The seven transmembrane domain protein MoRgs7 functions in surface perception and undergoes coronin MoCrn1-dependent endocytosis in complex with Gα subunit MoMagA to promote cAMP signaling and appressorium formation in *Magnaporthe oryzae*
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Abstract

Regulator of G-protein signaling (RGS) proteins primarily function as GTPase-accelerating proteins (GAPs) to promote GTP hydrolysis of Gα subunits, thereby regulating G-protein mediated signal transduction. RGS proteins could also contain additional domains such as GoLoco to inhibit GDP dissociation. The rice blast fungus *Magnaporthe oryzae* encodes eight RGS and RGS-like proteins (MoRgs1 to MoRgs8) that have shared and distinct functions in growth, appressorium formation and pathogenicity. Interestingly, MoRgs7 and MoRgs8 contain a C-terminal seven-transmembrane domain (7-TM) motif typical of G-protein coupled receptor (GPCR) proteins, in addition to the conserved RGS domain. We found that MoRgs7, but not MoRgs8, couples with Gα MoMagA to undergo endocytic transport from the plasma membrane to the endosome upon sensing of surface hydrophobicity. We also found that MoRgs7 can interact with hydrophobic surfaces via a hydrophobic interaction, leading to the perception of environmental hydrophobic cues. Moreover, we found that MoRgs7-MoMagA endocytosis is regulated by actin patch-associated protein MoCrn1, linking it to cAMP signaling. Our studies provided evidence suggesting that MoRgs7 could also function in a GPCR-like manner to sense environmental signals and it, together with additional proteins of diverse functions, promotes cAMP signaling required for developmental processes underlying appressorium function and pathogenicity.
Author summary
The 7-TM domain is considered the hallmark of GPCR proteins, which activate G proteins upon ligand binding and undergo endocytosis for regeneration or recycling. Among eight RGS and RGS-like proteins of M. oryzae, MoRgs7 and MoRgs8 contain a 7-TM domain in addition to the RGS domain. We found that MoRgs7 can form hydrophobic interactions with the hydrophobic surface. This interaction is important in sensing hydrophobic cues by the fungus. We also found that, in response to surface hydrophobicity, MoRgs7 couples with Gα subunit MoMagA to undergo endocytosis leading to the activation of cAMP signaling. Moreover, we found that such an endocytic event requires functions of the actin-binding protein MoCrn1. Our results revealed that MoRgs7 also functions as a GPCR-like receptor protein to sense surface cues and activate signaling required for pathogenesis, providing new insights into G-protein regulatory mechanisms in this and other pathogenic fungi.

Introduction
In the rice blast fungus Magnaporthe oryzae, the appressorium is a special infection structure produced by the fungus to penetrate the host plant. Appressorium formation and function depend on signal transduction pathways, including G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs)/G protein-mediated cAMP signaling [1, 2]. Once extracellular surface cues are sensed by GPCRs, such as the non-canonical GPCR Pth11 at the plasma membrane (PM), the GPCR stimulates the specific G-protein Gα subunit for activating the cAMP signaling pathway [2]. M. oryzae contains three distinct Gα subunits (MoMagA, MoMagB, and MoMagC) [3, 4] and other conserved pathway components, such as adenylate cyclase MoMac1, cAMP-dependent protein kinase A catalytic subunits MoCpkA, and MoCpk2 [1, 5–7]. Together, they regulate not only growth but also appressorium formation and pathogenesis.

In addition, M. oryzae contains at least eight RGS (regulator of G-protein signaling) and RGS-like proteins (MoRgs1 to MoRgs8). Previous studies found that all these RGS proteins have certain regulatory functions in various aspects of growth and pathogenicity with MoRgs1, MoRgs2, MoRgs3, MoRgs4, MoRgs6, and MoRgs7 being mainly involved in appressorium formation and MoRgs1, MoRgs3, MoRgs4, and MoRgs7 in full virulence [3, 8]. Despite such understandings, detailed mechanisms associated with specific RGS proteins remain not fully understood. In particular, RGS-like MoRgs7 and MoRgs8 proteins that also contain a seven-transmembrane domain (7-TM), which is a hallmark of GPCRs important in signal perception and transduction. In eukaryotes, GPCRs are well known for their role as heterotrimer ligand-regulated guanine-nucleotide exchange factors (GEFs) [9]. A ligand/agonist binding to a GPCR activates GPCR and promotes GPCR to mediate the exchange of GTP on the Gα subunit of the heterotrimer, leading to Gα dissociation from the Gβ-Gγ and activation of G protein-mediated signal transduction pathway, including the cAMP signaling pathway. In plant pathogenic fungi and oomycetes, it is generally considered that GPCRs have functions in perception of the environmental cues. This function enables plant pathogens to coordinate their metabolism with environment and to develop infection structures [10–12], although how these GPCRs detect environmental cues remains not clear.

Previous studies have found that RGS proteins such as human Rgs14 contain a C-terminal GoLoco/G protein regulatory motif that exhibits an in vitro GDP-dissociation inhibitor for Ga(i) [13]. Since MoRgs7 or MoRgs8 contain the 7-TM domain, we were interested in revealing whether MoRgs7 or MoRgs8 has additional functions mimicking a GPCR. Here we found
that MoRgs7, but not MoRgs8, is involved in a distinct regulating mechanism. MoRgs7 couples with MoMagA to undergo endocytosis that is triggered by sensing surface hydrophobicity. Interestingly, MoRgs7 can sense environmental hydrophobic cues through interacting with the hydrophobic surface. In addition, MoRgs7 endocytosis depends on the actin-binding coronin homologue protein MoCrn1. Together, they contribute to G-protein/cAMP signaling required for appressorium function and pathogenicity.

Results

MoRgs7 function requires RGS and 7-TM domains

Despite containing a relatively conserved RGS/RGS-like domain, 8 RGS proteins of the blast fungus are structurally divergent [8]. MoRgs7 and MoRgs8, in particular, contain a long C-terminus domain that was analyzed by transmembrane domain prediction systems (http://mendel.imp.univie.ac.at/sat/DAS/DAS and www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/TMHMM) to have a GPCR-like 7-TM motif (S1 and S2A Figs). MoRgs7 was demonstrated to have a role in appressorium function and pathogenicity, and this role is dependent on the 7-TM domain [8, 14].

To dissect the roles of MoRgs7 domains, the RGS domain was deleted (S2A Fig) and the mutant allele containing the 7-TM was fused to GFP and expressed in the ΔMorgs7 mutant. The fusion proteins MoRgs7Δ7-TM:GFP and MoRgs7:GFP [14] were also expressed in the ΔMorgs7 mutant as a control. Analytical results showed that the ΔMorgs7 mutant expressing 7-TM:GFP still remained a relatively high cAMP concentration, similar to the ΔMorgs7 mutant [8] but not the wild-type strain (S2B Fig). In hydrophobic surfaces, about 8% of ΔMorgs7 conidia improperly generated two appressoria (S2C Fig), which could also be observed in the ΔMorgs7/7-TM strain (S2C Fig). The ΔMorgs7/7-TM strain was also attenuated in virulence, similar to the ΔMorgs7 mutant (S2D and S2E Fig). In contrast, the expression of MoRgs7-GFP was able to suppress most of the defects in the ΔMorgs7 strain. These tests showed that the 7-TM and RGS domains are important for MoRgs7 function in cAMP and virulence. However, the test failed to establish an independent role of the 7-TM.

MoRgs7 and Gα protein MoMagA undergo internalization in response to exposure of hydrophobic surfaces

MoMagA plays a major role in cAMP signaling, appressorium formation and pathogenesis in M. oryzae and it is also one of the three Gα subunits demonstrated to interact with MoRgs7 [8]. To investigate functional mechanisms of MoRgs7-MoMagA interaction, we first validated the interaction through co-immunoprecipitation (co-IP). In addition to MoMagA, the constitutively active form of MoMagA, MoMagA\(^{G187S}\) [3] was also included in the test. The result showed that MoRgs7 can interact with both MoMagA and MoMagA\(^{G187S}\) and that the 7-TM and the RGS domain both can interact with MoMagA (Fig 1A and 1B).

Since GPCRs undergo endocytosis for receptor recycling [15], and both of MoRgs7 and MoMagA were localized to late endosomes that are the main components of the endocytic pathway, we hypothesized that MoRgs7 and MoMagA may also undergo actin-dependent endocytosis. To test this, we employed actin polymerization inhibitor latrunculin B (LatB) to disrupt endocytosis as previously described [16, 17]. At 3 h post-inoculation, MoRgs7:RFP and MoMagA:RFP signals remained very strong at the PM of the germ tube, in contrast to DMSO control (Fig 1C and 1D). Given that 4-bromobenzaldehyde N-2,6-dimethylphenyl (EGA) inhibits early to late endosome transport [18], it was applied and that led to an appearance of MoRgs7 and MoMagARFP signals in MoRab5:GFP-labeled early endosomes in germ tubes, in contrast to DMSO control (Fig 1E and 1F). Without EGA treatment, MoRgs7:RFP was
Fig 1. Endocytosis internalizes MoRgs7 and MoMagA from the plasma membrane to the endosome. (A) Co-IP assay for examining interactions between MoRgs7 and MoMagA, and MoRgs7 and MoMagA<sup>G187S</sup>. T represents total proteins, which were extracted from the mycelium of the strain expressing MoRgs7:GFP or GFP and MoMagA: S or MoMagA<sup>G187S</sup>:S. Total proteins were used for incubation with anti-GFP beads. E represents elution, which contains the proteins precipitated with GFP fusion proteins. GFP was used as the negative control. These samples were probed using anti-GFP and anti-S antibodies. (B) Co-IP assay for
predominantly localized to Rab7:GFP-labeled late endosomes (Fig 1E). These co-localizations of proteins with endosomes were corroborated by Pearson correlation coefficient statistical analysis. Taken together, MoRgs7 and MoMagA movement follows the common endocytic pathway.

