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Abstract
Rheumatic heart failure (RHF) is a potentially preventable cardiac complication of rheumatic fever (RF) characterized by scarring or stretching in one or more cardiac valves leading to low cardiac output. Over the past five decades, the epidemiology of RHF has shifted markedly, reducing in high-income countries while increasing in low/middle-income countries. However, the shift has lacked a matching proportional expansion in research and public health practices. Prophylactic and curative therapies combined with targeted public health control programs have been associated with the reducing prevalence in high-income countries but such strategies either have not been adequately implemented or have not translated into reduced burden in low-income countries. Further, the lack of recent research has undermined access to evidence-based data to support the development of treatment guidelines appropriate for low/middle-income countries. In the present paper, we review published data to provide a comprehensive update on epidemiology, pathophysiology, diagnosis, and management strategies for RHF.

Introduction
Rheumatic fever (RF) is an acute complication of the bacterium, Group of A streptococcal (GAS) infection, whose recurrent episodes may lead to the development of rheumatic heart disease (RHD) and its life-threatening sequelae, rheumatic heart failure (RHF) [1,2]. Over the past five decades, the incidence of RF has declined considerably in high-income countries but remains endemic in low- and middle-income countries as well as in marginalized populations in high-income countries [3]. Conversely, the declining incidence in high-income countries combined with an emphasis on other preventable endemic diseases such as malaria and HIV/AIDS have influenced a parallel reduction in research into RF [4]. Although more than a century of data from North America and Europe greatly improved clinical management of RF/RHF, the shift in RF incidence may warrant gathering recent data from low- and middle-income countries [2] in which RHF is a major cause of morbidity and premature deaths as well as imposes a substantial burden on their healthcare systems [5,6]. Recent data would also be useful for designing appropriate evidence-based guidelines for primary and secondary prophylactic strategies. In particular, secondary prevention strategies that rely on accurate case detection for appropriate indication for prophylactic antibiotics and for medical surveillance. Additionally, obtaining exact epidemiology data on the prevalence and incidence is highly desirable to facilitate healthcare planning [2,7]. Thus, the present review synthesizes data from published clinical trials and expert consensus, as well as conducts two meta-analyses on RHF diagnosis and management intended to produce a comprehensive understanding of the clinical status of RHF.

Definition
RF is a delayed sequela of untreated pharyngeal infection by GAS mostly affecting susceptible children in the age bracket of five to fifteen years for the first time but its cardiac effects often first present many years later in adulthood [2,5]. In some individuals, GAS infection may lead to an autoimmune consequence causing widespread inflammatory response and illness selectively affecting the heart, joints, brain and skin. While the effect is transient on the brain, joints and skins, it is persistent on the heart valves [6]. Individual with previous RF episodes are much more likely to experience recurrent episodes producing additive injuries to cardiac valves leading to progressive and permanent valvular lesion clinically termed RHD and its sequelae, RHF [6,7]. A majority of studies define RHF as persistent inflammation of the heart after acute or recurrent RF episodes causing stretching and/or scarring of the mitral and/or aortic cardiac valves with a consequent reduction in coronary perfusion or leakage of the valves causing blood to flow in the reverse direction. The effect on cardiac valves may eventually lead to arrhythmias such as atrial fibrillation (AF) and the inability of the heart to pump amount of blood commensurate with the body’s metabolic needs [2,7].

Epidemiology
Precise epidemiological data on RHF is conspicuously lacking. Although the WHO in conjunction with the Global Burden of Disease (GBD) study have collected extensive regional and global data on the prevalence, mortality and disability of major world diseases, they have focused on RHD with RHF only mentioned as a cause of death in RHD patients. While some authors may have used RHD interchangeably
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with RHF regarding mortality, the two are different clinical entities with ~27% of RHD patients developing RHF within five years [8]. Because of the lack of specific data on RHF, this review paper relies on available published data on RHD to get an insight into the prevalence and trends of RHF. The latest epidemiological data published by the GBD Study in 2016 estimates RHD affects 30 million individuals worldwide [9]. In 2015, RHD was responsible for an estimated 305,000 deaths and 11.5 million disability-adjusted life years lost. Of these deaths, 60% were premature (in individuals aged ≤ 70 years) [10]. Even with significant improvement and widespread utility of efficacious prophylactic and therapeutic measures as well as targeted public health activities, the proportion of RHD to overall global mortality between the years 2000 and 2015 remains relatively the same [11].

The prevalence of RHD varies significantly across world regions attributed to disparities in income levels of individual countries. It is endemic in low- and middle-income countries. It is more prevalent in the African, South-East Asia and Western Pacific regions, accounting for 84% of all prevalent cases and 80% of all estimated RHD-associated deaths in 2015 [9]. India and South-East Asia have the highest global prevalence, accounting for 27% of all cases worldwide. In the Western Pacific Region, the greatest burden is in China and in the indigenous population in Australia, New Zealand and Pacific Island States. In the Eastern Mediterranean Region, it is endemic in Egypt, Sudan and Yemen [10]. The disparities in RHD prevalence in low- and high-income countries has been associated to socio-economic and environmental factors such as poor housing, undernutrition, overcrowding and poverty, which are well-demonstrated contributors to the incidence, magnitude and severity of RHD [10,11].

The prevalence of RHD also exhibits a gender-specific bias, disproportionately affecting girls and women. Females have a two-fold increase in the risk of RHD compared to males and account for two-thirds of RHD-associated hospitalization in Africa, India and Yemen [9]. In regions where RF is endemic, RHF is the principle cardiac condition in pregnancy women associated with significant maternal and perinatal morbidity and mortality [10]. Finally, age also has a significant influence in the prevalence of acute RF (ARF) and RHD. Although uncommon in pediatric population in the age-bracket 5-14 years, the highest prevalence in this cohort is in sub-Saharan Africa (affecting five per 1000); the Pacific and Indigenous population in Australia, New Zealand and Pacific Island States. In the Eastern Mediterranean Region, it is endemic in Egypt, Sudan and Yemen [10]. The disparities in RHD prevalence in low- and high-income countries has been associated to socio-economic and environmental factors such as poor housing, undernutrition, overcrowding and poverty, which are well-demonstrated contributors to the incidence, magnitude and severity of RHD [10,11].

The prevalence of RHD also exhibits a gender-specific bias, disproportionately affecting girls and women. Females have a two-fold increase in the risk of RHD compared to males and account for two-thirds of RHD-associated hospitalization in Africa, India and Yemen [9]. In regions where RF is endemic, RHF is the principle cardiac condition in pregnancy women associated with significant maternal and perinatal morbidity and mortality [10]. Finally, age also has a significant influence in the prevalence of acute RF (ARF) and RHD. Although uncommon in pediatric population in the age-bracket 5-14 years, the highest prevalence in this cohort is in sub-Saharan Africa (affecting five per 1000); the Pacific and Indigenous population in Australia, New Zealand and Pacific Island States. In the Eastern Mediterranean Region, it is endemic in Egypt, Sudan and Yemen [10]. The disparities in RHD prevalence in low- and high-income countries has been associated to socio-economic and environmental factors such as poor housing, undernutrition, overcrowding and poverty, which are well-demonstrated contributors to the incidence, magnitude and severity of RHD [10,11].

Risk factors

Several decades of research has firmly established RF as the cardinal cause of RHF [2]. However, not all cases of RF progress into RHF. This observation raised the possibility of the existence of a subgroup of patients with characteristics that prevent the progression of RF to RHF [5]. The most conspicuous evidence of risk factors of RHF is the epidemiologic shift in RHF burden, reducing in high-income countries while increasing in low-income countries [8-10]. In 2004, WHO [10] implicated socio-economic and environmental, and health-related factors as major determinants of the magnitude and severity of RHF (Table 1) [10].

