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The Effect of Random Load on Life Prediction of High-Temperature Ceramic Matrix Composites

En-Zhong Zhang

Abstract

High-temperature ceramic matrix composites (CMCs) are widely used in hot section components of aeroengine, and random loads have an important effect on their safety and reliability during aircraft operation. The current fatigue life prediction model of CMC is divided into macrophenomenon model and microdamage mechanism model. In this chapter, the fatigue life of fiber-reinforced ceramic matrix composites is investigated. The fatigue life of the fiber-reinforced ceramic matrix composites is predicted by micromechanical methods. The effect of random loading on fatigue life is analyzed and compared with constant peak stress fatigue life. The influence of composite constitutive properties on fatigue fracture is also discussed.
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1. Introduction

In the rapid progress and development of science and technology, the requirements of the use environment of the required materials are also increasing. For example, with the development of high-performance aero-turbine engine, the inlet temperature of the turbine increases gradually, and the temperature of the hot-end parts can reach more than 1600°C, which has far exceeded the working limit of superalloy [1]. The main materials of aeroengine combustion chamber, turbine, and other high-temperature structures are still superalloys, although cooling and thermal barrier coating technology is developing, but still cannot fully meet the requirements of engine hot-end components, so high-temperature ceramic-based composites are born. Ceramic matrix composites (CMCs) have a great potential as high-temperature structural materials, especially as materials used in aerospace vehicles with special parts which need to bear a very high temperature.

Compared with traditional materials, ceramic matrix composites have the advantages of wear resistance, high temperature resistance, chemical corrosion resistance, good vibration absorption, high specific strength, high specific modulus, and low cost. The density is only 1/4–1/3 of the nickel-base alloy, and the strength will not decrease with the increase of temperature, even higher than at room
temperature. The application of ceramic matrix composite material structure to civil engine can reduce the amount of cooling air, increase the temperature and efficiency before turbine, and reduce the fuel consumption rate, so it can improve the economy of the engine. If the ceramic matrix composite structure is applied to the military engine, the starting weight can be obviously reduced, the temperature in front of the turbine can be increased, and the pushing weight ratio of the engine can be improved.

The most successful engine company for SiC/ SiC composite is the GE Aviation Group. The GE Aviation Group, supported by the US Department of Energy project, in 1992 developed innovative prepreg-melt infiltration processes that allow high-performance, rapid, and low-cost preparation of SiC/ SiC composites becomes a reality. Developed by the GE Aviation Group, the SiC/ SiC composite low-pressure guide vane was verified on the F136 engine in 2009, and the first flight was completed in 2010. In 2016, SiC/ SiC composite is applied on the turbine outer ring of Leading Edge Aviation Propulsion (LEAP) engines and produced in batches. The SiC/ SiC composite is used in combustor, guide vane, and turbine outer ring of GE9X commercial engine, which reduces fuel consumption by 10% compared with GE90-115B engine. The new generation of military turboshaft GE3000 engine uses a ceramic matrix composite material, which reduces fuel consumption by 25% compared with T700 engine, reduces life cycle cost by 35%, prolongs life by 20%, and increases work-weight ratio by 65%. The Safran Group is one of the leading practitioners of SiC/ SiC ceramic matrix composites; the company has mastered the chemical vapor infiltration process and has taken the lead in applying it on engine tail nozzle.

There are two kinds of fatigue life prediction models for ceramic matrix composites, i.e., macroscopic phenomenological model [2] and micromechanical damage mechanism model [3]. Due to the complex microstructure, high anisotropy, and various damage mechanisms of ceramic matrix composites, the macroscopic phenomenological model needs a lot of experimental data to modify the life model. The influence of structure fatigue life of high-temperature ceramic matrix composites is closely related to the reliability and safety of aircraft; it is necessary to investigate the influence of random load on structure fatigue life of high-temperature ceramic matrix composites.

In this chapter, the damage process and mechanism of ceramic matrix composites are studied by means of the micromechanical method. The influence of random load on fatigue life prediction of high-temperature ceramic matrix composites is studied. According to the fatigue fracture mechanism of composite under cyclic load, the life prediction model of composite is established, and an external load is applied to study the influence of random load on fatigue life prediction of high-temperature ceramic matrix composites.

