New records of *Leopardus guigna* in its northern-most distribution in Chile: implications for conservation
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**Abstract**

We report new records of the wild felid *Leopardus guigna* in its northern-most distribution, in the southern Coquimbo and northern Valparaíso regions, in northern-central Chile. To our knowledge, these are the northern-most confirmed guigna records to date. We discuss implications for the conservation of these fragile populations in the face of different anthropic threats.
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The guigna (*Leopardus guigna*) [24], the smallest felid in the Americas, also has a restricted distribution, being found primarily in central and southern Chile (30°-48°S), including the large island of Chiloé (off the coast of southern Chile), and marginally in adjoining areas of southwestern Argentina (39°-46°S west of 70°W) from sea level to 2500 m [17, 26, 27, 33].

Two subspecies are recognized based on morphological and genetic data: *L. guigna tigrillo* (from 30°-38°S in Chile) has a lighter coat color and larger body size, inhabiting Mediterranean matorral and sclerophyll woodlands and forests in northern-central and central Chile, and *L. guigna guigna* (from 38°-48° in Chile and 39°-46°S in Argentina west of 70°W) is darker and smaller, inhabiting more-dense Valdivian temperate rainforest and north Patagonian forest in southern and extreme southern Chile and the Andean Patagonian forest in southwestern Argentina [26, 27].

Guignas are closely associated with native Mediterranean forests and temperate rainforests, vegetation cover being a key ecological requirement for the species [34]. Guignas can adapt to inhabiting fragmented human-dominated landscapes, using small forest fragments and vegetation corridors within the agricultural matrix to move across the landscape [12]. Movements take place exclusively in vegetated depressions or corridors, some as small as 3 m wide and the species never uses or crosses grazed pasture with vegetation < 0.4 m high [33]. Guignas have also been reported in pine plantations with dense understory [1].

Because of their restricted distribution, guignas are particularly vulnerable to the loss of native forest [29], which is currently fragmenting its area of occupancy [1, 28, 33]. Given that a high proportion of geographic areas throughout guigna range are suffering from habitat loss and fragmentation [8], the species is classified as Vulnerable with a decreasing population trend on the IUCN Red List (A2abc; C2a(i), [27]). Guignas are classified as Vulnerable (northern subspecies, from 39°S to its northern range limit) and Near Threatened (southern subspecies, from 39°S to its southern range limit) on the Chilean Species Classification Regulation [23]. The current population size (total number of mature individuals) has been
estimated to be fewer than 10,000 individuals following a precautionary but realistic approach [27].

For the northern subspecies *L. g. tigrillo*, published records from the northern-most part of the geographic distribution are scarce, including only (from north to south): Catapilco (32°34′S 71°17′W) [26], La Campana-Peñuelas Biosphere Reserve (33°5′S 71°2′W) [4] and San Antonio (33°35′S 71°36′W) [26], all in Valparaiso region. To our knowledge, there are no published guigna records for the Coquimbo region, the northern-most area historically described as part of the species range [29]. Based on two confirmed records (specimens) from Valparaíso (33°S) and Limache (33°S) described by Osgood [30], Nowell & Jackson [29] suggested 30°S latitude might be the northern-most limit of the guigna, probably as an extension of the sclerophyll forest and scrub habitat type distribution, and not based on any collected specimens or confirmed records in the region of Coquimbo.

We report new records of *L. g. tigrillo* in southern Coquimbo and northern Valparaíso regions, in northern-central Chile, being these the northern-most confirmed records to date (Fig. 1). Records were obtained using trail cameras installed as part of different monitoring studies and opportunistically through photographic records during direct encounters, in years 2017, 2018 and 2020. Monitoring was conducted in the following sites: (1) One site in Cerro Palo Colorado (31°59′50″S, 71°27′46″W; Coquimbo region), sampling period from 6 August to 9 September 2018, using one trail camera (sampling effort 34 camera-trap days), (2) One site in Cerro Santa Inés (32°5′56″S, 71°29′41″W; Coquimbo region), first sampling period from 1 May to 31 October 2017, using one trail camera (sampling effort 180 camera-trap days) and second sampling period from 1 August to 30 November 2018 using one trail camera (sampling effort 120 camera-trap days), (3) One site in Quebrada Manantiales (32°10′33″S, 71°30′32″W; Coquimbo region), sampling period from 1 February to 30 June 2017, using one trail camera (sampling effort 150 camera-trap days), and (4) One site in Bioparque Puquén (32°13′34″S, 71°31′0.1″W; Valparaíso region), sampling period from 1 May to 30 June 2017, using one trail camera (sampling effort 60 camera-trap days).

