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A B S T R A C T

This study analyzed Baker’s yeast efficiency based upon its ability of growth on various agro-industrial wastes that act as the carbon source with an addition of nitrogen supplement at various growth parameters. Various locally available carbon sources including molasses, fruit bagasse juice, sugarcane bagasse juice and whey were used with inorganic as well as organic supplementation of corn steep liquor at a concentration of 50g/L. Increased productivity in case of the lab isolate was observed upon the use of organic supplementation @16.16%. Hence, unclarified molasses with CSL supplementation was considered as the best-suited growth medium. A comparative study was carried out in terms of variations in the pH, reducing sugars and biomass yield in both the test strains. With reference to the growth efficiency, bread production was carried out at the pre-set growth parameters. Comparative results were observed in both the test strains. Difference was observed in the case of the shelf stability of the final product at prolonged incubation period wherein the lab isolate showed better physical results.
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Introduction

Fermentation is any process involving the mass culture of microorganisms, either aerobically or anaerobically [1]. It is also the use of an organic substrate as the electron donor and a degraded organic substrate as an electron acceptor. Saccharomyces cerevisiae, also known as the Baker’s yeast, has been used in the fermentation industry for long, as a basic tool for fermentation of the dough. Manufacturers of baker’s yeast are in constant pursuit of strains with improved dough-rising properties and capacity to retain fermentative ability during storage at a low temperature in order to meet baking industry requirements such as the increasing use of frozen dough’s [2]. the function of yeast in bread making which is considered as a staple food for a large section of world’s population) cannot be successfully replaced by any other ingredient, thus, it is vital for the baking trade [3].

The concept of utilizing excess biomass or wastes from agricultural and agro-industrial residues to produce energy, feeds or foods, and other useful products is not necessarily new. Recently, fermentation of biomass has gained considerable attention due to the forthcoming scarcity of fossil fuels, and also due to the necessity of increasing world food and feed supplies [4]. The objective of
baker’s yeast manufacturing is to harvest as fast as possible the highest amount of living cell mass at the lowest cost without any due wastage. Therefore, the demand to optimize the yield on best-suited carbon and nitrogen source is being practiced.

The different parameters for the analysis of various commercial yeasts and an isolate from fermenting orange juice include: physicochemical analysis, biochemical analysis, fermentation and assimilation studies, pseudohyphae formation, carbohydrate and trehalose content, invertase activity and freeze tolerance ability. The microbiological analysis revealed a contrasting behavior among various yeasts preparations.

The rationale of the present study, depending on these parameters was to select the most efficient yeast strain among the various commercial yeasts and to compare its efficiency against the lab isolate.

Allinson yeast was selected for comparison with the fruit juice isolate for growth study analysis on various carbon and nitrogen sources, and further, for the production of bread as a final product.

Allinson yeast showed maximum carbohydrate content (33.62 %) among the commercial strains, which was comparable with FJ1 (33.89%). Trehalose content was maximum in FJ1 followed by Falora (3.38%). However, invertase activity of Allinson was found highest (205 U/mg). On the basis of highest freeze tolerance, high invertase activity, high carbohydrate content, comparable trehalose content and highest dough rising ability, Allinson yeast was selected as a control against the lab isolate whose morphological and biochemical characterization depicts a variation from the selected commercial yeasts [5].

Materials and Methods

Standardization of parameters for optimum biomass production

Effect of pH on biomass production

The growth of both the selected isolates was studied in a buffered medium (GYE) with pH values of 4.0, 4.5, 5.0, 5.5, 6.0 and 6.5 at 100 RPM and a temperature of 28°C. Optical density was measured at 580 nm up to 54 hrs.

Effect of temperature on biomass production

Shaking the incubator at 100 RPM, the effect of temperature on growth of both the isolates was studied at the optimized pH at 20°C, 25°C, 30°C, 35°C and 40°C.

Effect of aeration on biomass production

Similarly, the growth of both the strains was studied in a buffered medium (GYE) with an optimized pH and incubated at a different rotation per minute (RPM) i.e. 80, 100, 120, 140.

