The soluble catalytic ectodomain of ACE2 a biomarker of cardiac remodelling: new insights for heart failure and COVID19
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Abstract
The angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) is a type I integral membrane that was discovered two decades ago. The ACE2 exists as a transmembrane protein and as a soluble catalytic ectodomain of ACE2, also known as the soluble ACE2 that can be found in plasma and other body fluids. ACE2 regulates the local actions of the renin-angiotensin system in cardiovascular tissues, and the ACE2/Angiotensin 1–7 axis exerts protective actions in cardiovascular disease. Increasing soluble ACE2 has been associated with heart failure, cardiovascular disease, and cardiac remodelling. This is a review of the molecular structure and biochemical functions of the ACE2, as well we provided an updated on the evidence, clinical applications, and emerging potential therapies with the ACE2 in heart failure, cardiovascular disease, lung injury, and COVID-19 infection.
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The ongoing pandemic COVID19

On late December 2019, China reported a cluster of cases of pneumonia at Wuhan, Hubei province; the pathogen was identified as a betacoronavirus in the same subgenus as the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) [1]. The Coronavirusidae Study Group of the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses has proposed that this virus be designated as severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) [2]. SARS-CoV-2 is the seventh coronavirus that is known to cause human disease [3].

In February 2020, the World Health Organization (WHO) designated the disease SARS-CoV-2 caused by coronavirus 2019 (COVID19), on early March 2020, the WHO declared COVID-19 to be a pandemic [4]. At this moment, there was no European Medicines Agency or Food and Drug Administration-approved drugs specifically for the treatment of this pandemic [5]. Chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine, especially the latter, is one of the most widely used drugs during the pandemic due to some studies that reported that they inhibit SARS-CoV-2 in vitro [6, 7]. One small trial, hydroxychloroquine alone, and combination with azithromycin reduced detection of SARS-CoV-2 ribonucleic acid (RNA) in the upper respiratory tract [8]. Nevertheless, a recent retrospective multicenter cohort study of patients hospitalised in metropolitan New York with COVID-19 showed that treatment with hydroxychloroquine, azithromycin, or both, compared with neither therapy, was not significantly associated with differences in in-hospital mortality [9].

Remdesivir was a promising drug during the pandemic, showing in vitro activity against SARS-CoV-2...
However, a double-blind, randomised trial in China of 237 patients with severe COVID-19 clinical improvement was not statistically different with remdesivir compared with placebo for 10 days and mortality at 28 days was also similar with remdesivir or placebo, although not statistically significant, patients receiving remdesivir had a numerically faster time to clinical improvement than those receiving placebo among patients with symptom duration of 10 days or less [11]. Also, Lopitonalavir-ritonavir was used at the start of the pandemic until a randomised, controlled, open study of 199 patients with severe SARS-CoV-2 infection did not demonstrate clinical improvement or mortality at 28 days [12]. This discouraged many countries from using the drug due to important pharmacological adverse effects.

Convalence plasma is one of the most widely studied non-pharmacological treatments at present. There are small controlled case series of patients with SARS-CoV-2 and acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS). The administration of convalescent plasma was followed by improvement in their clinical status [13]. Similarly, other small study showed that convalescent plasma treatment can discontinue detection of viral shedding but cannot reduce mortality in critically end-stage COVID-19 patients, suggesting that treatment should be initiated earlier [14]. The limited sample size and study design preclude a definitive statement about the potential effectiveness of this treatment, and these observations require evaluation in clinical trials. Moreover, finding appropriate donors and establishing testing to confirm the neutralising activity of plasma may be logistical challenges. Furthermore, SARS-CoV-2 C-terminal domain forms more atomic interactions with angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) than SARS-CoV-1 receptor-binding domain (RBD), suggesting that the previously developed SARS-CoV-1-RBD-based vaccine candidates are unlikely to be of any clinical benefit for SARS-CoV-2 prophylaxis [15].

