Sox6 directly silences epsilon globin expression in definitive erythropoiesis.
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Sox6 is a member of the Sox transcription factor family that is defined by the conserved high mobility group (HMG) DNA binding domain, first described in the testis determining gene, Sry. Previous studies have suggested that Sox6 plays a role in the development of the central nervous system, cartilage, and muscle. In the Sox6-deficient mouse, p100H, εy globin is persistently expressed, and increased numbers of nucleated red cells are present in the fetal circulation. Transfection assays in GM979 (erythroleukemic) cells define a 36–base pair region of the εy proximal promoter that is critical for Sox6 mediated repression. Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) and chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChiP) assays demonstrate that Sox6 acts as a repressor by directly binding to the εy promoter. The normal expression of Sox6 in wild-type fetal liver and the ectopic expression of εy in p100H homozygous fetal liver demonstrate that Sox6 functions in definitive erythropoiesis. The present study shows that Sox6 is required for silencing of εy globin in definitive erythropoiesis and suggests a role for Sox6 in erythroid cell maturation. Thus, Sox6 regulation of εy globin might provide a novel therapeutic target in the treatment of hemoglobinopathies such as sickle cell anemia and thalassemia.

Introduction

Sry type HMG box (Sox6) is a member of the Sox transcription factor family characterized by the conserved high mobility group (HMG) domain, consisting of 79 amino acids involved in DNA recognition and binding [1]. Sox transcription factors bind to the minor groove of DNA and cause a 70°–85° bend of the DNA that leads to local conformational changes [2,3], while most other transcription factors target the major groove of DNA [4]. Therefore, Sox proteins may perform part of their function as architectural proteins by organizing local chromatin structure and assembling other DNA-bound transcription factors into biologically active, sterically defined multiprotein complexes. Sox6 has been reported to be able to act as either an activator or a repressor, depending on its interactors and its target promoter context [5,6]. Intriguingly, Sox6 has also been shown to act as a general splicing factor that participates in pre-mRNA splicing [7]. Depletion of Sox6 in HeLa cell extracts blocked splicing of multiple substrates, and expression of the HMG domain of either Sox6, Sox9, or Sry in the extracts restored splicing, indicating functional overlap of these proteins [7]. Regardless of how Sox6 functions in regulating gene expression, previous studies have demonstrated that Sox6 is an important regulatory molecule that plays a role in the development of the central nervous system [8–11], cartilage [6,12,13], and muscle [14,15]. A Sox6-null mutant mouse (p100H) has previously been identified in our laboratory [14]. Mice homozygous for p100H show delayed growth, develop myopathy and arterioventricular heart block, and die within 2 wk after birth [14]. The p100H– mutant allele is associated with a Chromosome 7 inversion that disrupts both the p gene and the Sox6 gene (and no other gene within 50,000 nucleotides of the chromosomal breakpoints) [14]. Because the p gene functions solely in pigmentation [16], the Sox6 transcription factor is implicated in all other phenotypes.

Among the HMG box proteins distantly related to Sry (the first member identified of the Sox transcription factor family) that similarly bind to the minor groove and bend DNA, but without sequence specificity, are the ubiquitously expressed HMG1 and HMG2 proteins [17]. Modulation of DNA structure by these and other HMG proteins can mediate long-range enhancer function on both DNA and chromatin-assembled genes by bringing together distant regions of DNA and associated factors. Specifically, HMG proteins have been shown to modulate β-globin genes [18–21]. The mouse β-globin genes {εy, βh1, β-major, and β-minor} are clustered on Chromosome 7 and they are highly homologous to their human counterparts in organizational...
Synopsis

Beta-globin gene switching—the transition from embryonic to fetal to adult synthesis of specific globin chains—results in hemoglobins with different affinity for oxygen. This system is a longstanding paradigm for developmental biology and is directly relevant to human disease, since small amounts of normal embryonic or fetal beta-globins can “balance” the detrimental effect of abnormal or missing adult globins in diseases such as sickle cell anemia and beta-thalassemia.

