Oriented electron transmission in polyoxometalate-metalloporphyrin organic framework for highly selective electroreduction of CO₂
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The design of highly stable, selective and efficient electrocatalysts for CO₂ reduction reaction is desirable while largely unmet. In this work, a series of precisely designed polyoxometalate-metalloporphyrin organic frameworks are developed. Noted that the integration of \(\{\varepsilon\text{-PMO}_8\text{Mo}_4\text{V}_4\text{O}_{30}\text{Zn}_4\}\) cluster and metalloporphyrin endows these polyoxometalate-metalloporphyrin organic frameworks greatly advantages in terms of electron collecting and donating, electron migration and electrocatalytic active component in the CO₂ reduction reaction. Thus-obtained catalysts finally present excellent performances and the mechanisms of catalysis processes are discussed and revealed by density functional theory calculations. Most importantly, Co-PMOF exhibits remarkable faradaic efficiency (> 94%) over a wide potential range (−0.8 to −1.0 V). Its best faradaic efficiency can reach up to 99% (highest in reported metal-organic frameworks) and it exhibits a high turnover frequency of 1656 h⁻¹ and excellent catalysis stability (> 36 h).
The anthropogenic emission of carbon dioxide (CO₂) has resulted in serious climate change and environmental crisis, such as global warming, acid rain, and rising of sea level, etc. Powerful methods like storage and conversion of CO₂ have been developed to reduce atmospheric CO₂ level. In contrast, conversion of CO₂ is regarded as more promising way, due to which can make CO₂ transform into serviceable high-valued energy products or chemicals (e.g., CO, CH₄, HCOOH and C₂H₅OH, etc.), that would simultaneously mitigate the greenhouse effect and energy crisis. At present, the most representative strategy for implementing this conversion process is electrocatalytic CO₂ reduction reaction (CO₂RR), because of its characteristic advantages of easy processing, simple devices, and low energy consumption. Nevertheless, the electrochemical conversion of CO₂ still needs to conquer considerable kinetic barrier required by the intrinsically thermodynamic stability and low electron affinity of the CO₂ molecule, and the accompanying competitive H₂ evolution is always hindering the generation of aiming product, resulting in hard to discover suitable electrocatalysts to afford high CO₂RR efficiency and selectivity.

During past decades, researchers have explored different kinds of materials for CO₂RR electrocatalysis, such as metals, transition metal oxides, transition metal chalcogenides, metal-free 2D materials, and metal-organic frameworks (MOFs). Among which MOFs are regarded as ascendant CO₂ electrocatalysts owing to their structural merits commonly involve in open Lewis acid metal sites in favor of adsorbing CO₂ molecule, as well as well-defined catalytic environments suitable for mechanism explanation. However, it is a pity that their poor electrical conductivity and electron-donating capability are always the major constraints for MOFs being as efficient electrocatalysts, considering the accomplishment of necessary multiple electron transfer process for obtaining any reductive products in CO₂RR. Consequently, integrating electron-rich unit, electron mobility and active component related to specifically electrocatalytic CO₂ reduction product, into MOF will likely to be an effective approach to improve the selectivity and efficiency of CO₂RR.

As a proof-of-concept, we decided that assembling reductive polyoxometalates (POMs) and metalloporphyrins to construct MOFs so as to verify the feasibility of this inference. The corresponding considerations are listed as follows. Firstly, reductive POMs mainly composed of low valent metal ions, such as Zn-ε-Keggin cluster ([ε-PMoV₄Mo₄VIO₄Zn₄]³⁴, including eight MoV atoms), are usually electron-rich aggregates and can easily offer electrons when triggered by redox reaction or bias stimulus; secondly, metalloporphyrin, where inherent macrocycle conjugated π-electron system is very beneficial for electron mobility, has proven to be advantageous to produce CO in electrocatalytic CO₂RR; thirdly, the connection of POM and metalloporphyrin will presumably create an oriented electron transportation pathway under the motivation of electric field, abundant electrons flowing from POM cluster to metalloporphyrin motif can guarantee and facilitate the fulfillment of multiple electron migration process of CO₂RR; and finally, polyoxometalate-based MOFs always performing good structural robustness and chemical stability that is essential to the durability test of CO₂RR. From the above we deduce that reductive polyoxometalate-metalloporphyrin organic frameworks (PMOFs) will probably be promising candidates to enhance the efficiency and selectivity of CO₂RR, while the assembly of this type of structures is still extremely challenging.

