The inner gas mass–temperature profile in the core of nearby galaxy clusters
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ABSTRACT
We present a mass–temperature profile of gas within the central 10 kpc of a small sample of cool core clusters. The mass of the hottest gas phases, at 1.5 and 0.7 keV, is determined from X-ray spectra from the XMM Reflection Grating Spectrometers. The masses of the partially ionized atomic and the molecular phases are obtained from published Hα and CO measurements. We find that the mass of gas at 0.7 keV in a cluster is remarkably similar to that of the molecular gas. Assuming pressure equilibrium between the phases, this means that they occupy volumes differing by $10^5$. The molecular gas is located within the Hα nebula which is often filamentary and coincides radially and in position angle with the soft X-ray emitting gas.
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1 INTRODUCTION
The radiative cooling time is much shorter than a few billion years for the intracluster gas in the core of relaxed galaxy clusters. In the absence of a balancing heat source, the core becomes cooler over time leading to a strong and pressure-driven radiative cooling flow. Early analysis of RGS observations showed little evidence of cool (<2 keV) X-ray gas (e.g. Kaastra et al. 2001; Peterson et al. 2001; Tamura et al. 2001), which contradicts the prediction of X-ray cooling rates of up to a few thousand $M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ (e.g. White, Jones & Forman 1997; Peres et al. 1998; Allen et al. 2001). Cooling is therefore suppressed by some source of heating, with AGN feedback being the most likely source in the cluster core (for reviews on AGN feedback, see Fabian 2012 and McNamara & Nulsen 2012). On the other hand, Chandra images show that cooler gas does exist in the central core (e.g. Sanders et al. 2008; Werner et al. 2010). The physical extent of the cooler gas between $z<0.5$ and $z<1$ keV is generally less than 10 kpc radius in many moderately massive clusters (e.g. A3526, the Centaurus cluster; Sanders et al. 2008). More extreme cases such as the Perseus cluster are often accompanied by a more extended optical line-emitting nebula (e.g. Fabian et al. 2003a, 2016).

We have shown that, from a two-temperature model, the cool core has a temperature of around 0.7 keV and the hot ICM temperature is between 1.5 and 3 keV in most clusters (Liu et al. 2019). O VII line emission, characteristic of gas with $kT < 0.2$ keV, has been revealed by Sanders & Fabian (2011) and Pinto et al. (2014, 2016) in the most central region of clusters with the XMM–Newton Reflection Grating Spectrometer (RGS). The existence of gas at all X-ray temperatures suggests the inexact balance between the cooling and AGN heating. The cooling rates deduced from RGS spectra are very low, typically less than 10 per cent of the predicted rates in the absence of heating (Liu et al. 2019). Some cooling is presumably necessary, if the central supermassive black hole is powered by gas accretion and a sustaining accretion-feedback loop. This would suggest that the multiphasedness of gas (i.e. the mass–temperature profile) is an important step in completing the understanding of the feedback process.

A complete mass–temperature profile should contain at least four phases: the mass and volume dominating hot ionized gas, a soft X-ray emitting phase, an intermediate phase where the gas is partially ionized, and the cold molecular gas. The bulk of the hot X-ray emitting ICM extends to over a few hundreds of kpc. In order to probe any ‘soft’ X-ray gas below the bulk temperature, we search for the strongest emission lines that peaks at low temperatures such as Fe XVII and O VII lines. These lines require the high-spectral resolution of RGS, which has a limited spatial resolution in the cross dispersion direction. This means it is possible to resolve the core in nearby ($z<0.1$) clusters.

Most cool core clusters are found to have an Hα emission nebula. The filamentary structure of the nebula spatially coincides with the soft X-ray components (e.g. Perseus: Fabian et al. 2003a, 2006; Centaurus: Crawford et al. 2005b, Fabian et al. 2016; A1795: Fabian et al. 2001, Crawford, Sanders & Fabian 2005a). From the spatial coincidence, Fabian et al. (2003a) suggested that the soft X-ray gas is likely mixing with the cold nebula and the interpenetration of the hot and cold gas leads to the creation of fast particles in the cold gas (Fabian et al. 2011). The fast particles can then heat and excite the cold gas, powering the observed nebulosity (Ferland et al. 2009). In the far-UV, the O VI line emission indicates the presence of $10^{5.5}$ – $10^6$ K gas, just below the minimum temperatures in X-rays. It has been observed in just a few clusters, e.g. the Perseus cluster (Bregman et al. 2005), A1795 (Bregman et al. 2005; McDonald et al. 2014), and the Phoenix cluster (McDonald et al. 2015b, 2019).

