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1. Introduction

The appearance of COVID-19 epidemic originated from novel SARS-CoV-2 coronavirus seriously threatens human society worldwide. The severity and high infectiousness of the COVID-19 provoke the panic of the public. Preventive measures have been implemented to restrain the...
coronavirus spread, including lockdown of cities, social space, and isolation (Oraby et al., 2021). The transmission of SARS-CoV-2 coronavirus heavily relies on airborne transmission (Buonanno et al., 2020), and the Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) including facemasks, gloves, and protective suits are widely utilized for frontline health workers to face the ongoing epidemic (Kahler and Bening, 2020). As to the public, facemasks has been made mandatory in most global regions. Daily utilization of disposable face mask (DFMs) has become a universal prerequisite for preventing coronavirus transmission (Liao et al., 2021). The massive consumption of DFMs result in a deficiency of facemasks all over the world, inducing major production conversely. The global demand for surgical masks was estimated to be 69 million per month before the appearance of COVID-19 (World Health Organization, 2020), and the worldwide utilization of facemasks sharply reached 129 billion per month in 2020 (Prata et al., 2020a). The ubiquitous discard of used DFMs in the environment exacerbates the plastic contamination. An online survey manifested that 9% investigative people abandoned DFMs carelessly (Fadare and Okoffo, 2020). It was reported that approximately 10 million facemasks would be discharged per month because of improper disposal of facemasks (Kwak and An, 2021). Approximate 1.56 billion facemasks were discharge into the marine area in 2020 (Sun et al., 2021). DFMs are mainly consisted of polymers such as polypropylene, polycrylonitrile, and polystyrene (Abbasi et al., 2020). DFMs undergo decomposition or degradation due to physical, chemical, or biochemical interactions in natural environment. The overproduction and massive utilization of DFMs seriously challenge the management of plastic wastes. Several papers have been reported on the plastic pollution caused by DFMs (Silva et al., 2021a, 2021b; Dharmaraj et al., 2021). COVID-19 pandemic aggravates global plastic pollution, and environmental sustainability request the straightened links between policy-industry-research associated with COVID-19 pandemic. Thousands of DFMs may be discarded into the environment daily, and contaminant release from DFMs results in the potential ecotoxicological threats, especially for the marine ecosystem. The ongoing epidemic exacerbates the environmental problem, posing serious threats to human health and ecosystems. It is suggested that researches on plastic mitigation and monitoring ecotoxicological impacts should be conducted to control plastic pollution derived from DFMs. With growing awareness of plastic pollution caused by DFMs, it is essential to deeply understand ecotoxicological threats and propose effectively management of DFMs.

In the past three years, DFMs gains sharply growing worldwide attention. Data from Web of Science core collection database manifest publications increase from 24 to 175 and citations significantly increase from 485 to 3481 from 2019 to 2021. Microplastics (MPs) as emerging pollutants have received global attention due to wide distribution, high abundance, enrichment of toxic species, and potential threats (Zhang et al., 2021a; Bian et al., 2022). Recently, MPs release from DFMs receives increasing attention and discussed by several studies. Aragaw (2020) reported a preliminary work about as a potential microplastic release from surgical face masks, and suggested that microplastic pollution raised environmental threats. Subsequently, some studies were implemented to qualitatively and quantitatively examine the microplastic release to environment (Chen et al., 2021; Shen et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2022a). Additionally, several reviews were reported on the topic. Shukla et al. (2022) reviewed MPs from facemasks as a potential risk after Covid-19 pandemic. Hu et al. (2022) summarized DFMs as new sources of microplastic pollution, and proposed the necessity of taking actions for prevention of microplastic problem derived from DFMs. Currently, rudimentary consensus is obtained about microplastic release from DFMs and potential environmental threat. The study is still in its infancy, and more studies are essential to deeply understand the problems caused by DFMs.

Although several reviews have been published, most of them are overview of current understanding. Considering research advances, it is imperative to survey recent studies and conduct a critical review to provide better understanding the problem. The objectives of this work are to (i) survey the environmental threats of DFMs; (ii) review the MPs release from DFMs; (iii) discuss source control of DFMs; (vi) introduce techniques for management of used DFMs. This review provides a systematical summary of the pollution, MPs release, and management of DFMs based on recent researches.

2. Environmental threats of DFMs

A great amount of plastic wastes is produced due to massive consumption and utilization of facemasks. The widely used facemasks by the public and healthcare workers include surgical masks, respirators, and cloth masks (Fig. S1). Surgical DFMs are mostly widely used because of low cost and high particle filtration efficiency. Surgical DFMs consist of an outer layer to repel fluids, (ii) a middle layer to prevent, and (iii) an inner layer. Discarding facemasks results in the release of plastic wastes in natural environment, posing environmental risks. Similar to general plastic wastes, DFMs may cause irreversible environment pollution owing to the accumulation in environment, and potential threats involve (i) alteration of carbon and nutrient cycles; (ii) ecotoxicity and biological threats to endangered species; and (iv) negative social influence (MacLeod et al., 2021). Moreover, the management of collected DFMs in disposal sites may consumes energy and discharge greenhouse gases. It was reported that the transportation of 10 tons of PPE wastes to the disposal site (10 km) led to global warming impact (2.76 kg CO2-eq) (Kumar et al., 2021). There exist distinct features of DFMs compared to general plastic wastes, (i) sooring consumption linked to the COVID-19 pandemic, (ii) potential risks of virus transmission, (iii) difficult management of post-consume DFMs, and (iv) unique structure and components. The adverse impacts of DFMs on environment are subsequently discussed.

