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Abstract: Currently, cellulose acetate (CA) membranes dominate membrane-based CO₂ separation for natural gas purification due to their economical and green nature. However, their lower CO₂ permeability and ease of plasticization are the drawbacks. To overcome these weaknesses, we have developed high-performance mixed matrix membranes (MMMs) consisting of cellulose triacetate (CTA), cellulose diacetate (CDA), and amine functionalized zeolitic imidazolate frameworks (NH₂-ZIF-8) for CO₂ separation. The NH₂-ZIF-8 was chosen as a filler because (1) its pore size is between the kinetic diameters of CO₂ and CH₄ and (2) the NH₂ groups attached on the surface of NH₂-ZIF-8 have good affinity with CO₂ molecules. The incorporation of NH₂-ZIF-8 in the CTA/CDA blend matrix improved both the gas separation performance and plasticization resistance. The optimized membrane containing 15 wt.% of NH₂-ZIF-8 had a CO₂ permeability of 11.33 Barrer at 35 °C under the trans-membrane pressure of 5 bar. This is 2-fold higher than the pristine membrane, while showing a superior CO₂/CH₄ selectivity of 33. In addition, the former had 106% higher CO₂ plasticization resistance of up to about 21 bar and an impressive mixed gas CO₂/CH₄ selectivity of about 40. Therefore, the newly fabricated MMMs based on the CTA/CDA blend may have great potential for CO₂ separation in the natural gas industry.
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1. Introduction

Natural gas is an attractive and relatively green energy source as compared to coal, mainly because of its lower carbon footprint [1–3]. However, depending on the geological location, raw natural gas varies substantially in composition and may contain 50–90 mole % methane together with other undesirable components such as H₂O, CO₂, H₂S, N₂, C₂H₆, C₃H₈, and toluene. The presence of CO₂ and H₂S can cause pipeline corrosion and reduce the calorific value of the natural gas [1,4,5]. Therefore, the demand of high-purity natural gas is increasing by the energy producers to enhance its calorific and economic values [3]. To purify raw natural gas, membrane technology has emerged as an alternative process due to its environmental and economic benefits [1,5]. In particular, polymeric membranes have led to the commercialization of membrane-based gas separations for various applications including CO₂ separation from natural gas [6–8]. To make the membrane-based gas separation more competitive over conventional separation technologies such as amine
absorption and cryogenic distillation, membranes with a higher selectivity and permeability are required [9–13]. Nevertheless, the design of high-performance polymeric membranes is challenging mainly due to (1) the tradeoff relationship between permeability and selectivity of the polymeric materials [14] and (2) the plasticization phenomenon because the highly condensable gases like CO\textsubscript{2} tend to drastically reduce membrane selectivity [15–17].

Tremendous efforts have been made to overcome these constraints and to design novel membrane materials with high separation performance in terms of both gas selectivity and permeability [9–11,18]. Among these efforts, polymer blends and mixed matrix membranes (MMMs) are the popular approaches because they are simple and effective [19,20]. Particularly, MMMs, which incorporate inorganic particles in the continuous phase of the polymer matrix, have gained growing interests [9,20–22]. In MMMs, the polymeric matrix offers low cost and easy processability as the major benefits, while inorganic components exhibit high permeability, selectivity, and good thermal stability. MMMs possess impressive gas separation performance due to the combined benefits of both polymeric and inorganic materials. Inorganic particles incorporated in MMMs as the dispersed phase can be classified into two major categories: (1) non-porous/impermeable fillers such as silica and TiO\textsubscript{2} and (2) porous/permeable fillers such as carbon nanotubes, zeolites, and metal organic frameworks (MOFs) [20,22].

Currently, MOFs, especially zeolitic imidazolate frameworks (ZIFs), have been receiving significant attention as potential porous fillers used in MMMs owing to their molecular sieving properties, good stability, and compatibility with the polymer matrices [23]. One of the most extensively studied ZIFs is ZIF-8, which is constructed by a sodalite crystal structure. It possesses a pore cavity of 1.16 nm that is reachable through a small pore aperture of 0.34 nm [23]. Among various ZIFs, ZIF-8 has shown a remarkable CO\textsubscript{2} separation performance [21,24,25] mainly because the aperture size of ZIF-8 is between the kinetic diameters of CO\textsubscript{2} and CH\textsubscript{4} (0.33 nm and 0.38 nm, respectively), thus enhancing the CO\textsubscript{2} diffusivity and permeability [26]. Nevertheless, pore blockage, rigidification of the polymeric chains, and particles agglomeration are the major challenges during the fabrication of MMMs [9,27,28].