At the lowest temperatures, massive $10^{8}$ – $10^{10} M_\odot$ reservoirs of cold ($\sim 50$ K) molecular gas have been observed through CO lines in
many clusters (Edge 2001; Salomé & Combes 2003; Salomé et al. 2011; Oliveira et al. 2019; Russell et al. 2019). For most moderately cooling clusters with a cooling rate between a few to \(10 \, \text{M}_\odot \, \text{yr}^{-1}\), the bulk of the molecular gas is located within a few kpc of the centre. The molecular gas exists in a filamentary structure which is likely to form in situ from X-ray cooling (e.g. McNamara et al. 2014; Russell et al. 2019). Molecular hydrogen is also found at a higher temperature of \(\sim 2000 \, \text{K}\) (Wilman et al. 2002). It is observed from molecular hydrogen lines at around 2 \(\mu\text{m}\) (e.g. Donahue et al. 2000; Hatch et al. 2005; Wilman, Edge & Johnstone 2005). However, it has significantly less mass than the cold molecular gas (Wilman et al. 2005; Johnstone et al. 2007).

In a nutshell, a 10 kpc radius spherical core contains most of the gas below 1 keV down to the molecular phase, and the filament of each phase are likely entangled. In this work, we select nine nearby cool core clusters from the CHEMical Enrichment RGS Sample (CHEERS; Pinto et al. 2015; de Plaa et al. 2017), all of which have deep (>100 ks) RGS spectra. We assume the following cosmological parameters: \(H_0 = 73 \, \text{km s}^{-1} \, \text{Mpc}^{-1}\), \(\Omega_M = 0.27\), \(\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.73\). Literature values are corrected using the same cosmology.

### 2 DATA

The XMM–Newton observatory has the X-ray grating spectrometer RGS and the European Photon Imaging Cameras (EPIC) onboard. We performed our spectral analysis using the RGS spectra. We followed the data reduction procedure used by Pinto et al. (2015) with the XMM–Newton Science Analysis System v 16.1.0. The background was subtracted using template background files based on count rates in CCD 9. The background-subtracted spectra were stacked through task rgscombine, where the products were converted to SPEX usable format via task trafa. Since RGS is a slitless spectrometer, the line emission is broadened by the spatial extent of the source by \(\Delta\alpha = 0.138 \Delta m \ell \, \text{Å} \), where \(\Delta\alpha\) is the wavelength shift, \(\Delta m\) is the angular offset from the central source in arcmin, and \(\ell\) is the spectral order. Such a broadening effect dominates over the intrinsic velocity for nearby (\(z < 0.1\)) objects (Pinto et al. 2015), and so needs to be accounted for in our analysis. We corrected the total line broadening using the surface brightness profile of the sources from EPIC/MOS 1.

To spatially resolve extended sources in RGS, we draw a long slit in the dispersion direction. The width of the slit is tuned by varying the fraction of point spread function (PSF) included in the cross dispersion direction. For a core radius of 10 kpc, the slit width must be 20 kpc. The slit contains the inner cool core as well as hot ambient ICM. To select our sources from the CHEERS sample, we require both the H\textalpha and molecular gas measurements available from the literature. The main information is listed in Table 1. For the most distant cluster AS1101, the 20 kpc slit translates to an angular width of 0.305 arcmin, which is still safe to be resolved by RGS. Additionally, these clusters have at least 10 000 counts in the 10 kpc RGS spectrum.

