Antioxidant biosensor based on superoxide dismutase from Indonesian microbes immobilized in Indonesian natural zeolite
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**ABSTRACT**

Common techniques for measuring the capacity and activity of antioxidants are spectrophotometry, fluorescent, liquid and gas chromatography. The antioxidant measurement using spectrophotometry method has its limitation in sample preparation. Therefore, an appropriate method is needed to measure the antioxidant properties in various types of samples, either sample from natural or industrial products. Electrochemical biosensor is a developed alternative method to study the antioxidant capacity because its rapidity, validity and low cost. The immobilization of superoxide dismutase (SOD) extract from Deinococcus radiodurans on a zeolite nanocomposite-modified electrode was studied as an antioxidant biosensor. Cyclic voltammetry was employed to investigate the catalytic behavior of the immobilized-SOD in zeolite nanocomposite. The current response was found to have a direct linear relationship with xanthine (substrate) concentration. The immobilized-SOD activity was optimum at 30°C, pH 9, 137.5 mg zeolite, and 1 μg/ml SOD for pure SOD, and at 30°C, pH 9, 137.5 mg zeolite, and 1,500 μg/ml SOD for D. radiodurans SOD. Dismutation reaction kinetics of superoxide catalyzed by SOD followed the Lineweaver-Burk plot for enzyme kinetics with an immobilized D. radiodurans SOD value smaller than for immobilized pure SOD. In conclusion, a zeolite nanocomposite provided great potential as an immobilization matrix for SOD extract from D. radiodurans for application in antioxidant biosensors.

**INTRODUCTION**

The body needs antioxidants to defend against free radicals and has endogenous antioxidants such as catalase, peroxidase, superoxide dismutase (SOD), and glutathione S-transferases. When the body is exposed to excessive free radicals, it requires exogenous antioxidants, which are usually obtained from food. Antioxidants are not only necessary for human health but are also widely used in commercial industries such as foods, petroleum, rubber, etc. Therefore, an appropriate method is needed for measuring the antioxidant properties in various types of samples from natural or industrial products.

Common techniques for measuring the capacity and activity of antioxidants are spectrophotometry, fluorescent, liquid and gas chromatography (Cortina-Puig and Camp, 2007). Spectrophotometry has its limitations for measuring antioxidants in sample preparations. For example, the 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl method is highly sensitive to light and necessitates samples preparation in the dark. In addition, it is greatly affected by turbidity levels. The 2,2-azinobis (3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) (ABTS) and ferric reducing antioxidant power (Tawaha et al., 2007). Oxygen radical absorbance capacity-fluorescein and HPLC (High Performance Liquid Chromatography) methods also have limitations in measuring antioxidant capacity as they are expensive and involve difficult sample preparation.
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Electrochemical biosensors were developed as an alternative means for studying antioxidant capacity because of their rapidity, validity, and low cost (Campanella et al., 2004). There are two kinds of antioxidant biosensors: amperometric biosensors to detect monophenol and polyphenol antioxidants (the main antioxidants in foods); and biosensors using SOD for measuring antioxidant capacity based on free radical scavenging. Respectively, these are tyrosinase-, laccase-, or peroxidase-based biosensors (Cabaj et al., 2016; Garcia et al., 2015), while the latter use cytochrome c (cyt c) or SOD, and DNA (Azizi et al., 2013; Braik et al., 2016; Busch et al., 2006; Campanella et al., 2005; Cortina-Puig and Camp, 2007; Kamel et al., 2008; Roy et al., 2005). Superoxide radical determination using cyt c-based sensors is less selective because this heme protein is not specific to O$_2^-$.

SOD-based biosensors are more specific and sensitive because this enzyme specifically reacts with superoxide ion (Braik et al., 2016; Di et al., 2004).

