Usefulness of Narrow-Band Imaging in Endoscopic Submucosal Dissection of the Stomach
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There have been many advances in endoscopic imaging technologies. Magnifying endoscopy with narrow-band imaging is an innovative optical technology that enables the precise discrimination of structural changes on the mucosal surface. Several studies have demonstrated its usefulness and superiority for tumor detection and differential diagnosis in the stomach as compared with conventional endoscopy. Furthermore, magnifying endoscopy with narrow-band imaging has the potential to predict the invasion depth and tumor margins during gastric endoscopic submucosal dissection. Classifications of the findings of magnifying endoscopy with narrow-band imaging based on microvascular and pit patterns have been proposed and have shown excellent correlations with invasion depth confirmed by microscopy. In terms of tumor margin prediction, magnifying endoscopy with narrow-band imaging offers superior delineation of gastric tumor margins compared with traditional chromoendoscopy with indigo carmine. The limitations of narrow-band imaging, such as the need for considerable training, long procedure time, and lack of studies about its usefulness in undifferentiated cancer, should be resolved to confirm its value as a complementary method to endoscopic submucosal dissection. However, the role of magnifying endoscopy with narrow-band imaging is expected to increase steadily with the increasing use of endoscopic submucosal dissection for the treatment of gastric tumors. Clin Endosc 2018;51:527-533
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INTRODUCTION

Gastrointestinal endoscopy is an indispensable modality for the diagnosis and treatment of digestive tract diseases. Together with the tremendous increase in its use, the limitations of conventional endoscopy for detecting and discriminating between minute and subtle lesions have been highlighted. Many advances in endoscopic imaging technologies have been made to overcome the shortcomings of conventional endoscopy. The term “image-enhanced endoscopy” (IEE) encompasses various means of improving contrast by using dyes and optical or electronic techniques. Among various IEE techniques, chromoendoscopy with dyes has been the traditional and most popular method for detecting lesions or delineating tumor contours since the 1980s, because it enhances mucosal irregularities and surface color differences. Another novel IEE technique is electronic chromoendoscopy, which includes narrow-band imaging (NBI), i-Scan, and flexible spectral imaging color enhancement. These methods enable digital acquisition of enhanced images through various image-processing techniques, thus eliminating the need for spraying dyes on the mucosa. Among these electronic chromoendoscopy methods, NBI is the most widely applied in clinical practice, and its usefulness has been relatively well demonstrated and validated in many trials. The current clinical application of NBI in the stomach has been expanded to include the detection and diagnosis of various lesions.

Advances in endoscopic devices and techniques have led to many improvements in endoscopic treatment. Endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) is preferred for resection of early cancer in the gastrointestinal tract owing to its many...
advantages over endoscopic mucosal resection. Furthermore, its indications and applicability for use in different organs or diseases have expanded. One of the most important factors affecting clinical outcomes after ESD is the selection of appropriate patients based on the results of careful evaluations. A key point to achieving acceptable patient selection is the determination of invasion depth. During ESD, accurate delineation of the tumor margins is critical for achieving complete resection. For these essential steps, several studies have demonstrated that NBI can be helpful. This review details the clinical application of NBI and focuses on predicting invasion depth and tumor delineation during gastric ESD.

TECHNOLOGICAL BACKGROUND OF NBI

Since its introduction in 2005, NBI has become one of the frequently used IEE techniques. Gono et al. found that the use of 415-nm narrow-band light could improve capillary images, which are obscured under white light. After Sano reported the potential use of NBI in the gastrointestinal tract, the scope of the application of this technology has considerably expanded.

With the placement of an NBI filter between a xenon lamp and a red-green-blue rotary filter, the spectrum of illumination can be transformed from broad-band blue, green, and red to narrow-band blue (415 nm) and green (540 nm) in NBI mode (Fig. 1). This NBI filter is removed under white-light observation. Although these two narrow-band images (415 and 540 nm) are reproduced by an NBI filter, 3 original images must be output to the red, blue, and green channels on the monitor to create a color image. Subsequently, the 415 nm image is allocated to the blue and green channels so that blood vessels on the superficial mucosal layer are reproduced in brown, whereas the 540 nm image is allocated to the red channel so that the vessels in the deeper layer can be expressed as greenish-blue. The thin capillary network on the mucosal surface is brownish, whereas thick blood vessels are cyan. Blood vessels are revealed clearly because hemoglobin is a chromophore that determines the color of the mucosa. The 2 narrow-band illuminations used in the NBI system, 415 and 540 nm, coincide with the 2 absorption peaks of hemoglobin. Therefore, blue narrow-band illumination has strong scattering and absorption properties that can enhance the visualization of blood vessels.