We used SPEX version 3.05.00 for spectral analysis with its default proto-Solar abundances of Lodders & Palme (2009). Since the narrow 20 kpc RGS slit includes a limited number of counts, we used C-statistics. We adopt \(\sigma\) (\(\Delta C = 1\)) uncertainty for measurements and \(2\sigma\) (\(\Delta C = 2.71\)) for upper/lower limits, unless otherwise stated. We use the first-order spectra and include the 7–28 Å wavelength band. To ensure the minimum bin size is the same as the RGS spectral resolution, the spectra are overbinned by a factor of 3. We further set O, Ne, and Fe as free parameters, and couple Mg to Ne and other metals to Fe. The spectra are modelled by collisional ionization equilibrium components (cie), using multiple combinations of cie in SPEX which calculates X-ray emission from a collisionally ionized plasma at a given temperature \(T\) and emission measure \(EM = n_e n_H \, \text{V}\). Each cie component is modified by redshift, galactic absorption with a cold temperature of 0.5 eV and solar abundances (Pinto et al. 2013). It is then convolved with the line broadening (lpro) component in SPEX. These settings except binning are the same as Liu et al. (2019).

### 3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1 Mass measurement of X-ray components

It was recently shown that clusters in our sample can be modelled by a two-component model with free temperatures (see e.g. de Plaa et al. 2017 and Liu et al. 2019). The Fe XVII lines are observable in these clusters, which indicate the temperature of the cooler component is \(\lesssim 73 \, \text{km s}^{-1}\) and hence they represent distinct gas phases. The OVII signature is weak in our sample. We find a further component at 0.73 keV, which must be 20 kpc. The slit contains the inner cool core as well as many clusters (Edge 2001; Salomé & Combes 2003; Salomé et al. 2011; Oliveira et al. 2019; Russell et al. 2019). For most moderately cooling clusters with a cooling rate between a few to \(10 \, \text{M}_\odot \, \text{yr}^{-1}\), the bulk of the molecular gas is located within a few kpc of the centre. The molecular gas exists in a filamentary structure which is likely to form in situ from X-ray cooling (e.g. McNamara et al. 2014; Russell et al. 2019). Molecular hydrogen is also found at a higher temperature of \(\sim 2000 \, \text{K}\) (Wilman et al. 2002). It is observed from molecular hydrogen lines at around 2 \(\mu\text{m}\) (e.g. Donahue et al. 2000; Hatch et al. 2005; Wilman, Edge & Johnstone 2005). However, it has significantly less mass than the cold molecular gas (Wilman et al. 2005; Johnstone et al. 2007).

In a nutshell, a 10 kpc radius spherical core contains most of the gas below 1 keV down to the molecular phase, and the filament of each phase are likely entangled. In this work, we select nine nearby cool core clusters from the CHEMical Enrichment RGS Sample (CHEERS; Pinto et al. 2015; de Plaa et al. 2017), all of which have deep (>100 ks) RGS spectra. We assume the following cosmological parameters: \(H_0 = 73 \, \text{km s}^{-1} \, \text{Mpc}^{-1}\), \(\Omega_M = 0.27\), \(\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.73\). Literature values are corrected using the same cosmology.
and 0.7 keV components as found in some clusters in the CHEERS sample (Pinto et al. 2015; Liu et al. 2019). Therefore we only use the three-component model in this work.

To estimate the gas masses, we assume that the inner core is in hydrostatic equilibrium, and that the different gas phases are in pressure equilibrium with each other at any chosen radii. We interpolate the radial mean density and temperature profiles from the ACCEPT catalogue (Cavagnolo et al. 2009) to calculate the pressure at 10 kpc, within which most of the gas at 1.5 and 0.7 keV lies. The ACCEPT catalogue contains projected temperature profiles. Panagoulia, Fabian & Sanders (2014) and Lakhchaura, Saini & Sharma (2016) have shown that projected temperatures are higher which causes higher core entropy within ∼10 kpc. This has a similar effect on pressure. Hogan et al. (2017) showed that, in A496, the deprojected temperature at 10 kpc is ∼10 per cent lower than the projected temperature while the density is consistent with that reported in the ACCEPT catalogue. They further showed that the shape of the radial density profile is similar to four other cool core clusters, where the density varies as 1/r^{0.6} and the deprojected temperature as r^{0.3}. This means that, if the radial dependence of temperature and density holds in general in the rest of our sample, the pressure n_e T typically rises by a factor of 1.6 to 2.2 at 2 kpc from the value at 10 kpc and is even higher at the innermost 1 kpc. Exact scaling of radial profiles is often not possible due to the complexity of the inner structure of the core. Different gas phases are neither spherically symmetric nor completely volume-filling. For simplicity, we estimate the gas mass using the pressure at 10 kpc in this work. For a given temperature, the mass of the X-ray emitting component is proportional to the ratio of its emission measure to the pressure. The net effect is that our masses may be overestimated by a factor of up to 2.

