Probing molecular spin clusters by local measurements
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We address the characterization of molecular nanomagnets at the quantum level and analyze the performance of local measurements in estimating the physical parameters in their spin Hamiltonians. To this aim, we compute key quantities in quantum estimation theory, such as the classical and the quantum Fisher information, in the prototypical case of an heterometallic antiferromagnetic ring. We show that local measurements, performed only on a portion of the molecule, allow a precise estimate of the parameters related to both magnetic defects and avoided level crossings.
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Introduction— Molecular nanomagnets are low-dimensional spin systems, displaying a variety of nonclassical features\textsuperscript{1,2}. The magnetic properties of these systems can be interpreted in terms of their spin Hamiltonians, which typically depend on a number of unknown coupling constants\textsuperscript{6,7}. The number of independent parameters can be reduced on the basis of symmetry arguments, and their values can in principle be computed from first-principles\textsuperscript{8,9}. However, these approaches are computationally demanding and are affected by their own uncertainties. Therefore, the parameters entering the spin Hamiltonians are generally obtained by fitting experimental curves\textsuperscript{10,11}. In particular, when experiments are performed at temperatures lower than the energy gap between ground and first-excited states\textsuperscript{12}, the estimation of the physical parameters is made possible by the dependence on such quantities of the system ground state. In fact, any variation in some parameter of interest $\lambda$ modifies the ground state, and thus the statistics related to the accessible physical observables. Any bound to the precision in the estimation procedure should thus be connected to the distance between ground states corresponding to infinitesimally close values of $\lambda$\textsuperscript{13,14}. Such intuition can be made more rigorous and quantitative upon employing tools from quantum estimation theory\textsuperscript{16–20}. This allows one to design optimal estimation procedures and to compute the fundamental limits to precision, as dictated by quantum mechanics. Indeed, the infinitesimal (Bures) distance between ground states corresponding to neighboring values of $\lambda$ is proportional to the maximum precision in the estimation of such parameter, achievable by any possible measurement. The connection between the metric structure of the Hilbert space and quantum estimation theory has in fact been exploited to characterize several systems of interest in quantum technology and to address quantum critical systems as a resource for quantum estimation\textsuperscript{21,22}.

In this Letter, we make use of two key quantities in quantum estimation theory, in order to assess the precision in the estimation of physical parameters entering the spin Hamiltonian of molecular nanomagnets. These quantities are the classical and the quantum Fisher information (FI and QFI, respectively). The FI provides, through the Cramer-Rao inequality\textsuperscript{25}, a lower bound for the uncertainty in the parameter estimation, based on the statistics of a given observable. The QFI gives an upper bound to the FI of any measurement, and thus the best possible precision in the estimation allowed by quantum mechanics, for a given parametric dependence of the system (ground) state. As a matter of fact, quantum estimation theory also provides tools to identify the optimal observable, i.e. the observable whose FI equals the QFI, thus paving the way for possible practical implementations.

Here we address the characterization of molecular nanomagnets at the quantum level and analyze the performances of local measurements, realized by addressing a portion of the entire compound, as opposed to global ones, requiring access to the molecule as a whole. Our results clearly indicate that fluctuations induced by the total-spin and magnetization tunneling at a level anticrossing, or by the introduction of a magnetic defect, can be monitored locally, with nearly the ultimate precision allowed by quantum mechanics.

