Dynamics of rice production among the food crops of Tanzania

Rice is an important crop in Tanzania which contributes significantly to the farmers, consumers, and the government. Recognising this importance, the government has made initiatives to attain rice self-sufficiency. These initiatives are crucial in contributing to regional self-sufficiency, enabling rice market leadership, and injecting productivity through significant improvements in the quality, quantity, and value of rice produced in Tanzania. We investigated the dynamics of rice area, production, and productivity and identified shifts in the land-use patterns in Tanzania. To analyse secondary data collected over a 33-year period from 1986/1987 to 2018/2019, we used compound annual growth rates, Cuddy-Della Valle Index and a first-order Markov chain approach. We found that the growth in the areas under rice cultivation, production and productivity were inconsistent as evidenced by the presence of instabilities. Rice remains the third most stable crop in the country in terms of area under production retention; however, this might decline in the next 2 years. Policies in future must enable strategies to increase productivity as well as promote high-yielding varieties, efficient input usage, and irrigation infrastructure development.

Significance:
- We investigated the spatial and temporal trends in rice area, production, and productivity as well as identified shifts in the crop land-use patterns after a series of government interventions. To achieve sustainability, it is essential to revisit agricultural crop growth strategies regularly at macro- and micro-levels.

Introduction

Agriculture is an important economic sector in Tanzania, contributing about 30% to the country's total GDP of USD47.43 billion. It is the primary source of food and jobs (65.5%) in the country, besides supplying raw materials for industries (65%) and contributing to the foreign exchange earnings (30%). Agricultural production is a critical component of Tanzania’s Poverty Reduction Strategy, as it contributes to the country’s long-term economic development and food security. Nevertheless, it is affected by several factors, including crop management, climate, socio-economic factors, and the policies of the government. Production variability also results from variations in region, yield, and/or the interaction of area and yield. As a result, with time, it is crucial to understand the nature and patterns of the area, production, and productivity to identify policy interventions that can help close the yield gap and improve sustainability.

While the agriculture sector of Tanzania is growing at 5.2%, the crop sector grows at 5.8%. Cereals, pulses, roots and tubers, fruits and vegetables, and spices are among the major crops grown. Cereals account for 52% of the total dietary energy supply, with maize and rice accounting for 59% and 21%, respectively. In Africa, rice consumption has been growing at a faster rate than any other staple food, at around 5.5% per year, due to urbanisation and related changes in eating habits, as well as population growth. In Tanzania, it is the second most common cereal after maize, and it contributes to the country’s food and household nutrition security. It is grown in 64 districts, affecting the livelihoods of over two million people. Like maize, it is a strategic crop for Tanzanian government agricultural investments, as it contributes to the value of agricultural production, national food security and export revenues. Tanzania has developed a range of agricultural development initiatives over the last 30 years, including the National Agriculture Policy, which serves as a guideline for the overall development of the agriculture sector, with a focus on crop production optimisation for food security and economic development. Programmes, initiatives, policies, strategies, and projects carry out the policy implementation. In the medium and long term, the Agricultural Sector Development Strategy of 2003–2013 provided an encouraging and cooperative environment for improving agricultural productivity and profitability as the foundation for improved farm incomes and rural poverty reduction. Meanwhile, the Agricultural Sector Development Programme I of 2005–2015 served as the agriculture sector’s overall mechanism for overseeing the sector’s structural, expenditure, and investment development. The 2015–2025 Agricultural Sector Development Strategy II set a target of contributing to Tanzania’s national economic growth and poverty reduction (Vision 2025/TPMP) by promoting inclusive and sustainable agricultural growth, reducing rural poverty by 2025/2026, and improving food and nutrition security (by reducing the per cent of rural households below the food poverty line by 2025/2026). Furthermore, the Agricultural Sector Development Programme II of 2017–2027 is a tool for strategy execution, to enhance smallholder farmers’ productivity of target commodities within sustainable production systems and to forge sustainable market linkages for competitive surplus commercialisation and value chain development.

