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Abstract

The electronic industry has grown vastly in recent years, and researchers are trying to minimize circuits delay, occupied area and power consumption as much as possible. In this regard, many technologies have been introduced. Quantum Cellular Automata (QCA) is one of the schemes to design nano-scale digital electronic circuits. This technology has high speed and low power consumption, and occupies very little area. Phase-locked loops (PLLs) and delay-locked loops (DLLs) are blocks that are commonly used in telecommunication applications. One of the most important parts in DLL and PLL is the phase-frequency detector. Therefore, the design of this circuit in QCA technology is of great importance. In this paper, two new phase-frequency detectors sensitive to falling and rising edge have been introduced in QCA technology. Both of the designs are composed of 104 cells; occupy only 0.13 μm² of an area and 1.5 QCA clock cycles latency. The designs are in one layer and all the inputs and outputs are available to be used by another circuit.
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1. Introduction

With advancement of CMOS technology, many efforts have been made for increasing the speed of this technology along with decreasing the dimensions. These efforts have reached a level where it can be said that the technology has reached its end, and much progress cannot be expected. Furthermore, miniaturization has caused some malfunctions in circuits operation. Quantum dot Cellular Automata (QCA) technology is one of the nanotechnologies proposed to resolve issues including performance speed, occupied area and energy consumption of digital electronic circuits [1, 2]. This technology was first introduced by Lent and Togoa in 1993 [3].

The phase-frequency detector (PFD) is one of the most important electronics circuits, which plays an important role in commonly used communication circuit's design [4]. PFD is the main block in the phase-locked loops (PLLs) or delay-locked loops (DLLs) architectures [5, 6]. This circuit recognizes the phase and frequency difference between two signals by examining them. This circuit can be used as a test circuit to compare the sent and received signals, and for detecting errors as well [7].

Metal Oxide Semiconductor (MOS) based PFDs have some challenges. For example, they suffer from low operating frequency, small capturing range, high values of power consumption, long reset path, static phase error and blind zones [7, 8]. Therefore, quantum dot cellular technology may be an option to solve these problems. In this paper, two novel phase-frequency detector are designed in quantum dot cellular automata nanotechnology. Due to inherent abilities of QCA technology, the proposed PFDs show better performance in comparison with MOS-based PFDs in terms of energy consumption, operating frequency, area and reset path time.

The paper is organized as follow. Next section, describes the basic block diagram of PFD. Then Section
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2. PHASE FREQUENCY DETECTOR

The phase-frequency detector circuit must be capable to detect the phase difference and frequency differences between the two signals practically. Figure 1.a and Figure 1b show the conventional and modified block diagrams of PFD [7, 9].

Figure 1a shows a design that calculates the phase difference by analyzing circuit output, and controls them using reset pin of D flip-flops. The flip-flops inputs are connected to logic '1' and, if their clock is activated, the output would be logic '1'. When the second clock's rising edge comes, both flip-flops outputs are logic '1' for a moment. These outputs are connected to an AND gate and output of that gate is connected to the flip-flops reset. In this way, their reset is activated and the outputs of both circuits will be logic '0'. The time when the first signal is reached until the output be reset is called reset time.

In Figure 1b, the AND gate is removed and the circuit size is reduced as much as possible. In this scheme, in order to calculate clock signal differences, each input of flip-flops is connected to another flip-flop's reset input. In this case, for example when the second signal comes, it activates the reset of the first flip-flop and makes its output logic zero. Thus, the difference between the two signals is obtained. It can be said that these two schemes have the same function, and their difference is the way that they reset the circuit when two signals come together.

One of the disadvantages of the scheme shown in Figure 1a is that once the second signal's rising edge is received, it needs a time equal to delay of each D flip-flop and an AND gate for activating reset of the flip-flops. That means this architecture has much more reset path delay. This delay can cause responses to be invalid for small time duration, but the scheme shown in Figure 1b solves this problem and, when the two signals come together, each one activates another reset instantly. In the following, the principles of proposed circuits design in QCA technology are addressed, then the proposed circuit is introduced and simulated as well.

3. BASICS OF QCA

Unlike many technologies, QCA technology is not based on transistors, and in this technology circuits are designed using QCA cells. Each QCA cell (in two-dimensional mode) consists of four quantum areas and two electrons, which according to the Coulomb repulsion law, electrons can only be placed under two conditions in this point. These two conditions are conventionally chosen as logical one and zero, which are shown in Figure 2a. Despite the radius of influence around each electron, they can transfer their logical values if the QCA cells are spaced at appropriate distance. By using this, it is possible to design digital electronics circuits by appropriately placing QCA cells together.

