Atmospheric dust modeling from meso to global scales with the online NMMB/BSC-Dust model – Part 2: Experimental campaigns in Northern Africa
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Abstract. The new NMMB/BSC-Dust model is intended to provide short to medium-range weather and dust forecasts from regional to global scales. It is an online model in which the dust aerosol dynamics and physics are solved at each model time step. The companion paper (Pérez et al., 2011) develops the dust model parameterizations and provides daily to annual evaluations of the model for its global and regional configurations. Modeled aerosol optical depth (AOD) was evaluated against AERONET Sun photometers over Northern Africa, Middle East and Europe with correlations around 0.6–0.7 on average without dust data assimilation. In this paper we analyze in detail the behavior of the model using data from the Saharan Mineral dUst experiment (SAMUM-1) in 2006 and the Bodélé Dust Experiment (BoDEx) in 2005. AOD from satellites and Sun photometers, vertically resolved extinction coefficients from lidars and particle size distributions at the ground and in the troposphere are used, complemented by wind profile data and surface meteorological measurements. All simulations were performed at the regional scale for the Northern African domain at the expected operational horizontal resolution of 25 km. Model results for SAMUM-1 generally show good agreement with satellite data over the most active Saharan dust sources. The model reproduces the AOD from Sun photometers close to sources and after long-range transport, and the dust size spectra at different height levels. At this resolution, the model is not able to reproduce a large ha-boo that occurred during the campaign. Some deficiencies are found concerning the vertical dust distribution related to the representation of the mixing height in the atmospheric
part of the model. For the BoDEx episode, we found the diurnal temperature cycle to be strongly dependent on the soil moisture, which is underestimated in the NCEP analysis used for model initialization. The low level jet (LLJ) and the dust AOD over the Bodélé are well reproduced. The remaining negative AOD bias (due to underestimated surface wind speeds) can be substantially reduced by decreasing the threshold friction velocity in the model.

1 Introduction

Mineral dust emitted from arid and semi-arid areas is one of the most important sources of atmospheric aerosol mass and significantly impacts the Earth’s climate system. Although there has been significant progress in estimating and modeling the dust cycle in the last decades, the magnitude of the dust net direct radiative forcing still remains uncertain varying between −0.56 and +0.1 W m$^{-2}$ (IPCC, 2007). Neither the annual global dust emission nor its spatial distribution is sufficiently well quantified, with the first to be in the range of 1000–2150 Mt yr$^{-1}$ (Zender et al., 2004; IPCC, 2007). Besides changing the global and regional energy balance by absorbing and scattering shortwave and longwave radiation (Houghton et al., 2001), dust transported by winds modifies atmospheric heating rates, temperatures and stability, influences the hydrological cycle (e.g. Sokolik and Toon, 1996; Tegen, 2003; Myhre et al., 2003; Miller et al., 2004; Helmert et al., 2007) and impacts human health (Yin et al., 2005), particularly close to source areas.

In the last few decades numerical models have been designed to reproduce the dust cycle allowing us to estimate the influence of mineral dust on the climate system. Dust models are also required for short to medium range air quality forecast applications. To this end, several models have been developed with some of them providing daily forecast products, e.g. the Dust REGional Atmospheric Model (DREAM) (Nickovic et al., 2001), the SKIRON model (Kallos et al., 1997), CHIMERE-Dust model (Menut et al., 2005), the Navy Aerosol Analysis and Prediction System (NAAPS) (Christensen, 1997), the JMA-MASINGAR dust model (Tanaka and Chiba, 2005), or the ECMWF-IFS (Mocret et al., 2008). The DREAM model was further refined and tested in the last years. The updated version BSC-DREAM8b (Pérez et al., 2006a,b, 2007; Papayannis et al., 2008; Haustein et al., 2009; Papanastasiou et al., 2010) is operated at the Barcelona Supercomputing Center-Centro Nacional de Supercomputación (BSC-CNS; http://www.bsc.es/projects/earthscience/DREAM).

In the companion paper (Pérez et al., 2011), we described the NMMB/BSC-Dust, a new online multi-scale atmospheric dust model, designed and developed at the BSC in collaboration with NOAA/National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP), NASA Goddard Institute for Space Stud-
2 Model description

2.1 The NMMB/BSC-Dust model

A detailed description of the model can be found in the companion paper (Pérez et al., 2011). This section summarizes the main characteristics of the NMMB/BSC-Dust model.

The NCEP-NMMB is the evolution of the NCEP/Eta (Janjic, 1990, 1994) and NCEP/WRF-NMME (Janjic et al., 2001; Janjic, 2003) with updated meteorological core, built on many decades of numerical weather prediction (NWP) experience. The model (Janjic and Black, 2006; Janjic et al., 2010, 2011) provides an improved numerical environment for the physical and dynamical schemes, essential to be able to increase the model resolution, the forecast domain or the number of incorporated physical and dynamical processes. The model is unified for regional and global simulations and has the non-hydrostatic option as add-on module (Janjic et al., 2001). In contrast to the WRF-NMME, it is now developed on the Arakawa B-grid (Arakawa and Lamb, 1977) with regular latitude-longitude coordinates for the global configuration and rotated latitude-longitude coordinates for the regional configuration. The Lorenz vertical staggered grid with pressure-sigma hybrid coordinate is used.

Dust is transported as the other tracers in the NMMB model. Tracer advection is Eulerian, positive-definite and monotonic. The Adams-Bashforth scheme is used for horizontal advection and the Crank-Nicholson scheme for vertical advection. For the horizontal diffusion the model uses a second order scheme. Dust emission and vertical diffusion, sedimentation, dry and wet deposition, and dust radiative feedback are also represented in the model. The default radiation scheme is the Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory (GFDL) package with longwave radiation after Fels and Schwarzkopf (1975) and shortwave radiation after Lacis and Hansen (1974). In order to take into account the effects of aerosols and mineral dust interactively, the rapid radiative transfer model (RRTM) (Mlawer et al., 1997) was implemented in the NMMB/BSC-Dust.