Rice is the world’s most commonly grown cereal grain, and it is a staple food for more than 60% of the world’s population. China is the world’s largest rice producer, accounting for nearly 30% of global output; other major producers include India, Indonesia, Bangladesh, Vietnam, Thailand, Burma, Philippines, and Brazil. Between 1961 and 2010, global rice production more than tripled, with an annual compound growth rate of 2.24% (2.21% in rice-
producing Asia). Much of the rise in rice production is attributed to higher yields, which rose at an annual average rate of 1.74%, compared with an annual average growth rate of 0.49% for the area harvested. Paddy yields rose at an annual average rate of 51.1 kg/ha per year in absolute terms. The rice industry is contributing significantly to farmers, consumers, and the government of Tanzania. Several government-led initiatives were implemented for the development of the sector. For example, the implementation of the 10-year first phase of the National Rice Development Strategy of 2009 aimed to double rice production by 2018, and the National Rice Development Strategy II of 2019 was launched to support the government’s rice development efforts over the next 12 years. The goal is to maintain rice self-sufficiency, contribute to regional self-sufficiency, enable rice market leadership, and inject productivity through significant improvements in the quality, quantity, and value of rice produced in Tanzania.

Compound annual growth rate (CAGR) has become a common tool for analysing the growth in crop production. For example, CAGR was used to measure the change and growth rate in the area, production, and yield of wheat in Ethiopia from 1991/1992 to 2012/2013. In addition, an examination of the growth and trend in plantain area, production quantity, and yield in Nigeria between 1961 and 2017, as well as an analysis of the contributions of yield and area to the production of cassava in Nigeria, used CAGR. Findings suggest that improved productivity is important, because expanding area under a specific crop might not be feasible without reducing the area share of other crops. Thus, it is crucial to deploy and implement suitable technologies. When CAGR is paired with instability indices, it is possible to obtain a deeper understanding of the degree of continuity in crop growth and to classify the winning variables if growth comes first then a decrease in volatility.

Assessing improvements in cropping patterns in various regions is also important for obtaining a deeper understanding of the agricultural growth and development process. A first-order Markov chain analysis provides a base for study into the complexities of cropping trends and patterns by examining the area retention (stability of the area under various crops) and crop shifting from one set to another. Despite many studies on the area, production, and productivity for major food crops undertaken for Tanzania in the past, there have been very few nationwide studies on rice in recent years. Mkonda and He used the Mann–Kendall test to assess the development pattern of major food crops (five crops) and their efficacy on food security in Tanzania from 1990 to 2015. During the study period, they discovered that production had a positive trend while yield had a negative trend. The supply response, price and non-price factors determining output, and how receptive farmers were to these factors were examined through a panel survey in 2008/2009. Some core determinants of production were established and demonstrated that farmers are price responsive. In this study, we investigated the dynamics of rice crop area, production, and productivity in Tanzania over a 33-year period (1986/1987–2018/2019) in three phases, and we identified shifts in the land-use patterns of rice in relation to other major food crops (maize, sorghum, millets, wheat, pulses and others) as indicators of sustainability.

Methodology

To examine trends in rice area, production and productivity for a 33-year period (1986/1987 to 2018/2019), we used secondary data from the Ministry of Agriculture of Tanzania, the National Bureau of Statistics (Tanzania), and FAOSTAT. The study period was divided into three sub-periods (1986/1987–1998/1999, 1999/2000–2008/2009, and 2009/2010–2018/2019), totalling 33 years (1986/1987–2018/2019). The sub-period wise analysis was done to assess the effect of the rice policy intervention (National Rice Development Strategy I) implemented between 2009 and 2018. This period was sufficient to gain insight into the production performance and establish plans for potential initiatives. The following methods were used for the analysis.

