Interventions to address the mental health of adolescents and young adults living with or affected by HIV: state of the evidence
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Abstract

Introduction: Adolescents and young adults (AYA) remain vulnerable to HIV-infection and significant co-morbid mental health challenges that are barriers to treatment and prevention efforts. Globally millions of AYA are living with HIV (AYALH) and/or have been affected by HIV in their families (AYAAH), with studies highlighting the need for mental health programmes. With no current guidelines for delivering mental health interventions for AYALH or AYAAH, a scoping review was undertaken to explore current evidence-based mental health interventions for AYALH and AYAAH to inform future work.

Methods: The review, targeting work between 2014 and 2020, initially included studies of evidence-based mental health interventions for AYALH and AYAAH, ages 10 to 24 years, that used traditional mental health treatments. Given the few studies identified, we expanded our search to include psychosocial interventions that had mental health study outcomes.

Results and discussion: We identified 13 studies, seven focused on AYALH, five on AYAAH, and one on both. Most studies took place in sub-Saharan Africa. Depression was targeted in eight studies with the remainder focused on a range of emotional and behavioural symptoms. Few studies used evidence-based approaches such as Cognitive Behaviour Therapy; psychosocial approaches included mental health treatments, group-based and family strengthening interventions, economic empowerment combined with family strengthening, group-based mindfulness and community interventions. Eleven studies were randomized control trials with four pilot studies. There was variation in sample size, treatment delivery mode (individual focus, group-based, family focus), and measures of effectiveness across studies. Most used trained lay counsellors as facilitators, with few using trained mental health professionals. Eleven studies reported positive intervention effects on mental health.

Conclusions: Despite the need for mental health interventions for AYALH and AYAAH, we know surprisingly little about mental health treatment for this vulnerable population. There are some promising approaches, but more work is needed to identify evidence-based approaches and corresponding mechanisms of change. Given limited resources, integrating mental health treatment into healthcare settings and using digital health approaches may support more standardized and scalable treatments. Greater emphasis on implementation science frameworks is needed to create sustainable mental health treatment for AYALH and AYAAH globally.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

In 2019, 1.7 million people were newly infected with HIV, with 460,000 of these new infections in adolescents and young adults (AYA), ages 10 to 24-years [1,2]. The number of new AYA infections is largely driven by horizontal transmission and 9% of new infections still occur in children 14 years and older, primarily through vertical transmission [2]. Moreover, improvements in antiretroviral therapy (ART) have resulted in children with perinatally acquired HIV (PHIV) surviving into adolescence and adulthood. AYA with PHIV experience unique challenges to those with behaviourally acquired HIV, including developmental delays, neurocognitive issues, and not finding out their diagnosis until older. Furthermore, sub-populations of AYA experience unique vulnerabilities, for example, adolescent girls and young women accounted for 25% of new infections in sub-Saharan Africa in 2019, despite making up about 10% of the total population [1]. In the United States (USA), young men who have sex with men, followed by young transgender women are at greatest risk for HIV-infection, particularly Black and Latinx populations. These same groups are also at high risk in many Asian and Pacific countries [3]. While many countries are reporting excellent progress towards HIV prevention, recent data confirm a bleak picture globally for AYA. Older adolescents and younger adults (15 to 24 years) compared to children and young adolescents (0 to 14 years)
impacted [17] given that more than 50% of adult mental and older adults (25+ years) have the lowest chance of knowing their status and the lowest likelihood of HIV viral suppression – along with the highest HIV incidence [4]. These data are being hidden in health information systems which pool figures in all those over the age of 14, and in those which merge older and younger adolescents [5]. Given the high burden of HIV among AYA, addressing the differential emotional and behavioural needs and complex vulnerabilities of AYA living with HIV (AYALH) is critical to treatment and prevention.

Mental health challenges are a critical barrier to ending the HIV epidemic across contexts and populations, given that they increase the risk of non-adherence to ART, poor engagement in care and sexual and substance use risk behaviours for HIV transmission [6-9]. Studies from multiple countries increasingly show high rates of various mental health problems in AYALH ranging from psychosocial distress and symptoms of emotional and behavioural problems to actual psychiatric disorders [7,9-14], with some studies finding higher rates of mental health problems in youth compared to those with PHIV [15,16].

Importantly, addressing mental health problems early in AYA development is crucial for the wellbeing of those impacted [17] given that more than 50% of adult mental health disorders appear before the age of 14 years globally [18-20]. During this period, AYA experience extensive physical, hormonal, neurocognitive and psychosocial transformations. These changes are challenging to manage in the context of living with a chronic, life-threatening, stigmatized and sexually transmissible virus, that can have significant neurological effects [7,21,22].

Unfortunately, understanding the role of HIV and other pathways of influence on mental health has been difficult to determine. Studies of AYALH have often utilized comparison groups of AYA affected by HIV (AYAAH) – including those who lost one or both parents to AIDS, or who are living in households with caregivers or family members living with HIV, and those who were perinatally HIV exposed, but uninfected (PHEU) as they share many of the same psychosocial and contextual vulnerabilities. Results show that AYAAH are also at increased risk for mental health problems [23,24], with some studies suggesting that they are at even greater risk than AYALH [11,14,16]. For example, in one study, AYAAH were more likely to be depressed, anxious and report internalized stigma, suicidal ideation and excessive substance use than AYALH [16]. Importantly, both groups have shown higher rates of mental health problems than the general population [8,25,26]. In low-resource contexts, where the majority of AYALH and AYAAH reside, limited availability of psychosocial supports adds to the challenges of coping with poor mental health [7,27-29].

