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**Abstract**

Models of maturation rate of the airborne *Venturia inaequalis* (Cooke) Wint. ascospores were compared using field data collected from spore traps in Skierniewice, Poland, during 2005–2008 and 2010–2014. Two sets of models describing ascospores maturation rate as a function of cumulative temperature were compared. The first set consisted of the “Stensvand” model with degrees summed during and 147 h after the rainfall, and the “Only” type models accumulating temperature only during hours with observed high moisture level indicated by rain, leaf wetness or high humidity. The second set consisted of more complex “All” type models proposed in the study, with degrees summed each hour, but differently weighted for periods with various sources of moisture such as rain, leaf wetness (LW) and relative air humidity (RH). The “All” type models proved to be superior over the “Only” type models. The “All Rain + LW + RH,” “All Rain + LW,” “All LW” and the “Stensvand” models gave the best match with the data. The final and most important result of the study is such that all of the examined models had high and unsatisfactory range of models’ uncertainty for the data from central Poland. Therefore, further improvements in modeling of ascospore maturation rate are necessary. Low accuracy of the models limits their practical application at the current stage of development.
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**Introduction**

Apple scab, caused by the fungal pathogen *Venturia inaequalis* (Cooke) Wint., is the most harmful apple disease in temperate regions throughout the world (MacHardy 1996). It may lead to significant economic losses to the growers due to reduction in fruit quantity and fruit quality. 75% of the pesticide use in apple production is related to the control of fungal diseases, where apple scab has a share of 70% (Creemers and van Laer 2006), applied in a few to over a dozen fungicide treatments in the growing season. High amount of fungicides used in horticultural production has negative impact on the environment (Wightwick et al. 2010).

There are various approaches to limit the number of sprays in modern orchards. The key one is to reduce overwintering inoculums by autumn mulching or ranking leaves in the orchard. The presented study is focused on an approach based on mathematical modeling used to predict the risk of a *V. inaequalis* ascospore release and following infection. Fungicide programs have been developed on the basis of this approach (Gadoury et al. 1989; Rossi et al. 2007). In order to predict ascospore releases, first their seasonal maturation pattern is described as a function of cumulative temperature. The major factor accelerating ascospore development is moisture. Its influence on ascospore development ratio is included in definition of cumulative temperature. Various definitions of temperature accumulation were proposed in mathematical...
models of ascospore maturation examined by many groups of researchers in many countries (Massie and Szkolnik 1974; James et al. 1981; Gadoury and MacHardy 1982; James and Sutton 1982a, b; MacHardy and Gadoury 1985; Stensvand 1993; Beresford 1999; Rossi et al. 1999, 2000; Gadoury et al. 2004; Stensvand et al. 2005; Schwabe et al. 1989; Alves and Beresford 2013; Roubal and Nicot 2016).

To date, no results of modeling of ascospore maturation have been reported on from Poland though Poland became in the recent years the largest producer of apples in the European Union and third largest in the world (FAOSTAT 2018).

The aim of the study was to compare effectiveness of various models, using different definitions of cumulative temperature, in describing the development of V. inaequalis (Cooke) Wint. ascospores in central Poland.

Materials and methods

Ascospore trapping and weather data

The experiment was conducted at the Experimental Orchard of the Research Institute of Pomology and Floriculture in Skiermiewice, Poland (N51°55'; E20°6'), over ten consecutive years, from 2005 to 2014. Weather conditions were recorded by an automatic weather station (model Metos-Compact, Pessl Instruments, Weiz, Austria) placed at the experimental site.

