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**Supplementary Methods**

**Experiment 1A**

*Participants details.* 79% of the participants were European, 8.9% North American, 8.5% Asian, 2.7% Australian, and 0.9% South American. 46% of the participants reported to be non-musicians (never played an instrument), 33.9% amateur musicians (never received musical training), 11.2% semi-professional musicians (received musical training, but music is not main profession), and 8.9% professional musicians (playing music as main profession).

*Stimulus selection.* Music stimuli were carefully selected to ensure their capability to elicit sadness and happiness, while avoiding familiar pieces to reduce potential biases due to memory effects (Pereira et al., 2011). This was proven by the successful use of the stimuli in a previous study (Pehrs et al., 2014) and a behavioral pilot experiment. In the pilot experiment, 30 volunteers (17 female, mean age = 32.1, age range 18-53) listened to 12 sad and 12 happy pieces, presented in a counterbalanced order, and were then asked to rate their emotional state during the music as well as their familiarity with each piece on five scales (valence, arousal, sadness, and happiness [7-point scales]; familiarity [4-point scale]). Based on the pilot ratings, we chose the most homogeneous set of sad and happy stimuli, with the highest significant differences to the opposite affective tone, in order to assure orthogonality of emotional experimental conditions ($P$-values for all emotion dimensions < .001 except for valence, Bonferroni-corrected). Thus, for the sad condition, the selected set of stimuli was rated as highly pleasant [5.07 ± 1.23 ($M \pm SD$)], slightly arousing (2.40 ± 0.91), clearly sad (4.40 ± 1.39), not very
happy (2.33 ± 0.79), and unfamiliar (1.29 ± 0.52). For the happy condition, the selected set of stimuli was rated as highly pleasant (5.23 ± 1.12), very arousing (4.92 ± 1.26), clearly happy (5.17 ± 1.26), not sad at all (1.34 ± 0.46), and unfamiliar (1.36 ± 0.45).

Experiment 2

Participants details. Participants were screened for depressive symptoms, alexithymia, and sensitivity to music reward, using respectively the Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology (QIDS-SR; Rush et al., 2003), the Toronto Alexithymia Scale (TAS-20; Bagby et al., 1994), and the Barcelona Music Reward Questionnaire (BMRQ; Mas-Herrero et al., 2013). All participants scored below 6 on the QIDS-SR and 52 on the TAS-20 (thus, none of the participants were depressive or alexithymic). With regard to the BMRQ, all participants scored between 40 and 60 on the two factors of emotion evocation and mood regulation, indicating an average sensitivity to reward derived from music-evoked emotional experiences. All participants were German native speakers. None of the participants were professional musicians. 58.3% of the participants were non-musicians, 29.2% amateur musicians, and 12.5% semi-professional musicians. Participants’ favorite musical genres fell into the following categories: 25.7% rock, 20% electronic, 15.7% pop, 15.7% classical & soundtrack, 12.8% jazz, 5.7% reggae, and 4.4% other. Exclusion criteria were prior history of major neurological or psychiatric disorder, alcohol and other drug abuse, and excessive consumption of alcohol or caffeine as well as poor sleep during the 24 hours before the experimental session.

Stimulus preparation and selection. We initially selected a large number of
instrumental excerpts of sad and happy film soundtracks, considered capable of evoking emotions of sadness and happiness, respectively. We avoided popular music themes to control for memory effects (similarly as in Experiments 1A and 1B) and we matched sad and happy pieces in instrumentation. Importantly, because the tempo, measured in beats per minute (BPM), is usually faster for happy than sad music, and because music beats also evoke vestibular responses (potentially leading to the activation of vestibular cortical areas, which overlap in part with areas implicated in emotional processing; Koelsch, 2014), we ensured that both sad and happy excerpts had the same tempo. To achieve this, we compiled sad and happy pairs of excerpts, featuring the same or a very similar number of BPM, and we combined them with an isochronous sequenced electronic beat (as in Pehrs et al., 2014), which contained sounds of drum kits or percussions and made the tempo clear and noticeable to participants. We composed and added such electronic beat to each pair of excerpts using the software FL Studio (https://www.image-line.com/flstudio/). Different types of beats were used, following the rule of applying the same beat to each pair (sad, happy) of excerpts. The volume of the beats was set to 6 dB below the volume of the original music excerpts during the rendering of the music stimuli. A behavioral pilot study was then performed to determine the best pairs (sad, happy) of stimuli capable of evoking emotions of sadness and happiness. 42 volunteers (24 female, mean age = 28.1, age range 18-38) listened to 15 sad and 15 happy pieces, presented in a counterbalanced order, and were then asked to rate their emotional state during the music on four scales (valence, arousal, sadness, and happiness [7-point scales]). Based on the emotion ratings, we selected the four “sad-happy” pairs of excerpts that were most consistently identified as belonging to
their respective emotion category.

