The decay of quadrupole-octupole $1^-$ states in $^{40}$Ca and $^{140}$Ce
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Background: Two-phonon excitations originating from the coupling of two collective one-phonon states are of great interest in nuclear structure physics. One possibility to generate low-lying $E1$ excitations is the coupling of quadrupole and octupole phonons.

Purpose: In this work, the $\gamma$-decay behavior of candidates for the $(2^+_1 \otimes 3^-_1 )_{1^-}$ state in the doubly-magic nucleus $^{40}$Ca and in the heavier and semi-magic nucleus $^{140}$Ce is investigated.

Methods: $(\gamma, \gamma')$ experiments have been carried out at the High Intensity $\gamma$-ray Source (HI/$\gamma$S) facility in combination with the high-efficiency $\gamma$-ray spectroscopy setup $\gamma^3$ consisting of HPGe and LaBr$_3$ detectors. The setup enables the acquisition of $\gamma-\gamma$ coincidence data and, hence, the detection of direct decay paths.

Results: In addition to the known ground-state decays, for $^{40}$Ca the decay into the $3^+_3$ state was observed, while for $^{140}$Ce the direct decays into the $2^+_1$ and the $0^+_2$ state were detected. The experimentally deduced transition strengths and excitation energies are compared to theoretical calculations in the framework of EDF theory plus QPM approach and systematically analyzed for $N = 82$ isotones. In addition, negative parities for two $J = 1$ states in $^{44}$Ca were deduced simultaneously.

Conclusions: The experimental findings together with the theoretical calculations support the two-phonon character of the $1^+_1$ excitation in the light-to-medium-mass nucleus $^{40}$Ca as well as in the stable even-even $N = 82$ nuclei.

I. INTRODUCTION

The interaction of the atomic nucleus with an electromagnetic field gives rise to the excitation of various modes of different spin and parity which provide useful information on the nuclear structure. Among them of special importance is the electric dipole ($E1$) response which is generally dominated by a strong, collective isovector nuclear vibration, the isovector giant dipole resonance (IVGDR) [1]. The IVGDR is classically described by a Lorentzian shape [2]. Recently, in nuclei with neutron excess an additional dipole strength component below and around the neutron threshold was found on top of the low-energy tail of the IVGDR [3–6]. This mode of excitation is usually denoted as pygmy dipole resonance (PDR) because it resembles a resonance-like accumulation of close-lying $J^\pi = 1^-$ states with similar spectroscopic features [6]. In a simple macroscopic picture, a displacement of center-of-mass and center-of-charge of the nucleus generates a vibrational motion trying to restore the proton-neutron symmetry. Nowadays, the rapidly increasing number of experiments using different probes and techniques allow for systematic studies of the PDR over isotopic and isotonic chains from different mass regions [4,7–17]. A close connection between the total PDR strength and the amount of the neutron excess of neutron-rich nuclei which on the other hand is correlated with the neutron skin thickness was proposed [6,18–20]. Furthermore, experiments with complementary probes like $\alpha$-particles at intermediate energy, indicate an isospin splitting of the low-lying $1^-$ states [21]. Similar to the experimental findings, theoretical models show that at lower energies the $E1$ strength is predominantly of isoscalar character which gradually becomes more isovector with increasing excitation energy toward the IVGDR [18,19,22,26].

Various theoretical explanations of the $E1$ strength below and around the particle-emission thresholds exist. These include the low-energy tail of the IVGDR, PDR [18,19,24,25], multi-phonon excitations [19,29] toroidal modes [23] and $\alpha$-cluster vibrations [30,31]. Furthermore, in recent studies it has been pointed out that the interaction between quasiparticles and phonons is important for a correct theoretical description of the low-lying $E1$ strength because it can influence its fragmentation and mixing with the core polarization and the IVGDR [18,19,24,32,33]. This affects strongly the electromagnetic strength distribution, which can have further consequences on the dipole polarizability and nucleosynthesis processes [29,31,36].
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Of particular interest are low-energy two-phonon states related to the coupling of collective quadrupole and octupole core vibrations. The collective quadrupole and octupole excitations of electric charge are usually among the lowest-lying excitations in nuclei in the vicinity of shell closures. They are interpreted as surface oscillations and theoretically treated as phonons with the possibility to couple to multi-phonon states, like for example double-quadrupole or double-octupole states. The mixed harmonic coupling of quadrupole and octupole collective phonons \((2^n_1 \otimes 3^n_1)_J^\pi\) results in a quintuplet of \(J^\pi = 1^- - 5^-\) states which are located at an excitation energy equal to the sum of the excitation energies of the corresponding \(2^n_1\) and \(3^n_1\) one-phonon states. Anharmonicities in the phonon-phonon interaction can affect the excitation energies and break the degeneracy of the multiplet states. Nevertheless, due to the different nature of the two phonons Pauli blocking is small compared to e.g. \((2^+ \otimes 2^+)\) or \((3^- \otimes 3^-)\) states.

Detailed theoretical descriptions of two-phonon states related to members of quadrupole-quadrupole and quadrupole-octupole multiplets are obtained in the framework of the quasiparticle-phonon model (QPM) and the interacting boson model (IBM). Recently, the spdf IBM has been applied in systematical studies of low-lying \(J=1\) states in the Nd isotopes and other rare-earth nuclei.

