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Abstract—Sentiment Analysis also known as opinion mining is the task of detecting, extracting and classifying opinions or sentiments related to different topics. One such area of interest is sentiment classification or polarity determination of movie reviews in user specific choice which are dependent on either mood or emotion of user perspectives. This plays an important role in today’s world where the promotion of nay product or movies. Polarity determination is an important task for both the user and producer. They can take appropriate decision based on these results of classification. Thus, considering the needs and developing interests in social data mining and increasing dependency of users on customer reviews here we proposed a method to classify the data more accurately by altering the pre-processing tasks mainly filtering. Proposed methodology will be used for the available classification techniques by using the available dataset more consistently by working on the dictionary built by the filters.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Sentiment Analysis (SA) [1] is the task of analyzing the user opinions on different topics. SA is the computational study of opinions, sentiments, emotions and attitude expressed in texts towards an entity. Nowadays sentiments and reviews are becoming much evident due to growing interest in the e-commerce. Online social media and social networking sites are the main platform to express and evaluate various entities. Customers Viewers, producers and service providers mainly rely on the user reviews to make their further decision which can improve their business.

Reviews are generally classified based on their polarity which may be positive or negative. To make the classification more accurate we worked with the available dataset and tried to use it more sufficiently. We worked on the number of words in the dictionary built by the filter. Using the enhanced dictionary with the appropriate tokenizer to classify the data yields more accuracy. Thus, in this paper we discuss the importance of pre-processing tasks, how the proposed filter will yield more accuracy and also compared the results of previous and new filter based on the parameters like accuracy and precision.

Kumar Ravi, Vadlamani Ravi [1] surveyed on work done in different areas, tasks and sub-tasks of sentiment analysis. They compared the work of different authors on different tools. They compared the classifiers such as Naïve Bayes (NB), Support Vector Machine (SVM) and other classifiers. Out of these in most of the Works the SVM gives the highest accuracy. Asmita Dhokrat, Sunil Khillare, C Namrata Mahender [10] performed a survey on the different tools for the opinion mining. Peter F Brown, Peter V. deSouza, Robert L. Mercer [9] worked on predicting a word from next word they discussed the n-gram models based on the frequency of their co-occurrence with other words.

In this paper we show the comparison of tools on the same dataset also the comparison of different classifiers in different tools based on their accuracy we also compared the performance of the modified filter with the existing one. Thus, the paper is organized as follows, Section I contains the introduction and work done by various authors, section II describes the previous and related work in this area and our motivation for this work, Section III contains the brief explanation about the preliminary steps of Data mining, Section IV shows the existing problem with the available techniques and some of the preliminary steps, Section V shows the flowchart of the proposed work and the solution to the problem mentioned in section IV, Section VI shows how the proposed method works along with measures used lastly, Section VII shows the comparison and results of the previous and the proposed method. Also, we have compared the
above-mentioned methods to show the performance of different tools.

II. RELATED WORK

Sentiment Analysis has been mainly approached as classification. In which many classification techniques are used for example Naïve Bayes, SVM, etc. The classification with accuracy is a major task in which the accuracy matters a lot like many of the authors like Kumar Ravi, Vadlamani Ravi [1] and Asmita Dhokrat, Sunil Khillare; C Namrata Mahender [10] has survey on tools and techniques of sentiment analysis. They have performed and explained each phase of sentiment analysis. Also they have concluded that the SVM classifier gives the highest accuracy at all levels of classification.

The Survey till now has focused on tools and techniques for comparing the accuracy of the classifier, but a little work has been done on the preprocessing tasks or in enhancing the preprocessing techniques. Dr. S Vijayarani and Ms. R. Jinani [3] discussed about one of the preprocessing tasks i.e. tokenization. They discussed the importance of tokenization and various tools for tokenization. Similarly, Ms. Anjali Jivani [4] has survey on various stemming algorithms and stemming methods. These works can be more enhanced with the classification techniques to increase the performance of classifier.

Thus, by getting inspirations from this previous works we proposed this method which works on the preprocessing tasks. Our method shows how the preprocessing tasks play an important role in sentiment classification. Also the classifier when used with properly preprocessed dataset is must for classification. Thus, we worked on these tasks to retain the important words in the dataset which can be used to increase the accuracy of the classifier. We discussed and compared the same in this paper.

III. PRELIMINARY STEPS OF DATAMINING

Sentiment Analysis is a complicated yet important task in the field of data mining; it needs various steps to be performed for satisfactory opinion mining from the texts. The main steps are Data Acquisition and Pre-processing.

A. Data Acquisition

Data Acquisition means gathering proper dataset for classification. It can be obtained from online resources like social networking sites, micro-blogging sites and various other media. The datasets are freely available on sites like imdb.com, rotten tomatoes, etc.

B. Pre-processing

After data acquisition the other major task is to preprocess the dataset. The data available on the online resources contains noise. It can be inconsistent; it may be containing various noises like missing data and may lack in various behavior or trends and is likely to contain many errors. Thus, pre-processing involves techniques to transform the raw data into an understandable format. Some steps involved in pre-processing are Stemming, Stop word removal, tokenization, parts of speech (POS) tagging, etc. [1].

- **Stemming**: Stemming is the process to reducing word forms or various grammatical forms of words into its root form. The various grammatical forms may be noun, adjective, verbs, adverbs, etc. Stemming is usually done by removing the suffixes and prefixes from an index terms before an actual assignment of the term to the index.

- **Tokenization**: Tokenization is the process in which a stream of data or textual input is broken into words, terms, symbols, or some other meaningful elements called tokens. It is used to identify the meaningful keywords [3]. It is the main step as it is used by the classifier to make comparison if the words.

- Some words need to be removed or dropped as they do not contribute much to the analysis such as **Stop words**. Stop words are some common words which are of a little value. To recognize different parts of speech present in the text POS tagging is performed.

