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1. Introduction

Patients with atherosclerosis and type 2 diabetes often demonstrate elevated endogenous dicarbonyls including malondialdehyde (MDA), glyoxal (GO), and methylglyoxal (MGO) [1]. The level of MDA serves as a clinically validated biomarker of oxidative stress [2, 3]. The major endogenous source of GO and MGO is believed to be high blood glucose and other carbohydrates [4, 5] whereas MDA is produced mainly from polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) during free radical lipoperoxidation. This condition accompanies oxidative stress and is typical to both atherosclerosis and diabetes [2, 6]. The aldehyde/carbonyl groups of GO, MGO, and MDA may react with proteins, nucleic acids, and other biopolymers, which critically contributes to the pathological effects of these reactive dicarbonyl species. In particular, these substances irreversibly modify proteins in vascular cells leading to micro- and macrovasculopathies that manifest in accelerated atherosclerotic plaque progression, augmented endothelial permeability, and consecutive deterioration of underlying tissues [5]. In addition, natural dicarbonyls cause modification of apoprotein B-100 in LDL particles, which contributes to their enhanced uptake by vessel wall cells during atherosclerotic lesion development [2]. The organism
possesses enzymatic systems to detoxify these aldehyde species; however, under conditions of severe oxidative stress, these enzymes would be partially inactivated [7].

The effects of GO and MGO on endothelial permeability were addressed in a number of studies [8–11]. Surprisingly, the effects of MDA received much less attention. Based on chemical differences between these compounds, relative severity of their action on the endothelial barrier is expected to vary, but experimental evidence addressing this issue is limited.

To fill this gap in knowledge, we used a standard human EA.hy926 endothelial cell line as an in vitro model of endothelial monolayer to compare the effects of MDA, MGO, or GO on permeability, cytoskeletal organization, and motile behavior of these cells. We report that MDA potently impairs the endothelial barrier at concentrations approaching those in disease, whereas GO or MGO produces no marked effects on endothelial permeability regardless of the confirmed endothelial protein modifications.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Reagents. General reagents, gelatin, anti-β-tubulin mouse monoclonal antibody (moAb) and peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies, carnosine, lysine, N-acetyl cysteine (NAC), GO, MGO, and glutaraldehyde (GA) were purchased from Sigma. The actual concentration of GO and MGO in stock solutions was at least 90% of that stated by the vendor. MDA was obtained by acid hydrolysis of 2-methylquinoxaline with high-performance liquid chromatography following ion-exchange chromatography. Subsequent testing of moAb to MDA-modified LDL (clone 3G4) and MGO-modified LDL (clone 6D8) using ELISA and in vitro-modified protein standards (LDL, human serum albumin, human IgG, etc.) revealed that the obtained moAb recognized MDA-labelled (clone 3G4) or MGO-labelled (clone 6D8) proteins and did not recognize unmodified proteins. Results obtained in the present work further confirm these findings. There was no moAb reactivity in immunoblotting with proteins of untreated EA.hy926 cells as opposed to MDA- or MGO-treated cells (see Section 3.4). Additionally, we observed no cross-reactivity in immunoblotting of anti-MDA moAb with the proteins of EA.hy926 cells treated with MGO or GO. Neither anti-MGO moAb recognized proteins of EA.hy926 cells treated with MDA or GO (see Supplement Figure 1S in Supplementary Material available online at https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/1625130). Thus, we produced moAb that specifically recognizes MDA- or MGO-modified proteins in ELISA and immunoblotting applications.

2.2. Mouse Monoclonal Antibodies to MDA- or MGO-Modified Proteins. The monoclonal antibodies were produced at the Cardiology Research and Production Complex using standard procedures for mouse immunization and hybridoma generation. In brief, BALB/c mice were repeatedly immunized using low-density lipoproteins (LDL) isolated from blood plasma of healthy donors and modified in vitro by MDA or MGO [17, 18]. Antibodies (IgG) were isolated from ascitic fluids by ammonium sulfate precipitation followed by ion-exchange chromatography. Subsequent testing of moAb to MDA-modified LDL (clone 3G4) and MGO-modified LDL (clone 6D8) using ELISA and in vitro-modified protein standards (LDL, human serum albumin, human IgG, etc.) revealed that the obtained moAb recognized MDA-labelled (clone 3G4) or MGO-labelled (clone 6D8) proteins and did not recognize unmodified proteins.