To further validate MoRgs7 and MoMagA endocytosis, we photobleached MoRgs7 and MoMagA fluorescence in late endosomes of the germ tubes on hydrophobic surfaces and examined the fluorescence recovery dynamic using Fluorescence Recovery After Photobleaching (FRAP) at 3 h post-inoculation. In addition, we applied the microtubule-destabilizing benomyl to inhibit endosome trafficking via microtubule and cycloheximide to inhibit newly synthesized fluorescent proteins moving into endosomes [19]. We found that endocytosis promotes recovery of RFP fluorescence of MoRgs7 and MoMagA in late endosomes within 90 sec (Fig 2A and 2B). Furthermore, we used FRAP to bleach the fluorescence in endosomes in the germ tube on the hydrophilic surfaces at 3 h post-inoculation. The recovery of fluorescence of MoRgs7:RFP and MoMagA:RFP in the endosomes was rarely detected (Fig 2A and 2B), suggesting that MoRgs7 and MoMagA are rarely internalized through endocytosis upon the perception of the hydrophilic surface.

Intriguingly, the absence of MoRgs7 and MoMagA endocytosis on the hydrophilic surface did not couple with accumulation of MoRgs7:RFP or MoMagA:RFP signals at the PM of the germ tubes (Fig 2C and 2D). As treating germinated conidia with LatB on hydrophilic surfaces for 1 h still could not cause accumulation of RFP signals at the PM (Fig 2C and 2D), we thus reasoned that in response to exposure to hydrophilic cues MoRgs7 and MoMagA were rarely sent to the PM from intracellular systems.

**MoRgs7 localization pattern is different from that of MoRgs8**

MoRgs8 also contains a 7-TM domain. To examine whether MoRgs8 undergoes similar endocytosis, we expressed MoRgs8:GFP in Guy11 and observed MoRgs8 localization during appressorium development on the hydrophobic surface. However, MoRgs8:GFP was found to be evenly distributed in the cytoplasm of germ tubes (Fig 3A). When compared with MoRgs7:GFP (Fig 3B), MoRgs8:GFP did not display any obvious endosome-localization patterns in the germ tubes. Further, LatB failed to cause any effects to MoRgs8:GFP distribution (Fig 3A). In contrast, the MoRgs7:GFP signal was enhanced at the PM in response to LatB (Fig 3B). These results revealed that MoRgs8 may function differently from MoRgs7.

**MoRgs7 is able to interact with the hydrophobic surface**

Since the above results showed that the hydrophobic surface, not the hydrophilic surface, induces the PM localization of MoRgs7 in germ tubes during appressorium development, we hypothesized that MoRgs7 is possibly involved in sensing hydrophobic surfaces and the 7-TM domain.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1007382.g001
may have a role in this process. We hypothesized that MoRgs7 at the PM may attach to hydrophobic surfaces in a hydrophobic interactive manner, and formation of such interactions by PM proteins including MoRgs7 is a step in the perception of hydrophobic cues. To test this hypothesis, we first examined whether MoRgs7 has the ability to bind to hydrophobic and hydrophilic surfaces. The representative images of FRAP were shown and the selected areas were measured for FRAP. The normalized FRAP curve was fitted with measuring 15 regions from different cells. Bars = 10 μm. (C and D) For the germinated conidia on hydrophobic surfaces, LatB could not induce the accumulation of MoRgs7:RFP and MoMagA:RFP at the PM of germ tubes at 3 h-post inoculation. The sections selected by white arrows were subjected to linescan analysis for the distributions of MoRgs7:RFP and MoMagA:RFP. Percentage of a pattern showed in image was calculated by observation for 50 germinated conidia that were randomly chosen, and observation was conducted for 3 times. Bars = 10 μm.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1007382.g002

Fig 2. MoRgs7 and MoMagA endocytosis is active when M. oryzae germinates on hydrophobic surfaces, but not hydrophilic surfaces. (A and B) FRAP for measuring the recovery of MoRgs7:RFP and MoMagA:RFP on endosomes at 3 h-post inoculation. The conidia were allowed to germinate on hydrophobic and hydrophilic surfaces. The representative images of FRAP were shown and the selected areas were measured for FRAP. The normalized FRAP curve was fitted with measuring 15 regions from different cells. Bars = 10 μm. (C and D) For the germinated conidia on hydrophobic surfaces, LatB could not induce the accumulation of MoRgs7:RFP and MoMagA:RFP at the PM of germ tubes at 3 h-post inoculation. The sections selected by white arrows were subjected to linescan analysis for the distributions of MoRgs7:RFP and MoMagA:RFP. Percentage of a pattern showed in image was calculated by observation for 50 germinated conidia that were randomly chosen, and observation was conducted for 3 times. Bars = 10 μm.
found to have hydrophobicity as full-length MoRgs7 (Fig 4A), and deletion of 7-TM decreased the level of hydrophobicity of MoRgs7, suggesting that this 7-TM is critical for hydrophobicity of MoRgs7. The results also indicated that MoRgs8 has a weak hydrophobicity compared to MoRgs7 despite having a 7-TM, suggesting that the characteristic of 7-TM is varied from MoRgs7 to MoRgs8.

We then investigated whether MoRgs7 forming a hydrophobic interaction with hydrophobic surfaces is an approach of *M. oryzae* to detect hydrophobic cues. Given that urea and ethylene glycol can interrupt hydrophobic interactions by causing a disordering of water molecules on hydrophobic regions [21, 22], they were applied to germinating conidia on hydrophobic surfaces at 1 h-post inoculation when most of conidia only germinated with a germ tube. In the presence of 0.5 M ethylene glycol or 0.1 M urea, appressorium formation was about 50% lower than that of water treatment at 4 h post-inoculation, even though almost 80% of conidia developed appressorium at 10 h post-inoculation (Fig 4B and 4C). Moreover, in the presence of 1 M ethylene glycol or 0.8 M urea, less than 20% of conidia developed appressoria even at 10 h post-inoculation. Most of conidia only germinated germ tubes with or without swelling at terminals. These results implied that a successful hydrophobic interaction formation is a critical step in hydrophobic surface recognition by *M. oryzae*.

**MoRgs7 and MoMagA do not depend on each other in endocytosis**

To examine the nature of MoRgs7-MoMagA endocytosis and whether MoRgs7 internalization is dependent on MoMagA, we determined the rate of MoRgs7 internalization in the wild-type strain Guy11 and the $\Delta$MomagA mutant using FRAP analysis. We found that MoRgs7 internalization had a normal rate in the $\Delta$MomagA as that in Guy11 (S3A and S3B Fig). In addition, the internalization rate of MoMagA was also the same in Guy11 and the $\Delta$Morgs7 strain (S3C and S3D Fig). These results suggested that MoRgs7 and MoMagA do not depend on each other in internalization.

---

**Fig 3. MoRgs8 does not undergo endocytosis.** (A) LatB could not induce the accumulation of MoRgs8:GFP at the PM of germ tubes on the hydrophobic surface at 3 h-post inoculation. Percentage of a pattern showed in image was calculated by observation for 50 germinated conidia that were randomly chosen, and observation was conducted for 3 times. Bars = 10 μm. (B) LatB enhanced the signals of MoRgs8:GFP at the PM of germ tubes on hydrophobic surface at 3 h-post inoculation, which was the control of the treatment to MoRgs8:GFP. Bars = 10 μm.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1007382.g003
MoCrn1 interacts with MoRgs7 and F-actin, and affects microtubule function

To further understand the endocytosis process of MoRgs7, we searched for additional protein partners of MoRgs7 through a yeast two-hybrid (Y2H) screening and identified a coronin protein homolog, MoCrn1, as two polypeptides of 148 and 273 amino acids, from a cDNA library in the pGADT7 vector. MoRgs7 cDNA was inserted into pGBKT7 as bait. The interaction between MoCrn1 and MoRgs7 was specific, as an interaction between MoCrn1 and other RGS proteins, including MoRgs1, MoRgs3 and MoRgs4, cannot be established (Fig 5A). The interaction was further validated by co-IP and bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC). The co-IP assay indicated that both the 7-TM and the RGS domains could interact with MoCrn1, independently (Fig 5B and 5C). BiFC revealed that MoCrn1 interacts with MoRgs7...
Fig 5. MoCrn1 interacts with MoRgs7 and MoMagA. (A) The yeast two-hybrid assay for examining the interaction of MoCrn1 with MoRgs1, MoRgs3, MoRgs4, and MoRgs7. The yeast transformants were isolated from SD-Leu-Trp plates, following growing on SD-Leu-Trp-His-Ade plates containing X-α-Gal for examining β-galactosidase activity. The transformants expressing AD and BD, BD-MoRgs1/3/4/7 and AD, BD and AD-MoCrn1 were used as negative control. (B) Co-IP assay for examining the interaction between MoCrn1 (tagged with GFP) and MoRgs7 (tagged with RFP tag) using anti-GFP beads. The total proteins were extracted from the mycelium of the strain co-expressing MoCrn1-GFP and MoRgs7-RFP. GFP protein was used as negative control. The anti-GFP and anti-RFP antibodies were used to detect GFP, MoCrn1-GFP and MoRgs7-RFP proteins. (C) Co-IP assay for examining the interaction of MoRgs7, 7-TM and MoRgs7Δ7TM (tagged with GFP) with MoCrn1 (tagged with S-tag) using anti-GFP beads. (D) BiFC assay for examining the interaction of MoCrn1 with MoRgs7. The conidia were allowed to germinate on hydrophobic surfaces for 3 h. YFP signals could be detected from the germ tubes and conidia of the strain co-expressing MoRgs7-N’YFP and MoCrn1-C’YFP. The strains co-expressing empty-N’YFP and MoCrn1-C’YFP, and co-expressing MoRgs7-N’YFP and Empty-C’YFP were used as negative control. Bar = 5 μm. (E) The yeast two-hybrid assay for examining the interaction of MoCrn1 with...
during appressorium development (Fig 5D). The YFP signal could be detected at the PM of germ tubes while some weak signals appeared in the cytoplasm (Fig 5D), suggesting that the interaction is more often to occur at the PM. To investigate the interaction at the PM of germ tubes, we further conducted co-localization assay with co-expression of MoCrn1:GFP and MoRgs7:RFP in Guy11. And their co-localization at the PM in germ tubes of conidia on the hydrophobic surface was examined at 3 h post-inoculation (S6A Fig). However, we failed to detect the co-localization at the PM in germ tubes because the small amount of PM-localized MoRgs7:RFP is present (S6A Fig), as shown above (Fig 1C). MoCrn1:GFP formed actin patches-like structures, as described for coronin in *Neurospora crassa* [23]. But, from a small number of conidia, slightly obvious PM-localized MoRgs7:RFP was observed in germ tubes and partly co-localized with MoCrn1 patches (S6A Fig). The co-localization result suggested that a small amount of PM-localized MoRgs7 indeed has the opportunity to interact with MoCrn1.

