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Growth and Survival of Acid-Resistant and Non-Acid-Resistant Shiga-Toxin-Producing Escherichia coli Strains during the Manufacture and Ripening of Camembert Cheese
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Growth and survival of acid-resistant (AR) and non-acid-resistant (NAR) Shiga-toxin-producing Escherichia coli (STEC) strains were investigated during the manufacture and ripening of microfiltered milk Camembert cheeses. The induction of acid resistance of the STEC strains in cheeses was also studied. Six different mixtures of AR and/or NAR STEC strains were inoculated separately into microfiltered milk at a level of 10^3 CFU mL\(^{-1}\). The STEC counts (AR and NAR) initially increased by 1 to 2 log 10 CFU g\(^{-1}\) during cheese-making. Thereafter, the populations stabilized during salting/drying and then decreased during the early stages of ripening. Exposing the STEC strains in artificially inoculated cheeses to simulated gastric fluid (SGF - pH: 2.0) reduced the number of NAR strains to undetectable levels within 40 minutes, versus 120 minutes for the AR STEC strains. AR and NAR STEC were able to survive during the manufacture and ripening of Camembert cheese prepared from microfiltered milk with no evidence of induced acid tolerance in NAR STEC strains.
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1. Introduction

Shiga-toxin- (Stx-) producing Escherichia coli strains, including E. coli O157:H7, are a worldwide cause of human disease with a wide spectrum of symptoms ranging from mild diarrhea to life-threatening hemolytic-uremic syndrome (HUS). The Stx-producing family of human disease-associated E. coli is also characterized by its diversity of toxin type (i.e., lysogenic either for the Stx1-encoding phage or the Stx2-encoding phage, or with both lysogens) and by its O:H serotype range [1, 2].

In spite of diverse virulence characteristics, one common trait that emerges very clearly is that most of these strains have the ability to withstand gastric acidity [3–6] or the conditions in acidic foods [7–9]. It is noteworthy that acid tolerance plays a vital role in the survival and virulence of diarrheagenic E. coli strains [10–12]. The ability of E. coli O157:H7 strains to survive in acidic conditions has been studied extensively [13–16], but there are few reports about the tolerance of non-O157 STEC serogroups to organic acids in foods [17, 18]. It is thought that acid resistance and/or induction of acid tolerance may enable pathogens to survive gastrointestinal acidity better and so, ultimately, cause disease [19–22]. Cattle are considered to be a major reservoir of E. coli O157:H7 for human infection [23]. The pathogen has been isolated from raw milk [24], and multiple outbreaks of E. coli O157:H7 linked to the ingestion of raw milk and dairy products have been reported [25, 26]. Several authors have studied the ability of E. coli O157:H7 to grow and survive in different types of cheese. In fresh cheese, E. coli O157:H7 increased by 2 log (CFU per gram) during cheese manufacture [27], but total inactivation was obtained during heat treatment (57°C for more than 1.5 hours) of the curd and whey. Reitsma and Henning (1996) found that this microorganism was able to grow during Cheddar cheese manufacture, even with an initial inoculum in milk of only
1 CFU mL\(^{-1}\). \textit{E. coli} O157:H7 also survived the manufacture and storage of Camembert and Feta cheeses at 2 ± 1°C for 65 and 75 days, respectively [28].

Moreover, this pathogen was able to survive all stages of smear-ripened cheese production for up to 70 days postmanufacture [29].

\textit{E. coli} O157:H7 was not eliminated from goat's milk lactic cheese, made with raw milk, because this organism tolerates the low pH [30] and low temperatures [31, 32] which characterize the manufacturing and ripening process.

Surprisingly, studies on contamination of milk, or its products, with non-O157 \textit{E. coli} have been limited. Two studies from France have reported STEC prevalence in cheeses [33, 34], 3 studies reported results for ewes' and caprine milk cheeses [35–37] and, very recently, a study described the prevalence of STEC in Swiss raw milk cheeses [38]. Moreover, there are no published experiments evaluating the growth or survival of non-O157 STEC in cheeses.

