SYMMETRIES IN PROJECTIVE MULTiresOLUTION ANALYSES
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ABSTRACT. We give an equivariant version of Packer and Rieffel's theorem on sufficient conditions for the existence of orthonormal wavelets in projective multiresolution analyses. The scaling functions that generate a projective multiresolution analysis are supposed to be invariant with respect to some finite group action. We give sufficient conditions for the existence of wavelets with similar invariance.

1. Introduction

Let $G$ be a full rank lattice in $\mathbb{R}^n$, i.e. a subgroup such that $G \simeq \mathbb{Z}^n$, and let $X = \mathbb{R}^n / G$. We let $p : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow X$ denote the quotient map. Following [PR04], we let $\Xi$ denote the set of $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\sum_{g \in G} |f|^2 (x - g)$ defines a continuous function on $X$. We define $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle' : \Xi \times \Xi \rightarrow C(X)$ by

$$\langle \zeta, \eta \rangle' \circ p(x) = \sum_{g \in G} \zeta(x - g) \overline{\eta}(x - g).$$

As shown in [PR04], $\Xi$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle'$ form a $C(X)$-Hilbert module.

Let $A \in GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ such that $AG \subset G$ and if $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of $A$ then $|\lambda| > 1$. We let $q = |\det A|$ and define $U \in B(\Xi)$ such that $U\zeta = q^{-1/2} \zeta \circ A^{-1}$. A projective multiresolution analysis is a family of subspaces $\{V_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of $\Xi$ such that

1. $V_j \subset V_{j+1}$,
2. $UV_j = V_{j+1}$,
3. $V_0$ is a projective submodule in $\Xi$,
4. $\cup_j V_j$ is dense in $\Xi$,
5. $\cap_j V_j = \{0\}$.

These conditions are redundant in the sense that we get a projective multiresolution analysis if we have a projective submodule $V \subset \Xi$, such that $V \subset UV$ and $\cup_j UV_j$ is dense in $\Xi$. Projective multiresolution analyses are closely related to multiresolution analyses. If the core space is free, this relation is particularly simple.

Proposition 1.1. Suppose $\{V_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ form a projective multiresolution analysis. Moreover, let $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_d$ be an orthonormal $C(X)$-basis for $V_0$. Let $G^\perp$ denote the dual lattice of $G$, i.e. $G^\perp = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n | \langle x, y \rangle \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for every } x \in G\}$. The elements of the set $\{\tilde{\phi}_j(\cdot - g) | 1 \leq j \leq d, g \in G^\perp\}$ form an orthonormal family in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let $W_0$ denote the minimal closed space that contains this family, define $\tilde{U} \in B(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ as $\tilde{U}f = \sqrt{q} f \circ A^T$ and let $W_j = \tilde{U}^j W_0$.

The triple $(\tilde{U}, \{W_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}, \{\tilde{\phi}_i\})$, defines a multiresolution analysis in the sense that

1. $\tilde{U}W_j = W_{j+1}$,
2. $W_j \subset W_{j+1}$,
3. $\cap_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} W_j = \{0\}$.
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Proposition 1.2. $C_x$ First, we note that if
\[
\begin{aligned}
&\text{the map } (\cdot, \cdot) : X \times G^\perp \to \mathbb{T} \text{ as } (x, g) = e^{2\pi i(y,g)} \text{ for any } y \text{ in the equivalence class of } x.
\end{aligned}
\]
This pairing yields an isomorphism $\hat{\mathbb{R}}^n/G \simeq G^\perp$, see [Fol95, Theorem 4.39].

If $\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_r \in \Xi$ and $g \in G^\perp$ then
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \tilde{\zeta}_j(y-g) \tilde{\zeta}_j(y) d\mu(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \zeta_j(y) \overline{\zeta}_j(y) e^{-2\pi i(y,g)} d\mu(y) = \int_X \langle \zeta_i, \zeta_j \rangle(x) (x,g) d\mu(x)
\]
This implies that $\{\tilde{\zeta}_j(\cdot-g) | 1 \leq j \leq r, g \in G^\perp \} \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, \mu)$ are orthonormal if and only if $\langle \tilde{\zeta}_i, \tilde{\zeta}_j \rangle = \delta_{i,j}$. We see that if $V_0$ is finitely generated and free with an orthonormal $C(X)$-basis $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_d \in V_0$, then the inverse Fourier transform yields an ordinary multiresolution analysis in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, \mu)$, $(\{W_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}, \hat{U})$, such that $W_{j+1} = \hat{U} W_j$ and $\tilde{\phi}_1, \ldots, \tilde{\phi}_d \in W_0$ are scaling functions with mutually orthonormal $G^\perp$-translates.

\[\square\]

An orthonormal MRA wavelet family with respect to $(\hat{U}, \{W_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}})$ is a family $\tilde{\psi}_1, \ldots, \tilde{\psi}_r \in W_1$ such that $\{\tilde{\psi}_j(\cdot-g) | 1 \leq j \leq r, g \in G^\perp \}$ form an orthonormal basis for $W_1 \oplus W_0$. As noted in [PR03], there will always exist an orthonormal MRA wavelet family if the scaling functions of the MRA have orthonormal translates. Suppose in addition that $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_d \in \Xi$. Do there exist MRA wavelets such that $\psi_j \in \Xi$? Packer and Rieffel gave an answer to this question in [PR03]. The starting point of their argument is the following observation about the quotient $C(X)$-module $(UV_0)/V_0$:

**Proposition 1.2.** If $V_0$ is a free $C(X)$-module of rank $d$, then $UV_0$ is a free $C(X)$-module of rank $dq$. We have the following isomorphism of $C(X)$-modules
\[
(UV_0)/V_0 \oplus V_0 \simeq UV_0.
\]
Moreover, $(UV_0)/V_0$ is free if and only if there exists an orthonormal MRA wavelet family $\tilde{\psi}_1, \ldots, \tilde{\psi}_{d(q-1)} \in W_1$ such that $\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_{d(q-1)} \in UV_0$.

**Proof.** $A^T G^\perp$ is a subgroup in $G^\perp$ since $(A^{-1} G)^\perp = A^T G^\perp$. We define a map $(\cdot, \cdot) : G/AG \times G^\perp/A^T G^\perp \to \mathbb{T}$, by $(x,y) = e^{2\pi i(A^{-1} x', y')}$ where $x' \in G$, $[x']AG = x$, $y' \in G^\perp$ and $[y']A^T G^\perp = y$. First, we note that if $x_0 \in AG$ and $y_0 \in A^T G^\perp$, then $e^{2\pi i(A^{-1} x' + A^{-1} x_0, y' + y_0)} = e^{2\pi i(A^{-1} x', y')}$, so the map $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is well defined. Moreover, we see that if $y_1, y_2 \in G^\perp$ such that $y_1 - y_2 \notin A^T G^\perp$, there exists an $x \in G$ such that $e^{2\pi i(A^{-1} x, y_1)} \neq e^{2\pi i(A^{-1} x, y_2)}$. This means that representatives for different cosets of $A^T G^\perp$ in $G^\perp$ give different characters on $G/AG$. This gives us an injective group homomorphism $G^\perp/A^T G^\perp \to \hat{G}/\hat{AG}$. Finally we note that $|G^\perp/A^T G^\perp| = |\det A^T| = |\det A| = |G/AG| = |\hat{G}/\hat{AG}|$ and our group homomorphism must be surjective.

