To assess household transmission of pandemic (H1N1) 2009 in San Antonio, Texas, USA, during April 15–May 8, 2009, we investigated 77 households. The index case-patient was defined as the household member with the earliest onset date of symptoms of acute respiratory infection (ARI), influenza-like illness (ILI), or laboratory-confirmed pandemic (H1N1) 2009. Median interval between illness onset in index and secondary case-patients was 4 days (range 1–9 days); the index case-patient was likely to be ≤18 years of age (p = 0.034). The secondary attack rate was 4% for pandemic (H1N1) 2009, 9% for ILI, and 13% for ARI. The secondary attack rate was highest for children <5 years of age (8%–19%) and lowest for adults ≥50 years of age (4%–12%). Early in the outbreak, household transmission primarily occurred from children to other household members and was lower than the transmission rate for seasonal influenza.

On April 15 and 17, 2009, the first 2 cases of pandemic (H1N1) 2009 in the United States were identified among children in California; within 10 weeks, the strain was identified in 99 countries or territories (1). Texas was the second US state to confirm human transmission of pandemic (H1N1) 2009. On April 24, 2009, the Texas Department of State Health Services reported 2 patients with laboratory-confirmed pandemic (H1N1) 2009 infection in Guadalupe County. The strain was similar to that isolated previously from patients in Mexico and California (2). On June 11, 2009, the World Health Organization raised the pandemic alert to phase 6, indicating that a global pandemic was under way (3).

Characterizing transmission dynamics in various settings, such as households, schools, and the community, is critical to the development of appropriate guidance and public health interventions. Household contacts of persons with seasonal influenza are at increased risk for infection (4–7), but the household transmission characteristics of pandemic (H1N1) 2009 have yet to be fully characterized. This study reports household secondary attack rates and serial time intervals between illness onset in the index case-patient to illness onset in a household contact. We investigated persons with laboratory-confirmed pandemic (H1N1) 2009 and their household contacts in 1 health service region of Texas.

Methods

Population

The Texas Department of State Health Services consists of 11 health service regions. We conducted our investigation in Health Service Region 8, which includes 28 counties in south-central Texas, bordered on the south by Mexico (Figure). Approximately 2.4 million persons live in the region; 1.5 million live in the city of San Antonio (8).
Case Definitions

We defined a laboratory-confirmed case-patient as a resident of Health Service Region 8 who had a positive respiratory specimen showing nucleic acid sequences unique to pandemic (H1N1) 2009; a real-time reverse transcription-PCR (rRT-PCR) assay was used to detect the virus (9). For persons with no laboratory test performed, we assessed whether they had influenza-like illness (ILI), defined as fever (measured or unmeasured) with either cough or sore throat; or acute respiratory infection (ARI), defined as ≥2 of the following signs or symptoms: fever, cough, sore throat, and rhinorrhea. The index case-patient was defined as the household member with the earliest symptom onset date of ARI, ILI, or laboratory-confirmed pandemic (H1N1) 2009. A secondary case-patient was defined as a household member with ARI, ILI, or laboratory-confirmed pandemic (H1N1) 2009 and symptom onset 1–9 days after symptom onset in the index case-patient. We chose the maximum interval of 9 days because shedding of seasonal influenza virus uncommonly lasts >8 days (10) and the median incubation period for seasonal influenza is ≈1.4 days (11). Household members were defined as persons who lived at the same address as a case-patient who had laboratory-confirmed pandemic (H1N1) 2009 infection.

Sample Collection and Laboratory Testing

Nasal wash samples were collected from military servicemen and their household family members, and nasopharyngeal swabs were collected from all others. Nasal wash samples were sent to the Epidemiology Laboratory Service at the United States Air Force School of Aerospace Medicine; nasopharyngeal swabs were sent to the regional public health laboratory in San Antonio. We used rRT-PCR to test all respiratory samples for seasonal influenza (A/H1 and A/H3 influenza viruses). Specimens positive for influenza A but negative for seasonal influenza by rRT-PCR were sent to the Centers for Disease Control and Preven-
tion (CDC) for confirmatory testing for pandemic (H1N1) 2009 (12).

