Co-crystals as a new approach to multimodal analgesia and the treatment of pain

Abstract: Pain is highly prevalent, but frequently untreated or under-treated, and health care professionals are faced with a range of treatment challenges. Multimodal therapy is recommended and can be achieved using open combinations (ie, concomitant administration) of individual agents, fixed-dose combinations (FDCs), or multimodal agents (ie, single agents with multiple mechanisms of action). Co-crystallization of active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs) offers another approach, with the potential to provide drugs with unique properties and advantages for therapeutic applications compared to combinations. API–API co-crystals are single-entity forms that offer a unique possibility of improving the physicochemical properties of both constituent APIs, as well as permitting their synchronous release. Consequently, this may positively impact on their pharmacokinetic (PK) properties and profiles, providing a potential advantage over FDCs and translating into improved clinical efficacy and safety profiles. We report here a revision of the literature concerning API–API co-crystals for the treatment of pain. It becomes apparent that identifying APIs with complementary mechanisms of action that can be adequately co-crystallized in an appropriate molecular ratio applicable for therapeutic use is challenging. In addition, API–API co-crystals normally result in a mere increased exposure of an API without defined clinical benefits (since, to maintain the benefit-risk, the dose needs to be proportionally reduced to adjust for the increased exposure). An exception to this is the co-crystal of tramadol-celecoxib (CTC), that represents a unique concept in co-crystal technology. In CTC neither of its three active components that have complementary mechanisms of action (ie, the two enantiomers of tramadol and celecoxib) show increased exposure levels versus commercially available single-entity reference products, but rather show a change in their PK profile with potential clinical advantages. CTC is in Phase III clinical development for the treatment of pain.
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Introduction

Pain is highly prevalent across clinical settings, including in primary care, emergency departments, and medical and surgical wards.1–5 Health care professionals involved in the management of patients with pain navigate a plethora of treatment challenges, including lack of analgesic efficacy, tolerability issues, and abuse liability. As a result, pain management remains suboptimal, with many patients remaining un- or under-treated.1,6–9 Inadequate treatment can lead to numerous deleterious effects on patients’ health and well-being, as well as progression from acute to chronic pain.8,10–12

One possible solution to these issues which has gained substantial traction over recent decades is multimodal therapy,10 defined by the International Association for the...
Study of Pain as “the concurrent use of separate therapeutic interventions with different mechanisms of action within one discipline aimed at different pain mechanisms.” As pain involves multiple pathways and mediators, such an approach is likely to be more efficacious than using an analgesic with a single mode of action and also has the potential to reduce side effects and be opioid-sparing; accordingly, its use is recommended for pain management. Multimodal analgesia can incorporate a diverse range of drug treatments although careful consideration must be given to the mechanism(s) of action and side effect profile of each component.

Multimodal engagement can be achieved via a range of different methods (Figure 1). To date, these have included treatment with open combinations (ie, concomitant administration) of two or more drugs, fixed-dose combinations (FDCs), or multimodal agents (ie, single agents with multiple mechanisms of action). Each of these approaches has inherent benefits and limitations. For example, the use of open combinations allows individualized treatment, but this somewhat ad hoc approach can lead to issues, including suboptimal dosing and decreased compliance. Compared with open combination, FDCs provide a more standardized approach and can reduce pill burden, improve compliance, and decrease costs; however, they do not provide additional clinical advantages beyond those of the constituent active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs). Finally, designing single multimodal agents is highly challenging, largely due to the difficulty in achieving selectivity for desired targets while avoiding off-target activity. Consequently, such agents have often been discovered by serendipity rather than by design.

A new method for achieving multimodal pharmacotherapy involves the development of a certain type of pharmaceutical co-crystal. In simple terms, a pharmaceutical co-crystal is formed by an API and a second component contained within a single, unique crystal structure. The majority of currently available pharmaceutical co-crystals contain a single API and a non-active co-crystal former (coformer) in the same crystal structure, ie, they are API–coformer co-crystals. However, it is the development of co-crystals containing more than one API (“API–API co-crystals”) that offers hope in terms of the novel delivery of multimodal therapy. Such co-crystals also offer the potential to provide benefits beyond the simple combination of the constituent APIs therein (as would be achieved, for example, by the use of a FDC), as well as a reduced pill burden and improved compliance. In this article, we review the potential role of co-crystals in the treatment of pain, with a special focus on API–API co-crystals. We begin by providing a background on co-crystal terminology and regulatory status, as well as a summary of advances made in fields outside of the pain arena.

