Nasal Immunization Confers High Avidity Neutralizing Antibody Response and Immunity to Primary and Recurrent Genital Herpes in Guinea Pigs
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Genital herpes is one of the most prevalent sexually transmitted infections in both the developing and developed world. Following infection, individuals experience life-long latency associated with sporadic ulcerative outbreaks. Despite many efforts, no vaccine has yet been licensed for human use. Herein, we demonstrated that nasal immunization with an adjuvanted HSV-2 gD envelope protein mounts significant protection to primary infection as well as the establishment of latency and recurrent genital herpes in guinea pigs. Nasal immunization was shown to elicit specific T cell proliferative and IFN-γ responses as well as systemic and vaginal gD-specific IgG antibody (Ab) responses. Furthermore, systemic IgG Abs displayed potent HSV-2 neutralizing properties and high avidity. By employing a competitive surface plasmon resonance (SPR) analysis combined with a battery of known gD-specific neutralizing monoclonal Abs (MAbs), we showed that nasal immunization generated IgG Abs directed to two major discontinuous neutralizing epitopes of gD. These results highlight the potential of nasal immunization with an adjuvanted HSV-2 envelope protein for induction of protective immunity to primary and recurrent genital herpes.
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INTRODUCTION

HSV-2 is the leading cause of genital herpes, one of the most prevalent sexually transmitted infections (1). HSV-2 enters via the epithelium of the genital tract mucosa, where it replicates, and transfers to the sensory neurons innervating the infected area. The virus establishes life-long latency in the dorsal root ganglia (DRG), where it can sporadically reactivate and cause recurrent genital herpes disease (2). Re-infection of epithelial cells during recurrent outbreaks may lead to symptomatic or asymptomatic HSV-2 shedding (3). During the silent latent phase of HSV infection the latency-associated transcript (LAT) is the only RNA transcribed and is therefore used as a latency marker (4).
To date, no vaccine against genital herpes is available for human use. A few subunit vaccine candidates using systemic immunization have reached advanced clinical trials with disappointing results (5–7). Earlier studies by our group and others have demonstrated that nasal immunization with adjuvanted recombinant HSV-2 glycoproteins can confer protective immunity to primary genital herpes infection in mice (8–12). However, little is known about the potential of mucosal immunization for induction of protective immunity to primary HSV-2 infection, establishment of latency and recurrent genital outbreaks in guinea pigs, which is the most clinically relevant animal model of the disease [reviewed in Ref. (13)]. Likewise, while the importance of antibodies (Abs) in protective immunity to primary genital herpes has been extensively studied in mice (14–19), the neutralizing characteristics of the Ab response elicited after mucosal immunization remains poorly understood. In the present study, we attempted to investigate these important issues in a guinea pig model of the disease. We opted to use gD protein as a prototype HSV-2 antigen owing to its essential role in mediating the binding of the virus to two major cellular receptors (herpesvirus entry mediator (HVEM) and nectin-1) and activating the downstream components of the viral fusion machinery i.e., gHgL and gB (20). Furthermore, gD possesses the ability to induce virus-neutralizing Abs, and as such its antigenic structure has been well studied using a large panel of anti-gD monoclonal Abs (MAbs) (21, 22). We employed such an antigen to decipher whether nasal immunization with adjuvanted gD protein could elicit high avidity IgG Ab responses against epitopes overlapping those of well-characterized MAbs and whether this IgG Ab response has neutralizing properties.

We report herein that nasal immunization with CpG-adjuvanted gD protein evokes high avidity neutralizing IgG Abs against two discontinuous gD epitopes overlapping those of well-characterized MAbs. Furthermore, we showed that nasal immunization confers protective immunity to primary genital herpes, establishment of latency, and recurrent outbreaks in guinea pigs. These results provide new insights into the potential of nasal immunization to elicit protective immunity to genital herpes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Animals**
Female Dunkin-Hartley guinea pigs (350–450 g) and C57BL/6 mice (7–9 weeks old) were purchased from Charles River, Germany. Female µMT mice (8–10 weeks old) on C57BL/6 background were bred in-house (kindly provided by MIVAC, University of Gothenburg, Sweden). The animals were housed under specific-pathogen-free conditions at the Experimental Biomedicine Animal Facility, University of Gothenburg. When required, the animals were sedated with Isoflur an (Baxter Healthcare). The guinea pigs were euthanized with an intraperitoneal overdose of pentobarbital, followed by heart removal. The mice were sacrificed by cervical dislocation. All experiments were conducted with the approval (311-12) of the Ethical Committee for Animal Experimentation in Gothenburg, Sweden.

