The effect of dynamical compressive and shear strain on magnetic anisotropy in a low symmetry ferromagnetic film
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Abstract
Dynamical strain generated upon excitation of a metallic film by a femtosecond laser pulse may become a versatile tool enabling control of the magnetic state of thin films and nanostructures via inverse magnetostriction on a picosecond time scale. Here, we explore two alternative approaches to manipulate magnetocrystalline anisotropy and excite magnetization precession in a low-symmetry film of a magnetic metallic alloy galfenol (Fe,Ga), either by injecting a picosecond strain pulse into it from a substrate, or by generating dynamical strain of a complex temporal profile in the film directly. In the former case, we realize ultrafast excitation of magnetization dynamics solely by strain pulses. In the latter case, optically-generated strain emerging abruptly in the film modifies its magnetocrystalline anisotropy, competing with heat-induced change of anisotropy parameters. We demonstrate that the optically-generated strain remains efficient for launching magnetization precession, when the heat-induced changes of anisotropy parameters do not trigger the precession any more. We emphasize that in both approaches the ultrafast change of magnetic anisotropy mediating the precession excitation relies on the mixed, compressive, and shear character of the dynamical strain, which emerges due to low-symmetry of the metallic film under study.
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1. Introduction

The lattice symmetry sets up the common and important feature of all crystalline magnetically-ordered materials: the magnetocrystalline anisotropy (MCA). The MCA determines such parameters of a magnetic medium as magnetization direction, magnetic resonances frequencies, coercive fields, etc. Since the MCA relates directly to the lattice, applying stress to a magnetic medium allows modifying static and dynamical magnetic properties of the latter. This effect, known as the inverse magnetostriction or Villari effect, was discovered at the end of the 19th century and is widely used in both fundamental research and applications. Inverse magnetostriction plays a tremendous role in scaling down magnetic devices, e.g. in tailoring the MCA parameters of nanometer ferromagnetic films by properly chosen lattice...
mismatch with the substrate and providing a sensing mechanism in microelectromechanical systems (MEMS). Recently, the importance of inverse magnetostriction was also recognized in the emerging field of ultrafast magnetism, focused at manipulating the magnetic state of matter on the (sub-)picosecond time scale [1].

Magnetization control via the inverse magnetostriction at ultrashort timescales is based on the techniques of generating picosecond strain pulses in solids developed in picosecond ultrasonics [2]. When an opaque medium is subjected to a pico- or femtosecond laser pulse, the light absorption and the following rapid increase of lattice temperature induces thermal stress in a surface region. This results in generation of a picosecond strain pulse with spatial size down to 10 nm and broad acoustic spectrum (up to 100 GHz), which propagates from the excited surface as a coherent acoustic wavepacket. It has been demonstrated experimentally that injection of such a strain pulse into a thin film of ferromagnet can modify the MCA and trigger the precessional motion of magnetization [3]. This experiment has initiated intense experimental and theoretical research activities [3–11] in what is now referred to as ultrafast magnetoacoustics.

High interest in ultrafast magnetoacoustics is driven by a number of features, which are specific to interaction between coherent acoustic excitation and magnetization, and do not occur when other ultrafast stimuli are employed. The wide range of generated acoustic frequencies overlaps with the range of magnetic resonances in the magnetically-ordered media. Furthermore, thin films and nanostructures possess specific magnetic and acoustic modes, and matching their frequencies and wavevectors may drastically increase their coupling efficiency [4]. Finally, there is a well-developed theoretical and computational apparatus for high-precision modeling of spatial-temporal evolution of the strain pulse and respective modulation of the MCA [12–14]. These advantages, however, may be exploited only if the strain-induced effects are not obscured by other processes triggered by direct ultrafast laser excitation.

Generally, there are two main approaches to single out the strain-induced impact on magnetization. The first one is the spatial separation, when the response of magnetization to the strain pulses is monitored at the sample surface opposite to the one excited by a laser pulse. It has been used in a number of experiments with various ferromagnetic materials [3–6]. The irrefutable advantage of such an approach is that the laser-induced heating of a magnetic medium is eliminated due to spatial separation of the laser-impact area and the magnetic specimen. An alternative approach employs the spectral selection instead, when the initially generated strain with broad spectrum is converted into monochromatic acoustic excitation. In this case, the efficiency of its interaction with ferromagnetic material is controlled by the external magnetic field, which shifts the magnetic resonance frequency. This approach was realized in the experiments with the ferromagnetic layer embedded into the acoustic Fabry–Perot resonator [9] and by means of lateral patterning of ferromagnetic film or optical excitation resulting in excitation of surface acoustic waves [7, 10, 11].