Many drugs and treatments are under clinical trial [16], and their efficacy for SARS-CoV-2 remains to be determined, so far, no treatment is effective against SARS-CoV-2. There are several vaccines in development with a wide range of strategies, from the traditional model based on attenuated viruses to the most revolutionary design based on mRNA or DNA. Currently, the first types of commercialised vaccines are based on SARS-CoV 2 peak RNA proteins packaged in lipid nanoparticles [17]. These types of vaccines are very specific because they are based on the synthesis of the SARS-CoV-2 peak protein.

Many studies demonstrated the ACE2 as an entry receptor for SARS-CoV-2 infection [15]. Hence, understanding the ACE2 molecular structure and biochemical functions could provide a better understanding of the SARS-CoV-2 pathophysiology and new insights for COVID-19 treatment.

The concerns about the use of renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system inhibitor medication in COVID-19

On early March, the first retrospective cohort studies revealed that older age, hypertension, and diabetes were risk factors associated with the development of ARDS and worse prognosis [18]. It is well known so far that SARS-CoV-2 share 79.6% sequence identity to SARS-CoV-1 and uses the same cell entry receptor ACE2 [19]. Pre-clinical studies indicated that angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEI) and angiotensin II receptor blockers (ARB) increased the ACE2 mRNA expression [20, 21]. This led to speculate by some authors that the use of renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system (RASS) inhibitor medication could facilitate infection with SARS-CoV-2 and even increases the risk of developing a severe viral infection because hypertensive and diabetic patients are most often treated with those medications [22]. This has created a debate about the temporary discontinuation of RASS inhibitor medication. However, the cardiology scientific societies, their position, was not to add or remove any RASS-related treatments, beyond actions based on standard clinical practice [23]. Recently, observational studies performed in Italy (n = 6272) and New York (n = 12,594) showed that there was no evidence that ACEI or ARB affected the risk of COVID-19 infection [24, 25].

The ACE2 molecular structure

The ACE2 is the first known human homologue of angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) discovered in 2000 [26, 27]. The ACE2 gene contains 18 exons, interspersed with 17 introns, localised to chromosome X, position p22.2 [26]. The ACE2 is a type I integral membrane protein of 805 amino acids that contains two domains [26–28], the first a transmembrane domain (amino acids 741–763) [27] and the second is an extracellular region, also known as the ectodomain (amino acids 1-740). The extracellular region is composed of two domains [28]; the first is a zinc metallopeptidase domain (amino acids 19-611) that is the single catalytic domain of the ACE2 [26, 27], which is 42% identical to each of the two catalytic domains in ACE [27]; the second is located at the C terminus (amino acids 612-740) is 48% identical to a transporter protein known as collectrin. The crystal structure revealed that ACE2 contains 20 α-helical segments [28].

The metalloprotease domain of ACE2 can be further divided into two subdomains (I and II) which form the...
two sides of a long and deep cleft with dimensions of ∼ 40 Å long by ∼ 15 Å wide by ∼ 25 Å deep. The two subdomains are connected only at the floor of the active site cleft. One prominent α-helix (helix 17, residues 511-531) connects the two subdomains and forms part of the floor of the canyon. There are three disulfide bonds of ACE2 (Cys133-Cys141, Cys344-Cys361, and Cys530-Cys542). The zinc-binding site is located near one side of the large active site cleft (subdomain I); the zinc is coordinated by His374, His378, Glu 402, and one water molecule (in the native structure). A chloride ion (Cl-) is bound in native ACE2, coordinated by Arg169, Trp477, and Lys481 in subdomain II [28].

The ACE2 protein at the extracellular region has the catalytically active ectodomain that undergoes shedding by a disintegrin and metalloproteinase domain-containing protein 17 (ADAM17) also known as tumour necrosis factor alpha-converting enzyme, a protease up-regulated in heart failure (HF) [29]. This ADAM17 releases a soluble form of ACE2 (Fig. 1). The region of ACE2 cleavage is localised between amino acids 716 and 741, near the predicted transmembrane domain [30]. Some studies suggest that a calcium signalling pathway is involved in ACE2 release [29, 30]. Calmodulin is a ubiquitous calcium-binding protein which is known to bind other transmembrane proteins, including L-selectin and ACE, and regulate their cell surface expression. Lambert et al. demonstrated in vitro that calmidazolium (calmodulin antagonist) resulted in increased soluble ACE2 activity. This calmidazolium-mediated stimulation of ACE2 shedding was time- and dose-dependent [31].