**Virus**
HSV-2 strain 333 was grown and titrated in African green monkey kidney cells [GMK-AH1; (23)], according to a previous publication (10).

**Immunization Scheme**
Groups of guinea pigs (in total n = 15–18) were nasally immunized three times, at 14-day intervals, with 50 µg recombinant HSV-2 gD (24) alone or together with 150 µg CpG ODN 7909 (TCG TCG TTT TGT CGT TTT GTC GTT) (Eurofins MWG/Operon), in 250 µl. Groups of mice (in total n = 5) were nasally immunized three times, at 7-day intervals, with 5 µg gD together with 15 µg CpG ODN 1826 (TCC ATG ACG TTC CTG AGC TT) (Eurofins MWG/Operon), in 20 µl. Phosphate buffered solution (PBS) was used for dilutions and a group given PBS was included as negative control in all experiments. The inoculum was divided equally between the nostrils with a micropipette in sedated animals. Three studies were performed for guinea pigs and two studies for the mice. Results shown are pooled from the experiments unless stated otherwise.

**Proliferative Analysis in Guinea Pigs**
Four weeks past, the final immunization blood samples were withdrawn from the heart, into a syringe containing heparin (500 U), of guinea pigs under deep sedation. After euthanasia, spleen and para-aortic/iliaic lymph nodes (herein referred to as genital lymph nodes (gLN)) were excised. Lymphoid organs were mashed against filter papers and cells pelleted by centrifugation at 400 × g (Thermo Multifuge 3-R), 5 min, 4°C. Erythrocytes in splenocyte suspensions were lysed with ammonium chloride (5 ml/spleen) at 37°C. PBMCs were isolated from blood by using Histopaque 1083 (Sigma-Aldrich). All cells were suspended in Iscove’s medium, containing 10% fetal bovine serum, 100 µg/ml gentamicin sulfate, 50 µM 2-β mercaptoethanol, all from Sigma-Aldrich, in addition to 2 mM L-glutamine (Biochrom). Cells were seeded in sterile 96-well plates (Nunc), 2 × 10^4 per well, with gD (2 µg/ml) or Concanavalin A (2.5 µg/ml) (Sigma-Aldrich), as positive control, and incubated at 37°C, 5% CO₂. Selected plates were used to collect cells at 24 and 48 h. The remaining cells were incubated with 1 µCi of [6-3H] thymidine (Amersham Biosciences) for 6–8 h, at 72 h, before frozen at −20°C. The cell proliferation was analyzed by a scintillation counter (1450 MicroBeta Trilux) as counts per minute.

Serum samples from guinea pigs. Blood samples were retrieved, by puncturing hind leg v. saphena, 4 weeks after the final immunization. Samples were left to coagulate at room temperature (RT), centrifuged twice at 600 × g for 5 min at 4°C (Thermo Sci., Fresco 17), and the sera were frozen at −20°C.

**Vaginal Swab Samples from Guinea Pigs**
The vaginal vault, of sedated guinea pigs, was swabbed with a 100 mm swab (MicroRheologics) dipped in PBS 4 weeks post-immunization. The brush part was then placed in 300 µl PBS on ice. Supernatants were recovered from vortexed and centrifuged (600 × g, 5 min, 4°C) samples and stored at −20°C.
Analysis of gD-Specific Ab Levels
The content of gD-specific Abs were determined in serum and vaginal swab samples by ELISA, as previously described (10). Horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat α-guinea pig IgG (Immunochemistry Consultants Laboratory) or IgG (Southern Biotech) antibodies were used for detection.

Serum IgG Purification
Pearl IgG spin columns (GBiosciences) were used to purify IgG Abs from guinea pig sera according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Briefly, 200 µl resin was added to a column, after which 10-fold diluted serum samples were added in a 500 µl-volume. After purification, samples were adjusted to 0.2 mg/ml based on Nanodrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific) protein concentration. Purified samples were stored at −20°C.

Avidity Measurements of IgG with ELISA
Avidity of gD-specific IgG Abs in sera was measured using the aforementioned ELISA protocol, with the addition of a potassium thiocyanate (KSCN) elution step (25). Briefly, 100 µl of serum samples, diluted 50-fold in PBS-Tween 20 with BSA (1%), were added to gD-coated plates and incubated for 2 h at 37°C. After washing with PBS-Tween 20, twofold dilutions of KSCN, ranging from 0.125 M to 8 M, or PBS-Tween 20 (representing 100% binding) were added and incubated for 15 min at RT. The reaction was developed and absorbance read at 450 nm. The results were expressed as an avidity index, defined as the M KSCN needed to dissociate 50% of bound IgG Abs.

