Diabetes mellitus, a disease that resulting from the deficiency or resistance to insulin in human body, affects 347 million people around the world and the mortality is more than 80% in many developing countries (Danaei et al. 2011). Efforts are put to find out the ways to cure or minimize expansion of diabetes mellitus; some factors involved in pathogenesis of diabetes have been identified. \( \alpha \)-Glycosidase is an enzyme located in the intestinal brush border, which is responsible for converting oligosaccharides and disaccharides to monosaccharides, which promotes the absorption of carbohydrate and contributes to the increase in blood sugar concentration (Bischoff 1995). The use of \( \alpha \)-glycosidase inhibitor can delay absorption of carbohydrate through competitive inhibition, thus subsequently inhibit the hydrolysis of disaccharides and the absorption of glucose (Vichayanrat et al. 2002). Research has shown the antidiabetes and antiobesity effects of \( \alpha \)-glycosidase inhibitor (Elbein, 1991). Moreover, acarbose, a recognized \( \alpha \)-glycosidase inhibitor, is clinically used as antidiabetes drug. The use of natural source \( \alpha \)-glycosidase inhibitor, including plant and microorganism, has attracted attention of scientists (Kumar et al. 2011).
The medical values of these natural sources should be well identified.

The accumulation of intracellular sorbitol through polyol pathway has been recognized as an important reason in the developing clinical complications of diabetes, the complication includes cataract and some neurological diseases (Kador 1988). In hyperglycemia condition, the excessive glucose will not only metabolize from glycolysis, but the polyol pathway in which glucose is converted to sorbitol under the catalysis of aldose reductase, and then subsequently convert to fructose by sorbitol dehydrogenase (Robert et al. 2007). Efforts have been made to find the aldose reductase inhibitor to prevent diabetic complications.

The potentially protective effects including antidiabetic, antcardiovascular diseases of the food containing phytochemicals have been identified (Senevirathne et al. 2006). The phenolic compounds can reduce the oxidative stress and inhibit the macromolecular oxidation, which are beneficial to the health (Pulido et al. 2000). Antioxidants, such as flavonoids, exhibited significant antidiabetic effect by inhibiting the activity of certain enzymes such as aldose reductase (Kato et al. 2009). Moreover, because of the significant roles of oxidation reactions in advanced glycated end products (AGE) formation, the antioxidant poses hypoglycemic effect through anti-AGE mechanism (Xi et al. 2010). The food with high antioxidant content often recommended to the diabetic patients.

In China, people advocate food therapy, and many foods are believed to have antidiabetic properties. But these folk remedies in treating diabetics have not been scientifically verified and are not even well understood. In this study, the crude extracts were obtained from fruits and vegetables with reports as folk remedies in antidiabetic efficacy. α-Glycosidase and aldose reductase inhibitory activities as well as the antioxidant activities of these selected samples were evaluated.

### Materials and Methods

#### Food materials

Fresh fruits and vegetables were purchased from a local market in Zhuhai. The species of the samples were listed in Table 1 with the information on both English common names and Latin scientific names. The edible parts of the samples were used for investigation.

#### Chemicals

Sodium carbonate, gallic acid, sodium nitrite, sodium hydroxide, vanillin, ethanol, methanol, disodium hydrogen phosphate, sodium dihydrogen phosphate, dipotassium phosphate, potassium dihydrogen phosphate, and Comassie Brilliant Blue (CBB) were purchased from Tianjin Damao Co. (Tianjin, China). Folin-Ciocalteu reagent, (+)-catechin, 2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), p-nitrophenyl-α-glucopyranoside, bovine serum albumin (BSA), and DL-glyceraldehyde were purchased from Shanghai Yuanye Co.