However, non-O157 \textit{E. coli} infections are, within European member states, considered to be at least as important as \textit{E. coli} O157:H7 infections though they are thought to be generally underdiagnosed [39]. For example, in Italy [40], Denmark [41], and Germany [42], more than 40% of the confirmed cases of STEC-related HUS were caused by non-O157 STEC. More recently, in 2005, French raw milk Camembert-type cheeses contaminated by \textit{Escherichia coli} O26 and O80 caused 16 HUS cases and caprine milk cheeses [33, 34] and, very recently, a study described the prevalence of STEC in Swiss raw milk cheeses [38]. Moreover, there are no published experiments evaluating the growth or survival of non-O157 STEC in cheeses.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Bacterial Strains and Culture Conditions. A collection of 62 STEC strains were isolated during previous French epidemiological studies, whose purpose was to determine STEC contamination prevalence in dairy products, in pork, and in the environment [34, 52–54].

With the aim of evaluating the ability of these bacteria to survive exposure to acid, we used the protocol described by Castanie-Cornet et al. [10], in which three AR mechanisms were tested. These mechanisms are as follows:

(i) AR1: oxidative system (glucose-repressed system),
(ii) AR2: system depending on the presence of glutamate,
(iii) AR3: system depending on the presence of arginine.

A fourth mechanism is a fermentative acid resistance system.

It was tested according to the method previously described by Large et al. [55].

Four AR STEC and four NAR STEC strains were selected from a previous study (data not shown). The survival rate of the strains in the presence of glucose and amino acids is displayed in Table 1. Two spontaneous nalidixic acid-resistant mutants were selected in vitro for each AR and NAR STEC strain, previously selected by plating STEC on BHI agar containing nalidixic acid (0.1 to 10 µg/mL) in increasing concentrations, using the protocol described by Truong et al. [56]. Three rifampicin and three spectinomycin mutants were selected using the same protocol. The antibiotic susceptibility of these AR or NAR mutants is shown in Table 1. To follow the growth of these strains during the manufacture and ripening of the Camembert cheese, spontaneous antibiotic-resistant derivatives (nalidixic acid, rifampicin, and spectinomycin) were isolated. We carefully checked that the chosen resistant derivatives were neither affected in their growth rate nor in their acid-resistance properties (data not shown).

2.2. Microfiltered Cow Milk. Raw cow milk samples were collected aseptically from the bulk storage tank after it had been cooled to <5°C and maintained refrigerated at 3°C for transportation to Actilait. Cheeses were made with raw cow milk standardized [57] by microfiltration. Raw milk was microfiltered at 40°C through a 1.4 µm ceramic Membralox membrane (Pall Exekia, Bazet, France).

The microfiltered cow milk was analyzed, in order to check that it was not contaminated by STEC, by performing a Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) for Shiga-toxin coding genes (stx genes) after an enrichment step, as described by Fremaux et al. [58].

2.3. Inoculation Procedure and Preparation of the STEC Mixtures. Cultures of antibiotic-resistant STEC strains were maintained at −80°C in cryopreservative beads (STARLAB, Bagneux, France). Prior to preparing the inoculation mixtures, each culture of antibiotic-resistant STEC strains was incubated overnight (18 hours) in LB broth at 37°C. Strains were grown individually at 37°C for 24 hours in LB broth, with or without antibiotics, to reaffirm that the strains retained resistance. All overnight cultures were centrifuged at 8000 × g for 10 minutes. Cells were washed twice in 0.1% peptone water and suspended in 0.1% peptone water to achieve the required inoculation (10⁶ CFU mL\(^{-1}\)) level.
Table 1: Virulence factors and acid resistance of the STEC strains used for the artificial contamination of milk.

