Analysis of Volatile Components of *Auricularia auricula* from Different Origins by GC-MS Combined with Electronic Nose
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*Auricularia auricula* is a kind of nutrient-rich edible fungus, which has the reputation of “king of vegetarians.” In this paper, the electronic nose combined with GC-MS technology was used to analyze the volatile components of *A. auricula* in Heilongjiang, Jilin, Shanghai, and Sichuan provinces to investigate the differences and characteristics of *A. auricula* in different origins. The results showed that the electronic nose could obviously distinguish the samples from Jilin and Shanghai with a high degree of discrimination, while it was inappropriate to distinguish the samples from Heilongjiang and Sichuan Province. GC-MS was used to further analyze the volatile compounds in *A. auricula* qualitatively and quantitatively. The results showed that 98 volatile components were detected and 23 of them were common components, including alcohols, aldehydes, acids, esters, hydrocarbons, and other volatile components. The relative content of acetic acid and diethyl azodicarboxylate in *A. auricula* from the four origins was relatively high. According to the relative odor activity value (ROAV), it was found that the key compounds that caused the aroma difference between different origins were 1-octene-3-ol, cis-3-nonen-1-ol, (E)-2-octenal, (E)-2-nonenal, (E,E)-2,4-nonadienal, and 3-methyl butanal.

1. Introduction

*Auricularia auricula*, a kind of gum fungus with edible and medicinal value [1], belongs to family Auriculariaceae [2]. It has been used as food and medicine for thousands of years. *A. auricula*’s function of promoting blood circulation and invigorating the lung was recorded in *Shennong Herbal Classic* which is the earliest book about Traditional Chinese Medicine. *A. auricula* has many active compositions including cellulose, polysaccharides, melanin, calcium, iron, and phosphorus. For example, *A. auricula* polysaccharide has the function of improving human immunity as well as the effects of antitumor, antioxidant, hypoglycemic, and hemostatic [3–6]. However, so far, the sensory indices, such as color or shape, instead of these critical components are used to evaluate the quality of *A. auricula* for consumers. It is necessary and important to establish a fast and accurate analysis method.

As a new odor scanner developed in recent years, electronic nose had been widely used in medicine, food, beverage, cosmetics, and other fields. Welearegay et al. [7] used electronic nose to diagnose the helminth infectious diseases based on the patience’s exhalations. Li et al. [8] used this technology to distinguish the Kiwifruit from different origins in China. Niu et al. [9] combined electronic nose, odor threshold, gas chromatography, and olfactory measurement methods for analysis of apple juice ester compounds. Mei et al. [10] used this technology to study the quality of perfume. The electronic nose uses a series of built-in gas sensors to get different response signal maps for different odors to identify these odors, which can detect and analyze the characteristics of volatile components in the
tested samples conveniently and accurately [11]. But it has limitations in relation to its inability to perform qualitative and quantitative analysis on some special volatile components.

GC-MS can analyze the types and contents of volatile components in the measured samples but cannot study the contribution of these volatile components to the overall odor as a whole [12–14]. The analysis results of GC-MS can complement the results of electronic nose, so the combination of the two technologies can analyze the sample odor from the macro and micro level. At present, electronic nose combined with GC-MS technology has become an effective method for fast and accurate analysis of the overall odor as well as the detailed volatile components and their contents. Furthermore, the contribution of the characteristic compounds can be determined by calculating relative odor activity value (ROAV) [15]. To date, the literature mainly focuses on the medicinal efficacy of A. auricula polysaccharides and melanin [16, 17], but rarely on its volatile components and sensory quality, except a few researches such as that conducted by Li et al. [18] about the comparative study on the basswood and bagging A. auricula.

In this paper, electronic nose and GC-MS are combined to detect and analyze volatile components of A. auricula from four different origins from the macro and micro perspectives, and the types, contents, and ROAV of different volatile components from the different origins are compared.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials. Forty-four batches of A. auricula were collected from Heilongjiang, Jilin, Sichuan, and Shanghai Province in China. The sequence of batches in relation to locations is as follows: Hei 1-Hei 15, Ji 16-Ji 30, Chuan 31-Chuan 36, and Hu 37-Hu 44, respectively.

2.2. Instruments and Equipment. Electronic nose (Super-Nose-14) was purchased from ISENSEO corporation (NYC, USA) and gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GCMS-QP2010UL) was from Shimadzu corporation (Kyoto, Japan).

