Differences in Retinal and Choroidal Vasculature and Perfusion Related to Axial Length in Pediatric Anisomyopes
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PURPOSE. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the interocular differences in choroidal vasculature, choriocapillaris perfusion, and retinal microvascular network, and to explore their associations with interocular asymmetry in axial lengths (ALs) in children with anisomyopia.

METHODS. Refractive error, AL, and other biometric parameters were measured in 70 children with anisomyopia. Using optical coherence tomography (OCT) and OCT-angiography, we measured the submacular choroidal thickness (ChT), total choroidal area (TCA), luminal area (LA), stromal area (SA), choroidal vasculosity index (CVI), choriocapillaris flow deficit (CcFD), retinal vessel density (VD), and foveal avascular zone (FAZ) area.

RESULTS. The mean interocular differences in spherical equivalent refraction and AL were $-2.26 \pm 0.94$ diopters and $0.95 \pm 0.46$ mm, respectively. Submacular ChT, TCA, LA, SA, and CVI were all significantly lower in the more myopic (longer AL) eyes than in the less myopic (shorter AL) fellow eyes. In eyes with longer ALs, both the CcFD and FAZ areas were significantly greater, whereas the superficial and deep retinal VDs were significantly less. After adjusting for corneal power and intraocular pressure, interocular differences in LA ($\beta = -0.774$), SA ($\beta = -0.991$), and CcFD ($\beta = 0.040$) were significantly associated with interocular asymmetry in AL (all $P < 0.05$).

CONCLUSIONS. In pediatric anisomyopes, eyes with longer ALs tended to have lower choroidal vasculature and choriocapillaris perfusion than the contralateral eyes with shorter ALs. Longitudinal investigations would be useful follow-ups to test for a causal role of choroidal circulation in human myopia.
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 Functional impairment of the retinal microvascular network was also noted in children with myopia, with decreases in vessel density and enlargement of the foveal avascular zone. However, to our knowledge, no study has investigated the choroidal vasculature, choriocapillaris perfusion, and retinal microvascular network simultaneously in children with myopia. Thus, it is still unclear whether the variations in choroidal and/or retinal circulation are closely associated with longer axial length (AL) in children.

Therefore, we performed the current study in a population of children with anisomyopia, to evaluate the interocular differences in choroidal vasculature, choriocapillaris perfusion, and retinal microvascular network. Importantly, we also determined whether these variations are associated with the interocular asymmetry in ALs between the two eyes of the same individual. This approach of examining interocular differences in the same individual minimizes the confounding effects of individual variables, such as age, gender, genetics, visual environment, and diurnal rhythms, thereby allowing smaller sample sizes than typically found in population-based studies. The findings of this study will help understand the contributions of the choroidal and retinal circulations to human myopia development.

**METHODS**

**Subjects**

This cross-sectional study was approved by the ethics committee of the Eye Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University. A total of 70 children, 10 to 17 years old, participated in this study; 43 were boys and 27 were girls, and the mean age was 13.1 ± 1.9 years. All participants were treated in accordance with the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consents were obtained from all participants and their parents.

Ophthalmic screening examinations, including noncycloplegic subjective refraction, binocular testing, and ocular health evaluation, were conducted prior to formal enrollment. All subjects were anisomyopes with interocular difference in spherical equivalent refraction (SER) of at least 1.0 diopter (D). All subjects enrolled in the study had a best corrected visual acuity of 0.00 log minimum angle of resolution (LogMAR) or better in each eye, and there was no evidence or history of significant ocular disease, surgery, smoking, or systemic diseases. None of the subjects were previously or currently treated with myopia-control measures, such as orthokeratology or atropine; these medical histories were confirmed by their parents. Subjects were asked to avoid caffeine intake for 24 hours prior to choroidal imaging. Intraocular pressure (IOP) was evaluated by noncontact tonometry (Canon TX-20, Tokyo, Japan). Corneal power (CP) and AL were measured using the IOLMaster 700 (Carl Zeiss Meditec AG, Jena, Germany).

