Future dentistry: cell therapy meets tooth and periodontal repair and regeneration
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Abstract

Cell-based tissue repair of the tooth and – tooth-supporting – periodontal ligament (PDL) is a new attractive approach that complements traditional restorative or surgical techniques for replacement of injured or pathologically damaged tissues. In such therapeutic approaches, stem cells and/or progenitor cells are manipulated in vitro and administered to patients as living and dynamic biological agents. In this review, we discuss the clonogenic potential of human dental and periodontal tissues such as the dental pulp and the PDL and their potential for tooth and periodontal repair and/or regeneration. We propose novel therapeutic approaches using stem cells or progenitor cells, which are targeted to regenerate the lost dental or periodontal tissue.
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Introduction

Our understanding of tooth development and biology of tooth diseases has tremendously advanced in the past two decades. Tooth pathology occurs mainly as a result of periodontal disease or carious lesions. Recent insights on the reparative capability of periodontium and dental pulp in conjunction with progress in stem cell biology, molecular biology and material science will enable us to develop novel therapies using engineered biological compounds and cell based therapeutics. In order to achieve a dental engineering therapy it is necessary to address all the cells and tissues involved in the formation, maintenance and repair of the tooth. Resembling other epithelial appendages, the tooth develops from a series of epithelial/mesenchymal interactions. Once formed the mesenchymal components of the tooth (dentine and periodontal ligament [PDL]) persist and are capable of only limited repair in response to injury. Here we will explore how tissue engineering can take advantage of these repair processes enhancing them and achieve artificial but biological tooth repair.

The need for tooth tissue engineering

One of the unwanted effects of increase longevity in the population is the increase of dentition decay. By the end of last century nearly 25% of the US population aged 65 to 75 years old had lost their teeth.
natural teeth. This problem has a substantial effect in the population's quality of life [1]. Preventive dental care reduces the setback of dental decay, but the problem persists. The only solution to tooth perish has been restorative prosthetics in the form of implants. Implant technology is indeed ancient, as the earliest known dental prosthetics dates back to 2500 BC in Egypt and the first known tooth replacement was documented from the Mayan culture in AD 600 [2].

Despite the long history of dental implants there are still limitations in functionality and longevity of the implants mainly due to alveolar bone loss. The tooth organ interacts actively with the alveolar bone through the PDL. Implants lack the plasticity and the biological interactions with the bone that the natural tooth has. PDL biology and bioengineering have advanced tremendously addressing the problems with periodontal diseases and have attempted to alleviate the tooth implants side effects [3].

The hard tissues of the tooth’s crown provide a barrier against bacteria. When a traumatic injury or a carious lesion breaks down this barrier, repair takes place to prevent invasion of the pulp chamber by bacteria. The capacity for pulp cells to resist and repair injuries is fundamental for the maintenance of tooth integrity and homeostasis. In the adult pulp, cell division and the secretory activity of odontoblasts are limited [4], but these processes may be re-activated after injury. In the case of severe tooth lesions the spontaneous regenerative power of the periodontium or the dental pulp is often insufficient resulting in tooth lost. In these cases tissue engineering and regenerative medicine could find indication.

