Tsunami hazard is typically assessed from inundation flow depths estimated from one or many earthquake scenarios. However, information about the exact time when such inundation occurs is seldom considered, yet it is crucial for pedestrian evacuation planning. Here, we propose an approach to estimating tsunami hazard by combining tsunami flow depths and arrival times to produce a nine-level, qualitative hazard scale that is translated into a simple tsunami hazard map. To do this, one of the most populated regions of the coast of Chile is considered as the sample site, using a large set of 2,800 tsunamigenic sources from earthquakes with magnitudes in the range $M_w$ 8.6 – 9.2, modeled from generation to inundation at high resolution. Main outcomes show great dependency of the hazard categorization on the tsunami time arrival, and less to the flow depths. Also, these results demonstrate that incorporating different sources of variability such as different earthquake magnitudes and locations as well as stochastic slip distributions is essential. Moreover, this proof-of-concept exercise clearly shows that the qualitative hybrid categorization of the tsunami hazard allows for its more effective understanding, which can be beneficial for designing mitigation strategies such as evacuation planning, and its management.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Tsunamis are natural events that can have a range of disastrous consequences, such as loss of life (Doocy et al., 2013), damage to infrastructure (Charvet et al., 2017; UNISDR, 2018), and triggering morphological changes that can affect the sustainability of coastal environments and communities (Morton et al., 2011; Atwater et al., 2013; Catalán et al., 2015; Hoang et al., 2018; Imamura et al., 2019). Moreover, tsunamis can spread over large portions of the ocean basins where they occur, and their hydrodynamic behavior can vary significantly along the affected coasts. The triggering of a
tsunami can be due to several processes, some of which are not yet fully understood, and the capability to forecast the inundation following a known tsunami source is still undergoing active research.

Partially due to recent tsunami-related disasters, tsunamis have been increasingly recognized by coastal communities throughout the world as potential hazards that need to be accounted for to mitigate the associated risk. The safest approach to minimize risk would be to relocate outside the inundation zone, which would yield zero exposure, yet would be a costly measure and is thus, to some extent, unlikely. Since redesigning coastal settlements is not usually a feasible option, evacuation planning is necessary for risk and loss of life minimization. Indeed, it has been shown that evacuation is the most effective measure to reduce casualties during tsunami events (McAdoo et al., 2006; Makinoshima et al., 2020). As demonstrated by past tsunamis, wave heights, inundation flow depths and inland extent, and arrival times can vary substantially over horizontal spatial scales of a few hundred meters. This means that risk, as a result of exposure and other dependencies, likewise varies over short spatial scales, and should thus be assessed with the corresponding resolution.

To characterize risk, the required first step is to obtain an estimate of the tsunami hazard, both in terms of its magnitude and recurrence. Aided by progressively increasing computational capacities due to new hardware and software, as well as novel numerical implementations, state-of-the-art tsunami numerical models allow for a large number of computations in shorter time, even when the modeling considers tsunami generation, propagation and inundation (e.g. Yamazaki et al., 2009; LeVeque et al., 2011; Macias et al., 2017). As a result of the improved numerical performance, the once standard method of estimating the tsunami hazard based on single (or small number of) worst credible scenarios (Scenario-Based Tsunami Hazard Assessment SBTHA, Tinti et al., 2011; Harbitz et al., 2013) is being gradually superseded by Probabilistic Tsunami Hazard Assessments that considers multiple scenarios with stochastically defined slip distributions (PTHA, e.g. Geist and Parsons, 2006; LeVeque et al., 2016; Park and Cox, 2016; De Risi and Goda, 2017; Davies et al., 2017; Volpe et al., 2019). In the latter, different sources of uncertainty can be included, especially those regarding recurrence rates, source variability and complexity (Grezio et al., 2017; Mori et al., 2018; Sepúlveda et al., 2018).

Regardless of the procedure to generate data, the tsunami hazard assessment follows two steps. First, it is based mostly on tsunami intensity metrics such as the tsunami peak coastal amplitudes (offshore) or inland flow depths. Ideally, other quantities such as tsunami momentum fluxes or velocities should be included also. Second, these tsunami intensity metrics are treated independently, and the focus is set on extreme values. The reason for this is obvious, considering that amplitude and flow depth can be correlated with the damage potential of the event. In some countries like Chile, evacuation plans are derived only from the information available on the tsunami hazard maps, which typically depict the flow depth in meters as a measure of the hazard, which can be difficult to interpret (Cubelos et al., 2019). Moreover, in the Chilean case, these maps are often derived from single scenario modeling (SHOA, 2012), and therefore, they may not consider the unavoidable uncertainties of the tsunami sources.

While considering hydrodynamic quantities such as the flow depths has implications for structural design, it can be less relevant for evacuation purposes, where the tsunami arrival time is of great significance. Yet, arrival times are seldom accounted for in tsunami evacuation maps (Wood and Schmidthlein, 2013), which possibly stems from the notion that evacuation should be instantaneous. While large flow depths obviously can seriously hamper evacuation, it should be noted that evacuation can also be affected when amplitudes or flow depths are small. Even flows of a few decimeters high can block routes, alter the psychological behavior of people, and even hurt them if the flow comes with debris and large speeds. Therefore, it could be argued that arrival time should be the only quantity of interest. However, flow depths are also relevant for the purpose of evacuation planning. Spatial information of flow depths is important for the design process of evacuation routes, (e.g. orientation and routing), or placement and design of potential vertical evacuation shelters. However, despite having detailed information about flow depths, current hazard maps tend to treat it in a binary way, that is, as a proxy to identify whether it is required to evacuate or not (León et al., 2018; Cubelos et al., 2019). Having a high level of detail for flow depths does not necessarily lead to improved insights, as they do not provide sufficient information for the decision on when to evacuate nor provide an estimate of how long it is required to remain outside the inundated area.

