Optimal Dose and Timing of Umbilical Stem Cells Treatment in Pulmonary Arterial Hypertensive Rats
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Purpose: Pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) is a fatal disease which is characterized by an increase in pulmonary arterial pressure leading to increases in right ventricular afterload. Human umbilical cord blood derived-mesenchymal stem cells (hUCB-MSCs) administered via the jugular vein have been previously shown to improve PAH by reversal treatment. However, the effect of low dosage and transfusion timing of hUCB-MSCs on PAH has not yet been clearly established. Obviously, low dosage treatment can lead to a reduction in costs. This is the first study on early transfusion effect.

Materials and Methods: This study was divided into two parts. The first part is an investigation of dose-dependent effect. hUCB-MSCs were administered into 3 groups of rats (UA: 3×10⁶ cells, UB: 1.5×10⁶ cells, UC: 3×10⁵ cells) via the external jugular vein at week 1 after monocrotaline (MCT) injection. The second part is a search for optimal treatment timing in 3×10⁵ cells dose of hUCB-MSCs administered at day 1 for UD group (low dose of hUCB-MSCs at day 1), at day 1 and week 1 for the UE group (dual transfusion of low dose of hUCB-MSCs at day 1 and week 1) and at 1 week for the UF group (reversal treatment of low dose hUCB-MSC at week 1) after MCT injection.

Results: The administration of 3×10⁵ hUCB-MSCs was as effective as the 3×10⁶ dose in decreasing mean right ventricle (RV) pressure and pulmonary pathological changes. Early treatment with hUCB-MSCs improved mean RV pressure, pulmonary pathological changes and heart collagen 3 protein expression levels in PAH.

Conclusion: Low-dose early treatment of hUCB-MSCs is as effective as a high dose treatment of hUCB-MSCs in improving PAH although dual or reversal treatment is still more effective.
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been proposed for the treatment of PAH in animal models.\textsuperscript{21} 

hUCB-MSCs have advantages in that 1) they can be obtained relatively conveniently and less invasively,\textsuperscript{22} 2) it is possible to differentiate into vascular cell types,\textsuperscript{23} and 3) they have an ability to secrete paracrine factors regulating inflammatory cytokines.\textsuperscript{24} We previously reported that hUCB-MSCs improved PAH via suppressing inflammatory cytokine level in lung tissues.\textsuperscript{6} These molecules have an immunosuppressive effect and lead to the regeneration of injured tissues by the way of paracrine mechanisms.\textsuperscript{25}

In these earlier studies, diverse dosage, treatment timing and frequency were used, and the results of these studies showed variable rates of improvement. However, there is little information on the effects of a low-dose of and the administration timing of hUCB-MSCs, especially early timing. The purpose of this study was to examine the effect of low dose early treatment of hUCB-MSCs on PAH and compare it with the reversal therapy.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Animals**

Study protocols were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Ewha Womans University School of Medicine. Six-week old male Sprague-Dawley rats (180–220 g; Orient, Seongnam, Korea) were induced to PAH by subcutaneous injection of 60 mg/kg monocrotaline (MCT). We performed this study in two-parts (Fig. 1). The first part of the experiment was to determine the effective dose range because low-dose transplantation has an advantage from a clinical standpoint (Fig. 1A). We tested three different doses of hUCB-MSCs; $3 \times 10^6$ cells/rat (UA group), $1.5 \times 10^6$ cells/rat (UB group) and $3 \times 10^5$ cells/rat (UC group) in MCT-induced PAH rats. Thus, the hUCB-MSCs were diluted in 500 μL of Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium and were directly administered into the external jugular vein of rats placed in a supine position. The transfusion of hUCB-MSCs was performed randomly. The second part of the experiment was to optimize the timing and frequency of hUCB-MSCs transplantation (Fig. 1B). The experimental groups were divided into three groups; UD group (early treatment group of low dose hUCB-MSCs at day 1), UE group (dual transfusion group of low dose hUCB-MSCs at day 1 and week 1), UF group (reversal treatment group of low dose hUCB-MSC at week 1).