Trend growth

A variable’s growth reflects how well it has performed in the past. The growth analysis determines the trend of a given variable over time. The exponential growth function in the form of a CAGR was used to estimate the growth in the area, production, and productivity of the rice (paddy) crop in Tanzania as it was applied by Bezabeh et al., Adeoye et al., Ikemunor et al., Ayalew et al. CAGRs were calculated by exponentially regressing the time series data on area, production and productivity of rice against time using the following formula:

$$\text{Y}_t = \text{ab}^{(t-1)}$$ Equation 1

where $Y_t$ is the dependent variable for which growth rate was estimated (area, production and productivity); a is the intercept; $b = (1+r)$; r is the annual growth rate; $t$ is the year (time period) which takes values 1, 2, 3, …; n; and $u$ is the error term for the year $t$.

The equation was transformed into log-linear form and estimated using the ordinary least squares method. The CAGR (per cent) was then calculated from the relationship CAGR (%) = $(\text{antilog of ln b}-1)*100$. The estimation was done for all three phases (periods) – 1986/1987–1998/1999, 1999/2000–2008/2009, 2009/2010–2018/2019 – and the overall period of study, 1986/1987–2018/2019.

Instability

The Cuddy-Della Valle Index, which is a measure of variability in time series data, was used to identify instabilities in rice area, production and productivity. It is an improvement over the simple coefficient of variation, which is prone to overestimation. For a series with a time trend, the Cuddy-Della Valle Indexes for three periods (1986/1987–1998/1999, 1999/2000–2008/2009, 2009/2010–2018/2019) and for the overall period of study (1986/1987–2018/2019) were calculated as follows:

$$\text{Cuddy-Della} \text{ Valle Index} (%) = CV \times \sqrt{(1-R^2)}$$ Equation 2

where CV is the coefficient of variation in per cent and $R^2$ is the adjusted coefficient of determination from a time trend regression.

Markov chain analysis

Secondary data for the major food crops were obtained from the Ministry of Agriculture (Department of Food Security), United Republic of Tanzania, to investigate the dynamics of the shift in cropping patterns for the 10-year period (2009/2010–2018/2019). Analysis using these data aided in understanding the performance of the rice crop in relation to other food crops. The major food crops investigated were maize, sorghum, millets, rice, wheat, pulses and others (cassava, banana, Irish potatoes and sweet potatoes). External non-stationary factors such as rainfall, temperature, and agricultural input and output prices influence farmer crop selections. The majority of the rice produced in the country is under rainfed conditions. There is a stiff competition with other food crops in terms of area under production because all the selected food crops grow during the long rainy season (agricultural season). As a result, all the major food crops compete for land and inputs based on these factors’ variations. We used a first-order Markov chain approach to evaluate the direction of shift in cropping pattern using LPSOLVE IDE software and Microsoft Excel.

The transitional probability matrix $P^*$, whose diagonal elements represent retention probability and off-diagonal elements represent switching-over probability, was examined using a first-order Markov chain analysis. The diagonal elements of the analysis show how much land a crop had maintained from previous years. The higher the value in the diagonal element (which tends to 1), the more stable the crop is, whereas lower values (which tend to 0) suggest instability. The crop’s column elements represent the area gained from other crops, while the crop’s row elements indicate the area lost to other crops. Thus, it is crucial, because expanding area under a specific crop might not be feasible without reducing the area share of other crops. Therefore, it is important, because expanding area under a specific crop might not be feasible without reducing the area share of other crops. Thus, it is crucial to deploy and implement suitable technologies. When CAGR is paired with instability indices, it is possible to obtain a deeper understanding of the degree of continuity in crop growth and to classify the winning variables if growth comes first then a decrease in volatility.

