nutrition-sensitive intervention (table 2). This deficiency was reinforced by findings from the few implementation assessments, which highlighted the marginal efficacy of health systems interventions and called for broader-based strategies to address the underlying determinants of child growth.30 31 33 37

In conclusion, our review highlights the equivocal responsiveness of the academic community and the literature to important new national priorities in Guatemala around child stunting since 2012. Most studies remain observational and the call for stronger academic involvement in shaping and evaluating policy has not been fully realised. In particular, there is a need for studies that follow the Scaling up Nutrition call to design and evaluate multicomponent interventions and, particularly, interventions that target nutrition-sensitive domains. Furthermore, there is a need for more implementation-focused research which evaluates what works, what does not work and why. Our review of these findings in the published international literature is complementary to a recent critical review of stunting programming in Guatemala, which also highlights the need for comprehensive efforts, including efforts to address structural and not just proximal determinants of the problem.38

Our review has important limitations. Although we extensively searched the publication databases, which included both articles published in Spanish and English, we know that many experiences within Guatemala are conducted by local health authorities, community groups, and nongovernmental organisations outside the academy. These experiences are rarely codified and disseminated. In addition, the grey literature published in Spanish is not well indexed. We identified many important grey literature Spanish publications through supplementary searches of reference lists and university theses in Google Scholar, however these searches are undoubtedly not comprehensive. Taken together, these observations call for efforts to forge stronger academic collaborations with private-sector and public-sector implementers so that these experiences can be better indexed and more quickly disseminated. In addition, a systematic mapping of implementing organisations and informal programmatic collaborations is badly needed. We are in the early stages of conducting this mapping exercise, in collaboration with Guatemala’s Secretariat for Nutrition and Food Security, to address these deficiencies.39
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