The dust emission scheme requires the calculation of the horizontal saltation and the vertical dust flux. It includes the threshold friction velocity \( u_{\text{sth}} \) which is the minimum friction velocity required to suspend soil particles in dependence of land surface conditions, surface wind speed and soil moisture (Bagno1, 1941; Iversen and White, 1982; Fécan et al., 1999). In this contribution we use the aeolian surface roughness over sand surfaces based on the roughness data set at \( 1/4^\circ \times 1/4^\circ \) spatial resolution provided by Laurent et al. (2008). The smooth surface roughness is calculated according to Marticorena and Bergametti (1995). For the saltation dust flux, the parameterization suggested in Marticorena and Bergametti (1995) with the horizontal flux formulation after White (1979) is used. Four parent soil size categories following Tegen et al. (2002) are taken into account (clay, silt, fine/medium sand, and coarse sand) covering a size range from \(< 2 \mu m\) to \(1000 \mu m\). Soil mass fractions are calculated from the 12 top soil texture classes of the hybrid US General Soil Map-UN Food and Agriculture Organization (STATSGO-FAO) 1 km database (FAO-UNESCO, 1974).

The straight forward approach for the vertical flux after Marticorena and Bergametti (1995) is used, with and empirical horizontal-to-vertical-flux-ratio or sandblasting mass efficiency \( \alpha \). For sources we use the topographic preferential source approach after Ginoux et al. (2001) and the National Environmental Satellite, Data, and Information Service (NESDIS) vegetation fraction climatology (Ignatov and Gutman, 1998). The vertical dust flux is distributed over three log-normal size modes by means of a standard error function reflecting the observed background aerosol distribution over source regions (D’Almeida, 1987; Zender et al., 2003b). These 3 bins are re-distributed over 8 transport model size bins with effective radii of 0.15, 0.25, 0.45, 0.78, 1.3, 2.2, 3.8, 7.1 \( \mu m\).

The dust dry deposition is based on Zhang et al. (2001) and includes simplified empirical parameterizations for the deposition processes of Brownian diffusion, impaction, interception and gravitational settling detailed in Slinn (1982).

Wet scavenging of dust by precipitation is computed separately for convective and grid-scale precipitation. The model includes parameterizations for in-cloud and sub-cloud scavenging. The standard cloud and precipitation schemes of the NMMB model are the grid-scale cloud microphysical scheme of Ferrier et al. (2002), and the convective adjustment scheme of Betts-Miller-Janjic (BMJ) (Betts, 1986; Betts and Miller, 1986; Janjic, 1994). For moist convective mixing it is assumed that dust is mixed vertically analogously to moisture, so that the reference vertical profile for dust preserves similarity to that of moisture in the BMJ approach.

2.2 Model set-up

We performed regional simulations in a model domain covering Northern Africa, the Arabian Peninsula and southern/eastern Europe (0 to 65° N and 25° W to 60° E) as schematically illustrated in Fig. 1. The model resolution was set to \( 1/4^\circ \times 1/4^\circ \) (about 25 km) with 40 vertical layers for all simulations which is expected to be the regional forecast model resolution. The model meteorology was reinitialized every 24 h and the boundary conditions updated every 6 h with global NCEP final analysis (FNL) data at \( 1^\circ \times 1^\circ \) resolution. A seven-day dust spin-up was performed for each simulation. For the BoDEx period, we performed additional simulations using soil moisture initial conditions from the Global Land Data Assimilation System (GLDAS) (Rodell et al., 2004).

The model backscatter coefficient is derived directly from the extinction coefficient, applying an empirical extinction-to-backscatter or lidar (LR) ratio. The LR is rather variable and depends strongly on size, shape and complex refractive
index of the particle (Mishchenko et al., 1997). We use a constant LR of 50 sr suggested by Papayannis et al. (2008) after conducting a statistical analysis of dust events with data from the European Aerosol Research NETwork (EARLINET) (Bösenberg et al., 2003). This is in good agreement with the mean LR of 54.8±6.7 sr at 532 nm found during SAMUM-1 (Tesche et al., 2009). The model number size distribution is diagnosed at the corresponding height levels and is derived assuming sphericity and average dust particle density of 2.6 g cm\(^{-3}\) (Hess et al., 1998).

3 Observational data

This section provides a brief introduction of the two field experiments and describes the available observational in-situ and remote sensing products. In Fig. 1, the red dots refer to the SAMUM-1 field sites at Ouarzazate Airport and Zagora and the green and yellow dots indicate the AERONET and E ARLINET stations complementary used here for the same period. The region where the Falcon overflight took place on 19 and 20 May 2006 is indicated with the red line. The blue dot refers to the BoDEx field campaign site at Chicha in the Bodélé Depression. The respective station names, acronyms and locations are given in Table 1.

Table 1. Location of all AERONET and E ARLINET stations including the BoDEx station Chicha in the Bodélé Depression.

| Acron. | Location | Altitude | Latitude | Longitude |
|--------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|
| PAL    | Palencia | 743 m    | 41.99° N | 4.51° W   |
| SAA    | Saada    | 1890 m   | 31.63° N | 8.16° W   |
| OUZ    | Ouarzazate| 1133 m   | 30.94° N | 6.91° W   |
| ZAG    | Zagora   | 730 m    | 30.33° N | 5.84° W   |
| BLI    | Blida    | 230 m    | 36.51° N | 2.88° E   |
| LAM    | Lampedusa| 50 m     | 35.52° N | 12.63° E  |
| TMR    | Tamarasset| 1400 m   | 22.79° N | 5.53° E   |
| BAN    | Banizomou| 250 m    | 13.54° N | 2.66° E   |
| BOD    | Chicha   | 179 m    | 17.0° N  | 18.0° E   |
| NAP    | Naples   | 118 m    | 40.84° N | 14.18° E  |
| THE    | Thessaloniki| 60 m  | 40.63° N | 22.95° E  |
| ATH    | Athens   | 200 m    | 37.96° N | 23.78° E  |

3.1 SAMUM-1 field experiment

SAMUM-1 took place from 11 May to 10 June 2006 in southern Morocco, around Ouarzazate (1133 m a.s.l.), Tinfou (720 m a.s.l.) and Zagora (s situation 150 km southeast of Ouarzazate and next to Tinfou), accompanied by overflights of two research aircraft.

In this study, we use profiles of the 532 nm extinction coefficient from the six-wavelength aerosol lidar Backscatter Extinction lidar-Ratio Temperature Humidity profiling Apparatus (BERTHA) at Ouarzazate (Althausen et al., 2000; Tesche et al., 2009). Profiles are available for heights between 1–7 km and the lidar data have a temporal resolution of 30 s and a vertical resolution of 60 m. The profiles shown in this work are averaged over one to three hours (Tesche et al., 2009). The airborne measurements taken aboard the Falcon aircraft of the German Aerospace Center (DLR) combined HSRL (Esselborn et al., 2009) at 532 nm with a variety of in-situ instruments (Weinzierl et al., 2009) to probe the atmosphere in the Ouarzazate area between 0–11 km height. The surface size distribution was quasi-continuously measured by means of a combination of a Differential Mobility Particle Sizer (DMPS) and an Aerodynamic Particle Sizer (APS). The mobility or aerodynamic size range was between 20 nm and 5 µm diameter, respectively (Schladitz et al., 2009). Large particles between 4–500 µm were collected by two different impactor types applying the method of impactor collection on coated glass substrates once every day (Kandler et al., 2009; Schladitz et al., 2009).

