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Abstract
This study was designed to use Aquamat™ in the daily exchange and flow-through culture systems of fish hatchery for improving the quality of seawater. Aquamat™ is a commercial innovative product fabricated from highly specialized synthetic polymers that forms a three dimensional structure. Results showed that the Aquamat™ reduced ammonia (NH3-N), total suspended solids (TSS) and dissolved oxygen (DO) concentrations in the daily exchange system but not in the flow-through system. Average values of NH3-N (F=0.028; t=-2.006; P=0.047), TSS (F=4.550; t=-2.787; P=0.006) and DO (F=26.085; t=-2.833; P=0.005) concentrations were significantly lower in the culture tank with Aquamat™ than the culture tank without Aquamat™. Fish biomass gain was significantly higher (F=2.177; t=4.296; P=0.001) in the culture tanks of with Aquamat™ than without Aquamat™. The bacterial density was significantly higher (F=11.437; df=2; P=0.000) on the surface of Aquamat™ than in the seawater of culture tanks with and without Aquamat™. This study suggests that the Aquamat™ provides surface area for fish to hide from cannibalism activity, thereby reducing mortality. It was also found that the extra feeds and fish wastes attached to the surface of Aquamat™, reduced TSS concentration in the water with culture system. The surface of Aquamat™ also provided places for microbes to grow and increased the nitrification process. The nitrification processes converted NH3-N to NO2-N then NO3-N with help from nitrifier bacteria and DO concentrations, which reduced NH3-N toxicity in the culture system. However, result also showed that the Aquamat™ increased the NO2-N and NO3-N concentrations in the culture system. This study suggests that the Aquamat™ is still not capable of eliminating the entire amount of dissolved inorganic nitrogen in the culture system for water quality management in a fish hatchery system.
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Introduction
High quality water in sufficient volume is a primary consideration and a major factor in fish hatchery operations and management. It is generally agreed that high quality water is the most important input for aquaculture and thus a key element in the success of all phases of culture operations [1]. Slow growth and disease problems are generally linked to poor water quality. Deterioration in the quality of water increases stress on the captive animals, reduces their growth, makes them vulnerable to disease and can cause heavy mortality. Besides, water quality associated with aquaculture development is a matter of widespread concern since it can produce a variety of negative environmental impacts on the receiving environment [2].

Gaining insight into water quality helps aquaculture become more efficient and productive. Most importantly, it is the water quality that will influence optimal growth and yield. Water quality is defined as any characteristic of water in production systems that effect survival, reproduction, growth and production of aquatic species. It also influences management decisions, causes environmental impacts, or reduces product quality and safety [3]. Many studies have reported the effects of water quality on the aquaculture organisms and environment [2-6]. Besides, several Water Quality Standards for Aquaculture Activity (WQSA) have been published to be used as a guideline [1,7-9].

No doubt, in order to keep the health of any aquaculture system at an optimal level, certain water quality parameters must be monitored and controlled. Water quality parameters outside the acceptable range will stress the fish in aquaculture systems. Therefore, it is equally important to know how to interpret the water quality parameters that are measured to maintain the health and well-being of the fish in aquaculture systems. While chemistry of water is a complex subject, most aspects of general importance to farmers can be simplified to allow for easier understanding and practical approaches to management. In our study, Estim [10] showed that high concentrations of NH3-N and NO2-N were recorded in the culture systems of Borneo Marine Research Institute (BMRI) Fish Hatchery of Universiti Malaysia Sabah, Malaysia (Figure 1). The NH3-N was higher in the daily exchange and flow-through culture systems where larvae and juveniles were stocked, while NO2-N was higher in the recirculating system used for stocking broodfish and in the waste water [11]. Those findings showed that some sections of the hatchery require attention for improvement, particularly the culture tanks and the waste water which recorded higher levels of NH3-N and NO2-N. High concentration of ammonia can cause gill damage, reduce the oxygen carrying capacity of blood, increase the oxygen demand of tissues, damage red blood cells and affect osmoregulation [7,8]. NO2-N is relatively non-toxic to aquatic organisms. However, it should not be left to accumulate because it eventually leads to some undesirable results such as phytoplankton blooms. In the marine waters of Sabah, NO3-N was reported to stimulate harmful algal bloom (Pyrodinium bahamense var compressum) even in a low concentration [10].

