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Abstract
In homogenous space Sol we study compact surfaces with constant mean curvature and with non-empty boundary. We ask how the geometry of the boundary curve imposes restrictions over all possible configurations that the surface can adopt. We obtain a flux formula and we establish results that assert that, under some restrictions, the symmetry of the boundary is inherited into the surface.
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1 Introduction

The space Sol is a simply connected homogeneous 3-manifold whose isometry group has dimension 3 and it belongs to one of the eight models of geometry of Thurston [12]. As a Riemannian manifold, the space Sol can be represented by $\mathbb{R}^3$ equipped with the metric

$$\langle , \rangle = ds^2 = e^{2z}dx^2 + e^{-2z}dy^2 + dz^2$$

where $(x, y, z)$ are canonical coordinates of $\mathbb{R}^3$. The space Sol, with the group operation

$$(x, y, z) \ast (x', y', z') = (x + e^{-z}x', y + e^{z}y', z + z'),$$

is
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is a Lie group and the metric $ds^2$ is left-invariant.

Although Sol is a homogenous space and the action of the isometry group is transitive, the fact that the number of isometries is low (for example, there are no rotations) makes that the knowledge of the geometry of submanifolds is far to be complete. For example, it is known the geodesics of space ([13]) and more recently, the totally umbilical surfaces ([11]), some properties on surfaces with constant mean curvature ([5, 7]) and invariant surfaces with constant curvature ([10]).

In this paper we consider compact surfaces with constant mean curvature (CMC) in Sol. First, we establish the following definition. Let $\Gamma$ be a closed curve of Sol and let $x : M \rightarrow \text{Sol}$ be an isometric immersion of a compact surface $M$ with non-empty boundary $\partial M$. We say that $\Gamma$ is the boundary of $x$ if $x : \partial M \rightarrow \Gamma$ is a diffeomorphism. We also say that $\Gamma$ is the boundary of $M$ if we the immersion is known.

We ask how the boundary of the surface has influence on the geometry of the whole of the surface. The relationship between the geometry of a surface and the geometry of its boundary has been asked in other ambient spaces, specially, in Euclidean space. A natural question is if a CMC surface inherits the symmetries of its boundary. To be precise, let $\Gamma$ be a closed curve and $\Phi$ an isometry of the ambient space Sol such that $\Phi(\Gamma) = \Gamma$. If $M$ is a compact CMC surface with boundary $\Gamma$, do hold $\Phi(M) = M$?

On the other hand, we ask if there exists some type of restrictions for a CMC surface to be the boundary of a given curve. Our question formulates as follows: given a closed curve $\Gamma$ in Sol and $H \in \mathbb{R}$, do any restrictions exist of the possible values $H$ of the mean curvature of a compact surface in Sol bounded by $\Gamma$?

The space Sol is the space with less isometries among all homogenous spaces and as a consequence the hypothesis in our statements will be more restrictive. This will reflect that the results obtained here are less outstanding than other ambient spaces. As we have pointed out, the isometry group $\text{Iso}(\text{Sol})$ has dimension 3 and the component of the identity is generated by the following families of isometries:

$$T_{1,c}(x, y, z) := (x + c, y, z)$$
$$T_{2,c}(x, y, z) := (x, y + c, z)$$
$$T_{3,c}(x, y, z) := (e^{-c}x, e^{c}y, z + c),$$

where $c \in \mathbb{R}$ is a real parameter. These isometries are left multiplications by elements of Sol and so, they are left-translations with respect to the structure of Lie group. Remark that the elements $T_{1,c}$ and $T_{2,c}$ are Euclidean translations along horizontal vector. Therefore, Euclidean reflections in the $(x, y, z)$ coordinates with respect to a plane $P_1$ or $Q_1$ are isometries of Sol. In the problems posed in this work, by 'symmetry' we mean invariant by one of the above two kinds of reflections.
The understanding of the geometry of Sol is given by the next three foliations:

\[ F_1 : \{P_t = \{(t, y, z); y, z \in \mathbb{R}\}\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \]
\[ F_2 : \{Q_t = \{(x, t, z); x, z \in \mathbb{R}\}\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \]
\[ F_3 : \{R_t = \{(x, y, t); x, y \in \mathbb{R}\}\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}. \]

The foliations \( F_1 \) and \( F_2 \) are determined by the isometry groups \( \{T_{1,c}\}_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \) and \( \{T_{2,c}\}_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \) respectively, and they describe (the only) totally geodesic surfaces of Sol, being each leaf of the foliation isometric to a hyperbolic plane; the foliation \( F_3 \) realizes by minimal surfaces, all them isometric to Euclidean plane.