The first step in identifying two-phonon \(1^-\) states is to determine spin, parity, and \(B(E1, 1^- \rightarrow 0^+_1)\) strength for possible candidates. A widely used experimental tool for the investigation of \(J = 1\) states is nuclear resonance fluorescence (NRF). In the last years, the \(B(E1)\) strength distributions of many nuclei were measured using this method. The evaluated data serve as a systematic basis for the discussion of two-phonon \(E1\) excitations like, e.g., in the Sn isotopes, for \(N = 82\) isotones and in the compilation of Andrejtscheff et al. for \(A = 48 - 148\) nuclei. An alternative way to determine \(B(E1)\) strengths in particular for states of rare isotopes, for which NRF measurements are difficult, are lifetime measurements using the Doppler-shift attenuation method (DSAM) in particle-\(\gamma\) coincidence measurements. Since several years, the DSAM technique is applied in inelastic neutron-scattering at the University of Kentucky. Furthermore, direct access to the ground-state decay width \(\Gamma_0\) can be obtained using the self-absorption method or inelastic proton-scattering experiments for some cases.

Once a candidate is found, it is desirable to study also its decay behavior to test the two-phonon structure more thoroughly since this information is one of the key signatures in addition to the excitation energy and correlations of transition strengths. In the case of harmonic phonon coupling, the lowest-lying \(1^-\) state is a two-phonon excitation and the corresponding \(B(E3)\) strengths for the \(1^-_1 \rightarrow 2^-_1\) and \(3^-_1 \rightarrow 0^+_1\) transitions as well as the \(B(E2)\) strengths for the \(1^-_1 \rightarrow 3^-_1\) and \(2^+_1 \rightarrow 0^+_1\) transitions are equal. Such a direct proof of the two-phonon character of the \(1^-_1\) state via its decay behavior was found some years ago only for the two \(N = 82\) nuclei \(^{142}\)Nd and \(^{144}\)Sm in inelastic proton-scattering experiments. It is the aim of the present work to further test the two-phonon quadrupole-octupole \(1^-\) states in the \(N = 82\) isotones by extending the knowledge about the decay behavior of the two-phonon \(1^-\) candidate at 3.6 MeV in \(^{140}\)Ce. In addition, the decay behavior of the two-phonon \(1^-\) candidate at 5.9 MeV in the significantly lighter nucleus \(^{40}\)Ca is investigated to study the existence of this collective excitation mode in a different mass region.

The experimental method and data analysis tools are introduced in Secs. II and IV. The new experimental results for \(^{140}\)Ce and \(^{40}\)Ca are presented and discussed in Secs. IV and V respectively. A systematic theoretical description of two-phonon \(1^-\) states and corresponding transitions in \(N = 82\) nuclei is discussed in comparison with data in Sec. IV.

II. EXPERIMENTS

Real-photon scattering \((\gamma, \gamma^\prime)\) experiments were performed to study the \(\gamma\)-decay behavior of possible two-phonon \(J^\pi = 1^-\) states in \(^{40}\)Ca and \(^{140}\)Ce. The states of interest were populated by the quasi-monochromatic, linearly polarized, and intense beam of real photons provided at the High Intensity \(\gamma\)-ray Source (HI\(\gamma\)S) facility at the Triangle Universities Nuclear Laboratory (TUNL) in Durham, NC, USA. The excitation is selective to low spins (mainly \(J = 1\)) and excitation-energy regions (due to the narrow bandwidth of the beam) and, therefore, well-suited for the study of specific \(J^\pi = 1^-\) states. The intense \(\gamma\)-ray source in the entrance channel is combined with the newly installed high-efficiency \(\gamma-\gamma\) coincidence setup \(\gamma^3\) for the detection of de-exciting \(\gamma\)-rays in the outgoing channel. For the present experiments the setup was used in a configuration with four \(3' \times 3'\) LaBr\(_3\):Ce scintillation detectors at \(\theta = 90^\circ\) and four 60% high-purity Germanium (HPGe) semi-conductor detectors at \(\theta = 135^\circ\) with respect to the beam axis. The LaBr\(_3\) detectors were placed symmetrically at azimuthal angles of \(\phi = 45^\circ, 135^\circ, 225^\circ,\) and \(315^\circ\) relative to the horizontal polarization axis, whereas two HPGe detectors were placed parallel \((\phi = 0^\circ, 180^\circ)\) and two perpendicular \((\phi = 90^\circ, 270^\circ)\) to the polarization axis. Using this detector configuration and distances of 5 to 10 cm between detector end-cap and target for the LaBr\(_3\) and HPGe detectors, respectively, results in a total photopeak efficiency of about 6% at 1.3 MeV. Data was acquired in parallel by two data acquisition (DAQ) systems. One is the analog so-called Genie DAQ which was used to store singles spectra of the HPGe detectors. The second DAQ system is the digital MBS DAQ which acquires event-by-event list-mode data for HPGe and LaBr\(_3\) de-
tectors. Customized trigger conditions allow to generate, e.g., singles and coincidence triggers and are adjusted individually. More details on the γ³ setup can be found in Ref. [62].