There are numerous individual, familial, social and environmental risks that can profoundly influence life trajectories and mental health in both AYALH and AYAAH [7,27]. Both groups typically face ongoing and cumulative psychological stressors exacerbated by adverse environments including poverty, violence, discrimination, familial and environmental substance abuse or mental illness, as well as HIV-related illness and loss of caregivers. Both groups also confront stigma associated with familial HIV. All these factors have been associated with behavioural problems and psychiatric disorders, including post-traumatic stress disorder, depression and severe anxiety [30], which in turn may increase sexual risk behaviours, putting AYAAH at risk of HIV infection, and putting AYALH at risk for non-adherence to treatment, poor health outcomes, and secondary transmission to others. For these reasons, it is imperative to consider mental health interventions for both groups. Fortunately, over the past 20 years, substantial advances in evidence-based mental health treatment for AYA in the general population could be a basis for intervention work with AYALH and AYAAH. Evidence-based mental health treatment, that is a treatment approach supported by a body of research evidence relating to its efficacy, include Cognitive-Behavioural Therapy (CBT) [31,32], Interpersonal Psychotherapy (IPT) [33,34], Dialectical Behavioural Therapy (DBT) and Acceptance and Commitment Therapy [35]. Most of these treatments have been evaluated with AYA in multiple trials in multiple contexts, although primarily in resource-rich countries, led by professional therapists. Although limited, increasingly trials are being conducted for use by lay counsellors in low- and middle-income countries (LMIC) [36].

Relatedly, multiple reviews have documented that even fewer trials have tested interventions which target the mental health needs AYALH or AYAAH, particularly in LMIC, with mixed findings [9,24,29,37,38]. Due to the lack of sufficient evidence, the World Health Organization guidelines on mental health treatment and prevention for adolescents (Helping Adolescents Thrive) concluded that despite the priority of delivering mental health interventions to AYALH, no recommendations could be made and highlighted a need for high-quality research on psychosocial interventions promoting AYALH mental health [39]. A similar need has been advocated for AYAAH including uninfected AYA with PHEU given the high rates of mental health problems and potential risks of perinatal exposure to ART, as well as similar psychosocial and contextual risks as AYALH [40]. Given the research gap, a scoping review was undertaken to explore current evidence-based mental health interventions for AYALH and AYAAH (including uninfected AYA with PHEU) and to explore how research gaps could be addressed to inform evidence-based interventions for this population.

2 | METHODS

We followed the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) guidelines for scoping reviews [41], searching data in August and September 2020 using online electronic databases, including PubMed (which includes Medline), the following EBSCO databases Psycharticles, Socindex, Academic Search Complete, Family and Society Studies Worldwide; CINAHL; Web of Knowledge Social Science and Emerging sources databases; EMBASE; Proquest; Scopus; Cochrane Reviews; Epistemonikos (evidence-based healthcare database); CT.gov (http://apps.who.int/trialsearch) and the International Clinical Trials Registry Platform (https://clinicaltrials.gov). In addition, we examined the National Institute for Health databases and the AIDS 2020 Virtual Conference Oral Abstracts and E-posters conference proceedings. Lastly, additional hand searching, and citation tracking was done.

Given previous reviews [6-9,24,29,37,38,42], we focused our search on 2014 to 2020. We predefined our search using PubMed, using the following search filters: "AYALH" OR "AYAAH" AND "HIV" OR "mental health". We included studies that provided data on AYALH and AYAAH, and those that specifically focused on mental health interventions. We excluded studies that did not provide data on mental health outcomes, and those that did not involve AYALH or AYAAH. We also excluded studies that were not conducted on AYALH or AYAAH, and those that did not involve mental health interventions. We included studies that were conducted in LMIC and those that were conducted in high-resource contexts.

We followed the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) guidelines for scoping reviews [41], searching data in August and September 2020 using online electronic databases, including PubMed (which includes Medline), the following EBSCO databases Psycharticles, Socindex, Academic Search Complete, Family and Society Studies Worldwide; CINAHL; Web of Knowledge Social Science and Emerging sources databases; EMBASE; Proquest; Scopus; Cochrane Reviews; Epistemonikos (evidence-based healthcare database); CT.gov (http://apps.who.int/trialsearch) and the International Clinical Trials Registry Platform (https://clinicaltrials.gov). In addition, we examined the National Institute for Health databases and the AIDS 2020 Virtual Conference Oral Abstracts and E-posters conference proceedings. Lastly, additional hand searching, and citation tracking was done.