**Preparation of hUCB-MSCs**

hUCB-MSCs were obtained from Medipost Inc., and isolated human mesenchymal stem cells were expanded in culture ac-

---

**Fig. 1.** Study design. (A) Dose-escalation test protocol. The hUCB-MSCs are transfused at 1 week after MCT injection. UA group (n=5) was assigned to receive high-dose hUCB-MSCs ($3 \times 10^6$ cells/rat), UB group (n=5) was intermediate-dose hUCB-MSCs ($1.5 \times 10^6$ cells/rat) and UC group (n=6) was low-dose hUCB-MSCs ($3 \times 10^5$ cells/rat). (B) Treatment time test protocol. Low-dose ($3 \times 10^5$ cells/rat) of hUCB-MSCs were transfused at day 1 (UD group, n=4), day 1-week 1 (UE group, n=4) or week 1 (UF group, n=4). MCT, monocrotaline; hUCB-MSCs, human umbilical cord blood derived-mesenchymal stem cells; UD, early treatment of low dose hUCB-MSCs at day 1; UE, dual transfusion of low dose hUCB-MSCs at day 1 and week 1; UF, reversal treatment of low dose hUCB-MSC at week 1.
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According to the method previously described. The cells were positive for the cell surface markers such as CD73, CD90, and CD105, but negative for the hematopoietic cell-specific surface markers such as CD34, CD45, as well as for the major histocompatibility complex class II marker HLA-DR (Fig. 2).

Flow cytometry
After the mesenchymal cells were isolated from human umbilical cord blood, hUCB-MSCs were cultured at passage 4. The hUCB-MSCs were trypsinized and suspended in Dulbecco’s modified Eagles medium at a concentration of $5 \times 10^6$ cells/mL. The cells were then incubated in various non-labeled primary antibodies, followed by fluorescein isothiocyanate–conjugated or phycoerythrin–conjugated immunoglobulin G antibodies. Subsequently, hUCB-MSCs were washed, fixed and analyzed.

Induction of differentiation
Cultured cells were incubated in osteogenic, adipogenic or chondrogenic differentiation medium. The medium was changed every 2 days. After completion of differentiation, differentiation was confirmed by morphology and accumulation of alkaline phosphatase, oil red-O-staining or safranin-O-staining sulfated proteoglycans.

Hemodynamics
A hemodynamic study was performed at weeks 2 and 4 after MCT injection. The rats were anesthetized with intraperitoneal Zoletil (Virbac, Carros, France) and Rompun (Bayer Korea, Seoul, Korea). A catheter connecting a pressure electricity transducer was inserted in the external jugular vein and then advanced into the right ventricle (RV) for assessment of RV pressure and the carotid artery for arterial pressure.

Body and organ weight measurement
Body weights were weighed and tissues were harvested. Rats were excised, and RV, left ventricle (LV)+septum (S), lung, kidney and liver were removed, and the tissues were then weighed. RV to LV+S ratio was used as an index of right ventricular hypertrophy.
Pathological observation
For determination of pulmonary arteriole medial wall hypertrophy, thickness between the internal and external elastic lamina of two sides of arterioles was measured. The percentage of medial wall thickness was calculated as follows; % medial wall thickness=(medial wall thickness 1+medial wall thickness 2)/(vessel diameter)×100. For assessment of neomuscularization, the number of muscularized vessels was counted in a ×200 magnification field. Twenty or more fields were examined in each rat for analysis.

Western blot analysis
Protein expression levels of endothelin receptor A (ERA, Santa-Cruz biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA), B-cell lymphoma 2 (Bcl-2, Santa Cruz biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA) in the lung tissues, collagen 1 (Santa Cruz biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA) and collagen 3 (Abcam, Cambridge, UK) in the heart tissues and glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., Santa Cruz, CA, USA) were determined by western blot analysis. Thus, frozen tissues were homogenized in the lysis buffer (Proprep; iNtRON, Seongnam, Korea), and western blot analysis was done as previously described.

Statistics
All data are expressed as median (q1, q3). Data were analyzed using SPSS (SPSS v22.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) for windows. Statistical comparisons were performed as follows. The Mann-Whitney test was used for significant differences between the control (C) and monocrotaline (M) groups. The Kruskal-Wallis test was used for significant differences between M group and U groups. If significant, we further conducted Pairwise by Mann-Whitney test among the U groups. Raw p-value<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS
Characterization of human umbilical cord blood
Fluorescence-activated cell-sorting (FACS) analyses revealed that the cells used were MSCs. The evidences are as follows. First, the hUCB-MSCs were negative for CD14, CD45, and HLA-DR, implying that we excluded CD14+ cells for macrophages or granulocytes, and CD45+ cells for hematopoietic stem cells. Second, the hUCB-MSCs were positive for CD73, CD90, and CD105 (Fig. 2). According to the International Society for Cellular Therapy, human MSCs are defined as the adherent cells derived from human tissues that are positive for CD73, CD90, and CD105 (Fig. 2). According to the International Society for Cellular Therapy, human MSCs are defined as the adherent cells derived from human tissues that are positive for CD73, CD90, and CD105 but negative for CD11b, CD14, CD34, CD79, and HLA-DR surface marker. Therefore, in terms of immunophenotype, the cells used in the present study were considered to be MSCs.
Differentiation of hUCB-MSCs into type II alveolar epithelial cells

