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Benthic invertebrates collected by the RV ‘Walther Herwig I and II’ in the Southwestern Atlantic Ocean (1966-1978): a review of the Zoological Museum of Hamburg invertebrates collection
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ABSTRACT. Digitally accessible Primary Biodiversity Data (PBD) are currently available through a number of web-based platforms. This information is allowing for a growing number of ecological, biodiversity informatics or conservation projects. Most of this information comes from Natural History Collections (NHC) worldwide. Despite well-known limitations, NHC data are particularly useful as a source of data on invertebrates, which comprise about 99% of animal life. However, a presumably very high amount of PBD is still not digitally accessible. Even the most important scientific collections in developed countries are not fully inventoried or digitally accessible. Furthermore, species determination rates remain alarmingly low for some collections, and most existing determinations probably should be retested. This is particularly true of expensive, difficult-to-obtain deep-sea benthic samples. This paper reviews the database on material collected by the German RV ‘Walther Herwig I and II’ during 1966-1978 research cruises to the Southwestern Atlantic Ocean (SAO), stored in the invertebrate collection (invertebrates except Mollusca, Arthropoda and Annelida) of the Zoological Museum of Hamburg. We found that out of 3,305 records, at least 204 species were identified, 72% are still undetermined at species level and 65% at genus level. While sampling of more remote geographic areas and the use of a wider variety of sampling methods are still necessary, supporting the training of an army of taxonomists will be of paramount importance to overcome the daunting task of analyzing the huge number of samples stored in museum collections. A community-wide effort is urgently needed to address this issue, and international cooperation must play a key role in this endeavor.
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Invertebrados bentónicos recolectados por el BI “Walther Herwig I y II” en el Océano Atlántico Sudoccidental (1966-1978): una revisión de la colección de invertebrados del Museo Zoológico de Hamburgo

RESUMEN. Actualmente, los datos primarios de biodiversidad (DPB) accesibles digitalmente están disponibles a través de una serie de plataformas basadas en la web. Esta información ha posibilitado la generación de un número creciente de proyectos ecológicos, de informática de la biodiversidad o de conservación. La mayor parte de esta información proviene de las Colecciones de Historia Natural (CHN) de todo el mundo. A pesar de sus conocidas limitaciones, los datos de las CHN son particularmente útiles como fuente de datos sobre invertebrados, que comprenden alrededor del 99% de la vida animal. Sin embargo una cantidad presuntamente muy alta de DPB todavía no es accesible digitalmente. Incluso las colecciones científicas más importantes de los países desarrollados no se...
Natural history collections (NHC) worldwide harbour more than 3 billion of specimen records (Pyke and Ehrlich 2010). Multiple collectors over long periods of time have developed these ad hoc data sets which contain invaluable information on past and present biodiversity (Krishtalka and Humphrey 2000). Over the last years, this huge reservoir of information has been available since computing and the exchange of data through networks allowed solving the problems of digitizing, georeferencing and data distribution. Therefore, a large amount of museum data is, and many more will be, available to worldwide users.

The Southern Ocean has been the focus of oceanographic research since the late 17th century, although it is only since the early 1960s that international research in the area has begun to grow in importance. During this time, the scientific and technical systems of Argentina, Brazil and Uruguay were boosted by increasing connectivity due to the establishment of research networks and the development of bilateral programmes. Joint scientific efforts included cruises carried out by research vessels from Germany (‘Walther Herwig I and II’, ‘Meteor’), USA (‘Vema I’ and ‘Atlantis II’), Japan (‘Kaiyo Maru’, ‘Orient Maru’, ‘Shinkai Maru’), Russia (‘Evrika’, ‘Dimitry Stefanov’) and Poland (‘Professor Siedlecki’), triggering the development of regional ocean sciences (Angelescu and Sánchez 1995). In particular, bilateral research programmes in the second half of the 20th century (e.g. Argentina-Germany exploration programmes) included four visits of the German RV Walther Herwig in 1966, 1968, 1970-1971 (Schmidt 1971) and 1978. The main objective of these research programmes, within the FAO Project of Fishery Development framework, was the survey and assessment of fishery resources of the Patagonian shelf (Angelescu and Sánchez 1995).

