Manipulating Surface Termination of Perovskite Manganate for Oxygen Activation
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For ABO$_3$ perovskite oxides, one of the key issues limiting their utilization in heterogeneous catalysis is the dominant presence of catalytically inactive A-site cations at the surface. The engineering of B-site terminated perovskites is considered as an effective method to address this issue, especially when dealing with Mn/Co-based perovskite catalysts. However, to date, such a strategy has not been fully successful and remains a major challenge in the field. Herein, a Mn-terminated La$_{0.45}$Sr$_{0.45}$MnO$_3$ (B-LSM) is successfully synthesized via a one-pot hydrothermal method, in which low-valence Mn ions partially occupy the A site to form the active Mn-excess phase. Experimental results and theoretical calculations reveal that the presence of the surface Mn termination in B-LSM optimizes the hybrid orbitals of Mn 3d-O 2p and promotes the activation of surface lattice oxygen, where the pristine inert lattice O$_2^-$ is evolved into active and stable lattice O$_2^{2-}$. Such structural optimization significantly reduces the activation energy barriers on going from O$_2^-$ species to important intermediate O$^-$ species during O$_2$ activation. Moreover, this results in good stability and Pt-like activity for the B-LSM during CO oxidation. This work offers a new chemical route for the design of advanced perovskite-type oxides possessing novel functions.

1. Introduction

Perovskite-type materials possessing an ABX$_3$ structure exhibit a wide variety of physical and chemical properties, such as photoelectricity, ferroelectricity, magnetoresistance, superconductivity, and have been widely employed in heterogeneous catalysis.[1–4] Furthermore, in recent years perovskite oxides have exhibited promise as catalysts in solid oxide fuel cells, vehicle emissions treatment, metal-air batteries, oxygen evolution, and reduction reactions. This interest stems from their low cost, good thermal durability, unique electronic state, and broad tolerance to composition and structure.[5,6] However, perovskite oxides have two inherent deficiencies, namely a small specific surface area and an inert surface segregation when compared to noble metal and simple oxide catalysts. This inevitably reduces both the number and the activity of the surface active sites and results in a severe underestimate of the true catalytic properties.[7] Therefore, exploring suitable methods that can increase and activate the surface sites of perovskite oxides will play a significant role in the development and practical applications of perovskite-type catalysts going forward, mostly notably in the area of energy and the environment.

Over the decades, many regulatory strategies have been developed to address this key problem, which involved increasing the specific surface area, the introduction of appropriate defects (A-site or oxygen defects), the doping of different elements at the A and B sites, the exposure of high index crystal facet, and the regulation of crystal phase structure.[8–14] Although the
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activity of these “optimized” perovskite catalysts was improved to some extent, there still existed a fatal problem in that the outer surface of the regulated perovskites remained dominated by superabundant inactive A-site cations.\[^{15}\] It should be noted that although one monolayer AO segregation is beneficial for oxygen exchange kinetics, excess inert surface segregation seriously affects the activity and stability of perovskite oxides in catalytic reactions. In fact, such excesses are the key reason why it has proved so difficult to advance the catalytic performance of perovskite oxides. Recently, the passivation phenomenon has been confirmed by the use of a number of state-of-the-art techniques such as LEIS, AP-XPS, synchrotron radiation photoelectron spectroscopy (SRPES), AFM, and HAADF-STEM. The surface engineering of perovskite oxides is now an intense and challenging area of research.\[^{16,17}\]

Neagu et al. pioneered the concept of perovskite exsolution, namely that B-site cations migrate from the bulk structure to the surface under a reducing atmosphere and finally precipitate into metal or alloy nanoparticles.\[^{18}\] This method not only increases the number of B-site active sites on the surface but also contributes to a stronger metal-oxide interface. Based on this technology, numerous new and advanced perovskite-type catalysts (e.g., La$_{0.5}$Sr$_{0.5}$FeO$_3\delta$,\[^{19}\] Sr$_2$FeMO$_{0.65}$Ni$_{0.35}$O$_{3-\delta}$,\[^{20}\] La$_{0.45}$Ce$_{0.55}$Ni$_{0.67}$Ti$_{0.33}$O$_{3-\delta}$,\[^{21}\] and PrBaMn$_{18}$Co$_{0.9}$O$_{3-\delta}$) have been successfully prepared, where the catalytic performance and chemical durability has been greatly improved. However, these particular perovskites can only be applied in a reducing environment and the use of high-temperature annealing also leads to decreased specific surface area. Notably, a series of surface modification techniques have been developed to overcome this limitation, and in particular it has been observed that decorating Co$_2$O$_4$, HfO$_2$, ZrO$_2$, and other perovskite oxides at the surface of a La$_{1-x}$Sr$_x$CoO$_3\delta$ thin film by pulsed laser deposition can effectively suppress phase separation thereby creating more active sites.\[^{22,24}\] Furthermore, some studies have also observed that surface segregation (AO$_x$) in perovskite oxides can be partly etched via the use of dilute acid, however the segregation will enrich again on the surface following prolonged reaction.\[^{25,26}\] Therefore, taking into account these observations, directly engineering B-site terminated perovskite oxides seems a much better way to enhance catalytic activity and therefore widen the potential practical applications of such systems. To date, a number of TiO$_2$-terminated and ZrO$_2$-terminated perovskite oxides have been prepared via pulsed laser deposition, molecular beam epitaxy, etc.,\[^{27,28}\] yet highly active Mn/Co-terminated perovskite oxides, which are viewed as some of the most promising alternative catalysts, are yet to be reported. Inspired by the pioneer work that the Mn$_{2+}$ atom with its large ionic radius can occupy the A-site of a perovskite structure under extreme conditions,\[^{29-31}\] an important breakthrough to address surface A-site segregation will be to target Mn occupied A-sites at the outer surface of perovskites.