Very recently we have demonstrated that the strain-induced impact on the MCA can be reliably traced even in a ferromagnetic film excited directly by a femtosecond laser pulse, despite the complexity of the laser-induced electronic lattice and spin dynamics emerging in this case [15]. Here, we present an overview of our recent experimental and theoretical studies of the ultrafast strain-induced effects in ferromagnetic galfenol films, where the dynamical strain serves as a versatile tool to control MCA. Magnetization precession serves in these experiments as the macroscopic manifestation of ultrafast changes of MCA. We demonstrate the modulation of the MCA and the corresponding response of magnetization under two different experimental approaches, when the strain pulses are injected into the film from the substrate, and when the strain with a step-like temporal profile is optically generated directly in a ferromagnetic film. In the case of direct optical excitation we also compare the strain-induced change of MCA to the conventional change of anisotropy via optically-induced heating emerging, and demonstrate that these two contributions can be unambiguously distinguished, and suggest the regimes at which either of them dominates. In these studies, we have utilized the specific MCA of low-symmetry magnetostrictive galfenol film grown on a (311)-GaAs substrate, which enables generation of dynamical strain of mixed, compressive, and shear character, as compared to the pure compressive strain in high-symmetry structures.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we describe the sample under study and three experimental geometries which enable us to investigate ultrafast changes of magnetic anisotropy. In section 3, we describe phenomenologically magneto crystalline anisotropy of the (311) galfenol film and consider how it can be altered on an ultrafast timescale. The following section 4 is devoted to generation of dynamical strain in metallic films of low symmetry. In sections 5 and 6 we present experimental results and analysis of the magnetization precession triggered by a purely acoustical pump and by direct optical excitation and demonstrate that even in the latter case optically-generated strain may be a dominant impact allowing ultrafast manipulation of the MCA.

2. Experimental

2.1. Sample

Film of a galfenol alloy Fe_{0.83}Ga_{0.19} (thickness \(d_{FeGa} = 100\) nm) was grown on the (311)-oriented GaAs substrate (\(d_{GaAs} = 100\) μm) (figure 1(a)). As was shown in our previous works [3, 6], the magnetic film of this content and thickness of 100 nm facilitates a strong response of the magnetization to picosecond strain pulses. The film was deposited by DC magnetron sputtering at a power of 22 W in an Ar pressure of 1.6 mTorr. The GaAs substrate was first prepared by etching in dilute hydrochloric acid before baking at 773 K in vacuum. The substrate was cooled down to 298 K prior to deposition. Detailed x-ray diffraction studies [16] revealed that the film is polycrystalline, and the misorientation of crystallographic axes of crystallites, the average size of which was of a few
nanometers, was not exceeding a few degrees. Therefore, the studied film can be treated as the single crystalline one. The equilibrium value of the saturation magnetization is \( M_s = 1.59 \, \text{T} \) [17]. The SQUID measurements confirmed that the easy magnetization axis is oriented in the film plane along the [011] crystallographic direction (y-axis). In our experiments, an external DC magnetic field \( B \) was applied in the sample plane along the magnetization hard axis, which lies along the [233] crystallographic direction (x-axis). In this geometry, magnetization \( \mathbf{M} \) orients along the applied field if the strength of the latter exceeds \( B = 150 \, \text{mT} \). At lower field strengths, magnetization is along an intermediate direction between the \( x \)- and \( y \)-axes.

2.2. Experimental techniques

Three experimental geometries were used in order to explore the impact of dynamical strain on the MCA of the galfenol film. First, the experiments were performed with the dynamical strain being the only stimulus acting on the galfenol film (figure 1(b)). A 100 nm thick Al film was deposited on the back side of the GaAs substrate and was utilized as an optoacoustic transducer to inject picosecond strain pulses into the substrate [18]. The 100 fs optical pump pulses with the central wavelength of 800 nm, generated by a Ti:sapphire regenerative amplifier, were incident on the Al film inducing a rapid increase of its temperature. As a result, as discussed in detail in section 4, the picosecond strain pulses were injected into the GaAs substrate. These pulses propagated through the substrate, reached the film (Fe,Ga), modified its MCA and triggered the magnetization precession.

The probe pulses split from the same beam were incident on the (Fe,Ga) film at the angle close to 0, and the time-resolved polar magneto-optical Kerr effect (TRMOKE) was measured. In this experimental geometry, the TRMOKE rotation angle \( \beta_k \) is directly proportional to the out-of-plane deviation of magnetization \( \Delta M_l \) induced by a pump:

\[
\Delta \beta_k(t) = \left[ \sqrt{\varepsilon_0} (\varepsilon_0 - 1) \right]^{1/4} \chi_{xy} \Delta M_l(t),
\]

where \( \varepsilon_0 \) is the diagonal dielectric permittivity tensor component of (Fe,Ga) at the probe wavelength, \( \chi_{xy} \) is the magneto-optical susceptibility at the same wavelength, which enters off-diagonal dielectric permittivity component as \( i \varepsilon_{xy} = i \chi_{xy} M_l \) [21]. By normalizing TRMOKE rotation by the static one at saturation \( \beta_{k,s} \sim M_s \), one gets the measure of deviation of the magnetization out of the sample plane, \( \Delta M_l(t) / M_s = \Delta \beta_k(t) / \beta_{k,s} \). These experiments were performed at \( T = 20 \, \text{K} \). The choice of low temperature in this experiment was dictated by the fact that this prevents attenuation of higher frequency components of the strain pulses in the GaAs substrate [22], thus allowing excitation of precession with high frequency in relatively high applied magnetic fields.

The second and the third types of experiments (figures 1(c), (d)) were conducted in the geometry, where the (Fe,Ga) film was directly excited by the optical pump pulses. In this geometry there were two contributions to the change of MCA: (i) direct modification of the MCA due to heating [23], and (ii) inverse magnetostrictive effects (see section 3 for details). In these experiments we used a 170 fs pump and probe pulses of the 1030 nm wavelength generated by the Yb:KGd(WO₄)₂ regenerative amplifier. These experiments were performed at room temperature.

