Treatment of cystoid macular edema with the new-generation NSAID nepafenac 0.1%
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Purpose: To describe the use of nepafenac 0.1% for cystoid macular edema (CME).

Methods: This was a multicenter retrospective review of 22 CME cases (20 patients) treated with nepafenac 0.1% (six with concomitant prednisolone acetate 1%) from December 2005 to April 2008: three acute pseudophakic CME cases, 13 chronic/recalcitrant pseudophakic CME cases, and six cases of uveitic CME. Pre- and post-treatment retinal thickness and visual acuity were reported.

Results: Following treatment for six weeks to six months, six eyes with uveitic CME showed a mean retinal thickness improvement of $227 \pm 168.1 \, \mu m$; mean best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) improvement was $0.36 \pm 0.20 \, \logmar$. All three cases of acute pseudophakic CME improved after four to 10 weeks of nepafenac, with a mean improvement in retinal thickness of $134 \pm 111.0 \, \mu m$. BCVA improved in two patients ($0.16$ and $0.22 \, \logmar$) but not in the third due to underlying retinal pigment epithelium changes. Thirteen eyes with chronic/recalcitrant pseudophakic CME demonstrated a mean improvement in retinal thickness of $178 \pm 128.7 \, \mu m$ after nepafenac and mean BCVA improvement of $0.33 \pm 0.19 \, \logmar$.

Conclusion: The positive outcomes of these 22 eyes strongly suggest that nepafenac 0.1% is a promising drug for the treatment of CME. Additional study under randomized controlled conditions is warranted.
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Introduction

Cystoid macular edema (CME) is a serious consequence of cataract surgery and other ocular procedures and conditions, resulting sometimes in transient or even permanent vision loss. The incidence of subclinical, angiographic CME is approximately 20%–30% of uncomplicated cataract surgery cases, while acute, clinically significant CME has been reported from 1%–2% of patients following uncomplicated phacoemulsification. Macular edema secondary to uveitis is also a common problem, occurring in up to 48% of uveitic eyes. Many studies have shown an association between CME and inflammation. For this reason, CME is commonly treated with anti-inflammatory agents. Corticosteroids can effectively prevent and treat CME but are associated with serious side effects, including elevated intraocular pressure (IOP), posterior subcapsular cataract, and exacerbation of and increased susceptibility to concomitant infections. Thus, safer alternative treatments are desirable.

Topical nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) have demonstrated efficacy in prophylaxis and/or treatment of CME. Because corticosteroids and NSAIDs inhibit prostaglandin synthesis by different mechanisms, an additive or synergistic effect is possible with combined therapy. Studies have confirmed the benefits of combination therapy and it is now widely accepted that a combination of NSAID + steroid be initiated upon documentation of clinical CME. Although none of the approved topical NSAIDs
have an indication for the treatment or prevention of CME, this accounts for widespread off-label use of these drugs.

The newest topical NSAID to earn Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approval for the treatment of pain and inflammation associated with cataract surgery is nepafenac 0.1% (Nevanac®). Nepafenac is the only topical NSAID with a prodrug structure; nepafenac is converted to its more active metabolite amfenac by intraocular hydrolases present in vascular ocular tissues, including the retina/choroid. Case series have already been reported suggesting that nepafenac 0.1% has activity against various etiologies of CME. The retrospective cases presented below add to the evidence that the off-label use of nepafenac 0.1% is effective as treatment for pseudophakic and uveitic CME.

**Methods**

A retrospective review was performed on 22 cases (20 patients) of documented CME from four academic centers treated between 2005 and 2008 with nepafenac 0.1% (Nevanac®, Alcon Laboratories, Inc., Fort Worth, TX, USA) three times daily (TID). These cases were chosen for their representative response to nepafenac treatment. CME was documented by observing retinal edema on optical coherence tomography (OCT) using a Stratus OCT 3 (Carl Zeiss Meditec, Inc., Dublin, CA) and a reduction in Snellen best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA). Acute pseudophakic CME was defined as untreated CME with a duration of less than six months, whereas chronic pseudophakic CME was classified as any CME persisting for at least six months. CME that had failed prior therapy was labeled recalcitrant. Nepafenac treatment was discontinued when substantial improvements in visual acuity and/or retinal thickness were documented. The specific cases presented below are representative examples of each type of CME.

