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SUMMARY

Background: Clinicians working in mental health (MH) services seem to be at increased risk of burnout. This study aimed to investigate the stress and burnout levels of psychiatrists working in MH services in Turkey and determine the relationship between stress, workload, and support during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Subjects and methods: An online questionnaire was sent to child and adult psychiatrists registered with Turkish professional mail groups. 217 psychiatrists replied, with equal numbers from child (n=108) and adult (n=109) MH services. The Copenhagen Burnout Inventory and study-specific questionnaire were used.

Results: 60.8% of psychiatrists (n=132) experienced medium-or high-intensity work-related burnout, 49.8% (n=108) experienced patient-related burnout, and 31.8% (n=69) experienced medium-or high-intensity personal burnout. Patient-related burnout scores were significantly higher in the child psychiatry group than in the adult psychiatry group. The majority (n=126, 58.1%) reported either moderate or higher stress levels linked to the COVID-19 pandemic. Turnover intention, reluctance to retrain in psychiatry and sense of lack of value in the job are all associated with higher levels of burnout.

Conclusions: The current COVID-19 pandemic is likely to bring additional stressors to psychiatrists. This study shows that psychiatrists in Turkey already exhibit high levels of work-related stress. Organizational interventions to ameliorate psychiatrists’ wellbeing and work conditions are required.
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INTRODUCTION

Occupational stress is defined as work-related stress that causes psychological and physical reactions that raise risks for health consequences (Arnold et al. 2016). Burnout (BO) is a recognized occupational phenomenon in workers, included in the 11th Revision of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD-11). It is a response to conditions of chronic occupational stress. BO is a syndrome that shows emotional exhaustion, occupational negativism or cynicism, and reduced professional performance (World Health Organization 2019). Burnout is thought to occur when demand exceeds service capacity (Russell et al. 2018).

Clinicians working in psychiatry are recognized to be vulnerable to higher levels of occupational stress and burnout. This is thought to be due to the emotional nature of their work, their need to manage their own stress levels and that in the teams and patient groups, and the recognition that in most countries mental health service has been underfunded and neglected (World Health Organization 2006). It has been observed that fewer doctors are choosing psychiatry as a specialty (Hayes et al. 2017).

The current COVID-19 pandemic has raised stress levels in general, and the associated economic recession that will result from the necessary restrictions will also bring additional mental health distress (Muller et al. 2020, Öğütli 2020). Previous research from other pandemics and disasters attests to the fact that psychosocial outcomes are far greater and longer-lasting than medical sequelae. It has increased demands on mental health (MH) services. Therefore, the current COVID-19 pandemic is likely to stress MH services and clinicians even further (Gavin et al. 2020).

To the authors’ knowledge, the extent and nature of occupation stress within mental health services in Turkey has never been described yet. This study aimed to investigate the stress and burnout levels of psychiatrists working in mental health services in Turkey and determine the relationship between stress, workload, and support during the COVID-19 pandemic.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

This is a cross-sectional study containing quantitative data. Following ethical approval (No:EL-20-419, Date:02/04/2020), an online study-specific questionnaire (SSQ) was developed and emailed to all email members of the Psychiatric Association of Turkey and the Turkish Association for Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. A brief study introduction was provided, followed by an invitation to participate subject to giving
informed consent. Responses were emailed anonymously back to the authors. The total numbers of registered psychiatrists included 653 child psychiatrists, of whom 108 or 16.5% responded, and 3619 registered adult psychiatrists, of whom 109 or 3% responded. Data collection took place at the peak of the COVID-19 pandemic in Turkey (April 21 - May 4, 2020).

The SSQ included both multiple-choice and open-ended questions regarding age, gender, occupational details, sociodemographic characteristics, COVID-19-related stress level, occupational stress level, available professional support and public perception of mental health services.

Copenhagen Burnout Inventory

A standardized well-validated burnout (BO) questionnaire (Kristensen et al. 2005; Milfont et al. 2008) and the Copenhagen Burnout Inventory (CBI) was incorporated into the SSQ. The CBI has a total of 19 questions, scored using a five-point Likert scale, and providing total and subscale scores in each of the following domains: work-related burnout (seven questions), personal burnout (six questions), and patient-related burnout (six questions). Items have either frequency responses (12 questions with always/often/sometimes/seldom/never answers) or intensity responses (seven questions, ranging from ‘a very low degree’ to ‘a very high degree’). Scores of 50–74 are considered to indicate moderate levels of burnout, 75–99 are high, and 100 notes severe burnout (Maslach & Jackson 1981). Descriptive data was calculated for each of the subscales, along with the proportion of respondents scoring at moderate or higher levels of burnout. The arithmetic mean was also calculated to allow comparison with other studies.

