Personality factors and self-perceived health in Chilean elderly population
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ABSTRACT

Empirical evidence suggests that the stability of personality itself contributes to successful ageing and is associated with longer life. The aim of this study was to investigate the association between personality traits and the self-perceived health status, stratified by medical conditions in a representative sample of non-institutionalized elderly people in Chile. The data used for this study come from the fourth waves (2009) of the Chilean Social Protection Survey (SPS-2009). Included were a total of 2655 subjects aged 65 and over. The results showed that higher scores of all five personality factors were associated with good health. Those with the perception of poor health were more likely to be female, with lower education level and older than those with good health. With the exception of agreeableness, strong and significant associations with self-perceived health were demonstrated for extraversion, conscientiousness, emotional stability and openness, among elderly with medical conditions. Among elderly without medical problems, significant associations with self-perceived health were demonstrated only for extraversion, agreeableness and emotional stability. This study has shown that there is a consistent association between personality factors and self-perceived health throughout the older population. Our results suggest that extraversion and openness traits could be acting as “protector” factors and agreeableness and conscientiousness traits as “resilient” factors, facing to the health problems among elderly people.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Along with most other nations, Chile is undergoing an accelerated growth of older populations with the increased life expectancies. By 2025, the proportion of people aged 65 and over is expected to reach 14% of the total population and more than one million will be persons aged 75 or more in Chile [1]. With the modernization of the society and socioeconomic development in the last 3 decades, the cultural values and the family structure have been modified. Furthermore, values, beliefs and preferences of the elderly themselves have been changing, and the current older generations tend to have a stronger desire for autonomy and independence. Thus, in this changing society, it is important to examine life conditions of older people to better understand their adaptation to the aging process and consequences on their health and wellbeing.

Interest in the association between personality characteristics and health has been renewed in recent years. Studies have shown a strong association between personality characteristics and health suggesting that personality traits could contribute to self-perceived health, health outcomes and longevity [2,3]. It has also been shown that self-perception of health has shown its significant ability to predict a variety of outcomes, including service utilization, emotional distress, morbidity and mortality [4-6].

Increased empirical evidence shows that personality in terms of enduring dispositions remains stable after approximately age 30, exerting fairly generalized effects on human behavior and constituting an important determinant of psychological well-being in old age [7-9]. It is suggested that the stability of personality itself contributes to successful ageing by allowing the individual to plan the future [10], enhance their health-related quality of life, prevent the disease progression [11] and even is associated with longer life [3].

Personality constructs deserve special consideration
when attempting to predict individual differences in behaviors. One of the current, predominant frameworks of the personality is the five-factor model (FFM). The FFM is a comprehensive, empirical, data-driven research finding [12]. The five-factor model has emerged as an important taxonomy of global personality traits and appears to hold a great promise for investigations of correlation between the personality and various behaviors because of its robustness and parsimony [13-15].

The five-factor model of personality is a hierarchical organization of personality traits in terms of five broad bipolar domains or dimensions that are defined by clusters of interrelated specific traits: extraversion, agreeableness, conscientiousness, neuroticism, and openness to experience. These five overarching domains have been found to contain and subsume most known personality traits and are assumed to represent the basic structure behind all personality traits. Extraversion describes degrees of interpersonal interactions. Agreeableness estimates motivation in goal-directed behavior. Neuroticism measures degrees of emotional stability. Openness to experience estimates the willingness to accept novel ideas [16].

These five factors provide a rich conceptual framework for integrating all the research findings and theory in the personality psychology [17]. All five factors were shown to have convergent and discriminant validity across instruments and observers, and to endure across decades in adults [13,14]. The most frequently used measures of the five factors comprise either items that are self-descriptive sentences [18] or items that are single adjectives in the case of lexical measures [19]. A research using both self-descriptive sentences and lexical measures supports the comprehensiveness of the FFM model and its applicability across observers and cultures [13].

The advantages and limitations of the five-factor model of personality as an integrating framework for studies of personality and health had been widely discussed. Although the FFM model has some potential limitations, the application of this method—as well as other aspects of current personality theory and research—is likely to facilitate progress in the study of how personality influences health. Personality attributes have been shown to mediate health-related behaviors and health outcomes [2,20-24].

