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1. Introduction

Gallium nitride (GaN) semiconductors have a high breakdown voltage due to inherent wide-bandgap and high current density, which is advantageous for use as power semiconductors [1,2]. In addition, since the high electron mobility transistor (HEMT) structure has high electron mobility due to the generation of its unique 2-D electron gas (2-DEG), GaN HEMTs have been widely studied and utilized in high-frequency power amplifiers [3–7]. Since GaN HEMTs exhibit high power density but have a self-heating effect, a source via structure that can dissipate heat well to substrates is widely used in transistor layouts. As a result, accurate large-signal modeling reflecting the self-heating effect is essential [8–11]. Recently, with the commercialization of 5G communication, research on millimeter-wave power amplifiers using GaN HEMTs is being actively conducted [12–15]. Therefore, GaN HEMT large-signal modeling that reflects self-heating effects up to the millimeter-wave band is required. On the other hand, for accurate large-signal modeling, an accurate small-signal model should be prioritized. In particular, as the operating frequency increases, a small-signal equivalent circuit that reflects the S-parameter according to the frequency well is required. Until now, many studies on GaN HEMT modeling have been successfully published [16–25]. Recently, some papers dealing with GaN HEMT small-signal modeling up to 110 GHz have reported on millimeter-wave monolithic microwave integrated circuit (MMIC) design [22–25]. However, depending on the process, there was no consideration of the coupling effect that may appear with the source via process. This suggests that it may be necessary to modify the traditional HEMT small-signal equivalent circuit that has been...
used for millimeter-wave GaN HEMT small-signal modeling. In this paper, we propose a new GaN HEMT small-signal equivalent circuit considering the source via structure, usable at frequencies up to 67 GHz including 5G communication frequencies. The structure of this paper is as follows: In Section 2, we propose a small-signal equivalent circuit reflecting the source via effect from the GaN HEMT structure to which an individual source via (ISV) is applied. Then, an extraction method and procedure of the proposed small-signal model are mentioned. Section 3 introduces the 67 GHz S-parameter measurement setup conducted in this work and verifies the validity of the proposed model by comparing the conventional small-signal model and the proposed one with the measurement results. Finally, the conclusion follows.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. 0.15 µm GaN HEMT ISV Structure and Source via Effect

Due to high output power of several watts generated from a GaN HEMT device with a size of hundreds of micrometers, heat is inevitably generated from the device itself. The self-heating effect is a phenomenon in which device characteristics deteriorate due to temperature rise caused by power consumption in the device. In order to minimize this degradation, it is important to have a device layout that can dissipate heat well. An ISV structure in which heat is dissipated through multiple grounds by placing a backside ground via per source finger is widely used [26]. Figure 1 shows the ISV layout structure of a commercial GaN HEMT having a gate length of 0.15 µm and a gate width of 4 × 50 µm. As shown in Figure 1b, the heat generated by the device is dissipated to the ground through the backside vias connected to each source.

![Figure 1. (a) Test pattern layout; (b) 3D view of 0.15 × 4 × 50 µm GaN HEMT ISV.](image)

The size of the backside via used in this process is about 60 × 90 um, and the height is about 100 µm. Due to this source via structure, the conventional small-signal equivalent circuit also needs to be modified. In particular, parasitics related to the source via structure, which have not been considered in the past, may have a non-negligible effect at the frequencies of the millimeter-wave band above 30 GHz. In this work, the parasitic effect due to the coupling between drain and source feeding lines surrounding the source via structure is mainly investigated. Figure 2 shows an enlarged GaN HEMT layout around the source via structure and an equivalent circuit representing it. Parasitic components that are actually generated are more complex but are simplified for convenience. It is true that additional proof is needed to clarify the exact physical phenomenon of the proposed equivalent circuit, but the possible physical structure is inferred from the more accurate equivalent circuit found empirically. At high frequencies, parasitic inductance and via resistance may be generated for a long path among multiple paths generated, while signals are coupled and passed in various directions of the source via from the drain feeding line. As shown in Figure 2, these are modeled by $C_{ds1}$, $L_{ds}$, $R_s$, and $R_v$. 
and L_r. Some paths may be short and there may be coupling signals that drop directly to ground. This is modeled by C_{ds2}. More detailed verification of this will be carried out in a follow-up study.

