Chemiplasmonics for high-throughput biosensors
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Background: The sensitivity of ELISA for biomarker detection can be significantly increased by integrating fluorescence with plasmonics. In surface-plasmon-coupled emission, the fluorophore emission is generally enhanced through the so-called physical mechanism due to an increase in the local electric field. Despite its fairly high enhancement factors, the use of surface-plasmon-coupled emission for high-throughput and point-of-care applications is still hampered due to the need for expensive focusing optics and spectrometers.

Methods: Here, we describe a new chemiplasmonic-sensing paradigm for enhanced emission through the molecular interactions between aromatic dyes and C60 films on Ag substrates.

Results: A 20-fold enhancement in the emission from rhodamine B-labeled biomolecules can be readily elicited without quenching its red color emission. As a proof of concept, we demonstrate two model bioassays using: 1) the RbB–streptavidin and biotin complexes in which the dye was excited using an inexpensive laser pointer and the ensuing enhanced emission was recorded by a smartphone camera without the need for focusing optics and 2) high-throughput 96-well plate assay for a model antigen (rabbit immunoglobulin) that showed detection sensitivity as low as 6.6 pM.

Conclusion: Our results show clear evidence that chemiplasmonic sensors can be extended to detect biomarkers in a point-of-care setting through a smartphone in simple normal incidence geometry without the need for focusing optics. Furthermore, chemiplasmonic sensors also facilitate high-throughput screening of biomarkers in the conventional 96-well plate format with 10–20 times higher sensitivity.
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Introduction

The realization of highly sensitive, portable, rapid, and inexpensive biosensors is necessary to improve patient care through real-time disease monitoring and diagnosis at the point of care (POC).1–4 The recent advances in genomics and proteomics have led to the identification of many potential biomarkers for complex diseases. However, the widespread use of these biomarkers has been limited due to the lack of efficient POC biosensors.1 For example, the WHO estimated that only 67% of tuberculosis cases were diagnosed in time in 2014, which is a mere 4% improvement over the global detection rate of 63% in 2009.1,5 The WHO and the US National Institutes of Health clearly emphasize that fundamental breakthroughs in POC biosensing are imperative for realizing nearly 100% early disease detection and treatment.6

In the last two decades, surface plasmons from metallic nanoparticles (NPs) have been widely used to improve the detection limits for many biomarkers through fluorescence enhancements.7–12 Metallic NPs are known to alter fluorescence emission of dyes by influencing the radiative of dye molecules within their vicinity.10 The radiative decay rate (γ) of a dye molecule, from an excited state |k⟩ of energy Ek to a lower state |n⟩ of energy En, through the emission of a photon of energy ℏω, may be understood in terms of the Fermi’s golden rule given by
\[
\gamma = \frac{2\pi}{h} \sum_{\omega} |<n|\vec{p} \cdot \vec{E}|k>|^2 \rho(r, \omega)
\]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

In Equation 1, \(h\) is reduced Planck’s constant, \(\vec{p}\) is the dipole moment of the fluorescent molecule, \(\vec{E}\) is the total electric field. The term \(\rho(r, \omega)\) in Equation 1 is the photonic mode density (PMD), which is defined as the ratio of photon flow per unit area per second (ie, power flow per photon) to the velocity of photons. Similar to the electronic density of states in solid-state physics, PMD provides the density of photonic states and describes the strength of interaction between a fluorescent molecule and its photonic environment. The PMD for a dipole \(\vec{p}\) aligned along the direction \(\hat{e}_d\), can be expressed in terms of the field term \((\hat{e}_d \cdot \hat{G}(r, r', \omega) \cdot \hat{e}_d)\) containing the dyadic Green’s function \((\hat{G}(r, r', \omega))\) of the system in which the dye is embedded.\(^{13}\) The presence of metallic NPs is known to change the decay rate and enhance fluorescence emission by increasing PMD.\(^{18,19}\) Previously, we and others have demonstrated surface-plasmon-coupled emission sensors that enhance the fluorescence of dyes by increasing PMD in the presence of Ag/Au NPs and thin films.\(^{7-15}\) Here, we posit that it is also plausible to enhance fluorescence through chemical interactions between the fluorescent molecule and the photonic environment that change the matrix element (ME) \(<n|\vec{p} \cdot \vec{E}|k>|\) in Equation 1 in addition to increasing PMD.

