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ABSTRACT

Background
Anastomotic leakage is a feared complication following colorectal surgery and is associated with early and long-term morbidity and mortality. The presacral cavity as the result of anastomotic leakage can be treated with an endo-sponge (B-Braun Medical). The aim was to assess the effectiveness of endo-sponge treatment of the presacral cavity as result of anastomotic leakage in the Netherlands.

Methods
Between July 2006 and April 2008, 16 patients (M/F = 9:7) with a median age of 64 years, (range 19-78 years) who underwent surgery for rectal cancer (n=13), or ulcerative colitis (n=3) were treated with the endo-sponge treatment after anastomotic leakage.

Results
Of the 16 patients, eight patients started with the endo-sponge treatment within six weeks after the initial surgery. In these patients the endo-sponge was placed after a median of 24 days (range 13-39) following surgery. In the remaining eight patients the endo-sponge treatment was started later than six weeks after the initial surgery. In this group there was a median of 74 days (range 43-1602) between surgery and the start of the endo-sponge placement. There was closure in six out of eight patients (75%) in the group that started with the endo-sponge treatment within six weeks of surgery compared to three out of eight patients (38%) in the group that started later (p=0.315). Closure was achieved in a median of 40 (range 28-90) days with a median amount of 13 sponge replacements (range 8-17).

Conclusions
Endo-sponge placement can be helpful in the treatment for anastomotic leakage after colorectal surgery and might prevent a chronic presacral sinus. However, it is not yet clear if this new treatment modality results in quicker healing,
INTRODUCTION

Anastomotic leakage is a serious and feared complication following colorectal surgery, which is associated with early and long-term morbidity and mortality.\textsuperscript{1–4} Particularly, (low) anterior resections are associated with a high leakage rate ranging from 1-24%.\textsuperscript{5,6} For this reason many surgeons prefer defunctioning the colorectal or coloanal anastomosis using a loop ileostomy. Anastomotic leakage of a functioning anastomosis mostly requires surgical reintervention to fashion a loop ileostomy and to drain the pelvic cavity in order to prevent severe septic complications. Defunctioning of a low anastomosis by loop ileostomy does not prevent the leakage to occur, but diminishes the septic sequelae. Mostly, a presacral cavity originates from the site of the leakage. The cavity probably heals spontaneously when it is small. When it is large, it might delay the closure of the ileostomy and probably results in a devastating future function of the neorectum.\textsuperscript{7,8} Closure of the loop ileostomy in the presence of a chronic presacral sinus is considered hazardous since septic complications or bad function can be expected in some cases.

In the treatment of various wounds the vacuum-assisted therapy is often used to achieve wound closure.\textsuperscript{9} Recently, the application of local vacuum sponge treatment has shown to be effective to treat locally contained anastomotic leakage after low anterior anastomosis in rectal cancer patients. In a recent publication by Weidenhagen \textit{et al.}, a series of 29 patients with anastomotic leakage following rectal resection for malignant disease were treated with the endo-sponge.\textsuperscript{10} The endo-sponge facilitates closure of the presacral space by negative pressure of the endo-sponge ensuring a continuous drainage and thereby infection control, increased blood flow and therefore the stimulation of granulation tissue and reduction of the size of the cavity. In 28 out of the 29 patients described there was complete closure of the cavity. The aim of this study was to assess the effectiveness of endo-sponge (B-Braun Medical B.V., Melsungen, Germany) treatment of presacral cavities associated with anastomotic leakage.

METHODS

Between July 2006 and April 2008, a series of patients with a presacral cavity after anastomotic leakage were treated using the endo-sponge. All hospitals that had used
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the endo-sponge were contacted to collect the data of the experience with the endo-

sponge. The endo-sponge had been used in patients following anastomotic leakage

after low anterior resections for malignant disease or after restorative proctocolecto-

domy with ileoanal pouch anastomosis for ulcerative colitis.