2.1. The fate of DFMs in environment

DFMs are discarded or deposited in landfill or on land. Without proper management, DFMs can be released into environment, mostly land-river-ocean pathway. Spennemann (2022) reported that DFMs could be long-term source of MPs in recycled urban wastes. The lost or discarded DFMs on the lawn may suffer from fragmentation by lawn cutting equipment. The generated fragments are either wind-dispersed into urban environment, or collected by the leaf catcher of the equipment. The collected fragments will be disposed with the urban green wastes and then enter the municipal waste stream. MPs will be produced from the fragmented masks by mechanical, UV-induced, or weathering interactions.

The used DFMs are fragmented into small particles by physical or chemical interactions, and the terrestrial DFMs fragments are transported into the aquatic environment (Fig. 1) (Hu et al., 2022). DFMs in the aquatic environment cause greater problems compared to terrestrial environment. It was reported that plastics exposed in soil were easier to be decomposed than those in the aquatic environment (Chamas et al., 2020). The degradation of DFMs can be hampered in aquatic environment because of water submergence, and the accumulation of DFMs may pose serious threats to aquatic species.

DFMs contain chemical compounds such as dyes, plasticizers, and flame retardants. On the one hand, the organic components in DFMs tends to be leached and released into environment, especially when fragmentation into small debris occurs. One other hand, the small debris derived from DFMs enables accumulation of organic pollutants on the surface. Anastopoulos and Pushalidis (2021) found that DFMs acted as dye carriers by adsorption in the aquatic environment. Organophosphate esters (OPEs) are widely used as flame retardants or plasticizers, and gains increasing attention as emerging pollutant. OPEs in DFMs reached 28 µg/mask, and among DFMs KN95 masks exhibited maximum OPE level (Fernández-Arribas et al., 2021). Microplastics have been
proved as potential vehicle for accumulation and migration of organic contaminants (Fu et al., 2021). Plastic debris from DFMs may carry organic pollutants in environments, and this requires extensive studies.

2.2. The threats of DFMs to aquatic species

Plastic fragments from DFMs may pose threats to biodiversity. Small plastics can be ingested by aquatic organisms and induce entangling digestive system and physical harm such as internal blockages (Debroy et al., 2021). Some DFMs are colored with dyes, and the dye compounds are water-soluble and can be leached. The chromophoric dyes compete light with aquatic plants, hindering the photosynthesis and potentially destroying the ecosystem. Marine debris especially plastic litters ingested by marine megafauna such as seabirds, mammals, turtles, and fish are summarized, and 914 species are affected through entanglement while 701 species affected by ingestion (Kühn and Van Franeker, 2020). DFMs or their fragments can be ingested by marine megafauna, and the elastic cords of DFMs may entangle marine faunas. Plastic remnants derived from DFMs were discovered in the guts of sharks (Pullangott et al., 2021). Neto et al. (2021) reported the ingestion of DFMs and potentially induced death of a penguin. The ingestion of MPs released from DFMs significantly declined the fecundity of copepods (Sun et al., 2021).

2.3. The threats of DFMs to terrestrial species

Commonly, DFMs are discarded haphazardly or disposed as plastic wastes. The used DFMs as potential vector for SARS-CoV-2 virus should be strictly managed under the COVID-19 pandemic. However, the haphazard abandoning DFMs in environment has been found in many countries such as Canada (Prata et al., 2020b), Peruvian (Torres and De-la-Torre, 2021), Thailand (Tesfaldet et al., 2022), China (Shen et al., 2021), Portugal (Prata et al., 2021), Turkey (Akarsu et al., 2021), Australia (Spennemann, 2021), Ethiopia and France (Aragaw, 2020). The abandoned DFMs in the terrestrial system may block the urban wastewater system and influence the aeration and water percolation of agricultural soils (Silva et al., 2021a). Discarded DFMs in the soil can threat the fauna through entanglement or mistaken for food, and a bird tangled littered DFMs and died in Columbia was reported (Selvaranjan et al., 2021). In addition, small fragments from DFMs in soil can change the bulk density, soil structure, and water holding capacity, and negatively influence the activity of soil microbial and the cycle of plant nutrients (Zhou et al., 2020; Du et al., 2021). The accumulation and translocation of small plastic particles in plant tissues have been reported, which influence plant growth and agricultural productivity (Ullah et al., 2021). The impact of plastic fragments from DFMs has not been reported.

3. MPs released from DFMs

3.1. Extraction and characterizations of MPs

Recently, increasing attention was paid on MPs release from DFMs. Several researches were conducted to reveal MPs release in aquatic environment. The analysis of MPs from DFMs can be shown in Fig. 2. Generally, extraction is performed to collect of MPs from DFMs, including impregnation, stirring/shaking, filtration, and drying steps. The target DFMs from different sources (types, brands, models, etc.) are pretreated to remove elastic ear loops and nose bridges (Wang et al., 2021a; Sullivan et al., 2021). The whole facemasks or cut pieces are used in extraction experiments. Some studies investigated MPs release from different layers of facemasks (Ma et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2021a). After impregnation facemasks into water, stirring or shaking is conducted to induce MPs release. Then, the water containing MPs is subjected to filtration to collect released MPs. After drying at room temperature or low temperature, the quantity, color, and morphology of collected MPs are analyzed. Details for MPs extraction from DFMs are listed in Table 1.