In this article, we describe a stacked Ag/C\(_{60}\) POC platform to increase fluorescence emission through a new chemiplasmonic mechanism that simultaneously increases both ME and PMD. We achieved emission enhancement factors as high as 20 without the need for any lenses or other focusing optics, which are used extensively in surface-plasmon-coupled emission-based sensors. Unlike the physical mechanism that enhances only PMD, the chemiplasmonic mechanism also influences ME by selectively restricting the nonradiative rotational modes of dye molecules through \(\pi-\pi\) interactions with the C\(_{60}\) film. We show that the emission from the dye molecules on a chemiplasmonic platform can be excited via normally incident unpolarized light from an inexpensive laser pointer and efficiently captured using a smartphone camera. We further demonstrate that the spectra captured through the smartphone can be analyzed via an inbuilt app for obtaining quantified data for a specific biomarker. We validate a POC model assay using biotin–streptavidin (SA) complex on vertically stacked Ag/C\(_{60}\) layers. Lastly, we demonstrate that chemiplasmonics when integrated into a high-throughput 96-well plate model assay for a model antigen (rabbit immunoglobulin or IgG), a 10-fold enhancement in emission can be elicited, resulting in a detection limit as low as 6.6 pM.

**Methods**

Rhb (>95%) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA. B-BSA was obtained from Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA, while SA–Rhb was from Bio-Synthesis, Inc (Lewisville, TX, USA). Glass coverslips (Sigma-Aldrich) were used as the base substrate. As described in the study by Mulpur et al,\(^{26}\) coverslips were coated with either 25 nm of Ag using a home built thermal evaporator or 10–35 nm C\(_{60}\) (99.9%; SES Research, Houston, TX, USA). For physical adsorption isotherm studies, glass slides with Ag and different thickness of C\(_{60}\) were incubated in different concentrations of Rhb in de-ionized water (0.1 M, 0.01 M, 1 mM, 0.1 mM, 0.01 mM) for 24 hours after which they were taken out and washed in de-ionized water thrice and dried in an air stream. For studies with b-BSa, substrates were prepared as detailed in the study by Ray et al.\(^{27}\) Briefly, ~2.5 μM b-BSA solution was made in 10 mM PBS (Sigma-Aldrich) solution. Glass, glass-Ag, C\(_{60}\), and glass-Ag-C\(_{60}\) substrates were then incubated in this solution for 24 hours at 51°C. The substrates were then taken out and washed in PBS solution at least three times to remove any unattached b-BSA. The samples were then incubated in 2 nM solution of SA–Rhb in PBS solution at 51°C for 2 hours. They were then taken out and washed several times in PBS solution and dried in air. The photoluminescence spectra were collected by exciting the samples using an Ar\(^+\) 514.5 nm excitation (laser power ~0.1 mW) coupled to a Renishaw inVia spectrometer. All the spectra were normalized by the incident laser intensity. The spectra were fit using IGOR Pro by deconvoluting each spectrum into multiple Lorentzian functions with similar widths. A fit was deemed good for \(R^2\) (coefficient of determination) values >0.95. All the enhancement values for different substrates were obtained by dividing the peak enhancement intensity by Rhb peak emission intensity on glass slide (Figure 1A). Perkin Elmer Lambda 950 was used to collect UV-Vis absorption spectra of the samples. Fluorescence microscopy images were taken using Zeiss AXIO Imager A1 coupled to X-cite series 120 W Xe lamp at 20X magnification. The samples were excited using 532 nm light from 120 W Xe lamp, and the emission was collected through 532 nm high-pass filter. For smartphone camera sensing, the samples were excited using...
a 10 mW green laser pointer (HK-E00187; Laserpointer Pro, North Brunswick, NJ, USA). A long-pass filter with a cut-on wavelength ≈550 nm (FEL0550; Thorlabs, Newton, NJ, USA) was taped on to the smartphone (iPhone 5s) for capturing the emitted light through the camera. The obtained pictures of emitted light were analyzed using ImageJ software to obtain the RGB content. The DFT calculations were performed using Gaussian 09 package. We used a hybrid Becke-3-Lee-Yang-Parr (B3LYP) exchange correlation with Lanl2DZ basis set (a pseudopotential approach) for the ground state calculations.