**Neutral stimuli.** In the scanner participants were also presented with neutral stimuli. These were isochronous tone sequences for which the pitch classes were randomly selected from the pentatonic scale. They featured the same beat track of the corresponding sad-happy stimulus pair (thus, neutral and emotion stimuli had identical numbers of BPM). They were generated using the MIDI (Musical Instrument Digital Interface) toolbox for Matlab (Eerola and Toiviainen, 2004) and edited to have the same length, timbre, fade in/out ramps, as well as loudness of the corresponding sad-happy excerpts.

**Controlling for familiarity.** Participants listened to short excerpts (15 s) of the selected sad and happy stimuli and indicated their familiarity with each excerpt on a scale ranging from 1 (“I have never heard this piece before”) to 5 (“I know this piece”). Participants were not included in the fMRI session if they were familiar with any of the music pieces. A paired \( t \)-test showed that there was no significant difference in familiarity between the happy (1.62 ± 0.57) and the sad pieces (1.57 ± 0.63), \( P > .05 \). A minimum of 14 days passed between this behavioral session and the fMRI experiment to avoid memory effects (Pereira et al., 2011).

**Supplementary Analyses**

**Experiment 2**

**Behavioral ratings for neutral stimuli.** Participants rated their emotional state on four
6-point scales (sadness, happiness, valence, and arousal). Neutral stimuli (2.83 ± 1.01) were rated significantly less sad than sad stimuli (4.54 ± 0.83), \( P < .001 \) (Fig. S2C). Similarly, neutral stimuli (2.46 ± 1.02) were rated significantly less happy than happy stimuli (5.42 ± 0.72), \( P < .001 \) (Fig. S2D). Moreover, neutral stimuli (3.00 ± 1.32) were rated significantly less pleasant than sad (4.58 ± 1.10) and happy stimuli (5.21 ± 0.88), all \( P s < .001 \) (Fig. S2A). Finally, arousal ratings did not differ significantly between neutral (2.79 ± 0.88) and sad stimuli (3.21 ± 1.18), \( P > .05 \) (Fig. S2B); however, happy stimuli (3.75 ± 0.90) were rated significantly more arousing than neutral stimuli, \( P < .001 \) (Fig. S2B).

**Eigenvector centrality mapping (ECM) comparisons with neutral stimuli (ROI analysis).** To evaluate how stimuli matched for tempo but without a sad or a happy emotional tone modulate DMN activity in comparison with the emotion conditions, we compared ECM values in the nodes of the DMN (vmPFC, dmPFC, PCC, PCC/PCu, and pIPL bilaterally) between sad and neutral stimuli, as well as between happy and neutral stimuli, using ROI analyses. ROI analyses were conducted for the significant clusters identified in the main ECM analysis comparing sad with happy music (see main text and Table S4). Paired \( t \)-tests for these ROIs were carried out for the contrasts happy vs. neutral and sad vs. neutral. Significantly higher centrality values within the six DMN nodes were observed during neutral compared with happy music stimuli (all \( P s < .05 \)). Significantly higher centrality values were found in the vmPFC during sad compared with neutral stimuli (\( P = .03 \)), and all the remaining DMN structures showed slightly higher centrality values for the contrast sad vs. neutral (although these differences were
statistically not significant; all $Ps \approx .1$), pointing to a trend towards increased DMN activity during sad vs. neutral music (note that the likelihood that ECM values are higher for the neutral than for the sad condition in all six ROIs is 1/64). Thus, the results suggest that, compared with neutral stimuli, happy music reduces DMN activity, while sad music increases DMN activity. Although the evidence that sad (compared with neutral) music enhances DMN activity is only trend-wise significant, note that the neutral stimuli were more similar to the sad than the happy excerpts with regard to the evoked arousal (arousal ratings did not significantly differ between sad and neutral stimuli, $P > .05$, but significantly differed between happy and neutral stimuli, $P < .001$; see Behavioral ratings for neutral stimuli). Moreover, because the neutral stimuli were experienced as less pleasurable compared with the sad and happy ones (both $Ps < .001$; see Behavioral ratings for neutral stimuli), they may have enhanced mind-wandering levels (mind-wandering increases during boring and unpleasant activities; see Kane et al., 2007 and main text on p. 12). For these reasons, the results of the ROI analyses should be verified by future studies employing neutral stimuli that are better controlled for arousal and valence. This could be done, for example, through the use of more ecologically valid neutral stimuli such as “real” music evoking neither sadness nor happiness.
Supplementary Figures

