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Abstract

Turbulent convective heat transfer in an elliptical pipe are investigated numerically in this paper. The RSM model is employed in the simulations of elliptical tubes with different aspect ratio a/b and Reynolds numbers within the range of 10,000~120,000. It is found that the maximum deviation between the numerical result and the one from Dittus-Boelter equation contained a hydraulic diameter is 28.4\%. Based on simulation results, the correlation between the Nusselt number and Reynolds number in the fully developed fluid section of the elliptical tube is obtained.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Forced convection heat transfer in non-circular ducts can find its application in many fields. Dittus-Boelter equation is widely used to calculate the heat transfer coefficient in the non-circular tubes (Batra et al., 1979; Barrow et al., 1985; Colombo et al., 2015; Raghub et al., 2013). And the hydraulic diameter is used as the characteristic length for the Reynolds number in the Dittus-Boelter equation. However, Malák et al. (1975) studied several kinds of non-circular tubes using experiment method, and demonstrated that it is not accurate to calculate the turbulent convection heat transfer in the non-circular tubes using the hydraulic diameter method. Wang et al. (2014) also showed that this theory through numerical simulation and found that the maximum deviation would be 27.5\% for the square cross-section. Aly et al. (2006) investigated the fully developed air-flows in an equilateral triangular duct over a Reynolds number range of 53,000-107,000, while friction factors were found to be about 6\% lower than for pipe flow. Eckert et al. (1960) reported a numerical simulation of the isosceles triangle pipe with the length ratio of 5, and found that the coefficient of friction resistance that was calculated by using the hydraulic diameter method resulted in a relative deviation of about 20\%. Based on the references above, it can be concluded that it is inaccurate to use the Dittus-Boelter equation to calculate heat transfer of turbulent convection in noncircular pipes.

The elliptical tube, as a typical shaped tube, has many advantages. For example, in the same cross section, more elliptical tubes can be arranged to make the heat exchanger more compact. With the same Reynolds number, the drag coefficient of the elliptical tube which axis ratio is 2 is only about 50\% of the circular tube (Terukazu et al., 1984). Due to these advantages, many scholars have performed a lot of research on elliptical tubes. An experimental study was carried out inside elliptic tube fixed with helical coils by Omara et al. (2018). Hemyan et al. (2018) studied convective heat transfer of non-Newtonian fluids over elliptical tubes. And the structured design associated with exhaustive search was employed to obtain the ellipse aspect ratios to maximize the Nusselt number and to minimize dimensionless pressure drop. Pawel et al. (2016) performed experimental and numerical investigations of flow distribution in the heat exchanger with elliptical tubes, while various turbulent modes were compared. Ibrahim et al. (2009) investigated the thermo-fluid characteristics of the elliptical tube bundle in crossflow. They found that the best thermal performance of the elliptical heat exchanger was achieved with the lower values of Reynolds number, axis ratio and angle of attack. Although various investigations have been performed, no one presented a correction formula of elliptical tube for turbulent convective heat transfer. To fill this gap, we perform numerical investigations for the fluid flow and heat transfer in various elliptical tubes and propose a novel correlation accordingly.

Numerical simulations have been used for different fluid flow and heat transfer problems, and more and more researchers are using this method. For example, Cheng et al. (2017) presented the first direct numerical simulation of a turbulent pipe flow using the mesoscopic Lattice Boltzmann method. Qiu et al. developed an unsteady numerical model for double-diffusive natural convection of low Prandtl number liquids with Sorel and Dufour effects inside the horizontal cavity. Yang et al. (2017) used the Lattice Boltzmann method to miniaturize the natural convection in the horizontal loop. Carrillo et al. (2016) presented a numerical scheme for nonlinear continuity equations, which is based on the gradient flow formulation of an energy functional with respect to the quadratic transportation distance. Li et al. (2015, 2016) studied the melting problem and three-dimensional natural convection heat transfer using the Lattice Boltzmann method. Chiappini et al. (2017) presented a comparison between experimental and numerical simulation in open-cells metal foams. Ma et al. (2016) analyzed combustion mechanism of a 300 MW pulverized coal boiler and carried out the optimization of the performance of the boiler using numerical simulation. These examples show that the numerical simulation results are reliable and can be used for theoretical research.

