Determining the proper sowing time for the mixture of Hungarian vetch and triticale under continental climate conditions
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ABSTRACT: The research was conducted to determine forage yield and some quality characteristics of Hungarian vetch + triticale mixture, sowed in five different times under rainfed conditions of Central Anatolia, Turkey. The mixture was sowed in the second, third and fourth week of October, and the first and the second week of November in 2017 and 2018. Depending on the sowing times, plant height (PH) of Hungarian vetch and triticale was between 46.7 and 59.4 cm, and 85.9 and 93.4 cm, respectively. Green forage yield (GFY) was between 1764.2 and 2059.4 kg da−1, dry matter yield (DMY) was between 541.0 and 707.6 kg da−1, crude protein yield (CPY) was between 80.4 and 110.3 kg da−1, digestible dry matter yield (DDMY) was between 340.8 and 453.9 kg da−1, acid detergent fiber (ADF) ratio was between 31.8 and 33.7%, neutral detergent fiber (NDF) ratio was between 44.7 and 49.5%, total digestible nutrient (TDN) was between 57.9 and 60.4% and relative feed value (RFV) was between 118.6 and 133.8. Sowing time had a significant effect (P < 0.05) on PH of triticale, while it has a very significant effect (P < 0.01) on GFY, DMY, CPY, DDMY, NDF ratios and RFV. Delaying the sowing time caused a decrease in the GFY, DMY and quality of the mixture. Results revealed that the first week of October is the most appropriate sowing time to obtain high dry matter yield with high quality under continental climate conditions of the Central Anatolia.
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INTRODUCTION

Vetch species are naturally found in the vegetation of Turkey and are cultivated in almost all regions. However, weak stem structure of vetch species causes lying down and decreases the yield and quality of forage when support plants are not available (AKSOY & NURSOY, 2010). Therefore, the mixture of vetch with a cereal companion is recommended to help vetch plants to climb and solve lying down problem and prevent from rotting during winter.

