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ABSTRACT

Background Despite currently available standard-of-care inhaled corticosteroid (ICS)/long-acting β2-agonist (LABA) therapies, a substantial proportion of patients with asthma remain inadequately controlled. This pooled analysis evaluated efficacy and safety of mometasone furoate/indacaterol acetate (MF/IND) versus fluticasone propionate/salmeterol xinafoate (FLU/SAL) in patients with inadequately controlled asthma.

Methods This analysis included patients from PALLADIUM (NCT02554786) and IRIDIUM (NCT02571777) studies who received high-dose MF/IND (320/150 µg) or medium-dose MF/IND (160/150 µg) once a day or high-dose FLU/SAL (500/50 µg) two times a day for 52 weeks. Reduction in asthma exacerbations, improvement in lung function, asthma control, and safety were evaluated for 52 weeks.

Results In total, 3154 patients (high-dose MF/IND, n=1054; medium-dose MF/IND, n=1044; high-dose FLU/SAL, n=1056) were included. High-dose MF/IND showed 26%, 22% and 19% reductions in rate of severe, moderate or severe, and mild, moderate and severe) exacerbations versus high-dose FLU/SAL, respectively, over 52 weeks (all, p<0.05). High-dose MF/IND improved trough FEV1, versus high-dose FLU/SAL at weeks 26 (Δ, 0.43 mL, p=0.001) and 32 (Δ, 0.51 mL, p<0.001). Reductions in asthma exacerbation rate and improvement in trough FEV1, with medium-dose MF/IND were comparable with high-dose FLU/SAL over 52 weeks. All treatments improved Asthma Control Questionnaire-7 score from baseline to 52 weeks with no difference between treatments. Safety was comparable between high-dose MF/IND and high-dose FLU/SAL.

Conclusions One time a day, single-inhaler, high-dose MF/IND reduced asthma exacerbations and improved lung function versus two times a day, high-dose FLU/SAL in patients with inadequately controlled asthma. Similarly, improved outcomes were seen with one time a day, medium-dose MF/IND and two times a day, high-dose FLU/SAL, but at a lower ICS dose.

Key messages

► What is the efficacy and safety of one time a day, single-inhaler mometasone furoate/indacaterol acetate (MF/IND) versus two times a day fluticasone propionate/salmeterol xinafoate (FLU/SAL) in patients with inadequately controlled asthma?
► One time a day, single-inhaler mometasone furoate/indacaterol acetate (MF/IND) at both doses (high- and medium-dose) reduced asthma exacerbations and improved lung function versus two times a day, high-dose fluticasone propionate/salmeterol xinafoate (FLU/SAL) in patients with inadequately controlled asthma.
► We report the results from the pooled analysis of the efficacy and safety of high-dose MF/IND (320/150 µg) or medium-dose MF/IND (160/150 µg) one time a day or high-dose FLU/SAL (500/50 µg) two times a day in 52 weeks in patients with inadequately controlled asthma from the PALLADIUM and IRIDIUM studies.

INTRODUCTION

The Global Initiative for Asthma (GINA) guidelines recommend the combination of a medium- or high-dose inhaled corticosteroid (ICS) with a long-acting β2-agonist (LABA) as the preferred controller treatment in patients with asthma uncontrolled on ICS alone or low-dose ICS/LABA combination.1 Compared with ICS monotherapy (higher or similar doses), ICS/LABA combination therapy reduces asthma exacerbations, improves lung function and demonstrates better asthma control in adults with persistent asthma.2 One time a day ICS/LABA dosing regimens have been associated with better efficacy
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(asthma exacerbations), increased adherence and a lower risk of discontinuation compared with two times a day regimens, which might lead to improved asthma control.3 4 The standard-of-care ICS/LABA fixed-dose combinations (FDCs) fluticasone propionate/salmeterol xinafoate (FLU/SAL), budesonide/formoterol (BUD/Form), fluticasone furoate/vilanterol (FF/VI) and beclomethasone dipropionate/formoterol (BDP/Form) have been shown to be safe and effective in the management of asthma.3 4 However, a substantial proportion of patients (approximately 30%-50%) remain uncontrolled on currently available ICS/LABA therapies.9 10 Patients with poor asthma control may have increased healthcare resource utilisation and higher rates of morbidity and mortality compared with patients with controlled asthma.9 10 The ICS mometasone furoate (MF) and the LABA indacaterol acetate (IND) have been formulated as FDC therapy (MF/IND) one time a day delivered via Breezhaler® for maintenance treatment of patients with asthma. Two phase III pivotal studies (PALLADIUM and IRIDIUM) compared the efficacy and safety of high- and medium-dose MF/IND with high-dose FLU/SAL, among other comparisons, in patients with inadequately controlled asthma, and that supported the recent approvals of MF/IND and MF/IND/glycopyrronium bromide (GLY).11 12

