INTRODUCTION

Physical restraint refers to any physical method of restricting a person’s freedom of movement, physical activity or normal access to his or her body. In hospitals, physical restraints were used primarily to prevent falls and stop confused patients from wandering and harming themselves (Sonya & Negm, 2013). Preventing and protecting the patient from harm are central nursing responsibilities for individuals who are temporarily incapacitated.

Nurses are the key decision-makers in the application of physical restraints to patients. However, a significant number of nurses continue to hold misconceptions about their proper use (Huang, 2003). Physical restraint includes the following measures “Postural” restraint as a way to help to maintain a correct position (e.g., as part of a postural re-education treatment); “Active” restraint, set, most often, by a physical therapist (e.g., to prepare the vertical position after a long period in bed); “Passive” restraint, which constitutes the use of all the means, methods, materials or garments that prevent or limit voluntary mobility capacity of the whole body or a portion thereof, having the purpose of the patient’s safety (Cunha, Andre, Bica, Ribeiro, & Dias, 2016).

Aggressive or disruptive behaviour of a patient may appear in an unexpected way and, as soon as it arises, whenever it is possible, a verbal approach as a priority form of containment should be attempted. The resort to physical restraint should only be used in case this approach is not effective. But caution should be taken which avoid physical or psychological damages of the people and the people nearby.

Aggressive situations may be associated with behavioural changes, like psychomotor agitation, dementia, previous trauma, psychotic disorders including delusions, hallucinations (e.g., schizophrenia), mood and personality disorders. In this context, since...
nurses are a group of professionals whose functions require greater proximity to the patient. It is a challenge to develop assertive nursing practices that are efficient enough to ensure the customer integrity (Cunha et al., 2016).

Moreover, violent and aggressive behaviour in patients can be triggered by environmental and contextual factors. Unclear policy and guidelines, overcrowding, poor ward design, inexperienced staff, poor staff retention and poor information sharing contribute to violent or aggressive behaviour. Studies have also shown a link between staff characteristics and the development of aggression and violence in mental health patients (Duxbury & Wright, 2011).

# 2 | BACKGROUND

Patients’ aggression in healthcare settings continues to be a matter of concern, raising questions over the safety of both patients and staff. In 2005, in the UK the audit found that violence against nursing staff was “consistently high” with up to 86% of nurses being affected (Duxbury & Wright, 2011).

Previous studies have evidenced adverse effects of physical restraint, that is skin trauma, pressure sores, muscular atrophy, nosocomial infection, constipation, incontinence, limb injury, contractures, depression, anger and a decline in functional and cognitive state and increasing agitation (Evans, 2002). Also, it was reported that use of physical restraint resulted in negative effects on patients and their families, particularly on the patients feeling disgraced and embarrassed in remembering the experience (Duxbury & Wright, 2011). This is due to negative feelings caused by feeling of confinement, loss of dignity and identity, aggression, social isolation and anxiety. On the other hand, nursing staff may have feelings of guilt and frustration when they must restrain a patient (Gastmans & Milisen, 2006).

A recent cross-sectional study conducted by Eskandari, Abdullah, Zainal, and Wong (2017), on use of physical restraint, showed moderate knowledge and attitude with strong intention to use physical restraint was found among the nurses. Less than half of the nurses considered alternatives to physical restraint and most of them did not understand the reasons for the physical restraint. Similarly, a study conducted in a Turkish hospital on physical restraint reported nine deaths of patients in chest restraints (Demir, 2007). Furthermore, it was reported in a similar study done in Hong Kong that nurses had inadequate knowledge and negative attitudes towards the staff (Suen, Lai, & Wong, 2006). Despite extensive literature on the potential complications of using physical restraint, it is still considered as a permanent and effective intervention in the management of unpleasant behaviours on acute and long-term care environments.

Managing aggressive and violent behaviours has become an essential skill and important to all involved with psychiatric patients. Much evidence that has been collected demonstrates that behavioural approaches to care can provide effective alternatives to reliance on restraint (Duxbury & Wright, 2011). Successful strategies such as clear guidelines and a comprehensive reporting requirement commitment by management, adequate staffing levels and staff training in the safe use of, alternatives to, restraints are keys to prevention. Proper training increases the behavioural competence of all direct care staff, while administrative increases the behavioural competence encourages the competent application of behavioural skills and ensures effective oversight by those who are relatively more competent (Judy, Regan, Kerri, Uzma, & Wright, 2006).

