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**ABSTRACT**

**Introduction.** The most important histologic prognostic factors for melanoma remain depth of invasion and mitotic rate. In a digital era, precision (in terms of reproducibility and inter laboratories repeatability), as well as image evidence, are new qualitative parameters.

**The objective of the study** was to evaluate in a retrospective analysis any significant difference among the Breslow digital assessments performed using two different immunohistochemical stains and the gold standard, hematoxylin eosin stain.

**Material and methods.** We evaluated 130 primary cutaneous melanomas diagnosed in a two-year period (2016-2017) with known Breslow scale. Immunohistochemistry staining (SOX10 and Melan A) was performed followed by slide scanning. Aperio Imagescope and StataCorp software were used for data acquisition and statics.

**RéSUMÉ**

Evaluation digitale de l’invasion en profondeur des mélanomes, à l’aide de différents marqueurs immunohistochimiques

**Introduction.** Les facteurs histologiques pronostiques les plus importants du mélanome restent la profondeur d’invasion et le taux mitotique. Dans l’ère digitale, la précision (en termes de reproductibilité et de répétabilité inter laboratoires), ainsi que les preuves des images, sont de nouveaux paramètres qualitatifs.

**L'objectif de l'étude** a été d’évaluer, dans une analyse rétrospective, toute différence significative entre les évaluations numériques de Breslow effectuées en utilisant deux colorations immunohistochimiques différentes et l’étalon d’or, la coloration à l’éosine d’hématoxyline.

**Matériel et méthodes.** Nous avons évalué 130 mélanomes cutanés primaires diagnostiqués pour
INTRODUCTION

Melanoma is the most fatal type of skin cancer, causing about 50,000 deaths per year. The gold standard in diagnosing and staging melanoma consists of histopathological tissue analysis, along with Breslow thickness and lymph nodes assessment. The increasing efforts in public education have led to a shift in stage on the moment of diagnosis, nowadays 70% of the invasive lesions presenting as T1 stage tumors. This fact, together with the 2018 American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) revision in the definitions of T1a and T1b categories, increase the need for accuracy when measuring thickness. The augmentation in computational power and improvement in image analysis have made possible the emergence of new analytical perspectives, including the possibility of implementing digital measurements.

THE OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY

The aim of this paper is to document a cross-comparison among digital measurements on whole scanned histological images of hematoxylin-eosin (HE), MelanA and Sox10-stained slides in a sample of 105 primary cutaneous malignant melanomas and register any statistical significant difference.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Specimen selection

We retrospectively selected 130 patients with primary cutaneous melanomas, diagnosed between 2016-2017 in the „Victor Babes“ National Institute of Pathology, Bucharest, Romania. All HE-stained slides of the 130 cases were subsequently reviewed by 2 experienced pathologists, to confirm the diagnosis.
**Fig. 2.** The WSI of a HE-stained melanoma slide at 10x magnification allows viewing the entire tissue sample on the computer screen.

**Fig. 3.** Digital measurements on the WSI using AperioImageScope 12.2 software.

**Fig. 4.** Using the Distance Measurement tool on a melanoma slide. Measurement lines are placed equidistantly within the relevant area.
according to the 2018 World Health Organization diagnosis criteria8. The most representative tissue block was selected for each case, considering adequate sampling and orientation, entire tumor sections comprising maximum tumor thickness and presence of internal control structures9 for specific immunohistochemical (IHC) staining on the selected blocks. Of the 130 cases, only 105 met the selection criteria.

Immunohistochemistry staining

For each case, we performed MelanA (Roche-Ventana, A103 clone), Sox10 (Abcam, SOX10/99I) and ki67 (monoclonal, Dako, Glostrup, MIB1 clone) immunostaining, using the indirect protocol for paraffin-embedded tissue sections. After pre-treatment, incubation in 3% hydrogen peroxide and HIER antigen retrieval, we used the avidin-biotin complex (ABC) staining method. All slides expressed positive internal control (presence of MelanA/Sox10-positive cells in the epidermal basal layer).

Digitization of histological slides

After reexamination and selection, the HE and IHC slides were scanned with an AperioAT2 Whole Slide Imaging Scanner, at a 40x magnification10. The first-time scan success rate for the slides was 96%. As a result, a 2D ultra-high-resolution digital image was created for each scanned slide – the whole slide image
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(WSI), which was stored on the assigned servers in a digital archive (Fig 1). The WSI may be analyzed on a computer screen, making it possible to view the image at different magnifications (Fig. 2,6), using Aperio ImageScope – Pathology Slide Viewing Software11.

Data acquisition

Digital measurements were performed, quantifying the maximum distance between the granular layer of the epidermis and the deepest point of tumor invasion on HE, MelanA and Sox10 digital slide images. The AperioImageScope 12.2 software allows the measurement of objects either by tracing perpendiculars with the Ruler tool (Fig. 3), or by using the Distance Measurement tool to measure the distance between two free-hand line annotations (Fig 4)10,11. We chose to operate with the former. The program also enables viewing the images on the same screen, aligning the HE and IHC slides side-by-side (Fig. 5), for improved comparison – features that are not available in optical microscopy12. For each slide, the highest value-bearing measure was elected.

Data analysis

The digitally obtained values on HE, MelanA and Sox10 slides were compared, pursuing the dissimilarity among the assessments and the impact on TNM staging, by using a paired sample t-test13 in SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences) to compare the means among the three methods. Kruskal-Wallis14 test was used for Breslow values intergroup comparisons. A p-value of 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistical analyses were performed using Stata/IC 16 (StataCorp).

