Sorption of ammonium and nitrate to biochars is electrostatic and pH-dependent
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Biochars are potentially effective sorbents for NH$_4$\(^+\) and NO$_3$\(^-\) in water treatment and soil applications. Here we compare NH$_4$\(^+\) and NO$_3$\(^-\) sorption rates to acid-washed biochars produced from red oak (Quercus rubra) and corn stover (Zea mays) at three pyrolysis temperatures (400, 500 and 600 °C) and a range of solution pHs (3.5–7.5). Additionally, we examined sorption mechanisms by quantification of NH$_4$\(^+\) and NO$_3$\(^-\) sorption, as well as Ca$^{2+}$ and Cl$^{-}$ displacement for corn stover biochars. Solution pH curves showed that NH$_4$\(^+\) sorption was maximized (0.7–0.8 mg N g$^{-1}$) with low pyrolysis temperature (400 °C) biochar at near neutral pH (7.0–7.5), whereas NO$_3$\(^-\) sorption was maximized (1.4–1.5 mg N g$^{-1}$) with high pyrolysis temperatures (600 °C) and low pH (3.5–4). The Langmuir ($r^2$ = 0.90–1.00) and Freundlich ($r^2$ = 0.81–0.97) models were good predictors for both NH$_4$\(^+\) (pH 7) and NO$_3$\(^-\) (pH 3.7) sorption isotherms. Lastly, NH$_4$\(^+\) and NO$_3$\(^-\) displaced Ca$^{2+}$ and Cl$^{-}$, respectively, from previously CaCl$_2$-saturated corn stover biochars. Results from the pH curves, Langmuir isotherms, and cation displacement curves all support the predominance of ion exchange mechanisms. Our results demonstrate the importance of solution pH and chemical composition in influencing NH$_4$\(^+\) and NO$_3$\(^-\) sorption capacities of biochar.
over the rest. Thus, more fundamental research investigating mechanisms underlying NH$_4^+$ and NO$_3^-$ sorption to biochars is needed in order to develop protocols for the production and use of biochar as an inorganic N sorbent.

Sorption of NH$_4^+$ by biochars produced from diverse lignocellulosic feedstocks at a wide range of temperatures has been previously quantified using batch equilibration procedures. Ammonium sorption capacity consistently increases with decreasing pyrolysis temperature for comparisons of biochars produced from a common feedstock. However, comparing between studies, biochars produced from similar feedstocks at similar temperatures do not always exhibit similar sorption behavior. For example, Wang et al. report a sorption capacity of 0.01 mg g$^{-1}$ NH$_4^+$ for an oak biochar produced at 350 °C using a 100 ml L$^{-1}$ solution:biochar ratio (both biochars were produced by slow pyrolysis, and sorption was quantified from solutions containing 100 mg N L$^{-1}$). Gai et al. investigated NH$_4^+$ sorption on slow pyrolysis wheat straw biochars produced at 400–700 °C, and 350 & 550 °C, respectively – but reported substantially different model parameters for Langmuir and Freundlich isotherms. However, from these studies we can compile some interesting insights into sorption mechanisms. Yang et al. examined the effects of solution pH and cation competition, and found that NH$_4^+$ sorption increased with increasing pH for all three biochars studied, but decreased when additional base cations were introduced. Likewise, Wang et al. (2015 and 2016) observed increased NH$_4^+$ sorption to biochars after adjusting the solution pH to 7. Some of the inconsistencies in the literature may be attributed to differences in the concentration of soluble base cations found in fresh (unwashed) biochars, which may compete with NH$_4^+$ for sorption sites. Additionally, most biochars contain soluble alkalies, which can influence solution pH during sorption measurements. Gai et al. attempted removal of interfering compounds by acid washing three of twelve analyzed biochars. In that experiment, wheat straw, peanut shell, and corn stover biochars produced at 500 °C sorbed 0.63, 0.73, and 2.12 mg NH$_4^+$ N g$^{-1}$ before acid washing, respectively, and 0.27, 0.45 and 0.43 mg NH$_4^+$ N g$^{-1}$, respectively, after acid washing. Hence, acid washing decreased NH$_4^+$ removal; however, the final solution pHs were not reported and the effect of biochar pyrolysis temperature on sorption to acid-washed biochars was not investigated. Thus, an overall trend for increased NH$_4^+$ sorption with increasing solution pH and decreasing biochar pyrolysis temperature has emerged in the literature. However, no single study has systematically examined the effects of biochar feedstock and pyrolysis temperature on sorption, while controlling for solution pH and competing cations.

