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1. Introduction

The need for reliable, fast, cheap sensing devices for monitoring biomolecules is important in everyday life. Nevirapine (NVP) (11-cyclopropyl-4-methyl-5, 11-dihydro-6H-dipyrido[3,2-b:2′,3′-e] [1, 2] diazepin-6-one) is a non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor. Its uses have been highlighted [1, 3]. The continued use of nevirapine in treatment and management of HIV/AIDS in the health sector thus calls for a great need to improve on its reported analytical work. Several analytical methods, such as reversed phase high-performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC) [4] and capillary electrophoresis [2], have been used for quantifying NVP in pharmaceutical combinations and some real samples. The growing demand for NVP biomolecule stimulates a search for new and even more effective monitoring techniques, which give better insight on their reported analytical work. Therefore, it is appropriate to develop new and/or improve on the existing analytical techniques regarding their qualitative and quantitative determination in various matrices, namely, human serum, urine, breast milk, and pharmaceutical formulations. The development of sensors with high sensitivity to promote safety and efficiency during administration to patients who depend on these different biomolecules for life support is important. For example, nevirapine in HIV patients’ treatment can coexist together with other biomolecules; hence, simultaneous determination is critical, helping management of antiretroviral treatment by minimizing drug-food interactions. Furthermore, nevirapine is also easily oxidized; hence development of an electrochemical sensor is significant.

Electrochemical techniques based on modification of electrode surfaces can grant some remarkable advantages on applications due to provision of high selectivity and improved sensitivity and stability [5–7]. Among the various modifiers, those based on carbon nanomaterials have been shown to be highly promising in electrochemical sensing [8, 9]. In recent years, graphene nanoribbons (GNRs), a one-dimensional form of graphene strip, have shown promising...
application in fabrication of composites, batteries, supercapacitors, and fuel cells as shown by a high length-to-width ratio, intrinsic energy band gap, and straight edges, availability of larger surface area, and higher electrical conductivity [10–12]. The electron confinement in GNRs has been reported to offer good electronic properties, hence transforming semimetallic to semiconducting properties [13]. Interestingly, GNRs' attractiveness in a variety of electrochemical applications is owed to their outstanding electronic, catalytic, charge transport and surface passivation properties in sensing various organic and inorganic compounds [14, 15]. Oxygen functionalities at the edges of nanoribbons after synthesis reportedly offer homogeneous distribution of metal oxide nanoparticles on the surface of carbon [16].

Metallic oxide nanoparticles have been reported to show favorable properties in electrocatalysis [17, 18]. In this regard, metal oxide nanoparticles such as TiO$_2$ have been used in sensor fabrication [19–22] due to low cost, non-toxicity, large surface area, biocompatibility, strong adsorptive ability, high uniformity, and excellent catalytic activity [22]. Based on the aforementioned properties, the hybridization of GNRs with metal oxide nanoparticles can provide nanocomposite with synergic properties [23].

In the present study, we have fabricated a simple, cheap glassy carbon electrode (GCE) modified with TiO$_2$/GNRs as an electrochemical sensor for nevirapine. In our investigation, the atypical properties of GNRs as a good support for making nanoparticle dispersions caused by large surface area, high electrical conductivity, and electrochemical stability in acidic and alkaline electrolytes were an attractive factor. Based on the attractive properties of GNRs and TiO$_2$, synthesis of TiO$_2$, GNRs, and a TiO$_2$/GNRs nanocomposite through environmental friendly methods was carried out. The results showed that the developed sensor had good performances such good reproducibility and good selectivity, owing to the synergic effects of catalysis characters of TiO$_2$ and GNRs. Besides, to evaluate the applicability of the proposed sensor, it was used to determine nevirapine quantities in a pharmaceutical sample.