In this paper, we report a one-pot synthetic method for accessing highly active Mn-terminated perovskite manganate for the first time and reveal that both non-stoichiometry and specific hydrothermal conditions are the key factors responsible for tuning the surface termination of perovskite oxides. The use of high-angle annular dark-field scanning transmission electron microscopy (HAADF-STEM), X-ray absorption, X-ray photoelectron (XPS), and synchrotron radiation photoelectron spectroscopy reveal that a low-valent Mn atom rather than the traditional La/Sr atom occupies the A site at the perovskite outer surface, and this ultimately results in active MnO$_2$ segregation. On comparison with the perovskite La$_{0.5}$Sr$_{0.5}$MnO$_3$ with A-site segregations (A-LSM), the Mn-terminated perovskite La$_{0.45}$Sr$_{0.55}$MnO$_3$ (B-LSM) exhibits higher activity and stability, and this facilitates the complete conversion of carbon monoxide to carbon dioxide at 215 °C. Furthermore, the O K-edge X-ray absorption near-edge structure spectroscopy (XANES), O 1s XPS, H$_2$-TPR, and O$_2$-TPD show that the Mn-excess phase prominently improves the activity of the surface oxygen sites, that is, pristine inert lattice oxygen O$_{2-}$ is transformed into active and stable lattice oxygen O$_{2-x}$. Theoretical calculations confirmed that these abundant self-regenerating surface active oxygen sites in B-LSM can optimize the activation pathway in the CO oxidation reaction and reduce the activation energy barrier of the oxygen species, which is the main reason for the observed improvement in the catalytic activity and stability.

2. Results and Discussion

Segregation and/or phase separation in perovskite oxides is a common phenomenon, and is crucial to their performance in environmental catalysis and energy conversion.\[^{24}\] However, perovskite samples prepared by either the traditional solid-phase or sol-gel methods are usually formed in their thermodynamically stable phase, whilst the highly active B-site terminated perovskite oxides are not accessible. In contrast to the diffusion type mechanism in these methods, the hydrothermal synthetic approach allows for various growth mechanisms and can thus be used to prepare intermediate, metastable, and special phases.\[^{32}\] Studies on the hydrothermal synthesis of perovskite oxides have shown that the crystal growth is via a dehydroxylating process in a strong alkaline solution. In our previous work, we successfully prepared perovskite manganate with different crystal facets and further demonstrated that by introducing appropriate tetrahedral configuration in the form of cationic NH$_4^+$, the latter can form the linkage N···H···O···B enabling the modulation of high-energy crystal facets.\[^{33}\] Furthermore, it has also been reported that A-sites in perovskite oxides can be occupied by Mn$_{2+}$ atom of large ion radius under high-pressure conditions. Inspired by such results, we investigated the use of hydrothermal experiments to achieve the targeted synthesis of Mn-terminated perovskite oxides. As shown in Figure 1a, the A-site terminated perovskite La$_{0.5}$Sr$_{0.5}$MnO$_3$ was successfully prepared for the first time using the traditional hydrothermal method (Experimental details are shown in the Supporting Information). Sufficient La and Sr atoms in an alkaline solution can facilitate the crystal growth of the perovskite La$_{0.5}$Sr$_{0.5}$MnO$_3$ (A-LSM) and are finally enriched on the surface to develop a stable structure (AO$_x$). Thus, the surface morphology of the perovskite La$_{0.5}$Sr$_{0.5}$MnO$_3$ (A-LSM) prepared by this method is generally the bulk material present (Figure 1a SEM and Figure S1c TEM, Supporting Information). To synthesize Mn-terminated perovskite manganate, we reduced by about 10% the raw materials for the elements La and Sr according to our design method, namely, the chemical formula of La$_{0.45}$Sr$_{0.55}$MnO$_3$.
(Figure 1b). The low concentration of La and Sr ions in perovskite La$_{0.45}$Sr$_{0.45}$MnO$_3$ (B-LSM) has an important effect on its growing process. When the La and Sr atoms in solution are completely consumed, the remaining Mn atom will continue to grow at the surface. Given that the formation of KMnO$_3$ (Mn$^{5+}$ for B site and K$^+$ ions for A site) on the surface requires higher energy, the low-valent Mn atom is more likely to occupy an A-site and form several atomic layers of MnO$_{x}$ segregation at the outer surface under high-pressure conditions. The result is not only decreased surface free energy but also the prevention of further growth of perovskite oxide crystals. Moreover, some studies have demonstrated that manganese oxides Mn$_2$O$_3$ can form a perovskite structure and that the Mn atom occupies the A site in the perovskite structure, which confirms our experimental hypothesis.[29,31,34] Based on these design principles, our Mn-terminated perovskite La$_{0.45}$Sr$_{0.45}$MnO$_3$ (B-LSM) will possess small crystal size and a unique MnO$_{x}$-terminated surface structure, different from the A-LSM prepared via the conventional hydrothermal method.

