Increased extreme precipitation challenges nitrogen load management to the Gulf of Mexico
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Although the hypoxia formation in the Gulf of Mexico is predominantly driven by increased riverine nitrogen (N) export from the Mississippi-Atchafalaya River basin, it remains unclear how hydroclimate extremes affect downstream N loads. Using a process-based hydro-ecological model, we reveal that over 60% of the land area of the Basin has experienced increasing extreme precipitation since 2000, and this area yields over 80% of N leaching loss across the region. Despite occurring in ~9 days year\(^{-1}\), extreme precipitation events contribute -1/3 of annual precipitation, and -1/3 of total N yield on average. Both USGS monitoring and our modeling estimates demonstrate an approximately 30% higher annual N load in the years with extreme river flow than the long-term median. Our model suggests that N load could be reduced by up to 16% merely by modifying fertilizer application timing but increasing contribution of extreme precipitation is shown to diminish this potential.
The Mississippi and Atchafalaya rivers together contribute ~80% of the freshwater discharge and ~90% of total N export from the United States to the Gulf of Mexico. Riverine N flux from the Basin is an important contributor to the formation of the hypoxic zone (dissolved oxygen <2 mg L⁻¹) in the northern Gulf of Mexico each summer². This is the second largest human-induced hypoxic zone worldwide, and varies in size from only 40 km² due to the drought in 1988 to 22,730 km² in 2017.²⁻⁵ The Mississippi River/Gulf of Mexico Watershed Nutrient Task Force (Hypoxia Task Force) has set a goal of reducing the areal extent of the hypoxic zone to <5000 km² by the year 2035,⁵ a substantial delay from the prior target date of 2015.³ Although huge efforts and resources have been invested to reduce the amount of N delivered from the Basin to the Gulf, the size of the hypoxic zone in 2017 was the largest since 1985,⁵ and 4.5 times the goal of 5000 km².

The US EPA Science Advisory Board recommended that nutrient loading from the Basin must be reduced by at least 45% relative to the 1980–1996 average for reducing the hypoxic zone to <5000 km² in the Gulf.¹ An ensemble modeling study further pushed this target to a 59% reduction in current riverine N loads (Fig. 1) from the Basin under normal weather conditions.¹² Hydroclimatic extremes (referring to drought and floods thereafter) make it even more challenging to achieve the goals in both crop production and environmental protection.¹⁻⁶¹⁴ The degree of inter-annual variability of N loading from the Basin can vary by a factor of 2.3 (ratio of highest to lowest N loads), 76% of which has been attributed to variations of annual precipitation.¹⁵ In addition, there is evidence for intense precipitation over the central US, with large increases in frequencies of “very heavy” and extreme precipitation events.¹⁶ However, it remains uncertain to what extent extreme precipitation influences N yield (i.e., N leaching to local waters) and delivery to the Gulf. This uncertainty complicates the identification and adoption of effective N reduction practices in the face of extreme events.

Annual river discharge and N loads from the Basin have increased substantially since the 1950s,¹⁷⁻²⁰ and precipitation changes in the twenty-first century are predicted to increase N loads by an additional 18%.²¹ Studies based on statistical models¹⁵,²² have identified correlations between N loading, net anthropogenic N input, and climate variations, but the underlying mechanisms responsible for these relationships are still not well clarified. Although a few empirical models²³,²⁴ have partially accounted for mechanisms to explain the coupling between N load and hydroclimate, most of these models loosely couple the land–aquatic system, such that the climate sensitivity of N cycling and transport has been oversimplified and weakly verified.¹⁵ Therefore, a better mechanistic understanding and quantification of N loads in response to hydroclimate extremes is imperative for improving management decision-making.²⁵⁻²⁶ Here, we used a process-based hydro-ecological model, DLEM (Dynamic Land Ecosystem Model)²⁶,²⁷ that incorporates upland hydrological and biogeochemical processes along with the river system, to quantify the spatiotemporal patterns in riverine DIN loads (including NH₄-N, NO₂-N, and NO₃-N) from the Mississippi-Atchafalaya River Basin under extreme as well as non-extreme climate events. Our results indicate that extreme precipitation plays a growing role in yielding and delivering N across the Basin, and challenges N management practices in reducing N loading to the Gulf of Mexico.