Herein, we synthesize a series of stable PMOFs constructed by tetrakis[4-carboxyphenyl]-porphin-M (M-TCPP) linkers and reductive Zn-ε-Keggin clusters through an in situ hydrothermal method with similar formulae of [PMoV₄MoVI₄O₅₅(OH)₂Zn₄]³⁺ [M-TCPP][2H₂O][1.5TBAOH] (M = Fe, Co, Ni, and Zn). The synergistic combination of Zn-ε-Keggin and M-TCPP in these PMOFs can serve as the role of gathering electron donating, electron migration, and electrocatalytic active component in the CO₂RR. The electroreduction performances of diverse transition metal (i.e., Co, Fe, Ni, and Zn) based PMOFs are tested and relative results are discussed in the single-crystal level. As expected, these PMOFs show excellent performances in electrocatalytic CO₂RR, especially for Co-PMOF, which is able to selectively convert CO₂ to CO with a superior faradaic efficiency of 99% (highest in reported MOFs), a high TOF of 1656 h⁻¹ (‒0.8 V) and excellent stability for more than 36 h. It is worth noting that this is the first case to explore polyoxometalate-based MOF in the application of electrochemical CO₂RR.
The electrocatalytic performance of M-PMOFs. The electrochemical CO$_2$RR performance is evaluated in an airtight three electrodes electrochemical H-type cell (the compartments are separated by a proton exchange membrane (Nafion®212)). All the M-PMOFs (i.e., Co-PMOF, Fe-PMOF, Ni-PMOF, and Zn-PMOF) samples are packed in the cells and tested. In this work, all the potentials are measured vs. Ag/AgCl electrode and the results are reported vs. reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE).

Linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) curves (without $iR$ compensation) show that Co-PMOF has a small onset potential of $−0.35$ V and is much more positive than that of Fe-PMOF ($−0.53$ V), Ni-PMOF ($−0.58$ V), and Zn-PMOF ($−0.60$ V) in CO$_2$-saturated 0.5 M KHCO$_3$ (pH = 7.2) solution (Fig. 2a). Co-PMOF exhibits a small overpotential of 0.24 V and the relative equilibrium potential of CO$_2$/CO is $−0.11$ V. Besides, Co-PMOF presents a total current density of 38.9 mA cm$^{-2}$ at $−1.1$ V and is superior to Fe-PMOF (25.1 mA cm$^{-2}$), Ni-PMOF(20.02 mA cm$^{-2}$), and Zn-PMOF (16 mA cm$^{-2}$). Due to its excellent performances, this work will mainly focus on Co-PMOF to discuss the possible relations between its properties and well-defined structure.

Combining POMs and M-TCPP as building units, the high electrochemical activity of Co-PMOF is supposed to come from CO$_2$RR. To prove it, relative tests are conducted both in N$_2$ and CO$_2$ saturated KHCO$_3$ solution. As expected, Co-PMOF exhibits a more negative onset potential and a smaller current density in N$_2$-saturated KHCO$_3$ solution than that in CO$_2$-staurated KHCO$_3$ solution (Supplementary Fig. 16). Furthermore, the GC analyses show that H$_2$ and CO are the primary reduction products and there is no liquid product detected by $^1$H nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (Supplementary Fig. 17). To further evaluate the selectivity of M-PMOF samples for CO$_2$RR, the corresponding FE for CO and H$_2$ (denoted as FE$_{CO}$ and FE$_{H2}$) are calculated over the entire potential range (Fig. 2b and Supplementary Fig. 18). Taking Co-PMOF for example, the initial formation of CO is detected by GC at the potential of $−0.35$ V with a small CO partial current density of 0.39 mA cm$^{-2}$. With the increase of potential, the FE$_{CO}$ continuously increases and reaches up to a maximum value of 98.7% at $−0.8$ V and keeps higher than 94% even at $−1.0$ V (Supplementary Fig. 19). The high FE$_{CO}$ over such as wide potential range (from $−0.8$ V to $−1.0$ V) outperforms many reported materials (Supplementary Table 1). Noteworthy, the maximum FE$_{CO}$ value (98.7%) of Co-PMOF is higher than other M-PMOFs (i.e., Fe-PMOF, 28.8%; Ni-PMOF, 18.5%; and Zn-PMOF, 1.2%, respectively) and is highest among reported MOFs (Supplementary Table 1). In addition, the same electrochemical experiment of Co-PMOF is carried out in N$_2$-saturated 0.5 M KHCO$_3$ to reveal the source of CO and there is no CO detected under this condition (Supplementary Fig. 21). Besides, an isotopic experiment that using $^{13}$CO$_3$ as substrate was performed under identical reaction conditions to reveal the carbon source of CO. The products were analyzed by gas chromatography and mass spectra. As shown in Supplementary Fig. 22, the peak at m/z = 29 is assigned to $^{13}$CO, indicating that the carbon source of CO indeed derives from the CO$_2$ used.

