Non-Isothermal Hydrodynamic Characteristics of a Nanofluid in a Fin-Attached Rotating Tube Bundle
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Abstract: In the present study, a novel configuration of a rotating tube bundle was simulated under non-isothermal hydrodynamic conditions using a mixture model. Eight fins were considered in this study, which targeted the hydrodynamics of the system. An aqueous copper nanofluid was used as the heat transfer fluid. Various operating factors, such as rotation speed (up to 500 rad/s), Reynolds number (10–80), and concentration of the nanofluid (0.0–4.0%) were applied, and the performance of the microchannel heat exchanger was assessed. It was found that the heat transfer coefficient of the system could be enhanced by increasing the Reynolds number, the concentration of the nanofluid, and the rotation speed. The maximum enhancement in the heat transfer coefficient (HTC) was 258% after adding a 4% volumetric nanoparticle concentration to the base fluid and increasing Re from 10 to 80 and ω from 0 to 500 rad/s. Furthermore, at Re = 80 and ω = 500 rad/s, the HTC values measured for the nanofluid were 42.3% higher than those calculated for water, showing the nanoparticles’ positive impact on the heat transfer paradigm. Moreover, it was identified that copper nanoparticles’ presence had no significant effect on the system’s pressure drop. This was attributed to the interaction of the fluid flow and circulated flow around the tubes. Finally, the heat transfer coefficient and pressure drop had no considerable changes when augmenting the rotation speed at high Reynolds numbers.

Keywords: tube bundle; mixture model; nanofluid; finned rotating tube; pressure drop

1. Introduction

Heat transfer is a vital transport phenomenon in the industrial sector, which witnesses abundant heat transfer applications, such as cooling microelectronic devices, and high-heat-flux-removal applications, such as heat sinks. Thus, it is imperative to develop new generations of cooling systems that offer high efficiency and high performance at low cost [1]. An efficient heat-exchanging medium transports a large amount of thermal energy in a confined space with a minimum external energy requirement. Much effort has been made in developing passive systems to reduce the operating cost of heat transfer in systems. In some research, the systems’ geometrical specifications and hydraulic characteristics were amended such that the heat transfer was intensified due to the change in the fluid regime [2]. Simultaneously, there are studies in which better thermal performance was achieved by improving the working fluid [3,4].

Nanofluids are by far one of the potential options for improving heat transfer. This is predominantly attributed to solid particles’ effect on the liquid’s physical properties, such as thermal conductivity and the presence of some micro-scale phenomena, such as Brownian motion [5,6]. It has already been demonstrated that a nanofluid with a smaller diameter can provide better thermal conductivity, more stability, and a lower pressure drop in comparison with larger particles, such as micron-sized particles or clusters [7]. Generally,
solid nanoparticles are less than 100 nm and are uniformly dispersed in conventional liquids, such as water or oil. The solid particles’ uniform dispersion can create high thermal conductivity, particularly when close to the system’s hot walls and boundary [8]. Nanoparticles can also induce Brownian motion to further boost the heat transfer mechanism due to the nanoparticles’ random movement in the bulk of the liquid [9]. As such, the focus has been redirected to the potential application of nanofluids in thermal systems. For example, Abdollahi-Moghaddam et al. [10] examined the heat transferability of a CuO/water nanofluid. They observed that the heat transfer of nanofluid was 2.8 times bigger than that of water. Simulations showed that by using the nanofluid, water consumption could be decreased by 37.6% or the system size will shrink by 55%.

Shape modification of the heat exchanging media is another potential solution that can increase heat transfer. For example, it was desirable for the hydrodynamics of shell and tube heat exchangers to change their baffles arrangement to elliptical or angular layout or jagged edges [11–13]. This, in turn, can improve the heat transfer, mainly when nanofluids are implemented as the heat transfer fluid in the system [14,15]. For example, Said et al. [16] experimentally and numerically investigated CuO nanoparticles’ potential impact on a shell and tube heat exchanger’s thermal performance. They noticed that using a nanofluid with 0.3% CuO nanoparticles (volumetric concentration) can improve the heat transfer coefficient by 7%, whereas using Al₂O₃ and TiO₂ can promote the HTC by 41% and 37%, respectively. Sarafraz and co-workers have assessed various ranges of pure liquids [17] and nanofluids in single- and two-phase flow conditions [18]. They reported that, unlike pure liquids, for the same thermodynamic systems, nanofluids can promote the HTC in single-phase operating mode, while in two-phase systems, due to the deposition of the nanoparticles, the performance might decrease, remain unchanged, or increase [19,20]. Recently, a horizontal heat exchanger was evaluated by Kashminder Singh et al. [21] when the laminar flow of water containing Si-PDA/Ag nanoparticles was utilized. The observance indicated that, in this flow regime, the heat transfer can rise to 50%. The turbulent regime was experimentally studied by Zheng et al. [22] by considering Al₂O₃/water as a working fluid. The foundation showed that the Nusselt number could be improved by up to 23.2%. Since there have been extensive studies conducted on the nanofluids’ thermal performance, in Table 1, a summary of these studies is represented for benchmarking and comparison only.