Results

Intense precipitation in the Basin. We examined monthly and daily variations in hydroclimatic extremes related to drought (based on the standard precipitation index (SPI)) and extreme precipitation (defined as daily precipitation amount above the 90th percentile for each 5 arc-min pixel) across the Basin since 1980. While severe drought (SPI ≤ −2.0; Supplementary Fig. 1) was not detected in the 1980s and the 1990s, except in 1988, it occurred in 13% of the Basin during the 2000s, and 8% in the 2010s. During the 2000s, 63% of the drainage area was exposed to drought (SPI < 0), whereas this region was relatively wet in the 2010s, only 38% of the land area experienced drought. However, compared with 1980–1999, the share of extreme precipitation in annual total precipitation increased substantially across nearly two-thirds of the Basin during both the dry decade (2000s) and wet decade (2010s) (Fig. 2). On average, extreme precipitation contributed 32% of annual precipitation, and the areas receiving over 400 mm year⁻¹ of accumulated extreme precipitation expanded from the middle and lower Mississippi river basins to the US Corn Belt, where N fertilizer was intensively used for promoting crop growth (Supplementary Fig. 2). Since 1980, 45–70% of annual extreme precipitation in the Basin occurred in spring and summer, with a monthly peak in May (Supplementary Fig. 3), and extreme precipitation also increased in most sub-basins (Supplementary Fig. 4 and Supplementary Table 1). Over the past two decades, a consistent drying trend was found in the southern part of the Basin, in which, however, the amount of annual extreme precipitation still increased (Supplementary Fig. 1 and Supplementary Fig. 2).

Increasing contribution of extreme precipitation to N loads. The contribution of extreme precipitation events to water and N yield depends on when and where they occur and how severe they are. Using DLEM, we were able to quantify the degree of N loading in response to hydroclimatic extremes. Our model estimates showed good agreements with US Geological Survey (USGS) gauge monitoring at multiple sites for monthly and annual total water discharge and N loads, as well as their monthly peaks (Supplementary Figs. 5–7). We also compared the daily modeled river discharge and N loading with the USGS raw measurements at the river outlets to the Gulf (Fig. 3 and Supplementary Fig. 8). They show that the model can well capture the inter-annual and intra-annual variations, and daily peaks in the observed water and N movement. We indeed found that this model may have overestimated the size of peak flows in a few extreme flooding events (such as floods in 2011, 2013, and 2016 as shown in Fig. 3), which is primarily attributed to the over-simplified flood control and dam operation processes in DLEM.

Fig. 1 Large inter-annual variations can be found in the monitored dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) loads from the Mississippi and Atchafalaya river basin (MARB) to the Gulf of Mexico during 1980–2017. X-axis crosses y-axis at the 38-year average (961 Gg N year⁻¹). Annual values were calculated as the sum of N loading measured at the St. Francisville, LA (Station ID 07373420) and Melville, LA (station ID 07381495) by the USGS.
However, this mismatch only occurs in limited events, and we therefore believe that it would not affect the major conclusion we draw from this study.

By setting up model experiments with and without climate variability, we distinguished and quantified how climate regulates N loads in this region. We found that climate-related variability (including precipitation, temperature, and shortwave radiation) has accounted for 76% and 72% of the monthly variations in river discharge and N loads from the Basin to the Gulf, respectively, since 1980 (Supplementary Fig. 9). Based on the USGS LOADEST and DLEM-modeled streamflow data at two near-coast sites, we identified those months with extremely high river flows using a functional data analysis approach (Supplementary Fig. 10). The LOADEST software is made available by the USGS for estimating constituent loads in streams and rivers based on a regression model given a time-series of stream flow, additional data variables, and constituent concentration (https://water.usgs.gov/software/loaest/). The USGS LOADEST estimates show that, in these extremely high flow months, N load was, on average, 1.5 (1.1–1.7) times higher than the 38-year monthly median (Fig. 4a); our model estimates, which were ~1.3 (1.1–2.2) times higher than the median (Fig. 4b), show a comparable result. Both the USGS LOADEST and our modeling estimates support the view that extreme river flow coincides with substantially elevated N loads from the Basin to the Gulf when extreme precipitation occurs. The annual N load in years with extreme river flow months is on average 1.22-fold higher than the median of the 38-year USGS LOADEST estimates and 1.37-fold higher according to the DLEM model results. DLEM-estimated monthly N loads indeed display larger inter-annual variations, and lower median estimates of N loads in May and June than LOADEST estimations. We attribute this to two reasons: (1) DLEM may overestimate river discharge for extreme flooding events (as shown in Fig. 3) because we underestimate the flooding control and dam operation processes; (2) the long-term rating curve used to gap-fill the infrequent nitrate concentration measurements may have overestimated N loading in regression models, like LOADEST, over an extended period (e.g., the regression-based N loading estimations are shown to exceed the observed loads by 25% or more at 33% of the 49 measurement sites of Iowa rivers48).