Photon energy settings of 3.6 MeV and 5.9 MeV were used in the experiments on ¹⁴⁰Ce and ⁴⁰Ca, respectively, to cover the excitation energies of the corresponding two-phonon candidates. The beam-energy profile of the incoming photon beam is monitored by an additional 123% HPGe detector which can be moved into the beam. In the present experiments the bandwidth of the photon beam amounted to 4%. The ¹⁴⁰Ce target was composed of 2 g highly enriched (99.72%) plus 7.5 g natural cerium-oxide powder, whereas for the ⁴⁰Ca experiment an 11.2 g natural calcium-carbonate target was used. Both measurements were carried out for about 23 h, each.

III. DATA ANALYSIS

In general, a number of quantities are directly accessible in nuclear resonance fluorescence (NRF) experiments such as spin, parity, excitation energy, and transition strengths. For a transition of electromagnetic character σ and multipolarity L without multipole mixing, the reduced transition strength, B(σL), and the partial decay width to a specific final state, Γ₀, are related via:

\[ B(\sigma L, J_i \rightarrow J_f) = \frac{L(2L + 1)!!}{8\pi(L + 1)} \left( \frac{\hbar c}{E_{\gamma}} \right)^{2L+1} g \Gamma_f, \]  

where \( E_{\gamma} \) is the transition energy and \( g = \frac{2J_f + 1}{2J_i + 1} \) is the spin factor. In the present cases, the cross section, \( I_{r,f} \), for the resonant excitation of the 1⁻ states decaying back to the ground state has been measured in previous NRF experiments [4] [8] [63] via

\[ I_{r,f} = \pi^2 \left( \frac{\hbar c}{E} \right)^2 g \frac{\Gamma_0 \Gamma_f}{\Gamma}. \]  

In the present analysis, the ratio of partial and total decay widths can be deduced from the peak area in the singles γ-ray spectra:

\[ A_{i,f}^{\text{single}} = g \pi^2 \left( \frac{\hbar c}{E} \right)^2 \frac{\Gamma_0 \Gamma_f}{\Gamma} N_i N_f \Delta_{\text{live},i} \epsilon_i (E - E_f) W_{i,f}, \]  

where \( N_i \) is the number of target nuclei, \( N_f \) is the photon flux at the resonance energy, \( \Delta_{\text{live},i} \) is the relative live-time of detector \( i \), \( \epsilon_i (E - E_f) \) is the absolute photopeak efficiency of detector \( i \) at the transition energy, and \( W_{i,f} \) is the angular distribution of the scattered photons at the position of detector \( i \).

Using Eq. (3), the branching ratio relative to the ground state, \( \Gamma_f / \Gamma_0 \), can be derived from

\[ \frac{\Gamma_f}{\Gamma_0} = \frac{A_{i,f}^{\text{single}} \sum_i \Delta_{\text{live},i} \epsilon_i (E - E_f) W_{i,0}}{A_0^{\text{single}} \sum_i \Delta_{\text{live},i} \epsilon_i (E - E_f) W_{i,f}}, \]  

after summing over all detectors \( i \). For the coincidence data, two γ-rays from the de-exiting γ cascade are detected. This leads to an additional experimental access to the relative branching ratio:

\[ \frac{\Gamma_f}{\Gamma_0} = \frac{A_{i,f}^{\text{coinc}} \sum_i \Delta_{\text{live},i} \epsilon_i (E - E_f) W_{i,0}}{A_0^{\text{single}} \sum_{ij} \Delta_{\text{live},ij} \epsilon_i (E - E_f) \epsilon_j (E_{\gamma j}) W_{ij,f}}, \]  

where \( A_{i,f}^{\text{coinc}} \) is the peak area in the energy-gated coincidence spectrum summed for all detector combinations, \( \Delta_{\text{live},ij} \) is the relative live-time of detector \( i \) and \( j \), \( W_{ij,f} \) is the angular distribution of the scattered photons at the position of detector \( i \) and \( j \), and \( \gamma_2 \) denotes the second γ-ray that is detected in addition to the \( 1^- \rightarrow J_f \) transition.

The focus in the present work lies on the determination of relative branching ratios \( \Gamma_f / \Gamma_0 \) which gives access to \( \Gamma_f \) for known \( \Gamma_0 \) and can be transferred into reduced transition strengths using Eq. (1). In principle, both, singles and coincidence data, can be used for the determination of \( \Gamma_f / \Gamma_0 \) as shown above. With the coincidence data the selectivity is improved, however, the intensity in the γ-ray spectra is reduced. Two-dimensional γ-γ coincidence matrices filled with the γ-ray energies measured by HPGe and LaBr₃ detectors are used to generate projected γ-ray spectra as shown in Fig. [4] for the measurement on ¹⁴⁰Ce. The upper panel shows the full projections of the HPGe-LaBr₃ coincidence data. A large background in particular at lower energies is visible in the full projections which mainly stems from non-resonant scattering processes in the target itself. The lower panel of Fig. [4] shows the projected γ-ray spectra after applying an energy gate (\( E_{\gamma} \approx 1596 \text{keV} \)) on the secondary \( 2_1^+ \rightarrow 0^+_1 \) transition of ¹⁴⁰Ce. The primary transitions \( 1^- \rightarrow 2_1^+ \) and \( 1^- \rightarrow 0_1^+ \) are clearly visible in the gated γ-ray spectra obtained with the HPGe and LaBr₃ detectors. Their peak areas can be used to determine branching ratios for the different decay channels relative to the ground-state decay. The singles γ-ray spectra of HPGe and LaBr₃ detectors are shown in Fig. [2].