The NOT and Majority gates are the most important gates that can be used to design all digital electronics circuits. These gates can be designed simply in QCA technology. In Figures 2b, 2c and 2d, examples of these two gates are shown.

When QCA cells are stimulated, they undergo a four-step operation (change, hold, release, and relax phases). Four clock phases are specified using these steps. Accordingly, for each QCA cell, a clock phase is specified which indicates the time of its operation, and each clock phase has a phase difference of 90° with the next and previous clock phases. The correct determination of these time zones plays a very important role in the correct circuit operation. These clock phases are shown in Figure 3.

4. PROPOSED PHASE-FREQUENCY DETECTORS

In this paper, two phase-frequency detectors are introduced and analyzed in quantum cellular automata technology. The first design is sensitive to rising edge, and the second one is sensitive to falling edge. The
Figure 2. a) Basic cells in QCA, b) Inverter in QCA, c) Three-inputs majority gate in QCA, d) Five-input majority gate in QCA

Figure 3. Clock phases in QCA

5. SIMULATIONS AND RESULTS

To ensure the proposed circuit’s performance, two proposed structures are simulated in the QCADesigner.
software [10, 11]. This software was established to simulate circuits designed in QCA technology and typical parameters are reported in [12, 13]. The two proposed circuits are simulated using both simulation modes provided in the software, and in both cases, similar results are obtained that validate the circuit performance. Different simulations have been done for different input conditions, so that circuit operation is comprehensively investigated in all conditions.

Figure 7 shows the simulation of the proposed structure as a phase-frequency detector to calculate the phase difference between the rising edges of two signals. In this figure, inputs are apply in such a way that all three possible states of inputs in two phase detectors are included: 1) $C_{REF}$ is ahead of $C_{OUT}$ in rising edge, 2) $C_{REF}$ and $C_{OUT}$ are in phase, 3) $C_{REF}$ is lagged behind of $C_{OUT}$ in rising edge. As it can be seen, in the first rising edge, the $C_{REF}$ signal is two QCA clock cycles ahead of $C_{OUT}$, and it is expected that UP also be logic one for two QCA clock cycles and DOWN signal does not exist. Also, in the second rising edge, these two signals are in phase, so none of UP and DOWN outputs will be activated. Finally, the $C_{REF}$ is three QCA clock cycles lagged behind $C_{OUT}$, thus only the DOWN output signal is activated to the same extent. Therefore, this circuit performance can be verified by this simulation.

Figure 8 shows the simulation results of the proposed structure as a phase-frequency detector for calculating the phase difference between the rising edges of two signals with same frequency and constant phase difference, when the $C_{REF}$ is ahead of $C_{OUT}$. In this case, UP is activated and due to the constant phase difference of three QCA clock cycles, the UP pulses widths will be equal to three QCA clock cycles. Also, Figure 9 shows a condition that two signals have same frequency and $C_{REF}$ is lagged from $C_{OUT}$ by constant phase difference (assuming that this phase difference is three cycles). Regarding the circuit operation, it is expected that DOWN is activated for three cycles in each period, which is confirmed by Figure 9.

Figure 10 shows a condition that two input signals have different frequencies. In this case, $C_{REF}$ is ahead of $C_{OUT}$ at some moments, and UP will be activated at the same time. In other moments, $C_{OUT}$ is ahead of $C_{REF}$, and DOWN would be activated as much as phase differences.
Figure 7. Simulation results of proposed rising edge PFD in three different cases

Figure 8. Simulation results of proposed rising edge PFD when inputs have same frequency and \( C_{\text{REF}} \) is ahead of \( C_{\text{OUT}} \).

Figure 9. Simulation results of proposed rising edge PFD when inputs have same frequency and \( C_{\text{REF}} \) is lagged from \( C_{\text{OUT}} \).

Figure 10. Simulation results of proposed rising edge PFD when two input signals have different frequencies.

Figure 11. Simulation results of proposed rising edge PFD when inputs have same frequency and \( C_{\text{REF}} \) is ahead of \( C_{\text{OUT}} \).

