Identification and characterization of the two isoforms of the vertebrate H2A.Z histone variant
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ABSTRACT

Histone variants play important roles in the epigenetic regulation of genome function. The histone variant H2A.Z is evolutionarily conserved from yeast to vertebrates, and it has been reported to have multiple effects upon gene expression and insulation, and chromosome segregation. Recently two genes encoding H2A.Z were identified in the vertebrate genome. However, it is not yet clear whether the proteins transcribed from these genes are functionally distinct. To address this issue, we knocked out each gene individually in chicken DT40 cells. We found that two distinct proteins, H2A.Z-1 and H2A.Z-2, were produced from these genes, and that these proteins could be separated on a long SDS–PAGE gel. The two isoforms were deposited to a similar extent by the SRCAP chromatin-remodeling complex, suggesting redundancy to their function. However, cells lacking either one of the two isoforms exhibited distinct alterations in cell growth and gene expression, suggesting that the two isoforms have differential effects upon nucleosome stability and chromatin structure. These findings provide insight into the molecular basis of the multiple functions of the H2A.Z gene products.

INTRODUCTION

The genomes of eukaryotic organisms are packaged into chromatin. Chromatin is composed of nucleosomes, in which DNA is wrapped around a histone octamer containing two copies of each of the H2A, H2B, H3 and H4 histones (1,2). The modification of chromatin structure contributes to the regulation of DNA transcription, replication and repair, as well as the process of chromosomal segregation. ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling complexes and post-translational modification of core histones by modification complexes have been intensively investigated in order to gain a better understanding of the molecular mechanisms underlying this regulation. The replacement of canonical histones within the chromatin by structurally similar histone variants via intrinsic chromatin-remodeling complexes has recently been shown to affect genome function (3,4).

H2A.Z is one of the more highly conserved histone variants in eukaryotes. While H2A.Z is not essential for the growth of yeast, it is essential for the survival of Tetrahymena (5) and early development in Drosophila and the mouse (6,7). The SWR1 chromatin-remodeling complex is responsible for the deposition of H2A.Z into chromatin in yeast (8). The SRCAP chromatin-remodeling complex, which is the vertebrate counterpart of the yeast SWR1 complex, is also capable of H2A.Z deposition. However, in contrast to the situation in yeast, the p400 protein complex is also able to deposit H2A.Z into vertebrate chromatin. It is not clear whether differences exist between the mechanisms of H2A.Z deposition by the SRCAP and p400 complexes (8–10).

H2A.Z has multiple functions in both yeast and vertebrates. The localization of H2A.Z within gene promoters and insulator regions has been reported (11–16). H2A.Z regulates gene expression when localized to promoter regions. Recently, it has also been shown that H2A.Z plays important roles in regulating epigenetic memory (17) and in suppressing read-through antisense transcription (18). H2A.Z localized within insulator regions antagonizes the spread of heterochromatin (14). In higher eukaryotes, H2A.Z co-localizes with the heterochromatin protein HP1α (19,20), suggesting roles in the organization of heterochromatin. In addition, inhibition of the expression of H2A.Z by using RNAi affects both genome stability and chromosome segregation (20).

Analysis of chromatin proteins from yeasts to vertebrates reveals the evolution of isoforms in vertebrates that contribute to biological processes such as
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development and differentiation. For example, the actin-related protein, Arp4, which is a common component of the SRCAP and p400 complexes, exists as two isoforms (ArpNΔ/BAF53b and ArpNβ/BAF53a) only in vertebrates, with the latter specific to the brain. Mammalian cells contain three isoforms of histone H3 (H3.1, H3.2 and H3.3), whereas yeast contains only one. In mammals, H3.1 and H3.3 differ by a mere five and four residues, respectively. H3.1 and H3.2 are associated with silent genes, whereas H3.3 is associated with actively transcribed genes (16,22,23).

It has been suggested that H3.3/H2A.Z-containing nucleosomes within transcriptional regulatory elements (16) should prove useful to investigate the redundant and differential functions of the H2A.Z isoforms.

Whereas a single gene (HTZ1) encodes H2A.Z in budding yeast, two genes have been identified in vertebrates. These were named H2A.Z-1 (previously H2A.Z) and H2A.Z-2 (previously H2A.F/Z or H2A.V) (24,25). Although most studies to date have evaluated the product of the H2A.Z-1 gene, two distinct H2A.Z isoforms corresponding to H2A.Z-1 and H2A.Z-2 have been identified by mass spectrometry (25,26). Interestingly, the N-terminal tails of H2A.Z-1 and H2A.Z-2 are similarly acetylated and ectopically expressed H2A.Z-2 preferentially associates with H3 that is trimethylated at lysine 4 (26,27). However, it is not clear whether the two isoforms have redundant and/or different properties and functions.

