SARM1 deficiency up-regulates XAF1, promotes neuronal apoptosis, and accelerates prion disease
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SARM1 (sterile α and HEAT/armadillo motif–containing protein) is a member of the MyD88 (myeloid differentiation primary response gene 88) family, which mediates innate immune responses. Because inactivation of SARM1 prevents various forms of axonal degeneration, we tested whether it might protect against prion-induced neurotoxicity. Instead, we found that SARM1 deficiency exacerbates the progression of prion pathogenesis. This deleterious effect was not due to SARM1-dependent modulation of prion-induced neuroinflammation, since microglial activation, astrogliosis, and brain cytokine profiles were not altered by SARM1 deficiency. Whole-transcriptome analyses indicated that SARM1 deficiency led to strong, selective overexpression of the pro-apoptotic gene XAF1 (X-linked inhibitor of apoptosis-associated factor 1). Consequently, the activity of pro-apoptotic caspases and neuronal death were enhanced in prion-infected SARM1−/− mice. These results point to an unexpected function of SARM1 as a regulator of prion-induced neurodegeneration and suggest that XAF1 might constitute a therapeutic target in prion disease.

Introduction

SARM1 (sterile α and HEAT/armadillo motif–containing protein), a member of MyD88 (myeloid differentiation primary response gene 88) family (MyD88–5), is a highly conserved cytosolic adaptor molecule that negatively regulates TRIF (Toll/interleukin-1 receptor [TIR] domain–containing adaptor inducing interferon-β)–mediated TLR signaling in mammalian cell cultures (Carty et al., 2006). SARM1 is expressed primarily by neurons in the mouse brain (Kim et al., 2007). By decreasing the association of JNK3 with mitochondria, SARM1 deficiency protects neurons from death due to oxygen and glucose deprivation (Kim et al., 2007). Similarly, SARM1−/− mice also show protection from neuronal injury induced by vesicular stomatitis virus and La Crosse virus (Hou et al., 2013; Mukherjee et al., 2013). On the other hand, SARM1 restricts neurotropic West Nile virus (WNV) infection by modulating microglia activation and cytokine production (Szretter et al., 2009). These studies suggest an involvement of SARM1-mediated innate immunity in neuronal death.

Two independent genetic screens identified SARM1 as a mediator of Wallerian axonal degeneration after acute nerve injury (Osterloh et al., 2012; Gerds et al., 2013). SARM1 triggers Wallerian degeneration by activating the MAPK cascade, through nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD+)-depletion (Gerds et al., 2015, 2016; Yang et al., 2015; Sasaki et al., 2016; Essuman et al., 2017; Walker et al., 2017) and by stimulating Ca2+ influx into injured axons (Loreto et al., 2015). In addition, SARM1 mediates axon degeneration in traumatic brain injury and vincristine-induced peripheral neuropathy (Geisler et al., 2016; Henninger et al., 2016). These findings raise the question of whether SARM1 might participate to further neurodegenerative pathologies.

Prion diseases are a group of neurodegenerative disorders characterized by the deposition of PrPSc, a misfolded isoform of cellular prion protein (PrPc), in the central nervous system and other organs (Aguzzi and Zhu, 2012). Progressive accumulation of PrPSc in the central nervous system leads to lethal encephalopathies associated with neuronal loss, spongiform change, and neuroinflammation (Aguzzi et al., 2013). The contribution of innate immunity to prion pathogenesis remains to be fully understood (Aguzzi and Zhu, 2017). Axonal degeneration is an early feature of most neurodegenerative disorders including prion diseases (Conforti et al., 2014). Axonal degeneration and synaptic loss are observed both in Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease and animal models of prion diseases (Guiraud et al., 1989; Jeffrey et al., 1995; Liberski et al., 1995; Liberski and Budka, 1999; Ferrer, 2002; Gray et al., 2009; Reis et al., 2015) and often precede neuronal death. However, the mechanism of axon degeneration and its contribution to prion pathogenesis remain unknown.