In the second geometry, the probe pulses were incident onto the back side of the GaAs substrate (figure 1(c)). Since the probe pulses wavelength is well below the GaAs absorption edge, it penetrated the substrate and reached the magnetic film. Thus, here we were able to probe optically excited dynamics of the magnetization of the (Fe,Ga) film. Additionally, this experimental geometry enables one to detect strain pulses injected into the substrate from the film with the velocity \( s_j \), where \( j \) denotes the particular strain pulses polarization. Upon propagation through GaAs these pulses modified its dielectric permittivity via photoelastic effect. The intensity and the polarization of the probe pulses were therefore modified in the oscillating manner [18], with the frequency

\[
\nu_j = 2s_j \sqrt{\varepsilon_0} \lambda_{pr}^{-1},
\]

where \( \lambda_{pr} \) is the probe wavelength, \( \varepsilon_0 \) is the dielectric permittivity of GaAs, and the angle of incidence for the probe pulses is taken to be 0. These oscillations are often referred to as Brillouin oscillations. The main purpose of this experiment was to confirm generation of dynamical strain upon excitation of the (Fe,Ga) film by optical pump pulses.
The third type of experiment was performed in the conventional optical pump-probe geometry, when both optical pump and probe pulses were incident directly on the galfenol film (figure 1d). This is the main experiment in our study, which demonstrates how various contributions to the optically-induced MCA change can be distinguished and separated.

3. Thermal and strain-induced control of the magnetic anisotropy in (311) galfenol film

The magnetic part of the normalized free energy density of the single crystalline galfenol film $F_m = F/M_s$ grown on the (311)-GaAs substrate (figure 1a) can be expressed as

$$F_m(m) = -m \cdot B + B_m^2 + \frac{m^2}{2} + \frac{m^2}{2} - K_m m^2 - K_m m^2 - K_m m^2 - K_m m^2 + K_m m^2 + K_m m^2 + K_m m^2 + K_m m^2 + K_m m^2 + K_m m^2 + K_m m^2 + K_m m^2 + K_m m^2 + K_m m^2 + K_m m^2,$$

(3)

where $m = M/M_s$. Here, for the sake of convenience, Zeeman, shape and uniaxial anisotropy terms are written in the coordinate frame associated with the film, i.e. the $z$-axis is directed along the sample normal. Cubic anisotropy terms and the magneto-elastic terms are written in the frame given by the crystallographic axes $x'y'z'$ (figure 1a). Strain components $\epsilon_{ij}$ are considered to be zero at equilibrium. The corresponding equilibrium orientation of magnetization is given by the direction of an effective magnetic field expressed as

$$B_{eff} = -\frac{\partial F_m(m)}{\partial m}. \tag{4}$$

Rapid change of any of the terms in equation (3) under an external stimulus may result in reorientation of the effective field (4), thus triggering magnetization precession, which can be described by the Landau–Lifshitz equation [24, 25]:

$$\frac{dm}{dt} = -\gamma \cdot m \times B_{eff}(t), \tag{5}$$

where $\gamma$ is the gyromagnetic ratio. This precession plays a two-fold role. On the one hand, magnetization precession triggered by an ultrafast stimulus is in itself an important result attracting a lot of attention nowadays. On the other hand, magnetization precession is the macroscopic phenomenon, which can be easily observed in conventional pump-probe experiments and, at the same time, allows insight into the complex microscopical processes triggered by various ultrafast stimuli.

We exclude from further discussion the ultrafast laser-induced demagnetization [26], which may trigger the magnetization precession [27] due to the decrease of the demagnetizing field $\mu_0 M_s/2$. This contribution to the change of the effective field orientation is proportional to the $z$-component of $M$ at equilibrium, which is zero in the experimental geometry discussed here, with a magnetic field applied in the film plane. Thus, we focus on the effects related to the change of the MCA solely and consider two mechanisms.

The first mechanism, allowing ultrafast change of the MCA, relies on heat-induced changes of the parameters $K_1$ and $K_2$ in equation (3). This phenomenon is inherent to various magnetic metals [23], semiconductors [29], and dielectrics [30]. In metallic films, absorption of the laser pulse results in subpicosecond increases of electronic temperature $T_e$. Subsequent thermalization between electrons and lattice takes place on a time scale of several picoseconds and yields an increase of the lattice temperature $T_l$. Magnetocrystalline anisotropy of a metallic film, and galfenol in particular, is temperature-dependent [28]. Therefore, laser-induced lattice heating results in a decrease of MCA parameters. Importantly, this mechanism is expected to be efficient if magnetization is not aligned along the magnetic field [31, 32]. This can be realized by applying a magnetic field of moderate strength along the hard magnetization axis. Otherwise, a decrease of $K_{1v}$ would not tilt $B_{eff}$ already aligned along $B$.