**Swept Source Optical Coherence Tomography and Optical Coherence Tomography Angiography Imaging and Analysis**

Following the screening, the participants were instructed to watch a 20-minute video on a 65-inch television (65A57F, Hisense, Shandong, China) at a distance of 5 meters, with their full-distance spectacle corrections, under normal room illumination of 200 to 300 lux. This minimized the possible influence of other recent visual experience that would induce high accommodation or defocus, which might have confounding effects on the choroid. The choroidal images were then acquired by a swept source optical coherence tomography/optical coherence tomography angiography (SS-OCT/OCTA, VG200S; SVision Imaging, Henan, China) between 9 AM and 5 PM.

The SS-OCT/OCTA system contained a swept-source laser with a central wavelength of approximately 1050 nm and a scan rate of 200,000 A-scans per second. The system was equipped with an eye-tracking utility based on an integrated confocal scanning laser ophthalmoscope to eliminate eye-motion artifacts. The axial resolution, lateral resolution, and scan depth were 5 μm, 13 μm, and 3 mm, respectively.

Structural OCT imaging of the macular region was performed with 18 radial scan lines centered on the fovea. Each scan line, generated by 2048 A-scans, was 12-mm long and separated from adjacent lines by 10 degrees. Sixty-four B-scans were obtained on each scan line and were automatically averaged to improve the signal-to-noise ratio. Only the vertical and horizontal lines were used to analyze the choroidal thickness and choroidal vascularity. The images were segmented semiautomatically and binarized (Fig. 1) with Niblack’s autolocal threshold, using custom-designed algorithms in MATLAB R2017a (MathWorks, Natick, MA, USA), as previously described. After image processing, the mean choroidal thickness (ChT), total choroidal area (TCA),
FIGURE 2. En face angiography for retinal vascular network and choriocapillaris layer. (A) Segmentation of retinal vascular layers and choriocapillaris. En face images of (B) inner retina with outline of FAZ, (C) retinal SVC, (D) DVC, and (E) choriocapillaris. Vessel density analysis in the parafoveal region for en face images of SVC and DVC, respectively. Flow deficit analysis for the choriocapillaris in the 2.5-mm submacular region. FAZ, foveal avascular zone; SVC, superficial vascular complex; DVC, deep vascular complex.

luminal area (LA), and stromal area (SA) in a 6-mm submacular region centered on the fovea were calculated. Mean ChT represented the averaged thickness measured at ca. 700 points, which were determined by the number of horizontal pixels in the 6-mm submacular region. The chorioidal vascularity index (CVI) was defined as the ratio of LA to TCA.

OCTA fundus images were obtained with a raster scan protocol of 512 horizontal B-scans that covered an area of \(3 \times 3 \text{ mm}^2\) centered on the fovea. The B-scans, which contained 512 A-scans each, were repeated 8 times and averaged. The en face angiograms of inner retina, retinal superficial vascular complex (SVC), deep vascular complex (DVC), and the choriocapillaris layer (the innermost chorialid layer) were generated by automatic segmentation to evaluate retinal and choriocapillaris perfusions (Fig. 2). In the descriptions that follow, “above” and “below” refer to locations as seen in the conventional presentation of OCT images: “above” being toward the vitreous, and “below” being toward the sclera. The inner retina, containing the SVC and DVC, extended from 5 μm above the inner limiting membrane (ILM) to 25 μm below the lower border of inner nuclear layer (INL). The segmentation between the SVC and the DVC was set in the inner two-thirds and outer one-third interface of ganglion cell layer and inner plexiform layer (GCL + IPL; see Fig. 2A). The choriocapillaris layer was defined as a slab from the basal border of the retinal pigment epithelium-Bruch’s membrane complex to 20 μm below it. The scan size was adjusted for the differences in magnification due to different ALs among the eyes.

The area of the foveal avascular zone (FAZ) in the inner retina (see Fig. 2B), percentages of retinal vessel density (VD) in SVC and DVC, and percentage of choriocapillaris flow deficits (CcFD) were obtained with built-in algorithms. The percentage was calculated by dividing the area of VD or CcFD by the total area of the measured region. To reduce the influences of decentration of the fovea and artifacts at the edge of the scan, the percentages of the CcFD were calculated in a 2.5-mm diameter circular region centered on the fovea, whereas the percentage of VD was calculated in a 2.5-mm diameter annular region with 1-mm inner diameter to exclude the potential influence of the FAZ (see Figs. 2C–E).

