Advances in nanomedicine for the treatment of ankylosing spondylitis
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Abstract: Ankylosing spondylitis (AS) is a complex disease characterized by inflammation and ankylosis primarily at the cartilage–bone interface. The disease is more common in young males and risk factors include both genetic and environmental. While the pathogenesis of AS is not completely understood, it is thought to be an immune-mediated disease involving inflammatory cellular infiltrates, and human leukocyte antigen-B27. Currently, there is no specific diagnostic technique available for this disease; therefore conventional diagnostic approaches such as clinical symptoms, laboratory tests and imaging techniques are used. There are various review papers that have been published on conventional treatment approaches, and in this review work, we focus on the more promising nanomedicine-based treatment modalities to move this field forward.
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Introduction

Ankylosing spondylitis (AS) is a type of chronic arthritis characterized by inflammation of bone, the cartilage–bone interface as well as the entheses. 1 Axial skeletons are mainly affected with time via chronic inflammation developed in the spine. Likewise, sacroiliac joints are also affected. Ultimately extra bone is formed in the spine that causes fusion of vertebrae. 2 The disease’s most prominent onset starts from the age of 20–30 and is most prominent in males; 3 men and women are affected approximately at a ratio of 3:1. 4 In about 80% of patients, the first symptom develops at the age of 30 while <5% of patients are affected at the age of >45. 5

Although the etiology of AS is unknown, it is thought to arise from a combination of genetic 6,7 and environmental 8,9 factors. More than 90% of AS patients are believed to be affected by the specific human leukocyte antigen (HLA), mediated by an autologous peptide B27 molecule known as HLA-B27. 10 In general, very few (about 2%) are afflicted, unless there is a family history of AS, in which case, the rate of incidence is higher. 11 Similarly, another genetic factor ERAP1 (endoplasmic reticulum aminopeptidase 1) has been shown to play an active role in the pathogenesis of AS. ERAP1 is considered to participate in the peptide trimming required for presentation by the major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class 1, which further stabilizes and precipitates HLA-B27. 7 Another causative factor is the environment and this factor may link with infection and mechanical stress in cervical bones and ligaments.

Several research groups have suggested that the bacteria Klebsiella pneumoniae may be a triggering factor for the onset of AS. 8 The bacteria reside mainly in the colon, and...
develop immune responses without overt gastrointestinal (GI) infections. There have been four studies exclusively completed in which researchers have directly correlated *Klebsiella* in the feces of AS patients.\textsuperscript{12–15} The results from these studies demonstrated an increase in gut permeability as well as gut inflammation in AS patients,\textsuperscript{16,17} which clearly show the role of *Klebsiella* AS.

Mechanical stress is another environmental factor which causes entheses damage to the ligaments. This repeated damage and repair from stress activated downstream regulates inflammation and causes bone erosion leading to spur formation.\textsuperscript{18} Clinical symptoms of this disease encompass back pain, enthesitis, asymmetrical peripheral oligoarthritis as well as chronic inflammatory bowel disease (IBD).\textsuperscript{19,20} AS is diagnosed based on clinical features, a pathological test, and imaging techniques such as X-ray and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI).

Management of this chronic disease includes combinational approaches of clinical treatment and physiotherapy, besides these, some anti-tumor necrosis factor (TNF) agents are also used.\textsuperscript{21} Despite advancements in the diagnosis and management techniques of this disease, improvements are required because these medical drugs have a high level of deleterious or even lethal side effects\textsuperscript{22} due to a lack of specificity and are expensive.\textsuperscript{23}

Various literature reviews have been published based on AS pathogenesis, clinical symptoms, diagnosis, and conventional treatment, but until now, no information has been published concerning nanotechnology-based treatment. Therefore, for the first time, this review is compiled to provide brief information about the pathogenesis and diagnosis as well as provides detailed information about the possibility of nanotechnology-based treatments.

**Pathogenesis**

There are mainly two factors (i.e., genetic and environmental) involved in the pathogenesis of AS as described below.

**Genetic factors**

It has been reported that genetic factors play a major role (about 90%) in the precipitation of AS.\textsuperscript{24} There have been extensive studies completed to support gene associated AS. For example, a protein called HLA-B27 belongs to the class-I surface antigens present on the interface of “MHC” antigenic peptides of T-cells and is strongly involved in the pathogenesis of AS.\textsuperscript{25} The exact role of HLA-B27 in the pathogenesis of AS is still under intense study but it is predicted that HLA-B27 binds with peptides present on MHC and is recognized by CD8\textsuperscript{+} T-cells which further influence the development of AS.\textsuperscript{26} There have been various hypotheses presented by scientists regarding the pathogenesis of AS with one very prominent hypothesis being the precipitation of unconventional forms of HLA-B27 as free heavy chains (FHCs).\textsuperscript{27} The endoplasmic reticulum (ER) is the main reservoir for FHCs which triggers the unfolded protein response (UPR) and further increases the production of various cellular infiltrates, especially IL-23, which plays a major role in AS pathogenesis.\textsuperscript{28}

Another hypothesis postulated toward the pathogenesis of AS is the regulation of the endoplasm reticulum-associated protein degradation (ERAD) process to activate ER stress and UPR. Downregulation of ER degradation enhances α-mannosidase-like protein 1 (EDEM1) and the ERAD-related molecule, leading to increases in the number of HLA-B27 dimers which results in the pathogenesis of AS.\textsuperscript{29} Interestingly, HLA-B27 FHC dimers, located on the surface of antigen-presenting cells get stimulated by non-classical HLA-B27 molecules, positive to the IL-23 receptor which carries the killer cell immunoglobulin-like receptor (KIR) 3DL2 to produce IL-17.\textsuperscript{30} Activated KIR3DL2-expressing CD4\textsuperscript{+}T cells interact with HLA-B27 dimers to promote the expression of a T helper 17 (T\textsubscript{H}17)-cell-specific transcription factor ROR\textgamma and anti-apoptotic factor B-cell lymphoma 2 (BCL-2).\textsuperscript{31} Hence, activation of KIR downregulates apoptosis of activated T\textsubscript{H}17 cells in AS.