Given previous reviews [6-9,24,29,37,38,42], we focused our search on 2014 to 2020. We predefined our search using
Population, Intervention, Comparison, Outcome and Study (PICOS).

a. Population: AYALH or AYAAH, aged 10 to 24 years. Although adolescence is typically defined as ages 10 to 19 years, there is inconsistency in the definition across agencies and contexts. Additionally, in many clinical HIV settings, AYA remain in paediatric HIV care into their twenties (19 to 24 years). While it is recognized that several stages of development exist within adolescence itself (early – 10 to 13 years; middle – 14 to 16 years; late – 17 to 19 years), many studies do not distinguish between these stages, and thus we included studies using a broader definition of adolescence. This broad range ensured inclusion of a larger number of studies, with the recognition that younger and older adolescents may require different approaches.

b. Intervention: any mental health treatment approach, or psychosocial intervention that has promotion of mental health or reduction of mental health distress as an outcome. This could include a range of modalities, including school-based, social and family support, community and economic interventions, etc.

c. Comparison group: any choice of comparison group including no comparison group.

d. Outcome: any mental health outcome variable including psychiatric disorders, general mental illness or specific mental health problems (e.g. depression, anxiety); also included were the following: psychological or emotional wellbeing, psychosocial capital or resilience, or mental or emotional health; psychological distress or emotional distress or trauma.

e. Study design: any research study design that included a mental health-related intervention. Clinical notes or reports or case-based descriptions of mental health interventions were excluded.

f. Geographic location: all global locations were included. Even though a majority of AYALH and AYAAH live in sub-Saharan Africa, given the global epidemiology of HIV in women which in turn affects youth, we included evidence-based mental health treatments from across the globe.

The first author (AB) undertook the scoping review of databases described above using the above criteria and the search terms described in Appendix S1. Following the search, any duplicates were removed. The remaining titles were imported into Rayyan software to scan titles and abstracts for selection [43]. A second author (PK) independently searched 25% of the same list of titles and abstracts. This review process did not find discrepancies or additional titles for inclusion. Importantly, in the first review search, only four studies were identified using more traditional evidence-based mental health interventions (e.g. CBT, IPT). Thus, we expanded the review to include mental health promotion interventions or interventions that targeted mental health outcomes, including psychosocial, school-based, family strengthening and economic empowerment interventions [24,29,38].

For presentation, we organized the studies into several categories of interventions: individual mental health treatment; group and family strengthening; family based economic strengthening; group-based mindfulness training; and a community-based intervention. An analysis of the review results focuses on providing a detailed description of the mental health interventions, describing the targeted mental health conditions, the specific outcome measures used, the type of evidence-based intervention that was used, the setting in which this intervention was applied, the training and level of expertise required to deliver the intervention, and the outcomes of the mental health intervention.

3 | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Our database search identified 1335 records. After removing duplicates, the remaining 1145 titles were screened. The majority did not meet study criteria as most were descriptive or clinical accounts of individuals living with or affected by HIV and focused on social, demographic and clinical characteristics rather than interventions. Only 20 titles remained for full-text evaluation with 13 studies meeting inclusion criteria. The remainder did not have a mental health outcome, did not measure the effects of a mental health intervention, or focused on adult participants (Figure 1).

3.1 | Evaluation of risk of bias

An evaluation of the bias risk of the 13 studies in this review was done to establish the relative strength of evidence of mental health interventions for AYALH and AYAAH using the Method for Evaluating Research Guideline Evidence (MERGE) [44]. MERGE provides a simple and well-defined way of evaluating public health interventions. Each of the 13 articles reviewed were independently coded by two authors (AB, AP) based on criteria relevant to evaluating intervention study characteristics. An agreement of 85% was achieved with differences in scoring (two cases) resolved through discussion. Table 1 shows the overall rating for each study using MERGE guidelines. Of the 13 studies, six were very unlikely or unlikely to change in outcome, with seven likely or very likely to have changed outcomes as a function of design flaws (Table 1).

3.2 | General characteristics

Among the 13 studies, eight focused on AYALH [45-52], five on AYAAH [53-57] and one on both [58] (See Table 2). Nine studies took place in sub-Saharan Africa, three in Asia (China, Myanmar, Thailand), and two in North America (both USA).

Depression was the most common mental health problem studied (N = 9) [45-48,51,54-56,58], with various depression scales used, including the Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9) [45,48], the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale for Children [58], the Child Depression Inventory [47,51,54], the Zung Self-Rating Scale [57] and the Depression Anxiety Stress Scale [56]. Only one study used a formal depression diagnostic tool, the Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology-Clinician, since depression was an inclusion criterion for study participation [46].

Five studies utilized a general measure of emotional and behavioural symptoms, the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) [45,47,48,53,54], two studies assessed hopelessness [51,55], one focused on emotional regulation [49], and one study focused on self-esteem, self-worth, stigma (not
defined) and quality of life as indicators of mental health [50].
Apart from two studies [46,49], the measures in all others
were adapted/translated to suit local needs in terms of lan-
guage and meaning. None of the studies undertook any formal
validation of the measures used.
Importantly, the 13 studies reflect a range of intervention
strategies, including CBT, IPT, motivational interviewing (MI),
family strengthening, economic empowerment, mindfulness,
problem-solving and disclosure as presented below. Although
mental health had to be an outcome for our review, it was
not necessarily the only outcome – adherence, stigma and dis-
closure, for example were other outcomes in the studies.
Moreover, the interventions were implemented by a range of
facilitators from trained masters and doctoral-level therapists
with enhanced CBT skills to community adolescent treatment
supporters, trained lay health workers and skilled mindfulness
instructors. All the interventions included some form of facili-
tator training and supervision.