Hungarian vetch, which is a cool-season legume forage crop, is a drought-resistant plant and can survive in very harsh winters without being damaged by frost. Triticale is a hybrid of wheat and rye, and an alternative cereal developed for marginal areas and resistant to drought and cold. Previous studies indicated that higher yield and forage quality can be obtained by cultivation of vetch with cereals compared to pure cultivation of vetch species (LITHOURGIDIS et al., 2011; SEYDOSOGLU et al., 2020). However,
the number of studies conducted in Central Anatolia is not sufficient to determine the appropriate sowing time of vetch and cereal mixtures. The sowing time along with the ecological conditions has a significant impact on growth environment not only during the first development period but also during the whole vegetation period (AKKAYA, 1994; YAKTUBAY & ANLARSAL, 1998). CACAN and KARABULUT (2018) determined the effect of different sowing times on yield and yield characteristics of barley, wheat and triticale species in a continental climate (Bingol province of Turkey) conditions. The plant height of triticale ranged from 76.0 to 82.8 cm, green forage yield was between 1725.6 and 4466.7 kg da⁻¹, dry matter yield was between 490.7 and 977.7 kg da⁻¹, crude protein ratio was between 10.3 and 14.2%, crude protein yield was between 50.5 and 139.1 kg da⁻¹, ADF ratio was 32.4-36.0%, NDF ratio was 54.2-60.6%, DDM ratio was between 60.9 and 63.6% and RFV was between 93.4 and 108.6. TURNA and ERTUS (2017) reported that plant height of the Altınova-2002 Hungarian vetch variety, which was cultivated at different sowing times, was 49.3-68.3 cm, green forage yield was 512.0-1798.3 kg da⁻¹, and dry matter yield was 199.3-593.5 kg da⁻¹. The performances of early sowed crops were better compared to the late sowing crops (TURNA & ERTUS, 2017; CACAN & KARABULUT, 2018). This study aimed to determine the suitable sowing time for the 50% Hungarian vetch + 50% triticale mixture (KIR, 2014) sowed under continental climate conditions of Central Anatolia, Turkey.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The research was carried out under continental climate conditions of Kirşehir province (1090 m altitude, 39°08'N and 34°06'D) during 2017-2018 and 2018-2019 the vegetation periods (Figure 1). Plant materials used in the study were Altınova-2002 Hungarian vetch (*Vicia pannonnica* Crantz) and Tatlıcak-97 triticale (*X.rheticosecale* Wittmack) varieties. Soil pH in the experimental field was slightly alkaline (7.96) and electrical conductivity was 0.74 dS m⁻¹, indicating no salinity. Organic matter content was low (1.09%), and lime content was very high (35.29%) (KARAMAN, 2012). Plant available phosphorus content was sufficient (9.96 kg da⁻¹) and the potassium content (240.0 kg da⁻¹) was very high (KARAMAN, 2012). Long-term mean total precipitation of Kırşehir province during the vegetation period (October-May) is 315.8 mm, and the average monthly temperature value is 6.9 °C (Table 1). The average temperature (9.1 °C) in the first vegetation period (2017-2018) was 1.0 °C higher than the average temperature (8.1 °C) of the second vegetation period (2018-2019). The temperature values obtained in both growing periods were higher than the long-term average values. The precipitation (365.1 mm) in the first year was above the long-term average.
average (315.8 mm), and the amount of precipitation in the second year (301.5 mm) was well below the long-term average (ANONYMOUS, 2020a) (Table 1). The average temperature and precipitation in the first year were higher than the second year of the study and long-term averages. In March, April and May of the first year, when the plants rapidly grown, total precipitation, was higher than the total precipitation occurred in the second year and long-term. The temperatures in March and April of the first year and in May of the second year were higher compared to the long-term averages (Table 1). The amount of seed used in pure sowing was 220 seeds m⁻² for Hungarian vetch and 500 seeds m⁻² for triticale, and the ratio of 50% Hungarian vetch (HV) + 50% Triticale (T) mixture have calculated based on this ratio (KIR, 2014; ONAL & EGRITAS, 2017). The sowing times in the first and second years of the study were 2nd, 3rd and 4th weeks of October, and the 1st and 2nd weeks of November. Seeds were sown manually with 20 cm row spacing. Each plot composed of 10 rows with 5 m long (ANONYMOUS, 2020b). The lay out of the experiment was randomized blocks with three replications. Fertilizer containing 4 kg da⁻¹ nitrogen (N) and 7 kg da⁻¹ phosphorus (P₂O₅) was applied before sowing (IPTAS, 1997). Since cereals become mature earlier, the harvest time was determined according to the cereals (KIR, 2014). Hungarian vetch was at the full flowering period when the triticale was at the flowering period, thus, the harvest was carried out in this period. One row from the edges and 50 cm from the beginning and end of the plots were considered as edge effect. The remaining area was harvested using a scythe. Harvested plants were weighed and green forage yields were calculated per decare. Five hundred grams of biomass harvested in each plot was dried at 60 °C until reaching a constant weight and dry matter yields were calculated (SLEUGH et al., 2000). The nitrogen content of the mixture was determined using Kjeldahl method and crude protein ratio was calculated by multiplying nitrogen content with the coefficient of 6.25 (AOAC, 2005). AD and NDF contents were determined in the ANKOM200 Fiber analyzer (ANONYMOUS, 2020c). TDN ratio was calculated using the following equation given by HORROCKS and VALENTINE (1999); TDN (%) = (-1.291 × ADF) + 101.35. DDM ratio was calculated by the equation introduced by SHEAFFER et al. (1995) using the ADF ratio. DDM ratio = 88.9 - (0.779 x ADF %). Digestible dry matter yield was calculated by multiplying the digestible dry matter ratio with dry matter yield. RFV was calculated using the following equation (ROHWEDER et al., 1978); DMI= (120/NDF%) ; RFV = Dry matter intake (DMI) X Digestible dry matter (DDM) /1.29. The data obtained were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using the MSTAT-C statistical package program. When ANOVA test indicated significant differences between the treatments, the mean values were compared with the LSD test at 5% level of significance. (PETERSEN, 1994).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Plant height

