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ABSTRACT

Background
Sense of coherence (SOC) is a core concept of salutogenesis which relates to individuals’ overall life orientation. Stronger SOC associates with better coping strategies, better health, and better quality of life. Although the SOC-questionnaire is validated in many cultures and languages, it has not, to date, been applied in Nepal.

Objective
To determine and evaluate women’s SOC before and after a health education intervention.

Method
This study was conducted as a part of the Heart-health Associated Research, Dissemination, and Intervention in the Community in the semi-urban Jhaukhel-Duwakot Health Demographic Surveillance Site in Nepal. Jhaukhel and Duwakot were selected as the control and intervention areas, respectively. Participants were women with children aged 1-7 years. Eight hundred and fifty-seven women before and 1,268 women after the health education intervention participated in the study. The statistical analysis was carried out with chi-square tests and one-way uni-variate ANOVA.

Result
Women’s total SOC mean values at baseline were 51.1-57.4 and at follow up 54.4-54.9 in the intervention and control area, respectively. At baseline, SOC was significantly weaker in the intervention area compared to the control area (p < 0.001). At follow-up three months later, SOC was significantly stronger in the intervention area than in the control area (p < 0.001).

Conclusion
Nepalese women had weaker SOC than women in high-income countries, but comparable to neighboring country India with similar cultural features. Empowerment of women through community participation and health education strengthened SOC. The SOC-13-questionnaire in its Nepali version is recommended to be further evaluated.
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INTRODUCTION

Salutogenesis represents an orientation and resource perspective that focuses on improving and maintaining health and well-being, rather than on factors that cause disease.\(^1\) Sense of coherence (SOC) is the core concept of salutogenesis, and reflects people’s overall ability to deal with and cope with a range of challenging life events.\(^2\) Consequently, strong SOC is a predictor of health, psychosocial well-being, quality of life and good perceived emotional health.\(^3\)–\(^7\) Strong SOC positively associates with better general health, better mental health and well-being, an individual’s coping abilities and stress tolerance as well as lower stress levels.\(^8\)–\(^14\)

Our research group earlier developed the Heart-health Associated Research, Dissemination, and Intervention in the Community (HARDIC) trial to address cardiovascular health in the Jhaukhel-Duwakot Health Demographic Surveillance Site (JD-HDSS) in Nepal.\(^15\),\(^16\) Interestingly, the health education intervention improved women’s knowledge, attitude, and practice (KAP) regarding dietary habits and physical activity and thereby empowered women.\(^17\) Understanding SOC, and how a health education intervention may influence SOC levels, provides insights into women’s coping abilities with different life events and contributes to a deeper understanding of how health education can affect SOC.

The SOC-questionnaire is validated in different cross-cultural settings worldwide.\(^18\)–\(^21\) To our knowledge, no studies have determined and evaluated SOC in the Nepalese context. Therefore, our study aimed to determine and evaluate women’s SOC before and after a health education intervention.

METHODS

This study was conducted in parallel and as part of the HARDIC community-based trial a trial focusing on health education on diet and physical activity to promote cardiovascular health in JD-HDSS.\(^15\) Details of the trial are described elsewhere; trial registration number NCT03639402 at ClinicalTrials.gov. CONSORT checklist was followed to report this study (appendices 1).\(^22\)

In the current SOC-study, criteria for inclusion were mothers with at least one child aged 1-7 years who lived in the JD-HDSS and were willing to participate in the SOC determination. Eligible mothers were enrolled in either the intervention area (Duwakot) or the control area (Jhaukel), based on the study setting for HARDIC as outlined below. SOC was determined twice through a Nepali version of the SOC-13 questionnaire. First, before the HARDIC trial started (baseline) with 419 and 438 mothers in Duwakot and Jhaukel, respectively. Second, after the HARDIC trial (follow-up) with 619 and 649 mothers in Duwakot and Jhaukel, respectively (fig. 1).

![Figure 1. Enrollment, follow-up, and allocation of the participants for SOC determination at baseline and follow-up.](image-url)
The follow-up for SOC was conducted January–February 2017 and coincided with the HARDIC follow-up three months after the health education intervention. A total of nine enumerators and three supervisors collected the SOC-data during the follow-up through door-to-door visits in both the intervention and control areas. As these were door-to-door visits, one eligible mother per household was included. The numbers for enrolment, intervention, and follow-up of mothers are shown in figure 1.