On the other hand, self-perceived health (SPH) is one of the most commonly used psychometric indicators in health surveys. SPH has often been thought of as measuring only “subjective” health, the opposite to physician-rated health, which is considered as an “objective” measurement. However, in several longitudinal studies, SPH has been found to be a good predictor for survival and for future health outcomes even better than a physician’s assessment [25-32]. SPH is a reliable and valid measure for assessing the subjective and objective health of individuals and in a large-scale survey [5,25,33,34].

Since elderly people are prone to several health problems which have physical, psychological and social components, self-perceived health is an important factor in old age. Self-perceived health has been extensively studied in older populations. A range of important factors such as chronic diseases, mortality, health care utilization, long-term care utilization and health-related quality of life have been associated with self-perceived health in the elderly population [26-32,35-38].

Some authors have reported that old people perceive their health in positive terms and tend to over-estimate their health compared with objective health measurements [32,39-44]. Other data support the view that elderly people are more pessimistic in their perceptions of their own health than younger people, even after controlling for objective health conditions [45,46]. It is possible that these differences are explained at least partly, by the individual personality traits.

On the other hand, the association of some sociodemographic variables or medical conditions with SPH of the elderly people has varied in previous studies. Elderly men tend to report poorer health than elderly women for similar objective health conditions [40,42,47]. Poor education and low socio-economical status are associated with poor self-rated health [39,44,48]. The number of symptoms and medical conditions, depression, heart disease, stroke decreased functional capacity and sensory problems all correlate positively with low self-rated health in elderly [26,39-44,47].

The purpose of this study was to investigate the association between personality traits and the perceived health status in a representative sample of the older Chilean population. The evaluation of personality traits was based on the FFM model, and measured with the Questionnaire of Personality TIPI (Ten-Item Personality Inventory). The self-perceived health evaluation was based on the question of the European Union Statistics on Income and Living Room Conditions [49]: “How is your health in general?” To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate the role of personality traits in explaining variations in self-perceived health in the elderly Chilean population.

2. METHODS

2.1. Data & Participants

The data used for this study comes from the fourth (2009) waves of the Chilean Social Protection Survey (SPS). The SPS is a nationally and regionally representative household survey that contains extensive individual information about participation in the labor market and
in the social protection system, as well as socioeconomic characteristics. It also contains a detailed set of health and wealth questions, as well as questions that measure the financial knowledge of respondents and their level of risk aversion. The SPS contains longitudinal data for a sample of 20,000 individuals firstly interviewed in 2002, with follow-ups in 2004, 2006 and 2009 [50].

The fourth wave of the SPS was fielded between April and December of 2009. The overall response rate was 76.5% on a sample of 19,512 peoples. Thus, the fourth wave of the SPS-2009 contains longitudinal data for 14,463 individuals [50]. In the SPS elderly people were oversampling to enhance the likelihood to build a sample with sufficient representation of this age group. The study sample corresponds to 2655 subjects aged 65 and over.

2.2. Measures

2.2.1. Personality Traits

The evaluation of personality traits was based on the FFM model, and measured with the Questionnaire of Personality TIPI (Ten-Item Personality Inventory) developed by Gosling, Renfro & Swann [51]. The TIPI questionnaire is a validated short-form for use in applied research settings where questionnaire space and respondent time are limited [51]. TIPI is a standard 10-item measure of the Big-Five personality dimensions. Each item consists of two descriptors, separated by a comma, using the common stem, “I see myself as:”. Two separate items together covered the breadth of each domain including the high (+) and low(−) poles. The resulting five dimension were: Extraversion ([+]: extravered, enthusiastic(−): reserved, quiet], Agreeableness ([+]: sympathetic, warm(−): critical, quarrelsome], Conscientiousness ([+]: dependable, self-disciplined(−): disorganized, careless], Emotional stability ([+]: calm, emotionally stable(−): anxious, easily upset] and Openness to experience ([+]: open to new experience, complex(−): conventional, uncreative]. Each item is rated on a 7-point scale that ranges from 1 (disagree strongly) to 7 (agree strongly). To score TIPI scales, items 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10 are reversed-scored (i.e., recode a 7 with 1, a 6 with 2, a 5 with 3, etc) and then, take the average of the two items (the standard item and the recoded reverse-scored item (R)) that make up each scale. Extraversion: item 1, 6R; Agreeableness: 2R, item 7; Conscientiousness: item 3,8R; Emotional stability: 4R, item 9 and Openness to experience: item 5,10R [51]. This variable was included as a continuous variable with one decimal.