In the eukaryotic cells, coronin proteins act as F-actin binding proteins and regulate actin-related processes such as membrane trafficking [24]. We tested whether MoCrn1 associates with actin in *M. oryzae* using Lifeact, a living cell actin marker described previously [17, 25, 26]. The MoCrn1:GFP and Lifeact:RFP were co-expressed in Guy11 and co-localization of MoCrn1:GFP and Lifeact:RFP was examined under a confocal microscope. We observed that MoCrn1:GFP and actin were dispersed in nascent appressoria after 6 h of incubation (S5A Fig), and that MoCrn1 punctate patches were localized to the membrane. However, MoCrn1:GFP formed ring-like structures in mature appressoria, which were highly co-localized with the F-actin network at the center of mature appressoria (S5A Fig). We also observed that MoCrn1:GFP were co-localized with actin at sub-apical collar region of hyphae and actin patches in hyphae and conidia (S5A Fig). The interaction between MoCrn1 and F-actin was again demonstrated through Y2H and binding assays (S5B and S5C Fig).

We next investigated whether MoCrn1 affects the actin organization by generating a ΔMocrn1 mutant, in which *MoCRN1* gene knock-out was validated by Southern-blot (S4 Fig), and expressing Lifeact:RFP in the ΔMocrn1 mutant and Guy11. In Guy11, the hyphal tip regions were occupied with many actin patches that are associated with the PM (S5D Fig). However, about 20% of the hyphae formed some abnormal, enlarged actin patches in the cytoplasm of ΔMocrn1 (S5D Fig). Also, the enlarged actin patches could be found in over 10% of ΔMocrn1 conidia (S5E Fig), likely due to actin aggregation. Moreover, we found that Guy11 formed normal ring-like actin structure at the base of 80% appressoria, compared to 72% in ΔMocrn1 that displayed a disorganized actin network. This observation was confirmed by line-scan analysis (S5F Fig). Thus, we concluded that MoCrn1 regulates actin assembly and the ΔMocrn1 mutant displays minor defects in actin structures.

In the budding yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, Crn1 interacts with the microtubule [27]. The Δcrn1 mutant cells as well as cells overexpressing Crn1 showed microtubule defects and the mutant Δcrn1 is more sensitive than wild type strains to benomyl [28]. To determine whether MoCrn1 also affects the microtubule, the pYES2 construct containing the full-length MoCrn1 cDNA was expressed in the yeast Δcrn1 mutant. On SD plates containing 10, 20, and 30 μg/ml benomyl, Δcrn1 exhibited most significant inhibition in growth compared to the wild type strain BY4741 (S5G Fig). However, there was no significant difference between the Δcrn1
strain expressing MoCRN1 and BY4741. Further, we examined Guy11, the ΔMocrn1 mutant, and the complemented strain for benomyl resistance. On CM plates with 10, 20 and 30 μg/ml benomyl, we found that ΔMocrn1 was less sensitive to benomyl than Guy11 and the complemented strain (S5H Fig). Together, these results suggested that MoCrn1 has conserved microtubule-related functions.

MoCrn1 is important for the internalization of MoRgs7 and MoMagA during appressorium development

As MoCrn1 interacts with MoRgs7 and is localized to the PM associated actin patches that represent endocytic pits [29], we hypothesized that MoCrn1 may function as an adaptor protein to direct MoRgs7 to endocytic pits/vesicles for internalization during appressorium development. To prove this, we investigated whether MoCrn1 affects MoRgs7 endocytosis by observing the spatial distribution of MoRgs7::RFP in germinated conidia on the hydrophobic surface at 3 h post-inoculation. Despite of that endosome-localized MoRgs7 was found in both the ΔMocrn1 mutant and Guy11, the ΔMocrn1 mutant displayed a higher concentration of MoRgs7::RFP at the PM of the germ tube than Guy11 did (Fig 6A). FRAP analysis indicated the fluorescence recovery of MoRgs7::RFP in ΔMocrn1 was evidently delayed than that in Guy11 (Fig 6C), suggesting that the diffusion of MoRgs7::RFP fluorescence into endosomes was impaired. This is consistent with our hypothesis that MoCrn1 is implicated in MoRgs7 internalization during appressorium development.

Since MoRgs7 and MoMagA are both internalized via endocytosis, we also examined if MoCrn1 has a role in the MoMagA internalization through a protein-protein interaction. We first validated the interaction between MoCrn1 and MoMagA. In Y2H, we found that MoCrn1 interacts with MoMagA and this interaction was specific, since MoCrn1 was not found to interact with MoMagB and MoMagC (Fig 5E). In addition, MoCrn1 did not interact with MoMagA<sup>G187S</sup> and MoMagA<sup>Q208L</sup> (Fig 5E), the two active forms of MoMagA [3]. The interaction between MoCrn1 and MoMagA was again confirmed by co-IP (Fig 5F) and BiFC assays (Fig 5G). In BiFC assay YFP is observed at the PM of germ tubes, revealing that MoCrn1 can interact with MoMagA at the PM of germ tubes during appressorium development. Moreover, similar to the co-localization of MoCrn1 with MoRgs7, from a small number of conidia the partial co-localization between MoCrn1 and MoMagA was also found at the PM in germ tubes (S6B Fig).

We next tested whether MoCrn1 affects the MoMagA distribution during appressorium development on hydrophobic surfaces. In Guy11, we have observed that MoMagA::RFP displayed the endosome localization pattern in germ tubes and conidia. In ΔMocrn1, we could still observe MoMagA::RFP on late endosomes, but there was a significant increase in the membrane localization of MoMagA::RFP (Fig 6B). We again employed the FRAP assay to determine MoMagA internalization and found that the recovery of fluorescence of MoMagA::RFP in endosomes was slower in ΔMocrn1 than that in Guy11 (Fig 6D). These results confirmed that MoCrn1 is important for MoMagA internalization during appressorium development.

MoCrn1 controls MoCap1 localization

MoCrn1 is co-localized with F-actin so that MoCrn1 is similar to the adenylate cyclase associated protein MoCap1 that functions in cAMP signaling [6]. To examine whether MoCrn1 is required for MoCap1 localization, we expressed MoCap1::GFP in ΔMocrn1 and observed that the actin-like localization pattern of MoCap1 was completely disrupted in appressoria, conidia and hyphae of ΔMocrn1 (S8 Fig). Strikingly, MoCap1 preferred to form cytoplasmic aggregations. Additionally, we found that MoCrn1 interacts with MoCap1 by performing a co-IP
assay (Fig 8F), in which the strain co-expressing MoCrn1:GFP and MoCap1:S was used. These results led us to conclude that MoCrn1 has a crucial role in recruiting MoCap1 to actin patches.

MoCrn1 is important for turgor generation, degradation of glycogen and lipid in the appressorium and pathogenicity

MoCrn1 has been associated with MoRgs7, MoMagA, and MoCap1 that all have a role in cAMP signaling. Indeed, we found that the ΔMocrn1 mutant also showed attenuated cAMP levels (S7A Fig) and a delay in appressorium formation (S9 Fig). At 4 h post-germination, nearly 40% of ΔMocrn1 conidia formed appressorium on a hydrophobic surface compared with 80% of Guy11 did. However, over 80% of ΔMocrn1 conidia could still form the appressorium at 6 h post-germination (S9 Fig). An incipient collapse assay indicated that MoCrn1 contributes to full turgor generation, since the collapse rate of the appressorium was significantly higher in ΔMocrn1 than in Guy11 and the complemented strains (S7B Fig).
Intracellular cAMP levels regulate the degradation of glycogen and lipid that are required for proper turgor generation in the appressorium [5, 30]. We thus compared the degradation of glycogen and lipid between the ΔMocrn1 mutant and Guy11 strains. Conidia were allowed to germinate on hydrophobic surfaces and iodine and Nile Red were used to stain glycogen and lipid, respectively [31]. At 6 h post-inoculation, glycogen appeared in the early appressorium (S7C Fig), and it broke down in 68.4% of the Guy11 appressoria after 16 h and 87% after 24 h, in comparison to 22.4% of ΔMocrn1 appressoria after 16 h and 53% after 24 h (S7E Fig). Resembling to the glycogen, lipid degradation in ΔMocrn1 appressoria was slower than Guy11. Lipid bodies disappeared in 44% of ΔMocrn1 appressoria at 16 h, compared to 86.4% of Guy11 appressoria (S7D and S7F Fig). These results indicated that MoCrn1 is indispensable for an efficient degradation of glycogen and lipid necessary for the appressorial turgor generation.

