Comparison of the Differential Diagnostic Performance of Intravoxel Incoherent Motion Imaging and Diffusion Kurtosis Imaging in Malignant and Benign Thyroid Nodules
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Objective: This study aimed to compare the diagnostic capacity between IVIM and DKI in differentiating malignant from benign thyroid nodules.

Material and Methods: This study is based on magnetic resonance imaging data of the thyroid with histopathology as the reference standard. Spearman analysis was used to assess the relationship of IVIM-derived parameters D, f, D* and the DKI-derived parameters Dapp and Kapp. The parameters of IVIM and DKI were compared between the malignant and benign groups. Binary logistic regression analysis was performed to establish the diagnostic model, and receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was subsequently performed. The DeLong test was used to compare the diagnostic effectiveness of different prediction models. Spearman analysis was used to assess the relationship of Ki-67 expression and parameters of IVIM and DKI.

Results: Among the 93 nodules, 46 nodules were malignant, and 47 nodules were benign. The Dapp of DKI-derived parameter was related to the D (P < 0.001, r = 0.863) of IVIM-derived parameter. The Kapp of DKI-derived parameter was related to the D (P < 0.001, r = -0.831) of IVIM-derived parameters. The malignant group had a significantly lower D value (P < 0.001) and f value (P = 0.013) than the benign group. The malignant group had significantly higher Kapp and lower Dapp values (all P < 0.001). The D+f had an area under the curve (AUC) of 0.951. The Dapp+Kapp had an AUC of 0.943. The D+f+Dapp+Kapp had an AUC of 0.954. The DeLong test showed no statistical significance among there prediction models. The D (P = 0.007) of IVIM-derived parameters and Dapp (P = 0.045) of DKI-derived parameter were correlated to the Ki-67 expression.
INTRODUCTION

Thyroid nodules are common in adults. It was reported that incidental thyroid nodules are found on 20% to 67% of ultrasound examinations, up to 25% of contrast-enhanced thoracic computed tomography scans, 16% to 18% of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scans, and 1% to 2.3% of positron emission tomography scans (1). Due to avoiding unnecessary surgery, effective diagnostic methods that can provide reliable differentiation between malignant and benign thyroid nodules are urgently needed.

Ultrasound is widely used to detect thyroid nodules and in guidelines for biopsy and clinical therapy, and ultrasound-guided fine needle aspiration biopsy is valuable for the diagnosis of thyroid nodules. Nevertheless, up to 30% of fine needle aspiration biopsies show indeterminate cytology because of the finiteness of the puncture tissue (2). Computed tomography has been used to depict the relationship of the nodule with surrounding structure and lymph node metastasis. However, it is difficult to distinguish between benign and malignant thyroid nodules and poses radiation hazards (3). With the development of MRI techniques, diffusion weighted imaging (DWI) has become a promising modality for thyroid examination in recent years.

Intravoxel incoherent motion (IVIM) and diffusion kurtosis imaging (DKI), as diffusion derivative technology, have shown tremendous clinical potential in thyroid nodules (4–7). IVIM can separate the incoherent motion of water molecules within capillaries from extravascular molecular diffusion (8). IVIM theory could resolve pure diffusion coefficient (D), and perfusion related incoherent microcirculation (D*), separately, while also identifying the microvascular volume fraction (f). DKI can provide a more accurate model of diffusion and capture the non-Gaussian diffusion parameters for tissue heterogeneity (9). DKI theory could resolve the non-Gaussian diffusion coefficient (D_app), and the apparent kurtosis coefficient (K_app). Past study showed IVIM and DKI provide a more comprehensive description of tissue properties compared to DWI in thyroid (4, 5). However, the differential diagnostic capacity of IVIM and DKI remains to be revealed in patients with thyroid nodules.

Diffusion parameters were considered to reflect the cell size and density, extracellular space and intracellular architecture, which limits the cellular movement of water. Ki-67 is a cell proliferation protein that was related to cell density and extracellular space (10). It is unknown about the relationship between Ki-67 expression and the parameters of IVIM and DKI in thyroid papillary carcinoma.