2.2.2. Self-Perception of Health

Self-perception of health status was assessed with a Likert-scale item question based on EU-SILC question on self-perceived health (“How is your health in general?”), which contains six answering categories; 1) excellent; 2) very good; 3) good; 4) fair; 5) poor and 6) very poor. The European Union Statistics on Income and Living Conditions (EU-SILC, 2003) question on self-perceived health is part of the Minimum European Health Module (MEHM), which is also included in the European Health Interview Survey (EHIS). Self-perceived general health (based on EU-SILC data) is one of the indicators of the health and long term care developed under the Open Method of Coordination (OMC) [49]. This variable was aggregated into two categories: good health (i.e., excellent, very good and good) and poor health (i.e., poor and very poor). Given that “fair” concept, is generally evasive and ambiguous in Chilean idiosyncrasy, fair category was excluded of the analysis.

2.2.3. Medical Conditions

It is clear that physical illness and mental disorders influence self-perception of health.

One of the health questions (F38) in the SPS-2009, contribute to the evaluation of health problems and consequently with the burden of diseases at the population level. Individuals were asked to report whether they had specific diseases diagnosed by a physician, in the list on a yes/no format. The list of diseases includes eleven chronic diseases and conditions commonly found among older populations i.e.; respiratory problems, depression, diabetes, high blood pressure, cardiac problems, cancer, arthritis/arthrosis, kidney/urinary problems, stroke and mental disorders. With the exception of HIV/AIDS, the total number from the list was used for the analysis.

2.2.4. Socio-Demographics Features

With the purpose to adjust for potential confounders, variables as; age, gender, educational level and marital status were included in the analysis. Age (years) was included as a continuous variable, gender as a dichotomous variable (0 = male, 1 = female), educational level as a categorical variable with 3 levels; primary (including illiterates), high school and university level (including post-degree) and marital status as a categorical variable with 4 levels: single, married, divorced and widowed.

2.2.5. Statistical Analysis

One-way ANOVAs were used to compare each dimension of the personality between those with good and poor self-perceived health. The Mantel-Haenszel chi-square test was utilized to study the lineal associations between prevalence of self-reported health problems and self-perceived health status. A binary logistic regression was used to examine the association between personality traits and prevalence of self-reported health problems. Multivariate Analysis of Variance (MANOVA), with the
Wilks-Lambda statistics, was used to study personality factors between those with self-perceived health good and poor health in both strata; with and without medical conditions. All the 5 personality dimensions were analyzed simultaneously. Age, gender, education and marital status were incorporated as covariates. The results were presented as adjusted means and standard errors (S.E.) evaluated at the mean for the covariates in the total sample. All analyses were conducted using the SAS software, version 9.1 for Windows (SAS Institute Inc.).

3. RESULTS

3.1. Descriptive Statistics

Table 1 shows socio-demographic, health and personality characteristics for the whole sample. From the 2655 participants, 36% (961 cases) had good health. About 43% (1147 cases) and 21% (547 cases) of the sample had either fair health or poor health, respectively.

Mean age for the whole sample was 74 years. Fifty-one percent of the whole sample was females and 10.6% illiterates. Among those with good health; 44% were females, the mean age was 73 years, 8.7% had superior education level and 54.3% were married. The corresponding figures for those with poor health were; 60%, 75 years, 1.6% and 54.2%, respectively.

As shown in Table 1, the prevalence of medical problems increased with the decline in level of the self-perceived health among all conditions queried. With the exception of high blood pressure, differences between good and poor health groups were highly significant (p < 0.0001). Table 1, also depicts means and standard deviations of all TIPI domain scores. For the whole sample, people who reported a good health had better scores in all TIPI personality dimensions than those reported a poor health.