### Socio-economic

The 2016 GBD study [9], and WHO report in RF and RHD [10] associate socio-economic and environmental factors to the increasing RHF burden in low- and middle-income countries. Factors such as poverty, undernutrition and overcrowding are common characteristics in high-burden RHF marginalized communities and countries [11,16-19]. In a Bangladeshi case-controlled study of 206 RF/RHD patients with 207 age-matched controls, the risk of RHD was higher among patients living in overcrowded environment (Odds Ratio: OR, 2.4, 95% CI 1.2-4.7) and having low-literacy levels (OR, 2.4, 95% CI 1.1-5.2). Individuals with low education level, in labor-intensive occupation, living in urban centers and in brick houses a trend towards increased likelihood of RHF [16]. Another Bangladesh hospital-based case-controlled study of 44 RF pediatric patients associated low-income (OR, 2.37; p = 0.04), poor living condition/substandard kacha house (OR, 2.93; p = 0.02), poor nutritional status (OR, 2.68; p = 0.04) with increased likelihood for RHF [17]. A Ugandan study of 203 RHD patients with age and sex-matched controls associated increased risk of RHF with unemployment (OR, 1.7, 95% CI: 1.05-8.19) and overcrowding (OR, 1.35, 95% CI: 1.1-1.56) [18]. In Fiji, a case controlled study of 80 cases of RHD reported poor-quality housing and lower socio-economic status, maternal employment increased RHF risk [19]. Socio-economic factors increase the risk of RHF by providing conducive conditions for rapid spread of infection and increasing rates or recurrent of infection and incidence RHD [10].

### Health-related

Health-related factors is another significant risk factors associated with a high RGF burden in low and middle-income countries. In a 2004 WHO report, factors such as shortage of healthcare resources, inadequate expertise of healthcare providers and low-level awareness of RF/RHD/RHF in the community increase the incidence of RHF. These factors lead to more severe evolution of the disease, high recurrent rates, severe RHD and increased healthcare costs in relation with repeat hospitalization or expensive surgical intervention. In addition, these factors also contribute towards inadequacy of diagnosis and treatment of GAS infection, misdiagnosis or delayed diagnosis of RHF and inadequacy or non-compliance with secondary prophylaxis [10]. Besides internal health-related factors, healthcare accessibility is another major factor, which affects individuals living in low-income countries. Distance from healthcare facility (> 5 kilometers) has been associated with reduced access to healthcare and uptake of interventional programs in low-income countries [20,21]. In particular, in Uganda, interaction between overcrowding and long distances to

| Risk Factors | Examples | Effect | Impact on RHF |
|-------------|----------|-------|--------------|
| Socioeconomic & environmental factors | Poverty; undernutrition; overcrowding; or poor housing | Rapid spread of GAS infection | Increased incidence of ARF |
| Healthcare-related factors | Shortage of healthcare resources; inadequate expertise of healthcare providers; or low-level awareness of diseases in the community | Inadequate diagnosis and treatment of GAS infection. Misdagnosis or delayed diagnosis of ARF. Inadequate or non-compliance with secondary prophylaxis. | Higher incidence of ARF and its recurrence |
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healthcare facility (OR, 1.20, 95% CI: 1.05-1.42) has been associated with an elevated risk of developing RHF [18].

Pathogenesis

Kaplan et al. made the initial demonstration of antibodies against GAS reacting with human heart preparations in 1964 [22,23]. Since then, it has been well understood that pathogenesis of RHF in a susceptible host (individual) involves a GAS infection and exacerbated autoimmune response [3,5] (Figure 1).

Susceptible host

Untreated GAS infection has been identified as the cause of RF. However, only 0.3-3% of individuals with acute streptococcal pharyngitis develop RF [7,24]. In these susceptible hosts, humoral and cellular autoimmune response to streptococcal antigens is believed to mediate the development of RF [7]. Pedigree studies suggest a genetically controlled autoimmune response where high-responsiveness to streptococcal cell-wall antigen is expressed through a single recessive gene while low-responsiveness is expressed through a single dominant gene. The gene controlling low-level response to streptococcal antigen has been linked to Class II human leukocyte antigen (HLA) [7] or to a susceptibility gene present at or nearby the HLA-DR locus [25,26].

Molecular typing techniques allowed the identification of the association between RF and class II HLA alleles but particular alleles responsible for susceptibility or protection vary between populations [27-29]. Specific B-cell alloantigen have also been associated with susceptibility to RF. Mouse monoclonal antibodies prepared against B-cells from RHD patients showed D8/17 reacted with higher numbers of B-cells from RHD than controls. The expression of D8/17 was at a high proportion of B-cells in patients with current or previous ARF/RHD and in moderate proportion of B-cells in first-degree family members suggesting inherited susceptibility [30]. A strong association between D8/17 expression and RHF has been found in several populations - North America, the Caribbean, Israel, Russia, Mexico and Chile [30-34]. Although exceptions have been observed in the U.S. and India [35,36], B-cell alloantigen markers can be used for identifying individuals with or at risk of ARF or RHF [41].

GAS infection

Epidemiological data and laboratory evidence from the first half of the 20th Century established that only pharyngeal infection by GAS is the sole etiologic agent of ARF [37,38]. In the next half, the clinical relevance of variations in the virulence strains of GAS has become clearer. Skin infection by GAS and documented outbreaks of impetigo caused by glomerulonephritis do not lead to ARF [39]. The role of GAS infection in ARF is complex but recurrent infection is necessary to prime autoimmune response before the initial ARF episode occurs [40]. It has been also hypothesized that in tropical countries with a high prevalence of pyoderma and RHD, skin infections by GAS may have a priming role or even cause ARF directly or subsequent pharyngeal infection. Group C or G streptococci may play a pathogenic role since they are more commonly isolated than GAS from the throat in tropical countries and can acquire virulent factors by horizontal transmission from GAS [3].

Immune response

Exacerbated autoimmune response is the hallmark of pathogenic mechanism for RHF. It is a consequence of molecular mimicry between epitopes on GAS (pathogen) and specific human tissues [3,5]. GAS cell wall consists of carbohydrates such as N-acetyl beta D-glucosamine. GAS also contain M, T and R surface proteins and lipoteichoic acid, which are involved in bacterial adherence to throat epithelial cells. The M protein is the most important GAS antigen sharing structural homology with alpha-helical coiled human proteins such as cardiac myosin, tropomyosin, keratin, laminin, vimentin and several valvular proteins [40]. Thus, structural and immunological similarities between GAS M protein and myosin alpha-helical and coiled-coil molecules are essential to the development of rheumatic carditis and valvulitis [41]. During an ARF episode, there is increased production of inflammatory acute phase proteins such as Mannan-binding lectin (MBL), and cytokines IL-1, IK-6 and TNF-alpha to eliminate GAS. In RHF patients, production of these proteins is genetically controlled and mutation can lead to differential expression and secretion to cause damage. MBL binds to N-acetyl beta D-glucosamine on the GAS cell wall to activate the complement-lectin pathway inducing elimination of the bacteria. Mutations in the gene coding for MBL production in RHF patients may interfere with the elimination of GAS [42].

