In silico Analysis of Allium sativum Bioactive Compounds against Effector Protein from Pseudomonas syringae pv. pisi
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Abstract

Allium sativum commonly known as Garlic is a familiar herb, highly studied for its valuable medicinal properties. The main objective involved in the current research is to analyse inhibitor and antibacterial action of bioactive compounds (ligands) present in the methanolic extract of Allium sativum bulbs against phytopathogen protein (receptor) through molecular docking. The effector protein AvrRps4 (4B6X) from phytopathogen Pseudomonas syringae pv. pisi, a protein responsible for Effector triggered immunity (ETI) activation and to subvert host responses in Pea plant was selected as protein target. The docking interactions between opted ligands and target protein, with ampicillin as control was done using PyRx software tool and analysed using Discovery studio 3.1 Visualiser. The outcomes obtained from in silico analysis suggested that the bioactive compound namely Diethyl 3-methyl-5-[(2,2,2-trifluoroacetyl)amino]thiophene-2,4-dicarboxylate bind effectively showing -5.7 binding energy value in comparison with antibiotic ampicillin which showed binding energy -5.8 value. This research study concluded that the bioactive compounds from methanolic extract of Allium sativum bulbs displayed a potential inhibitory activity against effector AvrRps4 protein exhibiting antibacterial properties and may be considered as possible substantial lead molecules in future prospects.
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INTRODUCTION

Plant microbial diseases are threat to plant crops and affect good yield. Pea (Pisum sativum) is a major pulse crop grown in India, belonging to family Leguminocae and is cultivated for dry seeds as well as green pod seeds. The important diseases affecting pea cultivations are caused by nematodes, viruses, bacteria and fungi. Among these, bacterial disease namely bacterial blight, a seed-borne disease, caused by Pseudomonas syringae pv. pisi, occurs to be detrimental.

Pseudomonas syringae is one of the well-studied phytopathogen which is preferred as a model for studying plant-microbe interactions. Success of P. syringae as phytopathogen includes epiphytic survival, plant immunity quelling after infection, accession of the T3SS (type III secretion system) and an essential T3E (T3SS effectors) repository. The type III secretion system are encoded by the genes like hrp (HR and pathogenicity) and hrc (HR and conserved) genes, whereas type III secretion system effectors are encoded by avirulence (avr) and Hrp-dependent outer protein (hop) genes. AvrRps4 (Avirulence gene encoding resistance protein in pseudomonas syringae) is a type III secretion system effector from P. syringae pv. pisi. The amelioration and efficacy of the bacterial survival in the host metabolome is impacted by this effector protein in spite of the defense response exhibited by the host plant in order to annihilate the bacterial phytopathogen. Green technology involving medicinal plant extracts have reported the efficacy of methanolic garlic extracts and garlic essential oils against different agricultural pests. The occurrence of various phytochemicals namely saponins, steroids, terpenes, glycosides, phenols, flavonoids and alkaloids in garlic have been evaluated.

Green technology applications in agriculture can be enhanced through Computer-aided drug discovery/design (CADD). Computational approaches help in exploring studies in molecular phytopathology by gathering information about sequences of genome, revealing 3-D structures of biomolecules etc. and play an important role in the development of antimicrobial agro-products against phytopathogens.

Molecular docking has been shown instrumental function in the logical design of drugs. It is one of the techniques which indicates the favoured direction of a particle to the other and helps in forming a stable and well-constructed complex in the branch of molecular modeling. The current study aims to determine the phyto compounds existing in the bulb extracts of Allium sativum by OHRLCMS analysis and to analyse promising prime bioactive compounds of Allium sativum bulb extracts against chosen AvrRps4 protein by conducting molecular docking experiments.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant materials

Healthy, mature and fresh bulbs of Allium sativum (Bhima variety) were collected from Indian Council of Agricultural Research-Directorate of Onion and Garlic Research (ICAR – DOGR) Pune, India.

Preparation of extract

The garlic bulbs were chopped into tiny pieces, dried and powdered. About 40g of the garlic powder was weighed and extracted with 120ml of 70% methanol using Soxhlet apparatus. The Soxhlet extraction was carried out until 72 h. After extraction, the evaporation of the obtained solvent was done using a rotary evaporator. The obtained methanolic extract was stored at 4°C for future use.