Conclusions: IVIM and DKI were alternative for each other in in differentiating malignant from benign thyroid nodules.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patient Collection and Thyroid Nodule Selection

This prospective study was approved by the local institutional review board (IRB No. CZLS2021207-A), and written informed consent was obtained from each patient. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013). From July 2020 and February 2021, 86 consecutive patients were recruited and underwent thyroid MRI examinations in this study.

The inclusion criterion was as follows: a) no contraindications for MRI examination; b) thyroid nodule excision was planned; c) patients had not needle biopsy or therapy before MRI scan; d) only the biggest nodule was selected when there were more than two nodules in one lobule. The exclusion criterion was as follows: a) IVIM or DKI had worse image quality; b) the nodules were cystic.

Sample Size

There are no generally accepted approaches to estimate the sample size requirements for derivation and validation studies of prediction models, however, we ensured that the study met suggested requirements of having at least 10 events per candidate variable for the derivation of a model and at least 100 events for validation studies.

Examination Method

MRI was performed on a 3.0 T whole body MRI system (SOMATOM Prisma, Siemens Healthineers, Forchheim, Germany) using a third-party 16 channel surface coil (Zhongzhi Medical, Jiangsu, China). The MRI protocol mainly included coronal fast dixion, axial T1 weighted imaging with fat suppression, axial T2 weighted imaging with fat suppression, axial IVIM and DKI. IVIM and DKI were performed using the ZOOMit technique with selective excitation based on parallel radio frequency pulses. The detailed protocol parameters are shown in Table 1.

Image Analysis

All IVIM and DKI images were transferred to a workstation (syngo. via Frontier, Siemens Healthineers, Germany) for analysis. IVIM and DKI parameters were measured by observer 1 (LJ, with six years of
TABLE 1 | Imaging parameters of IVIM and DKI.

| Parameter          | IVIM                  | DKI                   |
|--------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| TR                 | 4200 ms               | 4600 ms               |
| TE                 | 72 ms                 | 72 ms                 |
| FOV                | 160 × 58 mm²          | 160 × 58 mm²          |
| Average b-v value  | 0, 50, 100, 200, 400, 600, 800 (×10⁻³ s/mm²) | 0, 500, 1000, 1500 (×10⁻³ s/mm²) |
| Matrix size        | 36 × 110              | 36 × 110              |
| Intersection gap   | 0.3 mm                | 0.3 mm                |
| Examination time   | 329 s                 | 273 s                 |

IVIM, intravoxel incoherent motion imaging; DKI, diffusion kurtosis imaging; TR, time repetition; TE, time echo; FOV, field of view.

experience in thyroid imaging). The IVIM and DKI parameters of the first 75 nodules were assigned by Observer 2 (JC, with two years of experience in thyroid imaging). The observers were blind to the surgical pathological results. The measurement was repeated one month later by observer 2. Regions of interest (ROI) was freehand drawn along the nodule margin in the largest slice to obtain relevant parameters. ROIs of IVIM and DKI were in the same slice, avoiding cystic, vessel and hemorrhage. The parameters derived from the IVIM were obtained through the previously reported fitting equation: \( S_0/S_b = (1 - f) \exp(-bD) + f \exp(-bD^*) \) (11). The following parameters were calculated: D, D* and f. The parameters derived from the DKI were obtained through the previously reported fitting equation: \( S_b = S_0 \exp(-bD_{app}) + b^2D^2_{app}K_{app}/6 \) (12). The following parameters were calculated: \( K_{app} \) and \( D_{app} \). The mean value was recorded for each ROI.

Histopathologic Examination

According to the established convention, histopathologic examination was used as reference standards. Tissue samples of each nodule were obtained from operation. Surgically resected nodules were subjected to intra-operative frozen section analysis for preliminary risk assessment. The final diagnosis was based on postoperative paraffin section pathological examinations. In the event of suspicious malignant samples or atypical samples, immunohistochemical staining was applied to differentiate benign and malignant nodules. All diagnoses were determined by experienced pathologist. According to the pathological results, the nodules were assigned to either the benign or malignant groups.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 25.0, GraphPad Prism 7.0, and R version 4.0.1. The results were considered to be statistically significant at P < 0.05. The interclass correlation coefficient (ICC) was used to test the interobserver reliability and intraobserver reliability. The ICC was interpreted as follows: 0.00–0.20, poor correlation; 0.21–0.40, fair correlation; 0.41–0.60, moderate correlation; 0.61–0.80, good correlation; and 0.81–1.00, excellent correlation. The Kolmogorov–Smirnov test was performed to analyze normality. According to the results of the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test, Pearson analysis or Spearman correlation was used to assess the relationship of Ki-67 expression and parameters of IVIM and DKI in thyroid papillary carcinoma.

