Characteristics of the deep sea tsunami excited offshore Japan due to the air wave from the 2022 Tonga eruption
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Abstract
A large eruption of the Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha’apai volcano in Tonga on January 15, 2022 generated air–sea coupled tsunamis observed at the ocean-bottom pressure sensor network along the Japan Trench (S-net) in Japan. Initial tsunamis from the 2022 Tonga eruption, detected by 106 ocean-bottom pressure sensors, were well modeled by an air–sea coupled tsunami simulation, with a simple atmospheric pressure pulse as sine function, having a half-wavelength of 300 km and a peak amplitude of 2 hPa. A one-dimensional air–sea coupled tsunami simulation having a simple bathymetry shows that an input atmospheric pressure pulse with a short half-wavelength of 50 km, which is shorter than the length of the ocean bottom slopes, caused an amplitude increase via the Proudman resonance effect near the deep trench. The wavefront distortion due to the separation of the air–sea coupled wave propagating with a speed of 312 m/s and tsunami propagating with that of $\sqrt{gd}$, where $g$ is gravity acceleration and $d$ is the ocean depth, is also significant near the shore. In contrast, these effects are not significant for the half-wavelength of the input atmospheric pressure pulse of 300 km. These results indicate that the air–sea coupled tsunami propagating through the trench is sensitive to the wavelength of an atmospheric pressure pulse.
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Introduction
A large eruption of the Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha’apai volcano in Tonga on January 15, 2022, caused devastating disasters in nearby areas. The eruption generated air waves coupled with seawater waves propagating through the Pacific. Tsunamis, long-waves in the ocean, generated by the air wave from the eruption were observed in tide gauges and ocean-bottom pressure sensor network along the Japan Trench (S-net) in Japan. The network, which includes 150 pressure sensors connected by a cable with 30-km intervals, is operated by the National Research Institute for Earth Science and Disaster Resilience (NEID) in Japan (Uehira et al. 2012; Kanazawa 2013). A maximum tsunami amplitude of 1.2 m was observed at Amami in Japan, and thus, a tsunami warning was issued by the Japan Meteorological Agency.

Similar air–sea waves had previously been generated by the Krakatoa volcano eruption in 1883 (Harkrider and Press 1967), and the authors indicated that the air wave propagated at a speed of 312 m/s as the fundamental gravity mode in the atmosphere–ocean system. Nishida et al. (2014) indicated that the atmospheric pressure waves propagating at the acoustic speed were Lamb waves. This kind of air–sea coupled waves, which are often called to meteotsunamis, has already been studied without volcanic eruptions (Hibiya and Kajiura 1982; Fukuzawa and Hibiya 2020; Kubota et al. 2021). Saito et al. (2021) suggested that meteotsunamis are amplified when the velocity of the air waves is similar to that of the tsunami waves. This is called
the Proudman resonance effect (Williams et al. 2021). In the case of the tsunami caused by the air wave from the volcanic eruption, the tsunami propagating near a deep trench should be amplified. Sekizawa and Kohyama (2022) investigated the sea-surface fluctuations by the 2022 Tonga eruption based on a one-dimensional computation.

In this study, the tsunami waves observed at ocean-bottom sensors of S-net in Japan were modeled by the air waves generated by the 2022 Tonga eruption. We discuss the characteristics of tsunami propagation, including the tsunami amplification near the Japan Trench, which has a depth of approximately 8 km.

Data and method
Ocean bottom pressure data observed at 106 out of 150 sensors of S-net (National Research Institute for Earth Science and Disaster Resilience, 2019) were downloaded (Fig. 1). The observed data are filtered in the periods between 100 and 3600 s using the bandpass filter in Seismic Analysis Cord (SAC) developed by Goldstein et al. (2003) (Additional file 1: S1).

