**INTRODUCTION**

Dengue is one of the most rapidly spreading mosquito borne viral infections in humans leading to about 10,000 deaths annually across over 125 countries in the world [1]. The spherical enveloped dengue virus is a positive sense single stranded RNA virus. Its genome consists of about 10,200 nucleotides which codes for structural proteins (capsid (C), envelope (E), and membrane (M) proteins) and nonstructural proteins (NS1, NS2A, NS2B, NS3, NS4A, NS4B, and NS5) [2]. Dengue virus which is a member of the genus Flavivirus (family Flaviviridae) is classified into four serotypes (DEN-1, DEN-2, DEN-3 and DEN-4) [3,4]. Mosquitoes of the genus Aedes aid to transmit dengue virus from one human to another [5][6]. These mosquitoes are ubiquitous in the tropical and subtropical regions from 30° north to 20° south latitude. Escalation of dengue infection is associated with climatic changes which include an increase in temperature, high levels of precipitation, humidity and the pressure of vapor. [7]. All these factors along with degree of globalization, trade and travel are coherent which facilitates the transmission of dengue vectors. A large number of dengue virus infected persons remain asymptomatic without the presence of any clinical signs and symptoms. However, in symptomatic cases, initially the patients experience mild flu syndrome known as dengue fever or break bone fever accompanied with skin rash. This may later proceed to several grades of dengue hemorrhagic fever (DHF): DHF-I, DHF-II, DHF-III and DHF-IV. DHF-I and DHF-II include non-shock patients whereas DHF-III and DHF-IV include patients with dengue shock syndrome (DSS) or prolonged shock, which eventually lead to death in very critical cases [8-11]. With no treatment available, this virus presents a life-threatening health concern for people in many countries. Understanding of symptoms and prognostic factors, timely diagnosis, conventional guidelines of patient management, supportive treatments are the possible options to combat this viral disease. This article holds an integrated knowledge of dengue pathogenesis, preventive measures, epidemiology and treatment options which should augment further progresses in dengue research and help preventing dengue outbreak.
ORIGIN OF DENGUE VIRUSES

The first emergence of this virus seems to be recorded during the 17th century, when there were many cases which had clinical symptoms similar to that of dengue. However, the first confirmed dengue outbreaks were reported simultaneously in Asia, Africa and North America in 1779-1780 [12]. In 1951, an American physician, Benjamin Rush mentioned about the probable dengue fever which occurred in Philadelphia, United States in 1780 [13]. He named this fever as “break bone fever”-term which is synonymous to the pain the patients described they endured back then [14]. Immediately after World War 2, global dengue pandemic emerged in Southeast Asia. This was due to the destruction of water systems during the war, creating many stagnant water containers which provided a suitable environment for the mosquitoes to breed. The movement of war equipment allowed the vectors to bypass the geographic barrier very easily [15]. Due to the dispersal of the dengue virus throughout, pacific regions and the Americans experienced DHF outbreaks. Followed by World War 2, in 1975, Southeast Asia experienced DHF endemicity due to the increased degree of urbanization and the ecological setting which was just perfect for the vectors. The countries soon became hyperendemic- all 4 serotypes were present among the human- vector cycle. The second DHF epidemic began in 1980 in Asia which expanded towards India, Pakistan, Sri Lanka, Maldives as well as the People’s Republic of China [16].

Dengue virus has been proved to be a major threat to the human population in the last decade and thus transmission of viruses must be considered wisely in order to prevent the reemergence of the infection.

VECTORS AND HOSTS OF DENGUE VIRUSES

Dengue, an arthropod-borne viral infection, is transmitted from one person to another via mosquito vectors of the genus Aedes. Aedes aegypti being the principal vector and Aedes albopictus, also known as “Asian tiger mosquito”-the competent vector has a limited ability to transmit dengue virus [17]. They are well adapted to the urban environment and are found both indoors and outdoors close to human abode which makes them suitable vectors for horizontal transmission of dengue virus (mosquito-human). The primary vector of dengue virus, Aedes aegypti from the tropical and subtropical regions of the world are mostly associated with the space occupied by humans for living and feeds on human blood [18]. Female Aedes aegypti requires blood before laying eggs. Moreover, Aedes albopictus which is an exophilic mosquito even prefers feeding on human blood. Therefore, their strong preference for human blood in order to support their survival makes them an ideal vector for DENV transmission [19]. The transmission of dengue virus by a vector begins as a mosquito bites an infected person, carrying dengue virus in his or her blood. The mosquito eventually gets infected and hence becomes a dengue vector which can prove to be a threat to the population since it can horizontally transmit this virus for the rest of its lifespan (two weeks to one month). Although early studies have shown no clear evidence of vertical transmission of DENV virus in Aedes mosquitoes, recent studies prove that vertical transmission of DENV virus is attainable both experimentally as well as in nature. In addition to that, some evidence affirms that Aedes albopictus are much more efficient than Aedes aegypti in terms of vertical transmission [20]. A case of vertical transmission of dengue virus was reported in Guangzhou, China. A 25 years old woman, 39 weeks pregnant was admitted in a general hospital. She experienced fever for 5 days and then gradually developed skin rash. She went into labour and delivered a girl. Results from ELISA dengue virus NS1 antigen test (Wantai, Beijing, China) and dengue virus fluorogenic quantitative PCR test (Liferiver, Shanghai, China) confirmed that both the mother and the baby suffered from dengue [21]. Another study with 54 pregnant women was carried out in French Guiana between 2012 and 2014. Peripheral blood samples from the mothers, blood specimens from the newborns as well as the placenta was tested. The samples collected at each stage of the study was then used to carry out serological examinations i.e., reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR), identification of nonstructural 1 antigen (NS1 Ag) using Platelia™ Dengue NS1 Ag capture enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) (Bio-Rad laboratories, Marnes-La-Coquette, France), tests for specific dengue immunoglobulin using in-house antibody capture MAC-ELISA and also tests for dengue-specific IgM/IgG antibodies using Panbio® capture ELISA (Panbio, Brisbane, Australia). Analysis of the serological and the molecular results suggested that vertical transmission is much more persistent if the mothers are infected at a later stage of pregnancy. Valid and appropriate tests must be carried out in order to detect vertical transmission of dengue virus [22].

This indicates that along with horizontal transmission, vertical transmission also plays an effective role in transmission of dengue virus and imposes additional challenges to control the viral transmission.

