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Abstract

Background: Dihydroergotamine (DHE) is an acute antimigraine agent that displays affinity for dopamine D₂-like receptors, serotonin 5-HT₁/₂ receptors and α₁/α₂-adrenoceptors. Since activation of vascular α₁/α₂-adrenoceptors results in systemic vasopressor responses, the purpose of this study was to investigate the specific role of α₁- and α₂-adrenoceptors mediating DHE-induced vasopressor responses using several antagonists for these receptors.

Methods: For this purpose, 135 male Wistar rats were pithed and divided into 35 control and 100 pretreated i.v. with ritanserin (100 μg/kg; to exclude the 5-HT₂ receptor-mediated systemic vasoconstriction). Then, the vasopressor responses to i.v. DHE (1–3100 μg/kg, given cumulatively) were determined after i.v. administration of some α₁/α₂-adrenoceptor antagonists.

Results: In control animals (without ritanserin pretreatment), the vasopressor responses to DHE were: (i) unaffected after prazosin (α₁; 30 μg/kg); (ii) slightly, but significantly, blocked after rauwolscine (α₂; 300 μg/kg); and (iii) markedly blocked after prazosin (30 μg/kg) plus rauwolscine (300 μg/kg). In contrast, after pretreatment with ritanserin, the vasopressor responses to DHE were: (i) attenuated after prazosin (α₁; 10 and 30 μg/kg) or rauwolscine (α₂; 100 and 300 μg/kg); (ii) markedly blocked after prazosin (30 μg/kg) plus rauwolscine (300 μg/kg); (iii) attenuated after 5-methylurapidil (α₁A; 30–100 μg/kg), L-765,314 (α₁B; 100 μg/kg), BMY 7378 (α₁D; 30–100 μg/kg), BRL44408 (α₂A; 100–300 μg/kg), imiloxan (α₂B; 1000–3000 μg/kg) or JP-1302 (α₂C; 1000 μg/kg); and (iv) unaffected after the corresponding vehicles (1 ml/kg).

Conclusion: These results suggest that the DHE-induced vasopressor responses in ritanserin-pretreated pithed rats are mediated by α₁- (probably α₁A, α₁B and α₁D) and α₂- (probably α₂A, α₂B and α₂C) adrenoceptors. These findings could shed light on the pharmacological profile of the vascular side effects (i.e. systemic vasoconstriction) produced by DHE and may lead to the development of more selective antimigraine drugs devoid vascular side effects.
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Background

Both ergotamine and dihydroergotamine (DHE) share structural similarities with serotonin, dopamine and (nor)adrenaline, and have been shown: (i) to display affinity for a wide variety of receptors including serotonin 5-HT$_{1A}$/2, dopamine D$_2$-like and α$_1$/α$_2$-adrenoceptors [1]; and (ii) to be effective in the acute treatment of migraine [2]. Nevertheless, unlike ergotamine, DHE (a hydrogenated ergot synthesized by reducing an unsaturated bond in ergotamine) displays a much lower vasoconstrictor and emetic potential (by reducing an unsaturated bond in ergotamine) compared to ergotamine. This indicates that DHE is more effective in the acute treatment of migraine [2]. Never-theless, unlike ergotamine, DHE (a hydrogenated ergot synthesized by reducing an unsaturated bond in ergotamine) displays a much lower vasoconstrictor and emetic potential.

Regarding the systemic vasoconstrictor potential of DHE, Roquebert and Grenié [6] have reported that DHE produces vasopressor responses in pithed rats by activation of α$_2$-adrenoceptors, such as: (i) attenuated by 500 and 1000 μg/kg yohimbine (an α$_1$-adrenoceptor antagonist); and (ii) apparently resistant to blockade by 500 μg/kg prazosin (an α$_1$-adrenoceptor antagonist). At that time, however, it was unknown that: (i) DHE also displays a high affinity for 5-HT$_{2A}$ receptors (pK$_i$ = 8.54) [7], whose activation in resistance blood vessels induces vasopressor responses [8] that may have masked the capability of DHE to activate α$_1$-adrenoceptors; and (ii) yohimbine displays a moderate affinity for α$_1$-adrenoceptors (pK$_i$ = 6.6) [9].

Since, in addition, DHE can interact with all α$_1$/α$_2$-adrenoceptor subtypes (see Table 1), all of the above findings taken together raise the question whether systemic 5-HT$_{2A}$ receptor blockade would unmask the role of α$_1$-adrenoceptors and, consequently, the capability of prazosin to block the vasopressor responses to DHE. Interestingly, ergotamine produces vasopressor responses in pithed rats via the activation of α$_{1A}$, α$_{1B}$, α$_{1D}$, α$_{2A}$ and α$_{2C}$ (but not α$_{2B}$)-adrenoceptor subtypes [10], but no study has yet reported the specific role of these subtypes in DHE-induced vasopressor responses in pithed rats. This is an experimental model predictive of systemic (cardio)vascular side effects [11, 12]; since this model is devoid of a functional central nervous system (see General methods below), one can categorically exclude the compensatory baroreflex mechanisms typically observed in intact or anaesthetized animals.