In this report, we describe the establishment of DT40 cells in which either the H2A.Z-1 or H2A.Z-2 gene has been knocked out, enabling us to identify gene products corresponding to the two distinct H2A.Z isoforms. We show that the two H2A.Z isoforms are deposited into chromatin by a similar mechanism, indicating a redundancy to their processing. However, the two knockout lines exhibit different phenotypes with regard to cell growth and gene expression. These two knockout lines should prove useful to investigate the redundant and differential functions of the H2A.Z isoforms.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Analyses of amino acid and nucleotide sequences**

The amino acid and nucleotide sequences of histones and H2A.Z isoforms were analyzed using various bioinformatics tools, including similarity search BLAST (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/blast) and phylogenetic prediction by ClustalW (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/clustalw) for each query DNA sequence.

**Cell culture**

DT40 and CEF cells were cultured at 38°C in Dulbecco’s modified medium supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum, 1% chicken serum, 2-mercaptoethanol, penicillin and streptomycin. HeLa and MEF cells were cultured at 37°C in Dulbecco’s modified medium supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum, penicillin and streptomycin. Nalm6 cells were cultured at 37°C in Roswell Park Memorial Institute medium containing GlutaMAX™-1 (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum, penicillin and streptomycin. To suppress expression of the tetracycline (tet)-responsive H2A.Z-1 transgene, tetracycline (Sigma) was added to the culture medium to a final concentration of 2 μg/ml.

**Construction of plasmids**

The chicken cDNAs for H2A.Z-1 and H2A.Z-2 were cloned by screening a chicken testis cDNA library (Stratagene) with reverse transcriptase (RT)-PCR products as probes. The cDNAs for H2A.Z-1 and H2A.Z-2 were used as probes to isolate genomic clones for H2A.Z-1 and H2A.Z-2 genes from a DT40 genomic library. The left (4.0 kb) and right (4.1 kb) arms of the disruption construct for the first H2A.Z-1 allele and also the left (5.0 kb) and right (1.0 kb) arms for the second H2A.Z-1 allele were cloned in a similar fashion into pBluescript (KS+). For the disruption constructs, the mycophenol (EcoGpt) and blasticidin (bsr) resistance cassettes under control of the β-actin promoter were inserted between the two arms of these constructs, respectively. The full-length cDNA for H2A.Z-1 was cloned into the BamHI site of pUHD10-3 (28) to yield a tetracycline-responsive expression plasmid, pUHD-H2A.Z-1. The left (3.0 kb) and right (3.7 kb) arms of the H2A.Z-2 disruption constructs were cloned sequentially into pBluescript (KS+). For the disruption constructs, the histidinol (hisD) or puromycin (puro) resistance cassette under control of the β-actin promoter was inserted between the two arms.

**Production of H2A.Z-1- and H2A.Z-2-deficient cells**

Targeted disruption constructs for allelic H2A.Z-1 genes were generated so that 1.3 kb genetic fragments encoding amino acids 2–128 (exons 2 and 3) were replaced with a mycophenol- (EcoGpt) resistance cassette and a blasticidin- (bsr) resistance gene under the control of the β-actin promoter, respectively. H2A.Z-1 genes were sustained by expression of integrated H2A.Z-1 genes under control of a tet-repressible promoter. The genotype of the resulting H2A.Z-1−/−/H2A.Z-1 transgene cell line (H2A.Z-1-KO) was confirmed by PCR analysis. Targeted disruption constructs for the allelic H2A.Z-2 gene were generated such that 0.4 kb genetic fragments encoding amino acids 2–64 (exons 2 and 3) were replaced with a histidinol- (hisD) or puromycin- (puro) resistance cassette under the control of the β-actin promoter. The genotype of the resulting H2A.Z-2−/− cell line (H2A.Z-2-KO) was confirmed by Southern blot analysis. The target constructs were transfected with the Gene Pulser II electroporator (Bio-Rad, Tokyo, Japan). Mycophenol (Invitrogen) at a final concentration of 2.5 μg/ml, xanthin at a final concentration of 0.5 mg/ml, blasticidin (Invitrogen) at a final concentration of 25 μg/ml, histidinol (Sigma) at a final concentration of 1 mg/ml and puromycin (Clontech) at a final concentration of 0.5 μg/ml were used to select stable transfectants.
Real-time PCR and quantitative PCR