Molecular mimicry mediated by genetically host-determined factors may trigger an exaggerated T-cell mediated immune response. CD4+ T cells are major effectors of autoimmune response in cardiac tissues of RHF patients [43-45]. Presentation of GAS antigens via class II HLA molecules triggers the activation of CD4+ T cells. GAS epitopes presenting structural or sequential homology with host epitopes can activate auto-reactive T-lymphocytes that escaped immune tolerance by molecular mimicry mechanism. The auto-reactive T-cells can also activate B-cells producing pathogen and host-antigen specific antibodies [41]. Recent evidence demonstrate humoral and cellular immune reactions in RHF patients. In rheumatic carditis, streptococcal and human protein cross-reactive antibodies, there is upregulation of the adhesive molecule VCAM-1 after binding to the endothelial surface resulting into inflammation, cellular infiltration and valvular scarring [46,47]. These findings establish the role of cardiac tissue cross-reactive antibodies (anti-cardiac myosin and laminin) in the early stages of inflammation and T-cell infiltration in RHF lesion [41].

**Figure 1. Pathogenesis of rheumatic heart failure**

Pathogenesis of RHF results from the triad of a genetically susceptible host, initial or recurrent GAS infection and exacerbated autoimmune response. Development of ARF from GAS infection occurs through molecular mimicry between GAS antigens and host cardiac myosin myosin tissues, and exaggerated T-cell mediated autoimmune response. Repeated ARF episodes produce additive insult to cardiac valves causing them to scarring and stretching. Continued insult eventually leads to the development of RHF. Reproduced from Carapetis et al., 2005, p. 157 [3]
Valvulitis rather than rheumatic carditis is responsible for RHF morbidity and mortality [48-50]. Since myosin is absent in in cardiac valves, autoimmune response against myosin induces valvulitis via the presence of laminin, another alpha-helical coiled-coil molecule found in the valvular basement membrane and around the endothelium recognized by T-cells against myosin and M protein [46]. Antibodies to cardiac valve tissues have also been observed to cross-react with N-acetyl glucosamine in group A carbohydrate [51]. Exaggerated antibody response to group A carbohydrate has been observed in ARF patients, which remained elevated in individuals with residual mitral valve disease supporting the suggestion that these antibodies cause valvular damage [52,53]. It is not clear whether the initial valvular injury results from antibody or cell mediated autoimmune damage but T-cell and macrophage infiltration are involved in subsequent damages [54,55].

Clinical features and diagnosis

RHF remains a clinical diagnosis mostly based on Jones criteria and laboratory tests to support diagnosis, and echocardiographic tests to diagnose cardiac involvement [7,10].

Jones criteria for RHF diagnosis

Introduced in 1944, the Jones criteria for diagnosis of RF and carditis were divided into major and minor categories based on the prevalence and specificity of clinical features. Major criteria comprising of carditis, joint symptoms, subcutaneous nodules, chorea and a history of RF or pre-existing RHD indicate a high likelihood of positive diagnosis. On the other hand, minor criteria comprising of clinical findings (fever and erythema marginatum, abdominal pain, epistaxis and pulmonary findings), and laboratory markers of acute inflammation (leukocytosis, and elevated erythrocyte sedimentation rate or C-reactive protein are suggestive but insufficient for a positive diagnosis [13,56]. The Jones criteria proposed the presence of two major criteria or one major and two minor criteria was reasonable clinical evidence of rheumatic activity [56]. Since its introduction, the American Heart Association (AHA) [57] and the New Zealand RHF association have revised the Jones Criteria. In a recent revision in 2015, the AHA aimed to improve specificity and address vexing clinical issues for all patient population with evidence of preceding pharyngeal GAS infection [57]. The revised criteria proposed diagnosis of initial ARF should be based on the presence of 2 major, or 1 major and 2 minor criteria; and diagnosis of recurrent ARF should be based on 2 major, or 1 major and 2 minor, or 3 minor criteria (Table 2).

Major criteria: Carditis or valvulitis is the most consistent cardiac patholgy of RF leading to permanent valvular damage. Its diagnosis is based on auscultation of typical cardiac murmurs indicating mitral and/or aortic valve regurgitation [57]. About 50 to 65% of individuals with RF have clinically detectable carditis with occasional pericarditis and myocarditis. Severe carditis occurs in about 20% and can lead to RHF. Mild rheumatic carditis is subclinical in approximately 30% detectable by echocardiography but inaudible by auscultation [58]. Rheumatic carditis can evolve over weeks or months underscore the importance of repeat echocardiography in 2 to 4 weeks if the initial echocardiography has normal findings [57].

Arthritis: Arthritis is the most frequent major extra-cardiac manifestation of RF found in up to 75% of patients with an initial episode of RF. It occurs early in the course of the disease usually as the presenting complaint. Involvement of joints in RF may range from arthralgia to disabling arthritis but without objective findings do not qualify as major disease manifestation because of non-specificity [7]. Particularly, arthritis manifestation of RF occur as migratory polyarthritis mostly in the larger joints causing pain, swelling, movement limitation and local heat. Joint manifestation is not easy to assess in clinical practice because good response to non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), which mask the symptoms. Monoarthritis especially involving the hips also a presenting feature in population with a high incidence of RF [58,59].

Chorea: Chorea affect up to 15% of individuals with RF and is more prevalent in females and adolescents [60]. It consists of involuntary jerky movements that may be asymmetrical, facial, grimacing fidgeting and emotional liability. It may manifest as deterioration in handwriting, inability to feed and unsteady gaitis with falls. Often, it occurs after a long latent period (up to six months) post streptococcal infection and usually after resolution of other inflammatory features of RF. Chorea may follow a fluctuating course over many months or even years before resolving. Up to 60% of individuals with chorea will have residual RHF [61] and up to 20% may have long-term neuro-psychiatric problems [62,63].

Subcutaneous nodules: Subcutaneous nodules are tiny (< 2 cm in diameter) firm, painless mobile nodules occurring over bony prominences or extensor tendons. Common locations are elbows, wrists, knees and ankles. They may occasionally occur as Achilles tendon and spine. There are a rare manifestation of RF occurring in about > 5% of cases [64,65]. They may last up to two weeks during the inflammatory phase and occur in association with carditis [57,59].

Erythema marginatum: They are a rare manifestation of RHF occurring in < 5% of reported cases. It is an annular erythema found on the torso, upper arms and legs. Macules and papules usually spread outwards with raise edges [59]. They are not itchy or painful and fluctuate over many weeks. It is difficult to identify in individuals with darker skin [57].

Minor criteria: Arthralgia is a minor criteria because it lacks diagnostic specificity. In the presence of polyarthritis, arthralgia cannot

Table 2. The 2015 AHA revised jones criteria [57]

| Criteria                  | Type                    | Clinical Manifestations                                                                 |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| All patient population with prior GAS infection | Initial ARF | 2 major or 1 major + 2 minor manifestation                                              |
|                           | Recurrent ARF           | 2 major or 1 major + 2 minor, or 3 minor manifestations                                  |
| Major criteria            | Low-risk population     | Carditis (clinical/subclinical); arthritis (polyarthritis only); chorea; erythema marginatum; subcutaneous nodules |
|                           | Moderate/high risk population | Carditis (clinical/subclinical); arthritis (monoarthritis/polyarthritis, polyarthralgia); chorea; erythema marginatum; subcutaneous nodules |
| Minor Criteria            | Low-risk population     | Polyarthralgia; fever (≥ 35.8°C); ESR ≥ 60 mm in the first hour and/or CRP ≥ 3.0 mg/dL: prolonged PR interval, after accounting for age variability (unless carditis is a major criterion) |
|                           | Moderate/high risk population | Polyarthralgia; fever (≥ 35.8°C); ESR ≥ 30 mm/h and/or CRP ≥ 3.0 mg/dL; Prolonged PR interval, after accounting for age variability (unless carditis is a major criterion) |

ARF: Acute rheumatic fever; CRP: C - reactive protein; ESR: Erythrocyte Sedimentation Rate; GAS: Group A Streptococcal; Low-risk population: ARF incidence ≤ 2 per 100,000 school-age children or all-age rheumatic heart disease prevalence of ≤ 1 per 1,000 per year
be used as a minor criteria. When NSAIDs have been used, there may be observable clinical signs of arthritis. Joint manifestations take a fluctuating course (from mild to incapacitating) over days up to several weeks and it is challenging to distinguish arthritis from arthralgia using patient clinical history alone [59].