This prespecified pooled analysis of the PALLADIUM11 and IRIDIUM12 studies assessed the efficacy and safety of high-dose MF/IND (320/150µg) one time a day (via Breezhaler®) versus the standard-of-care treatment high-dose FLU/SAL (500/50µg) two times a day (via Diskus®) in patients with inadequately controlled asthma. In addition, we also report the post-hoc analysis results from the efficacy comparison of medium-dose MF/IND (160/150µg) one time a day (via Breezhaler®) versus the high-dose FLU/SAL (500/50µg) two times a day (via Diskus®) in these patients. Not all endpoints that are assessed between high-dose MF/IND and high-dose FLU/SAL, were analysed between medium-dose MF/IND and high-dose FLU/SAL, since some endpoints were not prespecified for the medium-dose MF/IND comparison to high-dose FLU/SAL.

METHODS

Study design

We present pooled analysis of data from the PALLADIUM (NCT02554786)11 and IRIDIUM (NCT02571777)12 studies. Details of the study designs and methodologies have been published elsewhere.11 12

In brief, the PALLADIUM study was a 52-week, multi-centre, double-blind, triple-dummy, parallel-group, active-controlled study that randomised (1:1:1:1:1) patients with inadequately controlled asthma (despite treatment with medium- or high-dose ICS or low-dose ICS/LABA) to receive high-dose MF/IND (320/150µg) or medium-dose MF/IND (160/150µg) one time a day via Breezhaler® or high-dose MF (800µg (400µg two times a day)) or medium-dose MF (400µg) one time a day via Twistrhaler® or high-dose FLU/SAL (500/50µg) two times a day via Diskus®. The IRIDIUM study was a 52-week, double-blind, double-dummy, parallel-group, active-controlled study that randomised (1:1:1:1:1) patients with inadequately controlled asthma (despite treatment with medium- or high-dose ICS/LABA) to receive high-dose MF/IND/GLY (160/150/50µg or medium-dose MF/IND/GLY (80/150/50µg) or high-dose MF/IND (320/150µg) or medium-dose MF/IND (160/150µg) one time a day via Breezhaler® or high-dose FLU/SAL (500/50µg) two times a day via Diskus®.

The current analysis pooled the data from patients from the PALLADIUM and IRIDIUM studies who received high-dose MF/IND (320/150µg) or medium-dose MF/IND (160/150µg) one time a day via Breezhaler® or high-dose FLU/SAL (500/50µg) two times a day via Diskus® for 52 weeks.11 12

Patient and public involvement

Patients or the public were not involved in the design, conduct or interpretation of this pooled analysis.

Patients

This pooled analysis included patients who had received the same dose of high-dose MF/IND, medium-dose MF/IND and high-dose FLU/SAL in the PALLADIUM and IRIDIUM studies.11 12 Patient inclusion and exclusion criteria have been published previously.11 12

