RAPID COMMUNICATION

Detection of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome-Like, Middle East Respiratory Syndrome-Like Bat Coronaviruses and Group H Rotavirus in Faeces of Korean Bats

H. K. Kim¹,†, S.-W. Yoon¹,†, D.-J. Kim¹,†, B.-S. Koo¹, J. Y. Noh¹, J. H. Kim², Y. G. Choi³, W. Na¹,⁴, K.-T. Chang¹, D. Song⁴ and D. G. Jeong¹,⁵

¹ Research Center for Viral Infectious Diseases and Control, Korea Research Institute of Bioscience and Biotechnology, Daejeon, Korea
² Biological and Genetic Resources Assessment Division, National Institute of Biological Resources, Incheon, Korea
³ The Korean Institute of Biospeleology, Daejeon, Korea
⁴ Department of Pharmacy, College of Pharmacy, Korea University, Sejong, Korea
⁵ Bio-Analytical Science Division, Korea University of Science and Technology (UST), Daejeon, Korea

Keywords:
bat; coronavirus; Middle East respiratory syndrome; severe acute respiratory syndrome; group H rotavirus; Korea

Correspondence:
D. Song. Department of Pharmacy, College of Pharmacy, Korea University, 2511, Sejong-ro, Sejong 339-700, Korea. Tel.: +82 44 860 1625; Fax: +82 44 860 1633; E-mail: sds1@korea.ac.kr and
D. G. Jeong. Research Center for Viral Infectious Diseases and Control, Korea Research Institute of Bioscience and Biotechnology, 125 Gwahak-ro, Daejeon 305-806, Korea. Tel.: +82 42 879 8411; Fax: +82 42 879 8498; E-mail: dgjeong@kribb.re.kr

†Authors contributed equally to this work.

Received for publication February 2, 2016
doi:10.1111/tbed.12515

Summary

Bat species around the world have recently been recognized as major reservoirs of several zoonotic viruses, such as severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV), Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV), Nipah virus and Hendra virus. In this study, consensus primer-based reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reactions (RT-PCRs) and high-throughput sequencing were performed to investigate viruses in bat faecal samples collected at 11 natural bat habitat sites from July to December 2015 in Korea. Diverse coronaviruses were first detected in Korean bat faeces, including alphacoronaviruses, SARS-CoV-like and MERS-CoV-like betacoronaviruses. In addition, we identified a novel bat rotavirus belonging to group H rotavirus which has only been described in human and pigs until now. Therefore, our results suggest the need for continuing surveillance and additional virological studies in domestic bat.

Introduction

Bats are considered reservoirs of several emerging infectious disease. Recently emerging human viruses, such as severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV), Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV), Nipah virus, Hendra virus and Ebola virus, are thought be bat-borne viruses (Han et al., 2015). In addition, new species of influenza A viruses, lyssaviruses, paramyxoviruses and coronaviruses continue to be discovered in bats around the world (Tong et al., 2013; Banyard et al., 2014; Yang et al., 2014; Mortlock et al., 2015). Reassortant group A rotavirus was also detected in the faeces of a straw-coloured fruit bat (Esona et al., 2010). Therefore, viruses that originate in bats may be a source of additional spill over from wildlife into domestic animals and humans (Plowright et al., 2015).

Since the outbreak of MERS-CoV in Korea in 2015, the prediction and control of newly emerging viruses has gained urgency. However, in Korea, there have been a few studies on viruses in domestic bat species. Serological evidence of Japanese encephalitis virus (JEV) was reported in
the early 1990s (Lee and Lee, 1992). In 1995, there was a report on the isolation and genetic characterization on a hantavirus from bats (Jung and Kim, 1995). More information of viruses in bats is needed to clarify the role of these animals in infectious diseases epidemiology.

Therefore, in this study, we investigated viruses in bat species in Korea, using 49 faecal samples collected from July to December 2015 in 11 sites in natural bat habitats.

**Materials and Methods**

**Samples**

From July to December in 2015, 49 faecal samples were collected at 11 sites in natural bat habitats, such as caves, an abandoned mine and under a bridge (Table 1). Fresh bat faeces were placed into transport medium in a 10% suspension and were transported to the laboratory for further laboratory analysis.

The major bat species at the collection sites were determined based on morphology and on previous data from bats’ roosting sites (Han et al., 2012). In this study, the major bat species in the collecting sites were *Rhinolophus ferrumequinum*, *Myotis macrodactylus*, *Myotis aurascens Kuzyakin*, *Myotis petax* and *Miniopterus schreibersii*.