To overcome these deficiencies, evacuation studies resort to using agent-based modeling coupled with detailed, phase resolving tsunami modeling (e.g., León et al., 2020, among others). The downside is that, due to the high computational burden, only a small number of scenarios is usually considered, and the results become scenario-dependent and decoupled from the hazard map. Relying on single scenarios for evacuation planning appears to be a step back from the benefits gained by carrying out probabilistic tsunami hazard assessments that accounts for uncertainties of the tsunami sources. For purposes such as integral risk management, it will be useful to incorporate the tsunami arrival time as an additional intensity measure (Park and Cox, 2016; Park et al., 2018). However, providing hazard probabilities may hinder the ability to convey these results in a straightforward manner, which may lead to a lack of awareness or understanding of local tsunami risk by the population, thus hampering tsunami evacuation preparedness.

Tsunami arrival times also vary between events in the same region. The tsunamigenic zone width in Chile (distance between the trench and the coastline) is among the smallest in the world, resulting in very short propagation times. A country-wide assessment by Williamson and Newman (2019) yields times of 15–20 min or less. This has been observed empirically: during the 2010 Mw 8.8 Maule event, the first arrival was reported to be of the order 20 min (Fritz et al., 2011), whereas during the 2014 Mw 8.2 Pisagua tsunami, a 15 min arrival was measured at the Pisagua tide gauge (Catalán et al., 2015). Finally, anecdotal
Tsunami hazard assessment relies on the proper characterization of the potential tsunamigenic sources (e.g., earthquakes, submarine or subaerial mass movements, etc.). In this paper, only tsunamis triggered by seismic sources along the subduction megathrust are considered. While this could limit the scope of the tsunami hazard assessment performed, it is considered sufficient for illustrating the proposed approach. The overall procedure follows the workflow shown in Figure 1. First, an area of interest is identified where earthquakes are expected to occur. Next, the seismic source is characterized, leading to the generation of multiple scenarios considering a stochastic distribution of slip and an appropriate range of magnitudes. For each scenario, the initial sea-surface displacement is computed from regularly used elastic dislocation models. These displacements are treated as initial conditions for tsunami numerical modeling, from propagation to inundation, using high-resolution topographic and bathymetric computational grids. From these numerical simulations, the tsunami flow depths and arrival times are obtained. These tsunami intensity metrics are analyzed and integrated into an hybrid tsunami hazard microzoning map. The quantitative categorizations of each metric, microzoning map evaluated independently, are then combined to produce a qualitative characterization of the hazard. The details of these steps and their implications are discussed below.

2.1 Definition of Seismic and Tsunami Sources

A high tsunamigenic potential due to megathrust earthquakes exists offshore of the cities of Valparaíso and Viña del Mar, in Central Chile, where regions of high frictional strength have been identified (Sippl et al., 2020). The expected rupture zone roughly coincides with the most populated coastal zone of metropolitan Chile (Figure 2), including the cities of Viña del Mar and Valparaíso, which are home to about 40,000 people that live within the inundation zone. Their population increases significantly during the summer season, increasing risk (data available at http://siedu.ine.cl).

At least 11 earthquakes with magnitudes larger that $M_w$ 8.0 have ruptured near the area of interest since the mid-16th century when written history began. The largest of these is the mega-earthquake that occurred in central Chile in 1730, with an estimated magnitude of $M_w$ 9.1–9.3 (Carvajal et al., 2017a). Since then, at least three interplate earthquakes with magnitudes larger than $M_w$ 8.0 took place, in 1822 ($M_w$ ∼ 8.2), 1906 ($M_w$ ∼ 8.0–8.2), and 1985 ($M_w$ 8.0), whose rupture extent is shown in Figure 2. The 1822 and 1906 earthquakes only triggered small tsunamis, which caused no damage along the coast (Carvajal et al., 2017b; NGDC/WDS, 2018). Similarly, the 1985 earthquake (Barrientos, 1988) produced a small tsunami,
with an amplitude of less than 2 m, as locally measured in the Valparaíso tide gauge (Nakamura, 1992). These observations in the 1822, 1906 and 1985 events have been explained by deeper than average ruptures (Carvajal et al., 2017b; Bravo et al., 2019), highlighting that tsunami characteristics can vary significantly among different megathrust earthquakes in this region. Consequently, it has been suggested that the shallow part of the megathrust offshore Valparaíso, which was ruptured in 1730 but not in those that followed in 1822, 1906 and 1985, is highly coupled, and thus may generate a large tsunamigenic earthquake in the near future. Further to the north, the 1922 $M_w \sim 8.5$ Vallenar (Copiapó) earthquake, whose rupture extended for about 300 km (Beck et al., 1998), generated a tsunami that was recorded in the far field (i.e., Japan and New Zealand), and affected coastal cities from Callao (Perú) to La Serena, Coquimbo and the San Felix island (80.04° W, 26.37° S, not shown in Figure 2), yet it did not produce a large tsunami in Valparaíso nor Viña del Mar. Neither did the 2015 Illapel earthquake. Events to the south, such as the 1960 Valdivia and the 2010 Maule earthquakes, produced large tsunamis that caused no damage in these cities. Hence, it appears that tsunamis in this region are related to earthquakes with ruptures closer to these cities, with large tsunami inundation events occurring on average every 500 years (Dura et al., 2015).

