\( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) Chern-Simons theories with auxiliary vector multiplets

Yosuke Imamura\(^*\) and Keisuke Kimura\(^\dagger\)

Department of Physics, University of Tokyo,
Tokyo 113-0033, Japan

Abstract

We investigate a class of quiver-type Chern-Simons gauge theories with some Chern-Simons couplings vanishing. The vanishing of the couplings means that the corresponding vector fields are auxiliary fields. We show that these theories possess \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) supersymmetry by writing down the actions and the supersymmetry transformation in terms of component fields in manifestly \( \text{Spin}(4) \) covariant form.
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1 Introduction

Recently, Bagger, Lambert [1–3], and Gusstavson [4, 5] proposed a new field theory model as a promising candidate for the theory describing multiple M2-branes. This model (BLG model) is based on Lie 3-algebras, and can also be regarded as a special class of Chern-Simons gauge theories [6, 7] with $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supersymmetry.

Until quite recent, the largest known supersymmetry of interacting Chern-Simons theories had been $\mathcal{N} = 3$. This is because supersymmetric completion of Chern-Simons terms include bi-linear terms of superpartners of gauge fields which break R-symmetry down to $SO(3)$ (or $Spin(3)$ when hyper multiplets are present). See [8] for detailed analysis of $\mathcal{N} = 2, 3$ superconformal Chern-Simons theories.

If the Yang-Mills kinetic term is absent, the situation changes. In such a case superpartners of gauge fields become non-dynamical auxiliary fields, and there is a possibility that the R-symmetry enhances when these auxiliary fields are integrated out. The $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supersymmetry of the BLG model is a special case of such symmetry enhancement. The BLG model is very restricted, and if we require the algebra is finite dimensional and has positive definite metric, the only possible gauge group is $SO(4)$ [9, 10]. (The positivity of the metric is not indispensable for the consistency of the theory. See [11–15].)

In the case of $\mathcal{N} < 8$, we have larger variety of theories. Gaiotto and Witten [16] showed that the supersymmetry can be enhanced to $\mathcal{N} = 4$ in a class of Chern-Simons theories with product gauge groups $U(N) \times U(N')$ and $Sp(N) \times SO(N')$. This is generalized in [17] to quiver type gauge theories by introducing twisted hypermultiplets. They construct $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Chern-Simons theories described by linear and circular quiver diagrams. A $U(N) \times U(N)$ Chern-Simons theory with $\mathcal{N} = 6$ supersymmetry is also proposed in [18]. For recent progress in $\mathcal{N} \geq 4$ Chern-Simons theories, see also [19–47].

In this paper we investigate a class of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Chern-Simons theories. The model is described by a circular quiver diagram with circumference $n$. Namely, gauge group is $\prod_{I=1}^{n} U(N_I)$, and there are $n$ hypermultiplets belonging to bi-fundamental representations. The action of this model is

$$S = S_{CS} + S_{\text{hyper}},$$

where $S_{CS}$ and $S_{\text{hyper}}$ are given in terms of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superfields by

$$S_{CS} = \sum_{I=1}^{n} k_I \text{tr} \left[ \int d^3 x d^4 \theta \left( -\frac{i}{2} \mathcal{D} V_I \mathcal{D} V_I + \cdots \right) + \left( -\frac{i}{2} \int d^3 x d^2 \theta \Phi_I^2 + \text{c.c.} \right) \right],$$

and

$$S_{\text{hyper}} = -\sum_{I=1}^{n} \int d^3 x d^4 \theta \text{tr} (\mathcal{Q}_I e^{2V_I} Q_I e^{-2V_I} + \mathcal{Q}_I e^{-2V_I} \mathcal{Q}_I e^{2V_I}).$$
+ \sum_{I=1}^{n} \left( \int d^3 x d^2 \theta \sqrt{2} \text{tr}(\bar{Q}_I \Phi_I Q_I - Q_I \bar{Q}_I \Phi_I) + c.c. \right). \quad (3)

A brief summary of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superfield formalism is given in Appendix A. The $n$ vector and $n$ hyper multiplets are labeled by the same index $I$. $I = n + 1$ is identified with $I = 1$. $V_I$ and $\Phi_I$ are an $\mathcal{N} = 2$ vector and an adjoint chiral superfield, respectively, and they form an $\mathcal{N} = 4$ vector multiplet. $Q_I$ and $\bar{Q}_I$ are bi-fundamental chiral superfields belonging to $(\mathbf{N}_I, \mathbf{N}_{I+1})$ and $(\mathbf{N}_I, \mathbf{N}_{I+1})$ of $U(N_I) \times U(N_{I+1})$, and these form an $\mathcal{N} = 4$ hypermultiplet.

If the Chern-Simons coupling $k_I$ of $U(N_I)$ is $k_I = (-)^I k$, this theory coincides with a model proposed in [17]. We extend the model by considering more general Chern-Simons couplings

$$ k_I = \frac{k}{2} (s_I - s_{I-1}), \quad s_I = \pm 1, \quad k > 0. \quad (4) $$

The model in [17] corresponds to the choice $s_I = (-)^I$. We allow $s_I$ to be $\pm 1$ in arbitrary order. This implies that we allow some of Chern-Simons couplings to vanish. If $k_I = 0$, all the component fields of $V_I$ and $\Phi_I$ become auxiliary fields. We call such multiplets “auxiliary vector multiplets.” For distinction we call vector multiplets with $k_I \neq 0$ “dynamical vector multiplets” although they have no propagating degrees of freedom.

Chern-Simons theories with such auxiliary vector multiplets are discussed by Gaiotto and Witten in [16]. They introduce such multiplets to define non-trivial hyper-Kähler manifolds as hyper-Kähler quotients. By integrating out the auxiliary vector multiplets in our model we obtain a Chern-Simons gauge theory coupling to sigma models with hyper-Kähler target spaces. This model is similar to the model in [17], but hyper and twisted hyper multiplets in the model are replaced by non-trivial sigma models.

The purpose of this paper is to show that our model possesses $\text{Spin}(4)$ R-symmetry and $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetry. It would be possible to prove it by extending the arguments in [17] by generalizing minimally coupled matter fields to general hyper-Kähler sigma models. In this paper, however, we adopt a different way of proof. We integrate out only the auxiliary fields in the hyper and dynamical vector multiplets, and leave the component fields in the auxiliary vector multiplets in the action. A good point of this treatment is that we do not have to solve the non-linear constraints imposed on the moment maps for auxiliary gauge fields. We will show in the following sections that, after integrating out the auxiliary fields in hyper and dynamical vector multiplets, the action (1) can be rewritten in manifestly $\text{Spin}(4)$ invariant form. Because $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry of our model is manifest by construction, the $\text{Spin}(4)$ invariance of the action implies that the existence of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetry.

The expression of Chern-Simons couplings $k_I$ in (1) is closely related to a brane construction of the model. Our model is the low energy limit of the theory
realized on a brane system in type IIB string theory. It consists of a stack of \( N \) D3-branes wrapped on \( S^1 \) and \( n \) fivebranes intersecting with the D3-branes. We label the fivebranes by \( I = 1, \ldots, n \) in order of intersections with the D3-branes along \( S^1 \). If the charge of \( I \)-th fivebrane is \((m_I, 1)\), the Chern-Simons coupling of the gauge field living on the interval of the D3-branes between two intersections \( I \) and \( I - 1 \) is given by \([48, 49]\)

\[
k_I = \frac{1}{2\pi}(m_I - m_{I-1}). \tag{5}\]

If there are only two types of fivebranes, the Chern-Simons couplings are given by \((4)\).