OHR-LCMS analysis of the extracts

The High resolution Orbitrap liquid chromatography mass spectrometer (OHR-LCMS) analysis of the extract was done at Sophisticated Analytical Instrument Facility (SAIF), IIT Bombay. OHR-LCMS analysis was performed using the instrument Q-Exactive Plus Biopharma. Thermo
Scientific Data Acquisition Software used for the analysis involved Thermo Scientific Xcalibur, Version 4.2.28.14 and Data Processing Software used was Compound Discoverer 2.1 SP1 and Column details included Hypersil Gold 3 micron 100 x 2.1 MM (Thermo Scientific) with Solvent A: 0.1% formic acid in Milli-Q water and Solvent B: Methanol.

**Interpretation of phytocompounds**

For identification of mass spectrum of OHR-LCMS, phytocompounds comparison was done with the known compounds of the spectrum using the mzCloud – Advanced Mass Spectral Database. The determination of name, molecular weight, and structure of the phytocompounds was done.13

**Ligand generation**

The selection of ligands were done based upon the ‘Lipinski’s rule of five’ and ADMET (Absorption, distribution, metabolism, excretion, and toxicity) studies. Structures of compounds present in *A. sativum* methanol bulb extract were obtained from PUBCHEM compounds database (https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/). The same was applied for prediction of properties of ligands namely hydrogen donors, acceptors, logP value and molecular weight. The ligands were checked for drug-probabilities corresponding to Lipinski’s rule of five specifications namely molecular weight, log P, and many hydrogen bond donors and some hydrogen bond acceptors and the 2D structures were subject to ADMET analysis for antitoxicity properties using Data Warrior.14 The structures were replaced in SDF format and were converted into PDB format utilising PyMol.15

**Retrieval and preparation of the selected protein**

AvrRps4 (4B6X) protein which has a vital role in Effector triggered immunity (ETI) was targeted for its binding with the phytocompounds extracted from *Allium sativum* bulb methanolic extracts. The 3D structure of the AvrRps4 (4B6X) protein was obtained from Protein Data Bank (PDB) with PDB ID 4B6X_1. (https://www.rcsb.org/structure/4b6x).16

The water molecules in protein structure were removed and obtained PDB format was saved in order to get further protein validation. The structure was then improvised by allocating the

---

Fig. 1. OHRLCMS chromatogram of methanol extract of *Allium sativum* bulb on positive and negative ionization mode.
bond angles, bond orders as well as topology. The prediction of active site pocket region was carried out using online tool CASTp.

**Molecular Docking (MD) Studies**

The definition of molecular docking involves "key and lock" pattern applied in order to analyse the best-possible pattern involved between receptor (ligand) and protein. Bioactive compounds revealed through OHRLCMS results of *Allium sativum* methanolic extract were opted for the current docking study. The appropriate bioactive chemicals chosen were docked with the target protein using PyRx software (https://sourceforge.net/projects/pyrx/). The docking interactions for the optimised ligands structural files were undertaken in order to analyse their prospects of inhibition, in opposition to the validated protein AvrRps4 (4B6X), using the software tool PyRx (https://pyrx.sourceforge.io/). Ampicillin was used as control.

