Atypical Epidemiologic Finding in Association between Depression and Alcohol Use or Smoking in Korean Male: Korean Longitudinal Study of Aging
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Objective The purpose of this study is to examine the relationship between substance use and depressive symptoms in a cohort of Koreans aged 45 years and older from a large, population-based study. Using the 2006 Korean Longitudinal Study of Aging, we estimated the prevalence of depressive symptoms and its association with smoking and alcohol use.

Methods Depressive symptoms were measured using the CES-D 10-item scale. Age, marital status, educational attainment, employment and any disability were the control variables. Because there were gender differences in smoking and alcohol use, we also performed a separate analysis by gender.

Results In the multivariable logistic regression, ex-drinkers were more likely to be depressed than non-drinkers (OR, 1.37; 95% CI, 1.08–1.74 for males; OR, 1.78; 95% CI, 1.23–2.57 for females). Compared to non-drinkers, males with moderate drinking habits (OR, 0.75; 95% CI, 0.63–0.90) were less likely to be depressed, whereas heavy male drinkers were more likely to be depressed (OR, 1.43; 95% CI, 1.07–1.91). Female smokers were more likely to be depressed than female non-smokers (OR, 2.07; 95% CI, 1.51–2.83).

Conclusion This study showed atypical pattern of relationship between smoking and depression and U-shaped relationship between depressive symptoms and alcohol consumption in male population. Both of these findings could be inferred from that these regional characteristics might be cross-sectional finding of chronologic transition result from a rapid rise of late life depression in Korea.
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increasing suicidal rate, particularly since the 1997 economic crisis in Korea. In 2009, Korea ranked the highest in suicidal rate among OECD countries; hence, the government forecasted the legalization of mental health screening.

The exact causes of depression for a particular individual are not fully known; however, certain factors increase a person’s risk for depression. These factors include being female, a history of previous depression and a family history of depression, stressors and ages.10-16 Moreover, the strongest risk factor for late-life depression is physical illness resulting in disability.17-19

Substance abuse along with alcohol dependence frequently coexists with depressive disorders as well.20,21 People with a history of alcohol dependence are four times more likely to have depression compare to the general population.22,23 In addition, marijuana users are four times more likely to develop depression.24 Cigarette smoking and depression are also significantly associated.25,26 People who are prone to depression face a 25% chance of becoming depressed when they quit smoking, and this increased risk persists for at least 6 months.27 Moreover, depressed smokers are unlikely to stop smoking. Only about 6% remain smoke-free after a year.28

The bidirectional relationship between substance abuse and depression is also different by genders. Women have stronger relationship between depression and substance abuse. The genetic susceptibility of dopamine system, for example the dopamine D4 receptor (DRD4) polymorphism which has a major role in addiction and substance abuse,29 shows that gender could contribute to heterotypical manifestation of comorbidity of substance abuse and depression.30 Few studies examined the relationship between substance use and depressive symptoms in a community population in Korea. In addition, little attention has paid to gender differences in these relationships among adults aged 45 and over who are in the high risk group of having depressive symptoms after early retirement. Thus, the present study focused on gender differences in the relationship between substance use and depressive symptoms and its relationship with gender.

METHODS

We used data from the 2006 Korean Longitudinal Study of Aging (KLoSA), which was performed by the Korean Labor Institute and funded by the Korean Ministry of Labor. The population of KLoSA participants includes adults aged 45 or more. Although other surveys of the elderly in other countries have used adults aged 50 and over, KLoSA extended its population group to include those aged 45-49. Those have been thorough career changes during the middle ages. It has become an important social issue ever since the financial cri-

sis in the late 1990s, with many people in the 45–49 year age group having been laid off from their jobs. The sampling frame of KLoSA comprises enumeration districts (EDs), as identified by the National Statistical Office's 2005 Census. Under this frame, Apartment EDs and Ordinary housing (non-apartment) EDs totaled 261,237, excluding the island areas and institutions (social welfare facilities). 1,000 sample districts were first allocated into 15 districts by municipal city and province, and the rest were allocated in proportion to the number of population. A total of 803 districts and 197 districts were each allocated in the urban area and rural area, respectively. We used the 1st KLoSA panel data of 2006 with 10,254 respondents. The household response rate was 70.7%.