Total RNA from HeLa, mouse embryonic fibroblast (MEF), and chicken DT40 cells was extracted with the RNasy Mini kit (QIAGEN) according to the manufacturer's protocol. One microgram of the extracted RNA was incubated with 0.15 μg random primer in 20 μl deionized water for 5 min at 65°C and then chilled on ice. Then, 200 μl superscript III reverse transcriptase (Life Technologies) and 28 U RNase inhibitor in 20 μl of 2× first strand buffer (Life Technologies) containing 20 mM DTT and 1 mM dNTPs were added, and first strand cDNA was synthesized by incubating the mixture for 50 min at 50°C. RT-PCR was carried out using gene specific primers for human H2A.Z-1 (5′-TCCAGTGTTG GTGATTCAG-3′ and 5′-GCAGAATTGTGTTGT TG-3′), mouse (5′-CCACCAACCAATTTTCCTGC-3′ and 5′-CCACCAGTGGAAACAATG-3′) and chicken (5′-TATCTCAGGACTCTAGTAC-3′ and 5′-CGTTAGACTCTAATG-3′), and also primers for H2A.Z-2 in human (5′-TCCCTCATCCACAAAT CTC-3′ and 5′-AGTACAATGACGGGGAGGA-3′), mouse (5′-GGTCTGTGTAACGGGAGGAG-3′ and 5′-CTGGCCAATCAACACATGAC-3′), and chicken (5′-AGGGAGGAAACGTTTCTATG-3′ and 5′-GGGA GAACCTCAGCCAATC-3′). β-actin mRNA was also used as an internal control. For an accurate quantification of H2A.Z-1 and H2A.Z-2 mRNA, real-time RT–PCR was carried out by using the comparative CT method, according to the manufacture’s instructions for the ABI prism 7000 sequence detection system.

Northern blot analysis

Total RNA was extracted from DT40 cells using TRIzol (Invitrogen) reagent according to the manufacturer’s protocol. RNA (10 μg) was electrophoresed through a 1% agarose gel and transferred to a Hybond N+ membrane (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech). The blot was prehybridized in phosphate–SDS buffer (7% SDS, 1% bovine serum albumin, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5 M sodium phosphate), and then hybridized to 32P-labeled antisense oligonucleotides corresponding to the H2A.Z-1 or H2A.Z-2 coding regions at 63°C overnight. The membrane was washed in wash buffer-1 (2× SSC, 0.5% SDS) and wash buffer-2 (0.5× SSC, 0.5% SDS) at 63°C for 30 min.

Preparation of histones and chromatin fraction

DT40 cells were washed in PBS, and cytoplasmic proteins and soluble nuclear proteins were extracted with lysis buffer (25 mM Heps, 0.3 M NaCl, 0.2 mM EDTA, 2 mM CaCl2, 0.5 mM DTT, 0.5% Triton) on ice for 3 min. Chicken embryos were homogenized with a Dounce homogenizer in RSB buffer (10 mM Tris–HCl, 10 mM NaCl, 3 mM MgCl2). The remaining nuclei were recovered by centrifugation at 14 000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C. Histone proteins were extracted with acid extraction buffer (0.22 N H2SO4, 20% glycerol, 0.5 mM DTT), and the supernatant was added to 100% (w/v) trichloroacetic acid, and the pellets were recovered by centrifugation at 14 000 for 30 min at 4°C. The pellets were washed in acetone and then centrifuged. Histones were recovered as the remaining pellet. For the preparation of MNase-treated soluble chromatin, the nuclear pellet was suspended in lysis buffer, and chromatin was solubilized by DNA digestion with 0.5 U micrococcal nuclease (MNase, TaKaRa) for 40 min at 37°C.

Expression and purification of recombinant chicken H2A.Z proteins

Chicken H2A.Z-1 and H2A.Z-2 genes were cloned into the pET15b vector (Novagen). Recombinant proteins fused to the 6xHis-tag were expressed in Escherichia coli JM109(DE3) cells and were purified with a HisTrap FF column (GE Healthcare Biosciences) under denaturing conditions. The 6xHis-tag was removed from the purified proteins by digestion with thrombin protease. The H2A.Z protein isoforms were further purified using a MonoS column (GE Healthcare Biosciences). Purified H2A.Z-1 and H2A.Z-2 were dialyzed against H2O and then lyophilized using a Speed-Vac concentrator (Tomy, Tokyo).

