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Abstract: Oxygen is a critical gas for medical and industrial settings. Much of today's global oxygen supply is via inefficient technologies such as cryogenic distillation, membranes or zeolites. Metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) promise a superior alternative for oxygen separation, as their fundamental chemistry can in principle be tailored for reversible and selective oxygen capture. We evaluate the characteristics for reversible and selective uptake of oxygen by MOFs, focussing on redox-active sites. Key characteristics for separation can also be seen in MOFs for oxygen storage roles. Engineering solutions to release adsorbed oxygen from the MOFs are discussed including Temperature Swing Adsorption (TSA), Pressure Swing Adsorption (PSA) and the highly efficient Magnetic Induction Swing Adsorption (MISA). We conclude with the applications and outlooks for oxygen capture, storage and release, and the likely impacts the next generation of MOFs will have on industry and the broader community.

1. Introduction

The isolation of pure oxygen has been a critical goal for the medical, chemical and water industries for over a century. Presently, the isolation of pure oxygen from the atmosphere costs 100 million Euros annually, and most commonly employs cryogenic separation methods, exploiting the small difference in boiling point between liquid oxygen and nitrogen to concentrate oxygen directly from the atmosphere. Whilst cryogenic separations are very mature and their energy requirements optimised, the requisite temperatures place fundamental limitations upon the operating efficiencies and portability of oxygen concentration processes. Future technologies to replace cryogenic separations would ideally be low cost and low power consumption in addition to scalable. In this respect membranes offer a promising route to the delivery of oxygen. Ceramic membranes offer exceptional selectivity for oxygen over nitrogen, but at elevated temperatures and polymer membranes offer moderate selectivity under ambient conditions. Separations with polymer membranes have the potential to be very energy efficient. Adsorptive separation techniques have emerged, principally employing zeolites as porous separation media. At elevated pressures, these materials adsorb nitrogen over oxygen, which is released through the adsorbent bed for use. Adsorption of the major component in air places a higher limit on the overall efficiency of such a separation, with more adsorbent and more compression required to deliver the same amount of oxygen when compared to what might be attainable should the minor component, oxygen, be able to be captured in preference. Metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) offer a new approach to oxygen concentration. The tunable surface chemistry within their pores offers the potential to selectively adsorb oxygen, reversing the current state of the art in zeolites and lowering the work requirement on the adsorbent bed. In concert with the higher surface areas delivering increased working capacity, a suitable MOF should deliver a step change in oxygen capture performance and make several new applications feasible. Recent advances in the mass manufacture and shaping of MOFs also brings to the fore industrial applications, with availability and cost beginning to approach desirable values. The primary mechanism by which oxygen can be selectively adsorbed within a MOF is by a redox reaction within the pores. However, efforts over recent years have illustrated the challenge of controlling this interaction. Strong redox interactions deliver a MOF from which oxygen cannot be readily desorbed, often resulting in a working capacity significantly below the adsorption capacity as a result. Other MOFs that are not redox-active may be far more stable to cycling but do not exhibit meaningful selectivity over nitrogen, and commonly have very small sorption capacities unless high pressure or low temperatures are deployed.

Herein we summarise and analyse the latest efforts to develop metal–organic frameworks for use in oxygen concentration. These analyses indicate the key performance criteria for a suitable oxygen concentration MOF are as follows:

1. Modulated redox chemistry for capture and release of oxygen at close to room temperature.
2. Resistance to heat and water vapour.
3. Reversible sorption without collapse of the reticular structure.
4. A composition amenable to large scale production at accessible cost.

This Minireview will examine the synthetic approaches taken to addressing these performance criteria with a view to how viable the potential applications are in the coming years.