Different agro-industrial sources as substrate for biomass production

The growth of selected isolates at optimized conditions was studied by using five different agro-industrial wastes i.e. Molasses (Clarified), Molasses (Un-clarified), Fruit bagasse juice, Whey and Sugar cane bagasse juice.

Molasses (Clarified) as substrate for biomass production

Sugarcane molasses of 86.7% total sugar and pH 6.4 was obtained from The Budhewal Cooperative Sugar Mill Ltd., Ludhiana. Molasses clarification, according to the
method given by [3], was done. For growth analysis in clarified medium, preparation of 1 litre (10% sugar), that included 400 ml of clarified molasses (25%) sugar, was added to 600 ml of tap water with 1.5g of urea, 0.5g of KH$_2$PO$_4$ and 0.5g of MgSO$_4$. Periodic samples were collected at 6 hr intervals for biomass yield and an optical density was noted at 600nm.

**Molasses (un-clarified) as substrate for biomass production**

Growth analysis was also done in an un-clarified molasses medium, where the clarification step was not performed. Supplementation was done similar to the above case.

**Whey as substrate for biomass production**

Whey was collected from a local dairy and stored under refrigeration conditions. Medium for the biomass production of baker’s yeast was prepared [6]. This included: Whey: 50ml, Yeast Extract: 3.0g, (NH$_4$)$_2$HPO$_4$: 1.0, (NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$: 2.0, MgSO$_4$: 0.5 per litre of water.

**Sugarcane juice extracted from sugarcane bagasse for biomass production**

Sugarcane bagasse was procured from the local market and was grounded to a fine particle size. The wet method [7] was performed using 0.2 g NaOH per g bagasse pith, with a solid: liquid ratio of 1: 10.

**Fruit Juice extracted from fruit bagasse as substrate for biomass production**

The method given by [8] was followed. Freshly processed fruit waste was collected from a fruit juice vendor and shredded to a small particle size. Tap water was added in the ratio of 1:1 by weight. The solid residue was separated from liquid fraction by centrifugation at 4000 RPM for 5 min; the supernatant was, thus, used for yeast cultivation. Inorganic supplementation was done by addition of NH$_4$H$_2$PO$_4$ @ 5g/L.

**Supplementation of nitrogen source**

Since un-clarified molasses was considered as the best carbon source, organic nitrogen supplementation was done with corn steep liquor (CSL) as an alternative source of nitrogen that was supplemented @ 50g/L.

**Biomass production studies at optimized conditions**

Molasses supplemented with corn steep liquor at a concentration of 50g/L was used for the biomass production of baker’s yeast. A quantity of 5L was prepared for the biomass production. Further, periodic samples were drawn for pH, reducing sugar and biomass estimation of the yeast up to 54 hrs. The biomass concentrate for each yeast sample was obtained by centrifugation at 6000 RPM for 15 min. The concentrate was washed sufficiently with sterilized water and was stored under refrigeration for bread making.

**Physicochemical properties of raw materials and final product**

Wheat flour procured from the market was analyzed for their moisture content, crude protein, Ash, Fat and Gluten as per the standard methods [9]. The final product (Bread) prepared from commercial yeast and theFJ1 isolate was analyzed for the same parameters.

**Baking properties**

**Quality analysis of final product**

After supplementing a considerable amount of biomass production from molasses with corn steep liquor, it was used in the preparation of
bread. Straight dough AACC method, with remixing procedures [10], was followed with a difference that dough was mixed using the desired water absorption.

**Bread quality**

The loaves were packed in polyethylene bags and analyzed for loaf weight, crumb characteristics and crust characteristics. The volume of the bread was noted by the rapeseed displacement method [11].

**Evaluation of sensory attributes of bread prepared from commercial yeast and FJ1**

The bread was evaluated organoleptically on the basis of appearance, color, texture, flavor and overall acceptability by a panel of judges. Consumer acceptance of the products was evaluated on a nine-point “Hedonic scale”.