We investigated the immunophenotype of hUCB-MSCs to confirm differentiation potential. hUCB-MSCs have the potential to differentiate into type II alveolar epithelial cells, and are known to have multi-lineage differentiation potential to form bone, adipose and cartilage. As shown in Fig. 3, FACS data indicated that hUCB-MSCs indeed have differentiation potential into type II alveolar epithelial cells. Surfactant protein-C (SP-C), a type II alveolar epithelial cell marker, is not normally expressed in undifferentiated hUCB-MSCs, but it is expressed in hUCB-MSCs after differentiation. SP-C is an extremely hydrophobic 4 kda peptide produced by type II alveolar epithelial cells and it is critical for maintenance of respiratory structure and function. The above results, therefore, had us carefully speculate that hUCB-MSCs can differentiate into type II alveolar epithelial cells.

Effect on MCT-induced PAH according to dose of hUCB-MSCs

The optimal dose of hUCB-MSCs has not been established for PAH to assess the feasibility of low-dose hUCB-MSCs transfu-

Fig. 4. RV pressure and RV/LV+S ratio in MCT-induced PAH rats according to dose of hUCB-MSCs. (A) RV pressure was significantly decreased in UA, UB and UC groups at weeks 2 and 4. There was no significant difference among the three groups. (B) RV/LV+S ratio slightly decreased in UA, UB and UC groups. *p<0.05, compared with C, †p<0.05, compared with M. MCT, monocrotaline; PAH, pulmonary arterial hypertension; hUCB-MSCs, human umbilical cord blood derived mesenchymal stem cells; RV, right ventricle; LV, left ventricle; S, septum; C, control group; M, monocrotaline group; UA, 3×10^6 cells/rat; UB, 1.5×10^6 cells/rat; UC, 3×10^5 cells/rat.

Fig. 5. Pathologic findings in MCT-induced PAH rats according to dose of hUCB-MSCs at week 4. (A) Low-dose of hUCB-MSCs also effectively decreased pulmonary arteriole medial wall thickening. (B) The number of intra-acinar arteries was decreased in UA and UB groups. (C) Low-dose hUCB-MSCs also attenuated pulmonary pathological changes in MCT-induced PAH rat (Victoria blue staining, ×400). *p<0.05, compared with C, †p<0.05, compared with M. No, number; C, control group; M, monocrotaline group; UA, 3×10^6 cells/rat; UB, 1.5×10^6 cells/rat; UC, 3×10^5 cells/rat; MCT, monocrotaline; PAH, pulmonary arterial hypertension; hUCB-MSCs, human umbilical cord blood derived mesenchymal stem cells.
sion via the jugular vein. Therefore, we investigated the dose-dependent effects on PAH in MCT-induced PAH rat. RV pressure was significantly increased in M group compared with C group at weeks 2 [C vs. M; 8.0 mmHg (6.0, 10.0) vs. 29 mmHg (20.0, 42.5)] and 4 [C vs. M; 11.0 mmHg (8.0, 12.0) vs. 49.5 mmHg (37.3, 69.3)]. Decreased RV pressure was observed in all three groups (UA, UB, and UC), but there was no significant difference among the three groups at week 2 [UA vs. UB vs. UC; 14.5 mmHg (13.3, 18.0) vs. 13.0 mmHg (12.5, 16.5) vs. 16.0 mmHg (13.5, 20.0)] and 4 [UA vs. UB vs. UC; 20.5 mmHg (18.5, 21.8) vs. 15.5 mmHg (14.0, 20.0) vs. 14.0 mmHg (13.5, 16.5)] (Fig. 4A). RV hypertrophy showed tendency to decrease in all three groups compared with M group, although the low-dose group (UC) showed slightly higher RV/LV+S ratio at week 2 [C vs. M vs. UA vs. UB vs. UC; 0.26 (0.25, 0.26) vs. 0.36 (0.36, 0.37) vs. 0.29 (0.28, 0.30) vs. 0.29 (0.14, 0.31) vs. 0.31 (0.28, 0.36)] and 4 [C vs. M vs. UA vs. UB vs. UC; 0.28 (0.25, 0.29) vs. 0.80 (0.65, 0.97) vs. 0.44 (0.24, 0.52) vs. 0.43 (0.24, 0.51) vs. 0.69 (0.55, 0.71)].

