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Abstract: Differential equations of infinite order are an increasingly important class of equations in theoretical physics. Such equations are ubiquitous in string field theory and have recently attracted considerable interest also from cosmologists. Though these equations have been studied in the classical mathematical literature, it appears that the physics community is largely unaware of the relevant formalism. Of particular importance is the fate of the initial value problem. Under what circumstances do infinite order differential equations possess a well-defined initial value problem and how many initial data are required? In this paper we study the initial value problem for infinite order differential equations in the mathematical framework of the formal operator calculus, with analytic initial data. This formalism allows us to handle simultaneously a wide array of different nonlocal equations within a single framework and also admits a transparent physical interpretation. We show that differential equations of infinite order do not generically admit infinitely many initial data. Rather, each pole of the propagator contributes two initial data to the final solution. Though it is possible to find differential equations of infinite order which admit well-defined initial value problem with only two initial data, neither the dynamical equations of $p$-adic string theory nor string field theory seem to belong to this class. However, both theories can be rendered ghost-free by suitable definition of the action of the formal pseudo-differential operator. This prescription restricts the theory to frequencies within some contour in the complex plane and hence may be thought of as a sort of ultra-violet cut-off. Our results place certain recent attempts to study inflation in the context of nonlocal field theories on a much firmer mathematical footing.
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1. Introduction

1.1 Differential Equations of Infinite Order in Theoretical Physics

Differential equations containing an infinite number of derivatives (both time and space derivatives) are an increasingly important class of equations in theoretical physics. Nonlocal field theories with infinitely many powers of the d’Alembertian operator $\Box$ (given by $\Box = -\partial_t^2 + \nabla^2$ in flat space) appear ubiquitous in string field theories [1]-[10] (for a review see [11]). This nonlocal structure is also shared by $p$-adic string theory [12] (see also [13]), a toy model of the bosonic string tachyon. Yet another example of a field theory containing infinitely many powers of $\Box$ can be obtained by quantizing strings on a random lattice [14] (see also [15]). Moreover, field theories containing infinitely many derivatives have recently received considerable attention from cosmologists [16]-[34] due to a wide array of novel cosmological properties including the possibility of realizing quintessence with $w < -1$ within a sensible microscopic theory [16]-[21], improved ultra-violet (UV) behaviour [22, 23], bouncing solutions [22-24] and self-inflation [25] (see also [30] for applications both to bouncing cosmologies and also to dark energy and see [29, 31] for a discussion of the construction of cosmological solutions in infinite order theories). In [22] it was shown that cosmological models based on $p$-adic string theory can give rise to slow roll inflation even when the potential is extremely steep. This remarkable behaviour was found to be a rather general feature of nonlocal hill-top inflationary models in [33]. In [34] it was shown that nonlocal hill-top inflation is among the very few classes of inflationary models which can give rise to a large nongaussian signature in the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB).

Differential equations with infinitely many derivatives which arise frequently in the literature include the dynamical equation of $p$-adic string theory [12]

$$p^{-\Box/2}\phi = \phi^p \tag{1.1}$$

where $p$ is a prime number (though it appears that the theory can be sensibly continued to other values of $p$ also [32]) and we have set $m_s \equiv 1$. A second popular example is the dynamical equation of the tachyon field in bosonic open string field theory (SFT) which can be cast in the form (see, for example, [33])

$$[\left(1 + \Box\right) e^{-c\Box} - 2] \phi = \phi^2 \tag{1.2}$$

where $c = \ln(3^3/4^2)$. In both cases the field $\phi$ is a tachyon representing the instability of some non BPS D-brane configuration. More generally, there is considerable interest in applications of a wide class of equations of the form [24, 26, 27, 28]

$$F(\Box)\phi = U(\phi) \tag{1.3}$$

where $U(\phi) = V'(\phi)$ is the derivative of some potential energy function $V(\phi)$ associated with the field $\phi$ and we refer to $F(z)$ as the kinetic function. Equations of the form (1.3) are interesting in their own right from the mathematical perspective and some special cases have received attention recently [37]-[40].
Somewhat more general classes of infinite order differential equations, in which the
derivatives do not necessarily appear in the combination \( \Box = -\partial_t^2 + \vec{\nabla}^2 \), arise in noncommutative field theory \[41\], fluid dynamics \[42, 43\] and quantum algebras \[42\].

1.2 The Importance of the Initial Value Problem

Of particular interest is the fate of the initial value problem for infinite derivative theories. When does equation (1.3) admit a well-defined initial value problem - even formally, that is ignoring issues of convergence - and how many initial data are required to determine a solution? Such questions are fundamental to any physical application. To emphasize the nature of the problem that we are solving, let us consider a few trivial examples. First consider the equation

\[
(\partial_t^2 - m^2)^2 \phi = 0
\]

It is easy to see that, due to the presence of the operator \( \partial_t^2 - m^2 \), the function

\[
\phi_1(t) = Ae^{mt} + Be^{-mt}
\]

provides a two-parameter solution of (1.4). Of course, this is not the most general solution. To \( \phi_1 \) one could also append

\[
\phi_2(t) = t \left[ Ce^{mt} + De^{-mt} \right]
\]

Since equation (1.4) is fourth order in derivatives it is not surprising that the four parameter solution \( \phi(t) = \phi_1(t) + \phi_2(t) \) provides the most general possible solution and the initial value problem is well-posed with four initial conditions. We now consider the somewhat less trivial example

\[
\text{Ai}(-\partial_t^2) \phi(t) = 0
\]

where \( \text{Ai}(z) \) is the Airy function. It is perhaps obvious that a solution is provided by

\[
\phi(t) = \sum_n \left[ a_n e^{m_n t} + b_n e^{-m_n t} \right]
\]

where \( \{m_n^2\} \) are the zeroes of the \( \text{Ai}(-z) \) (the first few roots are \( m_1^2 \approx 1.17, m_2^2 \approx 3.27, \cdots \)). What is probably less obvious is whether (1.8) provides the most general solution of (1.7). Could there be additional solutions which are not as trivial to construct as (1.8)? Since the original equation (1.7) was infinite order, it is not entirely obvious. An even less trivial example is provided by the equation

\[
\sqrt{\partial_t^2 - m^2} \phi = 0
\]

which has applications to bosonization. How many initial conditions are necessary to specify a solution of (1.9)?

In this paper we study the initial value problem for differential equations with infinitely many derivatives in the context of the formal operator calculus. The initial value problem for various nonlocal theories has also been studied in \[27\], \[44\]-\[50\]. In this paper we lay down a simple and intuitive formalism for studying the initial value problem in nonlocal
theories which is sufficiently general to handle a wide variety of different types of nonlocality. (In particular, our formalism is sufficiently general to handle the motivational examples (1.4, 1.7, 1.9).) Though we do not derive any new solution of (1.1, 1.2) we nevertheless feel that it is instructive to re-consider such equations in the context of our formalism. Our approach may be readily applied also to other nonlocal equations which arise in physical applications.

It is not uncommon to see equations of the form (1.3) described as “a new class of equations in mathematical physics” in the string theory and cosmology literature. However, it happens that differential equations of infinite order have been studied in the mathematical literature for quite some time. Indeed, the study of linear differential equations of infinite order was the subject of an extensive treatise by H. T. Davis as early as 1936 [51]! This treatise and papers by Davis [52] and Carmichael [53] give an account of this theory as it stood at the time. The topic was further developed from an analytical perspective by Carleson [54], who showed that the solutions of differential equations of infinite order need not be analytic functions, and obtained sufficient conditions in terms of the coefficients of the equation for the solutions of the initial value problem to be analytic. We should also mention that initial value problems for some special classes of differential equations of infinite order which are not of the type studied in this paper appear in the modern theory of pseudo-differential operators [55]. However, for the purposes of this paper, the symbolic calculus described in the classical papers [51] and [53] will be sufficient, since our main focus is on the determination of the number of parameters on which the analytic local solutions of the initial value problem could possibly depend. It is a bit surprising that the physics community has apparently not been aware of this classical mathematical literature, given the simplicity of the mathematical formalism which relies only on some basic results from complex analysis and the theory of integral transforms. One of our primary goals in the current note is to bring this mathematical literature to the attention of the physics community and to apply the formalism to certain equations of particular physical interest.

Since differential equations of $N$-th order (in the time derivative) require $N$ initial data it is sometimes reasoned that equations of the form (1.3) admit a unique solution only once infinitely many initial data are specified (as in our toy example (1.7)). Such a situation would pose serious difficulties for any physical application: by suitable choice of infinite data one could freely specify the solution $\phi(t)$ to arbitrary accuracy in any finite time interval $\Delta t$ [13]. In such a situation the initial value problem completely loses predictivity. Fortunately, it is not generically the case that differential equations of infinite order admit infinitely many initial data. We will show that for free field theories every pole of the propagator contributes two initial data to the solution of the field equation. This result is simple to understand on physical grounds since each pole of the propagator corresponds to a physical excitation in the theory and, on quantization, the two initial data per degree of freedom are promoted to annihilation/creation operators.

In the context of quantum field theory the question of counting initial data is intimately related to the question of whether the theory suffers from the presence of ghosts - quantum states having wrong-sign kinetic term in the Lagrangian. The presence of ghosts signals a pathology in the underlying quantum field theory since these states either violate unitarity...
or else carry negative kinetic energy and lead to vacuum instability. One must worry about the presence of ghosts in theories which give rise to equations of the form since the addition of finitely many higher derivative terms in the Lagrangian generically introduces ghost-like excitations into the theory. As we shall see later on, this is not necessarily the case in nonlocal field theories containing infinitely many higher derivative terms. A related worry is the presence of the Ostrogradski instability (see for a review) which generically plagues finite higher derivative theories. The Ostrogradski instability is essentially the classical manifestation of having ghosts in the theory. Later on we will elucidate more carefully the relationship between these issues.

Though it is possible to construct nonlocal equations which evade the Ostrogradski instability, we will show that neither the dynamical equations of \( p \)-adic string theory (1.1) nor SFT (1.2) belong to this class. However, we illustrate a simple nonperturbative prescription for re-defining these theories in such a way as to evade such difficulties. This prescription restricts the theory to frequencies \( \omega \) within some contour \( C \) in the complex plane and may be analogous to placing an ultra-violet (UV) cut-off on the theory.

The plan of this paper is as follows. In section 2 we consider linear ordinary differential equations of infinite order, laying down the necessary formalism for counting initial conditions. In section 3 we generalize this analysis to partial differential equations of infinite order (in the case that the derivatives appear in the combination \( \Box = -\partial_t^2 + \vec{\nabla}^2 \)) showing that the initial data counting has a transparent physical interpretation and commenting also on the failure of the Ostrogradski construction. In section 4 we apply our prescription for initial data counting to some particular infinite order differential equations which appear frequently in the literature. In section 5 we discuss the nonlinear problem and illustrate the application of our formalism to nonlinear equations by studying the equation of \( p \)-adic string theory using a perturbative expansion about the unstable vacuum of the theory. We present our conclusions in section 6. Appendix A reviews the relation between (1.1) and a certain nonlinear Fredholm equation; appendix B gives some technical details concerning our conventions for the Laplace transform and appendix C applies our initial data counting to open-closed \( p \)-adic string theory.

