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Abstract: We study random walks in a random environment on a regular, rooted, coloured tree. The asymptotic behaviour of the walks is classified for ergodicity/transience in terms of the geometric properties of the matrix describing the random environment. A related problem, with only one type of vertices and quite stringent conditions on the transition probabilities but on general trees has been considered previously in the literature \textsuperscript{15}. In the presentation we give here, we restrict the study of the process on a regular graph instead of the irregular graph used in \textsuperscript{15}. The close connection between various problems on random walks in random environment and the so called multiplicative chaos martingale is underlined by showing that the classification of the random walk problem can be drawn by the corresponding classification for the multiplicative chaos, at least for those situations where both problems have been solved by independent methods. The chaos counterpart of the problem we considered here has not yet been solved. The results we obtain for the random walk problem localise the position of the critical point. We conjecture that the additional conditions needed for the chaos problem to have non trivial solutions will be the same as the ones needed for the random walk to be null recurrent.

1 Introduction
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1.1 Notations

Let $d$ be a fixed non-negative integer. We consider the rooted regular tree of order $d$, i.e. a connected graph without loops with a denumerable set of vertices $\mathbb{V}$ and a denumerable set of non-oriented edges $\mathbb{A}(\mathbb{V})$. There is a distinguished vertex called the root that has degree $d$; all other vertices have degree $d + 1$. Vertices are completely determined by giving their genealogical history from their common ancestor, the root; hence they are bijectively indexed by the set of sequences of arbitrary length over an alphabet of $d$ letters.

We use the same symbol for the indexing set so that $\mathbb{V} = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{V}_n$ with $\mathbb{V}_0 = \{\emptyset\}$ and $\mathbb{V}_n = \{ v = (v_1, \ldots, v_n) : v_i \in \{1, \ldots, d\}, i = 1, \ldots, n \}$ for $n \geq 1$. For every $v \in \mathbb{V}$, we denote $|v|$ the length of the path from $v$ to the root i.e. the number of edges encountered. For $v \in \mathbb{V}$ and $k \leq |v|$ we denote by $v|_k$ the truncation of the sequence $v$ to its $k$ first elements, i.e. if $v = (v_1 \ldots v_n) \in \mathbb{V}_n$ and $k \leq n$, then $v|_k = (v_1 \ldots v_k) \in \mathbb{V}_k$; the symbol $v|_k$ must not be confused therefore with $v_k$, representing the letter appearing at the $k$-th position of the sequence. For $0 \leq k < \ell \leq |v|$ we denote $v|_{\ell-k}^k$ the subsequence of length $\ell-k$ defined by $v|_{\ell-k}^k = (v_{k+1}, \ldots, v_{\ell})$. If $u \in \mathbb{V}$, we write $u \leq v$ if $|u| \leq |v|$ and $v = (u_1, \ldots, u_{|u|}, v_{|u|+1}, \ldots, v_{|v|})$ i.e. if $u$ is the initial sequence of $v$; we write $u < v$ when $u \leq v$ and $|u| < |v|$. Similarly for every sequence $u$ and any letter $\ell \in \{1 \ldots d\}$, the sequence $u\ell$ will have length $|u| + 1$ and last letter $\ell$.

Edges are unordered pairs $\langle u, v \rangle$ of adjacent vertices $u$ and $v$. Now if $u$ and $v$ are the end vertices of an edge, either $u \leq v$ with $|u| + 1 = |v|$ or $v \leq u$ with $|v| + 1 = |u|$. In both cases, there is a vertex, uniquely defined, that is the most remote from the root among the two end vertices of the edge. Since every vertex has an unique ancestor, every edge is uniquely defined by its most remote vertex. Hence, every vertex $v \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ defines an edge $a(v) = \langle v|_{|v|-1}, v \rangle$. Edges are thus also indexed by the set $\mathbb{V}$, more precisely by $\hat{\mathbb{V}} = \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ and we denote $a(v)$ the edge defined by $v$; therefore $\mathbb{A}(\mathbb{V}) \simeq \hat{\mathbb{V}}$. Since the set of vertices $\mathbb{V}$ uniquely determines the set of edges, we use by abuse of notation the symbol $\mathbb{V}$ to denote also the tree.

If $u, v \in \mathbb{V}$ and $u < v$, we denote $[u; v]$ the (unique) path from $u$ to $v$ i.e. the collection of edges $(a_1, a_2, \ldots)$ with $a_j \equiv a(v|_{|u|+j})$, for $j = 1, \ldots, |v|$. For every $u \in \mathbb{V}$, the symbol $[u; u]$ denotes an empty set of edges. If $u$ and $v$ are not comparable vertices, i.e. neither $u \leq v$ nor $v \leq u$ holds, although there is a canonical way to define the path $[u; v]$, this definition is not necessary in the present paper and hence omitted. We write simply $[v]$ to denote the path joining the root to $v$, namely $[\emptyset; v]$.

At every edge $a$ we assign a number $\xi_a \in [0, \infty[$ in some specific manner. This specification differs from model to model and since various models are considered here, we don’t wish to be more explicit about these variables at the present level. Mind however that the numbers $(\xi_a)_{a \in \mathbb{A}(\mathbb{V})}$ are random variables neither necessarily independent nor necessarily equi-distributed. For the time being, we only assume that we dispose of a specific collection $(\xi_a)_{a \in \mathbb{A}}$, called the edge-environment.
1.2 Multiplicative chaos

Let \((V, (\xi_a)_{a \in A(V)})\) be a given tree and a given edge environment. For \(u, v \in V\), with \(u < v\) we denote
\[
\xi[u; v] = \prod_{a \in [u; v]} \xi_a,
\]
the product of environment values encountered on the path of edges from \(u\) to \(v\); the symbol \(\xi[v]\) is defined to mean \(\xi[\emptyset; v]\) and \(\xi[v; v]\) — as a product over an empty set — is consistently defined to be 1. It is not necessary for the purpose of the present article to define the value of \(\xi[u; v]\) when \(u\) and \(v\) are not comparable.

For every \(u \in V\), we consider the process \(Y_n(u)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) defined by \(Y_0(u) = 1\) and
\[
Y_n(u) = \sum_{v \in V_{n+|u|}; v > u} \xi[u; v] = \sum_{v \in V_{n+|u|}; v > u} \prod_{a \in [u; v]} \xi_a,
\]
for \(n \geq 1\). This process is known as the multiplicative chaos process. Notice that even when \((\xi_a)_{a \in A}\) is a family of independent random variables, the random variables \(\xi[u; v]\) are not independent for \(v\) scanning the set \(V_{n+|u|}\). Hence the asymptotic behaviour of \(Y_n(u)\) when \(n \to \infty\) is far from trivial and it is studied for several particular cases of dependences of the family \((\xi_a)\) in an extensive literature; see for instance [8, 4, 7, 2, 10, 11, 19].

The study of the asymptotics of the process \((Y_n)\) is done by various techniques. One such technique is by remarking that for \(n \geq 1\), the process can be written as
\[
Y_n(u) = \sum_{v \in V_{n+|u|}; v > u} \xi[u; v] = \sum_{v \in V_{n+|u|}; v > u} \xi[u; v|_{u+1}] \xi[v|_{u+1}; v]
\]
\[
= \sum_{w \in V_{|u|+1}; w > u} \xi[u; w] \sum_{v \in V_{|u|+1}; v > u} \xi[w; v]
\]
\[
= \sum_{w \in V_{|u|+1}; w > u} \xi[u; w] Y_{n-1}(w).
\]

If the limit \(\lim_{n \to \infty} Y_n(u) \overset{d}{=} Y(u)\) exists in distribution for all \(u \in V\) then it must verify the functional equation
\[
Y(u) \overset{d}{=} \sum_{w \in V_{|u|+1}; w > u} \xi[u; w] Y(w) \quad (1)
\]
The process \((Y_n(u))_n\) and the corresponding functional equation (1) are thoroughly studied in the literature for some particular choices of dependencies of the family \((\xi_a)\).

A second technique of study of the asymptotics is by martingale analysis. We have,
as a matter of fact,

\[ Y_n(u) = \sum_{v \in V_n \cup \{u\}; v > u} \xi[u; v] \]

\[ = \sum_{v \in V_n \cup \{u\}; v > u} \prod_{a \in [u; v]} \xi_a \]

\[ = \sum_{v_1, \ldots, v_n \in \{1, \ldots, d\}} \xi_{a(uv_1)} \xi_{a(uv_1v_2)} \cdots \xi_{a(uv_1v_2\ldots v_n)} \]

Now, if for any fixed \( u \in V \), \( (\mathcal{F}_n^{(u)}) \) denotes the natural filtration \( \mathcal{F}_k^{(u)} = \sigma(\xi_{a(uv_1\ldots v_k)}, v_i \in \{1, \ldots, d\}, i = 1, \ldots, k) \) for \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), we have

\[ \mathbb{E}(Y_n(u)|\mathcal{F}_{n-1}) = \sum_{v_1, \ldots, v_n \in \{1, \ldots, d\}} \xi_{a(uv_1)} \cdots \xi_{a(uv_1\ldots v_n-1)} \mathbb{E}(\xi_{a(uv_1v_2\ldots v_n)}|\mathcal{F}_{n-1}) \]

and in the special case where the distribution of \( \xi_{a(uv_1\ldots v_n)} \) depends solely on \( v_n \) and the random variables are independent for different generations, the previous formula simplifies into

\[ \mathbb{E}(Y_n(u)|\mathcal{F}_{n-1}) = Y_{n-1}(u) \sum_{v_n=1}^{d} \mathbb{E}(\xi_{a(uv_1v_2\ldots v_n)}) \]

In this special case and provided that \( \sum_{v_n=1}^{d} \mathbb{E}(\xi_{a(uv_1v_2\ldots v_n)}) \) is less, equal, or more than 1, the process is a non-negative supermartingale, martingale or submartingale. It will be shown in section 5.1 that it is enough to consider the martingale case since by suitable renormalisation of the random variables \( (\xi_a) \) and \( (Y_n) \) we can always limit ourselves in the study of martingales.

Although the process \( (Y_n) \) is thoroughly studied, the closely related process

\[ Z_n(u) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} Y_k(u) \quad \text{for} \quad n \geq 0 \]

does not seem — to the best of our knowledge — to have attracted much attention. However, if we are interested in connections between multiplicative chaos and random walks in random environment on a tree, it is this latter process that naturally appears in both subjects.

