Experimental evaluation does not reveal a direct effect of microRNA from the callipyge locus on DLK1 expression
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Abstract

Background: Polar overdominance at the ovine callipyge (CLPG) locus involves the post-transcriptional trans-inhibition of DLK1 in skeletal muscle of CLPG/CLPG sheep. The abundant maternally expressed microRNAs (miRNAs) mapping to the imprinted DLK1-GTL2 domain are prime candidate mediators of this trans-effect.

Results: We have tested the affinity of 121 miRNAs processed from this locus for DLK1 by co-transfecting COS1 cells with a vector expressing the full-length ovine DLK1 with corresponding mimic miRNAs. None of the tested miRNAs was able to down regulate DLK1 to the extent observed in vivo.

Conclusions: This suggests that other factors, with or without these miRNAs, are involved in mediating the observed trans-effect.
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Background

The callipyge phenotype is a muscular hypertrophy that is exclusively expressed by heterozygous sheep inheriting the CLPG mutation from their sire (genotype +Mat/CLPGPat). This non-Mendelian mode of inheritance with parent-of-origin effect is referred to as polar overdominance [1,2]. The underlying increase in the proportion and size of fast twitch muscle fibers is thought to be caused by ectopic expression of DLK1 and/or PEG11 (also known as RTL1) protein in skeletal muscle of +Mat/CLPGPat animals. This ectopic expression results from the inactivation – by the CLPG A to G point mutation – of a muscle-specific silencer element that post-natally downregulates the expression of DLK1 and PEG11 in cis [3-6]. CLPGMat+/+Pat animals do not express the phenotype because DLK1 and PEG11 genes are imprinted and only expressed from the paternal allele. It is thought that CLPG/CLPG animals do not express the phenotype because of the additional ectopic expression of non-coding RNAs (ncRNAs) that are (i) imprinted and expressed from the maternal allele, (ii) controlled in cis by the same muscle-specific silencer element, and (iii) post transcriptionally down-regulating DLK1 and PEG11 in trans [7]. Maternally expressed ncRNA genes in the CLPG locus include four long ncRNAs (lncRNA) (GTL2, anti-PEG11, RIAN and MIRG) as well as 110 and 47 embedded miRNAs and C/D snoRNAs, respectively [8-10]. For PEG11 the trans-inhibition results from RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC)-mediated cleavage of PEG11 transcripts by at least three perfectly complementary miRNAs processed from the maternally expressed anti-PEG11 lncRNA [11]. For DLK1, the mechanism of the trans-inhibition remains unknown. The present working model for the callipyge phenomenology is summarized in Additional file 1: Figure S1.

When compared to +Mat/CLPGPat animals, DLK1 levels in CLPG/CLPG animals are more severely reduced at the protein (~10-fold) than at the mRNA (~3-fold) level [5,12,13]. This pattern is compatible with a miRNA-mediated effect that would affect mRNA stability and translation. It made the abundant miRNAs from...
the DLK1-GTL2 domain prime candidate mediators of the observed *trans*-inhibition. However, bioinformatic analyses did not reveal a striking affinity of any of these miRNAs for DLK1. At best, there was some evidence suggesting that they might have an unusual affinity for the open reading frame (ORF) of DLK1 when assumed to act jointly as a team [10]. As bioinformatic target predictions reputedly have limited sensitivity and specificity, we wanted to experimentally evaluate the effect of the miRNAs from the DLK1-GTL2 domain on ovine DLK1.

