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Abstract

This paper deals with the design of a suitable microreactor for the catalytic oxidation of volatile organic compounds (VOCs). There are a number of ways to release VOCs into the atmosphere, typically during processing of natural gas and handling petroleum products. As VOCs are harmful to our health, there is increased scientific interest in developing technologies for their destruction. Catalytic oxidation is one of them. Microreactors have showed higher efficiency than the conventional ones, mainly due to their large surface area to volume ratio and excellent heat and mass transfer properties. The design of a microreactor can be explored based on simulation results obtained by using computational fluid dynamics (CFD) package of COMSOL Multiphysics. The first design step, based on cold flow simulation, was the selection of the most suitable microreactor geometry and configuration. Four different geometries had been proposed and simulated to evaluate the fluid behaviour in the microchannels. One of them, Type A2, allowed the most uniform flow distribution in all channels, as assessed through relative standard deviation calculations. The second design step involved the investigation of the VOCs catalytic oxidation, using propane as model molecule, occurring in the microreactor with the geometry/configuration previously found. The proposed microreactor consists of eleven parallel channels of square cross-section, with 0.5 × 10^{-3} m width, 0.5 × 10^{-3} m height and 0.1 m length. The catalytic microreactor was simulated for temperatures between 563 K and 863 K and inlet flow velocities from 0.01 to 1.00 m·s^{-1}. The exit propane conversion increased rapidly with increasing temperature for a fixed inlet flow velocity. For a fixed temperature, the propane conversion increased as the inlet flow velocity decreased.
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Introduction

In recent years, constraints imposed by the increasingly stringent environmental regulation and safety awareness, coupled with increasing competition, have put great stress on traditional chemical industry. Development and implementation of more sustainable chemistry and chemical technologies is one of the most essential requirements for the chemical industry to survive for the future. These challenges drive innovations in both chemistry and chemical engineering.

Implementation of any chemical engineering development that leads to substantially smaller, cleaner, and more energy-efficient technologies is probably the most popular strategy for developing new chemical processes and equipment [1]. This is possible by challenging technologies for sustainable manufacturing such as the use of eco-efficiency, inherent safety with improved quality of the product, reduced environmental impact, rapid plant response and great possibilities for distributed manufacturing [2,3]. Recently, there were a number of process intensification approaches and these include intensification of mass transfer in static mixers [4,5], micromixers [6,7] and rotating packed bed [8,9], intensification of heat transfer using compact/micro heat exchangers [10,11] and nanofluids [12], integration of processes in one multifunctional step to reduce plant size and increase process efficiency, for instance, reactive distillation and membrane reactor [13-15], and adoption of new reactors such as compact/microreactors [16,17], heat exchange reactor [18], spinning disk [19], impinging jets reactors [20] and ZoneFlow™ reactor [21].

Among the above technologies, the emergence of microreactor technology and process miniaturization over the past two decades has provided a potentially new platform for accelerating the development of next-generation catalysts and multiphase catalytic process technologies for a wide variety of applications.

Microreactors are devices containing open paths for fluids with characteristic dimensions in the range of 100-500 µm and have multiple channels where the chemical transformations occur [22]. These new devices offer promising avenues toward more precise control over transport phenomena and reaction characteristics than is possible with traditional reaction technology. The differences between microreactors versus traditional reactors arise from the small dimensions of the channels and vessels, and the high surface-to-volume ratio in microreactors. Microreactor technology is gaining increasing importance in pharmaceutical synthesis, chemical engineering and process technology because of the quest for clean and efficient on-site, on-demand and on-time distributed production of chemicals. The reactor being the heart of chemical production process has received increasing attention. Different reactions have been used to illustrate the advantages of performing chemical reactions in microreactors, which are particularly suitable for highly exothermic, endothermic and fast reactions. One of these reactions, the partial oxidation of toluene over V/Ti oxide catalysts, was successfully performed in a microchannel reactor which provided higher selectivity and very good mass and heat transfer conditions, showing no hot spots compared to the traditional packed fixed-bed reactor [23]. The microreactors’ small reaction volume allows handling of dangerous reactions such as those involving explosive or toxic components [24,25]. Due to their high surface-to-volume ratio, the microreactors are suitable for kinetically controlled reactions and high heat and mass transfer rates [26]. What is more, the scale-up can be easily performed just by multiplying the number (numbering-up) of the microreactors units without changing the...
channel’s geometry. The use of microreactors at industrial scale requires a large number of reactors in parallel, since each reactor provides only a small volume dedicated to reaction. However, process design by this “numbering-up” (or scaling-out) approach is not exempt from some of the difficulties encountered in traditional scale-up. In particular, the parallel structures may exhibit poor uniformity in the fluid distribution between microchannels, which is generally undesirable. Equal fluid velocities in the microchannels are a necessary condition so as to have equal mass- and heat-transfer coefficients, and space times [25]. Catalyst material is usually deposited on the wall of the reacting channels, using different techniques, for catalytic microreactor [27,28]. A careful design of the microreactor is necessary to allow uniform flow distribution within the channels especially for constant flow reaction. For a high exothermic reactions coupled with heat transfer in a microreactor, non-uniform distribution may locally reduce the exchanged heat flux yielding to the formation of hazardous hot spots. It has been reported that microreactor devices run safely under conditions, which lay in the explosion regime [22,29-31]. For instance, the strongly exothermic o-xylene oxidation to phthalic anhydride was operated safely in the explosive regime in a microfluid bed reactor at high temperature [32,33]. Usually, microreactors are operated under laminar flow conditions. The performance of the microreactors relies strongly on the manifold and channel design. Plug flow reactor model can give good predictions of reaction conversions and selectivity but it has been reported that the axial and the radial profile of the chemical species and the temperature profile are unsatisfactorily predicted because the plug flow reactor model excludes the effects of transport phenomena [34]. Computational fluid dynamics (CFD) modelling with reaction kinetics is widely used to obtain more satisfied results.