Fever: Fever in RHF patients occurs with varying patterns. It is sensitive to anti-inflammatory medication. The use of paracetamol and NSAIDs reduces the period of fever. However, high fever may persist in RF patients with exacerbated inflammatory response with evolving arthritis and carditis [7]. In high-risk populations, fever greater than 38°C is considered sufficient evidence and minor criterion for RHF [57,58].

Elevated acute phase reactants: Individuals with RF exhibit elevated erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) > 50 mm in the first hour. ESR remains elevated for several weeks after resolution of symptoms, and can take several months to return to normal levels. ESR is non-specific because they occur in other forms of bacterial infection and inflammatory conditions [59].

First degree heart block: First degree heart block is considered a minor criteria in the absence of carditis, which supports the diagnosis of RHF. Results of electrocardiographic PR interval should be interpreted based on the age and heart rate of the patient. In pediatric populations, the upper limit of a normal PR interval is 0.16 seconds (< 12 years) and 0.18 seconds (= 12 years) [57-59].

Laboratory test for evidence of GAS infection: Laboratory tests are included within the Jones criteria. A positive pharyngeal culture or elevated streptococcal antibodies titre usually confirms immune response to GAS pharyngitis [58]. During acute onset of RHF, there is elevated ESR, fibrinogen and C-reactive protein (CRP), which may occur over several weeks after clinical signs of ARF has disappeared and decline over several months [66]. Since other disease may resemble HRF, laboratory evidence of prior GAS infection is required. Evidence of prior infection include the following findings: (a) a fourfold rise or fall in streptococcal antibodies titer; (b) positive throat culture for GAS; and a positive rapid GAS carbohydrate antigen test in a child with a fall in streptococcal antibodies titer; (b) positive throat culture for GAS; and a positive rapid GAS carbohydrate antigen test in a child with a positive pharyngeal culture and decline over several months [66]. Since other disease may resemble HRF, laboratory evidence of prior GAS infection is required. Evidence of prior infection include the following findings: (a) a fourfold rise or fall in streptococcal antibodies titer; (b) positive throat culture for GAS; and a positive rapid GAS carbohydrate antigen test in a child with a fall in streptococcal antibodies titer; (b) positive throat culture for GAS; and a positive rapid GAS carbohydrate antigen test in a child with a positive pharyngeal culture and decline over several months [66].

**Echocardiography**

Echocardiography is useful in detecting cardiac involvement in RHF patients. It provides cardiac morphological and functional information about atrial and ventricular sizes, valvular thickening, leaflet prolapse, coaptation failure, restricted leaflet motility and ventricular dysfunction. It is also useful for diagnosis of mitral and/or valve insufficiency and exclusion of non-rheumatic etiologies such as congenital mitral valve cleft and/or anomalies, degenerative floppy mitral valve and acquired valvular diseases [67,68]. In 2012, the World Health Federation (WHF) developed new echocardiographic guidelines for the full spectrum of rheumatic diseases based on best available evidence [69]. The guidelines define three categories based on assessment by 2D, continuous wave and color-Doppler echocardiography: (a) definite RHF; borderline RHF and normal RHF (Table 3). The criteria also provides criteria for pathological mitral and aortic regurgitation (Table 4) and morphological features of RHF (Table 5).

The 2015 scientific statement from AHA recommends echocardiography with Doppler should be performed (a) in all cases of confirmed and suspected RHF; (b) in any patients diagnosed or suspected with RHF even in the absence of carditis on diagnosis; (c) to assess for the presence of carditis in the absence of auscultatory findings, especially in moderate to high risk populations and when RHD is considered likely [57]. Other useful imaging tests include chest radiography to assess cardiac size, pericarditis, pulmonary edema and increased vascularity; radionuclide imaging to identify rheumatic carditis by non-invasive means but with insufficient evidence to support clinical use; and endomyocardial biopsy to detect Aschoff nodules [59].

**Differential diagnosis**

The 2015 AHA statement on diagnosis of RHF underscores the value of a working differential diagnosis when evaluating each of the major criteria for RHF diagnosis. Echocardiography-based criteria for diagnosing carditis (Tables 4 and 5) in the absence of clinical evidence requires knowledge of other etiologies resembling rheumatic carditis. Table 6 provides a list of alternative diagnosis to consider when assessing carditis, arthritis and chorea.

**Meta-analysis of RHF diagnosis**

Diagnosis of RHF is challenging due to the lack of a gold standard test, which would also be useful as a reference test as well.

---

**Table 3. Echocardiographic criteria for diagnosis of RHF [69]**

| Echocardiographic Criteria for Individuals ≤ 20 years |   |
|------------------------------------------------------|---|
| **Definite RHF (A, B, C or D)**                       |   |
| A. Pathological MR + ≥ 2 morphological features of RHF of the MV |   |
| B. MS mean gradients ≥ 4 mm Hg                      |   |
| C. Pathological AR + ≥ 2 morphological features of RHF of the AR |   |
| D. Borderline disease of both AV and MV              |   |

| **Borderline RHF (A, B, or C)**                       |   |
| A. ≥ 2 morphological features of RHF of the MV in the absence of pathological MR/MS |   |
| B. Pathological MR                                    |   |
| C. Pathological AR                                     |   |

| **Normal Findings (All A to D)**                      |   |
| A. MR does not meet all 4 Doppler echocardiography criteria |   |
| B. AR does not meet all 4 Doppler echocardiography criteria |   |
| C. Isolated morphological features of RHF of the MV in the absence of associated pathological stenosis or regurgitation |   |
| D. Morphological features of the RHF of the AV in absence of associated pathological stenosis or regurgitation |   |

| Echocardiographic Criteria for Individuals > 20 years |   |
|------------------------------------------------------|---|
| **Definite RHF (A, B, C, or D)**                       |   |
| A. Pathological MR + ≥ 2 morphological features of RHD of the MV |   |
| B. MS mean gradient ≥ mm Hg                           |   |
| C. Pathological AR + ≥ 2 morphological features of the AV (only in individuals < 35 years) |   |
| D. Pathological AR + ≥ 2 morphological features of RHD of the MV |   |

AR: Aortic Regurgitation; AV: Aortic Valve; MR: Mitral Regurgitation; MS: Mitral Stenosis; MV: Mitral Valve; RHF: Rheumatic Heart Disease; WHF: World Heart Federation
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as for developing supportive clinical tests. Current recommended diagnostic guidelines rely on the presence or the absence of major and/or minor clinical features (the Jones criteria) usually supported with echocardiographic evidence of cardiac involvement [57,69]. While the Jones criteria has been modified over time by different organizations to improve its diagnostic accuracy, concerns persist that even with the revisions there are several documented cases of under- or over-diagnoses. In particular, the Jones criteria does not detect subclinical or "silent" carditis because it is missed by cardiac auscultation [57]. Consequently, to improve the diagnosis of carditis in patients suspected with RF, WHO developed echocardiographic criteria based on 2D and Doppler criteria for pathological regurgitation (rheumatic carditis) [69].

