Giant flux jumps through a thin superconducting Nb film
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The dynamics of magnetic field penetration into thin-walled superconducting niobium cylinders is experimentally investigated. It is shown that magnetic field penetrates through the wall of a cylinder in a series of giant jumps with amplitude 10 - 20 Oe and duration of a few µs. The jumps take place when the total current in the wall, not the current density, exceeds some critical value. In addition there are small jumps and/or smooth penetration, and their contribution can reach ≥ 20 % of the total penetrating flux. It is demonstrated that the magnetic field inside the cylinder exhibits several oscillations. The number of giant jumps reduces with temperature.

PACS numbers: 74.25.F-, 74.25.Op, 74.70.Ad

I. INTRODUCTION

The phenomenon of magnetic flux penetration into superconductors has longstanding scientific tradition. The physics of this phenomenon in type II superconductors, for the magnetic field parallel to the sample surface, is simple. For magnetic fields below $H_C$ (in the Meissner state) the fields are totally expelled from the sample. Whereas above $H_C$ the flux penetrates via Abrikosov vortices. However in real superconductors, due to defects the vortex distribution is not uniform and in this case the vortex lattice is in a metastable state. The metastable states of the vortices are the cause of numerous physical phenomena such as: flux creep, magnetic relaxation [1, 2] and vortex avalanches, see review by Altschuler and Joeransen and references therein [3]. The explanation of the vortex avalanches was given mainly in the framework of two models: thermomagnetic instabilities [4] and self-organized criticality (SOC) [5]. The avalanche-like penetration of magnetic flux into a type II superconductor was studied by numerous experimental methods. The most popular methods are magneto-optical imaging, micro-Hall-probe and pick-up coil experiments [6]. Subsequently in this paper we will focus mainly on experiments with a pick-up coil. The first pick-up coil experiments were carried out more than 50 years ago [6, 7]. In these experiments voltage spikes that were induced in the pick-up coil by the magnetic moment jumps caused by a swept field were measured. The magnetic moment jumps at high field sweep rate, up to 1 kOe/s, were accompanied by increasing the sample temperature due to fast motion of the vortex bundles [6, 7]. Thermomagnetic instabilities are the cause of such heating [4]. However, at low sweep rates, the heating produced by a flux jump did not play a significant role [8, 9]. The experimentally observed avalanche size distribution, which indicates the number of vortices in a moving bundle, is an exponential [8] or a power-law [9] function of the avalanche size. The latter was used as a confirmation of SOC model. It was demonstrated that the magnetic flux penetrates into the interior of the cylinder in two fashions. The first one is a smooth flux flow and the second one is jump like. Contribution of a smooth flow was about 97% of the total penetrated flux [9]. Experiments reported in [9, 10] were performed on hollow cylinders with large wall thickness $d \gg \lambda$, where $d$ is a wall thickness and $\lambda$ is the London penetration depth. In this case, as expected, avalanches were detected in magnetic fields higher than $H_C$, i.e. in a mixed state. At the same time, we have shown that for a thin-walled cylinders of Nb, $d \leq \lambda$, an “avalanche”-like magnetic flux penetration occurs not only in magnetic fields higher but in lower than $H_C$ of the film itself, i.e. in the vortex free regime [11]. The latter phenomenon is very interesting, and the physical reasons for it are not yet clear.

In this paper, we present a comprehensive experimental study of the dynamics of magnetic flux penetration into thin-walled superconducting Nb cylinders. The magnetic field penetrates through the walls of the cylinder in a series of giant jumps with magnetic field amplitude 10 - 20 Oe and duration of a few microseconds. We have shown that magnetic field inside the cylinder exhibits several oscillations in each jump. The jumps take place when the total current in the wall, not the current density, exceeds some critical value. The giant jumps were observed in fields below and above $H_C$ of the Nb film itself. In addition to the avalanche-like penetration there is a smooth penetration and its contribution can reach about 20 % of the total penetrated flux.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