3.3 | Individual mental health treatment
interventions
As noted, only one study used a mental health diagnosis for
study inclusion criteria [46]. This study examined the influence
of depression treatment on adherence and health outcomes
among eight AYALH in the USA diagnosed with depression.
The intervention used CBT that included problem solving,
mood monitoring, behavioural activation and cognitive restruc-
turing to decrease obstacles to adherence and increase well-
ness (self-acceptance, positive relations with others, autonomy,
environmental mastery, purpose in life and personal growth).
Training in emotional regulation, social skills, assertiveness and
relaxation was also provided. This study found that at
24 weeks post-baseline, the criteria for remission were met
with a depression mean score of 1.5 compared to a score of
16 at baseline. Adherence improved from 74.3% to 90.5%.
Although promising, with only eight participants, no

Figure 1. (Scoping Review) PRISMA Guide.
A group-based intervention for AYALH in Tanzania and Kenya was adapted for AYALH, in part, from the USA, South Africa, and Thailand (also called CHAMPSA, CHAMP+, CHAMP+Asia and VUKA) [52]. CHAMP is a developmentally timed intervention which aims to prevent HIV infection and promote mental health in adolescents through promoting family and peer support, adolescent and caregiver skill building, and promotion of resilience [59-62].

### 3.4 Group and family strengthening interventions

One study used an randomized control trial (RCT) and stepped-wedge design to evaluate a combined individual (2 sessions) and group-based (10 sessions) mental health intervention for AYALH [45]. The intervention, delivered by trained young adult group leaders who were either living with HIV and/or had prior mental health research experience, addressed mental health challenges through teaching strategies to improve coping and family support, and reduce internal stigma. The intervention was adapted for AYALH, in part, from a CBT intervention for bereaved orphans in Tanzania and included components of IPT and MI. Depression and SDQ scores improved overall, but with no statistically significant group differences. Scores on internalized stigma (effect size 2.11), externalized stigma (effect size 1.5) and self-reported adherence scores (effect size 7.29) all improved significantly in the intervention arm compared to standard of care.

### Table 1. Risk of bias analysis

| Author and year | Comments | Overall assessment of bias | Rating | Outcomes |
|-----------------|----------|---------------------------|--------|----------|
| Betancourt et al (2017) | Contamination of community samples | Low-moderate risk | B1 | Unlikely to change |
| Cavazos-Rehg et al (2020) | Well-controlled study | Low risk of bias | A | Very unlikely to change |
| Dow et al (2020) | Some bias due to lack of blinding and differences in treatment approach | Low-moderate risk | B1 | Unlikely to change |
| Kennard et al (2014) | Case control study; small sample, no control group | High risk of bias | C | Very likely to change |
| Li et al (2014) | Small sample and potential bias in randomization process | Moderate-high risk | B2 | Likely to change |
| Mon et al (2016) | Randomized only some groups; measurement bias | Moderate-high risk | B2 | Likely to change |
| Nestadt et al (2019) | Small sample size, well controlled study | Low-moderate risk | B1 | Unlikely to change |
| Puffer et al (2016) | Potential contamination and temporal effects of stepped-wedge design | Low-moderate risk | B1 | Unlikely to change |
| Ssewamala et al (2016) | Well controlled study | Low-moderate risk | B1 | Unlikely to change |
| Thurman et al (2018) | Biased sampling, no randomization and no control group | High risk of bias | C | Very likely to change |
| Vreeman et al (2019) | Only sites randomized, not individual allocations | Moderate-high risk | B2 | Likely to change |
| Webb et al (2018) | Small sample size and 25% loss to follow-up | Moderate-high risk | B2 | Likely to change |
| Willis et al (2019) | Small sample size and 32% loss to follow-up | Moderate-high risk | B2 | Likely to change |

comparison group and multiple components, a larger trial is needed to evaluate intervention efficacy.

Another study, based in Kenya, examined a counselling intervention to enhance HIV disclosure among AYALH randomized to intervention or control. This disclosure intervention comprised intensive counselling with trained counsellors and resulted in increased disclosure over 24 months, but with no statistically significant group differences in depression and SDQ scores [48].

While the intervention resulted in significant improvements in family communication compared to the control group, there was no significant impact on AYAH mental health.

A few studies, all in LMIC, used family strengthening interventions. One pilot RCT with 88 families in Rwanda found that AYAH and AYALH had significantly fewer symptoms of depression after receiving a family home-based intervention to support mental health and promote parent-child relationships compared to controls [58]. Another study used an adapted version of the Collaborative HIV and Adolescent Mental Health Program (CHAMP), an evidence-based intervention originally developed for youth at risk for HIV in the USA and validated in a large-scale clinical trial [59], and then adapted for AYAH and AYALH in multiple contexts including the USA, South Africa, and Thailand (also called CHAMPSA, CHAMP+, CHAMP+Asia and VUKA) [52]. CHAMP is a developmentally timed intervention which aims to prevent HIV infection and promote mental health in adolescents through promoting family and peer support, adolescent and caregiver skill building, and promotion of resilience [59-62].