Statistical Analysis
We calculated the serial interval as the number of days from the onset date of illness in the index case-patient to onset date of illness in the secondary case-patient. Secondary household attack rates were calculated by dividing the number of secondary case-patients (excluding the index case-patient) by the total number of household members (excluding the index case-patient). Secondary case-patients for ILI and ARI attack rates also included laboratory-confirmed case-patients. We compared characteristics between groups by using the \( \chi^2 \) test or Fisher exact test for categorical data and the Wilcoxon signed-rank test for continuous variables (13).

Ethics
The collection of information about cases of pandemic (H1N1) 2009 was part of the emergency public health practice response and was not deemed to be research in accordance with the federal human subjects protection regulations (45 Code of Federal Regulations 46.101c and 46.102d) and CDC’s Guidelines for Defining Public Health Research and Public Health Non-Research. All protocols pertaining to the pandemic were reviewed for protection concerns and the necessity of Institutional Review Board review by the CDC’s National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases (NCIRD) Human Subjects Contact and the NCIRD Associate Director of Science.

Results
We identified 110 persons with laboratory-confirmed pandemic (H1N1) 2009 infection. We were unable to contact 23 (21%) of these persons, and 3 (3%) did not agree to provide further information. Of 84 persons with laboratory-confirmed pandemic (H1N1) 2009 infection who provided information, 77 (92%) lived with \( \geq 1 \) persons. These 77 households comprised 349 persons; the median household size was 4 persons (range 2–9 persons), including the index case-patient. Seventy five percent of household interviews were conducted \( \geq 8 \) days (range 0–24 days) after the onset of infection in the index case-patient.

From household interviews, we identified an additional 47 persons who reported respiratory symptoms or had laboratory evidence of pandemic (H1N1) 2009 infection: 13 persons with laboratory-confirmed pandemic (H1N1) 2009 infection, 24 persons with ILI, and 10 persons whose illness met the case definition for ARI only. We did not classify 15 of these persons as secondary case-patients: 8 persons had the same date of symptom onset as the index case-patient; we could not establish the date of symptom onset for 3 persons; and 4 persons reported illness onset 10–15 days after the index case-patient. In 1 household where 2 persons had ILI, 1 had a nasopharyngeal swab that was positive for pandemic (H1N1) 2009; the other was positive for influenza A, but the subtype could not be determined, possibly because of the quality of the sample or because 9 days had elapsed between illness and sample collection, thus decreasing viral load. We considered this person to have laboratory-confirmed pandemic (H1N1) 2009 on the basis of an epidemiologic link to another laboratory-confirmed case. In 2 households where secondary case-patients were identified, nasal swab samples were obtained from members of all 7 households; 1 person, 14 years of age, who did not report any respiratory symptoms, was positive for pandemic (H1N1) 2009 infection.

Among the 97 symptomatic laboratory-confirmed case-patients (84 identified through case finding and 13 through household investigation), illness onset dates ranged from April 11 through May 8, 2009. Eleven (11%) were \( \leq 4 \) years of age, 61 (63%) 5–18 years of age, 22 (23%) 19–49 years of age, and 3 (3%) \( \geq 50 \) years of age. Forty-six (47%) were male. The most common signs and symptoms were fever (93%), cough (91%), rhinorrhea (70%), headache (67%), and sore throat (58%). Vomiting was reported by 26% and diarrhea by 25%. Ninety-two percent of laboratory-confirmed case-patients met the definition for ARI, and 85% met the definition for ILI. One laboratory-confirmed case-patient was hospitalized: a child who was admitted to the hospital with pneumonia in early April. No deaths occurred. Compared with household contacts who did not have laboratory-confirmed pandemic (H1N1) 2009 or did not report respiratory illness, laboratory-confirmed case-patients (index and secondary) were significantly younger (median age 17 vs. 24 years; \( p=0.001 \)).