Various thin-walled cylindrical samples with two cross-section shapes were prepared by dc magnetron sputtering of Nb on a rotated sapphire and glass substrates at room temperature (Bratislava) and at 300 °C (Jerusalem). Sapphire substrates were manufactured by Gavish Ltd company (Israel). The cross-section dimensions of the long parallelepiped sapphire substrates were 1.4 by 3 mm. The corners of these substrates were rounded to a radius of 0.3 mm. A sketch of the rectangular sample is shown in Fig. 1. The glass substrate has a circular cross-section with a diameter of 3 mm. The lengths, film thicknesses,
deposition temperatures and labels of the samples are presented in the Table 1.

| Sample label | d (nm) | Cross-section shape | Length (mm) | Deposition temp. (°C) |
|--------------|--------|---------------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| F1           | 60     | rectangular         | 15          | 300                   |
| F8           | 120    | rectangular         | 15          | 300                   |
| F15          | 300    | rectangular         | 15          | 300                   |
| G7           | 100    | rectangular         | 15          | 300                   |
| G21          | 100    | rectangular         | 21          | 20                    |
| G3a          | 100    | circular            | 7.5         | 20                    |

The samples were inserted into a pick-up copper coil of length L=15 mm and N=2100 turns, which was placed in a commercial superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) magnetometer, MPMS5, Quantum Design. The magnetic field was directed parallel to the long axis of the sample. The superconducting magnet of the SQUID magnetometer was driven by an arbitrary waveform generator, Agilent 33250A, and a "home build" amplifier. The applied field was slowly changed with a rate of: 4, 8, or 16 Oe/s from zero to 1.86 kOe and then back to zero. The voltage spikes induced by a swept magnetic field were measured by InfiniiVision, DSO-X 3024A, Agilent oscilloscope. The oscilloscope second channel was used for magnetic field measurement. Fig. 2 shows a block diagram of the experimental setup.

III. PICK-UP COIL CALIBRATION

To calibrate the pick-up coil we wound a small probe coil with \( n_p = 30 \) turns and radius \( a_p = 2 \) mm under the pick-up coil and drove it by a current jump. A magnetic flux \( \Phi_1 \) induced in one turn of the pick-up coil (radius \( R \)) by a linear circular current \( J \) with radius \( a \) is

\[
\Phi_1 = \frac{4\pi J}{c} \int_0^\pi \frac{a \cos(\varphi) d\varphi}{(a^2 + R^2 + z^2 - 2aR \cos(\varphi))^{1/2}},
\]

where \( z \) is the distance between these two circles which are parallel to each other. From this expression we get magnetic flux through the pick-up coil

\[
\phi = \frac{4\pi a^2 J N}{cL} \Phi_q(R/a, z_0/a, L/a),
\]

where

\[
\Phi_q(r, z, l) = r \int_0^\pi d\varphi \cos(\varphi) \ln \left( \frac{1 + r^2 + (l - z)^2 - 2r \cos(\varphi))^{1/2} + (l - z)}{(1 + r^2 + z^2 - 2r \cos(\varphi))^{1/2} - z} \right)
\]

is dimensionless function and \( z_0 \) is \( z \)-coordinate of the circular current. The \( Z \)-axis is chosen along the pick-up coil. The coordinates of the pick-up coil’s ends are 0 and \( L \). Fig. 3 shows \( \Phi_q(z_0) \) for two values of radius \( a \), 1.16
and 1.5. For \( n_p \) turns of the probe coil of radius \( a_p \) and length \( l_p \ll L \), located in a center of the pick-up coil, \( z_0 = L/2 \), we obtain

\[
\phi = n_p \frac{4\pi a_p^2 J N}{cL} \Phi_q(R/a_p, L/a_p, L/a_p). \tag{4}
\]

Now we assume that a thin-walled circular hollow tube with length \( L_s \), radius \( a_t \), and wall thickness \( d \ll a_t \) is inserted symmetrically into the pick-up coil and \( \Delta H \) is the difference between magnetic fields inside and outside the tube. In this case the surface current density in the wall is

\[
j = \frac{c}{4\pi} \frac{\Delta H}{4\pi} \int dz \Phi_q(R/a_t, z/a_t, L/a_t) = \frac{c\Delta H}{4\pi} \frac{4\pi a_t^3 N}{cL} \Phi_t, \tag{5}
\]

where \( \Delta z \) is a distance between the edges of the tube and pick-up coil. The \( \Phi_t \) can be calculated by a numerical integration of the data presented in Fig. 3. Equation (5) for a circular tube is applied for a rectangular tube with the same cross section area.

