Gravitational Waves from Primordial Magnetic Fields via Photon-Graviton Conversion
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We explore a novel process in the early Universe in which thermalized photons are converted into gravitons in the presence of strong primordial magnetic fields. It is found that the frequency of generated gravitational waves (GWs) is typically of order of GHz and their amplitude can be up to \(\Omega_{\text{GW}}h^2 \sim 10^{-10}\). If detected with future developments of the technology to explore this frequency region, the produced stochastic GW background enables us to know when and how strong the primordial magnetic fields are generated. From the peak frequency of the GWs, we can also probe the number of relativistic degrees of freedom at that time.

I. INTRODUCTION

The detection of gravitational waves (GWs) from binary compact star mergers such as those of black holes\textsuperscript{1} and neutron stars\textsuperscript{2} by LIGO/Virgo collaboration showed directly their very existence and opened the era of gravitational-wave astrophysics. We have now prospects for a wealth of quantitatively new astrophysical observations\textsuperscript{3}. Indeed, not only the ground-based interferometers LIGO and Virgo as well as forthcoming KAGRA\textsuperscript{4} that have a sensitivity at \(f \simeq 10^{1\sim3}\) Hz, there are several on-going and proposed experiments at broad range of frequencies. The B-mode polarization of the cosmic microwave background (CMB) probes GWs with frequencies at \(f \simeq 10^{-18\sim16}\) Hz\textsuperscript{5,7}. Pulsar timing arrays such as EPTA\textsuperscript{8} and NANOGrav\textsuperscript{9} observe GWs at \(f \simeq 10^{-9\sim7}\) Hz. The space-based interferometers such as LISA\textsuperscript{10,11}, DECIGO\textsuperscript{12}, and BBO\textsuperscript{13} are sensitive at \(f \simeq 10^{-3} \sim 10\) Hz. Moreover, recently new ideas to detect GWs with much higher frequencies are also investigated\textsuperscript{14,15}. From the theoretical point of view, it is thus essential to extensively study various phenomena generating GWs with such a wide range of frequencies.

The stochastic GW background provides us with invaluable opportunities to access various processes in the early Universe, since the interaction of GWs with matter or radiation is so tiny that they directly carry information on their generation and global evolution history of the Universe\textsuperscript{19}. The stochastic GW background is produced by the quantum fluctuation during inflation\textsuperscript{20}, violent processes at the end of inflation (i.e. preheating)\textsuperscript{21}, cosmic strings\textsuperscript{22}, as well as the first order phase transitions\textsuperscript{23}. In addition to detailed investigation on the impact of the detection of GWs from these processes, it is important to look for other novel processes that produce stochastic GW backgrounds in the early Universe.

We here focus on the photon-graviton conversion process through strong magnetic fields\textsuperscript{24,27}, which is similar to the photon-axion conversion process\textsuperscript{28,31}. (See Ref.\textsuperscript{32} for the opposite process.) If electromagnetic waves (EMWs) are propagating in static magnetic fields, the energy momentum tensor has the quadrupole moment and GWs are produced. From the perspective of spins, a propagating electromagnetic wave (i.e. a spin 1 field = photon) interacting with another spin 1 field, namely magnetic field, can generate a spin 2 particle, graviton.

This conversion process has not yet been observed, because we cannot produce sufficiently strong magnetic fields and EMWs that emit detectable GWs in the laboratory. However, in the primordial Universe when the high energy photons exist, strong magnetic fields can also exist\textsuperscript{1}. In fact, we have a strong motivation to consider their existence, since they can be the origin of the magnetic fields in the galaxies and galaxy clusters\textsuperscript{49}. Moreover, recent observations (of the absence) of the inverse Compton cascade photon from the TeV blazars at Fermi-LAT suggest the existence of the intergalactic void magnetic fields, which might be the remnants of the primordial magnetic fields\textsuperscript{50-58}. They can also contribute to solving the mysteries of the Universe such as baryon asymmetry of the Universe\textsuperscript{59,61} or dark matter\textsuperscript{65}. If the primordial magnetic fields were generated in the early Universe at a very high temperature, then we expect that a stochastic GW background is produced through the

\textsuperscript{1} Magnetogenesis scenarios from inflation\textsuperscript{33-38}, first order phase transitions\textsuperscript{59,64}, or chiral plasma instability\textsuperscript{45,46} have been considered.
photon-graviton conversion and serves as a smoking-gun of these scenarios.