Although several studies have analyzed for NO$_3^−$ sorption to biochars, a few studies report significant sorption without chemical modification. Chintala et al. report up to 0.1 mg g$^{-1}$ of NO$_3^−$ sorption to untreated fast pyrolysis biochars, as well as linearly decreasing sorption rates with increasing solution pH, and decreased sorption in the presence of phosphate and sulfate. Gai et al. were unable to detect NO$_3^−$ sorption to untreated biochars, but after washing with water and acid, sorption increased to 0.021–0.032 and 0.037–0.058 mg g$^{-1}$ of NO$_3^−$–N, respectively. Neither study examined the effect of pyrolysis temperature on NO$_3^−$ sorption to acid-washed biochar. Together Chintala et al. and Gai et al. provide evidence for both pH and competitive anion effects on NO$_3^−$ sorption to biochar. Neither study considered the effects of soluble anions or alkalies found in the biochar on NO$_3^−$ sorption. Thus, no studies have systematically controlled both pH and competitive anion effects in investigating NO$_3^−$ sorption by biochars.

Here we aim to investigate the effects of aqueous solution properties (pH and competitive ion concentrations) and of biochar production parameters (feedstock and pyrolysis temperature) on NH$_4^+$ and NO$_3^−$ sorption. We hypothesize that, when controlling for differences in native soluble alkalies and ions found in biochar, (1) both biochar feedstock and pyrolysis temperature will influence NH$_4^+$ and NO$_3^−$ sorption, (2) increasing solution pH will increase NH$_4^+$ sorption and decrease NO$_3^−$ sorption due to ion competition (with H$^+$ and OH$^−$, respectively) and/or changes in surface charge, and (3) both NH$_4^+$ and NO$_3^−$ sorption to biochar occur through competitive electrostatic ion exchange mechanisms.

**Methods**

**Biochar production.** Red oak (Quercus rubra) and corn stover (Zea mays) feedstocks were slow-pyrolyzed at 400, 500 and 600 °C to produce a total of six biochars. Slow pyrolysis was conducted in a N$_2$-purged muffle furnace (Thermo-Scientific; Lindberg/Blue M Moldatherm box furnace). About 500 g of each air-dry feedstock (particle size < 2 mm) was placed into a steel box (24 cm × 14 cm × 15 cm) within the muffle furnace; an N$_2$ purge line was inserted through the furnace and into the box, and the box was purged for 30 min at 1500 mL min$^{-1}$. For the pyrolysis reaction, the purge rate was decreased to 40 mL min$^{-1}$, the furnace was initially heated at 10 °C min$^{-1}$ to a temperature 100 °C below the target temperature (300 °C, 400 °C or 500 °C), held for 2 h, and then heated to the final temperature (e.g., 400, 500 and 600 °C) at 0.5 °C min$^{-1}$. The highest treatment temperature was held for 2 h, then the furnace was allowed to cool overnight under N$_2$ purge (40 mL min$^{-1}$). Following cooling, all biochars were ground and sieved to <0.5 mm.

**Acid washing and elemental analysis.** All biochars were acid-washed to remove soluble salts and alkalies that could interfere with sorption analysis. Briefly, biochars were first acid washed by equilibrating with 0.05 M HCl for 24 h to dissolve and remove soluble salts and alkalies. Subsequently, the biochars were washed for 15 min each with 1 M CaCl$_2$ twice (to ensure homogeneous ion saturation), then four times with ultra-pure deionized water (18 MΩ) to remove excess salts. All washes were conducted in centrifuge tubes on a reciprocating shaker using a 50 mL:1 g ratio of solution:biochar, and vacuum filtration (0.45 μm nitrocellulose filter paper) to remove the aqueous phase between wash steps. After the final wash, biochars were dried at 65 °C for 72 h.

A preliminary test was conducted to confirm the efficacy of this acid washing procedure by analyzing the extracts of the 500 °C corn stover biochar conserved from each washing step (see ion displacement section below). The 500 °C corn stover biochar was chosen because it was produced at the median pyrolysis temperature
(for this study), and previous research showed that corn stover biochar usually has more soluble salts than wood biochar. Results showed that the initial HCl extract contained 14.2 mmol L⁻¹ base cations in total and 0.7 mmol L⁻¹ other elements (P, S, Fe, Al, Cu and Zn), whereas the extract of the fourth water wash contained 0.058 and 0.116 mmol L⁻¹ of Ca and Na, respectively, and <0.02 mmol L⁻¹ of all other analyzed elements (K, Mg, P, S, Fe, Al, Cu and Zn). The efficacy of this washing procedure is also supported by previous evidence.

