Spindle Assembly Checkpoint of Oocytes Depends on a Kinetochore Structure Determined by Cohesin in Meiosis I
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Summary

Since the dissolution of sister chromatid cohesion by separation and cyclin B destruction is irreversible, it is essential to delay both until all chromosomes have bioriented on the mitotic spindle. Kinetochores that are not correctly attached to the spindle generate the mitotic checkpoint complex (MCC), which inhibits the anaphase-promoting complex/cyclosome (APC/C) and blocks anaphase onset. This process is known as the spindle assembly checkpoint (SAC). The SAC is especially important in meiosis I, where bivalents consisting of homologous chromosomes held together by chiasmata biorient. Since the first meiotic division is unaffected by rare achiasmatic chromosomes or misaligned bivalents [2–7], it is thought that several tensionless kinetochores are required to produce sufficient MCC for APC/C inhibition. Consistent with this, univalents lacking chiasmata elicit a SAC-mediated arrest in Mlh1−/− oocytes. In contrast, chromatids generated by TEV protease-induced cohesion cleavage in Rec8+/–TEV oocytes merely delay APC/C activation. Since the arrest of Mlh1−/− Rec8+/–TEV oocytes is alleviated by TEV protease, when targeted to kinetochores, we conclude that their SAC depends on cohesion as well as dedicated kinetochore proteins. This has important implications for aging oocytes [8, 9], where cohesion deterioration will induce sister kinetochore biorientation and compromise MCC production, leading to chromosome mis-segregation and aneuploid fetuses.

Results and Discussion

The spindle assembly checkpoint (SAC) is essential for delaying anaphase onset in meiosis I [10–16]. Many bivalents undergo one or more rounds of error correction before they achieve stable biorientation [17], as was initially observed in grasshopper spermatocytes [18]. It is presumed, but not known with certainty, that kinetochores of bivalents that have not yet bioriented fail to come under the tension required to turn off mitotic checkpoint complex (MCC) production. Consistent with this, oocytes from many but not all [19] strains of Mlh1−/− mice, which cannot form chiasmata and accumulate up to 40 univalent chromosomes, rarely extrude polar bodies [20]. Because polar body extrusion (PBE) is unaffected by the presence of a single unpaired X chromosome [2] and our unpublished data) or by rare achiasmatic chromosomes [3], it is thought that several “mono-oriented” kinetochores are required to produce sufficient MCC to inhibit the APC/C effectively. How MCC production is regulated by kinetochore structure is poorly understood.

SAC Response to Kinetochores Associated with Chromatids in Meiosis I Oocytes

We previously reported the destruction of all sister chromatid cohesion in meiosis I oocytes by microinjection of TEV protease into oocytes from Rec8+/–TEV mice that express Rec8 containing TEV protease recognition sites [21], which converts bivalent chromosomes into chromatids. To image the effect on cell-cycle progression, we harvested fully grown germlinal vesicle (GV)-stage Rec8+/–TEV oocytes in the presence of phosphodiesterase inhibitor 3-isobutyl-1-methylxanthine (IBMX) and microinjected them with mRNA encoding TEV protease or frameshift TEV protease, histone H2B-mCherry to mark chromosomes, and securin-EGFP to measure anaphase-promoting complex/cyclosome (APC/C) activity [15] (Figure 1A). In oocytes expressing frameshift TEV, IBMX removal leads to GV breakdown (GVBD), biorientation of bivalents on spindles, a drop in securin-EGFP fluorescence due to APC/C activation, conversion of bivalents into dyad chromosomes, segregation of dyads to opposite poles, and PBE. PBE occurred in 84% of control cells (n = 63) (Figures 1B and 1D). TEV expression in oocytes converted their 20 bivalents to 80 chromatids that moved from one end of the spindle to the other (Figure 1B; see also Figure S1A and Movie S1 available online).