The action of gauge theory realized on this brane system is \( S_{\text{YM}} + S_{\text{CS}} + S_{\text{hyper}} \) where \( S_{\text{CS}} \) and \( S_{\text{hyper}} \) are given in \((2)\) and \((3)\), respectively, and \( S_{\text{YM}} \) includes the Yang-Mills kinetic terms. It is given by

\[
S_{\text{YM}} = \sum_{I=1}^n \frac{1}{g_I^2} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \int d^3x d^2\theta d\Phi^2 - \int d^3x d^4\theta d\Phi e^{2V_I} \Phi e^{-2V_{I+1}} \right], \tag{6}\]

where \( g_I \) is Yang-Mills gauge couplings depending on the position of intersecting points of branes. The brane system preserves \( \mathcal{N} = 3 \) supersymmetry, which coincides with the supersymmetry of the Yang-Mills-Chern-Simons action \( S_{\text{YM}} + S_{\text{CS}} + S_{\text{hyper}} \).

In the low energy limit, the kinetic terms in \( S_{\text{YM}} \) become irrelevant because the coupling constants \( g_I \) have mass dimension \( 1/2 \). The supersymmetry enhancement in this limit is strongly suggested by an analysis of moduli space. The Higgs branch of this model is studied in \([24]\), and it is shown that the moduli space for \( N_I = 1 \) is an orbifold in the form

\[
\mathbb{C}^4/\Gamma, \tag{7}\]

where \( \Gamma \) is a certain discrete subgroup consisting of elements of the form

\[
(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) \rightarrow (e^{i\alpha}z_1, e^{-i\alpha}z_2, e^{i\beta}z_3, e^{-i\beta}z_4). \tag{8}\]

If we assume the flat metric, this orbifold preserves \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) supersymmetry.

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section we rewrite the actions given above in terms of component fields. It makes \( \text{Spin}(4) \) R-symmetry and \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) supersymmetry of Yang-Mills-matter system \( S_{\text{YM}} + S_{\text{hyper}} \) manifest. We emphasize that these symmetries are different from those of the Chern-Simons-matter system \( S_{\text{CS}} + S_{\text{hyper}} \). In order to distinguish the symmetries of these two systems, we denote the \( \text{Spin}(4) \) R-symmetry and \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) supersymmetry of the Yang-Mills-matter system by \( R_{\text{YM}} \) and \( \mathcal{N} = 4_{\text{YM}} \), while we refer to those of Chern-Simons theory as \( R_{\text{CS}} \) and \( \mathcal{N} = 4_{\text{CS}} \). In \([4]\) \( \mathcal{N} = 4_{\text{CS}} \) supersymmetry transformation is written down in manifestly \( R_{\text{CS}} \) covariant form. In \([5]\) we prove the \( R_{\text{CS}} \) invariance of the action \( S_{\text{CS}} + S_{\text{hyper}} \). \([5]\) is the concluding section.
2 Action in terms of component fields

In this section we rewrite the actions given in the introduction in terms of component fields. This makes $R_{YM} = Spin(4)$ R-symmetry of $S_{YM}$ and $S_{hyper}$ and $Spin(3)$ R-symmetry of $S_{CS}$ manifest.

Let us first rewrite the Yang-Mills action $S_{YM}$ in (6). Although this vanishes in the low-energy limit $g_I \to \infty$ and irrelevant to our model, it may be instructive to know the explicit form of this action. It is given by

$$S_{YM} = \sum_{I=1}^{n} \frac{1}{g_I^2} \int d^3 x \text{tr} \left[ -\frac{1}{4} F_{I \mu \nu} F_{I}^{\mu \nu} + i \frac{1}{2} \lambda^{AB}_I \gamma^\mu D_\mu \lambda_{I AB} - \frac{1}{4} D_\mu \phi^A_{I B} D^\mu \phi^B_{I A} - \frac{i}{2} \lambda_{I AB} [\phi^A_{I \dot{C}}, \lambda^{AC}_I] + \frac{1}{16} [\phi^A_{I \dot{B}}, \phi^{\dot{C}}_{I B}][\phi^B_{I \dot{A}}, \phi^D_{I C}] \right].$$

This includes $U(N_I)$ gauge fields $F_{I \mu \nu}$, fermions $\lambda^{AB}_I$, scalars $\phi^A_{I B}$, and auxiliary fields $F^A_{I B}$. All these fields belong to the adjoint representation of $U(N_I)$, and satisfy the reality conditions

$$(F_{I \mu \nu})^\dagger = F_{I \mu \nu}, \quad (\lambda^{AB}_I)^\dagger = -\lambda_{I AB}, \quad (\phi^A_{I B})^\dagger = \phi^\dagger_{I \dot{A}}, \quad (F^A_{I B})^\dagger = F^B_{I A}. \quad (10)$$

We raise and lower pairs of $SU(2)$ indices of bi-spinors by the relation

$$\lambda_{I AB} = \epsilon_{AC} \epsilon_{\dot{B} \dot{D}} \lambda_I^{CD}, \quad \epsilon_{12} = \epsilon_{\dot{1} \dot{2}} = \epsilon_{\dot{2} \dot{1}} = 1.$$

$\phi_I$ and $F_I$ are traceless

$$\phi^A_{I \dot{A}} = F^A_{I \dot{A}} = 0. \quad (12)$$

This action possesses global $R_{YM} = SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ symmetry. $SU(2)_L$ and $SU(2)_R$ act on undotted indices $A, B, \ldots = 1, 2$ and dotted ones $\dot{A}, \dot{B}, \ldots = \dot{1}, \dot{2}$, respectively.

The action of hypermultiplets $S_{hyper}$ in (3) is rewritten as

$$S_{hyper} = \sum_{I=1}^{n} \int d^3 x \text{tr} \left[ -D_\mu \bar{q}_I D^\mu q_I^A - i \bar{\psi}_I \gamma^\mu D_\mu \psi_I^A - F^A_{I B}(\mu_B^I - \bar{\mu}^B_{I-1}) - i \lambda_{I AB} (j^A_{I \dot{B}} - j^B_{I \dot{A}}) + i \bar{\psi}_IB \psi_I^A \phi^A_{I \dot{A}} - i \bar{\psi}_I^A \psi_{I-1 \dot{B}} \phi^\dagger_{I \dot{A}} - \frac{1}{2} \nu^A_{I \dot{A}} \phi^B_{I \dot{C}} \phi^{\dot{C}}_{I B} - \frac{1}{2} \nu^A_{I \dot{A}} \phi^B_{I \dot{C}} \phi^{\dot{C}}_{I B} + \bar{q}_I A \phi^B_{I \dot{A}} \phi^\dot{C}_{I B} + \bar{q}_I A \phi^B_{I \dot{A}} \phi^\dot{C}_{I B} \right]. \quad (13)$$

This includes scalar fields $q_I$ and fermions $\psi_I$. The auxiliary fields in $Q_I$ and $\bar{Q}_I$ were integrated out so that the $R_{YM}$ symmetry becomes manifest. We defined bi-linears

$$\nu^A_{I \dot{A}} = q^A_I \bar{q}_I B, \quad \nu^A_{I \dot{A}} = q^A_I \bar{q}_I B, \quad \mu^A_{I \dot{B}} = \nu^A_{I \dot{B}} - \nu^A_{I \dot{B}} - \frac{1}{2} \nu^C_{I \dot{C}} \delta^A_{\dot{B}}, \quad \bar{\mu}^A_{I \dot{B}} = \bar{\nu}^A_{I \dot{B}} - \bar{\nu}^A_{I \dot{B}} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\nu}^C_{I \dot{C}} \delta^A_{\dot{B}} . \quad (14)$$
and
\[ j^A_I = \sqrt{2}q^A_I \psi^\dagger_I - \sqrt{2} \epsilon^{AC} \epsilon^{\hat{B}\hat{D}} \psi^\dagger_I D \psi^\dagger_C. \quad j^\dagger_{IA} = \sqrt{2}q^\dagger_{I} - \sqrt{2} \epsilon^{AC} \epsilon^{\hat{B}\hat{D}} \psi^\dagger_I D \psi^\dagger_C. \] (16)