Reproducibility of OCT and OCTA Measurements

To assess and affirm the reproducibility of OCT/OCTA measurements, 20 eyes from another 10 adults were imaged twice by an experienced observer (author Z.X.), and then the 2 sets of images were measured by the same observer at a 1-week interval. Intraclass correlation coefficients (ICCs) and coefficients of repeatability were calculated to assess reproducibility. The coefficients of repeatability were calculated as 1.96 times the standard deviation of the differences between 2 measurements. The ICCs and coefficients of repeatability generally indicated good reproducibility for both OCT and OCTA measurements (Supplementary Table S1).

Statistics

The statistical analyses were performed using SPSS Statistics 23.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). The means and standard
deviations of all continuous variables were calculated unless otherwise stated. Normality of the data was evaluated by the Shapiro-Wilk test. Paired t-tests or Wilcoxon signed-rank tests were used to assess the interocular differences between the two eyes of individuals for ocular biometrics and for retinal and choroidal parameters. Adjustment for multiple testing on these variables were not performed because of the exploratory nature of the current study. Values representing the less myopic eye (with shorter AL) were subtracted from those of the more myopic eye (with longer AL) to derive interocular differences. Spearman's correlation was used to determine the degree and statistical significance of associations between variables wherever appropriate. The multiple linear regression model with generalized estimating equations was used to determine the association between interocular differences in AL and interocular differences in those variables having a significant correlation with AL. The independent correlation matrix was set for repeated-measures of variables having a significant correlation with AL. The independent correlation matrix was set for repeated-measures of the vertical and horizontal meridians, the geographic area; CVI, choroidal vascularity index; CcFD, choriocapillaris flow deficits; VD, vessel density; FAZ, foveal avascular zone.

**RESULTS**

**Interocular Differences in SER and AL**

The SER of the more myopic eyes was $-2.98 \pm 1.19$ D, and that of the contralateral less myopic eyes was $-0.72 \pm 0.98$ D ($P < 0.001$; Table 1). The AL in the more myopic eyes, 24.89 ± 0.93 mm, was significantly longer than that in the less myopic eyes, 23.93 ± 0.85 mm ($P < 0.001$). The interocular differences in SER and AL were $-2.26 \pm 0.94$ D and 0.95 ± 0.46 mm, respectively, and they were strongly correlated with each other (Spearman’s correlation, $r_s = -0.867$, $P < 0.001$).

**Interocular Differences in Choroidal and Retinal Parameters**

All 70 subjects were included in the analysis of the OCT structural B-scans, whereas 2 were excluded from the analysis for en face angiography because of poor image quality (Table 2).

Both the vertical and the horizontal mean submacular ChTs were significantly less in eyes with longer ALs than in the contralateral eyes with shorter ALs (vertical = 256 ± 55 vs. 312 ± 71 µm, horizontal = 228 ± 51 vs. 281 ± 66 µm, both $P < 0.001$). There were also significant reductions in the vertical and horizontal TCAs (vertical = 1.54 ± 0.33 vs. 1.87 ± 0.42 mm², horizontal = 1.37 ± 0.30 vs. 1.69 ± 0.40 mm²), LAs (vertical = 0.89 ± 0.20 vs. 1.10 ± 0.27 mm², horizontal = 0.80 ± 0.19 vs. 1.00 ± 0.26 mm²), and SAs (vertical = 0.64 ± 0.14 vs. 0.77 ± 0.17 mm², horizontal = 0.57 ± 0.13 vs. 0.69 ± 0.15 mm²) in the longer eyes compared to the shorter eyes (all $P < 0.001$). Importantly, the CVIs of both the vertical and horizontal meridians were less in the longer eyes (vertical = 58.11 ± 2.92 vs. 58.79 ± 2.46%, horizontal = 58.07 ± 3.55 vs. 59.03 ± 2.85%, $P < 0.05$), and the percentage of CcFD was greater in the longer eyes (6.81 ± 2.77 vs. 5.23 ± 1.80%, both $P < 0.05$), and the FAZ area was larger (0.526 ± 0.097 vs. 0.314 ± 0.099 mm², $P < 0.01$) in the longer eyes than in the shorter eyes.