Western blot analysis

The protein samples were electrophoresed through a 28.5 cm long, 15% polyacrylamide gel (Nippon Eido) for 30 min at 11 mA and then 5 h at 25 mA, and the separated proteins were transferred to a PVDF membrane (Millipore). Western blot analysis was performed with an anti-H2A.Z antibody (Abcam ab4174) or an anti-H3 antibody (Abcam ab1791). An anti-IgG conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (Promega) was used as the secondary antibody, and ECL western blotting detection reagents (GE Healthcare) were used for the detection of bound antibodies.

RESULTS

Both H2A.Z-1 and H2A.Z-2 gene products are expressed in vertebrate cells

H2A.Z has been shown to play multiple roles in the epigenetic regulation of chromatin function. We have previously identified two genes encoding H2A.Z in mammals and chicken, which have recently been named H2A.Z-1 and H2A.Z-2 (24,26). Comparison of the deduced amino acid sequences of the gene products of H2A.Z-1 and H2A.Z-2 revealed that they differ by three and four residues in mammals and chicken, respectively (Supplementary Figure S1). The deduced amino-acid sequence of H2A.Z-2 is identical in all vertebrates analyzed, whereas that of H2A.Z-1 diverges slightly [(24) and Supplementary Figure S1]. Although most studies to date have evaluated the H2A.Z-1 isofrom, the high degree of conservation of amino acid sequence of H2A.Z-2 implies that both isoforms play important roles in vertebrates.

To test whether both H2A.Z-1 and H2A.Z-2 gene products are expressed in vertebrate cells, we performed RT-PCR using primer sets that were specifically targeted...
to the H2A.Z-1 or H2A.Z-2 cDNAs. We found that both genes are expressed in human, mouse and chicken cells (Figure 1A). The expression of the genes encoding the H2A.Z isoforms in HeLa cells was confirmed by quantitative RT-PCR (Figure 1B). In this analysis, the level of the amplified fragments corresponding to the transcripts encoding the H2A.Z isoforms were estimated by comparison to those derived from cloned cDNA fragments corresponding to each isoform. The relative expression of H2A.Z-2 to H2A.Z-1 was plotted. The error bars represent the mean ± standard deviation from three experiments. (C) Northern blot analysis of mRNAs encoding H2A.Z-1 and H2A.Z-2 from DT40 cells. Total RNA was electrophoresed through a formaldehyde gel and hybridized to a fragment corresponding to the coding region of H2A.Z-1 cDNA (left) or H2A.Z-2 cDNA (right). Fragments corresponding to mRNAs encoding H2A.Z-1 and H2A.Z-2 are indicated on the right. An asterisk indicates a nonspecific band, which was confirmed by using H2A.Z-2 knockout cells.

**Figure 1.** Expression of both of H2A.Z-1 and H2A.Z-2 genes in vertebrate cells. (A) Expression of H2A.Z-1 and H2A.Z-2 in HeLa, mouse embryonic fibroblast (MEF), and chicken DT40 cells was evaluated by RT-PCR using primer sets specific for the cDNAs of H2A.Z-1 and H2A.Z-2, respectively. The transcript encoding β-actin was monitored as a control. (B) Expression of H2A.Z-1 and H2A.Z-2 in HeLa cells was compared by real-time quantitative RT-PCR analysis. The relative levels of the amplified fragments corresponding to the transcripts encoding the H2A.Z isoforms were estimated by comparison to those derived from cloned cDNA fragments corresponding to each isoform. The relative expression of H2A.Z-2 to H2A.Z-1 was plotted. The error bars represent the mean ± standard deviation from three experiments. (C) Northern blot analysis of mRNAs encoding H2A.Z-1 and H2A.Z-2 from DT40 cells. Total RNA was electrophoresed through a formaldehyde gel and hybridized to a fragment corresponding to the coding region of H2A.Z-1 cDNA (left) or H2A.Z-2 cDNA (right). Fragments corresponding to mRNAs encoding H2A.Z-1 and H2A.Z-2 are indicated on the right. An asterisk indicates a nonspecific band, which was confirmed by using H2A.Z-2 knockout cells.