Modelling helps to obtain information for the understanding of a system and consequently to be able to predict its behaviour. Model development requires the consideration of transport phenomena, thermodynamics and kinetics involved in the chemical and physical process during the reaction. The simulation and modelling based on computational fluid dynamics have attracted increasing interest and have been successfully applied to the analysis and design of microflow devices [35-39].

Based on this rather comprehensive literature survey, we concluded that microreactors are suitable for the catalytic elimination of volatile organic compounds (VOCs). The application of microreactor technology to catalytic oxidation reactions is proving to be advantageous due to the ability to avoid problems such as high exothermicity and poor control of reaction conditions, commonly associated with catalytic oxidation reactions [30]. The small inventories of catalyst and reactants in a microreactor result in a process requiring only a few milligrams of catalyst material is usually deposited on the wall of the reacting channels, using different techniques, for catalytic microreactor [27,28].

The main aim of this work is to study and develop a suitable microreactor model for VOCs catalytic oxidation using the CFD package of COMSOL Multiphysics. The objectives are to investigate the behaviour of cold flow (without reaction), air flow in this case, within the microchannels; to determine the most suitable geometry and configuration of the reactor, using 2-D CFD simulations; to study the reactive flow by assessing the propane oxidation reaction occurring in the microreactor with the already proposed geometry, based on an available kinetic model, using 3-D CFD simulations. Propane was chosen as model molecule because reaction conditions, catalysts and kinetics are well known for macroscopic conditions [41].

**Proposed approach to microreactor design**

When designing microreactors, several critical microreactor-design issues should be considered. These are as follows: the reactor structure (configuration), the reactor shape and size, packaging and connecting, pressure drop constraints, residence time and thermal constraints, robustness, and mechanical stability. Although different cross-sections such as rectangular, circular, trapezoidal, triangular, and elliptical were investigated by several researchers to understand the flow behaviour in microchannels, the rectangular cross-section was chosen for this study, mainly due to practical considerations such as fabrication techniques, cost, ease of manufacturing, as the final goal was to build the microreactor with the optimal configuration and to assess its performance against the modelled one. The rectangular shape is characterised by width (W), height (or depth) (H) and length (L).

The residence time distribution (RTD) among parallel microchannels is one of the key design specifications for microreactors, because the poor uniformity in the distribution reduces the yield or the selectivity of reaction products. In spite of the recent advances in computational fluid dynamics, it is not practical to apply CFD simulations directly to the optimal design problem of microreactors due to their long computational time. As such, a two-stage modelling process was performed. First stage dealt with the microchannel modelling based on a simplified model, the dispersion model, while the second stage dealt with CFD simulations for the multichannel microreactor.

For the first stage, a narrow RTD for microchannels was assumed due to the small channel dimensions compared to typical channel length and short lateral diffusion times. The size of the microchannel was then calculated to comply with this narrow RTD. Next step was the estimation of the number of parallel microchannels, with the same cross-sectional area and length, to assure equal flow rates in all of them (numbering-up process).
For the second stage, CFD modelling was firstly applied to study the air flow behaviour and then to study the behaviour of the reactive flow, a mixture of propane and air.

