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SUMMARY – In colorectal carcinoma, carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) is a recommended marker for surveillance after curative resection. The aim of the present study was to determine the association of preoperative CEA with recurrence of colorectal carcinoma in our population. The study included 55 patients with all operable stages of colorectal adenocarcinoma treated during the 2012-2014 period, evaluated retrospectively and followed-up for recurrence for 2 years. Data on the baseline (preoperative) CEA levels were retrieved from patient files. On data analysis, SPSS 16.0 was used. In patients with normal preoperative CEA, the rate of recurrence was significantly low (p=0.008) and the likelihood of no recurrence 1.55-fold greater as compared to patients with raised initial CEA levels (p=0.028). In patients with raised preoperative CEA, the risk of recurrence was 5.26-fold greater as compared to those with normal CEA levels (p=0.028). A significant weak positive correlation (r=0.297) was found between raised CEA and recurrence. A highly significant (p=0.002) moderate positive correlation was recorded in patients aged <50 and moderate positive correlation of borderline significance in males (r=0.324, p=0.058). Sensitivity was 94.4% and specificity 32.4% in predicting recurrence. Accordingly, preoperative elevated CEA showed a significant weak positive correlation with recurrence while normal preoperative CEA moderately decreased the likelihood of recurrence.
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Introduction

Colorectal carcinoma (CRC) is the third most common cancer in the world and second leading cause of death due to cancer¹. In CRC, carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) is a recommended marker for surveillance after curative resection²,³. It is the only reliable serum marker during follow up and consistent elevated CEA is an alarming sign of recurrent disease⁴. Preoperative elevated CEA has also been associated with poor prognosis and recurrence. Many current studies have strongly recommended its addition in current staging system for CRC. Its role in treatment planning of patients undergoing curative resection is being studied extensively. The role of normal CEA levels in ruling out the possibility of either primary or recurrent CRC is also under consideration⁵-⁸.

The aim of the present study was to correlate preoperative CEA levels with recurrence in our local setting. Its variance with age, gender, stage and grade of disease was also studied.

Patients and Methods

It was a descriptive case series. Study population comprised diagnosed CRC patients registered in our hospital during the 2012-2014 period and meeting the inclusion criteria. Ethical approval from the institu-
Institutional review board was obtained prior to the study. It was a retrospective study, so patient consent was not required. Patients with all operable stages of colorectal adenocarcinoma and histopathologically proven colorectal adenocarcinoma with preoperative serum CEA levels recorded were included. Exclusion criteria were pregnancy and secondary malignancy.

Data were collected from archival case files of patients. Patients who did not present for follow up visit for at least two years after treatment were excluded. Patients that met the selection criteria and had presented for regular follow up made the population size for the study. Patients lost during the follow up period were contacted by telephone. Data on patient status were obtained from their relatives and recorded accordingly. Fifty-five CRC patients having baseline (preoperative) CEA levels were evaluated and followed-up for a period of 2 years. Serum CEA level ≤5
ng/mL was considered normal, whereas levels above this value were considered elevated.

Data on the variables of interest, i.e. age, gender, stage and grade of tumor were collected and then correlated with serum CEA levels of patients using SPSS v. 16. The $\chi^2$-test was used for nonparametric analysis of categorical variables. Continuous variables including age and preoperative CEA levels were dichotomized into categorical variables, as follows: age >50 and <50 years; and preoperative CEA levels ≤5 ng/mL considered normal and those >5 ng/mL considered raised for statistical analysis. Stage was also dichotomized as early and late for calculating the risk estimate and correlation coefficient. Stage I and II patients were grouped together as early, and stage III termed as late stage patients.

Spearman coefficient (rho) was used to determine correlation coefficient. Risk estimates were calculated using 2×2 contingency tables and coordinates of the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve were used to assess sensitivity and specificity of the assay keeping recurrence as a state variable. Likelihood ratios were calculated using the formula: $LR+=\text{sensitivity}/1-\text{specificity}$ and $LR-=1-\text{sensitivity}/\text{specificity}$. The p values less than 0.05 were considered significant.

