Hot dust in two hard Chandra X-ray sources
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ABSTRACT

The two brightest hard X-ray sources discovered serendipitously by Chandra in the field of the lensing cluster A2390 are found to have ISOCAM counterparts at 6.7 and 15 μm. We use this fact, together with their non-detection by SCUBA at 850 μm, as the basis for dusty radiative transfer modelling of their infrared spectral energy distributions. For the best-fitting models, we find that the dust that reproceses the optical–ultraviolet light in these Compton-thin active galactic nuclei (AGN) is heated to near its sublimation temperature (above 1000 K), with an inner radius within a parsec of the nucleus. Some warm-dust models with inner temperatures of 200 K are also formally acceptable. These findings strongly support the obscured AGN hypothesis for the new hard X-ray Chandra sources, which lack both strong emission lines and 850-μm SCUBA detections.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The Chandra X-ray observatory has recently resolved the bulk of the 2–7-keV hard X-ray background (HXB) into point sources (Brandt et al. 2000; Mushotzky et al. 2000). Much effort is now focused on determining the physical nature of these new sources and their relation to existing classes of active galactic nuclei (AGN). Mushotzky et al. (2000) found that roughly a third of their sources were blue broad-line (type I) AGN, another third were identified with apparently normal galaxies, while the final third had an extremely faint or no optical counterpart (I > 23 mag) (see also Fiore et al. 2000). Crawford et al. (2000) confirmed that sources in the last group are easily detected in the near-infrared, with featureless spectra suggesting that the AGN is heavily obscured and with colours consistent with reddened elliptical galaxies at z = 1–2. Further insight has come from cross-correlating Chandra source lists with those from 850-μm Submillimetre Common-User Bolometric Array (SCUBA) (Holland et al. 1999) observations of the same fields: for the lensing clusters A2390 and A1835, Fabian et al. (2000; hereafter F00) found only one source common to both data sets, implying that any AGN in the SCUBA sources must either contribute little to the submillimetre power or be Compton-thick with X-ray scattering fractions below 1 per cent; this result was confirmed by Hornschemeier et al. (2000), who detected none of the 10 submillimetre sources in and around the Hubble Deep Field North (HDFN) in a 166-ks Chandra exposure.

The two brightest X-ray sources in the A2390 field, CXOUJ215334.0+174240 and CXOUJ215333.2+174209 (hereafter sources A and B, respectively), have Infrared Space Observatory CAM (ISOCAM) (Cesarhy et al. 1996) counterparts at 6.7 and 15 μm in the literature (Lémonon et al. 1998; Altieri et al. 1999). Together with their non-detection at 850 μm by SCUBA, this has implications for the location and properties of the dust that may be associated with the X-ray obscuration. In this paper we use the dust radiative transfer code DUSTY (Ivezić, Nenkova & Elitzur 1999) to model the infrared spectral energy distributions (SEDs) of these two sources as reprocessed optical–ultraviolet emission from an AGN. The faint optical light from these sources (V ~ 25) is assumed to be from the host galaxy and the HYPERZ code (Bolzonella, Pelló & Miralles 2000) is used to estimate photometric redshifts.

2 SED MODELLING

For the primary optical–ultraviolet AGN continuum input to the dusty models, we follow Granato, Danese & Franceschini (1996) and use a broken power law with α = −0.5 for log ν < 15.4, α = −1.0 for 15.4 ≤ log ν < 16 and α = −2.2 for log ν ≥ 16 (Lν ∝ να). The central source is surrounded by a spherical dust cloud specified by its optical depth τ at 0.3 μm (Av = 0.57τ, and for a Galactic dust:gas ratio, NH = 1.1 × 1021τ cm−2), the ratio R = rout/rim of the radii of the outer and inner edges of the distribution (between which we take the density to be constant), and the dust temperature T_d at rim. The code currently only supports grains of a single type, so we adopt the default ‘standard interstellar medium (ISM) mixture’ comprising grains that mimic a 53:47 mixture of silicate and graphite grains from Draine & Lee (1984). The DUSTY wavelength grid spans 0.01 μm–3.6 × 104 μm, so the effects of harder radiation are not modelled. As sources A and B are likely to be Compton-thin with NH ~ 1022–1023 cm−2 (as inferred from the hardness ratios in F00 and from AGN
synthesis models for the X-ray background, e.g. Wilman & Fabian
(1999), they are transparent to photons above a few keV; there are
thus about 1.5 decades of frequency below 0.01 \( \mu \)m where photons
would be absorbed but these are not included. Given that the input
SED cuts off sharply below 0.03 \( \mu \)m, this omission is unimportant.