2.3. Endothelial Cell Culture and Cell Manipulation. EA.hy926 cells were cultured on 0.2% gelatin-coated 100 mm Petri dishes in DMEM with normal (1 g/L) glucose and without pyruvate, supplemented with 200 μM L-glutamine, 100 μg/mL penicillin, 100 μg/mL streptomycin, and 10% fetal calf serum at 37°C and 5% CO2/95% air atmosphere. The growth medium was changed every other day. Cells were split to 1:3 using 0.25% trypsin-EDTA. Prior to experiments, cells were transferred in EGM-2mv for 1.5–2 days to augment their barrier capacity (Samsonov M., unpublished observations).

2.4. Transendothelial Electric Resistance Experiments. EA.hy926 cells were seeded in 300 μL DMEM containing 10% FBS at 105 cells/well in individual wells of 8W1E electrode arrays covered with 0.2% gelatin and stabilized according to the manufacturer’s instructions. After cell attachment and spreading, DMEM growth medium was replaced with 300 μL of EGM-2mv growth medium and cells were further cultured for 1.5 days. The growth medium was replaced with 300 μL HBSS ahead of experiment, and the total electric impedance of the quiescent confluent cell monolayer was monitored for 1 hour using an ECIS-z system (Applied Biophysics, USA). Next, carbonyls (100 to 250 μM) or 100 nM thrombin was added to the wells, and the impedance was monitored for up to 19 hours.

2.5. FITC-Dextran Diffusion Experiments. ThinCerts were coated with 0.2% gelatin; 105 EA.hy926 cells were seeded onto porous membranes in order to produce a confluent monolayer upon cell spreading. EGM-2mv growth medium was added to the top (200 μL) and the bottom (800 μL) compartments of the diffusion chamber, and cells were left for 1.5
days in a CO2 incubator. Next, growth medium in both compartments was replaced with HBSS supplemented with 250 μM MDA, MGO, or GO. After 4 hours of cell incubation with aldehydes, 5 μL of 10 mg/mL FITC-dextran was added to the top compartment and cells were incubated for additional 15 hours in a CO2 incubator. At the end of the experiment, 10 μL medium was taken from the lower compartment of the diffusion chamber and diluted in 100 μL HBSS. Fluorescence intensity of FITC-dextran was measured using the Victor X3 plate reader (Perkin Elmer, USA) at λ<sub>ex</sub> = 495 nm and λ<sub>em</sub> = 535 nm.

2.6. Time-Lapse Microscopy of Endothelial Cells and Analysis of Lamellipodial Activity. EA.hy926 cells were seeded in 0.2% gelatin-covered μ-Slide I<sup>®</sup>4 at 0.5 × 10<sup>5</sup> cells per slide and incubated in EGM-2<sup>®</sup> growth medium for 1.5 days until subconfluence. Growth medium was changed every 12 hours. Cells were washed with HBSS and challenged with 250 μM dicarbonyl solution in HBSS for 4 hours in a CO2 incubator. Then, the μ-Slide was placed under the microscope without washing out dicarbonyls. Time-lapse recording of live EA.hy926 cells was performed using a motorized Axiovert 200M inverted microscope equipped with an on-stage thermostat (37°C) and an AxioCam HR<sub>m</sub> CCD camera (Zeiss, Germany). Movies were recorded using a 40x objective in the phase-contrast mode taking one frame per 20 sec (Zeiss, Germany). Movies were collected and processed using the AxioVision 4.8.2 software (Zeiss, Germany), the ImageJ freeware (NIH, Bethesda, USA), and Adobe Photoshop CS6 (Adobe Corp., USA).

Lamellipodial activity of individual cells was quantified from these movies using the ImageJ freeware. The perimeter of a lamellipodium that expanded/retracted from its initial position during 100 sec period was traced using a freehand selection tool. It was then converted in the number of pixels contained within the outlined perimeter using the “measure” function of ImageJ. Measurements from 10–12 cells were averaged and expressed as the speed of lamellipodial area expansion/retraction in pixels/min.

