Microbial communities of wild-captured Kemp's ridley (Lepidochelys kempii) and green sea turtles (Chelonia mydas)
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ABSTRACT: Conservation efforts for endangered sea turtle species, such as Kemp's ridley turtles Lepidochelys kempii and green turtles Chelonia mydas, may benefit from information on the microbial communities that contribute to host health. Previous studies examining host-associated microbiomes of these species have been limited in geographic region, life stage, and/or health. Here, we characterized the microbiome of the oral cavity and cloaca from wild-captured Kemp's ridley and green turtles off the west coast of Florida, USA, by using Illumina sequencing to analyze the 16S rRNA gene. Microbial communities were distinct between body sites as well as between turtle species, suggesting that the turtle species is more important than the local environment in determining the microbiome of sea turtles. We identified the core microbiome for each species at each body site and determined that there were very few bacteria shared among the oral samples of both species, and no taxa co-occurred in the cloaca samples among both species. The core microbiome of the green turtle cloaca was primarily from the order Clostridiales, which plays an important role in digestion for other herbivorous species. Due to high prevalence of fibropapillomatosis in the green turtles (90%), we also investigated the correlation between the microbiome and the severity of fibropapillomatosis, and we identified changes in beta diversity associated with the total number of tumors. This study provides the first glimpse of the microbiome in 2 sympatric species of sea turtle and sheds an important species-specific light on the microbiome of these endangered species.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Microbes are considered a fundamental part of the life history of animals (McFall-Ngai et al. 2013, Colston & Jackson 2016), including sea turtles. Sea turtles have complex life histories involving a diversity of habitats, including nesting on beaches, initial development in the open ocean, and foraging in coastal waters (Bolten 2003). These complex life histories are each susceptible to unique environmental pressures, including anthropogenic disturbances such as pollution and habitat destruction (Seminoff 2004, Wibbels & Bevan 2019). Globally, the International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN) lists the green...
turtles *Chelonia mydas* as Endangered (Seminoff 2004) and the Kemp’s ridley turtle *Lepidochelys kempii* as Critically Endangered (Wibbels & Bevan 2019). Understanding the microbiome of these imperiled species would provide useful information concerning their biology and health monitoring.

Microbial communities play a role in host development and function, including nutrition, metabolism, immune response, behavior, and sociality (Ley et al. 2005, Shade & Handelsman 2012). Core microbial communities of similar habitats (Turnbaugh et al. 2007, Shade & Handelsman 2012) contribute to microbial community composition in that healthy and stable microbiome of hosts, including individuals, or whether the microbiome varies at different life stages, habitats, or in different environments. Thus, additional studies on wild turtles and from different geographic regions is still important, but creates limitations in understanding species differences. Therefore, studies on wild turtles and on a variety of turtle species, including the critically endangered Kemp’s ridley turtle, are needed to assess whether turtles have a core microbial community of taxa that are found in the majority of individuals, or whether the microbiome varies at different life stages, habitats, or in different environments.

Determining the nature of the core microbiota of an organism is important for understanding the healthy microbes of a host, thus allowing for monitoring organism health or predicting potential perturbations and/or the effects of dysbiosis (Shade & Handelsman 2012, Apprill et al. 2014). For example, in healthy humpback whale respiratory vapor and skin, a core microbiome has been identified for each body site, which is important for assessing atypical microbes or the absence of members of the typical core communities (Apprill et al. 2014, 2017). Characterizing an animal’s specific core microbial community can also be used to develop a screening tool that could identify host health, immunity, and disease. Establishing the core microbiome of endangered species of sea turtles could lead to improvements in conservation and rehabilitation management through monitoring this indicator of overall host health. Diets vary drastically between sea turtle species, each highly adapted to a specific dietary niche, making it likely that each species has a specific core microbiome. For example, green and Kemp’s ridley turtles both inhabit the shallow coastal areas along the northwest coast of Florida, USA, where juvenile green turtles transition from being omnivores to herbivores in shallow seagrass beds, and juvenile and subadult Kemp’s ridley turtles, which are carnivores, primarily feed on crustaceans (Bjorndal 1997, Arthur et al. 2008, Hoopes et al. 2017). The differences between the species’ microbiomes remain to be explored.

Further investigation into diseases of sea turtles and the microbiome also remains important. Like other marine vertebrates, sea turtles are considered sentinels of ecosystem health due to their wide distribution, long lifespans, and occurrence in multiple ecosystems. Fibropapillomatosis (FP) is one prominent indicator of sea turtle population health, with its distribution and prevalence increasing over the last several decades (Jones et al. 2016). This infectious disease is found in all species of sea turtle, although it has reached epizootic proportions in green turtles (Aguirre & Lutz 2004, Page-Karjian et al. 2019). FP is characterized by proliferative fibroepithelial lesions, and the likely etiological agent is the herpesvirus, chelonid herpesvirus 5 (ChHV5) (Wyneken et al. 2006, Jones et al. 2016). There are multiple factors that influence the expression of the virus by causing disruptions in the immune system, including environmental co-factors such as water quality, temperature, pollutants or toxins, and algal blooms (Wyneken et al. 2006, Jones et al. 2016, Page-Karjian & Herbst 2017). The interactions between FP and the turtle microbiome remain unclear; however, documenting these interactions is a critical step in understanding the consequences of FP for host health.
In this study, we investigated the microbiome of 2 endangered sea turtle species from the same environment. Our objective was to characterize the oral and cloacal microbiome of wild Kemp’s ridley and green turtles from the same habitat. Sampling from the same habitat allowed us to control for local environmental variation that may influence the microbiome. We hypothesized that the turtle species would have distinct microbial communities from each other but that there would be a core microbiome that exists for each body site. Additionally, we investigated microbial community differences based on severity of FP. We hypothesized that there would be a relationship between the microbial community composition and severity of disease due to the effects of sequelae from FP and likely links between immune system function and the microbiome.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Sample collection

Animal sampling was approved by the New England Aquarium (NEAg) Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (Protocol #2017-07), and we collected samples under NMFS permit #16598-03 and Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission permit #MTP-17-125A.

