Propoxur resistance associated with insensitivity of acetylcholinesterase (AChE) in the housefly, *Musca domestica* (Diptera: Muscidae)
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Two unique housefly strains, PSS and N-PRS (near-isogenic line with the PSS), were used to clarify the mechanisms associated with propoxur resistance in the housefly, *Musca domestica*. The propoxur-selected resistant (N-PRS) strain exhibited >1035-fold resistance to propoxur and 1.70-, 12.06-, 4.28-, 57.76-, and 57.54-fold cross-resistance to beta-cypermethrin, deltamethrin, bifenthrin, phoxim, and azamethiphos, respectively, compared to the susceptible (PSS) strain. We purified acetylcholinesterase (AChE) from the N-PRS and PSS strains using a procainamide affinity column and characterized the AChE. The sensitivity of AChE to propoxur based on the bimolecular rate constant (K_i) was approximately 100-fold higher in the PSS strain compared to the N-PRS strain. The cDNA encoding *Mdace* from both the N-PRS strain and the PSS strain were cloned and sequenced using RT-PCR. The cDNA was 2073 nucleotides long and encoded a protein of 691 amino acids. A total of four single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), I162M, V260L, G342A, and F407Y, were present in the region of the active site of AChE from the N-PRS strain. The transcription level and DNA copy number of *Mdace* were significantly higher in the resistant strain than in the susceptible strain. These results indicated that mutations combined with the up-regulation of *Mdace* might be essential in the housefly resistance to propoxur.

The housefly, *Musca domestica*, is found in the vicinity of human habitations throughout the world. It is a serious threat to human and animal health because it carries bacteria and protozoans that can cause many serious diseases. For decades, housefly control has been dependent on the application of insecticides, including organophosphate compounds (OPs), carbamate compounds (CBs), pyrethroids and so on. Propoxur, a carbamate insecticide, was widely used against houseflies due to its long-lasting and broad-spectrum efficacy. Unfortunately, resistance to propoxur has been detected all over the world. At present, resistant houseflies have been identified in 21 provinces in China. In Beijing, the resistance level of the housefly to propoxur was higher than to other insecticides, like DDVP, chlorpyrifos, beta-cypermethrin, and deltamethrin. A housefly population that was collected from a field in Golmud city, Qinghai province, China, has developed more than 1220-fold resistance to propoxur relative to the laboratory susceptible strain.

Acetylcholinesterase (AChE, EC 3.1.1.7), the target of OPs and CBs, is a serine esterase in the α/β fold hydrolase enzyme family that terminates nerve impulses at cholinergic synapses by breaking down the neurotransmitter acetylcholine (ACh). AChE is encoded by two distinct genes (*ace-1* and *ace-2*) in insects. Usually quantitative and qualitative changes in AChE are associated with insecticide resistance. AChE insensitivity caused by various point mutations is one of the major resistance mechanisms in many arthropods. Only one locus, *ace-2*, encoding...
Drosophila melanogaster established a near-isogeneic line (NIL) of housefly with propoxur resistance to study the inheritance pattern about how overexpression of Mdace is involved in propoxur resistance in the housefly. Based on these results, we investigated the involvement of mutations and addition, we examined the overexpression of the resistant Mdace gene further increasing the tolerance ability of the housefly to propoxur. Based on these results, we investigated the involvement of mutations and Mdace overexpression in propoxur resistance.

### Results

**Cross-resistance patterns.** The N-PRS strain was more than 1035-fold resistant to propoxur compared to the PSS strain (Table 1). The N-PRS strain developed a high level of cross-resistance to phoxim (57.76-fold) and azamethiphos (57.54-fold). In the N-PRS strain, the resistance was 12.06-fold to deltamethrin and 4.98-fold to bifenthrin. However, the resistance was only 1.70-fold compared with the PSS strain for beta-cypermethrin.

**Purification and characterization of AChE.** Purified AChE was made from both strains by procainamide affinity chromatography (Table 2). The overall purification factors and yields were 361.84-fold and 16.77% for the PSS strain, and 477.27-fold and 15.53% for the N-PRS strain, respectively. The purification factor for the N-PRS strain was not different from the PSS strain. Enzyme activity of crude extract and purified AChE from both strains were measured, and there were no apparent differences between the PSS strain and the N-PRS strain.

