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Short range correlated nucleon-nucleon (NN) pairs are an important part of the nuclear ground state. They are typically studied by scattering an electron from one nucleon in the pair and detecting its spectator correlated partner (“spectator-nucleon tagging”). The Electron Ion Collider (EIC) should be able to detect these nucleons, since they are boosted to high momentum in the laboratory frame by the momentum of the ion beam. To determine the feasibility of these studies with the planned EIC detector configuration, we have simulated quasielastic scattering for two electron and ion beam energy configurations: 5 GeV $e^-$ and 41 GeV/$A$ ions, and 10 GeV $e^-$ and 110 GeV/$A$ ions. We show that the knocked-out and recoiling nucleons can be detected over a wide range of initial nucleon momenta. We also show that these measurements can achieve much larger momentum transfers than current fixed target experiments. By detecting both low and high initial-momentum nucleons, the planned EIC has the potential to provide the data that should allow scientists to definitively show if the European Muon Collaboration effect and short-range correlation are connected, and to improve our understanding of color transparency.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Short range correlated (SRC) nucleon-nucleon (NN) pairs are an important part of the nuclear ground state. They are typically measured using $(e, e' pN)$ reactions, where the electron scatters from one nucleon and its partner spectator nucleon recoils out of the nucleus. They account for about 20% of all nucleons and the vast majority of high momentum nucleons (with momentum greater about 300 MeV/$c$) [1–8].

Knockout reactions which include detection of recoil nucleons over the full range of momenta are an important tool to measure these SRC pairs and to understand the nuclear ground state. In addition, they may also lead to a final resolution of the long standing European Muon Collaboration (EMC) puzzle where it has been hypothesized that SRC pairs and the EMC effect are connected [8–11]. If the connection is real, then the measured bound-nucleon structure will be modified for events with high-momentum nucleon recoils, but will be unmodified (equal to free nucleon structure) for events with low-momentum recoils.

The Electron Ion Collider (EIC) is an ideal machine for spectator-nucleon tagging measurements, since all recoiling nucleons are boosted in the ion-beam direction to high momentum in the laboratory frame. This boost makes recoil nucleons easy to detect with the EIC’s far forward detectors [12]. In addition, the EIC will reach much higher momentum transfers than previous SRC measurements. The current design of the EIC detectors should allow for a nearly full acceptance for the far-forward going protons, neutrons, and nuclear fragments.

In this work, we explore the feasibility of tagged spectator-nucleon measurements at the EIC. We use the well-known quasielastic (QE) knock-out reaction to map out the acceptance for recoil and struck nucleons. The recoil nucleon distributions should be the same for QE and deep inelastic scattering, since in both reactions, the electron scatters from one nucleon in an SRC pair and its partner spectator nucleon recoils. We will show that such tagged measurements will be possible at the EIC and that current double- and triple-coincidence short-range correlation and color transparency measurements [13–16] can be done over a wide range of momenta and a large range in four-momentum transfer.

II. SIMULATION FRAMEWORK

We simulated the reactions using the Generalized Contact Formalism (GCF) [17–21] to generate QE electron-scattering events from SRC pairs, BeAGLE (Benchmark $eA$ Generator for LEptoproduction [22]) to model nucleon evaporation from the remnant $A − 2$ nucleus, and geant4 [23] based detector simulations for the EIC ($g4e$ [24] and $EICroot$ [25]) to study detector acceptances and resolutions. We used two energy settings for the electron and ion beams: (1) 5 GeV $e^−$ and 41 GeV/$A$ ions ($5 \times 41$), and (2) 10 GeV $e^−$ and 110 GeV/$A$ ions ($10 \times 110$).
The diagram for QE scattering from an SRC pair is shown in Fig. 1. The event generator assumes that the electron scatters from a single nucleon via the exchange of a virtual photon with momentum \( \mathbf{q} \) and energy \( \epsilon \), and four-momentum transfer squared \( Q^2 = \mathbf{q}^2 = -\omega^2 \). The struck or leading nucleon has momentum \( \mathbf{p}_1 \) and energy \( \epsilon_1 \) before the interaction and momentum \( \mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{q} \) and energy \( \epsilon_1 + \omega \) after. The recoil partner nucleon is treated as an off-shell spectator and is emitted with transfer squared \( Q^2 \) in Fig. 1. The event generator assumes that the electron photon with momentum \( \mathbf{q} \) scatters from a single nucleon via the exchange of a virtual momentum \( \mathbf{p}_2 \) to be factorized according to the GCF into an off-shell SRC pair with ing off nucleons in a SRC pair. The nuclear ground state is assumed to have momentum \( \mathbf{p}_0 \) and energy \( \epsilon_0 \). The average excitation energy of the \( A \) system remains intact with momentum \( -\mathbf{p}_0 \) and energy \( \epsilon_0 = \sqrt{\mathbf{p}_0^2 + (m_A + E^*)^2} \), where \( E^* \) is the average excitation energy of the \( A - 2 \) system.