There are various genes in the M1 family of zinc metallopeptidases which are considered to participate in the aggravation of AS,\textsuperscript{32} and these genes participate in the trimming of peptide length required by HLA molecules for protein synthesis.\textsuperscript{33,34} These genes reduce peptide cleavage time and lead to increases in the availability of antigenic peptides which are denatured by the aminopeptidase (AP) enzyme which further affect HLA antigen function in the regulation of HLA-B27 FHC and T\textsubscript{H}17 cell activation mediated by KIRs.\textsuperscript{35} The direct role of the M1 family of genes is not clear, but it was noted that these genes reduce the stability of HLA-B27.\textsuperscript{36}

IL-17 has played a significant role in the pathogenesis of AS, as high levels of this cytokine have been extracted in the serum, synovial fluid, and joints in AS patients.\textsuperscript{37,38} For example, Gracey et al found increases in IL-17 levels in male patients with AS. Gracey et al conducted a sex-based genetic expression study in 94 AS patients (Table 1).
to correlate the potential cytokine IL-17 and found male patients express IL-17 more than female patients (Figure 1).³⁹

Innate immunity is considered to be a primary self-protection system of the body which provides a shield for any foreign intruders in our body. This innate immunity system encompasses various kinds of cells, such as macrophages, dendritic cells (DCs), natural killer cells, and mast cells, which can recognize invaded antigens.⁴⁰ Although it was mentioned above that the HLA-B27 gene is the major genetic component involved in the propagation of this disease from one generation to another, from the above trial, it can be noticed that there are also some other non-HLA-B27 factors which can aggravate the disease condition.⁴¹ The innate immune system is governed by various effector proteins called cytokines such as IL-1, TNF-α, IL-23 and others. Among these cytokines, IL-1 is composed of nine clusters which stay together in sequential arrangement. IL-α and IL-β are two potent inflammatory cytokines belonging to IL-1, which have been well studied. IL-1β can be actively secreted from all nucleated cells especially macrophages which can activate other immune cells to cause inflammation.⁴² During animal experiments, it was found that IL-1α and IL-1β accelerate bone-resorbing osteoclasts. It was also seen that IL-1α involved in joint bone destruction was followed by the activation of other enzymes.⁴³ From this finding, it is clear that the AS patient synovium contains an increased amount of IL-1 and its role in pathogenesis comes from polymorphic alleles present in cytokine genes which aggravate inflammation.⁴⁴

Another cytokine that belongs to the innate immunity is TNF, a pleiotropic pro-inflammatory cytokine produced by various types of cells, especially by macrophages and T cells.⁴⁵ There is various evidence that backs TNF participating in AS pathogenesis. Tissue biopsies of sacroiliac joints of patients with AS have shown increased numbers of TNF-expressing cells.

### Table 1 Demographic of patients with AS

| Characteristic                              | Male (n=53) | Female (n=41) |
|--------------------------------------------|-------------|---------------|
| Age (years)                                | 42±14       | 42±12         |
| HLA-B27 positive (%)                       | 85          | 80            |
| Age at onset of back pain (years)          | 22±9        | 23±11         |
| Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index VAS (10 mm VAS) | 4.1         | 4.3           |

**Abbreviations:** AS, ankylosing spondylitis; HLA, human leukocyte antigen.

---

**Figure 1** Expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines in the serum of patients with AS and HCs. The levels of IL-6 (A), IL-17A (B), TNF (C), and IFN-γ (D) in serum samples obtained from 73 patients with AS (39 male and 34 female) and 33 healthy controls (17 male and 16 female) were determined by an ELISA assay. Data are presented for the pooled cohorts and according to sex. Each symbol represents an individual subject; horizontal lines show the median (*P=0.05–0.01; **P=0.01–0.001, by a Mann–Whitney test with Benjamini-Hochberg correction). Reprinted with permission from John Wiley and Sons. Arthritis & Rheumatology, Sexual dimorphism in the Th17 signature of ankylosing spondylitis, Gracey E, Yao Y, Green B, et al, Copyright 2016.⁴⁷

**Abbreviations:** NS, not significant; AS, ankylosing spondylitis; HC, healthy control; TNF, tumor necrosis factor; IFN-γ, interferon-γ.
macrophages and degradation of sacroiliac joint tissue considered as the hallmark of AS. Moreover, mice over-expressing membrane-bound TNF have developed spinal AS-like abnormalities. Opposing the finding of increased levels of TNF-α in blood, some researchers have found elevated levels of TNF linked with the pathogenesis of AS. The gene responsible to encode TNF localized in the class III region of the MHC is present near the HLA-B locus. In the promoter region, several TNF regulating polymorphism mechanisms participate in the production of TNF-α which vary in different individuals. In all of these polymorphism mechanisms, in the promoter region of the TNF-α gene, mostly adenine and guanine are involved and associated with AS severity.

As explained above, IL-1 and TNF cytokines are directly responsible for the pathogenesis of AS, and it is also speculated that these cytokines are involved in the activation of APs in the ER to regulate ERAP genes. Beside HLA-B27, the ERAP1 gene is considered as a non-MHC gene responsible for the pathogenesis of AS.

Recently, various studies have shown an association of ERAP in AS. Besides the trimming of antigenic peptides for HLA-B27, ERAP fragment cytokine receptors present on the surface of the cell conducted in ERAP1 knock-out mice and in AS patients failed to support this role of ERAP. From this finding, it looks like ERAP participate in the functioning of HLA-B27 rather than in the trimming of cytokine receptors.

Some studies have proved that DCs in HLA-B27 transgenic rats have dysfunctional properties (they do not have class II MHC expression and viability resulting in the loss of a tolerogenic CD103+ population). DCs have the ability to enhance the development of TH17 by downregulating immune synapse formation and, hence, aggravate the disease. CD4+ T cells are released as naïve CD4+ cells into the periphery and divide into several effector T cells such as Th1, Th2, Treg, and Th17. Each of these effector T cells produces specific cytokines and master transcription factors (Figure 2). These cells develop immunity to protect from several infections caused by bacteria or fungus. The functions of TH17 are regulated by cytokines, such as IL-17A, IL-17F and IL-22, which further controls the combination of cytokines, such as IL-1β, IL-6, TGF-β and IL-23. Recently, it was found that the development of TH17 from naïve T cells is affected by several factors such as hypoxia, dietary and some other environmental factors. It was argued that due to the production of additional cytokines, the nature of TH17 has apparently become plastic and heterogenic, which make it highly pathogenic. Thus, IL-17, a cytokine, is often coupled with the production of IL-17, IL-22, IFN-γ and cytokine granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF).

Environmental factors
Besides genetic factors, some environmental factors have also been linked with the pathogenesis of AS. There are two main environmental factors (i.e., mechanical stress and bacterial infections) hypothesized to trigger the pathogenesis of AS. Mechanical stress mainly at the entheses plays a role in the development in SP by repetitive damage and repair of the entheses. This repetitive damage and repair of the entheses downregulates inflammation, bone erosion and spur formation. Microbial infection with Chlamydia trachomatis, Shigella and Salmonella are well documented in AS. These microorganisms can invade mucosal surfaces and replicate intracellularly. Salmonella and Chlamydia have been found in the synovial tissues.

Figure 2 Flow diagram of the production and transcription of cytokine expression of Th17 and other CD4+ T cell subsets. Abbreviations: IFN, interferon; TGF, transforming growth factor.
and fluids of AS patients. Nearly, 50% of patients with AS suffer from microscopic ileal inflammation and are immunologically similar to CD. Many studies, from early antibody and fecal carriage studies, advocate the role of gut *Klebsiella* in the pathogenesis of AS. More recent findings showing various bacterial compositions suggest a link between intestinal dysbiosis and AS, and suggest that upsetting the homeostasis between the gut microbiome and the host immune system can lead to AS. The pathophysiology of both factors is summarized in Figure 3.

