KINK INSTABILITY EVIDENCED BY ANALYZING THE LEG ROTATION OF A FILAMENT
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ABSTRACT

Kink instability is a possible mechanism for solar filament eruption. However, it is very difficult to directly measure the twist of the solar filament from observation. In this paper, we measured the twist of a solar filament by analyzing its leg rotation. An inverse S-shaped filament in the active region NOAA 11485 was observed by the Atmospheric Imaging Assembly of the Solar Dynamics Observatory on 2012 May 22. During its eruption, the leg of the filament exhibited a significant rotation motion. The 304 Å images were used to uncurl the circles, the centers of which are the axis of the filament’s leg. The result shows that the leg of the filament rotated up to about 510° (about 2.83π) around the axis of the filament within 23 minutes. The maximal rotation speed reached 100 degrees/minute (about 379.9 km s⁻¹ at radius 18″), which is the fastest rotation speed reported. We also calculated the decay index along the polarity inversion line in this active region and found that the decline of the overlying field with height is not fast enough to trigger the torus instability. According to the kink instability condition, this indicates that the kink instability is the trigger mechanism for the solar filament eruption.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Understanding the equilibrium of magnetic loops in the corona is a fundamental problem in solar physics. The stability of the current-carrying force-free magnetic field in the corona has been studied by many authors (Gold & Hoyle 1960; Sakurai 1976; Hood & Priest 1979; Titov & Demoulin 1999; Baty 2001; Török et al. 2004; Kliem et al. 2004). It has been found that the total twist, the winding of the magnetic field lines about the flux rope axis, mainly controls the stability of magnetic loops.

The twist of the flux rope is the angle through which a magnetic field line turns when going from one end of the rope to the other. The total twist, Φ, of the helical-field structure is written as

\[ \Phi = \frac{l B_\phi(r)}{r B_z(r)}, \]

where \( l \) and \( r \) are the length and the radius of the magnetic flux rope, respectively. \( B_\phi(r) \) and \( B_z(r) \) are the azimuthal and the axial components of the equilibrium magnetic field, respectively. For the cylindrically symmetric flux rope, the instability of the solar flux rope occurs when the twist exceeds a critical value, \( \Phi_c \) (Vršnak et al. 1988; Hood & Priest 1979, 1981; Vršnak et al. 1991). For example, when \( \Phi > \Phi_c = 2\pi \), the uniformly twisted force-free toroidal or periodic cylindrical configuration becomes kink-unstable. The instability threshold reaches 2.49π for a line-tying configuration (Hood & Priest 1981). When kink instability occurs for a cylindrical flux rope, the following condition must be satisfied:

\[ kR \leq 0.272 \mu R, \]

where \( \mu \) is the linear force-free factor and \( k \) is the ratio of 2π to the length of the flux rope. When \( \mu R \equiv 2.405 \), the instability condition becomes \( kR \leq 0.654 \) (see Rust & Kumar 1996), where \( R \) is the radius of the cylindrical region.

Török & Kliem (2003) simulated the formation of a twisted magnetic flux rope, which is created from an initially potential coronal flux tube driven by slow photospheric vortex motion at two photospheric flux concentrations using the compressible zero-beta ideal MHD equation. They found that there is a critical end-to-end twist in the range 2.5π < \( \Phi_e < 2.75\pi \) for a particular set of parameters, which describe the initial potential field. In their simulation, if the twist exceeds the value of \( \Phi_e \), the flux rope cannot reach a new equilibrium.

Rotating magnetic structures driven by underlying photospheric vortex flows were observed by several authors (Zhang & Liu 2011; Wedemeyer-Böhm et al. 2012; Yan et al. 2013). Vršnak (1980) observed detwisting motions with radial velocities of 100 km s⁻¹ in prominences by using shapes and profiles of the Hα line. The rotation and non-radial motion of solar filaments were often observed during their eruptions (Ji et al. 2003; Green et al. 2007; Jiang et al. 2009; Bi et al. 2013). The prominence-related rotation phenomenon have been called giant tornadoes, which were recently observed due to high-quality observational data from the Solar Dynamics Observatory (SDO; Li et al. 2012; Su et al. 2012; Wedemeyer et al. 2013; Panas et al. 2013; Panasenco et al. 2013). However, the twist of the filament has not been accurately measured. Due to high temporal and spatial data from SDO, we can measure the twist of the filament by analyzing the filament’s leg rotation during the sigmoid filament eruption on 2012 May 22.

2. OBSERVATION AND METHOD

2.1. Observation

The Atmospheric Imaging Assembly (AIA; Lemen et al. 2012) on board the SDO provides multiple, simultaneous high-resolution full-disk images of the transition region and the corona. The spatial and temporal resolutions of AIA are 1.5″ and 12 s, respectively. The AIA observes seven EUV, two UV, and one visible-light wavelength bands. The temperature of the EUV emission line covers a wide range, from 6 × 10⁴ K to 2 × 10⁷ K. The AIA’s field of view can be extended to 1.3 solar radius. In this paper, we only used the
304 Å (He II, $T = 0.05$ MK) images to trace the filament’s leg rotation during the filament eruption. Full disk line-of-sight magnetograms at 45 s cadence with a precision of 10 G observed by the Helioseismic and Magnetic Imager (HMI; Schou et al. 2012) are used to extrapolate the potential field in the corona.

