A Mammalian Mediator Subunit that Shares Properties with *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Mediator Subunit Cse2*§*
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The multiprotein Mediator complex is a coactivator required for activation of RNA polymerase II transcription by DNA-bound transcription factors. We previously identified and partially purified a mammalian Mediator complex from rat liver nuclei (Brower, C.S., Sato, S., Tomomori-Sato, C., Kamura, T., Pause, A., Stemman, R., Klausner, R.D., Malik, S., Lane, W.S., Sorokina, I., Roeder, R.G., Conaway, J.W., and Conaway, R.C. (2002) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 99, 10353–10358). Analysis by tandem mass spectrometry of proteins present in the most highly purified rat Mediator fractions led to the identification of a collection of new mammalian Mediator subunits, as well as several potential Mediator subunits including a previously uncharacterized protein encoded by the FLJ10193 open reading frame. In this study, we present direct biochemical evidence that the FLJ10193 protein, which we designate Med25, is a *bona fide* subunit of the mammalian Mediator complex. In addition, we present evidence that Med25 shares structural and functional properties with *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Mediator subunit Cse2 and may be a mammalian Cse2 ortholog. Taken together, our findings identify a novel mammalian Mediator subunit and shed new light on the architecture of the mammalian Mediator complex.

A mammalian Mediator complex similar to yeast Mediator was subsequently identified in several laboratories and designated mouse Mediator (2), TRAP (thymus hormone receptor-associated proteins)/SMCC (Srb-Med-containing cofactor) (3), ARCC (activator-recruited cofactor) (4), DRIP (vitamin D receptor-interacting proteins) (5), CRSP (cofactor required for Sp1 activation) (6), or rat Mediator (7, 8). Biochemical characterization of the mammalian Mediator complex has revealed that it is comprised of apparent homologs of many of the *S. cerevisiae* Mediator subunits including TATA-binding protein related factor-proximal protein (TRFP) (Srb2), TRAP80 (Srb4), p28b (Srb5), Surf5 (Srb6), Srb7, TRAP240 (Srb8), TRAP230 (Srb9), Cdk8 (Srb10), Cyclin C (Srb11), TRAP36 (Med4), Med6, Med7, Med8, HSPC296 (Med11), LCMR1 (Rox3), Nut2, Sur2 (Gal11), TRAP95 (Sin4), and Rgr1 (reviewed in Ref. 9).

In a previous study (7), we partially purified a mammalian Mediator complex from rat liver nuclei. Analysis of proteins present in our most highly purified rat Mediator fractions by tandem mass spectrometry led to the identification of a large fraction of the known mammalian Mediator subunits, as well as a collection of potentially new Mediator subunits. In this report, we identify one such protein encoded by the FLJ10193 open reading frame as a new subunit of the mammalian Mediator complex.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Materials**—Anti-FLAG (M2) monoclonal antibodies, anti-Myc (9E10) rabbit polyclonal antibodies, anti-FLAG (M2) agarose, and FLAG peptide were purchased from Sigma. Anti-Myc (9E10) monoclonal antibodies were obtained from Roche Applied Science. Anti-TRAP220 (C-19), anti-Cdk8 (n-9), and anti-Med6 (E-20) antibodies were obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. Anti-Med8 rabbit polyclonal antibodies were raised against a peptide corresponding to Med8 residues 247–268 (Cocalico Biologicals, Inc.). Anti-TRAP80, anti-LCMR1, anti-Intersex, anti-TRAP36, anti-p28b, anti-Surf5, anti-HSPC296, and anti-Med25 rabbit polyclonal antibodies were raised against recombinant proteins expressed in insect cells or *Escherichia coli* (Cocalico Biologicals, Inc.). Light chain-specific anti-mouse antibodies were purchased from Bethyl Laboratories and labeled with Alexa Fluor 680 (Molecular Probes) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. SuperSignal West Dura extended duration substrate and SuperSignal West Femto Maximum Sensitivity Substrate were obtained from Pierce. Glutathione-Sepharose 4 Fast Flow and Glutathione-Sepharose 4B beads were from Amersham Biosciences.

