Ultrasound in pediatric orbital cellulitis
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To the Editor,

A 7-year-old presented to the emergency room with fever and right eyelid swelling. Blood tests showed increased C-reactive protein and a high white blood cell count. Ultrasound revealed signs of preseptal cellulitis and antibiotic treatment was started immediately. Two days later, swelling of the eyelid increased and painful eye movements appeared. The control ultrasound demonstrated persistence of preseptal cellulitis and displacement of the medial rectus muscle by swollen hyperechoic intraorbital fat (fig 1), suggesting retro-septal extension. The patient was admitted to the paediatric ward with good clinical evolution.

The inflammation of the soft tissues of the eye anterior to the orbital septum is referred to as preseptal cellulitis. Extension into the eye socket behind the orbital septum is referred to as orbital cellulitis (or retro-orbital/retro-septal cellulitis) – this generic term sometimes leads to confusion regarding the definitions of peri-orbital (preseptal) versus orbital (retro-septal) cellulitis.

Orbital cellulitis is an acute local spread of sinus infection in more than 90% of cases in children [1]; common infectious agents are Streptococcus aureus, Streptococcus pneumoniae and Haemophilus influenzae [2]. Common clinical manifestations include swelling of the orbital soft tissues, proptosis, painful eye movement, ophthalmoplegia and fever. The diagnosis is clinical [3].

Ultrasound is a fast, non-invasive, non-irradiating tool. A high-frequency transducer should be used, with the eyelid closed. Pre-septal cellulitis is defined by a hyperechoic infiltration of the soft tissues of the eyelid accompanied by Doppler hyperemia. In post-septal (orbital) cellulitis hyperechoic swelling of the intra-orbital fat and medial displacement of the medial rectus muscle can be seen. More than 50% of cases are complicated by a subperiosteal abscess: an anechoic mass bulging from the medial orbital wall [2,4,5]. Intracranial complications are estimated at around 10% [6,7]. Patients with neurological deterioration, an increase in the size of the subperiosteal abscess or lack of improvement with antibiotic treatment should undergo brain CT or MRI [7,8].

In conclusion: orbital cellulitis is a potentially serious complication of acute sinusitis. Ultrasonography should be considered first when faced with an orbital cellulitis suspicion in paediatric patients as it allows a reliable differentiation between pre- and post-septal extension.

Fig 1. Axial plane of the right orbit (a: 8MHz probe, b: 12 MHz probe) shows lateral displacement of the medial rectus muscle (white arrows) by the intraorbital fat (white star) with hyperechoic swelling found between the medial rectus muscle and medial orbital wall (white arrowheads); swelling of soft tissues of the eyelid is present (black arrowheads).
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Ultrasound-guided caudal epidural injection in three simple steps
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To the Editor,

Caudal epidural injection can be helpful in the symptomatic treatment of radicular pain due to spinal stenosis or lumbar disc herniations [1]. Successful caudal injection relies on the accurate placement of a needle into the epidural space through the sacral hiatus. Incorrect needle placement occurs at a rate of up to 30% patients when performed using the blind technique [2]. It can be difficult to identify the sacral hiatus by the palpation of anatomical landmarks in adults. We suggest an ultrasound-guided approach for caudal epidural injection in three steps.

The patient lies in a prone position and the lumbosacral area is prepared aseptically for injection. The first two steps are performed by using the transverse ultrasonographic view and the third step is performed by using the longitudinal ultrasonographic view (Supplementary video, on the journal site):

Step 1. The transducer is first placed transversely (axial) proximal of the anus at the midline, and where the sacral bone is seen (fig 1a);

Step 2. The transducer is moved cranially until the two sacral cornua, base of sacrum, sacrococcygeal ligament and sacral hiatus appear (fig 1b). The two sacral cornua and base of sacrum appear as two hyperechoic structures. The sacral hiatus appears in the hypoechoic region between the hyperechoic structures;

Step 3. At this level, the transducer is rotated 90° to rest between the two cornua and to obtain the longitudinal sonographic view of the sacral hiatus. Sacrum, sacrococcygeal ligament and sacral hiatus are identified (fig 1c). Under the longitudinal view, the needle is inserted using the “in-plane” technique. Loss of resistance is usually felt after penetrating the sacrococcygeal ligament. After the “pop” feeling of ligament penetration, the needle is advanced further into the sacral canal, but cannot be visualized beyond the apex of the sacral hiatus.

Ultrasound-guided caudal injection technique has been shown to have very high rates of accuracy in correct needle placement into the sacral canal for subsequent epidural injections.
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A rare case of pericardial lymphoma in a heart transplantation recipient
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To the Editor,

A 61-year-old male patient with severe coronary artery disease received a heart transplant (HT) in December 2016. The patient underwent an uneventful perioperative course and remained on standard immunosuppression procedure. Fourteen months after HT, he was admitted due to severe lower-extremity edema and fainting. Laboratory investigations showed the elevated levels of EBV-DNA (2.0×10^4 copies). Transthoracic echocardiogram (TTE) revealed a hypoechoic mass within the pericardium (9.6×2.5 cm) surrounding the right ventricular (RV) free wall (fig 1a). Contrast-enhanced ultrasonography (CEUS) demonstrated the high enhancement of contrast agent in the pericardial mass (fig 1b). Positron emission tomography showed intense metabolic activity within the mass (fig 1c). Biopsy of the pericardial mass was performed for a definitive diagnosis and histopathologic examination revealed monomorphic diffuse large-B cell lymphoma (DLBCL) (fig 1d).

Subsequently, the immunosuppressive regimen of the patient was changed to dose reduced tacrolimus (0.5 mg...
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Fig 1. Transthoracic echocardiogram showing a mass within the pericardium surrounding the right ventricular free wall (a); contrast-enhanced echocardiography demonstrating the high enhancement of contrast agent in the pericardial mass (b); positron emission tomography revealing intense metabolic activity within the pericardial (c); histopathologic examination revealing diffuse large-B cell lymphoma (d); after 1-year treatment, no obvious mass is observed on contrast-enhanced echocardiography (e); Cardiac magnetic resonance imaging showing no mass within the pericardium (f).

every other day), mycophenolate mofetil (500 mg once daily) and prednisolone (10 mg once daily). After 1-year treatment, no obvious pericardial mass was observed by TTE and CEUS (fig 1e). Furthermore, cardiac magnetic resonance imaging confirmed no mass within the pericardium (fig 1f). Finally, the administration of immunosuppression was adjusted to tacrolimus (1.5 mg once daily), mycophenolate mofetil (500 mg twice daily) and prednisolone (10 mg once daily). At the 20–months follow-up, the patient has been doing well without evidence of tumor recurrence.

Post-HT malignant diseases remain a major threat in HT recipients because of the high mortality and morbidity [1]. To the best of our knowledge, this may be the first case of DLBCL involving the pericardium in HT recipients. Our case confirmed that if the lesion was localized, RI was enough to induce complete remission as experienced by patients in the Reshef et al study [2]. Our case emphasizes that early diagnosis and early intervention with RI is necessary for good outcome of this rare pericardial lymphoma after HT.
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