In the current study, the transcription factor Sox6 was identified as a novel and crucial silencing factor of epsilon (embryonic) globin through a somewhat serendipitous pathway. The authors had previously identified a chromosomal inversion, p^100H, by virtue of its effect on the pink-eyed dilution gene and found that the same inversion also disrupts the Sox6 gene. Using p^100H mutant mice as a tool for identifying downstream targets of Sox6, the authors discovered that epsilon-globin-globin levels were dramatically elevated, paving the way for a series of molecular genetic experiments demonstrating that Sox6 directly binds to and normally inhibits transcription from the epsilon-globin gene promoter. This work provides fundamental new insights into regulation of globin gene transcription during development, and provides new clues for manipulating globin gene transcription as an approach to treat human blood diseases.

structure and function [22]. High-level expression of these genes requires a regulatory element, the locus control region that is characterized by a set of nuclease hypersensitive sites spread over 25 kb located 5’ of the εγ gene [23]. The β-globin genes are expressed in a tissue- and development-specific fashion. In mice, erythropoiesis originates in the embryonic yolk sac where primitive erythroid cells express εγ and ββ-1 globins [22]. At 11.5 d post coitum (dpc), erythropoiesis shifts to the fetal liver where definitive erythroid cells express adult β globins (β major and minor) [22]. The εγ gene is silenced in definitive erythroid cells. The mechanism of silencing of its human counterpart, ε globin, has been studied extensively. In definitive erythropoiesis, ε is activated and silenced autonomously [24,25], although in primitive erythropoiesis ε also appears to be regulated competitively [26]. The γ-globin to adult β-globin switch is controlled by promoter competition for the LCR [24,25].

All the elements responsible for silencing the ε globin gene are within the ε gene or in adjacent sequences [27], suggesting that silencing is primarily gene autonomous. Using promoter deletion analyses in transgenic mouse models and cell transfection assays, multiple DNA elements important to the silencing process have been previously identified in both the proximal and the distal ε gene promoter [27]. Their corresponding transcription factors, such as GATA-1, YY-1, COUP-TF, and DRED have been identified and shown to directly bind to these DNA elements (as part of protein complexes) to regulate ε silencing [27]. Thus, it appears that the silencing of the ε gene involves a complicated network of multiple εs elements and transacting proteins.

In addition to playing an important role in the development of the central nervous system [8–11], cartilage [6,12,13], and muscle [14,15], it was shown that Sox6 is upregulated in long-term hematopoiesis stem cells (LT-HSC) compared with multipotent progenitors of adult mouse bone marrow lineage [28]. In this study, we describe that Sox6 also exerts pleiotropic effects on erythropoiesis. These effects include delayed maturation of erythrocytes (that normally enucleate prior to entering the bloodstream [27]) and higher expression of embryonic globin genes. The most extreme effect is the persistence of high expression of the embryonic εγ globin gene. Here we describe and characterize the effects of Sox6 on the εγ globin gene. We show that Sox6 binds to the proximal promoter of εγ globin and represses its transcription. In wild-type (WT) mice, Sox6 is not expressed in yolk sac blood islands, but is expressed in fetal liver, the opposite expression pattern of εγ globin. In the absence of Sox6, εγ globin is ectopically expressed in the fetal liver, demonstrating that Sox6 functions in definitive erythropoiesis.

Results

Persistent Expression of the Embryonic Globin, εγ, in Sox6-Deficient Mice

The εγ globin gene was initially identified as an upregulated transcript in the p^100H mouse using subtractive hybridization to identify Sox6 downstream targets. This initial observation was confirmed in an independent knockout allele of Sox6 [13] using real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) (unpublished data). Real-time PCR was used to quantify the expression levels of other globin genes in p^100H mutant and WT mouse livers at two developmental stages, 15.5 dpc and 18.5 dpc. As shown in Figure 1, the εγ gene is expressed at high levels at both time points in mutant mice, in contrast to the decline in expression observed in WT mice. No difference was seen between WT and heterozygous mice (unpublished data). Interestingly, the expression levels of the other two embryonic globin genes (ζ and ζβ1) are also higher in p^100H homozygous mice, compared with WT mice, but to a much lesser extent than seen for εγ globin at 18.5 dpc (Figure 1). Moreover, the expression level of adult β globin is also somewhat higher in p^100H homozygous mice than in WT mice at 18.5 dpc (Figure 1). Perinatal lethality of mutant mice (presumably from the heart defect [14]) precludes us from evaluating postnatal globin expression. The graphs in Figure 1 illustrate real time PCR results that were performed in triplicate (standard deviation of the data is shown by error bars). Because all of the assays were performed at the same time with the same internal control, the levels shown are relative levels and are thus comparable across all samples and are in agreement with previously published results for WT fetal mice [29]. We note that the level of εγ expression in the livers of 15.5 dpc and 18.5 dpc homozygous mutant mice is statistically equivalent to the level of βmaj/min expression in the livers of 15.5 dpc and 18.5 dpc homozygous WT mice.

