TRACING THE ORIGIN OF THE AQUARIUS STREAM. I
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ABSTRACT

We present an abundance analysis of six member stars of the recently discovered Aquarius stream, in an attempt to ascertain whether this halo stream is real and, if so, to understand its origin. The mean metallicities of the six stars have a dispersion of only 0.10 dex, indicating that they are part of a chemically coherent structure. We then investigate whether the stream represents the debris of a disrupted dwarf galaxy or a disrupted globular cluster. The [Ni/Fe]–[Na/Fe] plane provides a good diagnostic: globular cluster stars and dwarf spheroidal galaxy stars are well separated in this plane, and the Aquarius stream stars lie unambiguously in the globular cluster region. The Aquarius stream stars also lie on the distinct [Na/Fe]–[O/Fe] and [Mg/Fe]–[Al/Fe] relations delineated by Galactic globular cluster stars. Spectroscopic parameters for the six Aquarius stars show that they are tightly confined to a 12 Gyr, [Fe/H] = −1.0, α-enhanced isochrone, consistent with their identification as globular cluster debris. We present evidence that the Aquarius stream may continue through the disk and out into the northern halo. Our results indicate a globular cluster origin for the Aquarius stream and demonstrate the potential for chemical tagging to identify the origins of Galactic substructures.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The idea of hierarchical galaxy formation in which galaxies are believed to form from the aggregation of smaller elements was described by White & Rees (1978). In a related study from an observational viewpoint, Searle & Zinn (1978) proposed that the halo of our Galaxy may have formed from the accretion of many small fragments. Relics of these assembly processes may be recognizable as spatial, kinematic, and chemical substructures among the Galactic stars. The identification of debris from these ancient disrupted building blocks is an important challenge for near-field cosmology, but is made more complex by the likely presence of debris from other old disrupted aggregates like globular clusters (see, for example, Gnedin & Ostriker 1997; Fall & Zhang 2001; Odenkirchen et al. 2009).

Recently, Williams et al. (2011) identified 15 members of the newly discovered stellar Aquarius stream in data from the 2011 internal release of the RAdial Velocity Experiment (RAVE; see Siebert et al. 2011 for the 3rd public data release). All 15 members have galactic longitudes and latitudes at 30° < l < 75° and −70° < b < −50°, distances of up to about 10 kpc, and heliocentric line-of-sight velocities of around −200 km s−1. The Aquarius stream appears to be a structure in the Galactic halo. From the RAVE stellar parameters, the metallicity distribution function and isochrone fits for the Aquarius stream stars are consistent with a 10 Gyr old population with metallicity [M/H] ∼ −1.0. In this paper, we present the first results of a more detailed element abundance study of Aquarius stream stars. Our goal is to determine whether the stream is real, and if so, to ascertain whether the Aquarius stream stars are the debris of a disrupted dwarf galaxy or a globular cluster. Either outcome is interesting. Globular cluster debris can give us insight into the cluster disruption processes and can serve as useful dynamical tracers for a variety of Galactic dynamics problems, even if the parent cluster has been completely disrupted.

2. DATA AND ANALYSIS

The sample of six Aquarius stream stars was taken from Williams et al. (2011). Spectra were acquired with the echelle spectrograph on the 2.3 m telescope at Siding Spring Observatory over the period 2010 July to 2011 August. The spectrograph provides complete wavelength coverage from 4120 to 6920 Å with a resolution of about 25,000. Our spectra have an average signal to noise per resolution element of about 30. Bias frames, quartz lamp exposures for flat fielding, and Th–Ar exposures for wavelength calibration were all included. Bright radial velocity standards and hot rapidly rotating stars for telluric line removal were also observed each night with much higher signal to noise. The data were reduced using standard IRAF routines in the packages imred, echelle and ecdred with no deviation from the normal reduction procedures.

Radial velocities for the whole Williams sample of Aquarius stars were independently measured with the Asiago echelle spectrograph, and confirm that the mean velocity of the Williams sample is correct to about 1 km s−1, and the random errors are about 2.0 km s−1.

