Use of Sublingual Immunotherapy for Aeroallergens in Children with Asthma
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Abstract: Asthma is a heterogeneous disease that in children is often allergen-driven with a type 2 inflammation. Sublingual immunotherapy represents an important progress in the use of personalized medicine in children with allergic asthma. It is a viable option for house dust mite-driven asthma and in subjects with the asthma associated with allergic rhinitis. The use and indications for isolated asthma caused by other allergens are still controversial owing to heterogeneity of commercially available products and methodological limitations of studies in children. Nevertheless, most studies and meta-analyses found the efficacy of sublingual immunotherapy. Sublingual immunotherapy is safe but cannot be recommended in children with uncontrolled asthma.
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1. Introduction

Asthma is a chronic disease affecting 10%–15% of school-aged children [1,2]. First-line treatment for allergic asthma consists of such medicines as inhaled corticosteroids, long-acting beta2-agonists and short-acting beta2-agonists as needed with the aim of minimizing symptoms, improving lung function, and reducing inflammation. Notwithstanding, some children need additional treatment to improve asthma control. Moreover, in patients who achieve control, symptoms can return upon discontinuation of drugs. Different response to the same therapy can be due to a distinctive asthma endotype that indicates a subtype of the disease with a distinct underlying pathophysiological mechanism. In childhood, two forms of asthma have been conventionally studied. The type2 (T2)-high endotype can be allergic [3] or nonallergic and it is characterized by an eosinophilic airway inflammation, while in the T2-low endotype, a neutrophilic or paucigranulocytic airway inflammation is found [4]. Most children have allergic asthma that may be considered a phenotype, with early onset, atopic background, family atopic history, allergic sensitization to common inhaled allergens, eosinophil inflammation, and bronchial hyperreactivity that overlaps with eosinophilic and T2 asthma [5]. In this promising era of precision medicine, matching asthmatic patients with the T2-high endotype allows “personalizing” more effective therapeutic choices that target the airway T2 pathway. They include biologicals and allergen specific immunotherapy (AIT) in asthmatics who partly respond or do not respond to first-line treatment or have a recurrence after a suspension. AIT has been the first attempt of precision medicine and it is tailored to the specific IgE that elicits the reaction. AIT is the only disease-modifying treatment for patients with IgE-mediated allergy due to airborne allergens. It consists of repetitive administration of the allergen extract that provokes symptoms with the purpose of inducing allergen tolerance in
allergic asthma by targeting the underlying mechanisms and modifying the immunological response. Subcutaneous AIT (SCIT) has been the only accepted effective AIT for allergic rhinitis and asthma over several years [6] and it still represents the standard treatment for hymenoptera venom hypersensitivity. SCIT may rarely induce unpredictable anaphylactic reactions. Moreover, children can be annoyed by repeated injections that require visiting a doctor’s office. So, alternative safer and more comfortable routes of allergen administration that may allow self-administration at home have been investigated. The first randomized double-blind placebo-controlled trials (RDBPCT) of such routes took place in 1986 and studied sublingual AIT (SLIT) [7]. Subsequently, a remarkable number of clinical studies on SLIT was published showing indirectly an efficacy not far from that of SCIT [8,9] even if head-to-head studies are lacking. SLIT has been quickly recognized in official documents as an alternative to SCIT in respiratory allergy at variance from other routes [10–14]. Furthermore, SLIT has been used for other allergy-driven diseases, such as atopic dermatitis [15]. Both SCIT and SLIT share similar mechanisms, that involve induction of allergen-specific IgG4, stimulation of IgE-blocking IgG antibodies, T-cell tolerance [16]. These mechanisms suppress the specific Th2 immune response and prevent further exacerbations. In SLIT, an important role for antigen tolerance is played by the uptake of the allergen by dendritic cells of oral mucosa [17]. SLIT is specific for the allergen causing IgE-mediated asthma but not for asthma in itself [10]. So, we have analyzed the use of SLIT in asthmatic children, including an approach to its prescription that considers differences between allergens and suggestions for practice.

2. Product-Related Considerations

SLIT vaccines are available as liquid drops or tablets that are swallowed after keeping under the tongue for 1–2 min. Sublingual formulations are not equivalent since they vary according to the manufacturer in the diluent, preservatives, unit of measurement of potency, dosage, and schedules. The diversity in marketed products has led to heterogeneity in the way national regulators deal with different products. In most countries, AIT products usually require a marketing permission like other drugs [18]. However, SLIT products are also commercialized and routinely used in many countries as “named patient products” that just need to be prepared according to the Good Manufacturing Practice to be commercialized.

In the past 15 years, several big trials investigated orodispersible tablets with standardized determination of relevant allergen content of the extracts in RDBPCT involving a large number of children and adults with allergic rhinitis and/or asthma. Those studies characterized the optimal maintenance dose of each product [19]. Furthermore, they allowed for the approval of SLIT tablets for timothy, 5-grass, house dust mites (HDM), trees (birch), ragweed, and Japanese cedar by regulating authorities as medicinal products in several countries. Many SLIT products are marketed as solutions that are administered with a dropper, mini-pumps, or single-dose vials. The optimum dose with liquid extracts remains approximate [20] since trials evaluated a small number of children and they were not designed for registration. The mean number of children with asthma due to HDM in the active arm in 8 RDBPCTs [21–28] was 26 and the cumulative dose that was found to reduce asthma symptoms varied from 249.6 mcg Der P1 [23] to 1700 mcg Der P1 [26].

Regarding allergy to grass, SLIT with higher cumulative doses (4068 IR versus 18,031 IR) was associated with a significant low symptom/medication score [29].

SLIT with a mixed Betula verrucosa, Corylus avellana and Alnus glutinosa extract has a similar efficacy at a cumulative dose of 1.058 mcg (major pollen tree allergens) and of 8820 mcg (major pollen tree allergens) in 61 asthmatic children [30].

Cumulative effective doses for Par j varied from 20.3 mcg [23] to 52.2 mg [31].

At variance from SCIT, in SLIT, the build-up phase with increasing doses usually lasts a few days, or it is unnecessary, and the treatment starts with the maintenance dose. The maintenance dose can be administered according to the manufacturer: once a day, on alternate days, twice weekly [32]. SLIT for seasonal allergens can be discontinued at the beginning of the season (preseasonal treatment), at the end of the season (pre-coseasonal) or administered continuously. SLIT for perennial allergens is usually
administered all year-round. A SLIT course of 3 years is recommended to achieve better long-term results [33–35]. However, a prospective study found that a treatment of 4 years slightly improved efficacy and long-term benefits in adults [36].