After extraction, characterizations are conducted to identify MPs. Various techniques are used to identify the MPs released from DFMs, such as optical microscope, scanning electron microscope (SEM), atomic force microscope (AFM), Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), and Raman spectroscopy (Fig. 3). Optical microscope, SEM, and AFM are mainly employed to reveal the morphology of MPs. Optical microscope can be easily used to examine the size, shape, color, and quantity of MPs, but it fails to observe small MPs especially at nano scale. SEM and AFM can clear observe MPs at micron to nano scale, and SEM enables identification of MPs composition associated with energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (Wu et al., 2022) while AFM is able to analysis surface roughness (Wang et al., 2021a). Quantitative identification is necessary to examine the release behavior of MPs from DFMs,
layers were consisted of polypropylene (Wang et al., 2021a; Sun et al., 2021) for MPs identification. FTIR spectra of DFMs suggested that all three mine the particle size distribution of released MPs (Wang et al., 2021a).

An in-situ scattering and transmissometry analyzer was reported to determine the particle size distribution of released MPs (Ma et al., 2021; Liao et al., 2021; Shen et al., 2021). A laser scope can be analyzed using specific software for quantitative identification (Ma et al., 2021; Chen et al., 2021). Raman spectroscopy is an advantage for MPs extractions. Specific conditions for MPs extractions.

Table 1 Specific conditions for MPs extractions.

| Facemasks                  | Impregnation                        | Shaking              | Filtration                                      | Drying                                      | MPs release                       | References                  |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Surgical or N95 face mask | A whole facemask, 100 mL Milli-Q water in glass bottle | Shaking rigorously for 3 min | A total of 1000 mL leachate per facemask | 100 μL leachate dropped onto silicon wafer and dried at room temperature | Over one billion of MPs ranging from 5 nm to 600 μm | Ma et al. (2021) |
| Surgical, KF-AD, and KF94 facemasks | A whole facemask, 200 mL Milli-Q water in glass flask | 150 rpm for 24, 48, and 72 h | Whatman nitrocellulose filter (pore size 0.45 μm), vacuum filtration | Drying at room temperature for 24 h. | Over 47 microfibers per mask per day | Dissanayake et al. (2021) |
| Ecoparks disposable masks | Mask strips (1.5 × 14 cm), 50 mL deionized water in absence and presence of 20 sand | Shaking at 300 rpm for 24 h | Without filtration | / | Over 1.5 million MPs per weathered mask | Wang et al. (2021a) |
| Surgical masks            | A whole facemask, 1 L artificial seawater in glass bottle | Stirring at 4000 rpm for 24 h | Sieving through 500 μm mesh and vacuum filtration through a Whatman nitrocellulose filter (pore size 0.45 μm) | Drying for 24 h | 173,000 microfibers per surgical mask per day | Salii et al. (2021) |
| Medical surgical facemasks, disposal medical facemasks, normal disposal facemasks and N95 facemasks | A whole facemask, 200 mL deionized water in glass flask | Shaking at 120 rpm for 24 h | Filtration through a Millipore mixed cellulose esters membrane filter (pore size 0.8 μm) | Drying at room temperature | MPs 183.00 ± 78.42 pieces per new mask, 1246.62 ± 403.50 pieces per used mask | Chen et al. (2021) |
| Surgical masks            | A whole facemask, 250 mL of artificial seawater in glass bottle | Shaking at 200 rpm for 9 days | Vacuum filtration through a membrane filter (pore size 0.45 μm) | Drying at room temperature | Estimated 396 billion MPs per day. | Sun et al. (2021) |
| Common masks, surgical masks, and face filtering piece masks | Facemask (1 × 1 cm), 15 mL deionized water or 6 g sand with 10 mL water in glass tubes | Rotating at 60 rpm for 240 h | Filtration through a 1 μm glass fiber filtrate | Oven-drying at 60 ºC for 3 h | MPs 272 ± 12.49 items/cm² mask after sediment abrasion | Wu et al. (2022) |
| Surgical facemasks        | Cut facemask, 500 mL of Milli-Q water in a glass beaker | Kitchen chopper with a rotating blender blade | / | / | MPs thousands of microfibers and up to 108 submicrometric particles per facemask | Morgana et al. (2021) |
| N95 masks, medical surgical masks, and normal medical masks | A whole mask in 200 mL deionized water in conical flask | Shaking at 220 rpm for 24 h | Filtration with a 0.45 μm cellulose ester membrane | Drying at room temperature | MPs: 801–2667 particles/(piece-d) for N95 mask, 1136–2343 particles/(piece-d) for medical surgical mask, and 1034–2547 particles/(piece-d) for normal medical mask | Liao et al., 2021 |
| Surgical masks            | A whole mask, 3 L of ultrapure water in a glass beaker | Stirring at 120 rpm for 24 h | Vacuum filtration through nitrocellulose membrane (pore size 0.45 μm) | Drying at 40 ºC | MPs 360 items per mask in the static water | Shen et al. (2021) |
| Disposable face masks     | 10 facemasks, 1.5 L deionized water | Gentle stirring for 4 h | Vacuum filtration through a 0.1 μm Al2O3 membrane filter | Drying for 2 h at 50 ºC | / | Sullivan et al. (2021) |