The voltage jump in a pick-up coil due to the change of magnetic flux \( \phi \) is

\[
V(t) = \int_0^t d\tau K(t - \tau) \frac{d\phi(\tau)}{d\tau}, \tag{6}
\]

where \( K(t) \) is the response to the \( \delta \)-like flux jump. The integral

\[
\nabla = \int_0^\infty V(t) dt = \Delta \phi \int_0^\infty K(t) dt \tag{7}
\]

is proportional to the total change of magnetic flux in the pick-up coil.

The change of magnetic field inside a tube can be found from the time dependent voltage in the pick-up coil and the response to the current jump in the probe coil from

\[
\Delta H = 120\pi J \frac{V_s}{V_p} a_t^2 \frac{\Phi_q(R/a_t, L/2a_t, L/a_t)}{c\Phi_t} = D \frac{\nabla_s}{V_p}. \tag{8}
\]

Parameter \( D \) in Eq. (8) was calculated and it is approximately equal 2.2 for samples F1, F8, F15 and G3a, 1.8 and 3.7 for samples G21 and G7, respectively. We took into account that the pick-up coil has several layers. Fig. 4 shows time dependences of the current in the probe coil and the voltage signal in the pick-up coil.

The data presented in Fig. 4 allow us to trace the time dependence of the magnetic flux, \( \phi(t) \). Laplace transform of Eq. (8) yields

\[
V(s) = K(s)\dot{\phi}(s). \tag{9}
\]

Using this equation for data that were obtained with the probe coil, Fig. 3 during a jump, the time derivative of the magnetic flux is

\[
\dot{\phi}(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int ds \exp(st) V(s) \dot{\phi}_p(s)/V_p(s), \tag{10}
\]
FIG. 4. (Color online) Current in the probe coil and the voltage on the pick-up coil as a function of time.

FIG. 5. Sequence of pulses in sample G21 at $T = 4.7$ K and seep rate 8 Oe/s.

where $V(s)$ and $V_p(s)$ are the Laplace transform of the signal $V(t)$ during the jump and the signal $V_p(t)$ in the pick-up coil due to the current jump in the probe coil. $\phi_p(s)$ is the Laplace transform of the time derivative of the magnetic flux that the probe coil creates, $\dot{\phi}_p(s) \propto dJ(t)/dt$, where $J(t)$ is a current in a probe coil. All integrals can be calculated with fast Fourier transform.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Fig. 6 presents the voltage pulse sequence for sample G21 at 4.7 K. The sample was zero field cooled (ZFC). Then the field was slowly ramped with a rate of 8 Oe/s to 1.86 kOe and then swept back to zero. Each vertical line in Fig. 5 is actually the time dependent voltage signal as shown in upper panel of Fig. 6. The signal changes its polarity when the magnetic field starts to decrease. Increasing the rate to 16 Oe/s did not cause any considerable change. From the obtained data using Eq. (10) the variation of magnetic flux, $\phi$ which penetrates into the cylinder, with time is deduced as shown in lower panel of Fig. 6. Unexpectedly, flux oscillations during the jump are observed. It is clear from Eq. (10) that these oscillations are not manifestation of the electric circuit response (upper panel of Fig. 6), as discussed above. Similar results were obtained for all other samples. Note that slowly ramping magnetic field induces a small voltage on a pick-up coil due to smooth flux or small jumps’ penetration. This small voltage cannot be detected by our setup. The magnetic field $H_n$ at which the $n^{th}$ jump was detected is a random quantity. Fig. 7 demonstrates few subsequent measurements of $H_n$ at 4.7 K for F8 sample after ZFC at each run. Value of $H_n$ and the total number of jumps changes from one run to another.

