Alongside positive and negative symptomatology, deficits in working memory, attention, selective learning processes, and executive function have been widely documented in schizophrenia spectrum psychosis. These cognitive abnormalities are strongly associated with impairment across multiple function domains and are generally treatment-resistant. The DTNBP1 (dystrobrevin-binding protein-1) gene, encoding dysbindin, is considered a risk factor for schizophrenia and is associated with variation in cognitive function in both clinical and nonclinical samples. Downregulation of DTNBP1 expression in dorsolateral prefrontal cortex and hippocampal formation of patients with schizophrenia has been suggested to serve as a primary pathophysiological process. Described as a “hub,” dysbindin is an important regulatory protein that is linked with multiple complexes in the brain and is involved in a wide variety of functions implicated in neurodevelopment and neuroplasticity. The expression pattern of the various dysbindin isoforms (-1A, -1B, -1C) changes depending upon stage of brain development, tissue areas and subcellular localizations, and can involve interaction with different protein partners. We review evidence describing how sequence variation in DTNBP1 isoforms has been differentially associated with schizophrenia-associated symptoms. We discuss results linking these isoform proteins, and their interacting molecular partners, with cognitive dysfunction in schizophrenia, including evidence from drosophila through to genetic mouse models of dysbindin function. Finally, we discuss preclinical evidence investigating the antipsychotic potential of molecules that influence dysbindin expression and functionality. These
INTRODUCTION

Schizophrenia, Cognitive Impairment, and Functional Disability

Schizophrenia is a complex psychiatric disorder that is the exemplar of a broader spectrum of psychotic illness in which interactive contributions from genetic and environmental factors play critical roles in etiology and pathobiology (Owen et al., 2016); hereafter, we use the term schizophrenia as shorthand for this spectrum of illness. In intimacy with positive, psychotic symptoms that define this spectrum, dysfunction in working memory, attention, processing speed, visual and verbal learning, reward-related learning, and prominent deficits in executive function have been extensively documented in schizophrenia (Kahn and Keefe, 2013). Impairment across these domains, in juxtaposition with negative symptoms, has long been accepted as core features of schizophrenia that contribute substantively to disability and are generally treatment refractory (Green et al., 2019).

Several authors have recommended inclusion of cognitive impairment in formal diagnostic criteria for this illness, as well as highlighting the need for research on developing new and more effective treatments to enhance cognitive abilities therein (Kahn and Keefe, 2013; Schaefer et al., 2013; Mark and Touloupolou, 2016). Cognitive deficits associated with schizophrenia are observed in unaffected family members of individuals with the disorder (Touloupolou et al., 2019) and the presence of schizophrenia-associated cognitive impairment in children can predict increased risk for the illness (Meier et al., 2014; Agnew-Blais et al., 2015).

Schizophrenia, Cognitive Impairment, and Genetics

Twin studies continue to affirm a primary role for genetic factors in the etiology of schizophrenia (Hilker et al., 2018), in association and interaction with environmental adversities (Guloksuz et al., 2019). However, despite considerable endeavour during the past 20 years, genomic studies of schizophrenia have arguably failed to provide the expected answers, or have highlighted the difficulties in elucidating a complex, heterogeneous, and polygenic genetic architecture. The existing literature indicates contributions from multiple common and occasional rare variants that may interact in conferring risk for schizophrenia (Bergen et al., 2019; Weinberger, 2019). A key challenge in this field involves the translation of advances in our understanding of the genetics of schizophrenia and the mechanistic basis of these associations into tangible improvements in patient-centred care and antipsychotic drug discovery.

In support of a common disease-common allele hypothesis, whereby much of risk for schizophrenia is conferred via the cumulative effect of multiple common alleles, a landmark genome wide association study of more than 36,000 cases and over 113,000 controls identified 108 loci for common risk variants that achieved genome-wide significance (Schizophrenia Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium, 2014). These risk variants are involved in several known processes, including synaptic plasticity and within the major histocompatibility complex, but also in as-yet unknown functions. In the most recent analysis completed by the same consortium, which involved 30,000 additional subjects, the number of GWAS-significant loci was expanded to 246 (Weinberger, 2019).

Polygenic risk scores (PRS) represent an aggregate measure of genetic risk as they consider the additive effects of all significant variation across multiple genes and regulatory areas across the entire genome (Jones et al., 2016; Xavier et al., 2018; Touloupolou et al., 2019). The PRS is calculated by summing all the alleles (weighted by their individual odds ratios) that have been associated with an illness in the latest GWAS data set for that illness. In the most recent study of the effect of schizophrenia risk alleles on cognition (Richards et al., 2019), schizophrenia PRS were associated more strongly with case-control cognitive differences as opposed to variation within cases.