Aside from MMMs, polymer blends [19,29–34] are one of the practical methods to tackle the aforementioned tradeoff relationship existing in polymeric membranes. Not only can they combine the desirable properties of different materials into the new blend with targeted performance, but they also minimize the deficiencies of the individual components. Apart from their advantages, the major challenge of polymer blends is their miscibility at molecular level [19]. For example, Sanaeepur et al. studied the gas separation performance of CA/Pebax blend membranes [32]. The membrane blended with 8 wt.% Pebax showed 29% and 59% increases in CO\textsubscript{2} permeability and CO\textsubscript{2}/N\textsubscript{2} selectivity, respectively. Recently, Akbarzadeh et al. fabricated green blend membranes of thiazole-based polyamine (PM-4) and CA for CO\textsubscript{2} separation [33]. Their optimal membrane displayed an impressive CO\textsubscript{2} permeability of up to 3000 Barrer with a CO\textsubscript{2}/CH\textsubscript{4} selectivity of around 34 obtained at a feed pressure of 3 bar and 35 °C. Lin et al. studied the effects of membrane thickness and CA blend composition of submicron films [34]. They found that the 75/25 (w/w) cellulose triacetate (CTA)/cellulose diacetate (CDA) blend film with a thickness of 1 µm had a CO\textsubscript{2} permeability of 14 Barrer, which was not only about 100% higher than the same blend membrane with a thickness of 20 µm (i.e., 14 vs. 7.1 Barrer), but also about 250% higher than the pristine CDA membrane (i.e., 14 vs. 3.9 Barrer). Their findings inspired us to employ CTA/CDA blends as the matrix materials for the fabrication of MMMs for CO\textsubscript{2}/CH\textsubscript{4} separation.

Therefore, the objectives of this work are to (1) synergistically combine the strengths of MMMs and polymer blends and (2) design a novel membrane material for CO\textsubscript{2} separation from natural gas. To our best knowledge, the noteworthy combination of CTA/CDA–amine functionalized ZIF-8 polymer blend MMMs has not yet been explored. This would be the first study on the fabrication of CTA/CDA-NH\textsubscript{2}-ZIF-8 blend MMMs and investigation of their CO\textsubscript{2}/CH\textsubscript{4} separation performance as a function of NH\textsubscript{2}-ZIF-8 loading. CTA and CDA
blends were chosen as the matrix material because they have similar chemical structures, good separation performance, and a cheap and environmentally friendly nature. NH2-ZIF-8 was used as a filler because its pore size is between the kinetic diameters of the separating gases (CO2 and CH4). Moreover, the NH2 group attached on the surface of ZIF-8 has good affinity with condensable gases like CO2. This study may provide useful insights to design next-generation CA membranes for the purification of natural gas.

2. Experiments and Methods

2.1. Materials

Cellulose triacetate (CTA) with a degree of substitution (DS) of 2.87 and cellulose diacetate (CDA) with a DS of 2.45 were provided by Eastman Chemical Company (Kingsport, TN, USA), while N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP, ≥99.5%) was bought from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Zinc nitrate (Zn(NO3)2·6H2O), 2-methylimidazole, and ammonium hydroxide (NH4OH) were purchased from Science Centre, Pakistan (Islamabad, Pakistan). Gas cylinders of CO2 (purity ≥ 99.95%) and CH4 (purity ≥ 99.95%) were supplied by Air Liquide Singapore Pte. Ltd Singapore. The chemical structures of CTA and CDA are shown in Figure 1A, B.

2.2. ZIF-8 Synthesis

A solvo-chemical method was employed to synthesize ZIF-8 nanoparticles as reported by Pan et al. [35]. In this process, Zn(NO3)2·6H2O (1.17 g) and 2-methylimidazole (22.70 g) were dissolved separately in deionized (DI) water. The prepared solutions were stirred for a few minutes prior to the mixing and were continuously stirred at room temperature for another 12 h. The resultant milky solution was centrifuged, followed by washing with DI water. Afterwards, the washed product was dried in an oven at 65 °C overnight.