Cellular components and development of tooth

The usage of stem cells systems as a tool for tissue engineering has great potential. Stem cell research has resulted in many clinical applications. Examples of cell based therapies include repair of skin [5], bone [6, 7], articular cartilage [8], cardiac tissues [9, 10] and neuronal tissue in Parkinson’s disease [11, 12]. Through a series of epithelial–mesenchymal interactions, teeth share similar patterns of gene expression and morphological events with the early stages of other epithelial appendages like lung, hair and breast. The epithelial–mesenchymal interactions in tooth are regulated by bone morphogenetic protein (BMP)-2, BMP-4 and Midkine [13–16], whereas fibroblast growth factors (FGFs) are involved in cell proliferation and regulation of specific target genes [17–19]. During tooth initiation and morphogenesis Wnt3, Wnt7b, Wnt10a and Wnt10b in conjunction with sonic hedgehog (SHH) regulate cell proliferation, migration and differentiation [20, 21]. From all these molecules, BMP4 and FGF8 constitute essential early oral epithelial signals that have a crucial role in activating specific homeobox genes in the underlying mesenchyme. It has been proposed that these two molecules could control tooth patterning in rodents: BMP4 directs the shape of incisors and FGF8 the shape of molars [22]. The mesenchyme of the developing incisors expresses a specific complement of genes (Msx1, Msx2) regulated by the influence of BMP4 from the epithelium. In the molars the mesenchyme posses a different complement of genes (Dlx1, Dlx2, Barx1) regulated by FGF8 also from the overlying epithelium. The specific complement of these transcription factors dictates the development of the tooth germs towards an incisorform or molariform shape [23]. Based on the restricted expression domains of signalling molecules and homeobox genes in the cranial neural crest cell-derived mesenchyme of the maxilla and mandible, a ‘co-operative genetic interaction’ model has been proposed [22]. The presence of all these transcription factors appears to be required for a transcriptional program responsible for the characteristic growth and morphology of teeth [23]. The molecular mechanisms governing these events have been extensively reviewed in detail [24–28]. These molecular mechanisms can be used as bases to establish possible mechanisms needed for tooth regeneration [29–31]. In the final stages of tooth development, enamel and dentine form as the outcome of the interactions of the mentioned molecules resulting in the differentiation of the oral ectoderm and cranial ectomesenchyme, respectively. These interactions progressively lead to transformation of the tooth germs into complex mineralized structures. Mesenchymal cells form the dental follicle and dental pulp, and the oral ectoderm form the inner dental epithelium. In terms of mineralized tissue, pulp mesenchymal cells differentiate into odontoblasts and inner dental epithelium into ameloblasts. Odontoblasts are the cells responsible for the formation of mineralized dentine, whilst ameloblasts are responsible for the formation of enamel. Once the mineralization of the crown is completed the tooth starts to erupt in the oral cavity, while the root continues to develop. Hertwig’s epithelial root sheath, a derivative from the outer dental epithelium and the inner dental epithelium will spear head the growth of the root [32–34]. Root development will be accomplished together with the organization of innervation, vascularization and anchoring to the surrounding alveolar bone. This anchoring process will be accomplished mainly by the relationship of three main tissues in the periodontium: cementum, alveolar bone and PDL (Fig. 1). PDL contains a great variety of cells and extracellular matrix. The cellular components include osteoblasts, fibroblasts cementoblasts, osteoclasts, cementoclasts, epithelial rests of Malassez and endothelial cells as well as several connective tissue cells [35].

Bases for tooth tissue engineering

In the natural course of the life of the tooth crown, the mineralized tissues may be damaged, thus jeopardizing the integrity of the tooth. In the case of dentine this damage can be repaired naturally. Dentine repair can be achieved from surviving odontoblasts (reactivory dentinogenesis) or, in the case of severe damage cells of the dental pulp having stem cells properties are re-activated to form new odontoblasts that will replace the apoptotic odontoblasts and repair the injury. Signalling molecules that are expressed by pulp cells (e.g. BMPs, transforming growth factor [TGF]-β, insulin-like growth factor [IGF]-1) play an important role in the pulp healing during dentine repair. Several studies have shown the importance of these molecules in dentine repair.
The damage caused to the enamel cannot be repaired naturally because the cells responsible for its formation disappear after the enamel is fully formed. Enamel is the hardest mineralized tissue of the body and acts as a biological barrier that protects the pulp-dentine complex. Enamel interacts with dentine through the dentine/enamel junction. The replacement of enamel with biomaterials, ceramics and precious metals has been well documented and is of common practice in clinic. PDL repair and regeneration has been extensively studied. The complexity of PDL cellular components, extracellular matrix and tissues interactions requires a tight control in the cellular deposition. Cell-occlusive barriers that restrict the repopulation of epithelial and connective tissue in favour of PDL cells and cementoblasts have been used since the mid-1970s [40]. These barriers range from cellulose in earlier procedures to a more convenient usage of synthetic absorbable material. These materials have been used in conjunction with biological factors like BMP2 to enhance the regeneration of bone [41].