The GCF generator [18] assigns plane-wave impulse approximation (PWIA) cross-section weights for each event. The cross section is factorized and described by a kinematical factor, the off-shell electron-nucleon cross section [26] and approximated by a sum over all possible \( N/N' \)-SRC pair two-nucleon spectral functions and corresponding contact coefficients (see Ref. [18] for details). The GCF model successfully describes measured electron and proton scattering data [18,27–29] as well as \textit{ab-initio} calculations of one- and two-nucleon densities [20,21].

We used the same GCF model parameters as Ref. [21], namely SRC pair relative-momentum distribution calculated with the AV18 \( NN \) interaction, and a Gaussian SRC pair c.m. momentum distribution [30,31] with a gaussian width (in each Cartesian direction) of \( \sigma_{c.m.} = 150 \text{ MeV/c} \) [31]. \( E^* \) was sampled from a Gaussian distribution with a mean value of 17.3 MeV/c and a width of 9.6 MeV/c, based on a study of \( J/\psi \) diffractive events using BeAGLE. In that study, intranuclear cascading was turned off and events were selected where the hard collision knocked out two and only two nucleons, leaving an excited \( A - 2 \) nuclear remnant. These \( E^* \) values are within the range used in Refs. [28,32].

The event generation was done in the rest frame of the target nucleus. The generated events were processed through BeAGLE [22], run without any rescattering of the outgoing nucleons, to determine the low-momentum, low-angle “evaporation” nucleons or light nuclei produced by the nuclear breakup of the \( A - 2 \) nuclear remnant. BeAGLE handles the nuclear remnant and nuclear breakup using FLUKA [33]. In the case of deuterium, no remnant exists and the events are not modified by BeAGLE.

Before the events were passed through g4e or \textit{EICroot}, all particles were boosted into the collider frame of the EIC and a crossing angle is applied between the electron and ion beam. We assumed a crossing angle of 25 mrad consistent with the EIC Yellow Report [12].

The GEANT4 simulation program g4e implements the detectors according to the reference design of the Yellow Report. We defined that a particle was accepted if it created a sufficient amount of hits in subdetectors, thus allowing a reconstruction of its track. In version 1.3.8 of g4e, not all detectors have a detailed substructure, e.g., the zero degree calorimeter (ZDC). In this case, we assumed a particle was accepted if it crossed the detector volume within its fiducial region. The events were also processed by \textit{EICroot} to verify and crosscheck the results obtained by g4e.

In the last step of the analysis, the accepted leading and recoil nucleon momenta were smeared based on previous resolution studies [34]. The nucleon momentum smearing is shown in Fig. 2. For neutrons (blue, Fig. 2), the smearing is all based on reconstruction in one detector, namely the ZDC, which has the same energy and angular resolution as described in the Yellow Report, \( \Delta E < 3\% \) and \( \Delta \theta < 3\% \) [12]. The protons, however, rely on multiple subsystems for reconstruction. For the 110 GeV/A ion beam case (red, Fig. 2), the off-momentum detectors in the far-forward ion direction provide virtually all of the acceptance coverage for the final-state protons, while in the 41 GeV/A case the B0 detector covers most of the protons (tan, Fig. 2). The resolution function
for the off-momentum detectors is dominated by the transfer matrix uncertainty, as described in the Yellow Report [12]. We also use the Yellow Report values to smear the electron momenta.

The detectors in the far-forward ion side of the EIC detector play an important role to detect the recoil SRC nucleons. The far-forward detectors consist of the B0 silicon tracker, the off-momentum detectors (OMD), the Roman pots (RP), and the ZDC. They cover angles of 6 to 20 mrad for the B0, 0 to 5 mrad for the OMD, 0.5 to 5 mrad for the Roman pots, and 0 to 4 mrad for the ZDC, respectively. In the ZDC case, the polar angle acceptance is limited by the magnet apertures, but extends up to $\sim$5 mrad on one side of the lattice. Neutrons are detected in the ZDC. All angles are with respect to the ion beam.