The biomolecular rate constant, Kₐ, which provides a good measurement of AChE sensitivity to inhibition by propoxur was approximately 100 times higher in the PSS strain than the N-PRS strain (Table 1). The insensitivity ratio of R to S enzyme to methomyal was 35, and to esterine was 112 using Kₛ evaluation. Moreover, two selected OP compounds were used to compare the sensitivity levels of purified AChE, the N-PRS strain was 64- and 70-fold less sensitive to inhibition by azamethiphos and DDVP, respectively.

**Identification of mutations related to propoxur resistance in Mdace.** The entire coding region of the housefly Mdace gene was successfully cloned and sequenced. The deduced Mdace sequences of the PSS and N-PRS strains both have 691 amino acids, containing an unusually long 79-residue signal peptide and a 612-residue mature protein sequence, like the YBOL strain. Seven single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), Ile9Thr (I9T), Arg17Trp (R17W), Ser27Tyr (S27Y), Ile162Met (I162M), Val260Leu (V260L), Gly342Ala (G342A), and Ile373Thr (I373T).

### Table 1. Toxicity of insecticides to PSS and N-PRS strains.

| Insecticide     | Strain | N° | LD₅₀ (95%FL) (ng/fly) | Slope ± SE | RR \(^b\) |
|-----------------|--------|----|----------------------|------------|---------|
| Propoxur        | PSS    | 360| 190.55 (145.39–238.11)| 1.54 ± 0.35| >1035   |
| N-PRS           | 360    | >197,400 |   |   |         |
| Beta-cypermethrin| PSS    | 360| 74.72 (63.20–83.25)  | 5.21 ± 1.04|   |
| N-PRS           | 360    | 126.71 (113.37–138.68) | 8.56 ± 1.20| 1.70    |
| Deltamethrin    | PSS    | 360| 3.95 (1.57–9.86)     | 2.47 ± 0.34|   |
| N-PRS           | 360    | 47.64 (34.78–61.17)  | 3.87 ± 0.46| 12.06   |
| Bifenthrin      | PSS    | 360| 20.61 (11.12–30.70)  | 1.73 ± 0.34|   |
| N-PRS           | 360    | 88.18 (75.09–102.77) | 2.95 ± 0.35| 4.98    |
| Phoxim          | PSS    | 360| 3.16 (1.40–12.10)    | 1.05 ± 0.18|   |
| N-PRS           | 360    | 208.51 (109.43–258.95)| 4.98 ± 0.77| 57.76   |
| Azamethiphos    | PSS    | 360| 2.82 (1.09–5.61)     | 3.11 ± 0.47|   |
| N-PRS           | 360    | 162.25 (142.65–180.70)| 3.79 ± 0.57| 57.54   |

\(^a\)Number of houseflies used in the bioassay.  
\(^b\)RR = LD₅₀ of the N-PRS /LD₅₀ of the PSS strain.

Mdace has been reported in *M. domestica*. Six widespread point mutations (I162M, V260L, G342A, G342V, F407Y, and G445A) in and around the catalytic site have been identified in many strains (including 49R, CH2, 77M, 690ab, and YBOL) resistant to OPs and CBs. These mutations have been discussed in detail in previous studies, including dimensional structure modeling and site-directed mutagenesis. Some additional mutations of *Mdace* at different sites were reported in other housefly strains.

Modifications of ace-2 associated with resistance have been described in other resistant insects such as *Drosophila melanogaster*, *Lucilia cuprina*, and *Bactrocera oleae*. Many mosquito species have two distinct *ace* genes, and mutation (G119S of the ace-1 gene) in the oxyanion hole of the enzyme confers high resistance to OPs and CBs in *Culex pipiens*, *Anopheles gambiae*, and *An. albimanus*.