After surgery, when anastomotic leakage was suspected a CT scan was performed in

the majority of the cases. Using flexible endoscopy, the presence of an abscess cav-

ity was confirmed. Subsequently a diverting ostomy was routinely constructed when

this had not been done during the primary operation. Primary outcome parameters

were closure of the cavity and the ability to close the ileostomy. Factors associated

with successful closure were analyzed \textit{e.g.}, time of initiation of endo-sponge treat-

ment after surgery, and experience with endo-sponge treatment (number of cases

treated). Approval of the Medical Ethics Committees was not necessary, since in

the Netherlands for nonexperimental clinical case series such as this approval is not

required.

Endo-sponge treatment

The endo-sponge is an open-pored polyurethane sponge (B. Braun Medical B.V.,

Melsungen, Germany). A photograph of the introduction set is presented in Figure

11.1. The sponge is installed transanally after examination and rinsing (saline 0.9\%)

of the abscess cavity using a small calibre flexible gastroscope (GIF-100 Video Gas-

troscope, Olympus, 9.8mm in diameter). The length and size of the abscess cavity

is estimated and the size of the endo-sponge is cut accordingly.

When the cavity is too large for one sponge, multiple sponges are placed. After

introduction of a small calibre gastroscope into the deepest point of the cavity, a

plastic tube, positioned over the gastroscope, is advanced into the deepest point of

the cavity. After withdrawal of the gastroscope, the endo-sponge is inserted through

the lubricated tube by using a pushing probe, while retracting the plastic tube.

Next, the sponge is connected to a low vacuum suction bottle (Redyon TRANS

PLUS suction device), creating a constant negative pressure in the sponge. The

correct positioning of the sponge is endoscopically checked. Fixation of the sponge

is not necessary, because low pressure suction fixes the sponge in the abscess cavity.

The endo-sponge is changed every 3-4 days to prevent the tissue from growing into

the sponge causing painful sponge exchanges. Saline (0.9\%) is introduced into the
sponge just before removal to facilitate the painless extraction. Some hospitals use lidocaine instead of saline for this matter. During every endo-sponge exchange the size of the endo-sponge is reduced. Closure of the sinus is confirmed by flexible endoscopy and watersoluble contrast enema.

**Data collection**

Patients’ charts were reviewed and data was extracted on demographic data, Body Mass Index (BMI), preoperative chemo and/or radiotherapy, type and indication of surgery (possible ileostomy/colostomy), level of anastomosis, morbidity, mortality, time between surgery and start treatment, duration of endo-sponge treatment, amount of sponge exchanges, sponge related complications, follow-up, and short-term outcome.

**Statistical analysis**

Continuous data are presented as median values (range) unless otherwise specified. Categorical data are presented as frequencies or percentages. For the comparison of categorical variables, the Chi-squared or Fisher exact test was used. A p-value of 5%
or lower was considered statistically significant. Statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS version 14.0.2 for Windows (SPSS, Chicago, Illinois, USA).

RESULTS

Patient characteristics

In total 16 patients (M/F = 9:7) with a median age of 64 years (range 19-78) were included. (Table 11.1) Thirteen patients had undergone surgery for rectal cancer and three for therapy-resistant ulcerative colitis. Nine patients of the 13 who underwent colorectal resection for malignant disease received preoperative radiotherapy. Two patients had chemoradiation preoperatively. In four patients the procedure was performed laparoscopically and in twelve patients an open procedure was performed. The anastomosis was constructed at a median height of five cm (range 2-8 cm) from the anal verge. During the initial operation a defunctioning ileostomy was created in eight patients. In seven patients the diverting ostomy was created after the discovery of the anastomotic leakage. In one patient a previously present diverting loop-ileostomy was changed into an end-ileostomy.

Table 11.1 – Characteristics of patients with a presacral abscess.

| Variable                              | N=16 |
|---------------------------------------|------|
| M/F                                   | 9:7  |
| Age (yrs, median, range)              | 64 (19-78) |
| Indication for surgery                |      |
| Benign                                | 3    |
| Malignancy                            | 13   |
| BMI (kg/m$^2$, median, range)         | 26 (23-31) |
| Preoperative treatment                |      |
| Radiotherapy                          | 9    |
| Chemoradiation                        | 2    |
| Type of surgery                       |      |
| Open                                  | 12   |
| Laparoscopic                          | 4    |
| Anastomosis level (cm, mean, range)   | 5 (2-8) |
| ostomy created before or during initial surgery | 8 |