In this study, we aimed to ascertain whether SARM1-mediated innate immunity and/or SARM1-dependent axon...
degeneration might play a role in prion pathogenesis. We found that SARM1 expression was decreased upon prion infection. Prion inoculation experiments revealed that disease progression was moderately accelerated by SARM1 deficiency. Analysis of the neuroinflammatory response failed to find any difference between prion-infected SARM1−/−, SARM1+/−, and their WT littermates (SARM1+/+), indicating that SARM1-mediated innate immunity does not account for the deceleration of prion progression. We then performed a genome-wide transcriptome analysis of SARM1−/− and SARM1+/− mouse brains with or without prion infection. Surprisingly, we found that SARM1 ablation led to an up-regulated expression of the pro-apoptotic gene X-linked inhibitor of apoptosis (XIAP)-associated factor 1 (XAF1; Liston et al., 2001), resulting in enhanced pro-apoptotic caspase activity and neuronal death in prion-infected SARM1−/− mice. In vitro experiments demonstrated that the increased susceptibility of SARM1-silenced neuronal cells to apoptotic stimuli were abrogated by ablating XAF1. Hence, SARM1 deficiency sensitizes mice to prion-induced neurodegeneration, probably by creating an XAF1-driven pro-apoptotic environment within neurons.

**Results and discussion**

**Down-regulation of SARM1 expression in prion-infected mouse brains**

To determine whether the expression of SARM1 is altered by prion infection, we performed quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) on mRNA isolated from terminally scrapie-sick WT C57BL/6 mice infected with the Rocky Mountain Laboratory strain of mouse-adapted scrapie prions (passage 6, therefore named RML6). For comparison, we used age-matched WT C57BL/6 mice inoculated with noninfectious brain homogenates (NBHs). We found that Sarm1 mRNA was significantly reduced in prion-infected mouse brains (Fig. 1 A). In contrast, other members of TLRs and adaptor molecules were either up-regulated or unchanged upon prion infection (Fig. 1, B–D), suggesting that SARM1 is unique among TLR-associated molecules in its modulation by prion infection.

We then performed Western blot analyses to test the SARM1 protein level in RML6- or NBH-inoculated mouse brains. Again, we observed that the SARM1 protein level was significantly suppressed in RML6-infected C57BL/6 mouse brains (Fig. 1, E and F; and Fig. S1). When the SARM1 signal was normalized against neuronal markers, the relative SARM1 level was significantly suppressed in RML6-infected C57BL/6 mouse brains (Fig. 1, E and F; and Fig. S1). This is in accordance with a previous report that slow Wallerian degeneration mice displayed unaltered prion pathogenesis (Gültner et al., 2009).

**SARM1 deficiency resulted in accelerated prion progression**

We found that SARM1 deficiency does not affect the expression of PrPSc in mouse brains (Fig. 2, A and B). To determine whether the SARM1-mediated innate immune responses and/or axonal degeneration may influence prion pathogenesis, we intracerebrally inoculated SARM1−/−, SARM1+/−, and SARM1+/+ littermates with RML6 prions. We found that SARM1 deficiency accelerated prion disease. SARM1−/− mice experienced significantly shortened incubation times compared with isogenic WT littermates (median survival, 166 d after inoculation [dpi] for SARM1−/− vs. 176 dpi for WT littermates; P = 0.01). SARM1−/− mice showed a trend toward acceleration that did not reach statistical significance (median survival, 171 dpi; Fig. 2 C). These results indicate that SARM1 deficiency accelerates prion progression and suggest a possible effect of SARM1 haploinsufficiency, implying that SARM1 instead plays a neuroprotective role in prion pathogenesis. The effect of SARM1 deficiency on prion progression was moderate, but it was statistically significant, and its magnitude is comparable to that seen in other genetically modified animal models or upon pharmacological treatments (Sakai et al., 2013; Grizenkova et al., 2014; Sorce et al., 2014; Herrmann et al., 2015; Zhu et al., 2016; Goniotaki et al., 2017).

To compare the prion pathogenesis between SARM1−/−, SARM1+/−, and SARM1+/+ mice, brains were collected synchronously from prion-infected SARM1−/−, SARM1+/−, and SARM1+/+ mice at 112 dpi, a time point at which mice did not reach terminal stage. Histology of brains from SARM1−/−, SARM1+/−, and SARM1+/+ mice harvested at 112 dpi failed to reveal any obvious difference in lesion patterns and PrPSc deposition in different areas (Fig. 2 D). Western blots detecting proteinase K (PK)–resistant prion protein (PrPSc) confirmed a similar level of PrPSc accumulation at 112 dpi (Fig. 2 E). Therefore, SARM1 deficiency neither changed prion-induced lesion pattern nor altered PrPSc accumulation.