| Author(s)            | Type of Thermal Equipment | Nanoparticle | Base Fluid                | Concentration (%) | Enhancement (%) |
|----------------------|---------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| Sharafeldin and Grof [23] | Evacuated tube solar collector | WO₃         | Water                     | 0.014–0.028–0.042 | 23              |
| Sadeghi et al. [24]  | Evacuated tube solar collector with parabolic concentrator Marquise shaped channel solar flat-plate collector Corrugated plate heat exchangers | Cu₂O, Al₂O₃, TiO₂ | Distilled water, Deionized water, Water | 0.01–0.08 vol. | 10, 39.2 |
| Arora et al. [25]    | Double-pipe counter-flow heat exchanger | TiO₂         | Kaolin/deionized water, Water | 2 wt. | 12, 18 |
| Khanlari et al. [26] | Cross-flow microchannels heat exchanger | SWCNT        | carboxyl methylcellulose | 0.03–0.15 wt. | -               |
| Anvari et al. [27]   | Automobile radiator       | Cu           | Water                     | 0.3–0.6–1 vol. | 39.53           |
| Jadar et al. [30]    | Automobile radiator       | MWCNT        | Water                     | - | 45 |
| Maisuria et al. [31] | Automobile radiator       | CuO          | EG/water (40:60)         | 0–1 vol. | 20, 81 |
| Pourfayaz [32]       | Absorption chiller        | Ag           | Water                     | - | - |

The heat transfer mechanism in tube bundles is of particular interest, as such systems can create an exciting heat transfer mechanism. Generally, a simple tube bundle has no significant effect on the thermal performance of the heat exchangers. However, more advanced bundles can change the fluid regime and hence significantly affect the HTC value. Recently, rotating tubes have attracted attention due to the remarkable combined effect of heat and fluid flow [33–35]. For example, Khan et al. [36] numerically studied a
tube bundle’s hydrodynamic performance with staggered states. They described Nu as a function of the length and longitudinal of the twisted pitch and its transverse. A few studies investigated the role of more than one rotating tube in channels or even in cavities. Khanafer et al. [37] simulated the effect of two rotating cylinders in a cavity on heat transfer. The foundation indicated that Nu and the flow pattern are affected by the cylinder rotation, but the rotation speed had no significant effect on the Nusselt number. Selimefendigil and Oztop [38] modeled the heat transfer and fluid flow of a CNT-based nano-fluid onto a tube bundle. They found that having rotating tubes results in the promotion of heat transfer. Accordingly, they reported a 244% enhancement in the Nusselt number. In another study [33], the same authors investigated this rotational tube bundle inside a cavity. They obtained 40% and 37.7% heat transfer enhancements for CNT/water and Ag-MgO/water nano-fluids, respectively. The results also indicated that the place and size of the tube bundle could affect the heat transfer performance; furthermore, the CNT-based nano-fluid was favorable because of the higher Nu and lower pressure drop. Marzban et al. [39] examined the performance of a rhombic tube bundle in the presence of an NDG/water nano-fluid. The rotated square tube arrangement indicated the highest heat transfer performance and friction factor. Daneshvar Garmroodi et al. [40] carried out a numerical study to evaluate Cu/water nano-fluid’s effect through a cavity with two rotating smooth cylinders. They concluded that applying rotational force and using nano-fluid significantly enhance the heat transfer. Their investigation of rotating tubes’ location indicated that the tubes’ vertical layout has the most considerable influence on heat transfer. Furthermore, increasing the Hartman number led to a reduction in heat transfer. It was more noticeable in the vertical arrangement.

As previously discussed, a rotating tube brings a combined effect by changing the system’s heat and fluid flow characteristics. However, to the best of the author’s knowledge, very few studies focus on the flow and mechanism of the heat transfer in contrast to applied research with bundle tubes, which have been extensively studied and presented in the literature. Rotating tubes and nano-fluid can significantly affect the hydrodynamics of the tube bundle. It enhances the flow circulation through the heat exchanging process while augmenting the heat transfer surface at a molecular scale. However, a nano-fluid boosts the energy loss and pressure drop because of the higher dynamic viscosity induced by dispersing nanoparticles in the working fluid [41].

In light of the above discussion, in this study, rotating tubes containing fins were studied to further understand the mechanism and the system’s performance under the influence of rotating tube bundles. An aqueous copper nano-fluid was used to combine and intensify the heat transfer enhancement due to increased thermal conductivity and Brownian motion. For this purpose, a nano-fluid-containing micro-channel was considered in which fin-attached tubes were assumed to rotate at defined rotating velocities. Such an innovative system can be used for designing highly efficient thermal engineering systems. Moreover, developing the present configuration can be helpful for a better understanding of thermal and shear boundary layers for the rotating tubes and the geometry of the micro-channel.

2. Mathematical Modeling
2.1. Physical Model

A micro-channel with six rotating tubes (three in each row) was modeled in this study. The micro-channel was fabricated from aluminum with a 2400 µm length and a 400 µm width. As shown in Figure 1a, the tube bundle was organized into six tubes, where their centers were placed at 250 µm from the micro-channel center. On each tube, eight fins with a thickness of 20 µm were mounted. The geometrical specification of the fins is shown in Figure 1b. To reduce the consumption of computational resources, only half of the geometry was modeled, as shown in Figure 1c. Furthermore, in Table 2, the geometrical specifications of the system are given.
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Figure 1. (A) Tube bundle configuration, (B) fin dimensions, and (C) symmetrical tube bundle dimensions.