**Electrophoretic separation and identification of H2A.Z-1 and H2A.Z-2 proteins**

Analysis of the two H2A.Z protein isoforms requires a means to detect each molecule individually. Since the epitopes recognized by the available H2A.Z antibodies cannot distinguish between the H2A.Z isoforms, we tried to separate the H2A.Z-1 and H2A.Z-2 protein isoforms by electrophoresis. When the nuclear histone fraction isolated from various vertebrate cells was separated by electrophoresis through an acid–urea–Triton acrylamide (AUT) gel, a single band was identified following western blot analysis using an anti-H2A.Z antibody (Supplementary Figure S2). Electrophoresis through a conventional short SDS–PAGE gel also gave a single band (data not shown). However, separation of the protein samples on a long SDS–PAGE gel (28.5-cm long) allowed us to identify two distinct polypeptides in chicken cells (Figure 2A). This finding suggests that chicken H2A.Z-1 and H2A.Z-2 can be distinguished from one another due to a slight difference in mobility during prolonged analysis by SDS–PAGE. In contrast, it was not possible to identify two distinct human or mouse
H2A.Z polypeptides based on differential mobility during prolonged analysis by SDS–PAGE.

With the aim of identifying individually each chicken H2A.Z isoform by western blot analysis, we first generated individual gene knockouts of each isoform in chicken DT40 cells (Supplementary Figure S3). The H2A.Z-1 alleles were disrupted using an H2A.Z-1 transgene whose expression was under the control of a tetracycline (tet)-repressible promoter. We confirmed the genotype of the resulting H2A.Z-1–/–/H2A.Z-1 transgene cells, including the disappearance of the mRNA corresponding to the H2A.Z-1 isoform in the presence of tet (Supplementary Figures S4 and S5). The cells were maintained in the presence of tet and were analyzed as H2A.Z-1-deficient cells. Similarly, we also confirmed the genotype of the H2A.Z-2-deficient cells (H2A.Z-2–/–) and the disappearance of the mRNA corresponding to the H2A.Z-2 isoform in these cells (Supplementary Figures S4 and S5).

Histones prepared from these H2A.Z-deficient cells were subjected to western blot analysis following separation by long-gel SDS–PAGE (Figure 2B). While two distinct polypeptides were detected in wild-type (WT) cells, the higher-mobility (lower) polypeptide was absent from histones prepared from H2A.Z-2-deficient cells, and the lower-mobility (upper) polypeptide was absent from histones prepared from H2A.Z-1-deficient cells (Figure 2B). To confirm further the distinct mobility of the two H2A.Z isoforms, recombinant H2A.Z-1 and H2A.Z-2 proteins were expressed in bacteria and purified. The recombinant H2A.Z-1 and H2A.Z-2 proteins migrated during long SDS–PAGE with a mobility corresponding to that of the ‘upper’ and the ‘lower’ endogenous H2A.Z polypeptides, respectively (Figure 2C). In contrast, these recombinant isoforms were not separated by AUT–PAGE (Supplementary Figure S2). These results indicate that long-gel SDS–PAGE, but not AUT–PAGE, can distinguish the chicken H2A.Z-1 and H2A.Z-2 polypeptides.

The relative level of H2A.Z-1-deposition in H2A.Z-2-deficient cells and that of H2A.Z-2 in H2A.Z-1-deficient cells was slightly increased, although the difference was not statistically significant (Figure 2B). This might be because there is only a limited number of undeposited molecules of each H2A.Z isoform, or alternatively because the machineries for the deposition of each H2A.Z isoform are distinct.

Figure 2. Electrophoretic separation and identification of chicken H2A.Z-1 and H2A.Z-2 proteins. (A) The nuclear histone fractions from chicken (DT40 and embryonic fibroblast; CEF), human (HeLa and Nalm6), and mouse (MEF) cells were prepared by acid extraction. Histones were electrophoresed through a 28.5 cm 15% acrylamide gel for 5 h at 25 mA. A gel piece containing the histones was subjected to western blot analysis and H2A.Z isoforms were detected using an anti-H2A.Z antibody. The positions of the H2A and H4 histones are indicated at the left. The relative intensities of the signals corresponding to H2A.Z-1 or H2A.Z-2 to that of the H3 histone are shown with the relative signal in WT cells defined as 1.0. The mean ± standard deviation from at least three independent experiments is shown. (C) Bacterially expressed and purified H2A.Z isoforms (recombinant H2A.Z-1 and H2A.Z-2) were electrophoresed as in (A) and detected by Coomassie staining (left panel). Western blot analysis was used to compare the mobility of the recombinant H2A.Z isoforms to that of the endogenous proteins in the chromatin fraction prepared from DT40 cells (right panel).
H2A.Z isoforms are deposited into chromatin by the SRCAP complex to a similar extent