2.2. Method

First, we must find the rotation center of the right leg of the filament. Once the center is determined, the 304 Å images are uncurled to a polar coordinate $r-\theta$ frame from the initial Cartesian $x-y$ frame (Brown et al. 2003; Hardersen et al. 2013). The circles around the axis of the filament leg are uncurled counterclockwise, starting from a northward-pointing chord (the black line from the center to the north), as illustrated in Figure 1. Next, we chose the five circles around the rotation center. The difference between the radii of adjacent circles is 12″ from the inside to the outside. The resolution used in the angular direction is 1° to make the time slices. From the time slices taken from 304 Å images at a constant radius, the rotation speed, rotation angle $\theta$, and time $t$ are calculated by tracing the features of the filament. Note that the rotation angle was measured from the features that the filament was projected on the plane coordinate.

![Figure 1](image1.png)

Figure 1. Diagram illustrating how the leg of the filament is uncurled by using 304 Å images observed by SDO. The uncurling starts at a northward pointing chord and proceeds counterclockwise around the axis of the filament. Five circles are drawn around the axis of the filaments. The difference in radius of the adjacent circles is 12″ from the inner to the outer circles. The white arrow indicates the inverse S-shaped filament.

![Figure 2](image2.png)

Figure 2. Sequence of 304 Å images to show the eruption process of the filament from 01:34:08 UT to 02:17:20 UT on 2012 May 22. The white box in the figure denotes the position of the filament in NOAA AR 11458 and the arrows denote the leg of the filament. $2R$ and $L$ denote the width and the length of the sigmoid filament, respectively.

(An animation of this figure is available in the online journal.)
3. RESULT

An inverse S-shaped filament indicated by the white arrow in Figure 1 in active region NOAA 11485 was observed by AIA on board SDO on 2012 May 22. Figure 2 shows the rotational process of the right leg of the filament acquired at 304 Å from 01:34:08 UT to 02:17:20 UT on 2012 May 22. The white box in Figure 2(a) denotes the position of the inverse S-shaped filament. The arrows in Figures 2(b)–(d) indicate the right leg of the filament. The left part of the filament first began to rise and rotated counterclockwise. Then the expansion of the filament was accompanied by the rotation of the filament. Following the rise and the rotation of the left part of the filament, the right part of the filament began to rotate around the axis of the filament. Finally, the filament attained a circular shape. This process is available as an animation (movie 1) in the online journal.

In order to show the filament eruption clearly, we also presented the 304 Å running-difference images from 01:54:08 UT to 02:16:20 UT on 2012 May 22 in Figure 3. In the online journal, an animation (movie 2) shows the process of the filament eruption by using the 304 Å running-difference images.

During the filament eruption, both the filament and its right leg exhibited a significant counterclockwise rotation. With the method described in Section 2.2, the rotation of the leg of the filament was measured as horizontal movement at a certain radius. Figure 4 provides four examples, showing the change of the rotation angle at different radii. The rotation angle can be effectively extracted from time slices at radii 18′′, 30′′, 42′′, and 66′′, respectively. The rotation of the black and the white features can be seen clearly from the time slices. From the paths of the features, the rotation angle of the features can be determined every minute. The rotation angles at different radii were calculated along the red dashed lines in Figure 4. After the rotation angles were obtained, the average rotation speeds (degrees/minute) can be calculated. Furthermore, the angular speed can be converted to a speed in km s\(^{-1}\).

Figure 5 shows the angle, angle speed, and rotational speed at radii 18′′ (black line), 30′′ (blue line), 42′′ (green line), and...
Figure 4. Time slices taken from the 304 Å images at constant radii 18′′ (a), 30′′ (b), 42′′ (c), and 66′′ (d) from the center of the leg of the filament, respectively. The rotation can be seen from the black and white features as they move. The resolution used in the angular direction is 1″. The rotation angles were calculated along the red dashed lines.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

66′′ (red line) from 02:00 UT to 02:26 UT on May 22, 2012. We adopted the average values of the three repeated measurements of the angles along the red dashed lines in Figure 4. The rotation angle reached 505° and 510° (about 2.8π) at radii 18′′ and 30′′ within 23 minutes. The rotation angle is 350° within 18 minutes at radius 42′′ and the rotation angle is 160° within 12 minutes at radius 66′′. The average rotation speeds are 21.9, 22.2, 13.6, and 13.3 degrees/minute for radii 18′′, 30′′, 42′′, and 66′′, respectively. The maximum rotation speed reached 100 degrees/minute (about 379.9 km s⁻¹ at radius 18′′).