PATHOGENESIS OF DENGUE VIRUS INFECTION

Entry of Virus inside Cell and Replication

Replication along with initiation of pathogenesis of the dengue virus begins immediately after it enters into the body. Once the mosquito feeds on the blood of an infected person, the DENV infects the midgut from where it spreads and replicates in other tissues of the mosquito. It takes about 8 to 10 days and a temperature of about 25°C for the virus to multiply, mature, migrate and infect the salivary glands and eventually shed in the saliva [23]. While the female dengue vector bites and feeds on the blood of a healthy individual, it injects its saliva in order to prevent blood clotting of the host and facilitates feeding. Thus, infecting the individual by inoculating the virus into the dermis and epidermis as well as injecting some viruses directly into the bloodstream. It is then followed by infection in the most common cell type in the skin-keratinocyte [24]. It infects and replicates inside a specialized immune cell in the skin- Langerhans cell (a type of dendritic cell) [25]. The infection spreads to the lymph node thus activating the recruitment of leukocytes.

The replication of a flavivirus commences as it attaches to the extracellular surface receptor on the host cell (Figure 1). The virus is then taken up into the cell by endocytosis and is confined within an endosomal vacuole. Acidification of the endosome causes a change in the E-protein thus facilitating the release of the viral genome into the cytoplasm [26]. Once the viral genome is released, it is transported to the endoplasmic
reticulum (ER) where it undergoes two different fates: first, the genome is transcribed and translated into structural and non-structural proteins required for virus genome replication and viral assembly; second, the genome is copied into negative sense RNA and then into multiple copies of positive sense RNAs by RNA dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp). The newly synthesized genetic materials and viral proteins are used in the new virus assembly. After making multiple copies of virions inside infected cells, they lyse the cells, get outside by endocytosis and continue to infect other healthy cells [27].

After 4 to 5 days of being bit by a dengue vector, the person develops viremia- a condition in which the level of dengue virus in blood tends to elevate due to replication of the viruses. It can last from 5 to 12 days. If a mosquito feeds on the blood of an infected individual within this time span, the mosquito becomes a dengue vector [34].

Some epidemiological studies show that the development and severity of dengue infection in humans is triggered by many factors. Age is one of them [35]. Other factors include genetic background of the host [36,37], vector, serotype of virus [38], gender, genotype [39,40], environmental condition, immune condition of the infected people, socioeconomic level of the population and secondary infection by heterologous serotype [41].

### Immune Response of Human Body Against Dengue Virus

The immune system is the primary defense system of the body against dengue virus and consists of mainly two parts: The innate immune system and the adaptive immune system. While the innate immune response facilitates the immediate recognition and protection towards any invading pathogen, the adaptive immune response produces cells that specifically and efficiently target the pathogen or infected cell providing a long-term immunity [42]. The cells produced by the adaptive immune system include the antibody-secreting B cells, which are capable of recognizing and binding to foreign cells with high specificity and the cytotoxic T cells which are known to attack the infected cells.

Since the keratinocytes and Langerhans cells are infected through viral replication, the Langerhans, through proper detection, display the antigens from the invading pathogens on their surfaces [43-46]. The display of the viral antigens triggers the innate immune response, summoning the white blood cells, monocytes and macrophages for the ingestion and destruction of the dengue virus. The virus infects these cells instead and spreads throughout the entire body as they travel through the lymphatic system [46,47]. As the virus spreads throughout, infecting cells of the bone marrow, lymph nodes, spleen, liver or blood, it facilitates the emergence of viremia.
While some of the infected Langerhans travel to the lymph nodes (small glands present throughout the body that are known to fight infections) to trigger the immune response, the remaining secrete proteins called interferons which disrupt the replication process of viruses through the activation of innate and adaptive immune system defenses (Figure 2) [24,48]. They help in the recognition of the infected cells and protection of the uninfected cells. The individuals experience dengue fever (DF) while their body’s immune system fights the virus. Antibodies from B cells, immunoglobulin M (IgM) and IgG are secreted into the bloodstream and the lymph fluid in order to neutralize the virus while on the other hand, cytotoxic T cells and killer T cells are used in order to specifically recognize and destroy the virus through adaptive immune mechanisms. The innate immune response further activates the complement system in order to destroy the virus with the help of antibodies and leukocytes, clearly indicating the contribution of both the immune systems, in order to neutralize the dengue infection [49].

The individual remains protected from the other three serotypes for about 2 to 3 months after recovery from the first dengue infection. However, this provides short term protection only and the usual observation was that a second dengue infection was much worse in individuals infected beforehand than those who were not infected earlier [47,50]. Even though the memory B cells and memory T cells are normally known to provide protection through adaptive immune response when the virus strikes again, the mechanism fails in case of a second dengue attack. These observations were explained by Halstead through the “antibody-dependent enhancement of infection” phenomenon which supports the idea that the antibodies present from the first dengue infection or the newly produced memory B cells facilitate the efficient viral attack of host cells instead of providing protection against the other three serotypes [50,51-55]. This happens since the antibodies from the first dengue infection form a complex with the newly attacking serotype which further binds to the Fcγ receptors (FcγR) present on different immune cells [56-60]. This results in severe dengue fever, also known as dengue hemorrhagic fever (DHF), since more cells are infected with the help of the pre-existing antibodies. This phenomenon is also active among children who received antibodies for dengue from their mothers while in the womb, putting them at a greater risk of developing severe dengue [61,62]. Furthermore, different immune cells are involved in releasing elevated amounts of cytokines, a phenomenon known as the cytokine storm, following DENV infection. The release of elevated levels of cytokines involve interleukin-10 (IL-10), interferon alpha (IFN-α), tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α) etc. which lead to the inflammation. IL-6, Monocyte chemoattractant protein 1 (MCP-
1), C-X-C motif chemokine 10 (CXCL10) are also accused to worsen the disease condition and significantly contribute to dengue pathogenesis [63].

As dengue virus attacks our body, our body’s innate and adaptive immune systems defend us through the recruitment of several cells which eventually help to neutralize the virus. A clearer understanding of the pathogenesis of DHF facilitates early detection of severe diseases as well as accurate management.

**SYMPTOMS AND CLINICAL PRESENTATION**

**Signs and Symptoms**

The signs and symptoms of dengue virus infection depends on the severity of the case, and it can even be asymptomatic. Although, infections are asymptomatic in almost 75% of infected patients, however, if they do make an appearance, they begin 3 to 14 days (incubation period) after the initial infection [66]. It can be severe in about 5% of the cases and in less than 1%, it may be life-threatening and eventually lead to death, despite intensive care. Dengue fever (DF) results in a high-grade fever further leading to a number of other symptoms which include headache, retro-orbital pain, muscle pain, vomiting and rash. The symptoms may become worse and life-threatening if the disease is moderate or severe [67,68].