2. Experimental

2.1. Chemicals and Solutions. All chemicals used were of analytical grade. Phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) solutions (as supporting electrolyte) with different pH values (6–12) were prepared by mixing standard stock solutions of 0.10 M Na$_2$HPO$_4$ and 0.10 M NaH$_2$PO$_4$, NaNO$_3$, K$_4$Fe(CN)$_6$, K$_2$Fe(CN)$_6$, N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF), D (+) glucose monohydrate, 5% nitric acid, nevirapine, 98% H$_2$SO$_4$, KMnO$_4$, 30% H$_2$O$_2$, and MWCNT (purity of 95%, diameter~20–40 nm, and length~5–15 μm) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (South Africa). Titanium acetate dihydrate [Ti(O$_2$CCH$_3$)$_2$(H$_2$O)$_2$] and ethanol (C$_2$H$_5$OH) were obtained from Associated Chemical Enterprises (South Africa).

All solutions were prepared using ultra-Millipore water from Milli-Q Water Systems (Millipore Corp., Bedford, MA, USA). The MWCNTs were purified to remove metal oxide catalysts as reported [18]. The stock solution of appropriate solution of nevirapine was prepared by weighing and dissolving the drug in a 1:1 mixture of distilled water and ethanol. The working solutions were prepared by dilution of the stock standard solution with PBS (0.1 M, pH 11). A solution of glucose was prepared by dissolving appropriate amount of glucose in ultrapure water and left for 24 h for mutarotation at room temperature.

2.2. Equipment. Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR, Nicolet 6700 model) was used in IR characterization. The scanning electron microscopy (SEM) image was obtained using a TESCAN Vega TS 5136LM Electron microscope. Cyclic voltammetry (CV), electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS), chronoamperometry, and differential pulse voltammetry (DPV) were performed using an Autolab potentiostat PGSTAT 302N (Eco Chemie, Utrecht, Netherlands) equipped with NOVA 1.10 software. Sonicator model KQ-250B was used for agitation of samples. The pH of the solutions was measured and adjusted by a Thermo Scientific Orion Star A211 pH meter.

2.3. Preparation of Graphene Nanoribbons. Graphene nanoribbons (GNRs) were prepared as described in literature with some little modifications [24, 25]. Briefly, a suspension was formed by dissolving 100 mg of MWCNT in 3.4 mL of 98% H$_2$SO$_4$ and then homogenized via ultrasonication for 1 h. Thereafter, the suspension solution was placed in an ice bath accompanied with vigorous stirring and then filtered. 75 mg of NaNO$_3$ was then added. Next, 450 mg of KMnO$_4$ was added to the suspension. Upon completion of reaction, 20 mL of 5% sulphuric acid solution was added and reaction allowed to cool. As soon as bubble formation started, 2 mL of 30% H$_2$O$_2$ was added dropwise. After approximately 30 min, centrifugation and washing with 5% nitric acid three times and deionized water five times were carried out followed by filtration and drying in an oven at 90°C for 12 h under vacuum. The prepared GNRs were ascertained to contain oxygen-containing functional groups.

2.4. Preparation of TiO$_2$ Nanoparticles. The sol-gel process was used for the synthesis of TiO$_2$ nanoparticles at 80–90°C. Briefly, 2.195 g of titanium acetate dihydrate was dissolved in 100 mL ethanol followed by stirring in ambient atmosphere. 1.122 g KOH was dissolved in 10 mL distilled water and then added to the titanium acetate dihydrate-ethanol solution dropwise under continuous stirring. The mixed solution turned into a jelly form and a milky white solution was obtained after a few minutes. Furthermore, the mixture was then heated for 3 h at 80–90°C without stirring. Centrifugation was applied to the resulting suspension to obtain intended product. Finally, the mixture was washed with ultra-Millipore water in an ultrasonic bath and then the powder dried at 70°C overnight.