To demonstrate the proposed structure, we measured the surface morphology of both A-LSM and B-LSM using SEM and TEM. As displayed in Figures S1–S3, Supporting Information, B-LSM exhibits smaller crystal size ($\approx 100$ nm nanoparticle) versus A-LSM ($\approx 8$ µm polycrystal). After grinding using an agate mortar, the crystal size of A-LSM is reduced to $\approx 1.5$ µm. Furthermore, the crystal phase of A-LSM and B-LSM was analyzed by X-ray diffraction. Figure 2a shows that A-LSM and B-LSM have the same crystal structure each possessing
the space group Pm3m. All diffraction peaks indexed to (100), (110), (111), (200), (210), (211), (220), (300), (310), and (311) for cubic perovskite La$_{0.65}$Sr$_{0.35}$MnO$_3$, respectively. However, there are two significant differences in the X-ray diffraction (XRD) spectra between A-LSM and B-LSM. First, the peak intensity for B-LSM is weaker than that of A-LSM; second, the diffraction peaks of B-LSM shift to low angle compared to A-LSM. These results indicate that B-LSM has lower crystallinity and higher structural disorder, and that B-LSM also exhibits proper lattice expansion. To confirm these results, we also measured the HRTEM images for both A-LSM and B-LSM by transmission electron microscopy (TEM). Figure S1e,f, Supporting Information, reveal that they are pure perovskite phase structures, with B-LSM having the larger lattice spacing (2.76 Å) versus A-LSM (2.74 Å) in the (110) crystal facet (calculated in Figures S4 and S5, Supporting Information). These results are consistent with the experimental XRD results above.

To visualize directly the surface atomic structure of the perovskite samples, HAADF-STEM was performed. It is well known that the intrinsic surface of perovskite oxides is usually dominated by A-site cations,[15,16] and the HAADF-STEM measurements in Figure S6, Supporting Information, also revealed that surface termination is dominated by A-site cations of large ionic radius. In the case of the B-LSM sample (Figure 2b), it was evident that the outer surface of B-LSM was predominantly enriched with few layers of Mn atoms. Figure 2c presents a magnified simulation image at the surface of B-LSM, where surprisingly it is observed that an Mn atom occupies the A site in the perovskite structure whilst at the outer surface exists MnO$_x$ segregation of 1–2 atomic layers. Figure 2d also confirms that surface termination of perovskite B-LSM is unusual Mn segregation. To further confirm these observations, we also performed surface atom arrangement of different samples and positions (Figure S7, Supporting Information). These experimental results confirmed the presence of excess Mn atoms at the outer surface of the perovskite oxides and the crystal structure of B-LSM.

SRPES is another important detection tool for analyzing the outer surface structure of solid materials and the shallowest depth it can detect is ∼0.4 nm (∼4 Å, 2–4 atomic layers).[15,36] The surface composition and structure of A-LSM and B-LSM at different depths were investigated by photon energy (hν), which allows for changes in composition to be observed at the atomic level. Considering the binding energy of these elements, we measured spectra such as La 4d, Sr 3d, and Mn 3p and the experimental photon energies were 190, 280, 400, and 1253 eV, respectively. The mean free paths (MFPs) for the photoelectron excitations for these materials at these three energies are ∼0.4, 0.7, 0.9, and 2.6 nm, respectively.[18] Furthermore, the XPS data in Figures S8–S13, Supporting Information, are normalized and integrated in order to analyze their surface composition content. Although the ionization cross sections and binding energy for La, Sr, and Mn are different, their content can be also qualitatively analyzed. As shown in Figure 3a, the surface (La+Sr)/Mn fraction of B-LSM is lower than that of A-LSM at different depths and the (La+Sr)/Mn fraction also has a drastic improvement on increasing the probe depth in perovskite samples, resulting in double peaks for the elements La and Sr. In the outer surface (2≈6 atomic layers) of both A-LSM and B-LSM at a kinetic energy of 190 eV, we observe that the (La+Sr)/Mn fraction for B-LSM is less than 1 (≈0.9), while that for A-LSM is ≈1.9. When the photo energy of the measurement reaches 280 eV, it eliminates surface interference for multi-angle scattering power samples and surface adsorption species. Therefore, the (La+Sr)/Mn fraction of A-LSM is about four times bigger than that of B-LSM at 280 eV. These results indicate that more Mn atoms in B-LSM occur at the outer surface. Besides, we can also estimate the amount of A-site and B-site elements in A-LSM and B-LSM and thereby confirm the surface composition and structure. As displayed in Figure 3b, as the depth increases, the A-site content at the surface for A-LSM and B-LSM first increases and then flattens out, and the surface composition of B-LSM gets closer and closer to that of A-LSM. These results suggest that B-LSM possesses more Mn atoms at the outer surface. Based on these results, we speculate that the A-site at the outer surface of B-LSM may be occupied by a low-valent-state Mn atom. Considering this, Mn 3p XPS for A-LSM and B-LSM were investigated at different surface depths. As shown in Figure 3c, the Mn species at the outer surface of A-LSM is assigned to perovskite termination with higher binding energy (51.5 eV). On increasing the probe depth, the valence state of the Mn atom first decreases (51.3 eV) and then does not changed much due to an increase of bulk structure, indicating that A-LSM only contains perovskite-related Mn species. In contrast, the Mn atom at the outer surface of B-LSM (Figure 3d) exhibits a lower valence state (50.4 eV), resulting in MnO$_x$ segregation and an A-site Mn atom in a lower oxidation state. On increasing the probe depth, the emergence of perovskite termination and the bulk structure improves the average valence state of Mn atom and its Mn 3p peak position remains unchanged, demonstrating that for B-LSM there exist various Mn species possessing different chemical environments. The occurrence of broad half-peak and high peak intensity on lower binding energy for B-LSM at the photo energy for 1253 eV confirms that B-LSM contains more low-valence-state Mn species compared to A-LSM. These results are also consistent with the HAADF-STEM data and further clarify that Mn-terminated LSM is successfully prepared by our synthetic methodology.