Several RCT pilot studies with early adolescents living with HIV, adapted this multiple family group-based intervention, CHAMP+ [47,61-63]. The most recent work, CHAMP+Asia took place in several HIV clinics in Thailand and focused on the promotion of mental health and ART adherence and prevention of sexual and drug-use risk behaviours in AYAH and can be delivered by lay counsellors, masters level psychologists or social workers. Six-month post baseline, AYALH in CHAMP+ condition had significantly improved adherence and mental health scores on the SDQ, but not on the Child Depression Inventory, compared to the comparison group [63].
| Author, year and country | Study population | HIV status and other criteria | Total N; gender and age | Intervention focus and facilitators | Study design & inclusion criteria | Mental health outcomes only | Summary of mental health findings only |
|--------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Betancourt et al (2017) Rwanda No MH requirement | AYAAH and AYALH living with one HIV positive caregiver | 82 families N = 170 AYAAH (7 to 17 years, mean = 11) (48% female) (12% HIV positive; n = 21) N = 123 caregivers | FSI-HIV (Family Strengthening Intervention) to promote mental health and improve parent–child relationships in families with caregivers living with HIV Manualized, delivered by: Bachelor level counsellors; 90-minute weekly home visiting; 8 sessions | Pilot RCT | • Depression | At 3 months, AYAAH in FSI-HIV showed fewer symptoms of depression compared to controls by self and parent report No significant differences by group on conduct problems, functional impairment |
| Cavazos-Rehg et al (2020) Uganda No MH requirement | AYALH | N = 702 (10 to 16 years, mean=12.42) (56.4% female) | Family level economic strengthening intervention includes 4 financial management sessions, support for ART, microenterprises for family income over 24 months + 12 educational sessions and adherence counseling Delivered by lay counsellors in ART adherence + finance mentor | Repeated measures RCT | • Hopelessness • Depression • Self-Concept Hopelessness • Depression • Self-Concept | At 24 months, treatment arm reported lower hopelessness score and at 36 months, lower depression scores |
| Dow et al (2020) Tanzania No MH requirement | AYALH | N = 128 AYALH (12 to 24 years, mean= 18) (51% female) (84% perinatally acquired) | Two individual and ten group-based intervention sessions with elements of CBT, IPT, MI, Trauma counselling lasting 90 minutes Delivered by young adults (23 to 30 years) living with HIV and/or prior experience with mental health research | RCT – stepped wedge design | • Depression • Emotional and behavioural problems • Stigma • Post-traumatic stress | At 6 months, no significant differences in mental health scores for depression, emotional and behavioural problems and stigma compared to the control arm |
| Kennard et al (2014) USA MH requirement | AYALH diagnosed with depression | N = 8 (16 to 24 years, mean= 21) (13% female) (88% behaviourally acquired) | 14 planned, 60-minute sessions over 6 months using health and wellness CBT intervention with elements of MI; psychotropic medication; and psychoeducation Delivered by masters/ doctoral level therapists trained in CBT | Treatment group at two treatment sites – with no control group | • Depression | At 6 months, depression improved |

(Continued)
| Author, year and country | Study population | HIV status and other criteria | Total N; gender and age | Intervention focus and facilitators | Study design & inclusion criteria | Mental health outcomes only | Summary of mental health findings only |
|-------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Li et al (2014) China   | AYAAH            | No MH requirement           | N = 79 (6 to 12 years, 13 to 18 years (47% female) | A multilevel family intervention focusing on the family's capacity overcome impact of living with HIV small group session, home-based family activities and community events to promote social integration | Cluster RCT | • Self-esteem | At 6 months, improved self-esteem among 6 to 12-year-old children, but not 13 to 18 years; decrease in problem behaviour in controls |
| Mon et al (2016) Myanmar | AYAAH            | No MH requirement           | N = 160 AYAAH (10 to 16 years) (58.8% female) | Mindfulness training group intervention sessions over 3 months for a total of 8 sessions lasting 120 minutes each Delivered by trained mindfulness leader 11 weekly sessions using structured manualized intervention, including a cartoon-based curriculum, follow-up activities and facilitated discussion over 6 months | Cluster RCT | • Emotional and Behavioural Problems | At 6 months, emotional and behavioural problems improved; no effect on social behaviour |
| Nestadt et al (2019) Thailand | AYALH; on ART     | No MH requirement           | N = 88 dyads of caregiver and AYALH AYALH (9 to 14 years, mean = 12) (49% female) (100% perinatally infected) | 11 weekly sessions using structured manualized intervention, including a cartoon-based curriculum, follow-up activities and facilitated discussion over 6 months Delivered by a social worker or counsellor | Pilot RCT | • Depression | At 6 months, statistically significant improvements in emotional and behavioural problems, sustained at 9 months, but not on depression |
| Puffer et al (2016) Kenya | AYAAH            | No MH requirement           | N = 237 AYAAH (10 to 16 years, mean = 12) (51% female) N = 203 caregivers | Church-based intervention for families of 9 sessions of 120 minutes each delivered in churches Delivered by 4 lay facilitators who received 5-day training and weekly preparation (no prior training in MH or HIV prevention) | Cluster RCT – stepped wedge design | • Self-esteem | At 3 months, no effects on mental health outcomes |
| Ssewamala et al (2016) Uganda | AYAAH; (Orphans) | No MH requirement           | N = 346 (12 to 16 years, mean = 13) (65% female) | Family level economic strengthening that included one mentorship meeting per month, managing a savings account and ten, 1 to 2 hours microenterprise skills over 12 months Delivered by lay counsellors and finance mentor | Two-arm cluster RCT | • Hopelessness | At 24 months, the treatment arm reported lower-levels of hopelessness, and high-levels of self-concept compared to the control arm |

