**CICRY2 facilitates floral transition in Chrysanthemum lavandulifolium by affecting the transcription of circadian clock-related genes under short-day photoperiods**
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**Abstract**

Plants sense photoperiod signals to confirm the optimal flowering time. Previous studies have shown that Cryptochrome2 (CRY2) functions to promote floral transition in the long-day plant (LDP) Arabidopsis; however, the function and molecular mechanism by which CRY2 regulates floral transition in short-day plants (SDPs) is still unclear. In this study, we identified a CRY2 homologous gene, CICRY2, from Chrysanthemum lavandulifolium, a typical SDP. The morphological changes in the C. lavandulifolium shoot apex and CFTs expression analysis under SD conditions showed that adult C. lavandulifolium completed the developmental transition from vegetative growth to reproductive growth after eight SDs. Meanwhile, CICRY2 mRNA exhibited an increasing trend from 0 to 8 d of SD treatment. CICRY2 overexpression in wild-type (WT) Arabidopsis and C. lavandulifolium resulted in early flowering. The transcript levels of the CONSTANS-like (COL) genes CICOL1, CICOL4, and CICOL5, and FLOWERING LOCUS T (FT) homologous gene CIFT1 were upregulated in CICRY2 overexpression (CICRY2-OE) C. lavandulifolium under SD conditions. The transcript levels of some circadian clock-related genes, including PSEUDO-RESPONSE REGULATOR 5 (PRR5), ZEITLUPE (ZTL), FLAVIN-BINDING KELCH REPEAT F-BOX 1 (FKF1), and GIGANTEA (GI-1 and GI-2), were upregulated in CICRY2-OE C. lavandulifolium, while the expression levels of other circadian clock-related genes, such as EARLY FLOWERING 3 (ELF3), ELF4, LATE ELONGATED HYPOCOTYL (LHY), PRR73, and REVELLE8 (RVE8), were downregulated in CICRY2-OE C. lavandulifolium under SD conditions. Taken together, the results suggest that CICRY2 promotes floral transition by fine-tuning the expression of circadian clock-related gene, CICOLs and CIFT1 in C. lavandulifolium under SD conditions.

**Introduction**

Plants sense changes in external circumstances and integrate these signals with internal factors, such as gibberellin and age, to ensure optimal flowering time, which could guarantee the reproduction of the species. Light length, namely photoperiod, changes regularly with different seasons. Most plants can sense photoperiod signals during seasonal changes. The plants monitor photoperiod signal changes by photoreceptors in their leaves and regulate the transcription of CONSTANS (CO) and FLOWERING LOCUS T (FT) to promote (or delay) the floral transition through different pathways.

Plants have evolved at least five distinct families of sensory photoreceptors, including Cryptochromes (CRYs), FLAVIN-BINDING KELCH REPEAT F-BOX 1 (FKF1), ZEITLUPE (ZTL)/LOV KELCH PROTEIN 2 (LKP2), Phototropins (PHOTs), Phytochromes (PHYs), and UV
RESISTANCE LOCUS 8 (UVR8)\textsuperscript{11}. Among these, PHYs, CRYs, and FKF1/ZTL/LKP2 are present as small gene families in all higher plants and they could control photo-periodic induction of flowering. CRYs are unique photoreceptors present in all major evolutionary lineages\textsuperscript{12}. They are flavoproteins with similar sequences to DNA photolyases, which are light-activated DNA repair enzymes that mediate light signals to remove pyrimidine dimers from DNA to repair UV-induced DNA damage\textsuperscript{13,14}. CRYs have lost DNA photolyase activity, but possess other biochemical functions\textsuperscript{15,16}. For instance, they regulate floral transition and the circadian clock system in plants\textsuperscript{5,13,14}. The function of CRYs in regulating photoperiodic flowering varies in different higher plants. Arabidopsis\textsuperscript{cry}2 mutant exhibits a late-flowering phenotype under long day (LD) conditions rather than short day (SD) conditions\textsuperscript{17}, which infers that \textit{CRY2} can specifically sense the inductive photoperiod signals to regulate the floral transition in \textit{Arabidopsis}. \textit{OsCRY2}\textsuperscript{18} in rice, and \textit{MdCRY1}\textsuperscript{19} and \textit{MdCRY2}\textsuperscript{20} in apple act as floral stimulators, while \textit{SICRY2} in tomato acts as an inhibitor to delay the flowering time\textsuperscript{21}. \textit{PsCRY1} in pea has a slight inhibitory function on flowering, while \textit{phyacyl1} in pea shows a distinct late-flowering phenotype\textsuperscript{22}, indicating that \textit{PsCRY1} regulates floral transition in the presence of other photoreceptors, such as \textit{PsPHYA}.

