Optimal Duration of Sun Exposure for Adequate Cutaneous Synthesis of Vitamin D in Indian Cities: An Estimate Using Satellite-Based Ultraviolet Index Data
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Abstract

Lack of region specific guidelines on sun exposure for acquiring optimal vitamin D could be a bottleneck for developing effective education strategies for Indian population. We calculated the required sun exposure time for each day for one year considering 10% exposure, type V skin accounting for 1000 IU of vitamin D per day for clear and cloudy sky conditions. The UV Index provided by TEMIS satellite for 10 Indian stations ranging in latitude from 8 degree to 38 degrees for 1 year were obtained, and were converted to the vitamin D effective UV radiation, applied minimal erythemal doses and Holicks rule. Attenuation of UV radiation due to clouds was accounted for by using data on daily clouds measured in octas from Agricultural meteorology database. The seasonal variations were also accounted for. Our data showed a latitude-dependent change in sun exposure time. A seasonal variation was also observed. After accounting for clouds, an exposure of 30-35 minutes appeared to be sufficient in all stations except for Srinagar. Seasonal variations were high in stations ranging from 14 minutes to 133 minutes. About 75% of the days were conducive for sun exposure in the stations studied. The estimated sun exposure time, if validated, can be a useful tool for behavioural change communication facilitating safe sun exposure for satisfying vitamin D status in tropical countries like India.

Keywords: Vitamin D from sun exposure; India; Estimated Duration of Exposure

Introduction

Vitamin D is essential for stimulating intestinal calcium and phosphorus absorption, bone calcium mobilization, and increasing renal re-absorption of calcium in the distal tubule and therefore very important for the bone metabolism [1]. In a tropical country like India, where dietary sources of vitamin D are meagre, and fortification is expected to satisfy less than one-third of recommended dietary allowance, sun exposure continues to be the major source for vitamin D. However, studies in India have reported a very high prevalence of vitamin D deficiency [60-75%] [2,3]. Several factors have been implicated for the high prevalence of vitamin D deficiency such as the latitude, season, atmospheric components, clothing, sunscreen use and skin pigmentation, as well as age and high Body mass index [4,5]. Apart from these factors, information on how long to expose to get adequate vitamin D during different seasons is relatively lower [6]. There have been reports from countries of northern latitudes wherein, the UV data has been successfully simulated to arrive at estimates of sun exposure all through the year [7,8]. However, such an attempt has never been done in India. The estimates are important since it would guide the population for optimal sun exposure in the current environmental and cultural scenario. It would also guide researchers in deciding on the duration of interventions aimed at improving vitamin D status through sun exposure. The aim of the current study was therefore, to estimate the duration of sun exposure required for cutaneous synthesis of vitamin D in Indian cities using TEMIS satellite UV Index data, the only data available currently from India for this estimate.

Materials and Methods

UV Index - TEMIS Data Base

Using TEMIS (Tropospheric Emission Monitoring Internet Service of European Space Agency) satellite data, Ultra Violet Index (UV Index) was computed [9]. The action spectrum used was proposed by McKinlay & Diffey (1987) and later adopted as a standard by International Commission on Illumination [10]. It is an integra-
tion of the UV irradiance at the ground (290-400 nm) weighted by spectral action function (1 unit equals 25 mW/m²). The UV Index was obtained by dividing the erythemal action spectrum weighted irradiance by 25 mWm². The reference year considered was January 2016 to December 2016 and during this period, data for 10 stations in India was available with TEMIS, for 310-320 days, valid for clear-sky conditions. For October, wherein cloud-data was not available for 2016, the available data for 2015 was considered.

a) Latitude - Dependent Changes and Seasonal Variations in Sun Exposure Time: Latitude dependent changes were calculated for clear sky from daily data for 1 year starting from January 2016 to December 2016.