Given that the 40 univalent chromosomes of Mlh1−/− oocytes from several mouse strains also move from one end of the spindle to the other and that their failure to biorient is accompanied by an indefinite cell-cycle arrest, we expected that the 80 individual kinetochores of Rec8+/–TEV oocytes injected with TEV protease would generate a similarly robust SAC response. Surprisingly, 62% of oocytes (n = 67) containing only chromatids degraded securin-EGFP after a short delay and divided, producing highly aneuploid eggs (Figures 1B–1D). They frequently produced two polar bodies simultaneously (Figure 1B; Figure S1A; Movie S1), a phenomenon presumably caused by interaction of both poles of the extended spindles with the cell cortex [22]. Similar results were obtained when recombinant TEV protease was injected (data not shown). Crucially, the precocious loss of cohesion merely retarded PBE by 2–3 hr (control PBE 9 hr 22 min ± 47 min [n = 37], TEV protease PBE 11 hr 48 min ± 1 hr 34 min [n = 27], t < 0.0001). This delay depends on the SAC, because a dominant-negative version of the APC/C activator Cdc20 (Cdc20R132A) that cannot be bound by Mad2 [23, 24] advanced PBE of Rec8+/–TEV oocytes (6 hr 19 min ± 1 hr 11 min [n = 26]) when coexpressed with TEV protease. PBE was also advanced by inhibition of Aurora kinase (Figure S1B), suggesting that the delay depends on activity of the chromosomal passenger complex (CPC) controlling the SAC, as in...
wild-type oocytes [25]. To test whether cohesin is essential for a robust SAC response, we cultured Rec8\textsuperscript{TEV/TEV} oocytes expressing TEV or frameshift TEV protease in low concentrations of nocodazole after spindle assembly. Neither set of oocytes underwent PBE (Figure 1D), suggesting that microtubule depolymerization can trigger a robust SAC response in the absence of cohesin. These observations suggest that kinetochores associated with chromatids activate the SAC in a CPC-dependent manner during meiosis I but do so in a less robust manner than those associated with univalent chromosomes. During the course of this work, it was reported that strain background affects the cell-cycle arrest caused by Mlh1 loss [19]. As will become apparent from experiments described below, the more robust SAC response associated with univalents as compared to chromatids cannot be attributed to strain background differences.

**SAC Response to Kinetochores Associated with Chromatids in Mitotic Zygotes**

Kinetochores associated with individual chromatids are not a normal feature of meiosis I, but they are a normal feature of mitosis. Mitotic cells might therefore be expected to mount a more vigorous SAC response than meiosis I cells upon loss of sister chromatid cohesion. To test this under comparable conditions, in particular in cells with a similar cellular volume, we analyzed the consequences of using TEV protease to create individual chromatids in fertilized eggs (i.e., zygotes). Since maternally provided Scc1 mediates sister chromatid cohesion during the first embryonic cell cycle, zygotes with TEV-cleavable cohesin can be produced by mating Scc1\textsuperscript{TEVMyc/TEVMyc} females to Scc1 males [21]. Pronuclear stage Scc1\textsuperscript{TEVMyc(1/4)}/\textsuperscript{+} zygotes were injected with H2B-mCherry and securin-EGFP mRNA and injected with TEV protease mRNA after NEBD. Injection of control mRNA into prometaphase zygotes had no effect on mitotic progression, and 7 of 7 cells divided within 2.5 hr (Figure 2E). Of 14 TEV-injected cells, 5 divided possibly due to insufficient TEV expression and Scc1 cleavage prior to APC/C activation (a period < 2 hr). In contrast, 9 of 14 TEV-injected cells arrested indefinitely in a mitotic state with chromatids and produced by Scc1 cleavage in prometaphase zygotes are insufficient to trigger a stable mitotic arrest. Given that there is a negligible difference in cell volume between oocytes and zygotes, the weak SAC response of oocytes to chromatids cannot be attributed to dilution of MCC in a large cell. Our data instead imply that the SAC is under developmental control. Kinetochores associated with chromatids are much less effective in
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We conclude that the extended, frequently indefinite arrest been activated prior to migration of the spindle to the cortex. Cdc20R132A (Figure 3B), possibly because the APC/C had Interestingly, cytokinesis failed in 25% of oocytes expressing with dyad chromosomes on metaphase II spindles (Figure 3A).