but it is difficult for visual identification. Images obtained from microscope can be analyzed using specific software for quantitative identification (Ma et al., 2021; Liao et al., 2021; Shen et al., 2021). A laser in-situ scattering and transmissometry analyzer was reported to determine the particle size distribution of released MPs (Wang et al., 2021a). FTIR and Raman are commonly used to identify the polymer type of MPs (Ma et al., 2021; Chen et al., 2021). Raman spectroscopy is an advantage for MPs identification. FTIR spectra of DFMs suggested that all three layers were consisted of polypropylene (Wang et al., 2021a; Sun et al., 2021). Fadare and Okoffo (2020) suggested the inner layer to be PE and the outer layer to be PP determined by FTIR spectra. The existence of polystyrene in some facemasks was identified by FTIR (Dissanayake et al., 2021), while polyethylene terephthalate in DFMs determined by Raman spectrum (Chen et al., 2021). The difference in polymer composition of DFMs can be attributed to the sources, types, and determined position of facemasks.
3.2. The release behavior of MPs

Currently, most researchers studied MPs release from DFMs in aquatic environment. Extraction is commonly conducted to examine the release of MPs from DFMs. MPs releases from different types of face-masks are studied employing diverse facemasks. MPs released from different DFMs (KF-AD, KF94, surgical facemasks) were reported by Dissanayake et al. (2021). The surgical and KF94 facemasks created the maximum quantity of microfibers. Each facemask released more than 47 fibers per day under experimental conditions, and the released MPs fibers significantly increased with increasing time. Microfibers released from DFMs were 50.33 ± 18.50 items/mask, remarkably higher than that from KN95 respirator (31.33 ± 0.57 items/mask) (Wang et al., 2022a). Small polymeric fibers, particles, siliceous fragments, and leachable chemicals were determined from all DFMs (Sullivan et al., 2021). Heavy metals and organic species derived from plastic additives and contaminants, such as polyamide-66, surfactants, dyes, and polyethylene glycol were identified. Liang et al. (2022) found that the quantities of MPs released from N95, surgical, and normal facemasks were 801–2667 particles/mask, 1136–2343 particles/mask, and 1034–2547 particles/mask, respectively (Fig. 3). The released microfibers from facemasks in water and sediment environments obeys the sequence of surgical, common, and FFP (Fig. 4B). The quantity of microfibers was up to 272 items/cm² after sediment abrasion. The length of released microfibers from surgical facemask changed from 47.78 μm to 3.93 mm with most microfibers to be 0.1–1 mm. More small fibers and increased roughness of microfibers were observed in sediment environment. The cracks and protrusions resulted from sediment abrasion may accelerate facemask decomposition and the release of inherent chemicals.

Generally, DFMs consist of three layers with different function, structure, and chemical composition, and MPs release from different layers can be dissimilar. The quantification and characterization of MPs from DFMs were studied, and DFMs released large quantities of similar MPs (Ma et al., 2021). Each face mask released over one billion of MPs. The particles were irregularly-shaped with size from 5 nm to 600 μm, and most of them were nanoscale. It was found that the middle layers released more MPs than the inner and outer layers of facemasks. Kokalj et al. (2022) found that MPs released from each layer of DFMs differed significantly in size and shape (fibers of 45.1 ± 21.5 μm for inner layer, fragments of 55.6 ± 28.5 μm for middle layer, and fibers of 42.0 ± 17.8 μm for outer layer).

To simulate MPs release in real situation, some measures are employed in experiments, such as simulated artificial seawater, shaking or stirring, weathering DFMs, and sediment environment. From a study of microfibers release from surgical DFMs in the simulated marine environment, the release quantity was above 173,000 items/day for facemasks exposed to UV irradiation for 180 h or subjected to strong agitation in simulated seawater (Saliu et al., 2021). The impact of environmental conditions on microfiber release from different DFMs was examined under simulated conditions (Wu et al., 2022). The released microfibers from facemasks in water and sediment environments obeyed the sequence of surgical, common, and FFP (Fig. 4B). The quantity of microfibers was up to 272 items/cm² after sediment abrasion. The length of released microfibers from surgical facemask changed from 47.78 μm to 3.93 mm with most microfibers to be 0.1–1 mm. More small fibers and increased roughness of microfibers were observed in sediment environment. The cracks and protrusions resulted from sediment abrasion may accelerate facemask decomposition and the release of inherent chemicals. The mechanical shear of DFMs was conducted using kitchen chopper with a rotating blender blade, and shear experiments were performed by changing time and energy density (Morgana et al., 2021). The exposure to different mechanical forces induced breaking and fragmenting DFMs into small plastic fragments. Each facemask released thousands of microfibers and up to 108 submicrometric particles in water even with slight fabric deterioration. A
The predominant release of MPs was nano-sized and readily taken in aquatic organisms. It was found that MPs release from DFMs was influenced by natural weathering (Wang et al., 2021a). The transformation of chemical composition and chain structure of DFMs occurred, while the mechanical strength after UV weathering decreased. The middle layer of masks was mostly sensitive to UV irradiation. The release of above 1.5 million MPs per mask was observed into the aquatic environment. The enhancement of MPs release (16 million particles per weathered mask) was revealed by physical abrasion resulted from sand, indicating that the shorelines as the major acceptor of abandon DFMs exacerbates the transformation of masks to MPs. Fig. 4C shows the effect of mechanical action, organic solvents, and natural weathering on MPs release from DFMs (Shen et al., 2021). Each facemask release 360 MPs in the static water, and it increased in water with increasing vibration rate. Organic solvents (detergent and alcohol) enhanced MPs release from facemasks. The release of microfibers from DFMs fragments was significantly improved due to large exposure area. After natural weathering for 60 days, the masks transformed into highly fragile debris. Several billions of MPs would be generated from a weathered facemask.