The mass–temperature profile is seen in Fig. 1 (and detailed in Table 2). The RGS slit only selects a fraction of the 3 keV gas, so the mass of the 3 keV component is only a lower limit. We see that, for the most relevant components at 1.5 and 0.7 keV, the gas mass decreases by at least an order of magnitude towards lower temperatures. In 6 out of 9 clusters, the ratio of the mass of these two components is between 20 and 60, with two more with a ratio of 10. This pattern is likely intrinsically regulated, whereas the reason for the connection is unclear. The comparison between the gas mass and corresponding emission measure for either the 1.5 or 0.7 keV component also shows that the mass scatter is smaller than that of the emission measure.

The 1.5 and 0.7 keV components do not always have the same spatial extent. From Chandra analysis, the 0.7 keV gas exhibits spatial coincidence with the 1.5 keV component in the Centaurus cluster (Sanders et al. 2008, 2016). However, the 0.7 keV gas occupies a significantly smaller region in A3581 (Johnstone et al. 2005), Virgo (Werner et al. 2010), and Perseus (Fabian et al. 2006), that region mainly lies within the extent of the next hotter component. Although the angle-averaged temperature profile of the hot gas drops steadily inward within a cool core, the gas is formed of non-spherical phases that occupy complex shapes. Some of these shapes may be due to the AGN bubbling process. The 0.7 and 1.5 keV components are not volume-filling in a radial sense.

Liu et al. (2020) have attempted to measure the spectrum of turbulence in the hot gas of several cool core clusters by using H α velocity measurements of cold gas. This assumes that the cold gas is a tracer in the hot gas. Our results here, however, show that the total mass of the cold gas and the hot gas below 1 keV, (typical of the hot gas around the H α filaments) are comparable. This must surely be taken into consideration in calculations of turbulence and may contribute to the steepness of the velocity function at small radii.

### 3.2 Core cooling times

The radiative cooling time at 10 kpc is calculated using the definition

\[ t_{\text{cool}} = \frac{3(n_e + n_i)k_B T}{2n_e n_i \Lambda}, \]

where n_e and n_i are electron and ion densities in cm^{-3} and \( \Lambda \) is the cooling function. We assume the cooling process is isochoric, and hence 3/2 is required. For a fully ionized plasma, \( n_H \) is effectively 0.857 times the electron density if we assume the hydrogen mass fraction is 75 per cent. The cooling function is determined for the metallicity from the best-fitting model in SPEX. It is the ratio of the total luminosity (we calculate between 0.001 and 1000 keV) to the emission measure.
The cooling times are listed in Table 3 for gas at 0.7 and 1.5 keV. We can compare our results at 1.5 keV with the cooling time at 10 kpc in Cavagnolo et al. (2009). Our values are within 40 per cent lower in five clusters and more than a factor of 2 lower in the other half of the sample. The difference is mainly due to the ICM temperature being higher than 1.5 keV. The cooling time can be two times longer for a gas temperature of 2 keV. This temperature is commonly seen being higher than 1.5 keV. The cooling time can be two times longer in five clusters and more than a factor of 2 lower in the other half.

### Table 3. Pressure, cooling time, and residual cooling rate of X-ray components.

| Name         | n_e T | t_{cool,0.7}keV | t_{cool,1.5}keV | M_{C,1cie+2cf} | τ_x |
|--------------|-------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----|
| 2A0335+096   | 0.12  | 42             | 230            | 12 ± 4         | 0.15|
| A262         | 0.027 | 140            | 910            | 0.9 ± 0.3      | 0.9 |
| A496         | 0.097 | 34             | 230            | <1.92          | >0.09|
| A2052        | 0.050 | 99             | 550            | <1.32          | >0.23|
| A5326        | 0.050 | 57             | 420            | 0.6 ± 0.1      | 0.7 |
| A5381        | 0.032 | 120            | 770            | 2.1 ± 0.9      | 0.29|
| AS1101       | 0.098 | 35             | 240            | <5.09          | >0.08|
| Perseus      | 0.18  | 25             | 148            | 31 ± 2         | 0.04|
| Virgo        | 0.042 | 88             | 570            | <0.07          | >1.8 |

Note. The n_e T pressure at 10 kpc is in keV cm^{-3} and the cooling time is in Myr. M_{C,1cie+2cf} is the residual cooling rate in M_{\odot} yr^{-1} measured between 0.7 and 0.01 keV from Liu et al. (2019). τ_x is the lifetime in Gyr of the X-ray component at 0.7 keV cooling at the rate of M_{C,1cie+2cf}.