The short-form Center for Epidemiological Studies-Depression 10-item scale (CES-D 10) was used as the outcome variable. CES-D 10 was developed for Epidemiological Studies of the Elderly (EPESE), and is used as a screening measurement for depression of older adults.31 The respondents were asked whether they had such feelings and actions in the past week (i.e., depressed, feelings of guilt, worthlessness and helplessness, psychomotor retardation, loss of appetite, sleep difficulties). Responses were provided on a four-point Likert-type scale from never (=0) to always (=3). The ten items were summed in order to construct a scale of depressive symptoms (n=10, α=0.87) and used a cut-off point of 10 which was proved for its strong correlation and retest stability compared to cut-off point of 16 for CES-D 20-item version.32

Cigarette smoking and alcohol use were used as independent variables. Alcohol use was divided into four categories: non-drinker, past drinker, current moderate drinker and current heavy drinker. Non-drinker means people have never tried alcohols, past drinker means people used to drink alcohol before but quit the alcohol and current drinker means people drink alcohol currently. There are five yes or no questions to measure the drinking behavior; “Experience that feel that will should quit drinking”, “Experience blamed about drinking”, “Experience that feel that will should quit drinking”, “Experience that feel that will should quit drinking”, “Experience blamed about drinking”, “Experience that feel that will should quit drinking”, “Experience that feel that will should quit drinking”, “Experience blamed about drinking”, “Experience that feel that will should quit drinking”, “Experience blamed about drinking”, “Experience that feel that will should quit drinking”. Drinking behavior was categorized as current moderate drinker when total score was 0 and current heavy drinker when the total score was 1 or more. Cigarette smokers were categorized into three: non-smoker, past smoker and current smoker. Non-smoker means people have never smoked, past smoker means people smoked before but quit the smoking, and current smoker means people smoke continuously. Age, education level, marital status, employment, self-rated health status, disable status and region were used as control variables. Participant education level was categorized as follows: 1) elementary school or under, 2) middle school completed, 3) high school completed,
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and 4) college or more. Marital status was categorized as either unmarried (separated/divorced/widowed/never married/missing) (0) or married (currently married/living with a partner) (1). Employment status and disabled status diagnosed by a physician were categorized as no (0) or yes (1). The respondents also categorized self-rated health status as follows: 1) very good, 2) good, 3) fair, 4) poor and 5) very poor. Region was divided by 1) urban, 2) rural.

Four sets of analyses were conducted. First, descriptive analyses were performed to describe the background information on the sample. Because there were gender differences in depressive symptoms and alcohol use and cigarette smoking, a separate analysis were performed according to gender. Second, univariable logistic analysis was performed to examine the relationship between depressive symptoms and independent and control variables. For continuous variables, such as age, linearity was checked using the logit transformed smooth analysis. Finally, multivariable logistic regression was conducted to examine the relationship between substance use and depressive symptoms after adjusting all the control variables. For the analysis, the final sample of 10,181 was used (99% of the total sample) after excluding the missing cases (n=73). All analyses were performed with STATA version 11.2.

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Catholic University of Korea with a waiver for informed consent. There are no identified risks to the subjects of this study because the survey data were analyzed anonymously.

RESULTS

Demographic characteristics of participants are shown in Table 1. The sample consisted of 10,181 Koreans living in Korea. The mean age was 61.7 years (SD 11.1), ranging from 45 to 105 years. About 44% were male. Approximately one-half (47.0%) had elementary school or under education and approximately four-fifths were married, and two-thirds were unemployed. About 31% reported their health status as very poor (6.7%) to poor, and 6.5% reported having a disabled status by their doctor's decision. Moreover, approximately 77% lived in the urban area.