The effect of sowing times on plant height of Hungarian vetch plants was not statistically significant in the first year, while it was statistically significant (P < 0.05) in the second year. Results of two-year combined data indicated that the effect of sowing time on plant height was statistically significant (P < 0.01) (Table 2). The effect of sowing

| Months | Temperature (°C) | Precipitation (mm) |
|--------|-----------------|--------------------|
| 2017-18 | 2018-19 | Long-term average | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | Long-term average |
| October | 12.4 | 14.2 | 12.8 | 20.6 | 45.4 | 35.1 |
| November | 6.3 | 8.1 | 6.4 | 56.0 | 21.1 | 37.2 |
| December | 4.4 | 3.3 | 2.1 | 35.6 | 101.1 | 43.8 |
| January | 2.1 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 74.3 | 42.2 | 42.7 |
| February | 6.5 | 3.9 | 1.5 | 17.0 | 36.3 | 32.2 |
| March | 9.7 | 6.5 | 5.6 | 87.7 | 10.2 | 35.7 |
| April | 14.0 | 9.7 | 10.8 | 4.4 | 28.7 | 48.8 |
| May | 17.3 | 18.1 | 15.9 | 69.5 | 16.5 | 40.3 |
| Av./Total | 9.1 | 8.1 | 6.9 | 365.1 | 301.5 | 315.8 |
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Table 2 - Some yield and quality characteristics of different sowing times.

| Treatments         | 2017-2018 Vetch Plant Height (cm) | 2018-2019 Vetch Plant Height (cm) | Averages | 2017-2018 Triticale Plant Height (cm) | 2018-2019 Triticale Plant Height (cm) | Averages |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------|
| 1. Sowing Time     | 66.9                             | 51.8                              | a        | 59.4                                 | a                                    | **       |
| 2. Sowing Time     | 61.7                             | 47.9                              | a        | 54.8                                 | ab                                   | 92.0     | 86.3 | 89.1 | ab |
| 3. Sowing Time     | 58.1                             | 47.4                              | a        | 52.8                                 | b                                    | 94.0     | 88.8 | 91.4 | a  |
| 4. Sowing Time     | 57.9                             | 43.7                              | ab       | 50.8                                 | bc                                   | 91.5     | 88.2 | 89.9 | ab |
| 5. Sowing Time     | 56.7                             | 36.6                              | b        | 46.7                                 | c                                    | 86.3     | 85.6 | 85.9 | b  |
| Averages           | 60.3                             | a                                 | 45.5     | b                                    | 52.9                                 | 92.1     | 87.7 | 89.9 |    |
| CV                 | 8.70%                            | 9.64%                             | 9.14%    | 5.38%                                | 3.04%                                 | 4.43%    |
| LDS                | 8.260                            | 5.915                             |          |                                      |                                      |          |

**Qualitative Data**

* Differences between the averages followed by the same letter are not significant at P < 0.01 level.
** Differences between the averages followed by the same letter are not significant at P < 0.05 level.

---

Some yield and quality characteristics of different sowing times.

- **Crude Protein Yield (kg da⁻¹)**
  - **1. Sowing Time**: 2115.5 a**<sup>*</sup>, 2003.3 a**, 2059.4 a**, 727.3 a**, 687.9 a**, 707.6 a**
  - **2. Sowing Time**: 1986.1 a, 2002.0 a, 661.2 b, 655.2 a, 658.2 b
  - **3. Sowing Time**: 1788.2 b, 1864.6 b, 604.0 bc, 565.8 b, 584.9 c
  - **4. Sowing Time**: 1719.0 b, 1759.5 c, 557.3 c, 538.6 b, 548.0 c
  - **5. Sowing Time**: 1724.5 b, 1746.2 c, 546.3 c, 535.7 b, 541.0 c
  - **Averages**: 1928.5, 1844.2, 1886.3, 619.2, 596.6, 607.9
- **Dry Matter Yield (kg da⁻¹)**
  - **Averages**: 4.02%, 4.44%, 4.22%, 5.26%, 6.76%, 6.03%
- **LDS**: 145.9, 154.1, 97.54, 61.34, 75.95, 44.87

- **Crude Protein Yield (kg da⁻¹)**
  - **Averages**: 114.3 a**, 106.3 a**, 110.3 a**, 465.9 a**, 441.8 a**, 453.9 a**
- **LDS**: 9.273, 12.75, 7.245, 42.51, 53.30, 31.34