To determine the meaning of the patient’s behaviour is the first step to achieve the goal and, possibly, the most difficult for health professionals. And although the physical restraints are used to “protect” or “help” sick people, they are seldom completely effective and visually harmful even when they are used in the short term or in an “emergency.” It is necessary to understand that physical restraint carries risks, and it is essential to have some criteria/rules to minimize them. Therefore, it is important to consider the ethical principles, the clinical aspects and the individual assessment of the patient during and after physical restraint.

There are numerous studies conducted on the use of physical restraints worldwide. But only scanty studies have been conducted in Nepal about the knowledge and use of physical restraints, despite the fact that physical restraint is widely practised to manage aggressive patients and other psychiatric conditions.

# 2.1 | Aim

The researchers aimed at assessing the in-depth knowledge and use of physical restraint with the existing policy in various selected wards of Tertiary Care Hospital of eastern Nepal. The research questions were as follows: (a) What is the level of knowledge and practice on physical restraint? (b) What are the associating factors that can have an impact on knowledge and practice of physical restraint?

# 3 | DESIGN

## 3.1 | Method

### 3.1.1 | Research design

A descriptive cross-sectional research design was adopted in this study.

### 3.1.2 | Setting

This present study was carried out at a Tertiary Care Hospital, Dharan, which lies in the eastern part of Nepal. This institute is a 750 bedded hospital and one of the largest referral centres in the eastern region of Nepal. The study settings selected for this study were ICU/CCU, medical wards, psychiatric wards and emergency units where physical restraint is being practised regularly.

### 3.1.3 | Participants

The study participants were nurses who were working as staff nurse and above. After considering the eligibility criteria and using total
enumerative sampling technique, 117 participants were enrolled in the study. Written consent was then obtained from them. Self-administered questionnaire including socio-demographic profile, questions concerning knowledge and practice about physical restraints were distributed.

3.2 | Instruments

It consisted of three sections: Section A was related to socio-demographic profile, Section B to knowledge questionnaire and under Section C were questions about practice on physical restraints. An extensive literature search was carried out to get an idea on the structure of the knowledge questionnaire about physical restraint and correspondingly was adopted from the research study on the similar topic by Cunha et al. (2016) and Huang, Chuang, and Chiang (2009). The questionnaire was modified in such a way that it fitted the hospital practice and policy. It consisted of 41 items with few items having multiple responses. It was divided into four domains such as patients’ safety, legal and ethical practices, scientific knowledge and quality of care. It is a self-administered questionnaire. For every correct response, the score of 1 was awarded and for no or incorrect answers 0 was given. The maximum obtainable score was 102. All the correct answers were added and calculated in the percentile form to get the total knowledge scores.

The other part of the questionnaire, which was a self-administered questionnaire, was related to the practice of physical restraint. The questionnaire was originally developed in Chinese and was modified by Huang (2003) and validity and reliability were established. Cronbach’s alpha for reliability of the questionnaire was 0.77. This modified version was adopted from study of Huang et al. (2009). The tool used in this study was to measure degree of correct use of physical restraints, using a 3-point Likert scale. It consisted of 20 items. Regarding the interpretation, 0 was scored for “never” responses, “sometimes” 1 was scored and “always” 2 was scored, with a total maximum obtainable score of 40. The mean value was used as a cut-off to interpret as adequate and inadequate practice of physical restraint.

After finalizing the structure of the tools, intensive group discussions were conducted with the selected charge nurses of the hospital to generate further ideas on the knowledge and practice of physical restraint. Finally, the questionnaire was sent to the two psychiatrists and a psychiatric nurse for content validity. Based on the suggestions provided by the experts, the questionnaire was given a final shape.

3.3 | Ethical approval

This proposal obtained ethical clearance from the Institutional Review Committee (IRC), Code No: IRC/0961/017.

