ABSTRACT. The purpose of this study is to investigate if there is a structure agreement between syntactic structures consisting of two nouns [N + N] in English for Occupational Health and Safety and their Serbian equivalents. After narrowing down the results of the research to approximately five thousand examples and ordering them by frequency of appearance in the corpus, a sample of about one hundred and seventy items was extracted and translated into Serbian. The analysis shows that there are three main types of Serbian translation equivalents but none of them are structurally identical to English noun + noun structures.
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INTRODUCTION

In the last fifty years, great attention has been given to English for Specific Purposes (ESP) due to the fact that the majority of inventions and innovations emerging in science and technology comes from the English speaking countries. This has a direct impact on the use of specialized terminology in teaching a foreign language for occupational purposes. ESP has become the international means of communication among experts and scientists and almost all specialized literature has been published in the English language. The language-terminology of Safety Engineering is not an exception. The World Health Organization (WHO) offers the following definition: “Occupational health deals with all aspects of health and safety in the workplace and has a strong focus on primary prevention of hazards”. It implies that Safety engineers, apart from professional skills, also need to have a fundamental knowledge of other sciences and must be familiar with terminology closely related to their field of interest, depending on what their job description particularly requires (The American Society of Safety Engineers, ANSI/ASSE Z590.2-2003 Criteria for Establishing the Scope and Functions of the Professional Safety Position). This means that the Occupational Health and Safety terminology is complex and versatile.

In this paper, we are dealing with the vocabulary used in the field of Occupational Health and Safety. Good command of professional vocabulary is of great importance both for safety engineers and for understanding the latest developments in this area. Special attention is given to English N + N syntactic structures in the field of Occupational Health and Safety and their equivalents in the Serbian language. At present, there is no English-Serbian or vice versa specialized dictionary dealing with vocabulary and terms of Occupational health and safety. The aim of this paper is to determine how English N + N syntactic structures correspond to Serbian translation equivalents and whether there is a structure agreement. According to Algeo (1991), the most frequent word formation process is compounding, out of which 68% are the new words, whereas 90% of these new compounds actually are noun compounds.

The corpus was extracted from various websites of companies specialized for Occupational health and safety and professional literature.
IMPORTANCE OF ENGLISH FOR SPECIFIC PURPOSES

English for specific purposes is a term that appeared in the 1960s. ESP is focused on context. The English language taught within the scope of ESP is intended to meet learners’ needs regarding the particular field of interest related to occupation or profession, and is applicable in the employment context.

According to Mackay and Mountford (1978, p. 2), ESP is focused on the teaching of English for a practical purpose, meaning that the teaching of English for specific purposes should be focused on achieving of those language skills that will enable learners to use English in their future occupations more efficiently.

Hutchinson and Waters (1987, p. 19) postulate that ESP does not present a specific kind of language or teaching methodology and does not require a particular type of teaching material. Instead, it is an approach to language learning “aimed to address the learners’ needs”. This means that content and methods of such language teaching are focused on learners’ reasons for learning, and thus cover topics that will be used for specific purposes.

The similar opinion about learning English in general is given by Robinson (1991, p. 2), who states that students learn English “not because they are interested in the English language or English culture as such, but because they need English for study or work purposes”. This means that students who learn English are motivated by different reasons: to be able to read specialized texts, to read textbooks written in English relevant to their field of expertise or to use it in their future occupation or at a workplace.

When outlining the major features of ESP, Dudley-Evans and St. John (1998, p. 4), argue that there are two types of characteristics of ESP, absolute and variable. According to them, absolute characteristics are: “a) ESP is defined to meet the specific needs of the learners; b) ESP makes use of underlying methodology and activities of the discipline it serves; c) ESP is centred on the language (grammar, lexis, and register), skills, discourse and genre appropriate to these activities”. Variable characteristics are: “a) ESP may be related to or designed for specific disciplines; b) ESP may use, in specific teaching situations, a different methodology from that of General English; c) ESP is likely to be designed for adult learners, either at a tertiary level institution or in a professional work situation. It could, however, be for learners at secondary school level; d) ESP is
generally designed for intermediate or advanced students. Most ESP courses assume some basic knowledge of the language systems, but it can be used with beginners”.

Basturkmen (2006, p. 18) asserts that, “in ESP language is learnt not for its own sake or for the sake of gaining a general education, but to smooth the path to entry or greater linguistic efficiency in academic, professional or workplace environments”. This means that the ESP focuses on the needs of language learners typical for a specific field of occupation or workplace.

