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Crucial role of 4-deoxy-L-erythro-5-hexoseulose uronate reductase for alginate utilization revealed by adaptive evolution in engineered *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*

Fumiya Matsuoka¹, Makoto Hirayama¹, Takayuki Kashihara¹, Hideki Tanaka¹, Wataru Hashimoto¹, Kousaku Murata² & Shigeyuki Kawai¹

In brown macroalgae, alginate and D-mannitol are promising carbohydrates for biorefinery. *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* is widely used as a microbial cell factory, but this budding yeast is unable to utilize either alginate or D-mannitol. Alginate can be depolymerized by both endo-type and exo-type alginate lyases, yielding a monouronate, 4-deoxy-L-erythro-5-hexoseulose uronate (DEH), a key intermediate in the metabolism of alginate. Here, we constructed engineered two *S. cerevisiae* strains that are able to utilize both DEH and D-mannitol on two different strain backgrounds, and we also improved their aerobic growth in a DEH liquid medium through adaptive evolution. In both evolved strains, one of the causal mutations was surprisingly identical, a c.50A > G mutation in the codon-optimized NAD(P)H-dependent DEH reductase gene, one of the 4 genes introduced to confer the capacity to utilize DEH. This mutation resulted in an E17G substitution at a loop structure near the coenzyme-binding site of this reductase, and enhanced the reductase activity and aerobic growth in both evolved strains. Thus, the crucial role for this reductase reaction in the metabolism of DEH in the engineered *S. cerevisiae* is demonstrated, and this finding provides significant information for synthetic construction of a *S. cerevisiae* strain as a platform for alginate utilization.

Brown macroalgae, containing the major carbohydrates alginate, D-mannitol, and laminarin, are promising carbon sources for production of biofuels and chemicals. Alginate contains polyuronic acids, including the M-block (mannuronic acid residues), the G-block (guluronic acid residues), and the MG-block (alternating mannnuronic acid and guluronic acid residues). Alginate comprises 13–20% of the fronds and 20–25% of the stipes in *Laminaria saccharina* and 27–34% in *Sargassum horneri*. D-Mannitol (mannitol), a sugar alcohol corresponding to D-mannose, is oxidized to D-fructose by mannitol-2-dehydrogenase, generating NADH. Mannitol comprises 9–23% of the fronds and 6–12% of the stipes in *L. saccharina*. Laminarin, a linear β-1,3-glucan (a polymer of glucose), comprises 1–21% of the fronds and 1–18% of the whole *L. saccharina*.

*Saccharomyces cerevisiae* is widely used as a microbial cell factory due to its genetic accessibility, robustness, high tolerance to both ethanol and inhibitory compounds under process conditions, and the considerable basic knowledge about this organism. Although *S. cerevisiae* is easily able to ferment glucose, a component of laminarin, this organism is unable to utilize either alginate or mannitol. Thus, it is challenging to use *S. cerevisiae* as a brown macroalgal-based biorefinery.

The metabolism of alginate is well characterized in a bacterium, *Sphingomonas* sp. A1, which is naturally able to utilize alginate (Fig. 1). In *Sphingomonas* sp. A1, alginate is transported into the cell via a specific ABC-transporter, depolymerized by endo-type alginate lyases (A1-I, A1-II, A1-III) to oligoalginate, followed by degradation by exo-type alginate lyase, yielding an unsaturated uronate that is further non-enzymatically...
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converted to 4-deoxy-D-erythro-5-hexoseulose uronate (DEH). NAD(P)H-dependent DEH reductases (A1-R and A1-R') convert DEH to 2-keto-3-deoxy-D-gluconate (KDG), and KDG kinase (A1-K) phosphorylates KDG to 2-keto-3-deoxy-phosphogluconate (KDPG). KDPG aldolase (A1-A) cleaves KDPG to both pyruvate and glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate (GAP), and the latter is further metabolized to pyruvate.1,4–11. *Sphingomonas* sp. A1 was engineered to produce a maximum of 1.3 g of ethanol after 3 days in 100 mL medium containing 5 g sodium alginate with a feeding of 1 g of sodium alginate after 2 days12. This production was the first successful demonstration of the ability to generate valuable compounds from alginate. *Escherichia coli* is naturally unable to utilize alginate, although the genes for both KDG kinase and KDPG aldolase exist in *E. coli* genomic DNA as *kdgK* and *eda*13. *E. coli* is able to utilize mannitol and was engineered to produce ethanol from alginate, producing 20 g/L of ethanol from 50 g/L of a sugar mixture (alginate, mannitol, and glucose at a ratio of 5:8:1) and 35–41 g/L of ethanol in 1 L of medium containing 130 g dry milled brown macroalgae (*L. japonica*, kombu)13,14. Recently, it was reported that engineered *E. coli* produced L-lysine (43.3 mg/L) from oligoalginate depolymerized by alginate lyase AlyB15.