3.4 | Data analysis

The collected data were checked, reviewed and organized for accuracy and completeness. Editing and coding of data were carried out. All the data were entered in Microsoft Excel 2007 and then transferred to SPSS version 21.0. The data were analysed by using descriptive and inferential statistical methods: taking 95% confidence, 5% permissible error and “p” value = 0.05. Descriptive statistics (frequency, percentages, median, mean, standard deviation) were calculated. Inferential statistics such as Pearson chi-square test and Fisher’s exact test were applied to find out the association between socio-demographic variables and knowledge and practice. Correlation coefficient test was applied to find out the relationship between knowledge and practice regarding physical restraint.

4 | RESULTS

4.1 | Description of socio-demographic profile of the nurses

From the total sample (N = 117), most participants (55.6%) were from the age group 18–25 years (mean = 25.84; SD 4.94). Regarding educational status, most of the participants (82.1%) have completed their PCL Nursing (certificate course). In case of working area, 33.3% of the nurses were working in ICU/CCU; 30.8% of the nurses were working in medical wards. Nurses working in emergency area were 28.2%, and only 7.7% of the nurses were working in psychiatric ward. Regarding the experience, most nurses (88.9%) were in between 1–8 years (median [IQR] = 2.5 [1.5–2.5]). Most of the nurses (74.4%) had previous exposure to physical restraint (Details are shown in Table 1).

4.2 | Knowledge questionnaire on physical restraint

The knowledge of physical restraints showed that 47.9% of the nurses scored below the median (median [IQR] = 43 [54–30]) and 52.1% were above the median range. While analysing on the descriptive part of the questions, it was found that most of the nurses (86.3%) correctly answered the question: “Physical restraint is a protective practice of patient’s security.” The nurses gave fair responses to the questions like “Physical restraint is a procedure to protect the nurses from any unexpected harms”; 51.3% responded correctly to the questions; 70.9% agreed that “the practice of physical restraint is documented.” Another interesting result is that only 8.5% of the participants agreed with the statement, “physical restraint is a practice that should be banned.” Physical restraint can be applied only in adults; 72.6% nurses responded incorrectly to the question. A detailed description of frequency and percentages of knowledge questionnaire is shown in Table 2.

4.3 | Practice questionnaire on physical restraint

The total practice score showed 54.7% as adequate practice (mean = 80.1, SD = 7.79) while 45.3% of the nurses considered the practice as inadequate practice. Overall, 68.4% nurses responded “Always” to the question: “when I believe the patient does not require restraint, I will suggest physician to cancel the order.” Likewise
to the question: "When I change the restrained patient's clothing, I will check the patient's skin for any signs of irritation" most of the nurses (93.2%) responded "Always." Likewise, "When understaffed, more patients will be placed on restraint" most of the nurses 76.9% responded "never." Unexpected outcome from one of the practice questions: "I will document about the physical restraint in all three phases (before, during and after)" about 17.1% nurses responded with "never." Description of frequency and percentages of practice questionnaire is shown in Table 3.

4.4 | Association of the knowledge and practice score with selected demographic variables

In association tests, significant association was found between the knowledge score and work area in which nurses working in medical wards were found to have significantly high knowledge as compared to nurses working in other areas such as psychiatric ward, ICU and emergency unit. In years of experience, significant association was found higher among nurses who had the experience of 1–8 years. Similarly, with regard to the practice score and selected demographic variables, significant association was found only with working area. In this case as well, significantly high level of practice was found among nurses working in medical wards.

4.5 | Correlation between knowledge and practice score

The Pearson correlation coefficient test between knowledge and practice of physical restraints score indicated a positive correlation (Table 4). This means that knowledge and practice are interrelated as when knowledge increase a practice of physical restraint will also be improved.

5 | DISCUSSION

The use of physical restraints is highly debated among health professionals involved caring and managing the patients in the critical situation and in emergencies. Long-term use of physical restraints can lead to multiple medical, psychological and functional problems. Thus, the nurses need to be updated and they should anticipate risky problems like death, abrasion at restraint site, incontinence of urine and stool, faecal impaction, dehydration due to lack of access to fluid and decreased functional status.

The present study showed that most of nurses were from ICU/CCU and medical wards and few were from emergency and psychiatric wards. This indicated a fair representation from those area who practised physical restraint rather than focusing on only single area. This finding contradicts a study performed by Sujata and Jasbir (2005) in India, where most (61.66%) of the nurses were working in ICU’s and only 38.3% of them were working in neurosurgery and emergency wards. This difference in the results may have occurred because many of the researchers were focused only in critical area rather than on medical wards. In fact, there is evidence of practice of physical restraints in medical wards and psychiatric wards as well.