2. Prediction method of fatigue life of composite materials

Fatigue damage occurs in composites subjected to repeated loading. Fatigue damage at the initial stage of material application is not easily detected in external observation. With the increasing number of cycles, the matrix began to appear cracks, interfacial debonding, delamination, and irreversible fatigue damage. Results show that the interfacial shear stress and fiber strength will decrease with the increasing number of cycles. The decrease of interfacial shear stress causes the material to be unable to deliver the load effectively, and the fiber strength is reduced, resulting in the material being difficult to resist deformation. With their
combined action, the fiber volume failure percentage increases with the increasing number of cycles. When the fiber volume failure percentage increases to a critical value, the composite will be damaged. Under critical conditions, with the increase of fatigue peak stress, it will lead to the decrease of cycle times, the decrease of lifetime, and the decrease of safety and reliability.

Li [4] developed a micromechanical fatigue life prediction model considering interface wear and fiber failure. In the process of cyclic loading to fatigue peak load, some fibers first break failure, but also can bear a certain load through interfacial shear stress. The load distribution between an intact fiber and a broken fiber is as follows:

\[
\sigma_{\text{max}} = \frac{T}{V_f} \left[ 1 - P(T) \right] + < T_b > P(T) \tag{1}
\]

where \(\sigma_{\text{max}}\) is the fatigue peak stress, \(V_f\) is the fiber volume content, \(< T_b >\) is the load carried by the broken fiber, and \(P(T)\) is the fiber failure probability:

\[
P(T) = 1 - \exp \left\{ - \left( \frac{T}{\sigma_c} \right)^{m+1} \left( \frac{\sigma_0}{\sigma_0(N)} \right)^m \left( \frac{\tau_i}{\tau_i(N)} \right) \right\} \tag{2}
\]

where \(\sigma_c\) is the characteristic strength of the reinforced fiber and \(m\) is the fiber Weibull modulus.

Lee [5] investigated the tension-tension fatigue behavior of CMCs at room temperature; the degradation of the fiber strength is

\[
\sigma_0(N) = \sigma_0 \left[ 1 - p_1 (\log N)^{p_2} \right] \tag{3}
\]

where \(p_1\) and \(p_2\) are the empirical parameters.

Evans et al. [6] investigated the fatigue behavior of SiC/CAS composite at room temperature. The degradation of the interfacial shear stress is

\[
\tau_i(N) = \tau_{i0} + \left[ 1 - \exp \left( -mN^\lambda \right) \right] (\tau_{i\text{min}} - \tau_{i0}) \tag{4}
\]

where \(\tau_{i0}\) is the initial value of the interfacial shear stress, \(\tau_{i\text{min}}\) is the steady-state value of interfacial shear stress, and \(\omega\) and \(\lambda\) are the empirical parameters.

Substituting the stress carried by broken fiber into Eq. (1), it leads to

\[
\frac{\sigma_{\text{max}}}{V_f} = T \left( \frac{\sigma_0(N)}{\sigma_0} \right)^m \left( \frac{\sigma_c}{T} \right)^{m+1} \frac{\tau_i(N)}{\tau_i} \left[ 1 - \exp \left( - \left( \frac{T}{\sigma_c} \right)^{m+1} \left( \frac{\sigma_0}{\sigma_0(N)} \right)^m \frac{\tau_i}{\tau_i(N)} \right) \right] \tag{5}
\]

Using Eqs. (3), (4), and (5), the intact fiber stress \(T\) can be obtained \(N\) the change of cycle number

\[
\frac{\sigma_{\text{max}}}{V_f} = T \left\{ 1 - P_1 (\log N)^{p_2} \right\} \left( \frac{\sigma_c}{T} \right)^{m+1} \frac{\tau_{i0}}{\tau_i} + \left[ 1 - \exp \left( -mN^\lambda \right) \right] (\tau_{i\text{min}} - \tau_{i0}) \frac{\tau_i}{\tau_{i0}} \left[ 1 - \exp \left( -mN^\lambda \right) \right] (\tau_{i\text{min}} - \tau_{i0}) \right\} \tag{6}
\]
Using Eq. (2), Eq. (6) changes to

$$\frac{\sigma_{\text{max}}}{V_f} = T \left[ 1 - P \left( \log N \right)^{\frac{1}{a}} \right] \left( \frac{\sigma_c}{T} \right)^{m+1} \tau \left[ 1 - \exp \left( -\sigma \right) \right] \left( \frac{\tau_{\text{min}} - \tau_{\text{min}}}{\tau} \right) P(T)$$