**Viral detection**

Consensus primer-based reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reactions (RT-PCRs) were performed to detect influenza A viruses, coronaviruses, lyssaviruses and paramyxoviruses (Fouchier et al., 2000; Poon et al., 2005; Vázquez-Morón et al., 2006; Tong et al., 2008). The detailed information on the RT-PCRs is in Table S1. A total of 49 bat faecal samples were tested. The amplicons from positive RT-PCRs were sequenced using target-specific forward and reverse primers synthesized by Cosmogenetech Co. Ltd (Daejeon, Korea). The nucleotide data obtained from Sanger sequencing of the PCR fragments were further analysed with related sequences in GenBank using BioEdit (Hall, 1999) and MEGA version 6 (Tamura et al., 2013).

**High-throughput sequencing**

In total, 16 bat faecal samples that were collected in four sites were used for high-throughput sequencing (Table 1). The supernatants from suspensions of one, four, five and six faecal samples collected in Danyang, Wonju, Taebaek and Uljin, respectively, were pooled by sampling site. Each of the four pooled samples was filtered through a 0.2-μm

### Table 1. Information on bat faecal samples

| Cases | Collected date | Region | Site name          | Major bat species                  | No. of samples |
|-------|----------------|--------|--------------------|------------------------------------|----------------|
| 1     | 24 July 2015   | Danyang| Under HSA Bridge   | Unknown                            | 6²              |
| 2     | 4 August 2015  | Wonju  | HO cave            | *Rhinolophus ferrumequinum*, *Myotis macrodactylus* | 4²              |
| 3     | 14 August 2015 | Taebaek| YY cave³           | *Myotis aurascens Kuzyakin*, *Myotis petax*, *Rhinolophus ferrumequinum* | 5²              |
| 4     | 16 August 2015 | Uljin  | SL cave²           | Unknown                            | 1²              |
| 5     | 9 October 2015 | Jeongsun| PG cave           | *Rhinolophus ferrumequinum*        | 4               |
| 6     | 14 October 2015| Inje   | BM abandoned mine | *Rhinolophus ferrumequinum*        | 6               |
| 7     | 18 October 2015| Taebaek| YY cave³           | *Myotis aurascens Kuzyakin*, *Myotis petax*, *Rhinolophus ferrumequinum* | 3               |
| 8     | 25 October 2015| Bonghwa| DRN cave           | *Rhinolophus ferrumequinum*, *Myotis macrodactylus* | 3               |
| 9     | 18 November 2015| Taebaek| YY cave³          | *Myotis aurascens Kuzyakin*, *Myotis petax*, *Rhinolophus ferrumequinum* | 1               |
| 10    | 19 November 2015| Uljin | SL cave²          | Unknown                            | 1               |
| 11    | 1 December 2015| Hapcheon| BT cave       | Unknown                            | 3               |
| 12    | 1 December 2015| Munkyung| BG5 cave         | *Miniopterus schreibersii*         | 4               |
| 13    | 1 December 2015| Yeongwol| YJ cave         | *Rhinolophus ferrumequinum*        | 4               |
| 14    | 1 December 2015| Miwon  | CS cave           | *Rhinolophus ferrumequinum*        | 4               |

²collected at the same site (YY cave) on a different date.
³collected at the same site (SL cave) on a different date.
⁴pooled and sequenced by high-throughput sequencing.
filter and ultracentrifuged at 252,000 g for 1 h. Each pellet was resuspended in 500 μl of 1× digestion buffer (Turbo DNA Free Kit; Ambion, Darmstadt, Germany), and a total of 10 μl of Turbo DNase was added. After incubating for 30 min at 37°C, suspensions were transferred to a 1.5-ml reaction tube and centrifuged at 1500 g for 3 min. The supernatants were used for RNA extraction. RNA was extracted using Trizol LS (Invitrogen Corp., Carlsbad, CA, USA), following the manufacturer’s manual. The extracted RNA was submitted to Macrogen (Seoul, Korea) for high-throughput sequencing in a HiSeq 2000 sequencing system based on the transcriptome de novo sequencing platform.

The obtained viral contigs were analysed and annotated by the MG-RAST server (Meyer et al., 2008). The cut-off for the annotation was 10^-5, 60% and 15 for maximum e-value, minimum percentage identity and minimum alignment length, respectively. The contigs annotated as mammalian viruses were validated through BLASTn (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov). The GenBank accession numbers for the nucleotide sequences in this study are KU528584–KU528594.

