Multimaterial and multicolor 3D-printed model in training of transnasal endoscopic surgery for pituitary adenoma
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OBJECTIVE The aim of the present study was to investigate the practical value of a multimaterial and multicolor 3D-printed model in anatomical teaching, surgical training, and preoperative planning of transnasal endoscopic surgery for pituitary adenoma.

METHODS Multimodality neuroimaging data were obtained in a 42-year-old healthy male volunteer and a 40-year-old female patient with an invasive nonfunctional pituitary adenoma. Three 3D-printed models were produced: a monomaterial and monocolor model, a monomaterial and multicolor model, and a multimaterial and multicolor model. The effects on anatomical teaching and surgical training for exposing the vidian nerve were assessed by 12 residents, and the training effect was validated on cadavers. The practical values for preoperative planning were evaluated by 6 experienced neurosurgeons. All evaluations were based on 5-point Likert questionnaires.

RESULTS The multimaterial and multicolor model was superior to the monomaterial models in surgical training for exposing the vidian nerve (Fisher test; p < 0.05). In addition, the multimaterial and multicolor model was superior to the monomaterial models in anatomical teaching and preoperative planning (Friedman test; p < 0.05).

CONCLUSIONS Multimaterial and multicolor 3D printing technology makes it convenient and efficient to produce a practical model for simulating individualized and complex anatomical structures in the sellar region. Furthermore, the multimaterial model can provide a more realistic manipulative experience for surgical training and facilitate the preoperative planning.
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Pituitary adenomas are common tumors that occur in the pituitary gland. The mainstay of treatment for pituitary adenomas is resection. Furthermore, endoscopic endonasal transsphenoidal surgery (EETSS) has long been recognized as a safe approach. EETSS provides a clearer surgical panoramic view, and allows for a more complete tumor removal. However, transnasal endoscopic surgery has a steep learning curve, and young neurosurgeons face great challenges. The lack of a convenient and practical model for anatomical learning and surgical training limits the development of EETSS.

There are many reports regarding skull base models for neurosurgical training. According to a previous study, individualized models that reflect the anatomical relationship between the tumor and surrounding structures can effectively avoid surgical complications and shorten operation time. However, due to the limitation of craftsmanship, 3D-printed models that are made of hard materials cannot provide realistic tactile feedback. The popularity of multicolor, multimaterial 3D printing technology allows its use for the production of a more high-fidelity model that reproduces blood vessels, nerves, bones, and tumors.

In the present study, multimodality neuroimaging data were collected and skull base models were produced using 3D printing technology. Then, the practical values of 3D-printed models in the anatomical teaching, surgical train-
ing, and preoperative planning of transnasal endoscopic surgery for pituitary adenoma were investigated.

Methods

Collection of Imaging Data

Multimodality neuroimaging data were obtained in a 42-year-old healthy male volunteer and a 40-year-old female patient with invasive nonfunctional pituitary adenoma. MRI was performed using a 3-T scanner (General Electric, Inc.). The sequences included routine T1-weighted and T2-weighted imaging and magnetic resonance angiography (MRA). After gadolinium-diethylenetriamine pentaacetic acid (Gd-DTPA) administration, 3D fast imaging employing steady-state acquisition (3D-FIESTA) imaging was obtained. CT was performed using a 128-row multidetector CT scanner (General Electric, Inc.) with a slice thickness of 0.3 mm.

Data Processing

The Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine (DICOM) imaging data were processed using Mimics software (Materialise). The 3D modeling data were saved as an STL (stereolithography) file (Fig. 1).

Model Printing

The processed STL file was imported into a multimaterial (MultiJet) 3D printer (Objet J750 Connex; Stratasys). Three 3D-printed models were produced: a monomaterial and monochrome model, a monomaterial and multicolor model, and a multimaterial and multicolor model (Fig. 2).

Assessment of Practical Value

The practical values of the models for surgical training were assessed by 12 residents. These residents were randomly divided into 3 groups (4 in each group), and each group assessed 1 of the 3 models. The residents used an endoscopic and surgical drill to expose the vidian nerve on the models (Fig. 3E–G). Each resident repeated the procedures 4 times, and the success rate for completely exposing the vidian nerve was recorded. Then, the training effect was validated on cadavers. Each resident was required to expose the vidian nerve on 2 cadavers (Fig. 3H), and the success rate for completely exposing the vidian nerve was recorded.

