Quantifying corrections to the hadron resonance gas with lattice QCD
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The hadron resonance gas (HRG) model and its extensions are often used to describe the hadronic phase of strongly interacting matter. In our work we use lattice-QCD simulations with temporal extents of $N_t = 8, 10$ and 12 to quantify corrections to the ideal HRG. Firstly, we determine a number of subleading fugacity expansion coefficients of the QCD free energy via a two-dimensional scan on the imaginary baryon number chemical potential ($\mu_B$) - strangeness chemical potential ($\mu_S$) plane. Using the aforementioned coefficients, we also extrapolate ratios of baryon number and strangeness fluctuations and correlations to finite chemical potentials via a truncated fugacity expansion. Our results extrapolated along the crossover line $T_c(\mu_B)$ at strangeness neutrality are able to reproduce trends of experimental net-proton fluctuations measured by the STAR Collaboration.
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1. Introduction

During the recent decades, the mapping of the QCD phase diagram has been in the focus of attention for both theoretical and experimental research. Many aspects of QCD thermodynamics are known at zero baryon density [1–3], however, at finite $\mu_B$ the theory still proves to be perplexing. In particular, first principle lattice QCD simulations at non-vanishing $\mu_B$ are still hindered by the sign problem, although there are methods to circumvent it, such as reweighting [4–10], Taylor expansion at zero chemical potential [11–15], and extrapolation from purely imaginary chemical potential [16–25]. In this study the last was implemented, which also involves analytic continuation, hence it is essential to make use of some physical insight regarding the functional form of a given observable as a function of $\mu$.

The ideal hadron resonance gas (HRG) and its extensions are frequently used in describing the hadronic phase of strongly interacting matter [26, 27]. The ideal HRG model treats the interacting gas of hadrons as a free gas of hadrons and resonances, hence only the attractive resonant interactions are accounted for. The model performs well at describing thermodynamic observables at zero chemical potential, however, at finite $\mu$ a number of discrepancies emerge. In principle, the HRG can be systematically improved via the $S$-matrix formalism if the appropriate scattering matrix elements are known [21, 28]. Furthermore, it is also straightforward to extend the HRG using a kind of mean field model that takes into account the short-range repulsive and non-resonant interactions. The importance of the hard-core short-range repulsive interactions has been pointed out [22, 29], which leads to a significant negative contribution to the fugacity expansion coefficients with baryon number two.

The HRG model is also heavily used in the interpretation of experimental data. Apart from being a non-critical baseline, the so-called thermal fits provide means to estimate the chemical freeze-out temperature and chemical potential in heavy-ion collision experiments [30, 31]. Precise description of the hadronic phase – including the effect of interactions – is important to test different scenarios in heavy-ion physics, such as a single freeze-out temperature versus different freeze-out temperatures for light and strange hadrons [32].

In this paper we present our findings for subleading fugacity expansion coefficients from first principle lattice QCD simulations. We perform calculations in the two-dimensional plane of purely imaginary baryo- and strangeness chemical potentials. The fugacity expansion coefficients could be regarded as Fourier coefficients in the imaginary values of chemical potentials. This way we are able to separate the different contributions to the thermodynamics by the baryon number and strangeness quantum numbers of the hadrons. We hope that our results will not only improve our understanding of the discrepancies between the HRG model and lattice results, but will give a new edge in constructing more realistic phenomenological models as well. Upon procuring the aforementioned coefficients we used a truncated fugacity expansion to extrapolate baryon number and strangeness fluctuation ratios of experimental interest to finite baryochemical potentials on the phenomenologically relevant strangeness neutral line. On the crossover line our results approximately reproduce the different trends seen in the net-proton fluctuation ratios from the STAR experiment. This conference contribution is based on Ref. [33].
2. QCD in the Grand Canonical Ensemble

2.1 Generalised Susceptibilities

The generalised susceptibilities of the different quark flavours are defined as partial derivatives of the grand canonical potential (or pressure $p$) with respect to the different chemical potentials. For the case of the conserved charges baryon number $B$, electric charge $Q$ and strangeness $S$, we have:

$$\chi_{i,j,k}^{BQS} = \frac{\partial^{i+j+k}(p/T^4)}{\partial \hat{\mu}_B^i \partial \hat{\mu}_Q^j \partial \hat{\mu}_S^k},$$

where $T$ denotes the temperature and e.g. $\hat{\mu}_B = \mu_B/T$ is the dimensionless chemical potential of the baryon number. The chemical potentials $\hat{\mu}_Q$ and $\hat{\mu}_S$ are defined analogously for electric charge and strangeness. In this proceedings we use $\mu_Q = 0$ and only consider fluctuations and correlations of baryon number and strangeness.

