Giant intracranial aneurysm following radiation therapy: literature review with a novel case discussion
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Summary. Background: The aim of this paper is to report the results of our review of the literature of published cases of intracranial aneurysms appearing after radiotherapy, and to present our case to add it to the current literature, in order to discuss the role of inflammation. Methods: We searched the PubMed database using combinations of the following MeSH terms: intracranial aneurysm, radiosurgery, radiotherapy, inflammatory changes in aneurysmal walls from 1967 to 2019. Results: 51 studies, for a total cohort of 60 patients, are described. The median latency between the radiation treatment and the diagnosis was 9.83 years, ranging from a minimum of 0.33 to a maximum of 33. The modality of rays’ administration was variable, and the dosage ranged from a minimum of 12 grays to a maximum of 177.2 grays. The anterior circulation appeared to be more frequently involved, and the most compromised vessel was the internal carotid artery. Radiation-induced vascular diseases have already been described in literature as well as RT-induced cellular and structural changes such as necrosis, macrophage or mononuclear cell infiltration, and several data support the role of inflammation in the development and remodelling of intracranial aneurysms, that, on one hand, favours them and, on the other, is necessary to their healing after endovascular treatment. Conclusions: Our team suggested a new insight in the management of these vascular lesions, which corresponds to a lower threshold when deciding whether or not to treat, and a longer and stricter follow-up.
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Introduction / Background

During the past years, the use of radiation for both diagnostic and therapeutic purposes has largely increased. Moreover, thanks to advances in multidisciplinary treatment, life expectancy of cancer patients is also increasing. This allows the observation of long-term complications/consequences of patients that underwent radiotherapy (RT).

Radiation-induced vascular diseases have already been described in literature, with a focus primarily on occlusive stroke and atherosclerosis (1,2), but various articles have also reported the formation of intracranial aneurysms. Even if it is hard to state whether or not there is a direct correlation between the exposure to ionizing radiation and the formation of intracranial aneurysms, and no clear pathognomonic findings have been described up to date, different authors report similar findings from a histopathological point of view when analysing vessels and aneurysmal walls. These findings include well known RT-induced cellular and structural changes such as necrosis, macrophage or...
mononuclear cell infiltration, intimal fibrosis, and intraluminal thrombotic material (3,4,5). In fact, there are several data supporting a role of inflammation in the development, remodelling, and rupture of intracranial aneurysms (IA), which add a further layer of complexity in IA pathogenesis (6,7). In this paper we report the results of our review of the literature of published cases of IA appearing after RT, and we present our case to add it to the current literature.

Methods

We reviewed the literature for published articles reporting IA documented via neuroimaging in patients that underwent RT. We searched the PubMed database using combinations of the following MeSH (Medical Subject Headings) terms: “intracranial aneurysm”, “radiosurgery”, “radiotherapy”, “brain aneurysm and inflammation”, “vessel wall imaging in IA”, “inflammatory changes in aneurysmal walls”, “ankylosing spondylarthitis brain aneurysm”. We also conducted a research with Google Scholar with the same MeSH terms. We considered only full papers and excluded abstracts. We did not exclude papers based on publication language.

Results

We chose to include 51 studies, for a total cohort of 59 patients plus an additional one described here. Age, sex, type of lesion requiring radiation therapy, vessel from which the aneurysm arose, latency between first radiation and diagnosis of aneurysm, presentation, dosage and modality of radiation treatment were registered. Mean age at diagnosis was 44.86 years (Min: 5; Max: 83), comprehending 38 females and 22 males. The most common lesion was nasopharyngeal carcinoma, accounting for up to 13 cases, followed by 8 medulloblastomas, 6 gliomas (5 LGG and 1 HGG), 6 vestibular schwannomas, 4 optic gliomas, 4 adenomas, 4 arteriovenous malformations, 3 craniopharyngiomas, 3 meningiomas, 2 metastases, 2 Ewing sarcomas, one germinoma, one chordoma, one chondrosarcoma, one retinoblastoma, and one lymphoma. Generally, the involvement of the anterior circulation appeared to be more frequent: the vessel from which the aneurysms arose was mostly the internal carotid artery (ICA), accounting for 25 cases, followed by the middle cerebral artery (MCA) and the anterior cerebral artery (ACA), each affected in 7 cases, and the anterior communicating artery (ACoA), implicated in 5 cases. Instead, the aneurysm arose from the anterior inferior cerebellar artery in 7 cases, from the posterior cerebral artery (PCA) in 6 cases, from the basilar artery in 3 cases, from the posterior inferior cerebellar artery (PICA) in 2 cases, and from the superior cerebellar artery (SCA) in only one case. In 39 of the cases the diagnosis was made following the rupture of the aneurysm. The median latency between the radiation treatment and the diagnosis was 9.83 years, ranging from a minimum of 0.33 to a maximum of 33 years. The modality of rays’ administration consisted in involved-field radiation therapy (IFRT) in 29 cases, whole-brain radiation therapy in 12 cases, gamma-knife in 11 cases, stereotactic radiotherapy in 4 cases, and brachytherapy in one case. The dosage ranged from a minimum of 12 grays to a maximum of 177.2 grays. (Tab. 1)

