Dispersal homogenizes communities via immigration even at low rates in a simplified synthetic bacterial metacommunity
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Selection and dispersal are ecological processes that have contrasting roles in the assembly of communities. Variable selection diversifies and strong dispersal homogenizes them. However, we do not know whether dispersal homogenizes communities directly via immigration or indirectly via weakening selection across habitats due to physical transfer of material, e.g., water mixing in aquatic ecosystems. Here we examine how dispersal homogenizes a simplified synthetic bacterial metacommunity, using a sequencing-independent approach based on flow cytometry and mathematical modeling. We show that dispersal homogenizes the metacommunity via immigration, not via weakening selection, and even when immigration is four times slower than growth. This finding challenges the current view that dispersal homogenizes communities only at high rates and explains why communities are homogeneous at small spatial scales. It also offers a benchmark for sequence-based studies in natural microbial communities where immigration rates can be inferred solely by using neutral models.
Environmental selection and dispersal\textsuperscript{1} are key assembly processes for microbial communities\textsuperscript{2,3} and their quantification could help us to predict how microbial communities will change in the future\textsuperscript{4}. Microbial communities tend to diversify when selection is variable, i.e., when different taxa occupy different niches along environmental gradients. Typical examples include pH gradients in soils\textsuperscript{5} or temperature gradients in the oceans\textsuperscript{6}. In contrast, communities tend to homogenize when dispersal is high\textsuperscript{7} for, example, in permeable sediments\textsuperscript{8} or at small scales in soil\textsuperscript{9}.

Disentangling the contrasting roles of selection and dispersal is particularly important for metacommunities (a set of communities that are linked by dispersal\textsuperscript{10}) in which dispersal can decrease the strength of selection. This is the case for many ecosystems in freshwater and marine habitats (i.e., oceans, lakes, rivers, and streams), the pore water in sediments of water bodies and streams, estuarine systems with deltas, etc. In such ecosystems, because dispersal for microbes is passive at macroscopic scales\textsuperscript{10,11} (albeit active dispersal like chemotaxis\textsuperscript{12} operating at microscopic scales), transfer of material is positively correlated to immigration. This can homogenize environments across a metacommunity, weakening selection. Thus dispersal could homogenize a metacommunity indirectly and only when it weakens selection (Fig. 1a). Alternatively, dispersal could homogenize a metacommunity directly via immigration of individuals and the metacommunity is homogenized when dispersal is high\textsuperscript{7}, for example, in permeable sediments\textsuperscript{8} or at small scales in soil\textsuperscript{9}.

![Fig. 1](https://example.com/fig1.png) Proposed ecological mechanisms by which dispersal can homogenize a metacommunity. a Dispersal homogenizes a metacommunity indirectly, by weakening selection across habitats via transfer of material, and only after selection is weakened. In this case, the critical dispersal rate required for homogenization ($D_c$) is high. b Dispersal homogenizes a metacommunity directly via immigration of individuals and the metacommunity is homogenized before selection is weakened. In this case, $D_c$ is lower than in scenario A and depends on the ratio between growth and immigration of individuals. In both scenarios, $D_c$ will depend on how strongly selection and dispersal are coupled.
and Supplementary Table 3). We defined these gates as “representative” and we used them to quantify the total populations of each strain in the synthetic community, correcting for overlapping of one population to the other two “representative” gates (Fig. 2b—bottom and Supplementary Tables 4-6). We monitored the growing populations with a temporal resolution of 30 min and with a high accuracy (average accuracy of 92–99.6%; Supplementary Table 7).

We then created a metacommunity of three initially identical synthetic communities that were physically connected (Fig. 2c), and we grew this metacommunity under variable selection and increasingly strong dispersal (Fig. 2d). We applied variable selection by incubating the three synthetic communities at 25, 37, and 42 °C (nominal). This creates different community dynamics at different temperatures (Fig. 2e) because the growth of the three strains depends strongly on temperature irrespectively of the
stage of growth (Supplementary Figure 4A). We monitored the first 4 h of incubation in order to capture the temperature-dependent differences in the duration of the lag phase and in the early exponential phase among the strains (Fig. 2e, Supplementary Figure 4B). We recorded significant mutualistic interactions among the three strains during this 4-h incubation at all temperatures; the growth of the strains was promoted in the mixed cultures compared to the pure cultures at all temperatures (analysis of covariance (ANCOVA), $n = 9$, 0.041 > $p$ > 3.95E-06, Supplementary Data 1). We then placed the incubation vessels within a closed circulation system where medium is flowing at a specific direction and with a constant flow via peristaltic pumps (Fig. 2c), creating a metacommunity of three connected communities. We applied increasingly strong dispersal to this metacommunity by growing it at four different circulation speeds (Fig. 2d).

**Laboratory experiments with increasing dispersal.** We next examined at which circulation speed the metacommunity was effectively homogenized by defining two metrics that are based on the Bray–Curtis (BC) similarity and that are calculated for each circulation speed: “BC within” and “BC across” (Fig. 3a). “BC within” is the mean pairwise similarity within the metacommunity and quantifies how similar the communities among the three incubation vessels are to each other (Fig. 3a, solid two-headed arrows). “BC across”, on the other hand, quantifies how much the communities change compared to when there is no dispersal (Fig. 3a, dashed two-headed arrows). Both metrics compare communities from the same time point, i.e., every 30 min, starting at the 60th min of incubation because before that communities are very similar due to lack of growth (Supplementary Figure 5). If at a given circulation speed “BC within” is significantly higher than “BC across”, we call the metacommunity homogeneous because the communities are more similar among them than when there is no dispersal.