**Microchannel's shape and size selection**

To simplify the analysis presented further, several assumptions have been made:

- Isothermal air flow through the microchannels is assumed.
- Laminar flow conditions are assumed.
- Flow is fully developed and not disturbed by the microchannels feeding with absence of recirculation loops.

As mentioned above, a microchannel with a rectangular shape (cross-section) was chosen. For this, a hydraulic diameter, $d_h$, has to be calculated and then used to characterise the flow, defined as follows:

$$d_h = \frac{4 \cdot A}{P} = \frac{4 \cdot \text{Cross-sectional area}}{\text{wetted perimeter}} = \frac{2WH}{(W+H)} \cdot \frac{2W}{\alpha + 1} \quad (1)$$

This approximation is however not valid for all rectangular shaped channels, the aspect ratio, $\alpha = W/H$ or $H/W$, for $0 < \alpha < 1$. The Reynolds number can be calculated from the following polynomial equation [43]:

$$f \cdot \text{Re} = 96(1 - 0.044 \alpha^2 - 0.002 \alpha^4) \quad (2)$$

The friction constant can be calculated from the following Reynolds number [42]:

$$\text{Re} = \frac{\rho \cdot u \cdot d_{\text{eff}}}{\mu} \quad (4)$$

where $\rho$ is the density of the fluid, kg/m$^3$, $u$ is the flow velocity, m/s, $d_{\text{eff}}$, the effective hydraulic diameter, m, and $\mu$ is the fluid dynamic viscosity, kg/m·s. For a laminar flow, $\text{Re} < 1700$ [44]. As seen in Table 1, for aspect ratios $< 0.4$, $f \cdot \text{Re} > 64$, which means that the effective hydraulic diameter, $d_{\text{eff}}$, is lower than the hydraulic diameter. Therefore, for a more efficient flow through the microchannels, aspect ratios higher than 0.4 were proposed and $Re$ numbers were calculated for air flow velocities between 0.1 and 100 m/s. At room temperature, the air density is 1.205 kg/m$^3$, while its dynamic viscosity is $1.983 \times 10^{-5}$ kg/m·s [45]. For a $W=0.5 \times 10^{-3}$ m (chosen based on practical considerations, mainly the ease of manufacturing), the results are presented in Table 2 below.

Based on the results presented in Table 2, microchannels with aspect ratio of 1 (or square cross-section) were chosen for further studies, as for this aspect ratio, the laminar flow is achieved for velocities up to 50 m/s, which will give a wider window of operation as compared with the microchannels with the other three aspect ratios. For a laminar flow, a parabolic velocity profile is assumed for which a narrow residence time distribution is expected. A narrow residence time distribution is desired as well, as for reactions with positive reaction order (as it is the catalytic combustion of propane), the reactor performance will decrease with the broadening of the RTD at constant mean residence time. The diffusion in the axial and radial directions plays an important role in RTD. The diffusion in the radial direction tends to diminish the spreading effect of the parabolic velocity profile, while in the axial direction the molecular diffusion increases the dispersion [46].

### Table 1: Laminar Friction Constant for Various Aspect Ratio Rectangular Channels [42].

| $\alpha$ | $d_{\text{eff}} \times 10^3$ (m) | $Re$ |
|---------|-----------------|------|
| $\alpha$=0.4 | $d_{\text{eff}}$=3.98 | 4  |
| $\alpha$=0.5 | $d_{\text{eff}}$=3.86 | 4  |
| $\alpha$=0.75 | $d_{\text{eff}}$=3.63 | 5.62 |
| $\alpha$=1 | $d_{\text{eff}}$=3.52 | 6.32 |

For $\alpha=0.75$, flow is fully developed and not disturbed by the microchannels feeding with absence of recirculation loops.