2.2 Fugacity Expansion of the QCD Free Energy

Apart from the Taylor expansion, one can use a Laurent expansion in the fugacity parameters $\exp(\hat{\mu}_B)$ and $\exp(\hat{\mu}_S)$ near 1. Based on charge conjugation symmetry the Laurent series can be expressed as an expansion in hyperbolic cosine functions of the chemical potentials, hence

$$\frac{p(T, \hat{\mu}_B, \hat{\mu}_S)}{T^4} = \sum_{j,k} P_{j,k}^{BS}(T) \cosh(j \hat{\mu}_B - k \hat{\mu}_S).$$

The $P_{j,k}^{BS}(T)$ coefficients are the fugacity coefficients or sector coefficients referring to the fact that they only get contributions from Hilbert subspaces of fixed $B = j$ and $S = k$ quantum numbers – for examples see Table 1.

| $(B, S)$ | $(0, 0)$ | $(1, 0)$ | $(0, 1)$ | $(1, 1)$ | $(1, 2)$ | $(2, 0)$ | $(2, 1)$ | $(2, 2)$ |
|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| hadrons  | $\pi, \rho, \eta$ | $p, \Delta$ | $K$ | $\Lambda, \Sigma$ | $\Xi$ | $p-p$ | $K-K$ | $p-\Lambda, p-p-K$ |

The ideal HRG model receives its main contributions from the sectors $(B, S) = (0, 0), (0, 1), (1, 0), (1, 1), (1, 2)$ and $(1, 3)$. Further coefficients for $B \geq 1$ are close to zero, which is – as we will see – a clear deviation from the lattice results. At purely imaginary chemical potentials $\mu = i\mu^I$, and with $\cosh(i\mu^I) = \cos(\mu^I)$ Eq. (2) becomes a Fourier series. By differentiating Eq. (2) with respect to the $\mu_B$ and $\mu_S$ one can derive the functional forms of the generalised susceptibilities – to be used later in the fitting procedure.
The coefficients $P^{BS}_{01}$, $P^{BS}_{10}$, $P^{BS}_{11}$, $P^{BS}_{12}$ and $P^{BS}_{13}$ are sizeable even in the ideal HRG model, however, they also get contributions from interactions such as $\pi$-$N$ scattering for the sector $P^{BS}_{10}$ or from $\Lambda$-$N$ interaction for $P^{BS}_{21}$. The $P^{BS}_{1-1}$ sector is zero in the ideal HRG, but it can get contributions from e.g. the $N$-$K^+$ scattering. The $B = 2$ sectors get contributions from various processes; e.g. $P^{BS}_{20}$ from $N$-$N$, $P^{BS}_{21}$ from $N$-$\Lambda$/$\Sigma$, $P^{BS}_{22}$ from $N$-$\Xi$ or $\Lambda$/$\Sigma$-$\Lambda$/$\Sigma$ and $P^{BS}_{23}$ from $N$-$\Omega$ or $\Lambda$/$\Sigma$-$\Xi$ interactions. The $P^{BS}_{02}$ and $P^{BS}_{03}$ sectors get contributions from two- and three-kaon scatterings respectively. The $B_{max} = 3$ sectors include three-baryon scattering processes with various strangeness contents.