2.3. Amine Modification of ZIF-8

Amine functionalization of ZIF-8 nanoparticles was carried out following the method reported by Nordin et al. [36], with some modifications. Briefly, NH4OH (28 mL) and H2O (10 mL) were slowly added to ZIF-8 (1 g) under constant stirring, followed by overnight sonication at 80 °C. The resulting mixture was centrifuged and washed multiple times with distilled water to remove any impurities before being dried in a vacuum oven at 70 °C for 12 h. The chemical structures of ZIF-8 and NH2-ZIF-8 are presented in Figure 1C, D, respectively [37].

Figure 1. Chemical structure of (A) cellulose diacetate (CDA), (B) cellulose triacetate (CTA), (C) ZIF-8, and (D) NH2-ZIF-8, Reprinted with permission from ref. [37]. Copyright 2013 Springer Nature.
2.4. Membrane Fabrication

Solution casting and solvent evaporation techniques were used to fabricate dense membranes. CDA and CTA powders were dried in a vacuum oven at 120 °C overnight to remove moisture. The blend polymer consisting of 80/20 (wt.%) CTA/CDA was dissolved in NMP followed by vigorous stirring at 40 °C overnight until the solution became homogeneous. Separately, the NH$_2$-ZIF-8 and NMP suspension was sonicated for 2 h prior to mixing with the pre-prepared polymer blend solution. After mixing, the solution was stirred for 30 min and then cast on a clean glass plate by a casting blade, followed by solvent evaporation in a conventional oven at 100 °C overnight. The dried films were peeled off from the glass plate and dried in a vacuum oven at 120 °C for at least 24 h. The loading of NH$_2$-ZIF-8 was calculated using Equation (1) and varied from 0 to 15 wt.% based on the polymer weight. For example, a 5 wt.% NH$_2$-ZIF-8 MMM consists of 95 wt.% the blend polymer (i.e., 76 wt.% CTA and 19 wt.% CDA) and 5 wt.% NH$_2$-ZIF-8.

\[
\text{Particle loading (\%)} = \frac{\text{weight of the particles}}{\text{weight of the particles} + \text{weight of the polymer}} \times 100 \tag{1}
\]

2.5. Characterizations

The crystal structure of NH$_2$-ZIF-8 nanoparticles and the corresponding MMMs were analyzed by a Bruker wide-angle X-ray diffractometer (Bruker D8 advanced diffractometer, Bruker, Tokyo, Japan) using Cu-Kα as a radiation source at a wavelength of 1.54 Å. The morphologies of the samples were examined by a field emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM, JEOL, JSM-6700LV, Tokyo, Japan). Prior to the inspection, the membranes were frozen and fractured in liquid nitrogen followed by platinum coating using a platinum (Pt) sputter coater (JEOL JFC-1300, Peabody, MA, USA). NH$_2$-ZIF-8 nanoparticles were directly glued on the surface of the sample holder before being coated. Chemical functionalities and interactions between polymers and nanofillers were confirmed by Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR, spectrum 100, Perkin Elmer, Beaconsfield, England, UK). The measurements were conducted between the wave numbers ranging from 4000 to 600 cm$^{-1}$.

Thermal stabilities of pure NH$_2$-ZIF-8 nanoparticles and MMMs were examined by a Shimadzu Thermal Analyzer (DTG-60AH/TA-60WS/FC-60A, Shimadzu corporation, Tokyo, Japan). All samples were heated at a heating rate of 10 °C/min from room temperature to 800 °C under air atmosphere.

2.6. Gas Permeation Measurements

2.6.1. Pure Gas Tests

A constant volume variable pressure method was used to record the pure gas permeation properties. Detailed experimental setup and procedures can be found elsewhere [38]. All the membranes were tested at 35 °C under the trans-membrane pressure of 5 bar. For each membrane, at least three samples were tested and the average results were reported. The gas permeability was calculated based on the rate change of the downstream pressure increase ($dp/dt$) at steady state by using Equation (2):

\[
P = \frac{273 \times 10^{10}}{760} \frac{VL}{AT(p_2 \times 76/14.7)} \frac{dp}{dt} \tag{2}
\]

where $P$ is the membrane permeability in Barrer (1 Barrer = $1 \times 10^{-10}$ cm$^3$(STP) cm/(cm$^2$ s cmHg), $L$ is the membrane thickness (cm), $V$ represents the volume of the downstream chamber (cm$^3$), $A$ is the effective membrane area (cm$^2$), $T$ signifies the operating temperature (K), and the upstream pressure is represented by $p_2$ (psi). The ideal selectivity ($\alpha_{A/B}$) of two gases (A and B) was calculated according to Equation (3)