The release behavior of MPs from new and used DFMs is exhibited in Fig. 4D (Chen et al., 2021). MPs release from the used masks were 1246.62 items, significantly higher than 183.00 items for virgin face-masks. Most MPs released from DFMs were polypropylene fibers derived from the nonwoven fabrics. The abrasion during the utilization of DFMs accelerated MPs release. The used DFMs without proper disposal could be important source of MPs in the environment. The release quantity of MPs was 396 billion per day, and it was estimated that discarded DFMs in the whole year of 2020 produced over 1.37 trillion MPs discharging into coastal ocean environment (Fig. 4E) (Sun et al., 2021). It was evaluated over 1381 million microfibers per day were released in South Korea based on the assumption of one facemask for 70% of urban people one day (Dissanayake et al., 2021).

The dry and wet state emission of MPs from DFMs was extensively investigated (Rathinamoorthy and Balasaraswathi, 2022). Dry abrasion simulating the situation of handling, usage, or open land disposal induced the release of 14,032–177,602 fibers/mask. Exposure of DFMs in seawater produced more microfibers compared to freshwater exposure, and MPs released was lower than the dry state. Moreover, the natural weathering caused significant effects on MPs release at both dry and wet states. Bio-fragmentation of MPs derived from facemasks occurred in soil in the presence of earthworm (Kwak and An, 2021). DFMs in organic fraction of municipal solid waste negatively influenced high-solids anaerobic digestion, and the undegraded facemasks might cause MPs pollution and promote transmission of antibiotic resistance genes (de Albuquerque et al., 2021). Currently, most researches focus on MPs release in aquatic environment. Environments such as atmosphere, soil, and sediment are important parts of the ecosystem that is
susceptible to the negative impacts of waste DFM. There is less available data about MPs release from DFM in other environments. More efforts should be performed to explore MPs release from DFM in different environments such as atmosphere, soil, sediments. 

Current studies prove the release of MPs from DFM in aquatic environment. As displayed in Table 1, the results of MPs release from different studies are highly different. The difference in MPs release from DFM can be ascribed to several reasons. First, different DFM types (types, brands, models, etc.) are used for experiments, and the inherent variance of DFM results in diversity of MPs release. Second, different experimental procedures, such as mask cut, pretreatment or weathering, shaking or stirring, induce the change of results of MPs release. Third, experimental conditions and used devices or apparatus are diverse, causing experimental variance. The quantitative methods for MPs identification are the most important reason. No standard methods are developed for identification of MPs quantity, and techniques used in previous studies are tentative and cause significant difference in MPs release.

Currently, most studies focus on evaluation of the potential sources of MPs from DFM, as well as the negative impacts on environment. The study is still in its infancy. The reported data is different from different studies, and the used methods and devices for MPs identification are diverse. Nevertheless, numerous researches suggest that DFM are remarkable sources of MPs, negatively influencing ecosystem and environment (Aragaw, 2020). Therefore, the impacts caused by DFM should be emphasized with suggestions on the mitigation methods to counter these impacts. Researches on mitigation of MPs release from DFM are rarely reported. It has been proven that MPs release is influenced by intrinsic facemasks (types, sections, and brands), additional disposal (usage, destroy, and weathering), and environmental conditions (temperature, humidity, saline, etc.) (Jiang et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2021a; Ma et al., 2021). Based on current understanding, several practices are suggested: i) reducing the generation of waste DFM from sources, ii) hindering the migration of waste DFM in environment, iii) improvement of official policy and public awareness for disposal of waste DFM. Studies have conducted to develop potential techniques of MPs removal, such as adsorption (Abuwatfa et al., 2021), coagulation (Wang et al., 2022b), magnetic separation (Shi et al., 2022), and froth flotation (Jiang et al., 2022a, 2022b; Zhang et al., 2021b) There are still requirements of more researches on the mitigation methods toward MPs release from DFM.

3.3. Potential MPs threats

Discarded DFM undergo the fragmentation through physical or chemical interactions, inducing the generation of MPs. For example, DFM in water undergo the fragmentation and breakdown by means of aquatic corrosion and weathering. Studies have proved the release of MPs from DFM. MPs as emerging pollutant have been widely investigated, and their toxicity and adverse impact on environment are revealed and summarized (Shi et al., 2021; Ge et al., 2021). The inherent toxicity of MPs can threat ecosystems, and the carriers of hazardous pollutants make MPs more dangerous. The released MPs in environment will accumulate in ecosystems, and potentially end up in human body through food chain (Du et al., 2022). Besides ingestion, MPs derived from DFM can enter human body through inhalation. It was found that airborne microplastics could be inhaled and human lung tissue (Amato-Lourenço et al., 2021). Ma et al. (2021) detected MPs from DFM in the nasal mucus, and discovered the inhalation of MPs by mask wearers (Fig. S2). MPs exposure manifests toxicity such as oxidative stress, inflammatory lesions, metabolic disturbances, and neurotoxicity (Rahman et al., 2021). The bioaccumulation of MPs occurs in the food chain and enhances human exposure to MPs, potentially inducing detrimental environmental, social, and economic impacts.

