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Abstract

Background: Peripheral Arterial Disease (PAD) causes significant health and economic burden. Nice guidelines recommend performing Doppler US (DUS) as the first line in all patients with PAD for whom re-vascularization is being considered. MRA should be performed if further imaging is needed before re-vascularization and CT angiography (CTA) is indicated only if MRA is not tolerated or contraindicated. Some published studies showed superiority of contrast enhanced MRA over conventional diagnostic angiography. On the basis of these guidelines and the published literature diagnostic invasive lower limb angiography should not be routine practice because of the risks and the costs implications. We performed a study to identify the adherence in our unit to these guidelines and the resulting impact on patient safety and service provision costs.

Methods: Retrospective data collection in a single large UK tertiary referral centre. Fifty-eight patients who underwent lower limb bypass surgery were included over a time period from June 2015 to June 2016.

Results: 75% of the patients (N: 44) had DUS as a first investigation, 29% (N: 17) had CTA or MRA as a second investigation and 39% (N: 23) of the patients had diagnostic angiography preoperatively with an overall complication rate (diagnostic and therapeutic angiography) of 12%. The estimated costs of the angiographies during the one-year period were £28,934 (£1,258 per patient).

Conclusion: Significant percentage of the patients going for lower limb bypass surgery are subject to unnecessary risk due to pre-operative diagnostic angiography with an overall increase in service costs. This is unjustified in the view of the current National guidelines and published literature.

Keywords: Tertiary vascular unit, Peripheral Arterial Disease (PAD), UK

Introduction

Peripheral Arterial Disease (PAD) causes significant health and economic burden. It is estimated that 20% of people aged over 60 years have some degree of peripheral arterial disease. Diagnostic modalities commonly used vary from simple non-invasive modalities like Doppler ultrasound (DUS), CT angiography (CTA), Magnetic resonance angiography (MRA) up to invasive procedures as diagnostic angiography.

Although invasive diagnostic angiography has been historically considered as the gold standard for diagnosis of PAD, simple non invasive procedures have been too shown to have high sensitivity and specificity. Simple Doppler ultrasound (DUS) was shown to have 78% sensitivity and 99% specificity for the diagnosis of popliteal artery PAD with even better results in femoral artery with a 100% sensitivity and 95% specificity [3]. CTA was shown to have high sensitivity (96%) and specificity (95%). MRA had a sensitivity of 93% and specificity of 94% and was even shown in more than one study to be superior to invasive angiography [4, 5].

Nice guidelines recommend performing Doppler US (DUS) as the first line in all the patients with PAD for whom re-vascularization is being considered. MRA should be performed if further imaging is needed before re-vascularization and CT angiography (CTA) is indicated only if MRA is not tolerated or contraindicated. On the basis of these guidelines as well as the aforementioned literature diagnostic invasive lower limb angiography should not be the routine practice because of the risks and the costs implications.
We performed a study to identify the adherence in our unit which is a big university hospital and tertiary referral centre in the UK to these guidelines and the resulting impact on patient safety and service provision costs.

**Patients & Methods**
Retrospective data collection from the patient’s records in a single large UK tertiary referral centre (University Hospital Coventry and Warwickshire) over a one-year time period from June 2015 to June 2016. All Patients who underwent lower limb bypass surgery were included except those who had an extra-anatomical bypass. Hospital research and development department approval was acquired.

**Results**
Fifty-eight patients who underwent lower limb bypass surgery from June 2015 to June 2016 were included. 75% of these patients (N: 44) had DUS as a first investigation, 29% (N: 17) had CTA or MRA as a second investigation. Of the patients included in our study 84% underwent angiography with 46% of them having diagnostic angiography and only 34% having therapeutic angiography (N:49, N diagnostic:23, N therapeutic:17). Overall 39 % of all patients included in our study had diagnostic angiography (N: 23/58). Table one shows the numbers of patients who underwent each investigation and the order of the investigation in relation to other investigations done for the same patients.

| INV Order | US | MRI | CT | Angiography |
|-----------|----|-----|----|-------------|
| 1*        | 44 (75 %) | 5 (8.6 %) | 7 (12 %) | 2 (3 %)    |
| 2*        | 2 (3.8%) | 10 (19 %) | 7 (13 %) | 33 (63 %)  |
| 3*        | 4 (22 %) | 0 | 0 | 14 (77 %)  |

The complications rate of angiography (diagnostic and therapeutic angiography) for patients included in our study was 12%. The complications that occurred during our study included contrast leak, puncture site haematoma, dissection, retroperitoneal haemorrhage, blood transfusion and inability to tolerate the procedure. Table two shows the complications.

| Contrast leak | Haematoma | Procedure not tolerated | Arterial perforation, subintimal dissection | Retroperitoneal Haematoma, hypotension and blood transfusion |
|---------------|-----------|-------------------------|------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| 1             | 2         | 1                       | 1                                        | 1                                                |
| 2*            | 0         | 0                       | 0                                        | 0                                                |
| 3*            | 0         | 0                       | 0                                        | 0                                                |

### Conclusion
Significant percentage of the patients going for lower limb bypass surgery are subject to unnecessary risk due to pre-operative diagnostic angiography with an overall increase in service costs. This is unjustified in the view of the current National guidelines and published literature.
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