Acute and chronic modulation of striatal endocannabinoid-mediated plasticity by nicotine
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ABSTRACT

The endocannabinoid (eCB) system modulates several phenomena related to addictive behaviors, and drug-induced changes in eCB signaling have been postulated to be important mediators of physiological and pathological reward-related synaptic plasticity. Here, we studied eCB-mediated long-term depression (eCB-LTD) in the dorsolateral striatum, a brain region critical for acquisition of habitual and automatic behavior. We report that nicotine differentially affects ex vivo eCB signaling depending on previous exposure in vivo. In the nicotine-naïve brain, nicotine facilitates eCB-signaling and LTD, whereas tolerance develops to this facilitating effect after subchronic exposure in vivo. In the end, a progressive impairment of eCB-induced LTD is established after protracted withdrawal from nicotine. Endocannabinoid-LTD is reinstated 6 months after the last drug injection, but a brief period of nicotine re-exposure is sufficient to yet again impair eCB-signaling. LTD induced by the cannabinoid 1 receptor agonist WIN55,212-2 is not affected, suggesting that nicotine modulates eCB production or release. Nicotine-induced facilitation of eCB-LTD is occluded by the dopamine D2 receptor agonist quinpirole, and by the muscarinic acetylcholine receptor antagonist scopolamine. In addition, the same compounds restore eCB-LTD during protracted withdrawal. Nicotine may thus modulate eCB-signaling by affecting dopaminergic and cholinergic neurotransmission in a long-lasting manner. Overall, the data presented here suggest that nicotine facilitates eCB-LTD in the initial phase, which putatively could promote neurophysiological and behavioral adaptations to the drug. Protracted withdrawal, however, impairs eCB-LTD, which may influence or affect the ability to maintain cessation.
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INTRODUCTION

The ability for neuronal circuits to adapt is necessary for integrative and higher functions of the brain, and long-term modification of synaptic activity is considered the cellular basis for learning and memory (Nabavi et al. 2014). However, drugs of abuse may also induce remodeling of neural networks, and drug-induced synaptic plasticity has been postulated as a neurobiological underpinning of addiction (Gerdeman et al. 2003; Jones & Bonci 2005). Drug-induced synaptic plasticity contributes to the reorganization of neural circuits as addiction forms, but whether these changes induce addictive behaviors or simply reflects compensations in neural function to drug effects are not known (Ungless et al. 2001).

Postsynaptically synthesized endocannabinoids (eCBs), which mediate synaptic depression through activation of cannabinoid 1 receptors (CB1R) at excitatory and inhibitory synapses, have been implicated in both drug addiction and addictive behaviors (Arnold 2005; Adermark & Lovinger 2007b, 2009; Fattore et al. 2010). The eCB system appears to modulate several phenomena related to addiction, including drug-induced reward, the motivation to procure the drug and behavioral sensitization (Vinod et al. 2008). CB1Rs are highly expressed in the dorsolateral striatum (DLS), a
critical brain region for the gradual acquisition of habitual and automatic behaviors (Gremel & Costa 2013). Striatal CB1Rs may thus be crucial for establishing behavioral patterns that are directed compulsively toward drug usage (Gerdesman et al. 2003). Interestingly, studies from several laboratories have demonstrated disruption of striatal eCB-LTD following acute and protracted exposure to drugs of abuse (Fourgeaud et al. 2004; Clarke & Adermark 2010; Adermark et al. 2011; Atwood, Kupferschmidt, & Lovinger 2014). Therapeutic approaches aimed at restoring eCB-mediated synaptic plasticity might thus potentially have impact on the treatment of addiction (Zlebnik & Cheer 2016).

Associations between behavioral responses to nicotine and the eCB system have previously been shown with respect to reward, conditioning and drug reinstatement (Castane et al. 2002; Cohen et al. 2002; Merritt et al. 2008; Gamaleddin et al. 2013). Inhibition of eCB signaling attenuates nicotine-induced activation of the mesolimbic dopamine system, reduces self-administration and inhibits acquisition and expression of nicotine-induced place preference (Castane et al. 2002; Cohen et al. 2002; Cheer et al. 2007; Hadjiconstantinou & Neff 2011). On the opposite, activation of CB1Rs or inhibition of eCB metabolism enhances nicotine self-administration and expression of nicotine-induced place preference (Merritt et al. 2008; Gamaleddin et al. 2013). Interestingly, eCB levels are altered by acute and repeated nicotine exposure (Gonzalez et al. 2002; Buczynski, Polis, & Parsons 2013), and the endogenous ligand for the nicotinic acetylcholine receptor (nAChR), acetylcholine, appears to regulate eCB-mediated plasticity in the striatum (eCB-LTD) (Partridge et al. 2002; Adermark 2011). The striatal eCB system has repeatedly been linked to neuronal adaptations that form persistent drug-related habits and addictive behaviors. Nicotine-induced modulation of striatal eCB-signaling could thus play a key role in initiating the neurophysiological and behavioral adaptations elicited by this drug. Therefore, this study aimed to define acute and long-term effects produced by nicotine on eCB-LTD in the DLS of Wistar rats.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Drugs**