Based on the metabolism of alginate by *Sphingomonas* sp. A1, we began studies in 2012 to enable *S. cerevisiae* to utilize DEH to construct a yeast platform utilizing alginate. During our efforts, Enquist–Newman et al. succeeded for the first time in creating metabolically engineered *S. cerevisiae* that utilized DEH16. They identified a novel gene for the DEH transporter from an alginolytic eukaryote *Asteromyces cruciatus* and introduced the codon-optimized 4 genes required for metabolism of DEH into the genomic DNA of one *S. cerevisiae* strain. The 4 genes included the DEH transporter (Ac_DHT1), *Vibrio harvey* NAD(P)H-dependent DEH reductase (VhDehR), *E. coli* KdgK, and *Vibrio splendidus* KDPG aldolase (VsEda) (Fig. 1)16. Moreover, Enquist–Newman et al. identified the 2 genes needed for the metabolism of mannitol, including mannitol-2-dehydrogenase [YEL070W (Dsfl or Man1) or YNR073C (Man2)] that oxidizes mannitol to D-fructose, and the putative MFS transporter [YEL069C (Hxt13) or YNR072W (Hxt17)]. These genes were identified using microarray analysis of the *S. cerevisiae* that had been “induced to grow efficiently in mannitol medium”10 through an unknown mechanism. Enquist–Newman et al. introduced the 2 genes (MAN2 and HXT17) into the genomic DNA of *S. cerevisiae* to artificially overexpress them. Thus, they succeeded in establishing a yeast strain that utilized mannitol, and they developed a yeast that is able to utilize both DEH and mannitol15. Recently, the mannitol-2-dehydrogenase activity of Man2 and Dsfl and the mannitol transport activity of Hxt17 and Hxt13 were demonstrated17, and thus, we regard Hxt17/Hxt13 as a mannitol transporter. It should be emphasized that the aerobic growth efficiency of the engineered *S. cerevisiae* in a liquid medium containing DEH as a sole carbon source (the DEH medium) was improved through adaptive evolution; the initial doubling times of 16–64 h were reduced to 4–5 h after 100–150 generations18. Furthermore, a second adaptation improved the growth in a medium containing DEH plus mannitol under anaerobic conditions, resulting in the BAL3215 strain that produced 36.2 g/L of ethanol from 98 g/L of sugar (1:2 molar ratio of DEH/mannitol)16.

We recently reported that *S. cerevisiae* cells on a BY4742 background acquired the ability to utilize mannitol through spontaneous mutations in the genes for Tup1-Cyc8 corepressor, and the MK4416 strain carrying the cyc8Δ1139–1164 allele exhibited the best ethanol productivity and salt-tolerance19. Thus, a substitution of the cyc8Δ1139–1164 allele with native CYC8 is an alternative method for enabling *S. cerevisiae* to utilize mannitol. At this time, it is not clear if this method or an artificial overexpression of MAN2/HXT17 is more advantageous. Considering the potential importance of a brown macroalgae-based biorefinery using *S. cerevisiae*, construction of an engineered *S. cerevisiae* strain that utilizes DEH and mannitol at rates comparable to glucose, is indispensable. To achieve this, it is also crucial to understand the regulatory mechanisms in the metabolism of both DEH and mannitol as well as the mechanisms underlying the adaptations.

In this study, we initially evaluated which method was best to create a *S. cerevisiae* strain able to utilize mannitol. We successfully constructed engineered *S. cerevisiae* strains that were able to utilize both DEH and mannitol on two strain backgrounds (BY4742 and D452-2), and improved aerobic growth in DEH medium through adaptive evolution. We also identified one of the causal mutations that was unexpectedly the same in both evolved strains, a c.50A > G mutation in the gene for NAD(P)H-dependent DEH reductase (A1-R'). This mutation causes an E17G substitution at a loop structure that affected the coenzyme requirement19, and enhanced both the reductase activity and growth in DEH medium of both evolved strains.
Enabling *S. cerevisiae* to utilize mannitol. *S. cerevisiae* wild type strains are unable to utilize mannitol, although this organism carries the gene (*DSF1*, *MAN2*) for mannitol-2-dehydrogenase\(^\text{16–18, 20–23}\). However, two reports using different methods have recently succeeded in getting *S. cerevisiae* to utilize mannitol: (i) artificial overexpression of the genes (*DSF1* and *HXT17*) in BY4742 (MK5580, circle) and D452-2 (MK5583, triangle) that carry the *cyc8Δ1139–1164* allele. Cells were grown as described\(^\text{18}\). Briefly, cells from a glycerol stock were grown for approximately 3 days on YPGA solid medium, suspended in SDW, and inoculated into 50 ml of SC medium in a 100 ml Erlenmeyer flask at an *A\text{600}* of 0.1. Cells were precultured for 24 h at 95 rpm, washed once with SDW, resuspended in SDW, inoculated into 50 ml of S10C and into 50 ml of S10M in a 100 ml Erlenmeyer flask at an *A\text{600}* of 0.1, and further cultivated at 95 rpm as shown. Average and standard deviation (SD) are shown (n = 3).