2.3. Detection Method of Electronic Nose. The sample was grinded into powder and placed in 80°C water with a headspace bottle. Then, 10 mL gas was collected from the headspace bottle and injected into the sample pipeline through inlet system. The data acquisition time was 2 min. In order to ensure the reliability of the results, each sample was tested three times.

2.4. Detection Method of GC-MS. GC-MS was equipped with DB-5 MS capillary column (0.25 μm × 30.0 m × 250 μm). The ion source temperature of the mass spectrometer was set at 230°C and the injection temperature was 250°C. The temperature program for the column was set as follows: the column temperature was increased from 110°C to 180°C at the rate of 5°C/min and held for 4 min, then increased to 210°C at the rate of 3°C/min and held for 8 min at 210°C, and finally increased to 230°C at the rate of 5°C/min and held for 3 minutes.

2.5. Data Processing. The Unscrambler statistical software was used to analyze the data collected from electronic nose with principal component analysis (PCA) and Loadings analysis. Qualitative and quantitative analysis of volatile substances were also determined; the molecules of each peak were identified by the given molecules with similarity greater than 85% according to the NIST library. The peak area normalization method was used for quantitative analysis to obtain the relative content of each volatile substance. ROAV calculated according to the following equation was adopted to determine the key aroma compounds:

\[
ROAV_i = \frac{OAV_i}{OAV_{\text{max}}} \times 100, \quad (1)
\]

\[
OAV = \frac{C_i}{OT_i}, \quad (2)
\]

where \(OAV_i\) is the odor activity value of any one of the origin samples; \(OAV_{\text{max}}\) is the component with the highest OAV value of the origin samples; \(C_i\) is the relative content of a compound; and \(OT_i\) is the aroma threshold of the compound in water.

3. Results

3.1. Analysis of A. auricula from Different Origins on Electronic Nose Assay. PCA is conducted to refine and transform the crude information of samples obtained from the electronic nose sensor into useful information, so as to obtain the most important factor with the largest contribution rate, and show the differences among samples from the PCA distribution map [19, 20]. The overall aromas of A. auricula from different origins were compared and analyzed by PCA of the electronic nose sensor response value.

In Figure 1, the total contribution rate of the first two principal components (PC-1 and PC-2) was 94% indicating that the two components retain most of the information of the samples and could adequately show the similarity among the samples. As the laws of the two-dimensional PCA algorithm, it is known that the greater the distance between samples in the PC-1 horizontal axis direction, the greater the difference between samples. For the contribution rate of PC-2 (5%) to the overall aroma was much smaller than that of the PC-1 (89%), even if the distance of the samples in the PC-2 vertical axis direction was large, the actual difference between the samples would not be obvious [21]. According to this theory, it can be seen from Figure 1 that samples from Jilin had obvious differences compared with samples from the other three origins from the PC-1 aspect. Besides, the differences between the samples from Jilin and Shanghai were mainly distributed in the positive area and negative area, respectively, which were obvious in the PC-2 aspect. The results indicated that PC-1 and PC-2 could be used to distinguish the samples collected from Jilin from others efficiently. For the A. auricula
samples were, samples Hu 41-Hu 44 from Shanghai gathered together (Figure 1) and kept at a certain distance with the other samples, while samples Hu 37-Hu 40 were close to the samples from Heilongjiang and Sichuan. On the other hand, the samples of Heilongjiang and Sichuan almost completely overlapped, indicating that the electronic nose technology could not distinguish the A. auricula from Heilongjiang and Sichuan, but it could distinguish these samples from that of Jilin and some of Shanghai. According to references, PCA method could be successfully applied to the identification of the origin of Chinese medicinal materials such as *Moutan cortex*, *Angelica sinensis*, and *Pleurotus eryngii* [22–24].

Compared to PCA algorithm method focusing on the samples, Loadings algorithm is on each sensor of the electronic nose. This latter can be used to evaluate the ability of different sensors to distinguish the sample [25], and the position in the Loadings analysis chart can reflect the contribution rate of every sensor to the volatile odor of the sample. The farther away from the origin of coordinates (0, 0), the greater contribution of this sensor to the sample odor. In contrast, the closer to the origin of coordinates, the smaller contribution of this sensor to the sample odor [26]. The Loadings analysis result of A. auricula in Figure 2 demonstrates that the S1, S2, S4, S5, S6, S7, S9, S10, and S11 sensors had a relatively large contribution to the samples.