While a complete SPTHA in this region is required, a recent study has estimated the tsunami hazard in central Chile based on the potential rupture of only very large magnitude events (Becerra et al., 2020), by generating seismic scenarios over a rupture area similar to or larger than that of the 1730 Valparaíso earthquake (Carvajal et al., 2017a). A broader range of magnitudes will need to be considered, which includes also smaller magnitudes, and a full range of uncertainties. It should be noted that the goal of this study is not to conduct a full SPTHA, which essentially follows other goals and takes into account additional uncertainties. However, future SPTHA efforts could follow the same basic approach as presented here.

---

**FIGURE 2** | Tectonic setting, seismic source zone and study area. (A) Regional map of South America showing the subduction zone formed between the Nazca and South America plates that converge at 6–7 cm/yr. (B) Seismic source zone. The black polygon demarcates the Zone 2 from Poulos et al. (2019), where 2,800 seismic sources with stochastic slip distributions were generated. For reference, thin grey lines show Slab 1.0 depth contours every 20 km (Hayes et al., 2012). Orange lines show the along-strike extent of selected earthquake ruptures from 1900–2020, and the 1730 rupture estimated by Carvajal et al. (2017a) is shown in red. (C) Digital elevation model of Valparaíso and Viña del Mar coastal cities. The red lines show the official tsunami evacuation routes.
For the present study, an extensive rupture area is considered, over which great earthquakes of magnitudes ranging from Ms 8.6 to 9.2 may occur. In particular, the domain is based on the Zone 2 defined in the study of Poulos et al. (2019), which is shown by a black polygon in Figure 2B. Ruptures located further north or south of it are not considered due to their minimal effect in the study area, as observed in past tsunamis. The source geometry extends from latitude 25° S to about 36° S along-strike, roughly 1,100 km, and has a varying width of around 200 km down-dip (Poulos et al., 2019). Source parameters are based on the subduction zone model of Hayes et al. (2012). Over this source zone, a set of 2,800 rupture scenarios with varying slip in both dimensions of the fault are generated, considering 400 scenarios for each of seven magnitude bins between Ms 8.6 to 9.2, with 0.1 magnitude unit increments. Each scenario does not necessarily use the whole extent of this region, and their individual sizing follows the scaling laws proposed by Blaser et al. (2010).

To generate the set of scenarios, the fault region is discretized into 505 rectangular sub-faults with dimensions of 22 × 22 km along strike and downdip. The Karhonen-Loeve expansion is used to generate aleatory slip distributions (LeVeque et al., 2016; Melgar et al., 2016). This is done to account for epistemic and aleatory uncertainties due to intra-event variability, i.e., the range of possible slip distributions for events of the same magnitude. The approach departs from SPTHA in the sense that the recurrence, or the probability of a target magnitude earthquake to occur in a given time window, is not considered, and all scenarios have the same weight. It is not intended to address the probability of occurrence for a certain flow depth or arrival time, but the focus is on evaluating what to do in case it occurs.

For each rupture scenario, a tsunami initial condition is obtained based on its respective coseismic seafloor displacement, which is modeled to be equivalent to the free sea-surface displacement, under the assumption of incompressible water and instantaneous rupture (Kajiuura, 1970). Although this simplification may not completely hold in reality, this approach should be a reasonable first-order approximation. Seafloor and land deformation were computed with the analytical solutions of a rectangular source given by Okada (1985). The total sea-surface displacements, which were used as the initial conditions for tsunami modeling, were approximated by superposing the displacements of each subfault. Although the horizontal displacement of the inclined seafloor may contribute to the total sea-surface displacement (Tanioka and Satake, 1996), here only the vertical deformation of the upper plate is considered, since it has been suggested to control the tsunami generation in the study area (Bletery et al., 2015). For the purpose of retrieving accurate arrival times, the use of an instantaneous rupture can introduce an error owing to the finite rupture propagation velocity. For the size of the sources considered here, it was estimated that the error would be at most Δt ~ 3–4 min, for the worst-case situation of a unilateral rupture propagating from the northern end of the domain. Including this additional scenario uncertainty introduces several unconstrained parameters such as rupture origin, rupture propagation speed and direction. While future implementations of the methodology presented here could address these additional uncertainties, for instance through Monte Carlo schemes, here the non-kinematic rupture assumption is retained for simplicity and illustration. No sensitivity analysis was carried out on alternative sub-fault dimensions (Li et al., 2016).

### 2.2 Tsunami Numerical Modeling

For each tsunami source, the inland flow depths and arrival times are computed using the tsunami modeling software Tsunami-HySEA (Macías et al., 2017). Tsunami-HySEA solves the two-dimensional shallow-water equations (NLSWE) using a high-order path-conservative finite volume method. Values of instantaneous water depth h, and momentum fluxes qx and qy at each grid cell are estimated with mass preserving properties, where a high order is achieved by a non linear Total Variation Diminishing (TVD) reconstruction operator. During the reconstruction procedure, the positivity of the water depth is ensured. For wet-dry front discretization and tracking, Tsunami-HySEA implements a 1D Riemann solver used during the propagation step that takes into account the presence of a dry cell. This allows tracking the wave front and therefore a quantification of the arrival time, by registering the time when a land grid cell first changes from a dry to a wet state. More information on the numerical scheme can be found at https://edanya.uma.es/lysea/.