To determine which method is better, we conferred the ability to utilize mannitol on two *S. cerevisiae* host strains (BY4742 and D452-2 strains) using the two methods described in Methods; (i) artificial overexpression of the genes for mannitol-2-dehydrogenase (*Dsfl* or *Man2*) and for the mannitol transporter (*Hxt13* or *Hxt17*), and (ii) replacement of the *cyc8Δ1139–1164* allele with native *CYC8*. We compared the ethanol productivity and growth of these 4 strains in S10C and in S10M media, which are the synthetic media containing 10% (w/v) glucose (S10C) and 10% (w/v) mannitol (S10M), respectively (Fig. 2). We found that the overexpression of *DSF1* and *HXT17* gave better results than replacing the *cyc8Δ1139–1164* allele with *CYC8*, except in the case of ethanol.
productivity in S10M. Moreover, we demonstrated that the activity of A1-R’ was not detected in the cell extract of the MK4416 strain (BY4742 cyc8Δ1139–1164) carrying pMK5090 (yopt_A1-R’ in a plasmid pRS423), suggesting that the expression of yopt_A1-R’ was severely impaired probably due to the cyc8Δ1139–1164 allele. Based on these data, we decided to overexpress DSF1 and HXT17.

Genetic engineering in *S. cerevisiae* for DEH utilization. Enquist–Newman et al. reported that the 4 genes for *A. cruciatus* DEH transporter (Ac_DHT1), *V. harveyi* NAD(P)H-dependent DEH reductase (VhDehR), *E. coli* KdgK, and *V. splendidus* KDPG aldolase (VsEda) are needed for *S. cerevisiae* to utilize DEH (Fig. 1)16. Enquist–Newman et al. optimized the codons of the 4 genes for *S. cerevisiae* and introduced each of the 4 genes flanked by a promoter and terminator into the genomic DNA of *S. cerevisiae*16. In order to generate *S. cerevisiae* with the ability to utilize DEH, we used the gene for the Ac_DHT116, and we independently chose the genes for *Sphingomonas* sp. A1 NAD(P)H-dependent DEH reductase (A1-R16), *E. coli* KdgK24, and *E. coli* KDPG aldolase (Eda)29 (Fig. 1). Yopt_kdgK, yopt_eda, yopt_A1-R’, and yopt_DHT1 are the genes with optimized codons for *S. cerevisiae*30, and correspond to E. coli kdgK28, E. coli eda29, *Sphingomonas* sp. A1 A1-R29, and *A. cruciatus* DHT116. We synthesized yopt_kdgK, yopt_eda, and yopt_A1-R’, together with a promoter and terminator, and yopt_DHT1 alone (Supplementary Figure S1). As described in the Supplementary Methods, yopt_kdgK (TEF1p-yopt_kdgK-CYC1t) and yopt_eda (TEF1p-yopt_eda-CYC1t) were introduced into a putative pseudogene (YJL219w) site in the genomic DNA of both MK5315 (BY4742 ade2Δ0 trp1Δ63) and D452-2, creating MK5524 and MK5517. Extracts of the resultant cells (MK5524 and MK5517) produced pyruvate (5.4 and 5.2 mM) from KDG, indicating that the introduced genes were functionally expressed. Then, yopt_A1-R’ (TEF1p-yopt_A1-R’-CYC1t) and yopt_DHT1 (TDH3p-yopt_DHT1-TDH3t) were integrated into a putative pseudogene (YOL153C) site in the genomic DNA of the above-mentioned MK5524 (BY4742 background) and MK5517 (D452-2 background), yielding MK5591 and MK5590. Extracts of the resultant cells (MK5591 and MK5590) showed DEH reductase activity (approximately 0.25 and 0.75 U/mg) and again produced pyruvate (0.36 and 0.10 mM) from DEH, demonstrating that the introduced genes were functionally expressed. Finally, DSF1 (TDH3p-DSF1-TDH3t) and HXT17 (ADH1p-HXT17-ADH1t) were introduced into a putative pseudogene (YIL222w-Δ) site in the genomic DNA of the above-mentioned MK5591 (BY4742 background) and also to the site around *TDH3* in MK5590 (D452-2 background), resulting in MK5622 (BY_DEH+, BY4742 background) and MK5609 (D_DEH+, D452-2 background). Growth and ethanol production of the resultant BY_DEH+ and D_DEH+ strains in different media, including YP10C, YP10M, SC, and SM, were confirmed.