Pharmacological agents were dissolved in appropriate solvent and diluted in artificial cerebrospinal fluid (aCSF) to final concentration shortly before use. (−)-Nicotine hydrogen tartrate salt was dissolved to final concentration in aCSF shortly before use, while the non-selective nAChR antagonist mecamylamine hydrochloride was dissolved in H2O (50 mM) and applied at 10 μM. The dopamine D1 receptor antagonist SCH23390 hydrochloride was dissolved in H2O (20 mM) and used at 0.5 μM. The dopamine D2 receptor antagonist sulpiride was dissolved in ethanol to 10 mM and used at 5 μM, while the agonist quinpirole was dissolved in aCSF to final concentration (5 μM) shortly before use. CB1R agonist WIN55,212-2 and antagonist AM251 were both dissolved in DMSO to 20 mM and used at 2 μM. The fatty acid amide hydrolase (FAAH) inhibitor TC-F 2 was dissolved in DMSO (20 mM) and further diluted to 5 μM. Scopolamine was dissolved in aCSF to final concentration shortly before use (5 μM). The mGluR2/3 agonist LY354740 was dissolved in DMSO (1 mM) and further diluted in aCSF to 200 nM, while the mGluR1/5 agonist (S)-3,5-DHPG (DHPG) was dissolved in DMSO (20 mM) and further diluted in aCSF to 100 μM. Substances were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Stockholm, Sweden) and Tocris Bioscience (Bristol, UK).

**Nicotine treatment**

Male Wistar rats (Taconic, Eby, Denmark) (280–350 g) were group-housed with a 12-hour light/dark cycle with food and water *ad libitum*. One week after arrival, the rats received daily injections of nicotine (0.36 mg/kg subcutaneous, dissolved in 0.9 percent NaCl with pH adjusted to 7.2–7.4 with NaHCO₃) or vehicle (0.9 percent NaCl) on weekdays during 3 weeks, resulting in a total of 15 injections. One subgroup of animals only received five nicotine injections before being sacrificed. Behavioral sensitization to repeated nicotine treatment was confirmed by monitoring locomotor activity as previously described (Morud et al. 2016). A subset of animals was maintained drug-free for 28 weeks (6 months) following the initial nicotine treatment after which they received six additional doses of nicotine (for schematic overview of protocol see Fig. 2). The experimental protocols were approved by the Ethics Committee for Animal Experiments, Gothenburg, Sweden.

**Brain slice preparation**

Brain slice preparation and field potential recordings were performed as previously described (Clarke & Adermark 2010). In brief, the animals were deeply anesthetized with isoflurane (Forene, Baxter, Kista, Sweden) and decapitated. The brains were placed in ice-cold modified aCSF containing (in mM) 194 sucrose, 30 NaCl, 4.5 KCl, 1 MgCl₂, 26 NaHCO₃, 1.2 NaH₂PO₄, and 10 D-glucose, saturated with oxygen. The brain slices were sectioned coronally (300 μm) by using a Leica VT 1200S Vibratome (Leica Microsystems AB, Bromma, Sweden) and were allowed to equilibrate for 15 minutes at 30°C, and then for at least 1 hour at room temperature in normal aCSF containing (in mM) 124 NaCl, 4.5 KCl, 2 CaCl₂, 1 MgCl₂, 26 NaHCO₃, 1.2 NaH₂PO₄, and 10 D-glucose, with osmolarity adjusted to approximately 355–363
300 mosmol/kg with sucrose, continuously bubbled with a mixture of 95 percent O₂/5% CO₂ gas.

Field potential recordings

One hemisphere of a slice was transferred to a recording chamber and perfused with pre-warmed aCSF kept at 30°C. A stimulating electrode (monopolar tungsten electrode, type TM33B, World Precision Instruments, FL, USA) was placed at the border of the subcortical white matter and the striatum, and postsynaptic spikes (PSs) were evoked in the DLS (Fig. 1) by paired-pulse stimulation (50-ms interpulse interval), evoked at a frequency of 0.05 Hz. Stimulus intensity (0.01–0.035 mA) was adjusted so that the PS amplitude was approximately half maximum the size of the maximal response. Signals were amplified by a custom-made amplifier, filtered at 3 kHz and digitized at 8 kHz. High-frequency stimulation (HFS) of cortical afferents synapsing on dopamine D1 and D2 receptors expressing medium spiny neurons in the DLS has previously been established to induce postsynaptic eCB production and release, resulting in eCB-LTD (Adermark & Lovinger 2009). After monitoring a stable baseline for at least 10 minutes, eCB-mediated LTD was induced by HFS (4 trains of 50 pulses delivered at 100 Hz with a 4-s intertrain interval) of afferent fibers as previously described (Adermark & Lovinger 2009). In selected experiments, the brain slices from nicotine-treated or vehicle-treated rats were pre-treated with agonists/antagonists targeting CB1R signaling (WIN55,212-2, AM251, and TC-F 2), nAChRs (nicotine and mecamylamine), muscarinic acetylcholine receptor (mACHRs; scopolamine), dopamine receptors (quinpirole, sulpiride, and SCH23390), mGlurRs (DHPG and LY354740) or GABAergic receptors (bicuculline). All compounds were perfused for at least 20 minutes prior to HFS and remained in the bath.

