Electric Podded Propulsor Propeller with Control Algorithm of Boat Based on Data Processing from Sensors
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A podded propulsor propeller (POD propulsion) with an electric power system was developed and tested on a small boat to find the feasibility of using it for vessels. The POD propulsion system was designed to use a brushless DC motor and a servo motor with a lithium-ion battery. The control system, which was developed with a digital signal processor (DSP), collected and sent the data from the motors and sensors. The data were processed to create the control algorithm. A prototype boat based on the designed parameters was built with the POD propulsion system and its performance was tested. The results showed that the system had an efficient operation in terms of speed and steering. The POD propulsion system of this study is expected to provide the basic design for an efficient, stable, and eco-friendly propulsion system for large vessels.

1. Introduction

As oil resources are running out and environmental pollution caused by burning fossil fuels is becoming more serious,¹ energy-saving and pollution prevention are global concerns nowadays. The contribution of the shipping industry to such problems is of increasing concern as most vessels use engines that burn diesel, heavy oil, or gas oil. Such engines cause significant air and noise pollution by burning a vast amount of fossil fuels. In addition, the engines are not fuel-efficient and require a large space to be installed.²⁻⁴

Thus, a new power source for engines has been studied and developed by many researchers and engineering companies.⁵ Recently, an electric podded propulsor propeller (POD propulsion) has been recognized as an innovative technology for the propulsion system of a vessel. POD propulsion has high propulsion (energy) efficiency, good maneuverability, and low space requirement as it uses electricity as the power source and does not need a large engine room. POD propulsion also allows significantly enhanced overall performance.⁶⁻⁷ Therefore, electric vessels with POD propulsion can contribute to reducing energy use and air pollution...
by the shipping industry.\textsuperscript{(8,9)} With the development of the electric vehicle industry, the power of secondary batteries has become sufficient for POD propulsion to replace traditional engines of vessels. POD propulsion with secondary batteries decreases the noise and vibration of the vessel considerably. Therefore, the use of POD propulsion for vessels is becoming popular, especially for inland tourism and transportation.\textsuperscript{(10)} The effective operation of POD propulsion requires the installation of many sensors to gather data for sailing (positioning, speed, and steering) and system management (electric current and circuit monitoring). The data are processed to train an algorithm to control the propulsion system of a vessel for stable operation.

Here, we propose a POD propulsion system for small boats, which comprises a brushless direct current (DC), a propulsion motor, and a motor control algorithm. The algorithm was developed and trained through the analysis of data collected from sensors installed in the motors. Then, a prototype boat with the POD propulsion system was built and tested to validate its performance for inland use.

2. Methods

2.1 Hull parameters

A prototype boat requires a simple, lightweight, and stable structure for flexible operation and durability. Thus, we calculated the main hull parameters for a boat with the POD propulsion system and a battery pack along with millimeter-wave radar, a data transfer unit (DTU), a global positioning system (GPS), surveillance cameras, and sensors. The deadweight (DWT) of the boat was about 80 kg with a load of 10 kg and a draft of 0.18 m. The desired maximum sailing speed was 5 knots (9.26 km/h) and the desired time for which an economic speed [70\% of the maximum revolutions per minute (RPM) or the maximum load] can be maintained was up to 6 h (Table 1, Fig. 1).

2.2 Power system of prototype boat

The power system of the prototype boat was designed to use a small POD propulsion system with the above performance requirements and the equipment installed on the hull (Fig. 2). The POD propulsion system used a pack (12 V, 175 Ah) as the power source. The lithium-ion battery used to power the system has a high energy density, excellent charge–discharge performance,
high working voltage (3.6–3.9 V), large specific energy, no memory effect, negligible self-
discharge, and long cycle life.\textsuperscript{(11)} The lithium-ion battery pack operated the propulsion motor
(12 V DC brushless motor), the servo motor, the digital signal processor (DSP) controller, and
other electrical equipment with 12 V DC power. A 12 V/24 V boost converter and a 12 V/5 V
buck converter were used for the servo motor and the DSP controller, respectively. Figure 3
shows the structure of the power system.