The CRY apoprotein is composed of the following two domains: (1) an N-terminal photolyase-homologous region (PHR) domain and (2) a Cryptochrome C-terminal extension domain (CCE)\textsuperscript{6}. The PHR domain binds flavin adenine dinucleotide (FAD) and methenyltetrahydrofolate (MTHF)\textsuperscript{15,23–25}. The CCE domain shares little sequence similarity among various plant species and is involved in CRY signal transduction\textsuperscript{26}. Plant CRYs share a conserved DQXVP-acidic-STAESSS (DAS) motif in the CCE domain\textsuperscript{6,27}. CRYs participate in the following three distinct pathways to control floral transition: (1) the CONSTITUTIVE PHOTOMORPHO-GENESIS 1 (COP1)/SUPPRESSOR of PHYA-105 (SPA1) dependent pathway, in which photoexcited CRYs promote the stability of CO protein by suppressing the activity of COP1/SPA1 complex\textsuperscript{28–30}; (2) the CRY2-INTERACTING BHLHs (CIBs) dependent pathway\textsuperscript{28,31}, in which CIBs converge signals from CRYs and ZTLs to regulate \textit{FT} transcripts; and (3) the EARLY FLOWERING 3 (ELF3)/COP1-FKF1/GIGANTEA (GI) pathway, in which CRYs promote the stability of CO protein through the ELF3/COP1 and FKF1/GI complexes\textsuperscript{32}. To date, studies on plant CRYs regulating photoperiodic flowering mainly focus on the CRYs-COP1/SPA and CRY2-CIB pathways. CRYs are also involved in circadian clock input and output pathways to regulate photoperiodic flowering\textsuperscript{33}. However, the molecular mechanism by which CRYs regulate floral transition through circadian clock genes remains unclear.

Chrysanthemum (\textit{Chrysanthemum × morifolium} Ramat.), commonly known as Flower of the Eastern, enjoys a major share of the commercial flower market. The primary objective of chrysanthemum breeding focuses on the modification of flowering time to meet the demand for marketable flowers throughout the year. \textit{CRY2} specifically regulates floral transition in \textit{Arabidopsis} under inductive LD conditions\textsuperscript{17}. The homologous \textit{CRY2} gene in chrysanthemum may play a crucial role in regulating the floral transition under inductive SD conditions. To date, the molecular mechanism by which \textit{CRY2} mediates SD signals to regulate the floral transition in chrysanthemum is unknown. \textit{Chrysanthemum lavandulifolium} is a diploid wild species in the chrysanthemum genus. Our previous studies report that \textit{C. lavandulifolium} is an obligate SD plant that is widely distributed in the northeast regions of China\textsuperscript{34}, and reveal the functions of the \textit{CO} and \textit{FT} homologous genes in regulating the floral transition in \textit{C. lavandulifolium}\textsuperscript{34,35}. In this paper, we used \textit{C. lavandulifolium} as a model plant for chrysanthemum cultivars to explore the role of \textit{CICRY2} in floral transition. Our studies revealed the role of \textit{CICRY2} in floral transition and showed the regulatory role of \textit{CICRY2} in the circadian clock-related genes, \textit{CICOL} genes and the florigen gene \textit{GIFT1} during floral transition in \textit{C. lavandulifolium}. The present study reveals a pathway whereby \textit{CICRY2} mediates SD signals to regulate floral transition in \textit{C. lavandulifolium}. \textit{CICRY2} can also serve as a vital target for the genetic manipulation of flowering in chrysanthemum.