### Table 1. A list of selected food samples.

| Samples | Common name | Scientific name | Part used |
|---------|-------------|-----------------|-----------|
| Fruits  |             |                 |           |
| 1        | Apricot     | *Prunus armeniaca* | Pulp      |
| 2        | Lychee      | *Lychee chinensis* | Pulp      |
| 3        | Blueberry   | *Vaccinium cyanococcus* | Whole fruit |
| 4        | Plum        | *Prunus salicina* | Pulp      |
| 5        | Kiwi        | *Kiwi frut c.v. hayward* | Peeled pulp |
| 6        | Lemon pulp  | *Citrus limon* | Pulp      |
| 7        | Lemon peel  | *Citrus limon* | Peel      |
| 8        | Pear        | *Pyrus bretschneider* | Pulp      |
| 9        | Wolfberry   | *Lycium chinensis* | Whole fruit |
| 10       | Water melon | *Citrullus lanatusus* | Melon pulp |
| Vegetables |         |                 |           |
| 11       | Lettuce     | *Lactuca sativa* | Leaves    |
| 12       | Cucumber    | *Cucumis sativus* | Fruit     |
| 13       | Red onion   | *Allium cepa* | Peeled onion |
| 14       | Bitter gourd| *Momordica charantia* | Whole fruit |
| 15       | Eggplant    | *Solanum melongena* | Whole fruit |
| 16       | Celery      | *Apium graveolens* | Stem      |
| 17       | Kelp        | *Laminaria japonica* | Leaves    |
| 18       | Wax gourd   | *Benincusa pruniers* | Whole fruit |
| 19       | Garlic      | *Allium sativum* | Peeled garlic |
| 20       | Tomato      | *Solanum lycopersicum* | Whole fruit |
Sample extraction

The fresh sample (100 g) was crushed using a food masher and then extracted with 70% of ethanol (1:10 w/v) twice at room temperature for 24 h and 3 h, respectively. The extracts were collected and concentrated under a rotary evaporator at 55°C. After evaporation, freeze-dry was applied to remove moisture from extracts. The dry extracts were stored at −20°C until analysis. The measurements in this study were done in triplicate and the biological activities of food samples were determined at a concentration of 50, 25, 12.5, 5, 2.5, and 1 mg/mL.

α-Glycosidase inhibition assay

α-Glycosidase inhibitory activity of the samples was evaluated in 96-well plate based on the method described by Kwon et al. (2008) with slight modifications (Wu and Xu 2014). In 96-well assays, each well contained 150 μL reaction mixture of 50 μL of sample extract and 100 μL of phosphate buffer (0.1 mol/L, pH 6.9) contained 1 U/mL of α-glycosidase, and the reaction mixture was preincubated at 25°C for 10 min. After incubation, 50 μL of 5 mmol/L p-nitrophenyl-α-D-glycopyranoside solution in phosphate buffer (0.1 mol/L, pH 6.9) was added in each well and incubated at 37°C for 5 min. The absorbance before and after the incubation were determined spectrophotometrically at 405 nm using a microarray reader (Thermo Electron Co., Waltham, MA). The inhibitory activity of extracts from samples was compared with the control which contained 50 μL of phosphate buffer (0.1 mol/L, pH 6.9) instead of sample, and acarbose was used as a positive control. The percentage of inhibition was calculated as:

\[
% \text{ inhibition} = \frac{\Delta \text{Abs}_{\text{control}} - \Delta \text{Abs}_{\text{sample}}}{\Delta \text{Abs}_{\text{control}}} \times 100,
\]

where,

\( \Delta \text{Abs}_{\text{sample}} \) is the absorbance of sample extract.
\( \Delta \text{Abs}_{\text{control}} \) is the absorbance of the control.

Aldose reductase inhibition assay

Extraction of aldose reductase from porcine lenses

The methodology was based on Hayman and Kinoshita (Lim et al. 2001) with slight modification by Fujita et al. (2004). The crude porcine aldose reductase (AR) was prepared from the porcine lenses which were purchased from the local market in Zhuhai, China. Briefly, 10 lenses (6 g) were homogenized with 30 mL of 0.1 mol/L potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.09 at 5°C), and the mixture was then centrifuged at 10,000 g for 20 min under 4°C. After centrifugation, the supernatant was collected and stored at −20°C until use.

