The effective gluon mass and its dynamical equation.

Joannis Papavassiliou
Department of Theoretical Physics and IFIC,
University of Valencia-CSIC,
E-46100, Valencia, Spain.
E-mail: Joannis.Papavassiliou@uv.es

David Ibanez
Department of Theoretical Physics and IFIC,
University of Valencia-CSIC,
E-46100, Valencia, Spain.
E-mail: daigilde@alumni.uv.es

We present the general derivation of the full nonperturbative equation that governs the momentum evolution of the dynamically generated gluon mass, in the Landau gauge. The gluon mass originates from the inclusion of longitudinally coupled vertices containing massless poles of non-perturbative origin, which preserve the form of the fundamental Slavnov-Taylor identities of the theory. The equation is obtained within the PT-BFM formalism, where the corresponding Schwinger-Dyson equation involves a reduced number of fully dressed diagrams. The resulting homogeneous integral equation is solved numerically for the entire range of physical momenta, yielding positive-definite and monotonically decreasing gluon masses, in agreement with a variety of less formal considerations.
1. Introduction

It is well-established by now that the dynamical generation of an effective gluon mass \[1\] explains in a natural and self-consistent way the infrared finiteness of the (Landau gauge) gluon propagator and ghost dressing function, observed in large-volume lattice simulations for both \(SU(2)\) \[2\] and \(SU(3)\) gauge groups \[3\]. Given the nonperturbative nature of the mass generation mechanism, the Schwinger-Dyson equations (SDEs) constitute the most natural framework for studying such phenomenon in the continuum \[4, 5, 6, 7\]. Specifically, we will work in the framework provided by the synthesis of the pinch technique (PT) \[1, 8, 9\] with the background field method (BFM) \[10\], known in the literature as the PT-BFM scheme \[9, 11\].

Probably the most crucial theoretical ingredient for obtaining out of the SDEs an infrared-finite gluon propagator, without interfering with the gauge invariance of the theory, encoded in the BRST symmetry, is the existence of a set of special vertices, to be generically denoted by \(V\) and called pole vertices. These vertices contain massless, longitudinally coupled poles, and must be added to the usual (fully dressed) vertices of the theory. They capture the underlying mass generation mechanism, which is none other than a non-Abelian realization of the Schwinger mechanism. In addition to triggering the Schwinger mechanism, the massless poles contained in the pole vertices act as composite, longitudinally coupled Nambu-Goldstone bosons, maintaining gauge invariance and preserving the form of the Ward identities (WIs) and the Slavnov-Taylor identities (STIs) of the theory in the presence of a dynamically generated gluon mass. In fact, recent studies indicate that the QCD dynamics can indeed generate longitudinally coupled composite (bound-state) massless poles, which subsequently give rise to the required vertices \(V\) \[12, 13\].

At the level of the SDEs, the analysis finally boils down to the derivation of an integral equation, to be referred as the mass equation, that governs the evolution of the dynamical gluon mass, \(m^2(q^2)\), as a function of the momentum \(q^2\). The main purpose of this presentation is to report on recent work \[14\], where the complete mass equation has been obtained in the Landau gauge employing the full SDE of the gluon propagator and using as a guiding principle the special properties of the aforementioned vertices \(V\) (for related studies in the Coulomb gauge see, e.g., \[15, 16\]). In this context, the detailed numerical solution of the full mass equation (for arbitrary values of the physical momentum), reveals the existence of positive-definite and monotonically decreasing solutions.

2. The SDE of the gluon propagator

The full gluon propagator \(\Delta^{ab}_{\mu\nu}(q) = \delta^{ab}\Delta_{\mu\nu}(q)\) in the Landau gauge is given by the expression

\[
\Delta_{\mu\nu}(q) = -iP_{\mu\nu}(q)\Delta(q^2); \quad P_{\mu\nu}(q) = g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{q_{\mu}q_{\nu}}{q^2},
\]

and its inverse gluon dressing function, \(J(q^2)\), is defined as

\[
\Delta^{-1}(q^2) = q^2J(q^2).
\]

The usual starting point of our dynamical analysis is the SDE governing the gluon propagator. Specifically, within the PT-BFM formalism, one can consider the propagator connecting a quantum
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\[ Q \rightarrow B = (a_1) + (a_2) + (a_3) + (a_4) \]

\[ + (a_5) + (a_6) \]

Figure 1: The SDE obeyed by the \( QB \) gluon propagator. Black blobs represents fully dressed 1-PI vertices; the small gray circles appearing on the external legs (entering from the right, only!) are used to indicate background gluons.