Henceforth these biochars will be referred to using an abbreviation consisting of letters representing their feedstock (RO and CS for red oak and corn stover, respectively), followed by a number representing the first digit of their peak pyrolysis temperature (4, 5 and 6 for 400, 500 and 600 °C, respectively), and finally a “s” denoting “slow pyrolysis.” Total percent C, N, H and S of the acid-washed biochars were determined by combustion analysis (Vario Microcube, Elementar).

**Batch sorption experiment with varying solution pH.** To determine the effect of solution pH, biochar feedstock, and biochar pyrolysis temperature on NH₄⁺ and NO₃⁻ sorption to biochar, a batch sorption experiment was conducted. For each of the six acid-washed biochars, 0.1 g was weighed into 50 mL centrifuge tubes in duplicate with 25 mL of solution containing 10 ppm each of NH₄⁻-N and NO₃⁻-N, and a variable amount of Ca(OH)₂. To make each solution, 10–20 mL of water was pipetted into the tube, then 0–10 mL of standardized 1 mmol L⁻¹ Ca(OH)₂, and finally 5 mL of 3.75 mmol L⁻¹ NH₄NO₃ solution (50 ppm each of NH₄⁻-N and NO₃⁻-N). Adding the solutions in this order minimized the risk of NH₃ volatilization by allowing the acidic biochars to react with the Ca(OH)₂ prior to the addition of NH₄⁺. The samples were equilibrated on a recirculating shaker for 24 hr and allowed to settle for 20–40 min. The sample supernatants were analyzed for pH, then syringe filtered to <0.45 µm (nitrocellulose filter paper, VWR). The addition of Ca(OH)₂ resulted in sample supernatant pHs ranging from ~3.5 to 9. However, only samples with pH < 7.5 were used to avoid the potentially confounding effects of NH₃ volatilization. Filtrates were either analyzed for NH₄⁺ and NO₃⁻ the same day or frozen until analysis. To measure NH₄⁺ and NO₃⁻ concentrations, all filtrates were prepared with the Berthelot and Griess-Ilosvay reagents, respectively, and analyzed colorimetrically using a microplate reader. Preliminary results showed that absorbances of controls, water-only biochar extracts without NH₄NO₃ addition both with and without the reagents added, were comparable with distilled water. Each sorption curve (N sorbed vs. pH) was fit with linear, exponential, and power models in Excel; the models with the highest r² values were presented.

**Batch sorption with varying N concentrations.** A batch sorption experiment was conducted to construct NH₄⁺ and NO₃⁻ sorption isotherms for the corn stover biochars. Using results from the pH experiment described above optimum conditions were identified, including the sorption pHs for each analyte and the amount of Ca(OH)₂ (1 mmol L⁻¹ solution) required to bring each biochar to that pH. Each of the three corn stover biochars (0.1 g) was weighed into 50 mL centrifuge tubes. For each analyte, appropriate amounts of Ca(OH)₂ (7–8.1 mL for NH₄⁺; 0 mL for NO₃⁻) and water were added to each biochar sample, along with 1.25–10 mL of 3.75 mmol L⁻¹ NH₄NO₃ solution (21 samples for each biochar-analyte combination; 126 samples total). Samples were equilibrated, analyzed for pH, filtered, and then analyzed for NH₄⁺ and NO₃⁻ as described above. When a sample was found to have a final pH differing from the desired pH by more than 0.25 pH units, the sample was discarded and a replacement sample was prepared from the same biochar. The resulting isotherms were fit using both Freundlich and Langmuir models.

**Ion displacement.** To assess whether NH₄⁺ and NO₃⁻ sorption to biochars occurs through an electrostatic adsorption mechanism, filtrates from two selected biochars (previously acid-washed and CaCl₂-saturated, see above) were conserved from the sorption experiment described in the preceding paragraph, and analyzed for ions displaced from the biochar. For NH₄⁺, the corn stover biochar that sorbed the most NH₄⁺ was chosen, and filtrates were analyzed for Ca²⁺ by inductively-coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy (Thermo Scientific iCAP™ 7400 ICP-MS Analyzer). For NO₃⁻, the corn stover biochar that sorbed the most NO₃⁻ was chosen, and filtrates were analyzed for Cl⁻ colorimetrically (Seal Analytical Method #EPA-105-A Rev. 5; equivalent to US EPA method 325.2). These two biochars were chosen to ensure detection of ion displacement and maximize accuracy. Net ion displacement associated with NH₄⁺ and NO₃⁻ adsorption was estimated by subtracting the background ion desorption.

