Low Birth Weight, Very Low Birth Weight Rates and Gestational Age-Specific Birth Weight Distribution of Korean Newborn Infants

To obtain the low birth weight (LBW) rate, the very low birth weight (VLBW) rate, and gestational age (GA)-specific birth weight distribution based on a large population in Korea, we collected and analyzed the birth data of 108,486 live births with GA greater than 23 weeks for 1 yr from 1 January to 31 December 2001, from 75 hospitals and clinics located in Korea. These data included birth weight, GA, gender of the infants, delivery type, maternal age, and the presence of multiple pregnancy. The mean birth weight and GA of a crude population are 3,188±518 g and 38.7±2.1 weeks, respectively. The LBW and the VLBW rates are 7.2% and 1.4%, respectively. The preterm birth rate (less than 37 completed weeks of gestation) is 8.4% and the very preterm birth rate (less than 32 completed weeks of gestation) is 0.7%. The mean birth weights for female infants, multiple births, and births delivered by cesarean section were lower than those for male, singletons, and births delivered vaginally. The risk of delivering LBW or VLBW infant was higher for the teenagers and the older women (aged 35 yr and more). We have also obtained the percentile distribution of GA-specific birth weight in infants over 23 weeks of gestation.
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INTRODUCTION

Birth weight is known to be the most important determining factor of neonatal mortality (1). The infant morbidity or mortality of a community or a country is reported to be closely associated with the distribution of birth weight in that population. An increase in low birth weight (LBW) infants or premature infants causes an increase in neonatal morbidity and mortality and infant mortality (2-5).

Although there have been several studies on neonatal birth weight distribution and very low birth weight (VLBW) infant survival rates in Korea (6-17), the populations in these studies are small or limited to general hospitals and university hospitals. In effect, there is a shortage of large-scale or nationwide studies of birth weight or other factors associated with infant morbidity and mortality in Korea.

Therefore the authors have selected as big a population as possible in Korea and collected data on birth weight and the perinatal factors, to find out the incidence of LBW infants and VLBW infants, and also the gestational age (GA)-specific birth weight distribution.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Data was collected on 118,538 live-born infants who were born in Korea between 1 January 2001 and 31 December 2001 at a GA over 23 weeks. Copies of the delivery room records were requested to 164 hospitals including general hospitals and obstetric private clinics where more than 1,000 deliveries were recorded per year as well as university hospitals in all parts of the country. The delivery records were received from 75 hospitals across the nation including 16 of 18 tertiary care hospitals (88.9%), 25 of 38 general hospitals (65.8%) and 17 of 50 hospitals (34%) and 17 of 69 obstetric clinics (24.6%) (Table 1). The registers of all live-born infants who were born after 23 weeks of GA were collected through mail. Birth weight, GA, sex, mode of delivery, maternal age and
the presence of multiple pregnancy of the data were entered into the database.

Of the data collected, 7,883 infants with uncertain birth weight or GA were excluded. After obtaining the distribution of GA-specific birth weight, the data above the 99th percentile or below the 1st percentile were excluded to remove typing errors during database input. However, all the definite typing errors such as '6,500 g for 24 weeks of gestation' could not be eliminated. Therefore 2,169 infants, whose birth weights were over the 95th percentile or under the 5th percentile or GA, were excluded to eliminate typing errors. The total number of neonates included in this study was 108,486.

To obtain the distribution of birth weight, first the frequen-

Table 1. Distribution of hospitals by the level and geographical area

| Level of the hospitals | Total deliveries* (%) | No. of requested hospitals (%) | No. of responded hospitals (%) | Response rate (%) |
|------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|
| Tertiary care hospitals | 36,948 (8.0)          | 18 (11.0)                      | 16 (21.3)                     | 88.9             |
| General hospitals      | 88,478 (19.2)         | 38 (23.2)                      | 25 (33.3)                     | 65.8             |
| Hospitals              | 101,262 (22.0)        | 50 (30.5)                      | 17 (22.7)                     | 34.0             |
| Private clinics        | 233,659 (50.8)        | 69 (42.1)                      | 17 (22.7)                     | 24.6             |
| Geographical area      |                       |                                |                               |                  |
| Seoul & Incheon        | 211,072 (45.9)        | 73 (44.5)                      | 34 (45.3)                     | 46.6             |
| Daegu & Chungnam       | 46,783 (10.2)         | 11 (6.7)                       | 6 (8.0)                       | 54.5             |
| Kwangju, Chonnam & Choongbuk | 58,620 (12.7)    | 24 (14.6)                      | 11 (14.7)                     | 45.8             |
| Busan, Ulsan & Kyungnam | 73,564 (16.0)        | 28 (17.1)                      | 12 (16.0)                     | 42.9             |
| Kangwon                | 13,693 (3.0)          | 2 (1.2)                        | 1 (1.3)                       | 50.0             |
| Cheju                  | 6,300 (1.4)           | 2 (1.2)                        | 0 (0)                         | 0                |

*Number of deliveries in 2001 reported by the Health Insurance Review Agency (23).