### Results

The present study comprised 55 patients with colorectal adenocarcinoma of all operable stages. Demographic data are described in Figure 1. The male to female ratio was 1.75:1.00. Preoperative serum CEA levels were found to be high in 76.4% (n=42, p<0.0001) and recurrence was observed in 32.7% (n=18) of patients. Normal CEA levels were significantly associated with no recurrence (p=0.008). Thirteen patients had normal preoperative CEA levels and recurrence was observed in only two of them (Fig. 2). Relative risk (RR) estimates were calculated for normal CEA levels and probability of non-recurrent disease. Patient population with normal preoperative CEA levels had 1.55-fold greater likelihood to develop no recurrence as compared to patients with raised initial CEA levels. These results were statistically significant (p=0.028) (Table 1).

On the other hand, raised preoperative CEA significantly increased the risk of recurrence. The risk of disease recurrence was 5.26-fold greater in patients with raised initial CEA levels as compared to those with normal initial CEA levels (p=0.028). When calculated for age, gender, stage and grade, RR did not reach statistical significance (Table 1).

We calculated correlation coefficients (rho) for overall raised preoperative CEA and recurrence. A significant weak positive correlation ($r=0.297$, p=0.028) was found between raised CEA and recurrence. When applied to the patient population aged ≤50, a highly significant ($p=0.002$) moderate positive relationship was found between raised CEA and recurrence ($r=0.471$). In male patients, moderate positive correlation of borderline significance ($r=0.324$, p=0.058) was

### Table 1. Correlation between disease recurrence variables and raised carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) levels using Spearman correlation coefficient ($r$) and relative risk (RR)

| Variable | Comparison type | Correlation coefficient for CEA vs. recurrence | Sig. (2-tailed) | RR of recurrence in raised CEA (95% CI) | Sig. (2-tailed) |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------------------|----------------|
| Age (years) | <50 (n=39) | 0.471 | 0.002 | NA | - |
| | >50 (n=16) | -0.383 | 0.143 | NA | - |
| Gender | Male (n=35) | 0.324 | 0.058 | 4.40 (0.65-29.75) | 0.056 |
| | Female (n=20) | 0.275 | 0.241 | NA | - |
| Stage | Early (n=19) | 0.218 | 0.370 | 2.30 (0.34-15.69) | 0.342 |
| | Late (n=36) | 0.199 | 0.246 | 2.65 (0.40-17.28) | 0.234 |
| Grade | High (n=8) | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.00 (0.20-4.95) | 1.00 |
| | Low (n=47) | 0.250 | 0.090 | 3.97 (0.58-27.05) | 0.086 |
| Overall (N=55) | | 0.297 | 0.028 | 5.26 (0.77-35.83) | 0.028 |

Normal CEA vs. no recurrence (RR) 1.55 (1.15-2.08) 0.028

NA = not assessed (due to less than expected counts in either category)
found (Table 1). There was no significant correlation in the remaining parameters including age >50 years, female patients, low/high grade and early/late stage (Table 1).

Coordinates of the ROC curve were used to determine sensitivity and specificity of preoperative CEA levels in predicting recurrence. Keeping recurrence as positive actual state and considering serum CEA levels >5 ng/ml as raised, sensitivity of the marker was 94.4% and specificity of the assay was 32.4% in predicting recurrence after curative resection.

In patients aged <50, a highly significant association was found between raised CEA and recurrence when calculating correlation coefficient. Sensitivity of the assay in predicting recurrence was 100%, with 46.2% specificity in this group in concordance with the correlation coefficient. Sensitivity of the marker in predicting recurrence was low in early stage as compared with late stage (83.3% vs. 91.7%). Likelihood ratios (LR+ and LR-) were also calculated (Table 2).

The value of LR+ in the overall patient population was 1.39, showing that raised preoperative CEA minimally increased the likelihood of recurrence. On the other hand, LR- was 0.17 showing that normal preoperative CEA indicated moderate decrease in the likelihood of recurrence.

### Discussion

Colorectal carcinoma is the third most common cancer and has fatal outcome. Preoperative CEA levels have shown relationship with disease prognosis in CRC. Many studies have reported strong association between raised CEA levels and recurrence (serum CEA levels >5 ng/mL are conventionally considered raised). Previous literature has reported that CEA is an independent prognostic factor for CRC. However, some recent studies have demonstrated that prognostic efficiency of the marker is not equal in all stages of the disease; there are opinions that 5 ng/mL may not be an optimal cut off for predicting recurrence. Prognostic value of normal preoperative CEA is also an unresolved question.