The second mechanism relies on inverse magnetostric- tion. As it follows from equation (3), dynamical strain $\dot{\epsilon}$ induced in a magnetic film can effectively change the MCA. Such dynamical strain can be created in a film either upon injection from the substrate [3], or due to the thermal stress induced by rapid increase of the lattice temperature by optical pulse. It is important to emphasise that, in contrast to the heat-induced change of the magnetocrystalline anisotropy constants, the strain-induced mechanism can be efficient even if the $B_{eff}$ is aligned along $B$, if the symmetry of the film and the polarization of the dynamical strain are properly chosen.

4. Optical generation of the dynamical compressive and shear strain in a metallic film on a low-symmetry substrate

4.1. Optical generation of the dynamical strain

Increase of the electronic $T_e$ and lattice $T_l$ temperature of a metallic film excited by a femtosecond laser pulse is described by the coupled differential equations:

$$C_e \frac{dT_e}{dt} = \kappa \frac{dT_e}{dt} - G(T_e - T_l) + P(z, t);$$

$$C_l \frac{dT_l}{dt} = -G(T_l - T_e), \tag{6}$$

where $P(z, t) = I(t)(1 - R) \alpha \exp(-\alpha z)$ is the absorbed optical pump pulse power density, with $I(t)$ describing the Gaussian temporal profile, $\alpha$ is the absorption coefficient, $R$ is the reflection Fresnel coefficient, $C_e = A_e T_e$ and $C_l$ are the specific electronic and lattice heat capacities, respectively; $\kappa$—the thermal conductivity, $G$—the electron–phonon coupling constant, considered to be temperature independent. $T_l$ stands for the lattice temperature. Heat conduction to the substrate is usually much less than the one within the film and, thus, is neglected. The boundary conditions are $dT_e/dz = 0$ at $z = 0$, and $T_e = T_l = T$ at $z = \infty$, where $T$ is the initial temperature.

Lattice temperature increase sets up the thermal stress, which in turn leads to generation of dynamical strain
[13, 14, 18]. Details of this process are determined by the properties of the metallic film and of the interface between the metallic film and the substrate. As a generalization, we consider the strain mode with the polarization vector $\mathbf{e}_j$ and the amplitude $u_{0j}$. Following the procedure described in [14] for a high-symmetry film, we express the displacement amplitude in the frequency domain as

$$
\delta T_z(z, \omega) = \frac{\alpha (1 - R)}{\kappa} \int_0^\infty \frac{I(\omega)}{\alpha^2 - p_T^2} \left[ e^{-\alpha z} + e^{p_T z} \right] e^{-i\omega z} dz.
$$

(7)

$$
\delta T_j(z, \omega) = \frac{\delta T_z(z, \omega)}{1 - i\omega C_j G^{-1}};
$$

(8)

$$
u_{0j}(z, \omega) = \sigma_j \left( \frac{e^{-\alpha z}}{\alpha^2 + k_j^2} - \frac{e^{p_T z}}{p_T^2 + k_j^2} \right) + \frac{e^{k_j z}}{2ik_j} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha + ik_j} - \frac{1}{p_T + ik_j} \right) + \frac{e^{-k_j z}}{2ik_j} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha - ik_j} + \frac{1}{p_T - ik_j} \right) + A_j e^{k_j z} + B_j e^{-k_j z},
$$

(9)

where we introduced the parameters

$$
\sigma_j = \frac{\epsilon_{jz}}{\rho s^2} \frac{\beta \epsilon_{ij}}{1 - i\omega C_j G^{-1}} \frac{\alpha^2 (1 - R) I(\omega)}{\kappa (\alpha^2 - p_T^2)},
$$

$$p_T = -\frac{i\omega C_j}{\kappa} \left( 1 + \frac{C_j}{1 - i\omega C_j G^{-1}} \right),
$$

$$k_j = \omega s^{-1}, \text{Re}(p_T) > 0.
$$

(10)

Here, $\beta$ is the Gruneisen parameter, $\rho$ is the galfenol density. The constants $A_j$ and $B_j$ are determined from the boundary condition at the free surface $z = 0$ and at the (311)-(Fe,Ga)/GaAs interface.

From equation (9) it can be seen that thermal stress induces two contributions to the strain in the metallic film. The first one is maximal at the film surface and decays exponentially along $z$, which is shown schematically in figures 1(b)–(d). In fact, it closely follows the spatial evolution of the lattice temperature $T_l$ in equation (8). In the time domain, this contribution emerges on a picosecond time scale following the lattice temperature increase and decays slowly towards equilibrium due to the heat transfer to the substrate. Therefore, on the typical time scale of the experiment on ultrafast change of the MCA, i.e. $\sim 1$ ns, this contribution can be considered as the step-like strain emergence. The second contribution describes the picosecond strain pulse propagating away from the film surface along $z$ [20].