Table 2. Geometrical parameters used in the present research.

| Quarter     | Designation                      | Value     |
|-------------|----------------------------------|-----------|
| Microchannel| Width                            | 800 µm    |
|             | Length                           | 2400 µm   |
|             | Distance from the centerline     | 250 µm    |
|             | Distance from the interior and exterior | 400 µm  |
|             | Distance from the middle tube    | 800 µm    |
|             | Diameter                         | 100 µm    |
|             | Numbers                          | 8         |
| Tube        | Width                            | 20 µm     |
|             | Length                           | 20 µm     |
| Fin         |                                   |           |

2.2. Governing Equations

The governing equations are as follows [42]:

Continuity equation:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho_m) + \nabla \cdot \left( \rho_m \vec{V}_m \right) = 0$$

(1)

where

$$\vec{V}_m = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\varphi_k \rho_k \vec{V}_k}{\rho_m} = \vec{V}_k$$

(2)
and
\[ \rho_m = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \varphi_k \rho_k \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Momentum equation:
\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \rho_m \vec{V}_m \right) + \nabla \cdot \left( \rho_m \vec{V}_m \vec{V}_m \right) = - \nabla P + \nabla \cdot \left[ \mu_m \left( \nabla \vec{V}_m + \nabla \vec{V}_m^T \right) \right] \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where
\[ \mu_m = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \varphi_k \mu_k \]  \hspace{1cm} (5)

Energy equation:
\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho_m h_m + \nabla \cdot \left( \rho_m h_m \vec{V}_m \right) + \nabla \cdot \left( P \vec{V}_m \right) = \nabla \cdot \left( k_{eff} \nabla T \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where
\[ \rho_m h_m = \sum_{Z=1}^{n} (\varphi_Z \rho_Z h_Z) \]  \hspace{1cm} (7)

Volume fraction equation for the second phase:
\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \varphi_p \rho_p \vec{V}_p \right) + \nabla \cdot \left( \varphi_p \rho_p \vec{V}_p \vec{V}_m \right) = - \nabla \cdot \left( \varphi_p \rho_p \vec{V}_{dr,p} \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (8)

where
\[ \vec{V}_{dr,p} = \vec{V}_p - \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\Phi_k \rho_k \vec{V}_{fk}}{\rho_m} \]  \hspace{1cm} (9)

and
\[ \vec{V}_{pf} = \vec{V}_p - \vec{V}_f = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (10)

2.3. Boundary Conditions of the Problem
As a heat transfer fluid, a copper/water nanofluid was chosen to flow inside the microchannel. This was to induce Brownian motion and to improve the physical properties, such as the thermal conductivity. The fluid flow was tuned such that Reynolds numbers of 10, 20, 40, and 80 were applied to the microchannel. The flow was considered to be under steady-state, laminar, incompressible, and fully developed conditions. In this study, fixed adiabatic fins were investigated, along with fin clockwise rotating velocities equal to 100, 250, and 500 rad/s. The effects of thermal radiation, viscous dissipation, joule heating, and displacement currents were neglected. The walls of the microchannel were subjected to a constant heat flux equal to 10,000 W/m^2. Moreover, at the microchannel outlet, a pressure outlet was adjusted, while the gravitational force was assumed to be negligible. Furthermore, the microchannel’s wall was insulated, while the inlet temperature was set at 293 K.

2.4. Thermophysical Properties of the Cu/Water Nanofluid
A copper/water nanofluid was used as the working fluid. The density and dynamic viscosity of the nanofluid was defined using Brinkman’s models [43]:
\[ \rho_{nf} = \varphi \rho_{np} + (1 - \varphi) \rho_{bf} \]  \hspace{1cm} (11)
\[ \mu_{eff} = \mu_{bf} / (1 - \varphi)^{2.5} \]  \hspace{1cm} (12)

while the heat capacity property was obtained using Xuan and Li’s [44] model:
\[ (\rho C_p)_{nf} = (1 - \varphi) (\rho C_p)_{bf} + \varphi (\rho C_p)_{np} \]  \hspace{1cm} (13)
Since Chon et al.’s [45] thermal conductivity model was obtained based on Brownian motion at different temperatures by including the sub-layer thickness [46], it was used in this study as follows:

\[
\frac{k_{nf}}{k_f} = 1 + 64.7\phi^{0.746} \left(\frac{d_f}{d_p}\right)^{0.369} \left(\frac{k_p}{k_f}\right)^{0.746} Pr^{0.9955} Re^{1.2321}
\]  

(14)

where

\[
Pr = \frac{\mu_f}{\rho_f k_f}
\]

(15)

as the Prandtl number and

\[
Re = \frac{\rho_f k_f T}{3\pi\mu l_f}
\]

(16)

which is the Brownian Reynolds number. \(d_f\) is expressed as the base fluid’s mean free path, which is equal to 0.17 nm for water, and the temperature-dependent viscosity of the base fluid, \(\mu\), is defined as:

\[
\mu = O \times 10^P T^{-Q}
\]

(17)

where \(T\) is the temperature and \(O, P,\) and \(Q\) are constants. Their values for water are \(2.414 \times 10^{-5}\), 247.8, and 140, respectively [47]. In Table 3, thermophysical properties of the materials, including the nanofluid at volume concentrations of 2 and 4%, are presented based on the recommendation of [48].