**Table 4. Doppler criteria for pathological regurgitation (rheumatic carditis)** [69]

| Pathological MR (meet all A to D) | B. ≥ 1 view, jet length ≥ 2 cm | C. Velocity ≥ 3 m/s for one complete envelope | D. Pan-systolic jet in at least one envelope |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| Pathological AR (meet all A to D) | A. Seen in 2 views | B. ≥ 1 view, jet length ≥ 2 cm | C. Velocity ≥ 3 m/s in early diastole | D. Pan-diastolic jet in at least one envelope |

**Table 5. Criteria for morphological features of rheumatic carditis** [69]

| Features in the MV | A. AMVL thickening ≥ 3 mm (age-specific) | B. Chordal thickening | C. Restricted leaflet motion | D. Excessive leaflet tip motion during systole |
|--------------------|------------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Features in the AV | A. Irregular or focal thickening | B. Coaptation defect | C. Restricted leaflet motion | D. Prolapse |

**AMVL:** Anterior Mitral Valve Leaflet; **AV:** aortic valve; **MS:** Mitral Stenosis; **MV:** Mitral Valve

**Table 6. Differential diagnosis of carditis, arthritis and chorea** [57]

| Carditis | Arthritis | Chorea |
|---------|-----------|--------|
| Septic arthritis; Connective tissue and other autoimmune diseases; Viral arthropathy; Reactive arthropathy; Lyme disease; Sickle cell anemia; Infective endocarditis; Leukemia or lymphoma; Gout and pseudo-gout; Post-streptococcal reactive arthritis; Henoch-Schönlein purpura | Physiological mitral regurgitation; Mitral valve prolapse; Myxomatous mitral valve; Fibroelastoma; Congenital mitral valve disease; Congenital aortic valve disease; Infective endocarditis; Cardiomyopathy | Drug intoxication; Wilson disease; Tic disorder; Choreaathetoid cerebellar ataxia; Encephalitis; Familial chorea; Intracranial tumor; Lyme disease; Hormonal; Metabolic; Antiphospholipid antibody syndrome; Autoimmune; Systemic lupus erythematosus, systemic; Vasculitis; Sarcoidosis; Hypothyroidism |

The studies reported Doppler echocardiography evidence of mitral and/or aortic regurgitation in patients with ARF in the absence of heart murmurs on auscultation. The studies were conducted in various geographical locations: Turkey [75,78,85,88], India [72,82,87,90], United States [73,79,86], Brazil [74,81], New Zealand [71], Qatar [70], Chile [76], Jordan [77], Cambodia/Mozambique [67], Pakistan [80], Italy [65], Austria [83], Egypt [84], and Ukraine [89]. The common criteria used for diagnosis of mitral regurgitation was mosaic color jet viewed in at least 2 planes; jet > 1 cm; peak velocity > 2.5 m/s and holosystolic, and aortic regurgitation was mosaic color jet viewed in at least 2 planes; jet > 1 cm; peak velocity > 2.5 m/s and holodiastolic. One study [67], grouped data and analysis into two sets – Cambodia and Mozambique – where each was analyzed separately.

The 23 studies enrolled 8,267 patients with suspected ARF. The patients were mostly children (mean age 10.5 years; range: 3.9-15.0 years). There was an almost equal gender representation, with 4,016 (48.6%) male patients. Of the 8,267 patients, 1,195 (14.4%) did not have any clinical evidence (on auscultation) of carditis at baseline. Sixteen studies [67,70,72,82,83,85,89] that reported findings of 2D echocardiography or Doppler echocardiography revealed evidence of subclinical carditis in 702 (58.7%) of RF patients without clinical evidence of carditis. Further, echocardiographic evidence reveals that in RF patients without clinical evidence of carditis, the event rate of subclinical carditis (0.488), mitral regurgitation (0.351) and aortic regurgitation (0.164). The findings indicate that 2D echocardiography and/or Doppler echocardiography offers incremental diagnostic utility in identifying subclinical carditis in patients with suspected RF without clinical evidence of carditis.
Table 7. Characteristics of studies on rhf diagnosis by clinical tests and echocardiography

| 1st Author [Ref #] | Year | Country | Total No. of Patients | Patients without Carditis | Diagnosis Criteria for Mitral | Diagnosis Criteria for Aortic | Subclinical Carditis | Summary of Findings |
|---------------------|------|---------|-----------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Folger et al. [70]  | 1992 | Qatar   | 11                     | 11                        | Holosystolic; peak velocity > 2.5 m/s | Holodiastolic; peak velocity > 2.5 m/s | NS                  | Color flow Doppler identifies subclinical (without auscultatory signs) valvular disease in all stages of RF |
| Abernethy et al. [71] | 1994 | New Zealand | 47                     | 32                        | 2 planes; jet ≥ 80% of systole    | 2 planes, high velocity       | NS                  | Doppler echo is more sensitive than clinical assessment in detecting carditis in ARF and contribute to early diagnosis |
| Vasan et al. [72]   | 1996 | India   | 108                    | 28                        | Jet > 1 cm beyond paravalvular region | Jet > 1 cm beyond paravalvular region | 0                   | Doppler echocardiography does not provide incremental diagnostic utility in RF without carditis |
| Minich et al. [73]  | 1997 | United States | 68                     | 68                        | 2 planes; jet > 1 cm; mosaic color jet; holosystolic | NS                  | 27                  | Doppler criteria can distinguish pathologic silent MR from physiologic MR useful for evaluating suspected ARF cases |
| Da Silva [74]       | 1999 | Brazil   | 786                    | 390                       | NS                             | NS                            | 144                 | Silent carditis is prevalent in ARF patients and should be considered in diagnosis of ARF |
| Elelvi et al. [75]  | 1999 | Turkey   | 22                     | 17                        | 2 planes; jet in almost entire systole | 2 planes; holodiastolic      | 14                  | Color Doppler echo is useful in detecting MR silent valvular regurgitation |
| Figueroa et al. [76] | 2001 | Chile    | 35                     | 20                        | 2 planes; jet persist throughout systole | NS                            | 16                  | Doppler echo detect subclinical valve lesion, which can persist, and should be a major criterion in RF diagnosis |
| Khriesat et al. [77] | 2003 | Jordan   | 50                     | 26                        | 2 planes; mosaic color jet ≥ 1 cm | NS                            | 4                   | Doppler echo improves clinical diagnosis of RF |
| Ozkutlu et al. [78] | 2003 | Turkey   | 40                     | 40                        | 2 planes; mosaic color jet ≥ 1 cm; peak velocity > 2.5 m/s | 2 planes; holodiastolic; high velocity spectral envelope | 40                  | Echo detects subclinical valvulitis as evidence of diagnosis of carditis – should be a major RF diagnosis |
| Tani et al. [79]    | 2003 | United States | 27                     | 13                        | 2 planes; color jet > 1 cm, holosystolic | 2 planes; jet > 5 mm into LV outflow tract | 5                   | Doppler echo provides evidence of subclinical valvular disease |
| Marijon et al. [67] | 2007 | Cambodia | 3677                   | 3                         | 2 planes; holosystolic           | NS                            | 71                  | Screening with echo compared to clinical screening reveals a much higher prevalence of RHF |
|                    |      | Mozambique | 2170                  | NS                        | 2 planes; holosystolic           | NS                            | 124                 | Screening with echo compared to clinical screening reveals a much higher prevalence of RHF |
| Beg et al. [80]     | 2008 | Pakistan | 30                     | 30                        | 2 planes; mosaic color jet ≥ 1 cm | Holodiastolic; peak velocities > 2.5 m/s | 13                  | Doppler echo should be performed in all suspected ARF and subclinical valvulitis used as a diagnostic criterion |
| Caldas et al. [81]  | 2008 | Brazil   | 56                     | 29                        | Mosaic color jet spreading into LA during diastole | Mosaic color jet spreading into LA during diastole | 25                  | Echo shows subclinical carditis in patients with chorea |
| Vijayalakshmi et al. [82] | 2008 | India   | 333                    | 165                       | 2 planes; mosaic color jet > 1 cm | 2 planes; holodiastolic       | 144                 | Precise diagnosis of carditis and subclinical valvulitis is possible with echo and should be included in Jones criteria |
| Grassi et al. [65]  | 2009 | Italy    | 135                    | 33                        | 2 planes; jet > 1/3 of the LA; holosystolic | 2 planes; jet > 1/3 of the LV holodiastolic | NS                  | Echo is used to confirm carditis detected by clinical assessment |
| Cann et al. [83]    | 2010 | Australia | 98                     | 52                        | NS                              | NS                            | 27                  | In ARF endemic populations subclinical carditis (and ) should be included in revised Jones criteria |
| Mesbah et al. [84]  | 2010 | Egypt    | 34                     | 14                        | NS                              | NS                            | NS                  | Functional echo (LVEF/LVFS) does not offer relevant abnormalities due to myocardial involvement |
| Ekici et al. [85]   | 2012 | Turkey   | 69                     | 39                        | 2 planes; jet > 1 cm; peak velocity > 2.5 m/s persisting throughout systole | 2 planes; peak velocity > 2.5 m/s; persisting throughout diastole | 20                  | Doppler echo identified subclinical carditis in patients with chorea |
| Shivaram et al. [86] | 2013 | United States | 93                     | 34                        | 2 planes; jet > 1 cm; peak velocity > 2.5 m/s persisting throughout systole | 2 planes; peak velocity > 2.5 m/s; persisting throughout diastole | NS                  | Doppler echo is more sensitive for detecting valvular lesions |
| Bindu et al. [87]   | 2014 | India    | 57                     | 19                        | NS                              | NS                            | NS                  | Doppler echo to be performed all suspected RF for early detection of subclinical carditis |
Discussion of outcomes: In the present meta-analysis, we sought to determine whether Doppler echocardiography is able to detect subclinical carditis in RF patients. Our findings reveal that Doppler echocardiography can detect subclinical carditis in about 59% of RF patients with negative auscultatory findings. The findings also revealed a high event rate of subclinical carditis in RF patients without clinical evidence of carditis (0.488) but the rate was not statistically significant. We also found mitral valvular incompetence is more likely in patients with subclinical carditis compared to aortic valvular incompetence. The present findings is consistent with a previous meta-analysis of 23 studies, which found the prevalence of subclinical carditis in ARF patients was 16.8%, range 0 to 53% and increased to 25.5% in 10 studies applying the WHO 2012 criterion, which includes echocardiographic criteria. The prevalence of persistent or deterioration of subclinical carditis increased to 44.7% within 3 to 23 months post diagnosis [91]. The committee for Jones criteria also recognizes the value of echocardiography is well-established in distinguishing physiological from pathological valvular regurgitation [92].