Overproduction of *ace* (gene duplication and up-regulation) as an evolutionary response to OP and CB selection pressure has been reported in several arthropod species. In *An. gambiae*, the ace-1 gene was systematically duplicated in all 173 resistant individuals among 398 mosquitoes tested by resolving the genomic structure of the duplications to design a diagnostic test for duplication. In *D. melanogaster*, it has been verified that the increased amount of AChE is correlated with resistance to parathion in some field populations collected from 66 sites. In *Aphis gossypii*, the relative mRNA and DNA expression of ace-2 were both significantly higher in the omethoate resistant strain compared to the susceptible strain. Extensive gene duplication of *ace* associated with OP resistance was also studied in *Tetranychus urticae* and *Blattella germanica*. However, there is little research about how overexpression of *Mdace* is involved in propoxur resistance in the housefly. In previous research, we established a near-isogenic line (NIL) of housefly with propoxur resistance to study the inheritance pattern of resistance. In this paper, we first investigated the cross-resistance to other insecticides and characterized the AChE from resistant (N-PRS) and susceptible (PSS) strains. Subsequently, we reported *Mdace* mutations putatively associated with resistance by comparing the sequences among the resistant and susceptible strains. In addition, we examined the overexpression of the resistant *Mdace* gene further increasing the tolerance ability of the housefly to propoxur. Based on these results, we investigated the involvement of mutations and *Mdace* overexpression in propoxur resistance.
and Phe407Tyr (F407Y) were identified in the resistant houseflies (Table 4). Three mutations (I9T, R17W, S27Y) were identified in the signal peptide, but because this N-terminus hydrophobic peptide is present in the precursor and absent in the mature protein, these amino substitutions may not affect insecticide resistance. We observed that the N-PRS strain carried homozygous resistant alleles at the other four mutations, and the PSS strain carried different homozygous alleles at the 162 amino acid (Table 5).

Analysis of Mdace gene expression. To determine whether Mdace gene expression may be related to propoxur resistance, the relative mRNA expression level and DNA copy number in the N-PRS strain and PSS strain were determined by qRT-PCR (Fig. 1). The results showed that the mRNA expression level was significantly up-regulated in the resistant strain compared to the susceptible strain, with the ratio of 2.30 and a p-value of 0.0004. The DNA copy number was 1.61-fold higher in the N-PRS strain than in the PSS strain, with a p-value of 0.0098.

### Table 2. Purification of AChE from houseflies by procainamide-based affinity chromatography. aYield (%)= the total activity of the affinity chromatography enzyme/the total activity of the crude enzyme. bPurification factor = the specific activity of the affinity chromatography enzyme/the specific activity of the crude enzyme.

| Insecticides | K_i ± SE (×10^-5 mol·min^-1) | Ratio a (S/R) |
|--------------|-------------------------------|--------------|
| Propoxur     | 77.65 ± 12.02                 | 99.6         |
| Methomyl     | 37.79 ± 10.67                 | 35.0         |
| Eserine      | 390.54 ± 15.34                | 112.87       |
| Azamethiphos | 68.33 ± 3.28                  | 63.9         |
| DDVP         | 55.15 ± 9.45                  | 76.6         |

### Table 3. K_i of purified AChE from PSS and N-PRS strains in the housefly. aRatio = K_i of PSS /K_i of N-PRS.

| Population | A162M | V260L | G342A |
|------------|-------|-------|-------|
| PSS        | A/A   | A/G   | G/G   |
| N-PRS      | 0/0   | 0/0   | 0/0   |

### Table 4. Non-synonymous mutations in propoxur resistant housefly. aThe former nucleotide or amino acid was detected in the PSS strain and the latter in the N-PRS strain.

| Nucleotide | Amino acid |
|------------|------------|
| 26         | A → C      |
| 49         | G → G      |
| 80         | T → T      |
| 486        | A → T      |
| 778        | G → C      |
| 1025       | G → A      |
| 1220       | T → T      |

### Table 5. Frequency of polymorphisms of amino acid mutation sites in Mdace.
ace that the overexpression of Mdace expression of Mdace possible fitness cost caused by mutations in many insects. Quantitative changes allow living organisms to adapt to changing environments, like consequent insecticide selection pressure. Lee et al. speculate that the overexpression of ace in the resistant strain improved their resistance to insecticides, perhaps by providing more space within the acyl-binding pocket, which is considered the most important mutation. There were no new substitutions in mature AChE protein identified in our study. Five mutations (P119S, V182L, G265A, and His556) were found in our study, and the experiments indicated that the overexpression of Mdace was remarkably higher in the N-PRS strain than in the PSS strain. Clear evidence has shown that the overexpression of ace (ace-1 and ace-2) was required to make up the reduced catalytic activity and the possible fitness cost caused by mutations in many insects. Quantitative changes allow living organisms to adapt to changing environments, like consequent insecticide selection pressure. Lee et al. speculate that the overexpression of ace in the resistant strain improved their resistance to insecticides, perhaps by providing more space within the acyl-binding pocket, which is considered the most important mutation.
molecular targets for OPs and CBs\textsuperscript{39}. In the N-PRS strain, the increased transcript level of \textit{Mdace} combined with the increased DNA copy numbers relative to the PSS strain, was related to a high insensitivity of the AChE enzyme to propoxur. In previous report on \textit{Tetranychus urticae} Koch, the proportion among the transcription of \textit{Tuace} and the level of duplication were proximately 1:1 in the three strains (UD, Pyrif, and AD) examined, suggesting that the actively transcribed all duplicated copies of \textit{Tuace} are associated with transcription of \textit{Tuace}\textsuperscript{27}. The quantity of TuAChE and the \textit{Tuace} copy numbers were in the direct ration\textsuperscript{38}. The fold differences between mRNA and DNA in our study were probably similar. However, we need further research to determine whether there was a difference between relative mRNA expression level and DNA copy number. Our study indicated that a target-mediated mechanism, which is based on both site mutations and overproduction in target expression, plays a significant role in resistance to propoxur in the housefly strain.