\[
\alpha_{A/B} = \frac{P_A}{P_B} = \left(\frac{S_A}{S_B}\right) \times \left(\frac{D_A}{D_B}\right) \tag{3}
\]
where \( P_A \) and \( P_B \) represent the permeability (Barrer) of gases A and B, respectively. \( S \) and \( D \) denote the solubility and diffusion coefficients of the gas, respectively. \( S_A/S_B \) and \( D_A/D_B \) are the solubility selectivity and diffusion selectivity of the gas pair, respectively.

The sorption behaviors of the blend membrane and the optimized MMM were evaluated using a XEMIS microbalance. Detailed experimental procedures can be found elsewhere [39]. Equation (4) was used to estimate the solubility coefficient \( (S, \text{m}^3(\text{STP})/\text{cm}^3 \text{cmHg}) \) of the adsorbed gas inside the membrane at 5 bar, while the diffusivity coefficient \( (D, 10^{-8} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}) \) was calculated based upon Equation (5).

\[
S = \frac{C}{p} \\
\tag{4}
\]

\[
P = S \times D \\
\tag{5}
\]

where \( C \) represents the total adsorbed gas concentration \( (\text{cm}^3(\text{STP})/\text{cm}^3) \) and \( p \) is the feed pressure \( (\text{cmHg}) \).

### 2.6.2. Mixed Gas Tests

Mixed gas tests were carried out at 10 bar and 35 °C using a binary feed mixture of \( \text{CO}_2/\text{CH}_4 \) (50/50 \( \text{v}/\text{v} \)). The detailed experimental description can be found elsewhere [40]. The permeability for each gas was calculated using Equation (6)

\[
P_i = \frac{273 \times 10^{10}}{760} \frac{y_iV}{AT(76/14.7)(x_ip_2)} \frac{dp_1}{dt} \\
\tag{6}
\]

where \( P_i \) is the permeability of gas \( i \), \( p_2 \) represents the upstream feed gas pressure \( (\text{psia}) \), \( p_1 \) is the downstream pressure \( (\text{psia}) \) of the permeate gas, \( x_i \) is the molar fraction of gas \( i \) in the feed gas stream and \( y_i \) is the molar fraction of gas \( i \) in the permeate, \( L \) is the membrane thickness \( (\text{cm}) \), and \( V \) signifies the volume of the downstream chamber \( (\text{cm}^3) \).

### 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1. Characterizations

Figure 2 shows the weight loss profiles of the pure NH\(_2\)-ZIF-8 nanoparticles, CTA/CDA blend membrane, and fabricated MMMs as a function of temperature. The NH\(_2\)-ZIF-8 nanoparticles exhibited a gradual weight loss of around 9 wt.% from 30 to 450 °C owing to the removal of guest molecules trapped in the nanocrystals during synthesis and post treatment steps, followed by a steep decrease from 450 to 600 °C due to the framework decomposition. The findings are in good agreement with the reported thermal behavior of ZIF-8 [41,42]. The TGA thermogram of the pure CTA/CDA blend membrane revealed three weight-loss steps which are consistent with the literature [43–45]. The initial weight loss from 30 to 120 °C corresponded to the removal of volatile matters and moisture adsorbed by the membrane due to the hygroscopic nature of CA. The second major weight loss in the range of 310–400 °C symbolized the thermal degradation of the polymer followed by the third step due to the carbonization of degraded products to ash. Thermograms of MMMs also exhibited these three steps of weight loss and demonstrate good thermal stability up to 310 °C. Table 1 shows a significant improvement in decomposition temperature \( (\text{Td}) \) with an increase in NH\(_2\)-ZIF-8 loading. The improvement in Td arose from (1) good compatibility and strong interaction between the filler and the polymer matrix and (2) inherent thermal characteristics of NH\(_2\)-ZIF-8 nanoparticles. Thus, the incorporation of NH\(_2\)-ZIF-8 into the CTA/CDA blend membrane hindered the chain movement and raised the energy requirement to decompose its polymer structure. A similar observation has been widely reported in the literature [46–48].
Figure 2. TGA of pure NH₂-ZIF-8 and CTA/CDA-NH₂-ZIF-8 MMMs with different NH₂-ZIF-8 loadings.