We conducted in-water captures of 20 green and 30 Kemp’s ridley sea turtles by hand capture or using a dip net in the waters adjacent to the St. Martins Marsh Aquatic Preserve of Crystal River, Florida, USA (28° 50’ 24” N, 82° 45’ 00” W), from June 12 to 17, 2017. All turtles were reproductively immature, so sex could not be identified externally. For each turtle, we collected blood when the turtle was initially brought aboard the boat, then performed physical exams for an associated health study (McNally et al. 2020). As part of the physical exam, we inspected all turtles for FP by evaluating the total number of tumors and assigning a Balazs tumor score, which considers the size as well as the number of tumors (Balazs 1991; Table S1 in the Supplement at www.int-res.com/articles/supp/n045p021_supp.pdf). Once the exam was completed and all samples were collected, each turtle was tagged to prevent resampling, and released from the side of the boat.

During the exams, we took an oral sample by gently swabbing the glottis of the turtle with a sterile cotton-tipped applicator, using a sanitized bite block (Nylabone Products) to keep the mouth open. We then took a cloacal sample by gently inserting a cotton-tipped applicator approximately 2.5 cm into the cloaca and swabbing the interior mucosa. We placed swabs in individual cryovials that were immediately set on dry ice after collection. Upon return from the field, we transferred the samples to a cryogenic dewar of liquid nitrogen for storage until the field work was complete and samples could be transported to the laboratory. After arrival at the laboratory, ranging from 5 to 10 d after initial collection, we moved all samples to an ultra-low freezer (−80°C) for storage until DNA extraction and sequencing could be completed.

To characterize the microbial community of the marine system, we also collected 1 l of water at the site of the last turtle release on each day. Within 1 h after return from the field (approximately 1 to 2 h after collection), we filtered the water through a 0.22 μM Sterivex™ filter and placed the filter in a labeled Whirl-Pak bag to store in the liquid nitrogen dewar. Additionally, we collected samples from the boat deck to assess it as a source of influence on the turtle samples. Upon return from the field each day, we collected swabs of the boat deck where the turtles were being held for exams using a sterile cotton-tipped applicator. We placed the swab in a cryovial and stored it in the liquid nitrogen dewar until the samples were transferred to an ultra-low freezer for long-term storage.

2.2. DNA extraction

We extracted DNA from swabs using a phenol:chloroform:isoamyl extraction protocol adapted from Mettel et al. (2010). We first suspended the swabs in PBL lysis buffer (water saturated phenol, disodium EDTA, sodium dodecyl sulfate, Tris-HCl, pH 5.7) by vortexing and centrifuging. We removed the supernatant and placed it in a clean tube. After removal of the supernatant, we added TPM buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 7.0, polyvinyl pyrrolidone, MgCl₂) to the original tube with the swab; after vortexing and centrifuging, we then added the resulting supernatant to the tube with the first supernatant. We supplemented the combined supernatant with 800 μl of a phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol solution (pH 6.7+, 25:24:1) and centrifuged. We transferred the upper aqueous layer to a sterile tube and added 0.7 volumes of 100% isopropanol and 0.1 volumes of 3 M sodium acetate. After centrifugation, the supernatant was decanted, we washed the pellet with 70% ethanol, and allowed it to air dry. We then resuspended the dried pellet in 50 μl nuclease-free
water and stored it at −80°C until amplification. We verified all DNA extracts by gel electrophoresis, including negative controls of unused sterile swabs, to ensure there was no contamination from supplies and solutions used in the extraction.

We extracted DNA from water samples using an adaptation of the manufacturer’s guidelines for the MoBio PowerWater® Sterivex™ DNA Isolation Kit. We followed the manufacturer’s instructions to generate the lysate (up through step 12 in the manufacturer’s protocol). We removed the lysate with a 3 ml syringe and added it to clean 2 ml sterile tubes. To these tubes, we added 800 μl of phenol:chloroform: isoamyl alcohol solution (pH 6.7+, 25:24:1) and centrifuged. We transferred the upper aqueous layer to a sterile tube and added 0.7 volumes of 100% isopropanol and 0.1 volumes of 3 M sodium acetate. After centrifugation, the supernatant was decanted, we washed the pellet with 70% ethanol, and allowed it to air dry. We then resuspended the dried pellet in 50 μl nuclease-free water and stored it at −80°C until amplification.

After verification, we amplified DNA extracts in triplicate using bacterial-specific (515F and 806R), uniquely barcoded, 16S rRNA primers containing adaptors for Illumina sequencing (Caporaso et al. 2012). Each 25 μl PCR reaction contained 12.5 μl Phusion Master Mix (Thermo Fisher), 0.5 μl primers, 11 μl diethylpyrocarbonate (DEPC) water, and 1 μl of DNA. We verified the PCR product via gel electrophoresis, excised the target bands, and purified them using the QIAquick PCR Purification Kit (QIAGEN) following the manufacturer’s protocol. We then quantified the purified product using a Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer (Thermo Fisher) and pooled it in equimolar concentrations. Sequencing was performed on the Illumina MiSeq platform with a paired-end V2 300 cycle kit.

2.3. Data analysis

Paired-end reads were demultiplexed using Illumina-utils version 2.0.2 (Eren et al. 2013). We performed quality filtering, merging of paired reads, and amplicon sequence variant (ASV) clustering using DADA2 version 1.12.1 (Callahan et al. 2016) in R version 3.6.1 (R Core Team 2019). We assigned taxonomy using IDTAXA from the DECIPHER package version 2.12.0 (Murali et al. 2018) with the Silva Small Subunit (SSU) 132 training set for classification. We used the phyloseq package version 1.28.0 in R to perform diversity metric visualizations, heatmaps, and statistical tests (McMurdie & Holmes 2013). We used Bray-Curtis distance metrics to evaluate the differences between each body site (oral and cloaca) for each species. We used principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) to visualize variations in the microbial communities and we tested for significant differences using permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA). We calculated alpha diversity metrics and, since the data were not normally distributed (Shapiro-Wilks test, p = 0.004), we tested for significance using pairwise Wilcoxon tests, and tested for the significance of the influence of FP total tumors and Balazs score on the microbial communities using PERMANOVA for Bray-Curtis distance metrics and pairwise Wilcoxon test for alpha diversity metrics.