**Basin-wide patterns of extreme precipitation contributions.**

For the entire Basin, decadal average water and N yield from the extreme precipitation days and their contributions to annual total yield increased in the past two decades despite large inter-annual variations. Extreme precipitation contribution to annual water yield was estimated to grow from 30% pre-2000 to 36% after 2000, and its contribution to annual N yield increased from 28 to 34–35%. This is equivalent to a net N yield increase of 114–156 Gg N year⁻¹ (Fig. 5 and Supplementary Table 2). However, additional model simulations revealed that the changes in N inputs (e.g., N fertilizer use, manure N application, and atmospheric N deposition), cropland area and rotations since 1980 had negligible contributions to these decadal shifts (Supplementary Table 2). Spatially, compared with the long-term (1980–2017) average, large areas in the Mississippi River Basin and the Ohio River Basin were shown to have negative anomalies of extreme precipitation contributions to annual water and N yield in the 1980s and the 1990s (Fig. 5). Since the 2000s, the anomalies of extreme precipitation contribution in these areas have shifted from negative to positive, exceeding the long-term average by 10% or more. We also found that the areas characterized by increasing share of water and N yield in days with extreme precipitation have expanded to intensive cultivation and N application regions in the US Corn Belt. These findings highlight that extreme precipitation is playing a growing role in contributing to water and N loss in a large area of the Basin, and the US Corn Belt in particular during the 2000s.

We split the grid cells of the Basin into four groups by superimposing wetting and drying areas (detected by 24-month SPI) with areas of increasing and declining amount of extreme precipitation (defined by the decadal extreme precipitation percent anomaly relative to the period 1980–1999). Spatially, 61% of the drainage area was characterized by increasing extreme precipitation in the 2000s with one half in drying areas and another half in wetting areas. In the 2010s, however, 66% of the drainage area experienced increasing extreme precipitation, two-thirds of which became wetting (Fig. 6). We summed up that water yields and N yields occurred only in the extreme precipitation days as well as the annual total for these four groups of areas. Overall, >80% of the Basin total runoff and N
Fig. 3 Comparison of DLEM-modeled and USGS-monitored daily river discharge and N loading from the Basin. (a) The modeled and measured daily river discharge spanning from 1 April 2004 to 28 September 2016. (b) The modeled and measured daily N loading spanning from 28 October 2003 to 25 August 2016. The USGS river discharge was calculated based on the daily river discharge measurements at the Melville gauge station (statin ID 07381495) measuring the discharge of Atchafalaya River and at St. Francisville gauge station (07373420) measuring the discharge of the Mississippi River. The daily N loading measurements were also obtained from these two stations during the period 28 October 2003 to 25 August 2016, but are not continuous because flow-N concentration sampling was conducted at weekly or bi-weekly time step.

Fig. 4 Monthly N loads from the Mississippi-Atchafalaya River Basin to the Gulf of Mexico. (a) The sum of N load measured at St. Francisville (7373420) and Melville (7381495) during 1980–2017 as estimated by USGS LOADEST. (b) DLEM estimated N load during 1980–2017. Red circles are months with extreme river flows and the blue line is the median value for each month derived from the 38-year profile. The right panel indicates the deviations of N loads in the extreme flow months from monthly median values, with the black line and box indicating the mean and the 1st and 3rd quantile and the upper and lower whiskers indicating the maximum and minimum.
leaching was from the rising extreme precipitation areas. Basin-wide, extreme precipitation events occurred only 8.6 days year\(^{-1}\) (2.4% of 365 days) on average, but they contributed to approximately one-third of annual total water yields and N yields. This is likely a conservative estimate of the contribution of extreme precipitation events as we only focus on extreme precipitation days without consideration of post-event legacy effects.