ESP is not limited only to those learners who plan their future careers but is also intended for those who are already employed and who perform professional tasks in the scenario that requires the use of the English language.

In this paper we are focused on the terminology of occupational safety and health in a sense that “environmental and occupational health is not a single topic, but rather a colourful, complex, and diversified range of interrelated subjects including all of the basic sciences, engineering, computer science, government, disease, injury identification, prevention, and control” (Herman, vi: 2013).

TYPES OF NOUN + NOUN STRUCTURES IN ENGLISH LANGUAGE

Noun + Noun structures are very important in ESP, since this is a common way of creating new terms and they represent two-thirds of all technical terms (Cabre, 1999, p. 122). A large number of these structures occur in textbooks of social and natural science courses and engineering textbooks (Cohen et al. 1988; Ward, 2007; Wasun-tarasophit, 2008). The frequent usage on N + N structures has been proved through various studies on ESP covering different fields (Salager-Meyer, 1984; Cohen et al. 1988; Lauer, 1995). This frequent usage implies that special attention should be given to the role of N + N structures in ESP. These structures are composed of two nouns, where the first one is premodifier and the second one is a head noun. There is no additional element between these two nouns that binds them.

This type of structures differs from other types of structures (Adj + N; V + N, etc.) in English language in that it “allows encoding complex concepts through an extremely compressed format, mak-
ing use of as little space as language enables to” (Fernández-Domínguez, 2010). This implies that in N + N structures, the head is the main category and premodifier or first noun outlines the head, that is, distinguishes it from others in the same category. Noun + Noun structures express the relation between two nouns, but this relation has not been specified yet and may have different characteristics (for example, emergency procedure meaning procedure in emergency situations; work overload meaning overload of work; explosion protection meaning protection from explosion, etc.).

Generally speaking, there are three different approaches that deal with the relation of nouns in N + N structures. The first group of approaches usually takes into account the fixed types of relations and describes these structures based on well-established principles. The second group uses English prepositions to describe these relations. The third group of approaches interprets the relation of nouns in N + N structures by using paraphrasing. This would produce interpretations such as “exit used in the cases of emergency situations” for emergency exit.

However, it seems that the main problem with Noun + Noun structures relates to two issues: the first one concerning their internal semantic configuration and the second that their formal appearance is identical with that of some syntactic structures, in cases where noun modifies another noun.

We may say that there are two categories of Noun + Noun structures in the English language, the morphological and syntactic, whereas the former one accounts for compounds and the latter for syntactic structures.

However, morphology is still trying to determine where N + N structures belong, to morphology or syntax, since agreement on this issue is still in dispute. Many researchers have tried to answer this question and Bauer (1998) divides these researchers into two groups, based on their views on the issue: splitters and lumpers. The splitters in N + N structures, distinguish two classes: syntactic structures consisting of nouns with nominal modifiers, and compounds. However, they do not always set the proper criteria for such classification. Lumpers, on the other hand, see only one class – class of compounds, and correlate their classification with a difference in stress. Further, Bauer (1998) proposes six possible criteria to distinguish between syntactic structures and compounds: Compounds are listed; Compounds are written as a single word; Com-
Pounds have fore-stress; The first element in a compound is syntactically isolated; Compounds do not permit co-ordination and the head in a phrase can be replaced by *one*.

Huddleston and Pullum (2002) use the following criteria to illustrate differences between the two structure types: orthography – morphological compounds are written as a single word (greenhouse, newspaper) and syntactic structures are written as word sequences (green house, quality paper); stress – morphological compounds are pronounced with the main stress on the first component and syntactic structures are pronounced with the stress on the second component of N + N structure, and modification test – morphological compounds exclude modification of the first component while syntactic structures “allow a very wide range of modifications” (Huddleston and Pullum, 2002, p. 1644). Even though they state that both syntactic test and non-syntactic criteria have certain deficiencies, they still prefer syntactic tests to non-syntactic criteria: “The correlation between these criteria and the syntactic tests of coordination and modification is, however, very imperfect, and since we are concerned with the delimitation of a syntactic structure we will naturally give precedence to the syntactic tests in the many cases of divergent results” (Huddleston and Pullum, 2002, p. 451).

Taking into account the nature of N + N structures, these structures in most cases share both morphological and syntactic properties. According to Moon (1997, p. 43): “This sequence of words semantically and/or syntactically forms a meaningful and inseparable unit”.