When the failure fiber volume fraction $P(T)$ reaches the critical value $q^*$, the composite fatigue fails. The flowchart for the life prediction of CMCs is given by the following (Figure 1):

Figure 1.
The flowchart for life prediction of CMCs.
1. Determine the basic parameters of the material.

2. The relationship between the fiber strength and interfacial shear stress and cycle times \( N \) was calculated by Eqs. (3) and (4), respectively. Then, the relationship between an intact fiber stress \( T \) and cycle number \( N \) under a certain peak stress was calculated by Eq. (5).

3. The relationship between cycle number \( N \) and intact fiber stress \( T \) is obtained from Eq. (2), and the relationship between fiber failure fraction \( P(T) \) and cycle number is calculated by combining Eq. (7). When \( P(T) > q^* \), the composite fails and the minimum cycle number is output \( N \), which is fatigue life.

2.1 Theoretical prediction of ceramic matrix composites without random loading

For C/SiC composite, the model parameters are given by \( p_1 = 0.01 \) and \( p_2 = 1.0 \); the interfacial shear stress parameters are \( \omega = 0.04, \lambda = 1.5, \tau_{10} = 8 \text{ MPa} \), and \( \tau_{\text{min}} = 0.3 \text{ MPa} \); and the other parameters are \( V_f = 0.42, \sigma_c = 2 \text{ GPa}, m = 5 \), and \( \tau_i = 10 \text{ MPa} \).

Figure 2 is derived from Eq. (3). It can be concluded that the fiber strength shows a linear decline with the increasing number of cycles and decreases from the original 100% to about 94% of the original value when the number of cycles reaches \( N = 1,000,000 \).

Figure 3 is calculated from Eq. (4). The interfacial shear stress decreases rapidly in the first 20 cycles and decreases very slowly and almost negligible in the 20–1,000,000 cycles, which is approximately a straight line. It can be speculated that the interface shear stress almost does not change with the increase of cycle times after 1,000,000 cycles.

Figures 4–6 are obtained by combining Eqs. (6) and (7) under a peak stress of \( \sigma_{\text{max}} = 355, 365, \) and 375 MPa, respectively. The fiber failure fraction of CMCs changes most slowly with the increase of cycle number in cycles from \( N = 1 \) to \( N = 100 \). In the 100–3000 cycles, the fiber failure fraction changes most sharply.
Figure 3.
Interfacial shear stress versus cyclic number curve.

Figure 4.
Fiber failure fraction versus cycle number under $\sigma_{\text{max}} = 355$ MPa.

Figure 5.
Fiber failure fraction versus cycle number under $\sigma_{\text{max}} = 365$ MPa.
with the increase of cycle number. The fiber failure fraction in the cycles of 3000–1,000,000 is similar to the linear growth with the increase of the cycle number.

A calculation process of Figure 7 can be obtained from the steps above. The S-N diagram of the composite is divided into segments. In the first cycle of 1–1000, the peak stress in the cycle changes very slowly in the increase of cycle number, which approximates a horizontal line. In the second stage, during the 1000–2000 cycles, the peak stress changes rapidly in the cycle number. In the third stage, in 2000–1,000,000, the peak stress in this cycle presents a linear decrease with the increase of the number of cycles.
3. Effect of random load on fatigue life of ceramic matrix composites

The fiber characteristic strength and interfacial shear stress of CMCs are cyclic dependent. The addition of a random load at the Nth cycle can be considered to be the addition of a new peak stress higher than the original peak stress at the Nth cycle, which is approximately equivalent to cycling the random load as the constant peak stress, calculating the difference between its increased fiber failure probability at the Nth cycle relative to the fiber failure probability increased by the original peak stress at the Nth cycle k, and adding the k to the fiber failure rate after the first cycle.

