An epidemiological study of protein energy malnutrition among children below six years’ age in an urban slum of Gurgaon, Haryana, India
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ABSTRACT

Background: Poorly nourished child grows less rapidly during first 6 years of age. In India, around 43% of fewer than five children were underweight. Child protein energy malnutrition reflects a number of intermediately processes such as household access to food, access to health service and caring practices. The present study was undertaken to assess prevalence of PEM as well as the nutritional status of children below six years’ age group and to explore most probable risk factors influencing PEM.

Methods: The study was a community based cross-sectional study carried out in 500 randomly selected households in a slum area of Gurgaon among the children below 6 years of age using pretested Performa which contained details regarding socio-demographic, nutritional conditions and utilization of health care services. Nutritional status was assessed by Physical examination, anthropometric measurement, haemoglobin and parasitological (stool) tests. Nutritional grading was done according to by physical and anthropometric examination of child using Indian Academy of Pediatrics (IAP) classification and supplemented by WHO growth chart. Data was entered in the MS Excel sheet and analyzed using Epi info Ver 7.

Results: During survey acute illness detected among 0.25% children and chronic illness prevalence was 25%. Prevalence of PEM was found to be 43.86% (37.73% among males, 50.0 % among females). Clinical signs of nutritional deficiency were detected among 31.48 % of children. Common types of nutrition deficiency were anemia, PEM, and vitamin A and B complex deficiencies. Main reasons of PEM were attributed to female sex, poor literacy of parents, low socioeconomic status, higher No. siblings and large family, recurrent diarrhea and other infections, prolonged breast feeding with delayed introduction of supplements particularly semisolid and poor quality of supplements. Intestinal parasite detected among 38.43% of children, commonest parasite being giardia, ascariasis and thread worms; existing health services utilized in 30.72% of total illness. This was identified not due to lack of knowledge but other domestic problems.

Conclusions: Prevalence of PEM was attributed to poor living conditions, poor literacy status of parents, higher No. of siblings, poor utilization of health services, poor nutritional services of children and faulty breast feeding and weaning practices in the family. Public health specialists should plan interventions focusing on these issues.
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INTRODUCTION

The most crucial period in a child’s growth is first 6 years of life since 40% of physical growth and 80% of mental growth are believed to take during these years. In India, around 43% of under five children were underweight according to the report of third National Family Health Survey (NFHS-3) conducted during 2005-06. Nutritional status indicators like wasting, stunting, low birth weights, breast feed availability and vitamin A...
Child protein energy malnutrition (PEM) reflects a number of intermediately processes such as household access to food, access to health service and caring practices.

Nutritional status and magnitude of morbidity of children particularly due to nutritional factors of slum dwellers in Haryana have not been worked out except for patchy studies carried out.

Therefore, the present study was carried out to assess the prevalence of PEM and nutritional status of children below six years’ age group in an urban slum and also to explore probable risk factors influencing PEM.

**METHODS**

The present study was carried out in Basai slum area which represents a typical urban slum of Gurgaon city. The study was a community based cross-sectional study based on random sampling technique used for selection of households.

Study participants were all the children below 6 years of age residing in study area. An optimum sample size for study was obtained by 4PQ/L\(^2\) assuming the PEM prevalence (P) of 40% in children (0-6 years) with 10% relative precision and 95% confidence interval. Expecting 10% family nonresponsive, 660 children were targeted for interview.

The Study was confined to 500 randomly chosen families out of 12000 populations by house to house visits on predesigned structured, pretested performa which contained details regarding socio-demographic, environmental factors, nutritional morbid conditions and information regarding utilization of available health care services that provide preventive, promotive, curative services to inhabitants.

Nutritional status was assessed by physical examination, anthropometric measurement, haemoglobin and parasitological (stool) tests done (for 06 months-72 months of age group).

Nutritional grading was done according to physical and anthropometric examination of child using Indian Academy of Pediatrics (IAP) classification supplemented by WHO growth chart.