However, the differences between M and U groups were not statistically significant (Fig. 4B). Medial wall thickening of the pulmonary arterioles was improved, however, there was no significant difference among the three U groups at week 4 [C vs. M vs. UA vs. UB vs. UC; 21.24 (15.82, 22.75) vs. 42.31 (40.58, 44.17) vs. 31.63 (27.19, 32.25) vs. 34.78 (27.01, 36.05) vs. 32.52[31.9, 35.53]) (Fig. 5A). The number of intra-acinar arterioles was improved in UA and UB groups, but there was no significant difference among the three groups at week 4 [C vs. M vs. UA vs. UB vs. UC; 0.80 (0.78, 1.06) vs. 1.91(1.43, 2.25) vs. 1.31 (0.99, 1.48) vs. 1.07 (0.94, 1.21) vs. 1.45 (1.35, 1.55) (Fig. 5B). The representative data of Victoria blue staining are shown in Fig. 5C. The above results suggest that the lowest-dose (3×10^5 cells/rat) of hUCB-MSCs can also be effective in treating PAH rats. Because the low dose therapy has potential clinical significance in cost effectiveness, we carried out second experiment of low-dose hUCB-MSCs with added factor of treatment timing.

![Fig. 6. RV pressure and RV/LV+S ratio according to treatment timing by low-dose treatment of hUCB-MSCs in MCT-induced PAH rats.](https://doi.org/10.3349/ymj.2017.58.3.570)
Therapeutic effect according to different timing using low dose hUCB-MSCs in MCT-induced PAH rats

To verify the therapeutic effect on MCT-induced PAH rats according to different timings using low dose hUCB-MSCs, we compared mean RV pressure among three groups (UD, UE, UF). The result showed that hUCB-MSCs decreased the mean RV pressure in all three groups at weeks 2 and 4, but not significant (Fig. 6A). Therefore, not only reversal therapy but also prophylaxis of hUCB-MSCs improved PAH in terms of RV pressure.

To explore whether these therapeutic strategies have an effect on RV hypertrophy, we compared RV/LV+S ratio (Table 1). As shown in Fig. 6B, RV/LV+S ratio was increased in M group compared with C group but there was no significant difference between M and U groups at weeks 2 and 4 (C vs. M vs. UD vs. UE vs. UF; 0.29 (0.29, 0.33) vs. 0.40 (0.40, 0.44) vs. 0.40 (0.34, 0.57) vs. 0.32 (0.27, 0.37) vs. 0.34 (0.32, 0.40) vs. 0.31 (0.31, 0.32) vs. 0.81 (0.67, 0.92) vs. 0.71 (0.66, 0.78) vs. 0.62 (0.58, 0.65) vs. 0.68 (0.54, 0.69)).

Next, we investigated pathological changes to confirm the preventive effects of hUCB-MSCs on PAH. As seen in Fig. 7A and C, medial wall thickness of pulmonary arterioles was slightly decreased in the UD, UE, and UF groups but not significant at weeks 2 (C vs. M vs. UD vs. UE vs. UF; 26.78 (23.86, 29.70) vs. 38.22 (32.23, 44.63) vs. 34.76 (29.11, 39.93) vs. 35.40 (31.98, 36.86)) and 4 (C vs. M vs. UD vs. UE vs. UF; 26.89 (25.82, 29.33) vs. 36.16 (36.02, 39.73) vs. 36.67 (30.47, 39.11)). Furthermore, the number of intra-acinar arteries was significantly decreased in all three groups at week 4 (C vs. M vs. UD vs. UE vs. UF; 6.29 (5.80, 6.69) vs. 6.29 (5.80, 6.69) vs. 6.29 (5.80, 6.69) vs. 6.29 (5.80, 6.69)).

We examined the protein expression levels of ERA, Bcl-2 in the lung tissues and collagen 1, collagen 3 in the heart tissues.
after hUCB-MSCs transfusion (Fig. 8). In the lung tissues, Bcl-2 was significantly decreased in the UF groups compared with the M group at week 4 [M vs. UD vs. UE vs. UF; 2.33 (2.26, 2.41) vs. 1.08 (0.91, 1.51) vs. 1.09 (0.74, 1.44) vs. 0.76 (0.65, 0.80)], whereas collagen 3 in the heart tissues was significantly decreased in the UD and UF groups at week 4 [M vs. UD vs. UE vs. UF; 1.81 (1.46, 2.16) vs. 1.17 (1.03, 1.49) vs. 1.21 (1.10, 1.39) vs. 1.09 (1.09, 1.17)]. There were no significant changes between M and U groups in ERA and collagen 1.

