Abstract: Endometriosis is a disease that affects women of reproductive age and has a significant impact on their well-being. The main symptoms are dysmenorrhea, chronic pelvic pain and infertility. The diagnostic process in many cases is very long and can take up to 8-12 years. Laparoscopy, which is an invasive method, is still necessary to confirm final identification. Therefore, the development of diagnostic markers seems to be crucial for the diagnosis and proper treatment of women affected by endometriosis as soon as possible. Still the most frequently studied and used marker is Cancer Antigen 125 (CA-125). Other glycoproteins, growth factors and immune markers seem to play an important role. However, the search for the ideal endometriosis marker is still ongoing. Developing researches on endometriosis pathogenesis help to identify potential biomarkers or sets of biomarkers in order to improve and speed up the diagnostic process in a non-invasive way.
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1. Introduction

Endometriosis is a disease with features of chronic inflammation. It is characterized by the presence of endometrial-like tissue outside the uterus. The most common locations for ectopic endometrial implants are ovaries, peritoneum and rectovaginal septum. [1,2] There are three types of endometriosis: peritoneal, ovarian and deeply infiltrating. [3].

The incidence of endometriosis in women of reproductive age varies between 6-10%. It is also considered that endometriosis occurs in 21-47% of women with infertility and 71-87% with chronic pelvic pain. Endometriosis is a major cause compromised quality of life in affected women [2].

The most common symptoms of endometriosis are painful sexual intercourse (deep dyspareunia), pain before and/or during menstruation (dysmenorrhea), pain with urination (dysuria) and chronic pelvic pain [2,4]. The progression of the disease does not correlate with the aggravation of symptoms and none of them is specific. Therefore, the time from the first symptoms to obtaining the diagnosis may last about 8-12 years [4]. As a result of improper verification process patient may be unnecessarily treated for diseases that may mimic the symptoms associated with other chronic pain-related disorders, such as irritable bowel syndrome and pelvic inflammatory disease [5,6]. In addition, women with endometriosis experience a number of non-clinical symptoms that include depression, fatigue, and feeling of isolation. Endometriosis has a negative impact on psychological and social welfare [7].

The gold standard in the diagnosis of endometriosis is still invasive examination - laparoscopy, preceded by transvaginal ultrasound and pelvic magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) [6]. It is considered that the development of non-invasive diagnostic tests such
as 'biomarkers' would have a clear impact in reducing diagnosis time and monitoring the progress of the disease and the effectiveness of treatment [5]. To replace invasive diagnostic methods, biomarkers could be considering clinically useful if they comply with predetermined criteria - sensitivity 94% and specificity 79% [8,9].

This paper aims to describe and discuss the current status of biomarkers of endometriosis in serum. In our review we focused on the main groups of markers which are: glycoproteins, growth factors, peptides, immunological markers, markers of oxidative stress and microRNA (Figure 1).

Figure 1. The most typical locations of ectopic endometriosis implants. Several potential endometriosis biomarkers are produced by the endometriosis implants themselves, by affected tissues and/or by the immune system.

We conducted a comprehensive literature review using electronic databases such as Pubmed, Science Direct and Google Scholar. The review was limited to sources in English language. We considered articles published until March 2021. Keywords such as: "endometriosis", "glycoproteins", "urocortin", "immunological markers", "oxidative stress", "microRNA" and various combinations of the above were used. Publications were selected if they related to studies conducted on potential biomarkers detected in women with endometriosis. In addition, we manually reviewed the references for each article to find potentially missed studies. Besides, we identified 345 articles that were related to topics of interest. After excluding duplicates, 47 studies were selected for analysis.

2. Glycoproteins

Many studies have evaluated the usefulness of serum glycoproteins as diagnostic tools in endometriosis. In medicine they are commonly used for the diagnosis and evaluation of malignant disease [10].

The most commonly described glycoprotein as a potential marker for endometriosis is Cancer Antigen 125 (CA-125). It is a well-known tumor marker of the ovarian epithelial cells, which originates from the coelomic epithelium, including the endometrium, fallopian tube, ovary and peritoneum [11].
CA-125 is not a specific marker. Its elevated concentration occurs in patients with cancer of the breast, endometrium, lung, gastrointestinal and inflammatory conditions. Increase of CA-125 represents the most reliable marker for identification of epithelial ovarian cancer. However, its suitability is also tested in endometriosis, which is an inflammatory disease and in the course of this disease CA-125 is secreted into circulation by endometrial and mesothelial cells [12,13].