High-resolution bathymetric and topographic computational grids were produced. A topo-bathymetric elevation model was created using data from the General Bathymetric Chart of the Oceans (GEBCO, 2019), nautical charts from the National Hydrographic and Oceanographic Service of the Chilean Navy (SHOA, by its Spanish acronym), local cartography and ALOS World 3D-30 m (AW3D30) elevation data (Tadono et al., 2014). The coordinate reference system was in geographical coordinates referenced to ellipsoid WGS84, and the vertical datum was set to the local mean sea level. Due to the 1.8 m microtidal range, the tide level is kept constant for simplicity, although it could be considered an additional source of uncertainty. The computational grids were generated using triangular irregular networks, and Delaunay triangulation was used to interpolate to a uniform grid among different sources. Four nested regular grids were generated, with resolutions of 30, 7.5, 1.875 and 0.234 arc seconds (~925, ~230, ~55 and ~10 m), respectively, as shown in Figure 3. The finest grid covers the urban area of the cities of Valparaíso and Viña del Mar. A constant Manning’s roughness coefficient was set to n = 0.025. The simulation time was set to 4 h, using a variable time step to satisfy the Courant-Friedrichs-Lewy’s (CFL) stability condition in each grid, with a common value of CFL = 0.5 for all of them.

### 2.3 Assessment of Tsunami Inundation Metrics

Time series of inundation flow depth d(x, y, t) were obtained at each grid point (x, y), where the superscript i indicates a scenario,
The flow depth was computed as the instantaneous free surface water elevation computed by Tsunami HySEA \( h(x, y, t) \) minus the terrain elevation \( z_i(x, y) \) at the time of the tsunami arrival. The latter differs among scenarios because each scenario produces different seafloor and land coseismic deformation. Since the goal was to assess the likelihood of a land cell to be inundated, zero values meaning no inundation were retained in the analysis. In addition to this, the arrival time was retrieved from the model by recording the first time a grid cell was inundated, denoted \( t_a(x, y) \). Instances of no inundation can be considered as an infinite arrival time. Since this could, however, negatively bias the results, they were removed for the analysis of arrival times. In defining the arrival time in this way, it becomes decoupled from the flow depth, and the two variables are considered independent.

This approach, at least initially, imposes constraints similar to simplified SPTHA methods that consider inundation (e.g., those of Lorito et al., 2015; Sepúlveda et al., 2018). But, unlike those, no subsampling to reduce the computational burden is applied because arrival times can be controlled by aspects such as relative orientation and relative distance to the slip patches. This may preclude clustering and linearization, and instead makes it necessary to perform inundation modeling with the complete catalog of synthetic scenarios.

The focus of the present study is to produce relevant information for evacuation planning. This goal definition controls the hierarchy among the variables, and also the rationale behind selecting a representative value among all scenarios and modeled quantities. For evacuation purposes, the earliest arrival at each cell among the set of scenarios is considered as the worst situation. Similarly, the worst case would be the maximum flow depth. Hence, each time series of flow depth \( d(x, y, t) \) is reduced to its maximum, \( d_{\text{max}}(x, y) = \max_i d_i(x, y, t) \). Next, the extrema among all scenarios are computed, yielding the final set \( d_{\text{max}}(x, y) = \max_i d_i(x, y) \) and \( t_a(x, y) = \min_i t_a(x, y) \). At each cell, the shortest arrival time and the maximum flow depth do not necessarily correspond to the same scenario.

Tsunami hazard maps usually convey information about flow depth, measured discretely in length units, (e.g. meters) with some level of discretization. This can be counterintuitive and difficult to interpret as to its implications. In contrast, the approach used for some tsunami warning systems is to characterize the hazard based upon peak tsunami coastal amplitudes estimated closely offshore. The use of actual numerical values is discarded in favor of a categorization based on thresholds, as it is then possible to relate it univocally to an evacuation action to be performed by the
population. This is the case, for instance, of the tsunami warning system in Chile, where the tsunami hazard analysis identifies four hazard levels that are associated with three different evacuation actions (Catalán et al., 2020):

\[
\max(\eta) = \begin{cases} 
\leq 0.30 \text{ m} & \text{: Informative} \\
[0.30, 1.00] \text{ m} & \text{: Advisory} \\
[1.00, 3.00] \text{ m} & \text{: Watch} \\
> 3.00 \text{ m} & \text{: Warning}
\end{cases}
\]

where \( \max(\eta) \) is estimated offshore, at a prescribed water depth. Of these hazard levels, both Watch and Advisory prompt a complete evacuation of areas that are under the 30 m elevation contour, and the Advisory hazard level indicates evacuation of the closest 80 m (horizontally) to water bodies and the ocean. For the Informative hazard level, no action is required.

No equivalent metric or categorization exists for the inundation flow depth, as it has been implicitly assumed that any inundation level is hazardous enough to prompt evacuation. Moreover, no categorization exists for the arrival time either, as it has been assumed that evacuation needs to occur immediately, regardless of when the inundation takes place. Here it is hypothesized that coupling these parameters can produce relevant information for a more detailed analysis of evacuation strategies. Also, it could lead to maps that could be easier to interpret by the general public.

Therefore, as a first step, it is proposed to categorize the final set of flow depth and arrival time values, using a nine-level qualitative hazard scale, from A1 (least hazardous) to C3 (most hazardous), as shown in Table 1. These levels result from the combination of the hazard levels as established in the Informative, Advisory and Watch levels, defined in Eq. 1, now mapped as values 1, 2 and 3, respectively, with a new classification of the arrival time.

The temporal thresholds presented in Table 1 were defined arbitrarily, and could be subject to modification depending on the location and/or objectives of the assessment. Here, we use as first reference the expected issuance of the first assessment by the Chilean Tsunami Warning System in Chile (about 8 min, which is rounded up to 10 min), and twice that time. These threshold values are consistent with arrivals observed during past tsunamis in Chile, and with observed and modeled evacuation times in the area (León et al., 2020). These are denoted by letters, from A to C (least to most hazardous). The applied hierarchy gives more relevance to the arrival time compared to flow depth. Hence, a very early arrival with small flow depth, (i.e. C1), is considered more hazardous for evacuation than an early arrival with large amplitude, (i.e. B2). This is based upon the consideration that even flow depths as low as 70 cm with 1.3 m/s flow speeds can already put under threat a pedestrian (Koshimura et al., 2006), and the tsunami fatality rate is highly increased when inundation depths exceeds 2 m according to fragility curves (Koshimura et al., 2009). While this hazard ranking is arbitrary, for the present goal it is proposed as a baseline scheme that could be further developed if deemed necessary. For completeness, alternative thresholds were tested and are shown in the (Supplementary Table S1, S2), which do not alter the main conclusions of this work.