**NATURE OF NOUN + NOUN STRUCTURES**

There have been several attempts to classify noun + noun structures. According to Quirk (1985, 1332), Noun + Noun combinations, both syntactic structures and compounds, may be paraphrased by a preposition, and he arranges them into groups expressing source-result (metal sheet/sheet of metal); part – whole (clay soil/soil with clay); place (top drawer/drawer at the top); time (morning train/train in the morning) and whole-part (board member/member of the board).

Biber et al. (1999) assert that Noun + Noun structures contain only content words and thus represent two “opposite extremes of
communicative priority” (Biber et al. 1999, pp. 589-591). They classify them into 15 basic groups, based on the relation between two nouns in the structure (N2 presents head noun and N1 premodifying noun): “Composition – N2 is made from N1; N2 consist of N1, (e.g. glass windows = windows made of glass); Purpose – N2 is for the purpose of N1; N2 is used for N1, (e.g. safety device = device used for safety); Identity – N2 has the same referent as N1, but classifies it in terms of different attributes (e.g. woman firefighter = firefighter who is woman); Content – N2 is about N1; N2 deals with N1, (e.g. emergency plan = plan relating to emergency situations); Source – N2 is from N1, (e.g. computer printout = printout that comes from computer); Objective type 1 – N1 is the object of the processes described in N2, or of the action performed by the agent described in N2 (e.g. ear protector = protects ear); Objective type 2 – N2 is the object of the processes described in N1, (e.g. safety engineer – engineer responsible for the safety); Subjective type 1 – N1 is the subject of the process described in N2; N2 is nominalized form an intransitive verb (e.g. disability assessment); Subjective type 2 – N2 is the subject of the processes described in N1 (e.g labour force – a force engaged in labour); Time: N2 is found at the time given by N1 (e.g. winter conditions); Location type 1 – N2 is found or takes place at the location given by N1 (e.g. mine ventilation); Location type 2 – N1 is found at the location given by N2 (e.g. inhalation room); Institution – N2 identifies an institution for N1 (e.g. labour inspectorate); Partitive – N2 identifies parts of N1 (e.g. risk factors); Specialization – N1 identifies an area of specialization for the person or occupation given in N2, N2 is animate (e.g. employer representative)” (Biber et al. 1999, pp. 589-591). This classification, according to same authors, is not limited by the categories, i.e. some N + N structures can belong to more than one category and there are noun + noun structures that do not belong to any of the given categories. Moreover, there are N + N structures that can have meaning relations that are different from these described here.

The classification of Noun + Noun structures can be made based on different criteria. However, none of these classifications are final, nor can we say with certainty which is the most accurate one. The list is quite long and versatile, and there is no ultimate classification. This partially depends on the nature of the English language and partially on how the researchers perceive this issue and what classification criteria they use.
ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

The corpus was excerpted from Occupational Safety and Health Glossary (The International Labour Organisation), Glossary of Occupational Health & Safety Terms (Industrial Accident Prevention Association), The Environmental and Occupational Health & Safety terminology website (EOHS), Dictionary of Occupational Health and Safety Terms, Glossary for Basic Occupational Safety and Health (van Dijk et al., 2011), and from Engleski jezik za studente Fakulteta zaštite na radu (Tošić, 1992). The targets of the study were English N+N syntactic structures which were later translated into Serbian for the purpose of this paper in order to provide their equivalents. After narrowing down the results of the search to approximately five thousand examples and ordering them by the frequency of appearance in the corpus, a sample of about one hundred and seventy items (among the most frequent ones) was extracted and translated into Serbian. The translated structures were grouped into categories, which are based on the nature of Serbian translation equivalents3.

| ENGLISH N+N SYNTACTIC STRUCTURES | SERBIAN TRANSLATION EQUIVALENT (N+NOUN IN GENITIVE) |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| accident frequency              | učestalost nezgoda                                |
| air humidity                    | vlažnost vazduha                                  |
| disability assessment           | procena invaliditeta                             |
| exposure rate                   | stepen izloženosti                                |
| fatigue prevention              | prevencija Zamora                                |
| hearing damage                  | oštećenje sluha                                   |
| injury analysis                 | analiza povrede                                   |
| labour inspector                | inspektor rada                                    |
| risk assessment                 | procena rizika                                    |

Table 1: English N+N syntactic structures and their Serbian equivalents (N+Noun in Genitive)

3 Due to the limited scope of the paper, only ten examples from the analysed corpus are presented. The complete list of the analysed corpus is given in the Appendix.
From the examples provided in Table 1 we can see that Serbian equivalents include N + N structures, where the second N is inflected. The second noun is modified and marked by Genitive case and the first noun is a head noun.