3.1 Effect of random load cycle number on fatigue life

The parameters of C/SiC composite are given as follows: \( p_1 = 0.01 \) and \( p_2 = 1.0 \); the interfacial shear stress parameter is given by \( \omega = 0.04, \lambda = 1.5, \tau_{10} = 8 \text{ MPa}, \) and \( \tau_{\text{min}} = 0.3 \text{ MPa}; \) the other related parameters are given by \( V_f = 0.42, \sigma_c = 2 \text{ GPa}, \) \( m = 5, \) and \( \sigma_i = 10 \text{ MPa}. \)

The fiber failure curves under a constant peak stress of \( \sigma_{\text{max}} = 355 \text{ MPa} \) and random load of \( \sigma_s = 360 \text{ MPa} \) at \( N = 100 \) are shown in Figure 8. At the 100th cycle, due to the random load of \( \sigma_s = 360 \text{ MPa}, \) the fiber failure probability increases \( 0.004207 \) than the original value under \( \sigma_{\text{max}} = 355 \text{ MPa}, \) the difference of fiber failure probability continues after 100 cycles, and the life is reduced from the original \( N = 389,642 \) cycles under \( \sigma_{\text{max}} = 355 \text{ MPa} \) to \( N = 335,176 \) cycles, and the life difference is 54,466 cycles. The occurrence of random load reduces the fatigue life and decreases the fatigue life by 14.0% relative to the original value.

The fiber failure curves under a constant peak stress of \( \sigma_{\text{max}} = 355 \text{ MPa} \) and random load of \( \sigma_s = 360 \text{ MPa} \) at \( N = 1000 \) are shown in Figure 9. At the 100th cycle, due to the random load of \( \sigma_s = 360 \text{ MPa}, \) the fiber failure probability increases \( 0.010321 \) than the original value under \( \sigma_{\text{max}} = 355 \text{ MPa}, \) the difference of fiber failure probability continues after 1000 cycles, and the life is reduced from the original \( N = 389,642 \) cycles under \( \sigma_{\text{max}} = 355 \text{ MPa} \) to \( N = 268,176 \) cycles, and the life difference is 121,466 cycles. The occurrence of random load reduces the fatigue life and decreases the fatigue life by 31.1% relative to the original value.

The fiber failure curves under a constant peak stress of \( \sigma_{\text{max}} = 355 \text{ MPa} \) and random load of \( \sigma_s = 360 \text{ MPa} \) at \( N = 10,000 \) are shown in Figure 10. At the 100th

![Figure 8](image_url)

*Figure 8.* The fiber failure probability versus cycle number under \( \sigma_{\text{max}} = 355 \text{ MPa} \) and random load of \( \sigma_s = 360 \text{ MPa} \) at \( N = 100.\)
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Figure 9.
The fiber failure probability versus cycle number under $\sigma_{\text{max}} = 355$ MPa and random load of $\sigma_s = 360$ MPa at $N = 1000$.

Figure 10.
The fiber failure probability versus cycle number under $\sigma_{\text{max}} = 355$ MPa and random load of $\sigma_s = 360$ MPa at $N = 10,000$.

| Number of cycles | Fiber failure fraction under the original load | Fiber failure fraction after random load | Difference of fiber failure fraction | Fatigue life under original load | Fatigue life after random load | Difference of fatigue life | Fatigue life decreasing rate |
|------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 100              | 0.049407                                      | 0.053614                               | 0.004207                         | 389,642                         | 335,176                       | 54,466                     | 14.0%                         |
| 1000             | 0.12704                                       | 0.137361                               | 0.010321                         | 389,642                         | 268,176                       | 121,466                    | 31.1%                         |
| 10,000           | 0.199833                                      | 0.215297                               | 0.015464                         | 389,642                         | 221,415                       | 168,227                    | 43.2%                         |

Table 1.
Fatigue life and fiber failure fraction under $\sigma_{\text{max}} = 355$ MPa and random load of $\sigma_s = 360$ MPa at different cycle number.
cycle, due to the random load of $\sigma_s = 360$ MPa, the fiber failure probability increases 0.015464 than the original value under $\sigma_{\text{max}} = 355$ MPa, the difference of fiber failure probability continues after 10,000 cycles, and the life is reduced from the original $N = 389,642$ cycles under $\sigma_{\text{max}} = 355$ MPa to $N = 221,415$ cycles, and the life difference is 168,227 cycles. The occurrence of random load reduces the fatigue life and decreases the fatigue life by 43.2% relative to the original value.