In brief, patients included in this analysis from the PALLADIUM study were aged ≥12 and ≤75 years with a documented diagnosis of asthma and prebronchodilator forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV1) of ≥50% and ≤85% of predicted. Symptomatic patients with an Asthma Control Questionnaire-7 (ACQ-7) score ≥1.5 and receiving medium- or high-dose ICS mono- or low-dose ICS/LABA for ≥3 months at a stable dose for ≥1 month prior to screening were included.11 Patients from the IRIDIUM study included in this analysis were aged ≥18 and ≤75 years with a diagnosis of asthma and receiving medium- or high-dose ICS/LABA for ≥3 months and at a stable dose for ≥1 month prior to screening. Patients needed to have a prebronchodilator FEV1 ≤80% of predicted and be symptomatic with an ACQ-7 score ≥1.5 at screening. Patients needed to have a documented history of ≥1 asthma exacerbations that required systemic corticosteroid (SCT) treatment, emergency room (ER) visit, or hospitalisation in the 12 months prior to screening.12

In both studies, key exclusion criteria were: (1) an asthma attack/exacerbation requiring SCT or hospitalisation within 6 weeks of screening; (2) an ER visit within 6 weeks of screening; (3) a history of chronic lung disease other than asthma; (4) inhaled tobacco products within 6 months before screening, or >10 pack-years smoking history; (5) clinically significant comorbidity or laboratory abnormality at the run-in visit.
Outcomes

As both studies used the same doses of MF/IND and FLU/SAL in similar proportions, this allowed pooling for various endpoints between the interventions in this analysis. This pooled analysis compared the following efficacy parameters with high-dose MF/IND one time a day and medium-dose MF/IND one time a day versus high-dose FLU/SAL two times a day: annualised rate of asthma exacerbations (severe, moderate or severe, and all (mild, moderate, severe)) over 52 weeks; improvement in trough FEV₁ at Weeks 26 and 52; mean change in ACQ-7 score from baseline at weeks 4, 12, 26 and 52; and proportion of patients with improvement of ACQ-7 score ≥0.5 (minimal clinically important difference (MCID)) and achieving absolute ACQ-7 score <0.75 from baseline at weeks 4, 12, 26 and 52. Severe exacerbations was defined as an aggravation of asthma symptoms that required SCS for at least three consecutive days, need for an ER visit, hospitalisation, or death due to asthma. The definition of moderate and mild exacerbations is presented in supplementary material (online supplemental table S1).

In addition, the prespecified analysis determined several comparisons between high-dose MF/IND and high-dose FLU/SAL. These include: (1) time-to-first asthma exacerbation over 52 weeks; (2) change in morning and evening peak expiratory flow (PEF) from baseline to 52 weeks at 4-week intervals; (3) asthma symptom endpoints based on eDiary and rescue medication endpoints at 4-week intervals through to 52 weeks; (4) recording of adverse events (AEs, safety analysis) over 52 weeks. Asthma symptom endpoints based on eDiary include mean daytime asthma symptom score, the total daily symptom score, days with no daytime symptoms, nights with no nighttime awakenings, mornings with no symptoms on awakening, and asthma symptom-free days. Rescue medication endpoints include mean daytime/night-time, and daily number of puffs of rescue medication, and rescue medication-free days.

### Table 1 Summary of baseline demographics and clinical characteristics (pooled population from PALLADIUM and IRIDIUM studies; full analysis set)

|                              | High-dose MF/IND (320/150µg) one time a day (n=1054) | Medium-dose MF/IND (160/150µg) one time a day (n=1044) | High-dose FLU/SAL (500/50µg) two times a day (n=1056) |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| PALLADIUM (n=443)            | PALLADIUM (n=437)                                     | PALLADIUM (n=444)                                     |
| IRIDIUM (n=611)              | IRIDIUM (n=607)                                       | IRIDIUM (n=612)                                       |
| Age, years                   | 49.9±13.74                                           | 50.0±13.85                                            | 51.2±13.39                                            |
| Gender, n (%): Female        | 638 (60.5)                                            | 621 (59.5)                                            | 666 (63.1)                                            |
| Duration of asthma, years*   | 15.9±13.87                                           | 16.6±14.61                                            | 16.8±14.56                                            |
| Baseline ACQ-7 score†        | 2.4±0.56                                              | 2.4±0.52                                              | 2.4±0.53                                              |
| Number of asthma exacerbations in the 12 months prior to screening, n (%) | | | |
| 0                            | 305 (28.9)                                            | 308 (29.5)                                            | 300 (28.4)                                            |
| 1                            | 608 (57.7)                                            | 566 (54.2)                                            | 600 (56.8)                                            |
| >1                           | 141 (13.4)                                            | 170 (16.3)                                            | 156 (14.8)                                            |
| Pre-bronchodilator FEV₁ (% predicted) prior to run-in visit | 59.0±12.98                                           | 59.4±12.97                                            | 59.6±12.72                                            |
| FEV₁ reversibility (%) prior to run-in visit‡ | 25.9±17.62                                           | 25.6±17.22                                            | 26.0±18.92                                            |
| Prior asthma treatment; n (%)§ | 634 (59.6)                                            | 623 (59.0)                                            | 629 (59.1)                                            |
| Low-dose ICS/LABA            | 316 (29.7)                                            | 299 (28.3)                                            | 302 (28.4)                                            |
| ICS monotherapy              | 107 (10.1)                                            | 119 (11.3)                                            | 123 (11.6)                                            |