Table 2. LBW and VLBW rates and preterm and postterm rates

| Variables affecting birth weight and mean birth weight according to variables |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Variables                   | Number (%)          | Birth weight (g)* | p-value*       |
| Total                      | 108,486 (100)       |                  |                |
| Gender                      |                     |                  |                |
| Male                        | 56,461 (52.0)       | 3,229±520 (3,225-3,234) |                |
| Female                      | 51,962 (47.9)       | 3,141±508 (3,140-3,149) | 0.000**       |
| Unknown                     | 63 (0.1)            |                  |                |
| Multiplicity                |                     |                  |                |
| Singleton                   | 105,652 (97.4)      | 3,210±499 (3,206-3,213) |                |
| Twin                        | 2,691 (2.5)         | 2,381±537 (2,360-2,401) | 0.000         |
| Triplet                     | 103 (0.1)           | 2,215±545 (2,109-2,322) | 0.001**       |
| Unknown                     | 40 (0.0)            |                  |                |
| Delivery type               |                     |                  |                |
| Vaginal delivery            | 58,838 (54.2)       | 3,233±462 (3,229-3,264) |                |
| Cesarean section            | 34,063 (31.4)       | 3,133±568 (3,127-3,139) | 0.000         |
| Unknown                     | 15,585 (14.4)       |                  |                |
| Maternal age (yr)           |                     |                  |                |
| <20                         | 469 (0.4)           | 2,939±612 (2,884-2,905) | 0.000**       |
| 20-34                       | 80,161 (73.9)       | 3,186±518 (3,183-3,190) |                |
| 35-39                       | 7,932 (7.3)         | 3,140±585 (3,127-3,153) | 0.000**       |
| >39                         | 1,491 (1.4)         | 3,070±666 (3,036-3,104) | 0.000**       |
| unknown                     | 18,433 (17.0)       |                  |                |

*Birth weights were expressed as mean±standard deviation with 95% confidence interval in the parenthesis. **: versus male, †: versus singleton, ‡: versus vaginal delivery,

RESULTS

LBW, VLBW, and premature rates

Mean birth weight and mean GA for the entire groups were 3,188±518 g and 38.7±2.1 weeks respectively. The LBW rate and the VLBW rate were 7.2% and 1.4% respectively, and the birth rate of premature infants under 37 weeks
of GA was 8.4%. Birth rates of extremely low birth weight (ELBW) infants under 1,000 g and premature infants under 32 weeks of GA were 0.7% and 1.7% respectively. Postmaturity rate over 42 weeks of GA was 0.9% (Table 2).

Perinatal factors affecting birth weight and mean birth weight

Of all the subjects in the study, 52.0% was male, 2.6% was multiple pregnancies, and 31.4% was delivered via cesarean section. Maternal age distribution was as follows: 1.4% under 20 yr of age, 73.9% between 20 and 34, 7.3% between 35 and 39, 1.4% over 40. Birth weight of male infants was heavier than female infants by 85 g, twins were lighter than singletons by 829 g, and infants delivered by cesarean section were 99 g lighter than those delivered vaginally. Birth weights of infants grouped by maternal age were significantly different between groups. Infants born to mothers between 20 and 34 yr of age were heavier than those with maternal age below 20 yr or over 35 yr of age (Table 3).

LBW rate and VLBW rate with regard to perinatal factors

Although there was no difference in VLBW rate between male and female infants, LBW rate was higher for female infants by 0.9% ($p<0.001$). LBW rate, VLBW rate, ELBW rate of twins were 52.9%, 6.9%, and 2.5%, which were significantly higher than those of singletons, 5.9%, 1.3%, and 0.6% respectively, and these rates were even higher in higher-number multiple pregnancies than those of singletons ($p<0.001$). LBW rate and VLBW rate in infants with cesarean delivery were more than two times higher than those of infants delivered vaginally (11.0% vs. 4.7%, and 1.8% vs. 0.8%, respectively). In infants born to teenage mothers, LBW, VLBW, ELBW rates were 18.6%, 3.2%, 1.7%, respectively, which were about two times higher than those of infants with maternal age between 20 and 34 yr, 7.2%, 1.4%, and 0.7% ($p<0.001$). Infants whose mothers aged 35 yr and more also showed higher LBW, VLBW, ELBW rates than those of infants with maternal age between 20 and 34 yr ($p<0.001$) (Table 4).