In the current study, there was high internal reliability, as evidenced by high Cronbach alphas coefficients (work-related BO α=0.819, personal BO α=0.903, and patient-related BO α=0.859). A Turkish validity and reliability study of the scale was performed by Deliorman et al. (2009).

Statistical Analysis

SPSS 17.0 (Chicago Inc., 2008) was used for quantitative analysis. The consistency of continuous variables (age, the number of years in practice, CBI scores) to normal distribution was analysed with the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Given a lack of normal distribution, data was expressed in terms of median and minimum-maximum values. Categorical variables were reported in terms of frequency (n) and percentage (%). Participants were divided into two groups based on specialty, adult or child and adolescent psychiatrists, and a comparison of variables was made. Mann Whitney U was used to compare two groups of continuous variables, and Pearson chi-square and Fisher’s exact test were used to analyse categorical variables. Spearman correlation test was used in correlation analysis of scale scores. P <0.05 was accepted as the level of significance.

RESULTS

Sociodemographic Data

A total of 217 participants responded, with roughly equal numbers of adult (109) and child and adolescent (108) psychiatrists. The median age was 33 years (minimum 24 – maximum 69 years), and 69.1% (n=150) were female. The median value of the number of years in practice in the profession was four years (minimum one - maximum 45 years). There was no significant difference between the child and adult psychiatrists in terms of age and number of years in practice (P >0.005).

Of the participants, 44.2% (n=96) were at the consultant level, which was significantly higher among child psychiatrists than adult psychiatrists (53.7% vs. 34.9%), while resident status was higher in adult psychiatry (44% vs. 23.1%; χ²(2)=11.49, P=0.003). 88.9% (n=193) of the doctors were working in hospitals, and the remainder (n=24, 11.1%) were identified as working in community-based clinics. Sociodemographic data are given in Table 1.

Table 1. Sociodemographic Data of Participants

|                | Total n=217 | Adult Psychiatry n=109 | Child Psychiatry n=108 | Statistics z or χ² | p  |
|----------------|-------------|-----------------------|------------------------|---------------------|----|
| Age (years)a  | 33 (24-69)  | 32 (24-69)            | 33 (25-60)             | -0.099              | NS |
| Gender, n (%) |             |                       |                        |                     |    |
| Female        | 150 (69.1)  | 70 (64.2)             | 80 (74.1)              | 2.468               | 0.116* |
| Male          | 67 (30.9)   | 39 (35.8)             | 28 (25.9)              |                     |    |
| Working Years (years)a | 4 (0-45) | 4 (1-45)              | 4 (0-37)               | -0.524              | NS |
| Degree, n (%) |             |                       |                        |                     |    |
| Resident      | 73 (33.6)   | 48 (44.0)             | 25 (23.1)              | 11.492              | 0.003** |
| Consultant    | 96 (44.2)   | 38 (34.9)             | 58 (53.7)              |                     |    |
| Academnician  | 48 (22.1)   | 23 (21.1)             | 25 (23.1)              |                     |    |
| Clinics, n (%)|             |                       |                        |                     |    |
| Hospitals     | 193 (88.9)  | 97 (89.0)             | 96 (88.9)              | 0.001               | NS |
| Community based | 24 (11.1)  | 12 (11.0)             | 12 (11.1)              |                     |    |

a: Median (minimum-maximum), *: significant at the 0.05, **: significant at the 0.01: NS: Non-significant; χ²: Pearson Chi-square test
Burnout and COVID-19 Stress

High levels of work and patient-related burnout were reported by respondents using the CBI (n=217), with a strong correlation between domains (r=0.65, P=0.01). 60.8% of participants (n=132) scored at levels of moderate or higher on the work-related domain, and 49.8% (n=108) experienced patient-related burnout. Lower levels of personal BO were reported by the group, with 31.8% (n=69) reporting moderate or higher levels, and significantly more adult psychiatrists scoring lower than the child psychiatry group; 73.4% scored in the ‘no or low burnout category’ vs. 63% of child psychiatrists (P=0.004, Fisher’s exact test) (Table 2). There were also significant group differences in the ‘patient-related burnout’ domain, with higher scores in the child psychiatry group than in the adult psychiatry group (50% vs. 45.8%; z=-2.45, P=0.014) (Table 2).