Determination of protein concentration of porcine AR

The amount of soluble protein in porcine AR was evaluated using Coomassie Brilliant Blue (CBB). Generally, 100 μL of porcine AR was mixed with 5 mL of CBB solution. After 2 min standing, the absorbance was measured using a microarray reader (Thermo Electron Co., Waltham, MA). The inhibitory activity of extracts from samples was compared with the control which contained 50 μL of phosphate buffer (0.1 mol/L, pH 6.9) instead of sample, and acarbose was used as a positive control. The percentage of inhibition was calculated as:

\[
% \text{ inhibition} = \frac{\Delta \text{Abs}_{\text{control}} - \Delta \text{Abs}_{\text{sample}}}{\Delta \text{Abs}_{\text{control}}} \times 100,
\]

where,

\( \Delta \text{Abs}_{\text{sample}} \) is the absorbance of sample extract.
\( \Delta \text{Abs}_{\text{control}} \) is the absorbance of the control.
measured using visible spectrophotometer (772s, Precision and Scientific Instrument Co., Shanghai, China) under 595 nm. Standard curve was made by BSA solution with concentration of 200 μg/mL, 400 μg/mL, 600 μg/mL, 800 μg/mL, and 1000 μg/mL. The concentration of soluble protein in porcine AR was calculated based on the standard curve ($r^2 = 0.997$) and was expressed as μg/mL.

**Determination of porcine AR activity and the AR inhibitory activity of sample extracts**

AR activity and AR inhibitory activity were determined based on method of Lim et al. (2001) with some modifications. Briefly, 1 mL of the assay mixture contained 700 μL of phosphate buffer (0.1 mol/L, pH 6.2), 100 μL of 100 mmol/L DL-glyceraldehyde, 100 μL of NADPH (1.5 mmol/L), and 100 μL porcine AR enzyme solution or 100 μL of test sample. The absorbance of assay mixture was measured against control (contained all the components except for the enzyme) after 10 min incubation at 37°C under 340 nm, using UV–visible spectrophotometer (TU-1901, Puxi Co. Beijing, China). The activity of porcine AR and AR inhibitory activity of test sample were calculated by following the equations:

**Figure 3.** Dose-dependent aldose reductase inhibitory activity of lemon peel (A), bitter gourd (B), eggplant (C), and cucumber (D).

**Figure 4.** Dose-dependent aldose reductase inhibitory activity of fruits and vegetables extracts. Figures in the graph were IC_{50} (mg/mL) ($P < 0.05$).
\[ \text{AR}_{\text{activity}} = \frac{\text{Abs}_{\text{AR}} - \text{Abs}_{\text{control}}}{\text{Abs}_{\text{control}}} \times V_{\text{AR}} \] (expressed in U/mL),

\[ \% \text{ inhibition} = \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{\text{Abs}_{\text{sample}} - \text{Abs}_{\text{control}}}{\text{Abs}_{\text{control}}} \right) \right] \times 100, \]

where,

- \( \text{Abs}_{\text{AR}} \) is the absorbance of AR solution,
- \( \text{Abs}_{\text{control}} \) is the absorbance of the negative control,
- \( V_{\text{AR}} \) is the volume of AR solution (mL).

**Determination of total phenolic content**

This method basically followed the method of Singleton and Rossi (1965) with slight modification by Xu and Chang (2007). The result was presented as gallic acid equivalents (mg gallic acid equivalents/g sample extract) with the calibration curve of gallic acid which had a linearity range from 10 to 1000 \( \mu \text{g/mL} \) \( (r^2 = 0.999) \).

**Determination of total flavonoids content**

The method followed a previous study (Heimler et al. 2005). The result was presented as catechin equivalents (mg of catechin equivalents/g sample extract) with the calibration curve of catechin which had a linearity range from 10 to 1000 \( \mu \text{g/mL} \) \( (r^2 = 0.997) \).