Materials and methods

\textbf{Plant materials \textit{C. lavandulifolium} seedlings} 

The wild-type (WT) \textit{C. lavandulifolium} lines were grown in Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium (pH 6.0 and 0.6% w/v agar) under LD conditions (16 h light/8 h dark, 50 \textmu m\textsuperscript{-2} s\textsuperscript{-1}), and the transgenic lines were grown in MS containing 400 mg/L carbenicillin (Car) and 7 mg/L kanamycin (Kan). When the tissue-cultured plantlets grew to four true leaves, they were transplanted to 9-cm flowerpots with vermiculite and turf (V: V = 1: 1) under LD conditions (16 h light/8 h dark, 108.4 \textmu m\textsuperscript{-2} s\textsuperscript{-1}). After the seedlings produced 14 leaves, they were transferred to SD conditions (12 h light/12 h dark, 108.4 \textmu m\textsuperscript{-2} s\textsuperscript{-1}). The light source was supplied using cool-white fluorescent lamps. The room temperature was 20 ± 1°C with approximately 60% relative humidity.

\textbf{Arabidopsis thaliana}

The WT \textit{A. thaliana} ecotype Columbia-0 (Col-0) and transgenic lines of the same ecotype background seeds were surface-sterilized and sowed in MS medium and MS medium containing 50 mg/L kanamycin, respectively. The
seedlings were transferred into the same substrate as described above under LD conditions (16 h light/8 h dark, 108.4 μmol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)) and SD1 conditions (8 h light/16 h dark, 108.4 μmol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)) after 10 days. The room temperature was 20 ± 1 °C with approximately 60% relative humidity.

Anatomical observation of flower development in C. lavandulifolium

The apical buds at different developmental stages (after 0, 4, 8, 12, 16, 20, and 24 d of SD treatment) were obtained from ten individual plants and fixed in FAA (50% ethanol: formaldehyde solution:glacial acetic acid = 18: 1:1). After 24 h, we removed the apical buds from the FAA, dehydrated the buds with an ethanol series up to 100%, and then incubated the dehydrated buds with a xylene-ethanol series up to 100% xylene. The flower buds were embedded in Sigma Paraplast Plus paraffin, and the materials were sectioned into 8–10 μm sections using a rotary microtome (RM 2245; Heidelberg; LEICA; Germany). The sections were de-paraffinized and stained using safranine-fast green. Finally, the sections were detected using a light microscope (DM 2500; Heidelberg; LEICA; Germany).

Gene isolation and sequence analysis

Total RNA was extracted from leaves using a modified cetyltrimethylammonium bromide method, and first-strand cDNA was synthesized as described in a previous study\(^{36}\). We identified three unigenes annotated with ‘Cryptochrome2’ in a C. lavandulifolium Illumina/Solexa library\(^{37}\); only one unigene encoded the 5′-ends of a putative ‘Cryptochrome2’ gene. The 3′-specific primers were designed to amplify the 3′-ends of the putative ‘Cryptochrome2’ gene using a 3′-rapid amplification of cDNA ends (3′-RACE) method. The full-length cDNA of the gene was amplified using PCR (specific primers are listed in Supplementary Table S1). The PCR product was cloned into the pGEM-T Easy Vector (Promega, USA) and confirmed by sequencing. The CD-search program (http://structure.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Structure/cdd/wrpsb.cgi) was used to analyze the conserved protein domain sequences of CICRY2. DNAMAN7.0 software was used to perform multiple sequence alignment. The conserved motif logo was generated by using ClustalX and WebLogo 3.4 program (http://weblogo.threeplusone.com/). MEGA version 4.0 was used to construct a phylogenetic tree.