We further evaluated the ΔMocrn1 mutant for pathogenicity on rice. The conidial suspensions from Guy11, ΔMocrn1, and the complemented strain were sprayed onto the susceptible rice cultivar CO-39. ΔMocrn1 produced fewer lesions than Guy11 and the complemented strain, which were confirmed by lesion quantification (Fig 7A). We also performed rice sheath penetration assays by observing 100 appressoria each strain and classifying invasive hyphae (IH) types as previously described [17]. We observed that over 40% of ΔMocrn1 appressoria were defective in penetration and 55.6% of appressoria that penetrated and formed less extended IH. In contrast, 90% of Guy11 appressoria successfully penetrated rice cells and about 50% of that produced strong IH (Fig 7B).

MoCrn1 is involved in appressorium development and pathogenicity through regulating intracellular cAMP levels

To explore whether MoCrn1 regulates turgor generation involving the process of cAMP signaling, the incipient collapse assay was performed. We found that exogenous 8-Br-cAMP could suppress the defect of ΔMocrn1 in turgor generation (S7B Fig). The numbers of the collapsed appressoria in the ΔMocrn1 mutant were reduced by 20% and 10% with 1 and 2 mM cAMP, respectively, compared to those without 8-Br-cAMP. In addition, the ΔMocrn1 mutant appressorium underwent successful glycogen and lipid breakdown following 8 and 16 h, respectively, following treatment with 5 mM 8-Br-cAMP (S7E and S7F Fig). Furthermore, 1 or 2 mM 8-Br-cAMP addition to the conidia suspensions in the inoculation of detached barley leaves could suppress the defect of ΔMocrn1 in infection to some degree (Fig 7C). This result was also confirmed by the penetration assay, in which 8-Br-cAMP treatment restored the penetration defect to almost 80% of the ΔMocrn1 appressoria in comparison to 43±4.9% of ΔMocrn1 without cAMP (Fig 7B). This is similar to the effect of the ΔMocrn1 mutant that expresses the constitutively active form of MoMagA, MoMagA<sup>G187S</sup> (S7G and S7H Fig and Fig 7A).

MoCrn1 function is dependent on its protein binding ability

To examine the ability of MoCrn1 in binding multiple proteins, we identified putative actin binding domains and characterized their function. Human coronin Arg<sup>29</sup> and Arg<sup>30</sup> are thought to be important for the interaction with F-actin [32, 33]. The alignment showed that a majority of coronins contain a conserved basic amino acid at these two positions (Fig 8A). In addition, the C-terminal coiled-coil (CC) domain is important for coronins to interact with the actin nucleation complex Arp2/3 [34]. Accordingly, we mutated His<sup>29</sup> to Asp<sup>29</sup> and deleted the CC domain of MoCrn1, and fused the mutant proteins with GFP (Fig 8B). We found that MoCrn1<sup>H29D</sup> and MoCrn1<sup>ΔCC</sup> mutants had completely altered actin-like localization patterns (Fig 8C). To further analyze the effects of these mutant alleles, we performed the co-IP assay...
**Fig 7. MoCrn1 contributes to pathogenicity by regulating cAMP levels.** (A) Pathogenicity assay was conducted by spraying conidial suspensions (5×10^4 conidia/ml) onto two-week old rice seedlings (CO-39). Mean number of lesions per 5 cm length of leaf were quantified. Expressing MoMagA<sup>G187S</sup> in ΔMocrn1 suppressed the defects in infection. (B) Penetration assays with rice sheath tissues. Micrographs show the percentages of 4 types of IH observed at 36 hpi. Bars = 10 μm. 1 or 2 mM 8-Br-cAMP addition could promote penetration for ΔMocrn1. (C) Pathogenicity assay was conducted with detached barley leaves. Addition of 1 mM and 2 mM 8-Br-cAMP enhanced ΔMocrn1 infection. (D) Bar chart shows the intracellular cAMP levels in mycelium of the strains. (E) Mutation of H29D and CC deletion for MoCrn1 caused defects in pathogenicity. Quantification for the lesions per 5 cm length of leaf is given. (F and G) Bar charts show the percentages of appressoria containing glycogen and lipids at different time points. The ΔMocrn1 mutant, ΔMocrn1/MoCRN1<sup>H29D</sup> and ΔMocrn1/MoCRN1<sup>ΔCC</sup> strains delayed the degradation of glycogen and lipids. Asterisks in (D) and (E) represent significant differences (P<0.01).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1007382.g007
Fig 8. Dissection of MoCrn1 residues and domains crucial for protein-protein interactions. (A) Comparison of the actin binding position of MoCrn1 (the 29th His) to that of coronins in other species. Accession number: Homo sapiens Coro1A (NP_009005.1) and Coro1B (NP_034028.1), Mus musculus Coro1A (NP_065174.1), Drosophila melanogaster Pod1 (NP_001245554.1), Neurospora crassa Crn1 (XP_956587.2), Saccharomyces cerevisiae Crn1 (NP_013533.1) and Dictyostelium discoideum CorA (CAA43707.1). (B) Schematic representation of MoCrn1 and MoCrn1ΔCC draw by using the following colors: green, WD40; blue, coiled-coil. Scale bar, 200 amino acids. (C) Images show the localization patterns of MoCrn1::GFP, MoCrn1H29D::GFP and MoCrn1ΔCC::GFP in hypha, conidium and appressorium. (D, E and F) Co-IP assays for assaying the interaction of MoCrn1 (tagged with GFP), MoCrn1H29D::GFP and MoCrn1ΔCC::GFP with MoRgs7 (tagged with RFP), MoMagA (tagged with S) and MoCap1 (tagged with S).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1007382.g008
and found that MoCrn1$^{H29D}$ and MoCrn1$^{ΔCC}$ mutants failed to interact with MoRgs7, MoMagA, and MoCap1 (Fig 8D, 8E and 8F).

We also expressed MoCrn1$^{H29D}$ and MoCrn1$^{ΔCC}$ mutants in ΔMocrn1. FRAP analysis showed that the expression of MoCrn1$^{H29D}$ and MoCrn1$^{ΔCC}$ caused no effect on delayed endocytosis of MoRgs7 and MoMagA in ΔMocrn1 (Fig 9). HPLC analysis revealed cAMP levels of the strain expressing MoCrn1$^{H29D}$ or MoCrn1$^{ΔCC}$ comparable to that of the ΔMocrn1 mutant (Fig 7D). Moreover, virulence and the degradation of appressorial glycogen and lipid in the MoCrn1$^{H29D}$ and MoCrn1$^{ΔCC}$ strains were also indistinguishable from those of the ΔMocrn1 mutant (Fig 7E, 7F and 7G). Taken together, these results suggested that MoCrn1 function is dependent on its ability to interact with F-actin, MoRgs7, MoMagA, and MoCap1.

Discussion

We here investigated the distinct functional mechanism of RGS and 7-TM-containing protein MoRgs7 beyond its RGS functions. We found that MoRgs7 has a GPCR-like endocytosis pattern and is predominantly localized to late endosomes similar to other signaling proteins, including MoRgs1, MoMagA, and MoMac1. Such late endosome localizations of signaling proteins are critical to GPCR function and for cAMP signal transduction. Our results further showed that MoRgs7 couples with MoMagA to undergo endocytosis. Interestingly, by inhibiting endocytosis, we could observe increased PM localization of MoRgs7 and MoMagA. And by inhibiting trafficking from the early endosomes to the late endosomes, we could observe the early endosome localization of MoRgs7 and MoMagA.

Understanding how pathogen receptors recognize the plant surface signal has a beneficial effect on the controlling rice disease at early stages. Our results provided evidences that MoRgs7 serves as a GPCR-like receptor to detect environmental hydrophobic cues. The affinity precipitation assay with phenyl-agarose gel beads indicates that MoRgs7 has strong ability to form hydrophobic interaction with hydrophobic materials, revealing that MoRgs7 can form interaction with hydrophobic surface when MoRgs7 is localized to the PM. Importantly, disruption of such hydrophobic interaction during M. oryzae germinating on the hydrophobic surface led to the aberrant appressorium formation. We also noted that the ΔMorgs7 mutant developed defective appressoria, even though no decrease in appressorium formation frequency. Based on these studies, we concluded that forming hydrophobic interactions with hydrophobic surface by MoRgs7 and other membrane proteins is a critical step in recognizing hydrophobic surface cues.

We reasoned that MoRgs7 may undergo a functional process similar to mammalian GPCRs. In mammalian cells, when a ligand binds to a GPCR, ligand can activate GPCR by inducing conformational changes in GPCR, subsequently the active GPCRs can activate the Gz proteins and are transported by endocytosis to sustain downstream signaling, be recycled, or be degraded from endosomes [35]. Considering our studies and previous findings by others in mammalian cells, we proposed a functional model of MoRgs7 (Fig 10). In this model, MoRgs7 acts as a GPCR during appressorium development to interact with the hydrophobic surface. Subsequently, this interaction induces MoRgs7-MoMagA endocytosis that is regulated by MoCrn1. MoRgs7 facilitates activating cAMP signaling from endosomes along with MoMagA. Conversely, MoRgs7 may elevate its GAP activity to regulate MoMagA when cAMP signaling is fully activated. Thus, MoRgs7 has dual roles in regulating signal transduction.