2. Linear Ordinary Differential Equations of Infinite Order: Counting the Initial Data

Before specializing to particular equations of immediate physical interest we first develop the general theory of linear ordinary differential equations (ODEs) of the form

\[
f(\partial_t)\phi(t) = J(t)
\]  

(2.1)

where the function \( f(s) \) is often called the \textit{generatrix} in mathematical literature. Equations of the form (2.1) are closely associated with both Fredholm integral equations and this relation is illustrated in appendix A for the case of the \( p \)-adic string. There are various prescriptions which one might use to define the action of the formal pseudo-differential operator \( f(\partial_t) \). In the case that \( f(s) \) is an analytic function one might represent it by the
convergent series expansion

\[ f(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(n)}(0)}{n!} s^n \]  

so that (2.1) can be written as

\[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(n)}(0)}{n!} \partial_t^{(n)} f(t) = J(t) \]

In the case where \( f(s) \) is non-analytic however, some alternative definition is required. (Notice that the possibility of non-analytic generatrix is not purely academic. The zeta-strings model of \[28\] involves a nonanalytic kinetic function.) A natural prescription is to define the pseudo-differential operator \( f(\partial_t) \) through its action on Laplace transforms.¹ Let us assume that we are given a function \( \phi(t) \) which admits a Laplace transform \( \tilde{\phi}(s) \) defined by

\[ \phi(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_C ds \, e^{st} \tilde{\phi}(s) \]  

valid for \( t \geq 0 \) where \( C \) is a contour to be specified (see appendix B for details). For \( t < 0 \) this expression does not, strictly, apply and \( \phi(t) \) should be taken to vanish (see again appendix B for more details). It is natural to define \( f(\partial_t)\phi(t) \) by

\[ f(\partial_t)\phi(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C ds \, e^{st} \left[ f(s)\tilde{\phi}(s) \right] \]  

where we drop the additional term involving \( \phi^{(i)}(0) \) (see appendix B) which can be absorbed into the arbitrary coefficients in the solutions of (2.1) (this will become clear shortly). If we make the natural choice of taking \( C \) to be an contour which encloses all the poles of the integrand in (2.3) then (2.4) reproduces the infinite series definition (2.2) for \( f(s) \) analytic. Moreover, this definition reproduces the one used in [58]. This is the choice of \( C \) which is mathematically well-motivated and we will make this choice for the most part. However, later on we will consider a particular alternative choice of \( C \) which is motivated by physical considerations.

We will be particularly interested in equations of the form (2.1) for which the generatrix may be cast in the form

\[ f(s) = \gamma(s) \prod_{i=1}^{M} (s-s_i)^{r_i} \]  

with \( \gamma(s) \) being everywhere non-zero, which implies that \( f(s) \) has precisely \( M \) zeros at the points \( s = s_i \), the \( i \)-th zero being of order \( r_i \). For simplicity we assume that all the \( r_i \) are positive integers, though this assumption is relaxed in subsection 2.4. We further assume that \( |s_1| < |s_2| < \cdots < |s_M| \). The function \( \gamma(s)^{-1} \) is nonsingular on the disk \( |s| < |s_M| \) but is otherwise arbitrary. It is useful also to introduce the resolvent generatrix \( f(s)^{-1} \) which has simple poles at the points \( s = s_i \), the \( i \)-th pole being of order \( r_i \).

¹One might instead imagine using a Laurent series definition, however, such a definition would be inherently ambiguous because \( f(s) \) would admit different Laurent series expansions, each valid in a different annulus about \( s = 0 \).

²One could require for example that \( \phi(t) \) be entire, of exponential type.
2.1 The Homogeneous Equation

We first assume that we are given a solution of (2.1) in the case that \( J(t) = 0 \), and that this solution admits a Laplace transform \( \tilde{\phi}(s) \) defined by (2.3). Substituting (2.3) into (2.1), yields

\[
\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_C ds \, e^{st} f(s) \tilde{\phi}(s) = 0 \quad (2.6)
\]

What is the most general function \( \tilde{\phi}(s) \) which satisfies this condition? From the Cauchy integral theorem we know that this condition will be satisfied only if the integrand is analytic everywhere in a neighborhood of the interior of \( C \) so that \( \tilde{\phi}(s) \) may have simple poles at the points \( s = s_i \), the \( i \)-th pole being of order \( r_i \) or less. Thus the most general solution can be written in the form

\[
\tilde{\phi}(s) = \frac{1}{\gamma(s)} \sum_{i=1}^M \sum_{j=1}^{r_i} \frac{A_j^{(i)}}{(s - s_i)^j} \quad (2.7)
\]

The factor of \( \gamma(s) \) is included for convenience and an additive analytic function (which would not alter the configuration-space solution \( \phi(t) \)) has been omitted. The solution (2.7) contains a total of \( N \) arbitrary coefficients \( A_j^{(i)} \) where

\[
N = \sum_{i=1}^M r_i \quad (2.8)
\]

In other words, \( N \) counts the zeros of \( f(s) \) according to their multiplicity. The \( N \) free coefficients \( A_j^{(i)} \) will ultimately serve to fix \( N \) initial conditions for the initial value problem corresponding to (2.1). We now insert the solution (2.7) into (2.3) and perform the \( ds \) integration (with \( C \) a contour which encloses all of the points \( \{s_i\} \)) using the Cauchy integral formula

\[
\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_C ds \, \frac{h(s)}{(s - s_i)^j} = \frac{1}{(j - 1)!} h^{(j-1)}(s_i) \quad \text{(valid for } h(s) \text{ analytic inside } C \text{ and } j > 0) \]

The resulting solution \( \phi(t) \) in configuration space takes the form

\[
\phi(t) = \sum_{i=1}^M P_i(t) e^{s_i t} \quad (2.9)
\]

where each \( P_i(t) \) is a polynomial of order \( r_i - 1 \)

\[
P_i(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{r_i} p_j^{(i)} t^{j-1} \quad (2.10)
\]

The \( N \) coefficients \( \{p_j^{(i)}\} \) are arbitrary (reflecting the fact that \( A_j^{(i)} \) were arbitrary) and will serve to fix \( N \) initial conditions \( \phi^{(n)}(0) \) for \( n = 0, \cdots, N - 1 \).

\[\text{8The additional terms excluded from (2.4) (see appendix B) can be absorbed into the definition of } A_j^{(i)}.\]
2.2 The Particular Solution of the Inhomogeneous Equation

Having determined the solution of the homogeneous equation (2.1) we now consider the situation where \( J(t) \neq 0 \) and focus on the particular solution due to the source \( J(t) \). We assume that the source term has a Laplace transform \( \tilde{J}(s) \) defined by

\[
J(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_C ds e^{st} \tilde{J}(s)
\]  

(2.11)

and we continue to employ the Laplace transform \( \tilde{\phi}(s) \) (2.3). Then equation (2.1) takes the form

\[
\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_C ds \left[ f(s) \tilde{\phi}(s) - \tilde{J}(s) \right] = 0
\]  

(2.12)

so that the particular solution is \( \tilde{\phi}(s) = \tilde{J}(s)/f(s) \) or, in configuration space

\[
\phi(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_C ds e^{st} \frac{\tilde{J}(s)}{f(s)}
\]  

(2.13)

From (2.13) it is clear that the resolvent generatrix is the Laplace-space Green function \( \tilde{G}(s) = f(s)^{-1} \).

2.3 The Initial Value Problem

It is natural to expect that in order to obtain the most general solution of (2.1) we should append to (2.13) the solution of the homogeneous equation (2.9). One may prove the following theorem, which we now state without proof but whose verisimilitude should be clear from the preceding analysis (for a detailed and elegant proof, see [53]).

**Theorem:** Consider the linear differential equation of infinite order (2.1) with the function \( f(s) \) analytic within the region \( |s| \leq q \) where \( q \) is a given positive constant or zero and further suppose that \( f(s) \) may be written in the form (2.5). If \( J(t) \) is a function of exponential type not exceeding \( q \) then the most general solution \( \phi(t) \), subject to the condition that it shall be a function of exponential type not exceeding \( q \), may be written in the form

\[
\phi(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_C ds e^{st} \frac{\tilde{J}(s)}{f(s)} + \sum_{i=1}^{M} P_i(t)e^{st}
\]  

(2.14)

where \( P_i(t) \) is an arbitrary polynomial of order \( r_i - 1 \) and \( \tilde{J}(s) \) is the Laplace-space source. The first term in (2.14) corresponds to the particular solution due to the source \( J(t) \) and the second term is the solution of the homogeneous equation. If the generatrix \( f(s) \) does not vanish inside \( |s| \leq q \) then the latter solution is identically zero.

Armed with the solution (2.14) the interpretation of the initial value problem for (2.1) is clear: the solution contains \( N \) arbitrary coefficients \( p_j^{(i)} \) which serve to fix \( N \) initial conditions \( \phi^{(n)}(0) \) for \( n = 0, \ldots, N - 1 \). This result has a transparent physical interpretation in terms of poles of the propagator, which we shall return to in the next section. It is important to note that the significance of this theorem is that (2.14) provides the most general solution of (2.1). Returning to the motivational example (1.7) we see that (1.8) is, indeed, the full solution because the zeros of the Airy function are order unity.
An interesting consequence of this theorem, whose significance we shall return to later on, is that for \( J(t) = 0 \) the solution (2.14) is identical to the solution of the equation \( \tilde{f}(\partial_t)\phi(t) = 0 \) where
\[
\tilde{f}(s) = \frac{f(s)}{\gamma(s)} = \prod_{i=1}^{M}(s - s_i)^{r_i}
\]
In other words, for the homogeneous equation the dynamics are completely insensitive to the choice of \( \gamma(s) \) and the solutions are completely determined by the pole structure of the resolvent generatrix. For finite \( M \) this implies that the dynamics of the full infinite order differential equation (provided it is linear and source-free) will be identical to some finite order differential equation.

It is worth commenting on the generality of our results. From the perspective of mathematical rigour the only serious caveat of our analysis is the assumption that the sources \( J(t) \) and solutions \( \phi(t) \) are analytic, with the asymptotic behavior needed for their Laplace transform to exist (which is not, in general, guaranteed). Nonanalytic solutions have been discussed for \( p \)-adic string theory in [40] though it is not entirely clear how to interpret these solutions physically. Naively, one might expect some components of the stress tensor associated with such solutions to blow up at some finite time. However, [3] showed that a particular nonanalytic solution in SFT could sensibly be regularized to yield a well-behaved stress tensor.

**2.4 Equations Involving Fractional Operators**

In writing (2.5) we have assumed that the zeroes of the generatrix are of integer order (we have assumed that all of the \( r_i \) are integer) and we have excluded the case of fractional differentiation \( f(s) = s^\alpha \) with \( \alpha \) non-integer). In this subsection we relax those assumptions. Though such equations are less common in applications to string theory and cosmology, they constitute a large class of nonlocal equations which admit well-posed initial value problem with finitely many initial conditions and may be of some interest for this reason. The reader more interested in the equations (1.1, 1.2) may wish to skip to the next section.

**2.4.1 Roots of Non-Integer Order**

To illustrate the effect of taking non-integer \( r_i \) in (2.5) let us consider the simple equation
\[
\sqrt{\partial_t^2 + m^2} \phi(t) = 0
\]
If we seek solutions \( \phi(t) \) which admit a Laplace transform \( \tilde{\phi}(s) \), we obtain
\[
\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_C ds \left[ \sqrt{s^2 + m^2} \tilde{\phi}(s)e^{st} \right] = 0
\]
which implies that
\[
\tilde{\phi}(s) = \frac{A}{\sqrt{s^2 + m^2}}
\]
up to an additive analytic function which does not alter the configuration-space solution. Taking the inverse Laplace transform using the formula

\[ \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{s^2 + m^2}} \right] = J_0(mt) \]  

(2.18)

(where \( J_\nu \) is the Bessel function of the first kind) we have

\[ \phi(t) = AJ_0(mt) \]  

(2.19)

So that equation (2.13) admits only one initial condition.