### Table 1. Adaptive evolution in DEH medium *a*. The MK5622 (BY_DEH+) and MK5609 (D_DEH+) strains evolved to the MK5719 (BY_DEH++) and to the MK5717 (D_DEH++) strains, respectively, in DEH liquid medium aerobically. All doubling times were determined using optimized HC-LN liquid medium.

| Strain          | Background | Doubling time (h) | μ (h⁻¹) | Generation | Time of subculture |
|-----------------|------------|-------------------|---------|------------|--------------------|
| MK5622          | BY_DEH+    | BY4742            | 25      | 0.028      | —                  |
| MK5719          | BY_DEH++   | BY4742            | 10      | 0.069      | 160                |
| MK5609          | D_DEH+     | D452-2            | 36      | 0.019      | —                  |
| MK5717          | D_DEH++    | D452-2            | 11      | 0.062      | 141                |

#### Adaptive evolution of both BY_DEH+ and D_DEH+ in liquid DEH media. During the initial cultivation for 14 days, the MK5622 (BY_DEH+) and MK5609 (D_DEH+) strains reached an *A*₉₀₀ of approximately 1.4 from a start of *A*₉₀₀ 0.05 in a synthetic liquid medium containing DEH as a sole carbon source (initially, LC-LCA medium), while the parental strains (D452-2 and MK5315 [BY4742 ade2Δ trp1Δ63]) showed little growth reaching approximately *A*₉₀₀ 0.2 from a start of *A*₉₀₀ 0.05 after 14 days of cultivation, suggesting that the BY_DEH+ and D_DEH+ strains acquired a small ability to utilize DEH. The doubling times of the BY_DEH+ and D_DEH+ strains in the DEH (LC-LCA) liquid medium were calculated as 64 and 68 h, respectively. This slow growth was in agreement with that previously reported by Enquist–Newman et al. where engineered *S. cerevisiae* strains exhibited slow growth in DEH medium, and the doubling time of the initial cultivation was 16–64 h16. Enquist–Newman et al. conducted adaptive evolution of these strains in a DEH medium under aerobic conditions in which the concentration of DEH was gradually increased to 17.6–35 g/L from an initial concentration of 8.8 g/L, and the doubling time was reduced to 4–5 h after 100–150 generations16. Thus, we also decided to conduct adaptive evolution of the BY_DEH+ and D_DEH+ strains in the liquid DEH medium aerobically as described in Methods. The DEH medium was finally optimized to the HC-LN medium. In the HC-LN medium, the initial doubling times of the BY_DEH+ and D_DEH+ strains were determined to be 25 and 36 h, respectively (Table 1). The BY_DEH+ and D_DEH+ strains successfully evolved to MK5719 (BY_DEH++) and MK5717 (D_DEH++), and the doubling times after 160 and 141 generations were improved to 10 and 11 h, respectively (Table 1).

#### The DEH+ and DEH++ strains utilize DEH as a non-fermentable carbon source. Both BY_DEH+ and D_DEH++ strains were grown in 1.0 mL DEH medium (HC-LN medium) in test tubes either without shaking (0 strokes per min; spm) or with shaking at 95 and 145 spm. In the cases of both BY_DEH++ and D_DEH++ strains, aeration improved growth and consumption of DEH, but repressed ethanol production (Fig. 3A), suggesting that metabolism of DEH requires respiration with a functional mitochondria. Therefore, we created the ρ° mutants of both DEH+ and DEH++ strains on both backgrounds, in which the mitochondrial
respiration was lost due to a lack of mitochondrial genomic DNA[31]. We then tested the growth of the ρ° mutants and the ρ+ parents on solid media containing either DEH, glucose, or glycerol under aerobic or anaerobic condition (Fig. 3B). Under anaerobic conditions, all of the strains failed to grow on either DEH or glycerol but did

Figure 3. DEH+ and DEH++ strains require mitochondria and oxygen to utilize DEH. (A) Aeration improved growth, but repressed ethanol production. Each strain was cultured in 1.0 mL of liquid DEH medium (HC-LN medium) in a test tube at 0 spm (circles), 95 spm (squares), and 145 spm (triangles) at 30 °C. The growth and concentration of ethanol are shown with closed symbols and those of DEH are shown with open symbols with dashed lines. The average and SD are shown (n = 3). (B) DEH+ and DEH++ strains utilize DEH as a non-fermentable carbon source. Strains were grown either aerobically (+O2) or anaerobically (−O2) for 15 days. Either DEH or glucose was included in the synthetic medium, while glycerol was in the YP medium.
grow on glucose (fermentable carbon source). Under aerobic conditions, the \( \rho^{-} \) mutants were unable to grow but the \( \rho^{+} \) parents were able to grow on either DEH or glycerol. Both \( \rho^{+} \) mutants also showed no aerobic growth in liquid DEH medium (data not shown). These data unequivocally indicated that mitochondria and oxygen were essential to metabolize DEH. Both DEH- and DEH++ strains utilized DEH as a non-fermentable carbon source. We also checked the growth of these strains in mannitol-containing medium and demonstrated that both DEH- and DEH++ strains also utilize mannitol as a non-fermentable carbon source, in agreement with our previous results18.

**Mechanism underlying adaptive evolution.** We initially focused on mitochondrial function to determine how DEH+ strains have evolved to DEH++ strains on both backgrounds. We hypothesized that mitochondrial respiratory functions were improved in both DEH++ strains, since utilization of DEH requires mitochondrial function (Fig. 3). Oxygen consumption rates for both DEH++ and DEH+ strains that were grown in SE liquid medium were measured. However, we observed no difference in oxygen consumption rates between the DEH+ strains and DEH++ strains (Supplementary Fig. S2A). We were unable to measure the oxygen consumption rates of the DEH++ strains in DEH medium due to their slow growth.