The total number of jumps dramatically decreases with temperature and they completely disappear near 5.6 K, as shown in Fig. 8 panel a. The temperature depen-
The first 20 jumps at low fields, \( H_0 \), and \( dH \) are shown in Fig. 8(b). The inset to Fig. 8(b) presents \( \Delta H_1 \) as a function of \( H_0 \) for several first jumps. These data are scattered and the difference between these two sets is not essential regardless the five-fold difference in the walls thickness.

Until now we have presented the data for samples with rectangular cross section. To rule out of the possibility that the observed features are due to the sample corners, where the deposited film may have different properties, we show the results obtained for a sample with a circular cross section. Fig. 11(a) shows \( H_0 \) as a function of \( n \) for samples G3a and G7 at 4.7 K. The average field distance between adjacent jumps, \( dH_{av} \), is lower for sample G3a and then amplitude of the field jump \( \Delta H_n \) is also lower than for sample G7, Fig. 11(b). The total number of jumps in ascending field as a function of temperature for G7 and G3a samples is presented in Fig. 12(a). Temperature dependences of \( \Delta H_1(T) \) for samples G3a and G7 are demonstrated in Fig. 12(b). The comparison of two the sets of experimental data shows that there are quantitative differences between samples G3a and G7, the behavior is similar and the role of the film deposited on rounded corners is not essential.

### V. DISCUSSION

The most striking phenomenon observed here is the magnetic field "avalanche"-like penetration into thin-walled cylinder under external fields below \( H_{c1} \). Direct determination of \( H_{c1} \) for thin-walled cylindrical samples is impossible due to magnetic moment jumps at low fields. However, the estimation of \( H_{c1} \) can be done using magnetization curves of a planar film. \( H_{c1} \) was defined by the deviation from linearity in the magnetization curve at low fields for a planar film with thickness 240 nm. It was found that \( H_{c1} \approx 350 \) Oe at 4.5 K and "avalanche"-like jumps of magnetic moment at low fields are absent. Although this method is not accurate, we observed jumps of the magnetic moment into cylindrical samples at fields of 20-40 Oe, which are significantly lower than the \( H_{c1} \). Fig. 13 shows magnetization curves of the G7 sample at 4.5 and 6 K (upper panel). Lower panel of this figure demonstrates expanded view of these curves at low magnetic fields. One can see that after the jump the superconducting state is restored, Fig. 13 lower panel. Above a specific jump at low fields the magnetic moment into cylindrical samples at fields of 20-40 Oe, which are significantly lower than the \( H_{c1} \). This corresponds to complete screening.

Possible superconducting states of a hollow cylinder are: (i) metastable state with the internal magnetic field different from the external one and non-zero total current in the wall, and (ii) stable state with equal magnetic fields and zero total current in the wall. However, the maximal current density in the wall is actually the same for...
both states. For example, let us consider a planar film of thickness $d = 3\lambda$ parallel to the magnetic field. Using the London equation [13] one can easily show that in this case the maximal current density $j_{\text{max}}$ for the state with equal magnetic fields reaches 90% of $j_{\text{max}}$ for the case where the field in one side is zero, Fig. 14. Then, current density in the walls at the fields near $H_2$ is considerably larger than the current density at fields before the first jump and we expect complete suppression of superconductivity in fields far below $H_2$. However, Fig. 8 and Fig. 9 show that current density has no essential role and jump occurs when the total current in the walls exceeds some critical value. If the field penetrates by these large jumps then the total current in the walls before the $n^{th}$ jump has to be proportional to $H_n - H_{n-1}$ as it was observed for both samples F1 and F15. Fig. 10(b) shows that the $\Delta H_n$ is indeed approximately proportional to $H_n - H_{n-1}$, despite the fivefold difference in the sample
FIG. 11. Panel a: $H_\alpha$ as a function of jump number for samples G3a and G7 at 4.7 K. Panel b: Field dependence of $\Delta H_\alpha$ for samples G3a and G7.