Avidity Measurements with Surface Plasmon Resonance (SPR)
Anti–His Abs (His capture kit, GE) were immobilized onto a CM5 sensor chip (~13,800 response unit (RU)), and His-tagged gD was flowed over to immobilize gD at approximately 1,200 RU using a Biacore 4000 SPR instrument (GE Healthcare, Biacore Life Sciences). Purified IgG samples flowed over the chip to observe the binding and dissociation phases. A control flow cell was developed and absorbance read at 450 nm. The results were expressed as an avidity index, defined as the M KSCN needed to dissociate 50% of bound IgG Abs.

gD-Specific Competitive SPR
Competitive SPR experiments were performed using the dual channel SPR SR7500DC System (Reichert Technologies Life Sciences, Buffalo, NY, USA) with a NiCH 1000 M sensor chip (XanTec bioanalytics GmbH, Düsseldorf, Germany) at RT. Filtered and degassed HBS-EP buffer (10 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 3 mM EDTA, 0.005% Tween 20) was used as running buffer. The sensor chip was activated by a 3 min-injection of nickel sulfate solution (40 mM), followed by injection of ethyl dimethylaminopropyl carbodiimide (40 mg/ml) together with N hydroxysuccinimide (10 mg/ml) to the left channel. gD protein (50 µg/ml) was injected to the left channel for 5 min and 1,300 RU was immobilized onto the sensor chip. For surface deactivation, ethanalamine (1 M, pH 8.5) was injected for 8 min into the left channel, followed by injection of EDTA (350 mM) for 3 min to rinse non-covalently bound protein. The competitive SPR experiment was performed as explained elsewhere (26). Briefly, purified guinea pig serum IgG Abs (100 µg/ml) were injected for 180 s to both the left and the right channel, after which gD-specific mouse MAbs 1D3, DL11, MC2, MC5, MC14, MC23 (22, 27, 28) (75–150 µg/ml) were injected to both channels for 180 s. The right channel was used as a reference, to record background binding. After each round, the surface of the sensor chip was regenerated by injection of NaOH (50 mM) for 1 min until the RU signal returned to the baseline. All injections were performed at a flow rate of 25 µl/min. The blocking activity of each guinea pig IgG sample was calculated for each MAb as a percentage using the following formula: [1 – (RU MAb binding to human IgG-coated chip/RU MAb binding to control chip)] × 100.

HSV-2 Neutralization Assay
Serum samples, serially diluted in Iscove’s complete medium, were pre-incubated with 300 plaque-forming units (PFU) of HSV-2 strain 333 for 2 h, 37°C, 5% CO2. The pre-incubated virus was applied to GMK-AH1 cells, grown 2 × 105 cells/well in sterile 96-well plates (Nunc). The cell plates were left at RT for 1 h, followed by 3 days of incubation at 37°C, 5% CO2. Cells were stained with Crystal violet (Sigma-Aldrich), and the cytopathic effect was examined by microscopy in a blinded fashion. HSV-2 neutralization was considered as the highest possible dilution of sera at which a 50% reduction of plaques was observed relative to the virus control.

Vaginal HSV-2 Challenge
HSV-2 challenge of guinea pigs was conducted 4 weeks post-immunization. The vaginal vault was pre-swabbed with HBSS and 2 × 105 PFU of HSV-2 strain 333 was administered with a micropipette under anesthesia. Three weeks after the final immunization, mice were injected subcutaneously with 3 mg of Depo-Provera (Pfizer), diluted in PBS, in order to increase susceptibility to infection by inducing diestrus. Six days later, the mice were anesthetized and challenged intravaginally with 9 × 104 PFU of HSV-2 strain 333.

Vaginal Sampling
Vaginal fluids were collected from guinea pigs and mice 3 days post-infection for viral plaque assay. In one of the guinea pig experiments samples were retrieved twice a week during the latent phase of infection (13 days in total) in order to study viral shedding. The vaginal vault of guinea pigs were swabbed and washed with 300 µl Hank's balanced salt solution. After centrifugation, 200 µg, 5 min, 4°C, supernatants were retrieved in order to avoid excess mucus. Two 40 µl-washes were collected from mice in a total volume of 1 ml HBSS. Samples were stored at −80°C until use.
**HSV-2 Plaque Assay**

Vaginal HSV-2 levels post-infection were determined by plaque assay as previously described (10). Guinea pig samples were tested in 10- and 50-fold dilutions, while mouse samples were tested in a 10-fold dilution. Virus levels were recorded as PFU/ml vaginal sample.