Quantum estimation theory—We consider a spin Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$, which depends on an unknown parameter $\lambda$. The value of $\lambda$ has to be inferred by performing quantum-limited measurements on the system ground state $|\psi_\lambda\rangle$, and by suitably processing the sample of experimental data. The inferred value of the unknown parameter can thus be expressed as a function of such data, known as the estimator, and typically denoted with $\hat{\lambda}$. This is said to be unbiased if its expectation value coincides with the actual value of the parameter $\lambda$. The fundamental limit to the precision that can be achieved in the estimate of $\lambda$ is given by the quantum Cramer-Rao bound: $1/\text{Var}(\hat{\lambda}) \leq H(\lambda)$, where $H(\lambda)$ is the quantum Fisher information and $\text{Var}(\hat{\lambda})$ is the variance of any unbiased estimator, corresponding to the average square distance between $\lambda$ and $\hat{\lambda}$. For a pure state, the QFI is given by $H(\lambda) = 4 \left( |\langle \partial_\lambda \psi_\lambda | \partial_\lambda \psi_\lambda \rangle| + |\langle \partial_\lambda \psi_\lambda | \psi_\lambda \rangle|^2 \right)^2$. If the ground state is expanded in a parameter-independent basis, the above derivative reads: $|\partial_\lambda \psi_\lambda \rangle = \sum_k (\partial_\lambda c_k)|k\rangle$, with $c_k(\lambda) = \langle k | \psi_\lambda \rangle$.

If only a specific observable $X$ is available, then the precision of the parameter estimation is bounded by the classical Cramer-Rao inequality: $1/\text{Var}(\hat{\lambda}) \leq F(\lambda, X)$. Here $F(\lambda, X) = \sum_k p_\lambda(x)(\partial_x \ln p_\lambda(x))^2$ is the Fisher information, and $p_\lambda(x) = |\langle x | \psi_\lambda \rangle|^2$ is the probability of obtaining...
FIG. 1: (Color online) Quantum estimation of the Cr$_2$Ni molecule ground state at the anticrossing. We show results for measurements performed on different subsystems $A$ of the ring ($\alpha/\Delta = 1$), formed by the first $n_A$ consecutive spins, with $n_A = 2$ (black curves), 3 (red), 4 (green), 5 (blue), 6 (purple), and 7 (orange) respectively. The four panels show: (a) the QFI, (b) the FI corresponding to the observable $X_A = \rho_{11}^A - \rho_{22}^A$ (dotted lines), and QFI obtained for a mixture of the diabatic states (solid lines); the (c) QFI and (d) FI of the subsystems, normalized to the QFI of the whole ground state. The dotted lines in (b) represent the QFI corresponding to the mixture, rather than the linear superposition, of the states $|1\rangle$ and $|2\rangle$.

the outcome $x$ from the measurement of $X$, at a given $\lambda$. The quantum Cramer-Rao theorem states that the FI is bounded from above by the QFI: $F(\lambda, X) \leq H(\lambda)$. Any observable $X$ which saturates the above inequality is said to be optimal, in that it maximizes the precision in the estimate of $\lambda$.

The optimal measurement generally involves accessing the system ground state as a whole. A question arises on whether, and to which extent, its performances may be emulated by measurements that are local in nature, i.e., performed only on a portion of the entire system. Such question can be answered by evaluating the QFI for the reduced density operator describing a specific subsystem $A$, as obtained by performing a partial trace on the complementary subsystem $B$, $\rho_A^X = Tr_B [\rho_A^X |\psi_X\rangle \langle \psi_X|]$. The local QFI is given by the expression $H_A(\lambda) = 2 \sum_{i,j} |\langle \phi_i | \delta_X | \phi_j \rangle|^2/(p_i + p_j)$. Here, $p_i$ and $|\phi_i\rangle$ are the eigenvalues and eigenstates of $\rho_A^X$, respectively, and the sum is extended over all the indices such that $p_i + p_j > 0$.

The above quantities allow a thorough characterization of the parameter estimation performed through measurements on the system ground state. In fact, the ratio between FI and QFI quantifies the relative suitability of the observable $X$ to estimate the parameter $\lambda$. The ratio $H_A/H$, instead, assesses to which extent a precise estimate of $\lambda$ can be obtained by means of local measurements within a given subsystem $A$.