Super nose has 14 built-in sensors, and different sensors have their own response strength to different volatile components. This sensor array and its performance specification are shown in Table 1.

| Sensor | Response Strength |
|--------|------------------|
| S1     | Strong           |
| S2     | Strength         |
| S4     | Moderate         |
| S5     | Moderate         |
| S6     | Weak             |
| S7     | Weak             |
| S9     | Very weak        |
| S10    | Very weak        |
| S11    | Negligible       |

In Table 1, the S1, S2, S4, S5, S6, S7, S9, S10, and S11 sensors have strong response signals to aromatic compounds, nitrogen oxides, low molecular amines, organic acid ester, terpenes, esters, sterols, triterpenes, oxygenated derivatives, hydrogen, furan compounds, and VOC. It can be concluded that these substances largely contribute to the overall aroma of A. auricula

### 3.2. Analysis Results of GC-MS

Results of volatile components of A. auricula from four different origins by GC-MS are shown in Table 2. There are a total of 98 volatile components and 23 common components detected from the samples. The analysis results of volatile components of *Auricularia auricula* from different origins are shown in Table 3.

Alcohols, aldehydes, acids, esters, and alkanes account for the majority of the detected compounds. The relative content of alcohols, aldehydes, acids, and esters was relatively high.

### 4. Comparative Analysis of Various Volatile Compounds

#### 4.1. Alcohols

Alcohols are the basic materials for the synthesis of perfumes in the perfume industry. Most alcohols can produce pleasant fragrance, such as flowers and fruits [27]. A total of 21 alcohols were detected from the samples of A. auricula where the number of the alcohols in the samples from Heilongjiang, Jilin, Shanghai, and Sichuan was 15, 15, 9, and 13, respectively. Among them, isoamyl alcohol, 1-hexanol, 1-butanol, 1-octene-3-ol, 1-nonanol, and 1-amyl alcohol were the common components from the four origins. Meanwhile, 1,4-pentanediol, trans-2-decen-1-ol, 1-heptanol, and cis-3-nonene-1-ol were the unique volatile components in Jilin, which might be the reason for the obvious aroma difference between the samples collected from Jilin and the others by the super nose.

#### 4.2. Aldehydes

Aldehydes generally have a lower aroma threshold than alcohols, so even if the content of aldehydes is low, they often have a great contribution to the overall aroma [28]. The largest number of volatile compounds detected in A. auricula was aldehydes, with a total of 30 types, and there were 20, 24, 9, and 9 types of aldehydes in Heilongjiang, Jilin, Shanghai, and Sichuan, respectively. Among them, 1-hexanal, (E)-2-heptanal, and 2-undecenal were the common compounds in samples from all origins, while (E), (E)-2,4-dodecadienal, (E)-2-octenal, (E), (E)-2,4-heptadiena, (E)-2-nonenal, (Z)-2-heptenal, tridecanal, (E), (E)-2,4-honadienal, (E)-2-undecenal, and trans-4,5-epoxy-2(E)-decenal were the unique components in A. auricula from Jilin Province.
4.3. Acids. There were 8 types of acid compounds in *A. auricula* from the four origins. Among them, acetic acid, isovaleric acid, and caproic acid were the common components. In addition, acetic acid was the most prevalent ranging within 40.31% ~ 50.88%. Isobutyric acid (0.13%), 2,4-dihydroxybenzoic acid (0.13%), and 6-ethyl-3-dichloroacetic acid (0.10%) were the unique volatile components of the samples from Heilongjiang. It is speculated that their contribution to the sample fragrance is limited because of their low relative content. Nonanoic acid was only found in samples from Jilin, but it would contribute less to the overall aroma of the sample because of its higher aroma threshold. The result was consistent with the results of Li Xiang in the analysis of the volatile components of Basswood and Bagging *A. auricula* [18].