It may appear that Serbian translation equivalents are structurally close to English corresponding items since in both cases we have N + N pattern. However, they are not. There are two major differences between English N + N structures and Serbian N + N structures: the modifying noun has a different case-marking and the head-modifier ordering is different (Dimković-Telebaković, 2014):

| worker safety | bezbednost radnika |
|----------------|---------------------|

Table 1: English N + N syntactic structures and their Serbian equivalents (N + Noun in Genitive)

The second group of Serbian equivalents consists of adjective + noun structure (Table 2). Here, the adjective is premodifying the noun, and thus in this group Serbian equivalents are not structurally equivalent to those in English, since the modifier belongs to a different word class category. Here we have English noun + noun structure = Serbian adjective + noun structure.

| ENGLISH N+N SYNTACTIC STRUCTURES | SERBIAN TRANSLATION EQUIVALENT (Adj+N) |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| fire alarm                       | protivpožarni alarm                   |
| first aid                        | prva pomoć                            |
| health insurance                 | zdravstveno osiguranje                |
| mental health                    | mentalno zdravlje                     |
| safety clothing                  | zaštitna odeća                        |
| safety footwear                  | zaštitna obuća                        |
| safety glass                     | sigurnosno staklo                     |
| safety glasses                   | zaštitne naočare                      |
| shift work                       | smenski rad                           |
| work overload                    | radno preopterećenje                  |

Table 2: English N + N syntactic structures and their Serbian equivalents (Adj + N)
The third group of Serbian equivalents has the pattern N + Prepositional Phrase (PP) and is presented in Table 3.

| ENGLISH N+N SYNTACTIC STRUCTURES | SERBIAN TRANSLATION EQUIVALENT (N+PP) |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| accident risk                    | rizik od nezgode                     |
| explosion protection             | zaštita od eksplozije                |
| fire risks                       | rizici od požara                     |
| welding mask                     | maska za zavarivanje                 |
| work accident                    | nezgoda na radu                      |
| workplace conditions             | uslovi na radnom mestu               |
| workplace hazards                | opasnosti na radnom mestu            |
| workplace injury                 | povreda na radnom mestu              |
| workplace stress                 | stres na radu                        |

**Table 3:** English N+N syntactic structures and their Serbian translation equivalents (N+PP)

From the examples presented in Table 3, we can see that Serbian equivalents can have elements which do not appear in English N+N structure, and in this case it is a prepositional phrase. Based on Đimković-Telebaković (2014), this can be presented in a form of patterns which in Serbian have inserted elements, prepositions: 231→123:

b.

\[
\text{welding mask} = \text{maska za zavarivanje}
\]

c.

\[
\text{explosion protection} = \text{zaštita od eksplozije}
\]

Apart from these corresponding structures we addressed in Tables 1, 2 and 3, we also found some complex Serbian structures that correspond to English N+N structures: noun + noun + noun in Genitive (accident probability – verovatnoća nastanka nezgode); noun + adjective + noun (emission spectrometry – spektrometrija izduvnih gasova; incident investigation – istraga nesretnog slučaja; workplace inspection – inspekcija radnog mesta; health standards – standardi zdravstvene zaštite).
d.  
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
2 & 3 & 1 \\
\text{accident} & \text{probability} & = \text{verovatnoća nastanka nezgode}
\end{array}
\]

e.  
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
2 & 3 & 1 \\
\text{incident} & \text{investigation} & = \text{istraga nesretnog slučaja}
\end{array}
\]

Patterns \textit{d} and \textit{e} corresponds to \textit{N + N + N} and \textit{N + Adj + N} structures, respectively. Patterns \textit{d} and \textit{e} in English do not contain all the elements, which are present in Serbian with 231$\rightarrow$123 pattern.