We identified important taxa between the microbial communities of each species using the DESeq2 package version 1.24.0 (Love et al. 2014), which identifies features that are differentially abundant across samples. We defined the core microbiome as ASVs present in a minimum of 90% of the turtle samples specific to each body site and each species, which we identified using the microbiome package version 1.6.0 (Lahti & Shetty 2017).

All sequencing data generated for this study are available at NCBI's Sequence Read Archive, under BioProject accession number: PRJNA678525.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Sample data

We successfully examined a total of 30 Kemp’s ridley turtles and 20 green turtles in Crystal River, Florida, USA. Twenty-nine of the Kemp’s ridley turtles were similar in size with a mean straight standard carapace length (SSCL) of 46.7 cm and a mean weight of 15.4 kg, while 1 was smaller with an SSCL of 24.6 cm and weight of 2.2 kg (Table 1). We captured all Kemp’s ridley turtles by hand except for the smallest animal, which was captured with a dip net. We captured 5 green turtles by hand and the remaining 15 were captured with a dip net. The mean SSCL of the green turtles was 38.0 cm and the mean weight was 7.0 kg (Table 1).
3.2. The oral and cloacal microbiome

Across all samples, sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene resulted in 2,727,027 reads after joining paired-ends and quality filtering, which included the removal of chimeras, singletons, chloroplasts, mitochondrial DNA, and archaea. Out of 107 samples, 1 sample (a cloaca sample from a green turtle) did not yield enough sequences to be included and was removed from downstream analyses. The mean sequence counts per sample was 25,727 (median 23,173) and the range was 7,306 to 88,976 counts per sample. These sequences were assigned to 1335 unique ASVs (a measure of sequence similarity that can be used to differentiate taxa) across 181 different families.

Kemp’s ridley turtles had significantly higher Shannon diversity at each body site compared to green turtles for both oral and cloaca samples (Fig. 1; Wilcoxon, p < 0.001). Oral samples had higher Shannon diversity than cloaca samples in Kemp’s ridley turtles (Wilcoxon, p < 0.001), but the Shannon diversity was similar between oral and cloaca samples of green turtles (Fig. 1). The oral samples of Kemp’s ridley turtles also had higher Shannon diversity than the water samples, which had a mean of 2.8 and standard deviation of 0.5 (Wilcoxon, p < 0.001). The water samples were similar in diversity to the Kemp’s ridley turtle cloaca samples, while higher in diversity compared to green turtle oral and cloaca samples (Wilcoxon, p = 0.029). The boat deck samples also had significantly higher Shannon diversity than both green oral and cloaca samples (Wilcoxon, p = 0.029).

The oral and cloacal microbial communities were distinct from each other within each species and the structure of the microbial communities was significantly different between each body site and species based on Bray-Curtis dissimilarity (Fig. 2). The oral microbiome of Kemp’s ridley turtles was dominated by bacteria in the family Flavobacteriaceae (15.6%), Campylobacteraceae (9.9%), and Desulfovulbaceae (9.2%). The cloaca samples of both turtle species had high proportions of Neisseriaceae, though they were relatively more abundant in the green turtles (29.2%) than in the Kemp’s ridley turtles (10.4%). Green turtle cloaca samples also had a high percentage of the families Arcobacteraceae (14.7%) and Desulfovulbaceae (11.4%). In addition to the Neisseriaceae, Kemp’s ridley cloaca samples had a large proportion of Cardiobacteriaceae (16.5%) and Flavobacteriaceae (15.5%) (Fig. 3).

Water and deck samples were distinct from all the turtle samples (Fig. S1 in the Supplement). Water sam-

| Sample Type | Water temp (°C) | Weight (kg) | SSCL (cm) | Total tumors | Balazs score |
|-------------|----------------|-------------|-----------|--------------|--------------|
| Kemp’s ridley (n = 30) Mean ±SD | 28.5 ± 1.4 | 15.0 ± 4.8 | 45.9 ± 6.2 | 0 | 0 |
| Range (min–max) | (26.6–30.8) | (22–23.5) | (24.6–53.6) | (0–38) | (0–3) |
| Green (n = 20) Mean ±SD | 28.6 ± 1.0 | 7.0 ± 2.2 | 38.0 ± 4.0 | 17.2 ± 14.0 | 1.6 ± 1.0 |
| Range (min–max) | (26.7–30.8) | (4.1–13.1) | (31–47) | (0–38) | (0–3) |

Table 1. Health assessment information and morphometric data of sampled sea turtles separated by species. SSCL: straight standard carapace length.
Endang Species Res 45: 21–36, 2021

ples were dominated by bacteria in the families Rhodo-
bacteraceae (33.9%), Flavobacteriaceae (19.4%),
Thioglobaceae (15.5%), and Litoricolaceae (9.2%).
The boat deck, which was in contact with the turtle
skin, sea water, and humans, had highest proportions
of Idiomarinaceae (24.0%), Marinobacteraceae
(19.1%), Halomonadaceae (12.1%), and Alteromon-
adaceae (9.8%). Since we did not see discrete signa-
tures of these taxa in the turtle microbiomes, we
focused only on turtles for the remaining analyses.

