Experimental Investigation into Flow Behavior of Wood-Sediment-Water Mixture at a Grid Type of Open Check Dam
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Laboratory flume experiments showed the different behavior of sediment grains and wood pieces in debris flows (wood-sediment-water mixture flows) at an open check dam model. In the experiments, the debris flows were caused by putting some wood pieces on the initial movable bed and dropping the other wood pieces on the surface of the subsequent flow part. This condition resulted in the concentration of the former pieces at the flow front and the latter pieces on the subsequent flow surface. The latter pieces trapped in the check dam model were piled up on the former pieces trapped in the check dam. The sediment followed the pieces accumulating at the flow front. At the same time, sediment deposition was caused behind the trapped wood pieces.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Hofu City, Japan was hit by heavy rain on July 21, 2009. This resulted in debris flows with a large amount of wood in the mountain rivers [e.g., Maricar et al., 2011]. During this event, the open check dams in the Hachimandani river trapped wood pieces in their opening and deposited much sediment behind the trapped wood pieces (Fig. 1).

The open check dams allow finer sediment to pass through at lower discharge and coarser sediment to be trapped at higher discharge such as debris flows. This type can be subdivided into slit-check dams, beam-check dams and grid-check dams. The viewpoint of environments makes the open type become preferable to the closed type. Therefore, an estimate of their appropriate opening becomes a problem [e.g., Ashida and Takahashi, 1980; Ashida et al., 1987; Mizuyama et al., 1988; Ishikawa et al., 1989; Armanini and Larcher, 2001]. Designing the open check dams requires knowledge of the dynamics of wood-sediment-water mixture flows at open check dams. However, little is known about their behavior at open check dams.

There are some previous works which investigated the effect of open check dams on debris flow containing wood pieces [Katatani and Yamada, 2006; Shibuya et al., 2009]. They examined the effects of open check dams from the viewpoint of sediment and wood control. Shibuya et al. [2009] treated grid type, and Katatani and Yamada [2006] dealt with slit type. However, little is known about the quantitative method of estimating the efficiency of these open check dams. Furthermore, there are less previous works regarding the effect of beam-check dams on debris flow containing wood.

Maricar et al. [2012] examined the trap efficiency of beam-check dams for wood-sediment-water mixture in the laboratory flume. In the experiments, they produced the wood-sediment-water mixture flow by placing wood pieces on the initial movable bed. This method made wood pieces concentrated in the flow front part and not in the subsequent flow part. Supply of wood pieces in the subsequent flow was needed in...
The improved supply method of wood pieces was proposed by Hashimura et al. [2013]. They put some wood pieces on the initial movable bed and dropped the other wood pieces on the surface of the subsequent flow in the laboratory flume experiments. They examined behavior of the mixture flow at a beam-check dam with an improved mixture production method. The present study is an extension of the works of Maricar et al. [2012] and Hashimura et al. [2013]. By using the improved method of the wood supply, we investigate the behavior of wood and sediment in wood-sediment-water mixture flow at a grid-check dam as an open type.

2. EXPERIMENTAL METHOD

Experiments were conducted with a laboratory flume. A rectangular flume was used in the experiments; it was 12 m long, 30 cm wide, and 32.8 cm high with smooth glass on the both lateral sides. A schematic diagram of this flume is shown in Fig. 2. The flume bed was composed of movable and fixed parts. The bed sediment was placed on the movable bed part and the check dam model was set on the fixed bed part. A square shaped box 30 cm wide and 36 cm long was installed at Station A as an apparatus for dropping wood pieces on the flow surface.

Table 1 gives the characteristics of the bed material and wood models. The homogeneous sediment grains were used as the movable bed material. Their specific gravity was $\sigma / \rho = 2.65$, where $\sigma = $ grain density and $\rho = $ water density. The representative diameter of the sediment grains was $d_{50} = 3.6$ mm.

Four kinds of wooden cylinder were used as the wood models; their diameter was $D = 2.0$ mm, $3.0$ mm, $4.1$ mm and $5.2$ mm, respectively. Their length was set equal to $L = 5.25$ cm, $7$ cm and $10$ cm. These scales were based on the field investigation of Maricar et al. [2011]. The length and diameter satisfy the condition of $L \gg D$. Their specific gravity was $\sigma_w / \rho = 0.65$–0.76, where $\sigma_w = $ wood density.

