Iron-Catalyzed Arylation of Heterocycles via Directed C–H Bond Activation

John J. Sirois
University of Rhode Island

Riley Davis
University of Rhode Island

Brenton DeBoef
University of Rhode Island, bdeboef@uri.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/chm_facpubs

The University of Rhode Island Faculty have made this article openly available. Please let us know how Open Access to this research benefits you.

Terms of Use
This article is made available under the terms and conditions applicable towards Open Access Policy Articles, as set forth in our Terms of Use.

Citation/Publisher Attribution
Sirois, J. J., Davis, R., & DeBoef, B. (2014). Iron-Catalyzed Arylation of Heterocycles via Directed C–H Bond Activation. Organic Letters, 16(3), 868-871. doi: 10.1021/ol403634b.
Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ol403634b

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Chemistry at DigitalCommons@URI. It has been accepted for inclusion in Chemistry Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@URI. For more information, please contact digitalcommons-group@uri.edu.
Iron-Catalyzed Arylation of Heterocycles via Directed C–H Bond Activation

John J. Sirois, Riley Davis, Brenton DeBoef*

Department of Chemistry, University of Rhode Island, 51 Lower College Road, Kingston, RI 02881

Supporting Information Placeholder

ABSTRACT: The iron-catalyzed arylation of aromatic heterocycles, such as pyridines, thiophenes and furans has been achieved. The use of an imine directing group allowed for the ortho functionalization of these heterocycles with complete conversion in 15 minutes at 0 °C. Yields up to 88% were observed in the synthesis of 15 heterocyclic biaryls.

There is an increasing need in both the fine chemical and pharmaceutical industries for the development of new methods that easily provide substituted heterocycles. One of the methods that have been extensively explored for this function is the direct conversion of carbon-hydrogen (C–H) bonds into carbon-carbon (C-C) bonds.\(^1\) This process is considered a “green” synthetic pathway because it eliminates the pre-functionalization steps required in modern coupling reactions, and therefore directly reduces time, expenses, and hazardous waste. In fact, the ACS Green Chemistry Roundtable described C–H functionalizations of heterocycles as the most desirable new reactions that could benefit the pharmaceutical industry.\(^2,3\)

For decades, precious metals, namely palladium, have been the primary catalysts used for both traditional coupling and C–H arylation reactions.\(^4\) Iron catalysts, which are readily available, cheap and non-toxic, have been relatively unexplored for coupling reactions. However, a new methodology is emerging that suggests an important role for this transition metal in modern organic synthesis.\(^5\) Notably, Nakamura has recently developed an iron-catalyzed C–H arylation reaction.\(^6\) Comparison of the metallic catalyst used in two similar methods for the direct C–H arylation of 2-phenylpyridine shows that the iron-catalyzed reaction proceeds at lower temperatures, is higher yielding and the catalyst is 22 times cheaper (Scheme 1).\(^6b,7\)

Though the utility of iron-catalyzed C–H arylation reactions is apparent, the scope of these potentially transformative reactions has yet to be expanded to include the arylation of highly desired heterocycles, and the mechanism is still not fully understood. Herein, we describe the ability to perform directed C–H arylations of heterocyclic substrates using cheap and non-toxic iron catalysts.

Our initial studies commenced with the pyridine substrate shown in Table 1. Nakamura’s conditions that were previously shown in Scheme 1, were not optimal, producing only a 67% yield (entry 3). Also in contrast to Nakamura’s work, the mono-arylated product was exclusively obtained; the di-arylated product was never observed for any of the reactions presented herein. Extended reaction times led to deterioration of the reaction’s yield, possibly as a consequence of reduction of the imine; on a few occasions, the corresponding amine was isolated as a minor product.

Scheme 1. Comparison of C–H arylation methods

Careful control of reaction conditions allowed for complete conversion in 15 minutes. Notable difficulty arose with regards to the drop rate of the Grignard reagent, and the stir rate of the reaction. It appears that the size of the reaction vessel can also dramatically alter yield. Dropwise Grignard addition into small, narrow vials provided almost no reaction, with exclusive homocoupling of the Grignard reagent resulting in biphenyl formation. This is likely caused by a combination of small surface area for substrate reactivity, and inadequate stir rates. Larger flasks (e.g. 35-50 mL round-bottom flasks for a 0.55 mmol reaction), providing more surface area, and high stir rates proved to be the best choice. (See supporting information for details.)

The reactions were very clean; the only compounds that could be observed by GCMS were the starting materials, the biaryl product and biphenyl, arising from homocoupling of the Grignard reagent. To minimize the aerobic iron-catalyzed
homocoupling, an inert atmosphere and excess Grignard reagent were required. Additionally, we employed additives such as DMPU or KF which have been previously shown to minimize Grignard homocoupling.