Table 1. Comparison of pure gas separation performance and decomposition temperature (Td) of CTA/CDA-NH₂-ZIF-8 MMMs with different NH₂-ZIF-8 loadings.

| Sample Name                | Actual NH₂-ZIF-8 Loading (%) | Td (°C) | Pure Gas Permeabilities (Barrer) | CO₂/CH₄ Selectivity |
|----------------------------|------------------------------|---------|---------------------------------|---------------------|
| CTA/CDA- 0 wt.% NH₂-ZIF-8  | 0                            | 310     | 7.56 ± 0.17 0.28 ± 0.02         | 27.00               |
| CTA/CDA- 5 wt.% NH₂-ZIF-8  | 5.13                         | 320     | 8.10 ± 0.07 0.29 ± 0.03         | 27.93               |
| CTA/CDA- 10 wt.% NH₂-ZIF-8 | 10.58                        | 338     | 9.52 ± 0.05 0.31 ± 0.01         | 30.71               |
| CTA/CDA- 15 wt.% NH₂-ZIF-8 | 15.80                        | 363     | 11.33 ± 0.09 0.34 ± 0.02        | 33.32               |
| CTA/CDA- 20 wt.% NH₂-ZIF-8 | 22.37                        | 390     | 13.20 ± 0.21 0.54 ± 0.01        | 24.44               |

Figure 3 displays the XRD patterns of the pure NH₂-ZIF-8 nanoparticles, CTA/CDA blend membrane, and their MMMs as a function of NH₂-ZIF-8 loading. The two main peaks at 2θ values of 8° and 17° confirm the semi-crystalline nature of the CTA/CDA blend membrane [49]. The XRD pattern of the NH₂-ZIF-8 nanocrystals was also in good agreement with the literature [50,51]. All the diffraction patterns of CTA/CDA-NH₂-ZIF-8 MMMs possessed the characteristic peaks of both NH₂-ZIF-8 and CTA/CDA moieties, signifying the preservation of their crystalline structures in the membranes. In addition, the intensity of the characteristic peaks of NH₂-ZIF-8 improved with an increase in its loading in MMMs.

FTIR spectra of NH₂-ZIF-8 nanoparticles and all fabricated membranes are compared in Figure 4. The CTA/CDA spectrum was characterized by major peaks at 3483, 2950, and 1750 cm⁻¹ corresponding to O-H, C-H, and C=O groups, respectively [52]. In contrast, the FTIR spectrum of NH₂-ZIF-8 nanoparticles was in good agreement with the reported literature [52,53]. The amine functionalization of ZIF-8 was also confirmed by the absorption peaks at ~1309 cm⁻¹ and 690 cm⁻¹ due to the NH₂ bonding on ZIF-8 molecules [54].
In summary, the FTIR spectra reconfirmed the existence of CTA/CDA and NH₂-ZIF-8 nanoparticles in all fabricated MMMs.

**Figure 3.** XRD analyses of pure NH₂-ZIF-8 and CTA/CDA-NH₂-ZIF-8 MMMs with different NH₂-ZIF-8 loadings.

Figure 5 displays the FESEM images of the pure NH₂-ZIF-8 nanoparticles, CTA/CDA blend membrane, and their MMMs as a function of NH₂-ZIF-8 loading. The NH₂-ZIF-8 nanoparticles had a size between 50 and 80 nm. Meanwhile, they were well dispersed in the CTA/CDA matrix with no clear evidence of interfacial gaps and phase separation. This signifies the good compatibility between the NH₂-ZIF-8 nanoparticles and CTA/CDA matrix because of (1) the small size of NH₂-ZIF-8 nanoparticles and (2) the formation of hydrogen bonds between the –NH₂ groups of NH₂-ZIF-8 nanoparticles and the –OH groups of the polymer matrix [5]. The uniform dispersion of NH₂-ZIF-8 nanoparticles was also confirmed by the energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX). Figure 6 shows the mapping of Zn particles in the MMMs with variable loadings. Since the polymer matrix did not contain any Zn element, the uniform dispersion of Zn element verified the uniform dispersion of NH₂-ZIF-8 nanocrystals in the polymer matrix.