Copy number variants (CNVs), both rare de novo and inherited, make only a minor contribution to population risk variation despite larger effect sizes (Manolio et al., 2009; Malhotra and Sebat, 2012; Kotlar et al., 2015; Genovese et al., 2016). In schizophrenia, these rare variants are found at loci containing genes implicated in synaptic function as well as neurodevelopmental processes linked with glutamatergic function pathways (Kirov et al., 2012; Marshall et al., 2017). Genovese et al. (2016) reported that genes implicated in synaptic function potentially explained more than 70% of the exome enrichment in damaging ultra-rare variants that contribute to schizophrenia. Some authors have proposed a merging of common allele and rare variant mechanisms, suggesting that individuals with schizophrenia having well-characterized pathogenic CNVs also associate with an excess burden of common risk alleles (Tansey et al., 2016; Bergen et al., 2019).

More recent hypotheses suggest that the complex genetic architecture of schizophrenia may be better explained in terms of an “omnigenic” framework. This hypothesis (Boyle et al., 2017) posits that for complex traits such as schizophrenia, GWAS may identify genes more central to a disease process. However, these “core” genes function in a cellular network that is associated with the vastly more numerous other “peripheral” genes that have less evident relationship to disease but are able to influence the
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function of “core” genes. Indeed, recent evidence suggests in schizophrenia a “core” gene set that appears to contribute to risk to a greater extent than an omnigenic background effect (Rammos et al., 2019).

**SCHIZOPHRENIA, COGNITIVE IMPAIRMENT, AND VARIATION IN DTNBP1**

Dysbindin-1 is a coiled-coil-containing protein encoded by DTNBP1 (Dystrobrevin Binding Protein 1, 6p22.3), a gene that has been linked with cognitive and anatomical endophenotypes in both patients with neuropsychiatric disorders as well as nonclinical samples (Ayalew et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2017; Savage et al., 2018). An initial report of genetic linkage to schizophrenia on chromosome 6p24-22 (Straub et al., 1995) was followed by multiple individual replications and confirmatory meta-analyses of DTNBP1 (Allen et al., 2008; Ayalew et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2017); any concern that such findings have not been prominent in GWAS studies to date (Farrell et al., 2015) must be juxtaposed with increasing recognition that GWAS cannot in itself be considered definitive on such issues (Tam et al., 2019; see also Schizophrenia, Cognitive Impairment, and Genetics above), and that the GWAS focus on diagnosis and symptom severity scores is likely to lead to neglect for genes specifically linked with cognitive dysfunction in schizophrenia. Additionally, the expression of dysbindin-1 and its isoforms is disrupted in brain tissue from schizophrenia patients (see Schizophrenia Pathobiology and Dysbindin Isoforms below). Importantly for issues to be considered further below, variations in DTNBP1 associated with schizophrenia (SNPs and haplotypes) are located in intron or promoter regions and almost all are located in the N-terminus of the gene (Guo et al., 2008).

Several studies have investigated the role of this gene in cognitive deficits of schizophrenia. For example, DTNBP1 haplotypes are associated with greater decline in IQ (Burdick et al., 2007) and impairment in spatial working memory (Donohoe et al., 2007; Donohoe et al., 2008; Donohoe et al., 2010) and attentional/vigilance (Baek et al., 2012), verbal and visual working memory and speed of processing (Varela-Gomez et al., 2015), as well as executive function (Scheggia et al., 2018). Such findings have been less evident on subdividing schizophrenia patients into cognitive-deficit and cognitive-sparing groups (Peters et al., 2008). Other studies have also failed to report a relationship between DTNBP1 variants and general tests of cognitive ability in patients with schizophrenia and first-degree relatives compared to controls (Strohmaier et al., 2010; Chow et al., 2018). Additionally, while some authors have shown that DTNBP1 variation in healthy controls and patients is associated with performance across a diverse range of cognitive tests (e.g. Burdick et al., 2006), a meta-analysis of 11 articles examining the relationship between dysbindin and general cognitive ability revealed only a modest relationship (Zhang et al., 2010). These results support involvement of the DTNBP1 gene in selective domains of cognition rather than non-specific cognitive ability (Luciano et al., 2009). Despite preliminary evidence to suggest dysbindin involvement in cognitive function in patients with brain tumours (Correa et al., 2016), there is a paucity of studies examining the role of dysbindin in other neurological and neuropsychiatric disorders where symptoms include cognitive dysfunction.