Table 1. Optimization of pyridine arylation

| entry | catalyst (loading) | ligand\(^a\) (loading) | additive | % conversion\(^b\) |
|-------|------------------|---------------------|----------|-----------------|
| 1     | Fe(acac\(_3\)) \(10\ mol\ %\) | dtbpy \(20\ mol\ %\) | DMPU     | 73              |
| 2     | Fe(acac\(_3\)) \(10\ mol\ %\) | dtbpy \(20\ mol\ %\) | DMPU     | 90              |
| 3     | Fe(acac\(_3\)) \(10\ mol\ %\) | dtbpy \(20\ mol\ %\) | DMPU     | 67              |
| 4     | Fe(acac\(_3\)) \(5\ mol\ %\)  | dtbpy \(20\ mol\ %\) | DMPU     | 58              |
| 5     | Fe(acac\(_3\)) \(10\ mol\ %\) | bpy \(20\ mol\ %\)   | DMPU     | 15              |
| 6     | Fe(acac\(_3\)) \(10\ mol\ %\) | dtbpy \(20\ mol\ %\) | DMPU     | 37              |
| 7     | Fe(acac\(_3\)) \(10\ mol\ %\) | dtbpy \(20\ mol\ %\) | KF       | 100             |
| 8     | Fe(acac\(_3\)) \(10\ mol\ %\) | dtbpy \(20\ mol\ %\) | none     | 100             |
| 9     | Fe(acac\(_3\)) \(10\ mol\ %\) | dtbpy \(20\ mol\ %\) | KF       | 18              |
| 10    | Fe(acac\(_3\)) \(10\ mol\ %\) | dtbpy \(20\ mol\ %\) | KF       | 76              |
| 11    | Fe(acac\(_3\)) \(10\ mol\ %\) | dtbpy \(20\ mol\ %\) | KF       | 7               |

\(^a\) dtbpy = 4,4’-di-tert-butyl-2,2’-dipyridyl, bpy = 2,2’-bipyridine, bathophenanthroline

Table 2. Directing group optimization

| entry | substrate | % conversion\(^a\) | % yield\(^b\) |
|-------|-----------|-------------------|--------------|
| 1     |            | >99\(^c\)        | 88           |
| 2     |            | 0                | –            |
| 3     |            | 39               | 38           |
| 4     |            | 0                | –            |
| 5     |            | 0                | –            |

\(^a\) All reactions were performed on a 0.55 mmol substrate scale. Conversion was calculated by subtracting \(A_{\text{starting material}}/A_{\text{product}}\) from 100%, where \(A_{\text{starting material}}\) and \(A_{\text{product}}\) were calculated using the areas of the corresponding peaks in the gas chromatogram. (b) Isolated yields obtained after flash chromatography. (c) Trace starting material detected by \(^1\)H NMR but not by GC.

Our optimized reaction conditions were then applied to a variety of heterocyclic substrates (Table 3). In most cases, the imine group could be easily hydrolyzed to the ketone. Several nitrogen-containing heterocyclic biaryls could only be iso-

Table 3. Substrate scope
isolated yields were reduced considerably due to difficult chromatographic separations.

The yields of the arylation were sterically dependent, and opposing trends were observed for pyridines, thiophenes and furans. Comparison of sulfur-containing compounds shows that benzothiophene was less reactive than thiophene (entries 10 and 9), and 3-methyl thiophene (entry 11) was completely non-reactive, indicating a decrease in reactivity with increasing steric hindrance.

**Table 4. Grignard reagent scope**

| entry | product | % conversion | % yield |
|-------|---------|--------------|---------|
| 1     |         | >99          | 88°     |
| 2     |         | >99          | 34°     |
| 3     |         | >99          | 67°     |
| 4     |         | 100          | 25°     |
| 5     |         | 0            | –       |
| 6     |         | 90           | 52°     |
| 7     |         | 100          | 60°     |
| 8     |         | 100          | 15°     |
| 9     |         | 100          | 82°     |
| 10    |         | 50           | 45 (91°) |
| 11    |         | 0            | –       |

(a) All reactions performed on a 0.55 mmol scale. Conversion was calculated by subtracting \( A_{\text{starting material}}/A_{\text{product}} \) from 100%, where \( A_{\text{starting material}} \) and \( A_{\text{product}} \) were calculated using the areas of the corresponding peaks in the gas chromatogram. (b) Yields obtained after hydrolysis of imine and purification by flash chromatography.

Analysis of the oxygen-containing heterocycles shows that conversions and yields increased with steric constraints (entries 6-8). Azole substrates appear to be more robust (entries 1-4). Notably, chlorinated pyridines can be readily substituted, allowing for subsequent functionalization (entry 3). A quinoline substrate was non-reactive (entry 10); however, this could be attributed to the aldehyde-derived directing group described in Table 2, entry 3.

As the thiophene substrate provided the highest yields, it was used to generate a brief Grignard scope (Table 4). Halogen-substituted aromatic Grignard reagents reduced the con-
version and decreased the overall yield (entries 2 and 3). Electron-donating groups also appeared to slightly decrease the yield (entries 1 and 4). Methyl and cyclohexyl Grignard reagents afforded no reaction. The elucidation of the seemingly contradictory electronic and steric trends for this reaction will be the subject of future studies.

In summary, we have shown that iron-catalyzed arylation via C–H bond activation can be successfully carried out on a variety of N-, S-, and O-containing heterocycles at 0 °C, over 15 minutes. Future work will involve insight into the reaction mechanism to provide further understanding and reaction control.
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Title: Correction to manuscript ol403634b

Iron-Catalyzed Arylation of Heterocycles via Directed C-H Bond Activation

John J. Sirois, Riley Davis, and Brenton DeBoef*
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Since publishing our original manuscript, a few errors have been brought to our attention. The references in Scheme 1 were inverted. A corrected scheme is shown below. Additionally, the text accompanying Table 3 refers to the substrate as a 3-methylthiophene; it is actually a 4-methyl thiophene. Finally, two names were misspelled in the references section. The corrected reference is as follows: (6b) Yoshikai, N.; Asako, S.; Yamakawa, T.; Ilies, L.; Nakamura, E. Chem.–Asian J. 2011, 6, 3059.