**Results**

Given the prior evidence that (i) the miRNA from the DLK1-GTL2 domain might target the ORF of DLK1 rather than its 3′ untranslated region (UTR) [10], and (ii) that their effect might be more pronounced on protein than mRNA concentrations [5], we decided to develop a reporter assay that would (i) express full-length ovine DLK1 transcripts including 5′ UTR, ORF and 3′ UTR, and (ii) assay protein rather than mRNA levels. We first performed 5′ and 3′ rapid amplification of cDNA ends (RACE) experiments using RNA from sheep skeletal muscle to accurately map the predominant transcription start and poly-adenylation sites of DLK1 (see Additional file 1: Figure S2). We then assembled a 1,405-bp fragment corresponding to 209-bp of ovine upstream sequence (encompassing the transcription start site mapped 174-bp upstream of the ATG start codon), 954-bp of ORF corresponding to the ovine DLK1 C2 isoform appended with a 9-residue human influenza haemagglutinin (HA) carboxyterminal tag, and 242-bp of downstream sequences including an *Xhol* restriction site (6-bp) and the 236-bp ovine DLK1 3′ UTR (ending exactly at the position of the ovine poly-adenylation site) (see Additional file 1: Figure S3A; Additional file 1: Text S1). We selected the C2 isoform of DLK1 as (i) it is at least 100 times more abundant than the A isoform in ovine skeletal muscle between -2 and +8 weeks relative to birth, and (ii) the ratio of the transcript levels of the C2 and A isoforms is not affected by *CLPG* genotype (implying that both isoforms encompass the determinants required for the *trans*-effect)[5,14]. The HA tag was introduced because available anti-human DLK1 antibodies did not effectively recognize ovine DLK1 (data not shown). The corresponding fragment was cloned in a slightly modified pcDNA3.1(-) vector, 1-bp downstream of the vector-specific transcriptional start site and 30-bp upstream of the vector-specific bovine growth hormone polyadenylation site. The resulting construct (p3.1M-DLK1-HA) was completely sequenced to confirm its integrity. It was shown, upon transfection in COS1 cells, (i) to generate a transcript of expected size (~1.4-kb) (Northern blotting), (ii) bounded by the vector-specific transcription start and polyadenylation sites (and hence appended with 1-base of upstream and 30-bases of downstream vector-specific sequences) (5′ and 3′ RACE), and (iii) producing a protein of expected size recognized by anti-HA antibodies (~34 kDa after deglycosylation, Western blotting) (see Additional file 1: Figure S3). Within the examined range, DLK1 amounts estimated by phosphorimaging and densitometry increased linearly with loaded quantities (r² = 0.98) (see Additional file 1: Figure S4).

We designed 121 mimic miRNAs, corresponding to one or two isomirs for 108 of the 110 miRNA species from the DLK1-GTL2 domain detected in ovine muscle [10] (see Additional file 2: Table S1 and Methods). In addition, we designed one perfectly complementary anti-DLK1 siRNA as positive control and two miRNAs, corresponding to scrambled miR-127 and miR-377, as negative controls (hereafter referred to as siRNA, NC1, and NC2, respectively).

We transfected COS1 cells with a mixture of DLK1-expressing p3.1M-DLK1-HA vector, GFP-expressing pcDNA3-GFP vector (to evaluate and correct for variation in transfection efficiency and gel-loaded protein amount), and individual mimic miRNA (Figure 1A). We performed ≥4 independent transfections for each miRNA, and ran ≥2 independent Western blot experiments per transfection, yielding an average of 8.3 usable measures per miRNA. DLK1 amounts, estimated by densitometry, were normalized to GFP amounts as detailed in Methods (see Additional file 1: Figure S5).