followed by biorientation of sister kinetochores associated with APC/C activation, and followed by cell division in most absence of sister kinetochore biorientation, was accompanied by APC/C activation, and followed by cell division in most cases (Figures 3A and 3B; Figure S2B). The segregation of univalents was accompanied by loss of arm cohesion and was followed by biorientation of sister kinetochores associated with dyad chromosomes on metaphase II spindles (Figure 3A). Interestingly, cytokinesis failed in 25% of oocytes expressing Cdc20R132A (Figure 3B), possibly because the APC/C had been activated prior to migration of the spindle to the cortex. We conclude that the extended, frequently indefinite arrest of Mlh1/−/− oocytes in meiosis I depends on binding of Mad2 to Cdc20. SAC activity is therefore responsible for inhibiting PBE in Mlh1/−/− oocytes.

mounting a robust SAC response in meiosis I oocytes than in zygotes. Current experiments do not allow us to say whether the transition to a state that responds more robustly occurs upon completion of meiosis I or upon fertilization.

SAC Response to Mono-oriented Kinetochore of Univalents

Given the striking difference in the responses of oocytes with univalents and chromatids, we reinvestigated the behavior of Mlh1/−/− oocytes. We initially addressed two questions: do we also see an extended arrest, and if so, is this arrest attributable to the SAC? Greater than 90% of Mlh1/−/− oocytes arrested in meiosis I (n = 26), even when coinjected with wild-type Cdc20 mRNA (n = 20) (Figures 3A and 3B). Importantly, univalent chromosomes contained only a single EGFP-CenpB focus for >17 hr post GVBD (Figure 3A), implying that their kinetochores remain mono-oriented. Like the chromatids induced by Rec8 cleavage, univalents moved back and forth along the spindles (Movie S3), indicating an unstable association between mono-oriented kinetochores and microtubules. Coinjection of Cdc20R132A mRNA had a dramatic effect in 27 of 27 cells, causing univalents to segregate randomly without prior congression to a metaphase plate (Figure 3A), and triggering advanced securin degradation (Figures S2A and S2B). Chromosome segregation occurred in the absence of sister kinetochore biorientation, was accompanied by APC/C activation, and followed by cell division in most cases (Figures 3A and 3B; Figure S2B). The segregation of univalents was accompanied by loss of arm cohesion and was followed by biorientation of sister kinetochores associated with dyad chromosomes on metaphase II spindles (Figure 3A). Interestingly, cytokinesis failed in 25% of oocytes expressing Cdc20R132A (Figure 3B), possibly because the APC/C had been activated prior to migration of the spindle to the cortex. We conclude that the extended, frequently indefinite arrest of Mlh1/−/− oocytes in meiosis I depends on binding of Mad2 to Cdc20. SAC activity is therefore responsible for inhibiting PBE in Mlh1/−/− oocytes.

SAC-dependent Meiosis I Arrest of Univalents Depends on Cohesin

The simplest explanation for the different behavior of Mlh1/−/− oocytes and those whose Rec8 has been cleaved by TEV is that cohesin affects the structure of mono-oriented kinetochores on univalent (as well as bivalent) chromosomes in a manner that enhances their ability to generate MCC. If so, then the arrest of Mlh1/−/− oocytes should be abbreviated by cleaving cohesin. However, there are alternative explanations. What if the SAC response of Mlh1/−/− oocytes is induced by DNA damage caused by defective resolution of recombination intermediates along chromosome arms? If so, then cleaving cohesin might not alleviate the arrest, unless cohesin itself were required for DNA damage signaling, which is also a possibility [26]. This raises a key issue: is the phenotype caused by Rec8 cleavage epistatic to that caused by Mlh1/−/−, or vice versa?

To answer this, we created Mlh1/−/− Rec8TEV/TEV oocytes in which TEV-cleavable Rec8 maintains univalent cohesion. Because the Mlh1/−/− Rec8TEV/TEV oocytes have a mixed B6/129Sv background, we first confirmed that they do indeed arrest in meiosis I. Importantly, 95% of Mlh1/−/− Rec8TEV/TEV oocytes arrested in meiosis I, and only 5% underwent PBE (n = 74) (Figures 3C and 3D). To test whether their arrest depends on cohesin, Mlh1/−/− Rec8TEV/TEV oocytes were coinjected with TEV or frameshift TEV mRNA and scored for PBE (Figure 3C). Strikingly, TEV protease injection triggered chromatid segregation to one pole or another without prior congression to a metaphase plate and PBE in 43% of Mlh1/−/− Rec8TEV/TEV oocytes (n = 51) (Figures 3D; Figure S2C), implying that cohesin is required for their extended meiosis I arrest. Thus, the SAC response associated with Rec8 cleavage is epistatic to that associated with loss of Mlh1.

