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Abstract

Land use and land cover (LULC) represent the ongoing challenge of environmental variation. The understanding of the level and process of its change is the basis for any environmental planning and management. In Morocco, as everywhere in the world, human population densities are constantly increasing on the coastal zones. This results in a continuous and rapid acceleration of the use of coastal space and an increase in pressures on ecosystems and the different species they contain. The purpose of this study is the analysis of the changes in LULC from 1985 to 2017 in the coastal area of Sebou estuary, situated in the Northwest of the Moroccan Atlantic coast. The changes were identified and assessed after classifying a series of Landsat images taken during 1985, 2002 and 2017. The algorithm used for the classification is the Support Vector Machine (SVM), which yielded results with accuracy higher than 85%. The results of the land use land cover change describe phenomenal urbanization and deforestation, as well as an evolution of the agricultural sector, indicating the impact of anthropization in this vulnerable environment.
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1. Introduction

Land use and land cover (LULC) is one of the fundamental topics in the study of environmental changes. During the 20th century, the world experienced a phe-
nominal and rapid population growth, which goes from 1.6 Billion in 1900 to 6.1 Billion in 2006 [1] [2] [3]. The vast majority of this growth has occurred in developing countries [3]. In Mediterranean countries, more than a third of this growth takes place in coastal regions, especially in coastal cities [4].

According to the World Development Indicators (WDI), the urbanization rate in Morocco has increased from 29% in 1960 to 60.3% in 2014, where 20.4 million of Moroccan’s population live in urban areas, while 13.4 million live in rural areas. This evolution leads to an over-exploitation of natural resources, rural exodus, uncontrolled growth of cities and also the creation of new urban centers [5]. Therefore, these changes boost the modification of land use causing a real danger to the ecosystems and biodiversity of the affected areas.

The evolution of urban planning and agriculture in an estuarine area is inevitable given the multiplicity of resources that this area can offer. Over the past three decades, the world has undergone very strong change and massive migration to coastal areas. The study of land use change is a key factor in environmental changes [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]. Those changes have a significant impact on natural resources through their impacts on soil and water quality, ecosystem processes and functions, and global climate systems [11] [12] [13] [14]. Recently, remote sensing with multi-temporal satellite data has become a strong tool for monitoring aspects such as vegetation cover, soil degradation, urban expansion and more generally for most types of LULC changes [15] [16] [17] [18] [19].

The city of Kenitra, one of the biggest cities in Morocco, has experienced rapid population growth due to the creation and concentration of new and large industrial units making a large number of job opportunities and consequently leading to massive immigration of rural populations. All these parameters have led to environmental degradation in the absence of safeguard action plans integrated into the socio-economic development of the region [20]. In literature, no study has been conducted on the evolution and impact of LULC in the Sebou estuary. Therefore, this original work will try to better understand the evolution of the LULC in the study area and to serve as a basis for further environmental studies as well as it will give an overall assessment of anthropogenic development (agriculture, urban ...).

The objective of this research is to study the temporal changes of LULC for the years 1985, 2002, 2017 and to evaluate its transformation between 1985 and 2017. This analysis will make possible the identification of urban sprawl, as well as changes in forest and agricultural cover, and to quantify the impact of anthropogenic pressure on coastal environments. The produced results will make an essential contribution to decision-making in the fields of environmental management and future planning.

2. Study Area

The Sebou Estuary situated in Northwest of the Moroccan Atlantic coast belonging to the El Gharb plain, located between 34°08’21”N and 34°26’22”N latitude, and 6°44’06”W and 6°16’22”W longitude (Figure 1). It is characterized by a
Mediterranean climate with mild, moderate and rainy Atlantic influence during winter, humid and temperate weather during summer with hot wind coming from the East. The rainfall average between 1973 and 2011 is about 537 mm/year, and the average temperature is about 15°C. However, the temperature is characterized by an apparent variability (minimum temperature of 4°C during winter and maximum 40°C during summer) [21] [22].

The Kenitra city being the capital of the El Gharb region, with a concentration of 90% of the region’s industrial factories, has become in a few decades a territory with strong anthropogenic attraction, causing a subsequent expansion of the urban area. The Kenitra and Mehdia agglomerations have been established on an exceptional natural site, represented by two wide loops of the Estuary Sebou’s meanders. The left bank is occupied by unsuitable constructions for this vulnerable environment, namely two port areas, a military air base, a penitentiary complex and an industrial area, while the right bank is occupied specially by agricultural lands.