A brushless DC motor is widely used as the main motor of a boat owing to its high efficiency
and torque and small size.\textsuperscript{(12)} However, the drive system of a brushless DC motor is complex and
requires a large amount of data processing, necessitating a complex algorithm for the efficient
performance of the DSP. The algorithm of the DSP controls the motors with fast processing and
the responses by allocating circuit resources flexibly.\textsuperscript{(13,14)}

The structure of the POD propulsion system is shown in Fig. 4. The servo motor was
connected to the propulsion motor and controlled the direction of steering and the POD through
the bracket connected to the hull. The propulsion motor of the prototype boat had a power of 480 W with a maximum current and speed of 60 A and 1100 RPM, respectively.
2.3 DSP control system

The control system used a Texas Instruments TMS320F2812 DSP as the main control chip. The system controlled the full-bridge circuit: the circuits for speed-, current-, and position-measuring devices/sensors, and the circuit of the motors. For accurate steering, the servo motor had three closed-loop controls for high control accuracy, good reliability, flexible application, and strong adaptability: (1) the current loop (inner loop), speed loop (outer loop), and position loop (outermost loop).

Figure 5 shows the structure of the servo motor control. The boat is steered by the following motor control.

(1) A proportional-integral–differential (PID) controller adjusts the position loop for the deviation $U_a$ and the feedback signal $U_b$ and obtains the speed reference value $V_g$.

(2) The PID controller calculates the current motor speed $V_s$ based on the feedback on the motor position. $V_g$ and $V_s$ are calculated using the speed loop in the DSP to obtain the reference voltage value $U_{ig}$.

(3) The current for the motor is detected by the current sensor. The analog signal of the current is converted to a digital one in the A/D port and sent to the DSP. Then, the voltage calculated using the current loop, $U_{if}$, is applied and output to the current regulator. Then, the duty ratio is adjusted to control the power switch for the conduction and deadline, and finally, the brushless DC motor and the servo motor.

The steering angle is determined by the high-level duty cycle of pulse-width modulation (PWM) signals, with which the speed range is calculated to be 0.5–2.5 ms. The DSP controller sets the high-level duty using the duty cycle calculation equation,

$$D = \frac{T_{1PR} - T_{1CMPR}}{T_{1PR}},$$

where $D$ is the duty cycle, $T_{1PR}$ is the $T_1$ cycle register, and $T_{1CMPR}$ is the $T_1$ comparison register.

When the rudder angle was 0°, the duty cycle was 9.8%. Figure 6 shows the waveform of the rudder angles of 30° left, 60° left, 30° right, and 60° right. The corresponding duty cycles were 8.9%, 8%, 10.7%, and 11.5%, respectively.
2.4 Structure of control system

To monitor and ensure the stable operation of the boat, it is necessary to collect, analyze, and process the data of voltages and currents. For this, a multichannel A/D converter with a built-in DSP is used to convert analog signals to digital ones. Since the range of the A/D analog input is 0–3 V, a voltage/current transmitter is used. To improve the reliability and anti-interference ability, the communication software of a controller area network (CAN) is used for the data frame to adopt the standard frame for communication.

Fig. 6. (Color online) Waveform of servo motor with PWM speed regulation.
transmitted to the DSP via the DTU through the cloud server, and the DSP issues the control instruction to each of the power equipment. The principle of the power control system is shown in Fig. 7.

3. Results and Discussion

To verify the steering ability of the boat, the gears for four different speed ranges were set up as follows: low speed (LS, 1.5 km/h), slow speed (SS, 3.6 km/h), half speed (HS, 6.4 km/h), and full speed (FS, 9.3 km/h) (Table 2). Figure 8 shows the speed–time diagram of the boat. The boat reached the maximum speed in each speed range in 1.2, 2.0, 2.6, and 3.2 s. The boat stabilized these speeds in 2 to 4.5 s depending on the speed range. The highest speed was 9.3 km/h with a motor speed of 1100 RPM.

