Plasma Risperidone-related Measures in Children and Adolescents with Oppositional Defiant/Conduct Disorders
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Objective: Therapeutic drug monitoring helps clinicians in choosing the right drug and adjust its dose in specific patients. To this end, we aimed to assess time patterns of risperidone and its metabolite, 9-hydroxyrisperidone, in children and adolescents with oppositional defiant and/or conduct disorder.

Methods: We measured plasma concentrations of risperidone and 9-hydroxyrisperidone, their sum (active moiety, AM) and ratio, as well as plasma concentrations corrected for daily dose (C/D), from 140 children/adolescents with the above-mentioned disorders. We used Student’s t test to compare females versus males, patients under versus over 16-year-old, patients with lower versus higher than the median body weight, and patients with lower versus higher than the median body mass index (BMI). Two mixed-effects logistic regression models were fitted for risperidone/9-hydroxyrisperidone ratio and AM, respectively, by considering risperidone daily dose and patients’ demographic characteristics.

Results: Females had higher 9-hydroxyrisperidone and AM plasma concentrations than males (p = 0.004 and p = 0.034). Younger patients had lower risperidone plasma concentration and risperidone/9-hydroxyrisperidone ratio (p = 0.02 and p = 0.021), but higher C/D 9-hydroxyrisperidone and AM than older patients (p = 0.013 and p = 0.043). Lower-weight patients had lower plasma risperidone and risperidone/9-hydroxyrisperidone ratio (p = 0.014 and p = 0.019), but higher C/D 9-hydroxyrisperidone concentration than heavier patients (p = 0.03). All these results could be accounted for by daily dose. Patients with lower and higher BMI did not differ significantly. Regression analyses showed that only risperidone daily dose predicted risperidone/9-hydroxyrisperidone ratio, whereas risperidone daily dose, sex, and age predicted AM.

Conclusion: Clinicians prescribing risperidone need to consider sex, age, and weight, but not BMI when adjusting daily doses.
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INTRODUCTION

Oppositional defiant disorder (ODD) and conduct disorder (CD) are common disruptive, impulse-control, and CDs in children and adolescents that could constitute a continuum, as ODD may precede CD and be a risk factor for its development [1]. They are externalizing disorders sharing many features with attention deficit/hyperactivity...
disorder (ADHD), but also having distinctive neuro-anatomical characteristics [2,3]. In the US, lifetime prevalence is about 10% for ODD and slightly less for CD, with boys affected slightly more by ODD and considerably so by CD [4,5].

An essential part of the psychiatric care for pediatric disruptive/impulsive/CDs includes pharmacotherapy, despite the lack of Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved medications. In fact, there is considerable evidence from off-label prescriptions suggesting a role for several antipsychotic drugs, including risperidone [6-10]. Risperidone obtained FDA-approval for the treatment of irritability in children and adolescents with autism spectrum disorders. In several European countries, it is also approved for children and adolescents with CD, in the presence of intellectual hypofunctioning and when all other strategies have failed [11].

The primary pathway of risperidone (risperidone plasma concentration, RIS) metabolism is a CYP2D6-mediated 9-hydroxylation leading to its main active metabolite, 9-hydroxyrisperidone (9-OH-RIS) [12]. Additional pathways may include CYP3A4 and CYP3A5 [13,14]. As 9-OH-RIS has approximately 70% of the pharmacological activity of RIS [15], clinicians consider the sum of concentration for RIS and 9-OH-RIS (active moiety, AM). The Arbeitsgemeinschaft für Neuropsychopharmakologie und Pharmakopsychiatrie (AGNP) consensus guidelines on therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM) suggest a therapeutic reference range of 20–60 ng/ml for AM in adult patients [12].

TDM is a specific method of clinical pharmacology used to guide clinical routine psychopharmacotherapy based on the measurement of blood drug concentrations. The amount of pharmacokinetic data from RIS-medicated children and adolescents is disproportionately smaller compared to adults. In fact, data mainly derive from children and adolescents with autism spectrum disorders [16-21], while patients with other indications for RIS treatment are underrepresented [22,23]. Furthermore, the available data demonstrate a smaller interindividual variability of RIS concentrations in children and adolescents compared to adults [24]. Thus, we expected a narrower therapeutic reference range for children and adolescents. The clinical utility of measuring RIS concentration in these patients is reflected on the correlation between prolactin elevation and concentration of 9-OH-RIS [16,17]. To our knowledge, there is dearth of data on RIS pharmacokinetics in patients with disruptive/impulsive/CDs. Thus, the aim of this study was to assess the plasma concentration curves of RIS, its active metabolite, and their total (AM) in RIS-treated children and adolescents affected by the above-mentioned disorders, with a focus on the role of drug dose and patients’ demographics.