### DISCUSSION

The results in the present study suggested that low-dose (3×10^6 cells/rat) reversal treatment of hUCB-MSCs via jugular vein did improve PAH and low dose early treatment also partially prevented MCT-induced PAH in our rat model. The ability to use low-dose stem cells has great potential to help commercialize hUCB-MSCs because low dose transfusion has an advantage of competitive price compared with other therapeutic

![Fig. 8](https://doi.org/10.3349/ymj.2017.58.3.570)
strategies, and the cost for stem cell therapy can cause a significant economic burden to households. Furthermore, early transfusion of hUCB-MSCs has a significant implication because progression of the disease is relentless and refractory and early treatment was as effective as reversal treatment on RV pressure in this study.

This research is meaningful because low dose treatment reduces the costs of therapy and is the first to investigate the early transfusion effect of hUCB-MSCs on PAH. Early treatment attenuated expression levels of collagen 3 protein in the heart tissues: abnormally high expression levels caused by MCT were suppressed by an early transfusion of hUCB-MSCs, and therefore, early treatment may improve RV systolic function because increased deposition of collagen protein contributes to the deterioration of cardiac systolic function. These results led us to conclude that dual or reversal treatment of hUCB-MSCs is more effective than early treatment.

In the present study, we used hUCB-MSCs that could be differentiated into type II alveolar epithelial cells. Because the specific dose range of hUCB-MSCs for PAH has not yet been determined, we performed a dose escalation test. Dose ranged from $3 \times 10^6$ cells/rat to $3 \times 10^8$ cells/rat showed similar levels of improvement, demonstrating that low-dose cell therapy has effects on MCT-induced PAH rats.

The main effects of the early transfusion of hUCB-MSCs on PAH are as follows. First, early stage treatment decreased mean RV pressure. Effects of reversal treatment have been previously confirmed by changes of several protein expression levels related to PAH and immunomodulation in MCT-induced PAH rats. However, the present study is a first report on early stage treatment. Second, early treatment improved pulmonary patho-logology. The number of neomuscularized intra-acinar arteries were decreased. Third, early treatment attenuated protein expression levels of collagen 3 in the heart tissues. Therefore, the effects of prevention therapy are comparable to that of reversal therapy.

These findings may have important implications for early stage therapy of PAH. PAH is progressively worse and occasionally fatal despite various medical interventions. PAH ordinarily leads to death within 2–3 years after first diagnosis, therefore, hUCB-MSCs injection at the initial phase is critical for prognosis because it is difficult to get back the lung structure and function once it becomes worse. There is a report that early intervention may improve the prognosis of PAH. In 2002, Sitbon, et al. reported that the survival of patients with medical treatment was determined by the severity at baseline which was determined by clinical outcomes and hemodynamics, and suggested that early medical care can bring a favorable prognosis. Our data suggested that hUCB-MSCs in a PAH rat model are capable of differentiate into type II alveolar epithelial cells: we confirmed that differentiation induced hUCB-MSCs were possible to be specialized into type II alveolar epithelial. In 2003, Ortiz, et al. studied that MSCs may limit the injurious effect of bleomycin by replacing type II alveolar epithelial cells. Therefore, the present data indicate that early transfusion of hUCB-MSCs has beneficial effects on the prevention of PAH.

Low-dose hUCB-MSCs may improve PAH by regulating protein expression levels in lung and heart. The exact mechanism of low-dose hUCB-MSCs’ effect is not currently known. Nevertheless, it has been suggested that hUCB-MSCs control the cardiac collagen deposition via paracrine mechanism. In this study, hUCB-MSCs decreased collagen 3 protein expression in the heart tissues, suggesting that low-dose hUCB-MSCs may improve RV function because increased collagen contents contribute to cardiac malfunction. Another possibility is that hUCB-MSCs downregulated Bcl-2 protein expression in the lung tissues, which is related with apoptosis. We earlier showed that high dose hUCB-MSCs have similar effects although slightly better. Therefore, it is likely that low-dose hUCB-MSCs act similarly as high-dose transfusions, resulting in the recovery of protein expression levels.

MSCs not only have reversal therapeutic effects on diverse diseases but also have prophylactic effects on several diseases. MSCs have been used in preclinical and clinical trials in treatments for graft-versus-host disease and autoimmune disease such as systemic sclerosis and type 1 diabetes mellitus. In 2007, Mei, et al. suggested that activated MSCs injection into the jugular vein significantly prevented lipopolysaccharide-induced acute pulmonary inflammation in mice. In 2008, Polchert, et al. suggested that activated MSCs by IFN-γ could prevent graft-versus-host disease. MSCs also have prophylactic effects on lung disease. In 2005, Zhao, et al. showed that bone marrow-derived endothelial-like progenitor cells could prevent the MCT-damaged lung and microvasculature structure, and in 2013, Pierro, et al. reported that hUCB-MSCs prevented bronchopulmonary dysplasia by paracrine effect without adverse lung effect.