To further verify the surface electronic structure and composition of these samples, XPS and XANES experiments were performed. Figure S14, Supporting Information, and Figure 4a,b present La 3d, Sr 3d, Mn 2p, Mn 3s, and O 1s XPS data for A-LSM and B-LSM. It can be observed that La 3d and Sr 3d XPS for B-LSM possess smaller peak intensity and integral area than does A-LSM (Figure S14a,b, Supporting Information), whilst Mn 2p XPS for B-LSM in Figure S14c, Supporting Information, exhibits the larger peak intensity and integral area, confirming that B-LSM is different from the traditional A-site cationic enriched perovskite oxides (A-LSM) and contains more B-site elements at the surface. Moreover, the composition content of these samples has been estimated by XPS, inductive coupled plasma emission spectrometer (ICP), and energy dispersive spectrometer (EDS) quantitative analysis. As shown in Table 1, the XPS results reveal that A-LSM contains 32.9% La, 30.9% Sr, 36.2% Mn at the surface, whilst the results for B-LSM are 21.9%, 22.5%, and 55.6%, respectively. B-LSM has a lower ratio (0.95) of (La+Sr)/Mn than does A-LSM (1.76), which intuitively indicates that there are more B-site active sites for
Figure 3. Surface composition and structure. a) Dependence of (La+Sr)/Mn atomic fractions of A-LSM and B-LSM as a function of photoelectron energy and MFP of the excited photoelectrons; b) Dependence of La/Sr and Mn atomic fractions of A-LSM and B-LSM as a function of photoelectron energy and MFP; Mn 3p XPS spectra at different photo energy for c) A-LSM and d) B-LSM. Schematics show the surface compositions and termination of A-LSM and B-LSM, but do not represent their shape. Detected photoelectrons with a photo energy of ≈190 eV derived from the outer surface of perovskite LSM. The signal of photoelectrons with ≈280, ≈400, and ≈1253 eV can be contributed to surface atomic layers at different depths. The y-axis data points have an associated error of (a) ±0.2 and (b) ±3.

Figure 4. Electronic structure and valence bond information. a) O K-edge soft X-ray absorption spectra, b) Mn L-edge soft X-ray absorption spectra, c) Mn K-edge XANES, and d) R-space Fourier-transformed FT ($k^3 \chi(k)$) of Mn K-edge EXAFS for A-LSM and B-LSM.
B-LSM at the surface. ICP is used to measure the total element content of a sample. Herein, ICP results reveal that B-LSM possesses a lower ratio (0.93) for (La+Sr)/Mn versus A-LSM (1.08), agreeing well with our experimental dosage for the La, Sr, and Mn metal salts. Meanwhile, the Sr/(La+Sr) ratio for both A-LSM and B-LSM was calculated using the ICP data and as shown in Table 1 they are 0.49 (A-LSM) and 0.52 (B-LSM), respectively. This result is also consistent with the theoretical value for the chemical stoichiometric ratio. In addition, EDS (Figures S15 and S16, Supporting Information) provides similar experimental results, namely that the ratio of (La+Sr)/Mn for A-LSM is 1.46 and that for B-LSM is 1.24.