Data were analyzed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS, version 24). The range for individual items on the SOC-13 scale is 1-7, giving a total score for the scale of 13-91. We calculated SOC-scores as mean (m) and standard deviation (SD), according to the total score obtained. Chi-squared tests were applied on categorized data and one-way uni-variate ANOVAs to determine the association between age, income, and educational level and total SOC-score as an outcome. Further, we identified and replaced missing values in each group of questions as imputed mean values. We assumed that missing values were randomly distributed and verified this assumption by creating a dummy-variable 0 for missing values and 1 for other values. Questionnaires missing more than two responses in each sub-group of the SOC were excluded from the analysis. We excluded eight women altogether from baseline and follow-up in the final analysis because of missing values in their SOC questionnaires. Hence, 1,038 women from the intervention area and 1,087 women from the control area were included in the final analysis.

The lack of a panel follow-up setting was compensated for by the inclusion of interaction terms for the area (intervention vs. control) x time (before vs. after the intervention) in a multiple variable ANOVA. In the model, income and educational level were included as covariates. We extended the analysis by randomly deleting a number of participants equal to the number by which both the intervention and control groups had increased.

Ethical permission was granted by the Nepal Health Research Council (Ref. 2418, 14 July, 2016). Information about the study was given orally in Nepali before the study, and all participants provided verbal consent. Study participants were also informed that they could leave the study at any time. All data were secured in locked cabinets to ensure participants’ confidentiality, without access by any external person. During baseline measurement, peer

| Table 1. Sociodemographic characteristics of the study participants in the intervention and control areas at baseline and follow-up |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Baseline** | Duwakot, Intervention n=419 (%) | Jhaukhel, Control n=438 (%) | **P-value** | Duwakot, Intervention n= 619 (%) | Jhaukhel, Control n=649 (%) | **P-value** |
| **Educational level** | | | | | | |
| Illiterate | 34 (8.1) | 50 (11.4) | | 45 (7.3) | 10 (1.5) | |
| ≤ 5 grade | 86 (20.5) | 63 (14.4) | < 0.001 | 178 (28.8) | 235 (36.2) | |
| 6–10 grade | 149 (35.6) | 164 (37.4) | | 200 (32.3) | 204 (31.4) | |
| 11–12 grade | 65 (15.5) | 79 (18.0) | | 137 (22.1) | 134 (20.6) | |
| >12 grade | 20 (4.8) | 77 (17.6) | | 46 (7.4) | 51 (7.9) | |
| Missing | 65 (15.5) | 5 (1.1) | | 13 (2.1) | 15 (2.3) | |
| **Age group** | | | | | | |
| ≤ 26 years | 84 (20.0) | 101 (23.1) | < 0.001 | 159 (25.7) | 167 (25.7) | |
| 27–36 years | 182 (43.4) | 294 (67.1) | | 374 (60.4) | 419 (64.6) | |
| > 36 years | 91 (21.7) | 36 (8.2) | | 64 (10.3) | 49 (7.6) | |
| Missing | 62 (14.8) | 7 (1.6) | | 22 (3.6) | 14 (2.2) | |
| **Income (NPR)** | | | 0.001 | | | 0.271 |
| ≤ 15000 | 165 (39.4) | 229 (52.3) | | 266 (43.0) | 271 (41.8) | |
| 15001–30000 | 114 (27.2) | 110 (25.1) | | 259 (41.8) | 287 (44.2) | |
| 30001–45000 | 16 (3.8) | 18 (4.1) | | 34 (5.5) | 34 (5.2) | |
| ≥ 45001 | 31 (7.4) | 17 (3.9) | | 32 (5.2) | 41 (6.3) | |
| Missing | 93 (22.2) | 64 (14.6) | | 28 (4.5) | 16 (2.5) | |
| **Profession** | | | <0.001 | | | <0.001 |
| Housewife | 196 (46.8) | 297 (67.8) | | 487 (78.7) | 409 (63.0) | |
| Employed** | 25 (6.0) | 82 (18.7) | | 48 (7.8) | 67 (10.6) | |
| Agriculture | 100 (23.9) | 33 (7.5) | | 22 (3.6) | 94 (14.5) | |
| Business | 39 (9.3) | 22 (5.0) | | 17 (2.7) | 34 (5.2) | |
| Other | 5 (1.2) | 2 (0.5) | | 34 (5.4) | 38 (5.9) | |
| Missing | 54 (12.9) | 2 (0.5) | | 7 (1.1) | | |

*P-values are based on chi-squared tests for comparison of the situation before and after the intervention.