This paper provides information on Aquamat™, a biofilter application responsible for reducing dissolved inorganic nitrogen (NH3-N, NO2-N and NO3-N) concentrations [12-14]. Aquamat™ is a new and innovative product fabricated from highly specialized synthetic polymer substrates. It forms a complex three-dimensional...
structure, resembling seagrass in appearance; provide aquatic habitat, in situ biofiltration and water renovation while the culture is under progress. This media has been principally used to support high stocking densities in fish culture ponds [14] and enhancing biological processes in ornamental ponds [12] and observed decrease in NH₃-N levels to treat shrimp farm waste water [13] (Figure 1).

Materials and Methods

Daily exchange system

Six circular fiberglass tanks of 1000 L were used for the experiment. Three tanks were equipped with Aquamat™ while the other three were no Aquamat™. Each Aquamat™ has a surface area of 31.28 m². The total biomass of seashells, Lates calcarifer, juvenile stocked in each tank was 120 g (fish mean weight, 0.156 ± 0.1 g), 130 g (fish mean weight, 0.219 ± 0.1 g) and 150 g (fish mean weight, 0.602 ± 0.1 g) for the 1st, 2nd, and 3rd of 10 days, respectively. During the experiment, 20% of KINTARO formulated feed (protein, 38.8 %; lipid, 9.82%; moist, 10.71 %; ash, 11.48 % and fiber, 23.13%) was given twice daily, in the morning (9:00) and afternoon (16:00). The seawater of each culture tank was changed 0-70 % per day.

Flow-through system

Four 2000 L rectangular fiberglass tanks were used for the experiment. Two tanks were stocked with 44 tails of seabass juvenile (mean body weight, 254.7 ± 74.0 g). Another two were stocked with 40 tails of tiger grouper, Epinephelus lanceolatus (mean body weight, 346.9 ± 61.7 g). One tank each of E. lanceolatus and L. calcarifer tanks with and without Aquamat™ was significantly difference (F=25.085; p=0.005), but no significant different for temperature (F=0.177; t=-0.182; P=0.856), pH (F=0.264; t=-0.417; P=0.677) and salinity (F=3.956; t=-2.833; P=0.005), but no significant different for temperature (F=0.177; t=-0.182; P=0.856), pH (F=0.264; t=-0.417; P=0.677) and salinity (F=3.956; t=-0.343; P=0.732).

Seawater and solid samples were collected at day 10 of the experiment, were filtered using 0.45 µm membrane filter, and then autoclaved. Seawater samples were collected from each culture tank using a universal bottle of 10 mL. Solid samples from the surface area of 1 cm² of Aquamat™ were also collected using sterile cotton bud, and then put into a universal bottle of 10 mL sterile seawater. The sterile seawater was filtered using 0.45 µm membrane filter, and then autoclaved. Seawater and solid samples were collected at day 10 of the experiment, then immediately brought to the laboratory for further analyses. Serial dilutions of samples were prepared at 10⁻¹, 10⁻² and 10⁻³. Samples of 0.1 mL were inoculated onto triplicate sets of marine agar media (Difco).

Statistical analyses

Independent Samples T-test and One way analyses of variance (ANOVA, p=0.05) were used to detect differences in the water quality (NH₃-N, NO₂-N, NO₃-N, temperature, pH, DO and salinity) between culture systems with and without Aquamat™. All the tests were conducted after the confirmation of homogeneity of variance (Levene’s test). To satisfy the assumptions of normality and homogeneity of variance, data on the NH₃-N of daily exchange system and CFU/mL bacteria colony were transformed by log10 to achieve homogenous data.

