A tertiary interaction in the *Tetrahymena* intron contributes to selection of the 5' splice site
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The utilization of cryptic splice sites has been observed in a number of RNA splicing reactions. In the self-splicing group I intron of *Tetrahymena thermophila*, point mutations of either A₅₇ or A₉₅ promote cleavage at two sites other than the normal 5' splice site, suggesting that these nucleotides are involved in a common tertiary interaction. These results are unusual since A₅₇ and A₉₅ are neither at nor near the 5' splice site in the sequence or secondary structure. Cleavage at the alternative sites appears to occur by intron cyclization, a reaction with well-established structural and mechanistic similarities to the first step of RNA self-splicing. Alternative docking of P₁ (the helix containing the 5' splice site paired to the internal guide sequence of the intron) into the catalytic core accounts for cleavage at the cryptic reaction sites. We propose that the A₅₇/A₉₅ interaction, along with an element implicated previously ([J₁/2], provide structural connectivity from the reaction site in P₁ to the catalytic core of the *Tetrahymena* intron. It seems likely that RNA splicing in general will require such tertiary interactions to position RNA helices.
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In spliceosomal mRNA splicing and in self-splicing, RNA makes up part or all of the splicing machinery. In each case, the first chemical step is cleavage at the 5' splice site, a reaction that must occur with high accuracy. Our understanding of 5' splice site selection has focused on base-pairing interactions, and in each case RNA components of the splicing machinery have been found to provide binding sites or "guide sequences" that base-pair with sequence flanking the 5' splice site. In group I and group II self-splicing reactions, the introns themselves provide the base-pairing sequences [Davies et al. 1982; Michel and Dujon 1983; Michel et al. 1989b].

In nuclear mRNA splicing the initial recognition is by the U₁ small nuclear RNA [snRNA] [Lerner et al. 1980; Zhuang and Weiner 1986; Guthrie 1991], later to be replaced by base-pairing with U₅ and U₆ snRNAs [Kandels-Lewis and Séraphin 1993; Lesser and Guthrie 1993; Sontheimer and Steitz 1993]. Whereas these base-pairing interactions are necessary to direct the first step of splicing, it seems unlikely that they alone could ensure accuracy; once the splice-site duplex is formed, some mechanism is needed to align it with respect to the attacking group, which is contained in a second RNA duplex [P₇ in group I introns, domain VI in group II introns, the U₂ snRNA · branch site duplex in nuclear mRNA introns]. We now identify a tertiary interaction in the *Tetrahymena* group I intron that helps to provide this next level of accuracy by holding the 5' splice-site duplex into the proper position for splicing.

Self-splicing of the *Tetrahymena* pre-rRNA and cyclization of the excised intron occur in three steps, each involving the same chemical mechanism of transesterification [Cech and Bass 1986; Cech 1990]. The 5' splice site is contained within the P₁ helix, which consists of 5' exon sequence paired with the internal guide sequence (IGS) of the intron [Davies et al. 1982]. The P₁ duplex is held in the active site of the intron and cleaved at the 5' splice site during the first step of splicing [Doudna et al. 1989; Pyle et al. 1992]. Guanosine [or GMP, GDP, or GTP] from solution is also bound in the active site of the intron [Michel et al. 1989a], and its 3' OH group carries out this cleavage resulting in addition of the guanosine to the 5' end of the intron [Cech et al. 1991] (Fig. 1). In the second step of splicing, another transesterification reaction separates the intron from the 3' exon and at the same time joins the 5' and 3' exons. Then, in a final reaction, the guanosine at the extreme 3' end of the excised intron carries out an intramolecular nucleophilic attack at a site near the 5' end of the intron. As in the first step of splicing, this reaction site follows an oligopyrimidine sequence that is selected by base-pairing to a
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Accuracy of group I intron reactions

Figure 1. Self-processing of the *Tetrahymena* group I intron. Shown are the three transesterification reactions of processing: the first step of splicing, the second step of splicing, and cyclization of the excised linear intron (L-IVS). Each image emphasizes the IGS (3'AGGGAGG-5') and the duplex in which it participates in that stage of reaction. Arrows indicate nucleophilic attack of a phosphorous atom by a 3' hydroxyl group. The phosphates existing at points of cleavage are marked: (1) 5' splice site; (2) 3' splice site; (3) cyclization site. The intron sequence is in uppercase letters; exons are in lowercase letters.

portion of the IGS (Been and Cech 1987). The result is the conversion of the intron to a circular form.

Previously, cross-linking studies revealed that nucleotides As7 and A9s are proximal to one another in both the unspliced precursor of the *Tetrahymena* rRNA (Downs 1993) and a shortened version of the intron with enzymatic activity (Downs and Cech 1990). The occurrence of this UV-inducible cross-link suggested that the bases of the two nucleotides can stack upon one another in the three-dimensional structure, and the properties of the cross-linking reaction indicated that this structure is characteristic of the active molecule. Now, to investigate the functional contribution of these nucleotides, A57 and A9s have been individually mutated. In the mutant RNAs, cleavage occurs at two sites other than the normal 5' splice site. The observation that mutating either A57 or A9s results in the same phenotype of inaccurate reaction suggests that these nucleotides take part in a common function of choosing a 5' splice site.