### 3 RESULTS

#### 3.1 Source Characterization and Tsunami Modeling

Before analyzing the resulting hazard maps, it is relevant to first evaluate the data that supports them. The statistics of the slip distributions used as initial conditions for the tsunami modeling are thus reviewed. In Figure 4A, a sample scenario is shown, where a relatively shallow and concentrated peak slip area is observed, with a maximum slip value that exceeds 15 m. This particular value is slightly lower than the 19 m estimate of offshore slip deficit accumulated since the last large earthquake in 1730 (Carvajal et al., 2017a), considering a convergence rate of about 6.5 cm/yr. This source does not feature slip in the entire considered seismogenic domain, which allows for the rupture size adjustment according to scaling laws (Blaser et al., 2010). This was the intended behavior of the scenario generation algorithm for producing earthquakes with different magnitudes.

The randomness and variability of slip across all scenarios are illustrated in other panels (Figure 4B–D). Since slip is always positive, data are not Gaussian distributed. Hence, non-Gaussian statistics will be used. All data at each cell are sorted and normalized, and the local cumulative distribution function, \( P_{\alpha}\text{a} \), is estimated as the value of the variable \( a \) below which \( \alpha \) % of the data are ranked. The \( a \) can stand for slip, flow depth or arrival time. The expected value is estimated by the 50-percentile \( (P_{a,50\%}) \) while the range of variability is estimated by the difference \( P_{a,95\%} - P_{a,5\%} \).

The spatial statistics for coseismic slip are shown in Figures 4B–D. The \( P_{a,95\%} \) value is presented as a proxy for the maximum value. It yields a relatively uniform distribution, highlighting that the generation method does not include areas of preferential slip, as intended. Similarly, the variability range shows a similar structure, although there is slightly larger
variability close to the shoreline. The same structure is found for the median value, indicating that the source scenarios do not show a strong bias toward a certain subset of sources. Additional data and analysis can be found in the (Supplementary Figure S1).

Figure 5 shows the results of tsunami inundation at Viña del Mar and Valparaíso, in a way that aggregates information from all simulated scenarios. For instance, Figure 5A shows the envelope of maximum flow depths, \(d_m(x, y)\). In Viña del Mar, maximum flow depth reaches up to \(d_m \approx 15–17\) m over a very narrow band near the shoreline (dark red color), which decreases to \(d_m \approx 10–15\) m in almost the entire river floodplain area, with larger values in the vicinity of the river mouth and in the low elevation areas of the city. The largest tsunamis among the set can propagate up to 4.0 km inland. On the northern end of Viña del Mar and in the section between Viña del Mar and Valparaíso, hills very close to the coast control the flow, leading to a minimal inundation extent, although maximum inundation depths can reach up to 25–30 m. Results for Valparaíso show a similar pattern, where the maximum flow depths in the low-lying areas can reach about 10–15 m. However, the inundated area is smaller, owing to the typical steep topography of the city. These results are consistent with the tsunami inundation chart provided by SHOA (available at http://www.shoa.cl/php/citsu.php), which was elaborated using a few seismic sources based on previous estimates of the 1730 earthquake (\(M_w 8.8–9.1\)), slightly lower than the most recent \(M_w 9.1–9.3\) assessment based on near-and far-field tsunami evidence (Carvajal et al., 2017a).

These results focus on the absolute maximum at each cell, which is usually the quantity of interest. Figure 5B shows the \(P_{d_m, 95}\%\) value. In general, there is a reduction of about 50% in the flow depth values between the maximum and \(P_{d_m, 95}\%\). This is indicative of a heavy tail in the distribution at each cell, and shows that a small number of scenarios may control the distribution. Figure 5C shows the fraction of scenarios that inundate each cell. Typically, less than 20% of the modeled scenarios are capable of inundating beyond the shoreline in Valparaíso. In Viña del Mar, typical values are 30% of the scenarios inundating parts of the floodplain, and a few more inundate the river bed and propagate upstream. These results highlight that a relatively low number of scenarios are capable of inundating large parts of the domain. As 2,800 scenarios were used in this study, 10% of them represent 280 scenarios, which is still a large number in absolute terms. These scenarios inundate the domain with a wide variety of flow depths and spatial extents of inundation. This could indicate a large sensitivity of the inundation characteristics to the details of the source, such as the distance between concentrations of high slip and the studied cities.

Figure 5D shows the spatial distribution of the envelope of the minimum arrival time, \(t_a(x, y)\), in minutes. A smooth evolution of arrival times is apparent, which may imply that arrivals are uniform among different scenarios, or perhaps controlled by a single scenario. Arrival times are short, ranging between 5 and 35 min, with typical values less than 20 min for most of the inundated area, which would put significant stress on a timely evacuation effort. Results for Valparaíso and Viña del Mar are similar, with the latter having slightly longer times due to the larger extent of the inundation zone.