b) Conversion of Erythemal Action Spectrum to Vitamin D Action Spectrum: Minimal erythemal dose (MED) is the quantity of erythema-effective energy (expressed as joules per square meter) required to produce the first perceptible, skin redness reaction with clearly defined borders. The vitamin D action spectrum has higher weighting coefficients in UV-B than the erythemal action spectrum, while the latter is more sensitive to UV-A than the former. Therefore, a factor has been applied for conversion of erythemal action spectrum weighted UV to vitamin D weighted action spectrum. This was done by using the ratio calculated as \( R = e^{-0.25\times \text{UVI}/2.5} \), where UVI is the UV index [11]. The vitamin D effective UV has been calculated using the formula, \( \text{UVD} = \text{R} \times \text{UVE} \), ie, 25 mW m² X (2- e ^{0.25\times \text{UVI}/2.5})

\[ \text{UVD} = R \times UVE \]

where \( R \) is the ratio of conversion of erythemally effective UV to vitamin D effective UV radiation, UVD is vitamin D effective UV and UVE is erythemally effective UV radiation in W/m² and UVI is UV index [11]. Equivalency with oral dose of vitamin D: Hollicks rule of one fourth MED over 25 % body exposure providing 1000 IU was applied [12].

c) Computation of Exposure Time based on Skin Type: The differences in skin types were accounted for by the use of MED response, which are derived based on the response of skin to UV till redness. The MEDs are in the range of 300 J/m² for skin type III, 450 J/m² for IV and 600 J/m² for V, the exposure time increasing in the order of increasing skin pigmentation 8. For the calculations, skin type V was considered.

d) Computation of Exposure Time based on Variations in % Body Surface Area (BSA) Exposure: Body surface area exposure of 10 % was considered corresponding to face and forearm. These considerations were based on Indian culture specific dressing patterns [13].

e) Use of Daily Cloud Cover for Estimating UV Index to Mimic Real-Life Situation- Agrimet Data Base: Apart from estimating duration of sun exposure for clear sky conditions, cloud modifying factors have been applied to account for cloud cover of sky which is known to attenuate UV insolation. Cloudiness of sky is represented in terms of octas, ranging from 0-8 with >7 indicating an overcast sky. The daily octas for each station was obtained from agricultural meteorology department website (http://imdagrimet.gov.in/imd_datalist/form) and daily data was obtained for 12 months (312-323 days) representing 4 seasons i.e. winter, hot/ pre-monsoon, summer/monsoon, post-monsoon). The UV index for clear sky (UVI0) was converted to include cloud cover by using the formula \( \text{UVI} = \text{UVI}_0 \times \text{CMF} \times X (1 + 0.08 \times \Delta H) \), where CMF is the Cloud Modification Factor and \( \Delta H \) is the difference in altitude (in km) from where \( \text{UVI}_0 \) is referring to; in this case it is 0, 1 for 0-2, 0.8 for 3-4, 0.5 for 5-6 and 0.2 for 7-8 octas of clouds [14].

### Seasonal Variations of UV Index in Indian Cities

To get an overview on the seasonal variations of UV Index in Indian cities, the seasonal classification suggested by Indian Meteorology Division (IMD) was applied i.e. Winter Season: January-February.

a) Pre Monsoon Season: March - May, Southwest Monsoon Season: June-September, Post Monsoon Season: October - December. The daily UV index values were averaged for a particular season. The UV Index values were interpreted based on the criteria i.e. 1-4, no risk; 5, low risk; 6-9, medium risk and 10 onwards is considered to be high risk suggested by System of Air Quality and Weather Forecasting And Research, Government of India [15]. Estimate of days with risk of overexposure: The numbers of days which have a risk of over-exposure were calculated based on the UV Index data for clear sky and accounted for cloudy sky.