| STEC strains | Virulence factors | Acid-resistance | Reference |
|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------|
| | Strains | Serotypes a | Origins | eae gene c | stx1 gene c | stx2 gene c | Shiga-toxin producing b | AR1 rate of survival (%) | AR2 rate of survival (%) | AR3 rate of survival (%) | AR4 rate of survival (%) |
| ANR 415A spec | O6:H10 | Raw milk cheese | N | P | P | P | 96 | 0,5 | 0 | 0 | Vernoy-Rozand et al. [34] |
| ANR 245A rif | OnthH8 | Raw milk cheese | N | P | P | P | 60 | 76 | 0 | 0 | Vernoy-Rozand et al. [34] |
| ANR V1 spec | O166:H28 | Environment | N | P | N | P | 80 | 1 | 0 | 0 | Vernoy-Rozand et al. [54] |
| ANR V10 nal | O11:H43 | Environment | N | P | N | P | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Vernoy-Rozand et al. [54] |
| ANR 360B rif | O6:H1 | Raw milk cheese | N | P | P | P | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Vernoy-Rozand et al. [34] |
| ANR 42A axial | O6:H1 | Raw milk cheese | N | P | P | P | 0,7 | 0 | 0 | 0,2 | Vernoy-Rozand et al. [34] |
| ANR 418A rif | O6:H10 | Raw milk cheese | N | P | N | P | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Vernoy-Rozand et al. [34] |
| ANR 346A spec | O174:H8 | Raw milk cheese | P | P | P | P | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Vernoy-Rozand et al. [34] |

a Ont: O type not corresponding to any serogroup between O1 and O 174.
b P production.
c P, positive.
N, negative.
AR1: oxidative system (glucose-repressed system), AR2: system depending on the presence of glutamate, AR3: system depending on the presence of arginine, AR4: system depending on the presence of lysine.
Rif: Rifampicin resistance, Spec: Spectinomycin resistance, Nal: Nalidixic acid resistance.

by standardization of the absorbance at A600nm using a spectrophotometer (BioPhotometer, Eppendorf, Le Pecq, France). Mixtures were prepared by combining the 3 adequate STEC strains in equal amounts. They were designed corresponding with the need to have 3 different antibiotic-resistant or non-resistant phenotypes in the same mixture. The 6 mixtures of STEC strains used for this experimentation are detailed in Table 2.

The STEC population in each mixture was confirmed by plating 100 µL of the suspension onto LB-A plates supplemented with the appropriate antibiotic to verify the initial inoculum levels. Six millilitres of mixture were inoculated into 6.5 L of milk to obtain a final concentration in the microfiltered milk of approximately 10^3 CFU mL^-1 of STEC.

Twelve batches of cheeses were prepared (two batches per mixture) and 4 batches of uninoculated cheese samples served as negative controls (1 batch per manufacturing day).

2.4. Cheese Manufacture. Artisanal microfiltered cow’s milk lactic cheeses were prepared following the industrial specifications of the French Institute of cheese (Actilait).

The milk was inoculated (2 × 10^11 CFU per 100 kg) with mesophilic starters MM100 (Lactococcus lactis subsp. lactis, cremoris, and lactis biovar diacetylactis), (Rhodia, Dangé-Saint-Romain, France) as well as ripening flora Penicillium camemberti (Pc P9, 4 doses per 1000 kg Cargill, St Germain en Laye, France), Geotrichum candidum (GCA, 2 doses per 1000 kg, Cargill) and 10.5 mL of a solution of CaCl_2 was also added per 100 kg of milk. The inoculated milk was matured for 2 hours at 32°C and renneting was carried out using 30 mL of rennet per 100 L of milk (at 530 mgL^-1 of chymosin, Berthelot, ABIA S.A. Meursault, France). The milk coagulated after 8 minutes and was left undisturbed until the pH decreased to 6.15. The curd was cut into 3 cm cubes, heated for 30 minutes (pH minimum = 6.00), drained and transferred to 77 × 110 mm cylindrical plastic moulds. Cheeses drained for 20–22 hours at 20°C were turned twice during this time. Cheeses weighing approximately 250 g were removed from the mould, and the temperature of the cheese-making chamber was reduced to 20–22°C. After 20 hours, the cheeses were plunged into a saturated brine solution at 10°C for 25 minutes. Cheeses were dried at 13°C for 5 hours and matured at 11°C and 95% relative humidity for 20 days, then finally packaged and stored at 4°C.