A Burkard 7-day recording volumetric spore trap (Burkard Manufacturing Co Ltd., Rickmansworth, Hertfordshire, UK) was used to monitor the release of ascospores. Approximately 2 m² of the orchard floor under the Burkard sampler were covered with leaves of the apple cultivar McIntosh heavily infected with V. inaequalis the previous season. The trees were not treated with fungicides during the experiment and for the preceding 10 years. The spore trap was installed in the center of this area and was adjusted to sample the air 1 m above the ground at a sampling rate of 0.6 m³ h⁻¹. The tape from the Burkard trap, covered with a thin layer of Gelvatol and Vaseline, was changed weekly and cut into daily segments (48 mm long). The number of V. inaequalis ascospores deposited on the sampling surface was counted under a microscope (200x) by scanning two equidistant transects across the tape’s long axis at 2 mm intervals, which corresponded to a time interval of 1 h.

The release data were analyzed on an hourly scale. A discharge terminated when it was followed by 4 h without trapped ascospores.

The ascospore discharge seasons in the years 2005–2014 lasted from 43 to 93 days with the first and last dates of spore releases varying from March 12 to April 19 and from May 29 to June 17, respectively. The ascospores were trapped during 21–33 days of a season, giving in total 256 discharges. Graphs presenting the cumulated proportion of trapped ascospores in each examined season are shown in Supplementary Figure 1.

Graphs presenting the main weather factors, precipitation, leaf wetness duration, average relative humidity and average temperature, calculated for each day since March 1 to June 15, in the years 2005–2014, are shown in Supplementary Figures 2–15, respectively. The graph of leaf wetness in year 2009 suggests incorrect measurements of the leaf wetness sensor in this season: in two periods of the year, April 8–22 and May 15—June 5, the sensor measured constant leaf wetness. Such incorrect data caused the problem of overfitting the data in all models in which leaf wetness was applied as one of the indicators of moisture level in the orchard. Therefore, data from the year 2009 were excluded from the analysis.

General assumptions of the models of ascospore maturation examined in the study

The numbers of mature ascospores in the experimental orchard were approximated with the numbers of airborne ascospores trapped during consecutive discharges with a Burkard-type trap (Rossi et al. 1999; Lacey and West 2006). The spores, which by being released into the air can lead to apple scab infection in the orchard, were accepted as mature.

The usually applied time scale for a description of ascospore maturation is the daily scale. Then, a number of observed ascospores are calculated for each day of the season. In the current study, the hourly scale was used as it should more accurately reflect the development of ascospores in response to changes in weather (James and Sutton 1982b).

The cumulative proportion (percentage) p of mature ascospores observed to the present moment of the season to the total number of ascospores trapped during the entire season was modeled. The increasing from 0 to 1 proportion of mature ascospores was described as a function of the cumulative temperature (T_cum) being the sum of the positive temperatures in the hours counted from the starting date referred to as biofix (Massie and Szkolnik 1974). The biofix was established as the green tip stage of the apple trees in the experimental orchard. In the case of the years in which the first ascospore discharge was observed before the date of the green tip, the date of the first discharge was applied for the biofix.

From the beginning of modeling of the ascospore development dynamics, various authors recognized that moisture is the limiting factor for pseudothecial development of V. inaequalis. The pseudothecial development is correlated with the level of rainfall or relative humidity (Massie and Szkolnik 1974; James and Sutton 1982a). In order to account for the influence of moisture level on temperature accumulation, weights can be added to the simplest definition of T_cum related to weather factors. In the case of the hourly scale, the general form of T_cum is:
\[ T_{\text{cum}}(h) = T_{\text{cum}}(h - 1) + \gamma \cdot T(h) \]  
(1)

where \( T_{\text{cum}}(h) \) is the cumulative temperature in the hour \( h \) counted from the biofix and \( T(h) \) and \( \gamma \) are the average temperature and the weight function in hour \( h \), respectively. Various definitions of \( T_{\text{cum}} \) were tested in the literature, but to the best of our knowledge, in all models it has been assumed that \( \gamma = 1 \) if moisture level is high and \( \gamma = 0 \) otherwise. The indicators of moisture used were precipitation, leaf wetness (LW), high relative humidity (RH) or the presence of dew. In the current study, a few sets of models with different definitions of \( \gamma \) were examined.