Results

PCR-based detection of influenza A viruses, coronaviruses, lyssaviruses and paramyxoviruses in bat faeces

There were no samples positive for influenza A viruses, lyssaviruses or paramyxoviruses. Among 14 cases of the submitted samples, three cases were positive for coronavirus by RT-PCR, showing the expected 440 nt target. These included the following: (Case no. 1) one of four samples from HO cave in Wonju where Rhinolophus ferrumequinum and Myotis macrodactylyus were the major species present, (Case no. 6) one of six samples BM abandoned mine in Inje where Rhinolophus ferrumequinum was the major species found and (Case no. 12) two of four samples BGS (Case no. 12) cave in Munkyung where Miniopterus schreibersii was found to be the major species (Table 1).

In the HO cave, one of four faecal samples (B15-8) was positive by coronavirus-specific RT-PCR. When the PCR-positive fragment was sequenced, it was found to be a bat coronavirus (Bat CoV) belonging to the genus alphacoronavirus and showing 89% nucleotide sequence identity with Bat CoV A620 (GenBank accession no. DQ648828) detected in China in 2005.

In the BM abandoned mine, one of four faecal samples (B15-21) was found to be a Bat CoV belonging to the genus betacoronavirus and showing 98% nucleotide identity with SARS-like Bat CoV Lingbao-331 (GenBank accession no. KF294456) detected in China in 2012. In the BGS cave, two of four faecal samples (B15-40 and B15-41) were found to be Bat CoVs belonging to the genus alphacoronavirus and showing 99% nucleotide identity with Bat CoV A895 (GenBank accession no. DQ648841) and 98% nucleotide identity with Bat CoV A910 (GenBank accession no. DQ648849), both of which were detected in China in 2005.

High-throughput sequencing from the pooled bat faecal samples

As shown in Table 1, cases 1, 2, 3 and 4 were further analysed by high-throughput sequencing. By HiSeq 2000 sequencing, 4341, 162 559, 7690 and 16 657 contigs were obtained from cases 1, 2, 3 and 4, respectively. Based on the annotation by the MG-RAST system, several viral sequences that are related to mammalian viruses, insect viruses, plant viruses, fungal viruses and bacteriophages were identified.

The mammalian viral sequences annotated by the MG-RAST system were further validated by BLASTn (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov). The BLASTn result is presented in Table 2. Fifteen viral sequences from case no. 1 were similar to porcine rotavirus SKA-1 (69–73% nucleotide identity), Bat CoV SC2013 (70–91% nucleotide identity), Bat CoV HKU5-5 (82% nucleotide identity), Alphacoronavirus Eptesicus fuscus-related strains (95–96% nucleotide identity) and Rhinolophus pearsoni bunyavirus Shaanxi 2011 (72% nucleotide identity). Three viral sequences from case no. 2 were similar to Banna virus 02VN078b (90% nucleotide identity), Bat CoV CDPHE15 (80% nucleotide identity) and Bat CoV Neixiang-31 (82% nucleotide identity). There was no mammalian viral sequence in cases 3 and 4.

Genetic characteristics of bat coronaviruses in Korea

Partial RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RDRP) sequences obtained from B15-8 (sample in case no. 2), B15-21 (sample in case no. 6), B15-40 and B15-41 (sample in case no. 12) were phylogenetically compared with other reference sequences (Fig. 1a). Three of these sequences clustered with the alphacoronaviruses (B15-8, 40 and 41), and the other one clustered with the betacoronaviruses (B15-21). Bat CoV B15-8 grouped with bat CoVs found in China, showing 85.7–88.8% nucleotide identities, and was found to be closely related with Bat CoV-512 and porcine epidemic diarrhoea virus (PEDV)-CV777, showing 78.6% and 74.6% nucleotide identities, respectively. Bat CoV B15-21 grouped with SARS-CoV-like Bat CoVs, such as Bat CoV Rf1 and Bat CoV HKU3 with 90.3–97.7% nucleotide identities, and was found to be closely related to human SARS-CoVs (SARS-CoV Tor2, MA15, ExoN1 strains),
showing 89.1% nucleotide identity. Bat CoV B15-40 and B15-41 grouped with Bat CoV 1A and 1B, and nucleotide identities within the group were 92.3–97.1%.

Because an RDRP sequence from betacoronavirus-related Bat CoV B15-1-6 (detected from the pooled sample in case no. 1) was not obtained, a partial spike gene sequence (c10151) was compared with the spike genes of reference betacoronaviruses (Fig. 1b). In addition, the full spike gene sequence obtained from Bat CoV B15-21 was also analysed. Bat CoV B15-1-6 grouped with MERS-CoV-like Bat CoVs (Bat CoV strains HKU5, A434, SC2013 and HKU4), showing 80.9–82.2% nucleotide identities, and was found to be closely related to MERS-CoV EMC, showing 76.6% of nucleotide identity.