We found that the metacommunity is homogeneous in the experiments with circulation speeds of 5, 12 and 71.5 μl s⁻¹ (Fig. 3b). At zero circulation speed, “BC within” is 46.97% and it gradually increases to 60.51, 78.26, 89.92, and 97.96% at circulation speeds of 1.75, 5, 12, and 71.5 μl s⁻¹, respectively. At the same time, “BC across” is 73.72% at a circulation speed of 1.75 μl s⁻¹ (it cannot be defined for zero dispersal) and it decreases to 59.75, 53.67, and 54.13% at circulation speeds of 5, 12, and 71.5 μl s⁻¹, respectively. The increase in the standard deviation while both indices decrease reflects the increasing differences in the compared communities with increasing incubation time (Supplementary Figure 5). The metacommunity is non-homogeneous at 1.75 μl s⁻¹ (“BC across” > “BC within”), linear mixed-effects model, $n = 63$, $t = 3.194$, two-sided $p = 0.009$) and becomes homogeneous at circulation speeds of ≥5 μl s⁻¹ (“BC within” > “BC across”, linear mixed-effects model, $n = 63$, 9.75 < $t$ < 13.37, two-sided $p < 0.001$) (Fig. 3b). This indicates that the metacommunity becomes homogeneous at a speed of 1.75 and 5 μl s⁻¹.

**Simulations with increasing dispersal.** To pinpoint exactly at which circulation speed the metacommunity becomes homogeneous, we simulated the dynamics of the metacommunity under 100 scenarios of intermediate circulation speeds using an Ordinary Differential Equation (ODE) modeling framework. We split the range of circulation speeds logarithmically, sampling more densely at low speeds, because our experimental results suggested that the transition point was between 1.75 and 5 μl s⁻¹. In our ODE framework, the 4-h incubation at each scenario is split into very small time steps where three processes occur: (1) the temperature in each community changes according to heat gain or loss (from the vessel, the ambient environment, and the transported medium), (2) the populations of each strain in each community grow depending on temperature, and (3) cells are transferred passively from community to community according to the circulation speed and the direction of the flow. We modeled the changes in temperature based on energy equilibrium (Fig. 4a), the growth of each strain with a lag phase followed by an exponential phase, with both phases depending on the strain and on temperature (Fig. 4b–d), and the transfer of cells depending on the volume of the transferred medium (for details, see Methods). Our modeling framework replicates accurately the growth dynamics of the metacommunity in the experiments with dispersal (0.93 < $R^2$ < 0.999, Supplementary Figure 6, Supplementary Table 8), and the resulting modeled communities are 84–98% similar (in terms of BC similarity) compared to the actual communities from the respective experiment.

Using the output of the ODE modeling, we calculated “BC within” and “BC across” for all the simulations with intermediate dispersal and we found that the metacommunity becomes homogeneous at a circulation speed of 3.85 μl s⁻¹ (generalized linear mixed-effects model, $n = 21$, $z = 4.46$, $p = 0.0016$; Fig. 5a). More specifically, we found that “BC within” was significantly lower than “BC across” from 0 to 2.17 μl s⁻¹ (generalized linear mixed-effects models, $n = 21$, $−2.15 ≤ z ≤ −3.54$, 0.0001 < $p$ < 0.04), indicating that at this range of circulation speed the metacommunity was still significantly heterogeneous. After that, we observed a transition zone from 2.23 to 3.55 μl s⁻¹ where “BC within” was not significantly different from “BC across” (generalized linear mixed-effects models, $n = 21$, −3.28 ≤ $z$ ≤ 3.05, 0.1 ≤ $p$ ≤ 0.23). From 3.85 μl s⁻¹ and on, “BC within” was significantly higher than “BC across” indicating that the metacommunity is homogenized (generalized linear mixed-effects models, $n = 21$, 4.46 ≤ $z$ ≤ 18.73, 0.0001 < $p$ ≤ 0.0016).
Examining how the metacommunity is homogenized. We further examined whether dispersal homogenizes the metacommunity indirectly via weakening selection or directly via immigration of cells and we found that it does so via the latter (Fig. 5b). We used the variability (coefficient of variation (CV)) in the growth rates of each strain among the incubation vessels within the metacommunity as a proxy for selection, because it expresses how differently the strains grow at the different vessels. We used the growth-over-immigration ratio to examine how fast the populations within the vessels are replaced by immigrant cells at a given circulation speed. We found that the growth-over-immigration ratio decreases fast, while the CVs of the growth rates are stable (for strain B42), increase slightly (for strain E310), or decrease slightly (for strain E111) at circulation speeds of <5 μl s⁻¹ (Fig. 5b). At the transition point of 3.85 °C (0.05 μl s⁻¹), the mean temperature difference decreased by 0.5 °C (Supplementary Figure 7), resulting in a 56% decrease in the CV of the growth rates for strain B42 (the strain with the lowest growth overall—Fig. 4b, Supplementary Figures 6, 11), no changes for strain E310, and an 11% increase for strain E111 (Fig. 5b, Supplementary Data 2). This indicates that, at the transition point, the strains are still growing very differently within each incubation vessel but immigration is sufficiently high to homogenize the metacommunity. Surprisingly, the growth-over-immigration ratio at the transition point is 4.187, which indicates that the average growth rate is more than four times higher than the average immigration rate.