**Inflammation and Disease**

Guinea pigs were monitored daily for 65 days after HSV-2 challenge. The severity of disease was graded as: 0 = healthy, 1 = swelling and/or genital erythema, 2 = a few small vesicles, 3 = several confluent ulcerated vesicles, 4 = ulcerated lesions, purulent inflammation, incontinence, and 5 = large disseminated lesions, severe weight loss, neurological symptoms. Recurrent symptoms were considered as newly emerged genital vesicles (score 2 and above) arising after day 14 post-challenge. Mice were examined daily for up to 14 days post-challenge. The severity of disease was graded as: 0 = healthy, 1 = genital erythema, 2 = moderate genital inflammation, 3 = severe genital lesions, and 4 = hind limb paralysis. Guinea pigs and mice were sacrificed as soon as they displayed signs of severe illness (scores 5 and 4, respectively).

**Isolation of Nervous Tissues**

Guinea pigs surviving the HSV-2 infection were sacrificed between day 65 and 70 post-infection and the lumbosacral DRG were collected. Pooled DRG from naive guinea pigs were used as control in the real-time PCR experiments. Spinal cord and lumbosacral DRG were collected from all sacrificed mice, irrespective of day.

**Quantification of IFN-γ mRNA and HSV-2 mRNA/DNA by Real-Time PCR**

Guinea pig gLN cells (24 h), splenocytes and PBMCs (48 h) collected after in vitro gD recall stimulation, were washed twice in PBS, pelleted and frozen at −80°C. Guinea pig DRG and mouse DRG/spinal cord were collected in RNA later and subsequently stored at −80°C. All reagents for RNA preservation, RNA/DNA extraction as well as cDNA synthesis (Qiagen GmbH Germany) were used following the manufacturer’s recommendations. Total RNA was extracted with RNeasy mini kit, co-purification of RNA/DNA was performed with Allprep DNA/RNA mini kit and DNA from vaginal swab samples was purified by DNeasy blood and tissue kit. All RNA/DNA preparations that passed quality tests were used for downstream analysis. The concentration and absorbance ratios of RNA/DNA were determined with a Nanodrop spectrophotometer. The Quantitect Reverse Transcription kit was used for cDNA preparation, including a DNA wipeout step. Real-time PCR on the cDNA (50 ng/reaction) and DNA (100 ng/reaction) samples was carried out in duplicate. MicroAmp Optical plates and Power SYBR Green PCR Master Mix were used for detection and plates were run in an ABI 7500 real-time PCR system, all three from Applied Biosystems, UK. Primers guinea pig IFN-γ mRNA were forward, 5′-AGGAGACGGTTGGCTCCTGA-3′, and reverse, 5′-CGAGGCCTGGTGTCTTTATC-3′ (30). The size of the PCR product for each primer set was verified on ethidium bromide-agarose gel. The amplification efficiency of the PCR reactions was verified by analyzing samples in serial dilution. The specificity of primers targeting LAT was confirmed by submitting the PCR product (from two positive samples) for sequencing, after which a Blast search was performed. Housekeeping gene hypoxanthine phosphoribosyl transferase was used for normalization, using primers forward, 5′-AGGTGTTTATCCCTCATGGAC-3′, and reverse, 5′-CTCCCATCTCTTTCATAC-3′. Data were analyzed by the ΔCt method. Fold changes of IFN-γ in gD-stimulated cells were calculated against unstimulated cells from the same individual. Fold changes of IFN-γ in DRG were calculated against data from naïve guinea pigs. Fold changes >4 were considered positive. Quantification of HSV-2 DNA was performed by gB gene amplification with primers forward, 5′-TGCAGTTTACGTATAACCACATACAGC-3′, and reverse 5′-AGCTTGGGGCCTCGTT-3′ (31). HSV-2 DNA (Advanced Biotechnologies) was used for calculation of the number of viral genome copies. The standard curve was based upon eight different concentrations, which ranged from 10^4 down to 10 genome copies per reaction. The mean R^2 value for the standard curves was 0.985. The detection threshold was 8 genome copies/reaction.