METHODS

Patients

In this observational retrospective cohort study, 140 children and adolescents with disruptive/impulsive/CDs (89 males, age range = 5–18 years; 63 females, age range = 7–18 years) were consecutively recruited during 2014 to 2016 as part of the clinical routine at the Department of Psychiatry of the Central Hospital of Bolzano/Bozen (Italy), at the Department of Psychiatry of the University Clinic of Innsbruck (Austria), and at the Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy of the University of Regensburg (Germany). Diagnosis of ODD and/or CD was posed according to the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 5th edition (DSM-5) [25] criteria by treating clinicians, who made drug prescription. The aim of the study was thoroughly explained before obtaining parental written informed consent and assent of children or adolescents. This was a prerequisite to perform the study and publish results. The study fully respected the Ethical Principles for Medical Research Involving Human Subjects, as adopted by the 18th World Medical Association General Assembly (WMA GA), Helsinki, Finland, June 1964, and subsequently amended by the 64th WMA GA, Fortaleza, Brazil, October 2013. Patient anonymity was guaranteed.

Body weight and height were measured based on standard procedures; body mass index (BMI) was calculated accordingly. We excluded patients receiving concomitant medication with potential CYP2D6 or CYP3A4 inhibitors or inducers [12]. Only five patients received other psychotropic drugs during the study period, three lorazepam and two oxazepam. Samples with missing data of RIS or its metabolite were not included in the analysis. In the case of multiple available plasma concentrations for a single patient, only the most recent steady-state plasma concentration was included in the analyses.
Quantification of Risperidone and 9-Hydroxyrisperidone
Clinicians were instructed to draw blood under trough conditions (before drug administration) at steady state (> 5 elimination half-lives under the same drug dose). In all three hospitals, the same analytical method was used; RIS and 9-OH-RIS concentrations were determined by using high performance liquid chromatography with ultraviolet detection (HPLC/UV) [26]. The method was validated according to DIN 32645 (Deutsche Industrie Norm 32645, described in guidelines of GTFCh (Society of Toxicology and Forensic Chemistry) in consideration of ISO 5725 (International Organization for Standardization) [27], US FDA guidance [28], and ICH (International Conference on Harmonization) requirements [29]. The laboratories involved in the study regularly run internal quality controls and participated in INSTAND-external quality assessment schemes (Düsseldorf, Germany; www.instandev.de). The limit of detection, defined as signal-to-noise ratio of 3:1, was 0.5 ng/ml for RIS and 9-OH-RIS. The lower limit of quantification (LLOQ) was 1 ng/ml for RIS and 9-OH-RIS. The interday precision, determined as duplicates on three different days at 1 ng/ml, was 5.56% of mean and 5.21% of mean, respectively. However, the analytical process that uses HPLC/UV also detects both RIS and 9-OH-RIS values below the LLOQ. In two patients, RIS concentration was 0.6 ng/ml in one and 0.8 ng/ml in the other, while 9-OH-RIS concentrations were 15 and 21 ng/ml, respectively. The potential bias of including these RIS values (instead of replacing with the LLOQ) in our models is expected to be minimal, thus we decided to include these defined values in our analysis, as they were true values above zero.