Based on the above findings and using antagonists with relative selectivity for α$_1$- and α$_2$-adrenoceptors (Table 1) at blocking doses (see below) in pithed rats [10, 13], the present study has re-investigated the vasopressor responses to DHE in an attempt to: (i) analyze the specific role of α$_{1A}$- and α$_{1B}$-adrenoceptors in control animals; and (ii) ascertain the possible involvement of their corresponding subtypes in animals pretreated with ritanserin (100 μg/kg, i.v.). Ritanserin is an antagonist with a very high affinity for 5-HT$_{2A}$ receptors (pK$_i$ = 9.5) [14] and very low affinity for α$_{1A}$- and α$_{2A}$-adrenoceptors (see Table 1) that, in pithed rats: (i) is devoid of α$_{1A}$-adrenoceptor blocking properties (up to 3000 μg/kg, i.v.) on the vasopressor responses to phenylephrine [15]; and (ii) practically abolishes (at 30 μg/kg, i.v.) the cardiovascular responses mediated by 5-HT$_{2A}$ receptors [16].

Methods

Animals

Experiments were carried out in 135 male normotensive Wistar rats (250–300 g, 8 weeks of age). The animals were housed in a special room at a constant temperature (22 ± 2 °C) and humidity (50%), and maintained at a 12/12-h light/dark cycle (light beginning at 7:00 am), with ad libitum access to food and water. The animal procedures, the experimental protocols and number of animals used in this investigation were reviewed and approved by our Institutional Ethics Committee on the use of animals in scientific experiments (CICUAL Cinvestav; protocol number 507–12) and followed the regulations established by the Mexican Official Norm (NOM-062-ZOO-1999), in accordance with the ARRIVE (Animal Research: Reporting In Vivo Experiments) reporting guidelines for the care and use of laboratory animals.

General methods

After anaesthesia with sodium pentobarbital (60 mg/kg, i.p.) and cannulation of the trachea, the 135 rats were pithed by inserting a stainless steel rod through the orbit and foramen magnum, and down the vertebral foramen [17]. Then, the animals were artificially ventilated with room air using a...
model 7025 Ugo Basile pump (56 strokes/min.; stroke volume: 20 ml/kg) as previously established [18]. After cervical bilateral vagotomy, catheters were placed in: (i) the left and right femoral veins for i.v. bolus injections of DHE or antagonists, respectively; and (ii) the left carotid artery, connected to a Grass pressure transducer (P23XL), for recording arterial blood pressure. Heart rate was measured with a tachograph (7P4, Grass Instrument Co., Quincy, MA, USA), triggered from the blood pressure signal. Both parameters were recorded by a model 7 Grass polygraph (Grass Instrument Co., Quincy, MA, USA).

Then, the 135 animals were divided into six main sets, namely, set 1 (n = 10), set 2 (n = 20), set 3 (n = 15), set 4 (n = 25), set 5 (n = 30) and set 6 (n = 35), as shown in Fig. 1. After the haemodynamic conditions were stable for at least 30 min, baseline values of diastolic blood pressure (a more accurate indicator of peripheral vascular resistance) and heart rate were determined. At this point, the effects produced by i.v. bolus injections of DHE (1, 3.1, 10, 31, 100, 310, 1000 and 3100 μg/kg; given cumulatively) on diastolic blood pressure and heart rate were investigated in animals with different pretreatments (see Fig. 1 and below for further details). In all cases, before eliciting the dose-response curves to DHE, a period of 10 min was allowed to elapse after the i.v. administration of antagonists or of their corresponding vehicles (given in a volume of 1 ml/kg); this period is appropriate for allowing drugs to interact with their corresponding receptors, as previously reported [10, 13].

Moreover, the cumulative dose-response curves to DHE were completed in about 50 min, and the intervals between the different doses of DHE (given in volumes of 1 ml/kg each) ranged between 4 and 7 min (as in each case we waited until the vasopressor response to the
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previous dose of DHE had reached a plateau). The same dose schedule was also applied for the vehicle of DHE (see below). The body temperature of each pithed rat (monitored with a rectal thermometer) was maintained at 37°C by a lamp.

**Experimental protocols**

For the purpose of analysing the pharmacological profile of the receptors involved in the vasopressor responses to DHE, the six main sets of rats (as described above) were subsequently divided into different pretreatment groups \((n = 5\) each with no exception; see Fig. 1), for performing the following protocols.

**Protocol I. Effect of DHE on diastolic blood pressure**

The first set of rats \((n = 10;\) control animals with no pretreatment) was divided into two groups \((n = 5\) each) that received, as previously pointed out, i.v. bolus injections of: (i) 20% propylene glycol (vehicle of DHE, 1 ml/kg; given 8 consecutive times); and (ii) DHE \((1, 3.1, 10, 31, 100, 310, 1000\) and \(3100\) \(\mu g/kg\)). The effects produced by each dose of these compounds on diastolic blood pressure and heart rate were evaluated.

