Physical activity, patient-reported symptoms, and clinical events: Insights into postprocedural recovery from personal digital devices
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BACKGROUND Personal digital devices may offer insights into patient recovery and an approach for remote monitoring after procedures.

OBJECTIVE To examine associations between activity measured using personal digital devices, patient-reported outcome measures (PROMs), and clinical events among patients after catheter ablation for atrial fibrillation (AF) or bariatric surgery.

METHODS We aggregated personal digital device, PROM, and electronic health record data in a study conducted at 2 health systems. We used Fitbit devices for step count assessments, KardiaMobile for cardiac rhythm assessments, and PROMs for pain and palpitations over 5 weeks.

RESULTS Among 59 patients, 30 underwent AF ablation and 29 bariatric surgery. Thirty-six patients (63%) reported pain. There was no difference in median [interquartile range] daily steps between patients with and those without pain (4419 [3286–7041] vs 3498 [2609–5888]; P = .23). Among AF ablation patients, 21 (70%) reported palpitations. Median daily steps were lower among those with palpitations than among those without (4668 [3021–6116] vs 8040 [6853–10,394]; P = .03). When accounting for within-subject correlation, recordings of AF were associated with a significant mean decrease in median daily steps (−351; 95% confidence interval −524 to −177; P < .01). Patients who received a new antiarrhythmic drug prescription had AF recorded in a median of 5 [5–5] of 5 total weeks, whereas patients who did not receive a new antiarrhythmic recorded AF in a median of 1 [0–3] week (P = .02).

CONCLUSION Personal digital device and PROM data can provide insight into postprocedural recovery outside of usual clinical settings and may inform follow-up and clinical decision-making. (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT03436082)
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Introduction

Personal digital devices, such as Apple Watch® (Apple Inc, Cupertino, CA), Fitbit® (Fitbit, Inc, San Francisco, CA), digital blood pressure cuffs, and mobile electrocardiographic (ECG) devices (eg, KardiaMobile™, AliveCor, Inc, Mountain View, CA), represent novel data sources that can offer insight into patient experiences outside of clinical settings. These devices have been used to characterize physical activity after specific procedures, such as cardiac and orthopedic surgery.1–3 Lower step counts have been associated with postsurgical
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patient outcomes. An opportunity to monitor and improve postprocedural tracking of postprocedural recovery and, therefore, provide fashion, such digital approaches also allow near real-time Because these data can be captured in near continuous analysis of combined data sources may help to better understand postprocedural recovery and could aid in early detection of clinical deterioration.

Given the growing accessibility of personal digital devices and digital health applications, such analyses are increasingly feasible. Furthermore, novel mobile health (mHealth) technologies can overcome current data siloes by aggregating multiple types of health data from different sources, including patient-generated health data from personal digital devices, patient-reported outcome measure (PROM) data, electronic health record (EHR) data, and pharmacy data. Because these data can be captured in near continuous fashion, such digital approaches also allow near real-time tracking of postprocedural recovery and, therefore, provide an opportunity to monitor and improve postprocedural patient outcomes.

Atrial fibrillation (AF) ablation and bariatric surgery are 2 increasingly common procedures and thus are well suited for examination of postprocedural recovery enabled by personal digital device data. First, catheter ablation is used for rhythm control of AF, the most common cardiac arrhythmia, which is associated with worse quality of life. Although early recurrence (within 90 days) of AF is not considered treatment failure, it is associated with long-term recurrence. Moreover, compared to asymptomatic documented recurrence, early symptomatic AF recurrence has a stronger association with symptomatic long-term recurrence. Previous studies have characterized early AF recurrence using clinical tools such as Holter monitors, transtelephonic wireless ECGs, implantable cardiac monitors, and, more recently, mobile ECG devices. However, the association of heart rhythms detected by these devices with patient-reported symptoms has not yet been studied. Second, bariatric surgery promotes weight loss and improves metabolic disease and cardiovascular risk factors. Previous studies that characterized activity, quality of life, and weight loss after bariatric surgery collected data only at specific postprocedure timepoints. These studies showed an association between increased physical activity from pre- to post-bariatric surgery with mid- and long-term weight loss. Short-term postprocedure weight loss also predicts long-term weight loss. However, no studies have used continuous step count recording during the initial postprocedure period to examine the association of activity with weight loss immediately postprocedure. Using continuously aggregated data from novel personal digital devices provides an opportunity to better understand the associations of patient symptoms and activity in early postprocedural recovery.