How MoRgs8 that also contains 7-TM domain but lacks sensory functions is not understood. MoRgs8 was distributed in the cytoplasm of germ tubes but did not undergo endocytosis. MoRgs8 could be involved in a mechanism distinct from MoRgs7 and future studies are needed to address such distinct mechanism(s).
There was precedence that endocytosis of RGS proteins plays a role in promoting Gα-mediated signaling. In *Arabidopsis thaliana*, in response to glucose, RGS protein AtRgs1 internalizes via endocytosis to uncouple itself from Gα protein AtGPA1 anchored in the PM, leading to AtGPA1 sustaining activation. And this process is required for both G-protein-mediated sugar signaling and cell proliferation [36]. However, other details including the initiation of
MoRgs7-MoMagA complex disassembly following endocytosis remain not understood. We recently reported a distinct mechanism of how *M. oryzae* might negatively regulate the GAP activity of MoRgs7. This mechanism implicates the MoMip11 protein that interacts with MoRgs7 and the GDP bound MoMagA, but not the GTP bound MoMagA (Fig 10) [14]. MoMip11 prevents MoRgs7 from interacting with the GTP bound MoMagA, therefore interfering with MoRgs7 GAP function by sustaining MoMagA activation [14].

During endocytic vesicle formation, a series of adaptor proteins in cytoplasm can accumulate at endocytic sites. Those adaptors serve to select endocytic cargos and specifically bind to cargos, recruiting their cargos to endocytic pits/vesicles [29, 37]. Since we found that endocytosis of MoRgs7 and MoMagA are independent of each other, we considered that the adaptor...
protein(s) for the two proteins can anchor MoRgs7 or MoMagA to endocytic pits even though MoRgs7 and MoMagA do not interact with each other. Despite of that, the MoRgs7-MoMagA interaction is still important for MoRgs7 to regulate MoMagA activity. To further investigate the physiological function of MoRgs7 and MoMagA endocytosis, coronin protein MoCrn1 that emerges as an adaptor protein for MoRgs7 and MoMagA was identified and characterized. MoCrn1 is localized to actin patches that represent endocytic sites, interacting with MoRgs7 and MoMagA and regulating their endocytosis. Disruption of MoCrn1 by gene deletion or point mutations (H29D mutation and CC domain deletion) not only attenuated MoRgs7 and MoMagA endocytosis, but also led to a decreased cAMP level that is lower than the threshold for proper appressorium development. Our results support that MoRgs7 and MoMagA endocytosis regulated by MoCrn1 facilitates initiating cAMP signaling and appressorium development. However, for BiFC assays to test MoCrn1-MoRgs7 and MoCrn1-MoMagA interactions we queried why the YFP fluorescence evenly distributes at the PM of germ tubes, not just at the actin patches. A possible explanation is that, before MoCrn1 accumulates at actin patches, the cytoplasm-localized MoCrn1 has already bound to the cytoplasmic peptides of PM-localized MoRgs7 and MoMagA. At later stage, these interactions enable MoCrn1 to direct MoRgs7 and MoMagA to endocytic pits/vesicles.

Coronin proteins are known as regulators of the cytoskeleton and membrane trafficking in a number of species including yeast, *Neurospora crassa*, *Dictyostelium discoideum*, *Drosophila*, and human [23, 24]. In *D. discoideum* and mammalian cells, coronins have evolved to be modulators of signal transduction. Those coronins are critical for Rac1 GTPase activation and Rac1-dependent signaling [33, 38]. Additionally, upon cell surface stimulation coronin 1 interacts with and activates Go to stimulate cAMP/PKA pathway in neuronal cell, even though how coronin 1 activates Go is less clear [39]. Compared to those studies, our work revealed that MoCrn1 is involved in a distinct mechanism to facilitate Go-cAMP signaling. MoCrn1 has an adaptor protein-like function by directing MoRgs7 and MoMagA to endocytic pits to promote their internalization. This function, thereby allows MoCrn1 to have a role in facilitating CAMP signaling. However, the function was not found yet for other eukaryote coronins, thus it is not known whether coronin is generally required for endocytosis of RGS and Go proteins in eukaryotes except *M. oryzae*. Interestingly, MoCrn1 also interacts with MoCap1 that is thought as one of activators of MoMac1 [6]. Based on the above, we proposed that MoCrn1 is likely to be a hub or organizing protein of the network of MoRgs7-MoMagA-MoCap1.

**Materials and methods**

**Strains and culture conditions**

The *M. oryzae* Guy11 strain was used as wild type for transformation in this study. For vegetative growth, small agar blocks were taken from the edge of 7-day-old cultures and cultured in liquid CM medium for 48 h. For conidiation, strains were cultured on SDC plates at 28°C for 7 days in the dark, followed by constant illumination for 3 days [8, 17, 31, 40–43].

**Targeted MoCRN1 deletion and the ΔMocrn1 mutant complementation**

The MoCRN1 deletion mutant was generated using the standard one-step gene replacement strategy [44]. First, two approximate 1.0 kb of sequences flanking of MoCRN1 (MGG_06389) were amplified with two primer pairs MoCRN1-F1/MoCRN1-R1, MoCRN1-F2/MoCRN1-R2, the resulting PCR products ligated with the HPH cassette released from pCX62. The protoplasts of wild type Guy11 were transformed with the vectors for targeted gene deletion by inserting the hygromycin resistance HPH marker gene cassette into the two flanking sequences.
of the MoCRN1 gene. For selecting hygromycin-resistant transformants, CM plates were supplemented with 250 μg/ml hygromycin B (Roche, USA).

To generate complementary construct pYF11-MoCRN1, the gene sequence containing the MoCRN1 gene and 1.0 kb native promoter was amplified with MoCRN1-comF/MoCRN1-comR. Yeast strain XK1-25 was co-transformed with this sequence and XhoI-digested pYF11 plasmid. Then the resulting yeast plasmid was expressed in E. coli. To generate the complementary strain, the pYF11-MoCRN1 construct was introduced into the ΔMocrn1 mutant and pYF11 contains the bleomycin-resistant gene for M. oryzae transformants screen [31, 44].

Southern blot analysis

EcoRV was used to digest the genomic DNA from Guy11 and the ΔMocrn1 mutant. The digest products were separated in 0.8% agar gel and were hybridized with the MoCRN1 gene probe. The probe was designed according to the disruption strategy and was amplified from Guy11 genomic DNA using primers MoCRN1-InterF/MoCRN1-InterR. To confirm MoCRN1 replacements, labeled MoCRN1 probe was used to hybridize the EcoRV-digested genomic DNA from the ΔMocrn1 mutant and wild-type Guy11. The copy number of the HPH gene in the ΔMocrn1 mutant was detected using labeled HPH fragments that amplified from the plasmid of pCB1003 with primers FL1111/FL1112. The whole hybridization was carried out according to the manufacturer’s instruction for DIG-High Prime.

Pathogenicity assay

The conidia were suspended in a 0.2% (w/v) gelatin solution (5×10⁴ spores/ml), then the solutions were sprayed onto 2-week-old seedling of susceptible rice (Oryza sativa cv. CO-39) and also inoculated into 3-week-old rice CO-39 as described. Then the plants were incubated at 25˚C with 90% humidity in the dark for the first 24 h, followed by a 12h/12h light/dark cycle. Lesions were observed after 7 days of incubation [41]. For pathogenicity assay with detached barley leaves [40], three 20 μl droplets of the conidia suspensions (1×10⁵, 1×10⁴, 1×10³ spores/ml, respectively) added cAMP solution or not, were placed onto the upper side of the 7-day-old barley (cv. Four-arris) leaves. Then the leaves were incubated at 25˚C with 90% humidity and in the dark for the first 24 h, followed by a 12h/12h light/dark cycle. Lesions were observed after 5 days of incubation.

Glycogen and lipid staining during appressorium development

To visualize glycogen, the samples were stained by iodine solution containing 60 mg/ml KI and 10 mg/ml I2 for 1 min. Nile red solution consisting of 50 mM Tris/maleate buffer (pH 7.5) and 2.5 mg/ml Nile red (9-diethylamino-5H-benzo-a-phenoxazine-5-one, Sigma), was used to treat the samples for 3 min, then the samples were examined under a fluorescence microscope with RFP channel [17, 26, 30].

Co-IP assay

The DNA fragments for expressing GFP fusion proteins were respectively inserted into the pYF11 construct that contains bleomycin resistant gene and G418 resistance gene, and the DNA fragments for expressing S-tag fusion proteins were respectively inserted into the pXY203 construct that contains hygromycin gene. Then the constructs for expressing GFP and S-tag fusion proteins were co-transformed into wild-type strain Guy11, and the transformants resistant to hygromycin and bleomycin or G418 were isolated. The total protein of the transformants was extracted from mycelium using protein lysis buffer [1 M Tris-Cl (pH7.4), 1 M
NaCl, 0.5 M EDTA, 1% Triton×100] and incubated with anti-GFP agarose beads (GFP-Trap, Chromotek, Martinsried, Germany) for 4 h, followed by washing beads with washing buffer (50 mM Tris HCl, 150 mM NaCl, pH 7.4) for 4 times. The proteins that bind to the beads were eluted by 0.1 M glycine HCl (pH 3.5) and were probed by anti-GFP and anti-S antibodies.

**Binding assay for MoCrn1 and MoAct1 interaction**

*MoCRN1* and *MoACT1* full-length cDNAs were cloned and inserted into pGEX4T-2 and pET32a, respectively. These constructs were transformed into *E. coli* strain BL21 for expressing proteins. Bacterial lysate containing GST:MoCrn1 protein was incubated with 30 μl GST agarose beads for 2 h. Then the beads were washed by washing buffer for 4 times and incubated with His:MoAct1 protein for 2 h, followed by washing beads with using washing buffer (50 mM Tris HCl, 150 mM NaCl, pH7.4) for 4 times again. The beads were boiled to elute proteins, and eluted proteins (output) were probed with anti-GST and anti-His antibodies.

