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ABSTRACT: Isolation of circulating tumor cells (CTCs) is of great significance for the diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment of metastatic cancer. Among CTC capture methods independent of antibodies, membrane filtration-based methods have the advantages of simplicity, rapidity, and high throughput but usually have problems such as clogging, high pressure drop, and impaired cell viability. In this study, we designed and tested a reusable device that used horizontal rotor and fluid-assisted separation to capture CTCs by centrifugal membrane filtration, achieving simple, fast, highly efficient, and viable cell capture on traditional centrifuge. The average capture efficiency was 95.8% for different types of cancer cells with >90% survival, and the removal of white blood cells can reach 99.72% under four times cleaning of the membrane after filtration. A further clinic demo was performed using the device to detect residual leukemic cells in patients; the results showed a 10-fold enrichment of the leukemic cells in peripheral blood samples. Taken together, the simple, robust, and efficient CTC capture device may have the potential for clinical routine detection and analysis of circulating tumor cells.

1. INTRODUCTION

Cancer metastases cause about 90% of cancer-related deaths.\(^1\) Circulating tumor cells (CTCs) are cancer cells that shed from primary tumor sites to blood or lymph vessels and have the potential to induce metastasis in distant tissues or organs through the blood circulatory system.\(^2,\)\(^3\) In many cancers, the number of CTCs correlates with the progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) of patients;\(^4,\)\(^5\) hence, the diagnostic and prognostic prediction by CTCs is prospective in cancer control of patients.\(^6,\)\(^7\) CTCs are recognized as a biomarker for tumor progress and therapeutic response,\(^8\) the surveillance of CTCs is of great significance for clinical applications, such as early metastatic detection and individual treatment plan choice,\(^9,\)\(^10\) and CTCs from patients can also be used for drug resistance tests and therapeutic targets’ searches.\(^11\)

The first step for CTC analysis is the capture of CTCs, and it is crucial to obtain intact and viable CTCs for various applications. However, this has always been challenging since CTCs are rare and fragile in peripheral blood.\(^12\) Nowadays, methods using the biological or physical properties of CTCs and methods combined to detect or capture CTCs are gradually emerging;\(^13\) however, there is still a long way to go to reach extensive clinical routine use. The immuno-capture methods show good specificity but deeply rely on the expression level of cell surface biomarkers.\(^14\) The heterogeneity and epithelial–mesenchymal transition (EMT) of cancer cells are sure to result in loss of detected CTCs in affinity-based methods,\(^15\) and the attachment of target cells to the artificial surface will definitely affect the viability of cells.\(^16\) Besides, antibody-based methods are usually expensive and time-consuming with the biochemical marker reagents usage and surface modification process.\(^17\)

The CTC enrichment methods based on physical properties utilize the size, shape, density, or deformability difference between CTCs and blood cells, showing advantages for rapid and label-free analysis.\(^18\) Microchip-based streamline or filtration methods can reach high flow rate but usually are sensitive to it, and these methods often need special requirements or have poor robustness.\(^19\) On the other hand, the micropore filtration methods are simple, low cost, and easy to operate and can reach high throughput and recovery.\(^20,\)\(^21\) However, there are usually the problems of clogging, high pressure drop, and cell viability damage.\(^22\) In order to improve the performance of membrane filtration, many researches attempted to design a new filter structure or filtration device, such as a separable bilayer (SB) microfiltration device or fabric filter device.\(^23,\)\(^24\) Fluid-assisted separation technology (FAST) is a method inspired by antifouling membranes with liquid-filled pores in nature, which has the advantage of low pressure drops in
filtration processes and may have prospects in the application of centrifugal filtration.25

With regard to the cancer cell identification, a combination of antibodies is usually used for different cells; however, the immunobinding process is rather complex and time-consuming.26 As widely used in clinical diagnosis, especially in the detection of hematologic disorders, morphological examination by using Wright–Giemsa staining and microscope investigation can provide the detailed structure of cells and has the advantage of simpleness, quickness, and good accuracy.27,28

Due to the shortness and limited application of different CTC capture methods, there is still urgent need for simple, high-throughput, highly efficient and viable CTC capture methods for large volume clinical samples. Here we design a device that realizes self-adapting to a uniform cell distribution on a membrane by horizontal rotor and fluid-assisted separation. Using the device, we can achieve label-free CTC capture with high throughput, high capture efficiency, and high viability, and combined with morphological examination, the separation and identification of cancer cells can be realized in the meantime during filtration. For clinical applications such as point-of-care testing of patient blood samples, the device may be used for routine cancer detection and analysis since it is simple, rapid, robust, and reusable.

2. RESULTS

2.1. Design Principles of the Filtration Device. As shown in Figures 1a, 2d, and S1, the filtration device was designed for the realization of fluid-assisted CTC separation in a traditional centrifuge with the device assembled in a 50 mL centrifuge tube, and the horizontal rotor was used to avoid uneven cell stress during centrifugation; thus, the cells distributed uniformly on the membrane and simple, rapid, highly efficient CTC capture was achieved (Figure 1b).

In order to compare the cells’ performance on the membrane when centrifuged in a different way, HeLa cells stained red were filtrated in a fixed or horizontal rotor and imaged with a low-magnification microscope. The results are shown in Figure 1c: the distribution of cells using the fixed rotor was uneven, and cells were found at the edge of the membrane, while cells using the horizontal rotor were uniformly distributed on the membrane, avoiding cell capture efficiency reduction and cell viability damage caused by clogging.