As expected (because of its perfect complementarity and predicted cleavage activity), the siRNA positive control exhibited a strong inhibitory effect. It behaved as a complete outlier, reducing the amount of DLK1 ~3-fold when compared to the average of the two negative controls, and this effect was highly significant (p = 2 × 10⁻⁴⁶) (Figure 1B and see Additional file 2: Table S2). Seven of the 121 tested miRNAs significantly (p ≤0.05/121 = 4 × 10⁻⁸) altered DLK1 levels when compared to the average of the negative controls. One of these caused a reduction in DLK1 levels (miR-329a-3p, 1.25-fold reduction, p = 3.8 × 10⁻⁵), while the remaining six increased amounts of DLK1 (≤1.28-fold increase, p ≥1 × 10⁻⁸). Overall, the 121 miRNAs and the two negative controls appeared to belong to one population (Figure 1B). Accordingly, when compared to the average of all miRNAs (except for the siRNA), one miRNA significantly decreased DLK1 levels (miR-329a-3p, 1.31-fold reduction, p = 3.2 × 10⁻⁵), and two significantly increased DLK1 levels (miR-376a-5p, 1.25-fold increase, p = 5 × 10⁻⁷; miR-432-3p, 1.18-fold increase, p = 1.8 × 10⁻⁵). MiR-329b-3p, which differs from miR-329a-3p at only two residues near the 3′ end, reduced DLK1 amounts 1.1-fold when compared to the overall average, but this was not significant when accounting for multiple testing (p = 0.047). MiR-329a-3p
and miR-329b-3p have two 6-mer matches in the ORF and one in the 3' UTR. However, neither of these is evolutionarily conserved (see Additional file 1: Figure S6). miR-329a-3p and miR-329b-3p accounted respectively for 0.0014% and 0.19% of miRNA molecules derived from the DLK1-GTL2 domain and 0.00043% and 0.056% of total miRNA molecules in skeletal muscle of CLPG/CLPG animals [10]. It is also noteworthy that we did not observe a convincing correlation between effect on DLK1 levels and bioinformatically predicted affinity for DLK1, whether using seed-based scores [15] or Miranda [16], and whether considering the 3' UTR, the ORF, the 5' UTR or the full-length DLK1 transcript (see Additional file 1: Figure S7; Additional file 2: Table S2).

Taken together, these data suggest that none of the tested miRNAs effectively regulates DLK1. Although the effect of miR-329a-3p is significant, we consider the evidence insufficient to claim that it is biologically relevant. Indeed, (i) the effects of the 121 tested miRNAs are approximately normally distributed, encompass the effects of the two negative controls, and are not correlated with predicted affinity for DLK1, (ii) there are more miRNAs that have a significant positive effect than miRNAs that have a negative effect on DLK1 expression (which is unlikely to reflect a genuine biological activity given the known modus operandi of miRNAs), (iii) the effect of miR-329a-3p becomes insignificant when considered jointly with the closely related miR-329b-3p, (iv) the
expression level of miR-329a-3p in ovine skeletal muscle is extremely low. What is certain is that none of the tested miRNAs is capable – under the utilized conditions - to single-handedly downregulate DLK1 to the extent that is observed in vivo in skeletal muscle of CLPG/CLPG sheep.

It remains possible that the observed trans-inhibition of DLK1 results from the synergistic action of multiple miRNAs. Although we could obviously not test all combinations of miRNAs, we transfected COS1 cells with pools of seven miRNAs chosen (i) amongst the most inhibiting, and (ii) most activating miRNAs. The pools of extremes inhibited, respectively enhanced, DLK1 expression to a degree similar to their constituent miRNAs, but did not reveal convincing evidence for a synergistic effect (Figure 1C and see Additional file 1: Figure S8).

**Discussion**

The aim of this study was to experimentally verify whether any of the miRNAs processed from the ovine DLK1-GTL2 domain might post-transcriptionally down-regulate DLK1 to a degree that is commensurate with what is observed in skeletal muscle of CLPG/CLPG sheep. Although one miRNA (miR-329a-3p) yielded an effect deemed significant even when accounting for multiple testing, it was not considered to be relevant with regards to the trans-inhibition of DLK1 in CLPG/CLPG animals for the reasons given above. Of note, when performing ANOVA analysis the “miRNA effect” (not including the three controls) was highly significant (p $< 2 \times 10^{-16}$). Thus, the effects of at least some miRNAs are repeatable despite being modest. This could reflect subtle effects on DLK1, on GFP, or on the cellular machinery.