To further reveal the efficiency of the remarkable performances of Co-PMOF, partial current densities of CO and H$_2$ at different potentials are detected (Fig. 2c and Supplementary Fig. 23). Co-PMOF gives a partial CO current density of 18.08 mA cm$^{-2}$ at $−0.8$ V. This value is 30 times larger than that of Fe-PMOF (0.27 mA cm$^{-2}$), Ni-PMOF (0.47 mA cm$^{-2}$), and Zn-PMOF (0.02 mA cm$^{-2}$). Besides, TOF of Co-PMOF is calculated to be 1656 h$^{-1}$ at $−0.8$ V and the TON values of Co-PMOF following the change of time was shown in Supplementary Fig. 24. To the best of our knowledge, this catalytic behavior of Co-PMOF outperforms most of MOF catalysts and is one of the best reported materials (Supplementary Table 1).

To elucidate the dynamics activity of Co-PMOF for electrochemical CO$_2$RR, Tafel slopes are calculated based on Tafel equation ($\eta = b \log j + a$, where $\eta$ is the overpotential, $j$ is the current density and $b$ is the Tafel slope) and presented in Fig. 2d. Remarkably, the Tafel slope for Co-PMOF is 98 mV dec$^{-1}$, which is much smaller than that of Fe-PMOF (211 mV dec$^{-1}$), Ni-PMOF (675 mV dec$^{-1}$), and Zn-PMOF (206 mV dec$^{-1}$). This indicates the favorable kinetics of Co-PMOF for the formation of CO, which might be ascribed to the more efficient charge transfer and larger active surface in the catalytic process. To support the hypothesis, electrochemical double-layer capacitance ($C_{dl}$) is calculated to estimate the electrochemical active surface area.
(ECSA) to further discuss the potential influence factors (Supplementary Fig. 25)\(^45\). The result show that Co-PMOF indeed exhibits larger \(C_{\text{dl}}\) value (12.17 mF cm\(^{-2}\)) than that of Fe-PMOF (10.26 mF cm\(^{-2}\)), Ni-PMOF (10.16 mF cm\(^{-2}\)), and Zn-PMOF (9.83 mF cm\(^{-2}\)), which enable to provide more active sites in electrocatalyst to contact the electrolyte for being beneficial to increase the reaction speed of electrochemical CO\(_2\)RR.

Moreover, electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurement is carried out to probe the electrocatalytic kinetics on the electrode/electrolyte surface. The Nyquist plots demonstrate that Co-PMOF has much smaller charge transfer resistance (9.83 \(\Omega\)) than Fe-PMOF (10.26 \(\Omega\)), Ni-PMOF (10.70 \(\Omega\)), and Zn-PMOF (12.17 \(\Omega\)) during the electrochemical CO\(_2\)RR (Supplementary Fig. 26). This indicates Co-PMOF can provide faster electron transfer from the catalyst surface to the reactant (i.e., CO\(_2\)) in intermediate (HCOO* and CO*) generation, eventually resulting in largely enhanced activity and selectivity. This conclusion will be further verified by the theoretical calculations and relative contents are discussed in the mechanism part. Stability is a key factor to evaluate the durability properties of catalysts. To evaluate the CO\(_2\)RR stability of Co-PMOF, long-time durability test is performed with chronoamperometric test at a fixed overpotential of −0.8 V vs. RHE. These tests are performed in 0.5 M KHCO\(_3\) electrolyte and at the scan rate of 5 mV s\(^{-1}\).
highly stable electrocatalyst, which has much potential to be used in efficient electrochemical CO$_2$RR (Supplementary Figs. 27, 38).

**Discussion**

To reveal the catalytic mechanism, a series of contrast samples are prepared and tested. The electrochemical CO$_2$RR of contrast samples are measured adopting the same testing methods. To evaluate the impact of Co-TCPP in electrochemical CO$_2$RR, NNU-12 ([$\text{PMo}_{12}\text{VMo}_4\text{V}_4\text{O}_{40}\text{O}_4\text{H}_4\text{Zn}_4][\text{BCPT}]_{2 \times \text{Guests}}$) is prepared$^{46}$. NNU-12 with dia topology is constructed by Zn-ε-Keggin and BCPT$^2$- linker, which contains the same Zn-ε-Keggin unit as Co-PMOF while the ligand is different. NNU-12 shows poor current density (3.63 mA cm$^{-2}$ at $-0.8$ V), high onset potential (−0.6 V) with a Tafel slope of 413 mV dec$^{-1}$, and has negligible CO$_2$ reduction activity (Fig. 3, Supplementary Figs. 28, 30). This indicates high CO$_2$ reduction activity of Co-PMOF originates from Co-TCPP. In the process, Co-TCPP might act as electrocatalytic center and redox-hopping-based conduit, which is beneficial for charge transfer.