SOD-based biosensors have been applied to measure antioxidant capacity in various kinds of samples, such as natural products, food and beverage products, and also algae (Braik et al., 2016; Campanella et al., 2003; 2005). One limitation of SOD utilization in biosensors is its high price. Therefore, the use of microbes that produce this enzyme is one cost-reducing solution as it does not require enzyme purification. One bacterium that produces SOD is *Deinococcus radiodurans*. *Deinococcus radiodurans* can survive under very high levels of radiation because this bacterium has rapid DNA repair mechanisms and has a lot of copies of its own genome. The quality of the bacterium, which is resistant to extreme environments, is expected because it has a high antioxidant system involving SOD and catalase (Yuan et al., 2007). Therefore, *D. radiodurans* has great potential as a biological recognition component of an antioxidant biosensor.

SOD-based antioxidant biosensor development has now reached its third generation and is also being directed toward nanoscale materials (Braik et al., 2016). One of the materials that could potentially be used as an immobilization matrix for SOD is zeolite. Zeolite has a structure largely composed of silicon tetrahedrons which are connected to each other by oxygen atoms to form typical nanoscale pores. The pores allow gas or liquid molecules to enter and adsorb them firmly. Applications of zeolite as immobilization matrices that have been developed include utilizing natural zeolite clinoptilolite as urea biosensors (Saiapina et al., 2011) and incorporating NaA zeolite with a carbon paste matrix to develop DNA-based antioxidant biosensors (Azizi et al., 2013). Indonesia is one country with natural zeolite potential but its utilization still needs to be optimized in all areas.

Though research has been carried out into the utilization of zeolite for sensors, Dai et al. (2003) immobilized cyt-c using a NaY-type zeolite matrix, while Liu et al. (1999) used calcined zeolite as an immobilization matrix for peroxidase and methylene green, and Balal et al. (2009) found carbon paste electrodes modified with FeCl$_3$ and zeolite produced more current than those without zeolite—there have been no reports of the application of Indonesian natural zeolite nanocomposite as an immobilization material for *D. radiodurans* SOD. Accordingly, the use of natural zeolite nanocomposite was an interesting topic of study.

This study is a continuation of previous research conducted by Iswantini et al. (2013), which used *D. radiodurans* SOD immobilized on carbon paste electrodes as an antioxidant biosensor. As the previous study was low in specificity and sensitivity, further study was necessary to determine immobilization methods and improvements in nanomaterials in order to obtain more favorable results. This aims of this study were to extract SOD enzyme proteins from *D. radiodurans*, immobilize them in a natural zeolite nanocomposite from Indonesia, measure their activity after fixation on the surface of carbon paste electrodes, and finally to determine their kinetic parameters using electrochemical methods.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

*Deinococcus radiodurans* cell growth and SOD extraction

*Deinococcus radiodurans* was grown in a medium containing 1% tryptone, 0.5% yeast extract, 0.2% glucose, 0.5% NaCl and alcohol, and incubated for 48 hours at 30°C. Subsequently, cells were harvested by centrifugation (7,000 g, 4°C, 10 minutes) to separate the bacterial cells from the media. Next, the cells were washed several times with phosphate buffer solution pH 7.0 and re-suspended in phosphate buffer solution pH 7.0. Cell suspension was lysed by sonication in an ice bath to break the bacterial cells and then centrifuged (10,000 g, 4°C, 30 minutes) to separate the supernatant and pellet. Enzyme crude extract was in the supernatant. Finally, the extract’s absorbance values were measured at wavelengths of 260 and 280 nm to determine protein concentration and ratio of protein to DNA.