4.2. Injection of compressive and shear dynamical strain pulses into (311)-galfenol film

First we consider the scenario illustrated in figure 1(b). The Al film serving as the optoacoustic transducer, is polycrystalline and, thus, acoustically isotropic. Thus, longitudinal (LA) strain is generated due to optically-induced thermal stress. Its polarization vector is $\mathbf{e}_{LA} = (0, 0, 1)$ and the amplitude is $u_{0,LA}$. The corresponding strain component is $\epsilon_{zz}^{LA} = \epsilon_{LA,c} \partial u_{0,LA}/\partial z$; this strain is purely compressive/tensile. Due to mode conversion at the interface shear strain may be also generated, but the efficiency of this process is low [33]. After transmission of the strain pulse through the interface between elastically isotropic Al film and anisotropic low-symmetry single crystalline (311)-GaAs substrate, two strain pulses emerges, quasi-longitudinal (QLA) and quasi-transversal (QTA), with the polarization vectors $\mathbf{e}_{QLA} = (0.165, 0, 0.986)$ and $\mathbf{e}_{QTA} = (0.986, 0, -0.165)$, propagating further to the substrate [20]. Importantly, both QLA and QTA strain pulses have significant shear components. Expressions for the corresponding amplitudes are found in [20] by taking into account interference between LA and TA modes within the film and multiple reflections and mode conversion at the interface. QLA and QTA pulses injected thus into the GaAs substrate propagate with their respective sound velocities.

Upon reaching magnetic (Fe,Ga) film these strain pulses can trigger the magnetization precession [3, 6], by modifying magneto-elastic terms in equation (3). Since the QTA and QLA pulse velocities in the 100$\mu$m (311)-GaAs substrate are $s_{QTA} = 2.9$ km s$^{-1}$ and $s_{QLA} = 5.1$ km s$^{-1}$ [19, 20], they reach (Fe,Ga) film after 35 and 20 ns, respectively, and thus, their impact on the magnetic film can be separated in time. Strictly speaking, polarization vectors of QL(T)A in (Fe,Ga) and in GaAs differ, and transformation of the strain pulses upon crossing the GaAs/(Fe,Ga) interface should be taken into account. However, since the mismatch is rather small and both QL(T)A strain pulses remain polarized in the $xz$ plane, we neglect it in the analysis. Therefore, in the experimental geometry, shown in figure 1(b), propagating strain pulses (9) are employed to control magnetization.

4.3. Generation of compressive and shear dynamical strain pulses in (311)-galfenol film

By contrast to polycrystalline Al film, in the single crystalline (Fe,Ga) film on the (311)-GaAs substrate the elastic anisotropy plays essential role already at the stage of the strain generation [34]. Two strain components $\epsilon_{xz}$ and $\epsilon_{zz}$ arise due to coupling of thermal stress to QLA and QTA acoustic waves. Their polarizations are $\mathbf{e}_{QLA} = (0.286, 0, 0.958)$ and $\mathbf{e}_{QTA} = (0.958, 0, -0.286)$ [15] in the film coordinate frame $xyz$ (figure 1(a)). Corresponding strain components can be found as

$$
\epsilon_{xz}^{QL(T)A} = 0.5 \epsilon_{QL(T)A,c} \frac{\partial u_{0,QL(T)A}}{\partial z},
$$

$$
\epsilon_{zz}^{QL(T)A} = \epsilon_{QL(T)A,c} \frac{\partial u_{0,QL(T)A}}{\partial z},
$$

(11)

i.e. the generated strain is of mixed, compressive and shear, character. Both step-like emergence of the strain and propagating strain pulses can modify MCA. Possible contribution from this step-like emergence of the strain to the change of MCA was pointed out in [35], however, no detailed consideration was performed allowing to confirm feasibility of this process. Importantly, since the step-like emergence of the strain closely follows temporal and spatial evolution of the
lattice temperature, distinguishing their effect on the magnetic anisotropy can be ambiguous. We note that in the case of optically excited (Fe,Ga) film the QLA and QTA strain pulses will be also injected into GaAs, and can be detected employing the scheme shown in figure 1(c).

5. Magnetization dynamics in the (311) galfenol film induced by picosecond strain pulses

First, we examine excitation of the magnetization precession by dynamical strain only, which is realized in the experimental geometry shown in figure 1(b). In figure 2(a), we present changes of the probe polarization rotation measured as a function of pump-probe time delay $t$ after QLA or QTA strain pulse arrives to the galfenol film. The time moment $t = 0$ for each shown trace corresponds to the time required for either QLA or QTA pulse to travel through the 100 $\mu$m thick GaAs substrate, and was verified by monitoring reflectivity change [6]. As one can see, both the QLA and QTA pulses excite oscillations of the probe polarization. Two lines are clearly seen in the Fast Fourier transform (FFT) spectra of the time traces (figure 2(b)) separated by a few GHz. The frequencies of both lines change with the applied field (figure 2(c)), thus confirming that the observed oscillations of the probe polarization originate from the magnetization precession triggered by QLA and QTA strain pulses. The character of the field dependence of $\nu$ (figure 2(c)) corresponds to the one expected for the geometry, when the external magnetic field is applied along the magnetization hard axis. The presence of two field dependent frequencies in the FFT spectra can be attributed to the excitation of two spin wave modes, which is one of the signatures of the magnetization precession excited by picosecond acoustic pulses. As discussed in detail in [4], excitation of several spin waves is enabled by the broad spectrum of the strain pulses and is governed by the boundary conditions in the thin film.

