Nanoscale Analysis of a Hierarchical Hybrid Solar Cell in 3D
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A quantitative method for the characterization of nanoscale 3D morphology is applied to the investigation of a hybrid solar cell based on a novel hierarchical nanostructured photoanode. A cross section of the solar cell device is prepared by focused ion beam milling in a micropillar geometry, which allows a detailed 3D reconstruction of the titania photoanode by electron tomography. It is found that the hierarchical titania nanostructure facilitates polymer infiltration, thus favoring intermixing of the two semiconducting phases, essential for charge separation. The 3D nanoparticle network is analyzed with tools from stochastic geometry to extract information related to the charge transport in the hierarchical solar cell. In particular, the experimental dataset allows direct visualization of the percolation pathways that contribute to the photocurrent.

1. Introduction

Hybrid solar cells, e.g., photovoltaic devices based on heterojunctions between polymers and metal oxide nanostructures, have been proposed as viable technologies for large scale, inexpensive production of electricity from sunlight.[1–3] Even though much has been done to improve their performance and commercial viability, their efficiency is still considered too low to compete with established silicon-based technologies. Due to their composite nature and to the interplay of different components in generating a photovoltaic response, many issues need to be identified, quantified, and resolved to bring these devices to fruition.

The morphology of the nanostructured photoanodes used in hybrid solar cells is one of the main properties influencing their behavior, by affecting processes such as light scattering and charge generation, injection and transport.[1,4,5] Recent studies[6,7] have demonstrated that tailoring the interaction between the polymer and metal oxide is critical to improving the performance of hybrid solar cells. However, this tailoring can only proceed from a quantitative understanding of the behaviour of the two components at the nanometer lengthscale.

Polymer infiltration into the nanostructured inorganic component is generally difficult to achieve because of the small pore size and complex, random 3D nanostructure. Solutions to this problem include the co-processing of organic and inorganic precursors to obtain interconnected networks, demonstrated for semiconducting sulfides.[8,9] Here we investigate the use of hierarchically-structured TiO2 films as photoanodes, where the nano- and meso-scale porosity is obtained by tuning macroscopic growth parameters in pulsed laser deposition.[10] The novel photoanode architecture has shown promising results in dye sensitized solar cells (DSSC) achieving good infiltration of the liquid electrolyte, as well as efficient electron transport.[11]

Conventional studies of hybrid solar cells investigate optical and electrical properties, either through bulk measurements or through microtips that allow analysis of selected areas.[12] This approach however only provides indirect information on structural and physico-chemical properties of the cell, and is generally not spatially resolved at the nanometer lengthscale.

Electron tomography can be used to produce unambiguous 3D representations of nanostructures materials, where individual particles and interfaces can be distinguished and analyzed with statistical tools.[13] Successful examples of the application of electron tomography[14,15] to the analysis of hybrid solar cells include the study of ZnO-polymer,[16,17] all-polymer,[8,18] and CdSe-based[19] devices, but these examples are limited to the analysis of active layer thicknesses of about 200 nm, due to the requirement for the specimen to be electron transparent at high tilt angles.

In order to produce electron transparent cross-sectional specimens of hybrid solar cells, focused ion beam (FIB) milling is generally used to create lamellar sections of the device that are thus transferred to a TEM support to be analyzed in...
2. Solar Cell Structure

In the present work, we analyze a solar cell photoanode based on a hierarchical nanoparticle-assembled TiO₂ film. The hierarchical photoanode, labeled here as h-TiO₂, exhibits a forest-like architecture obtained by pulsed laser deposition (PLD).[10] This technique, a TiO₂ target is ablated by ns laser pulses, producing a plasma that expands in an oxygen atmosphere. Upon collision among the ablated species and the background gas, particles of around 10–20 nm in size self-assemble on the substrate in hierarchical quasi-1D structures resembling trees.[10] A post-deposition annealing treatment in air at 500 °C for 2 h is employed to obtain nanoparticles with crystalline anatase phase. The layer density, degree of branching, as well as the crystallographic phase of the individual particles, can be tuned by adjusting the deposition parameters, such as the background pressure in the deposition chamber.[10] The resulting n-type semiconducting nanostructured film features a hierarchical structure, which combines a high surface area (around 40–80 m²/g depending on deposition pressure[11] with a structured 3D backbone to enable charge transport.