- **Acid Detergent Fiber (%)**
  - **Averages**: 31.9, 31.6, 31.8, 44.7 b', 44.7 b', 44.7 d''
- **LDS**: 2.845, 2.704, 1.804

- **Total Digestible Nutrient (%)**
  - **Averages**: 60.2, 60.5, 60.4, 133.5 a', 134.0 a', 133.8 a'
- **LDS**: 3.36%, 5.11%, 4.31%, 3.88%, 5.49%, 4.75%

---

**Notes**

- **Crude Protein Yield (kg da⁻¹)**: Differences between the averages followed by the same letter are not significant at P < 0.01 level.
- **Dry Matter Yield (kg da⁻¹)**: Differences between the averages followed by the same letter are not significant at P < 0.05 level.
- **Acid Detergent Fiber (%)**: Differences between the averages followed by the same letter are not significant at P < 0.05 level.
time on plant height of triticale was not significant in the first and second years, while the sowing time caused a significant difference (P < 0.05) in plant height according to two-year combined average plant height values (Table 2). The year had a significant effect (P < 0.05) only on the plant height of Hungarian vetch. The plant height of Hungarian vetch in the two-year data varied between 46.7 and 59.4 cm and the triticale height was between 85.9 and 93.4 cm. The results indicated that prolongation of vegetation period positively affected the plant height (Table 2). The highest plant height for Hungarian vetch and triticale was recorded in the first sowing time. Similarly, TEMEL and TAN (2002) stated that the plant height was shortened in late sowing. CACAN and KARABULUT (2018) reported that plant height of triticale varied between 76.0 and 82.8 cm in different sowing times. CACAN and KOKTEN (2017) who determined the appropriate sowing times of vetch varieties, reported that the plant height of Gorkem and Kralkızı vetch varieties was between 18.9 and 22.7 cm, and 15.2 and 19.6 cm, respectively. Both researchers recorded the highest plant heights in the first sowing times. The differences in plant heights can be attributed to the differences in ecological conditions of the experimental fields, and species and varieties used in the experiments.

Green forage and dry matter yields

The two-year combined data indicated that the effect of sowing times on green forage and dry matter yields was statistically very significant (P < 0.01). The highest green forage yield (2059.4 kg da⁻¹) and dry matter yield (707.6 kg da⁻¹) were obtained at the first sowing time (Table 2). The green forage yield was high in the first and second sowing times, while the highest dry matter yield was obtained in the first sowing time (Table 2). Plants in winter sowing may have better root system and a longer vegetation period. Development of root system in the early sowing time causes better utilization of winter and spring rainfall. Sowing time significantly affects the green forage and dry matter yields by changing the yield factors such as the number of plants per unit area, the number of leaves, and plant height (GEREN & ALAN, 2012). Therefore, higher green forage and dry matter yield in the early planting may be attributed to the higher number of days between the first sowing time and the harvest compared to the other sowing times. Results on high forage yield in early planting are in accordance with the findings reported by CACAN and KARABULUT (2018); COSKUN and CACAN (2019), who carried out studies with different species and varieties in similar ecologies.

Crude protein and digestible dry matter yield

The effects of sowing time on CP yield in both years and two-year averages were statistically significant (P < 0.01). Sowing time had a significant impact on the DDMY in the first (P < 0.01), and second years (P < 0.05) of the study. Similarly, the effect was significant (P < 0.01) for two-year combined data (Table 2). The highest CPY (110.3 kg da⁻¹) and DDMY (453.9 kg da⁻¹) were obtained at the first sowing time. The fourth and fifth sowing times placed in the low CPY and DDMY statistical groups. TEMEL and TAN (2002) stated that CP yield was affected by the sowing time, and late sowing caused a decrease in the CP yield. Negative correlation was reported between forage yield and protein ratio due to dilution of nitrogen in the increased volume of dry matter (Garcia del Moral et al. 1995). The CP and DDM yields are calculated based on DMY; therefore, the increase in CP and DDM yields were in agreement with the increase in DMY. TEMEL and TAN (2002) stated that DMY significantly decreased with delaying sowing time. The CP and DDM yields significantly decreased from the first sowing to the last sowing time. BINGOL et al. (2007) stated that the CP yields of 70% Hungarian vetch + 30% barley mixture in three different sowing times under Eastern Anatolian ecological conditions were 82.6, 68.5 and 33.2 kg da⁻¹, respectively, and DDM yields were 617.5, 429.5 and 258.5 kg da⁻¹, respectively. The CP yield of common vetch under Erzurum conditions in different sowing times ranged from 35.6 and 94.8 kg da⁻¹ (TEMEL & TAN, 2002). Similarities and differences between the findings of researchers may be attributed to the ecological conditions of the study areas and the species and varieties used in the experiments.

