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Recent experimental observations of apparently hydrodynamic electronic transport have generated much excitement. However, the understanding of the observed non-local transport (whirlpool) effects and parabolic (Poiseuille-like) current profiles has largely been motivated by a phenomenological analogy to classical fluids. This is due to difficulty in incorporating strong correlations in quantum mechanical calculation of transport, which has been the primary angle for interpreting the apparently hydrodynamic transport. Here we demonstrate that even free fermion systems, in the presence of (inevitable) disorder, exhibit non-local conductivity effects such as those observed in experiment because of the fermionic system’s long-range entangled nature. On the basis of explicit calculations of the conductivity at finite wavevector, \( \sigma(q) \), for selected weakly disordered free fermion systems, we propose experimental strategies for demonstrating distinctive quantum effects in non-local transport at odds with the expectations of classical kinetic theory. Our results imply that the observation of whirlpools or other “hydrodynamic” effects does not guarantee the dominance of electron-electron scattering over electron-impurity scattering.

Introduction – Recent experimental reports of peculiar transport phenomena in ULtraclean graphene\(^{1,2}\) and other materials\(^{3-6}\) have generated much excitement regarding the role of hydrodynamic transport in these experiments. In the absence of microscopic understanding of the hydrodynamic transport of electrons, these experiments have been interpreted largely through analogy with classical fluids. Although parabolic velocity profiles\(^4,5\) and whirlpools\(^4\) are familiar hydrodynamic phenomena in classical fluids, reliance on this analogy deprives us of an angle to learn the role of quantum mechanics in experiment. Most importantly, the question of the role of impurities, always present in materials, remains open although it has been clear that they complicate any analysis\(^7\).

Modern interest in the hydrodynamic theory of electronic transport was motivated by a sore need for a theoretical framework to describe quantum critical transport in a regime dominated by electron-electron scattering\(^1,12\). Exotic possibilities have been predicted for graphene near the charge neutrality point\(^1,13\), and electron viscosity has been linked to the strange metal normal state of cuprate superconductors\(^19\). However, a microscopic understanding of such hydrodynamic transport is challenging due to the inherent theoretical difficulty associated with the strongly correlated regime. Pioneering works used kinetic theory to calculate the shear viscosity for graphene\(^12,22\) and for 2D Fermi liquid\(^24\), yielding non-trivial predictions. However, as the role of (unavoidable) impurity scattering has primarily been treated phenomenologically via relaxation time approximations\(^18\), it has not been examined in microscopic detail.

In this paper, we evaluate the effects of impurity scattering, and identify signatures of the quantum nature of electrons, in the phenomena of whirlpool formation and parabolic current profiles. To do so, we explicitly calculate the non-local conductivity \( \sigma(q) \) for free electrons scattering off weak impurities. In contrast to a classical Maxwell-Boltzmann distributed gas, in which the shear viscosity is independent of density\(^25\), our principal result is that viscous effects have a distinctive dependence on carrier concentration. This arises because Fermi statistics introduces a density-dependent velocity scale \( v_F \sim \sqrt{\pi n} \) (in 2D) and restricts scattering to the vicinity of the Fermi surface, so that scattering is determined by the density of states. We map out experimental strategies to reveal the quantum nature near the bottom of band and in the vicinity of van Hove singularity.

Phenomenology and classical hydrodynamics – The phenomenological description of zero-frequency viscous transport\(^20\) extends Drude theory by including the kinematic shear viscosity (i.e. coefficient of momentum diffusion) as

\[
\mathbf{E} = A \left( \gamma - \nu \nabla^2 \right) \mathbf{J} \tag{1}
\]

where \( A \) is a dimensionful prefactor \( (m/(e\,\nu)^2) \) for Drude theory, \( \gamma \) is the current scattering rate, and \( \nu \) is the kinematic shear viscosity. This equation has a characteristic length scale \( r_d \equiv \sqrt{\nu/\gamma} \), which we dub the viscosity length scale. Note that in the limit of \( \gamma \rightarrow 0 \), Eq. (1) becomes a linearized Navier-Stokes equation (assuming \( \mathbf{J} \propto \mathbf{p} \)), with \( \nu \) the usual fluid viscosity\(^29\). Eq. (1) amounts to a Taylor expansion in momentum of the usual Drude response (at zero frequency). Hence this equation applies to any system with current; it is agnostic to whether the system is classical or quantum.