4.4. Esters and Hydrocarbons. A total of 11 kinds of esters and 19 kinds of hydrocarbons were detected from the samples. Diethyl azodicarboxylate, isopentyl acetate, gamma-butyrolactone, hexyl acetate, and isobornyl acrylate were all the common ester components of *A. auricula*. Among them, diethyl azodicarboxylate was the ester with the highest concentration (50.29% ~ 79.16%), but it generally existed in all the samples, so it could not cause different aroma of *A. auricula* between different origins. Among the detected common hydrocarbons, the saturated hydrocarbons account for the majority, such as 4,6-dimethyldecane and pentacosan. Meanwhile, only two unsaturated hydrocarbons, 1-undecyne and *D* -limonene, were detected. Most of the hydrocarbons detected were saturated hydrocarbons with generally low relative content and high aroma threshold, so these components had little effect on the overall aroma of *A. auricula*. But there are also some unsaturated hydrocarbons exhibiting strong and unique aroma. For example, *D* -limonene can enrich the sample’s aroma and give the sample a pleasant fresh lemon and orange flavor aroma [29].

4.5. Others. There were also some others, furans, and other components in *A. auricula*. 5-Methyl-2 (3H)-furanone, 2-pentylfuran, N-butylphenylsulfonamide, and acetonitrile were all common compounds of *A. auricula*. Cholesterol (5.92%) and 1-methoxy-2-propanol (0.16%) were unique to the samples from Sichuan, while 2-methylpyrazine existed only in the samples from Heilongjiang and Jilin at 0.53% and 0.07%, respectively.

4.6. Results of ROAV Analysis. In order to explore the role which volatile components in *A. auricula* played in the overall aroma and analyze the differences of them among different origins, this part adopted ROAV to determine the key volatile components. Generally, the larger the ROAV of one volatile compound, the greater the contribution of the compound to the overall aroma of the sample. The compound with ROAV ≥ 1 means that it is the key aroma compound of the sample, and the compound with ROAV between 0.1 and 1 means this compound plays an important role in the overall aroma of the tested samples [30, 31]. According to the equation listed in Section 2.5, the ROAV of
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Table 2: Composition and relative content of volatile components in the four kinds of *Auricularia auricula*.