Negative results are in essence uncomfortable to interpret. They may be false negatives because the established reporter assay misses an essential component that is required for the responsible miRNAs to exert their effect. MiRNA-mediated regulation of DLK1 may require auxiliary trans-acting factors not expressed in COS1 cells. The expressed transcripts corresponded for >93% to the ovine DLK1 C2 mRNA, yet included 36-bases of extra upstream, 33-bases of extra internal (HA tag), and 30-bases of extra downstream sequence, and these may have affected miRNA-target interactions. To discriminate between a miRNA-dependent versus independent mechanism, we have conducted immuno-precipitation experiments using antibodies directed against the Argonaut components of the RISC [17], hoping to show an increase in DLK1 co-immunoprecipitation in skeletal muscle of CLPG/CLPG when compared to +Mat/CLPGPat animals, but have so far failed to obtain convincing results (data not shown).

Alternatively, our results may be true negatives and indicate that the trans-inhibition of DLK1 observed in vivo is not a post-transcriptional regulation mediated directly by miRNAs processed from the DLK1-GTL2 domain. It is worthwhile noting in this regard that, while the larger effect on DLK1 protein than on DLK1 transcripts is reminiscent of early reports of the modus operandi of the archetypical animal miRNA lin-4 on its lin-28 and lin-14 targets in *C. elegans*, lin-4’s mode of action has since been revisited and shown to be more in line with the canonical one in which mRNA destabilization accounts for the major component of repression [18,19]. Moreover, the ~3-fold and ~10-fold down-regulation of DLK1 observed respectively at the mRNA and protein levels in vivo would be rather extreme for miRNA-dependent effects [20,21]. Alternative hypotheses include a direct post-transcriptional effect of one or several of the maternally expressed IncRNAs, or an indirect post-transcriptional effect of anyone of the ncRNAs from the domain. As for most IncRNAs, little is known about the function of the maternally expressed GTL2, RIAN and MIRG genes. It has been suggested that GTL2 recruits Polycomb Repressive Complex 2 (PRC2) to down-regulate the reciprocally imprinted DLK1 gene in cis [22]. Preliminary results of the co-transfection of COS1 cells with p3.1M-DLK1-HA with a vector expressing the predominant isoform of ovine GTL2 (corresponding to human GTL2 variant 1, NR_002766.2) does not support a direct inhibitory trans-effect of GTL2 on DLK1 expression, on the contrary (see Additional file 1: Figure S9). The hypothesis of an indirect effect posits that the maternally expressed ncRNAs affect targets that do not originate from the DLK1-GTL2 locus, and that these in turn affect DLK1 expression. Such indirect effects may manifest themselves by changes in transcript levels that could be studied by comparing the transcriptome (including small RNAs) of CLPGMat/+Pat with that of +/+ animals, and efforts towards that goal are in progress. Along similar lines, Bidwell et al. [23] recently showed that muscle of CLPG/CLPG animals exhibited lower expression of a group of key regulatory genes for muscle development (e.g. ZFP106, RPS6KA3, MEF2A), that might render them less responsive to the hypertrophic stimuli of the paternal allele-specific genes.

The apparently stronger effect on DLK1 protein (~10-fold) than on DLK1 transcript (~3-fold) level suggests a post-transcriptional mechanism. This hypothesis received considerable support from the demonstration of the RISC-mediated cleavage of PEG11 by miRNAs processed from anti-PEG11 [11]. However, we cannot totally exclude a transcriptional mechanism. It may be worthwhile, in this regard, to perform ribosome profiling [24] experiments to verify whether the predicted translational inhibition of DLK1 transcripts in CLPG/CLPG animals...
when compared to $+^{\text{Mat}}/\text{CLPG}^{\text{Pat}}$ animals is indeed observed. Lack of such effect would force us to re-evaluate scenarios involving transcriptional regulation.

More work will be needed to gain a full understanding of the molecular mechanisms underlying the trans-inhibition of DLK1, which is key element of polar overdominance at the CLPG locus.

Conclusions
Contrary to that of PEG11, the trans-inhibition of DLK1 that is observed in CLPG/CLPG sheep and lies at the heart of polar overdominance, may not depend on post-transcriptional regulation by miRNAs from the DLK1-GTL2 domain.