The manufacturing protocol for lactic cheeses made with microfiltered is outlined in Figure 1.

2.5. Sampling Steps. Physicochemical and bacteriological analyses were performed at the following steps.
Table 2: Composition of the STEC strains mixtures used for the inoculation of milk.

| Cocktail denomination | Cocktail composition | STEC strains |
|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| AR1-AR2               | 3 AR STEC strains    | ANR V10\textsubscript{nal}-ANR 245A\textsubscript{rif}-ANR 415A\textsubscript{spec} |
| AS1-AS2               | 3 NAR STEC strains   | ANR 42A\textsubscript{nal}-ANR 360B\textsubscript{rif}-ANR 346A\textsubscript{spec} |
| ARSSa1-ARSSa2         | 1 AR STEC strains    | ANR 42A\textsubscript{nal} |
| ARSSb1-ARSSb2         | 1 NAR STEC strains   | ANR V1\textsubscript{spec} |
| ASRRa1-ASRRa2         | 2 AR STEC strains    | ANR 42A\textsubscript{nal} |
| ASRRb1-ASRRb2         | 2 NAR STEC strains   | ANR 360B\textsubscript{rif} |

**Figure 1:** Flowchart for the manufacture of lactic cheeses made with microfiltered milk.

- **Step 1:** Microfiltered milk before the maturation stage.
- **Step 2:** Curd during draining (3 hours 20 minutes).
- **Step 3:** Cheese at the end of the moulding stage (3 hours 45 minutes).
- **Step 4:** Cheese after salting (1 day).
- **Step 5:** Cheese after drying (1 day).
- **Step 6:** Cheese at the middle of the ripening stage (10 days).
- **Step 7:** Cheese at the end of ripening (20 days).

**2.6. Microbiological Analysis.** During cheese manufacture, 25 mL samples of microfiltered milk or 25 g of drained curd or cheese (rind and core) were sampled and homogenized with 225 mL of Buffered Peptone Water (BPW, bioMérieux, Marcy l’Étoile, France) in a sterile bag filter (BagSystem 400 mL Model+, Interscience, Saint Nom la Bretèche, France) and stomached for 30 seconds (Stomacher Mix1, AES Laboratory, Bruz, France). The filtered liquid was diluted in BPW and spread, using spiral plating (WASP Spiral plating, AES Laboratory, Bruz, France), onto LB-A plates. LB-A plates were supplemented with rifampicin (100 µg mL\(^{-1}\)), spectinomycin (100 µg mL\(^{-1}\)), or nalidixic acid (40 µg mL\(^{-1}\)), when appropriate, and incubated for 24 hours at 37°C. Enumeration of the colonies was performed with an automatic colony counter EC2 easy count 2 (AES Laboratory, Bruz, France).
2.7. Physical-Chemical Measurements. The pH was measured for each cheese (inoculated or not inoculated) at each time of sampling. For the chemical measurements, analyses were performed on the raw milk after microfiltration and on the noninoculated (negative controls) cheeses after 1 day (before brining) and 20 days (end of the ripening stage). Milk fat content was determined by the acido-butyrometric method of Gerber, according to AFNOR (NF V 04-210), and the milk protein rate was obtained using the amido black method (AFNOR, NF V 04-216). Cheese moisture content was determined with an infrared-dryer (Précisa XM60), according to AFNOR (NF V 04-288), and the cheese fat content was measured by the Heiss butyrometric method (AFNOR, NF V 04-216). Cheese moisture content was determined using a chloride analyzer (AFNOR, NF V 04-288).

Cheese pHs were measured using a penetration electrode (pH meter 330, Fisher Bioblock Scientific, F67403 Illkirch Cedex, France).