**Anti-FLAG Agarose Chromatography**—Anti-FLAG agarose immunoaffinity chromatography was carried out essentially as described for purification of the TRAP-SMCC Mediator complex (10). HeLa cell nuclear extracts were prepared according to the method of Dignam *et al.* (11). Undialyzed nuclear extracts were incubated with anti-FLAG (M2) agarose beads in 10 mM Hepes-NaOH (pH 7.9), 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.5 mM KCl, and 0.2% Triton X-100 for at least 4 h at 4 °C. The beads were washed 5 times with a 50-fold excess of the same buffer and once with
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a 50-fold excess of 10 mM Hepes-NaOH (pH 7.9), 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.1 M NaCl, and 0.05% Triton X-100. Beads were then eluted with 10 mM Hepes-NaOH (pH 7.9), 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.1 M NaCl, and 0.05% Triton X-100, and 0.2 mg/ml FLAG peptide. All buffers contained 1:1000-fold dilution of Protease Inhibitor Mixture (Sigma catalog number P8340).

**Mass Spectrometry**—Identification of proteins was accomplished using a modification of the MudPIT procedure described by Washburn et al. (12). Aliquots of anti-FLAG agarose eluates were brought to 100 μl with 1 M Tris-HCl (pH 8.5) and H₂O to a final concentration of 100 mM Tris-HCl. Solid urea was added to 8M. Proteins were reduced with 5 mM Tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine hydrochloride (TCEP, Roche), alkylated with 20 mM iodoacetamide (IAM, Sigma), and digested with endoproteinase Lys-C (Roche) for at least 17 h at 37 °C. Proteins were then diluted 4 times with 100 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.5) and digested overnight at 37 °C with modified Trypsin (Roche), alkylated with 20 mM iodoacetamide (IAM, Sigma), and digested with endoproteinase Lys-C (Roche) for at least 6 h at 37 °C. Proteins were then diluted 4 times with 100 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.5) and digested overnight at 37 °C with modified Trypsin (Roche) in the presence of 5% acetonitrile, 5% ammonium acetate, 0.1% formic acid (Buffer A). This split-column was then installed in-line with a Quaternary Agilent 1100 series HPLC pump. Overflow tubing was used to decrease the flow rate from 0.1 ml/min to about 200–300 nl/min. Fully automated 6 step chromatography runs were carried out. Three different elution buffers were used: Buffer A; 80% acetonitrile, 0.1% formic acid (Buffer B); and 0.5M ammonium acetate, 5% acetonitrile, 0.1% formic acid (Buffer C). In such sequences of chromatographic events, peptides are sequentially eluted from the SCX resin to the reverse phase resin by increasing salt steps (increase in Buffer C concentration), followed by organic gradients (increase in Buffer B concentration). The last chromatography step consists in a high salt wash with 100% Buffer C followed by the acetonitrile gradient. The application of a 2.5 kV distal voltage electrosprayed the eluting peptides directly into a Deca-XP ion trap mass spectrometer equipped with a nano-LC electrospray ionization source (ThermoFinnigan). Full MS spectra were recorded on the peptides over a 400 to 1,600 mz range, followed by three tandem mass (MS/MS) events sequentially generated.