| Property                | Water | Cu Nanoparticle | 2 Vol.% Nanofluid | 4 Vol.% Nanofluid |
|-------------------------|-------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Thermal conductivity (W/m·K) | 0.6   | 400             | 0.9               | 1.13              |
| Dynamic viscosity (Pa·s) | 0.000891 | -              | 0.000937          | 0.000987          |
| Density (kg/m³)         | 997.1 | 8954            | 1156              | 1315              |
| Heat capacity (J/kg·K)  | 4179  | 383             | 3591              | 3145              |

2.5. Numerical Procedure

The finite volume method (FVM) [49,50] was used to solve the governing equation using the ANSYS FLUENT software package [51,52]. The equations were obtained for two-dimensional nanofluid flow by using a mixture model. Continuum theories for the mixture model were expanded by Truesdell and Toupin [53], Eringen and Igram [54], and Drumheller and Bedford [55]. Ahmadi et al. [56–60] developed the model more, especially for turbulent flows. The pioneer of applying the mixture theory for nanofluid modeling is Behzadmehr et al. [61] in 2007. The primary assumption of this method is that the base fluid and nanoparticles flow together while the base fluid carries the nanoparticles [47].

The second-order upwind method [62,63] was employed to discretize the governing equations, while the SIMPLEx algorithm [64,65] was chosen to couple the pressure and velocity. The residual criteria for all governing equations were set to below \(10^{-7}\).

2.6. Grid Independence Analysis

The model results were checked by comparing the average Nusselt number, pressure drop, and maximum temperature through the whole system and for all three fins at four different mesh structures. The results are depicted in Table 4. As shown, when the cell number increased, the parameters’ values became more accurate, approaching a constant value to become independent from the mesh cells. However, the values had no significant differences from each other. Therefore, a cell number of 62161 was chosen for the modeling, which offered sufficient accuracy with reasonable computational resources to solve the governing equations.
Table 4. Grid independence test for the maximum temperature, pressure drop, and Nusselt number.

| Nodes Number | Maximum Temperature (K) | Pressure Drop (Pa) | Average Nusselt Number |
|--------------|-------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
|              | % Count                 | % Count            | % Count                |
| 15,855       | - 301.88                | - 9.69             | - 1.19                 |
| 32,595       | 0.001 301.91            | 1.23 9.81          | 1.71 1.17              |
| 62,161       | 0.016 301.96            | 0.51 9.86          | 0.86 1.16              |
| 116,310      | 0.017 302.01            | 1.21 9.98          | 1.72 1.14              |

A schematic illustration of the mesh structure is given in Figure 2. The density of the grids was higher where the working fluid had a more critical role in it, such as at the walls, the area around the tubes, and the area around the fins, which had the densest grids. Structured meshes were used in this study. Problem zones are frame motion; thus, the moving reference frame was used to solve the problem. The outer zone was fixed, but the moving reference settings were used in the other two zones (near the fins).

As a part of future work, the grid independence study can be checked using the grid convergence index (GCI) recommended by the Fluids Engineering Division of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers as a solid, reliable approach for grid dependence analysis. This approach is described in Roache [66] and employed in several studies, e.g., Sosnowski [67].

2.7. Validation of Numerical Procedure

This section provides information on validating the present numerical solution. A comparison was made between the results obtained from simulation and those available in the literature. As shown in Table 5 and Figure 2, it was revealed that the simulation results were in acceptable agreement with those of previous studies. Thus, the numerical method was concluded to be highly significantly accurate and reliable, which makes it an ideal option for studying nanofluid flow and heat transfer in a closed conduit with rotating-type tube bundles.

2.7.1. Heat Transfer and Fluid Flow over Rotating-Type Tube Bundles

To validate the flow running over rotating-type tube bundles, a comparison was made between the results of this work and those reported by Ghazanfarian and Nobari [68]. They examined convective heat transfer exhibited by a rotating cylinder when there were cross-flow oscillations. The simulation was performed by modeling cross-flow oscillations...
at different amplitudes and frequencies while assuming three values of 50, 100, and 200 for the Reynolds number and three values of 0.7, 6, and 20 for the Prandtl number. To compare the present simulation with the study mentioned above, the results were obtained for water with the Reynolds number and non-dimensional amplitude equal to 100 and 0.2, respectively. According to Table 5, the results yielded by the present study were in fair agreement with those obtained by Ghazanfarian and Nobari [68], while the minimum and maximum deviations were respectively equal to 3.8% and 9%.

Table 5. Mean Nusselt number for cross-flow oscillations at Pr = 6 and A = 0.2 for Re = 100.

| α  | Ghazanfarian and Nobari [68] | Present Study | %     |
|----|-------------------------------|---------------|-------|
| 0.5 | 41.3                          | 42.59         | 3.1   |
| 1   | 35.2                          | 36.18         | 2.8   |
| 1.5 | 25.8                          | 26.68         | 3.4   |

2.7.2. Nanofluid Flow and Heat Transfer in an Air-Finned Heat Exchanger (Comparison with Experimental Data)

The present numerical procedure that can be employed to study nanofluid flow passing through a heat exchanger was then validated with the results reported by Vermahmoudi et al. [69]. As an experimental study, it was performed to investigate the laminar forced convection heat transfer exhibited by water/iron (III) oxide inside an air-finned heat exchanger. Experiments were conducted while the volume concentrations of 0.15%, 0.4%, and 0.65% were considered for the stabilized nanofluid of Fe$_2$O$_3$/water. The flow rate was varied between 0.2 and 0.5 m$^3$/h; the air-finned heat exchanger was composed of 34 vertical tubes. A cross-flow was formed inside the tube bank with a flow rate between 740 and 1009 m$^3$/h at temperatures of 50, 65, and 80 °C; furthermore, hot working fluid entered the heat exchanger. The validation was performed by comparing the overall heat transfer coefficient associated with the nanofluid obtained by Vermahmoudi et al. [69]. Figure 3 indicated a perfect agreement between the results corresponding to the present numerical procedure and the study in question.