There are few available data on the ecotoxicological impacts of MPs generated from DFM. MPs attached onto diatoms surface affected their photosynthesis ability, and ingested by marine organisms including rotifers, shrimps, copepods, groupers, and scallops after short-term exposure (Fig. S3), providing direct evidence of ingestion of released MPs from DFM by marine organisms. An acute study with planktonic crustacean revealed that the adsorption and ingestion of released MPs from DFM occurred, but not caused severe effects on the daphnids at relatively high concentrations (Jemec Kokalj et al., 2022). The impacts of MPs from DFM on terrestrial invertebrates was investigated considering the survival, reproduction, and immune factors of woodlice, mealworm, and enchytraeids (Kokalj et al., 2022). The survival of terrestrial invertebrates and reproduction of enchytraeids were not affected by the MPs. A transient immune response of woodlice and a change of energy-related biomarkers of mealworm larvae were observed caused by MPs from DFM. Further studies are imperative to quantify the impacts of MPs from DFM on ecosystem and human health, especially for long-term evaluation.

COVID-19 pandemic causes severe threats to human society. Face-masks are widely used as effective strategy to hinder COVID-19 spread. In the post era of COVID-19 pandemic, DFM as new sources of plastic pollution should be given concern. The special concerns of facemasks as emerging pollutants are highlighted by researchers (Aragaw, 2020; Dharmaraj et al., 2021; Mghili et al., 2022). Facemasks as emerging plastic pollution on beaches threatens the marine environment, and it is deduced that MPs pollution increases drastically in the Moroccan Mediterranean in the near future (Mghili et al., 2022). Based the survey of the extensive use of the face mask and how it affects human health, it was pointed out that COVID-19 pandemic could bring a new form of marine pollution as discarded PPE ended up in the ocean (Dharmaraj et al., 2021). The sharp increase in the use of DFM during the pandemic posed significant threats to the wildlife, terrestrial ecosystem, and marine ecosystem (Silva et al., 2021b; Shukla et al., 2022). The MPs generation in environment and health influence of DFM can be shown as Fig. 5 (Du et al., 2022). Microfiber pollution derived from DFM is a huge environmental issue, and how to prevent discarded DFM from entering the environment are an important issue all over the world. Appropriate regulations and measures should be devised to avoid any undesirable impacts derived from DFM.

3.4. Source control of waste DFM

Due to the potential threat to ecosystem and public health, it is imperative to decrease the utilization of DFM. Currently, DFM are imperative during the COVID-19 pandemic. Governmental regulation and public awareness should turn to the trade-off of epidemic control and environmental pollution, reducing the excessive utilization of DFM. Strategies, such as reusable facemasks, bio-degradable face-masks, and reusing DFM, are helpful for source control of DFM. Facemasks are potentially contaminated materials, and should be treated with special disposal implementation, such as putting used facemasks in plastic bags. The implementation should be conducted for all types of facemasks.

3.5. Bio-degradable facemasks

Biopolymers as green materials receive great interest because of eco-friendliness. It is essential to change towards biopolymers for PPE (Silva et al., 2021a). The working mechanism of facemasks involves electrostatic attraction or physical sieving. As to the former, filters are consisted of charged products for retaining particles with reverse charge. For the latter, it involves the interception, inertial impaction, and diffusion mechanisms (Tebyetekerwa et al., 2020). A fibrous mask filter using polybutylene succinate was fabricated (Choi et al., 2021). The microfiber and nanofiber mats were consolidated into a Janus membrane filter and coated by chitosan nanowhiskers (Fig. 6a and b). The average fiber diameter of micro and nanofiber mats was 2.25 and 0.51 μm (Fig. 6c and d). The thickness of microfiber and nanofiber mats increased with the
spinning duration (Fig. 6e). The removal efficiency of N2.5 was higher than that of M2.0 because of smaller pores. The as-prepared filter removed 98.3% PM2.5 that was comparable to the commercial N95 filter (Fig. 6f).

Wheat gluten biopolymer, a by-product of cereal industries, was electrospun into nanofiber membranes and prepared as the filter media of facemasks (Das et al., 2020). Chowdhury et al. (2021) developed an air-permeable mask using electrospun licorice roots. He’s group (2020) conducted electrospinning polylactic acid and 3D printing the material on top for fabricating biodegradable mask filter. The materials could filter 79% of air at MMAD 500–600 nm, which was larger than that of standard facemasks (55% at MMAD 700 nm). Polylactic acid was suggested as an appropriate substance for producing reusable respirator (Vanková et al., 2020). The microstructure of the 3D printed polylactic acid was examined after disinfection. Polylactic acid structures were not compromised apart from efficient elimination of various bacterial, fungus, and viruses.

Since polylactic acid is mainly generated using starch-rich crops, and mass production using polylactic acid poses high pressures on the agricultural production. It is a sustainable way to utilize bio-materials especially agricultural or industrial by-products to fabricate facemasks. Moreover, common bio-based polymers are generally expensive, notably surpassing conventional plastics (Shogren et al., 2019), while the market for bio-based facemasks remains dubious.

3.6. Reusing DFMs after decontamination

Reusing DFMs after decontamination is a strategy to diminish the utilization and discarding of DFMs. Efforts have been made to decontaminate and reuse DFMs to solve the facemask shortage and the produced environmental burden. Various methods such as ultraviolet germicidal irradiation, dry and moist heat treatment, vaporized hydrogen peroxide, and ethanol treatment have been developed for mask decontamination, and the main characteristics of these methods are summarized in Table S1 (Ju et al., 2021). Most decontamination methods are tested and proposed for reusing N95 masks.