We found 204 ASVs with significant differences in
abundance between the green turtle and Kemp’s rid-
ley turtle oral samples, and the cloaca samples had
108 significantly different ASVs between the 2 spe-
cies. The oral cavity ASVs that had highest relative
abundance in the Kemp’s ridley turtles compared to
green turtles largely consisted of bacteria from the
families Arcobacteraceae and Flavobacteriaceae.
ASVs that were more abundant in green turtles were
similarly from the family Arcobacteraceae, but also
included ASVs in the families Desulfobulbaceae
and Campylobacteraceae (Table 2, Fig. 4A). ASVs with
the largest difference in cloaca samples between
species were from the families Arcobacteraceae, De-
sulfobulbaceae, Leptotrichiaceae, and Campy-lobac-
teraceae, which were more abundant in green turtles;
whereas ASVs from Rhodocyclaceae, Cardiobacteri-
aceae, Campylobacteraceae, Endozoicomonadaceae,
Tannerellaceae, and Flavobacteriaceae were sig-
nificantly more abundant in Kemp’s ridley turtles
(Table 2, Fig. 4B).

3.3. Core microbiome analysis

We found only 4 ASVs shared in 90% of oral samples
across both turtle species and no ASVs shared across
both species in the cloaca samples (Fig. 5). Among the
individual body sites within each species, however,
there were several ASVs that had greater than 90%
prevalence (Table 3, Fig. 5). The oral samples of green
turtles had 11 ASVs present in at least 90% of the sam-
ples and the green turtle cloacal microbial community
also shared 11 ASVs that were in at least 90% of all
samples. The Kemp’s ridley oral samples had 23 ASVs
that fit our definition of a core microbiome, whereas
the cloaca samples only had 2 core ASVs.

3.4. Fibropapillomatosis and the turtle microbiome

FP tumors were present in 90% of the green turtles
captured. Turtles had a total tumor mean of 17.2
(range 0 to 38) and a Balazs score mean of 1.6 (range
0 to 3).

Microbial communities were significantly dif-
erent for green turtle cloaca samples as a function of
total tumors (PERMANOVA, p = 0.008), though they
were not significantly different in oral samples (Fig. 6;
PERMANOVA, p = 0.175). There was no significant
difference in Shannon diversity among green turtles
in either the oral or cloacal microbial communities
based on Balazs score. We also examined the change
in community as a function of Balazs score (Fig. 7).
The turtle with the highest Balazs score (most severe
level of infection) had higher relative abundances
of Fusobacteriaceae and Acidaminococcaceae, and
lower abundances of Arcobacteraceae in the oral sam-
ple compared to those from the other Balazs scores.
In general, the turtles with a lower Balazs score and
total number of tumors had more Vibrionaceae and
Arcobacteraceae in cloaca samples compared to the
higher scores and total tumors.

4. DISCUSSION

Variation in microbial communities within a spe-
cies can be caused by the organism’s local environ-
ment, life stage, and diet, among other things (Mc-
Fall-Ngai et al. 2013, Keenan & Elsey 2015, Colston
& Jackson 2016). In this study, we characterized the
microbiome of juvenile Kemp’s ridley and green tur-
Fig. 3. The top 30 families composing the bacterial communities of each turtle species (green and Kemp's ridley) at each body site, (A) oral cavity and (B) cloaca
Table 2. The top 20 amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) that displayed the largest difference in relative abundance (%) and standard deviation (SD) between green and Kemp’s ridley turtles in both oral and cloaca samples. NA: not available

| ASV       | Order                  | Family                      | Genus     | Oral Relative abund. (%) | SD | Kemp’sRelative abund. (%) | SD |
|-----------|------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|----|---------------------------|----|
| ASV1016   | Campylobacterales      | Campylobacteraceae          | Campylobacter | 2.25                     | 3.45 | 0.05                     | 0.10 |
| ASV627    | Clostridiales          | Deftluvillaceae             | UCG-011   | 1.94                     | 1.72 | 0.00                     | 0.00 |
| ASV709    | Desulfobacterales      | Desulfobulbaceae            | NA        | 2.44                     | 3.62 | 0.00                     | 0.01 |
| ASV272    | Campylobacterales      | Arcobacteraceae             | Arcobacter | 9.31                     | 8.76 | 0.00                     | 0.00 |
| ASV197    | Campylobacterales      | Campylobacteraceae          | Campylobacter | 6.59                     | 7.99 | 0.00                     | 0.00 |
| ASV492    | Campylobacterales      | Arcobacteraceae             | Arcobacter | 2.75                     | 2.10 | 0.00                     | 0.00 |
| ASV452    | Desulfobacterales      | Desulfobulbaceae            | Desulforhopalus | 2.32                     | 2.03 | 0.00                     | 0.00 |
| ASV560    | Desulfobacterales      | Desulfobulbaceae            | NA        | 1.72                     | 1.55 | 0.00                     | 0.00 |
| ASV545    | Bacteroidales          | Marinilaceae                | Marinilum | 0.00                     | 0.00 | 1.48                     | 1.85 |
| ASV279    | Flavobacteriales       | Flavobacteriaceae           | NA        | 0.00                     | 0.00 | 1.17                     | 1.49 |
| ASV924    | Flavobacteriales       | Flavobacteriaceae           | NA        | 0.01                     | 0.02 | 1.75                     | 1.43 |
| ASV1291   | Flavobacteriales       | Flavobacteriaceae           | NA        | 0.00                     | 0.00 | 1.55                     | 1.68 |
| ASV377    | Campylobacterales      | Arcobacteraceae             | Arcobacter | 0.00                     | 0.00 | 3.00                     | 8.98 |
| ASV43     | Flavobacteriales       | Flavobacteriaceae           | NA        | 0.00                     | 0.00 | 2.35                     | 2.45 |
| ASV467    | Bacteroidales          | Marinilaceae                | Marinilum | 0.00                     | 0.00 | 1.65                     | 1.51 |
| ASV917    | Flavobacteriales       | Flavobacteriaceae           | NA        | 0.00                     | 0.00 | 3.55                     | 4.27 |
| ASV619    | Betaproteobacteriales  | Burkholderiaceae            | NA        | 0.00                     | 0.00 | 1.96                     | 2.01 |
| ASV1111   | Flavobacteriales       | Flavobacteriaceae           | NA        | 0.00                     | 0.00 | 5.23                     | 5.15 |
| ASV1104   | Campylobacterales      | Arcobacteraceae             | Arcobacter | 0.00                     | 0.00 | 2.95                     | 2.45 |
| ASV508    | Campylobacterales      | Arcobacteraceae             | Arcobacter | 0.00                     | 0.00 | 2.73                     | 2.06 |