Particles with angles larger than 30 mrad can be detected in one of the central detectors. Only protons can be detected in the full far-forward range from 0 to 20 mrad, except for the transition region between the RP and B0 detector acceptance. There is a gap in acceptance between 20 and 30 mrad due to the transition between the far-forward and main detector endcap region, where there is no space for detector instrumentation. However, most of our recoil or leading nucleons are scattered to angles outside this gap.

### III. RESULTS

We studied QE two-nucleon knockout of SRC pairs in $eD$ and $eC$ interactions. Deuteron can only have the reaction $d(e, e'NN)$ with either a leading proton and recoil neutron $d(e, e'npp)$ or a leading neutron and recoil proton $d(e, e'np)$. Carbon can have all combinations protons and neutrons and leading and recoil nucleons, $C(e, e'pp)X$, $C(e, e'nn)X$, $C(e, e'np)X$, and $C(e, e'pn)X$.

For both beam energy settings, $5 \times 41$ and $10 \times 110$, the number of generated events corresponds to an integrated luminosity of 10 fb$^{-1}$, which is expected in less than one year of EIC operation. The simulations did not include final-state interactions of the outgoing nucleons. For the analysis, we applied quasielastic SRC selection cuts: $Q^2 > 3$ GeV$^2$ and $x_B = Q^2/2m_0v > 1.2$ based on the generated values. The resulting angular distributions and $Q^2$ coverage are similar for both $eD$ and $eC$ simulations. Therefore, we only show the $eC$ results.

Figure 3 shows the angular distribution of the evaporation, recoil and leading nucleons for the $eC$ simulations with the $5 \times 41$ and $10 \times 110$ settings. Since no crossing angle is applied for these plots, the angle corresponds to the relative angle between the forward going ion beam and scattered hadrons. In both settings the recoil and leading nucleons are well separated in angle which allows for a clean distinction between them. The generated distributions are also similar for protons and for neutrons.

In the $5 \times 41$ setting (Fig. 3, top), most of the leading protons and some of leading neutrons will be measured in the central detector. Almost all of the recoil protons will be detected in the far-forward detectors and a small fraction of the recoil neutrons (below 4 mrad) will be detected in the ZDC. In the $10 \times 110$ setting (Fig. 3, bottom), the nucleons are at smaller angles due to the larger forward boost from the higher energy ion beam. In this case, the recoil and leading nucleon are both within the acceptance of the far-forward detectors. However, the leading neutrons will not be detected because they are outside the limits of the ZDC. Almost all recoil neutrons will be detected. Both recoil and leading protons will be within the acceptance of the far-forward detectors. Therefore, the choice of the ion momentum affects the total acceptance of the different SRC pairs ($nn$, $pn$, $np$, $pp$) for triple coincidence measurements.

The distributions of the evaporation and recoil nucleons overlap at small angles ($\theta < 5$ mrad) where we cannot separate them. However, their momentum distributions in the ion rest frame (IRF) are very different. Figure 4 shows the two-dimensional distribution of ion rest frame momentum versus scattering angle for evaporation nucleons and recoil nucleons. There are no evaporation nucleons above $p_{IRF} > 300$ MeV/c. We cut on this in the further analysis to remove the background contribution from evaporation nucleons. This cut does...
Reasonable statistics of at least 100 events per bin can be obtained up to $Q^2 \approx 12 \text{ GeV}^2/\text{c}^4$ for both energy settings. This extends the reach of previous SRC experiments [28] by a factor of 3 to 4. The larger $Q^2$ reach will allow us to study quasielastic two-nucleon knockout for the first time at this large momentum transfer.