The ACE2 exits in two forms: The first is as a type I membrane protein anchored to the plasma membrane through a transmembrane domain near de C terminus (ACE2 mRNA expression). The second is as a soluble enzyme lacking the transmembrane and cytosolic domains, also known as the soluble catalytic ectodomain of ACE2, that can be found in the plasma and other body fluids (Soluble ACE2 plasma activity) [26].
ACE2 hydrolyses the carboxy-terminal leucine of the angiotensin I (AT1) to generate angiotensin 1-9 (AT1-9), which is converted to smaller angiotensin peptides by ACE. ACE2 can also cleave des-Arg bradykinin, neutrotensin, angiotensin II (AT2), AT1, apelin-13, beta-casomorphin, dynorphin A 1-13, and ghrelin, but not bradykinin or 15 other vasoactive and hormonal peptides tested. ACE2 catalytic efficiency for AT2 is > 300 times that for AT1. ACE functions as a dipeptidase whereas ACE2 as a carboxypeptidase [27, 32]. On the other hand, ACE2 activity is unaffected by 10 μM lisinopril, enalaprilat, or captopril, but activity was completely inhibited by 10 μM of calcium ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) [26].

ACE2 mRNA expression in higher in kidney, heart, testis, and moderate levels in the ovary, colon, and small intestine [26] The ACE2 is expressed in the luminal surface of differentiated small intestinal epithelial cells and is a regulator of dietary amino acid homeostasis and gut microbial ecology [33]. ACE2 mRNA is also localised to the endothelium of intramyocardial vessels, medium-sized coronary arteries, and a lesser extent in vascular smooth muscle cells [27]. ACE2 expression is increased in alveolar type II cells [34]. Moreover, ACE2 is expressed at the apical surface of airway epithelia [35].

Pre-clinical studies indicated that ACE2 plays an important role in the thrombogenic activity. Fraga-Silva et al. showed that higher thrombus formation in vessels was associated with lower ACE2 activity and the lower ratio between ACE2/ACE activity. Besides, treatment with small-molecule Xanthenone ACE2 activator reduced platelet attachment to vessels and thrombus area by 60%, whereas the time for thrombus formation was prolonged by 45% [36]. ACE2-catalyzed hydrolysis of AT2 produces Angiotensin 1–7 (AT1-7) [32]. AT2 is associated with an increase in the production and secretion of plasminogen activator inhibitor type 1 from endothelial and smooth muscle cells and an augmentation of tissue factor expression, thereby enhancing the activity of the coagulation system. Moreover, AT2-mediated large vessel thrombosis involves activation of angiotensin II receptor type 1 (AT2r1), whereas the AT2-mediated microvascular thrombosis involves the activation of angiotensin II receptor type 2 (AT2r2) [37]. On the other hand, AT1-7 induced substantial nitric oxide production from platelets. It produced a potent inhibition of thrombus formation in Mas positive cells, whereas this effect was abolished in Mas negative cells. Also, bleeding time was decreased in Mas negative cells [38]. Moreover, AT1-7 activates endothelial nitric oxide synthase through an Akt-dependent mechanism and attenuates NADPH oxidase via the Mas receptor, providing an important role in maintaining endothelial function and vascular integrity [39]. Therefore, the ACE2/AT1-7/mas receptor axis exerts anti-thrombotic effects.