The symptomatic cases are classified into undifferentiated febrile illness (UF), dengue fever (DF), dengue hemorrhagic fever (DHF), dengue shock syndrome (DSS) and unusual dengue (UD) or expanded dengue syndrome (EDS) [69]. Due to damaged blood vessels, blood plasma leakage and a decreased platelet count, the risk of acquiring dengue hemorrhagic fever (DHF) increases. The symptoms may also progress to massive bleeding, shock, and death; this is called dengue shock syndrome (DSS). Dengue infections and DHF severity can be classified into several groups according to the visibility of certain signs and symptoms, and also with the help of laboratory evaluations.

They are as follows: [70]

- Dengue Fever (DF): Patients may experience fever along with two other symptoms which may include rash, myalgia, headache, hemorrhagic manifestations but, no signs of plasma leakage. These patients will also experience leukopenia (white blood cell count ≤5000 cells/mm3), thrombocytopenia (platelet count <150 000 cells/mm3) and a rising hematocrit (HCT) level of about 5% - 10%.

**DHF severity can be further classified into 4 grades:**

- **DHF - I:** The patients will experience fever and hemorrhagic manifestations followed by plasma leakage. Among others, they will have thrombocytopenia with WBC <100 000 cells/mm3 and a HCT rise ≥ 20%
- **DHF - II:** Symptoms along with thrombocytopenia level and HCT rise follow that in DHF I, with additional bleeding (spontaneous)
- **DHF - III:** Symptoms along with thrombocytopenia level and HCT rise is similar to Grade I or II, and also leads to circulatory failure
- **DHF - IV:** Profound shock with undetectable BP and pulse along with Grade III characteristics

The course of dengue infection takes off after the incubation period and is divided into 3 main phases: Febrile phase, critical phase (which may include hemorrhagic manifestations and/or dengue shock syndrome), recovery or convalescence phase (Table 1) [71-73].

**Prognostic Factors**

The prognostic factors of dengue enable the better monitoring of the patients to check for the visibility of any present abnormalities. The development of shocks as a result of the rise in the hematocrit values (due to plasma leakage), can be prevented with the help of early volume replacement. Similarly, serial determinations of platelet and hematocrit values can also help in early recognition as well as the prevention of shocks [87]. Hematocrit greater than 40% is a possible prognostic factor for dengue infection severity, particularly DSS. Vasculopathy (a disease affecting blood vessels) in dengue infection causes the vascular permeability to increase, leading to hemoconcentration and shock. One of the WHO criteria to diagnose DHF is a hematocrit value of greater than or equal to the initial value. According to several studies, bleeding episodes were found to be associated with thrombocytopenia (found in severe dengue infection), which could also be a prognostic factor for the disease. A systolic

---

**Table 1. The symptoms associated with dengue virus infection**

| Phase          | Time Interval | Signs and Symptoms                                                                 | References           |
|---------------|--------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Febrile Phase | Day 1-3      | - High grade fever, usually over 40˚C / 104 F                                       | [69,71]             |
|               |              | - Body ache, petechiae, myalgia, arthralgia, headache, anorexia, vomiting           |                     |
|               |              | - Bleeding of mucosal membrane (nose and gums), gastrointestinal bleeding (rare)   | [74-83]             |
|               |              | - Lasts 2 to 7 days                                                               |                     |
| Critical Phase| Day 4-5      | - Occurs around defervesence                                                       | [79]                |
|               |              | - There is a drop in temperature: 37.5–38˚C or less                               | [81]                |
|               |              | - Blood plasma leakage, leukopenia, plasma leakage                                 | [84,85]             |
|               |              | - Shock, severe organ impairment, metabolic acidosis and disseminated intravascular|                     |
|               |              |  coagulation (as a result of shock)                                               |                     |
|               |              | - Lasts 1 to 2 days                                                               |                     |
| Recovery Phase| Day 6 onwards| - General feeling of well-being, improvements in appetite, gastrointestinal symptoms abate | [74,79,84,86]       |
|               |              | - Reabsorption of leaked fluids                                                    |                     |
|               |              | - Hemodynamic status stabilizes and diuresis ensues                                |                     |
|               |              | - Rash with a maculopapular or vasculitic appearance, chronic fatigue              |                     |
|               |              | - May lead to hypervolemia (in case of excessive intravenous fluid therapy)        |                     |
|               |              | - Lasts 2 to 3 days                                                               |                     |
blood pressure of less than 90 mmHg and a pulse pressure less than or equal to 20 mmHg, are considered to be the prognostic factors for DSS [88]. Furthermore, elevated levels of aminotransferase (aspartate aminotransferase and alanine aminotransferase) from the liver, is also known to be associated with thrombocytopenia and leukopenia.

Similarly, it was observed that a decrease in the platelet count led the patients to a state of shock. WHO provided an overview of the platelet count to categorize dengue infection into DHF grades, from I to IV [89]. A study showed that, compared to healthy patients and those with non-dengue febrile illness, dengue infected patients in their critical stage showed elevated levels of Galectin-9 (Gal-9). While the Gal-9 levels were observed to be high in DF and DHF, they were found to be significantly lower during the recovery phase. Since Gal-9 was observed to track dengue inflammatory responses, it can be used as a possible novel biomarker of acute DENV as well as for disease severity [90]. In another study, when plasma biomarkers in severe dengue patients were examined, plasma proteins such as PKKFB4, TPM1, PDCL3 and PTPN20A were highly expressed in patients with severe dengue in comparison with other forms of dengue diseases [91]. The total cholesterol and LDL-cholesterol levels can also be used as markers for dengue severity. This was observed in a study where lower levels of circulating cholesterol were found to be associated with the risk of developing severe dengue [92]. Furthermore, elevated liver enzymes (SGOT and SGPT) are also known as prognostic factors for DSS however, they are not routinely investigated in many hospitals and are therefore known to be less frequently used as prognostic factors.

Similarly, monitoring prognostic factors i.e., normalized WBC count, platelet count, hematocrit level etc., can help to keep a track on the patient’s improvement and recovery. And assessing the improvement and the deteriorating condition of a patient with the help of prognostic factors further help in the diagnosis and management of patients.