Let $y_1, \ldots, y_q$ be a system of representatives for $A^T G^\perp$ in $G^\perp$ and define $f_j = e^{2\pi i(A^{-1} \cdot, y_j)} \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$, for $j = 1, \ldots, q$.

Let $B$ denote the algebra of bounded and continuous $AG$-periodic functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Now $C(X) \subset B$ is a subalgebra with a conditional expectation $P : B \to C(X)$ defined as $P f(p(x)) =$...
$q^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{q} f(x - A^{-1} x_j)$ where $x_1, \ldots, x_q \in G$ form a system of representatives for the cosets of $AG$ in $G$. A direct computation gives that $P(f_k(q_1)(p(x)) = f_k(q_1)q^{-1} \sum_{j} ([x_j], [y_k - y])$. The orthogonality of characters on compact groups implies that $f_1, \ldots, f_q$ form an orthonormal $C(X)$-basis for $B$ with respect to the $C(X)$-module inner product $f, g \mapsto P(f \overline{g})$.

Whenever $\zeta, \eta \in \Xi$, we have

$$P(\langle U^{-1} \zeta, U^{-1} \eta \rangle \circ pA^{-1})(x) = \sum_j \sum_{g \in G} \langle \zeta \overline{g}, x - j \rangle - Ag \rangle = \langle \zeta, \eta \rangle \circ p(x)$$

This implies that if $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_d \in V_0$ form an orthonormal $C(X)$-basis for $V_0$, then $\{f_k U \phi_l | 1 \leq k \leq q, 1 \leq l \leq d\}$ form an orthonormal $C(X)$-basis for the module $UV_0$.

We let $Q : \zeta \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^{k} \langle \zeta, \phi_j \rangle \phi_j$, the orthogonal projection from $\Xi$, onto $V_0$. If $V_0 \subset U V_0$, then $U V_0 \cong V_0 \oplus (1 - Q) U V_0$ and $U V_0 / V_0 \cong (1 - Q) U V_0$ form a projective and stably free $C(X)$-module.

An orthonormal MRA wavelet family $\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_r$ such that $\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_r \in \Xi$ would form an orthonormal $C(X)$-basis for $UV_0 \oplus V_0 \cong UV_0 / V_0$, so $r = d(q - 1)$. Conversely, suppose $UV_0 / V_0$ is free and let $H : \oplus d(q-1 ) C(X) \to (1 - Q) U V_0$ be a $C(X)$-linear isomorphism. We equip $\oplus d(q-1 ) C(X)$ with the ordinary $C(X)$-valued inner product. Now $H$ is adjointable with adjoint $H^* \zeta = \sum_j \langle \zeta, H e_j \rangle \odot d(q-1 ) C(X) e_j$ where $\{e_j\}$ are the standard orthonormal vectors. Moreover, $H$ is a bounded and surjective operator between Banach spaces, so by the open mapping theorem, we obtain a $\delta > 0$ such that for every $\zeta \in (1 - Q) U V_0$ there exists an $\eta \in \oplus d(q-1 ) C(X)$ such that $H \eta = \zeta$ and $\|\eta\| \leq \delta^{-1} \|\zeta\|$. Following [Lan95, 3.2] we see that

$$\|\zeta\|^2 = \|\langle H \eta, \zeta \rangle \| = \|\langle \eta, H^* \zeta \rangle \oplus d(q-1 ) C(X) \| \leq \|\eta\| \|H^* \zeta\| = \|\eta\| \|\langle \zeta, H H^* \zeta \rangle \|^{1/2}$$

i.e. $\|\zeta\| \leq \delta^{-2} \|H H^* \zeta\|$ for every $\zeta \in (1 - Q) U V_0$. Proposition [Lan95, 3.1] states that this condition implies that $HH^*$ is invertible, so we can apply spectral calculus for selfadjoint operators to obtain a new operator $(H H^*)^{-1/2} H : \oplus d(q-1 ) C(X) \to (1 - Q) U V_0$. Now $\psi_j = (H H^*)^{-1/2} H e_j$ form an orthonormal $C(X)$-basis for $(1 - Q) U V_0$, i.e. we obtain an orthonormal MRA wavelet family $\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_{d(q-1)}$ with $\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_{d(q-1)} \in \Xi$. \qed

We have that the quotient $(UV_0) / V_0$ is a projective $C(X)$-module. The Serre-Swan theorem states that the complex vector bundles over $X$ and the finitely generated projective $C(X)$-modules are equivalent as categories. Moreover, every finitely generated and projective $C(X)$-module can be realized as the sections in a complex vector bundle over $X$. A free module now corresponds to the sections in a trivial bundle.

Packer and Rieffel [PR03] used this and a cancellation result for vector bundles to say that the quotient $(UV_0) / V_0$ is free, and hence allows orthonormal MRA wavelets in $\Xi$ when $n < 2q - 1$.

We follow their ideas, but in addition we will also consider some actions of finite groups on the projective multiresolution analysis. We give an equivariant version of the cancellation result. This is used to give sufficient conditions for the existence of symmetric MRA wavelets in $\Xi$. In the last section, we classify these actions when $n = 2$.

2. Symmetries

**Definition 2.1.** We will say that a non trivial and finite subgroup $H \subset GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ is affiliated to $A$ if it satisfies the following properties:

$$1 \ hG = G,$$
(2) \( hA = Ah \),

(3) \((h - 1)G \subset AG\),

for every \( h \in H \).

If \( n = 1 \), then \( A = \pm 2 \) and \( H = \{ \pm 1 \} \) are the only examples. In the last section, we will give a list of all the possible pairs, up to similarity, when \( n = 2 \). In [Dau92], it is shown that there does not exist a compactly supported and real MRA wavelet when \( n = 1 \) and \( A = 2 \), such that \( \psi(x + 1/2) = \psi(-x + 1/2) \). However, in the same book, there are examples of real and compactly supported bi-orthogonal wavelets \( \tilde{\psi}, \tilde{\psi} \) such that \( \tilde{\psi}(x + 1/2) = \tilde{\psi}(-x + 1/2) \) and \( \tilde{\psi}(x + 1/2) = \tilde{\psi}(-x + 1/2) \).

For general \( n \) and \( q = 2 \), suppose \( \tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\phi} \) are compactly supported and \( H \)-invariant scaling functions such that the translations of \( G \) form bi-orthogonal and dual frames for a core space of an MRA in \( L^2(\mathbb{R}) \). Now \( \phi, \tilde{\phi} \in \Xi \), \( \langle \phi, \tilde{\phi} \rangle' = 1 \) and \( \psi = \langle \psi, \phi \rangle' \phi = \langle \psi, \tilde{\phi} \rangle' \phi \) for every \( \psi \in C(X) \phi = C(X) \tilde{\phi} \). We have that \( 1 = \langle \phi, \tilde{\phi} \rangle' = \langle \phi, \tilde{\phi} \rangle' \phi' = \langle \phi, \phi' \rangle'(\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\phi})' \), so \( \langle \phi, \phi \rangle' \) is positive and invertible. Define \( \phi_0 = \langle \phi, \phi \rangle'^{-1/2} \phi \). We see that \( \phi_0 \in \Xi \) satisfies \( \langle \phi_0, \phi_0 \rangle' = 1 \) and generates a projective multiresolution analysis in \( \Xi \). Note that \( \phi_0 \) is not necessarily compactly supported if \( \phi \) and \( \tilde{\phi} \) are. We will now see that there exists an MRA wavelet in \( UC(X) \phi_0 \) with a symmetry as in [Dau92].