Data are presented as mean±SD unless otherwise specified.

*Duration of asthma was calculated from the start date of asthma recorded on the eCRF until the date of screening.

†The baseline ACQ-7 score was reported at screening, or if missing, at the last visit from run-in.

‡FEV₁ reversibility was calculated as increase of FEV₁ value after inhalation of bronchodilator (400 µg salbutamol/360 µg albuterol, or equivalent dose) relative to FEV₁ value before inhalation of bronchodilator.

§The summary of baseline medication is based on randomised set population included in the PALLADIUM and IRIDIUM studies (high-dose MF/IND, n=1063; medium-dose MF/IND, n=1056; high-dose FLU/SAL, n=1064). All other parameters included are based on full analysis set from both the studies.

ACQ, Asthma Control Questionnaire; FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in 1 s; FLU/SAL, fluticasone propionate/salmeterol xinafoate; ICS, inhaled corticosteroid; LABA, long-acting β₂-agonist; MF/IND, mometasone furoate/indacaterol acetate; n, number of patients.
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Statistical analysis
All the efficacy analyses were conducted in patients included in the full analysis set (FAS). The FAS included all patients who were assigned a randomisation number and received at least one dose of study medication. Annualised rates of asthma exacerbations were analysed using a generalised linear model assuming the negative binomial distribution. The description of models are presented in the supplementary material (online supplemental table S1). Time-to-event variables were analysed using a Cox regression model stratified by study. Trough FEV₁ and ACQ-7 score were analysed using ANCOVA and similar MMRM as used for trough FEV₁, with baseline value replaced with the proper baseline PEF. The proportion of patients who achieved an improvement of at least 0.5 in ACQ-7 (ie, a decrease of ACQ-7 score of at least 0.5 from baseline) at weeks 4, 12, 26 and 52 were analysed using the logistic regression model via the generalised estimating equations. The same logistic regression model was used for analysing the proportion of patients with absolute improvement in trough FEV₁ value replaced with the proper baseline PEF. Asthma symptom endpoints based on eDiary and rescue medication endpoints were analysed using ANCOVA and MMRM on changes from baseline similar to the analysis of PEF with the proper baseline values as a covariate. The safety assessments were performed in patients who received at least a single dose of study medication (safety analysis set). MedDRA V.22.0 has been used for the reporting of AEs. The number and percentage of patients who reported AEs were summarised. All analyses were performed using SAS V.9.4.

RESULTS
Baseline and clinical characteristics
In total, 3154 patients (high-dose MF/IND, n=1054; medium-dose MF/IND, n=1044; high-dose FLU/SAL, n=1056) were included in this pooled analysis. The baseline and clinical characteristics were comparable between the groups (table 1). The mean age of patients was approximately 50 years, with more female (59.5%–63.1%) than male patients included across the three groups.