The effect of the early transfusion of MSCs in several diseases might be due to immune regulation ability by paracrine effect. MSCs have been shown to have a preventive effect on graft versus host disease through prophylactic immunosuppressive pathway by T cell regulation. Prophylactic treatment of bone marrow-derived MSCs by airway delivery improves arrested alveolar and vascular growth in part through paracrine activity in a bronchopulmonary dysplasia model. These findings suggest that early stage administration of hUCB-MSCs has immunomodulatory ability and recover injured alveolar and vascular cells as well.

The exact mechanism of hUCB-MSCs’ prevention effect in PAH is not clearly understood. Whether it is an anti-apoptotic effect, inhibition of neo-muscularization of pulmonary arterioles or inhibition of cardiac fibrosis remains unknown. Various factors may influence these therapeutic actions on PAH. One probable mechanism of the prevention effect is controlling cardiac remodeling via paracrine mechanism. It has been known that MSCs are capable of cardiac repair in an infarcted
rat heart,\textsuperscript{36} and we showed in the present study, that a preventive administration of hUCB-MSCs attenuated cardiac hyper trophy and increased cardiac protein expression levels of collagen 3, thus suggesting that hUCB-MSCs can possibly control cardiac hypertrophy and fibrosis by regulating a number of molecules such as collagen. The administration of hUCB-MSCs via the external jugular vein has shown an ability to control the collagen deposition and anti-apoptotic mechanisms by both preventive and reversal treatment. It is, therefore, highly likely that this identical mechanism may be involved in both therapeutic actions. However, the exact mechanism of preventive effect in PAH rat models is still unclear.

Limitations of this study are as follows. First of all, preventive intervention on PAH may not have important clinical meaning because the prevalence rate of PAH is low and early diagnosis is difficult. Second, the mechanism has not yet been elucidated although there are several reports that MSCs improved MCT-induced PAH rats. Third, it has not been confirmed that hUCB-MSCs have a potential to differentiate into type II alveolar epithelial cells in animal models. Fourth, this study was performed using a small number of animals.

In conclusion, prophylactic early application of hUCB-MSCs on PAH may provide a basis for the development of a new approach to the treatment of PAH. The present study demonstrated that low-dose hUCB-MSCs treatment is effective in MCT-induced PAH rats. Also, low-dose early treatment improved PAH as well, although dual or reversal treatment is more effective.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge the support provided by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea funded by the Ministry of Education (2013 R1A1A3004619), and intramural research promotion grants from Ewha Womans University School of Medicine.