Case Presentation

In this paper we present the case of a 69-year-old female who referred to our Institution in April 2017 because of diplopia, left eye ptosis, and anisocoria with the left pupil wider than the right one. Neurological examination showed no other symptoms. On admission, the patient underwent brain Computed Tomography (CT) scan, Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) with and without contrast, and MR Angiography (MRA), showing the presence of an aneurysm (27 x 20 mm) of the supraclinoid segment of the left carotid artery (Fig1b). The multidisciplinary decision-making process brought us to recommend endovascular treatment (ET).

The patient’s medical history was characterized by ankylosing spondylarthitis treated with methotrexate and adalimumab, both reduced few months prior to hospitalization. In 2005, the patient underwent surgery for the treatment of gastric cancer, followed by chemotherapy with a negative follow-up. The patient
Table 1. Showing the results. HGG: high grade glioma; LGG: low grade glioma; NF: nasopharyngeal; MET: metastasis; OG: optic glioma; VS: vestibular schwannoma; RB: retinoblastoma; AVM: arteriovenous malformation.

| ARTICLE                        | AGE | SEX | LESION | VESSEL | LATENCY | RUPTURE | GRAY | TYPE  |
|--------------------------------|-----|-----|--------|--------|---------|---------|------|-------|
| Aichholzer et al., 2001        | 11  | M   | LGG    | ACoA   | 11      | YES     | 54   | IFRT  |
| Akai et al., 2015              | 65  | M   | AVM    | MCA    | 15      | NO      | 40   | GKS   |
| Akamatsu et al., 2009          | 83  | F   | VS     | AICA   | 8       | YES     | 12   | GKS   |
| Aoki et al., 2002              | 20  | F   | OG     | ICA    | 19      | YES     | 90   | IFRT  |
| Benson and Sung, 1989          | 21  | M   | MEDULLO| PCA    | 10      | YES     | 47,2 | WBRT  |
| Benson and Sung, 1989          | 31  | F   | MEDULLO| PCA    | 17      | YES     | 45   | WBRT  |
| Benson and Sung, 1989          | 14  | M   | MEDULLO| PCA    | 9       | YES     | 50   | WBRT  |
| Casey et al., 1993             | 65  | F   | LGG    | MCA    | 3,5     | YES     | 60   | IFRT  |
| Casey et al., 1993             | 44  | M   | AVM    | MCA    | 21      | YES     | 40   | WBRT  |
| Chen et al., 2004              | 55  | M   | NF CARCINO | ICA | 0,33  | YES | 81,8 | IFRT |
| Cheng et al., 2001             | 59  | M   | NF CARCINO | ICA | 7     | YES | 120  | IFRT |
| Cheng et al., 2008             | 57  | M   | NF CARCINO | ICA | 3     | YES | 128,4 | IFRT |
| Cheng et al., 2008             | 37  | M   | NF CARCINO | ICA | 2     | YES | 120  | IFRT |
| Dho et al., 2017               | 27  | M   | AVM    | MCA    | 10     | YES     | 36,5 | GKS   |
| Endo et al., 2011              | 62  | M   | ADENOMA| ICA    | 17     | YES     | 90,2 | GKS   |
| Fujita et al., 2014            | 29  | M   | ERWING | ICA    | 4      | YES     | 162  | SRS   |
| Fujita et al., 2014            | 61  | M   | MENINGIOMA | ICA | 11    | YES | 59,6 | SRS   |
| Gabriel et al., 2004           | 60  | F   | ADENOMA| ICA    | 29     | NO     | --   | BRACHY|
| Gomori et al., 1987            | 47  | M   | NF CARCINO | BASI- | 3     | YES | --   | IFRT |
| Gonzales-Portillo and Valdivia, 2006| 12  | M   | RB     | ACA + ICA | 12  | YES | 103  | IFRT |
| Gulati et al., 2014            | 30  | M   | NF CARCINO | ACA | 8     | YES | 60   | IFRT |
| Holodny et al., 1996           | 62  | F   | MET    | BASILI | 7     | YES | 31,8 | WBRT |
| Huang et al., 2001             | 19  | F   | AVM    | ACA    | 9     | NO     | 37,5 | SRS   |
| Hughes et al., 2015            | 57  | F   | VS     | AICA   | 10     | NO     | 39   | GKS   |
| Huh et al., 2012               | 77  | F   | CHONDRO| ACoA   | 8      | YES     | 59,4 | IFRT |
| Jensen and Wagner, 1997         | 9   | M   | MEDULLO| ACA    | 0,8    | YES | 48   | WBRT |
| John et al., 1993              | 55  | M   | NF CARCINO | ICA | 5     | YES | 66   | IFRT |
| Kamide et al., 2016            | 17  | M   | MEDULLO| PICA   | 8      | NO     | 55,8 | WBRT |
| Kelner et al., 2015            | 68  | F   | MENINGIOMA| SCA | 10     | NO     | 16 | GKS |
| Lam et al., 2001               | 47  | M   | NF CARCINO | ICA | 8      | YES | 116  | IFRT |
| Lam et al., 2001               | 55  | M   | NF CARCINO | ICA | 7      | YES | 66   | IFRT |
| Lam et al., 2001               | 65  | M   | NF CARCINO | ICA | 12     | YES | 111  | IFRT |
| Lau and Chow, 2005             | 53  | M   | NF CARCINO | ICA | 12     | NO   | 60   | IFRT |
| Liu et al., 2009               | 5   | M   | CRANIOFAR| ICA | 2     | NO   | 58,8 | IFRT |
| Louis et al., 2003             | 61  | M   | LYMPHOMA| ICA    | 27     | NO   | 43,5 | IFRT |
| Mak et al., 2000               | 72  | F   | NF CARCINO | ICA | 6     | YES | --   | IFRT |
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ARTICLE AGE SEX LESION VESSEL LATENCY RUPTURE GRAY TYPE