3.2 Hazard Microzoning

Based on these data, the categorization and thresholds presented in Table 1 are applied to the ensemble of 2,800 scenarios. Figure 6, top row, shows the categorization (Cat.)
based solely on the flow depth, where these flow values larger than 1 m (Cat. 3) cover most of the map, resulting in rather uniform maps. This may be taken to imply that the whole area should be treated equally, and that the same evacuation response should be triggered during an emergency. Hence, this map can be further simplified to a binary map for evacuation planning purposes. The use and categorization of arrival time leads to a similar result (middle panel), where most of the arrivals are Cat. B (between 10 and 20 min), again resulting in uniform maps. Just a small area near the river entrance in Viña del Mar, and west of the breakwater in Valparaíso, show very early arrivals (Cat. C, <10 min). Note that this breakwater is intended to protect the port from wind waves, and there were no tsunami considerations in its design. In fact, no coastal defenses that target tsunami mitigation exist in this area.

The hybrid categorization proposed in this work is presented in the bottom row of Figure 6. The extent is the
same as in the other maps, adding no information as to which locations need to be evacuated. However, the combined use of both parameters adds an extra layer of detail that can provide significant information. For example, some small areas that yield large amplitudes have been classified as Cat. A3 (dark green), because of the large inundation depths (>1 m) and relatively late arrival inundation times (>20 min). Regions of extreme hazard are identified as Cat. C3 (dark brown) near the river entrance and northwest of the port. However, most areas are classified as level B3 (pale brown). At first glance, it may seem as if this categorization is not sufficiently informative compared to the original maps. The B3 level likewise suggests that the tsunami is not only large but will also arrive slightly later (between 10–20 min). In the following, the consequences of the proposed mapping are analyzed.

4 DISCUSSION

4.1 Implications for Evacuation Procedures

Typically, tsunami hazard maps are presented in terms of flow depth values, consistent with the notion that flow depth is the main driver of tsunami damage, at least to first order. Yet, within the context of evacuation, the relevant variable is the time difference between evacuation and arrival time, which needs to be maximized. The premise of evacuation studies is that a person is considered under threat once the tsunami arrives first, (i.e. before evacuation is completed), regardless of its flow depth. While this concept may be debated, it is notably decoupled from the parameter flow depth. Evacuation strategies, on the other hand, usually attempt to promote instantaneous evacuation, ideally triggered by the earthquake itself (self-evacuation) or by alarms issued by authorities. Within the context, it is relevant to know the extent of the inundation zone,

FIGURE 6 | Application of categorization thresholds to qualify hazard (A) Hazard levels based on tsunami flow depth (B) Hazard levels based on tsunami arrival time (C) Hybrid categorization hazard levels. Thresholds for each case are defined in Table 1. Refer to Fig. S10 in the Supplementary Material where the fraction of events in each hazard level is shown, and Figs. S11-S17 to see the categorization for each Mw.
and promote instantaneous evacuation inside it. A binary map could suffice for this.

Real-world evacuation processes, in contrast, cannot be accomplished instantaneously. Travel times to safe ground vary dramatically depending on several factors, such as topography, road connectivity, blockages, criteria to define “safe zones”, and others. For the study area, León et al. (2019b) and León et al. (2020) noted that many areas of Viña del Mar are too far away to reach the high ground defined as “safe zones” (up to 48 min). This is shown in detail in Figure 7, where official evacuation routes and safe zones are presented. National guidelines indicate evacuation sites have to be located above the 30 m terrain contour, shown in green in Figure 7. This contour is generally located relatively far from the inundation zone, thus requiring long travel times for some residents. To illustrate this, tsunami arrival time and the expected behavior of the population to an alert, in case that people are located close to the beach area, is examined for three starting points within the C3 zone. These starting points are denoted by orange squares in Figure 7, where dashed red lines highlight the suggested evacuation routes according to the evacuation map. If a person departs from starting point S15, the route along 15 Norte should be followed for 1.0 km to arrive at the M1 safe zone. A second person, located in S08 would be required to walk 1.9 km before reaching the M2 safe zone as per the current evacuation recommendations. Critically, a person located in site S02 would have to walk about 2.7 km in order to arrive at the M3 evacuation site. As shown by the tsunami arrival time (shown in black contour lines), after 15–20 min, maximum flow depths of \( d_m \approx 1.5 \) m can be expected. Flow depths of \( d_m \approx 0.5 \) m can occur 1.5 km inland just 20–30 min after the earthquake. If a person walks at an average speed of 1.1 m/s (Fraser et al., 2014), it is clear that the tsunami front would catch evacuating pedestrians. Using agent-based models to assess multi-scale evacuation (León et al., 2018; León et al., 2020) found successful evacuation rates of about 61% of the people if the current “safe zones” are used. Their data are presented in the color scale in 7, depicting the time required to reach a safe zone depending on the starting location: a person starting around point S02 could take about 48 min to reach safety, whereas the tsunami could arrive as early as 10 min.

Including tsunami arrival times in hazard estimation is thus essential, but it does not suffice to drive evacuation
planning. Using time alone neglects the effect of the magnitude of the tsunami. When arrival times are combined with flow depths, as in the present proposal, a more detailed zoning arises. This allows for further analyzing the connectivity of evacuation routes, and even the placing of evacuation infrastructure (e.g., for vertical evacuation). In the example, some of the Cat. C3 areas are located near Cat. A1 or Cat. B1 areas. This is relevant, because it means that properly designed vertical evacuation infrastructure could be located in these Category. One zones. While these areas are still subject to inundation, it occurs with smaller flow depths and late arrivals, which could result in reduced pedestrian evacuation both in distance and time. Of course, this would require proper design of said infrastructure. Another alternative worth considering could be a shift of meeting points, with people traversing over zones not foreseen now. For instance, from the vicinity of point S02, to the nearby hill (see Figure 7), if the safeness of the evacuation route is previously guaranteed. These hybrid maps illuminate other viable options that may not be derived when only the flow depth is taken into account.