In this paper, we examine the photon-graviton conversion process in the early Universe and evaluate the stochastic GW background today. We find that the process is most effective when the process onset, i.e., at the magnetic field generation or reheating, since its efficiency at high energy scales is so strong that dilution due to the cosmic expansion does not overwhelm it, and that the stochastic GW background carries information of thermal photons in its spectrum. As a result, from the peak frequency we can extract the information of the temperature or the time when the process starts. For example, if the process starts at the Hubble parameter $H \approx 6 \times 10^{13}$ GeV, the peak frequency comes to $f \approx 10^2$ GHz. It also has a weak but nonvanishing dependence on the number of relativistic degrees of freedom. If we have a huge number of degrees of relativistic freedom in thermal bath at the onset of the process, the peak shifts to lower frequencies, and hence it can also be a probe of the number of relativistic degrees of freedom. The peak height is determined by the strength of long-range magnetic fields and we can give its theoretical upper bound by requiring the energy density of magnetic fields do not exceed that of thermal plasma. Unfortunately, we find that the GW amplitude cannot be large so that it can be detected in the on-going or already proposed GW experiments. But we believe our study gives a strong motivation to improve the sensitivity of GW experiments.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, the photon-graviton conversion process in the presence of long-range magnetic fields is reviewed. Then, we estimate the probability of this process in the thermal plasma in Sec. III and calculate the stochastic GW spectrum in Sec. IV. Sec. V is devoted to conclusions and discussions.

II. PHOTON-GRAVITON CONVERSION

In this section, we review the core of the mechanism, that is, how gravitons are converted from photons by the background magnetic fields (in the Minkowski spacetime) \cite{24,27}. To develop the intuitive understanding, we consider a simplified setup. Namely, we ignore the effective mass of the photon in thermal plasma and also neglect the back-reaction from the produced graviton to the photon, while these effects are fully taken into account in the next section.

We here examine the conversion of photons into gravitons as waves (or EMWs into GWs) in the presence of static magnetic fields. The convenient choice to investigate the evolution of the GWs is the transverse and traceless (TT) gauge, where the metric fluctuation $h_{\mu\nu} \equiv g_{\mu\nu} - \eta_{\mu\nu}$ satisfies $h^{0\mu} = 0$, $h^i_0 = 0$, and $\partial^i h_{ij} = 0$. We will use $\eta_{\mu\nu} = (1, -1, -1, -1)$ notation. Then the equation of motion for the GWs, $h^{TT}_{ij}$, is obtained by linearizing the Einstein equation in the TT gauge as

\begin{equation}
(\partial_i^2 - \nabla^2) h^{TT}_{ij}(t, x) = \frac{2}{M_{Pl}^2} T_{ij}^{TT}, \tag{1}
\end{equation}

where $T_{ij}^{TT}$ is the TT component of the energy-momentum tensor of matter fields (including gauge fields) and $M_{Pl} \approx 2.43 \times 10^{18}$ GeV is the reduced Planck mass. The energy-momentum tensor of the electromagnetic field is given by

\begin{equation}
T_{\mu\nu}^{em} = \frac{1}{4} g_{\mu\nu} F^{\alpha\beta} F_{\alpha\beta} - F_{\mu}^\alpha F_{\nu}^\alpha, \tag{2}
\end{equation}

where $F_{\mu\nu} \equiv \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$ is the field strength of the photon field $A_\mu$. Defining the electric and magnetic fields as

\begin{equation}
E_i \equiv -F_{0i}, \quad B_i \equiv \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ijk} F_{jk}, \quad \text{or} \quad F_{ij} = \epsilon_{ijk} B^k, \tag{3}
\end{equation}

with $\epsilon^{ijk}(\epsilon_{ijk})$ being the Levi-Civita symbol, $T_{ij}^{em}$ is expressed as

\begin{equation}
T_{ij}^{em} = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij} \delta^{kl} \left( E_k E_l + B_k B_l - E_i E_j - B_i B_j \right). \tag{4}
\end{equation}

After going to the momentum space, the TT component of $T_{ij}^{em}$ can be obtained by applying the projection tensor $A_{ij,kl}$, defined as

\begin{equation}
A_{ij,kl}(k) \equiv \left( P_{ik}(k) P_{jl}(k) - \frac{1}{2} P_{ij}(k) P_{kl}(k) \right), \tag{5}
\end{equation}