Maruyama et al., 2004 15 M OG ACA 14,6 YES 110 IFRT
Matsumoto et al., 2014 39 M GERMINOMA ICA 31 NO 60 --
Moriyama et al., 1992 51 F ADENOMA MCA + PCA 1 YES 50 IFRT
Murakami et al., 2002 30 M CRANIOFAR PCA + BASIL 19 NO 50 IFRT
Nanney et al., 2014 38 M MEDULLO PICA 33 NO 79,66 WBRT
Nishi et al., 1987 57 M ADENOMA ICA 9 NO 50 IFRT
Parag et al., 2016 40 F LGG MCA 3 NO 60 IFRT
Park et al., 2009 74 M VS AICA 5 YES 18 GKS
Pereira et al., 2002 19 F CRANIOFAR ICA 5 NO 54 IFRT
Scibba et al., 2006 24 M MEDULLO MCA 15 NO 55,8 WBRT
Scodary et al., 1990 59 M LGG ACA 12 YES 65 WBRT
Sunderland et al., 2014 60 F VS AICA 10 YES 25 GKS
Takao et al., 2006 69 F VS AICA 6 YES 18 GKS
Tamura et al., 2013 29 M ERWING ICA 4 YES 177,2 IFRT
Twitchell et al., 2018 37 M LGG ACoA 12 NO -- WBRT
Twitchell et al., 2018 38 F CHORDOMA PCA 1 NO -- --
Vogel et al., 2011 16 F OG ICA 1 YES 54 GKS
Woodin and Phatouros, 2018 53 M NF CARCINO ACoA 2 NO 66 IFRT
Wu et al., 2014 68 F MET ICA 3 NO 60 IFRT
Wu et al., 2016 17 M MEDULLO AICA 12 YES 132,5 WBRT
Yamaguchi et al., 2009 73 F VS AICA 6 YES 50 SRS
Yoon et al., 2011 57 M HGG ACA 0,8 YES 59,4 IFRT
Yucesoy et al., 2004 48 F OG ACoA 6 NO -- --
Present study 69 F MENINGIOMA ICA 18 NO 60 GKS

also underwent surgery in 1999 for an incomplete re-
moval of a left tentorial meningioma; further treat-
ment of the lesion was achieved with gamma-knife at
the isodose of 55%. Neuroimaging follow-up showed,
up to 2009, the optimum outcome regarding the re-
sidual meningioma and the absence of any vascular
malformation (Fig1a).