The first objective of the study was to assess the level of knowledge of physical restraints. It showed that around 53% of the nurses' knowledge score was above the median range indicating more than 50% of the knowledge questions. Literally, it can be understood that the nurses' knowledge was good. This similar finding was found in the study done by Cunha et al. (2016).

Regarding the knowledge questions on patients' safety, several studies reported cases of death in other parts of the world. However, in Nepal the cases of death after physical restraint remain unreported or absent. In this study too, approximately 97% nurses responded that cases of death after physical restraint is uncommon. This finding is supported by the Sonya and Negm (2013) study. However, this study can be identified as one of the studies in Nepal that reports no injury or death after the use of physical restraint. Likewise, regarding indication for physical restraint most
## TABLE 2 Knowledge questionnaire on physical restraint

| S. No | Contents                                                                 | Correct response | Incorrect response | Did not answer |
|-------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|----------------|
|       |                                                                          | F    | %    | F    | %    | F    | %    |
|       | Regarding patient’s safety                                               |                  |                    |                |
| 1     | Physical restraint involves increased risks to the patient              | 52   | 44.4 | 57   | 48.7 | 8    | 6.8 |
| 2     | Cases of death after physical restraint are common                       | 0    | 0.0  | 113  | 96.6 | 4    | 3.4 |
| 3     | Physical restraint is a protective practice of patient’s security       | 101  | 86.3 | 11   | 9.4  | 5    | 4.3 |
| 4     | Physical restraint is applied in patients at risk of falling            | 88   | 75.2 | 25   | 21.4 | 4    | 3.4 |
| 5     | Physical restraint is a risk factor for the development of pressure ulcers | 91   | 77.8 | 21   | 17.8 | 5    | 4.3 |
| 6     | Physical restraint is applied in patients with                           |                  |                    |                |
|       | Psychotic disorders                                                      | 69   | 59.0 | 11   | 9.4  | 37   | 31.6|
|       | Substances related disorders                                             | 56   | 47.9 | 17   | 14.5 | 44   | 37.6|
|       | Personality disorders                                                    | 33   | 28.2 | 41   | 35.0 | 43   | 36.8|
|       | Mood disorders                                                           | 43   | 36.8 | 30   | 25.6 | 44   | 37.6|
|       | Restlessness                                                             | 43   | 36.8 | 34   | 29.1 | 40   | 34.2|
| 7     | During the application of physical restraint, the patient feels:         |                  |                    |                |
|       | Revolted                                                                 | 32   | 27.4 | 43   | 36.8 | 42   | 35.9|
|       | Frustrated                                                               | 54   | 46.2 | 22   | 18.8 | 41   | 35.0|
|       | Humiliated                                                               | 52   | 44.4 | 23   | 19.7 | 42   | 35.9|
|       | Worried                                                                  | 48   | 41.0 | 27   | 23.1 | 42   | 35.9|
|       | Safe                                                                     | 16   | 13.7 | 60   | 51.3 | 41   | 35.0|
|       | Regarding legal and ethical practices                                     |                  |                    |                |
| 8     | Physical restraint is a procedure to protect the nurses from any unknown harm | 60   | 51.3 | 55   | 47.0 | 2    | 1.7 |
| 9     | Physical restraint is a procedure to use in whenever necessary           | 77   | 65.8 | 37   | 31.6 | 3    | 2.6 |
| 10    | Physical restraint is an act of abuse of authority                       | 16   | 13.7 | 89   | 76.1 | 12   | 10.3|
| 11    | Physical restraint promotes human dignity                                 | 10   | 8.5  | 76   | 65.0 | 31   | 26.5|
| 12    | Physical restraint of patients is a punitive act of the patient who is uncooperative | 59   | 50.4 | 48   | 41.0 | 10   | 8.5 |
| 13    | Physical restraint is a practice that should be banned                    | 10   | 8.5  | 80   | 68.4 | 27   | 23.1|
| 14    | Physical restraint of patients is an autonomous act of nursing           | 21   | 17.9 | 77   | 65.8 | 19   | 16.2|
| 15    | The use of physical restraint by the nurse depends on Physician’s order  | 50   | 42.7 | 58   | 49.6 | 9    | 16.2|
| 16    | Orders of physical restraint must be reissued by a physician every 4 hr for adults age 18 years and above and every hours for children | 68   | 58.1 | 19   | 16.2 | 30   | 25.6|
| 17    | The practice of physical restraint is documented                         | 83   | 70.9 | 18   | 15.4 | 16   | 13.7|
| 18    | Physical restraint can be performed                                       |                  |                    |                |
|       | By the Nurse                                                             | 71   | 60.7 | 3    | 2.6  | 43   | 36.8|
|       | By the Doctor                                                            | 68   | 58.1 | 8    | 6.8  | 43   | 36.8|
|       | By any Health Professional                                               | 44   | 37.6 | 29   | 24.8 | 44   | 37.6|
| 19    | Physical restraint is a procedure                                         |                  |                    |                |
|       | Medical and nursing                                                      | 48   | 41.0 | 20   | 17.1 | 49   | 41.9|
|       | Nursing                                                                  | 29   | 24.8 | 38   | 32.5 | 50   | 42.7|
|       | Psychiatric                                                               | 53   | 45.3 | 15   | 12.8 | 49   | 41.9|
| 20    | In Nepal the physical restraint is a procedure                           |                  |                    |                |
|       | Legal                                                                    | 44   | 37.6 | 16   | 13.7 | 57   | 48.7|
|       | Illegal                                                                  | 11   | 9.4  | 49   | 41.9 | 57   | 48.7|
|       | Regarding scientific knowledge                                           |                  |                    |                |