**Protocol II. Effect of \(\alpha\)-adrenoceptor antagonists on DHE-induced vasopressor responses in control animals (non-pretreated with ritanserin)**

The second set \((n = 20;\) non-pretreated animals) was divided into four groups \((n = 5\) each) that received i.v. bolus injections of: (i) saline (vehicle of prazosin and rauwolscine, 1 ml/kg); (ii) \(30\) \(\mu g/kg\) prazosin; (iii) \(300\) \(\mu g/kg\) rauwolscine; and (iv) the combination of \(30\) \(\mu g/kg\) prazosin plus \(300\) \(\mu g/kg\) rauwolscine. After 10 min, a dose-response curve to DHE was elicited as previously described.

**Protocol III. Effect of ritanserin pretreatment on the DHE-induced vasopressor responses**

The third set \((n = 15),\) divided into 3 groups \((n = 5\) each), received i.v. bolus injections of: (i) \(1\%\) ascorbic acid (vehicle of ritanserin; 1 ml/kg); (ii) \(100\) \(\mu g/kg\) ritanserin; and (iii) \(100\) \(\mu g/kg\) ritanserin followed by 1 ml/kg physiological saline (vehicle of the \(\alpha_1\)- and \(\alpha_2\)-adrenoceptor antagonists). After 10 min, a dose-response curve to DHE was elicited as previously described. Considering the pretreatment of the last group (i.e. \(100\) \(\mu g/kg\) ritanserin plus 1 ml/kg physiological saline), the fourth, fifth and sixth sets were systematically pretreated with ritanserin \((100\) \(\mu g/kg,\) i.v.) and then with blocking doses of several \(\alpha_1\)- and \(\alpha_2\)-adrenoceptor antagonists (see Fig. 1) as follows.

**Protocol IV. Effect of \(\alpha\)-adrenoceptor antagonists on DHE-induced vasopressor responses in ritanserin-pretreated animals**

The fourth set \((n = 25;\) pretreated with ritanserin), divided into five groups \((n = 5\) each), received i.v. injections of: (i) prazosin \((10\) \(\mu g/kg\)); (ii) prazosin \((30\) \(\mu g/kg\)); (iii) rauwolscine \((100\) \(\mu g/kg\)); (iv) rauwolscine \((300\) \(\mu g/kg\)); and (v) the combination prazosin \((30\) \(\mu g/kg\)) plus rauwolscine \((300\) \(\mu g/kg\)). Ten min later, a dose-response curve to DHE was elicited as described above.

**Protocol V. Effect of \(\alpha_1\)-adrenoceptor antagonists on DHE-induced vasopressor responses in ritanserin-pretreated animals**

The fifth set \((n = 30;\) pretreated with ritanserin), divided into six groups \((n = 5\) each), received i.v. injections of: (i) 5-methylurapidil \((30\) \(\mu g/kg\)); (ii) 5-methylurapidil \((100\) \(\mu g/kg\)); (iii) L-765,314 \((30\) \(\mu g/kg\)); (iv) L-765,314 \((100\) \(\mu g/kg\)); (v) BMY 7378 \((30\) \(\mu g/kg\)); and (vi) BMY 7378 \((100\) \(\mu g/kg\)). Ten min thereafter, a dose-response curve to DHE was elicited.

**Protocol VI. Effect of \(\alpha_2\)-adrenoceptor antagonists on DHE-induced vasopressor responses in ritanserin-pretreated animals**

The sixth set \((n = 35;\) pretreated with ritanserin), divided into seven groups \((n = 5\) each), received i.v. injections of: (i) BRL44408 \((100\) \(\mu g/kg\)); (ii) BRL44408 \((300\) \(\mu g/kg\)); (iii) imiloxan \((300\) \(\mu g/kg\)); (iv) imiloxan \((1000\) \(\mu g/kg\)); (v) imiloxan \((3000\) \(\mu g/kg\)); (vi) JP-1302 \((300\) \(\mu g/kg\)); and (vii) JP-1302 \((1000\) \(\mu g/kg\)). After 10 min, a dose-response curve to DHE was elicited.

**Data presentation and statistical evaluation**

All data in the text and figures are presented as the means ± S.E.M. It is noteworthy that the data and statistical analysis used in the present study comply with the recommendations on experimental design and analysis in pharmacology, including that the data subjected to statistical analysis should have a minimum of \(n = 5\) independent samples/individuals per group [19]. The changes on the baseline values of diastolic blood pressure and heart rate produced by i.v. bolus injections of DHE were determined after the administration of vehicles or antagonists. The difference between the changes in diastolic blood pressure within one subgroup of animals was evaluated with Student-Newman-Keul’s test, once a two-way repeated measures analysis of variance revealed that the samples represented different populations [20]. Statistical significance was accepted at \(P < 0.05\).