In all examined models, the probit function was applied to describe the dependence of the cumulative proportion of mature ascospores on cumulative temperature:

\[ \text{probit}(\rho(T_{\text{cum}})) = \text{probit}(T_{\text{cum}}) = \Phi^{-1}(p(T_{\text{cum}})). \]  
(2)

were \( \Phi^{-1} \) is the inverse of the cumulative distribution function of the standard normal distribution. Probit function was represented as a linear function of the cumulative temperature:

\[ \text{probit}(T_{\text{cum}}) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot T_{\text{cum}} \]  
(3)

**Statistical analysis**

**Fitting models of ascospore maturation to experimental data**

Models of ascospore maturation were fitted to the experimental data with two methods. The optimal values of the \( \beta_0 \) and \( \beta_1 \) coefficients (formula (3)), in the models where these parameters were the only ones used, were computed with linear regression. In this approach, such values of the \( \beta_0 \) and \( \beta_1 \) coefficients are found, for which the sum of squared errors of prediction (SSE) is minimal. The SSE function is defined as:

\[ \text{SSE} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\text{probit}(T_{\text{cum}})_\text{observed} - \text{probit}(T_{\text{cum}})_\text{predicted})^2 \]  
(4)

where \( i \) numbers the ascospore releases and \( n \) is their total number in the dataset, \( \text{probit}(T_{\text{cum}})_\text{observed} \) and \( \text{probit}(T_{\text{cum}})_\text{predicted} \) are the experimentally observed and predicted by the model values of the probit function for the ascospore discharge \( i \), respectively.

Additional parameters, denoted as \( \gamma \), were used in some of the examined models. These parameters were contained in the definitions of the cumulative temperature applied in these more complex models. In this case also, such values of the \( \beta_0 \), \( \beta_1 \) and \( \gamma \) coefficients were found, for which the sum of squared errors of prediction, SSE, of formula (4), is minimal. The values of the parameters were found in two repeated steps. First, the values of the cumulated temperature were calculated for all experimental points with some set of the values of the \( \gamma \) parameters. Next, the probit function of formula (3) was fitted to the experimental data using simple linear regression. The two steps were repeated within the variable metric optimization algorithm (for code description see (Nash 1990)) until the algorithm found such values of the parameters \( \beta_0 \), \( \beta_1 \) and \( \gamma \), for which SSE is minimal. The obtained results were cross-checked with application of the Newton-type algorithm (Dennis and Schnabel 1983).

The quality of a model fit was evaluated using SSE and the coefficient of determination, \( R^2 \):

\[ R^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\text{probit}(T_{\text{cum}})_\text{observed} - \text{probit}(T_{\text{cum}})_\text{predicted})^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{probit}(T_{\text{cum}})_\text{observed}^2} \]  
(5)

where \( \text{probit}(T_{\text{cum}})_\text{observed} \) is the mean of the probit values in the dataset used in the model’s fit.

The 99% uncertainty bands of the models were calculated using the Working–Hotelling procedure (Working and Hotelling 1929).

**Validating and comparing models of ascospore maturation**

The leave-p-out cross-validation method was applied (Shao 1993) to compare the quality of various models of ascospore maturation, based on fits to the experimental data from years 2005–2008 and 2010–2014. The method involved splitting the dataset into two parts—the training part (used to match the model parameters) and the validation part (used to test the model fits quality). Each possible splitting of the data into two sets was examined. In the case of the 10 years dataset, three methods were used:

1. Leave 1-out: 9 different datasets—in each of these the data were split into a training set containing observations from 8 years and the validation set containing data from the one remaining season.
2. Leave 2-out: 36 different datasets—in each of these the data were split into a training set containing observations from 7 years and the validation set containing data from the two remaining seasons,

3. Leave 3-out: 84 different datasets—in each of these the data were split into a training set containing observations from 6 years and the validation set containing data from the three remaining seasons.