One apparent downside is that most of the area in the hybrid categorization map (Figure 6C) falls into a single category, B3. Although this is not ideal, it is also not a severe handicap. León et al. (2018) carried out an exercise where additional evacuation shelters were placed within the inundation zone, greatly improving safe evacuation. Their rationale for locating these additional vertical evacuation sites was based on structural and building type considerations. Based on our results shown in the hybrid map, additional vertical evacuation could have been carried out in locations close to the orange squares in Figure 7. For this purpose, it is not useful to locate additional evacuation sites in the A3 or B3 areas, but possibly in an A2 or B2 area, since there would be shorter pedestrian travel times, with less risk of damage due to the smaller flow depth. It is thus hypothesized that planning exercises can be greatly improved using this hybrid mapping approach, without the need to use costly single scenario models that do not cover all possibilities.

Note that the proposed map is not aimed to be used during an evacuation, but in advance of it. The design of the map is based upon considerations that need to be coordinated with decision and/or policy makers. The more clear the definition of thresholds of the hazard variables and time arrivals, based on elicitation with authorities, will ensure more practical use on decision making. Arrival times vary between locations, and early arrivals can be defined in accordance to local values and evacuation times. A second important aspect is the hierarchy that has been imposed on the data, with the proposed categorization giving precedence to arrival time over flow depth. The rationale for this is based on the understanding that even a small flow depth could hamper evacuation. Nevertheless, the matrix-style categorization used here may be rearranged upon further considerations and analysis, e.g., during a systematic process of elicitation with authorities. A sensitivity analysis on this regard was performed (refer to Supplementary Figures S18, S19), which results in changes to the map. Nevertheless, it was found that the usefulness of the presented methodological approach is not affected by these decisions.

Once thresholds and hierarchies are defined, the next step is to quantify the hydrodynamic variables. While the relevance and definition of maximum flow depth is well established and thus does not necessitate further analysis, time of arrival is a metric that has a relatively loose definition. For the present case, it was identified as the instance when a zero flow depth becomes non-zero for the first time,
regardless of its amplitude. Other criteria could consider the first time a flow depth threshold is exceeded, or the timing of the maximum flow depth. To study the effect of these decisions, all 2,800 time series at a selected point in the region C3 are analyzed. Figure 8A shows individual flow depth time series normalized by their maxima, and their mean and standard deviation (after removing zero flow depth series). The time axis of each series has been shifted by subtracting the arrival time, so all series have a common time domain. The time series are characterized by sharp fronts once the wave first inundates the shoreline. Although the maximum does not necessarily occur during
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**Figure 8A** | Individual flow depth time series normalized by their maxima, and their mean and standard deviation (after removing zero flow depth series). The time axis of each series has been shifted by subtracting the arrival time, so all series have a common time domain. The time series are characterized by sharp fronts once the wave first inundates the shoreline. Although the maximum does not necessarily occur during
the first wave, the difference between the time of the maximum and the recorded arrival time is typically less than 3 min, as shown by the histogram in Figure 8B. Thus, for the present case, the definition of the threshold of inundation depths to determine the arrival time is not very important. However, choosing a lower amplitude threshold would make the method and the resulting map more conservative for evacuation planning, which is recommended.

4.2 Scenario Contributions to the Hazard Mapping

The presented approach to producing hazard maps departs from a deterministic, scenario based framework by considering a large number of different scenarios. It is also different from PTHA, because recurrence and probabilities are not considered. This is justified since saving lives ought to follow a low-probability, high consequences approach Muhari et al. (2015); Langenbruch et al. (2020). The planning must thus consider low probability events that cause high potential damage to the people, to minimize loss of life (Ranghieri and Ishiwatari, 2014). While it is desirable to ensure that evacuation routes and safe zones are designed in this way, other elements such as vertical evacuation structures could follow a different approach for their structural design.

An apparent feature of the performed modeling is that even though the scenario dataset considers a broad range of magnitudes and hundreds of slip realizations per magnitude bin, the final results and maps appear to be defined by just a small number of events. This is depicted by the fraction of events inundating the domain (Figure 5C). Whilst a large variability of tsunami inundation metrics was found, only few events in each magnitude bin were capable of inundating large swaths of the coast, while the majority were not able to inundate beyond the coastline. This is interpreted as an appropriate response from the modeling, since the set of scenarios incorporates shallower and deeper rupture depths, consistent with knowledge about past earthquakes in the area. For example, although smaller in magnitude than the present target scenario, the two last local events in 1985 (Mw 8.0 Barrientos, 1988) and 1906 (Mw 8.0 – 8.2 Carvajal et al., 2017b) triggered small tsunamis not perceived by the population, suggesting they occurred at deeper than average depths on the plate interface (Carvajal et al., 2017b).
The retrieved intra-event variability incorporates these uncertainties, which makes the assessment more realistic than in the case of using a maximum credible scenario. However, this could be interpreted as an unnecessary step of the procedure when evacuation and reducing loss of life are the driving objectives. A more cost-efficient approach could consider subsampling of the parameter space, for instance, by focusing on large magnitudes only.

To better understand this, the scenarios that produce the extreme value at each cell are identified (Supplementary Figure S2). Figure 9A shows that only 16 scenarios contributed to generate the maximum flow depth map. Two scenarios control most of the domain (IDs 2,551 and 2,558), but several others are relevant for the western end of the domain, west of the breakwater. This could indicate a large sensitivity to whether these scenarios are included in the analysis. However, when the percentage of events (among this set of 16) that inundate each grid cell is considered (Figure 9C), it can be seen that typically, 80% or more of these 16 events do inundate a large section of the domain. Hence, similar results would be obtained as long as any of those 16 events was included. Five of the above-mentioned events are capable of reaching the maximum inundation, and they significantly modify the overall extent of the inundation zone. This means that including intra-event variability is essential to account for all cases, and may preclude filtering or subsampling for extreme (but plausible) earthquakes beforehand. This is reinforced when arrival time is considered. Figure 9B shows scenarios that contribute to the minimum arrival time for each cell, resulting in a set of 32 scenarios (Figure 9). A less uniform distribution compared to inundation depths is observed, with two scenarios controlling the minimum arrival time (ID 2589 and 2,472). Notably, scenario ID 2472 was not part of the scenarios contributing to flow depth maxima. Again, this further shows not only the need to include a large number of scenarios, but also the importance to treat the inundation depth and arrival times independently, as proposed here.