It is also straightforward to consider

\[ (\partial_t^2 + m^2)^{3/2} \phi(t) = 0 \]  

(2.20)

which implies that

\[ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_C ds \left[ (s^2 + m^2)^{3/2} \tilde{\phi}(s)e^{st} \right] = 0 \]  

(2.21)

The general solution is

\[ \tilde{\phi}(s) = \frac{a}{(s^2 + m^2)^{1/2}} + \frac{b}{(s^2 + m^2)^{3/2}} \]  

(2.22)

with \( a, b \) arbitrary. We must now perform the inverse Laplace transform. The first term is trivial using (2.18). By differentiating (2.18) with respect to \( m \) we find that

\[ \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{(s^2 + m^2)^{3/2}} \right] = \frac{t}{m} J_1(mt) \]  

(2.23)

so that the configuration-space solution of (2.20) is

\[ \phi(t) = AJ_0(mt) + B(mt)J_1(mt) \]  

(2.24)

which admits two initial conditions.

It is straightforward to handle higher order equations of the form

\[ (\partial_t^2 + m^2)^{n/2} \phi(t) = 0 \]

with \( n \) an odd integer. The Laplace-space solution is

\[ \tilde{\phi}(s) = \sum_{i=0}^{(n-1)/2} \frac{A_i}{(s^2 + m^2)^{(2i+1)/2}} \]

which contains a total of \((n + 1)/2\) arbitrary coefficients. The inverse Laplace transforms may be performed by successively differentiating (2.18) with respect to \( m \) and using the well-known Bessel function identity

\[ J'_\nu(x) = -J_{\nu+1}(x) + \frac{\nu}{x} J_\nu(x) \]
In the tachyonic case $m^2 = -\mu^2 < 0$ one simply replaces the Bessel functions $J_\nu$ with modified Bessel functions $I_\nu$ to obtain real-valued solutions. We find that the equation
\[ \sqrt{\partial_t^2 - \mu^2} \phi(t) = 0 \] (2.25)
has solution
\[ \phi(t) = AI_0(\mu t) \] (2.26)
while
\[ (\partial_t^2 - \mu^2)^{3/2} \phi(t) = 0 \] (2.27)
has solution
\[ \phi(t) = AI_0(\mu t) + B(\mu t)I_1(\mu t) \] (2.28)

2.4.2 Fractional Differentiation

Another interesting case occurs when the generatrix involves noninteger powers of the derivatives, such as $f(s) = s^\alpha$ with $\alpha$ some arbitrary complex number. In this case clearly the definition (2.2) does not apply. The attempt to assign sensible meaning to the symbol $\partial_t^\alpha$ for noninteger $\alpha$ has a long history in mathematical analysis and there are a number of definitions in the literature \[53, 59\]. For our purposes, the most useful definition seems to be the Liouville fractional derivative. To motivate this definition we first consider the problem of defining fractional integration. We define ordinary integration by
\[ (I\phi)(t) = \int_0^t dt' \phi(t') \]
\[ (I^2\phi)(t) = \int_0^t dt'(I\phi)(t') \]
\[ \ldots \]
(The choice of lower limit of integration is purely conventional. Different choices will lead to different definitions of fractional differentiation.) The Cauchy formula for repeated integration gives
\[ (I^n\phi)(t) = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_0^t dt'(t-t')^{n-1} \phi(t')dt' \] (2.29)
A natural continuation to noninteger $n$ is
\[ (I^\alpha\phi)(t) = \frac{1}{(\alpha-1)!} \int_0^t dt'(t-t')^{\alpha-1} \phi(t')dt' \] (2.30)
valid for $t > 0$, $\text{Re}(\alpha) > 0$ and where the symbol $(\alpha - 1)!$ should now be interpreted as the factorial function.\(^4\) This definition is commutative and additive $I^{\alpha}(I^\beta\phi) = I^{\beta}(I^{\alpha}\phi) = I^{\alpha+\beta}\phi$. It is now natural to define fractional differentiation by differentiating (2.30)
\[ (D^\alpha\phi)(t) \equiv \left( \frac{d}{dt} \right)^n (I^{n-\alpha}\phi)(t) \]
\[ = \frac{1}{(n-\alpha-1)!} \left( \frac{d}{dt} \right)^n \int_0^t dt' \frac{\phi(t')}{(t-t')^{\alpha-n+1}} \] (2.31)
\(^4\)That is to say $(\alpha - 1)! \equiv \Gamma(\alpha)$ (where $\Gamma$ is the gamma-function) for non-integer $\alpha$. We do not use the gamma-function notation explicitly to avoid confusion with another function which we denote by $\Gamma(z)$ which will be introduced in the next section.
Of course for integer $\alpha$ equation (2.31) reproduces the usual derivative. This definition also has the following nice properties [59]

$$ (D^\alpha I^\alpha \phi)(t) = \phi(t) \quad \text{if} \quad \alpha > 0 $$
$$ (D^\beta I^\alpha \phi)(t) = (I^{\alpha-\beta} \phi)(t) \quad \text{if} \quad \alpha > \beta > 0 $$
$$ D^\alpha t^{\beta-1} = \frac{(\beta - 1)!}{(\beta - \alpha - 1)!} t^{\beta-\alpha-1} \quad \text{if} \quad \text{Re}(\alpha) > 0, \text{Re}(\beta) > 0 $$

The definition (2.30) acts in Laplace space as

$$ \mathcal{L}[D^\alpha \phi] = s^\alpha \tilde{\phi}(s) - \sum_{i=1}^{l} d_i s^{i-1} $$

(2.32)

where $l$ is a natural number such that $l - 1 < \alpha \leq l$ and the constants $\{d_i\}$ are

$$ d_i \equiv (D^{\alpha-i} \phi)(0) $$

(2.33)

The result (2.32) is exactly of the form (2.4).

### 2.4.3 Fractional Differential Equations

There is a large literature on solving equations involving the operator $D^\alpha$ (2.31) which is on rather firm mathematical footing (both linear and nonlinear equations have been studied). For illustration we consider only the simple prototype equation

$$ (D^\alpha \phi)(t) - \alpha \phi(t) = J(t) $$

(2.34)

We first consider the homogeneous equation $J = 0$. Taking the Laplace transform using (2.32) and solving for $\tilde{\phi}(s)$ we have

$$ \tilde{\phi}(s) = \sum_{j=1}^{l} d_j \frac{s^{j-1}}{s^\alpha - \alpha} $$

Now, inverting the Laplace transform we find the solution

$$ \phi(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{l} d_j \phi_j(t) $$

where

$$ \phi_j(t) = \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[ \frac{s^{j-1}}{s^\alpha - \alpha} \right] = t^{\alpha-j} E_{\alpha,\alpha+1-j}^{\alpha,\alpha} [(mt)^\alpha] $$

(2.35)

where $E_{\alpha,\beta}$ is the generalized Mittag-Leffler function (see, for example, [59]). The fundamental solutions $\{\phi_j\}$ satisfy

$$ (D^{\alpha-i} \phi_j)(0) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad i, j = 1, \ldots, l; i > j $$
$$ (D^{\alpha-i} \phi_i)(0) = 1 \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, \ldots, l $$
It can be verified that in fact any summation
\[ \phi(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{l} a_j \phi_j(t) \] (2.36)
with \( l \) arbitrary coefficients \( \{a_j\} \) yields a solution to (2.34). We see, then, that equation (2.34) admits a well-posed initial value problem with \( l \) initial conditions. In particular, for \( 1 < \alpha \leq 2 \) equation (2.34) admits two initial conditions.

It is also straightforward to consider the inhomogeneous equation, following the approach of (2.13). In fact, one may define a fractional Green function
\[ G_\alpha(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_C ds \frac{e^{st}}{s^\alpha - m^\alpha} \] (2.37)
so that the particular solution is
\[ \phi(t) = \int_0^t dt' G_\alpha(t - t')J(t') \] (2.38)
which can be proved to provide a unique solution to (2.34) with \( \phi(0) = 0 \) \[59\].

2.4.4 Properties of Fractional Operators

It is worth commenting on the fact that our definition of the operator \((\partial_t^2 + m^2)^{1/2}\) and also the Liouville\[5\] definition of the fractional derivative \(D^\alpha\) lead to some a-priori unexpected properties, the most notable of which is that the degrees of the fractional derivative operators which we have defined are not, in general additive. In other words, \(D^\alpha\) does not satisfy \(D^\alpha D^\beta = D^{\alpha+\beta}\) for general (non-integer) \(\alpha, \beta\) \[59\]. As simple illustration of this fact is given by considering the function \(\phi(t) = t^{-1/2}\), which satisfies \(D^{1/2} \phi = 0\), while \(D^1 \phi = D_t \phi = -1/2 t^{-3/2} \neq 0\). A similar property can be inferred in the case of the operator \((\partial_t^2 + m^2)^{1/2}\) by noting that (2.15) does not have solutions \(\cos(mt), \sin(mt)\). Indeed, it is straightforward to see that the solution (2.19) of (2.15) is not a solution of the equation \((\partial_t^2 + m^2) \phi(t) = 0\).

Given that, using our conventions, acting twice with the operator \((\partial_t^2 + m^2)^{1/2}\) does not necessarily return the same result as acting once with the operator \((\partial_t^2 + m^2)\), the reader may wonder whether the definition that we have employed is the most sensible one. We would like to argue that, although the definition we have adopted has the unpleasant property that the degrees are in general not additive, it is the most physically reasonable approach. To see this, we consider an alternative definition of \((\partial_t^2 + m^2)^{1/2}\) and contrast this with ours. As an alternative to our approach let us consider defining \(f(\partial_t) = \sqrt{\partial_t^2 + m^2}\) by an infinite series of the form
\[ \sqrt{\partial_t^2 + m^2} = \partial_t + Q_0 + Q_{-1} \partial_t^{-1} + Q_{-2} \partial_t^{-2} + Q_{-2} \partial_t^{-2} + \cdots \] (2.39)
\[5\]Our comments actually apply for nearly all definitions of fractional differentiation which are considered in the mathematics literature. See \[5\] for further details.
Substituting this into the defining identity
\[ \sqrt{\partial_t^2 + m^2} \cdot \sqrt{\partial_t^2 + m^2} = \partial_t^2 + m^2, \]  
and applying the formal identity
\[ \partial_t^{-1}Q = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-1)^i Q^{(i)} \partial_t^{-i-1}, \]
to solve for the coefficients \(Q_0, Q_{-1}, Q_{-2}, Q_{-3} \ldots\) we arrive at
\[ \sqrt{\partial_t^2 + m^2} \equiv \partial_t + \frac{m^2}{2} \partial_t^{-1} - \frac{1}{8} m^4 \partial_t^{-3} + \cdots \]  
It is ensured by construction that acting twice one some test function with this operator will return the same result as acting once with \(\partial_t^2 + m^2\).