![Figure 3](image-url)

Figure 3. Comparison of overall heat transfer coefficient of 0.65 vol.% water/iron (III) oxide nanofluid at Q$_{air}$ = 1009 with the results of Vermahmoudi et al. [69].
3. Results and Discussion
3.1. Flow and Rotation Direction Effects

Figure 4A,B indicates the heat transfer coefficient and pressure drop for left-to-right and right-to-left flow direction with clockwise rotational tube bundles. According to the graphs, it is evident that the left-to-right flow provided a higher heat transfer and lower pressure drop, which means that the left-to-right flow had higher performance.

![Graphs showing heat transfer coefficient and pressure drop](image)

Figure 4. (A) Heat transfer coefficient and (B) pressure drop for \( \phi = 4 \) vol.% and different flow directions.

The reverse rotation of the tube bundle (counter-clockwise) was also considered in this study. When the tube bundle rotated in the counter-clockwise direction, the right-to-left flow direction was more efficient than the left-to-right flow. It was surprising to find that the results corresponding to the right-to-left flow with counter-clockwise rotational tube bundles were precisely the same as those obtained for the left-to-right flow with clockwise rotational tube bundles. Therefore, the results of the second case did were not reported in this investigation.

3.2. Heat Transfer Coefficient

In Figure 5, the system’s calculated heat transfer coefficient of the nanofluid at various concentrations and different Reynolds numbers and rotation speeds are given in the presence of a rotating tube bundle containing six tubes, with each one attached by fins. The results are illustrated by taking into account four Reynolds numbers (Re’s) of 10, 20, 40, and 80, as well as three rotation speeds such as 100, 250, and 500 rad/s. The nanofluid concentrations were considered to be 0%, 2%, and 4% volume fractions. It was observed that the hydrothermal efficiency of the system changed when increasing the rotation speed of tubes.

As shown, increasing Re led to heat transfer enhancement for the nanofluid and water with and without rotating tubes. It was also identified that the effect of Re on heat transfer enhancement was almost the same for water and nanofluids. This was because the increasing slopes of the heat transfer enhancement were approximately the same for both the nanofluid and water. The nanofluid at 4% concentration had a 37 to 43.3% higher heat transfer coefficient than the water at all corresponding Re and \( \omega \) values. This was because of the copper nanoparticles’ existence in the base fluid that created enhanced thermal conductivity and Brownian motion.

Figure 6 illustrates the effect of the nanoparticles on the velocity through the tube bundle. A comparison between the figures shows that adding nanoparticles to the base fluid
caused a velocity reduction. It was evident that for the case with a water working fluid, the maximum velocity, at the middle line, was about 120 mm/s, while the maximum velocity for the 2 vol.% and 4 vol.% nanofluids were approximately 110 mm/s and 100 mm/s, respectively. Moreover, by increasing the amount of nanoparticles in the working fluid, the vortex’s velocity magnitude above the tubes was reduced. As mentioned, adding nanoparticles to a base fluid led to density and dynamic viscosity augmentation based on Equations (11) and (12). The presence of nanoparticles in the base fluid increased the shear stress between the layers of the fluid. As a result, based on the shear stress of Newtonian fluids \( \tau = \mu \frac{dU}{dy} \), the dynamic viscosity of the nanofluid was enhanced. On the other hand, at a constant Re, increasing the volume fraction of the nanoparticles in the base fluid caused the ratio of \( \frac{\mu}{\tau} \) nanofluid to increase; thus, the velocity magnitude decreased.

Figure 5 illustrates the effect of the nanoparticles on the velocity through the tube bundle. The results are illustrated by taking into account four Reynolds numbers (Re’s) of 10, 20, 40, and 80, as well as three rotation speeds such as 100, 250, and 500 rad/s. The nanofluid at 4% concentration had a 37 to 43.3% higher heat transfer coefficient than the water at all corresponding Re and values. This was due to the presence of a rotating tube bundle containing six tubes, with each one attached by fins. The reverse rotation of the tube bundle (counter-clockwise) was also considered in the study. When the tube bundle rotated in the counter-clockwise direction, the right-to-left flow with counter-clockwise rotational tube bundles were precisely the same as those obtained for the left-to-right flow with clockwise rotational tube bundles. Therefore, the results of the second case were not with and without rotating tubes. It was also identified that the effect of Re on heat transfer with and without rotating tubes. However, at higher Re, the effect of the rotation was decreased. Furthermore, just as the heat transfer coefficient increased with Re for stationary tubes, the trend was seen for rotating tubes. However, at higher Re, the effect of the rotation was decreased. Furthermore, at a lower Reynolds number, the rotating tubes considerably affected the heat transfer coefficient, but the effect gradually dwindled as Re increased. The difference between
heat transfers corresponding to the stationary tubes and the tubes rotated with 500 rad/s was 30% for water at Re = 10. These differences for nanofluids with 2 vol.% and 4 vol.% concentrations were 34.2% and 33.4%, respectively. However, for Re = 80, these differences were 4%, 5.1%, and 5.9% for water and 2 vol.% and 4 vol.% nanofluids.