**Electrode modification and enzyme immobilization**

Enzyme immobilization was carried out through several methods: (a) Zeolite nanocomposite (250, 100, 50, and 25 mg) was suspended in 5 ml of phosphate buffer solution containing crude extract of SOD. The mixture was then stirred constantly for 24 hours at 4°C. It was then centrifuged and the pellet was washed several times with 0.9% NaCl. The pellet was allowed to dry at 4°C. This pellet was the immobilized SOD in the zeolite matrix. Five microliters of this pellet was then placed on the surface of a ferrocene-modified carbon paste (PCf) electrode and the surface was covered with a dialysis membrane and fixed with nylon fiber. This method was also done for pure SOD and *D. radiodurans* cells. This electrode was then called the “SOD/Zeolite/PCf” electrode. (b) Pure SOD was dropped directly onto the surface of a PCf electrode and the surface was covered with a dialysis membrane and fixed with nylon fiber. This was then called the “SOD/PCf” electrode. (c) 100 mg of zeolite was dissolved in distilled water to form a paste and then dropped onto the surface of a PCf electrode. The surface was covered with a dialysis membrane and fixed with nylon fiber. This was called the “Zeolite/PCf” electrode. (d) Zeolite nanocomposite was packed in a glass tube to fabricate a zeolite electrode, then the pure SOD solution was dropped onto its surface. This was called the “SOD/Zeolite” electrode.

**Electrochemical measurement**

Electrochemical measurement was carried out through a cyclic voltammetric (CV) method using eDAQ potentiostat–galvanostat equipped with Echem v2.1.0 software. Ag/AgCl, modified carbon paste, and Pt electrodes were used as references, as working and counter electrodes. Superoxide radicals were generated by the enzymatic reaction of xanthine as a substrate which was catalyzed by xanthine oxidase (XO) through the reaction:
Xanthine + H₂O + O₂ → Uric acid + 2H⁺ + O²⁻

Then, the superoxide radical was dismutated to form O₂ with SOD as the catalyst.

1.9 ml of phosphate buffer solution and 100 µl of 0.1 µ/ml of XO were added into an electrochemical cell. Anodic current peak formed considered as blank. Subsequently, 1 ml of xanthine 2.1 mM was added to the cell and the current response change was observed and measured.

**Optimization of immobilized SOD activity**

The reaction conditions optimized were temperature (20°C–40°C), pH (7–11), SOD concentration, and zeolite mass (25–250 mg), with a central composite design of response surface method.

**Kinetic properties**

Kinetic properties has determined at the optimum conditions for SOD immobilization. The general procedure was the same, but in a kinetic assay, substrate concentration was varied between 0.00 and 1.00 mmol/l of xanthine concentration.

The kinetic properties of immobilized *D. radiodurans* SOD extract were determined using the Michaelis-Menten equation (Eq. 1):

\[
I = \frac{I_{\text{app}}^\text{max} \times [\text{xanthine}]}{K_m^\text{app} + [\text{xanthine}]}
\]

where \(I_{\text{app}}^\text{max}\) was the apparent measured maximum current response, \(K_m^\text{app}\) was the apparent Michaelis-Menten constant, and [xanthine] was xanthine concentration.

Next, a Lineweaver-Burk plot was derived from Eq. 1.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Deinococcus radiodurans cell growth and SOD extraction**

*Deinococcus radiodurans* was grown in a liquid LB medium for 48 hours at 30°C. After 48 hours, the cells were harvested to collect the crude extract of SOD protein. The extracted protein had a concentration of 3,100 µg/ml and the extract yield was 2.41% based on wet weight.

Using the same medium as that used in a previous study (Trivadila, 2011), the yield obtained in this study was higher. Another study obtained almost same results as this study, where the yield of Mn-SOD obtained from *Thermotrichia* was 3,029 µg/ml (Seatovic et al., 2004). The small value of *D. radiodurans* Mn-SOD was probably due to *D. radiodurans* having thicker and stronger cell walls than common bacteria and yeast cells. *Deinococcus radiodurans* contains thick peptidoglycan and an outer membrane on the outside of its cell walls (Battista, 1997). Further, *D. radiodurans* cells are tetrad-shaped and larger making it difficult to break them and extract their cytoplasm (Zimmerman and Battista, 2005).

**Enzyme immobilization**

An enzyme has high selectivity and sensitivity under normal conditions but is very sensitive and denatured easily by extremes in pH and temperature and by organic solvents and detergents (Takahashi et al., 2001). To retain the catalytic function of an enzyme under extreme conditions, the enzyme can be immobilized on the surface of solid support material such as zeolite nanocomposite. The selectivity and stability of an immobilized enzyme, in addition to being affected by a substrate, are also influenced by the immobilization method and the supporting material used.