In addition, the setup allows for parity measurements via the polarization information carried by the angular distribution, \( W(\theta, \phi) \), of the de-exciting γ rays. The analyzing power for a fixed scattering angle \( \theta \) is defined as

\[ \Sigma = \frac{W(\theta, 0^\circ) - W(\theta, 90^\circ)}{W(\theta, 0^\circ) + W(\theta, 90^\circ)}}. \]

The position of the HPGe detectors differed from the usual parity measurements where the analyzing power is maximized [64]. The detectors at \( \theta = 135^\circ \) give analyzing powers of \( \Sigma = \pm 1/3 \) for \( J = 1^\pm \) states and \( \Sigma = \mp 1 \) for \( J = 2^\pm \) states. The experimentally accessible observable is the asymmetry

\[ \epsilon = \frac{I_\parallel - I_\perp}{I_\parallel + I_\perp} = q \Sigma, \]
where $I_\parallel$ and $I_\perp$ are the efficiency-corrected photon intensities in the horizontal ($\parallel$) and vertical ($\perp$) detectors with respect to the horizontal polarization axis. The experimental sensitivity $q \approx 0.9$ accounts for the finite opening angle of the detectors.

IV. RESULTS FOR $^{140}$CE

The two-phonon candidate in $^{140}$Ce, which is investigated in the present work, is the $1^-_1$ state at 3.6 MeV with a $B(E1, 1^-_1 \rightarrow 0^+_1)$ transition strength of 4.1(6) mW.u. [8]. Its decays to the first $2^+_1$ and to the second $0^+_2$ states are clearly visible in the projected $\gamma$-ray spectrum of the HPGe detectors with a gate on the ground-state transition of the first $2^+_1$ state (see Fig. 1). The transition strengths can be deduced using these primary $\gamma$-ray transition from the excited $1^-_1$ state into the corresponding excited state (seen in the coincidence $\gamma$-ray spectra shown in Fig. 1) and ground state (seen in the singles $\gamma$-ray spectra shown in Fig. 2) as well as the known $B(E1, 1^-_1 \rightarrow 0^+_1)$ transition strength. The results are 0.54(3) and 0.75(6) m.W.u. for the $B(E1, 1^-_1 \rightarrow 2^+_1)$ and $B(E1, 1^-_1 \rightarrow 0^+_2)$ transition, respectively. The decay of the first $1^-$ state to the $3^-_1$ state is not visible on top of a pronounced background. However, for the $B(E2, 1^-_1 \rightarrow 3^-_1)$ transition strength an upper limit of 28 W.u. was deduced by analyzing the background in the $\gamma$-ray spectrum. In the harmonic model a $1^-_1 \rightarrow 2^+_1$ $E3$ transition would be expected, but a measurement of this transition is difficult because $E1$ radiation domi-
nates over E3 radiation. We assumed that the observed $1^- \rightarrow 2^+$ transition is of E1 character. The observation of $1^- \rightarrow 2^+$ and $1^- \rightarrow 0^+$ E1 transitions cannot be explained in the simple harmonic picture but needs further explanation which will be discussed in the following paragraphs.

Already some years ago, the QPM was applied to study two-phonon structures including the quadrupole-octupole coupled $1^-$ state in stable $N = 82$ nuclei [41]. Lowest-lying $1^-$ states with a large $(2^+_1 \otimes 3^+_1)_{1^-}$ content and excitation energies close to the sum energy of the first $2^+$ and $3^-$ states were calculated and interpreted as two-phonon excitations. However, the previous calculations in the $N = 82$ isotones do not discuss the excited $0^+_2$ state. Thus, also the $B(E1, 1^- \rightarrow 0^+_2)$ transition strength which we measured for the first time could not be compared to available theoretical predictions within a consistent framework. For this reason we performed new calculations for the $N = 82$ nuclei $^{138}$Ba, $^{140}$Ce, $^{142}$Nd, and $^{144}$Sm in the framework of a more advanced microscopic nuclear structure approach based on the self-consistent energy-density functional (EDF) theory and QPM including up to three-phonon configurations [15, 19]. The theoretical method has been widely tested in systematic studies of electric and magnetic excitations from different energy and mass regions [4, 8, 10, 12, 16, 17, 65] and also in predictions of new modes of nuclear excitations related to the pygmy quadrupole resonance (PQR) [66, 68]. A further advantage of the three-phonon EDF+QPM calculations is that we consider explicitly all one-phonon configurations up to the neutron threshold including explicitly the PDR. Additional dynamical dipole core polarization contributions are accounted for by the isovector interaction strength which is fitted to reproduce the properties of the GDR. Differently from Ref. [41] no additional effective charges are needed.