**Level anticrossings**—In analyzing the ground-state dependence on a physical parameter, a special attention should be devoted to the avoided level crossings. Here, small variations of a physical parameter can induce large changes in the system ground state, which are reflected in pronounced peaks of the QFI and, possibly, of the FI of some accessible observable. Level anticrossings thus represent a resource for the characterization of spin Hamiltonians. For the sake of the following discussion, we write the spin Hamiltonian in the generic form $H = H_0 + \lambda H_1 + H_2$, where the two dominant terms $H_0$ and $H_1$ commute with each other, but not with the small term $H_2$. By varying the parameter $\lambda$ in the vicinity of some critical value $\lambda_{lc}$, one can induce a level crossing between two joint eigenstates of $H_0$ and $H_1$, hereafter denoted by $|1\rangle$ and $|2\rangle$. If these two states are energetically far from all the others for $\lambda \approx \lambda_{lc}$, the system Hamiltonian can be effectively reduced to $h = [\alpha(\lambda - \lambda_{lc})\sigma_3 + \Delta \sigma_1]/2$, where $\alpha$ is the rate with which the diagonal gap varies as a function of $\lambda$, $\sigma_j$, $j = 1, 3$ are Pauli matrices in the basis $\{|1\rangle, |2\rangle\}$, and $\Delta = 2(1/|H_{12}|)2$ is assumed to be real and positive. The ground state of such effective two-level system can be written as $|\psi_{\lambda}\rangle = c_1(y)|1\rangle + c_2(y)|2\rangle$, where $y = \alpha(\lambda - \lambda_{lc})/\Delta$ represents the (normalized) distance of the parameter $\lambda$ from the critical value $\lambda_{lc}$. It follows that the FI corresponding to a generic observable $X$ can be written in the product form $F = (\alpha/\Delta)^2 f_X(y)$, where the function $f_X$ is given by the following expression:

$$f_X = \frac{y + \sqrt{1 + y^2}}{2(1 + y^2)^{5/2}} \sum_x \left\{ \frac{(1|x|^2 - (2|x|^2)^2 + 2y(1|x|^2)(2|x|^2)^2}{|y + \sqrt{1 + y^2}|} \right\}^2.$$  

(1)

As detailed in the Supplemental Material [26], also the quantum Fisher information can be written in a factorized form:

$$H = \frac{(\alpha/\Delta)^2}{\left\{ 1 + |\alpha(\lambda - \lambda_{lc})/\Delta|^2 \right\}^2} \equiv \frac{(\alpha/\Delta)^2}{f_H(y)}.$$  

(2)

The above functions $f_X$ and $f_H$ thus specify the dependence of the highest precision achievable in the parameter estimation on the distance $y$ from the crossing point. The presence of the prefactor $(\alpha/\Delta)^2$ quantifies the increase of the precision that can be achieved, for each given distance $y$, by making the anticrossing narrower. Besides, from Eq. (1) it follows that an observable $X$ is optimal if there are two measurement outcomes $x$ and $x'$ allowing for a perfect discrimination between any two orthogonal states, i.e. if $|x\rangle$ and $|x'\rangle$ are orthogonal linear superpositions of the $|1\rangle$ and $|2\rangle$ states. In this case, in fact, $f_X(y) = f_H(y)$, and the FI of $X$ equals the QFI. It can be easily verified that (in the absence of degeneracy at the distance $y$ of interest) both $H_0$ and $H_1$ fulfill the above condition, and thus represent optimal observables.