Results

Testing the importance of A57 and A9s in self-splicing

Point mutations at positions A57 and A9s were introduced into a DNA template encoding a portion of the *Tetrahymena* rRNA precursor. Five of these six mutations eliminate cross-linking in the rRNA precursor. The sixth mutant, A57G, can still cross-link but at less than half the rate of the wild-type precursor [data not shown]. The sites of A57 and A9s are shown in Figure 2 in the context of the secondary structure of the intron. The self-processing of precursor RNA transcribed from each of these templates was examined under three different conditions that favor different reactions of the RNA: splicing, specific hydrolysis at the splice site, and cyclization of the excised intron (L-IVS). Each image emphasizes the IGS (3'AGGGAGG-5') and the duplex in which it participates in that stage of reaction. Arrows indicate nucleophilic attack of a phosphorous atom by a 3' hydroxyl group. The phosphates existing at points of cleavage are marked: (1) 5' splice site; (2) 3' splice site; (3) cyclization site. The intron sequence is in uppercase letters; exons are in lowercase letters.

Splicing conditions include a low concentration of MgCl2 (3 mM), a low temperature of incubation (30°C), and the presence of guanosine (200 μM) in the reaction. Under these conditions, the overall activity of all the mutants is lowered relative to wild type (Fig. 3a). However, those mutants that still undergo splicing generate the same products that are generated by the wild-type precursor: linear intron (L-IVS), ligated exons, and small amounts of 5' exon.

Site-specific hydrolysis conditions involve a higher concentration of MgCl2 (10 mM) and a higher temperature of incubation (42°C), but guanosine is not present. These conditions were included to evaluate the ability of the intron to activate the splice sites in the absence of the normal nucleophile, guanosine (Inoue et al. 1986). In the mutants and the cross-linked precursor, these conditions produced three aberrant species—5'ex-4, 5'ex+4, and LE+14 (where 5'ex is 5' exon and LE is ligated exons; Fig. 3)—in addition to the normal splicing products.

Cyclization conditions, which promote pre-rRNA splicing as well as intron cyclization, are the same as hydrolysis conditions except that guanosine (200 μM) is also present. The addition of guanosine appears to increase the overall extent of reaction but also results in lower yields of the aberrant products [LE+14, 5'ex+14,
Figure 2. The *Tetrahymena* intron. The secondary structure of the intron (Cech 1990; Michel and Westhof 1990) is outlined, and those sequences discussed in the text are superimposed. Labeled arrows mark sites of phosphodiester linkages that can serve as points of cleavage during self-processing of mutant and cross-linked precursors. The broken lines labeled *ScaI* and *toG* mark the 3' termini of two truncated forms of the pre-rRNA used in this investigation (see Fig. 7).

5'ex-4) relative to the yield of wild-type products [5' exon and ligated exons].

Three approaches were used to assign identities to these aberrant products (data not shown). Their lengths were determined by gel electrophoresis with fragments of the unspliced precursor of known length and primer extension sequencing ladders serving as markers. Also, a unique 5',32P-end label introduced into mutant precursors was found to be chased into all three aberrant products during self-processing. Therefore, 5' exon sequences are present in each product. Finally, 5'ex+14 and 5'ex-4 were enzymatically sequenced from a 5'-[32P]pCp label ligated to the 3' end. The identity of each of the aberrant products is shown in Figure 4 and is summarized below.

5'ex+14 This product is 14 nucleotides longer than the 5' exon. It results from cleavage at the major cyclization site [5'ss + 14 (where 5'ss is 5' splice site)], 14 nucleotides into the unspliced intron sequence, rather than at the 5' splice site.

LE +14 This product, 14 nucleotides longer than the correctly ligated exons, presumably results from the 5'ex +14 product proceeding through the second step of splicing and becoming ligated to the 3' exon.

5'ex-4 This product results from cleavage of the 5' exon from the rest of the precursor 4 nucleotides preceding the normal 5' splice site (5'ss - 4).

The fact that hydrolysis conditions result in the aberrant products indicates that guanosine from solution is not required for these alternative reactions. The yield of the aberrant products is actually reduced under cyclization conditions, as if normal splicing that uses exogenous guanosine competes with the aberrant reactions of the precursor. This suggests that all three aberrant products are actually the result of cleavage by another nucleophile, even in the presence of guanosine.

**A57 and A95 contribute structural stability**

The phenotypes of the A57N, A95N, and cross-linked precursors appear to be attributable to a structural defect. When more MgCl2 is included in the reaction (hydrolysis or cyclization conditions), activity is increased (Fig. 3). The restoration of activity with higher concentrations of magnesium cation has been observed in a number of mutants of the *Tetrahymena* intron (Burke et al. 1986; Flor et al. 1989; Joyce et al. 1989) and has been attributed to the stabilization of a destabilized structure. Also, an RNA enzyme derived from the *Tetrahymena* intron retains its ability to cleave an RNA substrate even after the A57-A95 cross-link has been introduced [W. Downs and T. Cech, unpubl.]. However, this activity is lost when the reaction solution contains 2 M urea [Downs and Cech 1990], an amount of denaturant that does not interfere with substrate cleavage in the uncross-linked ribozyme [Zaug et al. 1988].