Gene expression analysis using quantitative real-time PCR

In order to analyze the temporal expression patterns of CICRY2, CIFT1 and CIFT2, the leaves from three individual plants at different developmental stages (after 0, 4, 8, 12, 16, 20, and 24 d of SD treatment) were harvested with the light on (ZT = 0). The roots, stems, middle leaves (the fourth true leaves from the shoot apex), petioles and shoot apices were sampled from three individual plants at the fourteenth true-leaf stage after 8 days of SD treatment. These samples were used to analyze the tissue-specific expression patterns of the CICRY2 in C. lavandulifolium. To investigate the effect of the SD photoperiods on CICRY2 transcripts, the seedlings were placed under LD and SD conditions and the middle leaves were sampled at 4-h intervals over 24 h after 8 days. Three biological replicates were harvested for each sample.

The abundance of CICRY2, CIFT1, and CIFT2 transcripts was investigated by quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) using a CFX connect Real-time PCR System (Bio-Rad, USA) based on the SYBR Premix Ex Taq (TaKaRa, Japan) as described previously\(^{36}\). Two reference genes were used in the assay. One was CISAND (SAND family protein, GenBank accession number: KF752605) gene, which was used as a reference gene for analyzing CICRY2 transcription levels in various tissues/organisms and the transcripts of CICRY2 and CIFT at different developmental stages. Another reference gene was CIMT1 (Metal Tolerance Protein, GenBank accession number: KF752604), which was used to calculate the CICRY2 transcripts in the leaves under different photoperiods\(^{38}\). The specific primer sequences used in the qRT-PCR assay are listed in Supplementary Table S1.

The function analysis of CICRY2 in regulating floral transition

Full-length CICRY2 cDNA was acquired by PCR amplification from middle leaves of C. lavandulifolium with specific primers (Supplementary Table S1). The product was cloned into the pGEM-T Easy vector (Promega, USA). After digestion with restriction enzyme, the insert was ligated to the modified pBI121 vector\(^{39}\) to obtain mpBI121-CICRY2. This construct was used to transform the Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain EHA105. Subsequently, Col-0 ecotype Arabidopsis plants were transformed with Agrobacterium using the floral-dip method. Transgenic Arabidopsis lines were selected on MS medium containing 50 mg/L Kan. Kan-resistant T1 generation Arabidopsis seedlings were transferred to soil to harvest T2 generation seeds; subsequently, homozygous T3 generation transgenic seeds were harvested for further assays. Rosette leaves from T3 generation transgenic seedlings and WT plants were harvested for qRT-PCR validation; the β-tubulin (TUB2) gene from Arabidopsis was used as a reference gene. For the analysis of flowering time, WT and T3 CICRY2 overexpression (CICRY2-OE) transgenic lines (>24 per line) were grown at 20 ± 1 °C under LD conditions and SD1 conditions. The flowering time was assayed by surveying the number of days from sowing to the date when the first flower opened. The number of rosette leaves of WT and T3 CICRY2 overexpression (CICRY2-OE) transgenic lines at bolting was recorded.
Three biological replicates were assayed for the WT and transgenic lines.

Flowering-related gene expression and flowering phenotype analysis in *C. lavandulifolium*

Our previous study introduced a genetic transformation system suitable for *C. lavandulifolium*40. *C. lavandulifolium* hypocotyls were used as explants in the system. Each hypocotyl was cut into 2 mm sections. Subsequently, the sections were divided into two groups. Several hypocotyl sections were infected with *Agrobacterium* carrying *CICRY2* (OD$_{600}$=0.4) for 10 min and then laid on medium A (MS $+1.0$ mg/L 2, 4-D $+0.5$ mg/L 6-BA $+400$ mg/L Car $+7$ mg/L Kan) to obtain the calluses. The calluses were transferred to medium B (MS $+400$ mg/L Car $+7$ mg/L Kan) to induce the adventitious buds. Finally, single buds divided from the adventitious buds were transferred to medium B to induce roots. The other hypocotyl sections were treated as the control group. The sections were placed on MS $+1.0$ mg/L 2, 4-D $+0.5$ mg/L 6-BA and MS media in turn without infection to obtain the WT *C. lavandulifolium*.