**Shelf stability of bread fermented by selected commercial yeast and FJ1 isolate**

Shelf stability of both the bread loaves was carried out for an incubation period of 1 month at a room temperature. Thereafter, physical appearance for any fungal contamination was noted.

**Results and Discussion**

**Effect of pH on biomass production**

Results presented in figures 1 and 2 revealed a pH of 6.0 as best for cell biomass production in the commercial yeast strain as well as in FJ1 isolate. While at 4.5 and 5.0, pH minimum growth was observed. The study reported maximum production rate (sugar consumption and dry cell mass) of *S. cerevisiae* isolated from dates (predominant in Pakistan) at the initial pH of 6 [12]. These little differences can be due to the isolates origin, where the strains under the present investigation were isolated from commercial yeasts preparation produced from controlled fermentation processes in the fermenters which adapted over the time to low pH and also from natural processes in fermenting juice.

**Effect of temperature on biomass production**

Results presented in figures 3 and 4 showed an optimum cell growth at 30°C, whereas, comparatively lesser growth were recorded at 35°C and 40°C. Least growth was recorded at a temperature of 20°C and 25°C. In this regard, the study conducted showed the changes in growth, expressed as a dry weight of *S. cerevisiae*, after 24 h of incubation, over a temperature range of 20-40°C [13]. Whereby, growth on both substrates i.e. glucose syrup and molasses was significantly affected by the incubation temperature.

**Effect of aeration on biomass production**

The yeast cell has the valuable characteristic of being able to grow in varied environments. The presence of oxygen is clearly a necessary condition for aerobic metabolism [14]. Therefore, the growth of baker’s yeast at different aeration rates was checked. Results showed that maximum growth of yeast was observed at 120 RPM (Figures 5 and 6).

Further, increase in aeration to 140 RPM did not result in any significant increase in the biomass production of yeast. Allinson yeast showed minimum growth at both the extremes of RPM (80 and 140), but FJ1 isolate showed the least growth at 80 RPM whereas, at 100 and 140 RPM no significant difference in growth was observed.
Different agro-industrial sources as substrate for biomass production

The growth of baker’s yeast was studied on five different agro-industrial wastes that included molasses (clarified), molasses (un-clarified), whey, sugarcane bagasse juice and fruit bagasse juice. Growth was measured in terms of increase in optical density (600nm) periodically at 6hr internal up to 54 hrs. Biochemical composition of the different substrate is given in table 1.

Many studies reported that sugarcane molasses can be used as a substrate for the production of yeast biomass [15]. Commercial yeast, as well as the FJ1 isolate grown on clarified molasses, showed extensive growth at the optimized conditions, thereby, depicting the usefulness of molasses as a rich carbon source.

The preliminary study conducted to test the potential of the molasses, in supporting the growth of yeast cells, indicated that both the yeast strains were able to grow by assimilating the sugar present in molasses, regardless of the initial sugar concentration. Allinson showed a maximum biomass production of 0.524g/100ml at an optical density of 0.655 after 42 hr of incubation, whereas, FJ1 isolate showed maximum biomass yield of 0.544g/100ml at an optical density of 0.890 after 42 hr of incubation. These results are in accordance with the results obtained [3], who observed that a medium of molasses (10% sugar) after 24 hr seemed to be an efficient substrate.

Moreover, a study reported the good sugar utilization with an initial sugar concentration up to 10% (w/v); however, the utilization decreased significantly, when its concentration was increased from 15 to 20% [16].