During the ‘Walther Herwig I and II’ (hereafter WH) cruises in the 1960s and 1970s, a wealth of material was collected in hundreds of stations in the Southwestern Atlantic Ocean (SAO). Most of these benthic invertebrate samples are currently stored in the Zoological Museum of Hamburg (ZMH), whose nine research collections house more than ten million of scientific objects. Established in 1843 as the Hamburg Museum of Natural History, the Museum was later renamed as the State Institute of Zoology and then the ZMH, before it was finally incorporated into Universität Hamburg in 1969 (Köstering 2018). Recently, it fused with the Zoological Research Museum Koenig in Bonn to form the Leibniz Institute for the Analysis of Biodiversity Change (LIB). The material is separated into four collections: Invertebrates, Malacology, Annelida and Crustacea. This work analysed inventoried records housed in the Invertebrates Collection database of ZMH collected by the WH between 1966-1978. In addition, it analysed the taxonomic coverage, spatial informa-
tion, including depth of the oceanographic stations. This will provide a comprehensive synthesis on the current status and potentialities of the Primary Biodiversity Data (PBD) associated with this particular collection, in order to encourage further similar research in NHC worldwide.

Research cruises herein analysed covered a large portion of the SAO off Brazil, Uruguay and Argentina. The Brazilian continental margin is strongly influenced by the western contour currents, the Brazil Current (BC) flowing southward and the Brazilian Northern Current (BNC) flowing northward. The BC, which is shallowest between 15° S and 20° S, transports saline and oligotrophic tropical waters, receiving additional contribution from the South Atlantic Central Waters (SACW), reaching a vertical extension of about 500 m, and continues to flow southwards towards the Subtropical Convergence (33° S-38° S) where it merges with the Malvinas Current and then flows away from the coast to the east (Burone et al. 2021).

In the confluence zone, the Río de la Plata estuary represents the greatest freshwater inflow to the region, being one of the few geographical features (i.e., Valdés Peninsula, North Patagonic gulfs, and the Magallanes Strait) that influences water circulation at a regional scale (Miloslavich et al. 2011). Thus, the confluence of Malvinas and Brazil currents, together with the abundant terrestrial runoff of Río de la Plata, and the relatively shallow waters of the area, combine to produce a singular hydrographic system (Acha et al. 2008; Franco-Fraguas et al. 2014).

The Patagonian Shelf (PS) extends for about 5,649 km along the Atlantic coast of South America from northern Uruguay (33° 51′ 21″ S, 53° 11′ 43″ W) to the southern tip of Argentina, bordering Chile (54° 55′ 39″ S, 64° 52′ 12″ W). The area of the PS extends more than 3 million km² in Uruguayan and Argentine territories and comprises coastal environments, the continental shelf itself, the slope, and ocean basins. Its continental shelf is generally up to 100 m in depth, and is the largest and one of the most productive ecosystems in the southern hemisphere (Acha et al. 2004; Miloslavich et al. 2011). Most of the PS is thus influenced by the Malvinas current, which originates in the Antarctic circumpolar current and carries a high nutrient load north along the Argentine and Uruguayan coasts. Nutrient-poor waters of the Brazil current meet the Malvinas current as it moves southward along the edge of the slope (Piola et al. 2010). In the confluence or transition zone (from 30° S to 46° S), a series of oceanographic phenomena (eddies, marine fronts, etc.) allows for high biological production (Franco-Fraguas et al. 2014; Burone et al. 2021).

Thus, the geographical coverage of WH expeditions during the period 1966-1978 constitutes an invaluable sampling design, allowing lines of research and the analysis of biogeographic patterns at an enormous spatial realm, among others. For most taxonomic groups, however, species determination of benthic invertebrates needs thorough revision. The estimated number of taxonomists devoted to invertebrates in this region is low, and most research is focused on molluscs and crustaceans (Meier and Dikow 2004; Miloslavich et al. 2011).