![Enlarged source via structure of a GaN HEMT with its equivalent circuit.](image)

Figure 2. Enlarged source via structure of a GaN HEMT with its equivalent circuit.

To confirm this, 2.5 dimensional electromagnetic (EM) simulation is performed on the drain-source layout of the GaN HEMT device using ADS momentum software. Figure 3a,b shows the EM layout and simulation results of the simplified one-port drain-source via structure. In particular, EM analysis is performed up to 120 GHz to confirm the inductance effect. The layout shown in Figure 3a is mostly composed of metal layers, so the resistance of the metal itself is very small. Since the GaN HEMT substrate has a semi-insulated structure similar to that of GaAs, the substrate loss is also small. Therefore, the EM simulation result shows almost no loss.

![EM layout and simulation result of the simplified one-port drain-source via structure.](image)

Figure 3. (a) EM layout and (b) simulation result of the simplified one-port drain-source via structure.

The change in phase from −180° to +180° also occurs when a capacitive component changes to an inductive component. When looking at the Smith chart, the point going from the lower semicircle to the upper semicircle is the instantaneous transition point. From the simulation result shown on the Smith chart in Figure 3b, it can be confirmed that parasitic inductance exists in the coupling components between the drain and source feeding lines.

![Smith chart showing the S11 data.](image)

Figure 4 shows the comparison of the S11 EM data obtained above with the simulation according to the equivalent circuit. Up to 30 GHz, there is no significant difference even when modeling the coupling phenomenon of the drain and source feeding lines as a shunt parasitic capacitor alone, but at 30 GHz or more, there is a difference, and it can be seen that the equivalent circuit, including a parasitic inductor, reproduces the EM data more accurately.
2.2. New GaN HEMT Small-Signal Equivalent Circuit Considering Source via Effects

The well-known small-signal equivalent circuit of III-V-based HEMTs including GaN HEMTs is shown in Figure 5a. For better understanding, the port numbers are also indicated when measuring S-parameters. The source port is usually connected to ground. It consists of a pad model composed of pad parasitic capacitances ($C_{pg}$, $C_{pd}$) and pad parasitic inductances ($L_{pg}$, $L_{pd}$), an extrinsic part depending on the layout structure, and an intrinsic part whose value changes according to the channel variation of the transistor by applied biases [27–29]. Usually, the $C_{ds}$ is classified as an intrinsic model parameter, but empirically, the difference in values extracted according to biases is not large, so in some cases it is set as a constant [27–29]. In this work, it is assumed that both the extrinsic part and the intrinsic part of $C_{ds}$ exist.

Figure 5b shows the modified small-signal equivalent circuit including the source via effect discussed in the previous section. As shown in Figure 5b, the “$C_{ds}$” part is modified to reflect the coupling effect between the drain and source via feeding lines. For convenience, we divide the $C_{ds}$ into $C_{ds1}$ and $C_{ds2}$, one including the source via inductance indicating that the coupling signal path is long and the other part directly falling to the ground, and the intrinsic $C_{ds}$ will be included in the latter.

![Figure 4](image4.png)

**(a)** Comparison of S11 (a) on the Smith chart and (b) on the phase between EM data and equivalent circuits.

![Figure 5](image5.png)

**(a)** Figure 5. Cont.
Figure 5. (a) Conventional and (b) proposed small-signal equivalent circuit of a GaN HEMT.

2.3. Small-Signal Extraction Procedure Partially Assisted by EM Simulation

In order to extract the model parameters of the modified small-signal equivalent circuit proposed in the previous section, the conventional extraction method is used along with the EM-based extraction method.

The first step is to extract the model parameters of the conventional small-signal equivalent circuit [28,29]. First, pad model parameters can be extracted through measuring the pad test pattern. After de-embedding the pad model, extrinsic model parameters can be extracted through S-parameters measured in the cold bias region where the drain-source voltage ($V_{ds}$) is 0 V. Figure 6 shows the small-signal equivalent circuit in the cold bias region.