Next, we focused on the 4 introduced genes: *yopt_kdgK*, *yopt_eda*, *yopt_A1-R’,* and *yopt_DHT1*. We hypothesized that the high up-regulation of transcription of the 4 genes might affect adaptive evolution. However, transcription of the 4 genes was not highly up-regulated in both DEH++ strains grown in DEH medium. In fact, the transcription of *yopt_kdgK* in both DEH++ strains was slightly down-regulated, and the transcription of the other genes was only slightly different (Supplementary Fig. S2B). We also measured the catalytic activities of A1-R’, KdgK, and Eda using cell extracts of the 4 strains grown in DEH medium and found that only the activities of A1-R’ (DEH reductase) were increased in both DEH++ strains (Fig. 4A, Fig. S2C). The nucleotide sequences of *yopt_kdgK*, *yopt_eda*, and *yopt_DHT1* in both DEH++ strains were normal, but a c.50A > G mutation in *yopt_A1-R’* in both DEH++ strains was detected. The *yopt_A1-R’* in both DEH++ strains was confirmed again to lack this mutation. The c.50A > G mutation in *yopt_A1-R’* causes a p.Glu17Gly (E17G) substitution in A1-R’ (Fig. 4B).

The c.50A > G mutation was artificially introduced into *yopt_A1-R’,* creating *yopt_A1-R’*(c.50A > G), to verify whether the E17G substitution enhances DEH reductase activity. A1-R’ and *yopt_A1-R’*(c.50A > G) were overexpressed in *E. coli* as recombinant proteins, purified, and the kinetic values of the purified recombinant proteins (rA1-R’ and rA1-R’_E17G) were determined (Table 2). rA1-R’_E17G is the rA1-R’ in which Glu-17 is substituted with a Gly residue. The saturation curves of the purified enzymes clearly showed that the E17G substitution enhanced the reductase activity (Fig. 4C). The kinetic values showed that the E17G substitution slightly increased the \( K_m \) and \( k_{cat} \) for NADH and the \( k_{cat} \) for DEH, but largely reduced the \( K_m \) for NADPH and increased the \( K_m \) for DEH (Table 2). Furthermore, the *yopt_A1-R’*(c.50A > G) was replaced with *yopt_A1-R’* in the genomic DNA of both DEH++ strains as described in Methods, yielding MK5909 (BY_DEH++_E17G) and MK5906 (D_DEH++_E17G), respectively. The NAD(P)H-dependent DEH reductase activities of cell extracts from both DEH+ _E17G strains were higher than those from both DEH+ strains (Fig. 4A, gray bars vs white bars).

We next investigated whether the enhanced DEH reductase activity due to the E17G substitution improved aerobic growth in the DEH liquid medium. We compared the growth of both DEH+_E17G strains with the growth of both DEH+ and both DEH++ strains (Fig. 4D). In the DEH liquid medium, both DEH+_E17G strains exhibited obvious improved growth over that of both DEH+ strains, and finally reached the same growth as both DEH++ strains at day 3 (Fig. 4D). Delayed growth at either day 1 or 2 of both DEH+ _E17G strains was observed compared with that of both DEH++ strains, thus demonstrating that the enhanced DEH reductase activity due to the c.50A > G mutation in *yopt_A1-R’* was one of the crucial events responsible for adaptive evolution. We propose that the delayed growth of both DEH+ _E17G strains at days 1 or 2 could be attributed to other events rather than this causal mutation.

**Discussion**

This is the second report that succeeded in creating a synthetically engineered *S. cerevisiae* strain capable of utilizing both DEH and mannitol. The first success was reported by Enquist-Newman et al. who integrated the 4 genes needed for DEH metabolism and the 2 genes needed for mannitol metabolism into the genomic DNA of one *S. cerevisiae* strain16. In this study, we also introduced 4 genes for the metabolism of DEH and the 2 genes for the metabolism of mannitol into the genomic DNAs of two *S. cerevisiae* strains (BY4742 and D452–2). It should also be noted that the 2 genes for DEH metabolism (*yopt_A1-R’* and *yopt_eda*) were different from those previously reported16 (Fig. 1). Both Enquist-Newman et al. and our group conducted adaptive evolution in DEH medium and succeeded in obtaining evolved strains with enhanced aerobic growth in DEH medium. Here, we found that DEH and mannitol are utilized as non-fermentable carbon sources by our engineered *S. cerevisiae* and identified, for the first time, one causal mutation, the c50.A > G mutation in *yopt_A1-R’* that enhances the growth in DEH medium after adaptive evolution.