**Materials and methods**

**Insects.** Two housefly strains were used in this study. The propoxur-susceptible strain (PSS) was obtained from National Taiwan University in 1987, and reared in the laboratory without exposure to any insecticides\textsuperscript{48}. The near-isogenic line (NIL) with propoxur resistance (N-PRS) was established by Shan \textit{et al.} in 2016\textsuperscript{16}. Two housefly strains were reared under standard laboratory conditions (25 ± 1°C, 60–80% RH, and a photoperiod of 16:8[light:dark]) and supplied with water, sugar, and milk powder\textsuperscript{15}.

**Chemicals.** Propoxur (99%) was purchased from Bayer Company Limited. Methomyl (80%) was from Shandong Jinning Chemical Plant. Azamethiphos (95%) was from Shanghai Yongyuan Chemical Group Company Limited. Beta-cypermethrin (95.2%) and DDVP (98.7%) were obtained from Tianjin Longding Chemical Company Limited. Bifenithrin (95%) and Deltamethrin (99%) were from Jiangsu Yangnong Chemical Company Group Company Limited. Leupeptin, phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF), eserine hemisulfate, procainamide hydrochloride, bovine serum albumin (BSA), and acetylthiocholine iodide (ATChl) were acquired from Sigma Chemical Company. Tetraethylammonium iodide (Net4I) was from Sigma-Aldrich Company Limited. EDTA-Na2 was from BIO-RAD Laboratories. 2-Ethoxy-1-ethoxycarbonyl-1,2-dihydroquinoline (EEDQ) and 5,5'-dithiobis-(2-nitrobenzoic acid) (DTNB) were from Fluka Chemical Company. ECH-sepharose 4B was from Beijing Solarbio Science & Technology Company Limited. Sephadex G-25 columns were purchased from Amersham Pharmacia Biotech Incorporated. Other chemicals were purchased from commercial suppliers, all were analytical quality.

**Bioassays.** The bioassays were performed by a topical application method to assess the level of resistance in four-day-old houseflies\textsuperscript{36}. Insecticides were dissolved in acetone and diluted to 5–7 concentrations that gave a 10%–90% mortality rate. The treatment for each concentration was performed three repetitions and each 20 flies were used for each replicate. Control groups were treated with acetone alone. All the treated houseflies were placed in 240 mL plastic jars with a piece of sponge saturated in sugar water. The mortality was assessed at 24 h after treatment. The bioassays data was pooled and calculated using POLO-Plus 2.0 software (LeOra Software Lnc., Berkeley, CA).

**Purification and characterization of AChE.** The purified AChE from both PSS and N-PRS strains were obtained by affinity chromatography using procainamide as the affinity ligand, and the procedure was as follows\textsuperscript{39,40}:

Step 1: Preparation of the crude extract. Heads of four-day-old houseflies from each strain were homogenized in ice-cold phosphate buffer (0.1 M pHi 7.5, containing 1% Triton, 1 μM leupeptin, 1 mM EDTA-Na2, and 10 μM PMSF). The homogenate was centrifuged at 41,000 g for 1 h at 4°C. The filtered supernatant served as the crude enzyme source.

Step 2: Chromatography on a Sephadex G-25. All the collected supernatant from step 1 was put on a Sephadex G-25 column. The portions were gathered at a continuous flow rate of 40 mL/h at 4°C.