To date, there is no clearly defined marker limit value. Most articles consider 35 U/mL as a cut-off point. It is assumed that the level of marker in women before and after menopause is different. It was investigated that before menopause the best cut-off point was 37 U/mL and 35 U/mL in postmenopausal patients [14].

The results of sensitivity for CA-125 vary between different studies [15-17]. Although, CA-125 values fluctuate during the different phases of the menstrual cycle, the value is usually higher during menstruation [12]. This is probably due to the increased inflammatory activity of endometrial cells. It is proposed to test the concentration of CA-125 in two phases of the cycle - in the middle of the cycle and in the menstrual phase. Positive results of CA-125 in the middle of the menstrual cycle indicate a very high risk of endometriosis [12].

It has been scientifically proven that there is a correlation between high CA-125 and the stage of the disease and its clinical type [18]. The sensitivity of endometriosis stage III and IV was 63.1%, compared to only 24.8% in stage I and II. Thus, investigation of concentration of the marker may have a higher value in deeply infiltrating endometriosis with present adhesions [19].

Currently, despite its relatively low sensitivity and specificity, CA-125 remains the only marker widely used in clinical practice in the diagnosis of endometriosis. To date, CA-125 may be suggested as a prognostic rather than diagnostic marker. It is believed that in women with endometriosis symptoms a result above ≥ 35 U/mL may result in shorter diagnosis times and faster implementation of appropriate therapy [19,20].

CA 19-9 is a tumor marker which has been used especially in the diagnosis of pancreatic cancer and gastrointestinal cancers. When it became clear that endometrium also produces CA 19-9, researchers began to look for its application in diagnosing endometriosis. However, the results of these researches are strongly divided. (8) (21) Some study reported that CA 19-9 is not related to endometriosis [21], while other researches have noted an increase levels of this marker in women with advanced stages of endometriosis [12]. Comparing to CA-125 its specificity and sensitivity are respectively 86-89% and 52-61% [22].

Other glycoproteins that were taken into consideration in the studies were CA 15-3, CA 72-4, α-fetoprotein (AFP) and carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA). Nevertheless, the results of these research indicate that it is unlikely to have any diagnostic value in case of endometriosis.

3. Growth factors and peptides: urocortin, activin, follistatin

Few studies have evaluated the use of urocortin as a diagnostic marker of symptomatic endometriosis.

Urocortin is a member of corticotrophin-releasing hormone (CRH) family and is produced by eutopic and ectopic endometria. It is also believed to play an important role in decidualization, which is essential process during early pregnancy [23]. Another effect of the urocortin is the mediation in the process of mast cell degranulation and increasing the permeability of blood vessels [24]. Three types of urocortin (i.e. Ucn1, Ucn2, Ucn3), which interact with two types of CRH receptor, can be distinguished. Ucn1 binds type 1 and type 2 CRH receptors, while Ucn2 and Ucn3 bind selectively to CRH-R2 [25].

According to some studies, evaluating plasma urocortin levels can detect symptomatic endometriosis with high sensitivity.

Maia et al. conducted a study in patients suffering from infertility and/or chronic pelvic pain [26]. The purpose of the study was to assess the predictive value of Ucn1 in the detection of endometriosis in women with the above mentioned symptoms. Women
with symptomatic endometriosis had higher levels of Ucn1 (median 59 pg/mL, interquartile interval 48-107 pg/mL) compared to women with no lesions (median 34 pg/mL, interquartile interval 22-43 pg/mL). Moreover, women with disorders other than endometriosis also had elevated urocortin levels, but to a lesser extent. The foregoing results show that the increase in plasma Ucn1 >46 pg/mL allows to differentiate the occurrence of endometriosis in women compared to those with no lesions (76% sensitivity, 88% specificity). However, it is not possible to distinguish endometriosis from other diseases (including ovarian teratoma, ectopic pregnancy, uterine leiomyoma). It is also important to note that the highest detection rate of endometriosis occurred in women who suffered from both infertility and chronic pelvic pain.

It is also suspected that there may be a link between variations in CRH/Ucn1 levels and progesterone resistance, due to lack of growth of Ucn1 and CRH mRNA levels during the secretory phase of the menstrual cycle in women with endometriosis. Novembris et al. demonstrated that the expression of Ucn1 and CRH mRNA in healthy women was higher in the secretory phase compared to the proliferative phase, while in women with endometriosis it was the same in both phases [23].