From the equivalent circuit of Figure 6, the $Z$ parameters can be expressed as follows:

$$Z_{11} = R_s + R_g + \frac{R_{ch}}{3} + R_{sdj} + \frac{1}{j\omega C_{adj}} + j\omega (L_s + L_g)$$  (1)
\[ Z_{12} = Z_{21} = R_s + \frac{R_{ch}}{2} + j\omega L_s \quad (2) \]
\[ Z_{22} = R_s + R_d + R_{ch} + j\omega (L_s + L_d) \quad (3) \]

where \( R_s, R_g, R_{ch}, \) and \( R_d \) are the source, gate, channel, and drain resistance, respectively, \( L_s, L_g, \) and \( L_d, \) are the extrinsic source, gate, and drain inductance, respectively. \( R_{sdj} \) and \( C_{sdj} \) are the Schottky diode resistance and capacitance, respectively, and \( \omega \) is the angular frequency. Charges in the depletion region vary according to the gate bias, and as the charge increases, the channel resistance decreases. Using this relationship, \( R_s + R_d \) can be extracted through the equation for the amount of charge and the real part of \( Z_{22} \) according to the gate biases. Then, using the \( R_s + R_d \) value obtained earlier, \( R_{ch} \) at a specific bias can be found from the real part of \( Z_{22} \) of Equation (3), and \( R_s \) can be extracted from the real part of \( Z_{12} \) of Equation (2). When \( R_s \) is found, \( R_d \) can also be extracted from the \( R_s + R_d \) value. \( L_s \) and \( L_d \) can be extracted using the imaginary parts of \( Z_{12} \) and \( Z_{22} \) in a similar manner. However, \( R_g \) and \( L_g \) cannot be extracted as simply as above due to \( R_{sdj} \) and \( C_{sdj} \). By applying the method used in [29] as it is, \( L_g \) and \( R_g \) can be extracted by approximating \( Z_{11} \) using the fact that \( \frac{1}{j\omega C_{sdj}} \) becomes very small compared to \( R_{sdj} \) at high frequencies above 35 GHz.

In Figure 5a, the Y-parameters of intrinsic parts that change according to the bias can be expressed by the following Equations (4)–(7).

\[ y_{11} = \frac{\omega^2 R_i C_{gs}^2}{1 + \omega^2 R_i^2 C_{gs}^2} + \frac{\omega^2 R_{gd} C_{gd}^2}{1 + \omega^2 R_{gd}^2 C_{gd}^2} + j\omega \left( \frac{C_{gs}}{1 + \omega^2 R_i^2 C_{gs}^2} + \frac{C_{gd}}{1 + \omega^2 R_{gd}^2 C_{gd}^2} \right) \quad (4) \]
\[ y_{12} = -\frac{\omega^2 R_{gd} C_{gs} C_{gd}}{1 + \omega^2 R_{gd}^2 C_{gd}^2} - j\omega \left( \frac{C_{gd}}{1 + \omega^2 R_{gd}^2 C_{gd}^2} \right) \quad (5) \]
\[ y_{12} = \frac{e_m e^{-j\omega \tau}}{1 + j\omega R_i C_{gs}} - \frac{\omega^2 R_{gd} C_{gs} C_{gd}}{1 + \omega^2 R_{gd}^2 C_{gd}^2} - j\omega \left( \frac{C_{gd}}{1 + \omega^2 R_{gd}^2 C_{gd}^2} \right) \quad (6) \]
\[ y_{22} = \frac{1}{R_{ds}} + \frac{\omega^2 R_{gd} C_{gd}^2}{1 + \omega^2 R_{gd}^2 C_{gd}^2} + j\omega \left( \frac{C_{gd}}{1 + \omega^2 R_{gd}^2 C_{gd}^2} + C_{ds} \right) \quad (7) \]

where \( R_i, R_{gd}, \) and \( R_{ds} \) are the input, gate-drain, and output resistance, respectively, and \( C_{gs}, C_{gd}, \) and \( C_m \) are the gate-source, gate-drain capacitance, and transconductance, respectively. Intrinsic model parameters can be extracted for each bias using the above equations from the Y-parameters obtained by de-embedding the pad model and extrinsic part from the measured S-parameters.