Figure 1 conveys gender differences in substance use and depressive symptoms. The prevalence of depressive symp-

Table 1. Characteristics of the study population (N=10,181)

| Variable | Total | % | Male | % | Female | % |
|----------|-------|---|------|---|--------|---|
| Gender   | 10,181| 100.0 | 4,440 | 43.6 | 5,741 | 56.4 |
| Age      | Mean (SD), (min, max) | 61.7 (11.1), (45, 105) | 61.2 (10.6), (45, 96) | 62 (11.5), (45, 105) |
| Education | Elementary school or under | 4,776 | 47.0 | 1,412 | 31.8 | 3,364 | 58.7 |
|          | Middle school completed | 1,645 | 16.2 | 754 | 17.0 | 891 | 15.5 |
|          | High school completed | 2,698 | 26.5 | 1,493 | 33.7 | 1,205 | 21.0 |
|          | College or more | 1,054 | 10.4 | 778 | 17.5 | 276 | 4.8 |
| Marital status | Unmarried (separated/divorced/widowed/never married/missing) | 2,249 | 22.1 | 366 | 8.3 | 1,883 | 32.8 |
|          | Married (currently married/living with a partner) | 7,930 | 77.9 | 4,073 | 91.7 | 3,857 | 67.2 |
| Employment | Yes | 3,870 | 38.0 | 2,489 | 56.1 | 1,381 | 24.1 |
|          | No | 6,311 | 62.0 | 1,951 | 43.9 | 4,360 | 75.9 |
| Self-rated health status | Very good | 356 | 3.5 | 214 | 4.8 | 142 | 2.5 |
|          | Good | 3,494 | 34.3 | 1,840 | 41.4 | 1,654 | 28.8 |
|          | Fair | 3,189 | 31.3 | 1,357 | 30.6 | 1,832 | 31.9 |
|          | Poor | 2,458 | 24.1 | 783 | 17.6 | 1,675 | 29.2 |
|          | Very poor | 684 | 6.7 | 246 | 5.5 | 438 | 7.6 |
| Disable status by doctor's decision | Yes | 657 | 6.5 | 397 | 8.9 | 260 | 4.5 |
|          | No | 9,524 | 93.5 | 4,043 | 91.1 | 5,481 | 95.5 |
| Region | Urban | 7,874 | 77.3 | 3,430 | 77.3 | 4,444 | 77.4 |
|          | Rural | 2,307 | 22.7 | 1,010 | 22.8 | 1,297 | 22.6 |
toms was 32% (37.1% for females; 25.4% for males). Females had higher rates of depressive symptoms than males ($\chi^2=156.96, p<0.001$). There were gender differences in alcohol use ($\chi^2=3067.17, p<0.001$): more males were current drinkers than females (55.8% vs. 18.0% for moderate drinkers; 7.4% vs. 0.6% for heavy drinkers). Approximately 30% had smoked (9.6% for ex-smokers; 19.3% for current smokers). There were also gender differences in cigarette smoking ($\chi^2=3989.26, p<0.001$): more males had smoked than females (40% vs. 3% for current smokers; 21% vs. 1% for ex-smokers).

We examined the relationship between age and depressive status by gender (Figure 2). There was a linear relationship between age and depressive symptoms among males: Those males had increased depressive symptoms as they grew older. On the other hand, the relationship between age and depressive symptoms was not linear among females. Females had increased depressive symptoms by age 79, and they had decreased symptoms after age 80. Thus, we used the spline term for females (e.g., females under 80 years old and females 80 years old or more.)

Univariable and multivariable logistic regression analyses were conducted in order to examine the relationship between substance use and depressive status. It is adjusted for age, education level, marital status, employment status, self-rated health status, disable status, and region to find out the relationship between substance use and depressive status. For both males and females in the logistic regression analyses, age, marital status, educational attainment, employment, health status, disable status and residence were significantly related to having a depressive status (Table 2 and 3).

![Figure 1](image-url). Prevalence of cigarette smoking, alcohol use and depressive status by gender (N=10,181). A: Female. B: Male.
For males, our main variables, alcohol use and cigarette smoking, were significantly associated with the depressive status in the univariable analysis. Ex-drinkers and current heavy drinkers were more likely to have a depressive status than non-drinkers, while those current moderate drinkers were less likely to have a depressive status than non-drinkers. Ex-smokers were more likely to be depressed than non-smokers. In the multivariable logistic regression, compared to non-drinkers, those males with moderate drinking (OR, 1.45; 95% CI, 1.07–1.97; p<0.05). However, the association of cigarette smoking and depression disappeared after adjusting for all the variables).