In Table I, the experimental and theoretical QPM results for excitation energies, wave function structures and transition strengths are summarized. The QPM wave functions of the $2^+_1$ and $3^-_1$ excited states are dominated by one-phonon components related to the collective $2^+_1$ (about 93%) and $3^-_1$ (about 90%) QRPA one-phonon states, respectively. The main contributions to the $2^+_1$ QRPA state vectors in $N = 82$ nuclei come from $[2d_{5/2}]_p$, $[1h_{11/2}]_p$, $[1g_{7/2}]_p$, and $[1g_{7/2}2d_{5/2}]_p$ two-quasiparticle proton configurations located close to the Fermi surface. This is related to the fact that the $[1g_{7/2}]_p$ level is the proton Fermi-level in $^{138}$Ba and $^{140}$Ce and the $[2d_{5/2}]_p$ level is the proton Fermi-level in $^{142}$Nd and $^{144}$Sm. Because of the pairing interaction the two-quasiparticle states situated close to the Fermi surface could spend part of the time below or above the Fermi surface. The major configuration reaches from a fraction of about 38% in $^{140}$Ce up to about 47% in $^{138}$Ba. The neutron contribution is related mainly to the $[1h_{11/2}2f_{7/2}]_n$ two-quasiparticle neutron configuration and varies between $\approx 3 - 5\%$. The $B(E2)$ transition probabilities follow closely the amount of collectivity of the $2^+_1$ QRPA states and consequently the largest $B(E2)$ value is obtained for the $^{140}$Ce nucleus as it is shown in Table I both from theory and experiment.

In the case of the $3^-_1$ QRPA states there are two major competing contributions to the state vectors due to the $[2d_{5/2}1h_{11/2}]_p$ and $[1g_{7/2}1h_{11/2}]_p$ two-quasiparticle proton configurations. The $[2d_{5/2}1h_{11/2}]_p$ proton component contributes from about 63% in $^{138}$Ba up to about 76% in $^{144}$Sm. The $[2d_{5/2}1h_{11/2}]_p$ proton component also contributes dominantly to the $B(E3)$ transition matrix elements to the ground state. The neutron contribution to the $3^-_1$ QRPA states in $N = 82$ nuclei is related mainly to the $[1h_{11/2}1i_{13/2}]_n$ two-quasiparticle neutron component and varies between $\approx 3 - 6\%$. The experimentally observed general trend of decreasing energy of the $3^-_1$ excited states with the increase of the proton number in $N = 82$ nuclei is reproduced well in our calculations with smooth changes of the residual interaction model parameters.

The theoretical properties of the $2^+_1$ and $3^-_1$ QRPA phonons can be further examined in studies of low-energy two-phonon states related to the quadrupole-octupole multiplet. The QPM $1^-_1$ state has a major two-phonon $(2^+_1 \otimes 3^-_1)_{1^-}$ content of more than 93%. However, in all nuclei a contribution to the state wave function of higher-lying one-phonon PDR states of larger than 1% was found. With increasing proton number toward $^{144}$Sm, the excitation energy of the $1^-_1$ state decreases following the decrease of the excitation energy of the $3^-_1$ state, which, on the other hand, reduces the coupling with PDR and IVGDR phonons. Three-phonon contributions are found of minor importance for the wave function and transition properties of the $1^-_1$ states in the considered $N = 82$ nuclei. The decay pattern of the $1^-_1$ state in $^{140}$Ce is illustrated in Fig. 3 in terms of the transition strengths, indicated by the arrow thicknesses. The general agreement between experiment and QPM calculations is reasonably good.

Now we would like to discuss the results within the systematics of the two-phonon E1 excitation mode in the
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$N = 82$ isotones. For this purpose the compiled experimental data and the QPM results are shown in Fig. 4. The upper panel shows the energy trend of the excitation energies with increasing proton number. The excitation energy of the 3$^{-}$ state decreases steeper than the energy of the 2$^{+}$ state increases. This leads to a decrease of the excitation energy of the 1$^{-}$ state. The proton number dependence of the excitation energy of the 0$^{+}$ state shows a different behavior with a minimum for cerium. The energy trends for all states are well reproduced by the QPM. The experimentally observed excitation energies of the 1$^{-}$ states are typically lower than compared to the sum energy of the constituent phonons which is a known feature for two-phonon 1$^{-}$ states.

In the following, some theoretical details on the structure of the QPM 0$^{+}$ state in $N = 82$ nuclei are given (see