### Table 2: Reynolds numbers for different aspect ratios and flow velocities.

| $u$ (m/s) | $d_{\text{eff}} \times 10^3$ (m) | $Re$ |
|----------|-----------------|------|
| 0.1 | $d_{\text{eff}}$=3.98 | 4  |
| 0.2 | $d_{\text{eff}}$=3.86 | 4  |
| 0.3 | $d_{\text{eff}}$=3.63 | 5.62 |
| 0.5 | $d_{\text{eff}}$=3.52 | 6.32 |

The Reynolds number, $Re$, can be calculated based on the molecular diffusion coefficient, $D_m$, the mean velocity of the stratified flow, the effective hydraulic diameter of the microchannel, and the geometry of the cross-section:

$$D_{\text{av}} = D_m + \frac{u^2 \cdot d_{\text{eff}}}{D_m} \quad (5)$$

with $\chi=1/119$ for square cross-section. The molecular diffusion coefficient is $2.2 \times 10^{-5}$ m$^2$/s [47]. The axial diffusion coefficient for a flow velocity of 50 m/s was calculated as $2.9 \times 10^{-5}$ m$^2$/s. In practice, the axial diffusion can be neglected for Bodenstein numbers equal or higher than 100 [46]. The Bodenstein number, $Bo$, is defined as the ratio between the axial dispersion time, $\tau_{ax}=L^2/D_{\text{ax}}$, and the mean residence time, $\tau=L/u$, which is identical to the space time for reaction mixtures with constant density. For $Bo \rightarrow 0$, the axial dispersion time is short compared to the mean residence time resulting in complete backmixing in the reactor. For $Bo \rightarrow \infty$ no dispersion occurs. For square channels [46],

$$Bo \cong \frac{119}{119} \frac{D_{\text{ax}}}{d_{\text{eff}}} \frac{L}{u} \quad (6)$$

Using the above equation, the length of the microchannel can be derived as:
A drop of the air flow can be estimated as follows. According to Debray et al. [48], in a laminar regime, the pressure characterize the flow through the microchannels is the pressure drop, $\Delta p$. For $Bo = 10^2$ to $10^4$, $Re = 200$ to $10^5$, and $D_H = 10^{-3}$ to $10^{-1}$ m, the pressure drop of the air flow can be estimated as follows:

$$\Delta p = \frac{Bo \cdot d_{in}^2 \cdot u}{119 \cdot D_H}$$

For $Bo \geq 100$, $L \geq 0.85 \cdot \frac{d_{in}^2 \cdot u}{D_H}$.

Therefore, for the chosen microchannel and $u = 50$ m/s, $L \geq 0.6$ m. For $u = 0.1$ m/s, $L \geq 1.2 \times 10^{-3}$ m. Since the microreactor with the optimum geometry and configuration is foreseen to be used as a mobile devise for indoor VOCs catalytic combustion, a microchannel length of 0.1 m was chosen. For this length, and for $Bo$ numbers higher than 100, the air flow velocity should be lower or equal to 10 m/s. Under these conditions, the microchannel can be considered as a plug flow reactor.

To conclude, a square cross-section microchannel was chosen, with $W = H = 0.5 \times 10^{-3}$ m and $L = 0.1$ m. Another important parameter to characterize the flow through the microchannels is the pressure drop, $\Delta p$. According to Debray et al. [48], in a laminar regime, the pressure drop of the air flow can be estimated as:

$$\Delta p = \frac{96 \cdot \rho \cdot u^2}{2 \cdot \pi \cdot L}$$

For the square cross-section microchannel chosen, for $Re \leq 1700$, $u = 10$ m/s, $\Delta p \leq 340$ Pa. This corresponds to a $\Delta p/L$ less than 3.4 kPa - m$^{-1}$, which can be neglected.

**Numbering-up process**

The dispersion model is based on one single parameter, describing the residence time behaviour for simple cases using plug flow and perfect back mixing as the two limiting cases. Microstructured devices, however, are in many cases more complex than a single pipe flow that is well described by the dispersion model, they are multi-scale networks. Microstructured reactors consist of a number of microchannels in parallel (one scale), and inlet and outlet distributions sections (another scale) that cannot be included in one parameter. Appropriate design for uniform flow distribution is a key feature to ensure optimal performance of these channel networks. The numbering-up estimation was based on the results of a hydrodynamic analysis of these multi-scale networks, which was performed in order to determine the number of microchannels in parallel, with minimum flow maldistribution. The analysis followed a procedure described in detail by Saber et al. [49].