Molasses (un-clarified) as substrate for biomass production

Commercial yeast and FJ1 isolate grown on un-clarified molasses, as the sole carbon source, showed maximum growth in terms of the optical density measured at 600 nm. Figures 7 and 8 show the comparative increase in optical density (600nm) of both Allinson and FJ1 isolate on different agro-industrial substrates, which depicts the maximum growth of both the yeast strains that are grown on an un-clarified molasses medium supplemented with inorganic elements. Maximum biomass yield of 0.577 g/100ml at an optical density of 0.777 after 48 hr of incubation was observed in commercial yeast, whereas FJ1 isolate exhibited maximum yield of 0.597 at an optical density of 0.988 after 36 hr of incubation. In this regard, a study illustrated the good utilization of sugar obtained with initial sugar concentration up to 10% (w/v), however, the utilization decreased significantly when the concentration was increased from 15-20% [3]. They added that the decreased utilization with high concentrations was probably due to osmotic effects on yeast cell membrane (Figs. 9 and 10).

Whey as substrate for biomass production

Whey, a valuable product from cheese manufacturing units, was obtained from a local dairy. Whey contains basic sugar lactose and also contains vitamins, minerals which improve the physiological activity of yeast cells. To achieve a good utilization of lactose from whey, it is especially important to choose a yeast strain with suitable physiological characteristics. The growth of commercial yeast and FJ1 isolate on whey showed a significantly lower growth in comparison to molasses medium. Allinson exhibited maximum biomass yield of 0.312 g/100ml at an optical density of 0.388 after 36
/hr of incubation, whereas FJ1 isolate showed a maximum yield of 0.323 g/100ml at an optical density of 0.477 after 36 hr of incubation. This may be, as lactose is not a preferred sugar for utilization by the selected yeast.

**Sugarcane bagasse juice as substrate for biomass production**

Sugarcane bagasse juice procured from the local market, which was processed by the wet method [7], was used for the growth study of baker’s yeast. It showed limited growth as compared to molasses (both un-clarified and clarified). Growth was significantly lower than the growth in molasses.

Allinson yeast showed maximum biomass yield of 0.476 g/100ml at an optical density of 0.587 after 48 hr of incubation, whereas, FJ1 isolate showed maximum growth yield of 0.480 g/100ml at an optical density of 0.754 after 42 hr of incubation. Sugarcane bagasse juice showed a limited growth in comparison to the molasses medium though it was higher than that exhibited by whey as the substrate.

**Fruit bagasse as substrate for biomass production**

Fruit bagasse was collected from a local fruit juice vendor and the juice was extracted by boiling 1 Kg of bagasse in 1 litre of tap water. This substrate supported minimum growth as a measure of optical density at 600nm.

Allinson yeast showed maximum biomass yield of 0.279 g/100ml at an optical density of 0.278 after 48 hr of incubation, whereas FJ1 isolate showed a maximum yield of 0.268 g/100ml at an optical density of 0.222 after 42 hr of incubation. Fruit bagasse juice showed least growth yield, in the case of the commercial as well as the isolated yeast, and was considered the least preferred source for yeast biomass production.

**Supplementation of nitrogen source**

For increasing the biomass production and replacement of molasses with another industrial waste, corn steep liquor (CSL), which is another industrial waste from maize processing industry and is rich in nitrogen, has been used as an organic supplement for nitrogen.

The comparatively higher optical density of commercial yeast with molasses + CSL as a growth medium, in comparison to molasses (un-clarified) alone, showed its applicability as being a better and cheaper source of nitrogen.

Organic supplementation of un-clarified molasses with CSL @ 50g/L resulted in improved biomass yield, with a significantly higher biomass production of 0.589 g/100ml at an optical density of 0.798 in case of Allinson yeast, whereas it was 0.612 g/100 ml at an optical density of 0.994 after 48 hr of incubation, as compared to un-clarified molasses diluted with water. Therefore, supplementation of CSL to molasses is a viable option for improving biomass yield of baker’s yeast.

**Biomass production studies at optimized conditions**

Biomass production was carried out in 5L capacity fermenter by using molasses (un-clarified) with an organic supplementation of corn steep liquor at the rate of 50g/L at optimum pH (6.0) and at an optimum incubation temperature (30°C) and 120 RPM.