Figure 1  Nicotine facilitates endocannabinoid-mediated long-term depression (eCB-LTD). (a) Simplified schematic drawing showing the postulated signaling pathways through which nicotine facilitates eCB-LTD. Nicotine may activate nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChRs) on dopaminergic terminals, thereby enhancing dopamine release and D2 receptor activation, indirectly reducing the cholinergic tone. This, in turn, decreases the activation of muscarinic acetylcholine receptors (mACHRs), which relieves the break on postsynaptic L-type calcium channels, thereby facilitating calcium influx and eCB production. (b) Schematic drawing showing the position of recording in the dorsolateral striatum (DLS). (c and d) Ex vivo exposure to nicotine (1 μM) enhanced LTD induced by high-frequency stimulation (HFS) in a manner that was prevented by pre-treatment with the nAChR antagonist mecamylamine (10 μM). (e) Upper example traces showing evoked postsynaptic spikes (PSs) at baseline (black) and following HFS (gray) in an aCSF-treated slice, while lower traces show evoked PSs in a nicotine-treated slice. Calibrations are 0.2 mV and 2 ms. (f) Pre-treatment with the dopamine D1 receptor antagonist SCH23390 (0.5 μM) did not prevent nicotine-induced facilitation of eCB-LTD. (g) LTD was blocked by the CB1R antagonist AM251 (2 μM) and not restored by nicotine, supporting a role for eCBs in mediating the depression. (h) The fatty acid amide hydrolase (FAAH) inhibitor TC-2F (5 μM) greatly enhanced HFS-induced depression and occluded nicotine-induced facilitation, supporting a role for the eCB anandamide in mediating eCB-LTD. (i) Synaptic depression induced by the CB1R agonist WIN55,212-2 (2 μM) was not enhanced by nicotine. (j) Pre-treatment with the dopamine D2 receptor agonist quinpirole (5 μM) occluded nicotine-induced facilitation of eCB-LTD. (k) Nicotine did not promote eCB-LTD in slices treated with the non-selective mACHRs antagonist scopolamine (10 μM). Time course figures show mean amplitude as compared with individual baseline ± SEM. The arrows mark time points for HFS stimulation. The number of brain slices (n), obtained from at least three different animals, is indicated in each figure. All experiments were run in parallel to their individual control.
throughout the experiment. In all experiments, slices from nicotine-treated rats were run in parallel to vehicle-treated controls.

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed with Clampex 10.1 (Molecular Devices, Foster City, CA) and assembled in GRAPHPAD PRISM. Gaussian distribution was tested with D’Agostino and Pearson omnibus normality test. All data are presented as mean values ± SEM, and the level of significance was set to P < 0.05. Group effects and treatment effects were analyzed by using two-way ANOVA and t-test when applicable. Mann Whitney U-test was used for nonparametric data.

RESULTS

Nicotine exposure ex vivo facilitates eCB-LTD in slices from nicotine naïve rats

Continuous bath perfusion of nicotine (1 μM, starting ≥20 min prior to HFS) significantly enhanced LTD in a manner that was inhibited by pre-treatment with the non-competitive nAChR antagonist mecamylamine (10 μM) (two-way ANOVA, 1 = 15.43, 3 min: aCSF versus nicotine: F(1, 24) = 20, P < 0.001; mecamylamine versus mecamylamine + nicotine: F(1, 25) = 0.02, P > 0.05) (Fig. 1). The net outcome of synaptic plasticity in the striatum is balanced by long-term potentiation (LTP) and LTD at both excitatory and inhibitory synapses (Ademark & Lovinger 2009). The decrease in PS amplitude induced by HFS could thus be influenced by changes in LTP formation. However, inhibition of dopamine D1 receptors (SCH23390, 0.5 μM), which prevents striatal LTP (Lovinger 2010), did not modulate LTD or the effect displayed by nicotine (F(1, 15) = 12, P < 0.01) (Fig. 1f). On the other hand, HFS-induced LTD was completely blocked by the CB1Rs antagonist AM251 (2 μM), establishing a role for eCBs in mediating this form of LTD (eCB-LTD) (Fig. 1g). Importantly, nicotine did not promote LTD formation in slices pre-treated with AM251 (AM251: F(1, 11) = 2.01, P > 0.05) (Fig. 1g). Previous research has put forward anandamide as the primary eCB mediator of LTD (Lerner & Kreitzer 2012). Reducing the breakdown of anandamide by inhibiting FAAH (TC-2F, 5 μM) significantly enhanced eCB-LTD (F(1, 32) = 8.37, P < 0.05), further supporting a role for anandamide in eCB-LTD mediated by HFS in this brain region (Lerner & Kreitzer 2012). Nicotine did not further promote eCB-LTD in TC-2F-treated slices (F(1, 24) = 0.31, P > 0.05) (Fig. 1h).