In the previous sections, we have demonstrated that the surface termination of the prepared B-LSM is that of B-site elements rather than the traditional A-site element, and that its surface also has more Mn active sites. A more in-depth study of the surface electronic structures of A-LSM and B-LSM was then undertaken. Figure S14c, Supporting Information, shows that the Mn 2p peak of B-LSM shifts to a lower bind energy compared to A-LSM, indicating a decrease in the oxidation state for the Mn atom. The Mn 3s XPS is also used to half-quantitatively analyze the valence state. In Figure S14d, Supporting Information, the energy separations of A-LSM and B-LSM are 4.5 and 5.2 eV, respectively. According to the literature,[37,38] the average valence state for the Mn element for A-LSM and B-LSM is ≈3.8+ (surface La/Sr enrichment) and ≈3.2+ (surface Mn enrichment), respectively. The decrease in the valence state can be attributed to the occupation of the A-site in the outer surface of B-LSM by an Mn atom with a lower oxidation state. Furthermore, the O 1s XPS of A-LSM and B-LSM were carried out to examine the surface oxygen species. As shown in Figure 4a,b, the O 1s spectrum is divided into four components, namely bulk O²⁻ (O_{lattice}) located at ≈528.7 eV, perovskite lattice termination at ≈529.5 eV, surface oxygen species (O_{surface}) at ≈531.2 eV and surface adsorbed oxygen species (O_{surface}) at ≈533.6 eV.[39,40] Table 1 reveals that the surface oxygen/lattice oxygen ratio of B-LSM is 2.73, which is more than that of A-LSM (1.26), which indicates that the surface of B-LSM has absorbed more oxygen species, for example H₂O and CO₂. Moreover, as shown in Figure 4a,b, and Figure S17, Supporting Information, the O 1s XPS peak of B-LSM for the perovskite lattice termination also shifts to high energy, indicating the presence of more active lattice oxygen (O²⁻) in B-LSM.[41] These results clearly illustrate that B-site termination can dramatically increase the activity of any surface oxygen species present and can promote the activation of molecular oxygen in oxidation reactions.

The O K-edge and Mn L-edge XAS were measured to study the spin state, covalent character of the bonding, and the electron density at the outer surface.[41] In Figure 4c,d, the O K-edge and Mn L-edge XAS for A-LSM and B-LSM are normalized from 518 to 557 eV and from 630 to 662 eV, respectively. As shown in Figure 4c, peak a and peak b at the O K-edge are assigned to the hybridization between Mn 3d and O 2p; peak c is considered as a surface oxygen species; peak d derives from the hybridization between La 5d/ Sr 4d/ Mn 4sp and O 2p.[41] Compared with A-LSM, the peak a in B-LSM shifts to a high binding energy and its peak intensity becomes weaker, which is ascribed to the enhanced covalency of the Mn–O bond. Moreover, the intensity of peak b increased significantly and the peak position also shifted to high binding energy, and this was attributed to higher covalence and increased MnO₂ surface segregations. High energy shifts for peak c suggested a transition from O²⁻ species (O 1s → 3p₁) to O²⁻⁻⁻ species (O 1s → 3p₃), demonstrating that the surface lattice oxygen of B-LSM was successfully activated,[41] which is in agreement with the O 1s XPS results. In contrast to the O K-edge XAS, the Mn L₂, L₃-edge XAS in Figure 4d reveals no positional change for the absorption peak, whilst the white line intensity of B-LSM becomes weaker compared to A-LSM, suggesting of either low electron density for the Mn atom or high covalency for the Mn–O bond. To confirm these inferences, we also measured the Mn K-edge XAS via XANES and EXAFS for A-LSM and B-LSM.[42] The normalized Mn K-edge XANES spectrum is shown in Figure 4e, and it is observed that the X-ray absorption edge of B-LSM shifts to a low energy compared to A-LSM, again suggestive of a lower average oxidation state for the Mn atom. For the electronic structure of Mn 3d (Mn 1s → Mn 3d), B-LSM also displayed a weak intensity for the Mn 3d absorption peak, consistent with the Mn L-edge XAS spectrum. These results further prove the existence of an MnO phase or an Mn atom at the A-site. To clarify the local structure of the Mn atom in the perovskite oxides, the Mn K-edge EXAFS spectrum of A-LSM and B-LSM was transformed by K-space and R-space Fourier-transformed FT (k²χ(k)). The K-space functions shown in Figure S18, Supporting Information, reveal that there is a similar peak shape and high signal-to-noise ratio for samples of both A-LSM and B-LSM, implying both adopt the same crystal structure. However, there are some clear differences between these two samples in the R-space function, see Figure 4f. First, the Mn–O bond length in B-LSM (≈1.53 Å, no phase correction) is longer than that observed for A-LSM (~1.49 Å, no phase correction); second, the Mn-La/Sr/Mn bond distance in B-LSM is also longer than that in A-LSM, and the peak intensity has marked decrease. Third, the marked blue area clarifies that B-LSM contains some unusually short Mn–Mn (A-site in LSM) bonds. To better comprehend this chemistry environment of Mn atom and calculate the bond length for Mn–O bond, EXAFS spectra for A-LSM and B-LSM are carefully fitted using Artemis software. The fitting curves (K space and R space) for A-LSM and B-LSM and parameters (CN, R (Å),

### Table 1. XPS, ICP, EDS, BET Surface Areas, H₂ Consumption, and CO Oxidation Activity for A-LSM and B-LSM.

| Sample | XPS (La+Sr)/Mn | O_{ads}/O_{latt} | BET (La+Sr)/Mn | ICP | EDS (La+Sr)/Mn | BET Surface area [m² g⁻¹] | H₂ consumption [mmol g⁻¹] | Catalytic activity |
|--------|----------------|------------------|----------------|-----|---------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
| A-LSM  | 1.76           | 1.26             | 0.93           | 0.49| 1.46          | 0.85                     | 2.02                      | 218             |
| B-LSM  | 0.95           | 2.73             | 0.52           | 1.24| 2.65          | 2.39                     | 117                       | 164             |