2. Capture

There are many factors to consider when designing a framework for oxygen capture. One critical challenge is selective oxygen uptake. Selectivity reflects the ability of the framework to separate one gas species from another; in the case of oxygen, this is largely considered to be nitrogen.
Although it should be noted that other species, comprising a significantly smaller component of air, must also be addressed when designing an oxygen selective MOF. These species include argon, carbon dioxide and water vapour. A difference in the interaction between two gas species and the framework is required to achieve selectivity. Table 1 highlights selected physical and electronic properties of some common gases. Oxygen has a significantly smaller reduction energy than most common gases, including N\textsubscript{2}, a property commonly utilised for producing oxygen selective MOFs.

Selected examples of MOFs that demonstrate selective oxygen capture can be separated in two main types; via redox-active sites (metal centres or ligands) or via redox inert interactions (i.e. size-exclusion). Examples of both are discussed below, further Table 2 lists MOFs reported in the literature which selectively capture oxygen.

### 2.1. Redox-Active Sites (Metal Centres or Ligands)

One possible mechanism for selective oxygen absorption in MOFs is via redox-active sites, which can take the form of metal centres or ligands that participate in charge-transfer interactions with oxygen. Due to oxygen’s low reduction energy in contrast with that of nitrogen, these redox-active sites provide specificity for oxygen binding over nitrogen.

To date, numerous frameworks have employed this approach and key examples are discussed herein.

In 2010, Long and co-workers described the metal–organic framework Cr\textsubscript{3}(BTC)\textsubscript{3} (BTC\textsuperscript{3−} = 1,3,5-benzenetricarboxylate), which exhibited selectivity for O\textsubscript{2} over N\textsubscript{2}\cite{22}. Its structure features a porous three-dimensional network with paddle-wheel units as depicted in Figure 1. The Cr centres of the units in Figure 1 bind dimethylformamide (DMF) molecules in the as-synthesised framework which can be vacated to produce redox-active open metal sites. Isotherms indicate a partial charge-transfer interaction but not this assertion that shows oxygen binds to the Cr\textsuperscript{III} centres forming favourable charge-transfer interaction with O\textsubscript{2} species. Structures from neutron diffraction support this assertion that shows oxygen binds to the Cr metal sites (Figure 1). Infrared and X-ray absorption spectroscopy further indicate a partial charge-transfer interaction but not necessarily a complete charge transfer to give a Cr\textsuperscript{III} superoxide adduct. Reversibility of oxygen adsorbed at 298 K was

| Property            | O\textsubscript{2} | N\textsubscript{2} | CO\textsubscript{2} | Ar\textsubscript{2} |
|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Kinetic diameter [Å] | 3.46                | 3.64                | 3.3                 | 3.4                 |
| Dipole moment       | 0.0                 | 0.0                 | 0.0                 | 0.0                 |
| Quadrupole moment 10\textsuperscript{−5} θ [cm\textsuperscript{2}] | 1.3                 | 4.7                 | 13.4                | 0.0                 |
| Polarisability [Å]  | 1.60                | 1.76                | 2.65                | 1.66                |
| Reduction energy [kJ mol\textsuperscript{−1}] | 4.1                 | 241.5               | 351.4               | 331.6               |

\[a\] Nat. Chem. 2010, 2, 633–637.
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shown, however the oxygen-adsorbed material was heated under vacuum for the prolonged period of 48 hrs at 323 K.

The metal–organic framework, Fe$_2$(dobdc) (dobdc$^{4-}$ = 2,5-dioxido-1,4-benzenedicarboxylate) described in 2011 was similarly shown to have the ability to separate O$_2$ from N$_2$ (Figure 2). [34] Long and co-workers ascribed this to the presence of an iron open metal site that is redox-active. At low temperatures (211 K & 226 K) reversible oxygen adsorption is associated with partial oxidation of the Fe centres to Fe$^{3+}$/Fe$^{2+}$ and a partial reduction of the oxygen species to the near superoxide evidenced by Mössbauer spectroscopy. In contrast, oxygen adsorption at 298 K occurs with complete transformation of the Fe centres to Fe$^{3+}$, which is accompanied by irreversible adsorption.