To further examine the role of immigration and heat coupling in homogenizing the metacommunity, we performed simulations under two scenarios in which: (a) the vessels are thermally coupled but there is no migration of cells across vessels and (b) there is migration of cells but no thermal coupling across
vessels. We then compared the community dynamics from these simulations to the original model (Fig. 6a) at a range of circulation speeds up to 5.72 μl s⁻¹ where the metacommunity is already homogenized in reality (Figs. 3b and 5). We found that the metacommunity is homogenized very similarly to the original model without thermal coupling (Fig. 6c) but not without immigration (Fig. 6b) where “BC within” and “BC across” do not converge and the metacommunity is non-homogeneous at the examined range of circulation speeds. The transition zone in the scenario without thermal coupling is between 2.4 and 3.55 μl s⁻¹ and the community becomes homogenized again at 3.85 μl s⁻¹ (generalized linear mixed-effects model, n = 21, z = 3.83, p = 0.0129) where the growth-over-immigration ratio is 4.5.

Finally, we tested the generality of our findings by simulating the entire system two more times and we found similar results at both simulations (Supplementary Figures 8–9). In these simulations, we dissected a circulation speed gradient from 0 to 200 μl s⁻¹, and we caused dispersal to weaken selection faster by lowering ~3 times the heat-buffering capacity of the incubation vessels. We also relaxed the initial strength of selection by making dispersal. Analogous natural systems include all those habitats where bacteria can disperse passively via the flow of water; practically, this means all aquatic systems where metacommunity theory is applicable.

Discussion

In this study, we examined the roles of immigration and selection in a synthetic bacterial metacommunity that is analogous to natural ecosystems where immigration and the strength of selection are inversely coupled. Our experimental system represents the general case of a metacommunity under strong variable selection whose strength can be gradually alleviated by increasing dispersal. Analogous natural systems include all those habitats where bacteria can disperse passively via the flow of water; practically, this means all aquatic systems where metacommunity theory is applicable.

Our results show that dispersal homogenizes communities via immigration even at low rates, thus providing insights into open microbial ecology questions. Conceptually, dispersal is expected to become homogenizing only at high rates or not homogenizing at all or not homogenizing at all or not homogenizing at all or not homogenizing at all or not homogenizing at all or not homogenizing at all or not homogenizing at all or not homogenizing at all or not homogenizing at all or not homogenizing at all or not homogenizing at all or not homogenizing at all or not homogenizing at all or not homogenizing at all or not homogenizing at all or not homogenizing at al
initially present in all communities at a 1:1:1 ratio), whereas other studies focused on both compositional and structural changes. Differences in community composition are less likely to occur at small spatial scales, because at these scales the distribution of microorganisms is rarely found to be dispersal-limited \cite{10}. This can explain why homogenizing dispersal is evident at relatively small spatial scales \cite{8,9,14,21}; at these scales, differences in communities are structural rather than compositional and according to our results such communities can be homogenized at low immigration rates compared to growth.

Our findings also offer a benchmark for sequence-based studies in natural microbial communities where researchers often use models like that of Sloan et al. \cite{22} to examine where a meta-community is neutrally assembled (and therefore homogenous). Our findings suggest that a meta-community can be homogenized even when the probability that a new individual within a community arrives from the metacommunity rather than from within the community itself is less than one out of five. This corresponds to an $m$ parameter of the neutral model of Sloan et al. \cite{22} of <0.2. Thus $m$ parameter values of $\geq$0.2 in natural surveys may suggest that homogenizing dispersal is prevalent in the examined metacommunity. However, complementary approaches such as the null modeling framework of Stegen et al. \cite{8} should also be applied to such studies to support that notion.

Furthermore, our flow cytometry-based method offers a significant advantage over conventional phylogenetic screening. Our method captures changes in the absolute population densities of each community member accurately, whereas conventional phylogenetic screening \cite{14,23,24} captures changes in relative abundances \cite{25,26} (which can also be distorted \cite{27}) and requires large sampling efforts \cite{26}. Assessing $\beta$-diversity based on changes in the absolute abundances of taxa can reveal patterns that are undetectable with conventional screening \cite{28}. This is because changes in relative abundance can reveal how a taxon’s dominance within a community, but not its proliferation, changes \cite{29}. For example, in two communities consisted of three species with populations of 100, 100, 100 and 200, 200, 200 cells, respectively, the BC similarity based on relative abundances would be 100%, whereas the same metric based on absolute abundances would be 33.33%. In this example, the use of relative abundances overlooks the fact...
Learning algorithm of Rubbens et al. on any combination of used and their populations can be classified with the supervised learning algorithm of Rubbens et al. on any combination of parameters that may also include fluorescence (and thus naturally fluorescent strains).