We adopt the cosmological parameters \( H_0 = 50 \text{ km s}^{-1}\text{Mpc}^{-1}\)
and \( \Omega_0 = 0.5 \) throughout the paper.

### 2.1 Source B (CXOUJ215333.2+174209)

Lémonon et al. (1998) give 6.7- and 15-\( \mu \)m ISOCAM fluxes for
source B of 110\( ^{+40}_{-30} \) and 350\( ^{+50}_{-40} \)\( \mu \)Jy, respectively. From a total of
33 counts, F00 estimate 0.5–2-keV and 2–7-keV fluxes of 5.9 and
23 \( \times \) \( 10^{-15} \) erg cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\), respectively; the upper limit at 850 \( \mu \)m
is 5.7 mJy (F00). We use the relative fluxes in the \( B, V (F555W), R, I (F814W), J \) and \( K' \) filters provided by Lémonon et al. along
with HYPERZ to compute a photometric redshift (N.B. the Hubble
Space Telescope (HST) F555W and F814W magnitudes given by
Lémonon et al. differ from those listed in F00, but for internal
consistency we adopt the former values). We consider two families of HYPERZ models: (i) where at least 80 per cent of the
\( K' \) light (2.103 \( \mu \)m) is host-galaxy starlight; (ii) where at least 50 per
cent of the \( K' \) light is nuclear dust emission. A satisfactory
HYPERZ model could not be found for the case where all the \( K' \) light is from the nucleus. HYPERZ uses Bruzual & Charlot (1993)
spectral synthesis models and varies the redshift, age and extinction
of the population. The elliptical galaxy model we use has an
exponentially declining star formation rate with an e-folding time
of 1 Gyr; other models with longer star-formation time-scales
are available in the HYPERZ model. In the upper panel, the solid, dashed and dotted
lines are the models with \( R, T_{\text{in}} = (250, 50, 1500) \) and
(5, 60, 200). The implied optical–ultraviolet DUSTY input luminosity, 2–10-keV
X-ray absorption corrected luminosity (derived from the observed
2–7-keV flux, assuming an intrinsic power-law spectrum with a
photon index \( \Gamma = 2 \)), optical (2500 \( \AA \)) to X-ray (2 keV) spectral
index \( \alpha_{\text{ox}} \) and the inner radius \( r_{\text{in}} \) for each case are shown in
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**Figure 1.** The points show which of the DUSTY grid models, described in
Section 2.1, for source B case (i) are acceptable for different values of
\( R = r_{\text{out}}/r_{\text{in}} \).
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**Figure 2.** Observed and model SEDs for source B, for the cases where the
galaxy produces essentially all, case (i), and half, case (ii), of the light at
2.1 \( \mu \)m. The circles and triangles are the optical and ISOCAM fluxes,
respectively, from Lémonon et al. (1998); the arrow is the SCUBA upper
limit from F00. The DUSTY models are normalized to the observed 15-\( \mu \)m
flux density, and deemed acceptable if the 6.7-\( \mu \)m/15-\( \mu \)m flux ratio fell within the range
allowed by the errors on the ISOCAM data, if the 850-\( \mu \)m flux fell
below the SCUBA limit and if the \( K' \) flux was less than 20 per cent of that observed. Fig. 1 shows which models meet these
criteria, and Fig. 2 shows the SED fits for three such cases, with
\( R, T_{\text{in}} = (250, 50, 1500), (50, 100, 700) \) and (5, 60, 200). The
implied optical–ultraviolet DUSTY input luminosity, 2–10-keV
X-ray absorption corrected luminosity (derived from the observed
2–7-keV flux, assuming an intrinsic power-law spectrum with a
photon index \( \Gamma = 2 \)), optical (2500 \( \AA \)) to X-ray (2 keV) spectral
index \( \alpha_{\text{ox}} \) and the inner radius \( r_{\text{in}} \) for each case are shown in
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Table 1. Details of three acceptable dusty models for source B case (i) spanning the range of allowed temperatures.

