Heavy energy drink consumption is associated with risky substance use in young Swiss men
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Summary

OBJECTIVES: Heavy consumption of energy drinks appears to pose a public health problem among young adults. This paper examines (i) the prevalence of chronic heavy energy drink use (consuming energy drinks more than once a day) and (ii) its associations with sociodemographic and health behaviour-related factors, especially substance use.

METHODS: We used multiple logistic regression analyses to examine the relationships between chronic heavy energy drink use and sociodemographic and health behaviour-related factors, based on cross-sectional data from 18–21-year-old men who took part in the “Young Adult Survey Switzerland” (YASS) in 2010/2011 (n = 10,345) and 2014/2015 (n = 9761).

RESULTS: Prevalence of chronic heavy energy drink use was high in both data sets: 7.5% of young adult men in Switzerland in 2010/11, and 6.0% in 2014/15, consumed energy drinks more than once a day. Chronic heavy energy drink use followed a social gradient: people with a low level of education were more likely to consume energy drinks more than once a day. Multiple daily energy drink consumption was associated with other unhealthy behaviours, especially substance use (smoking, alcohol consumption, use of tranquilizers or sleeping pills, use of painkillers). Among the strongest associations were the use of painkillers (odds ratio OR 5.23, 95% confidence interval [95% CI] 3.51–7.79) and tranquilizers/sleeping pills (OR 4.07, 95% CI 2.66–6.25).

CONCLUSION: Chronic heavy energy drink consumers are a significant population subgroup in Switzerland and are relevant for public health due to the co-occurrence of unhealthy substance use. This link and the close relationship between chronic heavy energy drink use and social class indicate the role of lifestyle in the emergence and distribution of energy drink consumption. Interventions that encourage chronic heavy energy drink consumers to make positive lifestyle changes may contribute to the prevention of unhealthy substance use.
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Introduction

The health risks of energy drink consumption have been hotly debated over the past decade. Detractors have focused on their high caffeine content and the risks of excessive use [1–3]. In Switzerland, energy drinks typically have a volume of between 0.25 dm$^3$ and 0.36 dm$^3$ and a caffeine concentration of $\sim$0.32 g dm$^{-3}$. Studies have found that most healthy adults can consume single caffeine doses of up to 0.2 g or intake caffeine at a rate of up to 0.4 g d$^{-1}$ without adverse effects [4–7]. For this rate of caffeine intake, other common constituents of energy drinks are not reported to affect their safety at the concentrations usually found in such beverages (4.0 g dm$^{-3}$ for taurine and 2.4 g dm$^{-3}$ for D-glucurono-gamma-lactone) [4]. Consuming this much caffeine from energy drinks would require ingesting about 1 dm$^3$ d$^{-1}$ of energy drinks (approximately four typical energy drinks per day). Drinking more than about four energy drinks per day could result in excessive caffeine consumption, which can cause adverse health effects like caffeine-intoxication, depression, insomnia and headache (for details see [6–10]). More than once a day energy drink use in combination with the consumption of other sources of caffeine risks exceeding the safe caffeine intake rate and poses an important public health challenge. For energy drinks in general, however, questions remain on the acute or long-term effects of excessive and/or chronic consumption [1, 11, 12].

Although data on chronic high energy drink consumption in young adults is scarce, surveys suggest that they comprise a significant subgroup. A population-based survey conducted in 16 countries of the European Union [12] found that 13.3% of young adult energy drink users were “high chronic” consumers (using energy drinks at least 4-5 days per week). A survey-based study from Poland [13] reported that 3.2% of adolescent boys and young adult men consumed energy drinks daily, and that the average caffeine intake rate among daily consumers of energy drinks was more than 0.5 g d$^{-1}$. The energy drinks supplied more than 0.2 g caffeine, which suggests that those who drank energy drinks more than once a day were at a high risk of consuming excessive amounts of caffeine. A population-based Danish study [14] reported that 0.9% of young adult men consumed more than one energy drink a day and that moderate (weekly) energy drink consumption had a signif-
icant positive association with being male, having a low level of education, being employed (vs being a student), being overweight, smoking and consuming alcohol. Other studies have found that energy drink users are more likely to initiate nonmedical use of prescription painkillers [15] and to have used tranquilizers than energy drink non-users [16].