**SCHIZOPHRENIA PATHOBIOLOGY AND DYSBINDIN ISOFORMS**

Dysbindin expression levels vary across neuronal populations throughout the brain and are particularly abundant in the dentate gyrus of the hippocampal formation (Talbot et al., 2004; Talbot et al., 2006), with dysbindin localization in the CNS occurring in both neurons and, at comparable levels, in glia (Ghiani et al., 2009; Shao et al., 2011). DTNBP1 encodes for three major splice isoforms of dysbindin-1: 1A, 1B, and 1C. Dysbindin-1A is most highly concentrated in postsynaptic density fractions and dysbindin-1B is most abundant in synaptic vesicle fractions; similar to dysbindin-1A, dysbindin-1C is most highly concentrated in postsynaptic density fractions; nuclear localization has also been reported for dysbindin-1A and -1B (Oyama et al., 2009; Talbot et al., 2011).

Sequence variation might be a mechanism by which the function of DTNBP1 differs between schizophrenia cases and controls. In this scenario, instead of a simple incorrectly encoded protein, the amount of DTNBP1 expression may be affected. Indeed, it has been shown that variations in DTNBP1 affect its expression in the human brain (Bray et al., 2003; Bray et al., 2005; Bray et al., 2008).

The hippocampal formation plays a fundamental role in working and episodic memory, highlighting the possible role of dysbindin in cognitive processes that are believed to be critical to schizophrenia (Tamminga et al., 2010). Talbot et al. (2004) reported that schizophrenia patients show reduction of dysbindin-1 protein in the principal neurons of CA2 and CA3 and especially in the dentate gyrus of the hippocampal formation. A subsequent study from the same group reported that dysbindin proteins in the dentate gyrus are essentially present postsynaptically (Talbot et al., 2006). Talbot et al. (2011) then reported that in schizophrenia patients levels of dysbindin-1B and -1C, but not -1A, are decreased in the hippocampal formation, while levels of dysbindin-1A, but not -1B or -1C, are decreased in the superior temporal gyrus. Furthermore, transcripts encoding dysbindin-1B are upregulated in peripheral blood leukocytes of patients with schizophrenia relative to controls, with an intronic DTNBP1 variant associated with schizophrenia affecting splicing and leading to specific over-expression of dysbindin-1B (Xu et al., 2015).

Similarly to the hippocampal formation, the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) is crucially involved in working and episodic memory, highlighting a further possible contribution of dysbindin to cognitive deficits encountered in schizophrenia (Lewis et al., 2008). Weickert et al. (2004) reported varying levels of DTNBP1 mRNA within the DLPFC, with higher
expression found in layers IV and V; in DLPFC of schizophrenia patients decreases in DTNBP1 mRNA were reported in layers II, III, V and VI, but not in layers I and IV. Subsequently, decreases in DTNBP1 mRNA were reported in the hippocampal formation (Weickert et al., 2008). However, a subsequent study from the same team could not wholly confirm these findings (Fung et al., 2011). Tang et al. (2009) reported reductions in dysbindin-1C, but not in -1A or -1B, in DLPFC in the absence of changes in mRNA levels of the three isoforms. It should be noted that laminar-specific alterations in dysbindin mRNA and proteins may have escaped detection with the homogenate-based approach used in both studies (Tang et al., 2009; Fung et al., 2011). More recently, dysbindin-1B, but not -1A, protein levels were found to be higher in the DLPFC in schizophrenia (Konopaske et al., 2018).

**SCHIZOPHRENIA, NEURODEVELOPMENT, NEUROPLASTICITY, AND DYSBINDIN**

A wealth of epidemiological, clinical, and biological evidence now indicates that the origins of schizophrenia are to be found primarily in the early phases of fetal brain development: during this period a variety of factors, mainly genetic but also including environmental adversities, interact to disrupt brain morphogenesis (Waddington et al., 2012; Birnbaum and Weinberger, 2017); thereafter, endogenous and exogenous factors and processes can act to further “sculpt” brain development and maturation as a substrate from which the diagnostic symptoms and disabilities of clinical psychosis emerge, most typically during early adulthood (Waddington et al., 2012; Weinberger, 2017).

Regulation of brain development involves a multitude of genetic processes and associated proteins that act and interact sequentially to determine “normal” adult cerebral structure and function. Among these, dysbindin is one important regulator that is linked with multiple complexes in the brain and numerous diverse functions implicated in neurodevelopment and neuroplasticity. However, while the different dysbindin isoform proteins might interact with different partners, the majority of studies do not provide sufficient information to confirm or refute isoform-specific processes.