**Statistical Analysis**

GraphPad Prism 6 (GraphPad Software) was used for statistical analysis. Data from three groups were analyzed by one-way ANOVA followed by the Tukey multiple comparison test (CI 95%). Data sets involving two groups were analyzed with a twotailed unpaired t test (95% CI). Survival data were evaluated with the Kaplan–Meier method and the Log-rank test. Correlation was computed by non-parametric Spearman test. Differences were considered statistically significant at p values of <0.05 (*), <0.01 (**), and <0.001 (***)

**RESULTS**

**Nasal Immunization Provides Significant Protection to Primary Genital Herpes Infection in Guinea Pigs**

First, we investigated the potential of nasal immunization for induction of protection against primary genital herpes in a guinea pig model of the disease. Guinea pigs were immunized three times with gD singly or in combination with CpG ODN 7909 or were left unimmunized. Four weeks after the final immunization the animals were inoculated vaginally with 2 × 10^6 PFU of HSV-2. Following challenge, all unimmunized (17/17) and the majority (12/15) of the gD immunized guinea pigs showed signs of erythema, blisters, and ulcers around the opening of their vaginal vaults and in few animals neurological symptoms emerged (Figure 1A). However, the majority of the gD group survived the infection (12/15), while over half of the controls (10/17) showed severe symptoms requiring euthanasia (Table 1). Among those that recovered from the primary infection, the recovery was faster in the gD immunized animals compared with the unimmunized group (Figure 1A). In sharp contrast, the guinea pigs immunized...
TABLE 1 | Disease progression in HSV-2 guinea pig model.

| Immunization | Primary infection | Latent infection |
|--------------|------------------|-----------------|
|              | Skin disease^b   | Replication^c   | Survival^a | Skin disease^b | Shedding^a |
| Control      | 15/17            | 16/17           | 7/17       | 7/7            | 3/13       |
| gD           | 12/15            | 11/15           | 12/15      | 10/12          | 7/65       |
| gD + CpG     | 7/18             | 5/18            | 18/18      | 12/18          | 7/104      |

^aGuinea pigs immunized according to Figure 1.
^bIndividuals displaying minimum score 2 (one or more vesicles)/total individuals challenged.
^cPositive vaginal swabs for HSV-2 replication by plaque assay/total samples tested.
^dSurvivors/total individuals challenged.
^eDays tested positive for vaginal HSV-2 gB DNA/total days tested (single experiment).

with gD + CpG displayed no or mild symptoms (7/18) of the disease and all animals survived the primary infection (Figure 1A; Table 1). Furthermore, this group showed virtually no detectable virus titers in their genital secretions (Figure 1B, p < 0.001 compared with the control group). In summary, nasal-mucosal immunization with gD + CpG confers strong protective immunity against an otherwise severe primary genital HSV-2 infection in guinea pigs (Table 1).

Nasal Immunization Engenders Protection against Establishment of Latency and Recurrent Genital HSV-2 in Guinea Pigs

After recovery from the primary genital HSV-2 infection, the guinea pigs continued to be monitored daily for 65 days after challenge. In most animals, the first recurrence episode started after day 25 post-challenge (Figure 1C). All controls surviving the primary HSV-2 infection displayed recurrent disease (7/7), with a high episode rate and long-lasting outbreaks (mean recurrent days = 21). In the gD immunized group, the recurrent outbreak was observed in 83% of the survivors, with a relatively high frequency and long-lasting symptoms (mean recurrent days = 13, ns compared with the control group). Although several of the gD + CpG group showed mild signs of recurrent disease, the symptoms emerged at a later stage were of significantly shorter
duration and less frequent compared with those of the control and the gD immunized guinea pigs (mean recurrent days = 5, \( p < 0.001 \) compared with the control group) (**Figure 1C; Table 1**). Importantly, one third of the gD + CpG immunized guinea pigs remained free of symptoms of recurrent genital HSV-2 infection throughout the experiment (**Table 1**).

Next, we investigated the establishment of latency in the lumbosacral DRG on day 65–70 after challenge in all guinea pigs surviving the primary infection. All but one guinea pig from the control group tested positive for ganglionic LAT mRNA (positive: 5/6, 2–\(^{–}\delta \) LAT: 0.555 ± 0.214), as did the majority of the gD group (positive: 9/12 positive, 2–\(^{–}\delta \) LAT: 0.368 ± 0.113) (**Figure 1D**). In line with the protection data, LAT expression was virtually undetectable in the gD + CpG immunized guinea pigs. Nevertheless, LAT was detectable, albeit at very low levels, in the DRG of the gD + CpG guinea pigs that exhibited recurrent disease (positive: 12/17, 2–\(^{–}\delta \) LAT: 0.032 ± 0.010).