Results

Average values of temperature, DO, pH and salinity in the culture tanks with and without Aquamat™ for the daily exchange system for L. calcarifer are shown in the Table 1. It is evident from the data that the mean temperature ranged from 27.69 ± 0.35 to 28.09 ± 0.43°C, DO ranged from 5.74 ± 0.17 to 5.94 ± 0.23 mg/L, pH ranged from 7.66 ± 0.12 to 7.81 ± 0.12 and salinity varied from 19.27 ± 0.63 to 20.33 ± 0.62 psu. Independent Samples T-test proved that the DO in culture tanks with and without Aquamat™ was significantly difference (F=25.085; t=-2.833; P=0.005), but no significant different for temperature (F=0.177; t=-0.182; P=0.856), pH (F=0.264; t=-0.417; P=0.677) and salinity (F=3.956; t=-0.343; P=0.732).

Table 2 shows mean (± S.D.) of temperature, DO and pH in the culture tanks with and without Aquamat™ for the flow-through systems for L. calcarifer and E. lanceolatus juveniles. It showed that the mean temperature ranged from 27.92 ± 0.53 to 28.22 ± 0.58°C, DO varied from 6.06 ± 0.49 to 6.42 ± 0.41 mg/L and pH was in the range of 7.95 ± 0.56 to 8.14 ± 0.28. Independent samples test indicated that...
the mean NH$_3$-N ranged from 0.50 ± 0.18 to 0.64 ± 0.24 mg/L, NO$_2$-N and _L. calcarifer_ juveniles. It is obvious from the data that _E. lanceolatus_ in flow-through culture system with and without Aquamat

For the NO$_2$-N (F=0.884; t=0.487; P=0.627) and NO$_3$-N (F=1.887; t=-1.390; P=0.167) concentrations, there was no significant difference.

Salinity (ppt)

Fish biomass gain (g)

Table 1: Temperature, DO, pH, salinity, fish biomass gain and survival rate in the daily exchange culture systems with and without Aquamat$^{TM}$. Values are mean ± SD.

| Added Aquamat | N | Fish cultured | No | Yes |
|---------------|---|----------------|----|-----|
| Temperature (°C) | 24 | No | 27.69 ± 0.35 | 27.78 ± 0.28 | 28.09 ± 0.43 |
| DO (mg/L) | 24 | Yes | 27.70 ± 0.34 | 27.81 ± 0.26 | 28.04 ± 0.44 |
| pH | 24 | Yes | 5.84 ± 0.25 | 5.94 ± 0.23 | 5.85 ± 0.13 |
| | 24 | Yes | 5.75 ± 0.30 | 5.78 ± 0.23 | 5.74 ± 0.17 |
| | 24 | No | 7.74 ± 0.14 | 7.66 ± 0.12 | 7.81 ± 0.12 |
| Salinity (ppt) | 24 | No | 19.32 ± 0.57 | 19.52 ± 0.62 | 20.17 ± 0.70 |
| | 24 | Yes | 19.27 ± 0.63 | 19.47 ± 0.56 | 20.33 ± 0.62 |
| Fish biomass gain (g) | 3 | No (Initial) | 120.00 | 130.00 | 150.00 |
| | 3 | Yes (Initial) | 165.05 ± 14.98 | 140.03 ± 4.91 | 163.39 ± 7.67 |
| | 3 | Yes (Final) | 183.35 ± 16.05 | 181.61 ± 5.82 | 226.61 ± 12.67 |
| Survival Rate (%) | 3 | No | 76.72 ± 6.96 | 67.52 ± 2.37 | 60.71 ± 2.95 |
| | 3 | Yes | 85.23 ± 7.46 | 87.57 ± 2.81 | 84.20 ± 4.88 |

Values with different superscripts within row are significantly different (P<0.05)

**Table 1:** Temperature, DO, pH, salinity, fish biomass gain and survival rate in the daily exchange culture systems with and without Aquamat$^{TM}$. Values are mean ± SD.