“$- \text{tr}$” used in (15) represents the subtraction of the trace part of two $SU(2)$ indices. (15) and (16) are components of current multiplets coupled by the vector multiplets. Other components in the multiplets and the supersymmetry transformation of the components are given in Appendix B. Indices in (13) are consistently contracted, and this action is manifestly $R_{YM}$ invariant. The $R_{YM}$ representations of component fields are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1: $R_{YM} = SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ representations of component fields in the $N = 4$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills-matter system are shown.

| $v_{I\mu}$ | $\phi_I$ | $\lambda_I$ | $F_I$ | $q_I$ | $\psi_I$ |
|------------|---------|------------|-------|-------|---------|
| (1, 1)     | (1, 3)  | (2, 2)     | (3, 1)| (2, 1)| (1, 2) |

The $N = 4_{YM}$ supersymmetry transformation is given by
\[
\delta \phi^\dagger_I = 2i(\xi_{CB}\lambda^C_I - i\delta^I_B(\xi_{BC}\lambda^C_I), \quad (17)
\delta v_{I\mu} = -(\xi_{AB}\gamma_\mu\lambda^A_I), \quad (18)
\delta \lambda^A_I = \frac{i}{2}\gamma^\mu\epsilon^{\mu\nu}\epsilon^{AB}F_{I}^{\mu\nu} + \gamma^\mu\epsilon^{AC}D_\mu\phi^\dagger_{I} + F_I^{A}C_\mu\epsilon^{CB} + \frac{1}{2}[\phi^\dagger_{I} C_\mu, \phi^C_{I D}]\epsilon^{AD}, \quad (19)
\delta F^A_{I B} = 2i(\xi_{BC}\gamma^\mu D_\mu\lambda^A_I) - 2i(\xi_{BC}[\phi^C_{I D}, \lambda^A_{I D}]) - \text{tr}, \quad (20)
\]

for vector multiplets and
\[
\delta q^A_I = \sqrt{2}i(\xi^{AB}\psi^\dagger_{I B}), \quad (21)
\delta \psi_{IA} = \sqrt{2}\epsilon_{CIB}\phi^B_I q^C_I - \sqrt{2}\epsilon_{CIB}\phi^B_I q^C_I + \sqrt{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu}\epsilon^{A B}\psi_{I A}, \quad (22)
\]

for hyper multiplets. The parameter $\xi^{AB}$ belongs to $(2, 2)$ representation of $R_{YM} = SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$.

The introduction of Chern-Simons terms $S_{CS}$ in (2) breaks the supersymmetry to $N = 3$. We can see this by rewriting the action in terms of component fields.

\[
S_{CS} = \sum_{I=1}^n k_I \int d^3x \text{tr} \left[ \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} \left( \frac{1}{2} v_{I\mu} \partial_\nu v_{I\rho} - i \frac{1}{3} v_{I\mu} v_{I\nu} v_{I\rho} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \phi^A_{I B} F^B_{I A} + \frac{1}{6} \phi^A_{I B} \phi^B_{I C} \phi^C_{I A} + i \frac{1}{2} \lambda^{AB}_I \lambda_{IBA} \right]. \quad (23)
\]

In this action, some dotted indices are contracted with undotted indices, and thus $R_{YM}$ is broken to its diagonal subgroup $SU(2)_D$. The parameter $\xi^{AB}$ is
split into the singlet and the triplet of $SU(2)_D$, and only the triplet part of the supersymmetry is preserved by the Chern-Simons action $S_{CS}$.

As we mentioned in the introduction, however, it may be possible that the symmetry enhances with the decoupling of $S_{YM}$ and an appropriate choice of $k_I$. Indeed, it is shown in [17] that if the Chern-Simons coupling is given by (4) with

$$s_I = (-1)^I,$$  \hfill (24)

the R-symmetry $SU(2)_D$ enhances to $SU(2) \times SU(2)$. We should note that this enhanced symmetry acts on component fields in a different way from the original $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ symmetry. We denote the new symmetry by $R_{CS} = SU(2)+1 \times SU(2)-1$. In the model with (24), the component fields in the hyper multiplets belongs to the representation shown in Table 2 [17]. A hypermultiplet

\begin{table}[h]
\begin{center}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline
$s_I$ & $q_I$ & $\psi_I$ \\
\hline
1 & (2, 1) & (1, 2) \\
-1 & (1, 2) & (2, 1) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{center}
\caption{$R_{CS} = SU(2)+1 \times SU(2)-1$ representations of component fields of hypermultiplets are shown.}
\end{table}

$(q_I, \psi_I)$ with $s_I = 1$ is transformed in a different way from a multiplet with $s_I = -1$. These two types of hypermultiplets with different $s_I$ are called hyper and twisted hyper multiplet in [17]. In the following we prove $R_{CS}$ invariance of our model based on the assumption that $(q_I, \psi_I)$ are transformed in the same way even when $s_I$ are not given by (24).

In order to show the enhancement of R-symmetry, we integrate out $\lambda_I$ and $F_I$ in dynamical vector multiplets. The equation of motion of $F_I$ is

$$\frac{k_I}{2} \phi^A_{I B} = \mu^A_{I B} - \tilde{\mu}^A_{I-1 B},$$ \hfill (25)

and we can eliminate the $\phi_I$ component of the dynamical vector multiplet. At the same time, $F_I$ itself disappears from the action. The equation of motion of $\lambda_I$ is

$$k_I \lambda^B_{IA} = j^A_{I B} - \tilde{j}^A_{I-1 B}.$$ \hfill (26)

We eliminate $\lambda_I$ in the dynamical vector multiplet by this equation.

The resulting action includes the following fields

$$\begin{cases}
(q_I, \psi_I) \text{ in hyper multiplets} \\
(v_{I \mu}) \text{ in dynamical vector multiplets} \\
(v_{I \mu}, \phi_I, \lambda_I, F_I) \text{ in auxiliary vector multiplets}
\end{cases}$$ \hfill (27)
3 $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetry transformation

3.1 Hyper multiplets

Now let us write down the $\mathcal{N} = 4_{\text{CS}}$ supersymmetry transformation. This is achieved by rewriting $\mathcal{N} = 3$ transformation in $R_{\text{CS}}$ covariant form.

$\mathcal{N} = 3$ transformation is obtained from that of $\mathcal{N} = 4_{\text{YM}}$ given in the previous section by neglecting the distinction between undotted and dotted indices, and make the transformation parameter $\xi_{AB}$ symmetric with respect to the exchange of two $\text{SU}(2)$ indices.

From this $\mathcal{N} = 3$ transformation, we can obtain $\mathcal{N} = 4_{\text{CS}}$ transformation by carefully introducing distinction between $\text{SU}(2)_{+1}$ and $\text{SU}(2)_{-1}$ indices so that $q_I$ and $\psi_I$ belongs to the representations shown in Table 2, and indices are contracted among the same kind of indices. We use overlined and underlined indices for $\text{SU}(2)_{+1}$ and $\text{SU}(2)_{-1}$, respectively. Two indices of the parameter $\xi$ are associated with different $\text{SU}(2)$ in $R_{\text{CS}}$. We assume that the first and the second index are acted by $\text{SU}(2)_{+1}$ and $\text{SU}(2)_{-1}$, respectively.

Let us rewrite the transformation of $q_I$ in (21) in the $R_{\text{CS}}$ covariant form. The $R_{\text{CS}}$ representations of $q_I$ and $\psi_I$ depend on $s_I$, and the contraction of indices in the supersymmetry transformation also depends on $s_I$.

$$\delta q^\dagger_I = \sqrt{2}i(\xi^{AB}\psi_I B) (s_I = +1), \quad \delta q^A_I = \sqrt{2}i(\xi^{BA}\psi_I B) (s_I = -1). \quad (28)$$

In the left and right transformations in (28), $\text{SU}(2)$ index of $\psi$ is contracted with the second and the first index of $\xi$, respectively.