Prion diseases are associated with axon degeneration. Accordingly, we found neurofilaments NF70 and NF200 to be decreased in prion-infected mouse brains (Fig. 2, F–I; and Fig. S1). SARM1 is required for axon degeneration induced by various stimuli. However, in the RML mouse model of prion disease, prion pathogenesis was aggravated in SARM1−/− mice. The acceleration of prion progression by SARM1 deficiency therefore implies that SARM1-mediated axonal degeneration does not play a major role in prion pathogenesis. Consistent with this assertion, Western blot and immunohistochemistry of the neurofilaments NF70 and NF200, as well as the protein levels of pre- and postsynaptic proteins SNAP25 and PSD95, failed to show obvious differences between SARM1−/−, SARM1+/−, and SARM1+/+ mouse brains at 112 dpi (Fig. 2, M–V; and Fig. S1). This is in accordance with a previous report that slow Wallerian degeneration mice displayed unaltered prion pathogenesis (Gültner et al., 2009).

**SARM1 deficiency does not alter prion-induced neuroinflammation**

Upon challenge with WNV, SARM1−/− mice show increased viral replication in the brain stem and enhanced mortality associated with decreased microglial activation and reduced level of TNFα; Szretter et al., 2009). Therefore, we set out to assess the extent of neuroinflammation in prion-infected SARM1−/−, SARM1+/−, and SARM1+/+ mice. We performed Iba1 immunohistochemical staining to evaluate microglial activation in SARM1−/−, SARM1+/−, and SARM1+/+ mouse brains collected at 112 dpi and observed a
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Figure 1. Reduced expression of SARM1 in prion-infected mouse brains. (A–C) qRT-PCR for Sarm1 (A), MyD88 family (B), and TLR (C) mRNA from terminally sick RML6-infected C57BL/6 mice and age-matched NBH-inoculated C57BL/6 mice (n = 4). Relative expression was normalized to GAPDH expression and represented as the percentage of average values in NBH-treated mice. (D) Summary of the qRT-PCR results. (E and F) Western blot for SARM1, actin, Syp, and NeuN on brains collected from terminally sick RML6-infected C57BL/6 mice and NBH-inoculated C57BL/6 mice. (G) Densitometric quantification of the SARM1 Western blot. n = 5 for NBH-treated mice and n = 4 for RML6-treated mice. Relative signal intensity was represented as the percentage of average values in NBH-treated mice (n = 5). qRT-PCR and Western blot results represent at least three independent experiments. n.s., P > 0.05; *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001. Data are shown as mean ± SEM.
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similar level of microglial activation in all three groups (Fig. A and B). Sholl analysis of the microglial morphology (Kongsu et al., 2014; Papageorgiou et al., 2016) showed a similar pattern of microglial activation between the three groups (Fig. C). Western blot of Iba1 confirmed that SARM1−/−, SARM1+/−, and SARM1+/+ mouse brains experienced similar levels of microglial activation (Fig. D and Fig. S1). Additionally, we found a similar number of CD68+ cells (representing activated microglia) in various regions in SARM1−/−, SARM1+/−, and SARM1+/+ mouse brains (Fig. E). Therefore, SARM1 deficiency does not alter prion-induced microglial activation. We also performed GFAP (glial fibrillary acidic protein) immunohistochemical staining to assess astrogliaosis. There were no overt differences between the different genotypes (Fig. F). Western blot of GFAP confirmed that SARM1−/−, SARM1+/−, and SARM1+/+ mouse brains experienced similar levels of astrogliaosis at 112 dpi (Fig. G and Fig. S1). Furthermore, cytokine profiling showed similar levels of Tnfα, Il-1β, and Il-6 mRNAs in SARM1−/−, SARM1+/−, and SARM1+/+ mouse brains at 112 dpi (Fig. H). Therefore, SARM1 deficiency does not overtly alter prion-induced neuroinflammation.

The acceleration of prion pathogenesis by SARM1 ablation is consistent with findings in a WNV infection model, where SARM1−/− mice experienced enhanced mortality. However, the pathomechanisms appear to differ between WNV and prion infections. WNV-infected SARM1−/− mice showed decreased microglial activation and reduced levels of Tnfα, whereas prion-infected SARM1−/− mice failed to show any difference in microglial activation, astrogliaosis, or cytokine production.

**SARM1 deficiency leads to XAF1 up-regulation and enhanced apoptosis**

In an effort to discover how SARM1 deficiency accelerates prion progression, we performed whole-transcriptome analyses of SARM1−/− and SARM1+/+ mouse brains with or without prion infection by RNA sequencing (RNaseq). Surprisingly, only very few genes were differentially expressed in SARM1−/− and SARM1+/+ mouse brains. Of these, only SARM1 itself and XAF1 showed strong down- or up-regulation (fold change > 2, P < 0.01) in both uninfected and prion-infected brains (Fig. 4, A and B; and Table S1). We then verified the RNaseq results by qRT-PCR. XAF1 mRNA was significantly up-regulated by SARM1 deficiency in a gene dose–dependent manner (Fig. 4 C). Interestingly, we observed that prion infection itself up-regulated XAF1 expression, even in the absence of SARM1 (Fig. 4 C). Therefore, prion infection and SARM1 deficiency synergistically up-regulated XAF1 expression in prion-infected SARM1−/− mice.