**Dissolved Inorganic Nitrogen**

Figure 2 shows mean (± SD) of NH$_3$-N, NO$_2$-N, NO$_3$-N and TSS in the culture tanks with and without Aquamat$^{TM}$ for the daily exchange system. The mean values of NH$_3$-N (F=0.028; t=-2.006; P=0.047) and TSS (F=1.144; t=-2.787; P=0.006) were significantly lower in the culture tanks with Aquamat$^{TM}$ than the culture tanks without Aquamat$^{TM}$. For the NO$_2$-N (F=0.884; t=0.487; P=0.627) and NO$_3$-N (F=1.887; t=1.390; P=0.167) concentrations, there was no significant difference. These results indicated that the Aquamat$^{TM}$ could reduce NH$_3$-N and TSS concentrations in the daily exchange system. Table 2 shows mean (± S.D.) concentrations of NH$_3$-N, NO$_2$-N and NO$_3$-N in the culture tanks with and without Aquamat$^{TM}$ for the flow-through system for _L. calcarifer_ and _E. lanceolatus_ juveniles. It is obvious from the data that the mean NH$_3$-N ranged from 0.50 ± 0.18 to 0.64 ± 0.24 mg/L, NO$_2$-N varied from 0.43 ± 0.045 to 0.46 ± 0.360 µg/L and NO$_3$-N was in the range of 12.16 ± 7.63 to 17.44 ± 12.96 mg/L. Independent Samples Test indicated that the concentrations of NH$_3$-N (F=3.124; t=1.206; P=0.232), NO$_2$-N (F=3.118; t=-0.198; P=0.844) and NO$_3$-N (F=3.685; t=-1.761; P=0.083) of the culture tanks with and without Aquamat were not significantly different (Figure 2).

**Fish biomass and growth**

Table 1 shows the fish biomass gains were significantly higher in the culture tank with Aquamat$^{TM}$ than in the culture tank without Aquamat$^{TM}$ (F=2.177; t=4.296; P=0.001). The mean (± SD) of fish biomass gains were 52.79 ± 13.39 g, 39.70 ± 4.44 g and 51.07 ± 8.39 g, for the 1st, 2nd and 3rd of 10 days, respectively, in the culture tank with Aquamat$^{TM}$ compared to 37.54 ± 12.50 g, 7.72 ± 3.84 g and 8.93 ± 5.11 g, respectively for the culture tank without Aquamat$^{TM}$. For the flow-through system, the weight gains in _L. calcarifer_ (F=1; t=-1; P=0.0) and _E. lanceolatus_ (F=1; t=-1; P=0.0) were not significantly different between the culture tanks with and without Aquamat$^{TM}$ (Table 2). The specific growth rate of _L. calcarifer_ and _E. lanceolatus_ in the culture tank with Aquamat$^{TM}$ was 1.43 ± 0.16% per day and 0.50 ± 0.04% per day, respectively, and in the culture tank without Aquamat$^{TM}$ it was 1.20 ± 0.04% per day and 0.27 ± 0.03% per day, respectively.

**Bacteria colony (CFU/ml)**

Colonies of bacteria in the seawater of culture tanks with and without Aquamat$^{TM}$ were significantly different (F=11.437; df=2; P=0.000) compared to the bacterial colony on the surface of Aquamat$^{TM}$ (Figure 3). The bacterial colony average for the entire experiment was 1.20×10$^7$ ± 0.26 CFU/ml in the seawater without Aquamat$^{TM}$, 1.77×10$^7$ ± 0.56 CFU/ml in the seawater with Aquamat$^{TM}$ and 8.11×10$^6$ ± 4.95 CFU/ml on the surface of Aquamat$^{TM}$. Table 3 shows 12 different bacterial colonies that were isolated from the culture systems with and without Aquamat$^{TM}$ and on the surface of Aquamat$^{TM}$, which consisted of 4 gram positive and 8 gram negative types. Table 4 shows results of the biochemical test of 12 major colonies (Figure 3) (Tables 3 and 4).

