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Abstract

Introduction and importance: The incidence of Richter's hernias has risen in part due to the increment use of laparoscopic surgery. The standard technique to manage a strangulated Richter's hernia is bowel resection with anastomosis. Alternatively, invagination of the necrotic area in the enterocele maintains a clean surgical field and allows for the use of a mesh when closing the abdominal wall. In a sterile surgical field, the use of a prosthetic reinforcement has shown advantages, including low rates of long-term complications and reduced rates of hernia recurrence. Case presentation: A 35-year-old male presented with a strangulated Richter's hernia in a periumbilical abdominal wall defect. In the Operating Theatre, the necrotic segment was managed laparoscopically by plication with invagination allowing for abdominal wall reconstruction with a mesh. Clinical discussion and conclusion: We propose the laparoscopic repair of Richter's hernia with plication and invagination whenever feasible, therefore avoiding a bowel resection and maintaining a clean surgical field; which allows for use of prosthetic mesh.

Background

Richter's hernia is a type of breach of the abdominal wall, in which <50% of the intestine's circumference protrudes through the defect and becomes necrotic. As minimal access surgery has demonstrated improved outcomes compared to their open counterparts, its use has risen dramatically [1]. This increase in the use of the laparoscopic approach, which creates multiple small defects in the abdominal wall, has led to a surge in the incidence of Richter's enterocele, and currently they amount to around 10% of all the incarcerated hernias upon presentation [2]. The abdominal wall reconstruction technique depends on the wound classification. If a bowel resection occurs the field is considered contaminated and a prosthetic implant should be avoided; as retrospective studies have demonstrated an association between the use of mesh in a contaminated field with sepsis, local infections, and bowel obstruction [3]. In a clean surgical field, however, the use of a mesh has shown advantages compared to suture repair, including decreased incidence of long-term complications and reduced rates of hernia recurrence [4-9]. Hence, avoiding bowel resection when repairing a Richter's hernia (which would allow for the use of a mesh) is desirable.

Case presentation

The patient is a 35-year-old male with a relevant past medical history of morbid obesity (with a BMI > 40) who presented initially to our free-standing Emergency Department with abdominal pain and a new periumbilical mass. He stated the pain that began while at work (he drives a tractor and lifts heavy bundles), had been colicky in nature and crescendo. He could not recall any one specific event or injury that resulted in this mass, and he first noticed it the day of presentation.

Additional medical issues included hypertension and type-II diabetes. He had no previous surgical history and no prior diagnosis of ventral or umbilical hernia. On clinical exam his vitals were stable: his BP was 131/75, his pulse 85 BPM and his temperature was 36.7°C. His respiratory rate was measured at 18 per minute and his pulse oxygination...
There was a non-reducible mass in the periumbilical area, exquisitely tender on palpation, which appeared mildly erythematous and warm. As part of his preoperative workup, he underwent blood work that showed a white blood cell count of 11.7 thousand per μL, platelets 301 thousand per μL, a hemoglobin of 17.5 G/dL. His chemistry was otherwise within normal limits except for elevated glucose (119 mg/dL), alkaline phosphatase (139 Units per liter) and Alanine transaminase (70 Units per liter). His serum lactate was measured at 1.0 mmol per liter, which is considered normal in our laboratory. His imaging included a computed tomography scan of the abdomen and pelvis with intravenous contrast (Fig. 1A-B), which showed a loop of small bowel contained in what appeared to be a hernia sac. The bowel content did not appear to involve the whole circumference of the small bowel loop. He was then transferred to our facility (approximately 10 h after the onset of the clinical picture), where our clinical exam confirmed the above findings. We scheduled the patient for emergency surgery.

The patient was taken to the operating room urgently for a diagnostic laparoscopy by the authors. The abdomen was entered at Palmer’s point with a Verres needle. The loop of bowel that had been incarcerated was found spontaneously reduced, underneath the periumbilical hernia defect and appeared to be distal jejunum with a coin-like area of cyanotic tissue, amounting to <50 % of the total circumference of the affected loop of bowel, consistent with a Richter’s hernia (Fig. 2A). The decoloration failed to resolve following the customary waiting period (10–20 min after reduction), and with the administration of 100 % oxygen. Indocyanine Green was not immediately available and therefore not administered (to confirm viability), however, the area in question lacked peristalsis and appeared softer and more pliant that the rest of the surrounding bowel; strongly suggesting established transmural necrosis. The Richter’s strangulation was then managed by invagination, plicating the surrounding healthy serosa of the bowel with multiple interrupted sutures (Lembert-style) of 3-0 silk (Fig. 2B-D). The periumbilical hernia defect was measured to be 3 cm in diameter. It was managed with a 11.4 cm VentraLight circular mesh (Davol Inc., a subsidiary of C. R. Bard, Inc. Warwick, RI), affixed in place with multiple fires of the 5 mm secure suture device (Ethicon Endosurgery, Cincinnati OH) using the double-crown technique. A total of three 5 mm laparoscopic ports were used for the operation.