Our study revealed that a significant patient population with normal preoperative CEA levels had no disease recurrence (14 out of 16 cases free from recurrence, p=0.008). The RR of recurrence was also significantly lower in this group (p=0.028) and patients with normal CEA had 1.55-fold lower risk of recurrence. The lower and upper borders with 95% confidence intervals were close (95% CI: 1.15-2.08) depicting precision as stated in Table 1.

In CRC, 30%-40% of patients are reported to have disease recurrence after curative resection. In our study population, the rate of recurrence was 32.7% (18 out of 55 patients). A recent study showed that 77% of CRC patients who experienced recurrence had raised preoperative CEA levels, while 32% had normal CEA. This percentage of study population with normal CEA having experienced recurrence was attributed to the fact that they may have had either early stage of tumor progression or a non-CEA producing tumor such as poorly differentiated carcinoma.

In our study population, 88.9% of recurrences were recorded in patients with high preoperative CEA.

### Table 2. Sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative likelihood ratios (LR) of preoperative carcinoembryonic antigen in predicting recurrence

| Variable | Comparison type | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | LR+   | LR-  |
|----------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------|------|
| Age (years) | <50 (n=39) | 100 | 46.2 | 1.85 | - |
|          | >50 (n=16) | 80.0 | 0 | 0.80 | - |
| Gender | Male (n=35) | 91.7 | 39.1 | 1.50 | 0.21 |
|         | Female (n=20) | 100 | 15.8 | 1.18 | - |
| Stage | Early (n=19) | 83.3 | 38.5 | 1.35 | 0.43 |
|        | Late (n=36) | 91.7 | 25.0 | 1.22 | 0.33 |
| Grade | High (n=8) | 75 | 25 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
|        | Low (n=47) | 92.9 | 30.3 | 1.33 | 0.23 |
| Overall (N=55) | | 94.4 | 32.4 | 1.39 | 0.17 |
Only 11.1% of patients having recurrence had normal preoperative CEA levels. Good correlation between CEA and recurrence is in concordance with literature data. In our patient population, there were 85.5% of well-differentiated (low-grade) tumors, which may have been the reason for better prognostic efficiency of the marker.

Correlation coefficient also supported the hypothesis and rho was 0.00 in patients with high preoperative CEA and recurrence. RR was also 1.00 showing no risk of recurrence in patients with elevated CEA having high-grade tumor. Patient population with low-grade (well-differentiated) tumor showed weak positive correlation between recurrence and raised preoperative CEA ($r=0.250$); however, statistical significance was not reached ($p=0.090$), maybe due to the small sample size ($n=47$). RR of recurrence in this group was also increased about 4 times (RR=3.97 (0.58-27.05); $p=0.086$) (Table 1).

Overall sensitivity of the raised preoperative CEA in predicting recurrence was 94.4% and specificity 32.4%. Positive predictive value (PPV) and negative predictive value (NPV) was 38.0% and 84.6%, respectively. The marker was found to have high overall sensitivity but low specificity, and the false-positive rate was high. In the current study, out of 42 subjects with raised serum CEA levels, recurrence was recorded in 16 (38.1%) cases. We recorded 61.9% ($n=26$) of false-positive elevations of CEA in our study. Keeping the cut off of 7 ng/mL in a recent study, specificity of 84.1% was achieved but sensitivity decreased to 36.4% in predicting recurrence. The PPV and NPV remained 40.6% and 81.5%, respectively, which was close to our data (38.0% vs. 84.6%)$^{15}$. Low sensitivity of the marker was observed in early stage as compared to late stage (83.3% vs. 91.7%) (Table 2). These results are in concordance with the literature and were attributed to low recurrence rate in early stage, thus increasing the false-positive rate$^{16}$.