To address whether cohesin cleavage affects recruitment of SAC components to kinetochores, we analyzed on chromosome spreads the distribution of Bub1. Following conversion of univalents to chromatids due to injection of TEV mRNA
into Mlh1−/− Rec8TEV/TEV oocytes, Bub1 still localized to kinetochores in the absence of cohesin (Figure S3A). Since the SAC is also sustained by Aurora B/C kinase, a CPC subunit, we examined the localization of phosphorylated active Aurora C. Together with the finding that cohesin has been implicated in DNA damage signaling, we concluded that the CPC can function in the absence of cohesin.

**SAC-Dependent Arrest of Univalents Depends on Cohesin near Kinetochores**

Since cohesin has been implicated in DNA damage signaling, our finding that the meiosis I arrest of Mlh1−/− Rec8TEV/TEV oocytes depends on cohesin integrity does not exclude the possibility that their SAC response originates from DNA damage along chromosome arms. According to this scenario, it is cleavage of cohesin along chromosome arms that relieves the arrest. In this case, cleavage of cohesin solely in the vicinity of kinetochores should have little effect. In contrast, selective cleavage at kinetochores should shorten the meiosis I arrest if the SAC signal arises from mono-oriented kinetochores that cannot be brought under tension (Figure S4A). We therefore attempted to localize Rec8 cleavage by targeting active or catalytically inactive (TEVD81N) TEV protease to kinetochores by fusing both proteins to a CenpC motif, which causes association with kinetochores, and mCherry, which enables their visualization. CenpC-mCherry-TEV (CCTEV) colocalized with EGFP-CenpB as single foci at mono-oriented kinetochores in prometaphase I and as split foci associated with bioriented sister kinetochores in metaphase II of wild-type oocytes (Figure S4B).

To obtain selective cleavage during meiosis I, we found it necessary to inject CCTEV mRNA with a 10-fold lower concentration. GV-stage Mlh1−/− Rec8TEV/TEV oocytes were injected with CCTEV or CCTEVD81N, H2B-mCherry, and EGFP-CenpB mRNA followed by time-lapse microscopy (Figure 4A). CCTEVD81N had no discernible effect. All oocytes contained univalent chromosomes that failed to congress to metaphase plates and arrested indefinitely in meiosis I (Figures 4A and 4F). CCTEV, in contrast, clearly induced sister kinetochore splitting, as measured by distinct EGFP-CenpB foci separated by more than 1 μm, without any discernible effect on arm cohesion. Sister kinetochore splitting was accompanied by congress of most chromosomes to a metaphase plate (Figures 4A–4C; Figure S4C). It also induced anaphase chromosome movements and PBE with kinetics similar to wild-type (Figures 4D and 4E; Movie S4). Because cleavage of cohesin only in the vicinity of kinetochores shortened the meiosis I arrest, we conclude that cohesin is required for efficient MCC production, at least in the absence of chiasmata. Our experiment also demonstrates that Rec8-cohesin is necessary for sister kinetochore mono-orientation in oocytes.