In this work the boundaries of our surfaces lie in a totally geodesic surface or in a leaf of \( F_3 \). After an isometry of the ambient space, a leaf of \( F_2 \) can be carried into a leaf of \( F_1 \) by using the isometry of Sol given by \( \phi(x, y, z) = (y, x, -z) \), and hence one may assume that it is \( P_0 \) by a horizontal translation. Similarly, any leaf \( R_t \) of \( F_3 \) carries to \( R_0 \) by taking the isometry \( \phi(x, y, z) = (e^t x, e^{-t} y, z - t) \). As a consequence, in this paper we consider that the boundary of the surface lies in the plane \( P := P_0 \) or \( R := R_0 \).

In section 2 we establish the flux formula for compact CMC surfaces in Sol and we obtain upper bounds for the possible (constant) values of mean curvatures that a surface can take to be boundary of a given curve. In this sense, we show we show (Corollary 2.3 and Theorem 2.4)

If \( \Gamma \) is a circle of curvature \( c \) contained in a totally geodesic plane and \( M \) is a surface spanning \( \Gamma \) with constant mean curvature \( H \), then

\[ |H| \leq \frac{c + 1}{c - 1} \frac{\sqrt{c^2 - 1}}{2}. \]

If \( \Gamma \) is a circle of curvature \( c \) contained in the plane \( z = 0 \), then \( |H| \leq \sqrt{c^2 + 1} \).

In section 3 we apply the Alexandrov reflection method to obtain results that assures that a CMC embedded surface inherits the symmetries of its boundary, as well as the possible configurations that a such surface can adopt. Finally, in section 4 we combine the flux formula with the maximum principle in order to establish results of symmetry and uniqueness.

2 The flux formula in Sol

In this section we deduce a flux formula for CMC surfaces in Sol, which appears usually in the literature of surfaces with constant mean curvature. First, we recall the Killing
vectors fields in Sol (see details in [5, 13]; see also the Appendix). With respect to the metric $ds^2$, an orthonormal basis of left-invariant vector fields is given by

$$E_1 = e^{-z} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad E_2 = e^z \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \quad E_3 = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}.$$ 

In the space Sol a basis of Killing vector fields is

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, -x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z}.$$ 

We now establish the flux formula in Sol following the same steps than in Euclidean space (see originally in [9]). Consider $M$ and $D$ two compact surfaces immersed in Sol with $\partial M = \partial D$ such that $M \cup D$ is an oriented cycle. Let $W$ be the oriented immersed domain in Sol bounded by $M \cup D$. Let $N$ and $\eta$ be the unit normal fields to $M$ and $D$ respectively that point inside $W$. If $X$ is a Killing vector field in Sol, and because the divergence of $X$ on $W$ is zero, the Divergence theorem asserts

$$\int_M \langle N, X \rangle + \int_D \langle \eta, X \rangle = 0,$$ 

(1)

Assume now that $M$ is a compact surface of constant mean curvature $H$. Since $X$ is a Killing vector field, the first variation of area is zero. Consequently, we have

$$0 = \int_M \text{div}_M(X) = \int_M \text{div}_M(X^\top) + \int_M \text{div}_M(X^\perp) =$$

$$= -\int_{\partial M} \langle \nu, X \rangle - 2H \int_M \langle N, X \rangle,$$

where $X^\top$ and $X^\perp$ denote the tangent and the normal components of $X$ with respect to $M$ and $\nu$ is the unit conormal to $M$ along $\partial M$ pointing inside $M$. By using (1), we have proved

**Lemma 2.1** (Flux formula). Let $X$ be a Killing vector field of Sol. Consider $M$ an immersed compact CMC surface in Sol and let $D$ be a compact surface such that $\partial M = \partial D$ and $M \cup D$ is an oriented cycle. Then

$$\int_{\partial M} \langle \nu, X \rangle = 2H \int_D \langle \eta, X \rangle.$$ 

(2)

Now we are going to put in the flux formula (2) the Killing vector fields of Sol.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let $\Gamma$ be a closed embedded curve included in the plane $P$. If $M$ is a compact surface spanning $\Gamma$ and with constant mean curvature $H$, then

$$|H| \leq \exp \left( \max_{p \in \Gamma} z(p) - \min_{p \in \Gamma} z(p) \right) \frac{L(\Gamma)}{2 A(D)},$$ 

(3)

4
where $D \subset P$ is the bounded domain by $\Gamma$ and $L(\Gamma)$ and $A(D)$ denote the length of $\Gamma$ and the area of $D$, respectively. Moreover, equality in (3) holds if and only if $\Gamma$ is a line of curvature of $M$ and $M$ is orthogonal to $P$ along $\partial M$.