**Drugs**

Apart from the anaesthetic (sodium pentobarbital), the compounds used in the present study (obtained from the
sources indicated) were: ritanserin; prazosin hydrochloride; rauwolscine hydrochloride; 5-methylurapidil; imiloxan hydrochloride; (2S)-4-[4-amino-6,7-dimethoxy-2-quinazolinyl]-2-[[1,1-dimethylethyl]amino]carbonyl]-1-piperazine carboxylic acid, phenylmethyl ester hydrate (L-765,314 hydrate); 8-[2-[4-(2-methoxyphenyl)-1-piperazinyl]ethyl]-8-azaspiro[4,5]decane-7,9-dione dihydrochloride (BMY 7378 dihydrochloride); propylene glycol (PGP); and L-ascorbic acid (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO, U.S.A.); 2-[2H-(1-methyl-1,3-dihydroisoindole)methyl]-4,5-dihydroimidazole maleate (BRL44408 maleate) (Tocris Cookson Inc., Ellisville, MO, USA); acridin-9-yl-[4-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)-phenyl amine] hydrochloride (JP-1302 hydrochloride) (gift: Orion Corporation ORION PHARMA, Turku, Finland); and dihydroergotamin mesylate (gift: Novartis Pharma, Mexico City, Mexico). All compounds were dissolved in physiological saline. When needed, 1% ascorbic acid was used to dissolve ritanserin or 20% PPG (dissolved in bidistilled water) to dissolve DHE. Initially, DHE (3100 µg/ml) was dissolved in 20% PPG and the subsequent solutions were finally diluted with physiological saline. Fresh solutions were prepared for each experiment.

Results
Systemic haemodynamic variables
The baseline values of diastolic blood pressure and heart rate in the 135 pithed rats were 59 ± 2 mmHg and 230 ± 4 beats/min, respectively. These variables remained practic-ally unchanged (P > 0.05; as compared with the correspond-ing untreated control group) in the groups of animals pretreated with all doses of the antagonists or their vehicles (see Table 2), as previously reported [10, 21]. In contrast, i.v. bolus injections of DHE (1, 3.1, 10, 31, 100, 310, 1000 and 3100 µg/kg; given cumulatively), but not of the corre-sponding volumes of vehicle (20% PPG, 1 ml/kg; given 8 times), produced dose-dependent vasopressor responses in untreated control animals (Fig. 2a).

Effects of vehicle or α-adrenoceptor antagonists on the vasopressor responses to DHE in animals without ritanserin-pretreatment
Figure 2 (b, c, d and e) shows that the vasopressor responses to DHE in pithed rats without ritanserin-pretreatment, which remained unchanged (P > 0.05) after i.v. administration of 1 ml/kg saline (vehicle of the α₁- and α₂-adrenoceptor antagonists; Fig. 2b) were: (i) resistant to blockade (P > 0.05) after 30 µg/kg prazosin (α₁; Fig. 2c); (ii) slightly (though significantly) attenuated after 300 µg/kg rauwolscine (at 100, 310, 1000 and 3100 µg/kg DHE) (α₂; Fig. 2d); and (iii) markedly blocked (P < 0.05) after the combination 30 µg/kg prazosin plus 300 µg/kg rauwolscine (at 31, 100, 310, 1000 and 3100 DHE) (Fig. 2e).

Table 2 Values of DBP and HR before and 10 min after administration of compounds

| Treatment | Doses (µg/kg) | Diastolic blood pressure (DBP) | Heart rate (HR) |
|-----------|---------------|------------------------------|-----------------|
|           |               | Before | After | Before | After |
| 20% (v/v) propylene glycol | 1* | 55 ± 11 | 55 ± 12 | 200 ± 1 | 200 ± 1 |
| Dihydroergotamine | 300 | 44 ± 5 | 44 ± 5 | 232 ± 11 | 232 ± 11 |
| Saline* | 1* | 42 ± 3 | 42 ± 3 | 234 ± 8 | 232 ± 9 |
| Prazosin* | 30 | 40 ± 3 | 50 ± 3 | 233 ± 11 | 234 ± 8 |
| Rauwolscine* | 300 | 38 ± 3 | 41 ± 5 | 252 ± 10 | 234 ± 8 |
| Prazosin+rauwolscine* | 30 + 300 | 57 ± 3 | 60 ± 5 | 220 ± 12 | 220 ± 12 |
| Ritanserin | 100 | 70 ± 8 | 70 ± 8 | 193 ± 13 | 193 ± 13 |
| Saline* | 1* | 66 ± 10 | 65 ± 9 | 201 ± 26 | 203 ± 27 |
| Prazosin | 10 | 74 ± 11 | 75 ± 10 | 243 ± 8 | 245 ± 17 |
| Rauwolscine | 300 | 63 ± 5 | 60 ± 5 | 213 ± 7 | 214 ± 8 |
| Prazosin + rauwolscine | 30 + 300 | 51 ± 4 | 45 ± 5 | 236 ± 13 | 240 ± 14 |
| 5-methylurapidil | 300 | 50 ± 7 | 51 ± 6 | 228 ± 15 | 224 ± 12 |
| L-765,314 | 300 | 54 ± 9 | 52 ± 8 | 232 ± 14 | 236 ± 16 |
| BMY 7378 | 300 | 63 ± 5 | 60 ± 3 | 228 ± 13 | 226 ± 11 |
| BRL44408 | 300 | 65 ± 4 | 65 ± 4 | 232 ± 22 | 228 ± 20 |
| Imiloxan | 300 | 68 ± 9 | 66 ± 10 | 240 ± 12 | 238 ± 12 |
| JP-1302 | 300 | 52 ± 8 | 53 ± 9 | 228 ± 13 | 225 ± 15 |
| 1000 | 69 ± 10 | 66 ± 11 | 247 ± 9 | 252 ± 7 |
| 3000 | 68 ± 6 | 66 ± 7 | 268 ± 16 | 271 ± 16 |
| 2000 | 70 ± 10 | 69 ± 9 | 246 ± 9 | 248 ± 9 |
| 3000 | 70 ± 10 | 69 ± 9 | 246 ± 9 | 248 ± 9 |
| 4000 | 70 ± 10 | 69 ± 9 | 246 ± 9 | 248 ± 9 |
| 5000 | 70 ± 10 | 69 ± 9 | 246 ± 9 | 248 ± 9 |