Both the QLA and QTA pulses contain components $\varepsilon_{12}$ and $\varepsilon_{12} (11)$. The QLA (QTA) strain pulse enters the magnetic film and propagates through it with the sound velocity of $s_{\text{QLA}} = 6.0 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ($s_{\text{QTA}} = 2.8 \text{ km s}^{-1}$). Upon propagation it contributes to the change of the magneto-elastic term in the free energy in equation (3), modifying the MCA of the film, and causing the effective magnetic field $B_{\text{eff}}$ to deviate from its equilibrium. As a result, magnetization starts to move away from its equilibrium orientation following a complex trajectory [3]. The QL(T)A strain pulse leaves the film after $2d_{\text{GaAs}} s_{\text{QL(T)A}}^{-1}$, i.e. 33 and 70 ps, respectively, and $B_{\text{eff}}$ returns to its equilibrium value, while magnetizations relax towards $B_{\text{eff}}$ precessionally on the much longer nanosecond time scale.

As seen from figure 2(a), the amplitude of the magnetization precession excited by QLA phonons is higher than that of excited by QTA phonons. This is in agreement with experimental and theoretical results on propagation of QLA and QTA phonons through the (311)-GaAs substrate [20], which showed that the amplitude of the displacement associated with the QTA pulses is smaller by a factor of $\sim 5$ than that of QLA, while the magnetoelastic coefficients for the shear and compressive strain are the same in galfenol.

Thus, the experiment on excitation of the (311)-(Fe,Ga) film by the picostrain pulse clearly demonstrates that dynamical strain effectively excites the magnetization precession in the film in the fields up to $1.2 \text{ T}$, i.e. when the equilibrium magnetization is already along the applied magnetic field. We note that here we reported the magnetization excitation in the particular geometry, when the magnetic field is applied along the magnetization hard axis. Previously, some of the authors also demonstrated analogous excitation in (Fe,Ga) with the field applied in the (311) plane at $45^\circ$ to the [233] direction, as well as in the field applied along the [311] axis [6]. It has been also shown that all the features of the excitation observed at low temperature remain valid at room temperature as well. Thus, the reported here results obtained at $T = 20 \text{ K}$ can be reliably extrapolated to room temperature, at which the direct optical excitation of the precession in the galfenol film was studied.

6. Magnetization dynamics in (311) galfenol film induced by direct optical excitation

While in the experiments described in section 5 picostrain pulses are the only stimulus driving the magnetization precession, the processes triggered by direct optical excitation of a metallic magnetic film are more diverse, and may contribute to both strain-related and other driving forces (see section 3). First, in order to confirm generation of dynamical strain in the optically-excited galfenol film we have detected propagating QLA and QTA strain pulses by measuring the polarization rotation for
the probe pulses incident onto the back side of the (311)-(Fe, Ga)/GaAs sample (figure 1(c)). Figure 3(a) shows the time traces obtained at various magnetic fields. There are several oscillating components clearly present, as can be seen from the Fourier spectra in figure 3(b). The field dependences of these frequencies are shown in figure 3(c). The lines at \( \nu_{\text{QTA}} = 20 \text{ GHz} \) and \( \nu_{\text{QLA}} = 35 \text{ GHz} \) are field-independent and are attributed to the Brillouin oscillations caused by the QTA and QLA strain pulses (2), respectively, propagating away from the galfenol film towards the back side of the GaAs substrate with the velocities \( s_{\text{QTA}} < s_{\text{QLA}} \).

A line in the FFT spectra marked in figure 3(b) as \( M_{1} \) and possessing the field dependent frequency \( \nu \) corresponds to the optically triggered precession of the magnetization in the galfenol film. This experiment, therefore, confirms concomitant generation of the dynamical strain and excitation of the magnetization precession in the optically excited galfenol film. The mechanism behind the precession excitation is, however, more intricate than in the case of injection of strain pulses in the film.

In order to gain insight into the problem of direct optical excitation of (311)-(Fe,Ga) film we have performed an experiment in the geometry shown in figure 1(d), with both pump and probe pulses incident directly on the galfenol film. Figures 4(a), (b) show the temporal evolution of the TRMOKE signal following excitation of the sample by femtosecond laser pulses. FFT spectra (figure 4(c)) contain one line with field dependent frequency (figure 5(a)). Thus, we observe excitation of the magnetization precession. The oscillatory component in the observed signal can be approximated by the function

\[
\frac{\Delta M_{z}(t)}{M_{z}} = \frac{\Delta M_{z}^{\text{max}}}{M_{z}} e^{-t/\tau} \sin(2\pi\nu t + \psi_{0}).
\]

As can be seen from figures 5(a) and (b) the frequency \( \nu \) is minimal and the amplitude \( \Delta M_{z}^{\text{max}}/M_{z} \) is maximal at \( B = 150 \text{ mT} \), i.e. when the magnetization becomes parallel to the external field. This is a conventional behaviour, if the magnetic field is applied along the magnetization hard axis. We also note that the frequency versus applied field dependences in the case of strain-induced and direct optical excitation resemble each other (see figures 2(c) and 5(a)), with some deviation observed at low fields, which could be due to the fact that the measurements were performed at \( T = 20 \text{ and } 293 \text{ K} \), respectively.