We define a group action \( W : H \times \Xi \to \Xi \) as \( h, \zeta \mapsto \zeta \circ h \). A computation gives that \( \langle \zeta, \eta \rangle' \circ h = \langle W_h \zeta, W_h \eta \rangle' \). If \( W_h \phi = \phi \) and \( W_h \tilde{\phi} = \tilde{\phi} \), then \( W_h \phi_0 = \phi_0 \).

Following [Dau92], we give a formula for an MRA wavelet in this case. From now on we will use the abbreviation PMRA for a projective multiresolution analysis.

**Proposition 2.2.** Suppose \( \phi \in \Xi \), \( \langle \phi, \phi \rangle' = 1 \), \( q = 2 \) and \( U^jC(X) \phi \), \( j \in \mathbb{Z} \) form a PMRA. Let \( y_1 \) be an element in the nontrivial coset of \( A^T G^\perp \) in \( G^\perp \) and define

\[
\psi = \langle f_1 U \phi, \phi \rangle' U \phi - \langle U \phi, \phi \rangle' f_1 U \phi.
\]

Now \( \tilde{\psi} \) is an MRA wavelet with mutually orthonormal translates, and

\[
\tilde{\psi}(h^T x - (A^T)^{-1} y_1) = \tilde{\psi}(x - (A^T)^{-1} y_1)
\]

for every \( h \in H \).

**Proof.** We compute

\[
\langle \psi, \psi \rangle' = \langle U \phi, \phi \rangle' \langle f_1 U \phi, f_1 U \phi \rangle' \langle \phi, U \phi \rangle'
\]

\[
+ \langle f_1 U \phi, \phi \rangle' \langle U \phi, U \phi \rangle' \langle \phi, f_1 U \phi \rangle'
\]

\[
= \langle \phi, U \phi \rangle' \langle U \phi, \phi \rangle' + \langle \phi, f_1 U \phi \rangle' \langle f_1 U \phi, \phi \rangle' = \langle \phi, \phi \rangle'
\]

and

\[
\langle \psi, \phi \rangle' = -\langle U \phi, \phi \rangle' \langle f_1 U \phi, \phi \rangle' + \langle f_1 U \phi, \phi \rangle' \langle U \phi, \phi \rangle' = 0.
\]

Now, \( \phi \) and \( \psi \) form two \( C(X) \)-linearly independent elements in the free \( C(X) \)-module \( UC(X) \phi \) of rank two, so they generate the module. Finally, since \( f_1 \circ h \tilde{f}_1 \) is \( G \)-periodic, we get

\[
W_h \tilde{f}_1 \psi = \tilde{f}_1 \circ h^{-1} (W_h f_1 U \phi, W_h \phi)' U \phi - (W_h U \phi, W_h \phi)' W_h f_1 U \phi
\]

\[
= \tilde{f}_1 \circ h (\langle f_1 \circ h \tilde{f}_1, f_1, \phi \rangle' U \phi - (U \phi, \phi)' (f_1 \circ h \tilde{f}_1) f_1 U \phi = \tilde{f}_1 \psi.
\]

If we apply the inverse Fourier transform on both sides of this equation, we obtain

\[
\tilde{\psi}(h^T x - (A^T)^{-1} y_1) = \tilde{\psi}(x - (A^T)^{-1} y_1).
\]
Definition 2.3. Let $A$ be a dilation matrix and suppose $H$ is a finite and affiliated group in $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$. Suppose $y_1, \ldots, y_q$ form a system of representatives for the cosets of $A^T G^\perp$ in $G^\perp$ and $y_1 \in A^T G^\perp$. We will say that an MRA wavelet-family $\{\psi_{i,j}\}_{1 \leq i \leq d, 2 \leq j \leq q}$ is symmetric if

$$\psi_{i,j}(h^T x - A^T y_j) = \psi_{i,j}(x - A^T y_j)$$

for every $h \in H$, $1 \leq i \leq d$ and $2 \leq j \leq q$.

A formula as in the statement of Proposition 2.2 does not exist for $q > 2$, but we can at least give some sufficient conditions for the existence of a symmetric and orthonormal wavelet family. This is what we aim at in the next two sections.

3. Equivalent embeddings of equivariant vector bundles

In this section we will assume that $G$ is a finite group. A $G$-space is a topological space $X$ with a continuous group action $G \times X \rightarrow X$. A continuous map between two $G$-spaces that commutes with the group actions is called an equivariant map.

We will need the notion of an equivariant simplicial complex. This is a finite set, $K$, of simplices such that

1. If $s \in K$, then the faces of $s$ are contained in $K$,
2. The intersection of two simplices in $K$ is either empty or a face for both,
3. $G$ acts on $K$ by simplicial maps, i.e. it takes vertices of a simplex to the vertices of another simplex in $K$,
4. For every subgroup $H \subset G$ and $[v_0, \ldots, v_n] \in K$, where $[h_0 v_0, \ldots, h_n v_n] \in K$ and $h_j \in H$, there exists an $h \in H$ such that $h v_j = h_j v_j$ for every $0 \leq j \leq n$,
5. The vertices $\{v_0, \ldots, v_n\}$ of a simplex in $K$ can be ordered such that the isotropy groups satisfy $G_{v_0} \subset \cdots \subset G_{v_n}$.

We let $|K|$ denote the geometrical realization of the equivariant simplicial complex $K$, i.e. the union of the simplices embedded into $\mathbb{R}^m$ for a suitable $m \in \mathbb{N}$. When equipped with the subspace topology, $|K|$ is a topological $G$-space with the action inherited from $K$. In the main theorem of [Ill78], Illman shows that if $G$ is a finite group acting smoothly on a smooth manifold $X$, there exists an equivariant simplicial complex $K$ and an equivariant homeomorphism $|K| \rightarrow X$.

Let $X$ be a $G$-space and let $\rho : \xi \rightarrow X$ be a vector bundle with a $G$-action. We say that $\xi$ is an equivariant vector bundle if $g \rho = \rho$ and $g|_{\xi_x}$ is a linear map onto $\xi_{g x}$ for every $g \in G$. The aim of this section is to give conditions for when two embeddings of equivariant vector bundles are equivalent. Our main tool is the computation in [Hat02, 4.5.3] that shows that the Stiefel manifold $V_r(\mathbb{C}^s)$ of non-zero and orthogonal $r$-tuples in $\mathbb{C}^s$, is $2s - 2r$-connected. To us, this means that every continuous map $S^{n-1} \rightarrow V_r(\mathbb{C}^s)$ extends continuously to a map $D^n \rightarrow V_r(\mathbb{C}^s)$, when $n - 1 \leq 2s - 2r$.