2.1. Abundance Analysis

Spectroscopic atmospheric parameters were derived for each star using a χ2 fitting program that compares observed spectra to a model grid of synthetic spectra from Munari et al. (2005). The program takes several regions of the spectra and matches them against ~7000 synthetic spectra covering the range T eff = 4000–6000 K, log g = 0.0–5.0, and [M/H] = −2.5 to +0.5 with [α/Fe] = 0.0. The results are shown in Table 1. The final atmospheric parameters were obtained by averaging over the values from the different regions, and the ± value quoted in Table 1 is the standard deviation over the values from the different regions. The regions used were 5575–5725 Å, identified as being sensitive to effective
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Table 1

Stellar Parameters for the Six Aquarius Stars, as Derived by RAVE (\(\chi\)) and This Analysis

| ID                  | \(J^a\)  | \(K^a\)  | \(V_{\text{hel}}^b\) (km s\(^{-1}\)) | \(T_{\text{eff}}^b\) (K) | log \(g^b\) | \([\text{M/H}]^b\) | \(T_{\text{eff}}\) (K) | log \(g\) | \([\text{M/H}]\) | \(\xi\) (km s\(^{-1}\)) |
|---------------------|---------|---------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------|----------------|--------------------------|------------|----------------|--------------------------|
| C2225316-145437     | 10.34   | 9.57    | -155.7                              | 4014                     | 1.01       | -1.29          | 4235 ± 118                | 1.45 ± 0.21 | -1.20 ± 0.14 | 1.96 ± 0.11               |
| C2309161-120812     | 10.68   | 9.97    | -224.1                              | 5219                     | 2.94       | -0.66          | 5076 ± 127                | 3.55 ± 0.35 | -1.10 ± 0.14 | 1.35 ± 0.23               |
| J221821.2-183424    | 10.34   | 9.68    | -154.1                              | 4572                     | 1.06       | -1.54          | 4395 ± 205                | 1.45 ± 0.35 | -1.15 ± 0.21 | 1.96 ± 0.18               |
| J23504.3-152834     | 10.36   | 9.65    | -166.9                              | 4795                     | 3.05       | -0.33          | 4597 ± 158                | 2.40 ± 0.14 | -0.98 ± 0.17 | 1.47 ± 0.07               |
| J238114.4-104126    | 10.42   | 9.90    | -230.1                              | 5502                     | 4.16       | -0.78          | 5646 ± 147                | 4.60 ± 0.15 | -1.20 ± 0.20 | 1.09 ± 0.11               |
| J232619.4-080808    | 10.51   | 9.76    | -218.7                              | 4225                     | 1.14       | -1.22          | 4385 ± 61                 | 1.70 ± 0.14 | -1.25 ± 0.21 | 1.80 ± 0.07               |

Notes.

a From the 2MASS catalog.
b From Williams et al. (2011).

Temperature, and 4950–5200 Å, identified as being sensitive to both surface gravity and metallicity. An initial \([\alpha/\text{Fe}] = 0.0\) was assumed as it was not intended to unnecessarily bias the results in any way prior to the abundance analysis. Before the abundance analysis was undertaken there was no reason to believe that the \([\alpha/\text{Fe}]\) value for these stars would be any different from scaled solar. Microturbulence values, \(\xi\), were obtained using established relationships from Reddy et al. (2003) for dwarf stars (Equation (1)) and Kirby et al. (2008) for giant stars (Equation (2)). These values and uncertainties are also included in Table 1. The uncertainty shown in \(\xi\) is calculated using the associated uncertainties on \(T_{\text{eff}}\) and log \(g\) as well as the corresponding term’s constant from the equation and then adding these in quadrature. For the subgiant, C2309161-120812, the microturbulence was found by averaging the results given by both Equations (1) and (2):

\[
\xi = (1.28 + 3.3 \times 10^{-4} \times (T_{\text{eff}} - 6000) - 0.64 \\
\times (\log g - 4.5)) \text{ km s}^{-1}
\]