The relevant question that can be addressed for the multiplicative chaos problem is whether the functional equation (4) has a non trivial solution. The precise statement of this question is model-dependent and some instances of it will be examined below, in subsection 1.4.
1.3 Nearest neighbours random walk on a tree in an inhomogeneous environment

To every vertex \( u = (u_1, \ldots, u_{|u|}) \in \mathcal{V} \) are assigned \( d+1 \) numbers \((p_{u,0}, p_{u,1}, \ldots, p_{u,d})\) with \( p_{u,0} > 0, p_{u,i} \geq 0 \ \forall i = 1, \ldots, d \) and \( \sum_{i=0}^{d} p_{u,i} = 1 \). To \( u \in \mathcal{V}_0 = \{\emptyset\} \) are assigned only \( d \) numbers \((p_{\emptyset,1}, \ldots, p_{\emptyset,d})\) with \( p_{\emptyset,i} \geq 0 \ \forall i = 1, \ldots, d \) and \( \sum_{i=1}^{d} p_{\emptyset,i} = 1 \). These numbers will be random variables with some specific dependence properties that will be defined later. These numbers stand for transition probabilities of a reversible Markov chain \((X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) on the tree verifying for \(|u| \geq 1\)

\[
P_{u,v} = \mathbb{P}(X_{n+1} = v|X_n = u) = \begin{cases} p_{u,0} & \text{if } v = u|_{|u|-1} \\ p_{u,v|v} & \text{if } u = v|_{|v|-1} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\]

For \( u = (\emptyset) \) we have the slightly modified transition probabilities

\[
P_{\emptyset,v} = \mathbb{P}(X_{n+1} = v|X_n = (\emptyset)) = \begin{cases} p_{\emptyset,v1} & \text{if } v \in \mathcal{V}_1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\]

For \( u \in \mathcal{V} \) with \(|u| \geq 2\) we consider the edge \( a(u) = (u|_{|u|-1}, u) \) and attach to this edge the variable

\[
\xi_{a(u)} = \frac{p_{u|_{|u|-1},u|_{|u|}}}{p_{u|_{|u|-1},0}} \in [0, \infty[.
\]

For \( u \in \mathcal{V}_1 \) we attach \( \xi_{a(u)} = p_{\emptyset,u1} \). Notice that we have not required irreducibility for the Markov chain \((X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\). The condition \( 0 \leq \xi_{a(u)} < \infty \) only excludes vanishing of the probability of transition towards the root on every active branch of the tree. We require also some mild integrability conditions on the random variables in the form \( \mathbb{E}\xi_{a(v)} \log^+(\xi_{a(v)}) < \infty \), where for all \( z > 0 \), \( \log^+(z) = \max(0, \log(z)) \). One can easily check the validity of the following

**Lemma 1.1** For every \( v \in \mathcal{V} \) define the variable

\[
\pi[v] = \begin{cases} \pi[\emptyset] \xi[v] \frac{1}{p_{v,0}} & \text{if } v \in \mathcal{V} \\ \pi[\emptyset] & \text{if } v = (\emptyset), \end{cases}
\]

with \( \pi[\emptyset] \) an arbitrary constant. Then \( \pi[v] \) verifies the stationarity condition

\[
\sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \pi[v]P_{v,v'} = \pi[v'], \ \forall v' \in \mathcal{V}.
\]

We assume that the variable \((p_{v,0})^{-1}\) is well behaved uniformly in \( v \). To avoid technical difficulties, we assume that

\[
\mathbb{E}((p_{v,0})^{-1}) < \infty. \tag{2}
\]
Then, apart the factor $\frac{1}{p_{v,d}}$, the expression for the invariant measure $\pi[v]$ involves the product $\xi[v]$ of variables along the edges of the path form $\emptyset$ to $v$ as was the case in the expression of multiplicative chaos. The form of the invariant measure established in lemma [14] has been already established in [13], where the problem of random walk in a random environment on an inhomogeneous tree was studied in a particular case of dependence of the random variables ($\xi_a$). However, the close analogy with multiplicative chaos, although reminiscent of considerations in [14], does not seem to have been exploited.

The relevant questions that can be addressed in the context of random walk in inhomogeneous environments concern ergodicity or non-ergodicity, recurrence or transience that arise for almost all realisations of the variables ($\xi_a$).

**Remark:** Notice that since our chains are periodic of period 2, we use the term *ergodic* to mean positive recurrent chains (or else admitting a stationary probability distribution $\pi$) and not in the sense that $\mathbb{P}(X_n = v|X_0 = u)$ converges towards $\pi(v)$; as a matter of fact this conditional probability does not admit a limit when $n \to \infty$, it is only the subsequence of even (resp. odd) times that converges towards this limit according to the parity of the difference $|v| - |u|$, terms of complementary parity being always 0.

### 1.4 Models covered by the present formalism

We present below a unified treatment of both the multiplicative chaos process and the random walk problem stating in the same theorem the asymptotic behaviour of the limiting chaos process and of the random walk. Several models fit the present formalism; by making appropriate identifications of random variables, the random walk in random environment on $\mathbb{N}$ or the problem of random strings in a random environment can be rephrased in the present language.

#### 1.4.1 Random walk in a random environment on a regular tree

At every vertex $v \in \mathcal{V}$ is assigned a $(d + 1)$-dimensional random vector with positive components $(p_{v,0}, \ldots, p_{v,d})$ verifying $\sum_{j=0}^{d} p_{v,j} = 1$. For the vertex $v = \emptyset$, the corresponding random vector is $d$-dimensional and its components verify $\sum_{j=1}^{d} p_{\emptyset,j} = 1$. These random vectors are independent for different $v$’s and, for $v \in \mathcal{V}$ they have the same distribution. The random walk in the random environment defined by these random vectors on the regular tree is the Markov chain with transition matrix

$$
\mathbb{P}(X_{n+1} = v|X_n = u) = \begin{cases} 
p_{u,j} & \text{if } u \in \mathcal{V} \text{ and } v = uj, j = 1, \ldots, d \\
p_{u,0} & \text{if } u \in \mathcal{V} \text{ and } v = u|u|^{-1} \\
0 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}
$$
Notice again that although the random vectors \((p_{v,0}, \ldots, p_{v,d})\) for \(v \in \mathcal{V}\) are independent, their components \textit{cannot} be independent since they satisfy \(\sum_{j=0}^{d} p_{v,j} = 1\). Assigning to every edge the ratio of outwards over inwards probabilities, the problem can now be rephrased in a way that it fits the general formalism. Let \(\eta = (\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_d)\), be a vector of non-negative random variables \(\eta_i\), \(i = 1, \ldots, d\), having the same distribution \(p_{v,i}/p_{v,0}\), for \(v \in \mathcal{V}\), with not necessarily independent nor identically distributed components. We assume the law of the random vector is explicitly known with \(\mathbb{E}\eta_i < \infty\) and \(\mathbb{E}\eta_i \log^+ \eta_i < \infty\), \(\forall i = 1, \ldots, d\). Moreover, to avoid technicalities we assume that although the support of the random variables \(\eta_i\) extends up to 0, their law has no atom at 0.

To the edge \(a(v)\), having most remote vertex \(v \in \mathcal{V}\), we assign the random variable \(\xi_{a(v)}\) having the same distribution as \(\eta_{|v|}\); the variables \(\xi_{a(v)}\) and \(\xi_{a(v')}\) are independent if \(|v|\neq|v'|-1\). Notice that if the components of the random vector \(\eta\) are not independent, the variables \(\xi_{a(v)}\) and \(\xi_{a(v')}\) with \(|v| = |v'|\) and \(|v|_{v-1} = |v'|_{v'-1}\) are not independent either.

When \(\eta\) has independent and identically distributed components, the process \((X_n)\) has been studied in the context of multiplicative chaos and the existence of non trivial solutions of the functional equation \((1)\) is established in \([8, 4]\). In a context of statistical mechanics this functional equation is also studied in \([2, 17]\) and in a more general branching process in \([6, 10]\). For \(\eta\) with components having a general joint distribution, the process \((Y_n)\) has been studied in \([14]\) and when the dimension \(d\) of the vector is also a random variable having a general joint distribution with the components of \(\eta\) has been studied in \([11]\) (see \([12]\) for a recent survey of the state of the art on the subject).

The results are expressed in terms of the functions

\[ f(x) = \mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{d} \eta_i^x \right), x \in \mathbb{R}^+ \quad \text{and} \quad g(x) = \log f(x), \]

and of the parameter \(\lambda = \inf_{x \in [0,1]} f(x)\).

The equation \((1)\) reduces asymptotically into the form

\[ Y[\emptyset] \stackrel{d}{=} \sum_{v \in V_1} \eta_{v_1} Y'[v] \]

where \((Y'[v])_{v \in V_1}\) are mutually independent variables and independent of \((\eta_i)\) each having the same distribution as \(Y[\emptyset] = \lim_{n \to \infty} Y_n[\emptyset]\). Since only equality in distribution is required in equation \((3)\), the variables \(Y[\emptyset]\) and \(Y'[v]\) can be chosen independent.

The random walk problem in a random environment on a tree has been first considered in several papers by Lyons, Pemantle, and Peres (see \([14, 15, 16]\) for instance.) In particular in \([14]\) Lyons and Pemantle studied the case of more general trees than the ones considered here, namely trees whose degree is not constant but have merely a finite
branching number. On the other hand, these authors make more stringent assumptions on the distribution of the random variables. We provide here proofs based on results on multiplicative chaos, totally independent from the proofs given by these authors. We state here our result for the case of a regular tree (constant degree) but with arbitrary distribution for the components of the random vector $\eta_i$, i.e. with neither independent nor identically distributed components. It is worth noticing that although we limit ourselves in the case of regular trees, this limitation is not so crucial. As a matter of fact, the recent results on multiplicative chaos allow the treatment of random branching numbers and not to excessively burden the present paper, this will be considered in a subsequent article.

Theorem 1.2 Let $\lambda = \inf_{x \in [0,1]} f(x)$ and $x_0 \in [0,1]$ be such that $f(x_0) = \lambda$. Then

1. If $\lambda < 1$, then almost surely the random walk is ergodic and $Z_\infty < \infty$.
2. If $\lambda > 1$, then almost surely the random walk is transient, $Y_\infty = \infty$, and $Z_\infty = \infty$.
3. If $\lambda = 1$ and moreover $f'(1) < 0$, then almost surely $0 < Y_\infty < \infty$, $Z_\infty = \infty$, and the random walk is null-recurrent.

Assertions 1. and 2. in this theorem can be viewed as special cases of the corresponding assertions of theorem 1.3. However, we shall prove this theorem independently, using a new method, because we wish to demonstrate how techniques developed in the context of multiplicative chaos can be used in random walk problems. Moreover, this result is interesting in its own because it is a generalisation of the result in [15], valid for random variables that need not be either independent or identically distributed. Although this result is presented as a single theorem, it follows from several partial theorems on multiplicative chaos stated and/or proved in section 5.1.