**3.2. Gas Transport Properties**

Pure gas separation performances of the CTA/CDA blend membrane and CTA/CDA-NH₂-ZIF-8 MMMs are shown in Figure 7. Table 1 tabulates the detailed results. Both CO₂ and CH₄ permeabilities exhibited noticeable increases as a function of NH₂-ZIF-8 loading. However, the CO₂/CH₄ selectivity exhibited an up and down trend. A similar trend was observed for polyimide-ZIF-8 MMMs in the literature [55]. Generally, the incorporation of nanofillers in the polymer matrix disrupts the packing of polymeric chains, which may result in additional free volume and diffusion paths for gas transport [56]. Therefore, the CTA/CDA-15 wt.% NH₂-ZIF-8 membrane had a 50% increase in CO₂ permeability from 7.56 to 11.33 Barrer and a 23% increase in CO₂/CH₄ selectivity from 27.0 to 33.3 compared to the neat CTA/CDA blend membrane. The higher selectivity may have resulted from the enhanced molecular sieving capability provided by NH₂-ZIF-8.
as its aperture size (3.4 Å) is between the kinetic diameters of CO$_2$ (3.3 Å) and CH$_4$ (3.8 Å) [26], while the larger permeability may have arisen from strong interaction among CO$_2$, imidazole linkers, and -NH$_2$ groups of NH$_2$-ZIF-8 that facilitated gas transport across the membrane [57]. However, a further increment in NH$_2$-ZIF-8 loading to 20 wt.% resulted in a lower CO$_2$/CH$_4$ selectivity of 24.4 but a higher CO$_2$ permeability of 13.2 Barrer. This is because a higher loading may generate nonselective voids. As a consequence, the CTA/CDA-15 wt.% NH$_2$-ZIF-8 blend MMM was selected for subsequent investigations.

**Figure 4.** FTIR analyses of pure NH$_2$-ZIF-8 and CTA/CDA-NH$_2$-ZIF-8 MMMs with different NH$_2$-ZIF-8 loadings.

**Figure 5.** FESEM cross-section images of (A) pure NH$_2$-ZIF-8, (B) CTA/CDA-0 wt.% NH$_2$-ZIF-8, (C) CTA/CDA-5 wt.% NH$_2$-ZIF-8, (D) CTA/CDA-10 wt.% NH$_2$-ZIF-8, (E) CTA/CDA-15 wt.% NH$_2$-ZIF-8, and (F) CTA/CDA-20 wt.% NH$_2$-ZIF-8.
3.2. Gas Transport Properties

Pure gas separation performances of the CTA/CDA blend membrane and CTA/CDA-NH₂-ZIF-8 MMMs are shown in Figure 7. Table 1 tabulates the detailed results. Both CO₂ and CH₄ permeabilities exhibited noticeable increases as a function of NH₂-ZIF-8 loading. However, the CO₂/CH₄ selectivity exhibited an up and down trend. A similar trend was observed for polyimide-ZIF-8 MMMs in the literature [55]. Generally, the incorporation of nanofillers in the polymer matrix disrupts the packing of polymeric chains, which may result in additional free volume and diffusion paths for gas transport [56]. Therefore, the CTA/CDA-15 wt.% NH₂-ZIF-8 membrane had a 50% increase in CO₂ permeability from 7.56 to 11.33 Barrer and a 23% increase in CO₂/CH₄ selectivity from 27.0 to 33.3 compared to the neat CTA/CDA blend membrane. The higher selectivity may have resulted from the enhanced molecular sieving capability provided by NH₂-ZIF-8 as its aperture size (3.4Å) is between the kinetic diameters of CO₂ (3.3Å) and CH₄ (3.8Å) [26], while the larger permeability may have arisen from strong interaction among CO₂, imidazole linkers, and -NH₂ groups of NH₂-ZIF-8 that facilitated gas transport across the membrane [57]. However, a further increment in NH₂-ZIF-8 loading to 20 wt.% resulted in a lower CO₂/CH₄ selectivity of 24.4 but a higher CO₂ permeability of 13.2 Barrer. This is because a higher loading may generate nonselective voids. As a consequence, the CTA/CDA-15 wt.% NH₂-ZIF-8 blend MMM was selected for subsequent investigations.