The influence of reductive POM in the electrochemical CO$_2$RR is also investigated. Tetramethyl 5, 10, 15, 20-tetrakis[4-carboxyphenyl]-porphyrin-Co (Co-TMCP) is prepared and shows a small onset potential of −0.4 V, high current density (11.60 mA cm$^{-2}$ at −0.8 V) with a Tafel slope of 151 mV dec$^{-1}$ (Supplementary Fig. 29 and Fig. 3). In contrast, Co-TMCP exhibits poorer CO$_2$ reduction performance than Co-PMOF, which might be attributed to the lower proton and electron transfer efficiency (Fig. 3 and Supplementary Fig. 30). Zn-ε-Keggin with strong reducibility might provide additional electrons and facilitate the charge transfer for Co-PMOF in electrochemical CO$_2$RR.

In addition, tetramethyl 5, 10, 15, 20-tetrakis[4-carboxyphenyl]-porphyrin (TMCP) is prepared to study the effect of Co in the reduction process. TMCP shows poorer current density (2.06 mA cm$^{-2}$ at −0.8 V), lower FE$_{\text{CO}}$ (0.77% at −0.8 V), higher onset potential (−0.67 V) with a Tafel slope of 552 mV dec$^{-1}$ and has no CO$_2$RR activity than Co-PMOF (Fig. 3, Supplementary Figs. 29, 30), implying Co is the electrochemically active site in Co-PMOF. To further support the results, ECSA and EIS measurements are conducted and relative results are calculated. These results give similar performance tendency in Co-PMOF as discussed above (Supplementary Fig. 34 and 35). Moreover, MOF-525(Co) was prepared as relevant comparison to further support the catalytic mechanism of M-PMOF (Supplementary Fig. 31). MOF-525(Co) has similar ligand as Co-PMOF and is constructed with Zr$_6$O$_4$(OH)$_4$ unit without POM$^{47}$. MOF-525(Co) is successfully prepared and certified by the PXRD tests (Supplementary Fig. 32). The electrochemical CO$_2$RR of MOF-525(Co) is measured adopting the same testing methods. As shown in Supplementary Fig. 33, MOF-525(Co) shows lower FE$_{\text{CO}}$ (47.9% at −0.8 V) than Co-PMOF (98.7% at −0.8 V), which might be attributed to the poorer proton and electron transfer efficiency. This indicates POM actually acts as electron-rich aggregates in the catalytic mechanism of M-PMOF.

To understand the highly active as well as selective reaction mechanism of Co-PMOF, DFT calculations are performed (Supplementary note 2). Generally, the electroreduction of CO$_2$ to CO includes three elementary reactions, the formation of *COOH and *CO with one electron transfer for each of them, and the finally CO desorption process. The asterisk (*) represents the surface active sites for adsorption and reaction. From the calculated free energy diagrams shown in Fig. 4a, the rate-determining steps (RDS) for CO$_2$ reduction on single Zn-ε-Keggin (POM) and Co-TCPP are the formation of adsorbed intermediates *COOH and *CO with rather high free energies of $\Delta G_1 = 0.96$ eV and $\Delta G_2 = 0.53$ eV, respectively. Expectedly, when assembling the Zn-ε-Keggin and Co-TCPP together, the final compound of Co-PMOF possesses remarkably reduced $\Delta G_1$ and $\Delta G_2$, and
particularly the RDS of *COOH formation decrease to a much smaller free energy of $\Delta G_1 = 0.34$ eV, being consistent with the high electroreduction activity and selectivity of this PMOF. These results indicate not only the favorable active site is the Co in Co-TCPP instead of POM, but also the efficiently synergistic electron modulation of POM and the porphyrin metal center. Then, the reaction pathways are further calculated by replacing the Co in porphyrin center with Fe, Ni, and Zn (Fig. 4b and Supplementary Fig. 36). For Fe-PMOF, although the energy of *COOH and *CO formations have decreased when compared to that of Co-PMOF, the desorption of CO becomes harder ($\Delta G_{2}$), which may be ascribed to the higher affinity of Fe. For the case of Ni and Zn, the RDS both are the formation of *COOH with much higher free energies of 1.12 and 1.86 eV, respectively. This indicates the initial proton-coupled electron transfer to CO$_2$ forming an adsorbed *COOH intermediate is sluggish in these systems. In a word, the sequence of the reaction energies on different metal centers is well consistent with our experiments, which further confirms the high activity of the Co modified compounds and the modulation effect in the M-PMOF systems.