Equation (1)

The average absolute difference between RAVE parameters and those obtained in this study were \(\Delta T_{\text{eff}} = 159\) K, \(\Delta \log g = 0.48\), and \(\Delta [\text{M/H}] = 0.34\) dex. Our mean errors are 136 K in \(T_{\text{eff}}\), 0.22 in \(\log g\), 0.18 dex in [M/H], and 0.18 km s\(^{-1}\) in \(\xi\). The effect of these uncertainties on derived abundances is discussed further in Section 2.1.1.

Abundances for individual elements were obtained via the method of spectrum synthesis using the MOOG code (Sneden 1973) and Anders & Grevesse (1989) solar abundances. The analysis for these stars included atomic line lists from Kurucz\(^4\) with refined oscillator strengths for all lines not involving hyperfine splitting via a reverse solar analysis using the Kitt Peak solar spectrum.\(^5\) This reverse solar analysis was done with a solar model of \(T_{\text{eff}} = 5770\) K and log \(g = 4.44\). The line list used for abundances can be found in Table 2. For two hotter stars in which weaker Na lines could not be measured accurately enough (C2309161-120812 and J223811.4-104126), the NaD lines were used to obtain the sodium abundance. Likewise, for the single star in which weaker Mg lines could not be measured (J223811.4-104126), the MgB lines were used to obtain the magnesium abundance. In such hotter metal-poor stars, these lines do not saturate and provide a reliable estimate of these abundances although with greater errors due to broadening.

The spectrum synthesis program MOOG has an option to deal with strong lines, such as NaD and MgB, separately during the analysis. Hyperfine splitting components were taken into account for the lines of Sc, V, and Co.

2.1.1. Abundance Uncertainties

Generally, there are three main sources of uncertainty when measuring abundances: uncertainty due to atmospheric parameters, uncertainty in the measurement of individual lines, and the standard deviation in abundances from many lines of the same species.

Perhaps the major source of uncertainty in abundance comes from the uncertainty in the adopted atmospheric parameters for the stellar models. Table 3 shows the sensitivity of the log \(\epsilon\) abundance values for representative errors in atmospheric parameters for these stars: \(\pm 150\) K in effective temperature, \(\pm 0.25\) dex in gravity, and \(\pm 0.2\) km s\(^{-1}\) in microturbulence (taken from Table 1). These errors were measured by repeating the abundance determination for all lines used (listed in Table 2) with models of varying parameters. When added in quadrature, the uncertainty due to associated errors in atmospheric parameters can be as much as \(\pm 0.28\) dex, although over the species and individual lines used in this analysis averages at \(\pm 0.16\) dex. Every effort was made to minimize the effect of microturbulence by using weaker lines that are not as sensitive to errors in \(\xi\). In some cases the combined uncertainty of X and Fe in the value [X/Fe] cancels each other out, e.g., Mg/Fe]. However, in cases such as [O/Fe] these errors do not cancel.

In addition to the uncertainty due to atmospheric parameters, the one-dimensional, local thermal equilibrium (LTE) assumption currently made in atmospheric models also introduces an additional error source (see Asplund 2005 for a review of one-dimensional, non-LTE effects). While the absolute value of these errors is currently unknown for most elements, large efforts are being put into both understanding and calculating these errors, as well as the creation of three-dimensional, non-LTE atmospheric model grids for stars that will enhance the inclusion of non-LTE errors into abundance calculations (Collet et al. 2011).

The spread in derived abundances from multiple lines of the same species is a further, but usually smaller, source of error. This can be due to incorrect log \(gf\) values, although in this study a reverse analysis using the Sun was done for all elements to reduce this problem (see earlier in this section for more details).

In Table 4, \(\sigma\) represents the standard deviation of abundances derived from multiple lines and in this study is always less than 0.10 dex except in the case of [Ni/Fe].