| No. | Compound name                  | RT (min) | Molecular wt   | Molecular formula | Structure | DB Difference (PPM) |
|-----|--------------------------------|----------|----------------|-------------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 1.  | Trigonelline                   | 0.856    | 135.05477      | C\textsubscript{7}H\textsubscript{7}NO\textsubscript{2}   | ![Image](attachment://structure1.png) | 99.2               |
| 2.  | Cytosine                       | 0.854    | 244.17897      | C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{4}NO                 | ![Image](attachment://structure2.png) | 83.1               |
| 3.  | Asparagine                     | 0.859    | 474.16725      | C\textsubscript{4}H\textsubscript{8}N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} | ![Image](attachment://structure3.png) | 93.4               |
| 4.  | 4-Oxoproline                   | 1.636    | 129.04213      | C\textsubscript{3}H\textsubscript{3}NO\textsubscript{3}   | ![Image](attachment://structure4.png) | 85.8               |
| 5.  | Diethyl 3-methyl-5-[(2,2,2-trifluoroacetyl)amino]thiophene-2,4-dicarboxylate | 1.632    | 113.04729      | C\textsubscript{13}H\textsubscript{14}F\textsubscript{5}NO\textsubscript{5}S | ![Image](attachment://structure5.png) | 87.6               |
| 6.  | Prolylglycine                  | 2.312    | 172.084        | C\textsubscript{7}H\textsubscript{12}NO\textsubscript{3}  | ![Image](attachment://structure6.png) | 51.4               |
| 7.  | Glycylproline                  | 2.317    | 580.18483      | C\textsubscript{7}H\textsubscript{12}NO\textsubscript{3}  | ![Image](attachment://structure7.png) | 69.1               |
| 8.  | 4-Aminohippuric acid           | 2.315    | 602.16664      | C\textsubscript{9}H\textsubscript{10}NO\textsubscript{3}  | ![Image](attachment://structure8.png) | 64.1               |
| 9.  | 4-Acetamido-5-[2-(4-hydroxyphenyl)ethylamino]-5-oxopentanoic acid | 3.221    | 276.10966      | C\textsubscript{15}H\textsubscript{20}O\textsubscript{5}  | ![Image](attachment://structure9.png) | 68.5               |
| 10. | L-Phenylalanine                | 3.227    | 165.07942      | C\textsubscript{9}H\textsubscript{11}NO\textsubscript{2}  | ![Image](attachment://structure10.png) | 77.2               |
| 11. | α-Aspartylphenylalanine        | 3.227    | 588.24455      | C\textsubscript{13}H\textsubscript{16}N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5} | ![Image](attachment://structure11.png) | 79.6               |
| 12. | Bromhexine                     | 5.602    | 110.96129      | C\textsubscript{16}H\textsubscript{20}Br\textsubscript{2}N\textsubscript{2} | ![Image](attachment://structure12.png) | 71.1               |
| 13. | Dibutyl phthalate              | 5.606    | 278.15245      | C\textsubscript{16}H\textsubscript{22}O\textsubscript{4}  | ![Image](attachment://structure13.png) | 75.7               |
| 14. | Diethyl phthalate              | 5.607    | 148.01648      | C\textsubscript{12}H\textsubscript{14}O\textsubscript{4}  | ![Image](attachment://structure14.png) | 69.4               |
Table 2. Bioactive compounds identified in the methanolic extract of bulb of *Allium sativum* by OHRLC-MS analysis (negative ionization mode)

| No. | Compound name                                           | RT (min) | Molecular wt | Molecular formula | Structure | DB Difference (PPM) |
|-----|---------------------------------------------------------|----------|--------------|-------------------|-----------|---------------------|
| 1.  | Melezitose                                              | 0.973    | 323.10463    | C_{18}H_{32}O_{16} | ![Structure](image1) | 74.5                |
| 2.  | N-Acetyl-1-aspartyl-glutamic acid                       | 0.976    | 682.21996    | C_{11}H_{16}N_{2}O_{8} | ![Structure](image2) | 62.9                |
| 3.  | Sucrose                                                 | 0.978    | 720.20613    | C_{12}H_{22}O_{11} | ![Structure](image3) | 74                  |
| 4.  | Diethyl 3-methyl-5-[(2,2,2-trifluoroacetyl)amino]thiophene-2,4-dicarboxylate | 1.658    | 113.99248    | C_{13}H_{14}F_{3}NO_{5}S | ![Structure](image4) | 87.6                |
| 5.  | D(-)-Amygdalin                                          | 1.659    | 326.06962    | C_{20}H_{27}NO_{11} | ![Structure](image5) | 73.5                |
| 6.  | L-Pyroglutamic acid                                    | 2.32     | 129.04292    | C_{5}H_{7}NO_{3}   | ![Structure](image6) | 58.1                |
| 7.  | Microcystin                                             | 2.321    | 290.09239    | C_{49}H_{74}N_{10}O_{12} | ![Structure](image7) | 63.9                |
| 8.  | Bromhexine                                              | 2.321    | 115.04589    | C_{14}H_{20}Br_{2}N_{2} | ![Structure](image8) | 72                  |
| 9.  | 4-Oxoproline                                            | 2.326    | 129.04213    | C_{5}H_{7}NO_{3}   | ![Structure](image9) | 86.4                |
| 10. | 4-Oxoproline                                            | 3.229    | 129.04213    | C_{5}H_{7}NO_{3}   | ![Structure](image10) | 76.9                |
| 11. | Bromhexine                                              | 3.248    | 114.95712    | C_{14}H_{20}Br_{2}N_{2} | ![Structure](image11) | 69.7                |
| 12. | Bromhexine                                              | 5.61     | 112.95665    | C_{14}H_{20}Br_{2}N_{2} | ![Structure](image12) | 71.1                |
| 13. | Dodecamethylcyclohexasiloxane                          | 5.617    | 684.19884    | C_{12}H_{36}O_{6}Si_{6} | ![Structure](image13) | 77.7                |
| 14. | Diethyl phthalate                                       | 5.617    | 147.08188    | C_{11}H_{9}O_{4}   | ![Structure](image14) | 69.4                |
| 15. | 9-Nitrooleate                                           | 17.202   | 545.27119    | C_{18}H_{16}NO_{4} | ![Structure](image15) | 85.9                |
| 16. | Dodecyl sulfate                                         | 25.199   | 266.15391    | C_{12}H_{20}O_{5}S | ![Structure](image16) | 80.7                |
| 17. | Diethyl 3-methyl-5-[(2,2,2-trifluoroacetyl)amino]thiophene-2,4-dicarboxylate | 29.44    | 115.94092    | C_{15}H_{14}F_{3}NO_{5}S | ![Structure](image17) | 60.9                |
The genetic algorithm was applied to run the docking procedure. Depending on the RMSD (Root Mean Square Division) values the appropriate docked pattern was determined. The docked compounds were analysed using Discovery Studio 3.1 visualiser after obtaining the binding energy and the binding constants of each ligand protein complex. The lowest negative binding energy (ΔG) specifies the strongest binding and desirable conformity for the protein and the ligand reaction.