For anatomical teaching, 12 residents used the 3D-printed models to learn the important anatomical structures (Figs. 3 and 4). Then, the teaching effect was evaluated using a 5-point Likert scale (from 1 = strongly disagree to 5 = strongly agree).
The practical values for preoperative planning were evaluated by 6 experienced neurosurgeons (Fig. 5), and the planning was compared with the actual surgical procedures in the video. The effect on the preoperative planning was evaluated using a 5-point Likert scale.

Statistical Analysis

SPSS 25.0 software (IBM Corp.) was used for statistical analyses. The success rates for completely exposing the vidian nerve using different models or cadavers were compared using Fisher’s test. Comparisons among the multimaterial, multicolor model and the 2 monomaterial models were performed using the Friedman test. Probability values of ≤ 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

The success rates for completely exposing the vidian nerve on the monomaterial and monocolour model, monomaterial and multicolour model, and multimaterial and multicolour model were 6.67%, 31.25%, and 75.00%, respectively. The Fisher test revealed the success rate in the multimaterial and multicolour model group, which was significantly superior when compared to the other 2 groups (p < 0.05). On the cadavers, the success rate for complete exposure of the vidian nerve in the group trained with the monomaterial and monocolour model was 18.75%, the success rate in the group trained with the monomaterial and multicolour model was 37.50%, and the success rate in the group trained with the multimaterial and multicolour model was 81.25%. The Fisher test revealed that the success rate in the multimaterial and multicolour model group was significantly superior to that in the other 2 groups (p < 0.05).

The anatomical teaching effects of these 3 skull base models are summarized in Table 1. The Friedman test revealed that the learning effects of the multimaterial and multicolour model were significantly superior to those of the monomaterial models (p < 0.01).

The practical values of these 3 skull base models for preoperative planning are summarized in Table 2. The Friedman test revealed that the practical values of the multimaterial and multicolour model were significantly superior to those of the monomaterial models (p < 0.01). The monomaterial and multicolour model was made of a transparent, hard resin material (Fig. 2E), which was helpful for learning the anatomical relationship between the tumor and surrounding structures. The monomaterial and monocolour model can only be used for the observation of partial morphology of the tumor. The multimaterial and multicolour model can be used to simulate the endoscopic procedures: the elastic turbinare and nasal septum can be easily removed (Fig. 5A); after removing the anterior wall of the sphenoid sinus, the tumor can be exposed (Fig. 5B);
the internal carotid artery (ICA) can be observed in an endoscopic view (Fig. 5C).

**Discussion**

Conventional skull base models have significant limitations. The craftsmanship is ineffective, and it is difficult to make individualized models. Compared with monomaterial skull base models, the multicolor and multimaterial model has more abundant anatomical details. The simultaneous printing of soft and hard structures makes the production of a nasal cavity simulator simpler than previously reported methods. Stratasys J750 is an advanced MultiJet printing device that has a 14-micron precision per layer, and it can be filled with 6 materials of different colors and hardness. Compared with previous multimaterial models, these new 3D-printed models can reproduce complex structures in the skull base.

**Application of Multimaterial 3D-Printed Models in EETSS-Related Anatomy Learning**

Five materials of different colors and hardness were used to reflect the complex anatomical structures in the
skull base, including blood vessels, nerves, and fine bone structures. Soft materials provide a realistic tactile feedback, which is conducive to understanding the morphological and positional relationships of anatomical structures (Fig. 3). Thus, trainees can get a realistic experience of operation within the nasal cavity (Fig. 4).

The vidian nerve is located between the pterygopalatine fossa and anterior genu of the ICA, which is a crucial landmark for locating the petrosal segment of the ICA. In addition, the intraoperative preservation of the vidian nerve is important to maintain the function of tear secretion.9,16,22

The localization and exposure of the vidian nerve remains a challenge for young surgeons, and that is the reason why complete exposure of the vidian nerve was chosen as the training goal. Because there are differences in color and hardness, the exposure of the vidian nerve in the multicolor multimaterial model would be realistic.