Overall, our study is based on a simple synthetic metacommunity and mathematical modeling and thus comes with some important limitations. Even though synthetic communities and controlled in vitro experiments offer ideal systems to test ecological hypotheses, they cannot reproduce the complexity of natural systems. For example, in our study we impose selection by only one abiotic factor (temperature), whereas in nature selection is imposed by a wide range of co-occurring and interacting biotic and abiotic factors. However, in principle, the homogenization of the physicochemical parameters within a metacommunity due to the physical transfer of material (including not only carbon sources and nutrients but also bacterial predators) should relax multiple selection factors simultaneously. Another limitation of our monitoring method is the limited number of taxa in our synthetic community; more complex patterns could have emerged if we repeated the same experiment with more taxa (even though we obtained the same results by changing twice the growth characteristics of our taxa in silico). Future works that investigate more diverse synthetic communities and different kinds of abiotic and biotic selection like limiting resources or predator–prey dynamics would give further insights to the interaction between variable selection and homogenizing dispersal. In addition, in our experiments we started from a perfectly even metacommunity, but since community evenness influences community functionality, we cannot exclude that it could also affect our experimental outcome. For example, the growth of the strains at different temperatures could differ if we started from a metacommunity that was not even because of the significant interactions among the strains. Finally, our findings regarding the importance of migration and weakening of selection can be further verified experimentally using setups where migration and selection can be uncoupled. For example, selection could be isolated by circulating sterile medium so that there is no migration, and migration can be isolated by starting from communities of varying composition and evenness under identical environmental conditions. Despite its limitations, our study highlights the potential of dispersal to homogenize microbial metacommunities and paves the way for similar future studies to tackle questions that are otherwise very hard to answer in natural systems with sequence-based approaches.

**Methods**

**Isolation and identification of strains.** We isolated bacteria from three samples (~100 g each) of an arid soil at King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (22.308442 N, 39.107747 E) to find strains that form discrete populations using fluorescent-independent flow cytometry. We plated soil suspensions diluted at 10⁻² on two types of agar media, R2A soil extract® and Luria Bertani (LB) (Sigma-Aldrich), and we incubated the plates at 30 °C for a minimum of 48 h. After incubation, we picked single colonies from the plates and streaked them in new plates three consecutive times to ensure the purity of the isolated strains. We

**Fig. 6** Community dynamics under the original model and in two alternative scenarios. **a** Dynamics in the model that includes both immigration and thermal coupling. **b** Dynamics in the model that includes only thermal coupling. **c** Dynamics in the model that includes only immigration. Bray-Curtis (BC) similarity (y axis) is plotted as a function of increasing circulation speed (x axis, in logarithmic scale). Vertical dashed lines delimit the range of dispersal under which “BC within” is significantly lower than “BC across” (on the left of the left line) and vice versa (on the right of the right line; generalized linear mixed-effects models, corrected two-sided p < 0.05). Vertical bars represent one standard error (n = 21). Source data are provided as a Source Data file.
selected colonies based on both their physical characteristics and randomly. We then selected the screened isolates phylogenetically by sequencing of the full-length 16S rRNA gene by performing two PCR reactions for each isolate: one reaction using primers 27F (5’-AGAGTTTGTATCTGGCTCAG-3’) and 806R (5’-GAC TACHVGGGTATCTAA-3’) and the other one reaction using primers 515F (5’- GTGCCAGCMGCCGCGGTAA-3’) and 1492R (5’-TACGGYTACCTTGTTACG GAC-3’). The amplification conditions were as follows: 2 min initial denaturation at 95 °C followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 45 s, annealing at 54 and 52 °C for 30 s for the first and the second reaction, respectively, and elongation at 72 °C for 1 min. We performed each 20 μl reaction unit using 1 μl of native Taq DNA polymerase (Thermo-Fisher Scientific), 0.5 μl of each primer, 1x of the respective reaction buffer (0.25 mM dNTPs, and 1 μl of template DNA. We prepared the template DNA fresh by diluting colonies of each isolate into 50 μl of Tris- HCl buffer (10 mM, pH = 8) and incubating each dilution for 15 min at 95 °C. We clustered the 16S rRNA gene sequences of each isolate into operational taxonomic units (OTUs) of ≥95% of sequence similarity, broadly corresponding to different bacterial genera8, using the UCLUST40 algorithm. Finally, we identified the taxonomy of one representative strain from each OTU using the online BLAST platform and comparing against the “refseq_rRNA” database of NCBI (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blastcg).

Screening of isolates with flow cytometry. We screened liquid cultures of one representative isolate per OTU using a BD Accuri C6 flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson) to find isolates that form distinct populations on a FSC (x-axis)/SSC (y-axis) biplot. Our aim was to use isolates with distinct scattering profiles to assemble a synthetic community in which we could trace the populations of the different strains using fluorescent-independent flow cytometry.

For each representative isolate, we diluted overnight cultures, which were prepared by inoculating a single isolate colony into 5 ml of LB medium, in (filtered through a 0.2 μm syringe filter, CertiPure<sup>®</sup> from Pall) and sterilized physiological solution (NaCl 0.9% w/v) to a final dilution of 10<sup>-1</sup>–10<sup>-3</sup> depending on the strain. We analyzed aliquots of the final dilution at “Slow” acquisition speed (14 μl min<sup>-1</sup>) for 2 min. We set the threshold of recording an event at 10,000 regarding the height signal of the FSC. Using these settings we recorded at least 50,000 events for each strain, while the filtered and sterile physiological solution had negligible background noise, i.e., 10–20 events per μl that were also widely scattered (Supplementary Figure 10—left side). We plotted the events on FSC area (x-axis)/ SSC area (y-axis) biplots with the axes at a logarithmic scale. Finally, we calculated the mean values and the CV for FSC and SSC area signals of each isolate (Supplementary Table 2).

