Maximally entangled mixed states in two qubits
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We propose novel mixed states in two qubits, “maximally entangled mixed states”, which have a property that the amount of entanglement of these states cannot be increased further by applying any unitary operations. The property is proven when the rank of the states is less than 4, and confirmed numerically in the other general cases. The corresponding entanglement of formation specified by its eigenvalues gives an upper bound of that for density matrices with same eigenvalues.

Entanglement (or inseparability) is one of the most striking features of quantum mechanics and an important resource for most applications of quantum information. In quantum computers, the quantum information stored in quantum bits (qubits) is processed by operating quantum gates. Multi-bit quantum gates, such as the controlled-NOT gate, are particularly important, since these gates can create entanglement between qubits.

In recent years, it has attracted much attention to quantify the amount of entanglement, and a number of measures, such as the entanglement of formation and negativity, have been proposed. When the system of the qubits is in a pure state, the amount of entanglement can be changed through the gate operations from zero of separable states to unity of maximally entangled states. The most quantum algorithms are designed for states. The most quantum algorithms are designed for maximally mixed states, however, we cannot receive any benefit of entanglement in a sense that the entanglement of formation (and even negativity) of these states cannot be increased further by applying any (local and/or nonlocal) unitary transformations. The property is rigorously proven in the case that the rank of the states is less than 4, and confirmed numerically in the case of rank 4. The corresponding entanglement of formation specified by its eigenvalues gives an upper bound of that for density matrices with same eigenvalues.

The entanglement of formation (EOF) for a pure state is defined as the von Neumann entropy of the reduced density matrix. The EOF of a mixed state is defined as

$$E_F(\rho) = \min \sum_i p_i E_F(\psi_i),$$

where the minimum is taken over all possible decompositions of \(\rho\) into pure states \(\rho = \sum_i p_i |\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i|\). The analytical form for EOF in 2×2 systems is given by

$$E_F(\rho) = H(1 + \sqrt{1 - C^2}),$$

with \(H(x)\) being Shannon’s entropy function. The concurrence \(C\) is given by

$$C = \max\{0, \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 - \lambda_3 - \lambda_4\},$$

where \(\lambda_i\)’s are the square root of eigenvalues of \(\rho \rho^*\) in decreasing order. The spin-flipped density matrix \(\tilde{\rho}\) is defined as

$$\tilde{\rho} = \sigma_y A \otimes \sigma_y B \rho^* \sigma_y A \otimes \sigma_y B,$$

where \(*\) denotes the complex conjugate in the computational basis. Since \(E_F\) is a monotonic function of \(C\), the maximum of \(C\) corresponds to the maximum of \(E_F\).

The states we propose are that obtained by applying any local unitary transformations to

$$M = p_1 |\Psi^-\rangle\langle\Psi^-| + p_2 |00\rangle\langle00| + p_3 |\Psi^+\rangle\langle\Psi^+| + p_4 |11\rangle\langle11|,$$

where \(|\Psi^\pm\rangle = (|01\rangle \pm |10\rangle)/\sqrt{2}\) are Bell states, and \(|00\rangle\) and \(|11\rangle\) are product states orthogonal to \(|\Psi^\pm\rangle\). Here, \(p_i\)’s are eigenvalues of \(M\) in decreasing order \((p_1 \geq p_2 \geq p_3 \geq p_4)\), and \(p_1 + p_2 + p_3 + p_4 = 1\). These include states such as

$$\rho = p_1 |\Phi^-\rangle\langle\Phi^-| + p_2 |01\rangle\langle01|.$$
The concurrence $C$ is maximum among the density matrices with the same eigenvalues, at least, when the density matrices have a rank less than 4 ($p_4 = 0$). The proof is as follows: (1) Rank 1 case ($p_2 = p_3 = p_4 = 0$). In this case, Eq. (4) is reduced to $M = |\Psi^-\rangle\langle\Psi^-|$ which obviously has the maximum concurrence of unity.