2.7. Fluorescent Microscopy of Endothelial Cells. EA.hy926 cells were seeded on glass coverslips precoated with 0.2% gelatin and cultured in EGM-2<sup>®</sup> growth medium to subconfluency. Then, the cells were washed once with HBSS and incubated for 5 hours in HBSS (control) or HBSS supplemented with 250 μM GO, MGO, or MDA in a CO2 incubator. Microtubules and microfilaments were visualized in the cells as described previously [19]. Cell nuclei were stained with 1 μg/mL DAPI for 10 min. Fluorescent images were acquired, processed, and assembled using the equipment and software described in time-lapse microscopy subsection.

2.8. Electrophoresis and Western Blotting of Endothelial Cell Lysates. EA.hy926 cells were seeded onto 35 mm Petri dishes coated with 0.2% gelatin in DMEM containing 10% FBS. The medium was exchanged to EGM-2<sup>®</sup> growth medium two days before the experiment and to HBSS immediately prior to the experiment. MDA, MGO, or GO was added to the cells in HBSS at a final concentration of 250 μM and incubated for 5 hours. The cells were washed twice with an ice-cold PBS and lysed in 2× SDS sample buffer containing the Roche Complete Mini EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich, USA). The lysates were passed 5 times through a 30-gauge syringe needle to disrupt DNA, boiled for 5 min, and clarified by centrifugation at 16,000 g at 4°C for 10 min. Western blotting was performed according to standard protocols [20, 21]. The protein bands were detected by chemiluminescence using Clarity ECL reagents (Bio-Rad, USA) and the Fusion-SL 3500WL visualization system (Vilber Lourmat, France).

2.9. Statistics. The data were analyzed using Student’s t-test and presented as mean ± SD. p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Experiments were carried out in triplicates or quadruplicates and repeated at least three times.

3. Results

3.1. Differential Effects of MDA versus GO/MGO on Endothelial Permeability. At concentrations of 150–250 μM, MDA produced a dose-dependent decrease in the total electric impedance of the EA.hy926 cell monolayer measured by the transendothelial electric resistance (TER) assay (Figure 1(a)). This indicates that MDA increases endothelial permeability. However, the loss of the barrier function induced by MDA developed over hours, which contrasts the fast increase in permeability induced by thrombin. By amplitude, the MDA-induced decrease in TER was similar to that elicited by thrombin. Because the effect of 250 μM MDA reached saturation during the observation period, this concentration was chosen to compare the effects of MDA to those of GO or MGO on TER of the EA.hy926 cell monolayer (Figure 1(b)). In contrast to MDA, neither GO nor MGO decreased TER at concentrations of 250 μM as compared to vehicle-treated time controls.

We also used artificial dicarbonyl, glutaraldehyde (GA, 250 μM), which acted similar to MDA and decreased TER of EA.hy926 cells. The effect of glutaraldehyde developed faster and saturated in 3.5–4 hours.

In separate experiments, we checked whether the effect of MDA was irreversible. After a 5-hour exposure, MDA was washed out. This prevented the further decline in TER; however, there was no recovery toward the original resistance values within the next 5 hours of experiment (Figure 1(c)), or later when HBSS was replaced with growth medium in MDA-treated cells (data not shown). Thus, MDA exerted a long-lasting effect on TER of EA.hy926 endothelial cells, and this effect could be considered irreversible in contrast to the effect of thrombin that was over within an hour (Figure 1(a)).

Finally, we used the TER assay to establish whether amino group-containing compounds or antioxidants could neutralize the negative effect of MDA on the endothelial barrier. For this purpose, we used a free amino acid lysine, dipeptide carnosine (β-alanyl-L-histidine), and antioxidant N-acetyl cysteine (NAC). When these substances were added at 0.5 mM in HBSS along with 200 μM MDA, only the carnosine readily prevented the decrease in TER produced by...
MDA (Figure 1(d)). TER dynamics in the presence of lysine was not significantly different from that in the presence of MDA alone although there was a positive trend for increased electric impedance of endothelial cells in the presence of lysine. NAC addition induced a sharp decrease in TER followed by a slow recovery toward the control TER values by the end of the experiment. Carnosine or lysine added at 2 mM protected EA.hy926 cells from deleterious effects of MDA. Comparatively, 2 mM carnosine increased TER of the EA.hy926 cell monolayer above the TER values achieved in the presence of 2 mM lysine or in the untreated control.