(NPR) Nepalese rupees, (1 USD = 119.44 NPR).

**Employment in both the private and public sectors.
mothers received a boxed lunch and travel allowance; fellow mothers received 200 Nepalese rupees (NPR) (1 USD=117.05 NPR) per woman. During follow-up measurement, all participants received 300 NPR as an incentive.

RESULTS
The final analyses at baseline included 857 eligible mothers, 419 from the intervention area and 438 from the control area. At follow-up, 1,268 eligible mothers with 619 from the intervention and 649 from the control area were included in the final analyses (figure 1 and table 1).

Sociodemographic characteristics of the women
The sociodemographic characteristics of participants in Duwakot and Jhaukhel are described in table 1. At baseline, before the intervention, participants in the control area were significantly better educated (p < 0.001), older (p < 0.001), had lower incomes (p = 0.001) and exhibited a different professional distribution (p < 0.001) compared with participants in the intervention area (table 1). At follow-up, we observed a significantly higher educational level (p < 0.001) and different professions (p < 0.001) in the intervention area as compared to the control area (table 1).

Total SOC score at baseline and follow-up
The women's total SOC in the intervention and control areas at baseline and follow-up ranged from 26–88 and 19–85, respectively (table 2). In a univariate ANOVAs analysis, the mean (SD) SOC-score for all participants at baseline was 51.1 (8.6) to 57.4 (9.2) and during follow-up, 54.4 (9.2) to 54.9 (8.9) in the intervention and control areas, respectively.

At baseline, SOC was significantly weaker in the intervention area compared to the control area (p < 0.001). At follow-up, SOC was stronger in the intervention area (p < 0.001) and weaker in the control area (p < 0.001) (table 2). However, the SOC level was not significantly different at follow-up between Duwakot and Jhaukhel (p = 0.315). In univariate ANOVAs, total SOC at baseline (for the responses from both the intervention and control areas) was not associated with educational level but was positively associated with higher age (p < 0.01). Moreover, an analysis of interaction terms for area x time of the multiple variable ANOVA, adjusted for income and educational level, showed the strongest relative significant increase of SOC scores in the intervention area as compared to the control.

Demographic characteristics of women in relation to total SOC
Table 3 describes women’s total SOC in relation to their demographic characteristics at baseline and follow-up.

| Table 2. Total SOC and differences between intervention and control area at baseline and follow-up |
|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
|                  | Baseline          | Follow-up         |                  |
|                  | Duwakot (Interven-| Jhaukhel (Control)| Duwakot (Interven-| Jhaukhel (Control) |
|                  | tion) n=419       | n=438             | (Interven-      | n=619             |
| Mean             | 51.1              | 57.4              | 54.9            | 54.4              |
| SD               | 8.6               | 9.6               | 8.9             | 9.2               |
| 95% CI for mean  | Lower Bound       | 50.3              | 56.5            | 54.2              | 53.7              |
|                  | Upper Bound       | 52.0              | 58.3            | 55.6              | 55.1              |
| Minimum          | 26                | 32                | 27              | 19                |
| Maximum          | 85                | 88                | 81              | 85                |
| Significance     | Between groups    | p < 0.001         | p = 0.315       |
| differences (p)* |                  | p < 0.001 between Duwakot, at baseline and follow-up | p < 0.001 between Jhaukhel, at baseline and follow-up |

CI= Confidence interval, SD= Standard deviation
*p-values are based on the ANOVA test by comparing total SOC for both areas.

At baseline
At baseline, total SOC was weaker in the intervention area compared to the control area in relation to educational level, age group, and income level (table 3).

At follow-up
There were no significant differences between the intervention and control areas regarding total SOC according to participants’ education level, age, and income at follow-up.

DISCUSSION
We determined and evaluated women’s SOC in JD-HDSS, a semi-urban area of Nepal using a Nepali version of the SOC-questionnaire. Total SOC mean values of women ranged between 51 and 57. In our study, women’s SOC levels increased significantly after a health education intervention. To our knowledge, the present study is the first to determine and evaluate SOC in Nepal and to show that health education is able to strengthen SOC in this context.