### RESULTS

#### OHRLCMS Analysis

OHRLCMS evaluation was carried out for the methanolic bulb extract of *Allium sativum* in order to estimate its phytocompounds. The outcomes of OHRLC-MS evaluation revealed the recognition of several phytocompounds. The retention time (RT), molecular formula, molecular weight, and area (%) distribution of these phytocompounds are given in (Table 1 & 2). The OHRLCMS chromatograms of the extract are presented in Fig. 1.

**Table 3.** Lipinski’s properties of the selected phytochemical compounds from bulb extracts of *Allium sativum*

| No. | Compound Name | Molecular weight (<500KD) | 3D Structure | Log P (<5) | H-bond donor (<5) | H-bond acceptor (<10) |
|-----|---------------|---------------------------|--------------|-----------|-------------------|------------------------|
| 1   | Dibutyl phthalate | 278.15                    | ![Dibutyl phthalate 3D Structure](image1) | 2.26      | 1                  | 2                      |
| 2   | Oxoproline     | 129.04                    | ![Oxoproline 3D Structure](image2)     | 0.51      | 2                  | 3                      |
| 3   | Phenylalanine  | 165.07                    | ![Phenylalanine 3D Structure](image3)  | 1.08      | 2                  | 3                      |
| 4   | Trigonelline   | 135.05                    | ![Trigonelline 3D Structure](image4)   | 3.11      | 0                  | 2                      |
| 5   | Diethyl 3-methyl-5-[(2,2,2-trifluoroacetyl) amino]thiophene-2,4-dicarboxylate | 115.94 | ![Diethyl 3-methyl-5-[(2,2,2-trifluoroacetyl) amino]thiophene-2,4-dicarboxylate 3D Structure](image5) | 2.32 | 3 | 2 |

**Fig. 2.** 3D structure of 4B6X and Ramachandran plot.

The genetic algorithm was applied to run the docking procedure. Depending on the RMSD (Root Mean Square Division) values the appropriate docked pattern was determined. The docked compounds were analysed using Discovery Studio 3.1 visualiser after obtaining the binding energy and the binding constants of each ligand protein complex. The lowest negative binding energy (ΔG) specifies the strongest binding and desirable conformity for the protein and the ligand reaction.
OHRLCMS chromatogram (positive ionization mode) of the methanol extract of bulbs of *Allium sativum* indicated 17 peaks showing the appearance of seventeen compounds in total and other various compounds in minute amounts (Fig. 1). OHRLCMS chromatogram (negative ionization mode) of the methanol extract of bulbs of *Allium sativum* indicated 14 peaks showing the appearance of fourteen compounds in total and other various compounds in minute amounts. The phytocompounds in the methanolic extract of garlic bulb extract shown by OHRLC-MS of both positive ion mode and negative ion mode with their Molecular formula, Molecular weight (MW), and Retention time (RT) were given in Table 1 and Table 2 respectively.