1.4.2 Random walk in a random environment on a coloured tree

This problem is reminiscent of the problem on random strings in a random environment, studied in [9], where non reversible Markov chains on the tree $\mathbb{V}$ are considered and general conditions for transience/null recurrence/ergodicity are given in terms of Lyapunov exponent of a product of matrices. Under some simplifying conditions the string problem can be rephrased to fit the present formalism. To describe the problem of random strings in a random environment, the underlying tree must be enlarged to distinguish the $d$ children of every vertex; this can be done by assigning a colour index, chosen without replacement from the set $\{1, \ldots, d\}$, to each child. For instance, a natural way to do so is by assigning the colour $i \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ to the vertex $v \in \mathbb{V}$ if $v_{|v|} = i$. The root is assigned an arbitrary colour $\alpha \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$. Consequently, every edge $a(v)$ with $v \in \mathbb{V}$ is assigned the bicolour $(ij) \in \{1, \ldots, d\}^2$, where $i = v_{|v|-1}$ and $j = v_{|v|}$. 
In the sequel, we use the same colouring procedure to distinguish among children and the model we consider is defined as follows. To every \( v \in \mathcal{V} \), assign the \((d+1)\)-dimensional vector with positive components \((p_{v,v|_v,j})_j \in \{0, \ldots, d\}\), verifying \(\sum_{j=0}^d p_{v,v|_v,j} = 1\) for every \( v \in \mathcal{V} \). These vectors are independent for different \( v \in \mathcal{V} \) but not equidistributed, their distribution depending on the colour \( v_{|v} \in \{1, \ldots, d\}\). For the root \( v = \emptyset \), we have the special assignment \((p_{\emptyset,\alpha,j})_j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}\) with \(\sum_{j=1}^d p_{\emptyset,\alpha,j} = 1\). The random walk in this random environment on the regular tree is the Markov chain with transition matrix

\[
\mathbb{P}(X_{n+1} = v|X_n = u) = \begin{cases} 
p_{u,u|_u,j} & \text{if } u \in \mathcal{V}, v = u, j \in \{1, \ldots, d\} 
p_{u,u|_u,0} & \text{if } u \in \mathcal{V}, v = u|_u - 1 
0 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]

Passing to the edge-indexed ratio of outwards over inwards probabilities, the model can be rephrased to fit the present formalism. Let

\[
\eta = \begin{pmatrix}
\eta_{11} & \cdots & \eta_{1d} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\eta_{d1} & \cdots & \eta_{dd}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

be a matrix of non-negative random elements of known joint distribution. The matrix elements are not necessarily independent.

The root is arbitrarily assigned a colour \( \alpha \in \{1, \ldots, d\} \). Every edge of the tree, indexed by its most remote vertex \( v \in \mathcal{V} \), carries thus a bicolour-type \((i, j)\) with \(v_{|v} - 1 = i\) and \(v_{|v} = j\); it is assigned a random variable \(\xi_{a(v)}\) that is a copy of the random variable \(\eta_{ij}\). The random variables \(\xi_{a(v)}, \xi_{a(v')}\) are independent if \(v_{|v} - 1 \neq v'_{|v'} - 1\). Thus, the random variables attached to children of different parents \(i.e.\) non siblings\) are independent.

It is evident that the process \(Y_n\) will depend on the colour \(\alpha\) attached to the root. To recall this, we denote \(Y^{(\alpha)}_n\) for the process starting from an \(\alpha\)-coloured root.

Then

\[
Y^{(\alpha)}_n[\emptyset] = \sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}_n} \xi_{a(v|_1)} \cdots \xi_{a(v|_{n-1})} \xi_{a(v)} \quad (4)
\]

\[
\overset{d}{=} \sum_{v' \in \mathcal{V}_1} \eta_{\alpha v_1} Y^{(\alpha_1)}_{n-1}[v], 
\]

where \(Y^{(v_1)}_{n-1}[v]\) are mutually independent random variables for different \(v_1\) and independent of the random variables \(\eta_{\alpha v_1}\). If the sequence \((Y^{(\alpha)}_n[\emptyset])_n\) has a limit (in probability) when \(n \to \infty\), then \(\lim_{n \to \infty} Y^{(\alpha)}_n[\emptyset]\) will depend only on \(\alpha\); similarly, for every fixed value of \(\alpha\), the \(\lim_{n \to \infty} Y^{(v_1)}_{n-1}[v]\) will depend only on \(v_1\). Therefore, if the limit \(Y^{(\alpha)}(u)\) exists \(\forall \alpha \in \{1, \ldots, d\}\) and \(\forall u \in \mathcal{V}\) it must verify the functional equation

\[
Y^{(\alpha)} \overset{d}{=} \sum_{\beta = 1}^{d} \eta_{\alpha \beta} Y^{(\alpha, \beta)} \quad \forall \alpha \in \{1, \ldots, d\}, (6)
\]
where \( Y^{(\alpha,\beta)} \) are mutually independent random variables and independent of the random variables \( \eta_{\alpha\beta} \). For every \( \alpha, \beta \in \{1, \ldots, d\} \) the random variables \( Y^{(\alpha,\beta)} \) and \( Y^{(\beta)} \) have the same law; moreover, since equation (6) holds only in distribution, they can be chosen independent. A very particular case of the equation (6) has been considered in [1]. To the extend of our knowledge, the functional equation (6) in this generality has not been studied in the literature. Restricting ourselves in the case of integrable solutions and taking expectations from both sides, it is evident that a necessary condition for the existence of non-trivial solution is that 1 is an eigenvalue of the matrix \( \mathbb{E}\eta \). This condition seems far from being sufficient. Some conjectures about this functional equation are formulated in the last section of this paper.

Let’s consider the random walk in random environment problem. Our main result is formulated in the following

**Theorem 1.3** Let \( m(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{E}(\eta_1^x) & \cdots & \mathbb{E}(\eta_{i1}^x) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbb{E}(\eta_{d1}^x) & \cdots & \mathbb{E}(\eta_{dd}^x) \end{pmatrix} \) for \( x \in [0, 1] \). Assume that the matrix \( m(x) \) is regular i.e. there exists some integer \( N \) such that for every \( x \in [0, 1] \), \( (m(x)^N)_{ij} > 0 \) \( \forall i, j \). Denote by \( \rho(x) \) the largest eigenvalue of \( m(x) \) for \( x \in [0, 1] \) and \( \lambda = \inf_{x \in [0, 1]} \rho(x) \).

1. If \( \lambda < 1 \) the random walk is almost surely ergodic and \( Z_\infty < \infty \) almost surely
2. If \( \lambda > 1 \) the random walk is almost surely transient and \( Y_\infty = \infty \) almost surely.

The sections 2, 3, and 4 below are devoted to the proof of the theorem 1.3. In some places we used techniques already used in [15], either literally or in an extended version. In other places, some independent probabilistic techniques are used that beyond their interest for the random walk problem they illuminate aspects of the yet unsolved chaos problem.

### 2 Ergodicity of the random walk in random environment for the case \( \lambda < 1 \)

The proof of ergodicity is much simpler than the proof of non ergodicity.

**Lemma 2.1** If \( \rho(x) < 1 \) for some \( x \in [0, 1] \) then \( Z_\infty = \sum_{v \in V} \xi[v] < \infty \) a.s.

**Proof:** Fix first some \( v \in V, v = (v_1, \ldots, v_n) \) and assign colour \( \alpha \) at the root. Denote \( \mathbb{E}_\alpha(\cdot) \) expectations for starting colour \( \alpha \). We have \( \mathbb{E}_\alpha(\xi^x[v]) = m_{\alpha v_1}(x)m_{v_1 v_2}(x) \cdots m_{v_{n-1} v_n}(x) \).
Hence
\[ \mathbb{E}_\alpha \left( \sum_{v \in V_n} \xi^{\pi}[v] \right) = e_\alpha \mathbf{m}^\pi(x)e, \]
where the vectors \( e_\alpha \) and \( e \) have components \( e_{\alpha i} = \delta_{\alpha i} \) and \( e \equiv 1 \) respectively for \( i = 1, \ldots, d \). If for some \( x_0 \in [0, 1] \), \( \rho(x_0) < 1 \) then \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{m}^\pi(x_0) \) is a well defined \( d \times d \) matrix whose all elements are finite. Hence, for this particular \( x_0 \), \( \sum_{v \in V_n} \mathbb{E}_\alpha \xi^{x_0}[v] < \infty \) and since \( \xi^{x_0}[v] \geq 0 \) this means that \( \sum_{v} \xi^{x_0}[v] < \infty \) almost surely. The last majorisation means that there exists at most a finite subset \( V \subseteq V \) on which \( \xi^{x_0}[v] > 1 \) for \( v \in V \). We have then: \( \sum_{v \in V} \xi[v] = \sum_{v \in V} \xi[v] + \sum_{v \in V \backslash V} \xi[v] \) on \( V \). On \( V \backslash V \), \( \xi^{x_0}[v] \geq \xi[v] \) for \( x_0 \leq 1 \) since \( \xi[v] \leq 1 \). Hence
\[ \sum_{v \in V} \xi[v] \leq \sum_{v \in V} \xi[v] + \sum_{v \in V \backslash V} \xi^{x_0}[v] \]
and the results follows immediately, both terms of the right hand side being finite. \( \square \)

**Corollary 2.2** If \( \lambda < 1 \) the random walk in random environment is almost surely ergodic.

**Proof:** It is enough to show that \( \sum_{v \in V} \pi[v] < \infty \) since then, choosing the arbitrary normalising value \( \pi[\emptyset] \), we can guarantee that \( \sum_{v \in V} \pi[v] = 1 \) showing that the random walk admits an invariant probability. Now \( \sum_{v \in V} \pi[v] = \pi[\emptyset] + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*} \sum_{v \in V_n} \pi[v] \). For \( x_0 \in ]0, 1[ \) such that \( \rho(x_0) < 1 \), we have
\[ \mathbb{E}_\alpha (\sum_{v \in V_n} \pi[v]^{x_0}) = \mathbb{E}_\alpha (\pi[\emptyset]^{x_0}) + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*} \sum_{v \in V_n} \mathbb{E}_\alpha (\pi[\emptyset]^{x_0} \xi[v]^{x_0} p_{v,0}^{-x_0}) \]
\[ = \mathbb{E}_\alpha (\pi[\emptyset]^{x_0}) + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*} \sum_{v \in V_n} \mathbb{E}_\alpha (\pi[\emptyset]^{x_0} \xi[v]^{x_0}) \mathbb{E}(p_{v,0}^{-x_0}), \]
because \( p_{v,0} \) is independent of \( \xi[v] \) for all \( v \in V \). Now for \( n \in \mathbb{N}^* \), \( v \in V_n \), and \( x_0 < 1 \), we have \( 1 \leq \frac{1}{p_{v,0}} \leq \frac{1}{p_{v,0}}. \) Therefore, \( \mathbb{E}(\frac{1}{p_{v,0}}) \leq \max_{v \in V_n} \mathbb{E}(\frac{1}{p_{v,0}}) = \max_{i=1,\ldots,d} \mathbb{E}(\frac{1}{p_{v',i,0}}) \) for \( v' = v|_{|v|} \) since the random variables \( p_{v,0} \) and \( p_{u,0} \) are independent and identically distributed for \( u, v \in V_n \) with \( u|_{|u|} \neq v|_{|v|} \). Hence by using condition (2) and the integrability condition \( \mathbb{E}_\alpha (\sum_{v \in V_n} \pi[v]^{x_0}) < \infty \), the proof is completed by the same arguments as those used in the proof of the previous lemma. \( \square \)

3 Technical results
3.1 Colouring

Our results can be expressed more easily by introducing a systematic way to deal with the colouring of the tree.