Although the Jones criteria remains a hallmark in the clinical diagnosis of RHF, it is less useful in the diagnosis of early myocardial involvement leading to hemodynamic changes in patients with an acute onset of RF. Since carditis is the only manifestation of ARF that leads to permanent cardiac damage and disability, its early and accurate diagnosis is of clinical importance [78]. Echocardiography has been documented to diagnose both clinical and subclinical carditis more accurately than traditional auscultatory findings, and if integrated in the Jones criteria, may prevent both under- and over-diagnosis of valvular pathology in RF patients [82]. However, in the absence of a gold standard, it is not possible to comment on the sensitivity and specificity of diagnosis by echocardiography. The question has been asked whether echocardiography could be integrated into Jones criteria to improve diagnostic accuracy. The committee for Jones criteria is skeptical about including Doppler echocardiography as a major criteria for fear of over-diagnosis of carditis for three reasons. (a) It can detect physiological valvular regurgitation in normal individuals; (b) degree of physiological valvular regurgitation increases with age; and (c) the degree of Doppler-detected valvular regurgitation in pediatric population with febrile illnesses not related to ARF such as viral myocarditis is unknown [92]. These reasons could explain our current high event rate (0.48) of subclinical carditis in patients with no clinical evidence of carditis.

The present findings promises important clinical implications. Doppler echocardiography facility is widely available in most parts of the world and its routine use for the initial diagnosis of RHF might enhance early detection, much earlier that physical examination does [80]. In addition, the exclusion of carditis in patients with possible or acute RF cannot be confirmed without Doppler echocardiography [71]. Knowledge of the presence of subclinical carditis may also influence primary prophylaxis including the duration of treatment and bed rest, and secondary prophylaxis against recurrent ARF. Moreover, the ability to detect subclinical carditis may provide prognostic information on RHF patients. In some ARF patients, subclinical carditis may persist or deteriorate over time. Ozdemir et al. [93] report subclinical carditis persisted in 55% of the patients twelve months post diagnosis, and some RF patients without clinical evidence of carditis may develop signs of carditis when followed for 8 to 13 years [71]. These reports suggest prognostic value of echocardiography assessed subclinical carditis in RF patients and highlight the need for additional primary prophylaxis against infective endocarditis. However, additional studies are warranted to determine long-term prognostic significance of subclinical carditis.

Clinical Management

The WHO and AHA guidelines recommend primary and secondary prophylaxis for the prevention and management of RHF. The two methods have been documented to be cost-effective and inexpensive in reducing RHF burden [10,57].

Preventive strategies

Preventive strategies for RHF are categorized into primary and secondary prophylaxis designed to protect against initial and recurrent infection respectively.

Primary prophylaxis

Primary prophylaxis of RHF refers to adequate health events and measures for increasing natural immunity against an initial GAS pharyngeal infection – the primary etiologic agent for RHF. The earliest stage of primary prevention is mainly population-based, initiated to eliminate risk factors within the community [94]. These strategies include organizing general health events such as training and teaching on healthy living conditions, physical activity, sanitary and hygienic events all aimed to prevent GAS infection especially in children. Other strategies include increasing access to affordable and adequate treatment of acute respiratory diseases such as pharyngitis and tonsillitis caused by GAS. Primary prophylaxis also includes the use of pharmacological support such as antibiotic to eradicate GAS from the pharynx and to prevent RF if therapy is started within nine days after the onset of symptoms [57]. In case pharmacotherapy is not effective, tonsillectomy may be performed in the sub-acute period but not earlier than 2 months after the onset of the disease. Vaccination containing M-protein epitopes of rheumatogenic GAS not participating in cross-reactions with antigen tissues from myosin may be effective for primary prevention of susceptibility of ARF in high-risk populations [67].