The upper level vertical aerosol size distribution was derived on constant altitude sequences outside of clouds aboard the Falcon aircraft over the Ouarzazate region (same flight as for lidar measurements). It carried instrumentation for measuring dust particle size distributions in the size range of 4 nm to 100 µm diameter using Condensation Particle Counters (CPCs) and several Optical Particle Counters (OPCs).
Particles larger than 30 µm were present in ∼50% of the cases (Esselborn et al., 2009; Weinzierl et al., 2009).

3.2 BoDEx field experiment

BoDEx took place from 28 February to 13 March 2005 and represents the first and unique field study in the Bodélé Depression, which lies between the Tibesti Mountains and Lake Chad in Mali. The observation site Chicha was located at the eastern margin of the large diatomite deposit, originating from the paleo-lake Mega-Chad. It is known to be one of the global key source areas for mineral dust (Prospero et al., 2002; Washington et al., 2003), exhibiting a pronounced dust emission hot spot (Giles, 2005; Todd et al., 2007).

Time-height profiles of wind speed and direction were derived from Pilot BALloon (PIBAL) ascents (Devara et al., 1998; Egger et al., 2005). Nine ascents were made per day with higher sampling frequency in the morning so that the effect of surface heating on the wind field could be best resolved. The single theodolite method was used, with heights calculated from the balloon’s buoyancy prior to release and balloon elevation and azimuths recorded at minute intervals. Wind speed and direction was averaged over 2 min intervals so that the effect of turbulent eddies could be minimized. Typical daytime tracks lasted 35 min and typical nighttime tracks lasted 18 min. Wind field with PIBALs could not be sampled in case of extreme dust events and too low visibility (Washington et al., 2006a). AOD was measured at the Chicha ground station by means of CIMEL Sun photometer. Also 2 m temperature was measured at Chicha (Todd et al., 2008a,b).

3.3 Satellite remote sensing products and data

To qualitatively compare the spatio-temporal distribution of the modeled extinction AOD, satellite based remote sensing retrievals are used. The MSG infrared dust index is an RGB (Red, Green, Blue) composite image based upon infrared channel satellite data providing the dust aerosol information by means of a pink colored contrast image. It is computed from the brightness temperature differences of three SEVIRI channels (IR 8.7, 10.8, 12.0) and is designed to monitor the evolution of dust storms and hence a useful tool to identify dust sources both at day and night (Washington et al., 2006a). For example, a dust source activation frequency map was derived (Schepanski et al., 2007) and analyzed regarding the sub-daily distribution as a function of meteorological processes (Schepanski et al., 2009). Complementary, we use the NASA’s SeaWiFS instrument that provides visible dust images (Hooker et al., 1992). It has been used in some studies to identify the dust transport (e.g. Darmenova et al., 2005; Antoine and Nobileau, 2006; Pérez et al., 2006a).

OMAERO is the standard product of the OMI sensor on board of Aura satellite, based on the multi-wavelength UV-VIS aerosol algorithm (Levett, 2002; Torres et al., 2007) in order to calculate AOD. The Aura platform circulates in a sun-synchronous polar orbit with a local afternoon equator crossing time at 13:45 local time, providing global coverage in one day. In this study, we use the daily level-3 AOD product at 500 nm at 1° × 1° resolution. The data has to be treated with particular caution due to its weak sensitivity to boundary layer aerosol owing to high desert surface reflectivity (Martin, 2008; Badarinath et al., 2010), or cloud contamination (Hsu et al., 1999). OMI also tends to indicate heavy biomass burning in the Sahel (Basart et al., 2009; Cavalieri et al., 2010) and it may overestimate the winter AOD relative to MISR and MODIS (Ahn et al., 2008; Zhao et al., 2010).

The MODIS instruments onboard the Terra and Aqua platforms have been used extensively for global mapping of AOD. It measures backscattered solar radiation at seven wavelength bands dedicated to aerosol retrieval. MODIS AODs are most reliable over the ocean being moderately biased over arid regions (Kinne et al., 2003; Remer et al., 2005; Levy et al., 2007; Drury et al., 2008). Here we use the MODIS Deep Blue (MODIS DB) product which is based on an algorithm that uses multiple radiances (blue channels), including the 412 nm channel derived from the MODIS instrument onboard of the Aqua satellite (Hsu et al., 2004). Just as with Aura, it provides near-global daily information of optical depth at 550 nm wavelength at about 10 km resolution daily at local noon, allowing for the direct characterization of the origin of individual aerosol sources even over highly reflective sources such as deserts. Here, we use the MODIS Terra and DB level-3 AOD products at 550 nm and 1° × 1° resolution.

3.4 Ground based measurement data

For a quantitative validation, the model-derived AOD is additionally compared with data from seven AERONET stations. This network of sky calibrated CIMEL Sun photometers measures the direct solar radiance at eight wavelengths and sky radiance at four of these wavelengths on a daily base (Holben et al., 1998). Thereby, measurements are taken every 15 min. It provides AOD at 440 or 500 nm and Ångström exponent (440–870 nm) (Dubovik and King, 2000; Dubovik et al., 2002b). For this study, level 2.0 data are used exclusively, being quality-assured and cloud-screened. Note that during the data processing from level 1.0 to 2.0, optically thick dust clouds may occasionally disappear. Note also that background aerosol might influence the retrieved values as the Sun photometer measurements represent AODs of the total aerosol column (Heinold et al., 2009). The stations used in this study are shown in Fig. 1 (yellow dots) with their location specified in Table 1. The AERONET data are supplemented by AOD measurements taken during SAMUM-1 at Zagora ground station by means of Sun photometers/sky radiometers (Hoyningen-Huene et al., 2009).

Another important source of observational data is EARLINET, providing systematic lidar observations of vertical
Fig. 2. Four hour average AOD from NMMB/BSC-Dust (a), MODIS Deep Blue and Terra (b), OMI AOD (c), model derived surface dust concentration at 12:00 UTC (d), the SeaWiFS VIS image at 12:00 UTC (e), and the MSG dust image at 12:00 UTC (f) on 16 May 2006 are displayed for the North African domain.