2.5. Preparation of TiO$_2$/GNR and TiO$_2$/GNR/GCE. The suspension of TiO$_2$ (1 mg/mL) was added to GNRs (2 mg/mL) DMF solution (1:2) and sonicated for 4 h at
room temperature to obtain the TiO$_2$/GNR nanocomposite homogeneous suspension. The GCE was polished to a mirror finish with alumina slurry (0.3 μm). The electrode was sonicated in Millipore water for 5 min during the three successive cleaning stages and finally dried in a stream of nitrogen. The drop dry technique was employed for electrode modification. The optimized volume of the composite, 5 μL of TiO$_2$/GNR suspension, was drop-casted on the surface of GCE and dried in the oven to obtain TiO$_2$/GNRs/GCE. The fabrication procedure of the electrochemical sensor is shown in Scheme 1.

2.6. Assay of Nevirapine Tablets. Five nevirapine tablets (each containing 200 mg per tablet) were obtained from local commercial sources. The drugs were crushed to obtain a finely homogenized powder using the mortar. A portion of the powder suitable to prepare 1 mM was weighed and transferred into a 10 mL volumetric flask containing water, sonicated to allow dissolution, and then diluted to the mark. Appropriate amounts of solutions were taken and analyzed by DPV method.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Characterization. FT-IR spectra of TiO$_2$, GNR, and TiO$_2$/GNR composite are shown in Figure 1(a). The characteristic peaks in (b) were assigned as follows: 1654 cm$^{-1}$ attributed to the stretching vibrations of carboxyl (-COOH) and at 1130 cm$^{-1}$ corresponding to C-O-H bonding and C-OH. The presence of such peaks can be used to explain the hybrid structure of graphene nanoribbons. After making the composite, the small peaks observed in (a) disappeared and peak intensity of C making the composite, the small peaks observed in (a) disappeared and peak intensity of C-O in GNR/TiO$_2$ shows some changes as a result of incorporation of nanoparticles (Figure 1(c)). The wavenumber region 3400 cm$^{-1}$ is the stretching vibration of the hydroxyl group in all spectra. The morphology of prepared materials was observed using SEM (Figure 1(b)). As shown in Figure 1(a) TiO$_2$ nanoparticles are aggregated in larger agglomerates. Figure 2(b) shows long curved rod-like structures of GNR [26]. The TiO$_2$ structures can be seen to be closely and homogeneously grown on the GNR (Figure 2(c)). For comparison, the GCE was included (Figure 1(d)).

EIS studies were done in the [Fe(CN)$_6$]$^{3−/4−}$ redox system to deduce the resistance to electron transfer. Nyquist plots (Figure 2) are shown by semicircle portions at high frequencies, while diffusion-limiting steps of the electrochemical process are shown by linear parts at lower frequencies. The equivalent circuit model used to fit impedance data into $R_{ct}$ values is shown as inset in Figure 2. From Table 1, it can be seen that modification of the GCE showed lowering of $R_{ct}$ except for TiO$_2$ with a larger diameter (2.2 μm) suggesting that TiO$_2$ acted as an insulating layer and barrier [18]. The incorporation of GNRs significantly lowered $R_{ct}$ values of GCE by 33.3% and that of TiO$_2$ in composite by 77.8%. The order as deduced from impedance values is TiO$_2$/GCE > GCE > GNR/GCE > TiO$_2$/GNR/GCE. The changes in $R_{ct}$ suggested proper modification of modified electrodes; hence, the electrode based on TiO$_2$/GNR composite was used throughout the study.