Reynolds Stress model (RSM) is employed to investigate the turbulent flow and heat transfer performances in elliptical tubes. Then, the results were obtained by numerical simulation with different axis ratios a/b and Nu numbers under different working conditions. A new correlation is proposed based on the results of numerical solutions.
2. PHYSICAL MODEL AND NUMERICAL METHODS

2.1 Physical Model

The physical model of elliptical tube is shown in Figure 1. The fluid flow direction is perpendicular to the paper. And non-circular pipe hydraulic diameter is $d = \frac{4S}{\pi a}$, where $S$ is the cross-sectional area of the channel and $U$ is the wet perimeter. $S = \pi a b$, where $a$ is the length of semi-major axis and $b$ is the length of semi-minor axis. According to Wang et al. (2014), the tube length $L \geq 60d$ can ensure that the fluid in the tube enters the fully developed section. The tube length $L$ is 100d in this article.

![Fig. 1 Schematic of flow section](image)

2.2 General governing equations

In this paper, water is used as the working fluid in the simulation with the following assumptions: (1) the fluid in the tube is a Newtonian fluid; (2) the fluid property in the tube remains constant and the fluid is incompressible; (3) effect of gravity can be neglected.

In this paper, the RSM is used for numerical simulation, the governing equation are shown below:

Transport equation:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho \mathbf{u}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(\rho \mathbf{u} \mathbf{u}_i) = D_{ij} + \mathbf{g}_{ij} - \mathbf{e}_{ij}$$

(1)

Diffusion term:

$$D_{ij} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left( \rho u_i u_j + \rho u_j u_i - \rho \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} u_i u_j \right)$$

(2)

Pressure strain:

$$\mathbf{g}_{ij} = \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_k} \mathbf{u}_k$$

(3)

Generation term:

$$\mathbf{e}_{ij} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} (\rho u_i u_k) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} (\rho u_j u_k)$$

Dissipative term:

$$\mathbf{e}_{ij} = 2\mu \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_k}$$

(4)

Energy equation:

$$k = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial (\rho \mathbf{u})}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (\rho k \mathbf{u}_i)$$

$$\frac{k}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{\mu}{\sigma_k} \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_i}{\partial x_i} \right) + \frac{2}{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{\mu}{\sigma_k} \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_i}{\partial x_i} \right)$$

(5)

Turbulent viscosity:

$$\mu_t = \rho C_{\mu} k^{2/3}$$

(6)

where: $C_{\mu} = 0.99$.

Convective heat transfer equation:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho T) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (\rho \mathbf{u} T) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial T}{\partial x_i} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{\mu_t}{\sigma_l} \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_i}{\partial x_i} \right) + Q$$

(7)

$$Q = \frac{\mu_t}{\sigma_l} \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_i}{\partial x_i}$$

(8)

where: $\sigma_l = 2.5$.

Boundary conditions:

All walls are satisfied:

$$T_w = \text{Constant}$$

(9)

2.3 Grid independence verification

This article simulates the turbulent motion of an elliptical tube. Considering that there is a flow boundary layer and a thermal boundary layer near the wall, the flow characteristics change drastically, so finer mesh is used near the wall surface. In this paper, the RSM model is adopted, and the enhanced wall function is selected. Therefore, the $y^+$ wall surface function should be used for processing the wall surface. When generating the grid, it is necessary to arrange the first inner node in the area where the law of logarithm is established, and the grid near the wall needs to satisfy the condition $y^+ \approx 1$. $y^+$ is a dimensionless parameter that characterizes the distance from the wall to the node. The equation is as follows:

$$y^+ = \frac{\rho \nu y}{\mu}$$

(10)

where: $u_\tau = \sqrt{\frac{\nu}{\rho}}$, and $T_w$ is near wall shear stress, the equation is:

$$\tau_w = \frac{2 \nu}{f}$$

(11)

$$f = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{A} + \frac{1}{B} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{A} + \frac{1}{B} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{A} + \frac{1}{B} \right]$$

(12)

where: $\mathfrak{u}_w$ is the flow velocity near the wall, $\Delta y$ is the dimension in the y-axis direction of the grid, and A and B are constants, A=8.3, B=1/7.

In the case of $Re=2 \times 10^4$, numerical simulations are performed with different grid settings. As shown in Figure 2, the change in the number of nodes of elliptical tube circumference has a great influence on the simulation result. With the increase of the number of nodes, $Nu$ number also increases. When the number of nodes no longer affects the simulation results. This paper divides the circumference grid into 50 nodes, as shown in Figure 3.