More than half (n=126, 58.1%) of the sample rated their stress levels as moderate or high with regard to the current COVID-19 pandemic. The majority of respondents had no burnout/stress reduction training, neither in prior professional training (n=173, 82%) nor on the job (n=186, 86.9%). Despite this, the majority (n=119, 54.8%) had confidence in their own ability to stay safe. This applied equally to both groups.

Working Conditions and Job Satisfaction

If the choice of re-profession was offered, most of the participants opted to remain within psychiatry (n=144, 67%); 71 participants (33.1%) were undecided about retraining in psychiatry if offered the opportunity. While only 28.8% (n=62) thought of changing jobs in the last year, the majority (n=131, 60.9%) wanted to continue their profession. While most participants felt valued in their profession (n=114, 53%), almost one fifth (n=42, 19.5%) did not. Turnover intention, reluctance to retrain in psychiatry and sense of lack of value in their job are all associated with higher personal BO [(H(2)=17.65, P<0.001), (H(2)=10.1, P=0.006, (H(2)=30.71, P<0.001), respectively], work-related BO [(H(2)=40.72, P<0.001), (H(2)=36.63, P<0.001)], (H(2)=41.99, P<0.001)], and patient-related BO [(H(2)=23.66, P<0.001), (H(2)=34.45, P<0.001), (H(2)=25.79, P<0.001)]. Almost half the sample, 47.9% (n=103), thought that they had insufficient resources for their job; most participants (n=154, 71.6%) spent a significant portion of their work time outside of their area of expertise. 58.7% (n=125) stated current workload interferes with new initiatives/academic work, while 54% (n=116) stated current job satisfaction interferes with new initiatives. Insufficient resources (P=0.011), working outside of the area of expertise (P=0.027), and workload interference with new initiatives/academic work (P<0.001) were associated with higher work-related burnout (Table 3).

Public Perception of Mental Health Services

35.3% of doctors (n=76) stated that people do not place enough importance on mental health treatment and services in Turkey, with only 28.4% (n=61) believing they did. A similar number believed that a lack of import given to medication existed (n=71, 33%), with significantly more adult psychiatrists believing this (54.2% vs. 29.6%; z=13.78, P<0.001) than child psychiatrists.

A high percentage of participants (78.1%, n=168) thought that people attribute more importance to spiritual healings (religious personnel, Hodja, etc.) for mental health difficulties. 75.2% (n=161) considered that the public had unrealistic expectations of mental health services, with roughly equal numbers believing this opinion had (n=68, 31.8%) and had not (n=77, 36%) changed.

---

**Table 2. Comparison of CBI-Burnout scores in Adult and Child Psychiatry Groups**

| Burnout                  | Total n=217 | Adult Psychiatry n=109 | Child Psychiatry n=108 | z or χ² | α** | p value |
|--------------------------|-------------|------------------------|------------------------|---------|-----|--------|
| Personal burnout (%)a   | 37.5 (0-100) | 37.5 (0-100)           | 37.5 (0-95.8)          | -0.516  | 0.903 | 0.606  |
| Categoric n (%)          |             |                        |                        |         |     |        |
| No/low (<50)             | 148 (68.2)  | 80 (73.4)              | 68 (63.0)              | 11.899* |     | 0.004  |
| Moderate (50–74)         | 51 (23.5)   | 16 (14.7)              | 35 (32.4)              |         |     |        |
| High (75–99)             | 16 (7.4)    | 11 (10.1)              | 5 (4.6)                |         |     |        |
| Severe (100)             | 2 (0.9)     | 2 (1.8)                | 0                      |         |     |        |
| Work-related burnout, (%)a | 50 (0-92.8) | 50 (0-92.8)            | 53.5 (17.8-85.7)       | -1.299  | 0.819 | 0.194  |
| Patient-related burnout, (%)a | 45.8 (0-100) | 45.8 (0-95.8)          | 50 (8.3-100)           | -2.448  | 0.859 | 0.014  |
| Categoric, n (%)         |             |                        |                        | 2.888*  |     | 0.386  |
| No/low (<50)             | 109 (50.2)  | 60 (55.0)              | 49 (45.4)              |         |     |        |
| Moderate (50–74)         | 86 (39.6)   | 40 (36.7)              | 46 (42.6)              |         |     |        |
| High (75–99)             | 21 (9.7)    | 9 (8.3)                | 12 (11.1)              |         |     |        |
| Severe (100)             | 1 (0.5)     | 0                      | 1 (0.5)                |         |     |        |