**Ligand preparation**

The in silico results indicate that 5 ligands namely Dibutyl phthalate, Oxoproline, Phenylalanine, Trigonelline, Diethyl 3-methyl-5-[(2,2,2-trifluoroacetyl)amino]thiophene-2,4-dicarboxylate cleared Lipinski’s rule of five. The results include the molecular weight, log P value, number of hydrogen donors and acceptors.

### Table 4. The Bioactive compounds from *A. sativum* bulb extract docked with AvrRps4 (4B6X)

| No. | Ligand                                      | Binding Affinity score | No of Hydrogen Bonds | Structure 2D | Structure 3D | Hydrogen Bond forming Amino Acids |
|-----|---------------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------------------|
| 1.  | Dibutyl phthalate                           | -4.5                   | 1                    | ![Image](image1) | ![Image](image2) | GLN272                           |
| 2.  | Oxoproline                                  | -3.8                   | 1                    | ![Image](image3) | ![Image](image4) | ARG199                           |
| 3.  | Phenylalanine                               | -4.5                   | 3                    | ![Image](image5) | ![Image](image6) | ARG265, GLN272, GLN272           |
| 4.  | Trigonelline                                | -3.8                   | 1                    | ![Image](image7) | ![Image](image8) | ARG196                           |
| 5.  | Diethyl 3-methyl-5-[(2,2,2-trifluoroacetyl)amino]thiophene-2,4-dicarboxylate | -5.7                   | 2                    | ![Image](image9) | ![Image](image10) | ASN236, ARG199                   |
| 6.  | Ampicillin (control)                        | -5.8                   | 1                    | ![Image](image11) | ![Image](image12) | GLN206                           |

### Table 5. Molecular docking score for Secondary metabolites of *A. sativum* with 4B6X

| No. | Ligand                                      | Docking score (kcal/mol) | No. of hydrogen bonds formed |
|-----|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| 1.  | Dibutyl phthalate                           | -4.5                     | 1                            |
| 2.  | Oxoproline                                  | -3.8                     | 1                            |
| 3.  | Phenylalanine                               | -4.5                     | 3                            |
| 4.  | Trigonelline                                | -3.8                     | 1                            |
| 5.  | Diethyl 3-methyl-5-[(2,2,2-trifluoroacetyl)amino]thiophene-2,4-dicarboxylate | -5.7                     | 2                            |
| 6.  | Ampicillin (control)                        | -5.8                     | 1                            |

(Table 3). The 3D structure obtained through Insilco analysis are presented in Table 3.

**Validation of the protein**

The 3D structure of the protein as a result of in silico analysis is shown in the Fig. 2. The evaluated and validated structure of 4B6X protein was obtained using the Ramachandran plot, which predicted energetically allowed regions for backbone dihedral angles $\psi$. The validated structure of the protein is presented in Table 3.
against \( \phi \) of amino acid residues. The plots were generated by RAMPAGE server.\(^1\) The procured RAMPAGE outcomes of the proteins indicated the stability of protein structure (Fig. 2).

**Docking**

The antibacterial action of *Allium sativum* bioactive compounds in opposition to the 4B6X was performed using Pyrex. Discovery Studio 3.1 visualizer was used for analysis of the interacted complexes. The result suggests that the binding orientation differentiated according to the nature of ligand. The docking outcomes are given in Table 4 and the binding energy values obtained is summarised in Table 5.

In silico methods disclosed that opted ligands were able to interact in the active site portion of 4B6X protein and could bind in the similar hydrophobic region as seen in Ampicillin control. The outcomes of docking were expressed as e-negative values and highest negative e-values portray strongest binding affinity between the protein and ligand molecules respectively. The docked bioactive compounds revealed values differing from -3.8 to -5.7. The docking value of Ampicillin (control) in opposition to 4B6X was -5.8 kcal/mol having one hydrogen bond (Table 5).