In 2016, Long and co-workers described the metal–organic framework Cr-BTT (BTT$^{3-}$ = 1,3,5-benzenetristetrazolate). [35] Open metal sites which are redox-active are generated via an activation process that removes coordinated DMF molecules. The authors describe very strong isosteric heat of adsorption for O$_2$ (Q$_{st}$ = −65 kJ mol$^{-1}$) and relatively low isosteric heat of adsorption for N$_2$ (Q$_{st}$ = −15.3 kJ mol$^{-1}$) for the activated material. With such a significant difference in host–guest interactions, Cr-BTT displays an exceptional selectivity of 2570 as calculated by ideal adsorbed solution theory (IAST) for a gas mixture of 1 : 4 O$_2$:N$_2$ at 298 K and 1 bar. Notably, Cr-BTT displays reversible oxygen adsorption at 298 K across 15 cycles with a shorter regeneration time than that of Cr$_3$(BTC)$_2$ (dynamic vacuum, 423 K, 30 min).

As we have detailed, selectivity for O$_2$ over N$_2$ in MOFs has been reported with redox-active centres in the Cr$_3$(BTC)$_2$, Fe$_2$(dobdc) and Cr-BTT frameworks. A problem, however, with these reported Fe- and Cr-based frameworks is they lose crystallinity and adsorption capacity upon unregulated exposure to the atmosphere, in part due to their strong interactions with oxygen. [36]

| Metal–organic frameworks | Surface area $[\text{m}^2\text{g}^{-1}]$ | BET | O$_2$ adsorption $[\text{mmolg}^{-1}]$ | Pressure $[\text{bar}]$ | Heat of adsorption, Q$_{st}$ $[\text{kJ mol}^{-1}]$ | T $[\text{K}]$ | Ref. |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-----|----------------|------|-----------------|-----|-----|
| Cd(bpd)(4,4'-bpy)         | –               | –   | 6.7            | 1    | –               | 90  | [48]|
| Co$_2$(OH)$_2$(BBTA)      | 1360            | –   | 1.2            | 1    | 45              | 298 | [25]|
| CoCl$_2$(BBTA)            | 1280            | –   | $\approx$ 0.26 | 1    | 19              | 298 | [25]|
| Co-BTNT                   | 1595            | 1853| 4.8            | 1    | 34              | 195 | [24, 25]|
| Co-BDTNP                  | 1332            | 1517| 4.8            | 1    | 47              | 195 | [24, 25]|
| Co-MOF-74                 | –               | 1417| 18             | 0.015 | P/P$_0$ | –   | 77  | [49]|
| Co-MOF-74 Composite       | 1008            | 1150| 4.8            | 1.2  | 19              | 204 | [46]|
| Cr$_2$(BTC)$_3$           | 1810            | 2040| 0.73           | 0.21 | –               | 298 | [22]|
| Cr$_2$(BTC)$_3$           | 1403            | –   | 4.46           | 1    | –               | 298 | [50]|
| Cr-BTT                    | 2030            | 2300| 2.5            | 1    | 65              | 298 | [23]|
| Cu(BDT)                   | 200             | –   | 1.4            | 1    | 1.0 P/P$_0$     | 77  | [51]|
| Cu(BDT)L$_2$(L = DMF)     | –               | 1160| 17.8           | 0.2  | –               | 77  | [52]|
| Cu(BDT)L$_2$(L = DEF)     | –               | 240 | 15.9           | 0.2  | –               | 77  | [52]|
| Cu$_2$(BTC)$_3$           | 1206            | –   | 0.2            | 1    | –               | 298 | [50]|
| Cu$_2$(BTC)$_3$           | –               | 2141| 25.8           | 0.015 | P/P$_0$ | –   | 77  | [49]|
| Cu-BTC                    | –               | 2237| 3.0            | 1    | 10.7            | 298 | [53]|
| Cu-BTC Composite          | 1143            | –   | 0.34           | 1    | 15.3            | 298 | [47]|
| Fe-BTNT                   | 1630            | 1930| 5.9            | 1    | 51              | 195 | [19, 54]|
| Fe-MOF-74                 | 1360            | 1535| 5.33           | 0.21 | 41              | 226 | [18]|
| $\text{Mg}_2$(NC$_5$)     | 190             | –   | 3.5            | 1.0 P/P$_0$ | – | 77  | [55]|
| MIL-100 (Fe)              | –               | 1900| 0.26           | 1    | 8.5             | 298 | [56]|
| MIL-100 (Sc)              | –               | 1635| 0.28           | 1    | 15.1            | 298 | [56]|
| MIL-101 (Cr) Composite    | 264             | 424 | 2.0            | 1    | –               | 298 | [57]|
| MIL-101 (Ti)              | 2970            | 4440| 0.85           | $9 \times 10^{-4}$ | – | 298 | [58]|
| MOF-177                   | 3100            | $\approx$ 4300 | 0.18 | 1 | – | 298 | [21, 59]|
| Ni$_2$(cyclam)$_2$ mtb     | 141             | 154 | 1.2            | 0.196 | – | 77  | [60]|
| Ni-MOF-74                 | –               | 1234| 15             | 0.015 | P/P$_0$ | – | 77  | [49]|
| PCN-13                    | 150             | –   | 3.0            | 1.0 P/P$_0$ P/P$_0$ | – | 77  | [30]|
| PCN-17                    | 820             | –   | 9.3            | 1.0 P/P$_0$ | – | 77  | [31]|
| PCN-224Fe$_3$             | 2901            | –   | 2.4            | 1    | 34              | 195 | [61]|
| UMCMA-1                   | 4100            | 6500| 0.23           | 0.96 | 4.9             | 298 | [20]|
| Zn(TCNQ-TCNQ)bpy           | –               | 120 | 1.2            | $9 \times 10^{-4}$ | – | 77  | [29]|
| K$_{12}$Fe$_3$(bpy)$_3$    | –               | 1700| 0.53           | 1    | –               | 298 | [28]|
| K$_{12}$Fe$_3$(bpy)$_3$    | –               | 750 | 0.68           | 1    | –               | 298 | [28]|
| K$_{12}$Fe$_3$(bpy)$_3$    | –               | 70  | 0.87           | 1    | –               | 298 | [28]|
| K$_{12}$Fe$_3$(bpy)$_3$    | –               | 600 | 0.65           | 1    | –               | 298 | [28]|
| K$_{12}$Fe$_3$(bpy)$_3$    | –               | –   | 2.3            | 1    | –               | 453 | [28]|