© 2021 Wiley-VCH GmbH
$\Delta E_0$ (eV), $\sigma^2$ (10$^{-3}$ Å$^2$), R factor) of EXAFS spectra were shown in Figures S19–S23 and Table S1, Supporting Information. We observe that there is good overlap between these fitting effect curves and the experimental data, demonstrating the accuracy of fitting results. After undergoing phase correction in Artemis software, the Mn–O bond length in A-LSM and B-LSM are 1.91 and 1.93 Å, respectively. Furthermore, the fitting results also show that B-LSM displays lower coordination numbers for Mn–O bond when compared with A-LSM (Table S1, Supporting Information). These experimental results and the corresponding fitting parameters are consistent with evident B-LSM lattice expansion, a higher disorder degree in the local environment of Mn–La/Sr/Mn bond, and a small amount of Mn–Mn (B-site–A-site) bonding. Importantly, the XRD, TEM, and XAS results are consistent and are also mutually validated.

H$_2$-TPR was carried to analyze the chemical adsorption capacity and redox behavior of the solid catalysts. The H$_2$-TPR profiles for A-LSM and B-LSM are illustrated in Figure 5a. In the case of the A-LSM sample, there are two main reduction peaks at 498.7 and 710.6 °C, corresponding to the reduction processes: 1) Mn$^{4+}$ → Mn$^{3+}$ ($\approx$700 °C), and 2) Mn$^{3+}$ → Mn$^{2+}$ ($\approx$720 °C). While B-LSM shows two reduction peaks for the Mn$^{4+}$ ions at low temperature and a higher reduction temperature for Mn$^{3+}$ → Mn$^{2+}$ (736.9 °C). The double peak phenomenon may stem from the presence of two kinds of Mn$^{4+}$ species at the surface and in the bulk of the B-LSM and the low reduction temperature results in outstanding redox activity. Furthermore, B-LSM also exhibits a lower reduction onset peak temperature (239.3 °C) compared to A-LSM (265.2 °C), indicating that the surface lattice oxygen in B-LSM is more active. In addition, it was observed that the H$_2$-TPR peak for A-LSM shifted to higher T compared with B-LSM, which can be attributed to the different particle size and surface site activity. Specifically, a longer diffusion length within the particles in A-LSM increases the reduction temperature of the sample and the poor surface site activity in A-LSM also decreases the ability of H$_2$ adsorption and reduction. The quantitative analysis results for H$_2$ consumption were also calculated (Table 1), and it is evident that B-LSM has higher total H$_2$ consumption (2.39 mmol g$^{-1}$) vs A-LSM (2.02 mmol g$^{-1}$), which derives from the different chemical formulas and surface structures. These results are in close agreement with the ICP, EDS, and XPS data. O$_2$-TPD was also performed to study the oxygen species associated with these solid catalysts. The oxygen species are typically divided into adsorbed oxygen (O$_{ads}$, <400 °C) and lattice oxygen (O$_{latt}$, >400 °C).[3] In Figure 5b, B-LSM has a lower desorption temperature (81.8 °C) for adsorbed oxygen and lattice oxygen (452.3, 593.4, 798.5 °C) versus A-LSM (90.4, 548.7, 682.7 °C). Meanwhile, the onset temperature for B-LSM is clearly lower than that of A-LSM and the desorption capacity (desorption peak area) for B-LSM is also higher, confirming that B-LSM possesses more active oxygen species and strong lattice oxygen mobility. This is also affirmed by the O 1s XPS, O K-edge XAS, and H$_2$-TPR.

To evaluate the effect of surface termination on catalytic activity, CO oxidation measurements for A-LSM and B-LSM were performed. As shown in Figure 5c, the CO oxidation activity of A-LSM is inferior, while B-LSM has Pt-like catalytic activity whereby carbon monoxide can be completely converted into carbon dioxide at 215 °C.[43,44] Specifically, as shown in Table 1, B-LSM has lower conversion temperatures $T_{10}$, $T_{50}$, and $T_{90}$, and
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The catalytic reaction was performed to study the fundamental activity and stability, XPS analysis of A-LSM and B-LSM after performance. 48 h measurements and only decreases 2.12% in its catalytic performance, whilst B-LSM exhibits excellent stability under the same reaction conditions; TOFs normalized by the number of Mn active sites on the catalyst surface. TOFs normalized by the molar quantities of the samples are displayed in Figure S24, Supporting Information. In addition, the activation energy of A-LSM and B-LSM for CO oxidation was calculated (see the experimental section of supporting information). As shown in Figure S25, Supporting Information, B-LSM possesses a lower activation energy of \( \approx 29.4 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \) compared with A-LSM (\( \approx 56.5 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \)). These results unanimously prove that B-site metal cations are veritable reactive sites and B-LSM has a more intrinsic catalytic property than A-LSM. In addition, the stability of the systems for CO oxidation was measured with runs performed at 196 and 370 °C (\( \approx 90\% \) CO conversion) for 48 h at a flow rate of 50 mL min\(^{-1}\), respectively. From Figure 5f, it can be observed that the catalytic activity of perovskite A-LSM markedly decreases on increasing the reaction time, whilst B-LSM exhibits excellent stability and readily maintains 90% CO conversion after enduring 48 h measurements and only decreases 2.12% in its catalytic performance.