Negative and positive likelihood ratios were also calculated. Generally, values $>10$ indicate large and often conclusive increase in the likelihood of disease, and values 1-2 minimally increase the likelihood of disease. If the value is 1, it is indicative of no change in the likelihood of disease. Values 0.5-1.0 indicate minimal decrease in the likelihood of disease. Values 0.2-0.5 indicate small decrease, 0.1-0.2 moderate decrease, and <0.1 indicate large and often conclusive decrease in the likelihood of disease.

In our study population, the likelihood of recurrence ranged from 0.17 to 1.85 (Table 2). In the overall study population, LR+ was 1.39. So, it was inferred that raised preoperative CEA minimally increased the likelihood of recurrence. However, LR-, which was 0.17, showed that normal preoperative CEA moderately decreased the probability of recurrence. Our results favored the use of normal preoperative CEA in predicting nonrecurring disease more than the use of elevated preoperative CEA as a predictor of recurrence.

The best correlation of LR+ values (LR+ 1.85) was found in the <50 age group. In high-grade patients, no change in the likelihood of disease was inferred from the results (LR+ 1 and LR− 1).

There were some limitations in the present study including limited sample size, inadequate and incomplete patient records leading to omission of certain patients who might have been included in the analysis. Moreover, an adequate control group of patients should be included in order to explore the role of serum CEA in CRC prognosis.

Conclusions

A significant patient population (14 out of 16; $p=0.008$) with normal preoperative CEA levels were free from disease recurrence. RR of recurrence was 1.55-fold lower in this group. Conversely, raised preoperative CEA significantly (5.26 times) increased the risk of recurrence as compared with those with normal CEA levels. In the patient population aged <50, a highly significant ($p=0.002$) moderate positive relationship was found between raised CEA and recurrence. Overall sensitivity of the raised preoperative CEA in predicting recurrence was 94.4% and specificity, PPV and NPV were 32.4%, 38.0% and 84.6%, respectively.
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Sažetak

ULOGA PRIJEOPERACIJSKOG KARCINOEMBRIJSKOG ANTIGENA U RECIDIVIRANJU RESEKTABILNOG KOLOREKTALNOG KARCINOMA

M. A. Khan, R. N. Maken, H. Nisar, I Fatima, I. U. Khan, M. Masood i A. B. Shahid

U kolorektalnom karcinomu preporuča se karcinoembrijski antigen (CEA) kao biljeg u praćenju bolesnika nakon resekcije karcinoma. Cilj ovoga istraživanja bio je utvrditi udruženost prijeoperacijske razine CEA s recidiviranjem kolorektalnog karcinoma u našoj populaciji. U istraživanje je bilo uključeno 55 bolesnika sa svim operabilnim stadijima kolorektalnog adenokarcinoma liječenih u razdoblju od 2012. do 2014. godine. Bolesnici su retrospektivno procijenjeni i praćeni zbog recidiva tijekom dvije godine. Podaci o bazalnim (prijeoperacijskim) razinama CEA preuzeti su iz medicinske dokumentacije bolesnika. Analiza podataka provedena je pomoću SPSS 16.0. Kod bolesnika s normalnom prijeoperacijskom razinom CEA stopa recidiva bila je značajno niža (p=0,008), a vjerojatnost izostanka recidiva 1,55 puta veća u usporedbi s bolesnicima s povišenim početnim razinama CEA (p=0,028). Kod bolesnika s povišenim prijeoperacijskim razinama CEA rizik od recidiva bio je 5,26 puta veći u usporedbi s onim u bolesnika s normalnim razinama CEA (p=0,028). Značajna slabo pozitivna korelacija (r=0,297) utvrđena je između povišene razine CEA i recidiva. Visoko značajna (p=0,002) umjereno pozitivna korelacija zabilježena je u bolesnika mladih od 50 godina te umjereno pozitivna korelacija granične značajnosti kod muškaraca (r=0,324, p=0,058). Utvrđena je osjetljivost od 94,4% i specifičnost od 32,4% u predviđanju recidiva. Dakle, povišena prijeoperacijska razina CEA pokazala je značajnu slabo pozitivnu korelaciju s recidivima, dok je normalna prijeoperacijska razina CEA umjereno snizila vjerojatnost recidiva.

Ključne riječi: Karcinoembrijski antigen; Kolorektalni karcinom; Prognoza; Recidiv; Rizik