In general, if we have supersymmetry transformation laws for $s_I = +1$, we can always rewrite them into transformation laws for $s_I = -1$ by replacing overlined and underlined indices by underlined and overlined ones, respectively, and exchanging two indices of the parameter $\xi$. In the following we give only transformation laws for $s_I = +1$.

Let us consider the transformation law of $\psi_{I A}$. The transformation (22) includes $\phi_I$ and $\phi_{I+1}$, and we treat these fields in different ways depending on $k_I$ and $k_{I+1}$. If $k_I = 0$ ($k_{I+1} = 0$) we eliminate $\phi_I$ ($\phi_{I+1}$) by using (25) while we leave it in the action if $k_I \neq 0$ ($k_{I+1} \neq 0$). For example, if $k_I = 0$ and $k_{I+1} \neq 0$ we leave $\phi_I$ in the action and eliminate $\phi_{I+1}$ by (25). From (22) we obtain $\mathcal{N} = 3$ transformation as

$$\delta \psi_{I A} = \sqrt{2}\xi_{CB} \varphi^B_I q^C_I + \frac{2s_I}{k} \sqrt{2} \xi_{CB} q^C_I \bar{\mu}^B_A - \frac{2s_I}{k} \sqrt{2} \xi_{CB} q^C_I \bar{\mu}_{I+1}^B A + \sqrt{2} \gamma^\mu \xi_{DA} D_\mu q^\dagger_I. \quad (29)$$

We put overlines and underlines to the indices in the third and fourth terms. However, it is impossible to do it consistently in the second term.

In order to resolve this problem we introduce the following shifted field.

$$\varphi^A_{I B} = \phi^A_{I B} - \frac{s_I}{k} (\mu^A_{I B} + \bar{\mu}^A_{I-1 B}). \quad (30)$$
By this field redefinition we rewrite the transformation (29) for general $k_I$ and $k_{I+1}$ as

$$\delta \psi_I = \sqrt{2} \gamma^\mu \xi_{\mu \Delta} D_\mu q_I - \sqrt{2} s_I \xi_{\mu \Delta} (v_I^\mu q_I - q_I v_I^\mu) + \left( \sqrt{2} \xi_{[B} \varphi_{T \Delta]} q_I^{C]} \right)_{k_I=0} - \left( 2 \sqrt{2} s_I \xi_{[B} \bar{\mu}_{T-1 \Delta]} q_I^{C]} \right)_{k_I \neq 0} - \left( 2 \sqrt{2} s_I \xi_{[B} \varphi_{T + 1 \Delta]} q_I^{C]} \right)_{k_{I+1}=0} + \delta' \psi_I,$$

where $(\cdots)_{\text{condition}}$ means that it is included only when the condition is satisfied.

This transformation still includes non-covariant terms and we collected them into the last term, $\delta' \psi_I$, which is given by

$$\delta' \psi_I = - \left( \frac{\sqrt{2}}{k} s_I \xi_{CB} (\mu_I - \bar{\mu}_{I-1}) q_I^C \right)_{k_I=0} - \left( \frac{\sqrt{2}}{k} s_I \xi_{CB} q_I^C (\mu_{I+1} - \bar{\mu}_I) A \right)_{k_{I+1}=0} + \left( 2 \sqrt{2} s_I \xi_{CB} q_I^C (\mu_{I+1} - \bar{\mu}_I) A \right)_{k_{I+1}=0}.$$

(32)

We will comment on this non-covariant part at the end of the next subsection. It will turn out that we can easily remove this unwanted part from the transformation law.

3.2 Vector multiplets

Let us write down the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ CS transformation law for vector multiplets. If a vector multiplet is dynamical, it has only one component $v^\mu_I$ as shown in (27), and by using (26) the transformation law (18) is rewritten as

$$\delta v^\mu_I = - \frac{s_I}{k} \xi_{BA} \gamma^\mu (J^B_I - \bar{J}^B_{I-1}).$$

(33)

This is $R_{CS}$ invariant.

In an auxiliary vector multiplet, we have four component fields. In order to write manifestly $R_{CS}$ covariant $\mathcal{N} = 4$ CS transformation laws, we need to shift the fields $\lambda_I$ and $F_I$ as well as $\phi$ in the following way.

$$\lambda'_{I AB} = \lambda_{I AB} - \frac{s_I}{2k} (J^B_I + \bar{J}^B_{I-1}),$$

(34)

$$F'_{I BA} = F_{I BA} + \frac{s_I}{2k} (K^A_I + \bar{K}^A_{I-1})$$

$$+ \frac{s_I}{2k} [(\mu_I + \bar{\mu}_{I-1}) A, \varphi_{I B}] - \frac{s_I}{2k} [(\mu_I + \bar{\mu}_{I-1})^C, \varphi^A_{I C}],$$

(35)

where $K_I$ and $\bar{K}_I$ in (35) and $J^I_I$ and $\bar{J}^\mu_I$ appearing in (36) below are components of current multiplets defined in Appendix B. The transformation laws of $v_I^\mu$, $\varphi_I$, $\lambda_I$, and $F_I$ are given by

$$\delta v^\mu_I = - \frac{s_I}{k} \xi_{BA} \gamma^\mu (J^B_I - \bar{J}^B_{I-1}),$$

$$\delta \lambda^A = \sqrt{2} \gamma^\mu \xi_{\mu \Delta} D_\mu q_I$$

$$+ \left( \frac{\sqrt{2}}{k} s_I \xi_{[B} \varphi_{T \Delta]} q_I^{C]} \right)_{k_I=0} - \left( 2 \sqrt{2} s_I \xi_{[B} \bar{\mu}_{T-1 \Delta]} q_I^{C]} \right)_{k_I \neq 0} - \left( 2 \sqrt{2} s_I \xi_{[B} \varphi_{T + 1 \Delta]} q_I^{C]} \right)_{k_{I+1}=0} + \delta' \lambda_I,$$

$$\delta F^A_{I B} = F^A_{I B} + \frac{s_I}{k} (K^A_I + \bar{K}^A_{I-1})$$

$$+ \frac{s_I}{2k} [(\mu_I + \bar{\mu}_{I-1}) A, \varphi_{I B}] - \frac{s_I}{2k} [(\mu_I + \bar{\mu}_{I-1})^C, \varphi^A_{I C}],$$

and

$$\delta \varphi_I = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{k} s_I \xi_{CB} q_I^C (\mu_I - \bar{\mu}_{I-1}) A.$$
and $\lambda_I$ are manifestly covariant.

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta v_I & = -\xi B C \gamma_\mu \left( \lambda_I + \frac{s_I + \bar{s}_I}{2k} \right), \\
\delta \phi^A_I & = -i(\lambda_I + \bar{\lambda}_i), \\
\delta \phi_B^I & = 2i \xi C_B \lambda_I - i \delta A_B \xi \phi^A_I, \\
\delta \lambda^{AB}_I & = i \frac{s_I}{2k} \xi^{AB}_I \phi_c^f + \xi^{AB}_I, \\
\delta \phi^A_I & = 2i \xi C_B \lambda_I - i \delta A_B \xi \phi^A_I, \\
\delta \phi_B^I & = 2i \xi C_B \lambda_I - i \delta A_B \xi \phi^A_I.
\end{align*}
\]

The transformation of $F'_{AB}^I$ includes non-covariant terms.