**FIG. 1. Sequence of Med25 and similarity to yeast Cse2.** Multiple sequence alignments were performed using the MACAW program (18). Panel A, multiple sequence alignment of Med25 from higher eukaryotes. Accession numbers are NP_060489, human (Hs); NP_619616, mouse (Mm); BT228883, Gallus gallus (Gg); CA346206, Oncorhynchus mykiss (Om); AL650821, Silurana tropicalis (St); BF426635, Xenopus laevis (Xl); CG5134, Drosophila melanogaster (Dm); A2856881, Aplocheles gambiae (Ag). Panel B, similarity of Med25 to yeast Cse2. Accession numbers for higher eukaryotic Med25 orthologs are given under panel A. Accession numbers for yeast Cse2 orthologs are YNR010w, S. cerevisiae (Sc); AL425926, Drosophila melanogaster (Dm); AJ24134, Kluwyveromyces marxianus (Km).
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We previously described partial purification from rat liver nuclear extracts of a multiprotein Mediator complex exhibiting an apparent native molecular mass by gel filtration of more than 1000 kDa (7). Our most highly purified rat Mediator contained many previously characterized mammalian Mediator subunits, as well as a collection of potential Mediator subunits including the p28b, Surf5, LCMR1, HSPC296, and mammalian Intersex proteins, which we subsequently demonstrated are bona fide subunits of the mammalian Mediator complex (8, 16). Among the collection of additional proteins present in our most highly purified rat Mediator fractions and identified by mass spectrometry was a 142-amino acid protein encoded by the FLJ10193 open reading frame (Fig. 1A). PSI-BLAST searches of the NCBI protein data base indicate that the FLJ10193 protein has diverged substantially during evolution, with obvious FLJ10193 orthologs in insects, but not in more distantly related species such as Caenorhabditis elegans and yeast. The N-terminal ~50 amino acids of FLJ10193 diverge significantly even between human and mouse; in contrast, the C-terminal ~80 amino acids is highly conserved from mammals to insects, suggesting that it may represent the functional core of the protein.

Multiple sequence alignments of the FLJ10193 protein with yeast Mediator subunits identified two short regions of FLJ10193 with some similarity to S. cerevisiae Mediator subunit Cse2 (Fig. 1B), raising the possibility that FLJ10193 and Cse2 may be related. Notably, like the sequence of the FLJ10193 protein, the sequence of Cse2 has diverged substantially during evolution, with apparent Cse2 orthologs in yeasts closely related to S. cerevisiae, but not in more distantly related yeasts such as Schizosaccharomyces pombe, Candida, and Neurospora. Below we present direct biochemical evidence that the FLJ10193 protein, which we designate Med25, is a previously unrecognized subunit of the mammalian Mediator complex with features similar to those of yeast Mediator subunit Cse2.

To begin to address the possibility that the Med25 protein is a component of the mammalian Mediator complex, we sought to identify Med25-associating proteins. To accomplish this, we took advantage of four HeLa cell lines stably expressing either human Mediator subunit Nut2 (10), mouse Mediator subunit LCMR1 (16), human Mediator subunit Intersex (16), or the mouse Med25 protein, all with N-terminal FLAG epitope tags. The FLAG-Nut2 expressing cell line has been used extensively for preparation of the transcriptionally active TRAP/SMCC Mediator complex (10), and we have previously used HeLa cell
lines expressing FLAG-tagged mouse LCMR1 and FLAG-tagged human Intersex as sources for anti-FLAG immunoaffinity purification of the mammalian Mediator complex (16).