Figure S1. Increased centrality in the right inferior frontal gyrus (IFG) during listening to happy vs. sad music. Shown is a cluster of significantly higher centrality values located in the right IFG (pars opercularis) extending into the right precentral gyrus. The pars opercularis of the IFG (BA 44) is implicated in the processing of music syntax (Maess et al., 2001), consistent with the results of Experiment 1A, indicating more focus on the musical structure during happy (compared with sad) music (Fig. 1B).
Figure S2. Emotions evoked by sad, happy, and neutral stimuli during the fMRI experiment. Participants rated their emotional state on four scales: valence, arousal, sadness, and happiness. Scales ranged from 1 (“very unpleasant”, “very calm”, “not at all”) to 6 (“very pleasant”, “very aroused”, “very much so”). Results were corrected for multiple comparisons. (A) Valence ratings did not significantly differ between sad and happy music, but were significantly lower during neutral compared with sad and happy music. (B) Arousal ratings did not differ significantly between sad and happy music as well as between sad and neutral music, but were significantly lower during neutral compared with happy music. (C) Sadness ratings were significantly higher during sad compared with happy and neutral music, as well as during neutral compared with happy music. (D) Happiness ratings were significantly higher during happy compared with sad and neutral music, as well as during neutral compared with sad music.
music. (D) Happiness ratings were significantly higher during happy compared with sad and neutral music, but did not differ significantly between sad and neutral music. Error bars indicate 1 SEM. ** $P < .001$. 
Supplementary Tables

Table S1. Items Used in Experiment 1A

| Thought probe          | Question                                                                 |
|------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Mind-wandering**     |                                                                           |
| Mind-wandering         | Where was your attention just before the music stopped?                   |
| Meta-awareness         | How aware were you of where your attention was focused?                   |
| **Content of thought** |                                                                           |
| Open-ended format      | What were you thinking about just before the music stopped?               |
| Valence                | Was the content of your thoughts positive or negative?                     |
| Past                   | Were you thinking about something from the past?                          |
| Future                 | Were you thinking about something from the future?                        |
| Self-referentiality    | To what extent were these thoughts about yourself?                        |
| Familiar people        | Please indicate the extent to which your thoughts were about … people you know (e.g., family, friends, partner) |
| Unknown people         | … unknown people                                                         |
| Movements              | … body movements or dancing                                               |
| Bodily sensations      | … bodily sensations (e.g., feeling hot/cold/tired/hungry, smiling/frowning) |
| Musical structure      | … thinking about the music (e.g., its melody, beat, harmony)               |
| Evaluating the music   | … evaluating the music (e.g., I like it because..)                         |
| Experiment             | … thinking about the experiment                                           |
| **Form of thought**    |                                                                           |
| Visual imagery         | Did you think in images?                                                  |
| Inner language         | Did you think in words?                                                   |