**Yeast two-hybrid assay**

Constructs of BD:MoMagA, BD:MoMagB and BD:MoMagC were used in previous experiments and kept in our lab. Full-length cDNAs of *MoCRN1* was cloned and inserted into pGADT7 (AD) vector. Full-length cDNAs of *MoCAP1*, *MoMagA*G187S, *MoMagAQ208L* and *MoACT1* genes were inserted into pGBKT7 (BD) vector. To examine the interaction of proteins, the AD and BD constructs were co-transformed into yeast strain AH109 and the transformants were grown on SD-Trp-Leu medium. Then the Trp+ and Leu+ transformants were isolated and assayed for growth on SD-Trp-Leu-His-Ad e medium added X-α-Gal.

**FRAP analysis**

The germinated conidia with 3 h of incubation on hydrophobic or hydrophilic surfaces were treated with cycloheximide and benomyl as described [17]. FRAP were performed using a fluorescence microscope Zeiss LSM710. Regions containing MoRgs7:RFP and MoMagA:RFP in germ tube were selected for photo-bleaching. Photobleaching was carried out using an Argon-multiline laser at a wavelength of 561 nm with 80% laser power and 80 iterations in ROI. Images were acquired with 2% laser power at a wavelength of 555 nm every 5 sec. For quantitative analyses, fluorescence intensity was measured using the ZEISS ZEN blue software and fluorescence recovery curves were fitted using following formula: $F(t) = F_{\text{min}} + (F_{\text{max}} - F_{\text{min}})(1-\exp^{-kt})$, where $F(t)$ is the intensity of fluorescence at time t, $F_{\text{min}}$ is the intensity of fluorescence immediately post-bleaching, $F_{\text{max}}$ is the intensity of fluorescence following complete recovery, and k is the rate constant of the exponential recovery [45]. Mobile Fraction was calculated as the following formula: $Mf = (F_{\text{end}} - F_0)/(F_{\text{pre}} - F_0)$, where $F_{\text{end}}$ is the stable fluorescent intensity of the punctae after sufficient recovery, $F_0$ is the fluorescent intensity immediately after bleaching, and $F_{\text{pre}}$ is the fluorescent intensity before bleaching [46].

**Assays with drugs or inhibitors**

Latrunculin B (Cayman, USA) is dissolved in DMSO at a concentration of 25 mg/ml. Conidia incubated on the coverslips with hydrophobic surface were treated with LatB (final concentration 0.1 μg/ml) for 30 min, while the controls were treated with 5% DMSO. Then samples were washed with distilled water. Cycloheximide (MedChemExpress, USA) was solved in distilled water and the germinated conidia were treated with a final concentration 10 μg/ml for 10 min. Then samples were washed with distilled water. Benomyl (Aladdin, Shanghai, China) was solved in 0.1% DMSO and added to germinated conidia with a final concentration 1μg/ml.
Then the samples were washed with distilled water. EGA (Merck, USA) was solved in 5% DMSO and was applied to samples with concentration 5 μg/ml for 1 h.

**Affinity precipitation of MoRgs7:GFP with Phenyl-agarose gel beads**

The total proteins were extracted from the Guy11 strain expressing MoRgs7:GFP or GFP, respectively, and were incubated with 100 mg of Phenyl-agarose beads (Senhui Microsphere Tech, Suzhou, China) in 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tubes at 10˚C for 16 h. After incubation, the tubes were centrifuged (13000 g, 5 min) to remove the suspension. The beads were then gently washed with a series of aqueous solutions with different concentrations of NaCl and MgSO4 (1.5/1.0/0.8/0.5/0.3/0.2/0.1 M NaCl and MgSO4, 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.0), respectively, for 3 times to remove the unbound proteins. 100 μl of 1% SDS solution was added to the washed beads, followed by boiling the SDS solution and beads for 10 min to obtain elution, which was examined by western-blot using anti-GFP antibody.

**Assays with fluorescence microscope and calculation of Pearson correlation coefficient for co-localization**

All the samples were observed under a confocal fluorescence microscope (Zeiss LSM710, 63× oil). The filter cube sets: GFP (excitation spectra: 488 nm, emission spectra: 510 nm), RFP (excitation spectra: 555 nm, emission spectra: 584 nm). Exposure time: 800 ms. ImageJ software was applied to calculate Pearson correlation coefficient for analyzing co-localization of GFP fusion protein with RFP fusion protein. One area of interest was photographed with GFP and RFP channels respectively and photographs were opened using ImageJ software. Picture type was set to 8 bits. The “colocalization finder” in “plugin” section was applied to the pictures and Pearson correlation coefficient was calculated.

**cAMP extraction and HPLC analysis**

All of the strains were cultured on CM medium at 28˚C, were cut into 1×1 mm squares, and were cultured in liquid CM for another 2 days. Filtering to collect mycelium and quickly ground into powder in liquid N₂. 1 mg of mycelium was mixed with 20 μl of 6% TCA solution. Samples were centrifuged (1,377 × g, 15 min), the top layers were collected and were washed twice with five times the volume of anhydrous ether. The pellet was collected for HPLC. HPLC analysis was done with a programmable Agilent Technology Zorbax 1200 series liquid chromatograph. The solvent system consisted of methanol (90%) and water (10%), at a flow rate of 1 ml per minute; 0.1 mg of cAMP solution per milliliter was eluted through the column (SBC18, 5 μl, 4.6 × 250 mm) and was detected at 259 nm UV. Each sample was eluted through the column in turn and peak values were detected with the same time as the standard [47].

**Construction of vectors used to express fluorescent proteins**

For construction of pHZ65:MoMagA vector used to express MoMagA-N’YFP, the N’YFP sequence was inserted into the alphaB-alphaC loop of MoMagA as described [48], then the MoMagA sequence containing N’YFP and the native promoter was fused with the pYF11 plasmid. For construction of vector used to express MoMagA:RFP, the RFP sequence was also inserted into the alphaB-alphaC loop of MoMagA. Then the MoMagA sequence containing the native promoter was fused with pYF11 plasmid. For construction of other vectors used to express proteins tagged with RFP or GFP, RFP or GFP was fused to protein sequence C-terminals, then protein sequences containing their native promoters were fused with the pYF11 plasmid.
GenBank accession number

*MoRGS7* (MGG_11693), *MoRGS8* (MGG_13926), *MoMagA* (MGG_01818), *MoCRN1* (MGG_06389), *MoCAP1* (MGG_01722)
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**S1 Fig.** *MoRgs7* and *MoRgs8* are predicted to contain a 7 transmembrane domain. (A) The analysis results to confirm the 7-TM domain in *MoRgs7* were yielded by the websites [http://mendel.imp.univie.ac.at/sat/DAS/DAS.html](http://mendel.imp.univie.ac.at/sat/DAS/DAS.html) and [http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/TMHMM](http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/TMHMM). (B) The analysis results to confirm the 7-TM domain in *MoRgs8*.

**S2 Fig.** The RGS and 7-TM domains are required for *MoRgs7* function. (A) The schematic representations of *MoRgs7*, 7-TM and *MoRgs7\Delta7-TM* were drawn with green that represents 7-TM and blue that represents RGS domain. (B) Bar chart shows the intracellular cAMP levels in the mycelium. The values were recorded from three independent experiments. NS represents no significant differences. (C) Bar chart shows the percentages of the conidia generating two appressoria. The values were recorded from three independent experiments. NS represents no significant differences. (D) Pathogenicity assay was conducted by spaying conidial suspensions (5×10⁴ conidia/ml) onto two-week old rice seedlings (CO-39). (E) Mean number of lesions per 5 cm length of leaves were quantified for (D).

**S3 Fig.** *MoRgs7* and *MoMagA* are independent of each other in internalization. (A) The representative images of FRAP analysis for *MoRgs7*:RFP were shown and the selected areas were measured for fluorescence recovery after photobleaching. FRAP analysis was conducted at 3 h post-germination. Bar = 5 μm. (B) The normalized FRAP curve of *MoRgs7*:RFP were fitted with measuring 15 regions from different cells. (C) The representative images of FRAP analysis for *MoMagA*:RFP were shown and FRAP analysis was conducted at 3 h post-germination. Bar = 5 μm. (D) The normalized FRAP curve of *MoMagA*:RFP were fitted with measuring 15 regions from different cells.

**S4 Fig.** Targeted *MoCRN1* deletion was confirmed by Southern blot analysis. Southern blot analysis of the *MoCRN1* gene deletion mutants with gene specific probe (probe1) and hygromycin phosphotransferase (HPH) probe (probe2). Thick arrows indicate the orientations of the *MoCRN1* and HPH genes. Thin lines below the arrows indicate sequence-specific gene probes.