**Diagnosis and clinical symptoms**

The diagnosis of AS is made by clinical, laboratory, or radiological findings. Based on clinical symptoms, patients are categorized according to the modified New York diagnosis criteria. However, there is no specific test available to identify AS, therefore, some precise and specific diagnostic markers are important to identify the disease.

The major clinical findings could be subtle in the early or middle stages of AS. The clinical test importantly includes measurements of forward lumbar flexion, lateral lumbar flexion, and expansion of the chest together with palpating and stressing the sacroiliac joints. Moreover, the peripheral joints should also be monitored for evidence of synovitis.

During laboratory testing, almost all patients having AS showed increased levels of C reactive protein (CRP) and erythrocyte sedimentation rates (ESR). However, determination of the levels of these acute phase reactants have limited value in determining the disease activity. Mild normochromic normocytic anemia may be presented in severe AS diseases. In fact, the synovial fluid of affected limbs is more similar to that of any joint inflammatory disease.

Physically, AS patients show a loss in spinal movement with a tightness in flexion, extension in the lumbar spine, and chest expansion. Due to the secondary muscle spasms, the motion is disproportionate to the degree of ankylosis.

The spinal radiographical changes show marginal vertebral erosion, squaring of vertebral bodies, and the formation of bony bridges or syndesmophytes in the interface of the adjacent vertebrae. Ossification of spinal ligaments

---

**Figure 3** Schematic diagram of the proposed role of genetic and environmental factors in the pathogenesis of AS. As shown in the figure, auto-reactive CD8\(^+\) T cells may recognize arthritogenic peptides displayed by HLA-B27 on the anti-gene presenting cell surface and cause misfolding of HLA-B27 which leads to stress in the ER and consequently causes overproduction of IL-23. On the other hand, abnormal cell-surface expression of HLA-B27 leads to interactions with the innate immune receptor KIR3DL2 (killer immunoglobulin-like receptor) on CD4\(^+\) T cells and promotes type 17 immune responses. Environmental factors (like microbes present in gut) activate HLA-B27, which cause intestinal dysbiosis, resulting in overexpression of the IL-17A. IL-23 axis with the activation of Th17 and other innate immune cells. This leads to the production of IL-17A, IL-22, TNF-\(\alpha\), TNF-\(\gamma\) and other cytokines which directly affect organs.

**Abbreviations:** ER, endoplasmic reticulum; AS, ankylosing spondylitis; HLA, human leukocyte antigen; TNF, tumor necrosis factor.
could occur, and spinal osteopenia is a common symptom. In severe chronic diseases, almost complete fusion of the vertebral column may occur. Two-dimensional radiographic techniques like CT-scans and X-rays are used for imaging in the primary onset of the disease but further MRI can be used to image for a more confirmative result.

The initial clinical symptom generally starts with weak backbone pain. The pain is usually felt deep in the buttocks and lower lumber regions followed by morning stiffness in the same area, which improves with movement and resumes with rest. The pain becomes persistent and bilateral within a few months and is usually worse at night. In some patients, the primary onset starts with bone tenderness followed by back pain and stiffness. Asymmetric arthritis of other joints, predominantly of the lower limbs, can be present at any stage of the disease. Neck pain and stiffness are seen in advanced degrees of the disease.

Recent AS treatment strategies
AS is a HLA-B27 linked inflammatory disease. Previously, about 0.5% of the population was affected with AS with a predicted male to female ratio of about 2:1. AS is typically treated with the use of anti-inflammatory, immunosuppressive drugs. However, since 2000, a considerable advancement took place in order to treat AS by developing novel therapeutic approaches including biological therapies as well as efficient clinical trial design and execution. In this section, we focus on recent developments to treat AS.

NSAIDs therapy
After the observation that AS is mainly associated with the prostaglandin E receptor 4 (PTGER4) gene and prostaglandin inhibitory effects, both traditional and selective COX-2 inhibitor NSAIDs proved equally effective, and COX-2 inhibitor NSAIDs are considered as a first-line treatment option for AS. Previous reports demonstrated that COX showed two phenotypes, namely, COX-1 and COX-2, where COX-1 is responsible for prostaglandin secretion and maintenance of gastric mucosal integrity while, on the other hand, COX-2 offered inflammatory stimuli. A recent clinical study of 246 axial disease patients included an administered placebo-control, celecoxib 100 mg b.i.d. (COX-2 inhibitor) and ketoprofen 100 mg b.i.d. (non-selective anti-inflammatory drug) for a 6-week period. The results indicated significant pain reduction in the treatment as compared to the placebo-control. However, the level of poor GI tolerability was equivalent in both treatment groups. In another study of 3410 AS patients, Etoricoxib was found to be more effective in pain management than other NSAIDs. Furthermore, in another study, a preferential COX-2 inhibitor meloxicam showed a similar pain reducing result in AS patients. Administration of NSAIDs is debatable and, in most cases, the continuous administration of anti-inflammatory drugs showed promising results in term of disease progression (less new bone formation) as compared to patients who took drugs only when they experienced pain. However, controversy lies regarding NSAIDs administration. The continuous administration of NSAIDs decreased the radiographic progression as compared to the on-demand therapy. While in another report, Sieper et al reported that in comparison with an on-demand treatment, the continuous administration of diclofenac for about 2 years did not reduce radiographic progression, but treatment with NSAIDs induced various cardiovascular, GI or kidney associated complications. A meta-data of nearly 300,000 patients with NSAID treatment for different clinical conditions confirmed high vascular risk of both NSAIDs and COX, as compared to a naproxen treatment. Yet, a patient with inflammatory diseases (other than joints), such as inflammatory GI disorders, could be treated by administering selective COX-2 inhibitors with no potential risk of complications.

Similarly, a Canadian study population based on a retrospective study demonstrated decreased cardiovascular risk in AS patients under NSAID treatment. Moreover, in order to reduce the risk of complications, it is advisable to use a combination of two different NSAIDs for 4 weeks or use the first NSAIDs for the first week and continue with the second NSAID for the remaining 3 weeks or use the first for the first 2 weeks and the second for the remaining 2 weeks to complete the therapy. Thus, selection, dosing and administration of potent NSAIDs is critical and may vary between patient-to-patient, so as its therapeutic outcome and NSAIDs treatment are justified purely on patient symptoms. Recently, Fattahi et al reported a randomized placebo-control trial of a new NSAID (BD-mannuronic acid). It was indicated that a 12-week ASAS response was similar to that of naproxen without renal side effects and good GI tolerability was observed.