The weight (in kg with precision up to 100 gm.) of the children was measured using standardized electronic weighing scale with minimal clothing and without footwear.

Height of the respondents recorded in centimetre’s with 10 cm precision using infantometer (below 2 years age)/ stadiometer. Socio-economic status was determined by using modified Prasad’s scale (Prasad’s SES classification, 2013).

Dietary Survey was attempted using 24 hours recall method of family followed for assessing the dietary status of children by food frequency method. Data was entered in the MS Excel sheet and analyzed using Epi info Ver 7.

Statistical value (p-value) was calculated using chi-square tests. Children identified with unhealthy conditions/diseases were examined and treated. Participants who required further management and follow up were referred to medical college.

**RESULTS**

**Prevalence of PEM**

During survey, acute illness detected among 0.25% children and chronic illness prevalence was 25%. Common types of nutrition deficiency (Table 1) were anemia, PEM, and vitamin A and B complex deficiencies.

**Age and sex and PEM**

As depicted in Table 2-4, prevalence of PEM was found to be 43.86% (37.73% among males, 50.0 % among females). PEM showed a significance difference in various age groups of children, the peak being in 13-24 months’ age followed by 36-47 months.

**Other factors and PEM**

Main reasons of poor nutritional status were attributed to female sex, large family size and more siblings, low socioeconomic status of parents and poor literacy of parents (Table 5 and 6).

Other risk factors associated with malnutrition found in the study were history of death more than 2 siblings, recurrent attack of diarrhoeal and other infections, prolonged breast feeding with delayed introduction of supplements particularly semisolid and poor quality of supplements.

As shown in Table 5, Intestinal parasite detected among 38.43% of children, commonest parasite being giardia, ascariasis and thread worms. Existing govt. health services were utilized in 30.72% of total illness (Table7).

This was identified not due to lack of knowledge but other domestic problems. Utilization of services was poor not only among curative services but also regarding preventive services.
Table 1: Various clinical sign of malnutrition among children examined.

| Clinical sign of malnutrition | No of children male | No of children female | Total (n=700) |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------|
| Hair changes                  | 123                 | 153                   | 256 (36.87)   |
| Skin changes                  | 61                  | 84                    | 145 (20.75)   |
| Xerosis conjunctiva           | 17                  | 24                    | 41 (20.75)    |
| Bitot’s spots                 | 03                  | 03                    | 06 (00.88)    |
| Chellosis                     | 20                  | 22                    | 42 (06.00)    |
| Angular stomatitis            | 12                  | 24                    | 36 (05.12)    |
| Muscle wasting                | 17                  | 28                    | 45 (6.50)     |
| Pallor                        | 123                 | 135                   | 258 (36.88)   |
| Marasmus                      | 02                  | 05                    | 07 (1.00)     |

Table 2: Distribution of children nutritional status based on weight for age.

| Age group in months | Adequate weight | Grade I malnutrition | Grade II malnutrition | Grade III malnutrition | Grade IV malnutrition | Total malnutrition |
|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| 06-12               | 51              | 22                    | 03                    | 02                     | 30                    |
| 13-24               | 63              | 51                    | 25                    | 11                     | 03                    | 90                 |
| 25-36               | 99              | 42                    | 18                    | 02                     | 02                    | 64                 |
| 37-48               | 108             | 48                    | 16                    | 01                     | 0                     | 65                 |
| 49-60               | 58              | 33                    | 05                    | 01                     | 0                     | 39                 |
| 61-72               | 14              | 13                    | 05                    | 01                     | 0                     | 19                 |
| Total               | 393             | 209                   | 72                    | 19                     | 7                     | 307                |

Χ² = 25; DF=5; P=0.00012.

