A case report on serous cyst fibroadenoma of ovary
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ABSTRACT

A 16-year-old unmarried female patient presented to the Subharti hospital with a lump in her abdomen that had been there for one year. For the previous two months, there had been abdominal pain. Constipation, intermittent fever, and vomiting were all present. A mass of around 25×25 cm (approximately) was found on per-abdominal examination, which was firm in consistency, non-tender, afebrile to touch with smooth borders, and restricted mobility. On the basis of the above mentioned examination and investigation, a diagnosis of ovarian cyst with typhoid immunoglobulin G (IgG) and immunoglobulin M (IgM) positive, dengue IgG with hepatitis B surface antigen (HbsAg) positive was made.
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INTRODUCTION

The most prevalent ovarian neoplasms are surface epithelial-stromal tumours, which affect women in their fourth to sixth decade. Benign serous tumours of the ovary make for about 16 percent of all ovarian epithelial neoplasms, with 30-50 percent of these being bilateral. The fibroblastic stromal component of some serous neoplasms is overly dominant, manifesting as solid, white, nodular foci in otherwise normal cystic neoplasms. These, too, can be classified as benign (adenofibroma and cystadenofibroma), borderline (adenofibrocarcinoma and cystadenofibrocarcinoma), or malignant (adenofibrocarcinoma and cystadenofibrocarcinoma). In this report we present a rare case of serous cyst fibroadenoma of ovary in a 16-year-old female.

CASE REPORT

A 16-year-old unmarried female patient presented to the Subharti hospital with a lump in her abdomen that had been there for one year. For the previous two months, there had been abdominal pain. Constipation, intermittent fever, and vomiting were all present. The patient's vital signs were steady at the time of the initial checkup. Her blood pressure (BP) was 110/70 mmHg, and her pulse rate was 96 beats per minute.

A lump of around 25×25 cm (approximately) was found on per-abdominal examination, which was firm in consistency, non-tender, afebrile to touch with smooth borders, and restricted mobility and lower margin of lump was not appreciated.

On further evaluation with contrast-enhanced computed tomography (CECT) abdomen and pelvis, a large
abdominopelvic cystic lesion with multiple internal septations possibly arising from right adnexa and minimal bilateral hydroureronephrosis secondary to ureteral compression by large abdominopelvic cystic lesion was seen.

Additional laboratory tests were performed to confirm the diagnosis. Cancer antigen-125 (CA-125) blood test was carried out. The test determines the level of CA-125 protein in the blood. CA-125 test value came out to be 50.2 U/ml, beta human chorionic gonadotropin (β-hCG) test showed a value <1.20 mlU/ml, alfa fetoprotein (AFP) showed a value of 2.07 ng/ml, lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) test came out to be 418 U/l.

The patient tested positive for dengue immunoglobulin G (IgG) and typhoid immunoglobulin M (IgM) and IgG, for which a medication referral was made and guidance was followed.

On the basis of the above mentioned examination and investigations a diagnosis of ovarian cyst with typhoid IgG and IgM positive, dengue IgG with hepatitis B surface antigen (HbsAg) positive was made.

After all recommended pre-operative examination, the patient was scheduled for laparotomy. The right fallopian tube and ovary were revealed to be normal intraoperatively. The uterus appeared to be in normal condition.

A 25×25 cm left ovarian cyst with a smooth surface and a normal-looking capsule was found. The peritoneal washing was sent to be analysed by cytologists. The omentum appeared normal, with no lymphadenopathy. The cytology of peritoneal washing revealed that there were no malignant cells present. The left salpingo oophorectomy was performed, and the left ovarian cyst and left fallopian tube were removed and histopathologically examined.

**DISCUSSION**

Ovarian serous adenofibromas are a rare type of ovarian serous surface epithelial tumour. Abdominal discomfort or a palpable mass in the pelvis or lower abdomen are the most common symptoms of a benign ovarian tumour. Further stages of ovarian tumours can be identified by the appearance of vaginal haemorrhage, ascites, and a mass on vaginal inspection, all of which indicate spread through the bilateral pelvic gutters and cul de sac.

Unless medically treated, a typical serous adenoma is a benign lesion with no future repercussions. Certain benign serous epithelial tumours, such as serous cyst adenofibroma, can have a clinical presentation that resembles carcinoma, and they can be restricted to one ovary or spread to the contralateral ovary. On histology, the present case included several round to oval masses that filled the peritoneal cavity and displayed signs of benign cyst adenofibroma. The sources of such a large number of lumps in a benign cyst adenofibroma are unknown. Adenofibromas of the serous cyst might appear as solid numerous nodules that can spread to extraovarian sites such as the coelomic or peritoneal cavity.

Histologically, the tumours are assumed to arise from the epithelium that normally lines the outside aspect of the ovary, which is known as surface, coelomic, or germinal epithelium.7 This epithelium is connected to the mesothelium that covers the peritoneal cavity, forming a modification of it and having many morphologic characteristics with it.8

There have been two interesting case reports on serous adenofibroma of ovary, both presenting in different clinical spectrums. The first case was reported by Hughesdon in the proceedings of the royal society of medicine, in which the patient had bilateral ovarian tumours, but because of the pinpoint hemorrhagic appearance of both ovaries, as well as blood collection in the pouch of Douglas, a clinical impression of associated endometriosis was suspected eventually on histopathological examination.9

On radiological ultrasonography and computed tomography (CT) scans, a second case of ovarian adenofibroma showed symptoms that resembled malignancy. Because the ovarian tumour had complex cystic as well as solid parts, the case was suspected of being malignant, and after excision, the case was diagnosed as cyst adenofibroma.10

In evaluating this tumour, a CT scan is likewise of little use. All 16 cases of ovarian cystadenofibromas, appearing as complicated cystic masses with solid components, were misinterpreted as malignant ovarian neoplasms on CT scan or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) in a research by Cho et al.3 In the same way as the previous two clinical case reports, the current case report of a unilateral ovarian mass on clinical examination and radiological findings was found to be highly suspicious of an infectious process or malignancy, but histopathological examination revealed it to be benign serous adenofibroma of ovary.
CONCLUSION

As a result, it can be concluded that benign tumours with a good prognosis can occasionally be confused with a grave diagnosis, both clinically and radiologically. It is critical to use frozen section-based histopathological intraoperative diagnosis to aid in the prompt management of such tumours, which will undoubtedly aid in the entire surgical management and prevention of recurrence.
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