4 Results and discussion

4.1 SAMUM-1

4.1.1 Spatial dust distribution

We focus on the period 16–21 May 2006. The meteorological situation including the observed spatio-temporal evolution of the dust plume over Northern Africa and Europe is examined in Knippertz et al. (2009). Figures 2 to 7 display the maps of model results and satellite products for this period. For each day, we show a four hour model average AOD which coincides with the passage of the satellite over the region, i.e. between 11:00 and 15:00 UTC, the modeled surface dust concentration at 12:00 UTC, a combined map of MODIS DB (over arid and semi-arid areas) and Terra AOD (over ocean and land), the OMI AOD, the modeled 10 m wind speed, and the SeaWiFS and MSG RGB image at 12:00 UTC.

Satellite images outline the dust source activation in central Algeria and a pronounced dust plume over Mali, Niger and Chad on 16 May (see Fig. 2b, c, e, f). The dust was advected from Algeria in an easterly direction towards the Moroccan coast and further driven anticyclonically along the coastline towards the Iberian Peninsula. The model is generally consistent with MODIS DB over main activation spots, although these are not always precisely located (Fig. 2a, d). The model reproduces the dust in the Bodélé Depression (Chad), at the border between Niger and Burkina Faso, mostly over central Algeria, and the Arabian coast, in good agreement with MODIS DB, SeaWiFS VIS and MSG. Over Niger, western Mali and Mauritania, OMI AOD estimates are considerably higher than those from the model and the MODIS DB product, likely due to a mixture with biomass burning aerosols in OMI. Similar mismatch is found over eastern Algeria.

While the model misses out the dust over the northern part of Libya, the AOD over southwestern Sudan is overestimated. The MSG RGB image confirms the active sources over central Algeria and Chad, but indicates – consistent with OMI – dust activation over eastern Sudan which is missed by the model. It is induced by convective activity over southeast
Fig. 3. The same as in Fig. 2, but for 17 May 2006.

Fig. 4. The same as in Fig. 2, but for 18 May 2006.

Sudan in the morning hours of that day which the model fails to resolve at 0.25°×0.25° resolution. SeaWIFS and MSG RGB indicate low dust concentrations off the coast of Western Sahara and Mauritania which is not present in the model. It is attributable to previously emitted dust which was advected at higher altitudes in the wake of the approaching front and was hence partly outside the model domain.

On 17 May (see Fig. 3a–f), the dust advected along the Moroccan coast led to extensive dust loading over the central and eastern Iberian Peninsula. The modeled AOD
corresponds well with MODIS DB and Terra. To the south of the Iberian Peninsula, the model matches also the SeaWiFS image east of its overflight gap. Dust source activation in Chad (Bodélé) is placed correctly in the model compared with MODIS DB. The model also simulates the dust emission from northern Sudan as visible in the MSG image. The model AOD over eastern Libya is underestimated compared to OMI, which itself overestimates when compared with MODIS DB with weak correspondence in the MSG image. Better agreement between OMI and MSG is found.
in eastern Mali, where only minor dust source activation is simulated. No clear conclusion can be drawn over the Arabian Peninsula, given the rather strong disagreement between MODIS DB and OMI AOD.

On 18 May (see Fig. 4a–f) the model shows a dust plume stretching over the western Mediterranean Sea and northern Italy, as weakly indicated by MODIS Terra and OMI. Dust production in Mali, Niger, Chad and Sudan is placed correctly in the model. Again, the moderate AOD over northern Libya in the retrievals is not captured by the model. Poor agreement is found between OMI and MODIS DB AOD in Mauritania, where the MSG RGB retrieval suggests moderate dust occurrence in the north (weak presence in MODIS DB only), including a stretch of heavy dust in south-central Algeria. The latter is adumbrated in the model, MODIS DB and OMI. However, AOD over central Mauritania is clearly underestimated in the model. In turn, OMI potentially overestimates the AOD compared to MODIS DB, especially in the Niger/Chad border region including the Bodélé Depression.

The dust event over southern Europe covers the Mediterranean Sea including large portions of Italy and the Balkan Peninsula on 19 May (see Fig. 5a–f). The simulated dust pattern is consistent with MODIS DB, Terra and SeaWiFS VIS product (over Sicily). It is partly obscured from clouds in the MSG dust image, although identifiable along the Moroccan coast. MSG also shows dust source activation in the north and south of Mauritania, as well as in southern Algeria, confirming the modeled surface dust concentration to a large extent. As already seen on previous days, the model shows that significant amounts of dust were liberated at the northern tip of Mauritania, corresponding fairly well with OMI AOD but not with MODIS DB.

In the late afternoon hours on 19 May, an interesting synoptic evolution was observed with deep moist convection developing over north-eastern Mali. In the night of 19 to 20 May the induced precipitation due to convection and the associated evaporational cooling caused the formation of a large haboob, whose leading edge quickly spread north and westwards (Knippertz et al., 2009). OMI AOD and MSG RGB show dust mobilization mainly occurring over Mali, resulting in an large dust plume over northern Mali, the southern tip of Algeria, eastern Mauritania and western Chad at noon on 20 May (see Fig. 6a–f). The model is not able to capture the intensity of the event due to an inaccurate representation of the moist convection as discussed in previous modeling studies (Haustein et al., 2009; Heinold et al., 2009) and as evident in the simulated 10 m wind speed which is rather smoothly distributed and not exceeding moderate velocities (not shown). Nonetheless, the pattern of the modeled AOD at least resembles the shape of dust plume in the DB retrieval. Over the Bodélé, the modeled AOD agrees well with MODIS DB, while the MSG dust image suggests rather low AOD values.

Finally, the model, SeaWiFS and OMI consistently show the Mediterranean dust outbreak. Although the model fails to reproduce the intense moist convective event, dust is still liberated intensively at the leading edge of the cold pool outflow.
over Mauritania and Mali on 21 May (see Fig. 7a–f). As on 20 May, OMI shows a strong signal in terms of AOD while MODIS DB is considerably less sensitive, indicating that the model reproduces the shape of the dust plume over Mauritania. Moreover, the high AOD values evident in the OMI retrieval are not fully confirmed by the MSG dust image over eastern Mauritania in particular. The model matches also the MODIS DB AOD over the Bodélé with slight overestimation to its south.

4.1.2 Aerosol optical depth

In Figs. 8 and 9 we show the comparison of AOD between eight stations (seven AERONET stations including the additional Sun photometer measurements taken during the SAMUM-1 campaign at Zagora) and NMMB/BSC-Dust for the period 16–22 May 2006.