Briefly, the geometrical design of various channel networks is based on a similar multi-scale approach. In order to optimize the global performance of these systems, the first design criterion consists in optimizing the flow uniformity in the parallel channels, so that each channel operates under identical conditions. By using the successive steps of the multi-scale approach to ensure optimal flow distribution, the geometrical parameters of the networks, i.e., the internal hydrodynamic resistances, are constrained in order to reduce the required pumping power. The first part of this study focused on the flow behaviour through networks including microchannel shapes, exhibiting linear relation between the pressure drop and the throwing flow rate and presenting resistance ratios ranging from $10^2$ to $5 \times 10^4$. As such, the set of curves in this figure makes it possible to rapidly give a first estimate of the flow maldistribution at the initial design stage of multi-scale networks. The results analysis of this hydrodynamic study enables to propose some guidelines for the design of multi-channel networks. With the absence of constraints related to the volume of the distributor manifold or the overall number of parallel channels, optimal two-scale configurations yielding to the most uniform flow distribution and reducing the total pressure drop consist in designing distributor and collector manifolds giving a very low-hydrodynamic resistance in comparison to that of the microchannels. This can be carried out by increasing the diameter ratio between the

4. Non-constant fluid properties.

Flow non-uniformities in the network can have different impacts on the system performance depending on the operation performed in the network and on the required design objectives. From an engineering point of view, several definitions of the flow maldistribution can be adopted. For example, if flow non-uniformities are likely to create a local hot spot and consecutive thermal runaway of the reactor, the conservative definition of the flow maldistribution should include the extreme flow differences, i.e., minimum and maximum values with respect to the nominal flow rate. The flow maldistribution in microchannels can then be defined as:

$$Md(\%) = \frac{\max(F) - \min(F)}{\max(F)} \times 100$$

Where $F$ denotes the vector-grouped flow rates through the $n$ microchannels.

On the contrary, if the flow variations through the entire network are the key factor (e.g., for the performance of a given reaction), the flow maldistribution should include the standard deviation of all flow rates with respect to the average flow rate. The flow maldistribution can then be defined as:

$$Sd = \sqrt{\frac{1}{(n-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (F_i - \bar{F})^2} \times 100$$

Where $Sd$ is the relative standard deviation and $\bar{F}$ denotes the average value of the vector $F$.

As at this stage the focus was on the hydrodynamic flow behaviour through microchannel networks, the flow maldistribution "MdI" defined by Eq. 9, that represents an extremely unfavourable case, was used as criterion of interest for flow uniformity. As shown in Figure 1, the maldistribution through two-scale networks depends on channel number and on ratio $r$, which is the ratio between the flow resistance through the channel and the flow resistance through the distribution or collection tube. It is obvious that flow maldistribution increases by increasing the number of parallel channels. However, this increase is more rapid and significant with low-resistance ratio values than with large ones. The flow resistance through a channel of scale $i$, $R_i$, depends on the length and diameter of this duct and flow viscosity, and is defined as:

$$R_i = \frac{128 \cdot \mu \cdot L_i}{\pi \cdot D_{in}^4}$$

The results presented in Figure 1 are valid for networks of different microchannel shapes, exhibiting linear relation between the pressure drop and the throwing flow rate and presenting resistance ratios ranging from $10^2$ to $5 \times 10^4$. As such, the set of curves in this figure makes it possible to rapidly give a first estimate of the flow maldistribution at the initial design stage of multi-scale networks. The results analysis of this hydrodynamic study enables to propose some guidelines for the design of multi-channel networks. With the absence of constraints related to the volume of the distributor manifold or the overall number of parallel channels, optimal two-scale configurations yielding to the most uniform flow distribution and reducing the total pressure drop consist in designing distributor and collector manifolds giving a very low-hydrodynamic resistance in comparison to that of the microchannels. This can be carried out by increasing the diameter ratio between the...
Based on these findings, a microreactor consisting of 11 channels, with \( L=0.1 \) m and \( W=H=0.5 \times 10^{-3} \) m each, and with \( 0.5 \times 10^{-3} \) m of inter-channel distance was considered; 11 channels because odd number provided a better flow distribution considering the position of the inlet. According to Ref. [50], this microreactor can be described as a plate-fin microdevice since it has multi-microchannels, which are parallel to each other and have the same shape and size.

Besides of the number of branched microchannels and the microchannel’s shape and size, the flow distribution is strongly affected by the shape and volume of the inlet and outlet manifolds. The main challenge of the design was to establish the manifold geometry which allows a uniform flow distribution into the microchannels. Four different geometries, namely type A1, A2, A3, and A4, respectively, were proposed (Figure 2).