| 21    | Physical restraint may be applied at any age                              | 63   | 53.8 | 47   | 40.2 | 7    | 6.0 |
| S. No | Contents                                                                 | Correct response | Incorrect response | Did not answer |
|-------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|----------------|
|       |                                                                           | F    %      | F    %      | F    %      |
| 22    | Physical restraint can be applied only in adults                          | 22   18.8    | 85   72.6    | 10   8.5    |
| 23    | Physical restraint is applied due to                                       |       |                   |               |
|       | Motor restlessness after failure of other measures                         | 40   34.2    | 42   35.9    | 35   29.9    |
|       | Risk of falling after failure of other protective measures                 | 69   59.0    | 13   11.1    | 35   29.9    |
|       | Aggressiveness                                                             | 70   59.8    | 12   10.3    | 35   29.9    |
|       | Chemical restraint failure                                                 | 43   36.8    | 37   31.6    | 37   31.6    |
| 24    | Physical restraint is against the principle of patient autonomy            | 50   42.7    | 39   33.3    | 28   23.9    |
| 25    | Physical restraint is an old procedure that nurses learned to apply in hospitals | 62   53.0    | 35   29.9    | 20   17.1    |
| 26    | Physical restraint is used in case of compulsory hospitalization          | 15   12.8    | 90   76.9    | 12   10.3    |
| 27    | Physical restraint means                                                   |       |                   |               |
|       | Immobilize the patient                                                     | 39   33.3    | 35   29.9    | 43   36.8    |
|       | Immobilize and tie the patient                                             | 46   39.3    | 27   23.1    | 44   37.6    |
|       | Tie the patient                                                            | 27   23.1    | 44   37.6    | 46   39.3    |
| 28    | Support measures used before the restraint are                             |       |                   |               |
|       | Intravenous medication                                                     | 67   57.3    | 20   17.1    | 30   25.6    |
|       | Intravenous fluids                                                         | 48   41.0    | 40   34.2    | 29   24.8    |
|       | Feeding by nasogastric intubation                                           | 34   29.1    | 53   45.3    | 30   25.6    |
|       | Catheterization                                                            | 47   40.2    | 39   33.3    | 31   26.5    |
|       | Feeding need                                                               | 38   32.5    | 48   41.0    | 31   26.5    |
|       | Elimination need                                                           | 48   41.0    | 39   33.3    | 30   25.6    |
| 29    | The patient’s restraint applies                                            |       |                   |               |
|       | Grids                                                                      | 28   23.9    | 56   47.9    | 33   28.2    |
|       | Physical restraint                                                         | 78   66.7    | 12   10.3    | 27   23.1    |
|       | Bed immobilization                                                        | 54   46.2    | 35   29.9    | 28   23.9    |
|       | Chemical restraint                                                         | 59   50.4    | 30   25.6    | 28   23.9    |
|       | Isolations                                                                 | 23   19.7    | 65   55.6    | 29   24.8    |
| 30    | When applied, physical restraint immobilizes the following body parts      |       |                   |               |
|       | Wrist                                                                      | 87   74.4    | 14   12.0    | 16   13.7    |
|       | Chest                                                                      | 58   49.6    | 43   36.8    | 16   13.7    |
|       | Arms                                                                       | 59   50.4    | 42   35.9    | 16   13.7    |
|       | Hands                                                                      | 72   61.5    | 29   24.8    | 16   13.7    |
|       | Ankle                                                                      | 48   41.0    | 53   45.3    | 16   13.7    |
|       | Leg                                                                        | 78   66.7    | 23   19.7    | 16   13.7    |
|       | Pelvis                                                                     | 15   12.8    | 86   73.5    | 16   13.7    |
|       | Foot                                                                       | 43   36.8    | 58   49.6    | 16   13.7    |
|       | Knees                                                                      | 36   30.8    | 65   55.6    | 16   13.7    |
|       | Elbow                                                                      | 32   27.4    | 69   59.0    | 16   13.7    |
|       | Thighs                                                                     | 16   13.7    | 85   72.6    | 16   13.7    |
| 31    | Physical restraint uses the following materials                            |       |                   |               |
|       | Bandages                                                                   | 94   80.3    | 3    2.6     | 20   17.1    |
|       | Sheets                                                                     | 56   47.9    | 41   35.0    | 20   17.1    |
|       | Cotton/Pads                                                                | 82   70.1    | 15   12.8    | 20   17.1    |
|       | Adhesives                                                                  | 53   45.3    | 42   35.9    | 22   18.8    |
nurses, about 60%, responded on psychotic patients and about 40% in restlessness cases. These findings are contradicted with the study of Cunha et al. (2016) where psychotic disorders came up with 91%, substance-related disorders with 68.6% and mood disorders with 55.1%. This difference in the findings may be because of the difference in educational background. In this current study, most of the sample’s educational qualification was Diploma in Nursing.