Ångström exponent values $>0.6$ indicate significant influence of fine-mode anthropogenic aerosols while values $<0.6$ are typical for coarse-mode dust aerosols (Dubovik et al., 2002a; Basart et al., 2009). For example, in Lampedusa anthropogenic aerosol was dominant on 16–18 May, while a dust event beginning on 19 May was observed and successfully simulated in the model. It also reproduces the dust AOD close to dust sources (Tamanrasset) and away from sources (Lampedusa and Palencia). Only on 16 May at Saada the model overestimates the AOD. In general there is a satisfactory agreement at Ouarzazate, Zagora and Saada stations between model and observations. Notice also, that the model simulates a weak diurnal dust cycle at Ouarzazate and Zagora. The impact of the large haboob on 20 May is not observed in the in-situ measurements due to their location. In Banizoumbou, the model overestimates the AOD, which remains rather constant at values between 0.7 and 0.8 during the period due to a (potentially overestimated) persistent dust transport by trade winds from Saharan sources.
4.1.3 Vertical dust distribution

Data from BERTHA and HSRL lidar taken during the field campaign are complemented by EARLINET data. The location of the stations is given in Fig. 1 and Table 1. Figures 10 and 11 show measured (blue) and model-derived (brown) vertical profiles of the extinction coefficient in chronological order. Also the modeled dust load is provided. The altitude is relative to sea level and the model profiles over Ouarzazate are truncated at 1.7 km height due to model topography. The relative uncertainties provided for the HSRL lidar extinction coefficient are 8–22 % and those for the BERTHA lidar, estimated from the backscatter coefficients, are 10–20 % (Tesche et al., 2009).

As discussed in Knippertz et al. (2009), an upper-level ridge present over northwestern Africa transported dust from eastern and central Algeria along the Moroccan coast towards the Iberian Peninsula and from there further across southern Europe to Greece where the dust plume arrived on 20 May. While high dust load is observed continuously over Ouarzazate, Saada and Zagora (see Figs. 8 and 9), it is present over Palencia on 17 May and arrives at Lampedusa on 19 May. Backward trajectories calculated on 19 May for the four Italian EARLINET stations (not shown) confirm the anticyclonic track from western Algeria across the Iberian Peninsula (Müller et al., 2009). The dust was then prevailing over Italy and Greece. Backward trajectories calculated on 21 May for Athens and Thessaloniki show a path similar to those arriving at the Spanish and Italian stations, but the corresponding airmass is delayed by about 2 or 4 days depending on the station (Müller et al., 2009).

At noon on 17 May (Fig. 10a), BERTHA indicates a well mixed planetary boundary layer (PBL) over Ouarzazate, between the surface and 5 km, which is consistent with the vertical humidity profile taken at 10:35 UTC as shown in Fig. 12a. While both lidar and radiosonde profiles consistently show the mixing height (MH) to be at ∼4.6 km, it is underestimated by the model whose simulated PBL does not show any tendency to grow before late afternoon. At lower levels between 2 and 3 km, the model overestimates the dust extinction by almost a factor of 2. Since a layered structure of the PBL was repeatedly observed during the field campaign, the small secondary peak at 4.5 km height could well be an indication of a residual layer from the previous day. The layering is generated when the previous day mixed layer becomes decoupled from the surface due to nocturnal cooling and remains as residual layer until eroded by the formation of the new mixed layer (Heinold et al., 2009; Knippertz et al., 2009).

The next day at 11:00 UTC (Fig. 10b), the model exhibits a layer between 4 and 5 km height not visible in the lidar profile and overestimates the extinction at lower levels. The vertical profile over Naples at 19:00 UTC (Fig. 10c) is qualitatively captured by the model, however slightly overestimated above 3 km and mixed too high up to mid-tropospheric levels. The latter cannot be explained by strong vertical motion due to high lapse rates, since no distinct deep convection takes place in the model on that particular day. The vertical profile over Ouarzazate at 21:00 UTC (Fig. 10d) shows that the model captures the height of the dust layer, but it overestimates the BERTHA extinction coefficient by more than a factor of 2, reaching values as high as 140 Mm$^{-1}$.

On 19 May at 11:00 UTC (Fig. 10e), again the model underestimates the MH and overestimates the low level extinction due to weak vertical mixing. The model profile three hours later at 14:00 UTC (orange dashed line) agrees better with the observations with the MH being placed between 4 and 5 km height. As on 18 May, the modeled dust and the humidity profiles (Figs. 10e and 12c) are very similar. Note also that Fig. 12 includes complementary wind profiles (radiosonde and model) over Ouarzazate which show model overestimation close to the ground but good overall agreement at higher levels, where the wind field is not influenced by orography.

As shown for Naples on 18 May, dust is transported towards Greece and the eastern Mediterranean mainly between 3–7 km altitude. The eastern edge of the dust plume reaches Thessaloniki on 20 May, as illustrated by the modeled extinction profile (Fig. 11a) with a maximum at 3 km height. Terra AOD confirms the arrival of the dust plume. However the lidar observation shows a lesser vertical extension and a lower maximum plume height. Note that higher low level extinction coefficients in the lidar profiles (first 2 km above ground) over Athens and Thessaloniki (particularly obvious in Fig. 11d) are due to anthropogenic aerosols.

On 21 May at 14:00 UTC, the model strongly overestimates the dust plume in Athens (Fig. 11b). Satellite observations indicate that the dust plume had moved further south. The orange dashed line depicts the modeled vertical dust distribution at 18:00 UTC which better matches the lidar profile. Hence the spatial mismatch between model and observation can also interpreted as a temporal mismatch of a few hours. At 19:00 UTC the same day (Fig. 11c) the model captures the observed vertical distribution while the dust slowly moved south. The remaining dust can be seen over Athens at 11:00 UTC on 22 May (Fig. 11d) with a peak altitude between 2 and 3 km which is captured by the model. At lower levels the lidar signal is strongly affected by anthropogenic aerosols.