Proposition 3.1. Suppose $X$ is an $n$-dimensional smooth manifold and $G$ is a finite group that acts smoothly on $X$. Let $\xi$ be a trivial $d$-dimensional $G$-equivariant vector bundle over $X$ such that whenever $x \in X$ and $H \subset G$ is the isotropy group of $x$, then the action of $H$ on $\xi|_x$ is trivial. Moreover, let $\theta = X \times \mathbb{C}^r$ be the $r$-dimensional trivial bundle over $X$ with the $G$-action defined by $g : (x, v) \mapsto (gx, v)$ for $g \in G$.

If $u_0, u_1 : \theta \rightarrow \xi$ are injective and equivariant bundle maps and $d \geq n/2 + r$, then there exists an equivariant bundle automorphism $s : \xi \rightarrow \xi$ such that $u_0 = su_1$. 

Proof. Since there exists a $G$-equivariant simplicial complex $K$ and an equivariant homeomorphism from $|K|$ to $X$, we can assume that $X$ is a geometrical realization of an equivariant simplicial complex. Let $I = [0, 1]$ and let $\xi^I$ denote the vector bundle with base space $X \times I$, total space $\xi \times I$ and projection map $(v, s) \mapsto (p(v), s)$ where $p : \xi \to X$ is the projection from $\xi$ onto $X$. Let $\zeta \subset \xi^I|_{X \times \{0, 1\}}$ denote the trivial sub-bundle that has a copy of $u_0(\theta)$ over $X \times \{0\}$ and a copy of $u_1(\theta)$ over $X \times \{1\}$. If $\tilde{\zeta} \subset \xi^I$ is an equivariant and trivial sub-bundle of $\xi^I$ such that $\tilde{\zeta}|_{X \times \{0\}} = \zeta$, then $\xi^I/\tilde{\zeta}$ is a vector bundle over $X \times I$ such that $(\xi^I/\tilde{\zeta})|_{X \times \{i\}} \simeq \xi/\eta_i(\theta)$ for $i = 0, 1$. Lemma [Ati89, 1.6.4] states that whenever $Y$ is a $G$-space and $\eta$ is an equivariant vector bundle over $Y \times I$, then $\eta|_{Y \times \{i\}} \simeq \eta|_{Y \times \{1\}}$. This implies that there exists an equivariant isomorphism $\xi/\eta_0(\theta) \simeq \xi/\eta_1(\theta)$. Proposition [Ati89, 1.6] says that short exact sequences of equivariant vector bundles split, so we obtain $\xi \simeq u_i(\theta) \oplus (\xi/\eta_i(\theta))$ and hence also an equivariant bundle automorphism, $s$ that intertwines $u_0$ and $u_1$.

Pick an inner product on $\xi$. The $G$-average of an inner product on $\xi$ is still an inner product on $\xi$, so we can assume that the inner product on $\xi$ is $G$-invariant. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_x$ denote the restriction of our inner product on $\xi$ to the vector space $\xi_x$. We equip $\xi^I$ with the $G$-invariant inner product that coincides with $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on the restriction $\xi^I|_{(x, s)}$ for every $(x, s) \in X \times I$. Let $s_1, \ldots, s_r : X \times \{0, 1\} \to \zeta$ be orthogonal and $G$-invariant sections. If we can extend these sections to linearly independent and $G$-invariant sections $\tilde{s}_1, \ldots, \tilde{s}_r : X \times I \to \xi^I$, then we obtain a sub-bundle $\tilde{\zeta} \subset \xi^I$ as needed. Using induction on the dimension of $X$, we will now prove that such sections do exist.

Suppose $X$ is $0$-dimensional, let $x \in X$ and let $H$ denote the isotropy group of $x$, i.e. $H = \{ h \in G | h\cdot x = x \}$. Let $V_r(\xi_x)$ denote the Stiefel manifold of orthogonal $r$-tuples in $\xi|_x$. The sections $s_1, \ldots, s_r$, restricted to $\{ x \} \times \{ 0, 1 \}$, form a map $\{ x \} \times \{ 0, 1 \} \to V_r(\xi_x)$. The space $V_r(\xi_x)$ is path connected, so this map extends continuously to a map $\{ x \} \times I \to V_r(\xi_x)$. We obtain $r$ orthogonal sections $s'_1, \ldots, s'_r : \{ x \} \times I \to \xi^I|_{\{ x \} \times I}$ that coincide with $s_1, \ldots, s_r$ on $\{ x \} \times \{ 0, 1 \}$. The section $s'_1, \ldots, s'_r$ can be extended to orthogonal $G$-invariant sections $\tilde{s}_1, \ldots, \tilde{s}_r : Gx \times I \to \xi^I$. Finally, since $X$ is a disjoint union of such orbits, we can extend $\tilde{s}_1, \ldots, \tilde{s}_r$ to a family of orthogonal and $G$-invariant sections $\tilde{s}_1, \ldots, \tilde{s}_r : X \times I \to \xi^I$ that coincide with $s_1, \ldots, s_r$ on $X \times \{ 0, 1 \}$.

Suppose $2(d - r) \geq n > 0$ and $X$ is $n$-dimensional and let $X^{(n-1)} \subset X$ be the geometrical realization of the $G$-simplicial complex of the $(n-1)$-dimensional simplices in $X$. Our induction hypothesis is that there exist $r$ orthogonal and $G$-invariant sections from $X^{(n-1)} \times I$ to $\xi^I$ that coincide with $s_1, \ldots, s_r$ on $X^{(n-1)} \times \{ 0, 1 \}$. Since they coincide on $X^{(n-1)} \times \{ 0, 1 \}$, we obtain $r$ orthogonal and $G$-invariant sections $\tilde{s}_1, \ldots, \tilde{s}_r : (X^{(n-1)} \times I) \cup (X \times \{ 0, 1 \}) \to \xi^I$. Let $\sigma$ be a simplex in $X$ with isotropy group $H$. The isotropy group $H$ acts trivially on the trivial bundle $\xi^I|_{\sigma \times I} \simeq \sigma \times I \times \mathbb{C}^d$. The sections $\tilde{s}_1|_{\partial \sigma \times I}, \ldots, \tilde{s}_r|_{\partial \sigma \times I}$ form a continuous map $\partial(\sigma \times I) \to V_r(\mathbb{C}^d)$. The space $V_r(\mathbb{C}^d)$ is $2(d - r)$-connected, so this map extends continuously to a map $\sigma \times I \to V_r(\mathbb{C}^d)$. This new map gives us extensions of our sections $\tilde{s}_1, \ldots, \tilde{s}_r$ to $H$-invariant and orthogonal sections in $\xi^I|_{\sigma \times I}$. Moreover, they extend uniquely to $G$-invariant and orthogonal sections over $G\sigma$.