RESULTS

Clinical Data

Of the 86 patients, 7 patients were excluded (2 patients with worse image quality and 5 patients with cystic nodules). Ultimately, among 79 patients with 93 nodules, 59 were female and 20 was males, with ages ranging from 21 to 77 years old. In the malignant group, 26 were female and 14 was males, with ages ranging from 21 to 67 years old. In the benign group, 33 were female and 6 was male, with ages ranging from 21 to 77 years old. There were 46 malignant nodules (44 papillary carcinoma, 1 follicular carcinoma, 1 medullary carcinoma), and 47 benign nodules (24 adenomas, 19 nodular goiters, 2 goiters with adenomatous hyperplasia, 1 subacute thyroiditis, 1 granulomatous inflammation). In the sample, 31 patients with papillary carcinoma had the results of Ki-67.

Relationship of Parameters of IVIM and DKI

The reproducibility of the two ROIs for measuring thyroid nodules with IVIM and DKI parameters is summarized in Table 2, with good ICC values. The \( D_{app} \) of DKI-derived parameter was correlated to the D (P < 0.001, r = 863) of IVIM-derived parameter (Table 3; Figure 1). The \( K_{app} \) of DKI-derived parameter was correlated to the D (P < 0.001, r = -0.831) of IVIM-derived parameters (Table 3, Figure 1).

Comparison of IVIM and DKI Parameters Between the Malignant and Benign Groups

The malignant group had a significantly lower D value (P < 0.001) and f value (P = 0.013) than the benign group (Table 4). The \( D^* \) value was not significantly different between malignant
and benign nodules ($P = 0.666$) (Table 4). The malignant group had a significantly higher $K_{app}$ ($P < 0.001$) and lower $D_{app}$ ($P < 0.001$) (Table 4). The color maps of IVIM- and DKI-derived parameters were shown in Figures 2, 3.

**DISCUSSION**

It is necessary to differentiate malignant from benign lesions when detecting thyroid nodules using imaging methods. In this study, the DKI-derived parameters were related to the IVIM-derived parameters. The malignant and benign nodules exhibited significantly different $D$, $f$, $D_{app}$ and $K_{app}$ values. Moreover, these parameters of IVIM and DKI had comparable differential
diagnostic ability for thyroid nodules. Combination IVIM with DKI cannot improve diagnostic performance. In addition, both DKI-derived parameters and IVIM-derived parameters were related with Ki-67 expression.

IVIM is a noninvasive technique that shows superiority in reflecting tumor cellularity and perfusion without the need for contrast agents. It has already been applied in the differentiation of lung nodules (13), thyroid nodules (14), prostate (15) and brain tumors (16) with good diagnostic performance. In our study, malignant nodules demonstrated lower D values than did benign nodules, which is consistent with previous studies (14, 17). The D value can precisely reflect the true diffusion without the influence of perfusion-related diffusion, which can be calculated with the data from b-values higher than 200 mm$^2$/s using a

| TABLE 4 | Quantification parameters of IVIM and DKI for the benign and malignant groups. |
|------------------|------------------|------------------|
|                  | Benign group (n = 47) | Malignant group (n = 46) | P       |
| D (x10^{-3} mm^2/s) | 1.71 ± 0.48       | 0.92 ± 0.22       | < 0.001 |
| D* (x10^{-3} mm^2/s) | 16.56 ± 4.76      | 16.08 ± 5.92      | 0.666   |
| f (%)              | 18.37 ± 0.83      | 14.54 ± 0.60      | 0.013   |
| D_{app} (x10^{-3} mm^2/s) | 2.37 ± 0.46     | 1.48 ± 0.27       | < 0.001 |
| K_{app}            | 0.65 ± 0.26       | 1.07 ± 0.22       | < 0.001 |

IVIM, intravoxel incoherent motion imaging; DKI, diffusion kurtosis imaging; D, true diffusion coefficient; D*, pseudodiffusion coefficient; f, perfusion fraction; D_{app}, apparent diffusion coefficient derived from DKI; K_{app}, apparent diffusion kurtosis coefficient.