**CFD modelling of cold flow**

In order to obtain a quantitative indication of the flow behaviour in the multiple parallel microchannels, a 2-D model was developed and numerical simulations were performed for the four proposed geometries, using COMSOL Multiphysics software. COMSOL Multiphysics is a powerful interactive environment for modeling and solving all kinds of scientific and engineering problems based on partial differential equations (PDEs). When solving the PDEs, COMSOL Multiphysics uses the finite element method (FEM). In the finite element model, the geometry is divided in several triangles and the differential equations are solved for each node of the resulting mesh. The division of the geometry is called the meshing and should obey to some restrictions to guarantee the convergence of the calculations. The software runs the finite element analysis together with adaptive meshing and error control using a variety of numerical solvers. The main steps followed to develop a model with this software are presented in Figure 3. For the present study, the model assumes constant room temperature (since the model was developed for VOCs removal at room temperature). For this reason and due to the low pressure drop, constant value of air density was assumed. The Navier-Stokes equations for incompressible fluids along with the continuity equation were used to model the air flow [51]. The boundary conditions assumed were: no-slip at the walls (which means that the fluid at the wall is not moving), fully developed laminar flow, uniform velocities for the inflow, and zero relative pressure for the outflow. This last boundary condition is physically equivalent to a boundary that is adjacent to a large container (inlet manifold) or exiting into a large container (outlet manifold).

The Navier-Stokes equations (conservation of momentum):

\[
\rho \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + \rho \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} = -\nabla p + \nabla \cdot (\mu (\nabla \mathbf{u} + (\nabla \mathbf{u})^T)) - \frac{2}{3} \mu (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}) I + \mathbf{F} \tag{12}
\]

and the continuity equations (conservation of mass):

\[
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0
\]
\[ \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u}) = 0 \]  
\[ (13) \]

where \( \rho \) is the solution’s density (kg/m\(^3\)), \( \mu \) dynamic viscosity of the fluid (Ns/m\(^2\)), \( \mathbf{u} \) is the velocity vector (m/s), \( I \) denote the identity matrix, \( F \) is the volume force vector (N/m\(^3\)), \( T \) is the absolute temperature (K) and \( p \) is pressure (Pa). Another indication that the flow in this microreactor can be assumed as incompressible is that the Mach number (Ma) is much less than 0.3 for flow velocities \( \leq 10 \text{ m/s} \) [51]. Resolving a parabolic or laminar flow profile requires at least two elements across each channel. This model was resolved with a refined mesh with five elements across each channel. The default element type for laminar flow is a linear element, so a number of linear segments are resolved due to the curved nature of the profile. Mesh is built to optimally resolve the laminar flow in the channels. First by modifying the geometry, an extra rectangle (channel) was added, in order to introduce a boundary “edge” along the top and bottom faces of each channel. Then an edge mesh was built for the channel inlets with a maximum element size of 1.25 \( \times \) \( 10^{-5} \text{ m} \) per channel. Mapped mesh was then used in the channel domains to achieve a rectangular element that have a long aspect ratio and as such, the operation of the microreactor was assumed as isothermal. A 3-D model was developed to describe the gas flow and as such, the operation of the microreactor was assumed as isothermal.  

Propane catalytic oxidation was modelled considering the microchannels walls as sources of products and sinks of reactants. It has been assumed that a thin and homogeneous layer of an appropriate catalyst, Cr/\( \gamma \)-Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) catalyst in this case [41], has been uniformly deposited onto the channels walls. Air was considered in large excess and as such, the operation of the microreactor was assumed as isothermal. A 3-D model was developed to describe the gas flow and reaction within the microreactor and solved for an ideal gas, laminar flow and convective transport of diluted species, and, along with the well-defined kinetics, for the composition of chemical species inside of the microchannels.  

The inlet concentrations and flow density was derived from the partial pressures. The calculated flow field served as input to the transport of dilute species to the interface, to describe the convective transport of diluted species. 

A 2 dimensional model of parallel rectangular microchannels with integrated inlet and outlet manifolds was developed for those four proposed geometries and the flow behaviour was simulated for flow velocities between 0.01 m/s and 100 m/s. When solving the model for higher fluid flow velocities (10 m/s-100 m/s), the computation becomes highly non-linear, and the non-linearity of the computation can be thought of as a function of the Reynolds number. This can be solved by using a continuation sweep to incrementally increase the Reynolds number. In Figure 5 represents the contour plot of the velocity distribution inside the manifold and the channels for the four geometries. For Type A1, dead space is formed around the corner of the manifold. Dead space broadens residence time distribution. In order to design a manifold having less dead space, the corner of the manifold is trimmed away from the initial design ‘Type A1’ to formed Type A2 and further to Type A3 and Type A4, respectively. Flow patterns are simulated by CFD for the four geometries to determine which geometry achieves more uniform flow distribution.