3. Sublingual Immunotherapy for Asthma

Several systematic reviews and trials have been conducted on the use of SLIT in asthmatic children. Meta-analyses have been hampered by heterogeneity among selected studies in population, allergens, products, outcomes, doses, duration of treatment. It is noteworthy that efficacy and safety should be characterized for each formulation because of differences between sublingual products. Furthermore, meta-analyses have been limited by power of the trials since most of them studied primarily patients with allergic rhinitis [16]. Allergic rhinitis, which affects 60–80% of asthmatic children [37,38], is the most frequent comorbidity and it is associated with worse asthma control. Furthermore, not validated instruments [1,39] were used and asthma exacerbations [40] at the time of the studies were not considered as the outcome that the authors should have tried to influence [2]. Even if these shortcomings questioned the conclusions [41], most meta-analyses and systematic reviews [6,42,43] showed the efficacy of SLIT in asthmatic children.

4. House Dust Mites

In asthmatic adolescents and adults, the findings of large studies [44–46] provided evidence of efficacy of HDM SLIT tablets. As a consequence, HDM SLIT has been incorporated in the Global Initiative for Asthma Report (GINA) recommendations [40] as an add-on treatment for HDM allergic asthma in adults with allergic rhinitis who have exacerbations despite a low-medium dose of inhaled corticosteroids if the forced expiratory volume per 1 s (FEV1) is greater than the 70% predicted. Therefore, patients with severe asthma receiving a high dose of inhaled corticosteroids [40] would not be given AIT. However, in the European Academy of Allergy and Clinical Immunology (EAACI) Guidelines [2], HDM SLIT tablets are recommended as an add-on treatment for adults with controlled and partially controlled HDM-driven allergic asthma irrespective of severity of asthma. In RDBPCT that included adolescents and adults, the efficacy of HDM SLIT tablets and drops has been shown [47,48] and they also have spared inhaled corticosteroid [49,50].

In children, ten RDBPTs [21–27,51–53] found that HDM SLIT drops improved asthma symptoms and reduced use of medication (Table 1). The systematic review by Rice et al. [39] found that HDM SLIT improved FEV1. An RDBPCT in a pediatric population reported negative results for HDM SLIT [28]. However, nearly all children had no asthmatic symptoms at the baseline so that lack of benefit could have been anticipated.

5. Grass Pollen

Several reports have shown the efficacy of grass pollen SLIT (Table 1). A large regulatory trial conducted with grass SLIT tablets in children with allergic rhinitis showed a significant improvement in the asthma symptom score but not in the medication use [54], while Rolinck-Werninghaus reported a decrease in the medication score [55]. Stelmach et al. [55] reported that grass SLIT significantly improved asthma symptoms and reduced the medication score in children. Dhami et al. [6] performed a systematic review and a meta-analysis of RDBPCTs on AIT for asthma in children and adults. They found that AIT was effective in decreasing the symptom score both in children and adults and the medication score in children and suggested (but not confirmed) in adults. AIT to grass pollen was effective in reducing the symptom score and the suggested (but not confirmed) medication score. Furthermore, SCIT was effective in reducing respiratory symptoms and drug consumption whereas SLIT was suggested but not confirmed to reduce the symptom and medication scores. However, only one study with SLIT in children [56] was reported [6]. If we look at real life, it has been reported that grass SLIT tablets for allergic rhinitis decrease the number of dispensed prescriptions for asthma medications [57].
6. Trees and Ragweed

Most data on AIT efficacy against tree pollen allergy have been shown in adult studies. A systematic review [42] reported two trials [55,58] showing the effectiveness of SLIT for asthma due to tree pollen allergy in children. A RDBPCT [59] found that SLIT to parietaria significantly reduced nonspecific bronchial hyperresponsiveness to methacholine. Recently, in a RDBPCT, Biedermann et al. [60] found that sublingual tablets containing a standardized birch extract were effective in 634 adolescents or adults with rhinoconjunctivitis caused by birch pollen and in the subpopulation with asthma and reduced the Asthma Control Test score.

Regarding ragweed, a RDBPCT by Nolte et al. [61] showed that ragweed SLIT tablets improved symptoms and medication use in children with rhinoconjunctivitis to ragweed pollen and reduced asthma symptoms and short-acting beta2-agonist use.

Data regarding efficacy of tree and ragweed SLIT in asthmatic children are reported in Table 1.
Table 1. Trials on SLIT efficacy carried out in children with asthma.

| Author, Year | Trial | Allergen Extract | Age (Years) | Population (Active/Controls) | AIT Duration (Months) | Outcome |
|--------------|-------|------------------|-------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|---------|
| Tari et al., 1990 [21] | RDBPC | HDM | <12 | 30/28 | 30 | ↓ bronchial hyperreactivity |
| Hirsch et al., 1997 [22] | RDBPC | HDM | 6–16 | 15/15 | 12 | ↓ asthma symptoms |
| Paino et al., 2000 [23] | RDBPC | HDM | 8–15 | 12/12 | 24 | ↓ asthma symptoms ↓ medication use |
| Bahçeciler et al., 2001 [24] | RDBPC | HDM | 8–15 | 7/8 | 6 | ↓ asthma attacks ↑ PEF |
| Ippoliti et al., 2003 [25] | RDBPC | HDM | 5–12 | 47/39 | 6 | ↓ asthma symptoms ↓ ECP, IL-13, PRL |
| Lue et al., 2006 [26] | RDBPC | HDM | 6–12 | 10/10 | 6 | ↓ asthma symptoms ↑ IgG4, total IgE ↓ eosinophil count ↑ lung function |
| Niu et al., 2006 [27] | RDBPC | HDM | 6–12 | 49/48 | 6 | ↓ asthma symptoms ↓ lung function |
| Pham-Thi et al., 2007 [28] | RDBPC | HDM | 5–16 | 55/56 | 18 | ↓ SPT reactivity ↑ lung function |
| Eifan et al., 2010 [51] | RCT | HDM | 5–10 | 32/16 | 24 | ↓ asthma symptoms ↓ medication score ↓ VAS ↓ sIgE and SPT for HDM |
| Keles et al., 2011 [52] | RCT | HDM | 5–12 | 48/12 | 18 | ↓ asthma attacks, ↓ inhaled steroid dosage |
| Yuksel et al., 2012 [53] | RDBPC | HDM | 6–14 | 21/10 | 12 | ↓ asthma symptoms ↓ medication use ↓ VAS ↓ sIgE and SPT for HDM |
| Rolinck-Werninghaus et al., 2004 [55] | RDBPC | Grass | 3–14 | 20/19 | 32 | ↓ medication score |
| Bufe et al., 2009 [54] | RDBPC | Grass | 5–16 | 126/127 | 10 | ↓ asthma symptoms |
| Stelmach et al., 2009 [56] | RDBPC | Grass | 6–17 | 25/25 | 24 | ↓ asthma symptoms ↓ FEV1 ↓ medication use |
| Vourdas et al., 1998 [58] | RDBPC | Olive | 7–17 | 33/29 | 24 | ↓ asthma symptoms |
| Author, Year          | Trial       | Allergen Extract | Age (Years) | Population (Active/Controls) | AIT Duration (Months) | Outcome                                                                 |
|----------------------|-------------|------------------|-------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| La Rosa et al., 1999 [31] | RDBPC       | Parietaria       | 6–14        | 20/21                        | 24                    | ↓ rhinitis symptoms, ↓ SPT, ↑ sIgG4                                       |
| Pajno et al., 2004 [59]  | RDBPC       | Parietaria       | 8–14        | 15/15                        | 24                    | ↓ bronchial hyperreactivity                                              |
| Valovirta et al., 2006 [30] | RDBPC      | Tree pollen      | 5–15        | 59/29                        | 17                    | ↓ symptoms, ↓ medication use                                             |
| Nolte et al., 2020 [61]  | RDBPC       | Ragweed          | 5–17        | 513/512                      | 7                     | ↓ asthma symptoms, ↓ short-acting beta2-agonist use                     |