In order to see how the definition (2.42) differs from our Laplace-space definition (2.4) we consider acting with (2.42) on a function of the form (2.3). The result is
\[ f(\partial_t)\phi(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C ds e^{st} \left[ s + \frac{m^2}{2} s^{-1} - \frac{1}{8} m^4 s^{-3} + \cdots \right] \tilde{\phi}(s) \]  
The reader will recognize that the series in the square braces coincides with the Taylor series for \(\sqrt{s^2 + m^2}\) about \(m = 0\). In the region \(|m/s| > 1\) (the high energy part of the phase space) the series converges to \(\sqrt{s^2 + m^2}\). However, in the region \(|m/s| < 1\) the infinite series fails to converge. It is due to the behaviour of the infinite series in this low energy part of phase space that (2.43) and (2.4) do not yield the same results. (Notice that the series fails to converge at the zeroes of the generatrix \(s^2 = -m^2\) which is not surprising because these are branch points of the function \(\sqrt{s^2 + m^2}\).)

The advantage of the definition (2.42) over our approach (2.4) is obvious: the series definition preserves the property (2.40) which one expects for the square root of an operator. However, we will argue that our approach seems more sensible from the perspective of constructing quantum field theories. Since the series (2.42) fails to converge at \(|s| \ll |m|\) this implies that there is no well-defined low energy limit. In fact, using this definition, it does not seem possible to recover the usual Klein-Gordon Lagrangian at low energies. Moreover, the computation of scattering amplitudes will involve integrating the propagator over all momenta. Using the definition (2.42) the low energy part of the phase space integrals will necessarily be ill-defined. Finally, we point out a more heuristic problem with the series definition (2.42). This definition fails to converge at the critical point \(s^2 = -m^2\) and thus it seems necessary to adandon the usual interpretation of the poles of the propagator as physical states in the associated quantum field theory.

We should stress that our definition (2.4) is just that and that it may be interesting to consider other definitions such as (2.42) which do not lead to the unattractive property which we have discussed above. We would also like to stress that that precisely the same unattractive feature arises for all of the definitions of fractional differentiation \(D^\alpha\) which are studied in the mathematics literature. Thus, it is clear that one need not view this complication as prohibitive to any potential physical application of such operators. The reader who disagrees with this statement may wish to view the analysis of this subsection as a motivation to avoid nonlocal theories involving fractional operators.
3. Physical Interpretation of the Result

3.1 Linear Partial Differential Equations of Infinite Order

The analysis of section 2 is actually somewhat more general than what is required in order to study (1.1, 1.2). For differential equations of infinite order which arise from Lorentz invariant field theories the time derivatives $\partial_t$ must always appear within the d’Alembertian operator $\Box = -\partial^2_t + \vec{\nabla}^2$. Hence we would now like to apply the preceding analysis to linear partial differential equations (PDEs) of infinite order of the form

$$F(\Box)\phi(t, x) = J(t, x) \tag{3.1}$$

We refer to the function $F(z)$ in (3.1) as the kinetic operator, which is closely related to the generatrix. We will be particularly interested in kinetic operators which can be written in the form

$$F(z) = \Gamma(z) \prod_{i=1}^{N} (-z + m_i^2) \tag{3.2}$$

where $\Gamma(z)^{-1}$ contains no poles in the complex plane, but is otherwise arbitrary. With the ansatz (3.2) equation (3.1) describes $N$ physical states with masses $\{m_i\}$. For simplicity we assume the $m_i$ to be non-degenerate, however, it is simple to drop this restriction. (Including degenerate masses corresponds to choosing some of the $r_i$ in equation (2.5) to be different from unity.)

We now proceed to construct the particular solution of (3.1). Assuming that the field $\phi(t, x)$ can be expanded into Fourier modes as

$$\phi(t, x) = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}} \xi_k(t) \tag{3.3}$$

equation (3.1) becomes

$$F(-\partial_t^2 - k^2)\xi_k(t) = J_k(t) \tag{3.4}$$

where $k^2 \equiv \vec{k} \cdot \vec{k}$, $k \equiv \sqrt{k^2}$ and

$$J_k(t) = \int \frac{d^3x}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} e^{-i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}} J(t, x)$$

Equation (3.4) is of the form (2.1) where the generatrix is

$$f(s) = F(-s^2 - k^2)$$

$$= \Gamma(-s^2 - k^2) \prod_{i=1}^{N} (s + i\omega_k^{(i)})(s - i\omega_k^{(i)}) \tag{3.5}$$

so that the resolvent generatrix has two poles for each pole of the propagator. In (3.5) we have defined

$$\omega_k^{(i)} = \sqrt{k^2 + m_i^2} \tag{3.6}$$

$\textsuperscript{6}$$\Gamma(z)$ should not be confused with the well-known gamma-function.
Following (2.13) we see that the particular solution of (3.4) may be written as
\[ \phi_k(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_C ds e^{st} \frac{\tilde{J}_k(s)}{F(-s^2 - k^2)} \]  
(3.7)
so that Laplace-space Green function is \( \tilde{G}_k(s) = F(-s^2 - k^2)^{-1} \). The momentum-space propagator is
\[ G(p^2) \equiv \tilde{G}_k(i\omega) = \frac{1}{F(-p^2)} \]  
(3.8)
with \( p^2 = -\omega^2 + k^2 \).

We now proceed to solve (3.1) for the homogeneous case \( J = 0 \). Since the resolvent generatrix has \( 2N \) poles (of order one) we expect the solutions to contain \( 2N \) free coefficients for each \( k \)-mode (two for each physical degree of freedom). It will be convenient to write these \( 2N \) free coefficients as the real and imaginary parts of \( N \) complex numbers \( a_k^{(i)} \), \( i = 1, \ldots, N \).

In solving (3.1) we wish to apply an additional constraint on the solutions which was not implied by the analysis of section (2). Namely, we demand that the solutions \( \phi(t, x) \) be real valued. The condition \( \phi = \phi^\ast \) translates into the constraint
\[ \xi_k(t)^\ast = \xi_{-k}(t) \]  
(3.9)
on the Fourier modes. The general solution of (3.1) consistent with the reality condition (3.9) then must take the form
\[ \xi_k(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \xi_{k}^{(i)}(t) \]  
(3.10)
\[ \xi_{k}^{(i)}(t) = a_k^{(i)} \phi_k^{(i)}(t) + a_k^{(i)\ast} \phi_{-k}^{(i)*}(t) \]  
(3.11)
Each \( \xi_{k}^{(i)} \) is the solution corresponding to the \( i \)-th pole of the propagator (with mass \( m_i^2 \)) and \( \phi_k(t) \) are the mode functions (which have been constructed in such a way that \( \phi_k \) and \( \phi_k^\ast \) are linearly independent). The configuration-space solution can be written as
\[ \phi(t, x) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \left[ a_k^{(i)} \phi_k^{(i)}(t)e^{ikx} + \text{c.c.} \right] \]  
(3.12)
where “c.c.” denotes the complex conjugate of the preceding term. In the classical solution the coefficients \( a_k^{(i)}, a_k^{(i)\ast} \) serve to fix \( 2N \) initial data \( \phi(0, x), \phi(0, x), \ldots, \phi^{(2N-1)}(0, x) \). However, in the quantum theory these coefficients are promoted to annihilation/creation operators. It is clear that in this context the solution (3.12) describes \( N \) physical degrees of freedom, one for each pole of the propagator.

The solutions \( \xi_{k}^{(i)} \) evolve differently depending on the value of \( m_i^2 \). There are three qualitatively distinct cases:

1. **Stable modes:** \( m_i^2 > 0 \). This case corresponds to real \( \omega_k^{(i)} \). The solution of (3.4) takes the form (3.10, 3.11) with mode function
\[ \phi_k^{(i)}(t) = \frac{e^{-i\omega_k^{(i)}t}}{\sqrt{2\omega_k^{(i)}}} \]  
(3.13)
(The normalization of the modes $\phi_{ik}^{(i)}$ is purely conventional since the constant pre-factor may be absorbed into the arbitrary coefficients $a_{ik}^{(i)}$.)

2. **Tachyonic modes:** $m_i^2 \equiv -\mu_i^2 < 0$. In this case $\omega_{ik}^{(i)}$ is real for $k^2 > \mu_i^2$ and purely imaginary for $k^2 < \mu_i^2$. We consider only the latter modes (the instability band) since the former possibility is identical to the previous case. For tachyonic modes within the instability band the solution of (3.4) takes the form (3.10, 3.11) with mode functions

$$
\phi_{ik}^{(i)}(t) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\Omega_{ik}^{(i)}}} \left[ e^{i\Omega_{ik}^{(i)} t} + i e^{-\Omega_{ik}^{(i)} t} \right]
$$

(3.14)

where $\Omega_{ik}^{(i)} = \sqrt{\mu_i^2 - k^2}$ is real-valued.

3. **Poles with complex mass.** Taking $m_i^2$ to be some arbitrary complex numbers having nonvanishing imaginary part the frequency can be written as

$$
\omega_{ik}^{(i)} = \alpha_{ik}^{(i)} + i\beta_{ik}^{(i)}
$$

A crucial point is that for a CPT invariant theory the complex-mass states must always arise in conjugate pairs. Hence we restrict ourselves to the case where $\omega_{ik}^{(i)*} = \alpha_{ik}^{(i)} - i\beta_{ik}^{(i)}$ is also a pole. Real-valued solutions can be obtained by appropriately superposing the particular solutions $\xi_k$ corresponding to the poles $\omega_k$ and $\omega_k^*$ as

$$
\xi_k^{(i)}(t) = \frac{e^{\beta_{ik}^{(i)} t}}{\sqrt{2|\omega_k^{(i)}|}} \left[ a_{ik}^{(i)} e^{-i\alpha_{ik}^{(i)} t} + a_{ik}^{(i)*} e^{i\alpha_{ik}^{(i)} t} \right] + \frac{e^{-\beta_{ik}^{(i)} t}}{\sqrt{2|\omega_k^{(i)}|}} \left[ b_{ik}^{(i)} e^{-i\alpha_{ik}^{(i)} t} + b_{ik}^{(i)*} e^{i\alpha_{ik}^{(i)} t} \right]
$$

(3.15)

In general the summation (3.12) will contain all three types of modes (3.13, 3.14, 3.15), although any particular class could be consistently projected out by altering the prescription for drawing the contour $C$ (see the discussion below equation (2.4)). For example, one could exclude the complex mass solutions by taking $C$ in (2.3) to encircle only poles of the resolvent generatrix which lie on either the real axis or the imaginary axis in the complex plane. Later on, we will employ this particular choice of $C$ to render both $p$-adic string theory and SFT ghost-free. Though such a prescription is well defined mathematically, it is not clear how to interpret the resulting truncated theory from a physical perspective. However, one might imagine taking the contour $C$ as a part of the definition of field theory so that different choices of contour yield different theories with different mass spectra. Since this prescription restricts the theory to complex frequencies $\omega$ inside $C$ it is, in some sense, analogous to putting a UV cut-off on the underlying field theory. This procedure of projecting out unwanted states by suitable choice of $C$ seems reasonable at the level of effective field theory (and hence should be acceptable for applications to cosmology), however, it is less clear if this is sensible in string theory which
is supposed to be UV complete. On the other hand, notice that the particular contour which we have discussed still allows for infinitely large frequencies. Rather, what we are constraining is the direction in the complex plane in which the frequency can be made large.

