Modelling and Performance Analysis of Massive MIMO-OFDM Wireless System with Turbo Encoding
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ABSTRACT  
MIMO-OFDM (multiple inputs and multiple output orthogonal frequency division multiplexing) system is a new wireless broadband technology which has gain great popularity for its capability of high rate transmission and its strength against multipath fading.

In this paper turbo coding, Zero Forcing and Minimum Mean Square Error equalization techniques are presented for reduction in bit error rate for the 16-QAM modulation technique. The channel used in this paper is Rayleigh frequency selective channel.
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1. INTRODUCTION  
Wireless communications can be regarded as the most significant and important development in a modern society. Multiple Input Multiple Output (MIMO) Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing (OFDM) systems have recently emerged as key technology in wireless communication systems for increasing data rate and system performance [1].

OFDM is most popular method for high data rate transmission in wireless communication, robustness to multipath fading, high spectral efficiency and high flexibility in resource allocation. MIMO-OFDM system is very attractive for future wireless communication systems. MIMO boost the capacity and diversity and OFDM suitable for high data rate transmission over multipath fading channels [2]. The increased in spectral efficiency of MIMO systems is based on utilization of space (or antenna) diversity technique at both the transmitter and the receiver, which is also known as Multiple-Element Antenna systems (MEAs) [2]. The effect of fading and interference can be combated to increase the capacity of the link. When data rate is transmitted at high bit rate, the channel impulse response can extend over many symbol periods which leads to Inter-Symbol Interference (ISI). ISI always caused an issue for signal recovery in wireless communication. In order to reduce the error probability in MIMO system, various detection algorithm such as Zero forcing (ZF) and Minimum Mean Square Error (MMSE) are proposed that reduce bit error rate (BER). Simulations are done by Mat Lab software that shows BER vs. signal-noise ratio (SNR) curve of equalizer. Recently, the concept of Massive MIMO introduced, this new technique has been gathering remarkable attention as a solution to obtain very high speed communications in future wireless systems [1]. In order to deal with deep fading in multi-carrier, it is essential to use FEC (Forward Error Correction) codes. The popular FEC code used in OFDM is Turbo Code. Turbo codes employing iterative decoding are channel codes that have been shown to yield remarkable coding gains and showing a performance close to the Shannon limit [3].

2. LITERATURE REVIEW  
The concept of using parallel data transmission by frequency division multiplexing (FDM) was published in mid 60’s. The idea was to use parallel data streams and FDM with overlapping sub channels to avoid the use of high speed equalization, reduce noise and multipath distortion as well as to fully use the available bandwidth. The initial applications were in the military communications. Weinstein and Ebert applied the discrete Fourier transform (DFT) to parallel data transmission system as part of the modulation and demodulation process [3]. In the 1980s, OFDM has been studied for high speed modems and for digital mobile communications [3]. In 1990s, OFDM has found its applications in wideband data communications over mobile radio FM channels, wireless LAN, wireless multimedia communication, high-bit-rate digital subscriber lines (HDSL), asymmetric digital subscriber lines (ADSL), digital audio broadcasting (DAB), digital video broadcasting (DVB). OFDM has been chosen as the modulation technique for the new Fourth Generation (4G) standard as well as High-Performance LAN (HIPERLAN) [4]. For the reduction of the error rate in transmitting digital data we use forward error correcting Codes in design of digital transmission systems. The IEEE 802.11n standard, October 2009, recommends MIMO-OFDM. MIMO is used in Mobile radio telephone standards such as recent 3GPP and 3GPP2. In 3GPP, High-Speed Packet Access plus (HSPA+) and Long Term Evolution (LTE) standards take MIMO into account. Turbo Codes proposed by Berrou, Glavieux and Thitimajshima in 1993. Turbo codes have error correcting capability very close to the theoretical performance limits. Turbo codes are finding use in 4G mobile communications and as well as other applications where need to achieve reliable information transfer over bandwidth or latency-constrained communication links in the presence of data-corrupting noise. [4].