|       | Model 1 | Model 2 | Model 3 |
|-------|---------|---------|---------|
| $\tau$ (K) | 50      | 100     | 60      |
| $T_\text{in}$ (K) | 1500    | 700     | 200     |
| $R = r_\text{out}/r_\text{in}$ | 250     | 50      | 5       |
| $r_\text{in}$ (pc) | 0.41    | 3.9     | 67      |
| $L(\text{optical–ultraviolet}) (10^{44} \text{erg s}^{-1})$ | 6.2     | 11.3    | 19.2    |
| $L(2–10\text{keV}) (10^{45} \text{erg s}^{-1})$ | 6.3     | 7.5     | 6.5     |
| $\alpha_\text{ox}$ | 1.17    | 1.24    | 1.35    |

† Luminosity of the dusty input continuum.
‡ Absorption-corrected 2–10-keV luminosity.

*implied optical to X-ray spectral index of the intrinsic continuum.

Table 1. The best fits to the portion of the SED covered by the ISO/CAM data are obtained with hot dust (heated close to its sublimation temperature of 1500 K), with an inner radius within a parsec of the central engine; the implied $\alpha_\text{ox}$ in this case is, however, somewhat flatter than the canonical value of 1.35 for quasars (Elvis et al. 1994). Figs 1 and 2 also show, however, that models with warm dust at $T_\text{in} = 200$ K cannot be ruled out at present; such models have inner radii of tens of pc and $\alpha_\text{ox}$ values close to the values of quasars. Discrimination between the warm and hot dust models would be possible using data at 70 $\mu$m; e.g. the 200-K model shown in Fig. 2 has a 70-$\mu$m flux density of 16 mJy, which is well within the capability of Space Infrared Telescope Facility/SIRTF (see e.g. Brandl et al. 2000).

For case (ii), HYPERZ fits a 3.5-Gyr-old elliptical galaxy at $z = 0.505$ with $A_V = 0.60$ mag. The need for dusty to reproduce at least half of the K$'$ light in this case means that the dust must be hot ($T_\text{in} = 1000–1500$ K) and not too optically thick at 2 $\mu$m ($\tau \sim 25–40$); $R$ is not very well constrained, with a value of 100 for $\tau = 35$, although a more compact structure ($R, \tau = (5, 40)$ is also acceptable. For $R, \tau = (100, 35)$, we find $L_{2-10} = 4.6 \times 10^{43}$ erg s$^{-1}$ and $\alpha_\text{ox} = 1.12$; the latter model SED is shown in Fig. 2.

We conclude that the best-fitting models are those in which the dust is hot, with an inner radius within $\sim$1 pc of the nucleus. Several models with warm dust (200 K) are also formally acceptable, but for $R = 50$ some of these underpredict the SCUBA upper limit by only a small factor ($\sim 2$), which, if this source is representative of the new Chandra HXB sources, seems unlikely given the non-detection of large samples of these objects by SCUBA (see references in Section 1).

2.2 Source A (CXOUJ215334.0+174240)

This is the brightest Chandra source in the A2390 field, coincident with a slightly reddened $L^*$ mid-type spiral at a photometric redshift of $z = 0.85 \pm 0.15$ (F00). From a total of $\sim$90 counts, F00 performed crude X-ray spectral fitting and deduced an intrinsic $N_H = (6 \pm 2) \times (9 \pm 3) \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$, with a de-absorbed $L_{2-10} = 2–3 \times 10^{44}$ erg s$^{-1}$ (after correction for a lensing magnification by a factor of about 2), for $z = 0.7–1$, respectively. It is thus an X-ray type II quasar.