Transgenic chrysanthemum lines were selected on MS $+7$ mg/L Kan $+400$ mg/L Car. Kan-resistant seedlings were transferred to substrate under LD conditions when they grew four true leaves. The seedlings were transferred to SD conditions after they produced 14 leaves. The middle leaves from three transgenic individuals were used to explore the expression levels of *CICRY2*, circadian clock-related genes41, *CICOL* genes34,35 and *CIFT* (*CIFT1* and *CIFT2*) genes26 after 8 days of SD treatment. The leaves were harvested at the time point at which peak levels of circadian clock-related genes occurred based on the expression pattern of circadian clock-related genes in *C. lavandulifolium*41. The leaves were harvested at ZT7 (Zeitgeber time 7 h) to explore the expression levels of *CIELF3*, *CIELF4*, *CIPRR73*, and *CIPRR37*; the leaves were harvested at ZT1 to explore the expression levels of *CIZTI* and *CILHY*; the leaves were harvested at ZT8 to explore the expression levels of *CIF7F1*, *CIPRR5*, *CIGI-1*, and *CIGI-2*; the leaves were harvested at ZT13 to explore the expression levels of *CIPPR1*; the leaves were harvested at ZT0 to explore the expression levels of *CICOL1*, *CICOL2*, and *CICRY2*; the leaves were harvested at ZT2 to explore the expression levels of *CICOL4*, *CICOL5*, and *CIFT1*. The *C. lavandulifolium CMTIP* gene was used as an endogenous control. The primers are listed in Supplementary Table S1.

For the flowering time analysis, WT and *CICRY2*-OE *C. lavandulifolium* (>24 per line) were grown at 20 ± 1 °C under SD conditions after they produced 14 leaves. The flowering time of WT and *CICRY2*-OE chrysanthemums was determined by counting the number of days from SD treatment to the date when the first flower opened. Three biological replicates were assayed for the WT and transgenic chrysanthemums.

**Results**

*CICRY2* is specifically upregulated by inductive SD photoperiods

FT/TFL1 family members play crucial roles in regulating floral transition in numerous higher plants1. Morphological changes in the shoot apex and the expression patterns of FT/TFL1-related genes in *C. lavandulifolium* were analyzed to investigate the effects of the SD photoperiod (12 h L/12 h D) on floral transition. The results showed that the shoot apex changed from a conical shape to a dome shape from 0 to 8 d of SD treatment (Fig. 1a). Meanwhile, *CIFT1* mRNA levels gradually accumulated, while *CIFT2* mRNA levels gradually decreased from 0 to 8 d of SD treatment (Fig. 1b). Total RNA was extracted from various tissues/organs to examine *CICRY2* expression patterns, and the results showed that *CICRY2* mRNA was highest in the leaves during the *C. lavandulifolium* floral transition (Fig. 1c). We subsequently investigated the effects of SD on the expression patterns of *CICRY2* genes in the leaves. The results showed that *CICRY2* mRNA abundance was markedly increased with SD treatment and peaked at 8 d of SD (Fig. 1b). *CICRY2* was more highly expressed in the leaves under inductive SD (12 h L/12 h D) conditions compared with non-inductive LD (16 h L/8 h D) conditions (Fig. 1d).