To assess if nicotine facilitates HFS-LTD by enhancing postsynaptic eCB signaling or by presynaptic mechanisms, a subset of experiments were performed where LTD was induced by the CB1R agonist WIN55,212-2 (2 μM). WIN55,212-2-induced LTD was not enhanced in slices pretreated with nicotine, indicating that nicotine facilitates eCB production or release (F(1, 14) = 0.26, P > 0.05) (Fig. 1i). nAChRs are located on dopaminergic terminals and could exert its influence over eCB production by altering dopamine-release (Fig. 1a) (Yan, Song, & Surmeier 1997; Partridge et al. 2002). Supporting this theory, the dopamine D2 receptor agonist quinpirole occluded nicotine-induced facilitation of LTD (F(1, 23) = 1.62, P > 0.05) (Fig. 1i). Dopamine D2 receptor activation has been postulated to be upstream from mAChRs, which exert a strong control over calcium influx in rat striatal neurons (Howe & Surmeier 1995; Wang et al. 2006). Pre-treatment with the unselective mAChR antagonist scopolamine (5 μM) also occluded nicotine-induced facilitation of eCB-LTD (F(1, 29) = 1.32, P > 0.05) (Fig. 1k). Nicotine could thus act by facilitating postsynaptic calcium influx, thereby reducing the threshold for eCB production and release (Fig. 1a).

Repeated nicotine treatment in vivo does not affect ex vivo eCB-LTD

In the next set of experiments, eCB-LTD was studied in brain slices from adult rats (280–350 g) receiving 15 doses of nicotine over a period of 3 weeks. This treatment paradigm, where treatment is interrupted to promote incuba- tion effects, has repeatedly been shown to produce long-lasting behavioral sensitization, which might even be lifelong (Vezina, McGehee, & Green 2007; Morud et al. 2016). Locomotor activity was recorded to confirm that a sensitized response to the locomotor-stimulatory properties of nicotine was established after 15 days of nicotine administration (paired t-test, 1st versus 15th injection: t = 6.30, df = 12, P < 0.001) (Fig. 2b). To avoid acute withdrawal effects, eCB-LTD was studied 1 week after the last nicotine exposure. eCB-Mediated LTD induced by HFS was not sig- nificantly modulated in brain slices from animals receiving intermittent nicotine-treatment as compared with vehicle-treated controls (two-way ANOVA: F(1,22) = 0.59, P > 0.05) (Fig. 2f), neither was synaptic depression induced by the CB1R agonist WIN55,212-2 (F(1,17) = 0.05, P > 0.05) (data not shown). However, ex vivo exposure to nicotine (1 μM) did not facilitate eCB-LTD in brain slices from animals treated repeatedly with nicotine in vivo (slices from nicotine-treated rats with or without nicotine-administration ex vivo: F(1,17) = 0.09, P > 0.05) (Fig. 2c). To further define the influence of repeated nicotine on eCB-LTD, one set of rats was treated with nicotine for 5 days after which slice recordings were performed 2–5 hours after the last drug injection. Similar to the data retrieved following 15 days of treatment, synaptic depression induced by HFS was not significantly modulated in this group of rats (F(1,19) = 0.00, P > 0.05) (data not shown).
Impaired eCB-LTD following protracted withdrawal

Recent research suggests that nicotine withdrawal produces progressive neuroadaptations in striatal subregions (Adermark et al. 2016). In a way to define temporal changes in eCB signaling, HFS-induced LTD was assessed after 1, 3 and 6 months of nicotine withdrawal. Slice electrophysiology revealed that eCB-LTD was impaired after both 1 and 3 months of nicotine withdrawal (two-way ANOVA, vehicle versus nicotine-treated: 1-month withdrawal: $F_{(1,20)} = 12, P < 0.01$; 3-month withdrawal: $F_{(1,14)} = 7.87, P < 0.05$) (Fig. 2d and e). Inhibiting the breakdown of anandamide by pre-treating the slices with the FAAH-inhibitor TC-2F (5 μM) was not sufficient to restore eCB-LTD in brain slices from rats treated with nicotine 1 or 3 months earlier. (f) The fatty acid amide hydrolase (FAAH) inhibitor TC-2F did not restore eCB-LTD in brain slices from nicotine treated rats. (g) Following 6 months of withdrawal from nicotine eCB-LTD was reinstated (nicotine) but impaired after brief re-administration of nicotine (nicotine + 6). (h) The bar graph shows mean PS amplitude ± SEM after 70–75 minutes in brain slices from vehicle, from rats treated with nicotine 6 months earlier (nicotine), and previously treated rats receiving six additional exposures to nicotine (nicotine + 6). Time course figures show mean amplitude as compared with baseline ± SEM. The arrows mark time points for HFS. Significance compared with baseline *$P < 0.05$. The number of brain slices ($n$), obtained from at least three different animals, is indicated in each figure.