Methods
Ethical statement
All animal procedures were carried out in strict accordance with the recommendations in the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of Utah State University and approved by the Animal Ethics Committees of Utah State University (IACUC #386).

RACE
The 5’ and 3’ ends of DLK1 transcripts were determined using the GeneRacer Kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, total RNA was isolated either from skeletal muscle (longissimus dorsi) of 2-week-old sheep of the four possible CLPG genotypes (+/+; $+^{\text{Mat}}/\text{CLPG}^{\text{Pat}}$, $\text{CLPG}^{\text{Mat}}/+^{\text{Pat}}$, CLPG/CLPG), or from COS1 cells 24 hrs after transfection with the ovine DLK1 expressing p3.1M-DLK1-HA vector. For 5’ RACE, 3 μg of total RNA was used for ligation of the GeneRacer RNA Oligo to the 5’ end of RNA with a 5’ cap structure. RNA was then reverse transcribed using SuperScript III reverse transcriptase using diluted PCR products with the GeneRacer 5’ and 3’ cDNA synthesis. For 3’ RACE, cDNA was synthesized using the GeneRacer Oligo dT primer. These cDNAs were then amplified with the GeneRacer 5’ and DLK1- specific 5’ GSP-A primers for 5’ RACE, and the GeneRacer 3’ and DLK1-specific 3’ GSP-S primers for 3’ RACE, respectively. Nested PCR amplifications were performed using diluted PCR products with the GeneRacer 5’ nested and DLK1-specific 5’ NGSP-A primers for 5’ RACE, and the GeneRacer 3’ nested and DLK1-specific 3’ NGSP-S primers for 3’ RACE, respectively. For both 5’ and 3’ RACE PCRs, we used the Expand Long Template PCR System (Roche Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland) with PCR conditions: 94°C for 2 min; 10 cycles of 94°C for 10 sec, 62°C for 30 sec and 68°C for 1 min; 22 cycles of 94°C for 15 sec, 62°C for 30 sec and 68°C for 1 min; and 68°C for 7 min. We used the Phusion DNA polymerase (Finnzymes, Espoo, Finland) for the 3’ RACE PCRs using touchdown PCR condition: 98°C for 30 sec; 8 cycles of 98°C for 15 sec, 66°C for 20 sec and 72°C for 40 sec; 20 cycles of 98°C for 15 sec, 62°C for 20 sec and 72°C for 40 sec; and 72°C for 3 min. The nested PCR products were analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis, purified from the gel, and sequenced either directly or after subcloning in the pCR2.1 TA cloning vector (Invitrogen) to determine the 5’ and 3’ ends of the DLK1 transcripts. All primer sequences are listed in Additional file 2: Table S3.

Generation of the p3.1M-DLK1-HA DLK1 expression vector
The ovine DLK1 ORF (C2 isoform) was PCR-amplified using ovine skeletal muscle cDNA with primers p3.1-3S and p3.1-3A containing XbaI and Xhol sites at their 5’ ends, respectively. The reverse primer (p3.1-CA) included a HA tag sequence (ACGGTATCTGCG ACCTCGTATGGGTA) to add the HA epitope at the carboxyterminus of ovine DLK1 protein. The XbaI/Xhol double-digested PCR fragment was cloned into the XbaI/Xhol sites of the pcDNA3.1(−) mammalian expression vector (Invitrogen) to create an intermediate vector p3.1-DLK1-UTR. The 5’ UTR and a part of the coding sequence of ovine DLK1 was amplified from genomic DNA using the Expand Long Template PCR system with primers p3.1-5S containing an XbaI site at the 5’ end and p3.1-5A encompassing an endogenous NcoI site in the middle of the primer. The ovine DLK1 3’ UTR was PCR-amplified using genomic DNA with primers, p3.1-3S and p3.1-3A containing XhoI and EcoRI sites at their 5’ ends, respectively. The two resultant PCR fragments were double-digested with XbaI/NcoI and Xhol/EcoRI, respectively, and inserted sequentially into the p3.1-DLK1-UTR vector at the corresponding sites to generate the p3.1-DLK1-FL-HA vector. To minimize vector sequence in the transcripts (more specifically the multiple cloning region between the transcription start and end sites of the vector), we modified the original pcDNA3.1(−) vector (referred to as p3.1M). We introduced an XbaI recognition site adjacent to the putative transcriptional start site and an EcoRI site upstream of the bovine growth hormone polyadenylation signal of the vector using the Quickchange lightening site-directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA) with oligonucleotides pc3.1-XbaI-intro-U/D and pc3.1- EcoRI-intro-U/D, respectively. The insert of the p3.1- DLK1-FL-HA (containing the HA tagged full-length of ovine DLK1) was excised by an XbaI/EcoRI double-digestion and transferred to the modified p3.1M vector at the corresponding sites to generate the final p3.1M-DLK1-HA vector. Orientation and integrity of the 1,405-bp insert was confirmed by sequencing using the BigDye Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing kits and the 3730 DNA Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) in three sequencing reactions using primers, Seq-S, Seq-A,
and 3’ NGSP-S. Primer information is available in Additional file 2: Table S3.