(2) Rank 2 case ($p_3 = p_4 = 0$). Any density matrices of two qubits (not necessarily rank 2) can be expressed as

$$\rho = q|\psi\rangle\langle\psi| + (1-q)\rho_{\text{sep}},$$

where $|\psi\rangle$ is an entangled state and $\rho_{\text{sep}}$ is a separable density matrix. Convexity of the concurrence implies that

$$C(\rho) \leq qC(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|) + (1-q)C(\rho_{\text{sep}}) = qC(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|).$$

(3) Rank 3 case ($p_4 = 0$). Any rank 3 density matrices can be decomposed into two density matrices as

$$\rho = (1 - 3p_3)\rho_2 + 3p_3\rho_3,$$

where eigenvalues of $\rho_2$ are

$$\{p_1 - p_3, p_2 - p_3, 0, 0\},$$

and eigenvalues of $\rho_3$ are $\{1/3, 1/3, 1/3, 0\}$. According to Lemma 3 in Ref. [3],

$$\text{Tr}\rho^2 \leq \frac{1}{3} \Rightarrow \rho \text{ is separable}. $$

Since the purity of $\rho_3$ is 1/3, $\rho_3$ is always separable. Therefore, convexity of the concurrence implies that

$$C(\rho) \leq (1 - 3p_3)C(\rho_2) \leq p_1 - p_3.$$
imum even for \( p_4 \neq 0 \). Any rank 4 density matrices are decomposed as
\[
\rho = (p_1 - p_3 - 2\sqrt{p_2 p_4})|1\rangle\langle 1| + \rho_4,
\]
where \( |1\rangle \) is an eigenvector of \( \rho \), and eigenvalues of \( \rho_4 \) (not normalized) are \( \{p_3 + 2\sqrt{p_2 p_4}, p_2, p_3, p_4\} \). When eigenvalues of \( \rho \) satisfies
\[
p_3 = p_2 + p_4 - \sqrt{p_2 p_4},
\]
the purity of (normalized) \( \rho_4 \) is equal to 1/3 and \( p_4 \) becomes always separable. Therefore, using the convexity of the concurrence again, the upper bound of the concurrence is proven to be \( C^* \) for density matrices satisfying \( Eq. (13) \). When \( p_2 = p_3 = p_4(\leq 1/4) \), \( M \) is reduced to the Werner state:
\[
M = p_1|\Psi^-(\psi^-)| + \frac{1-p_1}{3}(|\Psi^+\rangle\langle\Psi^+| + |\Phi^-\rangle\langle\Phi^-| + |\Phi^+\rangle\langle\Phi^+|),
\]
whose eigenvalues satisfies \( Eq. (13) \). Therefore, EOF of the Werner states cannot be increased further by any unitary transformations.

It is worth to test whether the states we propose have maximum entanglement in the other entanglement measures. It has been shown that positive partial transpose is necessary condition for separability, and that it is also sufficient condition for \( 2 \times 2 \) and \( 2 \times 3 \) systems. In \( 2 \times 2 \) systems, when the density matrix is entangled, its partial transpose has only one negative eigenvalue. The modulus of the negative eigenvalue (\( E_N \)) is one of entanglement measures, and twice of \( E_N \) agrees with the negativity introduced in Ref. 3. We have performed a numerical calculation similar to that for Fig. 1 and obtained maximum of \( E_N \) for 10,000 random density matrices. In the inset of Fig. 2, those are plotted as a function of
\[
2E_N^* = \max\{0, 2E_N^*(p_4)\}
\]
\[
2E_N^*(p_4) = -p_2 - p_4 + \sqrt{(p_1 - p_3)^2 + (p_2 - p_4)^2},
\]
which is the negativity of \( M \). None of the points are present on the higher side of a straight line of \( E_N = E_N^* \) as in the case of the concurrence. While it has been shown that two measures (EOF and negativity) do not induce the same ordering of density matrices with respect to the amount of entanglement, the above numerical results suggest that \( M \) has the maximum amount of entanglement in both two measures.

It should be noted here that \( E_N^* = 0 \) is equivalent to \( C^* = 0 \), and therefore, the condition of \( C^*(p_i) \leq 0 \), for which all the states will be separable as mentioned before, does not contradict to the condition of \( E_N^*(p_i) \leq 0 \). Further, it will be natural to attribute the upper bound of entanglement, which is well described by \( C^*(p_i) \) and \( E_N^*(p_i) \), to the increase of the degree of the mixture of the states. In this sense, each of \( C^*(p_i) \) and \( 2E_N^*(p_i) \) (both distribute in the range of \([-1/2, 1]\)) can be considered as one of measures characterizing the degree of mixture such as the purity (or participation ratio), von Neumann entropy, Renyi entropy, and so on.