Table 1. Descriptive socio-demographic, medical condition and personality traits by self-perceived health (n: 2655).

| self-perceived health                  | Poor/very poor (n: 547) | Fair (n:1,147) | Excellent/very good/good (n:961) |
|----------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|
| Age (mean, std)                        | 75 (7.46)               | 74 (7.05)      | 73 (6.60)                        |
| Gender (% female)                      | 60%                     | 53%            | 44%                             |
| Educational level (%)                  |                         |                |                                  |
| Primary                                | 77.9%                   | 75.0%          | 57.6%                           |
| High school                            | 18.5%                   | 22.0%          | 33.7%                           |
| Superior                               | 1.6%                    | 3.0%           | 8.7%                            |
| Marital status (%)                     |                         |                |                                  |
| Single                                 | 10.5%                   | 11.3%          | 11.6%                           |
| Married                                | 54.2%                   | 55.2%          | 54.3%                           |
| Divorced                               | 5.5%                    | 5.0%           | 7.6%                            |
| Widowed                                | 29.8%                   | 28.5%          | 26.5%                           |
| Medical conditions (% with condition)  |                         |                |                                  |
| Respiratory problems                   | 17.4%                   | 9.0%           | 2.9%                            |
| Depression                             | 20.8%                   | 10.5%          | 3.3%                            |
| Diabetes mellitus                      | 30.0%                   | 20.3%          | 10.1%                           |
| High blood pressure                    | 68.2%                   | 60.5%          | 39.9%                           |
| Cardiac problems                       | 27.6%                   | 17.6%          | 5.3%                            |
| Cancer                                 | 7.3%                    | 2.7%           | 2.1%                            |
| Arthritis/arthrosis                    | 36.2%                   | 21.7%          | 10.4%                           |
| Kidney/urinary diseases                | 10.2%                   | 3.6%           | 1.6%                            |
| Stroke                                 | 2.7%                    | 0.4%           | 0.2%                            |
| Mental disorders                       | 3.3%                    | 0.9%           | 0.4%                            |
| Personality scale(TIPI) (mean, std)    |                         |                |                                  |
| Extraversion                           | 3.7 (1.52)              | 3.8 (1.46)     | 4.2 (1.45)                      |
| Agreeableness                          | 5.1 (1.37)              | 5.3 (1.31)     | 5.2 (1.34)                      |
| Conscientiousness                      | 5.4 (1.33)              | 5.6 (1.32)     | 5.7 (1.31)                      |
| Emotional stability                    | 4.8 (1.41)              | 5.1 (1.35)     | 5.1 (1.36)                      |
| Openness                               | 3.9 (1.39)              | 4.1 (1.44)     | 4.3 (1.52)                      |
3.2. Personality Factors and Socio-Demographics Characteristics

For the whole sample, women had higher mean scores of extraversion, agreeableness and openness than the men. In turn, men had higher means scores of conscientiousness and emotional stability than women. With exception of openness (p = 0.05), there were no significant differences in mean personality trait scores between women and men (not shown).

For the whole sample, an increasing association level was observed between the gradient of education levels - lower to higher education- and extraversion (p = 0.02), conscientiousness (p = 0.104) and openness (p = 0.002). There were no significant differences in mean scores of agreeableness and emotional stability among education levels (not shown).

For the whole sample, there were no significant association between personality trait scores and marital status. However, single individuals shown higher mean scores of conscientiousness (mean: 5.6), emotional stability (mean: 4.9), and openness (mean: 4.5). Married subjects shown higher mean scores of extraversion (mean: 4.2) and good scores of conscientiousness (mean: 5.5), agreeableness (mean: 5.1), emotional stability (mean: 4.9) and openness (mean: 4.9). Widowed individuals shown similar pattern than single individuals, excepting agreeableness (mean: 5.2) where they were the best scored. Divorced individuals showed the worse personality traits scores (not shown).