BMI=Body Mass Index, cm=centimeters
Outcome

Postoperatively, anastomotic leakage was diagnosed by CT scan in 15 patients. In one patient the leakage was discovered by flexible endoscopy. None of the patients had generalized peritonitis during the use of the endo-sponge. After endoscopic confirmation of the abscess cavity the endo-sponge was placed. The median duration between the initial surgery and the discovery of the leakage was 11 days (range 3-150). From the 16 patients, eight patients started with the endo-sponge treatment within six weeks after the initial surgery. In these patients the endo-sponge was placed after a median of 24 days (range 13-39) following surgery (Table 11.2). In the remaining eight patients the endo-sponge treatment was started later than six weeks after the initial surgery. In this group there was a median of 74 days (range 43-1602) between surgery and the start of the endo-sponge placement. The experience in the different hospitals ranged from one to five patients in the study period. The median number of sponges initially placed ranged from one to three. In six patients the endo-sponge was placed under general anaesthesia. In three patients replacements were performed under a light sedative (5 mg Midazolam). The remaining seven patients underwent sponge placement without any anaesthesia. Definitive resolution of the sinuses was achieved in the total group in nine out of 16 patients (56%). Closure was achieved in a median of 40 (range 28-90) days with a median amount of 13 sponge replacements (range 8-17). There was closure in six out of eight patients (75%) in the group that started with the endo-sponge treatment within six weeks of surgery compared to three out of eight patients (38%) in the group that started later (p=0.315). In the malignant group in 6 patients (46%) the endo-sponge treatment was successful compared to three out of three patients in the benign group (p=0.213).

In one patient the sponge exchange was complicated by a bleeding in abscess cavity (500 cc blood loss). The endo-sponge treatment was stopped in one patient after thirteen exchanges because the vacuum therapy was very painful. A possible explanation for this was that the abscess cavity was close to the hypogastric plexus. In a third patient, with a nearly complete dehiscent anastomosis, the sponge treatment was stopped after eight exchanges because there was insufficient progress in the closure of the cavity. Finally an end colostomy was constructed. In two patients due to recurrent abscesses an intersphincteric proctectomy was performed.
The median follow-up after closure of the abscess cavity was four months (range 2-16).

Out of nine patients with a closed abscess cavity the ostomy has been closed in five patients. One of these patients underwent a relaparotomy after ostomy closure as a result of anastomotic leakage of the short bowel anastomosis. A diverting ileostomy was constructed. Two patients are currently on the waiting list to close the ostomy. In the remaining two patients the ostomy is considered definitive because of patient preference or metastatic disease.

Table 11.2 – Results of the use of the endo-sponge.

| Variable                                      | N=16 |
|-----------------------------------------------|------|
| Start amount of sponges                       | 1 (1-3) |
| Time between surgery and start sponge treatment (days, median, range) | 41 (13-1602) |
| ≤6 weeks (n=8, days)                          | 24 (13-39) |
| >6 weeks (n=8, days)                          | 74 (43-1602) |
| Time till closure (days, median, range)       | 40 (28-90) |
| Amount of sponges exchanges (median, range)   | 13 (8-17) |
| Closure of abscess cavity (n)                 | 9 (56%) |