| $^{138}$Ba | $^{140}$Ce | $^{142}$Nd | $^{144}$Sm |
|---|---|---|---|
| $E_x(2^+_1)$ [MeV] | 1.436 | 1.596 | 1.576 | 1.660 |
| $E_x(2^+_2)$ [MeV] | 1.415 | 1.550 | 1.547 | 1.670 |
| Structure | 97.3% $2^+_1$ | 96.0% $2^+_1$ | 92.7% $2^+_1$ | 94.2% $2^+_1$ |
| | + 1.9% ($3^+_1 \otimes 3^+_1$)$_{2+}$ | + 3.4% ($3^+_1 \otimes 3^+_1$)$_{2+}$ | + 3.5% ($3^+_1 \otimes 3^+_1$)$_{2+}$ |  |
| $E_x(0^+_3)$ [MeV] | 2.340 | 1.903 | 2.170 | 2.477 |
| Structure | 7.2% (2$^+_1 \otimes 3^+_1$)$_{2+}$ | 15% 0$^+$ | 14.7% 0$^+$ | + 22.6% (3$^+_1 \otimes 3^+_1$)$_{2+}$ |
| | + 14.3% (3$^+_1 \otimes 3^+_1$)$_{2+}$ | + 14.8% (3$^+_1 \otimes 3^+_1$)$_{2+}$ |  |
| $E_x(3^+_1)$ [MeV] | 2.881 | 2.646 | 2.030 | 1.730 |
| Structure | 92.7% 3$^+_1$ | 92.3% 3$^+_1$ | 92.3% 3$^+_1$ |  |
| | + 7.2% (2$^+_1 \otimes 3^+_1$)$_{3^{-}}$ | + 8.4% (2$^+_1 \otimes 3^+_1$)$_{3^{-}}$ | + 6.6% (2$^+_1 \otimes 3^+_1$)$_{3^{-}}$ | + 3.6% (2$^+_1 \otimes 3^+_1$)$_{3^{-}}$ |
| $E_x(1^+_1)$ [MeV] | 4.026 | 3.643 | 3.424 | 3.225 |
| Structure | 94.6% (2$^+_1 \otimes 3^+_1$)$_{1^{-}}$ | 93.2% (2$^+_1 \otimes 3^+_1$)$_{1^{-}}$ | 93.1% (2$^+_1 \otimes 3^+_1$)$_{1^{-}}$ |  |
| | + 1.9% 1$^+_5$ | + 1.8% 1$^+_5$ | + 1.8% 1$^+_4$ | + 1.7% 1$^+_4$ |
| | + 2.6% | + 3.5% | + 2.2% |  |

| $B(E1, 1^+_1 \rightarrow 0^+_1)$ [mW.u.] | 5.6(3)$^a$ | 4.1(6)$^a$ | 3.3(7)$^a$ | 3.7(5)$^d$ |
| $B(E1, 1^+_1 \rightarrow 2^+_1)$ [mW.u.] | 0.48(12)$^c$ | 0.54(3)$^b$ | 0.77(16)$^a$ | 0.61(13)$^d$ |
| $B(E1, 1^+_1 \rightarrow 0^+_2)$ [mW.u.] | 0.5 | 0.75(6)$^b$ | - | - |
| $B(E2, 1^+_1 \rightarrow 3^+_1)$ [W.u.] | 14.2 | < 28$^b$ | 15.7(33)$^a$ | 16.6(40)$^d$ |
| $B(E2, 2^+_1 \rightarrow 3^+_1)$ [W.u.] | 10.7(4)$^f$ | 13.7(3)$^i$ | 12.3(4)$^i$ | 11.9(4)$^i$ |
| $B(E3, 3^+_1 \rightarrow 0^+_1)$ [W.u.] | 16.0 | 26(3)$^h$ | 29(5)$^i$ | 38(3)$^i$ |

$^a$ adopted from Ref. 58
$^b$ this work
$^c$ adopted from Ref. 8
$^d$ adopted from Ref. 57
$^e$ adopted from Ref. 59
$^f$ adopted from Ref. 70
$^g$ adopted from Ref. 71
$^h$ adopted from Ref. 72
$^i$ adopted from Ref. 73
$^j$ adopted from Ref. 74
resulting from re-coupling processes of two-quasiparticle states. The energy of the $[2d_{5/2}]^2_p$ two-quasiparticle proton configuration, which has the major contribution of 55.9% to the QRPA $0^+_1$ state in $^{138}$Ba, is higher than that in $^{140}$Ce, where the $[2d_{5/2}]^2_p$ two-quasiparticle proton configuration is the second of importance with 48%. Furthermore, the main contribution of the QRPA $0^+_1$ state in $^{140}$Ce is due to the $[1g_{7/2}]^2_p$ (50.1%) two-quasiparticle proton configuration whose energy is also lower than the energy of the $[2d_{5/2}]^2_p$ two-quasiparticle proton configuration in $^{138}$Ba. Consequently, even though the total pairing energy $\Delta_p^2/G_p$, where $G_p$ is the monopole pairing strength constant, is larger in $^{140}$Ce than that in $^{138}$Ba, the mentioned shell effects lead to the lowest energy of the QRPA $0^+_1$ state in $^{140}$Ce in comparison with the other investigated $N = 82$ isotones. In addition, the calculated anharmonicity contributions to the QPM $0^+_1$ state are larger than those in the neighboring $^{138}$Ba and $^{142}$Nd nuclei, in the case of $^{140}$Ce which further reduce the excitation energy of the $0^+_2$ state.