| Number | Compound name                          | Molecular formula | Heilongjiang | Jilin  | Shanghai | Sichuan |
|--------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------|--------|----------|---------|
|        | **Alcohols**                           |                   |              |        |          |         |
| 1      | Ethanol                               | C₂H₆O             | 38.66        | —      | —        | —       |
| 2      | Isoamyl alcohol                       | C₆H₁₂O            | 9.12         | 9.95   | 8.28     | 8.78    |
| 3      | Isobutanol                            | C₆H₁₂O            | 3.79         | —      | —        | 0.54    |
| 4      | 1-Hexanol                             | C₆H₁₂O            | 2.28         | 4.17   | 3.77     | 2.49    |
| 5      | 1-Butanol                             | C₆H₁₀O            | 1.37         | 2.86   | 2.19     | 1.53    |
| 6      | 1-Octene-3-ol                         | C₇H₁₄O            | 1.17         | 2.44   | 2.71     | 1.90    |
| 7      | 4-Methyl-3-heptanol                   | C₇H₁₄O            | 0.90         | 1.65   | —        | 0.62    |
| 8      | 1,3,5,7,9-Pentaethyl-1,9-Dibutyl alcohol | C₉H₁₈O           | 0.32         | —      | —        | 0.34    |
| 9      | 1-Nonanol                             | C₇H₁₄O            | 0.26         | 0.95   | 0.48     | 0.15    |
| 10     | 2,3-Dimethyl-1-Butanol                | C₆H₁₂O            | 0.25         | 0.55   | —        | 0.09    |
| 11     | 1-Octanol                             | C₇H₁₄O            | 0.25         | —      | 0.48     | 0.18    |
| 12     | 1- Amyl alcohol                       | C₅H₁₀O            | 2.27         | 3.92   | 3.03     | 4.36    |
| 13     | 2-Methyl-1-propanol                   | C₄H₁₀O            | —            | 2.51   | 1.10     | 0.73    |
| 14     | Linalool                              | C₁₀H₁₈O           | —            | —      | 1.30     | 1.09    |
| 15     | Cyclohexanol                          | C₆H₁₂O            | 1.19         | —      | —        | —       |
| 16     | 5-Methyl-2-(1-methyl)ethyl-1-hexanol  | C₇H₁₄O            | 0.25         | 0.22   | —        | —       |
| 17     | 2-Methyl-1-pentanol                   | C₅H₁₀O            | 0.13         | —      | —        | —       |
| 18     | 1,4-Pentanediol                      | C₈H₁₈O            | —            | 0.27   | —        | —       |
| 19     | (E)-2-Decen-1-ol                      | C₁₀H₂₀O           | —            | 0.15   | —        | —       |
| 20     | 1-Heptanol                            | C₇H₁₆O            | —            | 0.23   | —        | —       |
| 21     | Cis-3-nononen-1-ol                    | C₉H₁₈O            | —            | 0.32   | —        | —       |
|        | **Aldehyde**                          |                   |              |        |          |         |
| 22     | 3-Methyl butanal                      | C₅H₁₀O            | 17.25        | 0.90   | —        | —       |
| 23     | 2,4-Dimethylbenzaldehyde             | C₈H₁₂O            | 0.79         | —      | —        | —       |
| 24     | Trans-2-dodec-1-al                   | C₁₂H₂₄O           | 0.63         | 1.04   | —        | —       |
| 25     | (E, E)-2,4-Decadienal                 | C₁₀H₁₆O           | 0.50         | —      | —        | —       |
| 26     | (E, Z)-2,4-Decadienal                 | C₁₀H₁₆O           | 0.12         | 2.40   | —        | —       |
| 27     | 5-Methyl furfural                     | C₅H₁₀O            | 0.07         | —      | —        | —       |
| 28     | (E, E)-2,4-Dodecadienal               | C₁₂H₂₄O           | —            | 0.72   | —        | —       |
| 29     | (E)-2-Octenal                        | C₆H₁₂O            | —            | 1.27   | —        | —       |
| 30     | (E, E)-2,4-Heptadienal                | C₇H₁₆O            | —            | 0.47   | —        | —       |
| 31     | (E)-2-Nonenal                         | C₈H₁₆O            | —            | 1.96   | —        | —       |
| 32     | (Z)-2-Heptenal                        | C₇H₁₄O            | —            | 0.77   | —        | —       |
| 33     | Tridecanal                            | C₁₃H₂₆O           | —            | 0.32   | —        | —       |
| 34     | (E, E)-2,4-Nonadienal                 | C₈H₁₆O            | —            | 0.16   | —        | —       |
| 35     | (E)-2-Undecenal                       | C₁₁H₂₂O           | —            | 1.04   | —        | —       |
| 36     | 2-Methylglutaraldehyde                | C₁₂H₂₄O           | —            | —      | 1.21     | —       |
| 37     | Hexanal                               | C₆H₁₂O            | 1.47         | 3.85   | 2.52     | 0.93    |
| 38     | 1-Nonanal                             | C₇H₁₆O            | 1.31         | —      | 1.17     | 1.64    |
| 39     | Pentanal                              | C₅H₁₀O            | 0.65         | 2.45   | 1.76     | —       |
| 40     | Heptanal                              | C₇H₁₄O            | 0.63         | 5.78   | —        | —       |
| 41     | (E)-2-Heptanal                        | C₅H₁₀O            | 0.35         | 1.58   | 0.57     | 0.11    |
| 42     | 2-Undecenal                           | C₁₁H₂₂O           | 0.29         | 1.40   | 0.35     | 0.43    |
| 43     | 1-Decanal                             | C₁₀H₁₈O           | 0.25         | 0.25   | 0.07     | —       |
| 44     | 3-Furaldehyde                         | C₅H₈O            | 0.20         | —      | 0.15     | —       |
| 45     | 1-Octanal                             | C₇H₁₄O            | 0.19         | 0.57   | —        | 0.03    |
| 46     | 2-Dodecenal                           | C₁₂H₂₄O           | 0.19         | —      | 0.21     | 0.36    |
| 47     | Dodecyl aldehyde                      | C₁₂H₂₄O           | 0.19         | 0.25   | —        | 0.07    |
| 48     | (E, E)-2,4-Dodecadienal               | C₁₂H₂₄O           | 0.19         | 0.81   | —        | —       |
| 49     | 1-Undecanal                           | C₁₁H₂₂O           | 0.13         | 0.39   | —        | 0.06    |
| 50     | 2-Decenal                             | C₁₀H₁₈O           | 0.41         | 1.31   | —        | —       |
| 51     | 2-Furaldehyde                         | C₅H₈O            | —            | 0.07   | —        | 0.06    |
| 52     | Trans-4,5-epoxy-2(E)-decenal          | C₁₀H₁₈O₂           | —            | 1.62   | —        | —       |
|        | **Acids**                             |                   |              |        |          |         |
| 53     | Acetic acid                           | CH₃COOH           | 43.09        | 40.31  | 50.88    | 45.59   |
| 54     | Isovaleric acid                       | C₅H₁₀O₂           | 0.32         | 1.02   | 0.54     | 0.30    |
| 55     | Caproic acid                          | C₅H₁₀O₂           | 0.29         | 0.75   | 0.60     | 0.19    |
| 56     | N-Valeric acid                        | C₅H₁₀O₂           | 0.11         | 0.27   | —        | 0.16    |
Table 2: Continued.