3. Material and Methods

In this research, three Landsat images were selected to map and assess the LULC changes over the last thirty years. The scenes are available with a spatial resolution of 30 m and acquired during the summer period for years 1985, 2002 and 2017. After the processing of Landsat images, LULC maps and LULC changes maps were produced and assessed. The flowchart in Figure 2 summarizes the methodology used for this study.
3.1. Preprocessing

Images acquired by Landsat sensors are subject to the perturbation due to the effects of sensors, sun, atmosphere and topography. The step of image preprocessing attempts to minimize these effects to the extent required for a particular application [23]. First, Digital Number (DN) values were converted to radiance values. Later, the atmospheric correction was performed using FLAASH model (Fast Line of sight Atmospheric Analysis of Spectral Hypercubes) which incorporates a radiative transfer code based on MODerate resolution atmospheric TRANs mission (MODTRAN4) [24] [25].

3.2. LULC Mapping

LULC maps of the Sebou Estuary were produced for the years 1985, 2002 and 2017, using a supervised classification algorithm SVM (Support Vector Machine), following a meticulous selection of pixel samples, based on the spectral variation of each class. The generalized images were reclassified into 7 categories (Table 1).

Generally, a supervised classification requires learning samples as well as the definition of the size and number of learning samples to achieve a specific result,
Table 1. The identified LULC types in the study area.

| Class                      | Description                                                                 |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Built-up                   | Residential, industrial, commercial structures and highways                 |
| Agricultural land          | Areas of land prepared for agricultural activity, including areas currently  |
|                            | under cultivation and land under preparation, and greenhouse                |
| Shrubs and forest land     | Areas dominated by forest and covered by herbaceous plants such as grass and  |
|                            | shrubs                                                                      |
| Bare land                  | Unvegetated land                                                             |
| Water body                 | Sea, river, lake and marshes, ponds, and reservoirs                          |
| Beaches dunes sand         | Beach sands and coastal dune sands                                           |
| Spears vegetation          | Areas consisting of arid lands with short and disperse vegetation           |

which is one of the most critical problems of the supervised classification [26]. Previous studies show that SVM classifier (Support Vector Machine) is not related to sample’s size, and it has been improved to give better results with quality and limited quantity learning samples [27]. Although SVM is less known than other classifiers for LULC mapping, it has been shown to achieve very good performance and has the advantage of dealing well with small ground training samples, which is of particular interest for this case study [27] [28] [29].

3.3. Accuracy Assessment

The accuracy assessment was based on confusion matrices between classification maps and training samples. For this purpose, two indices were evaluated which are the overall accuracy and Kappa index.

The overall accuracy is calculated by summing the number of correctly classified values and dividing by the total number of values according to the equation below [30]:

\[
OA = \frac{C}{A} \times 100
\]

(1)

where:

\( OA \) is the overall classification accuracy.

\( C \) is the number of correct points.

\( A \) is the total number of reference points.

The kappa coefficient measures the agreement between classification and real values. A kappa value of 1 represents perfect agreement, while a value of 0 represents no agreement [30]. The kappa coefficient is computed as follows:

\[
k = \frac{N \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_{ij} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} (G_i C_i)}{N^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} (G_i C_i)}
\]

(2)

where:

\( i \) is the class number.

\( N \) is the total number of classified values compared to truth values.
$m_i$ is the number of values belonging to the truth class $i$ that have also been classified as class $i$ (i.e., values found along the diagonal of the confusion matrix).

$C_i$ is the total number of predicted values belonging to class $i$.

$G_i$ is the total number of truth values belonging to class $i$.

### 3.4. Change Detection Analysis

Change detection analysis describes and quantifies the differences between images of the same scene at different times. This analysis was performed with ENVI software, providing a simple approach to measure changes between a pair of images that represent an initial state and final state.

### 4. Results and Discussion

#### 4.1. LULC Classification and Accuracy Assessment

Based on the accuracy assessment, it can be shown that the accuracy of the three produced maps is very similar (higher than 84%). The overall accuracies and kappa indices are, respectively, 88% and 84% for 1985, whereas years 2002 and 2017 show an overall accuracy of 93% and 88.4%, while the kappa indices are around 90.6% and 85%, respectively. **Table 2** shows the producer and user accuracy for the related years. The choice of the SVM classifier made this task easier, especially for 1985 and 2002 images, where there is a lack of the data needed to establish the different samples classes ROIs (Regions of Interest). As explained above, this classification is based on the quality, not on the number of samples. Therefore, we have been able to use topographic maps, field data and data collected from the High Commission for Water and Forests and the Kenitra Urban Agency. This approach allows for a reliable classification and assessment of the obtained results using a limited number of quality samples. The classified images of LULC for the years 1985 and 2002 and 2017 are presented in **Figure 3**.