Scanning electron microscopy of bacterial cultures. We filtered pure and mixed liquid cultures of strains B42, E310, and E111 onto 0.1 μm polycarbonate Whatman filters (Nucleopore) before fixation in 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M cacodylate buffer for 72 h. After washing in 0.1 M cacodylate buffer, we post-fixed the samples in osmium tetroxide. We then rinsed the samples in sterile distilled water, washed them with an ethanol gradient (from 20% to 100%) and subjected them to critical point drying (Autosamdri-815B, Tousimis). We attached the specimens to stubs with carbon tape, coated them with a thin layer of Au/Pd using a K575X sputter coater (Quorum). We acquired the images using a Quanta 600 FEI (Thermo Scientific) scanning electron microscope at an acceleration voltage of 5 kV.

Representative populations, spillover, and growth rates. We grew the three selected strains in pure cultures to find the “representative” gates, i.e., non-overlapping two-dimensional areas in the FSC-A/SSC-A plots that contain the majority of the total recorded events of each pure culture at a given time, and the spillover ratios, i.e., the proportion of the events of a pure culture that fall in the “representative” gates of the other two pure cultures at a given time. The spillover ratios were expressed in relation to the “representative” populations. As an example, we show the FSC-A/SSC-A profiles of each pure culture at the beginning of the incubation on the upper side of Fig. 2b. The “representative” gate for the pure culture of B42 (green color, top left plot) contains 76.9% of the total recorded events, while 1.3% of the total events of the same sample fall in the gate of E310; therefore, at this time point, the spillover ratio of B42 to the gate of E310 is 0.0169. Likewise, the spillover ratio of E310 to the gates of B42 and E111 is 0.017 and 0.007, respectively (blue color, top middle plot), and the spillover ratio of E111 to the gates of B42 and E310 is 0.127 and 0.064, respectively (red color, top right plot). At least 50,000 events were recorded for the calculation of the “representative” gates and the spillover ratios, using a threshold of 10,000 regarding the height of the FSC signal.

We incubated the cultures of the three strains at 25, 37, and 42 °C for 4 h; the temperatures and duration were chosen to correspond to the temperature gradient and to the duration of the circulation experiments, respectively. We sampled, drew the “representative” gates, and calculated the spillover ratios at the beginning of the incubation and at every 30 min until the end of the fourth hour of incubation (Supplementary Tables 4–6). We used the “representative” gates and the spillover ratios of pure cultures to find the individual populations of each strain in mixed cultures. To that end, we solved the following system of equations for each time point:

\[
B42 = B42_o - E310 \times \frac{S_{E310/B42}}{S_{E111/B42}} - E111 \times \frac{S_{E111/B42}}{S_{E310/B42}} \quad (1)
\]

\[
E310 = E310_o - B42 \times \frac{S_{B42/E310}}{S_{E310/E111}} - E111 \times \frac{S_{E111/E310}}{S_{E310/E111}} \quad (2)
\]

\[
E111 = E111_o - E310 \times \frac{S_{E310/E111}}{S_{E310/E111}} \quad (3)
\]

where B42, E310, and E111 are the real “representative” populations of B42, E310, and E111, respectively, B42<sub>_o</sub>, E310<sub>_o</sub>, and E111<sub>_o</sub> the observed events in the gates of B42, E310, and E111, respectively, at the same time point, and S<sub>_a/b</sub> the spillover ratio of strain “a” to the “representative” gate of strain “b”. Since the spillover of B42 to the “representative” gate of E111 was negligible (<0.0025 at all time points for the respective tests), it was omitted from Eq. 3. Then we found the total individual populations of each strain by considering the percentage of total events of each isolate that fell within the corresponding “representative” gate at each temperature, based on the profiles of samples of pure cultures (Supplementary Table 3). To assess the accuracy of the method, we performed tests where we measured the population densities of the three strains in pure cultures and then mixed 100 μl of each pure culture and calculated the population densities in the mixed culture. We compared the expected population densities from the pure cultures to the observed ones from the mixed cultures after tripling the latter to account for the three-fold dilution due to mixing (Supplementary Table 7).

Set-up of the circulation experiment. We set up a system where LB medium was circulated among three Falcon tubes (50ml capacity) with the aid of a 3-head Masterflex® FH 100 M peristaltic pump (ThermoFisher Scientific, USA). We placed each of the Falcon tubes, henceforth called “incubation vessels”, within a Thermodimmer (Comfort model, Eppendorf, Germany) that we set at a constant nominal temperature: one at 25 °C, one at 37 °C and one at 42 °C. All incubation vessels were shaken at a constant speed of 300 rpm to facilitate homogeneous mixing. We drilled the caps of the Falcon tubes to insert plastic tubing for the entrance and exit of medium to and from the falcon. We performed four individual experiments, each one replicated three independent times, where the speed of the peristaltic pump was set at 1,75, 5, 12, and 71.5 μl min<sup>-1</sup> (~150 cells μl<sup>-1</sup> of each strain). The recorded events in the sterile LB (Supplementary Figure 10—right side) were deducted from the total counts for each gate prior to any downstream calculation. We incubated the selected strains at 25, 37, and 42 °C (corresponding to the temperature gradient of the experiment), and we calculated the population densities in each community at every 30 min for 4 h of incubation.