3.3. Personality Factors and Medical Conditions (Morbidity)

For the whole sample, there were no significant differences in mean scores of extraversion, conscientiousness, emotional stability and openness between subjects with and without medical conditions. However, those with medical conditions showed agreeableness mean score significantly better than individuals without medical conditions (5.16 vs 5.02, p = 0.02). After adjustment for socio-demographic factors the association between agreeableness and reported medical conditions remained significant (OR: 1.081, 95% CI: 1.004-1.165) (not shown).

Separate analysis for medical conditions and after adjusting for socio-demographic factors, there were no significant associations between personality traits and respiratory problems, high blood pressure, cardiac problems, cancer, arthritis/arthrosis and kidney/urinary illness (Table 2).

The level of extraversion and emotional stability (neuroticism) among those with depression was significantly lowers, compared to those without depression. On the other hand, a lower score of agreeableness was significant associated with diabetes compared with those without this medical condition. The level of conscientiousness among those with mental disorder was significantly lower, compared to those without mental disorders. Finally, the level of openness among those with stroke was significantly lower, compared to those without stroke (Table 2).

3.4. Personality Factors, Medical Conditions and Self-Perceived Health

The results of the ANOVA shows relationships between each of the individual items of the personality inventory and self-perceived health in both strata; with and without medical conditions are presented in Table 3. Without adjustment for socio-demographics factors, and with the exception of agreeableness all of the other personality dimensions were significantly associated with self-perceived health in those who reported medical conditions. On the other hand, in those without medical conditions just extraversion and emotional stability were significantly associated with self-perceived health.

Among those with and without medical conditions, comparing individual self-perception of health, those with perception of poor health were more likely to be female. Mean age of individuals with perception of poor health was higher than among those with good health. Higher education level was significantly associated with good health.

The results of the MANOVAs are exhibited in Table 4. The overall MANOVAs were highly significant both among those with and without medical conditions. After adjustments for socio-demographic factors, all personality traits except for agreeableness, were significantly associated with self-perceived health among those with medical conditions. Among those without medical conditions, and after adjustments; extraversion, agreeableness and emotional stability were significantly associated with self-perceived health.

Of interest, three personality traits—conscientiousness, emotional stability and openness—that were significant among those with medical conditions and good self-perceived health, showed higher mean scores than those with perception of good self-perceived health among those without medical conditions.

4. DISCUSSION

The present study focused on the comprehensive five-factor model of personality and self-perceived health among subjects with and without medical conditions, in a nationally representative sample of elderly people. To our knowledge, this is the first study in Chile to observe an association between personality traits and self-perception of health in a community-based elderly popula-
Table 2. Adjusted OR (95% CI) for personality traits among elderly with and without medical conditions (n: 1914).