A previous study showed up-regulation of XAF1 mRNA in a different SARM1-deficient mouse line but claimed that XAF1 protein levels remained unaltered (Hou et al., 2013). We have tested several anti-XAF1 antibodies to detect increased levels of XAF1 protein in SARM1−/− and prion-infected mouse brains. However, all tested anti-XAF1 antibodies failed to detect a specific XAF1 band. The anti-XAF1 antibody from Aviva Systems Biology (OAB14914) could detect transiently overexpressed XAF1 in mouse neuronal CADS cells (XAF1 mRNA >180-fold up-regulated) but not endogenous XAF1 in CADS cells or mouse brains (Fig. S2). Our results suggest that the failure of detecting increased levels of XAF1 protein is mainly due to the lack of specific and sufficiently sensitive anti-mouse XAF1 antibodies.

The murine XAF1 and SARM1 loci are both located on chromosome 11 with a distance of 2.75 cM. The ablation of the SARM1 gene may conceivably alter XAF1 expression by affecting the chromosomal structure of neighboring loci, or by cosegregating with polymorphic DNA loci. To test these possibilities, we performed siRNA silencing of SARM1 in CAD5 cells. Also in this paradigm, the knockdown of SARM1 significantly up-regulated XAF1 expression (Fig. 4, D–F; and Fig. S1). These results suggest that SARM1 regulates XAF1 expression in trans, and this regulation is cell autonomous. The mechanisms underlying this regulation may involve miRNAs and/or epigenetic regulation (Byun et al., 2003; Zou et al., 2006; Lee et al., 2011).

To further investigate whether SARM1 deficiency leads to up-regulation of XAF1 in a cell-autonomous manner in prion-infected mouse brains, we performed in situ hybridization of SARM1 and XAF1 on formalin-fixed, paraaffin-embedded brain sections using the RNAscope technology. Again, we found that SARM1 and XAF1 mRNA signals colocalized predominantly in synaptophysin (Syp)-positive neurons and SARM1 deficiency...
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performed caspase 3 and caspase 9 activity assays by measuring might experience enhanced apoptosis after prion infection. We therefore set out to assess whether SARM1-de
expression increases the resistance of cell lines to apoptosis, whereas overexpression of XAF1 sensitizes motoneurons to ax-
pression by SARM1 depletion in vivo is also cell autonomous.

XAF1 is a pro-apoptotic molecule that antagonizes the caspase-inhibitory activity of XIAP by redistributing XIAP from the cytosol to the nucleus (Liston et al., 2001). Abolishing XAF1 expression increases the resistance of cell lines to apoptosis, whereas overexpression of XAF1 sensitizes motoneurons to ax-
tomy and the first trimester trophoblast cells to Fas-mediated apop-
oses (Perrelet et al., 2004; Straszewski-Chavez et al., 2007).
We therefore set out to assess whether SARM1-deficient mice might experience enhanced apoptosis after prion infection. We performed caspase 3 and caspase 9 activity assays by measuring their DEVdase and LEHDase activities, respectively. Indeed, RML6-infected SARM1−/− brains showed significantly higher caspase 3 and caspase 9 activity (Fig. 5, A and B) than SARM1−/− brains collected at 112 dpi. TUNEL (terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase dUTP nick end labeling) staining showed that apop-
tosis was more prevalent in RML6-infected SARM1−/− brains than in SARM1−/− brains at 112 dpi (Fig. 5 C). Accordingly, the neuronal marker synapsin I, which was decreased in terminally prion-sick mice (Fig. 5 D and F) but not altered by SARM1 deficiency in uninfected mice (Fig. 5 E), was significantly lower in prion-infected SARM1−/− brains at 112 dpi (Fig. 5 F and F). These results suggest that SARM1 deficiency sensitizes mice to prion-induced apoptosis, leading to enhanced neuronal death.