We recently demonstrated the feasibility of prospectively aggregating real-world data from multiple sources, including personal digital device, PROM, EHR, and pharmacy data, as part of a cohort study of 60 patients undergoing catheter-based AF ablation or bariatric surgery. We included 2 different procedures in our study to better understand the generalizability of our research approach in multiple patient populations. However, the content of these data was not evaluated to provide insights into postprocedural recovery. Accordingly, we analyzed these data to study the association of activity with patient-reported symptoms and clinically significant events, including emergency department visits, hospitalizations, and new medication prescriptions. Additionally, among patients receiving AF ablation, we examined the association of activity and symptoms with objective rhythm assessments using a mobile ECG device.

Methods
Study design
We conducted an 8-week prospective cohort study of ambulatory patients undergoing ablation for AF or bariatric surgery at 2 academic medical centers, using a novel patient-centered health data sharing platform to aggregate personal digital device, PROM, EHR, and pharmacy (including CVS and Walgreens) data. These methods have been previously described. For this study, we analyzed data from the first 5 weeks postprocedure, as the pertinent PROM questionnaires did not extend past this point. Patients provided written informed consent and were enrolled in the study before their procedure. This study received institutional review board approval at Yale University and Mayo Clinic. The research reported in this article adhered to the Helsinki Declaration as revised in 2013.

Step count assessment
All patients were asked to record their activity through step counts using a Fitbit at least once per week. Among patients
with at least 1 day of steps recorded during each week, we determined median daily steps for that week. Consistent with previous studies, any days with fewer than 500 steps recorded were considered to have incomplete data for that day and were excluded.\textsuperscript{22,23} We also determined median daily steps and the proportion of patients who recorded at least 500 steps during at least 1 day in the 5-week study period.

**PROM assessment**

Patients were asked about pain (all patients), palpitations (ablation patients only), and appetite (bariatric surgery patients only) through PROM questionnaires twice weekly for the first 5 weeks. We determined the number of patients who completed at least 1 PROM survey. Of these patients, we determined the proportion who reported pain at least once. Among ablation patients, we also determined the proportion who reported palpitations at least once. Among all patients, we determined the proportion who reported pain at least once. Among ablation patients, we also determined the proportion who reported palpitations at least once.

**Mobile ECG device reading (AF ablation patients)**

Ablation patients were asked to record an ECG using a KardiaMobile (mobile ECG device) at least once per week; patients could perform additional recordings if they wanted. We determined the proportion of AF ablation patients who performed at least 1 mobile ECG recording during the 5 weeks postprocedure. We determined the total number of recordings, the median per patient (including patients who did not perform a recording), and the proportion that was usable (ie, detected as normal sinus rhythm or AF and not as “undetermined,” “too short,” or “no analysis”) during the first 5 weeks postprocedure.

We then examined the content of the recordings, determining the proportion of patients who had at least 1 episode of AF recorded. Among these patients, we determined the median number of total recordings, median number of AF recordings, and median proportion of all usable recordings that were AF.

**Digital scale readings (bariatric surgery patients)**

Bariatric surgery patients were asked to weigh themselves using a Withings Body\textsuperscript{TM} (Withings SA, Issy-les-Moulineaux, France) digital weight scale at least once per week; patients could perform additional recordings if they wanted. We determined the proportion of patients who recorded at least 1 weight in both weeks 1 and 5 postprocedure. Among these patients, we determined the percentage body weight lost during that time period and then the median percentage body weight lost across all patients.

**Clinical event (all patients) and antiarrhythmic medications (AF ablation patients) assessment**

EHR and pharmacy data, including hospital encounters and medication prescriptions, were aggregated. We identified patients with an emergency department or inpatient encounter during the first 5 weeks postprocedure. Among ablation patients, we identified patients who received a new Class IC or Class III antiarrhythmic drug prescription at least 1 week postablation. We expected prescriptions at discharge, including those filled within the first postprocedure week, to likely be prescribed to prevent AF recurrence.\textsuperscript{24}

**Statistical analysis**

*Association between postprocedure week and step count*

We conducted a longitudinal analysis of the association of postprocedure week and median daily step count using a generalized estimating equation (GEE) with first-order autoregressive correlation structure. The dependent variable was median daily step count for each week, the variable of interest was postprocedure week, and we used a negative binomial distribution with log link. We included demographic variables (age, sex, health system) and procedure type (AF ablation or bariatric surgery). We used robust sandwich estimator for standard errors. The GEE method accounts for within-subject correlation of the observed outcomes and does not exclude an entire patient’s data if they are missing some observations.