### The soluble catalytic ectodomain of ACE2 plasma activity (Soluble ACE2)

In recent years, some studies demonstrated that increasing soluble catalytic ectodomain of ACE2 plasma activity also known as soluble ACE2 is associated with the clinical diagnosis of heart failure (HF) moreover correlated with worsening New York Heart Association (NYHA) functional class, increasing B-type natriuretic peptide levels and is associated to all-cause mortality [40, 41]. Similarly, the increasing soluble ACE2 has been found in patients with both ischemic and nonischemic cardiomyopathies and also in patients with clinical HF but a preserved left ventricular ejection fraction [40]. Furthermore, in patients with aortic stenosis, the elevated soluble ACE2 was an independent predictor of all-cause mortality with a hazard ratio (HR) of 2.28 (1.03–5.06, p = 0.042); increased soluble ACE2 was associated with reduced myocardial ACE2 gene expression (0.7-fold, p = 0.033) and severe myocardial fibrosis (p = 0.027) [42]. This suggests that the presence of circulating soluble ACE2 in plasma indicates the cause or effect of an adaptive or maladaptive physiological process operating in HF and cardiovascular diseases. Moreover, the higher soluble ACE2 activity is associated with diminished exercise capacity and correlates with elevated plasma asymmetric dimethylarginine a marker of oxidative stress-mediated endothelial dysfunction in patients with chronic systolic HF [43]. On the other hand, in the Finnish Diabetic Nephropathy Study (FinnDiane) using patients with type 1 diabetes (n = 859) and healthy controls (n = 204), the soluble ACE2 increases in patients with type 1 diabetes and vascular complications such as albuminuria and coronary artery disease (CAD) [44]. Moreover, in ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) patients, elevated soluble ACE2 correlated with infarct size (r = 0.373, p < 0.001) and is associated with the occurrence of left ventricular remodelling (OR 4.4, 1.3–15.2, p = 0.027) [45]. Similarly, patients with angiographically CAD, high soluble ACE2 was a predictor of major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) with HR 2.4 (1.24–4.72, p = 0.009) [46]. In addition, the soluble ACE2 is increased in atrial fibrillation (AF) and is associated to left atrial (LA) electroanatomic mapping parameters of low mean LA bipolar voltage (R2 = 0.22, p = 0.03), a high proportion of complex fractionated electrocardiograms (R2 = 0.32, p = 0.009), and long LA activation time (R2 = 0.2, p = 0.04) which reflects a more advance LA structural remodelling [47]. These studies indicated that the ectodomain shedding of ACE2 plays an important role in the process of early cardiac remodelling (Table 1) and their cut-off values of soluble ACE2 could provide new insight for a biomarker of cardiac remodelling. Hence, the
| Author                  | Cut-off value | Study method and setting                                                                 | Results                                                                                                                                 |
|-------------------------|---------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Epelman (2008) [40]     | > 41.1 ng/ml  | HF patients (n = 159), and no HF patients (n = 69)                                          | Gender (% male) Q1 50.9, Q2 + Q3 69.6, Q4 75, p = 0.014<br>HF (%) Q1 54.4, Q2 + Q3 67.8, Q4 89.3, p = 0.0002<br>LVEF (%) Q1 48.9 (33.3–53.5), Q2 + Q3 41.1 (38–44.3), Q4 32 (27.4–36.6), p < 0.0001<br>BNP (pg/ml) Q1 161 (42–280), Q2 + Q3 231 (147–315), Q4 601 (480–721), p < 0.0001<br>Loop diuretic Q1 43.9, Q2 + Q3 52.2, Q4 82.1, p < 0.0001<br>No HF (n = 66), NYHA class I (n = 24), NYHA class II (n = 58), NYHA class III (n = 59), NYHA class IV (n = 14), p = 0.0007<br>Soluble ACE2 plasma activity > 41.1 ng/ml predicted HF (OD = 4.8, 2–11.9, p = 0.0002) |
| Epelman S et al. (2009) | > 28.8 ng/mL  | Stable chronic HF and LVEF ≤ 35% (n = 113). Mean follow-up 34 ± 17 months                  | Multivariate regression analysis: RV systolic dysfunction (β = 0.28, p = 0.008) and LV systolic dysfunction (β = 0.22, p = 0.047) remained independently associated with plasma sACE2 levels<br>ROC curve analysis, a value of 28.3 ng/mL gave an area under the curve 0.666 (p = 0.0001), sACE2 > 28.3 h 3.17 (1.56–6.62, p = 0.0015) vs those below for the combined end point<br>The sACE2 activity > 28.3 ng/mL ↑ event rate (HR = 40, 1.1–16.3, p < 0.05)<br>All-cause mortality alone ↑ in patients with ↑ sACE2 activity (HR = 2.17, 1.3–3.59, p = 0.003) |
| Ortiz-Perez JT et al. (2013) [45] | > 140.8 RFU/μl/hr | CMR study was performed in STEMI patients (n = 95) and repeated at 6 months                    | STEMI patients showed ↑ sACE2 activity at base line vs controls 104.4 (87.4–134.8) vs 74.9 (62.8–87.5), p < 0.001<br>16.9% of the patients (n = 15) presented adverse LV remodelling defined as ↑ in EDVi ≥ 20%<br>Multivariate linear regression analysis, sACE2 activity at 7 days (β = 0.025, 0.048–0.002, p = 0.030) and infarct size by CMR (β = 0.47, 0.691–0.248, p < 0.001) were the only two independent predictors of late LVEF<br>The sACE2 activity was predictor of ↑ in EDVi (r = 0.291, p < 0.01) predicting adverse LV remodelling with sACE2 activity above the third tertile after adjustment for age (OD = 4.4, 1.3–15.2, p = 0.027) |
### Table 1 (continued)