**Table 2:** Summary data for MOFs for potential O$_2$ separation reported in the literature.
To this end, Long and co-workers in 2016 examined a Co-based framework, Co-BTTri (H$_3$BTTri = 1,3,5-tri-(1H,2,3-triazol-5-yl)benzene), as shown in Figure 3.$^{[24]}$ Cobalt(II) centres within this framework form a cobalt(II)-dioxygen species with partial electron transfer. This framework showed selective sorption of O$_2$ over N$_2$, with 3.3 mmol g$^{-1}$ O$_2$ at 0.21 bar (at 195 K). The authors described a moderate isosteric heat of adsorption for O$_2$ (Q$_{st} = -34(1)$ kJ mol$^{-1}$) coupled with very low N$_2$ isosteric heat of adsorption (Q$_{st} = -12(1)$ kJ mol$^{-1}$). Using an IAST model with a mixture of 0.21 bar O$_2$ and 0.79 bar N$_2$, the selectivity for oxygen was 41 at 195 K. Significantly, no loss in adsorption is observed when the framework is exposed to room temperature air at 90% relative humidity and reactivated at 423 K.

We highlighted water stability as a key feature for oxygen enrichment by MOFs and this cobalt-based framework displays exceptional characteristics in this regard. As water is a minor but significant component in air, the chemistry of the MOF must withstand the presence of water.