The Landsat images used in this study were taken in the same month of the year. All images belong to the summer season, the choice of season is based on

**Table 2.** Accuracy assessment of classification results based on the confusion matrix for the years 1985, 2002 and 2017.

|            | 1985 | 2002 | 2017 |
|------------|------|------|------|
| **Acc. %** | Prod | User | Prod | User | Prod | User |
| Agricultural land | 92.65 | 85.94 | 93.34 | 91.89 | 89.47 | 81.46 |
| Shrub and Forest land | 85.76 | 77.32 | 87.50 | 93.84 | 55.14 | 81.19 |
| Bare land | 72.13 | 58.96 | 80.21 | 77.75 | 87.37 | 82.37 |
| Water body | 98.80 | 99.64 | 98.98 | 99.77 | 98.94 | 99.70 |
| Beaches dunes sand | 78.87 | 95.79 | 94.89 | 91.24 | 99.46 | 90.20 |
| Sparse vegetation | 62.88 | 84.83 | 75.20 | 80.00 | 71.39 | 75.74 |
| Built-up | 85.83 | 80.05 | 82.43 | 92.74 | 89.31 | 93.99 |
our needs to avoid seasonal plants and is to highlight the degradation or evolution of shrubs and forests in the study area. The corresponding classifications have been presented in the form of maps and graphs, which are illustrated in Figure 3 and Figure 4. Figure 4 shows the area corresponding to each LULC category and its percentage of the total area. Accordingly, a significant increase in built-up areas from 6.7 km² (0.43%) in 1985 to 49.97 km² (3.45%) in 2017 can be noted. On the other hand, it can be observed that the class occupying the majority of the study area since 1985 is the agricultural land which has been known a general increase from 333.1 km² (23%) to 468.6 (32.37%) with a peak in 2002, expressed by a surface of 496.3 km² (34.31%). Since 1985 Shrubs and Forest land class has known a phenomenal drop from 310.6 km² (21.45%) to 74.2 km² (5.42%) in 2017. The bare land, water body, beaches dunes sand and sparse vegetation classes do not show significant changes representing a variation of 1 to 3% over the last 32 years.

4.2. Change Detection

The analysis of the magnitude of changes for the periods 1985-2002, 2002-2017 and 1985-2017 shows that the study area has undergone very significant changes, especially in three categories, which are shrubs and forest land, agricultural land and built-up (Figure 5).
4.2.1. Change in Built-Up

At a rapid rate, LULC has changed in the cities of Kenitra and Mehdia over the last 32 years, as a result of a sevenfold increase in built-up space between 1985 and 2017. During the periods 1985-2002 and 2002-2017, the built-up area increased by 14.6 km² and 29.2 km² respectively (Figure 5). Due to the decline in mortality, the agriculture development, the establishment of infrastructure for economic and social purposes and the establishment of too industrial parks (e.g. the free zone of Kenitra); the city has become the target of the rural exodus.

Figure 4. Total area (A) and percentages (B) of each land use category during the years 1985, 2002 and 2017.

Figure 5. Gains and losses and net change per land use category.
Population census data between 1982 and 2014 shows a higher growth rate in this area than the national recorded rate. Indeed, the growth percentage reached 2.3% between 1982 and 1994, 1.5% between 1994 and 2004 then a rate of 1.3% between 2004 and 2014 against 2.06%, 1.3% and 1.25% respectively for the national growth rate [5] [31] [32]. This population increase directly involves an expansion of the urban area.

A built-up evolution map shows that excessive growth has mainly occurred south of the Sebou river, following three main directions (Figure 6), which are the south-east, south-west and west directions. South-east direction has expanded starting from the old medina till the west and south boundaries of Si Ali Boucheta marshes. On the other hand, the south-west direction starts from the old medina’s west boundary till the highway in the south. An important gap is located between the first and second directions. It can be explained by two reasons: the forest protection status (Kenitra Urban forest), as well as the elevation of the area (80 m), compared to the south-east and south-west extensions (20 - 30 m). The creation and expansion of industrial zones, which can have a direct effect on directions of expansion, such as the creation of the Bir Rami industrial zone in 1984 in the extreme southwest of the city. The expansion in 1982 of the former industrial zone created in colonial times since 1912, northeast of marshes Si Ali Boucheta. The third evolution direction, which occurs along the military airport till Mehdia city, can be explained by the touristic and economic attractiveness of the Atlantic coast.

Figure 6. Spatial variation of built-up between in 1985, 2002 and 2017, with the situation of industrial parks: (A) (Municipal industrial Park since 1912), (B) (Industrial Park Bire Rami since 1984), (C) (Atlantic Free Zone since 2010).
4.2.2. Change in Shrub and Forest Land

The forest domain of the study area shows enormous damage, with a loss of 75% of its area between 1985 and 2017 illustrated in the map below (Figure 7). The results obtained from the change detection statistics show that the greatest damage was recorded in the period 1985-2004 with a loss of 192.6 km² while during the period between 2004 and 2017 the losses were not significant (43.8 km²) (Figure 5).