When the relative activities of the different mutants under splicing conditions are compared (Fig. 3a), two general trends are observed. Mutations of A95 generally...
Figure 3. Aberrant splicing observed in variants of the precursor. Wild-type and mutant precursors (a) and A57–A95 cross-linked precursor (b) were reacted under three different conditions to favor hydrolysis at the splice sites (hydro.), normal splicing (spl.), or splicing plus cyclization of the excised intron (cycl.). Time points of 1 min and 60 min allow examination of the initial rate and the extent of reaction, respectively. Three aberrant products [labeled *LE +14, *5'ex +14, *5'ex -4] are more prominent in reactions of the variants relative to the wild-type precursor. The other labeled products are unprocessed precursor (pre), 3' splice-site hydrolysis product (5'ex+IVS), cyclized intron (C-IVS), linear intron (L-IVS), reopened cyclized intron (L-15 IVS), ligated exons (LE), and 5' exon (5'ex).
BGTZ, an unspliced precursor derived from the rRNA gene comprised of the 5’ exon, the intron, and the 3’ exon. The answer lies in a more detailed understanding of cyclization.

The A57/A95 interaction promotes reaction at the 5’ splice site

In the various A57N and A95N mutants and in the cross-linked precursor, only cleavage at the 5’ splice site seems to be impaired. This is best assessed under hydrolysis conditions (Fig. 3), where the initial product of 3’ splice-site hydrolysis (5’ex + IVS) and the subsequent products (C-IVS and 5’ex + 14) are still generated efficiently in reactions that do not depend on cleavage at the 5’ splice site. Also, 5’ splice-site cleavage is impaired more than cleavage at the major cyclization site (5’ss + 14), as indicated by the increased ratio of 5’ex + 14 to 5’exon in the mutants relative to wild type.

In addition, a double mutation known to impair the first step of splicing mimics the phenotype of the A57N, A95N, and cross-linked precursors. At the 5’ splice site is a U · G base pair (Fig. 2), a universal feature of group I introns. In the Tetrahymena intron, replacing this base pair with a Watson–Crick base pair greatly reduces cleavage at the 5’ splice site (Barfod and Cech 1989; Doudna et al. 1989). When the U · G base pair is replaced with a G-C base pair, increased amounts of 5’ex-4 and 5’ex + 14 are produced (see A test of the two models for 5’ss-4 cleavage, below). The implication is that 5’ss + 14 and 5’ss-4 are secondary sites for cleavage in the first step of splicing. In the wild-type precursor, cleavage at the 5’ splice site presumably outcompetes cleavage at 5’ss + 14 and 5’ss – 4, so only small amounts of 5’ex + 14 and 5’ex-4 are produced. At least one role of the A57/Ag5 interaction then is to help maintain fidelity in the first step of splicing by promoting cleavage at the 5’ splice site.

Correlations between 5’ss + 14 and 5’ss – 4 cleavages and cyclization

Cleavage at 5’ss + 14 resembles intron cyclization (Fig. 1), which also involves cleavage at 5’ss + 14 (Zaug et al. 1983). Cleavage at this site can also occur by hydrolysis (Zaug et al. 1984) or by attack of exogenous guanosine (Tanner and Cech 1987). Therefore, it is not surprising to find that 5’ss + 14 can serve as a default site for cleavage when use of the normal 5’ splice site is somehow impaired. On the other hand, the reason that 5’ss – 4 is used as a default site for cleavage is not immediately as clear. The answer lies in a more detailed understanding of cyclization.

Cyclization occurs when a tripyrimidine sequence within the intron (U13U14U15 or, in a minor reaction, C17C18U19) base pairs with the IGS (Fig. 5a). Interestingly, this duplex involves the 3’ half of the IGS (Been and Cech 1987) rather than the 5’ half where the cleavage and ligation reactions of splicing take place (Fig. 5a). If disruption of the A57/A95 interaction had the general effect of targeting a nucleophile (such as guanosine or water) to attack sequences base-paired to the 3’ half of the IGS, it would explain not only cleavage at 5’ss + 14 but cleavage at 5’ss – 4 as well. According to this model, cleavage at 5’ss – 4 results when the P1 helix remains intact, but P1 is shifted relative to the nucleophile. Instead of attacking at the usual U-1 position, the nucleophile attacks at U-5, which precedes the 5’ splice site by 4 nucleotides. The U-5 nucleotide is involved in the
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Figure 5. Models for normal and aberrant cleavage near the 5’ splice site (5’ss). (a) The sequences undergoing cleavage during normal splicing by cyclization by the wild-type Tetrahymena intron (Been and Cech 1986, 1987) shown base-paired with the IGS (G_{22}-G_{27}). (Nu) The cleaving nucleophile, which is either exogenous guanosine (splicing) or uG (cyclization). Shading marks the U-G base pair that is present at both sites of cleavage. (b) Two models, exon sliding and shifted P1, could account for 5’ss-4 cleavage in the A57N, A95N, and cross-linked precursor (see text). These models differ from one another in the pattern of base-pairing and the targeting of a nucleophile relative to the IGS during cleavage. Once again, the cleavages occur adjacent to U-G base pairs (shaded).