Based on the analysis we conducted in this paper, we may conclude that out of 170 examples of English \textit{N + N} syntactic structures taken from the corpus of about five thousand examples, the 61.7\% Serbian translation equivalents belong to the largest group noun + noun in Genitive; 9.4\% belongs to the group adjective + noun, and the 22.3\% to the group noun + prepositional phrase. While investigating the selected corpus, we found only a few examples of English structures where Serbian translation equivalents were consisting of only one word, \textit{N + N$\rightarrow$N}: \textit{work capacity$\rightarrow$produktivnost}; \textit{shock absorber$\rightarrow$amortizer}; \textit{construction site$\rightarrow$gradilište}.

**CONCLUSION** The aim of this paper was to analyze the English noun + noun syntactic structures in the field of Occupational Health and Safety and their Serbian equivalents. In Serbia, Occupational Health and Safety is a field that has gained in importance in recent years due to the need to meet European standards. In order to meet the ESP language requirements, specialized terms should be concise and accurate. Terms defined in such a way express the precise meaning of concepts, and when one concept is represented by one word the brevity is achieved (Dimković-Telebaković, 2014).

Based on the conducted analysis we may conclude that there are three main types of Serbian translation equivalents. The first group includes structures consisting of two nouns (English \textit{N + N$\rightarrow$Serbian \textit{N + Noun in Genitive}}) where the second noun is in genitive, which is also the largest group. The first noun has the status of a head noun, the second noun is a modifier and it is marked by the genitive case, e.g. \textit{fatigue prevention- prevencija zamora}. When it comes to structural correlation, we can conclude that this group of Serbian translation equivalents is not equivalent to English \textit{N + N} structures, although it may appear as such. This is due to their
structural non-equivalence and different case-marking of the modifying noun and different head modifier ordering.

The second group is adjective + noun translation equivalent (English N + N → Serbian Adj + N). Here, the adjective premodifies the noun and both of them are marked, e.g. mental fatigue – mentalni zamor. Furthermore, structurally English N + N structures and Serbian translation equivalents do not match since they consist of an adjective and a noun.

The third group, N + PP, translation equivalent (English N + N → Serbian N + PP) shows that Serbian equivalents have some elements which do not appear in English structures, and in this case it is a prepositional phrase, e.g. work accident → nezgoda na radu.

And finally, we also presented some complex Serbia structures that corresponds to English N + N structures, which also are not equivalents to English structures because they have some elements which do not appear in English structures (N + Adj + N, N + N + N).

It is due to the diverse nature of Serbian and English that the differences among English N + N syntactic structures and their Serbian equivalents exist. Serbian language does not tolerate two nominative - marked nouns in a sequence, and thus none of the Serbian translation equivalents are structurally identical to English structures.

The paper should help to better comprehend English N + N syntactic structures in the field of Occupational Health and Safety and how they are translated into Serbian not only in a theoretical, but in a practical aspect too, while future research should be done on a wider corpus that would offer a more detailed insight into other English syntactic structures in the field of Occupational Health and Safety and their translation (and non-translation) equivalents.
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### APPENDIX

| ENGLISH N + N SYNTACTIC STRUCTURES | SERBIAN TRANSLATION EQUIVALENT (N + NOUN IN GENITIVE) |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| absorption coefficient            | koeficijent apsorpcije                                 |
| accident cause                    | uzrok nezgode                                         |
| accident frequency                | učestalost nezgode                                     |
| accident investigation            | istraga nezgode                                       |
| accident location                 | lokacija nezgode                                      |
| accident severity                 | ozbiljnost nezgode                                    |
| accident victim                   | žrtva nezgode                                         |
| air contaminant                   | zagadača vazduha                                      |

Table 4: ENGLISH N + N SYNTACTIC STRUCTURES AND THEIR SERBIAN TRANSLATION EQUIVALENTS (N + NOUN IN GENITIVE)
| English | Serbian |
|---------|---------|
| air humidity | vlažnost vazduha |
| air pollution | zagađenje vazduha |
| air quality | kvalitet vazduha |
| air temperature | temperatura vazduha |
| alarm system | sistem upozorenja |
| alcohol consumption | konzumiranje alkohola |
| back injury | povreda leda |
| body parts | delovi tela |
| colour identification | identifikacija boje |
| contamination level | nivo zagađenja |
| danger sign | znak opasnosti |
| danger zone | zona opasnosti |
| detonation velocity | brzina detonacije |
| disability assessment | procena invaliditeta |
| dust collector | sakupljač prašine |
| dust concentration | koncentracija prašine |
| dust sample | uzorak prašine |
| employee representative | predstavnik zaposlenih |
| employee training | obuka zaposlenih |
| employer representative | predstavnik poslodavca |
| energy sources | izvori energije |
| evaporation rate | stopa isparavanja |
| explosion detector | detektor eksplozije |
| explosion prevention | prevencija eksplozije |
| exposure maps | mape izloženosti |
| exposure rate | stopa izloženosti |
| eye damage | oštećenje oka |
| eye diseases | bolesti oka |
| eye injury | povreda oka |
| eye protection | zaštita oka |
| fatigue prevention | prevencija zamora |