| Medical conditions          | Extraversion | Agreeableness | Conscientiousness | Emotional stability | Openness |
|-----------------------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------|--------------------|----------|
| **Respiratory problems**    |              |               |                   |                    |          |
| without                     | 1.00         | 1.00          | 1.00              | 1.00               | 1.00     |
| with                        | 0.93 (0.836-1.028) | 1.10 (0.964-1.246) | 0.91 (0.806-1.024) | 1.00 (0.889-1.131) | 1.01 (0.904-1.122) |
| **Depression**              |              |               |                   |                    |          |
| without                     | 1.00         | 1.00          | 1.00              | 1.00               | 1.00     |
| with                        | **0.87 (0.787-0.952)** | 1.03 (0.918-1.162) | 1.04 (0.929-1.172) | **0.87 (0.777-0.967)** | 1.00 (0.901-1.098) |
| **Diabetes**                |              |               |                   |                    |          |
| without                     | 1.00         | 1.00          | 1.00              | 1.00               | 1.00     |
| with                        | 1.01 (0.935-1.086) | **0.92 (0.841-1.008)** | 1.01 (0.919-1.099) | 0.99 (0.907-1.077) | 1.03 (0.957-1.117) |
| **High blood pressure**     |              |               |                   |                    |          |
| without                     | 1.00         | 1.00          | 1.00              | 1.00               | 1.00     |
| with                        | 0.96 (0.892-1.039) | 0.98 (0.888-1.072) | 1.00 (0.916-1.102) | 0.99 (0.907-1.083) | 0.99 (0.914-1.071) |
| **Cardiac problems**        |              |               |                   |                    |          |
| without                     | 1.00         | 1.00          | 1.00              | 1.00               | 1.00     |
| with                        | 0.98 (0.903-1.063) | 0.93 (0.839-1.023) | 0.96 (0.875-1.061) | 1.00 (0.913-1.103) | 0.98 (0.901-1.070) |
| **Cancer**                  |              |               |                   |                    |          |
| without                     | 1.00         | 1.00          | 1.00              | 1.00               | 1.00     |
| with                        | 0.96 (0.826-1.117) | 1.04 (0.865-1.252) | 1.05 (0.871-1.260) | 0.92 (0.776-1.093) | 1.02 (0.870-1.185) |
| **Arthritis/Arthritis**     |              |               |                   |                    |          |
| without                     | 1.00         | 1.00          | 1.00              | 1.00               | 1.00     |
| with                        | 0.96 (0.890-1.032) | 1.04 (0.946-1.140) | 1.00 (0.915-1.098) | 0.97 (0.892-1.059) | 1.04 (0.958-1.119) |
| **Kidney/Urinary Problems** |              |               |                   |                    |          |
| without                     | 1.00         | 1.00          | 1.00              | 1.00               | 1.00     |
| with                        | 0.91 (0.790-1.049) | 0.89 (0.753-1.051) | 0.99 (0.840-1.166) | 1.01 (0.855-1.181) | 1.05 (0.905-1.214) |
| **Stroke**                  |              |               |                   |                    |          |
| without                     | 1.00         | 1.00          | 1.00              | 1.00               | 1.00     |
| with                        | 1.10 (0.787-1.542) | 0.85 (0.576-1.246) | 1.02 (0.706-1.486) | 0.77 (0.538-1.110) | **0.66 (0.457-0.963)** |
| **Mental Disorders**        |              |               |                   |                    |          |
| without                     | 1.00         | 1.00          | 1.00              | 1.00               | 1.00     |
| with                        | 0.87 (0.644-1.163) | 0.79 (0.573-1.100) | **0.73 (0.541-0.976)** | 0.79 (0.580-1.085) | 0.89 (0.642-1.228) |

Adjustment for age, gender and education level. **p < 0.05; *p < 0.1.

Table 3. Personality scores among elderly with and without medical conditions associated to self-perceived health.

| With Medical conditions | Without Medical conditions |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| **Self-perceived health** | **Self-perceived health** |
| Good (n:496) | Poor (n:503) | p-value | Good (n:465) | Poor (n:44) | p-value |
| **Age (years, SD)** | 73 (6.38) | 75 (7.29) | 0.0001 | 72 (6.78) | 78 (9.02) | <0.0001 |
| **Gender(% female)** | 51.4% | 61.2% | 0.002 | 35.3% | 54.6% | 0.01 |
| **Education level (%)** | | | <0.0001 | | 0.001 |
| Primary | 57.4% | 78.8% | | 57.9% | 87.5% |
| High school | 32.7% | 19.6% | | 34.9% | 10.0% |
| Superior | 9.9% | 1.6% | | 7.2% | 2.5% |
| **Marital status (%)** | | NS | | NS |
| Single | 11.6% | 9.6% | | 11.7% | 20.5% |
| Married | 52.4% | 54.6% | | 56.1% | 50.0% |
| Divorced | 7.1% | 5.8% | | 8.2% | 2.3% |
| Widowed | 28.9% | 30.0% | | 24.0% | 27.2% |
| **TIPI Scales (unadjusted mean, SD)** | | | | | |
| Extraversion | 4.23 (1.446) | 3.76 (1.515) | <0.0001 | 4.17 (1.465) | 3.49 (1.522) | 0.008 |
| Agreeableness | 5.24 (1.319) | 5.14 (1.360) | NS | 5.23 (1.359) | 4.93 (1.531) | NS |
| Conscientiousness | 5.75 (1.260) | 5.43 (1.341) | 0.0001 | 5.59 (1.362) | 5.21 (1.244) | NS |
| Emotional stability | 5.19 (1.376) | 4.78 (1.418) | <0.0001 | 5.10 (1.335) | 4.51 (1.216) | 0.01 |
| Openness | 4.34 (1.508) | 3.84 (1.390) | <0.0001 | 4.25 (1.528) | 3.98 (1.462) | NS |

NS: no significant.
tion. The major contribution of this study is its support for the notion that personality is associated with subjective health in older people, independent of potential confounding effects of the socio-demographic and medical conditions.