The second step is to replace the existing \( C_{ds} \) obtained in the first step with the “modified \( C_{ds} \)” shown in Figure 5b. It can be obtained by deriving an analytic equation, but in this work, EM simulation is used in an intuitive way without using complex equations. Since the “modified \( C_{ds} \)” reflecting the source via effect is due to the layout structure, parameter extraction is possible with EM simulation and does not depend on the bias. Figure 7 shows the top view of full EM simulation of a GaN HEMT using ADS Momentum software. To obtain more realistic results, the measured GaN HEMT layout is brought directly into the EM simulation setup. In the layout shown in Figure 7, the gate layer is visible, but in ADS momentum, there is a function that does not activate this layer in the EM simulation setup. Therefore, the effect of the gate layer can be easily excluded, and the simulation is performed so that only the drain-source layout effect is shown. Finally, the modified \( C_{ds} \) can be extracted from the EM simulation result between the drain and source terminals.
C_{ds1}, C_{ds2}, and L_{ds} composing the “modified C_{ds}” are extracted through fitting or optimization by comparing S22 obtained through EM simulation with the modeled S-parameter of the drain-source part extracted previously. The fitted C_{ds2} does not yet contain an intrinsic part. Therefore, the intrinsic part can be added through the final comparison with the measured S-parameter, and the final small-signal model is completed through fine-tuning of each model parameter. Figure 8 is a block diagram showing the small-signal modeling procedure proposed in this work.

![Block diagram of the proposed GaN small-signal modeling procedure.](image)

**Figure 8.** Block diagram of the proposed GaN small-signal modeling procedure.

### 3. Measurement Setup and Results

#### 3.1. 67 GHz S-Parameter Measurement Set-Up

A 67 GHz S-parameter measurement setup was implemented to verify the new GaN HEMT small-signal model considering the source via effect proposed in Section 2. Figure 9 shows the block diagram of a 67 GHz S-parameter measurement setup. Two-port RF signals come from a 67 GHz vector network analyzer, and through on-wafer probing, the RF signals are input and output to a GaN HEMT device under test with dc biases through a dc source generator and two bias tees. This setup is connected to a PC through general purpose interface bus (GPIOB) cables and automatically measures S-parameters according to biases and frequencies through IC-CAP software. In particular, since GaN HEMT devices have high operating voltage and high output power, it is necessary to carefully check the maximum input voltage and RF power allowed by the equipment and accessories.

![Block diagram of a 67 GHz S-parameter measurement setup.](image)

**Figure 9**. 67 GHz S-parameter measurement setup to verify GaN HEMT small-signal model considering the source via effect.
Figure 9. Block diagram of a 67 GHz S-parameter measurement setup.

3.2. Measurement Results

The proposed small-signal modeling was performed on an ISV-type 4 × 50 µm GaN HEMT fabricated using a commercial 0.15 µm GaN HEMT process. First, the pad equivalent model parameters are extracted through the S-parameter measurement of the pad test pattern. The extracted values of $C_{pg}$ and $C_{pd}$ are both 18 fF, and those of $L_{pg}$ and $L_{pd}$ are both 30 pH. Next, S-parameters are measured from 0.2 to 67 GHz in the cold and hot bias regions. The pinch-off voltage of the device is about $-2.8$ V, and the measurement bias region is a drain-source voltage of 0 to 28 V and a gate-source voltage ($V_{gs}$) of $-2.8$ to $-0.8$ V. Table 1 shows the initial extrinsic and intrinsic parameters extracted using the conventional small-signal model parameter extraction method.

| Extrinsic/Intrinsic | Model Parameters | Extracted Value |
|---------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Extrinsic part      | $R_g$            | 0.67 $\Omega$   |
|                     | $R_s$            | 1.85 $\Omega$   |
|                     | $R_d$            | 0.1 $\Omega$    |
|                     | $L_g$            | 124.6 pH        |
|                     | $L_s$            | 6.42 pH         |
|                     | $L_d$            | 88.6 pH         |
| Intrinsic part      | $C_{gs}$         | 479 fF          |
|                     | $R_i$            | 0.33 $\Omega$   |
|                     | $C_{gd}$         | 18.8 fF         |
|                     | $R_{pd}$         | 354.5 $\Omega$  |
|                     | $G_m$            | 90.9 mS         |
|                     | $\tau$           | 1.63 pS         |
|                     | $R_{ds}$         | 900.9 $\Omega$  |
|                     | $C_{ds}$         | 138 fF          |