Variations in fluid distribution were assessed by using the relative standard deviation (Eq. 10), as a measure of the quality of the flow distribution in the microchannels. A larger value of the relative standard deviation will correspond to a less uniformly distributed flow over the channels. The non-uniformity of flow residence time decreases the performance of catalytic microreactors.

**CFD modelling of catalytic propane oxidation**

Propane complete oxidation is described by the chemical equation below:

\[ C\text{\(_3\)}H\text{\(_8\)} + 5O\text{\(_2\)} \rightarrow 3CO\text{\(_2\)} + 4H\text{\(_2\)}O \]  
\[ (14) \]
mass transport. The mass transport with reaction in the reactor domain is given by the following equation:

\[
\frac{\partial c_i}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla c_i = \nabla \cdot (D \nabla c_i) + R_i
\]

(15)

where \(D\) is the diffusion coefficient \((\text{m}^2 \cdot \text{s}^{-1})\), \(c_i\) is the concentration of species \(i\) \((\text{mol} \cdot \text{m}^{-3})\), \(\mathbf{u}\) is the velocity vector and \(R_i\) is the reaction rate for species \(i\) \((\text{mol} \cdot \text{m}^{-3} \cdot \text{s}^{-1})\). The first term on the left-hand side of Equation 15 represents the accumulation or consumption of the species. The second term on the left-hand side accounts for the convective transport due to a velocity field \(\mathbf{u}\). This field can be obtained from coupling the transport of diluted species to the one that describes the fluid flow (CFD or momentum balance). The first term on the right-hand side of Equation 15 accounts for the diffusion transport (interaction between the dilute species and solvent). Finally, the second term on the right-hand side represents a source, or a sink term, usually due to a chemical reaction.

In the microchannel, the boundary condition for a reactive inflow is set as \(c = C_R\). The oxidation of propane over the Cr/G-Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) catalyst was well-described by a Mars-van Krevelen (reduction-oxidation) mechanism and the reaction equation was expressed as [41]:

\[
R_{C,H_4} = \frac{k_{C,H_4} P_{O_2} P_{C,H_4}}{k_{O_2} P_{O_2} + 5k_{C,H_2} P_{C,H_2}}
\]

(16)

Where \(k_{O_2}\) and \(k_{C,H_2}\) are the rate constants in the reduction-oxidation mechanism \((\text{mol} \cdot \text{g}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1} \cdot \text{atm}^{-1})\), \(P_{O_2}\) and \(P_{C,H_2}\) are the partial pressures of oxygen and propane and \(R_{C,H_4}\) is reaction rate of propane. The Arrhenius expression for the temperature dependence of \(k_{C,H_2}\) is:

\[
k_{C,H_2} = A_{C,H_2} \exp\left(\frac{-E_{C,H_2}}{RT}\right)
\]

(17)

\[
k_{C,H_4} = A_{C,H_4} \exp\left(\frac{-E_{C,H_4}}{RT}\right)
\]

(18)

where \(A\) is the pre-exponential factor, \(E\) is the activation energy (cal·mol\(^{-1}\)), \(R\) is the gas constant \((R=8.314 \text{ cal} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \cdot \text{K}^{-1})\), and \(T\) is temperature in K. The following values were determined: \(A_{O_2} = 1.36 \times 10^{12} \text{ mol} \cdot \text{g}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1} \cdot \text{atm}^{-1}\), \(E_{O_2} = 36000 \text{ cal} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}\), \(A_{C,H_2} = 1.16 \times 10^{39} \text{ mol} \cdot \text{g}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1} \cdot \text{atm}^{-1}\) and \(E_{C,H_2} = 30000 \text{ cal} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}\) [41].

Results and Discussion

Cold flow effect of total flow rate from manifold to channels

This was tested by calculating relative standard deviation for the flow rates, and plotting the relative standard deviation against total flow rate, as shown in Figure 6. A significant difference between geometries A1, A2, A3 and A4 is observed. The relative standard deviations increase fast for type A1. It appears that the observed effect is linked to the dead space in the manifold. Type A2, with the lowest standards deviation, gave a better uniform flow distribution compared to the other geometries, namely Type A1, A3 and A4, respectively.