We can follow this procedure for the orbit of every $n$-dimensional simplex in $X$. If $\sigma$ and $\sigma'$ are two such $n$-simplices, then the chosen families of sections coincide on $\partial(\sigma \times I) \cap \partial(\sigma' \times I)$, so we obtain $r$ $G$-invariant and linearly independent sections in $\xi^I$ that coincide with $s_1, \ldots, s_r$ on $X \times \{ 0, 1 \}$. □
4. Symmetries when $dq > 2$

In this section we will apply the results from the previous section to give sufficient conditions for the existence of symmetric MRA wavelets when $dq > 2$. Now $A$ is supposed to be a dilation and $H$ is an affiliated group as in definition [2.1] $H$ acts smoothly on the smooth manifold $\mathbb{T}^n$, so Proposition [3.1] applies in this situation. Recall that $Q$ is the orthogonal projection onto $V_0$, $U$ is the unitary operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ defined as $Uf = \sqrt{\alpha^{-1}} f \circ A^{-1}$ and $W_h$ is defined as $W_h f = f \circ h$ for $f \in \Xi$. The main theorem in this paper gives us conditions for when there exist symmetric MRA-wavelets in the sense of Definition [2.3].

**Theorem 4.1.** Suppose $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_d \in \Xi$ are mutually orthonormal and the submodule they generate, say $V_0$, form a projective multiresolution analysis together with $U$. Suppose $W_h \phi_j = \phi_j$ for every $h \in H$ and $1 \leq j \leq d$. Let $y_1, \ldots, y_q$ be a system of representatives for the cosets of $A^T G^\perp$ in $G^\perp$ such that $y_1 \in A^T G^\perp$. If $q \geq \frac{q}{2d} + 1$, there exists an orthonormal MRA wavelet family $\tilde{\psi}_{k,l}$ for $2 \leq k \leq q$ and $1 \leq l \leq d$, such that $\tilde{\psi}_{k,l} \in (1 - Q)UV_0$ and

$$
\tilde{\psi}_{k,l}(h^T x + (A^T)^{-1}y_k) = \tilde{\psi}_{k,l}(x + (A^T)^{-1}y_k)
$$

for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $h \in H$, $2 \leq k \leq q$ and $1 \leq l \leq d$.

**Proof.** Recall the definition $f_j = e^{2\pi i (A^{-1} \cdot y_j)}$. We assume that $y_1 = 0$, i.e. $f_1 = 1$. Since $f_k U \phi_l$ for $1 \leq k \leq q$ and $1 \leq l \leq d$ form a $C(X)$-basis for $UV_0$, the map $S : V_0 \rightarrow UV_0$, defined by $S \sum_{j=1}^d a_j \phi_j = \sum_{j=1}^d a_j U \phi_j$ is a well defined $C(X)$-linear map. Moreover, $S$ is injective and a simple computation shows that $SW_h = W_h S$ for every $h \in H$. We want to find an equivariant $C(X)$-linear automorphism on $UV_0$ that extends $S$. We identify $UV_0$ with the sections in the product bundle $X \times \mathbb{C}^{dq}$, so that $f_k U \phi_l$ is identified with the constant section $x \mapsto (x, e_{k,l})$. Since $U \phi_j$ is $W_h$-invariant, we see that $W_h (f_k U \phi_l) = f_k \circ h U \phi_l = (f_k \circ h \mathcal{F}_k) f_k U \phi_l$, so the action of $H$ on $UV_0$ corresponds to the following action on $X \times \mathbb{C}^{dq}$:

$$(h, ([x]_G, \sum_{k,l} \alpha_{k,l} e_{k,l})) \mapsto ([hx']_G, \sum_{k,l} \alpha_{k,l} e^{2\pi i ((h-1)x' \cdot y_k)} e_{k,l})$$

for an $x'$ such that $[x']_G = x$. Note that if $(h-1)x \in G$, then $((h-1)x, y_j) \in \mathbb{Z}$, so the isotropy group of $x$ acts trivially on the fibre over $x$.

Both $S$ and the inclusion $V_0 \subset UV_0$ correspond to two equivariant bundle monomorphisms $u_i : \theta^d \rightarrow X \times \mathbb{C}^{dq}$ for $i = 1, 2$. Let $u_1$ be the one that corresponds to $S$. By the definition of $S$, there exists an equivariant and trivial sub-bundle in $X \times \mathbb{C}^{dq}$, such that the direct sum of this and $u_1(\theta^d)$ equals $X \times \mathbb{C}^{dq}$.

Proposition [3.1] now applies, so we obtain an equivariant bundle automorphism on $X \times \mathbb{C}^{dq}$ that intertwines $u_1$ and $u_2$. This bundle automorphism now corresponds to an equivariant $C(X)$-linear automorphism $S : UV_0 \rightarrow UV_0$, such that $S|V_0 = S$.

Equip $\oplus^{d(q-1)} C(X)$ with the ordinary $C(X)$-valued inner product. We define

$$M : \oplus^{d(q-1)} C(X) \rightarrow (1 - Q)UV_0$$
by \( M e_{k,l} = S f_k U \phi_l \) for \( 2 \leq k \leq q \) and \( 1 \leq l \leq d \). A computation shows that \( M \) is adjointable, \( M^* \zeta = \sum_{k,l} \langle \zeta, S f_k U \phi_l \rangle e_{k,l} \) and \( MM^* \zeta = \sum_{k,l} \langle \zeta, S f_k U \phi_l \rangle' \tilde{S} f_k U \phi_l \). Moreover
\[
W_h MM^* W_h^{-1} \zeta = \sum_{k,l} \langle W_h^{-1} \zeta, S f_k U \phi_l \rangle' \circ h W_h \tilde{S} f_k U \phi_l
= \sum_{k,l} \langle \zeta, S f_k U \phi_l \rangle' e^{2 \pi i (A^{-1} x, h^T y - y_k)} \tilde{S} f_k U \phi_l
= \sum_{k,l} \langle \zeta, e^{2 \pi i (A^{-1} x, h^T y_k - y_k)} \tilde{S} f_k U \phi_l \rangle' e^{2 \pi i (A^{-1} x, h^T y_k - y_k)} \tilde{S} f_k U \phi_l
= MM^* \zeta.
\]

By the proof of Proposition 1, \( MM^* \) is a selfadjoint and invertible operator on the Hilbert module \((1 - Q) U V_0\). We obtain another bounded operator \((MM^*)^{-1/2}\) and we let \( \psi_{k,l} = (MM^*)^{-1/2} S f_k U \phi_l \) for \( 2 \leq k \leq q \) and \( 1 \leq l \leq d \). These form an orthonormal \( C(X)\)-basis for \((1 - Q) U V_0\). The operator \((MM^*)^{-1/2}\) is a limit of polynomials in \( MM^* \) with respect to the operator norm, so we have the relation \( W_h (MM^*)^{-1/2} = (MM^*)^{-1/2} W_h \) for every \( h \in H \). Finally, we compute
\[
W_h f_k \psi_{k,l} = \tilde{f} \circ h (MM^*)^{-1/2} \tilde{S} f_k U \phi_l
= \tilde{f} \circ h (MM^*)^{-1/2} \tilde{S} e^{2 \pi i (A^{-1} \cdot h^T y_k - y_k)} f_k U \phi_l
= \tilde{f} \circ h e^{2 \pi i (A^{-1} \cdot h^T y_k - y_k)} \psi_{k,l}
= \tilde{f} \psi_{k,l}.
\]

If we apply the inverse Fourier transform on both sides in this equation, we obtain
\[
\psi_{k,l} (h^T x + (A^T)^{-1} y_k) = \tilde{\psi}_{k,l} (x + (A^T)^{-1} y_k).
\]

\[\Box\]