Secondary prophylaxis: Secondary prophylaxis of RHF is defined as continuous administration of specific antibiotics to patients with a

| 1st Author [Ref#] | Year | Country | Total No. of Patients | Patients without Carditis | Diagnosis Criteria for Mitral | Diagnosis Criteria for Aortic | Subclinical Carditis | Summary of Findings |
|-------------------|------|---------|-----------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Basturk et al. [88] | 2016 | Turkey  | 214                   | 90                       | 2 planes; jet > 1 cm         | 2 planes; jet > 1 cm         | NS                  | Clinical examination and Doppler echo improves diagnosis of carditis in suspected ARF patients |
| Boyarchuck et al. [89] | 2017 | Ukraine | 85                    | 32                       | NS                           | NS                         | 18                  | Lack of specific signs of carditis makes diagnostic a challenge |
| Gautam et al. [90] | 2018 | India   | 22                    | 10                       | 2 planes; jet > 1 cm; peak velocity > 2.5 m/s; jet persists throughout systole | 2 planes; jet > 1 cm; peak velocity > 2.5 m/s; jet persists throughout diastole | NS                  | 2d Echo Doppler in patients suspected with carditis improves diagnosis of subclinical carditis |
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prior attack of RF or well-documented RHF. The target is to prevent colonization or infection of the pharynx by GAS and the development of recurrent or chronic RF attacks. It is mandatory for individuals with prior RF attacks despite the presence or the absence of residual valvular heart disease [7,57]. The 2004 and 2009 WHO and AHA recommend the common secondary prophylaxis regimens for individuals with documented ARF should include Benzathine benzylpenicillin (intramuscular), and Penicillin V, Erythromycin, Sulfadiazine and Sulfisoxazole (delivered orally). Duration of treatment should depend on the age of the patient, the data of their last attack and the presence and severity of RHF. In highly endemic regions with increased risk of recurrence, some institutions recommend long-term or even lifelong prophylaxis for individuals with severe RHF or previous valvular surgery [94]. Secondary prophylaxis is efficiently delivered though community-based registry programs to improve compliance, education, use of healthcare worker with strong community links and integration into existing primary care. Issues affecting the efficacy include medication non-compliance, mobility of target population, understaffing of healthcare personnel and remote setting [94].

RHF surveillance: Surveillance is an essential part of preventive strategies in the management of RHF. The aim is to obtain accurate estimates of RHF burden and to allow the initiation of the most appropriate preventive therapy for as many affected or at-risk individuals as possible [57]. Disease surveillance can be achieved through passive surveys in a predefined population or retrospectively in a hospital setting. Surveys in hospital and primary care facilities allows detection of the incidence and prevalence as well as demographic data of the most affected individuals. Active screening is also important to provide data in previously unknown cases, accurate epidemiological data and guide treatment strategies including screening programs in at-risk populations [94].

Meta-analysis of rhf clinical management

Pharmacotherapy remains the cornerstone of primary and secondary prophylaxis against GAS pharyngeal infection, which is the primary etiologic agent of RF and its sequela RHF [10,57]. The efficacy and safety of antibiotics in RF patients has been well-established. Penicillin remains the preferred antibiotic because of its proven efficacy, safety profile, narrow spectrum and low-cost. Oral penicillin administered for 10 days is recommended as standard of care because of past concerns for maximum protection against RF, which is the principal and original indication for antibiotics for pharyngitis and/or tonsillitis [10]. However, compliance for antibiotic regimens has been one of the factors affecting its therapeutic efficacy. Antibiotics cause symptoms resolution within 24 to 48 hours after treatment initiation and compared 2 to 3 days after treatment initiation while infection recurrence, treatment failure and treatment-related adverse effects of each trial were analyzed, and differences between therapeutic effects of each trial were analyzed, and differences between therapeutic outcomes expressed as odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI). Heterogeneity between studies was assessed using the I² statistics and results pooled and analyzed using fixed effect model (I² < 50%) or random effect model (I² > 50%).

Study characteristics and outcomes: The online and manual bibliography search yielded 514 clinical trials for consideration. After a review of titles and abstract, 18 studies were selected for possible inclusion. A further review of full-text against the inclusion criteria excluded seven studies due to unavailability of full text of the article, did not randomize patients into study and control arms or did not include penicillin. Finally, eleven randomized controlled trials were included [95-105]. The 11 studies enrolled a combined population of 7,028 patients diagnosed with pharyngitis or tonsillitis with positive GAS throat culture. The patients were randomized into control arm – standard 10-day penicillin (4,335) and study arm – short duration (3-6) day antibiotics (2,693). All the patients were children or teenagers (mean age 6.82 range 1 - 18). The studies assessed several antibiotics with a short treatment course: azithromycin [97,104], amoxicillin [100,102,105], erythromycin [99,100], cefadroxil [96], cefuroxime [101], and clarithromycin [196]. One study [95] compared clinical efficacy of 3-6 day and 10-day penicillin treatment course.

The main clinical outcomes assessed were treatment efficacy (GAS eradication, prevention of recurrence and treatment failure) and safety (treatment related adverse events). Eradication of GAS was assessed and compared 2 to 3 days after treatment initiation while infection recurrence, treatment failure and treatment-related adverse effects were evaluated 3 to 4 weeks after treatment initiation. Pooled findings of treatment effects reveal a shorter duration of treatment (3-6 days) with once or twice daily dose has no significant difference with 10-day penicillin in both efficacy and safety. Shorter and standard 10-day treatment had comparable treatment effect in eradicating GAS (OR
Table 8. Summary of study characteristics on duration of antibiotic therapy for RHF

| 1st Author [Ref #] | Year | Study Design | No. of Patients (n) | Mean Age (yrs.) | Antibiotic Drug and Dosage Compared to 10-day Penicillin | Eradication of GAS | Infection Recurrence | Treatment Failure | Adverse Events |
|---------------------|------|--------------|---------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| 1987                |      | Randomized prospective, controlled trial | 99                  | 73              | Penicillin 250 mg/kg/dose thrice daily           | NS               | NS                | 6               | NS            |
| 1991                |      | Randomized trial | 105                 | 104             | Cefadroxil 25 mg/kg twice daily                 | NS               | NS                | 12              | NS            |
| 1993                |      | Randomized prospective, multicenter | 47                  | 49              | Azithromycin 10 mg/kg once daily               | 42               | 3                 | 4               | 6             |
| 1995                |      | Open, controlled, randomized multicenter study | 76                  | 75              | Cefixime 8mg/kg body weight/day once daily     | 60               | 57                | 1               | 2             |
| 1996                |      | Randomized prospective, multicenter trial | 99                  | 102             | Erythromycin 40 mg/kg/day                       | 87               | 85                | 6               | 11            |
| 2000                |      | Multicenter, randomized, open-labeled, controlled study | 1430               | 3052            | Amoxicillin/ clavulanate/ cefixime/ erythromycin estolate | 1165             | 2501              | 355             | 668           |
| 2002                |      | Prospective randomized multicenter study | 103                 | 97              | Cefuroxime axetil 20 mg/kg/day twice daily     | 90               | 85                | NS              | NS            |
| 2000                |      | Prospective, comparative, randomized, multicenter trial | 160                 | 161             | Amoxicillin 50 mg/kg/day twice daily           | 116              | 118               | 3               | 5             |
| 2002                |      | Prospective, comparative, randomized, multicenter trial | 260                 | 268             | Clarithromycin 7.5 mg/kg twice daily           | 202              | 251               | NS              | NS            |
| 2002                |      | Prospective, comparative, randomized, multicenter trial | 169                 | 167             | Azithromycin 10 mg/kg/day                      | 123              | 78                | 15              | 30            |
| 2008                |      | Prospective randomized trial | 176                 | 177             | Amoxicillin 1500 mg/day                       | 158              | 159               | NS              | 11            |

0.965; 95% CI, 0.649-1.433; p = 0.858); protecting against clinical recurrence (OR, 0.918; 95% CI, 0.800-1.053; p=0.223); and cases of treatment failure (OR 1.152; 95% CI, 0.978-1.358; p=0.091); and treatment related adverse events (OR 1.034; 95% CI, 0.568-1.884; p=0.912) (Figures 2 to 5). The findings indicate that a short treatment course of antibiotics offer comparable efficacy (eradication of infection or twice daily dosage is non-inferior to the standard 10-day penicillin treatment with regard to early eradication of bacteria, protection against GAS infection, infection recurrence and treatment-associated adverse events.