According to Florio et al. urocortin levels in women with endometriosis was twice as high as in women with non-endometrial ovarian cysts (median 49 pg/mL, interquartile interval 41-63 pg/mL vs median 19 pg/mL, interquartile interval 15-23 pg/mL) and was significantly higher in cystic content of endometriomas compared to peritoneal fluid and plasma [27]. Elevated urocortin level detected endometriosis with 88% sensitivity and 90% specificity, while CA-125 detected only 65% of cases with the same specificity.

Nevertheless, not all of the studies confirm the usefulness of urocortin as a marker of symptomatic endometriosis [28,29]. In researches comparing the level of urocortin in women with endometriosis and ovarian teratomas and between endometriosis and benign ovarian cysts, no significant differences were observed.

Activin A is a growth factor belonging to the transforming growth factor β (TGF-β) family. Physiologically it is produced by a healthy endometrium and its expression reaches peak values in the secretory phase of the menstrual cycle [30]. Activin A promotes the process of decidualization and is also believed to play a role in the immunological processes of cells involved in the pathogenesis of endometriosis. It has been noticed that in endometriosis its level increases both in eutopic and ectopic endometria. The highest increase was observed in ovarian endometrioma (OMA) in comparison with other types of endometriosis, but its growth was insufficient compared to controls to be used as a marker [31].

Follistatin is an extracellular glycoprotein secreted at a constant level throughout the whole menstrual cycle and its growth is observed during early pregnancy. Its main action is the neutralization of activin A, which leads to inhibition of the decidualization process [32]. The highest increase in plasma follistatin level was observed in the OMA and peritoneal forms in relation to deep infiltrating endometriosis (DIE) and healthy controls, which excludes its use as a marker of endometriosis [31].

The combination of activin A and follistatin as markers of endometriosis showed the highest effectiveness. In this case, a significant increase in the form of OMA was observed, but it was not suitable to differentiate the other forms of endometriosis from healthy controls [31].

4. Immunological markers

There are many indications that the dysfunction of the immune system is involved in the pathogenesis of endometriosis. Many studies have been conducted to determine whether different populations of immune cells could be used as non-invasive markers of endometriosis.

Macrophages are one of the cells found in significant amounts in the peritoneal fluid. They are responsible for ectopic endometrial cell adhesion, implantation and growth. What is more, macrophages secrete numerous substances which are said to influence the development of endometriosis [33].
Macrophages are considered to be the source of vascular endothelial growth factors (VEGF) in women with endometriosis. VEGF is responsible for angiogenesis in the endometrial tissue, which allows it to regenerate after menstruation, but also affects newly formed vessels. Mouse studies showed that after implantation of uterine tissue into the peritoneum, macrophages activation and increased VEGF secretion in response to tumor necrosis factor α (TNF-α) and interleukin 6 (IL-6) occurred [34]. According to some study the level of TNF-α increased in patients with endometriosis and correlated with its severity [35].

Studies on macrophage migration inhibitory factor (MIF) have shown that it is a cytokine with strong immunoregulatory potential, affecting angiogenesis and tissue remodeling [36]. It has been observed to significantly increase in endometrial lesions, especially in advanced stages of the disease [37].

Natural Killer (NK) cells may play an important role in the pathogenesis of endometriosis. It is believed that they are responsible for clearance of regurgitated endometrial cells from the peritoneal cavity. It has been observed that patients with endometriosis have reduced NK cell cytotoxicity. This suggests that NK cell dysfunction may allow implantation of endometrial cells into the peritoneal cavity and lead to endometriosis [38]. IL-12 may inhibit process of endometriosis by activation of NK cells [39]. It has also been demonstrated that abnormal human leukocyte antigen (HLA) class I and II expression leads to a decrease in their cytotoxic activity [40].

A compound such as soluble intercellular-adhesion molecule-1 (sICAM-1) should also be distinguished. It is associated with reduced cytotoxic activity of NK cells. It is believed that it may be relevant to implantation disorders and the formation of endometrial lesions [33]. Matalliotakis et al. demonstrated that the level of sICAM-1 was higher in women suffering from endometriosis infertility compared to healthy controls [41].

Additionally, elevated monocyte chemotactic protein-1 (MCP-1) values were observed in peritoneal fluid and plasma in women with endometriosis, especially in the early stages of the disease. Another study revealed its elevated values in the more advanced stages [8].

According to Cho et al. the use of neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio can be applied as a diagnostic method for endometriosis [42]. They have shown that women with endometriosis may have neutrophilia coexisting with lymphocytopenia. The combined use of neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio and CA-125 concentration demonstrated high sensitivity for endometriosis detection with sensitivity of 69.3% and specificity of 83.9% [42].