Proof. Take $X = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ in (2). First, $\eta = \pm e^{-z} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} = E_1$. Both sides in (2) yields

$$
\int_{\partial M} \langle \nu, \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \rangle \leq \int_{\partial M} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right| = \int_{\partial M} e^z \leq \exp \left( \max_{p \in \Gamma} z(p) \right) L(\Gamma).
$$

and

$$
\left| 2H \int_{D} \langle \eta, \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \rangle \right| = 2|H| \int_{D} e^z \geq 2|H| \exp \left( \min_{p \in \Gamma} z(p) \right) A(D).
$$

This shows (3).

In the case that the equality holds in (3), one concludes that $\nu$ is proportional to $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$, and then $\nu = E_1$. As $\langle N, \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \rangle = 0$ along $\partial M$, we have $\alpha' \langle N, \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \rangle = 0$ on $\partial M$, where $\alpha'$ is a unit tangent vector to $\partial M$. Then

$$
\langle \nabla_{\alpha'} N, \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \rangle + \langle N, \nabla_{\alpha'} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \rangle = 0 \quad \text{along } \partial M.
$$

Parametrize $\Gamma$ as $\alpha(s) = (0, y(s), z(s))$. Using (7), we know

$$
\nabla_{\alpha'} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} = z' e^z E_1 \Rightarrow \langle N, \nabla_{\alpha'} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \rangle = z' e^z \langle N, E_1 \rangle = 0 \quad \text{along } \partial M.
$$

Thus

$$
\langle \nabla_{\alpha'} N, \nu \rangle = \langle \nabla_{\alpha'} N, e^{-z} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \rangle = 0 \quad \text{along } \partial M.
$$

This means that the geodesic curvature of $\Gamma$ in $M$ is zero, and so, $\Gamma$ is a line of curvature of $M$. The orthogonality between $M$ and $P$ is a consequence of $\langle N, E_1 \rangle = 0$.

It is known that the plane $P$ with the induced metric of Sol is isometric to a hyperbolic plane: it suffices the change $t = e^z$ and then $P \to \{ (y, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2; t > 0 \}$ and the metric is $(dy^2+dt^2)/t^2$. With this change of variables the expression $\exp \left( \max_{p \in \Gamma} z(p) - \min_{p \in \Gamma} z(p) \right)$ converts into

$$
\frac{\max_{p \in \Gamma} t(p)}{\min_{p \in \Gamma} t(p)}.
$$

In the particular case that $\Gamma$ is a curve of constant (intrinsic) curvature $\kappa = c$, then $\Gamma$ is a circle of hyperbolic plane (remark that $c$ must be greater than 1, which assures that the curve is closed). The quantities that appear in (3) are

$$
\frac{\max_{p \in \Gamma} t(p)}{\min_{t \in \Gamma} t(p)} = \frac{c+1}{c-1}, \quad L(\Gamma) = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{c^2-1}}, \quad A(D) = \frac{2\pi(c-\sqrt{c^2-1})}{\sqrt{c^2-1}}.
$$

See [2]. Thus
Corollary 2.3. Let \( \Gamma \) be a circle of curvature \( c \) included in the plane \( P \). If \( M \) is a compact surface spanning \( \Gamma \) and with constant mean curvature \( H \), then
\[
|H| \leq \frac{c + 1}{c - 1} \frac{c + \sqrt{c^2 - 1}}{2}.
\] (4)

If \( \Gamma \) is a circle of curvature \( c \) and we have equality in (3) we obtain that the normal curvature of \( \Gamma \) is \( c \). However, from (4) we do not conclude that \( \Gamma \) is a set of umbilical points, since equation \( H = c \) has no solution in the interval \((1, \infty)\). This contrasts to the Euclidean case, where if the boundary curve is a circle of curvature \( c \), the flux formula says \( |H| \leq c \) and the equality occurs if \( M \) is an umbilical surface, that is, \( M \) is a hemisphere ([3]).