DBPC, double-blind placebo-controlled; EBC, exhaled breath condensate; ECP, eosinophil cationic protein; FEV1, forced expiratory volume in the 1st second; HDM, house dust mite; IL-13, interleukin 13; PEF, peak expiratory flow; PRL, prolactin; RCT, randomized controlled study; SPT, skin prick test; VAS, visual analog scale; ↑, increased; ↓, diminished.
7. Mold and Pet Allergens

The role of immunotherapy for allergens different from pollen and HDM is debated in asthma therapy. Mold allergies are frequent, especially in the Mediterranean area where 20% of allergic patients are sensitized [62,63]. Sensitization to molds is associated with a more severe progression of asthma [64]. AIT has been performed for Alternaria and Cladosporium but the use is limited by difficulty in obtaining a standardized allergen extract. Despite some evidence suggesting that specific AIT has a positive effect on respiratory symptoms, high quality studies are lacking. Several limitations characterize the available studies: many trials include both children and adults, small samples, absence of a placebo group. A meta-analysis [65] including nine randomized controlled studies (RCT) highlighted that low-strength evidence suggests that mold AIT is effective for respiratory symptoms. Just one of the selected studies was performed in children using Alternaria SCIT and it found an improvement of the symptom–medication score and the quality of life starting from the second year of administration. Regarding SLIT, in an RDBPCT [66], 27 patients aged 14–44 years with allergic rhinitis with or without intermittent asthma were treated with Alternaria SLIT. A significant reduction in symptoms, medication intake, and skin test reactivity in the active group was reported. So, the role of Alternaria immunotherapy in children remains unclear. There is little evidence for the use of SCIT but not of SLIT for Cladosporium.

To our knowledge, there is no study conducted exclusively on pediatric population regarding SLIT for animal dander [67]. An RDBPCT by Alvarez-Cuesta et al. [68] enrolling adolescents and adults with allergic rhinitis with or without asthma to cat dander showed an improvement of nasal symptoms but not of the bronchial symptom score, and a decreased PEF response to cat exposure in the SLIT group compared to the placebo group. Studies on SLIT for dander of other furry animals are lacking. Currently, high-quality studies on SCIT with dog allergen extracts have failed in asthmatic children [69].

8. The Effects of SLIT on Asthma Prevention

Allergic rhinitis predicts the development of asthma in children [70,71]. It has been documented that a three-year course of SCIT significantly reduced the occurrence of asthma in children with rhinitis caused by grass and/or birch pollen after 3 and 10 years [72]. Subsequently, two open RCT found that grass SLIT drops [73] and HDM, grass, birch SLIT drops [74] significantly reduced the risk of onset of asthma in children with allergic rhinitis after a course of 3 years. More recently, a large RDBPCT [75] has showed that grass SLIT tablets prevented respiratory symptoms and the use of asthma medication in children with allergic rhinitis. The results of the studies are reported in Table 2. In a large retrospective real-life study, Zielen [76] showed for the tablet formulation in patients >5 years of age that the relative risk reduction of asthma occurrence was around 30% during the treatment and around 40% during the follow-up. There is no evidence that AIT prevents development of new additional allergic sensitization in sensitized patients [77].
Table 2. Studies on the long-term effect and preventive role of SLIT in allergic children.

| Author, Year         | Type of Study | Aim of the Study                                                                 | Allergen Extract | Patients' Age (y) | Population (Total Patients, Active/Control Groups) | AIT Duration (Months) | Main Results                                                   |
|----------------------|---------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Novembre et al., 2004 [73] | RCT           | Determine whether SLIT is effective in reducing ocular and nasal symptoms and the development of asthma in allergic children | Grass pollen     | 5–14             | 113 (54/59)                                        | 4                    | SLIT ↓ seasonal allergic rhinitis symptoms and ↓ the development of seasonal asthma |
| Marogna et al., 2008 [74] | RCT           | Evaluate the clinical and preventive effects of SLIT in allergic children         | Grass pollen     | 5–17             | 216 (144/72)                                       | 36                   | SLIT reduced the onset of new sensitizations and mild persistent asthma and ↓ bronchial hyperreactivity |
| Valovirta et al., 2018 [75] | RDBPC         | Investigate the effect of grass SLIT on the risk of developing asthma             | Grass pollen     | 5–12             | 812 (398/414)                                      | 36                   | SLIT ↓ the risk of experiencing asthma symptoms or using asthma medication ↓ Total IgE, ↓ sIgE and SPT for grass pollen |

RCT, randomized controlled study, SPT, skin prick test.
9. Safety

SLIT has been shown to be a safe treatment in many clinical trials and post-marketing surveys both in adults and in children, as well as in pre-school aged children [78], in children with allergic rhinitis or controlled asthma [79–83] (Table 3). SLIT has a better safety profile compared with SCIT and it can be safely given at home. Several RDBPCIs showed that the rate of systemic adverse events did not differ between the placebo and the active group [79]. Mild local adverse reactions are commonly reported [84]. They disappear within a few days of treatment. The well-known adverse events of SLIT mainly consist of oral itching or swelling, lip edema, throat pruritus, stomach ache. They are easily contained by transitorily diminishing the dose or antihistamine premedication for several weeks. Systemic reactions and asthma exacerbations are not common [79], while anaphylaxis has been reported anecdotally [85,86], and no fatality has been registered. A very low percentage of patients discontinues SLIT because of side effects [20,39,85]. Contraindications include serious immune-associated diseases (e.g., severe immunodeficiencies), malignancies, chronic and disabling diseases (e.g., major cardiovascular disease, chronic infections, severe psychological disorders) [87]. Beta-blocker treatment is a relative contraindication. If uncontrolled asthma is a risk factor for developing serious adverse events in response to SCIT [69,88], it is reasonable to infer that in these patients SLIT is contraindicated [85]. The daily SLIT dose should temporarily not be given in the following circumstances: bronchospasm, acute febrile illness, oral injury or ulceration (e.g., dentalextraction, aphtae).