*CICRY2* belongs to the CRY2 clade

The *CICRY2* gene was isolated from *C. lavandulifolium* leaves using RT-PCR and 3’-RACE. *CICRY2* contained a 1839 bp open reading frame (ORF) that encoded a 612 amino-acid residue peptide with a calculated molecular mass of 69.8 kDa and a theoretical isoelectric point of 5.78 (Accession Number KJ463737). Different CRY2 proteins are distinguished by their C-terminal sequences, which contain a DQMV-E-D-STAE(S) (DAS) domain; the DAS domain contains a DQXP motif, a short acidic motif and a STAE(S) motif (Fig. 2a, b). Sequence analysis showed that the STAE(S) motif in the C-terminal region of *CICRY2* was replaced by STAVSS (Fig. 2a). Additionally, *CICRY2* also contained three conserved motifs of CRYs, including a TGYP motif26, a WRWG motif27 and an LLDAD motif45 (Fig. 2a). CRY2 proteins are ubiquitous in monocots and dicots. Subsequent phylogenetic tree analysis revealed the evolutionary relationship between *CICRY2* and other CRY2 proteins. The results showed that all the CRY2 proteins were classified into the following two groups: (1) the dicotyledonous group (e.g., *A. thaliana*, *Cardamine alpine*, *Glycine max*, *Medicago truncatula*, and *Pisum sativum*) and (2) the monocotyledonous group (e.g., *Oryza sativa* and *Triticum*).
aestivum). Species from related families clustered together. For instance, CRY2 proteins from *Glycine max*, *Medicago truncatula* and *Pisum sativum* clustered into Leguminosae. ClCRY2 was related to CRY2 proteins from Cruciferae plants and was distantly related to CRY2 from rice and wheat (Fig. 2c).

ClCRY2 acts as a floral promoter

CRY2 can regulate the floral transition of higher plants. To further confirm the function of ClCRY2 in the regulation of flowering, we overexpressed the ClCRY2 gene in WT Arabidopsis. Two T3 generation lines were randomly chosen to test the ClCRY2 expression levels (Fig. 3a) and to explore the role of ClCRY2. The results confirmed the presence of ClCRY2 gene in two ClCRY2-OE lines (Fig. 3a). Under LD conditions, WT plants required 33.8 days from sowing to flowering (Fig. 3b) and had similar rosette leaves with ClCRY2-OE lines (Fig. 3c). In contrast, the ClCRY2-OE showed earlier flowering, which typically required 13.9 to 17.3 days from sowing to flowering (Fig. 3b, d). Under SD1 conditions, the WT plants had more rosette leaves than ClCRY2-OE and required 44.6 days from sowing to flowering (Fig. 3b, c), while ClCRY2-OE required 24.3 to 26.2 days from sowing to flowering (Fig. 3b, e). These results indicated that ClCRY2 overexpression promoted flowering time in Arabidopsis.

**ClCRY2 acts as an upstream activator of ClFT1 by regulating the transcription of some circadian clock genes**

qRT-PCR was conducted in WT and transgenic chrysanthemums to confirm the expression levels of ClCRY2; the results confirmed that we obtained ClCRY2-OE chrysanthemums (Fig. 4a). The flowering phenotype analysis showed that the flowering time was significantly promoted in the two ClCRY2-OE lines compared with WT plants under SD conditions (Fig. 4b, c). WT plants required 48.8 days from SD treatment to flowering, while
ClCRY2-OE lines typically required 33.2–37.8 days from SD treatment to flowering (Fig. 4c). The flowering time of the WT and transgenic lines correlated with ClCRY2 mRNA accumulation (Fig. 4a, c). In contrast, WT and two ClCRY2-OE lines did not flower under LD conditions (data not shown). Compared with WT plants, the
CICRY2-OE lines exhibited enhanced CIFT1 mRNA accumulation (Fig. 4d). These results demonstrated that CICRY2-OE lines could rapidly flower and showed the upregulation of CIFT1 expression under SD conditions. To further explore the mechanism by which CICRY2 mediates SD signals to promote CIFT1 transcript, we examined the expression levels of circadian clock-related genes and CICOLs in WT plants and CICRY2-OE plants. Compared with WT plants, the transcription level of CIELF3 and CIELF4 in input pathway was decreased in CICRY2-OE plants (Fig. 5a). Some oscillator components, including PSEUDO-RESPONSE REGULATOR homologous gene (CIPRRS), CIZTL and CIFKF1, were expressed at higher levels in CICRY2-OE plants than in WT plants (Fig. 5c, d, g). In contrast, the transcript abundance of the other oscillator components, such as LATE ELONGATED HYPOCOTYL homologous gene (CLHY), CIPRR73 and REVEILLE8 homologous gene (CIRVE8), decreased in transgenic plants (Fig. 5e, i, j). In the output pathway of the circadian clock, the expression levels of CIGI-1 and CIGI-2 were upregulated in transgenic plants (Fig. 5k, l). In addition, the abundance of CICOL1, CICOL4, and CICOL5 transcripts was also increased in transgenic plants (Fig. 5m, o, p).