An exemplary leave 2-out dataset is a training set containing data from the years 2005, 06, 08, 11, 12, 13 and 14, and a validation set containing data from the years 2007 and 10.

For each splitting of the data, the optimal values of the model parameters were calculated by matching the model to the data included in the training set. Then, using these values, for each splitting of the data, two Chi-squared values indicating how well the model described the data were computed. The first value was calculated for the training set: values of the probit function for the ascospore releases included in the training set, as predicted by the model, were compared with the probit values observed in the experiment. The second value was calculated for the validation set; likewise, the predicted probit values for the validation data were compared with the corresponding experimental values.

The comparison of ascospore development models was performed independently for the three p-out methods. In a given method, for each model, we calculated two values summarizing effectiveness of the model: the total Chi-squared of the training datasets, as the sum of the Chi-squares obtained for the 9, 36, or 84 training datasets, and similarly the total Chi-square of the validation datasets. Both calculated sums should be as small as possible. In the training data case, it indicates that the model can well describe the data used for its optimization. In the validation data case, it demonstrates that the model can also describe data that has not been used to optimize its parameters. If the sum of Chi-squares of the training data is low and the sum of Chi-squares of the validation data is high, it shows that the studied model tends to over-fit the data, i.e., matching its parameters only to the training data without the ability to describe data from other years at the same time. Such a model has no predictive value and should be rejected.

Data analysis

All analyzes presented in the study were performed in the R program version 3.4.3 (R Core Team 2018) with the use of RStudio version 1.1.419 (RStudio Team 2018).

Results

Step one of model comparison

The comparison of effectiveness of various models of ascospore maturation started with the models appearing in the topic literature. The basic model, used as a reference for other models, was the “New Hampshire” model of Gadoury and MacHardy (1982), in which the temperature is accumulated in every hour, regardless of weather conditions. In other models, accumulation of temperature was limited to the hours when high moisture level was observed. Due to temperature summation only in selected hours, the models were denoted as the “Only” models.

In the “Only Rain,” “Only LW,” and “Only RH” models temperature was accumulated solely in the hours when the rainfall was greater than 0 mm, the leaf wetness was observed, or the relative humidity was higher or equal 85%. Such RH limit value was inspired by the RH threshold first by James and Sutton (1982b) and later by other authors (Schwabe et al. 1989; Rossi et al. 1999). Additionally, the results of the “Only RH” model were compared for the possible values of RH between 1% and 99%. It was found that the best fit to the data was obtained for RH in the range between 48 and 52% and the value 50% was selected for model tests.

In the “Stensvand” model, the temperature was summed in rainy hours and during 147 h afterward. To adjust the results of the “New Hampshire” model to periods of protracted dryness, which lead to slow down of ascospore maturation, Stensvand et al. (1998) proposed to halt temperature accumulation each time when no precipitation has been observed for a few previous days. From the other point of view, in comparison with the “Only Rain” model, the period in which model assumes ascospore maturation after each rainfall was extended in the “Stensvand” model to 147 h. The limit of 147 h was selected in the study after comparison of the model results for a range of possible limit values.

Comparison of the results of the “Only Rain,” “Only LW” and two “Only RH” models, with the RH threshold equal to 85% and 50%, is presented in Table 1. The results of fits and their validation showed that the relative air humidity was the indicator of moisture level giving the best description of the data, provided that low RH threshold of 50% was chosen. This model improved the “basic” “New Hampshire” model results. The “Only” type models including two wetness factors, models “Only Rain + LW” and “Only Rain + RH,” or the “Only Rain + LW + RH” model, in which cumulative temperature increased if either rain, leaf wetness, or relative
humidity indicated the presence of high moisture level, did not further improve the fit results (results not shown).

The best description of the observations from central Poland among this group of models was obtained with the “Stensvand” model.