We reviewed the ZMH invertebrates collection database and checked material from WH cruises from 1966 to 1978. The database was provided as several electronic spreadsheets, separated by taxonomic groups, often including two different spreadsheets for determined and undetermined material. We then analysed the number of records (i.e., assigned inventory number that may refer to a single or several individuals or colonies) and quantified the ratio of determined lower taxa (species and genus) to total samples. Assigned names were provided as is, since it was beyond our aim to review species concepts and/or nomenclatural issues. Due to different format of associated data, information on geographic coordinates of records was extracted (when available), very often by cross-checking the information provided against station lists and...
geographic references provided in other databases. Then, this georeferenced information was incorporated in a Geographic Information System, further detecting, fixing or deleting ‘suspicious’ coordinates due to error in data entering or handling. These records were mapped. Statistics on the spatial distribution of records were calculated, and particularly, an overview of the distribution of sampling effort associated with the Marine Ecoregions of the World (MEOWs) framework (Spalding et al. 2007) was provided. When available, depth-distribution of the samples was also registered.

The database herein assembled includes 3,305 records, comprising material from seven animal Phyla, namely Porifera, Cnidaria, Brachiopoda, Bryozoa, Echinodermata, Hemichordata and Chordata. Eighty six percent of records (2,840) were georeferenced and associated with 8 MEOWs, representing Eastern Brazil, South-eastern Brazil, Rio Grande, Uruguay-Buenos Aires Shelf, Malvinas, Patagonian Shelf, North Patagonian Gulfs and Channels and Fjords of Southern Chile. Nearly 80% of records were concentrated in three MEOWs: Malvinas (N = 947), Patagonian Shelf (N = 797) and Uruguay-Buenos Aires Shelf (N = 458). Some 242 records fall outside the limits of MEOWs (i.e., 200 NM) and are thus referred to as collected from international waters (Figure 1). From the total, 2,853 records had associated depth data, showing an overall depth range from 1,400 to 33 m. Nearly half of the records (1,507) came from depths shallower than 200 m.

Cnidaria was the best represented phylum, with 1,518 records, including hydrozoans (417 records) and anthozoans, comprising both hexacorallians (Antipatharia, Scleractinia, Corallimorpharia and Actiniaria) and octocorallians (Pennatularia and Alcyonacea). From the total number of records, 204 differently determined lower taxa were found, including determination of morphospecies (e.g., ‘sp.’ and ‘sp. 1’), but more than 72% of the material is still undetermined (and some probably unsorted) at species level. Excluding morphospecies (i.e., not counting preliminary species determinations such as ‘antarctica group’, ‘aff.’ and ‘cf.’, etc.), only 163 species names are mentioned in the database. If we look at genus, 65% of records still lack determination (Table 1).

Groups with better taxonomic coverage include Anthozoa (Scleractinia, Corallimorpharia and Actiniaria), with all samples present in the database determined at species level. In particular, the high degree of taxonomic coverage in Actiniaria is due to the extensive work of Riemann-Zürneck (1973, 1975a, 1975b, 1978, 1980, 1986a, 1986b) on this material. However, there are 524 samples labelled as ‘Anthozoa indet’, and it is unclear whether all Scleractinia, Corallimorpharia and Actiniaria have been determined, or whether unidentified Anthozoa may include further records of these groups (Table 1). In this line, for four decades new species of Anthozoa have continued to be discovered from this material (Cairns 2012).

Amongst Echinodermata, Argentine researchers such as Bernasconi (1972, 1973) studied the ophiuroids, echinoids and asteroids from the 1966 cruise, and Hernández (1982) studied the holothuroids. According to Brogger and O’Hara (2015), WH ophiuroids from 1971 were studied by Bartsch (1982). In spite of this, virtually all Asteroidea and 96% of Ophiuroidea samples are undetermined, as are roughly half of Holothuroidea and Crinoidea. Thus, the current status of the taxonomic coverage of the Echinodermata is far from complete. This, however, does not reflect insufficient taxonomic knowledge, but rather a simple lack of time and/or opportunity to cross-check determinations made by Argentine researchers using essentially the same material. Voucher specimens seem to be deposited both in Argentina (Museo Argentino de Ciencias Naturales –MACN) and in the ZMH. For example, and concerning Ophiuroidea, Brogger and O’Hara reported material identified by Bernasconi (1973) from Walther Herwig Stn. 277 (1966), as Ophiacantha vivipara Ljungman, 1871.
deposited in Argentina (MACN 27263). No Ophiuroids from this station are stored in the ZMH, although there are Cnidarians and Bryozoans from the same station. However, material for O. vivipara is present in the ZMH collection, but labelled generically concerning the procedence (i.e., ‘WH 1966, Patagonischer Schelf, 36°52’-54°51’S 54°01’-63°51’ W, 95-800 m’).