It also has ISOCAM counterparts at 6.7 and 15 $\mu$m (Altieri et al. 1999) but the source fluxes have not been published. We proceed on the assumption that the fluxes are equal to those of source B, which appears reasonable from the images in Altieri et al.

For redshifts in the range 0.7–1.0, we find acceptable dusty models with $\tau = 55–80$ (equivalent to the fitted $N_H = 6–9 \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$ for a Galactic dust:gas ratio) for $R = 100$ and $T_\text{in} = 1500$ K; they have $r_\text{in} = 0.55–1.0$ pc and $\alpha_\text{ox} = 1.0$.

3 DISCUSSION

It is instructive to compare our results with the calculations of Granato, Danese & Franceschini (1997), who compared dust radiative transfer models with the infrared properties of Seyfert galaxies. They concluded that the moderately thick, extended tori of Granato & Danese (1994; hereafter GD) (with $5 \lesssim A_V \lesssim 80$ mag and outer radii from tens to hundreds of pc), provided a better fit to the data than the thick, very compact models of Pier & Krolik (1992a; hereafter PK) (with $A_V \approx 800$ mag, all within 1 pc). They also found that the observed X-ray absorption to the nucleus was much higher than that implied by the $A_V$ obtained by modelling the infrared SED (for a Galactic dust:gas ratio), suggesting that much of the X-ray absorbing gas lies within the dust sublimation radius.

In terms of its optical depth and radial extent, the obscuring dust in these two Compton-thin, absorbed, X-ray background sources more closely resembles the model of GD than that of PK. Indeed, Granato et al. (1997) predicted a significant correlation between the HXB sources and those appearing in ISO surveys at 10–20 $\mu$m, precisely because the GD models are (at the most) only moderately optically thick over this wavelength range. An important difference, however, is that the GD tori have opening angles of 35°–45°, whereas the covering fraction of any torus-like structure in the HXB sources must be higher (e.g. Fabian & Iwawasa 1999 deduce that 85 per cent of the accretion power must be absorbed). Note also that the dusty calculations assumed a spherical dust geometry. It is thus appropriate to examine how such a high space covering obscuration could be maintained against the dissipative forces, which would tend to yield a flattened structure: at the subparsec-scale inner radii found for sources A and B, Pier & Krolik (1992b) have shown that the pressure of the nuclear radiation on the grains can make the torus geometrically thick, by both reducing the vertical component of gravity, and if the torus is sufficiently clumpy, driving random motions of its constituent clouds. Fabian et al. (1998) demonstrated that a space-covering obscuration could be maintained with energy input from a nuclear starburst within 100 pc, but the distinct lack of emission lines in many of the newly discovered HXB sources appears to rule this out. More generally, the lack of AGN emission lines in such objects may be a result of dust within the narrow-line-region clouds. Netzer & Laor (1993) showed that the presence of dust could significantly suppress line emission, through absorption of the photoionizing continuum and destruction of line photons, and thereby could account for the mismatch between the inferred covering factors of the broad- and narrow-line regions in classical AGN when dust is not considered (the broad-line region lies just within the dust sublimation radius). Our findings suggest the presence of a high covering fraction of dusty gas in the new HXB sources.

Alternatively, the lack of emission lines and non-detections by SCUBA could be used to argue that the new HXB sources are not actually obscured AGN, but that they are some other class of intrinsically hard source [e.g. ADAs, as proposed for the HXB by Di Matteo & Allen (1999)]; the present quality of the X-ray spectra is not high enough to discriminate between these two possibilities. Our present findings, however, strongly support the obscured AGN hypothesis, by demonstrating that the energy that...
is inferred to be absorbed in the optical–ultraviolet–X-ray range is reradiated in the infrared. In consequence, the results of deep Chandra surveys of well-studied ISO fields [e.g. from the ELAIS consortium; Rowan-Robinson et al. (1999)] are eagerly awaited. Indeed, as noted by F00, five of the six Chandra sources discovered by Hornschemeier et al. (2000) in the HDFN also have ISOCAM counterparts at 15 μm listed by Aussel et al. (1999) (CXOHDFN123648.1 + 621309 is the only source not detected).
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