| Author                        | Cut-off value | Study method and setting | Results |
|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------------------|---------|
| Walters et al. (2017) [47]    | Control 13.3 pmol/min/ml (9.5–22.3)  
PAF 16.9 pmol/min/ml (9.7–27.3)  
PersAF 22.8 pmol/min/ml (13.7–33.4) | Consecutive patients with preserved LVEF; paroxysmal AF (n = 58), persistent AF (n = 20) and control (n = 25)  
A subgroup of 20 participants underwent invasive LA electroanatomic mapping  
Median sACE2 level 16.2 pmol/min/mL, control 13.3 (9.5–22.3), PAF 16.9 (9.7–27.3), PersAF 22.8 (13.7–33.4), p = 0.006  
Vascular disease was defined as CAD, PAD, carotid disease, renal artery disease or aortic aneurysm | The sACE2 were highest in persistent AF group vs control (p = 0.007) and persistent AF vs paroxysmal AF (p = 0.03)  
Multivariable regression analysis: independent predictors of ↑ plasma sACE2 activity were AF (p = 0.04) and a history of vascular disease (p < 0.01). There was a relationship between ↑ ACE2 activity and ↓ mean LA bipolar voltage (adjusted $R^2 = 0.22$, $p = 0.03$), ↑ the proportion of complex fractionated electrocardiograms ($R^2 = 0.32$, $p = 0.009$) and long LA activation time ($R^2 = 0.2$, $p = 0.04$)  
The direct relationship between ACE2 and BNP was weak (adjusted $R^2 = 0.03$, $\beta = 0.3 \pm 0.13$, $p = 0.04$) |
| Basu R et al. (2017) [49]     | HC 22.5 (10.6–44.5) pmol/h/ml  
CHF 33.6 (26.8–48.2) pmol/h/ml  
AHF 52.5 (30.2–72.5) pmol/h/ml | Patients with CHF (n = 59), AHF (n = 42) and HC (n = 36)  
Almost all CHF patients were treated with ACEI, whereas 52.4% of AHF were treated with ACEI (n = 42) or ARB (n = 17)  
The entire family of angiotensin peptides were compared between the 3 different groups: HC, CHF treated with ACEIs and AHF  
Angiotensin peptides were measured after addition of hrsACE2: Included 50% of the patients above the medial level of plasma Ang II in CHF with ACEI (n = 21), CHF with ARB (n = 17) and AHF (n = 21)  
Explanted human hearts with DCM (n = 25) | HC sACE2 pmol/h/ml 22.5 (10.6–44.5), CHF 33.6 (26.8–48.2), AHF 52.5 (30.2–72.5), p = 0.01  
Patients with HF NYHA class III/IV AT1-7/AT2 ratio was ↑ vs HF NYHA class III/IV, $p < 0.01$, and vs HC, $p < 0.001$, irrespective of ejection fraction  
Patients with AHF with median hospitalization stay < 4 days versus ≥ 4 days had ↑ AT1-7/AT2 ratio, $p < 0.05$  
The effect of hrsACE2 in CHF with ACEI: ↑ levels of AT1-9 thereby ↓ AT1-10, Ang II levels were ↓ despite the use of ACEI, ↑ AT1-7 and ↑ AT1-7/AT2 ratio  
The effect of hrsACE2 in CHF with ARB: ↓ AT2 thereby ↑ AT1-7/AT2 ratio. AT1-7 was only mildly ↑ with relatively dominant ↑ AT1-5  
The effect of hrsACE2 in AHF: ↑ AT1, mildly ↑ AT1-7, generating ↑ AT1-7/AT2 ratio. Smaller ↑ AT1-7 compared with CHF ACEI despite ↓ AT2 levels with ↑ AT1-5  
The effect of hrsACE2 in explanted human hearts with DCM: AT2 was effectively converted into AT1-7, markedly ↑ AT1-7/AT2 ratio. AT2 levels were concomitant with ↑ chymase levels and activity, despite the use of ACEI, but was completely suppressed by hrsACE2 |
Table 1 (continued)