Based on the experiment results and theoretical calculations, we elaborate the reduction processes from CO$_2$ to CO on Co-PMOF. This strategy opens great perspectives in designing novel and efficient CO$_2$RR catalysts and might shed light on the exploration of powerful protocols to address CO$_2$ problems.

Fig. 4 The DFT calculation and proposed reaction mechanism. a The free energy diagrams of CO$_2$ reduction to CO for POM (orange), Co-TCPP (green), and Co-PMOF (pink). The rate-determining step and the corresponding free energy on each material are indicated (Note that asterisk represents a surface active site for reaction). b Comparison of the free energy of each elementary reaction ($\Delta G_1$, $\Delta G_2$, and $\Delta G_3$ represent the free energy of *COOH formation, *CO formation, and CO desorption process, respectively) in CO$_2$RR for Co-PMOF, Fe-PMOF, Ni-PMOF, and Zn-PMOF, respectively. c, d Proposed mechanistic scheme for the CO$_2$RR on Co-PMOF (POM as electron-donating group, porphyrins as charge transfer ligand and transition metals as electron-collecting group).
Methods

Syntheses of various M-TMCPS. 5, 10, 15, 20-Tetrakis(4-methoxy-carboxyphenyl)porphyrin (TMCP) (1.7 g, 2.0 mmol), CoCl₂·6H₂O (6.1 g, 25.6 mmol), and DMF (200 mL) were mixed and refluxed for 12 h. A large amount of water was added after cooling down to room temperature. The as-synthesized material was filtered and washed with water (600 mL) for six times. The fuchsia solid sample was obtained (yield 90% based on TMCP). The preparation processes of Fe-TMCP, Ni-TMCP, and Zn-TMCP were similar to Co-TMCP except that CoCl₂·6H₂O (6.1 g, 25.6 mmol) was replaced by FeCl₃·6H₂O (5.0 g, 25.6 mmol), NiCl₂·6H₂O (6.2 g, 25.6 mmol), and ZnCl₂ (3.5 g, 25.6 mmol), respectively.

Syntheses of various M-TCPPs. The obtained ester, MeOH (50 mL) and THF (50 mL) were mixed and stirred. A volume of 50 mL KOH solution (5.2 g, 93.9 mmol) and 50 mL H₂O was added into the mixture and was refluxed for one night. After reaction, the solvents were evaporated. To make the solid fully dissolve, moderate water was added to the mixed solution and heated. 2 M HCl was used to acidify the obtained fuchsia homogeneous solution until no further fuchsia precipitate was generated. The solid was collected and washed with deionized water until the pH of filtrate reaches about 5. Finally, the solid sample was dried in vacuum at 60 °C. The colors of Co-TCPP, Fe-TCPP, and Ni-TCPP were red, brown, and crimson, respectively.

Syntheses of various M-PMOFs. Taking Co-PMOF for example, a mixture of H₃PO₃ (10 mg, 0.125 mmol), zinc chloride (68 mg, 0.50 mmol), Na₂MoO₄·2H₂O (310 mg, 1.28 mmol), and tetrabutylammonium hydroxide (TBAOH) solution (310 mg, 1.28 mmol) was added into 3.5 mL H₂O and stirred for 15 min. 2 M HCl was used to acidify the obtained yellow homogeneous solution until the pH reached about 5. Then, 5,10,15,20-tetrakis(4-carboxyphenyl)porphyrinato-Co (Co-TMCP) (132 mg), Ni powder (99.99% (25 mg), and dimethylacetamide solvent (250 μL) were added into the mixed solution and stirred in a 15 mL Teflon-lined stainless-steel autoclave and heated at 180 °C for 72 h. After temperature cooled down to room temperature with a rate of 15 °C h⁻¹, the crystals were washed with water and collected (yield was about 75% based on Co-TMCP). The preparation processes of Ni-PMOF and Fe-PMOF were similar to Co-PMOF except that Co-TCPP (132 mg) was replaced by TCPP (118 mg) (Supplementary Fig. 2). The IR peaks are listed as follows (Supplementary Fig. 7, Table). The ~ν(C-O) revealed the existence of the ester groups in Co-PMOF. Similarly to Co-PMOF except that Co-TCPP (132 mg) is replaced by TCPP (118 mg) (Supplementary Fig. 8). The obtained ester, MeOH (50 mL) and THF (50 mL) were added. The solution was placed in a 20 mL scintillation vial and heated. 2 M HCl was used to acidify the obtained fuchsia homogeneous solution until no further fuchsia precipitate was generated. The solid was collected and washed with deionized water until the pH of filtrate reaches about 5. Finally, the solid sample was dried in vacuum at 60 °C. The colors of Co-TCPP, Fe-TCPP, and Ni-TCPP were red, brown, and crimson, respectively.