Table 3. Studies evaluating safety of sublingual immunotherapy in young children.

| Author, Year | Allergen Extract | Age (Year) | Population (Total Patients, Active/Control Groups If Applicable) | AIT Duration (Months) | Main Results |
|--------------|------------------|------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|
| Agostinis et al., 2004 [81] | HDM, grass | 1–3 | 36 | 12–36 | SLIT can be safely administered to very young children. |
| Di Rienzo et al., 2005 [78] | Various | 3–5 | 126 | 24 | SLIT is safe in children under the age of 5 years. |
| Fiocchi et al., 2005 [82] | Various | 3–6 | 65 | 12 | High-dose immunotherapy in children younger than 5 years is as safe as in older children. |

10. Indications

The impact of SLIT on asthma is often assessed as a secondary outcome in studies on IgE-mediated allergic rhinitis. So, SLIT should be used in children with controlled mild and moderate asthma [16] or controlled severe asthma [69] associated with allergic rhinoconjunctivitis. There is a conditional recommendation on the use of SLIT in children when allergic asthma is isolated because of the moderate or low quality of evidence [16] that does not allow defining a clear recommendation [89]. However, the EAACI Guidelines [2] state that the available evidence support the efficacy of HDM SLIT for pediatric asthma and recommend HDM SLIT drops for children with controlled HDM-driven allergic asthma as an add-on treatment. For other allergens, the prescription clearly depends on the product and the type of the eliciting allergen. SLIT tablets or SLIT drops with documented efficacy should be given to asthmatic children with allergy to grass, birch, or other pollens. Low-quality data support the use of SLIT in children with allergy to Alternaria and cat dander [69].
In polysensitized children constituting the majority of those with a pollen allergy, the molecular-based diagnosis would permit the identification of genuine sensitizers and cross-reactive panallergens [90]. The effectiveness of AIT would possibly be increased by prescribing AIT only for genuine allergens. In the pollen—food allergy syndrome [91], SLIT does not improve symptoms to cross-reacting foods. It should be carefully excluded that asthma is elicited by foods [92].

Besides the severity of manifestations, SLIT should be considered if avoidance of the identified relevant inhalant allergens is not effective or is impracticable as the most advantageous treatment set-up. SLIT should be started when pharmacotherapy is protracted, e.g., for more than 3 months, or induces side effects. The cost and the presumed adherence to SLIT are to be considered. During SLIT, children should always receive correct pharmacotherapy. SLIT efficacy should be ascertained by reduction of frequency and severity of symptoms, use of medication, and improvement of lung function. The evaluation of SLIT results should be made following at least six months of pre-coseasonal SLIT for pollen or six to twelve months for perennial allergens and SLIT can be discontinued when patients get worse. There is no absolute age limitation for SLIT administration. Even though the efficacy and safety of SLIT has been shown in children of 3 years of age, evidence is scarce [81,82,93]. Therefore, in preschool children, SLIT should be prescribed after carefully assessing risks and benefits and SLIT drops should be preferred. There are no data suggesting that children receiving SLIT are at a higher risk for the COVID-19 infection. It is recommended to carry on the administration of SLIT during the COVID-19 pandemic. Patients with suspected or confirmed infection with COVID-19 should discontinue the treatment [94]. Finally, in children with rhinoconjunctivitis caused by grass or birch, it has been shown that some SLIT products can be a feasible option not only for controlling symptoms, but also for preventing the onset of asthma [13,95]. A minimum of 3 years course is generally recommended to obtain a preventive effect [93].