### 3.2 Generalization to Curved Backgrounds

Though our analysis has been restricted to 3+1-dimensional flat Minkowski space, it should be clear that these conclusions readily generalize to D-dimensions. In curved backgrounds one might consider generalizing our analysis to equations of the form

\[ F(\Box_g)\phi(t, x) = J(t, x) \]  

(3.16)

where \( \Box_g = g^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \) is the covariant d’Alembertian operator and we take \( F(z) \) of the form \( (3.2) \). In the homogeneous case \( J = 0 \) a solution may be constructed by taking

\[ \phi(t, x) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \phi_i(t, x) \]  

(3.17)

where each \( \phi_i(t, x) \) obeys an eigenvalue equation

\[ \Box_g \phi_i = m_i^2 \phi_i \]  

(3.18)

(this approach of taking eigenfunctions of the d’Alembertian was employed to study the cosmology of nonlocal theories in [32] and also in [27, 33, 34]). Each solution of (3.18) (assuming that solutions exist) should admit two initial data and hence the full solution (3.17) admits \( 2N \) initial data, as in our previous flat-space analysis. However, it is not so clear if this analysis can be generalized to include inhomogeneous equations of the form (3.16). Any specific analysis will require detailed knowledge of the pole structure of the propagator which is, in general backgrounds, a highly nontrivial task.

It is, however, straightforward to generalize our discussion to include homogeneous solutions in de Sitter space. For a kinetic function of the form \( (3.2) \) the de Sitter space generatrix is

\[ f(s) = \Gamma(-s^2 - 3Hs) \prod_n (s^2 + 3Hs + m_n^2) \]  

(3.19)

(the restriction to homogeneous solutions means that we are considering only \( k = 0 \)). There are two zeros for each mass \( m_n \)

\[ s_n^{(\pm)} = -\frac{3H}{2} \left[ 1 \pm \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_n^2}{9H^2}} \right] \]  

(3.20)

It is interesting to consider the limit of large Hubble friction \( H^2 \gg |m_n^2| \) in which case the solution takes the form

\[ \phi(t) \approx \phi_0 e^{-3Ht} + \sum_n a_n e^{-m_n^2 t/(3H)} \]  

(3.21)

In the limit \( H \to \infty \) the first term damps to zero quickly while the second term approaches a constant. The limit of large Hubble friction is relevant for studies of inflation in nonlocal
theories since during inflation $m^2/H^2 \sim \mathcal{O}(\eta)$ where $m$ is the characteristic mass scale in the problem and $\eta \ll 1$ is a slow roll parameter. In this case the almost-constant term in (3.21) coincides with the slowly rolling solution.

3.3 Partial Differential Equations Involving Fractional Operators

Using the results of subsection 2.4.1 it is straightforward to consider equations of the form

$$(-\Box + m^2)^{n/2} \phi(t, x) = 0$$

with $n$ an odd integer. Assuming that $\phi(t, x)$ can be represented as a Fourier integral, we have, in Fourier space,

$$\left(\frac{\partial_t^2 + k^2 + m^2}{2}\right)^{n/2} \xi_k(t) = 0$$

(3.22)

Let us first consider $n = 1$ which has only a single mode function. For stable modes $m^2 > 0$ we have the solution

$$\xi_k(t) = (a_k + a^*_k) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega_k}} J_0(\omega_k t)$$

(3.23)

where $\omega_k = \sqrt{k^2 + m^2}$. (This solution is of the form (3.11) though it only contains one free coefficient because $\phi_k$ and $\phi_{-k}$ are not, in this case, linearly independent.) At late times $\omega_k t \gg 1$ the solution (3.23) undergoes damped oscillations

$$\xi_k(t) \approx (a_k + a^*_k) \frac{1}{\omega_k \sqrt{\pi t}} \cos(\omega_k t - \pi/4)$$

For tachyonic modes $m^2 = -\mu^2 < 0$ we have the solution

$$\xi_k(t) = (a_k + a^*_k) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\Omega_k}} I_0(\Omega_k t)$$

(3.24)

where $\Omega_k = \sqrt{\mu^2 - k^2}$ and we are assuming that $k < \mu$. As one would expect the solution (3.24) grows exponentially

$$\xi_k(t) \approx (a_k + a^*_k) \frac{A}{2\Omega_k \sqrt{\pi t}} e^{\Omega_k t}$$

at late times $\Omega_k t \gg 1$. The case $m = 0$ has been studied in 2 + 1-dimensions [60] where it has applications to bosonization [61]. (Equations involving fractional powers of the d’Alembertian have also been studied in [62]... This special case admits a canonical quantization and both causality and Huygens’ principle are both respected [60].

We now consider (3.22) with $n = 3$. In the stable case $m^2 > 0$ the solutions can be cast in the form (3.11) with mode function

$$\phi_k(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega_k}} [J_0(\omega_k t) + i(\omega_k t)J_1(\omega_k t)]$$

(3.25)

while, for the tachyonic case $m^2 = -\mu^2 < 0$, we would have

$$\phi_k(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\Omega_k}} [I_0(\Omega_k t) + i(\Omega_k t)I_1(\Omega_k t)]$$

(3.26)
It is also straightforward to consider partial differential equations involving fractional
derivatives. Sacrificing Lorentz invariance we might consider the equation

\[ D^\alpha \phi(t, x) = \lambda^2 \partial_t \partial^\alpha \phi(t, x) \]  

which interpolates between the wave equation (when \( \alpha = 2 \)) and the diffusion equation
(when \( \alpha = 1 \)). After performing a Fourier transform with respect to the spatial variables
(3.27) becomes an equation of the form (2.34). Thus, for \( 1 < \alpha \leq 2 \) equation (3.27) admits
a well-posed initial value problem with two initial data and for \( 0 < \alpha \leq 1 \) it admits only
one piece of initial data. It is straightforward also to add a mass term to (3.27). Equations
of this form (and also many more general fractional PDEs, both linear and nonlinear) have
been studied in great detail in the mathematics literature [59].

3.4 Ghosts and the Ostrogradski Instability

Though essentially all nonlocal theories containing finitely many higher derivatives have
ghosts, this is not generically true of theories with infinitely many derivatives. For example,
in the case where the propagator contains no poles it is clear from (3.12) that the underlying
field theory has no physical excitations at all, ghost or otherwise. The question of whether
or not ghosts are present in an infinite derivative theory was considered in [22] where it
was shown that the theory will be ghost-free as long as the propagator contains at most a
single pole (of order unity). However, if the propagator contains two or more poles then the
theory will almost always contain ghost-like excitations.\(^7\) Physically this result is easy to
understand: theories with only a single pole in the propagator describe only one physical
degree of freedom and hence the nonlocal structure does not introduce spurious new ghost
states.

If we restrict ourselves to real-valued solutions of linear differential equations which
arise from Lorentz-invariant, ghost-free theories with analytic generatrix then we are limited
to equations of the form

\[ \Gamma(\Box)(-\Box + m^2)\phi(t, x) = J(t, x) \]  

with \( \Gamma(z) \) analytic everywhere in the complex plane. In the case \( J = 0 \), as we have previ-
ously discussed in subsection 2.3, the solutions of this equation are completely insensitive
to the choice of \( \Gamma(z) \). In particular, the dynamics for \( J = 0 \) are identical to the solutions
of the local wave equation

\[ (-\Box + m^2)\phi(t, x) = 0 \]

so that in the linear, source-free theory the nonlocal structure has no effect on the dynamics
(with the possible exception of re-scaling the quantity which is naively identified as the mass
of the particle). This result was also observed in [22], though it was not rigorously justified
(this result was also observed in [27]). Equations of the form (3.28) fall into the class of
theories referred to as trivially nonlocal in [49] where it was argued that the nonlocality
can be removed by a field redefinition. (This is, of course, only true at the linear level.

\(^7\) Under very special circumstances it is in fact possible to construct ghost-free multi-pole theories [64].
However, we restrict ourself to single-pole theories for the present analysis.
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Inclusion of a nonlinear term in (3.28) will spoil the triviality. It is, unfortunately, not clear whether such an addition will generically also spoil the well-posedness of the initial value problem.

One can also construct ghost-free linear differential equations by considering kinetic functions \( F(z) \) with a single zero and also a single pole. Such equations can be cast in the form (3.28) with \( \Gamma(z) \) having single pole at some point \( z = \mu^2 \) and may admit well-posed initial value problem, even at the nonlinear level. For example, the equation

\[
f(\partial_t)\phi(t) = U[\phi(t)]
\]

with generatrix

\[
f(s) = \frac{2A}{\lambda} \left( 1 - \frac{s^2}{\lambda^2} \right)^{-1}
\]

can be seen to be equivalent to the local equation

\[
(\partial_t^2 - \lambda^2) U[\phi(t)] + 2A\lambda\phi(t) = 0
\]

by acting on both sides of (3.29) with the operator \( f(\partial_t)^{-1} \). One expects the initial value problem for (3.31) to be well-posed with only two initial data. (Similar conclusions apply also to more general equations which involve \( f(\partial_t)\phi(t) \) and its first two derivatives.) The well-posedness of (3.28) is not surprising since this equation can be obtained from the local theory (3.31) by acting with an inverse differential operator \( f(\partial_t)^{-1} \). This kind of nonlocality is referred to as derived in [49].

Finally, we note that it seems to be possible to construct a wide array of ghost-free theories using fractional operators, as in subsection 3.3. In the case of equation (3.27) with \( 0 < \alpha \leq 2 \) we have an example of a nonlocal theory with well-defined initial value problem which is not derivable from some local theory, though the price is manifestly broken Lorentz invariance. Are equations of the form (3.22) derivable from local theories? For an equation of the form \((-\Box + m^2)^{1-\alpha}\phi = 0\) one might be tempted to define \( \phi = (-\Box + m^2)^{1-\alpha}\chi \) so that \( \chi \) obeys the local equations \((-\Box + m^2)\chi = 0\). However, it is argued in [60] that such a procedure is ill-defined. Indeed, the transformation \( \phi \rightarrow \chi \) has zeroes in the complex plane and would not usually be allowed in a field redefinition. In the case \( \alpha = 1/2 \) it is clear from (3.23) that such a procedure may count incorrectly the number of initial data.

We have seen how the question of counting initial data is intimately related to the question of whether the underlying field theory contains ghosts. We now consider a related worry: the Ostrogradski instability [44]. A cartoon of the Ostrogradski construction follows (for a modern review see [45, 49]). Consider a higher-derivative Lagrangian which depends nondegenerately on the field and its first \( N \) derivatives (so that the equation of motion is of order \( 2N \) in time derivatives) the Hamiltonian depends on \( 2N \) canonical coordinates corresponding to the \( 2N \) pieces of initial data which are necessary to specify the solutions of the Euler-Lagrange equation. Ostrogradski’s theorem states that the Hamiltonian always depends linearly \( N - 1 \) of the conjugate momenta. It follows that the Hamiltonian is generically unbounded from below and hence it is necessarily unstable over half the phase space for large \( N \). In light of the previous analysis it is easy to see
why Ostrogradski’s construction may fail for theories containing infinitely many derivatives. As long as the propagator only has one pole, then only two initial data are necessary to specify the solutions of the equation of motion corresponding to only two independent canonical coordinates, rather than infinitely many as one would conclude by naively taking the $N \to \infty$ limit of Ostrogradski’s result. For nonlocal theories of infinite order one should instead construct the Hamiltonian using the formalism of [43, 44] which allows for a more transparent identification of the physical phase space of an infinite derivative theory and reduces to the Ostrogradski construction in the finite derivative case.

It is interesting to note that for theories with more than one pole in the propagator (theories which have ghosts) turning on large Hubble friction seems to ameliorate the problem somewhat by slowing the evolution of the spurious modes (see equation (3.21)). Of course, Hubble friction does not actually solve the problem by rendering the Hamiltonian bounded from below, it merely slows down the higher derivative instability. Obviously in the case of an infinite spectrum of masses $\{m_n^2\}$ where $|m_n^2| \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$ then one may always find some level $N$ so that $|m_N^2| > H^2$ for finite $H$.