\((Q)\) with a background \((B)\) gluon, to be referred as the \( QB \) propagator and denoted by \( \tilde{\Delta}(q^2) \). The SDE of the above propagator is shown in Fig. 1 and it may be related to the conventional \( QQ \) propagator, \( \Delta(q^2) \), connecting two quantum gluons, through the powerful background-quantum identity \[9, 17]\]

\[
\Delta(q^2) = [1 + G(q^2)] \tilde{\Delta}(q^2),
\]

(2.3)

In this identity, the function \( G(q^2) \) corresponds to the \( g_{\mu\nu} \) form factor of a well known two-point function \[4, 9, 17\]. Then, the corresponding version of the SDE for the conventional gluon propagator (in the Landau gauge) reads \[4, 11\]

\[
\Delta^{-1}(q^2) P_{\mu\nu}(q) = \frac{q^2 P_{\mu\nu}(q) + i \sum_{i=1}^{6} (a_i)_{\mu\nu}}{1 + G(q^2)},
\]

(2.4)

where the diagrams \((a_i)\) are shown in Fig. 1. The relevant point to recognize here is that the transversality of the gluon self-energy is realized according to the pattern highlighted by the boxes of Fig. 1, namely,

\[
q^\mu [(a_1) + (a_2)]_{\mu\nu} = 0; \quad q^\mu [(a_3) + (a_4)]_{\mu\nu} = 0; \quad q^\mu [(a_5) + (a_6)]_{\mu\nu} = 0.
\]

(2.5)

3. Derivation of the gluon mass equation

As has been explained in detail in the recent literature \[12, 13\], the Schwinger mechanism allows for the emergence of massive solutions out of the SDE, preserving, at the same time, the gauge invariance intact. At this level, the triggering of this mechanism proceeds through the inclusion of the pole vertices \( V \) in the SDE Eq. (2.4). From the kinematic point of view, we will describe the transition from a massless to a massive gluon propagator by carrying out the replacement (Minkowski space)

\[
\Delta^{-1}(q^2) = q^2 J(q^2) \rightarrow \Delta^{-1}_m(q^2) = q^2 J_m(q^2) - m^2(q^2).
\]

(3.1)
Notice that the subscript “m” indicates that effectively one has now a mass inside the corresponding expressions: for example, whereas perturbatively \( J(q^2) \sim \ln q^2 \), after dynamical gluon mass generation has taken place, one has \( J_m(q^2) \sim \ln(q^2 + m^2) \). Then, gauge invariance requires that the replacement given in Eq. (3.1) be accompanied by the following simultaneous replacement of all relevant vertices

\[
\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma' = \Gamma_m + V,
\]

where \( V \) must be such that the new vertex \( \Gamma' \) satisfies the same formal WIs (or STIs) as \( \Gamma \) before. The most familiar case is that of the \( BQ^2 \) vertex \( \tilde{\Gamma}_{\alpha\mu\nu} \), whose pole part must satisfy the WI \[20\]

\[
q^{\alpha} \tilde{V}_{\alpha \mu \nu}(q, r, p) = m^2(r^2)P_{\mu \nu}(r) - m^2(p^2)P_{\mu \nu}(p),
\]

when contracted with respect to the momentum of the background gluon. In complete analogy with the above case, one may use the WI satisfied by the conventional \( BQ^3 \) vertex, namely,