Figure 2: Impaired endocannabinoid-mediated long-term depression (eCB-LTD) following protracted abstinence. (a) Time course graph showing locomotor activity during the progress of behavioral sensitization. (b) Bar graph showing behavioral sensitization toward the locomotor-stimulatory properties of nicotine when comparing the first with the last session. (c) Repeated nicotine-treatment terminated 1 week prior to recordings did not alter high-frequency stimulation (HFS)-induced eCB-LTD. Facilitation of eCB-LTD by ex vivo nicotine, however, was not present in brain slices from rats previously exposed to nicotine. (d and e) Endocannabinoid-LTD was significantly impaired in brain slices from rats treated with nicotine 1 or 3 months earlier. (f) The fatty acid amide hydrolase (FAAH) inhibitor TC-2F did not restore eCB-LTD in brain slices from nicotine treated rats. (g) Following 6 months of withdrawal from nicotine eCB-LTD was reinstated (nicotine) but impaired after brief re-administration of nicotine (nicotine + 6). (h) The bar graph shows mean PS amplitude ± SEM after 70–75 minutes in brain slices from vehicle, from rats treated with nicotine 6 months earlier (nicotine), and previously treated rats receiving six additional exposures to nicotine (nicotine + 6). Time course figures show mean amplitude as compared with baseline ± SEM. The arrows mark time points for HFS. Significance compared with baseline *$P < 0.05$. The number of brain slices ($n$), obtained from at least three different animals, is indicated in each figure.
$P > 0.05$; two-way ANOVA: nicotine versus nicotine + 6; $F_{(1,14)} = 4.74, P < 0.05$) (Fig. 2g and h).

**Dopamine D2 receptor activation restores eCB-LTD in nicotine-treated rats**

To define the locus of impaired LTD, another batch of rats was treated with nicotine for 3 weeks and underwent 3 months of withdrawal before electrophysiological recordings were performed. Supporting data from the first batch of animals, eCB-LTD was impaired in brain slices from animals receiving nicotine 3 months earlier (two-way ANOVA: $F_{(1, 17)} = 11.34, P < 0.01$) (Fig. 3a).

To determine if changes in presynaptic properties could underlie impaired eCB-LTD, presynaptic depression was induced by the mGluR2/3 receptor agonist LY354740 (20 nM). LY354740, which primarily activates autoreceptors located on glutamatergic terminals, suppressed PS amplitude to a similar extent in both treatment groups ($F_{(1, 20)} = 0.63, P > 0.05$) (Fig. 3b). LTD induced by the CB1R agonist WIN55,212-2 (2 μM) was also comparable between the two groups, suggesting that presynaptic eCB signaling remains intact (two-way ANOVA, vehicle versus nicotine: aCSF pre-treated: $F_{(1, 31)} = 0.00, P > 0.05$; bicuculline pre-treated (20 μM): $F_{(1, 20)} = 0.00, P > 0.05$) (Fig. 3c). Administration of DHPG (100 μM), which previously has been shown to induce eCB-LTD by activating postsynaptic group I mGluRs (Kreitzer & Malenka 2005), only suppressed PS amplitude in vehicle treated rats, supporting the hypothesis that impaired eCB-LTD is linked to reduced postsynaptic eCB production or release (two-way ANOVA, vehicle versus nicotine: $F_{(1, 21)} = 6.16, P < 0.05$) (Fig. 3d).

In an attempt to restore eCB-LTD following 3 months of withdrawal, the slices were perfused with nicotine for 20 minutes prior to HFS and continuous throughout the experiment. eCB-LTD was robustly induced in brain slices from vehicle-treated rats (unpaired t-test $t = 35–40$ min, $t = 6.82, df = 14, P < 0.001$), but not in...
slices from rats treated with nicotine 3 months earlier ($t = 1.04$, df = 14, $P > 0.05$; two-way ANOVA, vehicle versus nicotine: $F_{(1, 28)} = 23$, $P < 0.0001$) (Fig. 3e). Pre-treatment with the GABA A receptor antagonist bicuculline was also ineffective in restoring LTD ($F_{(1, 17)} = 10$, $P < 0.01$) (Fig. 3f). Administration of the dopamine D2 receptor agonist quinpirole ($5 \mu M$) for 20 minutes prior to HFS, and continuous throughout the experiment, reinstated eCB-LTD (two-way ANOVA $t = 15–40$ min vehicle versus nicotine: $F_{(1, 25)} = 0.58$, $P < 0.01$) (Fig. 3i), as did the unselective mAChR antagonist scopolamine ($5 \mu M$) ($F_{(1, 14)} = 0.03$, $P > 0.05$) (Fig. 3j).

**DISCUSSION**

The data presented here suggest that nicotine differentially affects eCB signaling *ex vivo* depending on previous exposure *in vivo*. In the nicotine-naive brain, nicotine facilitates eCB-signaling and plasticity, whereas after subchronic exposure, tolerance develops to this facilitating effect, and, finally, a progressive impairment of eCB-induced LTD is established after protracted withdrawal from nicotine. We also show that even though plasticity is restored after 6 months of withdrawal, brief *in vivo* re-exposure is sufficient to disrupt eCB signaling again. Even though no causality can be established here, it is possible that nicotine-induced facilitation of eCB signaling and synaptic plasticity contributes to the addictive properties of nicotine and habitual nicotine use.