Statistical Analysis
Data distribution was normal (Shapiro–Wilk test, $W=0.835$, $p > 0.05$), thus we proceeded with parametric testing. Continuous variables (e.g., age, BMI, risperidone daily dose, RIS, 9-OH-RIS) were expressed as mean ($\bar{x}$) and standard deviations. Categorical variables (i.e., sex) were expressed as absolute and percentage values. Comparisons between sample groups, i.e., males vs. females, younger vs. older patients (median, age $\leq$ 15 years vs. age of 16–18 years), patients with lighter vs. heavier weight (median, $\leq$ 55 kg vs. $> 55$ kg), and patients with lower vs. higher BMI (median, $\leq 2.0.7$ kg/m$^2$ vs. $> 20.7$ kg/m$^2$), were assessed with the parametric Student’s independent $t$ test. Correlations were performed with Spearman’s $r$. The cut-off for statistical significance was set at $p < 0.05$ (two-tailed). We fitted two Bonferroni-adjusted mixed effects logistic regression models where our dependent variables were RIS/9-OH-RIS and AM, respectively, and our independent variables were RIS daily dose and patients’ demographic characteristics, both continuous and categorical (i.e., sex, age, weight, BMI). Bonferroni adjustment was used in our multiple hypothesis testing to maintain control of the false discovery rate while incorporating prior information about the hypotheses. The prior information takes the form of $p$ value weights. If the assignment of weights is positively associated with the null hypotheses being false, the procedure improves power, except in cases where power is already near one. Even if the assignment of weights is poor, power is only reduced slightly, as long as the weights are not too large [30]. Nagelkerke’s pseudo-$R^2$ was calculated to determine the proportion of the variance in the dependent variables (RIS/9-OH-RIS ratio and AM) which is predictable from the independent variables. Nagelkerke’s pseudo-$R^2$ is the percentage of the response variable variation that is explained by a linear model ($R^2$ = explained variation/total variation). $R^2$ is always between 0 and 1, with 0 indicating that the model explains none of the variability of the response data around its mean and 1 indicating that the model explains all the variability of the response data around its mean. Analyses were performed by using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences software (IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows ver. 24.0, 2016; IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

RESULTS
The sample of 140 patients consisted of 81 boys and 59 girls. Of them, 64 (45.7%) were diagnosed with ODD only, 53 (37.9%) with CD only, and 23 (16.4%) with comorbid ODD/CD. By method we excluded patients receiving concomitant medication with potential CYP2D6 or CYP3A4 inhibitors or inducers; the five patients taking concomitant medication presented no such problem. The demographic and pharmacokinetic characteristics are shown in Table 1.

First, we compared males vs. females for plasma and corrected for daily doses (C/D) plasma concentrations for RIS, 9-OH-RIS, AM as well as RIS/9-OH-RIS ratios, by us-
Table 1. Patients’ demographic and pharmacokinetic characteristics (n = 140)

| Variable                  | Value                                      |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| Sex                       |                                            |
| Male                      | 81 (57.86)                                |
| Female                    | 59 (42.14)                                |
| Age (yr)                  | 14.2 ± 3.1 (5.0 − 18.0)                   |
| Weight (kg)               | 53.92 ± 16.23 (20.10 − 124.02)            |
| Height (m)                | 1.59 ± 0.15 (1.09 − 1.92)                 |
| BMI (kg/m²)               | 20.66 ± 3.12 (15.03 − 33.63)              |
| Risperidone daily dose (mg/day) | 1.5 ± 1.0 (0.5 − 7.0)               |
| 9-OH-RIS (ng/ml)          | 15.96 ± 14.63 (1.0 − 113.0)               |
| AM                        | 22.37 ± 18.72 (2.0 − 114.5)               |
| RIS/9-OH-RIS ratio        | 0.51 ± 1.04 (0.003 − 5.31)                |
| C/D RIS (ng/ml) (mg/day)  | 4.46 ± 5.61 (0.07 − 29.64)                |
| C/D 9-OH-RIS (ng/ml) (mg/day) | 16.33 ± 25.51 (0.33 − 196.02)           |
| C/D AM (ng/ml) (mg/day)   | 20.91 ± 26.62 (0.67 − 228.12)            |

Values are presented as number (%) or mean ± standard deviation (range).

BMI, body mass index; RIS, risperidone plasma concentration; 9-OH-RIS, 9-hydroxyrisperidone plasma concentration; AM, active moiety; C/D, dose-corrected plasma concentration.