Table 1. Structure and performance of a hierarchical photoanode compared to a reference paste.

| Cross sectional SEM Images | J<sub>SC</sub> [mA/cm²] | V<sub>OC</sub> [V] | FF | R<sub>shunt</sub> [KΩcm²] | R<sub>series</sub> [KΩcm²] | η [%] |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|----|-------------------------|-------------------------|------|
| PLD h-TiO₂                | 2.28                    | 0.55          | 49 | 1.6                     | 60                      | 0.66 |
| TiO₂ paste                | 1.55                    | 0.49          | 54 | 2                       | 101                     | 0.43 |

Transmission,[21,22] This sample geometry, however, is not optimal for electron tomography, since the specimen’s projected thickness increases rapidly with increasing tilt, adversely affecting the quality of the dataset.[22–24] This results in deformation of the reconstruction and in the appearance of specimen projection artifacts which hinder quantitative analysis. Quantitative electron tomography was demonstrated by Biermans et al. on a micropillar-shaped cross section of a nanoporous specimen.[24]

It is important to note that this specimen geometry is ideal for electron tomography because the cylindrical symmetry and constant section of the specimen maximize the available tilt range (in principle up to 360 degrees with purpose-built specimen holders) and considerably improve the quality and reproducibility of the 3D reconstruction over established lamella-based tomography.

Our analysis of the hierarchical device uses 2D and 3D nanoscale characterization tools to evaluate the physical properties of the device. In particular we prepare a cross section of the hierarchical solar cell in the micropillar geometry, and produce a 3D reconstruction of the device using electron tomography. We employ statistical analysis and tools from stochastic geometry[25] to extract information on how photo-generated electrons can percolate through the semiconducting, nanoparticle-assembled layer. In particular, we consider spherical contact distances[16] to the TiO₂ phase (which are related to exciton quenching efficiency) as well as geometric tortuosity[26] of the TiO₂ phase which describes the windedness or the detours of the percolation pathways, respectively. The results indicate that the tree-like structure of the photoanode is beneficial for electron transport.

The scanning electron microscope (SEM) images in Table 1 show the structure of the photoanodes: a glass slide coated with a transparent conductive oxide is used as the substrate for the nanostructured h-TiO₂. High resolution transmission electron microscopy (TEM, Figure 1a,b) was used to investigate grain size and crystal structure of a photoanode grown in a 20 Pa oxygen atmosphere. Individual anatase TiO₂ grains, 12.8 ± 4.0 nm in diameter, are highly crystalline, with irregular
Due to the interplay between nanoscale morphology and potential benefits to the photovoltaic performance, we set out to investigate the h-TiO$_2$ behaviour in solid-state hybrid cells. The prototype cell presented here comprises an ITO-coated glass substrate, a 1 μm thick h-TiO$_2$ film, a spin coated P3HT layer (molecular weight 80 kg/mol) and an Ag back electrode. The thickness of the active layer was chosen as the best performing in the 0.4–1.6 μm range (Supporting Information Figure S1).

Table 1 shows the photovoltaic (macroscopic) performance of the solar cell developed from the optimization of the original h-TiO$_2$ prototype (using slightly different PLD parameters and device structure, see experimental section). The h-TiO$_2$ device shows a 50% improvement respect to that of TiO$_2$/P3HT devices based on mesoporous photoanodes made by doctor blading deposition of commercial pastes of comparable thickness. Further improvements can be expected by optimizing the deposition and packaging process, which is beyond the scope of the present study. It is worth noting that the observed improvement is mainly driven by an enhancement in the generated photocurrent (see the Experimental Section). Both the series and shunt resistance are lower for the h-TiO$_2$ device, with a combined result of a lower fill factor.

Time resolved photoluminescence spectroscopy did not show any significant change in the exciton quenching dynamics, indicating that the charge generation mechanism at the interface is not affected by the new architecture, at least in a scale comparable to the exciton-diffusion length. UV-vis absorption measurements show that, in the range 400–650 nm, the h-TiO$_2$ has a slightly lower optical density than TiO$_2$ paste of comparable thickness. This suggests that the improvement in photogenerated current observed for h-TiO$_2$ is even more significant.