IV. PROBLEM WITH THE EXISTING PREPROCESSING FILTERS

The pre-processing task contributes much in classifying the dataset properly. The Pre-processing tasks are available in the StringToWordVector (STWV) Filter. The Filter uses this all features and creates the dictionary of the words. The dictionary is used to classify the dataset further.

Here the 1st problem is that the generated dictionary size is much smaller than the actual words or instances present in the dataset because of which there are not enough words for the classifier to classify the review or sentiment properly.

Suppose if there are 10000 instances in the dataset containing 5000 positive and 5000 negative review and the dictionary size or the words to keep in the dictionary is just 1000 then the dictionary will not have sufficient words to classify the sentiments properly. Thus, the size of the dictionary is a big problem.

The 2nd problem is that the tokenizer used must be properly chosen to make the classifier more powerful. The words in the dictionary express different meaning and describe the review or sentiment as positive or negative. As by a single word or token, classifier is not able to classify that token properly as positive or negative.
For example: The sentiment “This is the most beautiful palace in India” is divided into tokens in the dictionary like Table 1 Example of Tokenization

| This | is | the | Most | Beautiful | Palace |
|------|----|-----|------|-----------|--------|

Here the token ‘most’ does not classify any meaning. Similarly, the token ‘Palace’ also does not signify any meaning properly. But instead if we use group of three words then it conveys some useful meaning like ‘Most beautiful Palace’ this specify it as the positive sentence. For this reason, it becomes necessary to supply proper group of words in the dictionary to the classifier.

Thus, we tried to solve this problem by proposing the method discussed in the next section.

V. PROPOSED WORK

Looking to the problem we worked on increasing the size of the dictionary by proposing a new filter. The whole proposed work is divided in the number of steps. These steps are as shown below in the Figure 1.

VI. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PROPOSED WORK

Dataset –Here we collected the movie review from the imdb.com website. We worked on the 10000(5000 positive and 5000 negative) movie reviews collected from the website.

Tool used- We used Weka-3.8.2 for classifying the dataset.

We Pre-processed the dataset by using the modified filter (STWV) and using this filter with NGramTokenizer. To enhance the accuracy, we first increased the size of the dictionary by proposing a new CustomStringToWordVector filter by modifying the StringToWordVector filter. For the modification and enhancing the capability in this filter we used the variable ‘wordstokeep’ for setting the appropriate words in the dictionary. The filter then chooses the size of the dictionary by counting the instances present in the dataset. We count the number of instances present in the dataset and assign this value to the wordstokeep parameter present in the filter to increase the no. of words in the dictionary. Thus, if the size of the dictionary is same as the number of instances present in the dataset then there will be less loss of words and there will be more words in the wordlist of the dictionary.

Further the length of the tokens is specified by the NGramTokenizer by which proper group of words can be created, that can be used by the classifier for classification.

It allows us to choose the length of the words like 1Gram or Unigram, 2Gram upto NGrams as shown in Figure 2.

VII. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

After performing the whole classification by using both the filters we got better results from the
CustomStringToWordVector filter. The Classification is performed on the 10000 movie reviews. The graph in the figure shows the comparison between the previous and the modified filter with the enhanced size of the dictionary. We measured the accuracy and precision of the classifier and compared it. The results of comparison are shown in the Figure below.

The performance measures are calculated as:

\[
\text{Accuracy} = \frac{\text{Sum of correctly classified reviews}}{\text{Total reviews}},
\]

\[
\text{Precision} = \frac{\text{TP}}{\text{TP+FP}},
\]

\[
\text{Recall} = \frac{\text{TP}}{\text{Number of positively oriented reviews in the dataset}}
\]

Where TP, FP are the True positive and False positive respectively obtained during the classification.

The graph in the Figure 3 shows the comparison of accuracy. Here we have compared the accuracy of Naïve Bayes (NB) and SVM classifier. After performing preprocessing tasks using STWV and CSTWV we got higher accuracy by using CSTWV filter for both NB and SVM. The values are in terms of percentage.

The chart in the Figure 4 shows comparison of various measurement parameters of Naïve Bayes Classifier. They are compared using the two filters (STWV and CSTWV). In this comparison we have used parameters like accuracy, precision, recall and F-Measure. In each parameter the result is improved using CSTWV filter. The value of each parameter is calculated in percentage.

The chart in the Figure 5 shows various measure of SVM classifier compared using STWV and CSTWV filters. Again, it shows higher values of the parameters for CSTWV filter compared to STWV filter. This comparison also marks that the SVM classifier is more powerful than Naïve Bayes. As it yields more accuracy by using each filter.

VIII. Conclusion

According to our proposed work we worked with the preprocessing tasks of the String to word vector filter. We enhanced the pre-processing features and increased the word list in the dictionary. The enriched dictionary with sufficient words along with the NGram tokenizer is used to get higher accuracy of classification. By the experiment and the modified filter, we got high accuracy and precision for both Naïve Bayes and SVM Classifiers. With the String to word vector filter we get Accuracy as 81% and 82.1% for Naïve Bayes and SVM respectively whereas by using Custom string to word vector filter we get 82.2% and 84.9% for NB and SVM respectively. Thus, we can conclude from this that the word list made by the filter and the pre-processing tasks plays an important role in enhancing the performance of the classifier. Also, the more the no. of words in the dictionary it is easy for the classifier to make comparisons and classify the dataset properly. It is concluded from this experiment that appropriate number of words along with proper tokenization method yields higher accuracy of classification.

We have used weka for this work. In future this work can be implemented in other tools also. Here we used String to word vector filter for pre-processing tasks and modified this filter.
in future this can be done for other filters also by using different tokenization methods and stemming algorithms.
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