Two isoforms of H2A.Z are expressed in vertebrates, and the SRCAP and p400 complexes mediate H2A.Z deposition (8-10, 29; Ohfuchi et al., manuscript submitted for publication). These findings raise the possibility that each of these complexes acts specifically on one of the two H2A.Z isoforms. Such a scenario could explain a similar level of deposition of each H2A.Z isofrom even in the absence of the other isofrom (Figure 2B). The actin-related protein, Arp6, is a conserved and essential component of the SRCAP complex, but is not present in the p400 complex (9,10,30). Recently, we established a tet-inducible, Arp6-deficient DT40 cell line (Ohfuchi et al., manuscript submitted). The activity of the SRCAP complex is expected to be impaired in Arp6-deficient cells. We next used this cell line to investigate whether the SRCAP complex exhibits specificity for one of the H2A.Z isoforms.

In the tet-inducible, Arp6-deficient cell line, Arp6 protein becomes undetectable at 96 hr after the addition of tet (data not shown). The chromatin fraction was prepared from such cells grown in the presence or absence of tet, and the deposition of H2A.Z-1 and H2A.Z-2 was evaluated (Figure 3A). We observed ~70% less deposition of both the H2A.Z-1 and H2A.Z-2 isoforms in Arp6-deficient cells (Figure 3A, Arp6 KO+ tet 96 hr and Arp6 KO+ tet 120 hr), consistent with reduced activity of the SRCAP complex in Arp6-deficient cells. Thus, we observed no differential deposition of H2A.Z-1 and H2A.Z-2 in the chromatin fraction of Arp6-deficient cells (Figure 3). The relative level of expression of the H2A.Z-1 and H2A.Z-2 isoforms was similar in WT and Arp6-deficient cells (Supplementary Figure S6). Therefore, we conclude that the SRCAP complex does not exhibit specificity for either isofrom, and that it is responsible for ~70% of their deposition into chromatin.

Although Arp6 is constitutively expressed in all tissues, its expression is particularly abundant in early developmental stages up until the stage 29 embryo, whereas a lower level of expression is detected in the stage 32 embryo and thereafter (31). Analysis of histones prepared from chicken embryo nuclei revealed a reduced level of the sum of the two H2A.Z isoforms in stage 32 embryos compared to the level detected at earlier stages (Figure 4A and B). Since the expression of mRNAs encoding H2A.Z-1 and H2A.Z-2 was not significantly decreased in stage 32 embryos (Supplementary Figure S7), the deposition of H2A.Z isoforms thus also correlated with Arp6 expression in embryos. However, comparison of the relative occupancy of H2A.Z-1 and H2A.Z-2 revealed a reduced level of H2A.Z-1 occupancy at later embryonic stages (Figure 4A), and this tendency towards reduced occupancy was confirmed by a statistical comparison of the relative amounts of H2A.Z-1 and H2A.Z-2 (Figure 4C). Since the mechanism of the deposition of H2A.Z isoforms appears to be redundant, the reduced level of deposition of H2A.Z-1 in stage 32 embryos might reflect differential stability of the two isoforms within the histone octamer under certain states of the chromatin (see ‘Discussion’ section).

Characterization of the properties of H2A.Z-1 and H2A.Z-2 knockout cells

Although the two H2A.Z isoforms appear to be functionally redundant, the differences in their amino acid sequences suggest that there might be differences in their activities. To test this possibility, we compared cell growth and gene expression in the H2A.Z-1- and H2A.Z-2-deficient cells. Both cell lines are viable, which also supports a functional redundancy to their activity. However, comparison of cell growth revealed that H2A.Z-2-deficient cells proliferated 20–30% more slowly than WT or H2A.Z-1-deficient cells (Figure 5A). We next compared the cell-cycle distribution of the WT and knockout cells by FACS scan analysis. We observed no differences in the distribution of cells between the G1-, S- and G2/M-phases (Figure 5B). However, we observed an increase in the number of apoptotic H2A.Z-2-deficient cells (Figure 5C), which might explain the observed slower rate of growth of these cells. This suggests that H2A.Z-2, but not H2A.Z-1, might inhibit apoptosis.