![Fig. 2 Change trend of Nu along with the change of the number of nodes](image)
In order to ensure heat transfer into the fully developed region, 29 cross-sections are evenly taken in the flow direction of the entire elliptical tube. The section near the entrance to the pipeline is named S1, and the section near the export is named S29. Using Eq. (14-16), local Nu of 29 sections are obtained in Figure 5.

![Fig. 5 Local Nu of different sections](image)

It can be seen from Figure 5 that local Nu does not change substantially after the S19 section, which means that the heat transfer goes into the fully developed region. In the following discussion, we only focus on the Nu of fully developed region.

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

#### 3.1 Comparison between results obtained from numerical simulation and Dittus-Boelter equation

Regarding the applicable range of the Dittus-Boelter equation is \(10^4 \leq Re \leq 1.2 \times 10^5\), twelve conditions are selected in this range. When the axis ratio is 3/2, the turbulent convection heat transfer in the elliptical tube is numerically simulated, and its fully developed Nu obtained by the Dittus-Boelter equation and simulation are shown in Table 1.

| \(Re\)   | Nu\textsubscript{D-B} | Nu\textsubscript{Simulation} | Deviation (\%) |
|---------|---------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|
| \(1 \times 10^4\) | 79.4                | 93.1                        | 17.3           |
| \(2 \times 10^4\) | 138.2               | 164.2                       | 18.8           |
| \(3 \times 10^4\) | 191.2               | 224.7                       | 17.5           |
| \(4 \times 10^4\) | 240.7               | 291.1                       | 20.9           |
| \(5 \times 10^4\) | 287.7               | 350.5                       | 21.8           |
| \(6 \times 10^4\) | 332.9               | 409.1                       | 22.9           |
| \(7 \times 10^4\) | 376.6               | 464.9                       | 23.4           |
| \(8 \times 10^4\) | 419.1               | 520.4                       | 24.2           |
| \(9 \times 10^4\) | 460.5               | 574.9                       | 24.8           |
| \(1 \times 10^5\) | 501.0               | 629.1                       | 25.6           |
| \(1.1 \times 10^5\) | 540.7               | 682.3                       | 26.2           |
| \(1.2 \times 10^5\) | 579.6               | 744.2                       | 28.4           |
From Table 1 and Figure 6, it can be seen that the maximum relative deviation between the Nu number obtained by the simulation and by the Dittus-Boelter equation is 28.4%. It can be seen that, in the calculation of the turbulent convective heat transfer in an elliptical tube, the method of directly substituting the hydraulic diameter into the Dittus-Boelter equation brings a large deviation. Therefore, it is necessary to establish a new correlation for the elliptical pipeline.

Fig. 6 Comparison of Nu between the uses of RSM and Dittus-Boelter equation

3.2 The influence of the axis ratio a/b on Nu number

In order to explore other factors that may cause deviation between simulation results and the Dittus-Boelter equation results, conditions with different axis ratios are simulated in this paper while keeping the circumference of the pipe cross-section constant. Take Re=2 × 10⁴, the results are shown in Table 2.

Table 2 Effects of different a/b numbers on numerical simulation

| a/b | 1.5 | 2 | 2.5 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|-----|-----|---|-----|---|---|---|
| Nu  | 164.2 | 208.9 | 193.7 | 155.1 | 152.9 | 153.9 |

From Table 2, it can be seen intuitively that under the premise of keeping the heat transfer surface area unchanged, Nu increases first and then decreases as the axis ratio a/b increases, and then remains unchanged. When a/b=2, Nu increases by 27.23% compared to a/b of 1.5. This can also further prove that it is not receivable to calculate the turbulent convective heat transfer using the Dittus-Boelter equation.