Table 3 portrays the findings of females. Alcohol use and cigarette smoking were significantly associated with depressive status in the univariable logistic analysis. Female ex-drinkers were more likely to have a depressive status than non-drinkers (OR, 2.29; 95% CI, 1.63–3.22; p<0.01). Ex-smokers and current smokers were more likely to be depressed than non-smokers (OR, 3.40; 95% CI, 1.69–6.84; p<0.01 and OR, 2.70; 95% CI, 2.01–3.63; p<0.01). In the multivariable logistic regression, only cigarette smoking was related to the depressive status: current female smokers were more likely depressed than those non-smokers (OR, 1.71; 95% CI, 1.22–2.40; p<0.01).

DISCUSSION

In this study, the prevalence of depressive symptoms was 32%, and this could be primarily engendered by the sensitive cut-off point used in this study (10 or more). Moreover, it is possible that Korea’s high suicidal rate among OECD countries for individuals above 45-years-old might be due to high rates of depressive symptoms. Education, marital status and region exhibit relationships with depressive symptoms. The more educated and the less socially isolated corresponded to fewer depressive symptoms. This result is concordant with the previous findings. We also found that the prevalence of depressive symptoms is associated with gender (female>male), alcohol use (ex-drinkers, current heavy drinkers>nondrinkers; heavy male drinkers>moderate male drinkers) and cigarette smoking (current female smokers>nonsmokers).

Our findings show that females have higher rates of depressive symptoms than males, which is consistent with previous studies’ findings in which being female is associated with depression. The higher rates of depression among women may be related to a higher risk of onset, differing gender roles in society and life stresses, such as trauma.

Interestingly, there is a linear relationship between age and depressive symptoms for males, while the relationship between age and depressive symptoms is not linear amongst females of the present study. This may result from different life expectancy between males and females. On average, males die about 10 years younger than females; thus, elderly males may likely have more diseases and their health status may be poor compared to females. However, prior studies reported inconsistent findings. A study for Vietnamese refugees reported that old age is associated with more depression. In contrast, Suen and Morris reported that age was not significantly associated with depression. In their study, marital status and employment were associated with depressive symptoms more in males than females. This may imply that males are more vulnerable than females to social isolation and loss of social position. However, marital status and employment status were associated with depressive symptoms both males and females in our study. In females, a disabled status is more highly associated with depression than it is in males.

Our findings indicate that alcohol use was significantly associated with depressive symptoms, particular ex-drinkers and current heavy drinkers compared with non-drinkers, and heavy male drinkers compared with moderate male drink-

Figure 2. Age and depressive symptom by gender (N=10,181). A: Males. B: Females.
ers. This finding is consistent with previous evidence indicat-
ing that ex-drinkers had slightly higher depressive symp-
toms.40 Likewise, Greenfield et al. reported that female ex-
drinkers with prior occasions of heavy drinking showed higher
levels of depression.41 In the present study, the female group
does not exhibit a statistically significant relationship be-
tween alcohol use and depression. There is a possibility of an
underestimation for heavy drinker among females, and of a
difference in female social status compared with males, for ex-
ample, homeless and hospitalized due to being mentally ill.
Thus, some females may be excluded from the methods of gen-
eral data collection, such as responding to daytime phone calls.

Interestingly, the relationship between depressive symp-
toms and alcohol consumption in male was U-shaped, indi-
cating that moderate male drinkers were less likely to be de-
pressed as compared with non-drinkers and heavy drinkers.
Further, this finding is coincident with another Korean epide-
miologic study.42 Commonly, alcohol-use and depression
shows a linear correlation. However, some studies suggested
that moderate alcohol use is associated with lower depressive
symptoms compared with non-alcohol use and heavy alcohol
use43,44 because moderate alcohol use could relieve stress
and anxiety and thus improve one’s mood.45

The relationship between cigarette smoking and depressive
symptoms in males could not be found. This finding is un-
conformable with the previous consistent findings, which in-
dicated that smoking is significantly associated with depres-
sion.46 Those atypical epidemiologic findings could be caused
by a rapid rise and pattern-change prevalence of late-life de-
pression in Korea.