Discussion

CICRY2 is involved in the floral transition of C. lavandulifolium induced by SD photoperiods

To date, two homologous FT/TFL1 genes in C. lavandulifolium, CIFT1 and CIFT2, have been identified. It is confirmed that CIFT1 encodes a florigen protein and CIFT2 is a CsAFT homologue that encodes an Anti-florigenic FT/TFL1 family protein in Chrysanthemum seticuspe (unpublished data, the sequence of CIFT2 can be seen in Supplementary file). In this paper, the C. lavandulifolium shoot apex changed from a conical shape to a dome shape during 0–8 d of SD (12 h L/12 h D) treatment (Fig. 1a), which inferred that C. lavandulifolium completed the developmental transition from vegetative growth to reproductive growth from 0 to 8 SD days. Simultaneously, CIFT1 and CICOL2 mRNA exhibited an increasing trend from 0 to 8 d of SD treatment; however, CIFT2 was downregulated at the same time (Fig. 1b), indicating that CICOL2 genes may be involved in the floral transition in C. lavandulifolium by regulating CIFT1 transcription levels under SD conditions. Moreover, CICOL2 mRNA obeyed diurnal rhythm expression patterns and more CICOL2 transcripts were induced under inductive SD conditions compared with the non-inductive LD conditions after 8 days of treatment (Fig. 1d). The light-related cis-acting elements and circadian elements (Supplementary Table S2) in the promoter justified the SD-inducible expression patterns of CICOL2. These results confirmed that CICOL2 specifically recognized the inductive SD photoperiod signals to regulate the floral transition in C. lavandulifolium.

CICRY2 enhanced the floral sensitivity to SD photoperiods in C. lavandulifolium by inducing the expression of some circadian clock-related genes and CIFT1

CRYs can regulate the floral transition by affecting the transcription of the circadian clock-related genes GI and FKF1. However, the mechanism by which CRYs regulate floral transition through circadian clock genes remains unclear. Conceptually, the circadian clock system comprises the following three major parts: (1) a central oscillator, (2) input pathways integrating oscillator function with light surrounding cues, and (3) output pathways that control the developmental transition. ELF3 and ELF4 in the input pathway repress floral transition by inhibiting the expression of CO and FT. LHY, an important oscillator component that encodes a MYB transcription factor, acts as a floral inhibitor. The PRR family contains five members, including PRR1
The toc1 mutant exhibits an early-flowering phenotype under SD conditions, indicating that TOC1 is a floral repressor. The ZTL family, another vital component in the oscillator system, comprises ZTL, FKF1, and LKP2. RVE8 acts as a critical regulator of the circadian clock and a floral repressor in Arabidopsis. GI plays a vital role in the output pathway, promotes flowering through the CO-FT pathway, and also directly induces the expression of FT via a CO-independent pathway.