*Association between PROMs and step count*

We compared median daily step counts between patients who did and those who did not report pain and palpitations using the Wilcoxon rank-sum test. We conducted a longitudinal analysis of the association of pain with median daily step count during the 5 weeks postprocedure with a GEE using the same methods described earlier. The variables of interest were (1) if a patient reported pain during a given week and (2) the interaction between the report of pain and the number of weeks postprocedure. We also used identical methods to examine the association of palpitations with median daily step count among patients who received AF ablation.

*Association between mobile ECG recordings and step count*

We compared median daily steps between patients with and those without recorded AF episodes using the Wilcoxon rank-sum test. We also conducted a longitudinal analysis of the association of number of AF recordings with median daily step count using a GEE, utilizing the same methods described for longitudinal analyses of the associations of pain and palpitations with median daily step count.

*Association between PROMs and mobile ECG recordings*

Among patients who performed at least 1 usable mobile ECG recording, we compared the median number of total recordings performed by patients with and those without recorded AF episodes using the Wilcoxon rank-sum test. To analyze the association between weeks with palpitations reported and AF or normal rhythm mobile ECG recordings, we constructed a GEE using exchange correlation structure. The dependent variable was report of palpitations, and we used a logistic regression with population-averaged estimator. The variable of interest was whether AF was detected, and we included demographic variables (age, sex, health system). We used robust sandwich estimators for standard errors.
We analyzed the association between median daily steps and percentage body weight lost over 5 weeks using linear regression, controlling for age and sex. Procedure site (Yale or Mayo Clinic) was omitted due to collinearity. We also compared the percentage body weight lost by patients who did and those who did not report having an appetite during the 5-week follow-up period using the Wilcoxon rank-sum test. We also used the Wilcoxon rank-sum test to compare the median number of total and AF mobile ECG recordings performed by patients who received a new antiarrhythmic drug prescription and those who did not. These analyses included patients who performed no mobile ECG recordings. We used the Wilcoxon rank-sum test to compare the proportion of weeks with AF recording(s) between patients who received a new antiarrhythmic prescription and those who did not.

Statistical analyses were conducted using Excel Version 14.1.3 (Microsoft, Redmond, WA) and Stata Version 15.1 (StataCorp, College Station, TX).

**Results**

**Study population characteristics**

Of the 60 patients in the cohort study, 59 underwent their assigned procedure (30 ablation and 29 bariatric surgery). Twelve of 30 ablation patients (40%) and 22 of 29 bariatric surgery patients (76%) were female. Median [interquartile range] patient age was 64 [56–71] years for the ablation group and 44 [39–57] years for the bariatric surgery group. The ablation group was predominantly male (60%), while the bariatric surgery group was nearly evenly split between male and female (52% male and 48% female). The median number of total steps recorded was 5132 [3131–7041] for the ablation group and 3734 [2555–5746] for the bariatric surgery group. Among patients who recorded steps, the median daily step count was 5132 [3131–7041] for the ablation group and 3734 [2555–5746] for the bariatric surgery group.

**Table 1** Patient cohort characteristics by procedure

|                        | AF ablation patients | Bariatric surgery patients |
|------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|
| Total                  | 30                   | 29                         |
| Sex                    |                      |                            |
| Male                   | 18 (60)              | 7 (24)                     |
| Female                 | 12 (40)              | 22 (76)                    |
| Age (y)                | 64 [56–71]           | 44 [39–57]                 |
| Site                   |                      |                            |
| Mayo Clinic            | 15 (50)              | 15 (52)                    |
| Yale–New Haven Hospital| 15 (50)              | 14 (48)                    |
| Recorded steps*        |                      |                            |
| Yes                    | 21 (70)              | 26 (90)                    |
| No                     | 9 (30)               | 3 (10)                     |
| Daily steps†           | 5132 [3131–7041]     | 3734 [2555–5746]           |
| Reported pain          |                      |                            |
| Yes                    | 17 (57)              | 19 (66)                    |
| No                     | 12 (40)              | 9 (31)                     |
| Did not complete PROM  | 1 (3)                | 1 (3)                      |
| Reported palpitations  |                      | N/A                        |
| Yes                    | 21 (70)              |                            |
| No                     | 8 (27)               | 8 (28)                     |
| Did not complete PROM  | 1 (3)                | N/A                        |
| Reported appetite      |                      | N/A                        |
| Yes                    | 18 (60)              | 20 (69)                    |
| No                     | 7 (23)               | 8 (28)                     |
| Did not complete PROM  | 5 (17)               | 1 (3)                      |
| Emergency department or inpatient encounter | 3 (10) | 4 (14) |
| No                     | 27 (90)              | 25 (86)                    |
| New antiarrhythmic drug prescription | 3 (10) | N/A |
| Yes                    | 27 (90)              | N/A                        |