| Author | Cut-off value | Study method and setting | Results |
|--------|---------------|--------------------------|---------|
| Ramchand J et al. (2018) [45] | sACE2 level > 29.3 pmol/ml/min | Patients with angiographically CAD (n = 79). CAD defined as ≥50% stenosis of left main coronary artery and/or ≥70% stenosis in ≥1 other major epicardial vessel | Patients with sACE2 > 29.3 vs sACE2 ≤29.3 had ↑ male gender (83% vs 46%, p = 0.001), ↑ atrial fibrillation (20% vs 3%, p = 0.015), ↑ MACE n% (60% vs 31%, p = 0.009) On multivariable cox regression analysis, Log ACE2 activity remained a predictor of MACE (HR = 2.4, 1.24–4.72, p = 0.009) Both sACE2 (HR = 4.03, 1.42–11.5, p = 0.009) and age (HR = 1.06, 1.01–1.12, p = 0.026) were independent predictor of HF |
| Ramchand J et al. (2020) [42] | ACE2 activity > 34 pmol/ml/min | Patients with AS (n = 127) and severe AS the 51%, underwent AVR (n = 58), subgroup had myocardial tissue (n = 22). Median follow-up 5 years. 24% of patients died (n = 31) Media level of plasma ACE2 activity 34 pmol/ml/min | Moderate positive correlation between sACE2 activity and LV mas index (r = 0.337, p < 0.001) Patients with above-median plasma ACE2 had ↑ LVED volume (57 vs 48 ml/m², p = 0.021) ↑ incidence of all-cause mortality in those with above-median sACE2 activity vs below-median plasma ACE (38% vs 11%, log-rank p < 0.001) ROC area under the curve 0.73 (95%, CI 62–82%) and sACE2 cutoff value of 41.2 pmol/ml/min had sensitivity of 65% and specificity of 75% for detecting all-cause mortality Patients with sACE2 activity above this had likelihood of all-cause mortality vs with those without (HR = 3.86, 1.85–8.06, p < 0.001) Cox multivariable regression analysis demonstrated that ↑ sACE2 activity (HR = 2.28, 1.03–5.06, p = 0.042) was an independent predictor of mortality in addition to age, history of HF, and history of CAD Patients with above-median circulating sACE2 levels had ↓ myocardial ACE2 gene expression (0.7 fold, p = 0.033) Patients with severe fibrosis had ↑ sACE2 activity (p = 0.027) |