Following work with Co-BTTri, Long and co-workers reported a framework with biomimetic O$_2$ adsorption.$^{[19]}$ The framework, Fe-BTTri, possesses an iron coordination environment similar to that found in haemoglobin. Once the
framework is activated its metal’s sixth coordination site is unoccupied, and the redox-active iron centre can bind oxygen. Unfortunately, like other iron based frameworks the Fe$^{II}$ species binds oxygen irreversibly above 258 K. Nonetheless, the framework is able to sorb 3.3 mmol g$^{-1}$ of O$_2$ at 0.21 bar and 195 K. Furthermore, the isosteric heat of adsorption was found to be $-51$ kJ mol$^{-1}$, consistent with measurements on heme-based systems.

Given the temperature dependent performance of Fe-BTTrI, it is worth discussing the effect of temperature on adsorbent performance. Ideally, for oxygen capture, uptake should occur at ambient temperatures to maximise energy savings. However, it can be challenging to modulate the redox chemistry such that the MOF performs ideally, i.e. moderate and reversible uptake.

Low or cryogenic temperatures are associated with greater adsorption capacity and generally more reversible. The increased capacity is an effect of Le Chatelier’s principle, wherein adsorption is an exothermic process and additional heat to the system favours the desorption of the gaseous species. In terms of reversibility, Fe-based systems seem particularly sensitive to adsorption temperature. At low or cryogenic temperatures, they show reversibility but at room temperature they show irreversibility. In Fe$_2$(dobde) this can be rationalised as the activation energy requirements being fulfilled to allow the Fe$^{II}$ species to be irreversibly oxidised to Fe$^{III}$ along with the formation of a bound peroxide anion.

Recently, a significant set of computational studies examined the O$_2$ selectivity over N$_2$ in several related metal–organic frameworks with differing bridging ligands (i.e. μ-Br, μ-Cl, μ-F, μ-SH, or μ-OH). Based on the results of the theoretical study, the authors explored two key candidates, Co$_2$(OH)$_4$(BBTA) and Co$_2$Cl$_4$(BBTA). As expected from the theoretical results the Co$_2$(OH)$_4$(BBTA) framework showed superior O$_2$ selectivity with the redox activity of the open Co$^{III}$ metal site enhanced by the greater electron-donating character of the μ-OH. At 298 K and 0.21 bar O$_2$ and 0.79 bar N$_2$, IAST predicts an O$_2$/N$_2$ selectivity of 49.

Other types of MOFs being studied for potential O$_2$/N$_2$ separation in computational simulations are those containing metal catecholates. These systems are redox-active and generally result in greater binding of O$_2$ than N$_2$ allowing for potential selectivity. From an extensive study of transition row metal catecholates, Fe$^{II}$ and Zn$^{II}$ were found to have the best potential for air separation. This was due to them possessing an O$_2$ binding energy that would be conducive to oxygen reversibility, whilst maintaining a good O$_2$/N$_2$ selectivity.

Further, in 2020, Long and co-workers reported a high-temperature selective oxygen adsorption in a metal–organic framework. This redox-active iron-pyrazolate network, Fe$_2$(bdp)$_3$ (bdp$^3$ = 1,4-benzenedicarboxylate), can be reduced with potassium naphthalenide to give an oxygen sorbing material. The reduced Fe$^{II}$ framework reduces the dioxygen species to superoxide, with the electron transfer occurring via an outer-sphere mechanism. The framework adsorbed O$_2$ significantly better at an elevated temperature. For example, at 298 K, the framework adsorbed 0.68 mmol g$^{-1}$ at 1 bar compared to 1.32 mmol g$^{-1}$ at 1 bar for 473 K. The authors propose the difference between the two uptakes is a kinetic effect, where there is an energy cost associated with rearrangement of the alkali metal cations.