We call colouring function a map \( c : V \rightarrow C \), where \( C \) is a finite discrete set, the colour set. We shall use in the sequel the colouring function with colour set \( \{1, \ldots , d\} \) defined by

\[
c(u) = \begin{cases} 
  u_{|u|} & \text{if } u \in \emptyset \setminus V \\
  \alpha \in \{1, \ldots , d\} & \text{if } u = (\emptyset) 
\end{cases}
\]

Thus the colouring of the tree introduces a natural ordering among vertices that are siblings; the root vertex that is assigned some arbitrarily prescribed colour \( \alpha \). To avoid ambiguity, we use — when necessary — subscripts or superscripts to indicate the root colour, e.g. \( \mathbb{E}_\alpha(\cdot) \) for expectations, \( Y_n^{(\alpha)} \) for random variables, etc.

For \( i, j \in \{1, \ldots , d\} \), we denote

\[
N_{ij}[u; v] = \sum_{k=|u|}^{|v|-1} \mathbf{1}_{(ij)}(c(v|_k), c(v|_{k+1}))
\]

the number of edges in the path \([u; v]\) with chromatic type \((ij)\). If \( u = (\emptyset) \), we use as usual the simplified notation \( N_{ij}[v] \). Using the independence of the random variables encountered on the edges of every path \([u; v]\), we remark that for every \( x \in [0, 1] \),

\[
\mathbb{E}_\alpha(\xi^x[u; v]) = m_{11}(x)^{N_{11}[u; v]} \cdots m_{dd}(x)^{N_{dd}[u; v]}
\]

\[
= \prod_{i=1}^{d} \prod_{j=1}^{d} m_{ij}(x)^{N_{ij}[u; v]},
\]

where \( m_{ij}(x) \), for \( i, j = 1, \ldots , d \) are the matrix elements of the matrix \( m(x) \).

Notations 3.1 Let \( \beta = (\beta_{ij})_{i,j \in \{1, \ldots , d\}} \) be a \( d \times d \) matrix with \( \beta_{ij} \in [0, 1] \) and \( \sum_{i,j=1}^{d} \beta_{ij} = 1 \). Let \( x \in [0, 1] \). We introduce the following functions

\[
\psi(\beta) = \prod_{i=1}^{d} \prod_{k=1}^{d} \left( \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{d} \beta_{ij}}{\beta_{ik}} \right)^{\beta_{ik}},
\]

\[
\chi(x, \beta) = \prod_{i=1}^{d} \prod_{j=1}^{d} m_{ij}(x)^{\beta_{ij}}, \text{ and}
\]

\[
\phi(x, \beta) = \psi(\beta)\chi(x, \beta),
\]

with the convention \( 0^0 = 1 \).
We are interested in the set of paths having pre-assigned chromatic type.

**Definition 3.2** Let \( n = (n_{i,j})_{i,j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}} \) be a given \( d \times d \) matrix of non-negative integer entries. Denote \( n = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j=1}^{d} n_{ij} \) and define, on the \( \alpha \)-rooted tree

\[
\mathcal{V}(n) = \{ v \in \mathcal{V}_n : N_{ij}[v] = n_{ij}, \forall i, j = 1, \ldots, d \}.
\]

The matrix \( n \) is called admissible chromatic matrix if \( \mathcal{V}(n) \neq \emptyset \).

**Remark:** Notice that the matrix \( (n_{ij}) \) to be admissible must verify some obvious constraints. For a given path \([v]\), it is immediate that

\[
\sum_{i,j} N_{ij}[v] = \sum_{k=0}^{\text{\text{|v|}-1}} \sum_{i,j} \mathbb{1}_{(ij)}(c(v|_k)c(v|_{k+1})) = |v|.
\]

Hence the number

\[
n = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j=1}^{d} n_{ij}
\]

(7)

uniquely defines the length of the path. Similarly

\[
\sum_{i} N_{ij}[v] = \sum_{k=0}^{\text{\text{|v|}-1}} \sum_{i} \mathbb{1}_{(ij)}(c(v|_k)c(v|_{k+1}))
\]

\[= \sum_{k=0}^{\text{\text{|v|}-1}} \mathbb{1}_{j}(c(v|_{k+1}))
\]

\[= N_j[v],
\]

where \( N_j[v] \) is the number of vertices of colour \( j \) in the path \([v_1; v]\). Hence, if \( n_j = \sum_{i} n_{ij} \) and \( k_j \) denotes the number of vertices of colour \( j \) in the path \([v]\), we have \( k_j = n_j + \delta_{j,\alpha} \).

Now reading the chromatic sequence of the path \([v]\) in reverse order, i.e. starting from level \(|v| \) down to the root, is equivalent in transposing the matrix \( n \). Hence \( k_j = \sum_{i} n_{ji} + \delta_{j,v_n} \).

Therefore, the matrix \( n \) must verify the quasi-symmetry condition

\[
\forall i, \sum_{j=1}^{d} (n_{ij} - n_{ji}) = \delta_{i,\alpha} - \delta_{i,v_n}
\]

(8)

to be admissible as chromatic matrix of a path \([v]\) in a \( \alpha \)-rooted tree.

**Lemma 3.3** Let \( n = (n_{i,j})_{i,j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}} \) be a \( d \times d \) matrix of non-negative integer entries that is admissible as chromatic matrix of a \( \alpha \)-rooted tree. We denote \( n_i = \sum_{j=1}^{d} n_{ij} \) and \( n = \sum_{i=1}^{d} n_i \). Let \( \mathcal{V}(n) = \{ v \in \mathcal{V}_n : N_{ij}[v] = n_{ij} \} \) and \( K(n) = \text{card} \mathcal{V}(n) \). Then

\[
K(n) = C_{n_1}^{(n_{11}, \ldots, n_{1d})} \cdots C_{n_d}^{(n_{d1}, \ldots, n_{dd})},
\]
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where
\[ C_{a_1, \ldots, a_d}^{(a_1, \ldots, a_d)} = \frac{(a_1 + \ldots + a_d)!}{a_1! \ldots a_d!}, \]
for non-negative integers \( a_1, \ldots, a_d \)

**Proof:** We know that \( \mathbb{V}(n) \neq \emptyset \) since \( n \) is admissible. We must enumerate the paths \([v]\) with \( v \in \mathbb{V}_n \) and having root colour \( \alpha \). The number \( n_i \) represents the total number of edges in the path with their first vertex of colour \( i \). To describe a path \([v]\) with \( N_{ij}[v] = n_{ij} \) for all \( i \) and \( j \), it is enough to choose among the \( n_i \) vertices of colour \( i \) their children of colour \( 1 \) (there are \( n_{i1} \) of them), \ldots , their children of colour \( d \) (there are \( n_{id} \) of them.) Hence for every colour \( i \), there are \( C_{n_{i1}, \ldots, n_{id}}^{(n_{i1}, \ldots, n_{id})} \) choices and the choice for every \( i \) is independent from the choice for every \( j \neq i \). \( \square \)

It is immediate to show by independence that
\[ \sum_{v \in \mathbb{V}(n)} E_\alpha(\xi^x[v]) = K(n)m_{11}(x)^{n_{11}} \ldots m_{dd}(x)^{n_{dd}}. \]

Notice that \( \mathbb{V}(n) \subset V_n \) is a *finite* set of vertices. We shall be interested into admissible chromatic matrices having large \( n \) and to the related notion of *infinite* subtree \( \mathbb{U}(n) \subset \mathbb{V} \) constructed by some iterative procedure to be described below.

First observe that if we denote \( n^{(\alpha)} \) an admissible chromatic matrix for a path in a \( \alpha \)-rooted tree, the chromatic matrix for the *same* path in a \( \alpha' \)-rooted tree, call it \( n^{(\alpha')} \), is such that \( n^{(\alpha)} - n^{(\alpha')} \) is a matrix having only one non-zero column and this column has only 2 non-zero elements, these elements having respectively values \( \pm 1 \) and \( \mp 1 \). Therefore, in the large \( n \) limit, when at least one element of the \( d \times d \) matrix \( n^{(\alpha)} \) is necessarily of \( \mathcal{O}(n) \), modifying the root colour changes only two elements of the matrix by \( \mathcal{O}(1) \). On the space \( \text{ACM}_n \) of admissible chromatic matrices with elements summing to \( n \), define the equivalence relation \( n \sim n' \) to mean \( n_{ij} - n'_{ij} = \mathcal{O}(1) \) for all \( i, j = 1, \ldots, d \). Then obviously admissible chromatic matrices for any root colour are in the same equivalence class. Given a root colour \( \alpha \), fix some \( n = n^{(\alpha)} \in \text{ACM}_n \). Each \( v \in \mathbb{V}(n) \) defines a path \([v]\) from \((\emptyset)\) to \( v \), so that vertices \( v \in \mathbb{V}(n) \) can be viewed as leaves of a finite tree. Now each such leaf, having colour \( \alpha' = v_n \), can be considered as the root of a new finite subtree composed only by paths of the type \( V(n^{(\alpha')}) \), where \( n^{(\alpha')} \) is the chromatic matrix obtained from \( n^{(\alpha)} \) by changing the root colour from \( \alpha \) into \( \alpha' \). This procedure can be repeated *ad infinitum* for each leaf and it gives rise to the infinite subtree \( \mathbb{U}(n) \) of \( \mathbb{V} \) having skeleton in the equivalence class of the matrix \( n \).

Notice also that the equivalence relation defined strictly on the space of admissible chromatic matrices can be extended to more general \( d \times d \) matrices of positive entries differing from admissible ones by terms of order \( \mathcal{O}(1) \).
3.2 Directional estimates

Let $\beta$ be a $d \times d$ matrix with $\beta_{ij} \geq 0$, $\sum_{i,j=1}^{d} \beta_{ij} = 1$, and $\sum_{j=1}^{d} \beta_{ij} = \sum_{j=1}^{d} \beta_{ji}$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, denote $\nu_{ij}(n) = \lfloor \beta_{ij} n \rfloor$.

Lemma 3.4 Let $\tilde{\nu}(n) \in ACM_n$ be an admissible chromatic matrix in the equivalence class of $\nu(n)$. Then, for large $n$, we have $K(\tilde{\nu}(n))^{1/n} = \psi(\beta)(1 + o(1))$.

Proof: Notice that $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\nu_{ij}(n)}{n} = \beta_{ij}$, hence by the previous remark, $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\tilde{\nu}_{ij}(n)}{n} = \beta_{ij}$ also. Now $\tilde{\nu}(n)$ is admissible, therefore $K(\tilde{\nu}(n))$ is obtained by the formula established in lemma 3.3. The proof is completed by applying Stirling’s formula to the factorials appearing in the formula for $K(\tilde{\nu}(n))$. \hfill \Box

Remark: The previous matrix $\beta$ must be thought as defining a main direction on the tree. In the sequel, we shall call direction $\beta$ a matrix $\beta$ with positive elements such that $\sum_{i,j=1}^{d} \beta_{ij} = 1$ and verifying the constraint $\sum_{j=1}^{d} (\beta_{ij} - \beta_{ji}) = 0$.