**Determination of DPPH free radical scavenging activity**

The methodology was based on the previous study by Chen and Ho (1995) with slight modification. Briefly, 200 \( \mu \text{L} \) of sample extract and 3.8 mL of DPPH (0.1 mmol/L) in ethanol were mixed in a test tube. The mixture was vortexed for 1 min, and then put in dark for 30 min. After that, the absorbance was measured at 517 nm with a visible spectrophotometer (772s, Precision and Scientific Instrument Co., Shanghai, China). A negative control which added 200 \( \mu \text{L} \) of ethanol solution instead of sample extract was taken. The DPPH scavenging rate was calculated according to the equation below and the IC\textsubscript{50} (half maximal inhibitory concentration) can be also calculated:

\[ \text{DPPH free radical scavenging rate (\%)} = \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{\text{Abs}_{\text{sample}}}{\text{Abs}_{\text{control}}} \right) \right] \times 100, \]

where,

- \( \text{Abs}_{\text{sample}} \) is the absorbance of sample extract or standard solution,
- \( \text{Abs}_{\text{control}} \) is the absorbance of the negative control.

**Statistical analysis**

Results were expressed in mean ± standard deviation (SD) of triplicate assay. The statistical analyses of data were performed using the Microsoft Office Excel (2010) and SigmaPlot (12.0). Significant differences among data were analyzed by SPSS Statistic 20 and significance was accepted at level of \( P < 0.05 \). Pearson’s correlation test was conducted to determine the linear correlations among variables.

**Results**

**\( \alpha \)-Glycosidase inhibitory effects of fruits and vegetables**

The ethanol extract of 20 samples were dissolved into buffer and subjected to \( \alpha \)-glycosidase inhibitory assay. The result might relate to the potential antidiabetic activity. The inhibitory activities of sample extracts were shown in Table 2 in terms of IC\textsubscript{50} values, and the higher IC\textsubscript{50} indicated stronger effect of inhibition. Acarbose was used as positive control with IC\textsubscript{50} value at 3.09 ± 0.14 (Figure 1). As a result, except for samples kelp and garlic, all the sample extracts had positive inhibitory activity when the concentration was <50 mg/mL. But the IC\textsubscript{50} could not be obtained for apricot and eggplant because their inhibitory activities were not dose-dependent. Moreover, for samples like watermelon, celery, and wax gourd, their IC\textsubscript{50} values were too large (more than 500 mg/mL) to be calculated. Furthermore, lychee (Lychee chinensis) exhibited the strongest \( \alpha \)-glycosidase inhibitory activity with IC\textsubscript{50} value at 10.4 mg/mL which was the smallest one among all samples. Besides, blueberry and plum also showed strong inhibitory effect as the IC\textsubscript{50} were 13.0 and 10.9 mg/mL, respectively (Figure 2). At each concentration, lemon pulp showed a higher inhibitory effect and had a lower IC\textsubscript{50} (18.5 mg/mL) than lemon peel (IC\textsubscript{50} = 31.1 mg/mL). The least effective one against \( \alpha \)-glycosidase owned to bitter gourd with an IC\textsubscript{50} value at 336.7 mg/mL.