It has been reported that XAF1 may cooperate with TNFα to induce apoptosis and increase expression of XAF1-sensitized cells to apoptosis (Xia et al., 2006; Straszewski-Chavez et al., 2007). To establish whether the enhanced susceptibility to ap-
optosis by SARM1 deficiency is due to the up-regulation of XAF1, we first silenced SARM1 in CAD5 cells and then treated them with TNFα. We found that while TNFα led to apoptosis and re-
duced cell numbers in both SARM1-silenced and nontarget siRNA treated cells, apoptosis was enhanced and cell numbers were more conspicuously reduced in SARM1-silenced cells (Fig. 5, G–I). However, when the same treatment was performed on XAF1 KO CAD5 cells generated by the CRISPR/Cas9 system (Fig. 5 J), the enhancement by SARM1 silencing was abrogated (Fig. 5, G–I), indicating that SARM1 deficiency sensitized cells to apoptotic stimuli mainly through the up-regulated XAF1. Therefore, it is conceivable that the deterioration of prion pathogenesis in SARM1−/− mice may be due to the up-regulated XAF1 and consequently enhanced apoptosis.

In summary, we found that SARM1 deficiency unexpectedly accelerated prion progression. SARM1 deficiency selectively up-
regulated expression of the pro-apoptotic protein XAF1, which, in turn, enhanced apoptosis in prion-infected SARM1−/− mice. Since the effects appear to be gene-dosage dependent, XAF1 repression may potentially ameliorate prion-related neuronal pathologies.

Materials and methods
Ethical statement
All animal experiments were performed in strict accordance with the Rules and Regulations for the Protection of Animal Rights (Tierschutzgesetz und Tierschutzverordnung) of the Swiss Bundesamt für Lebensmittelsicherheit und Veterinärwesen and were preemptively approved by the Animal Welfare Committee of the Canton of Zürich (permit 41/2012).

Animals
SARM1−/− mice (Szretter et al., 2009) carrying a targeted deletion of exons 1–2 were first backcrossed to C57BL/6J to obtain SARM1−/− offspring. SARM1−/− mice were then intercrossed to obtain SARM1−/−, SARM1−/+ and SARM1−/+ (WT) littersmates, which were used for the experiments described here. Mice were maintained in a high hygienic-grade facility and housed in groups of 3–5, under a 12-h light/12-h dark cycle (from 7 a.m. to 7 p.m.) at 21 ± 1°C, with sterilized food (3436; Provimi Kliba) and water provided ad libitum.

Intracerebral prion inoculation
Mice at 6–8 wk old were intracerebrally inoculated with 30 µl of brain homogenate diluted in PBS with 5% BSA and containing 3 × 10^8 LD_{50} units of the RML6. Scrapie was diagnosed according to clinical criteria (ataxia, kyphosis, priapism, and hind-leg para-
resis). Mice were sacrificed on the day of onset of terminal clinical signs of scrapie.

qRT-PCR
Total RNA from brain was extracted using TRIzol (Invitrogen Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.
Figure 4. SARM1 deficiency and prion infection up-regulated XAF1 expression in mouse brains. (A and B) Volcano plots of RNAseq data for uninfected (A) and RML6-infected (112 dpi; B) SARM1−/− and WT mouse brains. Significant genes (red dots) that show log2 ratios >1 or < −1 (fold change >2) with P < 0.01. (C) qRT-PCR of Xaf1 in uninfected and RML6-infected (112 dpi) SARM1−/−, SARM1+/−, and SARM1+/+ mouse brains. n = 3–4 for each group. Relative expression was normalized to GAPDH expression and represented as the percentage of average values in uninfected SARM1−/− mice. (D and E) qRT-PCR of Sarm1 (D) and SARM1 Western blot (E) in SARM1 siRNA-treated C5D cells. (F) qRT-PCR of Xaf1 in SARM1 siRNA-treated C5D cells. n = 6 for each treatment. Relative expression was normalized to GAPDH expression and represented as the percentage of average values in nontarget control. (G) Upper panel: Images of in situ hybridization using 3-Plex negative probes. Middle and lower panels: Representative images of Sarm1, Xaf1, and Sypl in situ hybridization in RML6-infected WT (middle) and SARM1−/− (lower) mouse cerebella, hippocampi, and neocortex at 112 dpi. Differential interference contrast (DIC) and DAPI staining show the morphology and nuclei of cells. gl, granular layer; ml, molecular layer; pl, Purkinje cell layer. White arrows indicate Sarm1, Xaf1, and Sypl triple-positive cells. Bars, 20 µm. qRT-PCR and Western blot results represent at least three independent experiments. n.s., P > 0.05; *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001; ****, P < 0.0001. Data are shown as mean ± SEM.

The quality of RNA was analyzed by Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent Technologies); RNAs with an RNA integrity number >7 were used for cDNA synthesis. cDNAs were synthesized from ~1 µg total RNA using a QuantiTect Reverse Transcription kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. qRT-PCR was performed using the SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Roche) on an ABI PRISM 7500 (Applied Biosystems).