2.8. Exposure of STEC, Present in Artificially Inoculated Cheeses, to Simulated Gastric Fluid (SGF). Simulated gastric fluid was prepared according to the protocol used by Yuk and Marshall [60]. More precisely, simulated gastric fluid consisted of 8.3 g L\(^{-1}\) of proteose-peptone (Fluka-Biochemika, Switzerland), 3.5 g L\(^{-1}\) of D-glucose (Fluka-Biochemika, Switzerland), 2.05 g L\(^{-1}\) of NaCl (Sigma-Aldrich, Lyon, France), 0.6 g L\(^{-1}\) of KH\(_2\)PO\(_4\) (Merck Sharp & Dohme, Paris, France), 0.11 g L\(^{-1}\) of CaCl\(_2\) (Sigma-Aldrich, Lyon, France), 0.37 g L\(^{-1}\) of KCl (Sigma-Aldrich, Lyon, France), 0.05 g L\(^{-1}\) of ox bile (Sigma-Aldrich, Lyon, France), 0.1 g L\(^{-1}\) of lysozyme (Sigma-Aldrich, Lyon, France), and 13.3 mg L\(^{-1}\) of pepsin (Sigma-Aldrich, Lyon, France). The final pH was adjusted to 1.5 using sterile 5.0 N HCl (Merck Sharp & Dohme, Paris, France). All compounds were autoclaved separately, except for the ox bile, lysozyme, and pepsin which were filter sterilized, followed by aseptic mixing.

However, 90 mL of SGF (pH 1.5), at 37°C, were added aseptically to 10 g of inoculated cheese sampled at the end of the ripening stage. To obtain a final inoculated SGF at pH 2.5, the pH of each sample was lowered to 2.5 using (5.0 N) HCl.

For the enumeration of each STEC strain (Table 1) belonging to the different mixtures used for the inoculation of the milk, homogenate cheese samples were taken at regular time intervals. Viable cell densities were determined by spiral plating appropriate dilutions in TS onto LB-A plates containing rifampicin (100 µg mL\(^{-1}\)), spectinomycin (100 µg mL\(^{-1}\)), or nalidixic acid (40 µg mL\(^{-1}\)). LB-A plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours and enumeration of the colonies was performed with an automatic colony counter EC2 easy count 2 (AES Laboratory, Bruz, France).

3. Results

3.1. PH and Physicochemical Properties During Manufacture and Ripening of the Cheeses. Cheese production was performed in a dairy laboratory in order to provide commercial conditions during production. The chemical composition of the cheese samples produced from the microfiltered milks is shown in Table 3. The fat and protein content in the microfiltered milks were 37.00% and 32.58% w/w, respectively.

The pH of the milk was 6.45 ± 0.02. It declined slightly to 6.03 (SD: ±0.02) during the first 4 hours (draining of curdled milk). Then the pH decreased markedly from 6.03 to 4.65 (SD: ±0.05) at the end of moulding step (24 hours). The pH remained almost stable until the 10th day (4.64 to 4.75) (SD: ±0.02) and increased slightly over the last 10 days of the ripening period to a pH of 5.11 (SD: ±0.03) (Table 4).

3.2. Survival of STEC During Lactic Cheese Manufacture. STEC was never isolated from any of the milk samples collected from the bulk storage tank nor from any of the negative control cheeses.

There were no differences between the counts of NAR and AR STEC strains during the manufacture and ripening of the microfiltered milk lactic cheeses.

As an example, Figure 2 shows the survival of 4 STEC mixtures: the AR2 (3 AR STEC strains), AS2 (3 NAR STEC strains), ARSSb2 (2 NAR and 1 AR STEC strains), and ASRRA2 (2 AR and 1 NAR STEC strains) mixtures during cheese manufacture (Table 2).