**Table 4:** English Noun-Noun Syntactic Structures and Their Serbian Translation Equivalents (N + Noun in Genitive)
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| English                                              | Serbian                                             |
|------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| fire prevention                                      | prevencija požara                                  |
| friction noise                                       | buka trenja                                        |
| grounding system                                     | sistem uzemljenja                                  |
| hazard survey                                        | ispitivanje opasnosti                              |
| hazard symbol                                        | simbol opasnosti                                   |
| hearing damage                                       | oštećenje sluha                                    |
| hearing disturbances                                 | poremećaj sluha                                    |
| hearing level                                        | nivo sluha                                         |
| hearing loss                                         | gubitak sluha                                      |
| heart damage                                         | oštećenje srca                                    |
| heat detector                                        | detektor toplote                                  |
| ignition source                                      | izvor paljenja                                    |
| incidence rate                                       | stopa incidencije                                 |
| injury analysis                                      | analiza povrede                                   |
| job analysis                                         | analiza posla                                     |
| knee injury                                          | povreda kolena                                    |
| labour inspection                                    | inspekcija rada                                   |
| labour inspector                                     | inspektor rada                                    |
| leakage detector                                     | detektor curenja                                  |
| light intensity                                      | intenzitet svetlosti                              |
| lighting conditions                                 | uslovi osvetljenja                                |
| loudness level                                       | jačina zvuka                                      |
| luminance contrast                                   | kontrast osvetljenosti                             |
| machine damage                                       | oštećenje mašine                                  |
| mine ventilation                                     | ventilacija rudnika                                |
| neighbourhood protection                             | zaštita okoline                                   |
| noise control                                        | kontrola buke                                     |
| noise intensity                                      | intenzitet buke                                   |
| noise level                                          | nivo buke                                         |
| noise reduction                                      | umanjenje buke                                    |
| noise source                                         | izvor buke                                        |

**Table 4:** English N + N syntactic structures and their Serbian translation equivalents (N + Noun in Genitive)
| English Noun+Noun Syntactic Structures | Serbian Translation Equivalents (N + Noun in Genitive) |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|
| observation period                   | period posmatranja                                   |
| oxygen deficiency                    | nedostatak kiseonika                                |
| power cords                          | kablovi napajanja                                   |
| pressure reducer                     | reduktor pritiska                                   |
| pressure regulator                   | regulator pritiska                                  |
| productivity loss                    | gubitak produktivnosti                              |
| prohibition sign                     | znak zabrane                                        |
| protection factor                    | faktor zaštite                                       |
| protection system                    | sistem zaštite                                       |
| radiation exposure                   | izloženost zračenju                                 |
| radiation monitoring                 | monitoring zračenja                                 |
| risk assessment                      | procena rizika                                       |
| risk factors                         | faktori rizika                                       |
| risk management                      | upravljanje rizikom                                 |
| risk prevention                      | prevencija rizika                                   |
| safety engineer                      | inženjer bezbednosti                                |
| safety inspection                    | inspekcija bezbednosti                              |
| safety policy                        | politika bezbednosti                                |
| safety programme                     | program bezbednosti                                 |
| safety standard                      | standardi bezbednosti                               |
| smoke detector                       | detektor dima                                        |
| sound insulation                     | izolacija zvuka                                     |
| sound intensity                      | intenzitet zvuka                                    |
| sound level                          | nivo zvuka                                           |
| task analysis                        | analiza zadatka                                     |
| tolerance test                       | test izdržljivosti                                  |
| unemployment rate                    | stopa nezaposlenosti                                |
| vibration damper                     | prigušivač vibracija                                |
| vibration isolator                   | izolator vibracija                                  |
| waste disposal                       | odlaganje otpada                                     |