**Remark 4.2.** Note that since \( m \) is not necessarily a Laurent polynomial, \( \tilde{\phi} \) is most likely not compactly supported. In order to obtain existence of compactly supported and symmetric wavelets, we will probably need to consider bi-orthogonal wavelets instead of orthonormal. The problem is to give a sharp condition on \( d, q, n \) such that if the \( \phi_1, \ldots, \phi_d, \phi_1, \ldots, \phi_d \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \) have compact supports and if the following three conditions are satisfied,
- \( \tilde{\phi}_i \circ h^T = \tilde{\phi}_i \) and \( \phi_i \circ h^T = \phi_i \) for every \( 1 \leq i \leq d \) and \( h \in H \),
- \( \langle \phi_i (\cdot - k), \phi_j (\cdot - l) \rangle = \delta_{i,j} \delta_{k,l} \) for every \( k, l \in \mathbb{Z}^n \) and \( 1 \leq i, j \leq d \),
- \( W_0 = \text{span} \{ \phi_i (\cdot - k) \mid 1 \leq i \leq d, k \in \mathbb{Z}^n \} \) satisfies
  - \( W_0 \subset \overline{U W_0} \),
  - \( \cup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} U^k W_0 \) is dense in \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \),
  - \( \cap_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} U^k W_0 = \{0\} \).
then there exist \( \psi_{i,j} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \) and \( \tilde{\psi}_{i,j} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq d \) \( 1 \leq j \leq q - 1 \) such that:
1. The vectors \( \psi_{i,j} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \) and \( \tilde{\psi}_{i,j} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq d \) and \( 1 \leq j \leq q - 1 \) have compact supports.
2. For every \( 1 \leq i, i' \leq d \) \( 1 \leq j, j' \leq q - 1 \) and \( k, l \in \mathbb{Z}^n \), we have
\[
\langle \psi_{i,j} (\cdot - k), \tilde{\psi}_{i',j'} (\cdot - l) \rangle = \delta_{i,i'} \delta_{j,j'} \delta_{k,l}.
\]
(3) The space $\tilde{U}W_0 \oplus W_0$ is the closed linear span of
\[
\{\psi_{i,j}(\cdot - k)|1 \leq i \leq d, 1 \leq j \leq q-1, k \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}
\]

(4) If $y_1, \ldots, y_{q-1}$ are representatives for the nontrivial co-sets of $\mathbb{Z}^n/A^T\mathbb{Z}^n$, then we have the symmetry relations:
\[
\psi_{i,j}(h^T x + (A^T)^{-1} y_j) = \psi_{i,j}(x + (A^T)^{-1} y_j)
\]
\[
\tilde{\psi}_{i,j}(h^T x + (A^T)^{-1} y_j) = \tilde{\psi}_{i,j}(x + (A^T)^{-1} y_j)
\]

for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $h \in H$, $1 \leq j \leq q-1$ and $1 \leq i \leq d$.

This is a more algebraic problem than that of Theorem 4.1. In fact if we had a sharp algebraic version of Proposition 3.1 with free modules over the Laurent polynomials and $\mathbb{C}$-linear group actions, we could follow the proof of Theorem 4.1 to prove existence of compactly supported and symmetric bi-orthogonal wavelets. This will be the subject of a later paper.

5. $H$-invariant scaling functions

The proof of Theorem 4.1 depends on the nonconstructive proof of Proposition 3.1. As we have seen, the existence comes from a computation of some homotopy groups for the Stiefel manifolds. The pessimist would probably interpret this result as just an absence of obstructions to the existence of symmetric wavelets in a projective multiresolution analysis. A more optimistic way to interpret this result is that it gives us a clue for where to search for nice scaling functions.

We wish to construct a family of scaling functions for a PMRA such that Theorem 4.1 applies. First we define a continuous map $r : X \to X$ with the equation $r \circ p(x) = p(Ax)$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $m \in M_\mathbb{d}(\text{Lip}_1(X))$. This map is often referred to as the low-pass filter. The transfer operator associated to $m$ is a linear operator $R : M_\mathbb{d}(\text{Lip}_1(X)) \to M_\mathbb{d}(\text{Lip}_1(X))$ that is defined as follows:
\[
Ru(x) = q^{-1} \sum_{y \in r^{-1}(x)} m(y)u(y)m(y).
\]

We need some basic facts about how to construct scaling functions from such transfer operators. The following theorem is a summary of several more general results in [DR06].

**Theorem 5.1 (DR06).** Let $w \in \mathbb{C}^d$ be a unitvector such $m(0)w = \sqrt{q}w$ and suppose the following properties are satisfied:

1. $R1 = 1$.
2. $sp(R) \cap \mathbb{T} = \{1\}$.
3. The geometric multiplicity of $R$’s eigenvalue $1$ equals $1$.
4. $sp(q^{-1/2}m(0)) \cap \mathbb{T} = \{1\}$.
5. The algebraic multiplicity of $m(0)$’s eigenvalue $\sqrt{q}$ equals $1$.

Then
\[
\mathcal{P}(x) = \lim_{k} q^{-k/2}m(p(A^{-1}x)) \ldots m(p(A^{-k}x))
\]
converges uniformly on compact sets, the equation
\[
\phi_i(x) = \langle \mathcal{P}(x)e_i, w \rangle
\]
defines an orthonormal family $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_\mathbb{d} \in \Xi$ and the submodule
\[
V_0 = C(X)\phi_1 + \cdots + C(X)\phi_\mathbb{d} \subset \Xi
\]
yields a projective multiresolution analysis $(U, \{U^kV_0\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}})$ in $\Xi$. 

Note that if $H$ is an affiliated group to the dilation $A$ and if $m \circ h = m$ for every $h \in H$, then $\mathcal{P}(hx) = \mathcal{P}(x)$ for every $h \in H$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. This immediately implies that $W_h \phi_i = \phi_i$ for every $h \in H$, i.e. the scaling functions $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_d$ are $H$-invariant.

Now let $d = 1$, $n = 2$, $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ -2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and let $H \subset GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ denote the group generated by $h = -1$. Moreover, let

$$m'(x) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} \sum_{j=1}^{4} \cos(2\pi(v_j, x))$$

where $v_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $v_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $v_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$, and $v_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

We define a linear operator operator $R' : C(X) \to C(X)$ with the following equation:

$$R'u(x) = q^{-1} \sum_{y \in r^{-1}(x)} m(y)u(y)m'(y).$$

Let $F$ be a finite subset of $G^\perp = \mathbb{Z}^2$ and define the following set of Laurent polynomials:

$$\mathcal{K}_F = \{ \sum_{l \in F} a_l e^{2\pi i l.x} \mid a_l \in \mathbb{C} \}.$$ 

A short computation gives that

$$R'\mathcal{K}_F \subset \mathcal{K}_{(A^T)^{-1}(F+V) \cap \mathbb{Z}^2},$$

where $V = \{ \pm v_i \pm v_j \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq 4 \}$, so if

$$J = \{ k \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid \|k\| \leq \frac{\| (A^T)^{-1} \| \max_{l \in V} \|l\|}{1 - \| (A^T)^{-1} \|} + 1 \},$$

then $R'\mathcal{K}_J \subset \mathcal{K}_J$. Moreover, there exists a $K$ such that $R^k\mathcal{K}_J \subset \mathcal{K}_J$ for every $k \geq K$. A numerical computation with MATLAB shows that 1 is the unique eigenvalue for $R'|_{\mathcal{K}_J}$ in $\mathbb{T}$. We can show numerically that there exist a $u \in \mathcal{K}_J$ and a $c > 0$ such that $u(x) \geq c$ for every $x \in X$ and $u$ spans the fixed space for $R'|_{\mathcal{K}_J}$.