Analgesics therapy
Sometimes NSAIDs do not successfully control AS associated pain. In this case, analgesic drugs (such as acetaminophen and opioid drugs) could be administered as an add-on.
therapy with NSAIDs. A combination of Tramadol/acetaminophen was found effective and safe as an add-on therapy with selective COX-2 NSAIDs for osteoarthritis, chronic low back pain, or fibromyalgia. In this respect, in order to determine the safety and efficacy of analgesic drugs, a 12-week randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study of 60 AS patients were administered aceclofenac plus tablets (tramadol 37.5 mg/acetaminophen 325 mg combination). The patients were divided equally into two groups: 1) a treatment group which administered one aceclofenac plus tablet twice a day and 2) a control group which received placebo aceclofenac plus for 12 weeks. It was observed that at week 12, assessment of an AS (ASAS20, end-point) response showed a 53.3% improvement compared to the treatment group. However, a slight increase in total adverse effect was observed including dizziness, vertigo, and nausea or vomiting in the treatment group. These results confirmed that the aceclofenac plus (tramadol 37.5 mg/acetaminophen 325 mg combination tablet) could have some added effects to NSAIDs in the treatment of AS patients. However, in another scenario, when no other treatment option was available, then analgesic therapy (either as a single or in combination therapy) could be a potent treatment choice.

**Hormonal and disease-modifying anti-rheumatic (DMARDs), antitumor and antibiotic therapy**

Hormonal therapy (such as oral or injectable corticosteroids (cortisone)) is an effective anti-inflammatory agent and could be employed for active AS together with other inflammatory disorders. Sometimes a local intra-articular steroid injection into the sacroiliac and peripheral joint can offer relief. However, the long-term use of corticosteroids might induce severe adverse effects including cataracts, osteoporosis, easy bruising, diabetes, thinning of the skin and destruction of the hips. On the other hand, a local glucocorticoid injection could be administered for skeletal muscle inflammation, such as enthesitis, but the systematic injection of steroids is not recommended and also has not proved effective for AS.

Disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (DMARDs), including leflunomide and methotrexate (MTX), due to their low efficacy are not generally administered to treat AS. Interestingly, MTX, also known as amethopterin, is also a chemotherapeutic and immunosuppressant drug widely used to treat various types of cancers, such as breast cancer, lung cancer, leukemia, lymphoma, and osteosarcoma. It has also been employed to treat autoimmune diseases including rheumatoid arthritis (RA), psoriasis and Crohn’s disease. In this respect, one study showed that MTX at a high dose (20 mg s.c.) did not show any improvement in AS patients with axial symptoms. However, some evidence suggests that sulfasalazine could be beneficial in peripheral arthritis and early morning stiffness. Therefore, it is speculated that DMARDs might benefit AS patients with peripheral arthritis but not of axial disease. Furthermore, in a study of 207 AS patients, it was also demonstrated that a high dose of thalidomide could induce peripheral neuropathy within a year after AS treatment. However, a 6-month open-label trial including 13 subjects with different subtypes of active AS with psoriasis showed that all of the subjects were resistant to conventional non-biological therapies, such as NSAIDs, MTX and sulfasalazine. The thalidomide proved to be a promising treatment for active AS patients, who were resistant to conventional therapies. Recently, a meta-analysis reported that a low dose of oral MTX (15 mg) could be more effective than a placebo, when taken for 6 months. However, the effects of a higher dose of MTX have not been measured or reported in a randomized placebo-controlled trial. Furthermore, another 1-year open-trial study also showed similar results where thalidomide was administered in AS resistant patients at a dosage of 200 mg/day. Thus, it could be concluded that DMARDs (especially thalidomide) are potent drugs to treat resistant AS.

In many countries, it has been reported that enterobacteria (K. pneumoniae) can induce AS. Therefore, numerous antibacterial drugs have been investigated for AS treatment. Fluoroquinolones are synthetic, broad-spectrum antimicrobial agents used for treating various infections. Furthermore, they also showed some immunomodulatory effects. In this respect, fluoroquinolone moxifloxacin (MXF) is active against both Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria. Long-term open label-trials were performed, administering MXF in patients with AS to observe its therapeutic efficacy. The patients treated with MXF showed significant and sustained improvement after 12 weeks. Recently, another study demonstrated the efficacy of co-amoxiclav in two AS patients. Co-amoxiclav was administered orally for 7 days to both AS patients. Similarly, the results indicated significant improvements in all AS and physical examination parameters. Thus,
antibacterial therapy could have the potential to improve AS along with other inflammatory diseases.\(^{102,103}\)

**Tumor necrosis factor (TNF-\(\alpha\)) inhibitor therapy**

TNF-\(\alpha\) is also known as a pro-inflammatory cytokine, produced by monocytes, macrophages and T cells. It is mainly responsible for the activation of lymphocytes, release of IL-1 and IL-6 cytokines, metalloproteases and prostaglandins. Recent data also suggested a role for TNF-\(\alpha\) in the pathophysiology of AS and TNF-\(\alpha\) mRNA and was also found to be upregulated in the sacroiliac joints of AS patients.\(^{104}\) Furthermore, TNF-\(\alpha\) levels along with other inflammatory cytokines were found to be high in AS patient as compared to mechanical back pain patients.\(^{105}\) Therefore, if a patient continues to suffer high AS disease symptoms and the above treatments are not effective; then, biological treatments which inhibit TNF inhibitors can be an option (such as adalimumab, etanercept, certolizumab pegol, infliximab and golimumab). The anti-TNF therapy not only effectively treats AS but it also decreases inflammation and improves spinal mobility. Furthermore, all anti-TNF biologics including Remicade, Simponi, Enbrel and Humira markedly treat AS and have shown sustained effects that last for years after treatment.

However, a major drawback of anti-TNF therapy is that if the disease returns after discontinuation of treatment within a year, one needs to resume the therapy which gathers attention and calls for a rational anti-TNF therapy. In this respect, infliximab has demonstrated safety and efficacy for about 5 years in an open-label study in severe to moderate AS patients and has demonstrated a sustained BASDAI treatment score of 2.5 after 5 years of treatment and about 34% of AS patients were in clinical remission.\(^{106}\) In other placebo-controlled studies, results showed a treatment efficiency of Infliximab for 2 years and 1 year of adalimumab.\(^{107,108}\) In another report, MRI scans showed a reduction in spinal inflammation after anti-TNF therapy.\(^{66}\) It was estimated that up to 60% of AS patients showed a better response to these biological agents and patients reported a decreased pain score, improved physical activity and well tolerated-ability to the disease.\(^{89}\) In addition to spinal inflammation, pain and stiffness, there was also a significant improvement in peripheral arthritis and enthesitis. MRI scans showed notable suppression of juxta-articular bony inflammation.\(^{109-111}\) Although in a small continuous study, less radiographic progression was observed after 2 and 4 years as compared to conventional AS therapy.\(^{1,110}\)

Other clinical indicators (such as ESR and CRP levels) were higher in more active AS conditions. Importantly, both the ESR and CRP levels declined with anti-TNF therapy.\(^{46,112}\) Generally, Infliximab is administered via intravenous infusion every 6–8 weeks. In addition to the positive effects on peripheral and axial joint symptoms, there are also additional beneficial effects on IBD, psoriasis and uveitis,\(^{113,114}\) while Etanercept is administered weekly or biweekly by subcutaneous injection, which showed promising results in AS. Etanercept was also effective in treating psoriasis, but less effective for the symptoms of IBD and uveitis. On the other hand, adalimumab was also injected subcutaneously every other week. Like Infliximab, it was also effective in psoriasis and IBD.\(^{115}\) however, at this moment, insufficient reports are available regarding uveitis.