**Aldose reductase inhibitory effects of fruits and vegetables**

The protein content of porcine aldose reductase was 3.42 mg BSA/mL and the enzyme activity was 0.95
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U/mL. Sample extracts were prepared by dissolving in 5% of DMSO and subjected to AR inhibitory assay. IC_{50} of each sample was calculated, which also indicated the effectiveness of inhibitory activity (Figures 3-4). AR inhibitory activity potentially showed antidiabetic activity which had been explained in the introduction part. The result showed that lemon peel had the highest AR inhibitory activity (IC_{50} = 3.63 mg/mL), followed by eggplant with an IC_{50} value at 8.06 mg/mL which was not significantly (P < 0.05) different from that of bitter gourd (IC_{50} = 8.55 mg/mL) (Figure 3). Wax gourd had the weakest inhibitory activity (IC_{50} = 36.4 mg/mL) among the selected samples with positive inhibitory effects. Tomato and pear also demonstrated weak inhibitory activity with IC_{50} values at 29.1 mg/mL and 28.5 mg/mL. Garlic had no inhibitory activity as its inhibition rate (−45.7%) was negative at concentration of 50 mg/mL. Lychee, watermelon, and red onion showed dose-dependency inhibition against AR, but the inhibitory activities were low because their IC_{50} was higher than 500 mg/mL, which failed to be calculated. The inhibitory activities of kelp against AR were positive at concentration of 2.5 mg/mL or higher, and were negative when concentration was 1 mg/mL, but its inhibitory activity was not dose-dependent which marked as N in Table 2.

Antioxidant activities of fruits and vegetables

Total phenolic content and total flavonoids content of samples

Total phenolic content results (Table 3) were expressed as mg GAE/g sample extract, and lemon peel had the highest TPC with a value at 34.2 ± 0.16 mg GAE/g sample extract. Next came wolfberry (26.6 ± 0.14 mg GAE/g sample extract). Kelp had the lowest TPC (1.14 ± 0.04 mg GAE/g sample extract). As for TFC (Table 3), it was presented in mg CE/g sample extract. Plum had the largest TFC (15.2 ± 0.04 mg CE/g sample extract) and apricot was the second one with TFC value at 14.7 ± 0.60 mg CE/g sample extract. The total flavonoids contents for sample pear, watermelon, kelp, wax gourd and garlic were less than 1 mg CE/g sample extract. Among them, garlic had the lowest TFC (0.25 ± 0.05 mg CE/g sample extract).

DPPH free radical scavenging activity of samples

The DPPH scavenging capacities of the sample extracts were presented with IC_{50} value (mg/mL) in Table 3, and
Table 3. Total phenolic content, total flavonoid, and DPPH free radical scavenging activities of sample extracts.

| Sample name      | Total phenolic content (mg GAE/g sample extract) | Total flavonoid content (mg CE/g sample extract) | DPPH free radical scavenging activity (IC_{50} mg/mL) |
|------------------|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| Apricot          | 16.7 ± 0.09^d                                    | 14.7 ± 0.60^b                                    | 4.7 ± 0.05^f                                      |
| Lychee           | 3.22 ± 0.08^a                                    | 1.36 ± 0.08^m                                    | 29.5 ± 0.10^d                                    |
| Blueberry        | 11.6 ± 0.07^n                                    | 5.02 ± 0.08^b                                    | 6.24 ± 0.1^l                                      |
| Plum             | 19.9 ± 0.17^n                                    | 15.2 ± 0.04^a                                    | 5.15 ± 0.03^l                                    |
| Kiwi             | 13.6 ± 0.08^l                                    | 2.10 ± 0.07^c                                    | 7.15 ± 0.01^h                                    |
| Lemon pulp       | 13.0 ± 0.10^g                                    | 4.03 ± 0.06^h                                    | 15.2 ± 0.05^f                                    |
| Lemon peel       | 34.2 ± 0.16^a                                    | 11.19 ± 0.04^c                                   | 8.54 ± 0.07^h,g                                 |
| Pear             | 2.80 ± 0.03^p                                    | 0.99 ± 0.03^e                                    | NA                                                |
| Wolfberry        | 26.6 ± 0.14^b                                    | 6.14 ± 0.02^a                                    | 8.80 ± 0.08^g                                    |
| Watermelon       | 2.12 ± 0.12^n                                    | 0.26 ± 0.05^a                                    | NA                                                |
| Lettuce          | 7.94 ± 0.07^l                                    | 1.72 ± 0.05^l                                    | NA                                                |
| Cucumber         | 5.60 ± 0.04^l                                    | 2.80 ± 0.04^a                                    | NA                                                |
| Red onion        | 6.45 ± 0.04^k                                    | 0.70 ± 0.02^c                                    | 86.6 ± 0.64^b                                    |
| Bitter gourd     | 15.8 ± 0.07^n                                    | 8.59 ± 0.08^d                                    | 8.53 ± 0.38^d                                    |
| Eggplant         | 9.00 ± 0.06^c                                    | 4.38 ± 0.06^a                                    | 15.4 ± 0.09^l                                    |
| Celery           | 4.17 ± 0.03^n                                    | 2.28 ± 0.04^f                                    | 103.1 ± 0.68^a                                   |
| Kelp             | 1.14 ± 0.04^k                                    | 0.49 ± 0.04^p                                    | 43.7 ± 0.89^g                                    |
| Wax gourd        | 3.35 ± 0.02^o                                    | 0.26 ± 0.05^c                                    | 43.9 ± 1.18^c                                    |
| Garlic           | 2.67 ± 0.04^p                                    | 0.25 ± 0.05^c                                    | NA                                                |
| Tomato           | 4.94 ± 0.07^m                                    | 1.03 ± 0.04^c                                    | 25.9 ± 0.5^o                                     |

Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation (n = 3). The DPPH scavenging capacity was indicated by IC_{50} (mg/mL). NA means not available to obtain. The values marked by the same letters within same column are not significantly different (P < 0.05).

Discussion

α-Glycosidase inhibitory activities of selected fruits and vegetables

This study proved that some of the ethanol extract from selected samples possessed α-glycosidase inhibitory activities (Table 2). According to Bischoff (1995), the enzyme α-glycosidase is responsible for the absorption of digested glucose from polysaccharide in small intestine. Thus, the inhibitory activity of α-glycosidase would prevent the uptake of glucose which subsequently restrains the increase in blood sugar. The current results showed that nine fruits of 10 selected fruit samples, five vegetables of 10 selected vegetable samples presented dose-dependent α-glycosidase inhibitory activities. By comparing the α-glycosidase inhibitory activities of selected samples in terms of their IC_{50} value (mg/mL), we found that lychee exhibited the strongest inhibitory activity with an IC_{50} of 10.4 ± 0.53 mg/mL. Lychee is one of the most popular exotic fruits in China. Rare studies reported the antidiabetic properties of lychee pulp, but Ren et al. (2011) stated that two flavanone compounds isolated from lychee seeds exhibited strong α-glycosidase inhibitory activity, and another research reported that lychee tea could regulate blood glucose level in rats (Edel et al. 2006). Thus, the results from this study identified the potential antidiabetic function of lychee pulp. Besides, blueberry and plum also possessed inhibition against α-glycosidase, with IC_{50} of 13.0 mg/mL and 10.9 mg/mL, respectively. Plum was the only sample that had a positive inhibitory activity even at low concentration of 1 mg/mL (the inhibition% was 26.9 ± 0.04%). Plum was the second effective one against α-glycosidase when comparing the IC_{50} of the selected samples. According to Table 4, plum contained the highest amount of total flavonoids (15.2 mg CE/g). The result supported the finding of Kim et al. (2003) who proved that plums were good source of dietary...
flavonoids, which can also be regarded as the \( \alpha \)-glycosidase inhibitors (Gao and Kawabata 2005). As for the blueberry, its inhibitory effectiveness against \( \alpha \)-glycosidase has been reported in some studies (Wang et al. 2012). Some other fruits samples like kiwi (\( IC_{50} = 42.7 \) mg/mL), lemon pulp (\( IC_{50} = 18.5 \) mg/mL), lemon peel (\( IC_{50} = 31.1 \) mg/mL), pear (\( IC_{50} = 42.2 \) mg/mL), and wolfberry (\( IC_{50} = 26.1 \) mg/mL), also presented positive \( \alpha \)-glycosidase inhibitory activity. For the vegetable samples, the potential antidiabetic activity (\( IC_{50} \) value) in terms of the inhibition against \( \alpha \)-glycosidase of them ranked as follow: Red onion (22.0 mg/mL) > tomato (36.9 mg/mL) > lettuce (51.4 mg/mL) > cucumber (114.6 mg/mL) > bitter gourd (336.7 mg/mL). By comparing with the positive control (acarbose) used in the study which had an \( IC_{50} \) value at 3.09 ± 0.14 mg/mL, the \( IC_{50} \) values of all the selected samples were higher than acarbose. Thus, directly eating the fruits or vegetables instead using drugs is not recommended, but the active constituents in the function samples can be extract out for further study.