Table 2. Comparison of plasma and C/D plasma concentrations for RIS, 9-OH-RIS, AM as well as RIS/9-OH-RIS ratios between males vs. females, younger vs. older patients, patients with lighter vs. heavier weight, and patients with lower vs. higher BMI

| Variable                  | RIS daily dose | RIS plasma concentration | 9-OH-RIS plasma concentration | RIS/9-OH-RIS S ratio | AM | C/D RIS (ng/ml) (mg/day) | C/D 9-OH-RIS (ng/ml) (mg/day) | C/D AM (ng/ml) (mg/day) |
|---------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|----|-------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Males vs. females         |                |                          |                               |                      |    |                         |                               |                          |
| Male (n = 81)             | 1.34 ± 0.80    | 6.07 ± 9.91              | 13.32 ± 14.16                 | 0.46 ± 0.10          | 19.12 ± 17.98 | 4.53 ± 5.87             | 13.57 ± 25.32              | 18.01 ± 25.96             |
| Female (n = 59)           | 1.46 ± 0.92    | 6.94 ± 10.01             | 20.17 ± 14.42                 | 0.35 ± 1.22          | 25.62 ± 17.41 | 4.62 ± 5.30             | 20.21 ± 25.54              | 24.92 ± 27.37             |
| t                         | 0.813          | 0.48                     | 2.91                          | 0.46                 | 2.15          | 0.09                    | 1.55                          | 1.5                      |
| p value                   | 0.417          | 0.634                    | 0.004*                        | 0.528                | 0.034*        | 0.927                   | 0.123                        | 0.136                    |
| Younger vs. older age     |                |                          |                               |                      |    |                         |                               |                          |
| ≤ 15 yr (n = 79)          | 1.11 ± 0.69    | 4.62 ± 7.44              | 16.01 ± 17.17                 | 0.25 ± 0.37          | 19.35 ± 22.1 | 3.95 ± 4.70             | 20.61 ± 32.52              | 24.59 ± 33.57             |
| 16 − 18 yr (n = 61)       | 1.75 ± 0.91    | 8.86 ± 12.12             | 15.91 ± 10.75                 | 0.56 ± 0.78          | 24.73 ± 17.85 | 5.37 ± 6.66             | 10.83 ± 9.03               | 16.25 ± 12.14             |
| t                         | 4.651          | -2.36                    | 0.04                          | -2.43                | -1.29        | -1.42                   | 2.54                          | 2.05                     |
| p value                   | 0.001*         | 0.02*                    | 0.968                         | 0.021*               | 0.2          | 0.158                   | 0.013*                       | 0.043*                   |
| Lighter vs. heavier weight|                |                          |                               |                      |    |                         |                               |                          |
| ≤ 55 kg (n = 80)          | 1.17 ± 0.71    | 4.51 ± 7.36              | 16.43 ± 16.71                 | 0.24 ± 0.59          | 21.14 ± 18.32 | 3.78 ± 4.38             | 20.01 ± 31.61              | 23.78 ± 32.89             |
| > 55 kg (n = 60)          | 1.68 ± 0.94    | 9.14 ± 12.11             | 15.31 ± 11.23                 | 0.60 ± 1.01          | 24.17 ± 18.34 | 5.61 ± 6.83             | 11.52 ± 12.32              | 17.11 ± 14.35             |
| t                         | -3.67          | -2.51                    | 0.52                          | -2.24                | -0.43        | -1.81                   | 2.2                           | 1.6                      |
| p value                   | 0.001*         | 0.014*                   | 0.606                         | 0.019*               | 0.671        | 0.073                   | 0.03*                       | 0.105                    |
| Lower vs. higher BMI      |                |                          |                               |                      |    |                         |                               |                          |
| ≤ 20.7 kg/m² (n = 75)     | 1.17 ± 0.68    | 4.91 ± 7.63              | 16.83 ± 17.21                 | 0.29 ± 1.13          | 21.74 ± 19.62 | 4.07 ± 4.48             | 20.01 ± 32.51              | 24.09 ± 33.69             |
| > 20.7 kg/m² (n = 65)     | 1.64 ± 0.96    | 8.14 ± 11.81             | 14.97 ± 10.93                 | 0.54 ± 0.22          | 23.17 ± 17.84 | 5.14 ± 6.68             | 12.12 ± 12.62              | 17.26 ± 14.31             |
| t                         | -3.321         | -1.89                    | 0.79                          | -1.99                | -0.43        | -1.1                    | 1.95                          | 1.6                      |
| p value                   | 0.001*         | 0.058                    | 0.432                         | 0.068                | 0.671        | 0.274                   | 0.058                         | 0.112                    |

Values are presented as mean ± standard deviation.

C/D, dose-corrected plasma concentration; RIS, risperidone plasma concentration; 9-OH-RIS, 9-hydroxyrisperidone plasma concentration; AM, active moiety; BMI, body mass index.