**S5 Fig.** *MoCrn1* is co-localized with F-actin and has actin and microtubule-associated functions. (A) *MoCrn1* is co-localized with F-actin in appressorium, hypha and conidium. Scale bar for the appressorium, 10 μm. Bar of hypha, 5 μm. Bar of conidium, 5 μm. (B) The yeast two-hybrid assay for examining the interaction of *MoCrn1* with actin protein *MoAct1*. The yeast transformants were isolated from SD-Leu-Trp plates, following growing on SD-Leu-Trp-His-Ade plates containing X-α-Gal for examining β-galactosidase activity. (C) Binding assay for examining the interaction of *MoCrn1* with actin protein *MoAct1*. Input represents the proteins extracted from the *E. coli* BL21 strains expressing GST-*MoCrn1* or His-*MoAct1*. Output represents the proteins eluted from the GST-beads used to bind GST-*MoCrn1*. Those proteins were probed by using GST-antibody and His-antibody. (D) Images show actin structures labeled by lifeact:RFP in appressoria. Bar = 5 μm. (E) Images show actin structures...
labeled by lifeact:RFP in conidia. Bar = 5 μm. (F) Images show actin structures labeled by lifeact:RFP in hyphae. Bar = 5 μm. (G) The assay for determining sensitivity to benomyl. The yeast wild-type BY4741, the Δcrn1 mutant and the Δcrn1Δ/MoCRN1 strains were grown on SD plates containing 0, 10, 20 and 30 μg/ml benomyl for 3 days. (H) The colonies of Guy11, the ΔMoCrn1 mutant and the complemented strain grew on CM plates containing 0, 0.6, 1.0 and 1.2 μg/ml benomyl for 7 days. Bar chart shows the inhibition rate. The experiment was repeated three times.

S6 Fig. The co-localization assays for MoCrn1 and MoRgs7 and for MoCrn1 and MoMagA. (A) The co-localization between MoCrn1:GFP and MoRgs7:RFP was examined in germ tubes at 3 h post-inoculation. The arrows indicate the sites at where MoCrn1:GFP was co-localized with PM-localized MoRgs7:RFP. Percentage of a pattern showed in image was calculated by observation for 50 germinated conidia that were randomly chosen, and observation was conducted for 3 times. Bars = 5 μm. (B) The co-localization between MoCrn1:GFP and MoMagA:RFP was examined in germ tubes at 3 h post-inoculation. The arrows indicate the sites at where MoCrn1:GFP was co-localized with PM-localized MoMagA:RFP. Percentage of a pattern showed in image was calculated by observation for 50 germinated conidia that were randomly chosen, and observation was conducted for 3 times. Bars = 5 μm.

S7 Fig. MoCrn1 affects glycogen and lipid degradation during appressorium development through regulating cAMP synthesis. (A) Bar chart shows the intracellular cAMP levels in mycelium of Guy11, the ΔMoCrn1 mutant and the complemented strain. Asterisks represent significant differences (P < 0.01). (B) Incipient collapse assay was conducted with 1, 2 and 3 M glycerol solution to examine the appressorial turgor level. Bar chart shows the percentages of collapse appressoria upon glycerol solution treatment and 8-Br-cAMP addition decreased the collapse rate of ΔMoCrn1 appressoria. 200 appressoria were observed for each sample and the experiment was repeated three times. (C) Micrographs show the glycogen distribution in Guy11 and ΔMoCrn1 at different time points. The conidia of Guy11 and ΔMoCrn1 were allowed to germinate on hydrophobic surface, and glycogen could be visualized by iodine solution staining. Bar = 10 μm. (D) Micrographs show the lipid distribution in Guy11 and ΔMoCrn1 at different time points. Lipid bodies were visualized by Nile red staining. Bar = 10 μm. (E and F) Bar charts show the percentages of appressoria containing glycogen and lipids at different time points. The 5 mM cAMP treatment significantly promoted degradation of glycogen and lipids in ΔMoCrn1 appressoria. 200 appressoria were observed for each sample and the experiment was repeated three times. (G and H) Bar charts show the percentages of appressoria containing glycogen and lipids at different time points. Expressing MoMagAG187S in ΔMoCrn1 significantly promoted degradation of glycogen and lipid in appressoria. 200 appressoria were observed for each sample and the experiment was repeated three times.

S8 Fig. MoCrn1 interacts with MoCap1 and controls MoCap1 localization. The localization pattern of MoCap1 was severely disrupted in mature appressorium, conidium and hypha of ΔMoCrn1. Bars = 5 μm.

S9 Fig. ΔMoCrn1 was slightly delayed in appressorium formation. Appressoria that formed in hydrophobic surfaces were observed at 4, 5 and 6 h post-germination. Bar = 15 μm. The formation percentages were quantified by observing 200 appressoria for each sample and the
experiment was repeated three times.

S1 Table. Primers used in this study.

Acknowledgments
We thank Dr. Naweed I Naqvi of the National University of Singapore for providing GFP:MoRab5 and GFP:MoRab7 plasmids.

Author Contributions
Conceptualization: Xiaobo Zheng, Ping Wang, Zhengguang Zhang.
Data curation: Xiao Li, Ziyi Yin, Xiaobo Zheng, Ping Wang, Zhengguang Zhang.
Formal analysis: Xiao Li, Kaili Zhong, Ziyi Yin, Jiexiong Hu, Wenhao Wang, Haifeng Zhang, Ping Wang, Zhengguang Zhang.
Funding acquisition: Ping Wang, Zhengguang Zhang.
Investigation: Xiao Li, Kaili Zhong, Ziyi Yin, Jiexiong Hu, Wenhao Wang, Lianwei Li, Xiaobo Zheng, Ping Wang, Zhengguang Zhang.
Methodology: Xiao Li, Kaili Zhong, Lianwei Li, Haifeng Zhang, Xiaobo Zheng, Ping Wang, Zhengguang Zhang.
Project administration: Xiaobo Zheng, Ping Wang, Zhengguang Zhang.
Resources: Haifeng Zhang, Xiaobo Zheng, Ping Wang, Zhengguang Zhang.
Software: Xiao Li, Haifeng Zhang, Xiaobo Zheng, Zhengguang Zhang.
Supervision: Haifeng Zhang, Xiaobo Zheng, Ping Wang, Zhengguang Zhang.
Validation: Xiao Li, Ping Wang, Zhengguang Zhang.
Visualization: Xiao Li, Ping Wang, Zhengguang Zhang.
Writing – original draft: Xiao Li, Kaili Zhong, Ping Wang, Zhengguang Zhang.
Writing – review & editing: Xiao Li, Ping Wang, Zhengguang Zhang.

References
1. Adachi K, Hamer JE. Divergent cAMP signaling pathways regulate growth and pathogenesis in the rice blast fungus Magnaporthe grisea. Plant Cell. 1998; 10(8):1361–74. PMID: 9707535
2. DeZwaan TM, Carroll AM, Valent B, Sweigard JA. Magnaporthe grisea pth11p is a novel plasma membrane protein that mediates appressorium differentiation in response to inductive substrate cues. Plant Cell. 1999; 11(10):2013–30. PMID: 10521529
3. Liu H, Suresh A, Willard FS, Siderovski DP, Lu S, Naqvi NI. Rgs1 regulates multiple Galpha subunits in Magnaporthe pathogenesis, asexual growth and thigmotropism. EMBO J. 2007; 26(3):690–700. Epub 2007/01/27. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.emboj.7601536 PMID: 17255942
4. Bosch DE, Willard FS, Ramanujam R, Kimple AJ, Willard MD, Naqvi NI, et al. A P-loop mutation in Galpha subunits prevents transition to the active state: implications for G-protein signaling in fungal pathogenesis. PLoS Pathog. 2012; 8(2):e1002553. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1002553 PMID: 22383684
5. Foster AJ, Ryder LS, Kershaw MJ, Talbot NJ. The role of glycerol in the pathogenic lifestyle of the rice blast fungus Magnaporthe oryzae. Environ Microbiol. 2017; 19(3):1008–16. https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.13688 PMID: 28165657.
6. Zhou X, Zhang H, Li G, Shaw B, Xu JR. The Cyclase-associated protein Cap1 is important for proper regulation of infection-related morphogenesis in Magnaporthe oryzae. PLoS Pathog. 2012; 8(9):e1002911. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1002911 PMID: 22969430

7. Selvaraj P, Shen Q, Yang F, Naqvi NI. Cpk2, a catalytic subunit of cyclic AMP-PKA, regulates growth and pathogenesis in rice blast. Front Microbiol. 2017; 8:2289. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.02289 PMID: 29209297

8. Zhang H, Tang W, Liu K, Huang Q, Zhang X, Yan X, et al. Eight RGS and RLS-like proteins orchestrate growth, differentiation, and pathogenicity of Magnaporthe oryzae. PLoS Pathog. 2011; 7(12):e1002450. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1002450 PMID: 22241981

9. Bradford W, Buckholz A, Morton J, Price C, Jones AM, Urano D. Eukaryotic G protein signaling evolved to require G protein-coupled receptors for activation. Sci Signal. 2013; 6(276):ra37. https://doi.org/10.1126/scisignal.2006378 PMID: 23695163

10. Bakthavatalsal D, Meijer HJ, Noegel AA, Govers F. Novel phosphatidylinositol phosphatase kinases with a G-protein-coupled receptor signature are shared by Dictyostelium and Phytophthora. Trends Microbiol. 2006; 14(9):378–82. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2006.07.006 PMID: 16876997

11. Xu X, Li G, Li L, Su Z, Chen C. Genome-wide comparative analysis of putative Pth11-related G protein-coupled receptors in fungi belonging to Pezizomycotina. BMC Microbiol. 2017; 17(1):166. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12866-017-1076-5 PMID: 28743231

12. Wang Y, Li A, Wang X, Zhang X, Zhao W, Dou D, et al. GPR11, a putative seven-transmembrane G protein-coupled receptor, controls zoospore development and virulence of Phytophthora sojae. Eukaryot Cell. 2010; 9(2):242–50. https://doi.org/10.1128/EC.00265-09 PMID: 20008081

13. Traver S, Spliggard A, Gaudriault G, De Gunzburg J. The RGS (regulator of G-protein signalling) and GoLoco domains of RGS14 cooperate to regulate Gi-mediated signalling. Biochem J. 2004; 379(Pt 3):627–32. https://doi.org/10.1042/BJ20031889 PMID: 15112653

14. Yin Z, Zhang X, Wang J, Yang L, Feng W, Chen C, et al. MoMip11, a MoRgs7-interacting protein, functions as a scaffolding protein to regulate cAMP signaling and pathogenicity in the rice blast fungus Magnaporthe oryzae. Environ Microbiol. 2018. Epub 2018/05/05. https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.14102 PMID: 29727050.