**Statistical analyses.** ANOVA and regression analyses were performed in SPSS. Regression analyses were first performed in Excel and then verified in SPSS. Specifically, regression analyses were used to assess the correlations between pH and sorption, displacement and sorption, and actual versus modeled sorption (using Freundlich and Langmuir models). A two-way ANOVA was used to assess the effects of biochar feedstock and pyrolysis temperature on sorption of NH₄⁺ and NO₃⁻ to the biochars.

**Results**

**Elemental composition of biochars.** Major elemental composition of the six acid-washed biochars varied with respect to both feedstock and pyrolysis temperature (Table 1). Consistent with the literature, red oak biochar had higher %C, and lower %N and %S compared to the corn stover biochar produced at the same temperature. Red oak biochar also had higher molar C:N ratios and lower H:C ratios compared with corn stover biochar. This agrees with previous observations of higher C content with increasing pyrolysis temperatures, which is accompanied by decreasing H and %S content. Because the analyzed biochar had been acid-washed, the %C represents total organic C of the biochar, and the mass not accounted for by %C, N, H or S would be composed of primarily SiO₂, Fe, Al, and organic O. This remaining percent mass was higher for corn stover than red oak biochar, and decreased with increasing pyrolysis temperatures. A decrease in O content with increasing pyrolysis temperature is consistent with increased aromatization, and higher Si in corn stover biochar is consistent with higher Si content of corn stover compared to wood.
Sorption of NH$_4^+$ and NO$_3^-$ to biochar from acidic to neutral solutions.

For both feedstocks, NH$_4^+$ sorption significantly increased with increasing solution pH and with decreasing pyrolysis temperature (Fig. 1). A linear model provided the best fit for explaining variation in NH$_4^+$ sorption with respect to pH ($R^2 = 0.80$–0.99) (due to acceptable fits [$r^2 \geq 0.7$] for all curves using both linear and exponential models, we defer discussion regarding the significance of best fit curve shapes to future studies). Without the addition of Ca(OH)$_2$ to increase the pH to $> 4$, NH$_4^+$ sorption was negligible for all biochars ($< 0.02$ mg g$^{-1}$). At pH 7, NH$_4^+$ sorption decreased with increasing pyrolysis temperature for both feedstocks: the 400, 500 and 600 °C biochars sorbed 0.74–0.84, 0.25–0.42, and 0.14–0.23 mg NH$_4^+$-N g$^{-1}$, respectively. Within each feedstock, biochars produced at different pyrolysis temperatures had different C:N and H:C ratios (molar) (±s.d., n = 3).

Table 1. Total C, N, H and S concentrations (weight %) of the six acid-washed biochars, and their C:N and H:C ratios (molar) (±s.d., n = 3).

| Biochar | %C | ± | %N | ± | %H | ± | %S | ± | C:N | H:C |
|---------|----|---|----|---|----|---|----|---|------|-----|
| RO4s    | 79.1 ± 1.1 | 0.22 ± 0.02 | 4.07 ± 0.03 | 0.08 ± 0.05 | 431 ± 33 | 0.617 ± 0.005 |
| RO5s    | 86.1 ± 0.8 | 0.25 ± 0.01 | 3.26 ± 0.03 | 0.02 ± 0.01 | 409 ± 22 | 0.454 ± 0.004 |
| RO6s    | 91.6 ± 0.5 | 0.3 ± 0.05 | 2.49 ± 0.03 | 0.01 ± 0.01 | 370 ± 68 | 0.326 ± 0.003 |
| CS4s    | 73.2 ± 0.5 | 1.2 ± 0.01 | 4.14 ± 0.04 | 0.1 ± 0.06 | 71 ± 1 | 0.678 ± 0.003 |
| CS5s    | 78.7 ± 0.2 | 1.15 ± 0.01 | 3.13 ± 0.03 | 0.06 ± 0.01 | 78 ± 3 | 0.476 ± 0.003 |
| CS6s    | 82.2 ± 0.7 | 1.06 ± 0.05 | 2.31 ± 0.03 | 0.05 ± 0.01 | 90 ± 4 | 0.338 ± 0.003 |

Figure 1. Sorption of NH$_4^+$ to acid-washed (a) red oak and (b) corn stover biochars from 10 mg N L$^{-1}$ solutions adjusted to various pHs using Ca(OH)$_2$.  

