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Molecular Approach for Tracing Dissemination Routes of Shiga Toxin-Producing Escherichia coli O157 in Bovine Offal at Slaughter
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Bovine offal is currently recognized as one of the sources of human Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli (STEC) infection in Japan. Here, the prevalence and genetic characterization of STEC O157 in bovine feces, offal, and carcasses at slaughtering were examined between July and October in 2006. STEC O157 was detected in 31 of 301 cattle feces (10.3%) delivered from 120 farms. Simultaneously, 60 bovine-originated offal (tongue, liver, and omasum) and carcasses were randomly selected and the detection of O157 STEC was examined as well. STEC O157 was isolated from 4 tongues (6.7%), 1 liver (1.7%), 3 omasa (5.0%), and 2 carcasses (3.3%), respectively. All the O157 isolates were positive for eae and hlyA genes, and 37 of 41 isolates (90.2%) exhibited stx2c genotype. PFGE analysis revealed the identical macrogenotypes of 4-tongue- and 1-liver-originated isolates and among 2 fecal isolates from animals slaughtered consecutively. Considering their continuous detection according to the slaughtering order, we concluded that these distributions of O157 in bovine offal and feces might be due to cross-contamination at (pre)slaughter. Our data thus reposes implication of better sanitary control in diapedesis from both upper and lower sites to prevent spread of this pathogen to bovine offal at slaughtering.

1. Introduction

Shiga toxin (Stx)-producing Escherichia coli (STEC) is one of the major foodborne pathogens that causes diarrheal illness in humans worldwide. Among a number of serotypes categorized in the STEC, O157 is the most major serotype associated with human infection [1]. Infection with STEC O157 can be achieved at least in part through the intake of contaminated foods, in which dairy products and meats contaminated with animal feces or intestinal contents during/after slaughtering are considered as the most common sources [2].

In Japan, bovine offal which include liver, heart, tongue and intestines are customarily eaten, a part of which are consumed raw and the contamination with pathogenic microorganisms in these meat products is therefore considered a high risk for human health. Indeed, as epidemiological records for foodborne O157 infections in Japan, 6 of 52 cases (11.5%) were associated with the bovine offal in years 2010-2011 [3]. More recently, we examined the prevalence of STEC in retail bovine offal products in Japan, revealing that 38 of 229 samples (16.6%) were positive for stx gene and four O157 and one O26 STEC were finally isolated from small intestine and omasum products [4]. However, the routes of contamination in these products remain unclear, especially the issue of how and whether cross-contamination might occur during slaughtering processes.

Given the background, here we examined the prevalence and genetic characterization of STEC O157 in bovine feces and offal at slaughterhouse in Japan.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Sampling, Isolation, and Identification of STEC O157.
Fecal samples were collected with cotton swab from a total of...
301 bovine from 120 farms between July and September, 2006, at a slaughterhouse in Japan. Simultaneously, 60 of these animals were randomly selected and 100 cm² surface areas of their offal (livers, tongues, and omasum) and carcasses were swabbed, thereby being subjected to the detection procedure of STEC O157 as well. The swab samples were incubated in 10 mL of novobiocin-supplemented mEC broth (Eiken Kagaku, Tokyo, Japan) at 42°C for 24 h. The cultures were then subjected to screening of O157 using Path-Stik E. coli O157 (Celsis, Cambridge, UK) and mini-VIDAS (bioMérieux-Vitek, France). The O157-positive culture samples were then plated on CT-SMAC (Eiken Kagaku, Tokyo, Japan), CHROMagar O157 (CHROMagar, Paris, France), and Rainbow agar O157 (Biolog, Hayward, CA, USA). After incubation at 37°C for 24 h, suspected colonies were biochemically and/or genetically identified to be STEC O157 with API-20 kit (bioMérieux), O157 PCR screening set (Takara Bio, Shiga, Japan), and NH immunochromatography (Nippon-Ham, Tokyo, Japan) accordingly. The above culturing flow for each sample was started immediately within the day of slaughter.

2.2. Genetic Characterization and Toxin Production of STEC O157 Isolates. To characterize virulence gene possession of these isolates, total DNA was extracted from bacterial isolates with DNeasy kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instruction. The eaeA and hlyA (ehxA) genes were detected by PCR using primers as described previously [5, 6]. The stx genes were subtyped by PCR as described [4, 7]. Shiga toxin (Stx) production was assayed by VTEC-RPLA (Denka Seiken, Tokyo, Japan).