Co-crystal terminology

Historically, co-crystal nomenclature has suffered from a lack of consensus, but in a 2012 perspectives article, Aitipamula et al sought to bring some clarity to the debate and defined co-crystals as solids that are crystalline single phase materials composed of two or more different molecular and/or ionic compounds generally in a stoichiometric ratio which are neither solvates nor simple salts. The US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) defines co-crystals as crystalline materials composed of two or more different molecules, one of which is the API, in a defined stoichiometric ratio within the same crystal lattice that are associated by nonionic and noncovalent bonds.

With these definitions in mind, it is important to note that co-crystals differ from other solid-state drug forms, including salts. Table 1 shows a glossary of relevant terms used in this paper. The design of co-crystals is a complex process, and requires consideration of supramolecular interactions, which are weak donor–acceptor interactions that link individual molecules within a crystal structure of a material. Unlike salts, the components of the crystal lattice in co-crystals do not depend on ionic bonds. Instead, co-crystal formation is based on weaker interactions such as π–π-stacking, hydrogen bonds, and van der Waals interactions.
API–coformer co-crystals

Historically, drug development utilizing co-crystal technology has been under-researched, and the few pharmaceutical co-crystals that were in development contained a single API with coformer(s).23,24,26 Co-crystals were therefore seen by regulatory bodies as a type of drug formulation involving an API and a coformer, with the latter considered to be an excipient. Hence, co-crystals were considered to be pharmaceutical intermediates and therefore were required to be manufactured in a pharmaceutical establishment under Current Good Manufacturing Practices (CGMP) applicable to dosage forms. In recent years, however, regulatory guidance has evolved alongside scientific advances in solid-state forms. In the USA, the FDA has recently re-classified co-crystals from a regulatory perspective so that they are considered similar to a polymorph of an API.26 The European Medicines Agency (EMA) published a reflection paper in 2015 in which co-crystals are considered in the same manner as hydrates, solvates, salts, and polymorphs, with co-crystals of a previously licensed drug(s) not classified as new active substances unless they have different efficacy and/or safety than the constituent APIs. The EMA considers that the formation of co-crystals is normally subject to compliance with CGMP for APIs, as is the case for salts.24

Co-crystallization of an API within an API–coformer co-crystal has several potential physicochemical and pharmacokinetic (PK) benefits, compared with the API alone.23,24,26,30–34 For example, over 100 co-crystal studies have shown improved solubility and/or dissolution rates,23 properties that can lead to optimized oral absorption and enhanced bioavailability.31 Other promising effects include changes in drug biodistribution, permeability, and metabolism.31,34 The physicochemical properties of an API – for example, chemical and physical stability, photostability, melting points, particle morphology and size, tableting and compaction, and tendency to form agglomerates23,34 – can also be optimized via co-crystallization, leading to easier manufacturing processes. In recent years, there has been renewed interest in the field of pharmaceutical co-crystals, but to date there are relatively few examples that have been successfully commercialized. The antidepressant escitalopram, originally thought to be a salt, is now known to be a salt/co-crystal complex (escitalopram oxalate–oxalic acid; Cipralex® [Lundbeck Ltd, Valby, Denmark], Lexapro® [Allergan, Irvine, CA, USA]), and the sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors ipragliflozin–L-proline (Suglat® [Astellas Pharma, Inc., Tokyo, Japan]) and ertugliflozin–L-pyroglutamic acid (SteglatroTM [Merck and Co., Inc., Whitehouse Station, NJ, USA]) – approved treatments for type 2 diabetes – are co-crystals.23,33–39 In the case of ipragliflozin–L-proline, the co-crystal was developed in order to improve drug quality, since ipragliflozin changed to a non-stoichiometric hydrate under certain hygrothermal conditions. The L-proline co-crystal provided a form with superior stability and constant quality with good reproducibility.40 Specific benefits of co-crystallization with a coformer have also been reported for other APIs. For example, the anticonvulsant valproic acid, which is liquid at room temperature, was co-crystallized with sodium valproate to form sodium valproate–valproic acid (Depakote® [Abbvie Inc., North Chicago, IL, USA]), a product that is solid at room temperature and provides enhanced stability compared with salts of valproic acid, including sodium valproate itself.23,41 A co-crystal of the anti-emetic aprepitant (aprepitant–L-proline monohydrate; Figure 2A), which has a significantly improved dissolution rate compared with aprepitant, has also been patented.42 In addition, co-crystals of the muscle relaxant metaxalone have been reported to improve preclinical in vivo bioavailability.23 While such improvements, conferred by co-crystallization, provide benefits with respect to the ease of drug development and – due to improved bioavailability – may permit administration of lower doses of the API therein, API–coformer co-crystals do not offer additional clinical benefits (ie, the clinical efficacy and safety profiles of the constituent APIs are unlikely to be altered). In contrast, and as we discuss in the following sections, API–API co-crystals have the potential to provide an improved clinical profile compared with commercially available single-entity reference products administered alone or in free combination.