A test of the two models for 5’ss-4 cleavage

A mutational analysis was performed to determine whether 5’ss-4 cleavage behaves according to the shifted P1 or the sliding exon model. According to the shifted P1 model, 5’ss-4 cleavage depends on base-pairing along the 3’ portion of the IGS to permit formation of the U_s-G_{26} base pair. If the P1 helix is destabilized in this region, it should disrupt 5’ss-4 cleavage. However, if the exon sliding model is true, these mutations should not disrupt 5’ss-4 cleavage. Another prediction of the exon sliding model is that mutations in the 5’ portion of the IGS should prevent 5’ss-4 cleavage because of a destabilization of the U_s-G_{22} base pair.

To examine destabilization in different regions of P1, mismatches were introduced into the helix through single purine-C transversions along the IGS (Fig. 6a). In each of these mutants, the A_{57}/A_{95} structure was disrupted by cross-linking the precursor. The self-processing activity of each cross-linked precursor was then examined under splicing, hydrolysis, and cyclization conditions for formation of normal and aberrant splicing products. The 5’ exon-containing products generated by some of these cross-linked mutant precursors (designated X-L) are shown in Figure 6b. Upon irradiation of
these precursors, a fraction of the molecules remained uncross-linked. This uncross-linked fraction of UV-irradiated precursor (designated as UV in Fig. 6b) was also tested for activity. This was done to rule out the possibility that any phenotypes observed in the cross-linked precursor were attributable to general UV damage rather than the \( A_{27} - A_{28} \) cross-link and mutation present.

The mutational analysis revealed sequences of the IGS required for each of four cleavage reactions: 5'ss-4, 5' splice site, 5'ss+14, and cyclization (which involves cleavage at 5'ss+14 by the 3'-terminal G of the intron). Cyclization was evaluated by the formation of C-IVS RNA [not shown in Fig. 6b, see Fig. 3 for typical data]. The required sequences are marked by boxes in Figure 6c. Cleavage at the 5' splice site only requires sequence identity within the 5' half of the IGS (G_{22} and G_{23}). The other three cleavage reactions—5'ss-4, 5'ss+14, and cyclization—require that the sequence be preserved in the 3' half of the IGS [G_{25} to A_{28}] rather than the 5' half.

In the mutants G22C (data not shown) and U-1G:G22C [Fig. 6b], 5'ss-4 cleavage occurs to generate 5'ex-4 independent of cross-linking of the precursor. As mentioned before, these mutations eliminate the \( U_{-1} \cdot G_{22} \) pair at the 5' splice site, so 5'ss-4 and 5'ss+14 become default sites of cleavage. The observation of 5'ss-4 cleavage in these mutants with or without cross-linking supports the conclusion that the exon sliding model is not valid. Without G_{22}, a \( U_{-5} \cdot G_{22} \) pair is impossible, and without a \( U \cdot G_{22} \) pair, P1 would no longer be able to dock in its normal position in the active site.

Transversions at position G_{26} or G_{27} eliminate both cyclization and production of 5'ex+14 [see G27C, Fig. 6b]. However, there are slight differences between these two reactions: The rate of cyclization is affected more severely by alteration of G_{25}, whereas 5'ss+14 cleavage requires that A_{28} be preserved. Because the G_{25} \( \rightarrow \) A_{28} region of the IGS was implicated previously in guiding
various cyclization reactions (Been and Cech 1987), the elimination of 5′ss + 14 cleavage by changes in G_{26} to A_{28} suggests the same sort of alignment is being used.

Cleavage at the 5′ss − 4 site shows a similar requirement for sequences near the 3′ portion of the IGS, as predicted by the shifted P1 model for cleavage. In this case, changes of nucleotides G_{23}, A_{24}, and G_{25} do not prohibit 5′ss − 4 cleavage when they are accompanied by compensatory changes in the exon strand to restore the base-pairing in P1 [sites indicated by asterisks in Fig. 6c]. However, when single transversions are introduced anywhere from G_{23}, through G_{27}, 5′ss − 4 cleavage is lost in the cross-linked precursor. This result is different from that observed for the cyclization reaction and 5′ss + 14 cleavage, where transversions can be tolerated at nucleotides G_{24} and A_{24} without maintaining base-pairing in P1. We propose that either the shifted orientation of P1 required for cleavage at 5′ss − 4 requires base pairs beyond the site of cleavage [base pairs that are not required for cyclization], or the introduction of mismatches within P1 distorts the 6-bp duplex enough to prohibit 5′ss − 4 cleavage.

Finally, one other mutant [A28C] gives 5′ss − 4 cleavage even in unirradiated [data not shown] and UV-irradiated but uncross-linked precursors [Fig. 6b]. A_{28} is not actually a nucleotide of the IGS but the first nucleotide of the triadenosine linker J1/2 that connects P1 and P2. This result supports the proposition that J1/2, like the A_{57}/A_{95} interaction, plays a role in positioning P1 [Young et al. 1991].

5′ss − 4 cleavage depends on sequences near the 3′ splice site

Splicing was examined further in precursors lacking a 3′ exon (ωG transcripts) or lacking both a 3′ exon and 5′ nucleotides from the 3′ end of the intron [Scal transcripts; Fig. 2]. These truncated precursors also contained either an A_{57} or A_{95} mutation to promote 5′ss − 4 cleavage. Initially, we assumed that the aberrant splicing phenotype was attributable to effects involving only the first step of splicing so the 3′ exon could be deleted without changing the phenotype. Instead, deletions from the 3′ end did have effects, and these effects varied according to the sequence removed.