As the TER assay does not specifically measure permeability of an endothelial monolayer to macromolecules, we complemented the TER experiments by measuring FITC-dextran diffusion across the EA.hy926 cell monolayer. Figure 2 shows that 15 hours after FITC-dextran addition, the highest fluorescent signal in the lower compartment of a diffusion chamber was achieved in the MDA-treated cells. The effects of either MGO or GO on FITC-dextran permeability across the EA.hy926 cell monolayer were not significant.

3.2. Differential Effects of Malondialdehyde versus Glyoxal/Methylglyoxal on Motility of Endothelial Cortical Cytoplasm.

As the barrier function of the endothelium depends on expansion of the cortical cytoplasm and formation of the adhesive contacts between the adjacent cells, we investigated the motility of the cortical cytoplasm after treatment of EA.hy926 cells with MDA, GO, or MGO. The cells were pretreated with dicarbonyls for 4 hours and monitored for an additional hour using the phase-contrast time-lapse microscopy. Figure 3 shows the selected time-matched
frames from these movies (the full movies are available as Supplementary files 1–4). In a subconfluent state, the control untreated cells demonstrate active formation and retraction of lamellipodia, through which cells establish stable or transient contacts with each other (Figure 4). Active lamellipodial dynamics was also observed in EA.hy926 cells treated with 250 μM of GO or MGO, although in the latter case, the average speed of lamellipodial expansion/retraction decreased nearly 2-fold. In contrast, 250 μM MDA substantially inhibited the lamellipodial motility. It markedly reduced frequency and amplitude of lamellipodium expansion and retraction, as well as the size and number of active lamellipodia. Mainly ruffling of the cortical cytoplasm was detected in MDA-treated cells (Supplementary file 2). Because of reduced lamellipodial activity, the MDA-treated cells stayed mostly retracted and did not establish contacts with each other. Still, the prolonged treatment of cells with 250 μM MDA caused no cell death because in parallel to the ruffling, all cells exhibited active movements of intracellular organelles such as mitochondria and vesicles (Supplementary file 2). In addition, the standard Trypan blue exclusion viability test yielded similar results in the control and MDA-treated cells, 87% and 85%, respectively.

3.3. Differential Effects of MDA versus GO/MGO on Endothelial Cytoskeleton. Cellular shape and motile reactions, including endothelial permeability, depend on the dynamic organization of the cytoskeleton. Therefore, we visualized two major cytoskeletal subsystems of EA.hy926 cells, the microtubules and microfilaments, using anti-tubulin antibodies and F-actin-specific reagent phalloidin, respectively. The panels in Figure 5 demonstrate the distribution of microtubules and microfilaments in the subconfluent EA.hy926 cells that were treated with vehicle (control cells) or 250 μM of either MDA, GO, or MGO. In control cells, the microtubules were organized in a radial fashion and extended well toward the cell periphery reaching at a close distance the microtubules of the neighboring cells (Figures 5(a) and 5(e)). Similar arrangement of microtubules was observed in the cells treated with either GO or MGO. In the GO-treated cells, the microtubular network appears more faint and punctate, but still well spread (Figures 5(c) and 5(g)). In contrast, the majority of the MDA-treated cells lost their radial polarity of microtubules (Figures 5(b) and 5(f)). Their microtubules were distributed chaotically; many of them curved at the cell periphery. Thick bundles of microtubules were observed in some cells, while in other cells, the network collapsed to the perinuclear region.