According to the map of change of the shrub and forest land category, it can be seen that the major part of the class is located in the south of the Sebou River. This area is the northwestern limit of the Mamora forest considered to be the largest continuous plain cork oak forests in the world. It covered 133,000 ha at the beginning of the 20th century [33] [34]. The main forest species are cork oaks, eucalyptus, acacias and pines according to the High Commissariat of Water and Forests. Morocco experienced between 1993 and 2004 the most severe periods of drought [35], hence, cork exploitation exploded during this period as a result of the increased demand for wood for socio-economic needs (the delimbing). Consequently, a loss of more than two thirds of the shrubs and forest land classes was reported between 1985 and 2017.

Despite the efforts made by the state to preserve the forest, it still suffers from a severe dysfunction. According to the technical report of the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations FAO carried out in 2015 [36], the agents and causes of deforestation in the Mamora forest are: livestock farming, the creation of agricultural land, domestic and commercial firewood harvesting, coal production, urbanization, industrial and road infrastructure, and forest fires.
4.2.3. Change in Agricultural Land

The agricultural sector is one of the major assets of the economic activity in the study area. It benefits from different local factors such as a diverse range of high-quality soils, abundant water resources, availability of labor and proximity to Europe and major consumption centers. All these factors make agriculture the leading activity in the region.

The agricultural lands experienced a general evolution between 1985 and 2017 with a spread of 135.6 km², knowing that between 1985 and 2002 this category reached 163.7 km² before it decreased by 23.7 km² between 2002 and 2017 (Figure 5).

Since 1985, the agricultural land has occupied the north part of Kenitra city and the Sebou river lowlands as shown on the map of agricultural land change (Figure 8). From 1985 to 2002 the expansion followed a south-eastern direction and occupies especially the valleys of the dry wadis. Between 2004 and 2017 the agricultural land reached the Atlantic coast occupying the largest part of the coastal band.

Figure 8. Spatial variation of agricultural land area in 1985, 2002 and 2017.

4.2.4. Change Detection Map

In order to identify anthropogenic action in the study area, we have clustered the LULC change trajectories together, into six clusters (Figure 9), which are urbanization, cultivation, deforestation, afforestation, abandonment and no change. The urbanization cluster includes all classes transformed into built-up. The cultivation cluster includes all classes transformed into agricultural land. The deforestation cluster includes changes from the shrub and forest land class to the other classes, while the afforestation cluster includes all classes transformed into shrub and forest land. The abandonment cluster includes all classes transformed...
Figure 9. Land Use change trajectories between 1985 and 2017.

into spears vegetation and bare land. On the other hand, the no change cluster shows the areas that have not undergone any transformation (e.g. built-up to built-up, agricultural land to agricultural land, etc.).

It is clear that the study area has undergone enormous changes in LULC, those changes due mainly to human activity given the increase in the population, which implies land needs for the expansion of the city in wood and building materials as well as an expansion and search for new agricultural lands [34]. This has perfectly led to an imbalance in the fragile ecosystems of the Sebou estuary. This evolution implies, in addition to changes in LULC, chemical pollution of the estuary’s water and soil [37] [38].

5. Conclusions

The understanding of the LULC changes and the environmental assessment are the basis for developing an appropriate urban planning policy with a focus on sustainable development. In this study, we produced LULC maps based on multi-temporal Landsat images from 1985, 2002 and 2017. They were used to produce LULC maps and assess the impact of land use change over the last three decades in the coastal area of Sebou Estuary, Northwest of the Moroccan Atlantic coast. The present study provided a piece of evidence of significant changes in LULC, especially at the level of agricultural land, shrub and forest land and built-up categories. The shrub and forest have decreased dramatically from 310.6 km² (21.5%) to 74.2 km² (5.4%). The built-up area increased from 6.7 km² (0.4%) in 1985 to 50 km² (3.5%) in 2017. The agricultural land increased from 333.9 km² (23%) to 468.6 (32.4%).
The phenomenal evolution of the built-up areas, the degradation of the forest as well as the green spaces in the study area, the expansion and creation of agricultural land are leading to a degradation of environmental quality throughout the study area.

Over the last 32 years, Kenitra city and its surroundings have become more and more a large urban region. We recognize that urban development may be beneficial for a variety of social and economic reasons in a region, such as Kenitra. However, it seems necessary to take into consideration the environmental and landscape concerns more seriously in order to achieve sustainable development.

LULC mapping and documentation may not provide the ultimate explanation for all the problems associated with environmental degradation. They can provide a descriptive overview of the evolution of the causes of anthropogenic expansion, but the impact of this evolution cannot be assessed without several biogeochemical studies on sediments and estuarine waters. However, it is one of the most important steps for better understanding of trends and possible causes of this degradation.
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