Figure 3. Impairment of the deposition of H2A.Z-1 and H2A.Z-2 in SRCAP complex-deficient cells. (A) The soluble chromatin fraction was prepared from tet-inducible Arp6-deficient cells before or after tet treatment (96 or 120 h). The deposition of H2A.Z isoforms was detected by western blot analysis following electrophoresis through a long-gel (upper panel). H3 histone was detected as a control in each fraction (Lower panel). The position of H2A.Z-1 and H2A.Z-2 are indicated at the left. (B) The signals corresponding to H2A.Z-1 and H2A.Z-2 were quantified and normalized to that of H3 histone. The relative deposition of the H2A.Z isoforms in each fraction is compared to that observed for H2A.Z-1 prior to induction of Arp6-deficiency in the graph. The error bars represent the mean ± standard deviation from at least three independent experiments.
DT40 is a chicken B-cell lineage in which genetic recombination occurs frequently. Therefore, DT40 cells must attenuate certain DNA damage sensing pathways to escape from apoptosis. BCL6 is known to directly repress the DNA-damage sensor ATR and its downstream signaling pathway (32–35). We observed greatly decreased expression (<1/50) of the BCL6 gene in H2A.Z-2-deficient cells (Figure 6A). This decrease in the expression of BCL6, a suppressor of apoptosis, might explain the increased apoptotic index of H2A.Z-2-deficient cells.

BCL6 gene transcription is reduced by the expression of the IRF4 gene, which encodes a transcription repressor (36). Importantly, IRF4 expression was not increased significantly in H2A.Z-1 deficient cells (<2-fold, Figure 6A). These observations suggest that the H2A.Z isoforms have differential effects upon BCL6 expression, in a manner independent of IRF4 expression.

It has been shown that acetylation of lysine residues of H2A.Z is involved in its function, including its role in the regulation of transcription (5,37–40). Indeed, three of four substitutions of amino acids between chicken H2A.Z-1 and H2A.Z-2 are adjacent to lysine residues (Supplementary Figure S1 and see Discussion section). We compared the migration of H2A.Z isoforms by long-gel SDS–PAGE after induction of histone hyper-acetylation. H2A.Z-1- and H2A.Z-2-deficient cells were treated with tricostatin A (TSA), an inhibitor of HDAC, and chromatin was induced into a hyper-acetylated state. When the nuclear histone fraction prepared from the TSA-treated cells was analyzed, we observed the presence of more slowly-migrated polypeptides, which we assumed to be acetylated H2A.Z isoforms (arrows, Figure 6B). However, whereas the shift in mobility of the acetylated H2A.Z-2 isoform (arrow in H2A.Z-1-deficient, Figure 6B) was only slight, the shift in mobility of the acetylated H2A.Z-1 (arrow in H2A.Z-1-deficient, Figure 6B) was significant. This implies that the two H2A.Z isoforms were differentially acetylated under the conditions used and/or that their structures are differentially affected by their acetylation (see ‘Discussion’ section). Although this finding needs further investigation, the differential behavior of the two isoforms in the TSA-treated cells could possibly underlie their different effects upon gene expression.

**DISCUSSION**

H2A.Z-1 and H2A.Z-2 genes are found in the genome of most vertebrates (24). However, the significance of the existence of two H2A.Z genes has yet to be determined. In most studies to date, the H2A.Z-1 gene and its product have been analyzed. Here, we show that two distinct H2A.Z gene products are present in vertebrate cells. Since the two H2A.Z isoform genes and their products show high similarity, it is possible that some of the previously observed characteristics assigned to H2A.Z-1 may have been derived from the presence of H2A.Z-2. For example, siRNAs targeted to H2A.Z-1 would also target H2A.Z-2 owing to sequence similarity. In addition, the commercially available antibodies cannot distinguish the two isoforms. Here we established H2A.Z-1- and H2A.Z-2-KO cells in order to analyze the distinct characteristics of H2A.Z-1 and H2A.Z-2. We were able to separate the two H2A.Z isoforms using long-gel SDS–PAGE.
and were further able to identify these as H2A.Z-1 and H2A.Z-2 by using individual gene knockouts in DT40 cells.

The difference in the rate of migration of the isoforms by long-gel SDS–PAGE gel cannot be explained simply by the difference in molecular mass of H2A.Z-1 and H2A.Z-2 (13 582 and 13 502 Da, respectively). Since the recombinant H2A.Z-1 and H2A.Z-2 proteins migrated with mobilities identical to those of their endogenous counterparts, post-translational modifications cannot explain the differential mobility. Therefore, it seems likely that a structural difference based on the amino acid substitutions between the isoforms might underlie the differential mobility during SDS–PAGE.