**FIGURE 2** Images of a 36-year-old female with isthmus papillary carcinoma: (A) axial diffusion image with b = 0; (B) color map of D, D value of lesion is $0.93 \times 10^{-3}$ mm$^2$/s; (C) color map of D*, D* value of lesion is $16.04 \times 10^{-3}$ mm$^2$/s; (D) color map of f, f value of lesion is 14.21%; (E) color map of D_{app}, D_{app} value of lesion is $1.53 \times 10^{-3}$ mm$^2$/s; (F) color map of K_{app}, K_{app} value of lesion is 1.05.
monoexponential model (18). In addition, malignant nodules demonstrated a significantly lower f value than did benign nodules, but the f value had undesirable differential diagnostic capacity. The f value mainly reflects pseudodiffusion. When the b-value is lower than 200 mm$^2$/s, the data are fitted to a biexponential model to acquire the f value (19). The ZOOMit technique restricts the b-value to multiples of 50. Therefore, the b-value cannot be set to 10 and 90 mm$^2$/s, resulting in the worse

![Images of thyroid nodules with diffusion maps](image_url)

**FIGURE 3** | Images of a 28-year-old male with left lobe follicular adenoma: (A) axial diffusion image with $b = 0$; (B) color map of D, D value of lesion is $1.79 \times 10^{-3}$ mm$^2$/s; (C) color map of $D^*$, $D^*$ value of lesion is $15.74 \times 10^{-3}$ mm$^2$/s; (D) color map of f, f value of lesion is 18.35%; (E) color map of $D_{app}$, $D_{app}$ value of lesion is $2.06 \times 10^{-3}$ mm$^2$/s; (F) color map of $K_{app}$, $K_{app}$ value of lesion is 0.50.

**TABLE 5** | The diagnostic performance of the parameters of IVIM and DKI.

|                | True positive | False positive | Sensitivity | Specificity | AUC  |
|----------------|---------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|------|
| D              | 91.30%        | 8.70%          | 87.23%      | 93.48%      | 0.928|
| f              | 63.04%        | 36.96%         | 73.91%      | 53.57%      | 0.637|
| $D + f$        | 89.13%        | 10.87%         | 87.23%      | 93.62%      | 0.954|
| $D_{app}$      | 91.30%        | 8.70%          | 91.49%      | 91.30%      | 0.943|
| $K_{app}$      | 86.96%        | 13.04%         | 91.49%      | 82.98%      | 0.921|
| $D_{app} + K_{app}$ | 89.13%   | 10.87%         | 93.62%      | 86.96%      | 0.943|
| $D + f + D_{app} + K_{app}$ | 89.13% | 10.87%         | 93.62%      | 86.96%      | 0.954|

IVIM, intravoxel incoherent motion imaging; DKI, diffusion kurtosis imaging; AUC, area under the curve; D, true diffusion coefficient; f, perfusion fraction; $D_{app}$, apparent diffusion coefficient derived from DKI; $K_{app}$, apparent diffusion kurtosis coefficient.
differentiation performance. In this study, the $D^*$ value was not significantly different between benign and malignant nodules. $D^*$ reflects the contribution of perfusion to signal attenuation of the diffusion image (20). It has been reported that the $D^*$ value may be unreliable in the IVIM model for differential diagnosis and has poor measurement reproducibility (21).