**Promotion of Cell Growth, Proliferation, and Antiapoptotic Effects**

Dysbindin promotes activation of Akt (Numakawa et al., 2004), which mediates growth and proliferation of cells (Manning and Cantley, 2007). Akt has itself been proposed as a risk gene for schizophrenia and a target for antipsychotic drug development (Zheng et al., 2012; Enriquez-Barreto and Morales, 2016). Studies have reported that dysbindin is present in neurites and in axonal growth cones and that down regulation of dysbindin results in aberrant organisation of the actin cytoskeleton at the tips of neurites of differentiating cells, with shortening of such neurites (Kubota et al., 2009; Taneichi-Kuroda et al., 2009).

**BLOC-1 and Endosomal Trafficking**

Dysbindin is a stable component of the multi-subunit complex BLOC-1 (biogenesis of lysosome-related organelles complex-1; Dell’Angelica, 2004; Li et al., 2004; Lee et al., 2012). Among the subunits of BLOC-1, dysbindin interacts directly with pallidin, MUTED, and snapin (Li et al., 2003; Starcevic and Dell’Angelica, 2004). Mice containing constitutive deletion of the pallidin gene show performance deficits in two different measures of recognition memory: the novel object recognition task and a measure of social novelty recognition (Spiegel et al., 2015).

Studies have also reported an association between markers at MUTED and schizophrenia (Straub et al., 2005; but see also Gerrish et al., 2009). Moreover, both dysbindin and MUTED siRNA increased cell surface dopamine (DA) D2 receptors (D2R) and blocked DA-induced D2R internalization in human and rat cells. In contrast, decreased dysbindin altered neither D1 receptors (D1R) levels nor their basal expression or DA-induced internalisation (Iizuka et al., 2007). Such an increase in D2R signalling could contribute to the imbalance in DAergic neurotransmission characteristic of schizophrenia, i.e., hyperfunction through D2R and attenuation of such hyperfunction by current D2R antagonist antipsychotics (McCutcheon et al., 2019).

A recent review has comprehensively addressed the relationship and interactions between BLOC-1 genes/proteins and cognitive phenotypes observed in neurodevelopmental disorders (Hartwig et al., 2018). The predominant theme is that BLOC-1 subunits in brain areas linked to cognitive functions are part of a more complex set of molecular interactions, including proteins implicated in schizophrenia such as disrupted-in-schizophrenia-1 and SNARE (Talbot, 2009; Hartwig et al., 2018).

**Dysbindin and Dystrophin-Associated Protein Complex**

Dysbindin binds to the dystrobrevins, which are components of the dystrophin-associated protein complex (DPC) (Benson et al., 2001; but see also Nazarian et al., 2006). In the brain, several DPC-like complexes have been implicated in cognitive impairment commonly found in patients with Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD) (Blake and Kröger, 2000). Indeed, DPC is necessary for maturation and function of a subset of inhibitory synapses (Grady et al., 2006). Lack of dystrophin in the mdx mouse model of DMD produces an altered distribution of dysbindin in the brain, suggesting a role for dysbindin-1 in the cognitive impairment observed in DMD patients (Sillitoe et al., 2003).

**NONISOFORM- AND ISOFORM-SPECIFIC GENETIC MUTATION MODELS FOR DYSBINDIN-1 FUNCTION**

**Drosophila Mutants**

One dysbindin protein has been demonstrated in drosophila, known as CG6865-PA. While the amino acid sequence of its
coiled-coil domain is closely related to that of all known orthologs of dysbindin, neither its N-terminal nor its C-terminal region is closely related to corresponding regions in any vertebrate dysbindin ortholog. CG6865-PA shares 28% amino acid identity with human dysbindin-1A (Guo et al., 2009). Drosophila dysbindin mutants demonstrate impaired neurotransmission, disrupted synaptic homeostasis, presynaptic and postsynaptic morphological alterations, and disruption in short-term memory (Dickman and Davis, 2009; Cheli et al., 2010; Shao et al., 2011; Gokhale et al., 2015; Mullin et al., 2015; Gokhale et al., 2016).