| Number | Compound name                  | Molecular formula | Heilongjiang | Jilin | Shanghai | Sichuan |
|--------|---------------------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------|----------|---------|
| 57     | Isobutyric acid                 | C4H8O2            | 0.13         | —     | —        | —       |
| 58     | 2,4-Dihydroxybenzoic acid       | C7H6O4            | 0.13         | —     | —        | —       |
| 59     | 6-Ethyl-3-dichloroacetic acid   | C2H2Cl2O          | 0.10         | —     | —        | —       |
| 60     | Nonanoic acid                   | C9H18O2           | —            | 1.71  | —        | —       |

Esters

|       |                               |                   |              |       |          |         |
|-------|-------------------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------|----------|---------|
| 61    | Diethyl azodicarboxylate      | C6H10N2O4         | 57.28        | 53.59 | 79.16    | 50.29   |
| 62    | Isopentyl acetate             | C6H12O2           | 1.38         | 1.54  | 1.80     | 2.49    |
| 63    | Isobutyl acetate              | C6H12O2           | 0.95         | 3.44  | —        | —       |
| 64    | Amyl acetate                  | C6H12O2           | 0.92         | 1.12  | —        | —       |
| 65    | Gamma-butyrolactone           | C6H12O2           | 0.65         | 0.85  | 0.86     | 1.04    |
| 66    | Hexyl acetate                 | C6H14O2           | 0.38         | 0.37  | 0.26     | 0.21    |
| 67    | N-Butyl acetate               | C6H12O2           | 0.20         | 0.29  | —        | —       |
| 68    | Hexyl formate                 | C6H15O2           | —            | 0.40  | 0.00     | —       |
| 69    | Lactic acid isomyl ester      | C6H15O3           | 0.40         | —     | —        | —       |
| 70    | 1-Methyl-butyl laurate        | C6H13O2           | 0.15         | —     | —        | —       |
| 71    | Isobornyl acrylate            | C13H20O2          | 0.32         | 0.74  | 0.34     | 0.69    |

Hydrocarbons

|       |                               |                   |              |       |          |         |
|-------|-------------------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------|----------|---------|
| 72    | Trichloromethane              | CHCl3             | 1.26         | 0.69  | —        | —       |
| 73    | 4,6-Dimethyldecane            | C12H26            | 0.66         | 0.54  | 0.47     | 1.25    |
| 74    | 2,6,11-trimethyldecane        | C12H26            | 0.30         | 0.15  | —        | —       |
| 75    | 3-Ethyl-3-methylheptane       | C10H22            | 0.24         | 0.16  | 0.23     | —       |
| 76    | 4,5-Dimethylnonane            | C10H22            | 0.22         | 0.29  | —        | —       |
| 77    | Dodecane                      | C12H26            | —            | 0.36  | —        | —       |
| 78    | Pentacosan                    | C25H52            | 0.14         | 0.44  | 0.07     | 0.03    |
| 79    | 2-Methyl-tetracosane          | C24H48            | 0.08         | 0.38  | —        | —       |
| 80    | 6-Ethyl-2-methyl-octane       | C12H24            | 0.06         | —     | —        | —       |
| 81    | 2-Methyl-7-oxazolyl [2.2.1] heptane | C7H13O | —          | 0.74  | —        | —       |
| 82    | 1,2-Dimethyclopetane          | C7H14             | —            | 0.16  | —        | —       |
| 83    | 1-Bromododecane               | C13H25Br          | —            | 0.23  | —        | —       |
| 84    | Octadecane                    | C18H38            | —            | 0.14  | —        | —       |
| 85    | 4-Methyl-5- propynonane       | C6H30             | —            | —     | 0.15     | —       |
| 86    | 2,6,10-Trimethyldecane        | C15H32            | —            | —     | —        | 0.15    |
| 87    | Methylbenzene                 | C6H5             | 2.11         | —     | —        | —       |
| 88    | 1-Undecyne                    | C11H22            | —            | 0.27  | —        | —       |
| 89    | O-Cymene                      | C10H14            | —            | 0.62  | —        | —       |
| 90    | D-Limonene                    | C10H16            | —            | 5.28  | —        | —       |