3.2.1. Sorption Behavior of CO₂ and CH₄

Figure 8 presents the dual-mode Langmuir–Henry sorption behavior for both CO₂ and CH₄ in the pristine polymer blend and the optimized MMM. Both membranes showed higher CO₂ adsorption than CH₄ because of the inherently higher sorption affinity between the CTA/CDA polymer and CO₂ [58]. Table 2 summarizes their permeability, solubility, diffusivity coefficients, and selectivity. As expected, the CO₂ diffusivity and solubility coefficients showed 44% and 4% increases, respectively, when 15 wt.% NH₂-ZIF-8 was
incorporated into the CTA/CDA blend matrix. Consequently, the overall improvement in gas separation performance came from two factors; namely, the additional diffusive pathways and free volume provided by NH$_2$-ZIF-8. However, comparing the percentages of their increments, one can easily conclude that the former played a more important role than the latter to enhance the molecular sieving capability of the CTA/CDA blend membrane for CO$_2$/CH$_4$ separation, as observed in the literature [59].

Figure 8. Sorption isotherms of CO$_2$ (closed symbols) and CH$_4$ (open symbols) in the pristine CTA/CDA-0 wt.% NH$_2$-ZIF-8 (solid blue line) and CTA/CDA-15 wt.% NH$_2$-ZIF-8 MMM (solid red line) as a function.

Table 2. Calculated pure gas permeabilities (Barrer), solubility coefficients ($10^{-2}$ cm$^3$ (STP)/cm$^3$cm Hg), diffusivity coefficients ($10^{-8}$ cm$^2$/s), and corresponding selectivities of CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ for CTA/CDA-0 wt.% NH$_2$-ZIF-8 and CTA/CDA-15 wt.% NH$_2$-ZIF-8.

| Sample Name                  | Pure CO$_2$  | Pure CH$_4$  | CO$_2$/CH$_4$ Selectivity |
|------------------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------------------|
|                              | P$^a$ S$^b$ D$^c$ | P$^a$ S$^b$ D$^c$ | $\alpha_P$ $\alpha_S$ $\alpha_D$ |
| CTA/CDA-0 wt.% NH$_2$-ZIF-8  | 7.56 3.47 2.18 | 0.28 1.29 0.22 | 27.00 2.69 10.04 |
| CTA/CDA-15 wt.% NH$_2$-ZIF-8 | 11.33 3.61 3.14 | 0.34 1.35 0.25 | 33.33 2.67 12.46 |

$^a$ Permeability (Barrer), $^b$ Solubility coefficients ($10^{-2}$ cm$^3$ (STP)/cm$^3$cm Hg), $^c$ Diffusivity coefficients ($10^{-8}$ cm$^2$/s).

3.2.2. Plasticization Behavior and Mixed Gas Tests

To investigate the CO$_2$-induced plasticization phenomenon, the testing pressure of CO$_2$ was intermittently ramped from 5 to 25 bar at 35 $^\circ$C. Figure 9 shows the CO$_2$-induced plasticization behavior of the pristine CTA/CDA blend membrane and the CTA/CDA-15 wt.% NH$_2$-ZIF-8 MMM. The former had a plasticization pressure of around 10.4 bar, while the latter showed a plasticization pressure of about 21.47 bar. The notable improvement in CO$_2$-induced plasticization pressure may have arisen from good compatibility and chain interactions between the polymer matrix and NH$_2$-ZIF-8 molecules. The results are in good agreement with the literature [60].
interactions between the polymer matrix and NH$_2$-ZIF-8 molecules. The results are in good agreement with the literature [60].

![Figure 9. CO₂ plasticization behavior of the pristine CTA/CDA-0 wt.% NH₂-ZIF-8 blend membrane and CTA/CDA-15 wt.% NH₂-ZIF-8 blend MMM.](image)

Table 3 compares the gas separation performance of the pristine CTA/CDA blend membrane and the optimized MMM under pure and mixed gas tests where a binary mixture of CO$_2$/CH$_4$ (50/50, v/v) was used as the mixed gas feed. Consistent with the literature, the mixed gas tests showed lower permeabilities for both CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ gases than the pure gas ones owing to the competitive diffusion and sorption between CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ molecules [61,62]. Since the percentage of permeability drop for CH$_4$ in the former was higher than that in the latter, this results in a higher CO$_2$/CH$_4$ selectivity in the mixed gas tests.