The 96-well plate was noncovalently coated with rabbit IgG. A coating solution of IgG (10–30 µg/mL of IgG dissolved in Na-phosphate buffer, 50 mM, pH 7.4) was added to each well (25 µL/well), and slides were incubated for 2–4 hours at room temperature in a humid chamber. Slides were then rinsed with de-ionized water, washing solution (0.05% Tween 20 in water), and de-ionized water. Blocking was performed by adding blocking solution (1% BSA, 1% sucrose, 0.05% NaN3, and 0.05% Tween-20 in 50 mM Tris–HCl buffer, pH 7.4, 35 µL per well) and incubating at room temperature for 2–4 hours (or overnight at 4°C) in a humid chamber. The plate was then rinsed with de-ionized water, washing solution (0.05% Tween 20 in water), and de-ionized water, coated with blocking buffer, and stored at 4°C before measurement. A scheme of the model immunoassay is presented in Figure 9A.

Results and discussion

As shown in Figure 1A, the emission of rhodamine B (RhB) on Ag/C60 layers evolves with the thickness of C60. Indeed, the emission intensity was found to be maximum when the C60 thickness is ≈10–20 nm (Figure 1B). Such enhancement can be understood in terms of the well-known metal-enhanced fluorescence (MEF) model, which describes
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**Figure 1** (A) The emission spectra of rhodamine B (RhB) adsorbed on bare glass and 25 nm Ag-coated glass with increasing thicknesses of C60 (0–35 nm). The solid traces represent fits to the spectra, from which the deconvoluted peaks for each spectrum were obtained. The area under the spectra was used to calculate the enhancement factors (relative to glass) as a function of C60 thickness shown in (B). (C) Schematic of the chemiplasmonic substrate used in this study for obtaining the emission spectra in (A).
changes in emission or quenching of a fluorophore near the surface of a thin metal film.\textsuperscript{15,20} In the MEF framework, excited fluorophores are modeled as oscillating dipoles and their interaction with a metal surface is described through two concomitant mechanisms. The first of these is the metal-induced damping of the dipole oscillators that quenches the emission.\textsuperscript{15,21} The strength of such damping decreases with increasing distance ($d$) from the metal surface (Figure 1C) and follows a $1/d^3$ dependence.\textsuperscript{15,21} The second mechanism is the plasmon-assisted increase in the electric field, which enhances emission and decreases with a $1/d^6$ dependence.\textsuperscript{15,21} Collectively, these mechanisms result in a distance-dependent enhancement in the fluorescence emission of the dye, as evidenced in Figure 1B. A maximum of six-fold enhancement in the RhB emission on Ag (25 nm)/C$_{60}$ was recorded when the C$_{60}$ film thickness was ~10–20 nm (Figure 1B).

Interestingly, the line shape and width of the fluorescence spectrum are significantly influenced by the C$_{60}$ film thickness. Although many previous studies explained the emission enhancements through the MEF model, changes in the spectral features, such as in Figure 1A, were partly ignored.\textsuperscript{8,9,12,13,22,23} While the emission spectrum of RhB on 25 nm Ag required a two-peak fit, at least three to four peaks were necessary to fit the emission spectrum of RhB on Ag/C$_{60}$ layers. This important fact is also reflected in Figure 2A–C, which show fluorescence microscope images of RhB coated on bare glass, 25 nm Ag, and 25 nm Ag/20 nm C$_{60}$. Clearly, the emission of RhB present on Ag appears orange unlike the emission of RhB on either glass or Ag/20 nm C$_{60}$ substrates that display a distinct red color. A closer examination of the emission spectra (Figure 2D) revealed that the red emission (above 600 nm), which is otherwise present in RhB coated on glass, is 1) completely quenched when RhB is coated on a 25 nm thick Ag substrate and 2) remains unaffected when RhB is coated on 20 nm bare C$_{60}$ film (without Ag). These observations suggest that in the former case (ie, case 1) the Ag films strongly quenched RhB emission in the red region. Similar to bare Ag films, the emission spectrum
was found to shift to higher wavelengths for conventional spacer layers such as SiO₂ (Figure S1). We hypothesize that C₆₀ is able to retain the original features of RhB emission spectrum through π–π stacking, which is discussed later in Figure 3C.

