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Abstract: We demonstrate lasing up to 230 K in a GeSn heterostructure micro-disk cavity. The GeSn 16.0% optically active layer was grown on a step-graded GeSn buffer, limiting the density of misfit dislocations. The lasing wavelengths shifted from 2720 to 2890 nm at 15 K up to 3200 nm at 230 K. Compared to results reported elsewhere, we attribute the increase in maximal lasing temperature to two factors: a stronger optical confinement by a thicker active layer and a better carrier confinement provided by a GeSn 13.8% / GeSn 16.0% / GeSn 13.8% double heterostructure.

1. Introduction

The continuously growing Si-based microelectronics industry requires higher bandwidths for data exchanges, reduced operating power and lower costs. Photonics integration opens up numerous possibilities to tackle those challenges, with resources and efforts placed on the development of (i) passive devices (waveguides, couplers …), (ii) active devices (photodetectors, modulators …) and (iii) monolithically integrated light sources. In their bulk form, almost all group IV semiconductors (C, Si, Ge …) have an indirect band gap. Thus, they cannot efficiently emit light. The monolithic integration of direct band gap III-V materials by epitaxy still suffers from lattice parameter mismatch and antiphase domains issues [1–3]. Although nano-heteroepitaxy [4,5] and template-assisted selective epitaxy (TASE) [6,7] have recently been proposed to cope with III-V monolithic growth issues, they are still in their infancies and require times to analyze their efficiency. As a result, the direct bonding of III-V heterostructures is still the method of choice for Si-photonics integration.

In Germanium (Ge), despite its indirect bandgap, the energy splitting between the Γ and L valleys is small, only 140 meV. The Γ valley can become lower in energy than the L valley, by applying an uniaxial or biaxial tensile strain in the Ge layer [8–12], or by alloying Ge with Sn [13–18]. The latter approach has gained ground since the demonstration in 2015 of optically pumped lasing in a GeSn Fabry-Pérot optical cavity [13]. Follow-up studies confirmed the robustness of GeSn as a gain material and improved the performance of GeSn lasers thanks to higher Sn concentrations in the optically active layers and the use of electronic potential barriers, made of lower Sn concentration GeSn alloys or SiGeSn ternary alloys, to improve carrier confinement [14–18]. Best performances to date are 45 kW/cm² for the lasing threshold of a GeSn 13.0%/SiGeSn heterostructure micro-disk cavity [18] and a maximum lasing temperature of 180 K for GeSn lasing cavities with Sn concentrations up to 16.0% - 17.5% [16,17]. From those trends, one could hope that the use of high Sn content optically active layers, combined with electronic confinement by lower Sn content GeSn or SiGeSn layers would help in reaching the holy grail of a low-threshold, room temperature GeSn laser.
In this study, we report lasing up to 230 K in an optically pumped GeSn 16.0% heterostructure micro-disk, with a low threshold at low temperature (134 kW/cm² at 15 K). A step-graded buffer made of GeSn layers with a gradually increasing Sn content was used to facilitate the growth of a high quality GeSn 16.0% optically active layer. Lasing threshold and temperature dependency were extracted from optical characterization.