The failure of the Ostrogradski construction for infinite derivative theories has previously been noted in the math literature, though not using this language. In [67] (see also [51]) it was noted that the naive procedure for writing the $N$-th order differential equation

$$F \left( t, \phi, \frac{d\phi}{dt}, \frac{d^2\phi}{dt^2}, \cdots, \frac{d^N\phi}{dt^N} \right) = 0$$

as a system of $N$ first order equations

$$\frac{d\phi_n}{dt} = f_n \left( t, \phi, \phi_1, \phi_2, \cdots, \phi_N \right), \quad n = 1, 2, \cdots, N$$

$$\phi_n = \frac{d^{n-1}\phi}{dt^{n-1}}$$

fails when $N = \infty$. Though there exists no general no-go theorem which excludes the possibility that there exists some alternate procedure for writing an infinite order ODE as an infinite system of first order ODEs\(^8\), it is very unlikely that such a procedure can be found.

It is interesting to note that one would obtain quite different conclusions from what we have discussed for the solutions of (3.28) if one were to truncate the full kinetic function $F(z)$ at some large but finite order in derivatives, $N$. Consider the truncated kinetic function

$$F_{\text{trunc}}(z) \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{N} \frac{F^{(n)}(0)}{n!} z^n$$

for $N \gg 1$. Since $F_{\text{trunc}}(z)$ is an $N$-th order polynomial in $z$ it will inevitably contain some large number of spurious zeros which were not present in the full kinetic function $F(z)$. Because the propagator in the truncated theory contains a large number of spurious zeros

\(^8\)Such a situation would be reminiscent of multi-Hamiltonian systems where the second order equation(s) can be written as a first order system in different ways, depending on the choice of symplectic structure and Hamiltonian.
poles it follows that the solution $\phi(t, x)$ will contain a large number of modes which are not present in the true theory (3.28). Inevitably some of these modes will correspond to ghost-like degrees of freedom so the solution will be unstable. Evidently, infinite derivative theories only make sense when the full nonlocal structure of the theory is included.

4. Some Linear Equations of Physical Interest

We now apply the formalism developed in the previous section to some particular problems of physical interest. Though we do not uncover any new solutions, our analysis places previous literature applying nonlocal field theories to cosmology [32, 33, 34] in the mathematical context developed in this paper, shedding light on the nature of the initial value problem for these equations.

We proceed by first linearizing the non-linear field equations under consideration around known solutions, and then solving the linearized equations using our formal operator calculus. While we don’t derive the error estimates that would make our use of the linearized equations fully mathematically rigorous, we believe that this step is justified in the context of the applications of these field equations to cosmology. In the case of nonlocal hill-top inflationary models [32, 33, 34] the background dynamics which are interesting for inflation occur very close to the unstable maximum of the potential and the COBE normalization ensures that inhomogeneities are very small. Thus it is quite natural to expect that one should obtain accurate results by linearizing the equations of motion about the false vacuum. Further support for this approach of perturbing about some constant solution is provided by the fact that our linearized solution of the $p$-adic string equation (below) essentially reproduces the leading term (at early times) in the expansion in exponentials used in [13]. As we shall see in section 3, working to higher order in perturbation theory will reproduce the full solution of [13]. Since the solutions of [13] were verified using a number of non-trivial consistency checks, we can be fairly confident that errors are small.

4.1 $p$-adic Strings Near the False Vacuum

The equation of motion for the tachyon field in $p$-adic string theory is (1.1). This equation has the constant solutions $\phi = 0, \pm 1$ for odd $p$, and $\phi = 0, +1$ in the case of even $p$, corresponding to critical points on the potential. The solution $\phi = 1$ (and $\phi = -1$ for odd $p$) represents the unstable tachyonic maximum of the potential while $\phi = 0$ represents the stable (true) vacuum of the theory.

We first consider equation (1.1) linearized about the false vacuum which physically corresponds to studying small tachyonic fluctuations which encode the instability of the D25-brane in $p$-adic string theory. Taking

$$\phi(t, x) = 1 + \delta \phi(t, x)$$

(4.1)

and linearizing (1.1) in $\delta \phi$ we find

$$\left[p^{-D/2} - p\right] \delta \phi(t, x) = 0$$

(4.2)
The kinetic function

$$F(z) = p^{-z/2} - p$$  \quad (4.3)

has infinitely many zeros at $z = z_n$ where

$$z_n = -2 + \frac{4\pi in}{\ln p}$$  \quad (4.4)

for $n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots$ (equivalently there are infinitely many poles of the propagator at these points). Thus the solution of (4.1) admits infinitely many initial data. The solution $\delta \phi$ contains a single tachyonic mode with mass-squared $-2$ in string units. The behaviour of this mode is described by (3.14) with $\mu^2 = 2$. This is the solution which would be expected on physical grounds. However, there are also an infinity of complex-mass solutions of the form (3.15). These extra modes have equally spaced mass-squared and, perhaps, correspond physically to the infinite tower of massive states of bosonic string theory. It is not clear how reasonable this interpretation is since the (perturbative) Hamiltonian is unbounded from below \cite{46}. One could render the theory (1.1) physically sensible by simply projecting out the unwanted complex-mass poles by taking the contour $C$ in (2.3) to enclose only the poles along the real and imaginary axes in complex plane. We have discussed this prescription previously, at the end of subsection 3.1. The fact that (1.1) can be rendered stable\footnote{Recall, however, that we are perturbing about an unstable maximum of the potential. Even excluding the complex-mass solutions the perturbative Hamiltonian would appear to be unbounded from below as a result of the tachyonic instability.} by suitable choice of $C$ is consistent with \cite{46} where it was shown that the Hamiltonian becomes bounded from below once suitable asymptotic conditions are imposed on the tachyon field.

### 4.2 $p$-adic Strings Near the True Vacuum

We now consider equation (1.1) linearized about the true vacuum $\phi = 0$. Writing

$$\phi(t, x) = 0 + \delta \phi(t, x)$$  \quad (4.5)

and linearizing equation (1.1) in $\delta \phi$ (assuming $p \neq 1$) we have

$$p^{-\Delta/2} \delta \phi(t, x) = 0$$  \quad (4.6)

The kinetic function

$$F(z) = p^{-z/2}$$  \quad (4.7)

has no zeros in the complex plane. Thus equation (4.6) has no nontrivial solution. This is to be expected on physical grounds since the tachyon vacuum should contain no open string excitations.

This analysis does not rule out the existence of nonperturbative solutions in the true vacuum of the theory. Indeed, \cite{13} found anharmonic oscillations about $\phi = 0$ using numerical methods. The anharmonic oscillations of \cite{13} appear to contain two free parameters (the frequency $\omega$ and a phase shift which sets the origin of time).

\footnote{Here we mean “stable” in the sense of the Ostrogradski instability. The theory contains a tachyon and hence it is unstable in this sense.}
A similar analysis can be performed in the case of open-closed $p$-adic string theory, a simple generalization of (1.1) which incorporates both the open- and closed-string tachyons. This analysis is reported on in appendix C.

### 4.3 SFT Near the False Vacuum

Equation (1.2) has two constant solutions: $\phi = -1$ and $\phi = 0$. The former corresponds to the unstable (tachyonic) maximum while the latter is the true vacuum of the theory. Writing $\phi = -1 + \delta \phi$ and linearizing in $\delta \phi$ we obtain

$$e^{-c\Box} [1 + \Box] \delta \phi = 0 \quad (4.8)$$

so that the kinetic function

$$F(z) = e^{-cz}(1 + z) \quad (4.9)$$

has only one zero at $z = -1$: exactly the tachyonic mode which is expected on physical grounds. It follows that the initial value problem for (4.8) is well-posed with only two initial data and the solutions are the tachyonic modes (3.14) with $\mu^2 = 1$.

### 4.4 SFT Near the True Vacuum

We now write $\phi = 0 + \delta \phi$ and linearize (1.2) with the result

$$[1 + \Box] e^{-c\Box} - 2 \delta \phi = 0 \quad (4.10)$$

The kinetic function is

$$F(z) = e^{-cz}(1 + z) - 2 \quad (4.11)$$

The transcendental equation $F(z_n) = 0$ has solutions

$$z_n = -1 - \frac{1}{c} W_n (-2ce^{-c}) \quad (4.12)$$

where $W_n$ are the branches of the Lambert W-function. It is straightforward to check that for $c = \ln(3^3/4^2)$ none of the $z_n$ are real so that (4.10) admits infinitely many initial data. The solutions are the complex-mass states (3.15) which, perhaps, can be physically interpreted as closed string excitations (at large $n$ equation (4.12) describes a spectrum with equally spaced masses-squared). However, such an interpretation should be employed with great care since the Hamiltonian for this system is unbounded from below [46]. See also [21] for further discussion.

Notice that, as in subsection 4.1, we could project out the unwanted complex-mass states by taking the contour $C$ in (2.3) to enclose only the real and imaginary axes in the complex plane.

### 5. Nonlinear Equations of Infinite Order

#### 5.1 The Nonlinear Problem

A systematic treatment of nonlinear differential equations of infinite order is beyond the scope of this paper (indeed, such a treatment is absent even for the case of finite order).
Here we discuss some promising approaches and, in the next subsection, we will consider a perturbation theory approach to the nonlinear equation of \( p \)-adic string theory, rendering the initial value problem physically sensible by suitable choice of the contour of integration, \( C \). Finally, in subsection 5.3 we will discuss the implications of this choice of \( C \) on the full nonlinear problem.

A powerful and efficient method of generating solutions for infinite order nonlinear equations (which can be used also in cosmology) is the iterative procedure \([30, 31, 38, 39]\). Iterative techniques are quite common in the mathematical literature on nonlinear integral equations and the appeal of such procedures for equations of the form \((1.3)\) is not surprising given the relationship detailed in appendix A. For the purposes of characterizing the initial value problem, however, such techniques do not seem to be the most appropriate since they typically rely on some pre-existing understanding of the asymptotic behaviour of the solutions. (One advantage of the perturbative approach described in the next subsection is that one can specify the field and its derivatives at \( t = 0 \) and allow the system to evolve to a unique solution at \( t = +\infty \).) On the other hand, one might nevertheless argue that iterative approaches do still shed light on the initial value problem. This is so because for certain stringy models there is numerical evidence that the iterative procedure always converges to a unique solution once the sign at infinity and the value of the field at \( t = 0 \) have been specified \([39]\). This suggests a class of theories of the form \((1.3)\) with only two arbitrary numbers in the solution.

Another promising approach is the connection to the heat equation \([3, 27, 40]\) which may also be used to shed light on the nature of the initial value problem \([27]\). However, it is not clear if this approach can also be employed for more general kinetic functions which do not involve \( e^{-\alpha \Box} \). In \([27]\) solutions in some stringy models were constructed which depend only on a finite number of initial data.

Finally, we should also mention the expansion in eigenfunctions of the kernel which was employed in \([40]\) for the case of \( p \)-adic string theory and which does not seem to have received as much attention as the alternative approaches. The expansion in Hermite polynomials of \([40]\) seems to provide a very promising approach. Like the perturbative approach which we will describe in the next subsection, this expansion is systematic and can, in principle, be continued to arbitrarily high accuracy. However, unlike the perturbative approach, it does not rely on any a priori assumptions about the initial data.