3. Lattice Setup

In our lattice setup a staggered fermion action with four steps of stout smearing – with smearing parameter $\rho = 0.125$ – and a tree-level Symanzik-improved gauge action was used [15]. For the scale setting the pion decay constant was fixed to $f_\pi = 130.41$ MeV. The used lattice spacings are $N_x \times N^3 = 8 \times 24^3$, $10 \times 32^3$ and $12 \times 36^3$, which correspond to the $LT \approx 3$ aspect ratio. During continuum extrapolation we assume linear scaling in $1/N^2_T$. For each lattice spacing we ran simulations at four different temperatures: $T = 145$ MeV, $150$ MeV, $155$ MeV and $160$ MeV. At each temperature and lattice spacing a two-dimensional scan of the $(\hat{\mu}_B^I, \hat{\mu}_S^I)$ plane was performed at points $\hat{\mu}_B^I = i\pi/8$ with $i = 0, 1, \ldots, 15$ and $\hat{\mu}_S^I = j\pi/8$ with $j = 0, 1, \ldots, 8$, which sums up to a total of 144 simulation points. In each $\mu^I \neq 0$ point a Rational Hybrid Monte Carlo stream with several thousand trajectories was simulated, from which every fifth configuration was evaluated to determine the fluctuation observables. The statistical errors are handled with the jackknife method. During the systematic error estimation different continuum ansatze and different truncations of the fugacity expansion were taken into account.

4. Numerical results

In order to estimate the sector coefficients $P^{BS}_{ik}$ a linear correlated fit was carried out. At vanishing chemical potential the $\chi^{BS}_{20}$, $\chi^{BS}_{11}$, $\chi^{BS}_{02}$, $\chi^{BS}_{40}$, $\chi^{BS}_{31}$, $\chi^{BS}_{22}$, $\chi^{BS}_{13}$ and $\chi^{BS}_{04}$ susceptibilities were considered, while for the remaining 143 simulated ensembles the $\text{Im} \chi^{BS}_{01}$ and $\text{Im} \chi^{BS}_{10}$ were used. The block diagonal covariance matrix was estimated with the jackknife method. The truncation of the fugacity expansion is not unequivocal, hence we introduced two different cuts in the maximal baryon number as $B_{max} = 2$ or 3. The used sectors in the correlated fits are presented in Table 2.

Table 2: The used sectors in the $B_{max} = 2$ and 3 fits. The $B_{max} = 3$ column includes those sectors which are added to the $B_{max} = 2$ case upon extension, hence we can determine $12(+4)$ coefficients in total.

| $B$, $S$ | $B_{max} = 2$ | $B_{max} = 3$ |
|----------|----------------|----------------|
| 1,0      | 0.1, 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 2.0, 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 0.2, 0.3, 3.0, 3.1, 3.2, 3.3 |

The results for the different sectors at our finest lattice $N_x \times N^3 = 12 \times 36^3$ at $T = 155$ MeV are shown in Fig. 1. It can be noted that the estimation of the $B = 3$ sectors is not accurate enough, and their inclusion does not improve the quality of the fits, but the $B = 2$ sectors remain consistent.
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Figure 1: Results for the sector coefficients $P^{BS}_{ik}$ at $T = 155$ MeV at our finest lattice. The leftmost five sectors are accounted for even in the ideal HRG model. For the next seven sectors we get stable solutions and are used later in phenomenological calculations. The $B_{\text{max}} = 3$ sectors are not stable enough as of yet. The vertical axis is set to log-scale, hence we introduced colours to indicate the sign of the coefficients; negative-blue, positive-red.

The continuum limit extrapolation of the sector coefficients proceeds through a combined two-dimensional fit with the following ansatz as a function of temperature and temporal extent:

$$f(T, N_r) = a_0 + a_1 T + a_2 T^2 + (b_0 + b_1 T + b_2 T^2) \frac{1}{N_r^2}.$$  (3)

In the systematic error estimation we have taken into account the effects whether we include the $B_{\text{max}} = 3$ set or not during the correlated fit and whether we include the coefficient $b_2$ in the continuum ansatz from Eq. (3). All the fits had good $\chi^2$ values. After the continuum limit fits are carried out we combine the four results with uniform weights for all the analysed sectors.