### Results

**Table 1:** Sun exposure time in minutes for cutaneous synthesis of 1000 IU of vitamin D (considering 10 % body surface area exposure) in Indian cities, compiled data for clear and cloudy sky conditions.

| Stations  | Latitude | N | Clear Sky Mean | Clear Sky SD | Clear Sky 95 % CI | Accounted for clouds N | Accounted for clouds Mean | Accounted for clouds SD | Accounted for clouds 95 % CI |
|-----------|----------|---|----------------|--------------|---------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Chennai   | 13008    | 321.00 | 11.3          | 1.90         | 11.1-11.5          | 311.00                 | 32.2                      | 27.32                    | 29.1-35.2                |
| Bangalore | 12097    | 322.00 | 10.8          | 1.80         | 10.6-11.0          | 308.00                 | 32.5                      | 25.25                    | 29.7-35.3                |
| Bhopal    | 23028    | 322.00 | 14.3          | 4.89         | 13.8-14.9          | 305.00                 | 28.6                      | 24.47                    | 25.9-31.4                |
| Hyderabad | 17037    | 323.00 | 11.9          | 2.75         | 11.6-12.2          | 308.00                 | 32.0                      | 25.20                    | 29.2-34.8                |
| Kolkata   | 22050    | 324.00 | 14.3          | 4.65         | 13.8-14.8          | 309.00                 | 30.8                      | 27.34                    | 27.7-33.8                |
| Mumbai    | 18093    | 322.00 | 12.8          | 3.30         | 12.4-13.1          | 307.00                 | 31.0                      | 23.81                    | 28.3-33.7                |
| Ahmedabad | 23005    | 320.00 | 14.5          | 4.82         | 14.0-15.1          | 308.00                 | 24.9                      | 17.91                    | 22.9-26.9                |
Table 2: Season-wise variations in sun exposure time, for cutaneous synthesis of 1000 IU of vitamin D (considering 10 % body surface area exposure) in Indian cities, compiled data for clear and cloudy sky conditions.

| Stations | Winter | Summer | Monsoon | Post-monsoon |
|----------|--------|--------|---------|--------------|
|          | Mean± SD | 95 % CI | Mean± SD | 95 % CI | Mean± SD | 95 % CI | Mean± SD | 95 % CI |
| Bangalore | 20.3 ± 19.88 | 14.3-26.4 | 18.4±15.67 | 14.7-22.0 | 45.5±22.19 | 14.3-49.8 | 34.4±28.92 | 28.1-40.7 |
| Chennai | 19.3±14.40 | 15.1-23.60 | 17.1±11.2 | 14.5-19.7 | 36.8±25.25 | 31.9-41.6 | 46.5±34.99 | 38.9-54.2 |
| Hyderabad | 27.1±27.11 | 18.9-35.2 | 18.1±14.78 | 14.7-21.5 | 49.1±22.72 | 44.7-53.4 | 25.0±22.60 | 20.6-29.9 |
| Mumbai | 20.5±21.60 | 14.0-27.1 | 16.3±11.5 | 13.6-19.0 | 52.2±21.6 | 48.1-56.3 | 21.7±14.91 | 18.4-24.9 |
| Pune | 26.6±15.4 | 15.8-25.3 | 17.7±16.29 | 13.9-21.5 | 46.7±22.50 | 42.4-50.9 | 24.5±23.7 | 19.4-29.7 |
| Kolkata | 29.5±29.15 | 21.7-36.9 | 20.1±18.87 | 15.7-24.5 | 38.9±24.46 | 34.2-43.5 | 30.2±32.7 | 23.1-37.3 |
| Ahmedabad | 22.3±8.41 | 19.8-24.9 | 15.5±8.97 | 13.4-17.6 | 36.1±25.02 | 31.3-40.8 | 20.2±4.06 | 19.3-21.08 |
| Delhi | 44.8±48.18 | 30.1-59.5 | 19.5±13.98 | 16.2-22.7 | 34.6±27.3 | 28.6-40.6 | 20.2±5.65 | 17.9-22.6 |
| Srinagar | 133.1±125.24 | 94.9-171.1 | 49.9±43.6 | 39.8-60.1 | 26.9±24.09 | 22.4-31.6 | 85.2±86.2 | 66.4-104.1 |

Figure 1: Geographical locations of Indian cities for which TEMIS UV Index data was used for estimating sun exposure time for cutaneous synthesis of vitamin D.