Asthma exacerbations
High-dose MF/IND one time a day showed a 26% reduction in the rate of severe exacerbations, 22% reduction in the rate of moderate or severe exacerbations, and 19% reduction in rate of all exacerbations compared with high-dose FLU/SAL two times a day (all, p<0.05) (figure 1A). Medium-dose MF/IND one time a day was comparable with high-dose FLU/SAL two times a day in reducing the rate of moderate or severe exacerbations and severe exacerbations (both, p>0.05), while achieving a considerably lower rate of all asthma exacerbation types (16%, p=0.024) versus high-dose FLU/SAL two times a day over the 52 week treatment period (figure 1B). Based on the time to first asthma exacerbation analysis, high-dose MF/IND one time a day showed a 27% reduction (HR 0.73, 95% CI 0.60 to 0.89, p=0.002), 24% reduction (HR 0.76, 95% CI 0.65 to 0.90, p=0.001), and 21% reduction (HR 0.79, 95% CI 0.68 to 0.90, p=0.001) in the risk of severe, moderate or severe, and all exacerbation categories, respectively, compared with high-dose FLU/SAL two times a day.

Lung function
High-dose MF/IND one time a day showed greater improvement in trough FEV₁, compared with high-dose FLU/SAL two times a day at weeks 26 (p=0.001) and 52 (p<0.001) (figure 2A). Medium-dose MF/IND one time a day was comparable with high-dose FLU/SAL two times a day at improving trough FEV₁ at weeks 26 (p=0.034) and 52 (p=0.154) (figure 2B).

High-dose MF/IND one time a day showed improvements in morning and evening PEF versus high-dose FLU/SAL.
FLU/SAL two times a day in each 4-week interval from baseline to 52 weeks (p<0.001) (figure 3). The least square mean treatment difference (Δ) in morning PEF (L/min) from baseline with high-dose MF/IND one time a day was greater compared with high-dose FLU/SAL two times a day at week 52 (Δ, 14.5, 95% CI 9.7 to 19.3, p<0.001) (figure 3A). The change (Δ) in evening PEF from baseline to week 52 was 11 L/min (95% CI 6.2 to 15.7, p<0.001) with high-dose MF/IND one time a day versus high-dose FLU/SAL two times a day (figure 3B).

**Asthma control**

All treatments improved the ACQ-7 score from baseline to 52 weeks (figure 4). High-dose MF/IND one time a day produced a greater reduction in ACQ-7 score from baseline to week 4 (p=0.001), week 12 (p=0.003) and week 26 (p=0.004), and comparably at week 52 (p=0.197) versus high-dose FLU/SAL two times a day (figure 4A). Medium-dose MF/IND one time a day resulted in comparable improvements in ACQ-7 score versus high-dose FLU/SAL two times a day at weeks 4, 12, 26 and 52. More than 70% of patients achieved at least one MCID (0.5 points) improvement in ACQ-7 score in all treatment arms after 26 weeks. At week 4, more patients achieved at least one MCID in ACQ-7 score in high-dose MF/IND one time a day group than high-dose FLU/SAL two times a day group (64.4% vs 57.3%, OR, 1.31, 95% CI, 1.09 to 1.58, p=0.004). A comparable number of patients in the high-dose MF/IND and medium-dose MF/IND arms achieved the MCID in ACQ-7 score compared...
with high-dose FLU/SAL up to week 52 (figure 4B). At weeks 4 and 12, more patients receiving high-dose MF/IND one time a day achieved ACQ-7 score <0.75 from baseline compared with high-dose FLU/SAL two times a day (15.3% vs 9.9%, OR, 1.69, 95% CI, 1.29 to 2.23, p<0.001; and 19.9% vs 15.8%, OR, 1.35, 95% CI, 1.07 to 1.71, p=0.013, respectively) (figure 4C). No noticeable differences were observed for all other comparisons and time points.