Transfection Studies Using GM979 Cells Indicate That Sox6 Directly Represses the εγ Gene Promoter at the Transcriptional Level

Real-time PCR assays (Figure 1) measure steady-state levels of εγ mRNA, not transcriptional activity of the εγ promoter. To investigate whether Sox6 directly acts on the εγ gene promoter at the transcriptional level, we used an in vitro transient transfection assay and GM979 cells, a murine erythroleukemic cell line that expresses both εγ and adult beta globins [30]. We generated an εγ promoter reporter construct (E-Luc) by fusing a micro-LCR (μLCR) element (2.5 kb) [31] to the εγ proximal promoter (2.2 kb), followed by the
luciferase reporter gene, as shown in Figure 2A (detailed in Materials and Methods). Overexpression of Sox6 in GM979 cells by transient transfection leads to a dosage-dependent repression of E-Luc reporter activity (Figure 2B). In contrast, overexpression of a truncated Sox6 protein that lacks its HMG domain [32] (similar to the p100H mouse allele) fails to repress E-Luc activity (Figure 2B). These data indicate that Sox6 acts to repress the εy promoter at the transcriptional level.

Sox6 has been shown to act as a repressor and to interact with a widely expressed co-repressor, CtBP2, on the fgf-3 promoter [5]. CtBP2 is expressed in GM979 cells (unpublished data). To investigate whether the interaction with CtBP2 is

---

**Figure 1.** Real-Time PCR of Globin Genes

The levels of expression of εy, βH1, zeta, and βmaj/min were measured at 15.5 dpc and 18.5 dpc in homozygous WT and p100H mutant littermates by real-time PCR (see Materials and Methods). Relative expression levels in the livers of each genotype are graphed for each globin gene (performed in triplicate and normalized with GAPDH). Standard deviation is indicated by bars.

DOI: 10.1371/journal.pgen.0020014.g001
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**Figure 2.** The Effect of Sox6 on the εy Promoter

(A) Constructs of the εy promoter reporter (E-luc) and Sox6 overexpression vector. The E-luc reporter construct consists of a 2.5-kb μLCR element, a 2.2-kb εy proximal promoter, and the luciferase reporter in the pGL-3 basic plasmid (see Materials and Methods). Sox6 expression is driven by the CMV promoter.

(B) Sox6 represses εy promoter activity in a dosage-dependent manner. In GM979 cells, the E-Luc εy promoter reporter construct was co-transfected (1) without overexpression of Sox6; (2–4) with increasing amounts of CMV-Sox6 overexpression vector; (5) with a truncated version of Sox6 that lacks its HMG domain; (6) with a mutant version of Sox6 (L386H) that has previously been shown to abolish interaction with CtBP2; or (7) with an empty reporter plasmid (without εy promoter and μLCR element).

(C) Promoter deletion analyses to delimit the critical sequence. The 2.2-kb proximal promoter or deletions of it, as indicated on the left (numbering relative to +1 = the transcription start site of εy globin, see Materials and Methods), were engineered in reporter constructs as in (A) and were transfected along with CMV driven Sox6 to GM979 cells (see Materials and Methods for details). The relative repression by Sox6 on the activity of the different reporter constructs is shown. All experiments were done in triplicate.

DOI: 10.1371/journal.pgen.0020014.g002
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required for Sox6 repression of the ɛ promoter, we introduced a point mutation (L386H) in the Sox6 protein that has been previously reported to be sufficient to abolish Sox6-CtBP2 interaction [5]. This amino acid change is not in the HMG DNA binding domain. However, this mutant version of Sox6 retains the ability to repress the ɛ promoter in the transfection assay (Figure 2B), indicating that Sox6 represses the ɛ promoter in a CtBP2-independent manner. Deletion analysis of the ɛ promoter, as shown in Figure 2C, defined a region (~63 to ~37) within the ɛ proximal promoter that is critical for Sox6 repression. Analysis of this short region reveals two Sox/Sox6 consensus binding sites [5] (Figure 3A).

EMSA and ChIP Assays Show that Sox6 Directly Binds to the ɛ Promoter

Sox6 might repress the ɛ promoter, either through direct physical contact with the promoter or by regulating intermediates affecting the ɛ promoter. To investigate whether Sox6 is directly associated with the ɛ promoter, we first performed electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSA) using a c-Myc-tagged Sox6 in a reticulocyte lysate-based transcription/translation in vitro system. The probes used are listed in Figure 3A. The 36-base pair (bp) WT probe corresponds to the critical region of the ɛ promoter defined by the deletion analysis experiments (Figure 2C). The 36-bp probe was shifted by the tagged Sox6 protein. Moreover, both c-Myc and Sox6 antibodies supershift the band, indicating that the binding is Sox6-specific. To rule out the possibility that the c-Myc tag itself binds to the probe, an HA-tagged Sox6 was used in another EMSA that confirmed these results (Figure 3C).