Management of Patients

The management of dengue patients depends on the phase of illness, i.e. the febrile phase, the critical/leakage phase and the recovery or convalescence phase. For the febrile phase, patients should only be given supportive and symptomatic treatment which may include the use of paracetamol or tepid sponge for fever reduction, promoting oral feeding with the help of fruit juice, milk or oral rehydration therapy (ORS) and avoiding IV fluids if the patient is not vomiting or dehydrated. Patients are advised to follow up CBC every day and to return to the hospital if no improvement is seen [69,93].

For the critical phase, isotonic salt solution is used except in the very young infants (<6 months of age), in whom 0.45% sodium chloride may be used. The total amount of fluid needed during this period of 24-48 hours is estimated to be maintenance + 5% deficit (according to guidelines stated by WHO), including oral and IV fluids [94]. In DSS patients the duration of IV fluid may be 24-36 hours and in non-shock DHF patients, 48-60 hours. The rate of IV fluid should be adjusted according to the clinical vital signs such as blood pressure (BP), pulse, respiratory rate, temperature or the hematocrit and urine output, and also according to the grade of severity of dengue. Hyper-oncotic colloid solutions (osmolality of >300 mOsm/l) such as dextran 40 or starch solutions can be used in patients with massive plasma leakage [95]. In case of a lack of a positive clinical response, patients showing unstable vital signs or other negative signs are further investigated and treated for acidosis (corrected with NaHCO3, if pH < 7.35 and serum bicarbonate is <15 mEq/L), bleeding, iCa and other electrolytes (Na and K), and blood sugar. Furthermore, in cases of significant bleeding, blood transfusion is recommended as soon as possible [96]. Platelets are indicated in cases with significant bleeding. However, it may cause fluid overload and possible acute pulmonary edema in patients who already show signs of fluid overload. Plasma and steroids do not play a role in the management of acute DHF and DSS, respectively. Patients with obesity or diabetes mellitus are advised to get blood glucose levels examined and those experiencing prolonged/profound shocks should take additional tests in order to monitor them accordingly [97].

During the convalescence/recovery phase, IV fluids should be stopped upon signs of recovery (increase in appetite, convalescence rash, greater than 30 hours before shock, etc). Patients who fail to regain their appetite as a result of potassium loss through urine or diuresis, are recommended a potassium rich diet. This is the period of reabsorption and therefore, patients with massive ascites and pleural effusion may require diuretics

As mentioned earlier, the rate of IV fluid also depends on the severity of dengue i.e., according to the different grades of DHF. DHF I and II which involves non-shock patients, the fluid allowance (oral + IV) is about maintenance (for one day) + 5% deficit (oral and IV fluid together), to be administered over 48 hours [98]. The rate is different between children and adults and often follows ideal weight measures. The rate of IV replacement should be adjusted according to the rate of plasma loss, following the clinical condition, vital signs, urine output and hematocrit levels.

DHF III, which includes shock or DSS, is caused due to plasma leakage that leads to an increased systemic vascular resistance and narrowed pulse pressure [99]. Patients must be checked for bleeding or gastrointestinal bleeding along with the plasma leakage in cases of hypotension. Fluid resuscitation in DSS is different than other types of shocks and patients usually respond to the 10 ml/kg in children or 300-500 ml in adults over one hour or by bolus procedure or, follow a particular rate of infusion chart/graph and also, before reducing the IV replacement rate, the patient must be checked for improvements.

For DHF IV (prolonged/profound shock), in order to restore the blood pressure, the initial fluid resuscitation is vigorous and also, other laboratory tests must be done and treated for. 10 ml/kg of bolus fluid should be given within 10 to 15 minutes. After BP restoration, IV fluids can be given however, if shock is not reversible after the first 10 ml/kg, a repeat bolus of 10 ml/kg should be given and the laboratory tests must be continued to check for correction and progress [100]. Patients should be closely monitored, given blood transfusions in case of need and managed accordingly in case of organ impairments.

Patients with severe hemorrhage may take blood transfusions in order for the HCT levels to drop to normal. Apart from this, several other measures can be taken. Patients who are at high risks such as, obese patients, infants, pregnant women, patients with diabetes mellitus or heart diseases along with those experiencing a fluid overload or encephalopathy (damage or disease affecting the brain), must be cared for and managed accordingly in order to prevent any further health complications [101].
A better understanding of the signs and symptoms of dengue along with the frequent monitoring of the prognostic factors and the application of adequate measures for the better management of patients, can contribute greatly for a quick recovery.

**EPIDEMIOLOGY OF DENGUE VIRUS INFECTION**

Dengue is an important arthropod borne viral disease that is known to affect an estimated 2.5 billion people around the globe; approximately 975 million are inhabitants of the urban areas in tropical and subtropical countries in Southeast Asia, the Pacific and America [4,102-105]. The transmission range also covers the African, the Eastern Mediterranean and the rural communities. The number of cases reported each year includes more than 500 million infected and about 500,000 individuals hospitalized for dengue hemorrhagic fever [6,106,107].

The first epidemic of dengue dates back to 1635 in the French West Indies and similarly, a disease outbreak identical to dengue was reported in China during 992 AD [108,109]. The 1779-1780 dengue outbreaks in Asia, Africa, and North America along with simultaneous outbreaks in three other continents indicated the world-wide distribution of the virus and their mosquito vectors. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), the average annual number of reported cases for DF or DHF includes 925,896 people during the 2000-2004 period which is double that of 1990-1999, indicating an overwhelming increase in confirmed cases. Again, from 2000 to 2008, the average annual number of cases reported was 1,656,870 which is almost three and a half times that noted previously. While there were little to no cases in the African or Eastern Mediterranean regions in the 2005-2006 period, countries such as Pakistan, Saudi Arabia, Yemen, Sudan and Madagascar had suspected outbreaks [110]. There was an expansion of the dengue transmission regions worldwide, that included the four existing serotypes of dengue and the leading factors could have been the travelling of individuals from endemic regions acting as carriers, uncontrolled vectors, unprecedented population growth and uncontrolled urbanization [107,111-114].