The Scal transcripts do not generate 5′ex − 4 or 5′ex + 14 products. However, the ωG transcripts not only generate 5′ex − 4 and 5′ex + 14 but give a higher yield than that obtained with the full-length precursor [Fig. 7]. These results indicate that the 3′ terminal sequence of the Tetrahymena intron is necessary for cleavage at the cryptic sites. It seems likely that G_{414} serves as the nucleophile for 5′ss − 4 and 5′ss + 14 cleavage, just as it does in cyclization of the intron [Fig. 1].

Discussion

A_{57} and A_{95} interact to promote accurate splicing

We conclude that A_{57} and A_{95} are not only close to one another within the folded structure of the Tetrahymena intron but actually interact to promote efficient and accurate self-splicing. The proximity of A_{57} and A_{95} was revealed previously by their ability to cross-link when subjected to UV irradiation [Downs and Cech 1990]. We now conclude that A_{57} and A_{95} interact in a common structure based on the finding that point mutations at either site result in the same phenotype. However, until the reaction is dissected into its elemental steps, we cannot rule out the possibility that these nucleotides act at different points to affect the same steps of the reaction.

For precursors altered at A_{57} or A_{95}, conditions that promote splicing [30°C, 3 mM MgCl_{2}] reduce activity in the first step, 5′ splice-site cleavage. Under conditions that promote splicing and intron cyclization or that promote splice-site hydrolysis [42°C, 10 mM MgCl_{2}], two alternative sites of cleavage are utilized: 4 nucleotides upstream (5′ss − 4) and 14 nucleotides downstream (5′ss + 14) of the 5′ splice site. The same phenotype is observed when U_{−1}·G_{22}, a critical component of 5′ splice-site recognition [Barfod and Cech 1989; Doudna et al. 1989], is replaced with a mismatch or an alternative base pair [Fig. 6b].

Significantly, however, activation of cleavage at position − 4 is not simply the consequence of reducing the rate of cleavage at the normal 5′ splice site. Other mutations decrease the rate of reaction without activating cleavage at positions − 4 and + 14, including base pair changes and mismatches in P7 [Burke et al. 1986] and in

Figure 7. Reaction of precursors differing in their 3′ ends. Six different precursors were taken through a 60-min self-processing reaction under hydrolysis conditions [see Materials and methods]. The autoradiographs display those cleavage products containing the 5′ exon. In each precursor the A_{57}/A_{95} interaction is disrupted by either a transversion [A_{57}C] or a transition [A_{95}G]. The precursors varied in their 3′ termini [see Fig. 2]. The longest precursors included a 29-nucleotide exon (3′ exon). Next in length were precursors without a 3′ exon but possessing the full intron sequence, including the terminal guanosine nucleotide (ωG). The shortest precursors lacked both the 3′ exon and the last 5 nucleotides of the intron sequence (Scal).
P4 (Flor et al. 1989), and mutations near the 3’ splice site that reduce 5’ splice-site activity (Price and Cech 1988). This supports our interpretation that A$_{57}$ and A$_{95}$ participate specifically in proper positioning of the 5’ splice site, as do U - 1 . G$_{22}$ (A. Pyle, T. Chapman, T. Cech, D. Turner, and S. Moran, in prep.; S. Strobel and T. Cech, unpubl.) and J1/2 [Young et al. 1991; Herschlag 1992]. Of these, U - 1 . G$_{22}$ is right at the normal reaction site and J1/2 would be expected to be structurally linked to it (Fig. 2). A$_{57}$/A$_{95}$ is not obviously linked to the 5’ splice site by proximity in sequence or secondary structure, so we propose that it interacts through tertiary structure.

A new explanation for cryptic splice sites

Explanations have been put forth for cleavage at cryptic 5’ splice sites in nuclear pre-mRNA splicing (Zhuang and Weiner 1986; Seraphin et al. 1988; Siliciano and Guthrie 1988; Newman and Norman 1992; Lesser and Guthrie 1993) and self-splicing in group I (Perea and Jacq 1985; Chandry and Belfort 1987; Price et al. 1987; Winter et al. 1992) and group II introns (Muller et al. 1988; Jacquier and Jacquesson-Breuleux 1991). In these cases, a guide sequence provided by an snRNA (U1, U5, or U6) or by a self-splicing intron is proposed to base-pair with sequences flanking the cryptic 5’ splice site.

We present a different sort of explanation for our results. In a wild-type precursor, A$_{57}$ and A$_{95}$ cooperate in positioning the 5’ splice-site helix relative to a bound nucleophile. When the A$_{57}$/A$_{95}$ interaction is disrupted in A57N and A95N mutants and in cross-linked precursor, the 5’ splice site and the bound nucleophile no longer share a proper alignment for attack much of the time. This accounts for the observation that 5’ splice-site cleavage is impaired in these variants. Furthermore, when the A$_{57}$/A$_{95}$ interaction is disrupted, P1 moves to new positions, the other U . G pair within P1 is aligned with the bound nucleophile, and alternative cleavage along P1 results. This would be the situation in 5’ss - 4 cleavage. Our results do not provide a detailed explanation for the role of U . G. For instance, the position of the P1 helix may be determined in part by recognition of a specific functional group on the U . G pair (S. Strobel and T. Cech, unpubl.), or the P1 helix may be bound in multiple positions [Herschlag 1992] with reaction being greatly enhanced by a U . G pair, or both. In any case, the same U . G pair is chosen even when other base pairs in P1 are altered, suggesting that it is the U . G pair itself that is important for recognition, reaction, or both.