(Continued)
| Author, year and country | Study population | Study design & inclusion criteria | Mental health outcomes only | Summary of mental health findings only |
|--------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Thurman et al (2018)     | AYAAH            | Pilot study (Pre-post no control group) | Depression, Anxiety      | At 3 months, both caregivers and adolescents demonstrated improved mental health including depression and anxiety |
| South Africa             |                  |                                  |                           |                                       |
| No MH requirement        |                  |                                  |                           |                                       |
|                         | N = 105 AYAAH    |                                 |                           |                                       |
|                          | (12 to 17 years) |                                 |                           |                                       |
|                          | (60% female)     |                                 |                           |                                       |
|                          | N = 95 caregivers |                                 |                           |                                       |
|                          |                  | A structured curriculum building core HIV knowledge and behavioural skills (Let’s Talk) comprising 19 caregiver and 14 adolescent sessions over 90 minutes. Includes CBT elements Delivered by trained facilitators who received three one-week training sessions |                           |                                       |
|                         |                  |                                  |                           |                                       |
| Vreeman et al (2019)     | AYALH            | Cluster RCT                      | Depression                | At 24 months, no significant differences between groups on depression or emotional and behavioural problems |
| Kenya                    |                  |                                  |                           |                                       |
| No MH requirement        |                  |                                  |                           |                                       |
|                         | N = 285 dyads of caregiver and AYALH |                                 |                           |                                       |
|                          | (10 to 14 years, mean = 12) |                                 |                           |                                       |
|                          | (52% female)     |                                 |                           |                                       |
|                          |                  | Disclosure intervention comprises access to intensive group and one-on-one counselling; pamphlets and videos over 2 years Delivered by trained counsellors |                           |                                       |
|                         |                  |                                  |                           |                                       |
| Webb et al (2018)        | AYALH            | Pilot RCT                        | Mindful Attention and Awareness, Perceived Stress, Coping, Aggression, Emotional regulation | At 3 months, significant improvement in mindfulness: positive mental health (mindfulness; problem solving coping; life satisfaction; and aggression) No significant differences in perceived stress, rumination, distraction, anxiety or cognitive flexibility |
| USA                      |                  |                                  |                           |                                       |
| No MH requirement        |                  |                                  |                           |                                       |
|                         | N = 72 AYALH     |                                 |                           |                                       |
|                          | (14 to 22 years, mean = 18.7) |                                 |                           |                                       |
|                          | (45% female)     |                                 |                           |                                       |
|                          |                  | Mindfulness stress reduction programme (MBSR) of nine sessions, including CBT elements (present-focus, rumination and future concerns) as well health education covering nutrition, exercise and puberty Delivered by instructors trained in MBSR over 8 weekly sessions and extensive experience teaching mindfulness and by health education instructors trained over 3 hours on curriculum and had health education experience. |                           |                                       |
|                         |                  |                                  |                           |                                       |
| Willis et al (2019)      | AYALH; on ART    | RCT                              | Self-confidence, Self-esteem, Self-worth | At 12 months, significant improvements in psychosocial wellbeing (confidence, self-esteem, and self-worth); quality of life |
| Zimbabwe                 |                  |                                  |                           |                                       |
| No MH requirement        |                  |                                  |                           |                                       |
|                         | N = 94 AYALH     |                                 |                           |                                       |
|                          | (10 to 15 years) |                                 |                           |                                       |
|                          | (59% female)     |                                 |                           |                                       |
|                          |                  | Improving linkage to services through Community Adolescent Treatment Supporters trained and mentored in adherence and psychosocial support; Weekly home visits Delivered by youth aged 18 to 24 trained and mentored in adherence and psychosocial support counselling |                           |                                       |

CBT, cognitive behaviour therapy; FSI, family strengthening intervention; IPT, interpersonal psychotherapy; MH, Mental health; MI, motivational interviewing.
Another randomized pilot study in China evaluated a family strengthening programme, Together for Empowerment Activities, a multilevel intervention that focuses on a family’s capacity to overcome the impacts of living with HIV. It incorporates group and home-based family activities as well as community events to promote parent–child communication and improve AYAAH self-esteem and reduce any problem behaviour [57]. Group differences were noted in self-esteem for children 6 to 12 years of age at three months, but not at six months, and not for adolescents [13-18]. Both age groups showed significant group differences in the level of parental care at six months. The groups did not differ significantly on reduction in problem behaviours. A second family centred programme to mitigate HIV risk (knowledge, self-efficacy) and promote mental health among AYAAH in South Africa, focused on building core HIV knowledge and behavioural skills in a one group pretest-posttest design [56]. Elements of the intervention incorporated CBT components (goal-setting, challenging negative thoughts, problem-solving skills) for adolescents and caregivers. Significant improvements were noted concerning AYAAH mental health outcomes (depression and anxiety symptoms) on the Depression Anxiety Stress Scale as well as other outcomes (e.g. HIV transmission, condom knowledge).

### 3.5 | Family based economic strengthening interventions

Another approach to family based interventions involves economic strengthening, SUUBI (Hope) has been delivered in Ugandan school settings and was originally designed for AYAAH. Evaluated through a large-scale cluster RCT [55], the SUUBI intervention group had significantly lower levels of hopelessness and improved self-concept compared to the control group who received usual care. SUUBI, delivered by lay counsellors, has been found to be effective in reducing sexual risk behaviour and promoting emotional wellbeing in multiple countries in sub-Saharan Africa [64,65]. SUUBI+Adherence was adapted for AYALH, and evaluated in a RCT in multiple clinics in Uganda, with both the intervention and comparison groups also receiving bolstered psychosocial support, using a cartoon-based curriculum on disclosure, adherence and coping similar to that used in CHAMPSA and VUKA in South Africa [60,66,67]. The SUUBI+Adherence trial found significant intervention effects on hopelessness and depression, at 24-month post intervention [51].