Shao et al. (2011) reported that neuronal disruption of dysbindin function in drosophila was associated with hypoglutamatergic transmission and deficits in working memory, while disruption of dysbindin function in glial cells was associated with hyperdopaminergia and locomotor hyperactivity; this latter effect was mediated via a reduction in the protein Ebony, a glia-specific beta-ala-nyl biogenic amine synthetase involved in metabolic degradation of biogenic amines in the nervous system. This study reveals distinct functions of dysbindin in neurons and glial cells and highlights the potential of new therapeutics for schizophrenia that target glial cells (Bernstein et al., 2015). As reduction of dysbindin in drosophila impacts on short-term memory, dysbindin-dependent pathways may shed further light on the mechanisms of cognitive dysfunction in schizophrenia (Larimore et al., 2014).

**Mutant Mouse Models**

Genetic mouse models enable etiologically related investigation of the pathophysiology of schizophrenia, providing means to advance target discovery to improve treatment for psychotic illness (O’Tuathaigh and Waddington, 2015). The development of new and effective drug therapies generally requires analysis of the intact brain in valid preclinical models, most commonly involving rodents (Dawson et al., 2015). Therefore, integrated research strategies for the delineation of animal models, based on characterization of cognitive deficits and underlying mechanisms, have considerable translational potential (Diamantopoulou and Gogos, 2019). In particular, based on the role of working memory in supporting a variety of cognitive abilities and its association with deficits in social and occupational functioning in schizophrenia, characterization of these processes in any mutant model is fundamental to understanding the relevance of the experimental model to cognitive dysfunction in this disorder.

While dysbindin-1A is highly conserved among vertebrates, there is no ortholog of human dysbindin-1B in mice; dysbindin-1C is a 270 amino acid protein in humans and a 271 amino acid protein in mice (Tan, 2009). A spontaneous deletion mutation in DTNBP1 occurred in the DBA/2J strain, leading to complete absence of dysbindin-1A and 1C proteins in homozygous mice and reduced expression levels in heterozygous mice; the sandy (sdy) coat color of homozygous mutants gives the strain its name (Swank et al., 1991). While the earliest studies were carried out in mice with the original DBA/2J background (sdy/DBA), the mutation has been transferred subsequently onto a C57BL/6J background (sdy/B6). DBA/2J mice are homozygous for at least six mutations, of which four are associated with neural impairments (Cox et al., 2009; Talbot, 2009). These appear to account for a number of auditory and visual deficits, when compared with C57BL/6 mice.

**Sandy Mice on a DBA/2J Background**

Studies indicated abnormalities related to cognitive impairment (disturbance of long-delay recognition memory during an object recognition test) in homozygous sdy/DBA mice without abnormalities related to basal activity levels or anxiety (Feng et al., 2008). These authors also confirmed a previous finding of direct interaction with and decrease in the steady-state level of snapin (a SNAP-25 binding protein), suggesting an upstream regulatory role of dysbindin on neurotransmitter release via snapin. Takao et al. (2008) also reported cognitive deficits, including impairment in long-term memory retention (Barnes maze test) and in working memory (T-maze, forced alternation task). Bhardwaj et al. (2009) also demonstrated deficits in short-term memory (object recognition memory test) and stronger dependent memory for fearful events in sdy/DBA mice. In a subsequent study such mutants showed impaired recognition memory in the novel object recognition and social recognition paradigms (Spiegel et al., 2015).

Kobayashi et al. (2011) demonstrated hypersensitivity to both serotogenic and DAergic modulation of DG-to-CA3 signal transmission in 4-month-old to 6-month-old male homozygous sdy/DBA mice. These authors also reported decreased expression of DIR mRNA in the hippocampus that could contribute to changes in synaptic modulation. Jentsch et al. (2009) reported impaired glutamatergic transmission through both presynaptic and postsynaptic mechanisms in the prefrontal cortex (PFC) of heterozygous and homozygous sdy/DBA mice. While dysbindin deletion appears to decrease glutamate release at the axon terminal, it may also result in an increase in excitability that might be due to reduction in neuronal dendritic branching and/or transmitter release in GABAergic interneurons. This study also reported homozygous sdy/DBA mice to show impairment in a spatial working memory task (delayed nonmatch-to-position test), with heterozygous mutants showing an intermediate level of performance. Collectively, these results suggest that dysbindin dysregulation might contribute to the cognitive symptoms of schizophrenia by decreasing glutamatergic transmission, at least in the prefrontal cortex.