*Values are given as n, n (%), or median [interquartile range].
*AF = atrial fibrillation; N/A = not applicable; PROM = patient-reported outcome measure.
*Reports whether or not the patient recorded at least 500 steps during at least 1 day over the 5-week follow-up period.
†Among patients who recorded steps.
Table 2  Median step count in patients with and without reported pain, reported palpitations, atrial fibrillation recordings, and emergency department or inpatient encounter

| Outcome                                      | Patients with outcome | Patients without outcome | P value |
|----------------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|---------|
|                                              | N         | Median steps [IQR]       | N         | Median steps [IQR]       |         |
| Pain‡                                        | 30        | 4419 [3286–7041]        | 16        | 3498 [2609–5888]        | .23     |
| Palpitations                                 | 18        | 4668 [3021–6116]        | 3         | 8040 [6853–10,394]      | .03*    |
| Atrial fibrillation‡                         | 15        | 5132 [3286–7041]        | 6         | 4937 [3017–7198]        | .88     |
| Emergency department or inpatient encounter  | 5         | 4467 [1936–5421]        | 42        | 3811 [2754–6853]        | .56     |

IQR = interquartile range.
*Statistically significant result, P < .05.
†Number of patients who reported pain includes both ablation patients and bariatric surgery patients, whereas the other outcomes only include patients who underwent ablation.
‡Mobile electrocardiographic recordings detected as atrial fibrillation.

range) age of ablation patients was 64 [56–71] years and of bariatric surgery patients was 44 [39–57] years (Table 1).

Step count assessment
Of the 59 patients, 47 (80%) recorded at least 500 steps during at least 1 day within the first 5 weeks of follow-up. Using data from these days, median daily step count was 4099 [2754–6853]; 5132 [3131–7041] among patients who underwent AF ablation and 3734 [2555–5746] among those who underwent bariatric surgery (Table 1). Median daily step count increased over time for both AF ablation and bariatric surgery patients (Supplemental Figures 1 and 2, respectively). When accounting for within-subject correlation of observations, each postprocedure week had a statistically significant marginal effect on median daily step count. Compared to week 1, postprocedure week 2 was associated with a median marginal increase of 1753 (95% confidence interval [CI] 969–2538; P < .01) steps; week 3 with 2079 (95% CI 1377–2782; P < .01) steps; week 4 with 2518 (95% CI 1694–3342; P < .01) steps; and week 5 with 2856 (95% CI 1995–3716; P < .01) steps.

PROM assessment
Fifty-seven patients (97%) completed at least 1 pain PROM, 36 (63%) of whom reported pain at least once. Twenty-nine of the 30 ablation patients (97%) completed at least 1 palpitations PROM, 21 (72%) of whom reported palpitations at least once. Twenty-eight of the 29 bariatric surgery patients (97%) completed at least 1 appetite PROM, 20 (71%) of whom reported having an appetite at least once (Table 1). There was no significant difference in median steps per day between patients who reported pain at least once (4419

Table 3  GEE analysis of association of pain, palpitations, and AF episode with median daily step count