Table 1 shows the fatigue life and fiber failure fraction under $\sigma_{\text{max}} = 355$ MPa and random load of $\sigma_s = 360$ MPa at different cycle number. The difference of fiber failure probability under random load increases with the increase of cycle number. The long-term use of ceramic matrix composites with multiple cyclic loads has weak resistance to random loads and low safety and reliability, but on the contrary, the initial use of ceramic matrix composites has a strong ability to resist random loads and high safety and reliability.
3.2 Effect of random load peak stress on fatigue life

The parameters of C/SiC composite are as follows: $p_1 = 0.01$ and $p_2 = 1.0$; the interfacial shear stress parameters are $\omega = 0.04$, $\lambda = 1.5$, $\tau_0 = 8 \text{ MPa}$, and $\tau_{\text{min}} = 0.3 \text{ MPa}$; and the other parameters are given by $V_f = 0.42$, $\sigma_c = 2 \text{ GPa}$, $m = 5$, and $\tau_1 = 10 \text{ MPa}$. As shown in Figures 11–13, the random loads of $\sigma_1 = 360$, 370, and 380 occur at the applied cycles of $N = 100$, 1000, and 10,000, and the percentage of fiber failure increases with peak stress and random stress. As shown in Table 2, the difference between the fiber failure fraction under constant peak stress and the fiber failure fraction under random load stress increases with the random stress level. As shown in Table 3, the difference of the cycle life decreases with the

![Figure 13. The fiber failure fraction versus cycle number curves under different random stresses at $N = 10,000$.](image)

| Peak stress (MPa) | Fiber failure fraction difference at 100 cycles | Fiber failure fraction difference at 1000 cycles | Fiber failure fraction difference at 10000 cycles | Fatigue life difference at 100 cycles | Fatigue life difference at 1000 cycles | Fatigue life difference at 10000 cycles |
|------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 355              | 0.004207                                      | 0.010321                                      | 0.015464                                      | 54,466                           | 121,466                         | 168,227                         |
| 365              | 0.004784                                      | 0.011549                                      | 0.017028                                      | 14,888                           | 32,234                          | 43,591                          |
| 375              | 0.005412                                      | 0.012827                                      | 0.012828                                      | 4008                            | 8399                            | 11,076                          |

**Table 2.** Comparison of fatigue life under different random stresses.

| Peak stress (MPa) | Decay rate of loading cycle in 100 cycles | Decay rate of loading cycle in 1000 cycles | Decay rate of loading cycle in 10,000 cycles |
|------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| 355              | 14.0%                                     | 31.1%                                     | 43.2%                                       |
| 365              | 16.2%                                     | 35.2%                                     | 47.5%                                       |
| 375              | 18.7%                                     | 39.7%                                     | 51.7%                                       |

**Table 3.** Comparison of cyclic decay rates under different random stresses.
increase of the peak stress, the proportion of the reduced cycle to the total cycle increases, so the effect of the random load on the higher peak stress is greater. As shown in Figure 14, the fatigue life decreases at higher random stress.

4. Conclusion

The influence of random load on fatigue life of high-temperature ceramic matrix composites is investigated. A curve of fiber failure rate with cycle number and an S-N curve of fatigue life of C/SiC composites are presented in this paper. The random loads are loaded under different peak stresses, and the variation law of fiber failure rate and cycle life is analyzed. It can be concluded that when the same random load is added under the same peak stress, the difference of fiber failure rate increases with the increase of cycle number at which the random load occurs, and when the same random load is added at the same cycle number, the difference of fiber failure rate increases with the increase of peak stress. If the added random load is too high and exceeds the material’s bearing limit, the fiber will break directly.
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