Next, nuclear extracts from MEL cells were used in EMSA employing the same 36-bp probe. MEL cells, a murine erythroleukemic cell line, express adult β globins, but not ɛ [33]. Sox6 directly binds to this DNA sequence in MEL cells (Figure 3D). The intact consensus Sox/Sox6 binding sites of the DNA probe are required for the binding, as shown in the competition assay (Figure 3E). Ablation of putative Sox/Sox6 binding sites (M1 and M3) abolish its ability to compete in EMSA (Figure 3E). The M2 mutant probe may compete partially with WT binding.

To investigate the functional significance of the intact Sox/Sox6 binding sites, the ɛ promoter reporter constructs with mutated Sox/Sox6 binding sites were co-transfected with the Sox6 overexpression vector into GM979 cells. Consistent with the EMSA results, the mutant ɛ promoter reporter constructs (with either one or both Sox/Sox6 binding sites mutated) do not result in significant promoter repression in transfection studies (Figure 3F). Thus, both sites are required for maximal repression of ɛ by Sox6, but not to the same degree.

We also tested whether Sox6 binds to the ɛ promoter in vivo using chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) (Figure 4).
The Sox6-containing complex was immunoprecipitated from MEL cells or from liver cells of 15.5 dpc WT mice using Sox6 antibody. Figure 4 shows that the \( \beta \) proximal promoter is readily immunoprecipitated with Sox6 antibody in both MEL cells and liver cells. Normal IgG was used as a negative control (Figure 4A). The above data (Figures 3 and 4) clearly indicate that Sox6 acts as a repressor of the \( \epsilon \) gene by directly binding to the \( \epsilon \) promoter, probably as a dimer.

The Persistent Expression of \( \epsilon \) Globin in Sox6-Deficient Mice Is Due to a Defect in the \( \epsilon \)-Gene–Silencing Mechanism in Definitive Erythroid Cells

Normally, the \( \epsilon \) globin gene is exclusively expressed in primitive erythrocytes and silenced in definitive erythrocytes. To determine whether the persistent expression of \( \epsilon \) globin is due to residual primitive erythrocytes or is due to ectopic expression of \( \epsilon \) globin in definitive erythrocytes, we examined the spatial pattern of \( \epsilon \) expression of \( \epsilon \) at 7.5 dpc (Figure 6B). Therefore, Sox6 is highly transcribed in 12.5-dpc liver, but not in yolk sac blood islands at 7.5 dpc (Figure 6B). Therefore, Sox6 expression is temporally and spatially coincident with definitive, but not primitive, erythropoiesis. These data, taken together with the observation that Sox6-deficient mice ectopically express \( \epsilon \) globin in liver, where definitive erythroid cells mature, suggests that Sox6 is an important regulator in definitive erythropoiesis. To determine the temporal and spatial expression pattern of Sox6, Northern blot and in situ hybridization assays were employed. As shown in Figure 6A, Sox6 is detectable by Northern blot beginning at 10.5 dpc, coincident with the temporal onset of definitive erythropoiesis in the fetus. In contrast, abundant ectopic \( \epsilon \) mRNA expression is seen in the liver of 14.5-dpc mutants (Figure 5 A–D). However, the expression of \( \beta \) maj/min globin is equally abundant in both WT and \( \beta^{100H} \) mutant mice (Figure 5 E and F). These data demonstrate that the persistent high levels of \( \epsilon \) are due to ectopic expression in the definitive erythroid cells that mature in the fetal liver, suggesting that there is an intrinsic defect of the \( \epsilon \) silencing mechanism in Sox6-null mice.

The Expression Pattern of Sox6 Suggests a Role in Definitive Erythropoiesis

The observation that Sox6-deficient mice ectopically express \( \epsilon \) globin in liver, where definitive erythrocytes cells mature, suggests that Sox6 is an important regulator in definitive erythropoiesis. To determine the temporal and spatial expression pattern of Sox6, Northern blot and in situ hybridization assays were employed. As shown in Figure 6A, Sox6 is detectable by Northern blot beginning at 10.5 dpc, coincident with the temporal onset of definitive erythropoiesis in the fetus. Furthermore, in situ hybridization shows that Sox6 is highly transcribed in 12.5-dpc liver, but not in yolk sac blood islands at 7.5 dpc (Figure 6B). Therefore, Sox6 expression is temporally and spatially coincident with definitive, but not primitive, erythropoiesis. These data, taken together with the observation that Sox6-deficient mice ectopically express \( \epsilon \) globin in liver, where definitive erythroid cells mature, suggests that Sox6 is an important regulator in definitive erythropoiesis. To determine the temporal and spatial expression pattern of Sox6, Northern blot and in situ hybridization assays were employed. As shown in Figure 6A, Sox6 is detectable by Northern blot beginning at 10.5 dpc, coincident with the temporal onset of definitive erythropoiesis in the fetus. Furthermore, in situ hybridization shows that Sox6 is highly transcribed in 12.5-dpc liver, but not in yolk sac blood islands at 7.5 dpc (Figure 6B). Therefore, Sox6 expression is temporally and spatially coincident with definitive, but not primitive, erythropoiesis. These data, taken together with the observation that Sox6-deficient mice ectopically express \( \epsilon \) globin in liver, where definitive erythroid cells mature, suggests that Sox6 is an important regulator in definitive erythropoiesis.