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta F'_{IJ} & = \frac{i}{2} \gamma^{\mu} \xi B C F_{I\mu} + \frac{is_I}{2k} \gamma_\mu \xi B C (J^I_{\mu} + \bar{J}^I_{\mu-1}) + \gamma_\mu \xi C D \phi D^B \phi^C_I + \xi C B F_{I} A', \\
&= \frac{1}{4k^2} \left( (\mu_I + \bar{\mu}_{I-1}) A C, (\mu_I + \bar{\mu}_{I-1}) B I \right) - \frac{1}{4k^2} \left( (\mu_I + \bar{\mu}_{I-1}) A C, (\mu_I + \bar{\mu}_{I-1}) B I \right).
\end{align*}
\]

We collected non-covariant terms into $\delta F'_{IJ}$. It is given by

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta F'_{IJ} & = \sqrt{2} \frac{is_I}{k} \xi C B (q^A_I + q^A_{I-1}) + \sqrt{2} \frac{is_I}{k} \xi C A (q^A_B + q^A_{I-1} \Psi_{I-1}), \\
&= \frac{1}{4k^2} \left( (\mu_I + \bar{\mu}_{I-1}) A C, (\mu_I + \bar{\mu}_{I-1}) B I \right) - \frac{1}{4k^2} \left( (\mu_I + \bar{\mu}_{I-1}) A C, (\mu_I + \bar{\mu}_{I-1}) B I \right).
\end{align*}
\]

Among the supersymmetry transformation laws written down in the previous and this subsections, $\delta \psi_I$ and $\delta F'_{IJ}$ include non-covariant parts $\delta' \psi_I$ and $\delta' F'_{IJ}$. These non-covariant terms may be simply removed from the transformation because, as is easily checked, the action $S_{CS} + S_{hyper}$ is in fact invariant under the non-covariant transformation $\delta'$. Removing these terms, we obtain completely $R_{CS}$ covariant $N = 4_{CS}$ supersymmetry transformation laws.

4 \hspace{1em} SU(2) \times SU(2) \hspace{1em} invariance of the action

In this section, we prove the $R_{CS}$ invariance of the action $S_{CS} + S_{hyper}$. Here we use $N = 3$ notation to simplify equations. Namely, we use plain indices without dots or lines for any $SU(2)$. It is easy to check if each term is $R_{CS}$ invariant or not.
We first rearrange the action into the following three parts. The first part, $\hat{S}_{\text{kin}}$, includes the kinetic terms.

$$
\hat{S}_{\text{kin}} = \sum_{I=1}^{n} \int d^{3}x \text{tr} \left[ k_{I} \epsilon^{\mu \nu \rho} \left( \frac{1}{2} v_{I \mu} \partial_{\nu} v_{I \rho} - \frac{i}{3} v_{I \mu} v_{I \nu} v_{I \rho} \right) - D_{\mu} q_{I A} D^{\mu} q_{I A} - i \bar{\psi}_{I A} \gamma^{\mu} D_{\mu} \psi_{I A} \right].
$$

(42)

This part is manifestly $RCS$ invariant. We use hats for manifestly $RCS$ invariant terms.

The second part, $S_{\text{pot}}$, includes potential terms

$$
S_{\text{pot}} = \sum_{I} \int d^{3}x \text{tr} \left[ \frac{k_{I}}{2} \phi_{I A}^{B} F_{I A}^{B} - F_{I B}^{A} (\mu_{I A}^{B} - \bar{\mu}_{I-1 A}^{B}) - \frac{1}{2} \nu_{I A}^{B} C \phi_{I B}^{C} - \frac{1}{2} \nu_{I-1 A}^{B} C \phi_{I+1 B}^{C} + \frac{k_{I}}{6} \phi_{I B}^{A} \phi_{I C}^{B} \phi_{I A}^{C} + \bar{\psi}_{I A} \phi_{I B}^{A} \phi_{I B}^{C} \phi_{I+1 A}^{C} \right].
$$

(43)

This part is analyzed in §4.1.

The rest of the action is the following part including Yukawa terms.

$$
S_{\text{Yukawa}} = \sum_{I} \int d^{3}x \text{tr} \left[ \frac{i k_{I}}{2} \lambda_{I A}^{A B} \lambda_{I B A} - i \lambda_{I A B} \bar{J}_{I A}^{A B} \right] + i \bar{\psi}_{I B} \psi_{I A} - i \bar{\psi}_{I-1 B} \psi_{I-1 A}.
$$

(44)

This part is analyzed in §4.2.

### 4.1 Potential terms

We decompose the potential term by

$$
S_{\text{pot}} = \sum_{I=1}^{n} \left( S_{\text{pot1}}^{I(k_{I})} + S_{\text{pot2}}^{I(k_{I},k_{I+1})} \right),
$$

(45)

where $S_{\text{pot1}}^{I(k_{I})}$ and $S_{\text{pot2}}^{I(k_{I},k_{I+1})}$ are defined by

$$
S_{\text{pot1}}^{I(k_{I})} = \int d^{3}x \text{tr} \left[ \frac{k_{I}}{2} \phi_{I A}^{B} F_{I A}^{B} - F_{I B}^{A} (\mu_{I A}^{B} - \bar{\mu}_{I-1 A}^{B}) - \frac{1}{2} \nu_{I A}^{B} C \phi_{I B}^{C} - \frac{1}{2} \nu_{I-1 A}^{B} C \phi_{I+1 B}^{C} + \frac{k_{I}}{6} \phi_{I B}^{A} \phi_{I C}^{B} \phi_{I A}^{C} \right],
$$

(46)

$$
S_{\text{pot2}}^{I(k_{I},k_{I+1})} = \int d^{3}x \text{tr} \left( \bar{\psi}_{I A} \phi_{I B}^{A} \phi_{I B}^{C} \phi_{I+1 A}^{C} \right).
$$

(47)

$S_{\text{pot1}}^{I(k_{I})}$ includes only one $\phi_{I}$ while $S_{\text{pot2}}^{I(k_{I},k_{I+1})}$ includes $\phi_{I}$ and $\phi_{I+1}$. 
We first consider $S^{I(k_1)}_{\text{pot1}}$. When $k_1 \neq 0$, we eliminate $\phi_I$ by using (25). Then $S^{I(k_1)}_{\text{pot1}}$ includes only scalar fields $q_I$, $q_{I-1}$, and their Hermitian conjugates.

\[
S^{I(k_1)\neq 0}_{\text{pot1}} = \int d^3x tr \left[ \frac{4}{k^2} q_I^A B_I^B C_\delta^C q_{I-1}^A B_I^B + \frac{4}{k^2} \bar{q}_{I-1}^A B_I^B \bar{q}_{I-1}^A B_I^B \right] + \tilde{S}^{I(k_1)\neq 0}_{\text{pot1}}, 
\]

\[
\tilde{S}^{I(k_1)\neq 0}_{\text{pot1}} = \frac{2}{k^2} \int d^3x tr \left[ - \mu_I^A B_I^B \bar{\nu}_{I-1}^A C_\delta^C - \bar{\nu}_{I-1}^A B_I^B \mu_I^A C_\delta^C - \nu_I^A B_I^B \bar{\nu}_{I-1}^A C_\delta^C - \bar{\nu}_{I-1}^A B_I^B \mu_I^A C_\delta^C 
\right. 
\left. + \frac{2}{3} \mu_I^A B_I^B C_\delta^C A - \frac{2}{3} \bar{\nu}_{I-1}^A B_I^B C_\delta^C A \right]. 
\]

Because we now assume $k_1 \neq 0$, $q_I$ and $q_{I-1}$ are transformed by different $SU(2)$ factors in $R_{CS}$. Thus, if $SU(2)$ indices of $q_I$ and those of $q_{I-1}$ are contracted, the term breaks the $R_{CS}$ symmetry. To prove the $R_{CS}$ invariance of the action, we need to show that such terms cancel among them when we sum up all terms in the action. By this reason, we separate manifestly $R_{CS}$ invariant terms and denote them by $\tilde{S}^{I(k_1)}_{\text{pot1}}$. In each term in $\tilde{S}^{I(k_1)}_{\text{pot1}}$ indices of $q_I$ and those of $q_{I-1}$ are separately contracted. Contrary, in the first line of (48) some indices of $q_I$ are contracted with $q_{I-1}$, and breaks the $R_{CS}$ symmetry.