**Choroidal Factors Associated With the Asymmetric AL**

The interocular difference in AL was significantly correlated with interocular differences in most but not all choroidal parameters. The coefficients of correlation for TCA, LA, and SA ranged from $-0.614$ to $-0.512$, and for CcFD it was 0.387 (all $P < 0.01$). In contrast, AL was not correlated with the vertical or horizontal CVIs, superficial or deep retinal VD, or FAZ area (Fig. 3). In addition, the interocular differences in AL correlated significantly with that in CP ($r_s = -0.387$, $P < 0.001$) and IOP ($r_s = -0.187$, $P = 0.028$; data not shown).

The symmetry between corresponding vertical and horizontal meridians was high for interocular differences in TCA (slope = 0.890; Fig. 4A) and LA (slope = 0.962; Fig. 4B), moderate for SA (slope = 0.680; Fig. 4C), and poor for CVI (no linear relationship; Fig. 4D). To adjust the repeated-measures of the vertical and horizontal meridians, the generalized estimating equation was used to establish the multiple linear regression model and identify independent factors.

### Table 1. Ocular Biometrics of the Anisomyopic Children

| Parameter | Longer Eye | Shorter Eye | Difference | $P$ Value |
|-----------|------------|-------------|------------|-----------|
| SER (D)   | $-2.98 \pm 1.19$ | $-0.72 \pm 0.98$ | $-2.26 \pm 0.94$ | $<0.001^\dagger$ |
| AL (mm)   | 24.89 ± 0.95 | 23.94 ± 0.85 | 0.95 ± 0.46 | $<0.001^\dagger$ |
| CP (mm)   | 43.38 ± 1.29 | 43.37 ± 1.27 | 0.01 ± 0.29 | 0.855 |
| IOP (mm Hg) | 15.2 ± 2.9 | 15.1 ± 2.6 | 0.1 ± 2.0 | 0.611 |

$N = 70$ for SER and AL, $N = 69$ for CP and IOP.

$^\daggerP$ value determined by paired t-test.

$^\dagger\daggerP$ value determined by Wilcoxon signed-rank test.

### Table 2. Choroidal and Retinal Parameters in the Anisomyopic Children

| Parameters | Longer Eye | Shorter Eye | Difference | $P$ Value |
|------------|------------|-------------|------------|-----------|
| ChT_V (µm) | 250 ± 55 | 312 ± 71 | $-56 \pm 44$ | $<0.001^\dagger$ |
| ChT_H (µm) | 228 ± 51 | 281 ± 66 | $-53 \pm 47$ | $<0.001^\dagger$ |
| TCA_V (mm²) | 1.54 ± 0.33 | 1.87 ± 0.42 | $-0.33 \pm 0.27$ | $<0.001^\dagger$ |
| TCA_H (mm²) | 1.37 ± 0.30 | 1.69 ± 0.40 | $-0.32 \pm 0.28$ | $<0.001^\dagger$ |
| LA_V (mm²) | 0.89 ± 0.20 | 1.10 ± 0.27 | $-0.21 \pm 0.17$ | $<0.001^\dagger$ |
| LA_H (mm²) | 0.80 ± 0.19 | 1.00 ± 0.26 | $-0.20 \pm 0.19$ | $<0.001^\dagger$ |
| SA_V (mm²) | 0.64 ± 0.14 | 0.77 ± 0.17 | $-0.13 \pm 0.11$ | $<0.001^\dagger$ |
| SA_H (mm²) | 0.57 ± 0.13 | 0.69 ± 0.15 | $-0.12 \pm 0.11$ | $<0.001^\dagger$ |
| CVL_V (%) | 58.11 ± 2.92 | 58.79 ± 2.46 | $-0.68 \pm 2.14$ | $<0.01^\dagger$ |
| CVL_H (%) | 58.07 ± 3.55 | 59.03 ± 2.85 | $-0.96 \pm 3.26$ | $<0.05^\dagger$ |
| CcFD (%) | 6.81 ± 2.77 | 5.23 ± 1.80 | 1.58 ± 2.08 | $<0.001^\dagger$ |
| Superficial | 46.07 ± 3.70 | 46.98 ± 4.05 | $-0.92 \pm 3.32$ | $<0.05^\dagger$ |
| Deep VD (%) | 56.04 ± 3.57 | 57.10 ± 4.20 | $-1.07 \pm 3.90$ | $<0.05^\dagger$ |
| FAZ area (mm²) | 0.526 ± 0.097 | 0.314 ± 0.099 | $0.012 \pm 0.033$ | $<0.01^\dagger$ |

$N = 70$ for structural parameters; $N = 68$ for angiographic parameters.