**Numerical results**—The problem of estimating the physical parameters that enter the spin Hamiltonian is ubiquitous in molecular magnetism. In the following, we consider in some detail the representative example of the Cr$_2$Ni molecule. Its magnetic core is formed by seven Cr$^{3+}$ ions, each carrying an $s_{cr} = 3/2$ spin, and one Ni$^{2+}$ ion, with $s_{ni} = 1$ [27]. As a spin ring with dominant antiferromagnetic exchange interaction, Cr$_2$Ni represents a prototypical model of a highly-correlated, low-dimensional quantum system [28]. Besides,
the highest values of \( H \) and \( J \) correspond to the mixture \( \sigma^A_\lambda = c_1^2(y)\rho_1^A + c_2^2(y)\rho_2^A \) presents lower values for all \( \lambda \), and a maximum close to \( \lambda = \lambda_{lc} \) [solid lines in Fig. 3(b)]. The QFI of \( \sigma^A_\lambda \) also corresponds to the maximum of the FI of \( |\psi_\lambda\rangle \), restricted to observables \( X \) that are diagonal in the basis of the diabatic states \( \{|1\},|2\} \). Therefore, the comparison between the QFI of \( \rho_1^A \) and \( \sigma^A_\lambda \) shows that the performance of a local observable \( X \) at an avoided level crossing can in general benefit from the fact that \( X \) is not diagonal in the basis of the diabatic states. Third, within these observables, the operator \( X A = \rho_1^A - \rho_2^A \), with \( \rho_1^A = \rho_0(y)\), is approximately optimal (dotted lines). Finally, we note that not only the maximum of the QFI of local observables can be localized away from the crossing point, but \( \lambda_{lc} \) also corresponds to an absolute minimum for the relative suitability of the local measurements. This clearly emerges from the plots of \( H_A(\lambda) \) and \( F(\lambda, X A) \), normalized to the QFI of the ground state [Fig. 3(c,d)].

In order to gain some quantitative insight into the problem, we consider the case where the actual value of the unknown parameter \( \lambda = g \) is 2, and this coincides with the critical value, given the applied magnetic field \( B \). In this case, the mean squared error in the estimate of the \( g \)-factor resulting from a single quantum measurement is given by

\[
\text{Var}^{1/2}(\lambda) = (\Delta/\mu_B B)(H_A(y = 0))^{-1/2},
\]

which for two spins (black curves), is approximately 0.05 (we have taken \( B = 10\text{T} \), which approximately corresponds to the field that induces the level crossing between the \( S = M = 1/2 \) and the \( S = M = 3/2 \) eigenstates, and \( \Delta = 0.1\text{K} \), which is a typical value of the gap in the Cr-based rings). The mean squared error can in principle be reduced by a factor \( \sqrt{N} \) by passing from a single measurement to a set of \( N \) measurements, or by working at a narrower anticrossing.

**Exchange interaction**—We next consider a ground state that changes gradually with \( \lambda \), away from a level crossing (here, \( H_0 \) and \( H_1 \) don’t commute, and \( H_2 \) can be set to zero). In particular, we are interested in the case where the unknown parameter is related to a magnetic defect, such as the \( s_8 = s_{\text{Ni}} \) spin in the Cr\(_7\)Ni molecule. This spin represents a defect because its length differs from that of all the other spins in the ring. Besides, the Cr-Ni exchange coupling can differ from the Cr-Cr ones, and the Ni \( g \)-factor can differ from that of the Cr ions. We start by considering the effect of an inhomogeneous exchange interaction, and correspondingly group the relevant part of the spin Hamiltonian into the two terms:

\[
H_0 = J \sum_{k=1}^{6} s_k \cdot s_{k+1}, \quad H_1 = \lambda J s_8 \cdot (s_7 + s_1),
\]

where the unknown parameter \( \lambda \) coincides with the ratio between the Cr-Ni and Cr-Cr exchange couplings.