Where abundances are obtained from only one line (as for O, Na, Si, and Ba and occasionally Al and V) a more subjective

\(^4\) http://kurucz.harvard.edu

\(^5\) http://bass2000.obspm.fr/solar_spect.php
Table 2
Line List Used for Abundance Analysis

| Species | Wavelength | Ex Pot | log g' |
|---------|------------|--------|--------|
| O1      | 6300.30    | 0.00   | −9.82  |
| Na i    | 5889.95    | 0.00   | 0.12   |
| Na i    | 5895.92    | 0.00   | −0.18  |
| Na i    | 6154.23    | 2.10   | −1.56  |
| Na i    | 6160.75    | 2.10   | −1.26  |
| Mg i    | 5172.68    | 2.71   | −0.40  |
| Mg i    | 5183.60    | 2.72   | −0.18  |
| Mg i    | 5711.09    | 4.34   | −1.83  |
| Mg i    | 6319.72    | 5.10   | −1.73  |
| Ca i    | 6122.22    | 1.88   | −0.41  |
| Ca i    | 6162.17    | 1.897  | 0.10   |
| Ca i    | 6439.08    | 2.624  | 0.47   |
| Ca i    | 6449.81    | 2.519  | −0.55  |
| Ca i    | 6455.60    | 2.521  | −1.35  |
| Ca i    | 6471.66    | 2.524  | −0.59  |
| Ca i    | 6493.78    | 2.519  | 0.14   |
| Sc ii   | 6541.001 a | 1.499  |
| Sc ii   | 6567.90 a  | 1.506  |
| Sc ii   | 6749.76 a  | 1.499  |
| Sc ii   | 6604.60 a  | 1.356  |
| Ti i    | 6126.22    | 1.066  | −1.43  |
| Ti i    | 6258.10    | 1.442  | −0.35  |
| Ti i    | 6258.71    | 1.459  | −0.24  |
| Ti i    | 6261.10    | 1.429  | −0.48  |
| V i     | 6251.80 a  | 0.286  |
| V i     | 6268.80 a  | 0.286  |
| V i     | 6274.20 a  | 0.286  |
| Cr i    | 5788.39    | 1.311  | −1.49  |
| Cr i    | 6330.09    | 0.941  | −2.92  |
| Fe i    | 6056.00    | 4.730  | −0.46  |
| Fe i    | 6065.48    | 2.607  | −1.53  |
| Fe i    | 6078.49    | 4.792  | −0.48  |
| Fe i    | 6079.01    | 4.648  | −1.12  |
| Fe i    | 6136.62    | 2.452  | −1.40  |
| Fe i    | 6136.99    | 2.196  | −2.95  |
| Fe i    | 6173.33    | 2.221  | −2.88  |
| Fe i    | 6344.15    | 2.431  | −2.92  |
| Fe i    | 6358.70    | 0.858  | −4.47  |
| Fe i    | 6392.54    | 2.377  | −4.03  |
| Fe i    | 6393.60    | 2.341  | −1.62  |
| Fe i    | 6408.02    | 3.684  | −0.97  |
| Fe i    | 6411.65    | 3.651  | −0.82  |
| Fe i    | 6420.06    | 4.577  | −2.73  |
| Fe i    | 6421.35    | 2.277  | −2.03  |
| Fe i    | 6430.85    | 2.174  | −2.01  |
| Fe ii   | 6419.26    | 3.886  | −2.72  |
| Fe ii   | 6416.92    | 3.889  | −2.74  |
| Fe ii   | 6432.68    | 2.889  | −3.71  |
| Co i    | 6282.60 a  | 1.739  |
| Co i    | 6450.10 a  | 2.135  |
| Co i    | 6454.80 a  | 3.629  |
| Ni i    | 6314.65    | 1.934  | −1.77  |
| Ni i    | 6482.80    | 1.934  | −2.63  |
| Ni i    | 6643.65    | 1.675  | −2.30  |
| Ba ii   | 6496.90    | 0.604  | −0.407 |

Note. a Hyperfine splitting included.