\[
q^{\alpha} \tilde{V}_{\alpha \mu \nu \rho}(q, r, p, t) = ig^2f^{abc}f^{\alpha \mu \nu}V_{\rho \mu \nu}(p, t, q + r) + f^{\alpha \mu \nu}f^{\rho \mu \nu}V_{\rho \mu \nu}(t, r, q + p) + f^{\alpha \mu \nu}f^{\rho \mu \nu}V_{\rho \mu \nu}(r, p, q + t),
\]

in order to deduce that, after the replacement Eq. (3.2), its \( \tilde{V} \) part satisfies \[22\]

\[
q^{\alpha} \tilde{V}_{\alpha \mu \nu \rho}(q, r, p, t) = ig^2f^{abc}f^{\alpha \mu \nu}V_{\rho \mu \nu}(p, t, q + r) + f^{\alpha \mu \nu}f^{\rho \mu \nu}V_{\rho \mu \nu}(t, r, q + p) + f^{\alpha \mu \nu}f^{\rho \mu \nu}V_{\rho \mu \nu}(r, p, q + t).
\]

Finally, as a large variety of lattice simulations and analytic studies suggest, we will take for granted that the ghost propagator \( D(q^2) \) remains massless in the Landau gauge. The main implication of this property for the case at hand is that the (fully-dressed) BFM gluon-ghost vertex, appearing in graph \( (a_3) \), does not need to be modified by the presence of \( \tilde{V} \)-type vertices.

Quite remarkably, the above WIs, supplemented by the totally longitudinal nature of the pole vertices, are the only properties that one needs for deriving the mass equation; in particular, the closed form of the pole vertices is not needed.

According to the previous discussion, after the inclusion of the pole vertices, the gluon SDE Eq. (2.4) becomes in the Landau gauge

\[
[q^2 J_m(q^2) - m^2(q^2)]P_{\mu \nu}(q) = \frac{q^2 P_{\mu \nu}(q) + i\sum_{i=1}^{6}(d_i')_{\mu \nu}}{1 + G(q^2)},
\]

where the prime indicates that (in general) one must perform the simultaneous replacements Eq. (3.1) and Eq. (3.2) inside the corresponding diagrams. Evidently, the lhs of Eq. (3.6) involves two unknown quantities, \( J_m(q^2) \) and \( m^2(q^2) \), which will eventually satisfy two separate, but coupled, integral equations of the generic type

\[
J_m(q^2) = 1 + \int_k \mathcal{K}_1(q^2, m^2, \Delta_m),
\]

\[
m^2(q^2) = \int_k \mathcal{K}_2(q^2, m^2, \Delta_m),
\]

such that \( \mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2 \neq 0 \), as \( q^2 \to 0 \). In order to derive the closed form of the mass equation Eq. (3.7), one must identify all mass-related contributions coming from the \( \tilde{V} \) vertices that are contained
\[ \tilde{m}^2(q^2) = \frac{1}{q^2} q^\mu \times \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right\} \]

Figure 2: Diagrammatic representation of the condensed operations leading to the all-order gluon mass equation, where we have introduced the shorthand notation \( \tilde{m}^2(q^2) = m^2(q^2)[1 + G(q^2)] \). All internal propagators are in the Landau gauge.

in the Feynman graphs comprising the rhs of Eq. (3.6). With the transversality of both sides of Eq. (3.6) guaranteed by the presence of the pole vertices, it is far more economical to derive the mass equation by isolating the appropriate cofactors of \( q_\mu q_\nu /q^2 \), to be denoted by \( a^V_\mu (q^2) \), on both sides. Notice that selecting the \( g_{\mu\nu} \), or taking the trace in Eq. (3.6), would entail the use of the special seagull identity [19, 20].

The most important steps of this construction may be summarized as follows: (i) From the previous comments about the BFM gluon-ghost vertex, graph \( \rho' \) has not \( \tilde{V} \)-component. (ii) The WI Eq. (3.5) and the longitudinality condition for the \( BQ^3 \) pole vertex may be used to demonstrate that the \( \tilde{V} \)-component of graph \( \rho' \) vanishes in the Landau gauge. (iii) The contribution \( a^V_6 (q^2) \) stems solely from the combination \( \Gamma_m \tilde{V} \) of the product \( \Gamma^* \tilde{V} \) appearing in graph \( \rho_6 \).