To further comprehend the effect of surface termination on activity and stability, XPS analysis of A-LSM and B-LSM after the catalytic reaction was performed to study the fundamental reason for the stability during the reaction process. As shown in Figures S26–S28, Supporting Information, the C 1s XPS spectra of A-LSM after catalytic reaction (Figure S26a, Supporting Information) exhibit an obvious peak for CO\(_2\)\(^{−}\) at \( \approx 290 \text{ eV} \), whereas for B-LSM there is no sign of such a peak under similar catalytic conditions, which indicates that CO\(_2\)\(^{−}\) (SrCO\(_3\)) can readily accumulate at the surface of A-LSM and its coverage can reduce surface active sites, while B-LSM has strong desorption ability for CO\(_2\) molecules due to the presence of more self-regenerating active sites on the surface (less surface segregation AO\(_x\)). Furthermore, the O 1s XPS data for these samples post-reaction was also performed in order to analyze the activity of the surface oxygen species. As displayed in Figure S26b–d, Supporting Information, A-LSM has more carbonate on the surface than does B-LSM (and the original A-LSM) following the catalytic reaction, while carbonate absorbed at the surface of B-LSM underwent no obvious change during the catalytic process, consistent with the C 1s XPS data (Figure S26a, Supporting Information). Importantly, the lattice oxygen position in B-LSM is still higher than that of A-LSM after the catalytic reaction and primal B-LSM, indicating that the high-activity lattice oxygen in B-LSM has preeminent reversible cyclability and is also the key reason for the observed prolonged stability during the catalytic reaction. The Mn 2p, Mn 3s, La 3d, and Sr 3d XPS results (Figures S27 and S28, Supporting Information) reveal that after the catalytic reaction, the oxidation state of the Mn atom in A-LSM remains unchanged at \( \approx 3.8 \), whilst that for B-LSM increased from \( \approx 3.2 \) to \( \approx 3.38 \), confirming the reconstruction of surface MnO\(_x\), and this is also in good agreement with the surface lattice oxygen activity in the O 1s XPS. In addition, the data also demonstrate that B-LSM after reaction still contains a high content of the catalytic active Mn element compared with A-LSM. Poor durability for A-LSM derives from the enrichment of inactive A-site cations and carbonate (CO\(_1\)\(^{2−}\)) at the surface during the CO catalytic oxidation process, covering surface reactive sites and thereby decreasing the activity at the surface. By contrast, B-LSM contains more self-regenerating active sites. Less carbonate and important Mn segregations in B-LSM can effectively activate the surface lattice oxygen without crippling the surface reactive sites, and this is the fundamental reason why B-LSM exhibits good activity and stability.