| ASV       | Order                  | Family                      | Genus     | Oral Relative abund. (%) | SD | Kemp’sRelative abund. (%) | SD |
|-----------|------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|----|---------------------------|----|
| ASV166    | Campylobacterales      | Arcobacteraceae             | Arcobacter | 6.99                     | 8.28 | 0.15                     | 0.70 |
| ASV1302   | Campylobacterales      | Arcobacteraceae             | Arcobacter | 3.38                     | 4.95 | 0.21                     | 1.12 |
| ASV411    | Campylobacterales      | Arcobacteraceae             | Arcobacter | 3.78                     | 4.06 | 0.01                     | 0.05 |
| ASV1068   | Desulfobacterales      | Desulfobulbaceae            | NA        | 7.53                     | 8.94 | 0.03                     | 0.18 |
| ASV721    | Desulfobacterales      | Desulfobulbaceae            | NA        | 3.53                     | 3.97 | 0.10                     | 0.24 |
| ASV313    | Fusobacteriales        | Leptotrichiaceae            | NA        | 9.47                     | 8.58 | 2.16                     | 4.27 |
| ASV517    | Campylobacterales      | Campylobacteraceae          | Campylobacter | 9.64                     | 6.46 | 0.83                     | 1.23 |
| ASV726    | Cardiobiacteriales     | Cardiobiacteriaceae         | NA        | 0.00                     | 0.00 | 2.29                     | 3.76 |
| ASV222    | Clostridiales          | Family XI_2I                | Fusibacter | 0.00                     | 0.00 | 1.09                     | 1.43 |
| ASV514    | Betaproteobacteriales  | Rhodocyclaceae              | NA        | 0.00                     | 0.00 | 7.89                     | 9.04 |
| ASV1245   | Campylobacterales      | Campylobacteraceae          | Campylobacter | 0.03                     | 0.10 | 4.70                     | 6.53 |
| ASV935    | Flavobacteriales       | Flavobacteriaceae           | NA        | 0.00                     | 0.00 | 1.15                     | 1.82 |
| ASV1104   | Campylobacterales      | Arcobacteraceae             | Arcobacter | 0.00                     | 0.00 | 1.64                     | 3.45 |
| ASV1164   | Cardiobiacteriales     | Cardiobiacteriaceae         | Cardiobacterium | 0.00                     | 0.01 | 12.39                    | 11.49 |
| ASV798    | Flavobacteriales       | Flavobacteriaceae           | NA        | 0.00                     | 0.00 | 1.78                     | 2.33 |
| ASV1046   | Oceanospirilales       | Endozoicomonadaceae         | Endozoicomonas | 0.00                     | 0.01 | 4.97                     | 9.56 |
| ASV1310   | Bacteroidales          | Tannerellaceae              | Macellibacteroides | 0.01                     | 0.02 | 3.43                     | 6.69 |
| ASV882    | Flavobacteriales       | Flavobacteriaceae           | NA        | 0.00                     | 0.00 | 2.75                     | 3.56 |
| ASV1072   | Arenicellales          | Arenicellaceae              | Arenicella | 0.00                     | 0.00 | 1.52                     | 2.69 |
| ASV619    | Betaproteobacteriales  | Burkholderiaceae            | NA        | 0.00                     | 0.00 | 1.19                     | 1.36 |

tles from coastal western Florida. Several green sea turtle studies from various regions of the world have examined microbial communities of either cloaca or fecal samples (Ahasan et al. 2017, Price et al. 2017, Campos et al. 2018). Price et al. (2017) characterized the juvenile green turtle cloacal microbiome from different regions of Florida and from 2 habitats (pelagic and neritic). The turtles from the coastal habitat were most similar to the turtles in our study which were also collected from coastal systems. The families of Neisseriaceae, Arcobacteraceae, Campylobacteraceae, and Desulfobulbaceae were relatively abundant in the green turtles of both studies. By contrast, fecal samples collected from wild green turtles from Australia and Brazil had microbiomes dominated by the families Bacteroidiaceae, Lachnospiraceae, Clostridiaeae, and Porphyromonadaceae (Ahasan et al. 2017, Campos et al. 2018). Although present in low abundances in the turtles from Florida, they were not dominant in the cloaca samples of juvenile
green turtles in our study. This could be due to differences in location, life stage, prevalence of FP, or the section of the gastrointestinal tract that was sampled. For example, in alligators, fecal samples were significantly different from those taken from other parts of the gastrointestinal (GI) tract (Keenan et al. 2013, Keenan & Elsey 2015). Alligators had fecal samples primarily composed of the phylum Fusobacteria and intestinal samples with higher proportions of Firmicutes, which shifted to predominantly Proteobacteria during the winter months (Keenan et al. 2013). Sections of the GI tract of green turtles also differ from each other, with anaerobes more likely to survive in the anterior sections (Ahasan et al. 2020). Thus, the differences between the cloacal communities observed in our study and the other sections of the GI and fecal samples collected in other studies could be due to real regional differences in the microbiome or could be a result of innate differences between GI, cloacal, and fecal microbiomes.

This is the first study to our knowledge to report wild Kemp's ridley turtle microbiomes at this life stage. The only other published study including wild healthy Kemp's ridley turtle microbial communities involves colonic swabs from adult nesting turtles in Mexico (Scheelings et al. 2020a). The adult samples were predominantly composed of the order Campylobacterales, which were also present in our Kemp's ridley cloaca samples, though the samples diverged at the family level. Although there are a variety of differences in these studies (cloacal swab depth, geography), the dissimilarities in microbial communities are also emphasized by the different life stages. Samuelson et al. (2020) recently examined the fecal microbiome of rehabilitated juvenile Kemp's ridley turtles and found the class Clostridia was most abundant in the fecal samples of turtles in short-term rehabilitation. Although our Kemp’s ridley cloaca samples had a small proportion of Clostridia in the microbiome, the dominant classes were Gammaproteobacteria and Bacteroidia. The differences between the rehabilitated and wild healthy Kemp’s ridley turtle microbial communities are not limited to the type of sampling (feces vs. cloaca); other variables including environment (i.e. rehabilitation tanks, geography), health condition, medications, and available diet could also explain these differences.