It was surprising that the two DEH+ strains had the same causal mutation in the same gene, *yopt_A1-R’,* during independent adaptive evolution studies. This mutation causes an E17G substitution in A1-R’ and enhanced both NADH- and NADPH-dependent DEH reductase activities (Fig. 4A,C, Supplementary Fig. S2C, Table 2). Notably, based on the crystal structure, Glu-17 in A1-R’ locates at the crucial loop structure of A1-R’ (Fig. 4B)19. The loop structure locates near the ribose moiety in nicotinamide ribose in the bound NAD+ molecule (Fig. 4B) and is one of the two determinants for coenzyme specificity19. Our data here again emphasize the prominent role of the loop structure for the catalytic activity of A1-R. Moreover, this study demonstrates the crucial role of NAD(P)H-dependent DEH reductase reactions for DEH metabolism. The data are important for conferring the ability to utilize DEH on other *S. cerevisiae* strains. However, the substitution did not fully explain the evolution. Both DEH+_E17G strains exhibited delayed growth at day 1 (BY_DEH+_E17G) and at days 1 and 2
Figure 4. The c.50A > G mutation in \textit{yopt}_A1-R' was the causal mutation for enhanced DEH reductase activity and for aerobic growth in DEH medium. (A) Enzymatic activities. Cell extracts were made from DEH+ (white bar), DEH++ (black bar), and DEH+_E17G (gray bar) strains on either the BY4742 or D452-2 background. Activities were assayed as in Methods. *p < 0.05. (B) Crystal structure of A1-R' complexed with NAD\(^+\). The loop structure is shown in pink, and Glu-17 is marked in red. The N-terminal sequence of the primary structure of A1-R' is shown, in which the loop structure (13TGSTE17) is boxed in green. The figure of the structure was prepared with the PyMOL program using the coordinates of the A1-R'/NAD\(^+\) complex (PDB: 4TKM). (C) NADH (circles)- and NADPH (triangles)-dependent specific activities of the purified rA1-R' (open symbols) and rA1-R'_E17G (gray symbols). (D) Growth of the DEH+ (open triangles), DEH++ (closed triangles), and DEH+_E17G (gray triangles) strains on either the BY4742 or D452-2 background. Yeast cells were grown as in Fig. 3A at 145 spm. (A,D) Average and SD are shown (n = 3).
was concentrated to approximately 10 mL with a freeze dryer. High molecular weight compounds, such as pro-
nate [100 mL, 1.0% (w/v) in water]. The mixture was incubated at 30 °C, 100 spm, for 18 h. The resultant solution
A1-I (1.46 mg/mL, 7.81 U/mg) and 1 mL of the purified Atu3025 (2.15 mg/mL, 8.57 U/mg) to the sodium algi-
was filtered through a cellulose acetate filter (pore size, 0.2
surprising connection between Fe-S cluster biogenesis and signaling that facilitates aerobic respiration and anaer-
orob fermentation of xylose has been suggested in these evolutions33. Complete clarification of the mechanism
underlying the adaptive evolution under anaerobic conditions in mannitol plus DEH as well as the regulatory
mechanism in the metabolism of DEH and mannitol will be the next challenge.

Methods

Plasmids, strains, and primers. The genes, yopt_kdgK, yopt_edd, yopt_A1-R’, yopt_DHT1, and yopt_A1-I
that correspond to E. coli kdgK29, E. coli edd29, Spingomonas sp. A1 A1-R’30, A. cruciatus DHT129 (Fig. 1), and
Spingomonas sp. A1 A1-I30, with the codons optimized for S. cerevisiae29, were synthesized (Supplementary
Fig. S1). The plasmids, strains, and primers used in this study are listed in Supplementary Tables S1, S2 and S3.
Details on the construction of the plasmids and the strains are described in Supplementary Methods.

Preparation of DEH. Recombinant Atu3025 was expressed and purified as described35. Recombinant A1-I34
was expressed in MK5632 and purified as described35, but at 16 °C, not at 30 °C. We added 0.5 mL of the purified
A-I (1.46 mg/mL, 7.81 U/mg) and 1 mL of the purified Atu3025 (2.15 mg/mL, 8.57 U/mg) to the sodium alginate
[100 mL, 1.0% (w/v) in water]. The mixture was incubated at 30 °C, 100 spm, for 18 h. The resultant solution
was concentrated to approximately 10 mL with a freeze dryer. High molecular weight compounds, such as pro-
nate [100 mL, 1.0% (w/v) in water]. The mixture was incubated at 30 °C, 100 spm, for 18 h. The resultant solution
was filtered through a cellulose acetate filter (pore size, 0.2μm). The concentration of DEH was determined as described with the molecular coefficient of DEH at A580 (ε = 58,000 M⁻¹cm⁻¹)35.