The patient was discharged home on postoperative day two, tolerating a regular diet and with adequate pain control. Since his release, he has returned for follow up three times: at two weeks, five weeks, and ten weeks postop. No wound complications or hernia site issues were detected. There has been no clinical evidence for hernia recurrence up until his last follow-up.

3. Discussion

The entity we know today as Richter’s hernia was first reported by Fabricius Hildanus in 1606, but it was August Gotlieb Richter that characterized the physiopathology of the condition as a partial enterocele; the protrusion of only part of the circumference of the intestine’s antimesenteric border through a small defect of the abdominal wall [2,10]. When compared to other types of hernia, Richter’s progress more rapidly towards strangulation, but generates a clinical picture of intestinal obstruction significantly less often. The surgical management of strangulated Richter’s hernia involves resection and re-anastomosis [2,11] which results in a (potentially) contaminated field and necessarily precludes the use of mesh [4,9].

After a careful search of all the available English biomedical literature in Pubmed, Medline and Google Scholar, we found that the first description of the invagination technique for the management of what we consider today to be Richter’s hernias was reported as an open procedure by Joost M Horbach in 1986 [12] and reintroduced independently by Ahmed and Abo-elmagd in 2019 (also as an open procedure) [13]. It was presented as a safer technique in less experienced hands in more austere environments, and with outcomes than matched or surpassed those of more mainstream resective approaches [12,13]. As far as we could surmise after our search, ours is the first description of this technique in conjunction with laparoscopic mesh hernioplasty. The advantages of this happy combination are clear: A laparoscopic suture plication is simpler (and cleaner) than an intracorporeal small bowel resection with re-anastomosis, resulting in no specimen removal and thus allowing for smaller port use. Technical pearls include the use of multiple interrupted sutures of non-absorbable material, applied at around 1 cm from the necrotic area (which ideally should encompass <50 % of the bowel circumference).

It is hypothesized that the necrotic bowel wall segment will slowly slough-off intraluminally, thus not compromising the lumen or creating a lead point for possible obstruction or intussusception. Obvious caveats for this hypothesis include the lack of long term follow up and the limited number of cases. As the use of minimally invasive approaches has shown clear benefits over open procedures (in terms of pain and recovery time), and prosthetic mesh hernioplasty in a clean surgical field has clear advantages (in terms of recurrence and overall complications) [4–9]; we recommend the laparoscopic repair of Richter’s hernias by bowel plication and invagination which maintains a clean surgical field and allows for the use of mesh.

4. Conclusion

There are limited options when confronted with strangulated bowel during the emergency management of a Richter’s hernia. We advocate...
for the use of intestinal plication of the necrotic segment as a feasible alternative to bowel resection and anastomosis. It maintains a clean field and, more importantly, allows for mesh implantation, thus considered a superior hernia repair.

5. Patient perspective

The patient reported high satisfaction scores for this episode of care. During his last follow-up visit he confirmed that he has returned to work and had no pain left or need for refills on his pain prescription. He has not experienced any additional long-term issues such as change in bowel habits or other abdominal symptoms.

List of abbreviations

| Abbreviation | Description                  |
|--------------|------------------------------|
| BMI          | body mass index              |
| BP           | blood pressure               |
| BPM          | beats per minute             |
| cm           | centimeters                  |
| G/dL         | grams per deciliter          |
| mg/dL        | milligrams per deciliter     |
| mm           | millimeters                  |
| µL           | microliter                   |
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Fig. 2. Intraoperative images of the Richter hernia and the process of its invagination. A) Ischemia of the previously incarcerated small bowel. B-D) The invagination process of the Richter hernia by plicating the surrounding healthy serosa of the bowel with multiple interrupted sutures (Lembert-style) of 3-0 silk.
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