In autologous cell-based approaches the patient is also the source of the therapeutic preparation. Consequently, patient-related factors may influence the quality and properties of the therapeutic preparation. Factors include the age of the patient and the healthy or pathological condition of the dental pulp and PDL at the moment of operation. The influence of these factors on the efficacy of cell preparations for cell-based dental treatments has not been investigated exhaustively [42]. Although the entire procedure of stem cell isolation, expansion and preparation is perfectly standardized, cell preparations from every individual patient have to be considered as single/special batches and be quality controlled accordingly. The amount and complexity of quality controls make these procedures expensive, thereby limiting their routine applicability. Nonetheless, autologous cell therapies offer the advantages of minimal risk of disease transmission and of immunological rejection.

**Dental stem cell based tissue engineering**

The cells represent the active component of cell-based therapies. Hence, besides the patient-related factors discussed above, any cell product will be affected also by the preparation technology. With regard to dental cells, various cell types are needed to form a tooth. In order to tissue engineer a tooth, which is a complex organ, these cells need to come together in a spatially and temporally controlled manner. Because the mature tooth cannot repair or make de novo enamel we will focus on the bioengineering of dentine from pulp and the PDL complex including cementum and alveolar bone. Dental stem cells, originally derived from the ectomesenchyme, are considered a new source of human adult stem cells for regenerative medicine. These can be obtained from either shed primary teeth or extracted permanent teeth (Fig. 2). These stem cells can be used to perform autologous cell replacement. The source of the cells is of most importance and the possibility of harvesting the needed cells from the patient makes this process very attractive. A key question that needs to be addressed
is if these dental cells are indeed stem cells. Different studies have provided evidence that dental pulp and PDL cells have mesenchymal stem cell features, based on their ability to differentiate into cartilage, bone, fat, muscle, muscle and neural tissue [43]. Apart from the dental pulp and PDL, mesenchymal stem cells have a diverse distribution in vivo as they can be derived from most, if not all, connective tissues including bone marrow, adipose, periosteum, synovial membrane, skeletal muscle, dermis, pericytes, blood, trabecular bone, human umbilical cord and lung [44].

**Dental pulp stem cells (DPSCs)**

The pulp has been long recognized as an organ with good reparative and regenerative capacity. Cells present in the dental pulp are capable of terminally differentiate into odontoblast-like cells to form reparative dentine. Gene therapy approaches have been tested and demonstrated the higher odontogenic differentiation ability of pulp cells transfected with growth/differentiation factor 11 [45]. The use of the synthetic glucocorticoid dexamethasone and growth factors like BMP2 to induce differentiation of pulp cells into odontoblast-like cells has been also examined [46, 47]. Adult dental pulp and the pulp of exfoliated deciduous human teeth have also been identified as a potential stem cell source. DPSCs exhibit a multipotent character and the potential to differentiate into chondrocytes, adipocytes [48], osteoblasts/osteocytes [49, 50], myocytes [49], neuronal cells [51] and cardiomyocytes [52]. DPSCs were firstly isolated from the human pulp tissue approximately 10 years ago [53]. DPSCs were isolated from human adult third molars with enzyme treatment of pulp tissues [53, 54]. Pulp tissue from exfoliated deciduous human teeth was also used as a source of DPSCs [55]. These studies demonstrated that the dental pulp contains self-renewing, highly proliferative multipotent stem cells. It has been suggested that these cells reside within perivascular niches [56, 57]. DPSCs are able to form a vascularized pulp-like tissue in vivo, which is surrounded by a layer of odontoblast-like cells [53]. A special feature of DPSCs is their potential for odontoblastic differentiation, as characterized by polarized cell bodies and accumulation of mineralized nodules [58, 59]. Further studies showed that DPSCs do express several stem cell markers including c-kit, CD34, STRO-1 and CD146 [60, 61]. However, the detection of other specific stem cell markers expressed by dental pulp cells is under investigation. DPSCs have the potential to form bone-like tissues when transplanted into immunocompromised animals [62]. Several DPSCs populations can differentiate in vitro into adipocytes, neuronal-like cells and cardiomyocytes as defined by cell morphology and the expression of respective gene markers [57, 63–65]. DPSCs can also undergo osteogenic, chondrogenic and myogenic differentiation in vitro [50, 66–68]. Recently, dental stem cells were shown to be reprogrammed into induced pluripotent stem cells (iPS) with a higher rate compared to other cell types of human origin tried so far [69]. Furthermore, in vivo experimental evidence in animals suggests that DPSCs could provide a novel alternative cell population for repair and/or regeneration of the heart [52], bone [62, 70], muscles [71], brain [51] and tooth [72–74]. Of note, last year the first clinical application for alveolar bone reconstruction using DPSCs was successfully carried out in a patient [75].