Other efficacy endpoints
Both high-dose MF/IND one time a day and high-dose FLU/SAL two times a day provided improvements in asthma symptom endpoints over 52 weeks (online supplemental table S2). Mean total daily symptom score (Δ, −0.12, 95% CI −0.23 to −0.02, p=0.022) and percentage of mornings with no symptoms on awakening (Δ, 5.4%, 95% CI 2.0% to 8.8%, p=0.002) were improved with high-dose MF/IND one time a day compared with high-dose FLU/SAL two times a day over 52 weeks of treatment. Improvement in mean daytime asthma symptom score, percentage of days with no daytime symptoms and percentage of nights with no night-time awakenings were comparable between both the treatment groups. Patients receiving high-dose MF/IND one time a day reported more asthma symptom-free days compared with patients receiving high-dose FLU/SAL two times a day over 52 weeks (Δ, 3.6%, 95% CI, 0.0% to 7.2%, p=0.048). The reduction in number of puffs of rescue medication in daily, daytime, and night-time use were comparable between high-dose MF/IND one time a day and high-dose FLU/SAL two times a day at week 52 (online supplemental table S3). Patients receiving high-dose MF/IND one time a day reported considerably more rescue medication-free days compared with high-dose FLU/SAL two times a day after 52 weeks of treatment period (Δ, 3.2%, 95% CI 0.1% to 6.3%, p=0.044).

Safety
The incidence of AEs was comparable in patients receiving high-dose MF/IND one time a day and high-dose FLU/SAL two times a day over 52 weeks of treatment. The AEs by preferred term, occurring in >2% of patients in any treatment group are presented in table 2. In total, 740 (70.1%) and 777 (73.2%) patients reported at least one AE in high-dose MF/IND one time a day and high-dose FLU/SAL two times a day groups, respectively. Asthma (reported as an asthma exacerbation) was the most frequent AE reported in both groups followed by nasopharyngitis, upper respiratory tract infection, bronchitis and viral upper respiratory tract infection (table 2). All other AEs were reported in <5% patients in
Table 2  Patients with AEs (>2.0%) by preferred term and SAEs occurring in high-dose MF/IND one time a day and high-dose FLU/SAL two times a day groups

| Preferred term                             | High-dose MF/IND (320/150 µg) one time a day n=1056 | High-dose FLU/SAL (500/50 µg) two times a day n=1062 |
|--------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| AEs, n (%)                                 |                                                     |                                                     |
| Patients with at least one AE              | 740 (70.1)                                          | 777 (73.2)                                          |
| Asthma                                     | 369 (34.9)                                          | 446 (42.0)                                          |
| Nasopharyngitis                            | 123 (11.6)                                          | 130 (12.2)                                          |
| Upper respiratory tract infection          | 74 (7.0)                                            | 90 (8.5)                                            |
| Headache                                   | 50 (4.7)                                            | 47 (4.4)                                            |
| Bronchitis                                 | 66 (6.3)                                            | 72 (6.8)                                            |
| Back pain                                  | 27 (2.6)                                            | 22 (2.1)                                            |
| Respiratory tract infection                 | 21 (2.0)                                            | 35 (3.3)                                            |
| Influenza                                  | 35 (3.3)                                            | 40 (3.8)                                            |
| Hypertension                               | 24 (2.3)                                            | 29 (2.7)                                            |
| Pharyngitis                                | 30 (2.8)                                            | 34 (3.2)                                            |
| Rhinitis                                   | 27 (2.6)                                            | 20 (1.9)                                            |
| Viral upper respiratory tract infection     | 45 (4.3)                                            | 68 (6.4)                                            |
| Cough                                      | 19 (1.8)                                            | 23 (2.2)                                            |
| Rhinitis allergic                          | 14 (1.3)                                            | 27 (2.5)                                            |
| Upper respiratory tract infection bacterial | 32 (3.0)                                            | 37 (3.5)                                            |
| Lower respiratory tract infection          | 17 (1.6)                                            | 30 (2.8)                                            |
| Patient with at least one AE              | 27 (2.6)                                            | 32 (3.0)                                            |
| SAEs, n (%)                                |                                                     |                                                     |
| Patients with at least one SAE             | 73 (6.9)                                            | 60 (5.6)                                            |
| Asthma                                     | 15 (1.4)                                            | 11 (1.0)                                            |
| Acute myocardial infarction                | 3 (0.3)                                             | 2 (0.2)                                             |
| Pneumonia                                  | 2 (0.2)                                             | 5 (0.5)                                             |
| Lower respiratory tract infection          | 3 (0.3)                                             | 2 (0.2)                                             |
| Atrial fibrillation                        | 1 (0.1)                                             | 2 (0.2)                                             |
| Peritonitis                                | 1 (0.1)                                             | 3 (0.3)                                             |
| Death, n (%)                               | 4 (0.4)                                             | 0                                                   |