**Mimic miRNAs – choice of the system**

To co-express miRNAs from the DLK1-GTL2 domain with the vector expressing DLKI, we initially elected to clone the corresponding miRNA precursors in expression vectors and co-transfect them. The hope was that one vector would allow for the expression of multiple miRNA species and isomirs (one miRNA precursor can give rise to two miRNA “species” (from the 5p and 3p arms, respectively), that can each come in multiple forms or “isomirs”), and that this would optimally mimic the condition prevailing in vivo at minimum cost. We realized, however, that the expression levels of the different miRNA species varied enormously, without necessarily mimicking the in vivo ratios [10], at concentrations that would often be <1% of endogenous let7d levels (data not shown). As this would make comparisons between miRNAs nearly impossible, we opted for the use of synthetic “mimic” miRNAs.

**Mimic miRNAs – molecular design**

We evaluated the efficacy of different designs of mimic miRNAs using the luciferase assay described in Takeda et al. [17] (see Additional file 1: Figure S10). It is based on the pRL-4×Tex and pRL-4×Rom vectors, that each contains four tandem repeats respectively with and without miR-1 target sites. We compared four types of mimic miRNAs: (i) an unmodified RNA duplex corresponding to the endogenous mature and star ovine miR-1 miRNAs (hence with bulge, wobble base pairs and mismatch), (ii) an unmodified RNA duplex corresponding to the mature ovine miR-1 and its perfect reverse complement, with 2-nt 3’ overhangs at both ends (UU for the passenger strand), (iii) the same as in (ii) but with modified 5’ ends aimed at promoting the incorporation of the guide strand into the RISC complex: 5’ P (phosphate) for the mature miRNA strand and/or 5’ NH2 for the passenger strand, and (iv) the proprietary miR-1 miRNA Precursor purchased from Ambion. While type (i) (i.e. a copy of the endogenous double-stranded miRNA) did not have any effect, types (ii), (iii) and (iv) worked equally well. We opted for type (ii) with unmodified mature strand and 5’ NH2 modified passenger strand. The 3’ overhangs for all passenger strands corresponded to UU dinucleotides. Corresponding oligonucleotides were purchased from GenePharma (Shanghai, China).