**Conclusions**

The SAC response of meiosis I oocytes to a few achiasmate or misaligned chromosomes is weak [2–7, 19], giving rise to the notion that there is a threshold amount of congressed chromosomes to satisfy SAC requirements. We describe here the consequences of 80 chromatids whose kinetochores cannot come under tension created by biorientation on MCC production as measured by APC/C activation. To our surprise, we found that the SAC responds differently to precocious loss...
of sister chromatid cohesion in meiosis I and mitosis. Kinetochore-associated chromatin is far less effective in mounting a robust SAC in meiosis I oocytes than in zygotes, implying that the SAC is developmentally regulated. Current data do not allow us to distinguish whether the change in SAC response is due to differences in chromosome biology or in cytoplasmic factors. However, we have further discovered that cohesin is especially important for SAC activity in meiosis I oocytes. The effect is chromosome specific, as centromeric cohesin shapes kinetochore structure to promote efficient MCC production in meiosis I. A crucial implication for meiosis I oocytes is that cohesin deterioration compromises the SAC in two ways: by promoting sister kinetochore bi-orientation and by impairing efficient MCC production at kinetochores associated with chromatid masses. In experiments where oocytes were cultured in medium containing 400 nM nocodazole or 5 μM ZM447439, controls were treated with an equivalent amount of ethanol or DMSO solvent, respectively. For TEV cleavage experiments in prometaphase zygotes, cells were first injected with mRNA encoding H2B-mCherry and securin-EGFP in interphase and monitored manually for NEBD. Cells were injected with control or TEV protease mRNA within 30 min of NEBD. For experiments with CCTEV or CCTEV81N, oocytes were microinjected with 5–10 pl of 30 ng/μl mRNA for visualization of mCherry localization and with 3 ng/μl mRNA for cleavage of centromeric cohesin.

Experimental Procedures

Mice were housed in animal facilities at the University of Oxford, where all procedures were approved by local ethical review committees and licensed by the Home Office under the Animal (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986. Mice were also housed in the animal facility of IMBA, where all experiments were carried out according to valid project licences approved by Austrian veterinary authorities.

In Vitro Culture and Microinjection of Cells

Fully grown mouse GV oocytes and zygotes were isolated, cultured, and injected as described previously [21]. In experiments where oocytes were cultured in medium containing 400 nM nocodazole or 5 μM ZM447439, controls were treated with an equivalent amount of ethanol or DMSO solvent, respectively. For TEV cleavage experiments in prometaphase zygotes, cells were first injected with mRNA encoding H2B-mCherry and securin-EGFP in interphase and monitored manually for NEBD. Cells were injected with control or TEV protease mRNA within 30 min of NEBD. For experiments with CCTEV or CCTEV81N, oocytes were microinjected with 5–10 pl of 30 ng/μl mRNA for visualization of mCherry localization and with 3 ng/μl mRNA for cleavage of centromeric cohesin.

Time-Lapse Live Confocal Microscopy

Cells were cultured in a PeCon (Erbach) environmental microscope incubator allowing maintenance of a 5% CO₂ atmosphere with humidity at 37°C during time-lapse experiments. A customized Zeiss LSM510 META confocal microscope equipped with Plan C-Apochromat 63×/1.2 NA water immersion objective lens was used for image acquisition. For detection of EGFP and mCherry, 488 nm and 561 nm excitation wavelengths and band-pass 505–550 and long-pass 575 filters were used. Chromosomes labeled with H2B-mCherry were tracked with an EMBL-developed tracking macro [27] adapted to our microscope. Image stacks of 7–11 slices of 2–3.4 μm were captured every 5–15 min for up to 18 hr. Quantitative analysis of the density of fluorescence was performed with ImageJ software (http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij). To measure securin-EGFP signal, we defined the area occupied by the cell and measured mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) of the signal within this area. Values were corrected for background fluorescence and normalized to the value at NEBD or GVBD as described previously [13]. In order to reduce noise in the ratio differences, the securin data were smoothed by calculating the average of four time points.

Figure 4. Selective Cleavage of Centromeric Cohesin Relieves the Meiosis I Arrest Triggered by Kinetochore-Associated Univalent Chromosomes

(A) Mlh1+/− Rec8TEV/TEV GV oocytes injected with mRNA encoding H2B-mCherry, EGFP-CenpB, and CCTEVBD81N (top panel) or CCTEV (lower panels) were cultured for 1–2 hr in IBMX and then released to undergo GVBD. Time is shown relative to GVBD (t = 0, hr:min). Insets display EGFP-CenpB foci in prometaphase I. Scale bar represents 1 μm.