Lemma 3.5 For every direction $\beta$, there exists $x_{\beta} \in [0,1]$ such that

$$\min_{x \in [0,1]} \phi(x, \beta) = \phi(x_{\beta}, \beta).$$

Proof: Since, for every $i,j$, the distribution of the random variables $\xi_{ij}$ has not an atom at 0, it follows that $\mathbb{P}(\xi_{ij} > 0) = 1$. Therefore, the function $\log m_{ij}(x) = \log \mathbb{E}(\xi_{ij}^{x}) = \log \mathbb{E}(\exp(x \log \xi_{ij}))$, defined on $\mathbb{R}$, takes values in $]-\infty, +\infty]$. Now by Hölder’s inequality, it is convex and since $\xi_{ij}$ is not a trivial random variable (not almost surely a constant) it is strictly convex. Hence, the set $\{x \in \mathbb{R} : \log m_{ij}(x) < \infty\}$ is an interval of $\mathbb{R}$ containing 0 and 1 since $\log m_{ij}(0) = 0$ and $\log m_{ij}(1) = \log \mathbb{E}(\xi_{ij}) < \infty$ by the assumed integrability of $\xi_{ij}$. Hence $m_{ij}(x)$ is well defined on $[0,1]$ and by standard arguments, infinitely differentiable in $]0,1[.$

Moreover, for $x \in ]0,1[,$ $(\log m_{ij})'(x) = \frac{\mathbb{E}(\xi_{ij}^{x} \log \xi_{ij})}{m_{ij}(x)} = \frac{m_{ij}'(x)}{m_{ij}(x)}$. Now $\log \phi(x, \beta) = \log \psi(\beta) + \sum_{i,j} \beta_{ij} \log m_{ij}(x)$; hence $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \log \phi(x, \beta) = \sum_{i,j} \beta_{ij} \frac{m_{ij}'(x)}{m_{ij}(x)}$ and either this derivative vanishes for some $x_{\beta} \in ]0,1[ -$ and this will be a minimum because of convexity — or it never vanishes in $]0,1[$ and the minimum will be attained at one of the borders of $[0,1]$. Hence the minimum always exists in $]0,1[.$

Moreover, for non trivial $\xi_{ij}$, i.e. not identically equal to a constant for all $i,j$, the minimum is unique. \hfill \Box

Proposition 3.6 Let $\beta$ be a direction on the tree. Let $x_{\beta} = \arg \min_{x \in [0,1]} \phi(x, \beta)$. Denote by $\rho(x_{\beta})$ the largest eigenvalue of the matrix $m(x_{\beta})$ (assumed to be irreducible) and...
\( l(x_\beta) \) — respectively \( r(x_\beta) \) — the left — respectively right — eigenvector associated with the largest eigenvalue. On the space of \( d \times d \) direction matrices, introduce a mapping

\[
S : \beta \mapsto \beta' = (\beta'_{ij})_{i,j=1,\ldots,d}
\]

by

\[
\beta'_{ij} = bl_i(x_\beta)m_{ij}(x_\beta)r_j(x_\beta).
\]

Then

1. the constant \( b \) can be chosen so that \( \beta' \) is also a direction matrix,
2. \( \beta'_{ij} = 0 \) if, and only if, \( m_{ij}(x_\beta) = 0 \), and
3. the mapping \( S \) has a fixed point.

Proof:

1. Remark that \( \beta'_{ij} \geq 0 \) and since \( r \) is a right eigenvector, \( \sum_j \beta'_{ij} = bl_i(x_\beta)\rho(x_\beta)r_i(x_\beta) \), we can chose \( b = (\rho(x_\beta)<l(x_\beta) | r(x_\beta)>)^{-1} \), where \( <\cdot | \cdot> \) is the scalar product in \( \mathbb{R}^d \), to guarantee \( \sum_{ij} \beta'_{ij} = 1 \). Similarly, since \( l \) is the left eigenvector, we get \( \sum_j \beta'_{ji} = bl_i(x_\beta)\rho(x_\beta)r_i(x_\beta) \), fulfilling thus the constraint \( \sum_j (\beta'_{ij} - \beta'_{ji}) = 0 \). Therefore, \( \beta' \) is also a direction.

2. Since \( m(x) \) is an irreducible matrix, i.e. there exists \( N \) such that \( m(x)^N \) has all its elements strictly positive, the eigenvectors corresponding to the maximal eigenvalue have no zero components.

3. Let \( H \) be the set of direction matrices, viewed as a subset of the finite dimensional linear space \( \mathbb{R}^{d^2} \). Then \( H \) is compact and convex and the map \( S : H \rightarrow H \) is continuous. Therefore, by Brouwer’s theorem \( S \) has (at least) a fixed point.

\[\square\]

**Proposition 3.7** If for every direction \( \beta \), \( \inf_{x \in [0,1]} \phi(x,\beta) \leq C \) for some constant \( C \), then \( \exists x_0 \in [0,1] \) such that \( \rho(x_0) \leq C \).

**Proof:** Let \( \bar{\beta} \) be a fixed point of the map \( S \). Then \( \inf_{x \in [0,1]} \phi(x,\bar{\beta}) = \phi(y,\bar{\beta}) \leq C \). Compute now \( \phi(y,\bar{\beta}) \) by using the fact that \( l \) and \( r \) are left and right eigenvectors of \( m \).

\[
\phi(y,\bar{\beta}) = \left( \frac{bl_1(m_{11}r_1 + \ldots + m_{1d}r_d)}{bl_1m_{11}} \right) \bar{\beta}_{11} \ldots \left( \frac{bl_d(m_{d1}r_1 + \ldots + m_{dd}r_d)}{bl_dm_{dd}r_d} \right) \bar{\beta}_{dd} \\
= \rho(y) \sum_j \bar{\beta}_{ij} \left( \frac{r_1}{r_1} \right) \bar{\beta}_{11} \left( \frac{r_1}{r_2} \right) \bar{\beta}_{12} \ldots \left( \frac{r_1}{r_d} \right) \bar{\beta}_{1d} \ldots \left( \frac{r_d}{r_1} \right) \bar{\beta}_{d1} \ldots \left( \frac{r_d}{r_d} \right) \bar{\beta}_{dd}
\]
and since $\sum_{ij} \beta_{ij} = 1$ and $\sum_{j}(\beta_{ij} - \beta_{ji}) = 0$, we have finally that
\[ \phi(y, \beta) = \rho(y) \leq C. \]

Corollary 3.8 If $\inf_{x \in [0,1]} \rho(x) > 1$, then there exists a direction $\beta$ such that $\inf_{x \in [0,1]} \phi(x, \beta) > 1$.

Proof: Immediate from the previous proposition.

Lemma 3.9 If $\inf_{x \in [0,1]} \rho(x) > 1$, then there exists a direction $\hat{\beta}$ with rational coefficients such that $\inf_{x \in [0,1]} \phi(x, \hat{\beta}) > 1$

Proof: From the previous corollary, there exists a direction $\beta$ (with real coefficients) such that $\inf_{x \in [0,1]} \phi(x, \beta) > 1$. Now the function $f(\beta) = \inf_{x \in [0,1]} \phi(x, \beta)$ is continuous. Hence, for arbitrary directions $\beta$ and $\hat{\beta}$ there exists $C'$ such that $|f(\beta) - f(\hat{\beta})| \leq C'\|\beta - \hat{\beta}\|$ and since every real can be approximated arbitrarily well by rationals, the lemma follows.

3.3 Subtrees, branching and Chernoff-Cramér bound

Let $\hat{\beta}$ be a direction with rational coefficients. Since all its matrix elements are rational, there exist integers $\gamma$, depending on $\hat{\beta}$, such that all the products $\gamma \hat{\beta}_{ij}$, for $i, j = 1, \ldots, d$ are integer-valued. It is enough to choose the smallest non-zero such integer,

$\gamma = \inf\{n \in \mathbb{N}^* : \lfloor \hat{\beta}_{ij} n \rfloor = \hat{\beta}_{ij} n = \nu_{ij} \in \mathbb{N}, \forall i, j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}\}.
$

Consider the infinite subtree $U_{\gamma}(\nu)$ of $\mathcal{V}$ composed only from those paths that between levels $k\gamma$ and $(k+1)\gamma$, with $k \in \mathbb{N}$, have prescribed number $\nu_{ij} = \hat{\beta}_{ij} \gamma \in \mathbb{N}$ of edges of type $ij$. This subtree — viewed as a subtree of $\mathcal{V}$ — by use of lemma (3.4), has a branching ratio (see [13] for definition of branching ratio) $\text{br}(U_{\gamma}(\nu)) = \psi(\hat{\beta})$, therefore, viewed as a tree on its own, it will have branching ratio $\psi(\hat{\beta})\gamma$. We give below an extension of a technical result of [15] that yields the Chernoff-Cramér bound in our case.

Proposition 3.10 Suppose $\lambda = \inf_{x \in [0,1]} \rho(x) > 1$. Then there exists a rational direction $\hat{\beta}$, integers $N$ and $\gamma$ in $\mathbb{N}^*$, and a real $y \in [0,1]$ such that for all $k \geq N$,

$\mathbb{P}(\xi[u; v] > y^k) > \left(\frac{1}{y^\psi(\hat{\beta})}\right)^{\gamma k},
$

for all $u, v \in U_{\gamma}(\nu)$ with $u < v$, $|v| - |u| = k\gamma$, and $\nu_{ij} = \hat{\beta}_{ij} \gamma = \lfloor \hat{\beta}_{ij} \gamma \rfloor \in \mathbb{N}$.
Proof: Since \( \lambda > 1 \), by lemma 3.3 there exists a rational direction \( \hat{\beta} \) such that \( \inf_{x \in [0,1]} \phi(x, \hat{\beta}) > 1 \). Choose \( \gamma \) the smallest integer such that all the products \( \hat{\beta}_{ij} \gamma \) are integer-valued for all \( i, j \). This choice of \( \gamma \) fixes all the integers \( \nu_{ij} = \hat{\beta}_{ij} \gamma = [\hat{\beta}_{ij} \gamma] \) for \( i, j = 1, \ldots, d \). Therefore the subtree \( U_\gamma(\nu) \) is well defined. For some \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), whose value will be fixed later, let \( u, v \in U_\gamma(\nu) \) with \( u < v \) and \( |v| - |u| = k \gamma \). The family of the random variables \( (\xi_a)_{a \in [u;v]} \) is independent. Moreover, the product \( \prod_{a \in [u;v]} \xi_a = \xi_{[u;v]} \) can be written as \( \xi_{[u;v]} = \prod_{l=1}^{n} A_l \), with \( A_l = \prod_{a \in [v]_{|u|+(l-1)};[v]_{|u|+l}} \xi_a \). The family \( (A_l)_{l=1,...,k} \) is independent and identically distributed. Let \( \tau(x) = \mathbb{E}(A_1^x) \) and \( \alpha = \mathbb{E}(\log A_1) \) and denote \( I(x^*) \) the Legendre transform of \( \tau(x) \), i.e. \( I(x^*) = \sup_{x \in [0,1]} \{ xx^* - \log \tau(x) \} \) for \( x^* \in \mathbb{R} \). Then the sequence \( (S_n) \) with \( S_n = \sum_{l=1}^{n} \log(A_l) \) satisfies a large deviation principle with rate function \( I \), i.e.