Suppose that $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ and $v \in C(X)$ such that $Rv = \lambda v$. If $w$ is a Laurent polynomial such that $\|v - w\| < \epsilon$, there exists a $K$ such that $k \geq K$ implies that $R^kw \in \mathcal{K}_J$, i.e.

$$\inf_{y \in \mathcal{K}_J} \|v - y\| \leq \|v - \lambda^{-k} R^kw\| \leq \|\lambda^k v - R^kw\| \leq \|R^k(v - w)\| \leq \sup_j \|R^j\|\|v - w\|$$

$$\leq \sup_j \|R^j\|.\epsilon.$$ 

Since there exists a $c > 0$ such that $u(x) \geq c$ for every $x \in X$, we see as in [DR06] that $\sup_k \| R^k \| < \infty$. The inequality (5.3) is satisfied for an arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$, so $v \in \mathcal{K}_J$, i.e. 1 is the unique eigenvalue for $R'$ in $\mathbb{T}$ and the fixed space of $R'$ is exactly the 1-dimensional subspace in $\mathcal{K}_J$ that is fixed by $R'|_{\mathcal{K}_J}$.

We define $m \in Lip_1(X)$ with the following equation:

$$m(x) = u^{-1/2}(rx) m'(x) u^{1/2}(x).$$

As noted in [DR06], whenever $v \in C(X)$, $\lim_k k^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{k} R^j v$ converges uniformly to a fixed point for $R'$ for every $v \in C(X)$. Since the fixed space of $R'$ is 1-dimensional, we see that $u = u(0) \lim_k \sum_{j=1}^{k} k^{-1} R^j u$. Moreover, since $m' \circ h = m'$ for every $h \in H$, we obtain $u^{-1/2} \circ h = u^{-1/2}$ and $u^{1/2} \circ h = u^{1/2}$, so by the definition of $m$, we have that $m \circ h = m$ for every $h \in H$. 

We see that $m$ satisfies the properties 1, 4, and 5. To see that 2 and 3 are satisfied, we define a linear automorphism $\Theta : \text{Lip}_1(X) \to \text{Lip}_1(X)$ with the equation: $\Theta(v) = u^{1/2}vu^{1/2}$. A short computation shows that $R^i\Theta = \Theta R$, so the eigenspace of an eigenvalue $\lambda$ for $R^i$ is isomorphic to the corresponding eigenspace for the operator $R$. This implies that 2 and 3 are satisfied. Now 5.1 defines an $H$-invariant scaling function in $\Xi$ such that Theorem 4.1 applies, i.e. this scaling function allows a symmetric MRA wavelet family in $\Xi$.

6. Dilations and affiliated groups when $n = 2$

Recall that a matrix $A \in M_n(\mathbb{Z})$ is said to be a dilation if none of the eigenvalues of $A$ are contained in the closed unit disk and a finite subgroup $H \subset GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ is affiliated to $A$ if $hA = Ah$ for every $h \in H$ and $H$ acts trivially on $\mathbb{Z}^n/\Lambda\mathbb{Z}^n$. Two matrices $C_1, C_2 \in M_n(\mathbb{Z})$ are equivalent if there exists an $S \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $SC_1 = C_2S$. If $A$ is a dilation, $H$ is an affiliated group, and $S \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$, then $SAS^{-1}$ is another dilation with an affiliated group $SHS^{-1}$. We have already seen that if $n = 1$ and $H$ is nontrivial, then $A = \pm 2$ and $H \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ is generated by $h = -1$. For the case $n = 2$, we will compute all possible pairs, up to this equivalence. This list will give us a hint for where to look for examples of symmetric wavelets in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

The following result will be of great importance for us.

**Proposition 6.1.** [New72 IX.14] The finite subgroups of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ are cyclic of order 1, 2, 3, 4, 6 and are generated by a matrix that is equivalent to one of the following:

$$\pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \pm \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

**Lemma 6.2.** If $h \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ has order $n$, then $h$ is diagonalizable and both eigenvalues of $h$ are $n$'th roots of 1. Moreover, if $\det(h) = -1$ then $h$ is equivalent to one and only one of the following matrices:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

**Proof.** If $h^n = 1$ and $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of $h$ then $h^n v = \lambda^n v = v$ for an eigenvector $v$. Moreover, if $h$ is not diagonalizable then $h$ has Jordan form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

but this matrix has not finite order. Suppose $\det(h) = -1$. If $h$ has a complex eigenvalue, then its complex conjugate is also an eigenvalue for $h$. Now $\det(A) = |\lambda|^2 = 1 \neq -1$, i.e. $h$ has eigenvalues 1, -1.

So $h$ has the form

$$h = \begin{pmatrix} h_{11} & h_{12} \\ h_{21} & -h_{11} \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

Moreover, $h$ has an eigenvector for the eigenvalue 1 with integer coefficients which can be chosen to be relatively prime, say $\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$. The extended Euclidean algorithm gives us an algorithm for how to express the greatest common divisor of two numbers as a $\mathbb{Z}$-linear combination of them, i.e. there exist two integers $\bar{x}, \bar{y}$ such that $x\bar{x} - y\bar{y} = 1$. The pair $\bar{x}, \bar{y}$ is also known as the Bezout coefficients of $x, y$. Define

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} x & \bar{x} \\ y & \bar{y} \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z}).$$
We can compute that
\[ S^{-1} h S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & m \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = h_m \]
for an integer \( m \). If \( m, n \) are integers such that \( m - n = 0 \mod 2 \) and
\[ T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & m-n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \]
then \( Th_m = h_n T \), i.e. \( h_m \) and \( h_n \) are equivalent. This implies that there are at most two equivalence classes of integer matrices with \( \det h = -1 \) and finite order. We can compute that the matrices that intertwine \( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \) and \( \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \) are on the form \( \begin{pmatrix} m & n \\ m & -n \end{pmatrix} \). These matrices have determinant \( -2mn \), i.e. none of them are elements in \( GL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \), so there are exactly two equivalence classes of integer matrices with eigenvalues \( 1, -1 \).

\[ \square \]

**Lemma 6.3.** If \( h \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \), \( \det(h) = -1 \) and \( h \) generates a finite subgroup of \( G \) that is affiliated to a dilation \( A \), then \( A \) and \( h \) are simultaneously equivalent to one of the following pairs

(1) \[ A = \begin{pmatrix} \pm 2 & 0 \\ 0 & \pm 2 \end{pmatrix}, \ h = \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \]

(2) \[ A = \begin{pmatrix} n & 0 \\ 0 & \pm 2 \end{pmatrix}, \ h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \]

with \( |n| \geq 3 \).