Theorem 2.4. Let \( \Gamma \) be a closed embedded curve included in the plane \( R \). If \( M \) is a compact surface spanning \( \Gamma \) and with constant mean curvature \( H \), then
\[
|H| \leq \int_{\partial M} \sqrt{1 + x^2 + y^2} \, ds
\]
\[
\frac{A(D)}{2A(D)}.
\] (5)

In particular, if \( \Gamma \) is a circle of curvature \( c \) we have \( |H| \leq \sqrt{c^2 + 1} \).

Proof. Now we choose as Killing vector field \( X = -x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \). The unit normal vector to \( D \) is \( \eta = E_3 \) and \( \langle \eta, X \rangle = 1 \). On the other hand, \( |\langle \nu, X \rangle| \leq |X| = \sqrt{1 + x^2 + y^2} \).

In particular, the inequalities (3) and (5) answer to the question posed in Introduction about the possible values of (constant) mean curvatures of surfaces spanning a given curve: these values are not arbitrary, since the right-hand sides in both inequalities do not depend on the surface \( M \) but the boundary curve \( \Gamma \). In fact, we have upper bounds of \( H \), which only depend on the geometry of the boundary curve \( \Gamma \).

3 The Alexandrov reflection method in Sol

In the theory of surfaces with constant mean curvature, the maximum principle plays an important role. The maximum principle which says that if \( M_1 \) and \( M_2 \) are two surfaces tangent at some point with the mean curvature vectors oriented in the same direction and having the same constant mean curvature, then if \( M_1 \) lies to one side of \( M_2 \), the surface \( M_1 \) must coincide with \( M_2 \) in an open set.
As a consequence, if $M$ is a minimal surface in $\text{Sol}$ with boundary $\Gamma$ included in the plane $P$, the maximum principle asserts that $M \subset P$; it suffices to compare the surface $M$ with a plane $P_t$ at the highest and lowest points of $M$. For this reason, minimal surfaces of $\text{Sol}$ with boundary in $P$ are domains of $P$. Similar result is obtained if $\Gamma$ is included in $\mathbb{R}$. The same occurs in Euclidean space. However, if $\Gamma$ is not a planar curve, the result is very different in both ambient spaces. In Euclidean space, and comparing with any plane, we obtain that a minimal surface spanning $\Gamma$ is contained in the convex hull of $\Gamma$. In contrast, a minimal surface in $\text{Sol}$ bounded by $\Gamma$ lies in the convex hull of $\Gamma$ formed \textit{only} by planes of the families $\mathcal{F}_i$, $1 \leq i \leq 3$.

Other consequence of the maximum principle is the Alexandrov reflection method, which appears in the literature as a powerful technique in the study of symmetries of a CMC surface, specially if the surface is embedded. Using this technique, the very Alexandrov showed that round spheres are the only closed (compact and without boundary) CMC surfaces which are embedded in Euclidean space ([1]).

The Alexandrov reflection method consists into consider a foliation of the space by totally geodesic surfaces and by a process of reflection and comparison, together the maximum principle, one shows that there exists a symmetry of the surface. In space $\text{Sol}$ this can do by reflections across the planes $P_t$ and $Q_t$. For example, a closed embedded CMC surface in $\text{Sol}$ is topologically a sphere [5].

Before continuing, we define a symmetric bigraph in $\text{Sol}$. A closed curve $\Gamma$ included in the plane $P$ is said a \textit{symmetric bigraph} (with respect to the $y$-direction) if $\Gamma$ is symmetric with respect to the reflection across the plane $Q$ and each one of the two components of $\Gamma$ divided by $Q$ is a graph on $l := P \cap Q$. A direct consequence of the Alexandrov reflection method is the following result:

\textbf{Theorem 3.1.} Let $M$ be a compact embedded CMC surface in $\text{Sol}$ with boundary included in $P$. Assume

1. $M$ lies in one side of $P$.
2. The boundary $\partial M$ is a symmetric bigraph.

Then $M$ is invariant by the reflections across to the plane $Q$. Moreover $Q$ divides $M$ in two symmetric graphs over some domain of the plane $Q$.

As conclusion the surface is a symmetric bigraph with respect to the $y$-direction.