$P_{ij}(k) \equiv \delta_{ij} - \frac{k_i k_j}{|k|^2}$, so that

\begin{equation}
T_{ij}^{em,TT}(k) = \sum_{k,l} A_{ij,kl}(k) T_{kl}^{em}(k). \tag{6}
\end{equation}

Now let us consider the photon-graviton conversion for an EMW that propagates along the $z$-axis in the static and homogeneous background magnetic fields that run along the $x$-axis. The gauge field configuration is given by $A_\mu = A_\mu^0 + A_\mu^s$ where $A_\mu^0 \equiv (0, 0, 0, B_0)$ is the background gauge field (magnetic field) and $A_\mu^s \equiv (0, (B_y/k) \sin(k(t - z)), -(B_x/k) \sin(k(t - z)), 0)$ is the propagating gauge field (EWM). We find

\begin{equation}
B^i = (B_0 - B_x \cos(k(t - z)), -B_y \cos(k(t - z)), 0), \tag{7}
\end{equation}

$E_i = (-B_x \cos(k(t - z)), B_x \cos(k(t - z)), 0)$, with which we obtain the energy momentum tensor for the electromagnetic field,

\begin{equation}
T_{ij}^{em}(x, t) = \left( B_0^2 / 2 \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array} \right) + \left( \begin{array}{cc}
B_0 B_x \cos(k(t - z)) & B_0 B_y \cos(k(t - z)) \\
B_0 B_x \cos(k(t - z)) & -B_0 B_x \cos(k(t - z))
\end{array} \right). \tag{8}
\end{equation}
where $i, j$ runs 1 and 2. We now perform the Fourier transform and apply the projection operator defined in Eq. [5]. Noting that for $p = (0, 0, p_z)$, the projection operator reads $P_{ij}(p) = \delta_{ij}$ for $i, j = 1, 2$ and 0 for others, we find the TT component of the energy momentum tensor in the momentum space as

$$T_{ij}^{\text{em}, \text{TT}}(p, t) = \delta(p_x) \delta(p_y) (\delta(p_z - k)e^{ikt} + \delta(p_z + k)e^{-ikt}) \times \left(\frac{B_0}{2}\begin{pmatrix} B_x & B_y \\ B_y & -B_x \end{pmatrix}\right).$$ (9)

Note that the non-propagating part in Eq. [5] does not contribute to the TT component. Going back to the real space, we obtain

$$T_{ij}^{\text{em}, \text{TT}}(x, t) = B_0 \cos(k(t - z)) \left(\begin{array}{cc} B_x & B_y \\ B_y & -B_x \end{array}\right),$$ (10)

for $i, j = 1, 2$ while the others vanish. By writing the TT component of the metric fluctuation in terms of $h_+(t, x)$ and $h_\times(t, x)$ as

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu} = \left(\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 + h_+ & h_\times & 0 \\ 0 & h_\times & -1 - h_+ & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{array}\right),$$ (11)

the linearized Einstein equation (Eq. [1]) reads

$$\left(\partial_t^2 - \partial_z^2\right)h_+(t, x) = \frac{2}{M_{\text{Pl}}^2} B_0 B_x \cos[k(t - z)],$$ (12)

$$\left(\partial_t^2 - \partial_z^2\right)h_\times(t, x) = \frac{2}{M_{\text{Pl}}^2} B_0 B_y \cos[k(t - z)].$$ (13)

Note that these equations are analogous to those of the driven (forced) oscillator. The solution induced by the source term from the background magnetic fields is given by

$$h_+ = (z + t) \frac{B_0 B_x}{2k M_{\text{Pl}}^2} \sin[k(t - z)].$$ (14)

The solution for $h_\times$ can be obtained by replacing $B_x$ by $B_y$. For instance, looking at $z = t + \pi/2k$, one can see the amplitude of the propagating GW grows in proportional to $t$, as it is continuously produced by the source term. It indicates that the GW is generated from the EMW in the magnetic field.

It should be noted that since we ignore the back-reaction from the produced GWs to the EMW, formally the GWs would eventually acquire infinite energy. In reality, however, once the amplitude of the GWs become large enough, the back-reaction becomes no longer negligible and the inverse process, namely, the conversion from the GW into the EMW, should be significant. Consequently, the oscillation between the GW and the EMW takes place, as we see in the next section.