Beginning on 20 May over Morocco, a second dust event, induced by low pressure over Tunisia, Algeria and Libya and accompanied by strong southwesterly mid-level winds over the Iberian Peninsula and the western Mediterranean, advected a plume over the Mediterranean Sea, Corsica and Sicily towards Italy, where it arrived in the late hours of 22 May. Over Naples (Fig. 11e), the model overestimates the dust at ∼3 km height. The respective dust extinction cross-section (not shown) revealed that the upwind-induced vertical transport of the dust layer across the Apennines was accelerated in the model, which led to the advection of an
Fig. 10. Measured (blue line) and model derived (brownish line) vertical profiles (height above sea level) of the extinction coefficient at European and North African stations. Measurements at Ouarzazate (a, b, d, e) are taken during the SAMUM-1 campaign with BERTHA lidar, while Naples (c) representing an EARLINET station. Profiles are shown for the period between 17 and 19 May 2006. Note that for the European stations, the model is driven with NCEP-FNL 1° x 1° reanalysis data. Below the vertical profiles, the simulated dust column load and the 700 hPa wind for the European domain for each date are displayed. The model is driven with NCEP-FNL 1° x 1° analysis meteorology data.
Fig. 11. The same as in Fig. 10, but measurements are taken from EARLINET stations in Athens (b, d), Naples (e), and Thessaloniki (a, c). Profiles are shown for the period between 20 and 22 May 2006.
optically thin dust plume towards the south, affecting Naples such that the simulated model result does not match the observed lidar profile. It is thus a local mesoscale phenomenon of short duration, highly influenced by the model topography.

Figure 13 shows two backscatter lidar profiles acquired during two Falcon overflights in the morning hours of 19 and 20 May (see red line over the Ouarzazate and Zagora area in Fig. 1). Both profiles are characterized by a well mixed structure of the dust layer (Weinzierl et al., 2009). For the evaluation of the backscatter coefficient, we assume a vertically constant LR of 50 sr although it can vary with time and height considerably (Esselborn et al., 2008; Tesche et al.,...
The HSRL cross-section illustrates a homogeneous dust layer on 19 May, with a rather constant backscatter coefficient from the surface up to 5 km height, attributable to a well mixed turbulent PBL topped by a strong inversion. The corresponding vertical profile is shown in Fig. 10e, revealing that the model slightly underestimates the height of the PBL. The same pattern is found in the model cross-section, which appears more heterogeneous with higher dust concentration in the lowermost layers. Fairly good agreement can be seen further north over the Atlas Mountains, both regarding MH and stratification.

No clouds disturb the measurements on 19 May. In contrast, some cirrus cloud at 6 km height obscured the underlying aerosol layer on 20 May. On 20 May, the HSRL cross-section illustrates an elevated dust layer, centered at 5–6 km height to the southeast of the Atlas Mountains. Knippertz et al. (2009) suggest differential advection during the night and subsequent mixing as a possible mechanism to explain this distribution. The pronounced dust layer to the northwest of the Atlas Mountains at ~2 km height is reproduced by the model, although overestimated. Over the mountain range, the dust is transported vertically due to mountain waves in the model. The dust layer is thicker and appears at a lower altitude than in the observations that were made to the southeast of the Atlas Mountains. The top height of the mixed PBL at 5.5 km is well captured by the model, but the rather stratified well mixed dust layer does not match the observation. Given the moderate overestimation over the Mauritania/Morocco border region in terms of AOD in the model, too much dust is persistently transported to the southwest of the Atlas Mountains compared to the satellite imagery (see Fig. 6a–c).

### Particle number size distribution

The surface size distribution at Tinfou (from a combined DMPS and APS measurement) and the particle size distribution over Ouarzazate on 19 (11:00UTC) and 20 May 2006 (13:00UTC) at 3.2 km and at ~5 km height are shown in Fig. 14a–f. On both occasions, the heights correspond with the 520 and 670 hPa level in Fig. 14g–k, which shows the modeled longitudinal and latitudinal dust extinction cross-sections at the time of the Falcon overflights. It illustrates the influence of the orography upon the modeled spatial dust distribution.

Apart from very large particles, the dust size spectra near the surface are well captured. This is also true for the results we obtain in the free troposphere, except at 4.8 km height on 19 May, when the model underpredicts the number concentration due to a low dust MH (see Fig. 10e). The MH is higher in the small band of high extinction coefficients visible at 6°E between 550–600 hPa in Fig. 14j, which is placed just east of the overflight track in the model. Nonetheless, the simulated size spectrum agrees with the observations on 19 and 20 May, demonstrating that the model is able to reproduce the dust particle size distribution. Very large particles (>10 µm) at the surface are underestimated from the model since their limited atmospheric residence time prevents them from being further uplifted due to gravitational settling. This feature cannot be fully resolved by the model given its vertical resolution with the first layer being representative for the lowermost 80 m in our case. Hence, the average dust particle size does not entirely reflect the measured surface size distribution as discussed in Haustein et al. (2009). Moreover, highly variable surface wind speeds may have affected the measurements implying uncertainties as discussed in Schlaitz et al. (2009) and Kandler et al. (2009). Particles <0.2 µm in diameter are most likely not related to dust, rather than to other aerosol species (Schütz et al., 1981), thus being not considered in the model. Also, particles >20 µm in diameter are not taken into account as they are contributing to long-range transport only to a minor degree (Middleton et al., 2001).

### BoDEx

In this section, we analyze the period 1–11 March 2005 over the Bodélé. The dust annual cycle in this region follows a semi-annual pattern with peaks in the boreal spring and fall (Washington et al., 2006a,b). The considered early spring case is characterized by days with and without dust source activation, but with generally lower emission rates than in late spring. Days 10 and 11 of March 2005 were substantial dust emission days with strongly restricted surface visibility over the measurement site in Chicha, accompanied by other moderate events on 3–7 and 9 March. The synoptic pattern during this episode was mainly characterized by a negative March North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) index, favoring a weak Azores anticyclone, which in turn featured a precession of low-pressure systems from southwest to northeast across the Mediterranean and a blocking anticyclone west of the British Isles as observed at the beginning of the month. Between 9–12 March, the blocking anticyclone over the northeastern Atlantic suddenly migrated eastward, extending a very strong ridge of high pressure across Northern Africa in the form of a redeveloped Libyan high (Washington et al., 2006a).

Several dust modeling studies have been conducted using the BoDEx dataset (e.g. Tegen et al., 2006; Bouet et al., 2007; Todd et al., 2008a). Tegen et al. (2006) tested several input parameters in their emission scheme with a box model, and compared dust emissions calculated with the observed wind speeds to those calculated with wind speeds from their regional model. Todd et al. (2008b) compared five regional dust atmospheric models including BSC-DREAM8b, in terms of meteorology, dust emission and transport. Among other results, Todd et al. (2008b) reported strong near-surface wind speed underestimations, an unresolved out-of-phase relationship of the diurnal cycles of the LLJ and the surface
Fig. 14. Measured (blue line) and model derived particle size distribution (brownish line) at 19 and 20 May 2006 over Ouarzazate at 5 km (a, b) and 3 km (c, d) altitude, and at the surface in Tinfou (e, f). Notice the truncated ordinate, causing the largest model size class to be out of range (a, b). Complementary, the latitudinal (g, h) and the longitudinal (j, k) model extinction cross-section and wind bars are shown. The location of the Tinfou/Ouarzazate region is marked with the dotted line.
Below we evaluate and analyze the skills of the NMMB/BSC-Dust to reproduce the meteorology and the dust patterns in this prominent dust source. We also detail the performed model experiments, which were all initialized using $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$ NCEP FNL analysis atmospheric data.