During the biomass production, a pH profile, reducing sugar content and biomass yield profile was also studied both in molasses + water and molasses + CSL medium. The results are depicted in table 17 and 18 respectively (Table 2; Figs. 11 and 12).
Fig. 1-6 Effect of different parameters on the biomass growth of Allinson yeast and FJ1 isolate.
**Fig. 7** Effect of different agro-industrial wastes on biomass production of Allinson Yeast

**Fig. 8** Effect of different agro-industrial wastes on biomass production of FJ1 Yeast

**Fig. 9** Effect of organic supplementation on biomass production of Allinson Yeast

**Fig. 10** Effect of organic supplementation on biomass production of FJ1 Yeast
Fig. 11 Biomass yield of Allinson yeast at optimized conditions

Fig. 12 Biomass yield of FJ1 at optimized conditions

Fig. 13 pH profile of supplemented and un-supplemented molasses during growth of FJ1 isolate

Fig. 14 Reducing sugar content profile of growth of FJ1 supplemented and isolate un-supplemented molasses during growth of FJ1 isolate

Fig. 15 Biomass yield of supplemented and un-supplemented Molasses during growth of FJ1 isolate
### Table 1: Comparative amount of different constituents in agro-industrial wastes

| Components                  | Molasses (Clarified) | Molasses (Unclarified) | Whey | Sugarcane bagasse juice | Fruit bagasse juice |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------|-------------------------|---------------------|
| Crude Protein content (%)   | 2.23                 | 2.17                   | 0.90 | 11                      | 0.36                |
| Reducing sugar % (w/v)      | 53.6                 | 54.9                   | 5.14 | 16.2                    | 4.56                |
| pH                          | 5.01                 | 5.7                    | 4.86 | 7.0                     | 8.0                 |
| Brix (°B)                   | 76.78                | 95.50                  | 4.3  | 6.7                     | 1.5                 |

### Table 2: Comparative pH profile, reducing sugar and biomass yield of Molasses + water and molasses + CSL

| Medium                    | Molasses + Water | Molasses + CSL |
|---------------------------|------------------|----------------|
| Incubation period         | pH               | Reducing Sugar % (w/v) | Biomass yield (g/100ml) | pH | Reducing Sugar % (w/v) | Biomass yield (g/100ml) |
| 0 hr                      | 5.01±0.09        | 53.6±1.9            | 0.45±0.013               | 4.65±0.09 | 54.9±2.06               | 0.270±0.015               |
| 6 hr                      | 5.00±0.09        | 52.5±1.6            | 0.211±0.013              | 4.60±0.09 | 54.2±1.79               | 0.271±0.015               |
| 12 hr                     | 5.03±0.09        | 50.4±1.2            | 0.267±0.013              | 4.57±0.09 | 53.3±1.33               | 0.279±0.016               |
| 18 hr                     | 4.99±0.09        | 50.1±1.1            | 0.356±0.014              | 4.57±0.09 | 50.9±1.30               | 0.298±0.016               |
| 24 hr                     | 4.96±0.09        | 44.7±1.0            | 0.422±0.012              | 4.55±0.09 | 49.1±1.11               | 0.376±0.017               |
| 30 hr                     | 4.93±0.09        | 42.8±0.9            | 0.424±0.008              | 4.55±0.09 | 46.9±1.06               | 0.400±0.018               |
| 36 hr                     | 4.92±0.09        | 40.2±0.9            | 0.456±0.17               | 4.56±0.09 | 44.9±1.02               | 0.565±0.016               |
| 42 hr                     | 4.85±0.09        | 38.7±0.9            | 0.563±0.017              | 4.54±0.09 | 41.6±0.99               | 0.654±0.018               |
| 48 hr                     | 4.82±0.09        | 36.7±0.9            | 0.556±0.018              | 4.54±0.09 | 33.7±0.97               | 0.634±0.018               |
| 54 hr                     | 4.80±0.09        | 29.7±0.9            | 0.532±0.018              | 4.53±0.09 | 27.3±0.90               | 0.621±0.18                |
| CD (5%)                   | NS               | 0.452               | NS                        | 3.96       | 0.393                  |