**The clinical application of DPSCs in regeneration of the pulp/dentin complex**

Dental caries is a very common oral disease. It is essentially an infection of the mineral tissues of the tooth, which eventually reaches the dental pulp of the tooth causing inflammation and potentially tooth loss. The dental pulp has important functions to provide nutrients, oxygen and nerve supply to the tooth. In addition, a crucial property of the dental pulp is to foster cells of the immune system that would tackle the infection, and to produce reactionary or reparative dentin formation in response to external stimuli, including bacterial invasion [76–78]. In clinical terms, regeneration of pulp is not yet a routine treatment modality in endodontics. Instead, entire pulp amputation is the choice of treatment, which is followed by the mechanical and chemical disinfection pulp cavity and its filling with an artificial material. Despite
that the tooth is saved in its functional position, it is not vital any further and could not fulfill to the full extent its role. The regeneration of the dentine-pulp interface would be the ideal therapy, aiming to re-build a dentinal bridge at the affected site, sealing off the infection and other external stimuli. At present this is not yet achievable. There have been approaches to use growth factors with the appropriate delivery systems, or other regenerative material, as agents for immediate capping of the exposed pulp [79–83]. However, such situations require that the pulp has been exposed to a minimal extent, and inflammation is limited. This is hardly the case in chronic pulpitis that is the most frequent development of deep carious lesions.

If regeneration of the dentine-pulp complex can be achieved, this would require the re-vascularization and re-innervation of the pulp and, importantly, the deposition of new dentine by odontoblasts. The use of DPSCs holds a strong promise in this respect, as they can be isolated from the adult dental pulp tissue [53]. When in contact with dentin in vitro, DPSCs can acquire odontoblast-like cell morphology with a polarized cell body and a cell process extending into the existing dentinal tubules [54]. These can be differentiated into odontoblasts that will form dentine [58, 59, 84], endothelial cells that would support the re-vascularization [67, 85] and neurons which could support the re-innervation of the regenerated pulp tissue [63]. Transplantation of DPSCs in mice can regenerate pulp-dentine tissue complexes, with dentinal tubule-like structures [53, 86, 87]. A recent in vivo beagle dog study also demonstrated that a combination of calcium hydroxyapatite and DPSCs was able to regenerate dentin [88]. Although these biological principles prove that pulp regeneration can be achieved via the DPSCs, further studies are required to investigate the potential clinical application in human beings.

**Periodontal tissues as a source and niche for stem cells**

When considering dental stem cells and their niches, one has also to include the tissues surrounding the teeth. These are termed ‘periodontal tissues’ or periodontium, and consist of the gingiva, the cementum of the tooth root, the surrounding alveolar bone, as well as the interconnecting PDL.

Although the PDL is a physically small tissue, it is unique among the various ligament and tendon systems of the body, in the sense that it is the only soft tissue to span between two distinct hard tissues, namely the cementum of the root and the alveolar bone [89]. This tissue acts as a suspension for the tooth, adapting to the mechanical loads of the oral cavity. Collectively the periodontal tissues are specifically tailored to support the tooth in its functional position. The pathological damage of the periodontal tissues due to a very common inflammatory condition is termed periodontitis. This disease may eventually lead to exfoliation of the adult tooth, due to lack of supporting tissue. One of the major challenges in dentistry has been the regeneration of the disease-affected periodontal tissues, in a manner that recapitulates embryonic tooth development.