**Dengue in Asia**

After World War II, the global pandemic of dengue emerged in Southeast Asia and almost 75% of the world’s dengue burden involved countries such as the Philippines, Indonesia and Thailand [115]. Also, following the years of World War II, the unprecedented urbanization led to inadequate housing, deterioration of water, sewer and waste management systems in Southeast Asia. This ecological setting facilitated the increase in transmission and frequency of epidemics. Apart from the economic expansion, the migration of people to other areas in the region, and the introduction of new species, the unexpected increase in the number of cases reported during the 1990s was mainly due to the DHF outbreak in South Korea [116]. Additionally, the transmission of the virus into the Americas was due to the DEN-1 virus which had an epidemic period of 16 years. In 1981, DEN-4 was introduced along with another strain of dengue into the Americas, which had an epidemic period of 16 years. In 1995, the DEN-2 strain was introduced into the Americas, which had an epidemic period of 16 years. In 1995, the DEN-2 strain was introduced into the Americas, which had an epidemic period of 16 years. In 1995, the DEN-2 strain was introduced into the Americas, which had an epidemic period of 16 years. In 1995, the DEN-2 strain was introduced into the Americas, which had an epidemic period of 16 years.

After a successful control program, Singapore was able to prevent the transmission of dengue for over 20 years [118]. Even though only sporadic cases of dengue were reported before 2000, it raised a serious public health concern during 2000 with 5,551 reported cases and 93 deaths [103,119,120]. Countries like Timor-Leste, Bhutan and Nepal had also reported their first dengue outbreaks in the year 2004. Soon after dengue had become endemic in several regions throughout the years, by the end of 2016, countries such as China, Malaysia and Singapore had reported about 2,91,964 cases [121]. Also, the cases of dengue had spiked ever since its first outbreak with DEN-3 during the year 2000, in Bangladesh [122,123].

**Recent Dengue outbreaks in Asia (2019-2020)**

Recently, dengue outbreaks were reported to be a terrifying epidemic in many countries of Asia. By the end of 2019, a total of 101,354 cases and 179 deaths were reported in Bangladesh. Again, this country recorded about 263 cases till 16th of March in 2020 [124,125]. While Vietnam reported a cumulative number of 127,407 cases and 176 deaths as of December 21, 2019, the Philippines reported 420,453 cases including 1,565 deaths till December 14 of the same year and Singapore reported about 15,622 cases on week 51 of 2019 [126]. Dengue transmission was also recorded in Afghanistan for the first time, in 2019. China reported 268 cases in January 2020, Malaysia reported 32,951 cases including 48 deaths from December 29, 2019 till March 21, 2020, Philippines reported 37,058 cases including 112 deaths as of February 2020 and Viet Nam reported 20,673 cases including 4 deaths until March 20, 2020 [127].

**Dengue in America**

Dengue has been a major public health problem in America. A campaign by the Pan American Health Organization (PAHO) played a role in the eradication during the 1960s from the central and south American countries [128]. The discontinuation of the campaign in the 1970s further led to the reinfection of the species. In the 1970s, DEN-2 and DEN-3 were present in America however, the major epidemic in 1977 was due to the DEN-1 virus which had an epidemic period of 16 years. In 1981, DEN-4 was introduced along with another strain of DEN-2 from Southeast Asia which led to a major DHF epidemic and this new strain caused outbreaks in Venezuela, Puerto Rico, Columbia, Brazil, French Guiana, the French Antilles and Suriname [129]. A total of 14 countries in America had endemic DHF after its emergence in 1995. The failed eradication program led to an increase in infected cases from 2000 to 2010 and therefore, over 1.7 million cases were reported including 50,235 severe cases and 1,185 deaths. According to a recent report by WHO, the number of cases increased by 15 fold over the past two decades with an increase from 50,5430 cases in 2000 to 2,400,138 cases in 2010 [130]. In November 2018, PAHO had alerted the countries to increase their preparedness and response efforts in order to prepare for a much intense dengue outbreak. Following that, according to a report from PAHO, America recorded the largest outbreak of dengue with about 3 million cases in the region until 2019 and the number of cases reported was 320,000 as of February 9, 2020 [131]. Reports from the 2019 dengue cases also suggested additional findings such as an increase in severe dengue cases, shifts in epidemic season and a higher risk of death for the younger age groups (5-9 years). The early and appropriate diagnosis and clinical management of patients along with effective ways of breeding site destruction, and tackling the
social and environmental determinants that are linked to dengue can help slow down and eventually stop the disease transmission, preventing further deaths.

**Dengue in Australia**

The first outbreaks in Australia date back to 1879 in Queensland and 1885 in Rockhampton [132]. In 1898, the first cases were reported in New South Wales and during 1925-26 it extended to the south. In the Northern Territory, it was prevalent during 1955 [133-135]. By the end of the 19th century, dengue was widely distributed and it further spread through various mediums which included the presence of major breeding sites provided by rainwater tanks and water-holding domestic containers. The species had soon disappeared from the northern and western parts. There was a reduction in the population during the 1960s in Queensland however, after it’s disappearance for 25 years, it had reappeared during the 1980s [136-138]. Several factors had influenced its decline; the leading factor being the conversion of urban water supplies from household rainwater tanks to a reticulated supply, change from steel to diesel locomotives, the use of domestic insecticides along with public education and increased awareness had all contributed greatly. In four years, from 2005 to 2008, nine outbreaks of dengue were reported in Queensland, Australia [139]. On December 1, 2008, a dengue outbreak was declared in the tropical north region of Queensland, Cairns and several confirmed cases were reported soon after in 2009 affecting towns and cities like Townsville, Port Douglas, Yarrabah, Injinoo, Innisfail and Rockhampton. Also, from the period 2005-2006 to 2009-2010, the number of dengue cases had increased from 156 to 581. Australia reported a total of 1,419 cases till December 18, 2019, since the start of the year, and the total number of cases increased to 581. In Australia, dengue occurs seasonally in northern Queensland, with a peak transmission from January to April. Even though dengue is not endemic in Australia, the presence of the vectors, *Aedes Aegypti* and *Aedes Albopictus* mosquitoes raises the risk of a possible outbreak.

**Dengue in Europe**

Dengue was endemic in regions of southern Europe until the 1930s due to the presence of *Aedes Aegypti*. In 1927 and 1928, several outbreaks infected millions and led to a death toll of around 2100 in Greece and Turkey [141]. Disappearance of the disease was noted however, several locally transmitted cases in Croatia, France and Portugal were also reported; *Ae. Albopictus* and *Ae. Aegypti* being the responsible vectors. From the period 2012-2013, a large outbreak in Madeira and Portugal was reported which not only included more than 2100 cases but also involved the spread of the disease to 14 other European countries [142]. The leading causes included the introduction of the efficient mosquito vector species and the arrival of infected people. According to a report from WHO, *Ae. Albopictus* had been spreading and was known to have established from Spain to Greece and also to the Eastern countries and the Black sea coast. In the year 2019, over 15,000 autochthonous cases of dengue were confirmed till June 11, including at least 9 deaths by the end of the year [143]. Several surveillance and control programs for dengue vectors are available in the European countries but only a few selected programs include the disease apart from the vector as well. The dengue transmission models that represent Europe, suggest that Europe is at a lower risk but they rarely include the transmission of the other vector, *Aedes Albopictus* [110,144]. The unavailability of any specific treatment makes integrated vector management the only sustainable control option.