2. Epitaxy and fabrication methods

The GeSn heterostructure was grown in a 200 mm Epi Centura 5200 Reduced Pressure – Chemical Vapor Deposition cluster tool from Applied Materials, using Ge₂H₆, SnCl₄ and Si₂H₆ as precursor gases. GeSn layers were grown on top of a 2.5 µm thick Ge strain relaxed buffer (SRB), which was itself deposited on top of a Si (001) substrate. Ge₂H₆ and SnCl₄ fluxes were fixed and the temperature gradually lowered – from 349°C down to 313°C - during the growth at 100 Torr to create discrete Sn concentration steps, known as “GeSn step-graded epitaxy” in [16,19,20]. Such a strategy limits the number of threading dislocations propagating towards the optically active GeSn 16.0% layer and relaxes it partially. The temperature was slightly increased after the deposition of the GeSn 16.0% layer to grow the GeSn 13.8% top barrier. A temperature of 349°C was used, together with Si₂H₆, to grow thin SiGeSn electronic barriers which encapsulated the whole GeSn heterostructure. Our aim was to limit electronic recombination on the top surface (top barrier) and potential scattering of carriers in the Ge buffer underneath (bottom barrier). ω-2θ scans around the (004) X-ray diffraction (XRD) order, along with Reciprocal Space Mapping (RSM) around the asymmetrical (224) XRD order [Figs. 1(a) and 1(b)] were used to calculate the Sn content, the macroscopic degree of strain relaxation R (%) and the strain value ε (%) in the Ge buffer, GeSn 13.8% and GeSn 16.0% layers, where the diffraction peaks are clearly resolved. Values are given in Table 1, showing a slightly tensile-strained Ge SRB and still compressively-strained GeSn 13.8% and 16.0% layers. The formula used in these calculations were taken from [19,21]. The macroscopic degree of strain relaxation and the Sn concentration for the GeSn 13.8% layers should be interpreted here as a mean value for the bottom and the top GeSn 13.8% layers, as we cannot distinguish in XRD one from the other. ω-2θ scan shows four GeSn peaks - corresponding to the four different concentrations present in the active layer/step-graded buffer - with two clearly resolved GeSn 13.8% and GeSn 16.0% layer peaks. Those layers are indeed thicker and of better crystalline quality than the GeSn 8% and 10% layers underneath (lower intensity, broader peaks in XRD). This interpretation was supported with Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) images, shown in Fig. 1(c), showing a dense array of misfit dislocations in the GeSn 8% and 10% layers - contrasting with the crystalline quality of the optically active GeSn 16.0% layer and of the GeSn 13.8% layers below and above, confirming the efficiency of the step-graded epitaxy technique. We extracted the thicknesses of GeSn/SiGeSn layers from the TEM image in Fig. 1(d): The optically active GeSn 16.0% layer was 418 nm thick. Based on a recent study on the growth kinetics of SiGeSn thin layers at 349°C [22], we would expect the Si and Sn contents in the bottom SiGeSn layer to be close to 9% and 8%, respectively. Strain relaxation that occurred during the growth of the step-graded structure might have had an impact on the composition of the top SiGeSn layer (which was deposited with exactly the same parameters of the bottom one). In other words, the Si and Sn contents of the top SiGeSn layer might be different from the 9% and 8% nominal values, but precise values are hard to determine experimentally (we would for instance expect the Sn content to be a few percent higher, based on what we know on Sn enrichment in plastically relaxed layers).
Fig. 1. (a) ω-2θ scan around the (004) XRD order of the GeSn/SiGeSn heterostructure, grown on a Ge SRB. (b) RSM around the asymmetrical (224) XRD order. (c),(d) Cross-sectional TEM images of the stack, showing in (c) the array of misfit dislocations in the lower part of the GeSn step-graded buffer. Red markers are provided for a better visualization of the interfaces. The thickness of each layer is provided in (d).

Table 1. Macroscopic degree of strain relaxation and strain level in the heterostructure. The Ge buffer is slightly tensile strained while GeSn 13.8% and 16.0% layers are still compressively strained

| Layer  | R    | ε    |
|--------|------|------|
| Ge buffer | 103.1% | 0.13% |
| GeSn 13.8% | 88.0% | −0.23% |
| GeSn 16.0% | 77.7% | −0.51% |

The micro-disk cavity pattern was then transferred to the GeSn heterostructure material stack using e-beam lithography, followed by an anisotropic etching in a Cl₂ plasma. The Ge buffer and the bottom SiGeSn layer were then fully etched, while the GeSn layer with the lowest Sn concentration (8%) was partially etched using an isotropic CF₄ – dry etch recipe [23,24]. The final thickness of the micro-disk is equal to 850 nm, as shown in Fig. 2(a). Based on layer thicknesses measured from the TEM image, the micro-disk cavity is made of (from
15 nm of SiGeSn, 100 nm of GeSn 13.8%, 418 nm of GeSn 16.0% (the optically active layer), 129 nm of GeSn 13.8%, 105 nm of GeSn 10% and finally 83 nm of GeSn 8%. We compared the PL signal under continuous excitation of a 20 µm diameter micro-disk (not shown here) - using the fabrication process described above - with the PL signal of the nominal stack. Since the laser spot diameter was 20 µm, we slightly shifted the alignment between the spot and the micro-disk to make sure the perimeter area was well covered. Results are shown in Fig. 2(b), with a shift towards higher wavelength for the micro-disk – which is due to strain relaxation because of the under-etch of the Ge buffer. A shoulder peak at the same wavelength than that of the spectrum of the nominal stack can also be spotted, which likely comes from the center area of the micro-disk, where the Ge pillar is present. All the lasing characterizations that follow were performed on a 10 µm diameter micro-disk.