As proposed by Contrada et al. (1999), the link between personality and health may reflect three different though overlapping processes. First, personality traits are associated with factors that cause disease. Second, personality may lead to behaviors that protect or diminish health. Last, personality traits are related to the successful implementation of health-related coping behaviors and adherence to treatment regimens [52]. Data from several studies indeed suggest that high scores in the extraversion and conscientiousness and low scores in neuroticism are among the best predictors of well-being, health and longer life in old age [3,24,53-55]. While the extraversion has been found to be linked to the positive health behavior, to good perceived health to longer life [3,56], neuroticism has been associated with more reports of psychological symptoms, poorer perceived health and a lower level of psychological well-being [57-59]. A large body of evidence suggest that conscientiousness was associated with positive health behaviors, health-promoting activities, adherence to medical regimens and the resilience face to adversity [21,25,60-66].

For the whole sample, after adjustment for socio-demographic factors, our results indicate that mean scores of agreeableness, conscientiousness and emotional stability among those with medical problems were higher than among those without medical conditions. However, the mean difference was significant for agreeableness and marginally significant (p = 0.08) for conscientiousness. On the other hand, mean scores of extraversion and openness were higher among elderly without medical conditions. These differences were significant. Thus, our results suggest that extraversion and openness traits could be acting as “protector” factors and agreeableness and conscientiousness traits as “resilient” factors, face to the health problems among elderly people.

After stratifying for medical conditions and controlling for socio-demographic variables, our results indicate that personality traits show relevant associations with self-perception of health. In both strata with and without medical conditions, higher levels of all five personality factors were associated with good health. Strong and significant associations with self-perception of health were demonstrated for extraversion, conscientiousness, emotional stability and openness, among elderly people with medical conditions. No association was found between agreeableness and perception of health among those with medical problems. On the other hand, among elderly people without medical problems, significant associations with self-perception of health were demonstrated for extraversion, agreeableness and emotional stability. No association was found between conscientiousness, openness and perception of health among those without medical problems. Our results are consistent with findings by Godwyn & Engstrom (2002) that personality factors show strong and significant associations with self-perception of health, independent of physical and mental health problems.

In the present study, independent of individual medical conditions there was a significant positive association between extraversion and self-perceived health in both bivariate and multivariate analysis. In other words, individuals with higher scores of extraversion were likely to perceive their own health better. This finding is consistent with prior studies on older people that suggest that high scores in extraversion are among the best predictors of well-being and health in old age [24,54-56]. Moreover, individuals who score high on extraversion are prone to the physical active lifestyle [67]. Extraversion factor is considered as a protector factor of mortality [6] and associated with decreased level of impairment among elderly people with the physical illness [21].

A positive association between conscientiousness and good perceived health was demonstrated among elderly people with medical problems. Our findings are in line with recent research from Goodwin et al. (2006), sug-

---

**Table 4.** Adjusted Personality scores among elderly with and without medical conditions associated to self-perceived health (MANOVA Analysis).

|                      | With Medical conditions |                      | Without Medical conditions |                      |
|----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
|                      | Good (n:496)            | Po or (n:503)        | p-value                   | Good (n:465)        |
| Extraversion         | 4.29 (0.094)            | 3.87 (0.110)         | <0.0001                   | 4.31 (0.116)        |
| Agreementness        | 5.15 (0.085)            | 5.02 (0.100)         | NS                        | 5.21 (0.109)        |
| Conscientiousness    | 5.81 (0.081)            | 5.56 (0.096)         | 0.0049                    | 5.73 (0.108)        |
| Emotional stability  | 5.08 (0.089)            | 4.64 (0.105)         | <0.0001                   | 4.95 (0.106)        |
| Openness             | 4.50 (0.091)            | 4.13 (0.108)         | 0.0002                    | 4.50 (0.116)        |
| Overall MANOVA: Wilks Lambda = 0.947, df = 5, den df = 896, F = 10.03 p = < 0.0001 | Wilks Lambda = 0.975, df = 5, den df = 450, F = 2.30 p = 0.044 |