The $B(E1)$ transition strengths for three different decay channels of the $1^-_1$ state are shown in Fig. 4(b). The predicted minimum of the $1^-_1 \rightarrow 0^+_1$ transition strength for $^{144}$Sm is not seen in the data, but still the trend is consistent within the experimental uncertainties. The theoretical value of this transition strength is strongly correlated with the contribution of the two-phonon matrix element. The latter depends strongly on the amplitude of the two-phonon $(2^+_1 \otimes 3^+_1)_1^+$ component which is one of the smallest in $^{144}$Sm (see also Table 1) and also on the collectivity of the involved two-phonon states. Furthermore, as discussed above, the presence of PDR and IVGDR counterparts to the wave function of the $1^-_1$ states influences as well their decay rates. In particular, the total amount of one-phonon contributions to the $B(E1, 1^-_1 \rightarrow 0^+_1)$ transition probability varies from 7.2% in $^{140}$Ce up to 29% in $^{138}$Ba. A relatively constant behavior of the $1^-_1 \rightarrow 2^+_1$ transition strengths for $^{140}$Ce, $^{142}$Nd, $^{144}$Sm is found in both, experiment and theory, although the absolute values are slightly underestimated. In the QPM the $1^-_1 \rightarrow 2^+_1$ transition strength is determined by the matrix element which couples the two-phonon components of the $1^-_1$ and $2^+_1$ state and depends mainly on the collectivity of the $2^+_1$ state which is an almost pure one-phonon state in the $N = 82$ isotones. In this case, the nucleus $^{138}$Ba has the least collective $2^+_1$ state and consequently one of the smallest $1^- \rightarrow 2^+_1$ transition strength. However, one should also note that the $B(E1)$ transition probability is determined by the sum of the matrix elements of all two-phonon contributions which might have different signs and cancel out. This is the case for $^{142}$Nd and $^{144}$Sm. In general, this transition belongs to the so-called boson-forbidden transitions. In particular its value is very small and even minor contributions to the state vectors can affect the transition probability.

The lower panel of Fig. 4 displays the $B(E2)$ values for the $1^-_1 \rightarrow 3^-_1$ and $2^+_1 \rightarrow 0^+_1$ transition, respectively. The QPM $0^+_2$ excited states are dominated by one-phonon components related to the $0^+_2$ QRPA phonon which has the largest contribution of 97.6% in $^{138}$Ba. For comparison the same component gives 64.2% in $^{140}$Ce, 60.3% in $^{142}$Nd, and 61.1% in $^{144}$Sm, respectively. A considerable contribution, due to to the $0^+_2$ QRPA phonon, of 15% in $^{140}$Ce, 20.8% in $^{142}$Nd, and 14.7% in $^{144}$Sm, is found as well. In addition two-phonon $(2^+_1 \otimes 2^+_1)_{0^+}$ and $(3^+_1 \otimes 3^+_1)_{0^+}$ configurations contribute to the structure of the $0^+_2$ excited states. In particular, the latter are very important for transitions between two-phonon states. Thus, from the calculations it is found that the $(3^+_1 \otimes 3^+_1)_{0^+}$ state has the largest counterpart to the structure of the $0^+_2$ excited state in $^{144}$Sm which corresponds also to one of the largest $B(E1, 1^-_1 \rightarrow 0^+_2)$ transition probabilities in comparison with the other considered $N = 82$ nuclei. In general, the energy of the $0^+_2$ QRPA state should increase with the total strength of the monopole pairing interaction and the width of the pairing gap $\Delta_p$, which in turn increases with the proton number in the case of the neutron-magic $N = 82$ isotones. This means the pairing gap in $^{140}$Ce is larger than that in $^{138}$Ba. However, different effects can lead to a lowering of the energy of the $0^+_2$ state. In particular, the structure of the QRPA $0^+_2$ state is a pure proton excitation resulting from re-coupling processes of two-quasiparticle states from the $[2d_{5/2}]^2_p$, $[1g_{7/2}]^2_p$, and $[1h_{11/2}]^2_p$ proton subshells. The energy of the $[2d_{5/2}]^2_p$ two-quasiparticle proton configuration, which has the major contribution of 55.9% to the QRPA $0^+_1$ state in $^{138}$Ba, is higher than that in $^{140}$Ce, where the $[2d_{5/2}]^2_p$ two-quasiparticle proton configuration is the second of importance with 48%.
The agreement between QPM and experimental data is excellent for, both, the $2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+$ and the $1_1^- \rightarrow 3_1^+$ transition strengths. The $B(E2, 1_1^- \rightarrow 3_1^+)$ values for $^{142}$Nd and $^{144}$Sm were measured in proton-scattering experiments \cite{Ref}. The presently determined upper limit for the $B(E2, 1_1^- \rightarrow 3_1^+)$ value of $^{140}$Ce is consistent with the QPM and would also fit into the $N = 82$ systematics. More experimental effort is needed to measure this transition strength or further reduce its upper limit.

From the newly observed decays of the $1_1^-$ state into the $2_1^+$ and $0_2^+$ states we find strong evidence for the two-phonon character of the $1_1^-$ state in $^{140}$Ce. This conclusion is fully supported by our new QPM calculations.

V. RESULTS FOR $^{40}$CA AND $^{44}$CA

The calcium chain has five stable even-even isotopes in the light-to-medium mass region covering a wide $N/Z$ range. Low-lying $E1$ excitations have been studied systematically in $^{40}$Ca, $^{44}$Ca, and $^{48}$Ca by means of NRF experiments \cite{Ref}. The doubly-magic $N = Z$ nucleus $^{40}$Ca exhibits almost no low-lying $E1$ strength, whereas $^{44}$Ca and $^{48}$Ca exhaust more and a similar amount of the Thomas-Reiche-Kuhn energy-weighted sum rule \cite{Ref, Ref}.