MAGNIFYING ENDOSCOPY WITH NBI

Several studies have demonstrated that the findings of magnification endoscopy had excellent correlation with histological diagnoses in premalignant gastric lesions and early gastric cancer (EGC). Magnifying endoscopy combined with NBI (M-NBI) can maximize the usefulness of NBI in various organs, especially the stomach. NBI images without magnification are too dark to identify the structural and color changes in organs with a large lumen such as the stomach. A dark image is seen because the NBI system uses only 2 narrow illumination lights, whereas conventional white-light imaging uses wide-band visible light.

In clinical practice, high-definition magnifying endoscopes (GIF-H260Z and GIF-H290Z; Olympus Co., Tokyo, Japan) and an electronic endoscopy system (EVIS LUCERA ELITE VIDEO SYSTEM; Olympus Co.) are commonly used to observe gastric lesions. The structure enhancement function of the video system is usually set at a level of 8 for magnifying observation. A black soft hood mounted at the tip of the scope is essential to optimize examinations. It enables endoscopists to maintain a distance of about 2 mm between the tip of the zoom lens and the mucosal surface. Clear and maximally magnified images can be obtained using this detailed manipulation technique.

CLINICAL APPLICATION OF NBI IN THE STOMACH

As mentioned above, NBI is only applicable in the stomach when it is used with magnifying endoscopy. It enhances the mucosal microvascular architecture and surface pattern to detect faint mucosal changes that occur in response to various conditions in the stomach. Many studies have reported its...
feasibility for identifying subtle changes associated with atrophy, intestinal metaplasia, Helicobacter pylori infection, and EGC. Among these, its role in discriminating EGC, which is of considerable concern to endoscopists, has been studied widely. Focusing on EGC, several studies have shown that M-NBI is useful in the detection of tumors and for prediction of tumor differentiation, invasion depth, and margins. M-NBI into a relatively regular fine network and an irregular corkscrew capillary pattern. They classified the microvascular structures observed with M-NBI into a relatively regular fine network and an irregular corkscrew capillary pattern. The former pattern was highly correlated with differentiated cancer and the latter was highly correlated with undifferentiated cancer (66.1% and 85.7%, respectively).

Although the results from several studies showed an excellent correlation between M-NBI findings and the diagnosis and differentiation of EGC, they should be confirmed with histopathologic examinations before ESD. In other words, M-NBI alone is insufficient to definitively diagnose EGC and indicate ESD without histologic confirmation. However, the invasion depth and tumor margins can be confirmed only after ESD. Therefore, it is likely that M-NBI will play an important role in making decisions about ESD in terms of predicting the invasion depth and tumor margins. These issues will be discussed in the following sections.

**PREDICTION OF INVASION DEPTH**

The determination of invasion depth plays a critical role in establishing the treatment strategy for EGC, such as ESD or surgery. Conventional endoscopy and endoscopic ultrasonography (EUS) are the mainstay techniques for evaluating invasion depth in EGC. Some studies showed that the overall accuracy of conventional endoscopy for assessing invasion depth was 75%–82%. Tsujii et al. demonstrated that EUS may have an additional benefit of the endoscopic prediction of invasion depth in EGC. They suggested that an integrated diagnostic strategy combining conventional endoscopy and EUS had a comprehensive accuracy of >85%. In contrast, another study showed that EUS had lower accuracy than conventional endoscopy (67.4% vs. 73.7%, \(p<0.001\)). This may mean that about 20% of patients with EGC cannot receive optimal treatment after careful evaluation with only conventional endoscopy and EUS. Owing to the need for a novel modality to overcome this limitation, some researchers have investigated the feasibility of M-NBI for predicting invasion depth in cases of EGC.

Yagi et al. categorized magnified NBI images of differentiated EGC cases based on the microvascular and pit pattern into mesh, loop, and interrupted patterns. They found an excellent correlation between M-NBI and invasive change; 94.9% of...
patients with a mesh or loop pattern had mucosal cancer and 92.3% with an interrupted pattern had submucosal (SM) cancer. Another group tried to discriminate SM2 cancer from mucosal and SM1 cancer by using M-NBI because SM2 cancer requires surgical resection. They showed that the M-NBI findings of unstructured, scattered, and multicaliber vessels had the potential to indicate SM2 invasion in cases of differentiated EGC. Kikuchi et al. suggested that invasion depth could be predicted more simply by the presence or absence of dilated vessels. The diagnostic criterion of the presence of dilated vessels alone showed a diagnostic accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity of 81.5%, 37.5%, and 88.3%, respectively. Although some studies have demonstrated the potential of M-NBI for predicting invasion depth in EGC, an established classification system and well-designed studies are needed to enable its application in routine clinical practice.

DETERMINING TUMOR MARGINS

Compared with endoscopic mucosal resection, one of the main advantages of ESD is that it can be used to resect tumors regardless of size. However, this is only possible if the tumor margins can be clearly identified. Endoscopists sometimes encounter obscure lateral margins in EGC owing to gastritis and/or intestinal metaplasia in the mucosa surrounding the tumor. Traditionally, conventional white-light endoscopy and/or chromoendoscopy with indigo carmine have been used to determine gastric tumor margins (Fig. 3). Several studies have demonstrated that the accuracy rate of chromoendoscopy with indigo carmine for predicting tumor margins was 75.9%–85.7%. In other words, about 20% of patients who underwent ESD are at risk of incomplete resection due to inaccurate delineation.