Adjusted for age, gender, educational level & marital status.
ggesting consistent linkages between conscientiousness and improved health outcomes and functional health status among adults with physical illnesses, compared with those lower on conscientiousness. Conscientiousness is often considered to have exclusive beneficial effects on health outcomes [68]. A possible explanation for this association is that conscientious people may also be more able to cope with stressful life events, be more likely to monitor their health, high adherence to the prescribed medication [66] or more likely to maintain stable marriages and other social support networks which relate to their health [69].

The association between neuroticism and self-perception of health was highly significant among those with medical problems and slightly attenuated among those elderly without medical problems. In other words, individuals with lower scores of emotional stability were likely to perceive their self-perceived health worse. These findings are not surprising, given the well documented association between neuroticism/negative affectivity and self-perception of poor health in clinical samples [20,70] and as a strong predictor of psychological well-being in old age [52,71-73]. Our findings also provide support for the suggestion from Goodwyn & Engstrom (2002) that neuroticism increases the likelihood of perceived poor health even in the absence of medical problems.

Openness has been related to the cognitive ability [74] which is well known as a predictor of longevity independent of a person’s social position [75] and a protector factor of premature mortality in adults over 55-year-old [69]. However, some studies question the over-rated role of openness and extraversion (emotional reactivity) as long-term predictors for adaptation and health in old age [9,55]. Our results show that the association between openness and self-perception of health among those elderly with medical problems was highly significant. It is likely that this relationship may be explained by the cognitive ability which may be acting as a relevant factor in the adaptation process at illness-resilience—in elderly people. This finding confirms results from Goodwyn (2002) that there is a striking association between openness and perception of health.

The association observed between agreeableness and self-perception of health among those elderly without medical problems, was significant in the multivariate analysis. No association was found among those with medical problems. These data are inconsistent with findings by Goodwyn & Engstrom (2002) that there is no association between agreeableness and perception of health among adults without health problems. Also, Goodwyn & Friedman (2006) didn’t find the association between the agreeableness and the level of impairment among adults with physical illness. A possible explanation to this finding may be psychometrics difficulties to evaluate agreeableness dimension with only few descriptors [76]. Another explanation may be, given that agreeableness traits involve the quality of interpersonal orientation more than personal endurance. Those individuals who are altruist, caring, sympathetic, warm or emotional supporter have a higher level of psychological well-being. Consequently, their perceived health is better when they are healthy than they are facing medical problems.

This study has several limitations, which should be considered when interpreting results. First, the information on medical conditions was self-report. However, the majority of community-based studies that examine the relationship between self-reported health and other outcomes use self-reported health problems [5]. Therefore, we believe this measure would provide a reasonable estimate of medical disorders for the current study. Second, self-perceived health construct (SPH item structure) could be biased to an optimist pole, given that only two over 6 options have negative meanings. Thirdly, the number of medical and mental disorders queried is limited and included as dichotomy responses. Then it is possible that other disorders or severity of queried disorders may affect these relationships. However, the disorders that were asked about are consistent, in number and type, with those used in previous studies [5]. Lastly, a small sample of individuals with poor health in the strata without medical conditions is likely affecting some results in the statistical analysis of personality traits in this strata.

5. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the association between personality factors and self-perceived health is a consistent relationship throughout the older population. The analysis of personality traits could be used to guide the effort to raise the quality of public health interventions promoting the engagement of elderly people in behaviors that promote or protect health or functioning, to improve health outcomes and quality of life in old age. Future studies that investigate whether personality factors explain the relationship between health problems and/or disability with self-perception of health or other health or well-being outcomes in elderly people are needed to improve our understanding of these associations. Further, other studies that investigate gender differences and the overlap effects (interactions) of personality traits on self-perceived health must be necessary.
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