Remarkably, RhB on bare C₆₀ (without Ag) also exhibited a non-negligible thickness-dependent enhancement (Figure 3A and B). This enhancement, unlike the physical mechanism in case of Ag-RhB, arises due to the chemical interactions between C₆₀ and RhB molecules. We surmise

Figure 3 (A) The emission spectra of rhodamine B (RhB) adsorbed on a bare glass substrate with increasing thickness of C₆₀ (0–30 nm). The solid lines show the fits to the spectra along with the deconvoluted peaks under each spectrum. (B) When the C₆₀ film thickness reached 20 nm, an emission enhancement of ~1.5 was observed due to the π–π interactions between the RhB molecules and C₆₀. (C) The adsorption isotherms for RhB on C₆₀ show an increasing trend unlike 25 nm Ag or bare glass substrates, suggesting the presence of strong π-orbital interactions between C₆₀ and RhB.

Remarkably, RhB on bare C₆₀ (without Ag) also exhibited a non-negligible thickness-dependent enhancement (Figure 3A and B). This enhancement, unlike the physical mechanism in case of Ag-RhB, arises due to the chemical interactions between C₆₀ and RhB molecules. We surmise

Figure 4 (A) The molecular electrostatic potential for rhodamine B (RhB) shows that its carboxylic group is electron rich (yellow color). (B) The interaction of RhB with C₆₀ results in the rotation of its carboxylic group in the benzoic acid above the xanthene ring by 32°.
that the π-orbitals in C_{60} and RhB can result in π–π stacking\(^8\) and thereby influence the ME in Equation 1 by restricting those rotational/vibrational modes of RhB molecules that would otherwise participate in the nonradiative decay of excited electrons. We obtained adsorption isotherms of RhB on Ag/C_{60} substrates to confirm the presence of π–π interactions (Figure 3C). Using the maximum peak intensity in the absorption spectrum of RhB (inset in Figure 3C) as an indicator for the amount of RhB adsorbed on the substrates, it is evident from Figure 3C that the adsorption of RhB on Ag/C_{60} is stronger and follows a Freundlich-like behavior (indicative of multilayered adsorption) unlike RhB on glass or Ag. More importantly, as shown in Figure S2, the emission of RhB on C_{60} substrates was found to be predominantly \(p\)-polarized (unlike RhB on glass or Ag), indicating that the RhB molecules assume a preferred orientation on C_{60} due to π–π interactions.

To further examine the nature of these interactions, we investigated the molecular electrostatic potential of RhB (Figure 4A). We found that the dangling oxygen of carboxylic group (in benzoic acid present below the xanthene ring) has electron-rich regions and may serve as a donor. It should be noted that the highest-occupied and lowest unoccupied molecular orbital levels of RhB (\(1/C_{60}\)) are located at 5.6 eV (6.2 eV) and −3.5 eV (−4.1 eV) with respect to vacuum indicating that C_{60} is a suitable acceptor.\(^{24,25}\) Such charges transfer interactions and the mixing of RhB molecular orbitals with C_{60} electronic states could result in an enhanced emission through the so-called chemical mechanism due to an increase in ME in Equation 1. Our density functional theory (DFT) calculations (Figure 4B) showed that the benzoic acid group rotates by 32° upon interaction with C_{60} and thereby restricts some of the rotational modes in RhB. Our DFT results further suggest that the observed chemical enhancement in RhB emission on bare C_{60} could possibly result from changes to ME (Equation 1) through both charge transfer between RhB and C_{60} and the restriction of rotational motion of the benzoic acid group. Figure S3 shows the temperature dependence of enhancement factor of RhB on Ag, 20 nm C_{60}, and Ag/20 nm C_{60}. As expected, the enhancement factor reduces with increasing temperature due to the increased molecular vibrations, which rapidly decrease the radiative lifetime. While Ag film showed a linear decrease in the enhancement factor with temperature, C_{60} films (both bare C_{60} and Ag/C_{60}) exhibited a stable enhancement factor up to 55°C followed by a sudden decrease above 55°C. This suggests that at higher temperatures (>55°C) the benzoic acid groups in RhB on C_{60} overcome the restriction imposed (Figure 4) by its binding with C_{60}. The maximum observed chemical enhancement of RhB emission on bare C_{60} was ~1.4, which is consistent with the ~1.5 enhancement