A patient with multiple AEs with the same preferred term is counted only once for that preferred term. Only AEs reported while on study drug or within 7 days of the last dose (within 30 days for SAEs) are included. AE, adverse event; FLU/SAL, fluticasone propionate/salmeterol xinafoate; MF/IND, mometasone furoate/indacaterol acetate; n, number of patients; SAE, serious adverse event.

Both treatment groups over the 52-week period. The AEs by preferred term, occurring in at least 1.0% of patients in any treatment group are presented in supplementary material (online supplemental table S4). Cardiovascular AEs (hypertension (24, 2.3%; 29, 2.7%), and increased blood pressure (3, 0.3%; 3, 0.3%)) were comparable with high-dose MF/IND one time a day and high-dose FLU/SAL two times a day. The incidence of serious adverse events (SAEs) was comparable between the groups (high-dose MF/IND one time a day, 73 patients (6.9%); high-dose FLU/SAL two times a day, 60 patients (5.6%)), with asthma being the most often reported SAE in both groups (table 2). In total, 27 (2.6%) and 32 (3.0%) patients in high-dose MF/IND one time a day and high-dose FLU/SAL two times a day groups, respectively, had at least one AE that led to permanent discontinuation (table 2). Four deaths were reported in the high-dose MF/IND group (one train accident, one lymphoma, one sudden death, and one sudden death in a patient with multiple, severe cardiovascular comorbidities); no death was considered to be study drug related.

DISCUSSION

This analysis of pooled data from the PALLADIUM and IRIDIUM studies investigated asthma exacerbations, lung function and asthma control outcomes with high-dose MF/IND and medium-dose MF/IND one time a day versus high-dose FLU/SAL two times a day in patients with inadequately controlled asthma on low-to-high dose ICS/LABA or ICS monotherapy (GINA steps 3 and 4 patients). High-dose MF/IND one time a day reduced asthma exacerbations and improved lung function to a greater extent than high-dose FLU/SAL two times a day. The safety outcomes with high-dose MF/IND one time a day were comparable with high-dose FLU/SAL two times a day. Medium-dose MF/IND one time a day produced comparable improvements in lung function and asthma control, and numerically greater reductions in rate of all exacerbations, and comparable reductions in moderate or severe and severe exacerbations versus high-dose FLU/SAL two times a day, in patients with inadequately controlled asthma at a reduced steroid burden.

There is evidence that ICS/LABA one time a day regimen might be associated with better adherence and persistence compared with a two times a day regimen with similar or improved efficacy. However, the majority of ICS/LABA combinations, except FF/VI, are administered two times a day. In this analysis, both doses of MF/IND were administered one time a day, in the evening, and FLU/SAL given two times a day, in the morning and evening. We note that our study design, requiring that patients inhale from both active and placebo devices in both two times a day and one time a day schedules, would have prevented any adherence advantage contributing to the greater efficacy of the one time a day regimen. To investigate whether there is an added advantage in...
clinical practice would require a quite different ‘real world’ study.

In this analysis, the reduction in annualised rate of severe, moderate or severe and all asthma exacerbations was greater, and time-to-first asthma exacerbation was longer with high-dose MF/IND than high-dose FLU/SAL over the 52-week treatment period. Medium-dose MF/IND achieved comparable reductions in severe and moderate or severe exacerbations, and numerically greater reductions in all exacerbations compared with high-dose FLU/SAL. In a post-hoc analysis of the Salford Lung Study, FF/VI (100–200/25 µg) achieved a 20% reduction in the annual rate of severe exacerbations compared with FLU/SAL in adults with symptomatic asthma.19 However, in the published studies evaluating BUD/FOR, FF/VI, BDP/FOR, no significant difference in terms of rate of asthma exacerbations and time-to-first exacerbations were observed between ICS/LABA FDCs versus FLU/SAL in patients with inadequately controlled asthma.20–22