Perfusion of nicotine to drug-naive slices potentiated eCB-LTD induced by HFS but not LTD induced by the CB1R agonist WIN55,212-2, indicating that nicotine facilitates eCB production or release, or reduces eCB metabolism. This is in line with previous findings from the ventral tegmental area showing enhanced levels of the eCBs arachidonylethanolamide and 2-arachidonoyl-glycerol after nicotine self-administration (Buczenski et al. 2013). It is also in agreement with previous studies showing that antagonists targeting nAChRs on dopaminergic terminals impair eCB-signaling (Partridge et al. 2002). Inhibition of nAChRs on dopaminergic terminals has been postulated to reduce the activation of dopamine D2 receptors located on cholinergic interneurons, thereby increasing acetylcholine-release and mAChR activation, resulting in reduced postsynaptic calcium influx (Yan et al. 1997; Partridge et al. 2002).

Elevated postsynaptic calcium is a prerequisite for eCB production (Adermark & Lovinger 2007a), and mAChRs exert a strong control over calcium influx in rat striatal neurons (Howe & Surmeier 1995; Wang et al. 2006) (Fig. 1i). Changes in cholinergic activity, putatively connected to changes in dopaminergic tone, may thus regulate calcium influx and thereby the threshold for eCB signaling via mAChRs. This hypothesis is also supported by the data presented here showing that nicotine exposure was insufficient to facilitate eCB-LTD in slices pre-treated with dopamine D2 agonist or mAChR antagonist. Importantly, the firing frequency of striatal cholinergic interneurons has been shown to be reduced following nicotine administration *ex vivo* (Storey et al. 2016). Nicotine-induced suppression of cholinergic activity could thus reduce mAChR activation, thereby relieving the break on L-type calcium channels to allow postsynaptic calcium to reach the threshold required for eCB production (Howe & Surmeier 1995; Wang et al. 2006; Adermark & Lovinger 2007a). It should be noted, however, that there is still some debate as to where the dopamine D2 receptors required for eCB-LTD are located and whether they exert a direct or indirect control over medium spiny neuron excitability (Lerner & Kreitzer 2012). It is thus possible that the influence from cholinergic neurons lies upstream, rather than downstream from dopamine D2 receptor activation. Nevertheless, nicotine did not facilitate eCB-LTD in rats receiving repeated nicotine administration *in vivo*, indicating that tolerance to this effect develops over time. This finding could be related to long-lasting desensitization of nAChR and a blunted dopamine elevation in response to repeated nicotine administration, which has previously been reported in self-administering rats using *in vivo* microdialysis (Rahman et al. 2004).

Following protracted withdrawal from nicotine, eCB-LTD was completely blocked for up to 3 months. This finding is partially supported by previous studies, showing impaired eCB-LTD and reduced levels of the eCB arachidonylethanolamide in the dorsal striatum following repeated administration of nicotine (Gonzalez et al. 2002; Abburi et al. 2016). Impaired eCB-LTD was not restored by TC-2F suggesting that this finding is not caused by enhanced FAAH activity, but rather linked to a reduced postsynaptic release of eCBs. The progressive impairment of eCB signaling could be linked to elevated extracellular levels of acetylcholine, which has previously been shown in the ventral striatum after continuous nicotine-administration followed by withdrawal (Cardona et al. 2014). In fact, a rise in extracellular levels of acetylcholine, coupled with a decrease in dopamine, has been reported during withdrawal from several different drugs of abuse, including nicotine (Avena & Rada 2012). Considering that eCB-LTD requires a tight temporal and spatial linkage between dopamine release with other events involved in the induction of plasticity (Calabresi et al. 2007), transformations in dopaminergic and cholinergic systems could thus underlie impaired eCB-LTD, not only after nicotine exposure but also for other drugs of abuse.

Drug addiction is a chronic relapsing disorder that involves progressive adaptations of cortico-striatal...
networks (Yin, Oslund, & Balleine 2008; Belin et al. 2009; Belin et al. 2013; Adermark et al. 2016), and the data presented here suggest that the eCB system might play a role in mediating these transformations. Even though no causal relationship with behavioral transformations was assessed in this study, it is possible that nicotine-induced facilitation of eCB signaling could promote neuroadaptations and contribute to the initial conditioning and motivational effects elicited by nicotine. In addition, impaired eCB signaling, as detected following protracted withdrawal, might lead to impaired memory consolidation and compromised behavioral adaptations, possibly influencing or affecting the recovery from addiction and re-learning during smoking cessation (Subramanayan & Dani 2015). This hypothesis is partially supported by preclinical studies showing impaired learning and memory following prenatal or postnatal nicotine exposure (Han et al. 2014). Taken together, the eCB-system has been linked to several neurobiological processes related to nicotine dependence (Scherra et al. 2008), and our data show that nicotine produces long-lasting effects on eCB-LTD that could be important for the addictive properties of nicotine and for habitual nicotine use (Gerdein et al. 2003). In addition, our data suggest that the signaling pathways that cause maladaptive plasticity are rapidly recruited during re-exposure. Future studies will define the behavioral adaptations associated with impaired eCB-LTD and show if restoring striatal eCB-signaling could have a significant impact on the treatment of nicotine addiction.