Most energy drink studies have focused on specific and often socially homogenous groups like students [13, 15–19], so we do not yet know the prevalence, sociodemographic distribution, and health behaviour-related factors of chronic heavy consumption of energy drinks in broader populations. We thus set out to analyse the data sets of the “Young Adult Survey Switzerland” (YASS), which began in 2010 and has collected data every four years since then. We (i) quantified the prevalence of chronic heavy energy drink use (consuming energy drinks more than once a day) and (ii) explored associations with sociodemographic and health behaviour-related factors (e.g., use of painkillers and tranquilizers) among young Swiss men.

Methods

Sample and procedure
We analysed data from the 2010/11 (32,424 men) and 2014/15 (28,705 men) cross-sectional “Young Adult Survey Switzerland” (YASS), which is repeated every four years. Throughout, we refer to these datasets as “2011” and “2015”. All data was collected from Swiss men during compulsory recruitment at the six national military recruiting centres in Switzerland, so our sample represented Swiss male citizens between 18 and 21 years old, whether fit or unfit for military service, and whether or not they opted for civilian alternative service. The survey, based only on self-reports, was administered as a paper-and-pencil questionnaire in a classroom.

A supplementary questionnaire on health-relevant behaviours (including a question on energy drink use) and sport [20, 21] was administered to a randomly selected subsample of about one third of the participants. We analysed the data from these participants (10,345 in 2011 and 9761 in 2015). The samples covered the three main language regions in Switzerland (German, French, Italian), urban and rural areas, and all socio-economic strata, so they also included men with no post-mandatory education and men who were unemployed (table 1 below shows the sample characteristics). The survey design and the process of translating the questionnaire into Switzerland’s main languages are published elsewhere [22–24].

Variables
The outcome variable was the reported frequency of energy drink consumption over the last year, assessed by the question, “How often have you consumed energy drinks in the last 12 months?” Possible answers were more than once a day/about once a day/2–3 times a week/at least once a month/a few times a year/less often/never. For statistical analysis, we dichotomised energy drink consumption into (I) “more than once a day” or (II) “once a day or less” (see table 1 for categories, frequencies and missing values of variables).

Sociodemographic factors
Age was categorised by years old: (I) 18, (II) 19, (III) 20 or (IV) 21. Educational level was based on the International Standard Classification of Education and categorised by the highest level achieved in school [25]: (I) mandatory, (II) vocational or (III) grammar school or higher. Employment status was categorised as (I) employed (including apprentices), (II) student or (III) unemployed. We used criteria defined by the Swiss Federal Office of Public Health to determine migration background [26], and classified migration background as (I) yes or (II) no.

Substance use and health behaviour factors
Current smoking status was dichotomised into (I) daily smoking or (II) less than daily smoking or not smoking. Alcohol consumption was calculated from self-reports of average consumption of standard units on weekdays and weekends. The standard units are: 0.3 dm³ for beer; 0.1 dm³ for wine; and 0.02 dm³ for liquor. We defined more than two units per day as the meaningful distinction between (I) low- and (II) high-risk alcohol consumption, based on the low-risk drinking guidelines published by the Swiss Federal Commission for Alcohol Questions [27]. We coded “high-risk drinking” as consuming 15 or more standard units per week, in line with other published international low risk drinking guidelines for men [28]. We assessed use of “tranquilizers or sleeping pills” and “painkillers” on the same scale as energy drink consumption, based on these questions: “How often have you consumed tranquilizers or sleeping pills in the last 12 months?” and, “How often have you consumed the painkillers in the last 12 months?” These questions did not distinguish between prescription and non-prescription drugs and did not ask if they were taken medical purposes. Answers were dichotomised into (I) “once a day or more often” and (II) “less than once a day or never”. We based our categorisation on accumulated evidence of clinically significant adverse effects in chronic use of over-the-counter painkillers [29] and on expert opinion [30] that suggests that only very frequent use of these painkillers (≥15 days per month for ≥3 months) will induce analgesic-overuse headaches. Sports activity was assessed with the question, “Do you engage in sports” (yes/no) and we divided respondents into two categories: (I) doing sports and (II) not doing sports. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated from self-reported height and weight and grouped into (I) BMI <25 kg/m² (underweight/normal weight), (II) BMI 25–30 kg/m² (overweight) and (III) BMI >30 kg/m² (obese).