(B) Distance between sister kinetochores was determined for CCTEVBD81N- and CCTEV-expressing Mlh1+/− Rec8TEV/TEV oocytes. Kinetochore measurements were performed at 17 hr post GVBD for CCTEVBD81N-expressing cells, which corresponds to prometaphase since these cells remain arrested in meiosis I. Kinetochore measurements were performed at metaphase I for CCTEV-expressing cells.

(C) Chromosome congression was determined by analyzing chromosome location within a 13 × 18 μm box centered on the metaphase I plate.

(D) Securin-EGFP fluorescence levels of Mlh1+/− Rec8TV/TEV oocytes expressing CCTEVBD81N and H2B-mCherry, with black time points indicating metaphase until separation of chromosome masses.

(E) Securin-EGFP fluorescence levels of Mlh1+/− Rec8TV/TEV oocytes expressing CCTEV and H2B-mCherry, with black time points indicating metaphase until separation of chromosome masses.

(F) PBE of Mlh1+/− Rec8TV/TEV oocytes expressing CCTEVBD81N or CCTEV up to 14 hr post GVBD.
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Acknowledgments

We thank James Turner for Mlh1+/− mice, Yoshinori Watanabe for CenpC-EGFP, and Jason Swedlow for the EGFP-CenpB plasmid. We are grateful to Magda Wolna, Lysie Champion, and Kerstin Klien for technical assistance. This work was supported by the Wellcome Trust and an MRC grant held by K.N. and K.T.-K. D.J.A is supported by CRUK and the Wellcome Trust. Work in K.T.K’s laboratory is supported by the Austrian Academy of Sciences.

Received: July 7, 2013
Revised: September 25, 2013
Accepted: October 21, 2013
Published: November 27, 2013