### 4.2.1 Surface meteorology and dust emission

Time series of modeled and observed dust AOD, 10 m wind speed and 2 m temperature for the period 1–11 March at Chicha are displayed in Fig. 15. For reference, Chicha is located in western Chad south of the Tibesti Mountains (blue dot in Fig. 1).

The first model experiment (NCEP-FNL) was initialized with NCEP FNL analysis soil moisture and soil temperature fields. In this case, the comparison with observations reveals a strong AOD underestimation over the entire period, particularly on days 4, 9, and 11 (Fig. 15a). AOD measurements are not available on 10 March due to the reduced visibility associated with the heavy dust storm (Washington et al., 2006a; Todd et al., 2007). On 11 March, the model underestimates the AOD peak and shows a temporal delay with respect to the observed AOD in the afternoon. As shown in Fig. 15c the model underestimates the daily maximum surface wind up to 50% while it usually overestimates the nighttime winds. We also found a systematic cool temperature bias during the day as strong as 10 K (Fig. 15d), which suggests a poor soil moisture initialization. We tested the latter hypothesis in a second experiment (NCEP-GLDAS) by running the model using soil initial conditions from the Global Land Data Assimilation System (GLDAS) calculated with the Noah Land Surface Model (Ek et al., 2003).

In general, NCEP-GLDAS captures the amplitude of the 2 m temperature due to a more realistic (drier) soil moisture
resrepresentation over the region for this case. Zender et al. (2003a) already reported that NCEP soil moisture is usually too high over active dust emission regions all year long, which hampers its use in dust models. NCEP-GLDAS underestimates the minimum temperature on 1, 2, 10 and 11 March and the maximum temperature on 7 and 8 March. Since our simulations do not explicitly account for dust radiative effects, the high dust loading on 10 and 11 March, which should inhibit outgoing LW radiation to reach higher levels, may at least partly explain the observed nocturnal temperature difference. Also the slight overestimation of the maximum temperature on 10 March may be an indicator of the neglected dust SW radiative cooling effect in the simulation. The drier soil moisture in NCEP-GLDAS has consequences over the simulated dust as it reduces the threshold wind friction velocity and as it slightly increases the maximum daily wind speed with respect to NCEP-FNL (Fig. 15c). The latter is due to an increased mixing of momentum down to the ground driven by an enhanced surface sensible heat flux. In comparison to NCEP-FNL, NCEP-GLDAS shows a slightly higher dust AOD on the 9, 10 and 11 March and a significantly higher AOD on 4 March, which is closer to observations. However, the AOD underestimation remains very strong over the study period due to the underestimated winds in the model.

Tegen et al. (2006) performed simulations at 7 km resolution with a regional model. While the use of higher resolution helped developing stronger daily winds compared to our 25 km resolution simulation, the daily wind peaks remained underestimated and nighttime wind speeds overestimated with respect to observations. In their study, the use of a Weibull probability distribution to account for sub-gridscale variability of surface winds resulted in a better AOD agreement over the 3–7 March period, but also in an unrealistically high AOD (above 100) for the 9–11 period.

Motivated by the shortcoming of the model to reproduce the maximum surface wind speeds at this resolution, observed particularly on 5–7 and 9 March, we conducted three additional experiments. First, we increased the horizontal-to-vertical-flux-ratio ($\alpha$) in the emission scheme by a factor that minimized the AOD model error. In our model we follow an empirical relationship by which the vertical dust flux is proportional to the horizontal sand flux. $\alpha$ is the coefficient of proportionality reflecting the availability of dust in the soil, which is calculated as the sum of $\alpha$ of each soil population class weighed by their mass fraction in the soil (Pérez et al., 2011). $\alpha$ in the model for the Bodélé is estimated to be $3.6 \times 10^{-6}$ cm$^{-1}$. In this experiment we multiply $\alpha$ by 1.605, the factor which gives lowest bias in comparison with Sun photometer AOD. In two other experiments we reduced the threshold friction velocity by 30 and 50%, which is approximately the range of underestimation when we compare the modeled and observed diurnal wind speeds. The results are displayed in Fig. 15b. On 4 March, the three experiments overestimated the AOD in the morning hours. As expected, all three experiments are generally closer to the observations over the rest of the period. In particular, the reduction of the threshold friction velocity by 50% significantly helps reducing the bias of the model for the period of 5–9 March. Also, the model emits more dust on 10 and 11 March in the three experiments. However, the delay of the model on 11 March hampers any definite conclusion about the modeled intensity for this event.

In Fig. 16 we show model and satellite dust distributions centered over the Bodélé for 4, 10 and 11 March. As expected, MODIS DB (Fig. 16d) places the highest AOD over the Bodélé and features significant dust activity in surrounding regions. The model captures qualitatively many of the dust patterns observed from the satellite observations (Fig. 16a). The differences among the model experiments are mainly quantitative and there are no remarkable differences in the qualitative patterns (Fig. 16a–c). The improvements achieved by increasing the value of the horizontal-to-vertical-flux-ratio or reducing the threshold friction velocity are also confirmed by comparison with satellite data.

The model clearly captures the shape and extent of the main dust plume emitted from the Bodélé. On 4 March the model reproduces the dust activity in southern Libya, northern Chad and Nigeria visible in the satellite maps. The model does not show much of the freshly emitted dust over central Sudan - due to a southward progressing front or density current – as clearly visible in the MSG RGB product (Fig. 16e). The significant dust load in Niger highlighted in MODIS DB is not represented in the model. This roughly coincides with the presence of clouds in the MSG RGB product, which suggests cloud contamination in the MODIS DB AOD retrieval. On 10 and 11 March very high AOD values are present over the Bodélé. On 10 March the model captures the extent of the Bodélé dust plume and reproduces the dust activity in northern Niger close to the border with Chad and Libya and at the Niger-Algeria-Mali border crossing. On 11 March, MODIS DB and MSG RGB indicate that the dust plume extends further south as far as Cameroon and Nigeria. The model agrees well on both days, only significantly underpredicting the AOD over southwestern Niger on 11 March.