CV involving modified electrodes was performed in 1 mM [Fe(CN)$_6$]$^{3−/4−}$ and 0.1 M KCl electrolyte at a scan rate of 100 mV/s (Figure 2(b)). Redox peaks were observed on all tested electrodes with different peak current ($i_p$) and change in peak potential separation ($ΔE_p$) (Table 1). The effect of different modifiers was shown by $ΔE_p$, with lower $ΔE_p$ showing better electron transfer ability. After drop-casting TiO$_2$/GNR on surface of GCE, $i_{pa}$ increased $i_{pc}$ and reduced $E_p$ were observed compared to $i_{pa}$, $i_{pc}$ and $E_p$ of GCE. The observation is attributed to TiO$_2$/GNR particles offering a large surface and good conductivity. Furthermore, the GNR acted as a suitable pathway to shuttle electrons; hence, improved peak currents were displayed. TiO$_2$/GCE showed a sluggish electron transfer process. The electrodes gave peak potential differences with the following trend: TiO$_2$ < GCE < GNR/GCE < TiO$_2$/GNR/GCE. The obtained results are in good agreement with $R_{ct}$ values obtained from EIS results (Figure 2(c)). To see the change in area of modified electrode, electrode surface area of the TiO$_2$/GNR/GCE was determined in 1 mM K$_3$[Fe(CN)$_6$]$^{3−/4−}$ by applying the Randles–Sevcik equation:

$$i_p = 2.69 \times 10^5 n^{(3/2)} A_{eff} C^{1/2},$$

where $i_p$ is the peak current, $n$ is the number of electrons transferred ($n = 1$) during the redox couple Fe (II)/Fe (III), $D$ is the diffusion coefficient of the analyte in solution ($7.6 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$), $C$ is the solution concentration in mol/cm$^3$, $A_{eff}$ is the effective surface area, and $v$ is the scan rate (V/s). Voltammograms at different scan rates (50–300 mV/s) were run and gave a linear plot for $i_p$ versus $v^{1/2}$. From the slope, TiO$_2$/GNR/GCE had an effective surface area of 0.208 cm$^2$ relative to the GCE area of 0.0712 cm$^2$ [27].
Figure 1: (a) FT-IR; (b) SEM images for (a) TiO$_2$ and (b) GNR; (c) TiO$_2$/GNR nanocomposite; (d) GCE.

Figure 2: (a) EIS behavior of modified GCE in the presence of 1 mM [Fe(CN)$_6$]$^{3-/4-}$ in 0.1 M KCl; (b) CVs of the modified GCE in the presence of 1 mM [Fe(CN)$_6$]$^{3-/4-}$ in 0.1 M KCl at a scan rate of 100 mV s$^{-1}$. (c) Plot of $i_{pa}$ and $R_{ct}$ versus modified GCEs: (a) GCE, (b) TiO$_2$/GCE, (c) GNR/GCE, and (d) TiO$_2$/GNR/GCE.
pared to TiO2 alone. The electrochemical oxidation behavior of nevirapine at TiO2/GNR/GCE (Figure 6) were determined by chronoamperometry based on favorable oxidation results from voltammetry. The rate constants were evaluated using the following equation [33]:

\[ \log i_{pa} (\mu A) = 0.804 \log v (mV/s) + 0.493 \text{ for nevirapine.} \]

The slope of nevirapine (0.804) is greater than the theoretical value of 0.5 V/s, which further confirms that electrochemical oxidation exhibits mixed behavior [32]. Additionally, from voltammograms, both biomolecules show \( i_{pa} \) increasing and \( E_{pa} \) shifting in the less positive value with increase in scan rate. The obtained results depict a totally irreversible electrochemical process.

### 3.4. Catalytic Rate Constants

Catalytic rate constants for nevirapine at TiO2/GNR/GCE (Figure 6) were determined by chronoamperometry based on favorable oxidation results from voltammetry. The rate constants were evaluated using the following equation [33]:

\[ \Delta E_{pa} = E_{pa,186%} - E_{pa,100%} = 85 \times \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{v_i}{R_i} \]