\textbf{Remark 3.2.} When we say that $M$ lies in one side of $P$ we mean that $M \subset P^+ = \{(x,y,z); x \geq 0\}$ and $M - \partial M \subset \{(x,y,z); x > 0\}$. In fact, it is impossible that $M \subset P^+$ and $M \cap P$ contains interior points of $M$: by comparison $M$ with $P$ at these points, we would have $H \equiv 0$, and $M \subset P$. 
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A similar result is obtained if the boundary is included in the horizontal plane $R$. The hypothesis are similar and we only have to give the concept of symmetric bigraph since there exist two types of such curves in the plane $R$. So, we say that a closed curve $\Gamma$ included in the plane $R$ is a symmetric bigraph with respect to the $x$-direction (resp. the $y$-direction) if $\Gamma$ is symmetric with respect to the reflection across the plane $P$ (resp. $Q$) and each one of the two components of $\Gamma$ divided by $P$ (resp. $Q \cap R$) is a graph on $P \cap R$.

Due to Theorem 3.1, one asks by those conditions that ensure that the surface lies in one side of the plane containing the boundary. The next result holds for surfaces with non-constant mean curvature (see [8] for the Euclidean version) and it uses the fact that the foliations $F_i$ of the ambient space are minimal surfaces.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let $M$ be a compact embedded surface in Sol whose boundary $\Gamma$ lies in the plane $P$. Denote by $D \subset P$ the bounded domain by $\Gamma$. Assume

1. The mean curvature function $H$ does not vanish.
2. The surface $M$ does not intersect $\text{ext}(D)$.

Then $M$ lies in one of the half-spaces of $\mathbb{R}^3$ determined by $P$. An analogous result is obtained by replacing $P$ by $R$.

**Proof.** Without loss of generality, we assume that $M$ has points with positive $x$-coordinate. Then we show that $M \subset P^+$. We have to show that $M \cap D = \emptyset$. By contradiction, we assume that $M \cap D \neq \emptyset$ and thus $M$ has points in both sides of $P$.

Consider a sufficiently big Euclidean half-sphere $S$ included in $\mathbb{R}^3 - P^+$, with $\partial S \subset P$ and such that $S$ together the annulus $A \subset P$ bounded by $\partial S$ and $\Gamma$, and the very surface $M$ forme a closed embedded surface $M^* = S \cup A \cup M$. The surface $M^*$ is not smooth along the curves $\partial A$, but this has no effect in the reasoning. Denote $W \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ the enclosed domain by $M^*$. We choose an orientation $N$ on $M$ so the mean curvature $H$ is positive. We now study if $N$ points to $W$.

Let $p$ be the highest point (with respect to $x$-direction) and let $x(p)$ be its $x$-coordinate. Comparing $M$ with the minimal surface $P_{x(p)}$, and using the maximum principle, the vector $N(p)$ points down, and so, towards $W$. On the other hand, in the lowest point $q \in M$ and because the vector $N(q)$ points to $W$, then $N(q)$ points down again. Let us place at $q$ the minimal surface $P_{x(q)}$. Then the maximum principle yields a contradiction since $P_{x(q)}$ lies in one side of $M$ around $q$, but $P_{x(q)}$ is a minimal surface and the mean curvature of $M$ is positive. This contradiction concludes the proof of Theorem.

The second result establishes conditions for a CMC surface to be a graph on $P$. We precise in this moment the notion of (Killing) graph in Sol. Given a domain $D \subset P$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ the
Killing vector field orthogonal to \( P \), denote \( \Psi : \mathbb{R} \times P \to \text{Sol} \) the flux generated by \( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \).

Then the graph of a function \( u \) on \( D \) is the surface \( \{ \Psi(u(p)), p \in D \} \). As the flow lines of \( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \) are horizontal straight lines orthogonal to \( P \), a graph on \( D \) coincides with the concept of graph from the Euclidean viewpoint.

**Theorem 3.4.** Let \( M \) be a compact embedded CMC surface bounded by a closed curved contained in the plane \( P \). Denote by \( D \) the bounded domain by \( \partial M \) in \( P \). Assume that \( M \) lies in one side of \( P \) and that it is a graph on \( D \) around \( \Gamma \). Then \( M \) is a graph on \( D \).