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mathbb{P}(S_n > n \theta) = - \inf_{x^* > \theta} I(x^*).
\]

In other words, for every \( \epsilon > 0 \) we can find an integer \( N \) such that \( \frac{1}{n} \log \mathbb{P}(S_n > n \theta) \geq - \inf_{x^* > \theta} I(x^*) - \epsilon \) for all \( n \geq N \). Choose any \( k \geq N \). Then for this sufficiently large \( k \), we have

\[
\exp(k \epsilon) \mathbb{P} \left( \prod_{l=1}^{k} A_l \geq (\exp(\theta))^k \right) \geq \exp(\inf_{x^* > \theta} I(x^*))^{-k}.
\]

This bound is non-trivial if \( \theta > \alpha \) and then \( \inf_{x^* > \theta} I(x^*) = I(\theta) \). Writing, in that case, \( \log \hat{y} = \theta \), we compute explicitly \( \exp(I(\log \hat{y})) = \frac{\hat{y}}{y^1-x_0 \mathbb{E}A_1^{x_0}} \) where \( x_0 \) is the position where the function \( x \log \hat{y} - \log \tau(x) \) attains its minimum (as a function of \( x \)). Writing \( \hat{y} = \overline{y} \gamma \), we get finally

\[
\exp(-k \epsilon) \mathbb{P}(\xi_{[u;v]} > \overline{y}^{k \gamma}) \geq \frac{\overline{y}^{k \gamma(1-x_0)}(\mathbb{E}A_1^{x_0})^k}{\overline{y}^{k \gamma}} = \left( \frac{\overline{y}^{1-x_0} \chi(x_0, \hat{\beta})}{\overline{y}} \right)^{k \gamma} = \left( \frac{\overline{y}^{1-x_0} \chi(x_0, \hat{\beta})y_\psi(\hat{\beta})}{\overline{y} \psi(\hat{\beta})} \right)^{k \gamma}.
\]

By Fenchel’s equality (see [15]),

\[
\sup_{\overline{y} \in [0,1]} \inf_{x \geq 0} \overline{y}^{1-x} \chi(x, \hat{\beta}) = \inf_{x \in [0,1]} \chi(x, \hat{\beta}).
\]

Denoting \( y \) the value of \( \overline{y} \in [0,1] \) attaining the supremum in the left hand side of Fenchel’s equality, the previous inequality becomes

\[
\exp(-k \epsilon) \mathbb{P}(\xi_{[u;v]} > y^{k \gamma}) \geq \left( \frac{\inf_{x \in [0,1]} \chi(x, \hat{\beta})y_\psi(\hat{\beta})}{y \psi(\hat{\beta})} \right)^{k \gamma} = \left( \frac{\inf_{x \in [0,1]} \phi(x, \hat{\beta})}{y \psi(\hat{\beta})} \right)^{k \gamma}.
\]

Now, \( \inf_{x \in [0,1]} \phi(x, \hat{\beta}) > 1 \), hence there exists \( \delta > 0 \) such that \( \inf_{x \in [0,1]} \phi(x, \hat{\beta}) > \exp \delta \). Choosing then \( \epsilon \in [0, \delta \gamma] \), the result follows.

\[\square\]
4 Proof of non-ergodicity and transience

It will be shown in subsection 4.2 that in the case $\lambda > 1$ the random walk is transient; this result is based on some non-probabilistic estimates coming from the analogy between reversible Markov chains and electrical networks. We start however by proving under the same conditions, in subsection 4.1, a seemingly weaker result, guaranteeing only non-ergodicity. The reason is that this method is purely probabilistic and contains some information that can be used for the yet unsolved multiplicative chaos problem for the random walk in random environment on a coloured tree.

4.1 Non-ergodicity for the random walk in random environment in the case $\lambda > 1$

Inspired from the result 2.1.7 of [5] we prove the following

**Lemma 4.1** Let $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a real-valued process on the filtered space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \mathbb{P})$, adapted to the filtration $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, with $X_0 = x$, some constant, having uniformly bounded increments $Y_n$, i.e. there exists $a > 0$, such that $|Y_n| = |X_n - X_{n-1}| \leq a$ for all $n$. Suppose moreover that $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a strong submartingale i.e. there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\mathbb{E}(Y_n|\mathcal{F}_{n-1}) \geq \epsilon$ almost surely for all $n$. Let $\tau(\delta) = \inf\{n \geq 1 : X_n < x + n\delta\}$. Then, there exists some $\delta_1 = \delta_1(\epsilon) > 0$ such that for all $\delta < \delta_1$, $\mathbb{P}(\tau(\delta) = \infty) > 0$.

**Proof:** Write $X_n = x + \sum_{k=1}^{n} Y_k$. Then

$$
\mathbb{P}(X_n < x + \delta n) = \mathbb{P}(\sum_{k=1}^{n} Y_k > -\delta n)
= \mathbb{P}(\exp(-h \sum_{k=1}^{n} Y_k) > \exp(-h\delta n)) \text{ for } h \geq 0
\leq \exp(h\delta n) \mathbb{E}(\exp(-h \sum_{k=1}^{n} Y_k))
= \exp(h\delta n) \mathbb{E}(\prod_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}(\exp(-hY_k)|\mathcal{F}_{k-1})).
$$

Chose $h \leq 1/a$ and use the inequality $\exp(x) \leq 1 + x + 3x^2/2$ valid for $|x| < 1$ to bound the conditional expectation $\mathbb{E}(\exp(-hY_k)|\mathcal{F}_{k-1}) \leq 1 - h\epsilon + \frac{3\epsilon^2}{2} \equiv \exp(-\delta_2(h))$. Choosing $h \in [0, \frac{2\epsilon}{3\delta_2}]$ we get $\delta_2 > 0$. Hence $\mathbb{P}(X_n < x + \delta n) \leq \exp(-h\delta_2(h)/h)$ and choosing $\delta < \delta_1 = \delta_2(h)/h$ we get a bound that is exponentially small for large $n$, that is $\mathbb{P}(X_n < x + \delta n) \leq p_n$ with $p_n = \exp(-n\delta_3)$ with $\delta_3 > 0$. Now, by Borel-Cantelli lemma, the events $A_n = \{X_n < x + \delta n\}$ are realised for a finite number of indices, i.e. $\forall \gamma \in [0, 1[$
we can find \( m = m(\gamma) \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( \mathbb{P}(\cap_{n=m}^{\infty} A_n^* ) > \gamma \) and consequently \( \mathbb{P}(\tau(\delta) = \infty) > 0 \).

We shall now prove that when \( \lambda > 1 \), not only \( \mathbb{E}Z_n \to \infty \) but also \( Z_n \to \infty \) almost surely, where

\[
Z_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{v \in V_k} \xi[v] = \sum_{k=0}^{n} Y_k,
\]

and this result is enough to prove non-ergodicity for the random walk in random environment since it shows that the invariant measure \( \pi[v] \) cannot be normalised.

\textbf{Theorem 4.2} If \( \lambda > 1 \), then \( Z_n \to \infty \) almost surely.

\textbf{Remark:} This result in conjunction with the result concerning ergodicity localises the critical point for the chaos functional equation to \( \lambda = 1 \).

\textit{Proof of the theorem:} From lemma 3.9, since \( \lambda > 1 \), there exists a direction with rational coefficients \( \hat{\beta} \) such that \( \inf_{x \in [0,1]} \phi(x, \hat{\beta}) > 1 \). Choose some integer \( \gamma \) large enough so that \( \nu_{ij} = \hat{\beta}_{ij} \gamma = \lfloor \hat{\beta}_{ij} \gamma \rfloor \in \mathbb{N} \) for all \( i \) and \( j \) and such that all the integers \( \nu_{ij} \) are so large that Stirling’s formula applies.

Consider the infinite subtree \( U\gamma(\nu) \) having prescribed number of \( ij \)-type edges between levels that are at distances \( l\gamma \) and \( (l+1)\gamma \) from the root. Additionally, since \( \lambda > 1 \), by proposition 3.10, it is possible to choose \( y \in [0,1] \) and \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) so that for all \( u,v \in U\gamma(\nu) \) with \( u < v \) and \( |u| = lk\gamma \) and \( |v| = (l+1)k\gamma \) for some \( l \in \mathbb{N} \), the Chernoff-Cramér bound

\[
\mathbb{P}(\xi[u;v] > y^{k\gamma}) > \left( \frac{1}{y \psi(\beta)} \right)^{k\gamma}
\]

applies. We shall construct a minorating process \( (\tilde{Y}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) of \( (Y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) such that the corresponding sum process \( \tilde{Z}_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \tilde{Y}_k \to \infty \).

For every \( u \in U\gamma(\nu) \) define the random set

\[
D(u) = \{ v \in U\gamma(\nu) : v > u; |v| - |u| = k\gamma; \xi[u;v] > y^{k\gamma} \}.
\]

We have

\[
y^{k\gamma} \mathbb{E}|D(u)| = y^{k\gamma} \sum_{v \in U\gamma(\nu); v > u; |v| - |u| = k\gamma} \mathbb{P}(\xi[u;v] > y^{k\gamma}) > 1.
\]

We shall proceed recursively. Let \( \tilde{Y}_0 = 1 \). Define \( C_1 = B_1 = D(\emptyset) \) and let \( B'_1 \subseteq B_1 \) be such that \( 1 < \sum_{v \in B'_1} y^{[v]} < 2 \). Now either \( B'_1 = \emptyset \) and then we define \( \tau = 1 \) and stop the
process \( \tilde{Y} \) or \( B'_1 \neq \emptyset \) and then we set \( \tau > 1, B''_1 = B_1 \setminus B'_1 \) and \( \tilde{Y}_1 = \sum_{v \in B_1} y^{[v]} \). On the set \( \{ \tau > 1 \} \), we have \( |\tilde{Y}_1 - \tilde{Y}_0| \leq y^{k\gamma}d^{k\gamma} + 1 < 2y^{d\gamma}d^{k\gamma} \).

Suppose that \( \tau > n \) and the sequences \((\tilde{Y}_n), (C_n), (B_n), (B'_n), (B''_n)\) have been constructed up to time \( n \). Define \( C_{n+1} = \bigcup_{u \in B'_n} D(u), B_{n+1} = C_{n+1} \cup B''_n \) and let \( B'_{n+1} \subseteq B_{n+1} \) be an arbitrary subset of \( B_{n+1} \) chosen so that \( 1 < \sum_{v \in B'_{n+1}} y^{[v]} < 2 \). Now, either \( B'_{n+1} = \emptyset \) and then \( \tau = n + 1 \) and the process is stopped, or else \( B'_{n+1} \neq \emptyset \) and then \( \tau > n + 1 \), \( B''_{n+1} = B_{n+1} \setminus B'_{n+1} \), \( C_{n+1} = \bigcup_{v \in B''_n} D(v) \), and \( \tilde{Y}_{n+1} = \sum_{v \in B_{n+1}} y^{[v]} \). The increments of the process \((\tilde{Y}_n)\) are bounded since

\[
|\tilde{Y}_{n+1} - \tilde{Y}_n| = \left| \sum_{v \in C_{n+1}} y^{[v]} - \sum_{v \in B''_n} y^{[v]} - \sum_{v \in B'_n} y^{[v]} \right| \\
= \sum_{v \in C_{n+1}} y^{[v]} - \sum_{v \in B''_n} y^{[v]} \\
= \sum_{v \in B'_n} y^{[v]} (y^{k\gamma}|D(v)| - 1) \\
\leq 2y^{k\gamma}d^{k\gamma}.
\]

On the other hand, the process is a strong submartingale since the conditional increments with respect to the natural filtration verify

\[
\mathbb{E}(\tilde{Y}_{n+1} - \tilde{Y}_n|\mathcal{F}_n) = \sum_{v \in B''_n} y^{[v]}|\mathbb{E}(y^{k\gamma}|D(v)| - 1|\mathcal{F}_n) > \epsilon,
\]

by virtue of the previous induction step and of the Chernoff-Cramér bound, valid because \( \lambda > 1 \).