Where \( \Delta E_{pa} \) is the change in peak potential, \( E_{pa,186%} \) and \( E_{pa,100%} \) are the peak potentials at different scan rates, and \( v_i \) and \( R_i \) are the scan rates and current responses, respectively.
$I_{\text{cat}} = \frac{y^{(1/2)}(\pi^{(1/2)}\text{erf}(y^{(1/2)}) + \exp^{-y})}{y^{(1/2)}}$

where $I_{\text{cat}}$ and $I_{\text{buf}}$ are currents on TiO$_2$/GNR/GCE in presence and absence of nevirapine, respectively; $y = kC_0t$ ($C_0$ is the bulk concentration of nevirapine), and erf is the error function.
The error function is almost equal to 1 when \( c \) exceeds 2 and hence equation (2) reduces to the following equation:

\[
I_{\text{cat}} \sim I_{\text{buf}} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \pi \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \pi \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) kC_o t^{1/2},
\]

where \( k \) is the catalytic rate constant (cm\(^3\)/mol/s) and \( t \) is the time elapsed in (s). The catalytic rate constants for nevirapine were calculated based on information obtained from chronoamperometry (Figure 6). A plot of \( I_{\text{cat}}/I_{\text{buf}} \) versus \( t^{1/2} \) for oxidation of nevirapine gave a linear plot. The calculated values of catalytic constants were \( 7.9 \times 10^5 \) cm\(^3\)/mol/s. The calculated values further elucidate sharp features of catalytic \( i_{\text{pa}} \) of nevirapine at the surface of TiO\(_2\)/GNR/GCE.

3.5. Nevirapine Determination by Differential Pulse Voltammetry. Determination of nevirapine in the absence of interferents was investigated (Figure 7(a)). In all cases, \( i_{\text{pa}} \) increased with increase in concentration of analyte as shown by voltammograms and the analytical parameters shown in Table 2.

3.6. Behavior of Nevirapine in the Presence of Interferent. The effect of different concentrations of analyte on electrochemical response of nevirapine and interferent glucose on TiO\(_2\)/GNR modified electrode was investigated (Figures 8(a)–8(c)). Different cases were studied, where the concentration of only one compound was varied, while the concentration of the other compound was kept constant. In the first case, the concentration of nevirapine was changed,
Figure 6: Chronoamperograms for different nevirapine concentrations in 0.1 M PBS (pH 11), curves 2–9: 0.1–0.7 μM. Insets: (a) plots of $i_{cat}/i_{buffer}$ versus $t^{1/2}$; (b) Slope$^2$ versus [nevirapine].

Figure 7: DPVs of TiO$_2$/GNR/GCE in different concentrations of nevirapine. Inset plot of $i_{pa}$ (μA) versus [nevirapine]/μM in 0.1 M PBS solution, pH 11.0.
It can be clearly seen that the LOD observed using TiO2/thecalibration curve) and using Figures 7, 8(a)–8(c), and 9. Voltammetric signals were observed for addition of intrinsically changed. As shown in Figure 8(c), clearly resolved concentrations of nevirapine and glucose were simultaneously determined.

On the other hand, Figure 8(b) shows DPVs obtained in different concentrations (0.20–1.4 μM) of glucose in the presence of 0.20 μM nevirapine. The same trend was observed with the glucose molecule, where $i_p$ corresponding to oxidation of glucose showed linearity with an increase in the bulk concentration of glucose, and $i_p$ for the oxidation of nevirapine remained the same as the number of cycles increased. A plot of $i_p$ versus different concentrations of nevirapine.

The EIS responses of fabricated sensors to different concentrations of nevirapine were carried out further (Figure 9(b)). It can be seen in Figure 9(a) that $R_{ct}$ decreased with increasing nevirapine concentration. The observations can be attributed to TiO2/GNR offering a suitable platform for oxidation of the biomolecule. Increasing the concentrations of biomolecule, as shown Figure 9(b), results in more electrons (nevirapine $2e^-$), causing $R_{ct}$ values to decrease markedly, consequently enhancing the electrode kinetics. Under the optimized conditions, the electrochemical sensor gave parameters as shown in Table 2. The LODs are comparable to both single detections of analytes when one is constant and in equal concentrations of the analytes. The results obtained clearly elucidate possible impedimetric detection of nevirapine using GNR and TiO2 in the composite.