![Velocity contours](image)

**Figure 6.** Velocity contours at Re = 40 and ω = 250 rad/s for (A) water, (B) 2 vol.% nanofluid, and (C) 4 vol.% nanofluid.

In Figure 8, the heat transfer coefficient (HTC) is plotted versus the rotation speed for various Re values and nanofluid concentrations to better understand the influence of the rotating tubes on the tube bundle hydrodynamics. As shown, the HTC increased with an increase in the rotation speed. Moreover, by increasing the Re number, the HTC value was increased. This was because the spatial velocity profile changed; therefore, plotting a velocity contour at ϕ = 2 vol.% and Re = 40 could help to understand the fluid behavior better (Figure 9). At a higher rotation speed, the velocity of working fluid in the vicinity of the microchannel’s wall was augmented such that for ω = 0 rad/s, the velocity in this section was approximately 30 mm/s, whereas it was 70 mm/s for ω = 500 rad/s. To achieve a better heat transfer performance, the fluid flow should circulate near the heat source to absorb more thermal energy and transfer it to the fluid’s bulk. By applying a rotating force to the tubes, fluid circulation near the heat source was adequately achieved, promoting heat transfer near the hot walls.

As shown in Figure 10, the streamlines for the nanofluid for ϕ = 2 vol.%, Re = 40, and ω = 500 rad/s are illustrated. The counter-clockwise rotation of the tubes, as well as the existence of fins, led to the fluid flow being separated into two different shear layers. This separation changed the flow pattern through the tube bundle. The layer located below the tubes (the fluid flow with the lowest disturbance) left the microchannel and another layer above the tubes over the section with a highly dense streamline. The former layer was created with the rotating tubes such that the fluid flow accelerated below the tubes,
and the fluid around the tubes was pushed into the trajectory line of the bulk flow, which passed from the middle of the microchannel near the symmetry line.

Figure 7. Heat transfer coefficient versus Re at different rotation speeds for (A) $\varphi = 0$ vol.%, (B) $\varphi = 2$ vol.%, and (C) $\varphi = 4$ vol.%.

The latter layer was created when the fluid flow collided with the first tube, where the fluid circulation induced by the attached fins caused a counter-current flow against the primary fluid flow and created a high-pressure region. Then, the counter-current flow redirected the main flow to the area between the tube and wall. In this layer, the fluid flow was compact, and hence, dense streamlines formed. After crossing this area, the fluid flow entered a higher cross-sectional area in which a low pressure was generated by entering a wider space, while a high-pressure area occurred due to the second rotating tube and its fins. Hence, a vacant zone appeared between the two tubes and near the wall.

3.3. Maximum Temperature

In Figure 11, the maximum temperature and the microchannel length are plotted for various Re numbers and rotation speeds. As shown, a higher Re could reduce the maximum temperature ($T_{\text{max}}$). Since the nanofluid had a high HTC (as represented in Figure 5), it was expected that a lower $T_{\text{max}}$ would be observed for the nanofluids such that at $\varphi = 4$ vol.%, the lowest $T_{\text{max}}$ was recorded. Moreover, when increasing Re to 40,
$T_{\text{max}}$ considerably decreased, while for $\text{Re} > 40$, $T_{\text{max}}$ gradually decreased. The effect of an increase in Re number between 10 and 40 was two times larger than the same effect at Reynolds numbers between 40 to 80. That being said, the rotating tubes could have a significant effect on the magnitude of $T_{\text{max}}$. A higher rotation speed led to a lower $T_{\text{max}}$. As mentioned above, however, at a lower Re, increasing the rotation speed decreased $T_{\text{max}}$ remarkably, while at a higher Re number, increasing $\omega$ had no significant effect on $T_{\text{max}}$. This phenomenon is presented in Figure 12.
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**Figure 8.** Heat transfer coefficient versus rotation speed at different Re’s for (A) $\phi = 0$ vol.%, (B) $\phi = 2$ vol.%, and (C) $\phi = 4$ vol.%.

Figure 12 illustrates the temperature contours for nanofluids with $\phi = 2$ vol.% for different Reynolds numbers at $\omega = 250$ rad/s. The existence of the cold working fluid through the microchannel was higher by increasing Re; hence, the temperature distribution was more uniform when Re increased. Therefore, more heat was eliminated from the tube bundle; hence, the value of $T_{\text{max}}$ decreased. Although less fresh working fluid could reach the hot wall surface at low Re, the rotating tubes transferred more fresh fluid toward the wall. This phenomenon was more significant at higher Reynolds numbers.

The contours of the maximum temperature for nanofluids at $\phi = 2$ vol.% at $\text{Re} = 40$ for various rotation speeds are displayed in Figure 13. It was found that by increasing the rotation speed from 0 to 500 rad/s, the tube bundle’s temperature distribution was enhanced. When the tubes were in a stationary condition, the most critical parameter
controlling the heat transfer was the wall’s thermal boundary layer since the tubes’ rotation improved the fluid distribution inside the microchannel. Furthermore, the mixing induced by the recirculating fluid caused the thermal boundary layer thickness at the wall vicinity to decrease, thereby increasing the temperature gradient, and the heat transfer was intensified.
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Figure 9. Velocity contours with Re = 40 and φ = 2 vol.% for (A) ω = 0 rad/s, (B) ω = 100 rad/s, (C) ω = 250 rad/s, and (D) ω = 500 rad/s.