| Independent variable: Pain | Independent variable: Palpitations | Independent variable: AF |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| No                         | Reference                         | N/A                      |
| Yes                        | 374 (–490 to 1237)                | 126 (–536 to 788)        | −351 (−524 to −177)*    |
| Week 1                     | Reference                         | Reference                | 1462 (346–2578)*        |
| 2                          | 1837 (936–2738)*                  | 1581 (901–2261)*         | 2257 (1139–3375)*       |
| 3                          | 2109 (1246–2971)*                 | 2290 (1352–3228)*        | 2622 (1411–3835)*       |
| 4                          | 2532 (1445–3620)*                 | 2698 (1569–3827)*        | 2811 (1836–3786)*       |
| 5                          | 3024 (1878–4170)*                 | 2756 (1465–4048)*        |                         |
| Sex                        | Male                              | Reference                | 2690 (−4670 to −710)    |
| Female                     | −2381 (−4113 to −649)*            | −2569 (−5408 to 270)     | −7 (−91 to 77)          |
| Age‡                       | 2 (−84 to 88)                     | −3.2 (−116 to 110)       |                         |
| Site                       | Yale–New Haven Hospital           | Reference                | 917 (−3067 to 1232)     |
| Mayo Clinic                | −1229 (−3271 to 812)              | −1913 (−4853 to 1026)    |                         |
| Procedure                  | Bariatric surgery                 | N/A                      | N/A                     |
| AF ablation                | −1348 (−4204 to −1508)            | N/A                      | N/A                     |

AF = atrial fibrillation; CI = confidence interval; GEE = generalized estimating equation; N/A = not applicable.
*P < .05.
†Marginal steps per each additional year of age.
and those who never reported pain (3498 [2609–5888]; P = .23) (Table 2). These results were consistent when limited to ablation patients only and to bariatric surgery patients only. When accounting for within-subject correlation of observations, reporting pain during the week did not have a significant marginal effect on median daily steps (374; 95% CI 125.40 to 1237; P = .40) compared to those not reporting pain during the week (Table 3). Figure 1 shows the change in median daily steps per week based on the presence or absence of reported pain.

Median steps per day was significantly lower among ablation patients who reported palpitations at least once (4668 [3021–6116]) compared to those who never reported palpitations (8040 [6853–10,394]; P = .03) (Table 2). When accounting for within-subject correlation of observations during the week did not have a significant marginal effect on median daily steps (126; 95% CI –536 to 735; P = .71) compared to not reporting palpitations during the week (Table 3). Figure 2 shows the change in median daily steps per week based on the presence or absence of reported palpitations.

**Mobile ECG recording assessment (AF ablation patients)**

Twenty-five ablation patients (83%) performed mobile ECG recordings at least once. A total of 891 recordings were performed, 702 (79%) of which were usable. Of the patients who performed recordings, the median number of usable recordings per patient was 20 [5–37].

Of the 25 patients with usable recordings, 18 (72%) had at least 1 episode of recorded AF. Median number of total mobile ECG recordings per patient with at least 1 AF recording was 39 [6–73] compared to 12 [4–41] among patients with no AF in any mobile ECG recording (P = .11) (Table 4). Among the 18 patients with at least 1 episode of AF, a median 26% [8%–50%] of these patients’ recordings showed AF.

Among patients who performed at least 1 usable mobile ECG recording, there was no difference in median overall steps per day among those who had at least 1 episode of AF recorded (5132 [3286–7041]; n = 15) and those who never had AF recorded (4937 [3017–7198]; n = 6) (P = .88) (Table 2). When accounting for within-subject correlation of observations, each AF episode during the week was associated with a significant marginal mean effect of –351 (95% CI –524 to –177; P < .01) median daily steps in that week (Table 3). An AF episode had a significantly negative mean marginal effect on median daily step count in postprocedure week 1 (–289; 95% CI –436 to –141; P < .01), week 4 (–224; 95% CI –385 to –62; P = .01), and week 5 (–948; 95% CI –1257 to –638; P < .01), and a nonsignificantly negative mean marginal effect on median daily step count in the other postprocedure weeks (Figure 3).

Twenty patients reported palpitations and performed at least 1 usable mobile ECG recording during 47 total weeks. In 23 of these 47 weeks (49%), the rhythm was AF, whereas in 24 (51%), the rhythm was sinus. The presence of an AF recording in a week was not significantly associated with a patient report of palpitations in the same week (odds ratio 2.60; 95% CI 0.85–7.96) (Supplemental Table 1).

**Digital weight scale recording assessment (bariatric surgery patients)**

Twenty-two bariatric surgery patients (76%) performed a digital weight scale recording at least once. Of these patients, 11 (50%) recorded their weight in both weeks 1 and 5 postprocedure. Median percentage of weight lost during this time period was 6.1% [4.9%–7.8%].

Of the 11 patients who recorded their weight in both weeks 1 and 5, 10 (91%) also recorded at least 500 steps on at least 1 day during the postprocedure period. Each additional 1% weight lost between weeks 1 and 5 had a nonsignificant mean marginal effect of 658 (95% CI –1945 to 3263) steps (P = .56) (Supplemental Table 2). All patients who recorded their weight in both weeks 1 and 5 also completed at least 1 appetite PROM; 6 (55%) reported having an appetite

---

**Figure 1** Average predicted median daily step count for patients during weeks with and without pain. CI = confidence interval.