Demonstration that P1 can dock in different registers within the catalytic core was provided earlier by Herschlag (1992), who studied the promiscuous cleavage at positions -1, -2, and -3 observed in J1/2 mutants [Young et al. 1991] of an enzymatic form of the Tetrahymena ribozyme. The lack of significant cleavage at position -4 in Herschlag’s system contrasts with the preponderance of infidelity at -4 described herein. The substitution of a C for U - 5 in the enzymatic system, which would give a standard C . G base pair in P1, presumably contributes to this difference, although it is also possible that the different mutations give distinct patterns of infidelity because they perturb the structure differently.

Cryptic cleavages appear to be attributable to cyclization reactions

There are several correlations between cleavage at either the 5’ss - 4 or the 5’ss + 14 site and the third step of processing of the Tetrahymena pre-rRNA, intron cyclization. The same changes in reaction conditions [higher temperature and MgCl$_2$ concentration] that promote cyclization also promote the aberrant cleavage reactions of the mutants. Cleavage depends on the 3’ portion of the IGS, suggesting a displacement of the IGS relative to the catalytic machinery of the core. Aberrant cleavage products, 5’ex - 4 and 5’ex + 14, are generated in even higher yield in the absence of guanosine, suggesting that the nucleophile is something other than guanosine from solution.

In fact 5’ss + 14 is the major cyclization site in the Tetrahymena intron (Zaug et al. 1983). In 5’ss + 14 cleavage the precursor presumably assumes an arrangement very similar to that of the excised intron during cyclization, as a default reaction. However, the two reactions are not identical, as 5’ss + 14 cleavage makes slightly different demands on the sequence identity of the IGS than those required for cyclization (Fig. 6c).

Finally, the results of deleting sequence from the 3’ end of the precursor can be explained if 5’ss - 4 and 5’ss + 14 cleavages are the consequence of cyclization reactions. When the 3’ exon and 5 nucleotides at the extreme 3’ end of the intron are removed from an A57C or A95G precursor (Scal transcripts), 5’ss - 4 and 5’ss + 14 cleavage do not occur. Meanwhile removal of the 3’ exon alone does not prevent the aberrant cleavage reactions. The 5-nucleotide sequence required for these cleavage reactions includes G$_{414}$, the nucleotide that is required as the nucleophile during cyclization. During normal processing of the Tetrahymena intron, cyclization cannot occur until cleavage occurs at the 3’ splice site (e.g., the second step of splicing). This is because the 3’ OH group of G$_{414}$ must be freed from its phosphodiester linkage to carry out the nucleophilic attack. In the wG transcripts of A57C and A95G, the 3’ exon has been removed and G$_{414}$ has been retained, now with a free 3’ OH, so 5’ss - 4 and 5’ss + 14 cleavages can occur.

In the A$_{57}$ and A$_{95}$ mutant and cross-linked Tetrahymena precursors, the first step of splicing is impaired, leaving the 3’ splice site available for site-specific hydrolysis [Inoue et al. 1986]. This hydrolysis reaction is likely the means for liberating the 3’ hydroxyl group of G$_{414}$ and allowing it to act as the nucleophile in cyclization. In 5’ss + 14 cleavage a cyclization reaction essentially identical to the third step of processing occurs prematurely. Cleavage at the 5’ss - 4 site utilizes the P1 helix, an element of the first step of splicing, in a cyclization reaction. Thus, disrupting the A$_{57}$/A$_{95}$ interaction promotes reaction pathways that bypass the first step of splicing.
In a limited number of molecules cleavage occurs at the cyclization site (5'ss + 14), and the resulting 5'ex + 14 product can then proceed through the second step of splicing and become ligated to the 3' exon to give LE + 14. It is likely that LE + 14 results from 5'ex + 14 reacting with a second precursor RNA in trans, because (1) the 3' exon is presumably removed from the precursor by hydrolysis as a prerequisite to cyclization and is therefore no longer available for ligation, and (2) cyclization at the 5'ss + 14 site will sever the IGS from the remainder of the intron and render the intron incapable of executing the ligation step. A second precursor (or one of its processed forms) must provide the catalytic machinery, including an IGS, for ligation. Likewise, this second molecule may provide the 3' exon and intact 3' splice site for performing a ligation reaction analogous to the second step of splicing.

It is now thought that spliceosome-mediated splicing (Guthrie 1991), as well as group I self-splicing, will require conformational changes between steps of processing. In the processing of the Tetrahymena intron, a change in conformation is expected between the first and second steps (Inoue et al. 1986; Michel and Westhof 1990) and as a prerequisite for the third step (Been and Cech 1987). An intriguing possibility is that the A57/A95 interaction is disrupted or altered in the conformational switch from splicing to cyclization. There is no evidence that the A57/A95 interaction is necessary for cyclization. When the linear intron is cross-linked it can still undergo cyclization (W. Downs and T. Cech, unpubl.). The method of aligning the IGS duplex relative to the nucleophile-binding site must therefore be different in cyclization than in the first step of splicing.