Percentile distribution of GA-specific birth weight

GA-specific birth weight for GA between 23 weeks and 42 weeks, are shown on Table 5.

**DISCUSSION**

Neonatal morbidity and mortality are affected by the quality of perinatal care (1, 2, 19). Neonatal mortality rate was markedly reduced by the progress of neonatal intensive care in last 2 decades in Korea (19). None the less, the lower birth weight newborn infants showed, the lower possibility of survival they had (2-4, 19). A rise of LBW rate in a country increases neonatal mortality and also infant mortality rate, which is an important indicator of the level of public health in the

---

**Table 4.** LBW, VLBW and ELBW rate according to the variables affecting birth weight

| Variables       | Number (%) | $p$-value* |
|-----------------|------------|------------|
| Gender          |            |            |
| Total           | 56,461 (100) |            |
| Male            | 28,838 (100) |            |
| Female          | 27,623 (100) |            |
| LBW             | 3,805 (6.7) | 0.374      |
| VLBW            | 763 (1.4) | 0.347      |
| ELBW            | 346 (0.6) | 0.048      |

| Multiplicity    |            |            |
|-----------------|------------|------------|
| Total           | 105,652 (100) |            |
| Singleton       | 34,139 (32.5) |            |
| Twin            | 34,063 (32.2) |            |
| Triplet         | 37,450 (35.3) |            |
| LBW             | 6,262 (5.9) | 0.000      |
| VLBW            | 1,323 (1.3) | 0.000      |
| ELBW            | 646 (0.6) | 0.000      |

| Delivery type   |            |            |
|-----------------|------------|------------|
| Total           | 28,838 (100) |            |
| VD              | 26,402 (91.5) |            |
| CS              | 2,436 (8.5) | 0.048      |
| LBW             | 2,752 (4.7) | 0.000      |
| VLBW            | 478 (0.8) | 0.000      |
| ELBW            | 241 (0.4) | 0.000      |

| Maternal age (yrs) | Number (%) | $p$-value* |
|--------------------|------------|------------|
| <20                | 20,417 (70.9) | 0.000      |
| 20-34              | 7,381 (25.7) | 0.000      |
| >35                | 4,029 (14.1) | 0.000      |
| LBW                | 2,028 (6.9) | 0.000      |
| VLBW               | 305 (1.1) | 0.000      |
| ELBW               | 132 (0.5) | 0.000      |

* $p$ values are based on chi-square test. LBW, low birth weight, less than 2,500 g; VLBW, very low birth weight, less than 1,500 g; ELBW, extremely low birth weight, less than 1,000 g; VD, vaginal delivery; CS, cesarean section.

**Table 5.** Gestational age specific birth weight distribution

| GA (weeks) | No. Mean±SD | Percentile |
|------------|-------------|------------|
| 23         | 84           | 574±151 | 50% |
| 20         | 101          | 675±136 | 50% |
| 25         | 106          | 797±122 | 50% |
| 26         | 102          | 867±150 | 50% |
| 27         | 107          | 1,024±193 | 50% |
| 28         | 132          | 1,322±224 | 50% |
| 29         | 137          | 1,265±246 | 50% |
| 30         | 199          | 1,460±281 | 50% |
| 31         | 244          | 1,673±308 | 50% |
| 32         | 296          | 1,807±281 | 50% |
| 33         | 413          | 1,999±301 | 50% |
| 34         | 672          | 2,220±291 | 50% |
| 35         | 1,001        | 2,449±306 | 50% |
| 36         | 1,738        | 2,641±359 | 50% |
| 37         | 4,909        | 2,999±395 | 50% |
| 38         | 16,327       | 3,190±357 | 50% |
| 39         | 20,999       | 3,283±345 | 50% |
| 40         | 20,417       | 3,380±354 | 50% |
| 41         | 8,677        | 3,448±361 | 50% |
| 42         | 520          | 3,631±371 | 50% |

*77,192 singleton births to women aged 20-34 yr were only selected.
country (2). Therefore the first step to reduce infant or neonatal mortality rate is the reduction of LBW rate, and basic data on LBW rate and VLBW rate must be gathered. Unfortunately, nationwide governmental surveillance of birth rate and neonatal mortality is not adequate in Korea. There is insufficient data on perinatal indices, including birth weight. In general, the LBW and the VLBW rates are estimated to be 6-8% and 1.0-1.5%, respectively in Korea (9). In the most recent study on birth weight and LBW rate in Korea in 1996, data were gathered nationwide from 64 hospitals and the results were: LBW rate 9.8%, VLBW rate 1.7%, ELBW rate 0.5% (8). The results from that study could show higher LBW rate and VLBW rate than the real rates, since the survey was conducted mainly in general hospitals or university hospitals where proportion of high risk pregnancy was probably higher than that of private obstetric clinics (8).