This analysis may lead to the impression that only those large magnitude scenarios contribute to the presented results. To check this, a scatter plot of maximum flow depth and arrival time from all 2,800 scenarios was computed at five locations within the inundated area. Results are classified by magnitude, and the category thresholds are also shown in Figure 10. A large number of scenarios of different magnitude is observed to fall into the extreme category, and these are more frequent in the set than previously suggested, which can be observed in the cloud of scenarios that are classified as Cat. B3 at POI 29 (Figure 10C). The scenario of the maximum flow depth does not coincide with that of the minimum arrival time. Maximum flow depths appear to be more sensitive to scenario selection, with one or two scenarios having extreme flow depths. In contrast, arrival time usually has a larger number of events with similar values, as shown by a flatter distribution of data points at the shortest times. Some of the presented locations highlight the need to include different magnitudes and scenarios in the assessment. POI 62 (Figure 10F) shows that the largest events have late arrivals (Cat. A3), but there are several smaller events of different magnitude that arrive significantly earlier (Cat. B3). POI 30 (Figure 10D) shows that events of different magnitudes can yield a large range of flow depths, but all of them occurring within 10–20 min after the earthquake. Remarkably, this point (POI 30) is located near the river mouth, the area previously identified as the one having longer evacuation times. This reinforces the need to decouple arrival time and flow depth. Figure 10 also highlights the sensitivity of the results to the choice of thresholds, especially those related to arrival time. At POI 30, a single event arrives in less than 10 min, which controls the C3 category. Had the threshold been chosen at 12 min, 3 scenarios would have been considered.

A by-product of the presented analysis is that it is possible to identify the latest arrival (uppermost points in the scatter plots). This means that after evacuation has been issued, no safe return to the inundated area should be allowed before approximately 180 min. This also implies that if no inundation has been observed after 180 min, or with some extra time added to be conservative, it would be reasonable to assume that no inundation will occur. The inclusion of arrival times hence not only defines the necessary promptness of evacuation, but could also be used to define the time of issuance of safe returns. To our understanding, this aspect has been largely missing from tsunami hazard assessments, yet it is a key aspect to be considered in areas where evacuations might be recurrent, as in Chile, and the system is at risk of a loss of confidence by the population due to perceived false alarms. A more detailed modeling exercise should also contain the effect of resonance and edge waves (Catalán et al., 2015; Cortés et al., 2017; Aránguiz et al., 2019), by modeling the domain for a sufficient time for them to occur. This could also necessitate an expansion of the analysis to seismogenic areas located further away from the area of interest.

These results show that it is relevant to include a wide range of scenarios and magnitudes, although the categorization may not be as sensitive to the inclusion of extreme events. This opens the possibility to implement post-processing methods (Sepúlveda et al., 2018; Davies, 2019) in order to omit some scenarios that can be contributing as outliers (or erroneous numerical artifacts), while also keeping the distribution of the starting configuration of stochastic realizations. This has not been implemented here, but could be part of a further refinement of the method.

5 CONCLUSION

In this work, the hybrid use of tsunami arrival times and flow depths in the elaboration of a hazard map has been evaluated. To this end, 2,800 stochastic sources and their respective tsunamis were modeled from generation to inundation in two cities of central Chile. Different ways of arranging the tsunami hazard categorization were tested, with the goal of producing a map that can be useful for decision making in evacuation planning and management, while being easy to understand.

The main findings show that a small number of scenarios generate large tsunami inundation, but with variable arrival times not necessarily associated to the same event. In contrast,
similar tsunami arrival times can be the result of multiple events of different magnitudes. This result stresses that when evacuation is considered, reliance on the maximum flow depth alone does not suffice, and the inclusion of multiple events and magnitudes is essential, highlighting the need to incorporate intra-and inter-event variability. In the present study, aspects such as recurrence were not included, and ought to be subject of subsequent research. The approach used herein used the hazard envelope, under a low probability, high consequence framework.

Combining these two intensity metrics into a 9-level categorization that gives more weight to arrival time while acknowledging the maximum hazard as presented by the tsunami flow depth, yields maps that allow a better understanding of the hazard, especially when having evacuation as its main purpose. The hazard scale is built on a priori decisions such as the definition of thresholds, which could affect the details of the final map, and could be defined locally with authorities.

It was found that the map conveys relevant information as intended. Among the benefits found is the capacity of providing support for improving evacuation routes and safe zone placement, based on a very simple representation of the hazard. In addition, the arrival time-based maps allow for introducing the notion of safe return time windows after an evacuation has been issued.

At the local level, assessing tsunami hazard due to future Chilean megathrust earthquakes (and in the far-field) must be a multidisciplinary and comprehensive approach based on the current knowledge of tsunami source specification, propagation and inundation, that should include arrival times as a key parameter.

This will help reducing tsunami risk along coastal communities with education and outreach programs based on the scientific knowledge especially in regions with a false notion of low tsunami hazard and risk (Bernard and Titov, 2015; Zamora et al., 2020). It is hypothesized that these simpler maps, even though considering more information, can be better interpreted by the general public and enhance people’s awareness.
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