Hence, \((\tilde{Y}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}\) is a strong submartingale with bounded jumps and by the previous lemma there exists some index \( L \) and some positive \( \delta \) such that \( \tilde{Y}_l > 1 + \delta l \) for all \( l \geq L \), showing that \( \tilde{Y}_l \rightarrow \infty \) with some strictly positive probability. A fortiori, the same conclusion holds for the processes \((Y_n)\) and \((Z_n)\). Now the event \( \{Z_n \rightarrow \infty\} \) is a tail-measurable event and the random variables \((\zeta_a)_{a \in A(V)}\) are independent for different generations. Hence by the 0-1 law, \( \mathbb{P}(Z_n \rightarrow \infty) = 1 \).

\[\square\]

### 4.2 Transience for the random walk in random environment for the case \( \lambda > 1 \)

To prove transience, we need some not probabilistic methods based on electric networks analogy (see [1] for instance). This is possible since we are dealing with reversible Markov chains.

**Theorem 4.3** If \( \lambda > 1 \), the random walk in random environment is almost surely transient.
Proof: After the long preparatory work on directional estimates, the proof of transience is essentially reduced to an appropriate extension of the Chernoff-Cramér bound. Recall that a cutset is a finite set, \( C \), of vertices not including the root vertex, such that any path from the root vertex to the boundary of the tree intersects the set \( C \) at exactly one vertex. It is enough to show, as it was the case in [15], that there exists \( w \in [0,1] \) such that for every cutset \( C \), there exists some \( \epsilon > 0 \) such that \( \sum_{v \in C} w^{|v|} \xi[v] > \epsilon \). We only sketch the proof since — using the extension of the Chernoff-Cramér bound established in proposition 3.10 — it follows the same lines as the proof of item i) of theorem 1 in [15]. Let \( \hat{\beta}, \gamma, k, \) and \( y \) be the parameters determined in proposition 3.10. Fix some sufficiently small \( \epsilon > 0 \) and consider the random subgraph \( \overline{W} \) of \( \bigcup_{\gamma}(\nu) \) whose paths \([u;v]\) with \( u, v \in \bigcup_{\gamma}(\nu) \), \( u < v \), and \(|v| - |u| = k\gamma \) have been erased if either \( \xi[u;v] < y^{k\gamma} \) holds or \( A_l < \epsilon \) for some \( l = 1, \ldots ,k \) holds, where \( A_l = \xi[|v|_{|u|+(l-1)\gamma};|v|_{|u|+l\gamma}] \). Therefore, by proposition 3.10, edges remain with probability \( p > (y\psi(\hat{\beta}))^{-k\gamma} \). Choose \( w \in \left(\psi(\hat{\beta})^{1/k\gamma}\right)^{-1}, 1\). Since \( p\psi(\hat{\beta})^{k\gamma} > 1/(wy)^{k\gamma} > 1 \) by percolation arguments there is a subtree \( \bigcup_{k\gamma}(\nu) \) of \( V \) having branching bigger than \( 1/(wy)^{k\gamma} \). Then we conclude as in [15].

5 Some results on random walks in random environments stemming from multiplicative chaos and vice-versa

5.1 A multiplicative chaos approach of the model on a regular tree

We use here the results on multiplicative chaos established in a series of papers [4, 10, 11] to study the behaviour of the random walk in random environment on a regular tree by providing independent proofs of those stated in [15], stemming solely from results on multiplicative chaos.

Let us first remind some basic results on multiplicative chaos. For the problem of the random walk in random environment on a regular tree, the multiplicative chaos process reads

\[
Y_n[0] \equiv Y_n = \sum_{v_1, \ldots ,v_n=1}^{d} \xi_{a(v_1)}\xi_{a(v_1v_2)} \cdots \xi_{a(v_1 \ldots v_n)}.
\]
Denoting for every \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) by \( \mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(\xi_{a(v)}, v \in \cup_{k=0}^n \mathbb{V}_k) \), we have that

\[
\mathbb{E}(Y_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}) = \sum_{v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1} = 1}^d \xi_{a(v_1)} \xi_{a(v_1 v_2)} \cdots \xi_{a(v_1 \ldots v_{n-1} n)} \sum_{v_n = 1}^d \mathbb{E} \xi_{a(v_1 \ldots v_n)} = \sum_{v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1} = 1}^d \xi_{a(v_1)} \xi_{a(v_1 v_2)} \cdots \xi_{a(v_1 \ldots v_{n-1} n)} \sum_{j=1}^d \mathbb{E} \eta_j = Y_{n-1} f(1),
\]

where we recall that \( f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^d \mathbb{E} \eta_i^x \) and \( g(x) = \log f(x) \). Now, if \( f(1) = 1 \), the process \( (Y_n) \) is a non-negative martingale converging almost surely to a random variable \( Y_\infty \in \mathbb{R}^+ \). The interesting and highly non-trivial question is whether this convergence holds also in \( \mathcal{L}^1 \).

Remark on the other hand that we can write \( Y_n[0] = \sum_{v \in \mathbb{V}_1} \xi_{a(v)} Y_{n-1}[v] \) where \( Y_{n-1}[v] \) are independent from the \( (\xi_{a(v)})_v \). If the limit in distribution when \( n \to \infty \) exists, this gives rise to the functional equation

\[
Y = \sum_{j=1}^d \eta_j Y'_i,
\]

where \( Y \) and \( Y'_i \) have the same law for all \( i = 1, \ldots, d \) and can be chosen independent. If we denote by \( \mu \) the common distribution of all \( i = 1, \ldots, d \) and \( \mu \) its Laplace transform, the functional equation can be seen as a mapping, \( T \), from the space of Laplace transforms of probability measures into itself, reading

\[
T \hat{\mu}(s) = \mathbb{E} \left( \prod_{i=1}^d \hat{\mu}(\eta_i s) \right).
\]

We use the same symbol, \( T \), to denote the induced mapping on the space of probability measures \( \mathcal{M}_1^+([0, \infty[) \). Denote \( \text{NonTrivFix}(T) = \{ \mu \in \mathcal{M}_1^+([0, \infty[) : T \mu = \mu \text{ and } \mu \neq \delta_0 \} \).

There are several known results on the non-trivial fixed points of \( T \) provided some additional moment conditions hold.

**Theorem 5.1 (Durrett and Liggett [4])** Assume that for some \( \delta > 1 \), \( \mathbb{E} \eta_i^\delta < \infty \) for all \( i \in \{1, \ldots, d\} \). Then \( \text{NonTrivFix}(T) \neq \emptyset \) if and only if for some \( \alpha \in [0, 1] \) we have \( g(\alpha) = 0 \) and \( g'(\alpha) \leq 0 \). If \( g(1) = 0 \) and \( g'(1) < 0 \), then every \( \mu \in \text{NonTrivFix}(T) \) has \( \beta \) moments with \( \beta > 1 \) if and only if \( g(\beta) < 0 \).

Liu substantially improved these results in [10, 11] by both weakening the moment condition and by allowing random branching i.e. \( d \) becoming a random variable having some known joint distribution with the random variables \( (\eta_i)_i \). We state his result in the special case of interest for us here, namely the case where \( d \) is a constant and where we
have assumed that $\mathbb{P}(\eta_i > 0) = 1$ for all $i$. Notice however that the case of random $d$ allows the treatment of general trees with random branching, covering — and even extending — the ones considered in [15]. This study is postponed to a subsequent paper.

Theorem 5.2 (Liu [10]) Assume that $E[(\sum_{i=1}^{d} \eta_i)(\log(\sum_{i=1}^{d} \eta_i))] < \infty$, where $\log^+ z = \max(0, \log z)$. Then $\text{NonTrivFix}(T) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $g(1) = 0$ and $g'(1) < 0$. Moreover, the solutions of the functional equation have finite first moment and there is a unique such probability measure having first moment equal to 1.

For all subsequent calculations, it is enough to consider the chaos process $(Y_n)$ only in the case $f(1) = 1$. In the other situations, by renormalising the random variables we always construct some process satisfying $f(1) = 1$. For this case, $(Y_n)$ is a non-negative martingale verifying $E(Y_n) = 1$ for all $n$ and converging thus almost surely to a limit $Y_\infty$. By Fatou’s lemma, $E(Y_\infty) \leq E(Y_n) = 1$. Thus the limit of the process $(Y_n)$ is always integrable. The question is whether $E(Y_\infty) = 1$. On the other hand, we can seek for solutions of the functional equation (9). For such solutions to be interpreted as limits of the martingale $(Y_n)$, they must be integrable. By Liu’s theorem, we know the conditions of existence of non-trivial integrable solutions to the functional equation. The following theorem guarantees that then the corresponding martingale is uniformly integrable.

Theorem 5.3 (Kahane and Peyrière [8]) With the same notation as above, the following conditions are equivalent:

1. $E(Y_\infty) = 1$
2. $E(Y_\infty) > 0$
3. the functional equation (9) has an integrable solution $Y$ such that $E(Y) = 1$.

We are now able to give the proof of the theorem 1.2 that will be split into three different régimes.

Theorem 5.4 Let $\lambda = \inf_{x \in [0,1]} f(x)$. If $\lambda < 1$, then, almost surely, $Z_\infty < \infty$ and the random walk is ergodic.

Proof: Since $\lambda < 1$, the qualitative behaviour of the function $f$ can only be in one of the three possibilities depicted in figure 4.

In all these cases, there is an $\alpha \in [0,1]$ such that $g(\alpha) = 0$ and $g'(\alpha) < 0$. Introduce now the renormalised random variables $\tilde{\eta}_i = \eta_i^\alpha$ for this value of $\alpha \in [0,1]$. We denote with a tilde all the objects relative to the new random variables $\tilde{\eta}_i$, like $\tilde{\xi}^v$, $\tilde{Y_n}$, $\tilde{f}$, or $\tilde{g}$, defined
in the same way as the corresponding objects without tilde for the random variables \( \eta_i \). We compute for instance \( \tilde{f}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \tilde{\eta}_i x = f(\alpha x) \) and \( \tilde{g}(x) = g(\alpha x) \).

Introduce now the variables \( \hat{\eta}_i = \frac{\eta_i}{f(t)} \) for some value of \( t \) that will be determined later. All the quantities relative to the new variables \( \hat{\eta}_i \) will now be distinguished by the caret symbol \(^\wedge\). We have

\[
\hat{g}(y) = g(\alpha ty) - yg(\alpha t)
\]

and

\[
\hat{g}'(y) = \alpha tg'(\alpha ty) - g(\alpha t),
\]

establishing thus that \( \hat{g}(1) = 0 \) for all possible choices of \( t \).