Fig. 2. (a) Tilted view (SEM) image of GeSn heterostructure micro-disk cavities with the measured diameter and thickness. The calculated concentration and thickness of each layer after the under-etching step are provided in the inset. (b) PL signal under continuous excitation of a 20 µm micro-disk (blue) and the nominal stack (red), with a shift toward higher wavelength due to strain relaxation in the perimeter area.

3. Optical characterization results and discussion

3.1 Optical characterization results

The GeSn heterostructure micro-disk cavity was optically pumped with a pulsed 1064 nm Nd:YAG laser, with a repetition rate of 51 kHz and 0.6 ns pulse width. The laser beam was focused on the sample with a Cassegrain reflective objective, and the same objective was used to collect the PL signal. Absorptive optical density filters were used to modify the pumping power. The signal was analyzed by a Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectrometer, equipped with an InSb photodetector to record the PL signal. The PL setup provided an excitation spot of 20 µm diameter, large enough to cover the entire micro-disk cavity surface.

Figure 3(a) shows the evolution of lasing spectra at different temperatures. As temperature increased, a clear red-shift was observed, due to the reduction of the GeSn band gap. Only the spontaneous PL background was observed once the temperature reached 250 K, where the modal gain was not high enough for lasing. Figure 3(b) shows the $P_{\text{in}}$-$P_{\text{out}}$ characteristics of the GeSn micro-disk cavity at temperatures from 15 K to 230 K. The spontaneous and the lasing regime, with a linear increase of $P_{\text{out}}$ versus $P_{\text{in}}$, are clearly distinguished. At 15 K, 135 K and 190 K, the lasing thresholds - calculated from an extrapolation of the lasing regime to $P_{\text{out}} = 0$ – are 134 kW/cm², 375 kW/cm² and 640 kW/cm², respectively. Figure 3(c) shows the
exponential fit for the variation of threshold as function of temperature. We extracted from that fit a 117 K nominal characteristic temperature for our GeSn micro-disk laser. Figures 4(a) and 4(b) show the evolution of the lasing spectra at 15 K with the pumping powers: Below the threshold (105 kW/cm²), only a broad spontaneous PL background is observed. Spectra above threshold show multimode lasing, with two lasing peaks (2825, 2890 nm) at medium pumping powers (190 - 340 kW/cm²). At high pumping power (1660 kW/cm²), an additional lasing mode appears at 2720 nm. Compared to lower temperatures, the onset of lasing is more gradual at 230 K. Here, the extrapolation method can somewhat underestimate the lasing threshold of the micro-disk cavity. Figure 5(a) and 5(b) show the spectrum at 660 kW/cm². Although the pumping power is well above the threshold value given by the extrapolation (503 kW/cm²), only a broad spontaneous PL spectrum was observed instead of a narrow lasing peak. In this case, the transformation of the spectrum shape should be used to estimate the lasing threshold. Starting from 790 kW/cm², a narrow lasing peak appears at 3200 nm. 790 kW/cm² is therefore the upper limit of the lasing threshold at 230 K for our GeSn 16.0% heterostructure micro-disk cavity.

Fig. 3. (a) Lasing spectra at 15 K, 135 K, 190 K and 230 K. Only a PL background was observed at 250 K. The lasing spectra shown here were obtained at different pumping powers, highlighting the clearly resolved lasing peaks and the wavelengths shift. (b) \( P_{in} - P_{out} \) curves at 15 K, 135 K, 190 K and 230 K. (c) The exponential fit curve to the variation of threshold as a function of temperature yielded a nominal characteristic temperature \( T_0 = 117 \) K.
3.2 Discussion