Secondary case-patients were found in 24 (31%) of 77 households; 5 had 2 secondary case-patients, and 1 had 3 case-patients (Table 1). Secondary infections appeared most likely to be transmitted between children (12/32, 38%) or children to adults (10/32, 31%) than from adults to children (6/32, 19%) or adults to adults (4/32, 13%) (\( p = 0.034 \)). The median serial interval for ARI, ILI, and laboratory-confirmed pandemic (H1N1) 2009 combined was 4 days (range 1–9 days) (Table 1; online Appendix Figure, www.cdc.gov/EID/content/16/4/631-appF.htm). Antiviral treatment was given to the index case-patient of 23 (72%) of 32 secondary case-patients; in these households, the serial interval was 3 days, compared with 5 days when the index case-patient was not given treatment (\( p = 0.17 \)). Inclusion of 5 household contacts with illness that occurred 10–15 days after symptom onset of the index case-patient did not alter the median serial interval estimate. The median serial interval also remained unchanged when only members of households interviewed \( \geq 9 \) days after the onset of symptoms in the household index case-patient were
included. Limiting the estimate of median serial interval to include only persons with ILI or laboratory-confirmed case-patients reduced the median serial interval to 3 days (range 1–8 days).

The secondary household attack rate was 13% for ARI, 9% for ILI, and 4% for laboratory-confirmed pandemic (H1N1) 2009 (Table 2). Secondary attack rates were highest in children <5 years of age and were higher in children 5–18 years of age than in adults 19–49 and ≥50 years of age (Table 2). By household size, secondary attack rates for ARI, ILI, and laboratory-confirmed pandemic (H1N1) 2009 were highest in households with 2–3 persons (ARI 23%, ILI 23%, laboratory-confirmed pandemic [H1N1] 2009 6%) and were lowest in households with 7–9 persons (ARI 9%, ILI 9%, laboratory-confirmed pandemic [H1N1] 2009 2%) (online Appendix Table 1, www.cdc.gov/EID/content/16/4/631-appT1.htm). The secondary household attack rate did not differ by receipt of seasonal influenza vaccination in the previous 12 months (online Appendix Table 2, www.cdc.gov/EID/content/16/4/631-appT2.htm).

Treatment with antiviral medication was given to 77% of index case-patients (57/74 of persons with ARI, ILI, and laboratory-confirmed pandemic [H1N1] 2009 combined for whom data were available) and 72% of secondary cases (23/32 of ARI, ILI, and laboratory-confirmed pandemic [H1N1] 2009 combined); 90% took oseltamivir; 7% took zanamivir; and 3% took an unknown type of antiviral medication. Neither the age of the index case-patient, household