3. MIMO-OFDM  
3.1 OFDM  
Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing (OFDM) is implemented using discrete Fourier transform and inverse DFT. The bandwidth is divided into a number of sub-carriers and each one is modulated by a low rate data stream [5]. However, OFDM uses the spectrum efficiently by spacing the sub-channels close together. This is achieved by making all the sub-carriers orthogonal to each another. Fig. 1 shows the block diagram of the OFDM system.
3.2 MIMO

A MIMO system consists of transmitter, the channel, and the receiver. Here, $N_t$ denotes the number of transmitter antennas, $N_r$ denotes the number of receiver antennas and $H$ denotes the channel. Any MIMO system can be represented by Fig. 2.

The received signal can be given in equation (1)

$$ y = Hx + n $$

Where:
- $y$: received signal vector.
- $x$: Transmitted signal vector.
- $H$: Channel matrix.
- $n$: Noise signal vector.

For MIMO system with $N_t$ transmit antennas and $N_r$ receive antennas, The transmitted signal vector ($x$), received signal vector, channel matrix, and noise signal vector can be given in equations (2-5) respectively.

$$ x = [x_1, x_2, x_3, ..., x_{N_t}]^T $$

$$ y = [y_1, y_2, y_3, ..., y_{N_r}]^T $$

$$ H = \begin{bmatrix} H_{1,1} & \cdots & H_{1,N_r} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ H_{N_r,1} & \cdots & H_{N_r,N_t} \end{bmatrix} $$

$$ n = [n_1, n_2, n_3, ..., n_{N_r}]^T $$

Where each entry “$H_{N_r,N_t}$” denotes the channel attenuation and phase shift (transfer function) between the $N_t$th transmitter and the $N_r$th receiver.

4. SYSTEM MODEL

The general system used in this paper is shown in Fig. 3. At transmitter, the data bit streams are encoded by a Turbo encoder. The coded bit streams are mapped to a digital modulator, which is 16-QAM. 16-QAM (Quadrature Amplitude Modulation) is used to modulate symbol streams which will converted into parallel output. First, pilot symbol will be inserted corresponding to pilot patterns then symbol sequence in frequency is modulated by inverse Fast Fourier Transform (IFFT) to an OFDM symbol. A cyclic prefix (CP) is inserted to every OFDM symbol to decrease from the delay spread in channel.
CP is used to extend the OFDM symbol by copying the last samples of OFDM symbol into its front. The OFDM symbol is converted from parallel to serial and inserted to the Space Time Diversity Encoder. Finally, the data is transmitted. At receiver, the received symbol streams are first go to the Space Time Diversity Combiner, and will converted from serial to parallel sequence. After that, CP is removed from received symbol streams and remaining OFDM symbol is demodulated by FFT. Frequency pilots are extracted from the demodulated OFDM symbol in frequency domain, and fine frequency synchronization and timing are carried out to extract pilots and data symbols accurately. The refined frequency pilots from all the receive antennas are used for channel estimation. The demodulated OFDM symbols are then equalized to detect the received signal, which will be converted from parallel to serial and then demodulated and decoded. For decoder, turbo decoder is used. Finally, the decoded source bit streams are received in data sink. [6]

5. DETECTION ALGORITHMS

5.1 Zero Forcing (ZF) detector

The ZF detector performs a linear transformation on the received vector by applying the pseudo-inverse of the channel matrix [8]. The equation for this estimator can be given in equation (6).

\[ W_{ZF} = H(H^H H)^{-1} \]

Where \( H \) is the Hermitian transpose of the channel matrix \( H \).

5.2 Minimum Mean Square Error (MMSE) detector

The MMSE detector is also a linear detector, and the transformation matrix used in this algorithm is that which minimizes the mean square error between the transformed received vector and the transmitted vector [8]. The equation for the MMSE estimator can be given in equation (7).

\[ W_{MMSE} = H(H^H H + \frac{N_0}{E_s} I)^{-1} \]

Where:

- \( N_0 \): is the noise energy.
- \( E_s \): is the symbol Energy.
- \( I \): is an Identity matrix.

6. SIMULATION RESULTS

The parameters used in the simulation are shown in table 1.

| Parameters      | value          |
|-----------------|----------------|
| Modulation      | 16-QAM         |
| IFFT & FFT length | 256            |
| Noise model     | AWGN           |
| Channel model   | Rayleigh       |
| Code rate       | 1/2            |
| No. of Rx       | 2 to 100       |

Fig 4: BER Vs SNR for 2X2 & 2X4 MIMO system

As the Fig. 4 above shows, the performance of the 2X4 system is much better than the 2X2 system.