Table 3
Sensitivity of log ε Abundance Value to Atmospheric Parameters

| Species | ΔT eff | Δ log g | Δ ε | Total added in quadrature |
|---------|--------|--------|-----|--------------------------|
| Fe      | 0.13   | 0.03   | −0.10 | 0.17                     |
| O       | 0.03   | 0.11   | 0.00  | 0.11                     |
| Na      | 0.14   | 0.00   | −0.06 | 0.15                     |
| Mg      | 0.09   | 0.00   | −0.03 | 0.09                     |
| Al      | 0.11   | −0.02  | −0.01 | 0.11                     |
| Si      | −0.03  | 0.08   | −0.05 | 0.10                     |
| Ca      | 0.17   | −0.01  | −0.08 | 0.19                     |
| Sc      | −0.07  | 0.13   | −0.04 | 0.15                     |
| Ti      | 0.25   | −0.02  | −0.02 | 0.25                     |
| V       | 0.28   | −0.02  | −0.01 | 0.28                     |
| Cr      | 0.21   | −0.01  | −0.01 | 0.21                     |
| Co      | 0.14   | 0.04   | −0.06 | 0.15                     |
| Ni      | 0.14   | 0.06   | −0.07 | 0.17                     |
| Ba      | 0.05   | 0.02   | −0.08 | 0.10                     |

error estimate needs to be made, and the quoted σ-value is the estimated uncertainty from a single-line measurement. Although every line was analyzed by hand and the associated abundance carefully determined to reduce this uncertainty, the resulting uncertainty can vary depending on line strength, blending and crowding in the region, and the overall signal to noise and quality of the data, which was not high in this study. Consequently, this error contribution is estimated to be about 0.05−0.15 dex.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This work confirms the existence of Aquarius as a coherent structure based on its chemistry. The six stars in our sample cover a metallicity range [M/H] = −1.25 to −0.98, with a mean [M/H] of −1.15 and standard deviation σ = 0.10. We can compare these values with those for the larger Williams et al. sample of 15 stars (mean [M/H] ~ −1.0 and σ = 0.44): the means are consistent, but the abundance spread of our smaller sample is much smaller and consistent with no intrinsic spread. We note that the RAVE data have significantly lower resolution (R = 7500) than the data for this study. The [Fe/H] values for our study range from [Fe/H] = −1.15 to −0.93, with a mean of −1.09 and σ = 0.10. The final [Fe/H] value was obtained from 16 Fe i and 3 Fe ii lines, as listed in Table 2. The ionization balance, or the agreement between abundances derived from Fe i and Fe ii lines, is a good test of the adopted gravity. Table 4 shows abundance obtained for both Fe i and Fe ii. The difference between these two species was never more than 0.05 dex, showing that the adopted gravities are acceptable. The average absolute difference between our [Fe/H] values and the [M/H] values obtained from the initial χ^2 program (see Section 2.1) is 0.05 dex (see Table 3).

All six Aquarius stars show significant α-element enhancement (from Mg, Si, Ca, and Ti). The average enhancements are [Mg/Fe] = +0.30, [Si/Fe] = +0.25, [Ca/Fe] = +0.25, and [Ti/Fe] = +0.24. α enhancement seen at this metallicity implies that enrichment of this stellar population includes contribution from core-collapse supernovae (SNe). Relative to the high- and low-α populations observed in the Galactic halo by Nissen & Schuster (2010), our Aquarius stars belong to the old, high-α population, with one possible exception. The six stars lie close to several α-enhanced isochrones in the T eff, log g plane. Figure 1 shows 12, 9, and 6 Gyr isochrones for
Four Dartmouth Stellar Evolutionary Database isochrones (Dotter et al. 2007) for ages = 12, 9, and 6 Gyr, [Fe/H] = −1.0 and α-enhancements of [α/Fe] = +0.2 (blue, red, and green dashed lines, respectively), and for age = 12 Gyr, [Fe/H] = −1.0 and [α/Fe] = +0.4 (blue dotted line) from the Dartmouth Stellar Evolutionary Database isochrones (Dotter et al. 2007). As the current set of data contains no stars in the age-sensitive turnoff region, it is unclear if the younger (9 and 6 Gyr) isochrones fit more reasonably than the 12 Gyr isochrone adopted by Williams et al. (2011). Therefore, in this study, a younger age population cannot be ruled out. While the 12 Gyr isochrone appears to fit slightly better at the base of the giant branch, all we can do is confirm the conclusion made in Williams et al. (2011)—that a 12 Gyr isochrone, corresponding to an old, metal-poor population, fits the data.