**Proof.** Suppose that \( M \) lies in the half-space \( P^+ \). We use the Alexandrov method with reflections across the planes \( P_t \) coming from infinity. Let us remark that \( M \) together \( D \) encloses a domain of \( \mathbb{R}^3 \). If \( t \) is sufficiently big, \( P_t \) does not intersect \( M \). Moving \( P_t \) towards \( P \), that is \( t \searrow 0 \), we arrive until the first contact point with \( M \) at \( t = t_0 \). Next, we take \( P_t \) for \( t < t_0 \) and let reflect the part of \( M \) on the upper-side of \( P_t \) until the next contact of \( M \) with itself at \( t = t_1 \). If this occurs for the time \( t_1 = 0 \), we have proved that \( M \) is a graph on \( P \) and this finishes the proof. On the contrary, if \( t_1 > 0 \) the hypothesis about the boundary asserts that the contact occurs between interior points of \( M \). The maximum principle would yield that \( P_t \) is a plane of symmetry of \( M \), with \( D \) in one side of the plane \( P_{t_1} \); contradiction.

One can easily extend the result changing the hypothesis \( M \subset P^+ \) by the fact that \( M \) does not intersect the half-cylinder \( \{(t, y, z); (0, y, z) \in \Gamma, t < 0\} \).

### 4 Applications of the flux formula

In this section we combine the flux formula together the maximum principle to obtain results about configurations of compact embedded CMC surfaces of Sol.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let \( \Gamma \) be a symmetric bigraph contained in \( P \) and denote by \( D \subset P \) the bounded domain by \( \Gamma \). Let \( M \) be a compact embedded CMC surface with boundary \( \Gamma \). If \( M \) is transverse to \( P \) along \( \Gamma \) and \( M \cap D = \emptyset \), then \( M \) is invariant by the reflections across \( Q \).

This result follows ideas in [4] for CMC surfaces in Euclidean space. However, and due to the lack of isometries in the ambient space, the statement of our result is stronger that its Euclidean version.

**Proof.** Without loss of generality, we can assume that in a neighbourhood of \( \Gamma \), \( M \) is included in the half-space \( P^+ \). Denote by \( W \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \) the bounded domain by \( M \cup D \). We
claim that the intersection $M \cap \text{ext}(D)$ cannot have two or more curves homotopic in $\text{ext}(D)$ to $\Gamma$. This is showed with the Alexandrov reflection method using reflection across the planes $Q_t$: the fact that the number of components is greater than one assures the existence of a contact interior point at a time $t_1 > 0$. Then the plane $Q_{t_1}$ would be a plane of symmetry of $M$ which is a contradiction with the fact that $\Gamma$ lies in one side of $Q_{t_1}$.

Therefore, $M \cap \text{ext}(D)$ has at most one component homotopic in $\text{ext}(D)$ to $\Gamma$. Assume that such component $C$ does exist. In order to use the flux formula, we orient $M$ so the mean curvature $H$ is positive. Then the corresponding Gauss map $N$ points into $W$. In particular, along $\Gamma$, the vector $N$ points towards $\text{ext}(D)$. Now we use the flux formula with the Killing vector field $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$. By the orientations chosen in Lemma 2.1, we have that the unit vector $\eta$ orthogonal to $D$ is $\eta = -e^{-z} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ and so $\langle \eta, \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \rangle = -e^{z} < 0$. Because the surface $M$ is contained in $P^+$ around $\partial M$, we have $\langle \nu, \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \rangle > 0$ along $\partial M$. The flux formula (2) gives

$$0 < \int_{\partial M} \langle \nu, \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \rangle = 2H \int_D \langle \eta, \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \rangle < 0. \quad (6)$$

This contradiction implies that $M \cap \text{ext}(D)$ has no components homotopic to $\Gamma$ in $\text{ext}(D)$.

We show that $M$ is invariant with respect to $Q_t$, proving the result. This is a direct consequence of the Alexandrov reflection method with this planes coming from infinity. Suppose that the family $Q_t$ intersects $M$ for the first time at $t = t_0$. Continuing the movement of $Q_{t_0}$ by parallel translations doing $t \searrow 0$, it would produce for some time $t_1 < t_0$ a point of contact of $M$ with the reflection of $M \cap (\cup_{t_1 \leq t_0} Q_t \cap M)$ in $Q_{t_1}$. The fact that there are no components of $M \cap \text{ext}(D)$ homotopic to $\Gamma$ in $\text{ext}(D)$ together that $M \cap D = \emptyset$ assures that this contact does not occur between an interior point and a boundary point of $M$. If this point is a smooth point of $M$, the maximum principle yields a plane of symmetry of $M$, in particular, a symmetry of $\Gamma$. Thus $t_1 = 0$ and this proves the result. If the contact occurs for a boundary point, the fact that $\Gamma$ is symmetric bigraph implies that $t_1 = 0$. Now, we repeat the reasoning but with the planes $Q_t$ coming from $t = -\infty$. Then we show again that we can arrive until the position $t = 0$, showing in fact that $Q$ is a plane of symmetry of $M$.