3.8. Other Interferent Ions. For demonstrating selectivity of TiO2/GNR/GCE, EIS was obtained before and after interactions with each interferent and values for $ΔR_{ct}$ were obtained as $R_{ct(after interaction)} - R_{ct(before interaction)}$. The fabricated sensor was incubated in the presence of some interferent ions such as Na$^+$, K$^+$, Cl$^-$, Ca$^{2+}$, Mg$^{2+}$, and PO$_4^{3-}$ and possible biomolecules (sucrose, ascorbic acid, dopamine, tyrosine, glycine, uric acid, lopinavir, stavudine, zidovudine, lamivudine, and efavirenz) to a solution containing nevirapine (0.2 μM). It should be noted that biomolecules that normally coexist with nevirapine were selected. From the Nyquist plots, the results showed that 200-fold excess of Na$^+$, K$^+$, Ca$^{2+}$, Mg$^{2+}$, PO$_4^{3-}$, and sucrose and 100-fold excess of glycine, tyrosine, and uric acid showed no major influences on changes in $R_{ct}$. For nevirapine alone, negligible changes in $R_{ct}$ in the presence of lopinavir, stavudine, zidovudine, lamivudine, and efavirenz were observed. By looking at all obtained small values of $ΔR_{ct}$, practical applicability of proposed electrode for determination of nevirapine is possible. Similar results have been reported in literature based on different methods [3, 6].

3.7. Impedimetric Determination. EIS was also employed to study the oxidation behavior of nevirapine at TiO2/GNR/GCE (Figure 9(a)). The results showed that, in absence of nevirapine, Nyquist diagram comprises a large semicircle at high frequencies due to large charge transfer resistance (2.5 kΩ). In the presence of interferent glucose and biomolecule nevirapine, diameter of the semicircle decreases, confirming the electrocatalytic capability of composite. However, glucose showed a smaller $R_{ct}$ value of 0.5 kΩ, while nevirapine had a large value of 0.75 kΩ. The lower $R_{ct}$ in glucose showed that TiO2/GNR provided more favorable orientation and conductive pathway for transfer of electrons. Overall, it can be concluded that TiO2/GNR sensor was specific to oxidation of glucose and nevirapine.

### Table 2: Analytical parameters for electrochemical determination of nevirapine in 0.1 M PBS at pH 11.0.

| Analyte | $E$(mV) | LDR (µM) | Linear regression equation ($y↔i_{pA}; x↔[analyte], µM$) | $R^2$ | LOD (µM) | RSD (%) |
|---------|---------|----------|---------------------------------------------|-------|---------|---------|
| Nevirapine$^a$ | +400 | 0.020–0.14 | $y = 25.36 x + 1.73$ | 0.9912 | 0.041 | 2.65 |
| Glucose$^b$ | +800 | 0.020–0.14 | $y = 321.43x + 7.94$ | 0.9914 | 0.012 | 1.42 |
| Nevirapine$^b$ | +400 | 0.020–0.14 | $y = 269.52x + 1.13$ | 0.9872 | 0.043 | 2.60 |
| Glucose$^c$ | +800 | 0.020–0.14 | $y = 402.91x + 6.12$ | 0.9971 | 0.012 | 1.48 |
| Nevirapine$^c$ | +400 | 0.020–0.14 | $y = 75.00x + 6.07$ | 0.9911 | 0.043 | 2.65 |
| Nevirapine$^d$ | – | Log (0.20–1.2) | $R_{ct}(kΩ) = -2.28 log x + 1.06$ | 0.9842 | 0.070 | 2.75 |