Endovascular Treatment (ET)

Due to the absence of headache and of bleeding
signs in the pre-procedural neuroimaging, we decided
to treat the patient endovascularly with Flow-Diverter
and coils. Administration of antiplatelet drugs (ASA
300 mg/die and Plavix 75 mg/die) was started five days
before the procedure.

Under general anaesthesia, in triaxial technique
(Vista Brite Tip 8F 95cm J&J and Neuron 6F 105cm
Penumbra), the M2 segment was reached with a mi-
crocatheter Headway 27 (Microvention) and Traxcess
microwire 0.014 (Microvention). Due to the difficulty
of reducing the microcatheter loop, we changed it with
a Scepter XC 4x11 balloon (Microvention). Inflating
the balloon, we were able to straighten the system.
Then, after deflating the Scepter, we removed it and
changed it with a microcatheter Headway 27. Thus,
the microcatheter Headway Duo (Microvention) with Traxcess 0.014 microwire (Microvention) was placed inside the aneurysm. A Fred stent 5x26 was deployed at the supraclinoid and intracavernous segments of ICA. Finally, in “jailing technique” we put coils into the aneurysmal dilatation (Fig 2).

Post-procedural observation showed no further new neurological deficits.

Two days after treatment, the patient suffered from a lipothymic episode with head trauma. A brain CT scan revealed a left sylvian subarachnoid haemorrhage (SAE) (Fig 3). No sign of recent haemorrhage was detected in the perimesencephalic space, whereas emergency angiography showed the stability of the treated aneurysm. The sylvian space bleeding was interpreted as a possible periprocedural complication due to a very distal vessel perforation or as a post-traumatic haemorrhage, and not as a rupture of the aneurysm. Therefore, given the good neurological state, the follow-up was observational, and a brain CT scan obtained 10 days later showed complete resolution of the haemorrhage.

Five months after the successful endovascular treatment, the patient referred again to our Institution and was subsequently hospitalized. Brain MRI and MRA showed once again stability of the treated aneurysm, with signs of thrombosis in the aneurysmal sac, aneurysmal wall enhancement (AWE), enlarged ventricles, and signs of transepidermal oedema. Because of the concurrent presence of symptoms related to hydrocephalus, such as gait impairment, together with the previously known ptosis and anisocoria, a ventriculo-peritoneal shunt with adjustable valve was placed (VPS) (Polaris - Sophisa set at 150 mmH₂O).

Radiological and clinical 3-year follow-up showed smaller ventricles, absence of transepidermal oedema, and regression of the neurological deficits: isocoria, normal eyelid movements, and no gait impairment. At
Figure 2. a) DSA lateral view. Working projection shows the loop of the microwire inside the Aneurysm; b) DSA lateral view shows the deployment of the Fred stent and the coils inside the Aneurysm

Figure 3. CT scan revealing left sylvian SAE
angiographic follow up, the treated aneurysm resulted completely excluded, the indirect sign of inflammation, AWE, was also reduced, and the aneurysmal sac was completely filled with coils and thrombotic material (Fig 4a and 4b).

Figure 4. a) T1-weighted image in 2017. The enhancement inside the Aneurysm is the sign of inflammation; b) T1-weighted image in 2020. Strong reduction of the enhancement inside the Aneurysm and strong improvement of neurological symptoms

Discussion

Vernooij et al. report an incidence of steno-occlusive changes after RT of 1.8% (9), while Omura et al. report an incidence as high as 19% (10). The first case of IA following RT was reported in 1967, and since then few case reports and very short case series have been described. Adib et al. underline how the problem of radiation-induced aneurysms could be at the same time underreported, because of the cease of the novelty, and overreported, because of the unique type of presentation. Therefore, the real incidence of aneurysms emerging after RT is yet to be established, but a recent nationwide study conducted over a 10-year follow-up found that RT was a significant risk factor for IA development (11).