Early observations indicated that the periodontal tissues have a regenerative capacity and that multipotent progenitor cells may exist within the periodontium [40]. Extensive studies in experimental animal models have depleted the various periodontal tissues and investigated the regenerative capabilities of the remaining tissues each time [90, 91]. It was concluded that the PDL tissue has the regenerative capacity and can form not only the interconnecting collagen tissue, but also alveolar bone and cementum [92–94]. From these early observations, it was concluded that the PDL tissue contains progenitor cells for fibroblasts, osteoblasts and cementoblasts [95]. Several studies demonstrated that PDL from mouse molars contains a slowly dividing population of progenitor cells that is located in perivascular sites [96, 97], as well as in the peristeal and endosteal spaces of the alveolar bone [98]. It was further demonstrated that PDL contains renewable and differentiated populations of cells [99, 100]. Similarly to the other tissues, PDL cells form a heterogeneous cell population composed predominantly of fibroblasts, but also of stem cells that exhibit various developmental potentials. Recent advancement of technologies identifying and characterizing adult stem cells has led to the first substantial evidence that a putative stem-cell population exists within PDL. PDL stem cells (PDLSCs) were positive for the stem cell markers STRO-1 and CD146 and were able to differentiate into cementoblasts, adipocytes and osteoblasts [101]. After culture expansion, these human cells were transplanted into immunocompromised mice and were shown to contribute to periodontal tissue repair forming a PDL/cementum-like structure. Nevertheless, whether this tissue can function to the full extent as a normal PDL tissue is still under examination. Another study has shown that cryopreserved PDL can retain stem cells-like characteristics, suggesting that long term storage is possible [102]. In vitro studies have also found that PDLSCs were capable of differentiating into mesodermal (i.e. adipocytes, osteoblasts, chondrocytes), ectodermal (i.e. neurons), and endodermal (i.e. hepatocytes) lineages [103]. In addition, rat PDLSCs have the capacity to differentiate into vascular cells forming blood vessel-like structures [104]. These findings suggest that the PDL constitutes an important stem cell source not only for the regeneration of periodontal tissues [105], but also for the regeneration of other tissues and organs. Despite the strong promise that PDL holds for regenerative clinical dentistry, there is a however need for further characterization of the PDLSCs.

Gingiva is the oral mucosal tissue that surrounds the teeth and covers the alveolar bone. It functions as a protective mechanical barrier for the tooth supporting tissues and as a biological barrier conferring distinct immunity to oral infection. It is composed of an epithelial layer with an underlying vasculated connective tissue. As the epithelial layer has the capacity for continuous renewal, it is anticipated that this tissue could be a source of stem cells. Cells with mesenchymal stem cell properties have recently been isolated from gingival tissues and characterized [106–108]. These cells have unique immunomodulatory functions, clonogenicity, as well as self-renewal and multi-potent differentiation capacities. Very recently gingival fibroblasts have been evaluated as source of iPS cells. These cells gave rise to high-quality iPS cells suggested
that gingival fibroblasts could be promising for cellular reprogramming and pluripotency for future clinical applications [109].

The root cementum is an avascular and unnerved mineralized tissue with ultrastructural similarity to bone that covers the entire root surface. It is the interface between the dentinal tissue and the PDL and contributes to periodontal tissue repair and regeneration after damage. The organic extracellular matrix of cementum contains proteins that selectively enhance the attachment and proliferation of cell populations residing within the PDL space [110–114]. Human cementum-derived cells have been isolated, cloned and characterized in vitro and in vivo [115]. When transplanted subcutaneously in immunodeficient mice, the mineralized matrix produced by these cells exhibited several features identical to cementum, and differed from the mineralized matrix produced by human bone marrow stromal cells [116].

The alveolar bone is a part of the periodontal tissues, functioning as an anchorage of the tooth root to the alveoli and resorbing the forces generated by the function of mastication. Progenitor cells which are responsible for alveolar bone formation lay in the periosteal region, the PDL or around the blood vessels. Alveolar bone marrow is considered as a useful and easily accessible source of progenitor cells, as they have similar osteogenic potential to those derived from the iliac crest [117]. The periosteum is also considered as a suitable cell source for bone regeneration [118–121].