The dependence of the system ground state on \( \lambda \) is characterized in terms of the QFI \( H'(\lambda) \) (Fig. 2), both for negative and positive values of the parameter [panels (a) and (b), respectively]. For \( \lambda < 0 \), the defect is ferromagnetically coupled to its neighbors, and the system ground state
has $S = 5/2$. For $\lambda > 0$, instead, such coupling is antiferromagnetic, and the total spin is $S = 1/2$. In both cases, $H(\lambda)$ is maximal for $\lambda \to 0$, and decreases monotonically with $|\lambda|$ (solid black curves). The distinguishability between two (infinitesimally) close values of $\lambda$ is thus relatively large in the weak-coupling limit, while the ground state is weakly dependent on the precise value of $\lambda$ in the (more realistic) range of values $\lambda \simeq 1$. In the considered range of parameters, the lowest mean squared error that can be achieved in the estimate of the Cr-Ni exchange coupling by means of a single quantum measurement, $\text{Var}^{1/2}(\lambda) = J[H(\lambda)]^{-1/2}$, is of the order of the Cr-Cr exchange coupling $J$. Besides the absolute value of the QFI, we are interested here in the comparison between the QFI corresponding to the ground state and the same quantity derived for the reduced density operators. We note that, already for subspaces $A$ formed by three consecutive spins (solid red), $H_A(\lambda)$ approaches $H(\lambda)$. The QFI corresponding to two-spin subsystem (solid blue), instead, approaches $H(\lambda)$ only for $\lambda < 0$. The ratios between the local QFI and that of the whole ground state are reported in the figure insets. Therefore, local observables are in principle well suited for precisely estimating the exchange coupling between the magnetic defect and the neighboring spins. Interestingly, local observables consisting of exchange operators, $X(n_A) = \sum_{i=k}^{k+n_A -1} s_i \cdot s_{i+1}$, are nearly optimal. This is shown by the FI corresponding to $n_A = 2$ and $n_A = 3$ (dotted curves), which are very close to the QFI of the corresponding subsystems. The Fisher information of the local magnetization (not shown) gives instead significantly lower values.

**Magnetic field**—The magnetic defect affecting the ground state can also consist in the presence of a spin with a different $g$-factor (or, equivalently, in a local magnetic field). In this case, the relevant terms of the spin Hamiltonian are grouped as follows:

$$H_0 = J \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbf{s}_k \cdot \mathbf{s}_{k+1}, \quad H_1 = \lambda \mathbf{s}_{N,z}. \quad (4)$$

The unknown parameter $\lambda$ thus corresponds to the difference in the Zeeman splitting of the Ni ion with respect to that of the Cr ions, normalized to the exchange coupling, $\lambda = \mu_B(g_{\text{Ni}} - g_{\text{Cr}})B/J$. The quantum Fisher information of the system ground state (solid black line in Fig. 3) presents a pronounced maximum for $\lambda \simeq 0.5$. As in the previous case, the QFI information corresponding to two- and three-spin subsystems (solid blue and red, respectively) approaches $H(\lambda)$, especially if the subsystems $A$ includes the defect. The FI corresponding to the local magnetization, $X(n_A) = \sum_{i=k}^{k+n_A} a_i s_{i,z}$, falls significantly below the QFI for the corresponding subsystem if the observable is not spin selective ($a_i = a_j$ for all $i \neq j$, dotted lines). However, if the magnetization is spin selective ($a_i \neq a_j$ for $i \neq j$, dashed lines), the values of the FI are very close to the maximal ones. In the latter case, the magnetization thus represents a nearly optimal observable for the parameter estimation.

**Conclusions**—We have analyzed the performances of local measurements in estimating different physical parameters that enter the spin Hamiltonian of a molecular nanomagnet. Local measurements are shown to allow a precise estimation of parameters related to both magnetic defects and avoided level crossings. Parameters such as the exchange coupling or the $g$-factor of a magnetic defect can be estimated locally—with nearly the ultimate precision allowed by quantum mechanics—by measuring related observables, namely the exchange operators and the local magnetization, respectively. Local measurements also approach the ultimate precision in the parameter estimation at avoided level crossings, where the commutation relations between the observable and the Hamiltonian are shown to play a relevant role. Our results clearly show the effectiveness of local measurements in probing Hamiltonian parameters, thus paving the way for the development of optimal characterization schemes for molecular spin clusters.
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where $y = \alpha(\lambda - \lambda_c)/\Delta$ is the normalized distance of the parameter $\lambda$ from the critical value. The functions $P$ and $Q$ are given by the following expressions:

$$P(y) = y + \sqrt{1 + y^2}, \quad Q^{-1}(y) = \sqrt{2P(y)(1 + y^2)^{1/4}}. \quad (6)$$