Mutant \( \beta^{100H} \) Mice Have Higher Numbers of Nucleated Red Cells

Among the other Sox6 effects in erythropoiesis, we have noticed that there are more nucleated red blood cells circulating in \( \beta^{100H} \) mutant mice than in WT mice at 14.5 dpc and 18.5 dpc (Figure 7A). However, at postnatal day 10.5, we do not see circulating nucleated red cells in either WT or mutant mice, suggesting that this may be a transient effect. In addition, the mutant liver shows a significant increase in hematopoietic precursor cells including nucleated erythrocytes at 18.5 dpc (Figure 7B). This alteration is noted as early as 14.5 dpc. These observations suggest that besides silencing the \( \epsilon \) globin gene, Sox6 may affect red cell maturation.

Discussion

In this report, we show that Sox6 is a novel factor in the complicated regulation mechanism of globin genes. In the Sox6 null mouse, there is a transient effect on the embryonic globin genes, \( \zeta \) and \( \beta \)H1, and a persistent upregulation of the \( \epsilon \) globin gene. Sox6 directly regulates and binds to the proximal promoter of \( \epsilon \) gene and represses the \( \epsilon \)-globin gene in definitive erythropoiesis.

Sox6 belongs to group D of the Sox family of proteins that includes Sox5, 12, 13, and 23 [34]. Group D Sox proteins contain a coiled-coiled domain that mediates homo-
heterodimerization [6,35]. Functionally, dimerization of Sox5 and Sox6 has been shown to greatly increase the binding efficiency of the two Sox proteins to DNA that contains adjacent Sox sites [6]. In addition, Sox6 binds more strongly to an HMG-box dimer motif than to a single HMG-box motif [5]. Therefore, it appears that target genes for group D Sox proteins, such as Sox6, probably harbor pairs of HMG binding sites with a configuration compatible with binding of D-Sox protein dimers. Indeed, in the present study, the defined Sox6 target sequence of the εγ promoter contains two Sox/Sox6 consensus sites (Figure 3A). Functionally, both sites are essential for Sox6 binding to the εγ promoter and repression of its activity (Figure 3E and 3F). These observations suggest that Sox6 binds to this sequence of the εγ promoter either as a homodimer or as a heterodimer with other Sox proteins. Because Sox proteins recognize a short 6-bp core-binding sequence that allows for considerable degeneracy, the specificity of their actions is thought to rely upon interactions with other transcription factors [36]. In our EMSAs, we had to run the electrophoresis on a 4%–6% gel for at least 4–8 h to detect the Sox6-associated band, suggesting that Sox6 is part of a high molecular weight complex. A few other εγ globin repressors have been reported to bind to DNA sequences near the Sox/Sox6 consensus sites, including the DRED complex [37] and COUP-TF [38]. Sox6 might interact with these factors and form a large repression complex. Identification of other components of the Sox6-containing complex associated with the εγ promoter will shed light on its mechanism of repression.

Sox proteins bind and bend linear DNA by partial intercalation in the minor groove, and can also bind to four-way junctions [2–4]. Therefore, one attractive model to explain how Sox6 proteins control gene expression is that they function as architectural factors bound to DNA, influencing local chromatin structure by bending DNA and by assembling multiprotein transcriptional complexes. By changing the local chromatin structure, Sox6 could either interfere with binding of other activators to the promoter or facilitate binding of other repressors. Another example of a repressor that interferes with an activator on the εγ