The circadian clock-related genes ELF3, FKF1, and GI are involved in the CRY-COP1/ELF3-FKF1/GI pathway. CRY proteins can repress the activity of the
COP1/ELF3 complex and lead to GI accumulation, which results in the formation of the FKF1/GI complex. The FKF1/GI complex can promote CO accumulation by inducing the degradation of CDF proteins, which are CO repressors\textsuperscript{32,43}. In the CRY2-CIBs pathway, the CRY2/CIBs complex binds to an E-box (CANNTG) in the FT promoter and induces its transcription. ZTL can stabilize the activity of CIBs and lead to flowering in this process\textsuperscript{31,56}. Compared with WT C. lavandulifolium, CICRY2-OE C. lavandulifolium exhibited increased SD sensitivity and an early flowering phenotype (Fig. 4c). CIFT1 transcription levels were upregulated in CICRY2-OE C. lavandulifolium compared with the WT plants (Fig. 4d). The results inferred that CICRY2 acts as a floral inducer by activating CIFT1 transcription. A previous study showed that the induction of homologous FT genes results from the integration of photoperiodic information with diurnal timing set by the plant’s circadian clock\textsuperscript{61}. Therefore, we tested the changes in the expression patterns of circadian clock-related genes and CICOLs. The results revealed that the expression levels of CIPRR5, CIZTL, CIFKF1, CIGI-1, CIGI-2, CICOL1, CICOL4, and CICOL5 were also upregulated in CICRY2-OE C. lavandulifolium compared with the WT plants (Fig. 5). Taken together, CICRY2 promoted floral sensitivity to SD photoperiods in C. lavandulifolium by inducing the transcription of circadian clock genes, CICOLs and CIFT1.

**CICRY2 might serve as an important gene resource for the accurate manipulation of flowering time in chrysanthemums**

The flowering time of chrysanthemums can be controlled by the application of artificial SD conditions during annual production. However, this method of manipulating flowering leads to a substantial waste in manpower and material resources. The primary factor influencing adult chrysanthemum flowering time is sensitivity to SD photoperiods. Chrysanthemum cultivars, which rapidly respond to SD photoperiods, could save costs in the process of practical production. Therefore, it is vital to explain the molecular mechanism by which chrysanthemums respond to SD signals to complete the floral transition. Our results demonstrated that the CICRY2 gene enhanced floral sensitivity to SD photoperiods in C. lavandulifolium. Therefore, it is feasible to manipulate the CICRY2 gene to breed new chrysanthemum cultivars that can flower quickly under inductive SD conditions.

**Photoreceptors may mediate light quality signal to regulate flowering in SDPs**

Light quality is another parameter of ambient light signals except for photoperiod. Light quality also regulates the flowering response. For instance, blue light promotes flowering in Arabidopsis; while red light plays an opposite role\textsuperscript{62}. Similar results were shown in chrysanthemum. Flowering is significantly inhibited once the night phase is interrupted by red light\textsuperscript{42,63–68}. End-of-day red light inhibits FvTFL1 expression and induces flowering in SDP strawberry (Fragaria vesca)\textsuperscript{69}. To date, the molecular mechanism by which light quality regulates flowering in LDP Arabidopsis has been deeply clarified\textsuperscript{28–32,70}. However, the molecular mechanism by which light quality regulates flowering in SDPs remains unanswered. OsCRY2 promotes the flowering in rice\textsuperscript{18}; blue light could hasten flowering of rice through upregulating Ehd1 expression via OsGI-dependent pathway\textsuperscript{71}. Therefore, we wonder that whether OsCRY2 could mediate blue light to regulate the transcription of Ehd1 through affecting the expression of OsGI. In SDP chrysanthemum C. seticuspe, CsPHYB could mediate red light to suppress flowering by regulating the expression of CsAFT\textsuperscript{42}. We wonder whether CsPHYB regulates CsAFT expression via the direct pathway or CsPHYB...
indirectly regulates CsAFT expression through other components.

In conclusion, we illustrated a model depicting CICRY2-mediating SD signals to regulate floral transition in C. lavandulifolium. CICRY2 in leaves can respond to SD signals. It upregulates some circadian clock genes (CIPR5, CIZT1, CIGFI, CIG1-1, and CIG1-2), downregulates other circadian clock genes (CIELF3, CIELF4, CILHY, CIPR73, and CIRVE8), induces the expression of CICOLS and CIFT1, and finally leads to floral transition in C. lavandulifolium (Fig. 6). Our results indicate that CICRY2 might serve as an important gene resource used for breeding new chrysanthemum cultivars that flower quickly under inductive SD conditions.
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