Next, we quantified HSV-2 DNA copies in the DRG samples by gB-specific PCR. The majority of the DRG samples from the control and the gD group contained HSV-2 DNA (positive: 4/5 control and 6/11 gD), while most gD + CpG immunized guinea pigs tested negative (positive: 2/12) (**Figure 1E**). The expression of LAT was found to correlate strongly with viral DNA copy number in the ganglia (\( r_s = 0.81 \)) (**Figures 1D,E; Table 1**).

In addition, we assessed IFN-\( \gamma \) mRNA level in DRG as a surrogate marker for latent infection as reported previously (32, 33). Several of the challenged guinea pigs displayed IFN-\( \gamma \) expression in their DRG with a pattern similar to that of HSV-2 LAT mRNA (positive: 4/6 control, 10/12 gD, and 9/16 gD + CpG) (**Figure 1F**).

Taken together, these results demonstrate that nasal immunization with gD in combination with CpG induced considerable protection against establishment of latency and recurrent genital herpes in guinea pigs.

### Antigen-Specific T Cell and Ab Responses Induced Following Nasal Immunization in Guinea Pigs

Four weeks after the final immunization, splenocytes, PBMCs, and gLN cells were isolated and tested for proliferative responses and IFN-\( \gamma \) mRNA levels after antigen recall stimulation in vitro. The gD immunized guinea pigs did not show any appreciable increase in antigen-specific proliferation and IFN-\( \gamma \) responses over the PBS control group (**Figures 2A,B**). The gD + CpG group, on the other hand, displayed an antigen-specific proliferative response and IFN-\( \gamma \) expression by their splenocytes, PBMCs and gLN cells (**Figures 2A,B**).

While the vaginal secretions of the guinea pigs immunized with gD alone contained a modest serum gD-specific IgG Ab response, the guinea pigs given gD + CpG demonstrated significantly higher antigen-specific serum IgG Ab titers in their vaginal secretion samples (\( p < 0.001 \) compared with the gD group) (**Figures 2C,D**). No systemic or vaginal gD-specific IgA Abs could be detected in any of the groups (data not shown). Taken together, these data show that nasal immunization could mount systemic antigen-specific T cell responses as well as strong mucosal and systemic IgG Ab responses in guinea pigs.

### Functional Analysis of the Ab Response Induced Following Nasal Immunization in Guinea Pigs

Next, we sought to explore the quality and function of the Ab response induced after nasal immunization in guinea pigs. First, we assessed the avidity of the gD-specific IgG Abs by ELISA and SPR assay. The gD + CpG immunized guinea pigs showed significantly higher avidity of gD-specific IgG Abs compared to those of the gD immunized animals, both by ELISA and SPR (\( p < 0.001 \) (**Figure 2E**)). To further analyze the function of the vaccine-induced Abs, we analyzed their HSV-2 neutralizing properties. As expected, sera collected from the control group showed no neutralization, and the level was also low for the gD immunized animals (**Figure 2E**). On the contrary, sera from the gD + CpG group showed significantly more potent HSV-2 neutralization compared with that of the gD group (\( p < 0.001 \)) (**Figure 2F**). The HSV-2 neutralization effect was shown to be independent of the complement system, as heat-inactivation did not affect the neutralizing properties of the sera (data not shown). There was a significant inverse correlation between serum neutralization capacity and the severity of the primary disease (\( r_s = -0.71, p < 0.001, \) **Figure 2G**), vaginal HSV-2 titers post-infection (\( r_s = -0.75, p < 0.001, \) **Figure 2H**) and number of days with recurrence (\( r_s = -0.59, p < 0.001, \) **Figure 2I**).

It has recently been shown that HSV-2 neutralization activity of sera collected from humans vaccinated with a gD subunit vaccine correlated with the presence of IgG Abs that target epitopes overlapping those of the gD-specific MAbs DL11, MC2, MC5, and MC23 (26). As sera derived from the guinea pigs immunized with gD + CpG exhibited a high HSV-2 neutralizing capacity, we examined if the Abs raised in guinea pigs after nasal immunization could target any of these known HSV-2 neutralizing epitopes. To address this, we tested the binding of purified guinea pig IgG Abs to the antigen in a competitive SPR assay by using six well-characterized gD-specific MAbs: 1D3, DL11, MC2, MC5, MC14, and MC23 (26). As sera derived from the guinea pigs immunized with gD + CpG exhibited a high HSV-2 neutralizing capacity, we examined if the Abs raised in guinea pigs after nasal immunization could target any of these known HSV-2 neutralizing epitopes. To address this, we tested the binding of purified guinea pig IgG Abs to the antigen in a competitive SPR assay by using six well-characterized gD-specific MAbs: 1D3, DL11, MC2, MC5, MC14, and MC23 (26). As sera derived from the guinea pigs immunized with gD + CpG exhibited a high HSV-2 neutralizing capacity, we examined if the Abs raised in guinea pigs after nasal immunization could target any of these known HSV-2 neutralizing epitopes. To address this, we tested the binding of purified guinea pig IgG Abs to the antigen in a competitive SPR assay by using six well-characterized gD-specific MAbs: 1D3, DL11, MC2, MC5, MC14, and MC23 (26). As sera derived from the guinea pigs immunized with gD + CpG exhibited a high HSV-2 neutralizing capacity, we examined if the Abs raised in guinea pigs after nasal immunization could target any of these known HSV-2 neutralizing epitopes. To address this, we tested the binding of purified guinea pig IgG Abs to the antigen in a competitive SPR assay by using six well-characterized gD-specific MAbs: 1D3, DL11, MC2, MC5, MC14, and MC23 (26).