Figure 6. Expression Pattern of Sox6 by Northern Blot and In Situ Assays
(A) Sox6 expression during embryonic development shown by Northern blot. Each lane contains 20 μg of total RNA from embryos whose ages are listed above each lane as dpc. The filter was hybridized with a 32P-labeled 575-bp mouse Sox6 cDNA fragment (nucleotides 1353–1927). Numbers on the left are sizes of standard marker fragments in kb.
(B) Sox6 expression shown by in situ hybridization. Panel i: Sagittal section through an E12.5 mouse embryo using antisense Sox6. mRNA distribution is represented by pseudocolored red signal superimposed on the counterstained specimen. Sox6 transcripts are detected primarily in the fetal liver, developing nervous system, chondrocytes and craniofacial area. Panel ii: The sense control probe shows no signal above background. Panel iii: E7.5 embryo hybridized to antisense probe for Sox6. No signal is detected above background specifically in blood islands (or with the sense probe, unpublished data).
The size bars represent 100 μm.
DOI: 10.1371/journal.pgen.0020014.g006
promoter is DRED. DRED interferes with EKLF, an activator, in binding to the εy promoter [39]. Two HMG architectural proteins (distantly related to the Sox family of transcription factors), HMG-I and HMG-Y, were demonstrated to bind to the human adult β globin silencers (silencers I and II) and cause bending of the DNA, facilitating the binding of other repressors [40].

Sox6 expression is temporally and spatially coincident with definitive (but not primitive) erythropoiesis (Figure 6), and Sox6 represses εy globin expression both in vivo (Figure 1) and in vitro (Figure 2). Moreover, in situ hybridization clearly shows that the persistent expression of εy globin in p^{100}H mutant mice is due to defects in the silencing mechanism of definitive erythropoiesis that takes place in the liver (Figure 5). Taken together, these data demonstrate that Sox6 functions in definitive erythropoiesis to silence εy globin expression.

The expression level of εy globin in homozygous Sox6 null mice at 15.5 dpc and 18.5 dpc is statistically equivalent to the level of βmaj/min expression in the livers of 15.5-dpc and 18.5-dpc homozygous WT mice (Figure 1). This demonstrates that ectopic expression of the εy globin gene is quite robust in homozygous mutant mice. The expression levels of two other embryonic globin genes (ζ and βh1) are also higher in p^{100}H homozygotes, compared with WT. Like εy, levels of ζ and βh1 are dramatically higher in mutant mice at 15.5 dpc. However, unlike εy globin, ζ and βh1 decline in expression by day 18.5 dpc (Figure 1), suggesting that εy is regulated differently than ζ and βh1. It is possible that Sox6 has a general effect on embryonic globin genes (and erythrocyte maturation) in addition to a specific role in silencing εy.

Although most p^{100}H mutant mice die just after being born, a rare few survive longer. None have been observed to live longer than 2 wk after birth [14]. We examined a single archived sample of liver RNA from a mutant mouse on postnatal day 13.5 for globin gene expression and detected high levels of εy globin in this RNA sample, compared with undetectable εy RNA in WT control mice. At this point in development, the levels of ζ and βh1 RNA were undetectable both in mutant and WT; however, adult β-like globin RNA levels were moderately elevated in the mutant RNA compared with WT (unpublished data), similar to what we observe at 18.5 dpc (Figure 1). These findings suggest that Sox6 continues to function postnatally to silence εy globin expression and has a unique function in the regulation of εy-globin. The mechanism by which Sox6 regulates the other embryonic globin genes remains to be elucidated.

Sox6 has other effects in erythropoiesis, including a delay in enucleation/maturation in p^{100}H mutant mice. This may be the result of indirect effects, such as stress-induced proliferation (resulting from cardiac defects) and/or anemia. Severe anemia can lead to rapid premature release of red cells, prior
to their complete maturation. However, the hematocrit of 18.5-dpc mutant mice is only 20% lower than that of WT (unpublished data), and this mild anemia is probably not sufficient to explain the extent of nucleated red cells. Alternatively, Sox6 itself may play a role in red cell terminal differentiation, as it has been shown to be an important factor in cardiac [15], neural [10], astrocytic [11], and cartilage differentiation programs [32,41–44].

The restoration of normal enucleation of red cells in Sox6-deficient mouse by postnatal day 10.5 may result from functional compensation of other Sox proteins (expressed at later developmental stages), since functional redundancy is a recurring theme with Sox proteins [13,45,46]. Moreover, erythropoiesis has already shifted from fetal liver to bone marrow by postnatal day 10.5. The accompanying change in the microenvironment of red cell production may permit normal enucleation. Identification of Sox6 downstream genes and its interacting proteins will shed light on the role of Sox6 in red cell terminal differentiation and the enucleation process.