BMI=Body Mass Index, cm=centimeters

DISCUSSION

The present study shows that the endo-sponge is a promising device and could potentially be helpful in the treatment of anastomotic leakage after colorectal surgery. Furthermore, it might prevent the development of a chronic presacral sinus. Anastomotic leakage after surgery is a complication that has major consequences for the patient, resulting in major morbidity and even mortality.\(^{1,2,11,12}\) Small anastomotic leakages after surgery in the pelvic area can potentially be treated by wait-and-see policy. This is not the case when the leakage involves a significant part of the circumference. This frequently leads to a large presacral sinus which often requires additional surgical procedures and long-term drainage of the abscess cavity. Furthermore, the purulent discharge and the often accompanying foul odour have an inevitable influence on the patient’s quality of life. The prolonged pelvic sepsis and fibrosis is held responsible for impaired long term neorectum function after ileostomy closure in many of those patients.\(^{7,8}\) Aggressive treatment of the presacral abscess
enforcing quick resolution of the pelvic sepsis might be important for long term outcome. Following the application of vacuum sponges for the closure of abdominal wounds\(^3\), the endo-sponge was developed for the resolution of presacral abscess cavities as a result of anastomotic leakages following colorectal surgery. In the literature a small group of patients (n=4) with a significant anastomotic leakage after rectal resection that were treated in 2004 with a transanal VAC has been reported by Nagell et al.\(^{14}\) All patients had a protective ileostomy before the start of the vacuum treatment. The median healing time of these patients was 51 (range 43-195) days. Weidenhagen et al. described a series of 29 patients treated with the endo-sponge.\(^{10}\) The majority of these patients underwent surgery for malignant disease and nine patients received preoperative chemoradiation. Definitive closure was achieved in 28 of the 29 patients (97%). The mean total duration of the treatment was 34 days (range 4-79) and the number of sponge exchanges was 11 (range 1-27). Twenty-two out of the 25 patients with a protecting ostomy the ostomy could be closed after the abscess cavity was obliterated. Mees et al. described a small series of five patients with a presacral abscess following anastomotic leakage after low anterior resection and restorative proctocolectomy.\(^{15}\) The median time to closure was 45 days (range 32-68).

In the present series closure of the abscess cavity after low anastomotic leakage after colorectal surgery was achieved in nine of sixteen patients. This is lower than reported by Weidenhagen et al. in their series (97%). In our series not in all patients the endo-sponge treatment was started shortly after the initial surgical procedure. Although not significantly, the results were better in the group of patients that started within six weeks after surgery compared to the group that started later than six weeks (75% vs. 56%). A difference in closure rate, between the groups that started early compared to late, can be explained by the development of fibrosis of the neorectum precluding alignment of the bowel with the abscess cavity. As a result, endo-sponge treatment of chronic presacral sinuses probably has an unsatisfactory effect. Up to now five out of nine patients with a closed cavity had their ostomy removed and in two cases ostomy closure is planned.

In these series, all patients had a diverting ostomy after anastomotic leakage. The question is whether it is necessary to divert the fecal stream when an endo-sponge is inserted. If the endo-sponge closes the abscess cavity effectively, it prevents feces
and debris to be pushed into the sinus. In the series of Weidenhagen et al. four patients were treated without the construction of a diverting ostomy. With the current endoluminal vacuum therapy patients have to undergo sponge exchanges every 3-4 days. This is done to prevent tissue growing into the sponge causing painful sponge exchanges. In the majority of the patients in these series the early exchanges were done in a clinical setting. After a few sponge exchanges most patients were discharged and treated in an outpatient setting. The patient has to return to the hospital two times a week. This cycle has a considerable impact on the patient and is demanding for the team performing the sponge exchanges in terms of time effort and availability of operating room and/or endoscopy suite space. Furthermore the costs are considerable. A complete set with the vacuum bottle that is used for one sponge exchange costs 195 euros.

Until now, there is insufficient literature whether the endo-sponge treatment is superior to a wait and see policy after fecal deviation. There is enough information on the different aspects of anastomotic leakage, e.g. potential risk factors and oncological outcome. However, there are only few reports on the long-term outcome of anastomotic leakage after colorectal surgery in terms of functional outcome. A series of 19 patients with a median follow-up duration of 30 months was reported by Hallbook et al. It showed that neorectal volume and compliance were significantly reduced in patients with anastomotic leakage. Nesbakken et al. reported on the functional outcome after anastomotic leakage following low anterior resection for rectal cancer. In their series, 11 patients with anastomotic leakage were compared to patients without leakage after surgery. In the group with the leakage the neorectal capacity was significantly lower compared to the group without, 120 vs. 180 ml (p=0.04) respectively. Furthermore, significantly more evacuation problems were reported.

In conclusion, endo-sponge placement can be helpful in treatment of anastomotic leakage after colorectal surgery and might prevent a chronic presacral sinus. Starting early with endo-sponge treatment is probably more effective than late treatment of the presacral sinus. The long-term follow-up and functional outcome of the neorectum must be awaited.
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