11. Conclusions

SLIT is a nice example of precision medicine for allergen-driven asthma. There has been a significant progress in SLIT over the last years with introduction of new formulations. Recently approved SLIT products have been investigated in large trials, mainly in adults with asthma or in patients with allergic rhinitis, and there is a need in studies on their use in asthmatic children. Generally, SLIT appears to be safe and effective as an additional treatment in most children with controlled IgE-mediated asthma due to more common allergens. However, products differ in characteristics and efficacy. A distinction of products is necessary to avoid confusion and predict benefits.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, C.C.; Writing—review and editing, C.C., C.M., M.P., A.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This review received no external funding.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Van de Griendt, E.J.; Tuut, M.K.; de Groot, H.; Brand, P.L.P. Applicability of evidence from previous systematic reviews on immunotherapy in current practice of childhood asthma treatment: A GRADE (Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation) systematic review. BMJ Open 2017, 7, e016326. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
2. Agache, I.; Lau, S.; Akdis, C.A.; Smolinska, S.; Bonini, M.; Cavkaytar, O.; Flood, B.; Gajdanowicz, P.; Izuhara, K.; Kalayci, O.; et al. EAACI Guidelines on Allergen Immunotherapy: House dust mite-driven allergic asthma. Allergy 2019, 74, 855–873. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
3. Mastrorilli, C.; Posa, D.; Cipriani, F.; Caffarelli, C. Asthma and allergic rhinitis in childhood: What’s new. Pediatr. Allergy Immunol. 2016, 27, 795–803. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
4. Lambrecht, B.N.; Hammad, H. The immunology of asthma. Nat. Immunol. 2015, 16, 45–56. [CrossRef]
5. Akar-Ghibril, N.; Casale, T.; Custovic, A.; Phipatanakul, W. Allergic endotypes and phenotypes of asthma. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. Pract. 2020, 8, 429–440. [CrossRef]
6. Dhami, S.; Kakourou, A.; Asamoah, F.; Agache, I.; Lau, S.; Jutel, M.; Muraro, A.; Roberts, G.; Akdis, C.A.; Bonini, M.; et al. Allergen immunotherapy for allergic asthma: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Allergy 2017, 72, 1825–1848. [CrossRef]
7. Scadding, G.K.; Brostoff, J. Low dose sublingual therapy in patients with allergic rhinitis due to dust mite. Clin. Allergy 1986, 16, 483–491. [CrossRef]
8. Bonertz, A.; Mahler, V.; Vieths, S. Manufacturing and quality assessment of allergenic extracts for sublingual immunotherapy: State of the art. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. Pract. 2013, 1, 361–369. [CrossRef]
9. Nelson, H.S.; Makatsori, M.; Calderon, M.A. Subcutaneous immunotherapy and sublingual immunotherapy: Comparative efficacy, current and potential indications, and warnings—United States versus Europe. Immunol. Allergy Clin. N. Am. 2016, 36, 13–24. [CrossRef]
10. Bousquet, J.; Lockey, R.; Malling, H.J. World Health Organization position paper: Allergen immunotherapy—Therapeutical vaccines for allergic diseases. Allergy 1998, 53 (Suppl. 54), 1–15.
11. Canonica, G.W.; Cox, L.; Asero, R.; Baena-Cagnani, C.E.; Blais, M.; Bonini, S.; Bousquet, J.; Calderon, M.; Compalati, E.; Durham, S.R.; et al. Sublingual immunotherapy: World allergey organization position paper 2013 update. World Allergy Organ. J. 2014, 7, 6. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
12. Greenhawt, M.; Oppenheimer, J.; Nelson, M.; Nelson, H.; Lockey, R.; Lieberman, P.; Nowak-Wegrzyn, A.; Peters, A.; Collins, C.; Bernstein, D.I.; et al. Sublingual immunotherapy: A focused allergen immunotherapy practice parameter update. Ann. Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2017, 118, 276–282. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
13. Pajno, G.; Bernardini, R.; Peroni, D.; Arasi, S.; Martelli, A.; Landi, M.; Passalacqua, G.; Muraro, A.; La Grutta, S.; Fiocchi, A.; et al. Clinical practice recommendations for allergen-specific immunotherapy in children: The Italian consensus report. Ital. J. Pediatr. 2017, 43, 13. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
14. Roberts, G.; Pfaar, O.; Akdis, C.A.; Anosogui, I.J.; Durham, S.R.; Gerth van Wijk, R.; Halken, S.; Larenas-Linnemann, D.; Pawankar, R.; Pitsios, C.; et al. EAACI guidelines on allergen immunotherapy: Allergic rhinoconjunctivitis. Allergy 2018, 73, 765–798. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
15. Di Rienzo, V.; Cadario, G.; Grieco, T.; Gallucci, A.G.; Caffarelli, C.; Liotta, G.; Pecora, S.; Burastiero, S.E. Sublingual immunotherapy in mite-sensitized children with atopic dermatitis: A randomized, open, parallel-group study. Ann. Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2014, 113, 671–673. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
16. Jutel, M.; Agache, I.; Bonini, S.; Burks, A.W.; Calderon, M.; Canonica, W.; Cox, L.; Demoly, P.; Frew, A.J.; O’Hehir, R.; et al. International Consensus on Allergen Immunotherapy II: Mechanisms, standardization, and pharmacoeconomic. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 2016, 137, 358–368. [CrossRef]
17. Corcoran, C.; Frati, F.; Sensi, L.; Riarie-Sforza, G.G.; Marcucci, F. Allergic inflammation and the oral mucosa. Recent Pat. Inflamm. Allergy Drug Discov. 2007, 1, 35–38. [CrossRef]
18. Bonertz, A.; Mahler, V.; Vieths, S. Manufacturing and quality assessment of allergenic extracts for immunotherapy: State of the art. Curr. Opin. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 2019, 19, 640–645. [CrossRef]
19. Passalacqua, G.; Bagnasco, D.; Canonica, W. 30 years of sublingual immunotherapy. Allergy 2000, 55, 842–849. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
20. Bahceceler, N.N.; Isik, U.; Barlan, I.B.; Basaran, M.M. Efficacy of sublingual immunotherapy in children with asthma and rhinitis: A double-blind, placebo-controlled study. Pediatr. Pulmonol. 2001, 32, 49–55. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
1. Ippoliti, F.; De Santis, W.; Volterrani, A.; Lenti, L.; Canitano, N.; Lucarelli, S.; Frediani, T. Immunomodulation during sublingual therapy in allergic children. Pediatr. Allergy Immunol. 2003, 14, 216–221. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
2. Lue, K.H.; Lin, Y.H.; Sun, H.L.; Lu, K.H.; Hsieh, J.C.; Chou, M.C. Clinical and immunologic effects of sublingual immunotherapy in asthmatic children sensitized to mites: A double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study. Pediatr. Allergy Immunol. 2006, 17, 408–415. [CrossRef]
3. Niu, C.K.; Chen, W.Y.; Huang, J.L.; Lue, K.H.; Wang, J.Y. Efficacy of sublingual immunotherapy with high-dose mite extracts in asthma: A multi-center, double-blind, randomized, and placebo-controlled study in Taiwan. Respir. Med. 2006, 100, 1374–1383. [CrossRef]
4. Pham-Thi, N.; Scheinmann, P.; Fadel, R.; Combebias, A.; Andre, C. Assessment of sublingual immunotherapy efficacy in children with house dust mite-induced allergic asthma optimally controlled by pharmacologic treatment and mite-avoidance measures. Pediatr. Allergy Immunol. 2007, 18, 47–57. [CrossRef]
5. Marcucci, F.; Sensi, L.; Di Cara, G.; Incorvaia, C.; Frati, F. Dose dependence of immunological response to sublingual immunotherapy. Allergy 2005, 60, 952–956. [CrossRef]
6. Valovirta, E.; Jacobsen, L.; Ljørring, C.; Koivikko, A.; Savolainen, J. Clinical efficacy and safety of sublingual immunotherapy with tree pollen extract in children. Allergy 2006, 61, 1177–1183. [CrossRef]
7. La Rosa, M.; Ranno, C.; Andre, C.; Carat, F.; Tosca, M.A.; Canonica, G.W. Double-blind placebo-controlled evaluation of sublingual-swallow immunotherapy with standardized Parietaria judaica extract in children with allergic rhinoconjunctivitis. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 1999, 104, 425–432. [CrossRef]
8. Lombardi, C.; Braga, M.; Incorvaia, C.; Senna, G.; Canonica, G.W.; Passalacqua, G. Administration regimens for sublingual immunotherapy. What do we know? Allergy 2009, 64, 849–854. [CrossRef]
9. Muraro, A.; Roberts, G.; Halken, S.; Agache, I.; Angier, E.; Fernandez-Rivas, M.; Gerth van Wijk, R.; Jutel, M.; Lau, S.; Pajno, G.; et al. EAACI guidelines on allergen immunotherapy: Executive statement. Allergy 2018, 73, 739–743. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
10. Penagos, M.; Durham, S.R. Duration of allergen immunotherapy for inhalant allergy. Curr. Opin. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 2019, 19, 594–606. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
11. Pfaar, O.; Alvaro, M.; Cardona, V.; Hamelmann, E.; Mösges, R.; Kleine-Tebbe, J. Clinical trials in allergen immunotherapy: Current concepts and future needs. Allergy 2018, 73, 1775–1783. [CrossRef]
12. Marogna, M.; Spadolini, I.; Massolo, A.; Canonica, G.W.; Passalacqua, G. Long-lasting effects of sublingual immunotherapy according to its duration: A 15-year prospective study. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 2010, 126, 969–975. [CrossRef]
13. De Groot, E.P.; Nijkamp, A.; Duiverman, E.J.; Brand, P.L. Allergic rhinitis is associated with poor asthma control, mostly if topic corticosteroid treatment is uneffective. Thorax 2012, 67, 582–587. [CrossRef]
14. Shamssain, M.H.; Shamssian, N. Prevalence and severity of asthma, rhinitis, and atopic eczema in 13- to 14-year-old school children from the northeast of England. Ann. Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2001, 86, 428–432. [CrossRef]
15. Rice, J.L.; Diette, G.B.; Suarez-Cuervo, C.; Brigham, E.P.; Lin, S.Y.; Ramanathan, M.; Robinson, K.A.; Azar, A. Allergen-specific immunotherapy in the treatment of pediatric asthma: A systematic review. Pediatrics 2018, 141, e20173833. [CrossRef]
16. Global Initiative for Asthma. Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention (2020 Update). Available online: https://ginaasthma.org/gina-reports/ (accessed on 21 September 2020).
17. Nieto, A.; Mazon, A.; Pamies, R.; Bruno, M.; Navarro, M.; Montanes, A. Sublingual immunotherapy for allergic respiratory diseases: An evaluation of meta-analyses. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 2009, 124, 157–161. [CrossRef]
18. Penagos, M.; Passalacqua, G.; Compalati, E.; Baena-Cagnani, C.E.; Orozco, S.; Pedroza, A.; Canonica, G.W. Metaanalysis of the efficacy of sublingual immunotherapy in the treatment of allergic asthma in pediatric patients, 3 to 18 years of age. Chest 2008, 133, 599–609. [CrossRef]
19. Kim, J.M.; Lin, S.Y.; Suarez-Cuervo, C.; Chelladurai, Y.; Ramanathan, M.; Segal, J.B.; Erekosima, N. Allergen-specific immunotherapy for pediatric asthma and rhinoconjunctivitis: A systematic review. Pediatrics 2013, 131, 1155–1167. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
20. Virchow, J.C.; Backer, V.; Kuna, P.; Prieto, L.; Nolte, H.; Villesen, H.H.; Ljørring, C.; Riis, B.; de Blay, F. Efficacy of a house dust mite sublingual allergen immunotherapy tablet in adults with allergic asthma: A randomized clinical trial. JAMA 2016, 315, 1715–1725. [CrossRef]
45. Nolte, H.; Maloney, J.; Nelson, H.S.; Bernstein, D.I.; Lu, S.; Li, Z.; Kaur, A.; Zieglmayer, P.; Zieglmayer, R.; Lemell, P.; et al. Onset and dose-related efficacy of house dust mite sublingual immunotherapy tablets in an environmental exposure chamber. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 2015, 135, 1494–1501. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