**Past, current and future approaches in periodontal regeneration**

Periodontal disease is perhaps the most common infectious disease in human beings caused by bacteria present in the oral cavity, which attach on the teeth and cause inflammation of the periodontal tissues. Epidemiological studies show that approximately 7–13% of the global population is at high risk of developing severe forms of destructive periodontitis [122], posing a tremendous burden to health care. This creates a need to develop new therapies directed at the attenuation of the disease and regeneration of the lost tissues, including the PDL and alveolar bone. Periodontal regeneration is defined as reproduction or reconstruction of a functional attachment apparatus consisting of new cementum, alveolar bone and PDL, on a root surface that was previously exposed due to progression of periodontitis.

Early regeneration approaches have focused on providing appropriate conditions for wound healing. These included a range of surgical procedures along with use of bone grafts as tissue substitutes, barrier membranes to prevent the unfavourable tissues from the healing area [92, 123, 124], and more lately, growth factors as means to induce the wound healing capacity of the remaining tissue. One of the very early approaches for regeneration of the lost periodontal tissues was mechanical or surgical removal of the diseased tissues [125, 126], allowing establishment of health via reduction of inflammation. Histological studies in both human beings and animals showed that these approaches do not ensure a predictable outcome of periodontal regeneration and often result in healing with epithelial lining rather than new tissue formation [127, 128]. At a later stage the surgical therapy was combined with the placement of bone grafts in the defect, aiming to stimulating periodontal regeneration. A wide range of bone grafting materials has been applied including autografts (such as intra-oral or iliac crest), and commercially available allografts (i.e. freeze-dried bone), xenografts and alloplasts (i.e. hydroxyapatite, β-tricalcium phosphate) [93, 129, 130]. These materials were expected to fill the space and to either contain the appropriate cell source, or provide the mechanical scaffold for the surrounding cells to repopulate the healing area.

To date, periodontal regeneration is considered to be biologically possible but clinically unpredictable. As earlier suggested, the cell type that repopulates the root surface after the therapy determines the nature of healing. In this respect, PDLSCs may hold a strong promise for improvement of periodontal regeneration approaches. Recent studies have applied populations of PDLSCs in animal models with improved success outcome for periodontal regeneration [101, 105]. Although similar human studies are not yet available, such cell-based therapy approaches may become visible in the future of periodontal regeneration.

**Molecular mechanisms and factors regulating regeneration of periodontal tissues**

Predictable periodontal tissue regeneration needs to ideally recapitulate embryonic development, following similar morphogenetic gene expression patterns. Although it is evident that there are many factors that may prove important for controlling periodontal tissue formation, this section focuses on the growth factors and enamel matrix proteins with a proven role in controlling the behaviour of cells within the periodontal tissues.

The principle of therapeutic application of growth factors for the restoration of damaged tissues is based on adult tissue regeneration by mimicking the processes of embryonic and post-natal development [131–134]. The most studied growth factors for periodontal regeneration have been platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF), epidermal growth factor (EGF), FGF, IGF and different BMPs. The PDGF members have been extensively evaluated in both in vitro and in vivo [135–138]. These studies concluded that PDGF alone or in combination with the other growth factors seem to have a positive effect on periodontal healing and regeneration.

Another promising group of growth factors is the BMP family, consisting of members of the TGF-β superfamily. These secretory signal molecules have a variety of functions during morphogenesis and cell differentiation [139–141]. A wide body of evidence from periodontal regeneration studies indicate that BMPs are capable of inducing formation of new alveolar bone and cementum [141–143]. However, because classic BMPs induce the differentiation of cells along an osteogenic pathway, ankylosis can be a frequent side-effect, characterized by the absence of the mediating PDL between the alveolar bone and cementum [144]. Hence, BMPs have not yet been approved for periodontal applications and
Further experimentation is needed for improving the capacity for periodontal regeneration.