The $B(E1)$ strength of $^{40}$Ca below the particle threshold is mainly carried by one excitation at 6.9 MeV which was also strongly excited in an ($\alpha$, $\alpha'\gamma$) experiment \cite{Ref}. It is interpreted as a pure isoscalar oscillation which is predicted in all Ca isotopes \cite{Ref} and was experimentally identified in $^{40}$Ca and $^{48}$Ca \cite{Ref}. The quadrupole-octupole two-phonon candidate which is investigated in the present work, is the $1_1^-$ state at 5.9 MeV that has a $B(E1, 1_1^- \rightarrow 0_1^+)$ strength of 0.20(2) mW.n. \cite{Ref}. In total four ground-state transitions of excited states in $^{40}$Ca and $^{44}$Ca lie within the beam profile as shown in Fig. 5. Spin and parity of the two excited states in $^{40}$Ca are known from previous studies \cite{Ref} and are confirmed in the present experiment. For the two $J = 1$ states in $^{44}$Ca the parity was unknown. Therefore, the data taken in the present experiment was also used to perform a parity assignment as explained in Sec. III. The results are given in Table \ref{table}. On the basis of the measured experimental asymmetries, negative parity can be assigned to both states.

Concerning the decay behavior of the $1_1^-$ state in $^{40}$Ca, the coincidence data suffered from low statistics. Therefore, the $\gamma$-ray singles spectra were taken into account in the further analysis. Compared to the much heavier $^{140}$Ce the non-resonant background at low energies is strongly reduced in $^{40}$Ca. The decay into the first $3_1^-$ state at 3.7 MeV is observed in the singles $\gamma$-ray spectrum in terms of the primary $1_1^- \rightarrow 3_1^-$ transition as well as the secondary $3_1^- \rightarrow 0_1^+$ transition. These transitions are visible in the $\gamma$-ray spectrum shown in Fig. 6. A decay into the higher-lying first $2_1^+$ state at 3.9 MeV is not observed. Note that the $3_1^-$ state is the lowest-lying excited state in $^{40}$Ca. The reduced transition strengths which were determined in previous experiments and in this work are summarized in Table \ref{table} III. The $B(E2)$ values for the $1_1^- \rightarrow 3_1^-$ and the $2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+$ transitions agree within the error bars. This means the first $1_1^-$ state in $^{40}$Ca is supported as a candidate for the two-phonon $1^-$ state. Hence, the possibility of a collective phonon mode exists also in light nuclei like $^{40}$Ca.
A. P. Tonchev, S. L. Hammond, J. H. Kelley, E. Kwan, 40 candidates in 140 strong ground-state decay. For nuclei new decay paths were found in addition to the known γγ transitions at the HI facility. The experiments were performed using the γ-γ coincidence setup γ3. For both nuclei new decay paths were found in addition to the known strong ground-state decay. For 140Ce the E1 strength for the 1− → 2+ transition was determined. The deduced value fits into the N = 82 systematics. For the first time in 146Ce and in the N = 82 isotones an E1 transition of the 1− state into the first excited 0+ state was observed and quantified. Microscopic calculations on the basis of the EDF+QPM approach support the interpretation of a dominant two-phonon character of the 1− state. In the future, a measurement of the 1− → 3− transition strength or a more stringent upper limit for this observable could serve as an additional test of the model and associated interpretation.

For 40Ca the direct decay of the 1− state into the first 3− state was observed. Its transition strength is equal to the 2+ → 0+ transition strength within the experimental errors. Thus, it is consistent with the harmonic model and hints to a two-phonon structure of the 1− state. A systematic investigation of the decay behavior of two-phonon 1− candidates in other Ca isotopes could help to establish this collective excitation mode in the light-to-medium mass region.

VI. SUMMARY

We investigated the decay pattern of two-phonon 1− candidates in 40Ca and 140Ce by means of (γ, γ′) experiments at the HIγS facility. The experiments were performed using the γ-γ coincidence setup γ3. For both nuclei new decay paths were found in addition to the known strong ground-state decay. For 140Ce the E1 strength for the 1− → 2+ transition was determined. The deduced value fits into the N = 82 systematics. For the first time in 146Ce and in the N = 82 isotones an E1 transition of the 1− state into the first excited 0+ state was observed and quantified. Microscopic calculations on the basis of the EDF+QPM approach support the interpretation of a dominant two-phonon character of the 1− state. In the future, a measurement of the 1− → 3− transition strength or a more stringent upper limit for this observable could serve as an additional test of the model and associated interpretation.
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TABLE III. Experimental results for the γ-decay behavior of the 1− state in 40Ca.

| Transition          | Strength [mW.u.] | Error |
|---------------------|------------------|-------|
| B(E1, 1− → 0+ 3−)   | 0.20(2)          |       |
| B(E2, 1− → 3− 3−)   | 4.2(12)          |       |
| B(E2, 2+ → 0+ 3−)   | 2.7(12)          |       |

* taken from Ref. [63]
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