Several factors contribute to the facilitation of the dengue vectors for their survival and transmission along with the presence of different serotypes. The adaptation of better surveillance systems and control programs alongside individual preventive measures by the countries can help reduce the cases of dengue, and the following deaths.

**DIAGNOSTIC METHODS**

The accurate and efficient diagnosis of dengue is significant in order to differentiate dengue from other diseases such as leptospirosis, rubella, and other infections caused by flavivirus. Successful diagnosis also helps to provide clinical care and surveillance support to the patients as well as to carry out pathogenesis studies and vaccine research along with the case confirmation of DHF/DSS. During the first 5 days of illness, dengue virus and antigen detection are the most accurate diagnostic tools, as IgG and IgM antibodies are not produced until 5-7 days after the onset of symptoms in primary infections [145,146]. Rapid Diagnostic Tests (RTDs) are a simple and quick way of screening for dengue virus especially in countries that do not have the facilities to perform tests such as ELISA or PRNT tests. However, RTD has its own share of disadvantages as they cannot detect past DENV infections in the patient and might lack sensitivity and specificity in order to ensure management and clinical care of the infected patients [147].

Another method of directly testing for the DENV virus is the Dengue non-structural protein NS1 antigen test which is a serotype-specific identification test and is used for early detection, that is, less than five days since the onset of the fever. NS1 test has been the most popular as it is rapid and less costly than other methods such as viral isolation or viral reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction [148]. When mammalian cells are infected, during the acute phase of the infection, they secrete the NS1 antigen which is a glycoprotein synthesized by all flaviviruses [149]. Although NS1 tests are the most effective dengue detection tests so far, NS1 tests alone cannot determine the serotype of the DENV infection and is mainly an indicative test [150].

There are few other different effective DENV diagnosis methods available but the plaque reduction test (PRNT) has been the most widely used method of identifying the immune response to dengue virus. Although the basis of the test has remained the same, over the years, the methods and materials have been modified in different laboratories [151]. As the PRNT method of detecting DENV is a time-consuming process, several different methods have been developed for fast and efficient detection of DENV. The advantages and disadvantages of those methods have been analyzed by taking different factors into account like the type of biomarkers, the sensitivity, accuracy, rate of detection as well as the possibility of commercialization, linear range, availability, limit of detection, simplicity, mechanism of detection, and if it can be used for clinical applications. The main methods that have been found are the optical, electrochemical, microfluidic, enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), and smartphone-based biosensors methods that detect different serotypes and biomarkers (Table 3) [152].

The Dengue NS1 test is the most effective dengue test till date, even though it has its own setbacks, as it is cost effective,
Table 2. Different DENV identification techniques. NA: Not allowed

| Technique Name                          | Basis of Test                          | Advantages                                                                 | Disadvantages                                      | References |
|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Plaque-reduction neutralization test   | Plaques forming units (PFUs) are counted | The most serotype specific test and is the standard for all other tests    | Labour intensive and difficult to use in a large-scale basis | [153]      |
| (PRNT)                                  | DENV genome                            | Detection rate and sensitivity are higher than conventional RT-PCR          | NA                                                | [154]      |
| SYBR green reverse transcription       | Viral Polypeptides                     | Sensitive                                                                 | Complex maintenance, sample preparation and high cost. | [155]      |
| polymerase chain reaction (SYBR green  |                                        |                                                                            |                                                   |            |
| RT-PCR)                                | Photon                                | More accurate and precise compared to conventional methods                  | NA                                                | [156,157] |
| Electron immunomicroscopy (EIM)        | Refractive index                       | Rapid and sensitive                                                        | Highly sensitive to surface contamination          | [158,159] |
| Optical biosensors                     |                                       |                                                                            |                                                   |            |
| Photonic crystal biosensors            |                                       |                                                                            |                                                   |            |
| Vertical-cavity surface emitting       |Detects anti-dengue IgG antibodies      | Higher sensitivity than ELISA method                                        | False-positive errors                              | [160]      |
| laser (VCSEL) method                   |                                        |                                                                            |                                                   |            |
| Colorimetric biosensors                | PNA/DNA hybridization                  | simple, fast response, acceptable sensitivity, selectivity and is efficient | Unmodified gold nanoparticles are used (Au NPs)    | [161,162] |
| Surface-enhanced Raman scattering      | Highly sensitive optical detection technique and a multiplexed readout         | Low sensitivity and specificity. Temperature, size of biosensor and optics are difficult to regulate |                                                   |            |
| (SERS) biosensors                      |                                        |                                                                            |                                                   |            |
| Surface Plasmon resonance (SPR)        | Optical label free, cheaper than virus isolation or RT PCR                     | Ag-Au bimetallic nano wave chips are used                                   |                                                   | [163,164] |
| based biosensors                       |                                        |                                                                            |                                                   |            |
| Fluorometric biosensors                | Dengue virus                           | Accurate and sensitive                                                      | Use of Au NPs. High background signals.            | [168,169] |
| Enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)| Antigen/antibody detection         | Sensitive and specific                                                      | Time-consuming, specific and expensive laboratory equipment and expert technicians are required. | [170,171,172] |
| Sandwich ELISA                         | Biomolecule                            | More reliable, sensitive and specific than ELISA method                     | NA                                                | [173,174] |
| Reverse transcription loop-mediated    | DENV genome                            | Efficient, cheap, provides accurate and reliable results                    | NA                                                | [175]      |
| isothermal amplification (RT-LAMP)     |                                        |                                                                            |                                                   |            |
| Voltammetric biosensors                | Current measured on oxidation/reduction| Cost effective, readily available, and rapid detection. Can also detect low amounts of viral antigens accurately. | Developing portable and simple operation electrochemical devices are a challenge. | [176,177,178] |
| Amperometric biosensors                | Current measured on oxidation/reduction| High selectivity, sensitivity in ultra-dilute samples, and commercial availability. | NA                                                | [179,180] |
| Impedimetric biosensors                | Current/Voltage ratio                  | High detection capability and ability to report charge transfer resistance during hybridization process. | NA                                                | [181,182,183] |
| Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy | Changes in charge transfer resistance  | High sensitivity and ability to report charge transfer resistance           | NA                                                | [184]      |
| (EIS)                                  | DENV nucleic acid                      | Cheap and high sensitivity                                                 | NA                                                | [185]      |
| Conductometric biosensors              |                                        |                                                                            |                                                   |            |
| Metal oxide based electronic biosensors| Nucleic acids, alkali metal ions and proteins | Ultra-low detection capabilities, low assay time, and availability. | Debye length, restricting the detection of large biomolecules such as DNAs and RNAs | [186,187] |
| Microfluidics system                   | DENV antibodies                        | Low consumption of reagents, minimal handling of materials, less time consuming, multiplex analysis, portability, and versatility in design. | NA                                                | [188]      |
| Smart phone-based methods              | Dengue viruses                         | Popular, portable, data acquisition and transferring abilities, high-quality camera lenses and cost-effectiveness. | NA                                                | [189]      |
| Silicon nanowire (SiNW) biosensor      | PNA (peptide nucleic acid)             | Rapid and Sensitive, sample labelling is not required                     | May fluctuate with nucleic acid mutation, may be less effective in viremia phase. | [190]      |
| TaqMan real-time one-step RT-PCR       | Polymerization reaction                | More specific and significantly reduces false positive.                    | Laborious.                                         | [191]      |