Both H2A.Z isoforms were deposited by the SRCAP complex to a similar extent (Figure 3), suggesting that the H2A.Z isoforms are functionally redundant to some extent. Indeed, ectopic overexpression of H2A.Z-1 reduced the amount of H2A.Z-2 in chromatin (data not shown). On the other hand, the levels of the two H2A.Z isoforms appear to be independently controlled, even in the absence of the other isoform (Figure 2B). This finding suggests that there is only a small (or restricted) cellular fraction of undeposited molecules of each isoform.

Quantitative shifts in the fraction of undeposited molecules by changes in their transcription under certain circumstances might be expected to enhance or neutralize the differential effects of the H2A.Z isoforms.

Analyses of H2A.Z-1- and H2A.Z-2-deficient cells suggested that the isoforms also exhibit specific properties. The differences in the amino acid sequences of the chicken H2A.Z isoforms are located adjacent to the K12, K14 and K16 residues (T/A13 and T/A15) and the K38 residue (S/T39), and at the C terminus (V/A128) (Supplementary Figure S1). At least the five lysine residues in the N-terminal tail, including K12, K14,
K16, of the H2A.Z isoforms are acetylated in vivo (26,27). The shift in mobility of the H2A.Z isoforms following treatment of the cells with TSA suggests that they are differentially acetylated and/or that their structures are differentially affected by their acetylation, such that the mobility shift of H2A.Z-1 is greater than that of H2A.Z-2 (Figure 6B). Since it has been shown that the N-terminal tails of the two isoforms are acetylated to a similar extent (26), it appears that the conformation of the two isoforms may be differentially affected by the acetylation. However, we cannot exclude the possibility that the acetylation of K38 adjacent to the S/T39 substitution may differ between the H2A.Z isoforms. Acetylated H2A.Z is associated with various gene functions including transcriptional activation, prevention of heterochromatin spreading, chromosome transmission and DNA repair (37–39). The H2A.Z isoforms might contribute differentially to gene function through their distinctive behavior following acetylation.

Genome-wide distribution analysis has revealed that H2A.Z is deposited together with histone variant H3.3 at active promoters, enhancers, and insulators. Nucleosome-free regions (NFRs) are occasionally observed within such regions of the genome (16). Nucleosome core particles containing H2A.Z and H3.3 have been shown to be less stable than those containing only H2A.Z or canonical H2A (41). Therefore, a H2A.Z/ H3.3 double variant-containing nucleosome is likely to induce the presence of NFRs (16,23). H2A.Z and H3.3 located within promoters and enhancers are expected to be involved in transcriptional regulation through the formation of NFRs. It is likely that the combination of H3 and H2A.Z isoforms contributes to multiple modes of gene regulation.

Here we showed differential effects of individual knockouts of the H2A.Z isoforms on BCL6 gene expression (Figure 6A). BCL6 is required for the suppression of apoptosis and the maturation of B cells. BCL6 can also act as a proto-oncogene (42). BCL6 expression is repressed by the binding of the IRF4 transcriptional repressor to its gene (36). However, reduced expression of the BCL6 gene (<1/50) in H2A.Z-2-deficient cells was not accompanied by increased expression of the IRF4 gene. Taken together with the stable occupancy of H2A.Z-2 in embryos (Figure 4), H2A.Z-2-containing nucleosomes may be relatively resistant to the formation of NFRs and may thus prevent efficient binding of the IRF4 repressor. Although we need to test this possibility further, the BCL6 gene may represent an appropriate model to investigate the role of H2A.Z-2 in transcriptional regulation. Whereas BCL6 gene expression was unaffected in H2A.Z-1-deficient cells, we have identified two genes whose expression is decreased in the absence of H2A.Z-1 (Supplementary Figure S8). These genes could be utilized to clarify the specific properties of the H2A.Z isoforms in transcriptional regulation. Differential association of the isoforms with methylated H3 histone (26) might contribute to their specific effects upon the expression of certain genes.

H2A.Z has been extensively studied in recent years, and its significance in the regulation of genome function is generally recognized. Whereas knowledge of the expression and activity of H2A.Z in germ cells and embryos is limited, it has been suggested that it may have important roles in development and cell differentiation (4). However, the role of H2A.Z in transcriptional regulation remains controversial (40). Further analyses of expression and activity of H2A.Z isoforms should provide new insight into the mechanisms of genome regulation by histone variants.
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