M-NBI is currently the most promising method for determining tumor margins and characterizing lesions. Its findings are used in the detection of EGC features such as a DL and irregularity of surface and microvascular patterns (Fig. 4). M-NBI is relatively well established as a tool for delineating EGC cases. Several prospective and retrospective studies have demonstrated that M-NBI offers superior delineation of gastric tumor margins compared with chromoendoscopy with indigo carmine. A randomized trial from Japan compared M-NBI and chromoendoscopy with indigo carmine for determining tumor margins in 118 gastric tumor cases. The accuracy of tumor margin marking was significantly higher in the M-NBI group than in the chromoendoscopy group (97.4% vs. 77.8%, *p*=0.009). Another recent prospective study also showed the excellent accuracy of M-NBI for evaluating the horizontal extent of EGC compared with chromoendoscopy (89.4% vs. 75.9%, *p*=0.0071). M-NBI successfully delineated all margins in 72.6% of cases, even in those with an unclear margin on chromoendoscopy, in a case series of 350 patients with EGC.

DUAL-FOCUS MAGNIFICATION WITH UPDATED NBI

As mentioned earlier, NBI should be combined with mag-
nifying endoscopy in the stomach because of the noise and darkness. A newly developed dual-focus (DF) endoscope (GIF-HQ290; Olympus Co.) and updated NBI system (EVIS LUCERA ELITE, CV-190; Olympus Co.) have addressed these limitations of conventional NBI systems. Along with increased brightness of the updated NBI system, simplified digital magnification involving the push of a button was adopted (Fig. 5A). The DF function has a novel optical innovation that allows the endoscopist to select between a normal mode and a near-focus mode. The near-focus mode is optimized for near-field observation with 45-fold magnification (Fig. 5B). Some studies have addressed the usefulness of the updated NBI system. Goda et al. identified the noninferiority of DF-NBI for detecting superficial carcinoma in the pharynx and esophagus compared with conventional M-NBI. Another Japanese study group reported that DF-NBI was also useful for the differential diagnosis of diminutive colorectal lesions. Although a retrospective study from the United Kingdom demonstrated that DF-NBI improved the diagnostic yield of upper gastrointestinal mucosal lesions, its usefulness for gastric lesions is questionable. Further studies are needed to confirm whether this updated NBI system can replace the

Fig. 4. Early gastric cancer observed using magnifying endoscopy with narrow-band imaging. (A) A demarcation line is clearly revealed. (B) The tumor margins are well delineated based on the irregular microvascular pattern.

Fig. 5. Dual-focus magnification with the updated narrow-band imaging (NBI) system. (A) Updated NBI system with an improved light source that allows brighter images. (B) The near-focus mode of dual-focus NBI provides accurate delineation of the tumor by about 45-fold near-field magnification.
conventional M-NBI system.

**LIMITATIONS OF NBI**

Despite its considerable potential for clinical application in the stomach, NBI has some limitations that need to be overcome in the ESD era. In terms of the procedure, endoscopists should be fully trained and should have gained considerable experience to ensure optimal M-NBI performance. Delineation before ESD can be time consuming, especially in cases of large tumors. Its role in predicting invasion depth and tumor margin in EGC is limited to differentiated cancers. Despite studies identifying the feasibility of M-NBI for accurate delineation, it is challenging to determine tumor margins in undifferentiated cancers. Furthermore, few studies evaluating the usefulness of predicting invasion depth have been performed in cases of differentiated cancer. This is probably because undifferentiated cancer often spreads within the lamina propria sparsely before its exposure on the mucosal surface. Another reason is that even patients with small undifferentiated cancers are more likely to undergo surgery than ESD. Therefore, M-NBI may not have a critical role for the detection of undifferentiated cancers at present. The expansion of the indications of ESD as a treatment for diminutive undifferentiated cancers will increase the need for M-NBI. It is also expected that technological advances such as DF-NBI will compensate for these limitations and increase the utilization of NBI in the stomach.

**CONCLUSIONS**

In the ESD era, the usefulness of IEE techniques such as M-NBI is expanding based on the results from several studies. M-NBI is an innovative optical technology that enables precise discrimination of the morphological changes on the gastric mucosal surface. It could be an especially useful modality during ESD in terms of predicting invasion depth and enabling accurate delineation of tumor margins. Limitations such as the requirement for considerable training, long procedure time, and the lack of studies about its usefulness in undifferentiated cancer should be resolved if M-NBI is to become a popular and attractive complementary method to ESD. The role of M-NBI is expected to expand together with the increasing use of ESD in the treatment of gastric tumors. Future well-designed studies are also needed to confirm the effect of M-NBI on the outcomes of ESD.
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