**Results**

**Uveitic CME**

Five of the six uveitic eyes were recalcitrant to previous CME therapy, with four failing ketorolac + prednisolone acetate 1% and one failing prednisolone alone (Table 1). After six weeks to six months of treatment with nepafenac 0.1% TID (three eyes received concurrent prednisolone acetate 1%), CME improved in all six eyes. Retinal thickness decreased by an average of 227 ± 168.1 μm and vision improved by an average of 0.36 ± 0.20 logMAR.

**Patient #1**

A 42-year-old man presented with bilateral recalcitrant CME secondary to pars planitis. He had failed previous combination therapy with prednisolone acetate 1% and ketorolac 0.4% (Acular LS®, Allergan, Inc., Irvine, CA, USA) for eight months. His BCVA at the time of presentation was 20/80 in the right eye and 20/100 in the left eye. The left eye, which had a central retinal thickness of 667 μm, was treated with intravitreal triamcinolone. Four weeks later (after administration of triple IOP-lowering drops decreased IOP from 56 mmHg to 22 mmHg), the retinal thickness in the left eye had decreased to 204 μm and BCVA had improved to 20/25. Instead of injecting the right eye with intravitreal triamcinolone, as the patient was now a known “steroid responder”, the decision was made to treat three times daily (TID) with nepafenac 0.1% for three months. At the completion of therapy, right eye central retinal thickness had decreased from 695 μm to 164 μm and BCVA had improved to 20/20 (Figure 1).

**Acute pseudophakic CME**

For the three cases of acute pseudophakic CME, improvement occurred after 4–10 weeks of treatment with nepafenac 0.1% with or without prednisolone acetate 1% (Table 2). One of the three patients did not achieve an improvement in vision, but this was due to underlying retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) changes from dry age-related macular degeneration rather than any residual edema.

**Patient #6**

A 75-year-old woman was diagnosed with CME in the right eye one month after uncomplicated phacoemulsification and posterior chamber intraocular lens (IOL) implantation, showing a central retinal thickness of 448 μm and visual acuity of 20/50. She was started on treatment with nepafenac 0.1% TID and prednisolone acetate 1% QID (four times daily); within one month of treatment the CME had completely resolved, with a retinal thickness of 211 μm and BCVA of 20/40 (Figure 2). Upon completion of the remainder of her medication, her vision improved further to 20/30.

**Chronic/recalcitrant pseudophakic CME**

Pseudophakic CME cases that met the criteria for chronic and/or recalcitrant CME were grouped together. Nine of the 13 eyes in this category had been treated unsuccessfully with combination old generation NSAID/prednisolone therapy for as long as 16 months (Table 3). Of the remaining four eyes, two were treated with prednisolone acetate 1% alone, one was treated with ketorolac 0.5% alone, and the other had received no prior treatment. After 1–8 months of treatment with nepafenac 0.1% (two eyes were treated concomitantly with prednisolone
### Table 1: Details of six cases of uveitic cystoid macular edema treated with nepafenac 0.1%

| Case | Previous treatment | Length of previous treatment | Pre-treatment retinal thickness (μm) | Post-treatment retinal thickness (μm) | Retinal thickness improvement (μm) | Pre-treatment VA (logMAR VA) | Post-treatment VA (logMAR VA) | VA improvement (logMAR) | Treatment |
|------|--------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|
| #1   | ketorolac + prednisolone | 8 months                  | 695                                  | 164                                 | 531                               | 20/80 (0.60)              | 20/20 (0)                 | 0.60                     | Nepafenac 0.1% TID 3 months |
| #2 OD| ketorolac + prednisolone | 6 months                  | 465                                  | 207                                 | 258                               | 20/60 − 1 (0.50)          | 20/20 (0)                 | 0.50                     | Nepafenac 0.1% TID 6 months |
| #2 OS| ketorolac + prednisolone | 6 months                  | 443                                  | 179                                 | 264                               | 20/60 − 1 (0.50)          | 20/20 (0)                 | 0.50                     | Nepafenac 0.1% TID 6 months |
| #3   | prednisolone        | >3 years                   | 315                                  | 204                                 | 111                               | 20/40 (0.30)              | 20/25 (0.10)              | 0.20                     | Nepafenac 0.1% TID and prednisolone acetate 1% QID 11 weeks |
| #4   | none                | not applicable             | 365                                  | 254                                 | 111                               | 20/100 (0.70)             | 20/80 + 1 (0.58)         | 0.12                     | Nepafenac 0.1% TID and prednisolone acetate 1% TID 6+ weeks |
| #5   | ketorolac + prednisolone | 2 months                | 371                                  | 284                                 | 87                                | 20/50 − 1 (0.42)          | 20/30 (0.18)              | 0.24                     | Nepafenac 0.1% and prednisolone acetate 1% TID 12+ weeks |