**Mimic miRNAs – sequence determination**

To select representative mimic miRNA sequences for all miRNAs from the DLK1-GTL2 domain, we simultaneously utilized (i) previously generated RNA-Seq information that was used to establish a catalogue of miRNAs expressed in ovine skeletal muscle with special emphasis on the DLK1-GTL2 domain [10], (ii) miRNA characteristics inferred from meta-analyses of mouse and Drosophila melanogaster small RNAs [25,26], and (iii) information of human, mouse, and bovine orthologs from miRBase [27]. In most cases, the selected sequences corresponded to the most abundant isomirs in the ovine RNA-Seq data, matching the miRBase registered sequences, and formed a canonical mature and star miRNA duplex with 3’ overhangs. To those, we added (i) “star” sequences that were not registered in the miRBase but were observed in our RNA-Seq data, and (ii) alternative isomir with 5’ heterogeneity that represented more than one third of the reads of a corresponding miRBase-registered miRNA or with more than 200 reads in any of the RNA-Seq libraries. A-to-I editing [10] or untemplated nucleotide addition (data not shown) were observed in some of miRNAs from the DLK1-GTL2 domain. This was accounted for by synthesizing isomirs with mixed bases at the corresponding sites. The complete list of 121 tested mimic miRNAs is provided in Additional file 2: Table S1. MiRNAs derived from the 5p and 3p arms of a miRNA hairpin precursor are suffixed with -5p and -3p, respectively, irrespective of their relative expression levels.

To design negative control miRNA, we first shuffled sequences of five miRNAs (oar-miR-433-3p, 127-3p, 3957-3p, 377-3p, and 376c-3p) ten times each. We then counted the number of 8-mer, 7mer-A1, 7mer-m8, and 6-mer seed matches [15] of these shuffled sequences to the full-length ovine DLK1C2 isoform. In addition, to minimize off-target effects on unintended endogenous RNAs, we predicted the number of genome wide conserved miRNA target sites across most mammals using the TargetScan Custom software [28,29]. Two of the shuffled sequences that showed neither 6-, 7- nor 8-mer seed matches to the ovine DLK1 and the lowest number of predicted genome-wide conserved target sites (seven and one conserved targets, respectively) were selected as negative controls (designated as Scr-oar-miR-127-3p (NC1) and Scr-oar-miR-377-3p (NC2)). In addition, we purchased one siRNA targeting the ovine DLK1 ORF by using the BLOCK-iT RNAi Designer (Invitrogen), to be used as positive control.

**Cell culture and transient transfection**

COS1 cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and 0.1 mM non-essential amino acids, 100 units/ml penicillin and 100 mg/ml streptomycin at 37°C (GIBCO, Renfrewshire, UK). One day before transfection, 0.5 × 10^5 cells were seeded in a 12-well plate in 800 μl of growth medium without antibiotics. We then co-
transfected the cells with 200 μl of Opti-MEM medium (GIBCO) containing 200 ng of the p3.1M-DLK1-HA vector, 400 ng of pcDNA3-GFP vector (Plasmid ID: 13031 obtained from Addgene, Cambridge, MA) along with 40 pmols of synthetic mimic miRNA (GenePharma)(final concentration 40 nM) or 5 pmols of siRNA (Invitrogen) using 4 μl of Lipofectamin 2000 (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Dual fluorescence Western blotting
Total protein was extracted from COS1 cells 24 hrs after transfection using a modified RIPA buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.6), 150 mM NaCl, 1% Igepal CA-630, 0.25% sodium deoxycholate, 1 mM EDTA and the complete protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche). The lysate was centrifuged at 12,000 × g for 15 min at 4°C and protein concentration of the supernatant was determined.

Total RNA was isolated from COS1 cells 24 hrs after transfection using the micro BCA Protein Assay Kit (Pierce) and reverse transcribed with the M-MLV reverse transcriptase (Promega) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Eight μg of total RNA were subjected to Northern blot analysis using probes related to the transcriptional factors expected to regulate DLK1 expression and loading control (GFP). The blots were visualized using chemiluminescence (Amersham) and quantified using the ImageQuant software (GE healthcare). For normalization, the band intensities were first divided by the corresponding membrane-specific averages (not including siRNA), yielding "relative" DLK1, GFP, Tubulin amounts. The relative DLK1 amounts were then divided by either the corresponding relative GFP or Tubulin amounts, yielding "corrected" DLK1 amounts. We performed more than four independent transfections of cells for each mimic miRNA, and ran more than two independent Western blot experiments per transfection. MiRNA effect on the DLK1 expression was estimated by comparing the mean of the corrected DLK1 amounts for a given miRNA either to the global mean (not including siRNA data) or to the average of NC1 and NC2 data.