Table 2. Logistic regression analyses of depressive symptoms among Korean males (N=4,440)

| Variable                                      | Unadjusted OR (95% CI) | Adjusted OR (95% CI) |
|-----------------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| Age                                           | 1.05 (1.04, 1.05)‡     | 1.01 (1.00, 1.02)    |
| Education                                     |                        |                      |
| Elementary school or under                     | 1.00                   | 1.00                 |
| Middle school completed                        | 0.58 (0.48, 0.71)‡     | 0.85 (0.68, 1.06)    |
| High school completed                          | 0.38 (0.32, 0.45)‡     | 0.77 (0.63, 0.94)‡   |
| College or more                                | 0.27 (0.22, 0.34)‡     | 0.59 (0.45, 0.76)‡   |
| Marital Status                                 |                        |                      |
| Unmarried (separated/divorced/widowed/never married/missing) | 1.00                   | 1.00                 |
| Married (currently married/living with a partner) | 0.28 (0.22, 0.34)‡     | 0.35 (0.28, 0.45)‡   |
| Employment                                     |                        |                      |
| Yes                                           | 1.00                   | 1.00                 |
| No                                            | 1.31 (1.27, 1.36)‡     | 1.10 (1.05, 1.16)‡   |
| Self-rated health status (1–5)                 | 2.54 (2.35, 2.74)‡     | 2.08 (1.90, 2.28)‡   |
| Disable status                                 |                        |                      |
| No                                            | 1.00                   | 1.00                 |
| Yes                                           | 2.59 (2.09, 3.19)‡     | 1.05 (0.82, 1.34)    |
| Region                                        |                        |                      |
| Urban                                         | 1.00                   | 1.00                 |
| Rural                                         | 1.71 (1.47, 1.99)‡     | 1.39 (1.17, 1.66)‡   |
| Alcohol use                                    |                        |                      |
| Non-drinker                                    | 1.00                   | 1.00                 |
| Past drinker                                   | 1.70 (1.37, 2.12)‡     | 1.06 (0.83, 1.37)    |
| Current moderate drinker                       | 0.66 (0.56, 0.77)‡     | 0.85 (0.70, 1.03)‡   |
| Current heavy drinker                          | 1.26 (0.96, 1.64)‡     | 1.45 (1.07, 1.97)‡   |
| Cigarette smoking                              |                        |                      |
| Non-smoker                                     | 1.00                   | 1.00                 |
| Past smoker                                    | 1.30 (1.08, 1.55)‡     | 1.06 (0.87, 1.31)    |
| Current smoker                                 | 1.04 (0.89, 1.21)      | 1.13 (0.94, 1.36)    |

* p<0.10, † p<0.05, ‡ p<0.01. OR: odds ratio, CI: confidence interval
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occuring at different ages in counties suggest that there is strong influence social factors on late-life depression. Hence, we could conjecture that other social factors which raise late-life depression in Korean males dilute the association of smoking and depression. In the case of females, despite the up-rising of other social factors, the rapid-rising rate of smoking prevalence of females might continue to show an association with depression compared to males who already showed a ‘ceiling effect’ of high prevalence rate of smoking. Therefore, in the rapid-transition to an elderly society in Korea, epidemiology and intensities of various contribute factors of depression seem to be changing rapidly.