**Step two of model comparison**

In this step of analysis, we examined newly introduced models. The models accumulated temperature in each hour of the season and therefore were designated as “All” type models. The effect of moist periods was introduced by multiplying the temperatures in the moist hours by extra weights. An exemplary definition of the cumulative temperature, in the most complex of tested approaches, the “All Rain + LW + RH” model, is following:

- If high moisture level is not observed:
  \[ T_{\text{cum}}(h) = T_{\text{cum}}(h-1) + T(h), \]
- Otherwise, in the presence of rain:
  \[ T_{\text{cum}}(h) = T_{\text{cum}}(h-1) + \gamma_1 T(h), \]
- Otherwise, in the presence of leaf wetness:
  \[ T_{\text{cum}}(h) = T_{\text{cum}}(h-1) + \gamma_2 T(h) \]
- Otherwise, in the presence of high relative humidity:
  \[ T_{\text{cum}}(h) = T_{\text{cum}}(h-1) + \gamma_3 T(h). \]

The coefficients \( \gamma_1 \), \( \gamma_2 \) and \( \gamma_3 \) are the weights assigned to precipitation, leaf wetness and high relative humidity (RH \( \geq 85\% \)), respectively, and the weight of dry hours is 1. The rainfall was used as the primary factor for ascospores maturation in the described models. The second factor was the leaf wetness and the last one was the high relative humidity. The change in the RH threshold did not improve the quality of the models’ fits.

The results presented in Table 1 showed that the “All” type models better described the data than the corresponding “Only” type models with the same indicators of moisture level. For the “All” type models with a single indicator of moisture level, the best data description was obtained in the “All LW” model. The quality of its fit and validation was better than that of the “New Hampshire” model and the “Stensvand” model.

The inclusion of additional indicators of moisture level did not significantly improve matching of models, and though the “All Rain + LW + RH” model gave the best fit to the data among all models tested in the study, validation results for this model were slightly worse than for the least complicated “All Rain + LW” model, with similar goodness of fit.

**Step three of model comparison**

In the “Only” and “All” models, the very fact of rain observation was used as the indicator of moisture level. In this step, two additional “All” type models, in which the temperature accumulated in the rainy hours was multiplied...
The cumulative temperature was defined as:

\[ T_{\text{cum}}(h) = T_{\text{cum}}(h-1) + T(h) \]

If high moisture level is not observed:

\[ T_{\text{cum}}(h) = T_{\text{cum}}(h-1) + \gamma_1 \cdot \text{Rain}(h) \cdot T(h) \]

Otherwise, in the presence of rain:

\[ T_{\text{cum}}(h) = T_{\text{cum}}(h-1) + \gamma_1 \cdot \text{Rain}(h) \cdot T(h) + \gamma_2 \cdot \text{Rain}(h)^2 \cdot T(h), \]

where \( \text{Rain}(h) \) is the rainfall in the hour \( h \).

The results presented in Table 1 showed that using the amount of precipitation as the indicator of moisture level did not improve the fit results of the “All Rain” model.

**Fitting models to full set of data**

The numerical results of model fits to the full set of data from the years 2005–2008 and 2010–2014 are presented in Table 1. A graphical representation of the selected model fits, along with the uncertainty intervals, is shown in Figs. 1 and 2, for the probit of the cumulative proportion and the cumulative proportion of the season’s ascospores of *V. inaequalis*. The charts show the results of the models with the best goodness of fit: the “New Hampshire,” the “Only RH” with the RH threshold of 50%, and the “Stensvand” models as representatives of the models known from the literature of topic; the “All LW,” the “All Rain + LW” and the “All Rain + LW + RH” models as the representatives of the models proposed in this study. The models accuracy is low. Though the 99% uncertainty bands were calculated, they do not contain a large part of the experimental points.