An asterisk indicates the level of significance (p < 0.05).

ing Student’s t test. Similarly, by using the median as the cut-off value, we compared younger (≤ 15 years) vs. older (16 − 18 years) patients, patients with lighter (≤ 55 kg) vs. heavier (> 55 kg) weight, and patients with lower (≤ 20.7 kg/m²) vs. higher (> 20.7 kg/m²) BMI (Table 2).

Females had higher 9-OH-RIS and AM plasma concentrations than males (t = 2.91, p = 0.004 and t = 2.15, p = 0.034, respectively). Younger patients had lower RIS daily doses, RIS plasma concentrations, and RIS/9-OH-RIS ratios (t = 4.651, p = 0.001, t = -2.36, p = 0.02, and t = -2.43, p = 0.021, respectively), but higher C/D 9-OH-RIS and C/D AM compared to older patients (t = 2.54, p = 0.013 and t = 2.05, p = 0.043, respectively). Patients with lighter weight had lower RIS daily doses, RIS plasma concentrations, and RIS/9-OH-RIS ratios (t = -3.67, p = 0.001, t = -2.51, p = 0.014, and t = -2.24, p = 0.019, respectively), but higher C/D 9-OH-RIS concentrations than patients with heavier weight (t = 2.20, p = 0.03). No significant differences were found between patients with lower and higher BMI, with the exception of RIS daily doses (t = -3.321, p = 0.001).
Table 3. Mixed effects logistic regression with RIS/9-OH-RIS ratio as a dependent variable

| Source         | Numerator df | Denominator df | F     | p value |
|----------------|--------------|----------------|-------|---------|
| Intercept      | 1            | 16             | 2.497 | 0.134   |
| Sex            | 1            | 16             | 2.964 | 0.104   |
| Age            | 6            | 16             | 1.361 | 0.289   |
| Weight         | 1            | 16             | 0.670 | 0.425   |
| BMI            | 54           | 16             | 0.860 | 0.673   |
| RIS daily dose | 4            | 16             | 3.409 | 0.017** |

BMI, body mass index; RIS, risperidone plasma concentration; 9-OH-RIS, 9-hydroxyrisperidone plasma concentration; df, degree of freedom.

*After Bonferroni correction. An asterisk indicates the level of significance (p < 0.05).

The Pearson correlation coefficient showed the strength of the association between RIS daily dose and RIS (r = 0.298, p = 0.04) and 9-OH-RIS (r = 0.355, p = 0.01) plasma concentrations, respectively.

In the first Bonferroni-corrected mixed effects logistic regression model (Table 3), we found only one variable, i.e., RIS daily dose, to be predictive of RIS/9-OH-RIS ratio. We have also performed the same model after removing the two patients who had a RIS concentration of 0.6 and 0.8 ng/ml, respectively, and we have found no differences in significances, as expected. Nagelkerke’s pseudo-$R^2$ was 0.181, meaning that our regression model explains 18.1% of the variance of the dependent variable.

In the second Bonferroni-corrected mixed effects logistic regression model (Table 4), we found three variables, i.e., RIS daily dose and patients’ sex and age to be predictive of AM. Weight approached but did not quite achieve significance (p = 0.056). Nagelkerke’s pseudo-$R^2$ was 0.364, meaning that our regression model explains 36.4% of the variance of the dependent variable.

Table 4. Mixed effects logistic regression with AM as a dependent variable

| Source         | Numerator df | Denominator df | F     | p value |
|----------------|--------------|----------------|-------|---------|
| Intercept      | 1            | 16             | 20.008| 0.000   |
| Sex            | 1            | 16             | 1.923 | 0.015*  |
| Age            | 6            | 16             | 1.867 | 0.049*  |
| Weight         | 1            | 16             | 0.798 | 0.056   |
| BMI            | 54           | 16             | 1.442 | 0.260   |
| RIS daily dose | 4            | 16             | 2.734 | 0.001*  |

AM, active moiety; BMI, body mass index; RIS, risperidone plasma concentration; df, degree of freedom.

*After Bonferroni correction. An asterisk indicates the level of significance (p < 0.05).