### Aldose reductase inhibitory activities of selected fruits and vegetables

The result from aldose reductase inhibition test can be used to determine the potential antidiabetic effects of selected food samples, as aldose reductase’s participation of polyol pathway assist the formation and accumulation of sorbitol which was considered as the cause of some diabetic complications (Kador 1988). In this study, the aldose reductase inhibitory effects of the ethanol extracts from selected samples showed a different picture of the effectiveness.

Differing from the \( \alpha \)-glycosidase inhibitory test, most selected samples exhibited a dose-dependent inhibitory activity against aldose reductase and their 50% inhibitory concentration ranged from 3.63 mg/mL to 36.4 mg/mL. Among these samples, the lemon peel demonstrated the highest aldose reductase inhibitory effect, with the lowest \( IC_{50} \) of 3.63 mg/mL. Lemon peel was more effective than lemon pulp (\( IC_{50} = 11.3 \) mg/mL) in the inhibition against aldose reductase. On the contrary, the pulp had a stronger \( \alpha \)-glycosidase inhibitory activity than peel. Rare studies investigated the aldose reductase inhibitory activity of lemon peel and pulp. The findings in this study showed that lemon peel had more TPC (34.2 mg GAE/g), TFC (11.2 mg CE/g) and better DPPH scavenging activity (\( IC_{50} = 8.54 \) mg/mL) than pulp. It can be used as a clue for future study. Eggplant and bitter gourd also demonstrated strong aldose reductase inhibitory activity. Besides there was no significant difference \((P < 0.05)\) between their 50% inhibitory concentration value which were 8.06 mg/mL and 8.55 mg/mL, respectively. Eggplant did not present a positive inhibitory effect against \( \alpha \)-glycosidase but it was the second strongest aldose reductase inhibitor. Bitter gourd in this study did not perform well in \( \alpha \)-glycosidase inhibitory assay, but its aldose reductase inhibitory activity, as well as the total flavonoids content was ranked at the fourth among 20 selected samples. Vijayalakshmi et al. (2009) suggested that bitter gourd had potential oral hypoglycemic effect and could be used as the functional food because of its antidiabetic effect. The possible mechanisms of bitter gourd which related to the antidiabetic effects had been identified including the stimulation of peripheral and skeletal muscle glucose utilization (Akhtar et al. 2011) and inhibition of glucose
uptake (Uebanso et al. 2007). Based on current results, aldose reductase inhibitory activity of bitter gourd would be another possible mechanism. The hypoglycemic effects of the selected samples need further research to support.

Although the IC_{50} values are higher than other reported compounds, it is reasonable that the samples used in the study are crude extracts from foods. One of objectives of this study is to identify active samples which have potential to be further studied. Samples with relative lower IC_{50} values may contain active compounds with low IC_{50} value, the samples with relative lower IC_{50} values deserve to be further studied.

Antioxidant activities of selected fruits and vegetables

The antioxidant activity and phenolic contents of the selected samples, including total phenolic content, total flavonoids content, and DPPH free radical scavenging activity were tested. According to Madhu and Devi (2000), antioxidant can lower the oxidative stress in diabetes. In this study, lemon peel was found to contain the highest total phenolic content (34.2 ± 0.16 mg GAE/g) and the third highest total flavonoids content (11.19 ± 0.04 mg CE/g). Comparing with the lemon peel, lemon pulp contained a lower TPC and TFC and less effective DPPH free radical scavenging activity. There was little information regarding antioxidant activities of lemon peel and pulp, as well as their antidiabetic effects currently. Wolfberry with the second highest total phenolic content (26.6 ± 0.14 mg GAE/g) also exhibited remarkable aldose reductase inhibitory activity (IC_{50} = 10.2 ± 0.07 mg/mL). The hypoglycemic activity of wolfberry had not been identified yet.