**Discussion**

The models examined in the first step of comparison applied either the same or analogous assumptions as the models presented and tested by other authors. The “Stensvand” model best described experimental data, correcting the results of the basic “New Hampshire” model. Hence, alike in the Norwegian climate conditions (Stensvand et al. 2005), extending the period of ascospore maturation after each rainfall improves the mathematical description of ascospore development in central Poland. Importantly, there is no significant difference between the results of Stensvand et al. (2005) and the current study in the length of the period after a rain during which the temperature was accumulated: 7 days versus 147 h (6 days and 3 h). On the other hand, the examined “Only” type models which limited the ascospore maturation solely to the periods with observed high moisture level, indicated by rain occurrence, leaf wetness or high relative humidity with RH ≥ 85%, did not improve the “New Hampshire” model fits to the data. The only exception was the “Only RH” model with the RH threshold equal to 50%. The difference between the two compared “Only RH” models was the number of hours of the season, during which the relative air humidity was below the RH threshold. In the case of RH ≥ 85% such hours accounted for 49% and in the case of RH ≥ 50% for 86% of all hours in the examined seasons. Therefore, the latter model resembles the main feature of the “Stensvand” model: It halts temperature accumulation in the most dry periods of the season. In weather conditions of central Poland, the RH threshold equal to 85% appears to be too high for such a purpose. For comparison, the hours which temperatures were accumulated in the “Stensvand” model accounted for 86% of all hours in the season. Finally, the proportions of rainy hours and hours with leaf wetness were about 7% and 25%, respectively.

The above results agree with the results presented by Schwabe et al. 1989 for the South African data. Two models were compared in that study. First model based on the “New Hampshire” approach and second based on the approach proposed by James and Sutton (1982b), where temperature accumulation was limited to rainy days or days with at least 12 h of RH ≥ 85%. The second model, with analogous assumption to the “Only Rain + RH,” gave worse goodness of fit to the data than the simple “New Hampshire” like approach. On the other hand, the model comparison results seem to disagree with the outcome of Rossi et al. (1999) for the climate conditions of the Po Valley in Northern Italy. In that study, the “Stensvand” and “Only Rain” type models both gave worse agreement with the data than the “New Hampshire” model. The results were improved by the model accumulating daily temperatures weighted with the approximated number of daily hours with wet leaves. That model was similar to the “Only LW” model tested in this study as both took into account the impact of leaf wetness on ascospore development. Similar goodness of fits was obtained with the model accumulating degrees on days with observed rainfall or dew deposition. The best fit to the data gave the approach combining effect of rain and high RH, analogously to the “Only Rain + RH” model. In
The best data description among the “All” type models with only becomes faster when RH exceeds the limiting value. Temperature is cumulated during whole season and accumulation most dry periods of the season. In the “All RH” model, temperatures over a fixed dry period after each rainfall. That seems to confirm the role of that threshold in the temperature level was superior to the corresponding “Only” type models. Additionally, the results of the “All RH” model were not significantly influenced by the choice of the threshold value.

Can one further improve the “All” type models combining their features with the features of the “Stensvand” approach? Unlike in the “Stensvand” model, in the “All” type models the prolonged effect of high moisture level during the dry periods was not taken into account, in the sense that temperatures in such periods are not cumulated with the same weights as the temperatures during rain, observed leaf wetness or high RH. Therefore, the further improvement in the “All” type models seems possible. This would, however, mean a very high level of model complexity. On the other, the “All” type models sum temperatures during all hours of the season. In addition, in the “Stensvand” model calibrated to the data from central Poland, the temperature accumulation lasted for 147 h after each precipitation. Over half of such periods (54%) in the years 2005–2008 and 2010–2015 were accounted for in the “All Rain + LW + RH” model as hours with observed leaf wetness or high relative humidity, suggesting that a more complicated model may give limited improvement.

The additional “All Rain Proportional” models, in which the degrees accumulated during rain were weighted with the amount of the current rainfall, were tested. The linear and the quadratic relations between the rate of ascospore maturation and the precipitation were examined. Application of the “All Proportional Rain” models does not seem valid as their fit results did not improve the results of the simpler “All Rain” model.