Thus far, studies of microbial communities in sea turtles have focused on fecal or cloacal microbiomes.
Our study is unique in that we also characterized the microbiome of the oral cavity. The importance of the oral microbiome is unknown in many animals. The oral microbes of other species of reptiles, alligator and Komodo dragon have been evaluated. In the alligator, the oral samples had higher alpha diversity than the lower GI tract (Keenan et al. 2013), consistent with what we observed with the Kemp's ridley turtles (Fig. 1). The salivary microbiome of Komodo dragons similarly had higher Shannon diversity than fecal samples (Hyde et al. 2016). A similar pattern has been seen in marine mammals, with samples collected from the oral cavity having higher alpha diversity than rectal samples (Bik et al. 2016). This is thought to be due to greater interaction with transient microbes from the environment entering the oral cavity. However, we did not observe this pattern in green turtles. In fact, green turtles had lower alpha diversity at both body sites compared to the surrounding water, indicating that the water column microbes had little influence on the green turtle microbiome. Kemp’s ridley turtles also had higher Shannon diversity than the green turtles at each body site. This result was unexpected because other studies, particularly in mammals, have indicated that herbivores have higher alpha diversity compared to carnivores, possibly due to the need for more diverse bacteria to effectively ferment the plant cell wall polysaccharides (Ley et al. 2008a). Two species of herbivorous iguanas, however, had different levels of alpha diversity, which may be due to the complexity of the specific vegetation being consumed (Hong et al. 2011). It is possible that Kemp’s ridley turtles still require a diverse microbial community to digest their hard-shelled food items.

We sampled Kemp’s ridley and green turtles from the same environment, ruling out location-specific environmental variables as the cause of taxonomic differences in microbial communities. Although there are no previous studies of Kemp's ridley turtles at this life stage for comparison, they are clearly different from the sympatric green turtles. In the oral and cloaca samples, *Campylobacter* sp. and *Arcobacter* sp., both in the order *Campylobacterales*, comprised the biggest differences between the turtle species, with both genera having multiple ASVs that were differentially abundant in one or the other turtle species (Table 2). For example, Kemp’s ridley turtles had 3 ASVs from the *Arcobacter* genus that were more abundant than green turtle oral or cloaca samples, but different ASVs of this genus were more abundant in green turtles. This could be due to the diverse bacteria from this genus found in the environment, including food items, of the sea turtles. *Arcobacter* are common in sea water, oysters, and even sewage, and they have also been found in intestine samples and feces of farm animals (Collado & Figueras 2011). Although they are associated with disease in some farm animals, they are more commonly found in healthy animals (Collado & Figueras 2011).

Multiple ASVs of the family *Flavobacteriaceae* were more abundant in Kemp’s ridley oral or cloaca samples compared to those of green turtles. *Flavobacteriaceae* are common in marine environments, particularly in shellfish (Jooste & Hugo 1999). *Flavobacteriaceae* are in many marine mammal microbiomes, including oral and gastric samples from sea lions (Bik et al. 2016) and humpback whale respiratory vapor and skin (Apprill et al. 2014, 2017). Although common to the marine environment, we found this family of bacteria only in the Kemp’s ridley turtles, not green turtles. Thus, they may be essential to Kemp’s ridley turtles, potentially playing a role in digestion, or they could be transiently carried to the Kemp’s ridley turtles from a particular food item. In humpback whales, the *Flavobacteriaceae* on the skin are thought to provide a protective function by predating on other types of bacteria (Apprill et al. 2014), providing another possible role for this family of bacteria in Kemp’s ridley turtles. It appears unlikely that the *Flavobacteriaceae* found in Kemp’s ridley turtles are pathogenic, as they were highly prevalent and all turtles in this study appeared healthy.

*Cardiobacteriaceae* is another family of bacteria that we found in high abundance in Kemp’s ridley
Table 3. Core microbiome. The amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) that are shared among turtle species and body sites with taxonomy and prevalence (proportion of samples in which the ASV is found) across the indicated sample type. Core was defined as minimum prevalence of 0.90 (90%). NA: not available.