Fig 5: BER Vs SNR for 2X4, 2X10, and 2X100 systems

As Fig. 5 shows, the Massive MIMO where 100 antennas used at the receiver shows great performance compared to the other two systems, where it reaches error free performance with SNR value less than -10 dB. Table 2, explains comparison between four different MIMO systems used in the simulation, every system has two transmit antenna.

Table 2. explains the values of SNR to achieve a specific BER for different number of receive antennas

| BER | SNR(dB) | SNR(dB) | SNR(dB) | SNR(dB) |
|-----|---------|---------|---------|---------|
|     | At Nr=2 | At Nr=4 | At Nr=10| At Nr=100|
| \(10^{-1}\) | 2       | -5      | -10     | -21.2   |
| \(10^{-2}\) | 14      | 2       | -4      | -15.1   |
| \(10^{-3}\) | 22      | 6.5     | -1      | -12.4   |
| \(10^{-4}\) | -       | 10      | 2       | -10.9   |
Fig 6: Performance of 2x2 MIMO system with & without turbo coding

Fig 7: Performance of 2x4 MIMO system with & without turbo coding

Fig 8: Performance of 2x16 MIMO system with and without turbo coding

Table 3. explains the values of SNR for the coded and un-coded MIMO-OFDM

| BER | 2x4 MIMO system | 2x16 MIMO system |
|-----|-----------------|-----------------|
|     | SNR(dB)         | SNR(dB)         | SNR(dB)         | SNR(dB)         |
|     | Of coded | Of un-coded | Of coded | Of un-coded |
| $10^1$ | -7.9      | -4.5        | -12.9     | -11.7        |
| $10^2$ | -7.3      | 1.3         | -12.3     | -6.3         |
| $10^3$ | -6.6      | 4.2         | -11.7     | -3.5         |
| $10^4$ | -6.1      | 6.3         | -11.1     | -1.5         |

7. CONCLUSIONS & FUTURE WORKS

In this paper, the Massive MIMO OFDM system was implemented using MATLAB software program. The equalizer used was the ZF equalizer. Different simulations were carried out to observe the performance of the Massive MIMO system at the receiver, where 100 antennas were used at the base station; these results were compared to MIMO system with only few antennas at the two terminals and showed a significant improvement. And it was obvious that Massive MIMO can achieve high spectral efficiency, so it can be considered as the key of 5G wireless communications systems. The MIMO OFDM system with turbo coding was analyzed and the results were observed by varying the number of receive antennas. The results show that when the number of receive antenna increasing (i.e. higher diversity) the system shows a better performance, where in the 2x16 MIMO system, the SNR needed to obtain a BER of $10^{-3}$ is -12 dB.

Further work can be extended with using different modulation schemes (BPSK, QPSK, 64-QAM) or using other equalizers (Match Filter, Maximum Likelihood Decoding, Sphere decoding), also the work can be extended by using other channel coding techniques like convolution coding and LDPC coding.
8. REFERENCES

[1] J. W. Choi, B. Lee, B. Shim, and I. Kang, “Low Complexity Detection and Precoding for Massive MIMO Systems”, In Wireless Communications and Networking Conference (WCNC), 2013, pp. 2857 – 2861.

[2] A.Wadday, S. Abdul Aziz, A.S.Abdallah, ”Turbo and Convolutional Codes Assisted Space Time Block Code Spatial Modulation” IEEE International Conference on Future Communication Networks, 2012.

[3] Y.S. Cho, J. Kim, W. Y. Yang, C. G.Kang, “MIMO-OFDM Wireless Communications with MATLAB” Book IEEE Press Conference, 2010.

[5] P.Dahiya, K.Sharma, “Turbo coded MIMO-OFDM systems”, International Journal of Engineering and Innovative Technology (IJEIT) Volume 3, Issue 3, September 2013.

[6] S. Kaur, G. Bharti, “Orthogonal frequency division multiplexing in wireless communications systems: A Review” International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer Engineering & Technology Volume 1, Issue 3, May 2012.

[7] H.Yang, Alcatel Shanghai Bell Co., Ltd. “A Road to Future Broadband Wireless Access: MIMO-OFDM Based Air Interface” IEEE Communications Magazine 2005.