In addition, we found extreme precipitation days played a more important role in the areas where drying climate occurred with increasing extreme precipitation (red area in Fig. 6a, b), contributing 38–43% (from the 2000s to 2010s) of annual water yield and 35–38% of annual N yield. In contrast, only 22–30% of annual water yield and 22–36% of annual N yield were from extreme precipitation days in the areas with declining extreme precipitation (yellow and green areas). Expanding areas suffered from heavy rainfall and the growing importance of such extreme events in yielding and delivering N to the Gulf make it even more challenging to reduce downstream N pollution through management practices in the upstream terrestrial ecosystems. The future risk of extreme flooding has been projected to increase in the lower Mississippi River. Our study suggests that special attention should be paid to the effectiveness of N reduction practices during hydroclimate extreme events, extreme precipitation in particular.

Extreme precipitation and timing-sensitive N management. We used DLEM to “experimentally” test the effectiveness of changing the timing of N fertilizer application in reducing annual N loading during days with and without extreme precipitation. According to the USDA ARS survey and reconstructed maps of N fertilizer use, >70% of fertilizer is applied to the Corn Belt in spring, most of which (92%) is applied before crops are planted (mainly in March and April) and the remaining is applied at planting. Across the Basin, 22% of annual total fertilizer is used in fall (usually after harvesting, September–November), leaving the after-planting (late May and June) fertilizer application to <8%. In our simulation experiments, instead of applying N fertilizer at the four traditional application timings following the USDA Economic Research Service state-level crop-specific survey, we split the same amount of annual fertilizer use for each crop into two “after-planting” applications based on the growing degree units (GDUs) determined by the spatial location and local climate

Fig. 5 The model-estimated water yield and N yield occurred in the days with extreme precipitation over the Basin during 1980–2017. The percentage of water yield (a) and N yield (b) occurred in the days with extreme precipitation (EP) relative to annual total in the Basin. The blue horizontal lines in a, b represent the average of 1980–1999, the 2000s, and 2010s, respectively. The inset figures are the annual accumulative water and N yield during extreme precipitation days, and the horizontal lines represent the average of each period. The spatial maps indicate anomaly of extreme precipitation contribution to annual water yield (surface and sub-surface runoff) (c) and N yield (refers to N leaching to local waters) (d) compared with long-term (1980–2017) average across the MARB in each decade.
conditions (Fig. 7a). The “after-planting” fertilizer use was applied to all crop types but winter wheat. The modified fertilizer application timing is projected to effectively reduce annual N loads, except during June–October (Fig. 7b–d). On average, the reduction in N yield during non-extreme precipitation days accounted for two-thirds of the annual total reduction, leaving one-third to the extreme precipitation days. Our study shows that, compared with the USGS LOADEST baseline (1980–1996) N load, up to 16% of annual N load could be potentially reduced during 1980–2017 by removing fall application and applying N fertilizer after planting (Fig. 7d), without harming the productivity of major crops (Fig. 7a and Supplementary Fig. 11). It is well within the range of the previously reported N loading reduction potentials in this region, for example, 5–20% N loading reduction (compared with 1980–1996 average) by replacing 5–25% row crops with miscanthus or switchgrass across the Basin31, and 11–55% N loading reduction by decreasing the basin-wide agricultural N surplus by 205032.

With N fertilizer applied multiple times after early crop development (mostly in May and June), the peak N load to the