| Household | Date of onset | Age, y | Case definition | Date of onset | Age, y | Case definition | Serial interval, d† |
|-----------|--------------|--------|-----------------|--------------|--------|-----------------|-------------------|
| A         | Apr 18       | 14‡    | A, no subtype   | Apr 25       | 21     | Pandemic (H1N1) 2009 | 7                 |
| B         | Apr 19       | 5      | Pandemic (H1N1) 2009 | Apr 21       | 9      | Pandemic (H1N1) 2009 | 2                 |
| C         | Apr 22       | 18     | Pandemic (H1N1) 2009 | Apr 25       | <1     | Pandemic (H1N1) 2009 | 3                 |
| D         | Apr 26       | 1      | Pandemic (H1N1) 2009 | May 4        | 27     | Pandemic (H1N1) 2009 | 2                 |
| E         | Apr 26       | 16     | Pandemic (H1N1) 2009 | Apr 27       | 51     | Pandemic (H1N1) 2009 | 1                 |
| F         | Apr 27       | <1     | Pandemic (H1N1) 2009 | Apr 29       | 22     | Pandemic (H1N1) 2009 | 2                 |
| G         | Apr 27       | 16     | Pandemic (H1N1) 2009 | May 1        | 16     | Pandemic (H1N1) 2009 | 4                 |
| H         | Apr 29       | 6      | Pandemic (H1N1) 2009 | Apr 3        | <1     | Pandemic (H1N1) 2009 | 1                 |
| I         | May 3        | 33     | Pandemic (H1N1) 2009 | May 7        | 15     | Pandemic (H1N1) 2009 | 4                 |
| Subtotal no. case-patients | 9 | 13 | 3 (1–9) |
| J         | Apr 20       | 17     | Pandemic (H1N1) 2009 | Apr 26       | 14     | ARI              | 6                 |
| K         | Apr 24       | 71     | Pandemic (H1N1) 2009 | Apr 27       | 65     | ILI              | 3                 |
| L         | Apr 25       | 16     | Pandemic (H1N1) 2009 | Apr 27       | 16     | ILI              | 2                 |
| M         | Apr 25       | 12     | Pandemic (H1N1) 2009 | Apr 28       | 30     | ARI              | 3                 |
| N         | Apr 26       | 30     | Pandemic (H1N1) 2009 | May 1        | 28     | ARI              | 5                 |
| O         | Apr 27       | 33     | Pandemic (H1N1) 2009 | May 5        | 53     | ARI              | 8                 |
| P         | Apr 28       | 25     | Pandemic (H1N1) 2009 | May 4        | 14     | ILI              | 6                 |
| Q         | Apr 29       | 1      | Pandemic (H1N1) 2009 | May 1        | 21     | ILI              | 2                 |
| R         | Apr 29       | 8      | Pandemic (H1N1) 2009 | May 2        | 2      | ILI              | 3                 |
| S         | May 1        | 6      | Pandemic (H1N1) 2009 | May 3        | 44     | ARI              | 4                 |
| Subtotal no. case-patients | 10 | 13 | 3 (1–8) |
| T         | Apr 17       | 11     | ILI              | Apr 21       | 18     | Pandemic (H1N1) 2009 | 4                 |
| U         | Apr 18       | 48     | ILI              | Apr 26       | 10     | Pandemic (H1N1) 2009 | 8                 |
| V         | Apr 23       | 53     | ILI              | Apr 26       | 42     | Pandemic (H1N1) 2009 | 3                 |
| W         | Apr 24       | 5      | ILI              | Apr 29       | <1     | Pandemic (H1N1) 2009 | 5                 |
| X         | Apr 28       | 26     | ILI              | May 2        | 7      | Pandemic (H1N1) 2009 | 4                 |
| Subtotal no. case-patients | 5 | 6 | 4.5 (3–8) |
| Total no. case-patients | 24 | 32 | 4 (1–9) |

*ARI, acute respiratory infection; ILI, influenza-like illness (fever measured or subjective and cough or sore throat).
†Median (range) number of days between symptom onset of the index and secondary case-patients.
‡The influenza virus from this person could not be subtyped, possibly because of the quality of the sample or the length of time from symptom onset to sample collection. We considered this case-patient to have been infected with pandemic (H1N1) 2009.
Household Transmission of Pandemic (H1N1) 2009

Table 2. Household secondary attack rates for ARI, ILI, and laboratory-confirmed pandemic (H1N1) 2009, by age group, Region 8, Texas, April–May 2009*

| Illness type by age group, y | No. index case-patients | Secondary case-patients, A | Not ill, B | Total household contacts, A + B | Household members not included | Secondary attack rate (A/A + B), % |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| ARI                         | <5                      | 7                         | 5          | 23                            | 28                           | 1                              | 18                             |
|                             | 5–18                    | 50                        | 13         | 83                            | 96                           | 3                              | 14                             |
|                             | 19–49                   | 17                        | 11         | 96                            | 107                          | 3                              | 10                             |
|                             | >50                     | 3                         | 3          | 22                            | 25                           | 1                              | 12                             |
|                             | All ages                | 77                        | 32         | 224                           | 256                          | 8                              | 13                             |
| ILI                         | <5                      | 6                         | 5          | 23                            | 28                           | 2                              | 18                             |
|                             | 5–18                    | 50                        | 11         | 86                            | 97                           | 2                              | 11                             |
|                             | 19–49                   | 18                        | 6          | 102                           | 108                          | 1                              | 6                              |
|                             | >50                     | 3                         | 2          | 23                            | 25                           | 1                              | 8                              |
|                             | All ages                | 77                        | 24         | 234                           | 258                          | 6                              | 9                              |
| Laboratory-confirmed pandemic (H1N1) 2009 | <5                      | 8                         | 2          | 26                            | 28                           | 0                              | 7                              |
|                             | 5–18                    | 51                        | 5          | 92                            | 97                           | 1                              | 5                              |
|                             | 19–49                   | 16                        | 3          | 108                           | 111                          | 0                              | 3                              |
|                             | >50                     | 2                         | 1          | 26                            | 27                           | 0                              | 4                              |
|                             | All ages                | 77                        | 11         | 252                           | 263                          | 1                              | 4                              |

*ARI, acute respiratory infection; ILI, influenza-like illness (fever measured or subjective and cough or sore throat). Ill household members were not included in the calculation of the secondary attack rate if they had the same symptom onset as the index case or if symptom onset was not known.