**Figure 2** Average predicted median daily step count for weeks with and without palpitations. CI = confidence interval.
at least once, and 5 (45%) did not report having an appetite. There was no difference in median percentage body weight lost in those who reported having an appetite (6.5% [4.9%-7.8%]) and those who did not (6.1% [5.3%-7.8%]) \( (P = .58) \).

**Clinical events (all patients) and new antiarrhythmic medication prescriptions (AF ablation patients)**

Seven patients (12%) (3 ablation and 4 bariatric surgery) had an emergency department stay or hospitalization. Of the 30 ablation patients, 3 (10%) were prescribed a new antiarrhythmic medication more than 1 week after ablation. Two of these 3 patients (67%) recorded at least 1 AF episode every week before the prescription, whereas the other patient performed no mobile ECG recordings.

There was no statistically significant difference in steps per day between patients who had an emergency department or inpatient encounter (4467 [1936–5421]; \( n = 5 \)) and those who did not (3811 [2754–6853]; \( n = 42 \)) \( (P = .56) \) (Table 2).

There was no significant difference between the total number of mobile ECG recordings among patients who received a new antiarrhythmic medication prescription (20 [IQR 0–45]; \( n = 3 \)) and those who did not (12 [4–45]; \( n = 27 \)) \( (P = .81) \) (Table 4). There was a nonsignificantly higher median number of AF recordings performed by patients who received a new antiarrhythmic medication prescription (23 [16–29]; \( n = 2 \)) compared to those who did not (2 [0–3]; \( n = 23 \)) \( (P = .05) \). Median number of weeks with AF recording(s) was significantly higher for patients with a new antiarrhythmic prescription (5 [5–5]; \( n = 2 \) patients) than those without (1 [0–3]; \( n = 23 \) patients) \( (P = .02) \).

**Discussion**

This study demonstrates that personal digital device data and PROMs can inform our understanding of postprocedural patient recovery after AF ablation and bariatric surgery. We did not find a significant difference in median daily step count between patients who reported pain or AF and those who did not. However, we did find a significant association between weeks with AF recordings and decreased median daily step count. This could indicate that comparisons utilizing granular patient-level data with intraindividual comparisons and trajectories may inform us about recovery better than broad comparisons among different patients.

Our study demonstrates that personal digital devices may provide information about the relationships between activity, symptoms, and clinical events. Previous studies showed that patients have lower activity measured by implantable cardioverter-defibrillators during AF episodes compared to before or after the episode.\(^{25}\) We found a similar association of AF episodes recorded by a mobile ECG device with lower step counts. We did not find an association between pain and physical activity, possibly because pain is unexpected after AF ablation.

Mobile ECG devices have been shown to detect AF recurrence after ablation or cardioversion earlier than standard of care but have not necessarily led to earlier treatment, presumably because data from these devices are not routinely integrated into clinical care.\(^{14}\) We found that patients who recorded at least 1 AF episode during each of the 5 weeks of follow-up were prescribed an antiarrhythmic medication. Similarly, a higher number of weeks with AF recordings and a nonsignificant increase in total AF episodes were recorded by patients who received an antiarrhythmic prescription compared to those who did not. Although the numbers are small, these results suggest that rhythm determination

---

**Table 4** Median number of total mobile ECG recordings performed by all patients and by patients with and without at least 1 AF recording, an emergency department or inpatient encounter, and a new antiarrhythmic medication prescription (ablation patients only)

| Independent variable | Patients with occurrence of independent variable | Patients without occurrence of independent variable |
|----------------------|------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
|                      | N     | Median [IQR]   | N     | Median [IQR]   | \( P \) value |
| All patients         | 30    | 16 [4–45]     | 7     | 12 [4–41]     | .11          |
| AF                   | 18    | 39 [6–73]     | 27    | 12 [4–45]     | .76          |
| Emergency department or inpatient encounter | 3     | 38 [0–40]     | 27    | 12 [4–45]     | .81          |
| New antiarrhythmic medication prescription | 3     | 20 [0–45]     | 27    | 12 [4–45]     | .81          |

\( AF = \) atrial fibrillation; ECG = electrocardiography; IQR = interquartile range.