Amelogenins are proteins secreted by ameloblasts and are considered to play a major role in regulating formation of enamel [145]. The rationale for the potential use of enamel proteins in periodontal tissue regeneration therapies is justified by their presence in initial cementum formation, during normal development of the tooth attachment apparatus [146, 147]. The commercially available enamel matrix protein product namely, Emdogain (Straumann AG, Basel, Switzerland), has been in the market for over a decade now [148, 149]. This is a purified acid extract of proteins containing predominantly amelogenin from pig enamel matrix. A large number of studies have investigated the mechanisms of action and clinical efficiency of Emdogain [131, 146, 150–153]. Still, despite the encouraging clinical outcome, the mechanism of action of Emdogain is not clear. It has been suggested that its capacity to initiate the processes of periodontal regeneration relies on the recruitment of cementoblasts onto the root surface, hence stimulating the formation of root cementum. Several in vitro studies suggest that Emdogain could act as a signalling molecule for epithelial–mesenchymal interactions, which can regulate the activity of follicle cells, PDL cells, odontoblasts, gingival fibroblasts and cementoblasts [142, 154–159]. Along with clinical and experimental animal confirming the clinical efficiency of Emdogain in periodontal regeneration procedures [158, 160, 161], recent systematic reviews are also in support of these findings [162–164].

Taken together, these molecular factors are likely to contribute to the regeneration of the periodontal tissues by targeting periodontal progenitors that reside within putative stem cell niches of the periodontium. The potential effects include proliferation and differentiation along pathways parallel to tooth root development. Nevertheless, this should not be over-interpreted. One should consider the multiplicity of the cells and complexity of tissues within the periodontal environment, their responsiveness of cells to the type or dose of the growth factors used, as well as the previously diseased tissue substrate due to inflammation. Therefore, as much as an identical recapitulation of developmental events is desirable, it may be very difficult to achieve due to these reasons.

Further studies will be still needed to identify right cell populations (progenitor or stem cells), signalling molecules that control cell behaviour and scaffold material to act as carriers for the cells and stimulating molecules (i.e. bioreactors). Once these parameters are more clearly defined and the respective wound healing events are clarified, the clinical efficiency of suitable treatment modalities would need to be further assessed. Moreover, as the oral microenvironment is not aseptic, any periodontal regenerative activity involving differentiation of potent stem cells is likely face bacterial challenge. Therefore, it is suggested that any regenerative approach involving stem cells in the oral cavity would need to take this aspect under consideration, in order to achieve predictable and optimal therapeutic outcome.

Scaffolding and material science

The use of scaffolds in tooth repair has been extensively used and studied. Scaffolds are particularly useful when a 3D structure is needed (Fig. 3). The viability and cytotoxicity of 3D scaffolds need to be assessed. Dental pulp cells are viable and show signs of vitality when placed in biodegradable porous calcium polyphosphate scaffolds [165]. Biodegradable scaffolds are very useful because the aim of cell-based tooth tissue engineering should be to utilize cells that will differentiated in correct 3D field and eventually produce a permanent mineralized matrix. Studies using hydroxyapatite–tricalcium phosphate have shown that STRO-1+ dental pulp cells are able to differentiate into odontoblast-like cells producing mineralized matrix [166]. Recently new technologies on material science have made possible the printing of cells on to 3D structures and microenvironments to direct cell differentiation into specific fates [167, 168]. These emerging technologies on material science offer bio-printing as an alternative way of creating 3D structures useful for tissues engineering and should be closely follow.
Conclusions

Although the prospective of tooth tissue engineering is very attractive, we are far from performing routine clinical procedures. Despite the large amount of interest in this field, no clinical trials have been performed for dentine repair and very limited clinical applications are available in periodontal disease treatment. Cell based bioengineering and material sciences have to define conditions for manufacturing consistent and reproducible products, which are quality controlled for safety and efficacy. In addition, cell therapies are in their infancy and many issues need to be taking into account. The use of culture expanded cell populations needs to take into account the possibility of genetic and epigenetic instability, which could possibly result in malignant transformation. The paracrine effects, interactions with the host and immune response following cell transplantation also need to be taken into consideration. For example, the transplantation of bone marrow stem cells to cure diabetes mellitus in an animal model resulted in the reduction of hyperglycaemia by the regeneration of the recipient's own pancreatic cells. This regeneration was initiated in response to the transplanted cells [169]. In the case of tooth engineering, the possibility of autologous cell replacement and the usage of cells naturally occurring in the site of injury may minimize the risk of side effects. In addition, a better understanding of the biology of tooth repair opens the exciting prospect to develop cell free approaches. The utilization of bioactive factors and biomaterials will support and enhance the intrinsic mechanisms of tooth repair.
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