46. Mosbech, H.; Deckelmann, R.; de Blay, F.; Pastorello, E.A.; Trebas-Pietras, E.; Andres, L.P.; Malcus, I.; Ljørring, C.; Canonica, G.W. Standardized quality (SQ) house dust mite sublingual immunotherapy tablet (ALK) reduces inhaled corticosteroid use while maintaining asthma control: A randomized, double-blind, placebo controlled trial. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 2014, 134, 568–575. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

47. Bousquet, J.; Scheinmann, P.; Guinney, M.T.; Perrin-Fayolle, M.; Sauvaget, J.; Tonnel, A.B.; Pauli, G.; Caillaud, D.; Dubost, R.; Leynadier, F.; et al. Sublingual-swallow immunotherapy (SLIT) in patients with asthma due to house-dust mites: A double-blind, placebo-controlled study. Allergy 1999, 54, 249–260. [CrossRef]

48. Wang, L.; Yin, J.; Fadel, R.; Montagut, A.; de Beaumont, O.; Devillier, P. House dust mite sublingual immunotherapy is safe and appears to be effective in moderate, persistent asthma. Allergy 2014, 69, 1181–1188. [CrossRef]

49. Mosbech, H.; Canonica, G.W.; Backer, V.; de Blay, F.; Klimek, L.; Broge, L.; Ljørring, C. SQ house dust mite sublingually administered immunotherapy tablet (ALK) improves allergic rhinitis in patients with house dust mite allergic asthma and rhinitis symptoms. Ann. Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2015, 114, 134–140. [CrossRef]

50. De Blay, F.; Kuna, P.; Prieto, L.; Ginko, T.; Seitzberg, D.; Riis, B.; Canonica, G.W. SQ HDM SLIT-tablet (ALK) in treatment of asthma–post hoc results from a randomised trial. Respir. Med. 2014, 108, 1430–1437. [CrossRef]

51. Eifan, A.O.; Akkoc, T.; Yıldız, A.; Keles, S.; Ozdemir, C.; Bahceciler, N.N.; Barlan, I.B. Clinical efficacy and immunological mechanisms of sublingual and subcutaneous immunotherapy in asthmatic/rhinitis children sensitized to house dust mite: An open randomized controlled trial. Clin. Exp. Allergy 2010, 40, 922–932. [CrossRef]

52. Keles, S.; Karakoc-Aydiner, E.; Özen, A.; Işı, A.G.; Tevetoglu, A.; Akkoc, T.; Bahceciler, N.N.; Barlan, I. A novel approach in allergen-specific immunotherapy: Combination of sublingual and subcutaneous routes. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 2011, 128, 808–815. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

53. Yukselen, A.; Kendirli, S.G.; Yılmaz, M.; Altintas, D.U.; Karacaoğlu, G.B. Effect of one-year subcutaneous and sublingual immunotherapy on clinical and laboratory parameters in children with rhinitis and asthma: A randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind, double-dummy study. Int. Arch. Allergy Immunol. 2012, 157, 288–298. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

54. Bufe, A.; Eberle, P.; Franke-Beckmann, E.; Funck, J.; Kimmig, M.; Klimek, L.; Knecht, R.; Stephan, V.; Tholstrup, B.; Weisshaar, C.; et al. Safety and efficacy in children of an SQ-standardized grass antigen tablet for sublingual immunotherapy. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 2009, 123, 167–173. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

55. Rolinck-Werninghaus, C.; Wolf, H.; Liebke, C.; Baars, J.C.; Lange, J.; Kopp, M.V.; Hammermann, J.; Leupold, W.; Bartels, P.; Gruebl, A.; et al. A prospective, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled multi-centre study on the efficacy and safety of sublingual immunotherapy (SLIT) in children with seasonal allergic rhinoconjunctivitis to grass pollen. Allergy 2004, 59, 1285–1293. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

56. Steimlach, I.; Kaczmarek-Woźniak, J.; Majak, P.; Osłowski-Chlebna, M.; Jerzyńska, A. Efficacy and safety of high-doses sublingual immunotherapy in ultra-rush scheme in children allergic to grass pollen. Clin. Exp. Allergy 2009, 39, 401–408. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

57. Devillier, P.; Molimard, M.; Ansolabehere, X.; Bardoulat, I.; Coulombel, N.; Maurel, F.; Le Jeunne, P.; Demoly, P. Immunotherapy with grass pollen tablets reduces medication dispensing for allergic rhinitis and asthma: A retrospective database study in France. Allergy 2019, 74, 1317–1326. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

58. Vourdas, D.; Syrigou, E.; Potamianou, P.; Carat, F.; Batard, T.; André, C.; Papageorgiou, P.S. Double-blind placebo-controlled evaluation of sublingual-swallow immunotherapy with standardized olive pollen extract in pediatric patients with allergic rhinoconjunctivitis and mild asthma due a olive pollen sensitization. Allergy 1998, 53, 662–672. [CrossRef]