The final results of our beyond-HRG sectors are shown in Fig. 2. According to our findings the sectors $P^{BS}_{20}$ and $P^{BS}_{21}$ are rather similar to each other. For higher temperatures they get more and more negative. This can be attributed to the short-range repulsive interactions, which start to take effect. The sector $P^{BS}_{22}$ gets smaller and for $P^{BS}_{23}$ we get a result roughly close to zero – hence it is not included. As comparison, if one sums over the strangeness sectors in the ideal HRG as $\sum_k P^{BS}_{2k}$, the result will be $O(10^{-5})$ – orders of magnitude smaller than what we get from the lattice simulations. The sector $P^{BS}_{02}$ goes below zero within $1\sigma$ uncertainty at about 155 MeV. The results for $P^{BS}_{1-1}$ are rather large, which is in consistence with the phenomenological analysis in Ref. [34]. Our results are also in approximate agreement with predictions from more phenomenological models. The sectors $P^{BS}_{20}$ and $P^{BS}_{21}$ are $1\sigma$ away from predictions of repulsive mean field models, while the $P^{BS}_{22}$ is within $2\sigma$ at all temperatures [29]. Furthermore, the sector $P^{BS}_{1-1}$ is within $1\sigma$ agreement below 150 MeV and within $2\sigma$ at 160 MeV in $S$-matrix calculations [34]. The leading order sector coefficients have already been published in earlier works [21], thus their analysis is not repeated here.

With the determined sector coefficients at hand different thermodynamic observables can be readily calculated. We consider the different baryon number and strangeness fluctuations and correlations...
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at the experimentally relevant strangeness neutral line given by the constraint $\chi_S^S = 0$. The extrapolation to real values of chemical potential is done via the expression in Eq. (2) at fixed $T$ and $N$, with truncation at $B_{\text{max}} = 2$, which is followed by the continuum limit estimation using the same ansatz as for the sectors defined in Eq. (3). The same systematic error analysis was performed as for the fugacity expansion coefficients. The considered susceptibility ratios are $\chi_B^1 / \chi_B^2$, $\chi_B^3 / \chi_B^1$, $\chi_B^2 / \chi_B^2$ and $\chi_B^{BS} / \chi_S^S$; our results are presented in Fig. 2. The parameterisation of the crossover line is $T_c(\mu_B) \approx T_c^0(1 - \kappa_2 \mu_B^2)$, where $T_c^0 = (158.0 \pm 0.6)$ MeV and $\kappa_2 = 0.0153 \pm 0.0018$ [25].

Figure 2: (Right) Our continuum limit estimates for the subleading sector coefficients from combined $T$-1/$N$ and $T$-by-$T$ continuum fits. Systematic errors coming from the choice of $B_{\text{max}}$ and the inclusion of $b_2$ are taken into account. (Left) Continuum estimates of phenomenologically relevant generalised susceptibility ratios extrapolated to finite chemical potential at different temperatures and on the $T_c(\mu_B)$ crossover line.

The ratio $\chi_B^1 / \chi_B^2$ shows strong dependence on the chemical potential, while depends weakly on the temperature, hence it can be used as a good proxy of $\mu_B$. The case for the ratios $\chi_B^3 / \chi_B^2$, $\chi_B^2 / \chi_B^1$ and $\chi_B^{BS} / \chi_S^S$ is quite the opposite, hence these ratios can be regarded as possible baryonic and strangeness thermometers. The latter ratio is of great phenomenological interest, since a good experimental proxy of it can be constructed from net-kaon and -lambda fluctuations as $\sigma_{\lambda}^2 / (\sigma_{\lambda}^2 + \sigma_{\kappa}^2)$, for which it is shown that it is not strongly affected by experimental effects [35]. Our results are consistent
with recent lattice studies in which the ratios have been estimated using the Taylor method [15]. Furthermore, we also make comparisons with experimental net-proton skewness-to-mean $C_3/C_1$ and kurtosis-to-variance $C_4/C_2$ ratios from the STAR Collaboration [36]. For this comparison one must assume that the chemical freeze-out and crossover lines are close to each other on the QCD phase diagram. The similarity of trends can be seen in Fig. 3.

5. Summary and Outlook

In our present work we calculated the subleading sector coefficients of the QCD free energy from first principle lattice simulations. This way we could separate contributions coming from Hilbert subspaces with fixed baryon number and strangeness quantum numbers, hence the possibility is open to separate processes like $p$-$p$ or $K$-$K$ scatterings. We estimated the continuum limit results by considering lattices with temporal extents $N_\tau = 8, 10$ and $12$ with aspect ratio $LT \approx 3$. Our results quantify corrections to the ideal HRG directly from first principle calculations. After the estimation of the sector coefficients, we used a truncated fugacity expansion to calculate phenomenologically relevant fluctuation ratios. The comparison has many caveats [37] but our results can reproduce the trends observed on the experimental STAR data of net-proton fluctuations.
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