Correlation analysis

Based on the result shown in Table 4, DPPH free radical scavenging activity in dose-dependent manner was found in 15 selected samples of 20. The DPPH scavenging activity of selected samples in terms of 50% inhibitory concentration ranged from 4.97 ± 0.05 mg/mL to 103.1 ± 0.68 mg/mL, whereas apricot was identified as the most effective one and celery was found as the least effective one (the highest IC_{50}). Apricot contained the second highest TFC in this study. In this study, apricot also demonstrated good AR inhibitory activity. Apricot was reported rich in antioxidants such as phenolics including chlorogenic and neochlorogenic acids, (+)-catechin, (-)-epicatechin, and rutin (Radi et al. 1997).

Total phenolic content and total flavonoids content exhibited positive correlation, with the correlation coefficient (r²) equaled to 0.638 (P < 0.05). Moreover, both TPC and TFC showed a negative correlation with the IC_{50} value of DPPH free radical scavenging activities, but TFC carried a better correlation (r² = 0.379, P < 0.05) with DPPH than that of TPC with DPPH (r² = 0.354, P < 0.05). Furthermore, the correlation analyses indicated that there were positive correlations between total flavonoids content and α-glycosidase inhibitory activity (r² = 0.163, P < 0.05, Table 4). Previous studies found that the flavonoids (Gao and Kawabata 2005), anthocyanins (Matsui et al. 2001), and tannin (Huang et al. 2012) content contributed to α-glycosidase inhibitory activity which can support the result of this study.

There was no correlation between α-glycosidase inhibitory activity and aldose reductase inhibitory activity. Many selected samples such as most vegetables did not demonstrate an inhibitory activity against α-glycosidase, but were found to have a dose-dependent inhibitory activity against aldose reductase. In the correlation analyses, we found that, there were positive correlations between total flavonoids content (TFC) and aldose reductase inhibitory activity (r² = 0.556, P < 0.05, Table 4). The same result was found between TPC and aldose reductase inhibitory activity with r² at 0.435 (P < 0.05). The previous study proved that flavonoids like quercetin, reyneutrin, quercitrin, isoquercitrin, and avicularin had strong inhibitory activity against aldose reductase (Lim et al. 2001).

In general, lemon peel exhibited the greatest antidiabetic activity based on its aldose reductase inhibitory activity, as well as its highest total phenolic content and a remarkable DPPH free radical scavenging activity among the samples. Other samples like apricot, lychee, blueberry, and plum also demonstrated significant potential antidiabetic activity in terms of the effectiveness against α-glycosidase and aldose reductase. On the contrary, watermelon and garlic showed negative inhibitory activity in both α-glycosidase and aldose reductase inhibition assay. In addition, fruits were more effective in the inhibition against α-glycosidase than vegetables, whereas two vegetables samples: eggplant and bitter gourd exhibited good aldose reductase inhibitory activity.

Conclusions

In conclusion, this study has analyzed the potential antidiabetic effects of the selected foods such as apricot, which exhibited significant aldose reductase inhibitory activity and DPPH free radical scavenging activity. Interesting finding was that lemon peel, the nonedible part, was found more effective against aldose reductase and contained higher antioxidants than lemon pulp. Samples like watermelon, which failed to inhibit the activity of α-glycosidase and aldose reductase also presented
the low antioxidant profile. This study also identified the relationship between antioxidant activity and antidiabetic effect. Based on the result obtained in this study, the fruits and vegetables extracts with antidiabetic potential would be further purified and studied to demonstrate significant effect in the treatment of diabetes mellitus.
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