We verify P3HT infiltration in the h-TiO$_2$/P3HT cell by using the FIB to prepare a cross sectional lamella of the solar cell (about 150 nm thick) to investigate the polymer infiltration. Figure 2a,b show high angle annular dark field (HAADF) scanning transmission electron microscope (STEM) image of the cross sectional cell, with panels (c,d,e) containing information on the spatial distribution of Ti, C, S, respectively, as obtained by energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS). The presence of but clean surfaces. Compared to conventional networks of randomly distributed particles, this arrangement contains vertical empty channels (Figure 1c) that are expected to favor the infiltration of the film with a p-type photo-active polymer,[27] while the 3D vertical hierarchical structure gives a well defined, branched structure of preferential conductive paths. The mechanical robustness of the individual tree-like structures, which can be removed from the substrate for TEM imaging without apparent damage, suggests good cohesion between individual grains, even though the interface between adjacent grains does not show a consistent preferential ordering.

Thanks to these features, this structure has demonstrated potential advantages when employed in liquid dye-sensitized solar cells, showing an electron lifetime more than 1 order of magnitude longer in comparison to standard, randomly assembled TiO$_2$ nanoparticle pastes, and a better interdiffusion of ionic liquid electrolytes due to its mesoporous structure.[10]

Figure 1. Structure of the h-TiO$_2$ photoanode. a) TEM image of an individual hierarchical titania “tree” with the main body and the side branches highlighted in red. b) High-resolution TEM image of the TiO$_2$ grains, showing highly crystalline anatase particles. c) Simplified model structure of the photoanode: the titania trees are separated by channels which the polymer can infiltrate.

Figure 2. a) Cross-sectional STEM HAADF view of the photoanode, with some of the trees highlighted in red. b–e) Dark field STEM and X-ray elemental maps (respectively Ti, C and S) from the base of the TiO$_2$ structures, showing presence of sulphur and indicating a good infiltration of P3HT inside the titania network. The white dashed line outlines the surface of the substrate.
Figure 3. Focused ion beam preparation of the sample for electron tomography. a) A pillar is isolated from the photoanode and b) extracted with a micromanipulator. It is then positioned on a TEM-compatible mount (c) and thinned until electron transparency is achieved (d). e) The micropillar as observed in the TEM.

3. Nanoscale 3D Structure

We applied HAADF STEM tomography to further investigate the 3D morphology of the solar cell. The geometry of the specimen is a crucial parameter in this technique, as it determines the effective available tilt range during acquisition, and affects the quality of the dataset. Since our aim was to obtain high quality quantifiable data with minimal operator input and bias, we adopted a micropillar geometry for the cross sectional specimen preparation, rather than the conventional lamella geometry.

From the complete h-TiO$_2$/P3HT device (except for the Ag electrode) we select a feature on the film and prepare a tapered micropillar sample (6 µm long and 300 nm thick at the base). Figure 3 shows the various steps of the FIB preparation, ending with the micropillar attached to the tip of a tomography pin. To preserve the device structure while achieving electron transparency, this specimen preparation approach requires very high spatial accuracy, since the diameter of the pillar is roughly the same as that of the branched nanostructures in the titania film (i.e., about 200 to 500 nm).

The micropillar/pin assembly is then mounted onto a tomography pin holder. We acquire images in HAADF STEM mode, collecting a signal which is sensitive to the atomic number of the specimen. Given the composite nature of the specimen and the large variation in average Z-number for different regions, care must be taken to optimize the brightness and contrast levels in the high angle annular dark field images, thus allowing for efficient discrimination of all the solar cell components. To fully determine how the hierarchical structure develops across the thickness of the device, we select a magnification value (800x) that allows us capture the whole micropillar. A series of images is acquired at various degrees of tilt along the micropillar’s longitudinal axis (see the Experimental Section). 3D reconstruction and image segmentation, usually some of the most tedious and potentially operator-biased steps of electron tomography, are relatively straightforward on our dataset thanks to the quality of the tilt series obtainable from a sample with cylindrical symmetry.$^{[23,24]}$

A reconstructed cross-section is reported in Figure 4a, and a volume rendering in Figure 4b. The minimal manual input for the volume segmentation, in particular, ensures the reliability and reproducibility of the quantitative analysis of the reconstruction.

The 3D reconstruction shows a structure in which the TiO$_2$ particles aggregate to form a central column 100 to 150 nm wide surrounded by side branches pointing upwards (away from the ITO layer), and is therefore representative of the photoanode (a larger section of which is shown in Figure 2a). P3HT completely infiltrates the pores in the structure, down to the ITO–TiO$_2$ interface. The TiO$_2$ structure shows some damage towards the external part of the micropillar, limited to a depth of about 20 nm from the surface, due to interaction with the gallium beam during the FIB processing.