Values are presented as means ± SEM
*1 ml/kg
*bThese values were determined before and after 1, 3.1, 10, 31, 100, 310, 1000 and 3100 µg/kg DHE, and are shown in Figs. 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6
*cThese values were obtained from control rats (non-treated with ritanserin)

Effects of vehicles or ritanserin on the vasopressor responses to DHE
Figure 3 illustrates that the vasopressor responses to DHE in the control (untreated) animals: (i) did not significantly differ from those elicited in the animals pretreated with 1% ascorbic acid (vehicle of ritanserin; 1 ml/kg, i.v.); and (ii) were significantly blocked at 310, 1000 and 3100 µg/kg DHE (whereas those produced by lower doses of DHE remained unaffected) in the animals pretreated with 100 µg/kg ritanserin or with 100 µg/kg ritanserin followed by 1 ml/kg saline (vehicle of the α₁- and α₂-adrenoceptor antagonists).

Effects of vehicle or the α-adrenoceptor antagonists on the vasopressor responses to DHE in ritanserin-pretreated rats
Figures 4, 5 and 6 show that in ritanserin-pretreated animals, the vasopressor responses to DHE (as compared with vehicle-treated animals; control), were:
Discussion

General

In addition to the implications discussed below, our findings show that i.v. pretreatment with 100 μg/kg ritanserin (a dose devoid of α₁-adrenoceptor blockade in pithed rats [15]) is a consti tio sine qua non for demonstrating the blockade produced by prazosin alone (and the role of α₁-adrenoceptors) in the DHE vasopressor responses. In keeping with this view: (i) in animals without ritanserin-pretreatment the vasopressor responses to DHE remained unchanged after 30 μg/kg prazosin (Fig. 2c), a dose that very potently blocks the α₁-adrenoceptors mediating vasopressor responses in pithed rats [15]; and (ii) a component of these vasopressor responses (particularly at 310, 1000 and 3100 μg/kg DHE) is mediated by 5-HT₂ receptors in view of the blockade produced by 100 μg/kg ritanserin (Fig. 3), whereas the ritanserin-resistant component is mediated by other receptors. In this respect, our findings showing that the remaining vasopressor responses to DHE after ritanserin-pretreatment were attenuated by 10 and 30 μg/kg prazosin (Fig. 4a) and that they were markedly blocked by 100 and 300 μg/kg rauwolscine (Fig. 4b) establish the involvement of rauwolscine-sensitive α₂-adrenoceptors and, to a lesser extent, of prazosin-sensitive α₁-adrenoceptors. In agreement with our findings,
Roquebert and Grenié [6] reported that 500 μg/kg prazosin (i.v.) failed to block the vasopressor responses to DHE in pithed rats without pretreatment with a 5-HT2 receptor antagonist. Accordingly, this apparent failure by 30 μg/kg prazosin (Fig. 2c) or 500 μg/kg prazosin [6] implies that activation of vascular 5-HT2 receptors by higher doses of DHE, which displays a high affinity for 5-HT2A receptors (pKi = 8.54) [7], may have masked the blockade of α1-adrenoceptors by prazosin. Certainly, prazosin has higher affinity (approximately 1 to 2 logarithmic units) than DHE for α1-adrenoceptors (Table 1). However, the affinity (pKi) of prazosin for 5-HT2 receptors (if any) is <<4 [22], whereas that of DHE is 8.54 (see above). Therefore, it is highly unlikely that prazosin is blocking 5-HT2 receptors. This suggestion is reinforced when considering that the blockade produced by the combination 30 μg/kg prazosin plus 300 μg/kg rauwolscine in the absence of ritanserin was more pronounced than that produced by rauwolscine alone (Fig. 2e). This line of reasoning can also account for the higher potency of blockade by rauwolscine in ritanserin-pretreated rats (Fig. 4b) as compared to that in animals without ritanserin pretreatment (Fig. 2d). These findings, taken together, may suggest that DHE-induced vasopressor responses involve the sum of a combination of effects mediated by activation of 5-HT2A receptors, α1-adrenoceptors and α2-adrenoceptors.