Statistical analysis
We performed multivariable logistic regressions to examine the associations between chronic heavy energy drink consumption (consuming energy drinks more than once a day) and socio-demographic variables (age, educational level, employment status and migrant background) as well as health behaviour-related variables (daily smoking, high-risk alcohol consumption, daily use of tranquilizers/sleeping pills, daily use of painkillers, doing sports and BMI). Because the number of cases was relatively low in some categories, we combined the data sets from 2011 and 2015 to create a larger sample. However, we introduced a binary variable to indicate the wave of data within the model. We
also fitted interaction terms to identify which variables varied temporally in their odds ratios (ORs). Because only two variables (daily smoking and high-risk alcohol consumption) had more than 5% missing values (6.03% and 6.67% respectively), we did not expect any bias from using only the valid cases for the analysis. To provide full information, additional regressions were performed for the 2011 and 2015 data sets separately. Chi² test statistics were calculated for differences in chronic heavy energy drink consumption rates in 2011 and 2015. We considered results significant when p < 0.05; Stata 14 software [31] was used for the analyses.

Results

Figure 1 shows the basic distribution of energy drink consumption among Swiss men in 2011 and 2015. The prevalence of the group which forms the focus of this study ("energy drink use more than once a day") was 7.51% in 2011 and 6.00% in 2015 (chi² = 17.70, p < 0.001). Distributions of "more than once a day energy drink use" according to sociodemographic characteristics and health behaviours are depicted in table 1.

Table 2 presents the results from our multivariate logistic regression analysis, which assessed associations between chronic heavy energy drink use (consuming energy drinks more than once a day) and both sociodemographic and health behaviour-related factors. These data are plotted in figure 2. The influences of the variables were stable between datasets, except for "daily smoking" (interaction term p-value = 0.038) and "migration background" (p = 0.006). The OR of the former variable increased, whereas that of the latter decreased (see table 2 for OR by year).

Every sociodemographic factor except "age" was significantly associated with the use of energy drinks more than once a day. Chronic heavy energy drink consumption showed a consistent gradient pattern for education: men with mandatory or vocational education were more likely to report consuming energy drinks more than once a day than men with higher education. Chronic heavy energy drink consumption was also more common in employed men than in students. Unemployment was not associated with consuming more than one energy drink a day. Respondents with a migrant background were more likely to report chronic heavy energy drink use (vs non-migrant background) in 2011, but not in 2015.

Daily painkiller use, smoking and tranquilizer use were strongly associated with consuming more than one energy drink a day. Less pronounced associations of chronic heavy energy drink use were found for high-risk alcohol consumption; doing sport showed an inverse effect. We did
not find that BMI was a relevant factor in chronic heavy energy drink consumption, because neither obesity nor being overweight were associated with multiple daily energy drink use. To qualify the significance of the results, we performed regressions with two alternative categorisations of the outcome variable (more than once a day energy drink use vs. “never consume energy drinks” and “rare to about once a day energy drink consumers”). The largest ORs were found for the same variables as reported above (data not shown). Thus, our results appear to be robust for different categorisations of the dependent variable.

### Discussion

We found strong associations between chronic heavy energy drink consumption (consuming energy drinks more than once a day) and unhealthy substance use in our large and socially diverse sample of young adult men. The rate of chronic heavy energy drinks varied slightly between 2011 and 2015. Based on our data, it is difficult to draw any conclusions about the reason for this variation. Future studies will show if this variation reflects a short-term fluctuation or indicates a long-term trend.

Our study revealed high rates of multiple daily energy drink consumption among men in Switzerland: 7.51% in 2011 (eight times higher than in a 2010 survey of 16–24 year-old men in Denmark [14]) and 6.00% (six times higher than in the survey) in 2015. Although this difference might be attributable in part to different age groups and study designs, this is unlikely to explain away the large difference in consumption rate. Our finding supports existing evidence of wide variation in heavy energy drink use across countries: an earlier study found that the prevalence of “high chronic” energy drink consumption (using energy drinks 4–5 days per week or more) among adult energy drink consumers varied between 5% in Italy and Hungary to 21% in the UK [12].