The existence of the length scale \( r_d \equiv \sqrt{\nu/\gamma} \), as-
the formula \( W \) flow down a rectangular channel of width \( J \). To see this, one can solve Eq. (1) for the local current density associated with the kinematic shear viscosity \( \nu \), immediately leading to familiar hydrodynamic phenomena of parabolic current profiles and whirlpool formation. To see this, one can solve Eq. (1) for the local current density \( J(\mathbf{r}) \). For no-slip boundary conditions, the longitudinal flow down a rectangular channel of width \( W \) is given by the formula \[ J_x(y) W = \left(1 - \frac{\cosh \frac{y}{r_d}}{\cosh \frac{W}{2r_d}}\right) \frac{1}{1 - \frac{2r_d^2}{W^2} \tanh \left(\frac{W^2}{2r_d^2}\right)} \] As shown in Fig. 1a, the flow profile is rectangular for \( r_d \ll W \) and parabolic for \( r_d \gg W \). If one instead injects current laterally across the channel, as shown in Fig. 1b, whirlpools of radius \( r_d \) will form.

For a 2D classical (Maxwell-Boltzmann) ideal gas of particles scattering off of dilute impurities, the velocity is set by temperature \( T \) via the equipartition theorem as \( v = \sqrt{2k_B T/m_\text{e}} \). Since the mean free path is set by the cross section \( \sigma_{\text{imp}} \) and the number density \( n_{\text{imp}} \) of impurities as \( l_{\text{imp}} \sim 1/(n_{\text{imp}} \sigma_{\text{imp}}) \), the scattering rate is \( \gamma = v/l_{\text{imp}} \), independent of gas density. Moreover, it is known \cite{weinstock1966} that the kinematic shear viscosity for weakly interacting classical gas is given by

\[ \nu \sim u l_{\text{imp}}. \] (3)

Hence in this classical system with impurities, the shear "viscosity" \( \nu \) (phenomenologically defined in Eq. (1)) and the vortex radius \( r_d \sim l_{\text{imp}} \) will be independent of the gas density as sketched in Fig. 2a.

**Model and Formalism**  The finite \( \mathbf{q} \) conductivity \( \sigma(\mathbf{q}) \) is related to the viscosity \( \nu \) by inverting Eq. (1), which in the limit of small momenta gives

\[ \mathbf{J} = (\sigma_0 - \sigma_2 \nabla^2) \mathbf{E} \] (4)

where \( \sigma_0 \) and \( \sigma_2 \) are the \( O(q^0) \) and \( O(q^2) \) pieces of \( \sigma(q) \), respectively; the term linear in \( q \) vanishes by inversion symmetry. These new parameters are related to the collision rate and viscosity of Eq. (1) as \( \sigma_0 = 1/(4\pi) \) and \( \sigma_2 = -\nu/(4\pi) \). In terms of \( \sigma_0 \) and \( \sigma_2 \), the viscosity length scale \( r_d \) is

\[ r_d \sim \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_0}} \] (5)

Of course, the conductivity \( \sigma^{ij} \) is in actuality a rank-2 tensor, and hence \( (\sigma_2)^{ij}_{ab} \) is a rank-4 tensor. We have suppressed the tensor indices because the relevant components are parametrically equivalent \cite{weinstock1966} and will be using at \(- (\sigma_2)^{xx}_{xx}/\sigma_0^{xx}\) as our estimate for \( r_d^2 \). Often, transport calculations are done in the \( q \to 0 \) limit. However, obtaining non-local transport phenomena requires calculating at finite \( \mathbf{q} \), in particular \( \sigma_2 \propto \nu \). The presence of finite \( \mathbf{q} \) significantly complicates the calculations \cite{weinstock1966} as it breaks spatial symmetries and introduces angular dependencies in the integrand.

For our microscopic fermion model with weak impurity scattering, we consider \( H = H_{\text{kin}} + H_{\text{imp}} \) with the kinetic
term $H_{\text{kin}}$ and the impurity potential $H_{\text{imp}}$ given by
\begin{equation}
H_{\text{kin}} = \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{\mathbf{i} \mathbf{k} \mathbf{n}} \xi_{\mathbf{k}} c_{\mathbf{i} \mathbf{k} \mathbf{n}}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{i} \mathbf{k} \mathbf{n}}, \tag{6}
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
H_{\text{imp}} = \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{\mathbf{i} \mathbf{k} \mathbf{n}} \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{\mathbf{q} \mathbf{n}} \int \frac{d^2 q}{(2\pi)^2} V(\mathbf{k}) c_{\mathbf{i} \mathbf{k} \mathbf{n}}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{i} \mathbf{k} \mathbf{n}}. \tag{7}
\end{equation}

Here $\xi_{\mathbf{k}} = \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \mu$ is the dispersion measured relative to the chemical potential, $(\mathbf{k}^x, \mathbf{i} \mathbf{k}^n) = (\mathbf{k} \pm \mathbf{q}/2, \mathbf{i} \mathbf{k} \pm \mathbf{q} \mathbf{n}/2)$ and $V(\mathbf{k})$ is the impurity potential in momentum space. We work in the $T \rightarrow 0$ limit. For simplicity, we consider a Gaussian-distributed impurity potential where $\langle V(\mathbf{x}) \rangle = 0$ and $\langle V(\mathbf{x})V(\mathbf{y}) \rangle = u^2 \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})$. Thus, the disorder line transfers all momenta with equal weight $u^2$ but transfers no frequency. For the most part we will be content with only the perturbative treatment of disorder, which is expected to break down near band edges (dilute electrons or holes) and at the van Hove singularity.