Table 3. Household secondary attack rates for ARI, ILI, and laboratory-confirmed pandemic (H1N1) 2009, by antiviral medication treatment and prophylaxis, Region 8, Texas, April–May 2009*

| Type of contact                        | No. contacts | Index case-patient received antiviral treatment (attack rate, %) |
|----------------------------------------|--------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                        |              | Yes                  | No                  | p value†                  |
| All contacts of index case-patients    | 235          | 22/185 (12)          | 8/50 (16)           | 0.64                      |
| Contacts who took antiviral prophylaxis| 92           | 12/83 (14)           | 6/9 (67)            | 0.003                     |

*ARI, acute respiratory infection; ILI, influenza-like illness (fever measured or subjective and cough or sore throat).
†Fisher exact test comparing the secondary attack rate for any treatment to no antiviral treatment. Data about antiviral medication were missing for 2 index case-patients and 15 contacts.

Discussion

During an outbreak of pandemic (H1N1) 2009 in the San Antonio, Texas, area, we identified 97 persons with laboratory-confirmed infection in 77 households. The epidemiologic and clinical features were similar to summary reports from the United States (14,15) and other countries (15,16). Nearly one third of households had secondary case-patients who also had respiratory illness, with a median of 4 days between onset of illness in the index case-patient and household members, a finding similar to that for seasonal influenza (17).

The secondary attack rate was 4% for laboratory-confirmed pandemic (H1N1) 2009, 9% for ILI, and 13% for ARI. In general, these rates are lower than for seasonal influenza and lower than anticipated for a pandemic strain, although rates vary from 13% to 30%, depending on influenza subtype and year and pandemic period (4,5,18–21).

The highest proportion of laboratory-confirmed pandemic (H1N1) 2009 and secondary attack rates occurred in children, a finding consistent with the epidemiology of seasonal and pandemic influenza, where we know children experience higher rates of illness (4,5,7) and higher secondary attack rates (19). Adults may have some cross-protection against pandemic (H1N1) 2009 from antibodies developed during infections with seasonal influenza A virus (H1N1) (22–24).

Four randomized controlled trials of zanamivir and oseltamivir for seasonal influenza have shown that these antiviral medications reduce but do not eliminate viral shedding.
and are effective in preventing disease among household contacts, especially if taken within 48 hours of illness onset in the index case-patient (19,20,25,26). We found that secondary attack rates for all households were lower when the index case-patient received treatment, although this difference was not significant. The role of prophylaxis in the absence of treatment of the index case-patient was difficult to determine; our investigation included only a small number of such persons. Nevertheless, because most index and secondary case-patients received antiviral treatment, household secondary attack rates may have been reduced.

Our investigation has several limitations. Because early case finding was most intensive among high school children associated with school outbreaks, our cohort may have been biased in favor of households where the index case-patients were children; however, this would not explain a lower secondary attack rate among adult household contacts. We did not assess the role of mild or asymptomatic pandemic (H1N1) 2009 infection because we collected respiratory samples only; serologic assays to detect influenza antibodies are the most sensitive method for detecting asymptomatic infection, but virus assays for pandemic (H1N1) 2009 were not available at the time of the investigation. Volunteer challenge studies with seasonal influenza viruses have found that up to 30% of infected persons may be asymptomatic and could be identified through serologic testing (10). Because 25% of household interviews were conducted <8 days after onset of illness of the index case-patient, we may have underestimated the secondary attack rate if these households had secondary case-patients with long serial intervals. However, when we restricted our analysis to persons interviewed ≥8 days after onset of symptoms in the index case-patient, we found no difference in the median serial interval or distribution of attack rates by age. Conversely, household members interviewed ≥8 days after onset of illness in the index case-patient may have had incomplete recall of acute respiratory infections. Finally, some of the secondary illnesses may have been acquired in the community, leading to overestimate of household secondary attack rates.