Recently, in vivo and in vitro analyses suggest that reactivation of human ε-globin would be therapeutically beneficial to adults with sickle cell disease [47], providing a rationale for detailed investigations into the molecular basis of ε-globin gene silencing. The present study identifies a novel repressor, Sox6, which binds to the ε proximal promoter, potentially as part of a larger repression complex. Because murine Sox6 and its human counterpart are 94% identical at the amino acid level [48], it is possible that human Sox6 may also be important in human ε globin silencing. There is significant sequence homology between the human and mouse ε promoter regions, and the human promoter contains at least two potential Sox6 binding sites. Indeed, the existence of a silencer of the human ε globin gene has been proposed [49,50]. Thus, elucidation of the Sox6 repression mechanism and identification of other components of the Sox6-containing complex may further our understanding of ε globin regulation and potentially reveal additional molecular targets for the treatment of sickle cell anemia and β thalassemias.

Materials and Methods

Plasmid construction. The ε promoter deletion reporter plasmid (E-luc) was generated by PCR amplification of the ε proximal promoter. A 2.2-kb fragment upstream of the ε globin initiation codon (ATG) was used, because it has been shown that all sequences required for ε gene silencing are located within a 3.7-kb EcoRI fragment containing about 2 kb of sequence upstream of the ε promoter. A 2.2-kb fragment upstream of the ε gene silencing are located within a 3.7-kb EcoRI fragment containing about 2 kb of sequence upstream of the ε promoter. A 2.2-kb fragment upstream of the ε promoter were done by PCR. PCR primers were designed to flank the NCBI database to confirm specificity. For ε globin: MHB1666, 5′TGGCCTGAGAATGGCCATGGCAG 3′; and MHB1667, 5′GAAAGGGAAGAGGTTTGAGAAC 3′. For δ globin: MHB1668, 5′CTACCCCAAGAAGAGAATCTA 3′; and MHB1669, 5′CTATAACGAGATCCCTGCG 3′. For β1 globin: MHB1672, 5′TGGACCAACCTCAAGAGACCA 3′; and MHB1673, 5′ACCTCTGGGTGAACTTCTT 3′. For β1/βmin globin: MHB1674: 5′ATGGCCTGATTACATCTGGAC 3′; and MHB1675, 5′ACCTCTGGGTGAACTTCTT 3′. Using the TSPR green supermix kit with ROX (Bio-Rad, Hercules, California, United States), PCR amplification was run on an ABI7000 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, California, United States) at the University of Arizona core facility. All PCR was performed in a 25-μl reaction with 12.5 μl SYBR green supermix. GAPDH mRNA levels were used as control for input RNA. PCR primer sets were performed to test the efficiency of the amplifications. Triplicates were done for each PCR reaction. Relative quantitative values were calculated in the ABI Prism 7000 SDS Software (Applied Biosystems) and normalized to GAPDH in Microsoft Excel (Redmond, Washington, United States).

In situ hybridization. Antisense probes were designed to murine ε globin nucleotides 509–584; βmaj globin nucleotides 458–549; and mouse Sox6 nucleotides 1353–1927. Embryos were fixed overnight by immersion in 4% paraformaldehyde, embedded in paraffin, sectioned at 5 μm, and adhered to charge modified slides (VWR, West Chester, Pennsylvania, United States). Slides were processed for in situ hybridization as described [52] using in vitro transcribed RNA probes labeled with 35P. Darkfield and brightfield images were obtained with a Nikon Optiphot microscope (Nikon, Melville, New York, United States) and SPOT RT-Slider digital camera (Diagnostic Instruments, Sterling Heights, Michigan, United States). Objectives used were 1X (NA = 0.04) and 10X (NA = 0.5). Images were processed, pseudocolored, and combined using Photoshop (Adobe, San Jose, California, United States) software with Fovea Pro (Reindeer Graphics, Asheville, North Carolina, United States) plugins. Original images are available.

Histology. 18.5-dpc embryos were exanguanized and peripheral blood smears were prepared from both mutant and WT mice. The slides were Wright-stained and read by DAF. For whole mount analysis, 14.5-dpc WT and mutant embryos, and postnatal day–10.5 mice were fixed in 10% formalin, paraffin-embedded, sectioned at 5 μm, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. Liver samples (at 14.5 dpc and 18.5 dpc) were prepared in a similar manner. Images were obtained with Nikon Labophot-2 microscope. Objectives used were E Plan 400.65 1600.17 Nikon (40X objective), E Plan 1001.25 oil 160/0.17 Nikon (100X objective). The camera was a Nikon Coolpix 4300. Original images are available.