Sorption of NH$_4^+$ and NO$_3^-$ to biochar from acidic to neutral solutions.
temperatures had significantly different NH$_4^+$ sorption rates ($p < 0.01$) – except CS4s and CS5s, which did not have significantly different sorption rates ($p > 0.05$). The effect of feedstock on NH$_4^+$ sorption at pH 7 was not consistent; rather, red oak biochar showed a wider range of sorption (0.14 to 0.84 mg NH$_4^+$-N g$^{-1}$) compared with corn stover biochar (0.23 to 0.74 mg NH$_4^+$-N g$^{-1}$) as biochar pyrolysis temperature increased from 400–600°C (RO6s < CS6s < RO5s ≤ CS5s < CS4s < RO4s). This effect of feedstock was significant among biochars produced at 400°C and 500°C ($p < 0.05$), but not at 600°C ($p > 0.05$). Thus, controlling for pH, both effects of pyrolysis temperature (0.17–0.42 mg NO$_3^-$-N g$^{-1}$ difference per 100°C change in pyrolysis temperature) and feedstock (0.004–0.45 mg NO$_3^-$-N g$^{-1}$ difference at a given temperature) were observed.

Opposite from NH$_4^+$, NO$_3^-$ sorption increased with decreasing solution pH and increasing pyrolysis temperature (Fig. 2). Variation in NO$_3^-$ sorption with respect to pH was best fit with exponential models for 500–600°C biochars ($r^2 = 0.93–0.99$) and linear models for 400°C biochars ($r^2 = 0.81–0.83$). Sorption of NO$_3^-$ was highest without addition of Ca(OH)$_2$; for all but one biochar (RO6s), sorption declined to <0.05 mg NO$_3^-$-N g$^{-1}$ when sufficient Ca(OH)$_2$ was added to achieve a pH of 7–7.5. The main effect of temperature and the feedstock × temperature interaction were significant ($p < 0.05$), but the main effect of feedstock was not significant ($p > 0.05$). At pH 3.7, NO$_3^-$ sorption statistically increased with increasing pyrolysis temperature for both feedstocks, with the 400, 500 and 600°C biochar removing 0.15–0.20, 0.49–0.85, and 1.35–1.49 mg NO$_3^-$-N g$^{-1}$, respectively. For all pyrolysis temperatures, NO$_3^-$ sorption to corn stover biochar declined more rapidly with increasing pH than NO$_3^-$ sorption to red oak biochar. Red oak biochar was equal to or superior to corn stover biochar for NO$_3^-$ sorption, and sorption increased in the order CS4s ≤ RO4s < RO5s < CS5s < RO6s ≤ CS6s. The effect of feedstock on NO$_3^-$ sorption was significant for biochars produced at 400°C and 600°C ($p < 0.01$), but not for biochars produced at 500°C ($p > 0.05$). Thus, controlling for pH, both effects of temperature (0.6–1 mg NO$_3^-$-N g$^{-1}$ difference per 100°C change in pyrolysis temperature) and feedstock (0.05–0.36 mg NO$_3^-$-N g$^{-1}$ difference at a given temperature) were observed.

Figure 2. Sorption of NO$_3^-$ to acid-washed (a) red oak and (b) corn stover biochars from 10 mg N L$^{-1}$ solutions adjusted to various pHs using Ca(OH)$_2$. 
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**Figure 2.** Sorption of NO$_3^-$ to acid-washed (a) red oak and (b) corn stover biochars from 10 mg N L$^{-1}$ solutions adjusted to various pHs using Ca(OH)$_2$. 

---
Thus, NH$_4^+$ and NO$_3^-$ sorption exhibits opposite trends with respect to biochar pyrolysis temperature and pH: with increasing pyrolysis temperature and decreasing pH, NH$_4^+$ sorption decreased whereas NO$_3^-$ sorption increased. The main effect of feedstock itself was not significant (p > 0.05). However, feedstock × temperature interactions are evident for sorption of both N-species at select pHs; for example, NO$_3^-$ sorption was slightly higher for red oak biochar than corn stover biochar at 600 °C and slightly lower at 500 °C (no Ca(OH)$_2$ added) (p < 0.05). The effect of feedstock was largest for 500 °C biochars (RO5s and CS5s), which had NH$_4^+$ and NO$_3^-$ sorption differing by 0.21 and 0.36 mg N g$^{-1}$, respectively. Therefore, NH$_4^+$ and NO$_3^-$ sorption appeared to be primarily a function of solution pH and biochar pyrolysis temperature, with a small but significant feedstock × temperature interaction effect (p < 0.05).

**Figure 3.** Sorption isotherms of (a) NH$_4^+$ (pH 7) and (b) NO$_3^-$ (pH 3.7) for acid-washed corn stover biochars, fit with the Langmuir model (C = final solution concentration; Q = final sorbed concentration). Error bars indicate ± s.d. (n = 3).