In Figure 14, the maximum temperature is represented as a function of Re for different nanofluid concentrations and rotation speeds. For both the stationary and rotating tubes, adding nanoparticles to the base fluid decreased $T_{\text{max}}$. Moreover, two different behaviors were identified that could be used to explain the reduction in $T_{\text{max}}$: (1) for $\omega = 0$ rad/s to $\omega = 250$ rad/s and (2) for $\omega = 250$ rad/s to $\omega = 500$ rad/s. $T_{\text{max}}$ descended with a drastic slope in the first regime by increasing Re from 10 to 40, followed by a gradual decrease from 40 to 80. Meanwhile, in the second regime, the gradual decrease shifted to Re = 20 such that it started from that Re. Similar behavior was identified for the cases of water and other nanofluids.

The isothermal contours of nanofluids and water for Re = 40 and $\omega = 250$ rad/s are shown in Figure 15. As shown in Figure 15a, at some points near the hot wall, the temperature was $\approx 288.6$ K. Meanwhile, by adding Cu nanoparticles to the base fluid, maximum temperatures of 287.7 K and 287.3 K were reached for 2% and 4% concentrations, respectively. It was identified that the higher thermal conductivity obtained for nanofluids had an intensive effect on promoting thermal energy transfer from the wall’s heat source. Furthermore, the fluid circulation prompted by the finned rotating tubes contributed to the heat transfer. Thus, adding nanoparticles, rotating tubes, and attaching fins improve the heat transfer mechanism and removed more thermal energy from the system.

### 3.4. Pressure Drop

The pressure drop as a function of rotation speed at various Re’s for water and nanofluids is depicted in Figure 16. By increasing the rotation speed, the pressure drop was augmented for all ranges of Re and both water and nanofluid. For example, at $\phi = \text{vol.\%}$ and Re = 10, the pressure drop increased by 268% by changing the rotation speed from 0 to 500 rad/s. Meanwhile the pressure drop augmentation was 140.5%, 78.5%, and 45.3% for
Re = 20, 40, and 80, respectively. Notably, at high Re numbers, the rotating tubes’ influence was insignificant by applying a rotation speed of up to 500 rad/s. Hence, the heat transfer coefficient and pressure drop were independent of the rotation speed. However, the further investigation also needs to identify the heat transfer mechanisms at high Re numbers for rotation speeds between 0 and 500 rad/s. Furthermore, for each concentration, increasing the Re augmented the pressure drop. At $\omega = 0$ rad/s and $\phi = 4$ vol.%, increasing Re from 10 to 20 led to a 123% augmentation in the pressure drop. The augmentations for Re = 20 to Re = 40 and Re = 40 to Re = 80 were 132% and 154%, respectively.

In Figure 10, streamlines for a nanofluid with 2 vol.% concentration, Re = 40, and $\omega = 500$ rad/s: (A) zoomed-in image of the first and second tubes, (B) zoomed-in image of the second and third tubes, and (C) zoomed-in image of the second tube. In Figure 17, pressure drop contours are depicted at Re = 40 and $\omega = 250$ rad/s for water and nanofluids. The addition of nanoparticles had no remarkable effect on the pressure drop of the system. All three contours were almost in the same range of pressure values. As mentioned in Figure 16, in the microchannel with rotating tubes, the pressure generation’s primary resource was the fins’ rotation. Moreover, adding nanoparticles to the base fluid had a minimal effect, which can be neglected.
In Figure 18, the pressure drop is plotted as a function of Re for different nanoparticle concentrations and rotation speeds. The pressure drop increased when increasing the Reynolds number, and the value of the pressure drop was the same for water and nanofluids. The difference between the pressure drop of water and nanofluids was more noticeable at slower rotation speeds. The significant pressure drop along the microchannel was determined by contacting the fluid flow with tubes and the circulated flow around the tubes. As indicated in Figure 11, a high-pressure area was generated between the main fluid flow and the circulated flow surrounding the rotating tube. Since the fluid cohesion was low, the streamlines of the circulated flow were more irregular. Therefore, the interactions increased, and the pressure drop value was augmented. Meanwhile, fluid with high cohesion (a nanofluid has higher cohesion due to its higher dynamic viscosity) had more arranged streamlines around the tube; therefore, less fluid from the main flow can penetrate it. Subsequently, the pressure drop of the nanofluid could be reduced in comparison to water. However, this reduction could be somewhat suppressed by the pressure drop that originated from increased dynamic viscosity. This conclusion shows that nanofluids can be used in rotating systems without applying any pressure drop value penalties.
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Figure 11. Maximum temperature versus Re at different rotation speeds for (A) \( \varphi = 0 \) vol.\%, (B) \( \varphi = 2 \) vol.\%, and (C) \( \varphi = 4 \) vol.\%.
Figure 12. Maximum temperature contours at $\omega = 250$ rad/s and $\varphi = 2$ vol.% for (A) $Re = 10$, (B) $Re = 20$, (C) $Re = 40$, and (D) $Re = 80$.

Figure 13. Maximum temperature contours at $Re = 40$ and $\varphi = 2$ vol.% for (A) $\omega = 0$ rad/s, (B) $\omega = 100$ rad/s, (C) $\omega = 250$ rad/s, and (D) $\omega = 500$ rad/s.
Figure 14. Maximum temperature versus Re at diverse concentrations for (A) $\omega = 0$ rad/s, (B) $\omega = 100$ rad/s, (C) $\omega = 250$ rad/s, and (D) $\omega = 500$ rad/s.