4. Quantitative Analysis

The TiO$_2$ volume fraction is estimated as 19 ± 2% of the micropillar volume. We find a surface area value of 82 ± 4 m$^2$/g, assuming that the titania is entirely in the anatase phase (3.86 g/cm$^3$). This value is in good agreement with BET measurements on PLD TiO$_2$ hierarchical films before polymer infiltration.$^{[11]}$ Since BET measurements cannot be performed on films once they have been infiltrated with a polymer, electron tomography is vital in confirming that the roughness of the surface is preserved throughout the device assembly process, including the polymer infiltration. The size distribution of the titania particles can also be extracted (shown in the Supporting Information), finding an average particle size of 12.3 ± 3.8 nm, in good agreement with the high resolution TEM measurements carried out on the pristine TiO$_2$ film, which indicated a size of 12.8 ± 4.0 nm (see Supporting Information Figure S2).

Subsequently we apply descriptive statistical analysis$^{[16,25]}$ to analyze the 3D morphology. First of all, we measure the connectivity of the TiO$_2$ particles, i.e., the fraction of TiO$_2$ connected with the electrode.$^{[16]}$ In this kind of solar cell, the
electron–hole pairs are photo-generated in the polymer layer, and they separate at the TiO$_2$–P3HT interface. The electrons are injected into the titania structure and have to travel to the transparent electrode, shown at the bottom in our images. Therefore, the efficiency depends on the existence of unhindered percolation pathways to transport charges towards the electrodes. It is found that 98.5% of the TiO$_2$ is connected with both electrodes (located at the top and bottom). Moreover, these pathways should preferably be monotonic; this measure of the connectivity is therefore direction-dependent, particularly in a branched or dendritic structure (see Supporting Information Figure S3).

For electron transport, the monotonic connectivity of h-TiO$_2$, defined as the fraction of TiO$_2$ material connected to the anode through a path with strictly decreasing distance, is found to be 89.5% (see Supporting Information Figure S3) for the volume considered in this calculation. When the conductive paths are evaluated in the opposite direction, only 69.6% of the material is monotonically connected (towards the top). Such a difference suggests that the hierarchical titania structure favors electron transport towards the anode, thus minimizing losses due to recombination. The h-TiO$_2$ film can be considered as the multibranched analogue of TiO$_2$ rods. Hence, a type of asymmetrical, channeled conduction is expected, different from the symmetrical percolative conduction typical of photoanodes based on randomly assembled nanoparticles.

The properties of the pore space between the TiO$_2$ particles can be analyzed to determine the possibility for charge injection into the semiconducting layer. In particular, in the 3D reconstruction it is possible to measure the distance between any point in the pores and the nearest TiO$_2$ particle. In case of a perfect infiltration, in which P3HT entirely fills the pores in the TiO$_2$ film, this corresponds to determining how much polymer is close enough to the P3HT/TiO$_2$ interface to inject a photo-generated electron. To optimize the performance of the solar cell, this distance has to be smaller than, or at least comparable with, the exciton diffusion length in P3HT (values between 4 and 8.5 nm are found in literature$^{[28]}$). We select a sub-volume (Figure 5c) of the TiO$_2$ pillar to investigate this structural property. For our system, by considering spherical contact distances,$^{[25]}$ it is found that 75.8% of the pore space voxels are within 8.5 nm from the interface (Figure 5). A color-coded map of the distance between pore voxels and the TiO$_2$ surface is reported in Figure 5, showing how the h-TiO$_2$ morphology can interact with the polymer phase more effectively. This visualization is helpful in identifying the areas in the film where the intermixing between the two phases is not sufficient to generate free charges. We note that this type of information can only be retrieved from a 3D dataset with nanometer spatial resolution, such as electron tomography.

In the following, we analyze the geometry of the electrons pathways along the TiO$_2$ network towards the electrode, in particular their complexity. For different positions where electrons can be generated in the network, we compare the shortest distance the electron has to travel along the network of TiO$_2$ particles to reach the electrode with the distance in a straight (vertical) line. This yields the geometric tortuosity,$^{[26]}$ defined as the ratio between the length of the shortest path divided by the orthogonal distance along the z-axis. Through a skeletonization algorithm, we reduce the particle network to a graph representation consisting of nodes and edges (Figure 6), for which we compute the geometric tortuosity,$^{[26]}$ where we consider shortest paths starting from nodes of the graph located anywhere in the material to the electron collecting electrode.