| Sample Name            | Pure Gas | Mixed Gas |          |          |          |          |
|-----------------------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
|                       | CO$_2$ (Barrer) | CH$_4$ (Barrer) | Selectivity CO$_2$/CH$_4$ | CO$_2$ (Barrer) | CH$_4$ (Barrer) | Selectivity CO$_2$/CH$_4$ |
| CTA/CDA-0 wt.% NH$_2$-ZIF-8 | 7.56     | 0.28      | 27       | 6.70     | 0.22     | 30.22    |
| CTA/CDA-15 wt.% NH$_2$-ZIF-8 | 11.33     | 0.34      | 33.32    | 9.50     | 0.23     | 41.09    |

3.3. Benchmark with the Literature

Table 4 benchmarks the pure-gas separation performance of the newly developed membranes with other CA membranes reported in the literature for CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ separation. The CTA/CDA-15 wt.% NH$_2$-ZIF-8 membrane showed higher separation perfor-
mance because its polymer matrix was made of a CTA/CDA blend that had inherently high gas separation performance and (2) it had 15 wt.% NH$_2$-ZIF-8 nanoparticles to enhance its gas diffusivity and molecular sieving characteristics.

Table 4. Comparison of pure-gas separation performance of cellulose acetate (CA)-based membranes in the literature.

| Membrane Material                      | Pres. (Bar) | Temp. (°C) | CO$_2$ (Barrer) | CH$_4$ (Barrer) | CO$_2$/CH$_4$ | Ref.    |
|----------------------------------------|-------------|------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|---------|
| CDA                                    | 11          | 35         | 3.9             | 0.11            | 35           | [62]    |
| CTA                                    | 4           | 35         | 6               | 0.3             | 20           | [49]    |
| CDA-CTA a                              | 11          | 35         | 7.1             | 0.27            | 26           | [34]    |
| CA/nanoporous layered silicate AMH-3   | 4.6         | -          | 10.36           | 0.35            | 30.03        | [63]    |
| CA/MWCNTs                              | 2           | Room temperature | 14.21       | 0.66            | 21.20        | [64]    |
| P[CA][TF2N] b                          | 1           | 25         | 8.9             | 0.4             | 22.25        | [65]    |
| CTA/[emim][BF$_4$] c                   | 4           | 35         | 12              | 0.6             | 20           | [49]    |
| CTA/CDA-0 wt.% NH$_2$-ZIF-8            | 5           | 35         | 7.56            | 0.28            | 27           | This work |
| CTA/CDA-15 wt.% NH$_2$-ZIF-8           | 5           | 35         | 11.33           | 0.34            | 33.33        | This work |

a 20-micron thickness. b poly(cellulose acetate)(bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)limide). c cellulose triacetate/1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium tetrafluoroborate([emim][BF$_4$]).

4. Conclusions

We fabricated high-performance CTA/CDA-NH$_2$-ZIF-8 MMMs for CO$_2$/CH$_4$ separation. A solvo-chemical method was employed to synthesize NH$_2$-ZIF-8 nanocrystals with a particle size of 50–80 nm. Gas separation performance of the fabricated membranes have been investigated as a function of NH$_2$-ZIF-8 loading. The following conclusions can be drawn:

1. There is a linear relationship between permeability and NH$_2$-ZIF-8 loading. However, the relationship changes to a ˆ-shape between CO$_2$/CH$_4$ selectivity and NH$_2$-ZIF-8 loading due to void formation. Thus, there is an optimum loading to fabricate CTA/CDA based MMMs with high gas separation performance.

2. The optimized MMM contained 15 wt.% of NH$_2$-ZIF-8 nanoparticles and had a CO$_2$ permeability of 11.33 Barrer which was 50% higher than the pristine CTA/CDA membrane. In addition, the former had a superior CO$_2$/CH$_4$ selectivity of 33.33 to the latter of 27 under pure gas tests.

3. The enhanced molecular sieving capability and additional free volume provided by NH$_2$-ZIF-8 nanoparticles improved the CO$_2$ diffusivity and solubility coefficients by 44% and 4%, respectively, under pure gas tests when 15 wt.% NH$_2$-ZIF-8 was incorporated into the CTA/CDA membrane.

4. The CO$_2$/CH$_4$ selectivity can be further increased to 41 under mixed gas tests due to the competitive diffusion and sorption between CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ molecules.

5. A notable improvement in CO$_2$-induced plasticization pressure from 10.4 to 21.47 bar was observed owing to the good compatibility and chain interactions between CTA/CDA and NH$_2$-ZIF-8 molecules.

Therefore, the newly fabricated polymer blend MMMs may have great potential for CO$_2$ separation in the natural gas industry.
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