High-dose MF/IND achieved greater improvements in trough FEV$_1$, when compared with high-dose FLU/SAL at weeks 26 (43 mL, p<0.001) and 52 (51 mL, p<0.001). Medium-dose MF/IND showed comparable improvements in trough FEV$_1$ versus high-dose FLU/SAL at weeks 26 and 52. In a double-blind randomised controlled trial (RCT), similar results for trough FEV$_1$ (19mL) reported with FF/VI (100/25 µg one time a day) compared with medium-dose FLU/SAL (250/50µg two times a day) at week 24 in patients with persistent asthma already controlled on ICS/LABA.23 In this pooled analysis, the FEV$_1$ and PEF values (morning and evening) were improved with high-dose MF/IND compared with high-dose FLU/SAL.

ACQ-7 scores were improved from baseline in all treatment groups. In this analysis, 75% of patients on high-dose MF/IND, 73% on medium-dose MF/IND, and 71% on high-dose FLU/SAL achieved at least one MCID in ACQ-7 score at week 26. In this analysis, the proportion of patients who achieved MCID for ACQ-7 score in FLU/SAL group increased in each time interval in parallel with patients who received MF/IND. This result is also in alignment with the published literature; for example, Bernstein et al, reported similar achievement of MCID in ACQ-7 score in patients who continued FLU/SAL (250/50µg two times a day) and MF/FOR (200/10µg two times a day) over a treatment period up to 12 weeks.24 All asthma symptom endpoints (eDiary) and rescue medication use were improved with all treatments.

The safety results are consistent with the published studies that compared the available FDCs of ICS/LABA with FLU/SAL.19–21 In this analysis, the reported frequency of SAEs was low and the incidence was comparable between treatment groups. Four deaths were reported, and all were not drug related as per the investigator.

There are several limitations to this analysis. This is a prespecified analysis for outcomes between high-dose MF/IND one time a day and high-dose FLU/SAL two times a day (same ICS level), and post-hoc analysis for medium-dose MF/IND one time a day versus high-dose FLU/SAL two times a day (lower ICS level). Not all endpoints that were evaluated between high-dose MF/IND one time a day versus high-dose FLU/SAL two times a day were evaluated between medium-dose MF/IND one time a day versus high-dose FLU/SAL two times a day. In addition, high-dose MF/IND one time a day and medium-dose MF/IND one time a day was not directly compared as this was not included in the prespecified analysis, and the studies were not powered for this analysis.

CONCLUSION
To our knowledge, this is the first pooled analysis from RCTs to show the consistent efficacy benefits of any ICS/LABA versus high-dose FLU/SAL in terms of reductions in asthma exacerbation and improvement in lung function. This prespecified pooled analysis of the Phase III PALLADIUM and IRIDIUM studies indicates that at a similar dose of ICS, one time a day, high-dose MF/IND (320/150 µg) reduced asthma exacerbations and improved lung function to a greater extent than two times a day, high-dose FLU/SAL (500/50 µg) in patients with inadequately controlled asthma. At a lower dose of ICS, one time a day, medium-dose MF/IND (160/150 µg) produced a comparable reduction in rates of asthma exacerbation and improvement in lung function similar to that seen with two times a day, high-dose FLU/SAL (500/50 µg). Both one time a day, high-dose MF/IND and two times a day, high-dose FLU/SAL were well tolerated with acceptable safety profiles. These result provide evidence supporting MF/IND as a potential treatment option in patients with asthma.

Ethics and dissemination
All the data generated in this pooled analysis were based on previously published studies (PALLADIUM and IRIDIUM), which were approved by the Independent Ethics Committee or Institutional Review Boards and were conducted in accordance with the International Conference on Harmonisation Guidelines for Good Clinical Practice and the Declaration of Helsinki. All patients provided written informed consent for inclusion in the studies.
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