API–API co-crystals

API–API co-crystals are unique, single-entity, solid forms28 and as such they differ from open combinations or FDCs. Co-crystals containing more than one active ingredient were first commercialized in the agrochemical arena.43 BASF SE (Ludwigshafen, Germany) developed Faban®, which contains the two fungicides pyrimethanil and dithianon. Compared with individual reference products, Faban® decreases pyrimethanil volatility and achieves greater disease control.43 In the pharmaceutical field, API–API co-crystals have the potential to deliver multimodal therapy and to provide benefits over FDCs.
In terms of physicochemical and formulation-related benefits, API–API co-crystals may offer unique dissolution profiles, possibly leading to increased bioavailability and other clinically relevant changes to the PK of one or more of the component APIs.\textsuperscript{31,44,45} API–API co-crystals differentially offer synchronized release of both active components, potentially favoring unique pharmacodynamic interactions and synergistic effects\textsuperscript{20} in terms of clinical efficacy. As is the case for FDCs and single multimodal agents,\textsuperscript{34,46} API–API co-crystals may reduce the number of prescriptions and associated administrative costs, and increase patient compliance. Finally, API–API co-crystals may also provide a way to counter problems often associated with the development of FDCs in terms of stability, solubility differences and chemical interactions between individual APIs; for example, by improving stability and tabletability.\textsuperscript{20,23,47,48}

Although their potential benefits are numerous, challenges also exist in the development of API–API co-crystals. It is difficult, for example, to identify APIs with appropriate relative potencies, such that optimal dosing can be achieved within the necessary stoichiometric constraints of a co-crystal; furthermore, not all drugs can be co-crystallized.\textsuperscript{20,23} Such challenges mean that API–API co-crystals are even scarcer than API–coformer co-crystals, with few appearing to have progressed beyond patent application or early development stages.\textsuperscript{20} Recently, the successful chemical synthesis of a 5-fluorocytosine–5-fluorouracil co-crystal was reported in the field of oncology, which the research team suggest
might provide a starting point for further exploring the potential utility of API–API co-crystals in the therapy area. 49 Only one marketed compound, sacubitril/valsartan (Entresto® [Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, East Hanover, NJ, USA]), which has been defined as a supramolecular entity (ie, a chemical entity composed of more than one type of molecule), can be considered an API–API co-crystal.23,50 Entresto®, which is used in the treatment of heart failure, comprises a complex containing anionic forms of sacubitril and valsartan, sodium cations and water molecules in the molar ratio of 1:1:3:2.5, respectively.50–53 In Entresto®, valsartan’s bioavailability is increased compared with commercially available single-entity reference products (ie, other tablet formulations). Approved 26, 51, and 103 mg valsartan doses in Entresto® are equivalent to 40, 80, and 160 mg valsartan doses, respectively, of commercially available reference product.51,54 Hence, in the case of Entresto®, dosing is adjusted such that the administered dose of valsartan is reduced accordingly.