We estimate the approximate gas mass from the observed H\alpha luminosity L_{H\alpha}. The mass of the H\alpha filaments is

\[ M_{H\alpha} = \frac{\mu_e m_p n_e L_{H\alpha}}{f_{H\alpha}}, \]

where \( \mu_e m_p \) is the mean mass per electron, \( n_e \) is the electron number density, \( f_{H\alpha} \) is the emissivity of the H\alpha line, and is calculated from

\[ f_{H\alpha} = 1.3 \times 10^{-23} n_e n_H T^{-1/2} \log(I_H/kT) \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-3}, \]

where \( T \) is the temperature in K and \( I_H \) is the ionization energy of hydrogen. The emissivity of the H\alpha line varies strongly with temperature. We assume the H\alpha filaments have a temperature of 15 000 K estimated from its peak emissivity. We use the pressure at 10 kpc in general. In the Virgo and Centaurus clusters, the H\alpha nebula is smaller than 10 kpc in radius (Crawford et al. 2005b; Werner et al. 2010; Hamer et al. 2014). We hence use the pressure at 1 kpc for Virgo, and 5 kpc for Centaurus. Note that in A262, the H\alpha luminosity is taken from Crawford et al. (1999) which used long slits. The true luminosity can therefore be higher if the filaments of the clusters are more extended than the slit.

We find that the optical line-emitting gas is two orders of magnitude less massive than the X-ray components in most clusters. This mass estimate is consistent with the literature e.g. Virgo (Sparks, Ford & Kinney 1993). Note that since the Perseus cluster has a highly extended H\alpha nebula (Conselice, Gallagher & Wyse 2001; Fabian et al. 2008), the average density of the filaments might be lower than the value at 10 kpc. This suggests that the H\alpha nebula is likely more massive than our estimate in Perseus. O vi, which emits in the FUV, is generally not available for our sample. Our estimate of gas masses

### 3.3 Mass of optical line-emitting ionized gas

The optical line-emitting gas is of interest because of the spatial coincidence with the soft X-ray emitting gas at around 0.7 keV (Fabian et al. 2003a; see Appendix for details). The gas is partially ionized in this phase, which can be traced by H\alpha emission. Here, we estimate the approximate gas mass from the observed H\alpha luminosity L_{H\alpha}. The mass of the H\alpha filaments is

\[ M_{H\alpha} = \frac{\mu_e m_p n_e L_{H\alpha}}{f_{H\alpha}}, \]

where \( \mu_e m_p \) is the mean mass per electron, \( n_e \) is the electron number density, \( f_{H\alpha} \) is the emissivity of the H\alpha line, and is calculated from

\[ f_{H\alpha} = 1.3 \times 10^{-23} n_e n_H T^{-1/2} \log(I_H/kT) \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-3}, \]

where \( T \) is the temperature in K and \( I_H \) is the ionization energy of hydrogen. The emissivity of the H\alpha line varies strongly with temperature. We assume the H\alpha filaments have a temperature of 15 000 K estimated from its peak emissivity. We use the pressure at 10 kpc in general. In the Virgo and Centaurus clusters, the H\alpha nebula is smaller than 10 kpc in radius (Crawford et al. 2005b; Werner et al. 2010; Hamer et al. 2014). We hence use the pressure at 1 kpc for Virgo, and 5 kpc for Centaurus. Note that in A262, the H\alpha luminosity is taken from Crawford et al. (1999) which used long slits. The true luminosity can therefore be higher if the filaments of the clusters are more extended than the slit.