Fig. 6 Water yield and N yield in different precipitation categories across the Basin. Superimposition of trends in extreme precipitation (EP) and annual precipitation is used to spatially classify the grid cells of the Basin into four categories in the 2000s (a) and 2010s (b). The 24-month SPI was calculated for the 1980s to the 2010s. The average SPI of the period 1980–1999 was calculated as the baseline SPI. Drying and wetting trends were defined as negative and positive anomalies, respectively, of the SPI in the past two decades relative to the baseline SPI. The pie charts in a, b represent the area percentage of each category in the entire Basin. The modeled water yield (i.e., the sum of surface and sub-surface runoff, c, d) and N yield (i.e., N leaching from soils, e, f) in extreme precipitation days and the whole year averaged in the 2000s and the 2010s were summed for the four moisture groups in the Basin. The red and blue dashed lines in c–f represent the baseline average water and N yield in extreme precipitation days and the annual total during 1980–1999, respectively. The error bars (standard deviations) in c, d and e, f, respectively, indicate the inter-annual variabilities of water yield and N yield over each decade under each category.
Gulf is predicted to decline 19% (i.e., 0.03 Tg N month$^{-1}$ in May; Fig. 7b). N yield reduction from March–May could reach 0.03–0.06 Tg N month$^{-1}$ in the entire Basin, with extreme precipitation days responsible for just under a third of the total (Fig. 7b). However, we also found that the modified fertilizer application timing could enhance N delivery to surface waters during summer and early fall, with extreme precipitation days being very important—contributing 29–63% of the monthly N yield increase on average. The fertilizer timing change is predicted to lead to a net N yield increase during extreme precipitation events over multiple years of the 2010s, which is primarily caused by the growing contribution of extreme precipitation (Fig. 7c). Further modeling analysis also reveals that the alternative N fertilizer use timing has increased N yield in extreme precipitation days during June–October in the 2010s, while causing trivial differences in non-extreme precipitation days (Supplementary Fig. 12). It implies that the expected benefits of fertilizer application timing management will likely not be met during times of extreme precipitation. Although we indeed predict that a substantial N load reduction could be achieved by canceling excessive N input before crop N demand increases, these findings highlight assessing the effectiveness of N management practices along with occurrence of extreme precipitation. Before other strategies of reducing nitrogen load (e.g., assuming more agricultural areas use soybean-corn rotation and cover crops) are tested in our modeling framework, this study provides a starting point for regional analyses of how alternative N management practices could regulate nutrient loading during extreme climatic events, which is consistent with conclusions drawn from previous studies.

**Outlook.** This study explicitly quantified the contribution of extreme precipitation days to N yield and loading in the Mississippi-Atchafalaya River Basin. It also examined the potential for N load reduction using after-planting fertilizer applications and how extreme precipitation events can greatly diminish those reductions. The results of this study provide evidence that increasing amounts of extreme precipitation play a key role in driving inter-annual variations of N loads from the Basin to the Gulf of Mexico, making the already challenging goal of reducing hypoxia zone more difficult for management since the 2000s. However, we can still foresee potentials for reducing riverine N loads by mitigating the impacts of heavy rainfall. For instance, we may target enhancing long-term ecosystem N retention capability, considering future precipitation change while making decisions for water quality management. We conclude that drought events and drying areas are also noteworthy since they may lead to an accumulation of available soil N that is readily mobilized while subsequent flooding events occur. Specifically, streamflow responses to precipitation increases were reported to have been amplified by human activities in the Midwestern US, due to enhanced hydrological connectivity, widespread artificial agricultural drainage, and increased area of agricultural land converted from grassland. As climate models suggest that hydroclimate extremes may occur more frequently in the future, our study demonstrates that managing N application timing could be an effective practice for reducing N load, but must take into account climate-related effects of extreme precipitation. There would be various management practices to be tested for their effectiveness, such as cover crops, wetland restoration, riparian buffers, and precision N management, and so on. Still, our conclusions drawn here have important ramifications regarding river basin nutrient management and reduction of eutrophication and hypoxia in the coasts.
Methods

Data sources. Daily climate data (maximum, minimum, and mean temperature, precipitation, and shortwave radiation) used in this study were generated from high-resolution gridded meteorological data products from station observations by the Climatic Research Unit of the University of East Anglia and North America Regional Reanalysis dataset from a combination of modeled and observed data. Atmospheric CO2 was retrieved from IPCC historical CO2 data and published data products. The gridded N deposition data were developed by interpolating 3-year N deposition data with N emission patterns from EDGAR. Land-use and land-cover change data used in this study were developed by Yu and Liu, with the annual harvested crop area in each county kept consistent with the county-level survey records provided by USDA NASS. The time-series gridded data of N fertilizer use rate, timing, and types were developed by Cao et al. The details of model input data can be found in the Supplementary information (Part II). This study did not consider N source from wastewater because urban wastewater only contributes a small proportion of the total N loading into the Gulf of Mexico.