### III. The Conversion in the Universe

We now study the process of conversion from photons into gravitons in the thermal plasma of the early Universe. As long as the coherence length of background magnetic fields is sufficiently larger than the photon mean free path, the photon can be treated as an EMW in the static and homogeneous magnetic fields up to that length scale, and hence the basic idea in the previous section is applicable. Since we will see that the time scale of the process is shorter than the Hubble time, we ignore the cosmic expansion and work with the Minkowski background. On the other hand, here we take into account the non-negligible effects such as effective photon mass and the inverse process, namely, the conversion from gravitons into photons. As such, the photon and the graviton oscillates each other in a manner completely analogous to the neutrino oscillation or the axion-photon conversion [28–31]. At the end of this section, we shall obtain the probability of the conversion from a photon into a graviton, which allows us to examine the evolution of the graviton distribution function in the next section.

Let us assume that stochastic magnetic fields with a sufficiently large coherence length exist in the radiation dominated Universe and electric fields are screened by the thermal plasma. The linearized equations of motion for the graviton and photon that propagate along the $z$ direction in the background large-scale magnetic fields are given by [26]

$$\left(\partial_t^2 - \partial_z^2\right) h_+^{\text{TT}}(t, z) = \frac{2}{M_{\text{Pl}}^2} T_{ij}^{\text{em}, \text{TT}},$$ (15)

$$\left(\partial_t^2 - \partial_z^2 + m_e^2\right) A_i(t, z) = -\delta^{kj} (\partial_z h_+^{\text{TT}}) F_{kj}^{(bg)},$$

for $i, j = 1, 2$, where $F_{kj}^{(bg)} = \epsilon_{kjl} B_{l}^{(bg)}$ is the field strength of the background large-scale magnetic fields and the TT components of the energy momentum tensor are given by

$$T_{11}^{\text{em}, \text{TT}} = -T_{22}^{\text{em}, \text{TT}} = B_{1}^{(bg)} \partial_z A_y + B_{y}^{(bg)} \partial_z A_x,$$

$$T_{12}^{\text{em}, \text{TT}} = T_{21}^{\text{em}, \text{TT}} = -B_{1}^{(bg)} \partial_z A_x + B_{y}^{(bg)} \partial_z A_y.$$ (16)

Here we work in the radiation gauge, $A_0 = 0, \delta^{ij} \partial_i A_j = 0$, and omit spatial derivatives on the background magnetic fields. As mentioned in the above, we introduce the effective photon mass $m_e$ emerged from the interactions between the photon and particles in the thermal plasma. Later we will also discuss the other effect coming from the photon interactions, namely, the photon mean free path. By defining

$$A_+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{B_x^{(bg)} + B_y^{(bg)}}} (B_y A_x + B_x A_y),$$

$$A_\times = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{B_x^{(bg)} + B_y^{(bg)}}} (B_x A_x - B_y A_y).$$ (17)
the EOMs are rewritten as
\begin{align}
(\partial_t^2 - \partial_z^2 + m_1^2) A_\lambda(t, z) \\
- \sqrt{B_z^{(bg)2} + B_y^{(bg)2}} \partial_z h_{\lambda}(t, z) = 0,
\end{align}
and
\begin{align}
(\partial_t^2 - \partial_z^2) h_{\lambda}(t, z) \\
- 2M_{Pl}^2 \sqrt{B_z^{(bg)2} + B_y^{(bg)2}} \partial_z A_{\lambda}(t, z) = 0,
\end{align}
where \( \lambda = +, \times \). It should be noted that the graviton and the photon are coupled to the other only with the same polarization, + or \( \times \). Thus, as far as we are interested in the propagation and the decay of the overall amplitudes, which indicate the mixing or conversion of the photon and the graviton. Note that we neglect the Hubble expansion and the decay of the overall amplitudes, \( |\hat{A}/\hat{A}|, |\hat{h}/\hat{h}| \sim H \ll \omega \).