5. Oxidative stress

The formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) is physiological process regulated by antioxidant defense mechanisms. Imbalance between these two formations is called oxidative stress. Its significant role was demonstrated in inflammatory response of many diseases including endometriosis [9].

Inadequate metabolism of free radicals and ROS has a significant impact on the use of thiolis and carbonyls, which seem to be associated with endometriosis and subfertility. According to one study, the amount of these substances is significantly reduced in the presence of endometriosis compared to controls. However, other studies have shown that there is no link between endometriosis and the presence of oxidative stress markers [43].

Due to the multitude of factors regulating the level of oxidative stress, authors highlight that there is need of further studies to conclude if there is possible use of oxidative stress markers as diagnostic tests for endometriosis [9,44].

6. MicroRNAs

MicroRNA (miRNA) is a small non-coding RNA molecule, containing about 22–24 nucleotides. Its main function is regulation of gene expression, it also affects processes of proliferation, differentiation, growth, and apoptosis. MiRNAs are regulatory molecules that control the expression of many genes and play key roles in many biological processes
In turn, dysregulation of microRNA has been associated with many diseases including endometriosis. MicroRNA became a new perspective in the field of serum markers and has become the subject of many research papers [46,47].

In 2020, Zhang et al. selected and tested specific types of miRNA: miR-134-5p, miR-197-5p, miR-22-3p, miR-320a, miR-494-3p, and miR-939-5p [47]. Two types of miRNA: miR-22-3p and miR-320a, were distinctly upregulated in group of endometriosis patients in comparison to the reference group. Also the distinctive difference was noticed between patients in stage I-II compared to stage III-IV. It seems that these two types of miRNA could be potential biomarkers for endometriosis, [47].

MiRNAs are very attractive diagnostic markers due to their lower complexity, tissue specificity, lack of known post-translational modifications and stability in blood, urine or tissues [48]. Researchers summed up studies about miRNA as endometriosis marker and concluded that the average value of sensitivity was 86% and specificity was 88% [49]. Although the results are promising authors note that their assessment may be affected by menstruation cycle. Also miRNA research remains a new field of study and requires confirmation and further investigation [47,49].

7. Integrins as cell-adhesion molecules

Integrins are the main protein receptors, also known as transmembrane linkers, which participate both in binding cells and transferring signals from and to extracellular matrix. They are involved in the regulation of pathophysiological processes such as cell adhesion, proliferation and migration [50].

Depolarized integrin α6 was examined as a possible marker of endometriosis. Researchers evaluated the percentage of positive glandular cells and the location of expression in each sample section, after a previous biopsy. An immunohistochemical (IHC) method was used. Integrin α6 was considered to be polarized when expression was shown only on the basal side of the cell and depolarized when expression was observed on any side of the cell. Using depolarized expression as the positive test result, the sensitivity was 67% and the specificity was 84%. Such a result does not meet the criteria for either replacement or triage test [51].

Integrin β1 is expressed in ectopic endometrial tissues, what may indicate that it is involved in the occurrence of endometriosis. After testing, the following results were obtained: in glandular epithelium, integrin β1 had a sensitivity of 18% and specificity 87%, and in stromal epithelium it had a sensitivity of 76% and specificity of 0% [51,52].

Researchers attempted to determine the expression of α3β1 and α4β1 integrins in endometrial biopsy samples from women with endometriosis. In glandular epithelium, α3β1 integrin demonstrated a sensitivity of 100% and a specificity of 27%, and in stromal epithelium, it had a sensitivity of 53% and a specificity of 27%. In turn, α4β1 integrin in glandular epithelium had a sensitivity of 65% and specificity of 40%, and in stromal epithelium it demonstrated a sensitivity of 59% and a specificity of 20% [51,53].

In conclusion, the results for all the above integrins as biomarkers for endometriosis were discouraging. They are not sufficient to draw conclusions regarding their role in detecting endometriosis.

8. Conclusions

Due to endometriosis heterogeneity, perfect diagnostic markers should give on array of results fulfilling the requirements of specificity and sensitivity at the same time. The broad implication of the present research showed that even though we have a number of promising markers none of them meets the mentioned criteria on its own [Table 1].

Table 1. Possible diagnostic markers for endometriosis
Further studies are certainly required to combine all of available methods, including serum markers, glycoproteins, growth factors and peptides, immunological markers also genomic technologies and non-invasive imaging methods such as ultrasonography (USG) or MRI. A variety of combined tests should help to unify the results and as an effect lead to faster diagnosis what will have a direct impact on patients quality of life.
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