### 3.6 | Group-based mindfulness interventions

Group-based mindfulness interventions were tested in two studies, one in Myanmar and one in the USA. Mindfulness is increasingly being used in many contexts as part of mental health treatments, for example DBT [35] and on its own or as part of CBT [31,32]. Derived from the Buddhist way of meditation, mindfulness is described in one of the studies as a state of consciousness in which there is enhanced attention of moment-to-moment experience.4 (Pp 2) [53]. In the Myanmar study, structured mindfulness training delivered by an experienced mindfulness instructor and two investigators included elements of family strengthening (involving parents/guardians in the process and to monitor homework as well as meditation. In a cluster RCT, significant improvements in three domains of psychological behaviours (emotional [effect size 1.8], conduct and social behaviour [effect size 0.81]) at six months were noted among AYAAH who received the intervention component relative to the comparison group. In the USA study, a clinic-based pilot RCT was delivered by instructors with extensive experience in teaching mindfulness. They provided mindfulness-based stress reduction intervention over at least eight weekly sessions with didactics on and experiential practice of various mindfulness techniques (meditation, yoga) and application of mindfulness to everyday life. Significant improvement in the intervention group in mindfulness, problem-solving coping styles, life satisfaction, reducing aggression and lower HIV viral load was noted among African American AYALH [49].

### 3.7 | Community-based intervention

The last RCT, community-government partnerships were leveraged to enhance linkage and retention in care for AYALH. This programme recruited young adults from the local community to be peer counsellors. Known as Community Adolescent (18 to 24 years) Treatment Supporters, they were trained and mentored by the Zimbabwean Health and Child Care Ministry [50]. The study found significant increases in psychological wellbeing (self-confidence, self-esteem, self-worth), lower stigma and quality of life care, as well as improved adherence to ART and linkage to care, but not retention in care compared to controls.

In this review, in the past six years, 13 intervention studies were identified, with most conducted in sub-Saharan Africa where the majority of AYALH and AYAAH reside. The majority of these studies (n = 10) demonstrated positive intervention effects on some component of mental health [46,47,49,51,53,55-58], with only two studies of AYALH [45,48] and 1 of AYAAH [54] showing no effect of the intervention on mental health outcomes. However, the limited number of studies with full scale trials of mental health (four studies were pilot studies), the diversity of interventions and measures used, the diversity of age groups, study periods and contexts, the different types of facilitators, and the extreme limit in evidence-based treatments for specific mental health problems, make it very difficult to discern best practices. The risk of bias analysis indicated that seven of the 13 studies [46,49,50,53,56,57] were likely to have outcomes that would probably change because of the bias introduced by small sample sizes or flawed randomization procedures. Furthermore, while all the interventions used trained facilitators, there was great variation in training and previous facilitator experience, ranging from highly skilled CBT therapists to lay counsellors with varying levels of supervision and training.

In three of the four studies that used evidence-based mental health treatment [45,49,53], participants did not need to meet criteria for a mental disorder diagnosis, making it difficult to assess impact on those most vulnerable to psychiatric illness. Only one study included a formal diagnosis of participants mental health before undertaking the intervention [46]. Furthermore, studies used varying post-intervention periods, from immediately post-intervention to 24-month post-intervention, making comparisons difficult. The most commonly used measure of mental health was the SDQ which is not a diagnostic tool but a symptom checklist of emotional and behavioural difficulties and strengths. The most common
specific mental health problem was depression, but different measures were used across studies.

The SUUBI economic strengthening intervention was one of the most effective approaches in promoting mental health in AYALH [51,55]. This finding supports the increasing awareness that poverty is one of the key social determinants of poor mental health and that economic strengthening approaches can be helpful [68]. Additionally, studies focused on family strengthening interventions showed promise in addressing mental health outcomes among adolescents affected by HIV in their families [53-57]. The studies of mindfulness are also encouraging; mindfulness is increasingly recognized as an evidence-informed intervention for mental health as part of other treatments such as DBT and CBT or on its own, although testing and adaptation are needed in African countries at the centre of the HIV epidemic. For interventions to have a chance of being scalable, they need to be culturally appropriate and deliverable by non-mental health specialists, given the dearth of mental health providers in many contexts. We did not find any studies examining the capacity of lay counsellors to provide mental health treatment to AYALH or AYAAH with mental health disorders.

In Zimbabwe, a study currently underway is testing problem-solving therapy, an evidence-based transdiagnostic intervention for common mental disorders in youth, in a setting of high HIV prevalence, modelled on the Friendship Bench [69]. More studies are needed of transdiagnostic or simple evidence-based interventions such as problem-solving therapy or behavioural activation, that can be delivered by health workers, in order to promote feasibility in LMIC where capacity for psychiatric diagnosis may be limited [70].