The governing equations to be solved by the numerical simulation are briefly explained. With linear approximation, Euler’s momentum equation (Dean and Dalrymple 1991) can be simplified as:

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x},
\]

\[
\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial y},
\]

\[
\frac{\partial w}{\partial t} = -g - \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial z},
\]

\[
\frac{\partial w}{\partial t} = -g - \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial z} = 0.
\]

Then, pressure \( p \) is \( p = -\rho g z + c \) based on a vertical integration of Eq. (4), where \( c \) is a function of \( x, y \) and \( t \). As a boundary condition, pressure \( p \) is equal to be an atmospheric pressure \( (p_0) \) at the ocean surface \( (z=h) \). Pressure, \( p \), then becomes \( p = \rho g (h-z) + p_0 \).

A value of \( p_0 \) is an input to compute air–sea coupled waves. Furthermore, integrating Eqs. (1) and (2) along the depth and using the depth-averaged velocities and pressure, depth-integrated momentum equations in a two-dimensional horizontal plane are obtained as:

\[
\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} = -g \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} - \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p_0}{\partial x},
\]

\[
\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} = -g \frac{\partial h}{\partial y} - \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p_0}{\partial y}.
\]

Using a depth integration of the mass conservation equation, the continuity equation becomes

\[
\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial (d \ast U)}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial (d \ast V)}{\partial y},
\]

where \( h \) is the wave height and \( d \) is the still water depth. The momentum Eqs. (5) and (6) for air–sea coupled waves and continuity Eq. (7) were numerically solved using a staggered grid system with an input of the atmospheric pressure gradient at each time step. The grid sizes of the numerical computation were set at 1.5 km in both \( x \) and \( y \)-directions.

The atmospheric pressures observed at approximately 1300 stations in Japan (Weathernews Inc., https://global.weathernews.com/news/16551/ , 2022) showed that the pressure pulse (a peak amplitude of approximately 2 hPa, and the duration of 20–15 min) passed
through Japan from southeast to northwest with a strike of −44°. Figure 2 shows three snapshots of the amplitude distribution of pressure changes observed at those stations at 20:35, 20:45, 20:55 (Japan Standard Time) on the 15th of January. The shape of the pressure pulse was assumed to be half the wavelength of a sine wave. The half-wavelength was set to 300 km, which corresponded to a duration of 16 min using the speed of 312 m/s for the pressure pulse. The peak amplitude was set to 2 hPa. First, numerical computation in one dimension with a constant ocean depth of 5500 m was carried out to obtain the steady state of the air–sea coupled initial wave. The pressure pulse and the steady-state initial wave are entered into the two-dimensional computational domain from the low boundary along the \( x \)-axis (Fig. 3). The pressure pulse is continuously propagated in the \( y \)-direction with a constant velocity of 312 m/s. The bathymetry was rotated 44° clockwise (Figs. 1, 3) to match a strike of the pressure wave from Tonga. Finally, the observed pressure changes at ocean bottom (\( p_b \)) are calculated from the pressure changes due to ocean waves (\( p_w \)) and the atmospheric pressure (\( p_0 \)) pulse as described by Kubota et al. (2021) and Saito et al. (2021):

\[
p_b = p_w + p_0 \quad \text{where} \quad p_w = \rho gh. \tag{5}
\]

**Results**

Nine observed pressure changes at stations, S6N12, S3N19, S3N20, S3N21, S3N22, S3N23, S3N24, S3N25, and S3N26 (Fig. 3), are compared with computed...
pressure changes (Fig. 4). In Additional file 1: S1, the observed pressure changes of 106 stations in the S-net are compared with computed pressure changes. The absolute time of the computed pressure changes was set to fit the observed and computed pressure changes at S6N12. Time presented in this paper is Japan Standard Time. The computed waveforms well explain the observed maximum amplitudes and waveforms at all stations. For a typical tsunami caused by an earthquake, shoaling from the deep to the shallow ocean should cause an amplitude increase. A small shoaling effect can be seen for this air–sea coupled tsunami (Fig. 4). The separation of atmospheric pressure and wave pressure changes can be seen at S3N26. The Proudman resonance effect (Williams et al. 2021; Saito et al. 2021), the amplitude increase near the Japan Trench, is difficult to identify by comparing pressure changes at S6N12 and S3N19 in Fig. 4. We performed a one-dimensional simulation on a simple bathymetry to clarify these effects.