Geometric tortuosity is equal to 1 for systems in which there are ideal vertical paths for charge collection (for example in the case of vertically aligned nanowires, or a bulk non-porous film);
systems with comparable porosity (around 1.0–2.0)\cite{26,29} however, unlike what happens in isotropic micro- and nanostructures, the tortuosity is not symmetrical in our network. From the whole skeleton, we find 1.466 ± 0.003 for conduction going towards the anode and 1.559 ± 0.003 in the opposite direction, suggesting that the hierarchical ordering helps optimizing the electron transport. The uncertainties reported are the standard errors of the mean for the measurement of the average, which is done on about 11 000 nodes.

5. Conclusions

We characterized a promising model hybrid solar cell system, based on a P3HT-infiltrated TiO$_2$ photoanode produced via pulsed laser deposition, featuring large surface area and a hierarchical structure, properties of paramount importance for solar cell photoanodes. Polymer infiltration is verified at the nanoscale. We demonstrate a quantitative assessment of the polymer infiltration in the hierarchical TiO$_2$ film, showing how electron tomography performed on a FIB-prepared micropillar specimen provides complete morphological information on a nanostructured composite device, with minimal user input. We also demonstrate how statistical analysis of the electron tomography dataset using tools from stochastic geometry provides quantitative information on transport pathways towards the anode, and on the geometry of the interface between the organic and inorganic phases in the hybrid solar cell.

The methodology for specimen preparation, characterization, and analysis, can be applied to other systems, particularly higher values reflect a more complex geometry, where flow occurs through convoluted paths.

This characteristic parameter provides another indication of the behavior of h-TiO$_2$ in terms of electronic transport. We find values that are close to those observed in micro-porous
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Figure 5. Map of the distance from the P3HT voxels to the titania in cross-section taken a) from the side and b) from the top. c) Subvolume considered for the distribution of the spherical distances between P3HT voxels and the nearest titania particle. d) Corresponding spherical contact distribution function $D[0,\infty] \rightarrow [0,1]$, where $D(r)$ denotes the probability to reach the TiO$_2$ phase from a random location in the pore phase. The exciton diffusion length in P3HT is roughly up to 8.5 nm.
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Figure 6. Geometrical properties of the titania network (a). In (b) and (c) the distribution of geometric tortuosities for the two directions are reported, showing the anisotropy of the system. d) Evolution of the tortuosity through the network for both directions.
in the field of photovoltaics and catalysis. We expect the combination of FIB micropillar sample preparation, electron tomography and statistical analysis to become a standard tool to study solar cell model systems and contribute to the design of novel groundbreaking devices.

6. Experimental Section

Synthesis via PLD and Device Assembly: Pulsed laser deposition (PLD) of titanium oxide was accomplished by ablating a 99.99% pure TiO₂ target with laser pulses from a Nd:Yag in 4th harmonic (wavelength 266 nm, duration 7 ns, energy density 4 J cm⁻², 10 Hz repetition rate) in 7 Pa O₂ background pressure. PLD in a background atmosphere can lead to cluster nucleation in the ablation plasma plume and soft landing yielding amorphous films. Post-deposition annealing in air in a muffle oven at 500 °C for 2 h was performed in order to obtain crystalline films, mainly composed of anatase. Crystallinity was characterized (not shown) by X-ray diffraction (Philips PW3020, Cu Kα radiation) and Raman spectroscopy (Renishaw InVia, excitation wavelength 514.5 nm).

Sample Preparation: We used a FEI Helios Nanolab dual beam machine equipped with a Ga⁺ ion beam for selectively milling areas of the specimen and a FEG emitter for electron imaging during the milling process. In this way we first surveyed the solar cell structure and isolated the representative features on the film. We first deposited a protective layer of platinum on the area of interest and applied a rough cut using annular milling with a current of 2.8 nA at 30 kV, isolating a rod of about 5 μm in diameter. It is important in this process to mill through to the glass substrate, so that the entire device section is extracted. We then used the Omniprobe micromanipulator to move the rod from the device to the apex of a TEM-compatible tungsten pin. Ion-beam Pt deposition was used to secure the rod to the W pin, and then the Ga ion beam was used to thin the rod by annular milling, progressively decreasing the beam current to reduce ion-beam damage, ending at 9 pA. Finally, a micropillar of about 300 nm in diameter was obtained (for the materials in the solar cell this corresponds to a good compromise between sampling volume and electron transparency). This milling procedure ensures that the long axis of the pillar is parallel to the main axis of the W pin, and it is essential to minimize misalignments in the tomographic acquisition.