* Median (minimum-maximum), CBI: Copenhagen Burnout Inventory, **: Chronbach’s α, *: Fisher’s exact test

---
Table 3. Work Related Responses on Burnout and Stress Questions

| Question | Total n=217 | Adult Psychiatry n=109 | Child Psychiatry n=108 | Statistics |
|----------|-------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------|
| Q1. If you were getting a new job, would you choose to work in a mental health setting again? | | | | 3.376 0.185* |
| No, Definitely/Probably not | 27 (12.6) | 11 (10.3) | 16 (14.8) | |
| Not sure | 44 (20.5) | 18 (16.8) | 26 (24.1) | |
| Yes, definitely/probably | 14 (67) | 78 (72.9) | 66 (61.1) | |
| Q2. Have you seriously thought of changing jobs in the last 6-12 months? | | | | 4.262 0.119 |
| No, Definitely/Probably not | 131 (60.9) | 71 (66.4) | 60 (55.6) | |
| Not sure | 22 (10.2) | 12 (11.2) | 10 (9.3) | |
| Yes, definitely/probably | 62 (28.8) | 24 (22.4) | 38 (35.2) | |
| Q3. Do you feel valued in your job? | | | | 1.677 0.432 |
| No, Definitely/Probably not | 42 (19.5) | 23 (21.5) | 19 (17.6) | |
| Not sure | 59 (27.2) | 32 (29.9) | 27 (25.0) | |
| Yes, definitely/probably | 114 (53.0) | 52 (48.6) | 62 (57.4) | |
| Q4. Do you believe that there are adequate resources for you in your job? | | | | 2.663 0.264 |
| No, Definitely/Probably not | 103 (47.9) | 53 (49.5) | 50 (46.3) | |
| Not sure | 50 (23.3) | 20 (18.7) | 30 (27.8) | |
| Yes, definitely/probably | 62 (28.8) | 34 (31.8) | 28 (25.9) | |
| Q5. How much of your time do you think you spend on what you consider to be outside of your area of responsibility / expertise? | | | | 3.033 0.219 |
| A little | 61 (28.4) | 27 (25.2) | 34 (31.5) | |
| A lot of my time | 106 (49.3) | 51 (47.7) | 55 (50.9) | |
| Yes, definitely/probably | 48 (22.3) | 29 (27.1) | 19 (17.6) | |
| Q6. Does your current work load interfere with your ability to develop new initiatives or contribute to training and academic work? | | | | 1.225 0.542 |
| No, Definitely/Probably not | 60 (28.2) | 26 (24.8) | 34 (31.5) | |
| Not sure | 28 (13.1) | 14 (13.3) | 14 (13.0) | |
| Yes, definitely/probably | 125 (58.7) | 65 (61.9) | 60 (55.6) | |
| Q7. Does your current level of job satisfaction interfere with your interest in developing new initiatives or contributing to training and academic work? | | | | 0.173 0.917 |
| No, Definitely/Probably not | 63 (29.3) | 30 (28.0) | 33 (30.6) | |
| Not sure | 36 (16.7) | 18 (16.8) | 18 (16.7) | |
| Yes, definitely/probably | 116 (54.0) | 59 (55.1) | 57 (52.8) | |

Q: Question; *: Median (minimum-maximum); $\chi^2$: Pearson Chi-square test

DISCUSSION

In this study, the majority of Turkish psychiatrists showed moderate or high levels of work- and patient-related burnout with a relatively lower level of personal BO. This is at odds with many other studies, which suggested a sparing of patient-related BO, but higher work and personal BO scores. In a study conducted by McNicholas et al. in Ireland, using the CBI, the majority of consultant child psychiatrists (75%) reported high levels of work-related and personal BO levels, but patient-related BO was low (McNicholas et al. 2020). Other international studies with mental health staff have also reported higher personal and work-related BO with respect to patient-related BO (Atkinson et al. 2017, Payne et al. 2020). In keeping with the Turkish data, but with much lower levels of overall BO, the Danish PUMA study found that among psychiatry staff, patient-related BO was higher than work-related BO, a finding not seen in the other occupational groups they studied (Borritz et al. 2006). Korkeila and colleagues also found higher levels of patient and work-related burnout among adult and child psychiatrists compared to other physicians (Korkeila et al. 2003), suggesting country-specific differences with regard to in which domain stress is perceived.
Physicians are known to be generally unlikely to seek help and admit a problem. They assume personal responsibility for their work and often immerse themselves in work at the expense of personal and family commitments, sowing the seeds for BO (Hassan et al. 2013, Holmes et al. 2017). In Turkey, MH services are recognized to be highly medically dependent with high workloads (Bilici et al. 2013). Recognition and reward are considered essential to buffering the effects of BO (Maslach & Leiter 2008b), and in this study, a significant number of clinicians did not feel valued. The excessive workload, coupled with unrealistic public expectations, as perceived by the group, might contribute to the high work-related stress levels, and some negative countertransference may help explain the high degree of patient-related BO. Ironically, personal-related BO was lower in this group, and respondents showed confidence in being able to manage the COVID-19 crisis themselves, suggesting personal resilience and internal locus of control. This factor, the degree of personal control, has also been listed by Maslach and colleagues as a fundamental risk factor for BO, with those experiencing more control showing less BO (Maslach & Leiter 2008b).