Currently, two anti-TNF-\(\alpha\) drugs for the treatment of RA exist: 1) infliximab (mAb cA2), a neutralizing monoclonal antibody\(^{116}\) and 2) etanercept, consisting of 2 molecules of the p75 TNF-\(\alpha\) receptor.\(^{117}\) Both have been tested for AS in 6 open and 3 placebo-controlled trials. In these studies, patients received 3 infusions of infliximab (5 mg/kg at weeks 0, 2 and 6 but in a Canadian study it was only administered at 3 mg/kg), followed by a maintenance dose after every 14 weeks in a 1-year follow-up study, and etanercept was subcutaneously administered twice-weekly (25 mg) for 4 or 6 months.\(^{118}\) All of these studies demonstrated a dramatic improvement in all clinical and laboratory variables of AS patients after anti-TNF application and these beneficial effects were observed a few days after the first infliximab infusion or etanercept injection. Interestingly, the PLANETAS study observed a comparable result in AS patients in terms of ASAS20 and ASAS4057.

As an extension, a study also demonstrated that switching from Infliximab to its biosimilar did not negatively affect safety and efficacy.\(^{119}\) These results were further confirmed in a PLANETRA study, carried out in patients with administered RA59.\(^{120}\) Various studies also suggested that the short-term AS disease and younger patients are more likely to respond to anti-TNF therapy.\(^{121}\) Talking about the dark side of the TNF blocker therapy, early and reliable diagnosis of AS is an important issue. In various large-pooled studies, the main adverse effects were very low and minor (including moderate infection such as reactivation of tuberculosis was more common),\(^{1}\) along with manageable injection site reactions; although patients with these malignancies may not be suitable.
candidates for anti-TNF therapy due to a lack of outcome information with these agents. The other notable factor which restricts this therapy is its high cost. Table 2 summarizes these novel biological approaches with their current status to treat AS.

**IL inhibitors and monoclonal antibody therapy**

IL-17 is involved in the pathogenesis of AS and patients with AS experience a high-level of IL-17. As a humanized monoclonal antibody, secukinumab, suppresses IL-17 and thus is a promising approach to treat AS. In addition, antigen-presenting cells secrete IL-23, which stimulate the Th-17 cells and are responsible for the production of IL-17. Recently, two placebo-controlled double-blind Phase III clinical trials MEASURE-1 and MEASURE-2 were performed. In both trials, a total of 590 AS patients received either s.c. secukinumab (150, 75 mg) or a placebo every 4 weeks, after an initiation phase of 4-weeks with an i.v. of secukinumab (at weeks 0, 2, 4 in MEASURE-1) or s.c. administration (at weeks 0, 1, 2, 3, 4 in MEASURE-2). The results at week 16 reached 61%, 60%, and 29% in the MEASURE-1 trial for 150, 75 mg secukinumab and placebo, respectively; whereas, in MEASURE-2, the outcomes were 61%, 41%, and 28%. Thus, secukinumab led to a comparable improvement in the signs and symptoms of AS and represents a major improvement for 2 years in AS patients, combined with a significant safety profile. Similarly, in another randomized double-blind Phase III clinical study, MEASURE-3 showed notable improvement after a 16-week follow-up period, which lasted for 52 weeks in AS patients as compared with the control and no major side effects were observed. Currently, a MEASURE-5 randomized double-blind Phase III clinical trial is ongoing, comparing the tolerability and safety profile of secukinumab in AS patients against a placebo. Another antibody, Brodalumab, active against IL-17R was effective for psoriatic arthritis, however, the induction of suicidal tendencies in patients stopped its development.

IL-23 is directly associated with the development of enthesitis, and the IL-23/IL-17 axis is mainly involved in AS pathogenesis. In this respect, the humanized monoclonal antibody Ustekinumab targets the p40 subunit of IL-12 and IL-23. Ustekinumab is considered as the most effective treatment for psoriasis, however, its efficacy in AS did not show expected results. Although an open-label, single-arm proof-of-concept clinical trial (TOPAS) showed a reduction in the sign and symptoms in AS patients. An immunoglobulin molecule ABT-122 which targets both IL-17A and TNF-α demonstrated efficacy in Phase I and II clinical trials for RA and psoriatic arthritis and due to the involvement of IL-17 in the pathogenesis of AS, it is

| Therapy             | Target                          | Status                          |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| CT-P13 (infliximab biosimilar) | Anti-TNF | Approved                        |
| SB4 (etanercept biosimilar)        | Anti-TNF | Approved                        |
| Secukinumab          | Anti-IL-17A                     | Approved                        |
| IxeKizumab           | Anti-IL-17A                     | Approved                        |
| Brodalumab           | Anti-IL-17R                     | Approved                        |
| Ustekinumab          | Anti-IL-12/IL-23                | Under investigation             |
| ABT-122              | Anti-IL-17A/TNF-α               | Not approved                    |
| COVA322              | Anti-IL-17A/TNF-α               | Under investigation. Successful Phase II clinical trials |
| CBP30                | CBP/p300 bromodomain inhibition | Not approved                    |
| Sarilumab            | Anti-IL-6Rα                     | Future trials announced         |
| Tofacitinib          | JAK inhibitor                   | Under investigation. Successful Phase II clinical trials |
| BCD-085              | IL-17A inhibitor                | Clinical Phase II. Ongoing      |
| Bimekizumab          | IL-17A inhibitor, IL-17A, IL-17F inhibitor | Clinical Phase II. Ongoing          |
| Tildrakizumab        | IL-23 inhibitor                 | Clinical Phase II. Planned      |
| Risankizumab         | IL-23 inhibitor                 | Clinical Phase II. Completed    |
| Tofacitinib          | panJAK inhibitor                | Clinical Phase II. Recruiting   |
| Upadacitinib         | JAK-1 inhibitor                 | Clinical Phase II. Recruiting   |
| Filgotinib           | JAK-1 inhibitor                 | Clinical Phase II. Recruiting   |
| Apremilast           | Phosphodiesterase 4 inhibitor   | Clinical Phase III. Ongoing     |

Abbreviation: AS, ankylosing spondylitis.
expected that AS patients will be performed soon. Furthermore, another dual targeting fusion protein antibody COVA-32274, thought to be a promising therapy for AS, will soon be studied.\textsuperscript{177} In AS patients, a selective inhibitor of CBP/p300 bromodomains CBP-3052 suppresses the secretion of cytokines by Th-17 cells. Sarilumab is another humanized monoclonal antibody which blocks \( \alpha \)-receptors of IL-6 and showed a lack of efficiency for AS treatment in an ALIGN study.\textsuperscript{134}

Finally, in order to investigate predictors of the selective response of AS patients against anti-TNF and IL therapies, further studies are requested to identify the first and second lines of treatment for AS.