**Discussion**

Results obtained from the present trials indicated that the use of Aquamat$^{TM}$ in the daily exchange culture system improved the fish biomass gain and the survival rate. Besides, it also reduced the NH$_3$-N and TSS concentrations. These findings are consistent with the outcome of the research published earlier [13,16,17]. These authors explained that the use of artificial substrates improved the production and water quality. However, Aquamat$^{TM}$ was not shown to produce the temperature, DO and pH in the culture tanks with and without Aquamat$^{TM}$ were not significantly different (p=0.05) (Tables 1 and 2).

**Table 3:** Twelve types of bacteria colonies isolated from the seawater of culture tanks and on the surface of Aquamat$^{TM}$.

| Colony | Characteristic (colour, colony periphery, configuration and colony elevation) |
|--------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| T1     | Reddish-brown, smooth, round and flat                                         |
| T2     | Yellow, smooth, round and umbonate                                           |
| T3     | Yellowish-orange, smooth, round and penetrate into medium                     |
| T4     | White, wavy, round and umbonate                                               |
| T5     | Yellow, wavy, round, flat                                                     |
| T6     | White, smooth, round and penetrate into medium                                 |
| T7     | Yellowish-white, wavy, round and umbonate                                     |
| T8     | Yellowish-white, wavy, round and penetrate into medium                        |
| T9     | Pink, wavy, round and penetrate into medium                                    |
| T10    | Reddish brown, smooth, round and penetrate into medium                        |
| T11    | Yellow, smooth, round and penetrate into medium                                |
| T12    | Yellowish white, smooth, round and flat                                       |
artificial substrate increases the nitrification in culture tanks, which causes decline in the concentrations of ammonia. Use of Aquamat™ would help to enhance nitrification process and reduce the toxicity due to NH₃-N. In a nitrification process, NH₃-N is first oxidized into nitrite then into nitrate by several genera of bacteria [18]. Aquamat™ provides surface area for microbes to grow and enhance the nitrification process. Increase in available surface area in the oxygenated water column may also promote growth of specific bacterial groups such as nitrifiers, which are more likely to inhabit surfaces than the free-floating forms [21]. The recent availability of products for increasing vertical surfaces in aquaculture systems has raised interest in the effects of vertical surface enhancement by placement of many flexible curtains throughout the water column [13]. The most obvious effect of vertical surface enhancement is the potential shift of the major site of primary production especially microbes. As shown in Figure 3, the bacterial colonies were higher on the surface of Aquamat™ than in the water. Table 3 and 4 summarizes the results of biochemical test of twelve major bacterial colonies, which consisted of 4 gram positive and 8 gram negative types. Colonies of bacteria in the seawater of culture tanks with and without Aquamat™ were significantly (p<0.05) different compared to the bacterial colony on the surface of Aquamat™ (Figure 3).

Fish biomass gains in the culture tanks with Aquamat™ were significantly (p<0.05) higher than in the culture tanks without Aquamat™. Cannibalism and high NH₃-N and TSS concentrations in the culture tanks without Aquamat™ caused high mortality. Kailasam et al. [19] reported that the seabass is a highly predatory fish and differential growth among the larvae during rearing can lead to cannibalism, resulting in poor survival rate. Besides, the surface area of Aquamat™ provides places for fish to hide and to protect them from cannibalism activity. From the present observation, it is evident that the feed-particles were attached to the surface of Aquamat™, which would supply diets at any time. Moss and Moss [20] reported that shrimp growth increased in the presence of substrates due to the availability of attached particulate organic matter as well as by the use of artificial substrates. Bratvold and Browdy [13] reported that

Figure 2: Concentrations of TSS, NH₃-N, NO₂-N, and NO₃-N in the daily exchange of L. calcarifer juveniles culture systems with and without Aquamat™. Values are means ± SD.

any appreciable effect on the flow-through culture system for L. calcarifer and E. lanceolatus juveniles. This finding is concurrent with the observation of Kumlu et al. [5] which reported that the artificial substrates do not provide any advantage during the post-larvae culture.