Medium and growth conditions. Standard yeast media were used18,36. YPD, YPM, and YPG media con-
tained YP (1% yeast extract, 2% tryptone, pH 5.6) with 2% (w/v) glucose, 2% (w/v) mannitol, and 3% (v/v) glycer-
ol, respectively.YPD and YPGA were the YPD and YPG media containing 13 mg/L of adenine. YP10C and
YP10M consisted of YP with 10% (w/v) glucose and 10% (w/v) mannitol. SC, SM, and SE were synthetic media
consisting of 0.67% (w/v) yeast nitrogen base without amino acids (YNB w/o aa) (BD) and complete amino acids/
ucleosides (Clontech) with either 2% (w/v) glucose, 2% (w/v) mannitol, or 2% (w/v) ethanol, respectively. S10C
and S10M were SC and SM media containing either 10% (w/v) glucose or 10% (w/v) mannitol. LC-LCA medium
was the initial DEH medium, and was the screening medium used35, but it contained 0.5 g/L MgSO₄ instead
of 0.5 mg/L MgCl₂, and also included 0.5% (w/v) DEH, 20 mM MES (pH 5.6), 0.69 g/L -Leu DO Supplement
(Clontech, Mountain View, CA), and an amino acid mixture (0.11 g/L Ade, 0.91 g/L Asp, 1.14 g/L Leu, 0.57 g/L
Ile, 1.6 g/L Val, and 0.23 g/L Met). HC-LN medium was the optimized DEH medium consisting of 0.17% YNB,
without amino acids and without ammonium sulfate, 1.0% (w/v) DEH, 20 mM MES (pH 5.6), 0.69 g/L -Leu DO
Supplement, 5 mM (0.66 g/L) Asn, and 200 mg/L geneticin. HC-LN medium was used as a DEH medium, unless
otherwise stated. For the DEH + E17G strains, HC-LN medium without geneticin was used. Medium was solid-
ified with 2% (w/v) agar. Liquid media were used, unless otherwise specified. Cells were stored at −80 °C in
the presence of 17% (v/v) glycerol. Yeast cells were cultivated at 30 °C. A personalit-t-10F (Taipec, Japan) was used to
shake the cultures. Anaerobic growth was conducted using an AnaeroPack (Mitsubishi Gas Chemical).

Adaptation of both MK5609 (D_DEH+) and MK5622 (BY_DEH+) to the DEH medium. MK5609
and MK5622 strains from the glycerol stocks were regrown on YPDA solid medium, inoculated into 1.5 mL
LC-LCA medium, and further cultivated at 30 °C. These strains were cultivated for 2 weeks for the 1–34 and 1–36
generations and for 2–3 days for the 35–141 and 37–160 generations of MK5609 and MK5622, respectively. The
initial A₅₆₀ was 0.05 for both the 1–30 and 1–34 generations. During the 31–141 and 35–160 generations, the cul-
tures were diluted by 1/10 to 1/30-fold in the same fresh medium. LC-LCA medium was used for the 1–11 and
1–12 generations. After 12 and 13 generations of both MK5609 and MK5622, respectively, 200 mg/L geneticin
was added to the medium. DEH was included at 0.5% (w/v) for the 1–67 and 1–75 generations and at 1.0% (w/v)
for the 68–141 and 76–160 generations. The other amino acid mixtures were replaced with 0.66 g/L Asn during
the 68–141 and 76–160 generations. Throughout the adaptive evolutions, the cells were cultured at 145 spm. The
medium was finally optimized to HC-LN medium. Cultures of MK5609 and MK5622 strains that had passed 141

|               | rA1-R’                        | rA1-R’_E17G                   |
|---------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
|               | kₘₐₜ                        | kₘₐₜ                          | kₘₐₜ                 |
|               | s⁻¹                          | μM                            | s⁻¹μM⁻¹               |
| DEP           | 53.5 ± 0.3                    | 794 ± 30                      | 0.0675               |
|               |                               | 118 ± 10                      | 2.354 ± 652          | 0.0501               |
| NADH          | 53.1 ± 0.3                    | 134 ± 1.2                     | 3.97                 |
|               |                               | 80.1 ± 0.1                    | 20.7 ± 0.2           | 3.86                 |
| NADPH         | 344 ± 7                       | 214 ± 10                      | 1.61                 |
|               |                               | 287 ± 14                      | 37.9 ± 11.0          | 7.58                 |

Table 2. Kinetic parameters of the purified rA1-R’ and rA1-R’_E17G. *NADH was used for the assay.
and 160 generations (28 and 30 times of subculture) were streaked on YPGA solid medium to obtain single colonies. The growth of each of the single colonies in HC-LN containing DEH as a sole carbon source was examined, and the strains exhibiting the best growth were selected as MK5719 (BY_DEH+++) and MK5717 (D_DEH+++), respectively.

**Assays.** The concentration of ethanol was assayed, and cell extracts of *S. cerevisiae* were prepared as described. The protein concentration was determined with the Bradford reagent (Sigma-Aldrich). Kinetic parameters were determined by fitting the data to the appropriate Michaelis-Menten equation using KaleidaGraph software (Synergy Software).