3.3 The correlation of turbulence convection in elliptical tube

Inspired by the Dittus-Boelter equation, which is widely used in pipe heat transfer calculation, a novel correlation for thermal performance in elliptical pipe is developed based on the numerical solutions. Adding the variables A and B to correct Dittus-Boelter equation as

\[ Nu = (0.02979Re^{0.8} - 8.5626)Pr^{0.4} \]

\[ Re \in (10^4, 1.2 \times 10^5) \]  

As can be seen from Table 3, the maximum deviation between the Nu obtained by Eq. (17) and simulation used air as working fluid is 4.8%. Nitrogen and other working fluids are also simulated, and the maximum deviation is less than 5%. Therefore, Eq. (17) is enough to precisely calculate the turbulent convection heat transfer intensity in elliptical tube. Considering the effect of the axis ratio a/b on the heat transfer effect of the elliptical tube, and introducing coefficient C.

\[ Nu = C(0.03601Re^{0.8} - 116.85088)Pr^{0.4} \]  

\[ Re \in (1.2 \times 10^5, 1.2 \times 10^6) \]  

Fit the data in Table 2 and get the coefficient C, as shown in the Table 4.

Table 3 The relative relationship between Nu number obtained by new formula and simulation in elliptical tube

| Re     | Nu     | Deviation (%) |
|--------|--------|---------------|
| Eq. (17) | Simulation |
| 1.2×10⁴ | 37.2  | 39.0 | 4.8 |
| 2.4×10⁴ | 70.0  | 71.3 | 1.9 |
| 4.7×10⁴ | 127.0 | 125.3 | -1.3 |
| 5.9×10⁴ | 153.2 | 160.1 | 4.5 |
| 7.9×10⁴ | 194.7 | 201.5 | 3.5 |
| 9.9×10⁴ | 234.1 | 237.9 | 1.6 |
| 1.2×10⁵ | 271.9 | 276.6 | 1.7 |

Dittus-Boelter equation is valid for Re ranging from 10⁴ to 1.2×10⁵, but the realistic working conditions may exceed this limit. Therefore, the numerical simulation of twelve operation conditions which Re changes from 1.2 × 10⁵ to 1.2 × 10⁶ is presented, seen as Table 5. Using origin software to process the data in Table 5 and fit the formula, the correlation coefficient is 0.99925 once again and the fit is very good as seen in Figure 8.

\[ Nu = C(0.03601Re^{0.8} - 116.85088)Pr^{0.4} \]  

\[ Re \in (1.2 \times 10^5, 1.2 \times 10^6) \]  

Fig. 7 Fitting function curve
Table 5 The deviation between Ntu numbers obtained by Dittus-Boelter equation and by simulation in elliptic tube

| Re    | Simulation | Dittus-Boelter | Deviation (%) |
|-------|------------|----------------|---------------|
| 1.2×10^5 | 579.6      | 744.2          | 28.4          |
| 1.5×10^5 | 889.7      | 692.9          | 28.5          |
| 1.8×10^5 | 1039.2     | 801.7          | 29.6          |
| 2.1×10^5 | 1220.5     | 907.0          | 34.6          |
| 2.4×10^5 | 1390.2     | 1009.2         | 37.8          |
| 3×10^5   | 1623.0      | 1206.5         | 34.5          |
| 5×10^5   | 2459.4      | 1815.5         | 35.5          |
| 7×10^5   | 3397.1      | 2376.3         | 43.0          |
| 9×10^5   | 4255.7      | 2905.5         | 46.5          |
| 1×10^6   | 4675.7      | 3161.0         | 47.9          |
| 1.1×10^6 | 5117.8      | 3411.4         | 50.0          |
| 1.2×10^6 | 5561.1      | 3657.3         | 52.1          |

Fig. 8 Fitting function curve

4. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, the turbulent convective heat transfer in elliptic tubes is studied by numerical simulation. From this investigation, some main conclusions can be summarized as follows:

1. The numerical simulation results for the convection heat transfer in circular tubes are consistent with the Dittus-Boelter equation, indicating that the numerical calculation is reliable.

2. The deviation is very large using the Dittus-Boelter equation with hydraulic diameter to calculate turbulent convective heat transfer in elliptical tubes. The maximum relative deviation is 28.4%. Based on simulation results, the empirical correlation of turbulent convective heat transfer in an elliptical tube was obtained as follows:

\[ Nu = C(0.02979Re^{0.8} - 8.5626)Pr^{0.4}, \text{ } Re \in (10^4, 1.2 \times 10^5) \]
\[ Nu = C(0.03601Re^{0.8} - 116.85088)Pr^{0.4}, \text{ } Re \in (1.2 \times 10^5, 1.2 \times 10^6) \]

(a/b = 1.5, C = 1, a/b = 2, C = 1.27, a/b = 2.5, C = 1.17, a/b ≥ 3, C = 0.94)
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