$^\daggerP$ value determined by paired t-test.

$^\dagger\daggerP$ value determined by Wilcoxon signed-rank test.
that were associated with the interocular difference in AL. Because TCA included both LA and SA, these two choroidal structural parameters as well as the CcFD were included in the model (Table 3). After adjusting for CP and IOP, the interocular difference in AL was negatively correlated with the interocular differences in LA (β = -0.774) and SA (β = -0.991, both P < 0.05), and positively correlated with the interocular difference in CcFD (β = 0.040, P < 0.05).

**DISCUSSION**

Excessive axial elongation is the major anatomic characteristic of myopia development, and it increases the risks for visual impairment. Children who develop anisomyopia exhibit an interocular asymmetry in the magnitude or rate of axial eye growth during myopia development. In the current study of pediatric anisomyopia, we found that TCA, LA, SA, CVI, and superficial and deep retinal VD were lower, whereas CcFD and retinal FAZ area were higher, in the longer eye than in the contralateral shorter eye. These findings suggest that the choroidal and retinal circulations were impaired in parallel with the excessive ocular elongation. The multiple linear regression showed that the decreases in LA and SA, as well as the increase in CcFD, were closely associated with the magnitude of ocular elongation in the longer eye.

In the past decade, cross-sectional studies have clearly shown an inverse association between AL and ChT across myopic, emmetropic, and hyperopic eyes. Several longitudinal studies have confirmed that eyes undergoing acceler-
Choroidal Circulation and Human Myopia

FIGURE 4. Scatter plots of the interocular differences in choroidal parameters at the corresponding vertical and horizontal meridians. (A) TCA, (B) LA, (C) SA, and (D) CVI. The dashed line (y = x) represents perfect symmetry between vertical (V) and horizontal (H) scan meridians. TCA, total choroidal area; LA, luminal area; SA, stromal area; CVI, choroidal vascularity index.

TABLE 3. Multiple Regression Analysis of Interocular Differences in Choroidal Parameters With the Asymmetric Axial Elongation

| Variables    | Unstandardized Coefficient | 95% Confidence Interval | P Value |
|--------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|---------|
| LA (mm²)     | −0.774                     | −1.410 to −0.138        | 0.017   |
| SA (mm²)     | −0.991                     | −1.827 to −0.154        | 0.020   |
| CcFD (%)     | 0.040                      | 0.004 to 0.076          | 0.031   |
| CP (D)       | −0.483                     | −0.727 to −0.240        | <0.001  |
| IOP (mm Hg)  | −0.020                     | −0.059 to 0.019         | 0.316   |

LA, luminal area; SA, stromal area; CcFD, choriocapillaris flow deficits; CP, corneal power; IOP, intraocular pressure.

ated ocular growth experienced less choroidal thickening or more choroidal thinning in children. However, studies on the predictive value of ChT for axial elongation in children with emmetropia and myopia and in adults with high myopia have yielded inconsistent results. In a population-based cohort study, a thicker choroid at baseline was associated with increased 5-year axial elongation in children without myopia, whereas there was no correlation between baseline choroidal thickness and 5-year axial elongation in children with myopia. Moreover, in young adults with high myopia, the presence of a thinner choroid was associated with greater axial elongation. These discrepancies might...
be attributed to variations in choroidal vasculature among subjects with different refractive states. Accordingly, such variations in choroidal vasculature might in turn affect the choriocapillaris perfusion. These findings strongly imply that choroidal thickness alone is insufficient to serve as a sensitive surrogate measure of choroidal circulation. Alternatively, a detailed, comprehensive assessment of the choroidal vasculature and choriocapillaris perfusion might be more informative.

Studies with animal models in which myopia is induced by unilateral manipulation of visual inputs have established that alteration in ChT is an early sign of vision-driven changes in ocular growth and myopia development. The ChT responds to visual stimuli in a bidirectional, rapid, and regionally selective manner. Choroidal blood flow also exhibits a bidirectional response to visual stimuli, decreasing during myopia development and recovering after removal of the myopic eye. This suggests that it might be a trigger for subsequent change in ChT and ocular growth. Of note, our recent study in guinea pigs found that actively increasing choroidal blood flow was accompanied by attenuation of scleral hypoxia and inhibition of excessive axial elongation during form-deprivation myopia. In addition, treatment with atropine, apomorphine, or intense light, all of which attenuate myopia progression, simultaneously inhibit this decrease in choroidal blood flow.