The simulated recoil nucleon momentum distributions in the ion rest frame are shown in Fig. 6 for $eC$ interactions for neutrons and for protons with the far-forward detector design of the Yellow Report [12]. The recoil proton acceptance is about 74% and 90% for $5 \times 41$ and $10 \times 110$ settings, respectively. The smaller acceptance for the $5 \times 41$ setting stems from the detector gap in the far-forward direction between 20 to 30 mrad. This mainly influences the acceptance at the largest recoil momenta. The acceptance for both settings is rather similar up to recoil momenta of 900 MeV/c in the ion rest frame. The acceptance for recoil neutrons is driven by the ZDC. It is about 90% for 110 GeV/A ions and 30% for 41 GeV/A ions. However, recoil momenta above 900 MeV/c are not accepted for $5 \times 41$ and barely for $10 \times 110$. Below this momentum the acceptance is larger for 110 GeV/A ions.

We conclude that for recoil nucleons, protons or neutrons, an ion beam energy of 110 GeV/A is preferred to achieve highest acceptance for recoil tagging. However, this setting does not allow for the parallel measurement of leading neutrons due to the limited ZDC acceptance. Only leading protons can be measured in the far-forward region, mostly in the off-momentum detectors. Therefore, a triple coincidence measurement of $nn$ pairs or $np$ pairs with a leading nucleon requires the lower ion beam of 41 GeV/A but with limited acceptance for recoil and leading nucleons. The setting with 110 GeV/A is well suited to detect $pp$ and $pn$ pairs with a leading proton and recoil neutron. These conclusions are the same for 5 and 10 GeV electron beams. The distributions are also not affected by the nucleon momentum smearing.

Besides the two-nucleon knockout simulations, we also performed simulations of $C(e,e'p)X$ single nucleon knockout reactions in the mean field region, i.e., low momentum nucleons in a nucleus. These are interesting for color transparency measurements [13–15,36]. We used the same beam energy settings as in the case of the SRC simulations. We applied typical color transparency cuts on the generated events (see [15,36]): $p_{\text{miss}} < 300 \text{ MeV}/c$, $E_{\text{miss}} < 80 \text{ MeV}/c$, and an additional cut $Q^2 > 3 \text{ GeV}^2/\text{c}^4$. Similar to [15,36], we define $p_{\text{miss}} = q - p_{\text{lead}}$ and $E_{\text{miss}} = \omega - T_{\text{lead}} - T_X$ with $T_X$ as the kinetic energy of the leading nucleon or $A - 1$ nucleus (the momentum of $A - 1$ corresponds to $p_{\text{miss}}$).

We also required that the electron and knocked-out nucleon are detected in the far-forward or central detectors. The resulting $Q^2$ distributions for both beam settings are shown in Fig. 7 for an integrated per nucleus luminosity of $10 \text{ fb}^{-1}$. We expect more than 100 events up to $Q^2 \approx 20 \text{ GeV}^2/\text{c}^4$ for both settings. The dip in event yield for $4 \leq Q^2 \leq 10 \text{ GeV}^2/\text{c}^4$ for the $10 \times 110$ setting results from two acceptance limitations: (1) Knocked-out nucleons in the area between the far-forward and central detectors, and (2) Neutrons which are scattered in the far-forward direction outside the ZDC acceptance.
IV. CONCLUSION

We simulated QE two-nucleon knockout of SRC pairs and single-nucleon knockout of mean-field nucleons in eC interactions at the future Electron-Ion Collider. We studied the reaction at two electron and ion beam settings: 5 GeV $e^-$ and 41 GeV/$A$ ions, and 10 GeV $e^-$ and 110 GeV/$A$ ions. For two-nucleon knockout reactions, recoil nucleons will be detected in the far-forward ion region and leading nucleons will be detected in either the far-forward ion region or the central hadron endcap region. Due to the larger boost from the ion frame to the laboratory frame, the 110 GeV/$A$ ion beams maximized the recoil nucleon acceptance independently of the electron energy. The acceptance of high momentum recoil neutrons depends on the ZDC in the far-forward region. A much greater fraction of recoil neutrons will be detectable at the higher ion beam energy. Overall, recoil nucleons with momenta up to 1 GeV/$c$ can be detected with good acceptance and resolution.

Two-nucleon knockout reactions can also achieve a much large range of $Q^2$ compared to previous QE SRC experiments [28]. Reasonable statistics (more than 100 events in one year of running) can be obtained up to $Q^2 \approx 12$ GeV$^2$/c$^4$. Single-nucleon knockout reactions are feasible up to $Q^2 \approx 20$ GeV$^2$/c$^4$.

This coverage will enable precision tests of nucleon modification in nuclei by measuring tagged spectator nucleon DIS as well as expanded searches for color transparency.
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