Four modifications to immobilization methods were used: SOD was immobilized in zeolite then dropped on the surface of a ferrocene-modified carbon paste electrode (SOD/Zeolite/PCf); SOD immobilization on the surface of a ferrocene-modified carbon paste electrode (SOD/PCf); immobilization of zeolite on the surface of a ferrocene-modified carbon paste electrode (zeolite/PCf); and SOD immobilization on the surface of a zeolite electrode (SOD/zeolite). Table 1 shows the current peak and potential for all immobilization methods. It shows that SOD/Zeolite/PCf generated the highest anodic current peak, while anodic and cathodic peaks for SOD/Zeolite were lower than for both SOD/Zeolite/PCf and SOD/PCf. Figure 1a shows CV for SOD/Zeolite/PCf, SOD/PCf, and SOD/Zeolite. As Figure 1b shows an asymmetric CV generated from SOD immobilization on the surface of a zeolite electrode without ferrocene-modified carbon paste, it was difficult to determine its anodic and cathodic peaks. From these data, we may conclude that SOD/Zeolite/PCf produced more favorable results than the others.

The ability of zeolites to increase the current peaks generated has been shown in research conducted by Dai et al. (2003), in which the components of biological recognizers used were *cyt-c* and NaY zeolite to detect *H₂O₂*. Adding Fe (III) to *zeolite as a mediator on the carbon paste electrode could improve the oxidation and reduction peaks to detect dopamine and tryptophan (Balal et al., 2009). Zeolite-modified carbon paste electrodes produce higher currents than glassy carbon electrodes (Wan et al., 2009). The resulting current was also higher compared to a previous study (Iswantini et al., 2013), where SOD immobilized on a carbon paste electrode without zeolite produced an anodic peak current of 0.1 µA. The ability of zeolite

**Table 1. Current and potential peaks for all immobilization methods.**

| Immobilization          | \(\Delta I_{\text{pa}}\) (µA) | \(\Delta I_{\text{pc}}\) (µA) | \(E_{\text{pa}}\) (mV) vs Ag/AgCl (mV) | \(E_{\text{pc}}\) (mV) |
|------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|
| SOD/Zeolite/PCf        | 1.020                         | 1.71                          | 426                                  | 290                    |
| SOD/PCf                | 0.054                         | 0.01                          | 465                                  | 330                    |
| Zeolite/PCf            | 0.002                         | 0.01                          | 416                                  | 330                    |
| SOD/Zeolite            | 0.0012                        | 0.00                          | 100                                  | 115                    |

\(\Delta I\) = current peak; \(\Delta I_{\text{pa}}\) = anodic current peak; \(\Delta I_{\text{pc}}\) = cathodic current peak; \(E\) = potential peak; \(E_{\text{pa}}\) = anodic potential peak; \(E_{\text{pc}}\) = cathodic potential peak.
Di (µA) shows the contour plots of immobilized SOD). In addition, the uniqueness), where immobilized SOD was optimum at Deinococcus radiodurans Valdes 0.340 ° (mM) shows a Lineweaver-Burk 0.197 max (mM) 0.510 0.265 °C– (°C), showed the optimum condition).

Optimization of immobilized SOD activity

Figure 2 shows the contour plots of immobilized SOD extract activity. All plots show optimum activity within the darkest area. The optimized parameters were pH (7–11), temperature (20°C–40°C), zeolite mass (25–250 mg), and SOD concentration (1–5 unit/ml). The optimum conditions for immobilized D. radiodurans SOD were at 30°C, pH 9, 137.5 mg zeolite, and 1,500 µg/ml SOD concentration. Meanwhile, the optimum conditions for immobilized pure SOD were pH 9, 30°C, 137.5 mg zeolite mass, and 3 units/ml SOD concentration.