\begin{remark}
In contrast to the Euclidean version, the surface $M \cap \text{ext}(D)$ could have components nulhomotopic in $\text{ext}(D)$. If this would be, the same proof shows that such components are symmetric bigraphs and their interiors are mutually disjoint.
\end{remark}

\begin{remark}
We are not able to extend Theorem 4.1 to the case that $\Gamma$ is included in the horizontal plane $R$. The step in (6) does not work here. For this, we take as Killing vector field $X = -x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$. Assuming that $M$ lies in $R^+$ around $\partial M$, $\eta = -E_3$ and so $\langle \eta, X \rangle = -1 < 0$. But we cannot control the sign of $\langle \nu, X \rangle$ since $\langle \nu, X \rangle = -x\nu_1 + y\nu_2 + \nu_3$, where $\nu_i$ are the coordinates with respect to the basis $\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \}$. The fact that $M$ lies in $R^+$ means $\nu_3 > 0$. Even in the simplest case of $\Gamma$, that is, $\alpha$ is
a circle, \( \alpha(s) = (\cos(s), \sin(s), 0) \), we have \( \langle \nu, X \rangle = -\mu(s) \cos(2s) + \nu_3(s) \) for a certain function \( \mu \), with \( \mu(s)^2 + \nu_3(s)^2 = 1 \).

Given a domain \( D \) of \( P \), denote \( \text{Cyl}(D) \) the Killing cylinder determined by \( D \), that is, \( \text{Cyl}(D) = \mathbb{R} \times D \).

**Theorem 4.4.** Let \( \Gamma \) be a Jordan curve in \( P \) enclosing a domain \( D \). Assume that \( M \) and \( G \) are two compact surfaces spanning \( \Gamma \) with constant mean curvatures \( H_1 \) and \( H_2 \) respectively and contained both in \( \text{Cyl}(D) \). If \( |H_1| = |H_2| \) and \( G \) is a graph on \( D \), then \( M = G \) of \( M = G^* \), the reflection of \( G \) across to \( P \).

**Proof.** The case \( H = 0 \) is trivial since the maximum principle yields that both surfaces are the very domain \( D \). Thus, we assume \( H_i \neq 0 \). Using the maximum principle again, it is not difficult to show that \( G \) lies in one side of \( P \). We assume then \( G \subset P^+ \) (and so, \( G^* \subset P^- \)). We consider the orientation on \( G \) such that \( H_2 > 0 \), that is, the orientation that points down (with respect to the \( x \)-direction).

By combining the maximum principle together translations of \( G \) and \( G^* \) in the \( x \)-direction, one proves that either \( M \) coincides with \( G \) or \( G^* \), showing the Theorem, or \( M \) lies included in the bounded domain by \( G \cup G^* \). Recall that \( \partial M = \partial G = \partial G^* = \Gamma \). If we compare the inner conormal vectors \( \nu_M \) and \( \nu_G \), the fact that \( M \) is sandwiched by \( G \) and \( G^* \) writes as

\[
\left| \langle \nu_M, \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \rangle \right| < \langle \nu_G, \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \rangle.
\]

The flux formula (2) for each surface gives

\[
2|H_1| \int_D \langle \eta, \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \rangle = \left| \int_{\partial M} \langle \nu_M, \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \rangle \right| < \left| \int_{\partial M} \langle \nu_G, \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \rangle \right| = 2|H_1| \int_D \langle \eta, \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \rangle.
\]

This contradiction shows the result. \( \square \)

**Remark 4.5.** Let \( \Gamma \) be a closed curve in \( P \). For the existence of graphs with constant mean curvature \( H \) and boundary \( \Gamma \) we can use the result established in [6]. The Killing cylinder based in \( \Gamma \) has mean curvature curvature \( H_{cyl} = \theta'/2 \), where \( \theta \) is the angle that appears in the parametrization by the arc-length of \( \Gamma \) (see Appendix for local computations of the curvature). First we have to assume that \( \inf_{\text{Sol}} \text{Ric} \geq -2 \inf_\Gamma H^2_{cyl} \). In our case, the infimum of the Ricci tensor is \( -2 \) ([5]), the curvature of \( \alpha \) is \( \kappa = \theta' + \cos \theta \). Assume that \( \kappa > 1 \). Then the condition writes as

\[
-2 \geq -2 \inf_\Gamma \frac{\theta'^2}{4} \Rightarrow 4 \leq \inf(\kappa - \cos \theta)^2.
\]