From the above equations, it follows that the derivatives of the coefficients, entering the expressions of both the classical and the quantum Fisher information, are given by:

$$\partial_y c_1(y) = \frac{Q(y)}{2(1 + y^2)}, \quad \partial_y c_2(y) = \frac{P(y)Q(y)}{2(1 + y^2)}. \quad (7)$$

As a result, the expression of $H(\lambda)$ takes the form:

$$H = 4(\alpha/\Delta)^2 \left[ (\partial_y c_1)^2 + (\partial_y c_2)^2 \right] = \frac{(\alpha/\Delta)^2}{(1 + y^2)^2}. \quad (8)$$

where we made use of the equation $\partial_\lambda = (\alpha/\Delta)\partial_y$. As to the classical Fisher information corresponding to the observable $X$, this can be written as a function of the amplitudes $\langle 1|x \rangle$ and $\langle 2|x \rangle$ (which are assumed to be real, for simplicity) and of their derivatives with respect to $\lambda$ (or $y$). These enter the expression of the probabilities

$$p_\lambda(x) = \langle \psi_\lambda|x \rangle^2 = \sum_{k,l=1}^2 c_k(y)c_l(y)\langle k|x \rangle\langle x|l \rangle. \quad (9)$$

The derivative of such probability with respect to $y$ can be shown to be:

$$\partial_y p_\lambda(x) = \frac{P(y)|Q(y)|^2}{1 + y^2}(\langle 1|x \rangle^2 - \langle 2|x \rangle^2 + 2y\langle 1|x \rangle\langle 2|x \rangle). \quad (10)$$

After replacing the two above expressions into that of the Fisher information,

$$F(\lambda, X) = (\alpha/\Delta)^2 \sum_x \frac{[\partial_y p_\lambda(x)]^2}{p_\lambda(x)}, \quad (11)$$

one can derive the Eq. (1) reported in the manuscript.

In order to highlight the role of the phase coherence between the two basis states, the QFI of $|\psi_\lambda\rangle$ can be compared with that obtained for the statistical mixture of $|1\rangle$ and $|2\rangle$, with populations corresponding to $|c_k(y)|^2$. In this case, the probabilities $p_\lambda(x)$ take the form

$$p^{inc}_\lambda(x) = \sum_{k=1}^2 |c_k(y)\langle k|x \rangle|^2. \quad (12)$$

The corresponding derivative with respect to $y$ reads

$$\partial_y p^{inc}_\lambda(x) = \frac{P(y)|Q(y)|^2}{1 + y^2}(\langle 1|x \rangle^2 - \langle 2|x \rangle^2). \quad (13)$$

The adimensional function that enters the expression of the quantum Fisher information thus becomes:

$$f^{inc}_X = \frac{y + \sqrt{1 + y^2}}{2(1 + y^2)^{5/2}} \sum_x \left[ (y + \sqrt{1 + y^2})\langle 1|x \rangle^2 + \langle 2|x \rangle^2 \right]. \quad (14)$$
This also corresponds to the function \( f_X \) for an observable \( X = \sum_x x|x\rangle\langle x| \), which is diagonal in the basis of the diabatic states, and thus such that \( \langle 1|x\rangle\langle x|2 \rangle = 0 \) for any \( x \). This follows simply from the fact that, for such an observable, \( p_\lambda(x) = p_{\text{inc}}^{\text{me}}(x) \).