REFERENCES

1. Simonneau G, Gatzoulis MA, Adatia I, Celermajer D, Denton C, Ghofrani A, et al. Updated clinical classification of pulmonary hypertension. J Am Coll Cardiol 2013;62(25 Suppl):D34–41.
2. Rabinovitch M. Molecular pathogenesis of pulmonary arterial hypertension. J Clin Invest 2012;122:4306–13.
3. Huertas A, Perros F, Tu L, Cohen-Kaminsky S, Montani D, Dorfmüller P, et al. Immune dysregulation and endothelial dysfunction in pulmonary arterial hypertension: a complex interplay. Circulation 2014;129:1332–40.
4. Price LC, Wort SJ, Perros F, Dorfmüller P, Huertas A, Montani D, et al. Inflammation in pulmonary arterial hypertension. Chest 2012;141:210–21.
5. Humbert M, Sitbon O, Simonneau G. Treatment of pulmonary arterial hypertension. N Engl J Med 2004;351:1425–36.
6. Lee H, Lee JC, Kwon JH, Kim KC, Cho MS, Yang YS, et al. The effect of umbilical cord blood derived mesenchymal stem cells in monocrotaline-induced pulmonary artery hypertension rats. J Korean Med Sci 2015;30:576-85.
7. Kim KC, Lee JC, Lee H, Cho MS, Choi SJ, Hong YM. Changes in caspase-3, B cell leukemia/lymphoma-2, interleukin-6, tumor necrosis factor-α and vascular endothelial growth factor gene expression after human umbilical cord blood derived mesenchymal stem cells transfection in pulmonary hypertension rat models. Korean Circ J 2016;46:79-92.
8. Li J, Li D, Liu X, Tang S, Wei F. Human umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cells reduce systemic inflammation and attenuate LPS-induced acute lung injury in rats. J Inflamm (Lond) 2012;9:33.
9. Hansmann G, Fernandez-Gonzalez A, Aslam M, Vitali SH, Martin T, Mitsialis SA, et al. Mesenchymal stem cell-mediated reversal of bronchopulmonary dysplasia and associated pulmonary hypertension. Pulm Circ 2012;2:170-81.
10. Chang YS, Ahn SY, Yoo HS, Sung SI, Choi SJ, Oh W, et al. Mesenchymal stem cells for bronchopulmonary dysplasia: phase 1 dose-escalation clinical trial. J Pediatr 2014;164:966-72.
11. Zhang WG, He L, Shi XM, Wu SS, Zhang B, Mei L, et al. Regulation of transplanted mesenchymal stem cells by the lung progenitor niche in rats with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Respir Res 2014;15:33.
12. Jin Z, Pan X, Zhou K, Bi H, Wang L, Yu L, et al. Biological effects and mechanisms of action of mesenchymal stem cell therapy in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. J Int Med Res 2015;43:303-10.
13. Barczyk M, Schmidt M, Mattoli S. Stem cell-based therapy in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. Stem Cell Rev 2015;11:598-620.
14. Weiss DJ. Concise review: current status of stem cells and regenerative medicine in lung biology and diseases. Stem Cells 2014;32:16-25.
15. Souidi N, Stolk M, Seifert M. Ischemia-reperfusion injury: beneficial effects of mesenchymal stromal cells. Curr Opin Organ Transplant 2013;18:34–43.
16. Xue J, Li X, Lu Y, Gan L, Zhou L, Wang Y, et al. Gene-modified mesenchymal stem cells protect against radiation-induced lung injury. Mol Ther 2013;21:456-65.
17. Wannemuehler TJ, Manukyan MC, Brewster BD, Rouch J, Poynter JA, Wang Y, et al. Advances in mesenchymal stem cell research in sepsis. J Surg Res 2012;173:113-26.
18. Barber SR, Deng W, Master RG, Bunnell BA, Taylor BK, Murthy SN, et al. Intratracheal mesenchymal stem cell administration attenuates monocrotaline-induced pulmonary hypertension and endothelial dysfunction. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 2007;292:H1120-8.
19. Ionescu L, Byrne RN, van Haafften T, Vadiell A, Alphonse RS, Rey-Parrà GJ, et al. Stem cell conditioned medium improves acute lung injury in mice: in vivo evidence for stem cell paracrine action. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol 2012;303:L967-77.
20. Lee JW, Fang X, Krasnodembskaya A, Howard JP, Matthay MA. Concise review: mesenchymal stem cells for acute lung injury: role of paracrine soluble factors. Stem Cells 2011;29:913-9.
21. Umar S, de Visser YP, Steendijk P, Schutte CI, Laghmani EH, Wagehaar GT, et al. Allogenic stem cell therapy improves right ventricular function and survival in a murine model of heart failure. J Crit Care 2009;24:392-9.
22. Kern S, Eichler H, Stoeve J, Klüter H, Bieback K. Comparative effects of mesenchymal stromal cells. Curr Opin Organ Transplant 2011;16:618-24.
23. Musina RA, Bekchanova ES, Belyavskii AV, Grinenko TS, Sukhiy EM, et al. Role of paracrine soluble factors in the therapeutic effects of mesenchymal stem cells. Cytokine Growth Factor Rev 2010;21:65-74.
24. Jin Z, Pan X, Zhou K, Bi H, Wang L, Yu L, et al. Biological effects and mechanisms of action of mesenchymal stem cell therapy in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. J Int Med Res 2015;43:303-10.
25. Barczyk M, Schmidt M, Mattoli S. Stem cell-based therapy in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. Stem Cell Rev 2015;11:598-620.
26. Weiss DJ. Concise review: current status of stem cells and regenerative medicine in lung biology and diseases. Stem Cells 2014;32:16-25.
27. Souidi N, Stolk M, Seifert M. Ischemia-reperfusion injury: beneficial effects of mesenchymal stromal cells. Curr Opin Organ Transplant 2013;18:34–43.
28. Xue J, Li X, Lu Y, Gan L, Zhou L, Wang Y, et al. Gene-modified mesenchymal stem cells protect against radiation-induced lung injury. Mol Ther 2013;21:456-65.
29. Wannemuehler TJ, Manukyan MC, Brewster BD, Rouch J, Poynter JA, Wang Y, et al. Advances in mesenchymal stem cell research in sepsis. J Surg Res 2012;173:113-26.
30. Barber SR, Deng W, Master RG, Bunnell BA, Taylor BK, Murthy SN, et al. Intratracheal mesenchymal stem cell administration attenuates monocrotaline-induced pulmonary hypertension and endothelial dysfunction. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 2007;292:H1120-8.
31. Ionescu L, Byrne RN, van Haafften T, Vadiell A, Alphonse RS, Rey-Parrà GJ, et al. Stem cell conditioned medium improves acute lung injury in mice: in vivo evidence for stem cell paracrine action. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol 2012;303:L967-77.
32. Lee JW, Fang X, Krasnodembskaya A, Howard JP, Matthay MA. Concise review: mesenchymal stem cells for acute lung injury: role of paracrine soluble factors. Stem Cells 2011;29:913-9.
33. Umar S, de Visser YP, Steenekamp P, Schutte CI, Laghmani EH, Wagehaar GT, et al. Allogenic stem cell therapy improves right ventricular function by improving lung pathology in rats with pulmonary hypertension. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 2009;297:H1606-16.
34. Kern S, Eichler H, Stoever J, Klüter H, Bieback K. Comparative analysis of mesenchymal stem cells from bone marrow, umbilical cord blood, or adipose tissue. Stem Cells 2006;24:1294-301.
35. Musina RA, Bekchanova ES, Belyavskii AV, Grinenko TS, Sukhiy EM, et al. Role of paracrine soluble factors in the therapeutic effects of mesenchymal stem cells. Cytokine Growth Factor Rev 2010;21:65-74.
36. Jin Z, Pan X, Zhou K, Bi H, Wang L, Yu L, et al. Biological effects and mechanisms of action of mesenchymal stem cell therapy in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. J Int Med Res 2015;43:303-10.
37. Barczyk M, Schmidt M, Mattoli S. Stem cell-based therapy in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. Stem Cell Rev 2015;11:598-620.
Umbilical Stem Cells in Pulmonary Hypertension