| Turtle species       | Body site | ASV       | Order, Family, Genus                                      | Prevalence |
|----------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Green                | Cloaca    | ASV19     | Clostridiales, Lachnospiraceae, NA                       | 1.00       |
|                      |           | ASV40     | Pseudomonadales, Moraxellaceae, Moraxella                | 0.95       |
|                      |           | ASV166    | Campylobacteres, Arcobacteraceae, Arcobacter             | 1.00       |
|                      |           | ASV313    | Fusobacteriales, Leptotrichiaceae, NA                   | 0.95       |
|                      |           | ASV381    | Flavobacteriales, Flavobacteriaceae, NA                 | 1.00       |
|                      |           | ASV517    | Campylobacteres, Campylobacteraceae, Campylobacter      | 0.95       |
|                      |           | ASV721    | Desulfobacteres, Desulfbulbaceae, NA                     | 0.95       |
|                      |           | ASV761    | Clostridiales, Family XI, Fusibacter                     | 0.95       |
|                      |           | ASV978    | Clostridiales, Family XI, Fusibacter                     | 1.00       |
|                      |           | ASV1130   | Betaproteobacteriales, Neisseriaceae, Snodgrassella     | 1.00       |
|                      |           | ASV1302   | Campylobacteres, Arcobacteraceae, Arcobacter             | 1.00       |
| Kemp's ridley        | Cloaca    | ASV917    | Flavobacteriales, Flavobacteriaceae, NA                 | 0.93       |
|                      |           | ASV1164   | Cardiobacteriales, Cardiobacteriaceae, Cardiobacterium  | 0.97       |
|                      | Oral      | ASV197    | Campylobacteres, Campylobacteraceae, Campylobacter      | 1.00       |
|                      |           | ASV272    | Campylobacteres, Arcobacteraceae, Arcobacter             | 0.95       |
|                      |           | ASV439    | Vibrionales, Vibrionaceae, NA                           | 0.90       |
|                      |           | ASV452    | Desulfobacteres, Desulfbulbaceae, Desulfophilus         | 1.00       |
|                      |           | ASV492    | Campylobacteres, Arcobacteraceae, Arcobacter             | 1.00       |
|                      |           | ASV560    | Desulfobacteres, Desulfbulbaceae, NA                     | 1.00       |
|                      |           | ASV584    | Clostridiales, Defluviitaleaceae, NA                    | 1.00       |
|                      |           | ASV666    | Campylobacteres, Arcobacteraceae, Arcobacter             | 0.95       |
|                      |           | ASV1016   | Campylobacteres, Campylobacteraceae, Campylobacter      | 0.95       |
|                      |           | ASV1161   | Pasteurellae, Pasteurellaceae, Phocoenobacter           | 1.00       |
|                      |           | ASV1281   | Pseudomonadales, Moraxellaceae, NA                      | 1.00       |
| Green                | Oral      | ASV41     | Campylobacteres, Campylobacteraceae, Campylobacter      | 0.97       |
|                      |           | ASV43     | Flavobacteriales, Flavobacteriaceae, NA                 | 0.97       |
|                      |           | ASV187    | Campylobacteres, Campylobacteraceae, Campylobacter      | 0.93       |
|                      |           | ASV377    | Campylobacteres, Arcobacteraceae, Arcobacter             | 0.93       |
|                      |           | ASV434    | Desulfobacteres, Desulfbulbaceae, Desulfophilus         | 0.97       |
|                      |           | ASV467    | Bacteroidales, Marinilaceae, Marinilium                 | 0.97       |
|                      |           | ASV499    | Campylobacteres, Sulfurovaceae, Sulfurovum              | 0.97       |
|                      |           | ASV508    | Campylobacteres, Arcobacteraceae, Arcobacter             | 1.00       |
|                      |           | ASV546    | Flavobacteriales, Flavobacteriaceae, NA                 | 0.93       |
|                      |           | ASV575    | Flavobacteriales, Flavobacteriaceae, Maritimimonas       | 1.00       |
|                      |           | ASV619    | Betaproteobacteriales, Burkholderiaceae, NA             | 0.97       |
|                      |           | ASV687    | Flavobacteriales, Weeksellaceae, NA                     | 0.93       |
|                      |           | ASV798    | Flavobacteriales, Flavobacteriaceae, NA                 | 0.93       |
|                      |           | ASV834    | Chitinophagales, Saprospiraceae, NA                    | 0.97       |
|                      |           | ASV843    | Myxococcales, P3OB-42, NA                              | 0.97       |
|                      |           | ASV917    | Flavobacteriales, Flavobacteriaceae, NA                 | 0.97       |
|                      |           | ASV935    | Flavobacteriales, Flavobacteriaceae, NA                 | 0.93       |
|                      |           | ASV1104   | Campylobacteres, Arcobacteraceae, Arcobacter             | 1.00       |
|                      |           | ASV1111   | Flavobacteriales, Flavobacteriaceae, NA                 | 1.00       |
|                      |           | ASV1112   | Bdellovibrionales, Bacteriovoraceae, Peredibacter      | 0.97       |
|                      |           | ASV1281   | Pseudomonadales, Moraxellaceae, NA                      | 0.97       |
|                      |           | ASV1291   | Flavobacteriales, Flavobacteriaceae, NA                 | 0.93       |
|                      |           | ASV1305   | Flavobacteriales, Flavobacteriaceae, Maritimimonas       | 0.97       |
|                      |           | ASV867    | Flavobacteriales, Weeksellaceae, NA                     | 0.93       |
|                      |           | ASV843    | Myxococcales, P3OB-42, NA                              | 0.92       |
|                      |           | ASV1361   | Pasteurellae, Pasteurellaceae, Phocoenobacter           | 0.90       |
|                      |           | ASV1281   | Pseudomonadales, Moraxellaceae, NA                      | 0.98       |
| Green & Kemp's ridley| Oral      | ASV439    | Vibrionales, Vibrionaceae, NA                           | 0.92       |
|                      |           | ASV917    | Flavobacteriales, Flavobacteriaceae, NA                 | 0.95       |
|                      |           | ASV1104   | Campylobacteres, Arcobacteraceae, Arcobacter             | 0.95       |
|                      |           | ASV1164   | Cardiobacteriales, Cardiobacteriaceae, Cardiobacterium  | 0.92       |
| Kemp's ridley        | Oral & Cloaca | ASV439 | Vibrionales, Vibrionaceae, NA                           | 0.92       |
|                      |           | ASV917    | Flavobacteriales, Flavobacteriaceae, NA                 | 0.95       |
|                      |           | ASV1104   | Campylobacteres, Arcobacteraceae, Arcobacter             | 0.95       |
|                      |           | ASV1164   | Cardiobacteriales, Cardiobacteriaceae, Cardiobacterium  | 0.92       |
turtle cloaca samples but that was not present in green turtles. This family is also found in dolphin and whale respiratory vapor (Lima et al. 2012, Apprill et al. 2017) though its function in those environments is unknown. *Cardiobacteriaceae* may be responsible for human illnesses such as endocarditis and wound infections (Das et al. 1997), but pathogenicity in Kemp’s ridley turtles is extremely unlikely due to its common presence and high abundance in seemingly healthy animals.