Sorption isotherms for corn stover biochars. Sorption of NH$_4^+$ and NO$_3^-$ to corn stover biochar increased from 2.5–20 mg N L$^{-1}$ with increasing equilibrating solution concentration (Fig. 3) and were better fit using Langmuir isotherm models ($r^2 = 0.89$ to 0.99) compared with Freundlich isotherm models ($r^2 = 0.78$ to 0.95) (Tables S1 and S2). Consistent with the pH curves, as pyrolysis temperature increased, NH$_4^+$ sorption (at pH ~7) decreased and NO$_3^-$ sorption (at pH ~3.7) increased. For biochars with lower sorption capacities, often <20% of the initial N was sorbed; therefore, we interpret curves showing low sorption efficiency with caution (NH$_4^+$ sorption to CS5s and CS6s, and NO$_3^-$ sorption to CS4s) and emphasize sorption curves with higher efficiencies in our interpretation of sorption mechanisms. Each Langmuir isotherm yielded equal or greater $r^2$ values than respective Freundlich isotherms generated for the same biochar, including those with the highest sorption rates (CS4s for NH$_4^+$ and CS6s for NO$_3^-$) ($r^2 = 0.96$ to 0.99 for Langmuir; $r^2 = 0.90$ to 0.94 Freundlich). Sorption capacities (S$_{max}$) and binding energies (K) for NH$_4^+$ did not show a consistent trend with pyrolysis temperature (although both S$_{max}$ and K were higher for CS4s than CS6s); however, for NO$_3^-$, K increased consistently with pyrolysis temperature. Thus, the sorption isotherm results were consistent with an effect of biochar pyrolysis temperature as well as an ion exchange sorption mechanism for both sorbates.
Ion displacement from corn stover biochar. Quantities of Ca$^{2+}$ and Cl$^{-}$ displaced from CS4s and CS6s biochars were positively correlated ($p < 0.001$) with quantities of NH$_4^+$ and NO$_3^-$ sorbed, respectively (Fig. 4) (see Fig. 3 for corresponding sorption isotherms). The ratio of and Ca$^{2+}$ displaced to NH$_4^+$ sorbed (meq g$^{-1}$: meq g$^{-1}$) was 0.71 ($r^2 = 0.93$) (Fig. 4a), and the ratio of Cl$^{-}$, displaced to NO$_3^-$ sorbed was 0.87 ($r^2 = 0.98$) (Fig. 4b). Thus, there was a linear increase in counter-ion desorption for both N species, but the relationship between sorption and displacement was not stoichiometric (1:1). This observed displacement of counter-ions supports ion exchange as the dominant mechanism for both NH$_4^+$ and NO$_3^-$, but the displacement ratio of less than 1:1 implicates a potentially more complex (multi-mechanism) sorption reaction.

Discussion

Previous studies investigating NH$_4^+$ and NO$_3^-$ sorption on biochars have yielded conflicting results, which may have arisen due to the confounding influences of native alkalis and soluble salts in biochars. In order to disentangle the effects of biochar production parameters and solution pH on NH$_4^+$ and NO$_3^-$ sorption and to elucidate sorption mechanisms, we analyzed NH$_4^+$ and NO$_3^-$ sorption to six acid-washed and CaCl$_2$-saturated biochars at acidic to neutral solution pHs, and quantified counter ion displacement during NH$_4^+$ and NO$_3^-$ sorption. At the time of writing, this is the first NH$_4^+$ and NO$_3^-$ sorption study to control for both solution pH and competitive ions. Results confirmed our hypotheses that biochar feedstock and pyrolysis temperature as well as solution pH influence NH$_4^+$ and NO$_3^-$ sorption, and sorption occurs primarily through ion exchange. These hypotheses were supported by: (1) decreasing NH$_4^+$ and increasing NO$_3^-$ sorption with increasing biochar pyrolysis temperature, (2) differences in sorption between red oak and corn stover biochars at most pyrolysis temperatures.
(3) increasing \( \text{NH}_4^+ \) and decreasing \( \text{NO}_3^- \) sorption with increasing solution pH, (4) good fit of the Langmuir isotherm, and (5) strong positive correlations between \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) and \( \text{Cl}^- \) displacement and \( \text{NH}_4^+ \) and \( \text{NO}_3^- \) sorption, respectively.