The following primer pairs were used: GAPDH sense 5′-CCA CCCCCAGCAAGGACCT-3′; antisense, 5′-GAAAATGGTGAGGAG ATGC3′; Sarm1 (Myd88-5) sense, 5′-CGCTGCGCTCCTAGCT GAG-3′; antisense, 5′-CTTCGAGGCTGCGCAGCT-3′; Myd88 sense, 5′-TCATCTTCTCATCCCTGGT-3′; antisense, 5′-AAA CGGAGATGGTCGAGC-3′; Tirap (Myd88-2) sense, 5′-CCTCT CCACCTGCTCACA-3′; antisense, 5′-CTTCTGCGAGGAGCTGC AT-3′; Tcam1 (Myd88-3) sense, 5′-CCAGCTCAAGACCCCTAC AG-3′; antisense, 5′-CAAGGACATGAGTAAGGTTCCTG-3′; Il-6 sense, 5′-GAAATTGTGAGGGAG-3′; antisense, 5′-TGGGAGTAGACA AGG-3′; Il-1β sense, 5′-GAAGCTTGACCATGGAGGCT-3′; antisense, 5′-TGGGAGTAGACAAGG-3′; Tlr3 sense, 5′-GATCCACACTCT GAG-3′; antisense, 5′-GATCCACACTCTGAG-3′; Tlr4 sense, 5′-CTTGGAGATACAACGTAGCTGACTG-3′; antisense, 5′-CAAGA TGAATTGGATGGTCTTG-3′; and Tlr5 sense, 5′-CAAGATAGCAAGT-3′; antisense, 5′-AAG-3′. Expression levels were normalized to GAPDH expression and represented as the percentage of average values in nontarget control.

Western blot analysis

To detect SARM1 and PrPC in the mouse brains, one hemisphere from each brain was homogenized with buffer PBS containing 0.5% Nonidet P-40 and 0.5% 3-[3-cholamidopropyl]-dimethylammonio]-2-hydroxy-1-propanesulfonate (CHAPS). Total protein concentration was determined using the bicinchoninic acid assay (Pierce). Approximately 20 µg protein were loaded and separated on a 12% Bis-Tris polyacrylamide gel (NuPAGE; Invitrogen) and then blotted onto a nitrocellulose membrane. Membranes were blocked with 5% wt/vol Topblock (LubioScience) in PBS supplemented with 0.05% Tween 20 (vol/vol) and incubated with primary antibodies against SARM1 (D2M51) rabbit mAb (1:1,000; 13022; Cell Signaling Technology) or POM1 (200 ng/ml) in 1% Topblock overnight. After washing, the membranes were then incubated with secondary antibody HRP-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (1:1,000; 111-035-045; Jackson ImmunoResearch) or goat anti-mouse IgG (1:1,000; 115-035-033; Jackson ImmunoResearch). Blots were developed using LuminoCrescent Western HRP substrate (Millipore) and visualized using the Stella system (model 3200; Raytest). To avoid variation in loading, the same blots were stripped and incubated with an anti-actin antibody (1:10,000; MAB5010; Millipore). The signals were normalized to actin as a loading control. To detect PrPC in prion-infected SARM1−/−, SARM1+/−, and SARM1+/+ (WT) mouse brains, prion-infected forebrains were homogenized in sterile 0.32 M sucrose in PBS. Total protein concentration was determined using the bicinchoninic acid assay (Pierce). Samples were adjusted to 20 µg in a 20 µl prepared solution and digested with 25 µg/ml PK in digestion buffer (PBS containing 0.5% wt/vol sodium deoxycholate and 0.5% vol/vol Nonidet P-40) for 30 min at 37°C. PK digestion was stopped by adding loading buffer (Invitrogen) and boiling samples at 95°C for 5 min. Proteins were then separated on a 12% Bis-Tris polyacrylamide gel (NuPAGE; Invitrogen) and blotted onto a nitrocellulose membrane. POM1 and HRP-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG were used as primary and secondary antibodies, respectively. Blots were developed using LuminoCrescent Western HRP substrate (Millipore) and visualized using the Fujifilm LAS-3000 system. To detect NeuN, Syp, NF70, NF200, SNAP25, PSD95, Iba-1, GFAP, and synapsin I in prion-infected WT C57BL/6 mouse brains or SARM1−/−, SARM1+/−, and SARM1+/+ (WT) mouse brains, 20 µg of total brain protein were loaded and anti-NeuN clone EPR12763 (ab77487; Abcam), anti-synaptophysin clone 2/Syp (611880; BD Biosciences), anti-neurofilament-L clone DA2 (1:1,000; 2835; Cell Signaling Technology), anti-neurofilament 200 clone NE14 (1:1,000; N5389; Sigma), anti-SNAP25 antibody (1:1,000; ab5666; Abcam), anti-PSD95 antibody (1:1,000; 18258; Abcam), anti-Iba-1 antibody (1:1,000; Wako, 751
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Immunohistochemistry