The experiment on daily exchange showed that the NH₃-N mean concentration in the culture tanks of with Aquamat™ was significantly (p<0.05) lower than in the culture tanks without Aquamat™, except for the first 6 days. It seems that the mineralization process of protein occurred faster in the culture tank with Aquamat™ than in the culture tank without Aquamat™ for the first 6 days. Possibly, the decomposition of organic matter in the surrounding water leads to increase in NH₃ and NO₂ concentrations [18]. The autotrophic organisms mineralized waste feed and feces resulting in different dissolved nitrogen fractions [4].

The Aquamat™ provides aquatic habitat, and in situ biofiltration and water remediation facility while the culture is under progress. This product has been principally used to support high stocking densities in fish culture ponds [14] and enhancing biological processes in ornamental ponds [12, 13] observed decrease in NH₃-N levels using the Aquamat™ and sand sediment to treat shrimp farm waste water.
Aquamat™. seawater of culture systems with and without Aquamat™, and on the surface of
Table 4: Results of biochemical test on 12 major bacterial colonies isolated in the

| Gram | T1 | T2 | T3 | T4 | T5 | T6 | T7 | T8 | T9 | T10 | T11 | T12 |
|------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|-----|-----|
| Shape | R  | R  | S  | R  | R  | S  | R  | R  | S  | R   | R   | R   |
| Motility | -  | +  | -  | +  | -  | +  | -  | +  | -  | +   | -   | -   |
| Catalase | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +   | -   | -   |
| Oxidase | -  | -  | -  | +  | +  | +  | +  | -  | -  | +   | -   | -   |
| Glucose (acid) | -  | +  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -   | -   | -   |
| Carbohydrates (O/F/-) | -  | F  | 0  | 0  | 0  | F  | 0  | 0  | F  | 0   | 0   | 0   |
| Mannitol | -  | -  | +  | -  | +  | +  | -  | -  | -  | +   | -   | -   |
| Xylose | -  | +  | -  | -  | +  | +  | +  | -  | -  | +   | -   | -   |
| Trehalose | -  | +  | -  | +  | +  | +  | -  | -  | -  | -   | -   | -   |
| Sucrose | -  | D  | +  | -  | -  | +  | -  | -  | -  | +   | -   | -   |
| Glycerol | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -   | -   | -   |
| Raffinose | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -   | -   | -   |
| Sorbitol | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -   | -   | -   |
| VP | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -   | -   | -   |
| Indol | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | +  | -  | -  | -   | -   | -   |
| Gelatin hydrolysis | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -   | -   | -   |
| Ornithine Decarboxylase | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -   | -   | -   |
| Urease | -  | D  | +  | +  | +  | +  | D  | -  | -  | -   | -   | -   |
| H2S | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -   | -   | -   |
| Growth at 42°C | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +   | +   | +   |
| Growth at 37°C | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +   | +   | +   |
| Growth - SS Agar | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +   | +   | +   |
| Growth on MacConkey | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +   | +   | +   |
| Growth without NaCl | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +   | +   | +   |
| Growth 6% NaCl | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +   | +   | +   |
| Growth on KCN | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +   | +   | +   |

D= Different reactions; F= Fermentative; O= Oxidative; R = Rod-shape; S= Sphere or Coccus

Table 4: Results of biochemical test on 12 major bacterial colonies isolated in the seawater of culture systems with and without Aquamat™, and on the surface of Aquamat™.

There are two types of Aquamats such as SDF (surface deployment filter) and BDF (bottom deployment filters). Such geotextile products have become quite popular in aquaculture and other water treatment operations. Hargreaves [22] explained that nutrient cycling and related water quality are significantly affected by the sediment community. He described in detail the suspended growth systems in aquaculture, which depends on an active mass of phytoplankton, free and attached bacteria, aggregates of living and dead particulate organic matter, and microbial grazer that is maintained in suspension. These systems have been described using a wide variety of terms, most emphasizing the role of bacterial processes. In suspended-growth systems, substrates are typically mixed with suspended microbes in rearing units and in the attached-growth systems, substrates are transported from rearing units to specialized reactors performing a specific unit operation in a treatment chain [22].