A structural model for positioning of P1 by A57/A95

Previously, we presented a model for the arrangement of P1, P2, P2.1, P3, and P7 within the folded Tetrahymena intron based on the A57-A95 cross-link and earlier chemical probing studies (Downs and Cech 1990). Accordingly, P1 and two coaxially stacked pairs of helices, P2/P2.1 and P3/P7, are held by tertiary interactions in an arrangement with the nucleophile-binding site in P7 juxtaposed to the 5' splice site (Fig. 8, square in P1). The A57/A95 interaction presumably takes part in positioning P1 relative to P7, one of a number of interactions that contribute to this function (Barford and Cech 1989; Doudna et al. 1989; Young et al. 1991; Pyle et al. 1992; Strobel and Cech 1993, 1994). Displacement of P1 upward relative to P7 (Fig. 8, middle) could account for the loss of cleavage at the 5' splice site and the occurrence of cleavage closer to the base of the P1 stem (e.g., 5'ss - 4). But for the stacking of A57 and A95 to position P1 and P7, there must be a bridge of structural interactions that leads to each.

A95 is highly conserved among group I introns (86%), and it exists at one end of P3. This is the edge of the catalytic core, which contains secondary structure elements and nucleotides conserved among group I introns. P3 packs tightly against the P2/P2.1 coaxial helix as revealed by modeling of the A57-A95 cross-link and solvent accessibility patterns (Inoue and Cech 1985; Latham and Cech 1989; Downs and Cech 1990) Therefore, it is reasonable that the A57/A95 interaction influences the position of P3. P7 stacks upon P3 and contains at least a portion of the nucleophile-binding site (Michel et al. 1989a). Therefore, a pathway can be envisaged for the
A57/A95 interaction to influence the position of the nucleophile-binding site via P3.

The influence of the A57/A95 interaction on the position of P1 could be mediated through the structural elements P2 and J1/2. The A57 and A95 stacking arrangement is predicted to lie at the heart of the P2/2.1 coaxial helix so this interaction could influence the position of 5’ sequences through P2. Between P2 and P1 there exists a triadenosine linker, J1/2 [see Fig. 2]. If this linker possesses a defined structure it could be utilized by the A57/A95 interaction to position P1. Several lines of evidence indicate that this linker does have a structure or participates in additional interactions that position P1. Altering the length of J1/2 in an RNA enzyme form of the Tetrahymena intron resulted in lower affinity for binding of substrate (a 5’ exon analog) [Young et al. 1991] as well as binding of the substrate in the wrong register within the active site [Herschlag 1992]. There is also a requirement for the 5’ splice site to be a specific distance from the bottom of P1 [Doudna et al. 1989], which suggests that there is some structural element below the 5’ splice site that positions it in the active site. J1/2 may have a rigid structure or participate in specific interactions permitting it to push P1 into its proper place within the catalytic core of the Tetrahymena intron. J1/2 has the sequence AAA, and at low temperatures and neutral pH polynucleotides can adopt a single-stranded rod-like structure [Stannard and Felsenfeld 1975]. A final piece of evidence in support of this model is that the precursor mutant A28C generates 5’-ex-4 product even when it is not cross-linked [Fig. 6b]. A38 is the first nucleotide of the J1/2 linker. Presumably introducing a pyrimidine at this position reduces the ability of P1 to stack on the J1/2 linker or disrupts a tertiary structure involving the linker.

The picture that emerges is one of structural connectivity from P1 to P7, going from A57 through P2 and J1/2 to P1 in one direction and from A95 through the P3 helix to P7 in the other direction. The A57/A95 interaction lies within this structural path and occurs at a point where the ends of three helices, P2, P2.1, and P3, converge. Therefore, disrupting this interaction would be expected to break the structural connectivity between P1 and P7 by destabilizing the coaxial stacking of P2 and P2.1, the packing between P2/2.1 and P3, or both. This model suggests that there could be other sites where mutations could affect fidelity in splicing, but A57N and A95N are the first mutations outside of P1 and the adjacent joining region, J1/2, found to do so.

Generality

In all cases of RNA-mediated splicing, the 5’ splice site is chosen in part by formation of an RNA duplex: the P1 duplex in group I self-splicing, the EBS1-IBS1 duplex in group II self-splicing, and pairing of sequences near the 5’ splice site first with U1 snRNA and later with U5 and U6 snRNAs in the case of nuclear mRNA splicing [Green 1991; Guthrie 1991; Wassarman and Steitz 1992; Kandels-Lewis and Séraphin 1993; Lesser and Guthrie 1993; Sontheimer and Steitz 1993]. In all cases, a second RNA duplex holds the nucleophile that will attack the 5’ splice site [Michel et al. 1989a,b; Guthrie 1991]. Thus, precise positioning of the 5’ splice-site duplex relative to the nucleophile-containing duplex is likely to be a common requirement for all of these splicing reactions. In this paper we have described a tertiary interaction that contributes to this positioning in the case of a group I intron. Extrapolating from the group I introns, we predict that RNA elements will be found in snRNAs (such as U5 or U6) and in group II introns that increase fidelity of splicing by promoting the correct positioning of helices. Disruption of such interactions may explain the phenotypes of mutations at positions G50 and G32 in yeast U6 snRNA described by Lesser and Guthrie [1993] and the aberrant splicing caused by a 4-base mutation in a nearby region of U6 snRNA in a nematode reported by Yu et al. [1993].