Compliance with physician instructions is essential to improve the patient's health, reduce the need for additional consultation, the use of extra drugs, re-hospitalization and increased cost of care [106]. However, compliance with antibiotic therapy continues to pose important challenges to treatment efficacy. Frequency of daily dose and duration of dose strongly influences compliance. The average compliance for daily dosage decreases from once daily (70%), twice daily (70%), thrice daily (52%) and four times daily (42%) [108]. Further, treatment duration shorter than seven days also improves compliance while longer than seven days reduces compliance [109]. Similarly, in our present findings, lower daily doses (once or twice daily) for a shorter treatment duration (3 to 6 days) would improve compliance. In a previous meta-analysis, a shorter antibiotic treatment duration shows superior compliance compared to the standard 10-day penicillin therapy [110]. Although shorter treatment duration could increase the risk of relapse because of shorter time for protection against infection [108], our findings reveal comparable effect on bacteriology recurrence and treatment failure. The risk of higher daily dosage in a shorter treatment duration has been associated with increased likelihood of adverse events but we have shown incidence of adverse events are comparable to the standard 10-day penicillin therapy.

Discussion of outcomes

The hallmark of RHF treatment is the prevention of GAS pharyngeal infection mainly through antibiotic therapy. The European Society for Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases (ESCMID) [106] and the Infectious Diseases Society of America [107] guidelines on management of sore throat and infectious diseases recommend 10-day penicillin as the first line antibiotic treatment of GAS pharyngotonsillitis. The main aim is to protect against patient developing serious complications including RF and RHF. However, patient compliance with the 10-day penicillin is a concern because of rapid resolution of symptoms within 48 to 72 hours [10]. If a shorter treatment duration with newer antibiotics can have equivalent efficacy and safety with the standard 10-day penicillin treatment, it could potentially improve patient compliance. In the present, meta-analysis we compared shorter duration (3-6 days) antibiotic treatment for GAS infection with the standard 10-day penicillin treatment for efficacy and safety. We find shorter duration of treatment with once or twice daily dosage is non-inferior to the standard 10-day penicillin treatment with regard to early eradication of bacteria, protection against GAS infection, infection recurrence and treatment-associated adverse events.
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### Figure 2. Forest plot of GAS eradication between 3-6 day and 10-day treatment

| Study name          | Events / Total | Odds ratio | Lower limit | Upper limit | p-Value |
|---------------------|----------------|------------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| Hamill et al. [97]  | 39 / 49        | 42.47      | 0.486       | 1.418       | 1.479   | 0.194   |
| Adam et al. [98]    | 57 / 175       | 60.79      | 0.644       | 1.814       | 1.789   | 0.690   |
| Adam et al. [99]    | 85 / 102       | 87.99      | 0.690       | 1.530       | 1.569   | 0.301   |
| Adam et al. [100]   | 2501 / 3052    | 1165 / 1430| 1.032       | 1.214       | 1.280   | 0.989   |
| Alajalov et al. [101]| 11 / 103      | 123 / 100  | 1.033       | 2.367       | 2.367   | 0.057   |
| Cohen et al. [102]  | 118 / 190      | 186 / 102  | 1.041       | 1.703       | 1.703   | 0.873   |
| McCarty et al. [103]| 202 / 260      | 202 / 290  | 4.230       | 7.507       | 7.507   | 0.000   |
| Cohen et al. [104]  | 78 / 167       | 123 / 169  | 0.328       | 0.517       | 0.517   | 0.000   |
| Cohen et al. [105]  | 131 / 167      | 123 / 169  | 1.243       | 2.066       | 2.066   | 0.403   |
| Lennon et al. [106] | 158 / 176      | 158 / 176  | 1.066       | 2.005       | 2.005   | 0.986   |
|                    |                |            | 0.965       | 1.433       | 1.433   | 0.986   |

$\chi^2$=92.42%, p<0.00

### Figure 3. Forest plot of clinical recurrence between 3-6 day and 10-day treatment

| Study name          | Events / Total | Odds ratio | Lower limit | Upper limit | p-Value |
|---------------------|----------------|------------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| Hamill et al. [97]  | 5 / 48         | 3.47       | 1.567       | 7.403       | 0.502   |
| Adam et al. [98]    | 6 / 77         | 8.78       | 0.739       | 2.344       | 0.594   |
| Adam et al. [99]    | 11 / 102       | 6.99       | 1.874       | 5.579       | 0.235   |
| Adam et al. [100]   | 688 / 3052     | 355 / 1430 | 0.848       | 0.732       | 0.583   | 0.229   |
| Cohen et al. [102]  | 5 / 161        | 3.160      | 1.677       | 7.139       | 0.484   |
| Cohen et al. [104]  | 30 / 167       | 15 / 169   | 2.248       | 4.355       | 0.216   |
| Cohen et al. [105]  | 18 / 167       | 15 / 165   | 1.208       | 2.486       | 0.636   |
|                    |                |            | 0.918       | 1.053       | 1.053   | 0.223   |

$\chi^2=48.77$, p<0.003

### Figure 4. Forest plot of treatment failure between 3-6 day and 10-day treatment

| Study name          | Events / Total | Odds ratio | Lower limit | Upper limit | p-Value |
|---------------------|----------------|------------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| Gerber et al. [90]  | 13 / 73        | 6.99       | 3.305       | 9.316       | 0.020   |
| Milutinovic et al. [96]| 17 / 104    | 12 / 100   | 1.514       | 3.352       | 0.306   |
| Adam et al. [98]    | 2 / 75         | 1.76       | 2.055       | 23.164      | 0.660   |
| Adam et al. [100]   | 501 / 3052     | 215 / 1430 | 1.110       | 1.321       | 0.340   |
| Lennon et al. [105] | 10 / 177       | 11 / 178   | 1.881       | 2.172       | 0.612   |
|                    |                |            | 1.152       | 1.358       | 1.358   | 0.091   |

$\chi^2=26.89$, p=0.28

### Figure 5. Forest plot of adverse events between 3-6-day and 10-day treatment
Conclusion

Rheumatic heart failure (RHF) is persistent inflammation of mitral and/or aortic cardiac valves after an acute or recurrent episodes of rheumatic fever (RF) leading to valvular leakage or low cardiac output. The disease is endemic in low- and middle-income countries and in marginalized communities in high-income countries. Its major risk factors include socio-economic and environmental such as overcrowding, undernutrition and poor housing, and healthcare-related factors such as shortage of resources, inadequate expertise, access to healthcare, and low-level of public awareness of the disease in the community. Pathogenesis results from exacerbated autoimmune response involving humoral and cellular components after group A streptococcal (GAS) pharyngeal infection. Pathogenesis involves the triad of genetically susceptible host, GAS infection and exacerbated streptococcal (GAS) pharyngeal infection. Pathogenesis involves the triad of genetically susceptible host, GAS infection and exacerbated autoimmune response. Antigenic mimicry and exacerbated autoimmune response are the hallmark of RHF pathophysiology. Its major clinical features are carditis, arthritis, chorea and subcutaneous nodules while minor features include arthralgia, fever, elevated acute phase reactants and first degree heart block. Diagnosis is achieved through Jones criteria, which relies on the presence or absence of major and/or minor clinical features, and laboratory tests to identify at risk patients, and echocardiography to assess cardiac involvement. Clinical management includes primary and secondary prophylaxis to prevent exposure to GAS and initial infection, and to prevent recurrent or chronic disease mainly through the use of antibiotics and anti-inflammatory medication. Duration of antibiotics, either 10-12 or 10-year penicillin or 3/6-day newer antibiotics, have comparable clinical efficacy and safety. Finally, disease surveillance is important to obtain accurate estimated of RHF burden and to allow initiation of appropriate prophylactic therapy for as many affected individuals as possible.
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