When $k_1 = 0$, we rewrite the field $\phi_I$ and $F_I$ by the $R_{CS}$ covariant field $\varphi_I$ and $F'_I$ defined in [3]. We obtain

\[
S^{I(k_1=0)}_{\text{pot1}} = \int d^3x tr \left[ - \frac{2s_I^A}{k} \bar{\nu}_{I-1}^A B_I^B \bar{\nu}_{I-1}^A C_\delta^C A - \frac{2s_I^A}{k} \nu_I^A C_\delta^C A \varphi_{I=1}^B \right] + \tilde{S}^{I(k_1=0)}_{\text{pot1}} + C', 
\]

where we collected $R_{CS}$ invariant terms into $\tilde{S}^{I(k_1=0)}_{\text{pot1}}$

\[
\tilde{S}^{I(k_1=0)}_{\text{pot1}} = \int d^3x tr \left[ - \frac{1}{2} \nu_I^A A B_I^B C_\delta^C B - \frac{2}{k^2} \nu_I^A A B_I^B C_\delta^C B 
\right. 
\left. - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\nu}_{I-1}^A A B_I^B C_\delta^C B - \frac{2}{k^2} \bar{\nu}_{I-1}^A B_I^B C_\delta^C B - F_I^{A B}(\mu_I - \bar{\mu}_{I-1})^{A B} 
\right. 
\left. + \frac{1}{2k^2}(\nu_I + \bar{\nu}_{I-1})^{A B}(\mu_I - \bar{\mu}_{I-1})^{A B} \right], 
\]

and $C'$ is defined by

\[
C' = \frac{i s}{k}(\bar{\psi}_{IA} \psi_{I-1}^B + \bar{\psi}_{I-1}^A \psi_{I-1}^A)(\mu_{I=1}^{A B} - \bar{\mu}_{I=1}^{A B}). 
\]

It is convenient to write (48) and (50) in the unified form

\[
S^{I(k_1)}_{\text{pot1}} = B^{I(k_1)} + A^{I(k_1)} + \tilde{S}^{I(k_1)}_{\text{pot1}} + (C')_{k_1=0}, 
\]
where $A^{I(k_i)}$ and $B^{I(k_i)}$ are defined by

$$A^{I(k_i \neq 0)} = \frac{4}{k^2} \int d^3x \text{tr}(q_I^A \bar{\psi}^B_I c q_I A \bar{\psi}^C_{I-1} B),$$

$$A^{I(k_i = 0)} = \frac{s_I}{k} \int d^3x (-2\nu_I^A C \nu_I^B A \varphi_I^C B + q_I^C \phi_I^{AB} B \bar{q}_I C (\mu_I - \bar{\mu}_{I-1}) B A),$$

$$B^{I(k_i \neq 0)} = \frac{4}{k^2} \int d^3x (\bar{q}_{I-1} B \bar{\mu}_{I-1}^A C \bar{q}_I B \bar{\mu}_{I-1}^C A),$$

$$B^{I(k_i = 0)} = \frac{s_I}{k} \int d^3x (-2\nu_I^A B \bar{\nu}_{I-1} C \varphi_I^C A - \bar{q}_{I-1} C \phi_I^{AB} B \bar{q}_{I-1} (\mu_I - \bar{\mu}_{I-1}) B A).$$

Next, let us consider $S^{I(k_i, k_{i+1})}$, This term contains $\phi_I$ and $\phi_{I+1}$, and we need to consider four cases separately according to whether $k_i$ and $k_{i+1}$ are zero or not. When $k_i \neq 0$, we use (25) to eliminate $\phi_I$, and when $k_i = 0$ we rewrite the field $\phi_{I+1}$ in the same way, too. The result is

$$S^{I(k_i, k_{i+1})} = -A^{I(k_i)} - B^{I+1(k_{i+1})} + \hat{S}^{I(k_i, k_{i+1})}.$$  

We collected manifestly $R_{CS}$ invariant terms into $\hat{S}^{I(k_i, k_{i+1})}$. It is given by

$$\hat{S}^{I(k_i \neq 0, k_{i+1} \neq 0)}_{\text{pot2}} = \int d^3x \left[ \frac{4}{k^2} \bar{q}_{IA} \bar{\mu}_{I}^B C q_I A \bar{\mu}_{I-1} B + \frac{4}{k^2} \bar{q}_{IA} \bar{\mu}_{I-1}^B C q_I B \bar{\mu}_{I} A \right],$$

$$\hat{S}^{I(k_i \neq 0, k_{i+1} = 0)}_{\text{pot2}} = \int d^3x \left[ -\frac{4}{k^2} \bar{q}_{IA} \bar{\mu}_{I}^B C q_I A \bar{\mu}_{I-1} B - \frac{2s_I}{k} q_{IA} \mu_{I-1}^B C q_I \varphi_{I+1} B \right],$$

$$\hat{S}^{I(k_i = 0, k_{i+1} \neq 0)}_{\text{pot2}} = \int d^3x \left[ -\frac{2s_I}{k} \bar{q}_{IA} \varphi_{I}^B C q_I A \mu_{I+1} B + \frac{4}{k^2} \bar{q}_{IA} B \mu_{I}^B C q_I A \bar{\mu}_{I} \right],$$

$$\hat{S}^{I(k_i = 0, k_{i+1} = 0)}_{\text{pot2}} = \int d^3x \left[ \bar{q}_{IA} \varphi_{I}^B C q_I A \varphi_{I+1} B + \frac{4}{k^2} \bar{q}_{IA} B \mu_{I}^B C q_I A \bar{\mu}_{I} \right] + \frac{1}{k^2} \bar{q}_{I C} (\mu_{I} - \bar{\mu}_{I-1}) A \bar{B}_{I} \bar{q}_{I C} (\mu_{I+1} - \bar{\mu}_{I}) A .$$

If we sum up (53) and (58) over all $I$, all $A^{I(k_i)}$ and $B^{I(k_i)}$ cancel and we obtain

$$S_{\text{pot}} = \sum_{I=1}^{n} (\hat{S}^{I(k_i)}_{\text{pot1}} + \hat{S}^{I(k_i, k_{i+1})}_{\text{pot2}}) + \sum_{k_i=0}^{n} C^I .$$

4.2 Yukawa terms

Let us consider $S_{\text{Yukawa}}$ in (42). We decompose it as

$$S_{\text{Yukawa}} = \sum_{I=1}^{n} S^{I(k_i)}_{\text{Yukawa}},$$

where

$$S^{I(k_i)}_{\text{Yukawa}} = \int d^3x \left[ i\frac{k_I}{2} \chi_{I AB} \lambda_{I BA} - i\lambda_{I AB} (j_{I}^A - j_{I-1}^A) + i\hat{\psi}_{I AB} \phi_{I A} - i\hat{\psi}_{I-1} \phi_{I-1 A} \right].$$
Again we should discuss two cases with $k_I \neq 0$ and $k_I = 0$ separately.