Syntheses of MOF-525(Co). CoCl₂·6H₂O (100 mg, 0.62 mmol), MOF-525 (50 mg), and DMF (10 mL) were mixed and heated at 100 °C for 18 h. The microcrystalline powder was collected by filtration and washed with DMF, acetone for several times. Finally, the powder was dried in vacuum at 120 °C for 48 h.¹⁷

Characterizations and instruments. Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) patterns of samples were carried out on a D/max 2500 VB/PC diffractometer (Japan) equipped with Cu Kα radiation (λ = 1.54060 Å). FTIR spectra were tested on a Bruker Tensor 27 FT/IR spectrophotometer. Thermogravimetric analyses of crystal samples were measured on a Netzsch STA 449F3 analyzer under the oxygen atmosphere with a heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹. Single-crystal XRD data of Co-PMOF, Fe-PMOF, Ni-PMOF, and Zn-PMOF were measured on a Bruker APEX II CCD diffractometer with graphite-monochromated Mo Kα radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å) at 296 K. All the structures were solved by direct method using SHELXTL program and refined on an Olex2 software. Multi-scan technique was used to absorption corrections. The TBA⁺ ion could not be detected in the structure and some other guest molecules were dehydrated during the synthesis. The detailed structural information of these crystals was listed in Supplementary Table 2. The CCDC number of Co-PMOF, Fe-PMOF, Ni-PMOF, and Zn-PMOF (NNU-13) were 1832596 [https://doi.org/10.5072/cdcd.csd.c1rzbmg], 1832597 [https://doi.org/10.5072/cdcd.csd.c1l6h], 1832398 [https://doi.org/10.5072/cdcd.csd.c1ybpj], and 1181860 [https://doi.org/10.5072/cdcd.cvyn3d38] respectively.

Electrochemical measurements. All electrocatalysis tests of the catalysts were performed at ambient environment on the electrochemical workstation (Bio-Logic) in a standard three-electrode configuration in 0.5 M KClO₄ (pH = 7.2). Pt wire and Ag/AgCl electrode were used as the counter and reference electrode, respectively. The working electrode was modified carbon cloth (1 cm × 1 cm). The experiment was performed in an airtight electrochemical H-type cell with a catalyst-modified carbon cloth electrode (denoted as CCE, 1 cm × 2 cm) as the work electrode.

During the CO₂ reduction experiments, the polarization curves were performed by LSV mode at a scan rate of 5 mV s⁻¹. Initially, polarization curves for the modified electrodes were recorded under an inert N₂ (gas) atmosphere. After that, the solution was bubbled with CO₂ (99.999%) for at least 30 min to make the aqueous solution saturated and then the electrocatalytic CO₂RR was conducted (Supplementary note 1). Potential was measured vs. Ag/AgCl electrode and the results were reported vs. reversible hydrogen electrode based on the Nernst equation: E (vs. RHE) = E (vs. Ag/AgCl) + 0.1989 V + 0.059 × pH. EIS measurement was carried out by applying an AC voltage with 10 mV amplitude in a frequency range from 1000 kHz to 100 mHz at a overpotential of −0.8 V (vs. RHE). To estimate the ECSA, cyclic voltammograms (CV) were tested by measuring double-layer capacitance (Cdl) under the potential window of −0.45 V to −0.55 V (vs. Ag/AgCl) with various scan rates from 10 to 100 mV s⁻¹. All the LSV curves were presented without iR compensation.
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Received: 10 May 2018 Accepted: 28 September 2018