### Table 1: Prevalence of more than once a day energy drink consumption in the subgroups defined by the socio-demographic and health behaviour-related variables. The data in the columns “2011” is from the Young Adult Survey Switzerland conducted in 2010/11; “2015” refers to survey years 2014/15. f = frequency per row.

| Variable                              | 2011 (n = 10,345) | 2015 (n = 9761) |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
|                                       | Yes (n = f) (%)    | No (n = f) (%)   | Total (n = f) (%) |
|                                       | Total (n = f) (%)  | Total (n = f)    | Total (n = f)    |
| Energy drink use                      |                   |                 |                 |
| More than once a day                  | 746 (7.51)        | 9182 (92.49)    | 9928 (98.60)    |
| Missing values                        | 417               |                 | 272             |
| Age (years)                           |                   |                 |                 |
| 18                                    | 170 (6.52)        | 2436 (93.48)    | 2606 (95.45)    |
| 19                                    | 332 (7.16)        | 4308 (92.84)    | 4640 (93.96)    |
| 20                                    | 183 (8.85)        | 1884 (91.15)    | 2067 (93.08)    |
| 21                                    | 61 (9.9)          | 554 (90.1)      | 615 (92.4)      |
| Missing values                        | 0                 |                 | 0               |
| Educational level                     |                   |                 |                 |
| Mandatory                             | 132 (16.9)        | 647 (83.1)      | 779 (91.1)      |
| Vocational                            | 493 (8.65)        | 5205 (91.35)    | 5698 (97.06)    |
| Grammar school or higher              | 95 (2.9)          | 3186 (97.1)     | 3281 (97.6)     |
| Missing values                        | 170               |                 | 578             |
| Employment status                     |                   |                 |                 |
| Employed                              | 598 (8.56)        | 6391 (91.44)    | 6989 (92.82)    |
| Unemployed                            | 69 (11)           | 557 (89)        | 626 (95.39)     |
| Student                               | 47 (2.4)          | 1900 (97.6)     | 1947 (94.08)    |
| Migrant background                    |                   |                 |                 |
| Yes                                   | 281 (9.88)        | 2562 (90.12)    | 2843 (93.40)    |
| No                                    | 438 (6.43)        | 6377 (93.57)    | 6815 (94.34)    |
| Missing values                        | 270               |                 | 150             |
| Daily smoking                         |                   |                 |                 |
| Yes                                   | 464 (15.7)        | 2485 (84.3)     | 2949 (92.08)    |
| No                                    | 255 (3.8)         | 6457 (96.20)    | 6712 (97.57)    |
| Missing values                        | 170               |                 | 578             |
| Missing values High-risk alcohol consumption |               |                 |                 |
| Yes                                   | 213 (11.3)        | 1678 (88.7)     | 1891 (95.44)    |
| No                                    | 450 (5.96)        | 7106 (94.04)    | 7556 (95.09)    |
| Missing values                        | 481               |                 | 878             |
| Daily use of tranquilizers/ sleeping pills |               |                 |                 |
| Yes                                   | 66 (43)           | 87 (57)         | 153 (55)        |
| No                                    | 673 (6.92)        | 9051 (93.08)    | 9724 (94.61)    |
| Missing values                        | 51                |                 | 56              |
| Daily use of painkillers              |                   |                 |                 |
| Yes                                   | 76 (46)           | 88 (54)         | 164 (57)        |
| No                                    | 659 (6.83)        | 888 (93.17)     | 947 (94.67)     |
| Missing values                        | 17                |                 | 115             |
| Doing sports                          |                   |                 |                 |
| Yes                                   | 528 (6.46)        | 7644 (93.54)    | 8172 (95.03)    |
| No                                    | 206 (12.4)        | 1458 (87.6)     | 1664 (97.17)    |
| Missing values                        | 92                |                 | 60              |
| Body mass index (BMI) (kg/m²)         |                   |                 |                 |
| >30                                   | 50 (12)           | 367 (88)        | 417 (92)        |
| 25–30                                 | 124 (7.57)        | 1513 (92.43)    | 1637 (93.67)    |
| <25                                   | 508 (6.98)        | 6775 (93.02)    | 7283 (94.46)    |

* BMI >30: obese; 25–30: overweight; <25: underweight/normal weight
Associations between multiple daily energy drink use and sociodemographic and health behaviour-related factors were mostly stable over time. Among the strongest and most intriguing positive associations with chronic heavy energy drink use was “heavy use of painkillers and tranquilizers or sleeping pills”, which warrants attention because of the risk of pharmacokinetic interactions between over-the-counter or prescription drugs and dietary caffeine [8, 32, 33], and because painkiller use is increasingly prevalent (15.1% in 2017) among young adult men in Switzerland [34]. Unfortunately, the datasets could not tell us why young men consumed energy drinks, or whether the painkillers and tranquilizers they took were prescribed.