This database is thus a ‘Gold mine’ of unreported PBD, gathered using a standardised methodology and thus avoiding one of the most common problems of museum collections: a skewed abundance distribution due to collectors’ potentially self-selecting underrepresented species over common ones (Guralnick and Cleve 2005). This collection further provides an excellent coverage...
from the study region, and it is hard to provide an exact figure of how costly it will be to implement the same sampling effort nowadays, but probably ranging in the order of tens of millions in current currency.

Once taxonomic work progresses, these data based on qualitative sampling can be rapidly assessed to produce biogeographic or macroecological studies. Meanwhile, entire regions may remain poorly or completely uninventoried, forcing conservation decisions to be based in incomplete data (Guralnick and Cleve 2005). Since there is an urgent need for the availability of this information, we must encourage support and funding for comprehensive inventories of marine invertebrates by trained researchers in taxonomy.

In this context, the decreasing number of taxonomists worldwide should be enhanced by means of global initiatives such as NSF-PEET and DIVERSITa. NSF-funded Planetary Biodiversity Inventories (PBI) targets the global coverage of a given Taxon, while the Partnerships for Enhancing Expertise in Taxonomy (PEET) focus in training the next generation of taxonomists. Yet even so, taxonomy seems to be facing the same chronic issues: inadequate funding and the lack of training and recruitment of taxonomists (Britz et al. 2020). This trend has to be quickly reversed. Otherwise, and with little or no specialists available worldwide for a number of taxonomic groups, we will not be able to exploit the information stored in NHCs.

Table 1. Summary of taxonomic coverage of samples stored in the Invertebrates II Collection of the Zoological Museum of Hamburg (ZMH). See text for details.

| Phylum         | Original taxon name in the | Total samples | Determined (species) | Determined (genera) | % determined (species) |
|----------------|---------------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| Brachiopoda    | Brachiopoda               | 104           |                      |                     | 0                      |
| Bryozoa        | Bryozoa                   | 142           |                      |                     | 0                      |
| Chordata       | Asciacea                  | 239           | 22                   |                     | 9                      |
| Cnidaria       | Anthozoa                  | 528           |                      |                     | 0                      |
| Cnidaria       | Antipatharia              | 3             |                      |                     | 0                      |
| Cnidaria       | Pennatulatula             | 108           | 2                    | 2                   | 2                      |
| Cnidaria       | Alcyonacea                | 196           | 93                   | 103                 | 47                     |
| Cnidaria       | Hydrozoa                  | 417           | 302                  | 115                 | 72                     |
| Cnidaria       | Scleractinia              | 92            | 92                   |                     | 1                      |
| Cnidaria       | Corallimorpharia          | 2             | 2                    |                     | 1                      |
| Cnidaria       | Actiniaria                | 172           | 172                  |                     | 1                      |
| Echinodermata  | Asteroidea                | 530           | 1                    |                     | 0                      |
| Echinodermata  | Ophiuroidea               | 137           | 6                    |                     | 4                      |
| Echinodermata  | Crinoidea                 | 9             | 4                    |                     | 44                     |
| Echinodermata  | Holothuroidea             | 39            | 20                   | 3                   | 51                     |
| Echinodermata  | Echinoidea                | 211           | 208                  | 3                   | 99                     |
| Hemichordata   | Pterobranchia             | 17            |                      |                     | 0                      |
| Porifera       | Porifera                  | 359           | 19                   |                     | 0                      |
| **Total**      |                           | **3,305**     | **924**              | **245**             | **28**                 |
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