Specific stress related to the COVID-19 pandemic was reported as high among psychiatrists. This stress may have contributed to the high rates of BO reported among the Turkish psychiatrist group, where increasing service demands are not met with adequate resourcing. There is currently little evidence of a reduction in the COVID-19-related stresses the world faces. It is recognized from previous pandemics that adverse psychosocial outcomes are greater than medical outcomes and place increased demand on MH services (Gavin et al. 2020). Psychiatrists, being frontline workers, are exposed to significant and long-standing stresses during COVID-19, yet are tasked with the job of being available to treat such ill health in their patients. Few psychiatrists (less than 20%) in this study had prior burnout/stress management training. The volume of MH work is going to increase in the months to come, as will the risk of staff depletion due to sickness absence or job turnover, as reported by almost a third in this study, risking a ‘double whammy’ to MH services (Wong 2008).

Psychiatrists are known to be more vulnerable to burnout than other physicians and surgeons. The underlying factors providing extra risk for psychiatrists include patient violence, increased inpatient service, high workload and responsibility, work outside the job description, resource constraints and the difficulty of system change (Kumar 2007). Additional stressors might be present for clinicians working within child MH services. Patient-related burnout reported by the Turkish group was significantly higher among child psychiatrists. In a study comparing psychiatrists and other physicians in Finland, it was found that both emotional exhaustion and frequency of suicide attempts were more often seen in child psychiatrists (Korkeila et al. 2003).

It is imperative to protect psychiatrists from the high level of BO and its negative medical and psychiatric consequences. Organizational steps, along with individual steps, are fundamental to improving occupational wellbeing (Cioffi 2020). These steps may include screening and monitoring staff wellbeing and providing professional burnout/stress reduction training. Optimising personal coping strategies, the provision of professional supervision groups and individual counsellng services should be considered. Improving resources and manpower are fundamental building blocks to manageable workloads (Maslach & Leiter 2008a, Oates 2018, Schrijver 2016).

With a protective and therapeutic approach that includes all employees, the wellbeing of healthcare professionals could and should be protected with improved quality patient care. The theme of this year’s October 10, 2020, World Mental Health Day Move for Mental Health, is increased investment in mental health and never seemed more timely (The Lancet 2020). Given the findings of high BO rates among the MH service leaders in this study, this has become an urgent mandate.

**Strengths and Limitations**

To the authors' knowledge, no previous study has investigated the stress and burnout levels of psychiatrists working in mental health services in Turkey before or during the COVID-19 pandemic.

A valid instrument, the CBI, was used to measure personal, work, and patient-related burnout, allowing comparison with other studies. In addition, COVID-19 specific questions were added. Although over 200 psychiatrists participated in the study, with representation from both child and adult MH services, a prominent limitation is the impossibility of precisely specifying the response rate based on the methodology used. Although online surveys are an important tool in cross-sectional research, it is quite difficult to determine the exact response rate because it is not known whether the emailed invitations to participate in the study were received and read. Self-selection bias may apply, in that those who are experiencing BO may be more likely to wish to reply, although it might equally be the case that those more stressed will have less time for participation in research. As such, this study, the first in Turkey, should be seen as an indication of high-stress levels among clinicians and an argument for better-controlled studies.

**CONCLUSION**

The current COVID-19 pandemic is likely to bring additional personal and work-related stressors to psychiatrists. This study shows that psychiatrists in Turkey already exhibit high levels of work-related stress. Given the risk of further depleting MH services by way of staff attrition and ill health, and risks associated with high patient-related BO, organizational interventions to ameliorate psychiatrists' wellbeing and work conditions are required.
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