Mean values ± Standard Error of three independent experiments

### Table 3: Proximate composition of raw material (Flour) and final product (bread)

| Components | Flour Amount (g/100g) | Bread Control¹ Amount (g/100g) | Bread Sample² Amount (g/100g) |
|------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Fat        | 0.98±0.019            | 3.43±0.07                       | 3.36±0.06                      |
| Fibre      | 1.18±0.02300          | 0.93±0.02                       | 0.98±0.02                      |
| Protein    | 12.31±0.235           | 14.9±0.28                       | 12.8±0.24                      |
| Ash        | 0.63±0.012            | 0.65±0.01                       | 0.67±0.01                      |
| Moisture   | 12.515±0.238          | 32.46±0.61                      | 36.31±0.69                     |
| Carbohydrate | 72.39±1.176          | 47.63±0.91                      | 45.88±0.87                     |
| Gluten (%) | Wet   | 30.955±0.59                | ND                             | ND                             |
|            | Dry    | 10.175±0.194              | ND                             | ND                             |

* Mean values ± Standard Error of three independent experiments
¹Bread prepared from commercial yeast (Allinson)
²Bread prepared from FJ1 isolate.
Table 4: Comparative physico-chemical analysis of final product made from Commercial yeast and FJ1

| Parameters | Loaf weight (g) | Loaf volume (cc) | Crumb characteristics | Crust characteristics |
|------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
|            |                 |                 | Colour | Texture | Grain | Colour | Shredness |
| Control⁴   | 145.65±1.85     | 480±2.89        | CW     | Soft    | Fine  | LB     | NS       |
| Sample²    | 136.59±1.73     | 380±1.15        | C      | Soft    | Fine  | LB     | NS       |

¹Control- Bread prepared from commercial yeast (Allinson)
²Sample- Bread prepared from FJ1 isolate.
CW- creamish white       C- Creamish LB- Light Brown NS- No shredding
* Mean values ± Standard Error of three independent experiments

Table 5: Sensory evaluation of bread prepared from commercial yeast and FJ1 isolate

| Sensory attributes | Appearance | Colour | Texture | Flavour | Overall acceptability |
|--------------------|------------|--------|---------|---------|-----------------------|
| Control            | 8.25±0.29  | 8.12±0.14 | 8.0±0  | 7.87±0.36 | 8.13±0.14 |
| Sample             | 7.63±0.43  | 7.75±0.29 | 7.75±0.29 | 7.75±0.29 | 7.72±0.29 |

9- Liked extremely  6- Liked slightly  3- Disliked moderately
8- Liked very much  5- Neither liked nor disliked  2- Disliked very much
7- Liked moderately 4- Disliked slightly  1- Disliked extremely
* Mean values ± Standard Error of three independent experiments

A. Control (Commercial yeast) B. Sample (FJ1 isolate)

A. Control (Commercial yeast) B. Sample (FJ1 isolate)
The yeast biomass generated by batch fermentation was separated by centrifugation after 48 hr of growth. The supernatant was cleared by washing several times with distilled water and further centrifugation at 6000 RPM for 10 mins was done. The obtained pellet was further used in the bread-making process.

A study[3], which suggested that at 18% sugar molasses medium, brand A recorded maximum yield (5.425g/L), while at 6% sugar concentration brand G recorded the highest yield of 4.15g/L. No significant difference was observed between the different strains at 2, 10 or 14% sugar molasses concentration.

Comparative change in pH, reducing sugar and biomass yield was also observed in both the medium, with supplementation of corn steep liquor as well as molasses with water dilution. No significant difference was observed in the pH with time, whereas reducing sugar content decreased from 53.6±1.9 to 29.7±0.9 % (w/v) within 54 hr of incubation in molasses + water and from 54.9±2.06 to 27.3±0.9 % (w/v) in molasses +CSL (Figs. 13 and 14).