DEH reductase (A1-R') activity was continuously monitored by measuring the decrease in A_{450} at 30 °C as described in a reaction mixture (500 μL; 0.15 mM [for assay of DEH reductase activity in yeast cell extracts] or in other 0.2 mM [for purified enzymes] NADPH or NADH, 4.3 mM DEH, and enzyme preparations (either yeast cell extracts [3.0–50 μg], purified A1-R' (68–203 ng), or purified rA1-R'_E17G (33–98 ng)). KdgK activity was measured with the stop method. A reaction mixture (35 μL; 50 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.0, containing 10 mM ATP, 10 mM MgSO_4, 10 mM KDG [Sigma-Aldrich], a partially purified Eda [3.2 μg, 60 U], and *S. cerevisiae* cell extracts [3.5 μg]) was incubated at 30 °C for various times (2.5–5.0 min), boiled for 5 min, and centrifuged at 20,000 g for 10 min. The amount of pyruvate produced in the supernate was determined as described. Eda was over-expressed in ASKA (−) JW1839 (eda) as described, but required a 5.5 h induction with 0.1 mM IPTG at 37 °C, and was partially purified by TALON affinity chromatography as described. For the assay of Eda activity, pyruvate production was continuously monitored in a reaction mixture (500 μL; 300 mM triethanolamine HCl/5 mM EDTA, pH 7.6, 0.15 mM NADH, 0.2 mM KDPG [Sigma-Aldrich], 4.2 U L-lactate dehydrogenase from rabbit muscle, and *S. cerevisiae* cell extracts [5.0–30 μg]) at 30 °C. One unit of each enzyme (A1-R', KdgK, and Eda) was defined as the amount of enzyme required to generate 1 μmol of product per min.

For the assay of pyruvate production from KDG with *S. cerevisiae* cell extracts, the reaction mixture (70 μL) consisted of 10 mM ATP, 10 mM MgSO_4, 50 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.0, *S. cerevisiae* cell extracts (0.25–0.50 mg proteins/mL), and 10 mM KDG. In the case of pyruvate production from DEH, the reaction mixture was the same but included 10 mM DEH plus 10 mM NADPH instead of 10 mM KDG. The reaction mixtures were incubated at 30 °C for 5 min, boiled for 5 min, and centrifuged at 20,000 g for 10 min. The amount of pyruvate produced in the supernate was determined as described. The protein concentration was determined with the Bradford reagent (Sigma-Aldrich) and the strains exhibiting the best growth were selected as MK5719 (BY_DEH+++) and MK5717 (D_DEH+++), 237 generations (28 and 30 times of subculture) were streaked on YPGA solid medium to obtain single colonies. The growth of each of the single colonies in HC-LN containing DEH as a sole carbon source was examined, and the strains exhibiting the best growth were selected as MK5719 (BY_DEH+++) and MK5717 (D_DEH+++), respectively.

**Quantitative PCR.** *S. cerevisiae* strains stored at −80 °C were cultured on YPGA solid medium, suspended in SDW, and inoculated in 10 mL HC-LN medium to reach an *A_600* of 0.05 in a 50 mL Erlenmeyer flask, and cultivated at 145 rpm at 30 °C to reach an *A_600* of 0.53 (MK5609 [D_DEH+], 3d-cultivation), *A_600* 1.01 (MK5622 [BY_DEH+], 3d-cultivation), *A_600* 1.65 (MK5717 [D_DEH+++], 2 d-cultivation), and *A_600* 1.05 (MK5719 [BY_DEH+++] 2 d-cultivation). The concentration of ethanol was assayed, and cell extracts of *S. cerevisiae* were prepared as described. The protein concentration was determined with the Bradford reagent (Sigma-Aldrich) and each genomic DNA as a template. The primers were 23 and 25 for *yopt_A1-R*', primers 22 and 24 for *yopt_kdgK*, and primers 22 and 25 for *yopt_DHT1*. For the assay of pyruvate production from KDG with *S. cerevisiae* cell extracts, the reaction mixture (70 μL) consisted of 10 mM ATP, 10 mM MgSO_4, 50 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.0, *S. cerevisiae* cell extracts (0.25–0.50 mg proteins/mL), and 10 mM KDG. In the case of pyruvate production from DEH, the reaction mixture was the same but included 10 mM DEH plus 10 mM NADPH instead of 10 mM KDG. The reaction mixtures were incubated at 30 °C for 5 min, boiled for 5 min, and centrifuged at 20,000 g for 10 min. The pyruvate concentration in the resultant supernate was determined with an F kit Pyruvate (Nacalai).

**Nucleotide sequences of the introduced 4 genes.** To sequence the nucleotides of the introduced 4 genes in the BY_DEH+, BY_DEH+++, D_DEH+, and D_DEH+++ strains, the genes were amplified with genomic PCR using KOD-Plus Neo (Toyobo, Japan) and each genomic DNA as a template. The primers were 23 and 25 for *yopt_A1-R*', primers 22 and 18 for *yopt_kdgK*, primers 22 and 21 for *yopt_eda*, and primers 24 and 21 for *yopt_DHT1*. For sequencing, the primers were 48 and 49 for *yopt_A1-R*' and *yopt_kdgK*, primer 48 for *yopt_eda*, and primers 28 and 30 for *yopt_DHT1*.

**Purification of both rA1-R' and rA1-R'_E17G.** Recombinant A1-R' (rA1-R') and A1-R'_E17G (rA1-R'_E17G) were expressed in both MK4700 and MK3923 and were purified as reported previously.
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