All purified IgG samples efficiently blocked the binding of the HSV-2 neutralizing MAbs DL11 and MC5 to the gD protein (51–94%). In addition, two of the guinea pig IgG Ab samples partially hindered the association of 1D3 to gD (22–31%), while none blocked the binding of MC14 more than 20% (**Figure 3**). These results indicate that nasal immunization with gD + CpG elicits a gD-specific IgG Ab response with high neutralizing capacity, targeting the two known neutralizing discontinuous epitopes recognized by DL11 and MC5 MAbs (**Figure 3**).

### Nasal Immunization Fails to Elicit Protective Immunity in Ab Deficient Mice

Next, we evaluated the importance of gD-specific Ab responses induced by nasal immunization in protective immunity to genital...
herpes using mice lacking mature B cells (μMT mice). Groups of female age-matched C57BL/6 and μMT mice were immunized nasally with gD in combination with CpG ODN 1826 three times or were left unimmunized. Four weeks after the last immunization, mice were treated with progesterone followed by a vaginal challenge with a lethal dose of HSV-2. The gD + CpG immunized wild-type mice showed no symptoms of the disease (Figure 4A), 100% survival (Figure 4B) and no or very low viral loads in their vaginal secretions (Figure 4C).

Further, viral DNA was absent or very low in the spinal cord (Figure 4D) and the DRG (Figure 4E) of these mice compared with those of the control wild-type mice, indicating a neuroprotective effect of the immunization. In contrast, the nasally immunized μMT mice lacking mature B cells and Ab succumbed
IgG antibodies (Abs) raised after nasal immunization in guinea pigs targets epitopes overlapping those of known neutralizing gD monoclonal Abs (MAbs). IgG purified from sera of guinea pigs immunized nasally with gD + CpG were subjected to a biosensor surface plasmon resonance blocking assay using the gD-specific MAbs DL11, MC5, 1D3, and MC14. The binding between the individual MAbs and the gD protein immobilized onto the sensor chip was recorded by a biosensor, and the results are expressed as response unit (RU). A gD-coated sensor chip was then first exposed to purified guinea pig IgG after which the MAbs were injected sequentially after which the binding between the MAbs and gD was recorded. Data obtained from the association between the MAbs and the gD protein, in the absence of the blocking MAbs, are represented by black lines. Red lines show changes in RU of IgG samples with the ability to reduce the binding of the respective MAbs by more than 20%. Gray lines represent changes in RU of IgG samples with the ability to block the binding of the respective MAbs less than 20%. The percentage of reduction in the inhibition of the binding between the MAbs and the gD protein is shown for each IgG sample.

**FIGURE 3** | IgG antibodies (Abs) raised after nasal immunization in guinea pigs targets epitopes overlapping those of known neutralizing gD monoclonal Abs (MAbs). IgG purified from sera of guinea pigs immunized nasally with gD + CpG were subjected to a biosensor surface plasmon resonance blocking assay using the gD-specific MAbs DL11, MC5, 1D3, and MC14. The binding between the individual MAbs and the gD protein immobilized onto the sensor chip was recorded by a biosensor, and the results are expressed as response unit (RU). A gD-coated sensor chip was then first exposed to purified guinea pig IgG after which the MAbs were injected sequentially after which the binding between the MAbs and gD was recorded. Data obtained from the association between the MAbs and the gD protein, in the absence of the blocking MAbs, are represented by black lines. Red lines show changes in RU of IgG samples with the ability to reduce the binding of the respective MAbs by more than 20%. Gray lines represent changes in RU of IgG samples with the ability to block the binding of the respective MAbs less than 20%. The percentage of reduction in the inhibition of the binding between the MAbs and the gD protein is shown for each IgG sample.
to genital HSV-2 infection (Figures 4A–C). Accordingly, high levels of viral DNA copies were detected in the spinal cord and the ganglia of the μMT mice (Figures 4D,E). These results indicate that Abs play an important role for protection against genital HSV-2 infection induced by nasal immunization.