Materials and methods

Templates

Unprocessed pre-rRNA containing the Tetrahymena intron was transcribed from the pBGTZ construct [provided by Daniel Cech, University of Illinois, Urbana]. This plasmid was made by inserting a Scal fragment from pBGST7 [Been and Cech 1986] into a fragment of the pTZ18U vector. This template yields the same RNA precursors as the pBGST7 plasmid described previously [Been and Cech 1986]. However, pBGTZ is also a phagemid construct and was used to make the U-1G:G22C and G22C constructs with the Muta-Gene kit (Bio-Rad). Construction of templates that introduce other mutations into P1 of the Tetrahymena precursor have been described previously [Been et al. 1986, 1987]. Prior to use as a template, each plasmid was digested to completion with HindIII to generate the unspliced precursor or with Scal to generate the truncated template.

Preparation of RNA

RNA was transcribed according to a procedure described by Zaug et al. [1988], with the following exceptions. The 10× transcription buffer was composed of 200 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 75 mM MgCl2, 25 mM DTT, and 10 mM spermidine. A 1-mL transcription reaction contained 100 μL of 10× transcription buffer, 100 μL of nucleotide mix [10 mM each GTP, ATP, UTP, CTP], 5–10 μg of linearized template [e.g., pBGTZ/HindIII, pBGTZ/Scal], and 1000–2000 units of T7 RNA polymerase. To generate a uniformly labeled transcript, [α-32P]ATP [600 Ci/mmol, New England Nuclear] was included in the transcription reaction and the ATP concentration was reduced to 0.25 mM.

The RNA was precipitated in 0.25 M NaCl plus three volumes of absolute ethanol, and separated by size by electrophoresis in a denaturing gel containing 8 M urea. The gel slices were frozen on dry ice, crushed using a sterile, silanized glass pestle, and soaked overnight at 4°C in 0.25 M NaCl; 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), and 1–5 mM EDTA to elute the RNA. The RNA was precipitated with 2.5–3 volumes of absolute ethanol, washed one to two times with 70% ethanol, dried under vacuum, and resuspended in 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5) and 1 mM EDTA or in doubly distilled, deionized water.

Recovered precursor RNA was folded into its active conformation prior to reaction or cross-linking by a method of heat renaturation. Accordingly, the RNA was first denatured by
heating in deionized water for 1–3 min at 96°C. One-tenth volume of the appropriate reaction buffer was injected into the IVS solution to introduce the desired concentration of cations. Immediately thereafter, the solution was placed on ice.

**Generation of cross-linked and uncross-linked precursor**

Heat-renatured RNA was irradiated at a concentration of 0.2 mg/ml or less as droplets (10–50 μl) on plastic wrap over ice water. A UVG-11 mineral lamp (UVP Inc.) served as a UV radiation source (λmax = 254 nm) and was mounted 5–10 cm above the samples. An extent of irradiation was chosen that converts approximately half of the precursor into AsT–A9s cross-linked material. The UV-irradiated precursor was ethanol precipitated and subjected to electrophoresis on a denaturing gel (6% or greater polyacrylamide/7 M urea) to separate the cross-linked and uncross-linked fractions.

**Generation of ωG transcripts**

Full-length transcript (e.g., pBGTZ/HindIII template) was heat-renatured resulting in a final solution of 0.25 μM precursor, 50 mM Tris-HCl (7.5), 5 mM MgCl₂, and 100 mM NaCl. The RNA oligonucleotide CCCUUCU was added to a final concentration of 0.25 μM as a reactant to generate 3' splice site cleavage, and reaction and kept on ice. Hydrolysis reactions were initiated by transfer to a 42°C water bath. To initiate the splicing or cyclization reactions, the renatured RNA was incubated at the proper reaction temperature (30°C or 42°C) for 15 sec as a 100 μl volume, and guanosine was added to a final concentration of 200 μM. All reactions were stopped by combining the reaction or an aliquot of the reaction with a 50–100% volume of stop solution: 10 μl urea, 50 mM EDTA, 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 0.01% xylene cyanole, 0.01% bromophenol blue. The samples were suitable for loading on a denaturing polyacrylamide gel once combined with the stop solution.

**Sequencing of RNA products**

For 3'-end labeling of the RNA cleavage products, 5'-[32P]PpCp [New England Nuclear] was ligated to the 3' end of the RNA as described by England and Uhlenbeck [1978]. After labeling, the RNA was purified by electrophoresis on a 7 μl urea denaturing gel. The desired band was visualized by autoradiography, cut from the gel, frozen, and crushed; the fragments were soaked overnight in 0.25 M NaCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), and 5 mM EDTA, and the labeled RNA was recovered by ethanol precipitation. Enzymatic sequencing and partial alkaline hydrolysis were performed on the end-labeled RNA according to the methods of Donis-Keller et al. [1977]. Some variations were made in the procedure: 0.01 units of RNases T1 or U2 (Sankyo) or 1 unit of PhyM (Bethesda Research Laboratories) was incubated in a 5-μl reaction mixture containing 0.2 mg/ml of rRNA for 15 min at 50°C. Sequencing by primer extension was performed by a procedure described previously [Zaug et al. 1984].

**Accuracy of group I intron reactions**
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