**Sandy Mice on a C57BL/6J Background**

Studies involving the sandy mouse on a C57BL6 background also show cognitive deficits. Cox et al. (2009) first reported impairment in spatial memory and/or initial learning and acquisition (Morris water maze) in sdy/B6 mice. Carlson et al. (2011) reported reductions in sdy/B6 mice of auditory-evoked response adaptation, prepulse inhibition, and evoked γ-activity, which is most frequently linked with disrupted inhibition and reduction in parvalbumin (PV)-positive interneuron activity. Indeed, subsequent analyses revealed reduction of fast-spiking GABAergic inhibition and fewer PV cells in the hippocampus of sdy/B6 mice.
As previously shown in sdy/DBA mice (Jentsch et al., 2009), homozygous sdy/B6 mice showed a decrease in working memory performance (delayed nonmatch-to-position test) compared to wildtypes; these results correlated with degree of expression of the NR1 subunit of the NMDA receptor. Other studies have shown an altered GluN2B-GluN2A switch in the hippocampus and cortex of dysbindin mutant mice (Sinclair et al., 2016). Genetic loss of dysbindin-1 expression has also been shown to impact on NMDA receptor-dependent synaptic plasticity in the hippocampus in both sdy/DBA and sdy/B6 mice (Glen et al., 2014). Another study demonstrated that loss of dysbindin-1 leads to reduced mGluR1 signalling in the hippocampus, which is associated with altered hippocampal synaptic plasticity in sdy/B6 mice (Bhardwaj et al., 2015). Overall, these results suggest a role for dysbindin in the regulation of NMDA and cognition that might be associated with cognitive deficits in schizophrenia.

Papaleo et al. (2012) reported differences in reference memory (T-maze) or a habituation/dishabituation task (olfactory discrimination test) in sdy/B6 mice, which demonstrated more rapid acquisition of a working memory task (T-maze) and overall worse performance on the same test under more demanding (proactive interference) or more stressful (transfer in a new cage) conditions relative to controls. Other data from the same group suggested that interval timing deficits may be a crucial component of abnormal cognition in sdy/B6 mice and that these deficits might be improved with increased training and experience (Carr et al., 2012).

Subsequent experiments were conducted to evaluate D2R modulation of these behavioral effects (Papaleo et al., 2012). While chronic D2R agonist administration did not affect acquisition in the working memory test, under more demanding conditions this treatment impaired working memory performance in a manner comparable to that observed in sdy/B6 mice. These authors also reported enhanced excitability and excitatory inputs to pyramidal neurons in medial-PFC (mPFC) layer II/III (the layers principally involved in intracortical projections), as well as decreased excitatory inputs to fast-spiking GABAergic neurons (Papaleo et al., 2012). D2Aergic modulation of excitatory synaptic transmission in layer II/III pyramidal neurons involves CaM-kinase-II (CaMKII)-dependent mechanisms that have been implicated in learning and memory processes (Gonzalez-Islas and Hablitz, 2003). While sdy/B6 mutants displayed lower CaMKII and CaMKKβ protein levels in mPFC, control mice chronically treated with a D2R agonist showed the same specific reductions (with no change in CaMKIV and CaMKKα levels; Papaleo et al., 2012). Overall, these results suggest that some effects of dysbindin on cognition, associated with changes in cortical activity and CaMK components of the mPFC, are induced via upregulation of D2R. Consistent with a dysbindin-associated increase in D2 receptors, dysbindin deficiency was associated with an antipsychotic-dependent increase in the ratio between the D2Short (D2S) and D2Long (D2L) isoforms in the PFC of mice, which is associated with potentiation of cortical presynaptic D2R signalling (Scheggia et al., 2018).

It has been proposed that DA signalling and PFC-dependent cognition follow an inverted U-shaped relationship, by which both inadequate or excessive D2ergic signalling has a disruptive effect on cognitive performance that reflects an imbalance in dopamine D1/D2R activation (Vijayraghavan et al., 2007). Consistent with this hypothesis, Papaleo et al. (2014) investigated the effects on working memory performance of simultaneous disruption of dysbindin and the gene encoding the COMT enzyme, which plays a central role in the degradation of DA in PFC. They showed that while disruption of either dysbindin or COMT alone produced an improvement in working memory performance in the discrete paired-trial variable-delay T-maze task, mice with disruption of both genes demonstrated impaired working memory performance. These authors demonstrated a similar epistatic interaction on working memory performance and accompanying activation of PFC in humans. Based on the literature, the behavioral effects of this genetic interaction in both human and murine working memory paradigms reflect the effects of both genes on D1/D2R signalling in PFC. The same authors recently reported, in both mice and patients with schizophrenia, an interaction between functional variation in both dysbindin and D3R genes and working memory and executive function performance (Leggio et al., 2019). Specifically, simultaneous reduction of D3R and dysbindin function was associated with improved performance in tasks accessing these cognitive domains. It was shown that this epistatic interaction was associated with a shift in the balance between D2R and D3R receptor expression in the PFC, leading to an increase in D2R signalling in that brain region.