Published online: 26 October 2018

References

1. Shakun, J. D. et al. Global warming preceded by increasing carbon dioxide concentrations during the last deglaciation. Nature 484, 49–54 (2012).
2. Rao, H., Schmidt, L. C., Bonin, J. & Robert, M. Visible-light-driven methane oxidation state for selective carbon dioxide reduction. Nat. Catal. 1, 103–110 (2018).
3. Haas, T. et al. Technical photosynthesis involving CO₂ electrolysis and fermentation. Nat. Catal. 1, 32–39 (2018).
4. Wu, J. H., Huang, Y., Ye, W. & Li, Y. G. CO₂ reduction: from the electrochemical to photochemical approach. Adv. Sci. 4, 1700194 (2017).
5. Diercks, C. S. et al. Reticular electronic tuning of porphyrin active sites in catalytic CO₂ reduction in water. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 140, 1116–1122 (2018).
6. Zhang, X. et al. Highly selective and active CO₂ reduction electrocatalysts based on cobalt phthalocyanine/carbon nanotube hybrid structures. Nat. Commun. 8, 14675 (2017).
7. Liu, W. et al. Tuning S-nucleophiles for electrochemical reduction of CO₂ to CO via the core/shell CuSnO₂ structure. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 139, 4290–4293 (2017).
8. Gao, S. et al. Partially oxidized atomic cobalt layers for carbon dioxide electroreduction to liquid fuel. Nature 529, 68–71 (2016).
9. Jiao, Y. et al. Molecular scaffolding strategy with synergistic active centers to facilitate electrocatalytic CO₂ reduction to hydrocarbon/alkanol. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 139, 18093–18100 (2017).
10. Lin, S. et al. Covariant organic frameworks comprising cobalt porphyrins for catalytic CO₂ reduction in water. Science 349, 1208–1213 (2015).
12. Seh, Z. W. et al. Combining theory and experiment in electrocatalysis: insights into materials design. Science 355, 146 (2017).
13. Duan, X. et al. Metal-free carbon materials for CO₂ electrochemical reduction. Adv. Mater. 29, 1701784 (2017).
14. Sun, Z. Y. et al. Fundamentals and challenges of electrochemical CO₂ reduction using two-dimensional materials. Chem. 3, 560–587 (2017).
15. Zhang, W. J. et al. Progress and perspective of electrocatalytic CO₂ reduction for renewable carbonous fuels and chemicals. Adv. Sci. 5, 1700275 (2018).
16. Zhang, L., Zhao, Z. J. & Gong, J. L. Nanostructured materials for heterogeneous electrocatalytic CO₂ reduction and their related reaction mechanisms. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 56, 11326–11353 (2017).
17. Asadi, M. et al. Nanostructured transition metal dichalcogenide electrocatalysts for CO₂ reduction in liquidic medium. Science 353, 467–470 (2016).
18. Zhu, D. D., Liu, J. L. & Qiao, S. Z. Recent advances in inorganic heterogeneous electrocatalysts for reduction of carbon dioxide. Adv. Mater. 28, 3432–3452 (2016).
19. Liao, P.-Q., Shen, J.-Q. & Zhang, J.-P. Metal-organic frameworks for energy storage and conversion. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 56, 8076–8079 (2016).
20. Maurin, A. & Robert, M. Noncovalent immobilization of a molecular iron-based electrocatalyst on carbon electrodes for selective, efficient CO₂-to-CO conversion in water. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 138, 2492–2495 (2016).
21. Hinogami, R. et al. Electrochemical reduction of carbon dioxide using a copper unsubstituted metal organic framework. ECS Electrochem. Lett. 1, H17–H19 (2012).
22. Sun, Z. Y. et al. Fundamentals and challenges of electrochemical CO₂ reduction. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 137, 14129–14135 (2015).
23. Hod, I. et al. Fe-porphyrin-based metal-organic framework for efficient and tunable CO₂ reduction to syngas. Nat. Commun. 8, 1828 (2017).
24. Wang, Z. et al. Active sites of copper-catalytic materials for electrochemical CO₂ reduction. Nat. Commun. 9, 415 (2018).
25. Li, X. et al. Exclusive Ni-N₄ sites realize near-unity CO selectivity for electrochemical CO₂ reduction. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 139, 14889–14892 (2017).
26. Wang, X. et al. Regulation of coordination number over single Co sites: triggering the efficient electroreduction of CO₂. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 57, 1944–1948 (2018).
27. Guo, S. J. et al. A Co₄O₆–CDOT–C₆N₄ component electrocatalyst design concept for efficient and tunable CO₂ reduction to syngas. Nat. Commun. 8, 1828 (2017).
28. Liang, Z. et al. Pristine metal-organic frameworks and their composites for energy storage and conversion. Adv. Mater. 30, 1702891 (2017).
29. Kornienko, N. et al. Met-organic frameworks for electrocatalytic reduction of carbon dioxide. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 137, 14129–14135 (2015).
30. Hod, I. et al. Fe-porphyrin-based metal-organic framework films with high-surface concentration, heterogeneous catalaysis for electrochemical reduction of CO₂. ACS Catal. 5, 6302–6309 (2015).
31. Kung, C. W. et al. Copper nanoparticles installed in metal-organic framework thin films are electrocatalytically competent for CO₂ reduction. ACS Energy Lett. 2, 2394–2401 (2017).