Since long-term excessive caffeine consumption is linked to headaches [9], chronic heavy energy drink use might cause headaches, increasing the likelihood that young men will take painkillers. This could explain the high proportion of heavy analgesic users among the chronic heavy energy drink consumers. Consuming energy drinks more than once a day was also associated with daily smoking and high-risk alcohol consumption, in line with previous findings that have linked smoking, alcohol consumption, and energy drink use [14, 17, 18, 35–39]. Heavier cigarette consumption increases coffee intake [40], and may also increase consumption of caffeinated beverages like energy

### Table 2: Odds ratios (OR) of the listed variables, estimated with multivariate logistic regression, for more than once a day energy drink consumption in “2011” (survey years 2010/11), “2015” (survey years 2014/15) and for the combination of the two data sets. Numbers in brackets refer to the 95% confidence interval (CI) of the OR. Ref.= Reference category.

| Variable                                   | 2011 and 2015 combined | 2011 | 2015 | p-value | 2011 | 2015 | p-value | 2011 | 2015 | p-value |
|---------------------------------------------|------------------------|------|------|---------|------|------|---------|------|------|---------|
| **Age (years)**                             |                        |      |      |         |      |      |         |      |      |         |
| 21                                          | 1.00                   | 1.07 | 0.90 | 0.825   | 1.07 | 0.90 | 0.945   |      |      |         |
| 20                                          | 1.03                   | 1.10 | 0.94 | 0.802   | 1.10 | 0.94 | 0.917   |      |      |         |
| 19                                          | 0.94                   | 0.97 | 0.92 | 0.945   | 0.97 | 0.92 | 0.917   |      |      |         |
| 18 (Ref.)                                   |                        |      |      |         |      |      |         |      |      |         |
| **Educational level**                       |                        |      |      |         |      |      |         |      |      |         |
| Mandatory                                   | 3.53                   | 3.29 | 2.24 | <0.001  | 3.53 | 3.29 | <0.001  |      |      | <0.001  |
| Vocational                                  | 1.96                   | 1.85 | 2.24 | 0.122   | 1.85 | 2.24 | 0.122   |      |      | 0.122   |
| Grammar school or higher (Ref.)             |                        |      |      |         |      |      |         |      |      |         |
| **Employment status**                       |                        |      |      |         |      |      |         |      |      |         |
| Employed                                    | 1.55                   | 1.84 | 1.17 | 0.122   | 1.55 | 1.84 | 1.17    |      |      | 0.122   |
| Unemployed                                  | 1.23                   | 1.62 | 0.79 | 0.122   | 1.23 | 1.62 | 0.79    |      |      | 0.122   |
| Student (Ref.)                              |                        |      |      |         |      |      |         |      |      |         |
| **Migrant background**                      |                        |      |      |         |      |      |         |      |      |         |
| Yes                                         | 1.32                   | 1.57 | 1.02 | <0.001  | 1.32 | 1.57 | <0.001  |      |      | <0.001  |
| No (Ref.)                                   |                        |      |      |         |      |      |         |      |      |         |
| **Daily smoking**                           |                        |      |      |         |      |      |         |      |      |         |
| Yes                                         | 4.25                   | 3.71 | 5.21 | <0.001  | 4.25 | 3.71 | <0.001  |      |      | <0.001  |
| No (Ref.)                                   |                        |      |      |         |      |      |         |      |      |         |
| **High-risk alcohol consumption**           |                        |      |      |         |      |      |         |      |      |         |
| Yes                                         | 1.18                   | 1.18 | 1.19 | <0.001  | 1.18 | 1.18 | <0.001  |      |      | <0.001  |
| No (Ref.)                                   |                        |      |      |         |      |      |         |      |      |         |
| **Daily use of tranquilizers/sleeping pills** |                       |      |      |         |      |      |         |      |      |         |
| Yes                                         | 4.07                   | 3.10 | 5.38 | <0.001  | 4.07 | 3.10 | <0.001  |      |      | <0.001  |
| No (Ref.)                                   |                        |      |      |         |      |      |         |      |      |         |
| **Daily use of painkillers**                |                        |      |      |         |      |      |         |      |      |         |
| Yes                                         | 5.23                   | 5.45 | 5.27 | <0.001  | 5.23 | 5.45 | <0.001  |      |      | <0.001  |
| No (Ref.)                                   |                        |      |      |         |      |      |         |      |      |         |
| **Doing sports**                            |                        |      |      |         |      |      |         |      |      |         |
| Yes                                         | 0.73                   | 0.76 | 0.68 | <0.001  | 0.73 | 0.76 | <0.001  |      |      | <0.001  |
| No (Ref.)                                   |                        |      |      |         |      |      |         |      |      |         |
| **Body mass index (BMI)** (kg/m²)            |                        |      |      |         |      |      |         |      |      |         |
| >30                                         | 1.12                   | 0.96 | 1.39 | 0.177   | 1.12 | 0.96 | 1.39    |      |      | 0.177   |
| 25–30                                       | 0.85                   | 0.86 | 0.84 | 0.177   | 0.85 | 0.86 | 0.84    |      |      | 0.177   |
| <25 (Ref.)                                  |                        |      |      |         |      |      |         |      |      |         |
| **Year**                                    |                        |      |      |         |      |      |         |      |      |         |
| 2015                                        | 0.80                   | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.003   | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.003   |      |      | 0.003   |
| 2011 (Ref.)                                 |                        |      |      |         |      |      |         |      |      |         |