To examine potential interactions among strains, we compared the growth rates of the mixed cultures versus the growth rates in monocultures at 25, 37, and 42 °C (Supplementary Data 1). For that, we grew the strains in monocultures as described above, starting both from population densities comparable to the total starting population densities in the mixed growth assays and from population densities comparable to the per strain starting population densities in the mixed growth assays.

Experimental data acquisition and analysis. We analyzed the culture samples during the experiments with a BD Accuri C6 flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson) using the “low” acquisition speed, i.e., 14 μl min<sup>-1</sup> and, for 90 s per sample, recording events above a 10,000 threshold at the FSC-H. This flow cytometer comes with standard factory settings for the voltage of the lasers that cannot be changed by the user. We gated the individual populations of the three strains based on the scattering profiles of the pure cultures, also considering the shifts in the populations through time (Supplementary Movies 1–3) and correcting for spillover for all the respective tests. We measured the instrument variability, we measured 6 different mixed culture samples 12 times each and we found that the average CV in the mean values of the counts in each gate was 3.75%. To test whether our
sampling in “Slow” speed setting yields significantly different results compared to the minimum recommended settings according to the instructions of the instrument manufacturer. Therefore, we performed additional measurements of all the three pure cultures in both settings. We used overnight cultures, we made the appropriate dilutions, and we split the diluted cultures in six subsamples of 1 ml per strain to create as identical samples as possible. We placed all the subsamples on ice and we measured three of them, per strain, with “Slow” settings and three of them, per strain, with the “maximum recommended” settings. We drew new gates for the subsamples at “minimum recommended” settings because the increase in the flow speed compared to “Slow” settings causes the events to shift slightly upwards in the FSC-A/SSC-A biplots and the populations of the different strains to be resolved less well (Supplementary Figure 11). We sampled volumetrically 20 μl per sample with both settings. We found that there were no significant differences between the recorded events at the different settings (t tests, p > 0.05 at all cases), with the counts using the “minimum recommended” settings being consistently 3-5% lower on average than the counts using the “Slow” settings. This difference is, however, indistinguishable from the recorded background noise of the instrument (CV = 3.72%).

Modeling changes in temperature at intermediate dispersal. To model how temperature changes in scenarios with dispersal, we started from the energy conservation principle, which dictates that the change in energy in each tube, ΔE_{tube} is equal to the sum of the energy transferred from the thermomixer, E_{thermomixer}, and the energy of the LB medium being pumped in and out, E_{pumped in/out}. To this end, we use the following energy balance equation for each of three tubes:

\[ E_{thermomixer} + E_{pumped in/out} = \Delta E_{tube} \]  

(4)

When the experimental time course t advances by a small time step dt, we obtain the following expression for \( E_{thermomixer} \):

\[ E_{thermomixer} = h \cdot A \cdot \left( T_{thermomixer} - T(t) \right) \cdot dt \]  

(5)

In Eq. 5, A represents the heat transfer rate, C the contact area, T_{thermomixer} the temperature on the walls of the thermomixer (kept constant), and T(t) the time-dependent temperature of the growth media inside the tube. For \( E_{pumped in/out} \) we obtain:

\[ E_{pumped in/out} = m \cdot C_p \cdot M_{time,pumped} \cdot (T_{in} - T_{out}) \]  

(6)

Here m denotes the mass of the growth media, C_p its heat capacity (we used the heat capacity of water), and M_{time,pumped} is the mass fraction circulation speed. Finally, the change of energy in the tube can be written in terms of the temperature in the tube:

\[ \Delta E_{tube} = m \cdot C_p \cdot \frac{dT(t)}{dt} \]  

(7)

where \( dT(t) \) represents the change in temperature resulting from the energy transfer.

In order to measure the heat transfer rate, we set the thermomixer temperature to 42 °C and the initial temperature of the growth media to the ambient temperature of the laboratory (25 °C). Moreover, we took into account the heat loss in the tubing connecting the incubation vessels. The complete experimental set-up is visually depicted in Fig. 2c, where each colored flask represents a thermomixer set at nominal temperatures of 25, 37, and 42 °C (yellow, light orange, and orange flasks, respectively), gray lines represent the tubing connecting the incubation vessels, and triangles within circles represent peristaltic pumps and the direction of the flow. We obtained the necessary additional parameters by measuring the length and the diameter of the tubing and the laboratory’s ambient temperature. The heat transfer rates were 342.6975 and 82.3414 W m⁻¹ K⁻¹ for the thermomixers and the tubing, respectively. Solving the above equations gives the time-dependent temperatures (T(t)) for the three of each tubes. Our modeling reproduces the temperatures that we observed at the end of the actual experiments with dispersal with a mean error of 0.267 °C (Supplementary Table 9).