Thus, one concludes that the complete mass equation can be written as

\[ m^2(q^2) = \frac{i[a^V(q^2) + a^V_6(q^2)]}{1 + G(q^2)}. \] (3.8)

Interestingly enough, the entire procedure may be pictorially summarized, in a rather concise way, as shown in Fig. 2.

4. Complete mass equation and numerical results

The final equation obtained from Eq. (3.8) reads (Euclidean space)

\[ m^2(q^2) = - \frac{g^2C_A}{1 + G(q^2)} \frac{1}{q^2} \int k m^2(k^2)[(k + q)^2 - k^2] \Delta^{ap}(k) \Delta_{ap}(k + q) \left\{ 1 - C[Y(k + q) + Y(k)] \right\} \]

\[ + \frac{g^2C_A}{1 + G(q^2)} \frac{1}{q^2} \int k m^2(k^2) C[Y(k + q) - Y(k)] \Delta^\delta_{6}(k) \Delta^{\rho \gamma}(k + q), \] (4.1)

with \( C = 3\pi C_A \alpha_s \), and

\[ Y(k^2) = \frac{1}{3} \int \Delta^{\beta \gamma}(l) \Delta^{\delta \rho}(l + k) \Gamma_{\sigma \rho \beta}, \] (4.2)

corresponding to the subdiagram on the upper left corner of \( \rho_6 \) [see also Fig. 2].

Even though Eq. (4.1) forms part of a system of coupled equations, see Eq. (3.7), in what follows we will study it in isolation, given that the corresponding equation for \( J_m(q^2) \) is unknown. To
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Figure 3: Left panel: Modification in the shape of the two-loop dressed kernel $\mathcal{K}_2(y)$ when varying $C$. As $C$ increases, the kernel effectively reverses its sign, showing a deep negative well in the low momenta region. Right panel: Typical monotonically decreasing solution of Eq. (5.1). The case shown has been obtained for the special values $C = 0.88$ and $1.85$, corresponding value of the coupling $\alpha_S \approx 0.88$ and $0.22$, respectively. The solutions have been normalized so that at the origin they match the corresponding (Landau gauge) lattice value $\Delta^{-1}(0) \approx 0.141 \text{ GeV}^2$, namely $m(0) = 375 \text{ MeV}$.

that end, we will treat the gluon propagators appearing in the mass equation as external quantities, using lattice results for their form [3].

In addition, the rhs of Eq. (4.2) depends on the full three-gluon vertex $\Gamma_{\sigma\rho\beta}$, whose exact form is not known. We will therefore approximate $Y(k^2)$ by its one-loop expression, obtained by substituting tree-level values for all quantities appearing in the integral; a lengthy but straightforward calculation yields [Euclidean space, momentum subtraction (MOM) scheme]

$$Y_R(k^2) = -\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \frac{5}{4} \log \frac{k^2}{\mu^2}. \quad (4.3)$$

After these considerations, and using spherical coordinates $x = q^2$ and $y = k^2$, let us study the deep infrared limit $x \to 0$ of Eq. (4.1), given by

$$m^2(0) = -\frac{3\alpha_S C_A}{8\pi [1 + G(0)]} \int_0^{\infty} dy m^2(y) \mathcal{K}_2(y); \quad \mathcal{K}_2(y) = \{[1 - 2CY(y)]Z^2(y)\}', \quad Z(y) = y\Delta(y). \quad (4.4)$$

Even though the value of $C$ is fixed (see above), in what follows we will treat it as a free parameter, in order to study what happens to the gluon mass equation when one varies independently $\alpha_S$ and $C$. The reason for doing this is that, whereas Eq. (4.3) furnishes a concrete form for the two-loop dressed correction, by no means does it exhaust it; thus, by varying $C$, one basically tries to mimic further correction that may be added to the skeleton provided by the $Y_R(k^2)$ of Eq. (4.3) (for a fixed value of $\alpha_S$); indeed rescaling $C$ is equivalent to rescaling $Y_R(k^2)$. Of course, the real value of $C$ will emerge as a special case of this general two-parameter study. Finally, it is convenient to define the “reduced” $C_r = C/3\pi C_A$, and drop the suffix “r”.