Physical therapy, exercise and behavioral changes

Physical therapy has also proven beneficial in AS, including different exercises to maintain proper posture, stretching exercises, and deep breathing for lung expansion in order to improve joints and spinal mobility. As demonstrated, AS induces a forward curvature, therefore AS patients are guided to perform a couple of back-extension exercises as well as instructed to maintain an erect position as much as possible. In addition, AS patients are also instructed to avoid using a pillow during sleep and to try to sleep on a flat and firm mattress. As reported previously, AS could involve areas where ribs attach to the upper spine and vertebral joints, which limits breathing capacity. Therefore, AS patients are suggested to frequently expand their back as much as possible every day. Various swimming programs have also been designed for individual patients. In this respect, swimming is suggested as an exercise for AS patients because it avoids a jarring impact of the spine. AS patients have been instructed to take part in aerobic sports, while taking necessary precautions in athletics. Aerobic exercises promote the expansion of the chest, breathing muscles and opens airways of the lungs.\textsuperscript{135} AS patients are strongly discouraged to smoke cigarettes since it affects lung expansion capacity as well as lung scarring and induces breathing difficulties. Thus, AS patients with lung disease are advised to take oxygen supplements to improve breathing.\textsuperscript{67} AS patients are also suggested to modify their daily life and workplace activities such as adjusting their work chair and table at their office to maintain proper posture, for driving use wide rear-view mirrors and prism glasses to limit spinal movement. Importantly patients with AS are encouraged to eat foods rich in calcium and Omega-3, eat vegetables and fruits, eat more herbs and spices, avoid excessive amounts of sugar, fat and sodium, limit alcohol consumption and consider probiotics.\textsuperscript{136,137}

Surgical intervention

Research has shown that the biomechanical changes due to AS that take place in the spine predisposes one to fractures, possibly leading to spinal deformity and spondylodiscitis. AS involves caudo-rostral progression, altering the biochemical properties of the spine and diminishing its resistance through remodeling, which is associated with ligamentary ossification, osteoporosis, vertebral joint fusion, and finally spinal deformity. Thus, the ankylosed spine tends to be fractured even after minor trauma. Furthermore, these unstable fractures require treatment in order to avoid neurological injury, deformity and disability.\textsuperscript{138–140} In a retrospective study, AS patients who underwent spinal surgeries at a tertiary university hospital in Brazil included a total of 8 patients (3 men and 5 women) with a mean age of 57 years. Six patients were found positive for acute-phase protein (75%), while 2 patients showed HLA-B27 (25%). Four patients had radiological diagnosis of spondylodiscitis (50%) and underwent spinal disc biopsy. Three patients were faced with no pain with analgesic treatment in their last follow-up. While an additional three patients had spinal fractures surgically treated (37.5%) and one patient was operated due to cervical kyphotic deformity (12.5%).\textsuperscript{141} Furthermore, a Secondary Cohort Analysis of a Nationwide, Population-Based Health Claim Database, included 3462 AS patients and 17,310 patients without AS (Table 3). The important three variables (i.e., spine surgery, cervical surgery, and lumbar surgery) were observed at a significantly higher incidence in the AS cohort than in the comparison cohort (incidence rate ratio [IRR] 2.34 [95% CI 1.92–2.87] for any spinal surgery, IRR 2.36 [95% CI 1.55–3.59] for cervical spine surgery, and IRR 2.33 [95% CI 1.85–2.93] for lumbar spine surgery). In addition, the incidence of IRRs was the largest in the youngest age group (individuals in their 20s and 30s). The data indicated that the AS patients, especially in their 20s and 30s, had a significantly higher risk of spinal surgery, cervical spine surgery, and lumbar spine surgery, as compared the patients without AS.\textsuperscript{142} However, the surgical approach for AS patients shifted for cervical fractures with anterior-posterior surgery transitioning from the most popular to the least popular approach from 2003 to 2014. For thoracolumbar...
fractures, posterior surgery remained the preferred approach. In addition, patients who undergo anterior-posterior surgery are at a high risk of pulmonary complication rates in both thoracolumbar and cervical fractures. These data suggest that surgical intervention could be performed in the most severe cases of AS and the outcome could be promising through accurate and specific surgeries.

### Nanotechnology-based treatment approaches for AS

Conventional medication can provide fast relief to patients clinically, but, when thinking from a long-term safety prospective, conventional treatment approaches are not suitable because this requires frequent medication which can increase financial burden and due to frequent medication, decrease a patient’s quality of life. Moreover, these approaches have high degradation and clearance from the body due to the high and frequent dosing required further increasing many complications and toxicity. Recently, various nanotechnology-based drug delivery approaches have been applied to combat these problems and deliver drugs to treat chronic inflammatory diseases. These nanotechnology-based drug delivery systems have shown better therapeutic compliance in comparison to conventional formulations by prolonging drug retention time at the site of action. Unfortunately, currently, no nanotechnology-based formulations have been reported for AS but there are many formulations which have been studied for other inflammatory diseases such as osteoarthritis, RA, and backache that can be used for AS. The conventional treatment approaches for these diseases are the same as AS. As AS is also classified as a chronic inflammatory disease, we can hypothesize that nanotechnology-based formulations prepared for the treatment of other inflammatory diseases can also work for AS.

Recently, various nanotechnology-based drug delivery systems have been established to deliver drugs in a safe mode to treat various diseases including cancer, fibrosis, lung diseases, diabetes and chronic inflammation. Well-established nano preparations include liposomes, polymeric nanoparticles, and hydrogels.