ADF and NDF ratios

Results of both years and two-year combined data indicated that the effect of sowing time on ADF ratio was not statistically significant. However, the effect of sowing time on NDF ratio was statistically significant (P < 0.05) in the first and second years of the study. The effect of sowing time was very significant (P < 0.01) in two-year combined data (Table 2). The ADF ratio in two-year data varied between 31.8 and 33.7%, and NDF ratio varied between 44.7 and 49.5%. The lowest NDF ratio was obtained in the first sowing time (44.7%), while, the highest NDF ratio was obtained in the fourth (49.5%) and fifth (48.6%) sowing times (Table 2). The NDF ratio had an increasing trend from the first sowing to the fifth sowing time. The ADF and NDF are the compounds that make up the
plant cell wall. Therefore, high ADF and NDF ratios in the late sowing time may be associated to rapid growth in the short vegetation period and increase in ADF and NDF ratios. High ratios of ADF and NDF reduced the digestion of feed, thus, low ADF and NDF ratios are desired for better digestion of feed (GUNEY et al., 2016). The results revealed that differences in sowing time caused a significant change in forage quality as well as in forage yield. Studies conducted to determine the most suitable sowing times using different species and varieties showed that ADF and NDF ratios of triticale ranged from 32.4 to 36.0%, and from 54.2 to 60.6%, respectively (CACAN & KARABULUT, 2018). The NDF ratio of hairy vetch was between 43.2-52.1% (COSKUN & CACAN, 2019); the NDF ratio of the Gorkem vetch variety was between 31.6-39.6%, and the NDF ratio of Kralkızı vetch variety was between 26.5-34.8% (CACAN & KOKTEN, 2017). The differences in the ADF and NDF ratios reported can be explained by the differences in species and varieties used and ecologies of study areas.

Total digestible nutrient and relative feed value

The effect of sowing time on TDN was not statistically different. The effect of sowing times on RFV was statistically significant (P < 0.05) in the first year, while it was not statistically significant in the second year. Results of two-year combined data indicated that the effect was statistically very significant (P < 0.01) (Table 2). Mean TDN in two-year data varied between 57.9-60.4% and RFV varied between 118.6 and 133.6 (Table 2). The differences in sowing time affected the RFV, and late sowing caused a decrease in the RFV. The TDN is composed of digestible cellulose and represents animal performance. The RFV reveals significant information on feeding factors such as feed consumption and digestibility, and is important to compare forage mixtures (GUNEY et al., 2016). The RFV is calculated from ADF and NDF values; thus, ADF and NDF values negatively correlate with the RFV value (LINN & MARTIN, 1989; CINAR et al., 2019). Accordingly, low ADF ratio in the first sowing caused to obtain a high RFV. The RFV of triticale recorded at four different sowing times was between 93.4 and 108.6 (CACAN & KARABULUT, 2018), and the RFV of mixtures of hairy vetch and different cereal species was between 104.6 and 129.8 (COSKUN & CACAN, 2019). The differences in RFV values reported are related to the use of different species and the higher RFV values of legumes compared to grains.

CONCLUSION

The yield and some quality characteristics of the winter-grown 50% Hungary vetch + 50% triticale mixture in different sowing times under ecological conditions of Central Anatolia, Kırşehir province, was significantly different. Early sowing had a positive effect on forage yield and quality, while delaying the sowing time negatively affected the yield and quality. Therefore, 50% Hungary vetch + 50% triticale mixture should be sowed in the first week of October under continental climate conditions of Central Anatolia and similar ecologies.
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