2.3. Pulsed-Field Gel Electrophoresis (PFGE). Representative O157 isolates were subjected to PFGE with XbaI endonuclease (New England BioLabs, Ipswich, MA, USA) using the CHEF Mapper system (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA) as described previously [8]. The gel images were obtained using ethidium bromide stain. The electrophoretic patterns from PFGE were compared based on band position using FingerPrinting II software (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA) and derived using the Dice coefficient with a maximum position tolerance of 1%. The strains were clustered using the unweighted pair group (UPGMA) method with arithmetic averages according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Dynamics for the Prevalence of STEC O157 at Slaughter. The majority of primary STEC infections are considered to be food- or water-borne, in which bovine and its products are one of the main sources of infection [9]. Epidemiological studies have mount evidence for the high prevalence of STEC especially O157 serotype in cattle intestines [10–12]. Throughout the screening tests herein, STEC O157 was detected from 31 of 301 samples from bovines feces that were slaughtered between July and September in 2006 in Japan (Table 1), of which 6 isolates (isolates #7–12) were originated from animal slaughtered at the same day, even though they were delivered from different farms (Table 1). 60 offal (tongues, livers, and omasum) and carcass samples were simultaneously subjected to the O157 detection tests, resulting in that the STEC O157 was isolated from 4 tongues (6.7%), 1 liver (1.7%), 3 omasa (5.0%), and 2 carcasses (Table 1). Among them, 4 tongues and 1 liver isolates (isolates number 1–5) were originated from samples consecutively slaughtered (Table 1). Thus, these data showed the prevalence of STEC O157 in bovine feces and offal at slaughter. The consecutive detection of O157 from fecal and offal samples (i.e., isolates #1–3, 5) suggested that these isolates might be originated from identical sources.

The prevalence date herein at 10.5% is likely to be similar to that in a previous nationwide study in Japan [13]. Comparative, little is known about the prevalence of STEC O157 in bovine offal at slaughter worldwide. Such biased information is likely to depend on the intake custom, as the individuals who eat them raw are limited and very few in numbers. Our data herein thus provided the implication of these foods for microbial risks to human infection.

3.2. Genetic Characterization of STEC O157 Isolates. Genetic characterization assays showed that all isolates were positive for eaeA and hlyA (Table 1). The most frequent stx subtype was stx2c (37 of 41, 90.2%), followed by stxl+stx2c (isolates number 6 and #21, 4.9%), and stxl isolate #12, 2.4%), stxl+stx2 (isolate #41, 2.4%), respectively (Table 1). The high yields of stx2c among the bovine isolates herein are in agreement with the previous reports [13]. We confirmed the Stx production in all isolates by VTEC-RPLA. Thus, these data indicated the potent virulence of these isolates.

3.3. Macrogenotypes of Representative O157 Isolates. Having nonconsecutive isolation of O157 STEC from fecal samples originated from identical farm (i.e., isolates #8, 9, 27, and 28 from farm F) and almost consecutive detection from tongue/liver (i.e., isolates #1–4 and #5) and omasum/fecal samples (isolates #21–22 and 17–20), their genetic associations were examined by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE). This approach then revealed the identical macrogenotypes among four tongue isolates and one liver isolate that were slaughtered almost consecutively (Figure 1). Likewise, two omasum isolates (isolates #21-22) also exhibited identical PFGE pattern with fecal isolates #17-20 that were obtained at the same date (24/Aug/2006) (Figure 1). These suggested that cross-contamination at slaughter might be a possible factor for this dissemination.

Moreover, four fecal isolates #8-9 and #27-28 exhibited identical PFGE patterns with close phylogenic lineages (Figure 1). Because these isolates were originated from animals fed at the same farm (farm F, Table 1), it could be considered that this O157 might be widely disseminated at that farm continuously. Indeed, a previous study demonstrated that a part of bovine animals shed high doses of O157 longitudinally (so-called “super shedders”) [14]. A recently trialed vaccine against type III secreted proteins [15] might be effective for the reduction of such continuous spread of this pathogen at farms. In this relation, two beef carcass isolates #40 and #41 showed different PFGE genotypes although they were...
Table 1: Summary of STEC O157 isolates obtained in this study.