In determining whether the Aquarius stream originated from a globular cluster or a dwarf spheroidal galaxy, we can use the well-established relationships of Na–O and Mg–Al abundances in Galactic globular clusters as a guide. These relationships, from Carretta et al. (2009a, 2009b), are shown in Figures 2 and 3, respectively, with different colors and symbols representing different globular clusters. Galactic field stars from Reddy et al. (2006) and Fulbright (2000) are also shown as small red circles. The four black stars represent the Aquarius stars for which both Na and O could be reliably measured. A typical error bar for this study is shown.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

![Figure 2](image)

**Figure 2.** Na–O anticorrelation for globular clusters, taken from Carretta et al. (2009b), where different colors and symbols represent the 19 different globular clusters studied, and Galactic field stars from Reddy et al. (2006) and Fulbright (2000; small red circles). The four black stars represent the Aquarius stars for which both Na and O could be reliably measured. A typical error bar for this study is shown.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)
relationships have not yet been established for dwarf spheroidal galaxies: the O and Al lines are weak in these systems.

The relationship between Na and Ni abundances as seen in Figure 4 provides a useful discriminant. This relationship is discussed in more detail in Venn et al. (2004), Letarte et al. (2010), and references therein. In summary, an Na–Ni correlation is expected when the chemical enrichment is dominated by SNe II because the production of $^{23}$Na and $^{58}$Ni depends on the neutron excess. Figure 4 shows the Na–Ni values for five nearby dwarf galaxies from various studies (Letarte et al. 2010; Sbordone et al. 2007; Shetrone et al. 2003), globular cluster stars (Sneden et al. 2004; Shetrone & Keane 2000), Galactic field stars (Venn et al. 2004), and our Aquarius stream stars. The dwarf spheroidal galaxies display sub-solar Na and Ni values, in marked contrast to the globular clusters and Aquarius stream stars that show solar or mild Na–Ni enhancements more like those seen in the Galactic field stars. One possible explanation would be that [Ni/Fe] and [Na/Fe] in dwarf spheroidal galaxy stars are shifted to lower values due to additional Type Ia SNe production of Fe, which is not relevant in globular cluster environments. However, this does not agree with nucleosynthesis calculations (e.g., Nomoto et al. 1997), which predict that Ni is overproduced relative to Fe in Type Ia SN. While this discrepancy is not easily explained, it seems to be well established observationally. A shift in the [Ni/Fe]–[Na/Fe] relation of low-$\alpha$ halo stars relative to high-$\alpha$ stars is also seen by Nissen & Schuster (2010). Figure 4 indicates that the Aquarius stream stars did not originate in a dwarf spheroidal galaxy, but are more likely the debris of a disrupted globular cluster.

4. MORPHOLOGY OF THE AQUARIUS STREAM

To interpret the nature of the Aquarius stream, Williams et al. (2011) made simulations of an evolution of a dissolving small galaxy orbiting in the Galactic potential. They estimated the tangential motion of the stream by using the (reduced proper motion)–($J-K$) diagram for the stream stars. The distribution of stars in this plane is a good match to their isochrone for an adopted tangential velocity $v_T$ of 250 km s$^{-1}$. We note that the Aquarius stream covers a fairly small area of sky and has stars over a wide range of distances: it appears to be coming almost directly toward us. If this is correct, then its tangential velocity $v_T$ would come mostly from the projected $v_T$ of the Sun in the direction of the stream, which is about 215 km s$^{-1}$. A stream orbit with this value of $v_T$ would still be consistent with the reduced proper motion distribution, within the errors.