Implementing mental health interventions in LMIC, particularly using evidence-based mental health treatment, is challenging given the limits on funding and available professionally trained staff to conduct screening, diagnostic evaluation and the treatment itself. For example in South Africa, there is only one psychiatrist per an estimated 357,143 people [71]. As a result, many studies reviewed here utilized lay counsellors and interventions that did not require specialized professional experience and training. It has been suggested that digital technology-based interventions could be helpful in resource-constrained contexts in standardizing treatment and reducing training costs as well as the need for professional providers [72,73]. A recent review suggested that digital psychological interventions (mostly with depression and substance use) are superior to control conditions and moderately effective in contexts. Integrating screening of mental disorders that can be helpful [68]. Additionally, studies focused on family strengthening interventions showed promise in addressing mental health outcomes among adolescents affected by HIV in their families [53-57]. The studies of mindfulness are also encouraging; mindfulness is increasingly recognized as an evidence-informed intervention for mental health as part of other treatments such as DBT and CBT or on its own, although testing and adaptation are needed in African countries at the centre of the HIV epidemic. For interventions to have a chance of being scalable, they need to be culturally appropriate and deliverable by non-mental health specialists, given the dearth of mental health providers in many contexts. We did not find any studies examining the capacity of lay counsellors to provide mental health treatment to AYALH or AYAAH with mental health disorders.

Almost none of the 13 projects included descriptors of possible mediators promoting change, and most studies used multicomponent interventions. This leaves us unable to clearly identify mechanisms of change or make definitive statements about what worked and why. An analytic framework that may be useful in unpacking interventions that seek to influence complex systems is the use of realist reviews. By making explicit the underlying assumptions (programme theories) about how an intervention is meant to work with what impact, realist reviews may help in providing an explanatory analysis as to what works for whom, in what circumstances, in what way and how [81].

The risk of bias identified in many of the studies reviewed provides an opportunity for future work to ensure adequate sample size and randomization considerations in study recruitment and treatment in addressing the mental health needs of AYALH and AYAAH. It is likely that there is not a one-size-fits-all approach given that mental health has multiple biological, psychological and social causes, and context is critical to what is acceptable, accessible and feasible. Implementation science research approaches may help improve our understanding of what works for whom and under what conditions [82] and can also promote scale up and sustainability of mental health interventions beyond the life span of research projects. In low-resource contexts, the design of delivery systems using implementation science principles may close the mental health implementation gap [83]. A renewed focus on the barriers and enablers of the implementation of an intervention is called for, as well as the health or mental health impact of the intervention itself [84]. Moreover, use of community-based participatory research methods that involve community stakeholders at every step of the intervention research process can help ensure not only that interventions address the most critical problems for communities, but also that stakeholders are involved in sustainability of the programmes themselves.

Importantly, mental health problems are one of the leading causes of the loss of disability adjusted life years globally [85]. While health agencies are calling for global investment in mental health [86] and a mental health treatment gap has been identified particularly in LMIC [87], the current review suggests this mental health treatment gap may be even wider for young people living with or affected by HIV. Furthermore, this gap among youth persists in low-, middle- and high-income contexts. Integrating screening of mental disorders that can be used by non-specialists is recommended as one way of improving access to mental healthcare [6]. This strategy could be impactful for the mental health and wellbeing of AYLH, particularly those who experience challenges with linkage and retention to HIV care.

Given the stigma of HIV, those affected are likely not to seek care outside of HIV clinics. Hence, policies that promote the integration of mental healthcare into HIV care settings may be most effective for serving the needs of AYALH in need of mental health treatment [7,88], while also ensuring the quality of mental healthcare [89]. One promising example promoting the integration of mental health within a chronic care platform (including HIV) is the scale up of the Mental Health Integration Programme (MhINT) using an implementation science framework to identify what works for whom and under what conditions [90]. Another is the work of the Hub
Accelerating Achievement for Africa’s Adolescents (Accelerate) to promote high-quality evidence generated in a series of randomized trials to improve the lives of adolescents across multiple Sustainable Development Goals [91]. But importantly, resources, policies and research to provide a sufficient evidence base are urgently needed to address the emotional wellbeing of adolescents and young adults living with and affected by HIV globally.

This study has some limitations. First, as a scoping review, we may have missed mental health interventions in our search of the literature. Given that much of the work described in this scoping review tends to reflect work done in LMIC because of the HIV burden in these regions, this unintended bias should be noted. Second, we used a broad definition of adolescence (10 to 24 years), as many studies assess adolescents in this age range. However, we recognize that several stages of development exist within this range and note that future studies should explore the differences between these stages and design developmentally tailored mental health interventions. Lastly, there are mental health interventions for adult populations affected by HIV, as well as populations of adolescents and young adults not living with or affected by HIV or living with other chronic conditions that could be useful in the context of AYALH and AYAAH, that did not form part of this review process.

4 | CONCLUSIONS

Given the heavy burden of disease associated with HIV, the staggering numbers of adolescents living with or affected by HIV and the high rates of mental health problems previously identified in this population, there is still a substantive need for evidence-based mental health treatment for AYALH and AYAAH. There is a need for simple brief transdiagnostic evidence-based interventions, which are likely to be feasible in low-resource settings that utilize lay counsellors and that are possibly supported through digital technology. Measurement tools for mental health research in AYA need to be locally validated in the contexts in which they are applied. Future research must develop implementation theory and look at implementation outcomes such as feasibility, acceptability and fidelity, as well as effectiveness, to ensure that interventions found to be effective become part of integrated mental health services for adolescents and young adults living with and affected by HIV globally.
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