We found that pandemic (H1N1) 2009 disproportionately affected children, who in turn posed a risk for secondary household transmission, especially to their caregivers and siblings. The Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (2009) recommends that children 6–18 years of age and caregivers of infants be included as initial target groups for the new pandemic (H1N1) 2009 vaccine (27), which may reduce household transmission. As pandemic (H1N1) 2009 continues to spread internationally, ongoing investigations are needed to shed further light on transmission dynamics, to monitor epidemiologic changes over time, and to assess the effectiveness of public health interventions.

Acknowledgments

We thank the study participants and their household members and are grateful for the contribution of a large number of colleagues at the Texas Department of State Health Services, the San Antonio Metropolitan Health District, the Comal County Health Department, the United States Air Force School of Aerospace Medicine, and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention in Atlanta for their assistance with the study.

Dr Morgan is an epidemiologist with the International Emerging Infections Program, National Center for Preparedness Detection and Control of Infections Disease, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia, USA. His primary research interest is the global epidemiology of respiratory diseases.

References

1. World Health Organization. Influenza A (H1N1)—update 52. Laboratory-confirmed cases of new influenza A (H1N1) as officially reported to WHO by States Parties to the International Health Regulations (2005) [cited 2009 Jun 22]. http://www.who.int/csr/don/2009_06_22/en/index.html
2. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Update: swine influenza A (H1N1) infections—California and Texas, April 2009. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2009;58:435–7.
3. World Health Organization. Transcript of statement by Margaret Chan, Director-General of the World Health Organization. 11 June 2009 [cited 2009 Jul 7]. http://www.who.int/mediacentre/speeches/2009/h1n1_pressstatement_20090611.pdf
4. Fox JP, Cooney MK, Hall CE, Foy HM. Influenza virus infections in Seattle families, 1975–1979. II. Pattern of infection in invaded households and relation of age and prior antibody to occurrence of infection and related illness. Am J Epidemiol. 1982;116:228–42.
5. Fox JP, Hall CE, Cooney MK, Foy HM. Influenza virus infections in Seattle families, 1975–1979. I. Study design, methods and the occurrence of infections by time and age. Am J Epidemiol. 1982;116:212–27.
6. Foy HM, Cooney MK, Allan I. Longitudinal studies of types A and B influenza among Seattle schoolchildren and families, 1968–74. J Infect Dis. 1976;134:362–9.
7. Taber LH, Paredes A, Glezen WP, Couch RB. Infection with influenza A/Victoria virus in Houston families, 1976. J Hyg (Lond). 1981;86:303–13.
8. Texas Department of State Health Services. Health Service Region 8, 2009 [cited 2009 Jun 22]. http://www.dshs.state.tx.us/region8/default.shtm
9. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Interim guidance on case definitions to be used for investigations of novel influenza A (H1N1) cases. 2009 [cited 2009 Jun 27]. http://www.cdc.gov/H1N1flu/guidance/
10. Carrat F, Vergu E, Ferguson NM, Lemaitre M, Cauchemez S, Leach S, et al. Time lines of infection and disease in human influenza: a review of volunteer challenge studies. Am J Epidemiol. 2008;167:775–85. DOI: 10.1093/aje/kwm375
11. Lessler J, Reich NG, Brookmeyer R, Perl TM, Nelson KE, Cummings DA. Incubation periods of acute respiratory viral infections: a systematic review. Lancet Infect Dis. 2009;9:291–300. DOI: 10.1016/S1473-3099(09)70069-6
12. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. CDC protocol of realtime RT-PCR for influenza A(H1N1) 2009 [cited 2009 Jun 23]. http://www.who.int/csr/resources/publications/swineflu/realtimeptcr/en/index.html