Modeling growth at intermediate circulation speeds. We modeled the growth of each strain during each lag phase as an exponential phase, with both phases depending on the strain and on temperature. To quantify the lag phase and the exponential growth phase for each of the strains at a given temperature, we use the following formulas:

\[ n(t) = n_0 \text{ for } t \leq t_0 \]  

(8)

\[ n(t) = n_0 \cdot e^{\mu_{exp} \cdot (t - t_0)} \text{ for } t > t_0 \]  

(9)

where \( n(t) \) is the population density at time t, \( n_0 \) is the initial population density, \( t_0 \) is the length of the lag phase, and \( \mu_{exp} \) is the constant exponential growth rate. We next grew the synthetic communities at nominal temperatures of 25, 28, 34, 37, 40, and 42 °C without dispersal for 4 h (with the same starting population densities as in the experiments with dispersal and in three different falcon tubes—biological replicates—at each temperature) and we fit the observed population densities to the formulas by minimizing the SSE (Sum of squared errors) to obtain \( \mu_{exp} \) and \( t_{growth} \). Our predicted population densities were in good agreement with the observed ones (0.964 < R² < 1, Supplementary Figure 12, Supplementary Table 10). The population density \( n(t) \) satisfies the equation:

\[ \frac{dn(t)}{dt} = r(t) \cdot n \]  

(10)

where \( r(t) \) is the growth rate, i.e., \( r(t) = 0 \) during the lag phase and equal to \( \mu_{exp} \) during the exponential phase.

To find the growth at the intermediate temperatures across the 25–40 °C temperature gradient that corresponds to the minimum and maximum observed temperatures during the experiments, we interpolated the calculated growth rates that we acquired from the growth assays of the mixed cultures without dispersal (i.e., at 25, 28, 34, 37, 40, and 42 °C—nominal temperature) using Piecewise Cubic Hermite Interpolating Polynomial (pchip). We used the growth rates of the mixed cultures because they include the interactions among the strains. We observed that strain E42 had an overall short lag phase (<30 min, Supplementary Figure 12) and a growth profile with two local maxima (at 31 and 36.5 °C (Fig. 4b), that strain E310 had a longer lag phase than strain B42 (~60 min) and one global maximum (at 40 °C) (Fig. 4c), and that strain E111 had the longest lag phase (~120 min) and the sharpest growth maximum (at 37 °C) among the three (Fig. 4d).

For the scenarios with dispersal, we used the temperature model above to obtain the changes in the bacterial communities growing without dispersal at the temperatures according to the direction of the flow (Fig. 2c) and the circulation speed. Additionally, we subtracted a penalty term from the population density to account for the observed stress of the community due to dispersal (Supplementary Figure 6). We obtained the penalty term from the data as the best fitting parameter to the experimental data at the different circulation speeds. This penalty manifested both as an increase in \( t_{growth} \) and as a decrease in \( r_{growth} \) and was non-linear with increasing dispersal and strain-specific. For strain B42, the penalty affected mostly \( t_{growth} \) and was up to ~32% of \( r_{growth} \) and up to +7.2 min for \( t_{growth} \). For strain E310, the penalty affected both \( r_{growth} \) and \( t_{growth} \) and was up to ~40.5% of \( r_{growth} \) and up to 31 min for \( t_{growth} \). For strain E111, the penalty affected mostly \( t_{growth} \) and was up to ~9% of \( r_{growth} \) and up to +5.3 min for \( t_{growth} \).

For each strain, the dynamics at each vessel and each time point are modeled by:

\[ n_{str}(t)/dt = r_x \cdot (T(t), t) \cdot n_x + \text{disp}_{speed} \cdot (n_x - n_{str}) \]  

(11)

\[ n_{rst}(t)/dt = r_x \cdot (T(t), t) \cdot n_{rst} + \text{disp}_{speed} \cdot (n_{rst} - n_x) \]  

(12)

\[ n_{bc}(t)/dt = r_x \cdot (T(t), t) \cdot n_{bc} + \text{disp}_{speed} \cdot (n_{bc} - n_x) \]  

(13)

where \( n_x(t), n_{rst}(t), \) and \( n_{bc}(t) \) are the population densities at time t in the vessel with initial nominal temperature of 25, 37, and 42 °C, respectively, \( r(T(t)) \) is the interpolated growth rate with penalty subtracted at temperature \( T(t) \) and time \( t \), and \( \text{disp}_{speed} \) is the circulation speed. The second part of the equations gives the immigration (I) at each time point.

Quantifying the homogeneity of the metacommunity. To examine whether the metacommunity is homogenized at a given circulation speed (in the actual experiments and in the modeled scenarios of intermediate dispersal), we used the BC similarity. In specific, we compared for each dispersal rate the average pairwise BC within the metacommunity (“BC within”) to the average pairwise BC among communities growing under a given circulation speed compared to the respective communities growing without dispersal at the same temperature (“BC across”) (Fig. 3a).

For a given dispersal rate, “BC within” quantifies how similar the communities are within the metacommunity and “BC across” quantifies how much they change compared to when there is no dispersal. When the metacommunity is non-homogeneous, “BC across” should be significantly higher than “BC within”, indicating that the communities did not change much compared to the zero dispersal conditions, whereas when the metacommunity is homogeneous “BC across” should be significantly lower than “BC within”.

To calculate the BC of “BC within” and “BC across”, we compared sample pairs from the same time point starting after the first hour of incubation. This was to avoid the artificial inflation of the BC indices; the populations of the three strains within the first hour of incubation are very close to their starting populations, irrespectively of the temperature (Supplementary Figure 12) or the circulation speed (Supplementary Figure 6) so the communities are always very similar during that time. Thus we calculated “BC within” and “BC across” based on 63 pairwise comparisons for the experimental data, i.e., three pairwise comparisons at seven time points for three independent replicate experiments per circulation speed, and based on 21 pairwise comparisons for the modeling data (three pairwise comparisons at seven time points). For both indices, the standard deviation increases as the mean similarity decreases (Fig. 5a, Supplementary Figures 8–9) because the communities become increasingly dissimilar within the metacommunity (for “BC within”) and compared to when there is no dispersal (for “BC across”) with increasing incubation time (Supplementary Figure 5).