**Application of Multimaterial 3D-Printed Models in Preoperative Planning**

Hard-resin models cannot simulate the true texture of the tumor and its surrounding structures.10,19,20 In our experience, the supporting materials used by the Objet J750 printer are soft, moist, ivory-colored, waxy, semisolid materials that can be removed using forceps and curettes. The printed tumor is filled with a soft supporting material with a rubber-like resinous shell. The tumor is wrapped around the ICA, mimicking the anatomical characteristics of an invasive pituitary tumor. The multimaterial 3D-printed model can help trainees understand the spatial relationship of anatomical structures under an endoscopic view.
TABLE 1. Learning effects of the 3 skull base models

| Items Scored                                                                 | Likert Score | Multimaterial, Multicolor Model | Monomaterial, Multicolor Model | Monomaterial, Monocolor Model |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| The model can help me understand the structures and spatial locations of paranasal sinuses. | 4.1          | 3.9                            | 3.9                            |
| Learning to use the model is more effective than learning on a computer.    | 5            | 4                              | 3                              |
| The model can help me understand the anatomical structures of the lateral nasal wall. | 4.9          | 3.1                            | 3.1                            |
| The model can help me understand the anatomical structures of the vidian nerve. | 4.9          | 3.5                            | 1.5                            |
| The model can help me understand the anatomical structures of the Meckel sac. | 4.8          | 4.2                            | 4.2                            |
| The model can help me understand the anatomical structures of the sphenoïd sinus (opening of the sphenoïd sinus, canalis opticus, apophysis of the ICA, and apophysis of the saddle bottom). | 4.9          | 4.6                            | 4.6                            |
| The model can help me understand the anatomical structures of the pterygopalatine fossa. | 4.7          | 4.2                            | 1.5                            |
| The model can help me understand the anatomical structures of the ethmoid sinus (ethmoidal bulb and diaphyseis). | 4.7          | 4.5                            | 4.5                            |
| The model can help me understand the anatomical structures of the cavernous sinus. | 4.7          | 4                              | 1.4                            |
| The model can help me understand the anatomical structures of the abducens nerve. | 4.9          | 4.5                            | 2.8                            |
| The model can help me understand the anatomical structures of the craniovertebral junction. | 3.9          | 3.9                            | 3.6                            |
| The model can help me understand the anatomical structures of the clivus. | 4.6          | 4                              | 3.4                            |
| The model can help me improve my hand-eye coordination. | 4.6          | 4.3                            | 4.3                            |
| The model can help me improve my operative skills in using endoscopic instruments. | 4.6          | 3.8                            | 4.4                            |
| The model can help me adapt the operative views of the extended transnasal approach. | 4.6          | 4.4                            | 4.4                            |
| The model can help me improve my proficiency using a surgical grinder. | 4.8          | 3.9                            | 3.7                            |
| The model can help me improve the learning effect on the cadavers. | 4.6          | 4.2                            | 3.2                            |

Definitions of scores for 5-point Likert scale: 1, Strongly Disagree; 2, Disagree; 3, Neutral; 4, Agree; 5, Strongly Agree. The Friedman test revealed that the learning effects of the multimaterial and multicolor model were significantly superior to those of the monomaterial models (p < 0.01).
TABLE 2. Practical values of the 3 skull base models for preoperative planning

| Items Scored                                      | Likert Score |
|--------------------------------------------------|--------------|
|                                                  | Multimaterial, | Monomaterial, | Multimaterial, |
|                                                  | Multicolor Model | Monocolor Model | Monocolor Model |
| Total effects                                     | 4.7           | 4             | 1.4             |
| Morphology of the tumor                          | 5             | 4             | 2.4             |
| Spatial location of the tumor                     | 4.7           | 4             | 3               |
| Anatomical relationship between the tumor and ICA | 5             | 4             | 1.4             |
| Texture of the tumor                              | 1             | 1             | 1               |
| Anatomical relationship between the tumor and sphenoid sinus | 4.5           | 2.1           | 1               |
| Anatomical relationship between the tumor and cavernous sinus | 1             | 1             | 1               |
| Superior to 2D imaging                            | 4.7           | 4.5           | 2               |
| Superior to 3D visual models                      | 4.3           | 3.2           | 1               |
| Practicability                                    | 4.3           | 3             | 1               |

The Friedman test revealed that the practical values of the multimaterial and multicolor model were significantly superior to those of the monomaterial models (p < 0.01).

Conclusions

Multimaterial multicolor 3D printing technology makes it convenient and efficient to produce a practical model for simulating individualized and complex anatomical structures in the sellar region. The multimaterial model can provide a more realistic manipulative experience for surgical training, and facilitate the preoperative planning for the resection of pituitary adenomas.
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