Assessing improvements in cropping patterns in various regions is also important for obtaining a deeper understanding of the agricultural growth and development process. A first-order Markov chain analysis provides a base for study into the complexities of cropping trends and patterns by examining the area retention (stability of the area under various crops) and crop shifting from one set to another. Despite many studies on the area, production, and productivity for major food crops undertaken for Tanzania in the past, there have been very few nationwide studies on rice in recent years. Mkonda and He used the Mann–Kendall test to assess the development pattern of major food crops (five crops) and their efficacy on food security in Tanzania from 1990 to 2015. During the study period, they discovered that production had a positive trend while yield had a negative trend. The supply response, price and non-price factors determining output, and how receptive farmers were to these factors were examined through a panel survey in 2008/2009. Some core determinants of production were established and demonstrated that farmers are price responsive. In this study, we investigated the dynamics of rice crop area, production, and productivity in Tanzania over a 33-year period (1986/1987–2018/2019) in three phases, and we identified shifts in the land-use patterns of rice in relation to other major food crops (maize, sorghum, millets, wheat, pulses and others) as indicators of sustainability.

Methodology

To examine trends in rice area, production and productivity for a 33-year period (1986/1987 to 2018/2019), we used secondary data from the Ministry of Agriculture of Tanzania, the National Bureau of Statistics (Tanzania), and FAOSTAT. The study period was divided into three sub-periods (1986/1987–1998/1999, 1999/2000–2008/2009, and 2009/2010–2018/2019), totalling 33 years (1986/1987–2018/2019). The sub-period wise analysis was done to assess the effect of the rice policy intervention (National Rice Development Strategy I) implemented between 2009 and 2018. This period was sufficient to gain insight into the production performance and establish plans for potential initiatives. The following methods were used for the analysis.

Trend growth

A variable’s growth reflects how well it has performed in the past. The growth analysis determines the trend of a given variable over time.
where $E_i$ is the area of the crop shifted towards the particular $j$th crop in the year $t$; $E_{i-1}$ is the area lost by the $j$th crop during the year $t-1$; $P_i$ is the probability that the area lost will shift from $j$th crop to the $j$th crop; $E_t$ is the error term which is statistically independent of the $E_{i-1}$; and $n$ is the number of crops.

The transitional probabilities $p_{ij}$, which can take a $(c \times r)$ matrix form, have the following properties:

1. $0 < P_{ij} < 1$
2. $\Sigma P_{ij} = 1$, for all $j$

The following formulae were used to project the areas for the seven crops for the next 2 years (2019/2020–2020/2021) based on the results of the Markov chain analysis:

$$B_i = B_{i} x T$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{Equation 4}

$$B_{(t+i)} = B_{(t+i)} x T$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{Equation 5}

where $B_i$ is the area under the crop in the base year; $B_{(t+i)}$ is the area under the crop in the next year (prediction); and $T$ is the transitional probability matrix.

### Results and discussion

Tanzania has a long tradition of rice production, and its rice agroecosystems are divided into three categories: irrigated lowland, rainfed lowland, and rainfed upland, with the rainfed lowland areas producing the majority of the rice.\(^{4,5}\) The potential area for irrigation is 29.4 million hectares, but the current paddy area under irrigation is 475,052 ha, which increased from a figure of 299,245 ha in the year 2007/2008.\(^{3,11}\) Domestically, fertilizer availability has also improved, from 302,000 tonnes in 2009/2010 to 418,955 tonnes in 2018/2019.

Farmers in the country cultivate hundreds of local rice varieties, as well as a few improved varieties such as SUPA, NERICA, TXD 88, TXD 306 (SARO 5).\(^{4,5}\)

Rice imports are projected to decrease because of the Tanzanian government’s ban on rice imports in 2018 and a rise in domestic production to the point that the country can maintain rice self-sufficiency. Long-grain milled rice is currently imported from Pakistan, Thailand, and India. According to the Ministry of Agriculture, rice imports have fluctuated in recent years, from 8 tonnes in 2013, 9069 tonnes in 2014, 28,888 tonnes in 2015, 959,043 tonnes in 2016, to 942,550 tonnes in 2017.

Tanzania has realised a rise in rice production and area under cultivation over the 33-year study period (1986/1987 to 2018/2019). Figure 1 shows that the highest level of production (2,229,071 tonnes) was in 2015/2016 and the lowest was in 1991/1992 (256,000 tonnes), with the lowest area under production (200,090 ha) in 1991/1992 and the highest in 2009/2010 (1,141,065 ha).