Several decontamination methods were suggested because they could disinfect virus, retain the filtration efficiency, and maintain the physical structure of masks (Seresirikachorn et al., 2021). The effects of ultraviolet germicidal irradiation, ethanol treatment, and dry and moist heat on the particle filtration efficiency (PFE) of N95 masks was reported by Liao et al. (2020). Among all tested methods, moist heat at 85 °C and 30% relative humidity manifested the least effect on the PFE. Moist heat treatment up to 50 cycles caused minor change in the PFE, ultraviolet germicidal irradiation caused small degradation after 20 cycles, and ethanol treatment remarkable declined the PFE of filter fabrics. Ultraviolet germicidal irradiation, isopropanol treatment, and dry and moist heat for decontamination of N95 masks was compared (Ou et al., 2020). Ultraviolet germicidal irradiation maintained the PFE for 10 cycles, dry heat sustained the PFE, but isopropanol treatment was not recommended for mask decontamination due to significant decline of the PFE of electret filter.

The decontamination methods are imperative to meet several necessities: (i) effective decontamination of masks and inactivation of all pathogens; (ii) no deterioration of the masks, especially microscopic structure; (iii) no residual chemicals or by-products; (vi) low cost and wide accessibility of required equipment or resources; (v) easy operation and safety (Ju et al., 2021). Additionally, the scalability of the methods
should all be taken into consideration. The decontamination methods may compromise PPE and mask integrity, but no one-size-fits-all methods are applicable to different facemasks. The design of favorable procedures for different DFMs should be taken into consideration to ensure consistency and reliability in decontamination processes.

3.7. Reusable facemasks

DFMs or reusable facemasks are compulsory or voluntarily used by the public during the COVID-19 pandemic. Reusable facemasks are very accessible due to low price, but varying significantly in quality. The disparity in protection efficiency is the major concern of people for using reusable masks due to different production standard. Reusable masks are more porous and breathable but less protective than surgical masks (Konda et al., 2020). Reusable facemasks subjected to standardized production exhibits protection efficiency larger than 90% comparable to DFMs, and they should be (Prata et al., 2021). From environmental perspectives, reusable masks generate lower carbon footprint than surgical masks, and the life cycle effect of reusable masks account for only 5% of disposable masks (Ray et al., 2022). Reusable masks are recommended to be used in lower-risk situations. Moreover, antiviral materials capable of eliminating surface virus can be applied to improve the protection efficiency of reusable masks (Ji et al., 2020).

4. Management of waste DFMs

Even though some measures discussed above enable source control of DFMs, a great amount of DFMs are still consumed and discarded, causing potential threat to environment. Suitable management of used DFMs is imperative to decrease MPs release into environment. The used DFMs is inevitable to enter waste stream. Traditional landfill or incineration can be applied for management of PPE (Torkashvand et al., 2021). Additionally, plastics in DFMs may be recycled by mechanical recycling. A recycling method for plastic litters, potentially fitting PPE recycling, was proposed by Pietrelli et al. (2017). The process included collection, rinsing, and density separation from polyvinyl chloride and sand, and extrusion at 150–160 °C with the addition of plasticizers. Based on morphological, chemical, physical, and thermal analysis, the recycling route of mechanical recycle DFMs was studied by Battagazzore et al. (2020). Direct recycling of facemasks could be achieved through
injection molding or improving mechanical performance with additives from industrial wastes. Recently, some researches on conversion of DFMs into value-added products for specific applications are reported, offering some insights into management of waste DFMs. The conversion of the DFMs should consider the pre-processing due to the existence of hazardous bacteria.

4.1. Pyrolysis of DFMs

There are many technologies such as pyrolysis, gasification, hydrogen technologies, and chemolysis for converting plastic wastes into value-added substances. Pyrolysis is one of the common techniques for thermal conversion of plastic wastes. Pyrolysis is thermal decomposition of plastics at elevated temperature, producing gas (fuels), liquids (biofuel), and solids (carbon materials). Although research on conversion of plastic wastes into gaseous or liquid fuels are widely explored, studies on PPE plastics linked to COVID-19 epidemic are rarely reported. Owing to the massive generation of PPE wastes, especially DFMs, it is imperative for developing novel approaches to recycle the plastics (Zand and Heir, 2021). Because of high polypropylene content, the creation of biofuels from medical PPE through pyrolysis was a promising way (Jain et al., 2020). Pyrolysis of medical gloves and facemasks at 400 °C for 1 h was conducted, yielding ~75% crude oil and 10% char (Aragaw and Mekonnen, 2021).