API–API co-crystals in the treatment of pain

In the context of pain and multimodal analgesia, it is particularly important that the individual APIs within an API–API co-crystal have complementary mechanisms of action. For example, ensuring inclusion of an API with anti-inflammatory properties is likely to provide therapeutic benefits, as many pain states have an inflammatory component; indeed, anti-inflammatory agents are a recommended part of multimodal treatment regimens.7,16,55 API selection also presents the opportunity to include both centrally and peripherally mediated forms of analgesia.56,57

Few API–API co-crystals for the treatment of pain appear to have progressed beyond the patent application or early development stage.20 Therefore, at present, multimodal analgesia is primarily achieved via the use of open combinations or FDCs. Currently available FDCs include those containing NSAIDs, opioids and/or paracetamol, for example tramadol/dexketoprofen, tramadol/paracetamol, hydrocodone/paracetamol, oxycodone/paracetamol, codeine/paracetamol, etodolac/paracetamol, ibuprofen/paracetamol, hydrocode/ibuprofen, and aspirin/paracetamol.15,58 API–API co-crystals patented or reportedly in early development in the pain arena include ethenzamide–gentisic acid, aceclofenac–paracetamol, paracetamol–indomethacin and mefenamic acid, tramadol–paracetamol, tramadol–naproxen, and meloxicam–aspirin.20 Although it did not progress to clinical development, meloxicam–aspirin represents an informative case study, illustrating the ability of API–API co-crystals to improve API bioavailability. In a rat PK study, the oral bioavailability of meloxicam from a meloxicam–aspirin co-crystal was higher than from meloxicam alone (69% versus 16%, respectively). Maximum plasma concentrations of meloxicam were also increased after administration of the co-crystal, although time to achieve maximum plasma concentration was similar versus the reference meloxicam. The authors of this study speculated that these effects could lead to a more rapid onset of analgesia.

Figure 3 The potential impact of an API–API co-crystal on the physicochemical and clinical properties of the constituent APIs.

Abbreviations: API, active pharmaceutical ingredient; PK, pharmacokinetics.
proposing that plasma levels of meloxicam after co-crystal administration might reach those required for therapeutic effect sooner than from reference meloxicam.\textsuperscript{45}

Co-crystal of tramadol-celecoxib (CTC) is the only API–API co-crystal in late-stage clinical development for the treatment of acute pain. CTC is a unique, single-entity\textsuperscript{59} that contains two APIs belonging to well-known and complementary analgesic classes: rac-tramadol.HCl and celecoxib (in a 1:1 molecular ratio \([1:1.27\) weight ratio]; Figure 2B). Together, these two APIs recruit four of the most relevant mechanisms of action for targeting pain (Figure 4). Tramadol is a centrally acting mu-opioid receptor agonist, as is its active O-desmethyl metabolite (M1). Additionally, the dextrorotary enantiomer of tramadol is an inhibitor of serotonin reuptake, whereas the levorotatory enantiomer of tramadol inhibits noradrenaline reuptake. Tramadol therefore recruits three analgesic mechanisms of action, and the single-entity product is indicated for the treatment of moderate to severe pain.\textsuperscript{60} Celecoxib is a NSAID that preferentially inhibits cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) over COX-1\textsuperscript{61} and is indicated for the relief of chronic pain in osteoarthritis, rheumatoid arthritis and ankylosing spondylitis, as well as for acute pain and primary dysmenorrhea.\textsuperscript{62,63} Of note, celecoxib may have an improved cardiac safety profile compared with some other COX-2 inhibitors, and moderate doses are non-inferior to ibuprofen or naproxen with regards to cardiovascular safety.\textsuperscript{64,65} Combining tramadol and celecoxib provides both peripherally and centrally mediated analgesia, and has the potential to improve efficacy and safety profiles compared with existing pain therapies.\textsuperscript{59,66}