Answers were given on scales from 1 (“not at all”) to 7 (“very much so”), except for mind-wandering (1 = “completely on the music” and 7 = “completely on something else”), meta-awareness (1 = “completely unaware” and 7 = “completely aware”), valence (1 = “very negative” and 7 = “very positive”), and the open-ended question.
**Table S2.** Percentages of Total Positive and Negative Emotion Words across Reports of Thoughts' Content during Sad and Happy Music

| Emotion words     | Positive | Negative |
|-------------------|----------|----------|
| Sad music         | 2.64%    | 2.77%    |
| Happy music       | 3.67%    | 0.82%    |

Reports of participants' thoughts were analyzed with the LIWC software, which provided percentage of word usage over the pre-chosen categories of positive and negative emotion words.
Table S3. Emotion Ratings (Answer Scales 1-7) during the Slow (Sad and Happy) and Fast (Sad and Happy) Music Pieces Used in Experiment 1B

| Emotion | Slow Tempo Mean (SD) | Paired t-test | Fast Tempo Mean (SD) | Paired t-test |
|---------|----------------------|--------------|----------------------|--------------|
|         | sad      | happy    | P-value | sad      | happy    | P-value |
| valence | 4.93 (1.26) | 4.93 (1.15) | 0.96    | 5.03 (1.07) | 5.00 (1.05) | 0.82    |
| arousal | 2.80 (1.12) | 4.39 (1.09) | < 0.001 | 3.25 (1.05) | 5.09 (1.06) | < 0.001 |
| sadness | 4.91 (1.27) | 2.04 (0.95) | < 0.001 | 4.40 (1.14) | 1.91 (0.94) | < 0.001 |
| happiness | 2.61 (1.15) | 4.91 (1.07) | < 0.001 | 3.13 (1.13) | 5.11 (1.05) | < 0.001 |
**Table S4.** Results of the ECM Contrasts *Sad > Happy* and *Happy > Sad*, Corrected for Multiple Comparisons (*P* < .05)

| anatomical location          | MNI coordinates | cluster size (mm³) | z-value: max (mean) |
|------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| *sad > happy*                |                 |                   |                    |
| vmPFC                        | -6 39 -8        | 1755              | 4.24 (3.22)        |
| r cingulate gyrus/vPFC       | 15 39 -2        | 810               | 4.04 (3.03)        |
| dmPFC                        | 0 45 31         | 864               | 3.70 (3.13)        |
| PCC                          | 0 -36 52        | 378               | 3.22 (3.00)        |
| PCC/PCu                      | 21 -51 16       | 3699              | 4.18 (3.12)        |
| l pIPL                       | -48 -69 43      | 864               | 3.31 (2.97)        |
| r pIPL                       | 54 -63 28       | 729               | 3.42 (3.01)        |
| *happy > sad*                |                 |                   |                    |
| r IFG (pars opercularis)     | 48 12 25        | 486               | 3.68 (3.21)        |

The outermost right column shows the maximal z-value within a cluster (with the mean z-value of all voxels within a cluster in parentheses). dmPFC = dorsomedial prefrontal cortex; IFG = inferior frontal gyrus; PCC = posterior cingulate cortex; PCu = precuneus; pIPL = posterior inferior parietal lobule; vmPFC = ventromedial prefrontal cortex; vPFC = ventral prefrontal cortex.
### Table S5. Music Stimuli from Experiment 1A

| Title                  | Artist/Composer               | Album                                           | Year  | Genre                      | Duration (m) |
|------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------|-----------------------------|--------------|
| **Sad pieces**         |                               |                                                 |       |                             |              |
| Song for Bob           | Nick Cave & Warren Ellis      | The Assassination of Jesse James by the Coward Robert Ford | 2007  | soundtrack                  | 2.14         |
| Darcy's Letter         | Dario Marianelli              | Pride & Prejudice                               | 2005  | soundtrack, classical       | 2.04         |
| Elo Hi                 | Goran Bregović                | Queen Margot                                     | 1994  | soundtrack                  | 1.20         |
| Xibalba                | Clint Mansell                 | The Fountain                                     | 2006  | soundtrack, contemporary classical | 1.48         |
| **Happy pieces**       |                               |                                                 |       |                             |              |
| Papaya                 | Stelvio Cipriani              | The Police Can't Move                            | 1975  | soundtrack                  | 2.29         |
| Grand Hotel Fox        | Nicola Piovani                | Life is Beautiful                                | 1997  | soundtrack                  | 1.54         |
| String Quartet in F major, Op. 77 No. 2 (Finale Vivace Assai) | Joseph Haydn | Haydn: String Quartets                           | 1994  | classical                   | 1.29         |
| What Players Are They  | Patrick Doyle                 | Hamlet                                           | 1996  | soundtrack, classical       | 1.34         |
### Table S6. Music Stimuli from Experiment 1B