Author Contribution

L.A. was responsible for the concept of the study. L.A. performed the field potential recordings and wrote the manuscript. J.M. performed the in vivo drug treatment, behavioral experiments and analysis of this part of the data. A.L. assisted during electrophysiological and behavioral recordings. B.S. and M.E. contributed with scientific expertise, interpretation of results and revisions of the paper. All authors critically reviewed content and approved the final version for publication.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Stiftelsen Psykiatriska Forskningsfonden, the Swedish Brain Foundation, the Swedish Medical Research Council (Diary numbers 2014–3888, 2014–3887 and 2015-02894), Åhlenstiftelsen, Åke Wibergs stiftelse, Kungliga Vetenskaps- och Vitterhets-Samhillet i Göteborg, the Alcohol Research Council of the Swedish Alcohol Retailing Monopoly, Bror Gadelius Minnesfond, Thurings stiftelse, Wilhelm och Martina Lundgrens vetenskapsfond, Magnus Bergvall’s Foundation and governmental support under the LUA/ALF agreement.

References

Abburi, C. Wolfman SL, Metz RA, Kamber R, McGehee DS, McDaid J (2016) Tolerance to ethanol or nicotine results in increased ethanol self-administration and long-term depression in the dorsolateral striatum. eNeuro 3.

Adermark L (2011) Modulation of endocannabinoid-mediated long-lasting disinhibition of striatal output by cholinergic interneurons. Neuropharmacology 61:1134–1120.

Adermark L, Jonsson S, Ericson M, Soderpalm B (2011) Intermittent ethanol consumption depresses endocannabinoid-signaling in the dorsolateral striatum of rat. Neuropharmacology 61:1160–1165.

Adermark L, Lovinger DM (2007a) Combined activation of L-type Ca2+ channels and synaptic transmission is sufficient to induce striatal long-term depression. The Journal of neuroscience: the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience 27:6781–6787.

Adermark L, Lovinger DM (2007b) Retrograde endocannabinoid signaling at striatal synapses requires a regulated postsynaptic release step. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 104:20564–20569.

Adermark L, Lovinger DM (2009) Frequency-dependent inversion of net striatal output by endocannabinoid-dependent plasticity at different synaptic inputs. The Journal of neuroscience: the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience 29:1375–1380.

Adermark L, Morud J, Lotfi A, Danielsson K, Ulenius L, Soderpalm B, Ericson M (2016) Temporal rewiring of striatal circuits initiated by nicotine. Neuropsychopharmacology: official publication of the American College of Neuropsychopharmacology 41:3051–3059.

Arnold JC (2005) The role of endocannabinoid transmission in cocaine addiction. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 81:396–406.

Atwood BK, Kupferschmidt DA, Lovinger DM (2014) Opioids induce dissociable forms of long-term depression of excitatory inputs to the dorsal striatum. Nat Neurosci 17:540–548.

Avena NM, Rada PV (2012) Cholinergic modulation of food and drug satiety and withdrawal. Physiol Behav 106:332–336.

Belin D, Belin-Rauscent A, Murray JE, Everitt BJ (2013) Addiction: failure of control over maladaptive incentive habits. Curr Opin Neurobiol 23:564–572.

Belin D, Jonkman S, Dickinson A, Robbins TW, Everitt BJ (2009) Parallel and interactive learning processes within the basal ganglia: relevance for the understanding of addiction. Behav Brain Res 199:89–102.

Buczynski MW, Polis IY, Parsons LH (2014) The volitional nature of nicotine exposure alters anandamide and oleoylthanolamide levels in the ventral tegmental area. Neuropsychopharmacology: official publication of the American College of Neuropsychopharmacology 38:574–584.

Calabresi P, Picconi B, Tozzi A, Di Filippo M (2007) Dopamine-mediated regulation of corticostriatal synaptic plasticity. Trends Neurosci 30:211–219.

Carcoba LM, Orfila JE, Natividad LA, Torres OV, Pipkin JA, Ferrer PL, Custaneda E, Moss DE, O’Dell LE (2014) Cholinergic transmission during nicotine withdrawal is influenced by age and pre-exposure to nicotine: implications for teenage smoking. Dev Neurosci 36:347–355.

Custane A, Valjent E, Ledent C, Parmentier M, Maldonado R, Valverde O (2002) Lack of CB1 cannabinoid receptors modifies
nicotine behavioural responses, but not nicotine abstinence. Neuropharmacology 43:857–867.

Cheer JF, Wussam KM, Sombers LA, Heien ML, Ariansen JL, Aragona BJ, Phillips PE, Wightman RM (2007) Phasic dopamine release evoked by abused substances requires cannabinoid receptor activation. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience 27:791–795.

Clarke RB, Adermark L (2010) Acute ethanol treatment prevents endocannabinoid-mediated long-lasting disinhibition of striatal output. Neuropharmacology 58:799–805.

Cohen C, Perraullt G, Voltz C, Steinberg R, Soubrie P (2002) SR141716, a central cannabinoid (CB1) receptor antagonist, blocks the motivational and dopamine-releasing effects of nicotine in rats. Behav Pharmacol 13:451–463.

Fattore L, Melis M, Fadda P, Pists M, Fratta W (2010) The endocannabinoid system and nondonor rewarding behaviours. Exp Neurol 224:23–36.

Fourgeaud L, Mato S, Bouchet D, Hemar A, Worley PF, Manzoni OJ (2004) A single in vivo exposure to cocaine abolishes endocannabinoid-mediated long-term depression in the nucleus accumbens. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience 24:6939–6945.