Similarly, in discussing on the explanatory section of practice questionnaire, more than half of the nurses agreed that documentation is a must in all three phases (before, during and after). This finding is in contradiction to the findings of Mahmoud (2016) whose study showed that less than half of the respondent nurses indicated that they do not record data about physical restraint use in a patient’s chart, for example type of restraint used; indication for use; time of application; and the related nursing care. This may be
because nurses in tertiary care hospitals are more conscious about the legal and ethical issues which may arise otherwise.

Also, most nurses selected in this present study had already been exposed to physical restraint in their respective areas and because of this reason, during the practice of physical restraint, most nurses were aware and always assessed patients’ skin when they change the patient’s clothing. They realized that communication is important while the patient is in restraint. These two findings contradict the findings of Mahmoud (2016), where 46.9% of the nurses did not monitor patients’ skin frequently even in restrained extremities and do not talk with the client during the procedure (38.5%). This difference might have occurred due to the nurses’ place of work and experience. Likewise, regarding the statement: “When understaffed, more patients will be placed on restraint,” approximately 80% of them disagreed with the statement. This supports the result of Mahmoud (2016): around 60% of participants disagreed with the statement that: “the main reason for restraints used in the hospital is shortage of staff.”

Last, a significant positive correlation was found between knowledge and practice scores. A similar finding was reported by Sonya and Negm (2013). There was a significant positive correlation between respondent nurses’ practice score and that of knowledge and attitude scores. Similarly, Eskandari et al. (2017) showed a positive correlation between knowledge, attitude and practice of nurses towards physical restraint administered on patients.