**Total mean data ± SD**

- Pre-treatment retinal thickness: **442 ± 135.4 μm**
- Post-treatment retinal thickness: **215 ± 45.5 μm**
- Retinal thickness improvement: **227 ± 168.1 μm**
- Pre-treatment VA (logMAR VA): **0.50 ± 0.14**
- Post-treatment VA (logMAR VA): **0.14 ± 0.23**
- VA improvement (logMAR): **0.36 ± 0.20**

**Abbreviations:** QID, four times daily; SD, standard deviation; TID, three times daily; VA, visual acuity.
acetate 1%), improvement in retinal thickness was observed in all cases but one. At the most recent visit, these eyes showed a mean decrease in retinal thickness of 178 ± 128.7 μm and a mean improvement in visual acuity of 0.33 ± 0.19 logMAR. Five of the 13 eyes continue to receive nepafenac 0.1% in an attempt to produce complete resolution of CME.

Patient #12
A 69-year-old woman was diagnosed with CME in the right eye after undergoing uncomplicated cataract surgery. She was initially treated with ketorolac 0.5% and prednisolone acetate 1% for six months, but failed to respond to therapy; OCT image after treatment showed a retinal thickness of 690 μm and visual acuity was 20/60 (Figure 3). Ketorolac and prednisolone were discontinued and nepafenac was initiated. One month after TID administration of nepafenac 0.1% alone, retinal thickness had improved to 262 μm and BCVA was 20/30.

All highlighted patients were representative of the other patients within each category.

Discussion
Although no randomized, controlled clinical trials have yet been reported on the ability of nepafenac 0.1% to treat CME, evidence is mounting that this drug has promising activity against macular edema of varying etiologies. One recently reported case series illustrates the positive impact

![Figure 1 Recalcitrant uveitic CME: Patient #1 OCT images. A) The patient shows retinal edema and cystoid spaces (see arrow), with a retinal thickness of 695 μm prior to nepafenac treatment. B) The patient shows resolution of retinal edema, with a retinal thickness of 164 μm after three months of nepafenac treatment. Abbreviations: CME, cystoid macular edema; OCT, optical coherence tomography.](image-url)
Treatment of CME with nepafenac 0.1%

The conversion of the prodrug nepafenac into its more active metabolite, amfenac, is performed by intraocular hydrolases; these enzymes are present in ocular tissues such as the cornea and iris/ciliary body, but are at their highest concentrations in the retina/choroid. This fact should provide an effective targeting of active drug to the macula, perhaps providing an advantage over conventional NSAIDs, none of which have a prodrug structure.

Preclinical studies do, in fact, support this hypothesis. Ex vivo studies using rabbit retina/choroid tissues demonstrated that nepafenac 0.1% reached its peak activity (suppression of prostaglandin production) sooner than diclofenac 0.1% (40 minutes vs 80 minutes). Moreover, nepafenac 0.1% produced greater and more sustained prostaglandin inhibition than diclofenac 0.1%. Ke and colleagues showed that compared to diclofenac, nepafenac exhibited 6-fold faster corneal permeability, likely due to its neutral prodrug structure; logic would suggest that faster entry into the anterior chamber may translate to faster entry into the posterior chamber as well. Finally, results from an in vivo rabbit study of concanavalin A-induced retinal edema showed that nepafenac inhibited retinal inflammation, as measured by blood–retinal barrier breakdown and prostaglandin synthesis, significantly better than either diclofenac or ketorolac.