636 Emerging Infectious Diseases • www.cdc.gov/eid • Vol. 16, No. 4, April 2010
13. Altman DG. Practical statistics for medical research. London: Chap-
man and Hall/CRC Press; 1999.
14. Novel Swine-Origin Influenza A (H1N1) Virus Investigation Team,
Dawood FS, Jain S, Finelli L, Shaw MW, Lindstrom S, Garten RJ,
et al. Emergence of a novel swine-origin influenza A (H1N1) vi-
rus in humans. N Engl J Med. 2009;360:2605–15. DOI: 10.1056/
NEJMoa0903810
15. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Update: novel influenza
A (H1N1) virus infection—Mexico, March–May, 2009. MMWR
Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2009;58:585–9.
16. Health Protection Agency; Health Protection Scotland; National
Public Health Service for Wales; HPA Northern Ireland Swine influ-
enza investigation teams. Epidemiology of new influenza A (H1N1)
virus infection, United Kingdom, April–June 2009. Euro Surveill.
2009;14. pii: 19232.
17. Cowling BJ, Fang VJ, Riley S, Malik Peiris JS, Leung GM. Estima-
tion of the serial interval of influenza. Epidemiology. 2009;20:344–7.
DOI: 10.1097/EDE.0b013e31819d1092
18. Woodall J, Rowson KE, Mc DJ. Age and Asian influenza, 1957.
BMJ. 1958;2:1316–8. DOI: 10.1136/bmj.2.5108.1316
19. Hayden FG, Belshe R, Villanueva C, Lanno R, Hughes C, Small
I, et al. Management of influenza in households: a prospective,
randomized comparison of oseltamivir treatment with or without
postexposure prophylaxis. J Infect Dis. 2004;189:440–9. DOI:
10.1086/381128
20. Welliver R, Monto AS, Carewicz O, Schatteman E, Hassman M,
Hedrick J, et al. Effectiveness of oseltamivir in preventing influ-
enza in household contacts: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA.
2001;285:748–54. DOI: 10.1001/jama.285.6.748
21. Blumenfeld HL, Kilbourne ED, Louria DB, Rogers DE. Studies on
influenza in the pandemic of 1957–1958. I. An epidemiologic, clin-
ical and serologic investigation of an intrahospital epidemic, with a
note on vaccination efficacy. J Clin Invest. 1959;38:199–212. DOI:
10.1172/JCI103789
22. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Serum cross-reactive
antibody response to a novel influenza A (H1N1) virus after vacci-
nation with seasonal influenza vaccine. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly
Rep. 2009;58:521–4.
23. Cate TR, Kasel JA, Couch RB, Six HR, Knight V. Clinical trials of
bivalent influenza A/New Jersey/76-A/Victoria/75 vaccines in the
elderly. J Infect Dis. 1977;136(Suppl):S51–S25.
24. Dolin R, Wise TG, Mazur MH, Tuazon CU, Ennis FA. Immunoge-
nicity and reactogenicity of influenza A/New Jersey/76 virus vac-
cines in normal adults. J Infect Dis. 1977;136(Suppl):S435–42.
25. Hayden FG, Gubareva LV, Monto AS, Klein TC, Elliot MJ, Ham-
mond JM, et al. Inhaled zanamivir for the prevention of influ-
enza in families. Zanamivir Family Study Group. N Engl J Med.
2000;343:1282–9. DOI: 10.1056/NEJM200011023431801
26. Monto AS, Pichichero ME, Blanckenberg SJ, Ruuskanen O, Cooper
C, Fleming DM, et al. Zanamivir prophylaxis: an effective strategy
for the prevention of influenza types A and B within households. J
Infect Dis. 2002;186:1582–8. DOI: 10.1086/345722.
27. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Use of influenza A
(H1N1) 2009 monovalent vaccine: recommendations of the Advi-
sory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP), 2009. National
Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases. MMWR Re-
comm Rep. 2009;58(RR-10):1–8.
Address for correspondence: Oliver W. Morgan, Centers for Disease
Control and Prevention, 1600 Clifton Rd NE, Mailstop C12, Atlanta, GA
30333, USA; email: omorgan@cdc.gov