These results differ slightly from the previous study (Iswantini et al., 2013), where immobilized SOD was optimum at 20°C and pH 11. Campanella et al. (2001) immobilized SOD in kappa-carrageenan gel with optimum conditions at 25°C and pH 7.5. Another study (Di et al., 2004), showed the optimum condition for SOD activity immobilized on the surface of a gold electrode was at pH 8.2. But in general, immobilized SOD activity is optimum in an alkaline environment. The different results obtained might be due to the different immobilization methods used.

Optimized parameters of SOD D. radiodurans activity were pH (7–11), temperature (20°C–40°C), and zeolite (25–250 mg). Concentration of D. radiodurans was 62.8 mg/ml. Figure 3 shows contour plots for the relationships between pH, temperature, and D. radiodurans activity exhibited by immobilized D. radiodurans whole-cells. These plots show that maximum values were not obtained. It can be said that D. radiodurans did not have the activity necessary to increase the oxidation peak.

Deinococcus radiodurans is a gram-positive bacterium with 1.5–3.5 µm diameter length and tetrad-shape. Deinococcus radiodurans has thick cell walls, which makes it possible that there was no SOD reaction to superoxide radicals, so electron transfer did not occur and there was no current response. Based on these results, we may conclude that whole-cells of D. radiodurans have less potential for utilization as biological recognition components in antioxidant biosensors.

Enzyme kinetics of immobilized SOD

To study the specificity of D. radiodurans SOD protein crude extract immobilized onto modified carbon paste electrodes, we determined the enzymatic kinetic properties for this enzyme, i.e., apparent Michaelis-Menten constant (Km app) and apparent maximum rate (Vmax app) which was analogous with apparent maximum current (Iapp max). Figure 4 shows a Lineweaver-Burk curve, while the relationship between \( \frac{1}{[\text{xanthine}]} \) and \( \frac{1}{\Delta I_{\text{ap}} \cdot K_{m \text{ app}}} \) and Iapp max values are shown in Table 2.

The Km app in Table 2 shows the Km app value for pure SOD is higher than the Km app value for SOD protein crude extract. The small Iapp max and Km app values indicate the catalytic reaction rate of SOD reaction is catalyzed faster by D. radiodurans SOD than by pure SOD.

Table 2. Kinetic parameter values of immobilized SOD.

| Method             | Pure SOD | Deinococcus radiodurans SOD |
|--------------------|----------|-----------------------------|
|                    | (µA)     | (mM)                        | (µA)      | (mM)                        |
| Lineweaver-Burk    | 0.197    | 0.510                       | 0.265     | 0.340                       |

Km app = Apparent Michaelis-Menten constant; Iapp max = Apparent maximum current.
The difference in $K_m$ values was caused by differences in the structure of the SOD enzymes from bovine erythrocyte SOD and those produced by *D. radiodurans*. The bovine erythrocyte SOD produced is the Cu-Zn SOD type (*Kaneko, 2002; Misra and Fridovich, 1971*), while on *D. radiodurans*, it is Mn-SOD. The values of pure enzyme and SOD extract are greater than those resulting from the previous study (*Iswantini et al., 2013*), where the $K_m$ values for pure SOD and SOD extract were 0.3694 and 0.1930, respectively. $K_m$ value is a parameter of the enzyme-substrate binding affinity, if the $K_m$ value is low, the enzyme binds strongly to the substrate, so a low concentration of substrate is enough to saturate the enzyme and vice versa. From these results, we may conclude that the current response can be improved in the presence of zeolite, but this can also cause an increase in the $K_m$ value. This is probably due to not all of the SODs being immobilized in zeolite while stirring for 24 hours, meaning the SODs involved in superoxide radical reactions were less concentrated than those in the previous study (*Iswantini et al., 2013*).

**CONCLUSION**

The use of zeolite as a co-immobilization material for SOD immobilized on the surface of carbon paste electrodes modified with ferrocene as a mediator could increase SOD activity in antioxidant biosensors. SOD extract showed a higher affinity than that of pure SOD. Further research is necessary to determine other parameters or analytical properties such as sensitivity, linearity, stability, recovery, repeatability, reproducibility, and accuracy.
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