Hood & Priest (1981) used a numerical method to investigate the stability of cylindrically symmetric magnetic fields. They found that a force-free loop of uniform twist becomes unstable if its length is 3.9 times greater than its width. The loop is unstable if the twist is greater than 2.49π. For this filament, the ratio of the length (130′′; 94.38 Mm) to the width (31′′; 22.5 Mm) is about 4.2 (see Figure 2(a)). The twist (2.83π) of this filament is greater than 2.49π. In fact, the twist of this filament was underestimated because we only measured the twist from the rotation of the filament. Some twisting remained in the filament itself. Even so, the filament still satisfied the condition of kink instability.

Taking Φ as the total rotation in angle θ, the twist goes from one end of the flux rope to the other end. We can obtain the following equation:

\[ k = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} = \frac{\Phi}{L}, \text{ or } kR = \frac{2\pi R}{\lambda} = \frac{\Phi R}{L}, \]  

(3)

where L, λ, and k are the length of the flux rope, the helix pitch length, and the twist of unit length. We considered the filament to be the cylindrically symmetric flux rope. The radius (R) is 15.5 (about 11.25 Mm) and the length (L) of the filament is 130′′ (94.38 Mm; see Figure 2(a)). Thus, the ratio of the radius (11.25 Mm) to the length (94.38 Mm) is 0.12. From the rotation of the leg of the filament, we obtained a rotation angle (Φ) of about 2.83π. Hence, kR ≈ 1.06 > 0.654. If kR is larger than 0.654, the flux rope becomes unstable (Rust & Kumar 1996).
Thus, the kink instability condition is satisfied for this S-shaped filament.

Previous theoretical works provided evidence that the occurrence of torus instability of a solar filament depends on the decay index of the background magnetic fields. The decay index is defined as $n = -d \log(B_t)/d \log(h)$ (Kliem & Török 2006; Liu 2008; Xu et al. 2012), in which $B_t$ is the strength of the background magnetic fields in the transverse direction and $h$ is the radial height above the photosphere. The background magnetic fields can be computed from the observed magnetic fields over the solar surface based on a potential filed source surface model (Schrijver & De Rosa 2003). We extrapolated the potential field by using the line-of-sight magnetogram at 01:33:39 UT. Figure 6 shows the magnetogram at 01:33:39 UT overplotted with the extrapolated potential field lines (red lines). The yellow line indicates the polarity inversion line (PIL). We chose 21 points (marked by asterisks) along the PIL from left to right to calculate the decay index. The upper panel of Figure 7 shows log($B_t$) versus log($h$) for the 21 points on the PIL. The lower panel of Figure 7 shows the decay indices derived from the extrapolated potential field along the PIL from left to right. The average decay index is 1.45.

4. CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSION

In this paper, we investigated the rotation motion during a filament eruption observed by SDO on 2012 May 22. We measured the twist of the filament by analyzing the leg rotation of the filament. The rotation angle reached 510° (about 2.8π) within 23 minutes. The maximum rotation speed reached 100 degrees/minute (about 379.9 km s$^{-1}$ at radius 18′′). According to the kink instability condition the filament eruption studied in the paper was triggered by kink instability.
Kink instability is suggested to be the triggering mechanism for solar filament eruptions (Török & Kliem 2003), which is supported by some previous observational studies (Srivastava et al. 2010; Kumar et al. 2012). However, the twist of the filament is not easy to measure. The on-disk event studied in this paper exhibited an apparent rotation of the filament leg during its eruption. It is very suitable for driving the twist in the filament. According to a theoretical and simulation study, if a critical end-to-twist of the filament is larger than 2.75, the filament will become unstable and erupt. The twist derived from the rotation of the filament leg is larger than the critical value obtained by previous authors (Hood & Priest 1981; Török & Kliem 2003).

Torus instability is another triggering mechanism for solar filament eruptions (Kliem & Török 2006; Schrijver et al. 2008; Török et al. 2010). Kliem & Török (2006) suggested that the critical decay index ranges from 1.5 to 2.0 for torus instability. The MHD simulation gave a critical value of 1.53 for the occurrence of the torus instability (Török & Kliem 2005). Liu (2008) found that if the decay index is lower than 1.71, the filament will experience a failed eruption. The average decay index is 1.45 for the event studied in the paper. Therefore, we believe that the torus instability is not the main triggering mechanism for this event.

The sunspot rotation can twist the magnetic field lines and the twist can be transferred from the photosphere to the corona (Yan et al. 2012). Similarly, the vortex motion in the photosphere may be important for the energy and material transmission from lower atmospheres to higher atmospheres. Wedemeyer-Böhm et al. (2012) and Yan et al. (2013) found that the energy and twist can be transmitted by vortex motion at the magnetic footpoints on small spatial scales from the inner atmosphere to the outer solar atmosphere via magnetic fields. Except for the direct injection of twist into the erupting core flux, Török et al. (2013) carried out a numerical simulation and found that the filament eruption can be triggered by sunspot rotation, which twists the potential field overlying the filament and weakens the magnetic tension over the filament. In this event, the vortex motion in the photosphere was found at the right footpoint of the filament before its eruption (see Figure 10 of Yan et al. 2013). After the filament eruption, the leg of the filament exhibited a rapid rotation motion. This rotation of the right leg of the filament can be explained as the unwinding of the filament.
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