For immunohistochemistry of prion-infected brains, formalin-fixed tissues were treated with concentrated formic acid for 60 min to inactivate prion infectivity and embedded in paraffin. Paraffin sections (2 µm) of brains were stained with H&E. After deparaffinization through graded alcohols, Iba-1 antibody (1:1,000; Wako Chemicals GmbH), and anti-neurofilament 70 clone 2F11 (1:100; ab17204 and ab81353 [Abcam]; OAAB14914 [Aviva Systems Biology]; A03432 [Boster]; PA1-41099 [Invitrogen]; GTX51339 [GeneTex]; NB100-56355 [Novus Biologicals]) were used. Actin was used as a loading control.

RNaseq

Total RNA from brain was extracted using TRIzol (Invitrogen Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer’s instruction, followed by RNA cleanup using an RNeasy kit (Qiagen). The quality of RNA was analyzed by Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent Technologies), RNAs with RNA integrity number >8 were further processed. The TruSeq Stranded mRNA Sample Prep Kit (Illumina, Inc.) was used in the succeeding steps. Briefly, total RNA samples (500 ng) were poly-A selected and then reverse-transcribed into double-stranded cDNA with actinomycin added during first-strand synthesis. The cDNA samples were fragmented, end-repaired, and adenylated before ligation of TruSeq adapters. The adapters contain the index for multiplexing. Fragments containing TruSeq adapters on both ends were selectively enriched with PCR. The quality and quantity of the enriched libraries were validated using Qubit (1.0) Fluorometer (Life Technologies) and the Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent Technologies). The product is a smear with an average fragment size of ~360 bp. The libraries were normalized to 10 nM in Tris-Cl 10 mM, pH 8.5, with 0.1% Tween 20. The TruSeq SR Cluster Kit v4-cBot-HS (Illumina, Inc.) was used for cluster generation using 8 pM of pooled normalized libraries on the cBOT. Sequencing was performed on the Illumina HiSeq 4000 single-end 125 bp using the TruSeq SBS Kit v4-HS (Illumina, Inc.). Reads were quality-checked with FastQC. For RNaseq data analysis, we used RSEM to perform RNaseq read alignment and expression estimation (Li and Dewey, 2011). As reference we used the GRCm38 genome assembly and the corresponding gene annotations provided by Ensembl. We computed differential expression with the Bioconductor package DESeq2 (Love et al., 2014). A gene was considered as differentially expressed by applying a threshold of 4% paraformaldehyde-fixed brain tissues were cut into 10-µm cryosections and stained with anti-CD68 antibody clone FA-11 (MCA1957; Bio-Rad) and visualized by Alexa 546 conjugated goat-anti-rat antibody (A11081; Invitrogen). The nuclei were counterstained with DAPI. Images were captured using confocal laser scanning microscope FluoView Fv10i (Olympus). The operator was blinded to the genotype and treatment of the analyzed tissues.

For immunohistochemistry of prion-infected brains, formalin-fixed tissues were treated with concentrated formic acid for 60 min to inactivate prion infectivity and embedded in paraffin. Paraffin sections (2 µm) of brains were stained with H&E. After deparaffinization through graded alcohols, Iba-1 antibody (1:1,000; Wako Chemicals GmbH), and anti-neurofilament 70 clone 2F11 (1:100; ab17204 and ab81353 [Abcam]; OAAB14914 [Aviva Systems Biology]; A03432 [Boster]; PA1-41099 [Invitrogen]; GTX51339 [GeneTex]; NB100-56355 [Novus Biologicals]) were used. Actin was used as a loading control.
0.01 for the P value and 1 for the log-ratio. Additionally, we filtered away genes that had very low counts. Specifically, we did not consider a gene as expressed if it did not exceed in at least one condition an average read count of 10 in the samples. All clusterings and visualizations were performed with R/Bioconductor (R version 3.2). Data from RNaseq analyses have been deposited to the Gene Expression Omnibus under the dataset code GSE124932.