FIG. 12. Panel a: The total number of jumps for samples G3a and G7 versus temperature in ascending magnetic field. Panel b: $\Delta H_1$ as a function of temperature for G3a and G7 samples.

FIG. 13. Upper panel: Magnetization curves of G7 sample at 4.5 and 6 K after ZFC. Lower panel: Expanded view of magnetization curves at low magnetic fields.

We consider the possibility that the physical reason of these jumps is an instability at the end faces of the cylinder. The magnetic fields at the sample end have components normal to the wall, $H_r$, as well as parallel to it. For a circular hollow cylinder of radius $r$ and length $L$ with constant azimuthal current density $J_\varphi(z) = J_0$ in the wall $H_r(z/r) = F((z - L)/r) - F(z/r)$ where

$$F(z) = \frac{J_0}{c} \int_0^\pi \frac{\cos(\varphi) d\varphi}{(1 + z^2/2 - \cos(\varphi))^{1/2}},$$

as follows from Eq. (11) for $R = a$. We have $F(z) \propto \ln(z)$ when $z \to 0$. The Lorenz force $F_1 \propto J_\varphi H_r$ pushes the magnetic force lines into the cylinder on one end and pulls them out on the other end. As result, the magnetic field in the cylinder increases while the current in the wall decreases. In this case the rise time for magnetic field inside the cylinder, $\tau_m$, (see Fig. 6b) may be dependent on sample length. Fig. 11 shows $\tau_m$ for the samples G3, G7 and G21 as function of the jump number. In spite of threefold difference between the sample lengths $\tau_m$ is almost the same. We should mention that the role of the end faces in thin-walled superconducting cylinders under high frequencies was already discussed many years ago [14].

The jumps disappear with temperature, Fig. 8a) and Fig. 13. This resembles thermomagnetic instability frequently observed in superconductors [3, 4]. The thermomagnetic scenario requires presence of vortices in the walls. In an infinite film, in parallel magnetic field, vortices should only exist at fields larger than $H_c1$ which is much higher than fields at which jumps were observed. We suggest that in low fields vortices penetrate into a wall due to the normal component of magnetic field at the end faces. Indirect conformation of this is the similarity between jumps at small and large fields when vortices definitely exist in the walls. However, the field of the first jump $H_1$ is increasing with temperature whereas below
FIG. 14. (Color online) Current density in a film with thickness 3λ as function of coordinate for two cases, first $H_{in} = H_{out}$ - red line and second $H_{in} = 0$ - black line.

FIG. 15. (Color online) $\tau_m$ as a function of jump number for G7, G21 and G3a samples.

$H_1 dM/dH_0$ does not depend on temperature. This is shown for sample G7 at 4.5 and 6 K in Fig. 13. Thermonagnetic instability implies that the critical current decreases with increasing temperature. Here we face the opposite case. At 6 K the instability or first jump takes place for a larger total current in the walls than at 4.5 K. We would like to note that the standard approach to thermonagnetic instability [3, 4] is not applicable to the circumstances of our experiment. The theory requires the existence of the Bean critical state in the film [13]. But in a thin film with thickness equal to the size of one or two vortices the Bean critical state cannot arise.

VI. CONCLUSION

We have studied the dynamics of flux penetration into thin-walled superconducting niobium cylinders. It was shown that magnetic flux penetrates through the walls in a series of giant jumps with duration of a few microseconds. In addition, there is another flux penetration mechanism which contributes $\approx 20\%$ to the total penetrated flux. The magnetic field inside the cylinder exhibits several oscillations during each jump. The current density in the wall does not play an essential role in the observed phenomena. Jumps start when the total current in the wall exceeds some critical value. The jumps were observed at a temperature of 4.5 K and completely disappeared at $\approx 7$ K. Such behavior resembles thermonagnetic instability of vortices but it was observed in fields below $H_{c1}$ of the films, i.e. in a vortex-free state. We consider the possibility that the instability at the end faces of the sample could be the source of these jumps because the magnetic field at the sample end faces has a component normal to the wall. The influence of the end faces on the flux jumps in such samples has to be studied using a local probe technique.
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