Model simulations. In this study, we use an improved version of DLEM 2.0 that is capable of simulating N cycling and the flows of water and N from managed and natural land ecosystems (crops, grasslands, forests, etc.) to streams and rivers across the entire Mississippi-Atchafalaya River Basin. This version of DLEM was developed to explicitly model the coupled carbon-nitrogen-water cycles within a plant-soil-water-river continuum. In DLEM, each grid cell is a cohort of up to four natural plant functional types and one cropping system with its area percentage prescribed by land-use input data. Specifically, we consider the distribution and physiological properties of corn, soybean, winter wheat, spring wheat, rice, and six other major crop types across the river basin. This version of DLEM also models management practices including synthetic N fertilizer and manure applications, tile drainage, tillage, crop rotation, and effects of crop technology innovations for agricultural systems, as well as the effects of climate, CO2, and nitrogen deposition for both natural and managed ecosystems. The detailed information of these factors can be found in the Supplementary information (Part II, 2.2–2.4).

Surface runoff and baseflow routing and river networks are integrated into DLEM. The simulation experiment forced by all transient climate, management, and environmental drivers were used to represent our “best estimate” of land-to-aquatic DIN loads (including NH4-N, NO3-N, and NO2-N) across the Basin, which refers to simulation 1 (S1). To account for the effects of climate variability on water and N yield, we used a second simulation, S2, which incorporates all transient input data variations from 1900 to 2017 but holds climate data constant at a 30-year average level during 1981–2017. The long-term average climate data were developed by applying the 30-year average climatology from 1961 to 1990 to the daily pattern of 1982, during which the least extreme precipitation events and extreme drought events were selected. In experiment S1, N input, land, and crop rotation constant at the level of 1980 for the post-1980 period while allowing annual changes in land-use pattern and crop rotations based on prescribed input data. In experiment S2, N input, land, and crop rotation constant at the level of 1980 for the post-1980 period. All the rest input drivers such as climate and atmospheric CO2 concentration were allowed to change in these two simulations. The differences between the all-drivers-on simulation (S1) and these two simulations respectively represent the contributions of the changing N input rates on per unit land area and the contributions of N input rates plus cropland expansion and abandonment as well as crop rotation (because total N inputs are affected by cropland area and crop types planted in each grid cell in each year). We did not partition crop rotation with land-use and land-cover change because they together determine how much N fertilizer was applied in a specific region.

Modification of fertilizer application timings. To test whether fertilizer management can reduce N load given enhanced extreme rainfall events, we developed a dynamic N fertilizer use scheme for DLEM and implemented the fifth model simulation, S5. Considering that corn is the dominant crop type (Supplementary Fig. 13) and is associated with the largest consumption of N fertilizer throughout the entire river basin (Supplementary Figs. 14 and 15), we used growth phases of corn as a proxy for all the crops to examine the impact of fertilizer application timing. The total N fertilizer amount remains the same as the traditional N application practice used for simulation S1. Specifically, we input N fertilizer twice per year, referring to V1 (the plant phase for which the first leaf has fully emerged) and V5 (the phase at which the collar of the fifth leaf is visible) growth phases of corn. Each fertilizer application shares 50% of the annual total. The growth phases of corn are determined by the GDUs. The GDU values range from 232 to 282 and from 496 to 546 when corn reaches V1 and V5 phases, respectively. The peak N fertilizer input is postponed from April to May (Fig. 7). We note that the dynamic N fertilization scheme does not apply to winter wheat due to its unique growth phenology. The N load reduction resulting from the dynamic N fertilization scheme is estimated by the difference between simulations S1 (traditional timing, TT) and S5 (dynamic timing, DT). The detailed information of dynamic N fertilizer use scheme can be found in the Supplementary information (Part III, 3.2).

Data availability

Model estimates of water yield and N yield across the Basin, which were used to produce the major findings in this study, are available at https://doi.org/10.25380/iastate.12779954.

Code availability

The availability of DLEM model code used to conclude the findings of this study is upon special requests (contact: clu@iastate.edu and tianhan@iuburn.edu). The code of the “Functional Boxplot” used to detect the historical months with extreme river discharge can be found in the “Ida” v5.1.4 R package that is available at https://www.rdocumentation.org/packages/idac.
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