Let us examine the photon-graviton conversion rate with the EOMs of (20). Since we are interested in the radiation dominated Universe with a high temperature \( T > m_e \), the effective photon mass is dominated by the Debye mass, \( m_\gamma^2 \approx m_D^2 \sim \epsilon^2 T^2 \), where \( \epsilon = \sqrt{4\pi \alpha_e} \approx 0.3 \) with \( \alpha_e \) being the fine structure constant. We also consider relatively large magnetic fields \( B_T \leq T^2 \). We further simplify the EOMs with the similar approximation taken in Ref. [31]. By assuming \( \omega \gg m_\gamma B_T/M_{Pl} \), we can approximate \(-i\partial_z \simeq \omega \) while keeping \( \omega + i\partial_z \). Then, the EOMs are rewritten as
\begin{align}
[\omega + i\partial_z + \mathcal{M}] \begin{pmatrix} \hat{A}_x^\gamma(z) \\ \hat{h}_x^\gamma(z) \end{pmatrix} = 0,
\end{align}
where
\begin{align}
\mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix} -\Delta_\gamma & i\Delta_M \\ i\Delta_M & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
\equiv \begin{pmatrix} -m_1^2/2\omega & iB_T/\sqrt{3}M_{Pl} \\ iB_T/\sqrt{3}M_{Pl} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{align}

These coupled equations can be solved in the same way as the neutrino oscillation. Diagonalizing the mixing mass matrix \( \mathcal{M} \) by an orthogonal matrix \( R \), satisfying \( RR^T = R^TR = 1 \), as
\begin{align}
RMR^T = \begin{pmatrix} m_1 & 0 \\ 0 & m_2 \end{pmatrix},
\end{align}
\( m_{1,2} = -1/2 \left( \Delta_\gamma \pm \sqrt{\Delta_\gamma^2 - 4\Delta_M^2} \right) \),
the EOMs for the rotated fields \( \psi \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix} = R \begin{pmatrix} \hat{A}_x^\gamma(z) \\ \hat{h}_x^\gamma(z) \end{pmatrix} \) are given by \([\omega + i\partial_z + m_j]\psi_j = 0 \). Note that in the parameter we are interested in we have
\begin{align}
\Delta_\gamma \simeq T^2/\omega \gg T^2/M_{Pl} \simeq B_T/\sqrt{3}M_{Pl} = \Delta_M,
\end{align}
as long as \( \omega \ll M_{Pl} \). Their solutions are easily obtained as
\begin{align}
\psi_j(z) = e^{i(\omega + m_j)z}\psi_j(z = 0), \quad (j = 1, 2).
\end{align}
Rewriting the solutions in terms of the original fields, the photon-graviton conversion process can be regarded as a “measurement”. Therefore, the collision of a photon with charged particles in the thermal bath through the gauge interaction,
\begin{align}
P_{\gamma \rightarrow g}(L) = |\langle \hat{h}_x^\gamma(L)|\hat{A}_x^\gamma(0)\rangle|^2 = \frac{4\Delta_M^2}{\Delta_\gamma^2 - 4\Delta_M^2} \sin^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\Delta_\gamma^2 - 4\Delta_M^2} L \right).
\end{align}

Let us evaluate the typical length of the photon propagation \( L \). The ensemble average of the photon-graviton conversion rate is given with that length. The collision of a photon can be understood as the collapse of the photon wave function and hence as a “measurement”. Therefore, the photon-graviton conversion process can be regarded to be fixed for a wave-packet of the photon with the propagation distance being the mean free path of the photon. It is evaluated as \( L \simeq \Gamma_\gamma^{-1} \), where \( \Gamma_\gamma \) is the rate of the photon scattering with charged particles in the thermal bath through the gauge interaction,
\begin{align}
\Gamma_\gamma \simeq \alpha_e^2 T.
\end{align}
Since we can approximate \( \sqrt{\Delta_\gamma^2 - 4\Delta_M^2} \simeq \Delta_\gamma \), the argument of the sinusoidal function in Eq. (27) is evaluated as
\begin{align}
\Delta_\gamma L \simeq \frac{2\pi T}{\Gamma_\gamma} \alpha_e \omega.
\end{align}
Thus, as far as we are interested in \( \omega \lesssim T \), we have \( \Delta_\gamma L \gtrsim \frac{2\pi}{\Gamma_\gamma} \gg 1 \) and the ensemble average of \( P_{\gamma \rightarrow g}(L) \) can be obtained by replacing the sinusoidal function with
\footnote{Here we have omitted the momentum dependence of the mean free path of the photon, but it will not change the result of Eq. (29) as far as we are interested in \( \omega \lesssim T \).}
\(\langle P_{\gamma \rightarrow g}(L) \rangle \approx 2 \left( \frac{\Delta M_{\gamma}}{\Delta \nu} \right)^2 \approx \frac{8 \omega^2 B_{g}^2}{3e^3 T^2 M_{Pl}^2} \times 4 \times 10^{-5} \left( \frac{\omega}{10^{14} \text{GeV}} \right)^2 \left( \frac{g_*}{100} \right) \Omega_B, \) \(30\)