2.2. Design Parameters of the Filtration Device. The design parameters of the device are shown in Figure 2a, and it consisted of four parts (Figure 2b and c). The top cylindrical chamber was used for sample injection, the bottom tank was a liquid reservoir, and the middle component comprised of upper and lower parts was used to place the membrane. There were grooves or notches in the two parts, which were used for the flow of the liquid to the 50 mL tube (Figure 2d). The sample volume injected to the device can be as large as 15 mL, and the centrifugation process was usually finished in 1 min. Therefore, rapid, high-throughput liquid sample analysis can be achieved.

2.3. Characterization of the Filtration Membrane Used in the Device. The characterization of the filtration membrane by SEM is demonstrated in Figure S2a, and the size distribution of the membrane pore is shown in Figure S2b, with an average pore size of 6.881 ± 0.592 μm (n = 100) and porosity of 3.3%. Besides, membranes with different pore sizes or porosities can also be assembled in the device for CTC capture. The images of the membrane before and after filtration of the blood sample are shown in Figure S2c, demonstrating the device can be used for simple and rapid liquid sample analysis.

2.4. Validation of the Device Using Cancer Cells in Culture Medium or Spiked in Blood. The capture efficiency of cancer cells in culture medium or spiked in blood was measured using different cell densities and cell lines. RFP-labeled cancer cells were added in the device for centrifugal filtration, and the cells on the membrane and flowing out from the device were counted. The results of capture efficiency are shown in Figure S3a and b for culture medium sample and Figure 3a and b for the blood sample. In order to simulate the clinical CTC concentration in patient blood, the densities of the cancer cells were set at the range 1–600 cells/mL. The average capture efficiency showed good linearity and was 96.2% and 95.1% in the culture medium and blood, respectively. The capture efficiencies of four cell lines, including cervical cancer HeLa cells, colon cancer SW620 cells, breast cancer MDA-MB-231 cells, and lung cancer NCI-H226 cells, were detected, and the average efficiencies in blood were 94.6%, 97.8%, 95.7%, and 94.9%, respectively. For the cancer cells in the culture medium or spiked in blood, the average capture efficiency for the four cell lines was 95.4 ± 0.8% and 95.8 ± 1.4%, indicating the device can be used to capture different types of cancer cells with different sizes and deformabilities.

Purity was important for CTC detection and analysis, so rapid and efficient WBCs’ removal in blood samples was significant. The fluorescent images of cancer cells (red) and WBCs (blue) on the membrane and flow out of the device after filtration are shown in Figures 3c and S3c, respectively. It was evident that
most of the cancer cells were collected on the membrane while the majority of WBCs flowed out of the membrane. The wash times of the membrane using PBS after filtration affected not only the removal of WBCs but also the detection of CTCs; hence, the depletion ratio of WBCs and the capture efficiency of cancer cells were calculated under different washing times. As shown in Figure 3d, for washing 0−4 times, the log depletion ratio of WBCs was 1.91 ± 0.21, 1.99 ± 0.18, 2.18 ± 0.13, 2.34 ± 0.23, and 2.55 ± 0.15. With WBCs’ removal proportion increased from 98.78% to 99.72%, the capture efficiency of cancer cells was 94.6%, 94.4%, 96.0%, 93.1%, and 91.2% at 0−4 washing times, indicating the validity of the device used for CTC capture.

In order to further compare the performance of CTC detection at different centrifugal modes, the capture efficiency of cancer cells and the depletion ratio of WBCs were measured using a horizontal rotor or fixed rotator and FAST or non-FAST centrifugation. From the results shown in Figure S3d, we find that when capturing the cancer cells spiked in blood using the horizontal rotor and FAST centrifugation, both the capture efficiency and depletion ratio showed promotion compared with the fixed rotator and non-FAST centrifugation.

2.5. Viability and Growth Verification of Cancer Cells after Separation. Since survival of captured cells is also vital for further cell analysis and testing, the viability and growth of cancer cells after centrifugal filtration were detected. As shown in Figure 4a-b, green fluorescence demonstrated good viability of captured cancer cells and the survival rate was 90.2% and 94.4% at the first and tenth day, respectively. The difference of cell viability between experiment and control group was not significant, indicating that the filtration process had little damage on cancer cells.

Figure 4c-d showed the images of captured cells at 1−5 day after filtration and corresponding growth curve. Cells grew well in both experiment and control group, the cell counts had no significant difference and the curve both fitted growth curve model well.

2.6. Isolation of Residual Leukemic Cells from Patients Received Treatment. Detected immature cells in peripheral blood indicated emergence or relapse of the blood disease. We analyzed the peripheral blood of acute leukemia patients who had received treatment, and the residual leukemic cells were enriched by the device and the staining process was finished during filtration. As demonstrated in Figure 5a and b, the cells stained by Wright−Giemsa clearly showed the size and structure of the cell and its nuclei, and the leukemic cell percentage of patients by counting 100−800 cells in three parallel groups is shown in Figure 5c. For patient-1 and patient-3, whose cancer cells were undetectable in peripheral blood, the leukemic cell percentage after enrichment was 2.3% and 13.9% respectively, and for patient-2 the leukemic cell percentage increased from 1% to 10.7%, indicating 10-fold improvement for cancer cell detection.
3. DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

Although many researches revealed the potential value of CTCs in cancer diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment, the application of CTC detection in the clinical environment still faces major challenges. Large sample volumes and multiple blood draws may be requirements for CTC analysis in the future and may provide more information about patients. Membrane filtration and other size-based methods used for CTC capture have shown great prospects in application with the advantages of simpleness, rapidness, and high throughput. However, in the face of complex
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