For their simulation, Williams et al. modeled the dissolution of a small galaxy with initial core radius of 300 pc and velocity dispersion 10 km s$^{-1}$. They found a good match to the distribution and kinematics of the stream stars after 700 Myr from the start of the simulation, and inferred that the stream was dynamically relatively young and not phase mixed. If the Aquarius stream is the debris of a globular cluster, for which the core radius and velocity dispersion could have been as small as 2 pc and 2 km s$^{-1}$, then a somewhat longer dynamical age may be consistent with the distribution and kinematics.

The six stars observed here, and the other nine stars identified by Williams et al. as Aquarius stream members, lie in a small range of galactic longitude and latitude on the south side of the Galactic disk ($30^\circ < l < 75^\circ$ and $-70^\circ < b < -50^\circ$). Their distances extend from a few hundred pc to several kpc, and the stream therefore appears to be passing close to the solar neighborhood. The mean velocities of the stream stars are more negative for the closer stars, decreasing from about $-160$ km s$^{-1}$ at a distance of 3 kpc to about $-210$ km s$^{-1}$ at 1 kpc. The stream appears to be plunging in toward the solar neighborhood from the south and is likely to extend out to the north on the opposite side of the Galactic disk, i.e., into the region $210^\circ < l < 255^\circ$, $50^\circ < b < 70^\circ$. If the stream does extend to the north, we can make a rough estimate of the likely velocities of the northern stream stars by assuming that the stream kinematics are antisymmetric north–south within a few kpc of the Galactic plane. From the data of Williams et al. (2011), the velocities of northern stream stars would then lie in a range from about 160 to 240 km s$^{-1}$.

The southern Aquarius stars identified from the RAVE survey are all relatively bright ($K < 11.3$), and the stream was detected at high contrast against the sparse background of bright halo...
stars. We would therefore like to search for similarly bright northern stream stars. The RAVE survey does not extend into this potential northern stream region. We searched various other sources of relatively bright metal-poor stars and found potential northern stream stars among the brighter stars in the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) survey and also in the Chiba & Beers (2000) paper (and references therein).

For the SDSS search, the SDSS DR7 was used (Abazajian et al. 2009). We looked for stars in the above range of \((l, b)\) with \([-1.0 > [\text{Fe/H}] > -1.7]\), magnitudes in the range \(14.7 < g < 16.0\), colors \(0.2 < g - r < 1.0\), and velocity errors \( < 20 \text{ km s}^{-1}\). The SDSS stars are fainter than the RAVE stars, and the faint magnitude limit at \(g = 16.0\) was chosen to reduce the background of non-stream halo stars which increases rapidly for fainter magnitudes. Figure 5 shows the velocity distribution of these 61 SDSS stars, presented as a generalized histogram with a Gaussian smoothing kernel \((\sigma = 25 \text{ km s}^{-1})\) to avoid binning and to reduce the effect of small sample noise. (Each star is represented by a Gaussian distribution centered at its observed velocity.) The arrow shows a strong secondary peak in the distribution at \(202 \text{ km s}^{-1}\).