---

**Figure 3** Marginal effect of an atrial fibrillation episode recorded on a mobile electrocardiographic device on median daily step count. CI = confidence interval.
from personal digital devices may have utility in determining clinically meaningful AF recurrence immediately postablation and that these data could alert clinicians of events that require treatment. Integration of activity and symptom data with rhythm data from mobile ECG devices could inform earlier postablation rhythm control interventions, thereby potentially improving patient quality of life and possibly reducing the risk of long-term AF recurrence. Future studies should examine the potential for streaming personal digital device data, such as step counts and mobile ECG devices, to monitor patient recovery when paired with patient-reported outcomes, particularly when compared to preprocedural data. Tools that incorporate these multiple parameters may have significant potential to identify trajectories of recovery and detect possible complications before clinical manifestations.

It is important to ensure that patients use devices and share data frequently enough to aid clinical decision-making. Our previous analysis of this cohort study found that weekly Fitbit syncs fell from 47 patients (80%) in week 1 to 34 patients (58%) at week 8; 15 ablation patients (50%) performed mobile ECG recordings every week; and only 11 bariatric surgery patients (38%) recorded a weight every week. Interestingly, among patients receiving AF ablation, data from Fitbit were shared more often than KardiaMobile data, possibly because the Fitbit records steps automatically whereas KardiaMobile requires manual effort to perform a recording. We also found lower adherence to weight recordings among bariatric surgery patients than adherence to KardiaMobile recordings in AF patients. One possible reason is that AF symptoms could prompt patients to perform a KardiaMobile recording, compared to patients needing to remember to perform a weight recording. In the future, use of devices with automated functions may help improve adherence.

Additionally, device adherence may itself be an indicator of postprocedural recovery. Patients were instructed to use their mobile ECG device at least once weekly, and patients with AF recordings had a higher median number of total recordings. Although not significant, patients with postablation emergency department or inpatient visits and new antiarhythmic medication prescriptions also had more recordings. These findings suggest that patients with AF or acute clinical conditions may be more likely to use their mobile ECG device to monitor their arrhythmia; both usage and content could provide early signals of potential clinical events. Another possibility is that patients may seem to have higher disease burden because they perform more recordings on their mobile ECG device (and therefore detect more AF episodes).

The increased use of telehealth and telemonitoring during the COVID-19 pandemic has increased the salience of personal digital device data in clinical decision-making, highlighting how these devices could inform postprocedural follow-up in the absence of in-person clinical encounters. For example, patients who are detected to be in sinus rhythm by a mobile ECG device, whose activity levels remain stable based on step count recorded by a personal digital device, and who report a lack of symptoms on PROMs may be able to avoid in-person follow-up after AF ablation. Similarly, the increasing emphasis on same-day discharge for procedures for which patients were generally hospitalized in the past could lead to the need for greater home monitoring through personal digital devices and PROMs. Digital health interventions have been shown to improve postdischarge follow-up and reduce health care costs. These devices may decrease patient burden while potentially improving outcomes through early detection of clinical deterioration. Although personal digital device and PROM data are not commonly a part of routine clinical care because of a lack of EHR integration, Medicare has augmented reimbursement for remote patient monitoring, and treatment paradigms may increase use. Future research analyzing larger samples and more granular personal digital device data may further elucidate meaningful associations with patient outcomes and guide clinical practice.

Study limitations
First, although our results did not show a statistically significant difference in some outcome measures, our sample size was small and may have been underpowered. More data could better help to phenotype patients. Second, there were varying levels of data completeness, which is common to many real-world data studies, and methods are needed to handle missingness and appropriately impute data while supporting strategies to improve patient adherence. Third, we relied on mobile ECG recordings for diagnosis of AF instead of traditional sources such as 12-lead ECGs. However, these devices are increasingly being used for rhythm monitoring, and KardiaMobile has Food and Drug Administration 510(k) clearance to record ECGs and detect normal sinus rhythm and AF in adults.

Conclusion
We collected data from personal digital devices, PROMs, and EHRs for 5 weeks after AF ablation and bariatric surgery to analyze associations between activity, symptoms, and clinical events. Although we did not find significant differences in activity between patients based on some symptom and personal digital device data, we found an inverse association between AF episodes recorded and activity. Our results demonstrate that the associations between personal digital device, PROM, EHR, and pharmacy data may provide insight into postprocedural recovery and inform follow-up and clinical decision-making.
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