DISCUSSION

In this study, we sought to investigate the association between daily risperidone doses, as well as patients’ demographic characteristics, and pharmacokinetic parameters of risperidone. Sex has a substantial effect on risperidone metabolism. Sex differences for risperidone metabolism in adolescents have been previously poorly investigated, as data mainly derived from patients with autism spectrum disorders, where males were overrepresented [16-18,23]. Nevertheless, our findings are aligned with early data reporting enhanced levels in females, compared with males, in a study that adjusted for dose [22]. Differences for risperidone concentrations between males and females with other psychiatric diagnoses have been previously explained in light of sex-dependent CYP3A4 activity patterns [31]. This explanation appears plausible in our sample, as differences for AM were driven by differences for the higher active metabolite concentrations in females compared to males. Therefore, we may hypothesize that prominent sex-specific differences for CYP3A4 activity are already present in adolescents, as RIS daily dose did not differ between the two sexes (Table 2). Still, the sex-specific patterns reported in our sample may at least partially reflect dose differences, but only secondarily, as boys tend to be heavier than girls and did not persist after controlling for drug dose.

Of particular interest are the findings regarding the effects of body composition on risperidone metabolism. Our analyses supported significant effects for weight, but not BMI. In fact, we found higher RIS/9-OH-RIS ratios in older vs. younger patients and in heavier vs. lighter body weight patients, while the effect of higher vs. lower BMI was much weaker at this respect and present only as a trend (Table 2), meaning that as patients grow and their weight increases, their rate of conversion of RIS to 9-OH-RIS slows down. In the above groupings, it is interesting to underline that dosing differed significantly, while it did not in the male vs. female comparison. This notion contrasts previous findings of RIS adult pharmacokinetics, where BMI and not weight displayed crucial effects [32]. Thus, our knowledge of adults RIS pharmacokinetics cannot be automatically transferred to children and adolescents. It seems that, unlike adults, BMI does not
precisely reflect pharmacokinetically-relevant parameters such as drug distribution volume in children [32]. On the other hand, weight seems to be crucial; low-weight patients had lower ratios and higher C/D 9-OH-RIS concentrations than patients with higher weight. Such differences could be accounted for by dosing, as clinicians tend to prescribe higher doses to older children and older children tend to weigh more than younger children. Lipophilic agents such as risperidone and 9-OH-RIS may be detected in higher concentrations in blood of patients with lower fat percentages.

Since AM reflects the total circulating level of RIS and 9-OH-RIS, while the RIS/9-OH-RIS ratio is the fraction of RIS to its metabolite, which is also marketed as the antipsychotic paliperidone, and has been found to be safe and effective in first-episode schizophrenia [33], and given that the latter ratio was predicted by our mixed effects logistic regression models only by RIS daily dose, while AM was predicted by most measures entered in the model, including RIS daily dose, which was the most significantly associated parameter in the model, RIS daily dose is the measure that should most be considered in treating patients with disruptive/impulsive/CDs of pediatric age.

Our evidence points to distinct pharmacokinetic patterns for risperidone and 9-OH-RIS plasma concentrations in children and adolescents with disruptive/impulsive/CDs. RIS prescription in these patients remains off-label and clinicians may use TDM to adjust doses and prevent accumulation phenomena. Sex, age, and weight in priority to BMI should be considered by clinicians in their search to find the right RIS dose.

Limitations
Our data derive from a naturalistic sample assessed retrospectively; this might create reliability issues. Moreover, we had no knowledge about onset and duration of illness, psychometric and safety data, and comorbidities; this prevented us from conducting further analyses. The age range we have considered is one of transition of P450 cytochrome function, which cannot be adequately assessed without genotyping or phenotyping. The inclusion of genetic data could have provided additional insight [20]. We here did not attempt to correlate our TDM data with clinical response or daily dose, but doses do not correlate with plasma drug or metabolite concentrations with this drug [34]. However, risperidone is effectively used in pediatric age populations [35,36], as are other antipsychotics in the conditions considered here [37].

Conclusions
In this study we provided data on risperidone metabolism in children and adolescents with disruptive/impulsive/CDs. We found RIS plasma concentrations and ratios to be lower in younger vs. older and lighter vs. heavier pediatric patients, while BMI had no significant effect, but these differences might be due to different (and lower) dosing; sex was significantly associated with 9-OH-RIS plasma concentrations, but not AM. The stronger correlation between daily risperidone dose and 9-OH-RIS plasma concentrations, compared with risperidone plasma concentration, suggests a rapid conversion of the drug into its metabolite, with its significantly longer half-life. Hence dosing should be approached considering sex-, age- and weight-related risperidone disposition patterns.
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