Figure 5 (A) A schematic showing the model biotin–streptavidin assay on pure C_{60} layers of varying thickness. The biotin–streptavidin is flexible to allow the direct interaction between rhodamine B (RhB) attached to streptavidin with the underlying C_{60} layers. (B) The emission spectrum of the biotin–streptavidin–RhB complex on C_{60} layers with varying thickness displays a clear enhancement (shown in (C)) due to the chemical interactions between C_{60} and RhB. The solid lines in (B) show the fits to the spectra along with the deconvoluted peaks under each spectrum.
in Figure 3B. These low enhancement factors are attributed to self-quenching, which possibly arises from multilayer adsorption of RhB molecules on C60, akin to the behavior of the adsorption isotherms in Figure 3C.

We alleviated the problems associated with RhB multilayer adsorption through the use of the biotin–SA complex. We first covered the bare C60 surface (without Ag) with biotinylated BSA (b-BSA) and then coated it with RhB-labeled SA (Figure 5A). Biotin and SA have strong nonspecific interactions that lead to binding of four biotin molecules to each SA. Such selective binding between biotin and SA mitigates multilayer adsorption of dye molecules when RhB-labeled SA attaches exclusively to b-BSA. As depicted in Figure 5B and C, the bare C60 films (without Ag) showed a 12-fold enhancement in the emission upon lessening the aggregation-induced self-quenching of RhB. This enhancement is rather intriguing particularly because the RhB molecules are not directly present on the C60 surface unlike in Figure 3. It may be rationalized that the biotin–SA complex is sufficiently flexible due to its noncovalent nature and supports chemical interaction between RhB and C60 (Figure 5A).

We combined the chemical enhancement observed in C60–biotin–SA–RhB system with the physical mechanism by incorporating a 25 nm thick Ag layer (Figure 6A). This synergistic combination displayed much higher enhancement ~20-fold (Figure 6B and C) than either mechanism (~6-fold for physical and ~12-fold for chemical). We found that the maximum enhancement occurred ~15–20 nm C60 thickness in Figure 6B similar to the enhancements observed in Figure 1B. Indeed, these enhancements are also apparent in the fluorescence microscope images.
of biotin–SA–RhB on different substrates shown in Figure 7A–D. While the RhB-labeled SA is not visible on the bare glass substrate, they can be clearly observed on Ag and Ag/20 nm $C_{60}$ substrates. Not surprisingly, the presence of biotin–SA complex between RhB and bare Ag serves as a spacer material and thus slightly reduced (but did not eliminate) the effects of metal-induced quenching of red emission in RhB (discussed earlier in
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**Figure 7** Fluorescence microscope images of biotin–streptavidin–rhodamine B (RhB) on glass (A), glass +25 nm Ag (B), glass +25 nm Ag +20 nm $C_{60}$ (C), and glass +25 nm Ag +30 nm $C_{60}$ (D). All the scale bars are 50 µm; the magnification is 10×.

![Figure 8](https://www.dovepress.com/)

**Figure 8** (A) A schematic showing the setup for smartphone-based sensing. A laser pointer emits green light to excite rhodamine B (RhB) coated on different substrates (shown in pink). The excited RhB molecules emit orange-red light, which could be captured by the smartphone through a low-pass filter. (B–I) The photographs of RhB emission obtained using a smartphone. The photographs were analyzed using ImageJ software for obtaining the red, green, blue values. It should be noted that RGB values are integer values ranging between 0 and 255 and allow for the identification of ~16.7 million colors.
Figure 2E) leading to a bright red color image (Figure 7B). This reduced quenching is also evident in the emission spectrum of Ag–biotin–SA–RhB complex (Figure 6C), which displayed some weak features above 600 nm. As expected, the increase in the thickness of C60 to 30 nm decreases the emission relative to Ag and Ag/20 nm C60 due to a rapid decrease in the plasmon-induced field as a function of distance.