The amino acids sequence encoded by the full spike gene of B15-21 was aligned and compared with human SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-like Bat CoV sequences (Figure S1). The S2 domain is more conserved than the S1 domain in the spike protein. The receptor binding domain (RBD) of the SARS-CoV-like Bat CoVs, except for RaSHC014, had two major deletion sites, TGNYN (446–450) and PFSPDGKPCPPPA (472–484), compared to human SARS coronaviruses sequences. The receptor binding motif (RBM) and human ACE2 (hACE2)-interacting residue were highly variable between human SARS-CoVs and SARS-CoV-like Bat CoVs but RaSHC014 strain.

**Phylogenetic characterization of Group H bat rotavirus in Korea**

Porcine rotavirus-related sequences from pooled bat faeces in case no. 1 were analysed with reference sequences of rotaviruses in human and animals (Fig. 2). Based on the partial sequences of the VP1, VP3 and VP4 regions, the rotavirus-related sequences were found to belong to rotavirus group H. The group H bat rotavirus in this study

---

**Table 2. Mammalian viral sequences matched with contigs from high-throughput sequencing**

| Contigs a | Size (bases) | E-value | % Nucleotide identity | Accession No. | Strain name |
|-----------|--------------|---------|-----------------------|---------------|-------------|
| C6091     | 404          | 2e-37   | 73                    | AB576634      | Porcine rotavirus SKA-1 |
| C6719     | 438          | 1e-21   | 69                    | AB576631      | Porcine rotavirus SKA-1 |
| C7554     | 211          | 9e-19   | 73                    | AB576625      | Porcine rotavirus SKA-1 |
| C9980     | 215          | 5e-22   | 73                    | AB576629      | Porcine rotavirus SKA-1 |
| C10008    | 285          | 2e-35   | 73                    | AB576629      | Porcine rotavirus SKA-1 |
| C10298    | 355          | 2e-48   | 73                    | AB576631      | Porcine rotavirus SKA-1 |
| C3339     | 230          | 1e-55   | 83                    | KJ473821      | BtVs-BetaCoV/SC2013    |
| C6171     | 203          | 1e-16   | 70                    | KJ473821      | BtVs-BetaCoV/SC2013    |
| C10151    | 219          | 1e-36   | 82                    | EF065512      | Bat coronavirus HKUS-5 |
| C10579    | 207          | 2e-67   | 91                    | KJ473821      | BtVs-BetaCoV/SC2013    |
| C6073     | 243          | 6e-98   | 95                    | HQ585081      | Alphacoronavirus Eptesicus fuscus/Appalachian Ridge/ P1-C1148/T/USA/2009 |
| C8820     | 417          | 0.0     | 96                    | JX537911      | Alphacoronavirus Eptesicus fuscus strain NeCoV isolate Ffrag_saga_bigbrown_2010 |
| C8271     | 270          | 2e-61   | 95                    | HQ585082      | Alphacoronavirus Eptesicus fuscus/Appalachian Ridge/ P1-C8377/T/USA/2009 |
| C7729     | 209          | 2e-21   | 76                    | KF294382      | Anlong Ms bat coronavirus isolate Neixiang-32 |
| C5770     | 313          | 2e-34   | 72                    | KC154063      | Rhinolophus pearsoni bunyavirus isolate Bat Rp-Bunya/ Shaanxi2011 |
| C700585   | 207          | 8e-70   | 90                    | EU265697      | Banna virus isolate 02VN078b |
| C817114   | 201          | 6e-40   | 80                    | KF430219      | Bat coronavirus CDPHE15/USA/ 2006 |
| C695165   | 226          | 6e-53   | 82                    | KF294381      | Neixiang Md bat coronavirus isolate Neixiang-31 |

aContigs obtained from case no. 1 and case no 2. No mammalian viral sequences were detected in case no. 3 and case no 4.
showed 69.4–72.7%, 71.1–72.9% and 67.3–72.2% nucleotide identities with the other group H rotaviruses, based on VP1, VP3 and VP4 partial sequences, respectively.