The results of the ascospore maturation model fits to the full set of data available in the study showed the same order of models according to the goodness of fits as the validation and comparison procedure. The four approaches best describing the spore trapping data from central Poland are the “All Rain + LW + RH,” the “All Rain + LW,” the “All LW” and the “Stensvand” models.

Let us point to the values of $\gamma_i$ coefficients of the “All Rain + LW + RH” model. These values should allow to roughly estimate the relative importance of the three main sources of moisture for the ascospore maturation rate. The computed $\gamma_i$ values allow to put a hypothesis that as compared to dry hours, the ascospores develop on average fastest in the presence of leaf wetness, slower during rainfalls and most slowly during hours of high relative humidity. The highest value of the $\gamma_2$ weight related to leaf wetness may also explain why the “All LW” models gave better fit to the data than the “All Rain” and “All RH” models. Unfortunately, the above hypothesis seems difficult to explain. Each
of the considered moisture sources leads to the development of ascospores by delivering water to the pseudothecium. Rainfall, due to its pressure, should deliver water to the interior of the pseudothecium in the most effective way. In the case of leaf wetting caused by factors such as fog, dew or rain remnant, the penetration into the pseudothecium should be weaker. The transport of water through the surface of the pseudothecium in the case of high air humidity which does not lead to permanent leaf wetness should be even weaker. Hence, it seems that the rain should lead to the fastest ascospore maturation. However, its impact on ascospores may be delayed in time and the major development of spores may occur in the hours following the rainfall, when usually the leaf wetness is observed and therefore it may be accounted for as an effect of leaf wetness.

Final and most important result of the study is such that the level of the uncertainty of the models examined in the study is very high for the data from central Poland, even in the case of models which gave the best fits to the data. The accuracy is not satisfactory if these models are to be used for prediction of ascospore development in future seasons, and the differences between the performance of the compared models become of least importance unless it can be further improved. Therefore, it seems recommended to apply additional methods that could improve the precision of the ascospore maturation models in Polish weather conditions. A few approaches can be used. First, the influence of the biofix definition assumed in the models should be studied in detail. In this study, the temperature accumulation started with the green tip stage of the apple trees in the experimental orchard. This may not be the best selection among the high number of various biofix definitions applied in the literature. For recent discussion on choosing the biofix definition, see Roßal and Nicot (2016). In the same work, the authors proposed to modify the definition of cumulative temperature by using the nonlinear thermal scale. Such approach has already been used for modeling of insect pest (Damos and Savopoulou-Soultani 2012) and other than V. inaequalis fungi development (Xu 1996; Legler et al. 2012; Battilani et al. 2013). The models can be also improved through considering the early spring development of ascospores, which starts before beginning of the airborne ascospores season (Stensvand et al. 2006). Finally, to better predict maturation of ascospores in a particular season, the forecasts of the models, which have been calibrated with the data from earlier years, should be supplemented by laboratory or field analysis of current spore development. The adaptive correcting of the model predictions can be applied, as proposed by Jankowski and Masny (2014).

Conclusion

The presented study showed that the best description of the ascospore maturation in central Poland is obtained with the mathematical models in which temperature is cumulated during both moist and dry periods. The four approaches best describing the spore trapping data from central Poland are the “Stensvand,” the “All LW,” the “All Rain + LW” and the “All Rain + LW + RH” models. The presented analysis showed also that all three main sources of moisture: precipitation, leaf wetness and high relative humidity may accelerate ascospore maturation in comparison with periods of dry weather. As indicated, the greatest acceleration of ascospore development is obtained in the presence of leaf wetness, lower during rainfalls and lowest during periods with high relative humidity.

The main result of study is a high range of models’ uncertainty for the data from central Poland, shown even for the most effective models. Low accuracy of the models limits their practical application at the current stage of development.
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