Communicating from independent replicate experiments sampled at the same circulation speed, vessel, and time point were 96.2% (90.7–98.8%) similar on average (in terms of BC similarity).
Calculating the strength of selection and immigration. To examine the relative contribution of immigration and selection at the 100 scenarios with intermediate circulation speed, we computed the CV of the growth rates of each strain in different vessels, the average growth-over-immigration ratio in the metacommunity, and mean temperature difference among the vessels. For each circulation speed, we calculated the growth-over-immigration ratio at 10-min intervals for the whole period of 4 h before averaging. We calculated the CV of the growth rates of each strain and the mean temperature difference after the first 20 min of incubation when the temperature was stabilized (Fig. 4a). Finally, we examined the relative importance of immigration and selection by examining how the growth-over-immigration ratio, the CV of the growth rates of each strain, and the mean temperature difference change at the scenarios with intermediate circulation speeds.

Additional simulations. To further examine the importance of immigration and selection, we performed additional simulations under two scenarios where we excluded either the transfer of cells or the transfer of heat from vessel to vessel. Thus, in the scenario where the transfer of cells was excluded, the temperature of the vessels changed as in reality, according to the circulation speed (Fig. 4a). Likewise, in the scenario where the transfer of heat was excluded, the temperature of the vessels remained constant and cells were migrating according to the circulation speed. We examined the homogeneity of the metacommunity under both scenarios up to a circulation speed of 5.72 μL s⁻¹.

To further test the generality of our findings, we performed another two simulations where the dynamics of heat transfer and the growth of the strains were different compared to the actual experiment. In these simulations, we set the heat transfer rate from the thermodrivers to the incubation vessels ~3 times lower than in the actual experiment. This caused the temperatures among the vessels to converge fast due to mixing for dispersal rates >4 μL s⁻¹ (Supplementary Figures 8A and 9A, upper left), because the thermodrivers were not heating/cooling as fast as in the actual experiments. We further changed the range of circulation speed from 0 to 200 μL s⁻¹ (rather than from 0 to 71.5 μL s⁻¹ in the actual experiment). We also changed the growth profiles of the strains compared to what we observed in the actual experiment. In the first simulation, we gave a single global maximum to the growth of strain B42 at 45–35.5 °C, to the growth of strain E310 at 40 °C, and to the growth of strain E111 at 37 °C (Supplementary Figure 8A). We set the maximum of B42 higher than the maxima of the other two strains, followed by E310 and E111 (Supplementary Figure 8A). In the second simulation, we set the growth of strain B42 higher than the other two strains at a temperature range of 25–37 °C and the growth of strain E310 higher than that of E111 at temperatures with a local maximum at 37.5 °C (Supplementary Figure 9A). Unlike the main model, here we applied a non-specific uniform penalty only to the exponential part of the growth of the strains. This penalty increased linearly with increasing dispersal at both simulations.

Statistics. For the comparison of "BC within" to "BC across", we used linear mixed-effects models regarding the experimental data and generalized mixed-effects models regarding the modeling data because the latter were not normally distributed (Anderson–Darling tests, two-sided p > 0.1 for all datasets). We set the BC to be the dependent variable "across/within" and "dispersal" to be the fixed effects and "time" and "vessel" to be the random effects. We corrected the p values for multiple testing using the Bonferroni correction. The intercepts (random effects) were always very significant and there was no apparent autocorrelation of the residuals (Supplementary Figure 13). We performed the models in R⁴¹ using the package lme4⁴². We performed the non-metric multidimensional (nMDS) analysis (for Supplementary Figure 5) in PRIMER v6⁴³ based on the BC similarity. We calculated the BC similarity for all analyses using the raw population densities (cells μL⁻¹) without any transformation, because these densities represent absolute abundance counts in communities where sampling is random, large, and fully covering the diversity. The large number of recorded events per sample, according to the Central Limit Theorem, allows us to safely estimate the mean population densities at a given time within an incubation vessel. That was also confirmed from the CV among the three technical replicates from each incubation vessel in the experiments with dispersal, which did not differ significantly from the expected variability caused by the instrument (F-test, n = 108, two-sided p = 0.45, compared against the instrument’s CV of 3.75%). To examine potential interactions among the strains, we compared their growth rates in monocultures versus in mixed cultures at 25, 37, and 42 °C using ANCOVA. In this analysis, we set the experimental time as the covariate and the log-transformed cell density as the dependent variable. We also showed in the null hypothesis that the growth rates between monocultures and mixed cultures are equal.

Reporting summary. Further information on experimental design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Data availability
Flow cytometric data are available in .fcs format online (http://flowrepository.org) under the "FR-FCM-ZYG6" and "FR-FCM-ZYTD" identifiers. The 16S rRNA gene sequences of the representative isolates have been deposited in the GenBank database under accession numbers MH998420–MH998449. Modeling code is available on GitHub (https://github.com/alexanderlorz/dispersal_vs_selection). The source data underlying Figs. 5d, e, 30a, and 6b, c are provided as a Source Data file.
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