**Fig. 1** New records of *L. guigna* in its northern-most distribution. a Context of the current complete known distribution for *L. guigna*. Brown-shaded area corresponds to the geographical distribution of the northern subspecies *L. g. tigrillo*, grey-shaded area corresponds to the southern subspecies *L. g. guigna*. b Previous northern-most published record [26] is shown in blue spot: 6. Catapilco. c New records (this study) are shown in black spots: 1. Cerro Palo Colorado, 2. Cerro Santa Inés, 3. Quebrada Manantiales, 4. Los Molles, 5. Bioparque Puquén. Priority sites and nature sanctuary: PS SJ&CP = Priority Site Cerro Santa Inés and Coast of Pichidangui, PS LM-P = Priority Site Los Molles-Pichidangui, NS CSI = Nature Sanctuary Cerro Santa Inés. Vegetation formations as described in Luebert and Pliscoff [18]. CMSF1 = Coastal Mediterranean Sclerophyll Forest (*Cryptocarya alba*-*Peumus boldus*), CMSF2 = Coastal Mediterranean Sclerophyll Forest (*Lithrea caustica*-*Cryptocarya alba*), CMSAS = Coastal Mediterranean Sclerophyll Arborescent Scrub (*Peumus boldus*-*Schinus latifolius*). Major villages and cities, municipalities and the Coquimbo-Valparaíso regional administrative limit are shown in the maps.
Cameras were baited with tuna, except for the Cerro Palo Colorado location which was not baited (Fig. 2).

We recorded guignas in 5 different sites: (i) Cerro Palo Colorado, one trail camera record (2.9% capture success), (ii) Cerro Santa Inés, first sampling period: two trail camera records (1.1% capture success), second sampling period: 5 trail camera records (4.2% capture success), (iii) Quebrada Manantiales, 7 trail camera records (4.7% capture success), (iv) Los Molles (32°12′51″S, 71°30′32″W; Valparaíso region), 1 direct encounter photographic record (15 March 2020), (v) Bioparque Puquén, 18 trail camera records (30% capture success) (Figs. 1, 2). Records in each site were at least 60 min apart, thus considered independent events.

Our records add to previous reports in the northern Valparaíso region [4, 26], extending the northern range of *L. g. tigrillo* by approximately 66 km (lineal distance from Catapilco to Cerro Palo Colorado) and confirming its current presence in the region of Coquimbo.

Records from the Coastal mountain range (Cerro Palo Colorado, 473 m above sea level (masl)) were obtained in native forest with dense understory, near a natural spring pond. Records from Cerro Santa Inés were obtained in sclerophyll scrub immediately outside the cloud forest formation (560 masl). Both areas have been proposed as Priority Conservation Sites for threatened native flora and fauna [5, 36]. These sites are located in a forested Coastal mountain range that extends from Cerro Santa Inés northwards to Quilimarí and Huentelauquén almost continuously for ca. 60 km, reaching approximately 600 masl. A mosaic of small, isolated temperate rainforest remnant patches, dominated by the endemic tree *Aextoxicon punctatum* (olivillo), persist only on these coastal mountaintops (450–600 m) surrounded by a matrix of xerophytic vegetation receiving only sporadic rainfall [37]. These evergreen olivillo forests are distributed along the ocean-facing slopes and deep ravines of the Coastal mountain range north of 33°S, persisting as a result of fog-water inputs [7]. These unique and highly diverse cloud forest patches harbor a rich floristic assemblage, closely related to Austral temperate rainforests [7], including other species such as *Myrceugenia correifolia* (petrillo), *Lomatia dentata* (avellanillo) and *Citronella mucronata* (naranjillo) [9]. Privately owned, this Coastal mountain range has neither a conservation plan in place nor protection under any conservation category. The range has small private conservation initiatives such as Cerro Santa Inés being recently declared a Nature Sanctuary (administered by the mining company Los Pelambres).