To calculate the conductivity, we use the Kubo formula
\begin{equation}
\sigma^{ij}(\mathbf{q}, \omega + i0^+) = \frac{i}{\omega + i0^+} \left[ \Pi^{ij}(\mathbf{q}, \omega + i0^+) + \frac{n_e e^2}{m} \delta^{ij} \right] \tag{8}
\end{equation}
where $n_e$ is the average carrier density and $m$ is the particle mass. This requires us to calculate the current-current correlator $\Pi^{ij}$. As we are interested in DC non-local response, we will be working in the limit $\omega \rightarrow 0$ and $\omega \mathbf{q} \ll \gamma$, where $\gamma = -2 \text{Im} \Sigma(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ is the scattering rate. We can separate contributions to $\Pi^{ij}$ into self-energy and vertex corrections; vertex corrections are negligible in this limit, as shown in the Supplemental.

For the self-energy $\Sigma$, we will use first Born approximation
\begin{equation}
\Sigma(\mathbf{q}, i\mathbf{q} \mathbf{n}) = u^2 \int \frac{d^2 k}{(2\pi)^2} G_0(\mathbf{k}, i\mathbf{q} \mathbf{n}) \tag{9}
\end{equation}
where $G_0(\mathbf{q}, i\mathbf{q} \mathbf{n}) = (i\mathbf{q} \mathbf{n} - \mathbf{E})^{-1}$ is the free Green’s function. In addition, we will be ignoring the logarithmically UV divergent $\text{Re} \Sigma$ by approximating it as a constant, in which case it amounts to a shift of $\mu$. We also ignore the crossing diagrams and self-consistency diagrams of the self-energy.

Since we are only interested in dissipative response, using spectral function techniques we can rewrite the Kubo formula as
\begin{equation}
\text{Re} \sigma^{ij}(\mathbf{q}, \omega) = \int_0^\infty dx \frac{d^2 k}{(2\pi)^2} A(\mathbf{k}^-, x) A(\mathbf{k}^+, x + \omega) v_i^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}) v^j(\mathbf{k}) \tag{10}
\end{equation}
where $A(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$ is the spectral function and $v_i(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial k_i}$ is the current vertex factor (or velocity). In 3D the relevant integrals can be evaluated via contour integration, but this approach cannot be extended to 2D. Hence we evaluate Eq. (10) numerically. To obtain $\sigma_0$ and $\sigma_2$ as a function of carrier density $n_e$, for each fixed density we evaluate $\sigma^{ij}$ at fixed small $\omega = 10^{-9} \frac{k_{\text{F}}}{\text{m}} \approx 450$ KHz for a lattice constant $a = 5\text{Å}$ for a number of momenta $\mathbf{q} a \ll u^2 \text{m}^2 \text{a}^2 / h^2$ and perform a parabolic fit. For additional details, see the Supplemental.

Hydrodynamic transport and quantum effects – To target the manifestation of Fermi statistics through a density-dependent velocity, we consider a system with Fermi energy near the edge of a band. The dispersion is well approximated by the parabolic dispersion $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} = k^2/(2m)$. The chemical potential $\mu$ is measured relative to the band bottom, i.e. $n_e = m\mu/(2\pi)$. In this case, density of states is constant in 2D and the scattering rate $\gamma = -2 \text{Im} \Sigma(\mathbf{q}, \omega) = u^2 m$ is also a constant.

Using Eq. (10) to evaluate $\text{Re} \sigma^{ij}(\mathbf{q}, \omega \rightarrow 0)$. In our approach, $\sigma_0$ reproduces the known DC conductivity result $\sigma_0 = \frac{n_e e^2}{m \gamma}$. Extracting the viscosity length scale $r_d$ according to Eq. [5], we obtain the result shown in Fig. [2] where we have plotted $r_d u^2$, where $u = \frac{\mu}{m \gamma}$ is the dimensionless disorder strength for lattice constant $a$.

The numerical results follow $r_d \sim \sqrt{n_e}$, as expected from the fact that the mean free path $l_{\text{mfp}}$ is the only length scale of our model and $l_{\text{mfp}} \sim 2\gamma / \gamma$ is the scattering rate. Such density dependence of the viscosity length scale is in clear contrast to the density-independent classical result of Fig. [2a]. For an experimental test of our prediction, the order of magnitude of $r_d$ needs to be experimentally accessible. The scale of $r_d$ will depend on the disorder strength in general, with $r_d \propto 1/u^2$ within the first Born approximation. To obtain $r_d \approx 1\text{μm}$, assuming $m$ is a free electron mass and $a \approx 5\text{Å}$, we need $u \approx 0.02 \text{eV Å}$.