$^a$Statistical data for DPV single analyte determination. $^b$Statistical data from DPV for single analyte when the concentration of the other analyte is kept constant. $^c$Statistical data from DPV for both analytes simultaneously determined. $^d$Impedimetric determination.
FIGURE 8: DPVs of TiO₂/GNR/GCE for (a) 0.2 μM glucose (kept constant) and different concentrations of nevirapine. Inset plot of \( i_{\text{pa}} \) (μA) versus \([\text{nevirapine}] / \mu\text{M}\); (b) 0.2 μM nevirapine (kept constant) and different concentrations of glucose. Inset: plot of \( i_{\text{pa}} \) (μA) versus \([\text{glucose}] / \mu\text{M}\); (c) DPV for different concentrations of nevirapine and glucose, all in 0.1 M PBS solution, pH 11.0. Different concentrations ranged from 0.02 to 0.14 μM.
Table 3: A comparison of analysis results of nevirapine at TiO₂/GNR sensor with recently reported sensors.

| Modifier | Analysis technique | Electrolyte type, pH | Type of analysis | LOD (µM) | LR (µM) | Reference |
|----------|--------------------|----------------------|------------------|----------|---------|-----------|
| AuNPs/p (MB)/f-MWCNTs/GE | DPASV | PBS, 11 | Single | 53 | 0.1–50 | [6] |
| CuO-CNP-GCE | LSV | Br buffer 7 | Single | 66 | 0.1–100 | [3] |
| Ura/CPE | DPV | 0.1 M NaOH, 13 | Single | 0.05 | 0.1–70 | [1] |
| CP-Bi₂O₃ | DPV | PBS, 8 | Single | 0.110 | 0.05–50 | [29] |
| Pd@rGO/MoS₂ QDs | DPV | PBS, 10 | Single | 0.05 | 0.1–80 | 34 |
| TiO₂/GNR | DPV | PBS, 11 | Simultaneous | 0.043 | 0.020–0.14 | Present work |

Au NPs, gold nanoparticles; DPASV, differential pulse anodic stripping voltammetry; Ura/CPE, uracil-modified carbon paste electrode; CuO-CNP-GCE, copper oxide carbon nanoparticles glassy carbon electrode; LSV, linear sweep voltammetry; CP-Bi₂O₃, carbon paste electrode modified with Bi₂O₃.

Figure 9: Nyquist diagrams of TiO₂/GNR/GCE (a) in the absence (a) and in the presence of 0.2 µM nevirapine and (b) in the presence of 0.2 µM glucose (c). Different concentrations of (b) nevirapine in 0.1M PBS (pH 11.0). Insets: calibration plots.

Figure 10: CVs for 0.1 mM nevirapine obtained after 5 test runs. Scan rate = 100 mV/s in PBS (pH 11).
3.9. Reproducibility Studies. When developing sensors, reproducibility is a significant process to be investigated. The TiO$_2$/GNR sensor was investigated through five repetitive measurements of 0.1 mM of nevirapine in PBS (pH 11) by CV (Figure 10). The relative standard deviation of $i_{pa}$ responses to nevirapine sensing was less than 3.5%, indicating good reproducibility.

3.10. Nevirapine in Pharmaceutical Formulations. The results obtained from the developed sensor for determining amount of nevirapine in commercially available tablets are shown in Table 4. These were calculated after running working solutions prepared after suitable dilution with DPV. The results of analysis were found to be satisfactory as shown by high recovery values.

4. Conclusions

A selective nevirapine electrochemical sensor was developed based on the modification of a GCE with metal oxide and graphene nanoribbons nanocomposite. The successful preparation of GNR and TiO$_2$ was confirmed by FT-IR and SEM. EIS confirmed superior electrochemical properties of the prepared TiO$_2$/GNR/GCE in comparison to bare GCE. It was also illustrated that TiO$_2$/GNR/GCE offered a low potential during detection by cyclic voltammetry. The obtained TiO$_2$/GNR/GCE composite exhibited good reproducibility during analysis. The developed method was successfully applied to the quantification of nevirapine in a pharmaceutical formulation. The present study provides a general strategy for monitoring drug-food behavior during electrophysical applications.
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