Table 2
DC brushless motor parameters.

| Speed range | Speed (km/h) | Accelerating time (s) | Stabilizing time (s) | Motor speed (RPM) |
|-------------|--------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| LS          | 1.5          | 1.2                   | 2                   | 180              |
| SS          | 3.5          | 2                     | 2.5                 | 480              |
| HS          | 6.35         | 2.6                   | 3.5                 | 780              |
| FS          | 9.3          | 3.2                   | 4.5                 | 1100             |

Fig. 7. Schematic diagram of power control system.

Fig. 8. (Color online) Speed–time diagram of the boat.
The minimum turning radius was tested under each preset speed and steering angle to verify the sensitivity and accuracy of the servo motor control. The steering range of the servo motor was $-150^\circ$–$+150^\circ$ and the rudder angle range was $-60^\circ$–$+60^\circ$. The steering of the servo motor was tested for angles of $\pm 10^\circ$, $\pm 20^\circ$, $\pm 30^\circ$, $\pm 40^\circ$, $\pm 50^\circ$, and $\pm 60^\circ$. The data of the steering were stored and analyzed in a MySQL database. When the angle of the heel was less than $15^\circ$, the rudder angle gradually increased. Data of the speed and steering angle were computed and analyzed with the origin of the coordinates at 24.57965833E and 118.0829343N. In the test, the motion sensor fed back the position of the boat to draw its motion trajectory. Figure 9 shows the trajectories with different speeds, coordinates, and headings at the rudder angle of $60^\circ$. Table 3 shows the minimum turning radius at the given speeds and headings. The data revealed that the boat with the POD propulsion system showed flexible and accurate rudder rotation at different speeds.

![Fig. 9. (Color online) Trajectories of the boat at different speeds and rudder angle of 60°.](image)

| Rudder angle | DS | SS | HS | FS |
|--------------|----|----|----|----|
| 10°          | 8.23 | 6.86 | 5.17 | 6.24 |
| 20°          | 7.16 | 5.47 | 4.26 | 3.76 |
| 30°          | 5.85 | 4.62 | 3.12 | 1.85 |
| 40°          | 4.26 | 3.56 | 2.63 | —   |
| 50°          | 3.56 | 2.32 | 1.94 | —   |
| 60°          | 2.78 | 1.25 | 1.57 | —   |

Table 3
Minimum turning radii for different rudder angles and speed ranges.

| Test items | This study | Yu (1) | Kong et al. (16) |
|------------|------------|--------|------------------|
| Pod propulsion system | √ | × | × |
| Speed | √ | √ | √ |
| Rudder angle | √ | × | × |
4. Conclusion

A POD propulsion system and its algorithm were proposed and tested on a prototype boat in this study. The boat had a lithium-ion battery pack as the power source, a brushless DC motor for the propulsion, a servo motor for steering, and necessary equipment such as sensors, GPS, a DTU, and a DSP. The power and the propulsion system were controlled with the corresponding control algorithm, which collected and analyzed the data from the BMS and DSP. The performance of the boat at different speeds and rudder angles was stable and reliable with high control accuracy and flexible steering. The maximum speed of the boat reached 9.3 km/h. The application of the POD propulsion system allowed the efficient and stable performance of the boat and turned out to be appropriate for the required speed, steering, and operating time. Previous studies tested the availability, speed, or rudder angle of POD propulsion systems.\textsuperscript{(13,16)} In this study, we tested the POD propulsion system for different speeds and rudder angles at the same time and provided overall performance test results (Table 4). The results of this study are expected to lead to the development of a POD propulsion system for larger vessels.
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