59. Pajno, G.; Passalacqua, G.; Vita, D.; Permanni, S.; Barberio, G. Sublingual immunotherapy abrogates seasonal bronchial hyperresponsiveness in children with Parietaria-induced respiratory allergy: A randomized controlled trial. Allergy 2004, 59, 883–887. [CrossRef]
60. Biedermann, T.; Kuna, P.; Panzner, P.; Valovirta, E.; Andersson, M.; de Blay, F.; Thrane, D.; Jacobsen, S.H.; Stage, B.S.; Winther, L. The SQ tree SLIT-tablet is highly effective and well tolerated: Results from a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase III trial. *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* 2019, 143, 1058–1066. [CrossRef]

61. Nolte, H.; Bernstein, D.I.; Nelson, H.S.; Ellis, A.K.; Kleine-Tebbe, J.; Lu, S. Efficacy and safety of ragweed slit-tablet in children with allergic rhinoconjunctivitis in a randomized, placebo-controlled trial. *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. Pract.* 2020, 8, 2322–2331.e5. [CrossRef]

62. Bousquet, P.J.; Chinn, S.; Janson, C.; Kogevinas, M.; Burney, P.; Jarvis, D. Geographical variation in the prevalence of positive skin tests to environmental aeroallergens in the European Community Respiratory Health Survey. I. *Allergy* 2007, 62, 301–309. [CrossRef]

63. D’Amato, G.; Chatzigeorgiou, G.; Corsico, R.; Gioulekas, D.; Jäger, L.; Jäger, S.; Kontou-Fili, K.; Kouridakis, S.; Liccardi, G.; Meriggi, A.; et al. Evaluation of the prevalence of skin prick test positivity to Alternaria and Cladosporium in patients with suspected respiratory allergy. A European multicenter study promoted by the Subcommittee on Aerobiology and Environmental Aspects of Inhalant Allergens of the European Academy of Allergology and Clinical Immunology. *Allergy* 1997, 52, 711–716. [PubMed]

64. Larenas-Linnemann, D.; Baxi, S.; Phipatanakul, W.; Portnoy, J.M. Environmental Allergens Workgroup. Clinical evaluation and management of patients with suspected fungus sensitivity. *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. Pract.* 2016, 4, 405–414. [CrossRef]

65. Di Bona, D.; Frisenda, F.; Albanesi, M.; Di Lorenzo, G.; Caiaffa, M.F.; Macchia, L. Efficacy and safety of allergen immunotherapy in patients with allergy to molds: A systematic review. *Clin. Exp. Allergy* 2018, 48, 1391–1401. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

66. Cortellini, G.; Spadolini, I.; Patella, V.; Fabbrì, E.; Santucci, A.; Severino, M.; Corvetta, A.; Canonica, G.W.; Passalacqua, G. Sublingual immunotherapy for Alternaria induced allergic rhinitis: A randomized placebo-controlled trial. *Ann. Allergy Asthma Immunol.* 2010, 105, 382–386. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

67. Pfaar, O.; Bachert, C.; Bufe, A.; Buhl, R.; Ebner, C.; Eng, P.; Friedrichs, F.; Fuchs, T.; Hamelmann, E.; Hartwig-Bade, D.; et al. Guideline on allergen-specific immunotherapy in IgE-mediated allergic diseases: S2k Guideline of the German Society for Allergology and Clinical Immunology (DGAKI), the Society for Pediatric Allergy and Environmental Medicine (GPA), the Medical Association of German Allergologists (AeDA), the Austrian Society for Allergy and Immunology (ÖGAI), the Swiss Society for Allergy and Immunology (SGAI), the German Society of Dermatology (DDG), the German Society of Oto-Rhino-Laryngology, Head and Neck Surgery (DGHNO-KHC), the German Society of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine (DGKJ), the Society for Pediatric Pneumology (GPP), the German Respiratory Society (DGP), the German Association of ENT Surgeons (BV-HNO), the Professional Federation of Paediatricians and Youth Doctors (BVJK), the Federal Association of Pulmonologists (BDP) and the German Dermatologists Association (BVDD). *Allergo J. Int.* 2014, 23, 282–319. [PubMed]

68. Alvarez-Cuesta, E.; Berges Gimeno, P.; Mancebo, E.G.; Fernandez-Caldas, E.; Cuesta-Herranz, J.; Casanovas, M. Sublingual immunotherapy with a standardized cat dander extract: Evaluation of efficacy in a double blind placebo controlled study. *Allergy* 2007, 62, 810–817. [CrossRef]

69. Alvaro-Lozano, M.; Akdis, C.A.; Akdis, M.; Alviani, C.; Angier, E.; Arasi, S.; Arzt-Gradwohl, L.; Barber, D.; Bazire, R.; Cavkaytar, O.; et al. Allergen Immunotherapy in Children User’s Guide. *Pediatr. Allergy Immunol.* 2020, 31 (Suppl. 25), 1–101. [CrossRef]

70. Roberts, G.; Xatzipsalti, M.; Borrego, L.M.; Custovic, A.; Halken, S.; Hellings, P.W.; Papadopoulos, N.G.; Rotiroti, G.; Scadding, G.; Timmermans, F.; et al. Paediatric rhinitis: Position paper of the European Academy of Allergy and Clinical Immunology. *Allergy* 2013, 68, 1102–1116. [CrossRef]

71. Burgess, J.A.; Walters, E.H.; Byrnes, G.B.; Matheson, M.C.; Jenkins, M.A.; Wharton, C.L.; Johns, D.P.; Abramson, M.J.; Hopper, J.L.; Dharmage, S.C. Childhood allergic rhinitis predicts asthma incidence and persistence to middle age: A longitudinal study. *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* 2007, 120, 863–869. [CrossRef]

72. Jacobsen, L.; Niggemann, B.; Dreborg, S.; Ferdousi, H.A.; Halken, S.; Hartwig, A.; Koivikko, A.; Norberg, L.A.; Valovirta, E.; Wahn, U.; et al. Specific immunotherapy has long-term preventive effect of seasonal and perennial asthma: 10-year follow up on the PAT study. *Allergy* 2007, 62, 943–948. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

73. Novembre, E.; Galli, E.; Landi, F.; Caffarelli, C.; Pifferi, M.; De marco, E.; Burastero, S.E.; Calori, G.; Benetti, L.; Bonazza, P.; et al. Coseasonal sublingual immunotherapy reduces the development of asthma in children with allergic rhinoconjunctivitis. *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* 2004, 114, 851–857. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
74. Marogna, M.; Tomassetti, D.; Bernasconi, A.; Colombo, F.; Massolo, A.; Di Rienzo Businco, A.; Canonica, G.W.; Passalacqua, G.; Tripodi, S. Preventive effects of sublingual immunotherapy in childhood: An open randomized controlled study. *Ann. Allergy Asthma Immunol.* 2008, 101, 206–211. [CrossRef]