### 4.2.2 Bodélé Low Level Jet

The Bodélé Depression is dominated by the northeasterly Harmattan winds of Northern Africa in all months except July and August, when the intertropical convergence zone moves sufficiently far north to bring light and variable winds over the Bodélé (Washington et al., 2006a). These northeasterly winds are likely to be accelerated between the Tibesti and Ennedi Massifs (Koren and Kaufman, 2004), supporting a pronounced easterly LLJ, evident also in the wind data from NCEP reanalysis with maximum wind speeds at 925 hPa overlying the Bodélé area (Washington and Todd, 2005; Todd et al., 2008b) (not shown). Usually, this LLJ feature is clearly present until May, when it is partly superimposed and
Fig. 16. Four hour average of model AOD for the 3 experiments: NCEP-FNL (a), NCEP-GLDAS (b), NCEP-GLDAS with reduced threshold friction velocity (c). MODIS DB AOD (d) and MSG dust image at 12:00 UTC (e) on 4, 10, 11 March 2005 are displayed for the limited regional domain (5° N to 27° N and 0° W to 30° E).
The Bodélo dust outbreak between 9–12 March was characterized by deflation, suspension, and saltation of dust, with wind speeds peaking well above 14 m s\(^{-1}\). According to Todd et al. (2008b), the corresponding wind speed threshold for deflation is 12 m s\(^{-1}\). Winds in excess of 12 m s\(^{-1}\) were observed during the Bodélo dust outbreak between 9–12 March.

Winds are at maximum in the midmorning hours with a diurnal cycle that was similar to dust-free days but with winds peaking at 10 m s\(^{-1}\). According to Washington et al. (2005), the potential momentum provided by the LLJ was not transported down to the surface. However, it is effectively mixed down by turbulence especially on 4, 10 and 11 March, consistent with modeled surface wind speeds (compare Fig. 16). At 25 km resolution, the model reproduces the typical LLJ over the Bodélo and satisfactorily simulates the diurnal wind cycle at the surface, although it underestimates the peak wind velocities at days with moderate average wind speeds.

5 Conclusions

In the companion paper (Pérez et al., 2011), we described the new NMMB/BSC-Dust model and we presented a general evaluation of the model at global and regional scales for years 2000 and 2006, respectively. In this paper we have evaluated and analyzed in detail the behavior of the model making use of the data provided by two of the most important and recent dust experimental campaigns in Northern Africa. All the simulations presented in this contribution were run at 25 km resolution, which is expected to be the standard model resolution for dust forecasting at BSC.

For the SAMUM-1 campaign investigated in this study, we examined the model’s capability to reproduce the dust spatial and vertical distribution, and the dust size spectra at different height levels. For the second campaign investigated in this study, BoDEx, we focused on the model behavior to represent the meteorology and the dust patterns in the Bodélo area.
Depression, which is probably the most prolific dust source on Earth.

During the SAMUM-1 period (16–21 May 2006), the model describes fairly well the general dust patterns in Northern Africa. On 19 May, the strong dust deflation triggered by deep moist convection developed over Mali and Mauritania is, to a large extent, not reproduced by the model. The transport path towards Europe and the Mediterranean is in good qualitative agreement particularly with MODIS DB. In terms of dust deflation, inefficiently active dust sources are identified over eastern Mauritania and portions of central Niger, even though the surface winds seem to be higher than the threshold friction velocity required for the initiation of the dust emission process in the model. As discussed in Pérez et al. (2011), the topographic preferential source map used in the model does not reflect the Mali/Mauritania border source leading to underestimation of the emissions in that region. A more comprehensive analysis regarding the dust sources in the model will be the object of a forthcoming study. Close to the Sahel, disagreement between OMI and MODIS DB AOD hampers the validation of the model. OMI generally tends to provide higher AOD values than MODIS DB.

The AERONET comparison during the SAMUM-1 period reveals that the model reproduces the dust quantitatively well close to the sources. For this period, we found a weak daily cycle close to dust sources (Ouarzazate, Zagora), rather constant values in the Sahel (Banizoumbou) and north of the main sources regions (Tamanrasset), and dust long-range transport to Europe (Palencia) and the Mediterranean (Lampedusa) associated with distinguished dust episodes. The comparison with lidars revealed model deficiencies in the vertical distribution. We found temporal disagreement in two cases, strong overestimation in one case and mismatch regarding the MH in another four cases, particularly over Ouarzazate on 17 and 18 May. The latter is related with the PBL simulated by the model, which shows equal mismatch when comparing the observed WV mixing ratio profile (radiosonde data) and the modeled profile of the specific humidity. The difficult orographic situation over Ouarzazate and its proximity to downstream sources may introduce considerable modeling errors. In this context we would like to stress that the 3-D atmospheric variables of the model act very sensitively upon changes in the initial meteorology data which hence are an additional source of uncertainty.

The particle number size distribution is well captured by the model, although large particles remain underestimated. Nonetheless, the simulated size spectra agrees well with the observations, at the surface as well as at 3 and 5 km altitude. We performed several model experiments for the BoDEx period between 1–11 March 2005. We showed the importance of accurate initial soil moisture conditions in the model to reproduce the amplitude of the 2 m temperature and to better represent the intensity of the diurnal winds in the model. NCEP FNL analysis underestimates the soil water content which led to a substantial cold bias of up to 10 K. When using GLDAS soil moisture data to initialize the model, the diurnal surface temperature range is consistently reproduced with a positive effect upon dust emission over the Bodélé.

At the working resolution of 25 km, the model underestimates up to 50 % the daily maximum wind speed and thus significantly underestimates the dust emission and AOD. However, the persistent dust source activation period peaking over the Bodélé on 10 and 11 March is simultaneously shown by the model and the satellite retrievals. Additional experiments with an increased horizontal-to-vertical-flux-ratio or a reduction of the threshold friction velocity up to 50 % significantly improved the skills of the model. We restricted the soil moisture analysis to the BoDEx period, since the SAMUM-1 field site in Morocco is not directly located within the main source region which would complicate the attribution of potential changes in the simulated spatio-temporal dust distribution. The applied initial soil data may also be subject to annual changes or updates which hamper their interannual comparability.

The wind speed over the Bodélé was evaluated with specific regard to the typical wind pattern which features a persistent diurnal cycle with peak wind velocity between 0.5 m and 1 km above ground in the late morning hours: the Bodélé LLJ which was measured during BoDEx with the PIBAL sonde. NMMB/BSC-Dust succeeds to place the maximum wind field correctly in terms of vertical extension and temporal development. Highest wind speeds measured with the PIBAL sonde on 4 and 9–11 March correspond well with maximum wind speeds simulated by the model, showing that the model reproduces the Bodélé LLJ.
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