Let \( \beta_0 \) be the abscissa of the point of the graph of \( g \) that lies also on the straight line from the origin tangent to the graph (see figure 3).

---

**Figure 1:** The generic behaviour of the function \( f \) in the subcritical case \( \lambda < 1 \).

**Figure 2:** The graph of the function \( g(x) = \log f(x) \) in the case \( \lambda < 1 \). The straight line from the origin tangent to the graph of \( g \) touches the graph at a point with abscissa \( \beta_0 \in ]\alpha, x_0[ \).
Choose now \( t = \beta/\alpha \) for some \( \beta \in ]\alpha, \beta_0[ \). For that choice of \( t \), we have \( g'(1) = \beta g' (\beta) - g(\beta) < 0 \) and \( \hat{f}(t) = f(\beta) < f(\alpha) < 1 \).

Since now \( \hat{g}(1) = 0 \) and \( \hat{g}'(1) < 0 \) the process \((\hat{Y}_n)_n\) with

\[
\hat{Y}_n = \sum_{v \in V_n} \hat{\xi}[v] = \frac{1}{f(\beta)^n} \sum_{v \in V_n} \hat{\xi}[v],
\]

converges in \( L^1 \) to a random variable \( \hat{Y}_\infty \in \mathbb{R}^+ \) by theorem 5.2. Since \( f(\beta) < 1 \) and \( \hat{Y}_\infty < \infty \) almost surely, then

\[
\sum_n \sum_{v \in V_n} \xi^\beta[v] = \sum_n f(\beta)^n \hat{Y}_n < \infty \quad \text{almost surely.}
\]

Hence we conclude as in lemma 2.1 that \( Z_\infty < \infty \) almost surely. Therefore the invariant measure is normalisable and the random walk is ergodic. \( \square \)

**Theorem 5.5** If \( \lambda = \inf_{x \in [0,1]} f(x) = 1 \) and \( \sum_{i=1}^d \mathbb{E}(\eta_i \log \eta_i) < 0 \) then, almost surely, \( 0 < Y_\infty < \infty \), \( Z_\infty = \infty \), and the random walk is null-recurrent.

**Proof:** Denote by \( x_0 \) the unique point of the interval \( [0,1] \) attaining the infimum, i.e. \( f(x_0) = \lambda = 1 \). By the strict convexity of the function \( g(x) = \log f(x) \), we can have only three possibilities, depicted generically for the function \( f \) on the figure 3 below; similar figures can be drawn for \( g = \log f \).

![Figure 3: The generic behaviour of the function f in the critical case \( \lambda = 1 \). In a) \( f(1) = 1 \) and \( f'(1) = 0 \), in b) \( f(1) = 1 \) and \( f'(1) < 0 \), and in c) \( f(x_0) = 1 \) but \( f(1) > 1 \) and \( f'(1) > 0 \).](image)

Cases a) and c) are excluded by the condition \( \sum_{i=1}^d \mathbb{E}(\eta_i \log \eta_i) < 0 \) so that it is enough to consider the case b) where \( g(1) = 0 \) and \( g'(1) < 0 \). Hence by theorem 5.4 the functional equation (9) has non-trivial integrable solutions and by theorem 5.3 the process \((Y_n)_n\) is an uniformly integrable martingale converging in \( L^1 \) to the random variable \( Y_\infty \), i.e. \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}|Y_n - Y_\infty| = 0 \) and subsequently the Cesàro mean, \( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (Y_k - Y_\infty) \to 0 \). Therefore, \( Z_n/n \to Y_\infty \) almost surely and \( Y_\infty \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \{0\} \) almost surely because
the martingale is uniformly integrable, establishing thus that $Z_\infty = \infty$ almost surely. This guarantees that the walk is not ergodic. On the other hand, since $Y_n \to Y_\infty \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \{0\}$ almost surely, using the Nash-Williams criterion based on the electric circuit analogy (see corollary 4.3 of \cite{13} for instance) the walk cannot be transient. Hence the walk is almost surely null-recurrent.

Finally we treat the supercritical case $\lambda > 1$.

**Theorem 5.6** Let $\lambda = \inf_{x \in [0,1]} f(x)$. If $\lambda > 1$ then almost surely $Y_\infty = \infty$, $Z_\infty = \infty$, and the random walk is transient.

**Proof:** Let $x_0 \in [0,1]$ be such that $f(x_0) = \inf_{x \in [0,1]} f(x) = \lambda > 1$. The qualitative behaviour of the function $f$ has two possibilities depicted in figure (4) below.

![Figure 4: The generic behaviour of the function $f$ in the supercritical case $\lambda > 1$.](image)

We have omitted the totally trivial case where the infimum is attained at $x_0 = 0$, in which case $g(0) = \log d$ and $g'(0) \geq 0$ since then necessarily $\sum_{i=1}^d \eta_i \geq 1$ and consequently $Y_n \to \infty$ exponentially fast. In case a) where $x_0 = 1$, introduce the random variables $\tilde{\eta}_h = \frac{\eta}{f(1)}$ with corresponding function $\tilde{g}(x) = g(x) - xg(1)$. We have $\tilde{g}(1) = 0$ and $\tilde{g}'(1) = g'(1) - g(1) < 0$ since $g'(1) \leq 0$ and $g(1) > 0$. Thus by theorem 5.2 we have again the uniform integrability of the martingale $(\tilde{Y}_n)_n$ reading

$$\tilde{Y}_n = \frac{1}{\lambda n} \sum_{v \in V_n} \xi[v] = \frac{Y_n}{\lambda n},$$

which converges in $\mathcal{L}^1$ to a random variable with $0 < \tilde{Y}_\infty < \infty$. Since $\lambda > 1$, necessarily $Y_n \to \infty$ and a fortiori $Z_\infty = \infty$ almost surely.

In case b) where $x_0 < 1$, we consider the random variables $\tilde{\eta}_h = \frac{\eta x_0}{f(x_0)}$ with $\tilde{g}(x) = g(x x_0) - xg(x_0)$ and the random variables $\tilde{\eta}_h = \frac{\eta y}{f(t)}$ with $\tilde{g}(y) = g(t y x_0) - y(g(t x_0))$ for some $t$ that will be determined later. Obviously $\tilde{g}(1) = 0$ for all choices of $t$. Compute $\tilde{g}'(1) = t x_0 g'(t x_0) - g(t x_0)$ and define $\beta_0$ as the unique point in $[0,1]$ that is absicza of
Figure 5: The graph of the function \( g(x) = \log f(x) \) in the case \( \lambda > 1 \). The straight line from the origin tangent to the graph of \( g \) touches the graph at a point with abscissa \( \beta_0 > x_0 \).

the point where a straight line emanating from the origin is tangent to the graph of \( g \) (see figure 5).

Necessarily, \( \beta_0 > x_0 \). Choose an arbitrary \( \beta \in ]0, \beta_0[ \) and let \( t = \beta/x_0 \). For that choice of \( t \), \( \hat{g}'(1) = \beta g'(|\beta|) - g(|\beta|) \) and since \( \beta < \beta_0 \), we have that \( \hat{g}'(1) < 0 \). Observe also that \( \hat{\eta} = \frac{\eta_0}{f(\beta)} \). Hence the process \( \hat{Y}_n \) with

\[
\hat{Y}_n = \sum_{v \in V_n} \frac{\xi^\beta[v]}{f(\beta)^n}
\]

is a uniformly integrable martingale converging in \( L^1 \) to an almost surely positive limit.

Denote \( Y_n^{(\beta)} = \sum_{v \in V_n} \xi^\beta[v] \). Since \( Y_n^{(\beta)} \rightarrow \hat{Y}_\infty \) in \( L^1 \) with \( 0 < \hat{Y}_\infty < \infty \) for all \( \beta < \beta_0 \), it follows that \( \frac{1}{n} \log Y_n^{(\beta)} \rightarrow g(\beta) \) for all \( \beta < \beta_0 \).

Now by a standard argument used in statistical mechanics (subadditivity and law of large numbers) for all \( \beta \in [0, 1] \), \( \liminf \frac{1}{n} \log Y_n^{(\beta)} = \sigma(\beta) \) where \( \sigma(\beta) \) is a convex function. For \( \beta < \beta_0 \) the sequence has a limit (hence it coincides with its limes infimum) so that \( \sigma(\beta) = g(\beta) \) for \( \beta < \beta_0 \). By convexity, the graph of \( \sigma(\beta) \) for \( \beta > \beta_0 \) is bounded from below by the tangent of \( g(\beta) \) at \( \beta_0 \) (this argument is used in the context of statistical mechanics in general [18] and that of disordered systems in particular in [4]). Since \( g'(\beta_0) > 0 \) and \( g(\beta_0) > \lambda \), we have that \( \sigma(\beta) \geq \lambda + (\beta - \beta_0)g'(\beta_0) > 0 \) for all \( \beta > \beta_0 \). Hence \( Y_n^{(\beta)} \rightarrow \infty \) for all values \( \beta > \beta_0 \) and in particular for \( \beta = 1 \) so that \( Y_n \) tends to \( \infty \) exponentially fast and a fortiori \( Z_\infty = \infty \). Since the process \( Y_n \) diverges exponentially fast, using again Nash-Williams criterion, for every \( \epsilon > 0 \) we can find some \( w \in ]0, 1[ \) such that for every cutset \( C \), \( \sum_{v \in C} w^{|v|} \xi[v] > \epsilon \) and thus the walk is transient. \( \square \)
5.2 Some open problems on multiplicative chaos

The last subsection demonstrated the close relationship between results on multiplicative chaos and reversible Markov chains. In particular, the most difficult part for the Markov chain problem, namely the critical case $\lambda = 1$ becomes an immediate consequence of the theorem on the existence of non trivial solutions of the functional equation and the uniform integrability of the corresponding martingale, once the conditions for the existence of non trivial solutions are known. This analogy can even be extended on more general settings to include the case of random trees and of general distributions for the environment that correspond to situations much more general than the one considered in [15]. Actually, what plays an important rôle is the theorem (1) of [4] but this theorem is properly generalised by Liu [11] to include random number of variables $d$. Therefore, the treatment of random walks in general random environment on random trees becomes accessible by virtue of the results of Liu on multiplicative chaos.

We get conditions under which the chaos processes $Y_n$ and $Z_n$ tend to $\infty$ or remain finite according to the values of the parameter $\lambda$. The precise study of this classification gives rise to a multiplicative chaos functional equation of the type

$$Y^{(\alpha)} \overset{d}{=} \sum_{\beta} \eta_{\alpha\beta} Y^{(\alpha\beta)}$$

for which the conditions of existence of non trivial solutions are not known. In view of the results on the random walk problems it is expected that the classifying parameter in this problem is the largest eigenvalue of the matrix of moments $m(x)$. This problem is actually under investigation. The above mentioned intuition is confirmed by some preliminary results, by the partial results of [1] and by physical intuition. As a matter of fact the random walk in a random environment can also be viewed as a physical system of spins in a quenched disorder. In the random string problem the quenching is quite stringent so that the Lyapunov’s exponent appear. On the contrary, the random walk in random environment on the coloured tree behaves very much like a self-averaging problem.
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