Records from the ravine Quebrada Manantiales (168 masl) along with records from Los Molles (110 masl) and Bioparque Puquén (38 masl) were obtained in areas of native coastal sclerophyll scrub habitat within a coastal fringe that extends between Los Molles and Pichidangui. Although privately owned, this area has been declared a Priority Conservation Site for the protection of flora and fauna species with high endemism, with several of them classified as Endangered [6]. This high conservation priority coastal fringe has neither a conservation plan in place nor protection under any conservation category, only small private initiatives such

---

**Fig. 2** New photographic records of *L. guigna* in its northern-most distribution. Trail camera pictures: (1) Cerro Palo Colorado, (2) Cerro Santa Inés, (3) Quebrada Manantiales, (5) Bioparque Puquén. Direct encounter photograph: (4) Los Molles. Location numbers as shown in Fig. 1.
as the natural conservation area Bioparque Puquén, located near Los Molles.

This ecosystem has been characterized as having high global value. The Chilean Winter Rainfall–Valdivian Forests biodiversity hotspot (25–47°S) has been proposed as a critical ecosystem of high conservation priority due to its high endemicity and for being under significant threat from human activities [3]. The sclerophyll forests and scrub vegetation type in Coquimbo region are the most septentrional of its distribution [11], coinciding with the northern-most limit of the guigna’s range, in line with the species’ key ecological requirement of vegetation cover. All the new records presented here are seemingly part of a large biological corridor (i.e., continuous, narrow patches of vegetation that facilitate movement among habitat patches) [6], probably connecting guigna populations across the landscape at a local scale.

Nevertheless, these areas are not free of anthropogenic pressure and threats consisting mainly of the conversion of native forest and scrub to crop plantations (primarily avocado and vine), rural real estate development, desertification and fires [2, 10, 21, 22]. Land subdivision has been identified as an ongoing threat for local wildlife such as the guigna [13]. Humans often inhabit rural lands with their domestic pets (dogs and cats). The minimum legal subdivision for rural lands in Chile is 0.5 ha [19, 20], which is far below home ranges described for free-roaming domestic cat (Felis catus) and dog (Canis familiaris) in rural landscapes [16, 35]. Irresponsible pet ownership, lack of movement restriction and poor health management of domestic pets increases the risk of disease transmission to guignas (and other native carnivores) [25, 32]. Free-roaming dogs also attack and kill guignas and other native mammals [31]. The guigna and other native species (e.g. culpeo fox Lycalopex culpaeus, pampas cat Leopardus colocola, lesser grison Galictis cuja and cururo Spalacopus cyanus) coexist in a highly modified habitat in contact with humans in areas such as Bioparque Puquén, constantly visited by tourists, or Los Molles, where countryside houses have flourished.

The current presence of guigna in these conservation strongholds is crucial. Special conservation strategies for populations of L. g. tigrillo in the northern edge of its distribution have been suggested by Napolitano et al. [26], who described them as quite isolated genetically from other groups across the species distribution and harboring unique genetic haplotypes. This population also harbors unique ecological adaptations to inhabit the dry Chilean Matorral ecosystem, so different from the Valdivian temperate rainforests in southern Chile that the southern subspecies L. g. guigna inhabits.

Moreover, populations inhabiting edges of geographical distributions have higher ecological fragility. Species’ range limits are based on the assumption that environments deteriorate towards and beyond the range boundary, becoming less suitable for the species. That, in turn, leads to the species becoming less common towards the edges, with low population growth, small population size and a high extinction rate [14]. Edge populations are peripheral sinks that persist at the range edge only because of colonization from more abundant central source habitat populations (where environmental conditions for the species are most ideal) [15]. In a meta-population approach, range edge populations occupy discrete patches that become increasingly less frequent [15]. Therefore, for these northern-most guigna populations we expect small population sizes and high overall extinction rates.

In sum, several human threats impacting the genetically unique northern-most guigna populations warrant the urgent need for more research, community-based conservation and protection of this ecoregion with high ecological value - the fragile and rich Chilean Matorral ecosystem.
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