Others

|       |                               |                   |              |       |          |         |
|-------|-------------------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------|----------|---------|
| 91    | N-Butylbenzenesulphonamide    | C16H13NO2S        | 0.55         | 0.93  | 0.92     | 0.81    |
| 92    | 2-Methylpyrazine              | C6H12N2           | 0.53         | 0.07  | —        | —       |
| 93    | Acetonitrile                  | C2H2N             | 0.40         | 7.69  | 1.69     | 0.03    |
| 94    | Cholesterol                   | C27H46O           | —            | —     | —        | 5.92    |
| 95    | Anethole                      | C10H12O2          | 0.45         | —     | 0.78     | 0.46    |
| 96    | 1-Methoxy-2-propanol          | C6H10O3           | —            | —     | —        | 0.16    |
| 97    | 5-Methyl-2-(3H)-Furanone      | C5H8O2            | 0.09         | 0.16  | 0.30     | 0.07    |
| 98    | 2-Pentylfuran                 | C6H14O            | 0.46         | 0.96  | 0.83     | 0.34    |

Note. “—” means not detected.

Table 3: Analysis of volatile components of Auricularia auricular from different origins.

| Group      | Number | Alcohols | Aldehyde | Acids | Esters | Hydrocarbons | Other |
|------------|--------|----------|----------|-------|--------|--------------|-------|
| Heilongjiang| 67     | 15       | 20       | 7     | 10     | 9            | 6     |
| Jilin      | 71     | 15       | 24       | 5     | 9      | 13           | 5     |
| Shanghai   | 38     | 9        | 9        | 3     | 6      | 6            | 5     |
| Sichuan    | 41     | 13       | 9        | 4     | 5      | 3            | 7     |
some important volatile compounds in \textit{A. auricula} are shown in Table 4.

In Table 4, 17 compounds with ROAV $\geq 1$ were detected, including isoamyl alcohol, 1-octene-3-ol, cis-3-Nonene-1-ol, 3-methyl butanal, \((E, E)-2,4\)-decadienal, \((E)-2\)-octenal, \((E)-2\)-nonenal, \((E, E)-2,4\)-nonadienal, hexanal, 1-nonanal, pentanal, \((E, E)-2\)-heptanal, 1-decanal, 1-octanal, \((E)-2\)-decenal, and 2-pentylfuran which were the key compounds of \textit{A. auricula} from corresponding origins. In addition, compounds 6, 5, 3, and 5 with $0.1 \leq \text{ROAV} < 1$ in Table 4 were also important to the samples from Heilongjiang, Jilin, Shanghai, and Sichuan, respectively. These compounds mentioned above had an important contribution to the overall aroma of \textit{A. auricula}; \((E)-2\)-Heptenal had the highest contribution to the overall aroma. The results were consistent with that of electronic nose analysis.

3-Methyl butanal was the most prevalent ROAV compound in \textit{A. auricula} from Heilongjiang, while it was the least prevalent in Jilin (9.18) and not detected in Shanghai, which revealed that it is one key odor compound distinguishing the sample from Heilongjiang from the others. At the same time, the relative content of \((E, E)-2,4\)-decadienal was only 0.50%, but its ROAV in Heilongjiang samples was much higher than 1 (16.96) for its low aroma threshold. In conclusion, both 3-methyl butanal and \((E, E)-2,4\)-decadienal were the characteristic aroma compounds of \textit{A. auricula} from Heilongjiang.

Pentanal was detected in the Heilongjiang, Jilin, and Shanghai samples, but it was identified as a key compound only in Shanghai (3.25) according to the ROAV, whereas the ROAV was not high in the Heilongjiang and Jilin samples. Therefore, it might be beneficial in distinguishing the samples from Shanghai from those from other regions.