In general, there are 4 major classes of mechanisms for describing ion sorption onto biochars: surface precipitation (salt precipitation), adsorbed chemically (chemical reaction with surface functional groups), entrapped in the solution present in interior pores (physical absorption), or adsorbed electrostatically (cation or anion exchange to charged functional groups on biochar surfaces)\(^{41,42} \). Greater sorption capacity for \( \text{NH}_4^+ \) by lower temperature biochars has been attributed to higher cation exchange capacities (CEC) – arising from greater concentrations of carboxylate groups, which provide negatively charged surface adsorption sites\(^{23-25} \). Despite inconsistent controls on pH and competing cations in the literature, the increasing \( \text{NH}_4^+ \) sorption with increasing pH observed here is consistent with previous studies\(^{21-23} \). Recall that, under acidic conditions, ammoniacal-N exists mainly as \( \text{NH}_4^+ \); however, at pH significantly greater than 7 the non-ionic form (\( \text{NH}_3 \)) becomes the dominant species\(^{41} \). In addition, at lower pH values the ionic form \( \text{NH}_4^+ \) would compete with the increasing \( \text{H}^+ \) ion concentration for cation exchange sites. This does pose serious hurdles in interpreting the pH dependency, since the mode of sorption can fundamentally change. In our experiment, the pH was limited to solely the acidic to neutral region where the mechanism was assumed to involve solely the ionic \( \text{NH}_4^+ \) species. The solution pH controls important factors of surface charge of the sorbent, degree of ionization/speciation of the sorbate\(^{44} \). For example, carboxylic acid functional groups (pK\(_a\) < 6.4) and lactones and lactals (6.4 < pK\(_a\) < 10.3) on biochar surfaces would become deprotonated as pH increases, increasing the concentration of negatively charged cation exchange sites\(^{40,33} \). Furthermore, functional group concentrations with pK\(_a\) < 6.4 for acid-washed biochars produced using the same pyrolyzer and at similar temperatures to those analyzed here – likely to make the largest contribution to sorption herein – were previously shown to be higher for biochars produced at lower temperatures\(^{30,33} \). Indeed, this finding is in agreement with the aforementioned studies reporting increasing carboxylic acid concentrations and/or CEC’s with decreasing pyrolysis temperature\(^{23-29,46-49} \). A cation exchange mechanism is further supported by the displacement of \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) in proportion with \( \text{NH}_4^+ \) sorption (Fig. 4a). In this case, \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) was displaced by \( \text{NH}_4^+ \) sorption at a rate of 0.71:1; this rate of < 1:1 may arise from a secondary, less-dominant sorption mechanism co-occurring with cation exchange (it should be noted that \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) and \( \text{NH}_4^+ \) were the only cations analyzed, and hence trace quantities of cations left over from acid washing may have competed for a small percentage of sorption sites). The comparable fits of the Langmuir and Freundlich isotherms to \( \text{NH}_4^+ \) sorption curves for corn stover biochars further supports the possibility of multiple mechanisms. The slightly better fit of the Langmuir isotherms may be the product of a dominant electrostatic adsorption mechanism alongside another mechanism (Fig. 3a). Thus, the results of this study confirm that adsorption of \( \text{NH}_4^+ \) to biochar occurs primarily through cation exchange on negatively charged biochar functional groups, and is consequently maximized with lower pyrolysis temperatures, lower cation competition, and higher solution pH.

The \( \text{NO}_3^- \) sorption and concurrent \( \text{Cl}^- \) displacement data measured here confirm that \( \text{NO}_3^- \) sorption increases with increasing biochar pyrolysis temperature and decreasing pH, likely reflecting an anion exchange sorption mechanism. Nitrate sorption measured at pH 3.7 ± 0.2 for corn stover biochar pyrolyzed at 500°C (0.85 mg N g\(^{-1}\)) falls within the range reported by Gai et al.\(^{26} \) and Chintala et al.\(^{1} \) (0.45–2.5 mg N g\(^{-1}\)) despite inconsistent controls on pH and competing ions in previous studies. The pH curves, Langmuir isotherms and \( \text{Cl}^- \) displacement data reported here together suggest that surface moieties sorb \( \text{NO}_3^- \) through an anion exchange mechanism. Increased anion exchange capacity (AEC) of biochar with increasing pyrolysis temperature and decreasing pH has been reported by Lawrinenko et al.\(^{50} \). Lawrinenko et al. hypothesized that biochar AEC resulted from oxonium and pyridinium groups. Oxonium groups provide pH-independent anion exchange sites; however, at high pHs OH\(^-\) groups would compete with \( \text{NO}_3^- \) for binding sites. Furthermore, non-bridging oxonium groups are subject to nucleophilic attack by OH\(^-\), thereby decreasing AEC and \( \text{NO}_3^- \) sorption. Competition with other anions (\( \text{PO}_4^{3-} \) and \( \text{SO}_4^{2-} \)) reported elsewhere\(^{4} \) is in agreement with this anion exchange mechanism. Here \( \text{Cl}^- \) was displaced by \( \text{NO}_3^- \) sorption at a rate of 0.87:1, perhaps reflecting a secondary mechanism occurring alongside anion exchange. It should be noted that only \( \text{Cl}^- \) and \( \text{NO}_3^- \) were analyzed, and hence trace amounts of other anions remaining after acid-washing may have competed for sorption sites. Given the saturation with \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \), some sorption via cation bridging may have occurred as well. Alternatively, small quantities of \( \text{NO}_3^- \) could have become entrapped in or precipitated in micro- or nanopores\(^{15,41} \). Nevertheless, the results are consistent with adsorption of \( \text{NO}_3^- \) to biochar through an anion exchange mechanism, and indicate that \( \text{NO}_3^- \) sorption is consequently maximized with higher pyrolysis temperatures, reduced anion competition, and lower solution pH.