| Isolate number | Date of slaughter | Animal number*1 | Place*2 | Farm*2 | Source | Virulence gene | stx | eaeA | hlyA |
|----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------|--------|--------|---------------|-----|------|------|
| 1              | 6/Jul/2006       | 206             | i       | A      | Tongue | 2c            | +   |      |      |
| 2              | 6/Jul/2006       | 207             | ii      | B      | Tongue | 2c            | +   |      |      |
| 3              | 6/Jul/2006       | 208             | ii      | B      | Tongue | 2c            | +   |      |      |
| 4              | 6/Jul/2006       | 210             | iii     | C      | Tongue | 2c            | +   |      |      |
| 5              | 6/Jul/2006       | 207             | ii      | B      | Liver  | 2c            | +   |      |      |
| 6              | 20/Jul/2006      | 842             | iv      | D      | Omasum | 1 + 2c         | +   |      |      |
| 7              | 27/Jul/2006      | 1202            | v       | E      | Feces  | 2c            | +   |      |      |
| 8              | 27/Jul/2006      | 1207            |    v    | F      | Feces  | 2c            | +   |      |      |
| 9              | 27/Jul/2006      | 1208            | v       | F      | Feces  | 2c            | +   |      |      |
| 10             | 27/Jul/2006      | 1242            | iii     | G      | Feces  | 2c            | +   |      |      |
| 11             | 27/Jul/2006      | 1257            | i       | H      | Feces  | 2c            | +   |      |      |
| 12             | 27/Jul/2006      | 1263            | i       | I      | Feces  | 1             |     |      |      |
| 13             | 28/Jul/2006      | 1315            | vi      | J      | Feces  | 2c            | +   |      |      |
| 14             | 28/Jul/2006      | 1316            | vi      | J      | Feces  | 2c            | +   |      |      |
| 15             | 28/Jul/2006      | 1318            | vi      | J      | Feces  | 2c            | +   |      |      |
| 16             | 23/Aug/2006      | 834             | vii     | K      | Feces  | 2c            | +   |      |      |
| 17             | 24/Aug/2006      | 852             | iii     | L      | Feces  | 2c            | +   |      |      |
| 18             | 24/Aug/2006      | 868             | vii     | M      | Feces  | 2c            | +   |      |      |
| 19             | 24/Aug/2006      | 869             | vii     | M      | Feces  | 2c            | +   |      |      |
| 20             | 24/Aug/2006      | 883             | vii     | N      | Feces  | 1 + 2c         | +   |      |      |
| 21             | 24/Aug/2006      | 851             | iii     | O      | Omasum | 2c            | +   |      |      |
| 22             | 24/Aug/2006      | 853             | iii     | L      | Omasum | 2c            | +   |      |      |
| 23             | 25/Aug/2006      | 936             | vii     | p      | Feces  | 2c            | +   |      |      |
| 24             | 25/Aug/2006      | 937             | vii     | P      | Feces  | 2c            | +   |      |      |
| 25             | 30/Aug/2006      | 1048            | v       | E      | Feces  | 2c            | +   |      |      |
| 26             | 30/Aug/2006      | 1050            | v       | Q      | Feces  | 2c            | +   |      |      |
| 27             | 30/Aug/2006      | 1057            | v       | F      | Feces  | 2c            | +   |      |      |
| 28             | 30/Aug/2006      | 1058            | v       | F      | Feces  | 2c            | +   |      |      |
| 29             | 30/Aug/2006      | 1068            | vii     | R      | Feces  | 2c            | +   |      |      |
| 30             | 30/Aug/2006      | 383             | i       | I      | Feces  | 1             |     |      |      |
| 31             | 30/Aug/2006      | 388             | i       | S      | Feces  | 2c            | +   |      |      |
| 32             | 30/Aug/2006      | 389             | i       | S      | Feces  | 2c            | +   |      |      |
| 33             | 9/ Sep/2006      | 392             | i       | S      | Feces  | 2c            | +   |      |      |
| 34             | 9/ Sep/2006      | 399             | i       | S      | Feces  | 2c            | +   |      |      |
| 35             | 9/ Sep/2006      | 400             | i       | S      | Feces  | 2c            | +   |      |      |
| 36             | 9/ Sep/2006      | 401             | i       | S      | Feces  | 2c            | +   |      |      |
| 37             | 9/ Sep/2006      | 424             | ix      | V      | Feces  | 2c            | +   |      |      |
| 38             | 14/ Sep/2006     | 601             | viii    | P      | Feces  | 2c            | +   |      |      |
| 39             | 14/ Sep/2006     | 1152            | ix      | W      | Feces  | 2c            | +   |      |      |
| 40             | 17/Aug/2006      | 635             | vii     | N      | Carcass | 2c            | +   |      |      |
| 41             | 24/Aug/2006      | 890             | vii     | N      | Carcass | 1 + 2c        | +   |      |      |

*1 Animals were numbered monthly according to the slaughtering order. *2 The places (prefectures) and farms, where the animals were fed, were shown in Arabic numerals or alphabetic orders.

Slaughtered almost consecutively (Figure 1). It is likely that the super shedders can have a disproportionate effect on the animal's hide and subsequent carcass contamination while low-shedding animals are also linked to the contamination on beef carcass [16]. Minimizing or eliminating the super shedding animals would thus contribute, at least in part, to the reduction of contamination with O157 STEC on beef carcasses.

As a molecular classification tool, we used PFGE to genetically discriminate representative STEC O157 isolates.
Figure 1: Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) patterns of representative STEC O157 isolates from bovine samples at slaughter. UPGMA dendrogram was constructed with the use of FingerPrinting II software.

The PFGE types are known to be altered during passage in bovine intestine [17], and therefore the identical PFGE patterns of O157 isolates from offal and feces of bovines at slaughter suggest that this dissemination of O157 might be due to animal-to-animal contact at preslaughter (including farm environment) and/or cross-contamination at slaughter, respectively. In this relation, Arthur et al. (2011) reported that the O157 cells could survive on cattle hides for up to 9 days after infection [18], suggesting that animal-to-animal contact at preslaughter might be also one of the important factors for the bacterial dissemination. In addition, the detection of STEC O157 at the surface of tongues and omasum further provided an idea that this pathogen could be disseminated at slaughter by diapedesis of oral and gastric contents to the surroundings. In this support, Bergholz and Whittam reported the superior ability of STEC O157 in acid resistance to the other serotypes of STEC [19].

### 4. Conclusion

Our data showed the prevalence of STEC O157 in bovine feces and offal at slaughter in Japan. The genetic characterization reposes the importance for the proper salinity control at bovine (pre)slaughtering processes to prevent spread of STEC O157 to beef carcasses and offal.
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