Table 3: Distribution of male children nutritional status based on weight for age.

| Age group in months | Adequate weight | Grade I malnutrition | Grade II malnutrition | Grade III malnutrition | Grade IV malnutrition | Total malnutrition |
|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| 06-12               | 29              | 05                    | 0                     | 0                      | 0                     | 05                 |
| 13-24               | 33              | 26                    | 08                    | 01                     | 01                    | 36                 |
| 25-36               | 63              | 24                    | 05                    | 01                     | 01                    | 31                 |
| 37-48               | 59              | 21                    | 06                    | 01                     | 0                     | 28                 |
| 49-60               | 30              | 17                    | 03                    | 01                     | 0                     | 21                 |
| 61-72               | 04              | 08                    | 02                    | 01                     | 0                     | 11                 |
| Total               | 218             | 101                   | 24                    | 05                     | 02                    | 132                |

Χ² = 24; DF=5; P=0.00019

Table 4: Distribution of female children nutritional status based on weight for age.

| Age group in months | Adequate weight | Grade I malnutrition | Grade II malnutrition | Grade III malnutrition | Grade IV malnutrition | Total |
|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-------|
| 06-12               | 22              | 17                    | 04                    | 03                     | 01                    | 25    |
| 13-24               | 31              | 26                    | 17                    | 09                     | 02                    | 54    |
| 25-36               | 36              | 17                    | 11                    | 01                     | 02                    | 31    |
| 37-48               | 49              | 27                    | 10                    | 0                      | 0                     | 37    |
| 49-60               | 27              | 16                    | 03                    | 0                      | 0                     | 19    |
| 61-72               | 10              | 05                    | 03                    | 01                     | 0                     | 09    |
| Total               | 175             | 108                   | 48                    | 14                     | 05                    | 175   |

Χ² = 9.90; DF=5; P=0.07793
Table 5: Other factors and PEM.

| Factor                  | Normal | Malnutrition | Stat calculations |
|-------------------------|--------|--------------|-------------------|
| Family size             |        |              |                   |
| 1                       | 32     | 16           |                   |
| 2                       | 118    | 67           |                   |
| 3                       | 80     | 62           | $\chi^2 = 8.6276$ |
| 4                       | 66     | 65           | DF = 4            |
| 5+                      | 97     | 97           | P = 0.0711        |
| Total                   | 393    | 307          |                   |
| Socio economic status   |        |              |                   |
| Class II                | 63     | 21           | $\chi^2 = 15.9825$|
| Class III               | 45     | 28           | DF = 3            |
| Class IV                | 92     | 83           | P = 0.0001        |
| Class V                 | 193    | 175          |                   |
| Total                   | 393    | 307          |                   |
| Literacy status         |        |              |                   |
| Literate father         | 280    | 187          |                   |
| Iliterate father        | 113    | 120          | For father $\chi^2 = 8.2963$ |
| Literate mother         | 206    | 89           | DF = 1            |
| Iliterate mother        | 187    | 218          | P = 0.0039        |
| Worm infestation        |        |              |                   |
| Ascariasis              | 06     | 14           |                   |
| Hookworm                | 00     | 03           | $\chi^2 = 11.719$|
| Threadworm              | 04     | 15           | DF = 1            |
| E. histolytica          | 02     | 06           | P = 0.0006        |
| Giardiasis              | 11     | 27           |                   |
| Negative                | 92     | 72           |                   |
| Total                   | 115    | 137          |                   |
| Age solid started (months) |    |              |                   |
| -6                      | 72     | 33           | $\chi^2 = 50.156$|
| 6-9                     | 114    | 54           | DF = 4            |
| 9-11                    | 150    | 102          | P = 0.00001       |
| 12-17                   | 42     | 70           |                   |
| 18-24                   | 15     | 48           |                   |

Table 6: Distribution of children by duration of breast feeding.

| Source of getting treatment | No. | (%) |
|-----------------------------|-----|-----|
| Allopathic/ Govt hosp/ dispensary | 215 | (30.72) |
| Local/ indigenous practitioner | 370 | (52.85) |
| Homeopathic                  | 12  | (01.71)   |
| Ayurveda/ Unani              | 20  | (02.86)   |
| Folk medicine                | 63  | (09.0)    |
| None treatment               | 20  | (02.86)   |
| Total                        | 700 | (100.0)   |
DISCUSSION