Out of the 10 stations in India for which ultraviolet index data was available, six stations were lying within the tropic of cancer and tropic of Capricorn while four of the stations were beyond this geographic limit. The farthest of the stations was Srinagar (34013 N). Under clear sky condition, a latitude-dependency was observed in the duration of sun exposure for 1000 IU of vitamin D. The mean duration of exposure ranged between 10.8-22.3 minutes (Table 1). After accounting for cloudy sky conditions wherever applicable, the duration was 1.5 to 3 fold higher in all stations and dependency on latitude was not evident. However, Srinagar (34013 latitude), needed the longest duration of exposure in both clear and cloudy sky conditions (Figure 1). Season-wise estimates showed monsoon and winter seasons requiring maximum exposure time for optimal vitamin D while for Srinagar, it required 133.1±125.24 during winter and 85.2±86.2 minutes during post-monsoon season for 1000 IU vitamin D at noon time. The summer exposure times in tropics
were <20 minutes in the tropical regions. Beyond tropics, the required duration was longer (Table 2). In about 13-24 % of days, the UVI was >10. The lowest number of days was in Mumbai and the highest was in Bangalore (Table 3).

Table 3: Number of days in a year with a higher risk of over-exposure (UVI >10).

| Station       | Number of days UVI>10 | Total no. Of days | %  |
|---------------|-----------------------|-------------------|----|
| Bangalore     | 74                    | 313               | 23.6 |
| Chennai       | 72                    | 323               | 22.3 |
| Hyderabad     | 51                    | 323               | 15.8 |
| Bhopal        | 75                    | 323               | 23.2 |
| Mumbai        | 43                    | 312               | 13.8 |
| Pune          | 65                    | 322               | 20.2 |
| Kolkata       | 43                    | 323               | 13.3 |
| Ahmedabad     | 51                    | 323               | 15.8 |
| Delhi         | 49                    | 323               | 15.2 |
| Srinagar      | 50                    | 312               | 16.1 |

Discussion

The present study is first of its kind from India which attempted to estimate the average duration of sun exposure for cutaneous synthesis of vitamin D, utilizing Tropospheric Emission Monitoring Internet Service (TEMIS), the authentic web-based service of European Space Agency. The uniqueness of this study also lies in the translatability of these findings for strengthening the information system on sun exposure for acquiring optimal vitamin D. The estimates have been contextualized to Indian conditions, considering the common skin type (skin type V) and culturally appropriate exposure of body surface area (10 %). Environmental factors such as ozone, altitude, solar zenith angle are embedded in the UV Index calculations and therefore, have been taken care of. Since daily data is available, the seasonal variations could also be accounted for. In addition to this, daily data on clouds has been extracted and used in the calculations for acquiring an estimate closer to real life situations. Therefore, we believe that the calculated sun exposure would provide reasonable estimates in real-life scenario.

Previous studies have used one of the two ways of estimating duration of sun exposure for optimal vitamin D synthesis in skin:

a. Using simulation tools like Fast RT [7,11,16] which needs extensive expertise and requires constant update and maintenance.

b. Using space-technology based resources which can be easily procured and used [8]. The studies which utilized these methods were able to determine how to balance sun exposure to acquire vitamin D while avoiding over exposure. In northern latitudes, it also helped in understanding the time of year wherein sun exposure will not be adequate for production of vitamin D [17] which can guide policies of fortification in those countries. These methods also help guide the population in a change of lifestyle for promoting sun exposure for optimal vitamin D. An additional advantage is that we acquire National-level estimates in a relatively short period of time.