where \(\Omega_B\) is the energy fraction of the large scale magnetic field,

\[\Omega_B \equiv \frac{\rho_B}{\rho_{\text{tot}}} = \frac{15 B_{g}^2}{\pi^2 g_* T^4}, \] \(31\)

where \(\rho_{\text{tot}} = (\pi^2 g_* / 30) T^4\) is the total energy density of the Universe and \(\rho_B = B_{g}^2 / 2\) is that of magnetic fields. Therefore, if the magnetic fields are produced at \(T = 10^{14}\) GeV, for instance, with the energy fraction \(\Omega_B\), \((0.004 \times \Omega_B)\)% of photons at \(\omega \sim T\) are converted into gravitons.

Note that our analytic estimate relies on the approximation \(\partial_t \approx i \omega\) but this is only valid for a sufficiently small \(m_\gamma\). However, since \(m_\gamma \approx 0.3T\), it is not negligibly small for \(\omega < T\). Thus our formula of Eq. \(30\) does not have the accuracy beyond the order estimate. Moreover, for a smaller \(\omega < m_\gamma\), scattering processes between photons and other charged particles are no longer negligible and the picture discussed in the above breaks down. We expect that the photon-graviton conversion gets strongly suppressed and do not consider such a small momentum.

**IV. THE ENERGY SPECTRUM**

Let us now evaluate the distribution function or the energy spectrum of gravitons generated from the thermalized photons in the hot early Universe through the mechanism discussed in the previous sections. The generation of gravitons is described by the Boltzmann equation,

\[(\partial_t - H \omega \partial_\omega) f_\gamma(t, \omega) = \Gamma_{\gamma \rightarrow g} f_\gamma(t, \omega), \]

where \(H\) is the Hubble parameter, \(f_\gamma(t, \omega)\) is the distribution function of the graviton and that of the thermalized photon is given by the Bose-Einstein distribution,

\[f_\gamma = \frac{1}{e^{\omega/T} - 1}, \]

with the temperature of the thermal bath \(T\). The conversion rate from the photon into the graviton in a unit time \(\Gamma_{\gamma \rightarrow g}\) can be evaluated with the same procedure in the case of the sterile neutrino production through oscillations \([69][74]\). That is, we evaluate it in terms of the probability averaged over photons in the ensemble,

\[\Gamma_{\gamma \rightarrow g} = \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_\gamma \langle P_{\gamma \rightarrow g}(L) \rangle \approx \frac{\omega^2 B_{g}^2}{12\pi^2 M_{Pl}^2 T^3}, \]

where we have used Eq. \(30\) and \(\Gamma_\gamma\) is the scattering rate (measurement rate) of the photon given in Eq. \(28\). This expression represents our evaluation that a photon is converted to a graviton with a rate \(\langle P_{\gamma \rightarrow g}(L) \rangle\) at a collision or a “measurement” that happens in a time scale \(\Gamma_{\gamma \rightarrow g}^{-1}\). See Ref. \([72]\) for the origin of the numerical factor \(1/2\). We here also assume that the magnetic field coherence length is much larger than the mean free path of the photon, which is usually the case.

Now we are ready to solve Eq. \(32\). Assuming that the magnetic fields decay adiabatically after its generation at \(T = T_i\) in the radiation dominated Universe\([71]\)

\[B_T = B_i \frac{T^2}{T_i^2}, \]

and changing the time variable from the cosmic time \(t\) to the temperature \(T\), one can rewrite Eq. \(32\) as

\[(T \partial_T + \omega \partial_\omega) f_\gamma(T, \omega) = -A \omega^2 \frac{1}{T^2 T_i e^{\omega/T} - 1}, \]

with

\[A = \frac{B_i^2}{12\pi^2 H_i T_i M_{Pl}^2} = \frac{H_i}{2\pi^2 T_i} \Omega_{Bi}, \]

where \(H_i\) is the Hubble parameter at \(T = T_i\) and \(\Omega_{Bi} \equiv B_i^2 / (6M_{Pl}^2 H_i^2)\) denotes the energy fraction of the magnetic fields at its generation. This equation is analytically solved as

\[f_\gamma(T, \omega) = A \frac{\omega^2}{T^2 T_i e^{\omega/T} - 1}, \]

where we have set the boundary condition, \(f_\gamma(T_i, \omega) = 0\). Therefore at later times, the graviton distribution function is given by

\[f_\gamma(T < T_i, \omega) = A \frac{\omega^2}{T^2 e^{\omega/T} - 1}. \]