15. Rosciglione S, Theriault C, Bolly MO, Paquette M, Lavoie C. Gaophilas regulates the post-endocytic sorting of G protein-coupled receptors. Nat Commun. 2014; 5:4556. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms5556 PMID: 25089012

16. Kaksonen M, Sun Y, Drubin DG. A pathway for association of receptors, adaptors, and actin during endocytic internalization. Cell. 2003; 115(4):475–87. PMID: 14622600

17. Li X, Gao C, Li L, Liu M, Yin Z, Zhang H, et al. MoEnd3 regulates appressorium formation and virulence through mediating endocytosis in rice blast fungus Magnaporthe oryzae. PLoS Pathog. 2017; 13(6):e1006449. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1006449 PMID: 28628655

18. Gillespie EJ, Ho CL, Balaji K, Clemens DL, Deng G, Wang YE, et al. Selective inhibitor of endosomal trafficking pathways exploited by multiple toxins and viruses. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2013; 110(50):E4904–12. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1302334110 PMID: 24191014

19. Callébido D, Nikolaev VO, Persani L, Lohse MJ. Signaling by internalized G-protein-coupled receptors. Trends Pharmacol Sci. 2010; 31(5):221–8. Epub 2010/03/23. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tips.2010.02.002 PMID: 20303186

20. Muller TK, Franzreb M. Suitability of commercial hydrophobic interaction sorbents for temperature-controlled protein liquid chromatography under low salt conditions. J Chromatogr A. 2012; 1260:88–96. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2012.08.052 PMID: 22954746

21. Shao Q, White AD, Jiang S. Difference of carboxybetaine and oligo(ethylene glycol) moieties in altering hydrophobic interactions: a molecular simulation study. J Phys Chem B. 2014; 118(1):189–94. https://doi.org/10.1021/jp410224w PMID: 24328129

22. Espinosa YR, Grigera RJ, Ferrara CG. Mechanisms associated with the effects of urea on the micellar structure of sodium dodecyl sulphate in aqueous solutions. Prog Biophys Mol Biol. 2018.

23. Echauro-Espinosa RO, Callejas-Negrete OA, Roberson RW, Bartnicki-Garcia S, Mourino-Perez RR. Coronin is a component of the endocytic collar of hyphae of Neurospora crassa and is necessary for normal growth and morphogenesis. PLoS One. 2012; 7(5):e38237. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0038237 PMID: 22693603

24. Rybakin V, Clemen CS. Coronin proteins as multifunctional regulators of the cytoskeleton and membrane trafficking. Bioessays. 2005; 27(6):625–32. https://doi.org/10.1002/bies.20235 PMID: 15892111

25. Dagdas YF, Yoshino K, Dagdas G, Ryder LS, Bielska E, Steinberg G, et al. Septin-mediated plant cell invasion by the rice blast fungus, Magnaporthe oryzae. Science. 2012; 336(6088):1590–5. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1222934 PMID: 22723425
26. Qi Z, Liu M, Dong Y, Zhu Q, Li L, Li B, et al. The syntaxin protein (MoSyn8) mediates intracellular trafficking to regulate conidiogenesis and pathogenicity of rice blast fungus. New Phytol. 2016; 209(4):1655–67. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13710 PMID: 26522477
27. Goode BL, Wong JJ, Butty AC, Peter M, McCormack AL, Yates JR, et al. Coronin promotes the rapid assembly and cross-linking of actin filaments and may link the actin and microtubule cytoskeletons in yeast. J Cell Biol. 1999; 144(1):83–98. PMID: 9885246
28. Heil-Chapdelaine RA, Tran NK, Cooper JA. The role of Saccharomyces cerevisiae coronin in the actin and microtubule cytoskeletons. Curr Biol. 1998; 8(23):1281–4. Epub 1998/11/21. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-9822(07)00539-8 PMID: 9822583
29. Goode BL, Eskin JA, Wendland B. Actin and endocytosis in budding yeast. Genetics. 2015; 199(2):315–58. https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.114.155450 PMID: 25657349
30. Thines E, Weber RW, Talbott NJ. MAP kinase and protein kinase A-dependent mobilization of triacylglycerol and glycogen during appressorium turgor generation by Magnaporthe grisea. Plant Cell. 2000; 12(9):1703–18. PMID: 1106342
31. Zhong K, Li X, Le X, Kong X, Zhang H, Zheng X, et al. MoDnm1 dynamin mediating peroxisomal and mitochondrial fission in complex with MoFis1 and MoMdv1 is important for development of fungal appressorium in Magnaporthe oryzae. PLoS Pathog. 2016; 12(8):e1005823. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1005823 PMID: 27556292
32. Cai L, Makhov AM, Bear JE. F-actin binding is essential for coronin 1B function in vivo. J Cell Sci. 2007; 120(Pt 10):1779–90. https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.007641 PMID: 1745647
33. Castro-Castro A, Ojeda V, Barreira M, Sauzeau V, Navarro-Lerida I, Muriel O, et al. Coronin 1A promotes a cytoskeletal-based feedback loop that facilitates Rac1 translocation and activation. EMBO J. 2011; 30(19):3913–27. https://doi.org/10.1038/emboj.2011.310 PMID: 21873980
34. Liu SL, Needham KM, May JR, Nolen BJ. Mechanism of a concentration-dependent switch between ARP2/3-complex dependent actin-based motility. J Biol Chem. 2011; 286(19):17039–46. PMID: 21454476
35. Irannejad R, von Zastrow M. GPCR signaling along the endocytic pathway. Curr Opin Cell Biol. 2014; 27:109–16. Epub 2014/04/01. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cob.2013.10.003 PMID: 24680436
36. Urano D, Phan N, Jones JC, Yang J, Huang J, Grigston J, et al. Endocytosis of the seven-transmembrane RGS1 protein activates G-protein-coupled signalling in Arabidopsis. Nat Cell Biol. 2012; 14(10):1079–88. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb2568 PMID: 22940907
37. McMahon HT, Boucrot E. Molecular mechanism and physiological functions of clathrin-mediated endocytosis. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2011; 12(8):517–33. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm3151 PMID: 21779028
38. Swaminathan K, Muller-Taubenberger A, Faix J, Rivero F, Noegel AA. A Cdc42- and Rac-interactive binding (CRIB) domain mediates functions of coronin. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2014; 111(1):E25–33. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1315368111 PMID: 24347642
39. Jayachandran R, Liu X, Bosedasgupta S, Muller P, Zhang CL, Moshou D, et al. Coronin 1 regulates cognition and behavior through modulation of cAMP/protein kinase A signaling. PLoS Biol. 2014; 12(3):e1001820. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1001820 PMID: 24667537
40. Wang J, Du Y, Zhang H, Zhou C, Qi Z, Zheng X, et al. The actin-regulating kinase homologue MoArk1 plays a pleiotropic function in Magnaporthe oryzae. Mol Plant Pathol. 2013; 14(5):470–82. https://doi.org/10.1111/mpp.12020 PMID: 23384308.
41. Li L, Chen X, Zhang S, Yang J, Chen D, Liu M, et al. MoCAP proteins regulated by MoArk1-mediated phosphorylation coordinate endocytosis and actin dynamics to govern development and virulence of Magnaporthe oryzae. PLoS Genet. 2017; 13(5):e1006814. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1006814 PMID: 28542408
42. Zhang S, Liu X, Li L, Yu R, He J, Zhang H, et al. The ArfGAP protein MoGlo3 regulates the development and pathogenicity of Magnaporthe oryzae. Environ Microbiol. 2017; 19(10):3982–96. https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.13798 PMID: 28504350
43. Liu X, Yang J, Qian B, Cai Y, Zou X, Zhang H, et al. MoYvh1 subverts rice defense through functions of ribosomal protein MoMrH4 in Magnaporthe oryzae. PLoS Pathog. 2018; 14(4):e1007016. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1007016 PMID: 29684060
44. Tang W, Ru Y, Hong L, Zhu Q, Zuo R, Guo X, et al. System-wide characterization of bZIP transcription factor proteins involved in infection-related morphogenesis of Magnaporthe oryzae. Environ Microbiol. 2015; 17(4):1377–96. https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.12618 PMID: 25186614
45. Weisswange I, Newsome TP, Schleich S, Way M. The rate of N-WASP exchange limits the extent of ARP2/3-complex-dependent actin-based motility. Nature. 2009; 458(7234):87–91. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature07773 PMID: 19262673
46. Bancaud A, Huet S, Rabut G, Ellenberg J. Fluorescence perturbation techniques to study mobility and molecular dynamics of proteins in live cells: FRAP, photoactivation, photoconversion, and FLIP. Cold Spring Harb Protoc. 2010; 2010(12):pdb.top90. Epub 2010/12/03. https://doi.org/10.1101/pdb.top90

47. Liu X, Qian B, Gao C, Huang S, Cai Y, Zhang H, et al. The putative protein phosphatase MoYvh1 functions upstream of MoPdeH to regulate the development and pathogenicity in Magnaporthe oryzae. Mol Plant Microbe Interact. 2016; 29(6):496–507. https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-11-15-0259-R PMID: 27110741

48. Ramanujam R, Calvert ME, Selvaraj P, Naqvi Ni. The late endosomal HOPS complex anchors active G-protein signaling essential for pathogenesis in Magnaporthe oryzae. PLoS Pathog. 2013; 9(8):e1003527. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1003527 PMID: 23935502