Therefore, preclinical studies have demonstrated not only the targeted bioactivation of nepafenac, but also its increased absorption, greater activity and longer duration of action in the posterior segment relative to conventional NSAIDs; these characteristics suggest that nepafenac would perform favorably compared to conventional NSAIDs in the prevention and treatment of retinal edema. The relatively small number of cases presented here support, although they cannot prove, this hypothesis. However, they do warrant formal investigation of nepafenac in placebo- and active-controlled trials for the treatment of CME.
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Table 3 Details of 13 cases of chronic/recalcitrant pseudophakic cystoid macular edema treated with nepafenac 0.1%

| Case | Previous treatment | Length of previous treatment | Pre-treatment retinal thickness (μm) | Post-treatment retinal thickness (μm) | Retinal thickness improvement (μm) | Pre-treatment VA (logMAR VA) | Post-treatment VA (logMAR VA) | VA improvement (logMAR) | Current treatment |
|------|--------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| #9   | ketorolac + prednisolone | 1 month                     | 216                                 | 183                                  | 33                                | 20/200 (1.00)               | 20/60 (0.48)               | 0.52                | Nepafenac 0.1% TID 1 month |
| #10  | prednisolone        | 1 month                     | 499                                 | 291                                  | 208                               | 20/40 – 1 (0.32)            | 20/30 + 1 (0.16)           | 0.16                | Nepafenac 0.1% TID 1 month |
| #11  | prednisolone        | 1 month                     | 327                                 | 278                                  | 49                                | 20/60 + 1 (0.46)            | 20/40 + 1 (0.28)           | 0.18                | Nepafenac 0.1% TID 2 months |
| #12  | ketorolac + prednisolone | 6 months                    | 690                                 | 262                                  | 428                               | 20/60 (0.48)                | 20/30 (0.18)               | 0.30                | Nepafenac 0.1% TID 1 month |
| #13  | None                | Not applicable              | 699                                 | 413                                  | 286                               | 20/300 – 1 (1.20)           | 20/60 + 1 (0.46)           | 0.74                | Nepafenac 0.1% TID 4 + months + prednisolone acetate QID 2 months |
| #14 OD | ketorolac + prednisolone | 16 months intermittently    | 454                                 | 187                                  | 267                               | 20/80 + 1 (0.58)            | 20/40 + 1 (0.28)           | 0.30                | Nepafenac 0.1% TID 8 + months |
| #14 OS | ketorolac + prednisolone, IVT | 16 months intermittently    | 524                                 | 180                                  | 344                               | 20/80 (0.60)                | 20/40 – 1 (0.32)           | 0.28                | Nepafenac 0.1% TID 6 + months |
| #15  | ketorolac + prednisolone | 7 months                    | 418                                 | 235                                  | 183                               | 20/100 (0.70)               | 20/40 (0.30)               | 0.40                | Nepafenac 0.1% TID 3 months |
| #16  | ketorolac + prednisolone | 2 months                    | 411                                 | 257                                  | 154                               | 20/200 (1.00)               | 20/60 – 1 (0.50)           | 0.50                | Nepafenac 0.1% TID 2.25 + months |
| #17  | ketorolac + prednisolone | 3 months                    | 377                                 | 267                                  | 110                               | 20/40 (0.30)                | 20/25 + 1 (0.08)           | 0.22                | Nepafenac 0.1% TID 6 months |
| #18  | ketorolac            | 3 months                    | 585                                 | 441                                  | 144                               | 20/200 (1.00)               | 20/60 (0.48)               | 0.52                | Nepafenac 0.1% TID 3 + months |
| #19  | flurbiprofen + prednisolone | 4 months                    | 367                                 | 232                                  | 135                               | 20/25 – 1 (0.12)           | 20/20 (0)                  | 0.12                | Nepafenac 0.1% TID 1 month |
| #20  | ketorolac + prednisolone | 6 weeks                     | 294                                 | 322                                  | –28                               | 20/60 (0.48)                | 20/50 (0.40)               | 0.08                | Nepafenac 0.1% TID + prednisolone acetate QID 1 + month |

Total mean data ± SD  
451 ± 145.7  273 ± 80.8  178 ± 128.7  0.63 ± 0.33  0.30 ± 0.16  0.33 ± 0.19

Note: Patient #13 had untreated CME for 24 months prior to nepafenac treatment.

Abbreviations: IVT, intravitreal triamcinolone; QID, four times daily; SD, standard deviation; TID, three times daily; VA, visual acuity.
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