One-dimensional tsunami simulation
A one-dimensional tsunami simulation was carried out to understand the tsunami waveform deformation due to the existence of a deep trench. A simple bathymetry was set to compute the tsunami (Fig. 5): a shore 100 km away from the left boundary; a slope of 1/25 from the shore to 300 km away from the boundary, where water depth is 8000 m (trench); a slope of 1/80 from 300 to 500 km away, where the water depth is 5500 m; and a flat bottom from 500 to 1000 km away. For an input of the atmospheric pressure pulse having a peak amplitude of 2 hPa, three different half-wavelengths, 50 km, 100 km, and 300 km, were tested for this simulation.

Red lines in Fig. 5 show snapshots of waveforms at the ocean surface every 200 s for each input wavelength. Black lines in Fig. 5 are the atmospheric pressure changes with the maximum amplitude of 2 hPa. Table 1 shows ratio of the maximum amplitude for each snapshot in Fig. 5 to the maximum amplitude for the input pulse. For a half-wavelength of 50 km (Fig. 5a; Table 1), three snapshots from 600 to 1000 s clearly show that the amplitudes of tsunami increase 1.7 times when the water depths are increased to 8000 m. This is due to the Proudman resonance effect suggested by Williams et al. (2021) and Saito et al. (2021). After 1000 s, the shoaling effect from 8000 m depth to the shore increased the amplitude of tsunami. Shortening of the wavelength by the shoaling is not obvious. However, the shape of the wave front is distorted at 1400 and 1600 s. This is due to the separation of air–sea coupled wave propagating with a speed of 312 m/s and tsunami propagating with that of $\sqrt{gd}$.

For a half-wavelength of 300 km (Fig. 5c), three snapshots from 400 to 800 s show that the shapes of the waves are distorted with time, while the amplitudes of the waves are almost the same, 0.9–1.0 times in Table 1. In contrast, the shoaling effect from 8000 m depth to the shore is clearly seen in both the amplitude increase and wavelength shortening. The distortion of the wavefront at 1600 s is visible but not significant.

The snapshots from a half-wavelength of 100 km (Fig. 5b; Table 1) show that the characteristics of the waveforms are between those for half-wavelengths of 50 and 300 km. Three snapshots from 600 to 1000 s show that the amplitudes of the tsunami increased 1.4 times when the depth of the water increased to 8000 m. The shoaling effect is also observed in both the amplitude increase and wavelength shortening. The distortion of the wavefront is also observed from 1400 to 1800 s (Fig. 5b).

Discussion
Although the initial air–sea coupled tsunamis observed at ocean pressure sensors in S-net were well modeled in this study, the origin of large later tsunamis observed along the Japanese coast should be understood clearly for mitigation of future tsunami hazards. For this purpose, the air–sea coupled waves which were generated from
the eruption at the Hunga Tonga-Hunga Haʻapai volcano and propagated through the Pacific should be properly modeled as a future study.

The shape of the pressure pulse was assumed to be half the wavelength of sine wave in this study. The shape can be significantly affected the air–sea coupled waveforms if more complicated shape of the pressure pulse is generated at the source.

**Conclusions**

Initial tsunamis from the 2022 Tonga eruption observed at 106 ocean-bottom pressure sensors in S-net, Japan, were well modeled by the air–sea coupled tsunami simulation with an atmospheric pressure pulse having the half wavelength of 300 km and the peak amplitude of 2 hPa.

A one-dimensional air–sea coupled tsunami simulation with a simple bathymetry shows that an input atmospheric pressure pulse with a short half wavelength of 50 km, which is shorter than the length of the slope (200 km), caused an amplitude increase by the Proudman resonance effect near the deep trench. The wavefront distortion due to the separation of the air–sea coupled wave propagating with a speed of $\sqrt{gd}$ was also significant near the shore. In contrast, when the half wavelength of the input...
atmospheric pressure pulse was 300 km, which is longer than the length of slopes, the wave shape distortion due to those effects became small. These results indicate that the air–sea coupled tsunami propagating through the trench is sensitive to the wavelength of an atmospheric pressure pulse.
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