We consider the case where there are two outcomes of the measurement of \( X \), \( x \) and \( x' \), with corresponding eigenstates \( |x\rangle \) and \( |x'\rangle \) that are mutually orthogonal. We write them as linear combinations of the basis states, with real coefficients (what follows can be easily generalized to the case of complex coefficients): \( |x\rangle = a|1\rangle + b|2\rangle \) and \( |x'\rangle = b|1\rangle - a|2\rangle \). Plugging these expressions into the Eq. (1) of the manuscript, one obtains, after some algebra, the equation \( f_X = f_H = 1/(1+y^2)^2 \), which implies that the measurement is optimal.

In the case of the Cr\(_7\)Ni ring, the observable \( X_A \equiv \rho_{11}^A - \rho_{22}^A \) fulfills the above condition. In fact, \( |1\rangle \) and \( |2\rangle \) are eigenstates of \( S_z \), corresponding to different values, \( M_1 = 1/2 \) and \( M_2 = 3/2 \), of the total spin projection. The reduced density operators \( \rho_{kk}^A \) (and thus \( X_A \)) can be written as mixtures of density operators, each with a defined value of the total spin projection. This follows from the fact that each finite term of \( \rho_{kk}^A \) comes from contributions like \( \langle i_B|k\rangle\langle k|i_B \rangle \) a basis state of the subsystem \( B \), which can be chosen so as to have a defined value of the spin projection \( M_B \). The ket and the bra in the term of \( \rho_{kk}^A \) thus have to be characterized by the same value of \( M_A = M_k - M_B \). As a result, \( \rho_{kk}^A \otimes I_B \) cannot have matrix elements between states with different values of the total spin projection, such as \( |1\rangle \) and \( |2\rangle \).

Numerical calculations

The eigenstates of Cr\(_7\)Ni are obtained by numerically diagonalizing the Hamiltonian, with the inclusion of the exchange and of the Zeeman terms. The Hamiltonian is computed and diagonalized within the irreducible tensor operator formalism (see, e.g., E. Livioi (2002) in the references). In the case of the avoided level crossing, the Hamiltonian commutes with \( S^2 \) and \( S_z \), and can be diagonalized independently within each \( (S, M) \) subspace, with \( S = M = 1/2 \) (dimension 574) and \( S = M = 3/2 \) (dimension 1000). The eigenstates are expanded in a local basis \( |m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_8 \rangle \) (with \( m_i \) the projection of the \( i \)-th spin along \( z \)), and the terms \( \rho_{kk}^A \) are computed by performing a partial trace over the spins that don’t belong to the subsystem of interest \( A \). The reduced density operators \( \rho_\lambda \) is then computed by combining the above operators, through the expression \( \rho_\lambda^A = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 c_i(\lambda)c_j(\lambda)\rho_{ij}^A \). This matrix is diagonalized numerically, for all the values \( \lambda_k = k \delta \lambda \) of the parameter \( \lambda \) in the grid, so as to obtain the eigenvalues \( p_i \) and the eigenvectors \( |\phi_i \rangle \) that enter the expression of \( H_A \), for each point of the grid. The derivative of the reduced density operator, \( \partial_\lambda \rho_\lambda^A \), is computed numerically as \( (\rho_{kk+1}^A - \rho_{kk-1}^A)/(2\delta \lambda) \).

The introduction of the magnetic defect reduces the symmetry of the Hamiltonian. In particular, in the case of the exchange coupling the ground state of the spin Hamiltonian belongs either to the \( S = 5/2 \) or to the \( S = 1/2 \) subspaces, depending on whether the Cr-Ni coupling is ferromagnetic or antiferromagnetic, respectively. In the case of the magnetic field, \( H_f \) doesn’t commute with \( S^2 \). This implies that the ground state has to be calculated in a larger subspace, including all the basis states with total spin from \( 1/2 \) to \( S_{\text{max}} > 1/2 \). The value of \( S_{\text{max}} \) is determined upon convergence of the ground state energy and depends on the value of \( \lambda \).