6
Let us first set $C = 0$, thus turning off the two-loop dressed contributions. Then, integrating (4.4) by parts, one obtains

$$m^2(0) = \frac{3\alpha_S C_A}{8\pi[1 + G(0)]} \int_0^\infty dy[m^2(y)]' Z^2(y).$$

(4.5)

Given that $1 + G(0) > 0$, it is clear that a monotonically decreasing gluon mass, namely $[m^2(y)]' < 0$, expected on physical grounds, would give rise to a negative value for $m^2(0)$, which is physically wrong. Thus, the only way to reconcile a positive-definite and monotonically decreasing gluon mass is to obtain an effective reversal of sign from the two-loop dressed contributions; as we will see, this is indeed what happens.

To study this crucial point in detail, let us consider how the shape of the kernel $\mathcal{K}_2$ changes as $C$ is varied. In Fig. 3, one observes that, as $C$ increases, $\mathcal{K}_2$ displays a less pronounced positive (respectively negative) peak in the small (respectively large) momenta region. Next, for $C \gtrsim 0.37$, a small negative region starts to appear in the infrared, which rapidly becomes a deep negative well for $y \lesssim 0.6$, with $\mathcal{K}_2$ becoming positive for higher momenta. Therefore we observe that the addition of the two-loop dressed contributions counteracts the effect of the overall minus sign of Eq. (4.4), by effectively achieving a sign reversal of the kernel. Indeed, one concludes that there exists a critical value $\overline{C} \approx 0.56$ such that, if $C > \overline{C}$, Eq. (4.4) will display at least one physical monotonically decreasing solution for a suitable value of the strong coupling $\alpha_S$.

Finally, to see if the picture sketched above is confirmed when $x \neq 0$, one can study numerically the solutions of Eq. (4.1) following the algorithm described in [14]. In this case the absence of solutions persists until the critical value $\overline{C}$ is reached, after which one finds exactly one monotonically decreasing solution. Specifically, in Fig. 3 we plot the solutions for the most representative $C$ values. The value $C = \alpha_S \approx 0.88$ corresponds to the case in which $Y$ is kept at its lowest order perturbative value, whereas $C = 1.85$ corresponds to the standard MOM value $\alpha_S = 0.22$ at $\mu = 4.3$ GeV [21]. As can be readily appreciated, the masses obtained display the basic qualitative features expected on general field-theoretic considerations and employed in numerous phenomenological studies; in particular, they are monotonically decreasing functions of the momentum and vanish rather rapidly in the ultraviolet.

5. Conclusions

In this presentation we have reported recent progress [14] on the study of the nonperturbative equation that governs the momentum evolution of the dynamically generated gluon mass. By appealing to the existence of the special nonperturbative vertices $V$ associated with the Schwinger mechanism, we have outlined the methodology that allows for a systematic and expeditious identification of the parts of the SDE that contributes to the mass equation. The numerical analysis of the resulting mass equation reveals that the inclusion of two-loop dressed contributions has a profound impact on the nature of the mass equation, already at the qualitative level. Indeed, they are crucial in order to obtain physically meaningful solutions out of the mass equation, i.e., positive-definite and monotonically decreasing solutions for the effective gluon mass.

In the future, given the importance of the term $Y(k^2)$ for this entire construction, it would be particularly important to determine its structure beyond the perturbative one-loop approximation.
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used. Nevertheless, even with the approximate version of \( Y(k^2) \), the full mass equation provides a natural starting point for calculating reliably the effect that the inclusion of light quark flavors might have on the form of the gluon propagator, and complement recent studies based on the SDEs \([22]\) as well as lattice simulations \([23]\).
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