Analytical studies demonstrated that the intrinsic dissolution rates (IDRs) of rac-tramadol.HCl and celecoxib are modified by co-crystallization within CTC (in a 1:1 molecular ratio). Specifically, the IDR of celecoxib from CTC is faster than from celecoxib alone, while that of tramadol from CTC is slower than from rac-tramadol.HCl alone.\textsuperscript{59} These modified physicochemical properties appear to confer modified preclinical and clinical profiles to the constituent APIs (as proposed in Figure 3). In a rat study, for example, a suspension of CTC exerted supra-additive antinociceptive effects (Figure 5), without potentiating adverse effects in separate single-dose safety studies, suggesting potential for an improved clinical benefit–risk ratio, compared with the commercially available, single-entity reference products.\textsuperscript{67} In single- and multiple-dose Phase I clinical studies, the PK profiles of both tramadol and celecoxib were modified after oral administration of CTC, compared with the administration of the reference products alone or in open combination (Figure 6).\textsuperscript{66,68} These findings were consistent with the modified IDRs seen in analytical studies.\textsuperscript{59} It is possible that these modified PK profiles may provide clinical benefits, such as an improved safety profile for tramadol, due to the reduction in its peak levels, and an earlier onset of celecoxib-mediated analgesia. This is a unique finding, which provides a differential profile in the case of CTC; one that is not only based on increasing the bioavailability of the constituent APIs. Evidence from a Phase II study of acute moderate to severe pain following extraction of two or more impacted third molars requiring bone removal appears to confirm that this may translate into
Figure 5: The anti-nociceptive effects of an API–API co-crystal (a suspension of CTC) in a rat model of acute postsurgical pain (n=8–10 per group). *p<0.05, **p<0.001 significant difference between the theoretical additive dose and the experimental additive dose (Student’s t-test).

Notes: Isobolographic analysis of the effects of a suspension of CTC in a rat pain model showed supra-additive effects on both mechanical allodynia (A) and thermal hyperalgesia (B) endpoints. Figures A and B are reproduced from Merlos M, Portillo-Salido E, Brenchat A, et al. Administration of a co-crystal of tramadol and celecoxib in a 1:1 molecular ratio produces synergistic antinociceptive effects in a postoperative pain model in rats. Eur J Pharmacol. 2018;833:370–378. © 2018 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. License available at: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/legalcode.

Abbreviations: API, active pharmaceutical ingredient; CTC, co-crystal of tramadol-celecoxib in a 1:1 molecular ratio; ip, intraperitoneal.

Figure 6: The clinical pharmacokinetics of tramadol and celecoxib after the administration of the API–API co-crystal CTC, compared with the individual, commercially available, single-entity reference products administered alone or in open combination (n=28 celecoxib alone; n=28 open combination of immediate-release tramadol and celecoxib, n=29 CTC; n=30 immediate-release tramadol alone).

Notes: The pharmacokinetic profiles of tramadol (A) and (B) and celecoxib (C) and (D) were modified after administration of CTC, compared with administration of the commercially available, single-entity reference products alone or in open combination. Figures A–D are reproduced with minor modifications (minor changes to the size of individual points, axis title fonts, format of units on y-axes, and minor changes to the key) from Videla S, Lahjou M, Vaque A, et al. Pharmacokinetics of multiple doses of co-crystal of tramadol-celecoxib: findings from a 4-way randomized open-label Phase I clinical trial. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 2018;84(1):64–78. © 2017 The Authors. British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of British Pharmacological Society. License available at: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/legalcode.

Abbreviations: API, active pharmaceutical ingredient; CTC, co-crystal of tramadol-celecoxib.
an improved clinical profile. In this study, CTC tablets (tramadol/celecoxib) 44 mg/56 mg, 66 mg/84 mg, and 88 mg/112 mg had significantly improved efficacy compared with 100 mg immediate-release tramadol.69 The highest dose of CTC tested contains 88 mg rac-tramadol.HCl; thus, the co-crystal may potentially provide improved efficacy at lower tramadol doses. Overall, CTC was well tolerated in completed Phase I and Phase II clinical studies.66,68,69 Taken together, these preclinical and clinical data on CTC support the theory that API–API co-crystals may provide improved clinical properties (Figure 3).