Figure 15. Maximum temperature contours at $Re = 40$ and $\omega = 250$ rad/s for (A) $\phi = 0$ vol.$\%$, (B) $\phi = 2$ vol.$\%$, and (C) $\phi = 4$ vol.$\%$. 
3.4. Pressure Drop

The pressure drop as a function of rotation speed at various Re's for water and nanofluids is depicted in Figure 16. By increasing the rotation speed, the pressure drop was augmented for all ranges of Re and both water and nanofluid. For example, at φ = vol.% and Re = 10, the pressure drop increased by 268% by changing the rotation speed from 0 to 500 rad/s. Meanwhile, the pressure drop augmentation was 140.5%, 78.5%, and 45.3% for Re = 20, 40, and 80, respectively. Notably, at high Re numbers, the rotating tubes' influence was insignificant by applying a rotation speed of up to 500 rad/s. Hence, the heat transfer coefficient and pressure drop were independent of the rotation speed. However, the further investigation also needs to identify the heat transfer mechanisms at high Re numbers for rotation speeds between 0 and 500 rad/s. Furthermore, for each concentration, increasing the Re augmented the pressure drop. At ω = 0 rad/s and φ = 4 vol.%, increasing Re from 10 to 20 led to a 123% augmentation in the pressure drop. The augmentations for Re = 20 to Re = 40 and Re = 40 to Re = 80 were 132% and 154%, respectively.

Figure 16. Pressure drop versus rotation speed at different Reynolds numbers for (A) φ = 0 vol.%, (B) φ = 2 vol.%, and (C) φ = 4 vol.%.

In Figure 17, pressure drop contours are depicted at Re = 40 and ω = 250 rad/s for water and nanofluids. The addition of nanoparticles had no remarkable effect on the pressure drop of the system. All three contours were almost in the same range of pressure values. As mentioned in Figure 16, in the microchannel with rotating tubes, the pressure generation's primary resource was the fins' rotation. Moreover, adding nanoparticles to the base fluid had a minimal effect, which can be neglected.

Figure 17. Pressure drop contours at Re = 40 and ω = 250 rad/s for (A) φ = 0 vol.%, (B) φ = 2 vol.%, and (C) φ = 4 vol.%.
4. Conclusions

A numerical investigation was conducted to analyze the heat transfer and pressure drop of a finned rotating tube bundle in this study. A microchannel was simulated in which six tubes rotated, along with their attached fins. Furthermore, distilled water, as well as Cu/water nanofluid containing 2 vol.% and 4 vol.% concentrations, were considered the working fluids. The outputs were presented in comparison with the water outcomes. The substantial results are summarized as follows:

- Rotating tubes significantly affected the hydrodynamics of the tube bundle, which was installed inside the microchannel. Vortexes induced by the rotating tubes caused the fluid flow to transfer more thermal energy. A maximum heat transfer enhancement of 34.2% was achieved at $Re = 10$ by increasing the rotation speed from 0 to 500 rad/s.
- For all ranges of nanofluid concentrations and at high $Re$, increasing the rotation speed from 0 to 500 rad/s had no remarkable effect on the heat transfer and maximum temperature. The heat transfer enhancement was 6% at $Re = 80$.
- Adding nanoparticles to the base fluid noticeably enhanced the heat transfer coefficient. A maximum heat transfer enhancement of 42.3% was gained by adding 4 vol.% Cu nanoparticles at $Re = 80$ and $\omega = 500$ rad/s.
• Rotating tubes generated a pressure drop through the microchannel. This was due to the fluid interaction caused by the fins.

• As a significant outcome, adding nanoparticles had no pressure drop augmentation. Nevertheless, a higher nanofluid concentration had a slightly lower pressure drop.

• At high Re, the pressure drop and heat transfer remained almost unchanged by applying rotation to the tube bundle.
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**Nomenclature**

- \( x, y, z \): Cartesian coordinates (m)
- \( n \): Number of phases
- \( \text{Nu} \): Nusselt number
- \( P \): Pressure (N·m\(^{-2}\))
- \( \text{Re} \): Reynolds number
- \( h_k \): Sensible enthalpy for phase \( k \) (J·kg\(^{-1}\))
- \( C_p \): Specific heat capacity (J·kg\(^{-1}\)·K\(^{-1}\))
- \( T \): Temperature (K)
- \( t \): Time (s)
- \( k \): Thermal conductivity (W·m\(^{-1}\)·K\(^{-1}\))
- \( V \): Velocity (m·s\(^{-1}\))
- \( h \): Heat transfer coefficient (W·m\(^{-2}\)·K\(^{-1}\))
- \( D_e \): Hydraulic diameter (m)
- \( P_t \): Tube pitch (m)
- \( D \): Diameter (m)
- \( L \): Length (m)

**Greek Symbols**

- \( \rho \): Density (kg·m\(^{-3}\))
- \( \mu \): Dynamic viscosity (Pa·s)
- \( \varphi \): Volume fraction of nanoparticles
- \( \omega \): Rotation speed (rad/s)

**Subscripts**

- \( \text{eff} \): Effective
- \( Z \): Indices
- \( m \): Mixture
- \( p \): Particle
- \( f \): Fluid
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