In addition, our experimental approach with ritanserin pretreatment further suggests that the vasopressor responses to DHE could be mainly mediated by α1- (probably α1A, α1B and α1D) and α2- (probably α2A, α2B and α2C) adrenoceptors, although some caution should be exerted when interpreting the “subtype selectivity” of the compounds used (see below and Table 1), as these responses were blocked by the antagonists: (i) 5-methylurapidil (α1A), L-765,314 (α1B) or BMY 7378 (α1D) (Fig. 5); and (ii) BRL44408 (α2A), imiloxan (α2B) or JP-1302 (α2C) (Fig. 6).

Systemic haemodynamic variables
Our results in pithed rats show that DHE (administered cumulatively) produced dose-dependent increases in diastolic blood pressure (Fig. 2a) without significantly affecting heart rate (Table 2), as previously reported [6, 10, 21]. In this respect, since the central nervous system is not operative in pithed rats (see General methods section), the influence of central baroreflex mechanisms can be categorically excluded. Moreover, DHE was administered cumulatively because it produced sustained and long-lasting vasopressor responses, which may be due to the slow dissociation of the drug-receptor complex [23, 24]; however, our study provides no evidence whatsoever to support this view. Additionally, the baseline values of diastolic blood pressure and heart rate remaining practically unchanged by the α-adrenoceptor antagonists (Table 2) imply that their effects on the responses to DHE are: (i) unrelated to cardiovascular changes or physiological antagonism; and (ii) mediated by the direct interaction with its corresponding receptor. On the other hand, the difference in the baseline values of diastolic blood pressure in the different groups of animals (Table 2) may be attributed to biological variability, as observed in previous studies [10, 11, 15].
Involvement of $\alpha_1$- and $\alpha_2$-adrenoceptors in the vasopressor responses to DHE

DHE displays affinity for a wide variety of receptors [1], with the same nanomolar affinity for rat $\alpha_1$-adrenoceptors (pK$_i$: 8.0) and rat $\alpha_2$-adrenoceptors (pK$_i$: 8.0) [7]. Interestingly, DHE can also interact with all $\alpha_1$- and $\alpha_2$-adrenoceptor subtypes (see Table 1). These findings may help explain, within the context of our study, the complex interactions of DHE. Within the bounds of adrenergic mechanisms in our study using ritanserin-pretreated rats, the functional role of $\alpha_1$- and $\alpha_2$-adrenoceptors in the vasopressor responses to DHE is clearly established, as these responses were: (i) blocked by prazosin (10–30 $\mu$g/kg; Fig. 4a) or by rauwolscine (100–300 $\mu$g/kg; Fig. 4b); and (ii) further blocked (particularly the response to 3100 $\mu$g/kg DHE) by the combination of prazosin plus rauwolscine (Fig. 4c). Certainly, in pithed rats, 30 $\mu$g/kg prazosin and 300 $\mu$g/kg rauwolscine are doses high enough to completely block the vasopressor responses mediated by, respectively, $\alpha_1$-adrenoceptors [15] and $\alpha_2$-adrenoceptors [13]. Nonetheless, there were some important differences in the profile of blockade produced by these antagonists. Indeed, the partial blockade of the DHE responses by 30 $\mu$g/kg prazosin, being slightly more pronounced than that produced by 10 $\mu$g/kg prazosin (Fig. 4a) may suggest that it was already a supramaximal dose that, in addition to completely blocking $\alpha_1$-adrenoceptors, could have weakly blocked $\alpha_2$-adrenoceptors (particularly the $\alpha_{2B}$ and $\alpha_{2C}$-adrenoceptor subtypes, for which it displays a moderate affinity; Table 1). In contrast, the marked blockade by 300 $\mu$g/kg rauwolscine, being more pronounced than that by 100 $\mu$g/kg rauwolscine (Fig. 4b), may suggest (although does not directly prove) a major role of $\alpha_2$-adrenoceptors (as compared to $\alpha_1$-adrenoceptors). This suggestion may help partly explain why Roquebert and Grenié [6] could show the role of $\alpha_2$-adrenoceptors, but not of $\alpha_1$-adrenoceptors, in the DHE responses in Wistar rats without 5-HT2 receptor blockade. Admittedly, Roquebert and Grenié [6]: (i) did not analyse the effects of the combination prazosin + yohimbine as we did with the combination prazosin plus rauwolscine in animals without ritanserin pretreatment (Fig. 2e); and (ii) used older rats (300–350 g) anaesthetised with ether. Certainly, the functional expression of rat vascular $\alpha_1$-adrenoceptor subtypes depends on several factors, including age [25].
Interestingly, the failure of the combination prazosin plus rauwolscine to abolish (although markedly blocked) the DHE responses in ritanserin-pretreated rats (Fig. 4c) cannot categorically exclude the possible role of additional (although negligible) mechanisms, including an enhanced synthesis of proconstrictor prostaglandins by DHE, as reported by Müller-Schweinitzer [26].