In general, whatever the mixture used, STEC counts increased by a range of 1 to 2 log CFU g\(^{-1}\) during the first steps of the cheese manufacturing and remained relatively stable after salting until the drying stage of the cheeses. Then, during ripening (20 days), the counts of only one NAR STEC strain (346Aspec) decreased to 10 CFU g\(^{-1}\). The other AR or NAR STEC strains were all counted at levels ranging from 10\(^2\) to 10\(^4\) CFU g\(^{-1}\) (Figure 2).

3.3. Survival of STEC in Simulated Gastric Fluid, pH 2. At the end of ripening (20 days), samples of inoculated cheeses were placed in simulated gastric fluid where the numbers of surviving STEC cells were assessed at 5, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 90, and 120 minutes.

Exposure to SGF (pH: 2.5) reduced the number of NAR STEC strains to undetectable levels within 40, 50, and 60 minutes for ANR 42ANal, ANR 418A rif-346Aspec, and 360B rif, respectively. In contrast to the NAR STEC strains, all the AR STEC strains survived an exposure of more than 120 minutes in SGF at pH 2.5 (Table 5).

4. Discussion

Multiple applications of low levels of acid stress during the life cycles of Escherichia coli O157:H7 and other pathogens might increase their likelihood for survival in foods and may enhance the development and establishment of stress-adapted strains with potentially increased virulence in food environments [61–63]. Although acid tolerance in E. coli O157:H7 is normally transient, being induced at low pH [14, 30, 64, 65], some outbreak strains (e.g., ATCC 43895) have attained a permanently high, pH-independent acid resistance [17], probably because of an evolutionary response to severe acid stress.
Table 3: Physicochemical properties of Camembert cheeses.

| Component                  | Cheese at the end of moulding (day 1) | Cheese at the end of ripening (day 20) |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Dry matter (% w/w)         | 38.37 ± 0.49                          | 42.02 ± 0.54                           |
| Fat content (% w/w)        | 19.44 ± 0.31                          | 21.25 ± 0.29                           |
| Fat on dry matter (% w/w)  | 50.65 ± 0.55                          | 50.58 ± 0.40                           |
| Moisture content (% w/w)   | 76.53 ± 0.43                          | 73.65 ± 0.50                           |
| Salt content (% w/w)       | 4.66 ± 0.05                           | 5.11 ± 0.03                            |

Average values in 4 uninoculated cheeses as negative controls. Mean ± standard deviation.

Table 4: Changes in pH values during cheese processing.

| Stages of sampling | Milk before maturation | Curd during draining | Cheese at the end of moulding | Cheese after salting | Cheese after drying | Cheese at the middle of ripening (D+10) | Cheese at the end of ripening (D+20) |
|--------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Inoculated cheeses | 6.45 ± 0.02            | 6.03 ± 0.02          | 4.65 ± 0.05                   | 4.64 ± 0.04          | 4.66 ± 0.05         | 4.75 ± 0.02                             | 5.11 ± 0.03                           |
| Uninoculated cheeses| 6.44 ± 0.03            | 6.02 ± 0.04          | 4.64 ± 0.06                   | 4.63 ± 0.06          | 4.65 ± 0.05         | 4.73 ± 0.04                             | 5.10 ± 0.02                           |

pH values for each cheese, inoculated or not, and at each time of sampling (i.e., 10 pH measures per time of sampling). Mean ± standard deviation.

The objective of the present study was to investigate the growth and survival of AR and NAR STEC strains in Camembert-type cheeses. None of our findings have been subjected to a statistical analysis since we have used mixtures of 3 STEC strains for the inoculation of the milk and, hence, some interaction between STEC strains could occur. The use of mixtures, instead of a single strain, is explained by the limited number of batches (16) allowed by Actilait and the need to study the kinetics of 8 different STEC strains. Moreover, it was not possible to study pathogenic STEC strains, such as E. coli O157:H7 or E. coli O26, due to the lack of safety level/P3 laboratories.