**Proof.** Suppose \( h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \). We can compute that if \( A \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}) \) such that \( Ah = hA \) then,
\[ A = \begin{pmatrix} m & 0 \\ 0 & n \end{pmatrix}. \]

Moreover, \( h \) acts trivially on \( \mathbb{Z}^2/A\mathbb{Z}^2 \) if and only if \( A^{-1}(h-1) \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}) \). Now
\[ A^{-1}(h-1) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{2}{n} \end{pmatrix}, \]
so \( |n| = 1, 2 \) implies that \( H \) acts trivially on \( \mathbb{Z}^2/A\mathbb{Z}^2 \). Since we require that the norm of \( A \)'s eigenvalues should be strictly greater than 1, \( A \) must be one of the following matrices
\[ A = \begin{pmatrix} n & 0 \\ 0 & \pm 2 \end{pmatrix} \]
with \( |n| \geq 2 \).

The equivalence imposed by the matrix \( \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \) gives that the dilations that are affiliated with \( \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \) are on the form
\[ A = \begin{pmatrix} \pm 2 & 0 \\ 0 & n \end{pmatrix} \]
with \( |n| \geq 2 \). If we let \( |n| = 2 \) we obtain [1] and if we let \( |n| \geq 3 \), we obtain [2].
The set of matrices that commute with \( h = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \) are \( \{ \begin{pmatrix} m & n \\ n & m \end{pmatrix} | m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \} \). If \( A \) is an affiliated dilation then
\[
A^{-1}(h - I) = \text{det} A^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} -m + n & m - n \\ -n + m & n - m \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}).
\]
Now \( \text{det} A = (m + n)(m - n) \) divides \( m - n \), i.e. \( m + n = \pm 1 \) and \( A = \begin{pmatrix} m & m \\ m & m \end{pmatrix} \pm \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \). A computation shows that such matrices have either 1 or \(-1\) as an eigenvalue. This implies that \( h \) does not commute with a proper dilation. □

**Theorem 6.4.** If \( A \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}) \) is a dilation and \( H \subset \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \) is a finite and non trivial affiliated group, then \( A \) and \( H \) are simultaneously equivalent to one of the following pairs:

1. \( A \) is one of the following matrices
\[
\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ \pm 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \pm \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},
\]
\( H \) is generated by
\[
\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix},
\]
\( |\text{det}(A)| = 2 \) and \( H \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \).

2. \( A \) is one of the following matrices
\[
\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ -2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ -2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 2 & -2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 & |n| \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -2 & |n| \\ 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}, \text{where } n = 0 \mod 2, n \neq 0,
\]
\( H \) is generated by
\[
\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix},
\]
\( |\text{det} A| = 4 \) and \( H \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \).

3. \( A \) is one of the following matrices
\[
\pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},
\]
\( H \) is generated by
\[
\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]
\( \text{det}(A) = 2 \) and \( H \cong \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \).

4. \( A \) is one of the following matrices
\[
\pm \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \pm \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix},
\]
\( H \) is generated by
\[
\begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]
\( |\text{det}(A)| = 3 \) and \( H \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \).
(5) $A$ is one of the following matrices
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\pm 2 & 0 \\
0 & \pm 2
\end{pmatrix},
\]
$H$ is generated by
\[
\pm \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{pmatrix},
\]
$|\det(A)| = 4$ and $H \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

(6) $A$ is one of the following matrices
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
n & 0 \\
0 & \pm 2
\end{pmatrix},
\]
$|n| \geq 3$, $H$ is generated by
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{pmatrix},
\]
$\det(A) = \pm 2n$ and $H \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Recall that we say a dilation is affiliated to $H$ if $Ah = hA$ for every $h \in H$ and $H$ acts trivially on $\mathbb{Z}^2/AZ^2$. Note that this implies that $A^{-1}(h-I) \in M_2(\mathbb{Z})$ for every $h \in H$. Suppose $h = -I$ and $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ is an affiliated dilation. Now $A^{-1}(h-I) = \frac{2}{\det A} \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & d \end{pmatrix}$. If $2 \nmid \det A$, then $\det A|a,b,c,d$, so $\det A = \det A^2 m$ for an $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. This implies that $m,\det A \in \{\pm 1\}$, so $A$ can not be dilation. If $2|\det A$, then $\det A = 2m$ for an $m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $m|a,b,c,d$. Now $\det A = m^2 n = 2m$, so $mn = 2$. This implies that $\det A = \pm 2$ or $\det A = \pm 4$.

We note that every dilation $A$, such that $\det A = \pm 2$, is affiliated to $h$. A classification by Lagarias and Wang [LW95, Lemma 5.2] gives that every dilation with $\det A = \pm 2$ is equivalent to one of the following matrices:
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 2 \\
1 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \pm \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]
A complete list of dilations $A$, with $\det A = \pm 4$, is given in [KL02]. The dilations that are affiliated to $h$ are similar to one of the following matrices:
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 2 \\
-2 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ -2 & \pm 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 2 & \pm 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 & |n| \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -2 & |n| \\ 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \text{ where } n = 0 \mod 2.
\]

If $|H| = 3$, then $H$ is conjugate to the group generated by $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$. A computation gives that the set of $A \in M_2(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $hA = Ah$ is
\[
\{ \begin{pmatrix} a+b & a \\ -a & b \end{pmatrix} | a,b \in \mathbb{Z} \}.
\]

Moreover, if $A$ is an affiliated dilation, then
\[
A^{-1}(h-I) = \frac{1}{\det(A)} \begin{pmatrix} a-b & 2a+b \\ -2a-b & -a-2b \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbb{Z})
\]
i.e. $\det(A)|3b, 3a$. If $3 \nmid \det A$, then $\det A|a, b$. Now $\det A = \det A^2m$ for an $m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, so $\det A = \pm 1$. If $3|\det A$, there exists an $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $m|a, b, c, d$ and $3m = \det A = m^2n$ for an $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. This implies that $\det A = \pm 3$ or $\det A = \pm 9$.

After some analysis, we see that the affiliated dilations are parametrized by the following values of $a$ and $b$:

$$(a, b) = \pm (1, 1), (1, -2), (2, -1).$$

These values give the following matrices

$$\pm \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \pm \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$  

Moreover, if $S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ then $S \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ and $ShS = h^2$, so we end up with the announced matrices in the case $|H| = 3$.

Suppose $H$ is a cyclic group of order 4 and $H$ is generated by $h = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. We compute that the set of integer matrices that commute with $h$ are

$$\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix} | a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \}.$$  

If $A$ is an affiliated dilation then

$$A^{-1}(h - I) = \frac{1}{\det(A)} \begin{pmatrix} -a + b & a + b \\ -a - b & b - a \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}),$$

i.e. $\det A|2a, 2b$. This implies that $\det A = \pm 2$ or $\det A \pm 4$.

The affiliated dilations are parametrized by $(a, b) \in \{ \pm (1, -1), \pm (1, 1) \}$ and we obtain the matrices

$$\pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$  

Note that $S \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, so we end up with the announced matrices when $H \simeq \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$.

Suppose $H$ is a cyclic group of order 6 and is generated by $h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. If $A$ is an affiliated dilation, it must also be affiliated to the subgroups generated by $h^3 = -1$ and $h^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$.

The above classification implies that this is impossible.
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