If $k_I \neq 0$, eliminating $\lambda_I$ by using the equation of motion (26), and rewriting $\phi_I$ by (25), we obtain

\begin{equation}
S^{I(k_I \neq 0)}_{\text{Yukawa}} = \frac{i}{k_I} (Y_{I-1} + X_I) + \hat{S}^{I(k_I \neq 0)}_{\text{Yukawa}},
\end{equation}

where we defined

\begin{align}
X_I &= \int d^3 x tr \left[ -\frac{1}{2} J_i^{AB} j_{IBA} + 2 \psi_{IB} \psi^A_I \mu_I^A \right], \\
Y_I &= \int d^3 x tr \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \hat{J} I^{AB} j_{IBA} + 2 \bar{\psi}_I^A \bar{\psi}_{IB} \bar{\mu}_I^A \right],
\end{align}

and

\begin{equation}
\hat{S}^{I(k_I \neq 0)}_{\text{Yukawa}} = \frac{i}{k_I} \int d^3 x tr \left[ \bar{\psi}_{I-1BA} j_i^{AB} - 2 \psi_{IB} \bar{\psi}_I^A \bar{\mu}_{I-1}^A - 2 \bar{\psi}_{I-1} \bar{\psi}_I^{AB} \mu_I^A \right].
\end{equation}

When $k_I \neq 0$, $q_I$ and $\psi_I$ are rotated by the same $SU(2)$ as $\psi_{I-1}$ and $q_{I-1}$, respectively, and we see that terms in $\hat{S}^{I(k_I \neq 0)}_{\text{Yukawa}}$ are manifestly $R_{CS}$ invariant while $X$ and $Y$ are not. We define

\begin{equation}
\hat{Z}_I = \int d^3 x tr \left[ \epsilon_{AB} \epsilon_{CD} q_i^{A} \bar{\psi}_I^C q_I^B \bar{\psi}_I^D - \epsilon_{AB} \epsilon_{CD} q_{IA} \bar{\psi}_I^C \bar{\psi}_{IB} \psi_{ID} + \bar{\psi}_I^{A} q_I^B \bar{\psi}_{IB} - \bar{\psi}_I^{A} \bar{\psi}_{IB} q_I^B \right].
\end{equation}

This is manifestly $R_{SC}$ invariant, and the following identity holds.

\begin{equation}
Y_I - X_I = \hat{Z}_I.
\end{equation}

By using this identity, we can rewrite the action (66) as

\begin{equation}
S^{I(k_I \neq 0)}_{\text{Yukawa}} = \frac{i}{k} \left[ - s_{I-1} X_{I-1} + s_I X_I \right] - \frac{i s_{I-1}}{k} Z_{I-1} + \hat{S}^{I(k_I \neq 0)}_{\text{Yukawa}},
\end{equation}

where we used the relation $s_I = -s_{I-1}$, which holds when $k_I \neq 0$.

Next, let us consider $k_I = 0$ case. Rewriting $\phi_I$ and $\lambda_I$ in the action according to (30) and (34) we obtain

\begin{equation}
S^{I(k_I = 0)}_{\text{Yukawa}} = \frac{i s_I}{k} (-Y_{I-1} + X_I) + \hat{S}^{I(k_I = 0)}_{\text{Yukawa}} = \frac{i}{k} (-s_{I-1} X_{I-1} + s_I X_I) - \frac{i s_{I-1}}{k} \hat{Z}_{I-1} + \hat{S}^{I(k_I = 0)}_{\text{Yukawa}} - C^I,
\end{equation}

where $C^I$ is defined in (52), and $\hat{S}^{I(k_I = 0)}_{\text{Yukawa}}$ includes $R_{CS}$ invariant terms.

\begin{equation}
\hat{S}^{I(k_I = 0)}_{\text{Yukawa}} = \int d^3 x tr \left[ -i \bar{\psi}_{I-1}^{A} \psi_{I-1}^{B} \bar{\varphi}_I^A + i \psi_{IB} \bar{\psi}_I^A \varphi_{IA} - i \lambda_{IAB} (\hat{A}_{I} - \hat{A}_{I-1}) \right].
\end{equation}
Summing up $S_{Yukawa}^{I(k_I)}$ in (72) and (73) over all $I$, terms with $X_I$ and $Y_I$ cancel, and we obtain

$$S_{Yukawa} = \sum_{I=1}^{n} \left( -\frac{iS_I}{k} \tilde{Z}_I + \tilde{S}_{Yukawa}^{I(k_I)} \right) - \sum_{k_I=0} C_I. \quad (75)$$

Adding (63) and (75), we obtain the manifestly $R_{CS}$ invariant action

$$S_{CS} + S_{hyper} = \tilde{S}_{kin} + \sum_{I=1}^{n} \left( \tilde{S}_{pot1}^{I(k_I)} + \tilde{S}_{pot2}^{I(k_{I+1})} \right) - \frac{is_I}{k} \tilde{Z}_I + \tilde{S}_{Yukawa}^{I(k_I)} \right), \quad (76)$$

and the proof is completed.

5 Conclusions

In this paper we investigated the $Spin(4)$ R-symmetry and $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetry of the three-dimensional Chern-Simons-matter system described by the action $S_{CS} + S_{hyper}$, where $S_{CS}$ and $S_{hyper}$ are given in (2) and (3), respectively. This model consists of dynamical and auxiliary vector multiplets and bi-fundamental hypermultiplets. The dynamical vector multiplets have Chern-Simons couplings $\pm k$ while the auxiliary vector multiplets do not have Chern-Simons terms. (Although we call vector multiplets with non-vanishing Chern-Simons couplings “dynamical” for distinction, they do not have propagating degrees of freedom.) After integrating out auxiliary fields in the hyper and dynamical vector multiplets, our model includes $(q_I, \psi_I)$ in the hypermultiplets, $(v_{I\mu})$ in the dynamical vector multiplets, and $(v_{I\mu}, \varphi_I, \lambda'_I, F'_I)$ in the auxiliary vector multiplets. We wrote down the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetry transformation in terms of these component fields in manifestly $Spin(4)$ covariant form in Eqs. (28), (31), and (36-39). We also proved the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ invariance of the action in (4) by rewriting it in the manifestly $Spin(4)$ invariant form (76).
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A $\mathcal{N} = 4$ multiplets and $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superfields

In this appendix we summarize our conventions for spinors and superfields. Because all we need in this paper are actions and transformation laws in terms of component fields, which are given in the main text, we here do not present detail of the superfield formalism. The purpose of this appendix is to show rough relation between components and superfields.
We use \((- + +)\) signature for the metric, and \(\gamma^\mu\) are real \(2 \times 2\) matrices satisfying
\[
\eta^\mu_\nu = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu), \quad \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\rho).
\]
(77)
To make fermion bi-linears, we use the antisymmetric tensor \(\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}\) defined by
\[
\epsilon_{12} = -\epsilon_{21} = 1.
\]
(78)
For example,
\[
(\eta \chi) = \eta^\alpha \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} \chi^\beta, \quad (\eta \gamma^\mu \chi) = \eta^\alpha \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} (\gamma^\mu)^\beta \chi^\gamma.
\]
(79)

Let \((x^\mu, \theta^\alpha, \overline{\theta}^\dot{\alpha})\) be the \(\mathcal{N} = 2\) superspace. \(\overline{\theta}^\dot{\alpha}\) is the complex conjugate of the complex spinor \(\theta^\alpha\). The complex conjugate of the product of two Grassmann variables \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) is defined by \((\alpha \beta)^* = \beta^* \alpha^*\).