Cerebral aneurysms that arise in previously irradiated fields appear to be more susceptible to rupture; this consideration must be taken into account in the decision-making process when facing an incidental aneurysm. Although there is no clear causal connection between RT and the formation of IAs, some authors hypothesize that the integrity of the parent artery wall is degraded by radiation, making it more vulnerable to shear stress (5). In addition, histopathological examinations showed changes in the cellular composition of irradiated vessels. Lubimova and Hopewell demonstrated reduction in endothelial cells within 24 hours of radiation in a rat brain irradiated with 25Gy (7). Another study showed how large cultures of endothelial cells exposed to radiation were more likely to adhere to neutrophils and platelet cells (8). Endothelial dysfunction is related to IA formation in experimental models, and partial or total de-endothelialisation is associated with human IA rupture (12). Chronic inflammation has become understood as an important phenomenon in IA wall pathobiology (13), with a role in probable biological processes leading to IA formation, growth and rupture.

Inflammation is a proapoptotic state, but chronic inflammation seems to have multiple functions in IA wall, favouring both IA wall degeneration and reparative mechanisms.

Radiation may also induce an inflammatory cascade, including the release of cytokines and growth factors necessary for tissue healing (14).
The inflammation of the aneurysmal sac, which is considered a sign of instability, is common in aneurysms that arise following radiotherapy. It appears in fact that common denominators in the histopathological analysis of these aneurysms are the presence of active macrophages, neovascularization and decreased elastin (3,4,5).

Several studies have suggested that aneurysmal wall enhancement (AWE) on MRI may help in identifying unruptured intracranial aneurysms with a higher risk of rupture, since aneurysms exhibiting AWE have been shown to be significantly more prone to be unstable than those which do not display it (15,16,17). Recent systematic review and meta-analysis have demonstrated that aneurysms which demonstrate AWE are significantly more likely to be unstable than those which do not exhibit wall enhancement (18).

In our case, even if a histopathological examination was not achievable due to the chosen treatment, the indirect sign of the aneurysmal wall inflammation, which is represented by the AWE (17), was present at the MRI study right after treatment, and was significantly reduced after treatment during the 3-year follow-up.

It should however be mentioned that ET could have local or global intracranial effects. Early AWE was previously reported to likely represent the normal healing process of early acute inflammatory reactions (19). AWE is a phenomenon that in most cases remains stable over years (20,21), and several studies conclude that it should be considered an expected post treatment finding (19,20,22).

It is interesting to notice that, in our case, symptoms appeared only few months after the patient’s immunosuppressant therapy for the treatment of spondylarthitis was reduced. The MRI acquired after the ET but before the placement of VPS showed effects of chronic inflammation: the aneurysmal sac was filled with thrombotic material and enhanced after administration of gadolinium; the symptomatic hydrocephalus with enlarged ventricle and the transependymal oedema were also registered as effects of chronic inflammation (19). This case is peculiar because the patient presented a chronic systemic proinflammatory state on top of which the radiating therapy added its effects locally, resulting in a giant aneurysm and in the further development of hydrocephalus. In support of our thesis, the clinical and radiological findings were recorded only few months after the patient’s immunomodulating therapy was reduced, and they were found to be significantly reduced after ET when corticosteroid therapy was reintroduced (20). The inflammatory process happening inside the treated aneurysm, induced by the presence of the flow-diverter, permits the healing of the aneurysm; therefore, systemic immunosuppressive therapy could potentially interfere with and favour the healing process. On the other hand, when a chronic inflammatory state is present, and an RT-induced aneurysm is detected, corticosteroid drugs could find a use in the follow-up of these patients. We are well aware that a single case does not allow to obtain an ultimate truth, but it is enough to raise doubts and ask questions.

Conclusion

Different theories have incorporated a combined explanation for IA formation, that includes haemodynamic stress, endothelial dysfunction, and inflammation (15,23,24), which all contribute to the production of the pro-inflammatory phenotype. Intracranial aneurysms that arise from previously irradiated fields are an uncommon long-term complication when compared to other vascular issues such as stenosis or atherosclerosis. These aneurysms are particularly fragile and tend to have a higher risk of rupture, and therefore a more dramatic type of presentation. Thus, it is of primary importance to warrant special attention to RT-induced aneurysms when diagnosed. Our team suggested a new insight in the management of IAs, which corresponds to a lower threshold for treatment indication of these incidental unruptured aneurysms, and a longer and stricter follow-up, moreover given the high level of wall instability associated with the already described inflammation state a peri-procedural steroid administration would be advisable. The decision of the optimal treatment, either surgical or endovascular, should be done case by case.
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