Gamaleddin I, Guranda M, Scherma M, Fratta W, Makriyannis A, Vadivel SK, Goldberg SR, Le Foll B (2013) AM404 attenuates reinstatement of nicotine seeking induced by nicotine-associated cues and nicotine priming but does not affect nicotine- and food-taking. J Psychopharmacol 27:564–571.

Gerde man GL, Partridge JG, Lupica CR, Lovinger DM (2003) It could be habit forming: drugs of abuse and striatal synaptic plasticity. Trends Neurosci 26:184–192.

Gonzalez S, Cascio MG, Fernandez-Ruiz J, Fezza F, Di Marzo V, Ramos JA (2002) Changes in endocannabinoid contents in the brain of rats chronically exposed to nicotine, ethanol or cocaine. Brain Res 954:73–81.

Gremel CM, Costa RM (2013) Orbitofrontal and striatal circuits dynamically encode the shift between goal-directed and habitual actions. Nat Commun 4:2264.

Hadjiconstantinou M, Nef N (2011) Nicotine and endogenous opioids: neurochemical and pharmacological evidence. Neuropharmacology 60:1209–1220.

Han G, An L, Yang B, Si L, Zhang T (2014) Nicotine-induced impairments of spatial cognition and long-term potentiation in adolescent male rats. Hum Exp Toxicol 33:203–213.

Howe AR, Surmeier DJ (1995) Muscarinic receptors modulate N-, P- and L-type Ca2+ currents in rat striatal neurons through parallel pathways. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience 15:458–469.

Jones S, Bonci A (2005) Synaptic plasticity and drug addiction. Curr Opin Pharmacol 5:20–25.

Kreitzer AC, Malenka RC (2005) Dopamine modulation of state-dependent endocannabinoid release and long-term depression in the striatum. The Journal of neuroscie nce : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience 25:10357–10545.

Lerner TN, Kreitzer AC (2012) RGS4 is required for dopaminergic control of striatal LTD and susceptibility to parkinsonian motor deficits. Neuron 73:347–359.

Lovinger DM (2010) Neurotransmitter roles in synaptic modulation, plasticity and learning in the dorsal striatum. Neuropharmacology 58:951–961.

Merritt LL, Martin BR, Walters C, Lichtman AH, Damaj MI (2008) The endogenous cannabinoid system modulates nicotine reward and dependence. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 326:483–492.

Morud J, Adermark L, Perez-Alcazar M, Ericson M, Soderpalm B (2016) Nicotine produces chronic behavioral sensitization with changes in accumbal neurotransmission and increased sensitivity to re-exposure. Addict Biol 21:397–406.

Nabavi S, Fox R, Proulx CD, Lin JY, Tsien RY, Malinow R (2014) Engineering a memory with LTD and LTP. Nature 511:348–352.

Partridge JG, Apparsundaram S, Gerhardt GA, Ronesi J, Lovinger DM (2002) Nicotinic acetylcholine receptors interact with dopamine in induction of striatal long-term depression. The journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience 22:2541–2549.

Rahman S, Zhang J, Engleman EA, Corrigall WA (2004) Neuroadaptive changes in the mesocaccumbens dopamine system after nicotine exposure. J Neurochem 89:1448–1456.

Rahman S, Zhang J, Engleman EA, Corrigall WA (2004) Neuroadaptive changes in the mesocaccumbens dopamine system after nicotine exposure. J Neurochem 89:1448–1456.

Rahman S, Zhang J, Engleman EA, Corrigall WA (2004) Neuroadaptive changes in the mesocaccumbens dopamine system after nicotine exposure. J Neurochem 89:1448–1456.

Reul JM, Pisa I, Bonci A, Jones S, Bonci A (2005) Synaptic plasticity and learning in the dorsal striatum. Neurosci 28:1437

Rahman S, Zhang J, Engleman EA, Corrigall WA (2004) Neuroadaptive changes in the mesocaccumbens dopamine system after nicotine exposure. J Neurochem 89:1448–1456.

Reul JM, Pisa I, Bonci A, Jones S, Bonci A (2005) Synaptic plasticity and learning in the dorsal striatum. Neurosci 28:1437

Rahman S, Zhang J, Engleman EA, Corrigall WA (2004) Neuroadaptive changes in the mesocaccumbens dopamine system after nicotine exposure. J Neurochem 89:1448–1456.

Reul JM, Pisa I, Bonci A, Jones S, Bonci A (2005) Synaptic plasticity and learning in the dorsal striatum. Neurosci 28:1437

Rahman S, Zhang J, Engleman EA, Corrigall WA (2004) Neuroadaptive changes in the mesocaccumbens dopamine system after nicotine exposure. J Neurochem 89:1448–1456.

Reul JM, Pisa I, Bonci A, Jones S, Bonci A (2005) Synaptic plasticity and learning in the dorsal striatum. Neurosci 28:1437

Rahman S, Zhang J, Engleman EA, Corrigall WA (2004) Neuroadaptive changes in the mesocaccumbens dopamine system after nicotine exposure. J Neurochem 89:1448–1456.