### Liposomes

Liposomes are round vesicles made of phospholipids and have the ability to hold both hydrophilic and hydrophobic drugs. Generally, hydrophilic drugs are encapsulated in an internal aqueous chamber and hydrophobic drugs are entrapped into a surface bilayer structure. Liposomes are generally prepared from biodegradable nontoxic lipids and have good retention ability at the site of action. Some liposome-based NSAIDs preparations reported for the successful treatment of RA and osteoarthritis are indomethacin, diclofenac and ibuprofen. These liposomal nano-preparation increase the half-life of the drug in comparison to conventional preparations. For example, liposomal iohexol declined biexponentially with an elimination half-life of 134 hrs as compared with free iohexol. Other work reported by Inbar Elron-Gross improved the retention time of diclofenac and they used collagomers to prepare collagen-lipid conjugates to encapsulate diclofenac for slow release in the synovial area after injection. In their other work, they used the corticosteroid dexamethasone

| Table 3 Incidence rates and incidence rate ratios (IRR) of spinal surgery for the AS cohort and comparison cohort, with and without stratification by sex (n=20,772) |
| --- |
| **Variable** | **Sex** | **AS cohort** | | **Comparison cohort** | | **IRR (95% CI)** |
| | | **Events** | **Person IR** | **Events** | **Person IR** |  |
| Spine surgery | Total | 149 | 22,275 | 668.91 | 315 | 109,969 | 286.44 | 2.34 (1.92–2.84) |
| | Male | 78 | 13,853 | 563.05 | 162 | 68,785 | 235.52 | 2.39 (1.82–3.13) |
| | Female | 71 | 8423 | 842.93 | 153 | 41,184 | 371.50 | 2.27 (1.71–3.01) |
| Cervical surgery | Total | 32 | 22,275 | 143.66 | 67 | 109,969 | 60.93 | 2.36 (1.55–3.59) |
| | Male | 20 | 13,853 | 144.37 | 34 | 68,785 | 49.43 | 2.92 (1.68–5.08) |
| | Female | 12 | 8423 | 142.47 | 33 | 41,184 | 80.13 | 1.78 (0.92–3.44) |
| Lumbar surgery | Total | 108 | 22,275 | 484.85 | 229 | 109,969 | 208.24 | 2.33 (1.85–2.93) |
| | Male | 51 | 13,852 | 368.15 | 119 | 68,785 | 173 | 2.13 (1.53–2.96) |
| | Female | 57 | 8423 | 676.72 | 110 | 41,184 | 267.09 | 2.53 (1.84–3.49) |

Abbreviation: AS, ankylosing spondylitis.
for encapsulation along with diclofenac to reduce the activity of COX for the treatment of osteoarthritis. Similarly, to counteract the GI side effects caused by indomethacin during treatment of RA, lipid-based microspheres were prepared.\(^{158}\)

Gottschalk et al prepared MTX encapsulated cationic liposomes and observed its anti-inflammatory and anti-angiogenic efficacy. In an antigen-induced arthritis model, the cationic liposome demonstrated a significant and superior reduction in leukocyte and platelet interaction, functional capillary density and knee joint diameters.\(^{159}\) Recently, MTX-DG liposomes (methotrexate-diglyceride ester lipophilic prodrug) were synthesized for antitumor efficacy in T-cell leukemic lymphoma. The results indicated that the mice plasma concentration-time and AUC of MTX after i.v. administration of MTX-DG liposomes increased up to 2.5-fold as compared to the intact MTX. Furthermore, the MTX-DG liposomes retarded T-cell lymphoma and did not induce significant toxicity as compared with free MTX.\(^{160}\) Previously, a fluoroquinolone-liposomal formulation was extensively used for antibacterial effects and showed promising antibacterial control and successfully treated bacterial infections.\(^{161–164}\)

TNF-\(\alpha\)-mediated liposomal formulations showed exceptional therapeutic potential to treat numerous tumors including long-circulating stealth liposomes, cationic liposomes, surface modified liposomes or a combination of liposomes (such as TNF-\(\alpha\) + anticancer agent). The stealth liposome encapsulating a low dose of TNF-\(\alpha\) augmented the antitumor effect of doxorubicin in soft tissue sarcoma-bearing rats.\(^{165}\) On the other hand, the TNF-\(\alpha\) liposome enhanced the radiation effects against a human colon cancer xenograft, while cytokine GM-CSF and TNF-alpha encapsulated liposomes showed improved pharmacokinetics and biological activity at a minimal toxicity in mice.\(^{167}\) Recently, Rakeshchandra et al, synthesized a peptide (ART-1) coated IL-27 encapsulated liposome (ART-1-IL-27). The ART-1-IL-27 liposomes displayed significant binding to the endothelial cells and better homing to arthritic joints as compared to the control liposomes, in vivo. Moreover, following i.v. administration in arthritic rats at an equivalent dose of IL-27, the ART-1-IL-27 liposomes effectively suppressed disease progression and significantly improved the therapeutic index of IL-27, as compared to the control-IL-27 liposomes without the ART-1 peptide coating and free IL-27, as shown in Figure 4.\(^{169}\)

Finally, these observations provide a proof-of-concept for the use of nanoliposomes to successfully deliver numerous NSAIDs, MTX, TNF-\(\alpha\), and IL-27 to target and treat various inflammation or infection conditions. Therefore, the presently described targeted liposomal platform could be extremely promising for use in AS patients and should be developed as soon as possible.

### Polymeric nanoparticles

Polymeric nanoparticles are generally prepared from different polymers such as chitosan, poly-lactic acid (PLA), poly-lactic glycolic acid (PLGA), poly-\(\delta\)-valerolactona (PV), etc.\(^{169}\) Tuncay et al prepared diclofenac sodium injection incorporated PLGA microspheres for the treatment of chronic inflammatory diseases.\(^ {170}\) Diclofenac is a very common NSAID used in the treatment of various inflammatory conditions in different forms such as oral, rectal or intramuscular preparation. The clinical efficacy of diclofenac has been compared with various other NSAIDs (such as naproxen, ibuprofen, sulindac, and diflunisal) for the treatment of osteoarthritis and the obtained data suggest that it is well tolerable by a patient but the dosing frequency is higher in comparison to other NSAIDs. So, to reduce the dosing frequency, a slow-release PLGA microsphere was prepared for oral administration for the treatment of osteoarthritis.\(^ {171}\) Similarly, Saravanan et al have prepared diclofenac sodium gelatin magnetic microspheres to target joint inflammation through intra-articular administration. The prepared microparticles show better therapeutic efficacy in comparison to conventional diclofenac sodium injection therapy, which could be even better through the use of nanoparticles.\(^ {172}\) Zhao et al fabricated MTX loaded folate receptor-targeted pH-responsive polymeric nanoparticles (FA-PPLNPs/Mtx) to treat RA. The FA-PPLNPs/Mtx exhibited improved cellular uptake and enhanced cytotoxicity toward activated macrophages. Furthermore, in vivo experiments using an adjuvant-induced arthritis rat model (AIA) further confirmed FA-PPLNPs/Mtx effectiveness as shown in Figure 5.