Table 4: English N + N syntactic structures and their Serbian translation equivalents (N + Noun in Genitive)
| English N + N Syntactic Structures | Serbian Translation Equivalent (Adj + N) |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| climate changes                 | klimatske promene                     |
| ear muffs                       | zaštitne slušalice                    |
| exhaust system                  | izduvni sistem                        |
| fire alarm                      | protivpožarni alarm                   |
| first aid                       | prva pomoć                             |
| health insurance                | zdravstveno osiguranje                |
| health surveillance             | zdravstveni nadzor                    |
| labour force                    | radna snaga                           |
| mental fatigue                  | mentalni zamor                        |
| mental health                   | mentalno zdravlje                     |
| safety clothing                 | zaštitna odeća                        |
| safety footwear                 | zaštitna obuća                        |
| safety glass                    | sigurnosno staklo                     |
| safety glasses                  | zaštitne naočare                      |
| shift work                      | smenski rad                           |
| work overload                   | radno preopterećenje                  |

Table 4: English N + N syntactic structures and their Serbian translation equivalents (N + Noun in Genitive)

| English N + N Syntactic Structures | Serbian Translation Equivalent (N + PP) |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| accident hazard                  | opasnost od akcidenta                |
| accident risk                    | rizik od nezgode                     |
| breathing mask                   | maska za disanje                     |
| calibration error                | greška u kalibraciji                 |

Table 5: English N+N syntactic structures and their Serbian translation equivalents (Adj + N)

| English N + N Syntactic Structures | Serbian Translation Equivalent (N + PP) |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| water quality                    | kvalitet vode                         |
| worker safety                    | bezbednost radnika                    |
| English Noun-Noun Syntactic Structures | Serbian Translation Equivalents (N + PP) |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| compensation claim                   | zahtev za kompenzaciju                 |
| dust blouse                          | bluza za zastitu od prašine            |
| dust filter                          | filter za prašinu                      |
| dust mask                            | maska protiv prašine                   |
| ear plugs                            | čepovi za uši                          |
| ear protector                        | štitnik za uši                         |
| emergency exit                       | izlaz u slučaju opasnosti              |
| emergency plan                       | plan za hitne slučajeve                 |
| emergency procedure                  | procedura u hitnim slučajevima         |
| explosion hazard                     | opasnost od eksplozije                 |
| explosion protection                 | zaštita od eksplozije                  |
| eye protector                        | zaštitnik za oko                       |
| face shield                          | štitnik za lice                        |
| fire extinguishant                   | sredstvo za gašenje požara            |
| fire extinguisher                    | aparat za gašenje požara               |
| fire hazard                          | opasnost od požara                     |
| fire protection                      | zaštita od požara                      |
| fire risks                           | rizici od požara                       |
| hair net                             | mrežica za kosu                        |
| hand guard                           | štitnik za ruke                        |
| head protection                      | zaštita za glavu                       |
| health hazard                        | opasnost po zdravlje                   |
| radiation injury                     | povreda od zračenja                   |
| safety hazards                       | opasnosti po zdravlje                  |
| safety training                      | obuka iz bezbednosti                   |
| testing laboratory                   | laboratorija za testiranje             |
| ventilation shaft                    | otvor za ventilaciju                  |
| welding mask                         | maska za zavarivanje                   |
| work accident                        | nezgoda na radu                        |
| workplace conditions                 | uslovi na radnom mestu                 |
| workplace hazards                    | opasnosti na radnom mestu              |

Table 6: English N + N syntactic structures and their Serbian translation equivalents (N + PP)
Table 6: English N + N syntactic structures and their Serbian translation equivalents (N + PP)

| English                     | Serbian                      |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
| workplace injury            | povreda na radnom mestu     |
| workplace stress            | stres na radu                |

Енглески језик струке намењен је онима који енглески језик користе у професионалне сврхе и може се дефинисати у погледу употребе вокабулара и синтаксе. Сврха овог истраживања је да испитамо да ли постоји структурално слагање између синтаксичких структура које се састоје од две именице [именица+именица] у енглеском језику заштите на раду и њихових преводних еквивалената у српском језику. Након што смо резултате истраживања сузили на око пет хиљада примера и поређали их по учесталости појављивања у корпусу, узорак од око сто седамдесет примера издвојен је и преведен на српски језик. Анализа је показала да можемо разликовати три типа српских преводних еквивалената, али да ниједан од њих није структурно идентичан енглеским синтаксичким структурама које се састоје од две именице [именица+именица].

Кључне речи: енглески језик струке; синтаксичке структуре; заштита на раду; српски језик; енглески језик.