Electron Microscopy: A tilt series was acquired in a FEI Tecnai F20 (200 kV acceleration voltage) using a Fischione on-axis pin holder. The tilt range is from −72 to +72 degrees, with an image acquired every 2 degrees. A tilt range of 140 degrees or more has been shown to limit “missing wedge” artifacts, resulting in a good spatial resolution and low elongation of features.[23] Although with the micropillar specimen, it would in principle be possible to record a full tilt series, our particular pin holder did not allow sufficiently accurate positioning of the pin over the full tilt range. Given that inaccuracies in angular positions can adversely affect the quality of the reconstruction, we did not make use of the full tilt series. Our tilt range results in an estimated elongation error of up to 10% for measurements of linear dimensions along the beam direction.[23] This causes a maximum error of 10% on volumes, and 5% for surfaces.

The imaging signal was collected using a high-angle annular dark field (HAADF) detector, providing atomic-number contrast. The magnification is 80 ks and the pixel size is 0.77 nm. The images are aligned using Incept3D and the reconstruction (shown in Supporting Information Video S4) is computed with the same software using a weighted back projection (WBP) algorithm. It is known that, as a general rule, iterative algorithms (like SIRT) yield a more accurate reconstruction, particularly in the case of a large missing wedge. However, such algorithms require a large number of iterations (>30) to correctly resolve high spatial frequencies, and sometime present convergence problems. Therefore, for some datasets, WBP can return sharper reconstructions than SIRT. This is the case for the systems under analysis here, composed by a network of particles which are very small compared to the overall volume.

SIRT also tends to smooth the signal, resulting in slightly smeared features compared to WBP. This makes segmentation more ambiguous, since the range of values that can be selected by an operator for a threshold in SIRT is quite broad. On the other hand, WBP results in sharp features, thus making segmentation less arbitrary. Supporting Figure 5 shows the difference in reconstruction for a slice taken along the pillar axis, including a histogram of the grayscale values and the resulting segmented dataset (threshold has been set at 47% of the grayscale range for both images, corresponding to the onset of the middle peak in the histogram for both reconstructions). The histograms are divided in three regions, corresponding to void, P3HT and TiO₂ voxels respectively. The image segmented from the WBP dataset preserves most of the fine features, while the segmentation on the SIRT dataset fails at resolving fine details.

The quality of the reconstructions obtained with different algorithms was evaluated by comparison with the high resolution TEM data, finding a better match with the WBP algorithm.

We then processed the reconstructed volume with ImageJ to remove background fluctuations and optimize the contrast between the different components of the film. We finally applied a threshold in ImageJ to obtain a segmented dataset and use ResolveRT to visualize the reconstructed 3D volume.

Statistical Data Analysis: The data was smoothed using a Gaussian filter in Fiji with variance σ² = 0.25, which is a very light smoothing operator and subsequently binarized by global thresholding. The skeletonization was performed using Avizo 6.3, using the default settings.

The content of TiO₂ was estimated from a sub-volume (Figure 5c) of the segmented dataset. The mass was evaluated from the volume of the two phases (TiO₂ and P3HT) using the respective densities. The specific surface area was calculated by dividing the surface measured with Avizo by the mass of the sample. The particle size was extracted by iterative erosion of the segmented dataset until the centers were isolated. The number of erosion steps, for spherical particles, is an estimate of the radius; the erosion procedure is able to resolve partially overlapping features.

The geometric tortuosity is calculated for each node i \(i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}\) individually by

\[\text{geometric tortuosity}(node_i) = \frac{\text{shortest distance along skeleton}(node_i \rightarrow \text{electrode})}{\sqrt{z^2_{node_i} - z^2_{electrode}}},\]

where z describes the z-coordinate in the 3D image. The shortest paths along the graph are determined using Dijkstra’s algorithm.[33,34] Let X be the shortest distance from a randomly chosen point in the polymer phase to the TiO₂ phase. Then the spherical contact distribution function D is given by \(D(r) = P(X \leq r)\). The monotonous connectivity \(\epsilon_{monotonous}\) for the TiO₂ phase is defined by

\[\epsilon_{monotonous} = \frac{\text{volume of TiO}_2 \text{connected to the electrode via a monotonous path}}{\text{volume of TiO}_2}\]

Supporting Information

Supporting Information is available from the Wiley Online Library or from the author.
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