A few researches related to pyrolysis of DFMs have been conducted. Pyrolysis of DFMs generated hydrocarbons such as CH₄, C₂H₄, and C₂H₆ without production of solid char (Park et al., 2021a). Catalytic pyrolysis of DFMs produced aromatics, and the yield and constituent of produced gases and oils were related to pyrolysis temperature and zeolite catalysts (Lee et al., 2021). Maximum oil yield (80.7 wt%) occurred at 550 °C in the non-catalytic pyrolysis process, and the temperature was catalytic pyrolysis temperature to produce benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene. The zeolite catalyst of HY and Hβeta induced 67% and 134% higher aromatics than HZSM-5 catalyst owing to large pores, high surface area and acid site density. The employment of catalysts changed the chemical structure and molecular weight (Park et al., 2021b). Catalytic pyrolysis improved the aromatic concentration of pyrolysis oil via decarboxylation, deoxygenation, and dehydration reactions. The thermochemical transformation COVID-19 masks into gaseous fuels was reported by Jung et al. (2021). Multi-step pyrolysis was able to induce C–H and C–C bonds scissions of DFMs and promoted the formation of syngas and C1-2 hydrocarbons. H₂ and CH₄ generation was enhanced by catalytic pyrolysis over Ni/SiO₂ catalyst owing to the capability for dehydrogenation. Additionally, CO₂-assisted pyrolysis produced more CO and benefited to thermo-chemical process. The thermochemical transformation of DFMs is an environmentally friendly approach to reduce DFMs discharge and produce value-added products. The valorization of COVID-19 masks was conducted through gasification over Ni-loaded ZSM-5 zeolite catalysts (Farooq et al., 2022). The 25% Ni was found as an optimal loading on ZSM-5 due to the highest H₂ selectivity (45.04%). Using steam as gasifying agent induced lower content of N-containing species in the gasification products. The Ni-loaded zeolite catalyst improved hydrogen production and lowered the formation of hazardous substances.

4.2. Conversion of DFMs into functional materials

Direct conversion of DFMs into functional materials mainly carbon-based materials is alternative strategy of management of DFMs. Because of unique fibrous structure and simple composition, discarded DFMs are good feedstocks for fabricating carbon materials for various applications (Cimenke et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2022c). A study reported the fabrication of microporous supercapacitor electrode employing the triple networks of DFMs (Jiao et al., 2019). A 3D hybrid was prepared by loading of ferroferric oxide and carbon nanotubes on facemask fabric and subsequent polymerization of polypyrrole. The supercapacitor electrode using the as-prepared hybrid showed high specific capacity (221.7 F/g at 50 mV/s) and long-life cycling stability (88.2% after 10,000 cycles). Hu and Lin (2021) explored the transformation of facemasks into cathode materials of supercapacitors. Collected facemasks were autoclaved at 110 °C with sulfuric acid and then subjected to alkaline activation at 750 °C for 2 h in a tubular furnace. The obtained material with large surface area of 2220 m²/g demonstrated excellent specific capacity (328.9 F/g), power density (300 W/kg), and energy density (11.2 W h/kg). Novel cathodes and anodes were fabricated using facemask-derived carbon (Zhu et al., 2021). Nickel oxide loaded carbon electrodes showed high specific capacitances and excellent rate capability. In addition, the assembled asymmetric supercapacitors manifested a high energy density (57 Wh/kg) with superior cycling stability (98.5% after 10,000 cycles). DFMs have been utilized as nitrogen-doping agents for carbon-based adsorbents through thermal carbonization of plant biomass using K₂CO₃ as activator, and effective adsorption of Cr(VI) was obtained due to large surface area and diversified surface groups (Bumajdad and Khan, 2021). In addition, Wang’s group reported synthesis of carbon-based catalysts derived from MPs for Penton-like degradation of organic pollutants in wastewater (Wang et al., 2021b; Sun et al., 2022), which can be considered for sustainable disposal of DFMs.

DFMs has great potential to be applied to the embankment construction of road and railway, backfill or reclamation construction. The feasibility of recycling the discarded DFMs was examined for road base and subbase applications (Saberian et al., 2021). The properties of concretes were remarkably improved such as tensile strength (12.2%), compressive strength (17.1%), and ultrasonic pulse velocity (4.1%) after adding 0.2% polypropylene fibers (Kilmartin-Lynch et al., 2021).

The potential of using facemask chips was evaluated as reinforcement in granular soil (Zhang et al., 2022). The addition of facemask chips increased shear strength, decreased shear induced volumetric dilation, and declined stiffness of granular soil. Also, it induced an improvement of energy absorption and minor change of the cumulative strain. The facemasks mixed in concretes possibly suffer thermal decomposition and the exposure of hazardous produced MPs my threat people health in the building employing the concretes (Khoob et al., 2021).

The unique structure and abundant carbon source make DFMs attractive alternative for fabricating carbon materials with large surface area for functional applications. Conversion of DFMs into functional carbon-based materials reduces MPs release and negative impacts of DFMs on environment. Thermal transformation of DFMs is still poorly understood, and more studies are required to develop green processes. Additionally, innovative methods are essential to convert DFMs into functional materials for various applications.

5. Conclusions

The COVID-19 pandemic has been continuously causing heavy damage to human around the world. DFMs are widely used for the control of the virus spreading. DFMs produced from non-degradable petrochemicals are hazardous medical wastes. The alarming rise in facemask usage causes a huge task for disposal this wastes. Recent studies prove the release of MPs from DFMs in aquatic environment, which will induce severe threats and pollution. The release behavior of MPs is still poorly understood due to limited extraction and identification methods, and more researches are necessary on MPs release from DFMs in different environment. Appropriate regulations and measures should be implemented to avoid any undesirable impacts derived from DFMs. Sources control of DFMs can be conducted through reusable facemasks, bio-degradable facemasks, and reusing DFMs. Pyrolysis and fabrication functional materials are sustainable management of used or discarded DFMs. If DFMs are not properly disposed, their impacts will be extended in the future and potentially cause deleterious pollution and threats to ecosystem and human. More extensive researches should be
carried out to obtain in-depth understanding the transformation of DFMs in environment and induced threats.
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