The opinions expressed by authors contributing to this journal do
not necessarily reflect the opinions of the Centers for Disease Con-
trol and Prevention or the institutions with which the authors are
affiliated.
Appendix Table 1. Household secondary attack rates for ARI, ILI, and laboratory-confirmed pandemic (H1N1) 2009, by household size, Region 8, Texas, April–May 2009*

| Household size, no. persons | Index case-patients | Secondary case-patients, A | Not ill, B | Total household contacts, A + B | Ill household members not included | Secondary attack rate, A/A + B, % |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| **ARI**                     |                     |                           |            |                               |                                   |                                 |
| 2–3                         | 21                  | 7                         | 24         | 31                            | 1                                 | 23                              |
| 4                           | 23                  | 11                        | 58         | 69                            | 0                                 | 16                              |
| 5–6                         | 26                  | 10                        | 101        | 111                           | 4                                 | 9                               |
| 7–9                         | 7                   | 4                         | 41         | 45                            | 3                                 | 9                               |
| All households              | 77                  | 32                        | 224        | 256                           | 8                                 | 13                              |
| **ILI**                     |                     |                           |            |                               |                                   |                                 |
| 2–3                         | 21                  | 7                         | 24         | 31                            | 1                                 | 23                              |
| 4                           | 23                  | 8                         | 61         | 69                            | 0                                 | 12                              |
| 5–6                         | 26                  | 5                         | 107        | 112                           | 3                                 | 4                               |
| 7–9                         | 7                   | 4                         | 42         | 46                            | 2                                 | 9                               |
| All households              | 77                  | 24                        | 234        | 258                           | 6                                 | 9                               |
| **Laboratory-confirmed pandemic (H1N1) 2009** |                     |                           |            |                               |                                   |                                 |
| 2–3                         | 21                  | 2                         | 30         | 32                            | 0                                 | 6                               |
| 4                           | 23                  | 4                         | 65         | 69                            | 0                                 | 6                               |
| 5–6                         | 26                  | 4                         | 110        | 114                           | 1                                 | 4                               |
| 7–9                         | 7                   | 1                         | 47         | 48                            | 0                                 | 2                               |
| All households              | 77                  | 11                        | 252        | 263                           | 1                                 | 4                               |

*ARI, acute respiratory infection; ILI, influenza-like illness (fever measured or subjective and cough or sore throat). Ill household members were not included in the calculation of the secondary attack rate if they had the same symptom onset as the index case or if symptom onset was not known.
### Appendix Table 2. Household secondary attack rates for ARI, ILI, and laboratory-confirmed pandemic (H1N1) 2009, by seasonal influenza vaccination status, Region 8, Texas, April–May 2009*

| Received seasonal influenza vaccine in past 12 mo | Index case-patients | Secondary case-patients, A | Not ill, B | Total household contacts, A + B | Ill household members not included | Secondary attack rate, A/A + B, % |
|-------------------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| **ARI**                                          |                      |                           |            |                               |                                  |                                  |
| Vaccinated                                       | 23                   | 8                         | 49         | 57                            | 2                                | 14                               |
| Not vaccinated                                   | 52                   | 21                        | 167        | 188                           | 6                                | 11                               |
| Vaccine status unknown                           | 2                    | 3                         | 8          | 11                            | 0                                | –                                |
| All households                                   | 77                   | 32                        | 224        | 256                           | 8                                | 13                               |
| **ILI**                                          |                      |                           |            |                               |                                  |                                  |
| Vaccinated                                       | 22                   | 6                         | 51         | 57                            | 3                                | 11                               |
| Not vaccinated                                   | 53                   | 15                        | 175        | 190                           | 3                                | 8                                |
| Vaccine status unknown                           | 2                    | 3                         | 8          | 11                            | 0                                | –                                |
| All households                                   | 77                   | 24                        | 234        | 258                           | 6                                | 9                                |
| **Laboratory-confirmed pandemic (H1N1) 2009**    |                      |                           |            |                               |                                  |                                  |
| Vaccinated                                       | 22                   | 1                         | 56         | 57                            | 1                                | 2                                |
| Not vaccinated                                   | 53                   | 8                         | 188        | 196                           | 0                                | 4                                |
| Vaccine status unknown                           | 2                    | 2                         | 8          | 10                            | 0                                | –                                |
| All households                                   | 77                   | 11                        | 252        | 263                           | 1                                | 4                                |

*ARI, acute respiratory infection; ILI, influenza-like illness (fever measured or subjective and cough or sore throat). Ill household members were not included in the calculation of the secondary attack rate if they had the same symptom onset as the index case or if symptom onset was not known.