Overall, these provocative findings suggest that the regulation of choroidal blood flow could be a target for myopia control.

It is possible that interocular variation of choroidal circulation is a result of an active response to the differences in visual inputs between the fellow eyes. Phillips reported that children with myopia who were fitted with monovision spectacles over a period of 30 months developed anisometropia. This was characterized by a slower growth rate in the near-corrected test eye than in the distance-corrected fellow eye, whereas the growth rate was reduced to the baseline level within 18 months after returning to conventional correction. Such changes in ocular growth rate may be associated with retinal defocus-induced changes in the normal diurnal rhythms in ChT. Moreover, appropriate asymmetric optical manipulations by orthokeratology were found to reduce the degree of anisomyopia in children. It is possible that such treatments differentially affect the magnitude of choroidal thinning. Orthokeratology treatments have been shown to increase ChT in a period as short as 3 to 4 weeks, and this effect was sustained over the 1-year treatment period. In longitudinal studies, the initial increase in ChT, mainly attributed to the thickening of the large vascular layer, predicted the long-term changes in AL. These findings by no means prove that the asymmetric axial elongation is the result of a vision-driven decrease in choroidal blood flow, but they certainly do indicate that this hypothesis warrants further consideration.

In agreement with the results of previous studies, we also observed decreases in retinal VD and enlargement of the FAZ area in the longer eyes than in the contralateral shorter eye in the entire study population, but these changes were not correlated with the interocular asymmetry of AL. These findings indicated that parameters of the retinal microvascular network might not be promising as predictors of axial elongation.

Both the choroid and AL undergo diurnal rhythm-associated changes, and these circadian effects should be considered in investigations into the factors influencing the onset and progression of myopia. Under natural conditions, the diurnal rhythms of AL and ChT were reported to be similar between the two eyes of human subjects having minimal anisomyopia. Specifically, the choroid was found to become thinner during the day and thicker during the night, approximately in anti-phase to the rhythms of the AL, with the patterns recorded from age-matched, young adult emmetropes and myopes being not significantly different. The choroidal LA fluctuates in parallel with the diurnal variation in ChT during the day, but the CCFD are not influenced by the diurnal rhythm. Even though the rhythms of AL and ChT in humans could be disturbed by exposure to about 2.00 D myopic or hyperopic defocus for 12 hours during the day, they returned to normal during the second day after removal of the lenses.

In our present study, the subjects underwent a 20-minute washout period, during which they were exposed to controlled viewing conditions while wearing full optical corrections. This approach may have helped to minimize the influence of any preceding differences in the optical defocus experiences of their two eyes.

Although our study provides clear evidence of a close association of the interocular differences in choroidal vasculature and the choriocapillaris perfusion with interocular asymmetry in AL, the cross-sectional nature of the study precludes any definite conclusions on the causality or temporal relationship. Moreover, the associations that we found were based on the analysis of interocular differences (i.e. on the degree of anisometropia rather than myopia per se). Nonetheless, this internal comparison between the two eyes of an individual allows for greater control of most systemic confounding factors. The impact of non-cycloplegic refraction on the reliability of refractive error determination was minimal, as the same technique was performed in both eyes. Additionally, most of the analyses concentrated upon the relationship between interocular choroidal variations and interocular asymmetry of ALs, which is less influenced by the lack of cycloplegia. Nonetheless, it would be preferable to include cycloplegic refractions in any future longitudinal studies examining the relationship between choroidal blood flow and myopia development and progression.

**Conclusions**

In this study, we found a close association between the interocular differences in AL and the severity of impairment of choroidal circulation in children with anisomyopia. The interocular differences in LA, SA, and CCFD were independently associated with the interocular asymmetry in AL. These findings offer some new insight into the role of the choroid in human myopia development. Further longitudinal investigations would be highly valuable for testing the predictive value of decreased choroidal blood flow for increased ocular elongation. In this way, early interventions could be aimed at delaying the onset of myopia, rather than just slowing down the progression.
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