1-Octene-3-ol was detected in all the samples and belonged to key compounds according to the rule of ROAV in all of them as expected, because this compound was also known as mushroom alcohol with the aroma of fresh mushroom, licorice, and rose. Besides, it can also be used as pharmaceutical raw materials and spices [32]. 2-Pentylfuran has the aroma of fruit, bean, and similar vegetables [33] and it was also detected in the four origins. But it was the key

| Number | Compound name          | Aroma threshold ($\mu$g/kg) | Heilongjiang | Jilin | Shanghai | Sichuan |
|--------|------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|-------|----------|---------|
| 1      | Ethanol                | 100000                     | 0.00         | 0.00  | —        | —       |
| 2      | Isoamyl alcohol        | 120                        | 0.18         | 0.34  | 2.55     | 3.85    |
| 3      | 1-Hexanol              | 250                        | 0.02         | 0.07  | 0.56     | 0.52    |
| 4      | 1-Butanol              | 5000                       | 0.00         | 0.00  | 0.02     | 0.02    |
| 5      | 1-Octene-3-ol          | 1                          | 2.71         | 9.96  | 100.00   | 100.00  |
| 6      | 1-Nonanol              | 50                         | 0.01         | 0.08  | 0.35     | 0.16    |
| 7      | 1-Octanal              | 120                        | 0.00         | —     | 0.15     | 0.08    |
| 8      | 1- Amyl alcohol        | 4000                       | 0.00         | 0.00  | 0.03     | 0.06    |
| 9      | 1-Heptanol             | 330                        | —            | 0.00  | —        | —       |
| 10     | cis-3-Nonen-1-ol       | 1                          | —            | 1.31  | —        | —       |
| 11     | 3-Methyl butanal       | 0.4                        | 100.00       | 9.18  | —        | —       |
| 12     | \((E, E)-2,4\)-Decadienal | 0.07                | 16.56        | —     | —        | —       |
| 13     | \((E)-2\)-Octenal      | 3                          | —            | 1.73  | —        | —       |
| 14     | \((E)-2\)-Nonenal      | 0.08                       | —            | 100.00| —        | —       |
| 15     | \((E, E)-2,4\)-Nonadienal | 0.09                 | —            | 7.26  | —        | —       |
| 16     | Hexanal                | 4.5                        | 0.76         | 3.49  | 20.66    | 10.88   |
| 17     | 1-Nonanal              | 1                          | 3.04         | —     | 43.17    | 86.32   |
| 18     | Pentanal               | 20                         | 0.08         | 0.50  | 3.25     | —       |
| 19     | Heptanal               | 3                          | 0.49         | 7.86  | —        | —       |
| 20     | \((E)-2\)-Heptanal     | 13                         | 0.06         | 0.50  | 1.62     | 0.45    |
| 21     | 1-Decanal              | 1                          | 0.58         | 1.02  | 2.58     | —       |
| 22     | 1-Octanal              | 0.7                        | 0.63         | 3.32  | —        | 2.26    |
| 23     | \((E)-2\)-decenal      | 0.3                        | 3.17         | 17.82 | —        | —       |
| 24     | 2-Furaldehyde          | 3000                       | —            | 0.00  | —        | 0.00    |
| 25     | Acetic acid            | 22000                      | 0.00         | 0.01  | 0.09     | 0.11    |
| 26     | Nonanoic acid          | 3000                       | —            | 0.00  | —        | —       |
| 27     | N-Butyl acetate        | 66                         | 0.01         | 0.02  | —        | —       |
| 28     | Dodecane               | 2040                       | —            | 0.00  | —        | —       |
| 29     | 2-Pentylfuran          | 6                          | 0.18         | 0.65  | 5.10     | 2.98    |
| 30     | Undecanal              | 5                          | 0.06         | 0.32  | —        | 0.63    |

Note. “—” means not detected.
compound in Shanghai and Sichuan and an important component in Heilongjiang and Jilin.

5. Conclusion
The PCA results of the electronic nose showed that the overall odor of *A. auricula* in Jilin area was significantly different from the other origins, and the samples in Jilin and Shanghai could be well distinguished from those from the other origins. However, the samples from Heilongjiang and Sichuan could not be distinguished well. Electronic nose could quickly distinguish the difference of the total odor of *A. auricula* among different provinces. GC-MS could detect the type and content of volatile compounds in the samples and thus it is helpful for further detailed identification. A total of 98 volatile components were detected, among which 23 components were common in the four provinces; the relative content of acetic acid and diethyl azodicarboxylate was relatively high. According to the relative odor activity value (ROAV), it was found that the key compounds that caused the aroma difference between different origins were 1-octene-3-ol, cis-3-nonene-1-ol, (E)-(E)-2-octenal, (E)-2,4-nonadienal, and 3-methyl butanal.
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