26. Sitbon O, Humbert M, Nunes H, Parent F, Garcia G, Hervé P, et al. Long-term intravenous epoprostenol infusion in primary pulmonary hypertension: prognostic factors and survival. J Am Coll Cardiol 2002;40:780-8.

27. Ortiz LA, Gambelli F, McBride C, Gaupp D, Baddoo M, Kaminski N, et al. Mesenchymal stem cell engraftment in lung is enhanced in response to bleomycin exposure and ameliorates its fibrotic effects. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2003;100:8407-11.

28. Le Blanc K, Rasmusson I, Sundberg B, Götherström C, Hassan M, Uzunel M, et al. Treatment of severe acute graft-versus-host disease with third party haploididentical mesenchymal stem cells. Lancet 2004;363:1439-41.

29. Gately SE, Haller MJ, Schatz D. Autologous nonmyeloablative hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in newly diagnosed type 1 diabetes mellitus. JAMA 2009;302:624-5.

30. Couri CE, Oliveira MC, Stracieri AB, Moraes DA, Pieroni F, Barros GM, et al. C-peptide levels and insulin independence following autologous nonmyeloablative hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in newly diagnosed type 1 diabetes mellitus. JAMA 2009;301:1573-9.

31. Mei SH, McCarter SD, Deng Y, Parker CH, Liles WC, Stewart DJ. Prevention of LPS-induced acute lung injury in mice by mesenchymal stem cells overexpressing angiopoietin 1. PLoS Med 2007;4:e269.

32. Polchert D, Sobinsky J, Douglas G, Kidd M, Moadisiri A, Reina E, et al. IFN-gamma activation of mesenchymal stem cells for treatment and prevention of graft versus host disease. Eur J Immunol 2008;38:1745-55.

33. Zhao YD, Courtman DW, Deng Y, Kugathasan L, Zhang Q, Stewart DJ. Rescue of monocrotaline-induced pulmonary arterial hypertension using bone marrow-derived endothelial-like progenitor cells: efficacy of combined cell and eNOS gene therapy in established disease. Circ Res 2005;96:442-50.

34. Pierro M, Ionescu L, Montemurro T, Vadivel A, Weissmann G, Oudit G, et al. Short-term, long-term and paracrine effect of human umbilical cord-derived stem cells in lung injury prevention and repair in experimental bronchopulmonary dysplasia. Thorax 2013;68:475-84.

35. van Haaften T, Byrne R, Bonnet S, Rochefort GY, Akabutu J, Bouchentouf M, et al. Airway delivery of mesenchymal stem cells prevents arrested alveolar growth in neonatal lung injury in rats. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2009;180:1131-42.

36. Gnecchi M, Zhang Z, Ni A, Dzau VJ. Paracrine mechanisms in adult stem cell signaling and therapy. Circ Res 2008;103:1204-19.