ACEI angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, AF atrial fibrillation, AHF heart failure, ARB angiotensin II receptor blockers, AT angiotensin, AS aortic stenosis, AVR aortic valve replacement, BNP brain natriuretic peptide, CAD coronary artery disease, CHF chronic HF, CI confidence interval, CMR cardiac magnetic resonance, DCM dilated cardiomyopathy, EDVi end-diastolic volume index, HC healthy controls, HF heart failure, HR hazard ratio, hrsACE2 human recombinant soluble ACE2, LA left atrial, LV left ventricle, LVED left ventricular end-diastolic, LVEF left ventricular ejection fraction, MACE major adverse cardiovascular events, NYHA New York Heart Association, OD odds ratio, PVD peripheral vascular disease, Q quartiles, RAD renal artery disease, ROC receiver operating characteristic, RV right ventricle, sACE2 soluble Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2, STEMI ST-elevation myocardial infarction, T tertiles
human soluble ACE2 exerts an effect in the ACE2/angiotensin 1-7 axis modulation in heart failure, cardiovascular disease, and lung injury and currently, is a potential approach for SARS-CoV-2 infection therapy.

The human recombinant soluble ACE2

Healthy human subjects well tolerate doses of 100–1200 μg/kg of a recombinant version of the catalytic ectodomain of human ACE2, also known as the human recombinant soluble ACE2 (hrsACE2). The dose was given as an intravenous infusion over 30 min and had a terminal half-life of about 10 h [48]. Basu R et al. measured the entire family of angiotensin peptides in patients with HF. After administration of hrsACE2 effectively normalised elevated AT2 while increasing AT1-7 and AT1-9 in patients with HF. Interestingly, the effect of hrsACE2 in the subgroup of chronic heart failure (CHF) treated with ARB compared with CHF treated with ACEI, the AT2 was lowered thereby increasing AT1-7/AT2 ratio but the AT1-7 was only mildly increased with relatively dominant increased in plasma Angiotensin 1-5 (AT1-5). Therefore, ACEI reduced AT1-7 degradation, whereas hrsACE2 increased AT1-7 formation [49]. AT1-7 has a very short half-life (< 9 s), and release of a soluble ectodomain of ACE2 from the vascular endothelium may serve to alter systemic AT1-7 concentrations and the relative peripheral balance of ACE2/ACE [40]. In contrast, a small study of patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome showed that the AT2 was higher in nonsurvivors than in survivors, the use of hrsACE2 GSK2586881, 3 days of twice-daily infusions of 0.4 mg/kg, was well-tolerated and decreased AT2 level concentration, whereas AT1-7, AT1-5, and surfactant protein D concentrations were increased [50]. Hence, the ACE2/AT1-7/mas axis exerts cardiovascular protection providing anti-fibrotic, anti-hypertrophic, anti-oxidant, and vasodilator effects. In contrast, ACE/AT2/Angiotensin 1 receptor (AT1R) axis exerts the opposite effect providing fibrosis, hypertrophy, endothelial injury, and vasoconstriction [51].

ACE2 catalytic ectodomain as the functional receptor for the SARS-CoV-2

The envelope-embedded surface-located spike glycoprotein (S) of SARS-CoV-1 attaches the virus to it is cellular receptor ACE2 [52]. SARS-CoV-2 share 79.6% sequence identity to SARS-CoV-1 and uses the same cell entry receptor ACE2 [19]. Structural analysis of ACE2 has revealed the presence of a single catalytic domain that is located in the ectodomain was identified as a functional receptor for SARS-CoV-1, and the ectodomain is indispensable to viral attachment through a defined RBD on S mediates this interaction [53]. A recent structural analysis demonstrated that S subunit 1 (S1) and the C-terminal domain of the SARS-CoV-2, otherwise known as the RBD, bound to soluble ectodomain protein of human ACE2 with fourfold higher binding affinity compared with the SARS-CoV-1 RBD. Hence, SARS-CoV-2 C-terminal domain utilises its external subdomain to recognise subdomain I of the ectodomain protein of human ACE2 [15]. Furthermore, a study in vitro demonstrated that hrsACE2 inhibits the attachment of the SARS-CoV-2 to the cells; this inhibition was dependent on the initial quantity of the virus in the inoculum and the dose of hrsACE2, establishing dose-dependency. Therefore, hrsACE2 blocks early entry of SARS-CoV-2 infections in host cells [54]. SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2 bind to ACE2 ectodomain catalytic site receptor, with the subsequent membrane fusion and virus entry into the cell, leads to down-regulation of these receptors. This ACE2 down-regulation induced by the cell entry of the virus may be particularly detrimental in patients with pre-existing ACE2 deficiency due, for example, to older age, diabetes, hypertension, and prior cardiovascular disease [56]. Also, these factors were associated with developed ARDS in the cohorts of Wuhan and Lombardy [18, 55]. Therefore, in the setting of enhanced ACE2 deficiency produced by the viral invasion, the marked dysregulation of the ACE2/AT1-7/Mas axis would contribute to enhancing the progression of inflammatory and thrombotic processes [56].