In the view of public mental health, the current elderly society of Korea has many cultural influences. Members of the Korean elderly society lived through dramatic sociocultural changes: the Korean War (1950–1953), the post-war industrialization, westernization of the Korean society, and social values shifting from frugality to wealth. People moved from rural areas to the cities and big families have been broken into nuclear families; thus, emotional support from family has been weakened. These abrupt and dramatic changes in the social and economic environments of Korea have likely contributed to depression in the Korean society. Thus, there are several cultural influences on the psychological burden of social success for males, and obedience and abstinence for females in Korea. Hence, males are more prone to be depres-

| Table 3. Logistic regression analyses of depressive symptoms among Korean females (N=5,741) |
|--------------------------------------------------|
| Variable                                           | Unadjusted OR (95% CI) | Adjusted OR (95% CI) |
| Age (continuous)                                  | 1.05 (1.04, 1.05)†     | N/A                 |
| Age (45–79)                                       | 1.06 (1.05, 1.06)†     | 1.00 (1.00, 1.01)    |
| Age (≥80)                                         | 0.97 (0.93, 0.99)*     | 0.98 (0.94, 1.02)    |
| Education                                         |                        |                     |
| Elementary school or under                        | 1.00                   | 1.00                |
| Middle school completed                           | 0.48 (0.41, 0.56)†     | 0.95 (0.78, 1.14)    |
| High school completed                             | 0.27 (0.23, 0.32)†     | 0.68 (0.56, 0.83)†   |
| College or more                                   | 0.17 (0.12, 0.25)†     | 0.53 (0.36, 0.78)†   |
| Marital Status                                    |                        |                     |
| Unmarried (separated/divorced/widowed/never married/missing) | 1.00                   | 1.00                |
| Married (currently married/living with a partner)  | 0.34 (0.35, 0.44)†     | 0.60 (0.52, 0.69)†   |
| Employment                                        |                        |                     |
| No                                                | 1.00                   | 1.00                |
| Yes                                               | 1.16 (1.12, 2.00)†     | 1.04 (1.00, 1.08)†   |
| Self-rated health status (1–5)                    | 2.85 (2.66, 3.06)†     | 2.44 (2.26, 2.63)†   |
| Disable status                                    | 3.06 (2.36, 3.96)†     | 1.46 (1.10, 1.94)†   |
| Region                                            |                        |                     |
| Urban                                             | 1.47 (1.30, 1.67)†     | 1.19 (1.03, 1.38)†   |
| Rural                                             | 1.00                   | 1.00                |
| Alcohol use                                       |                        |                     |
| Non-drinker                                       | 1.00                   | 1.00                |
| Past drinker                                      | 2.29 (1.63, 3.22)†     | 1.15 (0.78, 1.70)    |
| Current moderate drinker                          | 0.91 (0.79, 1.05)      | 1.15 (0.97, 1.36)    |
| Current heavy drinker                             | 1.71 (0.87, 3.36)      | 1.68 (0.79, 3.58)    |
| Cigarette smoking                                 |                        |                     |
| Non-smoker                                        | 1.00                   | 1.00                |
| Past smoker                                       | 3.40 (1.69, 6.84)†     | 1.50 (0.68, 3.33)    |
| Current smoker                                    | 2.70 (2.01, 3.63)†     | 1.71 (1.22, 2.40)†   |

*p<0.05, †p<0.01. OR: odds ratio, CI: confidence interval, N/A: not available
sive along with loss of their social position and isolation, and consequently, they are more likely to be heavy drinkers.48

The pathology of alcohol and smoking dependence is related to genetic factors.49 Hence, heavy drinking and smoking groups may be more heterogeneous than other groups analyzed. Therefore, different or more aggressive approaches may be needed in order to unravel the variables associated with depression among such heterogeneous groups.

We note that this study presented differences in the relationships between depression and substance use by gender, in counter contrast to another study that showed no differences by gender.40 Demographic characteristics as well significantly different by gender. These issues can influence the results and it could be as one of limitations. Further studies will be needed to clarify this issue. The present study is a cross-sectional analysis, and future studies will be required to identify trends in depression and its risk factors. Moreover, data collection methods should be re-evaluated as there may be an inherent selection bias of phone surveys, including time of phone calls as well as the ability to contact homeless individuals and those hospitalized due to mental illness.

Based on our findings, we propose that distinct male and female intervention strategies should be considered for the treatment of depression in elderly Koreans. For males, social support to combat social isolation and therapeutic intervention for heavy alcohol drinkers, and for females, support for the physically disabled and treatment for smoking, may help reduce the prevalence and severity of depression and its related social burden.
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