For this it suffices that \( 4 \leq (\kappa - 1)^2 \). Then the condition for existence of graphs with constant mean curvature \( H \) is that \( |H| \leq \inf_\Gamma H_{cyl} \), that is, \( |H| \leq \inf(\kappa - \cos \theta)/2 \). A sufficient condition is that \( |H| \leq (\kappa - 1)/2 \).
The Riemannian connection $\nabla$ of Sol with respect to $\{E_1, E_2, E_3\}$ is

\[
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{E_1} E_1 &= -E_3 & \nabla_{E_1} E_2 &= 0 & \nabla_{E_1} E_3 &= E_1 \\
\nabla_{E_2} E_1 &= 0 & \nabla_{E_2} E_2 &= E_3 & \nabla_{E_2} E_3 &= -E_2 \\
\nabla_{E_3} E_1 &= 0 & \nabla_{E_3} E_2 &= 0 & \nabla_{E_3} E_3 &= 0
\end{align*}
\]

(7)

Let $\alpha$ be a curve contained in the plane $P$ and we compute its (intrinsic) curvature in $P$. Since $P$ is a totally geodesic surface, this curvature coincides with the curvature $\kappa$ of $\alpha$ as a submanifolds of Sol. Assume that the parametrization of $\alpha$ is $\alpha(s) = (0, y(s), z(s))$, $s \in I$, where $s$ is the arc-length parameter. Then

\[
e^{-z(s)} y'(s) = \cos \theta(s), \quad z'(s) = \sin \theta(s),
\]

where $\theta = \theta(s)$ is a certain smooth function. This allows to write $\alpha'(s) = \cos \theta E_2 + \sin \theta E_3$.

Taking into account (7), we have

\[
\nabla_{\alpha'} \alpha' = -\theta' \sin \theta E_2 + \theta' \cos \theta E_3 + \cos \theta \nabla_{\alpha'} E_2 + \sin \theta \nabla_{\alpha'} E_3 = -\theta' \sin \theta E_2 + \theta' \cos \theta E_3 + \cos \theta (\cosh \theta E_3) + \sin \theta (-\cosh \theta) = (\theta' + \cos \theta)(-\sin \theta E_2 + \cos \theta E_3).
\]

Thus $\kappa = |\nabla_{\alpha'} \alpha'| = \theta' + \cos \theta$.

We compute the mean curvature of a Killing cylinder $S$ based in a planar curve $\alpha$ contained in $P$. We parametrize $S$ by $X(s, t) = (t, y(s), z(s))$, $s \in I \subset \mathbb{R}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, where $\alpha$ is parametrized by the arc-length. We have

\[
e_1 := X_s = (0, y', z') = \cos \theta E_2 + \sin \theta E_3. \\
e_2 := X_t = (1, 0, 0) = e^z E_1.
\]

We choose as Gauss map $N = -\sin \theta E_2 + \cos \theta E_3$. We know that

\[
H = \frac{1}{2} \frac{Eg - 2Ff + Ge}{EG - F^2},
\]

with

\[
E = \langle e_1, e_1 \rangle, \quad F = \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle, \quad G = \langle e_2, e_2 \rangle. \\
e = \langle N, \nabla_{e_1} e_1 \rangle, \quad f = \langle N, \nabla_{e_1} e_2 \rangle, \quad g = \langle N, \nabla_{e_2} e_2 \rangle.
\]

In our case, the coefficients of the first fundamental form are

\[
E = 1, \quad F = 0, \quad G = e^{2z},
\]
and $EG - F^2 = e^{2z}$. The values of $\nabla_{e_i} e_j$ are

$$\nabla_{e_1} e_1 = (\theta' + \cos \theta)(-\sin \theta E_2 + \cos \theta E_3),$$

$$\nabla_{e_1} e_2 = \nabla_{e_2} e_1 = \sin \theta e^z E_1,$$

$$\nabla_{e_2} e_2 = -e^{2z} E_3.$$

Then

$$e = \theta' + \cos \theta, \quad f = 0, \quad g = -e^{2z} \cos \theta.$$

As conclusion, $H = \theta'/2$.
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