Obviously, an increase in aquaculture surface area has the potential to result in a plethora of changes in the microbial community [13]. Evaluation of geotextile filtration applying coagulant and flocculant amendments for aquaculture biosolids dewatering and phosphorus removal has been done by Sharrer et al. [6]. Bratvold and Browdy [13] elaborated that the potentially positive and negative chemical and biological effects of sediment bottom surfaces may also be seen on vertical surfaces. The recent availability of products for increasing vertical surfaces in aquaculture systems has raised interest in the effects of vertical surface enhancement by placement of many flexible curtains throughout the water column. Schneider et al. [24], explained that nutrients are not re-used, they are in fact destroyed and discharged in a harmless form by nitrification, denitrification and heterotrophic degradation. Although these kinds of processes successfully decrease the amount of discharged nutrients, such systems do not increase the retention of nutrients. Instead of destructing and or volatilizing or storing nutrients, nutrients can also be converted into bacteria biomass and re-used as single cell protein (SCP). Henze et al. [23], added that if carbon and nitrogen are well balanced in the bacterial substrate, ammonia in addition to organic nitrogenous waste will be converted into bacteria biomass. This conversion is an additional sink for ammonia and contributes to dissolve waste conversion [24].

Results also indicated that the Aquamat™ could reduce TSS concentration in the daily exchange system. Stewart et al. [16] reported that the TSS removal increased when Aquamat™ biofiltration media were installed in a first section of sedimentation basin. High TSS concentrations tend to clog fish gills which may lead to mortality, affect the gill epithelial tissues and facilitate the disease such as fin rot which is caused by mycobacteria. This limits the ability of fish to find food, increases susceptibility to predators and to gill abrasion [7]. Sharrer et al. [6] concluded that geotextile, a woven and porous polyethylene material can consistently remove approximately 95% of suspended solids, 71% of biological oxygen demand (BOD), 90% of total Kjeldahl nitrogen, 64% of total phosphorus, and 74% of total suspended solids (TSS). The amount of discharged nutrients, such systems do not increase the amount of discharged nutrients, they are in fact destroyed and discharged in a harmless form by nitrification, denitrification and heterotrophic degradation.
of Sabah [10]. DO concentration was significantly lower (p<0.05) in the culture tanks with Aquamattm than those without Aquamattm because of the nitrification process. The reactions of nitrification require oxygen to produce hydrogen ions and nitrite as an intermediate product, then to the nitrate by involvement of bacteria such as Nitrosomonas sp and Nitrobacter sp. Schneider et al., [24], elaborated that several factors, such as micro-, and macronutrient ratios, concentrations and fluxes, preferences for nitrogen sources, light regime, hydraulic retention time, temperature, and nutrient loss to different sinks will strongly determine the success of phototrophic production. The excessively available nutrient is released unconverted from the module and accumulates in the culture system, and needs finally to be discharged into the environment. This might result in limitations of the desired conversion processes, because effluent streams from the fish can then not be treated continuously anymore [24].

Conclusion

Aquamattm improved the water quality in the daily exchange culture system. It could reduce fish mortality and NH3-N and TSS concentrations. The Aquamattm provided surface area for larval fish to hide from cannibalism activity, for attachment of extra feed ingredients and fish waste, and for microbes to grow, which enhance nitrification process. In this process of nitrification, NH3-N was converted to NO2-N then to the NO3-N with the role played by nitrifier bacteria and DO concentration. This resulted in reduced NH3-N toxicity in the culture system. However, the study also showed that NO3-N and NO2-N concentrations will be higher in the culture system with Aquamattm than those without Aquamattm. This suggested that the Aquamattm cannot remove all the dissolved inorganic nitrogen from the culture system for water quality management in a fish hatchery system.
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