Results showed a maximum biomass yield of 6.54g/L by FJ1 isolate. In comparison to it, molasses medium without supplementation showed a biomass yield of 5.63 g/L of the FJ1 isolate. Therefore, the efficiency of using organic supplementation instead of inorganic supplementation was computed to be 16.16% in case of the FJ1 isolate. This also portrays the applicability of using organic wastes, which are more cost-effective, and do not pose any chemical change to the solution into the medium for biomass production. Since the biomass yield of molasses supplementation with corn steep liquor was greater as compared to molasses un-clarified, it was chosen as the best suited agro-industrial waste for biomass production. Hence, biomass yield on this medium was used for the bread preparation after sufficient biomass yield. Since the biomass yield of molasses supplementation with corn steep liquor was greater as compared to molasses un-clarified, it was chosen as the best suited agro-industrial waste for biomass production. Hence, biomass yield on this medium was used for the bread preparation after sufficient biomass yield (Fig. 15).

**Physicochemical properties of raw materials and final product**

Standard protocols of AACC 2000 were used to determine the proximate composition of raw material including fat, fibre, protein, ash, moisture, carbohydrate and gluten and the results are given in table 3.

**Baking properties**

**Quality analysis of final product**

Significantly higher loaf weight was observed in bread prepared from commercial yeast (145.65±1.85 g) as compared to the bread prepared from a FJ1 isolate (136.59±1.73 g). Similar to the loaf weight, a significant difference was observed in loaf volume, where the bread prepared from commercial yeast had a volume of 480±2.89 cc while bread prepared from FJ1 isolate revealed a volume of 380±1.15 cc (Table 4).

This difference in bread quality can be attributed to the different leavening ability of the yeasts.

Further, bread from commercial yeast showed creamish white colouration while FJ1 isolate showed creamish. No significant difference was observed in the case of crumb characteristics including soft texture, fine grain and crust characteristics, including light brown color and no shredding.
Evaluation of sensory attributes of bread prepared from commercial yeast and FJ1

Sensory changes in food products resulting from intentional and unintentional interactions with the raw materials used, and any other significant chemical and physical changes governing the product. Sensory perceivleness with regard to appearance, color, texture, flavor and the overall acceptability was carried out and the data was analyzed.

Sensory evaluation of data of the bread prepared from commercial yeast and FJ1 isolate (Table 5) revealed that the bread prepared from commercial yeast had a higher score for all sensory attributes than the bread prepared from FJ1. Non-significant variations were observed with respect to the sensory score of all the attributes. The mean acceptability score for bread prepared from commercial yeast was 8.13 while that for bread prepared from FJ1 was 7.72. The reason for the decrease in acceptability score was the differential dough leavening ability of commercial yeast in comparison to the FJ1 isolate.

Shelf stability of bread fermented by selected commercial yeast and FJ1 isolate

Shelf stability of the final product was studied out for a period of 1 month at an incubation temperature of 25°C. Periodic observation of bread prepared from commercial yeast and FJ1 isolate revealed the better shelf stability of the bread prepared from FJ1 isolate in comparison to that prepared from commercial yeast.

Since Allinson and FJ1 isolate were considered best based on their earlier studies related to biochemical, physic-chemical and various other properties, biomass yield efficiency was checked on various parameters which included pH, temperature, aeration, carbon and nitrogen source. Unclarified molasses with organic supplementation with corn steep liquor was considered best in terms of biomass yield among all the carbon sources used. Moreover, the efficiency of production in case of Allinson yeast was found to be 16.02% while it was 15.34% in case of FJ1 isolate after the addition of organic supplementation of CSL @50g/L. Therefore, mass cultivation was done which was further used in the preparation of a final product. Bread was prepared from the yeasts and was further evaluated based on certain parameters by a panel of judges which predicted a considerable difference among the two yeasts. Allinson yeast showed characters on a higher side whereas, in terms of shelf stability of the bread, FJ1 showed a better response. It showed better shelf stability after 1 month of incubation at room temperature while spoilage of the bread produced by Allinson yeast was observed.

Therefore, this research concluded comparable bread production by both the commercial as well as the lab isolate. Whereas better shelf life stability by the bread produced from the lab isolate was observed.
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