Cold flow effect of inlet velocity

This was tested by calculating the normalized flow rates and plotting the normalized flow rate against the channel number as seen in Figure 7. Normalized flow rate is calculated by dividing the actual flow rate per channel by the mean flow rate. For all geometries there was an effect on the “outer” channels located furthest away from the manifold inlet. The flow was less for these channels compared to the “inner” channels near the inlet. The highest flow rates occurred for the centre channels. This was particularly pronounced for Type A1 which has a much narrower active inlet area compared to Type A2, A3, and A4, respectively. This produced a more steep parabolic profile in the centre channels compared to Type A2, A3, and A4, respectively. At inlet velocities below 10 m/s, a constant flow rate is found, which means that the flow distribution is independent of flow rate. All the geometries (Type A1, A2, A3, and A4) gave similar results in this regime. In this region where the flow distribution is independent of the flow rate, the flow field is determined by wall friction. At an inlet velocity of 60 m/s and above, inertial forces become important.

Catalytic oxidation of propane

The 3-D model was solved and the performance of the 11-channel microreactor with Type 2 configuration was assessed using the propane concentration and the fractional conversions, \(X\), as well, and defined as:

\[
X = \frac{c_{C,H_4} \alpha_{C,H_4}}{c_{C,H_4} \alpha_{C,H_4} + c_{C,H_2} \alpha_{C,H_2}}
\]

(19)

The simulations were performed for an initial concentration of propane of 0.2 mol·m\(^{-3}\), for temperatures between 563 K and 663 K, and velocities between 0.01 and 1.00 m·s\(^{-1}\), which ensured a laminar (Re<34) and uniform flow within the channels. As such, the results of the integration of the model are showed over a single channel; the concentration profile for all channels is similar because of the even distribution of flow. The Figure 8 presents the 3-D plot of the velocity profile showing the flow behaviour in the microchannels at temperature of 593 K. Figure 9 is the 3-D plot of the propane concentration profile along the channels for 593 K and inlet velocity of 0.1 m·s\(^{-1}\).

Effect of temperature on propane concentration (conversion) at constant inlet velocities

As shown in Figure 10, A, B, C and D the propane concentration at the exit of the microchannel decreases as the temperature increases for a constant inlet velocity, or in other words, the conversion of propane increases as the temperature increases. The temperature of the complete consumption of propane along the channel increases as the inlet velocity increases, from 593 K for inlet velocity of 0.01 m·s\(^{-1}\) to
Figure 7: Flow distribution over the microchannels, calculated at an inlet velocity of 1 m/s, 10 m/s, 60 m/s and 100 m/s, respectively.

Figure 8: Velocity profile of the flow in the microreactor at temperature of 593 K.

Figure 9: Propane concentration profile at temperature of 593 K and inlet velocity of 0.1 m s⁻¹.
663 K for inlet velocity of 1.00 m·s⁻¹. This is evidence that there are not mass transfer limitations, which was expected due to the small size of the channel.

**Effect of inlet velocities on propane conversion at constant temperature**

In Figure 11 below presents the simulation results as propane exit conversion function of temperature, for different inlet flow velocities. For a constant temperature, the exit propane conversion increases as the inlet velocity decreases.

**Conclusion**

The use of a steady-state COMSOL Multiphysics model for the simulation of propane catalytic oxidation in a microreactor was demonstrated in this paper. Preliminary considerations and calculations allowed the selection of the microchannel size and shape...
and the number of microchannels for the microreactor. The shape of the manifold was selected using a 2-D CFD model, and was based on the values of the relative standard deviation across the channels. The propane catalytic oxidation in the reactor previously proposed was studied using a 3-D CFD model.

Simulation results indicated two flow regimes depending on the inlet velocity for the 4 geometries. For all geometries at low flow rates (below 10 m/s), the flow distribution appears to be completely determined by wall friction. In this regime all 4 geometries yielded similar results. For operation below this velocity the flow distribution appears to be independent of flow rate. At flow rates above a transitional velocity (60 m/s for Type A2, A3, and A4 and 90 m/s for type A1), inertial effects start to influence the flow distribution with a constant relative standard deviation. Geometries A2, A3, and A4 showed similar trends; for type A1 a different effect is observed, the relative standard deviation continues to increase until it appears to level off at a higher velocity. Type A2 gave the flow distribution with the lowest relative standard deviation. The 3-D simulation results showed that the exit velocity. Type A2 gave the flow distribution with the lowest relative standard deviation. Geometries A2, A3, and A4 showed similar trends; for type A1 a different effect is observed, the relative standard deviation continues to increase until it appears to level off at a higher velocity. Type A2 gave the flow distribution with the lowest relative standard deviation. Geometries A2, A3, and A4 showed similar trends; for type A1 a different effect is observed, the relative standard deviation continues to increase until it appears to level off at a higher velocity.
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