Figure 11 shows simulation of the proposed structure as a phase-frequency detector to calculate the phase difference between two signals falling edges. In this figure, the inputs are applied in such a way that all three possible conditions for two inputs of phase detector are included, 1) \( C_{\text{REF}} \) is ahead of \( C_{\text{OUT}} \) in falling edge, 2) \( C_{\text{REF}} \) and \( C_{\text{OUT}} \) are in phase, 3) \( C_{\text{REF}} \) is lagged behind of \( C_{\text{OUT}} \) in falling edges. As seen in this figure, at the first falling edge,

Figure 10 confirms circuit performance in detecting the phase difference of inputs with different frequencies. For example, in first and second rising edges, \( C_{\text{REF}} \) is ahead of \( C_{\text{OUT}} \) for three and one clock cycles, respectively, and corresponding output is generated at UP. In addition, in third and fourth rising edges, \( C_{\text{REF}} \) is lagged behind \( C_{\text{OUT}} \) for three and one QCA clock cycles, and corresponding output is generated at DOWN.
edge, the $C_{REF}$ signal is three QCA clock cycles ahead of $C_{OUT}$, and it is expected that output UP also be logic one for three QCA clock cycles and DOWN signal does not exist. Also, in the second falling edge, these two signals are in phase, so none of the two UP and DOWN signals will be activated. Finally, the $C_{REF}$ is three QCA clock cycles lagged behind $C_{OUT}$ in its falling edge, thus only the output signal DOWN is activated to the same extent. Therefore, this circuit performance can be verified by this simulation. Figure 12 also shows a condition that input signals have different frequencies for detection of falling edges differences. In this case, at some moments $C_{REF}$ is ahead of $C_{OUT}$ and output UP is activated at the same time. Also, in other moments $C_{OUT}$ is ahead of $C_{REF}$, which DOWN would be activated during those moments. It should be mentioned that in this case, the phase differences of falling edges are being calculated.

In order to investigate the energy dissipation behavior of the proposed circuits, other simulations have been executed using QCAPro software [14]. Figure 13 and Figure 14 illustrate simulation results of the proposed structures that are sensitive to the rising and falling edges. In these figures, solid points represent points with more energy consumption. In addition, the energy dissipation parameters results are shown in Table 1.

Furthermore, with respect to the designed structures, the results obtained from simulations are presented in Table 2. According to this table, each of the proposed structure as a phase-frequency detector has 104 cells, 0.13 $\mu$m$^2$ area and reset path delay of 1.5 QCA clock cycles. Another important point in these structures is that the inputs and outputs are available outside the circuit. It should be noted that due to the design of these PFDs in QCA technology, they have an ability to work in higher frequencies in comparison with CMOS circuits. In addition, in the table the average energy dissipation of the two proposed designs are compared.

### Table 1: Energy dissipations of proposed PFDs

| Type             | Maximum Energy Dissipation of circuit(eV) | Average Energy Dissipation of circuit(eV) | Maximum Energy Dissipation among all cells(eV) | Minimum Energy Dissipation of circuit(eV) | Average Leakage Energy dissipation(eV) | Average Switching Energy Dissipation(eV) |
|------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Rising Edge PFD | 0.27832                                  | 0.15495                                   | 0.00785                                       | 0.04106                                | 0.04124                              | 0.11371                                |
| Falling Edge PFD| 0.27335                                  | 0.15448                                   | 0.00785                                       | 0.04073                                | 0.04146                              | 0.11302                                |

### Table 2: Design characteristics of proposed PFDs

| Type             | Number of Cells | Area ($\mu$m$^2$) | Latency of Circuit | Average Energy dissipation (eV) |
|------------------|-----------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|
| Proposed Rising Edge PFD | 104             | 0.13              | 1.5 cycle         | 0.27832                       |
| Proposed Falling Edge PFD  | 104             | 0.13              | 1.5 cycle         | 0.278335                      |
6. CONCLUSION

In this paper two new phase-frequency detector are designed in quantum-dot cellular automata nanotechnology. One of the designs is sensitive to rising edges and the other is sensitive to falling edge of input signals. Due to the design of these PFDs in QCA technology, they have an ability to work in higher frequencies in comparison with CMOS circuits. The proposed designs have the following advantages: small occupied area, few number of cells, good delay performance, and small reset path time. In addition, the inputs and outputs of the proposed design are available outside the circuit. This can help designer to easily connect them to other circuits.
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Abstract

Recent advances in technology have led to the development of quantum cellular automata (QCA) technology, which is a promising method for designing nanoscale electronic circuits. In this paper, a novel phase-frequency detector (PFD) based on quantum-dot cellular automata (QCA) is proposed. The proposed PFD is designed using quantum-dot cellular automata (QCA) technology and is more sensitive to the phase difference of the two input signals. The proposed PFD has a smaller circuit area and consumes less power compared to traditional phase-frequency detectors. The proposed PFD is suitable for use in communication systems and enjoys significant advantages over traditional PFDs.
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