The possible role of the different $\alpha_1$- and $\alpha_2$-adrenoceptor subtypes in the responses to DHE

As suggested above, the vasopressor responses to DHE in ritanserin-pretreated rats are mainly mediated by rauwolscine-sensitive $\alpha_2$-adrenoceptors and, apparently to a lesser extent, by prazosin-sensitive $\alpha_1$-adrenoceptors. Nevertheless, these antagonists do not display selective affinities for distinguishing amongst their corresponding $\alpha_1$- and $\alpha_2$-adrenoceptor subtypes (Table 1). Hence, the effects of relatively more selective antagonists for the $\alpha_1$-adrenoceptor subtypes (i.e. 5-methylurapidil [$\alpha_{1A}$], L-765,314 [[$\alpha_{1B}$] and BMY 7378 [$\alpha_{1D}$]) and the $\alpha_2$-adrenoceptor subtypes (i.e. BRL44408 [$\alpha_{2A}$], imiloxan [$\alpha_{2B}$] and JP-1302 [$\alpha_{2C}$]) (Table 1) were further investigated in an attempt to identify the subtypes involved.

The fact that the DHE responses were blocked after administration of each of these antagonists for $\alpha_1$- (Fig. 5) and $\alpha_2$-adrenoceptors (Fig. 6) basically suggests the involvement of, respectively, the $\alpha_{1A}/\alpha_{1B}/\alpha_{1D}$ subtypes and the $\alpha_{2A}/\alpha_{2B}/\alpha_{2C}$ subtypes. Importantly, the doses used of these antagonists have previously been shown: (i) to completely block the vasopressor responses mediated by the $\alpha_{1A}/\alpha_{1B}/\alpha_{1D}$ subtypes and the $\alpha_{2A}/\alpha_{2B}/\alpha_{2C}$ subtypes in pithed rats [10, 13]; and (ii) to correlate with the affinities for their respective subtypes [27] (see Table 1). Notwithstanding, the differences in the profile of blockade produced by each of the above antagonists deserve further considerations.

On the one hand, 30–100 $\mu$g/kg of 5-methylurapidil (Fig. 5a) and BMY 7378 (Fig. 5c) dose-dependently blocked the DHE responses and display very high affinity for, respectively, the $\alpha_{1A}$ (pKi: 9.0) and $\alpha_{1D}$ (pKi: 9.0) subtypes, but they also display moderate affinity for the other $\alpha_1$ subtypes (with pKi’s between 7.0 and 8.0; Table 1). Hence, one could imply that the high potency of these antagonists to block the DHE responses may be due to a marked blockade of their receptors, with partial blockade of the other $\alpha_1$ subtypes. However, Zhou and Vargas [28] showed in pithed rats that: (i) 500 $\mu$g/kg 5-methylurapidil blocked the vasopressor responses to the $\alpha_{1A}$-adrenoceptor agonist (RA)-61603; and (ii) 100–1000 $\mu$g/kg BMY 7378, which dose-dependently blocked the vasopressor responses to phenylephrine, failed to block those to (RA)-61603. Thus, it would seem logical
to suggest that 5-methylurapidil (Fig. 5a) and BMY 7378 (Fig. 5c) are reasonably selective for blocking the \(\alpha_{1A}\)- and \(\alpha_{1D}\)-subtypes, respectively, as suggested by Willems et al. [27]. In contrast, the fact that only 100 \(\mu\)g/kg L-765,314 significantly blocked the DHE responses (Fig. 5b): (i) apparently matches with its slightly lower - but still high - affinity (pK\(_i\): 8.3) for the \(\alpha_{1B}\) subtype and its moderate affinity for the \(\alpha_{1D}\) subtype (Table 1); and (ii) implies a minor role of the \(\alpha_{1B}\) subtype (relative to that of the \(\alpha_{1A}\) - and \(\alpha_{1D}\)- subtypes) in the systemic vasculature, as suggested by Daly et al. [29].