Establishment of XAF1 KO CAD5 cells by CRISPR/Cas9
A XAF1 knockout CAD5 cell line was established by CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing approach. Briefly, a guide RNA targeting exon 1 of the XAF1 gene (5′-AGGGAGTTCTGCCCTATTG-3′) was cloned into the pKLV-U6gRNA(BbsI)-PGKpuro2ABFP vector (Addgene 50946) to generate pKLV-XAF1 single guide RNA plasmid. CAD5 cells were cotransfected with pKLV-XAF1 single guide RNA and pCMV-hCas9 (Addgene 41815) in a molar ratio of 1:1 by Lipofectamine 2000 (11668-019; Invitrogen). Transfected cells were selected and enriched by puromycin (2 µg/ml), and single-cell clones were obtained by limited dilution. Cell clones were grown and expanded. To confirm gene editing of the XAF1 gene in cell clones, a region encompassing exon 1 of the XAF1 gene was PCR-amplified using a forward primer (5′-GTCAACGTACGGGTAGCACA-3′) and reverse primer (5′-GGGACCAGTCCCTCCTCCATACT-3′); the PCR product was cloned into pCR-Blunt II-TOPO vector (45-0245; Invitrogen) and sequenced to verify the frameshifted indels.

siRNA and TNFa treatment on CAD5 and XAF1 KO CAD5 cells
24 h before transfection, 2 × 10⁵ CAD5 cells (murine neuronal cell line) per well were seeded in 6-well plates. CAD5 cells were then transfected with three different SARM1 siRNA (siRNA ID: s108645, s108646, and s108647; Thermo Fisher Scientific) or nontarget negative control siRNA (4390843; Thermo Fisher Scientific) at 50 nM concentration using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX. 48 h after transfection, cells were harvested for total RNA extraction and protein analysis.

For TNFa treatment, 2 × 10⁴ CAD5 or XAF1 KO CAD5 cells per well were first seeded in 96-well plates and transfected with SARM1 siRNAs or nontarget negative control siRNA. 24 h after the transfection, cells were treated with TNFa at a concentration of 50 ng/ml or PBS control for 72 h. Cell viability was assessed by RealTime-Glo (G9713; Promage). The relative cell viability was calculated as percentages to the PBS control groups.

RNAscope in situ hybridization
Transcripts of SARM1, XAF1, and Syp in formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded brain sections were detected by in situ hybridization using RNAscope Multiplex Fluorescent Reagent Kit v2 (323100; Advanced Cell Diagnostics) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, sections were deparaffinized and pretreated with hydrogen peroxide and protease plus, followed by hybridization with target probes (SARM1, 504191-C2; XAF1, 504181; Syp, 426521-C3), which are designed and manufactured by Advanced Cell Diagnostics. 3-Plex negative control probes targeting the DapB gene from the Bacillus subtilis strain SMY (320871) were used as a negative control. After amplification steps, fluorescence signals were developed by TSA Plus fluorophores kits (fluorescein, NEL741E001KT; Cyanine 3, NEL744E001KT; Cyanine 5, NEL745001KT; PerkinElmer). Images were captured using a Leica TCS SP5 confocal microscope.

Caspase 3 and caspase 9 activity assay
Caspase 3 activity was measured by a caspase 3 assay kit (ab59401; Abcam) and caspase 9 activity was measured by a caspase 9 assay kit (ab65608; Abcam) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, brain homogenates or cell lysates containing the same amount of total protein were incubated with either DEVE-p-NA or LEHD-p-NA substrates. After cleavage, the p-NA light emission was quantified using a microtiter plate reader at 405 nm. The activity of caspase 3 and caspase 9 was calculated as percentages to the SARM1+/+ (WT) control or cell lysates treated with PBS.

TUNEL assay
Apoptosis in paraffin sections of prion-infected mouse brains were assessed by an in situ BrdU-Red DNA fragmentation assay kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions (ab66110; Abcam). Briefly, sections were deparaffinized and treated with PK, followed by labeling of DNA nicks with Br-dUTP (bromolated deoxyuridine triphosphate nucleotide) mediated by terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase. The incorporated BrdU was detected by fluorescently labeled anti-BrdU monoclonal antibody. The nuclei were counterstained with DAPI. Images were captured using confocal laser scanning microscope Fluoview Fv10i (Olympus) and analyzed using ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health).

Statistical analysis
Results are presented as the mean of replicates ± SEM. Unpaired Student’s t test was used for comparing two samples. For in vivo experiments, all groups were compared by log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test. P values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Online supplemental material
Fig. S1 shows full images of the cropped Western blots in main figures, Fig. S2 shows the validation of various XAF1 antibodies by Western blot. Table S1 presents the RNaseq results.
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