We adopted the three different methods for putting wood pieces into the flow. For convenience, one is termed ‘Method A’, the second is termed ‘Method B’ and the third is ‘Method (A + B)’. In ‘Method A’, we placed the wood model pieces of equal length and diameter in their different

| Material          | Specific gravity | $d_{50}$ or $D$ (mm) | Piece length $L$ (cm) |
|-------------------|------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Bed sediment      | 2.65             | 3.6                   |                      |
| Wood model 1      | 0.76             | 2                     | 7, 10                 |
| Wood model 2      | 0.68             | 3                     | 7, 10                 |
| Wood model 3      | 0.66             | 4.1                   | 7, 10                 |
| Wood model 4      | 0.65             | 5.2                   | 5.25, 7, 10           |

Fig. 1 The open check dam in the Hachimandani River in Hofu City, Japan.

Fig. 2 Elevated view of the laboratory flume.

Fig. 3 Wood pieces placed on the initial movable bed.

Fig. 4 Dimension of the open check dam model. (Front view of the model)
Fig. 5 Sediment and wood deposition at the check dam (View from the right-hand side of the flume).

Table 2 Experimental conditions.

| Run No. | $l_0$ | Piece length | Piece Diameter | N | N_d | Wood supply method | $l_0/L$ |
|---------|-------|--------------|----------------|---|-----|--------------------|--------|
| 1       | 3.5   | 5.25         | 5.2            | 200 | A   | A                  | 0.67   |
| 2       | 3.5   | 5.25         | 5.2            | 60  | A   | A                  | 0.67   |
| 3       | 3.5   | 5.25         | 5.2            | 30  | A   | A                  | 0.67   |
| 4       | 3.5   | 10           | 5.2            | 120 | A   | A                  | 0.35   |
| 5       | 3.5   | 10           | 5.2            | 120 | A   | A                  | 0.35   |
| 6       | 3.5   | 10           | 5.2            | 60  | A   | A                  | 0.35   |
| 7       | 3.5   | 7            | 5.2            | 120 | A   | A                  | 0.50   |
| 8       | 3.5   | 7            | 5.2            | 120 | A   | A                  | 0.50   |
| 9       | 3.5   | 7            | 5.2            | 60  | A   | A                  | 0.50   |
| 10      | 3.5   | 5.25         | 5.2            | 120 | A   | A                  | 0.67   |
| 11      | 3.5   | 5.25         | 5.2            | 120 | A   | A                  | 0.67   |
| 12      | 3.5   | 5.25         | 5.2            | 30  | A   | A                  | 0.67   |
| 13      | 3.5   | 7            | 5.2            | 150 | A+B | A+B                | 0.50   |
| 14      | 3.5   | 7            | 4.1            | 180 | 90  | A+B                | 0.50   |
| 15      | 3.5   | 7            | 4.1            | 180 | 90  | A+B                | 0.50   |
| 16      | 3.5   | 7            | 4.1            | 180 | 90  | A+B                | 0.50   |
| 17      | 3.5   | 7            | 2              | 180 | 90  | A+B                | 0.50   |
| 18      | 3.5   | 7            | 4.1            | 120 | 90  | A+B                | 0.50   |
| 19      | 3.5   | 7            | 4.1            | 0    | 120 | B                  | 0.50   |

Fig. 6 Time change in flow situation after the arrival of flow front at the check dam (View from the right-hand side of the flume).

The mixture flow of wood, sediment and water was triggered by the quick water inflow ($q = 100 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$) from the upstream end and then moved downstream along the flume bed. Most of the wood model pieces on the initial bed accumulated at the flow front and then arrived fastest at the check dam. Wood model pieces painted red were dropped on the flow surface at Station A, at the same time as the arrival of the flow front at the check dam. Therefore, the red wood pieces followed the wood pieces without red color at the check dam. Some amount of the wood and sediment were trapped in the check dam and the other passed through it. Figure 5 is a photo of situation of wood and sediment trapped in the check dam.

Table 2 describes the wood conditions for the experiment. Wood pieces were put on the initial movable bed for Run 1 to 18, and no wood pieces on the initial bed for Run 19. Red wood pieces were dropped on the flow surface for Run 13 to 19. In this table, ‘$N$’ is the number of wood pieces placed on the initial movable bed and ‘$N_d$’ is the number of wood pieces dropped on the flow surface at Station A. The duration time of each experimental run was around 20 seconds from the arrival of flow front at the check dam. After stopping the water inflow, we counted wood pieces with and without red color, and measured the weight of sediment stopping and passing at the check dam. The sediment bed

directions on the initial movable bed (Fig. 3). Number density of the pieces was 1 piece/(10 cm×10 cm). In ‘Method B’, we dropped the wood model pieces on the flow surface at Station A. Therefore in ‘Method (A + B)’, we placed the wood model pieces on the initial bed and also dropped the wood model pieces on the flow surface at Station A. This instant release of the wood pieces on the flow surface was modelled after the woody debris inflowed by overland flow on valley slopes and riverside erosion.

A grid type was used as the open check dam model (Fig. 4).