This result indicates that the photon-graviton conversion is most effective at \(T \approx T_i\) and for \(T < T_i\) the graviton distribution function just redshifts.

The above equation holds as long as Eq. \(35\) is exact, that is, the entropy production does not occur so that the thermal bath temperature evolves as \(T \propto a^{-1}\) and \(H \propto T^2\). This condition is violated when the number of relativistic particles in the thermal equilibrium decreases. Due to the entropy conservation in the matter sector, the temperature of the photon \(T_\gamma\) depends not only the scale factor but also the number of relativistic degrees of freedom as \(T_\gamma \propto g_{*s}^{-1/3} a^{-1}\), while the “effective” temperature

^3 This is the case when the magnetic field coherence length is sufficiently large, which is consistent with our assumption. If it is short, magnetic fields evolve according to the magnetohydrodynamics and decay much faster.
of the graviton in Eq. (39) is not affected, \( T_g \propto a^{-1} \). The ratio between these temperatures at present is then given by

\[
\frac{T_g(t_0)}{T_r(t_0)} = \left( \frac{g_{*s}(t_0)}{g_{*s}(t_i)} \right)^{1/3} \approx 0.33 \left( \frac{g_{*s}(t_i)}{106.75} \right)^{-1/3}. \tag{40}
\]

Here \( g_{*s} \) is the effective number of relativistic degrees of freedom for entropy, and \( t_0 \) and \( t_i \) denote the present time and the generation time of the magnetic field, respectively. We also used \( g_{*s}(t_0) = 43/11 \). Since the evolution equation for the gravitons holds by ignoring the right hand side and replacing \( T \) with \( T_g \) in Eq. (36), we can replace \( T \) with \( T_g \) to evaluate Eq. (39) at a late time, as

\[
f_g(T_g(t), \omega) = A \frac{\omega^2/T_g^2(t)}{e^{\omega/T_g(t)} - 1}, \tag{41}
\]

which is our main result of the present paper.

It is interesting to compare the peak frequencies of the intensities of the photon and the graviton, \( I \propto \omega^3 f(\omega) \),

\[
I_\gamma \propto \frac{\omega^3}{e^{\omega/T_\gamma} - 1} \implies 2\pi f_\gamma^{\text{peak}} = \omega_\gamma^{\text{peak}} \approx 2.82 T_\gamma, \tag{42}
\]

\[
I_g \propto \frac{\omega^5}{e^{\omega/T_g} - 1} \implies 2\pi f_g^{\text{peak}} = \omega_g^{\text{peak}} \approx 4.97 T_g. \tag{43}
\]

Therefore, by measuring the difference between the peak frequencies of the photon and the graviton, one can probe the relativistic degrees of freedom at the time of primordial magnetogenesis through the following equation:

\[
g_{*s}(t_i) \simeq 21.3 \left( \frac{f_g^{\text{peak}}}{f_\gamma^{\text{peak}}} \right)^3. \tag{44}
\]

The gravitons investigated in the present study act as the stochastic GW background, which is often characterized by the differential energy fraction, \( \Omega_{GW} \equiv \frac{\rho_{GW}}{\rho_{tot}} \), where \( \rho_{GW} \equiv \int \ln \omega (2\omega^4 f_g(\omega))/2\pi^2 \). It is given by

\[
\Omega_{GW}(\omega, t) = \frac{\omega^4 f_g(T_g(t), \omega)}{\pi^2 \rho_{tot}(t)} = \frac{\rho_{Bi} H_i}{2\pi^4 T_\gamma(t_i) \rho_{tot}(t)} \frac{\omega^2}{e^{\omega/T_g(t)} - 1}. \tag{45}
\]