| Title                      | Artist/Composer                          | Album                        | Year | Genre            | Duration (s) |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------|------|------------------|--------------|
| **Slow pieces**            |                                          |                              |      |                  |              |
| *Sad*                      |                                          |                              |      |                  |              |
| The Black Dog and The Scottish Play | Hilmar Örn Hilmarsson                  | Angels of the Universe       | 2001 | soundtrack        | 75           |
| Interlude                  | Dirk Reichardt, Stefan Hansen, Max Berghaus | Barefoot                    | 2005 | soundtrack        | 45           |
| The Threat of War          | Alexandre Desplat                        | The King's Speech            | 2010 | soundtrack, classical | 44           |
| **Happy**                  |                                          |                              |      |                  |              |
| What Players Are They      | Patrick Doyle                            | Hamlet                       | 1996 | soundtrack, classical | 75           |
| The Peter Pan Overture     | Jan Kaczmarek                            | Finding Neverland            | 2004 | soundtrack        | 45           |
| Papaya                     | Stelvio Cipriani                         | The Police Can't Move        | 1975 | soundtrack        | 44           |
| **Fast pieces**            |                                          |                              |      |                  |              |
| *Sad*                      |                                          |                              |      |                  |              |
| Monday                     | Ludovico Einaudi                         | Divenire                     | 2006 | classical        | 84           |
| Death is The Road to Awe   | Clint Mansell                            | The Fountain                 | 2006 | soundtrack, contemporary classical | 35           |
| The Spin                   | Greg Haines                              | Moments Eluding              | 2012 | contemporary classical, ambient | 45           |
| **Happy**                  |                                          |                              |      |                  |              |
| Wedding - Čoček            | Goran Bregović                           | Underground                  | 2000 | soundtrack, balkan | 84           |
| Two Hornpipes (Tortuga)    | Hans Zimmer                              | Pirates of the Caribbean: Dead Man's Chest | 2006 | soundtrack, classical | 35           |
| String Quartet in F major, Op. 77 No. 2 (Finale Vivace Assai) | Joseph Haydn                          | Haydn: String Quartets      | 1994 | classical        | 45           |
| Title                        | Artist/Composer                          | Album                | Year | Genre                          | Duration (s) |
|------------------------------|------------------------------------------|----------------------|------|--------------------------------|--------------|
| Sad pieces                   |                                          |                      |      |                                |              |
| Hamlet                       | Ennio Morricone                          | Hamlet               | 1990 | soundtrack, classical           | 90           |
| Sadness                      | Dirk Reichardt, Stefan Hansen, Max Berghaus | Barefoot             | 2005 | soundtrack                     | 78           |
| The Imperfect Enjoyment      | Michael Nyman                            | The Libertine        | 2005 | soundtrack, contemporary classical | 37           |
| Death is The Road to Awe     | Clint Mansell                            | The Fountain         | 2006 | soundtrack, contemporary classical | 35           |
| Happy pieces                 |                                          |                      |      |                                |              |
| À La Folie                   | Michael Nyman                            | 6 Days, 6 Nights     | 1994 | soundtrack, contemporary classical | 90           |
| Willoughby                   | Patrick Doyle                            | Sense and Sensibility| 1995 | soundtrack, classical           | 78           |
| Meryton Townhall             | Dario Marianelli                         | Pride & Prejudice Pirates of the Caribbean: Dead Man's Chest | 2005 | soundtrack, classical           | 37           |
| Two Hornpipes (Tortuga)      | Hans Zimmer                              |                      | 2006 | soundtrack, classical           | 35           |
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