DKI is a non-Gaussian diffusion weighted analysis method. The diffusion of water molecules in the microenvironment deviates from the mono-exponential Gaussian model at high b-values, leading to inaccurate fitting and poor calculation of the diffusion coefficient. Considering the above factors, a DKI model was developed and showed good diagnostic performance for prostate cancer (22), hepatocellular carcinoma (23), and glioma (24). It has been reported that DKI-derived parameters demonstrated an advantage compared to conventional DWI for thyroid lesion diagnosis (4). Certainly, in this study, there were significant differences in $D_{app}$ and $K_{app}$ values between the benign and malignant nodules, consistent with a previous study.

| TABLE 6 | Comparison of the diagnostic performance between IVIM and DKI for differential diagnosis by DeLong test. |
| $D^f$ VS $D_{app}+K_{app}$ | 0.623 |
| $D_{app}+K_{app}$ VS $D^f$ | 0.736 |
| $D_{app}+K_{app}+D^f$ VS $D^f$ | 0.325 |

IVIM, intravoxel incoherent motion imaging; DKI, diffusion kurtosis imaging; $D$, true diffusion coefficient; $f$, perfusion fraction; $D_{app}$, apparent diffusion coefficient derived from DKI; $K_{app}$, apparent diffusion kurtosis coefficient.

| TABLE 7 | The correlation analysis between Ki-67 and quantification parameters of IVIM and DKI in thyroid papillary carcinoma. |
| $D$ | -0.475 | 0.007 |
| $D^*$ | 0.042 | 0.824 |
| $f$ | -0.079 | 0.672 |
| $D_{app}$ | -0.362 | 0.045 |
| $K_{app}$ | 0.284 | 0.122 |

IVIM, intravoxel incoherent motion imaging; DKI, diffusion kurtosis imaging; $D$, true diffusion coefficient; $D^*$, pseudodiffusion coefficient; $f$, perfusion fraction; $D_{app}$, apparent diffusion coefficient derived from DKI; $K_{app}$, apparent diffusion kurtosis coefficient.

**FIGURE 4** | Receiver operating characteristic curve of the predictive performance of different prediction models.

- $D^f$, AUC = 0.951
- $D_{app}+K_{app}$, AUC = 0.943
- $D^f+D_{app}+K_{app}$, AUC = 0.954
In the DKI model, restricted diffusion is due to cell proliferation and increases in cellularity, consequently, a reduction in extracellular and intercellular spaces. $D_{\text{app}}$ was correlated with extracellular changes, and $K_{\text{app}}$ was more sensitive to the intracellular architecture (25). This technique allows for the measurement of the excess diffusion kurtosis of the tissue while quantifying the deviation of tissue diffusion from the standard Gaussian pattern (26). In this respect, this technique is expected to more accurately reflect the microstructural complexity of human tissue (27). Malignant thyroid nodules have lower $D_{\text{app}}$ and higher $K_{\text{app}}$ values than benign nodules, which means that malignant nodules may have less extracellular space and a tighter intracellular architecture.

Both DKI-derived parameters and IVIM-derived parameters were related with Ki-67 expression. In pathological studies, proliferative activity of cells is often evaluated by the...
expression of Ki-67, one of the most common proliferation markers that is expressed in all active phases of the cell cycle (28). The D value can precisely reflect the true diffusion without the influence of perfusion-related diffusion. The expression of Ki-67 lead to restricted diffusion, which could result in low D value. In addition, rapid cell proliferation could lead to less extracellular space, which could result in low $D_{\text{app}}$. The strong linear relationship between IVIM-derived parameters and DKI-derived parameters led to the alternative for each other in differentiating malignant from benign thyroid nodules.

This study does have some limitations. First, the ZOOMit technique restricts the b-value to multiples of 50; otherwise, the f value may have better diagnostic performance. Second, only binary logistic regression was used to build the prediction model. Other statistical models should be introduced in subsequent work. Third, the number of Ki-67 cases is relatively small. A larger patient cohort is needed in future studies to confirm the present results.

**CONCLUSIONS**

IVIM and DKI showed comparable differential diagnostic capacity in differentiating malignant from benign nodules. Combination IVIM with DKI cannot improve diagnostic performance. The D of IVIM-derived parameters and $D_{\text{app}}$ DKI-derived parameter was related to the Ki-67 expression in thyroid papillary carcinoma. In conclusion, IVIM and DKI were alternative for each other in differentiating malignant from benign thyroid nodules.
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