Evaluating this equation at the present time \( t_0 \) with Eqs. (40) and (43), we obtain

\[
h^2 \Omega_{GW}(\omega, t_0) \approx 2.2 \times 10^{-11} \left( \frac{g_{*s}}{106.75} \right)^{-1/3} \Omega_{Bi} \times \left( \frac{\omega/T_\gamma(t_0)}{e^{\omega/T_\gamma(t_0)} - 1} \right)^6 \left( \frac{H_i}{6 \times 10^{13} \text{GeV}} \right)^{1/2}, \tag{46}
\]

\[
\Omega_{GW}^{\text{inf}} \approx 1.7 \times 10^{-16} \left( \frac{g_{*s}^{\text{RH}}}{106.75} \right)^{-1/3} \left( \frac{H_{\text{end}}}{6 \times 10^{13} \text{GeV}} \right)^2 \tag{48}
\]
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for
\[ f \leq f_{\text{RH}} \equiv 0.17 \text{GHz} \left( \frac{g_*^{\text{RH}}}{106.75} \right)^{-1/12} \left( \frac{H_{\text{end}}}{6 \times 10^{13} \text{GeV}} \right), \]
where \( H_{\text{end}} \) is the Hubble parameter at the end of inflation and \( g_*^{\text{RH}} \) is the number of relativistic degrees of freedom at reheating. Note that at the edge of the spectrum, \( f \approx f_{\text{RH}} \), another contribution from the gravitational particle production at the end of inflation, in which particles that include gravitons are generated due to the change of the cosmic expansion rate \( [77, 79] \), also exist, but the amplitude of this contribution is as comparable as those from vacuum fluctuation (Eq. (48)). From these expressions (Eqs. (47) and (49)), we see that the frequency of these GW backgrounds is much smaller than that of the GWs from photon-graviton conversion for not so large \( g_* \). However, for larger \( g_* \),
\[ g_*^{\text{RH}} \approx g_*(t_i) > 9.6 \times 10^{12} \left( \frac{H_{\text{end}}}{6 \times 10^{13} \text{GeV}} \right)^{-4}, \]
the frequency of the GW background from the photon-graviton conversion overlaps with those from inflationary fluctuations and the former can be hidden by the latter, as we can see in Fig. 1. Note that this conclusion depends strongly on the reheating mechanism. If the reheating is followed by the long matter-like inflaton dominated era, the inflationary GW background are much diluted so that it might not hide the GWs from photon-graviton conversion. On the other hand, the inflationary contributions get larger for the kination scenario \([81, 82]\), so that it is more likely to hide the GWs from photon-graviton conversion.

V. DISCUSSIONS

In this paper, we have explored the photon-graviton conversion process in the presence of primordial magnetic fields and estimated the stochastic GW background which might be observed today. We found that the process is the most effective at the magnetic field generation or reheating and that the peak frequency of the GW spectrum enables us to extract the information of the temperature and the number of relativistic degrees of freedom when the process starts. We gave the upper bound on the peak height by requiring the energy density of magnetic fields do not exceed that of the thermal plasma. While the GW amplitude cannot be so large that it can be detected in the on-going or already proposed GW experiments, this study gives a good motivation to improve the sensitivity of GW experiments. Indeed, the new proposals and attempts to detect very high frequency GWs have been made \([4,18]\). In analogy with classic (electromagnetic-wave) astronomy, we expect that gravitational-wave astronomy will also develop technologies to observe GWs with a wide range of frequencies. In the future, therefore, the prediction of our study may be relevant and provide a novel way to explore the early universe.

It should be mentioned that a gauge field involved in the current process generating gravitons does not have to be the electromagnetic or hypercharge gauge field in the Standard Model (SM) of particle physics. If high energy hidden photons and their strong magnetic field counterpart exist in the early Universe, they can also generate a stochastic GW background. Hidden U(1) gauge bosons have been explored intensively as a well-motivated possibility of physics beyond the SM \([83]\), since they are the key to unveil dark sectors and to understand the gauge structure of the SM. Hidden photons can be also a good candidate of dark matter or dark radiation. Moreover, recently, hidden magnetic fields have been gotten interest in the cosmological and astrophysical context such as the relationship to the indirect generation of intergalactic magnetic fields \([84, 85]\) and the spectral modulation of high-energy gamma-ray observations \([86]\). Therefore, it is worth studying the possible hidden photon-graviton conversion in the early Universe and its observational signatures to explore dark sectors.
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