At this point we would like to comment upon an apparent discrepancy in the literature. How does one reconcile the results of \([49]\) (also subsections 4.1 and 4.4) that equations \((1.1, 1.2)\) admit infinitely many initial data with evidence (coming from the techniques described above) that these equations admit only finitely many initial data? These results are not necessarily in conflict because many previous studies of equations of the form \((1.3)\) may have (either implicitly or explicitly) placed constraints on the solutions which omit the ghost-like states. For example, it was shown in \([46]\) that the Hamiltonian for both \( p \)-adic string theory and also SFT becomes bounded from below if one demands that the tachyon is at the false vacuum as \( t \to -\infty \). Thus, the ghost-like excitations will not be present in any studies which make this assumption.\(^{11}\) Finally, it was noted in \([49]\) that

\(^{11}\) The fact that both \( p \)-adic string theory and SFT are rendered ghost-free once this auxiliary constraint is
perturbation theory projects our the ghost-like modes in the case of string field theory (this is so because in the case of equation (1.2) the nonlocal structure of the theory can be put into the interaction term by a field re-definition) which explains why path integral quantizations [65] do not exhibit any signs of pathology. (See also [66] for details of the Feynman rules in string field theory.)

5.2 Perturbative Approach

Consider the full nonlinear equation of $p$-adic string theory (1.1) with initial conditions close to $\phi = 1$. For simplicity we consider only the homogeneous case $\phi = \phi(t)$ (inhomogeneous solutions in $p$-adic string theory have been considered in [68, 69]). In this case it is appropriate to expand the $p$-adic scalar in perturbation theory as

$$\phi(t) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \delta^{(n)}(t) \phi(t) \quad (5.1)$$

It is straightforward perturb the field equation (1.1) up to second order with the result

$$\left[ p^{\partial_{1}/2} - p \right] \delta^{(1)} \phi = 0 \quad (5.2)$$

$$\left[ p^{\partial_{1}/2} - p \right] \delta^{(2)} \phi = p(p - 1) \left( \delta^{(1)} \phi \right)^2 \quad (5.3)$$

In general, for the $n$-th order perturbation, one will obtain an equation of the form

$$\left[ p^{\partial_{1}/2} - p \right] \delta^{(n)} \phi(t) = J_n(t) \quad (5.4)$$

where $J_n(t)$ is constructed from perturbations of order less than $n$. At each order in perturbation theory the generatrix has the form

$$f(s) = p^{s^2/2} - p \quad (5.5)$$

We project out the complex-mass states (discussed in subsection 4.1) by taking the contour $C$ to encircle only poles on the real and imaginary axes in the complex plane. Thus we are free to write (5.5) in the form

$$f(s) = \gamma(s)(s + \sqrt{2})(s - \sqrt{2}) \quad (5.6)$$

with $\gamma(s) = \left[ p^{s^2/2} - p \right] / [s^2 - 2]$ having no zeros in the domain of interest. Therefore, at each order in perturbation theory there are only two initial conditions and hence that the full nonperturbative solution also admits only two initial conditions. For the sake of illustration we choose $\phi(0) = 1 + \epsilon$ and $\dot{\phi}(0) = 0$ where we assume that $|\epsilon| \ll 1$.

imposed means that the ghost-like modes are not present when one considers the specific physical problem of studying brane decay in these theories. However, this resolution seems somewhat unsatisfying since one would like to be able to consider such nonlocal theories in a more general setting. The prescription for re-defining the pseudo-differential operator discussed in subsection 3.1 provides an auxiliary constraint which also projects the ghost-like states, but is less restrictive than demanding that $\phi$ sit at the unstable maximum as $t \to -\infty$. 
Inspection of (5.4) shows that the full solution will have the form of a sum of exponentials

\[ \phi(t) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} a_n e^{\sqrt{2}nt} \]

similar to what was employed in [13] and [32, 34]. (In previous applications the terms with \( n < 0 \) were omitted by the boundary condition \( \phi(-\infty) = 1 \), here we keep those terms since we wish to impose our initial conditions at \( t = 0 \).)

We now proceed to solve the perturbation equations. The linear perturbation (5.2) is straightforward to solve using (2.9)

\[ \delta^{(1)} \phi(t) = \epsilon \cosh\left(\sqrt{2}t\right) \]  

which obeys \( \delta^{(1)} \phi(0) = \epsilon \), \( \delta^{(1)} \dot{\phi}(0) = 0 \). The second order equation (5.3) takes the form

\[ \left[p^{3/2}/2 - p\right] \delta^{(2)} \phi = J_2(t) \]  

\[ J_2(t) = \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} p(p-1) \left[\cosh(2\sqrt{2}t) + 1\right] \]

In Laplace space the source \( \tilde{J}_2(s) \) can be written as

\[ \tilde{J}_2(s) = \frac{\epsilon^2}{4} p(p-1) \left[\frac{1}{s-2\sqrt{2}} + \frac{1}{s+2\sqrt{2}} + \frac{2}{s}\right] \]

Plugging this into (2.13) and performing the contour integration yields the particular solution

\[ \delta^{(2)} \phi_p(t) = \frac{\epsilon^2(p-1)}{2(p^3-1)} \cosh(2\sqrt{2}t) + \frac{\epsilon^2(p-1)}{3\ln p} \cosh(\sqrt{2}t) - \frac{\epsilon^2 p}{2} \]

To (5.11) we are free to add a solution of the homogeneous equation in order to fix the initial conditions. The appropriate choice is

\[ \delta^{(2)} \phi_h(t) = -\epsilon^2 \left[\frac{p-1}{2(p^3-1)} + \frac{p-1}{3\ln p} - \frac{p}{2}\right] \cosh(\sqrt{2}t) \]

The full second order solution \( \delta^{(2)} \phi(t) = \delta^{(2)} \phi_p(t) + \delta^{(2)} \phi_h(t) \) obeys \( \delta^{(2)} \phi(0) = \delta^{(2)} \dot{\phi}(0) = 0 \) and can be written as

\[ \delta^{(2)} \phi(t) = \frac{\epsilon^2(p-1)}{2(p^3-1)} \left[\cosh(2\sqrt{2}t) - \cosh(\sqrt{2}t)\right] + \frac{\epsilon^2 p}{2} \left[\cosh(\sqrt{2}t) - 1\right] \]

In principle this procedure could be continued up to arbitrarily high order in perturbation theory. It should be clear the the perturbative method employed in this section could also be readily applied to the SFT equation of motion (1.2) or to other nonlinear equations of the form (1.3).
5.3 The Implications of Restricting the Contour of Integration

At the end of subsection 3.1 we have advocated re-defining the action of the formal pseudo-differential operator $f(\partial_t)\phi(t)$ in (2.4) taking $C$ to only enclose the real and imaginary axes in the complex plane. We have shown in section 4 that this prescription renders both the $p$-adic string and also SFT ghost-free (at the linearized level) by projecting out the unwanted complex-mass states. In subsection 5.2 we have illustrated how this approach may be employed order-by-order in perturbation theory so that one could (in principle) construct solutions of the full nonlinear problem (1.1) which depend on only two initial data (it should be clear that the same approach will also work for SFT (1.2)). However, there is nothing inherently perturbative about the definition (2.4) and one could imagine imposing this restriction even beyond perturbation theory. Our prescription therefore provides a nonperturbative means of re-defining both $p$-adic string theory and SFT in such a way as to evade the Ostrogradski instability.\(^\text{12}\)

However, one may wonder what the implications of this restriction are for the fully nonlinear problem. It would be a shame if this re-definition were to project out not only the ghosts but also some physically interesting nonperturbative solutions. We now investigate this question, showing that our prescription does not affect most of the interesting solutions of (1.1, 1.2) which have been considered in the literature. We will focus primarily on $p$-adic string theory, though it will be clear that similar conclusions will also apply for SFT.

First, we note that at the nonlinear level, one could think about this restriction on $C$ in (2.4) as limiting ourselves only to look for solutions $\phi(t)$ within a certain class of functions. Specifically, if $\phi(t)$ can be written as (2.3) with $C$ only enclosing the real and imaginary axes, then clearly the action of $f(\partial_t)$ is unaltered by our prescription. (This is simply to say that if none of the poles $\{s_i\}$ of $\tilde{\phi}(s)$ have both Re($s_i$) and Im($s_i$) non-zero then an infinite contour $C$ in (2.4) can be deformed to only encircle the real and imaginary axes.) This restriction clearly defines a rather large class of functions - it admits any function which may be represented as a sum (either discrete or continuous) of exponential modes of the form $e^{i\omega t}$, $e^{\lambda t}$ with $\omega, \lambda$ real. In particular, this restriction does not omit any function which has a well-defined Fourier transform (since such functions can be represented as a sum of modes $e^{i\omega t}$). Indeed, this restriction is considerably less stringent than demanding that the solution $\phi(t)$ has a Fourier transform since it also admits a wider class of function (such as $e^{t}$) which do not.

Let us consider some particular nonperturbative solutions of (1.1) which have been constructed in the literature. The anharmonic oscillations of [13] (which have been suggested to represent closed string excitations) can be written as a discrete Fourier series and hence this class of solutions is not omitted. Equation (1.1) admits a kink-like solution [12, 13, 37, 40] which interpolates between the unstable maxima $\phi = \pm 1$ at $t \to \pm \infty$ (for odd $p$). Since this kink solution can be thought of as the zero-frequency limit of the anharmonic oscillations [13] it follows that this solution is also untouched by our restriction. Similar comments apply for kink-type solutions in SFT and other stringy models [14-19],

\(^\text{12}\)The idea of finding some nonperturbative means of restricting SFT to a naive subclass of its solutions in order to evade the Ostrogradski instability was suggested in [49].
The rolling solution of [13] (and all solutions which can be constructed as summations of the form \( \sum_n e^{n\lambda t} \), both in \( p \)-adic string theory and in SFT [4]-[7]) also remains unexcluded. Finally, note that equation (1.1) admits the rapidly growing solution

\[
\phi(t) = p^{\frac{1}{2}\lambda(p-1)} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{p-1}{p} t^2\right)
\]  

(see, for example, [40, 69]). The identity

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\omega e^{-a\omega^2-\omega t} = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{a}} e^{t^2/(4a^2)}
\]

implies that this solution can be represented as a sum of exponential functions with real-valued argument and hence this solution also survives our restriction. (Rotating \( t \rightarrow it \) we see that any gaussian lump-type solutions are also unexcluded.) One the other hand, clearly our prescription will exclude solutions which involve summations of terms of the form (3.15), such as the infinite-parameter solution of (1.1) described by equation (88) of [46]. We see, then, that the restriction which one must impose to render the theories (1.1, 1.2) ghost-free is not terribly onerous.

An interesting and important question is whether this prescription preserves the perturbative S-matrix. Though we do not have any rigorous proof, we expect that it does as long as one only considers S-matrix elements involving states with \( m^2 \) real-valued (which, in any case, are the physically meaningful states). This argument is supported by evidence from perturbative string field theory. As we have seen in subsection 4.3, our prescription has no effect on SFT if one considers a perturbative expansion about the unstable maximum. (This is so because perturbation theory also projects out the unwanted complex-mass states [49].) Since perturbative string field theory is believed to be consistent [65] and to reproduce the usual string theory amplitudes [66], it seems clear that, at least in this particular case, our prescription does not unacceptably modify the S-matrix. Of course, this argument is far from conclusive, and it is an interesting problem study the implications of our prescription on the S-matrix in a more general setting. We leave the complete resolution of this issue to future investigations.