In control endothelial cells, actin cytoskeleton was represented by the fine actin bundles that extended in parallel throughout the cell body and by the less structured perinuclear actin and nonprominent cortical actin that outlined lamellipodia (Figures 5(i) and 5(m)). The control cells established contacts with each other, either complete or partial with the unclosed gaps of variable size (Figure 5(m)). The cells treated with 250 μM of GO or MGO demonstrated filamentous actin distribution similar to the control cells (Figures 5(k), 5(o), 5(l), and 5(p)). A distinct feature of cells exposed to 250 μM MGO was augmentation of the focal contacts located at the ends of the actin bundles and manifested by more intense F-actin staining (indicated by an asterisk in Figure 5(p)). These structures were often observed in contact regions of the adjacent cells. In contrast, the actin bundles and perinuclear actin were largely reduced in the MDA-treated cells, whereas a large number of F-actin-positive bright dots and aggregates were observed (Figures 5(j) and 5(n)). Many cells exhibited the needle-like projections all around their periphery that were either free extending or contact-conforming cells (Figures 5(a) and 5(e)). Similar arrangement of microtubules was observed in the cells treated with either GO or MGO. In the GO-treated cells, the microtubular network appears more faint and punctate, but still well spread (Figures 5(c) and 5(g)). In contrast, the majority of the MDA-treated cells lost their radial polarity of microtubules (Figures 5(b) and 5(f)). Their microtubules were distributed chaotically; many of them curved at the cell periphery. Thick bundles of microtubules were observed in some cells, while in other cells, the network collapsed to the perinuclear region.

In control endothelial cells, actin cytoskeleton was represented by the fine actin bundles that extended in parallel throughout the cell body and by the less structured perinuclear actin and nonprominent cortical actin that outlined lamellipodia (Figures 5(i) and 5(m)). The control cells established contacts with each other, either complete or partial with the unclosed gaps of variable size (Figure 5(m)). The cells treated with 250 μM of GO or MGO demonstrated filamentous actin distribution similar to the control cells (Figures 5(k), 5(o), 5(l), and 5(p)). A distinct feature of cells exposed to 250 μM MGO was augmentation of the focal contacts located at the ends of the actin bundles and manifested by more intense F-actin staining (indicated by an asterisk in Figure 5(p)). These structures were often observed in contact regions of the adjacent cells. In contrast, the actin bundles and perinuclear actin were largely reduced in the MDA-treated cells, whereas a large number of F-actin-positive bright dots and aggregates were observed (Figures 5(j) and 5(n)). Many cells exhibited the needle-like projections all around their periphery that were either free extending or contacting the neighbor cells. The MDA-treated cells demonstrated only few tight contacts of the type observed in the control cells, and such contacts usually spanned a short distance.

Figure 2: Effects of dicarbonyls on FITC-dextran diffusion through the EA.hy926 endothelial cell monolayer. Cells were challenged with 250 μM MDA, GO, or MGO, and the amount of FITC-dextran diffused through the monolayer was measured 15 hours after its addition on top of the monolayer; this value was normalized by a maximum value of permeability attained in a particular experiment; data from three independent experiments were pooled. *p < 0.05 versus control, MGO, and GO (n = 10–12).
3.4. Differential Protein Modification in Endothelial Cells by MDA and MGO. We used in-house produced monoclonal antibodies that recognize MDA- or MGO-modified proteins in immunoblot lysates of EA.hy926 endothelial cells treated with 250 μM of either MDA or MGO. As shown in Figure 6, both antibodies immunostained multiple protein bands on the total protein transfer of dicarbonyl-treated cells. The molecular weight distribution of the major immunostained protein bands in MDA- and MGO-treated cells was different but partially overlapping. The antibody to MDA-modified proteins intensely labeled the protein bands with the apparent molecular weights of around 50 kDa, 200 kDa, and above 250 kDa. The antibody to MGO-modified proteins revealed three major bands at 50 kDa, between 50 kDa and 75 kDa, and close to 150 kDa molecular weight markers. Alignment of Western blots with the Coomassie-stained gels of total EA.hy926 lysates revealed that the major MDA- and MGO-immunostained protein bands often corresponded to the major Coomassie-stained bands encompassing abundant cellular proteins (see Figure 6).

4. Discussion

Patients with atherosclerosis or diabetes patients with obesity present increased levels of MDA, MGO, and GO in blood plasma and tissues. These substances cause cellular damage through covalent modification and cross-linking of proteins and nucleic acids. In particular, they lead to dysfunction of the vascular endothelium including increased permeability to macromolecules.