Nuclear extracts prepared from equivalent numbers of parental, FLAG-Nut2, FLAG-LCMR1, FLAG-Intersex, and FLAG-Med25 expressing HeLa cells were subjected to anti-FLAG agarose chromatography as described previously for purification of the TRAP-SMCC Mediator complex (10). As shown in the silver-stained SDS-polyacrylamide gel of Fig. 2, anti-FLAG agarose eluate from FLAG-Med25 expressing HeLa cells appeared to include a very similar set of proteins as the TRAP-SMCC Mediator complex purified from FLAG-Nut2, FLAG-LCMR1, or FLAG-Intersex expressing HeLa cells. In addition, analysis of Med25-associating proteins present in the anti-FLAG agarose eluate from FLAG-Med25 expressing HeLa cells by tandem mass spectrometry (Table I in Supplemental Material) and by Western blotting (Fig. 3) identified nearly all of the mammalian Mediator subunits known to be present in the TRAP-SMCC Mediator complex, arguing that Med25 is a previously unrecognized subunit of the mammalian Mediator complex. The Med25 protein was detected by Western blotting only in anti-FLAG agarose eluates from FLAG-Med25 and FLAG-Intersex expressing HeLa cells. Med25 was, however, detected by tandem mass spectrometry in anti-FLAG-agarose eluates from all four HeLa stable cell lines, but not from parental HeLa cells (Table II in Supplemental Material). These observations suggest that the Med25 protein is present at varying levels in these different Mediator preparations. Finally, and consistent with previous findings indicating that the mammalian Mediator complex binds to and can be purified through interactions with the VP16 transcriptional activation domain (4, 10), we observe that the Med25 protein can be purified together with other Mediator subunits from nuclear extracts of FLAG-Med25 expressing HeLa cells by GST-VP16 chromatography. As shown in the Western blot of Fig. 4, the Med25 protein and representative Mediator subunits Cdk8, Med8, Med6, and TRAP36 are copurified from HeLa cell nuclear extracts using immobilized GST-VP16, but not GST. Thus, the Med25 protein copurifies with the mammalian Mediator complex by several independent methods, arguing that it is a bona fide Mediator subunit.

To obtain additional evidence supporting assignment of the Med25 protein as a new subunit of the mammalian Mediator complex, we sought to identify pair-wise binding partners of Med25 among the known mammalian Mediator subunits. To accomplish this, we began by exploiting a convenient screen (8).
to assess the ability of Med25 to interact with known mammalian Mediator subunits prepared by in vitro translation in rabbit reticulocyte lysates. pcDNA3.1 expression vectors encoding the known mammalian Mediator subunits and additional proteins indicated in Fig. 5 were used to program rabbit reticulocyte lysates for translation of [35S]-labeled Mediator proteins. Binding of [35S]-labeled Mediator proteins to a GST-Med25 fusion protein was assayed in GST pull-down experiments using glutathione-agarose beads, and bound proteins were visualized by autoradiography. The results of this screen revealed significant and reproducible binding of Med25 to Mediator subunit TRAP36 (Fig. 5), the mammalian ortholog of yeast Mediator subunit Med4. Notably, in a previous study, S. cerevisiae Mediator subunit Cse2 was found to interact directly only with yeast Mediator subunit Med4 (17), suggesting that Med25 and Cse2 may be functionally related.

To confirm and extend this finding, we sought to reconstitute the Med25-TRAP36 interaction detected in in vitro translation experiments in transiently transfected human 293T cells and with recombinant proteins expressed in SF21 insect cells and E. coli. As shown in Fig. 6A, the TRAP36 protein could be coimmunoprecipitated with the Med25 protein from lysates of 293T cells cotransfected with pcDNA3.1 expression vectors encoding TRAP36 and Med25. In addition, direct pairwise binding of Med25 to TRAP36 was detected following their coexpression in SF21 cells (Fig. 6B) and in E. coli (Fig. 6C), arguing that Med25 binds directly to TRAP36 and may be recruited to the Mediator complex through this interaction.

In summary, in this report we identify the previously uncharacterized FLJ10193 protein as a new mammalian Mediator subunit designated Med25. Although lower eukaryotic orthologs of the Med25 protein have not yet been unequivocally identified, our findings have brought to light structural and functional similarities between Med25 and yeast Mediator subunit Cse2. First, Med25 exhibits limited sequence similarity with Cse2. Second, like Cse2, the Med25 protein forms a heterodimer with Mediator subunit Med4 (or TRAP36 in mammals) and thus may be recruited to the “middle module” of the mammalian Mediator complex through its interaction with Med4. Whether Med25 fulfills a similar role as Cse2 in Mediator, however, remains to be determined.
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