Rice productivity ranged considerably, with 1 tonne per hectare being the lowest and 2 tonnes per hectare being the highest. This productivity is far below that of the top ten producing countries in the world, with the top three producers, China, Japan, and Brazil, producing yields of 7.1, 6.8, and 6.1 tonnes per ha, respectively, as shown in Figure 2.

Mbeya, Morogoro, Mwanza, Tabora, Shinyanga, and Rukwa regions are the country’s major rice producers and are thus the ‘big six’ of rice production. In 2018/2019, the six regions produced 62% of the country’s total rice production (their individual contributions are reflected in Figure 3), accounting for 61% of the 1,052,547 ha under cultivation. Rice productivity was found to be higher than the national average (1.8 tonnes/ha) in two of the big six regions (Mbeya and Morogoro). Within the same year, Mbeya and Morogoro produced 3.0 tonnes/ha and 2.5 tonnes/ha, respectively. If sufficient efforts, including technological advancements, are maintained in the big six regions, it is possible to surpass the national average in productivity.

### Compound annual growth rate

To understand the growth patterns of the area, production, and productivity of paddies, the CAGR was calculated for each variable in the three separate periods as well as overall for the 33-year period, as shown in Table 1. Over a 33-year period, the area under production grew at a significant rate of 7.48% in the first period and 8.44% in the second, and overall, the area under production grew at a rate of 6.22% annually. The annual growth of the area under production has shown a swing periodically for the past 33 years, increasing from 7.48% to 8.44%, and then growing insignificantly in the third period.

The CAGRs of production were found to be 4.97%, 6.41%, and 4.8% in the first, second, and third periods, respectively, indicating that the rate of production growth has recently slowed. Over the entire study period, production grew significantly at a rate of 8.1% per year. The productivity growth was significant for the first and third periods with CAGRs of 2.33% and 4.73%, respectively. The productivity grew positively and significantly at 4.73%, implying that Tanzania has only experienced significant productivity growth in the last 10 years (2009/2010–2018/2019). Over the entire study period (1986/1987–2018/2019), there was a substantial CAGR of 6.22% for the area and 5.81% for production, as also observed for trends of rice area and production in Andhra Pradesh of India, suggesting the potential to target initiatives aimed at increasing productivity growth.

### Instabilities of rice production

The Cuddy-Della Valle Index for the area, production, and productivity instability was calculated and the results are shown in Table 2 to better understand the consistency of growth performance. Throughout the study period, area instability decreased from 29.73%, 19.14% and 9.7% in the first, second, and third periods, respectively. The first two stages showed medium instability, while the third period showed low instability. This signifies that the variations of area under rice production decreased over time. The area overall had a medium instability index of 20.28% over the 33-year period (1986/1987–2018/2019).

Production instability has decreased significantly over time – 25.27%, 18.55% and 17.07% for the first, second, and third periods, respectively – but has remained at a medium level of instability. Production gained stability in the third period in comparison to the first and second periods, which indicates a decrease in production variations. Production instability was moderate over the entire 33-year period, at 29.61%. Instability in production intensifies price swings, making disadvantaged farmers more vulnerable to market forces. Productivity instability was low in the first period (11.34%) and third period (12.39%), whereas it was moderate in the second period (23.22%). In general, a moderate instability was observed for productivity over the entire study period considered, at 20.69. Productivity instability was slightly higher than area instability, indicating that productivity variation was a major source of variation in rice production in Tanzania, as also found by Mkonda and He\(^{11}\) for the period 1980–2015.

### Dynamics of the shift in cropping patterns of major food crops

Table 3 shows the transition probability matrix for major crops during the study period that indicates the proportion changes of area (ha) under production from year to year. The wheat crop was found to be the most stable in terms of area retention for the period 2009/2010–2018/2019 by retaining 56% of its previous area (ha), followed by other food crops (cassava, bananas, Irish potatoes and sweet potatoes) which retained 49%. The rice crop was the third most stable crop with 39.2%, followed by maize with 39.1%. Millets were the only crop group that did not retain production from year to year. The wheat crop was found to be the most unstable in terms of area retention for the period 2009/2010–2018/2019 by losing 15% and 45.5% to pulses and other crops, respectively. The stability of the area under production for rice is still low, which is the result of having multiple food crops in the country which encourage farmers to switch to different crops to attain better outputs.