Recent estimates of disease-related dicarbonyl levels using HPLC and mass spectrometry provide the values for GO and MGO concentrations in human plasma of 0.4 μM and 0.2 μM, respectively [22]. In type 2 diabetes patients, these values are about 1.5-fold higher. In the whole blood, the GO and MGO concentrations are 4-fold and 14-fold higher, respectively, than those in plasma [22, 23] indicating a higher cellular accumulation of these dicarbonyls. MDA concentration in human plasma falls in the range of 6–14 μM [24], which exceeds GO and MGO plasma levels by an order of magnitude. Measurements of MDA content in
the aortic tissue of rats with streptozotocin-induced diabetes yield the value of 2.18 ± 0.31 nmol per mg of soluble protein [25]. Assuming that soluble proteins mainly come from cells and approximately account for 30% of total cellular mass and that cells comprise about 20–30% volume in the arterial tissue [26], one can estimate the molar content of MDA being...
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**Figure 6:** MDA and MGO modify different proteins in EA.hy926 cells. Western blotting of total EA.hy926 lysates after treatment of the cells with MDA or MGO was performed using the moAb against MDA- and MGO-labelled proteins (see Materials and Methods for details). 1: the Coomassie R-250-stained gel resolving the total EA.hy926 cell lysate; 2 and 3: Western blot of the control (2) or MDA-treated cells (3) with 3G4 moAb, which recognizes the MDA-labelled proteins; 4 and 5: Western blot of the control (4) or MGO-treated cells (5) with 6D8 moAb, which recognizes MGO-labelled proteins. Position of the molecular weight markers (Precision Plus Protein Dual Color-prestained MW standards, Bio-Rad) is shown on the left. Protein bands in all lanes are aligned based on mobility of MW standards.

about 200 μM in diabetic rat aorta and 2.5-fold less in normal
aortic tissue [25]. An extensive search of the available litera-
ture failed to reveal any data on the MDA content in human
diabetic tissues, and we assume that it is approximately the
same as in the rat diabetes model. Hence, similar to MGO/GO
distribution between plasma and cells, our calculations
return by an order of magnitude the higher content of
MDA in tissue than in plasma supporting the range of
MDA concentrations used in this study.

Here, we compared the potency of MDA, MGO, and GO
to compromise the endothelial barrier. A standard endothel-
ial cell model based on the human EA.hy926 endothelial cell
monolayer was chosen for experiments in order to avoid vari-
bility frequently associated with the primary endothelial
cells. We found that at 150 μM and above, MDA caused a
profound increase in endothelial monolayer permeability
whereas neither MGO nor GO had an effect. According to
previous reports, the latter dicarbonyls increase endothelial
permeability in vitro when used at concentrations of
600 μM and 1–3 mM, respectively [9–11]. Noteworthy, the
effect of MDA on endothelial permeability is irreversible in
contrast to the reversible barrier attenuation induced by
thrombin, a powerful edemagenic agent. Such behavior of
endothelial cells is consistent with non-receptor-mediated
randomized protein modification produced by the aldehyde,
which contrasts the PAR1 receptor-mediated mechanism of
thrombin. Thus, based on the side-by-side comparison,
MDA was found considerably more damaging to the endo-
thelial barrier as compared to either MGO or GO. In con-
junction with the higher levels of MDA than MGO or GO
in disease, this PUFA-derived dicarbonyl appears to surpass
the glucose-derived dicarbonyls in its capacity to alter endo-
thelial permeability in vascular pathologies associated with
oxidative stress.

The natural dipeptide carnosine was successfully used to
neutralize MDA toxicity toward the brain endothelial cells
in vitro [27]; however, the authors measured other cellular
parameters than permeability. It is well established that car-
bonyls react with free amino groups in molecules to form a
Schiff base. Thus, a variety of primary amines could serve
as MDA chemical quenchers. Carnosine has a free amino
group at the β-alanine residue. Along with carnosine, we
checked whether amino acid lysine, which possesses two
amino groups, could protect the endothelium from the
MDA attack. In addition, we tested NAC in the same TER
e assay as this compound is considered to attenuate oxidative
stress and pathologic dicarbonyl accumulation. We com-
pared the ability of these substances added at a concentration
of 500 μM to counteract action of 200 μM MDA and revealed
higher protective capacity of carnosine over lysine. At 2 mM
concentration, both substances were protective; however,
TER values were still higher in the presence of carnosine. Per-
haps, the histidine residue of carnosine facilitates the Schiff
base formation/stabilization, thus providing better reaction
conditions than those in the case of the lysine-MDA interac-
tion. In addition, endogenous concentrations of carnosine
(2–20 mM) are in excess of those of lysine (90–150 μM) and
stay in a protective range against tissue levels of MDA.
NAC also protected the endothelial barrier from MDA.
However, the effect of NAC developed slowly and initiated
with a steep decrease in TER like in the case of thrombin.
These properties disfavor the use of NAC as a direct counter
against MDA substance.