75. Valovirta, E.; Petersen, T.H.; Piotrowska, T.; Laur森, M.K.; Andersen, J.S.; Sorensen, H.F.; Klink, R.; GAP Investigators. Results from the 5-year SQ grass sublingual immunotherapy tablet asthma prevention (GAP) trial in children with grass pollen allergy. *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* 2018, 141, 529–538. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

76. Zielen, S.; Devillier, P.; Heinrich, J.; Richter, H.; Wahn, U. Sublingual immunotherapy provides long-term relief in allergic rhinitis and reduces the risk of asthma: A retrospective, real-word database analysis. *Allergy* 2018, 73, 165–177. [CrossRef]

77. Kristiansen, M.; Dhami, S.; Netuveli, G.; Halken, S.; Muraro, A.; Larenas-Linnemann, D.; Calderon, M.A.; Penagos, M.; Du Toit, G.; et al. Allergen immunotherapy for the prevention of allergy: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Pediatr. Allergy Immunol.* 2017, 28, 18–29. [CrossRef]

78. Rienzo, V.D.; Minelli, M.; Musarra, A.; Sambugaro, R.; Pecora, S.; Canonica, W.G.; Passalacqua, G. Post-marketing survey on the safety of sublingual immunotherapy in children below the age of 5 years in the post-exp. *Allergy* 2005, 60, 133. [CrossRef]

79. Rodriguez Del Rio, P.; Vidal, C.; Just, J.; Tabar, A.I.; Sanchez-Machín, I.; Eberle, P.; Borja, J.; Bubel, P.; Pfaar, O.; Demoly, P.; et al. The European Survey on Adverse Systemic Reactions in Allergen Immunotherapy (EASSI): A paediatric assessment. *Pediatr. Allergy Immunol.* 2017, 28, 60–70. [CrossRef]

80. Passalacqua, G.; Nowak-Wegrzyn, A.; Canonica, G.W. Local side effects of sublingual and oral immunotherapy. *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. Pract.* 2017, 5, 13–21. [CrossRef]

81. Agostinis, F.; Tellarini, L.; Canonica, G.W.; Falagiani, P.; Passalacqua, G. Safety of sublingual immunotherapy with a monomeric allergoid in very young children. *Allergy* 2005, 60, 133. [CrossRef]

82. Fiocchi, A.; Pajno, G.; La Grutta, S.; Pezzuto, F.; Incorvaia, C.; Sensi, L.; Marcucci, F.; Frati, F. Safety of sublingual-swallow immunotherapy in children aged 3 to 7 years. *Ann. Allergy Asthma Immunol.* 2005, 95, 254–258. [CrossRef]

83. Passalacqua, G.; Guerra, L.; Pasquali, M.; Lombardi, C.; Canonica, G.W. Efficacy and safety of sublingual immunotherapy. *Ann. Allergy Asthma Immunol.* 2004, 93, 3–12. [CrossRef]

84. Kleine-Tebbe, J.; Ribel, M.; Herold, D.A. Safety of a SQ-standardised grass allergen tablet for sublingual immunotherapy: A randomized, placebo-controlled trial. *Allergy* 2006, 61, 181–184. [CrossRef]

85. Calderon, M.A.; Simons, F.E.R.; Malling, H.J.; Lockey, R.F.; Moingeon, P.; Demoly, P.; et al. Sublingual allergen immunotherapy: Mode of action and its relationship with the safety profile. *Allergy* 2012, 67, 302–311. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

86. Duric-Filipovic, I.; Caminati, M.; Kostic, G.; Filipovic, D.; Zivkovic, Z. Allergen specific sublingual immunotherapy in children with asthma and allergic rhinitis. *World J. Pediatr.* 2016, 12, 283–290. [CrossRef]

87. Pitsios, C.; Demoly, P.; Bilo, M.B.; Gerth van Wijk, R.; Pfaar, O.; Sturm, G.J.; Rodriguez del Rio, P.; Tsoumani, M.; Gawlik, R.; Faraskevopoulos, G.; et al. Clinical contraindications to allergen immunotherapy: An EAACI position paper. *Allergy* 2015, 70, 897–909. [CrossRef]

88. Reid, M.J.; Lockey, R.F.; Turkeltaub, P.C.; Platts-Mills, T.A. Survey of fatalities from skin testing and immunotherapy 1985–1989. *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* 1993, 92, 6–15. [CrossRef]

89. Normansell, R.; Kew, K.M.; Bridgman, A.L. Sublingual immunotherapy for asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* 2015, 8, CD011293. [CrossRef]

90. Cipriani, F.; Mastrorilli, C.; Tripodi, S.; Ricci, G.; Perna, S.; Panetta, V.; Asero, R.; Dondi, A.; Bianchi, A.; Maiello, N.; et al. Diagnostic relevance of IgE sensitization profiles to eight recombinant Phleum pratense molecules. *Allergy* 2018, 73, 673–682. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

91. Marogna, M.; Tomassetti, D.; Bernasconi, A.; Colombo, F.; Massolo, A.; Di Rienzo Businco, A.; Canonica, G.W.; Passalacqua, G.; Tripodi, S. Preventive effects of sublingual immunotherapy in childhood: An open randomized controlled study. *Ann. Allergy Asthma Immunol.* 2008, 101, 206–211. [CrossRef]

92. Valovirta, E.; Petersen, T.H.; Piotrowska, T.; Laur森, M.K.; Andersen, J.S.; Sorensen, H.F.; Klink, R.; GAP Investigators. Results from the 5-year SQ grass sublingual immunotherapy tablet asthma prevention (GAP) trial in children with grass pollen allergy. *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* 2018, 141, 529–538. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

93. Zielen, S.; Devillier, P.; Heinrich, J.; Richter, H.; Wahn, U. Sublingual immunotherapy provides long-term relief in allergic rhinitis and reduces the risk of asthma: A retrospective, real-word database analysis. *Allergy* 2018, 73, 165–177. [CrossRef]
94. Cardinale, F.; Ciprandi, G.; Barberi, R.; Bernardini, R.; Caffarelli, C.; Calvani, M.; Cavagni, C.; Galli, E.; Minasi, D.; del Giudice, M.M.; et al. Consensus statement of the Italian society of pediatric allergy and immunology for the pragmatic management of children and adolescents with allergic or immunological diseases during the COVID-19 pandemic. Ital. J. Pediatr. 2020, 46, 84. [CrossRef]

95. Halken, S.; Larenas-Linnemann, D.; Roberts, G.; Moises, A.; Calderón, M.A.; Angier, E.; Pfaar, O.; Ryan, D.; Agache, I.; Ansotegui, I.J.; et al. EAACI guidelines on allergen immunotherapy: Prevention of allergy. Pediatr. Allergy Immunol. 2017, 28, 728–745. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

Publisher’s Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.