32. Qin, J. S. et al. Ultrastable polyimide-based metal organic frameworks as highly active electrocatalysts for hydrogen generation from water. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 137, 7169–7177 (2015).
33. Du, D. Y. et al. Recent advances in porous polyoxometalate-based metal-organic framework materials. Chem. Soc. Rev. 43, 4615–4632 (2014).
34. Marleny Rodríguez-Albelo, L. et al. Zeolitic polyoxometalate-based metal-organic frameworks (Z-POMOFS): computational evaluation of hypothetical polymorphs and the successful targeted synthesis of the redox-active Z-POMOFL. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 131, 16078–16087 (2009).
35. Nohara, B. et al. Polyoxometalate-based metal organic frameworks (POMOFS); structural trends, energetics, and high electrocatalytic efficiency for hydrogen evolution reaction. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 133, 13363–13374 (2011).
36. Vlasilopoulos, M. et al. Old metal oxide clusters in new applications: spontaneous reduction of Keggin and Dawson polyoxometalate layers by a metallic electrode for improving efficiency in organic optoelectronics. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 137, 6844–6856 (2015).
37. Han, N. et al. Supported cobalt polyphalocyanine for high-performance electrocatalytic CO₂ reduction. Chem. 3, 652–664 (2017).
38. Yang, X. et al. Porous metalloporphyrinic frameworks constructed from metal 5,10,15,20-tetraakis(3,5-bis(carboxyphenyl)porphyrin for highly efficient and selective catalytic oxidation of aldehydes. ChemComm 43, 10638–10645 (2012).
39. Xie, M. H., Yang, X. L. & Wu, C. D. A metalloporphyrin functionalized metal-organic framework for selective oxidation of styrene. Chem. Commun. 47, 5521–5523 (2011).
40. Zou, C. et al. A multifunctional organic-inorganic hybrid structure based on Mn(III)-porphyrin and polyoxometalate as a highly effective dye scavenger and heterogeneous catalyst. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 134, 87–90 (2012).
41. Albo, I. et al. Copper-based metal-organic porous materials for CO₂ electrocatalytic reduction to alcohols. ChemSusChem 10, 1–11 (2016).
42. Kang, X. et al. Highly efficient electrocatalytic reduction of CO₂ to CH₄ in ionic liquid using metal-organic framework cathode. Chem. Sci. 7, 266–273 (2015).
43. Ye, L. et al. Highly oriented MOF thin film-based electrocatalytic device for the reduction of CO₂ to CO exhibiting high faradic efficiency. J. Mater. Chem. A 4, 15320–15326 (2016).
44. Pan, Y. et al. Design of single-atom Co-N₅ catalytic site: a robust electrocatalyst for CO₂ reduction with nearly 100% CO selectivity and remarkable stability. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 140, 4218–4221 (2018).
45. Lei, F. et al. Metallic tin quantum sheets confined in graphene toward high-efficiency carbon dioxide electroreduction. Nat. Commun. 7, 12697 (2016).
46. Huang, Q. et al. A highly stable polyoxometalate-based metal-organic framework with π–π stacking for enhancing lithium ion battery performance. J. Mater. Chem. A 5, 8477–8483 (2017).
47. Morris, W. et al. Synthesis, structure, and metatization of two new highly porous zincium metal–organic frameworks. Inorg. Chem. 51, 6443–6445 (2012).
48. Sende, I. A. R. et al. Electrocatalysis of CO₂ reduction in aqueous media at electrodes modified with electropolymerized films of vinylytriprydine complexes of transition metals. Inorg. Chem. 34, 3339–3348 (1995).
49. Feng, D. W. et al. Zirconium-metallophyrin PCN-222: mesoporous metal-organic frameworks with ultrahigh stability as biomimetic catalysts. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 51, 10307–10310 (2012).

Acknowledgements
This work was financially supported by NSFC (No. 21622104, 21471080, and 21701085), the NSF of Jiangsu Province of China (No. BK20171032), the Natural Science Research of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions (No. 17KJB150025), Priority Academic Program Development of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions, and the Foundation of Jiangsu Collaborative Innovation Center of Biomedical Functional Materials.

Author contributions
Y.-Q.L., Y.-R.W., and Q.H., conceived the idea. Y.-R.W., Q.H., Y.-F.C., and J.L. designed the experiments and collected and analyzed the data. C.-T.H. accomplished the theoretical calculations. F.-C.S and J.L assisted with the experiments and characterizations. Y.-R.W. wrote the manuscript. All authors discussed the results and commented on the manuscript.

Additional information
Supplementary Information accompanies this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-06938-z.

Competing interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Reprints and permission information is available online at http://npg.nature.com/reprintsandpermissions/.

Publisher’s note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

© The Author(s) 2018