6. Conclusions

In this paper we have presented a simple and intuitive formalism for studying the initial value problem in a wide variety of nonlocal theories. Contrary to naive arguments, differential equations of infinite order do not necessarily admit infinitely many initial data. Rather, we have shown that every pole of the propagator contributes two initial data to the final solution. Crucially, we have shown that this counting procedure exhausts all possible solutions at the linear level. This result has a transparent physical interpretation since each pole of the propagator should correspond to a physical excitation and the two initial data per physical state are promoted to annihilation/creation operators in the quantum theory.

We have considered, in particular, the dynamical equations of \( p \)-adic string theory and string field theory arguing that in both cases the initial value problem admits infinitely
many initial data. However, both theories may be rendered ghost-free by suitably re-defining the action of the pseudo-differential operator (taking the contour in (2.4) to enclose only the real and imaginary axes) and we have suggested that such a re-definition may be analogous to putting a UV cut-off on the theory. However, this procedure lacks firm mathematical motivation and may be difficult to interpret physically. Indeed, a skeptic might argue that it is tantamount to simply excluding the unwanted solutions by hand.

On the other hand, we have argued that one might take the contour \( C \) as part of the definition of the nonlocal theory so that different choices of \( C \) yield different theories with different mass spectra. In [49] it was suggested that one might evade the Ostrogradski instability in string field theory by somehow re-defining the theory to constrain it to a naive subclass of its solutions. It was noted there that defining the theory through a perturbative expansion (analogous to the analysis in subsection 4.3) accomplishes just this. Our prescription for redefining the action of the pseudo-differential operator accomplishes the same thing, though it is non-perturbative (there is nothing inherently perturbative about (2.4) and, indeed, an analogous definition is used to study nonlinear equations in [58]). The same prescription works for both \( p \)-adic string theory and SFT. Moreover, in both cases this prescription seems to preserve the nice features of the theory. We have shown in subsection 5.3 that this restriction does not exclude most of the interesting solutions which have been constructed previously in the literature. We believe that this is an idea which merits further investigation.

One limitation of our analysis is that we have, for the most part, restricted our attention to linear differential equations. Though this certainly omits some interesting problems, it is sufficient for many physical applications since a great deal of information can be extracted by perturbing about some vacuum solution. We have illustrated this by studying the dynamical equation of \( p \)-adic string theory up to second order in perturbation theory in subsection 5.2. Since, at least in principle, one could construct nonperturbative solutions by resumming the perturbation series we expect that a similar counting of initial data should apply also for nonlinear equations. However, we leave a detailed study of the solutions of nonlinear equations of infinite order to future investigations.

It is sometimes argued that obtaining any continuum field theory of quantum gravity will require abandoning locality [49]. Since presumably any viable nonlocal theory should admit only finitely many initial data (at most two if we wish to evade the Ostrogradski instability) it is therefore an interesting question as to whether one can construct interacting fundamentally nonlocal theories which admit only finitely many initial data (preferably without having to resort to re-defining the action of the pseudo-differential operator). This is certainly possible, the model of [50] provides an explicit example (see also discussions in [47, 48, 49]). We have noted that equations involving fractional operators also seem to provide a promising class of theories. We believe that the formalism which we have presented in this paper will be useful in finding also more general classes of theories which satisfy these criterion.
Note Added

After completing this paper we became aware of work by Lee and Wick [70] and also by Cutkosky, Landshoff, Olive and Polkinghorne [71] which may have some bearing on the our prescription for re-defining the formal pseudo-differential operator. In [70] Lee and Wick proposed a modification of electrodynamics which involves finitely many higher derivatives. The Lee-Wick model has recently been revived as a possible solution to the hierarchy problem [72]. In this construction the propagator for each standard model (SM) field contains two poles: one corresponding to the usual SM state and the other corresponding to an associated Lee-Wick particle. In [71] a modification of the usual contour prescription for Feynman diagrams was proposed which preserves the unitarity of the theory and removes the exponential growth of disturbances associated with the Ostrograski instability coming from the Lee-Wick partners. The modification of [71] seems similar in spirit to our suggestion for deforming the contour of integration in the definition of the action of the formal pseudo-differential on Laplace space. The prescription employed in [71] can be shown to be equivalent to imposing a future boundary condition that forbids outgoing exponentially growing modes and leads to violations of causality. These violations of causality are restricted to microscopic scales [73] and the theory is believed to respect macroscopic causality and to be free of paradoxes. Though it remains to be seen whether similar statements can be made about the prescription which we have advocated, the consistency of the Lee-Wick model seems to provide a good reason to be optimistic on this front. It would be interesting to investigate this issue in detail.
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APPENDIX A: Convolution Form of the $p$-adic Equation

The relationship between equation (1.1) and a certain nonlinear convolution equation has previous been noted in the literature [13]. Using (2.4) the quantity $p^{8t^2/2}\phi(t)$ on the right-
hand-side of (1.1) can be written as

\[ p^{\theta_t/2} \phi(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C ds \, p^{s^2/2} \tilde{\phi}(s) e^{st} \]

\[ = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C ds \, \tilde{\phi}(s) e^{st} \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\ln p}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt' \, e^{-(t-t')^2/(2\ln p)} e^{-s(t-t')} \right] \]

\[ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\ln p}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt' \, e^{-(t-t')^2/(2\ln p)} \tilde{\phi}(s) e^{st'} \]

\[ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\ln p}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt' \, e^{-(t-t')^2/(2\ln p)} \phi(t') \]

Then equation (1.1) is equivalent to the following nonlinear Fredholm equation

\[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\ln p}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-(t-t')^2/(2\ln p)} \phi(t') = \phi(t)^p \] (A-1)

which gives the convolution form of (1.1). (See, for example, [13, 37, 40] for details.)

The above derivation relied heavily on the gaussian form of the kinetic function in equation (1.1). The action of kinetic operators involving more general functions may also be written as a convolution with some kernel. To see this, we write

\[ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt' \, K(t') \phi(t + t') = f(\partial_t) \phi(t) \] (A-2)

If we assume that the integrand of (A-2) may be expanded as

\[ \phi(t + t') = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(t')^n}{n!} \phi^{(n)}(t) \] (A-3)

then, employing the series expansion for the generatrix (2.2), we see that both sides of (A-2) match for

\[ f^{(n)}(0) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt \, t^n K(t) \] (A-4)

APPENDIX B: Review of Laplace Transforms

We define a function \( f(t) \) and its Laplace transform \( \tilde{f}(s) \) by the transformations

\[ \phi(t) = \mathcal{L}^{-1}\{\tilde{\phi}(s)\} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{a-i\infty}^{a+i\infty} e^{st} \tilde{\phi}(s) ds \] (B-1)

\[ \tilde{\phi}(s) = \mathcal{L}\{\phi(t)\} = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-st} \phi(t) dt \] (B-2)

The \( ds \) integration in (B-1) is performed along a vertical line in the complex \( s \)-plane and \( a \) should be chosen sufficiently large that all poles of the integrand are to the left of the contour. For \( t > 0 \) we can close the contour to the left using an infinite semi-circle so that

\[ \phi(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C e^{st} \tilde{\phi}(s) ds \quad \text{for} \quad t > 0 \]
which gives equation (2.3). On the other hand, for \( t < 0 \) the contour should be closed to the right and the integration gives zero because the integrand is everywhere analytic within the contour of integration. Strictly speaking (B-2) applies only for \( \text{Re}(s) > a \). For \( \text{Re}(s) < a \) the Laplace-space function \( \tilde{\phi}(s) \) should be defined by analytic continuation.

Differentiation acts in Laplace space as

\[
\partial_t^{(n)} \phi(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_C ds e^{st} \left[ s^n \tilde{\phi}(s) - \sum_{i=1}^n d_j s^{n-j} \right] \tag{B-3}
\]

where

\[
d_j = \partial_t^{(j-1)} \phi(0) \tag{B-4}
\]

and \( \sum_{j=1}^0 \) is identically zero. Following [58] one might define the action of \( f(\partial_t)\phi(t) \), with \( f(s) \) given by (2.2), as

\[
f(\partial_t)\phi(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_C ds e^{st} \left[ f(s) \tilde{\phi}(s) - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{f^{(n)}(0)}{n!} d_j s^{n-j} \right] \tag{B-5}
\]

As discussed in section 2, in solving (2.1) the second term in (B-5) may be adsorbed into the arbitrary coefficients in the solution \( \tilde{\phi}(s) \). This is material is discussed, for example, in [58, 74].

**APPENDIX C: Open-Closed p-adic Strings**

A simple generalization of open \( p \)-adic string theory (1.1) which incorporates also the closed string tachyon is the coupled infinite order system [75, 68]

\[
p^{-\Box/2} \phi = \phi^p \psi^{p(p-1)/2} \tag{C-1}
\]

\[
p^{-\Box/4} \psi = \psi^p + \frac{\lambda^2(p-1)}{2p} \psi^{p(p-1)/2-1} (\phi^{p+1} - 1) \tag{C-2}
\]

where \( \phi \) represents the open-string tachyon, \( \psi \) represents the closed string tachyon and \( \lambda^2 \ll 1 \) is related to the string coupling. Let us put the open-string tachyon in its vacuum state \( \phi = 0 \) and consider the resulting closed-string tachyon dynamics. For \( \phi = 0 \) equation (C-2) becomes

\[
p^{-\Box/2} \psi = \psi^p - \frac{\lambda^2(p-1)}{2p} \psi^{p(p-1)/2-1} \tag{C-3}
\]

This equation admits two constant solutions \( \psi = \psi_f \) and \( \psi = \psi_t \) representing the false and true vacuum states respectively. The false vacuum is

\[
\psi_f = 1 + \frac{\lambda^2}{2(p+1)} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^4) \tag{C-4}
\]

Writing \( \psi = \psi_f + \delta\psi \) and linearizing (C-3) in \( \delta\psi \) gives

\[
\left[ p^{-\Box/4} - \left( p^2 + \lambda^2 \frac{p^3 + p - 2}{4p} \right) \right] \delta\psi = 0 \tag{C-5}
\]
to leading order in $\lambda^2$. The kinetic function

$$F(z) = p^{-z/4} - \left( p^2 + \lambda^2 \frac{p^3 + p - 2}{4p} \right)$$

has infinitely many zeros at $z = z_n$ where

$$z_n = \frac{-4}{\ln p} \ln \left[ p^2 + \frac{\lambda^2(p^2 + p - 2)}{4p} \right] + \frac{8\pi i n}{\ln p}$$

and $n = 0, \pm 1, \ldots$

We consider now fluctuations about the true vacuum $\psi_t$. For $p > 2$ (the case $p = 2$ is treated below) we have

$$\psi_v = 0$$

and writing $\psi = 0 + \delta \psi$ yields

$$p^{-\Box/4} \delta \psi = 0$$

The kinetic function $F(z) = p^{-z/4}$ has no zeros and hence there is no solution. For $p = 2$ the true vacuum is shifted by the interaction

$$\psi_v = -\frac{\lambda^2}{4} + O(\lambda^6)$$

however, writing $\psi = -\lambda^2/4 + \delta \psi$ yields again $F(z) = p^{-z/4}$.

One might instead imagine writing $\phi = 1 + \delta \phi$ and $\psi = 1 + \delta \psi$ in which case the system of equations (C-2,C-1) gives

$$\left[ p^{-\Box/2} - p \right] \delta \phi = \frac{p}{2} (p - 1) \delta \psi$$

$$\left[ p^{-\Box/4} - p^2 \right] \delta \psi = \frac{\lambda^2(p^2 - 1)}{4p} \delta \phi$$

For $\lambda^2 = 0$ the study of this system is very similar to the analysis in section 5. For finite $\lambda^2$ solutions are also possible and have been described in [68].
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