In tropics where there is abundant sun shine, these estimates may also guide on preventing over exposure, while providing optimal vitamin D. Our estimates do show a latitude-dependent change in duration of exposure. The higher latitudes require more sun exposure for the production of same amount of vitamin D (1000 IU). Researchers from a Northern latitude country-Canada (56.1304° N) have also tried to estimate the feasibility of sun exposure for acquiring 1000 IU of vitamin D in fair skinned individuals who habitually expose 25 % or 12.5 % of their body surface area [8]. A latitude dependency of sun exposure time was observed in these studies with higher latitudes requiring more time of exposure for 1000 IU of vitamin D.

The current study showed a seasonal variability in the estimated duration of sun exposure for 1000 IU of vitamin D. The variabilities were as high as 133.1±125.24 minutes in the Northern latitude (Srinagar) at noon, rendering it very difficult for the people residing in these areas to obtain adequate vitamin D from sun. It also shows that in a partially tropical country like India, the need for region-based guidelines should be recognized. Beyond tropics, depending solely upon sun exposure in all seasons seems to be unrealistic and alternate strategies such as fortification need to be thought about. Though our estimates show that adequate vitamin D can be acquired in a reasonable duration of around 30-35 minutes in locations lying within the tropics, it is intriguing to note that the prevalence estimates of vitamin D deficiency reported from epidemiological studies in these regions are about 50-70 %. This contrast may be due to various factors. Studies in India have shown a very high prevalence of deficiency among people with indoor occupations [18]. The deficiencies are comparatively lower in rural environments and among out-door workers [19,20]. Therefore, the duration of sun exposure appears to be a major restricting factor for acquiring vitamin D. The optimal time for cutaneous production of vitamin D through sun exposure appears to be from 11 am to 2 pm [21].

Previously, one trial attempted to improve vitamin D of school children through sun exposure has reported no impact of 30 minutes exposure to sun with 10 % BSA in winter at 22 degree N [22]. Interestingly, our estimates showed 44.8±48.18 minutes are required at 22 degree N (Delhi) during winter for vitamin D production. Therefore, the estimates offer a possible explanation for lack of impact seen in the previous study and provide information on optimal duration to guide the decision on interventions aimed at improving vitamin D through sun exposure. However, we acknowledge that these estimates are approximations and need to be validated in real-life situations. Surface UVB instrument has been used by researchers from India to assess the amount of UVB during different seasons. Their findings showed that Northern latitude gets the maximum UVB during monsoon/autumn while southern and western zones recorded the maximum UVBI during summer. Our study corroborates with their findings with the lowest duration of sun exposure reported during monsoon in Srinagar, while in southern and western zones, the lowest exposure time required was in summer [22]. Another important aspect is the risk of overexposure which we tried to address through compiling data on UVI for the year after accounting for cloud wherever applicable. We found that
majority of the days were free of the risk for over exposure. The maximum number of days with the risk of over-exposure was in Bangalore and Chennai, situated in the lower latitudes. The prevalence of skin cancer is also low in India constituting 1-2 % of all diagnosed cancers [23] which corroborates with our finding. While the low prevalence of cancer maybe a function of skin type and low BSA exposure, India, being a country with abundant rainfall in several parts, we cannot rule out that it could be a function of clouds which do attenuate the UV radiation to a considerable extent. Studies in the Northern latitudes had reported days wherein the UV Indices were less than 3 and therefore not capable of producing vitamin D [8]. This was not the case with Indian cities where majority of the days had a UVI greater than three.

Limitations
The present calculations do not account for aerosols which can also attenuate solar radiation.

Conclusion
An estimate for the duration of sun exposure required for cutaneous synthesis of 1000 IU of vitamin D has been presented. On an average, 30-35 minutes of exposure was enough for 1000 IU of vitamin D per day. However, seasonal and regional variations were high and therefore, region specific recommendations are required in the case of sun exposure. These estimates, although corroborate other lines of evidence on sun exposure and vitamin D, need to be validated in real-life situations.
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