**DISCUSSION**

We herein report that nasal immunization with CpG-adjuvanted HSV-2 gD protein confers protective immunity to primary genital herpes and recurrent outbreaks in guinea pigs. Furthermore, nasal immunization was shown to elicit high avidity, neutralizing IgG Abs directed against two discontinuous gD epitopes. Earlier studies from our lab and others have documented the value of nasal immunization to mount protective immunity to primary genital herpes in mice (8–12). The potential of mucosal immunization with live attenuated/modified HSV-2 for prevention of latency in the guinea pig model of the disease has previously been reported (34–38). Furthermore, nasal immunization with recombinant HSV-2 gD together with the adjuvant LTK63 was shown to generate partial protection against primary genital herpes in guinea pigs (39). However, the impact of nasal immunization on induction of protection against genital herpes latency and recurrence in the clinically relevant guinea pig model of the disease remains obscure.

We found that nasal immunization with gD + CpG could induce significant protection to primary genital herpes infection as well as the establishment of latency and recurrent disease. The lumbosacral DRG of the immune guinea pigs, in sharp contrast to the controls, had no or very low levels of HSV-2 LAT. Ganglionic LAT transcript has been suggested to serve as the main surrogate of HSV reactivation and recurrent outbreaks (30, 33, 40). The level of LAT correlated with HSV-2 DNA found in the same DRG of the non-immune guinea pigs. This is in agreement with a study in which mutual presence of LAT and DNA was linked to the pattern of recurrent herpes (41).

We also showed that the establishment of latency and recurrences in guinea pigs is associated with the presence of IFN-γ mRNA in the DRG. This result is in line with previous reports indicating that IFN-γ production is associated with the establishment of latency in the trigeminal ganglia (HSV-1) and lumbosacral DRG (HSV-2) (32, 33, 42).

We documented that the nasal immunization of guinea pigs elicits systemic and vaginal specific IgG Ab responses. Furthermore, the sera from the nasally immunized guinea pigs had a potent HSV-2 neutralizing ability in vitro, and the neutralizing titers were found to correlate inversely with primary disease score, vaginal HSV-2 replication, and the number of recurrent days. The characteristics of a vaccine-induced Ab response remain poorly described in the HSV-2 guinea pig model. We demonstrated that the gD-specific serum IgG Abs raised following nasal immunization possess high avidity and target epitopes overlapping those of the neutralizing MAbs DL11 and MC5. Both of these MAbs have the ability to block downstream activation...
of the viral membrane fusion cascade. In addition, DL11 targets HSV binding to nectin-1 and HVEM and therefore neutralize HSV-2 efficiently (22, 43–47).

It has recently been shown in a recent large clinical trial of an exploratory vaccine composed of gD protein and AS04 adjuvant that neutralizing serum IgG Abs correlated with protection to genital HSV-1 infection (48). Importantly, the gD epitope profile of sera from our immunized guinea pigs matches that of vaccinated and naturally infected humans (26, 49), in that the sera of the immunized guinea pigs compete well with MAbs MC5 and DL11 but not with MAbs MC14 or ID3. This is in contrast to sera from immunized rabbits, which contain Abs that readily block all four MAbs (unpublished data). The fact that humans and guinea pigs target the same gD epitopes lends further credence to the guinea pig model of genital HSV-2 infection.

None of the exploratory vaccines for genital herpes given by intramuscular injection has conferred protective immunity to genital HSV-2 in clinical settings [reviewed in Ref. (50)]. The mucosal route of immunization may thus be considered as an alternative route to mount protective immunity against genital herpes. Furthermore, the HSV gD protein has offered limited protection in human clinical trials. The value of inclusion of additional key HSV proteins in vaccine to enhance the breadth of vaccine-induced immunity has been underpinned by encouraging results from multivalent therapeutic HSV-2 vaccine candidates tested in phase II clinical trials (51, 52).

In summary, results presented herein provide new insights into the potential of nasal immunization for induction of protection against primary and recurrent genital herpes as well as the characteristics of the induced Ab response in guinea pigs. These results may inform a rational development of vaccines against genital herpes and presumably other sexually transmitted infections.
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