Endothelial permeability measured by TER and FITC-
dextran diffusion is a paracellular-type permeability that
depends on contacts between the adjacent endothelial cells
within the monolayer [28]. In order to establish the intercel-
lular contacts, endothelial cells spread toward each other
extending lamellipodia. Using time-lapse microscopy, we
found that MDA-treated endothelial cells fail to maintain
normal lamellipodial activity and were limited to minor ruf-
fling and blebbing of the cortical cytoplasm. These results are
consistent with those of the permeability experiments, in
which endothelial cells were in a denser monolayer but still
could not maintain its integrity in the presence of MDA. In
contrast, MGO-treated cells that also had somewhat reduced
lamellipodial dynamics demonstrated no signs of barrier
compromise. Apparently, the alterations produced by MGO
were rather mild, and lamellipodial motility and adhesive-
ness of these cells remained sufficient to maintain integrity
of the monolayer.

MDA modifies various cellular proteins including those
involved in lamellipodial motility and intercellular adhesion,
such as actin and tubulin isoforms, and those involved in the energy metabolism [29, 30]. Our fluorescent microscopy results corroborate this concept. We document the significant alterations in microtubule and microfilament networks in MDA-treated cells, which is consistent with its suppression of cortical cytoplasm motility, the loss of cellular contacts, and increased permeability to macromolecules. Obviously, in MDA-treated cells, the fenestrated contacts could not support the tight endothelial barrier unless spaces between them are filled by extending the cortical cytoplasm, the process inhibited in these cells.

Although it did not affect the endothelial barrier in our experiments, MGO did modify endothelial proteins as revealed by immunostaining of endothelial proteins on Western blots using the antibody against MGO-labelled proteins. Because of the lack of appropriate commercial antibodies, we were unable to visualize the GO-modified proteins in endothelial cells. However, based on the positive protein labelling by MGO, we assume that GO modified proteins in endothelial cells equally well, still without a detrimental effect on permeability, lamellipodial motility, and cytoskeleton.

In addition to different functional effects of MDA and MGO on the endothelium, the profiles of dicarbonyl-labelled protein bands in endothelial cells are mainly distinct. This could be attributed to chemical differences between these substances. MGO is a zero-length cross-linker whereas MDA is a longer molecule in which carbonyl groups are interspaced by an additional carbon atom. This allows MDA to reach more distant target groups in proteins that are not accessible to MGO. As a result, the effects of MDA versus MGO/GO on molecular dynamics of endothelial proteins might be quite different. Further support to this suggestion comes from our TER experiments using glutaraldehyde, a dialdehyde which carbonyl groups are separated by three carbon atoms instead of one in MDA. In the presence of glutaraldehyde, endothelial permeability increased faster than that in the presence of MDA.

Overall, we view the potential mechanism of MDA-induced endothelial hyperpermeability as a consequence of chemical modifications by this dicarbonyl of endothelial proteins involved in the maintenance of monolayer integrity. MDA efficiently forms cross-links within and between proteins and alters internal mobility of polypeptide chains, their interactions, and their functional properties. As a result, such protein-mediated cellular activities as lamellipodial motility and intercellular adhesion are compromised, and endothelial cells fail to establish the tight barrier. We suggest that protein cross-linking is more detrimental to these processes than just amino acid modifications that produce a mild phenotype.

5. Conclusions

Based on a side-by-side comparison, we identified MDA as a more aggressive dicarbonyl than either GO or MGO with regard to endothelial barrier dysfunction. Exceeding endogenous levels of MDA over GO and MGO and further accumulation of MDA during oxidative stress call for the development of therapies focused on the reduction of oxidative stress in general and MDA levels, in particular, in order to prevent sustained increases in vascular permeability and associated complications in obese diabetic patients and patients with atherosclerosis.
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