Disruption of the catalytic ectodomain of the ACE2: a potential approach for SARS-CoV-2 infection therapy?

A novel hrsACE2 with a fragment crystallisable region (Fc) domain of human immunoglobulin IgG1 (ACE2-Ig) and ACE2 variant (HH/NN) in which two active-site histidine residues (amino acids 374 and 378) had been altered to asparagine residues to reduce the catalytic activity (mACE2-Ig), both have high-affinity binding to the receptor-binding domain and neutralised virus pseudotyped with SARS-CoV-1 or SARS-CoV-2 spike proteins in vitro [57]. On the other hand, ACE2 activity is unaffected by 10 μM of ACEI, but activity was completely inhibited by 10 μM of EDTA. This reinforces the proposition that ACE2 is a metalloprotease, but with a distinct substrate and inhibitor specificity from ACE. Hence, chelating agents such as EDTA removes zinc, which is essential for activity and leads to complete inactivation [26]. EDTA is chelator approved by the US Food and Drug Administration to treat lead poisoning. The Trial to Assess Chelation Therapy (TACT) study demonstrated that 3 g of EDTA intravenous weekly had shown a safety profile in patients with ischemic cardiomyopathy [58, 59]. It is known so far that the ACE2...
ectodomain subdomain I contain the single catalytic domain composed of the metalloprotease zinc-binding site (amino acids 374-378, HEMGH) and structural analysis has demonstrated that SARS-CoV-2 uses this ectodomain catalytic site receptor for viral attachment [15, 53]. Therefore, EDTA chelation therapy could be a potential approach for SARS-CoV-2 infection therapy.

Conclusion

The ACE2 is a type I integral membrane that was discovered two decades ago. The ACE2 exists as a transmembrane protein (ACE2 mRNA expression) and as a soluble catalytic ectodomain of ACE2 also known as the soluble ACE2 that can be found in plasma and other body fluids (ACE2 plasma activity). Moreover, many studies have shown that the soluble ACE2 plasma activity is associated with an adverse cardiac remodelling in heart failure and cardiovascular diseases, as well as a prognostic marker of cardiovascular mortality and all-cause mortality. Therefore, the ectodomain shedding of ACE2 plays a role in the process of cardiac remodelling. However, the presence of circulating soluble ACE2 in plasma indicates either the cause or effect of an adaptive or maladaptive physiologic process operative in HF and cardiovascular diseases. The hACE2 exerts an effect in the ACE2/AT1-7 axis modulation in HF, cardiovascular disease, and lung injury and currently, is a potential approach for SARS-COV-2 infection therapy. On the other hand, chelating agents such as EDTA disrupts the catalytic ectodomain of the ACE2, and the SARS-CoV-2 uses this ectodomain catalytic site receptor for viral attachment. Hence, EDTA chelation therapy could be a potential approach for SARS-CoV-2 infection therapy. Nevertheless, more research is required for a better understanding of the physiology of the soluble ACE2 for potential applications in SARS-CoV-2 infection and heart failure, providing an early diagnosis of cardiac remodelling, prognosis and therapeutic approaches.
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