In the present study, the prevalence of malnutrition and its relation to various epidemiological factors among 700 children was assessed on the basis of weight for age, using IAP classification. As per study, 43.86% of children were malnourished which is in accordance to the previous study of Singh et al done in rural Meerut district of India among 406 children (1-6 years) and revealed that 57.4% children were malnourished whereas another study reported that prevalence of underweight among children under six years of age was 53.3% in Uttar Pradesh, a largest populated state of India.6,7

Nearly three fourth of children of 1-3 years age group were malnourished which is similar to finding of a study done by Chakraborty et al in rural Jhansi who observed that malnutrition was significantly (p<0.05) more prevalent in the younger age (1-3 years) group children (80.9%).8 Regarding the sex, nearly two third of female children (61.8%) in comparison to male children (48.6%) were more malnourished. In a study, done by Stalin P et al among 563 under five children in rural Tamil Nadu, India found a significant association between malnutrition and sex of children with prevalence of underweight, 62.6% and 44% among female and male children, respectively.9

As per study, only 45.2% children were of normal weight whereas 33%, 18.3% and 3.5% children of grade I, II and III were malnourished which is in accordance to the previous study8 that reported, 46.69% children were of normal weight but 32.09%, 20.24% and 0.98% of grade I, II, and III/IV under six children were of underweight in Uttar Pradesh, India, respectively.

Children belonging to nuclear family were more affected with PEM than the joint family which is similar to findings of Singh et al that reported 63.8% and 52.9% children of nuclear and joint family were malnourished, respectively.9 It may be due to the reason that children in the joint family are nutritionally better cared as there is tendency to share the food with the children by all the family members.

The prevalence of PEM was found to be higher in those children who have ≥3 siblings compare to children with 1-2 siblings which is in accordance to findings of Sengupta et al and Bhavsar et al that reported majority of children, 51.7% and 67.2% were malnourished who have ≥3 siblings, respectively.10,11

Literate mothers adopt many improved behaviors related to maternal and child health care, feeding and eating practices which ultimately affect the nutritional status of children. As per study, more than half (59%) children of illiterate mother as compared to children of literate mother were malnourished. Similar result that the prevalence of PEM among children decreased with increasing mother’s literacy was reported by NFHS-III (2005-06) and Singh et al.2,6

On the other hand the prevalence of PEM in children was also found to be significantly higher whose father’s educational level was illiterate or primary school. whereas in another study by Sengupta et al observed that most (80.2%) of children belonging to lower class (IV + V) were significantly malnourished.10 A study done by Bhavsar et al in Mumbai urban slum also revealed that nearly two third children under six of illiterate/primary school father were malnourished.11 It was observed that the socio economic status of the family improved the nutritional status of the children. A study done by Stalin P et al in rural Kancheepuram, Tamil Nadu found that nearly two third (63.4%) and half (47.2%) children in category IV and V were significantly (p<0.05) malnourished, respectively.9

In the present study, the majority (43.86%) of children who were malnourished, their mother did not have adequate knowledge regarding the diet requirements of her child and the nutritional value of food items. This is similar to the findings from a study done by Joshi et al in western Nepal that reported, 58% children (4-14 years) were malnourished whose mother has inadequate knowledge about diet. In India although majority (76.2%) of under six children were covered by an ICDS but only 14.7% of children received service of supplementary food (NFHS-III).2,12 As per the study, more than half children were malnourished who were not utilizing service of complementary food which is in accordance to the study of Stalin P et al where that more than half (54.8%) of children were malnourished. In present study, it was observed that malnutrition children were significantly more in large family, higher No. of siblings, poor literacy status of parents and low socioeconomic conditions, these were comparable with findings of other study carried out by Prasoti et al in Uttar Pradesh region.9,13

CONCLUSION

Prevalence of PEM was attributed to poor living conditions, poor literacy status of parents, higher No. of siblings, poor utilization of health services, poor nutritional services of children and faulty breast feeding and weaning practices in the family. Public health specialists should plan interventions focusing on these issues.
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