RESULTS

Demographic and tumor characteristics of the cohort (n=105): 50 (47.6%) patients were women, and 55 (52.4%) men, resulting in an equal sex distribution; the age range was between 8 and 83 years. The most common histological subtype was nodular melanoma (45%). Ulceration was present in 48% of the tumors. After examining the scanned slides and performing digital measurements, we had the following results:

i) The mean tumor thickness was: 3.3037 for HE, 3.3198 for MelanA and 3.2775 for Sox10.

Table 2. Definition of Primary Tumor (T) according to AJCC 8th Edition3

| T category | Thickness | Ulceration |
|------------|-----------|------------|
| Tis (melanoma in situ) | Not applicable | Not applicable |
| T1         | ≤1.0 mm   | Unknown/unspecified |
| T1a        | <0.8 mm   | Without ulceration |
| T1b        | <0.8 mm   | With ulceration |
| T2         | >1.0-2.0 mm | Unknown/unspecified |
| T2a        | >1.0-2.0 mm | Without ulceration |
| T2b        | >1.0-2.0 mm | With ulceration |
| T3         | >2.0-4.0 mm | Unknown/unspecified |
| T3a        | >2.0-4.0 mm | Without ulceration |
| T3b        | >2.0-4.0 mm | With ulceration |
| T4         | >4.0 mm   | Unknown/unspecified |
| T4a        | >4.0 mm   | Without ulceration |
| T4b        | >4.0 mm   | With ulceration |

Table 1. T stage distribution for each measurement, established by using corresponding Breslow value

| Stage | Digital method HE | Digital method MelanA | Digital method Sox10 |
|-------|-------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| T1    | 33                | 33                     | 35                   |
| T2    | 18                | 18                     | 16                   |
| T3    | 22                | 22                     | 22                   |
| T4    | 32                | 32                     | 32                   |

Table 2. Definition of Primary Tumor (T) according to AJCC 8th Edition3
Sox10 slides, and one pT1b HE case downgraded to pT1a on immuno-stains.

In 80% of the cases, the Breslow values on HE versus MelanA versus Sox10 were super-posable (Fig. 7). The Breslow values recorded by digital measurements on HE slides compared to MelanA slides and Sox10 slides showed no variation in the T3-T4 categories (Fig. 8), but added a significant gradient observed in T1 category, with ~8% of the cases being reclassified. No significantly statistic differences were recorded between groups in terms of Breslow values (p=0.98, Kruskal-Wallis test).
Currently, the clinical staging (cTNM) is performed post biopsy diagnosis, and pathological staging (pTNM) follows the histological examination of the wide excision specimen. Staging must include regional lymph nodes evaluation after completing sentinel node biopsy/regional lymphadenectomy.

The staging instruments, according to the eighth edition of the AJCC melanoma staging system, are: melanoma thickness and ulceration for the T category; presence/absence of non-nodal regional metastases for the N category; site of distant metastasis for the M category. Also, the mitotic rate should be reported for all invasive primary melanomas, and lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) must be recorded for any M1 anatomic site of disease (Table 2).

Melanoma thickness or Breslow scale, described by Breslow in 1970 15, is the most important prognostic factor 16. It is performed by measuring the thickness of the tumor, from the top of the granular layer of overlying epidermis to the deepest invasive tumor cell. If the epidermis is ulcerated, instead of the granular layer, the measure concerns the thickness starting from the ulcer's base 2. The consensus is to use HE staining and optical microscopy to assess the thickness. In most instances, the use of the conventional method would suffice for an accurate measurement 17.

However, there might be cases were IHC staining would be needed in measuring the invasive component: single cell or small groups of infiltrating cells, strong host response, inflammation, fibrosis, regression, peri-adnexal extension, pre-existing nevus, verruciform architecture, neurotropic spread, etc 3,18.

The purpose of the study was to compare the clinical utility in measuring the Breslow thickness on HE versus IHC (MelanA and Sox10) staining using the digital method.

The evaluation showed no significant statistical difference between Breslow thickness measurements using HE and MelanA and similar findings were recorded between HE and Sox10; however, mean Breslow thickness was significantly higher in Sox10 than in MelanA (p>0.05).

In the case of tumor staging on HE slides versus IHC, 4 lesions ended up in another T category, mainly T1 and T2 lesions.

This study suggests that HE would most likely be sufficiently reliable and accurate as IHC stains in measuring Breslow values. It should be noted that using IHC stains must be considered as an adjunct tool for final estimation/measurement, and that there are some situations when the use of a superior technique, such as digital measurement combined with IHC staining, might aid in establishing a more accurate staging, mainly in the case of pT1 lesions.

There was a significant correlation between using the 3 methods and the mitotic rate combined with the ki67 index.

A significant limitation of the study was that although the inappropriate blocks were withdrawn from the examined lot, the cutoff levels remain a strong variable, given the fact that the first slide was always stained with HE, and the following ones were IHC slides.

CONCLUSION

Although HE-stained slides proved to be, in most cases, as accurate as IHC stains in measuring Breslow values, the study brings to attention the contribution of computer-assisted histopathological analysis in establishing an accurate appraisal for Breslow thickness in pT1 stage tumors. Using computer-assisted image analysis, we were able to perform exact measurements even in the case of infra-millimetric values. The data demonstrated that the lesions presenting as T1 benefit most from the superior precision of the digital method combined with immunohistochemical testing. The features regarding orientation, grossing, embedding and cutting the specimen remain significant, as they can considerably alter the measurements, regardless of method.

Given the importance of the Breslow thickness, the pathologist must use an accurate measurement technique for each case.
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