We find that the optical line-emitting gas is two orders of magnitude less massive than the X-ray components in most clusters. This mass estimate is consistent with the literature e.g. Virgo (Sparks, Ford & Kinney 1993). Note that since the Perseus cluster has a highly extended H\alpha nebula (Conselice, Gallagher & Wyse 2001; Fabian et al. 2008), the average density of the filaments might be lower than the value at 10 kpc. This suggests that the H\alpha nebula is likely more massive than our estimate in Perseus. O vi, which emits in the FUV, is generally not available for our sample. Our estimate of gas masses
ignores photoelectric absorption by cooler gas and reddening by dust. This will be difficult to determine until we have much detailed spatial information.

### 3.4 Connection between soft X-ray and molecular gas

The most important finding of this work is the comparison between the mass of the soft X-ray gas and the molecular gas. The recent ALMA observations showed that cold (∼50 K) molecular gas is located within the Hα nebula (Olivares et al. 2019; Russell et al. 2019). It is therefore also within the soft X-ray emitting region albeit more compact. The molecular gas mass is calculated from the integrated CO intensity by adopting the Galactic value of CO-to-H₂ ($X_{CO}$) conversion factor and typical CO line ratios in Brightest Cluster Galaxies. Since CO is optically thick to radiation, there is an uncertainty in the conversion factor. From a $^{13}$CO detection in RXJ0821+0752, Vantyghem et al. (2017) suggested that using the Galactic value for $X_{CO}$ likely overestimates the molecular mass by a factor of 2. Note that ALMA does not detect faint outer molecular filaments which only have a small fraction of the mass. A spread of molecular mass is seen in our sample. The molecular gas in the Perseus cluster is four orders of magnitude more massive than that of the Virgo cluster.

![Figure 2](https://example.com/figure2.png)

**Figure 2.** Left-hand panel: The X-ray emitting gas against molecular mass. The blue line indicates where the molecular mass would match the soft X-ray mass. Right-hand panel: The mass of Hα component against molecular mass. The orange dashed line has a constant $M_{H\alpha}/M_{mol}$ ratio of 0.1 and the green dash-dot line has a ratio of 0.01. The uncertainties in the mass of molecular and Hα emitting gas is smaller than the marker size.

The Virgo and Perseus clusters are exceptions in our sample. For Virgo, the 0.7 keV gas mass is 250 times higher than the molecular mass. It has been suggested that the molecular gas could have been destroyed or excited by X-ray shocks, or interacted with the radio lobe (Simionescu et al. 2018). The deposition rate of the molecular gas can also be reduced by star formation, since the star formation rate is comparable to the X-ray cooling rate (Liu et al. 2019). For the Perseus cluster, the molecular mass is eight times more massive than 0.7 keV gas. Given the large systematic uncertainty in the molecular mass measurements, the difference in the mass of the two phases is likely only a factor of 4. It can in part be explained by the fact that the molecular filaments are more extended than the 10 kpc core (Salomé et al. 2011).

Since we assume gas of different phases are in pressure equilibrium, it is important to consider the physical scale of different phases if they have similar masses. We use the example of a typical cool core cluster A3581 with moderate X-ray emitting cool gas of ∼$10^9 M_\odot$. Pressure equilibrium suggests that the volume ratio of the gas will be the same as the temperature ratio. It means that the molecular gas occupies about five orders of magnitude smaller volume than the 0.7 keV gas. If the mass is put in a spherical ball with a consistent density, we can calculate the radius of that sphere. We list the details in Table 4. The size of the sphere of the molecular gas is less than 100 pc, even though it extends over 5 kpc (Olivares et al. 2019). The atomic and molecular gas is observed to be in many thin filaments at the highest resolution (e.g., HST image from Fabian et al. 2008, 2016). The spatial coincidence of different gas phases hence suggests they are intermingled. A magnetic field is required to give integrity to the filaments (e.g., Fabian et al. 2016), with pressure equilibrium.

#### Table 4. Scale of different gas phase in A3581.

| Phase       | Mass ($M_\odot$) | $T$ (K)   | $n_e$ (cm⁻³) |
|-------------|------------------|-----------|--------------|
| Soft X-ray  | $6.2 \times 10^8$ | $8.2 \times 10^6$ | 0.046        |
| Hα          | $9.46 \times 10^6$ | $1.5 \times 10^4$ | 25           |
| Molecular   | $5.55 \times 10^8$ | 50        | 7500         |
implies $B \sim 50 \mu G$. Note that the resolved HST filaments probably contain many much smaller threads (Fabian et al. 2016).