Future perspectives

API–API co-crystals have the potential to impact clinical practice and the future clinical management of pain. For example, modified physicochemical properties and complementary mechanisms of action may lead to synchronized release, synergistic analgesic effects without potentiating adverse events, and modified clinical profiles. These may in turn permit lower doses of individual APIs, thus reducing tolerability issues and associated costs. However, after reviewing the current literature and development status of API–API co-crystals in general and in the pain field in particular, it becomes apparent that identifying APIs with complementary mechanisms of action that can be used at adequate doses for obtaining suitable co-crystals is challenging. Consequently, the number of analgesic API–API co-crystals developed in the future is likely to continue to be limited.

Conclusions

Pharmaceutical co-crystals that contain a single API, co-crystallized with non-active coformer(s) provide a means to improve the API’s physicochemical and PK properties and can permit the development of drugs that would otherwise be difficult to formulate. However, although a few API-coformer co-crystals have reached the market, none of them is in the field of pain.

API–API co-crystals may provide additional advantages, such as the possibility of improved clinical efficacy and/or safety. With respect to pain management, the use of an API–API co-crystal could also deliver improved, multimodal analgesia. This approach also offers benefits over the use of FDCs, which can be subject to difficulties in development. Despite these benefits, and a number of patent applications, few API–API co-crystals have reached late-stage clinical development, and the development of such agents remains challenging. CTC is the only API–API co-crystal in late-stage development for the treatment of pain and is currently in Phase III development.70–72 In contrast to other API–API co-crystals developed to date, findings with CTC suggest a correlation between differentiated physicochemical profiles (of individual APIs) and

Table 1 Glossary of terms

| Term     | Definition                                                                 |
|----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| API      | Active pharmaceutical ingredient                                            |
| Coformer | Non-volatile component that forms co-crystals with an API.                  |
| Enantiomer | An enantiomer is one of two stereoisomers (compounds that have the same molecular formula and differ only in arrangement of their atoms) that are non-superimposable mirror images of each other. Separate enantiomers are optically active compounds; they rotate plane-polarized light in equal amounts but in opposite directions (clockwise direction, dextrorotatory; counterclockwise direction, levorotatory). |
| Hydrate | Hydrates are multicomponent crystalline solid molecular substances containing both the host molecule (API or excipient) and water in the crystal lattice structure. |
| Polymorph | Polymorphs are multiple solid forms of a chemical entity that have different crystal structures. Polymorphs occur when a given compound of a specific molecular formula and chemical structure exists in two or more physical phases resulting from differing arrangements of the molecules in the solid state, ie, exhibiting different crystalline lattices. Polymorphs form as a consequence of different stacking arrangements and/or molecular conformations within the crystal lattice. Polymorphs may possess different physical and chemical properties. |
| Racemate (rac) | A racemate is an equimolar mixture of a pair of enantiomers (levorotatory and dextrorotatory, respectively). A racemate does not exhibit optical activity, meaning that there is no net rotation of plane-polarized light. |
| Salt     | An ionic compound that contains two ions arranged in the crystal lattice predominantly based on ion pairing. Salts are typically formed in an acid–base reaction by proton (H⁺) transfer. |
| Solvate  | Solvates are multicomponent solid molecular substances containing both the host molecule and solvents other than water. |
beneficial changes in clinical PK that may translate into improved clinical efficacy and safety profiles.

**Abbreviations**
5-HT, 5-hydroxytryptamine; API, active pharmaceutical ingredient; CGMP, Current Good Manufacturing Practices; COX, cyclooxygenase; CTC, co-crystal of tramadol-celecoxib (in a 1:1 molecular ratio); EMA, European Medicines Agency; FDA, US Food and Drug Administration; FDC, fixed-dose combination; IDR, intrinsic dissolution rate; ip, intraperitoneal; M1, O-desmethyl tramadol; NET, norepinephrine transporter; PG, prostaglandin; PK, pharmacokinetics; SERT, serotonin transporter.
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