* BMI >30: obese; 25–30: overweight; <25: underweight/normal weight
drinks, encouraging daily smokers to consume energy drinks in excess.

The associations between chronic heavy use of energy drinks and the other unhealthy behaviours substantiated in this study suggest that high use may be part of a social pattern in health lifestyles [41, 42], since health lifestyles “comprise patterns of health-related behaviour, values, and attitudes adapted by groups of individuals in response to their social, cultural and economic environment” [41]. Single health behaviours tend to cluster but are also influenced by social characteristics like status and class, so it makes sense that chronic heavy energy drink use was strongly associated both with other health-relevant behaviours and also with social determinants like education. Other researchers have identified negative relationships between unhealthy behaviours and educational resources in young adult Swiss men [22, 43–45]. Our results complement European data which indicated that education was one of the strongest socio-demographic predictors of energy drink use [14]. If we had not analysed energy drink use in a socially diverse sample, we might have missed the social gradient in chronic heavy energy drink consumption. We found that consuming energy drinks excessively and chronically was linked to employment status and migration background. Employed respondents were more likely than students to consume energy drinks more than once a day. Both occupational conditions and migration background may indicate health contexts which shape the healthiness of the participants’ lifestyles. Chronic heavy consumption of energy drinks may be a facet of the health lifestyles of young men – valuable information for those who want to design prevention measures.

Our study has some limitations. First, all of our variables were based on self-reports, which have been shown to be susceptible to social desirability biases. Thus, underreporting may have occurred in some of the health behaviour-related variables, such as smoking or alcohol use. Second, local administrative records do not include the number of men the army recruitment centres processed each day, so we cannot know the exact response rate, but field staff reported that recruits rarely refused to fill in the questionnaire. A study that used a very similar sampling procedure in two of those recruitment centres reported their response rate as 95% [43]. Third, the sampling procedure in the recruitment centres excluded women and non-citizens, so our results cannot be generalised beyond Swiss men aged 18–21. Future studies should examine patterns of chronic heavy energy drink consumption in large and socially diverse samples of girls and women. Fourth, the measure of energy drink use was based on a single question about the frequency of energy drink consumption, but did not assess the amount consumed. To determine the exact proportion of people exceeding the safe caffeine intake rate, and thus at risk of adverse health effects caused by chronic heavy energy drink use, we would need to collect new data on consumption levels, and we suggest future researchers do so. Fifth, there was no definition of energy drinks in the questionnaire, so some men may have included sports drinks (e.g., high mineral drinks) in their tally, which would have resulted in an overestimation of consumption.

Conclusion

Our nation-wide survey on energy drink use among young Swiss men revealed remarkably high rates of chronic heavy energy drink consumption: 7.51% in 2011 and 6.00% in 2015. The study identified a strong link between chronic heavy energy drink consumption and unhealthy behaviours, especially substance use (heavy use of painkillers, tranquillizers and daily smoking). The chances a young Swiss man would be a chronic heavy energy drink consumer were roughly four to five times higher if he also used painkillers or tranquillizers daily. The co-occurrence of chronic heavy energy drink consumption and unhealthy behaviours and their association with social characteristics suggest lifestyle plays a central role in the emergence and distribution of energy drink consumption. If multiple daily energy drink consumption is an expression of a general pattern of unhealthy behaviour, then measures that address associated lifestyles may help prevent substance use in chronic heavy energy drink consumers.
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