Several of these ASVs are part of the core microbiome (shared among 90% of samples) of the green turtle or Kemp’s ridley turtle cloaca (Table 3). Additional members of the core microbiome include *Lachnospiraceae*, a family in the order *Clostridiales*, which was in 100% of the green turtle cloaca samples. This bacterial family consists of anaerobes with the ability to degrade polysaccharides, which is essential in herbivores such as marine iguanas and green turtles (Hong et al. 2011, Campos et al. 2018).
Families in the order *Clostridiales* play a role in herbivore digestion by breaking down cellulose (Yuan et al. 2015), which is likely the reason multiple ASVs from this order constitute the cloacal microbiome of the herbivorous green turtle. *Clostridiales* has also been found in the cloacal and fecal microbiome of green turtles (Ahasan et al. 2017, Price et al. 2017, Campos et al. 2018, Bloodgood et al. 2020). We found *Snodgrassella* sp. (family *Neisseriaceae*) to be highly abundant in 100% of green turtle cloaca samples as well. *Neisseriaceae* is a common and diverse bacterial family inhabiting mucosal surfaces of humans and many other animals such as dogs, cats, dolphins, and iguanas (Liu et al. 2015). Identifying a core microbiome at the specific body site allows for comparison with data from other studies, by identifying microbiota that are likely healthy for the species rather than influenced by the environment. Investigating the functional core microbiome, including other sections of the GI tract, in the future would allow better insight into diet effects on these species.

There were no ASVs shared between the Kemp’s ridley and green turtle cloaca samples, indicating their distinct microbiomes at this body site, which is likely due to their different diet requirements (carnivore vs. herbivore) and subsequent gut morphology (Ley et al. 2008a, Hong et al. 2011, Yuan et al. 2015, Campos et al. 2018). Presence of FP in green turtles may also be playing a role in the difference, although green turtles with no tumors were still different than the Kemp’s ridley turtles. There were 4 ASVs from different families found in 90% of the oral samples from both turtle species. Two of these, *Pasteurellaceae* and *Moraxellaceae*, were also in high abundance across the oral samples. *Pasteurellaceae* may be more common than originally expected, as it has been found in human oral microbiome studies (Contreras et al. 2010), European bats (Mühl dorfer et al. 2014), and the oral cavity of sea lions and walruses (Hansen et al. 2012). Specifically, *Phocoenobacter* sp., a genus within *Pasteurellaceae* that we found in the oral samples, was first described in a harbor porpoise uterus (Foster et al. 2000). *Moraxellaceae* is commonly found in the marine environment, but also includes species that colonize mucosal membranes or the skin of humans and animals (Teixeira & Merquior 2014), including the oral cavity of dolphins (Bik et al. 2016) as well as the cloaca of green turtles (Price et al. 2017) and feces of loggerhead turtles (Arizza et al. 2019).

We found a high prevalence of FP in the green turtles we sampled, which may be due to the shallow/inshore habitat, higher water temperatures in the summer months, biotoxin exposure, or unidentified water quality disturbances in this region (Jones et al. 2016, Page-Karjian & Herbst 2017). There is weak clustering, based on Bray-Curtis dissimilarity, of cloacal microbial communities by total number of tumors in the green turtles (Fig. 6), but the small sample size makes this significance difficult to interpret. There was only 1 turtle with a Balazs score of 3 (the most severe) and this individual had a drastically different oral microbiome compared to other oral samples. In particular, *Acidaminococcaceae* were highly prevalent in this turtle due to 1 ASV, an *Acidaminococcus* sp. This bacterial genus is not well understood in animals, and although it increases in abundance in infants with chronic malnutrition (Gough et al. 2015), this turtle appeared to have good body condition. *Fusobacterium* sp. was also dominant in the oral cavity of the turtle with a Balazs score of 3, but it was found in low abundance in several other turtles with lower tumor scores. It has also been identified in loggerhead fecal samples, although not consistently or in much lower abundance compared to herbivores (Biagi et al. 2019), and we did not find it in the cloacal microbiome of any of the green turtles regardless of FP severity. Clustering by total tumors for cloaca samples may be driven by lower abundance of *Vibrionaceae* and *Arcobacteraceae* in the turtles with more tumors. *Vibrio* sp. were cultured in most turtles with increased severity of FP in Hawaii, USA (Work et al. 2003), but we found this genus to be in low abundance and not associated with FP severity. More turtles with severe cases of FP would need to be examined to determine whether the patterns observed here are, in fact, a direct result of FP. Location of the tumors on a turtle may also have a direct influence on the microbial communities at different body sites, either through physical contact with the tumors or by influencing exposure to transient bacteria from the local environment. The Balazs score, which is the most widely used scoring system in the field, only evaluates the number and size of the tumors, so future studies should examine a more clinically based scoring system (taking into account location on the turtle and morphology of the tumors) to further evaluate severity of the disease and effect on microbial communities (Page-Karjian et al. 2014, 2019, Page-Karjian & Herbst 2017). Since we did find a significant relationship between FP and microbial community structure, despite our small sample size, additional investigations are essential to expand our identification of microbial correlations with disease and immune system function for this multifactorial disease in endangered sea turtles.
5. CONCLUSIONS

We provide the first characterization of the oral and cloacal microbiome of 2 wild-caught sea turtle species, green and Kemp’s ridley turtles, from the same environment, allowing us to identify differences in microbial community composition between species. We add microbiome data of green turtle cloaca samples to a growing field of studies and provide a first glimpse into the green turtle oral microbiome. We also provide valuable new information concerning the microbial composition of healthy Kemp’s ridley turtles for both the oral cavity and cloaca from this endangered species. We identify a core microbiome for each species at each body site, allowing us to understand the potential importance of these microbes to the health of the turtle, including potential contributions to digestion based on diet. We also provide data on the correlation between the severity of FP in green turtles, and we identify the need for increased sample sizes and a higher resolution scoring system as important for further understanding the role of turtle microbiomes in health and disease. Understanding the microbiome from wild populations provides a foundational baseline for comparison that will allow for enhanced monitoring of sea turtle health in future studies, Massachusetts, USA.
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