rapid and simple. Although there are several techniques available that vary in mechanism, sensitivity, specificity, the application of all these techniques depend on the cost, expertise and laboratory facility etc. of a country. Therefore, the demand to develop a more common diagnosis technique that is altogether cost effective, rapid, specific and sensitive still remains and may require more research.
PREVENTION AND TREATMENT STRATEGY

As there is no proper drug or direct vaccine available to this day, prevention of dengue is extremely important in order to handle the spread of this disease. The DENV is spread by a human-to-mosquito-to-human cycle by the Aedes mosquito [192] thus most strategies to prevent spread of the virus includes preventing the growth of mosquitoes and laying of eggs. The measures to be taken include proper disposal of solid waste, cleaning water storage containers every week and applying insecticides in those containers. Water storage tanks must be covered at all times and appropriate insecticides must be added to the water. Additionally, means of personal protection must also be used such as long-sleeved clothes, window screens, coils, vaporizers etc. During outbreaks, spraying insecticides inside the households and thorough monitoring must be carried out to prevent infection [128,193,194].

The life cycle of dengue virus involves the entry of the virus into our body, membrane fusion, RNA genome replication, assembly, and ultimate release from the infected cell. Thus, the treatment of dengue is not specific and remains to be supportive [195]. Supportive treatment includes oral fluid administration and antipyretic treatment with paracetamol along with daily full blood counts. If there is excessive vomiting or diarrhea observed, admission to hospital is necessary to prevent dehydration. The patient must be administered oral fluid as much as possible [196]. The symptoms of dengue are flu-like and so there is no specific treatment but an early diagnosis and clinical management can reduce the severity of the effects of dengue on the body [128,197].

Currently, there is no licensed antiviral agent available against dengue as the drug needs to address all four serotypes of the dengue virus. Researchers have been trying to develop drugs by targeting several different steps in the disease pathway in order to interfere with the replication of dengue virus. For example, nucleoside analogue blocks a dengue infection by preventing synthesis of the viral RNA genome so that the dengue virus cannot replicate. Viral replication can be difficult to prevent because there is a short timeline for treatment which is why it is important to target other steps in the disease pathway to prevent dengue at later stages [198]. Therefore, steps other than viral replication such as protein synthesis and viral assembly may be potential targets to produce a vaccine or drug for dengue and thus require further research.

VACCINE FOR DENGUE VIRUS

A vaccine can boost the immune system in our body against a particular pathogen which is paramount in order to fight against viral diseases such as one caused by DENV. But unfortunately, no effective direct vaccine has been discovered yet that can successfully combat the dengue infection. There is a major array of concerns that need to be addressed in order to produce a direct vaccine which is why producing one has been a major challenge. The mutation rate of viruses like DENV is the major hurdle to develop an effective vaccine. Additionally, the vaccines produced must work against all four of the DENV serotypes and must provide lifelong protection. This may be done by incorporating an antigen that is common for all four serotypes. As vaccines with live, attenuated or nonliving viruses usually produce less antibody than an infection with wild-type virus, it is most likely that two doses of the virus will be required. Several other factors need to be taken into account such as the level of symptoms seen after the vaccination, if the vaccine can be used by people of all ages and the cost of the vaccine etc. [192,199].

Providing lifelong protection against all four serotypes by neutralizing antibodies is difficult due to antibody-dependent enhancement (ADE) or immune enhancement. ADE observed during dengue infection due to heterologous non-neutralizing antibodies may cause dengue hemorrhagic fever (DHF) or dengue shock syndrome (DSS) during secondary infection by a different serotype. Additionally, over time, antibody responses below protective levels may increase the possibility of immune enhancement by a natural infection due to wild type DENV. Another major challenge of producing a dengue vaccine is the lack of an appropriate human like animal model for the trials of the vaccines which will allow us to observe the pathogenesis, immune response and clinical course of dengue infection in humans. Inoculation of mouse-adapted DENV strain into suckling mice through intracerebral route has caused paralysis or death of that animal [200].

So far only one such vaccine for dengue has been approved, Dengvaxia® (CVD-TDV). Dengvaxia® (CVD-TDV) has been developed by Sanofi Pasteur and it is for people who have already been infected by DENV once. This works on people ages 9 to 45 and must be given three doses in intervals [126,193,201]. However, a large number of vaccines are now in different phases of clinical trials in different companies (Table 3).

Pre - Clinical trials include conducting the research in lab assays or on animals. This includes identification of relevant antigens, creating a vaccine, testing it on lab animals and test tubes, and lastly, using proper manufacturing standards to manufacture the vaccine. Finally, clinical trials are carried out to assess the safety and efficacy of agents under investigation in the four phases including different size of volunteers (Table 4) [214,215].

Although there are several issues and concerns related to producing vaccines that are close to a hundred percent successful, given the demand all over the world, it is vital to produce a vaccine even if a lot of time is required so that a dengue outbreak can be fought against.