A vector superfield in the Wess-Zumino gauge is expanded as
\[
V(v_\mu, \sigma, \lambda, D) = (\theta \gamma^\mu \overline{\theta}) v_\mu + i(\theta \overline{\theta}) \sigma + \theta^2 (\overline{\theta} \lambda) + \overline{\theta}^2 (\theta \lambda) + \frac{1}{2} \theta^2 \overline{\theta}^2 D.
\]
(80)
The transformation laws of component fields are
\[
\delta \sigma = i(\xi \overline{\lambda}) + i(\overline{\xi} \lambda),
\]
(81)
\[
\delta v_\mu = (\xi \gamma_\mu \overline{\lambda}) - (\overline{\xi} \gamma_\mu \lambda),
\]
(82)
\[
\delta D = i(\xi \gamma^\mu D_\mu \overline{\lambda}) + i(\overline{\xi} \gamma^\mu D_\mu \lambda) + i(\xi \sigma \overline{\lambda}) + i(\overline{\xi} \sigma \lambda),
\]
(83)
\[
\delta \lambda = \frac{i}{2} \gamma^\mu \xi F_{\mu
u} + \gamma^\mu \xi D_\mu \sigma + D \xi.
\]
(84)
We expand a chiral superfield as
\[
\Phi(\phi, \psi, F) = \phi + \sqrt{2} i \theta \psi + i \theta^2 F + \overline{\theta} \text{ dependent terms}.
\]
(85)
The supersymmetry transformation in the Wess-Zumino gauge is
\[
\delta \phi = \sqrt{2} i (\xi \psi),
\]
(86)
\[
\delta \psi = \sqrt{2} \xi F + \sqrt{2} \overline{\xi} \sigma \phi + \sqrt{2} \gamma^\mu \overline{\xi} D_\mu \phi,
\]
(87)
\[
\delta F = \sqrt{2} i (\overline{\xi} \gamma^\mu D_\mu \psi) - \sqrt{2} i (\overline{\xi} \sigma \psi) - 2 i (\overline{\xi} \lambda) \phi.
\]
(88)
An \(\mathcal{N} = 4\) vector multiplet is made of an \(\mathcal{N} = 2\) vector multiplet \(V\) with components \((v_\mu, \sigma, \lambda, D)\) and an adjoint chiral multiplet \(\Phi\) with components \((\phi, \chi, F_\phi)\).

In order to make the \(R_{\text{YM}} = \text{Spin}(4)\) symmetry manifest we form the following \(R_{\text{YM}}\) multiplets.
\[
\lambda^{\dot{A}B} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & \overline{\xi} \\ \chi & -\overline{\lambda} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \phi^{\dot{A}B} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma & \sqrt{2} \phi \\ \sqrt{2} \phi & -\sigma \end{pmatrix}, \quad F^{\dot{A}B} = \begin{pmatrix} D' & \sqrt{2} F_\phi \\ \sqrt{2} F_\phi & -D' \end{pmatrix},
\]
(89)
15
where $D'$ is the shifted auxiliary field

$$D' = D - [\phi, \bar{\phi}].$$  \hspace{1cm} (90)

A hypermultiplet is made of two chiral multiplets $Q(q, \psi, F)$ and $\bar{Q}(\bar{q}, \bar{\psi}, \bar{F})$. These two chiral multiplets must belong to conjugate representations of gauge group to each other. We define the following $R_{YM}$ doublets.

$$q^A = (q^1, q^2) = (q, \bar{q}), \quad \psi_A = (\psi_1, \psi_2) = (\psi, \bar{\psi}).$$ \hspace{1cm} (91)

**B Current multiplets**

The components of current multiplets are defined by the differentiation of the action $S_{\text{hyper}}$ given in (13) with respect to the components of vector multiplets.

$$\delta S^I_{\text{hyper}} = -\delta F^A_{IB} \mu^B - i \delta \lambda_{IAB} A^B + \delta v_{1A} + \delta \phi^\dot{A} B K^B_{1A}$$

$$+ \delta F^A_{I+1B} \mu^B + i \delta \lambda_{I+1AB} \bar{A}^B - \delta v_{1+1A} - \delta \phi^\dot{A} \bar{K}^B_{1A},$$  \hspace{1cm} (92)

where $S^I_{\text{hyper}}$ is the part of $S_{\text{hyper}}$ including $(q_I, \psi_I)$.

$\mu$, $\bar{\mu}$, $\bar{j}$, and $\bar{\bar{j}}$ have been already given in (15) and (16). The other components are

$$J^\mu = i q^A D_\mu \bar{q}_A - i D_\mu q^A \bar{q}_A + (\psi_1 A \bar{\psi}_1),$$ \hspace{1cm} (93)

$$\bar{J}^\mu = -i \bar{q}^A_1 D_\mu \bar{q}_A^1 - i D_\mu q^A_1 \bar{q}^1_A + (\bar{\psi}_1 A \psi_1),$$ \hspace{1cm} (94)

$$K^A_{1B} = i \bar{q}^1_1 A \psi_1 - i \delta \dot{A} A C \bar{\psi}_1 - 1 \mu C \phi_1 A - 1 \bar{\phi} \bar{B} \bar{C} \bar{C} + q_C A \bar{A}_1, \hspace{1cm} (95)$$

$$\bar{K}^A_{1B} = + i \bar{q}^1_1 A \bar{\psi}_1 - i \delta \dot{A} A C \bar{\psi}_1 + 1 \mu C \phi_1 A + 1 \bar{\phi} \bar{B} \bar{C} \bar{C} + q_C A \bar{A}_1. \hspace{1cm} (96)$$

The $\mathcal{N} = 4_{YM}$ supersymmetry transformation of $\mu$, $\bar{\mu}$, $\bar{j}$, and $\bar{\bar{j}}$ are

$$\delta \mu^A_{1B} = i \xi_{BC} J^{AC}_{1B} - \frac{i}{2} \delta \phi A_{BC} C J^{AC}_{1B},$$ \hspace{1cm} (97)

$$\delta \bar{\mu}^A_{1B} = i \xi_{BC} \bar{J}^{AC}_{1B} - \frac{i}{2} \delta \phi A_{BC} C \bar{J}^{AC}_{1B},$$ \hspace{1cm} (98)

$$\delta j_{AB} = -i \gamma_\mu \xi^{AB} J^\mu + 2 \gamma_\mu \xi^{CB} D_\mu \mu_C - 2 \xi^{A C} K^B_{1C} + 2 \xi^{C D} [\mu^A_C, \phi^B_D], \hspace{1cm} (99)$$

$$\delta \bar{j}_{AB} = -i \gamma_\mu \xi^{AB} \bar{J}^\mu + 2 \gamma_\mu \xi^{CB} D_\mu \bar{\mu}_C - 2 \xi^{A C} \bar{K}^B_{1C} + 2 \xi^{C D} [\bar{\mu}^A_C, \phi^B_D], \hspace{1cm} (100)$$

$$\delta \bar{j}^\mu = \xi_{AB} \gamma_\mu D_\mu \bar{J}^\mu - \sqrt{2} \xi_{AB} \gamma^I \bar{q}_1^I \bar{\psi}_1 + \sqrt{2} \xi^{AB} \gamma^I \psi_1 \bar{q}_1^I$$

$$- [\xi_{B A} \gamma^I \bar{j}^B_{1C}, \bar{\phi}_{1C}] + 2 [\xi_{CB} \gamma^I \lambda^A_B, \bar{\mu}_I C], \hspace{1cm} (101)$$

$$\delta \bar{j}^I = \xi_{AB} \gamma^I D_\mu \bar{J}^\mu - \sqrt{2} \xi_{AB} \gamma^I \bar{q}_1^I \bar{\psi}_1 + \sqrt{2} \xi^{AB} \gamma^I \psi_1 \bar{q}_1^I$$

$$- [\xi_{B A} \gamma^I \bar{j}^B_{1C}, \bar{\phi}_{1C}] + 2 [\xi_{CB} \gamma^I \lambda^A_B, \bar{\mu}_I C].$$ \hspace{1cm} (102)
\[ \delta K_{I\tilde{B}}^A = -i \xi_{CB} \gamma^\mu D_{\mu j}^C A_I + \sqrt{2} i \xi_{CB} q^C \bar{q}_I A_I + \sqrt{2} i \xi_{CB} \Psi_{I\tilde{B}} \bar{q}_I C \]

\[ \tilde{\delta} K_{I\tilde{B}}^A = -i \xi_{CB} \gamma^\mu \tilde{D}_{\mu j}^C A_I + \sqrt{2} i \xi_{CB} \bar{q}_I A_I + \sqrt{2} i \xi_{CB} \Psi_{I\tilde{B}} \bar{q}_I C \]

These components are transformed among them linearly up to the equation of motion of \( \Psi_{IA} \) given in (103).
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