In contrast to the redox-active metal centres discussed for the above frameworks, Kitagawa and co-workers in 2010 relied on the redox-active ligand species in Zn(TCNQ-TCNQ)bpz (TCNQ = 7,7,8,8-tetracyanoquinodimethane and bpz = 4,4’-bipyridine). The framework showed high selectivity for O$_2$ (77 K) and NO (121 K) over a range of other gases including N$_2$ (77 K), CO (77 K), CO$_2$ (193 K) and CH$_2$ (193 K). Whilst the authors did not report room temperature measurements, this framework shows an elegant alternative approach to redox-active metal sites.

2.2. Redox-Inert (i.e. Size Exclusion)

Whilst redox-active metal centres and ligands have been utilised to much success for the separation of oxygen in MOFs, others have adopted an alternative approach using redox-inert methods. Perhaps the most common approach involves construction of pores that only allow specific guests (i.e. oxygen). This can be challenging due to the extremely similar kinetic diameters of O$_2$ (3.46 Å) and N$_2$ (3.64 Å). An example of this approach is described by Zhou and co-workers, who reported a porous coordination network, PCN-13, in 2007. From crystallographic studies the framework has a pore aperture of 3.5 × 3.5 Å—intermediate in size of O$_2$ and N$_2$. Isotherms with H$_2$, O$_2$, and CO at 77 K to 1.0 P/P$_0$ reveal the framework uptakes only significant amounts of H$_2$ (2.1 mmol g$^{-1}$) and O$_2$ (3.0 mmol g$^{-1}$).

Similarly, a doubly interpenetrated Yb-based porous coordination network, PCN-17, reported by Zhou and co-workers, had a pore size of around 3.5 Å. Again, the authors explored the gas selectivity of the framework, with H$_2$, O$_2$, and N$_2$ and CO at 77 K to 1.0 P/P$_0$. PCN-17 can adsorb large amounts of O$_2$ (9.4 mmol g$^{-1}$), moderate amounts of H$_2$ (4.7 mmol g$^{-1}$), whilst only small amounts of both N$_2$ and CO (≈0.9 mmol g$^{-1}$). The large difference in the gas uptakes between oxygen and nitrogen was attributed to the kinetic diameters of the respective gases.

3. Storage

In contrast to oxygen capture, there have been fewer reports on oxygen storage in MOFs; nonetheless, MOFs are considered excellent candidates for oxygen storage. Table 3 lists MOFs reported in the literature which have the potential to store oxygen. Oxygen storage has many applications, including first responders, medical, and aerospace industries. Typically, there is also a desire to increase the amount of stored oxygen or decrease the pressure at which the oxygen is stored, due to safety concerns.

In 2014, Farha and co-workers reported a systematic study of MOFs for oxygen storage. From 10000 candidates using grand canonical Monte Carlo simulations, they
identified two prime targets for oxygen storage. These frameworks were HKUST-1 and NU-125. At 30 bar and 298 K HKUST-1 and NU-125 have 5.0 mol kg\(^{-1}\) and 8.3 mol kg\(^{-1}\) oxygen capacities respectively (Figure 4). Whilst both frameworks have coordinatively unsaturated Cu sites, these do not appear to play a significant role in adsorbing oxygen at high pressures.

In 2015, Eddaoudi and co-workers reported the novel framework, Al-soc-MOF-1, which has an apparent Langmuir surface area of 6000 m\(^2\)g\(^{-1}\)\(^{[33]}\). At high pressures, the framework outperforms both HKUST-1 and NU-125, being able to sorb 29 mmol g\(^{-1}\) at 140 bar.

### 4. Release

The performance of adsorbents used in gas capture and storage applications depends on the amount of adsorbate released from the adsorbent during the regeneration process. Depending on the regeneration method, a high working capacity\(^{[34]}\) of the material can be achieved when most or all of the adsorbed molecules are released. Several different but related processes have been developed for the separation and purification of gas mixtures utilising adsorbent materials. These are Temperature Swing Adsorption (TSA), Pressure Swing Adsorption (PSA) and Vacuum Swing Adsorption (VSA). These processes allow for the separation of gas species based on the molecular affinity of adsorbent. In the case of oxygen separation from air, a selective adsorbent such as a MOF would preferentially adsorb oxygen. A swing process in the form of temperature, pressure or vacuum would subsequentially release the oxygen from the adsorbent material. TSA typically operates at ambient pressures; however, the temperature is varied between the adsorb and release swing, with a higher temperature driving the adsorbed gases from the adsorbent material.