The Chiba & Beers (2000) compilation includes one RR Lyrae star, ST Leo, whose position on the sky, radial velocity, and metallicity are consistent with the membership of the northern Aquarius stream (see Table 5). It also includes three RR Lyrae stars which are potential members of the (southern) Aquarius stream on the basis of their position, abundance, and velocity. The positions, \([\text{Fe/H}]\) values, heliocentric radial velocities, and mean \(V\) magnitudes for the four RR Lyrae stars are given in Table 4. Their \([\text{Fe/H}]\) values go from \(-1.1\) to \(-1.6\); the two RR Lyrae stars with high-resolution abundance measurements have abundances of \(-1.18\) and \(-1.08\). The six stars described in this paper have \([\text{Fe/H}]\) in the range \(-0.91\) to \(-1.18\). We conclude that (1) our six stars and the two RR Lyrae stars with high-resolution abundance data are consistent with being part of a coherent structure with a homogeneous globular-cluster-like MDF (mean \([\text{Fe/H}] = -1.10\) and observed dispersion \(=0.09\) dex), and (2) the Aquarius stream appears to extend across the Galactic disk into the northern halo, and that it is likely to be the debris of a disrupted globular cluster.

### Table 5

| ID     | R.A. (2000) | Decl. (2000) | \(l\) | \(b\) | \([\text{Fe/H}]\) | \(V_{\text{los}}\) (km s\(^{-1}\)) | \(V^*\) (mag) |
|--------|-------------|--------------|-------|-------|-------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|
| BV Aqr | 220254.0    | -213132      | 32    | -51   | -1.18\(^b\)      | -239                         | 10.9         |
| BN Aqr | 222748.8    | -072902      | 56    | -51   | -1.33\(^c\)      | -182                         | 12.5         |
| DN Aqr | 231917.2    | -241259      | 36    | -69   | -1.63\(^d\)      | -220                         | 11.2         |
| ST Leo | 113832.7    | +103342      | 253   | +66   | -1.08\(^d\)      | +168                         | 11.5         |

### Notes.

\(^a\) From Chiba & Beers (2000).
\(^b\) From Solano et al. (1997)—high resolution.
\(^c\) From Layden (1994)—low resolution.
\(^d\) From Fernley & Barnes (1997)—high resolution.

5. CONCLUSION

Our abundance study of six Aquarius stream stars supports the reality of the stream discovered by Williams et al. (2011). From the stellar parameters given in Table 1, the Aquarius stream is a chemically coherent structure that appears to be populated along its length from a distance of at least 5 kpc (the two brightest giants) to 150 pc from the Sun (the dwarf). The existing sample of Aquarius stream stars, which were identified from RAVE stars in a fairly narrow range of \(K\) magnitude between about 9.5 and 11, must therefore represent only a small fraction of the stream stars. Large numbers of Aquarius stream stars remain to be discovered, including stars in its likely northern extension.

The stream appears to be a relatively narrow feature, passing through the disk near the solar neighborhood and out into the halo on the other side. With a sample of accurate distances and radial velocities for stream tracers, the stream could provide stringent constraints on the total gravitational field along the stream and hence on the ratio of stellar to dark matter in the disk near the Sun. Accurate proper motions would enhance these constraints. The RR Lyrae stars would be ideal tracers. Table 4 lists four bright RR Lyrae stars that are possible stream members. A survey for fainter RR Lyrae stars in the stream fields could provide accurate tracers of the stream to the south and north out to much larger distances from the Sun than the six stars discussed here.

The element abundances of the Aquarius stream stars demonstrate again the power of chemical tagging to identify the origin of stars which were born together in a now-disrupted cluster or dwarf galaxy. This study, and previous studies such as those by De Silva et al. (2007), Bubar & King (2010), and Wylie-de Boer et al. (2010), has applied chemical tagging techniques to determine the nature of substructures which were already identified kinematically, such as the Aquarius stream and the HR1614, Wolf 630, and Kapteyn moving groups. Karlsson et al. (2012) were able to identify stars from a disrupted cluster in the Sextans dwarf spheroidal galaxy using chemical tagging techniques, without prior kinematical indicators of membership. The upcoming GALactic Archaeology with HERMES survey, using
the new HERMES\textsuperscript{6} instrument on the AAT, will go a step further in Galactic reconstruction. The primary goal of this high-resolution spectroscopic survey of a million Galactic stars is to use chemical tagging techniques to identify substructures which have lost their kinematical and spatial identity through disruption, phase mixing, and heating events.
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