These results suggested that the multifunctional folate receptor-targeting and pH-responsive nanocarriers are promising for the treatment of RA.\(^ {173}\) On the other hand, Zhang et al encapsulated ursolic acid (UA) into polymeric nanoparticles (UA-NPs) by using amphiphilic-methoxy poly (ethylene glycol) poly(caprolactone) (mPEG–PCL) block-copolymers. The UA-NPs successfully delivered UA in SGC7901 cells and induced cell death by the activation of caspase-3 activity. The mechanistic study
showed that UA-NPs strongly inhibited the COX-2 receptors and activated the caspase cascade, therefore, inducing tumor cell death, as shown in Figure 6.\(^\text{174}\)

On the other hand, in term of biological delivery via polymeric nanoparticles, Shoaib Iqbal et al orally delivered TNF-α by poly(ethylene glycol)-block-poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PEG\(_{5K}\)-b-PLGA\(_{10K}\)) NPs by a double emulsion method aminated nanoparticles (ANPs), as shown in Figure 7. The in vivo experiments in a murine acute ulcerative colitis model showed that ANPs were mainly centered in inflamed colons and significantly decreased TNF-α secretion as well as mRNA expression, while maintaining colon histology.\(^\text{175}\)

In another report, Stephanie et al successfully delivered Leukemia Inhibitory Factor (LIF) by fabricating poly (ethylene glycol)–poly(lactic acid) (PEG-PLA) polymer backbone polymeric nanoparticles (NanoLIF) and modified their surfaces with a CD11b antibody (CD-11b-NanoLIF) to target peripheral-macrophages. The results indicated that the CD11-b-NanoLIF successfully targeted the activated peripheral-macrophages and significantly decreased inflammation by inhibiting M1-cell growth over 72 hrs as compared to the free LIF.\(^\text{176}\) In conclusion, polymeric nanoparticles either surface modified or naked could be an important strategy to treat AS and localized inflammatory disorders.

**Hydrogels**

Hydrogels are three-dimensional, hydrophilic, polymeric networks composed of homopolymers or copolymers having the capacity to hold a high amount of water or biological fluids. After crosslinking, they form stable vehicles which cannot be soluble in water but can swell in a large volume in the presence of water.\(^\text{177}\) This hydrogel technique was used to prepare 50% crosslinked Hyal hydrogels to overcome the problem of rapid clearance of polysaccharide hyaluronic acid for the treatment of osteoarthritis.\(^\text{178}\)
Figure 5 Anti-arthritic efficacy of FA-PPLNPs/Mtx, PPLNPs/Mtx and free Mtx in AIA rats. (A) Scores of RA in days after administration of FA-PPLNPs/Mtx, PPLNPs/Mtx, free Mtx and saline. **P<0.01. (B) Paw thickness after all treatments. (C) AIA rat paws from the different AIA groups. Copyright © 2017. Dove Medical Press. Reprinted from Zhao J, Zhao M, Yu C, et al. Multifunctional folate receptor-targeting and pH-responsive nanocarriers loaded with methotrexate for treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. *Int J Nanomedicine*. 2017;12:6735-6746.

Abbreviations: RA, rheumatoid arthritis; MTX, methotrexate.

Figure 6 A schematic representation of UA-NPs induced cell death by inhibiting the COX-2 and activating the caspase-3 cascade. Reprinted with permission from Elsevier: *Int J Pharm*, Delivery of UA in polymeric nanoparticles effectively promotes the apoptosis of gastric cancer cells through enhanced inhibition of cyclooxygenase 2 (COX-2), Zhang H, Li X, Ding J, et al. Copyright 2013.

Abbreviations: UA, ursolic acid; COX-2, cyclooxygenase 2.
Hydrogels are novel drug delivery systems and play a pivotal role by tackling the problems associated with conventional dosage forms including stability, drug loading, in vitro release kinetics and in vivo pharmacokinetics. In recent years, numerous hydrogel formulations have been employed locally, orally and systemically to treat various types of inflammation. In this respect, Xiong-Bin et al prepared a microemulsion-based hydrogel (MBH) of 3,5,4′-trimethoxy-trans-stilbene (BTM) for a topical drug delivery system to treat osteoarthritis. In papain induced OA rabbit models, the results indicated that the topical administration of BTM-MBH showed remarkable anti-OA effects, with decreased levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines. Such results indicated that the BTM-MBH hydrogel formulation could be a promising strategy for the treatment of OA, as shown in Figure 8.

In another report, Ahmad et al fabricated chitosan-based thermosensitive hydrogels for the sustained delivery of loxoprofen. The hydrogel formulation was stable in the rat after i.v. administration and in vivo experiments showed loxoprofen release for up to 144 hrs.

Furthermore, the hydrogel-based drug delivery system was successfully employed to inhibit TNF-α secretion. In this respect, Lei et al produced a transplanted cationic-hydrogel to deliver antisense oligodeoxynucleotides (ASOs) to target mRNA of TNF-α. The in vivo study demonstrated that the ASOs specifically targeted the spleen at up to a 50-fold higher concentration as compared to the naked ASO. Moreover, this was demonstrated for three different types of animal models including adjuvant-induced arthritis (AA), carrageen/lipopolysaccharide-induced arthritis and collagen-induced arthritis models. Surprisingly, the ASOs significantly not only decreased inflammatory cytokines but also decreased joint swelling and tissue damage, as shown in Figure 9.

In another report, Marie-Claude et al developed a hydrophobic three-dimensional porous scaffold-based drug delivery system to deliver an anti-TNF-α antibody. Their major finding was no deleterious effect of the drug delivery system on antibody affinity and anti-TNF-α release which was much higher after the first minutes in the aqueous medium followed by a sustained release of anti-TNF-α antibody up to the next 30 days. However, the histopathological examination showed a fibrous pseudo-capsule and chronic inflammation but without granuloma formation around the implants.

In conclusion, all these findings open new possibilities for the delivery of biological agents to treat AS using nanomedicine. To date, however, there have been no specific nanotechnology-based formulation reported but there are several nanotechnology-based formulations that have been reported for the treatment of osteoarthritis and RA which are a similar type of chronic joint inflammatory disease, like AS. Some of the developed nanotechnology-based formulations for osteoarthritis and RA are listed in Table 4 which should be immediately tested for AS.
Conclusion
In order to understand the pathogenesis of AS, various studies have been carried out over the most recent 3 years. These data lay the foundation to design new therapeutic strategies to treat AS and consequently improve the quality of life of patients with AS. The more we know about AS, the more we understand its complexity. AS is a kind of autoimmune disease, which could lead to spinal pain, spinal deformity and could induce extra-articular and systemic abnormalities. Investigations into AS include testing of inflammatory biomarkers and HLA-B27. Notably, in the early stages of AS disease, radiographic investigations could be normal, therefore, MRI scans should be performed to reach a definitive conclusion. AS treatment should include an anti-inflammatory agent as the first line of defense and in advanced cases, biological therapy could be more promising. Finally, therapeutic options that target specific IL must be developed and more comprehensive clinical studies should be performed to specifically identify key factors and develop therapeutic
regimens accordingly. As described here, nanotechnology plays a central role with much promise for improved AS treatment.
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