Finally, as a simple application of the upper bound of EOF:
\[
E_F(\rho) \leq H\left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{1 - C^*}}{2}\right),
\]
we consider the situation generating entangled states by using the quantum gate consisting of two qubits, more concretely a controlled-NOT (CNOT) gate. In realistic situations, the coupling between the gate and its surrounding environment is inevitably present. The entire system consisting of the gate plus its environment happen to be entangled by the coupling, and the mixture of the reduced density matrix describing the gate will be inevitably increased.

In order to treat such decohered CNOT gates, we adopt the spin-boson model, where each qubit is described as a spin-1/2 system, and the environment is expressed as an ensemble of independent bosons. As the model of the CNOT gate, we choose the simplest Hamiltonian:
\[
H_G = -\frac{R}{4}(1 - \sigma_{cz}) \otimes \sigma_{tx},
\]
where \( c \) and \( t \) denotes the control-bit and target-bit, respectively. The state change after \( t=\hbar/(2R) \) corresponds to the change in the CNOT operation. In this paper, we demonstrate two types of gate-environment couplings. These are
\[
H_{GE}^1 = -\sigma_{cz} \sum_k B_k (a_k^\dagger + a_k),
\]
\[
H_{GE}^2 = -\frac{1}{2}(1 - \sigma_{cz}) \otimes \sigma_{tx} \sum_k B_k (a_k^\dagger + a_k),
\]
where \( a_k \) is an annihilation operator of a boson in the environment. \( H_{GE}^1 \) describes the situation that only the
The coupling strength $H$ in Fig. 3 for the (a) EOF is considerably lower than the corresponding upper difference in EOF will make sense. In Fig. 3 (a), the operations and classical communication, comparing thequired to prepare the state by using only local quantum operations and classical communication, comparing the strength is shown by an arrow on the right side of each panel for comparison. Since EOF of a state has the phys-
rical strength of the Ohmic environmental mode, and $\beta$ is the inverse temperature. (a) $H^1_{GE}$ and (b) $H^2_{GE}$ coupling.

the control-bit couples with the environment. $H^2_{GE}$ may describe the situation that the gate operation is achieved by irradiating a optical pulse which contains a noise coherent over the qubits as well as the pulse itself. For these phase-damping couplings, the time evolution of the reduced density matrix describing the gate is analytically solved [15] by assuming the product initial state for the entire density matrix:

$$\rho_{tot}(0) = \rho(0) \otimes \rho_E,$$

(21)

where $\rho_E$ is the thermal equilibrium density matrix of the environment. Since we pay attention only to the generation of the entangled state, the initial state of the CNOT gate is chosen to be the pure state of $|0\rangle_c |+1\rangle_c \otimes |0\rangle_1$.

The time development of EOF for several values of the coupling strength $K (\propto \sum_k B_k^2 \delta(\omega - \omega_k))$ are shown in Fig. 3 for the (a) $H^1_{GE}$ and (b) $H^2_{GE}$ coupling. The values of the coupling strength $K$ are chosen such that the values of the fidelity of the output state to the desired state in the absence of the decoherence are roughly 0.95, 0.9 and 0.8, which are common to Figs. 3 (a) and (b). It is interesting to note that, while the fidelity is the same in two cases of coupling, the amount of the entanglement is significantly different.

The upper bound of EOF (Eq. (18)) for each coupling strength is shown by an arrow on the right side of each panel for comparison. Since EOF of a state has the physical meaning of the asymptotic number of Bell pairs required to prepare the state by using only local quantum operations and classical communication, comparing the difference in EOF will make sense. In Fig. 3 (a), the EOF is considerably lower than the corresponding upper bound, while EOF almost agrees with the upper bound in Fig. 3 (b). Therefore, with respect to the function generating entangled states, the performance of the CNOT gate shown in Fig. 3 (b), is already optimal (or saturated) in a sense that there is no other way to increase further the amount of the entanglement than avoiding the increase of the mixture of the output density matrix, and thus, avoiding the decoherence itself. On the other hand, for the CNOT gate shown in Fig. 3 (a), there is room for improvement of the performance in principle, although we cannot show the detailed methods here.

To conclude, we propose the mixed states in two qubits, which have a property that the amount of entanglement of these states cannot be increased further by any unitary operations. The property is proven when the rank of the states is less than 4, and when the states satisfy a special relation such as the Werner states. The results of the numerical calculations strongly support a hypothesis that these mixed states are indeed maximally entangled even in general cases. It will be extremely important to verify the above hypothesis and to seek out the maximally entangled mixed states as well as the measure in larger dimensional systems, for understanding the nature of entanglement of general mixed states and for the progress of the quantum information science and its applications.
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