The combination of physical and chemical mechanisms synergistically increases the enhancement factor through a new chemiplasmonic paradigm ~20-folds without the need for any lenses or focusing optics in a simple normal incidence and collection geometry (Figure 1C). Going beyond the simple demonstration of this chemiplasmonic enhancement, we used this unique feature to realize: 1) smartphone-based POC sensing capabilities and 2) sensing in a high-throughput 96-well plate.

As shown in Figure 8A, a smart phone camera was used to capture the emitted light by exciting the substrates with a conventional laser pointer (see Methods section). We did not observe any detectable emission for RhB on the bare glass, while 25 nm Ag, 20 nm C60, and Ag/20 nm C60 exhibited bright emission spots (Figure 8B–I). The emission from the Ag substrate appeared more yellow than the emission from C60 and Ag/C60 due to the quenching of red emission, as expected (Figure 2D). More importantly, the emission was clearly the brightest for Ag/20 nm C60. The analysis of red, green, blue or RGB intensity values showed that the counts for Ag/20 nm C60 were ~17 times higher, indicating that it is a robust and sensitive platform for POC detection (Figure 8B–I). Another important advantage of using Ag/C60 films is that the red emission is not completely quenched. The Rayleigh scattering effects, which increase with decreasing wavelength, play a critical role in reducing the emission intensity reaching the smartphone camera in POC settings. In this regard, Ag/C60 films that support longer wavelength red emission and ~17–20 times enhancement in a simple geometry without focusing optics are ideal for POC biosensors.

Lastly, in addition to an instrument-free POC setting, a high-throughput 96-well plate assay based on chemiplasmonic enhancements is also demonstrated (Figure 9A). To test the applicability of the presented technology, a model antigen (rabbit IgG) and antibody (labeled antirabbit IgG) were used. On average, the labeled antirabbit IgG showed 10 times enhancement in its emission with Ag and C60 coatings with an order of magnitude lower limit of detection ~6.6 pM (Figure 9B). These results provide compelling evidence for the utility of chemiplasmonic sensors in practical biochemical applications.

In this article, we showed that a synergistic combination of physical enhancement through Ag surface plasmons and the chemical interactions of dyes with C60 results in a new chemiplasmonic paradigm. While the physical plasmonic mechanism shows six-fold enhancement and the chemical interactions exhibit ~12-fold enhancement, ~20-fold enhancement can be achieved in the chemiplasmonic paradigm. We demonstrated two model assays using biotin–SA and rabbit immunoglobulin assay to demonstrate the practical applications of the chemiplasmonic sensors. Our results show clear evidence that chemiplasmonic sensors can be extended to detect biomarkers in a POC setting through a
smartphone in simple normal incidence geometry without the need for focusing optics. Furthermore, chemiplasmonic sensors also facilitate high-throughput screening of biomarkers in the conventional 96-well plate format with 10 times higher sensitivity.
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Supplementary materials

The supporting information shows the schematic of rhodamine B electronic structure and polarization measurements of rhodamine B on C\textsubscript{60} and glass.

Figure S1 The emission spectrum for rhodamine B (RhB) on bare glass and 20 nm SiO\textsubscript{2}-coated glass slides. The emission of RhB upshifted (dashed vertical lines) in the presence of SiO\textsubscript{2} layer due to the absence of \pi–\pi interactions. The peak \textasciitilde 675 nm (pointed by the arrow) is used for calibration.

Figure S2 Polarized emission intensity plots of rhodamine B (RhB) showing predominantly \textit{p}-polarized output when coated on glass/C\textsubscript{60} substrate (A), and the absence of such polarized emission on bare glass (B). The predominant \textit{p}-polarized emission on glass/C\textsubscript{60} is attributed to the \pi–\pi interactions between RhB and C\textsubscript{60}.

\textbf{Abbreviations:} I(P), \textit{p}-polarized intensity; I(S), \textit{s}-polarized intensity.
Figure S3 Temperature dependence of the enhancement factor of rhodamine B (RhB) on 20 nm C$_{60}$, 25 nm Ag, and 25 nm, and Ag +20 nm C$_{60}$ relative to bare glass substrate.