Rice displayed properties of a dynamic crop in terms of area under cultivation as the findings show that it gained 17% area from maize and lost 15% and 45.5% to pulses and other crops, respectively. The stability of the area under production for rice is still low, which is the result of having multiple food crops in the country which encourage farmers to switch to different crops to attain better outputs.
Figure 1: Production of rice in Tanzania.

Data source: Tanzanian Ministry of Agriculture

Figure 2: Comparison of yield/productivity (tonnes/ha) of major rice-producing countries and Tanzania for the year 2019.

Data source: FAOSTAT

Figure 3: Region-wise share (%) of rice production in Tanzania for 2018/2019.

Data source: Tanzanian Ministry of Agriculture
The area projections of the seven major food crops for the two periods based on the transitional probability matrix is shown in Table 4. The projected area under rice shows a decreasing trend, which is the same as for the others group of crops. The area under rice production is projected to decrease from 1 052 550 ha of the base in the period 2018/2019 to 963 220 ha for 2019/2020 and 933 890 ha for the period 2020/2021. Rice being a dynamic crop for area retention, the decrease is highly associated with cropping diversification, i.e. farmers have many choices for switching food crops. As the future likelihood depends on the current state, the decline in rice area under production could be a result of variations in non-stationary factors of production and other associated factors including land tenure management, rainfall (due to the risk posed by climate change), shortage of inputs, pests, diseases, access to agriculture extension, access to agricultural credits and marketing problems. In addition, the massive migration of labour from agriculture has an impact on labour-intensive crops like rice.

27, 28 Maize, sorghum, millets, wheat, and pulses are projected to grow slightly in area. Implementation of interventions aimed at enhancing productivity are important to increase rice production.

**Conclusion**

Rice in Tanzania experienced a growth in the area under production at a significant rate of 7.48% in the first period and 8.44% in the second period, while in general, the area under production grew at a rate of 6.22% annually over the 33-year period. The CAGRs of production were 4.97%, 6.41% and 4.8% in the first, second and third periods, respectively.

---

**Table 1:** Compound annual growth rate (CAGR) for rice production in Tanzania

| Period | Area | Production | Productivity |
|--------|------|------------|--------------|
|        | Coefficients | CAGR (%) | Coefficients | CAGR (%) | Coefficients | CAGR (%) |
| 1986/1987–1998/1999 | 0.0721* (0.2300) | 7.48 | 0.0485** (0.2471) | 4.97 | -0.0236** (0.1230) | -2.33 |
| 1999/2000–2008/2009 | 0.081* (0.0237) | 8.44 | 0.0621*** (0.0278) | 6.41 | -0.0177 (0.0283) | -1.75 |
| 2009/2010–2018/2019 | -0.0002 (0.0104) | -0.02 | 0.0468** (0.0193) | 4.8 | 0.0462* (0.0141) | 4.73 |
| 1986/1987–2018/2019 | 0.0584* (0.2119) | 6.22 | 0.0565* (0.2522) | 5.81 | -0.0019 (0.2175) | -0.13 |

Data source: Tanzanian Ministry of Agriculture

* *, **, ***Indicate 1%, 5%, 10% levels of significance, respectively, and figures in parentheses are standard errors.