The stainless tubes with the diameter of 18 mm were used for the grid-type of open check dam model (Fig. 4). The reduced size of the prototype was 1/50; the prototype is the grid type of open check dam in the Hachimandani River, Hofu City, Japan (Fig. 1). The opening size $l_0$ of the check dam model was $l_0 = 3.5$ cm.

Video cameras were installed on the top and right-hand side of the flume to record the behavior of wood model pieces and sediment grains.

The flume was set at the slope angle of 8°.

The procedure in the experiments of ‘Method (A+B)’ can be explained as follows:

Prior to the start of the tests, the sediment bed was saturated with seepage water and the wood model pieces were put on the initial movable bed.
elevation behind the check dam was also measured by the point gage.

3. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Behavior of wood and sediment at the open check dam model

The flow behavior of wood-sediment-water mixture in the flume experiment is shown in Fig. 6.

The wood pieces on the initial movable bed were concentrated at the flow front during their movement and then arrived fastest at the check dam. After the arrival of the flow front at the check dam, the sediment followed the pieces accumulating at the flow front. Moving sediment pushed the trapped pieces against the check dam, pressed them and formed their complicated mesh structure. At the same time, sediment deposition was caused behind the trapped wood pieces. On the other hand, the red wood pieces dropped on the flow surface began to arrive at the check dam in around 3 seconds after the arrival of the flow front at the check dam. The red pieces trapped in the check dam model were piled up on the initial pieces trapped in the check dam.

3.2 Trap efficiency of the open check dam model

The experiments show that the trapped wood pieces formed a kind of the mesh structure at the open check dam model and deposited sediment grains and red wood pieces from the subsequent flow. In this section, first, we examine the dependence of the wood pieces trapped in the check dam on its number and volume at the stationary, moving and trapping stages. Finally, we investigate the relationship between deposited sediment grains and trapped wood pieces.

Figure 9 expresses the relationship between the volume of wood model pieces trapped in the check dam model and that of all the pieces moving on the fixed bed. The solid line indicates that the volume of pieces trapped in the check dam is same as that of the red wood pieces dropped on the flow surface. This situation is schematically shown in Fig. 7.

The trapped red pieces caused an increase in accumulation depth of wood pieces at the open check dam model. The change in deposition depth of wood pieces is depicted in Fig. 8. The solid line shows the case in ‘Method A’ and the broken line indicates the case in ‘Method (A + B)’.

In the experiment of ‘Method A’, the arrival of the flow front at the open check dam model caused a rapid increase in wood deposition depth. The wood deposition depth shows \( z = 7 \) cm. Afterward almost same deposition depth was maintained.

In the experiment of ‘Method (A + B)’, on the other hand, the arrival of the red pieces at the open check dam model yielded a more increase in wood deposition depth; the red pieces were dropped on the flow surface in about 3 seconds after the arrival of flow front at the open check dam model.
all the pieces moving from the upstream bed part. From Fig. 9, it is found that trapping the pieces in the open check dam requires sufficient volume of the pieces moving from the upstream bed part.

Figure 10 indicates volume ratio of trapped wood pieces to the all pieces. Some scatter of the data is noted at the region of $l_o/L = 0.67$. It is found that the volume ratio of the trapped pieces to all the pieces decreases with the ratio $l_o/L$ and also becomes smaller rapidly with a decrease in the number of all the pieces.

This relationship is almost same as that in the beam-check dam [Maricar et al., 2012].

The length scale and structure of accumulation of the trapped wood pieces become important for sediment deposition from the subsequent debris flow. The ratio of accumulation depth to length, Fig.

4. CONCLUSIONS

The experimental investigation into the flow behavior of wood-sediment-water mixture at the grid type of open check dam model was carried out. The results obtained in this study are as follows:

1. Placing wood pieces on the initial movable bed and dropping red wood pieces on the flow surface yielded wood-sediment-water mixture flow. Most of the wood pieces on the initial movable bed were concentrated in the flow front part during their movement and some of them
were trapped in the open check dam model. All of the red wood pieces in the subsequent flow arrived at the check dam model and some of them were trapped in the check dam model. The red pieces trapped in the check dam model were piled up on the initial pieces trapped by the check dam model.

2. Trapping wood pieces in the open-check dam model requires their sufficient number and volume.

3. A large number of wood model pieces result in significant sediment deposition behind the trapped pieces. Especially, the accumulation depth of trapped pieces determines sediment deposition from the subsequent debris flow. A linear relationship between weight of sediment deposited behind the trapped pieces and the accumulation depth of the trapped pieces can be found.

4. The length scale and structure of accumulation of the trapped wood pieces become important for sediment deposition from the subsequent mixture flow. The investigation into the ratio of accumulation depth to length of trapped pieces shows that the ratio ranges in the value from 1.1 to 1.6 except the case of a small number of pieces.
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