To better understand the key role played by surface termination on the catalytic process of CO oxidation, density functional theory (DFT) calculations were applied to describe the reaction pathway and define the rate-limiting step. The computational details for the CO oxidation are given in the experimental section in supporting information and Figures S29 and S30, Supporting Information. As shown in Figure 6, according to the TEM and HAADF-STEM results, we created and optimized the corresponding structural model for A-LSM (110) and B-LSM (110), where La/Sr atom occupies an A site of A-LSM and Mn atom partly occupies an A site of B-LSM. Based on the Langmuir–Hinshelwood (L–H) mechanism,\[^{[43]}\] namely that O\(_2\) and CO are first adsorbed at the surface of a solid catalyst, and then adsorbed CO (M–CO\(_x\)) can react with activated adsorbed oxygen (M–O\(_x\)) to form the final product CO\(_2\) (CO\(_x^*\) + O\(_x^*\) + CO\(_2\)\(_{eq}\)), energy profiles and reaction pathway for CO oxidation at the surface of A-LSM and B-LSM were calculated in order to probe possible structure-activity relationships. It is well known that the activation of surface lattice oxygen plays a key role in various oxygen related catalytic reactions for transition metal oxide catalysts.\[^{[46–48]}\] The active lattice oxygen not only decreases the activation energy barrier of the transition from O\(_x^+\) species to important intermediate O\(_2\)\(^{2−}\) species in the activation process of oxygen molecule, but also improves the catalytic efficiency of lattice oxygen involved in the reaction.\[^{[45,49,50]}\] As displayed in Figure 6, in the O\(_2\) dissociation pathway, the two steps for O\(_2\)\(\rightarrow\)O\(_2^−\) and O\(_2^−\)\(\rightarrow\)O\(_2^−\) are exothermic reactions and the relative energy is negative, and they have only a small effect on the catalytic process. However, the transformation from adsorbed O\(_2^−\) (1) to transition state O\(_2^2−\) (2) needs to overcome an activation energy barrier (Figure 6). The perovskite surface usually contains suitable superoxide species and engineering of the surface electronic structure of perovskite catalysts to decrease this energy barrier is a significant research topic.\[^{[41]}\] Our calculations reveal that Mn-terminated LSM (B-LSM) possesses lower energy (\( \Delta E = 0.8 \text{ eV} \)) than does conventional La/Sr-terminated LSM (A-LSM, \( \Delta E = 1.34 \text{ eV} \)), and this is consistent with our experimental results for O 1s XPS, O K-edge XAS, H\(_2\)-TPR, and O\(_2\)-TPD which demonstrated that surface Mn segregations improve the activity of surface lattice oxygen.
and optimize the hybrid orbitals between Mn 3d and O 2p in perovskite LSM. These changes facilitate the transformation from ordinary lattice oxygen $O_2^-$ to active lattice oxygen $O_2^{2-}$ and the formation of more unsaturated bonds, which drastically decreases the activation energy of the intermediate $O_2^{2-}$ species. Furthermore, in the process for (4) $\rightarrow$ (5), the Mn atom occupying an A site exhibits larger energy ($\Delta E = -0.07$ eV) than does an La/Sr atom ($\Delta E = -0.53$ eV). However, in the process for (6) $\rightarrow$ (7), A-LSM and B-LSM produced different calculation results for the Mn reactive sites where B-LSM displays smaller energy ($\Delta E = -1.14, -1.47$ eV) than A-LSM ($\Delta E = -0.95, -0.94$ eV) for the process $M^xO^* \rightarrow M^{x+}CO_2^* \rightarrow M^{x+}CO_2$. These results suggest that the active Mn ions at the A site may not be the important active center and the main role of MnO$_x$ segregation is to tune the hybrid orbital between the Mn 3d and O 2p in perovskite LSM and improve the activity of the surface oxygen site. In addition, the adsorption energies for the $O_2$ and CO molecules in the crystal facet (100) of A-LSM and B-LSM were also calculated and the optimized structures are shown in Figures S31 and S32, Supporting Information. The calculated results showed that the Mn-terminated B-LSM (100) exhibits lower adsorption energies for the $O_2$ and CO molecules compared with La/Sr-terminated A-LSM (100). Furthermore, we also calculate the corresponding energy profiles and reaction pathway of CO oxidation at the surface (100) according to that of the (110) termination. The detailed discussion section is shown in Figures S33–S35, Supporting Information. Mn-terminated LSM (B-LSM) displays lower activation energy ($\Delta E = 0.56$ eV) than does conventional La/Sr-terminated LSM (A-LSM, $\Delta E = 1.98$ eV) in the process of $O_2^- \rightarrow$ transition state $O_2^{2-}$. Therefore, the Mn-terminated surface possesses a higher activation ability for oxygen species when compared with a La/Sr terminated surface.

Based on the above experimental and calculation results, we can conclude that tailored MnO$_x$ segregation in LSM creates more self-regenerating surface-active sites and decreases the activation energy barrier of the oxygen species compared with traditional A-LSM, which improves the activity and stability of perovskite LSM during the CO oxidation reaction. The root cause of this phenomenon is that the Mn segregation can optimize the hybrid orbital between Mn 3d and O 2p and improve the activity of the surface oxygen sites ($O_2^{2-}$ to $O_2^{2-}$).

3. Conclusion

In summary, we report a novel strategy for engineering highly active Mn-terminated perovskite manganese oxides by a one-pot hydrothermal method. We demonstrate that surface termination in the B-LSM sample is due to active MnO$_x$ segregation rather than conventional AO$_x$ segregation. Furthermore, we also investigated the contribution of various factors for surface B-site termination in perovskite oxides and found that the hydrothermal environment and the perovskite non-stoichiometry (A $<$ B) were instrumental, with non-stoichiometry and the high-pressure hydrothermal environment facilitating the growth of Mn atoms at the outer surface at the end of the hydrothermal reactions. Regulating the two synthetic conditions not only creates a significant MnO$_x$ segregation but also significantly reduces the particle size of the perovskite manganese. By using HAADF-STEM, XAS, XPS, and SRPES, we investigated the unusual surface electronic structure of the perovskite B-LSM where the A-site at the outer surface is occupied by a low-valence Mn atom and where Mn-excess is subsequently constructed into active MnO$_x$ phases. This greatly improves the activity of the surface lattice oxygen from inert $O_2^-$. 

Figure 6. Calculated energy profiles and reaction pathway for CO oxidation at the surface of A-LSM and B-LSM via a Langmuir–Hinshelwood mechanism.
to active $\text{O}^{2-}$ and creates more self-regenerating surface active sites. DFT calculations confirmed that the enhanced activity for B-LSM relates to the decrease of the activation energy barriers from absorbed $\text{O}_2$ species to absorbed $\text{O}^-$ species in the CO oxidation process. Therefore, perovskite B-LSM exhibits Pt-like catalytic activity and outstanding durability for CO oxidation. This work effectively surmounts the fundamental flaw that an ideal bulk-like terminated surface in perovskite oxides is dominated by ineffectual A-site cations and provides important insights into the key role played by surface lattice oxygen during the catalytic reaction.
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