The mean score for women’s total SOC was weaker in our study than women’s SOC in high-income countries, with a mean SOC score in Finland of 66, in Sweden of 61 to 74, and in Germany of 64.26,28 Such comparisons with different cultures and countries are essential as SOC associates with both internal and external resources for health and strong SOC associates with better health and healthy lifestyle choices.3,9,29 Interestingly, mothers in India exhibit a total
SOC mean score of 54. Similarly, students in India have a total SOC mean score of 52. Like India, a lower-middle income country with a similar cultural context, Nepalese women in our study also exhibit a weaker SOC. Thus, it is reasonable that weaker SOC levels may be attributed to the cultural differences between countries with different income levels. This may explain why Nepalese women have similar SOC level to their counterparts in neighboring India.

Our study demonstrates that a health education intervention is able to strengthen Nepalese women’s SOC, particularly considering the analysis of the interaction terms and despite the lack of a panel follow-up setting. In contrast, the women’s total SOC score was stronger in the control area at baseline but weaker during follow-up. We found that women in the control area at baseline were older and had a higher education level. This may explain why Nepalese women have similar SOC level to their counterparts in neighboring India.

Our study determined SOC with a relatively short follow-up period of three months. We are therefore unable to predict any long-lasting effects of the health education intervention on SOC. An earlier study from Finland indicates that an intervention that includes long-term follow-up (6 months) can strengthen SOC. However, despite the follow-up period being only three months, we identified stronger SOC in the intervention area compare to the control area after the HARDIC intervention with focus on diet and physical activity to promote cardiovascular health.

Interestingly, a study conducted in Iran found that an intervention focusing on empowerment and self-management increased the SOC level among CVD patients. This suggests that training with understandable information and encouragement can involve patients in decision making about their health and thereby strengthen their SOC. Another prospective longitudinal study in patients with morbid obesity from Norway shows that education has a positive effect on SOC as well as health-related quality of life, which persists at a 12 month follow-up. Taken together, it is evident that health education strengthens SOC, regardless of cultural context. However, the health education intervention in our study was not primarily designed to influence SOC but rather to increase the mothers’ knowledge of healthy food and lifestyles affecting cardiovascular health and to strengthen KAP.

The increased SOC in the intervention area can therefore be explained by the empowerment of women through HARDIC which increased knowledge and strengthened KAP regarding cardiovascular health.
Like other community-based studies, our study has some limitations. A panel follow-up setting was not possible in our study. First, there is no proper tracking system in Nepal as people do not have a personal identification number; second, there is an absence of street and house numbers. It was therefore not possible to identify the same participants at baseline and follow-up. However, we measured SOC at the group level by comparing the communities rather than the individual level.

Additionally, the total number of households with eligible participants had increased to a similar extent in both intervention and control area at follow-up. Internal migration in Nepal is common, and the major earthquake in 2015 has resulted in substantial movement and migration of people. Since follow-up with the same mothers was not possible, this may have affected the results. However, a strength of our study is that additional statistical analysis confirmed that the changes in the mothers’ SOC did not occur because of the increased number of mothers or due to changes in their sociodemographic data. Thus, the results indicated significant differences between the control and intervention areas. As the SOC is a core concept within the theory of salutogenesis, the determination and evaluation of SOC among women in Nepal despite the methodological challenges is also a major strength of this paper. Our research has, therefore, opened a new route for future salutogenic research in Nepal.

The SOC questionnaire is a pretested and validated instrument from a cultural perspective and has been used in different cultures and languages in several countries and is psychometrically sound. However, despite back-translation, evaluation, and pretesting of the SOC-13 Nepali questionnaire, and the help of enumerators and supervisors, some respondents reported difficulties in understanding the questions. Such challenges in understanding the questionnaire may have contributed to some of the missing values in our study. Indeed, during baseline, several items were left unanswered despite the presence of supervisors and enumerators who facilitated the mothers’ understanding of the questionnaire through clarification when needed. Having identified this issue at baseline, the enumerators were more conscious of the problem during follow-up which resulted in fewer unanswered questions/missing values. Thus, the Nepali version of SOC-13 would benefit from further evaluation to explore the challenges and difficulties experienced by respondents.

CONCLUSION

Nepalese women have weaker SOC (total SOC mean values 51-57) than women in high-income countries, but comparable to neighboring country India with similar cultural features. Empowerment of women through community participation and health education strengthens SOC. However, we recommend that the Nepali version of the questionnaire be further evaluated to explore identified methodological challenges.
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