PSA in contrast operates at ambient temperatures, with a high pressure used for gas adsorption, which swings to a low pressure for release. Finally, VSA works on a similar concept to PSA, however the gas species are adsorbed at ambient pressures and a vacuum swing is applied for the release. Over the years, TSA, PSA and VSA are relatively energy-intensive processes that have been deployed to regenerate and reuse adsorbents for gas storage and separation applications\(^{[35–39]}\). Consequently, recent publications on new materials for oxygen separation or storage have demonstrated the performance of their materials by employing one of these methods. However, the very strong isosteric heat of desorption of oxygen over nitrogen in new MOF materials\(^{[19,23,24]}\) resulting in higher \(O_2\) selectivity over \(N_2\) relative to commercially available zeolites with \(N_2\) selectivity over \(O_2\)\(^{[40,41]}\) would require better and energy-efficient processes to achieve high working capacities.

In 2016, our group reported an energy-efficient adsorbent regeneration process known as the Magnetic Induction Swing Adsorption (MISA) process\(^{[42,43]}\) capable of completely regenerating MOFs to achieve high working capacities. MISA is comparable to TSA but is significantly more efficient. MOFs are generally poor thermal conductors.\(^{[44]}\) As such, the efficiency comes from the direct heating of the absorbent as compared to conventional heating. In MISA the material needs to be magnetic. An example material could be a magnetic nanocomposite-MOF. Under an alternating magnetic field these types of materials undergo efficient and rapid heating to trigger the release of the adsorbed species. We evaluated the feasibility of efficient delivery of oxygen from MOFs through the use of MISA. The work evaluated the use of a composite MOF fabricated from M-MOF-74 (M = Co), a MOF known for its high

---

**Table 3: Summary data for MOFs for potential \(O_2\) storage reported in the literature.**

| Metal–organic framework | Surface area \(\text{[m}^2\text{g}^{-1}\) | Deliverable \(O_2\) \(\text{[mmol g}^{-1}\) | Pressure [bar] | Heat of adsorption, \(Qst\) \(\text{[kJ mol}^{-1}\) | \(T\) [K] | Ref. |
|-------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|----------------|-------|-----|
| Al-Soc-MOF-1            | 5585            | 6530            | 13.2         | 50             | 10    | 298 | [33] |
| Al-soc-MOF-2            | 5162            | 5976            | 12.7         | 50             | –     | 298 | [33] |
| Al-soc-MOF-3            | 4849            | 5212            | 12.3         | 50             | –     | 298 | [33] |
| HKUST-1                 | 1880            | –               | 8.9          | 140            | 9.7   | 298 | [32] |
| NU-125                  | 2880            | –               | 10.1         | 140            | 6.9   | 298 | [32] |
| UIO-66                  | –               | –               | 3.5          | 30             | 8.3   | 298 | [32] |
| UMCM-152                | 3760            | –               | 11.8         | 140            | 11–16 | 298 | [62] |

---

*Figure 4. Excess oxygen adsorption isotherms measured at 1 (square), 5 (diamond), 10 (triangle), 20 (star), and 50 (circle) cycles at 298 K and pressures up to 30 bar for NU-125 (hollow symbols) and HKUST-1 (solid symbols). Reprinted (adapted) with permission from Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 14092–14095; Angew. Chem. 2014, 126, 14316–14319. Copyright 2021.*
density of unsaturated open metal sites\cite{45} and magnetic Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles in a process that resulted in oxygen uptake of 4.8 mmol g$^{-1}$ at 1.2 bar and 204 K with 100 % release of adsorbed molecules achieved during regeneration.\cite{46} Our group also evaluated the effect of MISA on the cycling performance of CuBTC MOF for oxygen storage applications. CuBTC and MgFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticles were combined with the aid of a binder to make pellets that were then exposed to multiple cycles of oxygen adsorption at room temperature and MISA desorption.\cite{47} The composite achieved an uptake capacity of 0.34 mmol g$^{-1}$ at 298 K and was regenerated via MISA at a temperature of 359 K achieving 100 % oxygen release efficiency (Figure 5).