Step 3: Procainamide affinity chromatography and removal of procainamide and condensation. The gathered AChE sample from step 2 was loaded on the procainamide-based Sepharose 4B affinity column. The affinity column was eluted with phosphate buffer (0.1 M, pH 8.0, containing 0.2 M Nalco) and phosphate buffer (0.1 M, pH 8.0, containing 0.5 M Nalco) was used to remove protein impurity. Then the AChE was eluted with Net4I (0.05 M) in phosphate buffer (0.1 M, pH 8.0, containing 0.5 M Nalco). The collected AChE was dialyzed against phosphate buffer (0.05 M, pH 8.0) three times at 4°C for 3 h. The purified enzyme can be stored at ~80°C and served as the enzyme source.

**Measurement of AChE activity.** AChE activity was measured following the method of Gorun \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{41}. Reaction mixtures contained 0.1 mL ATChl and 0.1 mL purified enzyme source. The reaction was started when the enzyme was added. Subsequently, the reaction mixtures were incubated at 30°C for 15 min. The reaction was stopped by adding 3.6 mL DTNB. The AChE activity was determined by measuring the optical density (OD) of the reaction product at 412 nm\textsuperscript{35}. Each treatment was replicated three times. Enzyme was added to the control groups after the reaction stopped. Protein concentration was measured by the method of Bradford\textsuperscript{42} and BSA was used as the standard.

**Determination of AChE bimolecular rate constant \( K_{i} \).** The \( K_{i} \) values were measured for each enzyme by incubation with the inhibitor in assay buffer and by assaying aliquots for remaining AChE activity at various time points using SigmaPlot (Systat Software, USA).

**Sequence analysis and single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) detection of \textit{Mdace}.** Total RNA was extracted from each female housefly in the PSS and N-PRS strains, with RN07-EASYspin Tissue/Cellular RNA Rapid Extraction Kit (Aid lab, Beijing, China). The first-strand cDNA was synthesized using
Prime Script™ 1st Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Takara Biotechnology, Dalian, China). The full length of Mdace cDNA was amplified using primer pair 1 (forward: 5′-TCAACATCCAAATCCATATCCGC-3′; reverse: 5′-ATGTGTATGTGTGTGGGTGAGTGTT-3′). The 20 μL PCR reaction mixture contained 1.0 μL cDNA, 0.5 μL AChE-F primer (10 μM), 0.5 μL AChE-R primer (10 μM), 10 μL 2 × Taq Master Mix (Dye Plus), and 8 μL ddH2O (Vazyme, Nanjing, China). The PCR program: an initial denaturation step of 94 °C for 5 min, followed by 35 cycles of PCR reaction (94 °C for 30 sec, 55 °C for 30 sec, and 72 °C for 2.5 min) and a final extension of 72 °C for 10 min. The PCR product was directly sequenced by Beijing TSINGKE Biological Technology. The sequences were aligned using DNAMAN (Lynnon Biosoft, USA) and the polymorphisms of mutations were analyzed by the chromatogram viewer Chromas (Technelyum Pty Ltd). A total of 60 individual houseflies were utilized, with 30 for each population.

**Real-time quantitative PCR.** Total RNA and genomic DNA were extracted from heads of PSS and N-PRS females to test the expression levels of mRNA and DNA of the Mdace gene by quantitative real-time PCR. The reactions were performed on Applied Biosystems 7500 Real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). The primer pair 2 (forward: 5′-ATACACTGGCGCCGTAGAAGAC; reverse: 5′-TGCGGACCTGTAATTGAC-3′) was designed for Mdace based on the gene sequence. The GAPDH gene was served as internal reference gene. The standard curve of Mdace and the internal reference gene GAPDH were done by the threshold cycle of a serial 2-fold dilution of cDNA. The reactions were conducted in 20 μL containing 1.0 μL cDNA (1 μg in total) or 4 μL DNA (100 ng in total) template, 10 μL 2 × SYBR Premix Ex Taq (Takara), 0.4 μL forward primer (10 μM), 0.4 μL reverse primer (10 μM), 0.4 μL Rox II (Takara), and 7.8 μL (cDNA) or 4.8 μL (DNA) nuclease-free water. The qRT-PCR program was as follows: 95 °C for 2 min, followed by 40 cycles of PCR reaction (95 °C for 15 sec, 60 °C for 30 sec). After amplification, a final dissociation stage (95 °C for 15 sec, 60 °C for 15 sec, and 95 °C for 15 sec) was performed to ensure the amplification product was specific. Three technical replications and three biological replications were conducted in our study. qRT-PCR data was analyzed using the 2-ΔΔCt method.

**Data analysis**

The relative mRNA expression level and DNA copy number of Mdace in the PSS and N-PRS strains were determined by an t-test using the GraphPad InStat 3.0 software (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA).
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