We have studied the evolution of the magnetization right after the direct optical excitation in more detail (figure 4(b)) and, in particular, determined the initial phases \( \psi_{0} \) of precession (12). The initial evolution of the magnetization suggests that \( B_{\text{eff}} \) demonstrates a step-like jump from its equilibrium orientation upon the optical excitation and remains in this orientation for a time much longer than the precession decay. This is opposite to the case of injected strain pulse \( [3] \), when the magnetization takes a complex path before the harmonic oscillations start, which reflects the fact that \( B_{\text{eff}} \) follows the strain while it propagates through the film and returns back to equilibrium orientation once the strain pulse has left the film.

The most striking result is that the initial phase \( \psi_{0} \) of the oscillations possesses non-monotonous field dependence. In particular \( M_{z}(t) \) demonstrates pure sine-like behaviour when the magnetic field is of \( B = 150 \text{ mT} \), and pure cosine-like behavior at \( B = 500 \text{ mT} \). Detailed field dependence of the precession initial phase is shown in figure 5(c). Keeping in mind that at \( t = 0 \) at any strength of the in-plane magnetic field the magnetization is oriented in the film plane, one concludes that the sine-like (\( \psi_{0} = 0 \)) temporal evolution of \( M_{z} \) at the applied field of \( B = 150 \text{ mT} \) corresponds to the magnetization...
precessing around the transient effective field $\mathbf{B}_{eff}(t)$, which lies in the sample plane. By contrast, cosine-like ($\psi_0 = \pi/2$) behavior of the $M_z$ corresponds to the precession around $\mathbf{B}_{eff}(t)$, having a finite out-of-plane component.

The observed change of the initial phase of the magnetization precession gives a hint that there are, in fact, two competing mechanisms of the precession excitation, which relative and absolute efficiencies change with an increase of the applied magnetic field. In the experiment with optical excitation (figure 1(d)) two mechanisms, heat- and strain-induced ones, considered in section 3, are expected to affect the magnetic anisotropy of the galfenol film. The heat-induced mechanism, based on the rapid increase of the temperature and decrease of the MCA constants, is expected to trigger the precession at relatively low fields. The strain-induced mechanism, resulting from the thermally-induced stress, in turn, can be efficient at high fields as well. The latter is demonstrated in our experiments with purely acoustic excitation of the studied film, where the precession is observed in the applied fields up to at least 1.2 T (figures 2(a), (c)).

In order to test this model we calculated the changes of the MCA parameters $K_{u,a}$ and the magneto-elastic part of the free energy (3) of the optically-excited (Fe, Ga) film, using the routine described briefly in sections 3 and 4 and in more detail in [15]. Since some required parameters are unknown for galfenol, we used those of Fe: $A_e = 672 \text{J m}^{-3} \text{K}^{-2}$ [36], $C_i = 3.8 \times 10^6 \text{J m}^{-3} \text{K}^{-1}$, $\kappa = 80.4 \text{W m}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$ [37]. The electron-phonon coupling constant $G = 8 \times 10^7 \text{Wm}^{-3} \text{K}^{-1}$ was obtained from [23] where the electron-phonon relaxation time equal to $\sim C_i G^{-1}$ was found to be of 250 fs. The values of $R$ and $\alpha$ were determined experimentally. The galfenol density $\rho$ for Fe$_{23}$Ga$_{77}$ is estimated to be of 7.95 $\times 10^3$ kg m$^{-3}$. The equilibrium magnetic anisotropy parameters $K_1 = 30 \text{mT}$, $K_2 = 45 \text{mT}$ and the magneto-elastic coefficients $b_1 = -6 \text{T}$, $b_2 = 2 \text{T}$ were found using literature data [17, 38, 39] as well as from the fit of the field dependence of the precession frequency (figure 5(a)). Figure 5(d) shows calculated equilibrium in-plane orientation of the effective field $\mathbf{B}_{eff}$, confirming that it aligns with the external field when the latter exceeds $B = 150 \text{mT}$, in agreement with the SQUID data (not shown).

The calculations of the laser-induced magnetization dynamics were performed for the optical excitation density of $P = 10 \text{mW \ cm}^{-2}$. For this laser fluence the lattice temperature increase calculated with equation (8) was found to be of $\Delta T_l = 120 \text{K}$. The corresponding change of the MCA parameters was found to be $\Delta K_1 = -4.75 \text{mT}$ and $\Delta K_2 = -2.2 \text{mT}$, and the persistent components of the compressive and shear dynamical strain were found to be $\varepsilon_{xx} = \Delta \varepsilon_{xx} = 1.2 \times 10^{-3}$ and $\varepsilon_{xy} = \Delta \varepsilon_{xy} = -4 \times 10^{-4}$. From these values the optically-triggered out-of-plane deviation $\Delta \theta$ and in-plane deviation $\Delta \phi$ of the effective field $\mathbf{B}_{eff}$ (figure 1(a)) were found, as shown in figure 5(c). As expected, the heat-induced change of the MCA affects the orientation of the effective field predominantly in the range of the applied fields below and close to $B = 150 \text{mT}$. By contrast, the strain-induced deviation of the effective field remains significant even when the applied field is as high as $500 \text{mT}$. At lower fields this contribution competes with the heat-induced one. The calculations also confirm that the propagating strain pulses also generated by the optical excitation contribute much more weakly to the MCA change.