The maximum lasing temperature is here 50 K higher than in previous reports on GeSn 16.0% - 17.5% optical cavities (230 K compared to 180 K) [16,17]. We explain such a lasing temperature improvement by differences in material stacks. First, the optically active GeSn 16.0% layer (418 nm) used here was thicker than the 181 nm of GeSn 16.0% in [16] and the 260 nm of GeSn 17.5% in [17]. The optical confinement is thus stronger and the modal gain in the under-etched micro-disk cavity higher. Simulation using a 1D model for TE₀ guided modes in a slab waveguide gives a confinement factor of 63% for the electric field in the perimeter of the micro-disk, which is significantly higher than the 38.2% confinement factor in our previously reported GeSn 16.0% micro-disk [16]. The confinement factor in the active GeSn 16.0% layer is higher in the perimeter than in the center area of the micro-disk, since the optical field decays into the thick Ge buffer underneath in the latter case [Figs. 6(a) and 6(b)]. We took as refractive index, n_{Ge} = 4 and n_{GeSn} = 4.2 based on spectroscopic ellipsometry measurement [25]. We otherwise, assumed that the refractive index difference
between GeSn layers of different Sn contents and SiGeSn layers was very small. In addition, there is a GeSn 13.8% / SiGeSn stack on top of the active layer, which was not present in [16] and [17] stacks. Such layers likely act as additional electronic potential barriers, confining the carriers in the optically active GeSn 16.0% layer. The bottom low concentration GeSn buffer did not contribute to that improvement; indeed, the very same GeSn buffer structure was used in [16]. The bottom SiGeSn layer is still present in the center of the micro-disk. Meanwhile, it was fully etched in the outer parts of the suspended micro-disks. The bottom SiGeSn layer therefore acts, in the center of the disk, as an electronic barrier between the Ge buffer and the optically active GeSn layers. However, since the favorable modes for lasing in such cavities are whispering gallery modes (WGM) – which are located mostly in the perimeter of such micro-disks, the efficiency of the bottom SiGeSn layer in the current configuration is questionable. Improving in the future the etching selectivity between Ge and GeSn/SiGeSn and thereby keeping the bottom SiGeSn layer intact during the fabrication process should help in answering that question. In addition, with the current material structure, we are still unable to decouple contributions from optical confinement and electronic confinement. A micro-disk cavity fabricated with a similar stack, this time without the top GeSn 13.8% / SiGeSn bi-layer, should be investigated to answer this question.

We can compare the low temperature threshold of this GeSn 16.0% micro-disk to literature values for the same kind of cavities. The current threshold, 134 kW/cm² at 15 K, is nearly three times lower than the one we recently published on GeSn 16.0% graded-buffer micro-disks [16] without any GeSn 13.8% / SiGeSn barriers (377 kW/cm² at 25 K) [16]. A 10K difference cannot explain such drastic threshold changes. We indeed obtained at 135K a threshold value of 375 kW/cm², i.e. almost the 25 K value in [16]. An optical confinement improvement because of the use of a thicker GeSn 16.0% active layer and a carrier confinement stemming from the presence of the GeSn 13.8% / SiGeSn bi layer on top of our micro-disk most likely explains, besides the 50K increase in the maximum lasing temperature, why we had this nearly three times reduction of the low temperature threshold.

Our 15K threshold is otherwise very close to that reported for lower Sn concentration GeSn micro-disks in [15] (130 kW/cm² at 20 K for 12.5% of Sn). One would expect a lower lasing threshold in higher GeSn micro-disk cavities, given the higher gap between Γ and L valleys. Higher Sn concentration GeSn layers might however be of lesser quality as they are more metastable (higher risks of having Sn nano-precipitates and other defects in the optically active layers). This would have a harmful impact on laser performances. Significantly lower thresholds (45 kW/cm² at 20 K) were recently obtained in micro-disks with GeSn 13.0% multi quantum wells (MQW) [18]. This might be a path forward for a future optimization of GeSn 16.0% micro-disk performances.

Being able to grow SiGeSn barrier layers beneath and just above GeSn 16.0% optically active layers would then be mandatory. This would be difficult because of thermal budget and Sn segregation issues. Indeed, the SiGeSn barrier layers were grown here at 349°C, while the optically active GeSn 16.0% layer was grown at 313°C only. A 37°C temperature difference would have a huge impact on Sn segregation (Sn droplet formation on the surface). We indeed noticed that the surface of thick GeSn 16.0% layers was very unstable. Such layers had to be capped with lower tin content layers without any growth interruption, which would have been mandatory during the temperature ramping-up prior to SiGeSn encapsulation. We have however progressed concerning the growth of SiGeSn layers at various temperatures and should be able, in the near future, to grow SiGeSn barriers beneath and just above such GeSn 16.0% layers [26].
4. Conclusion

In summary, we demonstrated that a GeSn heterostructure micro-disk cavity with a thick optically active GeSn 16.0\% layer can lase up to 230 K. Such operating temperatures are readily accessible with two-stage thermo-electric Peltier coolers. To limit the number of threading dislocations in the optically active layer, we used a step-graded epitaxy with discrete concentration steps. Spectrally multimode lasing was observed, with emitted wavelengths ranging from 2720 nm to 3200 nm. The state-of-the-art GeSn laser performances, with a low lasing threshold at low temperature (134 kW/cm² at 15K) and an elevated maximal lasing temperature (230K), came from a stronger optical confinement and a better carrier confinement. Future work will aim to decouple the contribution from each and find a strategy to further improve GeSn lasing performances.
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