Deglycosylation
As DLK1 has been reported to be N- and O-glycosylated [30], we carried out Western blot analysis using proteins treated with the Protein Deglycosylation mix (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, COS1 cells transfected with the p3.1M-DLK1-HA vector were harvested in the Phosphate Buffered Saline (GIBCO) supplied with 1% Igepal CA-630 and the complete protease inhibitor cocktail. Twenty μg of protein were denatured at 99°C for 10 min and treated at 37°C for 4 hrs with a mixture of deglycosylation enzymes that were expected to remove all N-linked and simple O-linked glycans, as well as some complex O-linked glycan. Western blot was performed as described above.

Northern blot analysis
Total RNA was isolated from COS1 cells 24 hrs after transfection with the p3.1M-DLK1-HA vector using the Trizol reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Ten μg of total RNA was denatured at 50°C for 10 min in 20 μl of 1 × MOPS buffer (20 mM 3-(N-morpholino)propanesulfonic acid, 5 mM sodium acetate, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, pH 7.0) supplied with 2.4 M formaldehyde and 50% formamide. The RNA was mixed with loading buffer (final 5% glycerol, 0.025% bromophenol blue, 0.025% xylene cyanol) and subjected to electrophoresis on a 1% agarose gel containing 1.75 M formaldehyde in 1 × MOPS buffer at 5 V/cm for 3.5 hrs. After electrophoresis, the RNA was transferred onto a Hybond-N+ nylon membrane (GE Healthcare) with 10 × SSC buffer (150 mM sodium citrate, 1.5 M NaCl, pH 7.0) and UV cross-linked essentially as described in Sambrook et al. [31]. A DNA fragment containing the ovine DLK1 ORF region (857-bp) was gel-purified after digesting the plasmid p3.1M-DLK1-HA with NcoI and BglII and labeled with [α-32P] dCTP (GE Healthcare) using the Prime-It II random primer labeling kit (Stratagene). The membrane was pre-hybridized with the
ULTRAhyb buffer (Ambion) at 42°C for 2 hrs and then hybridized with 1 × 10^6 cpm/ml of the ^32P-labeled probe at 45°C overnight. Membranes were washed twice at 55°C for 20 min in 2 × SSC and 0.1% SDS, then twice at 55°C for 30 min in 0.1 × SSC and 0.1% SDS. Membranes were exposed to the Hyperfilm ECL film (GE Healthcare) for 3 hrs.

Generation of ovine GTL2 expression vector

Ovine GTL2 sequence (see Additional file 1: Text S2) was PCR-amplified from cDNA synthesized with random hexamers from longissimus dorsi skeletal muscle RNA of an 8-week-old CLPG/CLPG animal using the Phusion DNA polymerase with primers, ovGTL2-FL-S1 and ovGTL2-FL-A1 (see Additional file 2: Table S3). The resultant PCR product was sub-cloned into the pCRII-blunt-TOPO vector (Invitrogen) and subjected to DNA sequencing for confirmation. The insert was excised with EcoRI and ligated into the corresponding site of the pcDNA3.1(–) vector to produce the p3.1-GTL2 vectors that express ovine GTL2 in sense and antisense orientations, respectively. Integrity of the insert was confirmed by sequencing.

In silico affinity score of miRNA to DLK1

Affinity scores of each miRNA for ovine DLK1 transcript from the p3.1M-DLK1-HA were computed using Miranda v3.3a software using the miRNA sequences (see Additional file 2: Table S1) and the ovine DLK1 5' UTR, ORF, 3' UTR, and full-length sequences (see Additional file 1: Text S1). For the Grimson seed match score, we simply counted the number of sites complementary to miRNA seed sequences (8mer, 7mer-A1, 7mer-m8, 6mer, and 6mer-offset seed matches [15]) on the DLK1 sequences. Pearson correlation coefficients between the prediction scores and the corrected DLK1 amounts (DLK1/GFP) (without controls) and its significant levels were calculated using standard procedures.
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