4 CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we studied the mass-temperature profile of the gas in the inner regions of nearby cool core clusters of galaxies inspired by the similar morphology of the soft X-ray emitting gas, the optical nebula as well as the molecular gas. For the X-ray components, we used a three-temperature model to describe the XMM–Newton RGS spectra of the core of nine nearby clusters. The gas mass is calculated from the emission measure by assuming pressure equilibrium at the selected radii. We showed that the X-ray mass-temperature profile is similar among these clusters particularly in the 1.5 and 0.7 keV components. The total X-ray mass below 1 keV is found to be comparable to that of the molecular gas in 7 out of 9 clusters. Although the Hα nebula is more closely aligned with the soft X-ray components, it only has 0.1–1 per cent of the mass of the other phases. Future models of cluster cores should consider using these relations. The molecular gas occupies by far the smallest volume in the form of thin magnetized filaments.
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APPENDIX A

We summarize the previous spatial analyses of the inner core which involved different gas phases studied in this work.

2A0335+096 The optical image shows a 20 kpc bar feature (Donahue et al. 2007), which is surrounded by the soft X-ray emitting gas below 1 keV (Sanders, Fabian & Taylor 2009). The morphology of the molecular filament matches well to the peak of the optical emission (Vantyghem et al. 2016).

A262 The molecular gas is in the form of a compact disc around the nucleus (Olivares et al. 2019; Russell et al. 2019). It spatially coincides with the optical image and the soft X-ray gas at ~0.5 keV (Sanders et al. 2010). All these components are surrounded by the soft X-ray gas at ~0.9 keV.

A496 The filaments in the Hα nebula do not extend beyond the presence of the X-ray cold front at 15 kpc (McDonald et al. 2010).

A2052 Two Hα emitting regions are separated by the X-ray cavity (Blanton et al. 2011; McDonald et al. 2011). The southern nebula covers the X-ray brightest cluster centre and two filaments extend along the soft X-ray emitting region. The northern Hα emitting region is located 8–15 kpc from the centre. This morphology is the same as the soft X-ray emitting gas at ~0.8 keV gas.

A3526 The core of the Centaurus cluster has a soft X-ray plume structure about 10 kpc across (Sanders et al. 2016). The same structure has also been observed in the optical and radio band (e.g. Sparks, Macchetto & Golombek 1989; Crawford et al. 2005b; Taylor et al. 2007; Fabian et al. 2016). The molecular gas is in clumps and filaments within the Hα nebula (Olivares et al. 2019).

A3581 The thin Hα filaments extend ~13–15 kpc (30 arcsec) from the centre on both sides and surround the molecular gas which is less than 5 kpc across (Olivares et al. 2019). They align with the X-ray emitting gas below 1 keV (Canning et al. 2013).

A51101 Also known as Sérsic 159-03, the cluster has a ~40 kpc long Hα filament which extends along the far-UV emission (Werner et al. 2011; McDonald et al. 2015a). Most molecular gas is in small clumps of a few kpc within the Hα filaments (Olivares et al. 2019).

Perseus The Hα nebula in the Perseus cluster is very extended (Conselice et al. 2001; Fabian et al. 2008; Gendron-Marsolais et al. 2018), and coincides with the distributing molecular gas (Salomé et al. 2006, 2011). These also correspond with the 0.5–1 keV gas (Sanders & Fabian 2007). It is found that the X-ray cooling flow down to 0.25 keV can produce the same flux as the Hα in filaments. Walker et al. (2015) also found that the X-ray gas surrounding the Hα filaments can be modelled by a charge exchange component in addition to the standard thermal component. This provides a potential pathway for the energy transport between the hot ICM and the Hα filaments.

Virgo Two major filaments of Hα and 0.7–0.9 keV X-ray emitting gas are found in the Virgo cluster, both of which are less than 2 kpc long (Werner et al. 2010, 2013). The molecular filament is very small (0.6 kpc) and less massive than any other clusters in our sample (Simionescu et al. 2018; Olivares et al. 2019).
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