We now turn to the effect of density of states on hydrodynamic transport. To see this effect in 2D, we propose tuning the Fermi level through the van Hove singularity. The recently developed experimental tuning parameters such as twist angle (in Moiré systems) and uniaxial strain (in bulk crystals such as Sr$_2$RuO$_4$) could enable experimental tests of the proposal below. For our calculation, we work in the limit where the impurity scattering rate is parametrically smaller than the distance $\delta \mu$ to the van Hove point, i.e. $\gamma \ll \delta \mu$, in order to have asymptotic control. In the vicinity of a van Hove singularity, we consider the model Eq. (11) with the dispersion $\xi_{\mathbf{k}} = (k_x^2 - k_y^2)/(2m) - \delta \mu$, with $\delta \mu$ measuring the distance to the van Hove singularity. This dispersion corresponds to considering only the vicinity of $(\pi, 0)$ in the square lattice tight-binding model. We regulate UV divergences in the continuum dispersion using a square cutoff $|k_x|, |k_y| < \Lambda$. Now the self-energy is given by
\begin{equation}
\text{Im} \Sigma(\mathbf{q}, \omega) = -\frac{m u^2}{2\pi} \text{Re} \coth^{-1} \left( \frac{\Lambda}{\sqrt{-2m|\omega + \delta \mu| + \Lambda^2}} \right) \tag{11}
\end{equation}
The logarithmic IR singularity at $\delta \mu = \omega = 0$ in the self-energy Eq. (11) captures the enhancement in impurity scattering due to the logarithmically diverging density of states near the van Hove singularity.
FIG. 3. A plot of $r_d \tilde{u}^2$ against electron density for $u = 0.5 \frac{k^2}{m_0}$, where the Van Hove singularity is chosen to sit at $n_e a^2 = 3$. Notice that $r_d$ decreases on approach to the van Hove point due to the scattering enhancement from the logarithmically diverging density of states. The asymmetry about the van Hove point is a reflection of the anisotropy of the dispersion; we are only considering a single van Hove point corresponding to $(\pi, 0)$ in a square lattice tight-binding model. The blue shaded region denotes the regime where $n_e - n_{vH} \ll \gamma$ and we expect self-consistent resummation of the self-energy to smooth out the singularity.

For graphene, $\gamma$ has been estimated to be 650 GHz. In this regime, small finite momentum oscillations enhance rather than suppress the conductivity; we expect the formation of current stripes.

Summary and Discussion – To summarize, we considered hydrodynamic transport in a microscopic model of electrons under weak impurity scattering. The motivation was two-fold: (1) to study the effect of disorder and (2) to reveal quantum aspects. We have shown that apparently hydrodynamic phenomena such as formation of a parabolic current profile and a whirlpool can be caused entirely by weak disorder scattering. For this, we have explicitly calculated the viscosity length scale $r_d$, which sets the whirlpool size and the curvature of the current profile, by calculating the non-local conductivity $\sigma(q)$ and expanding it in powers of $q$. Furthermore, we proposed experimental strategies to access quantum aspects of such transport phenomena by tracking carrier density dependence of $r_d$ and tuning to the vicinity of a van Hove point. These distinctly quantum signatures arise due to the long-range entangled nature of the free fermion system (i.e. its statistics).

Our results raise the question of how to distinguish impurity scattering effects from electron-electron interaction effects in experiments exhibiting hydrodynamic transport, namely parabolic current profile and whirlpool formation, also raised in Ref. Indeed, viscosity itself needs to be carefully defined in the presence of impurities as momentum conservation is violated; finite $q$ conductivity and the stress-strain correlator, both of which give viscosity in the clean limit, are not necessarily linked in a dirty system. The role of impurity scattering in other hydrodynamic transport phenomena such as unusual temperature dependence of charge transport such as the Gurzhi effect, thermal transport anomalies and magnetotransport will be topics of future theoretical studies. Here we focused on delta-function correlated disorder; finite-range disorder would introduce a new length scale, and it would be interesting to understand the influence of this length scale on $r_d$ and other transport phenomena.

Our results open doors to considering other forms of scattering, including electron-phonon and umklapp scattering in the future. Another interesting future direction is the nature of the boundary, which is known to play an important role in determining viscous transport, in the weakly disordered regime. Last but not least, it would be interesting to revisit ultraclean two-dimensional electron gases to test our predictions of density dependence of $r_d$.
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