### 5.1 Limitations

In this study, data were collected from only one hospital of Eastern Nepal which limits the external validity of the result. Moreover, practice of nurses regarding the use of physical restraint was assessed by a self-administered questionnaire, which might not reflect the actual behaviour of nurses. The site of practising physical restraints, nurses who worked in psychiatric wards, must have practiced the restraint procedure as per the policy and order of the

### TABLE 3

The practice of physical restraint use scale N = 117

| S. No | Contents                                                                 | Never F | %  | Sometimes F | %  | Always F | %  |
|-------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|----|--------------|----|----------|----|
| 1     | Before resorting to physical restraint, I attempt all other procedures   | 7       | 6.0| 44           | 37.6| 66       | 56.4|
| 2     | I believe I am justified in physically restraining a patient             | 14      | 12.0| 64           | 54.7| 39       | 33.3|
| 3     | When I believe the patient does not require restraint, I will suggest the physician cancel the order | 8       | 6.8| 29           | 24.8| 80       | 68.4|
| 4     | When restrained patient asks for assistance, I will respond as soon as possible | 6       | 5.1| 22           | 18.8| 89       | 76.1|
| 5     | To ensure safety, I will check the restrained patient once every 2 hr    | 2       | 1.7| 19           | 16.2| 96       | 82.1|
| 6     | When I change the restrained patient’s clothing, I will check the patient’s skin for any signs of irritation | 1       | 0.9| 7            | 6.0| 109      | 93.2|
| 7     | I will explain the reasons for restraint to the patient                  | 3       | 2.6| 15           | 12.8| 99       | 84.6|
| 8     | I will explain the reasons for restraint to the patient’s family         | 3       | 2.6| 4            | 3.4| 110      | 94.0|
| 9     | I will take care of respiration, nutrition, hydration and elimination need | 4       | 3.4| 4            | 3.4| 109      | 93.2|
| 10    | I will communicate with the patient while on physical restraint          | 3       | 2.6| 37           | 31.6| 77       | 65.8|
| 11    | I will maintain patient comfort taking care of normal sleeping positions | 5       | 4.3| 12           | 10.3| 100      | 85.5|
| 12    | I will inform the patient before removing restraints                    | 8       | 6.8| 18           | 15.4| 91       | 77.8|
| 13    | I will inform the patient’s family before removing restraints           | 10      | 8.5| 26           | 22.2| 81       | 69.2|
| 14    | Physical restraint is my first choice to control confused or irritated patients | 61      | 52.1| 52          | 44.4| 4         | 3.4|
| 15    | I do read hospital policy and related information regarding use of physical restraint | 22      | 18.8| 42          | 35.9| 53       | 45.3|
| 16    | When understaffed, more patients will be placed on restraint            | 90      | 76.9| 20          | 17.1| 7        | 6.0|
| 17    | The facility and staff will attempt measures other than physical restraint to control behavioural problem | 25      | 21.4| 57          | 48.7| 35       | 29.9|
| 18    | I will use anything I deem appropriate to restrain the patient          | 64      | 54.7| 42          | 35.9| 11       | 9.4|
| 19    | I prefer chemical restraint to physical restraint                       | 31      | 26.5| 72          | 61.5| 14       | 12.0|
| 20    | I will document about the physical restraint in all three phases (before, during and after) | 20      | 17.1| 32          | 27.4| 65       | 55.6|

Abbreviation: F, frequency, %, percentage.

### TABLE 4

Correlation between knowledge and practice score

| Variables    | N   | Mean & SD       | p value  | r    |
|--------------|-----|-----------------|----------|------|
| Knowledge    | 117 | 70.5 ± 7.78     | 0.002*   | 0.28 |
| Practice     | 117 | 80.1 ± 7.79     |          |      |

*Significant correlation.
psychiatrist. Nurses who worked in psychiatric wards must document and complete the restraint order form which states the reason to use physical restraint, any alternatives used and frequency of assessment of restrained patients in every work shift while this procedure was not mandatory in other medical wards nurses. The participants’ educational background can make a difference as the questionnaire was structured in a way that it covers all the theoretical aspect where the diploma nurses might not have idea to the level of graduate nurses.

6 CONCLUSION

As shown above, it can be concluded that knowledge and practice of physical restraint are interrelated. However, it was surprising to find out that nurses’ knowledge score was just about average when physical restraints were practised regularly by the nurses. More favourable outcomes can be expected if the knowledge gap is narrowed. Moreover, staff in higher positions or with more years of experience could act as role models for the junior staff members so that appropriate guidance can be provided in both the theoretical and practical application of physical restraints. Hospital administrators should plan in-service education for all nurses working in various wards of tertiary care hospitals. If physical restraint is to be practised, staff nurses must understand not only how to use it properly but also its negative consequences.
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