On the other hand, as to the role of the \(\alpha_{2}\)-adrenoceptor subtypes, BRL44408 and JP-1302 are “relatively selective” for, respectively, the \(\alpha_{2A}\) (pK\(_i\): 8.7) and \(\alpha_{2C}\) (pK\(_i\): 7.6) subtypes (Table 1). Thus, the high potency of BRL44408 (100–300 \(\mu\)g/kg; Fig. 6a) and the lower potency of JP-1302 (only at 1000 \(\mu\)g/kg; Fig. 6c) to block the DHE responses might suggest a major role of the \(\alpha_{2A}\) subtype and a less predominant role of the \(\alpha_{2C}\) subtype mediating vasopressor responses, as suggested by Gavin and Docherty [30]. However, the affinities of these antagonists for the \(\alpha_{1}\) -adrenoceptor subtypes have not been determined (Table 1). Interestingly, in pithed rats (n = 5), the vasopressor responses to i.v. bolus injections of 0.1, 0.3, 1, 3, 10 and 30 \(\mu\)g/kg phenylephrine (14 ± 2, 19 ± 2, 24 ± 2, 39 ± 5, 66 ± 7 and 115 ± 7 mmHg, respectively): (i) remained unaltered after an i.v. bolus of 100 \(\mu\)g/kg BRL44408 (16 ± 2, 20 ± 2, 25 ± 3, 40 ± 6, 69 ± 9 and 107 ± 12 mmHg); and (ii) were attenuated (at the highest doses) after an i.v. bolus of 300 \(\mu\)g/kg BRL44408 (12 ± 2, 12 ± 1, 18 ± 3, 29 ± 7, *54 ± 12 and *93 ± 16 mmHg; *P < 0.05) (unpublished observations). The latter finding may explain why the blockade produced by BRL4408 (Fig. 6a): (i) did not significantly differ (P > 0.05) from that produced by the combination prazosin plus rauwolscine (Fig. 4c); and (ii) was more pronounced than that produced by rauwolscine alone (Fig. 4b). In contrast, the affinity of imiloxan for the \(\alpha_{1}\)-adrenoceptor subtypes is very low (pK\(_i\) < 4; which excludes its interaction with these receptors), but its affinity for the \(\alpha_{2B}\) (pK\(_i\): 7.3) and \(\alpha_{2C}\) (pK\(_i\): 6.0) subtypes (Table 1) leaves very little room for in vivo selectivity, particularly at the doses used (Fig. 6b). Indeed, the blockade of the DHE responses by 1000 and 3000 \(\mu\)g/kg imiloxan being practically identical (Fig. 6b) seems to suggest a minor role of the \(\alpha_{2B}\) (and probably also of the \(\alpha_{2C}\)) adrenoceptor subtype. Hence, we considered it unnecessary to explore the effect of more antagonist combinations.

Clearly, the above findings cannot be simply explained in terms of pure antagonism at a single receptor subtype in...
view of: (i) the nature of our pithed rat model (in which we cannot reach equilibrium conditions, nor can we categorically exclude the role of pharmacokinetic factors); (ii) the relative “selectivity” of the antagonists used (determined in vitro; Table 1); and (iii) the limited selectivity of these compounds when given i.v. in pithed rats.

**Potential clinical implications of the present results**

Admittedly, the relative “selectivity” of the α1- and α2-adrenoceptor antagonists used in this study (see Table 1) would seem rather limited in view of the i.v. (systemic) administration of compounds and the additional role of pharmacokinetic factors (which cannot be completely ruled out in pithed rats). Consistent with these views, other studies performed in vivo with these compounds have also shown limited selectivity [31]. Notwithstanding, the pithed rat model is predictive of (cardio)vascular side effects [11, 12] and provides information that cannot be obtained from in vitro studies [32]. Moreover, from a clinical perspective, our findings may help understand the pharmacological profile of the adverse vascular side-effects (i.e. systemic vasoconstriction) produced by DHE (present results) and ergotamine [10], even when the pharmacological profile of the α-adrenoceptor subtypes mediating systemic vasoconstriction in rodents and humans is not identical [25].

On the other hand, although the vasoconstrictor responses to DHE mediated by α1- and α2-adrenoceptors are less pronounced (i.e. after ritanserin pretreatment; compare Fig. 3 with Figs. 4, 5 and 6), their effects gain importance in view of the long-lasting vasoconstriction induced by DHE, as previously reported [23, 24]. These findings are even more relevant from a clinical perspective in view of the already increased cardiovascular risk in migraine patients [33, 34]. Certainly, there are other drugs for the acute treatment of migraine [2, 35, 36], including the triptans (which produce selective cranial vasoconstriction) and calcitonin gene related peptide (CGRP) receptor antagonists and antibodies (which block the cranial vasodilation produced by trigeminal release of CGRP). Regarding CGRP receptor antagonists and antibodies, they are clearly devoid of direct vasoconstrictor effects; notwithstanding, since CGRP may play a vasodilator protective role during ischemic (cerebral and cardiac) events, CGRP blockade could transform transient ischemic events into lethal infarcts [36]. Thus, the pharmacological analysis of the systemic vasoconstriction induced by the classical antimigraine agent DHE is of particular relevance for the further development of antimigraine drugs devoid of direct, as well as indirect, vascular side effects.

**Conclusion**

Our results suggest that the vasopressor (systemic vasoconstrictor) responses to DHE in ritanserin pretreated pithed rats could be mediated by activation of α1 (probably α2A, α2B and α2C)-adrenoceptors and, apparently to a lesser extent, by α1 (probably α1A, α1B and α1D)-adrenoceptors. Admittedly, this conclusion is based on the assumption that all antagonists used are relatively selective (as deduced from in vitro binding data under equilibrium conditions; Table 1) for blocking their corresponding α-adrenoceptor (sub)types at the doses used in the present study.
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