The number of the newborn infants included in this study was 108,486. This constituted 19.5% of the 557,228 infants born in 2001 according to Korean National Statistical Office report (20). Although it is also insufficient to represent the trends in the whole country of Korea, this study could reflect the national trend more accurately than the previous studies, because private obstetric clinics were also included. The LBW rate and the VLBW rate, according to the Korean National Statistical Office report (20), were 3.55% and 0.24% in 2000 and 3.59% and 0.36% in 2001, which differ considerably from the results of our study and the National Vital Statistics Report of the United States (21, 22). This discrepancy can be caused by two factors, one of which may be the omission of VLBW and LBW infants from the record of the national statistics, since it was based on the birth registration and birth certificate does not need to be filed if the infant has died soon after birth. The other reason could be the overestimation of LBW or VLBW rate in our study due to the higher response rate in the tertiary care hospitals and general hospitals than hospitals and private obstetric clinics. According to the report from Health Insurance Review Agency, nearly half of total deliveries were conducted at local private clinics and only 8% were conducted at the tertiary care hospitals (23). Therefore, data from tertiary care hospitals which contains more high risk pregnancies could increase the LBW and VLBW rates. In spite of even in possibility of omission and overestimation, the difference is too great and it should be reevaluated in the future studies.

According to the recent National Vital Statistics Report of the United States, the LBW rate was 7.7%, the VLBW rate was 1.44% (21). The LBW rate and the VLBW rate were similar to the ones in our study. However, the LBW and VLBW rates in singletons in our study were 5.9% and 1.3% respectively, which were higher than those of non-Hispanic singletons in the United States by about 1% and 0.5%. The rate of premature infants under 37 weeks of gestation was 11.9%, and that of very premature infants under 32 weeks was 1.95% in the United States, which were higher than those in this study. This means that the rate of high risk infants in Korea is not significantly lower than those of other western developed countries, and also, the data obtained from this study could be considered in the planning and organization of the perinatal care in Korea.

The factors influencing birth weight include biological and medical factors such as gender of the neonate, genetic factors, maternal age, birth order, twin or multiple pregnancy, maternal morbidity, nutritional status and obstetrical complications, and socioeconomic factors such as race, education, financial ability, the extent of prenatal examination, marital status, teenage pregnancy, social and psychological stress (1, 2, 24-28). However, as the data on biological, socioeconomic, demographic factors that could affect birth weight were not collected in this study, the analyses on those factors were not included in this study.

For all that, our data showed significant differences in birth weight and low birth weight rate in relation to maternal age, multiple pregnancy and the gender of the neonate. Of these factors, the maternal age has a close correlation with the birth weight. Infants born to mothers in their teens and those born to mothers aged 35 yr and more have shown LBW rate of 18.6% and 10.6% respectively, which were higher than those of infants born to mothers who were between 20 and 34 yr of age by 11.4% and 3.4%. In addition, the recent small, but steady increase in LBW rate and VLBW rate in the United States was attributed to the increase in multiple pregnancies (21, 22), and in our study we have also found that mean birth weight of twins was lighter than that of singletons by more than 800-1,000 g, and that VLBW rate and LBW rate were also 5 times and 10 times higher than those of singletons. Increase in maternal age and multiple pregnancies could be the cause of increase in LBW and VLBW rates in the future.

There were several previous studies on the distribution of birth weight regarding to the GA in the newborn infants (13-17), but those were hospital-based studies on the newborn infants only who were born at their own hospitals. And there were also a few studies on Koreans living in the United States (29-32), but the number of neonates in the study was quite limited.

To obtain the percentile distribution of birth weight of a group, all the factors mentioned above must be considered, but we were able to control only the maternal age and presence of multiple pregnancy. Therefore, we could not compare our data with those from other countries.

Despite these shortcomings, the data acquired in our study could be the starting point for similar studies in the future, which must be a more thorough study with all the biological, socio-demographic factors put into consideration. In conclusion, we were able to obtain data on LBW rate and VLBW rate, birth weight distribution in a large population in Korea, and we consider that the results from this study would be a great help for future studies on birth weight, frequency of high risk infants, neonatal morbidity and mortality, and peri-
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