The contrasting responses of \( \text{NH}_4^+ \) and \( \text{NO}_3^- \) sorption to pyrolysis temperature and solution pH have implications for the use of biochar for N pollution mitigation. A trade-off between \( \text{NH}_4^+ \) and \( \text{NO}_3^- \) sorption capacity is apparent. In other words, a single biochar cannot be simultaneously optimized for sorption of both N species. Furthermore, due to the pH sensitivity of N sorption, \( \text{NH}_4^+ \) and \( \text{NO}_3^- \) sorption cannot be simultaneously optimized at any given pH. These trade-offs present a challenge, but also an opportunity to tailor biochars to specific applications. For example, low temperature biochars might be produced for enhancing \( \text{NH}_4^+ \) sorption, while high temperature biochars might be produced for enhancing \( \text{NO}_3^- \) sorption, and their performance would be optimal under slightly acidic to neutral conditions (pH 6–7.5). Use in more alkaline systems (pH > 7.5) may be effective, but the alkaline environment promotes ammonia volatilization and consequential loss of sorbed \( \text{NH}_4^+ \). By contrast, a high temperature biochar would be desirable for retention of \( \text{NO}_3^- \), and its performance would be optimized in acidic environments (pH < 6). In this study, feedback effects were relatively small in comparison to pyrolysis temperature and pH effects, but analysis of biochars produced from a more diverse suite of feedstocks – including manures and nut shells, for example – may yet reveal significant feedback trade-offs. Moreover, there is evidence that competitive ions in solution will influence overall sorption capacity, as observed in the low affinity of the sorbate (nitrate or ammonium; Freundlich n < 1) and in the displacement of counter-ions (Fig. 4, Tables S1 and S2). Consequently, biochar pyrolysis temperature, biochar alkalinity, soluble
ash composition, and soil pH may explain a large proportion of variability in the literature examining biochar impacts on the N cycle and crop production.\textsuperscript{4,10-13}

The sensitivity of biochar NH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+} and NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{−} sorption to pH and competitive ions necessitates a closer examination of their roles in studies examining biochar impacts on N cycling. In N sorption studies, meaningful direct comparisons cannot be made among biochars with varying pH and ash contents. Both alkaline and salts will influence N sorption in the short-term, and their influence will likely diminish over time in applications where these soluble biochar components are leached by water, rendering short-term sorption studies with untreated biochars misrepresentative of actual field conditions. Therefore, we strongly recommend accounting for both soluble alkaline and salts when comparing ion sorption capacities of biochars, as appropriate to the hypothesis of interest. Acid-washing of biochars provides a valuable approach to generating more meaningful comparisons of behaviors and related biochar properties among diverse biochars in basic research experiments. Without such pre-treatments, biochar alkaline and soluble salt concentrations – both shown to increase with increasing pyrolysis temperature\textsuperscript{6,12} – may produce systematic underestimates in measured sorptive capacities, and furthermore diminish the apparent effect of biochar pyrolysis temperature. On the other hand, the efficacy of biochars in complex chemical environments as encountered in soils, storm water, and natural effluents will undoubtedly depend on how sorbates of interest compete with other ions in solution at various pHs. Overall, more applied research is needed to demonstrate effective use of biochars for diverse real-world purposes, and reactive biochar components – especially soluble salts and alkalines – must be considered at all stages of biochar research and development.

To conclude, this study demonstrates that NH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+} and NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{−} sorption vary as opposite functions of biochar pyrolysis temperature and solution pH, and are also influenced by biochar feedstock and competing ion concentration. Results were consistent with a predominant ion exchange mechanism of sorption, indicating that solution pH and ion co-adsorption must be controlled to enable accurate comparisons across different studies. Although these findings present challenges to experimental design, data interpretation, and the practical use of biochar as a whole, they also represent an opportunity to engineer specialized biochars and optimize their use for diverse applications.
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