Importantly, at high fields optically-generated strain results in the out-of-plane deviation $\Delta \theta$ of $\mathbf{B}_{eff}$. At intermediate and low field the combined effect of the heat- and strain-induced anisotropy change results in both $\Delta \theta$ and $\Delta \phi$ to be non-zero. At $B = 150 \text{mT}$, i.e. when equilibrium magnetization is aligned along the external field, the heat-induced change of magneto-crystalline constants dominates and $\mathbf{B}_{eff}$ deviates mostly in plane. These two limiting situations are illustrated in the insets of figure 5(e).

Finally, the amplitude and the initial phase of the magnetization precession triggered via heat- and strain-induced change of magnetic anisotropy of galfenol film were calculated (figures 5(b), (c)). Good agreement between the experimental data and the calculated one confirms that the MCA in the optically-excited (311)-(Fe, Ga) film is indeed modified and, thus, triggers the precession, via two distinct mechanisms. At relatively low fields the heat-induced change of anisotropy parameters is efficient, as was also shown in a number of previous works [23, 32]. In addition, optically-generated strain modifies MCA via inverse magnetostriction. Furthermore, as the applied field increases, the heat-induced contribution to the magnetic anisotropy change decreases.
more rapidly than the strain-induced one. As a result, in the relatively high fields magnetization precession is excited mostly due to the optically-generated persistent strain.

It is instructive to note, that the physical origin of the MCA change—inverse magnetostriction—is the same when either the step-like strain is induced optically in the magnetic film, or the strain pulse is injected into the film. However, the magnetization precession trajectories may appear to be very distinct. In the case of the direct optical excitation, the temporal profile of the $B_{\text{eff}}$ modified due to abruptly emerged strain can be seen as the step-like jump. This sets the amplitude and initial phase of the magnetization precession, which are uniquely linked to the angle between the equilibrium and modified directions of $B_{\text{eff}}$ [15]. This situation becomes much more intricate when the strain pulse drives the MCA change. As discussed in [3], the strain pulse alternates the direction of $B_{\text{eff}}$ upon propagation through the film triggering the magnetization precession, which then proceeds around the equilibrium $B_{\text{eff}}$ once the strain pulse left the film. Thus, the amplitude and the initial phase of the excited precession would be dependent on the particular spatial and temporal profile of the strain pulse.

7. Conclusions

In conclusion, we have demonstrated two alternative approaches allowing one to modify magnetocrystalline anisotropy of a metallic magnetic film at ultrafast time scale by dynamical strain, with inverse magnetostriction being the underlying mechanism. Using 100 nm film of a ferromagnetic metallic alloy (Fe,Ga) grown on a low symmetry (311)-GaAs substrate, we were able to trigger the magnetization precession by dynamical strain of a mixed, compressive and shear, character.

Picosecond quasi-longitudinal and quasi-transversal strain pulses can be injected into the galfenol film from the substrate, which leads to efficient excitation of the magnetization precession. In this case, owing to the distinct propagation velocities of QLA and QTA pulses in the substrate, their impact on MCA can be easily distinguished and analyzed. Importantly, dynamical strain remains the efficient stimulus triggering the magnetization precession in the applied magnetic fields up to 1.2 T.

Alternatively, one can directly excite the galfenol film by a femtosecond laser pulse. In this case there are two competing mechanisms mediating the ultrafast change of MCA. Rapid increase of the lattice temperature results in the decrease of the MCA parameters. The lattice temperature increase also sets up the thermal stress which results in optically generated strain. We demonstrate that the heat-induced decrease of the MCA parameters and the change of MCA mediated by the inverse magnetostriction compete and both can trigger the magnetization precession. Despite the fact that the temporal and the spatial profiles of the lattice temperature increase and optically-generated strain closely resemble each other, their impact on MCA can be distinguished. This is possible owing to the distinct response of magnetization to the heat-induced decrease of anisotropy parameters and to the strain-induced change and reorientation of the effective field describing the MCA. In the former case, the magnetization precession is triggered only if the external magnetic field applied along the magnetization hard axis is of moderate strength. In the latter case, this constrain is lifted and the precession excitation was observed in the applied fields as strong as at least 0.5 T. The experiments with strain pulses injected into the film suggest that strain-induced precession excitation would remain efficient at higher applied field values as well.

We would like to emphasise that in order to realize the strain-induced control of magnetic anisotropy in the optically excited metallic film, low symmetry and elastic anisotropy of the latter is of primary importance. As we have shown in our recent study [15], in the galfenol film of high symmetry grown on the (001) GaAs substrate magnetic anisotropy change is dominated by the lattice heating and related decrease of MCA parameters. Thus, low-symmetry films and structures, where the dynamical strain can efficiently modify MCA at relatively high magnetic fields, may be promising objects, when one aims at the excitation of magnetization precession of high frequency. This finding highlights the further importance of low-symmetry ferromagnetic structures for ultrafast magneto-acoustic studies [40].

Finally, we note that direct detection of the optically-generated quasi-persistent strain in a metallic film is a challenging task. This strain component is intrinsically accompanied by the laser-pulse induced lattice heating. Therefore, detection of this strain component by optical means is naturally obscured by the change of optical properties of the medium [18]. Our experiments at the high magnetic field limit observation of the magnetization precession around new MCA direction, which was set mostly by the emerged quasi-persistent strain, can be considered as an indirect way to probe this constituent of the ultrafast lattice dynamics.
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