CURRENT STATUS AND FUTURE PROSPECTS OF DENGUE RESEARCH

A lot of effort has been put into preventing and controlling a potential dengue pandemic by scientists and researchers all over the world. Research has continuously been going on for years to secure specific countermeasures or vaccines to prevent the dengue outbreak. Recently, a new serotype of dengue virus known as DENV 5 was reported in October 2013 which is similar to DENV 2 and follows the sylvatic cycle rather than the human cycle that the other four serotypes follow [216]. It has been found that DENV-5 constitutes a unique, distinct phylogenetic group, but this discovery requires further confirmation and even though this was thought to be a variant of serotype 4, several studies have shown that rhesus macaque monkeys infected with the serotype 5 produced a different set of antibodies. Developing a tetravalent dengue vaccine that is both cost effective and safe has been a challenge for scientists
Table 3. Progresses in dengue vaccine development in different companies

| Vaccine Name                                             | Company                                          | Phase of Clinical Trial | References         |
|----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| Dengvaxia® (CYD-TDV) (Tetravalent vaccine)                | Sanofi Pasteur                                   | III                     | [216, 202]        |
| Cell culture passage based live attenuated viruses       | Walter Reed Army Institute of Research (WRAIR),  | II                      | [203, 204]        |
| (Tetravalent vaccine)                                    | GlaxoSmithKline Biologicals                     |                         |                   |
| Yellow fever- DENV chimeric viruses (Tetravalent vaccine)| National Institutes of Health (NIH) and St. Louis | III                     | [205, 206]        |
|                                                          | University Health Science Centre, Sanofi Pasteur |                         |                   |
| Mixture of cell culture passage based attenuated virus   | Inviragen Inc.                                   | II                      | [207, 208]        |
| and dengue-dengue intertypic chimeric viruses            |                                                  |                         |                   |
| (Tetravalent Vaccine)                                    |                                                  |                         |                   |
| Mixture of targeted mutagenesis based attenuated viruses | National Institute of Allergy and Infectious     | I                       | [209, 210]        |
| and dengue-dengue intertypic chimeric viruses (         | Disease (NIAID), National Institutes of Health   |                         |                   |
| Tetravalent vaccine)                                     | (NIH), Butantan Institute                        |                         |                   |
| Purified inactivated dengue vaccine (Tetravalent vaccine)| Walter Reed Army Institute of Research (WRAIR),  | I                       | [211]             |
|                                                          | GlaxoSmithKline Biologicals, Oswaldo Cruz       |                         |                   |
|                                                          | Foundation                                        |                         |                   |
| Recombinant subunit vaccine (Monovalent vaccine)         | Merck and Co.                                    | I                       | [208, 212]        |
| DNA vaccine expressing prM and E protein                 | Naval Medical Research Centre, Walter Reed Army  |                         |                   |
| (Monovalent vaccine)                                     | Institute of Research (WRAIR)                    |                         | [213]             |

Table 4. Phases of clinical trial

| Phases of Clinical Trials | Sample size | Testing                                      |
|--------------------------|-------------|----------------------------------------------|
| Phase I                  | 10 – 100 people | To check whether it is safe for humans |
| Phase II                 | 100 – 1,000 | The potency of the vaccine against artificial infection as well as vaccine safety, side effects and immune response |
| Phase III                | 1,000 – 10,000 | The performance of the vaccine against natural infection |
| Phase IV                 | Large scale | Post marketing surveillance after the vaccine has been licensed and to find out rare side effects |

all over the world and several potential vaccines are being tested after Mahidol University, Thailand and Walter Reed Army Institute of Research (USA) initiated the research [216,217]. Several other organizations such as Takeda Pharmaceuticals Company Limited, Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, The Global Health Innovative Technology (GHIT) Fund and many more have invested millions towards dengue research [218-221]. A study in Singapore confirmed that right after the infection, the immune cell called natural killer (NK) cells were activated in the blood and researchers hope that this knowledge may be beneficial while developing vaccines and drugs [222]. Moreover, another research team at University of California San Diego has synthetically engineered mosquitoes that will prevent the transmission of dengue virus by the activation of an antibody that prevents the replication of the virus and its dissemination [223]. Additionally, a study has shown that mutations in the protein envelope cause the dengue virus to change its shape and become resistant to vaccines and therapeutics [224].

Taking climate change into account for dengue research is important for the government and public health officials to take actions to protect the public from future dengue outbreaks. As the global climate changes, the global surface temperature will change and so will the patterns of rainfall around the world, affecting the environmental suitability for the survival and growth of dengue viruses and mosquitoes, which will eventually lead to the change in patterns of dengue globally, nationally, and locally. Having said that, several issues need to be taken into account during the research on DENV such as the sociodemographic factors e.g., travel and demographic change, and other climatic factors in some areas where temperature may not be the most vital aspect influencing the spread of dengue. Moreover, the non-climatic factors affecting the spread of A. aegypti and A. albopictus must also be explored [225]. Scientists have been investigating dengue pathogenesis in order to gain a better understanding of dengue infection. Improving surveillance of dengue cases by databases such as DengueNet that continuously updates and shares current and historical data on dengue cases by providing early warnings prior to epidemics can help improve the preparedness of public health officials, and help reduce fatality rates [226]. Many experts have said that dengue may increase in the future due to viral evolution, climate change, globalization, travel and trade. Settlement factors and socioeconomic factors also play a role in the spread of dengue. In light of this, it is important to follow the guidelines in WHO Global Strategy for Dengue Prevention and Control, 2012-2020 in order to prevent a huge outbreak as neither a perfect remedy nor a vaccine has been found yet [227].

CONCLUSION

Although, dengue outbreak is the most emerging global health threat in the 21st century, the adaptation of a successfully planned control program is the only preventive measure with no effective treatment options available. Some methods of prevention may include the use of insecticides, removal of mosquito vectors or the use of vaccines, mostly in the high burden epidemiologic areas. As a result, understanding of the pathogenesis and clinical symptoms is crucial for the implementation of proper preventive measures. Growth in population, frequent travels as well as urbanization has shown to affect the dengue epidemiology and thus the re-emergence of the dengue epidemic. The increasing dengue outbreak along with no specific existing treatment for the disease makes much scientific efforts to be centered to secure therapeutic intervention. Rapid and more efficient dengue detection methods are required for an immediate approach...
towards early diagnosis of the infected patients. As the factors contributing to the spreading of dengue virus are increasing with the rise in globalization and climate change, the research for dengue vaccine and drug development requires more attention in order to prevent and prepare for further devastating outbreaks.
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