The number of STEC increased from 1 to 2 log_{10} at the beginning of the cheese manufacture, whilst the pH decreased slightly from 6.45 (milk pH) to 6.03 (first 4 hours). Much of this initial increase could be attributed to the entrapment of STEC in the curd during coagulation followed by further concentration during whey drainage. Then we noted a plateau phase in the growth of STEC from the “cheese at the end of moulding” stage (pH: 4.65) to the “cheese after drying” stage (pH: 4.66). From the middle of ripening (10 days, pH: 4.75) to the end of ripening (20 days, pH 5.11), the STEC population decreased markedly. In much the same way, after 24 hours of manufacture and storage of Camembert cheese inoculated at 10^6 CFU mL^{-1}, Ramsaran et al. [28] observed an increase in E. coli O157:H7 counts of about 2 log_{10}. This increase was followed by a decrease in the counts of E. coli O157:H7 in all of the cheeses throughout ripening and storage at 2 °C.

Vernoyz-Rozand et al. [51] showed that significant numbers of viable E. coli O157:H7 could be detected in raw goat milk lactic cheeses even 42 days after processing. In Cheddar cheeses, aged for 60 and 120 days, and stored at 7 °C, the E. coli O157:H7 population was reduced by less than 2 log [66]. Marek et al. [67] reported that E. coli O157:H7 could persist in unpasteurized Cheddar cheese whey inoculated at 10^7 or 10^2 CFU mL^{-1} for up to 2-3 weeks of storage at 4, 10, or 15 °C. The results of Maher et al. [29] showed the presence of E. coli O157:H7 even after 90 days in the rind and after 50 days in the core of smear ripened cheese produced from raw milk.

The acid adaptation response is a phenomenon by which microorganisms show an increased resistance to environmental stress after exposure to a moderate acid environment. In this study, the acid adaptation of 4 NAR STEC strains was not induced by the slow and mild acid conditions (4.65–4.75) found during the lactic cheese process because these strains were rapidly destroyed during the strong acid exposure in simulated gastric fluid (pH 2.5).

Hsin-Yi and Chou [68] noted the same effects in fermented milk but at a completely opposite effect in acid fruit juice. The authors explained that acid adaptation might lead to an increased susceptibility of the test organism to antimicrobials, such as bacteriocins, hydrogen peroxide, ethanol, and diacetyl, produced by lactic acid bacteria in milk products. The effect of increasing susceptibility to these antimicrobials, due to acid adaptation, may outweigh the effect of enhancing acid tolerance, reducing the survival of acid-adapted E. coli O157:H7 in the milk products. Jordan et al. [30] observed that E. coli O157:H7 is able to induce an adaptive tolerance response (ATR) when exposed to mild acid conditions, thus conferring a higher resistance on subsequent exposure to strong acid conditions. Bergholz and Whittam [69] studied the survival of enterohaemorrhagic Escherichia coli of serotypes O157:H7, O26:H11, and O111:H8 in a simulated gastric environment. Their results indicated that E. coli O157:H7 strains were better able to survive in a simulated gastric environment than the STEC
strains belonging to the two other serogroups. The authors indicated that this difference was reduced when cultures were held at stationary phase for longer periods of time, suggesting that E. coli O157:H7 cells rapidly achieve an enhanced state of AR in the early stationary phase, an ability that may underlie the low infectious dose of this pathogen.

The present study indicates that AR and NAR STEC strains, when initially present at $10^3$ CFU mL$^{-1}$ in milk, would most likely survive artisanal Camembert-type cheese manufacture and ripening (20 days). The biggest decrease was observed for an NAR STEC strain (346Aspec) whose counts reached 10 CFU g$^{-1}$ at 20 days. Even if we must keep in mind that the inoculation levels were certainly higher than those observed in naturally contaminated milk, the low infectious dose associated with pathogenic STEC suggests that the 20 day ripening period of these cheeses may not guarantee a safe product for consumers if STEC are present in the raw milk. Consequently, good milk hygiene is crucial in order to reduce the risk of the presence of pathogens in
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