Dysbindin-1A Mutant Mice

We have recently described the generation of a genetic mouse model with isoform-specific deletion of dysbindin-1A protein (Petit et al., 2017). Initial phenotypic characterization showed sexually dimorphic phenotypes, with female knockouts (KO) being more reactive to stressful situations and male KO showing increased exploration during initial exposure to a novel environment that may be related to some disruption in habituation and dysregulation of hippocampus-dependent working memory function. No effect of genotype was observed during acquisition or during performance in long-term (olfactory) memory or either a conventional spatial working memory task (spontaneous alternation) or a low-interference delay-dependent working memory task; however, in a high-interference task variant, male KO mutants showed impairment in vulnerability to interference (Petit et al., 2017).

Dysbindin-1B Mutant Mice

Another recent study, which involved a transgenic mouse model expressing the human dysbindin-1B isoform, documented the presence of middle- and late-stage apoptosis in the hippocampus of dysbindin-1B mutants; in a T-maze alternation task, these mutants also showed deficits in working memory (Yang and Xu, 2017). Dysbindin-1B expression was also shown to impair spatial learning in the Morris water maze (Yang et al., 2016).
Dysbindin Gain-of-Function Mutant Mice
A further study described the behavioral phenotype of a gain-of-function dysbindin mutant (Shintani et al., 2014). Specifically, these authors generated a transgenic model that expressed the human dysbindin-1A isoform. Such mutants displayed unaltered sensory or motor behavior and no changes in anxiety, sensorimotor gating, or exploratory behavior; they evidenced heightened locomotor responsivity to methamphetamine and upregulation and downregulation of several genes in PFC, including decreases in Arc and Egr2 expression.

Spp Dysbindin Mutant Mice
Most recently, behavioral and cognitive phenotypes have been investigated in mice containing a single point mutation in the salt and pepper (spp) allele of DTNBP1 (Chang et al., 2018). While spp mutants did not exhibit any deficits in working memory (T-maze alternation task), some deficits in aspects of recognition memory performance were observed. These mice also demonstrated a reduction in the schizophrenia-associated SNAP-25 protein in PFC. No data are available regarding relative isoform expression in the brains of spp mutants.

Dysbindin Mutation × Exposure to Environmental Risk Factors
Emphasis on genetic risk factors for schizophrenia should be tempered by evidence from investigations in twins (Hilker et al., 2018) and epidemiological studies indicating a role for environmental factors that may act both independently and via gene-environment interactions (Guloksuz et al., 2019). Therefore, animal models of neuropsychiatric disorders can and should investigate experimental induction of/exposure to nongenetic risk factors, including psychosocial stress and biological insults. Though there is some debate around common pathological mechanisms underlying the impact of such manipulations on schizophrenia-related phenotypes (Bradshaw and Korth, 2019), a combination of dysbindin gene mutation and postnatal exposure to Poly I:C (a model of immune activation in relation to schizophrenia) has been investigated. In sdy/B6 mice, exposure to Poly I:C resulted in deficits in prepulse inhibition and recognition memory, together with findings contrary to expectations, such as reduced locomotion; sdy/B6 mice treated with Poly I:C also displayed attenuated contextual and cue-dependent fear conditioning memory (Al-Shammari et al., 2018).

DYSBINDIN-1 AND PUTATIVE TREATMENT STRATEGIES FOR COGNITIVE DYSFUNCTION IN SCHIZOPHRENIA
Consistent with the proposed role for the D2R in the PFC in mediating the putative effects of dysbindin variation on higher order cognitive processes (Papaleo et al., 2012; Scheggia et al., 2018; Leggio et al., 2019), a series of elegant translational studies have shown that patients and mice with genetic variation associated with decreased dysbindin-1 expression demonstrate improved responsivity to the effects of antipsychotic medication on executive function (Scheggia et al., 2018). Mechanistic interrogation of this interaction revealed that the cognitive response to antipsychotics was mediated by enhanced presynaptic D2R in PFC (Scheggia et al., 2018). A subsequent study revealed a putative role for epistatic D3R-dysbindin-1 interaction and executive function deficits in schizophrenia, highlighting the viability of D3R modulation as a treatment target for cognitive dysfunction (Leggio et al., 2019).