Out of the 5 ligands chosen, Diethyl 3-methyl-5-[(2,2,2-trifluoroacetyl)amino]thiophene-2,4-dicarboxylate showed maximal docking score (-5.7 kcal/mol) having 2 hydrogen bonds. The next maximal docking score (-4.5 kcal/mol) containing 1 H-bond was obtained by Dibutyl phthalate followed by Trigonelline which shows the docking score of -4.5 kcal/mol. On the other hand, amino acid derivative namely phenylalanine showed the highest amount of hydrogen bonds (3 hydrogen bonds) along a docking score of -4.5 kcal/mol respectively. Another amino acid derivative Oxoproline showed a docking score binding energy of -3.8 with 1 hydrogen bond. Hence, it is evident that the phytochemicals formed interaction with active site portion of the 4B6X constructively.

**DISCUSSION**

An untargeted quantitative OHR LC-MS phytocompounds analysis of methanolic extract of *Allium sativum* bulb indicated 31 total compounds. The revealed phytocompounds come under chemical groups namely saponins, glycosides, alkaloids, tannins, flavonoids, terpenes, phenol, and thiophenes.

In the drug discovery process, development in computational techniques plays a crucial role. The computational refining techniques are regularly as well as abundantly applied. The computational refining employs docking as well as scoring of every molecule in a given input and also analyses the binding patterns and interaction of one molecule (ligand) with the other (receptor) and hence helps in reducing the fare as well as duration of drug invention. Docking techniques aid to find suitable patterns of chosen ligands in the active binding pocket of a target protein and to predict their interaction in three-dimensional space.

In the present study, phytocompounds (ligands) from *Allium sativum* were docked with 4B6X protein to check whether these compounds can interact and circumvent the phytopathogen protein action and prove the ligand’s antibacterial effect. The out comings obtained from the in silico studies indicated that selected bioactive molecules (5 ligands) interacted with the 4B6X protein alike to Ampicillin (control). The obtained outcomes proves altogether the molecular docking method applied was evidentful in discovering naive 4B6X protein inhibitors from *Allium sativum* extracts.

The ligand Diethyl 3-methyl-5-[(2,2,2-trifluoroacetyl)amino]thiophene-2,4-dicarboxylate showed two hydrogen bonds with the best binding energy value in comparison with other ligands. This ligand exists in the group of thiophenes and literature study reveals that natural thiophenes are bioactive compounds and exhibit antibacterial activity antimicrobial, insecticidal and nematocidal properties under irradiation. These sulfur-containing polyacetylenes react as type II photosensitizers in presence of UV light, giving singlet oxygen that displays a wide range of microbial toxicity. The enzymatic activation of thiophenes in the root of the host plant as a result of the endoparasitic nematode attack is the substantial reason for the nematocidal activities of thiophenes. Thiophenes are considered as agricultural important agents and can act as biorational pesticides due to their photodynamic character.\(^2\)
Also, other secondary metabolites which showed good docking scores namely dibutyl phthalate and trigonelline have been reported as antibacterial effective metabolites. The antibacterial activity of dibutyl phthalate has been reported.25 Trigonelline an alkaloid have antibacterial property because it can act as inhibiting ATP-dependent transport of compounds across the cell membrane, which may not allow further infection in plants.26

CONCLUSION

In summary, our in silico analysis study provides new evidence that inhibitory effect of Allium sativum bioactive compounds is promising on Pseudomonas syringae pv. pisi effector protein AvrPs4 (4B6X) that suppresses plant host immunity. From the OHRLCMS analysis of methanolic extracts of A. sativum bulb, 31 phytochemical bioactive compounds were recognised. Among these, the ligands whichever fulfilled the ‘Lipinski’s rule of five’ namely, Dibutyl phthalate, Oxoproline, Phenylalanine, Trigonelline and Diethyl 3-methyl-5-[(2,2,2-trifluoroacetyl)amino]thiophene-2,4-dicarboxylate were opted for docking. Among all the compounds, Diethyl 3-methyl-5-[(2,2,2-trifluoroacetyl)amino]thiophene-2,4-dicarboxylate showed the greatest binding affinity with least binding score of -5.7 kcal/Mol and it shows 2 hydrogen bond interactions (ASN236, ARG199) against the active site of the target protein AvrPs4 (4B6X). This result enables that A. sativum bioactive compounds could be considered for their antibacterial potential and could be a valuable source for the design and development of novel antibacterial compounds to combat bacterial plant disease process and crop damage.
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