References

1. Musacchio, A., and Ciliberto, A. (2012). The spindle-assembly checkpoint and the beauty of self-destruction. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. 19, 1059–1061.
2. LeMaire-Adkins, R., Radke, K., and Hunt, P.A. (1997). Lack of checkpoint control at the metaphase/anaphase transition: a mechanism of meiotic nondisjunction in mammalian females. J. Cell Biol. 139, 1611–1619.
3. Kouznetsova, A., Lister, L., Nordenskjöld, M., Herbert, M., and Höög, C. (2007). Bi-orientation of achiasmatic chromosomes in meiosis I oocytes contributes to aneuploidy in mice. Nat. Genet. 39, 966–968.
4. Kolano, A., Brunet, S., Silk, A.D., Cleveland, D.W., and Verlhac, M.H. (2012). Error-prone mammalian female meiosis from silencing the spindle assembly checkpoint without normal interkinetochore tension. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 109, E1888–E1887.
5. Lane, S.I., Yun, Y., and Jones, K.T. (2012). Timing of anaphase-promoting complex activation in mouse oocytes is predicted by microtubule-kinetochore attachment but not by bivalent alignment or tension. Development 139, 1947–1955.
6. Gui, L., and Homer, H. (2012). Spindle assembly checkpoint signalling is uncoupled from chromosomal position in mouse oocytes. Development 139, 1941–1946.
7. Sebestova, J., Danylevska, A., Novakova, L., Kubelka, M., and Anger, M. (2012). Lack of response to unaligned chromosomes in mammalian female gametes. Cell Cycle 11, 3011–3018.
8. Chiang, T., Duncan, F.E., Schindler, K., Schultz, R.M., and Lampson, M.A. (2010). Evidence that weakened centromere cohesion is a leading cause of age-related aneuploidy in oocytes. Curr. Biol. 20, 1522–1528.
9. Lister, L.M., Kouznetsova, A., Hyslop, L.A., Kalleas, D., Pace, S.L., Barel, J.C., Nathan, A., Flores, V., Adeltalk, C., Watanabe, Y., et al. (2010). Age-related meiotic segregation errors in mammalian oocytes are preceded by depletion of cohesin and Sgo2. Curr. Biol. 20, 1511–1521.
10. Brunet, S., Pahlavan, G., Taylor, S., and Maro, B. (2003). Functionality of the spindle checkpoint during the first meiotic division of mammalian oocytes. Reproduction 126, 443–450.
11. Wassmann, K., Niault, T., and Maro, B. (2003). Metaphase I arrest upon activation of the Mad2-dependent spindle checkpoint in mouse oocytes. Curr. Biol. 13, 1596–1608.
12. Tsurumi, C., Hoffmann, S., Geley, S., Graeser, R., and Polanski, Z. (2004). The spindle assembly checkpoint is not essential for CSF arrest of mouse oocytes. J. Cell Biol. 167, 1037–1050.
13. Homer, H.A., McDougall, A., Levasseur, M., Murdoch, A.P., and Herbert, M. (2005). Mad2 is required for inhibiting securin and cyclin B degradation following spindle depolymerisation in meiosis I mouse oocytes. Reproduction 130, 829–843.
14. Homer, H.A., McDougall, A., Levasseur, M., Yallop, K., Murdoch, A.P., and Herbert, M. (2005). Mad2 prevents aneuploidy and premature proteolysis of cyclin B and securin during meiosis I in mouse oocytes. Genes Dev. 19, 202–207.
15. McGuinness, B.E., Anger, M., Kouznetsova, A., Gil-Bernabé, A.M., Helmhart, W., Kudo, N.R., Wueneschke, A., Taylor, S., Hoog, C., Novak, B., and Nasmyth, K. (2009). Regulation of APC/C activity in oocytes by a Bub1-dependent spindle assembly checkpoint. Curr. Biol. 19, 389–390.
16. Niault, T., Hached, K., Sotillo, R., Sorger, P.K., Maro, B., Benezra, R., and Wassmann, K. (2007). Changing Mad2 levels affects chromosome segregation and spindle assembly checkpoint control in female mouse meiosis I. PLoS ONE 2, e1165.
17. Kitajima, T.S., Ohsugi, M., and Ellenberg, J. (2011). Complete kinetochore tracking reveals error-prone homologous chromosome biorientation in mammalian oocytes. Cell 146, 568–581.
18. Nicklas, R.B., and Koch, C.A. (1969). Chromosome micromanipulation. 3. Spindle fiber tension and the reorientation of mal-oriented chromosomes. J. Cell Biol. 43, 40–50.
19. Nagaoka, S.I., Hodges, C.A., Albertini, D.F., and Hunt, P.A. (2011). Oocyte-specific differences in cell-cycle control create an innate susceptibility to meiotic errors. Curr. Biol. 21, 651–657.
20. Woods, L.M., Hodges, C.A., Baart, E., Baker, S.M., Liskay, M., and Hunt, P.A. (1999). Chromosomal influence on meiotic spindle assembly: abnormal meiosis I in female Mlh1 mutant mice. J. Cell Biol. 145, 1395–1406.
21. Tachibana-Koniswalski, K., Godwin, J., van der Weyden, L., Champion, L., Kudo, N.R., Adams, D.J., and Nasmyth, K. (2010). Rec8-containing cohesin maintains bivalents without turnover during the growing phase of mouse oocytes. Genes Dev. 24, 2505–2516.
22. Schuh, M., and Ellenberg, J. (2007). Self-organization of MTOCs replaces centrosome function during acentrosomal spindle assembly in live mouse oocytes. Cell 130, 484–498.
23. Nilsson, J., Yekezare, M., Minshull, J., and Pines, J. (2008). The APC/C maintains the spindle assembly checkpoint by targeting Cdc20 for destruction. Nat. Cell Biol. 10, 1411–1420.
24. Ge, S., Skaar, J.R., and Pagano, M. (2009). APC/C- and Mad2-mediated degradation of Cdc20 during spindle checkpoint activation. Cell Cycle 8, 167–171.
25. Lane, S.I., Chang, H.Y., Jennings, P.C., and Jones, K.T. (2010). The Aurora kinase inhibitor ZM447439 accelerates first meiosis in mouse oocytes by overriding the spindle assembly checkpoint. Reproduction 140, 521–530.
26. Watrin, E., and Peters, J.M. (2009). The cohesin complex is required for the DNA damage-induced G2/M checkpoint in mammalian cells. EMBO J. 28, 2625–2635.
27. Rabut, G., and Ellenberg, J. (2004). Automatic real-time three-dimensional cell tracking by fluorescence microscopy. J. Microsc. 216, 131–137.