As outlined above, dysbindin disruption has also been proposed to underlie cognitive impairment observed in patients with Duchenne muscular dystrophy. A recent study investigated the effects of treatment with the cacao flavonoid (-)-epicatechin on brain dysbindin levels in the mdx mutant, a genetic mouse model of Duchenne muscular dystrophy; such treatment partially reversed genotype-dependent reductions in protein levels of the dystrophin-associated protein complex as well as dysbindin protein in PFC (Estrada-Mena et al., 2017). It was not reported whether this partial recovery was also observed at a behavioral level.

Investigation of transcriptional responses of developing hippocampal neurons in sdy/B6 mutants revealed not only the characteristic GABAergic interneuron deficiency but also changes in expression of the cation-chloride cotransporters NKCC1 and KCC2 during hippocampal development (Larimore et al., 2017). NKCC1 and KCC2 expression changes have been documented in the brains of patients with schizophrenia (Hyde et al., 2011; Sullivan et al., 2015) and NKCC1 agents, including the NKCC1 chloride antagonist bumetanide, have been studied in schizophrenia. Bumetanide reduced hallucinations in a case of schizophrenia (Lemonnier et al., 2016) and in a randomized, double-blind placebo-controlled clinical trial bumetanide treatment reduced hallucinations (Rahmanzadeh et al., 2017a) but did not exert broad antipsychotic activity (Rahmanzadeh et al., 2017b); cognition was not specifically investigated.

Consistent with the observed involvement of dysbindin in hippocampal and PFC glutamatergic function, a recent study investigated the impact of pharmacological enhancement of endogenous levels of brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) on dysbindin-related reduction of presynaptic calcium levels in PFC and social recognition memory in sdy/B6 mice (Saggu et al., 2013). Systemic treatment with fingolimod, a sphingosine 1-phosphate receptor modulator that has been shown to increase endogenous BDNF levels, attenuated deficits in recognition memory and sociability and in presynaptic calcium and BDNF levels in the PFC of sdy/B6 mice (Becker-Krail et al., 2017). BDNF levels in schizophrenia patients have been associated with impairment across multiple cognitive domains (Man et al., 2018; Yang et al., 2019). A recent review has highlighted that the BDNF gene should be prioritised for pharmacogenetic research into antipsychotic drugs and potential relevance to treatment response and adverse effects (Han and Deng, 2018).
Similarly, administration of CDPPB, a positive allosteric modulator of MGLuR5, restored short-term recognition and spatial memory deficits (Morris water maze) in sdy/B6 mice (Bhardwaj et al., 2015). Given their effects on glutamatergic signalling, and particularly on NMDA receptor activity, MGLuR5 modulators have been suggested to represent promising treatment targets for neuropsychiatric disorders that are characterized by cognitive deficits.

Expression of SREBP1, a sterol regulatory element binding protein (SREBP) that regulates the expression of genes implicated in biosynthesis of fatty acids, cholesterol, triglycerides, and phospholipids, is reduced in sdy/B6 mice and in schizophrenia patients; activation of SREBP1 and Arc, a protein implicated in memory and cognition, is reduced in sdy/B6 mice and both of these deficits were restored by treatment with clozapine, suggesting a link with cognitive dysfunction (Chen et al., 2016).

DYSBINDIN-1 AND BEYOND

Given the indicated role for dysbindin-1 in the pathophysiology of schizophrenia and associated cognitive impairment, it will be heuristic to search for drug targets and molecules that might influence its expression and functionality. Those studies that indicate relationships between dysbindin-1 function, neuronal and behavioral processes associated with the pathology of psychotic illness and responsivity to current D2R antagonist antipsychotic drugs are particularly provocative in this regard; this is because they offer the prospect of clues to identifying non-DAergic mechanisms of antipsychotic activity. Importantly, these concepts generalize beyond dysbindin-1 to other developmental regulators. For example, in addition to identifying a role for dysbindin-1A in the regulation of schizophrenia-related behavioral processes, including specialised delay/interference-dependent working memory (Petit et al., 2017), we have recently identified a role for the developmental gene and regulatory protein neueregulin-1 (NRG1) in a triad with miRNA-143 and D2R that was revealed through investigation of schizophrenia-related behavioral abnormalities induced by phencyclidine; miRNA-143 directly targeted to the 3’ un-translated region of NRG1 mRNA to reduce protein expression of NRG1 and the D2R modulated expression of NRG1 in PFC (Wang et al., 2019).

The issues reviewed above constitute a substantive basis for such a search. Furthermore, they offer tantalising glimpses into mechanisms and putative target sites relating to DYNB1P1/dysbindin-1A and how these concepts might generalize to a broader spectrum of developmental genes and regulatory proteins implicated in the pathobiology of schizophrenia spectrum psychosis.
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