**Discussion**

According to a recent report, there are 23 species of bats in Korea (Han et al., 2012). Most Korean bats are thought to be insectivores, as no fruit bats have been found in Korea to date, except for some fruit bats as pets or indoor exhibitions (Han et al., 2010). Through the target-specific RT-PCR, partial RDRP sequences of three alphacoronaviruses (B15-8, 40 and 41) and one betacoronavirus (B15-21) were detected in the faeces of Korean bats. Bat CoV B15-8 was detected in faeces collected at the HO cave where *Rhinolophus ferrumequinum* and *Myotis macrodactylus* were the major species. This virus was found to be closely related with Bat CoVs recently found in China and PEDV, a porcine diarrheic virus around world. On the other hand, Bat CoVs B15-40 and 41 were detected at the BGS cave, where *Miniopterus schreibersii* was the major species. These sequences were closely related with Bat CoV 1A and 1B, which were reported to have a clear host species restriction to *Miniopterus magnate* and *Miniopterus pusillus* in Hong Kong (Chu et al., 2008). As Bat CoVs B15-40 and 41 were also found in faeces in a cave where *Miniopterus schreibersii* was dominant, Bat CoV strains in the same lineage with Bat CoV 1A and 1B may be adapted to the *Miniopterus* spp.

It was interesting that Bat CoV B15-21 found in this study was closely related to SARS-CoV-like Bat CoVs, such as Bat CoV Rfi1, based on the partial RDRP and S genes, respectively. The newly identified Bat CoV B15-21 clustered with the SARS-CoV-like Bat CoV group in the phylogenetic analysis. The major bat species in the site where Bat CoV B15-21 was collected was *Rhinolophus ferrumequinum*, which is consistent with a previous report that SARS-CoV-like bat CoVs were mostly found in *Rhinolophus* spp. (Yuan et al., 2010). The amino acids of its full spike gene showed that the B15-21 strain is closely related to SARS-CoV-like Bat CoVs Rp3, Rfi1, HKU3-2 and 273 rather than human SARS-CoVs and Bat CoV RaSHC014, which was reported to have high potential for human emergence (Menachery et al., 2015) and showed two specific deletion sites in the RBD of the spike protein. One additional betacoronavirus (B15-1-6), which was not detected by the consensus primer-based RDRP-specific RT-PCR (Poon et al., 2005), was detected by high-throughput sequencing as shown in Table 2. Three contigs were similar to Bat CoV SC2013, which is a MERS-related betacoronavirus that was found in bats in China (Yang et al., 2014). One contig was also similar to Bat CoV HKU5-5, which clustered with MERS-CoV (Woo et al., 2007; Annan et al., 2013). Phylogenetic
analysis based on partial spike gene sequences of Bat CoV B15-1-6 showed that it belonged to a MERS-CoV-like clade with previously known MERS-CoV and MERS-CoV-like Bat CoVs.

Although information of the associated bat species was not clearly available, this study first report that SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV-like bat CoVs exist in Korea. This may imply that other strains or types of those coronaviruses may circulate in bat species in Korea. Therefore, we cannot ignore appearance of novel or variant coronavirus through local emergence in Korea, like SARS and MERS in China and Middle East, respectively.

In addition to coronaviruses, this study is the first to determine the existence of group H rotaviruses in bat faeces collected in Korea. Group H rotavirus is a recently proposed group of rotaviruses that include strains ADRV-N and B219, which infect human adults (Alam et al., 2007; Matthijnssens et al., 2012). So far, group H rotaviruses have only been reported in human and pigs (Molinari et al., 2015), but this study provides evidence that bat species may be a host of group H RVs. To confirm that, there should be follow-up studies including virus isolation and characterization, genomic analysis, continuous surveillance and VP6-based classification (Matthijnssens et al., 2012) to find its prevalence, epidemiology and zoonotic potential.

Localized emergences of zoonotic diseases are usually due to expansion of the wildlife–human interface (Morse et al., 2012). The human–bat interface in Korea has not been fully described. People usually recognize that bats are flying and roosting in the deep forest or caves. However, the sites where the bat faecal samples were collected showed some evidence of human–bat contact (Figure S2). Some caves or abandoned mine where bats are roosting are located near human habitats. People living there frequently visit into the caves or the abandoned mine to take a rest or to ferment some foods. Some caves were also used for some religious ceremony by Korean traditional shamans.

In this study, SARS-CoV-like and MERS-CoV-like bat CoVs and group H rotavirus were detected for the first time in Korea, which may be of interest because of their zoonosis potential. Several kinds of evidence for human–bat contact were also found. Therefore, the potential for novel or variant human viruses from bats in Korea should not be ignored. Continuous surveillance and additional virological research should be a priority to prevent future emergences of zoonotic viral diseases.
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