Next, to replace the $C_{ds}$ obtained above with the “modified $C_{ds}$” shown in Figure 5b, EM simulation is used as shown in Figure 7. Based on the EM simulation result, the values of $C_{ds1}$, $L_{ds}$, and $C_{ds2}$ extracted by iterative fitting are 40 fF, 40 pH, and 50 fF, respectively. Figure 10 shows the comparison of the S-parameter of the extracted “modified $C_{ds}$” circuit with the EM simulated one. The “modified $C_{ds}$” circuit agrees better with the EM result than the conventional $C_{ds}$.
Figures 11–13 show the comparison of S-parameters, MSG/MAG, and K under some biases according to the load line.

Finally, the intrinsic part of $C_{ds}$, which is about 25 fF, can be added through the final comparison with the measured S-parameter, and the complete small-signal model is optimized through fine-tuning of each model parameter.

To verify the validity of the small-signal model completed through the above procedure, the S-parameters of small-signal models and the measured S-parameters are compared from 0.2 to 67 GHz with respect to several biases around the load line, which is important for power amplifier design. In addition, the stability factor ($K$) and MSG/MAG that can be calculated from the S-parameter results are also compared. The formulas can be expressed as follows [30]:

$$K = \frac{1 - |S11|^2 - |S22|^2 + |S11 \cdot S22 - S12 \cdot S21|^2}{(2 \cdot |S12 \cdot S21|)}$$  \hspace{0.5cm} (8)

$$\text{MAG} = \frac{|S_{21}|}{|S_{12}|} \left( K - \sqrt{K^2 - 1} \right) \quad (K > 1)$$ \hspace{0.5cm} (9a)

$$\text{MSG} = \frac{|S_{21}|}{|S_{12}|} \quad (K < 1)$$ \hspace{0.5cm} (9b)

Figures 11–13 show the comparison of S-parameters, MSG/MAG, and K under some biases according to the load line.

Figure 10. Comparison of the S-parameter of the extracted “modified $C_{ds}$” circuit with the EM simulated one through (a) Smith chart and (b) phase.

Figure 11. Cont.
Figure 11. Comparison of (a) S11, (b) S22, (c) S12, (d) S22, (e) MSG/MAG, and (f) stability factor among the measurement (blue circle), the conventional model (pink dashed line), and the proposed model (red line) from 0.2 to 67 GHz under V_{ds} = 28 V, V_{gs} = -1.6 V.

Figure 12. Cont.
Figure 12. Comparison of (a) S11, (b) S22, (c) S12, (d) S22, (e) MSG/MAG, and (f) stability factor among the measurement (blue circle), the conventional model (pink dashed line), and the proposed model (red line) from 0.2 to 67 GHz under V_{ds} = 20 V, V_{gs} = -1.2 V.

Figure 13. Cont.
As shown in Figures 11–13, the proposed model improves the S12 accuracy due to the modified $C_{ds}$ circuit considering the source via effect. The improved S12 accuracy compared to the previous model allows the proposed small-signal model to predict more similar MSG/MAG and stability factor to measurements at frequencies above 20 GHz. Although there is still room for some improvement depending on the bias, it can be seen that the trend is more similar to the measurement than before. It is worth noting that the proposed small-signal model tends to precisely match the measurements, especially near the operating bias of the power amplifier.

4. Conclusions

A new 0.15 $\mu$m GaN HEMT small-signal model for 5G millimeter-wave power amplifier design is proposed considering the source via effect. The proposed model improves the accuracy of S12 by including the parasitic inductance due to coupling between the drain and source via structures at frequencies above millimeter-wave. This improvement contributes to more accurate MSG/MAG and stability factor up to millimeter-wave frequencies. The proposed small-signal model can be applied to GaN HEMTs with other similar source via structures such as an outside source via (OSV) type. In addition, it is expected to contribute to more accurate performance prediction when designing a 5G millimeter-wave power amplifier by applying it to a large-signal GaN HEMT model.
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