Finally, the reversibility and stability of MOFs during the regeneration process is an important consideration. Thermal stability is particularly important for TSA- and MISA-based processes. A framework which undergoes sequential degradation upon repeated application of heat is of little commercial benefit. The kinetics of desorption should be relatively fast and occur on the timescale of minutes, not hours or days. Overall, the MOF performance should not degrade with cycle count.

5. Applications & Outlook

Commercially produced oxygen has many uses within society. These can be broken down into three main sectors; industry, medical and life support (diving and space). We will examine the applications and outlook of MOFs for oxygen capture, storage and release with respect to these three key sectors.

Industry is the largest consumer, accounting for over 80 % of the 100 million tons of oxygen produced each year.\cite{1}

Medical-based oxygen is increasingly portable, with the aid of portable oxygen concentrators. These systems currently rely on absorbent-based SA technologies using zeolites.\cite{63} Zeolites, as we discussed in the introduction, are nitrogen selective and thus inefficient for oxygen separation. Here, MOFs with oxygen selectivity will outperform zeolites for oxygen capture. This will result in portable concentrators with decreased size and lower energy use (and hence longer runtime on batteries). Oxygen-selective MOFs will likely see adoption in applications such as portable oxygen concentrators first as they utilise SA technology. Further enhancements in energy savings could be seen with the adoption of MISA technologies.

Life support systems (space and diving) rely upon oxygen in tanks to perform critical activities in extreme environments. Unfortunately, these oxygen tanks can be bulky, heavy and operated at hazardously high pressures. MOF-based materials offer an attractive alternative for oxygen storage. The adsorption-based storage enables oxygen at much lower pressures and higher amounts than in a conventional tank.

The potential applications of MOF-based oxygen adsorbents are extensive. However, their cost and scalability will be a significant factor in their success for widespread adoption. Currently, high synthetic costs have impeded the development of practical industrial uses of MOFs in general. A reduction in cost via scale-up and switching to continuous processing will likely be required.\cite{64} Flow chemistry has been shown to be applicable to the scalable synthesis of some of the MOFs outlined in this Minireview.\cite{65} This provides significant promise that next-generation MOF-based oxygen adsorbents may well be delivered at low cost and at scale.

Future work should allow MOF-based materials to adsorb significant amounts of oxygen at relatively low pressures. We encourage researchers to examine their MOF-based adsorbents not only for O$_2$/N$_2$ selectivity but also for O$_2$/CO$_2$, O$_2$/Ar and O$_2$/H$_2$O selectivity as these are

\begin{figure}[h]
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\caption{Oxygen cycling experiments for CuBTC MOF composite showing consistent and 100 % release with the aid of MISA. Adsorption isotherm conducted at 298 K with magnetically triggered desorption (31 mT, 359 K) at 200, 400, 600, 800, and 1000 mbar. Reproduced from RSC Adv. 2020, 10, 40960–40968 with permission from the Royal Society of Chemistry}
\end{figure}
important components of air. Adsorption measurements with mixed gases and/or breakthrough experiments to supplement IAST calculations are also warranted. Overall, the outlook for MOFs as oxygen capture, storage and release materials is favourable. Future research can be anticipated to bring us MOF materials that can selectively adsorb oxygen at room temperature and store large quantities of oxygen at low pressure. These features will see MOF materials utilised in commercial applications in industry, medical and life support settings.
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