**Table 2:** Instability index (Cuddy-Della Valle Index) of rice production in Tanzania

| Period | Area | Production | Productivity |
|--------|------|------------|--------------|
|        | Coefficients | CAGR (%) | Coefficients | CAGR (%) | Coefficients | CAGR (%) |
| 1986/1987–1998/1999 | 29.73 | 19.14 | 9.7 | 20.28 |
| 1999/2000–2008/2009 | 25.27 | 18.55 | 17.07 | 29.61 |
| 2009/2010–2018/2019 | 11.34 | 25.22 | 12.39 | 20.69 |

Data source: Tanzanian Ministry of Agriculture

**Table 3:** Transitional probability matrix for the shift in cropping pattern of major food crops of Tanzania during 2009/2010–2018/2019

| Maize | Sorghum | Millets | Rice | Wheat | Pulses | Others | Sum |
|-------|---------|---------|------|-------|--------|--------|-----|
| Maize | 0.3905 | 0.1682 | 0.0562 | 0.1607 | 0.0013 | 0.1331 | 0.0900 | 1 |
| Sorghum | 0.5340 | 0.0212 | 0.0837 | 0.0000 | 0.0185 | 0.3331 | 0.0096 | 1 |
| Millets | 0.0000 | 0.2006 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.7994 | 0.0000 | 1 |
| Rice | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.3917 | 0.0000 | 0.1538 | 0.4545 | 1 |
| Wheat | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.4402 | 0.0000 | 0.5598 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1 |
| Pulses | 0.9750 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0250 | 0.0000 | 1 |
| Others | 0.1608 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0102 | 0.3354 | 0.4935 | 1 |

Data source: Tanzanian Ministry of Agriculture

**Table 4:** Projected area of major food crops of Tanzania (000’ ha)

| Period | Maize | Sorghum | Millets | Rice | Wheat | Pulses | Others |
|--------|-------|---------|---------|------|-------|--------|--------|
| Base | 3428.63 | 646.87 | 269.97 | 1052.55 | 42.18 | 1507.10 | 1929.70 |
| 2019/2020 | 3463.87 | 644.65 | 265.36 | 963.22 | 59.96 | 1518.60 | 1961.33 |
| 2020/2021 | 3492.75 | 649.61 | 274.98 | 933.89 | 70.24 | 1519.71 | 1935.81 |

Data source: Tanzanian Ministry of Agriculture
Over the 33-year period, production grew at a rate of 5.81% per annum. The CAGR of productivity was significant at -2.33% in the first period and 4.73% in the third period. Despite the observed growth in area under production, production and productivity, the growth is inconsistent, as indicated by the presence of instabilities.

Throughout the study period, area instability decreased from 29.73, 19.4, and 9.7 in the first, second, and third periods, respectively. Production instability varied over time, from 15.27, 18.55, and 17.07 for the first, second, and third periods, respectively. Productivity instability was low in the first period (11.34) and third period (12.39), whereas it was medium in the second period (25.22). In general, instabilities for the area, production and productivity of rice were 19.06, 27.13 and 21.11, respectively, in a 33-year duration. Productivity instability was slightly higher than area instability, indicating that productivity variation was a major source of variation in rice production in Tanzania.

Rice is the third most stable crop in the country in terms of area under production retention over the previous 10 years. It retained 39.2% from its previous year’s area and lost 15.38% to pulses and 45.45% to other food crops, while it gained 16% from maize. The area under rice production is projected to decrease from 1,073,486 ha in the period 2019/2020 to 1,049,037 ha for the period 2020/2021 and 1,034,204 ha for the period 2021/2022, as a result of variations in non-stationary factors of productions like rainfall (drought), shortage of inputs, pests, diseases and marketing problems. Overall, Tanzanian rice production has been growing over the entire period of analysis, which is mostly a result of the expansion in the area under production. Because of the productivity increase over the last 10 years, the future looks bright. The productivity growth is a result of various initiatives, including the National Rice Development Strategy, that were put in place by the government and other stakeholders.

With the rice area under production projected to fall, the Tanzanian authorities must reconsider their policy measures to align with the growth in rice consumption. The programmes, policies and initiatives must focus on increasing productivity and reducing post-harvest losses so that the available quantity for consumption will increase. The development and promotion of the use of high-yielding varieties, optimum input use, and development of rice irrigation infrastructures might increase the productivity of rice and sustainable food self-sufficiency.
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