Northern blot. A mouse embryonic tissue Northern blot filter (Seegene, Rockville, Maryland, United States) was hybridized with a Sox6 probe generated by RT-PCR (nucleotides 1353–1927) and labeled with [32P]-dCTP, by random primer labeling kit (Amersham Biosciences, Buckinghamshire, England, United Kingdom). The hybridization was performed in phosphate buffered 7% SDS hybridization solution. Blots were washed with 0.2% SSC, 1% SDS at 60 °C prior to exposure to X-ray film (Kodak, Rochester, New York, United States) at –80 °C.
Indianapolis, United States). Cells were transfected with 
erythromycin promoter reporter constructs (500 ng) along with either empty vector or Sox6 overexpression vector (1000 ng). In assays of dosage effect, we used 200 ng, 500 ng, and 1000 ng. pRL-CMV 15ng (Promega) was used as a control for transfection efficiency.

**Nuclear protein extract and in vitro translation of Sox6.** Nuclear extracts were prepared from MEL cells (2 x 10^7) using a kit (Active Motif, Carlsbad, California, United States). The Sox6 in vitro translation expression vector, tagged with c-Myc and HA, was described before [15]. The translation was performed in a reticulocyte lysate based in vitro translational system (TNT® Quick Coupled Transcription/Translation Systems, Promega). A vector without the Sox6 coding sequence was also translated as a negative control.

**Antibodies.** Sox6 antibodies used in this study were either kindly provided by Dr. Enzo Lalli (Universite´ Louis Pasteur, France) or commercially obtained (Catalog No. sc-17332 X, Santa Cruz, California, United States). All Sox6 antibodies generated similar results. c-Myc antibody was purchased from Invitrogen. Normal rabbit IgG antibody was obtained from Upstate Biotechnology (Lake Placid, New York, United States).

**EMSA.** Single-stranded complementary oligonucleotides were annealed and end-labeled with [γ-32P]ATP with T4 polynucleotide kinase. EMSA was performed with 5 μg of nuclear proteins from MEL cells or 3 μl of in vitro-translated Sox6 along with the reticulocyte lysate in binding buffer: 100 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 200 ng/μl BSA, 50 mM HEPES (pH 7.9), 1 mM magnesium acetate, 0.1 mM EDTA, 0.25 mM DTT, 0.6 mM MgCl2. For competition or supershift assays, the indicated unlabelled oligonucleotide competitor (200-fold molar excess) or antibody (3 μl) was added 30 min to 60 min prior to addition of radiolabeled probe. Following addition of the radiolabeled probe, the samples were incubated for 30 min or 60 min at room temperature and loaded on a 4% or 6% (w/v) polyacrylamide gel. Electrophoresis was performed at a constant 19 mAmp for 4–8 h at room temperature, and the gels were dried prior to autoradiography. Antibodies used for supershift analyses included c-Myc and Sox6 antibodies (described above). The DNA sequences of the oligonucleotides are as follows (only forward oligos were listed): For the 36-bp WT probe: 5’-AATGCAGAACAAAGGGTCAGAtgagTGTCTGCGAAG3’ (MHB1654); for mutant probe 1 (M1): 5’AACAAGGGTTGACACATGTCGGCAAG3’ (MHB1644); for mutant probe 2 (M2): 5’ATTCGAAACAGGGTGCTGATgTGCTGCGAAG3’ (MHB1618); for mutant probe 3 (M3): 5’AATGGCAGgcccAAGGTCGACATTCTGCGAAG3’ (MHB1650). **ChiP assay.** As described by Nouzova [53], in brief: Cells from MEL cells (4 x 10^7) or fetal liver cells from three 13.5-dpc WT mice were treated with 1% formaldehyde for 10 min at 37°C, rinsed in icecold 1 x Hanks’ balanced salt solution with 0.1% EDTA containing protease inhibitors, collected by centrifugation at 4°C, resuspended in a SDS lysis buffer containing protease inhibitors, and incubated on ice for 10 min. DNA-protein complexes were sonicated to 200 and 600 bp. One-tenth of the sample was set aside for input control, and the remaining sample was precleared with protein A-Sepharose (Amersham Biosciences, Piscataway, New Jersey, United States). Following preclearing, the samples were split into thirds: one sample treated with anti-Sox6, a second treated with normal rabbit IgG, and a third sample without Ab. The last two were used as negative controls. The chromatin-antibody complexes were eluted, and the DNA protein cross-links were reversed with 5 M NaCl at 65°C for 4 h. Input DNA or immunoprecipitated DNA was used as a template in the PCR reaction. PCR amplification of the εy promoter was performed and yielded a 172-bp amplicon, corresponding to nucleotides −31 to −140 of the εy promoter (primers: MHB1688, 5’ CAGAAGATATATACAGCCACCA 3’; and MHB1689, 5’GCTTCACCAAAACATCTC3’). PCR was performed under the following conditions: 95°C for 15 min followed by 30 cycles at 95°C for 30 s, 60°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 45 s, ending with a final extension at 72°C for 5 min.
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